THE ROLE OF MINERAL NUTRITION ON YIELDS AND FRUIT QUALITY IN GRAPEVINE, PEAR AND APPLE
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ABSTRACT – Fertilization of temperate fruit trees, such as grapevine (*Vitis* spp.), apple (*Malus domestica*), and pear (*Pyrus communis*) is an important tool to achieve maximum yield and fruit quality. Fertilizers are provided when soil fertility does not allow trees to express their genetic potential, and time and rate of application should be scheduled to promote fruit quality. Grapevine berries, must and wine quality are affected principally by N, that regulate the synthesis of some important compounds, such as anthocyanins, which are responsible for coloring of the must and the wine. Fermentation of the must may stop in grapes with low concentration of N because is requested in high amount by yeasts. An N excess may increase the pulp to peel ratio, diluting the concentration of anthocyanins and promoting the migration of anthocyanins from berries to the growing plant organs; a decrease of grape juice soluble solid concentration is also expected because of an increase in vegetative growth. Potassium is also important for wine quality contributing to adequate berry maturation, concentration of sugars, synthesis of phenols and the regulation of pH and acidity. In apple and pear, Ca and K are important for fruit quality and storage. Potassium is the most important component of fruit, however, any excess should be avoided and an adequate K:Ca balance should be achieved. Adequate concentration of Ca in the fruit prevents pre- and post-harvest fruit disorders and, at the same time, increases tolerance to pathogens. Although N promotes adequate growth soil N availability should be monitored to avoid excessive N uptake that may decrease fruit skin color and storability.

Index terms: Macronutrients, micronutrients, nutritional status, production, quality.

NUTRIÇÃO MINERAL, PRODUTIVIDADE E COMPOSIÇÃO DE FRUTOS DE FRUTÍFERAS DE CLIMA TEMPERADO: VIDEIRA, PEREIRA E MACIEIRA

RESUMO - A adubação em frutíferas de clima temperado, entre as quais, videira (*Vitis* spp.), maceira (*Malus domestica*) e Pereira (*Pyrus communis*), é realizada quando o solo não possui a capacidade de fornecer a quantidade de nutrientes de que a planta necessitaria, possibilitando às plantas expressarem seu potencial genético. Mas a aplicação de nutrientes na adubação de pré-plantio, crescimento e, especialmente, manutenção pode afetar o estado nutricional das frutíferas, refletindo-se na produtividade e na qualidade dos frutos. Porém, estas informações são dispersas na literatura e, por isso, a presente revisão, sem a pretensão de esgotar o assunto, objetivou compilar e apresentar informações sobre os efeitos de nutrientes na produtividade e na composição de frutos de videira, pereira e macieira. Ao longo do texto, são apresentadas informações sobre o impacto do fornecimento de nutrientes sobre o estado nutricional, a produtividade e as variáveis de qualidade dos frutos, como a cor, tamanho, teor de nutrientes, sólidos solúveis totais (SST), acidez, pH, antocianinas, polifenóis e desordens fisiológicas, que podem afetar não só a aquisição dos futos pelo consumidor, mas também os produtos elaborados a partir dos frutos, como, por exemplo, os sucos, vinhos, etc..., bem como vida pós-colheita e de prateleira de alguns frutos, os quais a maçã e a pera.

Termos para indexação: Macronutrientes, micronutrientes, estado nutricional, produção, qualidade.
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INTRODUCTION

Temperate fruit trees, such as the grapevine \((Vitis\) spp.), apple \((Malus\ domestica\ Borkh.)\), and pear tree \((Pyrus\ communis\ L.)\) should be fertilized whenever the soil cannot provide a sufficient amount of nutrients to feed the plant for maximum yield. However it appears that this simple concept is not yet fully understood. In general, in the most traditional fruit production regions, fertilization programs are based on soil fertility, tree requirements and crop nutritional status. The results of soil and tissue analyses are compared with critical nutrient ranges according to the probability that plants respond to additions of nutrients. Some systems also consider other recommendation criteria, such as expected yield, plant growth, which may be estimated by the length of new shoots, and fruit analysis, as is the case of trees \((CQFS-RS/SC, 2004)\). Not all fertilizer recommendation guidelines for temperate fruit crops are based on results of long term regional studies. Some recommendations for fruit trees, such as those established in the first versions published in the Fertilization and Liming Manual for the States of Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina \((2014)\), lack of results collected regionally \((CQFS-RS/SC, 2004)\).

Fertilization is considered one of the most effective tool to increase the profits in fruit tree cropping; the relatively low cost of fertilizers and the positive response of tree vegetative and reproductive organs to added nutrients triggered a general use of fertilizer with low attention to actual tree requirements. However, in view of the increasing global market, it is now strategic to improve fruit quality offering a product that meets customer demand in terms of organoleptic characteristics, functional properties and environment.

Excessive nutrient availability compared to plant requirements convey negative results such as excessive plant vigor, decreased yield and fruit skin color, abortion of flowers \((MARTÍN\ et\ al.,\ 2004;\ HUBER; JONES, 2013;\ MARTÍN\ et\ al.,\ 2004)\), as well as increased incidence of fungal diseases on leaves and fruit \((HUBER; THOMPSON, 2007)\). Often, an increase in fruit yield is not observed because soil nutrient availability is already within the adequate range \((MENGEL; KIRKBY, 2001)\); above upper threshold, toxicity symptoms are expected. Sometimes even when a soil nutrient availability is lower than lower threshold, trees do not respond to fertilization because the nutrient reserves build up in perennial organs in previous years \((BRUNETTO\ et\ al.,\ 2014a)\).

