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Objective. Heart failure is a major public health problem worldwide nowadays. However, the morbidity, mortality, and awareness of heart failure are not satisfied as well as the status of current treatments. According to the standard treatment for chronic heart failure (CHFST), Fuzi (the seminal root of Aconitum carmichaelii Debx.) formulae are widely used as a complementary treatment for heart failure in clinical practice for a long time. We are aiming to assess the efficacy and safety of Fuzi formulae (FZF) on the treatment of heart failure according to high-quality randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Methods. RCTs in PubMed, Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journals Database (VIP), and Wanfang Database were searched from their inception until June 2019. In addition, the U.S. National Library of Medicine (clinicaltrials.gov) and the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (http://www.chictr.org.cn) were also searched. We included RCTs that test the efficacy and safety of FZF for the treatment of heart failure, compared with placebo, CHFST, or placebo plus CHFST. The methodological quality of included studies were evaluated by the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias. RCTs with Cochrane risk of bias (RoB) score ≥ 4 were included in the analysis. The meta-analysis was conducted through RevMan 5.2 software. The GRADE approach was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Results. Twelve RCTs with 1490 participants were identified. The studies investigated the efficacy and safety of FZF, such as FZF plus the CHFST vs placebo plus CHFST (n = 4), FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST (n = 6), FZF plus digoxin tablets (DT) plus CHFST vs placebo plus DT plus CHFST (n = 1), and FZF plus placebo plus CHFST vs placebo plus DT plus CHFST (n = 1). Meta-analysis indicated that FZF have additional benefits based on the CHFST in reducing plasma NT-proBNP level, MLHFQ scores, Lee’s heart failure scores (LHFs), and composite cardiac events (CCEs). Meanwhile, it also improved the efficacy on TCM symptoms (TCMs), NYHA functional classification (NYHAfc), 6MWD, and LVEF. Adverse events were reported in 6 out of 12 studies without significant statistical difference. However, after assessing the strength of evidence, it was found that only the quality of evidence for CCEs was high, and the others were either moderate or low or very low. So we could not draw confirmative conclusions on its additional benefits except CCEs. Further clinical trials should be well designed to avoid the issues that were identified in this study.

Conclusion. The efficacy and additional benefits of FZF for CCEs were certain according to the high-quality evidence assessed through GRADE. However, the efficacy and additional benefits for the other outcomes were uncertain judging from current studies. In addition, the safety assessment has a great room for improvement. Thus, further research studies are needed to find more convincing proofs.

1. Background

Heart failure is a public health problem in clinical cardiology nowadays. There are about 3% to 5% people suffering from heart failure (HF) according to epidemiological surveys worldwide. The morbidity of HF is about 2% in developed countries and 1.3% in China, which means nearly 18 million people are having HF in China. Meanwhile, the proportion
of people over 65 years with HF is about 10%, indicating that people are more likely to suffer from heart failure when they get older. The 1-year mortality rate is ranged from 20% to 40% in different countries in patients who are readmitted for heart failure, about 50% of HF patients died within five years after diagnosis, and the 10-year mortality is more than 90%. It is amazing that the mortality of HF is even higher than breast cancer, prostate cancer, colorectal cancer, and other common cancers. The mortality of lung cancer is only 18.4%, which is the highest in cancers. However, most of the patients with HF are still having a superficial knowledge on HF. Although HF is more common in the elderly, about 30% of the patients mistake the symptoms of HF as normal aging phenomena [1–5].

In general, current treatments for HF are relatively fixed, including diuretics, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers, beta-blockers, aldosterone receptor antagonists, digitalis, and vasodilating agents, according to the guidelines for CHFST. However, these drugs only achieve good short-term effects. This is why the number of deaths and readmission resulting from HF continues to rise despite of the advances in drug treatment strategies for HF. From the perspective of TCM, the primary cause of HF is the yang deficiency of heart that results from Qi inadequacy and blood stasis in general consideration. Based on the primary cause of HF, many Chinese herbs have demonstrated safety and efficacy in the management of HF in both animal models and humans [6–12]. Fuzi is widely used in the treatment of HF as an adjuvant therapy in our long-term clinical practice whether in decoction or other dosage forms. Since Fuzi is a major Chinese herb for restoring yang for resuscitation, it is contained in FZF, which are made into various kinds of forms such as granule, capsule, pill, oral decoction, and injection for convenient use. It is beneficial to HF patients in relieving symptoms and improving indicators despite of its toxicity as known. This study aimed at investigating the efficacy and safety of FZF on the treatment of HF and providing reference for clinical diagnosis and treatment.

2. Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis are based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement search strategy [13].

2.1. Search Strategy. PubMed, Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journals Database (VIP), and Wanfang Database were retrieved. The following search terms were used and varied depending on which database was searched: “Heart failure,” “Cardiac Failure,” “Heart Decompensation,” “Decompensation, Heart,” “Heart Failure, Right-Sided,” “Heart Failure, Right Sided,” “Right-Sided Heart Failure,” “Right Sided Heart Failure,” “Myocardial Failure,” “Congestive Heart Failure,” “Heart Failure, Congestive,” “Heart Failure, Left-Sided,” “Heart Failure, Left Sided,” “Left-Sided Heart Failure,” “Left Sided Heart Failure,” “Traditional Chinese medicine,” “Chung I Hsueh,” “Hsueh, Chung I,” “Traditional Medicine, Chinese,” “Zhong Yi Xue,” “Chinese Traditional Medicine,” “Chinese Medicine, Traditional,” “Traditional Tongue Diagnosis,” “Tongue Diagnoses, Traditional,” “Tongue Diagnosis, Traditional,” “Traditional Tongue Diagnoses,” “Traditional Tongue Assessment,” “Tongue Assessment, Traditional,” and “Traditional Tongue Assessments”. The database was searched from their start date until June 2019. Conference proceedings and dissertations were also searched from CNKI, VIP, and Wanfang databases for unpublished trials. Moreover, we also manually searched additional relevant studies through the U.S. National Library of Medicine (clinicaltrials.gov) and The Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (http://www.chictr.org.cn). Specific herb name “Fuzi” was not specifically searched to ensure that eligible herbal formulae were included as much as possible.

2.2. Inclusion Criteria

(1) Type of participants: researches involving adult patients with any type of HF.

(2) Type of study: only RCTs that assessed the efficacy and safety of Fuzi for the treatment of HF were eligible.

(3) Type of intervention: Fuzi must be included in the herbal formula used in the experimental group. There were no restrictions on the dosage forms of the drug (e.g., decoction, injection, pill, and capsule), dosage, frequency, or treatment time. Medications of the control group medications including placebo, CHFST, and placebo plus CHFST were also accepted.

(4) Type of results: the efficacy of Fuzi on the treatment of HF was evaluated through primary outcomes of plasma NT-proBNP level and the efficacy of TCM symptoms (TCMs). Secondary outcomes included the efficacy of NYHA functional classification (NYHAfcs), LVEF, 6-minute walk distance (6MWD), composite cardiac events (CCEs) such as death and readmission, the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire (MLHFAQ) scores, and Lee’s heart failure scores (LHFs). The safety was evaluated through adverse events and laboratory indexes.

(5) RoB scored≥4 points [14].

2.3. Exclusion Criteria. If the above conditions were not met, the study was excluded. In addition, the following literatures were also excluded:

(1) Duplicate publications

(2) Animal experiments, mechanism, studies, reviews, protocols, experience, and case reports

(3) Literatures on other TCM therapies, such as acupuncture, massage, moxibustion, Qi Gong, and Tai Chi

2.4. Study Selection. The titles and abstracts of the articles searched from the databases were read independently by two researchers in order to select the eligible RCTs. Full text of
the studies that potentially met the predefined inclusion criteria were obtained and read. If there were some overlap or duplicate in the articles, only the most recent information was included. The disputes about the literature selection were resolved by discussing with the corresponding authors of this study.

