The relationship between children’s flourishing and being overweight
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This study examined the effect of children’s flourishing on the pandemic of obesity from various aspects such as age, gender, race, family, school, and community. By using a subsample of the 2011–2012 National Survey of Children’s Health, the Flourishing scale analyses were performed with a total of 45,309 children. Childhood obesity was diagnosed by calculating the percentile of the body mass index. Hispanic Americans were more likely to be overweight (P<0.01). Nonoverweight children were more likely to participate in after-school activities, less likely to have sedentary behavior, more likely to miss school, to be more active, and had more of flourishing than their counterpart (P<0.01). Parent’s marital and health status also positively affect children’s obesity status (P<0.01). Social capital and neighbor amenities significantly affect children’s weight status (P<0.01). A multifaceted understanding of the role of family, school, and community (with proving children’s flourishing environment) in terms of how and what could contribute to children’s obesity status is important in order to bring about positive impact.
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INTRODUCTION

Childhood obesity rates have increased by more than 4 times over the last 30 years in the United States (Ogden et al., 2014). Childhood obesity is linked to poor mental health and well-being, and to an increased risk of developing obesity in later adulthood (Guo and Chumlea, 1999). In addition, it is associated with a higher risk for heart disease, type 2 diabetes, stroke, and osteoarthritis (Benjamin, 2010). Childhood obesity not only causes physical health issues (Benjamin, 2010; Li et al., 2009), but also exacerbates mental and psychological health problems among children and young adults (Guo and Chumlea, 1999; Russell-Mayhew et al., 2012).

Childhood obesity-related factors have been well established and are highly associated with socioecological settings. Flourishing is a new concept of one’s happiness and well-being (De Rueter, 2007; Seligman, 2011), children’s flourishing is substantially related to the influence of parents, family, and the environment (Cleaver and Walker, 2004; Davison and Birch, 2001). It is well-established that childhood obesity is usually caused by a combination of poor lifestyle habits such as high calorie intake, a lack of physical activity, and a sedentary lifestyle (Benjamin, 2010). These poor lifestyle patterns are typically attributed to the family (Gibson et al., 2013), school (Williams et al., 2013), one’s community (Bleich et al., 2013), and one’s environment (Zhao and Settles, 2014). According to Must et al. (2014), family, school, and the community play a key role in preventing and minimizing children’s obesity. There is a need to establish healthy and positive environments with polices and initiatives that provide opportunities for children to learn, practice healthy eating, and become physically active.

Childhood is a vital period for the development of necessary behaviors that contribute to health in later life, including managing a healthy weight, being active, minimizing sedentary behaviors, and developing healthy eating habits (e.g., consuming fruit and vegetables, consuming lower fat foods, and avoiding junk foods)
(U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, 2010). Moreover, the transition from adolescence to young adulthood represents a critical developmental stage that substantially affects lifelong healthy behaviors. Poor health behaviors or practices adopted during the initial stages of development are hard to change and contribute to decrease in quality of life in late adulthood (U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, 2010).

Based on these situations, this study reflected a modified social ecological model (Bronfenbrenner, 1994) as the conceptual framework. According to the model, children are significant transitions in their lives. This model provides a systematic way of analyzing, understanding, and recording what is going on in children's lives and where they exist. The framework consisted of three parts, children's individual needs (i.e., emotional and behavioral development, identity, family, and social relationships), parenting capacity (i.e., emotional warmth, stimulation, guidance, and boundaries), and family and environmental factors (i.e., family's social integration, income, and family history). Children are nested within parents, family, and environmental factors, which means a multilevel research approach is needed to account for key implications in a hierarchical structure (Bronfenbrenner, 1994). This approach includes the significant roles of children, parents, family, and community members in association with childhood development.

