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Abstract
Background: We aimed to find the possible molecular mechanisms for the roles of microRNA-21 underlying lung cancer development. Methods: MicroRNA-21-5p inhibitor was transfected into A549 cells. Total RNA was isolated from 10 samples, including 3 in control group (A549 cells), 3 in negative control group (A549 cells transferred with microRNA-21 negative control), and 4 in SH group (A549 cells transferred with microRNA-21 inhibitor), followed by RNA sequencing. Then, differentially expressed genes were screened for negative control group versus control group, SH group versus control group, and SH group versus negative control group. Functional enrichment analyses, protein–protein interaction network, and modules analyses were conducted. Target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p and transcription factors were predicted, followed by the regulatory network construction. Results: Minichromosome maintenance 10 replication initiation factor and cell division cycle associated 8 were important nodes in protein–protein interaction network with higher degrees. Cell division cycle associated 8 was enriched in cell division biological process. Furthermore, maintenance 10 replication initiation factor and cell division cycle associated 8 were significantly enriched in cluster 1 and micro-RNA-transcription factor-target genes regulating network. In addition, transcription factor Dp family member 3 (transcription factor of maintenance 10 replication initiation factor and cell division cycle associated 8) and RAD21 cohesin complex component (transcription factor of maintenance 10 replication initiation factor) were target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p. Conclusions: Micro-RNA-21 may play a key role in lung cancer partly via maintenance 10 replication initiation factor and cell division cycle associated 8. Furthermore, microRNA-21 targeted cell division cycle associated 8 and then played roles in lung cancer via the process of cell division. Transcription factor Dp family member 3 and RAD21 cohesin complex component are important transcription factors in microRNA-21-interfered lung cancer.
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Introduction
Lung cancer, also called lung carcinoma, is the most common cause of major cancer incidence and mortality in men, whereas in women it is the third most common cause of cancer incidence and the second most common cause of cancer mortality.¹ Lung cancer can be mainly divided into 2 subtypes: nonsmall cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC).² Shortness of breath, coughing (including coughing up blood), chest pains, and weight loss are the most common symptoms of lung cancer.³ It is reported that long-term tobacco smoking is the cause of the vast majority (85%) of cases.⁴ In
addition, it was estimated in 2011 that about 1,608,800 new cases were diagnosed with lung cancers, and there will be 1,387,400 deaths worldwide. Common treatments for this cancer include surgery, chemotherapy, palliative care, and radiation therapy. But the efficacy of these treatments was not very satisfactory. It is noteworthy that targeted therapy for lung cancer is playing more and more important roles in recent years.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), an abundant class of small nonprotein-coding RNAs, can regulate the expression of genes via targeting messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and triggering either mRNA cleavage or translation repression. Some previous studies suggested that some specific miRNAs were associated with cancers and could be regarded as therapy targets. For example, Kim et al indicated that miR-31 functioned as a tumor suppressor and could be regarded as a novel target for the treatment of liver cancers, and Lim et al suggested that miR-494 regulated G1/S transition in liver tumorigenesis and was identified as a therapeutic target for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Furthermore, many studies reported the associations between miRNA-21 and lung cancers. Yang et al indicated that miRNA-21 can predict recurrence and poor survival in NSCLC. MicroRNA-21 overexpression shorted survival time in human primary squamous cell lung carcinoma, suggesting miRNA-21 might be a diagnostic and prognostic marker for this disease. In cancer stem-like cells, abnormal expression of miRNA-95 and miRNA-21 was related to radioresistance of lung cancer. Although many studies reported the roles of miRNA-21 played in lung cancer, the exact molecular mechanism of this process was not fully be understood.

