Stress Granule-Mediated Oxidized RNA Decay in P-Body: Hypothetical Role of ADAR1, Tudor-SN, and STAU1
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Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated under oxidative stress (OS) cause oxidative damage to RNA. Recent studies have suggested a role for oxidized RNA in several human disorders. Under the conditions of oxidative stress, mRNAs released from polysome dissociation accumulate and initiate stress granule (SG) assembly. SGs are highly enriched in mRNAs, containing inverted repeat (IR) Alus in 3' UTRs, AU-rich elements, and RNA-binding proteins. SGs and processing bodies (P-bodies) transiently interact through a docking mechanism to allow the exchange of RNA species. However, the types of RNA species exchanged, and the mechanisms and outcomes of exchange are still unknown. Specialized RNA-binding proteins, including adenosine deaminase acting on RNA (ADAR1-p150), with an affinity toward inverted repeat Alus, and Tudor staphylococcal nuclease (Tudor-SN) are specifically recruited to SGs under OS along with an RNA transport protein, Staufen1 (STAU1), but their precise biochemical roles in SGs and SG/P-body docking are uncertain. Here, we critically review relevant literature and propose a hypothetical mechanism for the processing and decay of oxidized-RNA in SGs/P-bodies, as well as the role of ADAR1-p150, Tudor-SN, and STAU1.
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INTRODUCTION

Cells constantly generate reactive oxygen species as byproducts of oxidative phosphorylation. The endogenous ROS generation can be regulated under many conditions (Droge, 2002; Martindale and Holbrook, 2002; Brand, 2010; Bae et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011). In addition, exogenous oxidants may increase cellular ROS levels. The cellular antioxidant systems effectively reduce ROS and maintain a balance. Oxidative stress is a condition in which steady-state ROS levels are enhanced either transiently or chronically due to an imbalance of oxidants and antioxidants. While moderately elevated ROS under OS may lead to activation of cellular signaling pathways and disturbances in cellular metabolism, more severe or destructive stress causes the damage of cellular constituents and often cell death under acute or destructive stress conditions (Poli et al., 2004; Ryter et al., 2007; Lushchak, 2014). The extensive molecular and cellular damage caused by ROS may accumulate over time. Thus, OS is strongly implicated in many age-related and neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s, atherosclerosis, and cancer, among others (Nunomura et al., 2001; Sayre et al., 2001; Hussain et al., 2003; Singh and Jialal, 2006; Vogiatzi et al., 2009; Nunomura and Perry 2020). Oxidative damage to DNA is a well-established consequence of oxidative stress and is strongly implicated in many diseases; however, less attention has been given to RNA oxidation.
Previous studies in human lung epithelial cells revealed that in comparison with DNA, RNA has 14–25-fold more oxidative guanosine adducts when cells are challenged with hydrogen peroxide to induce OS (Hofer et al., 2005), demonstrating the high sensitivity of RNA to oxidative damage. Moreover, mounting evidence has associated elevated levels of oxidized RNA with many age-related diseases (Zhang et al., 1999; Martinet et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2008; Kong et al., 2008). Some reports also indicate that RNA oxidation is an early event that precedes cell death (Shan et al., 2007), suggesting that cell lethality through this mechanism may directly promote human disease.

Excessive reactive oxygen species cause both physical and chemical damage to RNA, including strand breaks (Singh et al., 2004), induction of RNA cross-links (Jezowska-Bojczuk et al., 2002), and nucleoside base removal (abasic sites) (Tanaka et al., 2011), as well as numerous types of chemical base modifications. More than 20 oxidized base lesions have been identified in RNA secondary to the chemical action of ROS (Barciszewski et al., 1999) of which 8-hydroxyguanosine (8-OHG) is the most prevalent and of considerable importance in many human diseases (Kong and Lin, 2010; Guo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020) due to its high affinity to pair with all bases (Li et al., 2006).

