Situation of Dengue after the Phenomenon of the Coastal El Niño
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Abstract

Coastal El Niño is a weather phenomenon that is caused by abnormal warming (above 0.4°C) of the Pacific Ocean waters near the coasts of Ecuador and Peru, and it can even reach the central and southern Peruvian coast. As a result of the climatic phenomenon, the Aedes aegypti vector (which in turn is a vector of chikungunya and Zika fever) had been quickly installed in 448 districts of Peru, and emergency was declared in 10 regions, which reported 231,874 victims; 1,129,013 affected and 143 dead. It is necessary to know this, because the direct impact of the weather phenomena contributes to the dengue vector conditioning, facilitating its dissemination with ease. The geographical and climatic conditions of the cities most affected by the El Niño Costero phenomenon turned them into zones of epidemics; in these places, there is an important population growth, from urbanization to sectorization in young towns and urban slums, where in many there is no basic infrastructure and water supply is insufficient, which requires temporary water storage, as well as high temperatures, migratory movement, and beaches with influx of people, which make not only dengue proliferate but also other arbovirosis such as chikungunya.

Keywords: dengue, natural phenomenon, natural disaster, public health

1. Epidemiology of dengue and the coastal El Niño phenomenon in Peru and Ecuador

1.1 Epidemiological data

Coastal El Niño is a weather phenomenon that is caused by abnormal warming (above 0.4°C) of the Pacific Ocean waters near the coasts of Ecuador and Peru, and it can even reach the central and southern Peruvian coasts. As a result, it produces intense rains that, in turn, cause overflow of streams, floods, and allow the accumulation of stagnant waters, which forms the ideal scenario for the appearance of outbreaks of vector-borne diseases such as dengue (DENV), other arbovirosis, and zoonotics such as leptospirosis [1–4]. This phenomenon was presented more clearly in the summer of 2017; however, rains and floods have been reported for Peru even since December 2016 [5].
As a result of the climatic phenomenon, the *Aedes aegypti* vector (which in turn is a vector of chikungunya and Zika [ZIKV] fever) had been quickly installed in 448 districts of Peru, where more than 14 million people live, by July 2017 [6]. Thus, the Peruvian State in June 2017, declared emergency in 10 regions, which reported 231,874 victims; 1,129,013 affected and 143 dead. It is necessary to know this, because the direct impact of the weather phenomena contributes to the dengue vector conditioning, facilitating its dissemination with ease. Thus, the Peruvian Ministry of Health reported that at the end of 2017, the epidemiological surveillance system reported 76,093 cases of dengue (among probable and confirmed), marking an increase of up to three times more cases compared to 2016 (25,236 cases) (Figure 1) [7].

Among the most frequently reported forms were dengue without warning signs (88.6%), dengue with warning signs (11%), and severe dengue (0.3%). The cumulative national incidence for 2017 was 239.1 cases per 100,000 inhabitants and there were 93 deaths, of which 79 were confirmed cases and 14 were probable [8].

There was a marked increase in cases, in those places where there was a greater presence of rain and floods. Thus, for example, Piura concentrated 64% of dengue cases: this place being one of the most affected cities and even presented an overflow from its main river that crosses through the same city, the river Piura [9].

Do not forget that northern Peru is an endemic area due to climate, geography, and other factors that make it a vector-friendly ecosystem, being considered one of the countries with the greatest impact due to climate change [10].

### 1.2 Dengue and climate

Dengue transmission occurs through an insect vector, predominantly *Aedes aegypti* but also *Aedes albopictus*. Environmental parameters, especially temperature and precipitation, affect the demography and behavior of these vectors, making dengue an obvious candidate to investigate the impact of climate on the disease. The incidence of dengue is very seasonal; this seasonality is the footprint of local meteorological variables, which also vary seasonally, and their impact on the demographics of mosquito vectors and transmission dynamics [11].
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*Figure 1.*

*Number of dengue cases, according to epidemiological weeks. Peru, 2013–2017. Taken from: Ministry of Health of Peru MINSA [12].*
The geographical and climatic conditions of the cities most affected by the El Niño Costero phenomenon turned them into zones of epidemics; in these places, there is an important population growth, from urbanization to sectorization in young towns, urban slums, where in many there is no basic infrastructure and water supply is insufficient, which requires temporary water storage, as well as high temperatures, migratory movement, and beaches with influx of people, which make not only dengue proliferate but also other arbovirosis such as chikungunya [13].

1.3 Epidemiology of other metaxenic diseases

The propagation of the vector for decades is conditioned by the climatic changes. For example, in May 2004, heat waves and droughts were observed in the coastal areas of Kenya, toward Lamu and Mombasa, two large coastal cities. That period was also the beginning of a large chikungunya outbreak in these two cities (with reported attack rates of 75%) before its spread to the Indian Ocean [14]. Entomologists have explained how and why droughts can be associated with increases in diseases transmitted by *Aedes*, such as chikungunya, dengue, Zika, and yellow fever [15, 16]. During droughts, due to water scarcity, people store a greater amount of water outside or inside the home for longer periods of time, providing shelters to mosquito eggs and larvae [13].

In Peru, the consequence of this weather phenomenon allowed the dissemination of the *Aedes* vector and consequently led to the increase in cases of emerging diseases, such as Zika and chikungunya (Figure 2). Thus, from 2016 to 2018, 1113 gestating women entered the surveillance system for Zika, of which 61% were notified in 2017. Of the total number of pregnant women notified, 31% had laboratory confirmation for Zika virus through molecular tests like polymerase chain reaction-reverse transcription (RT-PCR) [7].

1.4 Dengue and coinfections

The fluvial precipitations that the phenomenon of the coastal El Niño brought with it during 2017 triggered the collapse of the sewerage system, generating floods and the exposure of wastewater, which together with the rains gave an adequate
environment for the transmission of leptospirosis in urban areas of the Lambayeque region. It was even possible to demonstrate that during this period, laboratory findings compatible with dengue and leptospirosis were reported simultaneously. While it is true that many of the immunoglobulin can remain for years in the patient and do not necessarily require an acute infection, the similarity of clinical symptoms between dengue and leptospirosis makes both pathologies confusing to health personnel, even more so during the appearance of a weather phenomenon where there is an outbreak [18].

1.5 Dengue and seasonality

Dengue in Ecuador fluctuates with a very similar seasonality. The season is an important determinant of infectious disease rates, including mosquito-propagated arboviruses, such as dengue, chikungunya, and Zika. Seasonal disease patterns are driven by a combination of climatic or environmental factors, such as temperature or rainfall, and trends in human behavior time, such as school year schedules, vacations, and weekend patterns. These factors affect both disease rates and medical care-seeking behavior. The seasonality of dengue fever has been studied in the context of climatic factors. Thus, between 2009 and 2016, a predictive model of dengue detection was studied using data from the same patients in rural areas in Ecuador. Thus, compared to the average of every day, cases were more likely to be diagnosed on Tuesdays (relative risk [RR]: 1.26; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.05–1.51) and Thursdays (RR: 1.25; 95% CI: 1.02–1.53), and were less likely to be diagnosed on Saturdays (RR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.65–1.01) and Sundays (RR: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.58–0.95). The holidays were not significant predictors of dengue diagnoses, except for an increase in diagnoses the day after Christmas (RR: 2.77; 95% CI: 1.46–5.24) [19].

From the political sphere, the coastal El Niño phenomenon caused the Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Defense of Ecuador to take into account the declaration of emergency for the Peruvian city of Piura, issued by the Ministry of Health of that country. This is because that city is close to the border of Ecuador, and the trade between the two countries is very high, which makes it necessary to work in a multisectoral way to eradicate the vector [20].

1.6 Impact on the epidemiological surveillance system

It is very necessary to maintain entomological surveillance, especially in those cities where the phenomenon left vector presence. Migration and population increase, in addition to raising awareness and educating the population about the risk factors of dengue occurrence, should always be taken into account to avoid a disease that was installed in the Americas decades ago, precisely because of migration from other areas. The consequences of climate change must be learned as it not only destroys our habitat but directly interferes with our health [21]. Health authorities have the responsibility to plan strategies and evaluate their impact progressively. Many times it is not enough to plan, but to change strategies to avoid having the same results.