In the present study, miRNA21-5p inhibitor was transfected into A549 cells. Then, RNA was extracted, and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was conducted. After obtaining good results, mRNA sequencing was performed. After that, a series of bioinformatics analyses were conducted to find the possible molecular mechanism for the roles of miRNA-21 played in lung cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and MiRNA Inhibitor Transfection

A549 cells obtained from Shanghai Cell Bank, Chinese Academy of Sciences, were maintained in Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium at 37°C under 5% CO2 environment. The miRNA 21 inhibitor UCAACAUCAGUCUGAUAAGCUA was synthesized by Shanghai tuoran biotechnology co, Ltd (Shanghai, China). After digestion by pancreatin, cells were seeded on 12-well plates (2.5 × 10^5 cells/well) and cultured. When cells grew to 80%, cells were transferred with 100 nmol/L miRNA21 inhibitor and lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen #11668-027; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc, Hudson, NY) at 37°C under 5% CO2 for 24 hours.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA of transferred A549 cells were isolated by using TRIzol (Takara, #9109). MicroRNA was extracted by miRcute miRNA isolation kit DP501 (TianGen, Beijing, China). The first strand of miRNA complementary DNA (cDNA) was reversely transcribed using miRNA cDNA synthesis kit KR211 (TianGen).

Then, the quantitative PCR solution was prepared in accordance with the following reaction system: 1 µL forward primer 10 µmol/L, 10 µL SYBR Premix EX Taq (2×), 1 µL reverse primer 10 µmol/L, and 8 µL cDNA. The qPCR reaction was conducted by the following steps: 50°C for 3 minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C for 3 minutes, 95°C for 10 seconds, and 60°C for 30 seconds. Finally, melt curve analysis was performed in 60°C to 95°C using increments of 0.5°C per 10 seconds. U6 was used as the internal control. The primer sequences were listed as follows: U6 forward, 5'-CTGCTTTCCGCGGAGAG-3' and reverse, 5'-AACGCTTCAAATATGATTTG-3'; miR-21-5p forward, 5'-TAGCTATCGACTGATTTG-3' and reverse, 5'-GGTACAGATACGCTACCT-3'.
Table 1. Quality Control of Reads After Sequencing.

| Sample | Sample_Name | Clean Read | Clean Reads Q20 | Clean Read Q20(%) | Clean Reads Mean Length |
|--------|-------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| nc_2   | NC-2_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_1 | 16026528 | 16020668 | 99.96% | 150 |
|        | NC-2_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_2 | 16026528 | 15781993 | 98.47% | 150 |
| nc_4   | NC-4_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_1 | 15206813 | 15200892 | 99.96% | 150 |
|        | NC-4_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_2 | 15206813 | 14961140 | 98.38% | 150 |
| nc_9   | NC-9_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_1 | 15208792 | 15203236 | 99.96% | 150 |
|        | NC-9_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_2 | 15208792 | 14983156 | 98.53% | 150 |
| x_2    | X-2_HCT2KALXX_L7_1 | 15803281 | 15796996 | 99.96% | 150 |
|        | X-2_HCT2KALXX_L7_2 | 15803281 | 15378016 | 97.31% | 150 |
| x_3    | X-3_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_1 | 16598693 | 16592693 | 99.96% | 150 |
|        | X-3_HGVJ2ALXX_L4_2 | 16598693 | 16266517 | 98.00% | 150 |
| x_5    | X-5_HGVJ2ALXX_L3_1 | 13859387 | 13853611 | 99.96% | 150 |
|        | X-5_HGVJ2ALXX_L3_2 | 13859387 | 13634255 | 98.38% | 150 |
| s21_1  | s21-1_HKNMGALXX_L7_1 | 16542732 | 16537048 | 99.97% | 150 |
|        | s21-1_HKNMGALXX_L7_2 | 16542732 | 16154034 | 97.65% | 150 |
| s21_2  | s21-2_HKNMGALXX_L8_1 | 15197794 | 15190364 | 99.95% | 150 |
|        | s21-2_HKNMGALXX_L8_2 | 15197794 | 14831723 | 97.59% | 150 |
| s21_3  | s21-3_HKNMGALXX_L8_1 | 15885298 | 15850436 | 99.95% | 150 |
|        | s21-3_HKNMGALXX_L8_2 | 15885298 | 15553036 | 98.08% | 150 |
| sp_9   | sp-9_HGVJ2ALXX_L3_1 | 13972891 | 13966835 | 99.96% | 150 |
|        | sp-9_HGVJ2ALXX_L3_2 | 13972891 | 13735401 | 98.30% | 150 |

Statistical Analysis

All the data were presented as the mean ± standard errors of the mean. SPSS 22.0 was applied for the statistical analyses. The difference between groups were compared by t test. P < .05 was set as a significant difference.