These chemically modified and oxidized adducts in mRNA lead to the generation of short polypeptides due to premature translation termination (Tanaka et al., 2007) and stalling of ribosomes (Shan et al., 2007). Structural RNAs such as tRNA also undergo cleavage and promote cell death during OS (Thompson et al., 2008; Thompson and Parker, 2009). Most importantly, angiogenin, a protein involved in blood vessel formation, directly cleaves tRNAs under stress into 5’ and 3’ halves called tRNAs (tRNA-derived stress-induced RNAs), of which 5’ tRNAs cause translational repression (Yamasaki et al., 2009; Ivanov et al., 2011). Moreover, it has been shown that oxidation of tRNA causes ribosome inactivation during protein synthesis (Ding et al., 2005; Honda et al., 2005). These findings suggest a link between RNA damage, dysfunction, and cell death, which may eventually lead to various diseases.

Cells may compensate for such insults by eliminating or repairing oxidatively damaged RNAs. There may be different mechanisms for eliminating different types of damages or for different RNA species. For example, human polynucleotide phosphorylase (hPNPase) preferentially binds to oxidized RNA and reduces the levels of 8-oxo-G containing RNA, thereby increasing the viability of HeLa cells under OS (Wu and Li, 2008). Trud (tRNA pseudouridine synthase) has also been shown to have high-affinity and preferential binding specificity to oxidized RNA and to protect E. coli cells under OS (Alluri, 2013). This suggests that proteins having preferential and high-affinity binding toward oxidized RNA may play important roles in recognizing and sequestering oxidized RNA for effective elimination. Other mechanisms of RNA quality control under OS must also exist in cells to maintain lower RNA oxidation levels. Such mechanisms may have essential functions in maintaining RNA quality and in preventing diseases related to RNA damage.

Several types of RNA granules have been observed in mammalian cells. RNA granules are spherical and nonmembranous subcellular compartments predominantly composed of RNA, RNA-binding proteins, exonucleases, helicases, ribosomal subunits, and translation factors (Moujaber and Stochaj, 2017; Anderson and Kedersha, 2006). They play an important role in the regulation of RNA localization, stability, decay, and translation. RNA granules are classified into various types based on their subcellular localization, composition, cell origin, and function, such as germinal granules (germ cells); stress granules and processing bodies (cytosol of somatic cells), nuclear paraspeckles (nucleus), and neuronal granules (neurons) (Anderson and Kedersha, 2009; Buchan, 2014).

Stress granules contain primarily untranslating mRNPs derived from mRNAs stalled in translation initiation. SGs are induced upon stress, including oxidative stress, in somatic cytosol. The formation of these SG is a survival mechanism to protect cells from stress (Moujaber and Stochaj, 2017). Besides mRNPs, numerous proteins were found in SGs, suggesting a role for SG in the induction of the innate immune response or modulation of signaling pathways (Protter and Parker, 2016). However, it has been argued that it is unlikely that the RNA components are pulled into SGs passively by the RNA-binding proteins and SGs must play active roles in RNA metabolism (Anderson and Kedersha, 2008; Wolozin and Ivanov, 2019; Advani and Ivanov, 2020). SGs were initially thought to provide protection of recruited RNAs from being damaged under stress conditions (Nover et al., 1989; Anderson and Kedersha, 2009; Lou et al., 2019; Hwang et al., 2019). More recently, it has been proposed that SGs are transition sites for inactivated mRNAs under stress, where the RNAs can be stored and be sorted for degradation or for translation reinitiation (Kedersha and Anderson, 2002; Kedersha et al., 2005; Hofmann et al., 2021). SG transcriptome studies suggest that only a subset of mRNAs are recruited into the granules without sequence preference, but with enriched longer and less actively translated mRNAs (Kedersha et al., 1999; Kedersha and Anderson, 2002; Khong et al., 2017; Khong and Parker, 2018; Protter and Parker, 2016). These findings indicate that SGs are not sites for general RNA processing but for purposes involving selected RNA molecules. It has been further suggested that RNA plays an important role in the formation and function of SGs during development and disease progression (Van Tweek and Parker, 2019; Roden and Gladfelter, 2021). However, the precise biochemical roles of SGs in RNA metabolism and function are still elusive. In this article, we critically review relevant literature and propose a hypothetical role of SG-associated proteins ADAR1, Tudor-SN, and STAU1, in control of oxidized RNA species that are potentially recruited into SGs.