2. Clinical behavior of dengue

2.1 Definitions

Classically, the disease presents with an incubation period of 3–14 days; after that a febrile phase (1–4 days); viremia, the critical phase from 4 to 7 days; and a
recovery phase from day 7 onward [22]. This disease course depends on the virus serotype and whether or not the individual has previously had a dengue infection. In this spectrum of disease, one can have:

- **Dengue without warning signs**: Person with a fever of 7 or less days, with at least two of the following symptoms such as eye pain, myalgia, headache, arthralgia, low back pain, rash, and nausea [23, 24].

- **Dengue with warning signs**: Person with a probable case of dengue with one or more of the clinical signs such as severe abdominal pain, dyspnea, serous effusion, persistent vomiting, hypothermia, mucosal bleeding, altered mental status, increased hematocrit, and hepatomegaly [23, 24].

- **Severe dengue**: A probable case with or without warning signs presenting one of the following signs such as signs of hypovolemic shock, severe bleeding, respiratory distress syndrome due to plasma extravasation, and severe organ involvement (encephalitis, hepatitis, and myocarditis) [25].

### 2.2 Signs and symptoms

It is known that the cross-immunity of dengue serotypes is limited, which increases the possibility of reinfections and with them more florid clinical pictures [25, 26].

Previous studies observed the outbreaks from 2010 onward, observing that dengue cases occurred in a greater proportion due to DENV-2, the most frequent clinical symptom being retro-ocular pain, myalgias associated with a 7-day fever [27]. Also, it was observed that in dengue cases, many of them were reinfections and DENV-2 continued to prevail, which was one of the worst at presenting cross-immunity (Figure 3).

A study conducted in the north coast of Peru found that, among the referred symptoms, 82% reported fever, being less than half quantified (values from 37 to 41°C), followed by headache (75.6%), arthralgias (69.7%), myalgias (62.4%), retroocular pain (55.5%), lumbar pain (44.7%), and only 24.4% presenting rash. The presence of platelet decrease (78.4%) was the most frequent among cases with alarm signs [28] (Figure 4).
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**Figure 3.** Age distribution of DENV-neutralizing antibodies in 2010. Samples were collected between March and June 2010, approximately 6 months prior to a large dengue epidemic largely caused by American/Asian genotype DENV-2. Panel A: Age distribution of serotype-specific DENV-neutralizing antibodies. Panel B: Age distribution of number of prior DENV infections. Naïve indicates absence of detectable DENV-neutralizing antibodies against any serotype, monotypic indicates DENV-neutralizing antibodies against one serotype, and multitypic indicates DENV-neutralizing antibodies against two or more serotypes. Taken from: Forshey et al. [29].
2.3 Signs and symptoms in coinfections

In recent years, new viruses such as chikungunya (CHIKV) and Zika (ZIKV) have also been introduced. In recent years after the ZIKV epidemic, cases of ZIKV infection have continued to be observed, and in children, according to Salgado et al. [30], there were found patients with encephalitis with coinfection of DENV and ZIKV.

Another study, also conducted in Peru, showed that the circulating serotypes during the coastal El Niño phenomenon were DENV-2 and DENV-3, as well as confirmed cases of CHIKV and ZIKV, even in high Andean areas; concomitantly, the study revealed that the main symptoms of dengue were headaches, arthralgias, and back pain associated with fever (Table 1) [27].

Taken from: Forshey et al. [29].

In Peru, there could be a subdiagnosis or overdiagnosis of dengue and therefore of the clinical variability, on the one hand; the amount of arbovirosis that can give a similar clinical presentation is wide, and within these viruses is oropuche, which can be confused with dengue during the endemic months [31]. The contrary occurs in patients with a second dengue virus infection in which the diagnostic methods have a lower sensitivity of 60%, as is the case of non-structural (NS1), a test widely used in our environment [26].

The Huánuco region, located in the center of Peru, was one of the cities that presented an increase in dengue cases, where about 90% of patients presented with myalgia, headache, and rash; being in these patients a diagnostic confirmation of 92% by PCR. This being an area with low endemicity, but as a result of coastal El Niño, it could mean a majority of primary infection, and therefore this high rate of clinical diagnostic correlation [26]. In addition, it is important to know the behavior in children under 5 years of age, in which many of them have antigens from mothers in endemic areas, which leads to more severe manifestations being possible in this particular age group [32].

Finally, it can be observed that, in the years before the dengue virus infection, the agent (DENV-2) and the clinical manifestations were similar, with the difference in the number of cases and the increase in coinfections of the new circulating

Figure 4. Symptomatology of patients diagnosed with dengue. (A) Symptoms and signs. (B) Warning signs. Taken from: Perales-Carrasco et al. [28].
viruses; this should make the health staff reflect on making proper use of diagnostic tests to provide better care and avoid fatal outcomes, as it was in some cases discussed above in the last phenomenon of the coastal El Niño (Table 2).

3. Laboratory in the diagnosis of dengue

Today, there are guidelines established by international organizations for the rational use of dengue diagnostic tests. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the early detection of dengue and its complementation with other tests such as immunoglobulin M (IgM), immunoglobulin G (IgG), and NS1 [33]; however, the use according to the clinical picture and the time of the disease will favor the optimal use of the different tests.

The sensitivity and specificity of the NS1 antigen test can range from 49 to 59% and from 93 to 99%, respectively, while that of the IgM antibody test is from 71 to

| Clinical symptoms         | Total n = 496 (%) | PCR real-time confirmed cases |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|                           |                  | DENV (n = 170)               | ZIKV (n = 39)               | CHIKV (n = 23)               |
|                           |                  | n (%)                        | n (%)                       | n (%)                       |
| Chills                    | 3 (0.6)          | 1 (0.6)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Headache                  | 444 (89.5)       | 152 (89.4)                   | 34 (87.2)                   | 20 (87.0)                   |
| Dizziness                 | 1 (0.2)          | 0 (0.0)                      | 1 (2.6)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Cough                     | 1 (0.2)          | 1 (0.6)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Sore throat               | 184 (37.1)       | 50 (29.4)                    | 11 (28.2)                   | 11 (47.8)                   |
| Nausea and/or vomits      | 251 (50.6)       | 86 (50.6)                    | 20 (51.3)                   | 13 (56.5)                   |
| Loss of appetite          | 312 (62.9)       | 104 (61.2)                   | 23 (59.0)                   | 18 (78.3)                   |
| Back pain                 | 270 (54.4)       | 105 (61.8)                   | 23 (59.0)                   | 17 (73.9)                   |
| Dysuria                   | 1 (0.2)          | 0 (0.0)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Myalgia                   | 419 (84.5)       | 147 (86.5)                   | 35 (89.7)                   | 17 (73.9)                   |
| Arthralgia                | 396 (79.8)       | 143 (84.1)                   | 32 (82.1)                   | 19 (82.6)                   |
| Retro-ocular pain         | 337 (67.9)       | 118 (69.4)                   | 27 (69.2)                   | 17 (73.9)                   |
| Rash                      | 89 (17.9)        | 26 (15.3)                    | 8 (20.5)                    | 6 (26.1)                    |
| Melena                    | 2 (0.4)          | 0 (0.0)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Nasal bleeder             | 9 (1.8)          | 3 (1.8)                      | 1 (2.6)                     | 1 (4.3)                     |
| Gums bleeder              | 3 (0.6)          | 2 (1.2)                      | 1 (2.6)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Petechiae                 | 11 (2.2)         | 3 (1.8)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 1 (4.3)                     |
| Ecchymosis                | 2 (0.4)          | 1 (0.6)                      | 1 (2.6)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Blood-tinged spumr        | 1 (0.2)          | 0 (0.0)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Abdominal pain            | 22 (4.4)         | 7 (4.1)                      | 1 (2.6)                     | 1 (4.3)                     |
| Thoracic pain             | 5 (1.0)          | 2 (1.2)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Fatigue                   | 3 (0.6)          | 0 (0.0)                      | 1 (2.6)                     | 0 (0.0)                     |
| Altered mental status     | 1 (0.2)          | 1 (0.6)                      | 0 (0.0)                     | 1 (4.3)                     |

Table 1. Clinical symptoms in patients with positive samples for DENV, ZIKV, and CHIKV.
80% and 46 to 90%, respectively, considering a median of 5 days of fever before the collection of the samples (interquartile range of 3–7 days) for the two tests mentioned. The diagnostic accuracy for the detection of IgM increases for late acute infection (5 days after the onset of symptoms) compared to the early one. The NS1 antigen is an early marker of acute infection, and its combined use with IgM detection can provide a definitive diagnosis of 96.9–100% for samples obtained after 3 days of illness \[33\]. The reported sensitivity and specificity of IgG for dengue are 92.0 and 100%, respectively \[34\].

