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A B S T R A C T

Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] is a legume whose immature pods are consumed and marketed. However, it suffers yield losses due to attacks of aphid-transmitted mosaic disease. The present work is a study of the genetic resistance of twelve (12) varieties of vegetable cowpea to Cowpea Aphid-Borne Mosaic Virus (CABMV) at Kamboinsé. The objective of the study was to contribute to the enhancement and improvement of yields through the development of vegetable cowpea varieties resistant to CABMV. The study was conducted in a screen house at Kamboinsé research station using a randomized complete block design with three (3) replications, all inoculated with CABMV. The study showed the existence of a large genetic variability within the varieties studied. The analysis of variance showed very highly significant differences (P=0.0001) between the twelve (12) vegetable cowpea varieties in terms of symptom severity. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the variables revealed the parameters that discriminate between varieties. High correlations levels were observed between several variables. The vegetable cowpea varieties IT85F-2089-5, IT85F-2082 and Telma which had low severity values (1 and 2), the area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) and a high weight of one hundred seed have been identified as the best varieties of vegetable cowpea. The variety IT85F-2089-5 have been identified as an elite variety because of a low severity (1), early flowering date (40 days), early green cowpea date (51 days), early maturity date (57 days) and a low value for the AUDPC (9). These varieties can thus contribute to the improvement of vegetable cowpea production by producers in Burkina Faso.
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Introduction

Cowpea, [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.], is a food and fodder legume with great genetic and agronomic diversity. It is cultivated in the world on an area of about 12 316 878 ha and its production is estimated at 6.99 million tons of dry seeds of which 96.04% are produced in Africa (FAOSTAT, 2016). In Burkina Faso, cowpea is the fourth most important crop in terms of production after sorghum (1,742,116 t), maize (1,583,421 t) and millet (1,056,931 t).
t) (FAOSTAT, 2016). In terms of diet, cowpea plays an important role in the nutritional balance of the diets of rural populations and animals in savannah areas and the Sahel (Tignegre, 2000). It contains 20-25% protein and 64% carbohydrates (Jackson, 2009; Modu et al., 2010). According to Kidigodi, (1985), thanks to the symbiotic association between its roots and the nodules carrying bacteria of the Rhizobium genus, atmospheric nitrogen fixers, it also contributes to soil improvement.

Despite the development and vulgarization of varieties adapted to Burkina Faso, the production efforts of cowpea are subject to abiotics and biotics constraints that reduce the yields considerably.

One of the major constraints is that of attacks by the aphid-transmitted mosaic virus or CABMV (Reeves, 1983). The yield losses due to GBMV can be up to 100% in sub-Saharan Africa if no protective measures are taken (Singh and Jackai, 1985).

The yield losses ranging from 7% to 60% in about ten varieties have been attributed to this virus in Burkina Faso (NEYA, 2002). The varietal resistance is an adequate method in the control of this viral disease (NUALSRI et al., 2000). However, much work on vegetable cowpea genotypes has not yet been undertaken in Burkina Faso. This is why the general objective of this study is to contribute to the improvement the yields of vegetable cowpea through the development resistant varieties of vegetable cowpea to Cowpea Aphid-Borne Mosaic Virus in Burkina Faso. Specifically, the aim is to (i) describe the reaction of the varieties of vegetable cowpea to mechanical inoculation of CABMV; (ii) determine the harvest date of "vegetable cowpea" and (iii) identify the sources of resistance to CABMV.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Material**

The plant material of the study consists of twelve (12) varieties of vegetable cowpea, including one (01) from Burkina Faso of cream colour with a smooth texture and eleven (11) from Nigeria with red and white coloured seeds with mostly smooth texture.

**Technical equipment**

The study required the use of pots; a hoe for sowing and weeding; a mortar and pestle for crushing the leaves for the inoculum; and sterilized sand used in the crushing; a watering can and a cup for watering the plants; an electronic scale of the ZOHAUS brand which was used for weighing the different weighed materials (infected leaves, grains); a "SPAD meter" which made to estimate the chlorophyll content of each plant.

