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Abstract. The evolution of organic aerosols (OAs) and their precursors in the boundary layer (BL) of the Colorado Front Range during the Front Range Air Pollution and Photochemistry Experiment (FRAPPÉ, July–August 2014) was analyzed by in situ measurements and chemical transport modeling. Measurements indicated significant production of secondary OA (SOA), with enhancement ratio of OA with respect to carbon monoxide (CO) reaching 0.085 ± 0.003 µg m⁻³ ppbv⁻¹. At background mixing ratios of CO, up to ∼1.8 µg m⁻³ background OA was observed, suggesting significant non-combustion contribution to OA in the Front Range. The mean concentration of OA in plumes with a high influence of oil and natural gas (O&G) emissions was ∼40% higher than in urban-influenced plumes. Positive matrix factorization (PMF) confirmed a dominant contribution of secondary, oxygenated OA (OOA) in the boundary layer instead of fresh, hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA). Combinations of primary OA (POA) volatility assumptions, aging of semi-volatile species, and different emission estimates from the O&G sector were used in the Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem) simulation scenarios. The assumption of semi-volatile POA resulted in greater than a factor of 10 lower POA concentrations compared to PMF-resolved HOA. Including top-down modified O&G emissions resulted in substantially better agreements in modeled ethane, toluene, hydroxyl radical, and ozone compared to measurements in the high-O&G-influenced plumes. By including emissions from the O&G sector using the top-down approach, it was estimated that the O&G sector contributed to <5% of total OA, but up to 38% of anthropogenic SOA (aSOA) in the region. The best agreement between the measured and simulated median OA was achieved by limiting the extent of biogenic hydrocarbon aging and consequently biogenic SOA (bSOA) production. Despite a
lower production of bSOA in this scenario, contribution of bSOA to total SOA remained high at 40–54%. Future studies aiming at a better emissions characterization of POA and intermediate-volatility organic compounds (IVOCs) from the O&G sector are valuable.

1 Introduction

Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) particles are formed from condensation of relatively low vapor pressure species in the atmosphere, generated through oxidation of volatile, semi-volatile, or intermediate-volatility organic compounds (VOCs, SVOCs, or IVOCs, respectively). Since both biogenic and anthropogenic sources contribute to SOA precursors (Hallquist et al., 2009), SOA particles are ubiquitous in the atmosphere and contribute to a large fraction of the sub-micron non-refractory aerosol mass globally (Zhang et al., 2007). Similar to other aerosol particles, SOA particles deteriorate air quality and visibility and impact the climate directly through absorption and scattering of radiation and indirectly through interactions with clouds (Monks et al., 2009).

Despite recent advances in the measurement and modeling aspects of SOAs and their precursors (e.g., Donahue et al., 2006; Ervens and Volkamer, 2010; Hodzic et al., 2010a; de Gouw et al., 2011; Hodzic and Jimenez, 2011; Shrivastava et al., 2011; Ahmadov et al., 2012; Isaacman et al., 2012; Yatavelli et al., 2012; Ehn et al., 2014; Ensberg et al., 2014; Fast et al., 2014; Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2014), the full extent of SOA sources, formation processes, and therefore their impact on air quality, human health, and climate are not fully understood.

In recent decades, stricter regulations by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and state agencies have resulted in lower emissions of black carbon, hydrocarbons (including air toxics), and nitrogen oxides in many urban environments (e.g., Parrish et al., 2002; Peischl et al., 2010; Sather and Cavender, 2012; Warneke et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2014; Kirchstetter et al., 2017) while in other areas, both populated and remote, expansion or emergence of new oil and natural gas (O&G) exploration and production activities has led to higher emissions of air toxics, methane, and non-methane hydrocarbons, e.g., C2 – Cs and larger alkanes, benzene, and larger aromatic species (e.g., Petron et al., 2012; Gilman et al., 2013; Adgate et al., 2014; Helmig et al., 2014; Pekney et al., 2014; Warneke et al., 2014; Field et al., 2015; Koss et al., 2015; Rutter et al., 2015; Swarthout et al., 2015; Helmig et al., 2016; Prenni et al., 2016; Abeleira et al., 2017; Koss et al., 2017). The impact of higher emissions of such hydrocarbons from oil and gas fields of Utah and Wyoming on wintertime ozone has been assessed through recent modeling studies (Carter and Seinfeld, 2012; Edwards et al., 2014; Rappenglück et al., 2014; Ahmadov et al., 2015).

The Wattenberg Field, located in the Denver-Julesburg basin (DJB) in the Colorado Front Range and NE of Denver, is the largest oil- and natural-gas-producing field in the state of Colorado and is one of the 20 largest O&G fields in the United States (RockyMountainEnergyForum 2015). Gas composition in this field is liquid-rich (containing more than 3.8e–4 m³ of condensable hydrocarbons per 28 m³ of extracted gas) (Britannica 1998), making Colorado among the top five US states with high yields of wet-gas production (USEDNC, 2015). Since 2007, several studies in the Front Range have been carried out in an effort to characterize emissions of methane and light alkanes (up to C8) and aromatic species, including benzene, toluene, C8- and C9- aromatics from the O&G activities in the Front Range and their atmospheric impacts in the region (Petron et al., 2012, 2014; Gilman et al., 2013; Swarthout et al., 2013; Abeleira et al., 2017). In the measurement study by Gilman et al. (2013), conducted during February–March 2011 at a site SW of the Wattenberg Field, O&G emissions contributed to 70% and 20–30% of emissions of light alkanes and aromatic species, respectively. Additionally, a high fraction of OH reactivity (55 ± 18%) was attributed to the light alkanes emitted from the O&G activities in the Wattenberg Field, highlighting the significance of these emissions as ozone precursors. In summer 2015, morning OH reactivity was dominated by O&G VOC emissions while in the afternoon isoprene contributed to a higher OH reactivity (Abeleira et al., 2017). Box model simulations corresponding to observations made at Erie, CO (southwest corner of the Wattenberg Field), in summer 2012 and 2014 estimated ~ 80% of gaseous organic carbon had originated from O&G alkane emissions while contribution of these species to local ozone production was estimated to be < 20% (McDuffie et al., 2016). On the high-ozone days, O&G emissions have been estimated to contribute to 30–40% of ozone in the northern Colorado Front Range – Denver metro area, based on data synthesized from airborne measurements in the Front Range during summer 2014 (Pfister et al., 2017). Despite these recent studies, the contribution of O&G emissions to summertime organic aerosol (OA) in the region has not been explored before.