The specific objective of this study was to understand the roles of family, school, and the community in children's obesity and if children's flourishing, among other sociocultural factors, can help prevent this pandemic. A secondary objective was to examine age, sex, and race/ethnicity differences in overweight children's flourishing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data and study population

This study used a subsample from the 2011–2012 National Survey of Children's Health (NSCH), which was sponsored and funded by the Maternal and Child Health Bureau of the Health Resources and Services Administration. Random sampling and telephone households interviews were performed by the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2013). The NSCH is weighted to represent the population of non-institutionalized children aged 0–17 years nationally and provided information on a variety of physical, emotional, and behavioral health indicators relating to children, their family, and neighborhoods. This study extracted data from children aged 10–17 years for this study because this study did not obtain obesity status information about children aged younger than 10. In total, 45,309 children (of 33,293,823 at the population level) were identified (mean age, 13.63 years; girls, 48.72%). The Institutional Review Board at Indiana University exempted this study.

Measures

Flourishing was measured with a 5 Point-Likert scale (1–5). The questions were the following: (a) (He/She) finishes the tasks (he/she) starts and follows through with what (he/she) says (he will/she will) do, (b) (He/She) stays calm and in control when faced with a challenge, and (c) (He/She) shows interest and curiosity in learning new things. The scale's internal consistency was good in this study ($\alpha = 0.75$).

BMI percentile was used to determine childhood obesity severity. Children's BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by squared height in meters, while also considering the child's age and sex (CDC, 2009). Childhood obesity is defined as a combination of 85% and less than 95 percentile of BMI and greater than 95 percentile BMI with the consideration of age and sex, respectively (Krebs et al., 2007).

Sociological factors related to flourishing including family and parents' health behaviors such as using alcohol, smoking, family activity, and volunteering were also measured. Children's sex, race/ethnicity, age, days of school missed, after-school activities, quality of sleep, physical activity, and sedentary behavior were measured. Missed school days was measured by the number of days because of illness or injury during the past year. A composite measure for after-school activity was computed by three binary scales including participation in any clubs/organizations, taking sports classes or being on a sports team, and taking part in any other organized activity during the past year. The sleep quality variable was assessed by how many nights the participant slept well during the previous week. Physical activity was measured by how many days a child exercises, played a sport, or participated in vigorous physical activity for at least 20 min. Additionally, a composite scale score for sedentary behavior was used, calculated as the product of the length of activity time and the unit of measure (hr) for activities such as watching TV, videos, DVDs, or playing video games.

Parenting capacity included parents' involvement, parents' health, parents' stress coping, smoking, alcohol and drug use, and having a mentor. Parents' involvement was measured by a summation of 3 items: attending events or activities that their children participated in; how often their children have been involved...
in any type of community service or volunteer work at school, church, or in the community during the past year; and how many of their children’s friends they have met. Parents’ health was measured as the average of mothers’ and the fathers’ physical and mental health. Parents’ stress coping was assessed with a 4-point Likert scale that addressed how well they feel they are coping with the daily needs of their children. Two binary items were used to measure parents’ health behaviors: smoking and alcohol/drug use. Lastly, a binary item was used to ask if parents had a mentor who they can rely on for advice in the school, neighborhood, or community.

Family function consisted of family activity, parent and child relationship, number of family members aged <18 years, and federal poverty level (FPL). Family activity was assessed by a 2-scale composite measure including how often children attended a religious service and how many days during the past week all the family members got together to eat. Parent and child relationship was assessed by a 4 Likert scale asking how well a parent(s) share ideas or talk about things that “really matter.” A 4 Likert-scale item was used to measure the number of family members aged <18 years. A participant’s FPL was categorized into four groups based: 0%–99%, 100%–199%, 200%–399%, and ≥400% (Blumberg et al., 2003).

Environmental factors consisted of a single parent variable, neighborhood amenities, social capital, and perceived safety around their current residence. Initially, there were nine categories of combined family structure and marital/cohabitation status of a child’s parent(s); however, this study restructured those groups as a binary variable, such as single-parent vs. another family structure, because single-parent children’s health status may differ from those who are from a different family structure. Neighborhood amenities was computed as a summation of three binary items, including whether sidewalks or walking paths existed, park or playground areas, and if there was a recreation or community center in their area. A composite score for social capital was calculated by respondents’ answers to four continuous items: how much they agree that (a) people help each other out; (b) they watch out for each other’s children; (c) there are people they can count on; and (d) if their children were outside playing and something happened, there are adults nearby who they trust to help their child. Perceived safety around their living conditions was computed as a summation of two items: how often they feel their child is safe in their community or neighborhood and at school.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics of the population were reported to present the study sample’s demographic characteristics such as age, sex, race, and weight with means, standard deviations, or percentages. A weighted sequential multivariable logistic regression (i.e., normal weight vs. overweight) was performed to capture the factors influencing children’s weight, controlling for demographics, physical activity-related behaviors, and family and environment conditions. Additionally, this study used the product of coefficients procedure (Aiken et al., 1991) to determine if age, sex, and race/ethnicity moderated any of these effects in each model. All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata 15.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) and statistical tests were conducted using a 0.05 alpha level and a 95% confidence interval (CI).

