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Abstract

Aims: The present study was conducted to evaluate the relationship between systemic bone mineral density and periodontal status in postmenopausal women, and to evaluate the association between serum estrogen levels and periodontal status in osteoporotic post-menopausal women. Methodology: 200 postmenopausal women were subjected to systemic bone density measurements using the Achilles Express Densitometer, out of which 136 were enrolled for the study. Based on the systemic bone mineral density values obtained, the patients were divided into osteoporosis, osteopenia and non-osteoporosis groups. Plaque index, probing pocket depth, clinical attachment loss and tooth loss were recorded. Those patients who had bone mineral density values corresponding to the osteoporosis group were subjected to blood investigations to determine the serum estrogen, calcium, phosphorus and alkaline phosphatase levels. Results: Of the 136 women, 42 were in the osteoporosis group (30.9%), 66 were in the osteopenia group (48.5%) and 28 were in the non-osteoporosis group (20.5%). Age of the postmenopausal women, their plaque score, tooth loss, clinical attachment loss and probing pocket depth had a direct and significant association with osteoporosis. The osteopenia group was not statistically significant when compared to non-osteoporosis group with respect to the selected variables. No statistical significance between serum estrogen levels and any of the selected variables in the osteoporosis group was observed. Conclusion: The study confirms a significant direct association between osteoporosis and periodontitis among post-menopausal women.
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1. Introduction

Periodontal disease that is initiated by microbial pathogens leads to periodontal tissue destruction, including breakdown of alveolar bone1. The host’s immunoinflammatory response to the periodontal pathogens is responsible for most of the periodontal destruction. There are also a number of environmental and acquired factors that modify a patient’s risk of developing periodontal disease.

Osteoporosis is a condition or a disease that makes the bones more porous and fragile, thereby increasing the risk of fracture. Sometimes even a minor bump during the course of normal daily activities can cause fracture. Both periodontal disease and osteoporosis are defined by predominant bone resorptive activity and their progression/severity may be assessed systemically and/or locally. Although a causal relationship is unclear, there are numerous shared risk factors between osteoporosis and periodontal disease.
and periodontitis. These established and shared potential risk factors not only provide information regarding their etiologies but also can assist the clinicians in preventing or managing both diseases simultaneously. 

Approximately, 200 million people have been affected by osteoporosis making it a serious health problem. Out of these, about 40% of women and 20% of men are likely to have a fragility (osteoporotic) fracture during their lifetime. 15 to 30% mortality may be attributed to osteoporotic fractures. Race, gender and ethnicity are factors that influence the prevalence of osteoporosis and the incidence of fracture. Age-related decrease in bone mineral density starts during midlife both for men and women. But women in their early years of menopause experience rapid bone loss, placing them at earlier risk for fractures. There are certain predictors of low bone mass which include female gender, increased age, race (white), low Body Mass Index (BMI) and weight, history of osteoporosis among family members, positive history of fracture and smoking. However, consumption of alcohol and beverages with caffeine has shown inconsistent association with reduced bone mass.

Decline in estrogen levels during and after menopause is the most common cause of osteoporosis in women. Estrogen deficiency affects systemic bone resorption and formation, and locally can affect the stability of alveolar bone structure in postmenopausal women. It has been suggested that estrogen can prevent alveolar bone resorption thus influencing tooth retention.

About 70% to 80% of bone strength is determined by bone mineral density and is considered as the single best predictor of osteoporosis-related fractures. Several equipment have been developed and utilized to detect low Bone Mineral Density (BMD) and to identify individuals at high risk of osteoporotic fractures, which include single or dual photon absorptiometry, Quantitative Computed Tomography (QCT), single or Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) and Quantitative Ultra-Sound (QUS).

Prospective studies conducted in humans support an association of osteoporosis with the onset and progression of periodontal disease. Both periodontitis and osteoporosis present bone loss as common hallmark. Systemic bone loss imposes risk for periodontal disease and there is increasing evidence that suggests loss of bone mass characteristic of osteoporosis is associated with periodontal disease and tooth loss.

Hence the present study was attempted with the following aims and objectives.
1. To evaluate the association between systemic bone mineral density and periodontal status in postmenopausal osteoporotic, osteopenia and non-osteoporotic women.
2. To evaluate the association between systemic bone mineral density, periodontal status and serum estrogen levels in osteoporotic postmenopausal women.

2. Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in the Department of Periodontics, Rajah Muthiah Dental College and Hospital, Annamalai University, India. A convenient sample of 200 postmenopausal women aged between 45 and 70 years were selected who were attending the regular OPD of the department. Women who had their last menstrual period followed by amenorrhea lasting for 1 year were considered as post-menopausal in the present study.

Prior consent of all the patients was obtained for the screening and the investigations required and the approval was also obtained by the University’s ethical committee. All the patients were subjected to a detailed case history taking with respect to the medical status, postmenopausal status, history of fracture or fall and family history of fracture or osteoporosis. The dental history of tooth loss and oral prophylaxis were recorded. The age, height and body weight of the patients were also noted. An intra-oral examination was carried out on the dental chair under adequate light to assess the periodontal parameters by a qualified examiner. Plaque index (Silness and Loe), probing pocket depth, clinical attachment loss and tooth loss were recorded.

All the postmenopausal women were subjected to systemic BMD measurements using the Achilles Express Ultrasonometer (Densitometer). It is an FDA approved densitometer used to determine the systemic bone mineral density manufactured by General Electric (Medical Instrument Company) USA and marketed by Wipro GE Medical Systems based in Bangalore. High frequency sound waves (ultrasound) are used to evaluate bone status in the heel (the Os calcis). The Achilles Express measurements were performed by a qualified technician with the patient seated, with one foot placed on the Achilles Express foot positioner. The heel of the subject was surrounded by inflated membranes containing
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warm water as this facilitates ultrasound transmission. A transducer that was present on one side of the heel helps in converting an electrical signal into a sound wave, which is made to pass through the water and the heel of the patient. A transducer placed on the opposite side of the heel at a fixed distance received the sound wave and converted it to an electrical signal which was analyzed by the Achilles Express program. The Achilles Express Ultrasonometer measures speed of sound and the frequency dependent attenuation of the sound waves and combines them to form a clinical measure termed Stiffness Index which are expressed as T-scores and help in the diagnosis of osteoporosis.

Based on the WHO T-Score criteria for bone mineral density, the patients were divided into the following groups: Group 1- Osteoporosis (BMD <-2.5) Group 2- Osteopenia (BMD -1 to -2.5); Group 3- Non-osteoporosis (BMD > -1)

The criteria for diagnosis of osteoporosis was made based on clinical evidence and systemic bone mineral density values. The inclusion criteria for the osteoporotic group were: 1. signs and symptoms of osteoporosis, which included back pain, history of fracture, loss of height and altered posture due to spinal deformity; 2. systemic bone mineral density values as determined by using a portable peripheral bone densitometer; and 3. presence of at least 7 natural teeth.

The exclusion criteria included: 1. patient suffering from any other bone disease like osteomalacia, hyperparathyroidism, multiple myeloma as determined by biochemical analysis (Serum calcium, phosphorus, alkaline phosphatase); 2. patients on hormone replacement therapy or Bis-phosphonates as therapy for osteoporosis.

The osteopenia group included those postmenopausal women who were diagnosed as osteopenia based on the systemic bone mineral density values. The non-osteoporotic group included postmenopausal women among whom osteoporosis was excluded based on bone mineral density values, orthopedic consultation and the following exclusion criteria: 1. early or surgical menopause; 2. family history of osteoporosis; 3. complaints of back pain, loss of height and history of fracture; 4. excessive caffeine consumption, smoking, alcohol; 5. prolonged immobility; 6. inadequate dietary sources of calcium; significant drug history (steroids, heparin and diuretics), and 7. significant medical history (renal and hepatic disease, diabetes).

Those patients of the osteoporosis group were further subjected to blood investigations to estimate the serum estrogen (estradiol II), calcium, phosphorus and alkaline phosphatase levels. Based on the history and intraoral examination, utilizing the inclusion and exclusion criteria, out of the 200 patients who were screened for osteoporosis, 136 patients were enrolled for the study. The edentulous patients were excluded from the study.