During July–August 2014, the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE), National Science Foundation (NSF), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) cosponsored multiplatform field projects in the Colorado Front Range to characterize emissions, processing, and transport of various pollutants in the region. Here, analyses of the airborne data obtained from the NSF/CDPHE-sponsored Front Range Air Pollution and Photochemistry Éxperiment (FRAPPÉ) project, investigating emissions of hydrocarbons, their impact on SOA formation, and OA chemical characterization through positive matrix factorization (PMF), are presented. A regional chemical transport model, the Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem), is used with volatility basis set parameterization and sensitivity runs to
examine effects of primary OA (POA) volatility, biogenic SOA aging schemes, and updated emissions of hydrocarbons from the O&G sector on SOA formation in the Front Range.

2 Methods

2.1 Measurements

In situ measurements were made aboard the NSF/NCAR C-130 aircraft during 26 July–18 August 2014. The mountainous terrain of the Front Range leads to terrain-induced air mass flow patterns in the region. Typically, during the day, the thermally driven easterly flow transports pollutants towards and up the foothills while at night the flow reverses. During 27–28 July, the region was also under the influence of a mesoscale cyclonic flow, leading to counterclockwise movement of air masses and transfer of pollutants from the northern latitudes towards the Denver metro area (Vu et al., 2016). To limit the current analysis to air masses influenced by emissions in the boundary layer (BL) of the Front Range, analyses from samples collected over the Denver metropolitan area and the eastern plains were limited to those at altitudes typically below 1000 m above ground level (a.g.l.); over the foothills and the continental divide, air masses under the influence of easterly winds sampled at altitudes up to 2500 m a.g.l. were also considered. Additionally, recirculated air masses, occasionally observed at altitudes up to 1800 m a.g.l. over the metropolitan area, were also included in this analysis. Overall, 91 % of the data presented here are from altitudes lower than 1000 m a.g.l., and the contribution of recirculated air masses to the data was minor (< 4 %). Average temperature in the plumes presented in this work was 20.7 ± 5.8°C. The influence of different emission sources on sampled air masses was determined based on the measured trace gases as further explained in Sect. 2.2.

Non-refractory submicron aerosol composition, including organic aerosol, was measured with 15 s frequency using a compact version (mAMS) of the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer equipped with a compact time-of-flight (ToF) detector. Except for the shorter particle time-of-flight chamber and a different pumping system, principles of operation of the mAMS are similar to the full-size AMS instruments, described previously (Jayne et al., 2000; Drewnick et al., 2005; Canagaratna et al., 2007). The mAMS sampled ambient air through a forward-facing, diffusion-type NCAR High-performance Instrumented Airborne Platform for Environmental Research (HIAPER) modular inlet (HIMIL), mounted under the aircraft, and a pressure-controlled inlet (Bahreini et al., 2008; Dingle et al., 2016; Vu et al., 2016). Residence time in the inlet was estimated to be ∼ 0.5 s. Sensitivity calibrations of the instrument were carried out routinely during the project. Variability in the individual calibrations was observed to be less than 10 % and thus an average calibration value was applied to the data obtained from all flights (Vu et al., 2016). Composition-dependent collection efficiency was applied to all the data (Middlebrook et al., 2012a). The estimated uncertainty in the mass concentration of OA was ∼ 30 % (Bahreini et al., 2009) and the detection limit was ∼ 0.4 µg m⁻³ (15 s interval measurements).

The auxiliary gas-phase data used in this analysis are carbon monoxide (CO) by vacuum UV resonance fluorescence (Gerbig et al., 1999); nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) by chemiluminescence (Ridley et al., 2004); ethane (C₂H₆) by infrared spectrometry (Richter et al., 2015); aromatic and biogenic species by online proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (Lindering et al., 1998; de Gouw and Warneke, 2007); hydrogen cyanide (HCN); i-pentane and n-pentane by online cryogenic gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Apel et al., 2015); methylecyclohexane and n-octane by offline analysis of whole air canister samples (WAS) by GC-MS (Colman et al., 2001); nitric acid (HNO₃) by chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) using SF₆⁻ as the reagent ion (Huey et al., 1998); peroxyacetyl nitrates (PAN and PPN) by I⁻ CIMS (Zheng et al., 2011); alkyl nitrates by thermal dissociation laser-induced fluorescence (Day et al., 2002); and hydroxyl (OH), hydroperoxy (HO₂), and alkyl peroxy (RO₂) radicals by CIMS (Mauldin et al., 1998; Hornbrook et al., 2011; Ren et al., 2012). NO₃ was calculated by summing up the individually measured nitrogen oxide species, namely NO, NO₂, HNO₃, particulate nitrate, PAN, PPN, and alkyl nitrates.

2.2 Source characterization

To quantify the contribution of different types of OA factors to total OA, positive matrix factorization was applied to the measured OA spectra during 26 July–11 August. PMF is a multivariate factor analysis method by which input data are categorized into constant profile factors (i.e., factor mass spectra) with varying, positive contributions across time (i.e., factor time series) while minimizing the residual matrix considering the errors associated with each sample (Paatero and Tapper, 1994; Paatero, 1997). The input mass spectra and error matrix of OA were generated by the ToF analysis toolkit (v. 159) and used in the PMF Evaluation Toolkit (v. 2.08D). Down-weighting of uncertain and weak fragments with a signal-to-noise ratio of 0.2–2 and fragments related to CO₄⁻ (i.e., m/z 16, 17, 18, 28, and 44) was carried out following the procedures outlined in previous studies (Ulbrich et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011). A total of 100 bootstrap iterations with the ideal number of factors (two, as discussed further in Sect. 3.1) were also carried out to determine the robustness of the resolved factors.

