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Abstract

Introduction: Hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) often occurring as ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is the most frequent hospital infection in intensive care units (ICU). Early adequate antimicrobial therapy is an essential determinant of clinical outcome. Organisations like the German PEG or ATS/IDSA provide guidelines for the initial calculated treatment in the absence of pathogen identification. We conducted a retrospective chart review for patients with HAP/VAP and assessed whether the initial intravenous antibiotic therapy (IIAT) was adequate according to the PEG guidelines.

Materials and Methods: We collected data from 5 tertiary care hospitals. Electronic data filtering identified 895 patients with potential HAP/VAP. After chart review we finally identified 221 patients meeting the definition of HAP/VAP. Primary study endpoints were clinical improvement, survival and length of stay. Secondary endpoints included duration of mechanical ventilation, total costs, costs incurred on the intensive care unit (ICU), costs incurred on general wards and drug costs.

Results: We found that 107 patients received adequate initial intravenous antibiotic therapy (IIAT) vs. 114 with inadequate IIAT according to the PEG guidelines. Baseline characteristics of both groups revealed no significant differences and good comparability. Clinical improvement was 64% over all patients and 82% (85/104) in the subpopulation with adequate IIAT while only 47% (48/103) inadequately treated patients improved (p<0.001). The odds ratio of therapeutic success with GA versus NGA treatment was 5.821 (p<0.001, [95% CI: 2.712-12.497]). Survival was 80% for the total population (n = 221), 86% in the adequately treated (92/107) and 74% in the inadequately treated subpopulation (84/114) (p = 0.021). The odds ratio of mortality for GA versus NGA treatment was 0.565 (p=0.117, [95% CI: 0.276-1.155]). Adequately treated patients had a significantly shorter length of stay (LOS) (23.9 vs. 28.3 days; p = 0.022), require significantly less hours of mechanical ventilation (175 vs. 274; p = 0.001), incurred lower total costs (EUR 28,033 vs. EUR 36,139, p = 0.006) and lower ICU-related costs (EUR 13,308 vs. EUR 18,666, p = 0.003).

Discussion: These findings are consistent with those from other studies analyzing the impact of guideline adherence on survival rates, clinical success, LOS and costs. However, inadequately treated patients had a higher complicated pathogen risk score (CPRS) compared to those who received adequate therapy. This shows that therapy based on local experiences may be sufficient for patients with low CPRS but inadequate for those with high CPRS. Linear regression models showed that single items of the CPRS like extrapulmonary organ failure or late onset had no significant influence on the results.

Conclusion: Guideline-adherent initial intravenous antibiotic therapy is clinically superior, saves lives and is less expensive than non guideline adherent therapy. Using a CPRS score can be a useful tool to determine the right choice of initial intravenous antibiotic therapy. The net effect on the German healthcare system per year is estimated at up to 2,042 lives and EUR 125,819,000 saved if guideline-adherent initial therapy for HAP/VAP were established in all German ICUs.

List of abbreviations:
ALOS = Average length of stay in a given DRG, basis for determining whether a patient causes more costs than reimbursement;
LOS = Length of stay in hospital;
HAP = Hospital acquired pneumonia;
VAP = Ventilator-associated pneumonia;
CAP = Community acquired pneumonia;
DRG = Diagnoses related groups, systems to classify patients based on their resource consumptions;
CW = Cost-weight of a given DRG, directly related to clinical and economical “severity” (resource consumption);
CPRS = Complicated pathogen risk score;
ICD = International Classification of Diseases;
ICD-10 = ICD, Revision 10;
PDX = Primary diagnosis (in ICD-10);
SDX = Secondary diagnosis (in ICD-10);
HMV = Hours of mechanical ventilation;
ICU = Intensive care unit;
hospitals were selected because we assumed that participate in the German dRG annual evaluation university hospitals. Moreover the selected hospitals guidelines adherence was highest in tertiary care and hospitals and 3 major urban tertiary hospitals). these secondary diagnosis. In our study we collected the secondary diagnoses (Icd-10 encoded) [19-24].

above-specified data from 5 hospitals (2 university Ga = IIat according to guideline = guideline adherent; IIat = Initial intravenous antibiotic therapy; lRtI = lower respiratory tract infection; blI = beta-lactamase inhibitor; ats = american thoracic society; antibiotic therapy; PEG = Paul-Ehrlich Gesellschaft, providing guidelines on economical analyses as they include all primary and administrative, clinical data. a subset of approximately 250 hospitals additionally provides cost data. These data are primarily used for the annual evaluation and cost calculation within the German dRG-system (G-DRG). These datasets are frequently used in clinical-economical analyses as they include all primary and secondary diagnoses (ICD-10 encoded) [19-24].