All the above obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis for comparison between the groups. One-way ANOVA test was used to compare the mean variables (age, body weight, plaque score, tooth loss, probing pocket depth and clinical attachment loss) in the three groups. Further, Scheffe's multiple comparison tests were performed to evaluate their inter-group significance. The correlation coefficients of selected variables were obtained by Pearson's correlation significance test. Student's t test was done to reveal the statistical significance of serum estrogen levels in the osteoporosis group. The results were then tabulated.

3. Results

Of the 136 women, 42 were in the osteoporosis group (30.9%), 66 were in the osteopenia group (48.5%) and 28 were in the non-osteoporosis group (20.5%).

Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of age, plaque score, and body weight of the subjects by groups. One-way ANOVA test showed that the body weight among women in all the groups did not differ statistically. However, there were significant differences with respect to age and plaque score when compared between the three groups. Scheffe's multiple comparison test indicated that osteoporosis group was associated with significantly higher age and plaque scores than the other two groups [p<0.001]. The osteopenia group revealed no statistically significant difference when compared to non-osteoporosis group with respect to these three variables.

Table 2 shows the mean and standard deviation of serum estrogen levels in the osteoporosis group and the BMD levels. Out of 42 subjects in the osteoporosis group, 24 had BMD levels between -2.5 to -3 with the mean serum estrogen levels of 13.45 Pg/m with the standard deviation of 12.31 and 18 had BMD levels < -3 with the mean serum estrogen levels of 9.34 Pg/m. Since serum estrogen levels were determined only in the osteoporosis group, student's t test was done which revealed no statistical significance.
However, it can be observed that as the BMD levels decrease, serum estrogen levels also decrease.

Table 3 shows the mean and standard deviation of clinical attachment levels, probing pocket depth and tooth loss among the subjects by groups. One-way ANOVA test indicated that mean clinical attachment loss, probing pocket depth and tooth loss were significantly different between the three groups. Further, Scheffe's multiple comparison test indicated that osteoporosis group had significantly higher clinical attachment loss, probing pocket depth and tooth loss than the other two groups \( p < 0.001 \). The osteopenia group did not show any statistically significant difference when compared to non-osteoporosis group.

Table 4 shows the correlation coefficient between serum estrogen levels and other selected variables in osteoporosis group. No statistical significance between serum estrogen levels and any of the selected variables was observed.

Table 5 shows the correlation coefficients between the selected variables. There was a high negative relationship between BMD and postmenopausal status, CAL and Prathibha Anand Nayak, Ullal Anand Nayak and R. Mythili

### Table 1. Intergroup comparison of age, plaque score and body weight

| Group            | N  | Age in years | F  | Significance | Scheffe's Multiple comparison |
|------------------|----|--------------|----|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                  |    | Mean | Std. Deviation |    |                |                               |
| Osteoporosis     | 42 | 60.71| 6.87            | 9.86 | <0.001 | Osteoporosis vs Osteopenia, Non-osteoporosis |
| Osteopenia       | 66 | 56.68| 6.57            | 11.08| <0.001 |
| Non-osteoporosis | 28 | 54.14| 4.68            |    |        |
| Total            | 136| 57.40| 6.74            |    |        |

| Group            | N  | Plaque score | F  | Significance | Scheffe's Multiple comparison |
|------------------|----|--------------|----|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                  |    | Mean | Std. Deviation |    |                |                               |
| Osteoporosis     | 42 | 1.63 | 0.36            | 11.08| <0.001 | Osteoporosis vs Osteopenia, Non-osteoporosis |
| Osteopenia       | 66 | 1.41 | 0.35            | 11.08| <0.001 |
| Non-osteoporosis | 28 | 1.22 | 0.37            |    |        |
| Total            | 136| 1.35 | 0.36            |    |        |

| Group            | N  | Body Weight (Kg) | F  | Significance | Scheffe's Multiple comparison |
|------------------|----|------------------|----|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                  |    | Mean | Std. Deviation |    |                |                               |
| Osteoporosis     | 42 | 56.19 | 11.93            | 0.112 | -               |
| Osteopenia       | 66 | 59.77 | 10.78            | 0.112 | -               |
| Non-osteoporosis | 28 | 62.17 | 14.92            | 0.112 | -               |
| Total            | 136| 59.16 | 12.19            |    |        |

Mean difference is significant at 0.05 level.

