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Abstract

Polymer-assisted wet transfer of chemical vapor deposited (CVD) graphene has achieved great success towards the true potential for large-scale electronic applications, while the lack of an efficient polymer removal method has been regarded as a crucial factor for realizing high carrier mobility in graphene devices. Hereby, we report an efficient and facile method to clean polymer residues on graphene surface by merely employing solvent mixture of isopropanol (IPA) and water (H$_2$O). Raman spectroscopy shows an intact crystal structure of graphene after treatment, and the x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy indicates a significant decrease in the C–O and C=O bond signals, which is mainly attributed to the removal of polymer residues and further confirmed by subsequent atomic force microscopy analysis. More importantly, our gated measurements demonstrate that the proposed approach has resulted in a 3-fold increase of the carrier mobility in CVD graphene with the electron mobility close to 10 000 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ S$^{-1}$, corresponding to an electron mean free path beyond 100 nm. This intrigues the promising application for this novel method in achieving ballistic transport for CVD graphene devices.
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Introduction

Graphene synthesized by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method exhibits remarkable potentials in large scale production of electronic nanodevices ranging from sensors [1–3], transistors [4–6] to transparent conductors [7, 8] and many more. During the essential handling procedure which transfers CVD graphene grown on metal catalyst substrate such as Cu, Ni, etc, to insulating substrate like SiO$_2$/Si substrate [9–11], a polymer-assisted film acting as the supporter is necessarily employed to prevent graphene from being damaged. The most commonly used polymers in this process are poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) [12–15], polycarbonates (PCs) [16, 17], and poly(dimethylsiloxane) [18, 19], which need to be removed by solvents after transferring. However, the complete removal of the residual polymers is still challenging [20, 21]. Moreover, in the compulsory lithographic route, where graphene is fabricated into various electronic devices, polymer impurities can be introduced onto graphene [22, 23], e.g. PMMA residuals from electron beam lithography (EBL) process. As it has been investigated in a large number of studies, the residual polymers play a
considerably negative role in influencing the graphene performance, because they not only introduce uncertainty into the measurements of intrinsic physical properties, but also dramatically degrade the electrical properties of graphene, leading to the carrier mobility of CVD graphene on SiO\textsubscript{2} substrate typically in the range between 1000 and 3000 cm\textsuperscript{2} V\textsuperscript{−1} S\textsuperscript{−1} [24]. Currently, the most frequently used method to alleviate such problem is annealing. For instance, studies have shown that annealing in a reductive atmosphere (e.g. H\textsubscript{2}/Ar) has a positive effect on the removal of polymer residues [25–27]. Likewise, a similar effect of the annealing has also been reported both in vacuum [28–31] and inert atmospheres [32, 33]. However, most high-temperature annealing (≥250 °C) methods will inevitably introduce disorders in graphene structure and thus deteriorate the electrical properties of graphene [34]. Mechanical methods such as using atomic force microscopy (AFM) tips to scrape the graphene surface have also been studied albeit it is extremely low efficient and difficult to be realized in a controlled way [35–37]. Solvent treatment is the most commonly employed method to clean polymer residues. Chemical bath treatment such as using acetone, acetic acid, chloroform, and formamide can effectively remove large amount of predominant polymer residues whereas leaving a small fraction of polymers acting as doping and scattering source, which can lead to the dramatic decrease of the charge carrier mobility. Isopropanol (IPA) and water (H\textsubscript{2}O) mixture has been proposed as an efficient developer for PMMA in EBL from the 1980s to realize high resolution fabrication of the semiconductor devices [38–40]. From there on, the effectiveness and high efficiency of this binary solvent mixture have been addressed by many researchers [41]. It is reported by Ocola [42] that both tightly bonded –OH group and non-hydrogen bonded –OH group in alcohol/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture play a key role in the dissolution of PMMA. However, systematic investigations on the cleaning effect of IPA/H\textsubscript{2}O on the removal of polymer residues on graphene surface have not yet been reported.

In this study, we propose a facile and effective method to achieve controlled removal of the PMMA residues on graphene by using IPA/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture. This mixture treatment is applied as a final cleaning step after other solvent treatment and annealing. To investigate the optimized parameters, graphene samples are treated with mixtures of different volume IPA/water ratios. The surface status of the graphene is characterized by Raman spectroscopy and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to exhibit the effectiveness of this method. The adhesion force mapping using AFM is used to further prove the altered physical properties of graphene after cleaning. Importantly, gated measurements are also carried out to demonstrate the significant improvement of charge carrier mobility after IPA/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture treatment.