We investigated HAP/VAP outcomes and therefore focussed on ICD codes representing pneumonia as a secondary diagnosis. In our study we collected the above-specified data from 5 hospitals (2 university hospitals and 3 major urban tertiary hospitals). These hospitals were selected because we assumed that guidelines adherence was highest in tertiary care and university hospitals. Moreover the selected hospitals participate in the German DRG annual evaluation program. The German Institute for the Hospitals Remuneration System (InEK) that performs these evaluations uses high quality standards regarding cost data.

Together with clinical advisors we selected the patient population of interest. As HAP/VAP are specifically relevant on ICU [5, 9, 25], we used the recommendations of the PEG guidelines for adults to determine whether a given initial intravenous antibiotic therapy (IIAT) was adherent to guidelines. Thus we only included patients who where at least 18 years old at the time of treatment initiation.

Identifying patients for clinical economic analyses from DRG-related data has certain limitations and therefore needs special attention. The diagnoses are encoded in ICD-10 GM (German modification). A patient has a primary diagnosis (PDX) which is the retrospectively identified cause for hospitalization. Each dataset also contains a number of secondary diagnoses (SDX). A SDX must be documented if the disease caused significant resource consumption during the hospital stay. Some SDXs, the so-called complications and comorbidities (CC), are cost-relevant within the DRG system. In the German DRG dataset an SDX has no date and no flag whether it is already present on admission (POA) or not. Thus the mere existence of a pneumonia code among the SDXs does not unequivocally indicate a HAP/VAP. However, since 2009/10 the ICD-10 GM includes the code U69.00 as an indicator SDX for HAP/VAP, making HAP/VAP identification much easier.

In our dataset we excluded all patients with pneumonia or exacerbated COPD as PDX. All patients having a pneumonia code as SDX and no such code as PDX were included.

The PEG guidelines (2004/2005 version) for IIAT of HAP are similar to the ATS or IDSA guidelines in terms of the therapeutic options. We chose the PEG recommendations since they provide a convenient scoring system that defines three different patient groups based on the risk of the involvement of complicated pathogens. [26-31].

Table 1a provides an overview to the CPRS system in the PEG-recommendations. Table 1b shows the therapy recommendations depending on the calculated score.

### Materials and Methods

German hospitals collect and submit vast amounts of administrative, clinical data. A subset of approximately 250 hospitals additionally provides cost data. These data are primarily used for the annual evaluation and cost calculation within the German DRG-System (G-DRG). These datasets are frequently used in clinical-economical analyses as they include all primary and secondary diagnoses (ICD-10 encoded) [19-24].

We investigated HAP/VAP outcomes and therefore focussed on ICD codes representing pneumonia as a secondary diagnosis. In our study we collected the above-specified data from 5 hospitals (2 university hospitals and 3 major urban tertiary hospitals). These hospitals were selected because we assumed that guidelines adherence was highest in tertiary care and university hospitals. Moreover the selected hospitals participate in the German DRG annual evaluation program. The German Institute for the Hospitals Remuneration System (InEK) that performs these evaluations uses high quality standards regarding cost data.

Together with clinical advisors we selected the patient population of interest. As HAP/VAP are specifically relevant on ICU [5, 9, 25], we used the recommendations of the PEG guidelines for adults to determine whether a given initial intravenous antibiotic therapy (IIAT) was adherent to guidelines. Thus we only included patients who where at least 18 years old at the time of treatment initiation.

Identifying patients for clinical economic analyses from DRG-related data has certain limitations and therefore needs special attention. The diagnoses are encoded in ICD-10 GM (German modification). A patient has a primary diagnosis (PDX) which is the retrospectively identified cause for hospitalization. Each dataset also contains a number of secondary diagnoses (SDX). A SDX must be documented if the disease caused significant resource consumption during the hospital stay. Some SDXs, the so-called complications and comorbidities (CC), are cost-relevant within the DRG system. In the German DRG dataset an SDX has no date and no flag whether it is already present on admission (POA) or not. Thus the mere existence of a pneumonia code among the SDXs does not unequivocally indicate a HAP/VAP. However, since 2009/10 the ICD-10 GM includes the code U69.00 as an indicator SDX for HAP/VAP, making HAP/VAP identification much easier.

