Changes in the concentrations and transcripts for gibberellins and other hormones in a growing leaf and roots of wheat seedlings in response to water restriction
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Abstract

Background: Bread wheat (Triticum aestivum) is a major source of nutrition globally, but yields can be seriously compromised by water limitation. Redistribution of growth between shoots and roots is a common response to drought, promoting plant survival, but reducing yield. Gibberellins (GAs) are necessary for shoot and root elongation, but roots maintain growth at lower GA concentrations compared with shoots, making GA a suitable hormone for mediating this growth redistribution. In this study, the effect of progressive drought on GA content was determined in the base of the 4th leaf and root tips of wheat seedlings, containing the growing regions, as well as in the remaining leaf and root tissues. In addition, the contents of other selected hormones known to be involved in stress responses were determined. Transcriptome analysis was performed on equivalent tissues and drought-associated differential expression was determined for hormone-related genes.

Results: After 5 days of applying progressive drought to 10-day old seedlings, the length of leaf 4 was reduced by 31% compared with watered seedlings and this was associated with significant decreases in the concentrations of bioactive GA1 and GA4 in the leaf base, as well as of their catabolites and precursors. Root length was unaffected by drought, while GA concentrations were slightly, but significantly higher in the tips of droughted roots compared with watered plants. Transcripts for the GA-inactivating gene TaGA2ox4 were elevated in the droughted leaf, while those for several GA-biosynthesis genes were reduced by drought, but mainly in the non-growing region. In response to drought the concentrations of abscisic acid, cis-zeatin and its riboside increased in all tissues, indole-acetic acid was unchanged, while trans-zeatin and riboside, jasmonate and salicylic acid concentrations were reduced.

Conclusions: Reduced leaf elongation and maintained root growth in wheat seedlings subjected to progressive drought were associated with attenuated and increased GA content, respectively, in the growing regions. Despite increased TaGA2ox4 expression, lower GA levels in the leaf base of droughted plants were due to reduced biosynthesis rather than increased catabolism. In contrast to GA, the other hormones analysed responded to drought similarly in the leaf and roots, indicating organ-specific differential regulation of GA metabolism in response to drought.
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Background

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is one of the most important staple crops globally feeding a major part of the human population. The worldwide estimated production of wheat in 2019 was 766 million tonnes making it the second most important grain after maize [1]. However, drought is a major constraint to the productivity of wheat and other cereals [2–4] and is anticipated to become an even more serious problem for farmers worldwide as a result of the changing climate [5, 6].

Plants under drought stress adapt their morphology, physiology and biochemistry in an attempt to cope with the water limitation [7–9]. Plant hormones are important components of this stress response, mediating mechanisms to reduce water usage, including restriction of shoot growth, while roots, which first perceive the lack of water, continue to extend [10–12]. Gibberellins (GAs) as growth regulators are prime candidates for involvement in this growth redistribution. Furthermore, it has been shown that plants with reduced GA content, through the application of growth retardants or mutation, are more resistant to abiotic stress, including drought, cold and salt stress [13]. The mechanism of this phenomenon is still not fully understood.

Gibberellins signal through the GID1 receptor, which, in the presence of GAs, interacts with and destabilises DELLA proteins, a family of transcriptional regulators, first identified as repressors of growth [14]. DELLA proteins act in association with transcription factors to modify gene expression, for example by sequestration of the transcription factors or by functioning as a co-activator [15–17]. After GA-dependant binding to GID1, DELLA proteins are polyubiquitinated by an E3 ubiquitin ligase and targeted for degradation by the 26S proteasome [18, 19]. In rice, barley and Arabidopsis DELLA protein loss-of-function mutants have been shown to exhibit a constitutive GA response phenotype [20, 21]. These mutants do not respond to exogenous GA, are taller than the wild-type, flower early and are often sterile. On the other hand, specific mutations in the N-terminal region of DELLA proteins cause their accumulation by preventing their association with the GA–GID1 complex [20–24]. Such gain-of-function mutants are dwarfed; prime examples of which are the semi-dwarfing alleles of the wheat RHT-1 gene, which were introduced in the Green Revolution and are present in most commercial cultivars [25]. Wheat cultivars containing RHT-1 dwarfing alleles were found to perform better under water deprivation than those carrying the non-mutant tall allele [26].

During abiotic stress, DELLA proteins accumulate in association with a reduction in GA content [27, 28]. As well as decreasing water requirement through suppressing shoot growth, the accumulation of DELLA was shown to reduce transpiration in tomato by closing stomata [29]. The mechanism involves increased ABA concentration through enhanced expression of an ABA transporter [30], highlighting the action of DELLA as a hub in the cross-talk between the GA signalling pathway and those of other plant hormones [31]. In addition, DELLA was observed to reduce the level of reactive oxygen species [27], which accumulate during abiotic stress and can lead to oxidative damage and cell death when present at high concentrations [32].

