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Abstract Antibiotic resistance arising via chromosomal mutations is typically specific to a particular antibiotic or class of antibiotics. We have identified mutations in genes encoding ribosomal components in Mycobacterium smegmatis that confer resistance to several structurally and mechanistically unrelated classes of antibiotics and enhance survival following heat shock and membrane stress. These mutations affect ribosome assembly and cause large-scale transcriptomic and proteomic changes, including the downregulation of the catalase KatG, an activating enzyme required for isoniazid sensitivity, and upregulation of WhiB7, a transcription factor involved in innate antibiotic resistance. Importantly, while these ribosomal mutations have a fitness cost in antibiotic-free medium, in a multidrug environment they promote the evolution of high-level, target-based resistance. Further, suppressor mutations can then be easily acquired to restore wild-type growth. Thus, ribosomal mutations can serve as stepping-stones in an evolutionary path leading to the emergence of high-level, multidrug resistance.

Introduction Antibiotic resistance is on the rise in virtually all clinically relevant pathogens. A 2013 report from the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2013) identified the most significant antibiotic resistant pathogens, including S. pneumoniae, extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae, vancomycin-resistant Enterococci, and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), which has now achieved pandemic spread (Monecke et al., 2011). This report estimates that in the United States, antibiotic resistant infections kill at least 23,000 people each year. The threat of antibiotic resistant bacteria is however not limited to the developing world, as the prevalence of multidrug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug resistant (XDR) tuberculosis (TB) has been on the rise for the last decade (Migliori et al., 2010), and untreatable gonorrhoea infections are now emerging (Unemo and Nicholas, 2012). A U.K. task force estimates up to 10 million deaths...
The rise of antibiotic resistant bacteria is challenging clinicians, and some infections are now resistant to almost all of the drugs that are currently available. Some types of bacteria—such as mycobacteria, which include the bacteria that cause tuberculosis and leprosy—can only acquire antibiotic resistance from mutations that alter their existing genes. The process by which bacteria develop resistance to multiple drugs is generally viewed as a stepwise accumulation of different mutations. However, the role of individual mutations that increase a bacterium’s resistance to multiple antibiotics has not been fully explored.

Gomez, Kaufmann-Malaga et al. exposed bacteria from the species Mycobacterium smegmatis, a cousin of the bacterium that causes tuberculosis, to a mixture of relatively low concentrations of different antibiotics that should kill the bacteria relatively slowly. Hundreds of small bacteria cultures were grown in parallel, and only a fraction of them developed antibiotic-resistant members. Gomez, Kaufmann-Malaga et al. identified mutations in these bacteria that unexpectedly gave the bacteria resistance to several unrelated classes of antibiotics.

Individual mutants carried single mutations in different components of the ribosome, a complex molecular machine that helps to build proteins inside cells. As well as increasing their resistance to antibiotics, these mutations also reduced the growth rate of the bacteria. This meant that when the bacteria were grown in an antibiotic-free environment they survived less well than non-mutant bacteria. However, the mutations gave the bacteria an advantage in environments that contained many different antibiotics, as they could more easily develop mutations that made them more resistant to other drugs. Thus, the mutant bacteria can serve as stepping-stones toward the development of high-level resistance to multiple drugs.

Further work will now explore whether this phenomenon occurs in a range of other bacterial species, including the bacteria that cause tuberculosis. While new antibiotics are desperately needed, a better understanding of how bacteria evolve the ability to resist the effects of antibiotics will help us to preserve the usefulness of existing and future drugs.
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and the enhanced fitness of even low-level resistant mutants can contribute to the development of high-level resistance (Baquero et al., 1998).

The use of antibiotics in combination can also limit the emergence of resistance (Mouton, 1999) by requiring a bacterium to acquire multiple mutations simultaneously in order to survive in a multidrug environment (Lipsitch and Levin, 1997; Fischbach, 2011). However, multi-antibiotic therapy can be undermined by issues including poor compliance, low quality antibiotics, and inadequate susceptibility data (Ormerod, 2005). Combinations of antibiotics with different pharmacokinetic properties, along with pharmacogenomic differences between individuals, can also result in periods where one or more of the antibiotics is present at subinhibitory concentrations, wherein a single mutation could allow for an enrichment of a monoresistant strain, which would in turn increase the likelihood of the emergence of a strain carrying multiple, independent resistance-conferring mutations (Mitchison, 1998; Ramachandran and Swaminathan, 2012). Also, in many infections, highly dynamic bacterial populations can reach high numbers (>10^10 organisms) and thus the likelihood of a bacterial lineage becoming MDR during the course of a single human infection may be more common than had been previously perceived (Pasipanodya and Gumbo, 2011; Colijn et al., 2011).

Antibiotic stress itself can also impact rates of mutation. Increased mutational frequencies can be a direct result of antibiotic action, as seen with the DNA damaging effects of fluoroquinolones (Cirz et al., 2005; Cirz and Romesberg, 2006), or due to downstream effects of antibiotics, which have been suggested to involve free radical production resulting in damage to the bacterial chromosome (Kohanski et al., 2010; Foti et al., 2012; Kohanski et al., 2007; Dwyer et al., 2014). While DNA damage may contribute to the bactericidal activity of antibiotics, it may also promote resistance-conferring mutations. Recently, several investigators have shown mutation rates can be elevated even by exposure to subinhibitory levels of antibiotic (Monecke et al., 2011; Kohanski et al., 2010; Blázquez et al., 2012; Andersson and Hughes, 2012).

To gain insight into the molecular processes that promote antibiotic resistance, we investigated the relationships between antibiotic concentration, bactericidal activity, and the emergence of resistant mutants in a model species in which resistance arises nearly exclusively through mutation, Mycobacterium smegmatis. We found novel ribosomal mutations that confer resistance to antibiotics of several different classes that do not target the ribosome, as well as to non-antibiotic stressors. Despite the fact that these mutations have an associated fitness cost in the absence of antibiotic stress and confer relatively modest levels of resistance, they can facilitate evolution to high levels of antibiotic resistance while escaping the fitness cost associated with these mutations through a subsequent reversion. Such transient mutations can thus serve as stepping-stones that facilitate evolution from antibiotic sensitivity to multidrug resistance.

Results

Selection for low level ciprofloxacin resistance identifies ribosomal mutants

We developed a microtiter-based liquid culture system that enables the isolation of individual antibiotic-resistant mutants while simultaneously monitoring the growth and kill kinetics of the bulk, susceptible population (Figure 1A). We uniformly seeded 384-well plates with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing M. smegmatis (10 bacilli per well), allowed the bacterial populations in each well to expand in parallel for several generations in the manner of Luria and Delbrück (Luria and Delbrück, 1943), and then exposed them to antibiotics. At the start of antibiotic exposure, the per-well population was sufficiently small so that fluorescent signal in each well was below the threshold of detection, which is approximately 10^5 cells/well (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Over time, fluorescent signal appeared in a fraction of wells due to the outgrowth of an antibiotic-resistant mutant. At regular intervals during antibiotic exposure, eight wells were sacrificed to assess bactericidal viability using a most probable number (MPN) assay in order to measure the kinetics of bacterial killing in response to antibiotic treatment (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). This sampling showed an initial expansion of the bacterial population to approximately 300 cells per well by 12 hr, followed by a rapid decline during the next 36 hr.