Transcriptome Sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from 10 samples, including 3 in control group (A549 cells), 3 in negative control (NC) group (A549 cells transferred with miRNA-21 negative control), and 4 in SH group (A549 cells transferred with miRNA-21 inhibitor). The sequencing library preparations were performed by using RNA Library Prep Kit (NEB) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Then, the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq platform with 25 bp/150 bp paired-end reads generation. Quality control was performed using Trimomatic (version 3.0).11

Clean reads were mapped to human reference genome (hg38, UCSC)2 by Hisat2 software (version 2.0.5)13 using default parameter. Based on human genome annotation information provided by Gencode database,14 the corresponding read count was obtained using StringTie (version 1.2.3).15 Furthermore, quantification for the results was conducted by counts per millions.

Differentially Expressed Genes Analysis

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were screened for NC group versus control group, SH group versus control group, and SH group versus NC group using edgeR in R.16 |logFC (fold change)|>2 and FDR (false discovery rate) < 0.01 were set as threshold value. Furthermore, VENN analysis was performed for DEGs among 3 groups.

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Pathway Enrichment Analyses

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses for 3 groups’ DEGs were conducted using clusterprofiler.17 P value <.05 was set as cutoff criteria.
Protein–Protein Interaction Network Analyses

The DEGs in SH group versus control group and SH group versus NC group were integrated, and PPI network analyses were performed by STRING. Required confidence (combined score) >0.7 was regarded as threshold value. Protein–protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed using Cytoscape, and the topologies of networks were analyzed. Based on the ranking of the degree of network nodes, hub nodes in PPI network were obtained.

Modules Analyses

Subnetwork modules analyses were carried out by MCODE. DAVID (version 6.8) was used for gene ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway analysis for the genes in modules. Parameter-enriched count ≥2 and P value <.05 were set as significantly enriched results.

Prediction of Target Genes of hsa-miR-21-5p

MiRwalk database was used to predict the target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p. The module “predicted target module” was used, and miRNA gene pairs were existed in at least 4 of 6 databases (miRWalk, miRanda, miRDB, miRMap, RNAhybrid, and Targetscan). The DEGs were further screened, and regulatory network between hsa-miR-21-5p and differentially expressed target genes was constructed.

Figure 3. The VENN diagram for differentially expressed genes in negative control (NC) group versus control group, SH group versus control group, and SH group versus NC group.

Figure 4. The significant pathways for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses. P value indicates significance enriched in this term; count, the number of genes enriched in this term; GeneRatio, the ratio of the number of DEGs enriched in KEGG term to the number of genes for this pathway recorded in KEGG database.
The differentially expressed targets of hsa-miR-21-5p were subjected to GO function and KEGG pathway analysis. $P$ value < 0.05 and count ≥ 2 were set as the cutoff values.

**Transcription Factor Analysis**

IRegulon plugin\(^{28}\) in cytoscape is used to predict transcription factors (TFs) that regulated target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p. IRegulon was used to predict TFs by calculating TFs and genes binding motif enrichment analysis. Motif enrichment analysis used several position weight matrix to sort and score for each motif. Preferred motif was used for predicting final TFs. Minimum identity between orthologous genes = 0.05 and maximum false discovery rate on motif similarity = 0.001. The TF-target gene pairs with normalized enrichment score > 4 were captured. The collected TFs could be the targets for hsa-miR-21-5p or regulators for DEGs.

