Stem cell receptor degradation by niche cells restricts signalling
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Abstract:

The stem cell niche utilizes short-range signalling, such that only stem cells but not their differentiating progeny receive self-renewing signals⁴. A cellular projection, the microtubule-based nanotube (MT-nanotube), projects from Drosophila male germline stem cells (GSCs) into niche “hub” cells, ensuring that GSC-produced receptor Thickveins (Tkv) receives sufficient hub-produced ligand Decapentaplegic (Dpp) while excluding non-stem cells from self-renewing signal activation. Here we show that GSC-produced Tkv is taken up by hub cells from the MT-nanotube and degraded in lysosomes. Failure of the hub cells to take up Tkv, or perturbation of hub cell lysosomal function lead to excess Tkv within GSCs, elevated downstream signal activation, and GSC tumor formation in non-niche locations. We propose that down-regulation of the self-renewal factor receptor by niche cells restricts the ligand/receptor interaction to the surface of the MT-nanotube membrane, fine-tuning the span of the short-range niche-stem cell signalling, and that this may be a general feature of contact-dependent signalling.

The stem cell niche is the regulatory microenvironment in which stem cells reside. The niche sends signals to stem cells to maintain stem cell identity. At the Drosophila testes apical tip, 8 to 10 GSCs surround the hub, the cluster of niche cells (Figure 1A, left). Hub cells produce Unpaired (Upd) and Dpp ligands, both of which are required for GSC maintenance⁵ ⁶. GSCs divide with their mitotic spindle oriented perpendicular to the hub-GSC interface (mitotic GSC in Figure 1A, left). Consequently, one daughter cell attached to the hub remains a stem cell, while the other daughter displaced away from the hub differentiates into a gonialblast (GB)⁵ ⁶ (Figure 1A, left, a red arrow
indicates division orientation). Ectopic expression of the niche ligands leads to tumorous proliferation of undifferentiated germ cells outside the niche \(2^5 6 7 8 9\). Therefore, the range of the signal must be tightly regulated to activate signalling only within the cells that are juxtaposed to the hub, without erroneously activating the signal in differentiating cells. However, our understanding of the mechanisms that defines the range of the niche remains limited.

Previously, we demonstrated that MT-nanotubes form specifically at the hub-GSC interface and protrude from GSCs into hub cells. The niche ligand, Dpp, and its receptor, Tkv, interact at the nanotube-hub membrane contact sites, resulting in efficient and specific signal activation \(10\) (Figure 1A, right). We reported that a Tkv-GFP fusion protein expressed in germline cells localizes to the MT-nanotubes, as they are trafficked along the MT-nanotubes. Accordingly, suppression of MT-nanotube formation by knockdown of intraflagellar transport (IFT)-B (osm\(b\), and os\(e\)g2) lead to accumulation of Tkv on the GSC plasma membrane \(10\) (Figure S1A and B recapitulate the data shown in previous publication). In addition to the localization of Tkv to the MT-nanotube membrane, we have noted Tkv-GFP ‘puncta’ within the hub cells \(10\). By inducing GSC clones that co-express Tkv–mCherry and GFP–\(\alpha\)Tub, similar puncta were observed in the hub, but only in the vicinity of the clone, indicating that the Tkv observed within hub originated in the GSC clone (Figure 1C). The Tkv-GFP punctae in the hub often colocalize with lysosomes (Figure 1B), leading us to hypothesize that Tkv-GFP protein is degraded in hub lysosomes. To confirm the lysosomal localization of Tkv, we suppressed lysosomal-dependent degradation using chloroquine, a drug that raises lysosomal pH and inhibits lysosomal enzymes. Treatment of isolated testes with chloroquine significantly increased the size of Tkv-GFP punctae within the hub (Figure 1D, E, arrowheads, and G). Abnormal distribution of Tkv-GFP on the GSC plasma membrane was also often observed (Figure 1F, arrow), confirming that Tkv-GFP is degraded in hub lysosomes, and suggesting that lysosome-mediated degradation of Tkv within the hub contributes to downregulation of Tkv in the GSC, thus preventing Tkv localization outside the MT-nanotubes. A similar co-localization with lysosomes was also observed for Dpp ligand punctae (Figure 1H), indicating that the Tkv-GFP downregulation might occur after engaging with the Dpp ligand. Domeless-GFP, the receptor for the ligand Upd, did not localize to lysosomes; this lack of localization indicates the selectivity of this regulation (Figure 1I).

