Thermodynamic Constraints on Smectite and Iron Oxide Formation at Gale Crater, Mars: Insights into Potential Free Energy from Aerobic Fe Oxidation in Lake Water–Groundwater Mixing Zone
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Abstract: The presence of saponite and iron oxides in Sheepbed mudstone of Yellowknife Bay at Gale crater on Mars is considered as evidence of a habitable fluvio-lacustrine environment for chemolithoautotrophy. However, the energetic availability for metabolic reactions is poorly constrained. Herein, we propose the possible mixing of surface water and groundwater that (i) explains the formation of magnetite and hematite detected in Sheepbed mudstone and (ii) may work as a potential habitable zone for aerobic Fe\(^{2+}\)-oxidizing microbes. Our thermodynamic modeling of water–rock reactions revealed that the formation of abundant saponite in Sheepbed mudstone may occur under various conditions of water-to-rock mass ratios, temperatures (5–200 °C), and initial fluid compositions. In contrast, the formation of iron oxides in the mudstone can be explained only by the mixing of Fe\(^{2+}\)-rich groundwater and more oxidized surface waters, where the Fe\(^{2+}\)-rich groundwater can be generated by the low-temperature water–rock reactions with a CO\(_2\)-bearing initial fluid. The calculated bioavailable energy of aerobic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation in the fluid-mixing zone on Mars is similar to that estimated for a fluid-mixing zone on Earth actually inhabited by aerobic Fe\(^{2+}\)-oxidizing microbes. The findings will contribute to a better understanding of potential habitability on Mars.
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1. Introduction

The search for microbial life on Mars—both past and present—is of significant interest in astrobiological research. In this regard, the identification of former presence of liquid water, which is a fundamental requirement for any known life, advanced our understanding about the potential habitability on Mars. The representative evidence of liquid water is the fluvial systems and the widespread presence of clay minerals in Noachian terrains. This evidence was obtained from geological, geochemical, and geomorphological analyses based on orbiting spacecraft [1,2]. On the ground on Mars, in contrast, the Mars Science Laboratory Rover Curiosity still conducts chemical and mineralogical analyses of various section of fluvio-lacustrine strata in Gale crater, and continuously provides further details about the water chemistry of ancient lakes and/or groundwater (e.g., neutral pH, low salinity, and redox-stratified water) [3,4]. Furthermore, the presence of bioessential elements such as phosphate, sulfur, and nitrogen as well as carbon compounds were detected from the sediments [5,6]. The evidence suggests that early Mars had environments favorable for microbial lives [3,4].

Concomitant with these explorations of Mars, microbial metabolisms favorable considering the geochemical gradients available on Mars were also proposed [7]. Examples are Fe\(^{2+}\)-oxidizing microbes [8], methanogens [9], sulfur-oxidizing microbes [10], and...
halophilic CO metabolizing microbes [11]. These considerations are mainly based on the microbial analyses of Mars-like terrestrial analog sites such as fluvio-lacustrine systems [12,13] and acidic environments [14]. Laboratory-based culturing experiments were also conducted under simulated Mars conditions [15,16]. These studies suggest the possibility of diverse metabolic reactions of microbial life on Mars.

Nevertheless, it is still uncertain whether the proposed microorganisms could gain energy in Gale crater on Mars. One of the main reasons for this uncertainty is the lack of quantitative water chemistry (e.g., lake water and groundwater), including the concentrations of specific elements (e.g., Fe$^{2+}$, H$_2$, and O$_2$) that can be used for microbial metabolic reactions. In this regard, a recent study reconstructed the possible solution compositions (e.g., Na$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Cl$^-$, and Fe$^{2+}$) of pore water in mudstone in Yellowknife Bay [17]. However, no works have quantitatively considered the water environments that generated a geologically sustainable chemical disequilibrium that is available for microbial metabolism. In contrast, in terrestrial settings where the chemical compositions of fluids (e.g., seawater, hydrothermal water, and groundwater) are well characterized, the calculations of free energy distribution in the water-mixing zone are a powerful tool to predict microbial abundances in the ecosystems [18–20]. Further, the estimated free energies on Earth are well consistent with the actual microbial communities estimated from DNA analyses [21,22]. Therefore, the thermodynamic estimation of various water compositions and the bioavailable energies in the fluid-mixing zone can be used for assessing whether there were energetically habitable environments on ancient Mars.

In this work, we propose the presence of a mixing zone between surface water and groundwater, which can explain the mineral assemblage of sediments observed in Gale crater and the potential habitability of the mixing zone. Our work is based on the water-rock reaction modeling and Gibbs free energy calculations. Sheepbed mudstone of Yellowknife Bay in Gale crater was considered as one of the representative areas to reconstruct an ancient lacustrine and habitable environment [3]. It is the first set of mudstones analyzed by Curiosity rover, and two drill samples (John Klein and Cumberland) collected from the mudstone provide a wealth of information about the mineralogical and compositional data of the ancient mudstone [3,5,23]. The mudstone has a basaltic bulk composition and consists of detrital mafic minerals, calcium sulfates, iron (hydr)oxides, trioctahedral smectites, and amorphous materials [3]. The most characteristic feature of Sheepbed mudstone is that it contains ~20 wt% of trioctahedral smectite and ~4.4 wt% magnetite—these contents are higher than those detected in nearby eolian deposits [5]. Therefore, we aimed to constrain the formation conditions of the smectite and magnetite (also hematite) and to assess the bioavailable energy based on the thermodynamically estimated compositions of various waters flowing into Gale crater. In the energy calculations, we evaluated the Gibbs free energy of aerobic Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation that was previously suggested for the Yellowknife Bay water environment [24].