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics of the study population are shown in Table 1. More than half were non-Hispanic white (56.67%). Children who were Hispanic American were more likely than their counterparts to be overweight (P < 0.01). Nonoverweight children were more likely to participate in after-school activities, less likely to have sedentary behavior, more likely to miss school, to be more active, and flourish more than their counterparts did (all P < 0.01). However, sleep quality was not significant.

Regarding parenting capacity resources, the parent of nonoverweight children had better health than those who had overweight children (P < 0.01). In addition, parents with nonoverweight children were more likely to attend events or activities that their children participated in and were more likely to be involved in any type of community service or volunteer work at school, church, or in the community during the past year (P < 0.01). Moreover, parents of nonoverweight children were more likely to cope with their stress (P < 0.01), and were more likely to have mentors who can discuss their difficulties in caring for children and secure better alternative with any significant others than were parents of overweight children. On the other hand, overweight children’s parents were more likely to smoke a cigarette and consume alcohol/drugs than their nonoverweight counterparts (all P < 0.01).

Families with overweight children were significantly more likely to attend a religious service and more likely to have eaten together as a family during the past week. Children living in mid- and high-income families were less likely to be overweight that those who were from a low-income household. However, parent and child relationship (P = 0.53) and number of family members...
Children's flourishing and being overweight aged < 18 years \((P = 0.73)\) was not significantly related to children's weight.

Lastly, children with a single parent were more overweight than those from other family structures \((P < 0.01)\). Nonoverweight children were likely to have more social capital and neighborhood amenities \((P < 0.01)\) and to live in safe living conditions \((P < 0.01)\) than overweight children. In addition, children living near more reliable, stable, and dependable neighbors were less likely to be overweight than those who did not.

### A sequential multivariable logistic regression analysis of children's weight

All sequential logistic regression models with covariates (e.g., individual, parent, family, and environment factors) for flourishing were significant \((P < 0.01)\) based on our conceptual framework. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CI are shown in Table 2.