The patient usually has an incubation period of 4–5 days after the bite by mosquitoes of the *Aedes* genus, then presents a clinical picture with variable signs and symptoms for a period of 4–5 days, a period in which he presents the virus of the dengue circulating in the bloodstream and can transmit the disease to other patients through new bites by the aforementioned vector, so techniques based on virus isolation, through cultures, or the detection of genomic material, such as PCR, require samples taken during this time. The end of the patient's clinical picture is usually manifested by a generalized maculopapular rash, which indicates recovery and can guide the use of serological tests, such as the detection of IgM and IgM antibodies, since the viral load values in the patient have disappeared or significantly reduced, which would make the use of molecular tests or culture inefficient. Leukopenia can be found at this stage with normal platelet and transaminase counts \[3\].

### Table 2.

Clinical and laboratory characteristics of chikungunya and dengue and their relative adjusted risks among children <24 months of age in Colombia.

| Characteristics | Crude analysis | Risks for dengue |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Chikungunya    | Dengue          | p-value | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)* |
| Clinical
| Diarrhea, n (%) | 3/37 (8)       | 13/57 (23)      | 0.064   | 2.13 (0.29–15.66) |
| Ascites, n (%)  | 0/37 (0)       | 1/57 (2)        | 1.000** | N.E.               |
| Pleural effusion, n (%) | 0/37 (0) | 2/56 (4)     | 0.516   | N.E.               |
| Laboratory
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) median (interquartile range (IQR)) [n] | 10.5 (10–12) \[35\] | 10.5 (10–12) \[36\] | 0.910 |
| Decrease for age, n (%) | 26/36 (72) | 25/53 (47) | 0.019 | 1.33 (0.26–6.87) |
| Increase for age, n (%) | 0/36 (0) | 1/53 (2) | 1.000** | N.E. |
| Hematocrit (%) median (IQR) [n] | 30.9 (29–34) \[33\] | 31.5 (30–35) \[37\] | a. 0.510 |
| Decrease for age, n (%) | 24/33 (73) | 30/52 (58) | 0.160 | 1.04 (0.26–4.19) |
| Increase for age, n (%) | 0/33 (0) | 1/52 (2) | 1.000** | N.E. |
| White blood cells (1000 cells/ml) Median (IQR) [n] | 6.4 (5–10) \[33\] | 7.4 (5–12) \[36\] | 0.220 |
| Decrease for age, n (%) | 17/33 (52) | 16/53 (30) | 0.048 | 0.28 (0.07–1.08) |
| Increase for age, n (%) | 4/33 (12) | 5/53 (9) | 0.728 | 1.97 (0.27–14.17) |

*Adjusted by age, days with symptoms, and sex.

**Fisher's exact test.

***Best fit was the natural logarithm of the exposure variable.

\[Note: N.E.: Not estimable. Taken from: Paternina-Caicedo et al. \[32\].\]
We can summarize the diagnostic tests used for dengue in four groups: (i) virus isolation and characterization, (ii) detection of the genomic sequence through a nucleic acid amplification test, (iii) detection of specific antibodies against the virus, and (iv) identification of dengue virus (glycoprotein) antigens. Isolation of the virus is achieved by cell culture that gives the most specific result, and the sera are usually collected in the first 3–5 days after the fever starts. Virus isolation is highly dependent on viral load, which limits the period during which the virus can be successfully isolated in the patient’s serum. In addition, its high cost makes this test little accessible to most laboratories [3]. Viral identification can also be done using dengue-specific monoclonal antibodies by immunofluorescence and reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) [3].

On the other hand, serological tests are relatively inexpensive and easy to perform. These characteristics make them the most used tests for dengue infection. IgM levels begin to rise on the third day of a primary infection and peak at 2 weeks after the onset of fever (Figure 5). IgG is detectable at the end of the first week of illness and may persist for life. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) tests can analyze the levels of IgM and IgG, and the IgM/IgG ratio is useful to distinguish primary from secondary infections. The IgM/IgG ratio greater than 1.4 is indicative of primary dengue infection, while the IgM/IgG ratio lesser than 1.2 is indicative of secondary dengue infection. The potential cross-reactivity of dengue virus with other flaviviridae when using serological assays remains a significant limitation for its use. Prior vaccination against yellow fever can also lead to a false positive serological test for dengue virus. The prolonged period of seroconversion also results in false negatives [3]. All flaviviruses produce a glycoprotein called NS1 and tests such as antigen capture ELISA and quick tests based on immunochromatography can be used to identify it in the bloodstream; it is detectable from days 0 to 9 after the onset of symptoms, although detection appears to be higher in the samples collected up to 3 days after the onset of symptoms. Quick tests are now available and provide results in 15 min. Rapid tests for NS1 have been estimated to have a significantly higher sensitivity for primary infections (94.7%) than for secondary infections (67.1%; p < 0.001) and now appear to be a potential alternative to culture, PCR, and serology [38].

The Brazilian Ministry of Health recommends that samples of patients with suspected dengue fever taken up to 8 days (preferably 5) after the onset of symptoms should be processed using ELISA for the detection of NS1 and qRT PCR for the detection of the DENV genome and the serotype. At 8–15 days after the onset of symptoms, the samples are analyzed for IgM detection using ELISA. After 15 days,
the sera are selected for IgG using ELISA. Dengue infection cannot be excluded in samples that are negative for the NS1 antigen and must be confirmed by IgM/IgG detection [35].

Cases of DENV-2 had a higher proportion of severe dengue than among those of DENV-1 and DENV-4 [39]. Nevertheless, the secondary infection was not a predictor of severe clinical manifestation in adults, who were primarily infected with serotype DENV-3 [40]; on the other hand, the dengue in children have suggested that infection with secondary DENV-2 is more likely to result in severe disease compared with other serotypes [41].

The fifth variant DENV-5 has been isolated in October 2013. This serotype follows the sylvatic cycle unlike the other four serotypes which follow the human cycle. The likely cause of emergence of the new serotype could be genetic recombination, natural selection, and genetic bottlenecks [42].

4. Diagnosis of dengue and other arbovirosis in the context of the Guillain Barre syndrome epidemic

During the months of June–July of 2019, 35 cases were registered and diagnosed as the Guillain-Barre Syndrome, at the Almanzor Aguinaga Asenjo National Hospital of Chiclayo in Peru, of which 22 had electrophysiology results compatible with the said syndrome, two had a normal result, and 11 were not evaluated with the mentioned diagnostic test. Three cases had IgG for flavivirus, three cases had IgG for flavivirus and IgG for chikungunya concomitantly, four cases had IgG for chikungunya, and one case had IgM for chikungunya. In other words, there were 11 cases of patients with a history of flavivirus or chikungunya infections in the context of the presentation of Guillain-Barre syndrome [43]. These patients were evaluated with the recomLine Tropical Fever IgG and IgM tests, immunoblot of German origin that has a sensitivity for IgG of 100% for primary infection by Zika, 100% for secondary infection for dengue or Zika, and 98.6% for primary infection by dengue; also the IgM has a sensitivity of 72.7% for dengue or Zika, and both tests have a specificity of 96–100%. On the other hand, the mentioned test describes a sensitivity and specificity of 100% for both IgM and IgG for chikungunya's diagnosis [44].

