Identification of embryonic precursor cells that differentiate into thymic epithelial cells expressing autoimmune regulator
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Medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTECs) expressing autoimmune regulator (Aire) are critical for preventing the onset of autoimmunity. However, the differentiation program of Aire-expressing mTECs (Aire+ mTECs) is unclear. Here, we describe novel embryonic precursors of Aire+ mTECs. We found the candidate precursors of Aire+ mTECs (pMECs) by monitoring the expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANK), which is required for Aire+ mTEC differentiation. pMECs unexpectedly expressed cortical TEC molecules in addition to the mTEC markers UEA-1 ligand and RANK and differentiated into mTECs in reaggregation thymic organ culture. Introduction of pMECs in the embryonic thymus permitted long-term maintenance of Aire+ mTECs and efficiently suppressed the onset of autoimmunity induced by Aire+ mTEC deficiency. Mechanistically, pMECs differentiated into Aire+ mTECs by tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6-dependent RANK signaling. Moreover, nonclassical nuclear factor-κB activation triggered by RANK and lymphotoxin-β receptor signaling promoted pMEC induction from progenitors exhibiting lower RANK expression and higher CD24 expression. Thus, our findings identified two novel stages in the differentiation program of Aire+ mTECs.

Medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTECs) are essential for induction of self-tolerance (Keweski and Klein, 2006). mTECs uniquely express various self-antigens, including proteins whose expression is normally restricted in specific peripheral tissues (tissue-specific antigens [TSA]; Keweski and Klein, 2006; Klein et al., 2009). These diverse self-antigens are presented to developing T cells in the thymic medulla directly by mTECs expressing major histocompatibility complex class II (MHCII) and co-stimulatory molecules or indirectly by thymic dendritic cells (Klein et al., 2009). Consequently, T cells interacting with the TSA peptide–MHC complex with high avidity undergo apoptosis or conversion into immune-suppressive regulatory T cells (Keweski and Klein, 2006; Klein et al., 2009; Hsieh et al., 2012). Nuclear protein autoimmune regulator (Aire) enhances the expression of some TSA, controlling the induction of mTEC-dependent self-tolerance (Mathis and Benoist, 2009).

The peri- and neonatal functions of Aire-expressing mTECs (Aire+ mTECs) are critical for induction of long-lasting self-tolerance (Guerau-de-Arellano et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2015). Moreover, the frequency of autoimmunity onset is minimized when Aire is eliminated after weaning (Guerau-de-Arellano et al., 2009), and thymic regulatory T cells generated in an Aire-dependent manner during the neonatal period have distinctive properties from those generated in the adult thymus and are essential for lifelong self-tolerance (Yang et al., 2015). Hence, elucidation of the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying embryonic and neonatal differentiation of Aire+ mTECs is critical.

Several studies using mutant mice have shown the dependence of mTEC development on TNF receptor family, receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANK), CD40, and lymphotoxin-β receptor (LTβR; Boehm et al., 2003; Rossi et
Ly51– adult TEC fraction expressing low levels of MHC II expression (MHC II expression) may differentiate from common progenitors into mTECs in the adult thymus (Onder et al., 2015). Thus, cortico-medulla junction have also been shown to develop during embryonic development (Bennett et al., 2002; Gill et al., 2002; Bleul et al., 2006; Rossi et al., 2006; Ucar et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2014). mTECs are derived from TEC progenitors transiently expressing mature cTEC markers (Baik et al., 2013; Ohigashi et al., 2013; Ribeiro et al., 2013). Moreover, the TEC fraction expressing claudin 3/4 and the stem cell marker SSEA-1 exhibits stem cell–like features and gives rise to mTECs (Sekai et al., 2014). Additionally, the CD80 fraction in cTEC marker Ly51 embryonic TECs and the Ly51 adult TEC fraction expressing low levels of MHC II molecules contain immature mTECs convert into relatively short-lived Aire+ mTECs in reaggregation thymus organ culture (ROTC) in vitro (Gäbler et al., 2007; Gray et al., 2007). Podoplanin–expressing TECs that localize to the cortico-medulla junction have also been shown to develop into mTECs in the adult thymus (Onder et al., 2015). Thus, Aire+ mTECs may differentiate from common progenitors through several stages. However, the mTEC differentiation program involved in this process remains unclear.

The aim of this study is to elucidate the differentiation program of Aire+ mTECs and the mechanisms regulating this program. In this study, we describe novel embryonic precursors of Aire+ mTECs (pMECs) expressing RANK and cTEC molecules. pMECs were found to differentiate into Aire+ mTECs in ROTOC in vitro and in vivo. Introduction of pMECs into the thymus permitted long-term maintenance of Aire+ mTECs and was sufficient for inhibiting the onset of autoimmunity provoked by Aire+ mTEC deficiency. Nonclassical NF-κB activation by Aire and LyβR signaling induced the differentiation of pMECs from their progenitors expressing high levels of CD24. TRAF6–dependent RANK signaling in turn promoted the differentiation of pMECs into Aire+ mTECs. Thus, our results revealed two novel stages in the differentiation program of Aire+ mTECs.