2.5. Data Extraction. Two researchers extracted data from the eligible trials independently by use of predesigned standard data extraction forms. The following details were extracted: (1) publication year, the first authors' name, publication language, study design, investigational site, and the type of HF; (2) the characteristics of participants, including the number, sex, and mean age; (3) treatment information, including details of interventions management and course of treatment; and (4) outcome measurement and adverse effect. In studies with multiple comparison groups, the most relevant comparison group was chosen for the final analysis. If outcomes were at different time points of the study, the data of the last time point were extracted.

2.6. Quality Assessment. The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed by using the risk of bias (RoB) tools, provided by the Cochrane's Systematic Review Handbook on interventions which include the following seven aspects: (A) random sequence generation (selection bias); (B) allocation concealment (selection bias); (C) blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias); (D) blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias); (E) incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); (F) selective reporting (reporting bias); and (G) other bias. Modified Jadad scale was also used to score included studies [15–17].

2.7. Fuzi Formulae Composition. The elements of FZF in each included study were recorded, such as the name, ingredients, and dosage of formula. The frequency used for specific herb was also calculated.

2.8. Data Analysis. Information from included studies was aggregated to produce a quantitative summary using the software Cochrane Collaboration Review Manage (RevMan 5.2). The Stata 12.0. Continuous data, such as plasma NT-proBNP level, LVEF, 6MWD, MLHFQ scores, and LHFs, were expressed as mean difference (MD) or standardized mean difference (SMD), whereas dichotomous data such as the efficacy of TCMs, NYHA and CCEs were reported as relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The statistical heterogeneity among trials was assessed using the chi-squared test and $I^2$ statistic. If no heterogeneity exists ($P > 0.05$, $I^2 < 50\%$), a fixed effect model (FEM) was applied; otherwise, the random effect model (REM) was a more plausible match. However, since there were different ingredients in FZF, discrepancies in effect sizes should not be ignored. Therefore, whatever the heterogeneity index $I^2$ was, we conducted REM to balance the effects of each study. Sensitivity analysis was performed by changing analysis combination to explore the impact of confounding factors.

Meanwhile, in consideration of the differences in interventions and treatments, the subgroup analysis was performed using the Z-test. The differences between treatment groups and control groups were considered to be statistically significant when $P < 0.05$. If an outcome was reported in more than ten studies, funnel plots and Egger's test were used to examine their publication bias. Finally, we used the GRADE approach to access the strength of the evidence so as to make our results more credible.

3. Results

3.1. Description of Studies. A total of 7901 studies were retrieved from the five electronic databases and other sources. After removing the duplicate, 6207 records remained. By screening the titles and abstracts, 3495 records were excluded, among which 690 studies were not related to HF, 290 papers were animal experiments, 138 of them were mechanism studies, and 2377 papers were reviews, protocols, experience, or case reports. By reading the full text, 2700 studies were removed, including 194 studies with improper control interventions, 100 studies without control group, 32 studies without full text, 334 of them were unqualified, 1306 studies not using FZF, 154 studies containing other TCM therapies, such as acupuncture, massage, or scraping, and 571 studies with low methodological quality, and 9 studies as duplicates. Ultimately, 12 eligible studies with Cochrane RoB score ≥4 were included in this study [18–29]. A PRISMA flow diagram depicted the search process and study selection (Figure 1).

3.2. Study Characteristics. The characteristics of the 12 included trials are summarized in Table 1. All eligible studies were conducted in China. 2 articles were published in English [24, 29], and the rest were in Chinese [18–23, 25–28]. 3 were multicenter studies [19, 24, 29], and the others were single-center study [18, 20–23, 25–28]. Among the included studies, 9 were related to chronic heart failure (CHF) [18, 19, 21–26, 29], 2 were related to diastolic heart failure (DHF) [20, 27], and 1 was related to systolic heart failure [27]. The sample size of the included studies ranged from 60 to 491, enrolling a total of 1490 participants, 735 patients in experimental groups, and 755 patients in control groups. All of the 12 RCTs were two arms. 6 studies compared FZF plus CHFST with CHFST [18, 21, 25–28], 4 studies compared FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST [20, 22–24, 29], 1 study compared FZF plus digoxin tablets (DT) plus CHFST with placebo plus DT plus CHFST [22], and the last one compared FZF plus placebo plus CHFST plus placebo plus DT plus CHFST [19]. The preparations used in the 12 RCTs were administered orally in decoction (4 comparisons) [22, 26–28], granules (2 comparisons) [19, 21], capsules (4 comparisons) [18, 20, 23, 24], pills (1 comparison) [25], and injections (1 comparison) [29]. The treatment duration ranged from $7 \pm 1$ days to 9 months.

3.3. Description of Fuzi Formulae. The constituents of FZF in included studies are detailed in Table 2. Thirty herbs were
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Figure 1: Prisma 2009 flow diagram.

Table 1: Basic characteristics of the included studies.

