Study on Supplying Boron to Coffee on Basaltic Soil in Central Highlands of Vietnam
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Abstract

Coffee trees have high nutrient requirements, including boron (B). A field experiment with 2 factors of applying methods (leaf and root) and doses (0, 1, 2 and 3 kg B ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) was implemented on basaltic soil in Central Highlands of Vietnam during 2015-2016. The results showed that supplying B remained appropriate B content in leaves, reduced in curly leaves and dropped fruits and increased in coffee productivity by 2.3-10.2% in comparison with control. The treatments of supplying 3 kg ha⁻¹ got highest productivity, 3.87 t ha⁻¹. In addition, the efficiency of B to coffee was quite clear, 76.7-85.0 kg coffee kg B⁻¹ in the cases of root applying and 95.0-123.3 kg in treatments of leaf spraying. Leaf spraying increased in yield of 0.11-0.23 t ha⁻¹, corresponding the profit of 94.5-247.3 USD ha⁻¹ in comparison with root applying.
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Introduction

Central Highlands are plateaus located at the middle of Vietnam where has humid tropical climate and large area of basaltic soil (volcanic soil), are suitable to coffee trees. It is the main coffee area, with 537,133.7 ha, occupies 83.7% total coffee area of the country. Coffee trees have high nutrient requirements, including macro, meso and micro elements [1-4]. Boron (B) is an important trace element for coffee [5]. Coffee is specie of crop which is sensitive to B [6]. B deficiency is widespread in Brazilian coffee plantations [7,8], results in a reduced root system, flower abortion, fruit malformation and consequently low yields. B fertilizer is recommended in Brazil when soil B content (hot water extraction) is below 0.06 mg dm⁻³ [9] or leaf B content below 60 mg kg⁻¹ [8], but responses of coffee trees to B fertilizer have been erratic, depending on the time, way of applying and B source [10].

Previously because of low productivity, micro elements from soil or organic fertilizer are enough for coffee requirement. Currently, intensive farms have pushed yields to rise, creating pressure on supplying of nutrients from the soil, especially the trace elements. In many coffee farms there are deficiency symptoms of micro elements after few years of continuous high yield [11].

Today in Vietnam some kinds of organic or NPK fertilizer have been combined to micro elements, including B for the purpose of supplementing the essential micro nutrients for plants. However, the doses and methods of supplying B to crops in general and coffee in particular have not been clearly. Studies on using B for coffee in Vietnam has not yet completed. The paper aims to show role of boron and suitable measures of using this element for coffee on basaltic soil in Central Highlands of Vietnam.

Materials and Methods

Materials

- Robusta coffee (10 years old) on basaltic soil (Rhodic Ferralsols): Urea: 46% N; Fused magnesium phosphate (FMP): 15% P₂O₅; KCl: 60% K₂O; H₃BO₃; 17.1%B.

Methods

A field experiment of supplying method (root and leaf) and dose (0, 1, 2 and 3 kg B ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) was implemented, including 8 treatments as following.

A1B1: Root applying, 0 B; A1B2: Root applying, 1 kg B ha⁻¹; A1B3: Root applying, 2 kg B ha⁻¹; A1B4: Root applying, 3 kg B ha⁻¹
A2B1: Leaf spraying 0 B (1000-liter water ha⁻¹); A2B2: Leaf spraying 1 kg B ha⁻¹ (1000 liter 0.1% B); A2B3: Leaf spraying 2 kg B ha⁻¹ (1000 liter 0.2% B); A2B4: Leaf Root Leaf spraying 3 kg B ha⁻¹ (1000 liter 0.3% B).

The treatments were arranged by split - plot - design with 3 replications on basaltic soil in Central Highlands of Vietnam during 2015-2016. Plot basic area is 90 m² (10 coffee trees). Following was the experimental diagram (Figure 1).
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Figure 1: The experimental diagram.
Experimental soil properties

The soil physical and chemical characteristics are presented in Tables 1 and 2. In generally, the soil is acid, quite rich in organic matter and nitrogen, very rich in potential phosphorus, poor in available phosphorus, potassium and very poor in calcium, magnesium, and boron. The texture is clay loam; bulk density is low; porosity is high.

| Depth (cm) | pHK Cl | Total (%) | Available (ppm) | Exchangeable (meq/100 g) |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|
|            |        | OM N    | P₂O₅ | K₂O | P₂O₅ | K₂O | B | Ca | Mg |
| 0 - 30     | 4.49   | 4.72    | 0.18 5 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 74  | 145 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 30 - 80    | 4.56   | 1.68    | 0.07 6 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 33  | 83  | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 0 - 120    | 4.73   | 0.43    | 0.04 5 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 17  | 54  | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

Table 1: Chemical characteristics of basaltic soil.