To examine whether defective lysosomal degradation alters the signalling strength of the Dpp-Tkv pathway, we measured the level of phosphorylated Mad (pMad) in GSCs, a downstream target \(10\). Genes involved in lysosomal-dependent degradation were knocked down using GAL4-UAS system. Hub- (and/or germ cell-) specific promoter-driven GAL4 drivers were used to determine the
cell type in which these genes are functioning. Spinster (Spin) is a putative lysosomal H+-carbohydrate transporter and a common regulator of lysosomal biogenesis, as well as a known regulator of Dpp signalling\(^{11,12}\). Lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 (Lamp1) is an abundant protein in the lysosomal membrane and is required for lysosomes to fuse with endosomes/autophagosomes\(^{13}\). Germ cell-specific knockdown of these lysosomal genes did not alter pMad level (Figure 2C, E, and K). In contrast, hub-specific downregulation of these genes led to a significant increase in pMad level, indicating that lysosomal activity in the hub cells is required to dampen Dpp signalling (Figure 2D, F, and K).

We tested the effect of knockdown of genes required for membrane vesicle trafficking, which is potentially utilized for Tkv-containing vesicle formation and sorting. We found that these genes are required to regulate pMad level in GSCs but not in the hub cells (Figure 2 F-I, J). Deep Orange (Dor), the *Drosophila* homolog of Vps18 (Vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 18), acts as a core component of the endosomal tethering complexes proposed to be involved in the early-to-late endosome conversion\(^{14}\). Knockdown of *dor* in germ cells (but not in hub cells) increased pMad level in GSCs (Figure 2G, H and K). The endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) assemble into a multi-subunit machine that performs membrane bending and scission. Specifically, ESCRT-III can facilitate the outward budding such as virus budding\(^{15}\). Similar machinery might be utilized on the GSC side of the GSC-Hub interaction, where Tkv-containing membrane budding may occur in the “outward” direction, from the GSC to the hub cell. Indeed, knockdown of *Shrub (shrb)* gene (a subunit of the ESCRT-III complex\(^ {16,17}\)) in GSCs (but not in hub cells) increased pMad level in GSCs. (Figure 2I, J and K). *dpp* mRNA levels showed no detectible alteration in lysosomal defective hub cells (Figure S2 A and B), indicating that regulation of the *dpp* signal by hub cell lysosomes is not caused by a change in niche ligand production.

SMAD ubiquitination regulatory factor (Smurf)-mediated Tkv ubiquitination is necessary for GSC differentiation both in testicular and ovarian GSCs\(^ {3,18}\). Smurf is a HECT (Homologous to the E6-AP Carboxyl Terminus) domain protein with E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, and disruption of Smurf function enhances Dpp-Tkv signal activation\(^ {18}\). Ubiquitination of membrane proteins is required for recognition by ESCRT, and thus is required for endocytosis, lysosomal fusion, and degradation\(^ {19}\). To determine if ubiquitination-defective Tkv no longer localizes to hub cells we generated a UAS-*tkv*-S238A-GFP transgenic line that carries a phosphorylation site mutation required for Smurf-mediated ubiquitination\(^ {3}\). Tkv-S238A-GFP protein was not detected in hub cell lysosomes and exhibited strong retention within GSCs compared to wildtype Tkv-GFP protein (Figure 3A, B), indicating that Tkv-
S238A protein is not transferred from GSCs to hub cells. A small fraction of Tkv-GFP foci were still observed in the hub area, often contacting lysosomes (Figure 3B’, D, E) but not precisely colocalized (Figure 3C, E), suggesting that the fusion step of receptor-containing vesicles with lysosomes is also impaired. Moreover, expression of Tkv-S238A-GFP in GSC resulted in elevated signal activation as indicated by higher pMad levels (Figure 3F, G, H). Thus, Tkv protein is the target of lysosomal degradation in hub cells, allowing hub lysosomes to negatively regulate Dpp signalling.