The relatively slow rate of killing by fluoroquinolone (FQ) antibiotics at levels just above the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) allows for a prolonged survival time under antibiotic stress,
Figure 1. Selection of mutants at low ciprofloxacin concentration in 384 well plates. (A) Schematic of the selection strategy. Starting at $t = -16$ hr, 10 $M. smegmatis$ cells are introduced into wells of three 384 well microtiter plates containing 15 $\mu$L of growth medium and grown overnight at $37^{\circ}$C. At $t = 0$, 15 $\mu$L of medium containing CIP are added to yield a final CIP concentration of 0.3 $\mu$g/ml. Plates were sealed with foil and placed at $37^{\circ}$C. At $t = 0$ and at roughly daily intervals afterwards, plates 1 and 2 were read in a fluorimeter (485 ex., 538 em., 530 cutoff). Plate three was used to monitor bacterial growth. Figure 1 continued on next page.
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survival; at regular intervals, the foil seal was cut and peeled to expose a subset of 8 wells that were harvested using a robotic pipettor and serially diluted (10-fold). Growth of serial dilutions was measured after one week and the bacterial population at the time of harvest was then calculated using most-probable number method. Frequency of resistant mutants is calculated by the equation: \( 1 - (\frac{\text{fluorescent wells}}{\text{total wells}}) \). (B) Emergence of mutants in 0.3 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) CIP. Outgrowth was detected by fluorescence (y-axis). Each line represents a single well followed over time (x-axis). (C) CIP sensitivity of wild-type \( \text{M. smegmatis} \) (black) compared with \( \text{lfrR} \) mutants (red) and non-\( \text{lfrR} \), non-\( \text{gyrA} \) mutants (blue). Each line represents a unique mutant and illustrates outgrowth as measured by fluorescence (y-axis) as a function of CIP concentration (x-axis). Data are the average of duplicate wells.

Figure 1—figure supplement 2. Ribosomal mutants can be isolated from a true wild-type \( \text{M. smegmatis} \) strain (mc\(^{2}6\)), demonstrating that the fluoroquinolone resistance of ribosomal mutants is not linked to the highly transformable mutant phenotype of mc\(^{2}155\).

Figure supplement 1. Survival of overall bacterial population during selection and determination of limit of detection for outgrowth of a well.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20420.004

The following figure supplements are available for figure 1:
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Table 1

| Mutation Type | Frequency of Resistant Mutants |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
| wild-type     | 0.3 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) CIP |
| \( \text{lfrR} \) | 9.7 \( \times \) 10\(^{-5}\) |

providing an enhanced opportunity for the acquisition of mutations. When we exposed \( \text{M. smegmatis} \) to the FQ ciprofloxacin (CIP) at 0.3 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) (1.2X MIC), we observed the emergence of fluorescent signal in 19 of 768 wells, monitoring fluorescence at daily intervals (Figure 1B). This fluorescence was due to the outgrowth of CIP resistant \( \text{M. smegmatis} \); we have confirmed that, in this system, the lack of signal within a well is due to the CIP-dependent killing of CIP-sensitive bacteria and not to plasmid loss in the mc\(^{2}155\) strain, which has been shown to have altered plasmid maintenance (Panas et al., 2014) (Figure 1—figure supplement 2). This yields a mutation frequency of 9.7 \( \times \) 10\(^{-5}\) based on the peak population of approximately 10\(^5\) bacteria per 384 well plate seen at 12 hr after introduction of CIP (Figure 1—figure supplement 1B), prior to the onset of killing. We measured resistance levels of clones cultured from each of the 19 mutants (as IC50, see Materials and methods), and found IC50 increases ranging from a 2.2 to 44-fold relative to wild-type (Figure 1C).

The target of FQs is the A subunit of DNA gyrase, encoded by \( \text{gyrA} \). Mutations here occur at a frequency of approximately 10\(^{-7}\) and cause a 12–20 fold increase in MIC to CIP (Zhou et al., 2000). We thus PCR amplified and sequenced \( \text{gyrA} \) from each of the 19 isolated mutants, but none had mutations at this locus. We then performed whole genome sequencing (WGS, Table 1) of each mutant, which revealed resistance-conferring mutations in the \( \text{lfrR} \) gene in four mutants (21%). The \( \text{lfrR} \) gene encodes a transcriptional repressor of the LfrA efflux pump (Buron et al., 2006). LfrA contributes to the intrinsic resistance of \( \text{M. smegmatis} \) to FQs (Takiff et al., 1996; Sander et al., 2000), and de-repression of \( \text{lfrR} \) was shown to increase the MIC to CIP 16-fold (Drusano, 2004). The isolated \( \text{lfrR} \) mutants were significantly more resistant to CIP (mean 34-fold increase in IC50 over wild-type, Figure 1C), than the other 15 mutants (mean 7-fold increase, p=0.0003). The \( \text{lfrR} \) mutants also arose more rapidly, with 3/4 reaching detectable fluorescence levels within 3 days. In contrast, the 15 non-\( \text{gyrA} \), non-\( \text{lfrR} \) mutants arose more slowly, taking up to 7 days to reach detectable fluorescence (Figure 1B).

When we examined the genome sequence of the 15 non-\( \text{gyrA} \), non-\( \text{lfrR} \) mutants, 14/15 had mutations within genes associated with the ribosome. Ten contained small insertions or deletions in operons encoding ribosomal proteins (Figure 2A, Table 1), including seven with frameshift mutations in proteins composing the large ribosomal subunit: 5 in \( \text{rplO} \) (L15), 1 in \( \text{rplF} \) (L6), and 1 in \( \text{rplY} \) (L25). One mutant had an intergenic deletion between \( \text{rplW} \) and \( \text{rplB} \) (L23/L2), and two had frameshifts in \( \text{rpsE} \), encoding the S5 protein of the small subunit. Four of the five remaining mutants had SNPs in \( \text{rrfB} \) (23S rRNA), one of two ribosomal RNA operons in \( \text{M. smegmatis} \) (Sander et al., 1996). We were unable to identify any causal mutations in the final mutant using WGS. We confirmed that these ribosomal mutations were directly responsible for FQ-resistant phenotype by engineering selected mutations (\( \text{rpoO} \)-1 and \( \text{rpsE} \)-1; see Table 1) into the chromosome of \( \text{M. smegmatis} \) using allelic exchange (Figure 2B) and measuring the corresponding MICs to CIP. Complementation by a plasmid expressing a wild-type \( \text{rplO} \) allele restored CIP sensitivity to the \( \text{rplO} \)-1 mutant (Figure 2—figure supplement 1).
Ribosomal mutants are resistant to multiple antibiotics and other stresses

We tested sensitivity to nine additional antimicrobials, hypothesizing that mutations in the translational machinery might have broad effects on innate antibiotic sensitivity given their unexpected impact on FQ sensitivity. Intriguingly, ribosomal mutants showed cross-resistance to antibiotics of unrelated classes to which they had never been exposed (Figure 2C), as well as collateral sensitivity to meropenem. The most pronounced shifts were to the cell-wall biosynthesis inhibitor isoniazid (INH), with an average 40-fold increase in IC50 over wild-type (range 6.5 to 158-fold). In contrast, lfrR mutants showed little cross-resistance to INH, consistent with previous characterizations of this efflux system. Cross-resistances were not due to acquisition of additional mutations during the MIC assay, because the assay duration does not allow single cells, i.e. de novo mutants, to reach detectable levels of fluorescence. The rplO-1 and rpsE-1 allelic exchange mutants also displayed these phenotypes (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). We also evaluated the ability of ribosomal mutants to tolerate non-antibiotic stresses. Ribosomal mutants were better able to tolerate membrane stress due to SDS exposure (Figure 2D) and high temperature (54°C, Figure 2E) compared to wild-type bacteria. Altogether, these data demonstrate that perturbations to the ribosome can have broad ranging consequences on bacterial survival under duress, leading us to investigate the mechanisms underlying these phenotypes.