The practice of fertilization may affect fruit quality from morphological, physical, chemical, and organoleptic points of view. In particular, fruit quality with reference to chemical composition may be estimated through macro- and micronutrients, \(pH\), total soluble solids \((TSS)\), total or titratable acidity, organic acids, anthocyanins, total polyphenols, vitamins, etc. \((SMART, 1991;\ CHADHA; SHIKHAMANY, 1999)\). The quality of fruit must meet the standards for fresh market, storage or fruit processing. Consequently fertilization management should be adjusted to the final destination.

The aim of this review is to provide information regarding the effects of the application of nutrients on yields and fruit chemical composition of three temperate climate species, namely grape, pear and apple.

GRAPEVINE

Nitrogen

The application of nitrogen \((N)\) on grapevines should be undertaken with caution because of its conflicting effects on vegetative growth, yield, and chemical composition of the grapes, must and wine \((BELL; HENSCHKE, 2005; BRUNETTO\ et\ al., 2007)\). High rates of nitrogen fertilizers as \(NO_3^-\) and \(NH_4^+\), may stimulate vegetative growth hence reducing solar radiation within the plant canopy, favoring the incidence of fungal diseases on the leaves and fruits, reducing the number of pollinated flowers, producing a lower number of berries per bunch and, in the end, delaying leaf senescence and plant dormancy \((KELLER\ et\ al., 1999; DUCHÊNE\ et\ al., 2001; BRUNETTO\ et\ al., 2007, 2009; 2012b)\). In addition, the excessive vigor of grapevines and the lack of light within the canopy may reduce the activity of enzymes that regulate the synthesis of some important compounds, such as anthocyanins \((red\-\ colored\ phenolic\ compounds)\) which color the must and the wine. An increase of the pulp to peel ratio dilutes the concentration of anthocyanins and stimulates the migration of anthocyanins located in berries to growing plant organs, including the new shoots \((KELLER; HRAZDINA, 1998; KELLER\ et\ al., 1999; TESIC\ et\ al., 2007; BRUNETTO\ et\ al., 2009)\). As a result, the yield and composition of the grape, must and wine could be affected.

The effect of \(N\) on grape yield depends mostly on soil physico-chemical characteristics. In sandy soils with low organic matter content, a yield increase is normally expected in grape after the addition of \(N\) fertilisers \((GOLDSPINK; GORDON,
Nitrogen fertilization influences the different aspects of grape quality from cluster morphology (length, width and weight of bunches to number of berries per bunch, weight of the berries, etc.), juice chemical composition (pH, TSS, total titratable acid, organic acids, anthocyanin, and total polyphenols), and organoleptic properties (CHADHA; SHIKHAMANY, 1999). For example, tartaric and malic acid represent more than 90% of all the acids in the berry and indicate the stability and longevity of the wine. The anthocyanins are found in larger quantity in the peel and determine the color of the grape, the juice, and the wine. Total polyphenols, also found in greater amounts in the peel, normally increase as response to N fertilization and contribute to color intensity, tonality, and taste characteristics of grape and wine (CHADHA; SHIKHAMANY, 1999).

In the central region of Washington State, USA, the pH, total N, and ammoniacal N in grape and must increased linearly with rates of N (0, 56, 112, and 224 kg N ha⁻¹) (SPAYD et al., 1994), but showed effect on K concentration, TSS, and malic and tartaric acids in the must. Other reports (SPAYD et al., 1995), showed a negative effect of 0, 30, 60, and 90 kg N ha⁻¹ on TSS. In California, rates of 56 and 112 kg N ha⁻¹ reduced TSS in the grape and the must (CHRISTENSEN et al., 1994). In Portugal, tartaric and malic acid, and total acidity responded positively to added N (0, 30, 60, and 90 kg N ha⁻¹) (PACHECO et al., 1997). In largest grapevines and wine producing region in Brazil, ‘Cabernet Sauvignon’ showed a decrease in the anthocyanin concentration in the must (BRUNETTO et al., 2007; 2009), with increasing rates of soil applied N in the form of urea. In the same area of Southern Brazil, the addition of organic compost as N source, did not affect pH, TSS, total titratable acidity, and tartaric and malic acids in the grape (MELO et al., 2012). The highly variable effects of added N reported in literature may be due to the contrasting soils and organic matter contents. Thus, discussion of the results is normally restricted to the amount of N applied and its impact on the yield and composition of the grape and must.

Application of N increased concentration of total N, ammoniacal N, and biotin in the must (OUGH et al., 1968), especially on ‘Merlot’ (BERTRAND et al., 1991) grown in a sandy soil grafted on different rootstocks. Fermentation of the must may stop in when using grapes with low concentration of N forms because, after C, N is used in greatest amount by yeasts and bacteria. Thus, the concentration of N in the must has an impact on microbial biomass, the rate and time of fermentation, and the final products of the microbial metabolism (CANTARELLI, 1957; BISSON, 1991) such as alcohols and aroma-producing compounds in wine (RAPP; VERSINI, 1991).

