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The study of patterns in permutations associated with forests of binary shrubs was initiated by Bevan, Levin, Nuegent, Pantone, Pudwell, Riehl, and Tlachac. In this paper, we study five different types of rise statistics that can be associated with such permutations and find the generating functions for the distribution of such rise statistics.
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1 Introduction

In Bevan et al. (2016), the study of patterns in forests of binary shrubs was introduced. A $k$-ary heap $H$ is a $k$-ary tree labeled with $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that every child has a larger label than its parent. Given a $k$-ary heap $H$, we associate a permutation $\sigma_H$ with $H$ by recording the vertex labels as they are encountered in the breadth-first search of the tree. For example, in Figure 1, we picture a 3-ary heap $H$ whose associated permutation is $\sigma_H = 1 6 2 3 7 10 8 9 5 4$.

![Fig. 1: A 3-ary Heap.](image)

A shrub is a heap whose leaves are all at most distance 1 from the root. A binary shrub is a heap whose underlying tree is a shrub with three vertices. A binary shrub forest is an ordered sequence of binary shrubs and we let $\mathcal{F}_n$ denote the set of all forests $F = (F_1,\ldots,F_n)$ of $n$ binary shrubs whose set of labels is $\{1,\ldots,3n\}$. For example, in Figure 2 we picture an element of $\mathcal{F}_5$. Given a forest
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Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}^2_n$, we let $\sigma_F$ denote the permutation that results by concatenating the permutations $\sigma_{F_1} \cdots \sigma_{F_n}$. For example, the permutation $\sigma_F$ for the $F \in \mathcal{F}^2_5$ pictured in Figure 2 is

\[
\sigma_F = 5 \ 12 \ 9 \ 6 \ 13 \ 15 \ 1 \ 4 \ 10 \ 7 \ 11 \ 8 \ 2 \ 14 \ 3.
\]

For any $n \geq 1$, we let $\mathcal{SF}^2_n$ denote the set of all $\sigma_F$ such that $F \in \mathcal{F}^2_n$.

![Fig. 2: An element of $\mathcal{F}^2_n$.](image)

The goal of this paper is to study generating functions for various types of rises in $\mathcal{SF}^2_n$. For example, given a permutation $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$ in the symmetric group $S_n$, we let $Rise(\sigma) = \{i : \sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1}\}$ and $\text{ris}(\sigma) = |Rise(\sigma)|$.

For any sequence $\vec{a} = a_1 \cdots a_n$ of pairwise distinct positive integers, we let the reduction of $\vec{a}$, $\text{red}(\vec{a})$, be the permutation of $S_n$ that arises from $\vec{a}$ by replacing the $i^{th}$-smallest element of $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ by $i$. For example, $\text{red}(7 \ 9 \ 4 \ 2 \ 10) = 3 \ 4 \ 2 \ 1 \ 5$.

Now suppose that we are given $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}^2_n$, then we let $\text{ris}(F) = \text{ris}(\sigma_F)$. However, given the structure of $F$, there are many other natural notions of rises in a forest of binary shrubs. That is, suppose that $\sigma_{F_i} = abc$ and $\sigma_{F_{i+1}} = def$ as pictured in Figure 3. Then we shall consider the following four types of rises.

1. $F_i <_T F_{i+1}$ if every element of $\{a, b, c\}$ is less than every element of $\{d, e, f\}$. We will refer to this type of rise as total rise.

2. $F_i <_B F_{i+1}$ if $a < d$. We will refer to this type of rise as base rise.

3. $F_i <_L F_{i+1}$ if $a < d, b < e$, and $c < f$. We will refer to this type of rise as lexicographic rise.

4. $F_i <_A F_{i+1}$ if $c < e$. We refer to this type of rise as an adjacent rise because when we look at the pictures of $F_i$ and $F_{i+1}$, the rightmost element of $F_i$ is less then the leftmost element of $F_{i+1}$.

Then we define

\[
\begin{align*}
RiseT(F) &= \{i : F_i <_T F_{i+1}\} \quad \text{risT}(F) = |RiseT(F)|, \\
RiseB(F) &= \{i : F_i <_B F_{i+1}\} \quad \text{risB}(F) = |RiseB(F)|, \\
RiseL(F) &= \{i : F_i <_L F_{i+1}\} \quad \text{risL}(F) = |RiseL(F)|, \quad \text{and} \\
RiseA(F) &= \{i : F_i <_A F_{i+1}\} \quad \text{risA}(F) = |RiseA(F)|.
\end{align*}
\]

The goal of this paper is to study the following generating functions.
For example, we shall prove that
\[
R(x, t) = 1 + \frac{t^3}{(3n)!} \sum_{\sigma \in SF_n^2} x^{\text{ris}(\sigma)},
\]
\[
RT(x, t) = 1 + \frac{t^3}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}(F)},
\]
\[
RB(x, t) = 1 + \frac{t^3}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}(F)},
\]
\[
RL(x, t) = 1 + \frac{t^3}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}(F)},
\]
\[
RA(x, t) = 1 + \frac{t^3}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}(F)}.
\]

To compute the remaining generating functions, we will need find explicit formulas for the number of increasing binary shrub forests relative to the orderings \(<_T, <_B, <_L\), and \(<_A\). For \(Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}\) we let
\[
IZF_n^2 = \{(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in F_n^2 : F_1 <_Z F_2 <_Z \cdots <_Z F_n\},
\]
\[
IZF_n = |IZF_n^2|,
\]
\[
IZS^2_n = \{F \in IZF_n^2\}.
\]

Then for \(Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}\), we shall show that
\[
RZ(x, t) = 1 + \frac{t^3}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}(F)}
\]
\[\begin{align*}
&= 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^3}{(3n)!} (x - 1)^{n-1} IZF_n^2}.
\end{align*}\]
Thus to find the generating functions \( \mathcal{R}T(x, t), \mathcal{R}B(x, t), \mathcal{R}L(x, t), \) and \( \mathcal{R}A(x, t) \), we need only compute \( \text{ITF}_n^2, \text{IBF}_n^2, \text{ILF}_n^2, \) and \( \text{IAF}_n^2 \). We shall show that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ITF}_n^2 &= 2^n, \\
\text{IBF}_n^2 &= \frac{(3n)!}{3^n n!}, \quad \text{and} \\
\text{ILF}_n^2 &= \frac{4^n (3n)!}{(n + 1)(2n + 1)!}.
\end{align*}
\]

Of these three formulas, the most interesting is the formula for \( \text{ILF}_n^2 \) which equals the number of paths of length \( n \) in the plane that start and end at the origin and which stay in the first quadrant that consists only of steps of the form \((1, 1), (0, -1)\) and \((-1, 0)\). This number was first computed by Kreweras, see Kreweras (1965). We shall prove our formula by providing a bijection between \( \mathcal{ILF}_n^2 \) and the collection of such paths. We have not been able to find an explicit formula for \( \text{IAF}_n^2 \), but we shall show that we can develop a system of recurrences that will allow us to compute \( \text{IAF}_n^2 \).

The main tool that we will use to compute these generating functions is the homomorphism method as described in Mendes and Remmel (2015). The homomorphism method derives generating functions for various permutation statistics by applying a ring homomorphism defined on the ring of symmetric functions \( \mathcal{A} \) in infinitely many variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots \) to simple symmetric function identities such as

\[
H(t) = 1/E(-t)
\]

where \( H(t) \) and \( E(t) \) are the generating functions for the homogeneous and elementary symmetric functions, respectively:

\[
H(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n t^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i t}, \quad E(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n t^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} 1 + x_i t.
\]

The outline of this paper is as follows. First in Section 2, we shall briefly review the background on symmetric functions that we need. In Section 3, we shall prove (1). In Section 4, we shall prove (2). In Section 5, we will compute \( \text{ITF}_n^2, \text{IBF}_n^2, \text{ILF}_n^2, \) and \( \text{IAF}_n^2 \) which, when combined with the results of Section 4, will allow us to compute the generating functions \( \mathcal{R}T(x, t), \mathcal{R}B(x, t), \mathcal{R}L(x, t), \) and \( \mathcal{R}A(x, t) \).