2. Initial Conditions

2.1. Initial Rock Composition

Table 1 lists the chemical composition of the initial rock used in the thermodynamic calculations. The contents of Si, Al, Fe, Mg, Ca, Na, and K were obtained from the mean composition of Darwin-type conglomerates in Gale crater, which are considered to be the average composition of the igneous crust at Gale crater [25]. Cl and S were obtained from a Darwin-type conglomerate named “Burdin_Bluffs2_pebble”, since this rock is dust-free, and thus represents a more realistic composition of S and Cl in conglomerates [25]. The S (recalculated from SO$_3$) and Cl compositions are 1.79 wt% and 1.46 wt%, respectively. The oxygen fugacity ($f$O$_2$) that enables the rock to contain 1.79 wt% of S in basaltic melts is two units above fayalite–magnetite–quartz oxygen buffer line [26], and S is mainly present as SO$_2$ gas in this $f$O$_2$ [27]. We therefore recalculated the reported SO$_3$ values as SO$_2$ and used it as the initial composition. In the case of Cl, it is usually present in the basaltic melt and degases as HCl [28]. We therefore set HCl as the initial phase (Table 1).
Table 1. Chemical composition of the rock used in the modeling, as created based on the composition of Darwin-type conglomerates in Gale crater [25].

|         | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | FeO  | MgO  | CaO  | Na₂O | K₂O  | SO₂  | HCl  | Total |
|---------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
|         | 49.34| 13.15 | 15.45| 5.66 | 6.72 | 3.94 | 0.86 | 3.44 | 1.44 | 100   |

2.2. Initial Fluid Composition

We assumed that the ancient lake present in Gale crater was maintained by two water sources: river water and groundwater. Both types of water are considered to originate from rainfall and then chemically evolve through water–rock reactions.

In the case of water–rock reactions that control river water chemistry, we set two types of initial fluids (Case I and Case II, Table 2). Each fluid reflects different gas-bearing water, simulating two types of different atmospheric conditions that perhaps prevailed on early Mars. In both cases, the total pressure was fixed as 1.0 bar, based on a previous estimation that the atmospheric pressure at 4.0 Ga was around 1 bar on Mars [29]. Additionally, we hypothesized that early Mars had a CO₂-dominated atmosphere [30], which is similar to the present-day condition [31]. In addition to these assumptions, we considered 0.002 bar of O₂ and 0.100 bar of H₂ in both cases to represent O₂-bearing initial fluid and H₂-bearing initial fluid, respectively. The partial pressure of O₂ (pO₂) was estimated from the presence of MnO₂ in sedimentary rocks in Gale crater to be greater than or equal to ~2 mbar [32]. Therefore, we tentatively set 2 mbar of pO₂ as a possible oxidant present on early Mars, although the pO₂ estimated from the formation conditions of MnO₂ includes large uncertainties such as formation temperatures, coexisting elements, and the presence of other oxidants (e.g., perchlorates and nitrate) [32,33]. In contrast, the partial pressure of H₂ (pH₂) is based on the finding that the sole presence of CO₂ is not enough for liquid water to exist in a stable state and other greenhouse gasses such as H₂ (ca. 10% in the case of total pressure of 1 bar) is required [34]. An important feature of the water–rock reactions in the river, as well as its fundamental difference with the subsurface water–rock reactions, is that gas can be exchanged during the water–rock reactions. To reproduce this condition, the gas fugacities of CO₂, O₂, and H₂ were fixed at the initial value during the thermodynamic modeling of water–rock reactions (Table 2). The initial fluid temperature was fixed as 5 °C based on the assumption that early Mars had a cold environment [35].

Table 2. Initial fluid parameters used in the thermodynamic modeling. Two types of initial fluids (Case I-R, and II-R) are considered for river water, whereas three types of fluids (Case I-SG, II-SG, and III-DG) are considered for groundwater.

| Case | Initial Fluid                  | Type of Water | Gas Exchange during Water-Rock Reactions | Temperature (°C) | Case Code | Note                      |
|------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| I    | O₂-bearing water (O₂ 0.002 bar + CO₂ 0.998 bar) | River water   | YES                                    | 5                | I-R       | Simulating shallow groundwater |
|      |                                | Groundwater   | NO                                     | 5, 25, 100, 200  | I-SG      |                           |
| II   | H₂-bearing water (H₂ 0.1 bar + CO₂ 0.9 bar) | River water   | YES                                    | 5                | II-R      | Simulating shallow groundwater |
|      |                                | Groundwater   | NO                                     | 5, 25, 100, 200  | II-SG     |                           |
| III  | Gas free water                | Groundwater   | NO                                     | 5, 25, 100, 200  | III-DG    | Simulating deep groundwater |

In the case of the water–rock reactions that control groundwater chemistry and subsurface secondary mineral assemblage, we set one more type of initial fluid (Case III in Table 2) in addition to Case I and Case II. Case I and Case II represent the O₂-bearing and H₂-bearing initial fluids, respectively, where the pO₂ and pH₂ are the same as those explained previously. Fluids infiltrating into the surface of the rock contain atmospheric gas, and therefore Case I and Case II represent groundwater and secondary mineral assemblage in the shallow subsurface zone. In the case of fluid that percolates through the deeper subsurface, however, the composition of the initial fluid may differ from that of
shallow fluids because the gas can be consumed by mineral precipitation. We considered
gas-free water with a pH of 7 in Case III. In the case of subsurface water–rock reactions,
post-impact hydrothermal activity could increase the temperature of the initial fluid [36].
Although such hydrothermal activities have not been suggested for Yellowknife Bay, we
assumed 5, 25, 100, and 200 °C for initial fluid temperatures to consider the possible effect
of hydrothermal activities in Gale crater.

