Enhancing Toughness and Impact Strength of Epoxy Resins by Using Hyperbranched Polymers
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Toughened epoxy has been widely used in industrial areas such as automotive and electronics. In this study, nanosized hyperbranched polymers (HBPs) as a flexibilizer are synthesized and embedded into epoxy resin to enhance the toughness and flexibility. Two different HBPs, hyperbranched poly(methylacrylate-diethanolamine) (poly(MA-DEA)) and poly(methylacrylate-ethanolamine) (poly(MA-EA)), were prepared and blended with both epoxy and polyetheramine, a curing agent. The molecular size of HBPs was estimated to be 6~14 nm in diameter. The molecular weight of HBPs ranges from 1500(1.5 K) to 7000(7.0 K) g/mol. In cured epoxy/HBP blends, no phase separations are occurred, indicating that HBPs possess sufficient miscibility with epoxy. The tensile toughness of the blends increased with changing the molecular weight of HBPs without sacrificing tensile strengths. The impact strength of the blends increases stifferly until the loading % of HBPs in the blends reaches 10 wt%. In addition, the experimental studies showed that impact resistance also increased with an increase in molecular weight of HBPs. The obtained impact resistance of the epoxy/HBP blends with 10 wt% was 270% more effective compared to that of cured neat epoxy.

1. Introduction

Toughened epoxy resins exhibit excellent mechanical properties and are widely used in high performance composites and structural adhesives [1–4]. Many of the research works have been conducted to overcome the low impact resistance and low toughness properties of epoxy resins. For several decades, significant signs of progress have been developed to enhance both adhesion strength and impact resistance by incorporating micrometer sized liquid rubbers [5], core-shell rubber (CSR) particles [6], and thermoplastic particles [7–14]. Block copolymer particles as tougheners have also attracted considerable attention [15, 16]. These block copolymers tend to be self-assembled to form certain nanostructures in the epoxy matrix during the cure process. These self-assembled nanostructures can improve the fracture toughness without affecting the Tg (glass transition temperature) and tensile strength of cured epoxy resins. Most of the toughening materials except CSR particles are less effective at low temperatures. In this study, nanoscale hyperbranched polymers (HBPs) are synthesized as tougheners and incorporated into epoxy resin to improve the toughness and flexibility of epoxy resins.

Hyperbranched polymers are densely branched macromolecules with a three-dimensional globular structure. HBPs are attracting attention as a polymer that has low viscosity, abundant functional groups, small chain entanglement, large free volume, high solubility, and easy synthesis. Because of these features, HBPs can be used for various applications in epoxy systems [17], such as coating materials [18, 19] and modifiers [20, 21]. More interestingly, in several reports, performances in toughness have been found in epoxy resins incorporated with hyperbranched polymers as a toughener [22]. HBPs with various functional groups such as epoxide [20], carboxylic acid [23], hydroxyl [24], and amine can increase the toughness of epoxy resins [25].

HBPs are highly effective polymer modifiers for thermo-setting materials including epoxy resins, due to (1) their net-
work with a spherical structure which can reduce the shrinkage of epoxy resins due to the extensible branching chains of HBPs; (2) their high density and versatile functional end groups such as hydroxyl, amine, epoxide, anhydride, carboxyl, and isocyanate groups, which can significantly improve the compatibility between HBPs and epoxy matrices; and (3) large free volumes in their networks, which can significantly improve the toughness of epoxy resins when HBPs are used as modifiers [26].

In this work, we investigated the effect of nanosized HBP particles, possessing hydroxyl or carbonyl end groups, on the toughness and flexibility of epoxy resins. Hydroxyl end groups are reported to be involved in crosslinking reactions of epoxy resins.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Diglycidyl ether bisphenol-A (DGEBA) (EEW: 184-190, Kukdo Chemical) as an epoxy resin and polyetheramine (AHEW = 115 g/equiv, Huntsman D-400) as a curing agent are used as obtained. Hyperbranched poly methylacrylate-diethanolamine (poly(MA-DEOA)) and poly methylacrylate-ethanolamine(poly(MA-EA)) were synthesized as toughening agent as well as flexibilizer.

