Evaluation, comparison of different solvent extraction, cell disruption methods and hydrothermal liquefaction of *Oedogonium* macroalgae for biofuel production
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**A B S T R A C T**

Cell disruption and lipid extraction methods for macroalgae are not well reported. Therefore, we compared various lipid extraction methods and extraction efficiency of various solvents to improve lipid yields from *Oedogonium* fresh water macroalgae. Lipid extraction was done by 2 methods viz., modified Bligh and Dyer method and soxhlet extraction using either single solvents or mixtures. In soxhlet extraction method five solvents were used (1) Hexane commonly used solvent for lipid extractions, (2) chloroform: methanol (2:1), (3) Chloroform: hexane (1:1), (4) Chloroform: hexane (1:2), (5) Dichloromethane + methanol (2:1). To improve lipid extraction yields, various cell disruption methods were also compared during the present study. Impurities of chlorophyll and protein were also detected in the extracted lipids. Hydrothermal liquefaction of algal biomass with TiO\(_2\) was also conducted at 300 °C. HTL was more effective by which 23.3 % of bio-crude oil was obtained.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/].

1. Introduction

Increase in population is linked to the rate of exploration of energy conventional fuels. A serious attempt is needed to search for viable alternatives of conventional fuels in the form of renewable energy sources. Algal biomass depends on species rich in useful compounds like protein, carbohydrate and lipid. This algal biomass can be used as sustainable bioenergy resource to meet the futuristic demands of fuel [1].

Algal cell walls are diverse in nature in terms of molecular component, linkages and overall structure [2] The algal cell wall comprises of two important components, (i) an organized microfibrillar structure which serves as framework of the cell wall and (ii) the gel like protein matrix within which the fibrillar component is fixed firmly, thus providing the structural integrity [3]. In addition to the cell wall, some microalgae have an external inorganic covering composed of silica frustules and calcium carbonate [4] making it more resistant towards cell disruption. Interestingly, the cell wall of microalgae alters significantly under different environmental conditions such as nutrient depletion, light fluctuations, salt and heavy metal stress, hampering the recovery of intracellular lipids [5,3]. To date, various potential microalgal species have been reported to accumulate high lipid content intracellularly, but only a few commercially important species (*Chlamydomonas* sp., *Chlorella* sp., *Haematococcus* sp., and *Nannochloropsis* sp.) are the most extensively explored microalgae because of their prominent relevance in field of biotechnology and bioenergy [6]. The basic composition of algal cells comprises of cellulotic; a polymer of β 1,4 linked D-glucose units in nature. However, the chlorophycean green algae have cell walls varying from cellulose pectin complexes to hydroxyproline rich glycoproteins respectively [7]. Polysaccharides of algal cell wall comprises of different polymers such as, hemicelluloses, chitin, pectins, fucans, alginates and carrageens which make them distinct from each other [8,9].

The cell wall of the unicellular microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* encompasses a network of fibrils and glycoproteins, mainly comprising of hydroxyproline (Hyp)-rich glycoproteins (HRGs) arranged in five distinct layers, with extended oligosaccharides side chain on them [10]. Structural analysis of cell walls of *C. reinhardtii* and *C. gymnogama* elucidated arabinose, glucose and galactose as the main sugar components bound to HRGs [11].
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These conserved Hyp-rich sequences in *Chlamydomonas* adds to the strength of the cell wall, as these sequences allow the protein molecules to acquire the polyprolines dominant conformations resulting in more stable form when glycosylated [12]. On the other hand, the cell wall of *Chlorella* consists of trilaminar layer having an outer covering of sporopollenin which is the main component leading to its toughness (REF). Beneath the outer layer, heterogeneous secondary wall is rich in mannose and glucosamine [13]. Interspecies variation has been reported in *Chlorella* depending upon the different growth conditions [6]. For example, an enhanced proportion of uronic acid and amino sugars associated with reduction in neutral sugars were reported in CO₂ enriched conditions (2% CO₂) [14].

