Using a combination of first-principles theory and experiments, we provide a quantitative explanation for chemical contributions to surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for a well-studied organic molecule, benzene thiol, chemisorbed on planar Au(111) surfaces. With density functional theory calculations of the static Raman tensor, we demonstrate and quantify a strong mode-dependent modification of benzene thiol Raman spectra by Au substrates. Raman active modes with the largest enhancements result from stronger contributions from Au to their electron-vibron coupling, as quantified through a deformation potential, a well-defined property of each vibrational mode. A straightforward and general analysis is introduced that allows extraction of chemical enhancement from experiments for specific vibrational modes; measured values are in excellent agreement with our calculations.

The ability to detect and characterize chemical species at the single-molecule level requires probes at the limits of present experimental resolution, and is a fundamental challenge to nanoscience. Since its discovery over three decades ago, surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) has shown significant promise for sensing individual molecules adsorbed near metal nanostructures or substrates with nanoscale roughness[1–3]. In SERS, the conversion of incident light into surface plasmons near asperities on metal surfaces, combined with chemical and resonant effects, has been reported to yield Raman cross sections increased by factors of up to $10^{14}$, enabling single-molecule detection [4–9]. While the enhancement associated with surface plasmons can reach $10^{8}$ [10], remaining increases and associated changes in mode frequencies have been reported to arise from chemical adsorption [4, 11–14], as well as resonant intra-molecular and metal-molecule charge transfer [17–19]. Despite a wealth of prior theoretical studies, these chemical enhancement (CE) mechanisms have remained poorly understood and difficult to quantify [10, 12–14]. While for some vibrational modes CE is estimated [4, 11, 12, 20] to be about 10-100, there is currently no clear picture for why certain modes are enhanced more than others. Previous theoretical studies have proposed mechanisms for this mode dependence [14, 19], but none of these models have been validated by experiments or more rigorous first-principles calculations, leaving the origin of dominant chemical contributions to SERS an open question [1, 12, 14].

In this Letter, we use a combination of first-principles calculations and experiment to demonstrate the origin of chemical contributions to SERS for benzene thiol (BT) molecules chemisorbed to Au surfaces. From our density functional theory (DFT) calculations of static contributions to the Raman tensor, we elucidate the vibrational mode dependence [4, 12, 14] of the chemical enhancement, explicitly relating modes with the largest CE to those with the greatest mode-induced shift of the molecular frontier orbital energy, as quantified through a deformation potential. Relative CE of BT vibrational modes measured at different probe frequencies agree quantitatively with our static calculations for all binding sites considered. While the magnitude of CE for BT on Au is sensitive to binding motif, its relative value is not.
adsorbate-Au substrate system with an ordered monolayer of one BT molecule per nm$^2$ bonded to a flat periodic Au(111) slab. Our supercell consists of 5 atomic layers of Au stacked along [111] with 16 atoms per layer, with 30 Å of vacuum. The forces of the three upper layers and molecule are well converged to less than 1 meV/Å. The in-plane lattice parameters are kept fixed to their computed Au fcc bulk value of 4.17 Å. A 400 eV plane-wave cutoff and 2x2x1 Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh is used for calculations involving Au slabs. Four binding geometries are considered, as shown in Fig. 1b: fcc hollow (E$^B_{BT}$=0.219 eV), adatom (E$^B_{BT}$=0.446 eV), hydrogenated adatom (E$^B_{BT}$=0.795 eV), and bridge (E$^B_{BT}$=0.192 eV), where E$^B_{BT}$ is the calculated binding energy relative to a free Au surface and gas-phase C$_6$H$_5$SH in the dilute limit. The gas-phase BT molecule is simulated in the same large supercell as the slab geometry, but using the Γ point only.

Static Raman tensors are constructed mode-by-mode using a finite-differences approach, in two steps. First, the dynamical matrix of the system is generated by displacing each atom along each Cartesian direction by 0.03 Å. Vibrational frequencies and corresponding phonon eigenvectors are obtained by diagonalization of a truncated dynamical matrix treating only BT atoms, and Au atoms directly bonded with sulfur. (The remainder of Au atoms is treated in an infinite mass approximation.) Second, we compute the static polarizability within a second-order finite-difference expression using a saw-tooth potential with a gradient of 1 mV/Å, and compute its derivative as a function of the amplitude for each vibrational eigenmode. (See Supplementary Information for additional details.) Throughout the paper, all modes are labeled with gas-phase frequencies for simplicity.

