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Abstract: Reconfigurable photonic circuits have applications ranging from next-generation computer architectures to quantum networks, coherent radar and optical metamaterials. Here, we demonstrate an on-chip high quality microcavity with resonances that can be electrically tuned across a full free spectral range (FSR). FSR tuning allows resonance with any source or emitter, or between any number of networked microcavities. We achieve it by integrating nanoelectronic actuation with strong optomechanical interactions that create a highly geometry-dependent effective refractive index. This allows low voltages and sub-nanowatt power consumption. We demonstrate a basic reconfigurable photonic network, bringing the microcavity into resonance with an arbitrary mode of a microtoroidal optical cavity across a telecommunications fibre link. Our results have applications beyond photonic circuits, including widely tuneable integrated lasers, reconfigurable optical filters for telecommunications and astronomy, and on-chip sensor networks.

© 2018 Optical Society of America under the terms of the OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement

1. Introduction

Dynamically reconfigurable photonic circuits are expected to have a rich variety of applications. For instance, enabling high-bandwidth optical interconnects and memories in next generation computer architectures [1,2], chip-based quantum networks [3–5], and on-chip coherent radar and microwave communication systems [6–8]. Widely tuneable high quality microcavities are a key component for such circuits. Their passive response allows controllable optical phase shifts [8], memories [2] and add-drop filters [9] which together provide the reconfigurability of the circuit; while their strong optical confinement enhances light-matter interactions and thereby enables components such as lasers [10,11], sensors [12–15], optical frequency combs [16], and quantum processors [5].

Full reconfigurability requires that the optical resonance frequencies of each microcavity are tuneable over at least one free spectral range (FSR), since this allows the interaction of any two spectrally narrow components regardless of their initial frequencies. It is then possible to envisage not only fully-reconfigurable photonic circuits, but also arrays of microcavities forming dynamically controlled optical metamaterials [17] or on-chip microsensor networks [18], and to study collective phenomena such as phase-transitions and topological behaviour in networks of strongly interacting nonlinear photonic systems [19–21]. Furthermore, FSR tuneable microcavities have many other possible applications. For example, they could allow widely tuneable on-chip lasers [10,11], resonant coupling of arbitrary microcavity modes to low-linewidth solid-state and fibre laser sources, reconfigurable filters for background rejection and spectroscopic measurements in astronomy [22], matching of cavity resonance frequencies to narrow atomic resonances in cavity quantum electrodynamics [5], and stabilisation of on-chip...
optical frequency combs [16]. Despite this range of applications, it is challenging to achieve full FSR tuning of high quality cavities in a manner that is scalable, allows sub-millimetre device footprints and consumes low amounts of power. Approaches demonstrated to-date often require either raising the microcavity to a prohibitively high temperature [23] or straining it more than is possible with standard piezoelectric materials [24, 25].

Here we report electrical FSR tuning of a high quality silicon chip-based optical microcavity. The key advance is to combine strong nanoelectronic actuation with an engineered microcavity structure that exhibits a highly geometry-dependent effective refractive index. The effective refractive index is engineered using the strong optomechanical interaction provided by a double-disk microcavity [26, 27]. Hybridisation of the modes of the disks creates an effective index that is strongly dependent on the disk separation, which itself is controlled via electrostatic actuation provided by integrated interdigitated capacitors. Using this approach, we demonstrate the ability to tune optical resonances by up to 9 GHz/V. This allows FSR tuning with applied voltages of less than 15 V, and a full tuning range in excess of three FSRs. Our devices are fabricated from silica-on-silicon, offering a wide transparency window across and beyond the telecommunications band, but could easily be transferred to other materials such as silicon nitride, silicon-on-insulator, or complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) [1]. Capacitive actuation facilitates ultralow power operation, compatible with scalable photonic circuits — the microcavity can be held resonant at any frequency within the silica transparency window using less than a nanowatt of electrical power. To demonstrate the broad-tuning capabilities, we implement a simple two microcavity reconfigurable photonic network, showing that the double-disk microcavity can be brought into resonance with an arbitrary fixed-frequency microtoroidal optical cavity across a telecommunications fibre link.

1.1. Background

Most techniques which enable broad tuning of optical cavities can be sorted into two categories. The first applies heat to the cavity through a laser [28], metal probe [23] or integrated microheater [9, 29]. This causes a change in temperature $\Delta T$ of the cavity which modifies its effective refractive index through the thermo-optic effect. The second utilises strain caused by an applied force to deform the boundary of the cavity [30–38]. To date, full FSR tuning of high quality cavities has not proved possible on sub-millimeter footprints using either of these approaches, although millimeter-scale on-chip silicon-nitride ring resonators have recently been reported with FSR strain tuning provided by an integrated piezoelectric element [24]. In an alternative approach, FSR tuning has been reported with a split-ring microcavity [39–41], consisting of two evanescently coupled curved waveguides. In this case, physically splitting the cavity allows increased mechanical compliance and therefore improved tunability, but introduces inherently large losses that strongly limit the optical quality factor.

Tunable photonic crystal-based systems have also been developed [42–47] with tuning ranges of up to tens of nanometers. These systems are however limited to the bare resonance tuning ability achievable with the cavity, as they inherently cannot make use of adjacent modes within the same mode family to extend their tuning ability, as can be done in FSR-tunable WGM devices for example. In the latter case, FSR tunability allows the operational range of the device to be extended across the entire material transparency window (see Appendix 5.1 for further discussion of the literature).

