The clinical significance of preoperative serum triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, and low-density lipoprotein levels in lung squamous cell carcinoma
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To evaluate the prognostic role of the preoperative plasma lipid profile, including triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) in patients with lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) who underwent complete resection. Clinical data, including preoperative plasma profile levels, were retrospectively collected and reviewed in 300 patients with LUSC who underwent radical lung resection between 2016 and 2017. The overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) were assessed by the Kaplan–Meier method and the Cox proportional hazards regression model. TG ≤ 1.35, HDL-C ≤ 1.17, and LDL-C ≤ 2.32 were deemed as independent preoperative risk factors for OS, and HDL-C ≤ 1.17 was an independent preoperative risk factor for DFS. In the multivariate analyses involving OS and DFS, a decreased HDL-C level was significantly associated with worse OS (HR, 0.546; 95% CI, 0.380–0.784, P = 0.001) and DFS (HR, 0.644; 95% CI, 0.422–0.981, P = 0.041). Additionally, an increased TG (HR, 0.546; 95% CI, 0.366–0.814, P = 0.003) or LDL-C (HR, 0.652; 95% CI, 0.456–0.933, P = 0.019) level was significantly associated with better OS. In patients with LUSC, decreased levels of HDL-C may predict worse outcomes for both DFS and OS, while increased TG or LDL-C levels may predict better OS.

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which accounts for a majority of cases of lung cancer, is a primary subtype responsible for a predominant proportion of cancer-related deaths worldwide with an increasing incidence rate1. As a histology of NSCLC, lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) accounts for 20–30% of all lung cancers2. While a high rate of recurrence after complete resection is a significant prognostic factor, the risk of metastasis and recurrence after surgery for patients with LUSC is high. To date, a variety of biomarkers have been shown to predict clinical outcomes in patients with LUSC3,4. For example, Masuda et al. found that laminin-5γ2 chain expression is associated with tumor cell invasiveness and patient prognosis of LUSC5, and Okabe et al. suggested that family with sequence similarity 83, member A (FAM83B) is a novel biomarker for the diagnosis and prognosis in LUSC6. Due to complicated detection equipment and expensive costs, the development of accurate, fast, and convenient predictive biomarkers for the identification of patients with a high risk of metastasis and recurrence is emerging as a significant area of investigation and may present an opportunity for improving clinical prognosis and postoperative quality of life.

Patients with cancer often present with an altered serum lipid profile. Findings from previous studies have demonstrated that a high level of serum triglycerides (TG) increases the risk of lung cancer7,8. Chen et al. reported a possible association between serum total cholesterol (TC) and increased risk of pancreatic carcinoma9. Additionally, studies have associated low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels with an increased risk of postmenopausal breast cancer10,11, and the similar result has been described in patients with lung cancer12. A prospective cohort study of Chinese men showed that low levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) is implicated in an increased risk of lung cancer13. Moreover, several recent studies have confirmed that...
dyslipidemia is associated with an increased risk of tumor recurrence and reduced survival for several types of cancer\textsuperscript{19,20}. Such expositions are unsatisfactory because they do not fully explain the role of lipid metabolism in cancer development, with little evidence about the impact of lipid profiles on clinical outcomes in patients with LUSC.

Our study attempted to show the predictive role of the lipid profile on overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) in patients with LUSC who underwent complete lung resections.

Methods

Patient selection. We collected and reviewed clinical data including the preoperative plasma profile levels of 300 patients with LUSC who underwent complete lung resections at Shaoxing People’s Hospital from 2016 through 2017. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Human Experimentation in China, and all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. All patients provided written informed consent before participation. All patients met the following eligibility criteria: (1) diagnosis of pathologically confirmed LUSC; (2) no history of cancer; (3) no treatment (including anti-cancer treatment or any other treatment that may affect blood lipids) before the serum had been obtained; and (4) collection of serum samples completed before surgery. All pathological diagnoses were independently confirmed by experienced pathologists at Shaoxing People’s Hospital.