In Fig. 1a, we report Raman cross sections calculated from the dominant (non-evanescent) component of the Raman tensor, $R_{zz}$, where $z$ is the normal to the surface. Prominent Raman peaks in the computed spectra agree well with experiment[23]. Upon adsorption, BT vibrational frequencies are altered on average by 10-20 cm$^{-1}$, in agreement with experiments (Supplementary Information). From Fig. 1a, the presence of the Au substrate enhances Raman cross sections for some modes more than for others. The intensities of modes with larger enhancements Raman cross sections for some modes more than (Fig.2b): The peak Kohn-Sham HOMO energy $E_{HOMO}$ shifts noticeably relative to the Fermi level $E_F$ with the 1100 cm$^{-1}$ mode, whereas the 3151 cm$^{-1}$ mode leaves the HOMO peak unchanged. Examining all modes, we find that modes that show larger enhancements induce a larger polarization response in the substrate (and larger shift in $E_F$-$E_{HOMO}$).

To rationalize our DFT results, we compare a model expression for the static polarizability and Raman tensor with our more rigorous first-principles calculations. We consider a single (dominant) term in an approximate single-particle form for the ground state electronic polarizability, one that includes just a lone virtual transition between the HOMO, $\varphi_{HOMO}$, and a metallic state at the Au Fermi level, $\phi_{Au}$. Within this two-state approximation, an adsorbate-metal interfacial contribution to the Raman tensor $R_{BT-Au}$ can be expressed as[13][14]

$$R_{BT-Au} = \frac{\partial \alpha_{BT-Au}}{\partial \omega} \approx \frac{1}{\omega_H} \frac{\partial M_i^* M_j}{\partial \omega} = \frac{M_i^* M_j}{\omega_H} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial Q_n}$$

where $M_i = \langle \varphi_{HOMO} | \mu_i | \phi_{Au} \rangle$ is a dipole matrix element in the Cartesian direction $i$, and $\omega = E_{Fermi} - E_{HOMO}$ is the difference between their eigenvalues. For modes that are not also IR-active, terms involving derivatives of induced dipole moments can be neglected, and Eq. (1) reduces to a term proportional to $\frac{1}{\omega_H^2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial Q_n}$. As noted previously[14], the $\omega_H^2$ factor in the denomina-

---

**FIG. 2:** (A) Computed isosurfaces (at 1.65 x 10$^{-4}$ e/Å$^3$) of charge density induced by freezing-in small amplitudes (0.1 Å) of the 1100 cm$^{-1}$ and 3151 cm$^{-1}$ modes. For both modes, gold atoms are stationary, and charge rearrangement in the substrate is induced by the molecule. (B) Partial densities of states projected on the molecule near the Au Fermi energy for three different amplitudes. By inspection of the wavefunctions, the peak is the BT HOMO.
energy. (b) Difference molecule for different binding sites shown about the Au Fermi
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However, this ω_f^2 factor is the same for all modes, and cannot explain the mode-dependence of CE computed in Fig. 1a.

The strong modification of the BT Raman spectra by the Au substrate can be explained via computation of a deformation potential, ∂ω_H/∂Q_n, for each mode, i.e. the change in molecular electronic level alignment relative to the metallic Fermi level induced by a particular vibration mode. In Fig. 3b, we plot the difference R^Adatom_R^Adatom+H against ∂ω_H/∂Q_n. Because the Kohn-Sham HOMO level of the adatom+H geometry is much further from the Fermi energy than for the adatom binding site (see Fig. 3a), ω_H is large, and the adatom+H geometry has a negligible interfacial contribution. Thus, to an excellent approximation, taking the difference R^Adatom_R^Adatom+H removes intramolecular contributions to the Raman tensor unrelated to Eq. (1). Indeed, in Fig. 3b, we find a remarkable correlation between the interface contribution to the deformation potential and enhancement for most of the vibrational modes. The modes that deviate from the linear trend at 407 cm⁻¹ (Au-S stretch), 1475 cm⁻¹ (phenyl ring stretch), and 3151 cm⁻¹ (C-H stretch) have significant IR activity. In the static limit used here, polarization induced by these IR active modes screens the electric field experienced by the molecule, leading to a reduction in their Raman cross sections[24] by a factor proportional to (Z_n^*)^2/ω^2. With the mode dynamical charge given by Z_n = Δμ/ΔQ_n and where Δμ is the mode-induced interfacial dipole moment. In Fig. 3c, we show the deviation δ of the IR-active “outlier” modes from the linear trend observed for non-IR active modes versus (Z_n^*)^2/ω^2. The correlation between δ and (Z_n^*)^2/ω^2 confirms that modes with larger contributions to the screening lead to greater deviations from the two-state model (Fig. 3b). We note however, that although important in our static calculations, this screening effect will have impact only below infrared frequencies, and thus will be inconsequential for typical probe frequencies, where the local fields will vary too rapidly for the IR-active vibrations to respond.