**Results**

**Quantitative PCR Validation of Transfection**

The results for qPCR validation of transfection are presented in Figure 1. When we performed quality testing for the first validated successful samples, some samples were unqualified, and library sequencing could not be conducted. Thus, we added 3 miR-21 inhibitor transfection groups in the second experiments. Eventually, in total, 3 groups containing 10 samples were included in the present study as follows: control group, A549 cells (x_2, x_3, and x_5); NC group, A549 cells + miRNA-21 negative control (nc_2, nc_4, and nc_9); and SH group, A549 cells + miRNA-21 inhibitor (s21_1, s21_2, s21_3, and sp_9). The expression of miRNA-21 was significantly lower in SH group compared to NC group ($P < 0.01$), which suggested that the miRNA-21 expression was effectively inhibited by miRNA-21 inhibitor.

[Figure 5. The protein–protein interaction (PPI) network for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in SH group vs control group and SH group vs negative control (NC) group. Light diamond nodes indicates upregulated genes; deep circle nodes, downregulated genes.]
Sequencing Analysis

A total of 10 samples were subjected to RNA sequencing. In order to obtain the clean reads with high quality, quality control was conducted and Q20 was calculated. As shown in Table 1, more than 2.7 million clean reads were obtained for each sample. In addition, more than 97.31% clean reads had Q20 quality, suggesting that the reads obtained had high quality. The raw sequencing data were deposited in NCBI Sequence Read Archive database with the accession number of SRP199405.

Gene Expression Analysis

The correlations among samples were calculated by Euclidean distance method, and cluster analysis for samples is presented in Figure 2. The figure showed that the correlation between sp.9 and other samples was relatively low; thus, we deleted this sample in the following analysis.

Analysis of DEGs

In total, 2990 DEGs were identified, including 545 DEGs in the NC group versus control group, 1429 DEGs in SH group versus control group, and 2174 DEGs in SH group versus NC group. The VENN diagram for 3 comparison groups is shown in Figure 3. There were 34 overlapped DEGs among 3 groups.

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Pathway Enrichment Analyses

The results for KEGG pathway enrichment analyses are presented in Figure 4. The downregulated genes for SH group versus control group and SH group versus NC group were mainly enriched in pathways associated with cancers, and upregulated genes for these 2 comparison groups were mainly enriched in pathways related to cardiomyopathy.

Protein–Protein Interaction Network Analysis

The PPI network for DEGs in SH group versus control group and SH group versus NC group is presented in Figure 5. A total of 222 nodes and 1112 interaction pairs were included in this network. The top 15 nodes with higher degrees in the network were cyclin dependent kinase 1, cyclin A2, mitotic arrest deficient 2 like 1, cyclin B2, aurora kinase B, cell division cycle associated 8, CDC20, cell division cycle 20, CDK1, cyclin dependent kinase 1; DTL, denticleless E3 ubiquitin protein ligase homolog; KIF2C, Kinesin family member 2C; MAD2L1, mitotic arrest deficient 2 like 1; MCM2, minichromosome maintenance complex component 2; MCM4, minichromosome maintenance complex component 4; MCM10, minichromosome maintenance 10 replication initiation factor; NDC80, kinetochore complex component; RRM2, ribonucleotide reductase regulatory subunit M2; TTK, TTK protein kinase.

Table 2. The Top 15 Nodes With Higher Degrees in the Network.

| Gene             | Degree | Regulator |
|------------------|--------|-----------|
| CDK1             | 57     | Down      |
| CCNA2            | 49     | Down      |
| MAD2L1           | 48     | Down      |
| CCNB2            | 47     | Down      |
| AURKB            | 45     | Down      |
| CDC20            | 45     | Down      |
| MCM4             | 45     | Down      |
| RRM2             | 44     | Down      |
| MCM2             | 43     | Down      |
| KIF2C            | 42     | Down      |
| TTK              | 41     | Down      |
| MCM10            | 40     | Down      |
| CDCA8            | 40     | Down      |
| NDC80            | 39     | Down      |
| DTL              | 39     | Down      |