3. Modeling Method

The thermodynamic water–rock reaction modeling was conducted using software
package EQ3/6, version 8.0 [37]. The thermodynamic database required for the calcu-
lations was assembled using SUPCRT92 [38], which comprises thermodynamic data for
minerals, aqueous species, and complexes taken from previous works [39–43], and cal-
culated using the estimation techniques of Wilson et al. (2006) [44]. Activity coefficients
dissolved inorganic species were calculated using the B-dot activity model, while the
activity coefficients were assumed to be unity for neutral aqueous and gaseous species,
except for those for CO$_2$. The temperature-dependent activity coefficient for CO$_2$ was taken
from the empirical relationship [45]. The solid solution in the model included carbonate
(magnesite–calcite–siderite), serpentine (chrysotile–greenalite), and Mg-Fe substitution
for all saponite (saponites including in Na, K, Ca, Mg, and Fe in the layer). The details of
secondary minerals and amorphous phases considered in the thermodynamic reactions
are listed in Table A1 in Appendix A. Note that the formation of chlorite was suppressed
in our calculation because the formation of chlorite and saponite appears competitive
while the formation of chlorite is kinetically much slower than saponite especially at low
temperatures [46].

Mineral–fluid equilibria at each temperature with each initial fluid was calculated at
a water-to-rock mass ratio (W/R) of 0.1–5000. Each thermodynamic modeling consists
of three steps. First, 1 kg of initial fluid (O$_2$-bearing, H$_2$-bearing, or gas-free fluid) is
specified at 25 °C. In the second step, the initial fluid is heated or cooled to the desired
temperature. The solid reactants, up to a maximum amount of 10 kg (W/R = 0.1), are
added in increments to the fluid at the fixed temperature, while the equilibrium between
fluid and rock is calculated in each increment.

The bioavailable free energy of aerobic Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation in the fluid-mixing zone was
determined by considering the following Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation reactions

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + 0.25\text{O}_2 + 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{FeOOH (goethite)} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (1)$$

$$3\text{Fe}^{2+} + 0.5\text{O}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 (\text{magnetite}) + 6\text{H}^+ \quad (2)$$

and calculating the Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G_r$) of each of the reactions as follows:

$$\Delta G_r = \Delta G_r^0 + RT \ln Q_r \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta G_r^0$, $R$, $T$, $Q$ are standard free energy, universal gas constant, temperature (K), and
activity quotient of the compounds involved in the reaction. The values of the standard
Gibbs energy ($\Delta G_r^0$) for the redox reaction were calculated by SUPCRT 92 [38] with a
customized thermodynamic database described above. The free energy per kilogram
of mixed fluid was obtained by multiplying the $\Delta G_r$ obtained from the reaction by the
concentration of the limiting reactant, taking reaction stoichiometry into account. We also
calculated the free energy per kilogram of groundwater by multiplying energy/kg of the
mixed fluid by the total amount of mixed fluid.
4. Results and Discussion

4.1. River Water Chemistry and Secondary Mineral Assemblage

4.1.1. Models with O2-Bearing Fluid (Case I-R)

When the rock reacted with O2-bearing initial fluid under gas exchangeable conditions, nontronite, kaolinite, and quartz are suggested as the dominant secondary phases for all the modeled W/R (Figure 1a). In addition, carbonate formation is suggested at W/R between 0.1 and 100; magnesite and calcite are the dominant carbonate solid solution at W/R between 0.1 and 1.6, whereas calcite dominated the carbonate solid solution at W/R > 1.6. Nontronite (Fe$^{3+}$ smectite) is considered as the main sink of Fe. Note that quartz can be replaced by amorphous SiO$_2$ (or other SiO$_2$-rich phyllosilicate) if quartz formation is suppressed. However, the differences in SiO$_2$-rich materials do not largely influence the fluid compositions.

The river water is rich in Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ at low W/R of 0.1–10. In addition to these ions, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and ΣCO$_2$ are increasingly abundant at W/R > 10. The pH of the river water is slightly acidic to neutral (4.7–7.1).

4.1.2. Models with H2-Bearing Fluid (Case: II-R)

The secondary minerals are dominated by carbonate, quartz, and kaolinite over a wide range of W/R. An equal amount of siderite, magnesite, and calcite comprise the carbonate solid solution at W/R = 0.1, and the siderite fraction becomes the dominant carbonate species with increasing W/R.

The river water is rich in Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, and ΣCO$_2$ throughout the modeled W/R. In addition to these ions, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ become dominant ions at W/R > 100. The pH of the river water is slightly acidic to alkaline (5.0–8.7).
4.2. Groundwater Chemistry and Secondary Mineral Assemblage

4.2.1. Models with O$_2$-Bearing Initial Fluid (Case I-SG: Shallow Groundwater)

In the case of subsurface water–rock reactions, there is a strong variability in the secondary mineral assemblages as a function of W/R, especially at low temperatures (5 and 25 °C). This fact is in contrast to the surface water–rock reactions that showed little variability in mineral assemblages throughout the modeled W/R.

At 5 °C, secondary mineral assemblages are divided into three types on the basis of W/R: low, intermediate, and high. At low W/R (0.1–10), secondary mineral assemblages are characterized by the dominance of saponite and beidellite, with minor abundances (<10 wt%) of serpentine, anhydrite, portlandite, and carbonate (Figure 2a).

The Fe/(Mg+Fe) ratio of saponite ranges from 0.72 to 0.86 (Figure A1 in Appendix A), suggesting the formation of Fe-rich saponite. Serpentine and carbonate solid solutions are dominantly present as chrysotile and calcite, respectively. The groundwater at this W/R is enriched in Cl$^-$, Na$^+$, NaHSiO$_3$(aq), and Ca$^{2+}$, and the pH is alkaline (~12.2 to 13.1).