Patient follow-up. All patients were followed up in the clinic, and 10 patients were lost to follow up before the study was discontinued. Physical examination and laboratory blood draws were conducted every 3–6 months in the first 2 years and every 6–12 months from the third to the fifth year. The main outcome of this study was OS, defined as the time from curative resection to death. The secondary outcome was DFS, calculated from the date of radical surgery to the date of disease recurrence or diagnosis of distant metastasis\textsuperscript{20}.

Statistical analysis. Continuous variables are shown as means ± standard deviation (SD). The optimal cut-off values for the lipid profile determined by statistical analysis of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve were used to dichotomize lipids for chi-square test and cox proportional analyses\textsuperscript{21,22}. The Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test were used to calculate the survival curve. The risk factors for survival were identified using the Cox proportional hazards model. The association between serum lipid levels and clinicopathological parameters (age, gender, smoking state, drinking state, T stage, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage, recurrence, and death) was tested with the chi-square test. In all statistical methods, \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant. Data analyses were performed on SPSS 20.

Patient study. The study has been approved by the academic ethics committee of Shaoxing People’s Hospital and patients gave their informed consent before material was obtained for use in the study.

Results

Patient characteristics. After the eligibility review, 300 patients with LUSC who had undergone radical surgical resection were enrolled in the analysis, with their characteristics being presented in Table 1. The median follow-up time was 39 months (range: 1–70 months). The median age at resection was 60 years (range: 40–81 years), and 284 (94.7%) of the patients were male and 16 (5.3%) were female. Cancer staging was conducted according to the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) classification for LUSC. A total of 212 patients (70.7%) were diagnosed with stage I–II disease and 88 (29.3%) were diagnosed with stage III–IV disease. A total of 138 patients (46%) died during follow-up and 109 patients (36.3%) had disease recurrence or distant metastasis.

Preoperative plasma profile levels in 300 patients with LUSC. The relationship between preoperative plasma lipid profile levels and clinical parameters was analyzed (Table 2). TG level was identified to be up-regulated in patients with a higher T stage \(( P = 0.001)\) or TNM stage \(( P = 0.015)\) compared to those with a lower T stage or TNM stage. A higher HDL-C level was closely correlated with females \(( P = 0.008)\) and no smoking state \(( P = 0.002)\). In addition, TG \(( P = 0.004)\) and TC \(( P = 0.047)\) levels were lower in patients with death status. Other clinical parameters were not significantly associated with preoperative plasma lipid profile levels, such as drinking state, lymph node metastasis, and recurrence \(( P > 0.05)\).

The Survival Rate of Patients with Increased TG or TC Levels Is Significantly Higher Than That of Patients with Decreased Levels of TG or TC.

The Kaplan–Meier analysis results are depicted in Fig. 1. The patients with TG, TC, HDL-C, or LDL-C higher than the median were assigned to the high expression group; the other patients were assigned to the lower expression group. The OS rate was significantly higher in the TG \(( p = 0.006, \text{cut-off value} = 1.14)\) or TC \(( p = 0.008, \text{cut-off value} = 4.01)\) high expression group. In addition, the OS rate was also higher in the HDL-C or LDL-C high expression group although the difference was not significant \(( p > 0.05)\).

We then defined patients with LUSC with TG higher than 1.7 mmol/l, TC higher than 5.2 mmol/l, HDL-C higher than 1.04 mmol/l or LDL-C higher than 3.12 mmol/l as lipid disorder group, and others as normal group. The Kaplan–Meier analysis results are plotted in Fig. 2. The OS rate was significantly higher in the TG \(( p = 0.011, \text{cut-off value} = 1.7)\) or TC \(( p = 0.037, \text{cut-off value} = 5.2)\) disorder group. In addition, the OS rate was also higher in the HDL-C or LDL-C disorder group although the difference was not significant \(( p > 0.05)\).