The late emergence of ribosomal mutants during CIP selection (Figure 1A) suggested that they might have growth defects. We thus first measured doubling times of the ribosomal mutants both in the presence and absence of CIP (Figure 3A). In contrast to the 2.4 hr doubling time calculated for the parental wild-type strain or lfrR mutants, ribosomal mutants had doubling times ranging from 4–6.5 hr. The slow growth of mutants generated via allelic exchange confirmed that the ribosomal lesions directly reduce growth rates (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). Because conditions that limit bacterial growth have been show to reduce the bactericidal activity of antibiotics (Tuomanen et al., 1986), we examined whether the observed shifts in antibiotic sensitivity could be

Table 1. Genotypes of mutants from primary screen. Polymorphisms relative to M. smegmatis mc²155. Coordinates based on position in open reading frame except for B-P7, where genome position is used.

| Mutant | Allele | Gene(s) Mutated | Consequence |
|--------|--------|----------------|-------------|
| A-A13  | rplO-1 | rplO 82T (L15) | L15 frameshift @ AA 28 of 147 |
| A-A15  | rplO-2 | rplO 77A (L15) | L15 frameshift @ AA 26 of 147 |
|        |        | MSMEG_3872 398 | Precorin-8X methylmutase frameshift AA 133 of 208 |
| A-G16  | rllB-2 | rllB G2296T   | non-coding, 23S rRNA |
| A-G20  | rllB-4/lfrR-3 | rllB C904A lfrR T452C | non-coding, 23S rRNA |
|        |        | LfrR F151S    | LfrR R11S |
| A-H2   | lfrR-1 | lfrR C31A     | LfrR R11S |
| A-i6   | lfrR-4 | lfrR 63+C     | LfrR frameshift @ AA 22 of 189 |
| A-K20  | rpsE-1 | rpsE 599-606ΔAGAGTGAA | S6 frameshift @ AA 200 OF 214 |
| A-K24  | rplO-3 | rplO 74-77ΔGTGA | L15 frameshift @ AA 26 of 147 |
| A-L8   | rllB-1 | rllB G2199T   | non-coding, 23S rRNA |
| A-M4   | rplO-2 | rplO 77ΔA     | L15 frameshift @ AA 26 of 147 |
| A-M17  | rllF-1 | rllF 481-482ΔAA | L6 frameshift @ AA 161 of 179 |
| B-A9   | lfrR-2 | lfrR 98A      | LfrR A33E |
| B-I24  | rplO-3 | rplO 74-77ΔGTGA | L15 frameshift @ AA 26 of 147 |
| B-O3   | rllY-1 | rllY 45ΔG     | L25 frameshift @ AA 16 of 215 |
| B-O11  | rpsE-2 | rpsE 530+GC   | S6 frameshift @ AA 210 OF 214 |
| B-O18  | rllB-3 | rllB G2891C   | non-coding, 23S rRNA |
| B-P7   | rplW/B-1 | 1539202AGCAGAGA* | intergenic |
| B-P17  | rllB-3 | rllB G2891C   | non-coding, 23S rRNA |

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20420.006
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The late emergence of ribosomal mutants during CIP selection (Figure 1A) suggested that they might have growth defects. We thus first measured doubling times of the ribosomal mutants both in the presence and absence of CIP (Figure 3A). In contrast to the 2.4 hr doubling time calculated for the parental wild-type strain or lfrR mutants, ribosomal mutants had doubling times ranging from 4–6.5 hr. The slow growth of mutants generated via allelic exchange confirmed that the ribosomal lesions directly reduce growth rates (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). Because conditions that limit bacterial growth have been show to reduce the bactericidal activity of antibiotics (Tuomanen et al., 1986), we examined whether the observed shifts in antibiotic sensitivity could be
a simple consequence of slowed bacterial growth. To directly test whether slow growth itself was causing the MIC shifts, we manipulated the growth rate of wild-type *M. smegmatis* by altering the temperature or restricting the carbon source in the growth medium (Figure 3B, Figure 3—figure supplement 1B). Slower growth rates alone did not recapitulate the IC50 shifts seen in the
Figure 3. Resistance is not a simple consequence of slowed growth or general inhibition of translation. (A) Doubling times in antibiotic-free medium (x-axis) or 0.3 μg/ml CIP (y-axis), each dot represents an individual mutant. (B) Shifts in antibiotic sensitivity upon altering growth rate of wild type bacteria by temperature or carbon availability (dextrose/glucose-free medium). Error bars = 95% confidence intervals. See Figure 3—figure supplement 1C for growth rates. (C) Checkerboard assay description: 384-well plates contain increasing concentrations of protein synthesis inhibitors along the x-axis and y-axis.
Figure 3 continued

...a second test antibiotic on the y-axis. Growth of M. smegmatis mc²155 (pU3583cGFP) is measured by fluorescence. If the antibiotics are non-interacting then growth would follow the additive isobole in green (top box, see Supplementary Materials and methods). Antagonistic interactions extend growth beyond the isobole (bottom box). (D) Interaction of protein synthesis inhibitors chloramphenicol (CHL), erythromycin (ERY), gentamycin (GEN), puromycin (PUR) and streptomycin (SM) with CIP. (E) Same as (D) using INH. Tetracycline is unique in demonstrating antagonistic interactions with CIP and INH, phenocopying the ribosomal mutations. (Yonath, 2005). If resistance were a general consequence of impaired translation, all would display an antagonistic relationship with CIP and INH. We performed 2D checkerboard experiments (Figure 3C), diluting a protein synthesis inhibitor horizontally and a test antibiotic (CIP or INH) vertically across 384-well plates, with the pattern of outgrowth indicating how the two antibiotics interact (DePristo et al., 2007; Yeh et al., 2009). Mo...
Figure 4. Alterations of the proteome and transcriptome implicate katG and the whiB7 regulon in the altered antibiotic sensitivity of the ribosomal mutants; restoration of wild-type KatG levels restores INH sensitivity. (A) Hierarchical clustering of transcriptional alterations in representative ribosomal mutants, with lfrR-1 mutant included for comparison. For clustering, any genes whose expression is altered at least twofold and has an adjusted p value of <0.05 in any mutant is included. Source data are available as a Supplement. (B) Correlation between changes in RNA levels (x-axis) and protein levels (y-axis) in the rplO-1 mutant relative to wild type, plotted as log2 of fold change. Changes in both RNA and protein abundance are the averages of two replicate experiments. MSMEG_6384 (KatG) is highlighted in red and RplO (L15) is highlighted in green. Genes upregulated in MSMEG_6129 mutant (Bowman and Ghosh, 2014) (DOI: 10.1111/mmi.12448, supplementary file mmi12448-sup-0002-ts2.xls) are highlighted in yellow. See (C) Alterations in abundance of ribosomal proteins in the rplO-1 mutant relative to is parent strain as detected by iTRAQ (blue), and the corresponding changes in transcript abundance as determined by RNAseq (red). Student’s T-test used for comparing datasets. (D) Comparison of ribosomal preparations separated on 10–40% sucrose density gradient, revealing impaired ribosome assembly in the rplO-1 mutant (green line). (E) Western blot of KatG protein levels in rplO-1 (A–A13), rplO-1 allelic exchange mutant AX1G.1, and rplO-1 AX1G.1 expressing KatG from an AHT-inducible plasmid at various AHT concentrations, shown above a plot of INH MIC under those conditions. As the expression of KatG is restored to wild-type levels, INH susceptibility is also restored. (F) Deletion of whiB7 restores wild-type sensitivity to CIP and ETH but not INH or MER in an rplO-1 background. MICs (IC50) were calculated in PRISM using the ECAnything function to fit outgrowth across a 2-fold dilution series of each drug, and bars show mean ± SD of 2–4 biological replicates.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20420.011
The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 4:
Source data 1. Input for Figure 4A: DESeq2 output for all genes with padj <0.05 and twofold change in expression in any strain analyzed.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20420.012
Figure 4 continued on next page
subunit proteins (Figure 4C) that was not reflected in the transcriptomic data, suggesting that the ribosomal mutations might have an effect on the stability or assembly of the large subunit, either decreasing its rate of translation or increasing its rate of degradation. To investigate whether ribosome assembly was perturbed across the various ribosomal mutants, we purified ribosomes from exponentially growing cultures of rplO-1, rplF-1, rpsE-1, rplY-1, and rrlB1 mutants to evaluate the relative abundance of 30S, 50S and 70S subunits using sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation. Indeed, the profiles of the mutants indicate a marked increase in the individual 30S and 50S units and a corresponding decrease in fully assembled 70S subunits relative to the parent (Figure 4D, Figure 4—figure supplement 3).