The texture is clay loam; bulk density is low; porosity is high.

| Depth (cm) | Texture (%) |
|------------|-------------|
|            | Clay | Loam | Sand |
| 0 - 30     | 26.7 | 49.0 | 24.3 |
| 30 – 80    | 35.2 | 48.0 | 16.8 |
| 80 - 120   | 30.3 | 40.6 | 29.0 |

Table 2: Physical characteristics of basaltic soil.

The B content in coffee leaves

The analyzing results showed that before trial (May, 2014) B content in coffee leaves arranged from 24.1 to 26.8 ppm. That was necessary time to supply B for the crop. After root applying or leaf spraying, B content in coffee leaves increased. The more B supplied, the longer appropriate B content remained. The effectiveness of 2 kg B ha⁻¹ was 40 days and 3 kg B ha⁻¹ was 50 days. B content in coffee leaves got a peak after 10 days of leaf spraying and 20-30 days of root applying. However, influenced period of root applying and leaf spraying was similar (Figure 2).

The effect of B on leaf curly disease of coffee

Before implementing trial, curly coffee leaves appeared over the farm. After providing B leaf curl tended to decrease. The rate of leaf curl increased in the treatments without B (Table 3).

| Method (A) | Dose (B) | Before applying | Before harvesting | Changing (%) |
|------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| B1         | 3/30     | 10.0            | 4/30              | 13.3         |
| B2         | 2/30     | 6.6             | 2/30              | 6.6          |
| B3         | 3/30     | 10.0            | 2/30              | 6.6          |
| B4         | 4/30     | 13.3            | 3/30              | 10.0         |

Table 3: The rate of leaf curl increased in the treatments without B. Notes: Numerator: number of diseased trees; Denominator: number of observed trees.

The effect of B on dropping coffee fruits

The rate of dropped fruits arranged in 24.5-31.1%. In that, the treatments without B had highest rate of dropped fruits, 30.5%. The treatments of supplying 3 kg B ha⁻¹ (A2B4, A1B4) got lowest rate of dropped fruits, 24.5-26.4%, corresponding 4.4-6.0% lower than the treatments without B. The dropped rate in leaf spraying B was 2.1% lower than in root applying (Table 4).

| Method (A) | Dose (B) | Average of A |
|------------|----------|--------------|
| B1         | 31.1     | 29.1         |
| B2         | 29.9     | 27.9         |
| B3         | 30.5     | 28.5         |
| B4         | 26.4     | 24.5         |

Table 4: The effect of B on rate of dropped coffee fruits (%). LSD₀.₀₅ A=1.75; B=0.81; A*B=0.98.

The effect of B on fruit weight and size

The influence of B on the weight and volume of fruits is presented in Tables 5 and 6. The weight of 100 fruits arranged in 96.9-99.7 g and the volume was 99.8-105.8 cm³. The treatments of supplying 3 kg B ha⁻¹ (leaf spraying or root applying) gave highest weight and volume of 100 fruits, 99.6 g and 105.7 cm³. They were 2.8% in weight and 6.0% in volume higher than without B.

| Method (A) | Dose (B) | Average of A |
|------------|----------|--------------|
| B1         | 31.1     | 29.1         |
| B2         | 29.9     | 27.9         |
| B3         | 30.5     | 28.5         |
| B4         | 26.4     | 24.5         |
The treatments which got highest yield were A1B4, A2B4 (3 kg ha\(^{-1}\) spraying increased in yield by 0.11-0.23 t ha\(^{-1}\). A = 0.72; A = 0.88; A = 1.37; B = 2.26; A = 3.2.

The effect of B on coffee productivity

Results from the experiment showed that there was significant difference of coffee productivity among the experimental treatments, arranged in 3.52-3.98 t ha\(^{-1}\). The treatments without B (A1B1, A2B1) had lowest coffee yield, 3.52-3.61 t ha\(^{-1}\), average of 3.57 t ha\(^{-1}\). The treatments which got highest yield were A1B4, A2B4 (3 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), arranged in 3.75-3.89 t ha\(^{-1}\), average of 3.87 t ha\(^{-1}\). Treatments of leaf spraying increased in yield by 0.11-0.23 t ha\(^{-1}\), average of 0.12 t ha\(^{-1}\) in comparison with root applying (Table 7).