To investigate the potential of preoperative blood lipid level as a prognostic marker, survival Receiver operating curve (ROC) method was introduced to determine the optimal cutoff value.
Optimal cut-off determination of lipid metabolism. ROC analysis presented that the optimal cutoff value for TG was 1.35 mmol/L (AUC 0.588, 95% CI 0.530–0.644), 3.93 mmol/L for TC (AUC 0.578, 95% CI 0.520–0.634), 1.17 mmol/L for HDL (AUC 0.551, 95% CI 0.493–0.609), and 2.32 mmol/L for LDL (AUC 0.547, 95% CI 0.489–0.605).

The association of TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C levels with clinical characteristics. The relationships between the clinicopathological features of LUSC and TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C levels are summarized in Tables 3, 4 and 5. The Chi-square test showed the TG level was significantly related to the tumor (T) stage (P = 0.003), TNM stage (P = 0.008), and death (P = 0.002) (Table 3). However, no significant associations were reported between TG levels with other clinicopathological parameters, including smoking status, lymph node metastasis, and tumor recurrence (all P > 0.05; Table 3). The HDL-C level was significantly associated with sex (P = 0.020), smoking status (P = 0.002), tumor recurrence (P = 0.031), and death (P = 0.013) (Table 4). The LDL-C level was significantly associated with death (P = 0.014; Table 5).

The prognostic significance of clinical characteristics in LUSC. In the univariate analyses, a significant correlation between T stage, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage, levels of TG, TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C, and

| Characteristics | Patients | % |
|-----------------|----------|---|
| Age             |          |   |
| ≥ 60            | 167      | 55.7 |
| < 60            | 133      | 44.3 |
| Gender          |          |   |
| Female          | 16       | 5.3  |
| Male            | 284      | 94.7 |
| Smoking state   |          |   |
| Yes             | 259      | 86.3 |
| No              | 41       | 13.7 |
| Drinking state  |          |   |
| Yes             | 177      | 59  |
| No              | 123      | 41  |
| T stage         |          |   |
| I–II            | 212      | 70.7 |
| III–IV          | 88       | 29.3 |
| Lymph node metastasis |    |   |
| Yes             | 159      | 53  |
| No              | 141      | 47  |
| TNM stage       |          |   |
| I–II            | 203      | 67.7 |
| III–IV          | 97       | 32.3 |
| Recurrence      |          |   |
| Yes             | 109      | 36.3 |
| No              | 181      | 60.3 |
| Death           |          |   |
| Yes             | 138      | 46  |
| No              | 162      | 54  |
| TG (mmol/L)     |          |   |
| > 1.35          | 106      | 35.3 |
| ≤ 1.35          | 194      | 64.7 |
| TC (mmol/L)     |          |   |
| > 3.93          | 165      | 55  |
| ≤ 3.93          | 135      | 45  |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)  |          |   |
| > 1.17          | 123      | 41  |
| ≤ 1.17          | 177      | 59  |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)  |          |   |
| > 2.32          | 200      | 66.7 |
| ≤ 2.32          | 100      | 33.3 |

Table 1. Characteristics of patients.
OS were detected. Additionally, we found a correlation between T stage, TNM stage, HDL-C levels, and DFS. In multivariate analysis, we observed significant associations of T stage, LNM, and levels of TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C with OS (Table 6). In addition, associations between T stage and HDL-C levels with DFS were observed (Table 7). The multivariate analysis was conducted based on age at resection, sex, smoking status, drinking status, T stage, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage, and levels of TG, TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C.