In the literature, there is the case of a dengue patient who developed Guillain-Barre syndrome, who presented the NS1 antigen on the second day of symptoms with subsequent IgM and IgG positive on the sixth day of evolution [45]. There is also another case described with similar laboratory findings, but that developed the syndrome approximately 2 weeks after the onset of dengue symptoms [46]. Therefore, this syndrome could develop early or late in relation to the onset of symptoms due to infection. In our cases, we did not have positive IgM and we did not have the opportunity to evaluate the NS1 antigen, but it is noteworthy that all cases detected with flavivirus antibodies only had positive IgG in their results. The previous cases described in the existing literature may represent primary cases of dengue since they raised IgM values early.

In our cases, the majority of patients did not show joint pain during the diagnosis of Guillain-Barre syndrome, which could suggest that these are non-acute cases, but as described in the case cited, this syndrome may occur during convalescence of the dengue; on the other hand, in an endemic area such as Lambayeque-Peru, the presence of cases with secondary infection that usually have low IgM values and not even increase it (Figure 5) should not attract attention [47]. In addition, Ramabhatta et al. [48] conducted a study on a sample of 568 cases diagnosed of dengue and showed that IgG-positive patients were more prone to complications.
than IgM-positive patients, therefore, the etiological association between dengue and Guillain-Barre syndrome in the Lambayeque region could not be ruled out.

5. Dengue lethality

5.1 Lethality in the context of Peru and Latin America

In 2014, according to WHO and Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), the average fatality rate for the Americas is 0.04%, being among the countries with the highest rate, followed by Dominican Republic with 1.54%, Peru with 0.12%, compared to Guatemala and Colombia that have 0.07% [49]. In Colombia and Peru, the most frequent serotype 2 prevailed, and in the Dominican Republic serotype 4 prevailed [50].

Nationally, the Center for Epidemiology, Prevention and Control of Diseases of the Ministry of Health, reported in 2017, 76,093 cases of dengue in the country (3.03 times more cases in relation to 2016, and the largest number of cases reported in the last 5 years [9, 51] and 92 reports of deaths by dengue, the highest number reported in the last 10 years (Figure 6).

About 43.6% came from Piura, 17.1% from Loreto, 9.9% from Madre de Dios, 8.8% from Ucayali, 4.2% from San Martín, and the remaining 16.4% from other regions; all the age groups were affected, but with a greater proportion in people over 65 (37%) and young adults (21.7%) [9].

In the Piura Healthcare Network, 30 deaths associated with dengue virus were confirmed by laboratory, and occurred between epidemiological weeks Nos. 08 and 35, over 8 months; a similar panorama reported by the Lambayeque Healthcare Network, which reported the death of six dengue-associated patients, until epidemiological week No. 20 [13, 52]; although this information could be underestimated, because in many cases it is attributed to pneumonia, and actually the cases of dengue are not properly diagnosed, causing figures not approximate to reality [53].
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Death toll and dengue lethality in Peru, 2008–2017. Source: Metaxenic disease surveillance system of the Center for Epidemiology, Disease Prevention and Control of the Ministry of Health (CDC-Perú).
In the departments of the north coast of Peru, such as Piura and Lambayeque, there are several conditions that favor the presence of epidemics, rainfall, and flooding due to the occurrence of the Coastal El Niño phenomenon, population growth at risk (young People, Urban Marginal Neighborhoods, etc) that is associated with the non-existence of basic infrastructure, insufficient water supply that leads to temporary water storage, constant circulation of *Aedes aegypti*, high temperatures and humidity, and poor community empowerment in the integrated control of vector control. Also in the Peruvian jungle, such as Loreto, Madre de Dios, Ucayali, and San Martin, they have a high incidence of death and lethality due to their diverse climatic and sanitary conditions, in addition to their population growth [13].

In the Healthcare Network of Piura, 22,562 cases of dengue were notified, 88 of which were severe dengue and 30 died, with 1.3% of lethality in general and 34.1% of lethality of severe cases; in Lambayeque there were 1384 cases; 13 being cases of severe dengue and nine deaths due to dengue, which makes 9.4% lethality in general and 43.3% lethality of severe cases, showing differences with national figures [37] (Table 3).

Although serotypes 2 and 3 were isolated in 94% of the positive cases; in Piura and Lambayeque, it was serotype 3 that was isolated in 90% of the cases and in almost all the deceased cases.

5.2 Delays in the process of health care as a method to identify the causes of mortality

The “delays” proposed by Thaddeus et al. in 1993, considered as the time between the appearance of a complication and its appropriate treatment and

| Country         | Serotype     | N° dengue cases | N° severe cases | Deceased | General lethality (×1000) | Severe cases lethality (×100) |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| South America   |              | 387,669         | 1476            | 318      | 0.8                      | 21.5%                         |
| Argentina       | DEN 1,3      | 557             | 0               | 0        | 0.0                      | 0.0%                          |
| Bolivia         | DEN 1,4      | 10,842          | 66              | 15       | 1.4                      | 22.7%                         |
| Colombia        | DEN 1,2,3    | 26,279          | 286             | 15       | 0.6                      | 5.2%                          |
| Chile           | DEN 1,3      | 10              | 0               | 0        | 0.0                      | 0.0%                          |
| Ecuador         |              | 11,387          | 18              | 4        | 0.4                      | 22.2%                         |
| Brazil          | DEN 1,2,3,4  | 252,054         | 378             | 133      | 0.5                      | 35.2%                         |
| Venezuela       | DEN 1        | 8615            | 359             | 16       | 1.9                      | 4.5%                          |
| Paraguay        | DEN 1,2      | 1832            | 0               | 0        | 0.0                      | 0.0%                          |
| Uruguay         |              | 0               | 0               | 0        | 0.0                      | 0.0%                          |
| Peru            | DEN 2,3      | 74,648          | 251             | 92       | 1.2                      | 36.7%                         |
| Essalud Piura*  | DEN 2,3      | 22,562          | 88              | 30       | 1.3                      | 34.1%                         |
| Essalud Lambayeque* | DEN 2,3  | 1384            | 30              | 13       | 9.4                      | 43.3%                         |

Table 3. General lethality and severe cases lethality of dengue reported by Social Security of Piura and Lambayeque compared to countries of South America, 2017.

Taken and adapted: Díaz-Vélez et al. [37, 50].
resolution, initiating these concepts in the framework of care for severe maternal
mortality and morbidity evaluating them as autonomy factors for the search for
medical care, distance, and health services [36]. The use of this approach is consid-
ered, since it would allow to identify and classify the barriers and situations related
to the search for medical care in patients affected by dengue, which are part of a
chain of delays or delays that would hinder risk prevention, limit the access to qual-
ity health services, and would result in the lack of timely attention to the complica-
tion and, consequently, in death [54].

5.2.1 Delay in the recognition of the health problem

Poor recognition of a clinical disorder is one of the factors that cause the delay in
seeking attention. It includes deficiencies in health education for communities and
families, which makes it difficult to recognize warning signs (signs and symptoms)
in conditions that can be life-threatening [36].

5.2.2 Delay in deciding to get attention

This delay is usually caused by limitations in the understanding of what
medical care implies and could lead to a large number of patients arriving at
health facilities in poor conditions, including factors such as information on
dengue and institutional recognition, social and environmental situation, onset
of symptoms, self-medication, gender and initial care, and motivations to make
decisions [36, 55].