In our dataset we excluded all patients with pneumonia or exacerbated COPD as PDX. All patients having a pneumonia code as SDX and no such code as PDX were included.

The PEG guidelines (2004/2005 version) for IIAT of HAP are similar to the ATS or IDSA guidelines in terms of the therapeutic options. We chose the PEG recommendations since they provide a convenient scoring system that defines three different patient groups based on the risk of the involvement of complicated pathogens. [26-31].

| Risk factor | Score |
|-------------|-------|
| Age > 65 yrs | 1     |
| Structural lung disease (e.g. COPD) | 2     |
| Previous antibiotic treatment | 2     |
| Late onset (5 or more days after admission) | 3     |
| Invasive or non-invasive mechanical ventilation on onset date | 3     |
| Extrapulmonary organ failure | 4     |

The total complicated pathogen risk score (CPRS) is calculated by adding up all individual scores for risk factors identified in a given patient.
Using the initial data filter, we identified patients with probable HaP/vaP. We then performed the following analyses via medical chart review (if available):

- Check ICD coding and medical documentation to verify if HaP/vaP was present and patient can be included.
- Checking other inclusion/exclusion criteria like the ICU-days (max 20 days)
- Determine the HaP/vaP date of onset using radiology results, laboratory findings and clinical documentation [25]
- Calculate the cPRs according to PEG recommendations [15]
- Document IIAT starting with the date of onset.
- Compare the actual IIAT to the PEG recommendations
- Determine whether the treatment was adequate or non-adequate according to the PEG guidelines. The criterion of IIAT adequacy was used to divide the cohort in two subpopulations.
- Check ‘clinical improvement’ as documented by laboratory results, radiology or clinical/nursing documentation, and respiratory parameters in mechanically ventilated patients. Clinical improvement was defined as improvement of respiratory parameters, decreasing CRP, decreasing WBC and/or radiological signs of improvement. The patient was categorized as ‘improved’ only if improvement occurred within 4 days of treatment initiation. Patients with unclear improvement status data were categorized as indeterminate.
- The chart review was performed by two clinical infectious disease specialists. In addition, the charts were reviewed by ICD coding experts to determine whether coding was correct.

For the patients included in the final analysis set, we gathered the data listed in Table 2 for further analysis. Table 3 shows the primary and secondary endpoints of the statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

In total, we received 211,084 datasets from the 5 hospitals for the year 2007. Through the initial data filter we identified 895 patients with probable HAP/VAP. 647 patients were excluded during chart reviews. For 223 patients the medical record was missing (could not be retrieved) or not complete (e.g. laboratory or microbiology data). 166 patients turned out to be cases with CAP. This is due to the fact that pneumonia and another relevant condition were already present on admission but the other diagnosis was chosen as primary diagnosis for DRG purposes. In most cases this was acute left-heart failure combined with pneumonia. In
127 cases, pneumonia was coded as SDX but could not be validated via chart review. 45 cases had aspiration during or shortly after admission, 41 cases had been transferred from another hospital (i.e. IIAT started there) and 36 cases had pneumonia onset earlier than 48 hours after admission. Therefore, these three groups did not meet the definition of HAP, i.e. pneumonia occurring 48 h or later after hospital admission [25]. 33 patients had an ICU stay of more than 20 days, 2 cases had cystic fibrosis as underlying chronic illness which is not covered by the general recommendations for HAP/VAP. Finally 1 patient was excluded since he was readmitted within less than 14 days and both stays were consolidated due to DRG regulations. The cost data therefore do not longer reflect the hospital stay in which the pneumonia occurred.

After this process, 221 patients were included in the final analysis set. Shapiro-Wilkes test of the subpopulations showed normal distribution of values in both subpopulations. T tests on showed no significant differences.

Figure 1 provides an overview of the inclusion process.

Age, distribution of sex and mean CW of the patients as well as primary diagnosis and the type of intervention (surgical, interventional, medical only) were used to determine whether the two subpopulations (adequate vs. inadequate IIAT) were comparable at baseline. Shapiro-Wilkes test was used to check for normal distribution of values in both subpopulations. Student T test was used to check for significance of differences. Table 4 shows the baseline patient characteristics of the final analysis set. There were no statistically significant differences in patient distribution between the two subpopulations.

