Supercritical angle Raman microscopy: a surface-sensitive nanoscale technique without field enhancement
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Raman scattering microscopy is a versatile tool for label-free imaging and molecular fingerprint analysis. Here, we provide the first demonstration that the selective collection of scattered signals exceeding the critical angle for total internal reflection enables surface-confined spontaneous Raman investigations at nanometre resolution. This high-axial selectivity leads to improved signal-to-background ratios, thus making this technique an excellent probe for surface-related molecular specimens. The richness of the spectroscopic information obtained through the supercritical angle Raman (SAR) collection path was proven by comparing its output with that of a parallel far-field collection path. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the proposed SAR technique is a versatile microscopy approach that can be used alone or in combination with amplified Raman modalities such as surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering.
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INTRODUCTION

Raman scattering is a straightforward spectroscopic method of characterising complex molecular systems. It gathers rich chemical, structural and functional information, providing insight into central issues in chemical physics1, biophysics2 and materials science3. One shortcoming is that the typically low Raman scattering cross sections, in the range of $10^{-29}$ to $10^{-31}$ cm$^2$, tend to result in weak signal levels4. Nevertheless, advances in the field of optical microscopy currently allow for the exploitation of spontaneous Raman scattering for label-free investigations of biological macromolecules, single cells and tissues5. The resolution of classical confocal Raman microscopy is limited by diffraction, and the signal-to-noise and signal-to-background ratios remain challenging issues for the large-scale application of this technique6,7. In this context, alternative Raman modalities have emerged in recent years. These techniques can successfully achieve several-fold amplification of the Raman scattered signals. Among them, two main approaches can be distinguished: nonlinear or coherent Raman spectroscopy (CRS)8, which takes advantage of nonlinear excitation schemes, and surface-plasmon-related methods, such as the extensively used surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS)9. Furthermore, an interesting implementation of both approaches, namely, surface-enhanced coherent anti-Stokes Raman microscopy, has recently been reported10. This method allows for surface-sensitive super-resolution CRS to be performed by using an optical microscope built on the basis of the Kretschmann configuration11.

Despite the prominent scientific output of amplified or enhanced Raman modalities10-17, the development of spontaneous Raman methods for nanoscale detection remains a subject of interest. Surface-enhanced Raman techniques also present disadvantages. The complex electromagnetic enhancement mechanism underlying SERS often leads to spectrally modified molecular fingerprints. The spectral content often slightly varies among substrates18 and even within the same substrate, depending on the SERS hotspot microenvironment19. In addition, the necessary proximity of metallic structures is likely to interfere in many chemical and biological processes20. However, coherent Raman scattering has been demonstrated to be very efficient for dynamic imaging21,22, although it does not allow for investigation of broad spectroscopic signatures, and it often requires complex optical pumping schemes with high-peak-power laser pulses23. Such pulses risk damaging the sample under investigation, a particularly critical consideration in the case of biologically active specimens. Thus, alternative methods for nanoscale detection remain a subject of interest. Among the very few techniques that have enabled super-resolution spontaneous Raman investigations to date, approaches such as structure line illumination (SLI) Raman microscopy25 and total internal reflection (TIR) Raman microscopy26,27 have shown promising results. The technique presented in the current work, referred to as supercritical angle Raman (SAR) microscopy, is a powerful near-field-based method of conducting surface-sensitive...
non-amplified Raman scattering investigations. SAR microscopy takes advantage of the fact that dipole emitters embedded in a medium of refractive index \( n_1 \), and in proximity to a second medium of refractive index \( n_2 > n_1 \) emit a non-negligible portion of their total radiated power into angles exceeding the critical angle for total internal reflection\(^{28,29} \). This supercritical radiation, also referred to as ‘forbidden light’, is due to the electromagnetic coupling of an emitter’s near field with the high-refractive-index medium\(^{28-30} \). Therefore, it is exponentially dependent on the distance from the emitter to the refractive index discontinuity. Thus, the selective collection of this forbidden light provides strong axial confinement to the refractive index discontinuity and, consequently allows for direct insight into surface-bound specimens and boundaries. The principle of supercritical angle detection has been successfully applied to fluorescent probes, thereby giving rise to so-called supercritical angle fluorescence (SAF) microscopy\(^{31} \). It has also been exploited in nanoscopy techniques derived from SAF, such as direct optical nanoscopy with axially localised detection (DONALD)\(^{32} \). Nevertheless, prior to this study, it had never been applied to Raman scattering collection. The extension of the principle from fluorescence to label-free Raman scattering is an important step forward in the field of high-resolution microscopy and surface science. The intrinsic structural and functional spectroscopic information contained in the Raman spectra, which is not accessible through dye-labelled fluorescence investigations, is crucial for gaining an understanding of complex surface phenomena, such as molecular aggregation\(^{33} \) or surface functionalization\(^{34} \).

