ABSTRACT

Background: Cesarean section (CS) rates have been increasing worldwide with different effects on maternal and neonatal health. Factors responsible for the growing trend of CSs, include maternal characteristics, medical insurance and convenient scheduling or financial incentives. Effective interventions and guidelines are required to reduce CS rates.

Objective: The aim of this research was to investigate the factors contributing to CS rate increase and their correlation with international guidelines.

Methods: The performed analysis included the available socio-demographic and medical information retrieved from the medical records and a related questionnaire in both emergency and elective CSs.

Results: Out of the included 633 births, the cesarean delivery rate was 58%. Women with a previous CS showed higher percentages for Elective CS (66.1%) compared to Emergency CSs for the same reasons (8.9%). Furthermore, 23% of the patients underwent an Emergency CS because of failure of labor to progress while 18% of CSs were due to maternal desire.

Conclusion: The high rates of CS in Greece demonstrate the lack of use of international obstetric protocols, national strategies, Cesarean Section audits and a significant shortage of midwives. A decrease in iatrogenic and non-iatrogenic factors leading to the primary CS will decrease CS rates.
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1. BACKGROUND

Cesarean Section (CS) rates have been increasing in recent decades worldwide with negative effects on maternal and neonatal health (1). CS is associated with an increased risk of intra- and postoperative complications (2), infant’s obesity, diabetes, respiratory morbidity, and atopic dermatitis in childhood (3, 4) and an increased risk of placenta previa in subsequent pregnancies (5, 6).

The World Health Organization (WHO) indicated that both very low and very high CS rates could be dangerous, that the ideal CS rate ranges from 10% to 15% (7) and emphasized that a CS rate >10% is not associated with a reduction in maternal-neonatal mortality. In northern Europe, most countries have maintained CS rates <20%, with very low perinatal mortality rates (8) while in Greece, over 50% of the deliveries are cesarean deliveries. The actions implemented to reduce CS were evaluated during the 54th session of the United Nations Committee for the Elimination of Discrimination against Women. The results announced in Greece, concerned recommendations for the reduction of unnecessary CS, by training medical personnel on natural birth and introduce strict medical indications for CSs (9). Therefore, the WHO/Europe organized a review to identify important factors leading to CS and develop policy recommendations to improve the situation in Greece (10).

Apart from Greece, CS rates were very high in Cyprus, Romania, Bulgaria, Poland, Hungary (11) resulting in financial burden of health services (12).

Risk factors associated with CS deliveries include purported medical and non-medical indications,
such as maternal characteristics (13, 14), medical insurance and convenient scheduling or financial incentives (13). In Greece, there has been a gradual commercialization of maternity services, as deliveries taking place in the private sector, and this increase may be due in part to the fact that private hospitals have been part of the stock market since the late 1990s (13). In addition, the Greek public health system itself is funded by a mix of public and private sources with much higher compensation for CS than for vaginal delivery. All over Europe, Greece has the largest percentage of private funding for health care (13-15) and a black economy in the field of public obstetric services. Another significant factor is a previous CS. Attempting a vaginal birth after Cesarean (VBAC) is an opportunity to stop this vicious cycle considering that after the introduction of the transverse lower incision, the risk of uterine rupture was decreased (16). Other factors include the medical technological advances improving safety in operations (17) and even financial incentives or the physicians’ convenient scheduling (13, 18), the relatively high number of gynecologists (19) and the low number of midwives resulting in predominantly medical-orientated health care (13, 20-22).

The estimated CS frequency in Greece has been increasing in the past 4 decades, recording a percentage of 13.8% (1977-1983), 17.3% (1984-1988), 22.7% (1989-1993), 29.9% (1994-2000) (23) and the continuing dramatic increase exceeded 50% of all deliveries in 2016 (10). After 2000, Greece does not give official birth rates by CS in international organizations (24). The aim of this research was the problem of CS in Greece, through the investigation of causes and factors depending on the type of CS and their correlation with clinical and non-clinical interventions.