With this information, we can now connect the strong modification of Raman spectra by substrates to modespecific changes of the electronic structure of the metal-adsorbate interface: modes with the largest interfacial contribution to the change in polarizability, as quantified through a deformation potential, result in the most substantial chemical enhancements. For BT, these modes are those that break the conjugation of the HOMO (Supplementary Information). Fig. 2a shows vividly how the 1100 cm⁻¹ mode breaks the resonant character of the carbon ring, while the 3151 cm⁻¹ mode leaves the π-symmetry of the electrons on the phenyl ring intact. This suggests that for future adsorbates, the nature of modes with the largest CE might be intuitively rationalized a priori.

To validate our theory of chemical enhancement, we compare with experimental Raman and SERS measurements of BT on rough Au substrates (Fig. 4a,b). SERS substrates, consisting of roughened SiGe surfaces (Fig.4a) coated with 30 nm of Au, were incubated in 3nM BT solution (Sigma Aldrich W361007) in methanol overnight, then gently rinsed with methanol and dried by nitrogen gas. Raman (neat solution) and SERS spectra were collected at two wavelengths, 632.8 nm and 785 nm, using an inverted microscope set-up coupled to a spectrometer (Acton SpectraPro 2300i) equipped with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled charge-coupled device camera. To eliminate uncertainties associated with the number of molecular analytes in comparing Raman and SERS intensities, we normalize ratios of Raman and SERS spectra peak heights to the 996 cm⁻¹ mode, which has only a modest enhancement from our calculations. We note that absolute CEs can be obtained if we normalize to a mode with zero deformation potential, ∂ω_H/∂Q_n, see mode 1348 cm⁻¹ in Fig.3b, for example. As the 1348 cm⁻¹ mode is not easily observed experimentally, we use 996 cm⁻¹ in this case. Assuming the electromagnetic
enhancement is the same for all modes, this relative enhancement will reflect CE. This assumption is acceptable for modes within a few hundred wavenumbers of the 996 cm$^{-1}$ mode, based on the width on relatively low Q-factor for localized plasmon resonance in Au or Ag.

In Fig. 4c, we compare directly Raman cross sections from Fig. 1a (computed from $R_{zz}$) to the averaged solution phase data from Fig. 1a (inset). Binding geometries for BT on flat Au surfaces are the subject of debate in the literature; and for rough surfaces at room temperature, a variety of adsorption sites will be available, and binding geometries would be subject to thermal fluctuations.\[9, 25\] By comparing three very different, energy-minimized binding sites to the experiment, we sample different possibilities for time-averaged experimental binding morphologies. Surprisingly, all three geometries show good correlation, with the adatom site showing perhaps the most linear trend. (We note that SERS measurements do not observe the S-H stretching mode at 2600 cm$^{-1}$ indicating the loss of hydrogen at the S-Au in the room-temperature experiments.)

In summary, through our calculations and comparison with experiment, we have demonstrated that the strong modification of Raman spectra by the substrate is a chemical effect, largely independent of laser probe frequency, and associated with the change in electronic structure of the molecule by the metal substrate. The mode dependence of chemical enhancement can be connected directly to interfacial contributions to the deformation potential, a well-defined intrinsic property of each vibrational mode and substrate. A new analysis of experimental SERS data is introduced that allows for direct comparison of theoretical calculations with experimental data. Comparing enhancements relative to a particular mode, we find excellent agreement between theory and experiment, indicating standard DFT approaches captures accurately and quantitatively dominant contributions to CE, even in the static limit. Additional support for our conclusions could be obtained by inelastic electron tunneling measurements, which provide direct access to interfacial contributions to the electron-vibron coupling. The quantitative connection between the deformation potential and chemical enhancement provides new opportunities for detection and control of adsorbate-metal interactions through SERS.
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