This paper presents the analysis of a regional groundwater model via Feflow in tropical regions using two techniques: pilot points (PP) and constant zones (CZ). These methodologies allow the proper identification of biased parameters and heterogeneities of hydraulic properties. For this purpose, we developed a numerical density-variable model that is limited to reinterpreted data from real measurements. For the CZ, the initial parameters are considered constant; in contrast, in the PP technique, the initial parameters are assigned according to interpolations using in-situ point measurements. The developed model was applied in an area under the influence of the Inter-tropical Convergence Zone, located in the middle valley of Magdalena (MMV). This area is important in the development of Colombia due to its contribution to Gross Domestic Product, and it has been subject to significant changes in land use, as a result of intense economic activities. The established model shows a link between the observed state variable (hydraulic head) and hydraulic conductivity (K) proving the importance of spatial heterogeneity in K. The model is calibrated in order to establish K, the porosity and the specific storage capacity, reducing the mean square error of the state variable dependent on the observation points. The results show that the PP system approach provides a better heterogeneity representation and shows that each parameter is sensitive, and does not depend on other parameters. This research compiles the first breakthrough toward a methodology to assertively restrict a highly parameterized inverse regional model in a tropical basin.

Modelación hidrogeológica en regiones tropicales a través de Feflow

La modelación hidrogeológica se realiza comumente por la solución de problemas inversos y la estimación de técnicas de parámetros no lineales. A pesar de este escenario común, el uso de estas directrices se limita al muestreo adecuado de los datos de campo. Este muestreo implica una variedad de datos que generalmente tienen poca disponibilidad, especialmente en regiones donde la variabilidad geográfica y climática no permite una medición constante. En este artículo presentamos el análisis de un modelo de flujo subterráneo regional con base en dos técnicas: puntos piloto (PP) y zonas constantes (CZ). Estas metodologías permiten identificar correctamente si hay parámetros sesgados y heterogeneidad de las propiedades hidráulicas. Para este propósito desarrollamos un modelo numérico de densidad variable que está limitado con datos reinterpretable de mediciones reales. Para la técnica CZ, los parámetros iniciales se asignan de acuerdo con su capa, y cada capa se considera constante para los valores de los parámetros; en contraste con la técnica de PP, los parámetros iniciales se asignan de acuerdo con las interpolaciones de mediciones de puntos in situ. El modelo desarrollado se aplicó en un área bajo la influencia de la Zona de Convergencia Intertropical (ZCIT) ubicada en el valle medio de Magdalena (MMV). Esta área es importante para el desarrollo del país debido a su contribución al PIB y ha estado sujeta a cambios significativos en el uso de la tierra, como resultado de intensas actividades económicas como la agricultura, la energía hidroeléctrica y la producción de petróleo y gas. El modelo establecido muestra un vínculo escaso con la variable de estado observada (cabeza hidráulica -K); esto demuestra la importancia de la heterogeneidad espacial en K. El modelo se calibra para establecer K (como una variable anisotrópica que varía espacialmente), la porosidad (η) y la capacidad de almacenamiento específica (Ss) en el PP y CZ, y que reduce un error de "cuadrado medio" de la variable de estado dependiente de los puntos de observación. Los resultados muestran que el enfoque del sistema PP proporciona una mejor representación de heterogeneidad y muestra que cada parámetro es sensible y no depende de otros parámetros, lo que da a los resultados de la evaluación independencia de los hechos y autenticidad. Esta investigación compila una metodología para restringir asertivamente un modelo inverso altamente parametrizado con datos de campo para estimar parámetros de acuíferos que varían espacialmente a escala regional.
The use of those CZ for the values assignation to hydraulic parameters can lead to unnecessary uncertainties in the modeling and, therefore, to produce erroneous parameter values and current geological homogeneity (Linde, Ginsbourg, Irving, Nobile, & Doucet, 2017; Tiedeman & Green, 2013; Woodward, Wöhlting, & Stenger, 2016). Having this into consideration, the PP technique has been proposed to help improve the spatial variability interpretation of parameters, in cases of groundwater flow modeling that cannot be obtained by working with CZ (Christensen & Doherty, 2008; Jiménez, Mariethoz, Brauchler, & Bayer, 2016; Le Ravalec-Dupin, 2010; M. Usman et al., 2018). This technique intercalates the spatially correlated hydraulic properties values of a set of points distributed along the model domain (Ma & Jafarpour, 2017); generating a uniformed distribution of parameter values with less uncertainty. However, the use of a larger number of PPs or the area subdivision could result in extensive modeling times (Christensen & Doherty, 2008; White et al., 2016).

The application of this technique has been widely used throughout many related issues as shown (A. Alcolea, Carrera, & Medina, 2006, 2013; Jiménez et al., 2016; D. Sun, Zhao, Wei, & Peng, 2011). The usage of a reduced PP number within the model can be defined in a fixed way associating a spatial location or a random variation during the optimization process (Christensen & Doherty, 2008; Jiménez et al., 2016; D. Sun, Zhao, Wei, & Peng, 2011). The usage of a reduced PP value can improve model stability, although this approach could generate a larger homogeneity of hydraulic properties, approaching it to CZ technique and making the problem sensitive to the point locations (Ma & Jafarpour, 2017). However, Andrés Alcolea, Carrera and Medina, (2006) established that when implementing PP and regularization processes, it is recommended to implement as many number of PPs as computational viability is allowed.