In this work, we provide the first demonstration that Raman scattering beyond the critical angle for total internal reflection can be efficiently collected, and we present the advantages of this microscopy technique for probing sub-micrometre volumes and nanoscale specimens without a need for field amplification or signal enhancement.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Supercritical angle near-field and classical undercritical angle far-field Raman scattered signals, hereafter referred to as ‘supercritical angle’ Raman (SAR) signals and ‘undercritical angle’ Raman (UAR) signals in reference to their fluorescence analogues\(^{35} \), were simultaneously collected by using a custom-built objective consisting of a parabolic mirror and a multilens system with a numerical aperture equal to 1. The parabolic element collected signals at angles between 60° and 80°. Thus, this method gathers essentially all SAR scattering for a water/glass interface characterised by a critical angle of 61.1°. Meanwhile, the inner optics focus the excitation and collect UAR scattering between 0° and 41°. The custom-built objective was incorporated into an optical microscopy set-up prepared for imaging and spectral analysis (Figure 1). A power-tuneable diode laser at 633 nm applied the excitation. After the objective, the collimated UAR and SAR beams appeared transversally as a 5-mm diameter circle surrounded by a 6–8 mm collimated ring, respectively. These two concentric beams were separated by a 45° mirror mounted on a glass window before detection. As displayed in Figure 1, two different detection paths were available for the separated beams. Thus, the separated beams could be focused into two identical single-photon avalanche diodes (SPADs) with an active area of 180 μm or could be injected through two identical fibre couplers into a 200 μm core multimode fibre. The fibre output was connected to a spectrometer equipped with a low-noise CCD camera cooled to −70 °C. The detection paths were switched by means of flip mirrors. Initial suppression of the Rayleigh scattering was performed with a dichroic beam splitter and several long-pass spectral filters along the collection paths. Furthermore, a band-pass filter was used in front of the SPADs to select the 1000 cm\(^{-1} \) peak in the polystyrene experiment. Images were recorded by using a custom-written Borland C++ program, whereas LabSpec (Horiba, Irvine, CA, USA) was used for spectral acquisitions. The images and spectra were then processed in MATLAB. A constant background was subtracted from both the UAR and SAR spectra. For clarity, the SAR spectra were
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also smoothed by means of adjacent averaging with a 5-point moving window.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The angular radiation patterns of a dipole emitter close to a water\((n_1 = 1.333)/\text{glass} (n_2 = 1.523)\) interface for various dipole orientations and various distances from the refractive index discontinuity are displayed in Figure 2. In the simulation, the extinction of the supercritical radiation with increasing axial distance \(z\) can be clearly observed (Figure 2a and 2b). This extinction was not observed for the classical undercritical radiation patterns (Figure 2c and 2d), which propagated towards the lower half-space (glass) regardless of the distance from the emitter to the refractive index interface (see the Supplementary Information for detailed simulations). The orientation of the induced dipoles determined the proportion of the radiated power emitted as supercritical and undercritical.