**Potassium**

Potassium (K) is one of the macronutrients most required by grapevines and a large amount is exported through harvest. Potassium availability to grape may be assessed by leaf analysis at full bloom and at berry veraison. However, it is not always possible to determine K accurately in the leaves, because most K is present free that can be redistributed rapidly to growing organs (i.e. berries), or stored in reserve organs such as branches and roots (TAGLIAVINI; SCANDELLARI, 2013).

Leaf K is not always related to yield (BOOTERM et al., 2010) because plants, in general, take up an amount of K greater than their metabolic needs, and accumulated it into cell organelles in luxury consumption (KAMINSKI et al., 2007). However, few studies reported an increase in the number and weight of bunches, where was combined with N or when the K fertilizations was applied to soil with a K availability below the critical level for sufficiency (BRUNETTO et al., 2012b).

As much as 50% of total K taken up by the grapevines accumulates in berries. Its functions in the fruit are related to synthesis reactions and enzymatic activation, directly contributing to fruit maturation, sugar synthesis, and the maintenance of cell turgor. In addition, through its mobility in the phloem and xylem, K is important in the transport of solutes, the partition of assimilates, and the synthesis of polyphenols responsible for fruit color and aroma. Nevertheless, an excess of K may be undesirable in terms of adequate pH and total titratable acidity in the must (KODUR, 2011). The pH indicates the ionization capacity of the must and normally
should remain below 3.5, while total titratable acidity represents the amount of free acids. Both are related to the quality (organoleptic and visual characteristics) and stability of the must and wine (oxidation capacity) (MPELASOKA et al., 2003; WALKER; BLACKMORE, 2012). Under conditions of high K concentration, for example, there may be a stoichiometric exchange of the protons of tartaric acid and K, leading K bitartrate, a salt that precipitates and decrease organoleptic quality of the wine. This leads to a reduced transport of malic acid to the cytoplasm, a decrease of its degradation rate (MPELASOKA et al., 2003). As a result tartaric:malic acid ratio decreases, resulting in the increase of pH, and wine susceptibility to oxidation and microbiological damages.

**Phosphorus**

Phosphorus (P) is needed for the formation of cell membranes, carbohydrate metabolism, protein synthesis, photosynthesis, respiration, sugar metabolism, energy storage and transfer (FREGONI, 1980). In addition, P may confer special characteristics to the wines produced in a given region. For example, Zalamena et al. (2013ab) observed that cover plants increased the P concentration in leaves and the P the concentration of anthocyanins in wine. In contrast, P concentration considered adequate for wine-making promotes the fermentation of the must, hence the organoleptic quality of the wine in terms of aroma and flavor (POMMER, 2003).

In geral, the P demand and the amount exported through harvesting is small. In addition, the mutualistic symbiosis between plant roots and endomycorrhizal fungi may facilitate P acquisition and limit the need for P fertilization (SIQUEIRA; MOREIRA, 2001). In Brazil, soils have a low content of available P. For that reason, when soil analysis shows P deficiency, phosphate fertilizers are applied at pre-planting and throughout the production cycle. If soil P is not sufficient to meet plant requirements, grapevines may show symptoms of P deficiency such as low vigor, yellowing or reddening of the basal leaves and early leaf drop. When P added blindly, P accumulation may occur, in the soil and the proportions of P forms change in the soil. As available P forms accumulate in the soil, the P could be transferred through surface runoff or underground flow (SCHMITT et al., 2014). Furthermore, high P contents in the soil may reduce the availability of Zn, because of the formation of Zn phosphate; consequently, symptoms of Zn deficiency may be observed in grape leaves (SKINNER; MATTHEWS, 1989).

**Calcium, magnesium and some micronutrients**

In the acid soils of Southern Brazil, limestone is often applied before grape planting with the aim to increase soil Ca and Mg contents and to reduce Al toxicity. Liming increases base saturation of the cation exchange capacity at the expense of Al saturation. An increase of Ca tissue concentration is expected after soil application of lime leading to the activation of phosphatase and peptidase and increase rigidity of the cell walls of fruit and other grape organs (LECOURIEUX et al., 2006). An increase in Mg contents is also expected to improve chlorophyll synthesis (POMMER, 2003). Some studies reported that in soils with high levels of exchangeable K, symptoms of Mg deficiency in grape leaves and even desiccation of the rachis may occur resulting in physiological disturbance and yield decrease (HALL et al., 2011).

In the uppermost soil layers of the most important areas for grapevine industry worldwide, an accumulation of Cu and Zn arises from the application of fungicides and the addition of organic residues as a source of N, P and K, (BRUNETTO et al., 2014b). The accumulation of Cu and Zn in the soil modifies the distribution of the forms of those elements, increasing the most labile forms that possibly contaminat surface and ground waters, especially in soils with a sandy texture and with low organic matter content (CASALI et al., 2008). Excessive accumulation in the soil is toxic to many crop species (GIROTTO et al., 2014). In addition, an excess of Cu and Zn may be toxic to young growing grapevines and cause physiological and biochemical stress to grapes (MIOTTO et al., 2013). This may impair the production and the chemical composition of the grape and, consequently, of the must and the wine. However, the actual negative impact of excessive Cu and Zn on yield and grape composition should be evaluated in relation to soil properties.