At intermediate W/R (10–100), 5 °C, secondary mineral assemblages are characterized by the dominance of nontronite, kaolinite, carbonate, and quartz with minor abundances of serpentine (Figure 2a). At this W/R, two characteristic features can be found. One is that Fe$^{3+}$ phase of smectite (i.e., nontronite) is the most dominant clay in the system, which is in contrast to the dominance of Fe$^{2+}$ phase of smectite (Fe-rich saponite) at low W/R. The formation of nontronite is correlated with the generation of H$_2$ (data not shown), suggesting that H$_2$O reduction coupled to Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation occurs at this W/R (10–100). Previous thermodynamic and experimental studies suggest that pH is the key parameter controlling the fraction of dioctahedral and trioctahedral phyllosilicate; dioctahedral clay is formed at more acidic conditions whereas trioctahedral clay is formed at more alkaline conditions [47]. This feature also explains change in dominant smectite with W/R (Figure 2a); saponite and nontronite are the dominant smectite at low and intermediate W/R, respectively, because pH is more acidic at intermediate W/R compared to that at low W/R. The other characteristic feature is the significant change in carbonate solid-solution compositions within a narrow W/R range. The dominant carbonate solid solution is calcite at W/R of 10–50, but the dominant form is magnesite at W/R of 50–75, and then siderite becomes the dominant form at W/R > 75. Those significant changes in solid-solution composition are reflected in the changes of Mg$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations in groundwater; the concentration of Mg$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ showed significant increases when magnesite and siderite start to form. The groundwater at intermediate W/R is neutral to alkaline pH (7–12) and enriched in Na$^+$, ΣCO$_2$, and Cl$^-$.

At high W/R (100–2000), 5 °C, secondary mineral assemblages are characterized by the dominance of quartz, kaolinite, and carbonate (Figure 2a). The characteristic feature of this W/R is that the groundwater is highly enriched in ΣCO$_2$, Fe$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, and Ca$^{2+}$. The pH is slightly acidic to neutral (5.4–6.6).

When temperatures increase to 25 °C, 100 °C, 200 °C, the secondary mineral assemblages and groundwater chemistries showed several differences compared to those at 5 °C. In the mineral phase, one of the significant changes observed is that the W/R range where saponite + beidellite are dominantly formed extends to further higher W/R with increasing temperature (Figure 2a–d). For example, the formations of saponite and beidellite are dominated at W/R of 0.1–40 at 25 °C (Figure 2b), but their formations extend to W/R of 0.1–100 at 100 °C (Figure 2c). Furthermore, the W/R at which nontronite is the dominant secondary phase extends to higher and wider ranges at 25 °C and 100 °C, compared to those for its formation temperature of 5 °C. The other difference is that hematite and diaspore, which are not expected at 5 °C, 25 °C, and 100 °C, are formed as minor phases at 200 °C and at high W/R (>1000) (Figure 2d). Therefore, hematite and diaspore formations occur only at high temperatures. In the groundwater phase, the concentration of dissolved ion decreased with increasing temperature. Especially, the decrease in Mg$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and ΣCO$_2$ with increasing temperature is remarkable at high W/R ranges.
Figure 2. Secondary mineral assemblage, ion concentrations in groundwater, and pH as a function of water-to-mass ratio (W/R) when rock reacted with O$_2$-bearing initial fluid (Case I-SG) at temperatures of (a) 5 °C; (b) 25 °C; (c) 100 °C; and (d) 200 °C. Gas fugacities were not fixed during water–rock reactions.
4.2.2. Models with H$_2$-Bearing Initial Fluid (Case II-SG: Shallow Groundwater)

The secondary mineral assemblages and groundwater compositions when rock reacted with H$_2$-bearing initial fluid are almost the same as those suggested from O$_2$-bearing fluids at 5–200 °C (Figures 2a–d and 3a–d). In particular, mineral assemblage at W/R of 0.1–100 is completely the same as those suggested from O$_2$-bearing initial fluid. Slight differences observed are limited to high W/R, for example, a higher amount of nontronite formed at 25 °C when rock reacted with H$_2$-bearing fluid. These results suggest that the pCO$_2$, rather than pH$_2$ and pH$_2$ in the initial fluid, plays an important role in controlling the secondary mineral assemblage and groundwater composition, at least within our modeled pH$_2$ and pO$_2$.
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**Figure 3.** Secondary mineral assemblages when rock reacted with H$_2$-bearing initial fluid (Case II-SG) at temperatures of (a) 5 °C; (b) 25 °C; (c) 100 °C; and (d) 200 °C. Gas fugacities were not fixed during the water–rock reactions. The results are almost completely the same as those obtained from O$_2$-bearing initial fluid.

4.2.3. Models with Gas-Free Initial Fluid (Case III-DG: Deep Groundwater)

In deep subsurface layers where gas-free initial fluid reacts with rock at 5 °C, the secondary phase is dominated by Fe-rich saponite throughout the modeled W/R (Figure 4a). In addition, beidellite or kaolinite is suggested as the second most abundant phase at W/R of 0.1–50 and 50–5000, respectively. Serpentine is present as a minor phase and mainly comprises chrysotile. Groundwater is alkaline (pH 11–13) and enriched in Na$^+$, NaHSiO$_3$(aq), Cl$^-$, and Ca$^{2+}$. 

When the temperatures increase to 25 °C, 100 °C, and 200 °C, the overall results of a Fe-rich saponite-dominated assemblage do not change (Figure 4b–d). However, the amount of Fe-rich saponite increases with increasing temperature, which is probably due to
the fact that the formation of less serpentine or the absence of serpentine (mainly chrysotile) at high temperature results in a higher distribution of Mg in saponite.