To compare OA production in plumes with an influence of pure urban-related vs. high-O&G-related emissions, two air mass categories were defined using the auxiliary gas-phase data of CO and C₂H₆ as tracers for urban and O&G emissions, respectively. Urban-influenced air masses were defined as air masses where CO enhancement over
the background (105 ppbv, defined by the mode in the frequency distribution of CO in the Front Range boundary layer) was observed while C_{2}H_{6}/CO < 20 pptv ppbv$^{-1}$ (Warneke et al., 2007; Borbon et al., 2013). Plumes with a high influence of O&G emissions were defined by C_{2}H_{6}/CO > 80 pptv ppbv$^{-1}$ and C_{2}H_{4} mixing ratios greater than 10 ppbv (Warneke et al., 2007; Borbon et al., 2013). Data from 11 to 12 August, when influence from regional biomass burning emissions resulted in higher HCN background values (540 vs. 300 pptv), were eliminated from analysis of the ambient measurements, although the PMF input matrix included data from 11 August.

2.2.1 WRF-Chem modeling

The Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem) (https://ruc.noaa.gov/wrf/wrf-chem/, last access: 14 January 2018) is an online meteorology–chemistry model, which is widely used in air quality and atmospheric chemistry applications (Grell et al., 2005; Powers et al., 2017). Table 1 lists the main configurations and parameterizations used to run WRF-Chem. The model includes multiple gas and aerosol chemistry parameterizations with varying levels of complexity, photolysis, and removal (dry and wet) mechanisms. The model also contains the state-of-the-art SOA schemes based on a volatility basis set approach. In this study, we used an SOA scheme mostly based on the RACM_SOA_VBS mechanism described in Ahmado et al. (2012). In the model, five volatility bins ($10^{-1}$, $10^{0}$, $10^{1}$, $10^{2}$, $10^{3}$ μg m$^{-3}$) are assumed for organic aerosols. For the computational efficiency of the model simulations, it is assumed that all the OA species in the first bin ($10^{-1}$ μg m$^{-3}$) are in the particle phase. The major modification to the SOA scheme here is the treatment of semi-volatile POA emissions. The WRF-Chem model with the updated SOA code allows assigning different volatility distributions for the POA emissions. Here, two scenarios for POA volatility are presented. In the base case scenario, POA is emitted with a volatility distribution similar to that of Tsimplidi et al. (2010), except that the distribution used to partition the POA emissions in this study conserves total POA mass. Specifically, we used the following coefficients to partition the POA emissions across the five saturation bins: 0.09, 0.09, 0.14, 0.18, and 0.5. In the other scenario, the POA is assumed to be non-volatile. Thus, in this scenario all the emitted POA remains in the particle phase in the atmosphere until it is removed by dry or wet deposition processes. Since there are large uncertainties related to the missing SVOC emissions in inventories, we did not scale up the POA emissions in this study. Therefore, total mass of the emitted POA is the same in both modeling scenarios.

Another major update to the model is the addition of intermediate VOCs (IVOCs). Unlike many other SOA modeling studies, we did not scale up the IVOC emissions according to the POA emissions. Here, the unidentified VOC emissions from the U.S. EPA NEI-2011v1 inventory were used as IVOCs. In WRF-Chem the IVOCs are emitted and transported as other gaseous species. They are oxidized by hydroxyl radical with the rate of $2.3 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^{3}$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, as hexadecane. A similar approach was first applied in another WRF-Chem study in order to simulate SOA formation from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico (Middlebrook et al., 2012b). As further discussed in Sect. 2.4, in the top-down emission simulation scenarios, IVOC emissions from the O&G sector were scaled using the top-down estimates of the alkane species (namely the HC8 species in the RACM mechanism). Lack of direct measurements of ambient IVOC species makes it impossible to directly constrain their emissions using the top-down approach. Table 2 highlights differences in emission estimates and POA volatility assumptions used in the different simulation scenarios. In the simulation case with limited biogenic SOA formation, the first-generation semi-volatile organic condensable vapors are not oxidized further, and therefore only first-generation bVOC oxidation products contributed to biogenic SOA production.

The WRF-Chem model, which includes the new SOA formation mechanisms, was simulated on two domains, covering the contiguous United States (CONUS) and entire Colorado, at 12 and 4 km resolutions, respectively. In addition to the full gas and aerosol chemistry, a photolysis scheme, dry and wet removal parameterizations for both gaseous and aerosol species were incorporated in WRF-Chem. The anthropogenic and biogenic emissions were also included in the simulations. First, all the model simulations were conducted on the CONUS domain for the 24 July–14 August 2014 time period. Then, using a one-way nesting approach, initial and boundary conditions for the inner domain (Fig. S1 in the Supplement) were created to conduct various sensitivity simulations for 27 July–13 August. Simulations on the 4 km domain were conducted in 24 h intervals. The model was initialized by using meteorological input from the 12 km domain, which in turn used North American mesoscale analysis fields (www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov, last access: 21 July 2016) as boundary and initial conditions. Simulated chemical species were cycled between the 4 km domain runs to preserve the
fine-scale features captured by the inner domain. All the WRF-Chem modeling results presented here are based on the 4 km domain simulations. To determine model predictions along the flight track, the aircraft’s flight track was traced in the model domain and measured parameters along this track were averaged over the model grid cells. The speed in the model domain and measured parameters along this track were averaged over the model grid cells. The speed of the C-130 in the boundary layer with a full payload is \( \sim 100 \text{ m s}^{-1} \); thus, with the AMS averaging time of 15 s, 2–3 values from the AMS measurements were available to average in a 4 km \( \times \) 4 km grid cell to compare the model to. There was no interpolation of the data in space or within the hourly temporal resolution of the model. Note also that there was no drastic variability within the hourly timescale of the modeled parameters.

### 2.2.2 Emissions

Since the focus of this paper is on quantification of SOA formation in the Front Range, two emission scenarios are explored. Both emissions scenarios are based on the U.S. EPA NEI-2011 emission inventory with the exception that O&G activity emissions in the DJB are modified to allow direct quantification of SOA formation from this sector. The NEI-2011 emissions rely on the version 6.0 platform (https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-modeling/2011-version-60-platform) and are basically the same emissions used and documented in Ahmadov et al. (2015) except for the chemical speciation profiles of the O&G sectors. In some of the scenarios, all O&G-related activity emissions are removed from the simulations. For other scenarios, VOC emissions from O&G activity in the DJB are specified according to a top-down approach from observations collected at the Boulder Atmospheric Observatory (BAO) tower, on the western edge of the DJB. As previously mentioned, the “unknown” VOC species within the NEI-2011 inventory is also included, representing direct emissions of IVOCs. Summers (July) conditions are assumed within the NEI temporal allocation specifications, as are the diurnal profiles and spatial allocations at 4 km horizontal resolution.