The prognostic significance of the serum lipid profile in LUSC. Among the 300 patients, 102 of 194 (52.6%) patients had a TG level ≤ 1.35 mmol/L, and 36 of 106 (34.0%) patients had a TG level > 1.35 mmol/L (P = 0.002); 74 of 135 (54.8%) patients had a TC level ≤ 3.93 mmol/L, and 64 of 165 (38.8%) patients had a TC level > 3.93 mmol/L (P = 0.006); 92 of 177 (52.0%) patients had an HDL-C level ≤ 1.17 mmol/L, and 46 of 123 (37.4%) patients had an HDL-C level > 1.17 mmol/L (P = 0.013); 56 of 100 (56.0%) patients had an LDL-C level ≤ 2.32 mmol/L, and 82 of 200 (41.0%) patients had an LDL-C level > 2.32 mmol/L (P = 0.014) who died. In the univariate Cox proportional analysis, a decreased TG (HR, 0.497; 95% CI, (0.340–0.728), P = 0.000), HDL-C (HR, 0.639; 95% CI, (0.449–0.911), P = 0.013), or LDL-C (HR, 0.642; 95% CI, (0.457–0.903), P = 0.011) level was significantly associated with decreased OS (Table 6; Fig. 3) and this finding remained significant in the multivariate analysis (HR, 0.546; 95% CI, 0.380—0.784, P = 0.001) that included T stage and lymph node metastasis. In addition, an increased TC level (HR, 0.621; 95% CI, (0.444–0.868), P = 0.005; Table 6; Fig. 3) and III-IV TNM stage (HR, 0.404; 95% CI, (0.288–0.566), P = 0.000) were statistically linked with increased OS in the univariate analysis. However, in the multivariate analysis, TC levels were not statistically significant including TNM stage (P > 0.05; Table 6). Other clinical parameters showed no significant difference in the results from either the univariate or multivariate analysis, such as gender and smoking state (P > 0.05; Table 6).

Regarding DFS, local recurrence or distant metastasis after radical surgical resection was diagnosed in 76 of 187 (40.6%) patients with a TG level ≤ 1.35 mmol/L and in 33 of 103 (32.0%) patients with a TG level > 1.35 mmol/L (P > 0.05); in 55 of 129 (42.6%) patients with a TC level ≤ 3.93 mmol/L and in 54 of 161 (33.5%) patients with a TC level > 3.93 mmol/L (P > 0.05); in 73 of 171 (42.7%) patients with an HDL-C level ≤ 1.17 mmol/L and in 36 of 119 (30.3%) patients with an HDL-C level > 1.17 mmol/L (P = 0.031); in 38 of 96 (39.6%) patients with an LDL-C level ≤ 2.32 mmol/L and in 71 of 194 (36.6%) patients with an LDL-C level > 2.32 mmol/L (P > 0.05). In the univariate Cox proportional analysis, A decreased HDL-C (HR, 0.650;
In view of the poor prognosis of patients with LUSC and the high tumor recurrence rate after resection, investigations on risk factors for tumor prognosis and recurrence are warranted, so as to support early intervention, improve monitoring, reduce tumor recurrence rate, and prolong patient survival time15,16. On account of the close relationship between blood lipids and tumorigenesis or tumor recurrence, we were interested to see if a relationship existed between lipid profile and the prognosis of Chinese patients with LUSC.

In our present study, the result that a lower TG level indicated a poorer prognosis in patients with LUSC and was associated with advanced cancer stages may be elucidated by the poor nutritional status and high-energy consumption of patients with advanced tumors17,18. The present study suggests, for the first time, an association of a low TG level with reduced survival of lung cancer.

Additionally, we manifested that lower TC levels indicated a poor OS in patients with LUSC. Cholesterol is essential for maintaining the integrity, fluidity, and function of the cell membrane, including signal transduction19,20. Low cholesterol has been proposed to be associated with suppressed immunity, upregulated activity or responsiveness of the mevalonate pathway and increased activity of nuclear transcription factor NF-κB, promoting the initiation and progression of cancer8. High cholesterol levels reduce the risk of death in patients with advanced NSCLC who have the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene mutation21, which is line with our results. But the question of whether TC is an independent risk factor for the prognosis of patients with LUSC warrants further study.