Stress Granules and Their Role in Cellular Functions and Human Diseases

SGs are membrane-less transient cytoplasmic bodies induced by various cellular stresses such as hypoxia, arsenite treatment, heat shock, oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-mediated
stress, and viral infections (Kedersha et al., 2000; Arimoto et al., 2008; White and Lloyd, 2012; Takahashi et al., 2013; Khong et al., 2017; Mahboubi and Stochaj, 2017; Adivarahan et al., 2018; Si et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2020). They recruit poly(A)^1 mRNAs released from polysomes (Kedersha et al., 1999; Ivanov et al., 2019) and largely (~50%) composed of RNA-binding proteins (Jain et al., 2016). Several important proteins/enzymes involved in RNA metabolism and translation are found in SGs, including poly(A)-binding protein (PABP) and cytosolic granule-associated RNA-binding protein (TIAR) and G3BP stress granule assembly factor 1 (G3BP1); Ago2; tristetraprolin (TTP) and HuR (Kedersha et al., 1999; Kedersha et al., 2002; Tournier et al., 2003; Van Treeck and Parker, 2019; Tian et al., 2020; Hofmann et al., 2021). However, little is known whether and how any of these proteins or enzymes act on RNA within SGs. SGs also contain stalled preinitiation complexes, 40S ribosomal subunits, and eukaryotic initiation factors (eIF2, eIF3, eIF4A, and eIF4G) (Kimball et al., 2003; Kedersha and Anderson, 2007) along with enzymes responsible for RNA processing and decay such as exoribonuclease 1, RNA helicases, tRNA/protein ligases, tRNA/protein-methyltransferases, RNA-specific adenosine deaminases, phosphatases, and kinases (Jain et al., 2016; Van Treeck and Parker, 2019). Cell signaling factors such as mTORC1 are found in SGs induced by oxidative stress, suggesting a role for these SGs in modulating signal pathways (Wippich et al., 2013). SGs induced by virus infection recruit and activate many antiviral proteins, such as RIG-1, PKR, OAS, and RNase L, enhancing innate immune response and viral resistance (Onomoto et al., 2014; Potter and Parker, 2016; Yoneyama et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2021). SGs are also induced by inosine-modified RNA, overexpression of translational repressors, and angiogenin-induced tiRNAs (tRNA-derived stress-induced RNA fragments) (Scadden, 2007; Mollet et al., 2008; Emara et al., 2010), etc. These SGs transiently repress translation by sequestration of mRNAs (Mollet et al., 2008; Souquere et al., 2009).

Recent studies demonstrated that membrane-less organelles may arise through a process called liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS). It is a reversible process of a homogeneous mixture into a dilute and condensed phase (Dolgin, 2018; Li et al., 2020). There is an increasing evidence, demonstrating that SGs are generated from LLPS process (Brangwynne et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012; Wippich et al., 2013; Hyman et al., 2014; Molliex et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2015; Alberti et al., 2019; Hofmann et al., 2021). RNA and RNA-binding proteins are essential for LLPS and condense into liquid droplets during phase separation (Alberti et al., 2019) and these components become concentrated into discrete loci (Hyman et al., 2014). RNA–RNA interactions also cause phase separation in vitro and possibly contribute to SG formation (Van Treeck and Parker, 2019). RNA not only actively contributes to the formation of molecular condensates in LLPS, but may play important roles in modulating the function of the condensates in cells’ physiological and pathological processes (Roden and Gladfelter, 2021).

It is well known that acute and destructive oxidative stress can lead to cell death/apoptosis. Arsenite treatment, heat shock, and hypoxia-induced OS and SGs formed under these conditions were shown to inhibit apoptosis (Arimoto et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2013; Si et al., 2019). Moreover, mutations in TDP-43 led to a significant reduction in SG formation and increased apoptosis in human fibroblasts (Orru et al., 2016). SGs suppress ROS generation (Takahashi et al., 2013) and attenuate RACK1 (Park et al., 2020), which may be part of the mechanism for apoptosis inhibition. Another possible role of SGs under OS may involve the elimination of damaged cellular macromolecules including oxidized RNA, which will be discussed below.

