Retrospective Study

Therapeutic effects of the TST36 stapler on rectocele combined with internal rectal prolapse

Jin Meng, Zhi-Tao Yin, Ying-Yi Zhang, Yong Zhang, Xiu Zhao, Qing Zhai, De-Yu Chen, Wei-Gang Yu, Lei Wang, Zhi-Gang Wang

ORCID number: Jin Meng 0000-0002-5052-7834; Zhi-Tao Yin 0000-0001-9008-345X; Ying-Yi Zhang 0000-0002-5075-8341; Yong Zhang 0000-0003-3522-8526; Xiu Zhao 0000-0003-3790-7901; Qing Zhai 0000-0002-8408-0655; De-Yu Chen 0000-0002-4611-4280; Wei-Gang Yu 0000-0003-3544-5889; Lei Wang 0000-0002-9847-5885; Zhi-Gang Wang 0000-0002-1543-3779.

Author contributions: Wang ZG designed the study; Meng J collected the data and wrote the paper; Zhang YY, Yu WG, and Wang L were responsible for the operation; Zhang Y and Yin ZT conducted follow-up of the cases with anal pressure measurement; Zhai Q and Chen DY conducted anal ultrasound defecography; All authors approved the final manuscript.

Supported by: The Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province, No. 20170540840.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shenyang Coloproctology Hospital, Liaoning Province, China and carried out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Informed consent statement: All patients provided written informed consent.

Abstract

BACKGROUND
The most common causes of outlet obstructive constipation (OOC) are rectocele and internal rectal prolapse. The surgical methods for OOC are diverse and difficult, and the postoperative complications and recurrence rate are high, which results in both physical and mental pain in patients. With the continuous deepening of the surgeon’s concept of minimally invasive surgery and continuous in-depth research on the mechanism of OOC, the treatment concepts and surgical methods are continuously improved.

AIM
To determine the efficacy of the TST36 stapler in the treatment of rectocele combined with internal rectal prolapse.

METHODS
From January 2017 to July 2019, 49 female patients with rectocele and internal rectal prolapse who met the inclusion criteria were selected for treatment using the TST36 stapler.

RESULTS
Forty-five patients were cured, 4 patients improved, and the cure rate was 92%. The postoperative obstructed defecation syndrome score, the defecation frequency score, time/straining intensity, and sensation of incomplete evacuation were
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The TST36 stapler is safe and effective in treating rectocele combined with internal rectal prolapse and is worth promoting in clinical work.
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**Core Tip:** Clinical observations were carried out in 49 female patients with rectocele and internal rectal prolapse who met the inclusion criteria and underwent surgery with the TST36 stapler. The postoperative obstructed defecation syndrome score, defecation frequency score, time/straining intensity, and sensation of incomplete evacuation were significantly lower than those before treatment. The initial and maximum defecation thresholds in patients after surgery were significantly lower than those before treatment. The patients’ postoperative ratings of rectocele, resting phase, and defecation phase were significantly decreased compared with those before treatment.

**INTRODUCTION**

Constipation is divided into three categories, outlet obstructive constipation (OOC), slow transit constipation, and mixed constipation. Of these, OOC is more common[1] and seriously affects the quality of life of patients[2-4]. The most common causes of OOC are rectocele (RC) and internal rectal prolapse (IRP)[5,6].

A RC means that the anterior rectal wall protrudes forward during defection, which is caused by weakness of the anterior rectal wall, the rectovaginal septum, and the posterior vaginal wall. The forward depression of the anterior rectal wall can be visualized by X-ray defecography and is palpable during digital rectal examination[7]. Vaginal delivery is the main cause of RC[8]. If the forward protruding part of the anterior rectal wall is greater than 0.5 cm, it is diagnosed as RC; 0.6-1.5 cm is grade I RC, 1.6-3.0 cm is grade II RC, and ≥ 3.0 cm is grade III RC[9].

