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A B S T R A C T

Needle stick injuries (NSIs) are the injuries that are caused by needles, such as hypodermic needles, blood collection needles, intravenous stylets, and needles used to connect parts of intravenous delivery systems. NSIs are very common and in many instances unavoidable among healthcare providers when they are delivering patient care. Around 75% of the NSIs in developing countries are not reported. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence and other correlates and attributes of NSIs among healthcare providers in a tertiary care teaching hospital in South India. An observational prospective study was conducted at a East Point College of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Bangalore during a period of January 2017 to December 2018. We analyzed the data of all the HCWs who voluntarily reported injuries by needle stick, sharps such as cannulas, broken vials and splashes on cuts, and mucous membranes by potentially infectious materials such as blood and other body fluids. 48 NSI events were reported during the study period of two years. Among these 36 (75%) were females and 12 (25%) were males. Percutaneous injuries (45, 93.7%) were more common as compared to mucocutaneous exposures (3, 6.3%). In our study the highest incidence of needle stick injuries were among the nursing staff (31.2%) and nursing students (27.1%). Injuries were most commonly reported from wards (16, 33.3%) followed by emergency department (11, 22.9%). Majority of the NSI occurred during procedures (n=35, 73%). Syringe needles (41.7%) were the most common devices leading to NSIs. 22 (45.8%) of the HCW had completed 3 doses of Hepatitis B vaccination, while 17 (35.4%) had completed 2 doses, 8 (16.6%) had completed the first dose and 1 (2.2%) was unvaccinated. Only 22 (45.8%) of the HCWs were using gloves, at the time of exposure. Cleaning the injury site with running water was the most frequently used first aid measure in over 75% of the injured HCWs. Source patient could be identified in 39(81.3%) exposures Establishment of formal reporting mechanisms, immediate reporting of NSIs, and the establishment of a comprehensive NSI prevention program will help in the reduction in the occurrence of NSIs and help in taking immediate remedial action in the form of prophylaxis and treatment.
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Introduction

Needle stick injuries (NSIs) are the injuries that are caused by needles such as hypodermic needles, blood collection needles, intravenous stylets, and needles used to connect parts of intravenous delivery systems. NSIs are very common and in many instances unavoidable among healthcare providers when they are delivering patient care. Around 75% of the NSIs in developing countries are not reported. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence and other correlates and attributes of NSIs among healthcare providers in a tertiary care teaching hospital in South India. An observational prospective study was conducted at a East Point College of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Bangalore during a period of January 2017 to December 2018. We analyzed the data of all the HCWs who voluntarily reported injuries by needle stick, sharps such as cannulas, broken vials and splashes on cuts, and mucous membranes by potentially infectious materials such as blood and other body fluids. 48 NSI events were reported during the study period of two years. Among these 36 (75%) were females and 12 (25%) were males. Percutaneous injuries (45, 93.7%) were more common as compared to mucocutaneous exposures (3, 6.3%). In our study the highest incidence of needle stick injuries were among the nursing staff (31.2%) and nursing students (27.1%). Injuries were most commonly reported from wards (16, 33.3%) followed by emergency department (11, 22.9%). Majority of the NSI occurred during procedures (n=35, 73%). Syringe needles (41.7%) were the most common devices leading to NSIs. 22 (45.8%) of the HCW had completed 3 doses of Hepatitis B vaccination, while 17 (35.4%) had completed 2 doses, 8 (16.6%) had completed the first dose and 1 (2.2%) was unvaccinated. Only 22 (45.8%) of the HCWs were using gloves, at the time of exposure. Cleaning the injury site with running water was the most frequently used first aid measure in over 75% of the injured HCWs. Source patient could be identified in 39(81.3%) exposures Establishment of formal reporting mechanisms, immediate reporting of NSIs, and the establishment of a comprehensive NSI prevention program will help in the reduction in the occurrence of NSIs and help in taking immediate remedial action in the form of prophylaxis and treatment.
unavoidable among healthcare providers when they are delivering patient care. In the healthcare sector, NSIs are one of the most preventable occupational hazards among healthcare providers. Centers for Disease Control (CDC) of the United States of America estimated that exposure to blood and body fluids by sharps and NSIs affect around three million health workers annually with an estimated occurrence of six million NSIs every year.

The occupational exposures to NSIs are considered to be much higher in the developing world and much of the cases are not even reported. It is expected that around 75% of the NSIs in developing countries are not reported. Globally, there is gross under-reporting of NSI with the actual incidence of NSIs being much higher than those reported.

Hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are the most common blood-borne viruses (BBVs) that are transmitted by NSIs. The risk of transmission of HBV, HCV, and HIV following NSIs is about 3%–10%, 3%, and 0.3%, respectively.