Recent evidence suggests that SG-enriched ATF4 mRNA translates efficiently within SGs (Mateju et al., 2020) and U2OS cells deficient in making SGs exhibited stress-induced translational repression (Kedersha et al., 2016), suggesting that SGs are not only required for translational arrest but also for many cellular functions yet unknown. These studies demonstrate that SGs are generated as a defense mechanism to protect cells against adverse effects of various stresses.

Abnormality and deficiency of SGs are implicated in various human diseases such as promoting cancer cell survival and tumor progression (Anderson et al., 2015; Somasekharan et al., 2015; Grabocka and Bar-Sagi, 2016; Van Treeck and Parker, 2019) and in the pathogenesis of degenerative diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and frontotemporal dementia (FTD) (Li et al., 2013; Ramaswami et al., 2013; Molliex et al., 2015). Recent studies also showed that SGs are involved in vascular injury and atherosclerosis (Herman et al., 2019). Additionally, SGs create an antiviral state by regulating viral replication and immune response (Valiente-Echeverria et al., 2012; Poblete-Durán et al., 2016; McCormick and Khaperskyy, 2017; Van Treeck and Parker, 2019; Tian et al., 2020). Recent evidence suggested that endoribonuclease nsp15 from SARS-CoV-2 interfered with SG formation and evaded sequestration of viral components in SGs (Gao et al., 2021).

**Stress Granules Are Enriched with mRNAs Containing Long 3′ UTRs with an Inverted Repeat Alus**

SGs and RNA granules induced by OS and other stresses are highly and specifically enriched with mRNA transcripts containing long 3′ UTRs and poly(A) tails from human cells (Kato et al., 2012; Weissbach and Scadden, 2012). The average length of 3′ UTR in mRNA found in SGs is 2.18 ± 0.81 Kb (Han et al., 2012). Poly(A)-binding protein 1 (PABP1) binds to poly(A) tails in mRNA and brings other RNA-binding proteins into SGs. Indeed, it has been reported that reporter mRNAs with long 3′ UTRs harboring IR Alus accumulate in SGs, whereas mRNAs with either a single sense Alu, antisense Alu, or without any Alu in their 3′ UTR diffuse throughout the cytoplasm (Fitzpatrick and Huang, 2012; Weissbach and Scadden, 2012). Other studies also demonstrated RNA granules are enriched with RNA with long 3′ UTRs (Han et al., 2012; Kato et al., 2012). Analysis of length and translatability features of RNA species revealed that longer mRNA and ncRNA transcripts (an average length of 7.1 and 1.9 kb, respectively) and mRNAs with poor translatability are enriched in SGs generated by arsenite-induced oxidative stress in human cells (Khong et al., 2017). Results of deep sequencing
studies in human cells and mouse brain tissue demonstrated that RNA species containing long 3′UTRs are highly enriched in RNA granule fractions compared to granule-depleted cytoplasmic fractions (Han et al., 2012). Additionally, recent studies revealed that endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced RNA granules are enriched with a subset of translationally suppressed mRNAs characterized by extended transcript length and AU-rich motifs (Namkoong et al., 2018). However, the precise reason for specific recruitment and accumulation of long 3′ UTR-containing mRNAs into SGs is still unknown.

Interestingly, the heat-shock proteins Hsp70 and Hsp90 and their respective mRNAs that are preferentially expressed under cellular stress are excluded from SGs (Stohr et al., 2006; Anderson and Kedersha, 2009). The mechanism by which these molecules are excluded from SGs is still elusive (Anderson and Kedersha, 2009). It is striking to note that heat shock-induced alternative polyadenylation in hsp70 transcripts leads to a shortened 3′ UTR and loss of the miR-378′ binding site (Tranter et al., 2011). It appears that in order for the hsp70 transcript to be translated and available during stress conditions, it should neither be recruited to SGs, nor be a target for miRNA. For this very reason, the hsp70 transcript undergoes alternative polyadenylation leading to shortening of the 3′ UTR and loss of the miR-378′ binding site. This is an example of controlled SG recruitment by regulating the length of 3′ UTR. Interestingly, ischemia, a stressful condition in the murine heart, is accompanied by decreased levels of miR-378′ (Tranter et al., 2011; Knezevic et al., 2012).