---

**Table 1. Participants’ descriptive statistics per their weight (n = 45,309, weighted n = 33,293,823)**

| Variable | Overweight | Nonoverweight | Overall | \(P\)-value |
|----------|------------|---------------|---------|-------------|
| No. of participants | 12,788 (31.34) | 31,076 (68.66) | 43,864 (100) | |
| Individual | | | | |
| Age (yr) | 13.14 ± 2.25 | 13.76 ± 2.38 | 13.53 ± 2.35 | <0.01 |
| Female sex | 6,216 (49.15) | 15,732 (51.13) | 20,948 (48.63) | <0.01 |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | <0.01 |
| Non-Hispanic White | 7,674 (47.58) | 22,218 (60.82) | 29,892 (56.67) | |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 1,759 (19.40) | 2,370 (12.42) | 4,129 (14.61) | |
| Hispanic | 1,756 (24.61) | 2,921 (16.93) | 4,677 (19.33) | |
| Other | 1,296 (8.42) | 2,957 (9.83) | 4,253 (9.39) | |
| School missing (day) | 4.26 ± 5.96 | 3.75 ± 5.35 | 3.85 ± 5.49 | <0.01 |
| After school activity (day) | 1.43 ± 0.99 | 1.65 ± 0.99 | 1.56 ± 1.00 | <0.01 |
| Quality of sleeping (day) | 5.81 ± 2.17 | 5.80 ± 2.18 | 5.81 ± 2.18 | 0.87 |
| Physical activity (day) | 4.01 ± 2.26 | 4.28 ± 2.34 | 4.15 ± 2.32 | <0.01 |
| Sedentary behaviors (hr) | 4.44 ± 3.50 | 3.95 ± 3.54 | 4.04 ± 3.51 | <0.01 |
| Flourishing | 4.10 ± 0.69 | 4.17 ± 0.68 | 4.15 ± 0.69 | <0.01 |
| Parenting capacity | | | | |
| Parents’ health (°) | 6.28 ± 2.38 | 7.01 ± 2.39 | 6.75 ± 2.40 | <0.01 |
| Parental involvement (frequency) | 8.13 ± 2.38 | 8.81 ± 2.29 | 8.51 ± 2.38 | <0.01 |
| Parental stress coping (°) | 3.51 ± 0.58 | 3.53 ± 0.58 | 3.53 ± 0.58 | <0.01 |
| Smoking | 3,878 (30.87) | 6,483 (22.67) | 10,316 (25.24) | <0.01 |
| Alcohol/drug use | 2,052 (16.53) | 4,046 (14.11) | 6,098 (14.87) | <0.01 |
| Having a mentor | 11,551 (87.89) | 28,863 (91.37) | 40,414 (90.28) | <0.01 |
| Family function | | | | |
| Family activity (frequency) | 7.03 ± 2.50 | 6.73 ± 2.58 | 6.84 ± 2.56 | <0.01 |
| Parent and child relationship (°) | 3.62 ± 0.59 | 3.62 ± 0.62 | 3.62 ± 0.61 | 0.53 |
| No. family members aged < 18 yr | 2.24 ± 0.97 | 2.25 ± 1.00 | 2.27 ± 0.99 | 0.73 |
| Federal poverty level | | | | <0.01 |
| 0%–99% | 2,445 (26.25) | 3,103 (14.82) | 5,548 (18.40) | |
| 100%–199% | 2,663 (25.03) | 4,764 (19.23) | 7,427 (21.05) | |
| 200%–399% | 3,889 (27.13) | 9,854 (30.72) | 13,743 (29.60) | |
| ≥ 400% | 3,791 (21.59) | 13,355 (35.23) | 17,146 (30.96) | |
| Environmental characteristics | | | | |
| Single-mother | 2,691 (24.98) | 4,391 (17.18) | 7,082 (19.58) | <0.01 |
| Social capital (°) | 13.08 ± 3.11 | 13.76 ± 2.77 | 13.50 ± 2.94 | <0.01 |
| Neighborhood amenities (frequency) | 2.22 ± 0.96 | 2.30 ± 0.96 | 2.26 ± 0.96 | <0.01 |
| Perceived safety (frequency) | 6.73 ± 1.34 | 6.92 ± 1.32 | 6.86 ± 1.34 | <0.01 |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%).

Data source: 2011–2012 National Survey of Children’s Health.
Table 2. Sequential logistic regression analysis of children's weight with covariates (weighted n = 33,293,823)