We wanted to understand the consequence of failure to traffic Tkv to the MT-nanotubes. We previously demonstrated that the MT-nanotube is required for signal reception, thus interfering with MT-nanotube-formation by knockdown of IFT-B (oseg2, osm6, and che-13) reduced pMad levels in GSCs 10. Here we found that knockdown of IFT-B frequently caused GSC tumors in non-niche locations where Tkv-GFP is also expressed (Figure 4A-C). Under these conditions, the over-expressed Tkv localized to the GSC plasma membrane, likely because GSCs were unable to process Tkv via nanotube transport for hub-mediated degradation. The GSC-like tumour phenotype was caused by a defect within GSCs and their immediate progeny rather than a defect in later stage spermatogonia, since use of the bamGal4 driver (active in 4- to 8-cell stage spermatogonia), instead of the nosGal4 driver, to mediate IFT-B knockdown, and Tkv-GFP overexpression, did not cause tumour formation (Figure 4C, Figure S3). These data strongly suggest that receptor protein degradation within niche cell lysosomes contributes to restriction of niche size by inhibiting stem cell proliferation outside of the niche.

Most signalling pathways consist of many components, whose activity must be closely coordinated. Here we provide evidence that signal activation and inhibition occur via the same structure: MT-nanotubes. MT-nanotubes transport Tkv receptors into the hub to interact with the Dpp ligand pool, promoting signal reception. They also guide Tkv receptor transport from the GSC membrane to niche (hub) cell lysosomes for destruction (Figure S4). Lysosomal localization-defective Tkv, and MT-nanotube loss, both cause Tkv retention along the entire GSC cortex, suggesting that the Tkv degradation limits the location for ligand/receptor interaction to the MT-nanotube membrane, rather than the entire GSC membrane, preventing the receptor from entering differentiating daughter cells during cell division.

Our study demonstrates that lysosomal degradation of a receptor can occur in neighbouring cells, rather than the receptor-producing cell. Components mediating lysosomal function (Lamp1 and Spin) are required in neighbouring hub cells (Figure S4). In contrast, components mediating vesicle trafficking (ESCRTIII and VPS-C) are required in GSC itself. MT-nanotube may shed its membrane
directly into hub cells, the process being initiated by outward budding rather than inward budding of the plasma membrane (Figure S4). Consistent with this model, enhancing the MT-nanotube formation by knocking down klp10A, or overexpressing αTubulin, in GSCs substantially increased the appearance of double-membrane vesicles in hub cells (Figure 5A, 5B, and 5C), with 3D reconstitution indicating that these vesicles are not part of the MT-nanotubes, and instead were likely shed from MT-nanotubes. If GSCs endocytosed the receptor the cytoplasmic domain of Tkv would be facing the outside of endosomes and the signal could be transduced until it was degraded by fusion with a lysosome. In our model, such endocytosis would not occur in GSCs. In hub cells the cytoplasmic domain would be sequestered inside the vesicle. Stem cells could employ this mechanism to rapidly shut down the signal without taking the receptor back into its own cells. However, Tkv is ubiquitinated on its cytoplasmic domain, raising the question of how vesicle recognition by the lysosome occurs. Alternatively, GSCs may endocytose receptor to form a multivesicular body prior to GSC to hub transfer.