5.2.3 Delay in arriving at health services

It involves the difficulty of arriving at a health facility, either because of the dif-
ficult access to services or because it takes too long to reach the place of care, which
can discourage the patient from seeking care, even when he has decided to seek
care opportunely. It is generally the result of the lack of access to health services
and economic, organizational, and sociocultural barriers which control the use of
services. It is also possible to mention travel time, transport system used, and the
socioeconomic impact of the delay [36, 55].

5.2.4 Delay in receiving the appropriate treatment

The cumulative effect of the first and second delays helps increase the risk of
arrival at the health facility in serious conditions. Some never arrive at the hospital,
and even if they do, it is possible that the treatment given to them is not adequate
and poor surveillance or administrative procedures hinder the care. Other studies
have shown more causes for inadequate treatment: chronic lack of trained personnel
and essential supplies. Other factors mentioned are: failures in the operation of the
public network, which determines waiting, reconsultation, and family movements
between institutions; poor staff training and high emergency saturation [36, 55].

In relation to the first delay, aspects such as the delay in recognizing the health
problem, absence of preventive-promotional talks, and lack of recognition of risk
situations are described as the main ones, similar to studies that describe the popu-
lation ignorance about the possibility of disease severity [56]. This last point reflects
the poor knowledge by the population of less frequent dengue symptoms that in
turn are associated with severity [57]. In the second delay, there are cases that went
to non-health personnel and even self-medicating that are associated with delay as
an explanation of serious or fatal cases [55, 58, 59] (Figure 7).
In the third delay, limitations for access to health services are evidenced, and some are given references, but lack of economic resources or available transportation is evidenced, thus also describing the distance of health facilities (to go to the nearest one) [60]. Finally, the fourth delay involves aspects such as the time of transfer to establishments of greater resolving capacity and the waiting time of cases upon arrival in emergencies, as mentioned by Ardila et al. [55], who describe the feeling of discomfort generated by a new interrogation and the waiting time that involves admission to a health facility of greater complexity (Figure 7).

6. Preventive measures against dengue

A study conducted in a population with a recent dengue outbreak showed that the level of knowledge was low in 76.2% of the population, 45% did not recognize the bite of the vector as the form of disease transmission, and 34% did not recognize the etiologic agent; of the most recognized clinical manifestations were fever, followed by headache, and musculoskeletal pain. Overall, 74.9% have a low level of knowledge about warning signs and about 93% were intermediate/low in prevention, and 43% of them are unaware of the reproduction of the vector, and 71% are unaware of the role of abate; results that show that the population despite having been exposed still does not know the prevention measures [61].

PAHO has planned to cooperate with member countries to stimulate the search for concrete solutions through a communication methodology to impact behavior (COMBI) [62, 63]. It should be noted that, since the introduction of dengue in America, attempts have been made to propose some integrated programs, with a community approach to the control of *Aedes aegypti*. This approach has been to educate the community about dengue disease and how to prevent transmission by controlling the *Aedes aegypti* vector in the domestic and
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community environments; in this context, it has been documented that many of 
these programs were successful in educating the community about dengue and 
its prevention [65].

Nevertheless, this improvement of the cognitive level related to the disease 
has not resulted in the action of preventing the disease. In this sense, even though 
people in a region with dengue endemicity are very well versed in the disease, they 
do not implement these measures that they know control vector proliferation and 
therefore the transmission of dengue; therefore, it is essential to reorient educa-
tional programs focused on the behavioral change of the population [66].

Likewise, historically in the region, efforts to control dengue vectors resulted in 
the elimination of populations of Aedes aegypti in many of the tropical and subtropical 
countries by the 1970s. However, vector populations were reintroduced through the 
emergence of new serotypes [67]. Therefore, currently, the main purpose of most 
programs is to reduce the densities of vector populations as much as possible and keep 
them at low levels. When feasible, efforts should also be made to reduce the longevity 
of adult female mosquitoes through the use of insecticidal methods in order to reduce 
the risk of virus transmission [65].

It should be noted that keeping infestation with A. aegypti low carries significant 
economic costs to society; however, when an outbreak of dengue occurs, the cost 
increases considerably in vector control and patient care; so, from this perspective, 
effectiveness and profitability could be maximized by intervening in the vector 
habitat (potential hatcheries) through promotion and prevention actions [68–70]. 
Although the concept of vector control is reasonable, control should be early in an 
outbreak or applied strategically during periods between epidemics to avoid escalation 
in transmission [71].

Another preventive measure to control the rapid spread of an outbreak is to 
manage the infected human host and prevent it from infecting Aedes mosquitoes, 
this in turn would reduce the amount of new infections to humans, breaking the 
epidemiological chain of dengue transmission [72]. So, meanwhile, there is no 
vaccine with proven efficacy for dengue prevention in all population groups, and 
the main strategy to prevent transmission and disease is vector control through the 
elimination of larval habitats (elimination of potential hatcheries) and prevention 
of mosquito–human contact [73]. Some well-documented successes indicate that 
rigorously applied vector control can reduce the transmission of DENV and the 
disease [74].

In that sense, success in reducing the burden of dengue on public health will 
require an integrated multiple approach; although the concept of integrated 
intervention for dengue prevention is gaining increasing acceptance, to date, no 
consensus has been reached regarding the details of how and what combination of 
approaches can be implemented most effectively to control the disease [75].

Integrated vector management, the strategic method promoted by WHO, is 
defined as, “a rational decision-making process for the optimal use of resources 
for vector control,” which includes lobbying, social mobilization, and legislation; 
planning and delegated decision-making at the lowest possible administrative 
level; guaranteeing the rational use of available resources; adaptation of strategies 
and interventions based on vector habitat, epidemiology, and local resources; and 
development of an essential infrastructure for integrated vector management based 
on the situation analysis [67].

However, the discipline of vector control has been strongly influenced by the 
theory developed by Ronald Ross and George Macdonald (i.e., the Ross-Macdonald 
model), which states that the potential for transmission of mosquito-borne patho-
gens depends largely on the abundance of adult vector mosquitoes, survival through 
the incubation period of the pathogen, and the rate of human infection [76].
Therefore, with this approach, interventions that reduce the population density of adult mosquitoes, the daily probability of survival, and the contact of the mosquito with humans are expected to have the greatest impact on decreasing virus transmission. It should be noted, however, that the Ross-Macdonald model was not formulated to specifically explore larval mosquito control. Recent quantitative assessments indicate that, under certain circumstances, control of the larvae may lead to greater than expected reductions in the transmission of pathogens [77]. In the context of larval control of *Aedes aegypti*, there should be the large-scale elimination of potential hatcheries.

In this context, taking into account that there is limited efficacy and intensity of the interventions used for dengue vector control, other alternatives to combat dengue endemic persistence are explored. Sustainable community participation and school-based health education interventions have finally evolved as an effective tool in reducing the larval source over other interventions [78], since children have inherent curiosity and enthusiasm to learn new things. Therefore, they can serve as an effective change agent to achieve a change in behavior in the family and community.

Methods for vector control include elimination or management of larval habitats, eliminating larvae with insecticides, the use of biological agents, and the application of adulticides; being for our conviction the elimination and management of larval habitats. Habitats are eliminated by preventing the access of mosquitoes to these containers or by emptying and cleaning them frequently, eliminating the evolutionary stages with the use of insecticides or biological control agents, eliminating adult mosquitoes with insecticides, or by combining these methods [79].

Habitat management seeks to change the environment in order to prevent or minimize the propagation of vectors and human contact with the vector pathogen, destroying, altering (proper conservation of disused material), and eliminating or recycling nonessential containers that serve as larval habitats. Efforts to reduce solid waste should be directed against disposable or nonessential containers [78].

However, the main method of *Aedes* control (and, generally, the only one) used in many countries, remains the spatial application of ultralow volume insecticides (ULVs) for the control of adult mosquitoes [63]. This strategy has to be repeated constantly, its cost is high, and its effectiveness is limited. *Aedes aegypti* prefers to stay inside the houses, therefore, the insecticide aerial application or from trucks simply does not reach the mosquitoes that stay in hidden places, such as wardrobes. There have been several cases of homeowners in various countries who have not allowed the entry of home spraying equipment or have closed windows and doors well to prevent outside fog produced by the insecticide from entering their homes, reducing in this way the effectiveness of the intervention [65] (Table 4).

