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Abstract

Multilineage-differentiating stress-enduring (MUSE) cells are non-tumorigenic pluripotent stem cells with endogenous reparative properties. These cells have a very powerful ability to adapt to global environment changes and are thus stress-tolerant cells. Interestingly, MUSE cells can differentiate into cells representative of all three germ layers. There has been a number of studies demonstrating its powerful regenerative power in several disorders: type-1 diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, stroke, glomerular-related kidney diseases, chronic liver failure, and ischemia-reperfusion lung injury. Recent data have also suggested that MUSE cells have significant repair properties for osteochondral lesions. The present article will review what are MUSE cells and how they work, the application of these cells into different disorders, and the studies up-to-date regarding MUSE cells in orthobiologics.
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Introduction

The area of regenerative medicine has dramatically evolved in the past decades. The understanding of the mechanisms and advances in their therapeutic properties of stem cells are among the most developed fields in regenerative medicine. The decade of embryonic stem cells in the late 90s lead to the more recent decade of adult stem cells. Multilineage-differentiating stress-enduring (MUSE) cells are one type of adult stem cells that were discovered accidentally in 2007 by Professor Mari Dezawa [1]. MUSE cells are non-tumorigenic pluripotent stem cells with endogenous reparative properties. These cells mobilize from the bone marrow, dermal fibroblasts, and adipose tissue into the circulating blood when a tissue is severely damaged and replace injured cells to repair and maintain tissues [1]. They have a specific receptor that can respond to damage signals, enabling MUSE cells to preferentially migrate, differentiate and accumulate into the damage tissue. Therefore, they can target multiple tissues and diseases. In fact, these cells execute their reparative effects through paracrine, anti-inflammatory, anti-fibrotic, anti-immune, and anti-apoptotic mechanisms. MUSE cells have been shown to replace damaged cells from the heart, liver, kidney, brain, and other organs [1]. More recently, they potential therapeutic properties have been used in osteochondral lesions [2].

The purpose of this article is to review the mechanism of action and therapeutical properties of MUSE cells and the potential role in orthobiologics.

What are MUSE Cells and How they Work

MUSE cells are one type of mesenchymal stem cells with the ability to differentiate into all three germ layers. They are pluripotent, non-tumorigenic cells that express both the embryonic stem cell marker stage-specific embryonic antigen-3 (SSEA-3) and the mesenchymal cell marker CD105. These cells remain quiescent in the so far identified bone marrow, dermal fibroblasts, and adipose tissue [3]. When significant tissue damage occurs, they become active, detach from their tissues, become pluripotent, and enter the bloodstream without 24 h after the onset of tissue injury. Then, they home to injured tissues and produce the tissue-specific cells to repair the damage [1]. Its stress-tolerant characteristic allows them to effectively...
MUSE cells have specific receptors able to respond to damage signals when tissues are injured. The most important mechanism for detecting damaged tissue and become active for this damage is the sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P)-SIP receptor 2 (SIPR2) system [4]. The alerting signal S1P attracts MUSE cells via the SIPR2, enabling them to preferentially migrate into the damaged tissue. Interestingly, both endogenous and exogenously administered MUSE cells are able to sense the location of tissue damage and migrate into the needed area. Then, the cells are able to preferentially migrate, differentiate and accumulate into those specific tissues that have been injured, helping in the repair process. MUSE cells survive and remain integrated in the host tissue for an extended period of time, so that their anti-fibrotic, anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic, and paracrine effects are long-lasting [4, 5, 6]. These cells must be collected from the tissue source and then they can be either intravenously administered or placed directly in the tissue repair site.

Therapeutic Properties of MUSE Cells in Different Disorders

One of the therapeutic fields of MUSE cells has been diabetes mellitus [7]. These cells have a significant amount of transforming growth factor-B1 secretion that can down-regulate T lymphocytes and macrophages involved in the auto-immune reaction of type-1 diabetes mellitus. Diabetic NOD mice received either peritoneal PBD injection (control group) or 1 × 106 MUSE cells injection. The experimental group had the blood glucose levels under better control and maintained their body weight, whereas mice in the control group raised blood glucose levels >500 mg/dl and diminished body weight abruptly [7].

MUSE cells have also demonstrated that they may improve the prognosis of patients suffering from acute myocardial infarction [8]. Patients with higher concentration of peripheral blood MUSE cells in the acute phase had a more favorable improvement of cardiac function. After intravenous administration, these cells can differentiate into cardiomyocytes and vessels, show paracrine effects, reduce myocardial infarction size by 52%, and produced long-lasting improvement of the cardiac function (left ventricle function) and remodeling (attenuates left ventricle remodeling with attenuation of fibrosis) for 6 months [4, 8]. This is mainly achieved by the S1P-SIPR2 axis in which there is an interaction between the SIP molecule produced by the damaged heart and the SIPR2 located on MUSE cells [4].

Patients suffering for the highly lethal or highly disabling stroke may also benefit from MUSE cells. Despite there are many studies investigating on the regenerative potential of stem cells into ischemic stroke [9], MUSE cells may be among the best performers. Uchida et al. investigated the effects of MUSE cells injection into the peri-infarct areas either at the acute or subacute phase in transient middle cerebral artery occlusion model (large brain infarction model) in rats, and small subcortical infarcts on the corticospinal tract and pure motor paresis model (lacunar infarction model) in mice [10]. The authors observed that MUSE cells survived in the hostile stroke environment and differentiated spontaneously into neurons (60%) and oligodendrocytes (20%). The intracerebral injection of MUSE cells could improve motor function at 8-12 weeks in both models [9, 11, 12], and sensory function in both histological and electrophysiological evaluations [11].