Traditionally, surface water has been the main source of supply in Colombia. However, in the last decade, groundwater has become more important than surface water due to easy access, the relatively low cost of handling and its influence on the industrial and economic development of the country (Ideam, 2014). Colombia has possibilities of using underground water in 74% of its extension. However, 56% of this area corresponds to geographic regions with high surface water yields and low percentage of the settled population (Vargas Martínez, Campillo Pérez, García Herrán, & Jaramillo Rodríguez, 2013). The MMV basin is located in the Andean region, the most densely populated in the country, with 106,131 km² of surface area with resources and groundwater reserves. These reserves are equivalent to 12.5% of the total area covered by hydrogeological basins in the country. This potential has led to the hydrogeological study of the area, through the development of conceptual and mathematical models to understand its functioning (Ideam, 2014).

This project focuses on the groundwater behavior analysis in the MMV area, through the numerical simulations, and whose objectives are: i) to identify the properties of aquifers present in the area, and ii) to analyze the flow dynamics of underground water. This document shows the theoretical framework in section 2, in section 3 we present the study area. Sections 4 and 5 show data sources and the groundwater model. Section 6 presents the results and finally, the conclusions are indicated in section 7.

Theoretical Framework

FEFLOW software version 7.1 was used for the numerical model development (Treffy & Muffels, 2007) to compare the underground regional
flow with CZ and PP. For this model, we used the configuration of a saturated
model whose solution is represented by Darcy’s Law (Equations 1 y 2).
\[
Q = \frac{(S_B + S_s) }{ \rho + V} \partial h + V \cdot q'
\]
\[
q' = -K B q V h
\]
(1)
(2)

Here, \( q' \) is flow (m/s), \( Q \) is the volumetric flow (m³/s), \( S_s \) is the specific storage, \( B \) is the thickness in the saturated phreatic aquifer (m), \( S_B \) is the specific performance, \( K \) is the hydraulic conductivity tensor (m/s), \( f_s \) is the function of viscosity and \( h \) is the hydraulic head.

A triangular two-dimensional mesh algorithm was used and mesh refinements in the river and well lines were carried out. Then, this mesh was projected in-depth to seven layers defined in the conceptual model, leading to six-nodes finite elements. The first layer was configured as a free aquifer. The code used for this mesh was developed by Jonathan Shewchuk at UC Berkeley, EE.UU (Shewchuk, 1997) and was used due to its high speed, and its ability to accommodate complex configurations of polygons, lines, and points.

The model was run in steady and transient states, adopting an Adams-Bashforth scheme for the latter. The Adams-Bashforth outline permits to control automatically the time-step scheme, providing an easier convergence and stability in model executions (Trefry & Muffels, 2007). In the transient model, the steady state model groundwater hydraulic loads were used as initial conditions. The system of symmetric equations (flow Simulation) in stable state was solved with the algebraic multigrid SAMG method (by K. Stüben, FhG-SCAI). This method is sharper for stable state models and simulations with a variety of element sizes. SAMG internally analyzes the best method and applies a CG (Conjugate gradient method) or SAMG (Algebraic multigrid) type solution. For the transient state, PCG (preconditioned conjugate gradient) method was used. Although the direct method assures a better model stability, the demand for memory and execution time for models with more than 100 thousand nodes, is not applicable.

The objective function \( \phi \) is defined to iteratively optimize the model parameters (Carrera, Alcolea, Medina, Hidalgo, & Slooten, 2005; Medina, Galarza, Carrera, Jódar, & Alcolea, 2001). This optimization is expressed by measuring the objective function (Equation 3).
\[
\phi = \partial_i \sum_{j=1}^{\text{op}} \sum_{k=1}^{\text{n}} [(h_i - h_j) V_j^{-1} (h_i - h_j)]
\]
(3)

Here, \( i \) is the number of observation points (OP), PP or CZ, \( t \) is the observed time interval, \( h^* \) is the hydraulic head vector at the observation points, \( h \) is the simulated hydraulic head vector, \( V_j \) the covariance matrix, \( W_i \) is the observations weights which has a value of one in our problem.

For \( \phi \) estimation, PEST was applied. Nonlinear PEST software (Model-Independent Parameter Estimation) developed by John Doherty (1994), uses an inverse code. PEST executes the simulation software iteratively by adjusting the parameters values to be estimated until the errors between the observed and simulated data reach the finishing criteria (Maheswaran et al., 2016; Woodward et al., 2016). The parameter search is carried out using the GLM algorithm (Gauss-Levenberg-Marquardt). This method linearizes the state variables dependence on the model parameters, while imposing a change on the parameter \( \Delta \phi \) for each iteration (Equation 4) (Fisterlite & Kowalsky, 2011; Yao & Guo, 2014).
\[
\left[H^k + \delta^k I \right] \Delta \phi^k = -g^k
\]
(4)

Here, \( H^k \) represents an approximation of Hessian matrix of \( \phi \), \( g^k \) is the gradient in the model parameter vector in \( k \) iteration, \( I \) is the identity matrix and \( \delta^k \) is the Marquardt parameter.

After each iteration, the model parameter vector is updated. Before consolidating this step, it is checked if the vector parameters components by iteration meet the assigned upper limit. This process is iterative until:
1) if \( \phi < 0.0001 \), where \( \phi \) is the OF value at the end of the optimization iteration \( i^k \),
2) if \( 25 \) is the maximum number of optimization iterations,
3) if \( \phi_i - \phi_{\min} \leq 0.1 \), where \( \phi_{\text{min}} \) is the lowest OF reached so far. For the development of this model, was established as convergence control numeral 1.

