Gibberellic acid promotes dormancy-breaking of rice seeds and the formation of abnormal seedlings

O ácido giberélico promove a superação da dormência de sementes de arroz e a formação de plântulas anormais

ABSTRACT

Rice is one species that present dormancy after harvest and can be prolonged during seed storage. This work aimed to determine whether gibberellic acid (GA3) is an efficient promoter of dormancy-breaking in rice seeds and evaluate changes in biological structures via histochemistry. The cultivar used was SCS122 Miura submitted to 0 mg L⁻¹, 500 mg L⁻¹, and 1000 mg L⁻¹ of GA3. Germination, viability, root, shoot and seedling length, starch optical microscopy, and quantification of total soluble sugars were performed. The use of 500 mg L⁻¹ and 1000 mg L⁻¹ of GA3 was efficient in dormancy-breaking rice seeds, reducing the percentage of dormant seeds to 4% and 1%, respectively. Despite lowering the dormancy percentage, the presence of GA3 causes an increase in the percentage of abnormal seedlings. Therefore, it cannot be recommended as a method of dormancy-breaking rice seeds at the concentrations used. Optical microscopy is efficient to verify that with the dormancy-breaking, the degradation of starch granules occurs, increasing the availability of total soluble sugars for the growth and development of seedlings.
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INTRODUCTION

Dormancy has been defined as a temporary absence of germination under favorable environmental conditions (BEWLEY et al. 2013), probably caused by mechanisms located in the seeds (MAR COS FILHO 2015). It is an important strategy for seed survival, which allows plants to disperse germination over time and to avoid that occurs in adverse conditions, reducing the risk of failure, and promoting the perpetuation of the species (LONG et al. 2015).

Rice is one species that presents dormancy after harvest and can be prolonged during the seed storage. When not overcome during storage, it can cause serious problems in emergence that can
compromise productivity.

Seed germination can be defined as “the beginning of the life history for seed plants” (ZHAO et al. 2018). It has been suggested that one way in which the environment indirectly affects seed germination is through the types and amounts of compounds transferred from the mother plant to the seeds. This transfer of compounds to seeds includes carbohydrates, proteins and lipids, which are the major reserves in most seeds. The definition of germination sensu stricto is the complex process from water uptake of dry seeds (imbibition) to radicle protrusion through the seed coat (ZHAO et al. 2018).

In rice seeds, dormancy may result from the hormonal balance between promoters and growth regulators that have a fundamental role in the seed germination process (SHU et al. 2016). Abscisic acid (ABA) has an important role by suppressing cellular activities related to germination, while the gibberellins (GA) have an opposite effect (NÉE et al. 2017). Therefore, the balance between ABA-GA is a decisive factor for dormancy-breaking or not (BEWLEY et al. 2013).

Recommended methods for evaluation of dormancy-breaking (i.e., pre-drying, immersion in sodium hypochlorite solution, pre-heating) are time consuming, lasting 16 to 96 hours of analysis (BRASIL 2009). The longer time needed and lack in efficiency of those reported methods limit the evaluation of the physiological quality of the seeds immediately after the harvest, making it difficult to decide whether or not to approve the seed lots to be marketed (BALDI et al. 2012).

In addition to being widely used for dormancy-breaking of several species that present physiological dormancy (YAO & SHEN 2018), gibberellic acid has also been indicated by the seed analysis rules (BRASIL 2009) as a specific method for dormancy-breaking of many genus of Poaceae family (i.e., Avena sativa, Hordeum vulgare, Secale cereale and Triticum aestivum). Despite the reported studies, evidences in the literature regarding its effect as dormancy-breaking promoter in rice are not conclusive and it is important to elucidate the culture’s response to GAs (GAO & CHU 2020). This research hypothesized that gibberellic acid also acts as dormancy-breaking promoter in rice cultivars.

Gibberellic acid induces the biosynthesis of the amylase that acts in the starch hydrolysis, converting it into soluble sugars (BEWLEY et al. 2013). While the dormancy is overcome, the mobilization of reserves increases into the growing points (VIEIRA et al. 2010).

With the activation of specific enzymes for starch degradation, an increase in the availability of total soluble sugars needed for embryo development is observed giving rise to seedlings (ALI & ELOZEIRI 2017). VIEIRA et al. (2002) verified that gibberellic acid is efficient for dormancy-breaking in rice seeds and that the activity of the α-amylase enzyme may be an indicator of the degree of the dormancy.