**Experimental site**

The work was carried out in a greenhouse at the Formation and Agricultural, Environment Research Center (CREAF) in Kamboinsé. The center is located in the northern Sudanian sector of the Sudanian domain (Guinko, 1984; Thiombiano and Kampmann, 2010), alternating two unevenly distributed seasons. It is located at 12°28 North latitude and 1°32 West longitude and at an altitude of 296 m. The station's average rainfall varies from 700 mm to 900 mm per year, with a relative humidity between 60 and 70%. During the 2017/2018 crop year, the annual rainfall was 843.5 mm in 50 rainy days (Météo INERA, 2018). The soils are ferruginous tropical, leached, hydromorphic, low humus to pseudogley in association with lithosols on ferruginous armour (Zougmore et al., 2004).
Experimental device

The experimental device used was a randomized complete block design with three (3) repetitions. Each repetition consisted of 12 pots each containing one variety. Each pot corresponded to an elementary plot and contained a single plant.

Conduct of the trial

The test was carried out in a screen house of the cowpea breeding unit, sheltered from insects, particularly aphids. Before the test was set up, a mixture in the proportions of 2/3 soil and 1/3 sand was packed in bags and fired using a double-bottomed drum to eliminate pathogenic organisms.

The sterilization temperature was 100°C. The jars that had been used as a basic plot were then cleaned and sterilized with bleach for a period of 24 hours and then filled with the cooled soil and sand mixture. Each jar was labelled in the different repetitions.

Maintenance operations consisted of digging as needed to facilitate water infiltration to promote plant growth, application of fertilizers and insecticide treatments. Each pot received NPK fertilizer formula 14-23-14-6S-1B for 15 days after planting, at a rate of 2g per pot. The insecticide used was "PACHA 25 EC (15g lamdacyhalothrin + 10g acetamiprid)" a broad spectrum foliar systemic insecticide at a rate of 2 ml per litre of water. Treatments were made at the flower bud and pod-forming stages.

Inoculum preparation and screening of cowpea plants

To obtain the inoculum for screening varieties in the trial, a variety of cowpea susceptible to CABMV, local Gorom was sown and infested with CABMV twelve (12) days after sowing (DAS), corresponding to the two-leaf stage, in order to multiply the isolate for infestation.

The test was set up at the date of infestation of the local Gorom variety, so that the two-leaf stage of the test plants coincided with the appearance of symptoms on the susceptible variety.

At the time of screening (11 days after sowing), the young leaves of the susceptible cowpea variety (local Gorom) infected by CABMV were crushed using a laboratory mortar with sterilized sand at a w/v crushing ratio of 1g/10ml.

The grind was then homogenized in 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 and carborundum 600 mesh which is an abrasive product. Using the pestle dipped in the extract, the upper leaf surface of the young plants in the test to be infested was gently rubbed and then rinsed with distilled water to avoid over-inoculation (NEYA, 2011 and BARRO, 2016). The inoculated plants were kept under glass for symptom observation.

Data Collection

The data collection concerned all the elementary plots (pots). Some data were observed, others measured or calculated and concerned the 50 % flowering day; the SPAD; the "vegetable cowpea" date; the 95% maturity date; the number of pods per plant; the weight of pods; the weight of one hundred (100) seeds; the weight of seeds; the number of seeds per pod; the date of symptom emergence noted from the 6th to the 21st day after inoculation; the severity of symptoms noted on a six-class scale (0-5) at the 21st and 30th day after inoculation (NEYA, 2011; BARRO, 2016) and the area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) proposed by Shaner and Finnay (1977).
Statistical analysis of the data

The collected data were subjected to a one-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare the means of the variables at the 5% significance level (P < 0.05) and the results are presented in tabular form. The ANOVA was performed using SAS (Statistical Analysis System) Enterprise version 4.3 software.

The correlations between the quantitative variables were estimated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed using XLSTAT 2016 to show the association of the characteristics and an identification of the main discriminant variables within the varieties used.

Results and Discussion

Date of symptom onset and severity

The results of the analysis of variance for date of symptom emergence and severity are presented in Table 1. The symptoms appeared in all varieties between the 6th and 13th day after inoculation with an average of 7 days. The varieties IT85F-867-5, baguette, IT85F-2682, IT83S-911 and baguettegrimpant were the first to show the disease as early as day 6 after inoculation. The statistical analysis revealed a highly significant difference between varieties for this parameter (p=0.0008). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for disease symptom severity was highly significant at 30 DAI and significant at 21 DAI with a mean severity of 4 out of 5 at 30 DAI. The varieties IT85F-867-5, IT83S-872, IT85F-867-5, IT83S-911, niébé baguette grimpant showed severe symptoms (5) at 30 DAI, whereas the varieties IT85F-2089-5 (1), IT85F-2082, Telma (2) showed less symptoms. The different symptoms observed are presented in picture 1.

