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ABSTRACT

Context. Beyond NH₃, only one primary alkylamine, CH₃NH₂, has been identified in the interstellar medium and the reason why is still not understood: its formation could occur in the gas phase or in icy environments.

Aims. To consider any possible difference between the formation of primary and secondary amines, we studied the hydrogenation processes of CH₃CN and CH₂NC, which would lead to the simple primary CH₃CH₂NH₂ and secondary CH₃NHCH₂amines, respectively.

Methods. Experimentally, the hydrogenation of CH₃CN and CH₂NC was carried out under ultra-high vacuum, using two beamlines to inject the nitrile/isonitrile and H onto substrate surfaces of gold or water ice. The reactions were monitored using infrared spectroscopy and the products were followed by mass spectrometry. Theoretically, the energetics of the hydrogenation paths were determined using the M06-2X functional after benchmarking against post Hartree–Fock procedures. Meanwhile, a survey of the high-mass star forming region W51/e2 has been performed.

Results. Following co-deposition of CH₃CN and H, we show that these species do not react together between 10 and 60 K. For CH₃NC we found that the hydrogenation process works all the way through the CH₂NHCH₂ end product; we also identified the CH₃NCH₂ intermediate together with side products, CH₄ and HCN, showing that the isonitrile backbone is breaking. These results are consistent with the calculations of a high barrier on the first hydrogenation step for CH₃CN and a lower barrier for CH₂NC.

Conclusions. The formation of CH₃CH₂NH₂ by hydrogenation of CH₂CN appears rather unlikely in both the gas phase and ice environment whereas that of CH₃NHCH₂ is a clear possibility. The limiting factor appears to be the efficiency of the tunneling effect through the first activation barrier on the reaction paths. More surveys are required for further insight into the search for amines.
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1. Introduction

More than 200 species have been detected so far in the interstellar medium (ISM) and circumstellar shells including complex molecules (COM) from 6 to 13 atoms (McGuire 2018). On the other hand, observing isomers is a good way to trace the origin of molecules in the ISM. Indeed excluding diatomic molecules, 30% of all interstellar molecules have isomeric counterparts (Remijan et al. 2005). Therefore, the formation and evolution of interstellar clouds and the stars they generate can be explored through isomer comparison studies.

Many saturated and alkynyl cyanides have been detected in the ISM while only ammonia, methylamine, and acetonitrile were found as amines. For example, there were methyl cyanide (or acetonitrile, CH₃CN), ethyl cyanide (CH₂CH₂CN), n- and iso-propyl cyanide (n- (iso-)-C₃H₇CN), vinyl cyanide (CH₂CHCN), and cyanoacetylene (HC≡N), which are COMs detected in many regions of the ISM (Solomon et al. 1971; Johnson et al. 1977; Gardner & Winnewiser 1975; Belloche et al. 2009, 2014; Turner 1971; Friedel et al. 2004; Nummelin et al. 1998). Recently a first example of a C≡N group conjugated to an aromatic ring, benzonitrile C₆H₅CN, has been identified (McGuire et al. 2018) in TMC-1, showing a new structural possibility for a hydrocarbon substituent. On the other hand, only three organic isocyanides were observed. For instance, isocyanoacetylene (HC≡NC), which is an isomer of cyanoacetylene (HC≡CCN, a very abundant interstellar species), has been detected in TMC-1 (Kawaguchi et al. 1992); HNC has been found in W51 (Zuckerman et al. 1972) and methyl isocyanide (CH₃CN) has been tentatively detected toward Sgr B2 for the first time by Cernicharo et al. (1988). CH₂NC was previously possibly detected in the cold dark cloud TMC-1 (Irwine & Schloerb 1984) and has been confirmed in other cold clouds (e.g., L1544) in the hot cores of Orion KL (Remijan et al. 2005; Cernicharo et al. 1988; López et al. 2014; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2016) and in

See also https://cdms.astro.uni-koeln.de/ and/or http://www.astrochymist.org/astrochymist_ism.html
the Horsehead photodissociation region (PDR) by Gratier et al. (2013).

Intuitively proposed, the formation of amines by reduction of nitriles is not obvious. The best examples are the case of abundant CH₃CN and CH₂CH₂CN (Belloche et al. 2016; Margulès et al. 2016, respectively). The bis ^13C isotope of CH₃CN and the three bis ^13C isotopologs of CH₂CH₂CN were found to be ~470 and ~800 times less abundant than their parent compounds, respectively, while attempts to detect ethyl amine (CH₃CH₂CH₂NH₂) and propylamine (CH₃CH₂CH₂NH₂) failed. On the basis of the nitriles (~4 Debye) and amines (~1 Debye) dipole moments, we can estimate that amines are at least about 30–50 times less abundant than the corresponding nitriles.

To limit the number of possible reactive sites, we consider only the possibility for hydrogenation of a single C≡N functional group. The number of such species with saturated substituents is much more limited. It is reduced to CH₃CN (Solomon et al. 1971), CH₂CH₂CN (Johnson et al. 1977), n-C₃H₇CN (Belloche et al. 2009), and 1,1,1-TrCl₃CN (Belloche et al. 2014) for nitriles and only to CH₂NC (Cernicharo et al. 1988) for isonitriles. In what follows we focus on the only example with the nitrile/isonitrile group attached to the same hydrocarbon substituent, that is -CH₃.

For typical dense cloud conditions, theoretical calculations of Defrees et al. (1985) estimated the ratio of CH₃NC/CH₃CN to be in the range 0.1–0.4. However, Cernicharo et al. (1988) deduced from their observations an abundance ratio of ~0.03–0.05, showing that CH₃CN is an order of magnitude higher than previous estimates. Later, Gratier et al. (2013) found, according to their observations in the Horsehead region, an abundance ratio CH₃NC/CH₃CN in agreement with estimations of Defrees, at least at the PDR position where a high UV irradiation is at work.

The difference in abundance of stable isomers in the same environment has been tentatively explained by their different intrinsic stabilities (Lattelais et al. 2009) and/or by their different binding energies to solid particles because one of the isomers is more effectively trapped on dust grains, and so depleted from the gas phase (Lattelais et al. 2011). To check this possibility, Bertin et al. (2017) have done a laboratory study showing that the adsorption energy of CH₃CN on hydroxylated surfaces is higher than the adsorption energy of CH₂NC, which rules out the hypothesis of a lack of CH₂NC in the gas phase because of a very high binding energy. So the origin of the low isomeric ratios should have entirely a chemical origin.

Hudson & Moore (2004) bombarded a film of CH₃CN with UV photons and energetic protons and found that CH₂NC can partially isomerize to CH₃NC, indicating a possible direct chemical link between the two isomers in the solid phase. Recently Mencos & Krim (2016) reported that CH₂CN may react with ground state N atoms to form CH₂NC and CH₂CNH in the solid phase at temperatures ranging from 7 to 11 K.

These laboratory results support the now large consensus that gas-phase chemistry alone cannot account for the diversity of complex organic molecules observed in the ISM and that solid-gas reactions, as well as chemical processes involving adsorbed or/and embedded partner, have to be taken into account. In that context interstellar grains play a crucial role. These small particles, most often covered with ices, may protect the molecules from the radiation field and, at the same time, play the role of a third body helping dissipation of the energy excess released by exothermic reactions. These particles may also provide the catalytic conditions to overcome activation barriers impeding reaction paths and directly contribute to the reaction budget.

The most efficient chemical transformation of the molecular mantle during its formation on grains is the hydrogenation. H atoms are always orders of magnitude more abundant than N atoms. According to the astrophysical conditions, the hydrogenation efficiency has been mostly studied at low temperatures (~10 K; Sandford et al. 2006). Hydrogenation drives the formation of many molecules in the solid state, from the simplest (H₂, H₂O) to the more complex such as formaldehyde (H₂CO), methanol (CH₃OH), hydroxylamine (NH₂OH), formic acid (HCOOH), ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH), or even formamide (NH₂CHO) (Congiu et al. 2012; Minissale et al. 2016; Hiraoka et al. 2003; Watanabe et al. 2004; Ioppolo et al. 2011; Bisschop et al. 2007; Dulieu et al. 2019). Considering C≡N containing species, the hydrogenation of HCN/HNC has been looked at in the gas phase (Talbi & Ellinger 1996; Talbi et al. 1996) and on HCN ice (Theulé et al. 2011). Full hydrogenation leads to the same end product, methylamine (CH₃NH₂), that is the simplest and only aliphatic amine detected in the ISM (Kaifu et al. 1974). To our knowledge the hydrogenation of CH₂CN and CH₂NC has not yet been undertaken.