Additionally, the polysaccharides in cell wall of marine alga such as *Nannocloropsis* sp, generally exist in sulphated form [15]. A bilayer structure composed of an outer layer made up of hydrophobic algaenan, covering the inner cellulosic layer contributes to the recalcitrant nature of the cell wall of *Nannocloropsis* [16]. Disrupting the cellular wall of algae allows for easier recovery of the intracellular lipids resulting in rapid and increased efficiencies in lipid extraction. The summary and comparison of cell disruption methods reported for lipid extraction from algae have been listed in Table 1. Lee et al. [17] reported that for microalgae of *Botryococcus* sp., *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Scedesmus* sp the microwave treatment was best cell disruption method. Cell disruption method depends on the microorganism on which one is working, therefore, one cannot generalize the results obtained from one species to others [18].

For algal biomass there is a new promising alternative processes for biocrude oil production called as Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL). HTL is an oxygen-free thermochemical process which directly converts the wet biomass into biofuels, carried out at temperatures (200–400 °C), and high pressure (6–15 MPa) respectively [19]. In this process cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin is converted into four phases namely biocrude oil, aqueous phase, solid residue and gaseous products [20].

Previous studies have reported the maximum yield of bio-oil using HTL process at 300 °C [21,22]. Catalyst plays a crucial role to maximize the crude oil production and increases the conversion rate of feedstock in biocrude oil [23,24]. Titanium Oxide (TiO₂) is mainly used as catalyst due to its high thermostability [25]. Different concentrations of catalyst have been reported by different researchers in their studies, but using 10% catalyst ratio to feed stock has been reported to give the maximum conversion rate of feedstock to crude oil by HTL [26,27].

Small numbers of studies have reported the potential of macroalgae for biofuels production and majority of algae research is concentrated on microalgae [28]. Microalgae form dense floating mats on the water surface which make cost-efficient harvesting as compared to microalgae [29]. In our previous study we have extracted and blended macroalgae biodiesel with butanol-diesel fuel which resulted in good efficiency and exhaust emissions characteristics [30].

Genus *Oedogonium* is a filamentous macroalgae that are one cell thick. The cells are cylindrical and reproduce sexually and asexually. They are either free-floating or attached to other microorganisms like bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and sessile animals, altogether called *periphyton*. It has a biochemical composition suitable for a range of biomass applications [31–32]. The choice of efficient lipid extraction method is an important step towards commercial fuel production from macro algal species. Our key target species for this study was genus *Oedogonium*, a filamentous macroalgae that is an appropriate biofuels feedstock [33].

The present study focuses on two main objectives, which are as listed as follows: First, different cell disruptions and solvent extraction methods have been investigated and second was hydrothermal liquefaction of algal biomass with TiO₂. However, to the best of our knowledge, it has not been reported the most efficient lipid extraction method from *Oedogonium* macroalgae.

---

**Table 1**

Comparison of different cell disruption methods.

| Cell Disruption method | Most Efficient method | Algae | % lipid Extracted | Reference |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------|
| Autoclaving            | Microwaves            | *Microalgae* | 11 | [17] |
| Bead beating           |                       | *Chlorella vulgaris* |   |           |
| Microwaves             | Sonication            | *Microalgae* | 18.2 | [52] |
| Osmotic shock          | Sonication            | *Nostoc sp.* |   |           |
| Grinding               | Grinding              | *Microalgae* | 29 | [18] |
| Bead milling           |                       | *Chlorella vulgaris* |   |           |
| Enzymatic lysis        | Microwaves            | *Microalgae* | 48.7 | [35] |
| Grinding               | Osmotic shock         | *Schizochytrium* sp. S31 | 48.7 | [35] |
| Bead vortexing         | Sonication            | *Microalgae* | 34.5 | [69] |
| Osmotic shock          | Ultrasoundation        | *Schizochytrium* sp. S31 | 90.8 | [70] |
| Water bath             | Ultrasoundation        | *Microalgae* |   |           |
| Sonication             | Osmotic Shock         | *Scedesmus* sp. |   |           |
| Shake mill             |                       | *Microalgae* |   |           |
| Sonication             | French press homogenisation | *Oedogonium* | 16.3 | Current Study |
| Grinding               | Ultrasoundation        | *Macroalgae* |   |           |
| Bead milling           | Osmotic Shock         | *Oedogonium* |   |           |
| Enzymatic lysis        |                       | *Oedogonium* |   |           |

---
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Oedogonium algae was collected from the fresh water rivers in Dehradun, India. All solvents and reagents used in this study were HPLC grade.