Results and discussion

The controlled removal of polymer residues is achieved by immersing monolayer CVD graphene on SiO\textsubscript{2}/Si substrate into IPA/water mixture with different volume ratios as shown in figure 1(a). The volume IPA/water ratios are set to be 0% \((I_0)\), 25% \((I_{25})\), 50% \((I_{50})\), 75% \((I_{75})\) and 100% \((I_{100})\), respectively, to investigate the effect of volume ratio of IPA on the removal of PMMA residues. It is worth to be noted that the IPA/H\textsubscript{2}O treatment is the final process before any other treatments (details can be found in materials and characterization). For the sample which is treated with pure water \((I_0)\), it is observed in our work that the monolayer graphene is completely damaged due to the water intercalation between graphene and underneath SiO\textsubscript{2} substrate [43] as shown in figure S1 (available online at stacks.iop.org/NANO/33/495704/mmedia). Therefore, the sample \(I_0\) is excluded in the following discussions. As a control experiment, we also prepared an untreated sample as a reference sample \((I_{ref})\). From the Raman spectra in figure 1(b), it can be clearly seen that after different treatments, the intrinsic G peaks (1585 cm\textsuperscript{−1}) and D peaks (1350 cm\textsuperscript{−1}), corresponding to the in-plane vibration mode of phonons and disorder of crystal structure, respectively, remain nearly unchanged [44–46]. This implies that the crystal structure of monolayer graphene is undamaged after treatment. In addition, we have observed a red shift to 2.6 ± 0.8 cm\textsuperscript{−1} and the decrease of full width half maximum (FWHM) up of G peak to 4.3 ± 1.9 cm\textsuperscript{−1} for \(I_{100}\) treatment, which suggests the decreased doping level of graphene [43, 47, 48]. To quantify the disorder of graphene samples under different treatment conditions, the intensity ratios of the D peak and the G peak \((I_D/I_G)\) are plotted in figure S2. It can be seen that, after treatment, the variation of \(I_D/I_G\) is very small (less than 10%), indicating no extra defects introduced from the treatment. Our Raman characterization corresponds well to previous studies that the removal of polymer residues has rare effects on the Raman spectra of graphene [48, 49]. Moreover, we have noticed a downshift of G peak position to the maximum of 14.0 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, indicating the decreased P-type doping level of graphene [50]. This can also be regarded as evidence for the polymer residue removal by using IPA/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture treatment. Therefore, it can be concluded that the IPA/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture treatments have a negligible effect on the of graphene structure, which can maintain graphene intact.

To investigate the cleaning effect of the IPA/H\textsubscript{2}O treatment, XPS was performed on various graphene samples. Figure 2 shows a comparison of the XPS C 1s peaks before and after treatment. It can be seen from figure 2(a) that, in the untreated sample, there are unambiguously four main components from the C1s peak of the CVD graphene after spectrum deconvolution, i.e. sp\textsuperscript{2}, sp\textsuperscript{3}, C–O and C=O peaks. It is widely accepted that the C–O and C=O peaks located at 286.0 eV and 288.5 eV, respectively, are mainly ascribed to the polymer residues of PMMA during the transferring of CVD graphene [34]. Interestingly, a maximum decrease of C–O and C=O peaks is observed in \(I_{75}\) with the peak intensities of the polymer residues reducing from 35.1% of reference sample to 7.2% of \(I_{75}\) as shown in figure 2(b), where such ∼5-fold decrease indicates the efficient removal of PMMA residues after treatment. Figure 2(c) shows the comparison of the total percentage (C\textsubscript{O}) of the C–O and C=O peaks for different samples treated with various mixtures, from which the amount of PMMA residues on graphene surface can be quantified and compared. The result shows that, with the increase of the volume ratio of IPA, the oxygen concentration
CO has decreased to the minimum. We have found that the mixture with 75% of IPA and 25% of water is surprisingly effective in the removal of the PMMA residues, while the pure IPA has nearly no effect on the PMMA residues which also agrees with other study [51].