Nevertheless, selective destruction pathways of CH₂NC relative to CH₃CN would help astrochemical modelers to better reproduce observed values. This is the starting point of our study. We compare the hydrogenation of the two isomers on a surface maintained at low temperature (8–40 K), that is the reaction paths leading to the primary amine CH₃CH₂NH₂ (path a) and to the secondary amine CH₃NHCH₁ (path b) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{CN} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2 & \text{path a,} \\
\text{CH}_2\text{NC} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NHCH}_3 & \text{path b.}
\end{align*}
\]

Our objective is to understand the details of the chemical network and the possible influence of water, which is the main constituent of molecular mantles on dust grains. The present work reports a concerted experimental/theoretical study coupled with recent observations toward a high-mass star forming region.

The article is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we present the experimental setup, the protocol of the experiment, and the results obtained from the analysis of the quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) spectra as the reaction processes. In Sect. 3, we present the theoretical approach, starting by the benchmarking done to determine the more appropriate level of theory for this study. This is followed by the results of the calculations of enthalpies and activation barriers of the successive steps of the hydrogenation processes in the gas phase and the ice. Section 4 is a first report of the tentative search for molecules relevant to this study in the W51/e2 region, using the IRAM 30 m telescope. In the last section, the theoretical and experimental results are compared and discussed with an emphasis on the possible implications on the abundances of amines in the ISM as shown by the results of the observational campaign.

2. Experimental approach to hydrogenation processes

2.1. Experimental protocol

The experiments were carried out with the VENUS experimental apparatus at the LERMA laboratory of the University of Cergy-Pontoise. It consists of an ultra-high vacuum chamber (UHV) with a base pressure of \(2 \times 10^{-10}\) mbar. The solid sample is a gold-plated surface that is maintained at low temperature using a helium closed-cycle cryostat. The surface temperature is controlled from 7 to 350 K thanks to a resistive heater. Absorbance
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spectra of adsorbates are recorded by Fourier Transform Reflection Absorption Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-RAIRS) from 750 to 4500 cm\(^{-1}\). Products (or residual reactants) are desorbed from the surface by using temperature programmed desorption (TPD). In our experiments, the surface temperature is raised from 10 K up to 260 K. The heating ramp is kept constant with a value of \(\beta = 0.2 \text{ K s}^{-1}\) and simultaneously desorbing species are detected through a QMS positioned in front of the surface.

The injection of atoms or molecules is carried out using a separate system consisting of four atomic or molecular beams. The gas passes through three chambers and into the main chamber via three aligned diaphragms. For this study we used only two beams: the central beam is used to inject CH\(_3\)NC or CH\(_3\)CN and the right beam is used to inject H atoms. The H\(_2\) flux entering the right beam source is controlled by an automated regulator by Bronkhorst High-Tech and is set to 10 sccm\(^2\). A microwave discharge cavity is used to dissociate H\(_2\) flowing through a quartz tube (inner diameter 4 mm), cooled with a continuous flow of compressed air at room temperature. The dissociation efficiency of H\(_2\) and D\(_2\) is 75 and 65\%, respectively. The dissociation efficiency, \(\tau\), is calculated using the formula

\[
\tau = \frac{S_{\text{OFF}} - S_{\text{ON}}}{S_{\text{OFF}}},
\]

where \(S\) is the number of ion counts measured with the QMS in front of the sample when the discharge is switched off or on. H atoms produced in the plasma discharge are thermalized to approximately 300 K via collisions with the walls of the quartz tube, and then pass through the first diaphragm in an effusive regime. We previously determined that atoms are in their ground state (Amiaud et al. 2007; Congiu et al. 2009). Assuming that our flux is about \(3 \times 10^{12}\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (i.e., an adsorbed species receives on average one atom every 300 s from the gas phase) and that the typical diffusion time from one site to another (at 10 K) for H is within the timescale of one millisecond (or faster), the reactivity should be totally dominated by diffusive processes, that is the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism. For the same reason, reacting atoms are mostly thermalized with the surface. It cannot be excluded, however, that the Eley–Rideal mechanism becomes non-negligible, especially when the surface temperature is above 20 K, as H atoms begin to desorb very quickly. Therefore our experimental conditions mainly probe the reactivity of the minimum energy pathways.

CH\(_3\)NC and CH\(_3\)CN are liquid at room temperature and their saturation vapor is high enough so that their entrance flush can be manually regulated via a needle valve. The expansion chamber of the central beam is kept constant at a pressure of 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mbar}. The length of the mean free flight of molecules in this chamber is about 2 cm before molecules are entering the second stage in which the pressure remains in the 10^{-7} \text{ mbar} range. The third stage is kept in the 10^{-9} \text{ mbar} range while the main chamber remains in the low 10^{-10} \text{ mbar} range to achieve good vacuum conditions for cold surface experiments. Ten grams of CH\(_3\)NC were synthesized by J.C. Guillemin in Rennes (Bertin et al. 2017) and traveled to Cergy in a quartz tube, and then pass through the first diaphragm and injected into the second stage in which the pressure remains in the 10^{-7} \text{ mbar} range. A microwave discharge cavity is used to dissociate H\(_2\) flowing through a quartz tube (inner diameter 4 mm), cooled with a continuous flow of compressed air at room temperature. The dissociation efficiency of H\(_2\) and D\(_2\) is 75 and 65\%, respectively. The dissociation efficiency, \(\tau\), is calculated using the formula

\[
\tau = \frac{S_{\text{OFF}} - S_{\text{ON}}}{S_{\text{OFF}}},
\]

where \(S\) is the number of ion counts measured with the QMS in front of the sample when the discharge is switched off or on. H atoms produced in the plasma discharge are thermalized to approximately 300 K via collisions with the walls of the quartz tube, and then pass through the first diaphragm in an effusive regime. We previously determined that atoms are in their ground state (Amiaud et al. 2007; Congiu et al. 2009). Assuming that our flux is about \(3 \times 10^{12}\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (i.e., an adsorbed species receives on average one atom every 300 s from the gas phase) and that the typical diffusion time from one site to another (at 10 K) for H is within the timescale of one millisecond (or faster), the reactivity should be totally dominated by diffusive processes, that is the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism. For the same reason, reacting atoms are mostly thermalized with the surface. It cannot be excluded, however, that the Eley–Rideal mechanism becomes non-negligible, especially when the surface temperature is above 20 K, as H atoms begin to desorb very quickly. Therefore our experimental conditions mainly probe the reactivity of the minimum energy pathways.

CH\(_3\)NC and CH\(_3\)CN are liquid at room temperature and their saturation vapor is high enough so that their entrance flush can be manually regulated via a needle valve. The expansion chamber of the central beam is kept constant at a pressure of 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mbar}. The length of the mean free flight of molecules in this chamber is about 2 cm before molecules are entering the second stage in which the pressure remains in the 10^{-7} \text{ mbar} range. The third stage is kept in the 10^{-9} \text{ mbar} range while the main chamber remains in the low 10^{-10} \text{ mbar} range to achieve good vacuum conditions for cold surface experiments. Ten grams of CH\(_3\)NC were synthesized by J.C. Guillemin in Rennes (Bertin et al. 2017) and traveled to Cergy in a frozen state. The experiments were performed twice. CH\(_3\)NC was prepared performing freeze-thaw cycles in order to eliminate the residual atmospheric gases. We determined that the purity of CH\(_3\)CN and CH\(_3\)NC was >98\% (our maximum sensitivity), measured with the QMS positioned in front of the cold surface.

![](image1.png)  
**Fig. 1.** FT-RAIRS spectra of pure CH\(_3\)NC (top panel) and CH\(_3\)CN (bottom panel) deposited separately at 10 K for different surface coverages.