Stress-induced DELLA accumulation is enabled primarily by a reduction in GA concentration, although expression of some DELLA paralogues, such as RGL3 in Arabidopsis, is up-regulated by stress [33, 34]. Biosynthesis of GAs proceeds through the action of plasmid-localised terpene cyclases, ent-copalyl diphosphate synthase (CPS) and ent-kaurene synthase (KS), and the membrane-associated cytochrome P450 monooxygenases, ent-kaurene oxidase (KO) and ent-kaurenoic acid oxidase (KAO) to produce the C20-GA GA12, which is 13-hydroxylated by cytochrome P450 monoxygenases (GA13ox) to GA53 [35]. GA12 and GA53 are converted by the soluble 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases GA 20-oxidase (GA20ox) and GA 3-oxidase (GA3ox) to the biologically active C19-GA end-products GA4 and GA7, respectively. GA turnover occurs primarily through the action of the inactivating GA 2-oxidases (GA2ox), of which there are two families, acting on C19-GAs or C20-GAs, respectively [36–39]. Up-regulation of GA2ox genes to reduce GA content and promote DELLA accumulation has been shown to occur in response to abiotic stress, with examples from Arabidopsis for up-regulation of the AtGA2ox7 parologue in response to salt [40] and mechanical stimulus [41], and of several GA2ox genes in response to cold [33, 36]. Pearce et al. [42] identified 7 C19-GA2ox paralogues, excluding homoeologues, and 5 C20-GA2ox paralogues in the wheat genome but there is limited information on their involvement in stress responses for this species.

While the growth of shoots and roots is dependent on GA signalling, root growth requires lower concentrations of GAs than does that of shoots [43] and indeed root growth may be inhibited by supraoptimum GA concentrations [44, 45]. Thus, a reduction in GA content in response to drought provides a mechanism
to redistribute growth between shoots and roots, but the role of GA signalling in this response has been little studied. In this report, we describe the effect of water limitation on the growth, transcriptome and hormone content of shoots and roots of wheat seedlings with a focus on GA metabolism and signalling.

**Results**

The effect of water restriction on growth and physiology

Wheat seedlings were grown in pots under a controlled environment in a field soil with a high sand content until the third leaf was visible. Watering was then withheld from half the plants, while the remainder were continued to be watered to 100% soil capacity. Leaf lengths were monitored throughout the experiment (Fig. 1A). After 5 days, the length of leaf 4 in the droughted plants was reduced by 31% compared with the watered plants, while the lengths of the longest root were not significantly different between the two treatments (Fig. 1B). At this stage, the water content of the droughted soil was 19% full capacity (Fig. 1C), and the relative water content of the 3rd leaf was reduced from 96% for the well-watered plants to 81.5% under drought (Additional file 1: Table S1). The contents of malondialdehyde (MDA), indicative of lipid oxidation, and proline in leaves of the droughted seedlings increased by 2- and 5-fold, respectively, compared with the well-watered seedlings (Additional file 1: Table S1). There was a small, but non-significant ($p = 0.2$)

![Fig. 1](image-url) Growth response of wheat seedlings to prolonged drought. A Mean lengths $\pm$ SD of leaves 1-4 measured from 5 days before and after the cessation of watering. Statistically significant differences between watered and droughted plants are indicated by * ($p < 0.05$) and ** ($p < 0.001$), $n = 20$. B Mean length of the longest root $\pm$ SD at 5 days after water cessation, $n = 20$. C Soil water content (% full soil water capacity)
reduction in photosynthetic rate in the droughted seedlings after 5 days of water restriction compared with the well-watered plants, while by 6 days the difference was significant ($p=0.04$) (Additional file 1: Table S1). Similarly, there were small, non-significant reductions in stomatal conductance and intercellular CO₂ concentrations on day 5 for the droughted plants with greater, significant reductions after 6 days (Additional file 1: Table S1). In order to determine changes in hormone content and gene expression in response to water restriction, the 4th leaf was harvested at day 5 and divided into the bottom 3 cm of the sheath (leaf base), which includes the growing region of the leaf sheath, and the rest of the leaf. Roots were divided into the bottom 3 cm of the primary roots (the root tip) and the remaining root material (Additional file 1: Fig. S1 illustrates the tissue sampling). The four samples from well-watered and droughted seedlings with replicates were analysed for the abundance of GAs and other hormones by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS-MS) and of transcripts by RNA-sequencing.

**Water restriction and gibberellin content**

The GAs, including precursors and catabolites, were analysed separately from other hormones due to the requirement for a different protocol in order to achieve adequate sensitivity. Figure 2A and C show the concentrations of 13-hydroxylated (13-OH) and 13-deoxy (13-H) GAs, respectively, in the leaf tissues, while these are presented for the roots in Fig. 2B and D. In the base of the leaf, which contains the extension zone of the sheath [46], the concentrations of most GAs, including the biologically active GA1 and GA4 were significantly reduced by water restriction. Notably, the concentration
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of GA₈, the 2β-hydroxylated catabolite of GA₇, was also strongly reduced by drought. GA₁ and GA₄ contents were unchanged by drought in the remaining leaf, although GA₈ concentration, but not that of GA₁₃₄ (2β-hydroxyGA₉), was significantly reduced. The effect of drought on precursor levels in the remaining leaf was variable with only GA₄₄ and GA₂₀ showing significant decreases. In contrast to the base of the leaf, the root tip showed small, but significant increases in GA₁ and GA₄ concentrations under drought, while the levels of GA₁₅₇, GA₂₀ and GA₅₁ (2β-hydroxyGA₈) decreased and those of the other GAs remained unchanged. In the remaining root tissue there were small decreases in the levels of several GAs under drought, including GA₁ and GA₄ and the precursor GA₁₉. Notably, the levels of the major catabolites, GA₈ and GA₃₄, were not changed by drought in either root tissue.