2.2. Identification and sample preparation

Oedogonium was identified based on the morphological characteristics. The algae was examined under compound light microscope to study their morphological characteristics and each sample was identified to species level using taxonomic keys. Sample was prepared by drying the wet algal biomass at 40°C.

2.3. Isolation of lipid

In order to improve lipid productivity from macroalgae, lipid was extracted by two different methods. First soxhlet extraction was performed with different solvents and the lipids were estimated by gravimetric method. The second method used was the modified E.G. Bligh and W.J. Dyer method [34] (Fig. 1).

2.3.1. Modified Bligh and Dyer method

Total lipids were extracted by modified E.G. Bligh and W.J. Dyer method [34]. 100 g of fine powder of algal biomass was treated with 250 ml of Chloroform: methanol (2:1 Ratio) in conical flask. Conical flask was shaken vigorously (200 rpm) on a rotary shaker for 30 min followed by a 10 min stop and then shaken vigorously for 20 min. The conical flask was kept at room temperature overnight where the supernatant (lipid) would separate from the remaining residue of the algae.

2.3.2. Soxhlet extraction

The soxhlet extraction was implemented with 100 g biomass (small pieces 2–3 cm) on a soxhlet system for 6 h of extraction process with 250 ml of solvents.

2.3.2.1. Solvents used. Lipid extraction was done using five different solvents (1) Hexane commonly used solvent for lipid extractions, less toxic than other solvents (2) Chloroform: methanol (2:1) (Standard solvent, mainly used in lipid extraction) (3) Chloroform: hexane (1:1) (Extract total lipids), (4) Chloroform: hexane (1:2), (5) Dichloromethane: methanol (2:1) as used by R. Byreddy et al., [35].

---

![Fig. 1. Brief overview of lipid extraction methods used.](image-url)
2.4. Different Cell Disruption methods used for lipid extraction

Small pieces (2–3 cm) of algae biomass was disrupted by four different cell disruption methods (Fig. 1). Lipid content (% w/w) was measured using the following equation:

\[
LC = \frac{TLC}{CDW}
\]

Where \( LC \) was the lipid content (% w/w), and TLC and CDW were the total lipid concentration (g/L) and the cell dry weight concentration (g/L), respectively.

2.4.1. Osmotic shock

100 g of algae biomass was treated with 250 ml of 10% NaCl solution and vortexed for 2 min. The contents were further incubated for 48 h at room temperature, followed by soxhlet extraction.

2.4.2. Water bath

100 g of algal biomass was added to 250 ml of water in a beaker and placed in preheated water bath. Sample was kept in water bath for 30 min at 90 °C, followed by soxhlet extraction.

2.4.3. Shake mill

100 g of algal biomass was mixed with 250 ml solvent in a conical flask. Further glass beads (0.4–0.6 mm) were added to the beaker in the ratio of 3:1 (Biomass: Glass Bead) and kept in a shake mill (1060 cycle/min) for 30 min, followed by soxhlet extraction.

2.4.4. Triton X-100

100 g of algal biomass was suspended in 250 ml of 2% triton X-100 for 12 h, followed by soxhlet extraction.

2.5. Detection of triacylglycerols (TAGs)

For triacylglycerols (TAGs) detection, 5 μL of isolated lipid sample was spotted on 0.25-mm-thick silica gel and visualized with methanolic MnCl₂ solution [36].