To evaluate the model performance, statistical measures were taken to quantify the differences in observed and simulated groundwater heads. In the present study, were used the coefficient of determination (R²), the mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) (Carrera et al., 1993; Nash & Sbari, 1983; Sahoo & Jha, 2017; Muhammad Usman, Liedl, & Cavou, 2015).

**Study Area**

The results are explored within a regional flow model that presents high hydraulic conductivity and is under the effects of the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone, which characterize meteorological and hydrologic features. A domain of 17,000 km² was defined in the central area of MMV, Colombia (Figure 1). The average amount of rainfall overpasses 2000 mm/year, and the main river has an annual average flow of 2361 m³/s. The average annual temperature is higher than 24°C throughout the territory, and the terrain elevation varies between 16 and 3110 m.a.s.l (Ideam, 2014). The study area presents a high geological complexity, with variable depths in all the hydrogeological units. Its formation took place during the Tertiary, at the time of high volcanic activity of the Central Cordillera (Mojica & Franco, 1990). Result of this geological background, the area is tilted towards the East, having a monoclinal structure, disturbed by some folds and faults (Servicio Geológico Colombiano, 2014). It occupies a structural depression that is considered a half graben tilted towards the East, where it limits with inverse faults such as Salina (Ingrain, 2012). In general, rocks lean monoclinally from the Cordillera Central towards the Eastern Cordillera, but they are interrupted in-situ by faults and bends. At this basin, recent clastic sediments of alluvial type and sedimentary rocks of Quaternary and Tertiary age developed. The main characteristic of this material is the low consolidation and sediments predominance such as sand and gravel, interspersed with fine-grained materials. In the MMV basin, groundwater is extracted from units that function as an aquifer. These units are recent alluvial and terrace deposits that emerge in the Magdalena River proximity with an average productive thickness of approximately 150 m (Galglego, Jaramillo, & Patiño, 2015) whose origin is associated with this body of water, and with the Mesa and Real Formations unconsolidated detrital sediments (sandstones, conglomerates).

The underlying rock represented at the East limit is used as a vertical and lateral no-slip boundary condition for every aquifer formation, which is not continuous through the whole domain. The following aspects were taken into account for the conceptual model integration: i) the relationship between each formation material, ii) homogeneity of hydraulic properties for regional scales, iii) an electrical resistivity analysis for each formation material, and iv) common permeability values. At a regional scale, the geological reinterpretation of the study area led to a simpler model of the hydrogeological basin. It included the depth of sedimentary formations, the Salina-Buitima fault, and every main fold. In addition, interpolation algorithms were used including secondary information from seismic and magnetotellurics studies, superficial geological interpretations, and stratigraphic from drilling well. In the end, seven layers resulted from this exercise, each one separated from the other by lithological contacts or erosive surfaces. Near-surface formations are considered the most important given the current water resource exploitation from those aquifers.

**Data Sources**

To describe a three-dimensional groundwater flow model geometry, it required a digital elevation model (DEM). It was obtained from SRTM satellite data derivation with a 30 m resolution between the coordinates 6° 7' 46'' N and 74° 39' 47'' W and an average vertical altitude error of 6.2 m (90% accuracy level) and a geolocation error of 9 meters for South America (Sharma, Tiwari, & Bhadoria, 2011). The recharge was obtained through a prior semi-distributed hydrological model consolidation: TopModel, whose information may be reviewed in (Arenas-Bautista et al., 2017). The piezometric levels and wells were obtained from the National Underground Water Forms (FUNIAS), compiled specifically for the national inventory of groundwater led by the Regional Autonomous Corporations (CAR). From that point on, geographical location information and type of points were collected. Water well pumping tests were obtained from the hydrogeological studies developed by Ideam and
the National University of Colombia (Arismendi, Salazar, Vélez, & Caballero, 2004; Betancur et al., 2009), and the production blocks known as Guane (Ingeniería Geotec, 2016) and VMM-39 (Ecopetrol, 2016). The rivers levels for both steady and transient conditions were taken from the Ideam, limnimetric stations available in the area. Additionally, it became necessary to obtain the bathymetry and bed river level to consolidate the model input data. The geostatistical model was defined by the transmissivity variogram in the model domain. The soil texture details for different depth profiles were available from well logs that were used to establish the initial values of different hydraulic parameters in each zone (Freeze & Cherry, 1979). The methodology used to generate the geological profiles and the consolidation of hydrogeological units is described in (Pescador-Arévalo, Arenas-Bautista, M. C., Donado, Guadagnini, & Riva, 2018).

In the model development, eight constant zones were defined which correspond to the geological zones defined in the study area (Figure 2A). As opposed to the CZ technique, the PP method allows to estimate fields of continuous parameter distribution. The fundamental principle of this constraint is to prefer homogenous distributions of parameters whose values show as small a deviation as possible from those expected. In a geological context, a PP should preferably have a similar value to its neighbors within a certain distance. This distance and the strength of correlation are defined through a semi-variogram. In this research, due to the study area does not have sufficient and reliable data throughout the domain, and the available data are pooled in small areas of the study area, was decided to generate the PPs with uniform distribution. The model ran 50 times, and in each run the PPs were distributed so that their locations were different (x, y, z), but the space between them was constant. It means that a rectangular pattern was used for every run, but the PP locations changed after every run, always keeping the same pattern (Figure 2B). The random location of PPs with a uniform distribution allowed to represent without bias the locations that had no field measurements in the calibration process and the post-calibration analysis identified the parameter contributions to the uncertainty. The variogram that defined the spatial behavior of PP’s was an exponential model, with a range of 2000 m and a variance of 2 without nugget effect. The K values obtained vary from $10^{-5}$ to 35 m/day. We defined 167 observation points based on the piezometric levels (Figure 1), because all piezometric data levels registered in FUNIAS were taken on different dates, and it was not possible to find records of continuous time series monitoring for these measurements. An average data level was used as reference in the numerical model in steady state. For transient state, a measurement grouping by month was made. This grouping assumes that levels in the same months of different years, exhibit a similar behavior due to the results of the hydrological
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**Figure 1.** Location of influence area in the model (red). In addition to this the figure shows the locations of piezometric levels, Limnimetric stations, and Wells
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**Figure 2.** Definition of geological units in the model.
Groundwater Model