**Nitrogen**

Optimal concentration of N in fruits allows a proper development of skin color, fruit size and flavor (Table 1). Fruit N concentration depends on grafting combination (cultivar and rootstock), environment and orchard management (Table 2), with reported value of 2.5 g N kg fruit dw⁻¹ for ‘Abbé Fetel’ in Italy (QUARTIERI et al., 2002) to 4.5 g N kg fruit dw⁻¹ for ‘Conference’ in Belgium (DECKERS et al., 2011), to 9.8 g N kg fruit dw⁻¹ in...
‘Bartlett’ grown in Argentina (SANCHEZ, 2002). Fruit N concentration is higher during the first stage of fruit development (cytochinesis) and decreases thereafter during fruit growth until harvest (Table 2). An excessive soil N availability in the summer may delay fruit maturation, have a negative impact on TSS, and decrease plant tolerance to pests and diseases as psylla (Cacopsylla pyri L.) symptoms on ‘D’Anjou’ (RAESE; STAIF, 1989) and ‘Bartlett’ (DAUGHERTY et al., 2007), fire blight (Erwinia amylovora) (VAN DER ZWET; KEIL, 1979) and post harvest blue mold (Penicillum expansum) on ‘Conference’ (SUGAR et al., 1992).

Optimum N availability at the end of winter dormancy allows a prompt leaf development that provides assimilates for new fruit and shoot growth. Early N deficiency may reduce leaf area, with the ensuing negative effect on fruit yield and quality. If N deficiency persists during the season, fruits may remain small in size and return poor flavor (Table 1).

As already reported for apple (MILLARD, 1995; TOSELLI, 2000), and pear (TAGLIAVINI et al., 1997), spring growth relies on N stored in permanent organ such as roots, trunk, branches and twigs during the previous year. As a result, if the N supply has been adequate in the previous season, N application can be postponed after floral petal drop. As in the case of apple, N applied late in the season, immediately before harvest, is not partitioned to the fruits but is stored then remobilized in the following spring during flower development (SUGAR et al., 1992). Under the climatic conditions of the Po valley in Italy, 39% of pear fruit N at harvest, comes from previous year storage, 50% is taken up between March and May (first 2 months after bloom), and only 11% of N is from soil-uptaken from end of June to harvest, during the last 2 months of fruit growth (QUARTIERI et al., 2002). Consequently pre harvest (4-5 weeks before harvest) N applications do not increase the N:Ca ratio and pear susceptibility to pre- and post- harvest decay (SUGAR et al., 1992; TOSELLI et al., 1998).

**Potassium**

Potassium (K) is the most abundant nutrient in the fruit where it affects positively the size, firmness, skin color, TSS, acidity, juiciness and aroma. This nutrient is also important during storage since an imbalanced K:Ca ratio may promote cork spot in ‘D’Anjou’ (CURTIS et al., 1990) and ‘Alexander Lucas’ (TOMALA; TRZAK, 1994). Because pear trees generally show higher absorption and transport of Ca to the fruit than apple (MARCELLE, 1995), the negative effect of K on quality of stored pear fruits is less frequent than in apple fruits. Potassium concentration in fruits is stable during the growing season ranging between 0.8 to 1.0%, and it is 30-40% higher in the peel than to the pulp. As observed for N:Ca, the K:Ca ratio is also higher in the flesh (often >30) than the peel (<10). Because K is antagonist to both Ca and Mg, if K increases in the soil over the normal range, both Ca and Mg uptake may decrease, as reported in ‘Abbè Fetel’ in Northern Italy.

**Phosphorus and magnesium**

Due to little plant requirement, deficiency is unlikely to occur. Phosphorous concentration decreases toward the interior of the fruit (FAUST et al., 1967); in the pear fruit, the optimum P concentration range lies between 700 and 1000 mg P (kg dw-1).

Magnesium uptake can be strongly depressed by K+, NH4+, Ca2+ (MARSCHNER, 1995). Deficiencies in plant tissues are common in acid soils, particularly where the soil is high in plant-available K. In pear fruits, Mg ranges between 350 and 1000 mg kg dw-1 depending on fruit stage (Table 2). The Mg accumulates linearly at a slow rate throughout the growing season (TAGLIAVINI et al., 2000).

**Calcium**

Calcium differs from other nutrients because it is transferred to fleshy fruit in amounts much smaller than leaves (SAURE, 2005). Despite Ca sufficiency in most orchard soils, localized Ca-deficiency-related disorders such as bitter pit in apple and pear fruit may become a serious problem.

The dynamics and factors affecting Ca transfer to the fruit are still not fully understood (SAURE, 2005). Some authors reported that Ca uptake by the fruit occurs only during the first part of fruit growth (FAUST, 1989) or linearly until harvest (ZAVALONI et al., 2001). Regardless the dynamics of fruit Ca-accumulation, Ca has a low vascular mobility. Consequently, its uptake and partitioning to the fruits is most consistent in the first stage of fruit development. For this reason it is essential to promote Ca uptake early in the season, approximately within the first 40-50 days after blooming (SHEAR; FAUST, 1971; SCHLEGEL; SCHOENHERR, 2002).