2.2. Synthesis of Hyperbranched Poly(MA-DEA). Two step reactions were carried out to synthesize hyperbranched poly(MA-DEA); in the first step, 0.5 mol of methylacrylate (MA) and diethanolamine (DEA) was added into methanol (100 mL) and stirred for 48 h, and in the second step, 0.25 g of Zn(CH₃CO₂)₂ was added into the solution, and the reaction was carried out at 60°C for 1 h, 100°C for 2 h, 120°C for 2 h and 150°C for 2 h, in a stepwise way, as shown in Scheme 1.

2.3. Synthesis of Hyperbranched Poly(MA-EA). Two step reactions were carried out to synthesize hyperbranched poly(MA-EA), in the first step, 1.1 mol of methylacrylate...
(MA) and 0.5 mol of ethanolamine (EA) was added into methanol (100 mL) and stirred for 48 h, and 0.25 g of Zn(CH₃CO₂)₂ was added to the solution, and then the reaction was carried out stepwise at 60°C for 1 h, 100°C for 2 h, 120°C for 2 h, and 150°C for 2 h, in a stepwise way, as shown in Scheme 2.

For the preparation of epoxy/HBP thermoset mixtures, HBP (0, 3, 5, and 10 wt%) was added to epoxy resin and mixed under vacuum; then, curing agent D-400 (DGEBA/D = 400 = 1 : 0.5 w/w) was added to the epoxy resin. Finally, the epoxy mixture was cured in the mold at 125°C for 2 h in an oven.

2.4. Tensile Toughness Calculation. The tensile test was made by gripping the ends of a suitably prepared standardized test piece containing epoxy/HBP blends in a universal testing machine (UTM) and then applied a continually increasing uniaxial load until failure occurs. Afterward, both the load (stress) and the test piece extension (strain) are measured and from this data, an engineering stress/strain curve is constructed. The tensile toughness of epoxy/HBP blends was measured by calculating the area under the stress-strain curve. The critical values obtained after calculation is the tensile toughness of the tested samples. The tensile toughness equates to a slow absorption of energy by the material.

3. Characterization

FT-IR analysis was carried out using a Spectrum GX instrument in a wavelength range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹ to identify the
binding structures. Liquid-state $^{13}$C NMR was performed at a frequency of 500 MHz in a FT-NMR Spectrometer (DA208) to confirm the C-bonding structures of the synthesized HBPs. DMA measurements on cured epoxy/HBP blends were performed using Dynamic Mechanical Thermal Analyzer (DMA, Q800) at a fixed frequency of 1 Hz, in the range of -50 to 150 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min. Dynamic moduli and loss factors were determined.

Tensile properties of epoxy/HBP thermosets were measured at room temperature according to ASTM D638 using a universal tensile tester (Zwick/Roell Z010). The specimens used in the experiment were injection molded dumbbells, the length of the measuring section was 25 mm, the width of the measuring section was 13.2 mm, the thickness of the specimen was 3.54 mm, and the crosshead speed was 50 mm/min. Impact properties were measured according to ASTM D4812 using an Izod impact tester (NO258 PL-S Impact Tester, YASUDA SEIKI SEISAKUSHO). The sample used for the measurement was rectangular and the size was 64x12.7x6.4 mm.