Conceptual Model

Figure 3A represents the conceptual application model area. The zone is limited to the east and west by the limits of SAVMM aquifer system that acts as an impermeable barrier due to its igneous-metamorphic nature (Ideam, 2014). The South and North limits of model act as an inflow and outflow, respectively, in the different zone sections. The groundwater flow is estimated by Darcy’s law (Karay & Hajnal, 2015; Şen, 2015) using piezometric data, and is generated in south-east to north-west direction. The elevation in the focus zone descends smoothly in south-north direction, conserving the properties of an alluvial valley and, therefore, provoking a regional movement of underground water throughout this depression (Ingrain, 2012). Additionally, there is a register of low scale domestic and industrial use of groundwater collection obtained with pumping equipment (Gonzalez, Saldarriaga, & Jaramillo, 2010). Most recharge of groundwater occurs at the mountain ranges, from rainfall infiltration, and at the low-lands, from channel drainage losses. The region weather is mainly semiarid with high temperatures, especially during dry season, which causes a loss of water in the form of evapotranspiration (Asociacion Colombiana del Petroleo, 2008).

The hydraulic properties of the aquifer system make possible to identify areas with primary and secondary porosity and characterize them as units able to store and transmit water with relative ease. The horizontal (x axis) permeability is an order of magnitude higher than the vertical (Han & Cao, 2018; Xie, Hu, Jiang, & Liu, 2006). The system total porosity ranges between 25% and 3%, limited to the east and west by the limits of SA VMM aquifer system that acts as an impermeable barrier due to its igneous-metamorphic nature (Ideam, 2014). The South and North limits of model act as an inflow and outflow, respectively, in the different zone sections. The groundwater flow is estimated by Darcy’s law (Karay & Hajnal, 2015; Şen, 2015) using piezometric data, and is generated in south-east to north-west direction. The elevation in the focus zone descends smoothly in south-north direction, conserving the properties of an alluvial valley and, therefore, provoking a regional movement of underground water throughout this depression (Ingrain, 2012). Additionally, there is a register of low scale domestic and industrial use of groundwater collection obtained with pumping equipment (Gonzalez, Saldarriaga, & Jaramillo, 2010). Most recharge of groundwater occurs at the mountain ranges, from rainfall infiltration, and at the low-lands, from channel drainage losses. The region weather is mainly semiarid with high temperatures, especially during dry season, which causes a loss of water in the form of evapotranspiration (Asociacion Colombiana del Petroleo, 2008).

The hydraulic properties of the aquifer system make possible to identify areas with primary and secondary porosity and characterize them as units able to store and transmit water with relative ease. The horizontal (x axis) permeability is an order of magnitude higher than the vertical (Han & Cao, 2018; Xie, Hu, Jiang, & Liu, 2006). The system total porosity ranges between 25% and 3%, with an average specific yield of around 14%. The test holes pumping results and the lithological analysis allow us to conclude that the hydraulic conductivity varies from 20 m/day to 0.1 m/day.

Numerical Model

The recharge was estimated with a one-year time scale, taking proportions from average monthly data ranging from 12 years, between 2000 and 2012. The information was consolidated on a spatial scale of 6 km × 6 km resulting from hydrological modeling (Arenas-Bautista et al., 2017). The recharge is assigned as a material associated property, which becomes variable in time for transient model (Figure 4). The loading boundary conditions (Figure 3B) were assigned as a first type variable Dirichlet condition in south and north edges from the model domain, according to water level historical records. For the seven consolidated rivers in the model domain a Cauchy boundary condition was applied. This requires the hydraulic conductance of the riverbed material and its geometry procurement, which were obtained establishing the initial conductivity from the pumping tests and the cross-section geometry, supplied by the limnmetric stations in the area. The East and West model limits were conditioned by the application of Neumann boundary conditions; given they are considered as a watershed. The inlet and outlet flow sections and the model domain flow were identified using piezometric water level contours, and applying Darcy’s law. Finally, pumping wells were assigned as a well edge condition where a defined extraction was applied to a node.

For CZ application a pattern on materials disposition according to the hydrogeological units was defined. For the PP, it was observed that it was not possible to obtain a defined pattern for the same materials, so the information was spatialized. For this, the PP spatial distribution model of the hydraulic properties used Kriging (Tsai & Yeh, 2011). This technique was used given its additional use for regularization processes, which are based on the same variograms used for regionalization (Janetti, Riva, Straface, & Guadagnini, 2010; Kashyap & Vakkalagadda, 2009; M. Riva, Guadagnini, Neuman, Janetti, & Malama, 2009; Tsai & Yeh, 2011). For the development of this model, each layer was treated as a single zone and tested with a variable number of PP to evaluate the response model. The principle of parsimony establishes that the numbers of parameters considered in an estimation process must be kept to a minimum (Golmohammadi, Khaninezhad, & Jafarpour, 2015; Merritt, Croke, & Jakeman, 2005; Tonkin, Doherty, & Moore, 2007). However, when PP are used in conjunction with regularization, this number tends to increase (Panzeri et al., 2012).

