The effects of core muscle release technique on lumbar spine deformation and low back pain
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to examine the effects of the core muscle release technique on correction of lumbar deformation and alleviation of low back pain. [Subjects] Ninety patients diagnosed with lumbar deformation and low back pain participated in this study. [Methods] The participants were divided into three groups according to method of treatment. The first group was treated with the core muscle release technique (CRT), the second group was treated with general exercise, and the third group was treated with electrotherapy. The core muscle release technique group received 50-minute of the core muscle release technique 5 times a week for 2 weeks, and the participants in this group were instructed not to receive any other treatments. After the 2 weeks of treatment, the patients were reexamined. The general exercise group performed Williams flexion exercises and McKenzie extension exercises 5 times a week for 2 weeks. The electrotherapy group was treated by application of electrotherapy with an interferential current therapy machine (TM-301. TOPMED. Seongnam, Republic of Korea) to the abdominal muscles and back muscles of the lumbar region. [Results] The data suggest that the core muscle release technique, general exercise, and electrotherapy all helped to decrease the alignment angle and VAS score. Of these treatment methods, however, the core muscle release technique was the most effective for treatment of lumbar spine deformation and low back pain. [Conclusion] The core muscle release technique was most effective for correction of lumbar spine deformation and pain alleviation.
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INTRODUCTION

Low back pain occurs most often in the thoracolumbar, lumbar, or lumbosacral region1). Generally, 90% of patients with acute low back pain go back to work; however, it has long been known that the symptoms and functional limitations recur in many cases2). The major cause is injury or muscle weakness in the soft tissue of the trunk3); it occurs particularly when the recruitment of core muscles performing a role in stabilization of the lumbar region is weak4,5). With regard to this, Cooper et al.6) described how atrophy in lumbar deep muscles was aggravated with chronic low back pain. Danneels et al.7) confirmed that paravertebral muscles were partially atrophied in patients with chronic low back pain as demonstrated by computed tomography (CT). Kader et al.8) also confirmed with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that the multifidus was atrophied in about 80% of patients with low back pain. In addition, Hodges et al.9) confirmed that in patients with low back pain, recruitment of the transversus abdominis, oblique muscle, rectus abdominis, and erector spinae normally appears in time. The results of these studies show that balanced development of core muscles is a key to prevention of low back pain. For this reason, the current fitness trend has been a change from exercise to eliminate pain by restricting movement by improving muscle strength or stretching10), to exercises that increase core stability11). Recently, the concept of motor control has been integrated into core stability. This is a visual feedback concept, the purpose of which is to encourage the patient to control their own muscle movement by showing the patient ultrasonographic images of their own muscle movement to improve muscle performance12). Along with exercises, joint mobilization or manipulation techniques for vertebral joints have also been used widely to treat spine imbalance13). Nevertheless, core stability exercises have a few limitations. When a patient is not able to keep himself steady due to extreme lumbar deviation or extreme muscle imbalance, inconvenient pretreatment will also be needed.
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Also, unskilled manipulation can cause joint injury as a result of direct stimulation of an injured joint. Therefore, in this study we introduced core muscle therapeutic methods that can build well-proportioned muscles based on two principles: 1) core stability exercises that anyone can perform easily anywhere to build muscle without being constrained by the necessity to use particular tools; and 2) appropriate manipulation for correction of misalignment. In this study, after inducing well-proportioned manipulation of abdominal muscles, paraspinal muscles, the diaphragm, the fascia of the pelvic floor, and thoracolumbar regions using the core muscle release technique (CRT), we examined if lumbar deformation and pain were reduced in patients with low back pain.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ninety subjects, who were briefed on the experimental procedure, were selected from among those who had once been outpatients of W Hospital in Daejeon, Republic of Korea. Through X-ray and physical examination, the subjects were all found to have deformities in parts of the lumbar spine, and they were all confirmed to be lumbar deformation and low back pain patients by a doctor. This study complied with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and written informed consent was received from each participant. All participants were divided into three groups. Group 1 was treated by CRT. Group 2 was treated by Williams exercise and McKenzie exercise, and Group 3 was treated with electrotherapy. Each group consisted of 15 male and 15 female participants. The average age was 34.2 years in the CRT group, 35.2 years in the common exercise group, and 34.6 years in the electrotherapy group.