The values for the area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) are shown in Table 1. The values ranged from 9 to 45 for the different cowpea varieties evaluated, with a mean of 28.12. The analysis of variance of the values of the area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) revealed a highly significant difference (P=0.0001) between the different varieties with a coefficient of variation of 13.28. Disease progression was low in IT85F-2089-5(9) and Telma (13), whereas in the varieties baguettegrimpant, IT83S-872 and IT85F-867-5 with an area under disease progress curve of 42 and 40.5 respectively were the most infected.

Phenological parameters

Table 2 presents the results of the analysis of variance for the phenological parameters. Flowering at 50 % varied from 34 to 47 DAS with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 4.46%. The mean value of the number of days at 50% flowering was 47.72 days after sowing. The variety IT85F-2887 was the first to reach 50 % flowering at 36 DAS while the variety Telma reached 50 % flowering late at 46 DAS.

The number of days at 95% maturity ranged from 55 to 73 DAS. The average number of days at 95% maturity is 61 DAS. The varieties IT85F-2089-5 and baguette were earlier (58 DAS and 57 DAS) compared to the variety Komsaré which reached this stage at 68 DAS. The analysis of variance was highly significant (P=0.0001) between varieties for the 95% maturity characteristic.

The vegetable Cowpea Date ranged from 49 JAS (niébé Baguette, IT85F-2887, IT83S-911 and niébé baguette grimpant) to 57 DAS (Komsaré, IT85F-2682) with an average value of 52 DAS. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed a highly significant difference (p=0.0006<0.05) between varieties
with a coefficient of variation of 4.62 (Table 4).

**Performance components**

The results of the weight of one-hundred (100), seeds the weight seed per unit plot, number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod are presented in Table 3.

The weight of one hundred seed varied from 8.55 g to 22.7 g with an average of 13.41 g. For this parameter, the Coefficient of Variation (CV) was 13.25%. The variety Telma had the highest weight of one hundred seed (20.05g) and the IT83S-872 variety had the lowest weight (9.4g). The analysis of variance revealed a highly significant difference (P=0.0001) for the weight of one-hundred seeds parameter.

The weight of the seeds, with an average value of 10.36g, ranged from 4.5g to 14.5 g. The variety IT85F-2682 had the lowest weight of seeds at 4.5g and for the variety Telma, the highest weight of seeds at 14.5g, followed by Komsaré (14.3g), IT85F-2089-5 (12.7g), IT85F-867-5 (11.8g), IT85F-2082 (11.03g). For this parameter the statistical analysis showed a significant difference between the varieties used at the 5% threshold (P=0.023) with a coefficient of variation of 28.96%.

As for the variable number of pods per plant, the analysis of variance showed no significant difference between the different varieties used (P= 0.18>0.005).

**Study of correlations**

Table 4 shows the correlation matrix resulting from the analysis. The study of the correlations between the twelve (12) variables showed that some of them are significantly correlated, either positively or negatively. The analysis of the correlation matrix shows indeed positive and highly significant correlation coefficients between the severity at the 21st and the severity at the 30th day after inoculation (DAI) (r=0.73), between the variables 95% maturity and 50% flowering (r= 0.63), AUDPC and severity at the 30th DAI (r= 0.93). The highly and positive correlations were also revealed between the vegetable cowpea date and the 50% flowering date (r= 0.64), between the vegetable cowpea date and the 95% maturity date (r= 0.66), then between seeds weight and the number of seeds per pod (r= 0.52). The weight of one hundred seeds is negatively correlated with the severity at 21 DAI (r= -0.52), and AUDPC (r=-0.54).

**Character association**

The components provide an estimate of the percentage of variability observed. The results of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) carried out with the different parameters show the association of the characters and the variables that form the different axes (Table 5). Axis 1 and 2 explain 64.40% of the genetic variability of the varieties. The parameters contributing positively to the formation of axis 1 are severity at 21, 30 DAI and AUDPC and those contributing negatively are SPAD and weight of one-hundred seed, date of symptom emergence and seeds weight. This axis expresses 42.28% of the total genetic variability. Axis 2 expresses 21.56% of the variability. The variables 50% flowering, 95 % maturity, vegetable cowpea date and the number of seeds per pod contribute highly and positively to the formation of this axis.