In addition to the RNA species described above, SGs are also enriched in poly(A)+ mRNA (Kedersha et al., 1999; Kedersha et al., 2002; Souquere et al., 2009). However, only 50% of the cytoplasmic poly(A)-containing transcripts are recruited to SGs in mammalian cells, indicating selective mRNA recruitment (Kedersha et al., 1999; Kedersha and Anderson, 2002).

Mounting evidence suggests that long UTRs in mRNAs are indispensable for the mRNAs to be recruited into SGs via LLPS. Recent studies demonstrated that tandem binding of 3′ UTR of p53 mRNA by TIA-1 (an abundant SG protein) efficiently enhanced phase separation and formed a potential site for SG assembly (Loughlin et al., 2021). Deb1p is an RNA helicase that promotes phase separation and formed a potential site for SG assembly (Iserman et al., 2020). These studies demonstrate that tandem binding of 3′ UTR complexes (Scadden, 2007), suggesting a role for ADAR1-dependent editing in SGs.

Additional evidence supports the recruitment of ADAR1-p150 specifically in SGs under hypoxia- or arsenite-induced OS. Upon introduction of hypoxia, ADAR1-p150 isoform levels were elevated 3.4-fold (Nevo-Caspi et al., 2011). In contrast, the level of ADAR1-p110 isoform was unaffected. Interestingly, when cells were treated with arsenite to induce OS, only the ADAR1-p150 isoform, but not ADAR1-p110, was recruited to SGs (Weissbach and Scadden, 2012). The p110 isoform is a truncated form of p150 lacking a Z-DNA/RNA binding domain (ZaADAR1) at the N-terminus. The p110 isoform contains a nuclear export signal (NES) and is exclusively

**Role of RNA Editing Enzymes in Stress Granules**

Recent findings demonstrate that the p150 isoform of adenosine deaminase acting on RNA (ADAR1) and the dsRNA-binding protein Tudor staphylococcal nuclease (Tudor-SN) are specifically recruited and colocalized in SGs upon OS induction (Weissbach and Scadden, 2012). A specific role of Tudor-SN is discussed in the section below. Under normal physiological conditions, ADAR1 deaminates and converts adenosine to inosine (A→I) in dsRNA regions of 3′ UTRs. In addition to its presence in OS-induced SGs, ADAR1 is also localized in measles virus-induced SGs (Okonski and Samuel, 2013). IR Alus accumulated in SGs form double-stranded structures in the mRNA 3′ UTR regions which may provide higher stability and become targets for ADARs (Kim et al., 2004). Weissbach and Scadden (2012) speculated that ADAR1-p150 may edit a subset of mRNAs within SGs, resulting in the generation of specific 1-U-dsRNA. In support of this assumption, previous studies have shown that inosine-containing dsRNAs are specifically bound to SG-like complexes (Scadden, 2007), suggesting a role for ADAR1-dependent editing in SGs.