Alignment was measured on a radiograph in the anteroposterior view (AP view) of the lumbar spine (L-spine) using the PiviewSTAR STARPACS software (Tsp 03–02, INFINITT. Co., Ltd., Seoul, Republic of Korea). Since the purpose of this study was to determine the degree of lumbar deviation, we marked two points on the L5 spinous process (SP) and L1 SP and then connected the two points. Then we drew two intersecting lines perpendicular to each SP line. The angle between the two intersecting lines was measured for alignment. A visual Analog Scale (VAS) was used to evaluate the degree of pain.

The basic position for CRT was the supine position. With both soles flat on a table and the legs spread as wide as the hip joints, the knee joints were flexed at about 45° so that the subjects could comfortably lie with their lower backs on the table and rest the upper limbs next to the trunk. The first step was releasing the diaphragm. The therapist put one hand under the thoracolumbar junction, laid the other hand on the brachial region, in the anteroinferior margin of the xiphoid process and the rib; and compressed lightly with both hands. Compression lasted from three to five minutes. Next, compression therapy was conducted for the diaphragm with breathing techniques. For compression of the rectus abdominis and transverses abdominis, the therapist’s hands were placed on each side of the umbilicus, and the patient was instructed to inhale deeply through the nose. The therapist compressed the elevated abdomen with both hands while the patient slowly exhaled. This was repeated five times. Therapy on both the internal and external oblique muscles was performed using the same method used for rectus abdominis compression, except with different hand positions. Next, compression of the quadratus lumborum in the posterior region was performed, followed by compression of the erector spinae. To compress the thoracolumbar region through the fascia, compression began at the scapula height. During compression, the patients were instructed to flex their backs slowly so as to bend vertebra-by-vertebra the sections from the thoracic to low-level lumbar spine. Multifidus compression was performed using the same method as for erector spinae compression, with the therapist’s hands positioned on the multifidus. Compression of the iliopsoas was performed at the one-third point along the line between the anterior superior iliac spine and the umbilicus along the length of the iliopsoas. Finally, compression of the pelvic floor muscle was performed. One of the therapist’s hands was placed on the lower back, and the other was placed on the pubic area or above it; release was induced with light compression

The general therapeutic exercise group performed three of the Williams lumbar flexion exercises and three of the McKenzie lumbar extension exercises. For electrotherapy, a hot pack was first applied for 20 minutes to soften the muscles before an interferential current machine (ICT; TM-301, TOPMED. Seongnam, Republic of Korea) was used for electrotherapy. Electrical stimulation was applied twice for 15 minutes each in order to trigger strong muscle contractions in the front muscles (the rectus abdominis muscle, oblique abdominal muscle, and transversus abdominis muscle) and back muscles (the erector spinae muscle, quadratus lumborum muscle, and multifidus muscle). To test the homogeneity of the groups, one-way ANOVA was carried out. The paired t-test was conducted to determine changes in lumbar deformation and pain between before and after treatment within each group. One way ANOVA and post hoc analysis with Scheffe’s method were also conducted to determine the differences in variations among the three groups. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 21.0) was used for statistical analysis; the significance level (α) was 0.05.