### Interventions to reduce CS rates

Clinical interventions target specific clinical practices on an individual woman which in addition to the economic benefits of a country’s health system, they significantly reduce the escalation of CS rates and maternal morbidity associated with multiple CS (23). The WHO in 2016 and 2018 has issued relevant guidelines (26, 27) including recommendations to reduce CS use, emphasizing that induction of labor should be done for women who are known with certainty to have reached 41 weeks of gestation (28). Programs of childbirth training workshops for mothers and couples and psychoeducation led by midwives, are recommended to reduce CS rates. It seems that CS audits and timely feedback to health-care professionals constitute an important factor to reduce CS rates. Furthermore, care provided primarily by midwives, with 24-hour back-up from an obstetrician is recommended to reduce CS rates. Finally, the equalization of physician fees for vaginal births and CS are considered necessary (29).

### 2. OBJECTIVE

The aim of this research was to investigate the factors contributing to the increase in the Cesarean Section rate and their correlation with international guidelines.

### 3. PATIENTS AND METHODS

#### Procedure and ethical considerations

The research was approved by: Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Larisa, approval: 18838/08-05-2019. All women gave their written consent for their participation.

#### Methods and Study Design

This cross-sectional study took place from August 2019 to February 2020 at the maternity unit of the University Hospital of Larisa in Greece which is considered representative of Greek hospitals. The total sample size was 633 women who gave birth during the research period, out of whom 365 had undergone a CS delivery (EMCS and ELCS).

The data were collected from the women’s medical records and from a researcher’s questionnaire, following an interview on the 3rd postpartum day. Socio-demographic and financial data of women and information about the health of the mothers before, during, and after the surgery were collected. The causes that led to EMCS or ELCS were also recorded.

#### Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were presented as mean values (SD) or as median values (interquartile range=IQR). Qualitative variables are expressed as absolute and relative frequency. For the comparisons of proportions, chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used. Logistic regression analyses were applied to explore the factors likely to be related to the type of c-section. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were computed from the results of the logistic regression analyses. All statistic tests were two-tailed, with a significant set at p<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS; Chicago, IL, USA).

### 4. RESULTS

Of the 633 women who gave birth during the study period, 42% (n=268) had a vaginal delivery, 36% (n=230) had an ELCS and 22% (n=135) had an EMCS (Figure 1).

#### Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Total number of 365 women who had a CS were recruited and evaluated. Large areas of Greece with a general or university hospital providing obstetric services were designated as a “city”. Areas of Greece providing only primary health services and not a natural birth or a CS services were designated as a “village”. Both study groups showed a similarity in the family status, financial status, educational level, occupation, religion and minority groups showed similarity in the results in both study groups. Women with ELCS were more likely to live in rural areas or had a previous delivery and less likely to be of another nationality than Greek.

#### Causes of cesarean sections

Figure 2 shows the rates of EMCS and elective CS as per the causes that provoked a CS. Women with a previous c-section, premature rupture of membranes and a previous CS, premature contractions and a previous CS had opted for ELCS at a higher rate (66.1%) compared to women who were forced to have an EMCS section with the same symptoms (8.9%).

---
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Factors associated with the type of CS

Univariate logistic regression analysis (ULRA) was initially conducted in order to define possible factors which were associated with the type of CS (Table 1). In turn, a multivariate logistic regression analysis (MLRA) was applied in order to clarify whether women undergo EMCS vs ELCS by including variable that were statistically significant (p<0.05). According to ULRA, the factors that were associated with the type of CS were address, age, nationality, parity, psychiatric history, pathology of gestation, gestational week, full-term labor, causes of CS and complications in early postpartum period. The MLRA (Table 1), showed that women residing in cities, with normal conception, diagnosed with stress disorders or depression, late preterm delivery and early postpartum complications after CS were more likely to undergo an EMCS. On the contrary, women with one previous birth or more than 2 births, diagnosed with oligohydramnios/polyhydramnios or placenta previa/abruption/bleeding during pregnancy and who had a previous CS with possible complications or past severe medical/gynecological history or vision problems (short sightedness) were less likely to undergo an EMCS.

5. DISCUSSION

This is the first research to have used data from a group of postpartum women to identify and explore factors associated with emergency or elective Cesarean deliveries in Greece. It was revealed an increasing rate in both EMCS and ELCS. The results show that the CS rate was 36% for ELCS and 22% for EMCS (Figure 1). Although several studies which investigated the CS socio-demographic factors have shown maternal age, educational and financial levels as key factors (30), in this research living in rural areas seems to be a determining factor for ELCS due to possible insecurity for both women and physicians in those areas.