RESULTS

RANK was expressed in UEA-1+ TECs and up-regulated with thymic development in embryos

RANK expression may identify the mTEC lineage because of its critical role in mTEC differentiation. To elucidate the mTEC differentiation program, we used mutant mice in which an internal ribosome entry site (IRES) linking the coding sequence for an enhanced GFP (EGFP)–Cre recombinase fusion protein was inserted into the final exon of the RANK gene (creating RANK-EGFP mice; Maeda et al., 2012). In these mice, mTEC development was intact, and EGFP expression was detected in adult mTECs in which RANK mRNA expression was confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis (unpublished data).

EGFP-expressing cells in the thymic stromal fraction (CD45+TER119−) were identified on embryonic days E13.5, E14.5, E15.5, and E17.5 by flow cytometry. The frequency of EGFP-expressing cells gradually increased in the TEC marker EpCAM+ fraction during embryonic development (Fig. 1 A). EpCAM+ cells were further divided according to the expression of an mTEC marker, UEA-1 ligand. EGFP expression was detected in UEA-1+ TECs, but not in UEA-1− TECs (Fig. 1 B). Immunohistochemical analysis also revealed EGFP expression in cells expressing the mTEC marker keratin-5 in the thymus at E13.5, E14.5, and E15.5 (Fig. 1 C). In the thymus at E17.5, the majority of EGFP+ cells expressed Aire and UEA-1 ligand (Fig. 1 D, white arrows), and a few EGFP+ UEA-1− cells were negative for Aire expression (Fig. 1 D, yellow arrow). Overall, these data supported the selective expression of RANK in UEA-1+ TECs. Interestingly, the expression level of EGFP in UEA-1+ TECs was gradually increased during embryonic development (Fig. 1 B) and was positively correlated with the expression level of MHCII, a maturation marker of TECs (Fig. 1 B). Thus, the expression level of RANK may be correlated with mTEC differentiation.

pMECs expressed low levels of mTEC maturation markers and high levels of cTEC molecules

We next aimed to identify precursor cells of Aire+ mTECs that received RANKL signaling. Inhibition of RANKL signaling may block mTEC differentiation in RANK-expressing precursor cells of Aire+ mTECs. Indeed, UEA-1+ TECs expressing EGFP were found in the thymus of Rankl−/−RANK-EGFP embryos and RANK-EGFP embryos treated with an anti-RANKL antibody (RANKL-Ab; Fig. 2 A), which abolished the RANK–RANKL interaction (Furuya et al., 2011; Sugiyama et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2014). qPCR analysis of Aire expression confirmed that the RANKL–Ab treatment efficiently blocked mTEC differentiation (Fig. 2 B). The expression level of EGFP in UEA-1+ TECs was slightly lower in these mice than that in control RANK-EGFP mice (Fig. 2 A). Moreover, UEA-1+ TECs in embryonic mice deficient in RANKL signaling showed a moderate level of MHCII expression (MHCIIimm) and a low level of CD80 expression (CD80−; Fig. 2 C). Consequently, we speculated that...
Figure 1. **RANK expression in UEA-1⁺ TECs was increased with embryonic thymic development.** (A) Flow cytometric analysis of EGFP expression in TECs from embryonic RANK-EGFP mice. Percentages of EGFP⁺EpCAM⁺ cells in TECs and cell numbers are shown on the bottom. Error bars represent the SD. n = 4 for each age group. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of EGFP expression in UEA-1⁺ TECs from RANK-EGFP embryonic mice. (top) UEA-1 and MHC I. (bottom and bottom middle) RANK expression (EGFP) in UEA-1⁺ TECs and UEA-1⁻ TECs, respectively. Bold lines represent profiles of RANK-EGFP mice. Shaded regions indicate backgrounds of age-matched control mice. (bottom) median fluorescence intensity (MFI) values relative to the control. Black bars, mean values. n = 4 for each age group. Dotted lines, relative MFI of 1. (C) Immunohistochemical analysis of thymic sections from RANK-EGFP and control mice. EGFP (green) and keratin-5 (red) are shown. Bars, 50 µm. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (D) Immunohistochemical analysis of thymic sections from E17.5 RANK-EGFP mice (top) and wild-type mice (bottom) stained with anti-EGFP (green), anti-Aire (red), and anti-UEA-1 (blue). White arrows, cells expressing EGFP, Aire, and UEA-1 ligand; yellow arrows: cells expressing EGFP and UEA-1-ligand. Bars, 50 µm. Data are representative of three independent experiments. [E] Correlations between EGFP (RANK) and MHC I expression. (top) Profiles of EGFP and MHC I expression. (bottom) MFIs of EGFP expression in TECs of RANK-EGFP mice relative to that in control EpCAM⁺ cells are plotted against the MFI of MHC class II in TECs relative to that in control EpCAM⁺ cells. Closed and open notations indicate UEA-1⁻ TECs and UEA-1⁺ TECs, respectively. Each data point is derived from one embryo. The solid line shows the fitting curve between relative MFIs of RANK and MHC I expression. n = 4 for each age group.
pMECs were sorted from the thymus of E17.5 RANK-EGFP mice treated with RANKL-Ab (Fig. S1). qPCR analysis indicated that expression of Aire and TSAs was practically absent in pMECs (Fig. 2 D). Analysis of the CpG methylation status using combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA) indicated that tissue-specific differentially methylated regions (T-DMRs) in the Aire gene (Yagi et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2012) were significantly hypermethylated in pMECs as compared with that in the CD80+ mature mTEC fraction containing Aire-expressing cells (Fig. 2 E). Thus, Aire gene expression may be silenced at the epigenetic level in pMECs.