**Water restriction and the concentration of other hormones**

Growth and its response to water limitation are regulated by the combined activity of multiple hormones [47, 48], so we took the opportunity to measure the concentrations of abscisic acid (ABA), indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), cytokinins (CKs), jasmonates (JAs), salicylic acid (SA) and associated metabolites in equivalent samples to those analysed for GAs. Their concentrations are given in Additional file 2, and for selected hormones shown in Fig. 3A and B for the leaf and root samples, respectively. As expected, the concentration of ABA was strongly increased by water limitation in all four tissues, with fold increases of 41 and 94 in the leaf base and remaining leaf, respectively, and of 79 and 219 for the root tip and remaining root tissue, respectively. There were smaller increases under drought in the concentration of the ABA-catabolite phaseic acid in the leaf tissue and lower root (Additional file 2), while it increased more substantially in the remaining root. In contrast to that of ABA, the concentration of IAA was not significantly changed by drought in any of the tissues, although there were higher levels of 2-oxindole-3-acetic acid in all tissues and of IAA-glutamate in the leaf base. The concentrations of JA and its isoleucine conjugate decreased in all four water-stressed tissues, as did that of SA in all tissues except the remaining leaf in which it increased, suggesting a redistribution under drought.

The concentrations of trans-zeatin (tZ) and its riboside (tZR), which were higher in the growing regions of the leaf and root compared with the remaining tissues, were reduced by water limitation in all sampled tissues. The lower tZ and tZR concentrations were accompanied by increased levels of their O-glucosides in the leaf base and root tip, but not in the remaining tissues. In contrast, the concentrations of cis-zeatin (cZ) and its riboside cZR, which were generally higher than those of the trans isomers, were increased by drought in the leaf base and root tip, whereas it was reduced in the droughted remaining leaf tissue and unchanged in the remaining root tissue, in which concentrations were generally low. The concentrations of the O-glucosides of cZ and cZR followed the same trend as their aglycones in the leaf base and root, while there were significant decreases in their levels in the remaining root tissues under drought.

**Water restriction and gene expression**

Changes in gene expression due to water restriction was determined by RNA-seq from three biological replicates in tissues and treatments equivalent to those used for the hormone determination. The numbers of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (>2-fold change) were substantially higher for the leaf tissues (17,298 and 24,343 for the 4th leaf base and remaining leaf, respectively) than for the root (2696 and 8995 for the root tip and remaining root, respectively) (Table 1). The distribution of up- and down-regulated genes is illustrated by the volcano plots in Additional file 1: Fig. S2. As indicated by the dendrogram and principal component analysis plot in Additional file 1: Fig. S3A and Fig. S3B, respectively, there was relatively close sample replication, with the largest discrimination between leaf and roots, followed by leaf tissue types and then by the leaf tissues in response to drought. In contrast to the leaf, there was comparatively little discrimination between the root samples, for tissue type or treatment. The distribution of DEGs between the tissue types is shown in Additional file 1: Fig. S3C. Of the 37,996 DEGs, 89.5% were expressed in the leaf, of which 84.3% (75.5% of the total) were unique to the leaf and 22.4% were expressed in both leaf tissues. The equivalent figures for the root were 9.3% of the total, of which 42.7% (4% of the total) were unique to the roots and 17.1% were expressed in both root tissues. While the number of unique DEGs was 50 and 59% of those expressed in the leaf base and remaining leaf, respectively, it was only 13% for the root tip and 38% for the remaining root. Full lists of genes with mean normalised reads under well-watered and drought conditions and log₂-fold change (LFC) are presented in Additional files 3, 4, 5 and 6, in which they are ordered by differential expression. A gene ontology analysis of biological function is shown in Additional file 1: Fig. S4 – Fig. S7 for the leaf base, remaining leaf, root tip and remaining root, showing the 30 most significant down- and up-regulated processes, respectively, for each tissue type. Cellular organisation and metabolism are strongly represented in the down-regulated functions, while responses to abiotic stimuli and related metabolism, and to ABA are major up-regulated processes.
Fig. 3  Concentration of selected hormones in the 4th leaf and roots of watered and droughted wheat seedlings after 5 days of water cessation. Concentrations were determined in the leaf base and remaining leaf (A) and the root tip and remaining root (B). Values are the means of 7 replicates ± SD. Statistically significant differences between watered and droughted plants are indicated by * (p < 0.05), ** (p < 0.01) and *** (p < 0.001) determined by the Student t-test. tz, trans-zeatin; tZR, trans-zeatin riboside; cZ, cis-zeatin; cZR, cis-zeatin riboside; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; ABA, abscisic acid; JA, jasmonic acid; JA-Ile, jasmonic acid isoleucine conjugate; SA, salicylic acid
Annotated genes for hormone metabolism and signalling are listed in Additional file 7, in which their annotation, if not previously published, is based where possible on that of their rice orthologues.