4. Results and Discussion

The ATR-IR spectrum shows the evidence for the synthesis of intermediates for hydroxy-terminated polyamine esters (HBP1) and methoxycarbonyl-terminated polyamine esters (HBP2). The broadband in the region of 3380 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the –OH stretching of the ester bonds. The C=O stretching and C-O stretching were observed at 1730 and 1035 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The band emission around 1650 cm$^{-1}$ indicates the presence of C=C bond. The double bond peak in methyl acrylate disappeared as the intermediate was formed during

---

**Figure 1:** IR spectrum of (a) hyperbranched poly(MA-DEA) (HBP1) and (b) hyperbranched poly(MA-EA) (HBP2).

**Figure 2:** Expected molecular diameter of (a) HBP1-3.0 K and (b) HBP2-3.1 K.
the synthesis. HBP1 and HBP2 over the reaction time obtained from ATR-IR spectra are shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(b). For HBP1, a OH stretching peak was found after the polymerization was completed, indicating that a polymer chain with a hydroxyl group was terminated. For HBP2, the OH stretching peak, present in the intermediate, disappeared after the polymerization was completed, indicating that a polymer does not have OH functional group at the polymer chain terminal.

From the $^{13}$C NMR-spectrum, the presence of expected atoms was confirmed: HBP1: $^{13}$C NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ (ppm): 69.36, 62.61, 59.71, 59.20, 56.76, 52.80, 50.97, 48.69, 44.93, 33.40, 32.49, and 30.66. HBP2: $^{13}$C NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ (ppm): 68.15, 62.35, 59.56, 59.20, 55.85, 52.80, 51.63, 49.60, 44.57, 35.88, 32.43, and 30.60.

The molecular size in diameter was adjusted to about 10 nm by controlling the molecular weight of HBP1 or HBP2, respectively. The molecular weight ranges from 1500(1.5 K) to 7000(7.0 K) g/mol. The typical estimated molecular size of HBP1 and HBP2 is shown in Figure 2.

After epoxy/HBP1 and epoxy/HBP2 blends were cured, dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMA) was performed. All cured blends show one-step change in modulus and a peak in tan $\delta$, representing no phase separation, as shown in Figure 3. This could be attributed to the miscible or compatible polymer structure, which allows HBP to have sufficient miscibility while avoiding phase separation. For cured neat epoxy (DGEBA), a narrow and distinct tan $\delta$ peak can be observed around 43.43°C which indicates the Tg of cured DGEBA and gradual but steady decreases in Tg were observed for epoxy/HBP1 and epoxy/HBP2 blends. In general, a consistent decrease in Tg is observed with increasing HBP content, which is evidence of increased residual compatibility of HBPs in cured epoxy, due to the following factors; first, the crosslink density decreases with increasing hyperbranched poly(amine ester) content, and
second, the integrated hyperbranched poly(amine esters) reduces the stiffness of the formed network and allows chain movement at lower temperatures. However, the size of HBPs is nanoscale, which could lead to a limitation of the macroscale plasticization of the epoxy matrix. Consequently, narrow changes in Tg were observed for all epoxy/HBP blends. The tensile strength of cured epoxy/HBP1 and epoxy/HBP2 blends increased until the HBP content increased to 5 and 3 wt%, respectively, as shown in Figures 4 and 5. Both HBP1 and HBP2 showed a tendency to increase the tensile strength of cured epoxy/HBP blends with increasing molecular weight of HBP. Experimental studies show that the addition of a particular amount of nanoscale soft segment into the rigid epoxy resin changes the internal residual stress and enhances the strength. Moreover, many hydrogen bonds present in HBP1 will provide improvement in the tensile strength of the cured samples. Although, with a high amount of HBPs, the flexible polymer chains may decrease the macroscale stiffness of the epoxy matrix, leading to a weak tensile strength. It is considered that the introduction of free voids presents in the HBP molecule and the free volume between the HBP molecules involved in the cured epoxy network leads to a reduction of the density of the cured epoxy matrix and alters the tensile strength of epoxy networks [27]. Therefore, when the HBP content in the epoxy is relatively low (5 wt% for HBP1, 3 wt% for HBP2), a decrease in tensile strength due to negative factors is offset by an increase in positive factors. When the HBP contents increase above 10 wt% for HBP1 and 5 wt% for HBP2, the negative factors become determinants of the tensile strength. Therefore, the tensile strength of cured epoxy/HBP blends can be decreased by the addition of HBP above a certain

Figure 4: (a) Tensile strength and (b) elongation of epoxy/HBP1 blends with different molecular weights and HBP loadings.

