Dear Editor,

Propofol (2,6-diisopropylphenol) has been widely used in clinical surgery because of its fast induction and rapid recovery effects (Miller et al., 2014). Although the underlying mechanisms are still controversial, many studies have focused on the augmentation of GABA-induced inhibition and/or modulation of glycine receptor channel activity. However, inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic transmission may also contribute to general anesthesia. The effects of general anesthetics are significantly enhanced when glutamate receptor antagonists are co-administered (Ishizaki et al., 1996). Intrathecal administration of both GABA_A and glycine receptor antagonist only increase the minimum alveolar concentration ∼50%, suggesting that enhanced inhibitory synaptic transmission is not sufficient to account for general anesthesia (Zhang et al., 2001). However, the underlying mechanisms by which intravenous anesthetics inhibit glutamatergic synaptic transmission remain unclear.

Exocytosis and endocytosis play crucial physiological roles in neuronal communication. Inhibition of exocytosis directly inhibits the postsynaptic current, and inhibition of endocytosis exhausts the secretion-competent vesicles, both of which suppress synaptic transmission. General anesthetics may play a role in the inhibition of each of these steps, but precise presynaptic mechanisms are lacking. A previous study showed that isoflurane inhibits the excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSC) by reducing presynaptic action potential amplitude (Wu et al., 2004). Whether general anesthetics inhibit presynaptic calcium and subsequent exo-endocytosis remains elusive. The contribution of each presynaptic step needs to be clarified further. Here, we studied how propofol modulates synaptic transmission at a giant glutamatergic synapse, the calyx of Held, in the rat brainstem. The large presynaptic nerve terminal allows for direct measurement of the presynaptic action potential, calcium influx, and vesicle exo-endocytosis and the combination of both pre- and postsynaptic recordings may provide new insights into the propofol-modulated synaptic transmission.

First, we evoked postsynaptic EPSCs by fiber stimulation at the midline of the trapezoid body every 10 s. After obtaining a stable baseline for 5 min, we added 250 μmol/L propofol to the extracellular solution. The EPSCs were significantly reduced by 14% ± 1% in approximately 5 min (n = 7; Fig. 1A and 1B), showing an acute inhibitory effect by propofol. We also examined the inhibition of the EPSC with different propofol concentrations from 100–500 μmol/L. We did not observe significant inhibition at 100 μmol/L propofol (n = 5; Fig. 1A and 1B). However, at concentrations of 500 μmol/L, we observed increased inhibition of the EPSC amplitude (n = 5; Fig. 1A and 1B). At all concentrations of propofol, the rise time and decay time of the EPSCs were not affected (Fig. 1B).

Next, we measured the paired-pulse ratio (PPR) to further examine whether inhibition of the EPSC was caused by a presynaptic mechanism. A pair of stimuli with an interval of 20 ms induced two consecutive EPSCs at the principal neuron. After administration of 250 μmol/L propofol, the PPR significantly increased to 53% ± 8% (n = 6; Fig. 1C). With 100 μmol/L propofol, we did not observe a significant change in the PPR (n = 5; Fig. 1D). However, with 500 μmol/L propofol, the PPR was further increased to 66% ± 8% (n = 5; Fig. 1D). In addition to the increased PPR, we also observed an increase in synaptic delay after the administration of propofol (Fig. 1E), suggesting a slowdown in the synaptic transmission arriving at the principal neuron. We further recorded the mEPSCs and found propofol does not affect the sensitivity of postsynaptic AMPA receptors (Fig. S1). The increased PPR and prolonged synaptic delay suggest that the inhibition of EPSCs is caused by presynaptic mechanisms.