| Included trial | Publication language | Study design and investigational sites | Type of HF | No. of participants (male/female; age years) | Intervention | Outcome index | Intergroup difference |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Huo et al. [19] | Chinese              | RCT, multicenter, China                | CHF        | 26/34; 18–75 64.55 ± 7.33                   | CHFST plus Huaxinsu granules (11 g, tid) plus placebo (0.125 mg qd) for 4 weeks | (1) Efficacy on western medicinal symptoms (2) Efficacy of TCM symptoms (3) Adverse event | (1) P < 0.05 (2) P < 0.05 (3) P < 0.05 |
|                 |                      |                                        | CHF        | 31/28, 18–75 61.95 ± 8.92                   | CHFST plus placebo (0.125 mg qd) for 4 weeks |               |                        |
| Zou [18]        | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China              | CHF        | 21/29; 63 ± 8.2                             | CHFST plus Nuanxin capsules (1.35 g tid) for 6 months | (1) NYHAfc (2) Efficacy on TCM symptoms (3) Readmission (4) LVEF and LVED (5) 6MWD (6) NT-proBNP (7) Adverse events | (1) P < 0.05 (2) P < 0.05 (3) P < 0.05 (4) P < 0.05 (5) P < 0.05 (6) P < 0.05 (7) — |
|                 |                      |                                        | CHF        | 23/27; 64 ± 6.6                             | CHFST for 6 months |               |                        |
| Included trial   | Publication language | Study design and investigational sites | Type of HF | No. of participants (male/female; age years) | Intervention (No. of participants; intervention) | Control (No. of participants; intervention) | Outcome index | Intergroup difference |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Liu et al. [20]  | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China              | DHF        | 23/18; 67.7 ± 8.1                           | CHFST plus Nuanxin capsules (1.35 g tid) for 9 months | CHFST plus placebo (1.35 g tid) for 9 months | (1) Efficacy on NYHAfc | (1) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (2) TCM symptom scores | (2) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (3) Readmission and death | (3) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (4) 6MWD       | (4) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (5) Adverse events | (5) —       |
| Wang [21]        | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China              | CHF        | 14/16; 52–75; 72.33 ± 6.013                 | CHFST plus Tongyang Huoxue decoction (9 g bid) for 3 weeks | CHFST for 3 weeks | (1) Efficacy on TCM symptoms | (1) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (2) Efficacy of NYHAfc | (2) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (3) Efficacy on LHFs | (3) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (4) Efficacy on LHF | (4) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (5) TCM symptom scores | (5) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (6) Efficacy on 6MWT and 6MWD | (6) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (7) LVEF       | (7) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (8) NT-proBNP  | (8) P > 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (9) MLHFQs     | (9) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (10) MOS SF-36  | (10) P > 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (11) BP and HR | (11) —       |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (12) Safety and adverse events | (12) —       |
| Cao et al. [22]  | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China              | CHF        | Total: 39/61; 36–77/25–82 66.04 ± 9.01/65.10 ± 8.74 | CHFST plus Kangshuai decoction (10 ml tid) plus DT (0.125 mg qd) for 14 days | CHFST plus placebo (10 ml tid) plus DT (0.125 mg qd) for 14 days | (1) Efficacy on LHF | (1) P > 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (2) Efficacy on NYHAfc | (2) P > 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (3) Efficacy on TCM symptoms | (3) P > 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (4) LVEF/LVEF/SV | (4) P > 0.05 |
| Zou et al. [23]  | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China              | CHF        | 32/39; 69.35 ± 1(2) 26 37/36; 70.06 ± 10.32 | CHFST plus Nuanxin capsules (1.35 g tid) for 24 weeks | CHFST plus placebo capsule (1.35 g tid) for 24 weeks | (1) Efficacy on TCM symptoms | (1) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (2) Efficacy on NYHAfc | (2) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (3) MLHFQs     | (3) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (4) LVEF       | (4) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (5) Readmission and death | (5) P > 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (6) Death       | (6) —         |
| Li et al. [24]   | English              | RCT, multicenter, China                | CHF        | 182/62 56.98 ± 11.59 188/59; 57.53 ± 11.05 | CHFST plus qiling capsule (4 granules tid) for 12 weeks | CHFST plus placebo capsules (4 granules tid) for 12 weeks | (1) NT-proBNP   | (1) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (2) CCEs       | (2) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (3) NYHAfc     | (3) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (4) LVEF/LVED  | (4) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (5) 6MWD       | (5) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (6) MLHFQs     | (6) P < 0.05 |
|                  |                      |                                        |            |                                             |                                                   |                                             | (7) Adverse event(s) | (7) P > 0.05 |
used in the twelve different FZF. The top 6 frequently used herbs were *Aconitum carmicaheli* Debx. (Aconiti lateralis radix preparata), *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mey (Ginseng radix et rhizome), *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge. (Salvia miltiorrhiza), *Poria cocos* (Schw.), *Wolf* (Tuckahoe), *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge.var.mongholicus (Bge.), *Hsiao astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge. (fresh Mongolian milkvetch root), and *Descurainia sophia* (L.) Webb.ex Prantl. (Semen Descurainia lepidii), which were used at least 4 times (Table 3).

### Table 1: Continued.

| Included trial | Publication language | Study design and investigational sites | Type of HF | No. of participants (male/female; age years) | Intervention | Control | Outcome index | Intergroup difference |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Dong [25]      | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China             | CHF        | 30/24; 45–74/60.38 ± 5.41                   | CHFST plus Shenfu cardiac pill (2 pills tid) for 3 months | CHFST for 3 months | (1) TCM symptom scores (2) Efficacy on TCM symptoms (3) Lee’s heart failure scores (4) Efficacy on heart failure scores (5) NYHAfc (6) MLHFQs (7) LVEF and LVED (8) NT-proBNP (9) 6MWD (10) Safety |
| Li et al. [26] | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China             | CHF        | 13/17; 30–85/61.45 ± 4.55                   | CHFST plus Baoyuan Shii decoction (one dose qd) for 1 weeks | CHFST for 1 weeks | (1) Efficacy on TCM symptoms (2) Efficacy on NYHAfc (3) NT-proBNP (4) Safety |
| Wei [27]       | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China             | DHF/SHF    | 20/31; 74.33 ± 5.40                         | CHFST plus Shenfu Jixin decoction (130 ml bid) for 10 days | CHFST for 10 days | (1) TCM symptom scores (2) Efficacy on TCM symptoms (3) Efficacy on NYHAfc (4) Lee’s heart failure scores (5) Efficacy on LHFsd (6) NT-proBNP (7) 6MWT (8) Safety |
| Zhu [28]       | Chinese              | RCT, single-center, China             | SHF        | 14/16; 56.43 ± 9.85                         | CHFST plus Yiqi qiangxin decoction (150 ml bid) for 2 weeks | CHFST for 2 weeks | (1) Efficacy on NYHAfc (2) TCM symptom scores (3) Efficacy on TCM symptoms (4) MLHFAQs (5) LVEF (6) NT-proBNP (7) 6MWT (8) Safety |
| Wang et al. [29] | English              | RCT, multicenter, China               | CHF        | 42/32; 68.58 ± 8.42                         | CHFST plus SFI for 7 ± 1 days | CHFST plus placebo for 7 ± 1 days | (1) Efficacy of NYHAfc (2) Efficacy of TCM syndrome scores (3) Efficiency of LHFsd (4) 6MED (5) LVEF (6) Death (7) Safety (8) Laboratory indexes |

RCT = randomized controlled trial; CHF = chronic heart failure; SHF = systolic heart failure; DSH = diastolic heart failure; CHFST = standard treatment of heart failure; DT = digoxin tablets; SFD = Shenfu decoction; SFI = Shenfu injection; NYHAfc = NYHA functional classification; LHFsd = Lee’s heart failure scores; MLHFAQs = Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire scores; CCEs = composite cardiac events.
Table 2: The element of Fuzi formula in each included study.