The top-down emissions from the DJB are derived using the same strategy as in Ahmadov et al. (2015), whereby CH\(_4\) flux observations over a basin are combined with basin-wide VOC to CH\(_4\) emission ratios. In this case, the O&G activity sector CH\(_4\) flux estimate for May 2012 within the DJB of Petron et al. (2014) (19.3 \( \pm \) 6.9 t h\(^{-1}\)) is adopted. VOC to CH\(_4\) emission ratios from O&G activity in the DJB for individual compounds are derived from VOC measurements at the BAO tower during the July–August 2012 SONNE (Summer Ozone Near Natural Gas Emissions) field study (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/csd/groups/csd7/measurements/2012sonne/, last access: 21 July 2016). Identical to the VOC analysis for the NACHTT-2011 field campaign, linear regressions using two variables (propane and acetylene) are used to distinguish O&G activity versus transportation-related sources (Gilman et al., 2013). Table S1 summarizes the correlation statistics of NO\(_x\) and 43 VOCs with CH\(_4\), C\(_2\)H\(_2\), and C\(_3\)H\(_8\) during SONNE. Derived emission ratios relative to propane are nearly identical between the two field studies for the 18 VOCs measured during NACHTT-2011 and are within 20 % of the emission ratios of five of the VOCs from aircraft samples reported in Petron et al. (2014). All three DJB studies imply strong correlations between propane, CH\(_4\), and other VOCs from O&G activity, allowing high confidence in the regression slopes that define the emission ratios used here. Spatial allocation and the area and point sector ratios of the top-down inventory are taken from the O&G sector totals within NEI 2011, and no diurnal variation is assumed. As discussed in Gilman et al. (2013), NO\(_x\) and CO emissions from the oil and gas sector are indeterminable due to their overwhelming correlation with acetylene, so no NO\(_x\) or CO adjustments are possible in the top-down case. Likewise, no changes to NEI-2011 aerosol emissions are considered.

Table 3a and b provide emission totals from the NEI-2011 and the top-down VOC inventories for areas covering the DJB and Denver metro region, respectively. The DJB latitude and longitude limits in Table 3a are chosen to capture sources contributing to the CH\(_4\) emission totals within Petron et al. (2014), which also includes some northern suburbs of Denver. O&G activity emissions are only included within “area” and “point” emission sector categories and are indeterminable within the mobile categories. The area category in particular dominates the ethane and unknown VOC emissions. We note that NEI 2011 does not specifically contain any POA emissions associated with O&G activity. The largest area sources of POA in Table 3a are from agricul-

| Case identifier | Emissions | POA volatility | bVOC oxidation rate and subsequent SOA formation |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| BC-nOG          | NEI, no O&G | Semi-volatile | \( k_{\text{OH}} = 1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) |
| BC-tOOG         | NEI, top-down O&G | Semi-volatile | \( k_{\text{OH}} = 1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) |
| nvPOA-nOG       | NEI, no O&G | Non-volatile  | \( k_{\text{OH}} = 1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) |
| nvPOA-tOOG      | NEI, top-down O&G | Non-volatile | \( k_{\text{OH}} = 1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) |
| nvPOA-tOOG-bVOCox | NEI, top-down O&G | Non-volatile | Limited formation of bSOA |
Table 3. NEI-2011 emissions (July, weekday) for the Denver–Julesburg basin box, 39.8–40.7° N, 104.25–105.4° W (9764 km²). Top-down estimates for \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \) and toluene point and area sources are indicated. Units are kilo mole h\(^{-1} \) for gas-phase species and short tons day\(^{-1} \) for POC (primary organic carbon) and PNCOM (primary non-carbon organic matter).

| Species | Total   | Mobile on-road | Mobile non-road | Area | Point |
|---------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| \( \text{NO}_x \) | 144.36  | 53.50          | 20.56           | 14.28| 56.00 |
| CO      | 874.37  | 366.07         | 426.84          | 11.76| 69.70 |
| \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \) | 232.34  | 0.56           | 0.57            | 221.93| 9.28  |
| Toluene | 4.52    | 1.08           | 0.97            | 1.82 | 0.65  |
| Unknown | 1.87    | 0.15           | 0.00            | 1.35 | 0.36  |
| POC     | 3.66    | 0.47           | 0.72            | 1.78 | 0.69  |
| PNCOM   | 1.28    | 0.13           | 0.18            | 0.71 | 0.26  |

**b**

| Species | Total   | Mobile on-road | Mobile non-road | Area | Point |
|---------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| \( \text{NO}_x \) | 153.70  | 90.27          | 27.86           | 0.76 | 34.81 |
| CO      | 1351.82 | 646.56         | 684.47          | 2.24 | 18.56 |
| \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \) | 3.76    | 0.94           | 0.81            | 1.50 | 0.52  |
| Toluene | 4.61    | 1.86           | 1.47            | 0.75 | 0.53  |
| Unknown | 0.68    | 0.26           | 0.01            | 0.06 | 0.36  |
| POC     | 4.53    | 0.79           | 1.04            | 2.37 | 0.33  |
| PNCOM   | 1.55    | 0.21           | 0.26            | 0.95 | 0.13  |

tural tilling, construction, and fugitives emissions from paved and unpaved roads, though commercial cooking sources account for \( \sim 43 \% \). Emissions in the Denver metro region are dominated by mobile sources, while the main source of POA (66 %) is commercial cooking.