Histochemistry or “chemistry in the context of biological tissue” is an invaluable set of techniques used to visualize biological structures. This field lies at the interface of organic chemistry, biochemistry, and biology. It is an important technique that is used for the visualization of biological structures. As such, it is concerned with the identification and distribution of various chemical components of tissues through the use of stains, indicators as well as microscopy. The field uses disparate techniques to accomplish the specific labeling of biological structures (LAVIS 2011).

Histochemical techniques are powerful tools in biological systems and have been largely reported in the literature to study seed reserve mobilization during germination in passiflora (TOZZI & TAKAKI 2011, ALENCAR et al. 2012). It can be used to correlate germination after dormancy-breaking and seed reserves as well as their mobilization. Besides allowing to study early seedling establishment (ALENCAR et al. 2012) and to monitor changes in starch, proteins, and fatty acids (UARROTA et al. 2011). In this regard, histochemical analyses were used in this study to monitor changes in starch degradation and mobilization of reserves from endosperm to growing points of seed embryo.

Thus, the main goals of this work were to determine if the gibberellic acid is an efficient dormancy-breaking promoter in rice and the possibility of the use of histochemical analysis in this kind of evaluation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Physiological parameters: Sample preparation, gibberellic acid solutions and experimental design

Rice dormant seed samples of cultivar SCS122 Miura (2017/2018 harvest) were used for the experiment following a completely randomized design (CRD) with four repetitions (n = 4) (BRASIL 2009). The working sample consisted of 70 g of the previously homogenized and reduced average sample (1 kg). From the “working sample” (70 g), four “sub-samples” of 17.5 g were obtained using a sample splitter and these were used for subsequent physiological, biochemical, and histochemical analyses (BRASIL 2009; COELHO et al. 2010). The sample humidity was determined using an oven (105 °C for 24 hours) (BRASIL 2009). 500 mg L⁻¹ gibberellic acid solution was prepared by dissolving 500 mg of GAs in 1 L of water and...
1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) of GA\(_3\), by dissolving GA\(_3\) in 1 L sodium phosphate buffer (0.01 M, pH 7) according to the seed analysis rules (BRASIL 2009). The physiological and agronomic parameters evaluated were the germination rate, normal and abnormal seedlings, non-germinated seeds, seed viability by tetrazolium test, root, shoot and seedling length.

**Seed germination rate**

Four repetitions of 100 seeds per treatment were used. First, seeds were placed in “germitest” germination paper previously moistened (three times equivalent of paper mass) with deionized water, 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) solution and 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) of GA\(_3\) solution, respectively, according to the seed analysis rules (BRASIL 2009). The paper rolls were then packed in plastic bags and then stored in Germinator (Mangelsdorf) in a vertical position and maintained under 25 ± 2 °C for 14 days. Evaluations were made at 7 and 14 days after, and results were expressed as a percentage (%).

**Seed viability by tetrazolium test**

Seeds without radicle protrusion in each treatment in the previously reported test were subject to the tetrazolium test with the main aim of determining their viability. Seeds were sliced longitudinally through the embryo and 3/4 of endosperm and then immersed in a solution of 2,3,5 triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (0,1%) during three hours at 35 °C in dark ambient, according to the methodology described in the seed analysis rules (BRASIL 2009). The number of inviable seeds and dormant (viables) was determined, and the results were expressed in percentage (%).

**Root, shoot and seedling length**

Ten normal seedlings were randomly selected in each treatment and each repetition. Then root, shoot, and seedling lengths were measured. The average length of the roots, shoots and the seedlings were determined as described by NAKAGAWA (1999). Results were expressed in mm per seedling.

**Biochemical parameters evaluated by UV-visible spectroscopy and histochemistry: Seed Sampling**

The germination test was set up, as described above. The paper rolls were then packed in plastic bags and stored in a Germinator (Mangelsdorf) in a vertical position and maintained under 25 ± 2 °C until 50% appearance of primary root protrusion with at least 2 mm length. Such pre-requisite was attained 46 hours after starting the test. Then, palea and seed bark were manually removed (COX et al. 2010) and the remaining part were dried by liquid nitrogen and stored under -20 °C (ANDRADE et al. 2020) until the analysis of total soluble sugars and histochemistry analysis.