| Covariate                          | Individual factors | Parenting capacity | Family function | Environmental characteristics |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
|                                    | OR  95% CI         | OR  95% CI         | OR  95% CI      | OR  95% CI                    |
| Individual                         |                    |                    |                |                               |
| Age (yr)                           | 0.90 (0.78–1.04)   | 0.91 (0.79–1.06)   | 0.93 (0.81–1.08) | 0.93 (0.81–1.08)              |
| Female sex                         | 1.46 (0.76–2.83)   | 1.50 (0.76–2.97)   | 1.53 (0.77–3.03) | 1.52 (0.77–3.00)              |
| Race/ethnicity                     |                    |                    |                |                               |
| Non-Hispanic White                 | -                  | -                  | -              | -                             |
| Non-Hispanic Black                 | 0.64 (0.30–1.38)   | 0.67 (0.29–1.51)   | 0.64 (0.28–1.44) | 0.65 (0.28–1.50)              |
| Hispanic                           | 0.23** (0.09–0.62) | 0.20** (0.07–0.52) | 0.20** (0.08–0.54) | 0.22** (0.08–0.59)           |
| Other                              | 1.31 (0.45–3.78)   | 0.95 (0.31–2.88)   | 1.03 (0.34–3.10) | 1.05 (0.35–3.22)             |
| After school activity              | 0.84** (0.79–0.89) | 0.88** (0.82–0.94) | 0.92* (0.86–0.99) | 0.92* (0.86–0.99)           |
| School missing                     | 1.02** (1.01–1.03) | 1.02** (1.01–1.03) | 1.02** (1.01–1.03) | 1.02** (1.01–1.03)           |
| Sleep enough                       | 0.98 (0.95–1.02)   | 0.99 (0.96–1.02)   | 0.98 (0.94–1.01) | 0.97 (0.94–1.00)             |
| Physical activity                  | 0.95** (0.93–0.98) | 0.95** (0.93–0.98) | 0.95** (0.93–0.97) | 0.95** (0.93–0.98)           |
| Sedentary behavior                 | 1.03** (1.01–1.04) | 1.02* (1.00–1.04)  | 1.02 (1.00–1.03)  | 1.02 (1.00–1.04)             |
| Flourishing                        | 0.86 (0.52–1.41)   | 0.89 (0.54–1.47)   | 0.89 (0.54–1.47) | 0.90 (0.55–1.48)             |
| Flourishing *age                   | 0.99 (0.96–1.02)   | 0.99 (0.95–1.02)   | 0.99 (0.95–1.02) | 0.99 (0.95–1.02)             |
| Flourishing *female                | 0.84* (0.72–0.98)  | 0.83* (0.71–0.98)  | 0.83* (0.70–0.97) | 0.83* (0.70–0.97)           |
| Flourishing *race/ethnicity        |                    |                    |                |                               |
| Non-Hispanic White                 | -                  | -                  | -              | -                             |
| Non-Hispanic Black                 | 1.31** (1.09–1.58) | 1.26* (1.04–1.54)  | 1.25* (1.03–1.53) | 1.25* (1.02–1.52)           |
| Hispanic                           | 1.63** (1.29–2.06) | 1.66** (1.31–2.10) | 1.61** (1.27–2.03) | 1.56** (1.24–1.96)           |
| Other                              | 0.97 (0.75–1.25)   | 1.04 (0.79–1.36)   | 1.01 (0.77–1.32) | 1.00 (0.77–1.32)             |
| Parenting capacity                 |                    |                    |                |                               |
| Parents' health                    | 0.92** (0.90–0.94) | 0.95** (0.93–0.98) | 0.94** (0.91–0.98) | 0.94** (0.91–0.98)           |
| Parents' involvement               | 0.99 (0.96–1.02)   | 0.99 (0.96–1.02)   | 0.99 (0.96–1.02) | 0.99 (0.96–1.02)             |
| Parents' stress coping             | 1.06 (0.96–1.18)   | 1.02 (0.90–1.11)   | 1.02 (0.90–1.11) | 1.02 (0.92–1.13)             |
| Smoking                            | 1.34** (1.19–1.51) | 1.28** (1.12–1.43) | 1.25** (1.10–1.41) | 1.25** (1.10–1.41)           |
| Alcohol/drug use                   | 0.95 (0.80–1.11)   | 0.95 (0.81–1.12)   | 0.93 (0.79–1.10) | 0.93 (0.79–1.10)             |
| Having a mentor                    | 0.91 (0.74–1.14)   | 0.94 (0.77–1.18)   | 1.02 (0.79–1.26) | 1.02 (0.79–1.26)             |
| Family function                    |                    |                    |                |                               |
| Family activity                    | 1.05** (1.02–1.07) | 1.05** (1.02–1.07) | 1.05** (1.02–1.07) | 1.05** (1.02–1.07)           |
| Parent-child relationship          | 1.10 (0.99–1.22)   | 1.11* (0.99–1.23)  | 1.11* (0.99–1.23) | 1.11* (0.99–1.23)           |
| No. family members aged < 18 yr    | 0.89** (0.84–0.95) | 0.89 (0.84–0.95)   | 0.90 (0.85–0.96) | 0.90 (0.85–0.96)             |
| Federal poverty level              |                    |                    |                |                               |
| 0%–99%                             | -                  | -                  | -              | -                             |
| 100%–199%                          | 0.82* (0.68–0.98)  | 0.81* (0.68–0.98)  | 0.81 (0.68–0.98) | 0.81 (0.68–0.98)             |
| 200%–399%                          | 0.66** (0.55–0.79) | 0.66** (0.55–0.79) | 0.66 (0.56–0.79) | 0.66 (0.56–0.79)             |
| ≥ 400%                             | 0.52** (0.43–0.63) | 0.54** (0.44–0.65) | 0.54 (0.44–0.65) | 0.54 (0.44–0.65)             |
| Environmental characteristics      |                    |                    |                |                               |
| Single parent                      | 0.86 (0.71–1.04)   | 0.86 (0.71–1.04)   | 0.86 (0.71–1.04) | 0.86 (0.71–1.04)             |
| Neighborhood amenities             | 0.96 (0.91–1.01)   | 0.96 (0.91–1.01)   | 0.96 (0.91–1.01) | 0.96 (0.91–1.01)             |
| Social capital                     | 0.97* (0.95–0.99)  | 0.97* (0.95–0.99)  | 0.97* (0.95–0.99) | 0.97* (0.95–0.99)           |
| Perceived safety                   | 0.99 (0.94–1.03)   | 0.99 (0.94–1.03)   | 0.99 (0.94–1.03) | 0.99 (0.94–1.03)             |
| Wald tests                          | F(6, 90)** = 12.39 (P< 0.01) | F(6, 90)** = 13.23 (P< 0.01) | F(4, 90)** = 3.48 (P< 0.01) |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
*P<0.05. **P<0.01.
Data source: 2011–2012 National Survey of Children's Health.
Ten independent variables associated with children's weight had significantly different OR in their binary BMI levels (i.e., overweight [≥85th percentile] vs. healthy weight [<85th percentile]).