In general, the resonance condition of an optical cavity of round-trip physical length $L$ requires the optical path length to be an integer multiple $m$ of the free space wavelength $\lambda_0$:

$$n_{\text{eff}} L = m \lambda_0$$

where $n_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective refractive index of the cavity. FSR tuning to an adjacent longitudinal mode of the cavity ($m \rightarrow m \pm 1$) requires the optical path length to be modified by the free space
wavelength. Heat based tuning accomplishes this through a change in the effective refractive index \( \Delta n_{\text{eff}} \), while strain based tuning changes the physical size \( \Delta L = \epsilon L \) of the cavity, where \( \epsilon \) is the resultant strain from the applied force. From Eq. (1), these tuning mechanisms result directly in the conditions for FSR tuning:

\[
\epsilon_{\text{FSR}} = \frac{\lambda}{n_{\text{eff}} L} \quad \Delta n_{\text{eff}, \text{FSR}} = \frac{\lambda}{L}.
\]

The inverse cavity length scaling present in both cases explains why it is highly challenging to achieve FSR tuning for microscale cavities. For instance, tuning a silica disk with radius \( R = 100 \mu m \) by an FSR would require \( \Delta T_{\text{FSR}} \) upwards of 200°C, or a radial strain of \( \epsilon_{\text{FSR}} \approx 0.2 \% \), exceeding the maximum strain of common piezoelectric materials such as PZT (lead zirconium titanate) [25] (see Appendix 5.2 for more information). Moreover, heat-based tuning suffers from two additional drawbacks, namely typically slow thermal response times and power consumption typically upwards of several milliwatts per device to achieve and maintain the large temperature increases required for significant tuning [29]. Alternatively, refractive-index tuning could be achieved by electro-optic techniques with materials such as lithium niobate. However, while these enable ultrafast modulation rates up to tens of Gb/s [48,49], they typically allow for much smaller tuning ranges of only a few optical linewidths.

Whereas most thermal-based approaches rely on changing the effective refractive index \( n_{\text{eff}} \) through the material’s thermo-optic coefficient, and most strain-based approaches rely on changing the cavity length \( L \) (see Eq. (1)), it is possible to use the optomechanical interaction to engineer an effective refractive index \( n_{\text{eff}} \) which is very strongly dependent on the cavity geometry. This can therefore be tuned using strain-based actuation much beyond the intrinsic photoelastic properties of the material [50,51]. This approach, which we employ in this work, allows far greater tunability to be observed than that achievable through simple physical compression of the cavity.

1.2. Double-disk geometry

Previous approaches to refractive index engineering have typically involved bringing an external dielectric into the near-field of the cavity [52], for instance displacing a cantilever-supported silicon nitride ring inside the near field of a silicon disk resonator [53].

Here we achieve greatly enhanced refractive index shifts by engineering the strain to modulate the coupling between two optical resonances. As an additional advantage, in this configuration any scattered light is preferentially scattered back into the optical supermode formed by the coupled cavities. This minimises energy loss when compared with the introduction of an external dielectric. We employ a double-disk Whispering Gallery Mode (WGM) geometry, previously reported by several groups [26–28, 54–56]. Such a cavity consists of two several-hundred-nanometer thick stacked disks, separated by a thin sacrificial layer which is etched-out to leave an air gap (Fig. 1(a)). The optical field is shared between both disks in a supermode, with a significant part of the energy situated in the gap (Fig. 1(b)). This makes the resonance wavelength very sensitive to changes in the separation between the disks, which corresponds to a large optomechanical coupling strength \( G_{\text{OM}} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \) [57], see Fig. 1(c). This large coupling strength, combined with the much greater compliance of the disk resonators to out-of-plane deflection rather than purely radial compressive strain, allows for the use of much smaller forces to tune the device. For example, even the optical gradient force (radiation pressure) due to circulating power in the resonator can be used to achieve significant tuning of the optical resonance frequency [26, 58], including full FSR tunability [56]. With this purely optical tuning technique, however, a several milliwatt widely tunable pump laser is required for every microcavity to achieve and maintain the desired resonance frequency. The need for multiple tunable lasers, and associated power consumption, precludes use in a scalable photonic network or circuit. Here, instead, we apply tuning forces
Fig. 1. (a) Schematic illustration of a double-disk resonator, consisting of two disks of thickness $t_{\text{disk}}$ (blue) separated by a thin sacrificial layer (purple), which, once etched, reveals an air gap where the optical mode is localized. (b) Finite Element Method (FEM) simulation showing a cross-section of a fundamental transverse electric (TE) Whispering Gallery Mode (WGM) of the structure (orange arrows highlight the position of the air gap). (c) FEM calculation of the optomechanical coupling strength $G_{\text{OM}} \equiv \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}$ for a silica double-disk with $t_{\text{disk}} = 350$ nm, and the WGM shown in (b), as a function of air gap. Note that unlike single-disk resonators [59], $G_{\text{OM}}$ is essentially independent of device radius and only depends on the vertical separation between the disks [55]. The shaded area denotes the range of air gaps typically observed in fabricated devices. (d) False-color Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) top-view of a fabricated silica (blue) double-disk device (radius 90 $\mu$m), supported by four spokes. Half-circular gold (yellow) pads in the center of the device are contact pads for the probe tips [60,61]. Scale bar is 20 $\mu$m. (e) False-color SEM micrograph showing a zoomed-in view of the gold interdigitated electrodes patterned on the support spokes of the top disk. (f) Top: top-view of a simulated support spoke. The center electrode (blue) is kept at ground, while a nonzero potential bias is applied to the outer electrode (red). Bottom: 3D FEM electromechanical simulation showing the deflection of the cantilever spoke through the capacitive drive. Color code shows the electric potential (blue=0V; red=1V). Note that for a single-disk resonator only the in-plane change in the length of the spoke would be useful, as only it changes the cavity radius [24,60], while the double-disk geometry allows the much larger out-of-plane motion to be leveraged for tuning.

by integrating interdigitated capacitive electrodes with sub-micron characteristic dimensions onto the surface of the cavity. This provides a direct, scalable and low power electronic tuning mechanism.