## 2 Symmetric functions

In this section, we give the necessary background on symmetric functions that will be used in our proofs.

A partition of \( n \) is a sequence of positive integers \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) such that \( 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k \) and \( n = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k \). We shall write \( \lambda \vdash n \) to denote that \( \lambda \) is partition of \( n \) and we let \( \ell(\lambda) \) denote the number of parts of \( \lambda \). When a partition of \( n \) involves repeated parts, we shall often use exponents in the partition notation to indicate these repeated parts. For example, we will write \((1^2, 4^3)\) for the partition \((1, 1, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4)\).

Let \( \Lambda \) denote the ring of symmetric functions in infinitely many variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots \). The \( n^{\text{th}} \) elementary symmetric function \( e_n = e_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \) and \( n^{\text{th}} \) homogeneous symmetric function \( h_n = h_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \) are defined by the generating functions given in \((4)\). For any partition \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell) \), let \( e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda_1} \cdots e_{\lambda_\ell} \) and \( h_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda_1} \cdots h_{\lambda_\ell} \). It is well known that \( e_0, e_1, \ldots \) is an algebraically independent
set of generators for \( \Lambda \), and hence, a ring homomorphism \( \theta \) on \( \Lambda \) can be defined by simply specifying \( \theta(e_n) \) for all \( n \).

If \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) is a partition of \( n \), then a \( \lambda \)-brick tabloid of shape \( (n) \) is a filling of a rectangle consisting of \( n \) cells with bricks of sizes \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \) in such a way that no two bricks overlap. For example, Figure 4 shows the six \((1^2, 2^2)\)-brick tabloids of shape \( (6) \).

![Fig. 4: The six \((1^2, 2^2)\)-brick tabloids of shape \( (6) \).](image)

Let \( B_{\lambda,n} \) denote the set of \( \lambda \)-brick tabloids of shape \( (n) \) and let \( B_{\lambda,n} \) be the number of \( \lambda \)-brick tabloids of shape \( (n) \). If \( B \in B_{\lambda,n} \), we will write \( B = (b_1, \ldots, b_{\ell(\lambda)}) \) if the lengths of the bricks in \( B \), reading from left to right, are \( b_1, \ldots, b_{\ell(\lambda)} \). For example, the brick tabloid in the top right position in Figure 4 is denoted as \((1, 2, 2, 1)\).

In Egecioğlu and Remmel (1991) it has been proved that

\[
h_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \left(-\frac{1}{3n!}\right)^{n-\ell(\lambda)} B_{\lambda,n} e_{\lambda},
\]

(5)

3 The generating function \( R(x, t) \).

In this section, we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.**

\[
R(x, t) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} x^{\text{ris}(\sigma)} = \frac{1 - x}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(x(x-1)^n)^n}{(3n)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (x + 3k - 2)}.
\]

(6)

**Proof:** Let \( \mathbb{Q}[x] \) denote the polynomial ring over the rational numbers \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Let \( \theta : \Lambda \to \mathbb{Q}[x] \) be the ring homomorphism defined on the ring of symmetric functions \( \Lambda \) in infinitely many variables determined by setting \( \theta(e_0) = 1, \theta(e_{3n+1}) = \theta(e_{3n+2}) = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 0 \), and

\[
\theta(e_{3n}) = \frac{(-1)^{3n-1}}{(3n)!} x^n (x-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (x + 3k - 2)
\]

for all \( n \geq 1 \). We claim that for \( n \geq 0 \), \( \theta(h_{3n+1}) = \theta(h_{3n+2}) = 0 \) and that for \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
(3n)! \theta(h_{3n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} x^{\text{ris}(\sigma)}.
\]

(7)

First it is easy to see that our definitions ensure that \( \theta(e_\lambda) = 0 \) if \( \lambda \) has a part which is equivalent to either 1 or 2 mod 3. Since

\[
h_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{n-\ell(\lambda)} B_{\lambda,n} e_{\lambda},
\]

(8)
it follows that \( \theta(h_n) = 0 \) if \( n \) is equivalent to 1 or 2 mod 3 since every partition of \( \lambda \) of \( n \) must contain a part which is equivalent to 1 or 2 mod 3. If \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) is a partition of \( n \), we let \( 3\lambda \) denote the partition \( (3\lambda_1, \ldots, 3\lambda_k) \). It follows that in the expansion \( \theta(h_{3n}) \), we need only consider partitions \( \lambda \) of \( 3n \) of the form \( 3\mu \) where \( \mu \) is a partition of \( n \). Thus

\[
(3n)!\theta(h_{3n}) = (3n)! \sum_{\mu \vdash n} (-1)^{3n - \ell(\mu)} B_{3\mu, 3n} \theta(c_{3\mu}) =
\]

\[
(3n)! \sum_{\mu \vdash n} (-1)^{3n - \ell(\mu)} \sum_{(3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{\ell(\mu)}) \in B_{3\mu, 3n}} \ell(\mu) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \frac{(-1)^{3b_i - 1}}{(3b_i)!} x^{b_i}(x - 1)^{b_i - 1} \prod_{k_i=1}^{b_i} (x + 3k_i - 2) =
\]

\[
\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \sum_{(3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{\ell(\mu)}) \in B_{3\mu, 3n}} \binom{3n}{3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{\ell(\mu)}} \ell(\mu) x^{b_i}(x - 1)^{b_i - 1} \prod_{k_i=1}^{b_i} (x + 3k_i - 2). \tag{9}
\]

Next our goal is to give a combinatorial interpretation for the right-hand side of (9). Our combinatorial interpretation will use a certain subset of permutations which are \textit{increasing} in a relevant way for our problem. In particular, we let \( ISF_n \) equal the set of all permutations \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n} \in SF_n \) such that \( \sigma_{3i} < \sigma_{3i+1} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). One way to think of this set is that it is the set of permutations that arise from a forest \( F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in SF_n \) such that the label of the right-most element in \( F_i \) is less than the label of the root of \( F_{i+1} \). For example, if \( n = 5 \), then we are asking for labellings of the poset whose Hasse diagram is pictured at the top of Figure 5. We want to find the set of all labellings of the nodes of this poset such that when there is an arrow from a node \( x \) to a node \( y \), then the label of node \( x \) is less than label of node \( y \). This is equivalent to finding the set of all linear extensions of the poset. We have given an example of such a labelling on the second line of Figure 5 and its corresponding permutation in \( SF_2 \) in the third line of Figure 5. Given an element of \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n} \in ISF_n \), we let

\[
\text{ris}_{1,2}(\sigma) = \{|i: \sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1} \land i \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3\}.
\]

That is, \( \text{ris}_{1,2}(\sigma) \) keeps track of the number of rises between pairs of the form \( \sigma_{3j+1}\sigma_{3j+2} \) and \( \sigma_{3j+2}\sigma_{3j+3} \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
& & & & & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14
\end{array}
\]

\( F = \)

\[
\sigma_F = 1 7 2 3 4 5 6 13 8 9 10 11 12 15 14
\]

Fig. 5: The poset for \( ISF_2 \).