2.6. Biochemical analysis

Pigments were also present in crude oil extracted with different extraction methods. For the photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll \( a \), chlorophyll \( b \), and carotenoids) estimation absorbance were taken at 665.2, 652.4, 470 and 750 nm. Amount of pigments were determined using the following equations given by H.K. Lichtenhaller [37]:

Chlorophyll \( a \) (Chl \( a \); μg/mL) = 16.72 A665.2 – 9.16 A652.4

Chlorophyll \( b \) (Chl \( b \); μg/mL) = 34.09 A652.4 – 15.28 A652.4

Carotenoids (μg/mL) = (1000 A470 – (1.63 Chl \( a \) – 104.9 Chl \( b \)))/221

Total protein extracted with crude algae oil was estimated by Lowery method [38]. The carbohydrate content of lipid extracted algal biomass was isolated by 5% \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) [39] and estimated by phenol sulphuric acid method [40].

2.7. Analysis of fatty acid profile and biodiesel quality by GC

Transesterification of extracted lipid with different methods was done according to Hossain and Salleh [41] method, in briefly 0.25 g NaOH was mixed with 24 ml methanol as a catalyst. Then solution of catalyst and methanol was mixed with algal oil in a Teflon-coated screw-cap tube. The mixture was kept in a water bath at 60 °C for 2 h with gentle shaking. The mixture was followed by addition of n-hexane (2 ml) and water (1 ml). The FAME (fatty acid methyl ester) was collected in the n-hexane. FAMEs analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectroscopy (GC–MS; Agilent technologies,USA) under operating conditions that have previously been reported by V. Kumar et al. method [36].

2.8. Analysis of biodiesel physical properties

Important parameters of biodiesel were determined by different empirical formulas given below [42–45]:

Acid value = {Volume of KOH x Normality of KOH \times Eq. wt \times 1000} / Weight of Oil sample

\[
\text{Saponification value} = \sum 560 \, (\% \, \text{FA}) / \text{Mi}
\]

Iodine value: (Titer value of blank-titer value of oil samples) ml \times 0.01269 × 100 / Weight of sample (g)

Specific gravity: Density of object/ Density of pure water

Long-chain saturation factor = (0.1 * C16) + (0.5 * C18)

Cold filter plugging point = (3.417 * LCF) – 16.477

Cetane number (CN) = (46.3 + 5458) / SV – (0.255 * IV)

High heating value (HHV; Mj/Kg) = 49.43 – 0.041 (SV) – 0.015 (IV)

Pensky-Martens closed cup tester was used for the analysis of two important factors which were fire and flash point.

2.9. Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) process

For Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) of algal biomass, 100 ml reactor was used which operated in a batch mode. 20 g of algal biomass was added in 50 ml of distilled water. To the above added 2 g of TiO₂ as catalyst. The reaction conditions were selected based on modified method given by S. Karagoz et al. [46]. The reactor was heated up to 300 °C and pressure 4 MPa with heating rate 5 °C/min for 30 min. After heating the reactor was cooled at room temperature and water phase and solid mixture was separated from each other. Bio-crude oil from solid mixture was extracted using soxhlet extraction apparatus (6 h) with acetone (150 ml) as solvent. After extraction acetone was recovered at 60 °C. The extracted oil phase was weighed and marked as bio-crude oil 1. Water phase was treated with treated with 50 ml of diethyl ether. Diethyl ether was evaporated in a rotary evaporator and remaining fraction was weighted and marked as bio-crude oil 2. Bio-crude oil 1 was mixed with bio-crude oil 2 for calculation of total bio-crude oil (wt%).

Bio-crude oil (wt%) = bio-oil/ algal biomass × 100%

To determine the composition of biocrude oil GC–MS (Clarus 500, Perkin Elmer) analysis was done. In GC–MS varian DB-5 column was used with helium as the carrier gas (1 ml/min). The
temperature was ramped to 250 °C and 320 °C. The mass transfer line and ion source were set at 250 and 320 °C, respectively. The components of crude oil were determined with electron ionization (70 eV) in scan mode (20–650 m/z) [46].

2.10. Statistical analysis

In this study, all experiments were conducted in triplicates. The data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Microsoft Office Excel 2016, with p-values of <0.05 being regarded as significant.

3. Results and discussion

In the present study the wet algal biomass was dried at 40°C. It is significant correct drying temperature, which eliminates its negative impact on biomass quality [47]. E.N. Frankel [48] has reported that the drying temperature has a significant effect on lipid content of biomass. In another study conducted by A. Piasecka et al. [49] have reported that a rapid fall in the lipid contents with increasing drying temperature.