2. Fabrication and device design

Figure 1(d) shows a SEM top-view of a fabricated double-disk electro-optomechanical cavity. Devices are fabricated from a wafer containing two silica (SiO$_2$) layers with nominal thickness $t_{\text{disk}} = 350$ nm separated by an amorphous silicon ($\alpha$-Si) sacrificial layer of nominal thickness 300 nm, grown by ICP-CVD atop a silicon substrate, see Fig. 1(a). We use reactive ion etching to etch through the three-layer stack (SiO$_2$/$\alpha$-Si/SiO$_2$) with an electron-beam lithography (EBL)-defined pattern in the shape of an annulus supported by four wide spokes. We release the device through removal of the $\alpha$-Si sacrificial layer with a XeF$_2$ isotropic dry etch. The released annuli confine
light in WGM resonances and have outer diameter of 180 µm; more than a factor of six smaller than the smallest previous high quality FSR-tunable on-chip cavity [24]. Thin tethers are included at the point of attachment of the spokes with the annulus to minimize buckling effects due to residual compressive stress in the silica layers coming from the deposition process. Gold sub-micron interdigitated electrodes (500 nm width and spacing) are patterned on the top disk, covering the surface of the support spokes (see Fig. 1(e)). The top and bottom disks are free to move independently, effectively modulating the air gap distance and the structure’s effective refractive index in response to a voltage bias on the drive electrodes. We choose this design as a compromise that provides large available area to deposit electrodes for capacitive driving and minimizes buckling effects (see Appendix 5.3 and 5.4 for more details and full process flow). We note that the design can be modified to include integrated optical waveguides [62], as already demonstrated in double-disk geometries [27,63], and electrical bridges to the contact pads [29], to enable fully on-chip packaging. We also note that the capacitive tuning method presented here is quite general and material agnostic. It would work with any combination of optically transparent and sacrificial layer materials such as GaAs/AlGaAs, Si/SiO$_2$, SiN/SiO$_2$ or SiN/Si, and is CMOS compatible.

2.1. Modelling of capacitive tuning

For capacitive tuning, and assuming linear mechanical response, the optical frequency shift of the cavity is given by $\Delta f = \alpha_{opt} V^2$, where the optical tunability $\alpha_{opt}$ can be expressed as:

$$\alpha_{opt} = \frac{GOM}{2\pi} \alpha_{mech}. \quad(3)$$

Here, the mechanical tunability $\alpha_{mech} = \frac{1}{k} \times \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right)$ is a measure of the physical compliance of the structure times the efficiency of the capacitive actuation along the vertical direction, with $k$ the spring constant of the structure. The mechanical tunability quantifies the physical change in disk separation in response to an applied voltage, with the total deflection in the vertical direction given by $x = \frac{F_{cap}}{k} = \alpha_{mech} V^2$, where the applied capacitive force $F_{cap} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) V^2$.

In order to estimate the mechanical tunability of the double-disk cavity, we make the simplifying assumption that any vertical motion of the support spokes will be transferred directly to the outer annulus, thereby changing the separation of the disks. In this approximation, the mechanical tunability of the entire device is equal to that of the individual spokes. We therefore seek to quantify how the spokes react to a voltage applied on the interdigitated electrodes. Since the response to an applied voltage involves not only uniaxial compression of the spoke, but also significant out-of-plane deflection and change in the spoke’s curvature, the analytical estimation of $\alpha_{mech}$ is non-trivial. For this reason, we perform 3D Finite Element Method (FEM) electromechanical simulations (see Fig. 1(f)) to calculate the new equilibrium position of the spoke with an applied voltage bias. These predict a mechanical tunability of the support spokes on the order of $\alpha_{mech} \approx 1 \text{ nm/V}^2$.

To quantify the optomechanical coupling strength for a given disk thickness we need only to know the size of the air gap between the disks. Due to variation in material stress and conditions during fabrication, the gap size was experimentally found to vary over several hundred nanometers. In Fig. 1(c) the typical range of disk separations observed after fabrication is shown, with a corresponding range of coupling strengths of $GOM/2\pi \in [4; 10]$ GHz/nm. Combined with the predicted mechanical tunability, Eq. (3) yields a range of predicted optical tunabilities of $\alpha_{opt} \in [4; 10]$ GHz/V$^2$ (see Appendix 5.5). For a double-disk structure of 90 µm outer radius, this predicts FSR tuning to be easily achievable with applied voltages of less than 15 V.

It is notable, however, that these values are still far from the ultimate limit of this technique. With further optimisation of both the double-disk geometry and capacitive actuation, the device
radius could be reduced to below 15 µm while maintaining FSR-tuning capability with an applied voltage of less than 15 V (see Appendix 5.6). We note that piezoelectric actuation, such as utilised in the work of Jin, et al. [24], could be used as an alternative to interdigitated capacitors. Based on our predictions, capacitive actuation, beyond the advantage of requiring only a single deposition step to fabricate, interestingly also provides more efficient tuning for devices at the scale of tens of microns (see Appendix 5.6.1).

3. Experimental results

3.1. Experimental setup

Figure 2(a) shows a schematic of the experimental setup. Light is evanescently coupled to the double-disk through a tapered optical fibre. Spectroscopy of the devices is performed with a tunable diode laser (Yenista T100S-HP). The two interdigitated electrodes are contacted using ultrasharp tungsten probe tips, which are connected to a DC voltage source. (See Appendix 5.7 for more details.) Figure 2(b) shows a typical optical resonance of a device, measured by sweeping the laser frequency rapidly over the resonance, yielding an intrinsic optical quality factor of $3.8 \times 10^5$.