Due to inadequate dengue surveillance systems, spraying does not arrive in time to prevent epidemic transmission, and adult mosquito populations return quickly after spraying. Public confidence and complacency regarding such an ineffective approach have only made the challenge of explaining the need for community participation in hatchery control greater [79].

Therefore, our approach, which is the most cost-effective measure to control the transmission of dengue, would be based on the design and execution of activities aimed at eradicating vector proliferation through the elimination of favorable habitats (potential hatcheries: tires, bottles, and buckets) for oviposition and allowing the development of the aquatic stages of the vector; with a participatory methodology based on the sociocultural characteristics of the population under study, with the local schools as main actors; for which, at first, the level of knowledge, attitude, and practice of dengue prevention measures of the population must be determined and from which it will design and carry out promotional, prevention interventions, with emphasis on behavioral changes.
Finally, the need for a good understanding and emphasis on behaviors related to the management of Aedes aegypti hatcheries, the main dengue vector; it should be the promotion and prevention approach at the community level, divided into individual and collective activities for the prevention of Aedes aegypti reproduction, prevention of exposure to Aedes mosquitoes, and seeking medical attention.

Taken from: Parks W, Lloyd L. COMBI. Planning social mobilization and communication for the prevention and control of dengue. Ginebra; 2004 [65].

| Aedes mosquito breeding prevention | Prevention of exposure to Aedes mosquito bites | Search for medical attention |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Individuals and households         |                                                |                             |
| • Water storage practices          | • Use of insecticides and spray repellents     | • Disease recognition       |
| • Home plant care                  | • Placing wire mesh on windows and doors       | • Home treatment            |
| • Animal care                      | • Sleep protected by a mosquito net during naps| • Search for medical attention|
| • Garbage disposal                 |                                               |                             |
| • Storage of daytime materials in the yard |                                               |                             |

| Communities, community groups, schools, NGOs |                                                |                             |
|• Communication with behavioral impact |• Housing improvement                           |• Facilitation of patient transport to health facilities |
|• Community cleaning                  |                                               |                             |
|• Promotion or organization of essential services: water, garbage collection |                                               |                             |
|• Promotion of the recycling of tires, cans, bottles, etc. |                                               |                             |

Table 4. 
Comprehensive behavioral monitoring of mobilization and social communication for dengue prevention and control.
Author details

Cristian Díaz-Vélez$^{1,2,3,*}$, Jorge Luis Fernández-Mogollón$^{1,3}$, John Alexis Cabrera-Enríquez$^{4}$, Stalin Tello-Vera$^{5}$, Oscar Medrano-Velásquez$^{6,7}$ and Elmer Córdova-Calle$^{8}$

1 Médico Epidemiólogo, Oficina de Inteligencia Sanitaria, Hospital Nacional Almanzor Aguinaga Asenjo, EsSalud, Chiclayo, Peru

2 Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Pedro Ruiz Gallo, Chiclayo, Peru

3 Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Chiclayo, Peru

4 Médico Infectólogo, Unidad de Infectología Pediátrica, Hospital Nacional Almenara Irigoyen, EsSalud, Lima, Peru

5 Médico Patólogo Clínico, Laboratorio de Biología Molecular y Citometría de Flujo, Hospital Nacional Almanzor Aguinaga Asenjo, EsSalud, Chiclayo, Peru

6 Licenciado en Enfermería, Servicio de Enfermería, Hospital III José Cayetano Heredia, EsSalud, Piura, Peru

7 Auxiliar, Facultad de Enfermería, Universidad Nacional de Piura, Piura, Peru

8 Licenciado en Enfermería, División de Inteligencia Sanitaria, Hospital III José Cayetano Heredia, EsSalud, Piura, Peru

*Address all correspondence to: cristiandiazv@hotmail.com

IntechOpen

© 2020 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Situation of Dengue after the Phenomenon of the Coastal El Niño
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.92095

References

[1] IMARPE. Definición de “El Niño Costero” [Internet]. Available from: http://www.imarpe.pe/imarpe/index.php?id_seccion=n-10175040200000000000

[2] IMARPE. Informe Técnico Extraordinario N°001-2017/ENFEN EL NIÑO COSTERO 2017 [Internet]. Lima, Perú: Comisión Multisectorial encargada del estudio Nacional Fenómeno “El Niño Costero 2017”; 2017. Available from: http://www.imarpe.pe/imarpe/archivos/informes/imarpe_inftco_informe_tecnico_extraordinario_001_2017.pdf

[3] Maguiña-Vargas C, Gastelo-Acosta R. Leptospirosis a silent disease in the El Niño phenomenon. Rev Cuerpo Méd HNAAA. 2017;10(1):4-6

[4] Espinoza-Neyra C, Jara-Pereda M, Díaz-Vélez C. Post-traumatic stress disorder in a population affected by floods caused by El niño in Peru. 2017;34:751-752

[5] Mitchell C. OPS/OMS Perú-El Niño Costero–2017 Perú | OPS/OMS [Internet]. Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization. 2017. Available from: https://www.facebook.com/pawaholatam. https://www.paho.org/per/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=3710:emergencia-por-impacto-del-fenomeno-el-nino-costero-2017-peru&Itemid=1060

[6] Peruvian Ministry of Health. Boletín Epidemiológico del Perú 2017 SE 29 [Internet]. Lima, Perú: Ministerio de Salud del Perú; 2017. Available from: https://www.dge.gob.pe/portal/docs/vigilancia/boletines/2017/29.pdf

[7] Peruvian Ministry of Health. Boletín Epidemiológico del Perú 2017 SE 52 [Internet]. Lima, Perú: Ministerio de Salud del Perú; 2017. (https://www.dge.gob.pe/portal/docs/vigilancia/boletines/2017/52.pdf)

[8] Peruvian Ministry of Health. Sala situacional del dengue, Perú SE 1 2018 [Internet]. Lima, Perú: Ministerio de Salud del Perú; 2018. Available from: http://www.dge.gob.pe/portal/docs/vigilancia/sala/2018/SE01/dengue.pdf

[9] Peruvian Ministry of Health. Análisis de la Situación de Salud: Dengue 2017 [Internet]. 2018. Available from: http://www.dge.gob.pe/portal/docs/vigilancia/sala/2017/SE51/dengue.pdf

[10] Ruiz EF, Vasquez-Galindo CM, Aquije-Pariona XM, Torres-Roman JS. Outbreaks caused by Aedes aegypti due to El Niño in a coastal area of Peru. Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease. 2018;21:78-79

[11] Rohani P. The link between dengue incidence and El Niño Southern Oscillation. PLoS Medicine. 2009;6(11). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2770118/

[12] Ministry of Health of Peru MINSA. Dengue Situation Room in Peru. DGE SE 1; 2018

[13] Medrano-Velásquez O, Córdova-Calle E, Ojeda-Gallo PM, Díaz-Vélez C. Clinical, epidemiological of the epidemic outbreak of dengue during the Phenomenon Niño Costero 2017, Red Asistencial de Piura, Peru. Rev Cuerpo Méd HNAAA. 2018;11(1):52-60. Available from: http://cmhnaaa.org.pe/ojs/index.php/rcmhnaaa/article/view/60

[14] Chretien J-P, Anyamba A, Breiman RF, Sang R, Sergon K, et al. Drought-associated chikungunya emergence along coastal East Africa. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2007;76(3):405-407.
Available from: https://pubag.nal.usda.gov/download/55909/PDF

[15] Flahault A, Aumont G, Boisson V, de Lamballerie X, Favier F, Fontenille D, et al. An interdisciplinary approach to controlling chikungunya outbreaks on French islands in the south-west Indian ocean. Med Trop Rev Corps Sante Colon. 2012;72:66-71