The secondary mineral assemblages and water chemistries suggested from the gas-free initial water did not show significant difference from those suggested from O$_2$-/H$_2$-bearing fluid, at low W/R (0.1–100). This is because the amount of carbonate, which usually formed in the case of the O$_2$-/H$_2$-bearing fluid, is not abundant at low W/R, and the absence of carbonate from the gas-free initial fluid does not result in a significant difference in the secondary mineral assemblage and groundwater chemistry. At intermediate to high W/R, in contrast, the secondary mineral assemblage suggested from the gas-free initial fluid is quite different from that suggested from the O$_2$-/H$_2$-bearing initial fluid. This is because carbonate is the dominant secondary phase in the O$_2$-/H$_2$-bearing initial fluid, and the absence of this phase significantly changes both the mineral and water conditions. The dominance of Fe-rich saponite and absence of carbonate from the gas-free initial fluid results in a negligible amount of Fe$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$ in the groundwater, which is in contrast to the dominance of these ions suggested from the O$_2$-/H$_2$-bearing initial fluid; also, pH is more alkaline in the case of gas-free water than that suggested from the O$_2$-/H$_2$-bearing initial fluid.

4.3. Potential Physicochemical Condition for Saponite Formation in Gale Crater

Smectite is one of the most abundant clay minerals detected in Sheepbed mudstone of Yellowknife Bay [5], which is likely formed due to low-temperature chemical weathering of rocks [23]. The detailed X-ray diffraction analyses suggest that ferrian (Fe$^{3+}$) saponite is the main phase of smectite [24,48]. However, it may also be that ferrian saponite is originally present as ferrous saponite but is oxidized over time [17,49].

If ferrous saponite is the main smectite phase when Sheepbed mudstone was formed, the formation of this phase was explained by a wide range of W/R, temperatures, and initial rock compositions such as olivine, glass, Martian meteorite (ALH84001), and basaltic rock (Mazatzal basalt) [50–52]. In addition to these studies, our study, using igneous composition as an initial rock, revealed the formation of Fe-rich saponite by subsurface water–rock reactions (Figures 2–4). In contrast, the formation of saponite is not suggested for the surface water–rock reactions, irrespective of the gas species in the initial fluids (Figure 1). These results suggest that the water–rock reactions at “subsurface” where gas exchange is limited is important to explain saponite formation in Sheepbed mudstone of Yellowknife Bay.

In the subsurface water–rock reactions, however, Fe-rich saponite formation can occur under various conditions of W/R (0.1–5000), temperatures (5 °C–200 °C), and initial fluid compositions (O$_2$-bearing, H$_2$-bearing, and gas-free fluids) in our calculations (Figures 2–4). In addition, Fe-rich saponite is the most dominant secondary mineral phase, as is consistent with the previous thermodynamic calculations [50]. Such an abundant formation of Fe-rich saponite well account for the dominant presence of saponite in Sheepbed mudstone of Yellowknife Bay. If we consider low-temperature water–rock reactions at ca. 5 °C, the formation of Fe-rich saponite is explained at a W/R of 0.1–10 (Figure 2a). Furthermore, considering that carbonate fraction in Gale crater is less than 1 wt% [53], the water–rock reactions at W/R less than 1.4 can explain the scarcity of carbonate. Such W/R can be achieved under various subsurface conditions in natural systems. It is therefore possible that Fe-rich saponite is formed through subsurface water–rock reactions near the rim of Gale crater and supplied to mudstone during erosion in Gale (Figure 5) [52]. However, it is also possible that the formation of Fe-rich saponite has an autochthonous origin (e.g., diagenesis) [5,35].
cold environment [23] and a dense CO₂ atmosphere [30], indicate that early Mars would have been favorable for generating high Fe²⁺-containing groundwater, and thus, it had the potential to precipitate iron oxides in the fluid-mixing zone.

4.4. Potential Physicochemical Condition for Hematite and Magnetite Formation

In addition to saponite, iron oxides such as magnetite and hematite detected in Sheepbed mudstone are considered as authigenic origin [3,23]. The low values of chemical index of alteration (CIA) in this mudstone suggests that iron oxides formed by diagenesis under the conditions of low-temperature, circumneutral, and low W/R [23]. Indeed, previous thermodynamic calculations showed the formation of magnetite and hematite even at low temperatures (e.g., 10 °C) and the fraction of magnetite formed increases with increasing temperatures [50]. In contrast to the previous studies, our thermodynamic modeling cannot explain the formation of magnetite at low W/R and temperature > 200 °C. A significant difference between our and the previous thermodynamic calculations is the Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ ratios in the initial solid phases; the sole presence of FeO is assumed in this study, whereas both FeO + Fe₂O₃ are considered in the previous studies [50,52]. Therefore, it should be first noted that the formation condition of iron oxides depends on the initial Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ condition. However, our thermodynamic calculations revealed that the iron oxides can be formed even if Fe(III) is not included in the original rock.

Our thermodynamic calculations with various conditions of temperature and initial fluid compositions numerically provide two possible conditions for iron oxide formation. The first one is the water–rock reactions at high temperature (>200 °C) and high W/R (>1000), which causes a coincidence with Fe-rich saponite (Figure 2d). However, the absence of chlorite and illite in Sheepbed mudstone, which usually require alteration temperatures of ~60 °C to 80 °C, suggests that the mudstone did not experience high-temperature water–rock reactions [5]. Moreover, given the geothermal gradient of approximately 20 K/km on early Mars [54], the water–rock reactions at 200 °C corresponds to a depth of 10 km below the surface (assuming a surface temperature of 0 °C). Achieving water–rock reactions at high W/R (>1000) at this depth would be geologically unrealistic.

The other possibility is the formation of iron oxides in the fluid-mixing zone where the O₂-bearing surface water encountered Fe²⁺-rich groundwater. The formation of iron oxides (e.g., ferrihydrite, goethite, and magnetite) in such a fluid-mixing zone is quite common in terrestrial settings, including seafloor hydrothermal vents and groundwater discharge.
zones [55]. The possible existence of fluid-mixing zone is indeed suggested in Gale lake, though at a different stratum (Murray formation) [4]. Based on our results, however, one of the most characteristic features of this scenario is that water–rock reactions at lower temperatures and high W/R are more preferable for generating a high concentration of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) in groundwater (Figure 2a–d): the greater the decrease in the reaction temperature, the higher is the \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) concentration in groundwater. In addition, high \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) concentration in groundwater is suggested only when the rock reacted with CO\(_2\)-bearing fluids (Figure 2); the dissolved \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) is negligible throughout the examined temperature and W/R when rock reacted with gas-free fluid (Figure 4). These results, combined with the proposed cold environment [23] and a dense CO\(_2\) atmosphere [30], indicate that early Mars would have been favorable for generating high \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \)-containing groundwater, and thus, it had the potential to precipitate iron oxides in the fluid-mixing zone.