### Table 5: The effect of B on fruit weight (g/100 fruits). LSD\(_{0.05}\) A = 0.93; B = 0.88; A*B = 1.25

| Method (A) | Dose (B) | Average of A | %  |
|-----------|----------|---------------|----|
|           | B1       | B2 | B3 | B4 |   |   |
| A1        | 99.8     | 102.1 | 104.4 | 105.6 | 103.0 | 100.0 |
| A2        | 99.6     | 102.8 | 104.7 | 105.8 | 103.2 | 100.2 |
| Average of B | 99.7 | 102.5 | 104.6 | 105.7 | 106.0 | 100.0 |

The effect of B on coffee productivity

Results from the experiment showed that there was significant difference of coffee productivity among the experimental treatments, arranged in 3.52-3.98 t ha\(^{-1}\). The treatments without B (A1B1, A2B1) had lowest coffee yield, 3.52-3.61 t ha\(^{-1}\), average of 3.57 t ha\(^{-1}\). The treatments which got highest yield were A1B4, A2B4 (3 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), arranged in 3.75-3.89 t ha\(^{-1}\), average of 3.87 t ha\(^{-1}\). Treatments of leaf spraying increased in yield by 0.11-0.23 t ha\(^{-1}\), average of 0.12 t ha\(^{-1}\) in comparison with root applying (Table 7).

### Table 6: The effect of B on fruit volume (cm\(^3\)/100 fruits). LSD\(_{0.05}\) A = 1.37; B = 2.26; A*B = 3.2

| Method (A) | Dose (B) | Average of A | %  |
|-----------|----------|---------------|----|
|           | B1       | B2 | B3 | B4 |   |   |
| A1        | 3.52     | 3.60 | 3.69 | 3.75 | 3.64 | 100.0 |
| A2        | 3.61     | 3.71 | 3.80 | 3.98 | 3.78 | 103.7 |
| Average of B | 3.57 | 3.66 | 3.75 | 3.87 | 108.3 | 100.0 |

### Table 7: The effect of B on coffee productivity (t ha\(^{-1}\)). LSD\(_{0.05}\) A = 0.20; B = 0.72; A*B = 0.11

| Treatment | Dose B/ha\(^{-1}\) | Productivity kg coffee ha\(^{-1}\) | Increasing kg coffee ha\(^{-1}\) | Efficiency kg coffee kg B\(^{-1}\) |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| A1B1      | 0                 | 3,520           | -              | -               |
| A1B2      | 1                 | 3,600           | 80             | 80.0            |
| A1B3      | 2                 | 3,690           | 170            | 85.0            |
| A1B4      | 3                 | 3,750           | 230            | 76.7            |
| A2B1      | 0                 | 3,610           | -              | -               |
| A2B2      | 1                 | 3,710           | 100            | 100.0           |
| A2B3      | 2                 | 3,800           | 190            | 95.0            |
| A2B4      | 3                 | 3,980           | 370            | 123.3           |

### Table 8: The effectiveness of B on coffee

| Treatment | Cost | Income | Profit |
|-----------|------|--------|--------|
| A1B1      | 3,200.0 | 5,360.0 | 2,158.0 |
| A1B2      | 3,226.8 | 5,481.8 | 2,253.0 |
| A1B3      | 3,256.0 | 5,618.9 | 2,360.8 |
| A1B4      | 3,280.1 | 5,710.2 | 2,450.1 |
| A2B1      | 3,224.5 | 5,497.0 | 2,272.6 |
| A2B2      | 3,301.8 | 5,649.3 | 2,347.5 |
| A2B3      | 3,331.0 | 5,768.4 | 2,455.4 |
| A2B4      | 3,383.1 | 6,060.5 | 2,677.4 |

### Table 9: The economic efficiency of B on coffee (USD ha\(^{-1}\)).

#### Discussion

The appropriate B content in coffee leaves arranged from 35 to 90 ppm [12]. The B content of coffee leaves in Vietnam was 30-50 ppm [2]. The B content in coffee leaves before experiment were below demand of coffee tree. Applying B increased in B content of coffee leaves. In generally, influence of leaf spraying was faster than root applying.

Leaf curl disease usually appears on coffee plantations in Vietnam. It is caused by the incompliance or imbalance of nutrients and called physiological disease. The disease reduces in photosynthesis, growth, and productivity of coffee trees [2]. Using B reduced in rate of leaf curl evidently [11].