**Gibberellin metabolism and signal transduction**

The relative expression in response to drought of genes involved in GA metabolism and signalling is shown for each tissue type as heat maps in Fig. 4. In order to simplify the figure, normalised reads for the three homoeologues are summed, while the normalised reads are provided separately for all homoeologues in Additional file 7. The 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase genes of GA biosynthesis and inactivation are considered to be major sites of regulation [35]. Transcripts for TaGA20ox1 and 2 were more abundant in the remaining leaf tissue than in the leaf base and were reduced by drought in both leaf tissues. There was no significant change due to drought in the root tissues. Notably, there was a relatively high expression of GA20ox4 in the remaining leaf and, for one homoeologue (TaGA20ox-A4) only, expression was increased substantially under drought. Roots contained very few reads for this parologue, except for the A homoeologue in the droughted root tip. In contrast to the GA20ox genes, GA3ox2 transcripts were evenly distributed between the leaf base and remaining leaf and also in the root tissues. Under drought GA3ox2 expression was reduced in the leaf, but increased in the root, particularly in the remaining root tissue. Both root tissues contain transcripts for GA1ox1 that encodes a mildly inactivating enzyme and is highly expressed in developing grain [42]. Its expression was reduced under drought.

For the genes encoding enzymes for the early GA-biosynthetic pathway, CPS is present as at least four paralogues in wheat, of which CPS3 and CPS4 are reported to be involved in GA biosynthesis [49, 50] and are included in Fig. 4 and Additional file 7. Expression of neither gene is affected by drought in any tissue type. The A homoeologue of KS was up-regulated in response to drought in all tissues, while KO was up-regulated by drought in the leaf tissues but to a less extent in the roots. KAO expression in the leaf was mainly in the remaining leaf tissue, in which it was down-regulated by drought, while it was not differentially regulated by drought in the roots. Transcripts of trans-geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (GGPPS) homoeologues, responsible for diterpene biosynthesis, were reduced by drought in the leaf, particularly in the remaining leaf tissue, but were not affected by drought in the root tissues.

The wheat GA2ox genes, responsible for GA-turnover by inactivation, the enzymes encoded by TaGA2ox6 and TaGA2ox9 act mainly on C20-GAs [42], while TaGA2ox11, which is orthologous to the rice GA2ox11, belongs to the same clade and is assumed also to encode a C20-GA2ox [51]. The genes annotated as TaGA2ox11, 12 and 13 in Pearce et al. [42] are close homologues of TaGA2ox6 and are renamed as TaGA2ox6-2, 6-3 and 6-4, respectively (Additional file 7). The remaining TaGA2ox genes encode enzymes that act mainly on C19-GAs [42]. No reads were present for TaGA2ox2, TaGA2ox6-4 and TaGA2ox11 in any of the sampled tissues, while expression of TaGA2ox1, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 8 and 9 was low in all tissues. In both sections of the droughted fourth leaf, there was strong up-regulation of TaGA2ox4, with TaGA2ox3 and TaGA2ox10 also up-regulated in the remaining leaf, but not in the leaf base. Indeed, TaGA2ox3 transcripts, which were more abundant in the base of the sheath, were present at lower levels in this tissue in response to drought. In contrast, expression of TaGA2ox4 under well-watered conditions was higher in the remaining leaf, while water restriction caused strong up-regulation in both tissues, particularly in the leaf base. Expression of TaGA2ox7 was mainly confined to the remaining leaf, in which two of its homoeologues were down-regulated under drought, whereas TaGA2ox10 was expressed more highly in the leaf base and was the most highly expressed GA2ox gene in this tissue under well-watered conditions. Under drought, expression of TaGA2ox10 increased in the remaining leaf, but only expression of the D homoeologue increased in the leaf base. In the roots, TaGA2ox3 was by far the most highly expressed GA2ox gene and its expression in both tissue types was not affected by drought.

Genes encoding the GA-receptor GID1 and the DELLA protein RHT-1 were highly expressed in all four tissues. Notably, expression of GID1 was strongly promoted under drought in the leaf tissues, but not in the roots, while RHT-1 was not differentially expressed under drought in any tissue. Transcripts for genes encoding the F-box protein GID2, a component of the E3-ligase responsible for RHT-1 degradation [52, 53], are not included in the analysis. GID2 exists in wheat as two paralogues, of which the closest orthologue to the rice gene (TraesCS3A01G055700 and TraesCS3B01G068100) is present in the intron of another gene and its expression
could not be determined accurately. The function of its paralogues (GID2-like: TraesCS3A01G056000, TraesCS3A01G511800, TraesCS3B01G068800 and TraesCS3D01G056100) is unclear.