We then tested for significant differences in the primary endpoints clinical improvement and survival. Table 5 shows the results of the chi-square test for differences in clinical improvement. The proportion of patients with improvement was significantly higher in patients with adequate therapy according to guidelines.

Table 6 shows the results of the chi-square test for differences in survival.

The association to clinical improvement and survival of possible cofactors such as age, gender, presence of neurological, cardiological disease or polytrauma was tested by logistic regression.

The rates of clinical improvement were 64% for all patients, 82% (85/104) in the GA-group and 47% (48/103) in the nGA group, respectively (p<0.001). The odds ratio of therapeutic success with GA versus NGA treatment was 5.821 (p<0.001, [95% CI: 2.712-12.497]). The odds ratio for age (elderly vs. non-elderly) was 0.983 (p = 0.215, [95% CI: 0.957-1.010]), the OR for gender (female vs. male) was 1.030 (p = 0.940 [95% CI: 0.475-2.232]) and the OR for the disease groups (present vs. not present) was 1.048 (p = 0.902, [95% CI: 0.496-2.214]).

The survival rates were 80% for all patients, 86% in the GA-group (92/107) and 74% in the NGA-group, respectively (0 = 0.021). The odds ratio of mortality
Table 4. Baseline characteristics of the two subpopulations in the final analysis set.

| Characteristics                          | Adequate IAT according to PEG guidelines | p value |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                         | yes                                      | No      |         |
| Number of cases                          | 107                                      | 114     |         |
| Male / female                            | 73 / 34                                  | 73 / 41 |         |
| Age (± SD)                               | 63.4 (+/- 13.3)                          | 65.2 (+/- 14.0) | n.s. |
| DRG cost weight (± SD)                   | 8.663 (+/- 5.947)                        | 10.071 (+/- 5.882) | n.s. |
| Type of intervention                     |                                          |         |         |
| Surgical procedure                       | 102                                      | 99      | n.s.    |
| Other procedure                          | 5                                        | 4       | n.s.    |
| Medical                                  | 7                                        | 4       | n.s.    |
| Primary diagnosis (ICD 10 chapter)       |                                          |         |         |
| I Infections and parasitic diseases      | 1                                        | 2       | n.s.    |
| II Neoplasms                             | 18                                       | 15      | n.s.    |
| III Blood                                | 3                                        | 2       | n.s.    |
| VI Nervous system                        | 4                                        | 4       | n.s.    |
| IX Circulatory system                    | 63                                       | 67      | n.s.    |
| X Respiratory system                     | 2                                        | 2       | n.s.    |
| XI Digestive system                      | 4                                        | 2       | n.s.    |
| XIII Musculoskeletal system              | 2                                        | 1       | n.s.    |
| XIV Genitourinary system                 | 1                                        | 0       | n.s.    |
| XVIII Symptoms, signs and abnormal       | 0                                        | 1       | n.s.    |
| clinical and laboratory findings,        |                                          |         |         |
| not classified elsewhere                 |                                          |         |         |
| XIX Injury, poisoning and other          | 16                                       | 11      | n.s.    |
| consequences of external causes          |                                          |         |         |
|                                         | n.s. = not statistically significant      |         |         |

Table 5. Clinical improvement according to IAT adequacy.

| Adequate IAT according to PEG guidelines | Yes | No | p value |
|------------------------------------------|-----|----|---------|
|                                         | 85 (81.7%) | 48 (46.6%) | < 0.001 |
| N = 104                                  | 104  | 103 |         |
| Clinical improvement                     |     |    |         |

* The table includes 207 patients. 14 patients of the final analysis set were excluded since clinical improvement was indeterminate as follow-up x-ray images or laboratory results could not be retrieved.

Table 6. Survival according to IAT adequacy.

| Adequate IAT according to PEG guidelines | Yes | No | p-value |
|------------------------------------------|-----|----|---------|
|                                         | 107 | 114|         |
| N = Survived                             | 92  | (85.6%) |     |

for GA vs. NGA treatment was 0.565 (p = 0.117, [95% CI: 0.276-1.155]). Having neurological disease, cardiologic disease or polytrauma was found to be a strong negative predictor of survival with an OR of 0.241 (p = 0.012, [95% CI: 0.096-0.609]). The odds ratios for age (elderly vs. non-elderly) and gender (female vs. male) were 1.026 (p = 0.79, [95% CI: 0.997-1.056]) and 1.246 (p = 0.545, [95% CI: 0.612-2.536]), respectively. For the subsequent economical analyses the patients with lethal outcome were excluded. All outcome measures were compared via Student T test.
Table 7. Economic outcomes according to IIAT adequacy (deceased patients excluded from analysis). Costs in €.