**Interaction of Stress Granule and Processing Body**

Processing bodies are cytoplasmic granules composed of mRNA binding decapping enzymes along with exonucleases and are enriched with mRNA species similar to SGs, but without poly(A) tails (Zheng et al., 2008; Aizer et al., 2014; Ivanov et al., 2019). P-bodies play an important role in the regulation of RNA translation, storage, and degradation (Sheth and Parker, 2003; Sheth and Parker, 2006; Buchan et al., 2008; Hubstenberger et al., 2017; Matheny et al., 2019). Findings from several laboratories have revealed a physical interaction between SGs and PBs upon stress induction, a phenomenon called docking. Interestingly, SGs and PBs are formed simultaneously in response to oxidative stress, and nearly all PBs were found together and in physical association with SGs in vivo (Kedersha et al., 2005). Importantly, both SGs and PBs were found to contain similar mRNA species (Kedersha et al., 2005), suggesting the exchange of mRNA between these organelles. It is intriguing that most of the mRNAs in SGs are poly(A)+ enriched (Kedersha and Anderson, 2002; Souquere et al., 2009), but the majority of mRNAs present in PBs are either devoid of or have only a short oligo(A) tail (Zheng et al., 2008; Aizer et al., 2014; Ivanov et al., 2019), indicating that poly(A)+ mRNAs in SGs were processed before transferring to PBs. This finding supports the hypothesis of Kedersha et al. that “mRNAs destined for decay are sorted in stress granules and subsequently transported into processing (P) bodies.”
found in the nucleus, whereas p150 is present in the cytoplasm where it edits double-stranded regions of 3′ UTRs (George and Samuel, 1999; Poulsen et al., 2001; Christofi and Zaravinos 2019; Lamers et al., 2019). Subsequent studies demonstrated that ZaADAR1 is essential and is the sole determinant for p150’s localization to SGs (Ng et al., 2013; Chiang et al., 2021). Consistent with elevated ADAR1-p150 under hypoxia, MED13, STAT3, and F11R transcripts which contain IR Alus were found to have mostly elevated A–I editing levels (Neve-Caspì et al., 2011), although this study did not report the localization of these transcripts in hypoxia-induced SGs. More recent transcriptome studies of arsenite-induced SGs revealed that MED13, STAT3, and F11R transcripts are indeed localized in SGs, supporting the concept that IR Alu-containing transcripts are recruited to SGs (Khong et al., 2017). These studies suggest that ADAR1-p150 is induced upon stress followed by specific recruitment to SGs and there it may possibly alter A–I editing of mRNA transcripts having IR Alus (Weissbach and Scadden, 2012). It is worth noting that recently rapid progress in SG studies has greatly expanded our knowledge about the various aspects of SGs. However, little attention was paid to the role of ADAR1-p150 in SGs since it was last reported almost 10 years ago. The ability of ADAR1-p150 to edit mRNA transcripts in SGs has not yet been demonstrated experimentally, and the downstream effects of potential editing in these transcripts in SGs remain unknown. It is important to explore the role of ADAR1-p150 in SGs from new angles.

Although the role of ADAR1-edited dsRNAs has been implicated in various cellular functions, their role in SGs is still unknown. Several studies have demonstrated the relationship between RNA editing and interferon stimulation. Interferons (INFs) induce ADAR1 (Strehblow et al., 2002; Herbert 2019). On the other hand, ADAR1-edited IU-dsRNAs were found to inhibit poly(IC)-induced apoptosis, viral RNA stimulation, and INF production (Vitali and Scadden, 2010; Yang et al., 2014; Liddicoat et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). These studies demonstrated that IU-dsRNAs are anti-inflammatory and inhibit interferon responses to dsRNA (Mannion et al., 2014). Interestingly, previous studies have shown that inosine-containing dsRNAs (I-dsRNA) are specifically bound to SG-like complexes (Scadden, 2007), suggesting a role for ADAR1-dependent editing in SGs. It remains to be determined whether SG-mediated RNA editing, if it happens, plays a role in interferon response, or in some other processes.

Role of Tudor-Staphylococcal Nuclease in Stress Granules

The Tudor-staphylococcal nuclease (Tudor-SN) is a multifunctional protein with major implications in SG assembly, gene regulation, and pre-mRNA splicing (Yang et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2012). Additionally, Tudor-SN also emerged as a novel poly(A) mRNA–binding protein which colocalizes with PABP1, a marker of stress granule (Gao et al., 2015), and modulates the kinetics of angiotensin II receptor, type 1 mRNA-3′UTR aggregation in SGs (Gao et al., 2014). In vitro studies revealed that Tudor-SN specifically binds to and cleaves runs of I–U and U–I rich regions in dsRNA (Scadden, 2005), demonstrating that ADAR1-edited dsRNAs are ideal for Tudor-SN cleavage (Hundley and Bass, 2010). As discussed above, ADAR1-edited dsRNAs may be enriched in 3′UTRs of mRNAs in SGs. Tudor-SN cleavages may result in removal of the poly(A) tail and/or shortening of 3′ UTRs, initiating degradation of these mRNAs. In contrast, Tudor-SN cleavage of endogenous transcripts under normal physiological conditions has not been detected; however, the nuclease can cleave inosine-containing dsRNA in response to certain environmental stimuli (Hundley and Bass, 2010). While an endogenous inosine-containing mRNA, CTN–RNA was cleaved at its 3′ UTR in response to stress (Prasanth et al., 2005), the involvement of Tudor-SN was not demonstrated. Recent studies have shown that Tudor-SN functions as a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein associated with poly(A)-containing mRNAs that is involved in their trafficking in and out of SGs and the nucleus (Gao et al., 2015). This suggests that both ADAR1-p150 and Tudor-SN coordinate in SGs to process a specific set of mRNAs. However, delineating the precise biochemical functions and consequences of ADAR1-p150 and Tudor-SN actions in SGs will require further study.