In a separate experiment, differential expression in the four tissue types in response to water restriction was determined by qRT-PCR for three GA2ox genes (GA2ox3, GA2ox4 and GA2ox10), the biosynthetic gene GA3ox2 as well as GID1 and the ABA signalling gene PP2C1, which is strongly up-regulated by drought (see below). The results from qRT-PCR, for which primers were designed to amplify all three homoeologues, were generally consistent with the differential expression determined by RNA-seq (Additional file 1: Fig. S8).
Metabolism and signalling for other hormones

Metabolism and signalling genes for the other analysed hormones were curated for wheat and their normalised reads are provided for the four tissue types under well-watered and droughted conditions in Additional file 7, with their differential expression displayed as heat maps in Figs. 5, 6, 7 and 8. Although levels of ethylene, brassinosteroids and strigolactones were not determined, for completeness expression data for their pathway genes are included in Additional file 7.

Differential expression of genes involved in ABA metabolism and signalling is displayed in Fig. 5. Two of four NCED genes encoding 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenases were up-regulated in all tissues by drought, while

![Diagram of ABA metabolism and signalling](image)

Fig. 5 Heat maps for differential expression of genes for ABA metabolism and signalling between watered and droughted wheat seedlings in the 4th leaf base and remaining leaf, and root tip and remaining root. See the legend for Fig. 4 for details.
NCED-3A was up-regulated in the remaining leaf and remaining root. Of the other ABA-biosynthetic genes, two AAO3 genes encoding abscisic aldehyde oxidase were up-regulated by drought only in the roots. \textit{ABAox1} and \textit{ABAox2} encoding CYP707A (ABA 8'-hydroxylases) that catalyze ABA catabolism were up-regulated by drought in the leaf, but not significantly in the root. Genes encoding the ABA signalling components A-type 2C protein phosphatases (PP2CAs) were strongly up-regulated by drought in all tissues as were two \textit{TaS APK} genes encoding stress/ABA-activated protein kinase that acts downstream of PP2CA. Several of the \textit{PYL} (ABA receptor) genes were down-regulated by drought, while two subfamily I \textit{PYL} genes, \textit{PYL11} and \textit{PYL12} were up-regulated, particularly in the leaf.

For the other hormones, differential gene expression in response to drought was more evident in the leaf tissues than in the roots, even though the levels of these hormones responded similarly to drought in both organs. The data for CKs are present in Fig. 6. Transcripts for the isopentenyltransferase genes \textit{IPT3} – \textit{IPT8}, thought to be responsible for \textit{tZ} biosynthesis [54], were present at very low levels in the leaf, with the most abundant, \textit{IPT8}, down-regulated by drought. Although biosynthesis of \textit{cZ} is not fully understood, there is evidence for the involvement of tRNA-IPTs [55]. These are encoded by \textit{IPT9} and \textit{10}, which were expressed at relatively high levels in
the leaf tissues, with IPT10 down-regulated by drought. The isopentenyladenine hydroxylase (CYP735A) genes were not expressed in the leaf, while a number of LOGL genes, encoding CK riboside 5′-monophosphate phosphoribohydrolases [56], were expressed in the leaf, with several down-regulated by drought. Expression of CK dehydrogenase genes (TaCKX), responsible for CK catabolism, was modified by drought in the leaf tissues, either negatively or positively, but not in the roots. Type A CK response regulator genes were generally down-regulated by drought in the leaf. With the exception of TaCOGT-D1.1, which was strongly up-regulated in the remaining leaf, expression of O-glucosyltransferase genes were generally down-regulated by drought in the leaf or unchanged in the root tissues. The IAA-biosynthetic genes TaTAR2.1 and TaTAR3.1, encoding tryptophan aminotransferases and TaYUCCA11, encoding a flavin-containing monooxygenase, were slightly down-regulated by drought in the remaining leaf as were AUX/IAA- and ARF-encoding genes involved in auxin-signal
transduction (Fig. 7A), consistent with altered IAA signalling in the droughted leaf. Several PAL genes, encoding phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, potentially involved in SA biosynthesis, were differentially regulated under drought in the leaf with smaller changes in the root (Fig. 7B). Notably, a UDP-glucosyltransferase gene UGT74J3 was strongly up-regulated by drought, while the more highly expressed UGT74H genes were down-regulated in the remaining leaf. In rice, these glucosyltransferases have been shown to conjugate SA and regulate its concentration [57, 58]. While there was little uniform change in expression of jasmonate biosynthetic genes in response to drought, several genes encoding COI receptors, the JAZ signalling component and MYC transcription factors were up-regulated by drought in the leaf tissues (Fig. 8).

