Nickel-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins: an efficient approach to chiral amines†
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An efficient approach for synthesizing chiral β-amino nitroalkanes has been developed via the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of challenging β-amino nitroolefins under mild conditions, affording the desired products in excellent yields and with high enantioselectivities. This protocol had good compatibility with the wide substrate scope and a range of functional groups. The synthesis of chiral β-amino nitroalkanes on a gram scale has also been achieved. In addition, the reaction mechanism was elucidated using a combined experimental and computational study, and it involved acetate-assisted heterolytic H₂ cleavage followed by 1,4-hydride addition and protonation to achieve the nitroalkanes.

The development of new protocols for synthesizing chiral compounds in an environmentally-friendly and cost-effective manner is an important subject in both academic research and industrial applications.1 In this context, asymmetric hydrogenation, one of the most effective approaches for constructing chiral compounds, has been rapidly developed and has achieved remarkable progress. However, almost all of the catalytic systems for asymmetric hydrogenation heavily rely on noble transition metal catalysts based on Ru, Rh, Ir or Pd.1 In contrast, catalysts based on the cheap, earth-abundant first-row transition metals have potential advantages in terms of cost and sustainability. Therefore, Fe-, Co- and Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation has attracted great attention.2

Recently, the Fe-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones and imines and the Co-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones and olefins have been reported.2–4 These methods exhibited the great potential of first-row transition metals in asymmetric hydrogenation. However, seminal studies on Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation are rare, although heterogeneous nickel catalysts have long played a prominent role in reduction reactions. In 2008, Hamada et al. reported the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of α-amino-β-ketoesters via dynamic kinetic resolution.5 Subsequently, Zhou and coworkers disclosed a series of studies on the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of enamides and hydrazones, and the asymmetric reductive amination of ketones (Scheme 1a).6 Recently, Chirik and coworkers developed the first example of the asymmetric hydrogenation of α,β-unsaturated esters using Ni catalysts and H₂ gas (Scheme 1b).7 Given that the studies on Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation are in their infancy, exploring a wide substrate scope, increasing the enantioselectivities of the products and improving the TON values of the catalysts are highly desirable.

Generally, β-acylamino nitroolefins are challenging substrates for asymmetric hydrogenation due to the weak

\begin{equation}
R'\text{CH} = CH\text{CO}_2\text{R}
\end{equation}

Scheme 1 Reports on Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7sc02669b
binding affinity of the olefin with an electron-poor nitro group. There are only a few examples on the asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins that employed precious transition metal catalysts. To the best of our knowledge, cheap transition metals have never been used in the asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins. Herein, we report an efficient access route to chiral β-amino nitroalkanes via the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins under mild conditions (Scheme 1c).

Initially, (Z)-N-[2-nitro-1-(p-tolyl)vinyl]acetamide 1b was chosen as a model substrate for optimizing the reaction conditions. Some chiral diphosphine ligands were evaluated. When the reaction was carried out in the presence of 5 mol% Ni(OAc)₂ and 5.6 mol% ligand under 50 atm of H₂ at 50 °C in MeOH for 24 h, using Bu₄NI as the additive, all of the P-chiral diphosphine ligands could catalyze the reaction with different conversions and enantioselectivities (Fig. 1). (S)-Binapine was found to give the best results (>99% conversion, 98% ee). Axial chiral and planar chiral diphosphine ligands had no activity for this reaction. The solvent screening experiments indicated that CF₃CH₂OH was the best choice (Table 1, entries 1–6). Further investigation showed that the Bu₄NI additive had no effect on this reaction (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). When the catalyst loading was reduced from 5 mol% to 1 mol%, the reaction completed smoothly with similar results (Table 1, entry 9). Decreasing the hydrogen pressure to 5 atm did not affect the reaction. The excellent enantioselectivity was maintained when further decreasing the hydrogen pressure to 1 atm, but the yield dramatically decreased (Table 1, entries 10–14).