**Total soluble sugars (TSS)**

TSS was determined according to the methodology by CLEGG (1956) with small modifications. The extract was obtained from 250 mg of the sample, which was grinded and mixed with 25 mL of ethanol 80%, during 15 min in water bath (60 °C), centrifuged (7 min, 3000 rpm, Model Centrifuge 5810 R, Eppendorf) and the supernatant was collected. To the residual part, 30 mL of ethanol 80% was added, mixed and centrifuged again. The two supernatants were binded and used as extract for TSS assay. Anthrone solutions were prepared by mixing 0.04 g of anthrone with 1 mL of water and 20 mL of sulfuric acid. All analysis were made using four repetitions. The assay of TSS was performed by mixing 300 μL of extract, 700 μL of water and 2 mL of the anthrone solution in test tubes. The tubes were placed in water bath (3 min, 96 °C) and then followed by absorbance read at 620 nm using an UV-visible spectrophotometer (UV-VIS SPECTRO 800D, Marte Científica) and results expressed as means ± standard deviation in mg g\(^{-1}\).

**Histochemical analysis of starch granules**

Four repetitions of ten seeds per treatment were used. The sample fixation was done by using 5 mL formaldehyde, 5 mL acetic acid and 90 mL of ethanol 50% during 48 hours in a freezer (BOUZON 1993). After fixation, samples were dehydrated using successive concentrations of ethanol (30%, 40%, 50% 70%, 90% and two times in ethanol 100%), 30 minutes in each step (SCHMIDT 2009). The seeds were then pre-infiltrated in a mixture histoiresin-ethanol (48 hours) and then infiltrated (48 hours) with Historesin (Leica Historesin, Heidelberg, Germany). Finally, sections of 5 μm in length were stained with Lugol (JOHANSEN 1940) for starch visualization through a metachromatic reaction and investigated with an Epifluorescent (ZEISS) microscope equipped with Image Capture Software (Q-imaging Corporation, Austin, TX, USA).

**Data mining and statistics**

All data were summarized and subjected to statistical analysis and where differences were observed, Tukey Honestly Significant Differences (HSD) test was used (p<0.05) as mean comparison test. All statistical analyses were performed in R software (R CORE TEAM 2020) using scripts developed by our research group.
RESULTS

Physiological parameters

The physiological parameters evaluated in this study are summarized in Table 1. According to the results, the rate of dormant seeds decreased significantly while increasing the concentration of gibberellic acid from zero (control treatment) to 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) (p<0.05, Tukey test), meaning that gibberellic acid has an effect on dormancy-breaking of rice seeds.

Table 1. The effect of gibberellic acid concentrations on the germination evaluated as rate of dormant and non-dormant (%) rice seeds.

| Gibberellic acid (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Dormant seeds (%) | Non-dormant seeds (%) | Normal seedlings (%) | Abnormal seedlings (%) | Inviable seeds (%) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 0                               | 35 a*              | 65 b                  | 32 a                 | 22 b                  | 11 a              |
| 500                             | 4 b                | 96 a                  | 30 a                 | 61 a                  | 5 a               |
| 1000                            | 1 b                | 99 a                  | 29 a                 | 62 a                  | 8 a               |
| CV (%)                          | 22.43              | 3.4                   | 20.31                | 8.79                  | 53.68             |

*Similar letters in the column means non-significant statistical differences (p<0.05, Tukey test). CV = Coefficient of variation

Contrarily, the rate of non-dormant seeds was observed to be higher in treatments where gibberellic acid was applied (Table 1). Despite the observed effect of gibberellic acid, it was also found that gibberellic acid has negative effect on seedling growth (Table 1). The rate of abnormal seedlings increased significantly in gibberellic acid treatments.

Gibberellic acid promoted a reduction in root length (Figure 1). Shoot and seedling length were significantly increased (p<0.05) by gibberellic acid concentrations (500 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively). The optimum level of growth regulator to seedling length was 500 mg L\(^{-1}\). The results prompt us to postulate that at those levels of gibberellic acid used in this experiment, a growth regulator imbalance possibly caused accelerated rate of germination, promoting excessive development of shoots and inhibiting root growth.

Figure 1. Root, shoot and seedling length (mm) according to gibberellic acid concentrations (0 mg L\(^{-1}\), 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) e 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Means followed by similar letters are statistically non-significant by Tukey (p<0.05).
Biochemical parameters: Histochemical analysis of starch granules of rice seeds after gibberellic acid treatments

Starch granules are clearly visible in its intact form in figure 2A and where gibberellic acid was applied (Figure 2B and 2C). In Figure 2B, 2C is starch degradation, possibly, the growth regulator stimulates amylases involved in starch degradation toward soluble sugar biosynthesis for growth and development of seedlings.