| Adequate IIAT according to PEG guidelines | Yes | No | Difference | p value |
|------------------------------------------|-----|----|------------|---------|
| N = 92 | 84 | -- | -- | -- |
| Length of stay, days, (+/- SD) 23.9 (+/- 12.9) | 28.3 (+/- 12.7) | -4.5 | 0.022 |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation, hours 175 (+/- 174) | 274 (+/- 223) | -99 | 0.001 |
| Hospital costs | | | | |
| Total costs, € 28,033 (+/- 16,574) | 36,139 (+/- 20,036) | -8,106 | 0.006 |
| Total costs ward 3,062 (+/- 3,207) | 2,918 (+/- 3,216) | 145 | n.s. |
| Total costs ICU 13,308 (+/- 10,706) | 18,666 (+/- 12,068) | -5,358 | 0.003 |
| Drug costs € | | | | |
| Total drug costs 4,096 (+/- 4,061) | 4,833 (+/- 5,585) | -737 | n.s. |
| Drug costs ward 655 (+/- 1,632) | 622 (+/- 1,783) | 33 | n.s. |
| Drug costs ICU 2,083 (+/- 2,395) | 2,372 (+/- 2,412) | -288 | n.s. |

Table 8. Comparison of chosen vs. recommended PEG treatment group in the subpopulation with inadequate IIAT (n = 114).

| Chosen therapy group | Recommended PEG treatment group according to CPRS score |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Therapy does not fit in any group | I | II | III |
| Therapy group I | 2 | 34 | 14 |
| Therapy group II | | | 53 |
| Total | 2 | 38 | 74 |

Table 9. Types of IIAT inadequacy.

| Type of deviation from PEG recommendation | n = |
|------------------------------------------|-----|
| Monotherapy instead of combination therapy | 64 |
| Aminopenicillin/BLI instead of | 16 |
| Acylaminopenicillin/BLI | 16 |
| Cephalosporin 3a instead of Cephalosporin 3b/4 | |
| Wrong combination therapy | 10 |
| Wrong monotherapy (chosen antibiotic not in recommendations) | 4 |
| No therapy at onset date of HAP/VAP | 3 |
| Oral instead of intravenous medication | 1 |
| Total | 114 |

Table 7 shows the primary and secondary economic outcomes for the two sub-populations (deceased patients excluded from analysis).

Patients in the subpopulation with adequate IIAT show a significantly shorter length of stay (23.9 vs. 28.3 days; p = 0.022), have significantly less hours of mechanical ventilation (175 vs. 274; p = 0.001), incurred lower total costs (EUR 28,033 vs. EUR 36,139; p = 0.006) and lower ICU costs (EUR 13,308 vs. EUR 18,666, p = 0.003). Drug costs for the hospital stay were also lower (EUR 4,069 vs. EUR 4,833) yet not significant.

We then analyzed the specific IIAT used and ranked the type of inadequacy of IIAT.

We then analyzed the specific IIAT used and ranked the type of inadequacy of IIAT. The most frequent type of inadequacy was monotherapy in patients with a CPRS score of 6 or higher (Group III) that should receive combination therapy according to PEG recommendations. The second most common type of inadequacy was the use of aminopenicillin/BLI (e.g. amoxicillin/clavulanic acid) instead of an acylaminopenicillin/BLI (e.g. piperacillin/tazobactam) in cases having a CPRS score of 3-5 (Group II). Another common deviation from the PEG recommendation was the use of a cephalosporin...
group 2/3a (e.g., cefazoline) instead of group 3b/4 (e.g., ceftazidime) in cases having a CPRS score of 3-5 (Group II).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study clearly show the clinical and economical superiority of adequate initial antibiotic treatment according to PEG guidelines. Although this study is unique in combining analyses of clinical and economical outcomes in HAP/VAP according to IIAI adequacy, other studies reveal similar results. Orrick et al. performed an analysis with a very similar design for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). In their dataset, the majority of patients (75.8%) received preferred antibiotics according to the IDSA guidelines. The group treated with preferred antibiotics had a shorter mean length of hospital stay (4.5 vs. 6.8 days, p = 0.002), a lower total cost of hospitalization (median USD 2,047 vs. 3,805, p = 0.021) and lower antibacterial costs (median USD 97 vs. 171, p = 0.038) compared with patients who did not receive the preferred therapy [33]. They concluded that introducing and intensifying adherence to IDSA guidelines reduces the economic burden associated with CAP.