The use of MUSE cells as an experimental therapy for chronic kidney disease has also been investigated [13]. Intravenously injected MUSE cells differentiated into glomerular cells and resulted in improvement in renal function in a murine model of chronic kidney disease. The cells were able to express higher levels of renal markers WT1 and EYAI compared to non-MUSE cells. Renal function expressed as urine protein-to-creatinine ratio, creatinine clearance, and plasma creatinine was significantly better at 5 weeks compared to vehicle and non-MUSE cells, but these differences were not maintained at 7 weeks.

Nishizuka et al. investigated the role of MUSE cells for liver regeneration [14]. One week after physical partial hepatectomy, MUSE cells were found in the transection line and periporal regions close to the actual injury. At two weeks, MUSE cells began to form bile duct-like structures and at 4 weeks, this population of cells had integrated into the liver and differentiated into cholangiocytes (18%), hepatocytes (74%), Kupffer cells (6%), and sinusoid endothelial cells (2%). MUSE cells expressed at 2 days and one week liver progenitor markers such as CK19, delta-like protein, OV-6, and AFP. At 2 weeks, there was no expression of liver progenitor markers but markers of the cells previously indicated: hepatocyte: Hep Par-1, Albumin, alpha-1-antitrypsin; cholangiocytes: CK7; sinusoidal endothelial cells: Lyve-1; and Kupffer cells: CD8 [14]. Iseki et al. also demonstrated the liver regeneration capacity of MUSE cells in mouse model liver fibrosis [5].

Yabuki et al. reported on the efficacy of MUSE cells for ischemia-reperfusion lung injury [15, 16]. The authors provided a rat model in which warm ischemia and subsequent reperfusion with severe pulmonary edema were created. The administration of MUSE cells immediately after reperfusion significantly increased lung oxygenation capacity, compliance, and improved histological damage compared to non-MUSE mesenchymal stem cells [15]. MUSE cells also expressed higher levels of proteins related to anti-inflammation, anti-apoptosis, and tissue repair in the lung.

Yamashita et al. described the effects of MUSE intravenous injections in mice model with amiotrophyc lateral sclerosis (ALS). Authors reported a greater migration of MUSE cells into the thoracic and cervical spine in subjects after intravenous injections compared with intratecal injections, probably due to the ability of MUSE cells to migrate and differentiate into apoptotic / damaged cells through S1PR2. Mices were divided into wild type, vehicle groups, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) group and MUSE group. At the end of the study, MUSE group showed greater rotarod test, hanging-whire test and muscle strength of lower limbs scores than vehicle and MSCs groups. In histologics examinations, MUSE group showed a greater motoneuron survivorship at the ventral horn and improved tibialis anterior synapses compared with vehicle and MSCs groups. These results suggests that MUSE intravenous injections could be a future treatment for human patients with ALS [17].

Muse cells in orthobiologics

There is very limited research on MUSE cells in orthobiologics. Mahmoud et al. conducted a rat animal model study in which they
investigated the therapeutic potential of MUSE cells in osteochondral lesions [2]. The authors isolated these cells from human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells and transplanted them into the osteochondral defect of the patellar groove of immunodeficient rats. The 16 rats had a 2-mm diameter osteochondral defects created using a metallic drill, and then they were unequally distributed into three groups: control group (PBS injection), non-MUSE cells group (intra-articular injection of non-MUSE cells), and MUSE cells group (intraarticular injection of MUSE cells S x 10^4). A macroscopic, histological, and immunostaining analysis for the type of collagen produced was conducted at 4 and 12 weeks after treatment. On the macroscopic examination, the authors found better filling of the defect with smooth cartilage in the MUSE cells group compared to the other two groups. In fact, the control group rats showed osteoarthritic changes in the joint. The MUSE cells group had a significantly higher macroscopic score compared to the other two groups. The former group also had complete repair with a significantly better histologic score compared to the other two groups at 12 weeks. In addition, the cell density of the repair group was significantly higher in the MUSE cells compared to the other two groups. Finally, there was no presence of either type I or II collagen in the repair tissue of the MUSE cells group at 4 or 12 weeks post-operative. This study demonstrated that MUSE cells could be a promising option to repair osteochondral injuries, but the formation of fibrous tissue could not be prevented and the absence of type-2 collagen indicates that the type of tissue formed was not hyaline cartilage.

An interesting characteristic of MUSE cells is that they can be used through exogenous administration. These cells have an immunomodulatory effect that allows the use of allograft or xenograft cells without a rejection reaction, thus escaping the host immunologic attack [4, 6]. The study by Mahmoud et al. used MUSE cells as xenografts, which is a clear demonstration of this interesting property.

Toyoda et al. conducted a basic science study were they tried to isolated MUSE cells from the middle-aged to elderly human synovial membrane [18]. The authors isolated cells positives for SSEA-3, a marker of MUSE cells, that expressed NANOG, OCT3/4, and SOX2. Interestingly, cell pellets created from these cells demonstrated chondrogenic potential, as were aggregan-positive and type-2 collagen positive by immunostaining.

**Conclusions**

MUSE cells have demonstrated excellent performance for different conditions in the field of regenerative medicine. Despite more than 10 years of research, only very limited studies are available for orthopedics. According to its regenerative potential and availability, MUSE cells could be a feasible option to help in the repair and regeneration tendons, ligaments, menisci, bones, and cartilage injuries. Further investigations are needed in order to confirm the regenerative potential of MUSE cells for the musculoskeletal system.
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