Different types of symptoms developed a few weeks after inoculation of the varieties studied. The early emergence of symptoms from the sixth day after inoculation in some varieties such as niébé baguette, niébé
baguette grimpant, IT83S-911, IT85F-867-5 and Telma would suggest a greater susceptibility to CABMV in these varieties. Some susceptible varieties showed both green and yellow mosaic with leaf curling (IT83S-911), yellow mosaic with leaf distortion (IT85F-2682), followed by a reduction in plant size.

These symptoms are similar to those noted by (FISCHER and LOCKHART, 1976); NEYA (2002) and BARRO (2016) who screened local and improved varieties with the same virus. According to NEYA (2011), the molecular determinants of this symptomatological diversity are not yet known. The induction of symptoms of reduced severity reinforces the status of resistance to the virus (BARRO, 2016). This would partly explain the negative correlation between weight of one-hundred-seed and severity and area under disease progression curve.

The levels of infection varied significantly from one variety to another. The significant difference after analysis of variance for the symptom severity parameter would indicate the existence of high genetic variability in resistance to CABMV in the varieties. Severity classes 1 and 2 presented by varieties such as IT85F-2089-5, IT85F-2082 and Telma show that these varieties would be resistant to CABMV.

On the other hand, varieties such as Nièbé baguette grimpant and IT85F-867-5 with a severity rating of 5 would be the most susceptible. ORAWU et al., (2012) also obtained a severity class 5 for a local cowpea variety 'Ebelat', which is susceptible to CABMV.

**Table.1** Results of the analysis of variance of the date of symptom emergence, severity and area under disease progress curve for the twelve (12) vegetable cowpea varieties studied

| Variables                      | Minimum | Maximum | Overall average | P-Value (5%) | CV (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------|
| Date of symptom emergence     | 6       | 13      | 7               | 0.0008*      | 17.12  |
| Severity on Day 21 (DAI)      | 0       | 5       | 3               | 0.0001**     | 28.64  |
| Severity at Day 30 (DAI)      | 1       | 5       | 4               | 0.0001**     | 8.41   |
| AUDPC                         | 9       | 45      | 28,12           | 0.0001**     | 13.28  |

(*): Analysis of significant variance at the 5% threshold; (**): Analysis of highly significant variance at the 1% threshold; CV: Coefficient of variation; P-value: 5% probability value; AUDPC: Area Under Disease Progress Curve

**Table.2** Results of the analysis of variance of the dates 50% flowering, "vegetable cowpea" and 95% maturity of the studied varieties

| Variables                     | Minimum | Maximum | Overall average | P-Value (5%) | CV (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------|
| 50% flowering (JAS)           | 34      | 47      | 42              | 0.0001**     | 4.46   |
| Vegetable cowpea date (VDD)   | 48      | 59      | 52              | 0.0006*      | 4.62   |
| 95% maturity                  | 55      | 73      | 61              | 0.0001**     | 3.65   |

(*): Analysis of significant variance at the 5% threshold; (**): highly significant analysis of variance at the 1% threshold; CV: Coefficient of variation; P-value: 5% probability value
### Table 3 Results of analysis of variance of the number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, weight of one-hundred seeds, weight of seeds

| Variables                        | Minimum | Maximum | Overall average | P-Value (5%)   | CV (%) |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|----------------|--------|
| Number of pods/Plant             | 2       | 20      | 10              | 0.1866 ns      | 36.67  |
| Number of seeds/pod              | 4       | 15      | 10              | 0.0056*        | 20.91  |
| Seeds weight                     | 0.8     | 21.9    | 10.36           | 0.023*         | 28.96  |
| weight of one-hundred seeds      | 8.55    | 22.7    | 13.41           | 0.0001**       | 13.25  |

(***): Analysis of variance highly significant at 1%; (*): Analysis of variance significant at 5%; (ns): Analysis of variance not significant at 5%; CV: Coefficient of variation; P-value: Probability value at 5%