3.2. Free spectral range tuning

Figure 2(c) displays a series of successive optical transmission spectra of a device as the WGMs of the device are tuned across an entire FSR. Consecutive traces from top to bottom are acquired as the voltage $V$ is ramped up by steps of 0.5 V, starting from $V = 7$ V, with full FSR tuning achieved at $V = 14$ V. We observe that the optical quality factor of the modes remain unperturbed throughout the entire tuning range. Next, the frequencies of the four highlighted WGMs in Fig. 2(c) are tracked versus $V^2$, in order to extract the optical tunability $\alpha_{opt}$. These results are shown in Fig. 2(d). In the region $V^2 \in [50; 200]$, corresponding to the voltage range $V \in [7; 14]$ shown in Fig. 2(c), we observe a linear trend, with a slope of $\alpha_{opt} = 3 \text{ GHz}/V^2$. Comparable tuning is found in other devices of a similar design, with a maximum observed tunability of 9 GHz/V$^2$ and tuning ranges exceeding three free spectral ranges (see Appendix 5.4.2). These results are in line with the predictions from the simulations outlined above, where uncertainty in the disk separation led to a prediction of $\alpha_{opt} \in [4; 10] \text{ GHz}/V^2$. In addition to the expected $V^2$ scaling, further evidence that the tuning is capacitive in nature (and not for instance due to electrostatic interactions between the top disk electrodes and trapped charges on the bottom disk) is provided by observing that the tuning has the same direction with a positive e.g. (0; +10 V) as with a negative e.g. (0; -10 V) bias applied to the electrodes.

Outside of the range $V \in [7; 14]$, the relationship between frequency shift and the square of the applied voltage departs from linearity, implying a changing optical tunability. We ascribe this behaviour to nonlinearities in the mechanical response due to out-of-plane warping of the double-disk due to residual stress in the silica layers, as confirmed by optical profilometry measurements of the fabricated double-disks. This warping causes mechanical buckling transitions which modify the mechanical compliance, sometimes with clear steps in the optical tunability (see Appendix 5.4.2).

As well as allowing full FSR tunability, a second key feature of the capacitive tuning presented in this paper is its ultra-low power consumption. In contrast to thermal tuning techniques which require the heating to be maintained as long as the wavelength offset is required, here the only power required to maintain a wavelength offset is that dissipated through leakage current in the electrodes. We measure a leakage current of $\sim 2$ pA per Volt applied to the electrodes, corresponding to a leakage/parasitic resistance of $\sim 500 \Omega$. With an applied voltage of $V = 14$ V required to maintain full FSR tuning, this parasitic resistance results in a power consumption of $\sim 400 \text{ pW}$. The energy required to tune the optical mode over a given voltage difference is
Fig. 2. (a) Experimental setup. Probe tips contacting the device are shown in red. FPC – Fibre Polarization Controller; PD – Photodetector. (b) Typical transmission spectrum of a WGM resonance, measured by fast single-pass sweep of the laser. Quality factor of the fitted Lorentzian curve (red) is $3.8 \times 10^5$. For averaged measurements, thermal motion of the disks induces wavelength jitter on the order of 10 pm [26]. (c) Waterfall plot showing full FSR capacitive tuning of a double-disk resonator. Consecutive traces are offset by 0.5 V, with an initial applied voltage of 7 V. Four WGMs of the same family and increasing azimuthal order $m$ are highlighted in purple, yellow, green and blue. (d) WGM resonance frequencies as a function of $V^2$, for the four WGMs highlighted in (c). Slope of the curves corresponds to $\alpha_{\text{opt}}$.

given by the equation $E = \frac{1}{2} C \Delta V^2$. The capacitance of the electrodes are estimated from finite element simulations to be $C \approx 15$ fF, so that starting from 0 V and applying a voltage of 15 V to tune by a full FSR consumes only $\sim 2$ pJ of energy. This ultralow power consumption makes our approach particularly scalable.

### 3.3. Demonstration of a basic reconfigurable photonic network

As a demonstration of the capability of full FSR microcavity tuning, we employ it in a simple reconfigurable photonic network. In this network, a tunable double-disk cavity is coupled to an arbitrary optical mode of a passive microtoroidal cavity through a telecommunications optical fibre link, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Initially, the optical resonances of the two devices are far separated in frequency, as seen in the top trace of Fig. 3(b). Indeed, two resonators taken at random have very low chances of sharing a common resonance, particularly as devices dimensions are scaled down and for high optical quality factors, with the odds scaling as the reciprocal of the product of their finesses. The resonance of the microtoroid remains static, determined by
its geometry, while applying a voltage to the double-disk allows it to be tuned into resonance with the toroid. This allows for switching between interacting and non-interacting cavities at will [28, 29], but also mimics the coupling of arrays of dissimilar cavities for optical networks or optomechanical synchronization [21, 28], the tuning of a cavity to an atomic transition in cavity QED [5], or the coupling of a resonator to a fixed-wavelength laser source.

![Diagram](image_url)

**Fig. 3.** (a) Schematic of the setup used for coupling between a tunable double-disk resonator and a passive microtoroidal cavity. (b) The lower quality toroid WGM (yellow) remains stationary while the optical resonance of the double-disk (blue) is tuned into resonance with—and then through—the toroid WGM.

4. Conclusion

We have reported full FSR electrical tuning of a high quality silicon chip-based optical microcavity. To achieve this we combine a highly geometry-dependent effective refractive index with electrical actuation through integrated interdigitated capacitors. We demonstrate frequency tuning over more than three FSRs with negligible change in the quality factor of the cavity, with tuning over an FSR requiring applied voltages of less than 15 V. Less than a nanowatt of electrical power is needed to sustain an FSR frequency shift, compatible with the densely packed arrays of microresonators proposed for next generation computer architectures [1]. Our devices are fabricated from silica-on-silicon allowing arbitrary resonance frequency tuning across the full silica transparency window. They could be translated straightforwardly into other material platforms, such as silicon nitride, silicon-on-insulator and CMOS depending on application [1]. To illustrate the capabilities of full FSR tuning, we demonstrate a two microcavity reconfigurable photonic network consisting of one FSR tunable device brought on resonance with a fixed-frequency microtoroidal cavity across a telecommunication optical fibre link.

We expect that full FSR electrical tuning of high quality optical microcavities will enable a broad range of science and applications, from high-bandwidth optical interconnects and memories in next generation computer architectures [1, 2], on-chip tuneable filters, lasers and coherent radar systems [6–8, 10, 11, 22], reconfigurable sensor networks [18], quantum networks [3–5], and arrays of nonlinear photonic systems to study collective phenomena such as phase transitions and topological behavior [19–21].
5. Appendix

5.1. Comparison with the literature

The comparison between our results and other demonstrations of wide tuning in the literature is shown in Fig. 4. The first panel (Fig. 4(a)) compares the FSR tunability of devices $\Delta \text{FSR}$ in the literature with device size $L$, while the second panel (Fig. 4(b)) compares $\Delta \lambda / \lambda$, the absolute tuning range normalised to wavelength at which the device operates, with $L$.