Surprisingly, the expression of the cTEC marker Ly51 was high in pMECs (Fig. 2 F). Moreover, qPCR analysis indicated that pMECs expressed high levels of the cTEC markers β5t and keratin-8 in addition to keratin-5 (Fig. 2 G). Hence, pMECs were different from the previously reported Ly51–5t and keratin-8 in addition to keratin-5 (Fig. 2 G). Hence, βcated that pMECs expressed high levels of the cTEC markers was high in pMECs (Fig. 2 F). Moreover, qPCR analysis indicated that expression of Aire and TSAs was selectively methylated in pMECs as compared with that in the CD80+ mature mTEC fraction containing Aire-expressing cells (Fig. 2 E). Thus, Aire gene expression may be silenced at the epigenetic level in pMECs.

We next examined whether pMECs were capable of differentiating into Aire-expressing mature mTECs by using RTOC. We used an RTOC derived from an aly/aly fetus that lacked Aire+ mTECs because of a dysfunctional mutation in NIK (Kajiura et al., 2004). pMECs were reaggregated with thymic cells of E15.5 aly/aly mice. When RTOC was analyzed after 5 d of culture, UEA-1+CD80+ mature mTECs developed in the RTOC were mixed with pMECs (Fig. 3 A). These UEA-1+CD80+ mature mTECs expressed EGFP (Fig. 3 A), confirming their development from the introduced pMECs. qPCR analysis revealed induction of Aire mRNA in RTOC mixed with pMECs (Fig. 3 B). Moreover, immunohistochemical staining indicated generation of Aire+ mTECs in the RTOC mixed with pMECs (Fig. 3 C). These data strongly suggested that pMECs were capable of differentiating into Aire-expressing mature mTECs.

We further investigated the differentiation potential of pMECs in vivo. pMECs derived from C57BL/6 mice (H-2Kb+) were reaggregated with thymic cells of E15.5 aly/aly mice on the BALB/cA background (H-2Kb−). Aly/aly RTOCs mixed with pMECs then were transplanted on kidney capsules of athymic mice and analyzed 4 wk after grafting. Flow cytometric analysis indicated that H-2Kb+ TECs expressed UEA-1- ligand, RANK, and CD80 (Fig. 3 D), suggesting that pMECs differentiated into mature mTECs. In contrast, Ly51- UEA-1- cTECs were nearly absent in the H-2Kb+ TEC fraction (Fig. 3 D). These data strongly suggested that pMECs could differentiate into mTECs and would lack differentiation potential into cTECs.

Introduction of pMECs in the thymus permitted long-term maintenance of Aire+ mTECs and inhibited autoimmunity onset by mTEC dysfunction

Next, we tested whether pMECs could differentiate into self-tolerance-inducing mTECs by RTOC transplantation in kidneys of athymic nude mice. Aly/aly mice exhibited autoimmunity owing to developmental defects in mature mTECs. We therefore examined whether introducing pMECs suppressed the onset of autoimmunity by the aly/aly mutation. In this experiment, aly/aly mice in the BALB/cA background (Shinzawa et al., 2011), RANK-EGFP mice in the BALB/cA background, and BALB/cA nude mice were used for MHC-matched congenic transplantation. Aly/aly RTOC mixed with pMECs was grafted on kidney capsules of athymic mice and analyzed 8 wk after grafting. Immunohistochemical staining of the thymus generated from the transplanted RTOC supported the development of medullar thymic progenitors, which were indicated by the UEA-1-ligand in TECs was also reduced in the Traf6−/− thymus (Shinzawa et al., 2011). Introduction of pMECs in the thymus permitted long-term maintenance of Aire+ mTECs (Fig. 3 A). The expression level of UEA-1 ligand in TECs was also reduced in the Traf6−/− thymus, as previously reported (Akiyama et al., 2005), implying that

TRAF6 and ReIβ promoted the progression of distinct stages of mTEC differentiation

Because RANK signaling initiates pMEC differentiation by activating intracellular signaling pathways, we next aimed to examine the signaling pathways downstream of RANK that drive pMEC differentiation. We then examined whether differentiation of pMECs into Aire+ mTECs required TRAF6 and ReIβ.