To examine whether the ribosomal mutation and its impact on the ribosome could be inducing mistranslation as a cause of the multidrug resistance phenotype, we turned to the proteomic data obtained for the rplO-1 mutant compared to its parent. We found 7574 unique peptides with masses corresponding to mistranslated peptides (i.e. the mass corresponds to a known peptide with a single AA change). If mistranslation was occurring in the rplO-1 mutant, these mistranslated peptides should be more relatively abundant in the rplO-1 mutant, which should be reflected in the rplO-1/parent ratio for these peptides. However, the mean and median ratios seen in the mistranslated peptides are no different than the ratios seen across the larger set of ‘normal’ peptide; thus, mistranslated, antibiotic-insensitive targets are unlikely to contribute to resistance. In summary, the ribosomal mutations, while impairing 70S assembly, do not appear to lead to mistranslation; nevertheless, they cause an overall stress to the cell that results in a major reprogramming of the transcriptome and proteome of the bacteria, that ultimately lead to a multi-drug resistant phenotype.

**Genome-Scale studies suggest an overall transcriptional reprogramming contributing to multi-drug resistance**

As the ribosomal mutations resulted in significant transcriptional reprogramming of the bacteria, we sought to look for individual clues that might account for the multi-drug resistance phenotype of the mutants. For example, the genome-scale data provided an immediate hypothesis to the nature of the observed INH resistance seen in the ribosomal mutants. Among the genes and gene products underexpressed in all strains by RNAseq and also in the iTRAQ dataset was MSMEG_6384, encoding the catalase KatG, which is required for converting the prodrug INH to its active form Zhang et al. (1992). Relative to the parent strain. levels of katG transcript were reduced 7.1-fold in the rplO-1 mutant (adjusted p-value=1.0×10^{-54}) and in all of the other ribosomal mutants (average of 3.9-fold across all of the mutants, minimum 2.5-fold, adjusted p-value=1.1×10^{-13}), while iTRAQ showed a 4.2-fold reduction at the protein level in the rplO-1 mutant. The changes katG expression were confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 4—figure supplement 1) and Western blot analysis (Figure 4E). We hypothesized that among the dramatic overall transcriptome and resulting proteomic changes, the specific reduced KatG levels in the mutants could account for INH resistance. To test this, we introduced a plasmid (pikatG) carrying an anhydrotetracycline (AHT) inducible copy of katG into an rplO-1 background. We then titrated the concentration of AHT to restore wild-type levels of KatG, measured by Western blot. Indeed, INH sensitivity was restored in the mutant background solely by restoring KatG levels back to wild-type levels, (Figure 4E), suggesting that INH resistance is due to the perturbations in KatG levels caused by the ribosomal mutations on the transcriptome and subsequently, on the proteome.

Similarly, we noted that among the global transcriptomic and proteomic changes occurring in the mutants, the induction of WhiB7, a transcription factor conserved throughout the actinomycetes that
positively regulates genes that contribute to innate antibiotic resistance, was among one of the strongest signals. As measured by iTRAQ, WhiB7 was one of the most highly induced proteins (6.0-fold, adjusted p-value=2.5×10^{-5}) and by RNAseq, whiB7 mRNA was up 7.4-fold in rplO-1 relative to the parent strain (p adj.=4.4×10^{-10}) and in all of the other ribosomal mutants (average of 3.2-fold; minimum 1.7-fold, adjusted p-value=2.1×10^{-6}). In M. smegmatis, whiB7 has been shown to be highly induced after exposure to specific classes of protein synthesis inhibitors, particularly tetracyclines and macrolides (Burian et al., 2012). We also observed high induction in the mutants of many genes known to be part of the whiB7 regulon, including several transporters that may contribute to antibiotic resistance by export (MSMEG_5187, a known antiporter of tetracycline (De Rossi et al., 1998), and MSMEG_5102). In addition to whiB7, there was strong correlation between the genes induced in our studies and genes previously shown to be upregulated in a strain lacking MSMEG_6129, a putative anti-sigma factor that is an indirect negative regulator of whiB7 (59) (Figure 4C, highlighted). The changes in whiB7 and katG expression were confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 4—figure supplement 1).

In order to investigate the functional contribution of the overexpression of whiB7 to the observed antibiotic resistances, we first deleted the whiB7 gene in both a wild-type and an rplO-1 background. In the rplO-1 background, deletion of whiB7 restored sensitivity to a CIP, ethionamide (ETH) and cycloserine (CYC) (Figure 4C), indicating a critical role for WhiB7 in the broad-spectrum resistance observed in the rplO-1 mutant. Deletion of whiB7 however, did not fully restore INH sensitivity to rplO-1, nor did it alleviate the increased sensitivity to meropenem. We then constitutively expressed WhiB7 at a high-level from a plasmid in a wild-type background and found that it alone did not confer resistance to CIP, INH, ETH, or MER (Figure 4—figure supplement 2). Thus, WhiB7 induction does play a role in the multi-resistance phenotypes; however, it alone is insufficient to account for the entire resistance profiles of the ribosomal mutants. It must act in concert with other pathways to exert its effect on CIP, ETH, MER and INH resistance, with the example of INH resistance resulting via additional alterations in katG expression.

Ribosomal mutations promote the evolution of high level resistance

We next turned to understand whether ribosomal mutations can contribute to the evolution of high-level multidrug resistance. We first investigated if ribosomal mutants can emerge directly from a multidrug environment. We performed selections in CIP and INH administered in combination (Figure 5A). Mutants arose during double selection at a 3600-fold higher frequency (5.5 × 10^{-7}) than the product of their frequencies in CIP (1.5 × 10^{-5}) and INH (1.0 × 10^{-5}) alone, suggesting that the mutants that arose in the presence of both CIP and INH are not the product of two completely uncoupled mechanisms. When we PCR amplified and sequenced a fragment including the rplO-gyrA-1 loci in these mutants, sec-ondary mutations in both of these genes were identified (Figure 5B). In support of this, we found that upon selection at 3.5 μg/ml CIP, a bactericidal concentration for both wild-type cells and the ribosomal mutants (Figure 5B), strains with mutations in rplO or rplF yielded 10–100 fold more high-level CIP resistant mutants per input cell than wild-type cells (Figure 5C). When we sequenced the gyrA and lfrR loci in these mutants, secondary mutations in both of these genes were identified (Table 2, strains rplO-lfrR-1 through six and rplO-gyrA-1).