Under the optimized reaction conditions, the substrate scope was examined. As shown in Scheme 2, various electron-rich or electron-poor aromatic group substituted β-acylamino nitroolefins could be hydrogenated smoothly to afford the corresponding β-amino nitroalkanes in high yields and with excellent enantioselectivities. The position of the substituents
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Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions

| Entry | (mol%) | Solvent | H₂ (atm) | Temp. (°C) | Conv. (%) | ee (%) |
|-------|--------|---------|----------|------------|-----------|--------|
| 1     | 5      | MeOH    | 50       | 50         | >99       | 98     |
| 2     | 5      | EtOH    | 50       | 50         | 38        | 90     |
| 3     | 5      | iPrOH   | 50       | 50         | 40        | 92     |
| 4     | 5      | DCM     | 50       | 50         | 26        | 89     |
| 5     | 5      | THF     | 50       | 50         | Trace     | 60     |
| 6     | 5      | Toluene | 50       | 50         | 12        | 78     |
| 7     | 5      | TFE     | 50       | 50         | >99       | >99    |
| 8     | 5      | TFE     | 50       | 50         | >99       | >99    |
| 9     | 1      | TFE     | 50       | 50         | >99       | >99    |
| 10    | 1      | TFE     | 50       | 40         | >99       | >99    |
| 11    | 1      | TFE     | 50       | rt         | >99       | >99    |
| 12    | 1      | TFE     | 10       | rt         | >99       | >99    |
| 13    | 1      | TFE     | 5        | rt         | >99       | >99    |
| 14    | 1      | TFE     | 1        | rt         | 72        | >99    |

*Conditions: Ni(OAc)₂ : (S)-binapine : Bu₄NI = 1 : 1.1 : 1, and 1b (0.1 mmol) in 1 ml of solvent. 

To decipher the possible reaction mechanism for the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins, a series of isotopic labeling studies were conducted. Firstly, when 1a was hydrogenated with 10 atm of D₂ in TFE solution, the deuterium atom was solely added at the β position (Scheme 3a). When the experiment was repeated with H₂ and CD₃OD, the deuterium atoms were incorporated at the α position (Scheme 4b). Performing the hydrogenation reaction under 30 atm of D₂ in CD₃OD solution gave the expected compound with the deuterium atoms at both the α and β positions (Scheme 4c). Finally, when 2a was dissolved in CD₃OD solution and stirred, the deuterium atoms were found to be incorporated at

Fig. 1 The performance of chiral phosphines in the asymmetric hydrogenation of 1b.
To gain further insight into the reaction mechanism, DFT calculations using M06-L-D3 and B3LYP-D3 methods have been performed (Scheme 5). Our favored computed catalytic cycle starts with the acetate-assisted heterolytic cleavage of H₂ to give a Ni(II)–H intermediate (III) with a barrier of ~23.3–24.1 kcal mol⁻¹ in solution. Then, ligand exchange with the nitroolefin substrate takes place, followed by the regio-determining 1,4-addition of the hydride to the β position of the nitroolefin to preferentially form a Ni(II) intermediate VI₅₁ via TSI₅₁. Such a major pathway requires a lower barrier than the minor pathway by 4.0 kcal mol⁻¹, and it forms the (S)-product. Subsequently, an AcOH molecule can re-coordinate to the Ni metal and undergo protonation to afford the desired (S)-product 2a via TSI₅₁. These computational results are qualitatively consistent with the observed enantioselectivity and isotope labeling. 2a could undergo H exchange at the α position with TFE (or AcOH) to give the compound 2a'. This reaction...
mechanism for the Ni catalyst is different to that for the Rh-dihydride catalysts, in which alcohol solvents play a critical role in the catalytic system.\textsuperscript{12}

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins using H\textsubscript{2} as the reductant has been achieved, affording chiral β-amino nitroalkanes in high yields and with excellent enantioselectivities. Notably, this catalytic system was carried out under mild conditions and higher turnover numbers were achieved. Compared to noble metal catalysts, such as Rh species, the Ni catalyst is more attractive in the synthesis of chiral β-amino nitroalkanes. Moreover, deuterium labeling and computational studies were performed to reveal a possible mechanism for the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation. A further investigation on Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation is ongoing in our laboratory.
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