IRP refers to a functional disease in which the rectal mucosa invades the rectal cavity during defection. Sometimes it can be full-thickness intussusception, but the prolapsed part does not extend beyond the outer edge of the anus[10]. IRP was first proposed in 1903[11]. The main clinical manifestations of IRP include symptoms such as frequent bowel movements, anorectal swelling, incomplete defection, and difficulty in passing stool[12]. IRP ratings are grade I if the rectal mucosal prolapse is above the anorectal ring, and intussusception depth is 3-15 mm; grade II if the rectal mucosal prolapse is at the level of the dentate line, and intussusception depth is 16-30 mm; and grade III if the rectal mucosal prolapse is at the level of the anal canal, and the intussusception depth is greater than 31 mm[13,14].

The surgical methods used for this disorder are diverse and difficult, and postoperative complications and recurrence rates are high[15-22], which results in significant
physical and mental pain in patients. With the continuous deepening of the surgeon’s concept of minimally invasive surgery and continuous in-depth research on the mechanism of OOC, the treatment concepts and surgical methods have also continuously improved. In this study, the TST36STARR+ stapler was used to treat patients with RC and IRP, and its effect was analyzed in terms of morphology and function after surgery to evaluate comprehensively the clinical efficacy of this new technology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**General information**

From January 2017 to July 2019, female OOC patients with RC combined with IRP who met the inclusion criteria in Shenyang Anorectal Hospital and Dalian Third People’s Hospital were selected for treatment using the TST36 stapler. A total of 49 patients aged 35-71 years with an average age of 53.1 years and a medical history of 2-20 years were enrolled. The patients all had symptoms such as straining defecation, elongation of defecation time, hand-assisted defecation, and a feeling of incomplete evacuation that lasted more than 1 year.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Patients who met the Rome III criteria[23] and who had two or more of the following symptoms were included in the study: (1) More than 1/4 of defecations were laborious; (2) More than 1/4 of defecations consisted of a dry ball-shaped stool or hard stool; (3) More than 1/4 of defecations resulted in a feeling of incompleteness; (4) More than 1/4 of defecations had an anorectal obstruction/blockage; (5) More than 1/4 of defecations required manual assistance; and (6) Defecation less than 3 times/wk.

The patients must have symptoms for at least 6 mo before enrollment, and the duration should be more than 3 mo. The results of defecography and virtual defecography under 360° ultrasound in the rectal cavity suggested RC (> 3 cm) combined with IRP (> 10 mm)[24,25]; the balloon expulsion test was positive.

Patients with organic diseases such as irritable bowel syndrome, colonic slow transit constipation, and intestinal tumors were excluded.

**Apparatus and methods**

The TST36STARR+ stapler made by Touchstone International Medical Science Company Limited (Suzhou, China) was used during surgery. In addition, the Anorectum Manometer (Laborie Medical Technologies, Inc., Mississauga, Canada) and the 360° intrarectal ultrasound instrument (Brüel and Kjær, Denmark) were also used.

**Treatment methods**

The procedure was carried out under sacral anesthesia. The lithotomy position was routinely disinfected to expand the anus, and the circular anal dilator matching the TST36STARR+ stapler was placed in the anal canal (Figure 1A). According to the degree of prolapse and the depth of the protrusion, parachute anastomosis was performed at the 1, 5, 9, and 11 o’clock positions using traction sutures, which reached the muscle layer (Figure 1B). The TST36 stapler was inserted, and the traction line was drawn through the visible window (Figure 1C). Before activating the stapler, the posterior vaginal wall was identified to prevent it from entering the stapler cavity. After activating the stapler, bleeding was observed, and hemostatic treatment was carried out. The excised specimen was examined to confirm whether it was full-thickness rectum (Figure 1D). After the operation, the patient fasted for 3 d to control defecation and was then given laxative treatment for 5 d to prevent constipation.

**Efficacy evaluation**

The efficacy was determined according to the Chinese Medical Association’s constipation diagnosis and treatment standards. Cure: Constipation was improved, defecation 1-2 times/d, and the stool was evacuated within 5 min. Improved: Constipation was relieved; however, there was still mild smooth defecation. Defecation 2-3 times/d, and the defecation time was shorter than before treatment. Invalid: Constipation was not improved[26].