Based on the BEIS 3.14 inventory, biogenic emission sources are mostly in the south and west of Denver and over the mountains in western Colorado (Fig. S2). Since the typical daytime flow of air masses during FRAPPE was from east to west, it is apparent that transport of bVOCs into the Front Range compared to local sources was not significant.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Ambient OA observations

Figure 1 highlights the general trends observed for OA vs. CO in the Front Range BL. Data points appear to be bound by enhancement ratios of \( \Delta \text{OA}/\Delta \text{CO} = 0.016–0.085 \, \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \, \text{ppbv}^{-1} \), with higher values observed in air masses with \( \text{NO}_x/\text{NO}_y < 0.3 \), i.e., air masses with a higher degree of photochemical processing, compared to fresher air masses with \( \text{NO}_x/\text{NO}_y > 0.7 \). Note that these age categories best represent processing of plumes with \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions, while true aging of emissions in the absence of \( \text{NO}_x \) is not captured. Since daily flight patterns did not include regular upwind tracks, it was not possible to determine daily background values to subtract from the measured OA and CO. Therefore, the enhancement ratios were determined by weighted, linear orthogonal distance regression (ODR) fits, with weights representing the uncertainty in OA (30 %) and CO (3 %). Uncertainties in the slopes represent 95 % confidence intervals. Almost a factor of 5.5 increase in \( \Delta \text{OA}/\Delta \text{CO} \) indicates a significant production of SOA with photochemical aging in the Front Range. Another notable feature in Fig. 1 is the higher \( \Delta \text{OA}/\Delta \text{CO} \) enhancement ra-

![Figure 1](https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/18/8293/2018/)
Pentane isomers typically result in less than 50% of the slope itself. Urban emissions of the pentane/n-pentane ratio observed in the fresher plumes sampled in the Front Range compared to the typical enhancement ratio of primary OA to CO (ΔPOA/ΔCO = 0.010 ± 0.005 µg m⁻³ ppbv⁻¹) observed in fresh air masses over other urban environments (de Gouw et al., 2008). This difference may arise from contributions of sources other than urban vehicular exhaust to POA in this region, as is further discussed below. Additionally, using the best estimates of the ODR slope and intercept values of the regression lines to the data, the predicte OA at background levels of CO (∼105 ppbv) was 1.82 µg m⁻³. This value, which was very similar to the mode of the OA frequency distribution in the BL at 1.85 µg m⁻³, is a substantial portion of total OA, suggesting the presence of relatively high concentrations of non-combustion-related OA, likely of biogenic origin, in the region.

For a more detailed investigation of OA formation in different plumes, correlations of OA vs. CO in ∼94 individual plumes in the boundary layer on 26 July–11 August were investigated to determine the corresponding ΔOA/ΔCO values by the slope of weighted ODR fits. The spatial distribution of ΔOA/ΔCO values, color-coded with the observed ratio of i-pentane/n-pentane, is summarized in Fig. 2 for cases where the correlation coefficient (r) of OA vs. CO was greater than 0.5 and where the standard deviation of the ODR slopes was less than 50% of the slope itself. Urban emissions of the pentane isomers typically result in i-pentane/n-pentane values > 2 (Warneke et al., 2007, 2013), while O&G emissions in DJB have shown characteristic ratios ~1 (Petron et al., 2012; Gilman et al., 2013). Considering the location of the active O&G wells in the Front Range (Fig. 2), the lower i-pentane/n-pentane values observed to the north of the Denver metro area are a strong indicator for the influence of O&G emissions in these plumes. There are several plumes with a high O&G emission influence in this area that also display a large enhancement in OA with respect to CO. The apparent difference in the enhancement ratios may be due to the lower CO mixing ratios in the non-urban plumes or higher OA concentration in plumes sampled to the north of the Denver metro area, either because of longer photochemical age or higher concentrations of OA precursors in such plumes. We further investigate these differences in the next sections.

PMF analysis of the OA spectra resolved two distinct profiles with spectra shown in Fig. 3a. In the two-factor solution, the first factor had a higher contribution of m/z 44 and is identified as the secondary and oxygenated factor (OOA, oxygenated OA) as it correlated best with the OOA factor previously identified in several field studies (Ng et al., 2011) as well as secondary species such as sulfate and nitrate (Table 4). Increasing the number of factors resulted in split factors and a minimal decrease in $Q/Q_{expected}$. When examining correlation coefficients of two of the factors (in a three-factor solution case) containing signal at m/z 44 with external tracers, only the correlations with CO were significantly different ($r = 0.03$ vs. 0.28), while correlations with other anthropogenic and biogenic tracers (e.g., acetylene, ethane, isoprene oxidation products – i.e., methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein – and monoterpenes) or aerosol nitrate and sulfate were not. We therefore believe that the three-factor solution is unable to determine a meaningful and independent third factor, and thus PMF is unable to clearly isolate the contribution of biogenic vs. anthropogenic sources to OOA in this environment. Statistically similar enhancement ratios of OOA relative to CO or odd oxygen (O₃) in aged (i.e., NOₓ/NOₓ < 0.3) urban- and high-O&G-influenced plumes were obtained (Fig. S3); however, median and mean OOA concentrations in plumes with a large influence of O&G emissions were ~25% higher than the values in urban-only plumes, under similar non-cyclonic atmospheric conditions (Fig. 3c) (Sullivan et al., 2016; Vu et al., 2016). The uncorrelated relationship between OOA and O₃ under cyclonic conditions in plumes with a high O&G influence is similar to an observed large scatter in CO versus O₃ (not shown). The influence of upwind sources of CO and OOA that were not correlated with O₃ formation (e.g., biomass burning) cannot be ruled out under the cyclonic episodes sampled here, resulting in mean and median OOA values in O&G-influenced plumes during cyclonic flow that were outside the variability range of the values observed during the non-cyclonic flow. More discussion on the role of different emission sources on OA is presented in Sects. 3.2–3.3. Overall, the OOA factor dominated the OA composition, contributing to 85% of OA mass. The second factor, referred to as HOA...
(hydrocarbon-like OA), had a pronounced fragmentation pattern at delta patterns 0 and 2 (e.g., m/z 41, 43, 55, 57, 69, 71) that are common for hydrocarbons (McLafferty and Turecek, 1993) and correlated best with the HOA factor in previous field studies (Ng et al., 2011) as well as primary combustion tracers such as acetylene and CO; it therefore represents the fresh, hydrocarbon-like components of OA. Mean HOA concentrations were ∼35% higher (Fig. 3d) in high-O&G-influenced plumes compared to urban plumes, under similar non-cyclonic conditions, suggesting contribution of primary aerosol (in this case, POA) emissions from equipment associated with O&G-related activities (Field et al., 2014; Prenni et al., 2016). Averaged over all plume types, the contribution of HOA to total OA mass was 15%. Although airborne measurements of aerosol optical extinction and HCN provided evidence for long-range transport of biomass burning plumes during 11–12 August (Dingle et al., 2016) to the Front Range, a factor with a significant contribution at fragments associated with levoglucosan combustion (i.e., m/z 60 and 73) was not identified. Therefore, either the contribution of wildfires to non-refractory OA composition during the days of PMF analysis was negligible or the photochemistry of the fire plumes during transport resulted in chemical transformation of the biomass burning markers (Hennigan et al., 2010, 2011).