While the ribosomal mutations result in a fitness cost in antibiotic-free conditions (Figure 3A), acquisition of target- or efflux-associated mutations renders the ribosomal mutations dispensable. Subsequent acquisition of compensatory changes could restore fitness, thereby relegating the ribosomal mutations to a role as an evolutionary ‘bridge’ across periods of exposure to antibiotic or other stress. To test for this possibility, we first sought to determine if compensatory changes that restore fitness could occur in the absence of antibiotic selection. We serially passaged three parallel cultures of the rplO-1 mutant in antibiotic-free medium. By passage 12, cultures demonstrated wild-type growth rates (Figure 5D) as well as wild-type sensitivities to CIP and INH (Figure 5E). Evolved strains in all three cultures had acquired an additional insertion or deletion at the rplO locus (Figure 5F, Table 2), restoring the wild-type reading frame but leaving short deletions or coding
changes in the region between the two sequential mutations. We then demonstrated that reversion to wild-type growth rates can also occur readily in a rplO gyrA double mutant (rplO-1 gyrA-1, Table 2) during continued passage at 3.5 μg/ml CIP (Figure 5G–H, Table 2); INH resistance is lost as a consequence of a compensatory one base insertion that restores the original reading frame but alters one codon in rplO. Thus, these secondarily acquired mutations within rplO that restore the
reading frame provide a facile, straightforward mechanism for restoring bacterial fitness after a higher level resistance lesion, i.e., gyrA mutation, has been acquired.

Finally, one well in our initial multi-well selection experiments illustrates how rapidly the transition from low to high-level resistance can occur. Fluorescence was detected in well A-G20 after 5 days of CIP exposure, a timing consistent with the slow emergence of ribosomal mutants in this assay. The culture expanded from A-G20 was also resistant to INH, NOV, ETH and CYC, consistent with a ribosomal mutation. However, after further expansion for genomic DNA preparation, WGS revealed SNPs in both lfrR (T452C) and rrlB (C904A). Additionally, MIC assays showed high level resistance to CIP, consistent with the presence of an lfrR efflux mutation. To better understand how these two SNPs came to coexist in the A-G20-derived culture, we colony-purified 23 clones from the frozen stock originally expanded from well A-G20 and used PCR to characterize the rrlB and lfrR loci (Table 3). While the majority (19/23 clones) had only the rrlB C904A mutation, three had both the rrlB C904A and lfrR T452C mutation. Surprisingly, the final clone had the rrlB C904A mutation paired with a different lfrR allele, 63(+C). Thus, the rrlB C904A mutation likely arose first, enabling slow replication in the presence of CIP and accounting for the delayed emergence of well A-G20 on day 5. Continued selective pressure during the remainder of the multiwell assay and during subsequent expansion in 0.3 μg/ml CIP enabled the eventual emergence of lfrR mutants from the rrlB C904A background. These second mutations, providing high level CIP resistance, would increase fitness in the presence of CIP; as seen in Figure 3A, the growth rate of rrlB mutants is slower in the presence of 0.3 μg/ml CIP than in antibiotic-free medium. Thus, a single cycle of selection and expansion was sufficient to demonstrate the evolutionary value of ribosomal mutations to the development of high level resistance.

**Discussion**

With increasing antibiotic resistance, there is increased urgency to understand how on the molecular and cellular level, bacteria evolve to acquire resistance. Despite strategies such as combination therapy, resistance is nevertheless arising in complex, multidrug environments. In this work we have identified a novel class of mutations in ribosomal subunits and rRNA that surprisingly confers resistance to several mechanistically diverse antibiotics. These alterations in the ribosome do not result in mistranslation, yet cause misassembly of the ribosome, leading to a dramatic shift in the transcriptional program of the bacterium by that imparts resistance to multiple antibiotics with different mechanisms of action. Within the large-scale changes are numerous, discrete changes in individual genes that result in resistance to specific antibiotics. For example, changes in katG expression confer INH resistance while induction of whiB7 plays a role in altering susceptibility to CIP, ethionamide, and cycloserine. Single mutations in the ribosome allow a bacterium, when simultaneously exposed

| Name        | Parent strain | Phenotype          | Second mutation | Consequence               |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| rplO-lfrR-1 | rplO-1 (A-A13)| CIP MIC > 3.5 μg/ml| lfrR 427+C      | LfrR frameshift           |
| rplO-lfrR-2 | rplO-1 (A-A13)| CIP MIC > 3.5 μg/ml| lfrR 440+C      | LfrR frameshift           |
| rplO-lfrR-3 | rplO-1 (A-A13)| CIP MIC > 3.5 μg/ml| lfrR 128G       | LfrR V43G                 |
| rplO-lfrR-4 | rplO-1 (A-A13)| CIP MIC > 3.5 μg/ml| lfrR 172+ACC    | LfrR S8+H                 |
| rplO-lfrR-5 | rplO-1 (A-A13)| CIP MIC > 3.5 μg/ml| lfrR 440+C      | LfrR frameshift           |
| rplO-lfrR-6 | rplO-1 (A-A13)| CIP MIC > 3.5 μg/ml| lfrR 118+G      | LfrR frameshift           |
| rplO-gyrA-1 | rplO-1 (A-A13)| CIP MIC > 3.5 μg/ml| gyrA G265C      | GyrA G89A                 |
| rplO-1r1    | rplO-1 (A-A13)| wt growth rate     | rplO 89+G       | L16 SK28-29RR             |
| rplO-1r2    | rplO-1 (A-A13)| wt growth rate     | rplO 61-96Δ*    | L16 ΔRVGRGEGRRGKT         |
| rplO-1r3    | rplO-1 (A-A13)| wt growth rate     | rplO 80+G       | L16 S28P                  |
| rplO-gyrA-1r6 | rplO-gyrA-1| wt growth rate     | rplO 89+G       | L16 SK28-29RR             |

*=CGCGTCGTCGTCGTGGAGGGCCAGGGCAAGACC.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20420.017
to lethal concentrations of multiple antibiotics (CIP and INH), to survive, grow, and ultimately acquire additional mutations that confer high-level resistance. These mutations are thus beneficial in multi-drug environments.

Even the low to moderate levels of resistance in the ribosomal mutants are sufficient to confer a survival advantage over wild-type cells in the presence of antibiotic(s). Whether the mutations allow them to survive in general or simply to prolong their time to death, this extended survival provides the ribosomal mutants an increased opportunity, relative to wild-type bacteria, to acquire a second mutation to become high-level resistant. Thus the presence of a small population of ribosomal mutants could contribute to the survival of the larger population, especially in antibiotic concentrations fluctuating near or above the MIC (as might be seen during treatment of a bacterial infection), and facilitate fixation of the second high-level resistance conferring mutation in the population.

These mutations in the ribosome do carry a fitness cost in the absence of antibiotic. However, the nature of these particular mutations are remarkable because locus-specific reversions can easily occur to restore fitness upon passage in conditions where these mutations no longer confer an advantage. Such conditions include an antibiotic-free environment or the continued presence of an antibiotic but after the acquisition of a second, higher-level resistance-conferring mutation that renders the ribosomal mutation dispensable. The nature of the identified lesions (frameshifts in protein coding genes and substitutions in \textit{rrlB}) and their respective reversions (restoration of the original reading frame) illustrate the straightforward means for regaining fitness via additional insertions/deletions or via gene conversion. This is particularly facile in the case of the 23S rRNA mutations.

| Colony       | \textit{rrlB} genotype | \textit{IfrR} genotype |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| A-G20-1      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-2      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-3      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-4      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-5      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-6      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-7      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-8      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-9      | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-10     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-11     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-12     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-13     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-14     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{IfrR T452C}    |
| A-G20-15     | \textit{ND}            | \textit{ND}            |
| A-G20-16     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-17     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-18     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{IfrR T452C}    |
| A-G20-19     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-20     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-21     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-22     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{IfrR 63+C}     |
| A-G20-23     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{wt}            |
| A-G20-24     | \textit{rrlB C904A}    | \textit{IfrR T452C}    |

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20420.018
because like many bacteria, *M. smegmatis* has multiple rRNA operons (two). Resistance-conferring *rrlB* mutations were acquired only in one copy of the rRNA, thereby facilitating, RecA-mediated gene conversion from the other, wild-type copy (*Prammananan et al., 1999*). Similar to the way reversions contribute to the evolution of cefotaxime resistant β-lactamases by removing mutations that alone increase fitness but are deleterious in combination with certain other fitness-enhancing mutations (*DePristo et al., 2007*), the ability to revert in this manner greatly expands the number of possible trajectories to resistance. Thus, these particular mutations make accessible an efficient evolutionary path to multidrug resistance via a temporary state of impaired fitness that is ultimately reversed to restore wild-type fitness.