### Table 4 Correlation matrix between the different variables studied

| Variables          | DAS | SEV21 | SEV30 | Flo50 | SPAD | NGP | Mat95 | NGG | DNV | AUDPC | PGr | PCG |
|--------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| DAS                | 1   |       |       |       |      |     |       |     |     |       |     |     |
| SEV21              | -0.265 | 1     |       |       |      |     |       |     |     |       |     |     |
| SEV30              | -0.274 | 0.736** | 1     |       |      |     |       |     |     |       |     |     |
| Flo50              | -0.301 | -0.171 | 0.096 | 1     |      |     |       |     |     |       |     |     |
| SPAD               | 0.098 | -0.397 | -0.160 | 0.270 | 1     |     |       |     |     |       |     |     |
| NGP                | 0.151* | 0.018 | -0.014 | -0.290 | -0.124 | 1     |       |     |     |       |     |     |
| Mat95              | 0.046 | -0.208 | -0.191 | 0.629** | 0.362 | -0.251 | 1     |     |     |       |     |     |
| NGG                | -0.227 | -0.137 | 0.162 | 0.269 | -0.180 | -0.441* | -0.086 | 1     |     |       |     |     |
| DNV                | -0.009 | -0.299 | -0.216 | 0.635** | 0.211 | -0.146 | 0.650* | 0.015 | 1     |       |     |     |
| AUDPC              | -0.290 | 0.925** | 0.937** | -0.034 | -0.293 | 0.001 | -0.213 | 0.021 | -0.274 | 1     |     |     |
| PGr                | 0.109 | -0.325 | -0.256 | 0.129 | -0.007 | 0.519* | 0.079 | 0.191 | -0.011 | -0.310 | 1     |     |
| PCG                | 0.316 | -0.515* | -0.496* | 0.266 | 0.290 | -0.310 | 0.439* | 0.212 | 0.130 | -0.542* | 0.428* | 1     |

(***): Highly significant correlation (1%); (*): Significant correlation (5 %); SEV21: Severity in the 21st DAI; SEV30: Severity in the 30th DAI; FLO50: Date of 50 % flowering; MAT95: 95% maturity date; NGP: Number of pods per plant; NGG: Number of seeds per pod; DNV: Vegetable cowpea date; AUDPC: Area under disease progression curve; PGr: Grain weight; PCG: Weight of one hundred seeds; P-value: Probability value; DAS: Date of appearance of symptoms; SPAD: Plant chlorophyll content

### Table 5 Contributions of variables to the axes (%)

| Variables          | F1   | F2   |
|--------------------|------|------|
| DAS                | 2,386| 9,559|
| SEV21              | 19,008| 2,118|
| SEV30              | 15,344| 7,316|
| Flo50              | 3,867| 25,340|
| SPAD               | 5,852| 1,152|
| NGP                | 0,501| 15,664|
| Mat95              | 8,385| 12,668|
| NGG                | 0,094| 5,433|
| DNV                | 6,761| 11,508|
| ADCPM              | 19,633| 5,115|
| PGr                | 3,838| 4,104|
| PCG                | 14,331| 0,022|
The highly significant differences for all parameters 50 % flowering and date of vegetable cowpea would indicate the existence of varietal and production cycle diversity. Our results are close to those of PANDEY et al (2006) who found in their study varieties of vegetable cowpea that reached 50% flowering in the shortest period such as Prakash (37 days) and varieties such as IT 86F-2062-5 and IT 86D-798 that took a longer period (44 days) from sowing to flowering. Thus, varieties such as Niébé baguette, IT85F-2887 and IT85F-2089-5 which have flowering cycles of 36, 39 and 40 JAS respectively would be early. This earliness can be assessed by the time of flowering or pod ripening (COBBINAH et al., 2011). The earliness of cowpea varieties is an important agronomic characteristic that could contribute to coping with climate change phenomena. According to BARRO (2016), in cowpea the number of days between the date of flower appearance and pod ripening remains constant. Thus, any increase in this time in the varieties studied would be related to damage caused by CABMV, as the viral attack delays flower and pod development (TIGNEGRE, 2000; NEYA, 2002; BARRO et al., 2016). The highly significant difference would indicate that the varieties in the study have different cycles for the vegetable cowpea date parameter. The vegetable cowpea cycle of the varieties studied, which is between 49 and 59 days after sowing, is close to that of VURAL et al (2000) who indicated that the harvest period for cowpea pods was 5 to 9 weeks after sowing. Thus, varieties that reached the vegetable pod stage between 49 and 51 days after sowing (JAS) could be classified as early. The varieties that reached the vegetable pod stage between 58 and 60 days after sowing (JAS) would be the latest. The cycle difference in vegetable cowpea date may be due to variety trait, planting time and growing environment (PANDEY et al., 2006).