Although a previous CS does not necessarily mean a scheduled CS in next pregnancy (31, 32), the ELCS rates of our findings were 66.1% for women with a previous CS, while in Germany it is just under 24% (14). This phenomenon may be explained by the obstetrician’s fear of uterine rupture although the estimated prevalence of this complication is very low (33). VBAC rates in Germany, Italy and Ireland are significantly lower (29-36%) than they are in Finland, Netherlands and Sweden (45-55%) and about 160,000 unnecessary Cs are performed every year (34). In Greece, there is no officially recorded percentage of VBAC.

Previous abdominal surgeries seem to have influenced the obstetricians’ decision for a scheduled CS, without documented literature to support this approach (35). Uterine rupture occurs at a frequency of <1% in women with a previous uterine scar. In theory, an increased number of CSs is associated with an increased risk of uterine rupture (36). In addition, the literature shows that women with a several medical history (37) are also more likely to have an ELCS and this is also supported by the findings of our study. Furthermore, there is no sufficient evidence for ELCS in the relevant literature pertaining to short-sightedness, which was a risk factor for ELCS in this research (38).

In our research, the ELCS rates appear to be affected by the type of conception and other factors. Precious pregnancies of women with IVF conception, a high risk of placenta accreta (39) and, in some cases, the maternal age (40) constitute factors for ELCS. In our study, women with anxiety disorder or depression were more likely to have an EMCS, a comparable finding of similar studies (41, 42) revealing a deficit in perinatal mental health services in Greece (43, 44) as opposite to other European countries with low CS rates (45, 46).

Women with pathology of gestation are more likely to have a scheduled CS, in relation to women without such problems (47). For these reasons, such pregnancies are considered high risk and are usually scheduled for ELCS in Greece. On the other hand, placenta previa rates have increased and are likely to continue to increase as a result of CS deliveries. The aim of ELCS is to secure the safest route of delivery, to avoid the anticipated risks of bleeding during vaginal delivery due to the position of the placenta. These complications resulting from the above pregnancy
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| Address          | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| City             | 2.525(1.340-4.758)     | 0.004   | 5.532(1.681-18.203)  | 0.005   |
| Village          | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                 |         |
| Age              | 0.959(0.926-0.993)     | 0.019   | 0.991(0.927-1.060)   | 0.797   |

### Family status

| Single            | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| In relationship   | 0.867(0.049-15.279)    | 0.922   | -                    | -       |
| Married           | 0.577(0.036-9.308)     | 0.698   | -                    | -       |
| Divorced          | 0.333(0.009-11.939)    | 0.547   | -                    | -       |
| Engaged           | 1.00                   |         | -                    | -       |

### Educational level

| Primary school    | 4(0.396-40.424)        | 0.240   | -                    | -       |
| Junior high school| 3.077(0.296-31.982)    | 0.347   | -                    | -       |
| High school       | 1.885(0.205-17.308)    | 0.575   | -                    | -       |
| University        | 2.381(0.259-21.902)    | 0.444   | -                    | -       |
| Msc               | 4(0.383-41.744)        | 0.247   | -                    | -       |
| PhD               | 1.00                   |         | -                    | -       |

### Occupation

| Public/privatesector | 1.075(0.522-2.213) | 0.844   | -                    | -       |
| Freelance           | 1.220(0.541-2.750)  | 0.632   | -                    | -       |
| Health careprofessional | 0.824(0.291-2.332) | 0.715   | -                    | -       |
| Educators           | 1.361(0.537-3.450)   | 0.516   | -                    | -       |
| Household           | 0.848(0.401-1.793)   | 0.665   | -                    | -       |
| Unemployed          | 1.00                 |         | -                    | -       |

### Financial status

| Low               | 2.441(0.491-12.137)   | 0.276   | -                    | -       |
| Middle            | 2.380(0.495-11.439)   | 0.279   | -                    | -       |
| High              | 1.00                 |         | -                    | -       |

### Religion

| Orthodox Christians | 0.406(0.159-1.037)   | 0.059   | -                    | -       |
| Other              | 1.00                 |         | -                    | -       |