### Table 2. Mean and standard deviation of serum estrogen levels in the osteoporosis group

| BMD level      | N  | Serum Estrogen Pg/m | Student's test value | Significance |
|----------------|----|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
|                |    | Mean | Std. Deviation |    |                |
| -2.5 to -3     | 24 | 13.45 | 12.31            | 2.109 | 0.154 |
| < -3           | 18 | 9.34  | 4.20             |    |                |

Mean difference is significant at 0.05 level.
Table 3. Intergroup comparison of Clinical Attachment Level (CAL), probing pocket depth and tooth loss

| Group                  | N  | Clinical Attachment Level (mm) | F   | Significance | Scheffe's Multiple comparison |
|------------------------|----|-------------------------------|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                        |    |                               |     |              |                               |
| Clinical Attachment Level |    | Mean | Std. Deviation |     |              |                               |
| Osteoporosis           | 42 | 6.05 | 1.17           |     |              |                               |
| Osteopenia             | 66 | 4.94 | 0.62           |     | <0.001       | Osteoporosis vs Osteopenia, Non-osteoporosis |
| Non-osteoporosis       | 28 | 4.59 | 0.48           |     |              |                               |
| Total                  | 136| 5.21 | 0.99           | 34.06| <0.001       |                               |

| Group                  | N  | Probing Pocket Depth (mm) | F   | Significance | Scheffe's Multiple comparison |
|------------------------|----|---------------------------|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                        |    |                           |     |              |                               |
| Probing Pocket Depth   |    | Mean | Std. Deviation |     |              |                               |
| Osteoporosis           | 42 | 5.00 | 26.08          |     |              |                               |
| Osteopenia             | 66 | 4.29 | 0.58           |     | <0.001       | Osteoporosis vs Osteopenia, Non-osteoporosis |
| Non-osteoporosis       | 28 | 4.10 | 0.36           |     |              |                               |
| Total                  | 136| 4.47 | 0.67           | 26.08| <0.001       |                               |

| Group                  | N  | Tooth Loss | F   | Significance | Scheffe's Multiple comparison |
|------------------------|----|------------|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                        |    |            |     |              |                               |
| Tooth Loss (number of teeth) | | Mean | Std. Deviation |     |              |                               |
| Osteoporosis           | 42 | 17.00      | 7.04|     |              |                               |
| Osteopenia             | 66 | 8.51       | 4.47|     | <0.001       | Osteoporosis vs Osteopenia, Non-osteoporosis |
| Non-osteoporosis       | 28 | 6.50       | 3.14|     |              |                               |
| Total                  | 136| 10.72      | 6.71|     |              |                               |

Mean difference is significant at 0.05 levels.

Table 4. Correlation coefficient between serum estrogen levels and other selected variables in osteoporosis group

|                      | Bone Mineral Density | PM Status | Weight | Probing Pocket Depth | Clinical Attachment Level |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Serum Estrogen Level | Pearson Correlation  | .126      | -.113  | -.003                 | .086                     |
| (N=42)               | Sig (2-tailed)       | .426      | .477   | .987                  | .590                     |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
probing pocket depth. BMD and body weight were positively related. There was a negative relationship between postmenopausal status and body weight, whereas postmenopausal status and CAL, probing pocket depth were positively related. Body weight was found to have a negative relationship with CAL, probing pocket depth. CAL was positively related with probing pocket depth.

4. Discussion

Possibility that osteoporosis and periodontal diseases may be related should be considered because of the common etiological agents that they share, which could affect or modulate their natural history\(^2\). Periodontitis has long been identified as an infectious disease causing destruction of the alveolar bone and periodontal soft tissues and accounts for majority of tooth loss among adults. Recent literature supports their association in humans\(^17\). Studies have highlighted that low bone mass could be independently associated with loss of tooth and alveolar bone height. But, there are less consistent studies that focus on the relation between clinical attachment loss and osteoporosis.