We claim that

\[
x^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (x + 3k - 2) = \sum_{\sigma \in ISF_n} x^{\text{ris}_{1,2}(\sigma)}.
\]
This is easy to prove by induction. First, it easy to check that there are exactly two permutations in $\mathcal{ISF}^2_1$, namely 123 and 132, so that $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{ISF}^2_1} x^{\text{isin}_{1,2}(\sigma)} = x(1 + x)$ as claimed. Now suppose that our formula holds for $k < n$. Then consider Figure 6 where we have redrawn the poset so that the positions correspond to the elements in $\sigma_F$. It is easy to see that the label of the left-most element must be one since there is a directed path from that element to any other element in the poset. There must be a rise from $\sigma_1$ to $\sigma_2$ so we add a label $x$ below that position. Next consider node which has label 2. If 2 is the label of the second element, then the label of the third element must be 3 since there is a directed path from that element to any of the other unlabeled elements in the poset at this point. In this case $2 = \sigma_2 < \sigma_3 = 3$ so we add a label $x$ below that position. If the label of the second element is $a$ where $a > 2$, then the label of the third element must be 2 since there is a directed path from that element to any of the other unlabeled elements in the poset at this point. We have $3n - 2$ ways to choose $a$. In this case the pair $\sigma_2\sigma_3$ is not a rise so that we do not add a label $x$ below that position. Thus our choices of labels for the binary shrub $F_1$ gives rise to a factor of $x(3n - 2)$ in our sum. Note that once we have placed the labels on $F_1$, the remaining labels are completely free. Thus it follows that

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{ISF}^2_n} x^{\text{isin}_{1,2}(\sigma)} = x(x + 3n - 2) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{ISF}^2_{n-1}} x^{\text{isin}_{1,2}(\sigma)} = x^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (x + 3k - 2).
$$

To complete our combinatorial interpretation for the right-hand side of (9), we interpret the extra factor of $(x - 1)^{n-1} \theta(e_{3n})$ as adding a label $(x - 1)$ on every third element except the last one. In Figure 6 we indicate this by putting such labels at the top of the diagram.

We are now in a position to give a combinatorial interpretation to the right-hand side of (9). That is, we first choose a brick tabloid $B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{(\mu)})$ consisting of bricks whose size is a multiple of 3. Then we use the multinomial coefficient $\binom{3n}{3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{(\mu)}}$ to pick an ordered sequence of sets $S_1, \ldots, S_{(\mu)}$ such that $|S_i| = 3b_i$ and $S_1, \ldots, S_{(\mu)}$ partition the elements $\{1, \ldots, 3n\}$. For each brick $3b_i$, we interpret the factor $x^{b_i} \prod_{k=1}^{b_i} (x + 3k - 2)$ as all ways $\gamma_1^{(i)} \ldots \gamma_{3b_i}^{(i)}$ of arranging the elements of $S_i$ in the cells of the brick $3b_i$ such that red$(\gamma_1^{(i)} \ldots \gamma_{3b_i}^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{ISF}^2_{3b_i}$, where we place a label $x$ below the cell containing $\gamma_j^{(i)}$ if...
\( j = 1, 2 \mod 3 \) and \( \gamma_j^{(i)} < \gamma_j^{(i)} \). Finally, we interpret the factor \( (x - 1)^{b_i - 1} \) as all ways of labeling the cells containing the elements \( \gamma_3^{(i)}, \ldots, \gamma_{b_i - 2}^{(i)} \) with either \( x \) or \( -1 \). We shall also label the last cell of a brick \( 3b_i \) with 1. Let \( O_{3n} \) denote the set of all objects created in this way. Then \( O_{3n} \) consists of all triples \((B, \sigma, L)\) such that \( B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_k) \) is a brick tabloid all of whose bricks have length a multiple of 3, \( \sigma \) is a permutation in \( S_{3n} \), and \( L \) is labeling of the cells of \( B \) such that the following four conditions hold.

1. For each \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), the reduction of the sequence of elements obtained by reading the elements in the brick \( 3b_i \) from left to right is an element of \( \mathcal{ISF}_{b_i}^2 \).

2. The cell containing a \( \sigma_i \) such that \( i \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3 \) is labeled with an \( x \) if and only if \( i \in \text{Rise}(\sigma) \).

3. The label of a cell at the end of any brick is 1.

4. The cells containing elements of the form \( \sigma_{3i} \) which are not at the end of a brick are labeled with either \( -1 \) or \( x \).

For each such \((B, \sigma, L) \in O_{3n}\), we let the weight of \((B, \sigma, L), w(B, \sigma, L)\), be the product of all its \( x \) labels and we let the sign of \((B, \sigma, L), sgn(B, \sigma, L)\), be the product of all its \(-1\) labels. For example, at the top of Figure 7, we picture an element \((B, \sigma, L) \in O_{18}\) such that \( w(B, \sigma, L) = x^{11} \) and \( sgn(B, \sigma, L) = -1 \). It follows that

\[
(3n)! \theta(h_{3n}) = \sum_{(B,\sigma,L) \in O_{3n}} sgn(B,\sigma,L)w(B,\sigma,L).
\] (10)

Next we will define a sign-reversing involution \( J : O_{3n} \to O_{3n} \) which we will use to simplify the right-hand side of (10). Given a triple \((B, \sigma, L) \in O_{3n}\), where \( B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_k) \) and \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n} \), scan the cells from left to right looking for the first cell \( c \) such that either

Case 1: \( c = 3s \) for some \( 1 \leq s \leq n - 1 \) and the label on cell \( c \) is \(-1\) or \( 1 \).

Case 2: \( c \) is that last cell of brick \( 3b_i \) for some \( i < k \) and \( \sigma_c < \sigma_{c+1} \).

In Case 1, suppose that \( c \) is in brick \( 3b_i \). Then \( J(B, \sigma, L) \) is obtained from \((B, \sigma, L)\) by splitting brick \( 3b_i \) into two bricks \( 3b_i^* \) and \( 3b_i^{**} \), where \( 3b_i^* \) contains the cells of \( 3b_i \) up to and including cell \( c \) and \( 3b_i^{**} \) contains the remaining cells of \( 3b_i \), and changing the label on cell \( c \) from \(-1\) to \( 1 \). In Case 2, \( J(B, \sigma, L) \) is obtained from \((B, \sigma, L)\) by combining bricks \( 3b_i \) and \( 3b_{i+1} \) into a single brick \( 3b \) and changing the label on cell \( c \) from \(-1\) to \( 1 \). If neither Case 1 or Case 2 applies, then we define \( J(B, \sigma, L) = (B, \sigma, L) \).

For example, if \((B, \sigma, L) \) is the element of \( O_{18} \) pictured at the top of Figure 7 then \( B = (3b_1, 3b_2, 3b_3) \) where \( b_1 = 2, b_2 = 1 \) and \( b_3 = 3 \). Note that we cannot combine bricks \( 3b_1 \) and \( 3b_2 \) since \( 18 = \sigma_6 > \sigma_7 = 2 \) and we cannot combine bricks \( 3b_2 \) and \( 3b_3 \) since \( 17 = \sigma_9 > \sigma_{10} = 1 \). Thus the first cell \( c \) where either Case 1 or Case 2 applies is cell \( c = 12 \). Thus we are in Case 1 and \( J(B, \sigma, L) \) is obtained from \((B, \sigma, L)\) by splitting brick \( 3b_3 \) into two bricks, the first one of size 3 and second one of size 6, and changing the label on cell 12 from \(-1\) to \( 1 \). Thus \( J(B, \sigma, L) \) is pictured at the bottom of Figure 7.

It is easy to see that \( J \) is an involution. That is, if we are in Case I using cell \( c \) to define \( J(B, \sigma, L) \), then we will be in Case II using cell \( c \) when we apply \( J \) to \((B, \sigma, L)\) so that \( J(J(B, \sigma, L)) = (B, \sigma, L) \).

Similarly, if we are in Case II using cell \( c \) to define \( J(B, \sigma, L) \), then we will be in Case I using cell \( c \) when we apply \( J \) to \((B, \sigma, L)\) so that \( J(J(B, \sigma, L)) = (B, \sigma, L) \). Moreover it is easy to see that if \( J(B, \sigma, L) \neq (B, \sigma, L) \), then

\[
sgn(B, \sigma, L)w(B, \sigma, L) = -sgn(J(B, \sigma, L))w(J(B, \sigma, L)).
\]
Rises in forests of binary shrubs

Fig. 7: An example of the involution $J$.