### Nationality

| Greek             | 0.434(0.191-0.986)    | 0.046   | 0.364(0.082-1.613)   | 0.184   |
| Other             | 1.00                 |         | 1.00                 |         |

### Parity

| 2 and morebirth   | 0.153(0.075-0.309)    | <0.001  | 0.252(0.067-0.943)   | 0.041   |
| 1 birth           | 0.131(0.076-0.224)    | <0.001  | 0.274(0.075-1.003)   | 0.050   |
| None              | 1.00                 |         | 1.00                 |         |

### Type of previous labor

| Vaginal           | 3.667(0.374-35.979)   | 0.265   | -                    | -       |
| C-section         | 0.748(0.083-6.708)    | 0.796   | -                    | -       |
| Vaginal and c-section | 1.00                 |         | -                    | -       |

### Kind of conception

| Normal            | 1.389(0.640-3.014)    | 0.406   | 20.679(3.863-110.698)| <0.001  |
| IVF               | 1.00                 |         | 1.00                 |         |

### Psychiatric history

| Stress disorders  | 3.649(1.432-9.301)    | 0.007   | 12.940(2.643-63.350) | 0.002   |
| Postpartum mental disorders | 0.365(0.079-1.694)   | 0.198   | 3.163(0.457-21.898)  | 0.243   |
| Depression        | 1.368(0.381-4.221)    | 0.685   | 10.259(112.93-998)   | 0.039   |
| Psychotic syndromes | 3.649(0.327-40.682)   | 0.293   | 1.315(0.001-2841.057)| 0.944   |

### Atomichistory

| Low-risk          | 0.816(0.441-1.510)    | 0.517   | -                    | -       |
| High-risk         | 0.855(0.396-1.844)    | 0.689   | -                    | -       |
| No                | 1.00                 |         | -                    | -       |
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Another important factor associated with EMCS in this research is low preterm delivery (32-36, 6 weeks). Women who gave birth during these weeks were more likely to undergo an EMCS, especially when there was an underlying pregnancy pathology (49). In Greece, the meaning of emergency does not really apply because the term EMCS includes cases of relevant medical indications, i.e., a previous CS is a relevant and not an absolute indication such as hemorrhage, preeclampsia, pathological Doppler, leading in most cases again to a CS. In general, an individual approach to assessing the urgency for surgery must be implemented (50). In addition to prematurity, EMCS is also associated with a greater likelihood of postpartum complications. CS is a major surgery with high morbidity rates; however, the urgent nature of surgery after obstetric complications (eg, bleeding, preeclampsia, sepsis) seems to be related to postpartum complications. In Greece, another very important factor associated with CS, mainly EMCS, is the termination of pregnancy, which is usually done between 39-40 weeks, in addition to WHO recommendations (28) and other European countries which accept as a limit 42 weeks (24).

In 2015, according to European Perinatal Health report, maternal and infant perinatal mortality rates in Greece, were similar to other European countries which have lower rates of CS, than Greece (24). This observation indi-

Table 2. Results of logistic regression model for the factors associated with the type of CS + indicates reference category, ++ could not be computed due to no distribution, OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval, *p<0.05