The size of each device is indicated by two markers, in order to reflect both inherent device size and the overhead that would be required on-chip for applications such as integrated device networks or photonic circuits. The smaller value for size reflects the dimensions of the optical cavity itself, for example the WGM diameter in microspheres or the defect mode size in photonic crystals. Even without any actuation mechanism, the reported devices cannot have a smaller characteristic dimension than this. The larger value reflects the total footprint of the device, including the additional size of the actuation mechanism, for example the piezoelectric actuation element for microspheres or the total dimensions of suspended beam and tuning element for photonic crystals. This footprint is the limiting factor determining the density of devices that could be fabricated on-chip; indeed, macroscopic actuation mechanisms such as those commonly used to strain microsphere cavities preclude their use in an on-chip system. References with just one marker include the on-chip component of the actuation mechanism within the dimensions of the optical cavity.

![Fig. 4. Comparison of current work with other implementations of microcavity tuning in the literature. Each plot compares device size with (a) the number of free spectral ranges across which tuning was demonstrated and (b) the absolute tuning range of the cavity normalised to the operational wavelength. In the case where two markers are present connected with a line, the left-hand point denotes the size of the optical cavity, and the right-hand marker the size of the overhead that would be required on-chip for the full tuning mechanism. References used in this figure: split rings [39–41]; microspheres [35–37]; microbottles/bubbles [30–34]; fabry-perot optical cavity [38]; photonic crystals [42–47], heat-based tuning [23,29]; dielectric actuation with MEMS cantilever [53]; on-chip PZT actuation [24]; and our previous microtoroid-based work [60].](image)

The first panel reflects the aim of this research paper, namely to achieve scalable and compact FSR tuning in a high-quality microcavity. Of the demonstrations of wide-band tuning in the
literature, the majority of FSR-tunable devices are either in off-chip configurations (light-grey coloring), or gain compliance at a cost of the optical quality factor, limiting it to below 10,000 (dark grey). Lighter background shading denotes a higher value of the figure-of-merit $\Delta FSR/L$, reflecting the desire for a large tuning range and small device size.

It is not possible to include photonic crystal-based devices in Fig. 4(a), since their mode structure does not exhibit a free spectral range. To include these devices in the comparison, the plot can also be made against absolute tuning range (Fig. 4(b)). Here, as in the first panel, a lighter background shading denotes a higher value of the figure-of-merit $\Delta \lambda/\lambda$. While on this metric photonic crystal-based devices show similar or larger tuning ranges to what is achieved in our work (and with smaller device sizes), it should be noted that this represents the limit of the operational range for photonic crystals, while FSR-tunable WGM-based devices can effectively operate at an arbitrary wavelength within the material transparency window due to the periodicity of device resonances.

Hence, neither metric is a perfect comparison of all devices; indeed, different implementations of broad-tuning devices will be preferable for different sets of applications. Though our work does not represent a dramatic improvement to the state of the art in any given area, we believe that the combination of strengths possessed by our approach (multiple FSR tunability, high Q, ultralow power consumption) grants it a unique applicability for spectrally narrow, broadband resonance tuning applications, such as in on-chip reconfigurable photonic circuits.

5.2. Scaling of tuning methods with device dimensions

![Fig. 5. Scaling of radial-strain (a) and heat-based (b) FSR tuning requirements with device dimensions.](image)

Figure 5 shows how the radial-strain and temperature change $\Delta T_{FSR}$ requirements for tuning a WGM optical resonator by an FSR become increasingly difficult to achieve with reduced resonator dimensions. Figure 5(a) displays the radial strain $\Delta R_{FSR} = \frac{\Delta R}{2\pi n_{eff} R}$ required for FSR-tuning of a circular resonator, versus resonator dimensions (blue line). The same absolute change in circumference (one optical wavelength), and hence the same absolute change in radius is required to tune differently-sized devices by a free-spectral-range, leading to a $1/R$ dependence for the required strain. Hence smaller devices require increasingly more strain to tune by a FSR, and at a device radius below $\sim 100 \mu$m (grey area of Fig. 5(a)), this requirement exceeds the maximum strain of common piezoelectric materials such as PZT (0.175% [25] - orange line). Even neglecting the important issues of efficiently communicating the strain between the piezoelectric and resonator layers, this ultimately limits the feasibility of approaches whereby the resonator is strain-tuned by a deposited piezoelectric layer [24] without also engineering a large geometry-dependent effective refractive index, as demonstrated in our paper.

Heat-based tuning mainly relies on changing the refractive index of the optical cavity through the
thermooptic effect, which is typically an order of magnitude larger than tuning from the increase in cavity size due to the material’s thermal expansion coefficient. As the required change in refractive index $\Delta n_{\text{eff, FSR}} = \frac{n_{\text{eff}}}{n} \frac{dn}{dT} \Delta T_{\text{FSR}} = \frac{1}{\pi R}$ scales as $\frac{1}{R}$, the temperature change required to tune by an FSR has the same scaling. Further, as the thermo-optic coefficient $\frac{dn}{dT}$ is often quite small (approximately $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ K$^{-1}$ and $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ K$^{-1}$ for SiO$_2$ and SiN respectively [29,64]), very large temperatures are required for tuning devices with small dimensions. Figure 5(b) plots the temperature increase $\Delta T_{\text{FSR}}$ required for thermal tuning of a WGM disk resonator by an FSR, versus resonator dimensions, for resonators made from SiO$_2$ (blue) and SiN (orange). Blue and orange regions indicate for SiO$_2$ and SiN devices, respectively, the dimensions below which FSR thermal tuning is no longer possible, assuming a maximum temperature increase of the device $\Delta T_{\text{max}} = 100$ K. Given this temperature cap, only silicon nitride devices with a radius larger than $\sim 100 \, \mu\text{m}$ can be FSR-tunable, or silica devices with radii exceeding $\sim 200 \, \mu\text{m}$.