| Included trials | Formula | Ingredient | Dosage (g) |
|-----------------|---------|------------|------------|
| **Huo et al. [19]** | Huaxinsu granule | (1) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. | (1) *Aconiti lateralis* radix preparata | 11 g per pack |
|                  |         | (2) *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge.var.mongholicus (Bge.) Hsiao and *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge. | (2) *Fresh Mongolian milkvetch root* | |
|                  |         | (3) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge. | (3) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Paeonia lactiflora* Pall. | (4) *White peony root* | |
|                  |         | (5) *Leyce pubescens* Hook et Arn. | (5) *Pubescent holly root* | |
|                  |         | (6) *Plantago asiatica* L. | (6) *Plantain seed* | |
|                  |         | (7) *Descurainia sophia* (L.) Webb ex Prantl. | (7) *Semen descurainiae lepidii* | |
|                  |         | (8) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge. | (8) *Cinnamomum cassia* (9) *Ligustrum lucidum* Ait. | |
|                  |         | (9) *Paeonia lactiflora* Pall. | (9) *Ilex pubescens* Hook et Arn. | |
|                  |         | (10) *Cinnamomum cassia* Presl | (10) *Plantago asiatica* L. | |
|                  |         | (1) Fuzi | (1) Fuzi | |
|                  |         | (2) Huangqi | (2) *Huangqi* | |
|                  |         | (3) Danshen | (3) *Danshen* | |
|                  |         | (4) Baihao | (4) *Baihao* | |
|                  |         | (5) Maodongqing | (5) *Maodongqing* | |
|                  |         | (6) Cheqianqing | (6) *Cheqianqing* | |
|                  |         | (7) Tinglizi | (7) *Tinglizi* | |
|                  |         | (8) Rougui | (8) *Rougui* | |
|                  |         | (9) Novzhenzi | (9) *Novzhenzi* | |
|                  |         | (10) Guizhi | (10) *Guizhi* | |
| **Zou [18]**     | Nuanxin capsule | (1) *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mey. | (1) *Radix ginseng rubra* | 0.45 g per capsule |
|                  |         | (2) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. | (2) *Aconiti lateralis* radix preparata | |
|                  |         | (3) *Coix lacryma-jobi L.var.ma-yuen* (Roman.) Stapf | (3) *Coix seed* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Poria cocos* (Schw.) Wolf | (4) *Tuckahoe* | |
|                  |         | (5) *Pinellia ternate* (Thunb.) Breit. | (5) *Rhizoma Pinelliae Preparata* | |
|                  |         | (6) *Citrus reticulata* Blanco and *Citrus reticulate* "dahongpao" or *Citrus reticulata* Blanco "Tangerina" | (6) *Tangerine peel* | |
|                  |         | (7) *Panax notoginseng* (Bark.) F. H. Chen | (7) Sanchi | |
| **Liu et al. [20]** | Nuanxin capsule | (1) *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mey. | (1) *Radix ginseng rubra* | 0.45 g per capsule |
|                  |         | (2) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. | (2) *Aconiti lateralis* radix preparata | |
|                  |         | (3) *Coix lacryma-jobi L.var.ma-yuen* (Roman.) Stapf | (3) *Coix seed* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Poria cocos* (Schw.) Wolf | (4) *Tuckahoe* | |
|                  |         | (5) *Pinellia ternate* (Thunb.) Breit. | (5) *Rhizoma Pinelliae Preparata* | |
|                  |         | (6) *Citrus reticulata* Blanco and *Citrus reticulate* "dahongpao" | (6) *Tangerine peel* | |
|                  |         | Or *Citrus reticulata* Blanco "Tangerina" | (7) Sanchi | |
|                  |         | (7) *Panax notoginseng* (Bark.) F. H. Chen | (7) Sanchi | |
| **Wang [21]**    | Tongyang Huoxue granule | (1) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. | (1) *Radix ginseng rubra* | 9 g per granule |
|                  |         | (2) *Zingiber officinale* Rosc. | (2) *Zingiberis Rhizoma* | |
|                  |         | (3) *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge.var.mongholicus (Bge.) Hsiao and *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge. | (3) *Fresh Mongolian milkvetch root* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge. | (4) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* | |
|                  |         | (1) *Paofuzi* | (1) *Paofuzi* | |
|                  |         | (2) *Ganjiang* | (2) *Ganjiang* | |
|                  |         | (3) *Huangqi* | (3) *Huangqi* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Danshen* | (4) *Danshen* | |
| **Cao et al. [22]** | Kangshuai oral solution | (1) *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mey. | (1) *Ginseng radix et rhizoma* | Not mentioned |
|                  |         | (2) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. | (2) *Aconiti lateralis* radix preparata | |
|                  |         | (3) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge. | (3) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Acanthopanax gracilistylus* W. W. Smith | (4) *Acanthopanaxis Cortex* | |
|                  |         | (1) *Renshen* | (1) *Renshen* | |
|                  |         | (2) *Fupian* | (2) *Fupian* | |
|                  |         | (3) *Danshen* | (3) *Danshen* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Wujuapi* | (4) *Wujuapi* | |
| **Zou et al. [23]** | Nuanxin capsule | (1) *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mey. | (1) *Radix ginseng rubra* | 0.45 g per capsule |
|                  |         | (2) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx. | (2) *Aconiti lateralis* radix preparata | |
|                  |         | (3) *Coix lacryma-jobi L.var.ma-yuen* (Roman.) Stapf | (3) *Coix seed* | |
|                  |         | (4) *Poria cocos* (Schw.) Wolf | (4) *Tuckahoe* | |
|                  |         | (5) *Pinellia ternate* (Thunb.) Breit. | (5) *Rhizoma Pinelliae Preparata* | |
|                  |         | (6) *Citrus reticulata* Blanco and *Citrus reticulate* "dahongpao" | (6) *Tangerine peel* | |
|                  |         | Or *Citrus reticulata* Blanco "Tangerina" | (7) Sanchi | |
|                  |         | (7) *Panax notoginseng* (Bark.) F. H. Chen | (7) Sanchi | |
| Included trials   | Formula                  | Latin name                                                                 | Ingredient                  | English name                   | Chinese name                  | Dosage (g) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Li et al. [24]    | Qili qiangxin capsule    | (1) *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge.var.mongholicus (Bge.) Hsiao and *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge. | (1) Fresh Mongolian milkvetch root | (1) Haungqi                  | (1) Renshen                 | 0.3 g per capsule |
|                   |                          | (2) Panax ginseng C.A. Mey.                                                 | (2) Ginseng radix et rhizoma | (2) Renshen                  | (2) Heishunpian             |            |
|                   |                          | (3) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx.                                            | (3) Aconiti lateralis radix preparata | (3) Danshen               | (3) Danshen             |            |
|                   |                          | (4) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge.                                                | (4) Salvia miltiorrhiza    | (4) Dinglvai                 |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (5) *Descurainia sophia* (L.) Webb.ex Prantl.                                | (5) Semen descurainiae lepidii | (5) Guizhi                  |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (6) *Rhizoma alismatis*                                                     | (6) Alismatis rhizoma    | (6) Zexie                     |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (7) *Alisma orientalis* (Sam.) juzepr.                                       | (7) Polygonati odoatati rhizoma | (7) Zhihu                |                             |            |
| Dong [25]         | Shenfu qiangxin pill     | (1) *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mey.                                                | (1) Ginseng radix et rhizoma | (1) Renshen                  | (1) Fuzi                   | 3 g per pill |
|                   |                          | (2) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx.                                            | (2) Aconiti lateralis radix preparata | (2) Fuzi                  | (2) Fuzi                   |            |
|                   |                          | (3) *Descurainia sophia* (L.) Webb.ex Prantl.                                | (3) Semen descurainiae lepidii | (3) Zhiyancao              | (3) Zhiyancao              |            |
|                   |                          | (4) *Morus alba* L.                                                          | (4) *Mori Cortex*         | (4) Houpu                    | (4) Houpu                  |            |
|                   |                          | (5) Rheum palmatum L and Rheum tanguticum Maxim.ex Balf. and Rheum officinae Brigll. | (5) Rhei Radix et Rhizoma | (5) Baihu                  | (5) Baihu                  |            |
|                   |                          | (6) *Polyporus umbellatus* (Pers.) Fries                                      | (6) *Polyergus*           | (6) Zhufi                     | (6) Zhufi                  |            |
| Li et al. [26]    | Baoyuan shipi decoction  | (1) *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mey.                                                | (1) Ginseng radix et rhizoma | (1) Renshen                  | (1) Fuzi                   | Not mentioned |
|                   |                          | (2) *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge.var.mongholicus (Bge.) Hsiao and *Astragalus membranaceus* (Fisch.) Bge. | (2) Fresh Mongolian milkvetch root | (2) Haungqi               | (2) Heishunpian             |            |
|                   |                          | (3) *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* Fisch. *Glycyrrhiza inflata* Bat.                | (3) Radix glycyrrhizae prepared | (3) Danshen              | (3) Heishunpian             |            |
|                   |                          | *Glycyrrhiza glabra* L.                                                      | (4) Magnoliace Officinalis Cortex | (4) Daigupi               | (4) Daigupi                |            |
|                   |                          | (4) *Magnolia officinalis* Rehd.et Wils. or *Magnolia officinalis* Rehd.et Wils.Var.bilobaRehd.et Wils. | (5) Large head Atractylodes Rhizome | (5) Baizhu                | (5) Baizhu                 |            |
|                   |                          | (5) *Atractylodes macrocephala* Koidz                                         | (6) *Chaenomelis Fructus* | (6) Dafupi                    | (6) Dafupi                 |            |
|                   |                          | (6) *Chaenomeles speciose* (sweet) Nakai                                     | (7) *Fructus Tsakoo*     | (7) Fuze                      | (7) Fuze                   |            |
|                   |                          | (7) *Amomum tsao-ko* Crevost et Lemaire                                       | (8) *Betelnut peel*      | (8) Fuling                    | (8) Fuling                 |            |
|                   |                          | (8) *Areca catechu* L.                                                       | (9) *Aconiti lateralis radix preparata | (9) Ganjiang             | (9) Ganjiang               |            |
|                   |                          | (9) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx.                                            | (10) *Tuckahoe*           |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (10) *Poria cocos* (Schw.) Wolf                                              | (11) *Zingiberis Rhizoma* |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (11) *Zingiber officinale Rosc.*                                             |                             |                             |                             |            |
| Wei [27]          | Wenyang lishui decoction | (1) *Ginseng radix et rhizoma*                                               | (1) Radix Salviae Militiorrhizae |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (2) *Poria cocos* (Schw.) Wolf                                               | (2) *Tuckahoe*            |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (3) *Cinnamomum cassia* Presl.                                               | (3) *Cinnamomi ramulus* |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (4) *Aconitum carmichaelii* Debx.                                            | (4) Typhonii Rhizoma     |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (5) *Atractylodes macrocephala* Koidz                                         | (5) *Rhizoma artactylodis macrocephalae* |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (6) *Crataegus pinnatifida* Bge.var.major N.E.Br.or Crataegus pinnatifida Bge. | (6) *Hawthorn fruit*    |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (7) *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge.                                               | (7) *Salvia militiorrhiza* |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | (8) *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* Fisch. *Glycyrrhiza inflata* Bat.                | (8) *Radix Rhizoma*      |                             |                             |            |
|                   |                          | *Glycyrrhiza glabra* L.                                                      | (9) *Glycyrrhiza*        |                             |                             |            |
3.4. RoB Assessment. The RoB evaluation is shown in Table 4. All the included studies were described as "randomized" with appropriate methods of sequence generation, such as random number table (8 studies) [18, 20, 21, 24–28], Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software (1 study) [22], central assignment (1 study) [29], Package for Encyclopaedia of Medical Statistics3.1 (PEMS3.1) software (1 study) [23], and computer-generated stochastic system (1 study) [19]. The RoB of the 12 studies were low in the domain of sequence generation. 1 study applied "sealed envelopes" [23], and 6 studies mentioned double blindnand [19, 20, 23, 24, 26, 29]. 10 studies had described dropouts and provided adequate explanations or appropriate methods to treat missing data [18–20, 22–25, 27–29]. Two studies did not mention dropouts [21, 26]. No significant other bias was found in the included studies. Finally, among the 12 studies, 7 articles were scored 4 points [18, 20, 21, 25–28], 1 article was scored 5 points [29], and the other 4 studies were scored 6 points according to the revised Jadad scale [19, 22–24].