Our previous discovery of MT-nanotube-mediated signalling revealed that niche and stem cells interact in a contact-dependent manner, enabling highly specific cell-cell interactions. To ensure specificity, “contact-independent” signal activation should not occur. This study demonstrates that niche cells carry out stem cell receptor digestion to restrict receptor localization to MT-nanotubes, preventing “contact-independent” ligand-receptor interactions outside of the MT-nanotubes. Cytonemes, another type of actin-dependent signalling protrusion, transfer ligand and receptor, allowing the interaction to occur in a contact-dependent manner between the cells at a distance. Ligand-producing and receptor-producing cells both form cytonemes and both cells have been observed to take up signalling proteins: receptor into the ligand-producing cells and ligand into the receptor-producing cells, indicating the universality of such transfer in general contact-dependent signalling.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Tkv receptor expressed in GSCs, localizes to hub cell lysosomes in an IFT-dependent manner. A, left, Schematic of the Drosophila male GSC niche. GSCs are attached to the hub. The immediate daughters of GSCs, the gonialblasts (GBs) are displaced away from the hub then start differentiation. A mitotic GSC is shown carrying a spindle that is perpendicular to the hub-GSC interface, leading to division orientation (red arrow indicates the division orientation of the daughter cells). Right, MT-nanotube-mediated Dpp ligand-Tkv receptor interaction at the hub cell-GSC boundary. B, Tkv-GFP localizing in the hub (nosGal4>UAS-tkv-GFP) and lysosomes labelled for 10 min with lysotracker. Arrowheads indicate colocalization (yellow) of Tkv-GFP (green) and lysosomes (red). C, A GSC clone expressing Tkv-mCherry, GFP-αTub and GFP (hs-flp, nos-FRT-stop-FRT-Gal4, UAS-GFP, UAS-GFP-αtub, UAS-tkv-mCherry). Arrowheads indicate localization of Tkv-mCherry (red). D-F, Representative images of the hub area surrounded by Tkv-GFP-expressing GSCs in 4-hour cultured testes without (D) or with (E and F) chloroquine (+CQ). Arrowheads in E indicate Tkv-GFP punctae within the hub. Arrows in F indicate GSCs with plasma membrane Tkv localization. (Note: When testes possess GSCs with strong membrane Tkv after CQ treatment, enlarged Tkv punctae were not typically abundant in the hub area for an unknown reason.) G, Measured diameters (largest diameter chosen from 0.5 μm interval z-stacks for each dot) of Tkv-GFP punctae in the hub in control incubation without CQ or with CQ are plotted in the box-and-whisker plot. The indicated numbers (n) of dots from two independent experiments were scored for each data point. The P value was calculated by a student t-test. H, Dpp-mCherry expression in the hub (updGal4>UAS-dpp-mCherry) and lysosomes labelled for 10 min with a lysosensor. Arrowheads indicate colocalization (yellow) of Dpp-mCherry (red) and lysosomes (green). I, Representative image of hub area with Dome-GFP expressed in GSCs (nosGal4>dome-GFP). Dotted blue line indicates the hub. Scale bars, 10 μm.
Figure 2. Hub lysosomes are responsible for Dpp signal suppression. A-J, Representative images of pMad staining (red) in testes from flies of indicated genotypes. pMad signal in somatic cyst cells (arrowheads), which remains unaffected by germ-cell (nosGal4) or hub cell (updGal4) for spin and dor, or upd*Gal4 for lampl and shrb, if lethal with updGal4 specific RNAi, was used to normalize pMad levels in GSCs (see methods). Scale bars, 10 μm. Asterisk indicates the hub. White line divides GSCs attached to the hub and their immediate progenies. Red arrowheads indicate cells away from the hub which remain pMad positive. Vasa (green); germ cell marker. Note: nos>dor RNAi showed GSC differentiation defects and tumorous proliferation. upd*Gal4>shrbl RNAi occasionally showed an incomplete germ cell and cyst cell loss phenotype. K, Quantitation of pMad intensity in the GSCs (relative to CC). Indicated numbers of GSCs from at least two independent experiments were scored for each data point. Data are means and standard deviations. P values (****P<0.0001) are shown. Adjusted P values were calculated by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons.

Figure 3. Tkv ubiquitination is required for localization in hub cell lysosomes. A, B, Representative images of the hub area with germline Tkv-GFP expression (A, nosGal4>tkv-GFP), Tkv-S238A-GFP expression (B, nosGal4>tkvS238A-GFP). B’ with Lysotracker dye staining (red), GFP (green). Magnified images of the square region from B’ are shown in B” . C, D, Three-dimensional rendering showing colocalization (arrows) of lysosomes with Tkv (C) or contact (no colocalization, arrowheads) of lysosomes with TkvS238A (D). E, % of GFP positive lysosomes within the hub were scored for Tkv-GFP or TkvS238A-GFP expressing testes. Indicated numbers of dots from more than 5 testes were scored for both data points. F, G, Representative images of pMad staining in the testes with Tkv-GFP expression (F) or TkvS238A-GFP expression (G); red arrowheads indicate the cells with strong pMad outside of the niche. 1B1 (red) staining indicates the fusome, the germline-specific ER-like structure. H, Quantitation of pMad intensity in the GSCs (relative to CC) of Tkv- or TkvS238A-expressing testes. The indicated numbers of GSCs from two independent experiments were scored for each data point. Data are means and standard deviations. Adjusted P values from Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test are provided. Scale bars, 10 μm unless otherwise stated.