3.2 Influence of urban and O&G emissions: measurements

To better understand the impact of urban vs. O&G emissions on SOA formation in the Front Range, data on measured OA, known precursors of SOA, and photochemical markers were examined in urban air masses and those with a high influence of O&G emissions (Fig. 4). Mean and median values of OA were ∼40–48% higher in high-O&G-influenced plumes compared to urban plumes. As discussed in Sect. 3.1 and Fig. 3, most of the OA in the Front Range is oxygenated and secondary in nature. More efficient SOA production in an air mass could be due to differences in oxidation timescales, amounts of SOA precursors or oxidants, or oxidation conditions, and thus SOA production yields. Statistical data in Fig. 4b–d indicate that while the mixing ratio of biogenic species (sum of the measured isoprene, monoterpane, and 2 × (methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein)) in the two air mass types were similar within 20%, the median mixing ratio of the sum of aromatic species (i.e., benzene, toluene, and C₈ and C₉ aromatics) and sum of methylcyclohexane and n-octane, which are known SOA precursors (Odum et al., 1997a, b; Lim and Ziemann, 2005), were higher by factors of 2.4 and 4.7, respectively, in high-O&G-influenced plumes relative to urban plumes. Therefore, it is not surprising that higher OA and OOA concentrations were measured in high-O&G-influenced plumes. Next, we examine photochemical conditions that affect SOA production yields. Radical chemistry in different NOx regimes leads to different SOA formation potentials, depending on the branching ratio of RO2 radicals reacting with HO2 vs. NO (Kroll et al., 2005; Ng et al., 2007a; b; Henze et al., 2008). Median NO mixing ratios in urban and high-O&G plumes were at least 350 pptv (Fig. 4e), which is about a factor of 10 higher than the median HO2 mixing ratios in these plumes (Fig. 4f), suggesting that the oxidation conditions encountered in both urban- and high-O&G-influenced air masses were NO-rich, and hence provide the conditions where RO2 radicals predominantly react with NO rather than HO2 radicals. Furthermore, mean and median OH concentrations in both urban- and high-O&G-influenced plumes were similar to within ∼15%. The dominance of NO over HO2 and lack of a significant difference in OH concentrations in urban- and high-O&G-influenced air masses indicate the presence of similar oxidation conditions in the two air mass types. Thus, the higher OA values in high-O&G-influenced plumes compared to pure urban plumes are hypothesized to be due to SOA formation from higher concentrations of aromatics and larger alkanes. We further investigate the contribution of O&G sources to SOA formation in simulation scenarios with WRF-Chem modeling.

3.3 Influence of urban and O&G emissions: modeling

3.3.1 WRF-Chem simulations of gaseous species modeling

We begin examining the results of WRF-Chem simulation runs by first comparing predicted mixing ratios of various primary and secondary gases in urban- and high-O&G-influenced air masses. This exercise was not performed as a point and point comparison along the flight track since locations of the simulated pollution plumes were sometimes shifted compared to the measurements. An example of differences between the measured and modeled distribution of plumes is shown for ethane in Fig. S4. Because of this, flags similar to those used for characterizing plumes measured with urban and high O&G emissions were defined, based on

Table 4. Correlation coefficient of PMF factors with different species.

|                | CO  | Acetylene | C₂H₆ | NO₃⁻ | SO₄²⁻ | HOA| OOA  |
|----------------|-----|-----------|------|------|-------|----|-----|
| Factor 1 – OOA | 0.68| 0.71      | 0.46 | 0.64 | 0.69  | 0.50| 0.95 |
| Factor 2 – HOA | 0.68| 0.76      | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.40  | 0.92| 0.50 |

a HOA and OOA factors as identified in Ng et al. (2011).
Figure 3. Mass spectra (a), fractional contribution (b), and mass concentrations of OOA (c) and HOA (d) factors. Box and whisker plots depict the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles. Mean values of OOA and HOA in each plume type are shown in circles.

Figure 4. Statistical analysis of measured OA (a), various hydrocarbons (sum of biogenic VOCs, bVOC, defined as isoprene + 2× (methyl vinyl ketone + methacrolein) + monoterpenes (b); sum of aromatic VOCs defined as benzene + toluene + C_8 aromatics + C_9 aromatics (c); sum of methylecyclohexane and octane (d)), NO (e), and radicals (HO_2 (f), OH (g), and RO_2 (h)) in urban- and high-O&G-influenced plumes. Box and whisker plots depict the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles. Mean values in each plume type are shown in circles.

the modeled values of CO and C_2H_6, and statistical analyses of data under each flag type were carried out. To assess the impact of the emission scenarios, we first compare measured and modeled values of C_2H_6, toluene, and CO in urban- and high-O&G-influenced air masses. Figure 5a–b demonstrate that there is a large influence of C_2H_6 from the O&G sector in the Front Range and that neglecting those emissions significantly underestimates C_2H_6 mixing ratios in both urban- and high-O&G-influenced plumes. In urban plumes (Fig. 5c, e), the mean toluene and CO mixing ratios were very similar under both emission scenarios and overestimated compared to the measurements by a factor of 2 and 20%, respectively. In the high-O&G-influenced plumes (Fig. 5b, d, f), neglecting the O&G emissions of VOCs resulted in underestimation of C_2H_6 (by a factor >10) and toluene (by 35%) and ~10% overestimation of CO compared to the measurements. When modifying the O&G emissions with the top-down approach, a reasonable comparison for C_2H_6 was achieved in the high-O&G-influenced plumes; additionally, the mean toluene mixing ratio was now within 12% of the measurements while the mean values for CO did not change. These comparisons demonstrate that adjusting the O&G sector emissions by the top-down approach was necessary to realistically capture the influence of such emissions in the Front Range.