It follows that

$$(3n)! \theta(h_{3n}) = \sum_{(B, \sigma, L) \in O_{3n}} sgn(B, \sigma, L)w(B, \sigma, L).$$

Thus we must examine the fixed points of $J$ on $O_{3n}$. It is easy to see that if $(B, \sigma, L)$, where $B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_k)$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n}$, is a fixed point of $J$, then there can be no cells labeled $-1$ and for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, the element in the last cell of brick $3b_i$ must be greater than the element in the first cell of $3b_{i+1}$. It follows that if $c = 3i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then cell $c$ is labeled with an $x$ if and only if $\sigma_c < \sigma_{c+1}$. Thus for a fixed point $(B, \sigma, L)$ of $J$, $wt(B, \sigma, L) = x^{\text{ris}(\sigma)}$ and $sgn(B, \sigma, L) = 1$. On the other hand, given any $\sigma \in SF_2^n$, we can create a fixed point $(B, \sigma, L)$ of $J$ by having the bricks of $B$ end at the cells $c = 3i$ such that $3i \notin \text{Rise}(\sigma)$ and labeling all the cells $j$ such that $j \in \text{Rise}(\sigma)$ with an $x$. For example, if

$$\sigma = 4 \ 6 \ 5 \ 8 \ 12 \ 18 \ 2 \ 7 \ 17 \ 1 \ 3 \ 6 \ 9 \ 13 \ 10 \ 11 \ 15 \ 14,$$

then the fixed point corresponding to $\sigma$ is pictured in Figure 8.

Fig. 8: A fixed point of $J$.

Hence, we have proved that

$$(3n)! \theta(h_{3n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in SF_2^n} x^{\text{ris}(\sigma)}$$

as desired.
It follows that
\[
\theta(H(t)) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{SF}_n^2} x^{\text{ris} (\sigma)}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\theta(E(-t))} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-t)^n \theta(\varepsilon_n)}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-t)^n \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(3n)!} x^n (x-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x+3k-2)}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 - x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{(3n)!} \prod_{k=1}^n (x+3k-2)}.
\]

We have used this generating function to compute the initial terms of \(R(x, t)\).

\[
1 + (x(1 + x) \frac{t^3}{3!} + x^2 (16 + 39x + 24x^2 + x^3) \frac{t^6}{6!} + x^3 (1036 + 4183x + 5506x^2 + 2536x^3 + 178x^4 + x^5) \frac{t^9}{9!} \\
x^4 (174664 + 992094x + 2054131x^2 + 1896937x^3 + 726622x^4 + 67768x^5 + 1383x^6 + x^7) \frac{t^{12}}{12!} \\
x^5 (60849880 + 446105914x + 1272918569x^2 + 1800188609x^3 + 1307663949x^4 + 442673265x^5 + 1720211x^7 + 10951x^8 + x^9) \frac{t^{15}}{15!} + \cdots.
\]

We note that if \(\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n} \in \mathcal{SF}_n^2\), then we are forced to have \(\{3k + 1 : k = 0, \ldots, n-1\} \subseteq \text{Rise}(\sigma)\) by our definition of the permutation associated with a forest of binary shrubs. It follows that
\[
\mathcal{R}(x, \frac{t}{x^{1/3}}) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{SF}_n^2} x^{\text{ris} (\sigma)-n}
\]
\[
= \frac{1 - x}{1 - x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{(3n)!} \prod_{k=1}^n (x+3k-2)}.
\]

We can then set \(x = 0\) in this expression to get the generating function of \(\sigma \in \mathcal{SF}_n^2\) such that \(\text{ris} (\sigma) = n\) which is the minimal number of rises that an element \(F \in \mathcal{SF}_n^2\) can have. That is,
\[
1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \left| \{\sigma \in \mathcal{SF}_n^2 : \text{ris}(\sigma) = n\} \right| = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(3n)!} \prod_{k=1}^n (3k-2)}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(3n)!} \prod_{k=1}^n (3k-2)}.
\]
4 The generating functions $\mathcal{RZ}(x, t)$ for $Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}$

In this section, we shall give a general method for computing the generating functions $\mathcal{RT}(x, t)$, $\mathcal{RB}(x, t)$, $\mathcal{RL}(x, t)$, and $\mathcal{RA}(x, t)$. Recall that for $Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}$

$$\mathcal{IZF}_n^2 = \{(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}_n^2 : F_1 <_Z F_2 <_Z \cdots <_Z F_n\},$$

$$\mathcal{IZF}_n = |\mathcal{IZF}_n^2|,$$

and

$$\mathcal{IZSF}_n^2 = \{\sigma_F : F \in \mathcal{IZF}_n^2\}.$$

Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** For $Z \in \{T, B, A, L\}$,

$$\mathcal{RZ}(x, t) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_n^2} x^{\text{ris}\mathcal{F}(F)} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} (x - 1)^{n-1} \mathcal{IZF}_n^2}.$$ (12)

**Proof:** The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. The main difference between the two proofs is that in Theorem 1, we needed to keep track of the rises that occur within each binary shrub in a forest while in the current situation, we need only keep track of the “rises” between adjacent binary shrubs in a forest.

Let $Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}$ and let $\theta_Z : \Lambda \to \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be the ring homomorphism determined by setting $\theta_Z(e_0) = 1$, $\theta_Z(e_{3n+1}) = \theta_Z(e_{3n+2}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, and

$$\theta_Z(e_{3n}) = \frac{(-1)^{3n-1}}{(3n)!} \mathcal{IZF}_n^2 (x - 1)^{n-1}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. We claim that for $n \geq 0$, $\theta_Z(h_{3n+1}) = \theta_Z(h_{3n+2}) = 0$ and that for $n \geq 1$,

$$(3n)! \theta_Z(h_{3n}) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_n^2} x^{\text{ris}\mathcal{F}(F)}.$$ (13)

We can use that same argument as in Theorem 1 to conclude that $\theta_Z(h_n) = 0$ if $n$ is equivalent to 1 or 2 mod 3 and that in the expansion $\theta(h_{3n})$, we need only consider partitions $\lambda$ of $3n$ of the form $3\mu$ where $\mu$ is a partition of $n$. Thus

$$(3n)! \theta_Z(h_{3n}) = (3n)! \sum_{\mu \vdash n} (-1)^{3n-\ell(\mu)} B_{3\mu, 3n} \theta_Z(e_{3\mu})$$

$$= (3n)! \sum_{\mu \vdash n} (-1)^{3n-\ell(\mu)} \sum_{(3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{\ell(\mu)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{3\mu, 3n}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \frac{(-1)^{3b_i} - 1}{(3b_i)!} \mathcal{IZF}^2_{b_i} (x - 1)^{b_i - 1}$$

$$= \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \sum_{(3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{\ell(\mu)}) \in \mathcal{E}_{3\mu, 3n}} \left(3n \atop 3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{\ell(\mu)}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \mathcal{IZF}^2_{b_i} (x - 1)^{b_i - 1}.$$ (14)

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we must give a combinatorial interpretation to the right-hand side of (14). We first choose a brick tabloid $B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_{\ell(\mu)})$ whose bricks have size a multiple of 3. Then
we use the multinomial coefficient \( \binom{3b_i \cdots 3b_i}{3^k} \) to pick an ordered sequence of sets \( S_1, \ldots, S_{\ell(\mu)} \) such that \( |S_i| = 3b_i \) and \( S_1, \ldots, S_{\ell(\mu)} \) partition the elements \( \{1, \ldots, 3n\} \). For each brick \( 3b_i \), we interpret the factor \( \mathcal{IZS}_b^{2} \) as all ways \( \gamma_1^{(i)} \cdots \gamma_3^{(i)} \) of arranging the elements of \( S_i \) in the cells of the brick \( 3b_i \) such that \( \text{red}(\gamma_1^{(i)} \cdots \gamma_3^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{IZSF}_b^{2} \). Finally, we interpret the factor \( (x-1)^{b_i-1} \) as all ways of labeling the cells containing the elements \( \gamma_1^{(i)} \cdots \gamma_3^{(i)} \) with either \( x \) or \( -1 \). We also label the last cell of a brick with 1. Let \( \mathcal{OZ}_{3n} \) denote the set of all objects created in this way. Then \( \mathcal{OZ}_{3n} \) consists of all triples \((B, \sigma, L)\) such that \( B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_k) \) is a brick tabloid all of whose bricks have length a multiple of 3, \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n} \) is a permutation in \( S_{3n} \), and \( L \) is labeling of the cells of \( B \) such that the following three conditions hold.