To further examine the possibility of iron oxides formation in the fluid-mixing zone, we discuss the potential mineral precipitation based on two thermodynamic constraints on minerals; the saturation indices (SI) in the mixing zone when mineral precipitation is suppressed and the secondary mineral assemblages when mineral–fluid equilibrium is achieved. The fluid compositions of the two fluids used for the calculations are listed in Table 3. In the modeling, we assumed that ancient lakes in Gale crater were sustained dominantly by rivers [56], and thus we simply used the composition of river water generated by reactions between rock and the O\(_2\)-bearing initial fluid. The W/R of river water is unknown but temporarily fixed as 3000 because the water–rock reactions between flowing river water and the river basement generally proceed at high W/R. The groundwater composition is taken from the modeling results of reactions between rock and the O\(_2\)-bearing initial fluid at W/R of 1000 and 5°C.

### Table 3. Calculated chemical composition of groundwater and river water that assumed to have been present in Gale. The values are calculated by thermodynamic calculations simulating water–rock reactions.

|                    | Groundwater | River Water |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Initial fluid      | I-SG        | I-R         |
| W/R                | 1000        | 3000        |
| T (°C)             | 5           | 5           |
| pH                 | 5.6         | 4.9         |
| Na                 | 1.4         | 0.42        |
| K                  | 0.20        | 0.061       |
| Ca                 | 1.3         | 0.40        |
| Mg                 | 1.5         | 0.41        |
| Fe                 | 0.87        | 1.4 \times 10^{-8} |
| S                  | 0.42        | 0.18        |
| Cl                 | 0.43        | 0.13        |
| \( \Sigma \)CO\(_2\) | 64          | 67          |
| SiO\(_2\)          | 0.033       | 0.033       |

Concentrations in mmolal.

The results of calculated SI as a function of lake water-to-groundwater ratio are shown in Figure 6a. The SI values of nontronite, iron oxides, kaolinite, gibbsite, and quartz were higher than 1 under a wide range of lake water-to-groundwater ratios, suggesting that the mixed fluid is saturated in these minerals. Especially, nontronite and iron oxides are highly saturated in the following order, when the lake water-to-groundwater ratio is 1: (Fe-, Mg-, Ca-) nontronite > hematite > K-nontronite > magnetite > goethite > bernalite. These results suggest that both magnetite and hematite are included in candidates for the minerals actually precipitated in the fluid-mixing zone.
Furthermore, the kinetic effect is an important factor involved in the mineral precipitation, and therefore, the order of SI calculated do not necessarily match the exact phases observed in natural systems. For example, the fluid of the weathered volcanic ash deposits on Earth (Sakurajima volcanic, Japan) are saturated with cristobalite, amorphous Al(OH)$_3$, and ferrihydrite, and significantly oversaturated with kaolinite, montmorillonite, and “nontronite”; however, only “ferrihydrite”, cristobalite, and poorly ordered Al-Si-Fe minerals were detected in the deposit [57]. Therefore, it is highly possible that ferrihydrite precipitated first and then eventually transformed into hematite or magnesite on Mars. In addition, our additional thermodynamic calculations simulating an equilibrium fluid mixing (mineral precipitation allowed) indicate the dominant formation of hematite in a wide range of lake water-to-groundwater mixing ratio (Figure 6b) even though the SI of hematite is lower than those of nontronite in the earlier calculations (Figure 6a). This suggests that the fluid mixing zone had the sufficient capacity to form a large amount of iron oxide.

To understand the dependence of SI in fluid mixing zone on atmospheric $p$O$_2$, we additionally calculated SI of minerals in fluid mixing zone using two more different $p$O$_2$: 20 mbar and 0.01 mbar. The 0.01 mbar of $p$O$_2$ is within the range of tropospheric $p$O$_2$ suggested from the photochemical model [58]. In contrast, 20 mbar of $p$O$_2$ is not proposed previously; we temporarily used this value as the case that the early Mars could have highly oxidizing conditions. The $W/R$ of the water–rock reactions that generate lake water and groundwater are the same as those used for 2 mbar $p$O$_2$ (3000 for lake water and 1000 for groundwater, respectively). The results suggest that SI values decrease with decreasing $p$O$_2$ (Figures 6a and A2). However, SI values of hematite and goethite are higher than 1 in a wide range of lake water-to-groundwater mixing ratios even under the condition of 0.01 mbar $p$O$_2$. These results suggest that the formation of iron oxides in fluid mixing zone can be explained not only by the $p$O$_2$ inferred from the stability of MnO$_2$ formation [32] but also those predicted by the photochemical reactions (of course also explained by highly O$_2$-enriched atmosphere).