| Gynecological history | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Intrauterine fetal demise/miscarriages/recurrent miscarriages/ectopic pregnancy | 1.57(0.672-3.667) | 0.297 |
| Uterine & ovarian pathology | 0.57(0.059-5.550) | 0.629 |
| Birth of a dead infant | 0.57(0.059-5.550) | 0.629 |
| Pathology of gestation | - | - |
| Oligohydramnios/polyhydramnios | 0.467(0.054-4.069) | 0.490 0.020(0.001-0.750) | 0.034 |
| Preeclampsia/Increased impedance flow in the uterine arteries, thrombophilia, HELLP syndrome, hyperemesis | 2.852(1.439-5.551) | 0.003 0.322(0.078-1.322) | 0.116 |
| Placenta previa (type 4)/abruption/bleeding | 0.583(0.188-1.808) | 0.350 0.068(0.005-0.902) | 0.042 |
| Diabetes | 1.909(0.964-3.783) | 0.064 2.206(0.630-7.731) | 0.216 |
| Cervical insufficiency | 16.333(1.972-135.286) | 0.010 7.004(0.999-48.423) | 0.050 |
| Premature contractions & Infection | 8.167(1.654-40.311) | 0.010 4.322(0.377-49.511) | 0.239 |
| Uteroplacental/vascular/insufficiency, single umbilical artery | 3.267(1.002-10.650) | 0.050 0.346(0.041-2.914) | 0.329 |
| Gestational week | 0.841(0.752-0.941) | 0.003 0.883(0.652-1.195) | 0.419 |
| Fullterm labour | 22-27.6 (extremepreterm) | ++ ++ ++ |
| 28-31+6 (verypreterm) | ++ ++ ++ |
| 32-36+6 (latepreterm) | 3.365(1.806-6.270) | <0.001 |
| 37-40+4 (Fullterm) | 1.00 1.00 |
| Causes of CS | - | - |
| Previous CS, premature rupture of membranes in a woman with a previous CS, premature contractions and a previous CS | 0.024(0.006-0.098) | <0.001 0.024(0.002-0.028) | 0.003 |
| Abnormal fetal position | 0.3(0.07-1.285) | 0.105 0.224(0.021-2.412) | 0.217 |
| Twins gestation | 0.113(0.018-0.716) | 0.021 0.179(0.011-2.806) | 0.221 |
| Mother’s desire, Bad experience of previous vaginal delivery, fear of vaginal delivery, lack of cooperation with mother, IVF | 0.104(0.023-0.464) | 0.003 0.134(0.011-1.566) | 0.109 |
| Placenta previa/abruption/bleeding | 0.3(0.057-1.581) | 0.156 0.737(0.035-15.293) | 0.843 |
| Heavy medical history, short sightedness, previous gynaecological history | 0.06(0.008-0.44) | 0.006 0.031(0.002-0.555) | 0.018 |
| Failure of labor to progress | 580797441.649(0-0) | 0.998 |
| Abnormal heart rate, Pathological NST/Doppler, premature rupture of membranes/pregnancy contractions, infection | 1.886(0.414-8.594) | 0.412 3.062(0.313-29.919) | 0.336 |
| Preeclampsia | 1.00 1.00 |
| Early Postpartum Complications | - | - |
| (bleeding, preeclampsia, infection, early postpartum mental disorders) | 4.022(1.764-9.172) | 0.001 13.430(2.834-63.646) | 0.001 |
| No | 1.00 1.00 |

Where conditions would pose a greater risk compared to a routine ELCS (48).

Another important factor associated with EMCS in this research is low preterm delivery (32-36, 6 weeks). Women who gave birth during these weeks were more likely to undergo an EMCS, especially when there was an underlying pregnancy pathology (49). In Greece, the meaning of emergency does not really apply because the term EMCS includes cases of relevant medical indications, i.e., a previous CS is a relevant and not an absolute indication such as hemorrhage, preeclampsia, pathological Doppler, leading in most cases again to a CS. In general, an individual approach to assessing the urgency for surgery must be implemented (50). In addition to prematurity,
cates the existence of unnecessary CS as well as the lack of national strategies.

6. CONCLUSION

In Greece of financial crisis, women continue to give birth by CS, with adverse consequences on the insurance funds, on their (mental and physical) and their child health, and on their decision for future pregnancies. The high CS rates must be confronted with less interventionist obstetricians practice when not needed and a focus on midwifery-obstetrician model of staffing, based on primarily by midwives. To reduce CS rates, financial strategies (insurance reforms which will compensate more in vaginal births and less for CSs) must also be implemented. In addition, there is a need to establish psychosocial centers for mothers and couples, and especially program for women with fear of pain, anxiety or other mental disorders. Finally, the uses of evidence-based clinical guidelines are recommended to reduce CS rates. In our results, both EMCS and ELCS factors are not in all cases related to absolute medical indications. The total CS rates are a combination of increased primary CS rates, as well as women with previous CS who had no choice of VBAC. Therefore, the 2 major goals of reducing CS are the prevention of primary Cesarean deliveries and the management of women with previous CSs. In Greece, the increasing CS rates are major Public Health problem extending in the national economy and the human rights in childhood.
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