Figure 1 shows the FT-RAIRS spectra of CH\(_3\)CN and CH\(_3\)NC obtained for different coverages, expressed in monolayers (1 ML = 10\(^{15}\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\)). In this work the ML unit is to be intended as an exposed dose, namely the amount of deposited species. In fact, in the case of not-wetting molecules the determination of the coverage is difficult, or in the case of radicals like H atoms the deposited amount either desorbs or reacts. The surface is kept at 10 K. The black curves of Fig. 1 correspond to no exposure, the blue curves to 1.6 ML, the purple curves to 2.4 ML, and the red curves to 4 ML. The determination of 1 ML deposition is accomplished within an accuracy of about 20\%. This is carried out by scaling the flux used for different species such as CO, which have good wetting properties and allow us to determine the actual coverage (see Nguyen et al. 2018, for further details). Infrared band strength benchmarking confirms the determination of the adsorbate dose. In Fig. 1 we can clearly observe the difference of the stretching vibrations of cyanide (-NC) group at 2250 cm\(^{-1}\) and isocyanide (-NC) group at 2176 cm\(^{-1}\). Features around 3003 cm\(^{-1}\) for CH\(_3\)CN are more marked than that of 3008 cm\(^{-1}\) for CH\(_3\)NC. Infrared spectra are in agreement with those in the literature (Bertin et al. 2017; Murphy et al. 2000).

A TPD analysis completes IR in situ observations. The TPD profiles obtained after the separate deposition of 4 ML of each isomer are shown in Fig. 2. Despite the fact that CH\(_3\)CN and CH\(_3\)NC have the same mass (m/z = 41), it is possible to identify the isomer by observing their different cracking patterns consisting of mass 40 and 39, respectively. But the most interesting point is that CH\(_3\)NC desorbs earlier (its maximum is at 120 K for this specific coverage and heating ramp) than CH\(_3\)CN, which shows a maximum at 127 K. So it is easy to distinguish the two isomers, thanks to their IR bands or desorption profiles.

For experiments performed in a water ice environment, the water inlet is connected directly to the main chamber and the H\(_2\)O vapor leak is controlled by a throttling valve. The pressure

\[1 \text{ sccm} = 592 \text{ m}^3 \text{ Pa s}^{-1}\] in SI units.
Fig. 2. TPD traces of CH$_3$NC (red curve) and CH$_3$CN (blue curve) obtained after separate depositions of 6 ML of the species on the gold surface held at 10 K.

Fig. 3. Evolution of the area of the 2250 cm$^{-1}$ IR band of CH$_3$CN as a function of deposition time on the substrate held at 10 K. H is co-deposited during the initial and final phases (black squares and blue triangles) and is absent (red circles) in the second phase.

of the main chamber is few \times 10^{-8} \text{ mbar} before injecting the reactants and is kept constant. Water deposition is controlled via IR and QMS during all the process. CH$_3$NC, H atoms, and H$_2$O are co-deposited on the surface during 30 min, thus CH$_3$NC is stopped while H and H$_2$O continue to be deposited for 10 extra minutes. In total 6 monolayers of CH$_3$NC and 20 monolayers of H and H$_2$O are deposited. Because the surface is held at 10 K, the water ice network is very porous and does not prevent the access of H to CH$_3$NC efficiently (Minissale et al. 2019). Nonetheless, if we take into account the relative fluxes (water flux is 2.5 higher than the CH$_3$NC flux), most of CH$_3$NC adsorbate should have at least one H$_2$O neighbor.

2.2. Probing the reaction paths by TPD-QMS analysis

2.2.1. (Non)reaction between acetonitrile (CH$_3$CN) and H atoms at 10 K

The first experiment consists in monitoring the growth of the 2250 cm$^{-1}$ IR feature of CH$_3$CN with or without H addition. During the first 16 min CH$_3$CN is co-deposited with H atoms. Area of the IR band is represented as a black dot in Fig. 3. It shows a linear increase. The H beam thus is turned off and the growth of the IR band is plotted in red. After 40 min the H beam is switched ON again. Infrared band areas are plotted in blue. Dots can be fitted by a straight line. Independently from the presence of absence of H atoms, the increase of CH$_3$CN molecules is perfectly linear with time. We conclude that in our experimental conditions, CH$_3$CN does not efficiently react with H atoms on a surface held at 10 K.

Similarly, we can compare depositions of methyl isocyanide (CH$_3$NC) on the golden surface maintained at 10 K in the presence and absence of H atoms co-deposition (Fig. 4, top panel). In contrast to the case of acetonitrile (CH$_3$CN), slopes are very different. For the \{CH$_3$NC+H\} experiment, the IR bands are under the noise level. Including error bars, we obtain a reduction factor of more than 87% in the amount of consumed CH$_3$NC. Moreover, the TPD profiles of pure CH$_3$NC (blue curve) and remaining CH$_3$NC (red curve) are obtained after completing both depositions. These profiles are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4. Similarly to areas of FT-RAIRS band, the amount of CH$_3$NC molecules desorbing are reduced from 875 to 80 counts.K corresponding to a reduction factor of $1 - \frac{80}{875} \approx 90\%$. Both FT-RAIRS spectra and TPD profiles provide the same reduction factor for CH$_3$NC indicating its high consumption by H atoms. This demonstrates that the CH$_3$NC molecules are reacting efficiently with H atoms on a 10 K surface.

It is not possible to isolate in the IR spectra some growth of bands that would have been characteristic of products. But the IR spectra inform us that no matter what the origins of the products are, they are not made from the initial reactants during the TPD because they are all consumed at 10 K. Actually, CH$_3$NC remains undetectable and H is known to be steadily transformed in H$_2$ even on a cold surface (Amiaud et al. 2007; Hama et al. 2012; Wakelam et al. 2017).

Figure 5 shows the TPD traces of products that are formed from the reactions between CH$_3$NC and H atoms. There are three successive desorption zones peaking at 105, 120, and 132 K, indicating at least that different molecules are formed. The first
peak (87 to 117 K) is dominated by the mass 42 (CH$_3$NCH$^+$ – dashed red curve), which corresponds to one extra H to CH$_3$NC and mass 43 (solid red curve), which corresponds to the addition of 2 H atoms. Because of the perfect similarity of the temperature profiles, both peaks come from the same mother molecule, which should be CH$_3$NCH$_2$. In mass spectroscopy, methyl groups -CH$_3$ are often ionized in -CH$_2$ because -CH$_3$ is a radical and so is less energetically favorable. As examples, the major products of the 70 eV electron impact of methylamine CH$_3$NH$_2$ (m/z = 31) and methanol CH$_3$OH (m/z = 32), are m/z = 30 and m/z = 31, respectively (Nist webbook).

The second peak around 120 K is a small signal of CH$_3$NC (solid blue curve). It is the same peak shown in Fig. 4, but the vertical scale is very different. The peak is slightly shifted (blue curve) desorption is finished at a lower temperature than (solid blue curve), which correspond to the reported cracking patterns of dimethyl amine CH$_2$NCH$_2$, and thus the addition of 4 H atoms.

The bottom panel shows the mass 16 (main peak of methane CH$_4$ – solid red curve). Its desorption temperature (40–60 K) is typical and very different from other molecules, so there is no doubt about the methane production. If CH$_3$NC is fragmented during hydrogenation, some correlation with CH$_4$ and HCN or HNC should be found. The corresponding mass (m/z = 27) is also shown in blue in the bottom panel of Fig. 5. The temperature of desorption around 130 K could indicate that it is a cracking pattern of dimethylamine, but it is reported in databases and in Table A.1 that this fragment is only few % of the major ion, so it is not measurable out of the noise in our experimental conditions. The temperature of desorption corresponds to sublimation of HCN (Theulé et al. 2011). Therefore there is no doubt that some fragmentation occurs during the hydrogenation of CH$_3$NC.