3.5. Primary Outcomes

3.5.1. Plasma NT-proBNP Level. 6 studies evaluated the plasma NT-proBNP level, and a reduction was showed in FZF plus CHFST, compared with CHFST (SMD = −1.76, 95% CI: −2.87 to −0.66, P = 0.002, heterogeneity χ² = 132.51, P < 0.00001, I² = 96%, Figure 2) [18, 21, 25–28]. However, the
Table 4: Risk of bias summary and scores of included studies.

| Studies          | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   | G    | Scores |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|
| Huo et al. [19]  | +   | +   | ?   | +   | +   | +   | 6    |        |
| Zou [18]         | +   | ?   | -   | +   | +   | +   | 4    |        |
| Liu et al. [20]  | +   | ?   | +   | +   | +   | ?   | 4    |        |
| Wang [21]        | +   | ?   | -   | +   | +   | +   | 4    |        |
| Cao et al. [22]  | +   | ?   | +   | ?   | +   | +   | 6    |        |
| Zou et al. [23]  | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | 6    |        |
| Li et al. [24]   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | 6    |        |
| Dong [25]        | +   | ?   | -   | ?   | +   | +   | 4    |        |
| Li et al. [26]   | +   | ?   | +   | +   | ?   | +   | 4    |        |
| Wei [27]         | +   | ?   | -   | ?   | +   | +   | 4    |        |
| Zhu [28]         | +   | ?   | -   | ?   | +   | +   | 4    |        |
| Wang et al. [29] | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | 5    |        |

A, random sequence generation (selection bias); B, allocation concealment (selection bias); C, blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias); D, blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias); E, incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); F, selective reporting (reporting bias); G, other bias. +, low risk of bias; −, high risk of bias; ?, unclear risk of bias.

Quality of the evidence was low (Table 5). So further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. The result of the heterogeneity test was 96%, which indicated they had high heterogeneity, so the statistical analysis was conducted with REM.

3.5.2. Efficacy of TCM Symptoms. 9 trials reported the efficacy of TCM symptoms. Meta-analysis showed that FZF were better at improving the efficacy of TCM symptoms both in subgroups that compared FZF plus CHFST with CHFST (RR = 1.35, 95% CI: 1.22 to 1.48, P < 0.00001, heterogeneity χ² = 3.72, P = 0.45, I² = 0%, Figure 3) [21, 25–28] and FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST (RR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.23 to 1.64, P < 0.00001, heterogeneity χ² = 0.35, P = 0.56, I² = 0%, Figure 3) [23, 29]. The comparison of FZF plus DT plus CHFST with placebo plus DT plus CHFST [22] and FZF plus placebo plus CHFST combined with placebo plus DT plus CHFST [19] demonstrated that FZF combined with CHFST treatment had equivalent efficacy compared to DT combined with CHFST treatment, as well as FZF combined with low-dose DT (P > 0.05). The quality of the evidence of the above subgroups were moderate and high, respectively (Table 5). Further research studies are likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate of the subgroup of FZF plus CHFST with CHFST, while the subgroup of FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST are much better. Above all, the efficacy of TCMs was uncertain according to current evidence.

3.6. Secondary Outcomes

3.6.1. NYHA Functional Classification (NYHAfc). 10 trials reported the efficacy on NYHAfc as outcome. Meta-analysis showed that FZF were better at reducing the NYHAfc in both subgroups. The subgroups were FZF plus CHFST with CHFST (RR = 1.34, 95% CI: 1.12 to 1.59, P = 0.001, heterogeneity χ² = 16.52, P = 0.005, I² = 70%, Figure 4) [18, 21, 24–28] and FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST (RR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.07 to 1.36, P = 0.002, heterogeneity χ² = 0.67, P = 0.72, I² = 0%, Figure 4) [23, 29]. There was no significant homogeneity of this outcome in the overall effect (RR = 1.27, 95% CI: 1.15 to 1.41, P < 0.00001, heterogeneity χ² = 5.75, P = 0.05, I² = 49%, Figure 4). The comparison of FZF plus DT plus CHFST with placebo plus DT plus CHFST demonstrated that FZF had had equivalent efficacy compared to DT at the base of combined treatment (P > 0.05). The quality of the evidence of the above subgroups was low and moderate, respectively (Table 6). So the efficacy on NYHAfc was uncertain according to current evidence.

3.6.2. LVEF. 7 trials reported LVEF as outcome. Meta-analysis demonstrated that FZF were better at improving LVEF. The two subgroups compared FZF plus CHFST with CHFST (SMD = 0.98, 95% CI: 0.42 to 1.54, P = 0.0006, heterogeneity χ² = 16.59, P = 0.0009, I² = 82%, Figure 5) [18, 21, 25, 28] and FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST (SMD = −0.10, 95% CI: −0.50 to 0.30, P = 0.63, heterogeneity χ² = 12.17, P = 0.002, I² = 84%, Figure 5) [23, 24, 29]. There was high homogeneity of this outcome in the overall effect (SMD = 0.48, 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.94, P = 0.004, heterogeneity χ² = 68.53, P < 0.00001, I² = 91%, Figure 5). However, the quality of the evidence for this outcome was low. As for subgroups above, the strength of their evidence was very low and moderate, respectively (Table 6). We were very uncertain about the estimate of this outcome; however, the comparison of FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST deserved more further researches.