Role of Staufen 1 in Stress Granule

Staufen 1 (STAU1) is a double-stranded RNA-binding protein associated with polysomes and recruited to SGs upon OS. It is always present in SGs during their assembly and dissolution; however, it is not required for SG formation (Thomas et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2009). In addition, STAU1 is involved in mRNA transport in both somatic cells and oocytes of vertebrates and invertebrates (Ferrandon et al., 1994; Broadus et al., 1998; Kiebler et al., 1999; Micklem et al., 2000; Tang et al., 2001). STAU1 binds and coprecipitates with mRNAs having inverted Alu repeats in their 3′ UTRs and prevents nuclear retention and promotes export of the mRNAs to the cytoplasm (Elbarbary et al., 2013). Further studies found that STAU1-bound transcripts have 3–4-fold longer 3′ UTRs compared to unbound transcripts (Laver et al., 2013). Elbarbary et al. have demonstrated that underedited reporter mRNAs with IR Alus inhibit STAU1-mediated export, suggesting the requirement for A–I hyperediting for STAU1-mediated export of such mRNAs. It has been shown that the mammalian STAU1, when bound to 3′UTR, triggers mRNA decay mediated by UPF1, an important factor involved in the degradation of nonsense mRNA (Kim et al., 2005). Recent studies have also demonstrated that STAU1-mediated mRNA decay (SMD) targets RNA–RNA duplexes formed between the Alu repeat in the 3′-UTR of one mRNA and another Alu repeat in long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) (Gong and Maquat, 2011; Park and Maquat, 2013). These studies suggest that STAU1 preferably binds to long 3′ UTRs having IR Alus and selectively exports hyperedited transcripts.

A strong relationship has been established between SGs and STAU1. Stabilization of polysomes by cycloheximide prevented the formation of SGs (Thomas et al., 2009) due to retention of RNA in polysomes, suggesting a requirement for polysome-free mRNAs for SG assembly. Findings from the same laboratory also revealed that knockdown of STAU1-enhanced SG formation and
accumulation (Thomas et al., 2009), while the stability of SGs mostly depends upon the amount of accumulated mRNP complex. Hypothetically, this could be due to the fact that STAU1 being a natural exporter of RNA molecules with a specificity toward a subset of mRNAs with long 3' UTRs, and IR Alus and STAU1 depletion prevents export of these RNAs to PBs, resulting in stabilization and accumulation of SGs. In contrast, overexpression of STAU1 resulted in inhibition of SG accumulation perhaps due to STAU1-mediated rapid export of mRNA from SGs to PBs. Endogenous STAU1 was barely detected in PBs under resting conditions, though upon stress induction it was recruited to PBs (Thomas et al., 2009). When overexpressed, STAU1 is sporadically detected in PBs under resting conditions, but upon induction of OS, the proportion of STAU1-containing PBs increases (Thomas et al., 2009), suggesting that a portion of STAU1 is specifically recruited to PBs under OS. These studies suggest that STAU1 facilitates the export of mRNAs from SGs to PBs, thereby destabilizing SGs. However, detailed studies must be performed in order to prove this concept.