In order to check the results from the calibration process, an uncertainty analysis was performed. In this analysis, some basic metrics are reported such as RMSE, Pearson correlation and NASH efficiency coefficients.

Results and Discussion

The two calibration processes (CZ and PP) are compared briefly in their value parameters, model fit and predictions. This comparison focuses on the model ability to simulate the real system and to show conceptual differences. The model residuals respect to the observation points allows us to infer that model calibration allows to adequately represent the assumptions presented in the conceptual model, both in the CZ and in the PP technique (figure 5A). The
average residual differences in PP results is lower than 1 m. the CZ technic exhibits oddment points between 1 and 3 m. The possible reason for these mayor differences would be associated with the large number of wells in this region, which pumps extensively the groundwater. Therefore, the possibility of an occasional partial inflow across the study area boundaries, especially on the eastern and western boundaries, could not be well represented by the input/output flow limits conditions. The error obtained in the observation points is summarized in table 1. These results include $R^2$, MAE and RMSE. The value of $R^2$ for the calibration model is 0.99; likewise, MAE, which is an efficiency of calibration indicator, is 0.25. Figure 5B allows to evidence optimal simulation results, as the observation values are adequately represented under the numerical model assumptions, and the figure 6 presents the results of transient-state calibration. The hydrographs of simulated versus observed heads over time show a relatively good match between observed and simulated heads, even if the model tends to overestimate the piezometric levels. The general behavior of PP technique offers average values of 142 m in the OF. However, the results obtained in CZ show a variation of 6 m above the results with respect to values obtained from PP. These results are in agreement with the findings of (Andrés Alcolea et al., 2006) where it is concluded that the PP technique offers better results for the analysis of heterogeneity.

The hydraulic conductivity analysis (Figure 7) in the model shows that, in the Quaternary, the average Kx values in CZ is different from the average PP values. This occurs because of the lack of knowledge of hydraulic properties of outcrop geological units in the zone. The most information and monitoring compiled for this model has an 80 m depth (for Mesa and Real formations); because of this, the model representation fits with higher certainty. In the seven (7) remaining model units, the Kx values assignment does not represent a direct link either with CZ nor different PP. This may be due to the ignorance of depths of hydraulic properties higher than 600 m. Additionally, the scarce available information is focused on specific influence areas, which gives another struggle for its representation. Finally, results show that in the deepest model units equifinality issues can arise when the same model performance with a different parameter for the same node is generated.

Additionally, is evident a strong separation of the Quaternary aquifer and Mesa formation with values of 10 m/day and 2.5 m/day respectively. It is evident in Figure 7, that the PP calibration process presents a K three times higher than the CZ model. In general, the K values for all hydrogeological units are within the reported range in literature. Comparing the two methods evaluated through the CZ and PP, they show similar model characteristics: i.) The East and West regions of the model, corresponding to Jurassic and early Cretaceous units, have a uniformly low conductivity, which corroborates the conceptual model assumption establishing those zones as water divide, ii.) The model presents small areas of high conductivity in the north and central part, and, iii.) The highest conductivity is found in Quaternary and Mesa formation. An interesting fact is that the estimated field begins to reflect some large known scale geological structures also perceived on the CZ model. The storage does not significantly influence the hydraulic head during the one-day simulation period. Therefore, it can be concluded that K is the only definitive parameter in hydraulic head modeling and that each parameter is sensitive, and does not depend on other parameters.

In summary, compared to the previous CZ technique, PP approach is not only able to provide satisfactory matches for all measured heads and reproduce large-scale and local features of the measured groundwater level, but it can also extract more information of heterogeneity at several scales using the same amount of measured information. All the results shown above indicate that the PP approach provides a more realistic model to simulate river-groundwater interactions based on parameters. Taking into account the parameters calibrated in both techniques, the ratio between the horizontal and vertical hydraulic conductivity values is lower, which is consistent with the deeper influence of the Quaternary aquifer.
Hydrogeological Modeling in Tropical Regions via FeFlow

Conclusions

This article has been focused to identify if there are biased parameters in the model solution and determine the heterogeneity of the hydraulic properties by applying a calibration scheme based on CZ and PP techniques in tropical basins. Subsequently, the optimized model has been used to quantify the groundwater flow through topographic boundaries.

The analysis of the PPs used for estimation of hydraulic parameters offers a guide to estimate the heterogeneity in hydraulic anisotropic conductivity in unconfined aquifers. The regional groundwater model provided information within regional flow patterns. The flows through all internal limits constitute 3 to 16% of their discharge and are significant in relation to pumping; therefore, it is important to consider these flows in hydrological models, since they could have a great impact on the water currents simulation. Additionally, this highlights the need for flow models (surface and underground) coupled on a regional scale due to most surface water models assume zero flow between topographic divisions. The use of real data as initial aquifer parameters reduces the interactions necessary to minimize $\phi$, improving potential estimates and estimating the possible convergence.