Figure 4. MT-nanotubes are required for Dpp signal suppression outside of the niche. A, B, Representative images of Tkv-GFP over-expressing testes without (A) or with (B) osm6 RNAi. Germ
cells does not retain over-expressed Tkv-GFP (A). Note: Tkv-GFP punctae in the hub (and seen in Figure 1B) are not visible at this magnification. With osm6 RNAi, germ cells retain the Tkv-GFP within the cells (B) and form germline tumours (yellow dotted line) positive for Tkv-GFP. C, % of tumour cell cluster-positive testes in Tkv-GFP over-expressing testes with the indicated IFT-B gene knockdown. The indicated numbers of testes from three independent experiments were scored for each data point. Data are means and standard deviations. Adjusted $P$ values from Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test are provided. Scale bars, 10 $\mu$m. Asterisk indicates approximate hub location.

Figure 5

A-C, Representative images of Serial Block Face Scanning Electron Microscopy. Pink indicates the hub areas of Wildtype (A), nos>$klp10A$ RNAi (B) and nos>$GFP-\alpha$tub (C) testis. Arrowheads indicate large, translucent membrane vesicles increased in $klp10A$ knockdown. Cytoplasms of hub cells are filled up with similar vesicles in the testis with GFP-$\alpha$tub overexpression. Scale bars, 10 $\mu$m.
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Figure 1

(A) Diagram illustrating the Hub (Niche) and its interactions with GSCs (Germline Stem Cells) and GBs (Gonialblasts). The diagram highlights the role of Dpp (Dorsal Morphogenetic Protein) in maintaining the Hub cell and its nanotube connections with GSCs.

(B) Images showing the localization of Tkv-GFP in the Hub region.

(C) Comparison of Tkv-mCherry expression in Hub clones with and without CQ treatment.

(D) Quantification of Tkv-GFP dot diameter in cultures with and without CQ, showing a significant decrease in CQ-treated cultures (p<0.0001).

(E, F) Additional images illustrating the effects of CQ on Tkv-GFP expression.

(G) Graph depicting theTkv-GFP dot diameter in different culture conditions, with a clear reduction in CQ-treated cultures.

(H) Expression of upd (upstream of dpp) and dpp-mCherry in a Lysosensor-cleaved context.

(I) Representation of Dome-GFP expression in selected regions.
Figure 2
Figure 3
Figure 4

Figure 5
Methods

Fly husbandry and strains. All fly stocks were raised on standard Bloomington medium at 25°C (unless temperature control was required), and young flies (0- to 4-day-old adults) were used for all experiments. The following fly stocks were used: hs-flp; nos-FRTstop-FRT-gal4, UAS–GFP\(^7\); nosGal4\(^1\), updGal4 (FBti0002638) and UAS-dome-EGFP \(^2\) were gift from Y. Yamashita. tubGal80\(^{ts}\) (Gift from C.Y. Lee), bamGal4 \(^2\) (gift from M. Buszczak), UAS-Dpp-mCherry \(^4\), UAS-Tkv-mCherry \(^4\), UAS-Tkv-GFP \(^4\) (gift from T. Kornberg and S. Roy), Oseg2 RNAi (Vienna Drosophila Resource Center, VDRC GD8122), Osm6 RNAi (VDRC GD24068). Other stocks were from Bloomington Stock Center, UAS–GFP–\(\alpha\)Tubulin (BDSC7253 or BDSC7373); Spin RNAi (TRiP.JF02782), Lamp1 RNAi (TRiP.HMS01802), Dor RNAi (TRiP.HMS03720), Shrb RNAi (TRiP.HMS01767). For the updGal4\(^{ts}\) driver, combination of updGal4 and tubGal80\(^{ts}\) was used. Temperature shift crosses were performed by culturing flies at 18°C to avoid lethality during development and shifted to 29°C upon eclosion for 5 days before analysis. Control crosses for RNAi screening were designed with matching gal4 and UAS copy number using TRiP background stocks (BDSC36304 or BDSC35787) at 25°C. RNAi screening of candidate genes for Tkv trafficking and degradation was performed by driving UAS-RNAi constructs under the control of nosGal4 or updGal4 (see below for validation method).

Generation of Tkv S238A transgenic flies. EGFP cDNA were amplified from Drosophila gateway pPGW vector (https://emb.carnegiescience.edu/drosophila-gateway-vector-collection#_Copyright,_Carnegie) using following primers with restriction sites (underlined).