**Scoring**

Defecation function in patients was evaluated by Longo’s obstructed defecation
**Figure 1** The TST36STARR+ surgical procedure. A: The anus was enlarged and the circular anal dilator was inserted; B: The traction sutures were performed by parachute anastomosis, and the sutures reached deep into the muscle layer; C: The traction sutures were drawn through the visible window; D: The excised specimen was full-thickness rectum.

syndrome (ODS) score (Table 1)[27].

**Statistical analysis**
Statistic Package for Social Science 22.0 software (Armonk, NY, United States) was used for data analysis and processing, and the paired-samples t-test was used. When \( P < 0.05 \), the difference was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Efficacy**
Of the 49 patients included in the study, 45 were cured, 4 improved, and no invalid patients were observed. The cure rate was 92%. Short-term postoperative complications included anastomotic bleeding at 3-9 d after the operation in 2 patients, who were discharged after hemostasis treatment. Five patients had urine retention after the operation. Long-term postoperative complications after 1 year of follow-up showed no anal stenosis, anal incontinence, or rectovaginal fistula, and no recurrences were observed.

**ODS symptom score**
The patients’ constipation symptoms were followed up and evaluated 1 year after treatment, and these symptoms were significantly relieved. The results showed that the postoperative ODS score, defecation frequency score, time/straining intensity, and sensation of incomplete evacuation were significantly reduced compared with these parameters before treatment, and the differences were statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \), Table 2).
**Table 1 Longo’s obstructed defecation syndrome score**

| Question                        | Response (score) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Defecation frequency            | 1-2 def every; 1-2 d (0) | 2 def/wk or 3 def/d (1) | 1 def/wk or 4 def/d (2) | < 1 def/wk or > 4 def/d (3) |
| Straining intensity             | N or light (0)    | Moderate (1)            | Intensive (2)           |
| Straining extension             | Short time (1)    | Prolonged (2)           |
| Sensation of incomplete evacuation | Never (0)        | ≤ 1/wk (1)             | 2/wk (2)                 | > 2/wk (3)                  |
| Perineal discomfort             | Never (0)         | ≤ 1/wk (1)             | 2/wk (2)                 | > 2/wk (3)                 |
| Activity reduction              | Never (0)         | < 25% activity (1)     | 25%-50% activity (4)    | > 50% activity (6)         |
| Laxatives                       | Never (0)         | < 25% def (1)          | 25-50% def (3)          | > 50% def (5)              | Always (7)                  |
| Enemas                          | Never (0)         | < 25% def (1)          | 25-50% def (3)          | > 50% def (5)              | Always (7)                  |
| Digitation                      | Never (0)         | < 25% def (1)          | 25-50% def (3)          | > 50% def (5)              | Always (7)                  |

Def: Defecation.

**Table 2 Obstructed defecation syndrome scores and main symptom scores in patients before and after treatment**

| Time              | Cases | ODS score | Defecation frequency score | Defecation time/straining intensity score | Sensation of incomplete evacuation score |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Before treatment  | 49    | 17.71 ± 1.29 | 2.57 ± 0.50 | 3.39 ± 0.57 | 2.71 ± 0.46 |
| 1-yr follow-up    | 49    | 6.29 ± 0.71  | 0.86 ± 0.8 | 1.43 ± 0.91 | 1.14 ± 0.84 |
| t value           |       | 108.70     | 36.77 | 17.98 | 22.00 |
| P value           |       | < 0.05     | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |

ODS: Obstructed defecation syndrome.

**Measurement of anorectal pressure**

The anal canal resting pressure and maximum squeeze pressure after the operation were lower than those before treatment, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The initial and maximum defecation thresholds of patients after the operation were significantly lower than those before treatment, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$, Table 3).

**360° ultrasound measurement in the rectal cavity**

The postoperative RC scale, resting phase, and defecation phase in patients were significantly decreased compared with those before treatment, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$, Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

OOC is abnormal defecation caused by abnormal function and morphology of the rectum and anal canal. The main clinical symptoms are difficulty in defecation, incomplete defecation, prolonged defecation time, and the need for manual assistance to defecate. It is commonly found in RC and IRP[11,28]. OOC seriously affects people’s normal work, study, and life, in particular female patients with constipation[29]. A variety of methods have been used to treat the disease, but due to a series of problems such as postoperative complications and high recurrence rates, satisfactory results have not been achieved.