3.6.3. 6MWD. 7 trials with 8 comparisons reported 6MWD as outcome. Meta-analysis showed that FZF were better at improving the 6MWD in all subgroups. The subgroups compared FZF plus CHFST with CHFST (SMD = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.34 to 0.85, P < 0.00001, heterogeneity χ² = 6.89, P = 0.14, I² = 42%, Figure 6) [18, 21, 25, 28, 29] and FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST (SMD = 0.52, 95% CI 0.25 to 0.78, P = 0.0002, heterogeneity χ² = 4.43, P = 0.11, I² = 55%, Figure 6) [20, 24, 29]. There was no homogeneity of this outcome in the overall effect (SMD = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.39 to 0.72, P < 0.00001, heterogeneity χ² = 11.45, P = 0.12, I² = 39%, Figure 6). Although the results indicated a benefit in the FZF overall, the beneficial results were uncertain despite the moderate quality of the evidence (Table 6).

3.6.4. MLHFQ Scores and Lee’s Heart Failure Scores. 4 trials with 6 comparisons reported MLHFQ scores (MLHFQs) and Lee’s heart failure scores (LHFs) as outcome. Meta-analysis showed that FZF were better at reducing MLHFQs in the subgroup which comparing FZF plus CHFST with CHFST (SMD = −0.61, 95% CI: −0.88 to −0.34, P < 0.00001, heterogeneity χ² = 0.19, P = 0.91, I² = 0%, Figure 7) [21, 25, 28]. The LHFs were also improved according to the
A comparison of FZF plus CHFST with CHFST (SMD = −0.53, 95% CI: −0.78 to −0.29, *P* < 0.0001, heterogeneity *χ*² = 0.37, *P* = 1.00, *I*² = 0%, Figure 7) [21, 25, 27]. Despite the quality of the evidence for the subgroups being low (Table 6), the beneficial results were uncertain and might have been changed by further well-designed research.
3.6.5. CCEs. 4 trials with 7 comparisons reported CCEs as outcome. Meta-analysis showed that FZF were better at reducing death after the comparison of FZF plus CHFST with placebo plus CHFST (RR = 0.33, 95% CI: 0.17 to 0.64, \( P = 0.001 \), heterogeneity \( \chi^2 = 0.44, P = 0.93, I^2 = 0\% \), Figure 8) [20, 23, 24, 29].
### Table 6: Statement of facts (SoF) table for secondary outcomes.

Secondary outcomes of the treatment of heart failure as complementary therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of high-quality randomized controlled trials

Patient or population: patients with the treatment of heart failure as complementary therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of high-quality randomized controlled trials

Settings:
- Intervention: secondary outcomes

| Outcomes | Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI) | Relative effect (95% CI) | No. of participants (studies) | Quality of the evidence (GRADE) | Comments |
|----------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| NYHA functional classification (NYHAfc) 1982 American New York Heart Association (NYHA) Follow-up: 6–252 days | Study population 653 per 1000 Moderate | RR 1.31 (1.21 to 1.41) | 848 (9 studies) | ⊕⊕⊕ moderate | Moderate 653 per 1000 856 per 1000 (790 to 921) |
| NYHA functional classification (NYHAfc)-FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST 1982 American New York Heart Association (NYHA) Follow-up: 10–168 days | Study population 646 per 1000 Moderate | RR 1.37 (1.24 to 1.52) | 483 (6 studies) | ⊕⊝⊝ low | Moderate 733 per 1000 1000 per 1000 (909 to 1000) |
| NYHA functional classification (NYHAfc)-FZF plus CHFST vs placebo plus CHFST 1982 American New York Heart Association (NYHA) Follow-up: 6–252 days | Study population 663 per 1000 Moderate | RR 1.22 (1.08 to 1.38) | 365 (3 studies) | ⊕⊕⊕ moderate | Moderate 644 per 1000 786 per 1000 (696 to 889) |
| LVEF Simpson. Scale from 0 to 100 Follow-up: 6–168 days | The mean LVEF ranged across control groups from 28.25 to 46.17 percentage | The mean LVEF in the intervention groups was 0.48 standard deviations higher (0.03 to 0.94 higher) | 1088 (7 studies) | ⊕⊕⊕ low | SMD 0.48 (0.03 to 0.94) |
| LVEF-FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST Simpson. Scale from 0 to 100. Follow-up: 14–168 days | The mean LVEF-FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST ranged across control groups from 41.7 to 46.17 percentage | The mean LVEF-FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST in the intervention groups was 0.98 standard deviations higher (0.42 to 1.54 higher) | 322 (4 studies) | ⊕⊕⊕ very low | SMD 0.98 (0.42 to 1.54) |
| LVEF-FZE plus CHFST vs placebo plus CHFST Simpson. Scale from 0 to 100. Follow-up: 6–168 days | The mean LVEF-FZE plus CHFST vs placebo plus CHFST ranged across control groups from 28.25 to 39.82 percentage | The mean LVEF-FZE plus CHFST vs placebo plus CHFST in the intervention groups was 0.1 standard deviations lower (0.5 lower to 0.3 higher) | 766 (3 studies) | ⊕⊕⊕ moderate | SMD –0.1 (−0.5 to 0.3) |
| 6MWD 6MWT. Scale from 0 to 100. Follow-up: 6–252 days | The mean 6MWD ranged across control groups from 82.99 to 405.97 meter | The mean 6MWD in the intervention groups was 0.55 standard deviations higher (0.39 to 0.72 higher) | 1168 (7 studies) | ⊕⊕⊕ moderate | SMD 0.55 (0.39 to 0.72) |
| 6MWD-FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST 6MWT. Scale from 0 to 1000 Follow-up: 6–168 days | The mean 6MWD-FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST ranged across control groups from 82.99 to 405.97 meter | The mean 6MWD-FZF plus CHFST vs CHFST in the intervention groups was 0.6 standard deviations higher (0.34 to 0.85 higher) | 461 (5 studies) | ⊕⊕⊕ moderate | SMD 0.6 (0.34 to 0.85) |
6 events. 6 studies [21, 22, 25–28] stated no adverse events. 3.7. Adverse event(s). 6 studies [18–20, 23, 24, 29] reported adverse events occurring during the treatment period, and a total of 9.4% (69/735) patients in the experimental groups and 13.4% (101/755) patients in control groups suffered from adverse events (Table 7). Some patients had more than one event. 6 studies [21, 22, 25–28] stated no adverse events happened during the treatment period. 4 studies [18–20, 23] provided adequate information of the adverse events. 3 studies reported erythra as adverse event in control groups [19, 20, 29], and the erythra was considered to be anaphylactic reaction to uncertain western medicine. 1 study reported cough as an adverse event in the control group [23], and the cough could be self-remission after medicine withdrawal. 1 study reported chest tightness and heart palpitation as adverse events in the control group [18], and the reason of these adverse events was not mentioned in the last study did not mention adverse events, but there was no detailed description [24]. No

**Table 6: Continued.**

| Study population | RR (95% CI) | SMD |
|------------------|-------------|-----|
| Study population | RR (95% CI) | SMD |

