Stepwise radial complexation from the outer layer to the inner layer of a dendritic ligand: a phenylazomethine dendrimer with an inverted coordination sequence†
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A para-substituted phenylazomethine dendrimer (pGnA) coordinates to Lewis acids in a stepwise radial fashion from the inner layer to the outer layer. The inversion of this coordination sequence was achieved for the first time by just changing the substitution position of the phenylazomethine group from the para position to the meta position (mGnA).

The energy (potential) level distribution in a molecule or a molecular system is an important factor that controls the electrical properties. Especially, some of the geometric potential architectures such as gradients (steps), square well potentials, and p–n junctions are the essence of the photosynthesis system,† and some electronic devices, such as laser diodes, light emitting diodes, and solar cells.4 Therefore, the manipulation of the intra- and inter-molecular potential structure is a promising key technology for next generation materials that are related to electronics and photonics.

Dendrimers5 are molecules with few nm to 10 nm dimensions that have a perfectly branched molecular backbone. Due to the distinctive spherical molecular structure and stable conformation, dendrimers have the ability to locate functional groups in a three-dimensional space. This feature is appropriate to build up spherical potential gradients, such as in light harvesting systems with several chromophores,6 and redox cascades.7 The para-substituted phenylazomethine dendrimer (pDPA, Fig. 1)8 is reported to have an inner-layer electron rich and an outer-layer electron poor potential gradient even while its backbone is formed by the repetition of absolutely identical monomers.9 This spontaneous expression of the potential gradient was an unexpected achievement and is not an extension of the usual method to construct a potential gradient (connecting different molecules). According to this anomalous feature, DPAs has a layer-by-layer gradient of basicity and can coordinate to Lewis acids in a stepwise radial fashion.8 This can be used to locate the precise number of Lewis acids, making it useful as a sub-nanometer metal cluster template.10 It can further be used as a molecular rectifier for electron transfer,11 and is expected to be a model for “atom mimicry”.12 The expression mechanism of the potential gradient is still unclear, but the importance of the rigid π-conjugated backbone and the electron donation from the outer layer to the inner layer has been pointed out.13 Recently, we have tried to control the coordination sequence by installation of an electron withdrawing group in the core.14 However, this approach only weakened the binding of the innermost layer, and inversion of the potential gradient was not achieved. As mentioned above, the electron donation (substituent effect) from the outer layer to the inner layer of phenylazomethine seems to play an important role in the spontaneous expression of the inner-layer electron rich potential gradient. The origin of this donation is ascribable to resonance donation. Therefore, presumably, changing the substitution position from para to meta will suppress this donation and allow a weak inductive electron withdrawal due to the difference in the electron negativity of the carbon and nitrogen atoms (essentially this should also exist in the para substituted DPA, but the withdrawal is masked with the stronger donation). Accordingly, herein we report the synthesis and an inverted potential gradient (coordination sequence) of the meta-substituted phenylazomethine dendrimer (mDPA) together with the expression mechanism.

The synthesis of the meta-substituted phenylazomethine dendron is summarized in Scheme S1 (ESI†). The traditional
**Scheme S3**, ESI† substituted phenyl ferrocenes were synthesized (UV-vis absorption spectra were measured (Fig. S24, ESI†) by reacting ferrocene-linked anilines16 with benzo-ketone.15 The reaction and oxidation of the benzyl position to reproduce the potential gradient, the G1 to G4 dendrons were reacted with aniline to give a 1-substituted dendrimer (mGnA (n = generation), Fig. 2, Scheme S2, ESI†). Additionally, *meta*- and *para*-phenylazomethine substituted phenyl ferrocenes were synthesized (pFc, and mFc, Fig. 2, Scheme S3, ESI†) by reacting ferrocene-linked anilines16 with benzo-phenone. All the new compounds were identified by 1H NMR, 13C NMR, MALDI-TOF MS, and elemental analysis. Additionally, the purity of mGnA was checked by HPLC analysis (see ESI†).

To compare the conjugation length of mGnA and pGnA, the UV-vis absorption spectra were measured (Fig. S24, ESI†). The peak of pGnA at around 350 nm showed a bathochromic shift when the generation increased from pG2A to pG4A (342, 360, and 367 nm, respectively). On the other hand, the absorption peaks of mG2A, mG3A, and mG4A were consistent (326, 325, and 324 nm, respectively). The absorption edge also showed the same trend. These results suggested that the conjugation-induced inner-layer electron rich potential gradient of pDPA is suppressed in mDPA.

The steric crowding around the binding site may affect the binding properties. Therefore, to obtain steric information about pDPA and mDPA, the intrinsic viscosity was measured and a Mark–Houwink–Sakurada plot was created (Fig. S25, ESI†). The intrinsic viscosity of mDPA was slightly lower than that of pDPA and the hydrodynamic radius also decreased. This could also be confirmed by the molecular model (Fig. S26, ESI†).17 However, the difference in size and steric hindrance around the binding sites is small, thus it can be assumed that the steric factor does not affect the complexation.