Flow cytometric analysis revealed that there was a dramatic reduction in MHCIId+/UEA-1+ TECs of the Traf6−/− thymus at E17.5 (Fig. 4 A). The expression level of UEA-1 ligand in TECs was also reduced in the Traf6−/− thymus, as previously reported (Akiyama et al., 2005), implying that...
Figure 2. pMECs expressed low levels of mTEC maturation markers and high levels of cTEC molecules. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of EGFP+UEA-1+ TECs from RANK-EGFP mice, Rankl−/− RANK EGFP mice, and RANK-EGFP mice treated with anti–RANKL-Ab at E17.5. The left panel (control) shows the profile of wild-type mice. Percentages of EGFP+UEA+ in TECs are shown. MFI of EGFP relative to each control in UEA-1+ TECs (circles) and UEA-1− TECs (triangles) are summarized in the graph. n = 3 for RANK-EGFP. n = 6 for Rankl−/− RANK EGFP mice and n = 10 for RANK-EGFP mice treated with anti–RANKL-Ab. Black bars: mean values. **, P < 0.01, two-tailed Student’s t test. (B) Aire mRNA expression in the embryonic thymi of RANKL-Ab–treated mice and Rankl−/− mice. Aire expression in the total thymus of E17.5 Rankl−/− mice, E17.5 mice treated with RANKL-Ab, and corresponding control treated mice was analyzed by qPCR. Expression levels were calculated as arbitrary units normalized to 36B4 mRNA expression. Expression levels relative to the mean of the control were plotted in the graph for comparisons between two sets. Black bars indicate mean values. n = 3 for Rankl−/− and control mice. n = 5 for RANKL-
TRAF6-dependent NF-κB activation may control UEA-1 ligand expression in TECs. Moreover, analysis of TRAF6+/− RANK-EGFP mice suggested that MHCIIhi/UEA-1− TECs accumulated in the TRAF6−/− embryonic thymus expressing RANK and high levels of Ly51 (Fig. 4 B and 4C). These data suggested that the absence of TRAF6 arrested mTEC differentiation at pMECs. Thus, differentiation of pMECs into Aire+ mTECs induced by RANK signaling was likely to be dependent on TRAF6.

Unexpectedly, Relb−/− and Relb+/− RANK-EGFP mice showed dramatically different profiles of UEA-1− TEC differentiation at E17.5. The expression of UEA-1 ligand in Relb+/− TECs was reduced to a similar extent as that in the TRAF6−/− thymus, which also suggested the contribution of NF-κB activation to UEA-1 ligand expression in TECs. Importantly, UEA-1− TECs in the embryonic Relb−/− thymus expressed significantly lower levels of MHCII than that in the TRAF6−/− thymus (Fig. 4 A). Moreover, RANK expression levels were significantly lower in UEA-1− TECs in the embryonic Relb−/− thymus than that in the TRAF6−/− thymus (Fig. 4 B). Interestingly, UEA-1− TECs in the Relb−/− thymus expressed significantly higher levels of CD24, which has been reported to function as a stem cell marker in other tissues (Rietze et al., 2001; Shackleton et al., 2006), as compared with that in the wild-type and TRAF6−/− thymus (Fig. 4 D). Given that mTEC differentiation was arrested at pMECs in the TRAF6−/− thymus, the absence of RelB arrested mTEC differentiation at different precursor cells from pMECs. Despite such differences in MHCII and RANK expression levels, Ly51 expression in UEA-1− TECs in the Relb−/− thymus was as high as that in pMECs, implying that UEA-1−MHCII−CD24hi TECs in the Relb−/− thymus and pMECs may have the same origin (Fig. 4 C). During early differentiation, UEA-1− TECs expressed low levels of RANK and MHCII (Fig. 1, B and D). Moreover, CD24 expression in UEA-1− TECs was down-regulated during embryonic thymus development (Fig. 4 E). Accordingly, UEA-1−RANK+/−/MHCII+/CD24hi TECs accumulated in the Relb−/− embryonic thymus were likely to be in an earlier stage of differentiation than pMECs. We therefore hypothesized that UEA-1−RANK−/MHCII+/−/CD24hi TECs may be candidate progenitors of pMECs (hereafter referred to as pro-pMECs).

**RANK and LtβR signaling cooperatively promoted the differentiation of pro-pMECs**

Given that RelR activation promotes the differentiation of pro-pMECs into pMECs, we next examined the upstream receptors promoting the differentiation of pro-pMECs through RelR activation. Because LtβR is known to activate the nonclassical NF-κB pathway, causing nuclear translocation of RelB, we first investigated embryonic TEC development in LtβR-deficient mice (Ltbr−/−). Interestingly, all MHCIIhi, MHCIImid, and MHCIIlo UEA-1− TEC fractions were detected in the Ltbr−/− deficient thymus (Fig. 5 A). Thus, LtβR signaling may be upstream of RelB in the differentiation of pro-pMECs, and other signaling pathways could cooperate with LtβR signaling. Because RANK expression was detected in MHCIIlo/UEA-1− TECs in Relb−/− embryos (Fig. 4 B), we hypothesized that RANK signaling may be involved in the differentiation of pro-pMECs. Indeed, double deficiency of RANK and LtβR signaling (Rank−/−/Ltbr−/− and Ltbr−/− treated with RANKL-Ab) caused accumulation of the UEA-1−MHCIIlo TEC fraction in E17.5 embryos (Fig. 5 A), similar to that observed in Relb−/− embryos (Fig. 4 A). UEA-1− TECs in the thymus of Rank−/−/Ltbr−/− embryos and Ltbr−/− embryos treated with RANKL-Ab exhibited high CD24 expression at E17.5 (Fig. 5 A). Moreover, analysis of Rank−/−/Ltbr−/− RANK-EGFP embryos and Ltbr−/− RANK-EGFP embryos treated with RANKL-Ab indicated that RANK expression was low in UEA-1− TECs (Fig. 5 B). These data suggested that UEA-1− TECs in embryos deficient in RANK and LtβR signaling were identical to pro-pMECs.