We can sum up the {CH$_3$CN/CH$_3$NC + H} first experimental results through the following statements:

(i) CH$_3$CN does not react with H atoms at low temperatures.
(ii) CH$_3$NC reacts with H atoms forming: CH$_3$NCH$_2$, CH$_3$NHCH$_3$, CH$_4$, HCN, and possibly CH$_3$CN.
(iii) We can explain the presence of these products through the following reaction paths:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NC} + 2\text{H} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{HCN}/\text{HNC},
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CN}.
\]

2.2.3. CH$_3$NC and its hydrogenation in the presence of H$_2$O

Desorption of CH$_3$NC mixed with H$_2$O. Before performing hydrogenation experiments, it is important to determine if the water and CH$_3$NC react together. Indeed, some stable molecules (such as NH$_3$, CO$_2$, H$_2$CO, H$_2$O$_2$) are known to undergo activated thermal reactions that may be modified by the presence of water (Theulé et al. 2013; Duvernay et al. 2014; Dulieu et al. 2017).

We applied the protocol described in the experimental section. CH$_3$NC is injected using the central beam, whereas H$_2$O is grown by background deposition while the surface is maintained at 10 K. The doses correspond to 6 MLs for CH$_3$NC and 20 MLs for H$_2$O. However, since the surface deposition of the beam is a diameter of about 3 mm and the total diameter of the gold sample is 9 mm, the water signal is much more intense. Most of the surface of the sample is only covered with water. Still, CH$_3$NC is perfectly and homogeneously overlapped by H$_2$O with a ratio of 10 H$_2$O for 3 CH$_3$NC molecules in the mixing area.

The TPD profiles of the {CH$_3$NC} and {CH$_3$NC + H$_2$O} systems are shown in Fig. 6. In the absence of H$_2$O, CH$_3$NC (blue curve) desorption is finished at a lower temperature than the desorption of water (measurable in our experimental conditions above 130 K), meanwhile the CH$_3$NC desorption (red curve) is split in two parts when co-deposited with H$_2$O. A fraction desorbs before H$_2$O and the other part is co-desorbing with H$_2$O. More precisely, CH$_3$NC mostly desorbs during the amorphous desorption phase (Speedy et al. 1996), which occurs before the change in the slope of desorption of water at around 140 K. A smallest and last fraction of CH$_3$NC is desorbing just after the crystallization. We do not observe an obvious volcano effect, nor desorption after the water, as can be seen for others species and/or substrates (Collings et al. 2004; Chaabouni et al. 2018). It means that a part of CH$_3$NC is trapped inside H$_2$O and then it desorbs when released from H$_2$O. We calculated the desorption areas of pure {CH$_3$NC} and {CH$_3$NC + H$_2$O}.
and the ratio gives a factor of 1. This means that CH$_3$NC does not react with H$_2$O, although CH$_3$NC is trapped inside H$_2$O. No indication of reactivity can be addressed for this system.

To sum up the experimental results of the interaction between CH$_3$NC and H$_2$O, we give the statements:

(iv) CH$_3$NC does not react with H$_2$O.
(v) CH$_3$NC is trapped and released with H$_2$O.

**Reaction of CH$_3$NC and H atoms in the presence of H$_2$O.** For this experiment both H (via the right beam) and H$_2$O (via background deposition) are deposited during 40 min, while CH$_3$NC is only injected for 30 min. The doses are 6 ML for CH$_3$NC and 20 ML for H and H$_2$O.

Figure 7 shows the TPD profiles obtained after the reactions between CH$_3$NC and H atoms in the presence of H$_2$O. Remnants and products present broad and less featured desorption profiles. This is due to the necessity to diffuse out of the water ice. The dynamic of the desorption of mixed ice is not the purpose of this article. The key observation is that the ratio in the products has changed. Especially, CH$_3$NHCH$_3$ (solid green curve) is clearly enhanced, dominating all the other products or remnants. We propose in Eq. (2) that the hydrogenation occurs in two main steps, first CH$_3$NCH$_2$ and second CH$_3$NHCH$_3$. It is clear that in absence of water the hydrogenation is less complete, CH$_3$NCH$_2$ being the major product at the end, whereas in the presence of water the second step is easier and complete hydrogenation is more often reached. The fragmentation is still occurring.

The quantitative amounts of the different products or remnants are listed in Table 1. These amounts are obtained by calculating the area under the TPD profiles (including cracking patterns as shown in Table A.1). They are normalized to the amount of CH$_3$NC injected in the first experiment. First of all, we stress that the efficiency of QMS detection is not exactly identical from one molecule to another. It can vary by a few tens of percent for these molecules. It is due to the different ionization cross sections (which can be calculated), but also to the different spatial and kinetic distributions of the different species that desorb (unknown). Even though cracking patterns are included in the estimation, the ratios presented in the table do not exactly represent the species ratio but rather give a good indication. In the same column, the relative error is similar, so if we underestimate or overestimate one species, we do the same way for all the experiments. Therefore, it is possible that the total, which is less than 100% in case of reactivity experiments, does not represent a real lost of reactants, but more the inaccuracy of the method. However, it could also be due to losses investigated by the chemical desorption process (Dulieu et al. 2013). This aspect is discussed later. No matter what the source of uncertainties are, the table makes clear that the hydrogenation in presence of water is more efficient. There are fewer remaining products (12% instead of 15%) and the first measurable step of hydrogenation (CH$_3$NHCH$_2$) is largely transferred in the second, CH$_3$NHCH$_3$. We can give the following statement from the experiment of CH$_3$NC and H atoms in the presence of H$_2$O:

(vi) H$_2$O has a catalytic role for the hydrogenation of CH$_3$NC.

**2.2.4. Reactivity of CH$_3$NC and D atoms on the golden surface at 10 K**

We performed deuteration of CH$_3$NC with D atoms in the same conditions (i.e., surface temperature and molecular dosage). CH$_3$NC and D atoms co-deposited during 30 min and an additional 10 min of D atoms exposure is applied. In contrast with H experiments, only $\sim 44\% \pm 6\%$ of CH$_3$NC is consumed by D atoms. Figure 8 shows the comparison between pure CH$_3$NC (blue curve) and the remaining CH$_3$NC (red curve) after...
contrary the trace for m/z 44 are also present and are of about the same intensity. On the analysis is listed in Table 2.

Moreover, the fragmentation pathway is observed and some seen through the parent mass 45 corresponding to CH₃NC is not complete. The first step of the deuteration can be seen in the top panel of Fig. 8, which shows the products obtained after completing the reaction with D atoms at 10 K. The bottom panel displays the TPD traces of mass 45 (MCH₃NC + 2D-solid red curve) and cracking pattern (m/z 43, and 44) (dashed red curves) corresponding to CH₃NCD₂. No traces of CH₂NDCD are detected (MCH₃NC + 4D-solid green curve).

We can give the following statement for these experimental results:
• (vii) deuteration of CH₃NC is uncompleted at low temperatures, and
• (viii) D atoms have an entrance activation barrier.

2.2.5. Reactivity of CH₃NC and H atoms at various temperatures.

We have seen earlier that CH₃NC can be almost fully consumed by H atoms at 10 K whereas this is not the case with D atoms, suggesting that the CH₃NC + D reaction has an entrance barrier and is slower than the CH₃NC + H reaction. Surface reactivity is actually a kinetic competition between many different reactive pathways, the most important in the case of hydrogenation being the self reaction H + H → H₂. Thus by changing the surface temperature, we can change the kinetics and see how the products are affected.

The top panel of Fig. 9 shows the remaining CH₃NC at different surface temperatures after completing the same [CH₃NC + H] co-depositions. The remaining CH₃NC increases with the surface temperature. The products are shown in the middle and bottom panels. The CH₃NCH₂ yield decreases when the surface temperature increases, which is also the case for CH₃NHCH₃ which becomes undetectable above 20 K on TPD profiles. Table 3 lists the quantitative values.

We point out that the consumption of reactants is different at 30 and 40 K (respectively 58 and 48%, respectively), whereas the product yield is about the same (9 and 8%).

We can give the following statement for these experimental results:
• (ix) At higher temperatures, the reaction between CH₃NC and H atoms is reduced because of the activation barriers.

3. Theoretical approach to hydrogenation processes

3.1. Strategy and computational methods

In view of the number of calculations to be performed in this work and of the complementary work to come on similar subjects we found it necessary to reach a compromise between level of theory and computational effort. We took the first two steps of reaction paths a and b for case studies, namely

CH₃CN + H* → CH₃CHN⁺ \(^{3}\)

and

CH₃NC + H* → CH₃NCH⁺ \(^{4}\).

and carried out benchmark studies.