Prokaryotic ribosomes are complex molecular machines in which we now show that alterations can confer resistance to a range of mechanistically diverse antibiotics. The two ribosomal subunits together contain over 50 proteins, the functions of which have not yet been fully elucidated. The majority of these proteins are expected to be essential, although recent studies suggest in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* that as many as 22 may be conditionally dispensable (*Shoji et al., 2011; Akanuma et al., 2012*). Among these non-essential proteins are two identified in this study that can play a role in multidrug resistance, namely L15 (*RplO*) and L25 (*RplY*). The other ribosomal proteins identified in our study, L6 (*RplF*) and S5 (*RpsE*), are essential in these organisms. Transposon-based studies of gene essentiality in the related mycobacterium *M. tuberculosis* (*Sassetti et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2012*) show that *rplF* and *rplE* are also essential in *M. tuberculosis*, while *rplY* was non-essential and the essentiality of *rplO* was indeterminate. The mutations we found in *rplF* and *rpsE* occur near the 3’ end of the open reading frames, leaving the majority of the corresponding proteins, L6 and S5 unaltered.

Our drug interaction experiments using a range of protein synthesis inhibitors potentially link these mutations with the action of tetracycline. Although tetracycline binds to the ribosome at several locations (*Day, 1966*), its primary binding site is located near the A site, where it interacts with 16S RNA in the 30S subunit (*Brodersen et al., 2000*). At this site, tetracycline inhibits protein synthesis by interfering with tRNA entry (*Wurmbach and Nierhaus, 1983; Maxwell, 1967*). Thus, the ribosomal mutations we have identified may have subtle effects in translation initiation that are similar to the effects of low concentrations of tetracycline. These are then sensed by the cell, leading to a large shift in the overall transcriptome, including the specific induction of whiB7 and other specific transcriptional alterations that affect antibiotic sensitivity to other antibiotics. As a corollary, this work raises the question of whether tetracyclines may more generally interact with antibiotics negatively to impact sensitivity.

While traditional culture conditions in the laboratory select for strains with ribosomal properties that maximize growth rates, the varied environments encountered by microbes in nature may select for other ribosomal properties; the slower growth rates of natural isolates of *E. coli* were shown to be well-correlated with the kinetic properties of their ribosomes, and extended axenic culture of these isolates led to the evolution of culture-adapted strains with growth rates similar to those seen in laboratory strains (*Mikkola and Kurland, 1991*). The existence of natural bacterial isolates with submaximal ribosome kinetics implies that under certain conditions, suboptimal or altered ribosome function may in fact be beneficial. By linking alterations in ribosome assembly with broad transcriptional reprogramming that enhances survival in fluctuating environments, *M. smegmatis* and other actinomycetes may benefit from ribosomal variants, even at the cost of decreased maximal growth rate. Via genetically accessible paths to reversion, these populations can serve as stepping-stones to higher levels of drug resistance without any irreversible cost in fitness. Because environmental or pathogenic bacteria face extended or fluctuating periods of stress, the generation of minority populations that are resistant to a broad range of antibiotic and other stresses could serve as an evolutionary bridge to the eventual emergence of fit, antibiotic resistant strains.

Materials and methods

**Strains and media**

*Mycobacterium smegmatis* mc²155 was grown at 37°C in Middlebrook 7H9 medium (M7H9) supplemented with ADS (Albumin-Dextrose-Saline: Bovine Serum Albumin (Fraction V) 5 g/liter, dextrose 2 g/l, sodium chloride 0.85 g/l final concentration) and Tween 80 (0.05%). LB agar was employed for
growth on solid medium. To generate a fluorescent strain, mc²155 was transformed via electroporation with pUV3583cGFP, a derivative of pUV15tetORm (Ehrt et al., 2005) in which the tetracycline regulated promoter \( P_{\text{myc1}} \) was replaced by a 525 bp fragment of \( M. \) tuberculosis gDNA carrying the promoter for the highly-expressed \( \text{carD} \) gene. \( \text{Mycobacterium smegmatis} \) mc²155 was obtained from Keith Derbyshire at the University of Albany.

### Selection of resistant mutants

Bacteria were seeded at a density of 10 organisms/well into 15 \( \mu \text{l} \) of M7H9 in clear-bottom plates using a FACS Aria II SORP flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) and allowed to expand overnight prior to addition of ciprofloxacin at a final concentration of 0.3 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) (1.2X the measured MIC). Plates were sealed with foil and incubated at 37\( ^\circ \text{C} \) without shaking. Growth of resistant mutants was assessed by measuring fluorescence accumulation in each well (bottom read, excitation 485 nm, emission 538 nm, cutoff 530) on a roughly daily basis. Plates were monitored for a total of 12 days. On day 12, fluorescent wells were harvested and expanded to 3 \( \text{ml} \) in the continued presence of 0.3 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \text{ CIP} \). An aliquot was frozen at \( -80^\circ \text{C} \) and the remaining contents were again expanded to 25 \( \text{ml} \) in 0.3 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \text{ CIP} \) for the purpose of gDNA preparation.

### Bacterial viability measurements

The viability of cells during initial selection was measured using a most probable number (MPN) assay (Cochran, 1950). Cells were aliquotted into 4–8 replicate wells in a Costar 96-well black clear bottom plates and then serially diluted ten fold. Plates were grown for approximately 10 days to allow wells in which only single cells were transferred to become turbid. Plates were then read in M5 SpectraMax plate reader (excitation 485, emission 538, cutoff 530) and MPN subsequently calculated. The 95% confidence interval of the MPN assay is a function of the pattern of observed outgrowth. For heat and SDS stress experiments, viability was measures by serial dilution in 4-fold steps in growth medium followed by counting of colonies after spotting 5 \( \mu \text{l} \) using a dissecting microscope.

### Antibiotic sensitivities

To ascertain antibiotic sensitivity 1.41-fold (\( \sqrt{2} \)) dilutions of antimicrobials were prepared and seeded with cells at optical densities of 0.02, then grown for 2–3 days. Cell numbers were measured with GFP when available or optical density otherwise. The concentration inhibiting outgrowth to 50% of the no antibiotic control, or the \( IC_{50} \), was determined by fitting a Hill function to the outgrowth curve of the following form:

\[
y_M = b + \frac{(m - b)}{1 + (x_M/IC_{50})^n}
\]

where three additional parameters are fit: the maximum outgrowth \( m \), the baseline outgrowth \( b \), and the Hill coefficient \( n \). Fit parameters are constrained such that \( m > b > 0, n > 0, \) and \( IC_{50} > 0 \). Fitting was performed on log-transformed data, and then transformed back to a linear axis, preventing least-squares fitting from being dominated by expression values near the maximum.

### Sequencing of mutants

Genomic DNA was extracted using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)-lysozyme protocol described (van Soolingen et al., 1991). For determination of \( \text{gyrA} \) and \( \text{lfrR} \) sequences, these loci were amplified using the primers \( \text{lfrR F}, \text{lfrR R, gyrA QRDR F1 and gyrA QRDR R1} \) and sequenced at the MIT Biopolymers Laboratory. Whole-genome sequencing of an initial set of 8 strains, including A-M17, A-L8, A-A13, A-H2, B-03, B-I24, B-A9 and B-P7, was performed at Texas A and M University using an Illumina GenomeAnalyzer IIx, as described in Ioerger et al. (2010). Fragment libraries were constructed using a genomic DNA sample preparation kit from Illumina, Inc. Sequencing data was collected in paired-end mode (from both ends of each fragment) with a read length of 36 bp. Reads were aligned to the genome of \( M. \) smegmatis mc²155 as a reference sequence (Genbank accession number NC_008596.1). Insertions and deletions were determined using a local contig-building algorithm, and then single-nucleotide polymorphisms were identified from a re-alignment of the reads. The depth of coverage ranged between 23x to 42x (mean number of reads covering each site in the...
Genome). Strains A-A15, A-A24, A-G16, A-G20, A-K20, A-K24, A-L8, A-M4, B-O11, B-O18, B-P7, B-P17 were subsequently sequenced at the Broad Institute, also using Illumina materials for library preparation materials and Illumina instrumentation for data generation.