Table 1. Experiment description and result of the statistical metrics

| Experiment | Description | $\phi_m$ | $\phi_r$ | $\phi$ | MAE | RMSE | R2 |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|-------|-----|------|----|
| CZ         | 8 geological units: Quaternary, Mesa, Real group, Chuspas, Chorro, Cretaceous early and late, Jurassic | 145.36  | 178.58  | 323.94 | 0.25 | 0.93 | 1  |
| 40 PP      |             | 114.27  | 159.37  | 273.64 | 0.25 | 0.81 | 1  |

Figura 6. Results of observed and simulated levels in the model for transient state. The results are shown for four wells (the most representatives in the area): Felicidad, Coyote, SAM 1.1 and M-5237

Figure 7. Result of $K$ in the proposed hydrogeological model 7A. The model developed with PP. 7B. The model developed with CZ.
The inverse model results in the calibration process demonstrated a better response in the real representation of the system. The hydraulic head error was reduced by 3% compared to the conceptual model developed. Although the minimum square error of the state variable was minimized at the observation points, the model validation showed consistent results at the control points. This idea supports the model solution, showing that the model calibration through the PPs is more robust and flexible in contrast to the CZ parameterization given its lesser subjectivity and lower of the heterogeneity representation of the hydraulic properties. In conclusion, the research results indicate a less significant pattern it has on reducing the variability of the parameters in the model during the investment problem. Although there is no defined guideline for generating these points, the results of this article indicate that better results can be obtained using a uniform pattern, reducing the spaces between them which is useful to correlate the hydraulic properties as a guide for the separation distance. In the same way, the interpolated fields of K resulting from the application of the PP method work properly for the estimation of the flow at the regional scale; however, it can lead to significant limitations for other applications, such as solute transport modeling.

Finally, these results are the basis for the identification of biased parameters and the heterogeneity of hydraulic properties when a variable amount of the PP number is used. Additionally, based on the results obtained, is proposed to evaluate the model plausibility to determine if this can improve the identification of the heterogeneity of K and if it would be sensitive to the amount of PPs.

References

Alberti, L., Colombo, L., & Formentin, G. (2018). Null-space Monte Carlo particle tracking to assess groundwater PCE (Tetrachloroethene) diffuse pollution in north-eastern Milan functional urban area. Science of The Total Environment, 621, 326–339. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.253

Alcolea, A., Carrera, J., & Medina, A. (2006). Inversion of heterogeneous parabolic-type equations using the pilot points method. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 51(9–10), 963–980. https://doi.org/10.1002/jfluid.1213

Alcolea, A., Carrera, J., & Medina, A. (2008). Regularized pilot points method for reproducing the effect of small scale variability: Application to simulations of contaminant transport. Journal of Hydrology, 355(1–4), 76–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.03.004

Alcolea, Andrés, Carrera, J., & Medina, A. (2006). Pilot points method incorporating prior information for solving the groundwater flow inverse problem. Advances in Water Resources, 29(11), 1678–1689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adwatres.2005.12.009

Amini, M., Johnson, A., Abbaspour, K. C., & Mueller, K. (2009). Modeling large scale geogenic contamination of groundwater, combination of geochemical expertise and statistical techniques. In: International Congress on Modelling and Simulation: Interfacing Modelling and Simulation with Mathematical and Computational Sciences (pp. 4100–4106). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255578382_Modeling_large_scale_geogenic_contamination_of_groundwater_combining_geochemical_expertise_and_statistical_techniques

Arenas-Bautista, M. C., Arboleda Obando, P. F., Duque-Gardeazabal, N., Guadagnini, A., Riva, M., & Donado-Garzón, L. D. (2017). Hydrological Modelling the Middle Magdalena Valley (Colombia). In: American Geophysical Union. New Orleans. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints201807.0210.v1

Arismendy, R. D., Salazar, J. F., Vélez, M. V., & Caballero, H. (2004). Evaluación del potencial acuífero de los municipios de Puerto Berrio y Puerto Nare. Congreso Colombiano de Hidrogeología, 19. https://doi.org/https://digital.unal.edu.co/4441

Asociación Colombiana del Petróleo. (2008). Historia del Petróleo En Colombia.

Betancur, T., Mejía, O., & Palacio, C. (2009). Conceptual hydrogeology model to Bajo Cauca antiquero: a tropical aquifer system. Revista Facultad de Ingeniería, 48, 107–118. Retrieved from http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?pid=S0120-62322009000200011&script=sci_arttext

Carrera, J., Alcolea, A., Medina, A., Hidalgo, J., & Slooten, L. J. (2005). Inverse problem in hydrogeology. Hydrogeology Journal, 13(1), 206–222. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-004-0404-7

Carrera, J., Hidalgo, J. J., Slooten, L. J., & Vázquez-Suñé, E. (2010). Computational and conceptual issues in the calibration of seawater intrusion models | Problèmes conceptuels et de calibrage des modèles d’intursion marines. Hydrogeology Journal, 18(1), 131–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-009-0524-1

Carrera, J., Mousavi, S. F., Ununoff, E. J., Sánchez-Vila, X., & Galarza, G. (1993). A discussion on validation of hydrogeological models. Reliability Engineering and System Safety, 42(2–3), 201–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/0951-8320(93)90089-H

Chen, M., Izady, A., Abdalla, O. A., & Amerjeed, M. (2018). A surrogate-based sensitivity quantification and Bayesian inversion of a regional groundwater flow model. Journal of Hydrology, 557, 826–837. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.12.071

Cherry, J. A., Parker, B. L., Bradbury, K. R., Eaton, T. T., Gostkowitz, M. G., Hart, D. J., & Borchardt, M. A. (2004). Role of Aquitards in the Protection of Aquifers from Contamination: A “State of the Science” Report. 244 Research Foundation Report, 1–144. Retrieved from papers2://publication/uuid/77A8479D-E189-4E10-8F87-9228DE7B4A17