Bird et al. performed an analysis comparing data before vs. after introduction of a guideline for a VAP prevention bundle in surgical ICUs (SICU). Prior to the introduction of the bundle, VAP was recorded at a rate of 10.2 cases per 1000 ventilator days. Compliance with the VAP bundle increased over the study period from 53% and 63% to 91% and 81% in the participating SICUs, respectively. The rate of VAP decreased to 3.4 cases per 1000 ventilator days. Estimated cost savings amounted to USD 1.08 million [34]. The authors concluded that the initiation and introduction of the bundle reduced the incidence of VAP and associated cost.

Improved survival was also shown in a retrospective analysis of CAP cases by Frei et al. They found that guideline-discordant therapy was associated with an increase in inpatient mortality (25% vs. 11%; odds ratio = 2.99 [95% CI, 1.08-9.54]) [35].

Ott et al. (2) investigated patients with HAP/VAP and MRSA vs. MSSA as the underlying pathogen. For MRSA patients, the cost differences were much higher than in prior studies (€ 60,684 vs. € 38,731; p = 0.01). Moreover, more patients died in the MRSA group. (13 vs. 1 deaths, p < 0.001). We could not do a subgroup analysis for the MRSA patients as we did not collect microbiology results in our study.

In our study, the most frequent type of inadequate IIAI was monotherapy when the guideline recommends a combination therapy. Particularly in patients at high risk of involvement of complicated pathogens (CPRS score of ≥6), the outcomes were significantly better when an adequate IIAI was chosen. This correlation was well established in analyses performed by Kollef et al., Kolenti et al. and other groups [8, 36-38].

Possible limitations of our study include the following. While the clinical and economical resource consumption was not significantly different between the subpopulation with adequate vs. inadequate IIAI and the overall morbidity was very well comparable, we found a significant difference in the CPRS score groups (3.5 +/- 2.2 vs. 6.0 +/- 2.5). It appears that patients at higher risk of complicated pathogen involvement are more likely to receive inadequate IIAI. Another possible explanation is that experience-based local standards for IIAI are well sufficient for patients with lower CPRS score but inadequate for patients with higher CPRS score.

If a standard therapy regimen is applied to all HAP/VAP patients without applying the risk score, the number of adequately treated patients would be lower in patients with high CPRS. This is underlined by an analysis of IIAI adequacy according to the PEG therapy groups: more group I patients received adequate IIAI compared to group III patients who were more likely to receive inadequate IIAI.

However other cost drivers could have influenced the differences between the subpopulations with adequate vs. inadequate IIAI. Therefore we analysed cost-relevant CPRS parameters with a significant difference of occurrence in the GA vs. NGA groups, the most prominent being ‘extrapulmonary organ failure’ (1 vs. 12 patients) and ‘late onset’ (7 vs. 19 patients). Logistic regression did not reveal any significant associations with cost, LOS or HMV.

**CONCLUSION**

Adequate initial intravenous antibiotic therapy of HAP/VAP according to PEG guidelines was clinically superior and cost-saving vs. inadequate IIAI. Rates of survival rates and clinical improvement were significantly higher in patients treated according to guideline recommendations. Length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, total costs and ICU costs were significantly lower as well for the subpopulation receiving adequate IIAI.

The most frequent type of inadequate IIAI was monotherapy in patients where the PEG guidelines recommend a combination therapy.

Moreover it appears that experience-based therapeutic strategies which are most likely in place in every hospital are mostly sufficient for patients with lower risk for complicated pathogens but not for those with a higher CPRS score. Educating physicians on guideline recommendations in patients with higher CPRS scores – ideally adapted to the individual hospital’s resistance patterns – may improve treatment adequacy and thus outcomes in HAP/VAP with the add-on benefit of cost reductions. In particular, the use of combination therapies as recommended by the guidelines may help to achieve a higher rate of adequate IIAI and thus improve clinical outcomes and economic parameters.

Using the data from the German KISS surveillance program [5] one could extrapolate an yearly number of 30,090 HAP/VAP cases in German ICUs. Assuming that the observed rate of adequate IIAI of less than 50% was generalizable to all German ICUs treating HAP/VAP patients, the consistent use of IIAI according to PEG guidelines may save 2,042 lives and total costs of € 125,819,000.
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