Systemic BMD measurements for the postmenopausal women were performed using the Achilles Express Ultrasonometer. QUS can assess both bone mass and architecture and is used for evaluating fracture risk. The ultrasound densitometry of the Os calcis is highly reproducible and has a high correlation with BMD measured by DXA in different parts of the skeleton such as the spine or femur\(^15\). In direct comparisons, heel ultrasonography was slightly worse than but comparable to DXA of the hip in women older than 65 years of age\(^18\). QUS generally uses calcaneal site for BMD measurements as it has a high metabolic activity and demineralization pattern similar to that of spine. The QUS measures parameters such as broadband ultrasound attenuation and speed of sound from which stiffness index can be calculated\(^19\). QUS is transportable, cost effective, time saving, radiation free and is a useful means for early diagnosis of and prescreening for osteoporosis compared to DXA, which is non-transportable and emits low doses of ionizing radiation. QUS of the calcaneus has been used in previous studies as a prescreening tool to identify patients at high risk, for whom treatment need to be initiated, and also to identify patients at low risk thus limiting the need for a DXA measurement\(^20\). In the present study, Ultrasonometer was chosen to measure BMD of Os calcis as it was feasible and economical, portable, radiation free and gave fairly consistent readings.

The optimal time intervals for screening for osteoporosis are not yet established. The average yearly rate of bone loss is less than 1% (range 0.5% to 2%) in postmenopausal women. The United States Preventive Services Task Force hence recommends routine BMD screening for all women who are 65 years and above. Depending on the individual’s current BMD and risk factors for osteoporosis, follow-up screening intervals of 2 to 5 years may be considered\(^21\).

| Post menopausal status | Body weight | Clinical attachment loss | Probing pocket depth |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Bone Mineral Density   | -.328**     | .210*                    | -.480**              |
|                        | .000        | .014                     | .000                 |
|                        | 136         | 136                      | 136                  |
| Post Menopausal Status | -.271**     | .432**                   | .377**               |
|                        | .001        | .000                     | .000                 |
|                        | 136         | 136                      | 136                  |
| Body Weight            | -.157       | .744**                   |                      |
|                        | .068        | .000                     |                      |
|                        | 136         | 136                      |                      |

Table 5. Correlation coefficients of selected variables

Pearson Correlations Significance (2 tailed)

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed), **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Estrogen levels are higher in women and plays important role in body functions, such as the menstrual cycle. Estradiol, estriol, and estrone are the three forms of estrogen. Out of the three forms of estrogen, estradiol is the most potent form, with highest affinity for cell receptors. Estradiol acts on bone cells and influences immune system through oxidative stress, thus regulating bone metabolism. Therefore, estrogen is considered a major systemic regulator of bone tissue metabolism.

Estrogen takes the help of Estrogen Receptors (ER): ERα and ERβ to perform all of the mechanisms in the bone tissue. ERα is the primary estrogen receptor found in female skeleton and promotes stimulatory effects on bone mass. Estrogen deficiency increases the life of osteoclasts and decreases the life of osteoblasts.

Estrogen loss leads to elevated bone resorption which is caused by an increase in the amount of cytokines that regulate osteoclast generation, such as: RANK–ligand; prostaglandin E2, interleukin-1, 2 and 6, tumor necrosis factor-a; and macrophage-colony stimulating factor. Normally, estrogen suppresses the production of all of these cytokines directly or indirectly.

Payne, et al. (1997) suggested estrogen sufficiency as serum E2 levels between 40 and 400 pg/ml, whereas estrogen deficiency to be serum E2 level below 30 pg/ml. In the present study, although the serum estrogen levels were not statistically significant with bone mineral density levels, all the patients except one had serum E2 levels less than 30pg/ml. The student's t test revealed no statistical significance between serum estrogen levels and bone mineral density values. This was because serum estrogen levels were determined only in the osteoporosis group; however, it was observed that as the BMD levels decreased, serum estrogen levels also decreased. However, it is not certain that estrogen deficiency is entirely responsible for the decrease in bone mass after the loss of or decrease in ovarian function. Clearly, progesterone deficiency could also be a factor. Estrogen probably functions by attenuating bone resorption and progesterone increasing bone formation.

In the present study, the age of the postmenopausal women was highly associated with the bone mineral density levels. As the age and postmenopausal years increased, the BMD level decreased. This could suggest that either the reduced BMD level may be due to estrogen deficiency or age related changes. These findings are in accordance with the studies done by Du, et al. (2015) who reported that the greatest amount of postmenopausal bone loss occurred within seven years of reaching menopause.