To further understand the polymer residue removal, we have performed surface analysis on graphene using AFM under the peakforce quantitative nanomechanical (PF-QNM) mode. Both height contrast map (figures 3(a), (d)) and adhesion contrast map (figures 3(b) and (e)) of the reference sample and mixture treated sample $I_{75}$ are acquired under PF-QNM mode with fixed set point of 200 nN. From the AFM comparisons of figures 3(a) and (d), it can be clearly seen that there is no significant difference in the height images of both samples which is in accordance with the surface roughness measurement shown in figure S3. However, the corresponding adhesion force has significantly decreased after treatment as shown in figures 3(b) and (e), respectively. To further quantify the adhesion between tip and surface, multiple ramping measurements have been performed on 20 random locations of the reference sample and $I_{75}$.

As for each ramping, the adhesion force between tip and substrate can be derived from the minimum force from the retracted curve as denoted by the dashed line in figures 3(c) and (f). We have shown that after IPA/H$_2$O treatment, the adhesion force has decreased from $27.3 \pm 2.1$ nN to $21 \pm 2.5$ nN. It has been reported before that the adhesion force between AFM tip and graphene sample can be enlarged by the thin layer of polymer residues on the surface [52]. Therefore, the decrease of the adhesion force after treatment provides clear evidence for the effective removal of PMMA residues on the graphene. Moreover, to further illustrate the removal of PMMA layer on graphene surface, the edge height measurement of graphene on SiO$_2$ has been performed. From figure S3, it can be clearly seen that the edge height has decreased from $1.32 \pm 0.22$ nm to $0.86 \pm 0.14$ nm after treatment with 75% vol. IPA/H$_2$O mixture, which can be attributed to the removal of PMMA. To further understand the thickness evolution of graphene after treatment, FWHM of 2D peak and intensity ratio $I_{2D}/I_G$, which are crucial indicators for the layer determination of graphene on substrate have also been plotted in figure S2. It can be seen that

Figure 1. (a) Schematic illustration of the IPA/H$_2$O treatment of graphene sample on SiO$_2$/Si substrate. (b) Raman spectra of graphene samples with different IPA/H$_2$O treatment. From spectra from bottom to top are untreated reference sample, sample treated with mixtures with 25%, 50%, 75%, 100% of IPA, respectively.

Figure 2. XPS spectra of (a) untreated sample and (b) sample $I_{75}$ treated with mixture of 75% IPA and 25% H$_2$O. (c) Concentrations of carbon oxygen bonds $C_0$ (the add-on concentration of C–O and C=O peaks) of different samples with different IPA/H$_2$O treatment.
the FWHM of graphene is $\sim 33$ cm$^{-1}$ before treatment and reduced to $\sim 30$ cm$^{-1}$ after treatment for $I_{75}$ sample. Moreover, the $I_{2D}/I_G$ shows a slight increase from 1.71 in $I_{\text{ref}}$ to 1.90 in $I_{75}$. Both results on FWHM of 2D peak and the intensity ratio $I_{2D}/I_G$ indicate an ideal monolayer graphene with reduced residues after cleaning using IPA/H$_2$O [48, 53].

It has been widely accepted that the adhesion of polymer residues on the surface is one of the key factors that undermines the ultrahigh charge carrier mobility of CVD graphene. In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of this cleaning method, we have performed gated measurements on samples with different mixture treatments. As shown in figure 4(a), typical back-gated graphene devices are fabricated by EBL with 2-probe metal contact. These devices are treated with different IPA/H$_2$O mixtures in accordance with the previous treatments on graphene samples. The thickness $t$ of SiO$_2$ as the dielectric layer is 300 nm and the underneath P-doped Si++ is used as a back gate. The drain voltage $V_{\text{ds}}$ is fixed to be 50 mV while the back gate voltage is varied from $-80$ to 80 V. Figure 4(b) shows the characteristic back-gated curves of graphene devices after different treatments. All the devices exhibit a p-doped transistor characteristic with the Dirac point located around $+30$ V, this can be mainly attributed to the doping effect from the intercalated water between graphene and substrate due to the ambient atmosphere. It is worth to be noticed that the shift of Dirac points of the graphene residues can be a crucial factor that improves the transport properties of graphene. Moreover, a statistical study on various devices using this treatment is shown in figure S4, the results suggest the high reproducibility and reliability of this facile method. Therefore, we can conclude that the charge carrier mobility can be significantly improved by the IPA/H$_2$O treatment as a result of PMMA removal.