3.1. Lipid extraction by different solvents

Lipid extraction was done by different organic solvents for identification of a suitable solvent for maximum lipid extraction. The lipid extracted by soxhlet extraction method displayed a difference in extraction efficiency of hexane and other solvents as given in the Fig. 2. Chloroform: methanol (2:1) showed maximum lipid extraction 14% (DW), followed by 12.5% in hexane (2:1) and dichloromethane: methanol (2:1) by soxhlet extraction. In terms of lipid extraction, the order of extraction efficiency could be ranked as Chl:Met (2:1) > Hexane > Dic:Met (2:1) > Chl:Hex (1:2). Modified Bligh and Dyer extraction with solvent Chloroform: methanol (2:1) showed 11.5% (% DW) lipid. The present findings also supported the results obtained by Y. Shen et al., [50] who have reported that hexane extract more lipids as compared to combination of solvents from algal biomass. In another study R. Byreddy et al. [35], have reported that among the single organic solvents, hexane extracted more lipids from biomass. Their findings using the combination of solvents contradicts our conclusion, that chloroform:methanol (2:1) give the maximum yield of lipid from Schizochytrium sp. F. Shahidi and P.K. Wanasundara [51] have reported that hexane has low compatibility towards contamination and is more suitable for neutral lipid extraction.

3.2. Comparison of cell disruption methods

In the present study four different methods of cell disruption were evaluated in order to increase the lipid productivity in Oedogonium macroalgae. The efficiency of each cell disruption method was determined using percentages of lipid contents (Fig. 3). Different cell disruption methods used in the study were able to disrupt macroalgae cells, although lipid yields varied with methods. A maximum lipid yield of 16.3% was recorded by disrupting the macroalgae cells using Osmotic Shock and hexane as a solvent. Shake mill, water bath, and triton X-100 treatments extracted 14.3%, 12.4%, and 11% of lipids, respectively. The advantages and disadvantages of different cell disruption methods used in this study are summarized in Table 2. R. Byreddy et al. [35] have reported osmotic shock as an effective method for extracting lipids from microalgae. In another study carried on Chlorella sp. also osmotic shock has been reported as an effective method for extracting lipids [52]. Available literature suggests that the efficiency of different cell disruption methods in improving lipid extraction varies for different algae species.

![Fig. 2. Comparison of lipid recovery by Soxhlet extraction utilizing different solvents. Chlo:Met (2:1) (S)- Chloroform: methanol (2:1) in Soxhlet extraction, Hexane (S)- Hexane used in Soxhlet extraction, Chl:hex (2:1) (S)- Chloroform: hexane (1:2) in Soxhlet extraction, Chl:met (2:1) (S)- Dichloromethane+methanol (2:1) in Soxhlet extraction, Chl:eth (2:1) (B:D)-Chloroform: methanol (1:2) in Bligh and Dyer extraction with modification. Data are mean ± S.D. for three independent replicates (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).](image)

![Fig. 3. Effect of different cell disruption methods on lipid extraction from Oedogonium (hexane as solvent). Data are mean ± S.D. for three independent replicates (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).](image)

### Table 2

| Cell disruption methods | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Shake mill              | - Well known method  
- Easily used at lab scale | - Energy required |
| Osmotic shock           | - Easier scale-up  
- Cheap method | - Waste salt water |
| Water bath              | - Maximum disruption  
- Easily used at lab scale | - Energy required  
- Only saturated fatty acids obtained (C14 and C16) |
| Triton X-100            | - Concentration effects on membrane permeability  
- Idly used nonionic surfactants for lysing cells to extract protein and other cellular organelles | - Damage cell  
- Damage chemical structure of molecules  
- Only one type of saturated fatty acid was obtained (C16) |
3.3. Effects of different solvents and cell disruption methods on biochemical composition of isolated lipids

During the present study protein content as impurity of isolated algae oil was high (0.8%) with chloroform: methanol (2:1) as solvent using modified E.G. Bligh and W.J. Dyer method [34]. Effect of different solvents and cell disruption on protein impurity is given in Figs. 2 & 3. Using soxhlet extraction maximum impurity of protein (0.7%) was recorded with chloroform: methanol (2:1) as solvent. Protein impurities of 0.6% were recorded with Triton X-100 and exane as solvent. A. J. Cole et al., [29] have reported high protein content (18%) from the macroalgae Oedogonium [53,54,55].