BglII GFP F 5’- ACAGATCTATGGTGAGCAAGGGCAGAGCGCTGTTCA-3’
Ascl GFP R 5’-TACGGCGGCCTTACTCTGACGTCCGTCCATGCGGAGA-3’
then digested with BglII and Ascl. NotI BglII sites (underlined) were attached to gBlock TkvS238A fragment (Integrated DNA Technologies, sequence as follows). 5’-

ATGCAGCGCACCATGGCGCGAATCCAGAAAGAAGCTCATGCCCCGCTCCCTAACC
TGCTACTGCGATGGCAGTTGTCGAGACACATGTGAAGCAGGAAACCTGCAAGACAGAAAACCCG
GTGGCGAGTTGTTCGACGCACTCAAGCTACTAGCGAGACGACCCGGGATGTACGAGGA
GGAGCGCTACATATGGATGCTACGTGCCCTCCGGAAGACAACCGGTGGTTTTCTCATGTGCAAGGTAG
CCGTCTGACCCCCCATCTGCGACAGGAAACAGTTGCTGCTGCGACAAGGAGGACTTCTGCTACG
CGTGACCTGTACCCATACACACCCAAAGCCTGACACCACACCGCAGCGGATTTGGCCCTGTG
CAGCGAGTCCTACACACGCTTGCGCTTGGCTCCCATCATCATCTCCCTGTCCGTGTTAT
GCTGATCGTGGCTAGTTATGTTTACCTACAAGCGACGCGAGAAGCTGCGCAAGCAGCCAC
GTCTCATCAACTCAATGTGCAACTCACAGCTGTCGCCTTTGTCACAACTGGTGGAACAGAGT
TCGGGCGCCGGATCGGGATTACCATTGCTGGTGCAAAGA
ACCATTGCCAAGCAGATTCAGAT
GGTGCGACTGGTGGGCAAAGGACGATATGGCGAGGTCTGGCTGGCCAAATGGCGCGATGAG
CGGGTGCGCCGGATCGGGATTACCATTGCTGGTGCAAAGA
TCAATCCTCTCAATGTGCAACTCACAGCTGTCGCCTTTGTCACAACTGGTGGAACAGAGT
CTATCAGACAGTGTGGATTACCATTGCTGGTGCAAAGA
GTAATGGTAGCTGGGACACAGATGTTGCTGATACCCGACTACCACGAGATGGGCACGCTACA
CGATTACCTCTCTCAATGTGCAACTCACAGCTGTCGCCTTTGTCACAACTGGTGGAACAGAGT
CTATCAGACAGTGTGGATTACCATTGCTGGTGCAAAGA

Synthesized fragments were annealed and digested by NotI and BglII. Resultant two inserts (TkvS238A and GFP) were ligated to modified pPGW vector using NotI and Ascl sites in the multiple cloning site. Transgenic flies were generated using strain attP2 by PhiC31 integrase-mediated transgenesis (BestGene Inc.).

**Immunofluorescent Staining.** Immunofluorescent staining was performed as described previously. Briefly, testes were dissected in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 30–60 minutes. Next, testes were washed in PBST (PBS + 0.3% TritonX-100) for at least 30 minutes, followed by incubation with primary antibody in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBST at 4 °C overnight. Samples were washed for 60 minutes (three times for 20 minutes each) in PBST, incubated with secondary antibody in 3% BSA in PBST at 4 °C overnight, and then washed for 60 minutes (three times for 20 minutes each) in PBST. Samples were then mounted using VECTASHIELD with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Lab, H-1200).
The primary antibodies used were as follows: 1B1 and rat anti Vasa (1:20), obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB), Rabbit anti-Smad3 (phospho S423 + S425) antibody (1:100, Abcam, ab52903) AlexaFluor-conjugated secondary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:400. Images were taken using a Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope with a 63 ×oil immersion objective (NA=1.4) and processed using Image J and Adobe Photoshop software. Three-dimensional rendering was performed by Imaris software.

**In situ hybridization**

*In situ* hybridization on adult ovaries was performed mostly as described previously\(^6\).