In Central Highlands of Vietnam climate and soil condition, dropping fruits usually occurs for coffee trees. It is due to physiological processes of plants or storms or lack of water and nutrient. Leaf spraying or roots applying B results in reduced rate of dropped fruits by 5.8% [2].

In Vietnam weight and size of coffee fruit increase quickly and get a maximum in July. Dry matter accumulation reaches a peak also in July.
increased coffee productivity by 3.3% in comparison with root applying [13,14].

Conclusions

Supplying B to coffee on basaltic soil in Central Highlands of Vietnam remained appropriate B content in leaves, reduced in curly leaves and dropped fruits and increased in coffee productivity by 2.3-10.2% in comparison with control. The dose of 3 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) (17.5 kg H\(_3\)BO\(_3\) ha\(^{-1}\)) got highest yield, average of 3.87 t ha\(^{-1}\). Treatments of leaf spraying increased in yield by 0.11-0.23 t ha\(^{-1}\), corresponding the profit of 94.5-247.3 USD ha\(^{-1}\) in comparison with root applying.

References

1. Brown PH, Shelp BJ (1977) Boron mobility in plants. Plant Soil 193: 85-101.
2. Loan LD, Thuy NT, Tuan B, Tu TC (1997) Balanced fertilization of macro-meso-micro nutrients for coffee in Central Highlands. Vietnam Agriculture and Food Industry 9: 379-381.
3. Almeida SR, Matiello JB (1996) New sources and ways of application of B in coffee. In: Congresso Brasileiro De Pesquisas Cafeeiras. Anais, Rio de Janeiro, Instituto Brasileiro do Café, pp: 83-84.
4. Catani RA, Moraes FRP (1958) A composição química do cafeeiro. Rev Agr 33: 45-52.
5. Vinh NC, Anh LX (2007) The effect of boron and zinc for cartimor coffee on basaltic soil in Phu Quy Nghe An, Central Highland Soil. Fertilizer and Environment Research Center 2: 82-93.
6. Catani RA, Pellegrino D, Alcarde JC, Graner CAF (1967) Variação na concentração e na quantidade de macro e micronutrientes no fruto do cafeeiro durante o seu desenvolvimento. Anais da Esalq 24: 249-263.
7. Malavolta E (1986) Nutrição, adubação e calagem. In: Rena A, Malavolta E, Rocha M, Yamada T (eds.), Cultura do cafeeiro: Fatores que afetam a produtividade. Piracicaba, Potaços, pp: 165-274.
8. Malavolta E, Rosias FG, Oliveira SA, Heinrichs R, Casale H, et al. (2001) Cacau, café, chá, fumo e mate. In: Ferreira ME, Cruz MCP, Raji B van, Abreu CA (eds.), Micronutrientes e elementos tóxicos na agricultura. Jaboticabal, CNPq/FAPESP/Potasps, pp: 425-458.
9. Raji BV, Cantarella H, Quaggio JA, Furlani AMC (1996) Recomendações de adubação e calagem para o Estado de São Paulo. 2 edn. Campinas, Instituto Agronômico e Fundação IAC, 100: 285.
10. Santinato R, Sena CA, Silva AA, Camargo RP (1991) Efeitos de P, Ca e B via foliar no pegamento de florada e frutificação do cafeeiro. In: Congresso Brasileiro De Pesquisa Cafeeira, Campos do Jordão. Anais, Rio de Janeiro, pp: 36-38.
11. Hien BH, Thi ND, Tu TC (2005) The nutrient-use efficiency experiment and effect of different phosphate fertilizer sources on coffea robusta grown on the ferralitic soils of Central Highlands. Institute for Soil and Fertilizer 4: 284-296.
12. Wilson KC (1985) Climata and soil in coffee. In: Clifford MN, Wildon KCP (eds.), p: 101.
13. Correa JB, Garcia AWR, Costa PC (1983) Extração de nutrientes pelos cafeeiros Mundo Novo e Catuá. In: Congresso Brasileiro de Pesquisas Cafeeiras, Poços de Caldas, Anais, Rio de Janeiro, pp: 177-183.
14. Malavolta E, Graner EA, Sarruge JR, Gomes L (1963) Estudos sobre a alimentação mineral do cafeeiro. XI. Extração de macro e micronutrientes na colheita pelas. Turrialba 13: 188-189.