Though the choice of $\Delta T_{\text{max}}$ made here is somewhat arbitrary, the absolute limits of thermal tuning are not far away in terms of device size. Indeed, temperature increases in excess of 1000 degrees Celsius — close to the melting point of glass and above the sublimation temperature of most metal coatings — would be required at device dimensions of tens of microns.

5.3. Fabrication

The wafers used here were made by growing a stack of SiO$_2$/α-Si/SiO$_2$ with thickness 350/300/350 nm through ICP-CVD on a Si wafer. The bulk stress of the silica layers was measured to be 120 MPa (compressive). The fabrication for these devices was conducted in two stages: the electrodes were patterned first, and the disks were patterned, etched and released afterwards. Both the electrodes and disks were patterned using electron beam lithography (EBL) on a Raith E-Line system with an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. The outline of the fabrication process can be seen in Fig. 6.

![Fabrication process for double disk electro-optomechanical devices used in this work. Steps are outlined in the text. SiO$_2$ layers are shown in gray; α-Si and Si in blue.](image-url)
The electrodes (Fig. 6(b)–6(f)) were patterned with a bilayer of positive photoresist (PMMA), to allow at once high resolution from the upper layer (PMMA 950K (A4)) during patterning (Fig. 6(c)) and a large undercut from the lower layer (PMMA 495K (A2)) for lift-off. After evaporation of 10 nm of titanium and 50 nm of gold (Fig. 6(e)), the photoresist and excess gold was lifted off using N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) (Fig. 6(f)). The time required to lift off the metal was greatly reduced by heating the NMP to 70 degrees Celsius.

In contrast to the electrodes, the disks (Fig. 6(g)–6(l)) were patterned using a negative photoresist (maN-2410) (Fig. 6(g)). The stacks were etched out anisotropically using a single run of reactive ion etching, with alternate recipes loaded sequentially to selectively etch through SiO$_2$ and $\alpha$-Si with CHF$_3$/Ar and SF$_6$/CHF$_3$ chemistry respectively (Fig. 6(j)). The $\alpha$-Si sacrificial layer and substrate were simultaneously undercut using a XeF$_2$ isotropic dry etch (30,000:1 selectivity for Si:SiO$_2$, Fig. 6(l)).

5.4. Bulk and gradient stresses and mechanical effects

5.4.1. Stress in silica layers

Our devices are based on the double disk geometry developed by Jiang, et al. [54]. Aside from the bulk stress in the grown layers of the device, a key design constraint was the internal stress gradient (i.e. varying stress in the vertical direction) created in the silica layers during deposition. This caused warping in the structures once released, which could not be completely eliminated, see e.g. the cantilevers in Fig. 7(a). Upon underetching of the sacrificial layer, both bulk-stress and vertical stress gradients will relax through a deformation of the device. The bulk (average) compressive strain will in the case of a cantilever mostly relax through in-plane expansion of the device, as well as potentially change the angle of the cantilever at the level of its anchoring point. The vertical stress gradient in the layers will on the other hand relax through an upwards or downwards curling of the beam [65], as visible in Fig. 7(a), which reveals for instance an increasing compressive stress from top to bottom for the top silica layer, responsible for the upwards curling upon release. Through a fitting of the released cantilever curvature, we extract a stress variation within the layer on the order of 100 MPa [65].

We note here that the following stress-mitigation discussion would not be necessary for devices fabricated from lower stress layers, for example PECVD silicon nitride [66] or CVD silica [67]. These stress effects caused our initial full-disk designs (Fig. 7(b)) to fail, as under-etching the sacrificial silicon layer sufficiently to allow electrical actuation induced sufficient warping to collapse the disks (i.e. contact them, at which point they are prevented from separating by van der Waals forces). We note that this collapse occurs in fabrication during release of the disks through etching of the $\alpha$-Si, but not typically during operation. Furthermore, the etch rate of the sacrificial layer gets increasingly slow as the etch progresses, as it gets increasingly difficult for reactants and reaction products to make their way through the high-aspect ratio slot between top and bottom disks. In practice, undercutting of the $\alpha$-Si sacrificial layer much beyond 10 microns is difficult to achieve.

Placing slots in the disk to allow for greater underetching and stress release (Fig. 7(c)) eliminated warping in smaller devices and provided a greater actuation area for the electrodes, however warping was still too strong in devices with diameters larger than 50 $\mu$m to have high device yields. Larger devices are beneficial for this actuation scheme, as the optomechanical coupling strength only depends on disk separation, and a larger device allows both for better mechanical compliance and a larger electrode area.

The next step in design was to suspend the outer section of the disk on thin spokes connected to a central pedestal (Fig. 7(d)), similar to work done in the Lipson group [56]. This design allows for high mechanical compliance and a minimisation of warping in the device, however as the electrodes are limited to a small central pedestal (whose size is limited so as to avoid warping which can transfer to the outer annuli), the tuning ability of the device is still not optimal.
5.4.2. Mechanical buckling

Whereas warping in disks caused 'ripples' to appear around the circumference of the device, as visible in Fig. 7(b), annular designs tended to adopt a saddle-like shape when released, as shown in Fig. 8(a). The stress-release design (Fig. 8(b)) reduced this warping, as the spokes are at once more rigid and allow for radial expansion, minimising the out-of-plane deformation due to stress release. The out-of-plane warping for annuli are similar between the top and bottom disk, as they are similarly anchored to the central pedestal. Hence, though this warping can be on scales of micrometers, the spacing between the disks varies much less.

We note that, as can be seen in Fig. 8, the integrity of the double-disks could be inspected optically. The presence of interference fringes in the outer annulus indicate changes in the disk separation, and therefore imply that the disks are still physically separated. This was particularly useful once it was realised that imaging the double disks, particularly annular designs, under an SEM at high magnification caused charge build-up in the silica, which could consequently lead to collapse of the disks and device failure.