The stepwise complexation of DPAs can be observed by careful UV-vis titration with Lewis acids. In the case of pG4A, four isosbestic points can be observed during the titration, and the shift occurs when the amount of Lewis acids matches the number of imine sites in each layer of pG4A from the inner layer (1, 2, 4, and 8 equivalents). One isosbestic point corresponds to one equilibrium state, therefore, this strongly supports the plausible assumption that the complexation of pG4A is occurring sequentially from the inner layer to the outer layer. Following this method, the UV-vis titration of mG4A with GaCl3 in chloroform:acetonitrile = 1:1 solvent was performed (Fig. 3 and Fig. S27, ESI†) (GaCl3 was chosen because it complexes strongly with imines18 and does not have any absorption beyond 270 nm). During the titration, four isosbestic points were observed, and the amount of GaCl3, which was necessary for the shift, was 8, 4, 2, and 1 equivalents. This corresponds to the number of imine sites from the outer layer of mG4A and indicates the sequential complexation from the outer layer to the inner layer. Other generations (mG3A and mG2A, Fig. S28, ESI†) and another Lewis acid (BF3O(Et)2,Fig. S29, ESI†)19 also showed the absolutely same behaviour that supports the stepwise complexation from the outer layer. These results are opposite compared to those obtained for pG4A and clearly indicate that the potential gradient in the dendritic structure is inverted by changing the binding position of the phenylazomethines from the *para* to *meta* position.

To determine the details of the potential gradient in mGnA, titration with a weak acid (H+, trifluoroacetic acid) was performed according to the literature method that was used to determine the binding constants of each layer in pGnA (Fig. S30, ESI†). The results clearly show that the outer layer has a higher binding constant for H+ and it sequentially decreases toward the inner layer in all generations of mGnA, but the slope is steeper in pGnA because, probably, the resonance donation is stronger than inductive withdrawal (Fig. S31, ESI†).

To understand the potential gradient of DPAs, the features of the *meta* and *para* substituted phenylazomethines have to be
understood. Ether and amino substituents are known to have a significant difference in the para and meta substituent effects (in some cases, the donating group changes to a withdrawing group) which is explained by the effectivity of the resonant donation from the lone-pair. To determine the substituent effect of the phenylazomethines, the redox potentials of the phenylazomethine linked ferrocenes (Fig. 1) were measured (Fig. S32, ESI†). The redox potentials (V vs. Fe/Fe⁰) of pFc and mFc were −10 mV and +15 mV, respectively. This clearly indicates that para substitution of the phenylazomethine is electron donating, while meta-substitution is electron withdrawing.

For further investigation of the expression mechanism of the potential gradient in DPA, the ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR spectra were studied (Fig. 4 and Fig. S33–S35, ESI†). The NMR spectra of the azomethines are complicated and the assignment was difficult because the cis and trans positions of the C=N bond are nonequivalent. Therefore, the spectra of each compound were compared to those of the outer-layer ¹³C labelled DPA and inner-layer C=¹⁵N labelled DPA (prepared by using ¹³C labelled benzophenone (¹³C=O) and ¹⁵N labelled aniline) for the assignment of the outer-layer ¹³C NMR spectra and the inner-layer ¹⁵N NMR spectra. The ¹³C NMR spectra of the outermost C=N carbons showed the highest chemical shift in both mG2A and pG2A (Fig. S33, ESI†). This indicates that the outermost C=N carbon is de-shielded compared to the other layer carbons. Assignments of other layers are difficult, but it is assumed that the environment around the C=N carbons (NOE from ¹H atom) is similar, therefore, the integration is helpful information. The integration value of mG4A is 8, 6(4 + 2), 1 from the low field to the high field, and the peak with a value of 6 has a small peak value in the higher field. This means that the ¹³C=N chemical shift is sequentially shifting from the outer layer to the inner layer.

On the other hand, the ¹⁵N NMR spectra of mG2A showed that the outer layer C=N nitrogen is more shielded than the inner layer nitrogens, and in the case of pG2A, it showed the opposite trend (Fig. 4(a)). The complexation of acids with the imine reflects the electron density of the nitrogen atom, and the outer layer shielding (+ higher electron density) of mG2A agrees with the stepwise complexation from the outer layer. The inner layer shielding (+ higher electron density) behaviour of pG2A also agrees with the stepwise complexation from the inner layer. The difference in the chemical shift between the first and second layers is smaller in mG2A compared to pG2A which also agrees with the complexation constants that are determined by the titration with TFA. However, even the ¹⁵N NMR spectra match the outer-layer electron density compared to that shown in the ¹³C NMR spectra (inner layer shielded). This can be explained by the mechanism of the electron donation or withdrawal (Fig. 4(b)). In pGnA, the electron donation from the outer layer is a resonance mechanism and the inner-layer carbon and nitrogen are both shielded, but in mGnA, the electron withdrawal is a π-polarization mechanism in which the C=N bond takes a δ−C=N+ type polarized electronic structure (Fig. 4b).

In conclusion, a new meta-substituted phenylazomethine dendrimer was synthesized. This dendrimer showed a stepwise radial complexation from the outer layer to the inner layer which was opposite compared to that shown by the para-substituted phenylazomethine dendrimers. The inversion of the potential gradient could be explained by the substituent effect of the repeat unit. Of course, this dendrimer can expand the range of DPA applications. For example, the greater variation in the accumulable numbers and combinations of metal atoms (Fig. S36, ESI†) has an important meaning. However, the inversion of the potential gradient and the discovery of a simple mechanism have a more significant fundamental meaning. It is a novel method to design and control the intramolecular potential structure.
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