We next compare the mixing ratios of biogenic SOA precursors with the modified NEI emissions. Since emissions of biogenic VOCs were not modified in the top-down approach and because one goal of the current study is to investigate the contribution of O&G emissions to OA formation, we focus on the comparison between the measured values and only the modified, top-down O&G emission scenario (Fig. 6). These comparisons suggest that isoprene and its oxidation products are well represented in the model, whereas the monoterpenes mixing ratios are underestimated by as much as 50%. The effect of this underestimation on total SOA formation however
Measured and predicted values of O\textsubscript{3} are compared in Fig. 8. Without emissions from the O&G sector, mean predicted O\textsubscript{3} values in urban- and high-O&G-influenced plumes were $\sim 8.5$ ppbv and $\sim 2$ ppbv lower than measurements. The higher discrepancy observed in urban plumes might be due to overestimation of primary urban emissions (e.g., toluene, CO, and NO) and subsequently higher O\textsubscript{3} titration by NO, or due to lower extent of mixing in the model. In simulations including the O&G emissions, a minor ($< 1$ ppbv) increase in the mean urban O\textsubscript{3} was predicted while the increase in high-O&G-influenced plumes was more significant, at $\sim 4.5$ ppbv. It should be noted that the uncertainties in meteorological simulations (e.g., wind speed and direction) also contribute to the overall model–measurement discrepancies of the chemical species discussed here.

3.3.2 WRF-Chem simulations of organic aerosol

In this section we examine simulated values of different OA types in the different simulation runs and compare them with the factors resolved by PMF. The cumulative distributions of PMF-derived HOA and simulated POA concentrations in the Front Range boundary layer are shown in Fig. 9a. It is apparent that the median value of POA in the base case and all the runs using a similar volatility assumption of POA is significantly lower than the HOA estimate derived from PMF. It is worth noting that cooking POA contributions in NEI might be underestimated for the Front Range area, while there could be some contribution of POA from cooking or sources other than vehicular exhaust to the PMF-resolved HOA factor. For example, as shown in Fig. 3d and discussed previously, there appears to be some contribution to HOA from O&G-related activities. A higher POA emission factor from O&G-related activities is not unexpected given typically high emissions from diesel engines without after-treatment technology that might be working at these sites (Ban-Weiss et al., 2008; Jathar et al., 2017); however, as mentioned before, there were no adjustments to POA emissions for the O&G sector in WRF-Chem when modifying the top-down estimates of gaseous emissions. Despite this, it is unlikely that NEI emission factors of POA from the urban areas are underestimated by up to a factor of 8 (mean HOA $\sim 0.45$ $\mu$g m\textsuperscript{-3} vs. mean POA $\sim 0.05$ $\mu$g m\textsuperscript{-3}). One possible explanation for this discrepancy is the assumed volatility distribution of the POA. Given the large uncertainties in volatility estimates of POA from different sources (Hodzic et al., 2010b; May et al., 2013), to explore the effect of POA volatility, simulations were repeated assuming non-volatile POA. In these runs and regardless of O&G emission treatments, the mean and median POA values increased by a factor of 5, bringing the predicted POA values within a factor of 2 of the PMF-based HOA concentrations. The non-volatile POA assumption may not be accurate, and improved volatility distributions of POA from different combustion sources would have to be considered to accurately account for the semi-volatility of POA.
emissions in future air quality models. However, in the absence of better estimates of POA emission ratios or volatility, the predicted POA values in current simulations with non-volatile POA conditions are more comparable to the PMF-based estimates of HOA in this environment.

Modeled total OA values in the Front Range BL are compared with the observed values in Fig. 9b. The median values of most model scenarios, except when biogenic aging was turned off, were $\sim 35\%$ higher than measurements, which is an excellent agreement considering the uncertainties in measurements, emissions (magnitude and speciation), meteorological simulations, and other input parameters of the model. The extremely low and high values of measured OA, however, were not predicted well with any of the model runs, likely due to uncertainties in emissions of IVOCs from the urban and O&G sector as well as uncertainties in the aging mechanisms of hydrocarbons (e.g., extent of fragmentation vs. functionalization reactions or aging of biogenic SVOC products). Measured and modeled total OA values in urban- and O&G-influenced plumes are compared in Fig. 10a–b. Regardless of model assumptions, predicted median values of OA were 0.6 to 1.8 $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$ (25 to 58\%) higher than the measured median values in urban plumes. This overprediction may partly stem from higher-than-measured mixing ratios of urban VOCs in the model (Fig. 5c). Comparisons in the high-O&G-influenced plumes were better, with differences of only $-0.2$ to $0.8 \mu g \cdot m^{-3}$ ($-6$ to $25\%$) between measured and predicted values. Consistent with the observations in Fig. 9b, there was a bias towards higher values in the modeled urban OA while the measured high values in O&G-influenced plumes were underpredicted.

The effect of POA volatility was most apparent in predicted OA values in the urban plumes. Considering results of pairs of runs with similar consideration of O&G emissions, non-volatile POA runs resulted in a $\sim 13\%$ ($\sim 0.4 \mu g \cdot m^{-3}$) increase in total OA compared to scenarios where POA...
Figure 9. Cumulative distribution of HOA or POA (a) and OA (b) based on measurements and various simulation scenarios.

Figure 10. Statistical comparisons of predicted OA, anthropogenic SOA (aSOA), and biogenic SOA (bSOA) in urban-influenced (a, c, e) and high-O&G-influenced (b, d, e) plumes in different model scenarios. Data from measured OA are also included in (a–b). Box and whisker plots depict the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles.
was assumed to be semi-volatile (Fig. 10a). To determine how different components of OA were affected by changes in POA volatility, anthropogenic and biogenic SOA values (aSOA and bSOA, respectively) were considered separately. Assuming POA was non-volatile actually reduced aSOA by < 5% (∼0.05 µg m⁻³) in urban plumes (Fig. 10c) while it increased bSOA by 2–4% (0.04–0.08 µg m⁻³) (Fig. 10e). The reason for the reduction in aSOA is that with the non-volatile assumption of POA its semi-volatile components are not available for gas-phase oxidation, reducing concentrations of anthropogenic oxidized species that are condensable and thus leading to a decrease in aSOA. On the other hand, since POA concentration is higher when assumed non-volatile, available aerosol mass for absorptive partitioning is higher, resulting in increased partitioning of semi-volatile bVOC oxidation products to the aerosol phase and thus an increase in bSOA concentration. Therefore, it appears that most of the increase in urban total OA in non-volatile POA scenarios is due to the contribution from POA.