First, calculations at the coupled-cluster single and double excitation (CCSD) level (Purvis & Bartlett 1982) and at the CCSD level augmented with a noniterative triple excitation

| Experiment | MCH₃NC (%) | MCH₃NC + 2H(2D) (%) | MCH₃NC + 4H(4D) (%) | Fragmentation (%) | Isomerization (%) | Total (%) |
|------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| CH₃NC      | 100        | 0                    | 0                    | 0                 | 0                | 100      |
| CH₃NC + H  | 15         | 34                   | 16                   | 7                 | 2                | 74       |
| CH₃NC + D  | 56         | 9                    | 0                    | 4                 | 0                | 69       |

Fig. 8. Top panel: pure CH₃NC (m/z 41 – blue curve) and remaining CH₃NC (m/z 41 – red curve) after completing the reaction with D atoms at 10 K. Bottom panel: TPD traces of mass 45 (MCH₃NC + 2D-solid red curve) and cracking pattern (m/z 43, and 44) (dashed red curves) corresponding to CH₃NCD₂. No traces of CH₂NDCD are detected (MCH₃NC + 4D-solid green curve).

Table 2. Percentages (%) of remnants (CH₃NC) and products after completing the co-deposition of CH₃NC and H/D atoms at 10 K.

More stable than CH₃CNH⁺.

More stable than CH₃NH⁺.
correction (CCSD(T)) (Raghavachari et al. 1989) allowed us to establish reference data.

Second, several methods based on the density functional theory (DFT) were then confronted to CCSD and CCSD(T) treatments to determine which level of theory had to be employed for the whole study. We started with the B3LYP functional (Becke 1993; Lee et al. 1988) originally adjusted to reproduce molecular geometries of a panel of representative organic species. Then we tested six functionals developed more recently to account for transition states, where the C–H distances are underestimated by about 0.15 Å.

3.2. Benchmarking the DFT approaches

Taking the CCSD level of calculation as our reference, we found that MP2 leads to an overestimated value of the activation barrier (by a factor of almost 3 for CH$_3$NC) and an underestimation of the exothermicity (by a factor of almost 5 for CH$_3$CN). It is noteworthy that errors are larger for the nitrile than for the amine (Table 4). The perturbative treatment of correlation at MP2, including only doubly excited configurations on top of HF, does not seem to be able to account properly for the interaction with the incoming H$^+$ atom. However, applying CCSD(T) on top of optimized MP2 geometries helps rectify the energy profile. It should be noted that the CCSD geometries of the transition states are significantly different from those of the MP2 transition states, where the C–H distances are underestimated by about 0.15 Å.

Contrary to post HF treatments, using DFT provides well-grouped energies of within ~3.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for Δ$^H$ and within ~5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for Δ$H$. The standard B3LYP with only ~20% of HF exchange appears as an exception. It gives the lowest activation barrier, that is ~2.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ below the average of the other functionals, and the highest exothermicity, that is ~3.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$ above the average of the other functionals. The other six functionals with HF exchange contributions in the range ~40–50% provide energy values close to those obtained at the CCSD level. The origin of this behavior lies in the fact that introducing the HF exchange in the exchange-correlation functional corrects the known over-delocalization of the electron density at the DFT level.

In view of the aforementioned results we focused on how embedding in the ice may affect the hydrogenation process by

Fig. 9. Top panel: remaining CH$_3$NC (from red to green curves) after completing the reaction with H atoms on the surface with the temperature ranging from 20 to 40 K. Middle panel: TPD traces of CH$_3$NCH$_2$ (M$_{CH3NC}$ + 2H) (from red to green curves) are gradually reduced with the surface temperature. Bottom panel: the trace of dimethylamine (CH$_3$NHCH$_3$ = M$_{CH3NC}$ + 4H) is not detectable at high temperatures.
3.3. Probing the reaction paths

Formation of ethylene and dimethylamine requires additions of four hydrogen atoms, which means four successive elementary steps for each reaction path. It should be mentioned that only the thermodynamically a priori most probable reaction paths were considered, i.e., those for which each step gives rise to the more stable product (Table 6).

| Table 3. Percentages (%) of the remnants (CHNC) and products after completing the depositions at different surface temperatures. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Temperature (K) | MCHNC (%) | MCHNC + 2H (%) | MCHNC + 4H (%) | Fragmentation (%) | Isomerization (%) | Total (%) |
| 10 | 15 | 34 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 74 |
| 20 | 37 | 20 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 73 |
| 30 | 42 | 9 | 0.3 | 4 | 3 | 58.3 |
| 40 | 52 | 8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0 | 61.4 |

| Table 4. Reaction (ΔH) and activation (ΔH‡) enthalpies, corrected for ZPE, of the hydrogen addition reaction to CH3CN and CH3NC, calculated at 0 K with different post-HF and DFT methods (kcal mol⁻¹), in conjunction with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Level of theory | % HF exch. | CH3CN → CH3CHN | CH3NC → CH3NCH | ∆H‡ | ∆H | ∆H‡(a) |
| MP2 | 21.8 | −4.7 | 5.4 | −15.8 (−20.8) |
| CCSD(T)(b) | 9.2 | −18.7 | 2.2 | −21.3 (−25.9) |
| CCSD | 7.9 | −22.5 | 2.9 | −23.7 (−28.2) |
| B3LYP | 20 | 5.2 | −24.6 | 0.2 | −28.1 (−32.3) |
| MPWB1K | 44 | 7.6 | −22.1 | 2.2 | −25.2 (−29.5) |
| M06-2X | 54 | 7.9 | −20.2 | 2.9 | −22.6 (−26.8) |
| B2PLYP | 53 | 7.7 | −20.1 | 1.3 | −25.4 (−29.9) |
| B2PLYP-D3 | 53 | 7.2 | −20.4 | 0.9 | −25.6 (−30.1) |
| LC-ωPBE | 0–100 | 7.8 | −23.6 | 2.2 | −26.4 (−30.4) |
| oB97XD | 0–100 | 8.6 | −23.9 | 3.4 | −26.1 (−30.2) |

| Table 5. Reaction enthalpy (ΔH) and activation barrier (ΔH‡), corrected for ZPE, of the hydrogen addition reaction to CH3CN, calculated at 0 K at the MP2, CCSD(T) and DFT level with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set, in vacuum and in ice (kcal mol⁻¹). |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Level of theory | No ice involvement | Embedded in ice |
| | ∆H | ∆H‡ | ∆H‡ |
| MP2 | 21.8 | −4.7 | 21.6 | −3.8 |
| CCSD(T)(a) | 9.2 | −18.7 | 9.9 | −16.8 |
| B3LYP | 5.2 | −24.6 | 6.6 | −21.8 |
| MPWB1K | 7.6 | −22.1 | 8.3 | −20.0 |
| M06-2X | 7.9 | −20.2 | 9.3 | −17.3 |
| B2PLYP | 7.7 | −20.1 | 8.9 | −17.6 |
| B2PLYP-D3 | 7.2 | −20.4 | 8.4 | −17.9 |
| LC-ωPBE | 7.8 | −23.6 | 9.2 | −20.9 |
| oB97XD | 8.6 | −23.9 | 10.0 | −21.1 |

Notes. Conversion factors: 1 kcal mol⁻¹ = 349.7 cm⁻¹ = 503.2 K. (a) Single point at the MP2 geometry (CCSD gradients are not available in the framework of the PCM model).

| Table 6. Energy profiles of reaction paths a and b in vacuum and in ice. |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Reactions | No ice involvement | Embedded in ice |
| | ΔH | ∆H‡ | ΔH‡ |
| Reaction path a | CH3CN + H+ → CH3CHN⁺ | 7.9 | −20.2 | 9.3 | −17.4 |
| (Z)-CH3NCH⁺ → (E)-CH3CHNH⁺ | /(|a|) | −88.1 | /(|a|) | −88.1 |
| (E)-CH3CHNH⁺ + H⁺ → CH3NCN | /(|a|) | 4.1 | 34.1 | 4.7 | −32.3 |
| CH3NCN + H⁺ → (Z)-CH3NCH⁺ | /(|a|) | −88.9 | /(|a|) | −89.1 |

Notes. Exothermicity (ΔH) and activation barrier (ΔH‡) corrected for ZPE (kcal mol⁻¹) as obtained at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ level. Conversion factors: 1 kcal mol⁻¹ = 349.7 cm⁻¹ = 503.2 K. (a) No activation barrier.