[16] Flahault A, de Castaneda RR, Bolon I. Climate change and infectious diseases. Public Health Review. 2016;37. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5810060/

[17] Ministry of Health of Peru MINSA. Dengue situation room in Peru. DGE SE 29; 2017

[18] Herrera-Pérez D, Saavedra-Barón A, Fernández-Mogollón J. Leptospirosis and co-infections during the coastal “el niño” in a hospital in northern peru. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Pública. 2019;36(1):148. Available from: https://rpmesp.ins.gob.pe/index.php/rpmesp/article/view/4068

[19] Sippy R, Herrera D, Gaus D, Gangnon RE, Patz JA, Osorio JE. Seasonal patterns of dengue fever in rural Ecuador: 2009-2016. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2019;13(5):e0007360

[20] Ecuadorian Ministry of Health. Ministerios de salud y defensa articulan acciones frente alerta de dengue emitida por autoridades sanitarias del Perú [Internet]. Ecuador; 2018 feb. Available from: https://www.salud.gob.ec/ministerios-de-salud-y-defensa-articulan-acciones-frente-alerta-de-dengue-emitida-por-autoridades-sanitarias-del-peru/

[21] Valdés Miró V, Díaz Castillo AO, Borrell Ferrer MC, Cabreras Cabreras AV. Estratificación para la vigilancia entomológica del dengue. Rev Cubana Med Trop. 2009;61(2) 0-0. Available from: http://cielo.sld.cu/cielo.php?script=sci_abstract&pid=S0375-07602009000200009&lng=es&nrm=is&tlng=es

[22] Schaber KL, Paz-Soldan VA, Morrison AC, Elson WHD, Rothman AL, Mores CN, et al. Dengue illness impacts daily human mobility patterns in Iquitos, Peru. Barrera R, editor. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2019;13(9):e0007756. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007756

[23] Paico-Romero C, Polo-Capuñay A, Díaz-Exebio G, Díaz-Vélez C. Validation and development of an instrument: Level of knowledge for the diagnosis and treatment of dengue fever in Lambayeque region. Acta Médica Peru. 2012;29(3):143-147. Available from: http://www.scielo.org.pe/scielo.php?script=sci_abstract&pid=S1728-59172012000300003&lng=es&nrm=iso&tlng=es

[24] Paico-Romero CN, Paico-García JA, Díaz-Vélez C. Associated factors with the level of knowledge in diagnosis and treatment of dengue in physicians of the First Attention level of the Lambayeque Region. Rev Cuerpo Méd HNAAA. 2016;9(4):214-218

[25] Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Douglas RG. Enfermedades infecciosas: principios y prácticas. Médica Panamericana. 1991

[26] Palomares-Reyes C, Silva-Caso W, Del Valle LJ, Aguilar-Luis MA, Weigl C, Martins-Luna J, et al. Dengue diagnosis in an endemic area of Peru: Clinical characteristics and positive frequencies by RT-PCR and serology for NS1, IgM, and IgG. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2019;81:31-37

[27] Sánchez-Carbonel J, Tantaléan-Yépez D, Aguilar-Luis MA, Silva-Caso W, Weigl P, Vásquez-Achaya F, et al. Identification of infection by
Situation of Dengue after the Phenomenon of the Coastal El Niño
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.92095

Chikungunya, Zika, and Dengue in an area of the Peruvian coast. Molecular diagnosis and clinical characteristics. BMC Research Notes. 2018;11(1):175

[28] Carrasco TP, Carlos J, Cabrera P, Luis P, Cabrejos Sampen G, Díaz-Vélez C. Clinical, epidemiological and geographic profile of dengue cases during El Niño Costero Phenomenon 2017, Lambayeque-Perú. Rev Habanera Cienc Médicas. 2019;18(1):97-113

[29] Forshey BM, Reiner RC, Olkowski S, Morrison AC, Espinoza A, Long KC, et al. Incomplete protection against dengue virus type 2 re-infection in Peru. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2016;10(2)

[30] Salgado DM, Vega R, Rodríguez JA, Niño A, Rodríguez R, Ortiz A, et al. Clinical, laboratory and immune aspects of Zika virus-associated encephalitis in children. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020;90:104-110

[31] Atamari-Anahui N, Ccorahua-Ríos MS, Cabrera-Enríquez JA, Vilcarromero S. La implicancia del reordenamiento genético en el diagnóstico y la epidemiología del virus Oropuche en el Perú. Salud Pública México. 2018;60(5, sep-oct):487. Available from: http://www.saludpublica.mx/index.php/spm/article/view/9215

[32] Paternina-Caicedo A, De la Hoz-Restrepo F, Díaz-Quijano F, Caicedo-Torres W, Auxiliadora Badillo-Viloria M, Bula-Anichiarico D, et al. Features of dengue and chikungunya infections of Colombian children under 24 months of age admitted to the emergency department. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics. 2018;64(1):31-37. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/tropej/article/64/1/31/3748255

[33] Bhaskaran D, Chadha SS, Sarin S, Sen R, Arafah S, Dittrich S. Diagnostic tools used in the evaluation of acute febrile illness in South India: A scoping review. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2019;19(1). Available from: https://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12879-019-4589-8

[34] Valero N, Montiel M, Arias J, Fuentes B, Mavarez A, Nava L, et al. Comparison between immunochromatographic and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in the dengue diagnosis. Kasmera. 2006;34(1):53-60. Available from: http://ve.scielo.org/scielo.php?script=sci_abstract&pid=S0075-52222006000100007&lng=es&nrm=iso&tlng=es

[35] Salles TS, Da Encarnação Sá-Guimarães T, De Alvarenga ESL, Guimarães-Ribeiro V, De Meneses MDF, De Castro-Salles PF, et al. History, epidemiology and diagnostics of dengue in the American and Brazilian contexts: A review. Parasite Vectors. 2018;11(2)

[36] Dávila-Gonzales JA, Guevara-Cruz LA, Díaz-Vélez C. Nivel de conocimientos de dengue, signos de alarma y prevención en distrito de reciente brote. Rev Biomedica. 2020

[37] Gutiérrez C, Montenegro-Idrogo JJ. Knowledge about dengue in an endemic Peruvian region. A population-based study. Acta Médica Peru. 2017;34(4):283-288

[38] Otu A, Ebenso B, Etokidem A, Chukwuekezie O. Dengue fever—An update review and implications for Nigeria, and similar countries. African Health Sciences. 2019;19(2):2000-2007. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6794512/

[39] Rodríguez-Morales AJ, Failoc-Rojas VE, Díaz-Vélez C. Gastrointestinal, respiratory and/or arboviral infections? What is the cause of the Guillain-Barré syndrome epidemics in Perú? Current status–2019. Travel Medicine
Dengue Fever in a One Health Perspective

[40] Mikrogen-recomLine Tropical Fever IgG/IgM [Internet]. [citado 17 de febrero de 2020]. Available from: https://www.mikrogen.de/english/deutschland/products/product-overview/testsystem/tropical-fever-igg.html

[41] Dalugama C, Shelton J, Ekanayake M, Gawarammana IB. Dengue fever complicated with Guillain-Barré syndrome: A case report and review of the literature. Journal of Medical Case Reports. 2018;12. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5952700/

[42] Ralapanawa DMPUK, Kularatne SAM, Jayalath WATA. Guillain-Barre syndrome following dengue fever and literature review. BMC Research Notes. 2015;8:729

[43] De Paula SO, Fonseca BAL. Dengue: A review of the laboratory tests a clinician must know to achieve a correct diagnosis. Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2004;8(6):390-398

[44] Ramabhatta S, Palaniappan S, Hanumantharayappa N, Begum SV. The clinical and serological profile of pediatric dengue. Indian Journal of Pediatrics. 2017;84(12):897-901

[45] Sanchez JD. OPS/OMS|Descripción de la situación epidemiológica actual del dengue en las Américas [Internet]. Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization; 2020. Available from: https://www.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=4494:2010-descripcion-situacion-epidemiologica-actual-dengue-americas&Itemid=40370&lang=es