The release of presynaptic glutamate is initiated by the arrival of action potentials at the nerve terminal. By whole cell current injection, we found that the AP amplitude was decreased by ∼10% (control: 100.2 ± 2.8 mV, n = 5; propofol: 89.7 ± 2.9 mV, n = 7) and the half-width increased by ∼26% (control: 0.47 ± 0.02 ms, n = 5; propofol: 0.59 ± 0.04 ms, n = 5; Fig. 1F) with 250 μmol/L propofol, suggesting a direct modulation of the AP waveform. Because the AP amplitude is determined mostly by the sodium channel, we measured the sodium currents by blocking the calcium and potassium channel-mediated currents. A 10-ms depolarization pulse
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Figure 1. Propofol reduces EPSC amplitude by inhibiting the presynaptic sodium current. (A) Left: EPSC was recorded every 10 s after stimulation at the midline of the trapezoid body. 100–500 μmol/L propofol was added to the bath solution after obtaining a stable baseline and recorded for another ~15 min (100 μmol/L, black; 250 μmol/L, red; 500 μmol/L, blue). Right: Sampled EPSCs from time points a and b were superimposed. (B) Left: The mean normalized EPSC amplitude after administration of propofol at different concentrations (100–500 μmol/L). The EPSC was averaged from 30 EPSCs 5 min after application of propofol and normalized to the current averaged from 30 EPSCs before the application of propofol. Right: The mean 10%–90% rise time (control, n = 5; propofol, n = 5–7) and decay time (control, n = 5; propofol, n = 5–7 for each group) before and after administration of propofol. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. (C) Left: The paired-pulse ratio (PPR, EPSC2/EPSC1) was plotted before and after administration of 250 μmol/L propofol. Right: Sampled pair of EPSCs from time points a and b. (D) The mean PPR before and after administration of 100, 250 and 500 μmol/L propofol. *P < 0.05. (E) Left: Sampled EPSCs from control (black) and propofol-treated (red) calyces. Traces are aligned to show the synaptic delay, and the dashed line indicates the onset of the EPSC. Right: Statistics for the synaptic delay from the control and propofol-treated groups (250 and 500 μmol/L). *P < 0.05. (F) Left: Sampled action potential waveform induced by single current injection (1 ms step current of 500 pA). Black, control; red, propofol-treated. Middle and right: Statistics for the action potential amplitude and half-width in controls and propofol-treated calyces. *P < 0.05. (G) Left: Sampled sodium current induced by a 10-ms depolarization pulse from −90 to −40 mV. Black, control; red, propofol-treated. Right: Overlap of the sampled sodium current traces from the control (black) and propofol-treated (scaled, red) groups. (H) Statistics for sodium current amplitude, 10%–90% rise time, and decay time in control and propofol-treated calyces. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. (I) Plot of the I-V sodium current induced by a 10 ms depolarization from −60 to +60 mV with a step of 5 mV in control (black) and propofol-treated calyces (red, n = 4–5 for each data point). (J) Left: Sodium current activation curves. Right: Sodium current inactivation curves. Black, controls; red, propofol-treated calyces (n = 4–5 for each data point).

from ~−90 to ~−40 mV induced a large sodium current (INa) of 9.6 ± 0.5 nA (n = 9). With 250 μmol/L propofol, the INa was reduced to 5.2 ± 0.3 nA (n = 5; Fig. 1G and 1H), which is in agreement with a previous study in the hippocampal synaptosome (Lingamaneni et al., 2001). The rise time of INa was not affected (n = 5). However, the decay time was increased after administration of 250 μmol/L propofol (control: 0.41 ± 0.04 ms, n = 9; propofol: 0.63 ± 0.10 ms, n = 5; Fig. 1H). The current-voltage (I-V) curve showed that the sodium current was reduced at every voltage step, and the peak shifted to the right (Fig. 1I). The sodium current activation/inactivation curves also demonstrated significant modulation of sodium channel (Fig. 1J), which is consistent with the increased synaptic delay. These results suggest that the reduced INa amplitude inhibited the AP amplitude, whereas increased decay time increased the half-width.