Calcium is involved in cell physiology, the integrity and stability of cell membranes, organization of the cell wall, and tolerance against fungal and bacterial infections (BATEMAN; LUMSDEN, 1965), because Ca is associated to polygalacturonic acid as exchangeable Ca pectate (MARSCHNER, 1995). The Ca pectate is considered to be the Ca fraction best associated with fruit suitability for...
storage. The Ca pectate was found to range between 25% in untreated trees to 30% in soil fertilized in fruits of ‘Abbé Fetel’ the Ca pectate (TOSELLI et al., 2012). On the other hand, high Ca concentration inhibits the activity of polygalacturonase and delays ripening of fruits (MARSCHNER, 1995).

Optimum fruit Ca concentration promotes fruit firmness, increases disease tolerance and reduces storage related disorders. Fruit Ca accumulation is higher at the beginning of fruit development (during fruit cytochinesis), reaches 1800-2000 mg Ca kg dw⁻¹, and decreases thereafter throughout the season until harvest, ranging from 200 to 1000 mg Ca kg dw⁻¹ with average values of 300-400 mg Ca kg dw⁻¹ (Table 2).

Many physiological disorders and susceptibility to pre- and post-harvest fungal decay are related to the Ca status of pear fruits. In ‘Doyenne du Comice’, a negative correlation was found between Ca fruit content and fruit susceptibility to superficial scald (MARCELLE, 1995). It has been reported that storage disorders such as internal breakdown of ‘Passacrassane’, senescent breakdown of ‘Abbé Fetel’ and ‘Bosc’ (GORINI, 1988), corkspot of ‘D’Anjou’ (CURTIS et al., 1990) and ‘Alexander Lucas’ (TOMALA; TRZAK, 1994) pre- and post-harvest diseases such as brown spot (Stemphylium vesicarium), particularly frequent in ‘Abbé Fetel’ and ‘Conference’ can be reduced through increased fruit Ca concentration up to a threshold of 1000 mg Ca kg⁻¹ (TOSELLI et al., 2012). Side rot (Phialophora malorum) in ‘Bosc’ was reduce applying three mid-summer sprays of 3.6 and 6 g Ca L⁻¹ as CaCl₂ (SUGAR et al., 1992). Considering the low mobility of Ca and the little permeability of the fruit cuticle during most phenological stages, foliar and soil applications of Ca fertilizers often show a low effectiveness in promoting Ca accumulation in fruit in both controlled and field studies on calcareous soils in Northern Italy (TOSELLI et al., 2012). Under such environmental conditions, largest effects were obtained with early (bloom) soil applications. Foliar Ca applications have been reported to be effective in sandy, low in organic matter, soils of the US Pacific Northwest (SUGAR et al., 1992), as well as in silt loam soils of Poland (GASTOL; DOMAGALA-SWIATKIEWICZ, 2009). Foliar applications of Ca promoted Ca accumulation in fruit of ‘Conference’ from 93 to 125 mg kg⁻¹ fw (fresh weight basis) in 2004 and from 76 to 101 mg kg⁻¹ fw in 2005..

Other variables may affect fruit Ca composition such as genotype and rootstock. It was observed that fruits of ‘Abbé Fetel’ grafted on quince ‘Sydo’ were more tolerant to brown spot than when grafted on seedling clone ‘Fox 11’, with a higher fraction of Ca pectate compared to ‘Fox 11’ (Table 3). ‘Sydo’ rootstock was led higher leaf and fruit Ca concentrations (Table 3) (QUARTIERI et al., 2013).

According to Tomala and Tszark (1994) cork spot (pear bitter pit) in ‘Alexander Lucas’ could be predicted using the following equation R² = 0.84):

\[
X = 5.46 + 1.33 \text{ (fruit Mn)} - 0.13 \text{ (fruit Ca)} + 0.11 \text{ (fruit Mg)}
\]

where: Mn, Ca and Mg are expressed in mg (kg dw⁻¹). In the Hood river valley of Oregon, threshold for cork spot in ‘D’Anjou’ was found to be peel N:Ca ratio > 6.3 (CURTIS et al., 1990). On the other hand it was reported (SUGAR et al., 1992) that where fruiting is dense, N is diluted while Ca concentration increases, in agreement with the concept that a smaller leaf:fruit ratio must lead to a higher fruit Ca concentration (SUGAR et al., 1992). Hence, where fruits occur to be sparse, the fruit N:Ca ratio is likely to be relatively high and the fruit to be more susceptible to defects (SUGAR et al., 1992).

In mature fruits, Ca concentration increases towards the core (SAURE, 2005); the peel is approximately 4 times higher in Ca than the pulp. At the same time, peel N is usually twice as high as flesh N; as a result, the N:Ca ratio is lower in peel (<10) than in pulp (>10) (GASTOL; DOMAGALA-SWIATKIEWICZ, 2009).