Confirmation of ribosomal lesions by PCR and sequencing was done using the following primers (see Supplementary file 1 for sequences): rplO: rplO F1 and rplO R1; rplF: rplF F1 and rplF R1; rplY: ctc F1 and ctc R1; rpsE: rpsE1 and rpsER1, rplW/B: rplW/B seqF1 and rplW/B seqR1. Because M. smegmatis has two ribosomal RNA operons, rrnA and rrnB, whole genome sequencing data reflected the presence of both wild-type and mutant vector, but was insufficient to determine which of the two operons contained the mutated rrl. To address this, a conserved primer within the operon, rrl-F1, was paired with either of two unique primers from the region downstream of the operon to amplify the rrlB gene in allele-specific manner (rrlA-R1 or rrlB-R1.) The primers rrl-F2, rrl-F3, rrl-F4, rrl-F5, rnlb_s1, rnlb_s2, rnlb_s3 were used to sequence these products.

Generation of allelic exchange mutants
For ribosomal mutants, chromosomal regions from isolate A-A13 (rplO-1) and A-K20 (rpsE-1) were amplified using primers MS_rplO_AX F, MS_rplO_AX R, Ms_rpsE_AX F, and Ms_rpsE_AX R. PCR products were digested with PacI and AscI and cloned into pJG1100, a suicide vector carrying aph (kanamycin resistance), hyg (hygromycin resistance), and sacB (sucrose sensitivity). For whiB7 null mutants, flanking regions were amplified with primers Ms_whiB7KO_USr2_Pac1, Ms_whiB7KO_USf2_Asc1, Ms_whiB7KO_DSf1_Not1, Ms_whiB7KO_DSr1_Pme1 and cloned into pJG1100 in two steps after PacI-AscI and PmeI DraI digestion to yield a vector in which whiB7 is replaced by a hygromycin resistance cassette. After sequence confirmation, plasmids were electroporated into M. smegmatis mc2155, and integrants (single recombinants) were selected on LB containing 50 µg/ml hygromycin B and 12.5 µg/ml kanamycin. Integrants were picked into 7H9 medium and grown overnight prior to plating on LB containing 2.5% sucrose. Colonies were picked into 96 well plates and grown until visibly turbid in 7H9 prior to spotting on LB containing 50 ng/ml hygromycin B (for ribosomal mutants) or kanamycin (for whiB7 null mutant). Hygromycin sensitive colonies were screened for the presence of the mutant allele by PCR amplification of the relevant ribosomal gene. Complementation of the rplO-1 allelic exchange mutant was carried out by transforming that strain with pUV15tet-rplO, a pUV15tetORm derivative in which rplO expression is controlled via a tetracycline-regulated promoter. The M. smegmatis rplO open reading frame was PCR-amplified using the primers MS_rplO_5'_wt and MS_rplO_orf_3' and this fragment was digested with PacI and EcoRV and cloned into similarly-cut pUV15tetORm. Transformants were selected on hygromycin and production of functional L15 protein was induced using 50 ng/ml anhydrotetracycline (AHT).

Measurement of replication rates
We measured the growth rates of our ribosomal mutants in microtiter plates, the environment in which the mutations were generated, using a serial dilution method. Aliquots of each strain were diluted in a two-fold series across a 384-well plate and the accumulation of fluorescence in each well was assessed automatically approximately every hour. We then determined the time at which each dilution crossed a threshold, roughly 3-fold above the auto-fluorescence background. The delay in crossing this threshold between adjacent twofold dilutions reflects the doubling time in this format. To confirm that allelic exchange mutants showed similar impairments in growth to the original mutants, growth rates were assessed by periodic sampling of exponentially growing 30 ml cultures.

Analysis of ribosome populations
100 ml of culture at OD600 = 0.4–0.6 was treated with 50 µg/ml of chloramphenicol (CAM) to freeze ribosome activity. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in buffer TM (20 mM Tris pH7.5, 15 mM MgCl2, 1 mg/ml PMSF, 50 µg/ml CAM). Cells were lysed by bead beating. 20 µl of 10% deoxycholate was added to lysates, which were clarified at 15,000 rpm for 15 min. 5 mg of cleared lysate (500 µl of 10 mg/ml cleared lysate) was layered onto a 10–40% sucrose gradient in Buffer E (10 mM Tris, 10 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NH4Cl, 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 50 µg/ml CAM). The sucrose gradient was spun in a Beckman ultracentrifuge at 35,000 rpm (150,000xg) for 2.5 hr at 4°C. The gradient was fractionated using a gradient fractionator (BioComp Instruments, Inc., NB, Canada).
Checkerboard experiments
The ability of small-molecule protein synthesis inhibitors to phenocopy the effects of ribosomal mutations was assayed in 384 well microtiter plates, using M. smegmatis (pUV3583cGFP), with fluorescence as a readout. Along the short axis of two plates (31 steps, with no antibiotic in the final row of plate 2) a readout antibiotic (or SDS) was diluted such that each successive wall was 0.8X as concentrated as the next. Likewise, along the long axis (23 steps, with no antibiotic in column 24), a protein synthesis inhibitor was similarly diluted. Readout antibiotics included CIP, INH, MER, and streptomycin (SM), and modulator antibiotics including tetracycline (TET), erythromycin (ERY), SM, puromycin (PUR) and gentamycin (GM).

A common metric used to define drug-drug interactions is Loewe additivity, a concept that stems from the notion that a given drug should neither synergise nor antagonize when placed in combination with itself. While intuitive, competing notions of additivity, such as Bliss independence, do not follow this intuitive idea because the response of bacteria to drugs can be highly nonlinear.

We define the additive curve between two drugs, DrugX and DrugY, as a linear function of the form:

\[ c_{DrugY} = m \cdot c_{DrugX} + b \]

where \( m \) is the slope, \( b \) is the curve’s intercept with the y-axis, and \( [c_{DrugX}] \) and \( [c_{DrugY}] \) are concentrations of the two drugs. We constrain this curve by demanding that when DrugX is absent (i.e., \( [c_{DrugX}] = 0 \)) the concentration of DrugY is equal to its IC50 concentration (\( IC50_{DrugY} \)), and vice versa. Using these as boundary conditions we solve for the intercept, \( b \):

\[ b = IC50_{DrugY} \]

and the slope, \( m \):

\[ m = -\frac{IC50_{DrugY}}{IC50_{DrugX}} \]

so that finally

\[ [c_{DrugY}] = -\frac{IC50_{DrugY}}{IC50_{DrugX}} \cdot [c_{DrugX}] + IC50_{DrugY} \]

In the limiting case where DrugX and DrugY are the same chemical compound (so a checkerboard experiment is performed with the same drug diluted in both axes) then \( IC50_{DrugX} = IC50_{DrugY} \). The equation above then reduces to the simple form:

\[ [c_{DrugY}] + [c_{DrugX}] = IC50_{DrugY} \]

which satisfies Loewe’s criterion where a single drug has no synergistic or antagonistic effect on itself. In our figures the additive curve appears as an arc, not a straight line, because the both axes are displayed in a log scale.