Therefore, the formation of hematite and magnetite observed in the Sheepbed mudstone can be explained also by the fluid-mixing between O$_2$-bearing lake water and Fe-rich groundwater. However, we note that processes such as reaction with other oxidants (e.g., H$_2$O$_2$, chloride, photooxidation, and sulfate) [59] and alteration of Fe(II)/Fe(III)-bearing minerals could also contribute to the formation of iron oxides [50,60]. This study simply proposes a fluid mixing zone as an additional geological process for iron oxide formation, based on the thermodynamic calculations. Although the generation of the Fe-rich groundwater requires high $W/R$ (>1000) even underground, such high $W/R$ could be presumably achieved in the specific geological setting such as relatively large underground water veins and subterranean caves below/near Gale crater (Figure 5). On Earth, for example, it is well known that seafloor hydrothermal venting generates a huge hydrothermal
plume (occasionally expanding to several thousands of kilometers away in horizontal distance) [61], indicating that fluid mixing is not exclusive to local process depending on inflow rate of groundwater. Therefore, it is possible that the chemical precipitation due to the fluid mixing was recorded as minerals (e.g., iron oxides) in sediments throughout the lake. In this case, the sediments in Yellowknife Bay would have occurred as a relatively homogeneous mixture of terrigenous minerals and chemical precipitates possibly with sedimentation textures, which is consistent with the occurrence of smectite (e.g., saponite) and iron oxides in Sheepbed mudstone.

If this is the case, such geological processes could occur even after the sedimentation of Sheepbed mudstone in Yellowknife Bay. Indeed, iron oxide (hematite and magnetite) possibly formed at the redox interface between anoxic and oxic waters are detected in other overlying iron-rich strata, such as Murray formation [4]. Therefore, the fluid-mixing model can be applicable not only to Sheepbed mudstone but also other strata bearing iron oxides.

4.5. Available Free Energy in the Lake Water–Groundwater Mixing Zone

The formation of magnetite and hematite in the fluid-mixing zone in Gale crater provides an important insight into the habitability of early Mars. That is, the Fe$^{2+}$ present in the groundwater can be the potential energy source for life. In terrestrial fluid-mixing zones where Fe$^{2+}$-rich anoxic groundwater mixes with the oxygenated surface water (via, for, e.g., hydrothermal vents and groundwater discharge areas), chemolithoautotrophic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing microbes are one of the most common primary producers in the microbial ecosystem; they significantly contribute to the iron oxide precipitation, carbon fixation, and the ecosystem functioning [62,63]. In this study, we considered the energy availability through aerobic Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation in the possible lake water–groundwater mixing zone in early Gale crater.

The fluid compositions used in the energy calculations are the same as those listed in Table 3. For the comparison of bioavailable energy in fluid-mixing zone between Mars and Earth, we also conducted free energy calculations for a pond-water–groundwater mixing zone on Earth (Budo-pond, Hiroshima, Japan), where the dominance of aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing microbes was confirmed by DNA analysis [64–67]. The free energy per kilogram of mixed fluid on Mars and Earth are shown in Figure 7a,b, respectively. On Mars, aerobic Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation can achieve the maximum energy of 1.54 J/kg mixed fluid at a lake water-to-groundwater ratio of 20 in the case of Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing magnetite formation. This energy value does not significantly change even if the Fe$^{2+}$ in groundwater is increased to 1.04 mmol/kg (maximum energy: 1.55 J/kg mixed fluid, Figure A3) or decreased to 0.024 mmol/kg (maximum energy: 0.92 J/kg mixed fluid, Figure A3). The results suggest that ca. 1.5 J/kg mixed fluid is the maximum energy available at fluid mixing zone on Mars. In contrast, the maximum energy obtained from the fluid-mixing zone on Earth is 48 J/kg mixed fluid, which is 32 times that on Mars. This is mainly because the assumed Martian $pO_2$ in this study (2 mbar) is two orders of magnitude lower than that on Earth, resulting in the much lower concentration of limiting reactant (O$_2$) of the metabolic reaction on Mars. These results suggest that fluid-mixing zone on Mars cannot provide as much energy as on Earth. However, the area with maximum free energy does not necessarily correspond to the areas actually inhabited by aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing microbes. Aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing bacteria prefer microaerophilic conditions (O$_2$(aq) below 10 µM) because they compete with kinetically-fast abiotic oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$ [62,68]. In the Budo-pond in Hiroshima, indeed, the bioavailable energy at the fluid mixing ratio where O$_2$(aq) concentration is around 10 µM was estimated to be 5.6 J/kg mixed fluid when Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing goethite formation is considered (blue dotted line in Figure 7b), which is comparable to those calculated for a seawater–hydrothermal fluid-mixing zone actually inhabited by aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing microbes [21]. The calculated bioavailable energy on Earth is similar to that on Mars (1.5 J/kg mixed fluid) in the order of magnitude. Therefore, the fluid-mixing zone on early Mars may have had the potential to provide sufficient energy for aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing microbes.
Bioavailable energy (J/kg mixed fluid) from aerobic Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-oxidizing goethite and magnetite formation as a function of surface water and groundwater water mixing ratio (a) on Mars and (b) on Earth (Budo-pond, Hiroshima, Japan). The blue dotted line in (b) highlights the fluid mixing zone actually inhabited by aerobic Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-oxidizing microbes.

Next, we discuss the total bioavailable energy per kilogram of groundwater that has a positive correlation with the biomass potential sustained in the mixing zone. The maximum potential energy availability on Mars is about 82 J/kg of groundwater at a lake water-to-groundwater ratio of 70 in the case of Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-oxidizing magnetite formation (Figure 8). This maximum bioavailable energy is higher than that in terrestrial groundwater discharge zone (Budo pond, Japan, Figure 8). Therefore, potential microbial abundance in Gale crater may have been similar to or slightly higher than that in the terrestrial on-land pond if groundwater influx is also identical to each other. In terrestrial seafloor hydrothermal systems, the available energies of most metabolic reactions were much higher than the maximum potential energy availability on Mars. For example, energy available from aerobic Fe\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation in the peridotite-hosted hydrothermal vent system (Rainbow) was estimated to be approximately 2000 J/kg vent fluid at low-temperatures (~5 °C) [19]. In basalt-hosted systems, the sulfide oxidation shows the highest available energy with a value of ~5700 J/kg vent fluid (~5 °C) among major metabolic reactions [19]. Accordingly, the potential microbial abundances in Gale crater may have been much lower than those in terrestrial seafloor hydrothermal systems.