The pear trees take up NH₄⁺-N preferentially to NO₃⁻-N (MALAGUTI et al., 2001). Because Ca²⁺ competes with NH₄⁺-N and K⁺; high application of ammonium may inhibit Ca root uptake. This is why ammonium fertilizers should be applied at least 40 days after blooming (FAUST, 1989), and split-applied at 3 occasions such as fruit set, fruit cell enlargement and end of summer (immediately before harvest or post-harvest). The application rates should be calibrated on the basis of soil mineral-N (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) (TAGLIAVINI et al., 1996). The N can also be dissolved in fertigation water and applied at regular intervals from flower petal drop to harvest.

**Micronutrients**

Among micronutrients, boron (B) is one of the most critical in pear orchards. Indeed, it is believed that pear trees have a high B requirement (WOJCIK; WOJCIK, 2003). Typical symptoms of B deficiency are the reduction of fruit set and yielding, as well as small, deformed, cracked and corked fruits (Table 1). Optimum fruit B concentration at harvest may range between 16 and 20 mg B kg⁻¹ dw⁻¹ (RAESE, 1989). An adequate B concentration promotes Ca mobility, regulates flowering and fruit set, and contributes to a stable fruit production. In Poland, foliar application of B to ‘Conference’ increased fruit Ca concentration
and storability by reducing the permeability of cortex cells and the incidence of internal browning (WOJCIC; WOJCIC, 2003). Since B is mobile in the trees of the Rosaceae family (BROWN et al., 1999), the most appropriate application period is the end of summer when B is stored in permanent organs and can be remobilized to the developing fruits the following spring (SANCHEZ et al., 1998).

Despite the abundance of iron (Fe) in soils, Fe acquisition by fruit crops is often impaired, compromising fruit yield and quality. The occurrence of Fe chlorosis depends on several environmental and agronomic factors (TAGLIAVINI; ROMBOLÀ, 2001) such as soil, climatic conditions, grafting, etc. Iron concentration in pear fruits is low, ranging from 20 to 35 mg Fe kg dw⁻¹ (Table 2). In calcareous soils, Fe can be a yield-limiting factor when trees are grafted on ‘quince’, the most common rootstock. To avoid yield losses, it is a common practice to fertilize pear trees grafted on ‘quince’ with synthetic Fe chelates and to include sustainable orchard management practices (TAGLIAVINI; ROMBOLÀ, 2001; ÁLVAREZ-FERNÁNDEZ et al., 2004) that includes grass intercropping, soil organic matter buildup, improvement of soil drainage, etc.

Manganese (Mn) concentration in pear fruits is very low ranging from 2 to 4 mg Mn kg dw⁻¹ (Table 2). The Mn deficiency can severely reduce fruit yield and shows symptoms similar to Fe chlorosis; it may occur in alcaline and calcareous soils, but also in soils with a high content of organic matter (MARSCHNER, 1995). The Mn deficiency in pear fruits is more common with ‘quince’ rootstocks.

Pear is considered to be a (Zn) sensitive species (SHEAR; FAUST, 1980). At low level of soil Zn availability, plant growth is impaired, and fruit set and yields are limited. Under such conditions, fruits are small, deformed and sour, and ripen early. Pear responds promptly to Zn foliar application. Where Zn deficiency occurs, pre-bloom Zn sprays are successful to increase Zn concentration in flowers while post-bloom Zn sprays are effective in promoting leaf and fruit Zn levels. However, fruit trees require little Zn in addition to Zn-based sprays to controlling diseases; in this case, Zn foliar sprays are not successful in improving plant growth and fruit yield and quality (WOJCIC; POPIŃSKA, 2009).

Copper concentration in pear fruits is low, ranging from 5 to 13 mg Cu kg dw⁻¹ (Table 2). Pear is one of the crops most subjected to Cu sprays for controlling diseases in low impact agricultural systems (TOSELLI et al., 2009). As a result, soil Cu accumulation is frequent and may lead to Cu toxicity symptoms. Copper uptake by roots and plant susceptibility to Cu toxicity depend on soil texture, pH, hydrous oxide content, and clay mineralogy (BRUN et al., 2001; EPSTEIN; BASSEIN, 2001; MENGEL; KIRKBY, 2001; TOSELLI et al., 2008). High soil Cu concentration was found to reduce the photosynthetic activity of pear trees, indirectly by inhibiting the uptake of Mn and Zn -. Copper toxicity is common in sandy, low pH soils; in loam to silt loam soils high in organic matter, the toxicity threshold exceeds 1000 mg Cu kg⁻¹ (TOSELLI et al., 2008).

**APPLE**

**Nitrogen**

All other factors but N being equal, the higher the N availability in the soil and the higher the N uptake, the higher will be the vegetative growth of apple trees. Shoot growth responds more to enhanced soil N availability than root growth and crop productivity. Annual N uptake data based on estimates of tree growth crop productivity and mineral nutrient concentration of tree organs suggest that N removals from soil are in the range of 60 to 75 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, depending on yields (TAGLIAVINI; SCANDELLARI, 2013). The N allocation to fruits for yields from 40 to 60 t FW ha⁻¹ are often in the range 20 to 30 kg N ha⁻¹ (Table 4). From growth resumption in spring and until two weeks from full bloom, apple trees use mainly N derived from remobilization, while root N uptake becomes the main N source thereafter. As recently indicated in Zanotelli et al. (2014) N uptake occurs at highest rates from 37 to 81 days after full bloom then starts to decrease (Table 5). Foliar-applied N is absorbed rapidly with higher efficiency and therefore represents an interesting mean to supplement soil N supply (TOSELLI et al., 2004), especially in post-harvest, when soil N supply often results in increase risks of N leaching due to autumn and winter rainfall. Apple nutrient concentration depends on cultivars and years, being often in the range of 300-400 ppm. Excessive soil N availability causes luxury consumption and depresses apple fruit color in red-colored varieties mainly because of increased shading caused by excessive shoot growth; it can moreover depress shoot hardening (lignification), making them more susceptible to winter frost damages. Because N is highly mobile in the soil, the higher is the N supply the higher the risks of N losses by leaching or by volatilization.