Transcriptional analyses
For total RNA preparation for RNA seq, 5 ml replicate cultures of M. smegmatis were grown to mid-log phase and pelleted at 3500xg and frozen at −80C. After thawing, 0.4 ml of zirconia-silica beads were added and cells were lysed by bead-beating. Bead-beaten tubes were centrifuged at 12,000xg for 15 min. 0.4 mL of aqueous phase was added to equal volume of 100% EtOH, vortexed, and loaded onto Zymo DirectZol columns and RNA was purified according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Illumina cDNA libraries were generated using a modified version of the RNAtag-seq protocol as described (Shishkin et al., 2015). Briefly, 500 ng of total RNA was fragmented, depleted of genomic DNA, and dephosphorylated prior to its ligation to DNA adapter carrying 5’-AN8-3’ barcodes with a 5’ phosphate and a 3’ blocking group. Barcoded RNAs were pooled and depleted of rRNA using the RiboZero rRNA depletion kit (Epicentre). These pools of barcoded RNAs were converted to Illumina cDNA libraries in three main steps: (i) reverse transcription of the RNA using a primer designed to the constant region of the barcoded adaptor; (ii) addition of a second adataper on the 3’ end of the cDNA during reverse transcription using
SmartScribe RT (Clontech) as described (Trombetta et al., 2014); (iii) PCR amplification using primers that target the constant regions of the 3’ and 5’ ligated adaptors and contain the full sequence of the Illumina sequencing adaptors. cDNA libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2500. For the analysis of RNAtag-Seq data, reads from each sample in the pool were identified based on their associated barcode using custom scripts, and up to one mismatch in the barcode was allowed with the caveat that it did not enable assignment to more than one barcode. Barcode sequences were removed from reads, and the reads from each sample were aligned to genes in their cognate strain using BWA (Li and Durbin, 2010). Differential expression analysis was conducted with raw reads counts per gene using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014).

**Proteomic analyses**

Duplicate 30 ml cultures of mc²155 and rplO-1 were harvested in mid-log phase by pelleting at 4000xg and washed twice in cold PBS + 0.05% Tween 80, followed by two additional washes in cold PBS without Tween 80. Pellets were resuspended in 750 µl PBS and beaten for 3 × 1 min with zirconia–silica beads to lyse the cells. Lysates were centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C at 16,000xg to separate soluble proteins from beads and cell debris/lysed cells. These supernatants were removed and transferred to new 1.5 ml tubes. The silica pellets were rinsed in an additional 150 µl of PBS, vortexed 15 s. The supernatants and rinsed beads were centrifuged an additional 20 min at 16,000xg (a small pellet was seen in the supernatant), and then approx. 700 µl of cleared supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube. The supernatants from the rinsed beads were transferred to new tubes and centrifuged an additional 20 min at 16,000xg. Again a small pellet was seen in the supernatant. 200 µl was removed and added to the original cleared lysate. To 900 µl of samples, 100 µl of cold 100% TCA was added and the samples were left at 4°C overnight. TCA-precipitated material was pelleted 16,000xg (20 min, 4°C). The supernatant was removed by pipetting. Ice cold acetone (1 ml) was added and the tube was swirled. The sample was again centrifuged at 16,000xg (10 min 4°C), acetone was removed by pipetting, and pellet was dried 10 min. Pellets were resuspended in 6M urea/50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Protein content of each sample was measured using Pierce BCA protein assay. 20 mM DTT was added 500 µg of protein and samples were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Iodoacetamide was added at a final concentration of 50 mM and samples were incubated for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. Prior to trypsin digestion, urea concentration was diluted to less than 1M by adding water and pH adjusted to 8 with 1M Tris solution. 10 µg sequencing grade trypsin (Cat. No. V5280, Promega, Madison, WI) was added (1:50 enzyme to substrate ratio) and samples were incubated at 37°C with shaking for 16 hr. The reaction was stopped by addition of formic acid (FA) to a final concentration of 1% and the solution was desalted with a one cc (30 mg) Oasis HLB reverse phase cartridge (Cat. No. WAT054955, Waters, Milford, USA) conditioned with 3 × 500 µL acetonitrile (ACN), followed by 4 × 500 µL 0.1% FA. Samples were loaded onto the cartridges and washed with 3 × 500 µL 0.1% FA. Desalted peptides were eluted by 2 applications of 500 µL of 80% ACN/0.1% FA. Eluates were frozen, dried via vacuum centrifugation and stored at −80°C prior to peptide fractionation. For each sample the desalted peptides were labeled with iTRAQ 4-plex reagents according to the manufacturer’s instructions (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA).The differentially labeled peptides were mixed to form a single pooled sample and subsequently desalted on 500 mg SepPak columns. The pooled sample was then fractionated by reverse phase chromatography into 21 fractions and then analyzed by liquid chromatography - tandem mass spectrometry. Briefly, each of the 21 fractions were resuspended in 8 µL of 3% ACN/0.5% FA before analysis using a Q Exactive mass spectrometer coupled to an EASY-nLC 1000 UHPLC (Thermo Scientific). A PicoFrit column (New Objective, Woburn, MA), with an inner diameter of 75 µm and packed with 20 cm of ReproSil-Pur C18 1.9 µm particles, was directly interfaced to the Q Exactive instrument equipped with a custom nano-electrospray ionization source. Two µg of the peptide mixture from each of the 21 fractions were injected and separated by a 180 min gradient from 5–60% solvent B. MS/MS analysis settings for protein identification were as follows: one precursor MS scan at 70,000 resolution in profile mode was followed by data-dependent scans of the top 12 most abundant ions at low-resolution (17,500) in profile mode. Dynamic exclusion was enabled for a duration of 20 s. MS/MS spectra were collected with a normalized collision energy of 28 and an isolation width of 2.5 m/z. The extensive peptide fractionation coupled with in depth MS analysis allowed detection and identification of very low levels of peptides. All MS data were processed using Agilent Spectrum Mill MS Proteomics Workbench (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, USA) Rev B.04.00.120. To identity of
significantly regulated proteins we used the Limma package in the R environment to calculate moderated t-test \( P \) values corrected by the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

**Determination of KatG levels**

Cultures of mc\(^2\)155, ribosomal mutants, and ribosomal allelic-exchange mutants inducibly expressing MSMEG_6384 were grown in the absence of antibiotic to OD600 0.8 before being diluted to 0.25 and subjected to 6 hr induction with the indicated concentration of anhydrotetracycline. The cultures were collected by centrifugation then washed twice in a full volume of iced PBS + 0.05% Tween 80, resuspended in 400 \( \mu \)l extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 0.6% SDS, 1 mM PMSF), and bead beaten with 200 \( \mu \)l 0.1 mm Zirconia/Silica beads (BioSpec) for a total of 3 min with 1 min on ice between pulses. Protein extracts were quantified using a standard BCA Protein Assay (Pierce), and 12.8 \( \mu \)g of extract was loaded on 10% Mini-PROTEAN TGX precast gels (Bio-Rad) and run at 200 V; equal loading between samples was assessed on a gel run in parallel using Coomassie blue staining. Electrophoretic transfer of the protein bands to PVDF membrane (Immun-Blot PVDF, Bio-Rad) was accomplished at 100 V for 60 min on ice, followed by blocking in TBST with 5% powdered milk for 60 min at room temperature with agitation. All antibodies used were diluted into TBST containing 1% powdered milk, and all incubation steps were completed on a rocking platform. Membranes were incubated with primary antibody (1:5,000) overnight at 4\( ^\circ \)C, followed by 3 \times 10 min washing in TBST, and 3.5 hr incubation at room temperature with secondary antibody (1:10,000). Primary antibody was obtained through the NIH Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository, NIAID, NIH: Monoclonal Anti-
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