Propofol inhibited the EPSC largely due to the reduction in calcium influx (see Fig. S3 for the quantitative relationship). We plotted the I-V curve induced by a 200-ms depolarization pulse from −80 mV to +40 mV with an interval of 30 s (Fig. 2A). With 250 μmol/L propofol, the calcium current was smaller than in controls at every voltage step, and the peak did not shift (Fig. 2A), suggesting that propofol does not affect the characteristics of calcium channels. In p8–p10 rats, P/Q-, N- and R-type calcium channels are expressed at the presynaptic nerve terminal (Iwasaki et al., 2000). To determine which subtype accounted for inhibition of the calcium current, we applied calcium channel blockers to examine whether the remaining calcium current was vulnerable to propofol. When 200 mmol/L omega-agatoxin IVA, the P/Q-type calcium channel blocker, was applied to the bath solution for 30 min, the calcium current was reduced to 0.8 ± 0.1 nA, ~38% of control (n = 5). We then added 250 μmol/L propofol for another 30 min and found the calcium current was not reduced further (0.9 ± 0.1 nA, n = 5; Fig. 2B). When 1 μmol/L omega-conotoxin and 100 mmol/L SNX-482, the N- and R-type calcium channel blockers, were applied to the bath solution for 30 min, the calcium current was reduced to 1.4 ± 0.1 nA, ~70% of control (n = 5). Application of 250 μmol/L propofol for another 30 min further reduced the calcium current to 0.8 ± 0.1 nA (n = 5; Fig. 2C), showing a partial block of the P/Q-type calcium channel current. These results suggest that the P/Q-type calcium channel may be the main target mediating the propofol-inhibited calcium current at p8–p10 calyces.

Since calcium/calmodulin triggers exocytosis and initiates all forms of endocytosis (Wu et al., 2009), we next measured the calcium influx and vesicle exo-endocytosis. By applying stimulation pulses of various lengths (1 to 50 ms) to induce exocytosis and measure the readily releasable pool (RRP) size, we found that propofol decreases the vesicle release probability without affecting the RRP size (Fig. 2D). We have previously shown that depol20ms and depol20msx10 induced clathrin-dependent and -independent endocytosis, respectively (Sun et al., 2016). With 250 μmol/L propofol, depol20ms induced a mean calcium influx and exocytosis of 1.6 ± 0.2 nA and 359 ± 21 fC (n = 6), which was significantly smaller than in controls (calcium influx: 2.1 ± 0.1 nA; exocytosis: 435 ± 27 fC; n = 6; Fig. 2E and 2F). The subsequent endocytosis was also slowed. The Rateend after depol20ms was reduced (control: 46 ± 6 fF/s, n = 6; propofol: 29 ± 5 fF/s, n = 6; Fig. 2E and 2F). The residual capacitance measured 20 s after depol20ms was higher than in controls (Fig. 2F). Similarly, with 250 μmol/L propofol, the total calcium influx induced by depol20msx10 was also significantly reduced with a QICa of 256 ± 18 pC (n = 6), accompanied by a reduction in vesicle exocytosis (1,112 ± 81 fF, n = 8; Fig. 2G). The Rateend was dramatically inhibited and the residual capacitance measured 30 s after depol20msx10 was much higher...
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μmol/L (Haeseler et al., 2001; Iida et al., 2006), lower than human (Cotten et al., 2009). Second, our

consciousness dose in rats has been reported to be

∼

30–100 μmol/L (Haeseler et al., 2001; Iida et al., 2006), lower than human (Cotten et al., 2009).

Second, our experiments were performed at 22–24 °C, whereas the

temperature in clinical use is close to body temperature. The

blood concentration of propofol is ∼28% higher during

hypothermia than in normothermia (Bissonnette, 2011).

Third, in the brain slice recordings, the exact concentration

that reached the cell surface would be lower because of the

neural fibers inside the slice. A previous study reported an

∼3.4 times lower estimated with KCl (He et al., 2010).

Calcium-influx induced synaptic exocytosis initiates

synaptic transmission. Whether machinery downstream of

calcium could also be potential targets from general anesthetics remains unclear. A recent study reported that

propofol impairs neurotransmitter release by restricting the mobility of syntaxin 1A in cultured neurons (Bademosi et al., 2018). Since the syntaxin 1A-mediated neurotransmission is

highly conserved from worms to humans, it would be essential to see whether propofol could also affect other soluble NSF-attachment protein receptor (SNARE) proteins and how they inhibit vesicle fusion in the future studies.

In summary, we examined the presynaptic mechanisms of propofol-inhibited glutamatergic synaptic transmission at a central synapse. Propofol can inhibit the presynaptic sodium and calcium channels, both of which suppress the calcium current, resulting in substantial reduction of exocytosis and the EPSC, with a slowing down of endocytosis. Our study may provide helpful information on the clinical use of general anesthetics.
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