Figure 2. Light Microscopy of rice seeds endosperm after 46 h of imbibition in 0 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) (water) (A), 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) gibberellic acid (B) and 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) gibberellic acid (C) showing starch granules.

TSS significantly increased with gibberellic acid application (Figure 3) after 46 hours of imbibition, which corroborates with our previous results (Figure 2B and 2C) in histochemical analysis. The presence of gibberellic acid promoted starch degradation while increasing soluble sugars for growth and development of seedlings.

Figure 3. The effect of gibberellic acid concentrations on total soluble sugars of rice seeds. Similar letters mean statistically non-significant differences between the treatments (p<0.05, Tukey test).
DISCUSSION

Gibberellic acid promoted the breaking of dormancy (Table 1). However, the rate of abnormal seedlings was significantly higher in gibberellic acid treatments (Table 1). The higher level of growth regulator probably accelerated the dormancy-breaking process and germination while reducing the needed time for membrane re-organization after hydration.

Similar results were reported by TONIN (2015) in wheat and sweet maize. Seeds treated with the highest concentration of gibberellic acid showed higher abnormal seedlings and inviable seeds. Research by ARAGÃO et al. (2003) observed that maize seeds treated with gibberellic acid higher than 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) showed a higher rate of abnormal seedlings, which prompted us to postulate that levels of gibberellic acid had a toxic effect on the treated seeds, compromising the seedling growth.

Furthermore, gibberellic acid affected the length of the seedlings, promoting shoot growth and inhibiting the seedling root. The levels of gibberellic acid used in this experiment caused a growth regulator imbalance, possibly accelerating the germination rate and promoting excessive development of shoots and inhibiting root growth.

KUMARI et al. (2017) also observed that gibberellic acid promoted seedling length in their research. For the shoot, the length increased while increasing the concentration of gibberellic acid. But, MIRANSARI & SMITH (2014) reported that there can be interaction between growth regulators during germination process. Similar behavior was also observed by GROHS et al. (2012), who reported that gibberellic acid promotes excessive development of shoots than roots, and they concluded that due to higher rates of cell divisions which causes increases in node elongation (GRAEBE 1987). When there is excessive growth of shoots, the seedlings allocate more carbon to leaf and shoot nutrition than in roots which consequently causes a reduction in root growth (GROHS et al. 2012).

Previous results from histochemical analysis of starch granules showed that α-amylases are present in dormant seeds at lower levels and during germination, the level is increased rapidly, which prompt us to conclude that soluble sugars from starch degradation via amylase activity are mobilized for embryo nutrition and seedling formation (VIEIRA et al. 2008).

Regarding the total soluble sugars (TSS) analyzed by UV-visible spectrophotometry, similar results were also reported by SUN et al. (2018). In their study, the authors reported a significant increase in soluble sugar after applying gibberellic acid in Zanthoxylum dissitum seeds for 24 hours. Contrarily, KHAN et al. (2011) observed lower levels of soluble sugars in wheat treated with gibberellic and kinetin.

As claimed previously, exogenous application of gibberellic acid promotes up-regulation of α-amylases which have a role in starch hydrolysis to form soluble sugars (SUN et al. 2018). The aleuron layer is the responsible of α-amylase production in response gibberellins (VIEIRA et al. 2010). In wheat seeds and other species of Poaceae family, aleuron layers are also responsible in reserve mobilization for germination process (TAIZ et al. 2017).

The antagonism of abscisic acid and gibberellic acid was also reported to affect seed dormancy via abscisic acid, inhibiting the biosynthesis of hydrolytic enzymes that are essentials for reserve catabolism and gibberellic acid inducing the biosynthesis of the same enzymes (TAIZ et al. 2017). Although, as in the seeds, abscisic acid is found in higher concentrations, the exogenous application of gibberellic acid caused a hormonal balance leading to starch degradation and its conversion to soluble sugars while promoting dormancy-breaking observed in our study. And it results can be used in future aiming to better understand the physiological and biochemical mechanisms during dormancy-breaking.

CONCLUSION

Gibberellic acid promotes dormancy-breaking in rice seeds via promoting starch degradation to soluble sugars, which are then utilized for the growth and development of seedlings.

Optimal concentrations are to be targeted in future studies due to the negative effect (high rate of abnormal seedlings) observed in our research.

Histochemical analysis combined with UV-visible spectroscopy were capable of finding tissue alterations which occur during gibberellic acid treatments in rice seeds.
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