The TST36 is a new type of large-capacity stapler with an average resected rectal tissue volume of 13.3 cm$^3$ (range 8-19 cm$^3$) and an average resected height of 5.18 cm (range 2.5-8 cm). The use of this stapler results in the removal of more tissue[30]. The TST36 stapler has an open large window, which provides the surgeon with a good view of the rectal tissue. The surgeon can control the volume of the prolapsed tissue to...
be removed through the traction line, to treat better RC and IRP at the same time. It avoids the shortcomings of blind cutting with traditional staplers and improves the safety and effectiveness of the operation[31].

Anal stenosis is the most troublesome postoperative complication, which can result in considerable pain in patients. Our research showed that surgery using the TST36 can avoid anal stenosis. This may be due to the selective removal of prolapsed and protruding rectal tissue under the direct field of view, which can maximize the preservation of normal mucosal bridges, thereby effectively preventing postoperative anal stenosis[32].

The TST36 stapler has an open window, which avoids the shortcomings of blind cutting when using traditional staplers. The surgeon can control the volume of the prolapsed tissue to be removed through the traction line and make corresponding adjustments, without removing or destroying the normal rectal mucosa, thereby effectively reducing the risk of rectovaginal fistula and postoperative hemorrhage caused by excessive removal of rectal mucosa.

The postoperative anal canal resting pressure and maximum squeeze pressure in patients were lower than those before treatment, but the differences were not clinically significant. This suggests that surgery using the TST36 stapler does not affect the function of the internal and external anal sphincter, and normal anal pressure can be maintained after the operation. The initial and maximum defecation thresholds in patients after the operation were significantly lower than those before treatment, and the differences were statistically significant. This suggests that the normal physiological capacity of the rectum can be restored after removal of the prolapsed tissue and the capsular bag of the anterior wall of the rectum, and the anal canal’s anatomical structure can be restored to a greater extent[33].

The etiology of OOC is complicated. However, use of the TST36 stapler to perform surgery in patients with RC combined with IRP reduces the risk of complications, such as anal stenosis and postoperative hemorrhage, and protects the patient’s normal anal function, achieving satisfactory clinical effects. This treatment is worth promoting; however, further long-term follow-up observation is needed to determine its long-term efficacy.

**CONCLUSION**

The TST36 stapler is safe and effective in treating RC combined with IRP, and it is worthy of promotion for use in clinical work.
**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**
The most common causes of outlet obstructive constipation (OOC) are rectocele (RC) and internal rectal prolapse (IRP). The surgical methods for OOC are diverse and difficult, and the postoperative complications and recurrence rate are high, which results in both physical and mental pain in patients. With the continuous deepening of the surgeon’s concept of minimally invasive surgery and continuous in-depth research on the mechanism of OOC, the treatment concepts and surgical methods are continuously improved.

**Research motivation**
The TST36STARR+ stapler was used to treat patients with RC and IRP. The effects of this stapler in terms of morphology and function after surgery have not been well studied.

**Research objectives**
This study aimed to assess treatment outcome following use of the TST36 stapler in patients with RC combined with IRP.

**Research methods**
Forty-nine female patients with RC and IRP who met the inclusion criteria were selected for treatment with the TST36 stapler, and their outcomes were analyzed.

**Research results**
The cure rate was 92%. The postoperative obstructed defecation syndrome score, defecation frequency score, time/straining intensity, and sensation of incomplete evacuation were significantly decreased compared with these parameters before treatment. The initial and maximum defecation thresholds in patients after surgery were significantly lower than those before treatment. The postoperative ratings of RC, resting phase, and defecation phase were significantly decreased compared with those before treatment.

**Research conclusions**
The TST36 stapler is safe and effective in treating patients with RC combined with IRP, and it is worthy of promotion in clinical work.

**Research perspectives**
The TST36 stapler is safe and effective in treating RC combined with IRP, and it is worthy of popularization and continuous improvement in clinical work.
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