There is emerging evidence that HDL-C may be linked to the tumorigenesis and progression of cancers14,22. The initial observations prompted us to investigate the relation between HDL-C and LUSC. We revealed that

**Figure 1.** Kaplan–Meier curve for overall survival regarding low vs high TG levels (P = 0.006) (A); low vs high TC levels (P = 0.008) (B); low vs high HDL-C levels (P = 0.169) (C); low vs high LDL-C levels (P = 0.177) (D).
increased pre-operative plasma HDL-C levels were associated with increased DFS and OS after radical surgery. Lower HDL-C levels were related to sex and smoking status but not cancer stages. Additional support for the role of HDL-C comes from recent publications, which demonstrated that HDL-C may affect the occurrence of cancer by participating in the reverse transport of cholesterol, affecting the entry into the cell cycle, and regulating apoptosis and inflammatory response. From previous studies, two possible molecular mechanisms have been suggested for the relation between HDL-C and the relapse of LUSC and poor survival. Serum HDL-cholesterol levels are negatively associated with cholesterol levels in the tumor tissues of patients, revealing the possibility that lower HDL levels are resulted from the increased cholesterol metabolism in the tissue of proliferating tumors. The other is that the increase in HDL level is related to the decrease of pro-inflammatory cytokines that can promote cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis, and also related to the increase of anti-inflammatory cytokines.

LDL-C is another major indicator for monitoring lipoprotein cholesterol in addition to HDL-C. Our study found that low expression of LDL-C in patients with LUSC was substantially associated with poor OS. Although there are limited reports on the relationship between LDL-C and the prognosis of tumor patients, Zhou et al. observed that higher LDL level is an important independent prognostic factor for poor OS in patients with small-cell lung cancer. The inconsistency of the role of LDL level may be due in part to the different pathological basis of LUSC and small cell lung cancer. Furthermore, the treatment of LUSC is mainly surgery in our study, while either radiotherapy or chemotherapy is used for treating small cell lung cancer in the study of Zhou et al.

Previous studies have demonstrated that most patients with non-small cell lung cancer suffer from malnutrition. In addition, even patients with normal nutrition may suffer from malnutrition in the early postoperative period, which may lead to poor wound healing and reduced immunity after surgery, resulting in an increased risk of postoperative complications including bronchial leakage, postoperative infection, respiratory asthenia, and ultimately poor OS and progression-free survival. Lipids, as an essential nutrient of human body, the absence of which can slow down or even stop all functions of human body. This will lead to the increased incidence rate and mortality.

Being limited to this retrospective study, blood lipids were not measured again after surgery. Another deficiency existed that some patients with hyperlipidemia received lipid-lowering treatment after surgery. At present, a much debated issue is whether taking statins after surgery can improve the prognosis of lung cancer.

Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier curve for overall survival regarding normal vs disorder TG levels (P = 0.011) (A); normal vs disorder TC levels (P = 0.037) (B); normal vs disorder HDL-C levels (P = 0.223) (C); low vs high LDL-C levels (P = 0.104) (D).
patients. Whilst some studies have proven that taking statins before diagnosis can reduce the specific mortality of lung cancer patients and prolong the survival time of patients\textsuperscript{33,34}, some other scholars believe no superiority of taking statins over no-statins in lowering risk of lung cancer\textsuperscript{35}.

**Conclusions**

In summary, our research indicated that blood lipid levels were substantially correlated with LUSC generation and development and may be helpful in the identification of, and follow-up in, high-risk patients with LUSC. Therefore, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C levels at diagnosis can be considered prognostic factors for LUSC.

| Characteristics       | TG > 1.35 | TG ≤ 1.35 | P   |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----|
| Age                   |           |           | 0.144|
| ≥ 60                  | 53        | 114       |     |
| < 60                  | 53        | 80        |     |
| **Gender**            |           |           | 0.852|
| Female                | 6         | 10        |     |
| Male                  | 100       | 184       |     |
| **Smoking state**     |           |           | 0.382|
| Yes                   | 94        | 165       |     |
| No                    | 12        | 29        |     |
| **Drinking state**    |           |           | 0.273|
| Yes                   | 67        | 110       |     |
| No                    | 39        | 84        |     |
| **T stage**           |           |           | 0.003|
| I–II                  | 86        | 126       |     |
| III–IV                | 20        | 68        |     |
| **Lymph node metastasis** |         |           | 0.598|
| Yes                   | 54        | 105       |     |
| No                    | 52        | 89        |     |
| **TNM stage**         |           |           | 0.008|
| I–II                  | 82        | 121       |     |
| III–IV                | 24        | 73        |     |
| **Recurrence**        |           |           | 0.148|
| Yes                   | 33        | 76        |     |
| No                    | 70        | 111       |     |
| **Death**             |           |           | 0.002|
| Yes                   | 36        | 102       |     |
| No                    | 70        | 92        |     |