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
Figure 3. pMECs differentiated into Aire-expressing mTECs inducing self-tolerance. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of reaggregation thymic organ culture (RTOC) derived from aly/aly embryonic thymic cells and pMECs. (left) typical flow cytometric profiles. Data are summarized in a right figure. (bottom) EGFP expression profiles in CD80+UEA-1+ TECs (solid line) and UEA-1– TECs (shaded region). n = 6 for aly/aly RTOC with pMECs and aly/aly RTOC. n = 4 for aly/aly RTOC with UEA-1–EGFP– TECs. pMECs and UEA-1–EGFP– TECs were sorted from RANKL-Ab–treated E17.5 RANK-EGFP mice. Black bars, mean values. *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001, two-tailed Student’s t test. (B) qPCR analysis of Aire mRNA in aly/aly RTOC, aly/aly RTOC with pMECs, and aly/aly RTOC with UEA-1–EGFP– TECs. Values are arbitrary units normalized to 36B4 mRNA expression. n = 3 for aly/aly RTOC, n = 4 for aly/aly RTOC with pMECs, and n = 5 for aly/aly RTOC with UEA-1–EGFP– TECs. Black bars, mean values. *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001, two-tailed Student’s t test. (C) Immunohistochemical analysis of RTOCs consisting of the aly/aly embryonic thymus and pMECs. EGFP (green), Aire (red), and UEA-1 (blue) are shown. Arrows: cells expressing Aire, EGFP (RANK),
detected in the Relb+/- embryonic thymus. Thus, we hypothesized that LtβR and RANK signaling may cooperatively promote the differentiation of pro-pMECs into pMECs.

**Pro-pMECs were capable of differentiating into Aire+ mTECs**

We next investigated whether pro-pMECs were capable of differentiating into Aire+ mTECs. Pro-pMECs were sorted from the thymus of Ltbr-/- RANK-EGFP mice at E15.5 treated with RANKL-Ab (Fig. S2). Sorted pro-pMECs showed higher levels of CD24 expression and lower levels of EGFP expression as compared with pMECs (Fig. 6 A) and were reaggregated with the aly/aly embryonic thymus. Flow cytometric analysis of the RTOC after 5 d of culture indicated the generation of EGFP+UEA-1+CD80+ mTECs in RTOCs mixed with pro-pMECs (Fig. 6 A). Further RTOC experiments suggested that pro-pMECs (H2-Kb+) were converted into RANK'UEA-1' TECs, whereas fewer pro-pMECs were converted into UEA-1' TECs (Fig. 6 B). In addition to CD80'RANK'UEA-1' TECs, the H2-Kb+ fraction contained CD80'UEA-1' TECs that expressed relatively high levels of EGFP as compared with that in pro-pMECs (Fig. 6 B). This implied that pro-pMECs may be converted into pMECs in addition to mature mTECs. Moreover, immunohistochemical staining suggested the development of EGFP'Aire+UEA-1'+ mTECs in RTOCs with pro-pMECs (Fig. 6 C). Overall, these data supported the differentiation of pro-pMECs into Aire+ mTECs in RTOC. Conversion of pro-pMECs into Aire+ mTECs was less efficient than that of pro-pMECs into Aire+ mTECs in RTOC. Conversion of pro-pMECs into Aire+ mTECs in RTOC was supported by the differentiation of pro-pMECs into Aire+ mTECs.

**Nonclassical NF-κB activation by RANK and LtβR signaling induced pMECs**

In vivo data indicated the requirements of RANK/LtβR and RelB for pMEC induction and RANK and TRAF6 for pMEC differentiation into Aire+ mTECs, respectively. Finally, we confirmed that these receptors and downstream molecules were indeed linked.

Stimulation of fetal thymic stroma organ culture (2DG-FTOC) with recombinant RANKL induces Aire+ mTECs (Rossi et al., 2007; Akiyama et al., 2008, 2014). Furthermore, stimulation with agonistic LtβR-Ab enhances RANK mRNA expression in 2DG-FTOC without inducing Aire+ mTECs (Mouri et al., 2011). However, cells in which RANK expression is up-regulated by LtβR signaling have not been examined. Stimulation of 2DG-FTOC from RANK-EGFP embryos treated with an agonistic LtβR antibody induced EGFP+UEA-1+MHCIImed TECs (Fig. 7 A). Such induction was completely abolished in Relb+/- 2DG-FTOC. These data suggested that LtβR signaling induced pMECs in a RelB-dependent manner, consistent with in vivo genetic data.