M. Bahmnaei et al [56] have reported that high levels of chlorophyll like compounds are mainly found in lipid extracts from plants. Effect of cell disruption on chlorophyll yield is shown in Table 3. Highest yield was recorded using water bath (Chl a + Chl b 14.02 µg/ml) while lowest yield was recorded was with osmotic shock (Chl a + Chl b 1.39 µg/ml). Cell disruption methods play important role in diffusion of algae proteins and pigments in the aqueous phase [57]. For carbohydrate extraction, lipid extracted algae biomass was treated with 5% H2SO4. During the present study 35.4% of carbohydrate content was recorded from Oedogonium. Green macroalgae have high content of carbohydrates in the form of cellulose and starch [58].

3.4. Fatty acid profile and characterization of biodiesel

Algal cells synthesize different types of lipids which are neutral lipids, glycolipids and phospholipids to perform different metabolic functions. Triacylglycerol (neutral lipids) is the main lipid stored in algal cells used to produce biodiesel. TLC is the cost effective and best method to detect all the class of lipids extracted by different methods. Results showed that by using different extraction methods variation in lipid productivity and composition is obtained (Fig. 3). GC–MS analysis of FAMEs by different cell disruption methods showed hexadecanoic acid (C-16:0) methyl ester as major fatty acids (52–62%) obtained in the present study. Other fatty acids i.e., myristic acid (C14:0), methyl ester (C16:0), stearic acid (C18:0) and leic acid (18:1) were also detected in lower amount using shake mill and osmotic shock methods. With cell disruption using Triton X-100, only Hexadecanoic acid (C16:0) and methyl ester (58%) were obtained. S.G. Mushraff et al., [59] have reported hexadecanoic acid (C-16:0) and methyl ester, ranging from 29 to 61% from S. quadricauda, S. accuminatus, Nanochloropsis sp., Anabaena sp., Chlorella sp. and Oscillatoria sp.

Bligh and Dyer extraction yielded tridecanoic Acid (C13:0), dodecanoate (C12:0), tetradecanate (C14:0), palmitic acid (C16:0), stearic acid (C18:0), oleic acid (18:1), linoleic acid (C18:2), heptacosanoic Acid (C27:1) and triacontanoic Acid (30:0) as major fatty acids. Using soxhlet extraction lower yields of unsaturated fatty acids were obtained. This may be due to thermo-degradation of long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids during soxhlet extraction [60]. Fatty acids C16, C18:1 and C18:2 are normally treated as the major components microalgial biodiesel [61]. E. Ryckeboosch et al. [62], has reported that main properties of biodiesel depend on the length and unsaturation of FAMEs [62]. The extraction of long chain unsaturated fatty acids were significantly dependent on the extraction method [63]. Important parameters of biodiesel production are summarized in Table 4. High fire point was reported in diesel obtained after water bath pretreatment method. While high cetane number was reported in Triton X-100.