The present study indicated that osteoporosis group had significantly higher plaque scores than the other two groups. In contrast to these findings, Von, et al. (1994) showed that osteoporotic women had significantly lower mandibular BMD values than controls without any significant differences with respect to plaque scores. Also, women with osteoporotic fractures had greater attachment loss than women without fractures.

In a recent study, the age, CAL, PPD and plaque index has been found to have positive association with weak correlation whereas gingival index has shown a negative association with weak correlation with the osteoporosis.

In the present study, osteoporosis group had significantly higher clinical attachment loss and probing pocket depth than the other two groups. Pilgram, et al. (1999) attributed the changes in the alveolar bone height and clinical attachment levels to systemic changes in bone health rather than to periodontal disease. However, the weak correlations between changes in attachment level and bone height are similar to recent studies of periodontal disease.

Resorption of the alveolar bone may have influenced clinical periodontal parameters, such as tooth loss, Probing Depth (PD), or Clinical Attachment Loss (CAL). Elders, et al. (1992) showed a significant negative correlation whereas of mean alveolar bone density to tooth loss and mean probing depth in dentulous subjects. However, association between systemic osteoporosis and tooth loss may be a more sensitive indicator as compared to clinical measures, such as PD and CAL since the values of PD and CAL depend on the number of retained teeth.

Since premature tooth loss is more common among osteoporotic subjects, the clinical parameters are limited in sensitivity for typical cross-sectional studies. On the other hand, tooth loss presents a cumulative result of progressing periodontal pockets and attachment loss. Klemetti, et al. (1994) reported that out of 227 post-menopausal women, about 50% had teeth only in the mandibular arch, a jaw with a higher BMD. This could be the reason why the study failed to find a positive association between osteoporosis and the Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs (CPITN).

The women in the osteoporotic group exhibited significantly higher tooth loss in the present study. Certain studies suggested that osteoporosis could influence the rate of bone loss in chronic periodontitis patients.
which further explains the greater number of edentulous subjects found in these studies.37

Yoshihara, et al. (2005)38 found a weak but statistically significant relationship between BMD and periodontal disease progression, and in a later study found a correlation between BMD of the Os calcis and the number of retained teeth. Osteoporotic women have been found to have a higher risk for tooth loss, followed by greater bone resorption after tooth loss, when compared with healthy women of the same age range.39

While determining tooth loss, role of other clinical and socioeconomic factors need to be considered that can affect the prevalence of periodontal disease; thereby influencing the tooth loss results. In a study when patient age and periodontal status were included, tooth loss was not significantly different between subjects with low and high spinal bone density.40

To date, most of the studies in this area have been conducted with limitations such as small sample sizes, lack of elimination of confounding factors and varied definitions for the disease/conditions. Host factors such as genetic susceptibility, low bone density, alveolar bone loss due to periodontal inflammation, and shared exposure to certain risk factors may directly or indirectly influence the periodontal disease onset and progression. Diminished systemic loss of bone density seen in osteoporosis can also affect the oral cavity, providing a host system that is increasingly susceptible to infectious periodontal tissue destruction. Both diseases can cause community-health concerns and their prevalence has been shown to increase with age.

As health care providers, dentists will contribute to their patients’ health if they make the following recommendations to postmenopausal women:

1. Emphasis on proper daily oral hygiene practice and frequent dental appointments to remove plaque and calculus deposits.
2. Recommending calcium supplements.
3. Instructing the patients to abstain from smoking and from excessive caffeine and alcohol consumption.
4. Referring postmenopausal women with oral manifestations of osteoporosis to their physicians for medical evaluation and treatment.

5. Conclusion

The present study has confirmed the direct significant association between osteoporosis versus age, plaque score, tooth loss clinical attachment loss and probing pocket depth of the postmenopausal women. No statistical significance between serum estrogen levels and any of the selected variables in the osteoporosis group was observed. To better evaluate the relationship between bone mineral density and periodontal disease, additional prospective longitudinal studies with further analysis of possible confounding factors for osteoporosis and periodontal disease in larger cohorts of post-menopausal women are needed. However, it must be borne in mind that the primary etiology of periodontal disease is pathogenic bacterial plaque in a susceptible patient. Therefore, if good oral hygiene is combined with regular check-ups, the effects that any of osteoporotic factors may exert on the periodontal tissues can be minimized.
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