The basis for the assumed risk (e.g., the median control group risk across studies) is provided. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is high quality: further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect; moderate quality: further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate; low quality: further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate; very low quality: we are very uncertain about the estimate.
significant abnormal was found in the blood routine, urine routine, liver function, and kidney function test. 1 study reported adverse events related to study drugs, and 20 cases in the experimental group and 23 cases in the control group were without a detail description [24]. This study also described arterial occlusive diseases (1 case in the control group), worsening heart failure (4 cases in the experimental group, and 7 cases in the control group), stroke (1 case in the experimental group and 1 case in the control group), lumbar fracture (1 case in the experimental group), and unknown reasons (2 cases in the experimental group and 3 cases in the control group) as serious adverse events. There was no report of any serious adverse events related to the study drugs. Three studies [21, 28, 29] reported safety with specific laboratory index, and there was no statistical significance. However, meta-analysis showed the safety of FZF was not satisfied, which needs to be improved in further studies (RR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.48 to 1.06, I² = 51.6%, Figure 9).
The studies of TCM for the treatment of HF have been carried out for quite a long while and most of them, including animal experiments, clinical trials, or pharmacological studies, have indicated the efficacy of TCM at the basis of CHFST [30–32]. Fuzi are widely used as a TCM herb in the formulae of TCM based on the essence of heart-yang deficiency of heart failure, such as various decoctions made according to the principles of TCM syndrome, injections, and Chinese patent medicines [18, 19, 24, 25, 33–37]. However, the poor methodological quality and small sample sizes prevented the author from making firm conclusions. Despite the current evidence and wide application of FZF in clinical practice, our systematic review tried to determine the efficacy and safety of FZF on heart failure through analyzing 12 high-quality RCTs with 1490 participants. The present study indicates that FZF provide statistical benefits in improving the efficacy on NYHAfc and LVEF and reducing plasma NT-proBNP level as well. Furthermore, they can also improve the patients’ prognosis and life quality and reduce the risks of patients in death and readmission for heart failure. However, despite the low strength of current evidence, the benefits were almost uncertain except the benefits for death and readmission. In addition, FZF appeared to be generally safe and well tolerated with mild adverse reactions. Although 6 reported adverse events, only 45 out of 1490 cases (3.0%) had adverse events possibly related to FZF without powerful evidence. No significant differences were found on laboratory indicators. Current evidence supported that FZF could be an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of heart failure on the basis of CHFST in improving death and readmission.

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary of Evidence. The studies of TCM for the treatment of HF have been carried out for quite a long while and most of them, including animal experiments, clinical trials, or pharmacological studies, have indicated the efficacy of TCM at the basis of CHFST [30–32]. Fuzi are widely used as a TCM herb in the formulae of TCM based on the essence of heart-yang deficiency of heart failure, such as various decoctions made according to the principles of TCM syndrome, injections, and Chinese patent medicines [18, 19, 24, 25, 33–37]. However, the poor methodological quality and small sample sizes prevented the author from making firm conclusions. Despite the current evidence and wide application of FZF in clinical practice, our systematic review tried to determine the efficacy and safety of FZF on heart failure through analyzing 12 high-quality RCTs with 1490 participants. The present study indicates that FZF provide statistical benefits in improving the efficacy on NYHAfc and LVEF and reducing plasma NT-proBNP level as well. Furthermore, they can also improve the patients’ prognosis and life quality and reduce the risks of patients in death and readmission for heart failure. However, despite the low strength of current evidence, the benefits were almost uncertain except the benefits for death and readmission. In addition, FZF appeared to be generally safe and well tolerated with mild adverse reactions. Although 6 reported adverse events, only 45 out of 1490 cases (3.0%) had adverse events possibly related to FZF without powerful evidence. No significant differences were found on laboratory indicators. Current evidence supported that FZF could be an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of heart failure on the basis of CHFST in improving death and readmission.

4.2. Limitations. There are several limitations in our primary studies as well. Firstly, the participants included were all with chronic heart failure. Therefore, the efficacy of FZF for acute heart failure are not clear. Thus, further research studies on FZF for the treatments of acute heart failure are needed. And the sample sizes of some studies were small, which might have influence on the results. Meanwhile, because all the participants in the studies came from China, the ethnic differences and regional differences were indefinite. Secondly, the components of FZF varied in producing area, species, processed methods, dosages, forms, and decocting. For the processed methods, 1 study used Heishunpian [24], 1 study used Baifupian [27], and 1 study used Fupian [22] in FZF, while the other studies used Fuzi [19, 25, 26, 28, 29], Shufuzi [18, 20, 23], and Paofuzi [21] as described. As for the decoction methods, 3 FZF needed to be decocted, 1 FZF was not described specifically [27], and only 1 study described the decoction method clearly [28]. Current studies indicate that decocting methods have some effects on the toxicity of Fuzi and different dosages may also have unknown influences uncover [38, 39]. Thirdly, although we included the high-quality RCTs according to a cumulative score of at least 4 out of 7 based on the Cochrane RoB tool domains and revised Jadad scale, the methodological details were still not adequate in some studies. Only 1 study [23] described a proper method of allocation concealment, and 6 studies [19, 20, 23, 24, 26, 29] employed the blinding procedure. Some studies were unable to be blinded, due to the fact that TCM is special in color, smell, and taste, which were difficult to be changed or covered. And most kinds of FZF were so different from...
western medicine, which cannot be ignored. Furthermore, current designs for RCTs could not meet the needs of blinding because of condition limitations. Six studies used placebo to replace FZF [19, 20, 22–24, 29], only one study described the specific composition of placebo, [20], and the capsules of placebo with similar appearance and the taste were made from lactose, dextrin, caramel, and edible pigments. One study replaced Shenfu injection (SFJ) with

| Study or subgroup | Experimental Events | Control Events | Weight (%) | Risk ratio M-H, random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| **2.5.1. deaths** |                     |                |            |                               |
| Li et al. [24]    | 4                   | 244            | 9          | 247                           | 7.1 | 0.45 [0.14, 1.44] |
| Liu et al. [20]   | 2                   | 39             | 7          | 79                            | 4.2 | 0.28 [0.06, 1.26] |
| Wang et al. [29]  | 0                   | 74             | 1          | 70                            | 0.9 | 0.32 [0.01, 7.62] |
| Zou et al. [23]   | 4                   | 71             | 15         | 73                            | 8.6 | 0.27 [0.10, 0.79] |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | 428                | 428            | 20.9       | 0.33 [0.17, 0.64] |
| Total events      | 10                 | 32             |            |                               |

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00; \text{chi}^2 = 0.44, df = 3 (P = 0.93); I^2 = 0$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.23 (P = 0.001)$

| Study or subgroup | Experimental Events | Control Events | Weight (%) | Risk ratio M-H, random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| **2.5.2. Readmission for heart failure** |                     |                |            |                               |
| Li et al. [24]    | 8                   | 244            | 16         | 247                           | 13.9 | 0.51 [0.22, 1.16] |
| Liu et al. [20]   | 9                   | 39             | 17         | 38                            | 21.2 | 0.52 [0.26, 1.01] |
| Zou et al. [23]   | 17                  | 71             | 39         | 73                            | 44.0 | 0.45 [0.28, 0.71] |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | 354                | 358            | 79.1       | 0.48 [0.34, 0.67] |
| Total events      | 34                 | 72             |            |                               |