1. For each \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), the reduction of the sequence of elements obtained by reading the elements in the brick \( 3b_i \), from left to right is an element is in \( \mathcal{IZSF}_b^{2} \).

2. The label of a cell at the end of any brick is 1.

3. The cells containing elements of the form \( \sigma_{3i} \) which are not at the end of a brick are labeled with either \(-1\) or \( x \).

For each such \((B, \sigma, L) \in \mathcal{OZ}_{3n}\), we let the weight of \((B, \sigma, L)\), \( w(B, \sigma, L) \), be the product of all its \( x \) labels and we let the sign of \((B, \sigma, L)\), \( \text{sgn}(B, \sigma, L) \), be the product of all its \(-1\) labels. For example, suppose that \( Z = B \). Then at the top of Figure 9 we picture an element \((B, \sigma, L) \in \mathcal{OB}_{18}\) such that \( w(B, \sigma, L) = x^2 \) and \( \text{sgn}(B, \sigma, L) = -1 \).

It follows that

\[
(3n)! \theta_Z(h_{3n}) = \sum_{(B, \sigma, L) \in \mathcal{OZ}_{3n}} \text{sgn}(B, \sigma, L)w(B, \sigma, L). \tag{15}
\]

Next we will define a sign-reversing involution \( J_Z : \mathcal{OZ}_{3n} \to \mathcal{OZ}_{3n} \) which we will use to simplify the right-hand side of (15). Given a triple \((B, \sigma, L) \in \mathcal{OZ}_{3n}\), where \( B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_k) \) and \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n} \), scan the cells from left to right looking for the first cell \( c \) such that either

Case 1: \( c = 3s \) for some \( 1 \leq s \leq n - 1 \) and the label on cell \( c \) is \(-1\) or

Case 2: \( c \) is the last cell of brick \( 3b_i \) for some \( i < k \) and the binary shrub \( F \) corresponding to the cells \( 3b_i - 2, 3b_i - 1, 3b_i \) is \( < \) the binary shrub \( G \) corresponding to the cells \( 3b_i + 1, 3b_i + 2, 3b_i + 3 \).

In Case 1, suppose that \( c \) is in brick \( 3b_i \). Then \( J_Z(B, \sigma, L) \) is obtained from \((B, \sigma, L)\) by splitting brick \( 3b_i \) into two bricks \( 3b_i^* \) and \( 3b_i^{**} \), where \( 3b_i^* \) contains the cells of \( 3b_i \) up to and including cell \( c \) and \( 3b_i^{**} \) contains the remaining cells of \( 3b_i \), and changing the label on cell \( c \) from \(-1\) to \( 1 \). In Case 2, \( J_Z(B, \sigma, L) \) is obtained from \((B, \sigma, L)\) by combining bricks \( 3b_i \) and \( 3b_{i+1} \) into a single brick \( 3b \) and changing the label on cell \( c \) from \(-1\) to \( 1 \). If neither Case 1 or Case 2 applies, then we define \( J_Z(B, \sigma, L) = (B, \sigma, L) \).

For example, if \((B, \sigma, L) \in \mathcal{OB}_{18}\) pictured at the top of Figure 9, then \( B = (3b_1, 3b_2, 3b_3) \) where \( b_1 = 2, b_2 = 1 \) and \( b_3 = 3 \). Note that we cannot combine bricks \( 3b_1 \) and \( 3b_2 \) since \( 9 = \sigma_4 > \sigma_7 = 2 \) and we cannot combine \( 3b_2 \) and \( 3b_3 \) since \( 2 = \sigma_7 > \sigma_{10} = 1 \). Thus the first cell \( c \) where either Case 1 or Case 2 applies is cell \( c = 12 \). Thus we are in Case 1 and \( J_Z(B, \sigma, L) \) is obtained from \((B, \sigma, L)\) by splitting brick \( 3b_3 \) into two bricks, the first one of size 3 and second one of size 6, and changing the label on cell 12 from \(-1\) to \( 1 \). Thus \( J_Z(B, \sigma, L) \) is pictured at the bottom of Figure 9.
Rises in forests of binary shrubs

We can use the same reasoning as in Theorem 1 to show that \( J_Z \) is an involution. Moreover it is easy to see that if \( J_Z(B, \sigma, L) \neq (B, \sigma, L) \), then

\[
\text{sgn}(B, \sigma, L)w(B, \sigma, L) = -\text{sgn}(J_Z(B, \sigma, L))w(J_Z(B, \sigma, L)).
\]

It follows that

\[
(3n)!\theta_Z(h_{3n}) = \sum_{(B, \sigma, L) \in OZ_{3n}} \text{sgn}(B, \sigma, L)w(B, \sigma, L) = \sum_{(B, \sigma, L) \in OZ_{3n}, J_Z(B, \sigma, L) = (B, \sigma, L)} \text{sgn}(B, \sigma, L)w(B, \sigma, L). \tag{16}
\]

Thus we must examine the fixed points of \( J_Z \) on \( OZ_{3n} \). It is easy to see that if \( (B, \sigma, L) \), where \( B = (3b_1, \ldots, 3b_k) \) and \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n} \), is a fixed point of \( J_Z \), then there can be no cells labeled \(-1\) and for \( 1 \leq i \leq k - 1 \), the binary shrub \( F \) determined by the last three cells of \( 3b_i \) is not \( <_Z \) the binary shrub determined by the first three cells of \( 3b_{i+1} \). It follows that if \( c = 3i \) for some \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \), then cell \( c \) is labeled with an \( x \) if and only if the binary shrub \( F \) corresponding to the cells \( 3b_i - 2, 3b_i - 1, 3b_i \) is \( <_Z \) the binary shrub \( G \) corresponding to the cells \( 3b_i + 1, 3b_i + 2, 3b_i + 3 \). Thus for a fixed point \((B, \sigma, L)\) of \( J_Z \), \( wt(B, \sigma, L) = \text{ris}_Z(F) \) and \( \text{sgn}(B, \sigma, L) = 1 \). On the other hand, given any \( \sigma F \) where \( F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in F_n^2 \), we can create a fixed point \((B, \sigma F, L)\) of \( J_Z \) by having the bricks of \( B \) end at the cells \( c = 3i \) such that \( i \notin \text{ris}_Z(F) \) and labeling all the cells \( 3j \) such that \( j \in \text{ris}_Z(F) \) with an \( x \). For example, if \( Z = B \) and

\[
\sigma = 4 \ 16 \ 5 \ 8 \ 12 \ 18 \ 2 \ 7 \ 17 \ 1 \ 3 \ 6 \ 9 \ 13 \ 10 \ 11 \ 15 \ 14,
\]

then the fixed point corresponding to \( \sigma \) is pictured in Figure 10.

Hence, we have proved that

\[
(3n)!\theta_Z(h_{3n}) = \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}_Z(F)}
\]

as desired.
Thus, for all $Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}$,

$$
\theta_Z(H(t)) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}_Z(F)}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\theta_Z(E(-t))} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-t)^n \theta_Z(e_n)}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-t)^{3n} \frac{(-3)^n - 1}{(3n)!} \text{IZF}_n^2(x - 1)^{n - 1}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1 - x}{1 - x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{((x - 1)t)^n}{(3n)!} \text{IZF}_n^2}.
$$

$\square$

5 Computing $\text{IZF}_n^2$ for $Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}$

Based on our results from the last section, all we need to do in order to compute the generating functions $R_Z(x, t)$ for $Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}$ is to compute $\text{IZF}_n^2$ for $Z \in \{T, B, L, A\}$.

5.1 $\text{ITF}_n^2$

It is easy to see that if $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is such that $F_1 <_T F_2 <_T \cdots <_T F_n$, then the labels on $F_i$ must be $3i - 2, 3i - 1$ and $3i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We have exactly 2 ways to arrange these labels to make a binary shrub which are pictured in Figure 11. It follows that $\text{ITF}_n^2 = 2^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus by Theorem 2

$$
\mathcal{R}_T(x, t) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in F_n^2} x^{\text{ris}_T(F)}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} 2^n (x - 1)^{n - 1}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1 - x}{1 - x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2(x - 1)t^n}{(3n)!}}.
$$
Rises in forests of binary shrubs

Fig. 11: The two ways to label \( F \), for \( F \in \mathcal{IT}_F^2 \).