The stress-release features could not completely eliminate the warping however, which is the probable cause of the tunability transitions encountered when tuning the optical resonance (see Fig. 2 of the main text and Fig. 9). Since the spokes may have different curvatures, or even be initially curved in different directions, an applied voltage causes different displacements for each arm, transferring to uneven tuning over the circumference of the device. At some point, the displacement from one arm can affect another sufficiently to buckle it into a different shape,
changing the tunability of the device as a whole. This mechanism predicts similar transition regions to what was observed in our experiments. For instance, Fig. 9 shows the data for optical tuning of a different device to the one measured in the main text, with clear transitions between regions of different tunability (see Fig. 9(b)). In this device, tuning in excess of 3 FSRs was observed, which could be required for FSR tuning at a larger wavelengths, where the FSR of the device becomes larger. Because these transitions are a feature of the mechanical compliance of the device as it is displaced, transitions are present at the same applied voltages for a given device, and do not pose any problem during operation.

Fig. 9. (a) Optical tuning of over 3 FSRs, in a different device to the one in shown in Fig. 2 of the main text. (b) Clear transitions between regions of different tunability can be seen, with tunabilities of -1.2 (yellow), -3.0 (purple) and -1.8 (green) GHz/V² respectively.
Variation in the tunability of different devices was observed due both to the aforementioned mechanical effects and variation in fabrication conditions and geometry. However, tuning on the order $\sim$ GHz/V$^2$ was reliably observed in most devices, with the maximal tunability observed being 8.8 GHz/V$^2$.

5.4.3. Charging hysteresis

We observed some degree of hysteresis with regards to the tuning properties of the device, particularly upon the application of large voltage biases (over 20 V) over an extended period of time (tens of minutes). We rule out mechanisms coming from device geometry such as buckling transitions, through the observation of similar behaviour on simple cantilever structures with integrated electrodes. Hence it is postulated that this hysteresis is due to the generation of excess trapped charges in the silica disks, a well-known phenomenon in the MEMS community [69–72]. As the tuning method is material agnostic, we note that this problem can be eliminated by using an alternative material for the double disks, for example a semiconductor such as silicon or GaAs.

It should be noted that dielectric charging can also be used as an actuation mechanism, as has been demonstrated using a sharp suspended electrode for gradient force actuation of a microtoroidal resonator [73]. However, this is much weaker than the capacitive actuation mechanism employed in this work.

5.4.4. Mechanical frequency response

We have designed this generation of devices for optimal wide-band tuning. This is achieved through a compliant double-disk design, which has mechanical eigenfrequencies in the range of tens of kHz. This will impose a characteristic mechanical response time of on the order of tens to hundreds of microseconds on the device. However, this slowness is not inherent to electrostatic tuning, as we have shown in our earlier paper using a similar actuation mechanism on a much more rigid microtoroidal resonator [60], where we demonstrated a harmonic frequency response of up to 18 MHz. By sacrificing some of the tuning range of the device, higher-bandwidth devices can be designed for applications relying on fast tuning speeds. Ultimately our goal here is not seeking to achieve ultra-high bandwidth and low-range tuning as can be done better for instance through electro-optic means, but an ultra-large tuning range.

5.5. Capacitive actuation

![Fig. 10. 3D electromechanical simulation of a support-spoke deflection. The box around the cantilever is an air domain inside which the electrical field lines are calculated, with free mesh deformation (i.e. through which the cantilever can deflect without resistance). Calculated $\alpha_{\text{mech}} = 1.1 \text{ nm/V}^2$.](image)
simulation employed to calculate the response of the support anchors to a voltage applied to the interdigitated electrodes. Simulations are done with the finite element modelling software COMSOL Multiphysics. The support beam is modelled as a cantilever with a fixed (left) and free (right) boundary condition. Interdigitated electrodes on the cantilever surface (electrode width: 500 nm; capacitive gap between electrodes: 500 nm) are connected to a ground (0 V) and a (1 V) terminal. The new equilibrium position of the spoke is calculated in the presence of this voltage bias. In response to the bias, the system will seek to maximize its capacitance. This can occur either through a physical narrowing of the electrode gap, or through pulling in more dielectric material between the electrodes [60]. The first effect can be achieved through an upwards curling of the cantilever, effectively bringing the electrodes closer to each other, while the second effect is achieved through a downwards deflection of the beam, such that the electrodes "envelop" the beam and field lines are more confined to the dielectric [60]. Our simulations show that the latter mechanism is dominant for a straight (or already downwards curved) spoke, which will deflect downwards, with a mechanical tunability $\alpha_{\text{mech}}$ on the order of 1 nm of physical deflection per $V^2$. However, as can be intuited, an initially upwards curved beam will keep curling up upon the application of bias voltage such that the physical narrowing of the electrode gap mechanism dominates. Thus the direction of the mechanical deflection will depend on the initial curvature of a given device. This can be seen by comparing Fig. 2 of the main text to Fig. 9, where the device has a slightly different geometry and release process. While the wavelength of the former is tuned down upon application of a voltage bias, corresponding to the top disk deflecting upwards and increasing disk separation, the latter displays a wavelength increase with increasing voltage, as the top disk is deflected downwards. Both deflection directions ultimately lead to similar optical tunability $\alpha_{\text{opt}}$.

5.6. Improved design

Here we discuss the potential of the tuning approach for further improvement in optical tunability. The main limitation to further gains in $\alpha_{\text{opt}}$ with existing devices stems from the poorly controlled stress in the silica layers. A reduced stress in the material would enable both a better-controlled and smaller air gap (and hence a higher $G_{\text{OM}} \sim 25$ GHz/nm, see Fig. 1 of the main text), as well as allow for a denser electrode pattern to be deposited on a larger portion of the structure. Combined, these would enable two orders of magnitude gains in optical tunability — with $\alpha_{\text{opt}}$ in excess of 400 GHz/$V^2$ in devices half the current size (as in Fig. 11(a) and 11(b)). These could be tuned by an FSR with only 1.3 V.