Figure 5: (a) Tensile strength and (b) elongation of epoxy/HBP2 blends with different molecular weights and HBP loadings.
concentration, but the tensile modulus of both epoxy/HBP1 and epoxy/HBP2 blends shows a tendency to decrease with increasing HBP amount. Along with the tensile properties, the incorporation of nanosized flexible HBP into epoxy resin can lead to high elongation properties of epoxy/HBP blends. The elongation properties increase steadily with increasing HBP content. It can be assumed that the ductility of the blends is improved by the presence of free volume and free space in the rigid epoxy matrix by the introduction of spherical HBP which can provide sufficient space, compared to linear flexible polymer, to greatly facilitate the mobility of the polymer chain when the cured epoxy is subjected to tensile forces, which consequently contributes to an increase in elongation with increasing HBP content.

Tensile toughness can be determined by integrating the area under the strain-stress curve. Generally, strength denotes the amount of force a material can absorb, and toughness indicates the amount of energy a material can absorb before it bursts. Tensile toughness gradually increased as the amount of HBP in the epoxy resin increased, as shown in Figure 6. The highest value for tensile toughness of 1157.82 kJ/m³ was observed when 3 K HBP1 was loaded with 5 wt% in epoxy resin. For HBP2, the highest value of 636.02 kJ/m³ was observed by loading 5 wt% of 2.7 K HBP2. HBP1 can provide higher tensile toughness performance compared to HBP2 because HBP1 has reactive terminal groups that can strongly resist polymer chain bursting by reactions with epoxy groups.

Figure 7 shows the impact strengths of the cured epoxy/HBP blends, where the effects of HBP1 and HBP2 contents in the epoxy on the impact resistance are clearly seen. The impact strength of the blends with HBP1 and HBP2 increases stiffer until the loading percentage of both HBP1 and HBP2 in the blends reaches 10 wt%. As the molecular weight of HBP1 and HBP2 increases, the impact resistance also increases, as well. For the blends loaded with 10 wt% HBP1 and HBP2, the maximum impact strengths were
1320.73 and 1581.90 J/m, respectively, showing that the impact resistance was improved by 276% and 331%, compared to that of cured neat epoxy. When the HBP content is increased to more than 10 wt%, the steric hindrance effect of the HBP content becomes remarkable, and the hydrogen bonding is inhibited, which decreases the impact strength, as reported in the literature [28–32]. In this study, the ester parts in HBP can disperse the impact energy by their segmental motion in polymer chains, which will increase the impact strength. The nanosized free voids and free volumes can also absorb a lot of energy by deforming within a spherical regime and giving free motion in space for the network molecules to move while the impacts are loaded. All mechanical properties of the epoxy/HBP1 and epoxy/HBP2 blends are listed in Table S1 and S2 included in the Supporting Information (SI).

5. Conclusions
Nanoscale hyperbranched polymers (HBPs) as flexibilizer of rigid epoxy improve both the toughness and elongation properties of epoxy/HBP blends without affecting the tensile strength of the blends. These HBPs can be used for high-performance structural adhesives especially for bonding hybrid materials that have different CTEs (coefficients of thermal expansion), because epoxy/HBP blends, that have high elongation properties, can adequately absorb CTE differences between two different materials during the thermal curing process of adhesives. In addition, the higher impact strength of epoxy/HBP blends can be achieved by incorporating HBPs into epoxy, which can improve the impact resistance or crash resistance by more than 270% compared to cured neat epoxy, showing that epoxy/HBP-based structural adhesives can be used for bonding the multimaterials of automotive body structures.
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