Christensen, S., & Doherty, J. (2008). Predictive error dependencies when using pilot points and singular value decomposition in groundwater model calibration. Advances in Water Resources, 31(4). Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0309170808000009

Comunian, A., & Renard, P. (2009). Introducing wwhypda: A world-wide collaborative hydrogeological parameters database. Hydrogeology Journal, 17(2), 481–489. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-008-0387-x

Custódio, E., Andreu-Rodes, J. M., Aragón, R., Estrella, T., Ferrer, J., García-Aristegui, J. L., … Del Villar, A. (2016). Groundwater intensive use and mining in south-eastern peninsular Spain: Hydrogeological, economic and social aspects. The Science of the Total Environment, 559, 302–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.107

Dewandel, B., Maréchal, J. C., Bour, O., Ladouche, B., Ahmed, S., Chandra, S., & Pauwels, H. (2012). Upscaling and regionalizing hydraulic conductivity and effective porosity at watershed scale in deeply weathered crystalline aquifers. Journal of Hydrology, 416–417, 83–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.11.038

Dewandel, Benoit, Jeantip, J., Ladouche, B., Join, J. L., & Maréchal, J. C. (2017). Inferring the heterogeneity, transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity of crystalline aquifers from a detailed water-table map. Journal of Hydrology, 550, 118–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.03.075

Ecopetrol. (2016). Estudio de Impacto Ambiental para la Solicitud de la Licencia Ambiental del área de Perforación Exploratoria Guane-A. Bogota.

Ehtiat, M., Mousavi, S. J., & Ghaher, A. (2015). Ranking of conceptualized groundwater models based on model information criteria. Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology - AQUA, 64(6), 670–678. https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2015.109

Finsterle, S., & Kowalsky, M. B. (2011). A truncated Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm for the calibration of highly parameterized nonlinear models. Computers and Geosciences, 37(6), 731–738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2010.11.005

Freeze, R. A., & Cherry, J. A. (1979). Groundwater. Prentice-Hall.

Friedel, M. J., & Iwashita, F. (2013). Hybrid modeling of spatial continuity for the calibration of highly parameterized nonlinear groundwater models based on model information criteria. Water Supply: Research and Technology - AQUA, 37(6), 670–687. https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2013.010.009
Sheikholeslami, R., Yassin, F., Lindenschmidt, K.-E., & Razavi, S. (2017). Infiltration under snow cover: Modeling approaches and predictive uncertainty. *Journal of Hydrology*, 546, 16–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.12.042

Merritt, W., Croke, B., & Jakeman, A. (2005). Sensitivity testing of a model for exploring water resources utilisation and management options. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 20(8), 1013–1030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2004.09.011

Mojica, J., & Franco, R. (1990). Estructura y Evolucion Tectonica del Valle Medio y Superior del Magdalena, Colombia. *Geologia Colombiana*, 17(17), 41–64.

Nash, J. E., & Barsi, B. I. (1983). A hybrid model for flow forecasting on large catchments. *Journal of Hydrology*, 65(1), 125–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(83)90213-5

Panzeri, M., Guadagnini, A., & Riva, M. (2012). Optimization of pilot points location for geostatistical inversion of groundwater flow. In: *IAHS-AISH Publication* (Vol. 355, pp. 34–40).

Pescador-Arévalo, J. P., Arenas-Bautista, M. C., Donado, L. D., Guadagnini, A., & Riva, M. (2018). Three-dimensional geological model applied for groundwater flow simulations in the Middle Magdalena Valley, Colombia. In: *AGU Fall Meeting*.

Pool, M., Carrera, J., Alcolea, A., & Bocanegra, E. M. (2015). A comparison of deterministic and stochastic approaches for regional scale inverse modeling on the Mar del Plata aquifer. *Journal of Hydrology*, 531, 214–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.064

Riva, M., Guadagnini, A., Neuman, S. P., Janetti, E. B., & Malama, B. (2009). Inverse analysis of stochastic moment equations for transient flow in randomly heterogeneous media. *Advances in Water Resources*, 32(10), 1495–1507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adwatres.2009.07.003

Riva, Monaca, Panzeri, M., Guadagnini, A., & Neuman, S. P. (2011). Role of model selection criteria in geostatistical inverse estimation of statistical data- and model-parameters. *Water Resources Research*, 47(7). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR010480

Sahoo, S., & Jha, M. K. (2017). Numerical groundwater-flow modeling to evaluate potential effects of pumping and recharge: implications for sustainable groundwater management in the Mahanadi delta region, India | Modélisation numérique des écoulements d’eau souterraine pour évaluer les. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 25(8), 2489–2511. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-017-1610-4

Sanchez-Leon, E., Leven, C., Haslauer, C. P., & Cirpka, O. A. (2016). Combining 3D Hydraulic Tomography with Tracer Tests for Improved Transport Characterization. *Groundwater*, 54(4), 498–507. https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12381

Şen, Z. (2015). Basic Porous Medium Concepts. In: *Practical and Applied Hydrogeology* (pp. 43–97). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800075-5.00002-9

Servicio Geológico Colombiano. (2014). *Plan Estratégico del Conocimiento Geológico del territorio Colombiano*. Bogotá. Retrieved from https://www2.sge.gov.co/Publicaciones/Cientificas/NoSeriais/Documents/PlanEstrategico2014-2023.pdf

Sharma, A., Tiwari, K. N., & Bhadoria, P. B. S. (2011). Determining the optimum cell size of digital elevation model for hydrologic application. *Journal of Earth System Science*, 120(4).