Table 3. Relationship between TG concentration and clinical characteristics in 300 patients with squamous cell carcinoma of lung based on chi-square test.
**Table 4.** Relationship between HDL-C concentration and clinical characteristics in 300 Patients With squamous cell carcinoma of lung based on chi-square test.

| Characteristics      | HDL-C > 1.17 | HDL-C ≤ 1.17 | P     |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Age                  |              |              | 0.900 |
| ≥ 60                 | 69           | 98           |       |
| < 60                 | 54           | 79           |       |
| Gender               |              |              | 0.020 |
| Female               | 11           | 5            |       |
| Male                 | 112          | 172          |       |
| Smoking state        |              |              | 0.002 |
| Yes                  | 97           | 162          |       |
| No                   | 26           | 15           |       |
| Drinking state       |              |              | 0.918 |
| Yes                  | 73           | 104          |       |
| No                   | 50           | 73           |       |
| T stage              |              |              | 0.592 |
| I–II                 | 89           | 123          |       |
| III–IV               | 34           | 54           |       |
| Lymph node metastasis|              |              | 0.606 |
| Yes                  | 63           | 96           |       |
| No                   | 60           | 81           |       |
| TNM stage            |              |              | 0.089 |
| I–II                 | 90           | 113          |       |
| III–IV               | 33           | 64           |       |
| Recurrence           |              |              | 0.031 |
| Yes                  | 36           | 73           |       |
| No                   | 83           | 98           |       |
| Death                |              |              | 0.013 |
| Yes                  | 46           | 92           |       |
| No                   | 77           | 85           |       |
| Characteristics          | LDL-C > 2.32 | LDL-C ≤ 2.32 | P    |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|------|
| Age                     |              |              | 0.742|
| ≥ 60                    | 110          | 57           |      |
| < 60                    | 90           | 43           |      |
| Gender                  |              |              | 0.467|
| Female                  | 12           | 4            |      |
| Male                    | 188          | 96           |      |
| Smoking state           |              |              | 0.905|
| Yes                     | 173          | 86           |      |
| No                      | 27           | 14           |      |
| Drinking state          |              |              | 0.213|
| Yes                     | 123          | 54           |      |
| No                      | 77           | 46           |      |
| T stage                 |              |              | 0.720|
| I–II                    | 140          | 72           |      |
| III–IV                  | 60           | 28           |      |
| Lymph node metastasis   |              |              | 0.624|
| Yes                     | 108          | 51           |      |
| No                      | 92           | 49           |      |
| TNM stage               |              |              | 0.541|
| I–II                    | 133          | 70           |      |
| III–IV                  | 67           | 30           |      |
| Recurrence              |              |              | 0.621|
| Yes                     | 71           | 38           |      |
| No                      | 123          | 58           |      |
| Death                   |              |              | 0.014|
| Yes                     | 82           | 56           |      |
| No                      | 118          | 44           |      |