Using this formula for \( R \mathcal{T}(x, t) \), we computed the following initial terms of \( R \mathcal{T}(x, t) \).

\[
1 + 2 \frac{t^3}{3!} + \frac{(76 + 4x)t^6}{6!} + \frac{(12104 + 1328x + 8x^2)t^9}{9!} + \\
\frac{(5048368 + 843440x + 21776x^2 + 16x^3)t^{12}}{12!} + \\
\frac{(4354721312 + 977383552x + 48921792x^2 + 349312x^3 + 32x^4)t^{15}}{15!} + \cdots.
\]

5.2 IBF\(_n^2\)

The set \( \mathcal{IBF}_n^2 \) is the set of permutations that arise from a forest \( F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}_F^2 \) such that the root elements are increasing from left to right. For example, if \( n = 5 \), then we are asking for labellings of the poset whose Hasse diagram is pictured at the top Figure 12, where, when there is an arrow from a node \( x \) to a node \( y \), then the label of node \( x \) is less than label of node \( y \). We have given an example of such a labeling on the second line of Figure 12 and its corresponding permutation in \( \mathcal{SF}_5^2 \) in the third line of Figure 12. Thus we can think of \( \mathcal{IBF}_n^2 \) as the set of linear extensions of the poset whose Hasse diagram is of the form pictured in Figure 12.

We claim that

\[
\mathcal{IBF}_n^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{n} 2 \binom{3k - 1}{2} = \frac{(3n)!}{3^n(n!)}. 
\]
This is straightforward to prove by induction. First, it easy to see from Figure 11 that

$$IBF_1^2 = 2 = \frac{3!}{3}.$$ 

Thus the base case of our induction holds.

Now suppose that our formula holds for \( k < n \). Let \( F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in IBF_n^2 \). Then consider Figure 12. The label of the left-most root element must be 1 since there is a directed path from that element to any other element in the poset. Then we can choose the remaining two elements in \( F_1 \) in \( (3n - 1)(3n - 2) \) ways and we have two ways to order the leaves of \( F_1 \). Thus we have \( (3n - 1)(3n - 2) \) ways to pick \( F_1 \). Once we have picked the labels of \( F_1 \), the remaining labels for \( F \) are completely free. It follows that

$$IBF_n^2 = (3n - 1)(3n - 2)IBF_{n-1}^2$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n} (3k - 1)(3k - 2) = \frac{(3n)!}{3^n(n!)}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 2,

$$RB(x, t) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in IF_n^2} x^{ris(B)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \frac{(3n)!}{3^n(n!)} (x - 1)^{n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - x + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \frac{(3n)!}{3^n(n!)} (x - 1)^{n-1}}$$

$$= 1 - x + e^{\frac{t}{3}(x-1)t^3}.$$ 

Using this formula for \( RB(x, t) \), we computed the following initial terms of \( RB(x, t) \).

$$1 + \frac{2t^3}{3!} + \frac{t^6}{6!} + \frac{(40(1 + x))(t^6)}{9!} + \frac{(2240(1 + 4x + x^2))(t^9)}{9!} + \frac{(246400(1 + 11x + 14x^2 + 13x^3))(t^{12})}{12!} + \frac{(44844800(1 + 26x + 66x^2 + 26x^3 + x^4))(t^{15})}{15!} + \frac{(1219785600(1 + 57x + 302x^2 + 302x^3 + 57x^4 + x^5))(t^{18})}{18!} + \cdots.$$ 

We note that the generating function for rises in permutations is given by

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^n}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x^{ris(\sigma)} = \frac{1 - x}{-x + e^{t(x-1)}}.$$
By comparing the form of the generating function $RB(x, t)$, one can see that

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L}^2} x^{\text{ris}(F)} = \frac{(3n)!}{3^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x^{\text{ris}(\sigma)}. \quad (17)$$

In fact this is easy to prove directly. Suppose that we are given a permutation $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n \in S_n$. Then we claim that there are $\frac{(3n)!}{3^n n!}$ ways to create an $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L}^2 \tau$ such that if $\sigma_F = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n}$, then $\text{red}(\sigma_1 \sigma_4 \cdots \sigma_{3n-2}) = \tau$. That is, suppose that $\tau_k = k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. We let 1 be the label of the root of $F_j$, and then we have $(3n-1)(3n-2)$ ways to pick the right and left leaves of $F_j$. Once we have fixed $F_j$, we let $c_2$ be the smallest element $c$ in $\{1, \ldots, 3n\}$ such that $c$ is not a label in $F_j$. We label the root of $F_{j2}$ with $c_2$ and then we have $(3n-4)(3n-5)$ ways to pick the right and left leaves of $F_{j2}$. Once we have fixed $F_j$ and $F_{j2}$, we let $c_3$ be the smallest element $c$ in $\{1, \ldots, 3n\}$ such that $c$ is not a label in $F_j$ or $F_{j2}$. We label the root of $F_{j3}$ with $c_3$ and then we have $(3n-7)(3n-8)$ ways to pick the right and left leaves of $F_{j3}$. Continuing on in this way, we see that there are $\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (3n-(3k+1))(3n-(3k+2)) = \frac{(3n)!}{3^n n!}$ ways to create an $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L}^2 \tau$ such that if $\sigma_F = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{3n}$, then $\text{red}(\sigma_1 \sigma_4 \cdots \sigma_{3n-2}) = \tau$. Observe that for any $F$ created in this way, $\text{ris}(F) = \text{ris}(\tau)$. Thus (17) easily follows.

### 5.3 ILF$_n^2$

The set $\mathcal{ILF}_n^2$ is the set of forests $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L}^2$ such that $F_1 \prec_L F_2 \prec_L \cdots \prec_L F_n$. Such a forest can be considered to be a labelling of a poset $\mathcal{L}_{3n}$ of the type whose Hasse diagram is pictured in Figure 13. For example, at the bottom of Figure 13 we have redrawn the poset in a nicer form. Here when we draw an arrow from node $x$ to node $y$, then we want the label of node $x$ to be less than the label of node $y$ in $\mathcal{L}_{3n}$. Thus the Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{L}_{3n}$ consists of 3 rows of $n$ nodes such that there are arrows connecting the nodes in each row which go from left to right and, in each column, there are arrows going from the node in the middle row to the nodes at the top and bottom of that column. Let $\mathcal{L}_{3n}$ denote the set of all linear extensions of $\mathcal{L}_{3n}$, that is, the set of all labellings of $\mathcal{L}_{3n}$ with the numbers $\{1, \ldots, 3n\}$ such that if there is an arrow from node $x$ to $y$, then the label on node $x$ is less than the label on node $y$. Thus $\text{ILF}_n^2 = |\mathcal{L}_{3n}|$.

![Fig. 13: The poset for $\mathcal{ILF}_n^2$.](image)

We then have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** $\text{ILF}_n^2 = \frac{4^n(3n)!}{(n+1)!(2n+1)!}$.

**Proof:** In Kreweras [1965] it has been proved that $\frac{4^n(3n)!}{(n+1)!(2n+1)!}$ is the number of paths $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_{3n})$ in the plane which start at $(0,0)$ and end at $(0,0)$, stay entirely in the first quadrant, and use only northeast
steps \((1,1)\), west steps \((-1,0)\), and south steps \((0,-1)\). See also [Bousquet-Mélou 2005] and [Gessel 1986]. The fact that \(P\) starts and ends at \((0,0)\) means that \(P\) has \(n\) northeast steps, \(n\) west steps, and \(n\) south steps. For any \(1 \leq i \leq 3n\), let \(NE_i(P)\) equal the number of northeast steps in \((p_1, \ldots, p_i)\), \(W_i(P)\) equal the number of west steps in \((p_1, \ldots, p_i)\), and \(S_i(P)\) equal the number of south steps in \((p_1, \ldots, p_i)\). The fact that \(P\) stays in the first quadrant is equivalent to the conditions that \(NE_i(P) \geq W_i(P)\) and \(NE_i(P) \geq S_i(P)\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, 3n\). Let \(\mathcal{P}_{3n}\) denote the set of all such paths \(P\) of length \(3n\).