Abbreviations: AURKB, aurora kinase B; CCNA2, cyclin A2; CCNB2, cyclin B2; CDCA8, cell division cycle associated 8; CDC20, cell division cycle 20; CDK1, cyclin dependent kinase 1; DTL, denticleless E3 ubiquitin protein ligase homolog; KIF2C, Kinesin family member 2C; MAD2L1, mitotic arrest deficient 2 like 1; MCM2, minichromosome maintenance complex component 2; MCM4, minichromosome maintenance complex component 4; MCM10, minichromosome maintenance 10 replication initiation factor; NDC80, kinetochore complex component; RRM2, ribonucleotide reductase regulatory subunit M2; TTK, TTK protein kinase.

Modules Analyses

Genes with similar function can be clustered in 1 module. The results for modules analyses showed that the score of cluster 1 was 29.062, which was higher than other modules. There were 33 nodes, and 465 interaction pairs were included in cluster 1 (Figure 6A). The GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses showed that genes in cluster 1 were significantly enriched in protein binding (eg, MCM10, CDCA8), nucleus (eg, MCM10, CDCA8), cell division (eg, CDCA8), and cell cycle pathway (Figure 6B).

MiRNA-TF-Target Genes Regulating Network

A total of 43 miRNA-target genes pairs were obtained. We obtained 3 TFs (TFDP3, RAD21, and IL24) by predicting TFs of these target genes; TFDP3 and RAD21 were target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p (Figure 7), and MCM10 and CDCA8 were 2 target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p.

In order to explore the biological function involved with target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p, 43 target genes were subjected to GO and KEGG pathway analysis. The target genes were significantly enriched in 42 GO BP terms, 14 GO MF terms, and 7 KEGG pathways. Figure 8 exhibits the top 10 terms for GO BP, GO MF and pathways. The target genes were closely related to anion binding, cell growth, response to transition metal nanoparticle, focal adhesion, and p53 signaling pathway.

Discussion

Lung cancer has been a health threat for the high morbidity and mortality. The miRNAs played pivotal roles in gene expression...
regulation, and their aberrant expression is implicated in tumorigenesis and progression.\textsuperscript{29} miRNA-21 has been proposed to be a biomarker for diagnosis, prognosis, and response to therapy.\textsuperscript{30} However, the mechanism related to the role of miRNA-21 in lung cancer has not been clarified clearly. In the present study, the DEGs related to miRNA-21 inhibition were identified by RNA sequencing. Our data suggested that MCM10 and CDCA8 were significantly affected by miRNA-21 inhibition in A549 cells, which were hub nodes in PPI network with higher degrees; MCM10 was significantly enriched in G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle (GO-BP), cell proliferation (GO-BP), and DNA replication (GO-BP). Cell division cycle associated 8 was closely related to cell division (GO-BP). Furthermore, these 2 nodes were significantly enriched in cluster 1 and miRNA-TF-target genes regulating network. In addition, TFD3 (TF of MCM10 and CDCA8) and RAD21 (TF of MCM10) were target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p.

Minichromosome maintenance 10 replication initiation factor, chromatin-associated protein, is important for efficient DNA replication origin firing in human cells.\textsuperscript{31,32} Minichromosome maintenance 10 replication initiation factor contributes to genetic diseases related to genome instability and aberrant proliferation, such as cancer.\textsuperscript{33} Increased transcript levels of MCM10 are related to the development of some cancers, indicating that MCM10 could be the target of specific oncogenes.\textsuperscript{34,35} A previous study indicated that the overexpression of MCM10 was associated with adverse prognosis in urothelial carcinoma.\textsuperscript{36} Das et al suggested that the expression level of MCM10 was correlated with stages of cervical carcinogenesis.\textsuperscript{37}