The effect of including top-down estimates of O&G emissions on predicted OA was quantified from changes in predicted OA, under the same POA volatility assumption, in the high-O&G-influenced plumes. Results indicate at most a 4.7% increase in OA from O&G emissions. Although the net increase in OA due to O&G emissions was relatively small, there was a ∼ 30–38% (∼0.4 µg m⁻³) increase in aSOA due to these emissions, depending on POA volatility. On the other hand, median bSOA values decreased by 8–10% (< 0.2 µg m⁻³) after including the top-down estimates of O&G emissions, likely due to reductions in OH with the additional VOC emissions in the high-O&G-influenced plumes (Fig. 7b).

As apparent in the cumulative distribution of OA (Fig. 9b), the model cases discussed so far do not capture ∼ 10% of the data where measured OA values are lower than 1 µg m⁻³, suggesting that the background OA in these runs might be overpredicted. A final model run was designed to investigate the role of successive biogenic VOC aging on the predicted OA and its background values. Although the low-concentration OA data points were still overpredicted in this model run (Fig. 10), the overall comparisons with the observed OA values (Fig. 10a, b) were best when consecutive formation of bSOA was turned off. Specifically, total predicted OA values in these run were 0.8–1 µg m⁻³ lower than the scenarios with similar POA volatility and O&G emissions while consecutive formation of bSOA was active. This decrease was predominantly due to the decrease in the bSOA portion of OA (Fig. 10e–f). It is worth highlighting that even with these reduced bSOA values, the predicted contribution of bSOA to total OA in the Front Range remained high, at ∼ 54 and 40% in urban- and O&G-influenced plumes, respectively (Fig. 11). This is qualitatively consistent with the relatively high values of OA at background CO mixing ratios as was shown in Fig. 1.

We further examine simulations of SOA formation in two scenarios with non-volatile POA. With the standard treatment of bVOC oxidation and bSOA formation, urban plumes with NOₓ/NO, n < 0.3 displayed a 50% greater enhancement in SOA with respect to CO (∆SOA/∆CO) compared to plumes with a high O&G influence (Fig. 12a). On the other hand, SOA enhancement with respect to Oₓ (∆SOA/∆Oₓ) was 30% higher in high-O&G-influenced plumes (Fig. 12c). By turning off consecutive formation of bSOA, similar ∆SOA/∆CO enhancement ratios were obtained in urban- and high-O&G-influenced plumes (Fig. 12b) while the difference in ∆SOA/∆Oₓ increased, with the ratio in high-O&G-influenced plumes being ∼ 66% higher than in urban plumes (Fig. 12d). Both of these trends are consistent with reductions in bSOA in urban plumes. Neither of the simulation scenarios resulted in ∆SOA/∆CO values similar to the observed ∆OOA/∆CO in urban plumes, although the predicted values in high-O&G-influenced plumes were consistent with the lower values of the ODR fits to the observations considering the 95% confidence intervals (Fig. S3). It is worth noting that not considering variable background levels of OOA and CO and the uncertainties associated with PMF analysis might have also impacted the comparisons discussed here. Simulated ∆SOA/∆Oₓ were also significantly lower than observed ∆OOA/∆Oₓ in urban plumes indicating that neither runs predicted an accurate relationship for SOA and Oₓ formation in these plumes, despite predicting OA well. Contrary to the measurements, predicted CO (Fig. 5e–f), NO (Fig. 7e–f), and O₁ (Fig. 8a–b) mixing ratios were different in urban- and high-O&G-influenced plumes, therefore contributing to some of the differences in predicted ∆SOA/∆CO and ∆OOA/∆Oₓ in urban-influenced vs. O&G-influenced plumes.

4 Conclusions and implications

Summertime OA in the Front Range displayed significant enhancement with respect to CO in photochemically aged
plumes. Substantial contributions of OOA in plumes impacted by urban and O&G emissions were confirmed with PMF analysis. In the absence of cyclonic flow and under similar atmospheric conditions, differences in OOA and HOA concentrations in urban-influenced vs. high-O&G-influenced plumes were within the observed variabilities while mean and median concentrations of OOA were significantly higher during the Denver cyclone. Mixing ratios of aromatics, methyl cyclohexane, octane, and RO$_2$ radicals were significantly higher in high-O&G-influenced plumes compared to urban plumes. Despite this, OH and HO$_2$ mixing ratios were highly similar.

To assess the role of O&G emissions on SOA production, WRF-Chem model runs were carried out, with different considerations for POA volatility and emission strengths from the O&G sector. Assuming a semi-volatile nature for POA resulted in greater than factor of 10 lower mean and median POA concentrations compared to the PMF-based HOA, while simulations with the assumption of non-volatile POA resulted in only a factor of 2 lower POA compared to HOA. Assuming non-volatile POA increased the predicted total OA by $\sim$13\%, mainly through additional contribution of POA to OA. Much improved comparisons between predicted mixing ratios of VOCs and the measurements were achieved when using top-down modified emission factors from the O&G sector in DJB. Overall, comparisons of the median measured and predicted OA were satisfactory, with the best match obtained in runs when consecutive aging of bVOCs and bSOA formation was turned off. The extent of SOA formation due to emissions from the O&G sector was estimated to be less than $\sim$5\% of total OA; however, the contribution of O&G emissions to aSOA was more significant at $\sim$30–38\%. Given the uncertainties in emissions of IVOCs from the O&G sector, more simulations need to be carried out to better quantify the contribution of O&G IVOC emissions to total OA. In addition, it is important to characterize POA emissions associated with the O&G sector in future emission inventories. A large fraction ($\sim$40–54\%) of OA in the Front Range was predicted to be from bSOA. Uncertainties in photochemical processing and aging of bVOCs also warrant additional studies to constrain the production of bSOA. It is worth noting that, in the wintertime with lower boundary layer heights...
and lower temperatures, higher aerosol mass and more favorable conditions for the partitioning of semi-volatile species to the aerosol phase exist. Additionally, significantly lower emissions of bVOCs are expected in wintertime; therefore, contributions of O&G emissions to SOA in the Front Range could be more significant than what was observed during this study.
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