![Fig. 8 Heat maps for differential expression of genes for jasmonate metabolism and signalling between watered and droughted wheat seedlings in the 4th leaf base and remaining leaf, and root tip and remaining root. See the legend for Fig. 4 for details](image-url)
Discussion

Redistribution of growth between shoots and roots is a common consequence of water restriction [59, 60]; reduced shoot growth moderates water use, while promoted or maintained root elongation maximizes exploitation of the available water. Due to the importance of GAs in regulating growth, this class of hormone is a prime candidate in this growth redistribution, particularly since shoots are much more sensitive to changes in GA concentration than are roots [43]. After five days of progressive water restriction, growth of the elongating third and fourth leaves of the wheat seedlings was significantly reduced, while there was no significant difference in root length (Fig. 1). The growth reduction of the fourth leaf corresponded with substantial decreases in the concentrations of the bioactive GA$_3$ and GA$_4$ in the base of the elongating leaf (Fig. 2), while there was no change in the remaining leaf tissue, containing the upper leaf sheath and blade. In the root tip, containing the growing region there were small but significant increases in the concentrations of these GAs consistent with the maintained root growth under water restriction. In order to understand the mechanisms for the drought-induced changes in GA content, RNA-seq was used to determine differential gene expression under drought for the four tissue types. Abiotic stress has been shown to induce increased expression of GA$_2$ox genes [13] with evidence from work with Arabidopsis and tomato that this is at least partly responsible for the stress-induced physiological response [33, 36, 40, 41, 61]. In both sections of the droughted fourth leaf, there was strong up-regulation of TaGA$_2$ox4, with TaGA$_2$ox3 and TaGA$_2$ox10 also up-regulated in the remaining leaf, but not in the lower leaf sheath. Indeed, TaGA$_2$ox3 transcript was present at lower levels in the leaf base in response to drought, potentially in response to the reduced GA content through the homeostasis mechanism, suggesting its expression is not directly regulated by water stress. Potentially through the same mechanism, there was strong drought-induced up-regulation of the GA-receptor gene GID1 in the leaf tissues, although a response to reduced GA signaling is less clear for the remaining leaf in which the GA content was unchanged. More detailed information on the distribution of GA and gene expression along the leaf may be required to understand the relationship between gene expression and GA content. In the root, TaGA$_2$ox3 was the most-highly expressed GA$_2$ox gene, but was not differentially expressed under drought, as was no other GA$_2$ox gene. There are recent reports for maize and rice of up-regulation of GA$_2$ox genes in shoots and down-regulation of these genes in roots in response to osmotic stress, indicating tissue-specific differential regulation of these genes [62, 63].

Despite the up-regulation of GA2ox genes in the leaf, the concentrations of GA catabolites and precursors are not consistent with higher rates of 2-oxidation as the major cause of the reduction in bioactive GA levels in the leaf base under drought. Indeed, the levels of the 2β-hydroxyGAs, GA$_9$, GA$_{34}$, GA$_{29}$ and GA$_{51}$ were also reduced. The concentrations of precursor GAs in both the 13-hydroxy and 13-deoxy pathways to GA$_3$ and GA$_4$, respectively, were also reduced suggesting a general reduction in GA biosynthesis. Transcripts levels for the biosynthesis genes GGPPS1, KAO and GA3ox2 were reduced by water restriction in the remaining leaf section, but less so in the base of the leaf sheath, in which nevertheless GA levels were reduced. Overall, under well-watered conditions transcripts for most biosynthetic genes were more abundant in the remaining leaf than in the leaf base, despite the latter containing higher concentrations of bioactive GA$_3$ and GA$_4$ as is predictable for the elongation zone. The exception is the GA3ox2 transcript, which was equally distributed between the two leaf sections. These results are in agreement with those in [64], in which the distribution of GAs and expression of GA-biosynthesis genes were determined along a growing maize leaf. They showed that bioactive GAs and GA3ox expression peaked at the boundary between the division and cell elongation zones, while precursors and transcripts encoding enzymes for earlier biosynthetic steps were abundant in the more mature part of the leaf. Most strikingly, transcript reads for KAO were very low in the leaf base, as was the case also for the maize leaf [64]. The data would suggest that the early stages of biosynthesis occur predominantly in the remaining leaf, containing the leaf blade, with GAs and/or precursors transported to the base. The leaf blade may experience more stress under drought, although this did not translate into reduced GA content in the remaining leaf. However, this material is heterogeneous and it will be necessary to determine the effect of drought on GA distribution.

Growth and its response to water limitation are regulated by the combined activity of multiple hormones [47, 48], so we took the opportunity to measure the concentrations of ABA, CKs, IAA, JA, SA and associated metabolites (Fig. 3 and Additional file 2) and to determine the effect of the leaf, GA$_3$ox expression in response to drought. In contrast to GAs, ABA levels were strongly increased by water restrictions in both leaf and root samples, accompanied by up-regulation of genes encoding NCED and ABA8ox metabolism enzymes and the PP2C signaling components, as has been previously reported [65–70]. Several of the PYL (ABA receptor) genes were down-regulated by drought, as has been shown for the subfamily II PYLs (PYL4, PYL5 and PYL6)
in rice [71]. These genes are also down-regulated by ABA, consistent with their involvement in ABA homeostasis [72]. Two subfamily I PYL genes, PYL11 and PYL12 were up-regulated, particularly in the leaf, as reported by [71].