In contrast to LtβR signaling, stimulation with RANK ligand induced both EGFP'MHCII+ mTECs and EGFP' UEAI-MHCII+ mTECs in 2DG-FTOC (Fig. 7 A). The absence of RelB completely inhibited RANKL-dependent induction of these cell types (Fig. 7 A). Thus, RANKL induced mature mTECs and pMECs in a RelB-dependent manner. RelB expression should be required in RANKL-dependent mature mTECs because mature mTECs are derived from pMECs induced by RANK signaling. In contrast to Relb+/- 2DG-FTOC, EGFP'UEAI-MHCII+ mTECs were induced by RANKL stimulation in the absence of TRAF6 (Fig. 7 B). RANKL-dependent induction of MHCIImed mTECs did not occur in Traf6-/- 2DG-FTOC (Fig. 7 B). Thus, although RANK signaling required TRAF6 for differentiation of pMECs into mature mTECs, TRAF6...
was dispensable for RANK signaling-dependent pMEC induction in the fetal thymic stroma. Consequently, these data strongly suggested that RANK signaling promoted two different stages in mTEC differentiation through two distinct intracellular signaling pathways (i.e., TRAF6 and RelB dependent) for each differentiation stage.
The requirement for RelB in RANK and LtβR signaling-dependent pMEC induction suggested that activation of the nonclassical NF-κB pathway may promote pMEC induction. To further verify this mechanism by gain-of-function experiments, we used MV-1, a synthetic inhibitor of cellular inhibitors of apoptosis (cIAPs) 1 and 2 (Varfolomeev et al., 2007). Because cIAPs function as negative regulators of the nonclassical NF-κB pathway by inducing degradation of NIK in resting cells (Akiyama et al., 2012), inhibition of cIAPs by MV-1 activates the nonclassical NF-κB pathway through accumulation and activation of NIK without ligand stimulation (Varfolomeev et al., 2007). MV-1 treatment efficiently induced RANK-UEA-1^+ MHC^II^mid TECs (Fig. 7 C), despite unexpected increases in UEA-1 ligand expression in the cTEC fraction (Fig. 7 C). Thus, MV-1 treatment was sufficient for inducing pMECs in 2DG-FTOC, as expected. The MV-1-dependent induction of pMECs was abolished by the absence of RelB (Fig. 7 C), confirming that pMEC induction by MV-1 was mediated by the nonclassical NF-κB pathway, leading to RelB activation. Furthermore, treatment of 2DG-FTOC with a combination of MV-1 and RANK-EGFP demonstrated that MV-1 significantly enhanced the

Figure 5. RANK and LtβR signaling induced pMECs from their progenitors. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of TECs in Rank^−/−, Ltbr^−/−, Rank^−/−Ltbr^−/−, anti-RANKL-Ab-treated Ltbr^−/−, and control wild-type embryos (E17.5). (top left) Typical flow cytometric profiles of UEA-1 and MHCII. Numbers in figures indicate percentages of different TEC subtypes. n = 3 for wild-type, n = 8 for Rank^−/−, n = 5 for Ltbr^−/−, n = 5 for Rank^−/−Ltbr^−/−, and n = 4 for Ltbr^−/− treated with RANKL-Ab. Black bars, mean values. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; NS, not significant; two-tailed Student’s t test. (bottom left) Typical flow cytometric profiles of UEA-1 and CD24 in TECs. MFIs of CD24 in UEA-1^+ TECs are summarized in the bottom right graph. Ltbr^−/−[HiMid] and Ltbr^−/−[Lo] indicates MHC^II^hi and MHC^II^mid UEA-1^+ TECs and MHC^II^lo UEA-1^+ TECs, respectively. Shaded regions show background intensities determined in control embryos. n = 5 for wild-type, n = 3 for Rank^−/−, n = 3 for Ltbr^−/−, and n = 7 for Ltbr^−/− treated with RANKL-Ab. Black bars, mean values. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; NS, not significant; two-tailed Student’s t test. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of EGFP expression in TECs of E17.5 Rankl^−/−, RANK-EGFP, Ltbr^−/−, Rankl^−/−Ltbr^−/−, anti-RANKL-Ab–treated Ltbr^−/− RANK-EGFP, and control wild-type mice. Solid lines of top and bottom left panels show EGFP expression in UEA-1^+ TECs and UEA-1^− TECs, respectively. Shaded regions show background intensities determined in control embryos. n = 4 for RANK-EGFP mice, n = 4 for Rankl^−/−RANK-EGFP mice, n = 3 for Ltbr^−/− RANK-EGFP mice, n = 3 for Rankl^−/−Ltbr^−/− RANK-EGFP mice, n = 4 for Ltbr^−/− RANK-EGFP mice treated with RANKL-Ab. Black bars, mean values. ***, P < 0.001; NS, not significant; two-tailed Student’s t test.
Figure 6. Pro-pMECs differentiated into Aire⁺ mTECs. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of RTOCs prepared from aly/aly embryonic thymi and pro-pMECs, UEA-1⁺ TECs, and EpCAM⁺ stroma cells. Pro-pMECs, UEA-1⁺ MHCII⁺ TECs, and EpCAM⁻ stroma cells were sorted from Ltbr⁻/⁻ RANK-EGFP mice treated with anti-RANK-Ab (Fig. S2). (top) EGFP expression and CD24 expression of pro-pMECs (red), pMECs (blue), and MHCII⁺ TECs (green dots). Shade regions (top left) show UEA-1⁺ TECs. RTOCs were analyzed after 5 d of culture (middle). Numbers indicate percentages of UEA-1⁺CD80⁺ cells in TECs. (bottom left) EGFP expression in UEA-1⁺CD80⁺ cells in RTOCs. (bottom right) Percentages of UEA-1⁺EGFP⁺CD80⁺ cells in total TECs. n = 4 for RTOC with pro-pMECs, n = 4 for
RANKL-dependent induction of MHCIIβ mature mTECs (Fig. 7 D). Moreover, qPCR analysis indicated that MV-1 enhanced the RANKL-dependent up-regulation of Aire and TSA, whereas treatment with MV-1 alone did not (Fig. 7 E). These data suggested that MV-1 induced large numbers of pMECs by efficient activation of the nonclassical NF-κB pathway; in turn, RANKL induced an increase in the number of mature Aire+ mTECs.