Oxidized RNAs Accumulate in Stress Granules

Several pieces of evidence support a notion that oxidized RNA is recruited to SGs or similar RNA granules. First, 8-OHG-containing RNAs were observed in "oxidized RNA bodies (ORBs)" within living HeLa cells by staining with 8-OHG-specific antibody (Zhan et al., 2015). It is likely that these ORBs are related to or can interact with SGs. Recent evidence further demonstrates that several mitochondrial tRNAs and 5S rRNA, which are presumably highly oxidized in this ROS-generating organelle, are localized in SGs (Khong et al., 2017). Oxidative damage to RNA can also lead to abasic sites (apurinic/apyrimidinic sites). Unpublished observations from Pourkalbassi, Lu, and Li revealed that in HeLa cells, abasic RNA accumulates in H2O2-induced SGs but not in P-bodies. Furthermore, the proteins that bind specifically to oxidized RNA such as human PNPase and PCBP1 are reported to localize in SGs (Jain et al., 2016; Ishii et al., 2018; Markmiller et al., 2018). These findings suggest that SGs or related RNA foci may recruit oxidized RNAs involving specific protein factors and protect cells from adverse effects of oxidized RNA. Presently, little is known about the identity of abasic RNAs in H2O2-induced SGs or 8-OHG-containing RNAs in ORBs. It is likely that highly structured RNA species are preferentially oxidized. In vivo and in vitro studies have demonstrated that noncoding structural RNAs such as tRNA and rRNA molecules having complex double-stranded structures are oxidized to a greater extent in their native conformation compared to their denatured forms (Liu, 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2020). Moreover, double-stranded RNA:DNA duplexes are oxidized to a greater extent than single-stranded RNA molecules (Liu et al., 2020). Whether oxidized, highly structured RNAs are recruited to SGs for elimination remains to be studied.

Consistent with the above mentioned notion that a selected set of RNAs are recruited in SGs, it was found that a subset of mRNAs is subject to high oxidative damage. Immunoprecipitation assays combined with sequence analysis revealed enrichment of 8-OHG in a subset of mRNAs in Alzheimer's brains (Shan et al., 2003) or OS-treated yeast cultures (McKinlay et al., 2012). This could provide a possible
explanation for the accumulation of mRNA molecules with long 3’ UTRs, IR Alus, or AREs, with complex double-stranded structures in SGs. It is likely that such mRNAs are more subject to oxidative damages, especially under OS, and are specifically enriched in SGs. From all the findings described above, it appears that RNA molecules that are oxidized and prone to oxidative damages are more likely to be recruited to SGs.

**HYPOTHESIS**

We have proposed a hypothetical model to describe how under various physiological stress conditions (e.g. oxidative stress) a specific set of RNA molecules are damaged by oxidative stress insults and traffic to SGs for sequestration. From there, a subset of these RNAs is shuttled to PBs for degradation. This process protects cells from adverse consequences of RNA oxidation and enhances cell survival. This hypothesis is captured in the following key points:

- Under oxidative stress conditions, cells generate excessive ROS, which cause extensive chemical and physical damage to RNA molecules and can lead to premature termination of translation and cytotoxicity. Cells have developed mechanisms to eliminate such damaged RNAs presumably by rapid degradation (Figure 1A).
- Upon induction of OS, free mRNAs with long 3’ UTRs resulting from polysome dissociation promote SG assembly, and both oxidatively damaged and nonoxidized mRNAs are routed to SGs. ADAR1-p150 is recruited to SGs along with Tudor-SN, where ADAR1-p150 sorts oxidized and unoxidized mRNAs and preferentially binds to oxidatively damaged RNAs in their double-stranded 3’ UTR regions and converts A–I, which then forms the substrate for Tudor-SN cleavage (Figure 1B).
- Tudor-SN cleaves inosine-containing dsRNA resulting in shortening of 3’ UTR or loss of the poly(A) tail, and this forms the basis for mRNA decay in PBs. Apart from ADAR1 and Tudor-SN, Staufen1 (STAU1) is also recruited to SG upon OS induction. STAU1 is a natural transporter of mRNA molecules, and it can bind to the 3’UTR of Tudor-SN processed mRNA and transport it from SGs to PBs where it is degraded (Figures 1B,C).
- We speculate that oxidized RNAs are recognized and sequestered in the SG-mediated degradation process. First, ADAR1-p150 may preferentially recognize and edit oxidatively damaged mRNA and that these RNAs will be sorted and routed specifically to PBs for degradation, while normal mRNAs may be released from SGs for reinitiation of translation upon stress removal. Second (or alternatively), oxidized RNAs may contain oxidatively damaged nucleobases that behave like edited bases and trigger selected cleavage by Tudor-SN-like activities (Figures 1A–C).
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