[46] Dengue y dengue grave [Internet]. [citado 16 de febrero de 2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/es/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severe-dengue

[47] Guzmán J. Situación Epidemiológica de dengue en el Perú. Bol Epidemiológico Perú Lima Minist Salud. 2017;26(14):429-432

[48] Perales Carrasco JCT, Cabrera P, Luis P. Perfil Clínico, Epidemiológico y Geográfico de Casos de Dengue durante el Fenómeno El Niño Costero en La Red Asistencial Éssalud, Lambayeque 2017 [Clinical, epidemiological and geographic profile of dengue cases during the coastal El Niño phenomenon in the Essalud Healthcare Network]; 2018

[49] Huamanchumo Effio ED. Análisis de la Mortalidad en el Hospital Nacional Almanzor Aguinaga Asenjo Chiclayo 2009-2017 [Internet]. Lambayeque-Perú: Universidad Nacional Pedro Ruiz Gallo; 2018. Available from: http://190.108.84.117/handle/UNPRG/1913

[50] Díaz-Vélez C, Leguía-Cerna JA, Puescas-Sánchez PR, Medrano-Velásquez O. Dengue lethality in social security assistance centers in Peru during Coastal El Niño, Northern Peru, 2017. Rev Cubana Med Trop. 2019;71(2)

[51] Pacagnella RC, Cecatti JG, Osis MJ, Souza JP. The role of delays in severe maternal morbidity and mortality: expanding the conceptual framework. Reprod Health Matters. 2012;20(39):155-163

[52] Rodríguez-Angulo E, Aguilar-Pech P, Montero-Cervantes L, Hoil-Santos J, Andueza-Pech G. Demoras en la atención de complicaciones maternas asociadas a fallecimientos en municipios del sur de Yucatán, México. Rev Bioméd. 2012;23(1):23-32

[53] Ardila Pinto F, Martínez S, Fuentes M, Bornero E. Análisis de las demoras en salud en personas que
enfermaron de gravedad o fallecieron por dengue en cinco ciudades de Colombia. Physis Rev Saúde Coletiva. 2015;25:571-592

[54] Carabali M, Hernandez LM, Arauz MJ, Villar LA, Ridde V. Why are people with dengue dying? A scoping review of determinants for dengue mortality. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2015;15(1):301

[55] Arauz MJ, Ridde V, Hernández LM, Charris Y, Carabali M, Villar LÁ. Developing a social autopsy tool for dengue mortality: A pilot study. PLoS One. 2015;10(2):e0117455

[56] Charette M, Berrang-Ford L, Coomes O, Llanos-Cuentas EA, Cárcamo C, Kulkarni M, et al. Dengue incidence and sociodemographic conditions in Pucallpa, Peruvian Amazon: What role for modification of the dengue-temperature relationship? American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2020;102(1):180-190

[57] Burga Cueva JJ, Campos Milián LJ, et al. Análisis de la Letalidad por Dengue en dos Hospitales de Essalud, de los Departamentos de Piura y Lambayeque [Analysis of lethality by Dengue in two Essalud Hospitals, in the Departments of Piura and Lambayeque. 2017]. 2018

[58] Dávila-Gonzales JA, Guevara-Cruz LA, Peña-Sánchez ER, Díaz-Vélez C. Validation of an instrument to measure the level of knowledge in people about dengue, alarm signs and prevention in a district with a recent outbreak of dengue. La Revista Hispanoamericana de Ciencias de la Salud. 2018;4(3):119-128

[59] Mosquera M, Obregón R, Lloyd LS, Orozco M, Peña A. Comunicación, movilización y participación: lecciones aprendidas en la prevención y control de la fiebre dengue (FD). Revista de Investigación Desarrollo e Innovación. 2010;14(1)

[60] Orozco M, Peña A, Arroyave J. Sistematización de lecciones aprendidas en proyectos COMBI en dengue en la región de las Américas. Organ Panam Salud Costa Rica

[61] Stefany Niño-Effio B, Yong-Cadena HA, Díaz-Vélez C. Knowledge and practices regarding dengue prevention in a Peruvian city affected by a dengue epidemic following the 2018 Coastal Child 2018. Revista Cubana de Medicina Tropical. 2019;71(2)

[62] WHO. Dengue Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment, Prevention and Control: New Edition [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2009 [citado 16 de febrero de 2020]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/44188

[63] Bisso-Andrade A. The Niño Costero and the high cost of lack of prevention Alard Bisso-Andrade. Revista de la Sociedad Peruana de Medicina Interna. 2017;30(2):70-70

[64] Parks W, Lloyd L. Planificación de la movilización y comunicación social para la prevención y el control del dengue: guía paso a paso. Ginebra: Organización Mundial de la Salud; 2004

[65] Torres JR, Castro J. The health and economic impact of dengue in Latin America. Cad Saude Publica. 2007;23(Suppl 1):S23-S31

[66] Shepard DS, Coudeville L, Halasa YA, Zambrano B, Dayan GH. Economic impact of dengue illness in the Americas. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2011;84(2):200-207

[67] Palma-Pinedo H, Cabrera R, Yagui-Moscoso M. Factors behind people’s reluctance towards dengue vector control actions in three districts in northern Peru. Revista Peruana de Medicina Experimental y Salud Pública. 2016;33:13-20
[68] Dengue Control in North Queensland, Australia: Case Recognition and Selective Indoor Residual Spraying [Internet]. [cited 16 de febrero de 2020]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/163762

[69] Eisen L, Beaty BJ, Morrison AC, Scott TW. Proactive vector control strategies and improved monitoring and evaluation practices for dengue prevention. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2009;46(6):1245-1255 Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jme/article-lookup/doi/10.1603/033.046.0601

[70] Gunther VJ, Putnak R, Eckels KH, Mammen MP, Scherer JM, Lyons A, et al. A human challenge model for dengue infection reveals a possible protective role for sustained interferon gamma levels during the acute phase of illness. Vaccine. 2011;29(22):3895-3904

[71] Baly Gil A, Abadi González A, Cabrera Junco P, Martínez Rodríguez A, Van der Stuyft P. Loss of quality of life and economic burden caused by dengue fever from the perspective of patients and their families. Revista Cubana de Medicina Tropical. 2019;71(1)

[72] Smith DL, Battle KE, Hay SI, Barker CM, Scott TW, McKenzie FE. Ross, Macdonald, and a theory for the dynamics and control of mosquito-transmitted pathogens. Chitnis CE, editor. PLoS Pathogens. 2012;8(4):e1002588. DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002588

[73] Smith DL, Perkins TA, Tusting LS, Scott TW, Lindsay SW. Mosquito population regulation and larval source management in heterogeneous environments. Vasilakis N, editor. PLoS One. 2013;8(8):e71247. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071247

[74] Alok S, Nessa S, Ahil SB. School training strategies for prevention and control of dengue. Indian Journal of Community Medicine. 2020;45(1):106-107

[75] PAHO. Dengue: guías para la atención de enfermos en la Región de las Américas. 2. ed. [Internet]. OPS; 2016. Available from: http://iris.paho.org/xmlui/handle/123456789/28232

[76] WHO. Manejo ambiental para el control de vectores [Internet]. WHO [cited 16 de febrero de 2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/resources/envmanagement/es/

[77] Weeratunga P, Rodrigo C, Fernando SD, Rajapakse S. Control methods for «Aedes albopictus» and «Aedes aegypti». Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group, editor. Cochrane Database Systemic Review. 2017. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012759

[78] Bowman LR, Donegan S, McCall PJ. Is dengue vector control deficient in effectiveness or evidence?: Systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2016;10(3):e0004551

[79] Díaz-Vélez C. Prevention of metaxenicas diseases: Repellents, important but little used weapon. La Revista del Cuerpo Médico del Hospital Nacional Almanzor Aguinaga Asenjo. 2018;11(2):67-68