**Potassium**

In mature and highly productive apple orchards, K is often the nutrient absorbed at highest rates. Apple fruit, in fact, is a strong sink for K and
it normally contains significant amounts of K, with concentration ranging from 0.55 to 0.8 kg K Mg\(^{-1}\) fruit d.w. (ZA\(\text{VALLONI et al.}, 2001\)). Therefore annual K uptake strongly depends on fruit yields and can range from 80 to 100 kg K ha\(^{-1}\) with yields ranging from 40 to 60 Mg fruit (f.w.) ha\(^{-1}\).

The K deficient apple trees normally have leaf K concentration in summer less than 1% K (dw basis), show reduced leaf photosynthesis and produce fruits with less sugars. Optimum sugar to acid ratio and fruit size are often reported in soils well endowed with K. Trees under excessive K supply show sometimes Ca-related disorders and have excessive fruit K/Ca ratios. Similarly to N, the highest rate of K uptake by apple trees occurs after cell division and lasts for at least 5 weeks (Table 5); K uptake rates remain relatively high until fruit harvest. Due to its high phloem mobility, K allocation to fruit remains relatively stable from fruit set to fruit maturity (ZA\(\text{VALLONI et al.}, 2001\)).

**Calcium**

Main issues related with Ca uptake and partitioning as well as the physiological role of Ca in fruits have been discussed in details in the preceding section. In apple, Ca is involved in the development of physiological fruit disorders such as bitter pit especially in post-harvest. On the other hand, increased fruit Ca content by pre-harvest Ca spray applications reduced the infection by *Gloeosporium*, an internal breakdown and softening of apples. Post-harvest CaCl\(_2\) applications are also known to reduce the decay caused by *Penicillium* and other fungal diseases.

Total fruit Ca concentration is often in the range of 200-400 ppm (dw), but differs between the peel and the flesh, where it can reach much higher concentrations (>700 ppm). Apple cultivars differ as to their susceptibility to Ca-deficiency related bitter pit, with ‘Gala’ and ‘Golden Delicious’ being less susceptible than ‘Breaburn’, ‘Red Decilious’ and ‘Stayman’. Soils in the main apple districts worldwide are often well endowed with Ca and Ca-related disorders are the consequence of internal problems of proper fruit Ca allocation rather than in Ca uptake. Total Ca uptake by apple trees can be comparable N; for instance, Scandellari et al. (2010) estimated that 74 kg Ca ha\(^{-1}\) are taken up annually by ‘Gala’ trees, then allocated as follows: 11% in the tree framework, 4% in fruits, 60% in leaves and 25% in pruned wood.

Several susceptible apple varieties (e.g. ‘Cox’s Orange Pippin’) accumulate most Ca within 4-6 weeks from full bloom, but Ca flux into fruit can continue (even at lower rates) until 120-140 days in ‘Golden D.’, ‘Breaburn’ and ‘Fuji’ (ZA\(\text{VALLONI et al.}, 2001\)), provided that Ca partitioning to vegetative growth is not excessive. When fruits reach large sizes (e.g. in off years) the amount of fruit Ca becomes very diluted and fruits are more prone to develop bitter pit.

Pre-harvest as well as post-harvest Ca sprays (usually in the form of CaCl\(_2\) or Ca (NO\(_3\))\(_2\), in the range of 0.3-0.6% w:v) are the most widely means for preventing bitter pit. Cuticle Ca uptake rate per unit of fruit surface is higher when fruits are young, and decreases thereafter (SCHÖNHERR, 2002); considering that larger fruits in a later stage of development have larger cuticular surface, both early and late sprays are effective and often included in the management pratices where susceptible varieties are grown.

Thus, grapevine berries, must and wine quality are affected by the addition of nutrients, principally by N, that regulate the synthesis of some important compounds, such as anthocyanins, which are responsible for coloring of the must and the wine. Fermentation of the must may stop in grapes with low concentration of N because N is requested in high amount by yeasts. Nitrogen excess may increase the pulp to peel ratio, diluting the concentration of anthocyanins and promoting the migration of anthocyanins from berries to the growing plant organs. Potassium is also important for wine quality contributing to adequate berry maturation, concentration of sugars, synthesis of phenols and the regulation of pH and acidity. In apple and pear fruit, Ca and K are important for fruit quality and storage. Potassium is the most important component of fruit, however, any excess should be avoided and an adequate K:Ca balance should be achieved. Adequate concentration of Ca in the fruit prevents pre- and post-harvest fruit disorders and, at the same time, increases tolerance to pathogens. Nitrogen availability should be monitored to avoid
excessive N uptake that may decrease fruit skin color and storability.