### Table 3
Effects of cell disruption methods on Oedogonium algae pigments (hexane as solvent).

| Cell Disruption method | Chl a* (µg/ml) | Chl b** (µg/ml) | Car*** (µg/ml) | Chl a + Chl b | Chl c/Chl b | Car/ Chl a + Chl b |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Shake mill             | 3.77 ± 0.02    | 1.59 ± 0.04    | 5.18 ± 0.02    | 5.36 ± 0.04  | 2.37 ± 0.01  | 0.96 ± 0.01      |
| Water bath             | 11.19 ± 0.05   | 2.837 ± 0.01   | 6.55 ± 0.03    | 14.02 ± 0.05 | 3.94 ± 0.03  | 0.46 ± 0.03      |
| Osmotic shock          | 0.94 ± 0.02    | 0.45 ± 0.01    | 1.258 ± 0.02   | 1.39 ± 0.03  | 0.208 ± 0.01 | 0.08 ± 0.02      |
| Triton x 100           | 2.41 ± 0.03    | 7.70 ± 0.03    | 2.01 ± 0.01    | 10.11 ± 0.02 | 0.312 ± 0.02 | 0.19 ± 0.01      |
| Bligh and Dyer         | 1.01 ± 0.02    | 2.69 ± 0.01    | 0.65 ± 0.02    | 3.70 ± 0.01  | 0.375 ± 0.03 | 0.175 ± 0.01     |

Note: Data values are average of two independent experiments with p < 0.05.

### Table 4
Comparison of physical properties of different FAMEs obtained from Oedogonium with plant oil methyl esters (JPE, PME) and commercial biodiesel.

| Physical properties | Plant oil methyl esters | Different cell disruption methods | Bligh and Dyer | Commercial biodiesel |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
|                     | JME | PME | Shake mill | Water bath | Osmotic shock | Triton x 100 |                      |
| Saponification      | 187 | 49.56 | 144.63 | 100.16 | 106.82 | 83.86 | 170.24 |                      |
| Iodine value         | 54  | 61   | 40.21 | 36 | 38 | 20.47 | 42.72 | 130 |                      |
| Specific gravity (g/L–1) | 0.766 | 0.784 | 0.770 | 0.824 | 0.873 | – | – | 0.50 |                      |
| Acid Value mg KOH g–1 | – | – | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 11.22 | 2.8 | – | – |                      |
| Flash point          | 180 | – | 45 | 39 | 46 | 35 | 45 | 35 | – |                      |
| Fire point           | 256 | – | 40 | 53 | 52 | 42 | 50 | – | – |                      |
| Cetane Value         | 40  | – | 40.94 | 60.50 | 56.66 | 69.99 | 37.44 | 47 | – | – |                      |
| Heating Value        | –   | – | 42.00 | 44.17 | 43.84 | 45.22 | 42.11 | – | – | – |                      |
| Long chain saturation factor (% wrt) | – | – | 6.7 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 3.2 | – | – | – |                      |
| Cold flow plugging property (+ °C) | –2 | 13 | 6.4 | 3.68 | 2.65 | 4.70 | –5.54 | –5 | – | – |                      |

- No standard limit designated by biodiesel standards, JPE=Jatropha methyl ester, PME=Palm oil methyl ester.
3.5. Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL)

After HTL of algal biomass, 23.3% bio-crude oil at 300°C with TiO2 was obtained. Z. Zhu et al., [64] reported the maximum yield of bio-crude oil 34.9 w/w% at 300°C from barley straw. N. Neveux et al. [33], reported highest yield of bio-crude oil 26.2 w/w% from Oedogonium macroalgae at temperature 350°C. Presence of catalyst during HTL leads to increase in bio-crude oil yield and decrease in the biocrude yield. Six main compounds 3-Pent-en-2-one, 4-methyl, 2-Pentadecanone, 6,10,14-trimethyl, n-Hexadecanoic acid, Pentadecanoic acid and Phytol were analyzed by GC–MS. The compounds such as amides, fatty acids acid, phenols, alkanes, ketones and alkenes were considered as main components of biocrude oil obtained by HTL of algal biomass [66]. Amines and amides were produced due to the conversion of algal protein [67]. Ketones and phenols were produced during HTL process from algal carbohydrates [68].

4. Conclusion

The findings of the present study displayed variation in percentage of saturated fatty acid yield with different cell disruption methods. Using modified Bligh and Dyer extraction varying amounts of different saturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids were obtained in the extracted oil. Whereas with soxhlet extraction only saturated fatty acids and monounsaturated fatty acids were obtained. However, the total fatty acid yield was recorded more with soxhlet extraction than modified Bligh and Dyer extraction. Impurities of chlorophyll and protein were also detected in extracted lipids by different extraction methods. Highest yield (23.3%) of bio-crude oil was obtained by HTL method.
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