On the way to CH3CH2NH2 on path a, the first addition leads to the formation of CH3CN⁺, a free radical of the same type as H2C=N detected by Ohishi et al. (1994). This is an exothermic process over approximately −20 kcal mol⁻¹, which is however opposed by an activation barrier of ~8 kcal mol⁻¹. Addition on nitrogen leading to CH3C=NH is also exothermic, but only by 11 kcal mol⁻¹, and presents an even greater activation barrier (9.3 kcal mol⁻¹); thus, this process and those stemming from are not considered. The second elementary step is a radical-radical
reaction without activation barrier leading to (E)-CH$_3$CH=NH similar to methanimine (Godfrey et al. 1973). The third hydrogenation leads to a second free radical, (E)-CH$_3$CNH$_2$ centered on the carbon. There is an activation barrier of ~4 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The fourth step completes the reaction path to ethylamine without any transition state. Both the second and fourth steps are strongly exothermic, by about 90 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The height of the first activation barrier should be considered as a real obstacle.

The presence of ice does not modify the exothermicity of the radical-radical steps, but slightly decreases it in the first and third steps (by ~3 and ~2 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively). Moreover both activation barriers increase, the first by 1.4 cal mol$^{-1}$, thus rendering the hydrogenation reaction of CH$_3$CN even more unfavorable.

On the way to CH$_3$NCH$_3$ on path b, we find the same sequence of reactions. The radical formed in the first step, CH$_3$N=CH*, can exist in the forms of (E) and (Z) isomers, both being stable intermediates. They are both produced (Table 6) in exothermic processes, $\Delta H \sim -27$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta H \sim -23$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for (E) and (Z), respectively. Reaching the transition state requires only ~3 kcal mol$^{-1}$, that is a small activation energy compared to that of the first step in path a. Therefore, hydrogenation of CH$_3$NC is probable, at least by tunneling through a small barrier, while hydrogenation of CH$_3$CN is not. This result is consistent with the so-called Fukui indices that rationalize the rules of frontier molecular orbital theory (Fukui et al. 1952), which is relevant to interpret radical reactivity. Indeed, following the procedure of Bulat et al. (2004) we obtain, for the carbon atom in the CN (or NC) group, 0.17 and 0.70 in CH$_3$CN and in CH$_3$NC, respectively (the greater the index, the faster the reactivity with H atoms).

A detailed study of the reaction path using the intrinsic reaction coordinate technique showed that the transition state connects preferentially to the less stable (Z) isomer, which can transform easily into (E). Indeed, the activation barrier of the transformation (Z) $\rightarrow$ (E) is only a hidden barrier at this stage.

The second elementary step is a radical-radical reaction without activation barrier leading to CH$_3$N=CH$_2$. Further addition of a hydrogen atom produces a new radical intermediate CH$_3$NH-CH$_2$ that is exothermic (approximately ~35 kcal mol$^{-1}$) and also opposed by a small barrier of ~4.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$. In the end the last step is barrier free and leads to dimethylamine, CH$_3$NCH$_2$H$_3$. As in path a a radical–radical reactions are strongly exothermic, by about 90 kcal mol$^{-1}$.

The presence of ice has the same effects as for path a, though attenuated. In particular, the first barrier to hydrogenation increases slightly, reaching 3.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$. If tunneling is still possible, our calculations predict that it should be less facile, which does not seem to be in agreement with the experiments.

4. Comparison with astronomical observations

We investigate the presence of CH$_3$NH$_2$ along with that of the C$_2$H$_5$NH$_2$ amine and that of the possible parent molecules, CH$_3$CN and CH$_3$NC in the ISM; spectroscopic data of (CH$_3$)$_2$CN are not available at the present time in the (sub)millimeter wavelength. More specifically, we search for these species toward the high-mass star forming region W51/e2 (located at 5.4 kpc, Sato et al. 2010), in which the presence of methyl cyanide has been reported by Remijan et al. (2004). In the present study, we make use of IRAM 30 m observations that we have available (PI C. Favre) and that cover the following frequency ranges: 99.70–106.3 GHz and 238.2–246.0 GHz. We refer to Lykke et al. (2015) for a full description of the observations and data reduction.

In this paper, we focus on CH$_3$CN and CH$_3$NC transitions with an upper energy level, $E_{\text{up}}$, up to 660 K, and search for C$_2$H$_5$NH$_2$ and CH$_3$NH$_2$ transitions with an Einstein coefficient, A, greater than 5 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$. Tables B.1–B.4 give the spectroscopic and observational line parameters for CH$_3$CN, CH$_3$NC, C$_2$H$_5$NH$_2$, and CH$_3$NH$_2$, respectively. We detect five lines and observed two partially blended and two blended lines for CH$_3$CN. The mean velocity is around 55.6 km s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the systemic velocity of the source, and the mean line width, $\Delta v/2$, is about 8 km s$^{-1}$ (see Table B.1). We do not detect CH$_3$NC, C$_2$H$_5$NH$_2$ nor CH$_3$NH$_2$: their respective lines are either not detected or are blended with the emission from another species. The observed W51/e2 spectra for the targeted molecules are shown in Fig. 10.

From the rotational population diagram method (see Turner 1991; Goldsmith & Langer 1999), we can estimate the physical properties (T, N) of the detected CH$_3$CN molecule. This analysis hinges upon the assumptions that local thermodynamical equilibrium (LTE) is reached (i.e. the kinetic, excitation, and rotational temperatures are equal) and that the lines are optically thin. Following Goldsmith & Langer (1999), we estimate that the CH$_3$CN emission is optically thin with $\tau < 0.2$. In addition, in the present study, we assume that the emission comes from a source size that is equal to the beam size (~10' at 1 mm). The rotational population diagram analysis yields to a rotational temperature for CH$_3$CN of $T_{\text{rot}}$ = (343 ± 43) K. The derived beam-averaged total CH$_3$CN column density is (1.5 ± 0.2) $\times$ 10$^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Regarding the nondetected species, assuming the same rotational temperature ($T_{\text{rot}} = 300$ K) as that derived from the CH$_3$CN study, we estimate the following upper limits on their beam-averaged column densities: $N_{\text{CH$_3$NH}}$ ≤ 9.8 $\times$ 10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, $N_{\text{CH$_3$NCH$_2$}}$ ≤ 1.8 $\times$ 10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, and $N_{\text{CH$_3$NH$_2$}}$ ≤ 4 $\times$ 10$^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$.

To summarize, we find that CH$_3$NC is at least 15 times less abundant than CH$_3$CN, while ethylamine and methylamine could have an abundance of the same order of magnitude as CH$_3$CN.

5. Concluding remarks

Experiments have shown that CH$_3$CN does not react with H atoms. Calculations have confirmed that the hydrogenation barrier of the first hydrogenation is high and makes starting the chemical process leading to the primary amine unlikely. It should be noted that the reduction of CH$_3$CN, and therefore the reactivity with hydrogen atoms, has been observed in UV photolysis experiments of this molecule (e.g., Hudson & Moore 2004). Our experiment differs from previous approaches because we use atoms thermalized with the surface and because most of the highest energy reactive paths are not efficient under our experimental conditions. The calculated barrier is too high for our experimental conditions, whether by direct thermal crossing or by tunnel effect; this barrier, is reachable by H atoms, which would have a higher initial kinetic energy, for example, after the photolysis of CH$_3$CN.