Multiple genetic changes underlying the evolution of cancer cell development drive the deregulation of cell cycle, cell proliferation, and DNA replication. Uncontrolled cell cycle is the most common characteristic for human cancers.\textsuperscript{38} The mitogenic signaling and responses to antimitogenic signals were altered in tumor cells, which results in unscheduled proliferation of tumor cells.\textsuperscript{39,40} G1 is one phase of cell cycle, in which there are a flood of cell signals that determine the cell division,
Figure 7. MicroRNA (miRNA)-transcription factor (TF)-target genes regulating network. Diamond nodes in the middle: hsa-miR-21-5p; polygon nodes: TF; light round nodes: up-regulated genes; deep round nodes: downregulated genes; round nodes with frame: genes only regulated by miRNA; arrow: TF-target genes regulatory relationship; straight line: miRNA-target genes regulatory relationship.

Figure 8. The top 10 GO function terms and pathways for target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p.
cell survival, and cell growth. The mistakes in G1 signaling can cause cancer development and progression. The GO function enrichment analysis showed that MCM10 was significantly enriched in G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle (GO-BP), cell proliferation (GO-BP), and DNA replication (GO-BP). In our present study, MCM10 was found to be one important node in PPI network with higher degrees and miRNA-TF-target genes regulating network. Taken together, MCM10 plays a key role in cell cycle–related biological process. Furthermore, in the present study, CDCA8 was also one important node in PPI network with higher degrees and in miRNA-TF-target genes regulating network. It is reported that CDCA8, also known as borealin, is a component of a chromosomal passenger complex essential for stability of the bipolar mitotic spindle.\textsuperscript{41} The study of Hayama et al showed that CDCA8 overexpression was correlated with poor prognosis of lung cancer, and inhibition of the expression of this gene could suppress the growth of lung cancer cells.\textsuperscript{42} Furthermore, Hayama et al suggested that CDCA8 was regarded as a promising target for the finding of novel therapeutics. Besides, Chang et al reported that nuclear accumulation of CDCA8 was linked to poor prognosis of gastric cancer.\textsuperscript{43} In the study of Jiao et al, they suggested that forkhead box M1-CDCA8 signature might be associated with the progression of breast cancer.\textsuperscript{44} Therefore, we infer that CDCA8 is one of the important genes involved in lung cancer. In addition, in this study, CDCA8 was enriched in cell division (GO-BP).

Interestingly, our study showed that MCM10 and CDCA8 were 2 target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p. Furthermore, as previous studies stated, miRNA-21 played significant roles in lung cancer. Combined with abovementioned discussions, we infer that miRNA-21 may play significant roles in lung cancer partly via MCM10 and CDCA8. Therefore, miRNA-21 inhibition in A549 cells may affect the cell cycle-related biological processes and cell division by targeting MCM10 and CDCA8.

Besides, TFDP3 (TF of MCM10 and CDCA8) and RAD21 (TF of MCM10) were target genes of hsa-miR-21-5p. Some previous studies showed that RAD21 played significant roles in several cancers, such as breast cancer,\textsuperscript{45} and oral squamous cell carcinoma.\textsuperscript{46} Some studies indicated that TFDP3 was involved in prostate cancer cell survival\textsuperscript{47} and regulated epithelial–mesenchymal transition in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{48} Thus, we infer that TFDP3 and RAD21 are important TFs in miRNA-21-interfered lung cancer.

Although significant findings are determined in this study, lacking experimental validation is a limitation in this study. In this article, the role of miRNA-21 was just explored in A549 cells, hence clinical samples are warranted for validation. The targets predicted for miRNA-21 were not validated by luciferase assays. Therefore, studies with large clinical samples size are warranted in the near future.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, miRNA-21 may play a significant role in cell cycle, cell proliferation, and cell division related to biological process in lung cancer partly via MCM10 and CDCA8. Both TFDP3 and RAD21 are important TFs in miRNA-21-interfered lung cancer. However, no verifications were performed for the results of the present study, which would be conducted in future studies.
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