To understand the dependence of bioavailable energy on pO\textsubscript{2}, we additionally consider 20 mbar, 0.2 mbar, and 0.01 mbar of pO\textsubscript{2}. The assumed W/R of water–rock reactions that generate lake water and groundwater are the same as those considered for the case of 2 mbar pO\textsubscript{2} (3000 and 1000, respectively). As a result, the maximum bioavailable energies were estimated to be 15.4, 1.5, 0.15, and 0.007 J/kg mixed fluid at pO\textsubscript{2} of 20 mbar, 2 mbar, 0.2 mbar, and 0.01 mbar, respectively (Figure A4 in Appendix A). The results suggest a decrease of bioavailable energy with decreasing pO\textsubscript{2}. Because the bioavailable energies on Earth where aerobic Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-oxidizing microbes are actually living are in the order of several J/kg mixed fluid as discussed in the previous section, at least a few mbar of pO\textsubscript{2} level may be required for sustaining microbial activity of Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-oxidizing microbes on early Mars.
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Figure 8. Bioavailable energy (J/kg groundwater) of aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing magnetite formation as a function of surface water-to-groundwater mixing ratio.

Although the calculations of bioavailable free energies focused on aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing microbes in this study, there are many other candidate metabolic reactions for early Mars (e.g., aerobic S oxidizing microbes, methanogens, and Mn-oxidizing microbes). Further studies considering other redox reactions and various geological settings on Mars will provide a more comprehensive view of energetics-based Mars habitability, which may answer questions about not only the metabolic reactions that yield the largest bioavailable energy on early Mars but also the location and mineral that is the best target for detecting evidence of microbial life on Mars.
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### Appendix A

Table A1. Phases considered in the water–rock reaction modeling.

| Phase                          | Chemical Formula |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Alunite                       | KA_3(OH)_3(SO_4)_2 |
| Anhydrite                     | CaSO_4           |
| Aragonite                     | CaCO_3           |
| Artinite                      | MgCO_3(OH)_2 · 3H_2O |
| Bernalite                     | Fe(OH)_3         |
| Boehmite                      | Al(OH)_3         |
| Brucite                       | Mg(OH)_2         |
| Ca-beidellite                 | Ca_0.175Mg_0.35Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Ca-Fe-saponite                | Ca_0.175Fe_0.525Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Ca-montmorillonite            | Ca_0.175Mg_0.35Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Ca-nontronite                 | Ca_0.175Fe_0.525Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Ca-saponite                   | Ca_0.175Mg_0.35Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Calcite                       | CaCO_3           |
| Chalcedony                    | SiO_2            |
| Chrysotile                    | SiO_2            |
| Cristobalite                  | SiO_2            |
| Diaspore                      | SiO_2            |
| Fe(OH)_2                      | Fe(OH)_2         |
| Fe^-^-beidellite              | Fe_0.175Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Fe^(+^+)-Fe-saponite          | Fe_0.175Mg_0.35Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Fe^(+^+)-montmorillonite      | Fe_0.175Mg_0.35Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Fe^(+^+)-nontronite           | Fe_0.175Mg_0.35Al_0.35Si_3.65O_{10}(OH)_2 |
| Gibbsite                      | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Goethite                      | SiO_2            |
| Greenalite                    | SiO_2            |
| Halite                        | NaCl             |
| Hematite                      | Fe_2O_3          |
| Huntite                       | CaMg_5(CO_3)_4   |
| Hydromagnesite                | Mg_6(OH)_2(CO_3)_4·4H_2O |
| K-beidellite                  | K_2Fe_3Si_3O_9(OH)_2 |
| K-Fe-saponite                 | K_2Fe_3Si_3O_9(OH)_2 |
| K-montmorillonite             | K_2Fe_3Si_3O_9(OH)_2 |
| K-norontite                   | K_2Fe_3Si_3O_9(OH)_2 |
| K-saponite                    | K_2Fe_3Si_3O_9(OH)_2 |
| Kaolinite                     | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Magnesite                     | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Magnetite                     | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Mg-beidellite                 | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Mg-Fe-saponite                | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Mg-montmorillonite            | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Mg-nontronite                 | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Mg-saponite                   | MgSi_3O_6(OH)_4  |
| Na-beidellite                 | Na_2Si_2O_5(OH)_2 |
| Na-Fe-saponite                | Na_2Si_2O_5(OH)_2 |
| Na-montmorillonite            | Na_2Si_2O_5(OH)_2 |
| Na-nontronite                 | Na_2Si_2O_5(OH)_2 |
| Na-saponite                   | Na_2Si_2O_5(OH)_2 |
| Portlandite                   | Ca(OH)_2         |
| Pyrite                        | FeS              |
| Pyrrhotite                    | FeS              |
| Quartz                        | SiO_2            |
| Saponite                      | Mg_4Si_6O_15(HO_2)(H_2O)_2 · 4H_2O |
| Siderite                      | Al_2SiO_5        |
| Sillimanite                   | SiO_2            |
| SiO_2(amoorphous)             | SiO_2            |
| Sulfur                        | SiO_2            |
**Figure A1.** Mole fractions of saponite as a function of water-to-mass ratio when rock reacted with O$_2$-bearing initial fluid (Case I-SG) at 5 °C.

**Figure A2.** Mineral saturation indices when lake water and groundwater mixed under various lake water-to-groundwater mixing ratio at pO$_2$ of (a) 20 mbar and (b) 0.01 mbar.

**Figure A3.** Bioavailable energy (J/kg mixed fluid) from aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing magnetite formation at different groundwater chemistries (Fe$^{2+}$ = 1.04, 0.87, 0.024 mmol/kg).
Figure A4. Bioavailable energy (J/kg mixed fluid) from aerobic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing magnetite formation at pO$_2$ of 0.01, 0.2, 2, and 20 mbar.
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