Timely reporting of NSIs has several benefits as it (i) warrants necessary counseling (ii) facilitates initiating early prophylaxis, testing the source status, (iii) helps investigate the cause of exposures and finding out methods including engineering control that would prevent further injuries, and (iv) establishes any legal prerequisites for HCWs reimbursement or compensation. Failure to report NSIs precludes the HCW from receiving post exposure prophylaxis (PEP). Hence this study aimed to estimate the prevalence and other correlates and attributes of NSIs among healthcare providers in a tertiary care teaching hospital in South India.

**Materials and Methods**

This observational prospective study was conducted at East Point College of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Bangalore during a period of January 2017 to December 2018. We analyzed the data of all the HCWs who voluntarily reported injuries by needlestick, sharps such as cannulas, broken vials and splashes on cuts, and mucous membranes by potentially infectious materials such as blood and other body fluids. Scratches with a minute or no blood oozing following the injury were classified as superficial injury and injuries penetrating through the skin or leading to bleeding wound as deep injury. As a routine practice, we administer a questionnaire to all self-reporting HCWs. The exposed HCWs were asked to fill up a self reporting questionnaire which included information regarding the type of injury, the source of injury (known/unknown), use of personal protective equipment at the time of injury or splashes, what type of work the HCWs does, the severity of the injury, emergency/routine health care, hepatitis B vaccination status, immediate post exposure measures taken like washing of hands, status of source of exposure, and if the HCW was knowing his/her status of HIV, HBV, and HCV positivity. We have an active PEP program with an integrated approach to prevention including awareness raising, teaching, training, protective equipment like heavy duty gloves, banning of recapping, sharps containers, color-coded waste bins, vaccination as well as round the clock sharps and splashes reporting and blood testing facility based on the guidelines of the National AIDS Control Organization of India (NACO). The standard pro forma for tests as prescribed in the NACO guidelines for each occupational exposure was followed. The serum samples of patients (if known source) from whom the HCWs got exposed, were tested for HIV, HBV, and HCV by rapid test.
Serum samples from exposed HCWs were also collected and screened for HIV, HBV, and HCV. HCWs who got exposed to seropositive patients were given PEP. For seroconversion, all HCWs under investigation were counseled and advised to get tested again after 3 weeks, 3 months, and finally after 6 months.

Results and Discussion

48 NSI events were reported during the study period of two years which corresponds to 1.1 NSI events per 1000 inpatient days. All the events were reported voluntarily by the HCWs after the incident occurred. Among these 36 (75%) were females and 12 (25%) were males. Percutaneous injuries (45, 93.7%) were more common as compared to mucocutaneous exposures (3, 6.3%). Most of the percutaneous injuries (38, 80%) were superficial. Most common site of injury was hands mainly fingers (98%).

Evaluation of the kind of activity during which the NSI occurred showed that most occurred during procedures (n=35, 73%). The most common procedure was blood collection (n=18, 51.4%), followed by iv cannulation (n=9, 25.7%) & operative procedures (n=8, 22.9%).

Vaccination status of health-care workers

22 (45.8%) of the HCW had completed 3 doses of Hepatitis B vaccination, while 17 (35.4%) had completed 2 doses, 8 (16.6%) had completed the first dose and 1(2.2%) was unvaccinated.

Evaluation of source

Source patient could be identified in 39 (81.3%) exposures. Serological status for three major viral infections (HIV, HBV, and HCV) was unknown in 9 (18.7%) sources. Two HCW who got exposed to HIV seropositive patient’s blood were given PEP and referred to the nearest antiretroviral therapy centre. No seroconversion was seen in any of the exposed HCWs after 6 months.

Knowledge, attitude, behavior, and practices of health-care workers

Insufficient level of knowledge on HIV transmission and the risks after exposure was observed expectedly more in hospital waste disposal staff as compared to doctors and nurses. Only 22 (45.8%) of the HCWs were using gloves, at the time of exposure. Cleaning the injury site with running water was the most frequently used first-aid measure in over 75% of the injured HCWs. Other measures used for immediate management included cleaning with disinfectants such spirit, soap and squeezing the site.

48 NSI events were reported during the study period of two years which corresponds to 1.1 NSI events per 1000 inpatient days. All the events were reported voluntarily by the HCWs after the incident occurred. Studies done in India showed that the prevalence of NSIs among healthcare workers was between 57% and 73%. Various studies done internationally showed different prevalence of NSIs. A study in Pakistan showed that the prevalence of NSIs was 54.2%, in Iran and 74% in Saudi Arabia. This shows that the prevalence of NSIs in our study was much lesser compared with other studies done both in India and internationally. This difference may be due to lack of active surveillance or under-reporting or both since the present study is based on voluntary reporting.

In our study the incidence of needle stick injuries were more common in females than in males. Pournaras et al., reported a
considerably higher rate of needle-stick injuries among female health care workers compared to male health care workers. Some studies have reported females HCWs being the most common group to sustain NSI, probably nurses.14, 15

In our study the highest incidence of needle stick injuries were among the nursing staff (31.2%) and nursing students (27.1 %). In a study conducted by Singru et al.,16 the highest incidence was among nurses (39.63%), followed by interns (37.34%), laboratory technicians (26.92%), and least among resident doctors (21.01%). Evans et al.,17 in their study in UK reported that the most commonly exposed groups to percutaneous injuries were nurses (45%) and doctors (2%).