From these results, we propose a mechanism of embryonic Aire+ mTEC differentiation as follows. First, RANK and LtβR signaling activate RelB through the nonclassical NF-κB pathway in pro-pMECs expressing low levels of RANK, thereby initiating differentiation of pro-pMECs into pMECs expressing high levels of RANK. Subsequently, TRAF6-dependent RANK signaling drives the differentiation of pMECs into Aire+ mTECs (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Ly51+ mTECs expressing low levels of MHCII and CD80 are thought to be immature mTECs that differentiate into Aire+ mTECs (Gäbler et al., 2007; Gray et al., 2007). In contrast, pMECs and pro-pMECs show Ly51 expression, which is down-regulated when pMECs differentiate into mature mTECs. Thus, pMECs should represent an earlier differentiation stage than Ly51+ immature mTECs. Immature Ly51+ mTECs may represent a transitional stage between pMECs and Aire+ mTECs and are converted in Aire+ mTECs without additional signaling.

Recent studies have proposed that common precursor cells for mTECs and cTECs express the cTEC molecules β5t and CD205 (Baik et al., 2013; Ohigashi et al., 2013, 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2013; Mayer et al., 2016). Our data suggested that pMECs express high levels of the cTEC molecules β5t, Ly51, and keratin-8. Thus, the cTEC gene expression profile of common precursor cells may be retained in pro-pMECs and pMECs during their differentiation. A recent study suggested that Claudin3/4 (Clds)+ SSEA-1+ TECs show properties of mTEC stem cells (Sekai et al., 2014). Importantly, this cell fraction does not express UEA-1 ligand and RANK (Sekai et al., 2014; Baik et al., 2013). Furthermore, a recent study indicated that differentiation of Clds+SSEA-1+ TECs did not require RelB (Baik et al., 2016). Therefore, pro-pMECs and pMECs may be differentiated from Clds+SSEA-1+ TECs. The mechanisms linking pro-pMECs and pMECs with SSEA-1+Clds+ TECs should be clarified in the future.

In vitro RTOC experiments suggested that pMECs could be converted into Aire+ mTECs after 5 d of culture. Intriguingly, Aire+ mTECs were also observed in the thymus derived from RTOCs mixed with pMECs at 8 wk after kidney transplantation. Because Aire+ mTECs turn over rapidly (Gray et al., 2007), these data suggested that pMECs may give rise to Aire+ mTECs from 5 d to 8 wk after transplantation. Moreover, inoculation of the transplanted fetal thymus with 10 pMECs resulted in a medullar region containing Aire+ mTECs at 4 wk after transplantation, supporting the proliferative nature of pMECs or their progeny. Further studies are needed to elucidate the proliferative and stem cell-like properties of pMECs.

Recent studies have suggested the high heterogeneity of mTECs in the adult thymus (Lkhagvasuren et al., 2013; Danzl et al., 2014). LtβR signaling preferentially promotes the development of a subset of mTECs and expression of some chemokines (Seach et al., 2008; White et al., 2010; Lkhagvasuren et al., 2013). Our data suggested that both RANK and LtβR signaling induced pMECs. However, pMECs induced by RANK signaling may be inherently different from pMECs induced by LtβR signaling. Such differences in pMEC stages could indirectly influence the properties of mature mTECs, even if RANK signaling promotes the differentiation of both types of pMECs into mature mTECs. Additionally, our findings may clarify the role of lymphotxin signaling in Aire+ mTECs. A previous study showed that excess lymphotxin signaling increases Aire+ mTECs in vivo (Chin et al., 2003); however, other studies have reported that lymphotxin is not directly associated with differentiation of Aire+ mTECs (Vennanzi et al., 2007) and is not essential for Aire+ mTEC differentiation (Boehm et al., 2003). Our model explains the sufficiency of excess lymphotxin signaling in Aire+ mTEC induction, even though this pathway may not be essential for Aire+ mTEC differentiation.