The levels of the other analysed hormones responded similarly to drought in the leaf and roots, although expression of the genes monitored for their metabolism and signal transduction changed much more in the leaf tissues than in the roots. The very substantial reduction in the levels of tZ and its riboside in the leaf sheath base and root tip in response to water restriction is consistent with reduced leaf growth and maintained root elongation, which is inhibited by CK signalling [73, 74]. The reduced tZ and its riboside was accompanied by increased levels of their O-glucosides suggesting enhanced conjugation, although, with the exception of TaCOGT-DJ1, there was limited indication of increased expression of O-glucosyltransferase genes. Of the IPT genes thought to be responsible for tZ biosynthesis [54], only IPT8 was expressed in the leaf and was down-regulated by drought. The reduced expression of CKX under drought is in agreement with previous reports [75], but was observed only in the leaf. The lack of a clear correlation between changes in CK levels and expression of metabolism genes in rice in response to drought was noted in [76], in which it was suggested that drought affects CK distribution and degradation. In contrast to those of tZ and its riboside, cZ and cZR levels increased in the leaf base and root tip under drought. cZ is thought have a role in regulating growth under stress [77, 78], and its increase is consistent with a stress response, although this is not correlated with growth restriction in the root. Unexpectedly, there was no change in IAA content in the leaf or root in response to drought, despite previous reports of reduced IAA under such conditions, for example [76]. However, higher levels of 2-oxindole-3-acetic acid in all tissues and of IAA-glutamate in the leaf base indicate increased IAA turnover. Furthermore, RNA-seq revealed down-regulation of genes encoding Aux/IAA and ARF proteins, consistent with altered IAA signal transduction in the droughted leaf. A role for jasmonate in drought responses is well documented [79–81], with reports of water restriction resulting in increased jasmonate levels [82]. However, in the current experiment, the levels of JA and JA-isoleucine were lower in the droughted organs, although expression of several JAZ, COI and MYC genes encoding components of JA signal transduction was up-regulated in the droughted leaf. SA levels were also reduced by drought in the leaf base and root tip, although they were higher in the remaining leaf, suggesting a redistribution under drought. Munoz-Espiñoza et al. [80] reported transient increases in the levels of SA and JA in roots and shoots of tomato plants under water restriction, while ABA levels increased progressively. Their results indicated that ABA reduced the production of SA and JA as the drought progressed, resulting in lower levels of these hormones in prolonged drought, as found in the current study.

Summary and conclusions
Growth restriction in an elongating leaf as a result of progressive drought was associated with a reduction in bioactive GA concentration in the leaf base, which contains the growing zone of the sheath, while small increases in bioactive GAs in the root tip under drought were consistent with maintained root elongation. These changes were not evident in the remaining leaf and root and were thus associated specifically with the growth of these organs. While drought resulted in up-regulation of GA2ox gene expression in the leaf, in particular of TaGA2ox4, quantitative analysis of GA catabolites and biosynthetic intermediates indicated that reduced biosynthesis rather than increased inactivation explained the reduction in GA content. Genes encoding several GA-biosynthetic enzymes were down-regulated by drought in the leaf, but not in the root. These changes occurred throughout the leaf suggesting that the distribution of GAs and precursors may be an important factor in the response to the stress. ABA and transcripts for NCED biosynthesis genes and genes encoding type-A PP2C signalling components were substantially increased in all tissues by drought indicating that the stress was perceived throughout the plant, although overall differentially expressed genes were more abundant in the leaf tissues compared with roots. The concentrations of tZ and its riboside were higher in the leaf base and root tip than in the remaining organs and were very highly attenuated under drought, with increased concentrations of their O-glucosides. In contrast, cZ and cZR concentrations increased in the leaf base and root tip under drought, in support of their suggested role in stress responses, whereas the trans isomers are associated with growth. The concentration of IAA was unchanged, while those of JAs and SA were reduced under drought for most tissues, potentially due to the prolonged and progressive nature of the stress. For most of the hormones investigated it was not possible to correlate the changes in hormone abundance with expression of metabolism genes, and more detailed knowledge of their distribution and its drought-induced changes will be required.

Methods
Plant growth conditions and sampling
Seeds of Triticum aestivum cv. Cadenza were imbibed on wet filter paper at 4 °C for 2 d in the dark and then
germinated at room temperature for a further 2 d. Two uniformly germinated seedlings were planted in pots measuring 13x13x12 cm containing 500 g of dried field soil (loamy sand: 88% sand, 5% silt, 7% clay) and 1.5 g of Osmocote® fertilizer (Sierra Chemical), which had been allowed to take up water to full capacity (0.26 g tap water per 1 g of soil). One of the seedlings was removed after 4-5 d. The soil water content was maintained at full capacity for 7-8 days until the 3rd leaf was visible, after which watering of the droughted group was discontinued, while the control group was watered daily as before. The plants were grown in a controlled environment cabinet at 22/18°C and 70/80% relative humidity (day/night) with a 14-h photoperiod and light flux of 150 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. The length of each visible leaf was recorded daily. After 5 days of drought, the 4th leaf from both sets of plants was harvested after removing the outer leaves and dissected into the bottom 3-cm of the sheath and the remaining leaf (Additional file 1; Fig. S1), which were frozen in liquid N₂.

The roots were washed briefly in water to remove soil, dried on a paper towel and the length of the longest root measured. 3-cm of the tips of the major roots (semenal and nodal) were dissected (Additional file 1; Fig. S1) and frozen in liquid N₂, as were the remaining roots.