First, Hispanic American children were 78% less likely to be overweight compared to non-Hispanic White children. Second, a 1-unit (1 day) increase of after-school activity and physical activity decreased the odds of being overweight. Third, a 1-unit (1 day) increase of school days missed increased the odds of being overweight.

Regarding parenting capacity resources, two independent variables were significantly predicted children's depression such as parents' health, involvement, stress coping, and alcohol/drug use (all \( P < 0.01 \)). Increases in parents' health, decreased the odds of children being overweight. In addition, children whose parents smoked were more likely to be overweight than those who did not smoke.

Regarding family function, four independent factors were significant predictors of children's overweight: family activity, parent and child relationship, number of family members aged <18 years, and income level (all \( P < 0.01 \)). Increased family activity and children who had a better relationship with their parents were more likely to be overweight than their counterparts. An increase in the number of family members aged <18 years decreased the risk of children being overweight. Lastly, children from lower-income families were more likely to be overweight than those from middle- or high-income homes.

Additionally, to determine if age, sex, and race/ethnicity moderated any of these effects of flourishing or being overweight, this study used the product of coefficients procedure (Aiken et al., 1991). Specifically, I re-ran the regression model with age, sex, and race/ethnicity entered into the analysis with flourishing after controlling for other socioecological factors. The sex and race/ethnicity product term was significant (\( P < 0.05 \)), indicating that sex and race/ethnicity did act as moderators. Specially, girls with higher flourishing scores were 17% less likely to be overweight compared to that of nonflourished boys. Regarding flourishing and race/ethnicity, compared to the odds of being overweight among non-Hispanic White participants, non-Hispanic Black participants and Hispanic participants were more likely to be overweight (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study examined how children's flourishing contributes to their likelihood of being overweight after controlling for socioecological determinants. In addition, this study sought to determine whether age, sex, and race/ethnicity moderated this relationship among children aged 10–17 years from a large, nationally representative, nonclinical sample.

Children's flourishing was not a fully significant predictor of children's weight. This is partially because flourishing refers to happiness and emotional well-being (De Ruyter, 2007; Seligman, 2011), which can be achieved by positive emotions, relationships, engagement, a meaningful purpose, and accomplishment in accordance with overcoming one's hardships, adversity, and trauma, and volunteering. It seems to not directly affect children's physical health, like obesity status, unlike poor lifestyle habits such as a lack of physical activity and sedentary lifestyles (Benjamin, 2010). However, children's negative emotions (e.g., depression, sadness, and hopelessness) affect their likelihood of obesity; an increased sense of sadness and hopelessness may result in increased weight gain (Goodman and Whitaker, 2002). Therefore, further research needs to pay more attention to whether children's flourishing is directly associated with their weight.