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00; \text{chi}^2 = 0.14, df = 2 (P = 0.93); I^2 = 0$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.19 (P < 0.001)$
Test for subgroup differences: $\text{chi}^2 = 0.92, df = 1 (P = 0.34); I^2 = 0$

| Study or subgroup | Experimental Events | Control Events | Weight (%) | Risk ratio M-H, random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Huo et al. [19]   | 48                  | 1              |            | 47                            | Erythra          |
| Zou [18]          | 59                  | 1              |            | 60                            | Chest tightness and heart palpitations |
| Liu et al. [20]   | 30                  | 1              |            | 30                            | Erythra          |
| Zou et al. [23]   | 1                   | 71             |            | 73                            | Cough            |
| Li et al. [24]    | 66                  | 244            | 98         | 247                           | 98.1 | 0.69 [0.53, 0.88] |
| Wang et al. [29]  | 2                   | 78             |            | 0                             | Erythra, chills  |

AE: adverse event.

| Study or subgroup | Experimental Events | Control Events | Weight (%) | Risk ratio M-H, random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| **Huo et al. [19]** | 4                   | 48             |            | 1                             | 47              | 1.61 | 0.33 [0.01, 7.87] |
| Li et al. [24]    | 66                  | 244            | 98         | 247                           | 92.1 | 0.68 [0.53, 0.88] |
| Liu et al. [20]   | 0                   | 30             | 1          | 30                            | 1.61 | 0.33 [0.01, 7.87] |
| Wang et al. [29]  | 2                   | 78             | 0          | 79                            | 1.7 | 5.06 [0.25, 103.80] |
| Zou [18]          | 0                   | 59             | 1          | 60                            | 1.6 | 0.34 [0.01, 8.15] |
| Zou et al. [23]   | 1                   | 71             | 0          | 250                           | 1.5 | 10.46 [0.43, 253.98] |
| **Total (95% CI)** | 530                | 713            | 100.0      | 0.71 [0.48, 1.06] |
| Total events      | 69                 | 101            |            |                               |

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.03; \text{chi}^2 = 5.11, df = 5 (P = 0.40); I^2 = 2$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.67 (P = 0.09)$

**Table 7: Summary of adverse events.**
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Figure 10: Funnel plot of NYHAfc.
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Figure 11: Egger’s test of NYHAfc.

4.3. Implications for Practice. Modern pharmacological studies on Fuзи were performed (the seminal root of Aconitum carmichaelii Debx) to explain its mechanisms of actions. Fuзи was first recorded in “Shen Nong Ben Cao Jing,” which was known as one of the TCM classics for over thousands of years. The herb is good at restoring yang for resuscitation, tonifying fire, and helping yang, removing rheumatism and relieving pain because of its properties: pungent and sweet in flavor, pretty hot in nature, and extremely poisonous especially when the fresh herb was used [42]. So processed products of Fuзи with less toxic effect are commonly used nowadays. The common processed products including Yanfuзи, Heishunpian, Baifuzip, Paofuзи, Danfuзи, Shufuзи, Weifuзи, and other decocting pieces processed by ginger juice, glycyrrhiza juice, tofu, etc. The main chemical components of Fuзи are aconitum alkaloids including C-19 diterpenoid alkaloids (aconitine, mesaconitine, and hyponaconitine), C-20 diterpenoid alkaloids (songorine and songoramine), and nonalkaloids such as urical, β-sitosterol, daucosterol, and glyceryl monopalmitate. And the biological activities of Fuзи include enhancing myocardial systole, resisting inflammation, relieving pain, resisting tumor, promoting immunity, and influencing metabolism [43]. Most processed products of Fuзи could reduce its’ poisonousness, and the chemical components of Fuзи are also changed indeed. Firstly, the toxicity of aconite is significantly reduced. Because aconitum alkaloids contain ester bonds and have thermal instability, and they are hydrolyzed to form monoester alkaloids and protoalkaloids with less toxicity after boiling. Meanwhile, aconitum alkaloids are prone to pinacol rearrangement and pyro-type alkaloids under acidic and heating conditions. The toxicity of aconitum alkaloids is relatively low, and their analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects are still obvious. In addition, the number of fatty alkaloids in Fuзи increased after running and the substitution of long chain fatty acyl and acetyl groups reduced the toxicity greatly [44, 45]. In a word, the toxicity of dicarboxylic alkaloids with high toxicity is reduced, and other alkaloids with low toxicity or nontoxicity are increased, so the toxicity of processed Fuзи is greatly reduced. Furthermore, the processed products of Fuзи will not only reduce its cardiotonic, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory effects but also increase the safe dose of Fuзи [46, 47]. Although many scholars have proved that Fuзи has a cardiotonic effect on different animal models of HF with its different preparations; further research studies are still needed to clarify the nature of the ingredients of the mixture and the mechanisms of action of different processed Fuзи products.

4.4. Implications for Further Studies. At first, we suggest that the protocol of clinical trials must be registered in clinical trials registry platform such as The U.S. National Library of Medicine (clinicaltrials.gov) and The Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (http://www.chictr.org.cn). Meanwhile, CONSORT 2010 statement should be applied in trial reporting and publication in order to draw normative conclusions for further studies. Secondly, in order to facilitate more reliable comparison of study results, the clinical trials must be well designed according to international standards. The enrollment of participants should be more wide, sample size needs to be appropriately calculated, the randomization principle and allocation concealment should be implemented with more attention, and the standards of measurement results need to be as uniform as possible, as well as the test medication, in order to strengthen the evidence and make results more reliable. The type of acute heart failure should be further
studied, which could give precise evidence for clinic. Thirdly, Fuzi, Renshen, Danshen, Fuling, Huangqi, and Tinglizi were the most frequently used herbs in treating HF, which should be considered firstly when formulating optimal formula. Finally, there are large spaces on the exact pathomechanism of migraine, and the pharmacological mechanism of Fuzi remains largely unknown, which should be further investigated.

5. Conclusion

The efficacy and additional benefits of FZF for CCEs were certain according to the high-quality evidence assessed through GRADE. However, the efficacy and additional benefits for the other outcomes were uncertain based on current studies. Furthermore, the safety assessment has a great room for improvement. Thus, further researches are needed to find more convincing proof.
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Supplementary Materials

Appendix 1: the search strategy of PubMed was as follows: #1 Search ((((((((((((((Heart failure[Title/Abstract]) OR Cardiac Failure[Title/Abstract]) OR Heart Decompensation [Title/Abstract]) OR Decompensation, Heart[Title/Abstract]) OR Heart Failure, Right-Sided[Title/Abstract]) OR Heart Failure, Right Sided[Title/Abstract]) OR Right-Sided Heart Failure[Title/Abstract]) OR Right Sided Heart Failure [Title/Abstract]) OR Myocardial Failure[Title/Abstract]) OR Congestive Heart Failure[Title/Abstract]) OR Heart Failure, Congestive[Title/Abstract]) OR Heart Failure, Left-Sided [Title/Abstract]) OR Heart Failure, Left-Sided[Title/Abstract]) OR Left-Sided Heart Failure[Title/Abstract]) OR Left Sided Heart Failure [Title/Abstract]) #2 Search (((((((((Traditional Chinese medicine[Title/Abstract]) OR Chung I Hsueh[Title/Abstract]) OR Hsueh, Chung I [Title/Abstract]) OR Traditional Medicine, Chinese[Title/Abstract]) OR Zhong Yi Xue[Title/Abstract]) OR Chinese Traditional Medicine, Traditional[Title/Abstract]) OR Chinese Medicine, Traditional[Title/Abstract]) OR Tongue Diagnoses, Traditional [Title/Abstract]) OR Tongue Diagnosis, Traditional [Title/Abstract]) OR Traditional Tongue Diagnoses[Title/Abstract]) OR Traditional Tongue Assessment[Title/Abstract]) OR Tongue Assessment, Traditional [Title/Abstract]) OR Traditional Tongue Assessments[Title/Abstract]) #1 AND #2. Appendix 2: Step 1: design excel for each outcome. Step 2: import data form included trails into excel. (Supplementary Materials)
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