To prove our theorem, we shall define a bijection from \(\Gamma : \mathcal{L}_{3n} \to \mathcal{P}_{3n}\). The map \(\Gamma\) is quite simple, given a labeling \(L \in \mathcal{L}_{3n}\), we let \(\Gamma(L) = (p_1, \ldots, p_{3n})\) be the path which starts at \((0,0)\) and where \(p_i\) is a northeast step if the label \(i\) is in the middle row of \(L\), \(p_i\) is west step if the label \(i\) is in the top row of \(L\), and \(p_i\) is a south step if the label \(i\) is in the bottom row of \(L\). An example of this map is given in Figure 14, where we have put a label \(i\) on the \(i^{th}\)-step of the \(\Gamma(L)\).

![Fig. 14: The bijection \(\Gamma\).](image)

First we must check that if \(L \in \mathcal{L}_{3n}\), then \(\Gamma(L) = (p_1, \ldots, p_{3n})\) is an element of \(\mathcal{P}_{3n}\). It is easy to see that \(\Gamma(L)\) starts and ends at \((0,0)\) since \(\Gamma(L)\) has \(n\) northeast steps, \(n\) west steps, and \(n\) south steps. Let \(LT_i, LM_i,\) and \(LB_i\) denote the label in \(L\) of the \(i^{th}\) element of the top row, middle row, and bottom row, reading from left to right, respectively. Suppose for a contradiction that there is an \(t\) such that \(i = W_t(P) > NE_t(P) = j\). This is impossible since this would imply that \(LT_i \leq t\) and \(LM_i > t\) which violates that fact that there is an arrow from the element in the middle row of the \(i^{th}\)-column to the element in the top row of the \(i^{th}\)-column in \(\mathbb{I}_{3n}\). Similarly, suppose that there is an \(t\) such that \(i = S_t(P) > NE_t(P) = j\). This is impossible since this would imply that \(LB_i \leq t\) and \(LM_i > t\) which violates that fact that there is an arrow from the element in the middle row of the \(i^{th}\)-column to the element in the bottom row of the \(i^{th}\)-column in \(\mathbb{I}_{3n}\). Thus for all \(t\), \(NE_t(\Gamma(L)) \geq W_t(\Gamma(L))\) and \(NE_t(\Gamma(L)) \geq S_t(\Gamma(L))\) which means that \(\Gamma(L)\) stays in the first quadrant.

It is easy to see that \(\Gamma\) is one-to-one. That is, if \(L\) and \(L'\) are two different labellings in \(\mathcal{L}_{3n}\), then let \(i\) be the least \(j\) such that \(j\) is not in the same position in the labellings \(L\) and \(L'\). Then clearly, \(\Gamma(L) \neq \Gamma(L')\) since the \(i^{th}\) step of \(\Gamma(L)\) will not be the same as the \(i^{th}\) step of \(\Gamma(L')\). To see that \(\Gamma\) maps onto \(\mathcal{P}_{3n}\), suppose that we are given \(P = (p_1, \ldots, p_{3n})\) in \(\mathcal{P}_{3n}\). Let \(L\) be the labeling of \(\mathbb{I}_{3n}\) which is increasing in the rows of \(\mathbb{I}_{3n}\) such that \(i\) is label in the top row of \(\mathbb{I}_{3n}\) if \(p_i\) is a west step, \(i\) is label in the middle row of \(\mathbb{I}_{3n}\) if \(p_i\) is a northeast step, and \(i\) is label in the bottom row of \(\mathbb{I}_{3n}\) if \(p_i\) is a south step. It is easy to see from our definitions that \(\Gamma(L) = P\). Hence the only thing that we have to do is to check that \(L \in \mathcal{L}_{3n}\).
Since \( L \) is increasing in rows, we need only check that for each column \( i \), the label \( x \) of the element in the middle row of column \( i \) is less than the label \( y \) of the element in the top row of column \( i \) and and less than the label \( z \) of the element of the bottom row of column \( i \). But this follows from the fact that \( P \) stays in the first quadrant. That is, if \( y < x \), then in \((p_1, \ldots, p_y)\), we would have more west steps than northeast steps which would mean that the \( y \)th step of \( P \) is not in the first quadrant. Similarly if \( z < x \), then in \((p_1, \ldots, p_z)\), we would have more south steps than northeast steps which would mean that the \( z \)th step of \( P \) is not in the first quadrant. Thus \( \Gamma \) is a bijection from \( L_{3n} \) onto \( P_{3n} \).

\[ RL(x, t) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}} x^{\text{risL}(F)} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{3n}}{(3n)!} \frac{4^n((3n)!)!}{(n+1)!(2n+1)!} (x - 1)^{n-1}} \]

Using this formula for \( RL(x, t) \), we computed the following initial terms of \( RL(x, t) \).

\[ 1 + 2 \frac{t^3}{3!} + 16(4 + x) \frac{t^6}{6!} + 192 \left( \frac{43 + 26x + x^2}{9!} \right) t^{9} + \]

\[ 2816 \left( 983 + 975x + 141x^2 + x^3 \right) \frac{t^{12}}{12!} + \]

\[ 46592 \left( 41141 + 57086x + 16506x^2 + 766x^3 + x^4 \right) \frac{t^{15}}{15!} + \]

\[ 835584 \left( 2848169 + 5084786x + 2311247x^2 + 261973x^3 + 4324x^4 + x^5 \right) \frac{t^{18}}{18!} + \cdots . \]

5.4 IAF\(_n^2\).

As with our other examples, we can think of IAF\(_n^2\) as the number of linear extensions of a poset of the type whose Hasse diagram is pictured at the top of Figure 15. That is, the Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) consists of \( n \) binary shrubs where there is an arrow from the right-most element of each shrub to the left-most element of the next shrub. We shall also need to consider three related posets, \( E_n \), \( S_n \), and \( B_n \). \( E_n \) is the poset whose Hasse diagram starts with the Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) and adds one extra node which is connected to the Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) by an arrow that goes from the right-most node of the right-most binary shrub to the new node. \( S_n \) is the poset whose Hasse diagram starts with Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) and adds one extra node which is connected to the Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) by an arrow that goes from the new node to the left-most node of the left-most binary shrub. \( B_n \) is the poset whose Hasse diagram starts with the Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) and adds two extra nodes, one which is connected as in \( E_n \) and one which is connected as in \( S_n \). Thus the Hasse diagram of \( E_n \) starts with Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) and adds an extra node at the end, the Hasse diagram of \( S_n \) starts with the Hasse diagram of \( A_n \) and adds an extra node at
the start, and the Hasse diagram of $B_n$ starts with the Hasse diagram of $A_n$ and adds both an extra node at the end and an extra node at the start. For example, Figure 15 pictures $A_5$, $E_5$, $S_5$, and $B_5$.

For $W \in \{A, E, S, B\}$, we let $\mathcal{L}W_n$ denote the set of linear extensions of $W_n$ and $\text{LW}_n = |\mathcal{L}W_n|$. We shall show that $\mathcal{L}A_n$, $\mathcal{L}E_n$, $\mathcal{L}S_n$, and $\mathcal{L}B_n$ satisfy simple recurrence relations. First in Figures 16 and 17 we have listed all the elements of $\mathcal{L}A_1$, $\mathcal{L}E_1$, $\mathcal{L}S_1$, and $\mathcal{L}B_1$. Thus

$\mathcal{L}A_1 = 2$, $\mathcal{L}E_1 = 3$, $\mathcal{L}S_1 = 5$, and $\mathcal{L}B_1 = 9$.