On the contrary, CH$_3$NC reacts with H and forms CH$_3$NCH$_2$, CH$_3$NHCH$_3$, which have been identified as the most stable 5 We obtain $N_{\text{CH$_3$NC}}$ assuming a rotational temperature of 300 K as the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) catalog provides a line partition function for the species that is only valid up to 300 K. Nonetheless, we note that the assumed value is commensurate within the error bars with the value we derived in this study.
products along the hydrogenation process. The detection of CH$_3$NCH$_2$ is in agreement with the decrease of the calculated activation barrier of the first hydrogenation going from CH$_3$CN to CH$_3$NC, showing that the starting of the chemical process is easier. Methane (CH$_4$) and HCN have been also identified but only as collateral products of much lower abundance.

The different reactivity of CH$_3$NC and CH$_3$CN is a possible explanation for the observed ratio of CH$_3$NC and CH$_3$CN factor of 0.03–0.05 (Cernicharo et al. 1988), which is less than expected by pure gas-phase routes. Indeed, CH$_3$NC and CH$_3$CN have a different fate on the surface of grains. CH$_3$NC likely evolves under hydrogenation, whereas CH$_3$CN is unaffected by hydrogenation, which is the main chemical driving force for surface reactivity in molecular clouds. So, if part of the mantle is feeding the gas phase, as occurs in shocks or hot cores, then chemical differentiation on grains can induce differences in the gas phase. As highlighted by TPD experiments CH$_3$NC does not react with H$_2$O but is trapped in H$_2$O.

In addition, hydrogenation of CH$_3$NC is enhanced in the presence of H$_2$O. More specifically, when CH$_3$NC and H atoms mix with the H$_2$O molecules, the chemical reactions take place inside the pores or in the bulk of the ice. The water molecules therefore may increase the efficiency of quantum tunneling in the hydrogenation process of CH$_3$NC.

At low temperatures, CH$_3$NC should react with H atoms via quantum tunneling. At high temperatures, the reactions of CH$_3$NC take place with temperature effect adding to tunneling. However, a temperature dependence out of the Arrhenius regime would be the final argument to ensure that the first step of the reaction is actually achieved by quantum tunneling. We base our conclusions on the observed isotope effect, temperature dependence, and calculation of the height of the reaction barrier. We note that taken separately our arguments might appear weak. However, once combined together they are stronger and represent the best we can currently do to build our conviction.

To summarize, the hydrogenation is enhanced with the H$_2$O presence, although there is no evidence of a chemical participation in the calculations. In this context, the fact that our calculations show an increase of the first activation barrier is not compatible with the experimental facts, even if the barrier of the first hydrogenation of CH$_3$NC remains lower than that of the third hydrogenation. Modeling solid water by an implicit solvent, originally proposed for disordered liquid media, is therefore questionable. The answer is to be found in the neglect of local interactions with the well-defined structure of ice; porous does not mean disordered at the local scale. Taking into account well-oriented local interactions, namely the hydrogen bonds in the solid, can be done in solid state calculations (Lattelais et al. 2011; Bertin et al. 2017). Such a treatment as presented for the trapping of small molecules in cometary ice (Mousis et al. 2016, 2017) and Europa ice crust (Ozgurel et al. 2018) is well beyond the aim of the present work.

Fig. 10. Portion of the W51/e2 spectra as observed at 1 and 3 mm with the IRAM 30 m telescope. Line assignment of CH$_3$CN (red), CH$_3$NC (blue), C$_2$H$_3$NH$_2$ (cyan), and CH$_3$NH$_2$ (magenta) are indicated on top of the spectra.
In the presence of H₂O, the easier hydrogenation of CH₃NC may be due to different electronic properties. In terms of quantum chemistry, it may affect the geometry of the reaction and thereby lower the reaction barrier. Water can also slightly change the geometry of the molecule CH₃NC itself, and/or displace slightly charges changing the heights of the reaction barriers. Water molecules could also participate by helping proton transfer (Rimola et al. 2014). It should also be stressed that the above developments are valid for all the R-CN nitriles (as quoted in Sect. 1), but limited for R-NC isonitriles since the hydrogenation can only provide N-methyl substituted secondary amines.

Under astrophysical conditions, the flux of H atoms is orders of magnitude lower than in our experimental conditions. Therefore, the H concentration on the surface is also lower, and therefore the H + H → H₂ is less competitive than in our experimental conditions. We have seen that even under our “high” flux conditions, hydrogenation of CH₃NC is efficient, so it should also be the case in dense molecular clouds. On the contrary, if the temperature of the grain rises above 20 K, thus the hydrogenation efficiency drops and dimethyl amine has probably very little chance to be formed this way.

In the end, the present interdisciplinary study mixing experimental and theoretical aspects of laboratory astrophysics together with tentative detection of simple primary and secondary amines leads to some suggestions for observations proposals. Finding CH₃NHCH₃ would need more refined spectra. Thus, another route to the formation of amines has to be found.
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Appendix A: New estimation of the cracking patterns of CH$_3$NCH$_2$

Since the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Chemistry Webbook has no records for the mass spectra of CH$_3$NCH$_2$, we list our experimental values in the Table A.1.

Table A.1. Signal intensity of QMS normalized to the highest peak of CH$_3$NC, CH$_3$CN, and products after completing the reactions with H atoms.

| Mass   | CH$_3$NC (%) | CH$_3$CN (%) | CH$_3$NCH$_2$ (%) | CH$_3$NHCH$_3$ (%) |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| NIST   | Work         | NIST         | Work              | NIST               |
| M16    | 0            | 0            | 0                 | 1                  |
| M27    | 5            | 0            | 1                 | 0                  |
| M39    | 20           | 20           | 20                | 1                  |
| M40    | 50           | 60           | 40                | 10                 |
| M41    | 100          | 100          | 100               | 15                 |
| M42    | 0            | 0            | 0                 | 100                |
| M43    | 0            | 0            | 0                 | 65                 |
| M44    | 0            | 0            | 0                 | 100                |
| M45    | 0            | 0            | 0                 | 65                 |

Notes. Comparison between NIST webbook data and our experimental results.

Appendix B: Spectroscopic and observational line parameters

Tables B.1–B.4 give the spectroscopic and observational line parameters for the observed CH$_3$CN, CH$_3$NC, C$_2$H$_5$NH$_2$, and CH$_3$NH$_3$ transitions.

Table B.1. Spectroscopic and observational line parameters for CH$_3$CN.

| Freq. (GHz) | Transition | $E_{up}$ (K) | $A_{l=0}$ (10$^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$) | $v_{lsr}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $T_{peak}$ (K) | $\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | W (K km s$^{-1}$) | $N_{up}/\theta_{ap}$ (10$^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$) | Comments |
|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| 238.766     | 13$_y$–12$_9$ | 658          | 4.21                          | 56.2 (0.3)              | 0.8 (0.1)      | 8.0 (0.7)                     | 7.1 (0.5)      | 1.7 (0.1)                       | D        |
| 238.844     | 13$_p$–12$_8$ | 537          | 5.03                          | 54.3 (0.2)              | 1.0 (0.1)      | 7.9 (0.4)                     | 8.8 (0.4)      | 3.6 (0.1)                       | D        |
| 238.913     | 13$_y$–12$_7$ | 430          | 5.75                          | 55.9 (0.2)              | 1.7 (0.1)      | 6.9 (0.4)                     | 12.2 (1.0)     | 4.4 (0.1)                       | PB       |
| 238.972     | 13$_p$–12$_6$ | 337          | 6.38                          | 55.9 (1.2)              | 2.9 (0.2)      | 8.5 (1.2)                     | 26.2 (1.3)     | 4.2 (0.1)                       | PB       |
| 239.023     | 13$_p$–12$_5$ | 259          | 6.91                          | -                       | \leq 3.6 0.3   | -                             | \leq 40.0      | \leq 11.9                       | B        |
| 239.064     | 13$_z$–12$_4$ | 195          | 7.35                          | 55.7 (1.1)              | 3.5 (0.2)      | 8.4 (0.3)                     | 31.5 (1.0)     | 8.8 (0.1)                       | D        |
| 239.096     | 13$_z$–12$_3$ | 145          | 7.69                          | 55.4 (0.6)              | 4.6 (1.2)      | 8.2 (1.2)                     | 40.7 (5.5)     | 5.4 (0.1)                       | D        |
| 239.120     | 13$_z$–12$_2$ | 109          | 7.93                          | 55.9 (1.2)              | 4.7 (0.4)      | 8.3 (1.2)                     | 41.4 (3.5)     | 10.8 (0.1)                      | D        |
| 239.133     | 13$_1$–12$_1$ | 87           | 8.07                          | -                       | \leq 6.0      | -                             | \leq 91.9      | \leq 23.4                       | B        |
| 239.138     | 13$_0$–12$_0$ | 80           | 8.12                          | -                       | \leq 6.0      | -                             | \leq 91.9      | \leq 23.3                       | B        |

Notes. (1) Frequencies. (2) Transition. (3) Energy of the upper level. (4) Einstein coefficient. (5) Local Standard of Rest velocity (LSR velocity). (6) Brightness temperature. (7) Line width at half intensity. (8) Integrated intensities over the line profile. (9) Column densities of the upper state of the transition with respect to the rotational degeneracy. (10) Detected (D), blended (B), and partially blended (PB) lines are specified.