Injuries were most commonly reported from wards (16, 33.3%) followed by emergency department (11, 22.9%). In emergency wards, most of the time HCWs carry out the procedures on an urgent basis, and the pressure of immediate patient care increases the chances of NSI. However, many studies report operation theaters are the most common site of NSI to occur up to 53.84%.18, 19 In our study, only 8.3% of NSI occurred in operation theaters. This difference could be due to the good patient–doctor ratio at this institution in operation theaters.

In our study majority of the NSI occurred during procedures (n=35, 73%). Recapping and post use disposal of needles have been reported as the most common action during which HCW sustain NSI (34.0–65%).18,20 This denotes increased hazard awareness among HCWs and use of safer needle disposal methods at our institute. In our study the most common procedure during which NSI occurred was blood collection (n=18, 51.4 %), followed by iv cannulation (n=9, 25.7 %) & operative procedures (n=8, 22.9 %). This is in contrast to many other studies where stitching was the most common procedure (29–46%), followed by blood sample collection (19%) responsible for NSI.14, 16, 19

NSIs were caused by several different devices. In our study, the common type of devices causing NSIs are syringe needles, followed by lancet, intravenous cannula, and suture needle.

Other studies showed that the most common device causing NSIs was the hollow bore needles, followed by suturing needle.21, 22, 23

Of the blood-borne diseases, hepatitis B is not only the most transmissible infection but also the only one that is preventable by vaccination.24 Anti-HBV vaccination coverage varies from 18% in Africa to 77% in Australia and New Zealand, according to the WHO estimates.25 In our study 22 (45.8 %) of the HCW had completed 3 doses of Hepatitis B vaccination, while 17 (35.4 %) had completed 2 doses, 8 (16.6 %) had completed the first dose and 1 (2.2%) was unvaccinated.

This partial vaccination status is because infection control committee of our institute took a decision to make the HBV vaccine available to all HCWs and the vaccination process is ongoing.

In our study, only 45.8 % of the healthcare providers were wearing gloves at the time of injury. A study in Iran showed that around 74% were using gloves when they experienced NSIs.26 Another study in India showed that around 39% were not wearing gloves at the time of NSIs.21

Cleaning the injury site with soap and water was the most frequently used first-aid measure following exposure in over 75 %of HCWs injured. The correct method of washing the injury site was practiced by
majority of doctors & nursing staff while nursing students & hospital waste disposal staff showed poor knowledge about immediate action following exposure (Table 1–4).

**Table.1** Distribution of NSI according to the category of staff

| STAFF CATEGORY           | No. | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----|------------|
| Nurses                   | 15  | 31.2       |
| Nursing students         | 13  | 27.1       |
| Lab Technicians          | 8   | 16.7       |
| Doctors                  | 5   | 10.4       |
| Hospital waste disposal staff | 4   | 8.3        |
| Hospital attendants      | 2   | 4.2        |
| Pharmacist               | 1   | 2.1        |

**Table.2** Place of occurrence of NSI

| PLACE OF OCCURRENCE         | No. | Percentage |
|----------------------------|-----|------------|
| Wards                      | 16  | 33.3       |
| Emergency Department       | 11  | 22.9       |
| Intensive Care Unit        | 8   | 16.7       |
| Laboratory                 | 6   | 12.5       |
| Operation theatre          | 4   | 8.3        |
| Out patient Department     | 2   | 4.2        |
| Others                     | 1   | 2.1        |

**Table.3** Cause of the NSI

| CAUSE OF NSI         | No. | Percentage |
|----------------------|-----|------------|
| During Procedure     | 35  | 73         |
| Improper Disposal    | 9   | 18.7       |
| Recapping            | 4   | 8.3        |

**Table.4** Device leading to needle stick injuries

| DEVICE            | No. | Percentage |
|-------------------|-----|------------|
| Syringe needle    | 20  | 41.7       |
| Lancet            | 14  | 29.2       |
| IV cannula        | 6   | 12.5       |
| Suture needle     | 5   | 10.4       |
| Blade             | 3   | 6.2        |

In conclusion, preventing NSIs is the most effective way to protect healthcare providers from the infectious diseases that are transmitted by accidents due to injury by needle. There is a need of HCW’s to adopt safe practices, use safety-engineered devices, institute safe systems of work and promote adherence to standard infection control
procedures. Hence, continuing awareness programs, education and training is needed to inculcate safe practice habits during healthcare service by the HCW’s. Continuous education of all categories of health care workers plays a crucial role in capturing NSI. Staff should be encouraged to self-report without any delay and should treat each NSI as an emergency. As hospitals are subjected to a large amount of staff attrition and recruitment a robust induction and in-service programme forms the backbone for NSI reporting and prevention of NSI amongst health care workers.
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