**TABLE 1** - Principal symptoms of deficiency and excess of nutrients in pear fruits.

| Nutrient | Deficiency                                                                 | Excess                                                                                           | Source                                           |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| N        | low size, high firmness, early maturation, lack of flavor                 | Late maturation disorders (cork spot), pre and post harvest decay                                | Sugar et al. (1992)                             |
| P        | High juiceness, Low size and juice acidity                                | Late maturation, less aroma and sweetness                                                          | Faust (1989)                                    |
| K        | Low size                                                                  | Low storage suitability, cork spot                                                               | Curtis et al. (1990)                            |
| Ca       | Cork spot (bitter pit), black end, internal breakdown, senescent breakdown, watercore, alfalfa greening, high respiration, earlier maturation and peel yellowing | Delay in ethylene evolution                                                                      | Curtis et al. (1990) Gorini (1988) Meheriuk et al. (1982) Tomala e Trzak (1994) |
| Zn       | Low size, fruits are deformed, sour, early ripen                          |                                                                                                  | Wójcik and Popińska (2009)                       |
| B        | Low size, deformation, cracking, corking, pitting, black end,             | Early maturation, Lower storage suitability                                                        | Wojcik and Wojcik (2003)                        |

**TABLE 2** - Nutrient concentration (on a d.w. basis) in pear fruit at thinning and at harvest.

| Nutrient | Fruit at thinning | Fruit harvest | Source                                           |
|----------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| N (g kg⁻¹) | 7.0-8.0            | 2.0-10.0       | Quartieri et al. (2002) Deckers et al. (2011) Sanchez (2002) |
| P (g kg⁻¹) | 2.0-3.0            | 0.7-1.2        | Quartieri et al. (2002) Sanchez (2002)           |
| K (g kg⁻¹) | 10.0              | 8.0-10.0       | Quartieri et al. (2002)                          |
| Ca (mg kg⁻¹) | 1200-1800        | 400-1000       | Quartieri et al. (2002) Toselli et al. (2012) Wojcik and Wojcik (2003) |
| Mg (mg kg⁻¹) | 700-1000          | 350-700        | Quartieri et al. (2002) Sanchez (2002)            |
| Fe (mg kg⁻¹) | 30-70             | 20-35          | Sanchez (2002)                                  |
| Mn (mg kg⁻¹) | 5                 | 2.5-4          | Sanchez (2002)                                  |
| Cu (mg kg⁻¹) | 8                 | 5-13           | Sanchez (2002)                                  |
| Zn (mg kg⁻¹) | 40-80             | 25-35          | Mirabdulbaghi (2014) Sanchez (2002)                |
| B (mg kg⁻¹) | 40-80             | 20-30          | Wojcik and Wojcik (2003)                         |

Values refers to cv. Abbé Fetel, with the exception of Sanchez (2002) = cv. Bartlett; Deckers et al. (2011)=Conference.
TABLE 3 - Effect of rootstock on concentration of total Ca in leaf and fruit, Ca pectate in fruit K and Mg in fruit of pear trees (cv Abbé Fetel) 70 days before harvest.

| Rootstock          | Leaf Ca (%)| Fruit Ca (%)| Fruit Ca Pectate (mg kg⁻¹) | Brown spot symptoms (mm²) |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sydo (C. oblonga)  | 0.82       | 0.169       | 283                       | 148                       |
| Fox 11 (P. communis) | 0.60       | 0.106       | 252                       | 185                       |
| P>F                | 0.001      | 0.001       | 0.001                      | 0.001                     |

TABLE 4 - Example of uptake and partitioning of N in Fuji trees with fruit yields = 70 t ha⁻¹.

| Yearly produced biomass (t) | N (%) | Removed amounts of N (kg ha⁻¹) |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|
| Leaves                      | 2.3   | 0.85                          | 20                           |
| Fruits                      | 70    | 0.27                          | 33                           |
| Framwork and pruned wood    | 4.1   | 0.7                           | 28                           |
| Fine roots                  | 2.9   | 0.75                          | 22                           |
| Total                       |       |                               | 103                          |

TABLE 5 - Biomass increase (D.W.) and nutrient influx rate into bourse shoots with one apple fruit di melo from full bloom (day 0) to harvest (Day 158). Data are per single bourse shoot and are the average of Golden del. and Nicoter (ZANOTELLI et al., 2014).

| Period (days from full bloom) | BIOMASS (D.W., mg day⁻¹) | N (mg day⁻¹) | P (mg day⁻¹) | K (mg day⁻¹) | Ca (mg day⁻¹) | Mg (mg day⁻¹) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| 0-36                          | 90                       | 1.90         | 0.19         | 1.72         | 0.63          | 0.18          |
| 37-81                         | 280                      | 2.45         | 0.39         | 3.63         | 1.36          | 0.28          |
| 82-117                        | 320                      | 1.52         | 0.22         | 2.79         | 0.58          | 0.15          |
| 118-158                       | 260                      | 0.88         | 0.17         | 1.63         | 0.26          | 0.07          |
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