RESULTS

When the ages of the subjects and homogeneity of the pretreatment variables were checked, no significant differences were found with regard to age, alignment, and VAS. The average age was 34.2 years in the CRT group, 35.2 years in the common exercise group, and 34.6 years in the electrotherapy group (Table 1). The alignment angle decreased from 6.9° before treatment to 2.1° after treatment in the CRT group (p<0.05). The alignment angle decreased from 6.6° before treatment to 4.2° after treatment in the general exercise group (p<0.05). The alignment angle decreased from 6.5° before treatment to 5.2° after treatment in the electrotherapy group (p<0.05). The VAS score decreased from 8.2 before treatment to 1.4 after treatment in the CRT group (p<0.05). The VAS score decreased from 8.4 before treatment to 5.8 after treatment in the general exercise group (p<0.05). The VAS score de-
The goal of traditional treatments for low back pain is to enable the functional activities of daily living through strengthening and stretching of the muscles\(^{15}\). However, exercises for muscle strength could actually increase the risk of low back pain\(^{11}\), and the risk may be increased particularly for exercises including full flexion or repetitive torsion of the spine\(^{26}\). Therefore, since CRT controls the stretching and tone of the core muscles, increases flexibility, induces smooth movement of joints, and improves the coordination of core muscles through relearning, it is very effective for correction of deformation and pain alleviation. In this study, the patient was allowed to drawing-in for muscle recruitment of the transverses abdominis during forced expiration also contributes to stability of the lumbar spine\(^{24}\). The CRT in this study, the patient was allowed to drawing-in for muscle strength exercises of the diaphragm, pelvic floor muscles, and transverse abdominis, and multifidus muscles during compression. The key principle of CRT is to realign proper movements of the core muscles. Since lack of coordination of the core muscles causes a decrease in efficiency and the compensation pattern that lead to hypertonus and overuse injury, motor relearning is more important than muscle strength exercise\(^{11}\). CRT controls unbalanced muscle tone and smoothly induces coordinated contraction when the body moves. Particularly because muscle tone control for both sides induces proper movement, CRT can be effective for relearning proper movements. Because inhalation increases feed-forward activation of the transversus abdominis prior to body movement\(^{27}\), relearning in recruitment of the transversus abdominis is induced by respiratory therapy, and the results in an increase in the stability of the lumbar region. Therefore, since CRT controls the stretching and tone of the core muscles, increases flexibility, induces smooth movement of joints, and improves the coordination of core muscles through relearning, it is very effective for correction of deformation and pain alleviation. In this study, the results showed that deformation decreased and pain was alleviated after 2 weeks of treatment. So, when CRT is applied along with core stability exercise, it is expected to ease the financial burden on patients receiving treatment for lumbar spine deformation and for alleviation of pain by reducing the duration and cost of treatment compared with core stability exercise alone, and compared with surgical intervention.

### Table 1. General characteristics of the subjects (unit)

| Variables               | CRT      | Exercise | Electrotherapy |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| Age (years)             | 34.2±11.2 | 35.2±11.6 | 34.6±11.6      |
| Alignment (°)           | 6.9±1.9  | 6.6±1.6  | 6.5±2.6        |
| VAS (score)             | 8.2±0.8  | 8.4±0.7  | 8.5±0.6        |

* Mean±SD. CRT: core muscle release technique

### Table 2. The changes in alignment and VAS in the CRT group, exercise group, and electrotherapy group (unit)

| Variables | CRT Before treatment | CRT After treatment | Exercise Before treatment | Exercise After treatment | Electrotherapy Before treatment | Electrotherapy After treatment |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Alignment (°) | 6.9±1.9 | 2.1±1.0 | 6.6±1.9 | 4.2±2.7 | 6.5±2.6 | 5.2±2.9 |
| VAS (score) | 8.2±0.8 | 1.4±0.5 | 8.4±0.7 | 5.8±0.7 | 8.5±0.6 | 7.0±0.7 |

* Mean±SD. CRT: core muscle release technique

### Table 3. Comparison of variations between the treatment methods for alignments and VAS (unit)

| Variables | CRT Before treatment | CRT After treatment | Exercise Before treatment | Exercise After treatment | Electrotherapy Before treatment | Electrotherapy After treatment |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Alignment (°) | 4.8±1.6 | 2.4±0.7B | 2.4±0.7B | 1.3±0.8 | 2.4±0.5B | 1.5±0.5 |
| VAS (score) | 6.8±0.9A | 2.6±1.0 | 2.6±1.0 | 1.5±0.5 | 2.6±1.0 | 1.5±0.5 |

* Mean±SD. CRT: core muscle release technique

\( p<0.05 \) by paired t-test

A: CRT>exercise & electrotherapy B: Exercise>electrotherapy
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