Alternatively, these modifications would also enable a device reduced in size by a factor of six (with a radius of 15 µm - Fig. 11(c)) to still be FSR tunable using an applied voltage of 13 V, with a tunability of $\alpha_{\text{opt}} \approx 14$ GHz/$V^2$.

In the absence of spokes needed for stress-release, the geometry of the device becomes rotationally invariant, and the calculation of $\alpha_{\text{mech}}$ reduces to a 2D-axisymmetric problem, as shown in Fig. 11.

Beyond the removal of spokes, for these predictions we make two simple modifications to the current design. First, narrower electrodes and electrode gaps allow for a more intense electric field as well as a denser electrode pattern, both leading to greater mechanical tunability. Second, top and bottom disk can be made asymmetric (i.e. of different thicknesses). Indeed, only the top disk is actuated by the electrodes and needs to be mechanically compliant. The use of a thinner top disk leads to an only marginally smaller $G_{\text{OM}}$, but dramatically improves the mechanical tunability $\alpha_{\text{mech}}$, resulting in a much larger overall $\alpha_{\text{opt}}$ (see Eq. 3 of the main text).

5.6.1. Comparison with piezoelectric tuning

Here we compare the efficiency of capacitive vs. piezoelectric actuation for double disk resonators. We model piezoelectric actuation for a 15 µm radius double-disk with a design similar to the
Fig. 11. 2D axisymmetric electromechanics simulation of devices with improved tunability. Top: Calculated electric potential, with one electrode connected to ground and the other to a 1 V source (color code: electric potential (V)). Middle: calculated deflection for the 1 V bias shown above (color code: physical displacement (nm)), yielding $\alpha_{\text{mech}} = 17 \text{ nm/V}^2$. Device radius: 50 um; Electrode width: 300 nm; Electrode gap: 300 nm; N=30 pairs of concentric electrodes. Top and bottom disk thickness respectively 200 nm and 300 nm. Bottom: Deflection for a 1 V bias in a smaller device of radius 15 um (color code: physical displacement), yielding $\alpha_{\text{mech}} = 0.8 \text{ nm/V}^2$. Device radius/surface area are reduced respectively by a factor of 6/36 compared to the devices shown in the main text. Electrode width: 200 nm; Electrode gap: 200 nm; N=15 pairs of concentric electrodes. Top and bottom disk thickness respectively 100 nm and 300 nm.

work of Jin, et al. [24] (see Fig. 12(a), to be compared with the model for Fig. 11(c)), where a layer of PZT of optimal thickness for actuation (300 nm) is placed between two 100 nm thick planar platinum electrodes (required to bias the PZT) positioned on top of the double-disk.

Upon expansion/contraction of the PZT layer, the top disk is strained radially, as well as bent out-of-plane. It is this second motion which is responsible for tuning the optical cavity. The optimum in PZT thickness can be understood as follows: An increase in the thickness of the PZT results in more rigidity for the entire structure, and reduces the contribution of the strain in the piezoelectric layer to out-of-plane deflection (and hence $\alpha_{\text{opt}}$); while reducing the thickness of the PZT will reduce the effectiveness of actuation due to the weaker force applied to the structure. The maximum piezoelectric deflection was calculated by giving the PZT layer an initial strain equal to the maximum strain of the material ($2 \times 10^{-3}$) and allowing it to relax in order to find the stationary displacement of the top disk. This is equivalent to providing the PZT layer with an initial stress sufficient to achieve a final strain with the same magnitude, but opposite sign, to that applied in this model.

We found that our method of electrical actuation through a set of interdigitated capacitors (shown in Fig. 12(b) for the same device dimensions), besides being simpler to fabricate and implement as it only requires a single deposition step, is also more effective at tuning a microcavity at the scale of tens of microns. Indeed, in this example with a device radius of 15 $\mu$m, tuning
Fig. 12. 2D axisymmetric FEM simulations comparing piezoelectric (a) and capacitive (b) actuation schemes. Both simulations are for devices with radius 15 \SI{}{\mu m} and top/bottom disk thicknesses of 100/300 nm respectively. (color code: physical displacement (nm)) (a) Piezoelectric scheme similar to Jin, et al. [24], where a piezoelectric element is deposited on top of the device. The out-of-plane displacement of 63 nm results from application of the maximal material strain ($2 \times 10^{-3}$). (b) Simulation with the optimised scheme presented in Fig. 11(c). As described in the section on improved device design, FSR tuning is possible with this method for an applied voltage of 13 V, as shown in this panel. The resulting out-of-plane displacement of 146 nm is more than twice the maximum achievable with the piezoelectric actuation scheme.

by a full FSR requires the disk separation to be modified by \(~140\) nm. This displacement is achieved with our capacitive actuation scheme through the application of a 13 V bias (see Fig. 12(b)), while it exceeds the maximum out-of-plane displacement of 63 nm achievable with the PZT actuation scheme in our model (Fig. 12(a)).

Fig. 13. Photographs of the experimental setup used to characterise our devices. (a) Side-view photograph of the experimental setup, showing the 2-axis imaging system. (b) Photograph showing a silicon chip containing 14 devices, as well as two ultra-sharp tungsten probe-tips used for the application of a voltage bias (foreground) and the fibre taper used for evanescent optical readout of the resonators. (c) Optical microscope top-view of a double-disk resonator, with fibre taper (top) and probe tips contacting the device (foreground).
5.7. Experimental setup

Figure 13 shows some pictures of the experimental setup used to probe the devices. The ultrasharp tungsten probe tips (radius of curvature 1 \( \mu \)m) are each mounted on a 3-axis NanoMax stage. The tapered fibre is also mounted on a 3-axis NanoMax stage, while the device is stationary. Microscopes and piezoelectric position control are used to provide precise electrical contact with the electrode pads on the double-disk.
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