Briefly, testes were dissected in 1XPBS and then fixed in 4% formaldehyde/PBS for 45 min. After rinsed 2 times with 1XPBS, then resuspended in 70% EtOH, left overnight at 4°C. The next day, testes were washed briefly in the wash buffer containing 2XSSC and 10% deionized formamide, then incubated overnight at 37°C in the dark with the 50nM of Quasar® 570 labeled stellaris probe against dpp mRNA (LGC Biosearch Technologies) in the Hybridization Buffer containing 2XSSC, 10% Dextran sulfate (MilliporeSigma), 1μg/μl of yeast tRNA (MilliporeSigma), 2mM Vanadyl ribonucleoside complex (NEB), 0.02% RNAse free BSA (ThermoFisher) and 10% of deionized formamide. On the 3\(^{rd}\) day, testes were washed 2 times for 30 min each at 37°C in the dark in the prewarmed wash buffer and then resuspended in a drop of VECTASHIELD with DAPI (Vector Lab, H-1200).

**Chloroquine or Lysotracker/LysoSensor treatment**

Testes from newly eclosed flies were dissected into Schneider’s Drosophila medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Then testes were incubated at room temperature with or without 100μM Chloroquine in 1mL media for 4 hours prior to imaging. For the lysosome staining, testes were incubated with 50nM of LysoTracker Deep Red (ThermoFisher L12492) or 100nM of LysoSensor Green DND-189 (ThermoFisher L7535) probes in 1mL media for 10 min at room temperature then briefly rinsed with 1mL of media for 3 times prior to imaging. For Tkv-mCherry clonal expression, hs-flp; nos-FRTstop-FRT-gal4, UAS–GFP\(^7\) with UAS-Tkv-mCherry, UAS-GFP-αTubulin flies were heat-shocked at 37°C for 30 min. Testes were dissected 24 hour after heat shock. These testes were placed onto Gold Seal™ Rite-On™ Micro Slides two etched rings with media, then covered with coverslips. An inverted Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope with a 63 ×oil immersion objective (NA=1.4) was used for imaging.

**Quantitative reverse transcription PCR to validate RNAi-mediated knockdown**
of genes. Males carrying nos-gal4 driver were crossed with males of indicated RNAi lines. Testes from 100-200 male progenies, age 0-7 days, were collected and homogenized by pipetting in TRIZol Reagent (ThermoFisher) and RNA was extracted following the manufacturer’s instructions. 1μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis Super Mix (ThermoFisher) with Oligo (dT)20 Primer. Quantitative PCR was performed, in duplicate, using SYBR green Applied Biosystems Gene Expression Master Mix on an CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Control primer for aTub84B (5’-TCAGACCTCGAAATCGTAGC-3’/5’-AGCAGTAGAGCTCCCAGCAG-3’) and experimental primer for Spin (5’-GCAGATTTCACAACCAAGAG-3’/5’-CGGTGCTAGATTGCTTCT-3’), Shrb (5’-AGAGCGCCAACAAAACA-3’/5’-CACCTTGCCACGTCCATATT-3’), Dor (5’-CAGCAGACAGCTTTATG-3’/5’-CGTCTCCTGGAATGTGTAGATG-3’), Lamp1 (5’-AACCATATCCGCAACCATCC-3’/5’-CCTCCCTAGCCTCATAGTTAAA-3’) were used. Relative quantification was performed using the comparative CT method (ABI manual). Only strains that showed less than 50% reduction of mRNA level were used (Lamp1 47.79%, Shrb 48.39%, Spin 25.05%, Dor 17.27%).

Quantification of Tkv-GFP positive GSC/testis.

Average intensity of Tkv-GFP in equator level of hub was used as the lower threshold for each testis. GSCs were judged as Tkv-GFP positive when the average intensity at the equator level of the cell was higher than set-threshold.

Quantification of pMad intensities.

For pMad quantification, integrated intensity within the GSC nuclear region was measured for anti-pMad staining and divided by the area. To normalize the staining condition, data were further normalized by the average intensities of pMad from randomly picked three cyst cells in the same testes, and the ratios of relative intensities were calculated as each GSC per average cyst cell.

Serial Block Face Scanning Electron Microscopy

Testes were dissected in PBS and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde in a 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer. Samples were processed and imaged at Renovo Neural Inc. (Cleveland, USA) Samples were stained with heavy metals and embedded in Epon resin, and mounted onto pins (detailed protocol available from Renovo Neural). Serial blockface images were obtained using a Zeiss Sigma VP scanning electron microscope equipped with a Gatan 3View in-chamber ultramicrotome.
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