The highly significant difference for the 95% pod maturity date shows that the varieties tested did not reach their harvest date at the same time. However, all varieties reached their 95% maturity date in less than 70 days after sowing. These results confirm those of N'GBESSO et al., (2013), who consider that varieties with physiological pod ripening times of 67 to 70 days are early and varieties with 74 and 77 days have a slightly longer cycle. Thus, the varieties IT83S-872, IT85F-2805, IT85F-867-5, IT85F-2887, IT85F-2089-5, niébé baguette grimpant and niébé...
baguette with physiological pod ripening times of less than 60 days would be the earliest. The varieties IT85F-2682, IT83S-911, IT85F-2082, Telma and komsaré would be the intermediate varieties.

The highly significant analysis of variance for weight of one-hundred-seed would also reflect variability within the varieties studied. The reduction in weight of one-hundred-seed could be attributed in part to a decrease in photosynthesis following a reduction in leaf area and thus in chlorophyll production. According to SINGH (1985), infected plants used less space and nutrients than healthy plants. The best varieties are those which showed a low severity value and a high weight of one-hundred-seeds (BARRO et al., 2018).

The varieties with low values of AUDPC were the least infected by CABMV and these could be qualified as resistant varieties. Variety IT85F-2089-5 with a severity equal to 1 and an AUDPC value equal to 9 had a moderately high weight of one-hundred-seed of 13.85g while variety IT85F-872 with a severity equal to 5 and an AUDPC value equal to 40.5 had a weight of one-hundred-seed of 9.4g. Disease progression in a plant, as far as viral disease is concerned, occurs only when new leaves develop, since symptoms cannot be expressed in old leaves (Van Loon, 1983). According to ORAWU (2007), the evaluation of AUDPC is used to select the best varieties for their ability to slow disease progression. Thus, disease symptoms were more visible at day 30 than at day 21 after sowing. Umaharan et al., (1997a) indicated that assessments made within 3 weeks after inoculation do not allow determination of resistance to symptom development. NDIAYE et al., (2010) also used this endpoint to assess the effects of compost on the development of Macrophomina phaseolina smut rot in cowpeas and showed that smut was more severe during the dry season than during the wet season.

The strong positive and highly significant correlation (r= 0.9377) observed between AUDPC and severity at 30 DAI would show that as the severity increases, so does the area under disease progression curve. According to BARRO, (2016) the varieties with the lowest values of AUDPC and severity are resistant to CABMV. Induction of symptoms with reduced expression of severity in some varieties would therefore support their resistance status to the virus.

The highly significant and positive correlation observed between the 95% maturity date (r=0.62952) and 50% flowering, as well as between the green cowpea date (r=0.63584) and 50% flowering would mean that the parameters maturity and green cowpea date can be predicted from 50% flowering. The significant and negative correlation (r= -0.54218) between AUDPC and weight of one-hundred-seeds would mean that the magnitude of the disease would lead to a reduction in weight of one-hundred-seeds. The significant and negative correlation between weight of one-hundred-seeds and severity at 30 DAI would be explained by the fact that weight of one-hundred-seeds and severity at 30 DAI vary in opposite directions.

The results of the principal component analysis showed the degrees of association of traits to explain the genetic diversity within the green cowpea varieties tested. Projection of the varieties in the PCA 1-2 plots shows that the varieties are randomly distributed in the plot. Such dispersal of varieties in the PCA design indicates significant genetic variability. The parameters correlated to axes 1 and 2 are therefore the determining parameters that explain most of the genetic variability between the varieties studied. The
main discriminating parameters are: the date of symptom onset, severity at the 21st, 30th JHA and the area under disease progression curve, the dates 50 % flowering, "vegetable cowpea", 95% maturity, and the number of grains per pod.

In conclusion the present study showed the existence of genetic variability within varieties not only for agronomic variables but also for resistance parameters to CABMV. Thus, the date of symptom onset, symptom severity and AUDPC are highly dependent on the variety of vegetable cowpea. Levels of infection varied significantly from one variety to another. On the basis of the analyses of the different results for the parameters of resistance to CABMV (DAS, severity, AUDPC), the vegetable cowpea varieties IT85F-2089-5 and Telma showed low severity values and the varieties IT83S-872, IT85F-867-5, IT85F-2895, IT83S-911, niébé baguette grimpant were characterized by extreme susceptibility. As for the vegetable cowpea stage, it was reached early by the varieties niébé baguette grimpant, IT85F-867-5 and IT85F-2089-5. Highly positive, negative and highly significant correlations were noted between different parameters studied. The principal component analysis showed most of the genetic variability of the varieties studied.
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