We start with the recursion for $\mathcal{L}B_n$. Suppose that $n > 1$. Then consider where the label 1 can be in an element of $\mathcal{L}B_n$. There are four cases to consider. First, 1 could be the label of the left-most element
in which case the remaining labels must correspond to a linear extension of $E_n$. Otherwise 1 is the label of the root of the $k^{th}$ binary shrub for some $k = 1, \ldots, n$. If $1 < k < n$, then there is no relation that is forced between the labels to left of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $B_{k-1}$ and the labels to the right of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $B_{n-k}$. In the special case where $k = 1$, the Hasse diagram of the poset to the left of the node labeled 1 is just a 2 element chain which we call $B_0$. Similarly, in special case where $k = n$, the Hasse diagram of the poset to the right of the node labeled 1 is just $B_0$. Clearly, $LB_0 = 1$. These four cases are pictured in Figure 18. For each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $\binom{3n+1}{3(k-1)+2}$ ways to choose the labels of the elements to the left of 1. It follows that

$$LB_n = LE_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{3n+1}{3(k-1)+2} \right) LB_{k-1} LB_{n-k}. \quad (18)$$

![Fig. 18: The recursion for $LB_n$.](image)

Next consider the recursion for $LS_n$. Suppose that $n > 1$. Then consider where the label 1 can be in an element of $LS_n$. Again there are four cases to consider. First, 1 could be the label of the left-most element in which case the remaining labels must correspond to a linear extension of $A_n$. Otherwise 1 is the label of the root of the $k^{th}$ binary shrub for some $k = 1, \ldots, n$. If $1 < k < n$, then there is no relation that is forced between the labels to left of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $B_{k-1}$ and the labels to the right of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $S_{n-k}$. In the special case where $k = 1$, the Hasse diagram of the poset to the left of the node labeled 1 is just $B_0$. Similarly, in special case where $k = n$, the Hasse diagram of the poset to the right of the node labeled 1 is a one element poset which we call $S_0$. Clearly, $LS_0 = 1$. These four cases are pictured in Figure 19. For each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $\binom{3n}{3(k-1)+2}$ ways to choose the labels of the elements to the left of 1. It follows that got $n \geq 2$,

$$LS_n = LA_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{3n}{3(k-1)+2} \right) LB_{k-1} LS_{n-k}. \quad (19)$$

Next consider the recursion for $LE_n$. Suppose that $n > 1$. Then consider where the label 1 can be in an element of $LS_n$. In this case, there are three cases to consider. That is, 1 must be the label of the root of the $k^{th}$ binary shrub for some $k = 1, \ldots, n$. If $1 < k < n$, then there is no relation that is forced between the labels to left of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $E_{k-1}$ and the labels to the right of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $B_{n-k}$. In the special case where $k = 1$, the Hasse diagram of the poset
to the left of the node labeled 1 is just a one element poset which we will also call $E_0$. Clearly, $LE_0 = 1$. Similarly, in special case where $k = n$, the Hasse diagram of the poset to the right of the node labeled 1 is just $B_0$. These three cases are pictured in Figure 20. For each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $(3^{k-1} + 1)$ ways to choose the labels of the elements to the left of 1. It follows that

$$LE_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{3n}{3(k-1) + 1} \right) LE_{k-1}LB_{n-k}.$$  \hfill (20)

Finally consider the recursion for $LA_n$. Now suppose that $n > 1$. Then consider where the label 1 can be in an element of $LS_n$. In this case, there are three cases to consider. That is, 1 must be the label of the root of the $k^{th}$ binary shrub for some $k = 1, \ldots, n$. If $1 < k < n$, then there is no relation that is forced between the labels to left of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $E_{k-1}$ and the labels to the right of 1 which correspond to a linear extension of $S_{n-k}$. In the special case where $k = 1$, the Hasse diagram of the poset to the left of the node labeled 1 is $E_0$. Similarly, in special case where $k = n$, the Hasse diagram of the poset to the right of the node labeled 1 is just $S_0$. These three cases are pictured in Figure 21. For each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $(3^{n-1} + 1)$ ways to choose the labels of the elements to the left of 1. It follows that for $n \geq 2$,

$$LA_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{3n - 1}{3(k-1) + 1} \right) LE_{k-1}LS_{n-k}.$$  \hfill (21)

One can check directly that (18), (19), (20), and (21) also hold for $n = 1$. By iterating these recursions, we can compute the first few terms of the sequences $(LA_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(LB_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(LE_n)_{n \geq 0}$, and $(LS_n)_{n \geq 0}$.
For example, the first few terms of \((LA_n)_{n \geq 0}\) are
\[
1, 2, 40, 3194, 666160, 287316122, 22237912664, 280180369563194, \\
537546603651987424, 1490424231594917313242, 57359300507027095958280, \ldots
\]

The first few terms of \((LB_n)_{n \geq 0}\) are
\[
1, 9, 477, 74601, 25740261, 16591655817, 17929265150637, 3009878475311239, \\
7418057908459895221, 256937013876000351610089, 12080259371403268201735037, \ldots
\]

The first few terms of \((LE_n)_{n \geq 0}\) are
\[
1, 3, 99, 11259, 3052323, 1620265923, 1488257158851, 2172534146099019, \\
4736552519729393091, 14708695606607601165843, 626714742039942099631403299, \ldots
\]

The first few terms of \((LS_n)_{n \geq 0}\) are
\[
1, 5, 169, 19241, 5216485, 2769073949, 2543467934449, 3712914075133121, \\
8094884285992309261, 25137521110586509819605, 10710754239586078895709049 \ldots
\]

None of these sequences appear in the OEIS, see [Sloane, 2017].

One can also study the generating functions
\[
\begin{align*}
A(t) &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{LA_n t^{3n}}{(3n)!}, \\
E(t) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{LE_n t^{3n+1}}{(3n+1)!}, \\
S(t) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{LS_n t^{3n+1}}{(3n+1)!}, \text{ and} \\
B(t) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{LB_n t^{3n+2}}{(3n+2)!}.
\end{align*}
\]
It is straightforward to show that the recursions (18), (20), (19), and (21) imply that the following differential equations hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
A'(t) &= E(t)S(t), \\
E'(t) &= 1 + E(t)B(t), \\
S'(t) &= A(t) + B(t)S(t), \quad \text{and} \\
B'(t) &= t + E(t) + (B(t))^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that it follows from the last differential equation that

\[
B'(t) - t - (B(t))^2 = E(t),
\]

which can be plugged into the second differential equation to show that

\[
B''(t) = 2 + 3B'(t)B(t) - tB(t) - (B(t))^3.
\]  
(22)

Thus in principle, we can obtain a recursion for the \(LB_n\) in terms of \(LB_0, \ldots, LB_{n-1}\) which in turn can lead to more direct recursions for \(LE_n, LS_n, \) and \(LA_n\). However, all such recursions are more complicated than the family of recursions described above.

We used the initial terms of the sequence \((LA_n)_{n\geq 0}\) to compute the following initial terms of \(\mathcal{R}_A(x, t)\).

\[
1 + \frac{t^3}{3!} + 40(1 + x)\frac{t^6}{6!} + (3194 + 7052x + 3194x^2)\frac{t^9}{9!} + \\
880 \left(757 + 2603x + 2603x^2 + 757x^3\right)\frac{t^{12}}{12!} + \\
2 \left(143658061 + 671012156x + 1061347566x^2 + 671012156x^3 + 143658061x^4\right)\frac{t^{15}}{15!} + \\
136 \left(1634102299 + 9646627503x + 21007526198x^2 + 21007526198x^3 + \\
9646627503x^4 + 1634102299x^5\right)\frac{t^{18}}{18!} + \cdots.
\]

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we computed the generating function of 5 different kinds of rises in forests of binary shrubs. Our work can be viewed as the first step in studying consecutive patterns in forests of binary shrubs. We will study such patterns in a subsequent paper.

In addition, we can also study the analogues of up-down permutations relative to \(<_T, <_B, <_L\) and \(<_A\). For example, we say that an \(F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathcal{F}_n^2\) is an up-down forest of binary shrubs with respect to the \(<_T\) if \(\text{Rise}_T(F)\) equals the set of odd numbers less than \(n\). We also will study such analogues of up-down permutations in a subsequent paper.
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