Figure 3. Atomic force microscopy characterization of after IPA/H$_2$O treatment: (a) and (b) show the height contrast image and corresponding adhesion mapping of untreated graphene, while (d) and (e) show the height contrast image and corresponding adhesion mapping of graphene treated with 75% IPA and 25% H$_2$O. (c) And (f) illustrates the representative force displacement curves of reference sample and graphene treated with 75% IPA and 25% H$_2$O; the adhesion force is derived from the minimum of retracted curve indicated by the dashed line. (g) Adhesion force between tip and graphene samples with error bar; the measurements are carried out on 20 different locations.

Figure 4. (a) Illustration figure of graphene back-gate transistors on SiO$_2$/Si with metal contacts. (b) Comparison of gated measurements on graphene samples with drain voltage of 50 mV.
Another important parameter of graphene for many electronic applications is the mean free path (MFP) \( \lambda \). When \( \lambda \) is comparable to the channel length of electronic device, the samples after treatment with IPA/H\(_2\)O mixture is within \( \pm 5.0 \) V, indicating the PMMA residues have limited doping effects on graphene sample. To further investigate the cleaning effect of the method, the electron mobility \( \mu \) can be derived according to the simple parallel capacitor model [54]. We have found that with the increase of volume ratio of IPA, the mobility of graphene is increased from \( 3200 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) to \( 9650 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), which is a considerably high value in CVD graphene. We have noticed that the intrinsic CVD graphene used in this work has the mobility of 3200 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) S\(^{-1}\), which is comparable to the maximum mean free path in the normal graphene sample. The Cu foil with the thickness of 18 \( \mu \)m is heated to 1000 \(^\circ\)C in H\(_2\) atmosphere. With the introducing of 35 sccm of CH\(_4\) (g) and cool down to room temperature, the monolayer graphene is synthesized [58]. The monolayer graphene is then transferred onto a 300 nm thick SiO\(_2\) on Si++ substrate by PMMA-assisted method. The graphene devices are fabricated by using standard EBL in NanoBeam nB5 EBL system, oxygen plasma etching in Advanced Vacuum Vision 320 reactive ion etching system, metal deposition in Lesker PVD 75 system. The detailed process of graphene transferring and EBL process can be found in supplementary information. To remove polymer residues, graphene samples are immersed into different IPA/H\(_2\)O mixture with a series of IPA volume ratios (0\%, 25\%, 50\%, 75\%, and 100\%) for 5 min and followed by a N\(_2\) blow dry. All graphene samples are treated with 1h acetone cleaning and 200\(^\circ\)C vacuum annealing for 1 h prior to the IPA/H\(_2\)O treatment.

Characterizations

Raman and x-ray photoelectron spectra are acquired in Renishaw inVia Raman spectrometer with a 532 nm excitation laser and Physical Electronics Quantera II Scanning XPS Microprobe monochrome Al K\(\alpha\) radiation (1486.7 eV) with a 45\(^\circ\) angle of electron emission, respectively. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and light optical microscopy images are acquired in Zeiss Merlin SEM and Olympus AX70 microscope, respectively. The electrical measurements of the graphene devices are carried out using Agilent B1500 semiconductor parameter analyzer inside a Faraday cage with metal probes.

Materials and characterizations

Materials and device fabrications

Monolayer CVD graphene on 1 \( \times \) 1 cm\(^2\) copper foil is purchased from Graphenea. The Cu foil with the thickness of 0.035 \( \mu \)m is cold rolled down to 100 nm. Graphene on SiO\(_2\) substrate by PMMA-assisted method. The graphene devices are fabricated by using standard EBL in NanoBeam nB5 EBL system, oxygen plasma etching in Advanced Vacuum Vision 320 reactive ion etching system, metal deposition in Lesker PVD 75 system. The detailed process of graphene transferring and EBL process can be found in supplementary information. To remove polymer residues, graphene samples are immersed into different IPA/H\(_2\)O mixture with a series of IPA volume ratios (0\%, 25\%, 50\%, 75\%, and 100\%) for 5 min and followed by a N\(_2\) blow dry. All graphene samples are treated with 1h acetone cleaning and 200\(^\circ\)C vacuum annealing for 1 h prior to the IPA/H\(_2\)O treatment.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have reported a novel method to achieve controlled removal of polymer residues on CVD graphene. The C–O and C=O signal originated from PMMA residues can be significantly reduced from 35.1\% to 7.2\% after treatment with...
the mixture of 75% IPA and 25% H2O. The charge carrier mobility of graphene can be improved by factor of 3, corresponding to a maximum mean free path of 110 nm which shows great potential in realizing ballistic transport of CVD graphene in the air.
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