Sheikholeslami, R., Yassin, F., Lindenschmidt, K.-E., & Razavi, S. (2017). Improved understanding of river ice processes using global sensitivity analysis approaches. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 22(11). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001574

Sieber, J., Yates, D., Huber-Lee, A., & Purkey, D. (2005). WEEP a demand, priority, and preference driven water planning model: Part 1, model characteristics. *Water International*, 30(4), 487–500. https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060508691893

Simmons, J. A., Harley, M. D., Marshall, L. A., Turner, I. L., Splinter, K. D., & Cox, R. J. (2017). Calibrating and assessing uncertainty in coastal numerical models. *Coastal Engineering*, 125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2017.04.005

Sun, D., Zhao, C., Wei, H., & Peng, D. (2011). Simulation of the relationship between land use and groundwater level in Taijian River basin, Xinjiang, China. *Quaternary International*, 244(2), 254–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2010.08.017

Sun, N.-Z., & Sun, A. (2015). Model calibration and parameter estimation: For environmental and water resource systems. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2322-6

Tiedeman, C. R., & Green, C. T. (2013). Effect of correlated observation error on parameters, predictions, and uncertainty. *Water Resources Research*, 49(10), 6339–6355. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012WR0120499

Tonkin, M., Doherty, J., & Moore, C. (2007). Efficient nonlinear predictive error variance for highly parameterized models. *Water Resources Research*, 43(7). https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005348

Tóth, A., Havril, T., Simon, S., Galsa, A., Monteiro-Santos, F. A. A., Muller, I., & Mädl-Szonyi, J. (2016). Groundwater flow pattern and related environmental phenomena in complex geologic setting based on integrated model construction. *Journal of Hydrology*, 539, 330–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.05.038

Trefry, M. G., & Muffels, C. (2007). FEFLOW: A Finite-Element Ground Water Flow and Transport Modeling Tool. *Groundwater*, 45(5), 525–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2007.00358.x

Tsai, F. T.-C., & Yeh, W.-W. (2011). Model calibration and parameter structure identification in characterization of groundwater systems. *Groundwater Quantity and Quality Management*, 159–202.

Usman, M., Reimann, T., Liedl, R., Abbas, A., Conrad, C., & Saleem, S. (2018). Inverse parametrization of a regional groundwater flow model with the aid of modelling and GIS: Test and application of different approaches. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi7010022

Usman, Muhammad, Liedl, R., & Kavousi, A. (2015). Estimation of distributed seasonal net recharge by modern satellite data in irrigated agricultural regions of Pakistan. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 74(2), 1463–1486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-4139-7

Vargas Martínez, N. O., Campillo Pérez, Á. K., García Herrán, M., & Jaramillo Rodríguez, O. (2013). *Aguas Subterrâneas en Colombia: una Visión General*. Bogota: IDEAM.

Wang, T., Zhou, W., Chen, J., Xiao, X., Li, Y., & Zhao, X. (2014). Simulation of hydraulic fracturing using particle flow method and application in a coal mine. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 121, 1–13.

Wang, X., Jardani, A., & Jourde, H. (2017). A hybrid inverse method for hydraulic tomography in fractured and karstic media. *Journal of Hydrology*, 551, 29–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.051

White, J. T. (2018). A model-independent iterative ensemble smoother for efficient history-matching and uncertainty quantification in very high dimensions. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 109, 191–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2018.06.009

White, J. T., Fienen, M. N., & Doherty, J. E. (2016). A python framework for environmental model uncertainty analysis. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 85, 217–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.08.017

Woodward, S. J. R., Wöhlings, T., & Stenger, R. (2016). Uncertainty in the modelling of spatial and temporal patterns of shallow groundwater flow paths: The role of geological and hydrological site information. *Journal of Hydrology*, 534, 680–694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.01.045

Wu, Q., Liu, S., Cai, Y., Li, X., & Jiang, Y. (2017). Improvement of hydrological model calibration by selecting multiple parameter ranges. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-393-2017

Xie, S., Hu, Y., Jiang, M., & Liu, Q. (2006). Study on the inverse method to permeability coefficient of groundwater system: A case study of uranium gangue site in Southern China. In: *5th International Conference on Environmental Informatics, ISEIS 2006*. 
Yao, L., & Guo, Y. (2014). Hybrid algorithm for parameter estimation of the groundwater flow model with an improved genetic algorithm and gauss-newton method. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 19*(3), 482–494. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000823

Yeh, T. C. J., Mao, D.-Q., Zha, Y.-Y., Wen, J.-C., Wan, L., Hsu, K.-C., & Lee, C.-H. (2015). Uniqueness, scale, and resolution issues in groundwater model parameter identification. *Water Science and Engineering, 8*(3), 175–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wse.2015.08.002

Zhang, H., Li, Z., Saifullah, M., Li, Q., & Li, X. (2016). Impact of DEM Resolution and Spatial Scale: Analysis of Influence Factors and Parameters on Physically Based Distributed Model. *Advances in Meteorology, 2016*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/8582041

Zhang, M., Burbey, T. J., Nunes, V. D. S., & Borggaard, J. (2014). A new zonation algorithm with parameter estimation using hydraulic head and subsidence observations. *Groundwater, 52*(4), 514–524. https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12102

Zhou, H., Gómez-Hernández, J. J. J., & Li, L. (2014). Inverse methods in hydrogeology: Evolution and recent trends. *Advances in Water Resources, 63*. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0309170813002017