**Evaluation of stress**

The relative water content was measured on the 3rd leaf using the protocol in [83]. Free proline content was determined according to [84] with slight modifications. Homogenized leaves (100 mg) were incubated in 3 ml of 3% sulphosalicylic acid at 96°C for 10 minutes. Samples were clarified by centrifugation and 1 ml of supernatant was mixed with 2 ml of 50% acetic acid, 2 ml of 2.5% acidic ninhydrin solution and boiled for another 30 min. The reaction product was liquid-liquid extracted by 5 ml of toluene and the absorbance of the toluene fraction was measured at 520 nm. The concentration of proline was determined using a standard curve (0-30 μg) and expressed as μg·mg⁻¹ of protein. Estimation of protein concentration was according to [85] by spectrophotometric measurement of absorbance of PBS (100 mM, pH 7.8) buffered leaf extracts at 260 and 280 nm. The level of lipid peroxidation was determined by measuring the MDA concentration as described in [86]. Gas exchange measurements were made on the 2nd leaf using the LI-COR 6400-XT infrared gas analyzer with attached leaf chamber LI6400-40 (LI-COR, Biosciences). The measurements were performed with a CO₂ reference concentration of 400 μmol·mol⁻¹, an air flow of 200 μmol·s⁻¹, block temperature of 20°C, photosynthetic photon flux density of 1800 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ and relative humidity between 55 and 65%.

**Hormone quantitative analysis**

For analysis of GAs, dissected leaf and root sections from watered and droughted plants (harvested as described above) were combined from 3 plants for each of 3 biological replicates for each tissue type and treatment and then frozen in liquid N₂. The samples were freeze-dried, ground to a powder, from which 10 mg was extracted in the presence of 3 pmol ³H₂-labelled GA internal standards (OlchemIn s.r.o., Olomouc, Czech Republic), purified and analysed by UHPLC-MS-MS as described in [87] using a Xevo TQ-XS triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Milford, MA, USA). For the global hormone analysis, samples were prepared in the same way with 7 biological replicates and 3 mg sample extracted, purified and analysed as described in [88].

**Analysis of gene expression by qRT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from three biological replicates of plant tissues using E.Z.N.A.® Plant RNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek) and treated with RNase-free DNase I (Promega). cDNAs were synthesized by RevertAid H Minus reverse transcriptase using oligo (dT)18 primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The subsequent qRT-PCR analysis of each cDNA was performed in three technical replicates on the CFX96 Real-Time System with a C1000 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). The reaction mixture contained 400 nM of each primer (Additional file 1: Table S2) and Xceed qPCR SYBR Green I mix (Institute of Applied Biotechnologies, CZ; discontinued). PCR efficiencies of primer pairs were determined using a dilution series of cDNA and standard curve method. The wheat α-tubulin gene (TaTUBa, GenBank accession number U76558) and a gene with GenBank accession number C705892 [89] were used as reference genes. Normalization of relative gene expression was performed with respect to primer amplification efficiency and the internal control genes as described by [90], without taking into account the error propagation from amplification efficiency determination.

**RNA sequencing and differential gene expression analysis**

Total RNA samples from the four sample groups were isolated using the Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit (New England Biolabs Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA quantity and integrity were measured with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Samples with RNA integrity number (RIN) higher than 6.3 were used in the RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) which was performed at Novogene Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China) on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform with a paired-end 150 bp sequencing strategy. The raw data were further processed, and low-quality bases were removed using Trimmomatic-0.39 [91]. This included Illumina
adapter sequences, bases with Qscore < 13 and reads shorter than 36 bases. Cleaned reads were mapped to the reference genome of *T. aestivum* c.v. Chinese Spring, IWGSC RefSeqv1.1 using HISAT2-2.1.0 [92] with default parameters. Reads count matrix was generated using only uniquely mapped reads with Rsubread-2.0.1 (featureCounts function) [93]. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 software [94]. LFC estimates were shrunken using the ashr method within the lfcShrink function. Only genes with FDR-adjusted p values < 0.05 (Benjamini-Hochberg procedure) and LFC of ≥1 or ≤−1 (2-fold change) were considered as differentially expressed. Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and principal component analysis (PCA) was performed after regularized-logarithm transformation (rlog) of count data. For the heat maps in Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 the normalized reads for the homoeologues were combined and mean values for three biological replicates were calculated. The LFC was calculated using the formula: LFC = \log_2(B) - \log_2(A), where A and B are the means of summed reads for watered and droughted samples, respectively. The number of normalized reads and LFCs for individual homoeologues together with the gene IDs are provided in Additional file 7. The heat maps generated using pheatmap-1.0.12 [95] R package and the pathways were drawn using Inkscape 1.1. The heat map in Additional file 1: Fig. S3A was generated using ComplexHeatmap-2.2.0 R package [96]. Volcano plots were generated using the EnhancedVolcano R package [97].

**Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis**
The functional enrichment analysis was performed using g:Profiler and gpoffer2 R package (version e104_eg51_p15_3922dba) [98] with FDR < 0.05 and LFC of ≥1 or ≤−1 for up-regulated and down-regulated genes, respectively.
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