However, sex and race/ethnicity differences were significant moderators of children's flourishing as seen in children's weight when controlling for socioecological factors. Girls who flourished were less likely to be overweight than boys. Females going through puberty were more likely than males to be sensitive and easily affected by external factors and their environments (Belsky et al., 1991). Therefore, there may be a link between girls' flourishing, weight, and developmental sex characteristics. Moreover, there were race/ethnicity differences in flourishing and weight. Flourishing non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic children were more likely to be obese than were non-Hispanic White children. This finding is consistent with race/ethnicity disparities in the prevalence of obesity among U.S. children: Mexican and Black children are more likely to be obese than are White children (Ogden et al., 2012).

Poor lifestyle patterns, including a lack of physical activity and living a sedentary lifestyle (Benjamin, 2010), are associated with obesity and are attributed to family (Gibson et al., 2013), school (Williams et al., 2013), community (Bleich et al., 2013), and environmental (Zhao and Settles, 2014) factors. These risk factors of children's weight gain were consistent with previous research (Boonpleng et al., 2013). Therefore, comprehensive and multileveled approaches are needed to address the behavioral and environmental risk factors associated with children's obesity, which could lead to more effective behavioral and policy interventions across diverse settings (e.g., family, school, district, and state) (Must et
Regarding parenting capacity, parents’ health, and specific health-risk behaviors (e.g., smoking) were significant predictors of being overweight. This study showed that parents’ health status and risky behaviors (i.e., smoking) were associated with their children’s weight. This is consistent with a previous study that parents’ or guardians’ smoking status negatively impacted their children’s weight (Wade et al., 2014). Parents’ influence on children’s obesity in many studies was also confirmed such as praising children about their health choices (Wrotniak et al., 2005), parenting styles and orientations (Stein et al., 2005), and parent health-risk behaviors (Wickrama et al., 1999).

Children having affluent parents were less likely to be overweight compared with those from nonaffluent families. Possible explanations include that wealthy parents may have more time to accompany their children and may be more likely to have no financial issues and can help their children participate in diverse activities. Having more family members aged younger than 18 years was associated with lower odds of being overweight in children, which is consistent with a study by Gonzalez-Casanova et al. (2014). However, unlike studies by Davison et al. (2013) and Grossklaus and Marvicsin (2014), children who participate in more family activities (e.g., attendance of a religious service and meal together) and who have a better relationship with their parents were more likely to be overweight than their counterparts. A more favorable and happier family environment does not seem to diminish childhood obesity.

Children who had neighbors who were willing to help and watch other children in the community were less likely to be overweight (Evans and Kutchner, 2011). This is evidence that children living with more reliable and trustworthy neighbors are more likely to go out to play and interact with them and their children in the community, thus making them more active and less sedentary. Children with a single parent, however, were less likely to be overweight compared with those from a non-single parent family (although not statistically significant). Possible explanations include that single parents may pay more attention to their children’s health and nutrition. This study also found that neighborhood amenities were not significantly associated with children’s weight status such as existing sidewalks, walking paths, parks, playground areas, or a recreation and community center in their area.

Other meaningful findings were also reported including how individual factors affect children’s weight including missed school days, the level of physical activity, sedentary lifestyles, etc. Participating in after school activities and being physically active were also significant predictors of children’s weight, which is consistent with previous studies (Millar et al., 2013). Missing school negatively influenced children’s likelihood of being overweight (Li et al., 2012).

Must et al. (2014) showed that family, school, and the community play a key role in preventing or minimizing children’s obesity; especially, by establishing healthy and positive environments with policies and initiatives that can provide opportunities for children to learn, practice healthy eating, and become more physically active.

In conclusion, an understanding of flourishing and the role of family, school, and the community regarding how and what contributes to children’s obesity is vital to prevent and minimize this dangerous pandemic of obesity.
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