References. We used the spectroscopic data parameters from Boucher et al. (1977), Kukolich et al. (1973), Kukolich (1982), Anttila et al. (1993), Gadhi et al. (1995), and Cazzoli & Puzzarini (2006). The spectroscopic data parameters are available in the JPL catalog (Pickett et al. 1998).
Table B.2. Spectroscopic and observational line parameters for CH₃CN.

| Freq. (GHz) | Transition | $E_{up}$ (K) | A (10⁻⁴ s⁻¹) | $v_{hr}$ (km s⁻¹) | $T_{peak}$ (K) | $\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s⁻¹) | W (K km s⁻¹) | $N_{up}/g_{up}$ (10¹⁰ cm⁻²) | Comments |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 240.795     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 647          | 5.16          | $\leq$0.8         | $\leq$6.4       | $\leq$1.4                 | ND        |
| 240.888     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 527          | 6.57          | $\leq$0.8         | $\leq$6.4       | $\leq$2.2                 | ND        |
| 240.969     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 421          | 7.80          | $\leq$0.8         | $\leq$6.4       | $\leq$1.8                 | ND        |
| 241.040     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 329          | 8.88          | $\leq$1.3         | $\leq$8.3       | $\leq$1.1                 | B         |
| 241.100     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 252          | 9.79          | $\leq$0.8         | $\leq$6.4       | $\leq$1.5                 | B         |
| 241.149     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 188          | 10.54         | $\leq$0.8         | $\leq$6.4       | $\leq$1.4                 | B         |
| 241.187     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 139          | 11.12         | $\leq$4.0         | $\leq$32.1      | $\leq$3.3                 | B         |
| 241.215     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 103          | 11.53         | $\leq$4.0         | $\leq$32.1      | $\leq$6.3                 | B         |
| 241.231     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 82           | 11.79         | $\leq$2.7         | $\leq$21.7      | $\leq$4.2                 | B         |
| 241.237     | 12$_{g}$–11$_{g}$ | 75           | 11.87         | $\leq$2.7         | $\leq$21.7      | $\leq$4.1                 | B         |
| 100.490     | 5$_{4}$–4$_{4}$   | 54           | 0.29          | $\leq$0.6         | $\leq$4.2       | $\leq$13.0                | B         |
| 100.506     | 5$_{3}$–4$_{3}$   | 194          | 0.52          | $\leq$0.1         | $\leq$0.5       | $\leq$0.4                 | ND        |
| 100.517     | 5$_{2}$–4$_{2}$   | 127          | 0.68          | $\leq$0.1         | $\leq$0.5       | $\leq$0.7                 | ND        |
| 100.524     | 5$_{1}$–4$_{1}$   | 145          | 0.78          | $\leq$0.1         | $\leq$0.5       | $\leq$0.6                 | ND        |
| 100.527     | 5$_{0}$–4$_{0}$   | 151          | 0.81          | $\leq$0.1         | $\leq$0.5       | $\leq$0.6                 | ND        |

Notes. (1) Frequencies. (2) Transition. (3) Energy of the upper level. (4) Einstein coefficient. (5) LSR velocity. (6) Brightness temperature. (7) Line width at half intensity. (8) Integrated intensities over the line profile. (9) Column densities of the upper state of the transition with respect to the rotational degeneracy. (10) Blended (B) and not detected (ND) lines are specified.

References. We used the spectroscopic data parameters from Bauder & Bogey (1970) and Cernicharo et al. (1988) for CH₃CN, which are available in the JPL catalog (Pickett et al. 1998).

Table B.3. Spectroscopic and observational line parameters for C₂H₅NH₂.

| Freq. (GHz) | Transition | $E_{up}$ (K) | A (10⁻⁴ s⁻¹) | $v_{hr}$ (km s⁻¹) | $T_{peak}$ (K) | $\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s⁻¹) | W (K km s⁻¹) | $N_{up}/g_{up}$ (10¹⁰ cm⁻²) | Comments |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 238.398619  | 15$_{1,15}$–14$_{1,14}$ | 93           | 0.85          | $\leq$0.2         | $\leq$1.7      | $\leq$0.8                 | ND        |
| 239.037658  | 15$_{0,15}$–14$_{0,14}$ | 93           | 0.85          | $\leq$0.2         | $\leq$1.7      | $\leq$0.8                 | ND        |
| 245.644356  | 15$_{2,14}$–14$_{2,13}$ | 93           | 0.91          | $\leq$1.3         | $\leq$10.2     | $\leq$4.7                 | B         |

Notes. (1) Frequencies. (2) Transition. (3) Energy of the upper level. (4) Einstein coefficient. (5) LSR velocity. (6) Brightness temperature. (7) Line width at half intensity. (8) Integrated intensities over the line profile. (9) Column densities of the upper state of the transition with respect to the rotational degeneracy. (10) Blended (B) and not detected (ND) lines are specified.

References. The spectroscopic data parameters for C₂H₅NH₂ come from Fischer & Botskor (1982), Fischer & Botskor (1984) and Apponi et al. (2008), and are available in the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy catalog (CDMS; Muller et al. 2005).

Table B.4. Spectroscopic and observational line parameters for CH₄NH₂.

| Freq. (GHz) | Transition | $E_{up}$ (K) | A (10⁻⁴ s⁻¹) | $v_{hr}$ (km s⁻¹) | $T_{peak}$ (K) | $\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s⁻¹) | W (K km s⁻¹) | $N_{up}/g_{up}$ (10¹⁰ cm⁻²) | Comments |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 239.023740  | 5$_{2,0}$–5$_{1,1}$ | 48           | 0.60          | $\leq$3.5         | $\leq$41.2     | $\leq$174.2               | B         |
| 239.209628  | 7$_{2,7}$–7$_{1,3}$ | 76           | 0.63          | $\leq$2.6         | $\leq$17.3     | $\leq$17.0                | B         |
| 241.209160  | 4$_{2,1}$–4$_{1,0}$ | 37           | 0.59          | $\leq$4.0         | $\leq$60.0     | $\leq$321.1               | B         |
| 242.262019  | 6$_{0,3}$–6$_{1,2}$ | 61           | 0.64          | $\leq$6.2         | $\leq$7.1      | BD                        |           |
| 242.961338  | 3$_{2,0}$–3$_{1,1}$ | 29           | 0.55          | $\leq$0.3         | $\leq$2.4      | $\leq$17.8                | ND        |
| 244.886901  | 5$_{2,5}$–5$_{1,3}$ | 48           | 0.64          | $\leq$0.3         | $\leq$2.4      | $\leq$3.3                 | ND        |

Notes. (1) Frequencies. (2) Transition. (3) Energy of the upper level. (4) Einstein coefficient. (5) LSR velocity. (6) Brightness temperature. (7) Line width at half intensity. (8) Integrated intensities over the line profile. (9) Column densities of the upper state of the transition with respect to the rotational degeneracy. (10) Blended (B) and not detected (ND) lines are specified.

References. Spectroscopic data parameters come from Shimoda et al. (1954), Lide (1954, 1957), Nishikawa (1957), Takagi & Kojima (1971), Ohashi et al. (1987), Kręglewski & Włodarczak (1992), Kręglewski et al. (1992) and Ilyushin et al. (2005) and are available in the JPL catalog (Pickett et al. 1998).