Parallel SAR and UAR imaging of an aqueous suspension of polystyrene microspheres demonstrates the surface selectivity of SAR detection. In contrast to the UAR signal (Figure 3a), the SAR signal was sensitive to only microspheres on the coverslip surface, offering detection. In contrast to the UAR signal (Figure 3a), the SAR signal was sensitive to only microspheres on the coverslip surface, offering detection. In contrast to the UAR signal (Figure 3a), the SAR signal was sensitive to only microspheres on the coverslip surface, offering detection.

The experimental decay of the SAR signal was compared with the \(z\)-dependent supercritical decay\(^{37,38}\). Thus, we confirmed that the SAR intensity was strongly dominated by the axial distance between the microsphere surface and the refractive index discontinuity. This result was in contrast to the UAR signal intensity, which was primarily dependent on the number of scattering centres within the collection.

![Figure 2](image-url) Angular distributions of the radiation emitted by a punctual incoherent dipole located at a distance \(z\) from a water/glass interface \((\theta_c = 61.1^\circ)\). (a) Dipole oriented perpendicular to the interface at \(z=0\). In this case, a large amount of the radiated power is emitted at high angles towards the lower half-space (glass). (b) For \(z = 1000\) nm \((z> \lambda = 632\) nm\), almost all of the emission occurs towards the upper half-space (water), because of total internal reflection. (c) Dipole oriented parallel to the interface at \(z=0\) and (d) at \(z=1000\) nm. Radiation patterns from ensembles of randomly oriented dipoles were computed by adding the patterns of parallel and perpendicular dipoles\(^{29,30}\).

aromatic ring vibrations\(^{36}\), appeared in both the SAR and UAR channels (Figure 3e and 3f). However, the intensity of the SAR peaks decayed faster than that of the UAR peaks, and the SAR peaks were indistinguishable from the noise level at a distance of farther than 1260 nm from the centre of the sphere, ~ 3/4 of the sphere radius.

In classical spontaneous Raman scattering, the Raman intensity is proportional to the number of scattering centres contributing to the signal, which directly depends on the excited volume. Therefore, progressive intensity decay is expected when the objective is moved from the centre towards the edge of a sphere, because of the decrease in the excited volume. Taking advantage of the spherical geometry, we estimated the portion of the polymer sphere contained within the classical confocal collection volume as a spherical segment of volume \(\pi h(3a^2 + 3b^2 + h^2)/6\), where \(h\) is the thickness of the spherical segment, here defined by the size of the laser focal spot at the interface (550 nm), and \(a\) and \(b\) are the lower and higher radii of the segment. The corresponding spherical segment volume was thus calculated for every position from \(X_0\) to \(X_6\) (Figure 3d). For the last positions, \(X_7\) and \(X_8\), a spherical cap geometry was used, with \(V = \pi h^2(3a - h)/3\). Despite its simplicity, this model provides a satisfactory description of the UAR signal decay (Figure 4a). The evolution of the UAR and SAR intensities with the axial distance between the sphere surface and the coverslip is displayed in Figure 4b. The experimental decay of the SAR signal was compared with the \(z\)-dependent supercritical decay\(^{37,38}\). Thus, we confirmed that the SAR intensity was strongly dominated by the axial distance between the microsphere surface and the refractive index discontinuity. This result was in contrast to the UAR signal intensity, which was primarily dependent on the number of scattering centres within the collection.

**Figure 2** Angular distributions of the radiation emitted by a punctual incoherent dipole located at a distance \(z\) from a water/glass interface \((\theta_c = 61.1^\circ)\). (a) Dipole oriented perpendicular to the interface at \(z=0\). In this case, a large amount of the radiated power is emitted at high angles towards the lower half-space (glass). (b) For \(z = 1000\) nm \((z> \lambda = 632\) nm\), almost all of the emission occurs towards the upper half-space (water), because of total internal reflection. (c) Dipole oriented parallel to the interface at \(z=0\) and (d) at \(z=1000\) nm. Radiation patterns from ensembles of randomly oriented dipoles were computed by adding the patterns of parallel and perpendicular dipoles\(^{29,30}\).
volume (Figure 4a). Thus, the SAR sensitivity appeared to be limited to a few hundreds of nanometres in depth, with a detection efficiency decrease on the order of 80% after 200 nm.