Table 5. Relationship between LDL-C concentration and clinical characteristics in 300 Patients With squamous cell carcinoma of lung based on chi-square test.
| Parameter                  | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                            | HR (95% CI)         | P         | HR (95% CI)         | P         |
| Age                        | 1.024 (0.732–1.432) | 0.890    |                      |           |
| ≥ 60                       |                     |          |                      |           |
| < 60                       |                     |          |                      |           |
| Gender                     | 0.816 (0.360–1.851) | 0.627    |                      |           |
| Female                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| Male                       |                     |          |                      |           |
| Smoking state              | 0.998 (0.600–1.660) | 0.995    |                      |           |
| Yes                        |                     |          |                      |           |
| No                         |                     |          |                      |           |
| Drinking state             | 1.008 (0.716–1.418) | 0.964    |                      |           |
| Yes                        |                     |          |                      |           |
| No                         |                     |          |                      |           |
| T stage                    | 0.437 (0.311–0.615) | 0.000    | 0.444 (0.315–0.627) | 0.000    |
| I–II                       |                     |          |                      |           |
| III–IV                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| Lymph node metastasis     | 1.738 (1.229–2.457) | 0.002    | 1.819 (1.281–2.581) | 0.001    |
| Yes                        |                     |          |                      |           |
| No                         |                     |          |                      |           |
| TNM stage                  | 0.404 (0.288–0.566) | 0.000    |                      |           |
| I–II                       |                     |          |                      |           |
| III–IV                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| TG (mmol/L)                | 0.497 (0.340–0.728) | 0.000    | 0.546 (0.366–0.814) | 0.003    |
| > 1.35                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| ≤ 1.35                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| TC (mmol/L)                | 0.621 (0.444–0.868) | 0.005    |                      |           |
| > 3.93                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| ≤ 3.93                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)             | 0.639 (0.449–0.911) | 0.013    | 0.546 (0.380–0.784) | 0.001    |
| > 1.17                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| ≤ 1.17                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)             | 0.642 (0.457–0.903) | 0.011    | 0.652 (0.456–0.933) | 0.019    |
| > 2.32                     |                     |          |                      |           |
| ≤ 2.32                     |                     |          |                      |           |

Table 6. Univariate and multivariate cox proportional analysis with overall survival.
| Parameter                      | Univariate analysis |          | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|
|                               | HR (95% CI)         | P        | HR (95% CI)           | P         |
| Age                           | 1.167(0.777–1.755)  | 0.456    |                       |           |
| ≥ 60                          |                     |          |                       |           |
| <60                           |                     |          |                       |           |
| Gender                        | 0.536(0.170–1.692)  | 0.288    |                       |           |
| Female                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| Male                          |                     |          |                       |           |
| Smoking state                 | 1.850(0.573–1.925)  | 0.874    |                       |           |
| Yes                           |                     |          |                       |           |
| No                            |                     |          |                       |           |
| Drinking state                | 1.004(0.668–1.509)  | 0.985    |                       |           |
| Yes                           |                     |          |                       |           |
| No                            |                     |          |                       |           |
| T stage                       | 0.460(0.305–0.694)  | 0.000    | 0.457(0.303–0.690)    | 0.000     |
| I–II                          |                     |          |                       |           |
| III–IV                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| Lymph node metastasis        | 1.243(0.831–1.860)  | 0.290    |                       |           |
| Yes                           |                     |          |                       |           |
| No                            |                     |          |                       |           |
| TNM stage                     | 0.518(0.344–0.779)  | 0.002    |                       |           |
| I–II                          |                     |          |                       |           |
| III–IV                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| TG (mmol/L)                   | 0.734(0.481–1.119)  | 0.151    |                       |           |
| > 1.35                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| ≤ 1.35                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| TC (mmol/L)                   | 0.705(0.472–1.052)  | 0.087    |                       |           |
| > 3.93                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| ≤ 3.93                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)                | 0.650(0.426–0.990)  | 0.045    | 0.644(0.422–0.981)    | 0.041     |
| > 1.17                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| ≤ 1.17                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)                | 0.838(0.550–1.277)  | 0.411    |                       |           |
| > 2.32                        |                     |          |                       |           |
| ≤ 2.32                        |                     |          |                       |           |

Table 7. Univariate and multivariate cox proportional analysis with disease-free survival.
Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier curve for overall survival regarding low vs high TG levels (P = 0.000) (A); low vs high TC levels (P = 0.005) (B); low vs high HDL-C levels (P = 0.012) (C); low vs high LDL-C levels (P = 0.010) (D).
Data availability
The data used to support the findings of this study is included in the article and supplementary file.
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