A closer look into the UAR and SAR spectra revealed noticeable differences (Figure 4c), the most remarkable being the relative intensity change between the 1000 and 1600 cm$^{-1}$ modes. Variations in this particular ratio are often related to the length of the polymer chains or the degree of polymerisation$^{39}$. A lower ratio does, in fact, correspond to a lower degree of polymerisation. In particular, the observed ratios of 2.9 in the UAR signal and 1.4 in the SAR signal may indicate a lower degree of polymerisation on the sphere surface, possibly because of polymer degradation mechanisms$^{40}$. In addition, some additional peaks were distinguished in the SAR spectrum, for instance, near 1380 cm$^{-1}$. This vibration mode is most likely attributable to the known presence of functional carboxylate groups on the sphere surface$^{41}$. Further investigations would be required to

Figure 3 Simultaneous UAR and SAR imaging and spectroscopic analysis of a single polymer microsphere in water ($\lambda_{\text{exc}}=633$ nm). (a) UAR and (b) SAR imaging of an aqueous suspension of polystyrene microspheres. The images were obtained by selectively filtering the 1000 cm$^{-1}$ polystyrene transition. (c) Microsphere with an average diameter of 3.3 μm found immobilised on the coverslip surface. (d) Scheme depicting the lateral positions at which Raman spectra were acquired. The expected UAR and SAR collection volumes are represented at their corresponding scales$^{35}$. (e) UAR and (f) SAR polystyrene spectra. The excitation power was set to 0.5 mW for imaging and to 50 mW for spectral acquisition, thus resulting in power densities on the order of $2 \times 10^5$ and $2 \times 10^7$ W cm$^{-2}$, respectively. Exposure times of 0.2 and 20 s were chosen to ensure that at the starting point $X_0$, the polystyrene Raman modes would have comparable peak intensities in both the UAR and SAR collection channels.
Such comparisons are not possible either in TIR Raman spectroscopy or in SERS. In TIR microscopy, axial confinement is ensured by evanescent wave excitation, such that the penetration into the sample is typically limited to a few hundred nanometres. In SERS, the strong enhancement effect boosts the signal originating from surface specimens and masks any spontaneous contribution from the bulk. In addition, the spectral changes observed in SERS spectra with respect to the classical Raman spectra obtained from the same molecular specimen are difficult to interpret. Indeed, their origin is often attributed to the SERS substrate and the complex electromagnetic enhancement mechanism. In contrast, the classical far-field excitation used here allows the probing of micron-size volumes and the selective collection of reliable spectroscopic information from surface-related specimens (SAR) and their environment (UAR).

As a straightforward application, SAR was used to detect octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS) self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) coated on glass coverslips (see the Supplementary Information for details about the coating procedure). OTS SAM (Figure 5a) have standard thicknesses of 2.5 nm, varying slightly with the orientation of the hydrocarbon chains\(^4\). A non-amplified Raman scattering investigation of OTS SAMs is challenging because of the intrinsically weak Raman scattered signals arising from such small structures. It can even become impossible if the scattering in the monolayer’s environment is not negligible. Indeed, most previous Raman studies of this system have been conducted with electromagnetic enhancement\(^4\). To the best of our knowledge, the spontaneous Raman spectrum of an OTS SAM has been reported only once\(^4\), with low spectral quality.

The OTS coating here was investigated on the basis of both the SAR and UAR signals under several environmental conditions, specifically, in an aqueous medium and embedded in ethanol (Figure 5). The Raman spectrum of a well-organized OTS SAM covalently bound to silica\(^4\) is displayed in Figure 5b–5d. In the classical UAR spectrum, the signature of the surrounding water, with its typical O–H vibration band in the 3000–3500 cm\(^{-1}\) region, was visible. However, the SAR spectrum, the water background was highly suppressed because of the SAR axial confinement. Although the OTS SAM spectrum was clearly recognisable in both the UAR and SAR spectra, close inspection revealed differences in the branching ratio of the vibration modes (Figure 5c and 5d), more precisely, between the symmetric and asymmetric stretching modes. The symmetric stretching modes (~1126 cm\(^{-1}\) for C–C and ~2850 cm\(^{-1}\) for CH\(_{2}\) (Ref. 46)) appear weaker than the asymmetric modes in the SAR spectrum. This result cannot be explained by chemical or structural differences between the surface molecules and those in the bulk, because an OTS SAM is a 2D surface-bound structure. In other words, both the SAR and UAR signals collected here originated from the same molecules. Instead, this branching ratio change appeared to be due to a difference in the orientation of the induced electric dipole between the symmetric and asymmetric vibration modes. Spectral changes similar to those observed in Figure 5d have been reported in polarised vibrational spectroscopy. In particular, the symmetric CH\(_{2}\) stretching mode quenches in the so-called ‘sp’ and ‘ps’ spectra\(^7\), in which the emission polarisation is selected to be perpendicular to the excitation polarisation, thus indicating that the symmetric mode remains strictly parallel to the excitation polarisation, in contrast to the asymmetric mode. According to the simulations shown in Figure 2, quenching of the symmetric mode or boosting of the asymmetric mode can be expected for SAR collection, because perpendicular dipolar contributions are preferentially gathered in this case.

Water is a favourable medium for Raman investigations because it is a low-scattering medium and does not present strong peaks in the typical molecular fingerprint region (800–1600 cm\(^{-1}\)). Therefore,
using SAR collection instead of the classical confocal UAR collection approach was not critical for identifying the presence of the coating in this case. In contrast, as previously mentioned, Raman detection of an OTS SAM in an interfering environment is not trivial. To probe the SAR potential in such a case, we poured a generous amount of ethanol ($n = 1.3616$) over an OTS-coated coverslip. Ethanol molecules present a recognisable Raman spectrum with several strong peaks within the fingerprint region. As seen from the UAR and SAR analysis, only the well-known vibrations of ethanol molecules were collected through the UAR channel (Figure 5e). Thus, a classical confocal investigation would not permit identification of the OTS monolayer under these experimental conditions. However, the presence of the OTS coating was clear in the SAR spectrum. The ethanol contribution was also noticeable in Figure 5e, but it was not sufficiently dominant to mask the Raman peaks associated with the surface-bound silane molecules that were previously identified in the water environment (Figure 5e).

Thus, we demonstrated in detail the potential of the SAR technique for performing surface-selective non-amplified Raman spectroscopy investigations. However, despite the promising performance of SAR collection, the inherently weak spontaneous Raman scattering mechanism can be difficult to exploit in investigations that prove to be particularly challenging, such as extremely low molecular density systems.
fluorescence microscope. Raman scattering and fluorescence emission are spectroscopic methods that are difficult to combine in a single experiment. Here, this incompatibility was overcome by taking advantage of the availability of two well-distinguished collection volumes, one with a near-field extent and one with the classical far-field extent.

CONCLUSION

In this work, we presented a new Raman modality, namely, SAR microscopy, and demonstrated its use in performing surface-selective non-amplified Raman scattering investigations of nanoscale specimens with an improved signal-to-background ratio. The technique was applied for the detection of OTS SAMs, and was shown to be compatible with other Raman modalities such as SERRS.
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Figure 6 SAR detection of SERRS. Because of the resonant excitation of crystal violet at 633 nm, both fluorescence emission and enhanced Raman scattering are produced and collected. The Raman signal from the coverslip surface is evident in the SAR spectrum, whereas the UAR spectrum is dominated by the fluorescence emission from the bulk solution.
Supplementary Information for this article can be found on the Light: Science & Applications’ website (http://www.nature.com/lsa).