Our finding suggested distinct roles of the TRAF6-dependent pathway and nonclassical NF-κB pathway induced by RANK signaling. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of the physiological function of RANK signaling independent of TRAF6. Interestingly, TRAF6-independent RANK signaling up-regulated RANK expression. High surface expression of RANK may be a prerequisite for...
Figure 7. Nonclassical NF-κB activation by RANK and LtβR signaling induced pMECs. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of fetal thymic stroma stimulated with RANK and LtβR signaling. (left) Typical flow cytometric profiles of UEA-1 and MHCII. The ligands used for stimulation are shown at the top. Numbers in red rectangles show percentages of UEA-1+MHCIIhi TECs of the total TECs. Black rectangles represent gating of UEA-1+ MHCII+ TECs. (middle) Expression profiles of EGFP and MHCII in UEA-1+ TECs of 2DG-FTOCs stimulated with LtβR-Ab or RANKL. Red lines show profiles in anti-LtβR-Ab–treated 2DG-FTOC. Green dotted lines show profiles in RANKL-treated 2DG-FTOC. (right) EGFP profiles in 2DG-FTOC from Relb−/−RANK-EGFP mice. Blue and red lines show EGFP expression in 2DG-FTOC from RANK-EGFP and Relb−/−RANK-EGFP mice, respectively. Ligands are shown at the top. Shaded regions: EGFP-negative UEA-1+TECs from wild-type 2DG-FTOC. Data are representatives of three independent experiments. (bottom) MFIs of EGFP. n = 3. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 (two-tailed Student’s t test). (B) Flow cytometric analysis of Traf6−/−2DG-FTOC stimulated with RANKL. (top) Typical profiles of UEA-1 and MHCII expression in TECs of RANKL-treated 2DG-FTOCs from Traf6−/−RANK-EGFP and RANK-EGFP mice. (bottom) EGFP and MHCII expression in UEA-1+ TECs in RANKL-treated 2DG-FTOC. Green dotted line: profile of RANK-EGFP 2DG-FTOC. Red line shows profile of Traf6−/−RANK-EGFP 2DG-FTOC. Black line shows profile of untreated RANK-EGFP 2DG-FTOC. Shaded region shows UEA-1+ TECs from RANK-EGFP 2DG-FTOC. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (C) Flow cytometric analysis of 2DG-FTOC stimulated with the cIAP inhibitor MV-1. Numbers in rectangles show percentages of UEA-1+ cells of total TECs. (right) EGFP expression in UEA-1+ cells. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (bottom) MFIs of EGFP. n = 3. **, P < 0.01, two-tailed Student’s t test).
RANK signaling controlled by a higher-order TRAF6-oligomerization complex (Yin et al., 2009). The involvement of this auto-amplification mechanism for RANK expression should be verified in other RANK signaling-mediated cell differentiation events.

In summary, our data suggested that introduction of pMECs into the thymus efficiently suppressed autoimmunity induced by mTEC dysfunction. Given that autoimmune polyendocrinopathy–candidiasis–ectodermal dystrophy and/or other autoimmune diseases are caused by mTEC dysfunction as a result of Aire mutations (Mathis and Benoist, 2009; Akiyama et al., 2013), pMECs may be used to prevent the onset of such autoimmune diseases. Further characterization of pMECs is needed to develop such cell-based therapies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. C57BL/6, BALB/cA, BALB/cA nu/nu, and aly/aly mice were obtained from CLEA. Aly/aly mice on the BALB/cA background (backcrossed 10 times) were described previously (Shinzawa et al., 2011). RANK-EGFP mice on the C57BL/6 background were also described previously (Maeda et al., 2012). RANK-EGFP mice on a BALB/cA background were prepared by backcrossing with BALB/cA mice for 10 generations. Trf6−/−, Rank−/−, LtbR−/−, and Rank−/− mice were described previously (Akiyama et al., 2005, 2008; Mouri et al., 2011). Relb−/− mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Littermate mice were used as controls. All mice were maintained under specific pathogen–free conditions and were handled in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experiments of the Institute of Medical Science (The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan). The morning of finding a vaginal plug was designated as E0.5.

Antibodies and reagents. The following fluorescent anti-mouse antibodies were used for flow cytometry: anti-CD16/32, APC/Cy7-anti-CD45 (clone 30 F-11), APC/Cy7- or PE-Cy7-anti-TER119 (clone TER-119), PE- or PECy7-anti-EpCAM (CD326, clone G8.8), FITC- or PECy7-anti-MHCII (I-I-A-I-E, clone M5/114.15.2), APC-anti-Ly51 (clone 6C3; BioLegend), PE-anti-CD80 (clone 16-10A1), PE-anti-CD24 (clone M1/69; eBioscience), and biotinylated UEA-1 (Vector Laboratories) antibodies. An agonistic anti-LtbR antibody was purchased from Alexis Biochemicals. Recombinant RANKL was a generous gift from Oriental Yeast Co. Anti-RANKL antibodies were prepared from a subclone of hybridoma obtained by fusing mouse myeloma cells with B cells.

Flow cytometric analysis and cell sorting. Embryonic thymi were digested in RPMI-1640 medium containing Collagenase/Dispase (Roche) at 0.125% wt/vol plus DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich) at 0.01% wt/vol by incubation at 37°C for 20 min (twice), with pipetting after each incubation. Reactions were stopped by addition of 10 mM EDTA and washing with FACS buffer. Single-cell suspensions were stained with anti-mouse antibodies as described above. Dead cells were excluded with 7-aminoactinomycin D staining. Cells were sorted using a cell sorter (FACS Aria; BD). The sorting efficiency was routinely >98%. To compare MFI values in different experiments, external positive controls and/or internal positive and negative controls were used to adjust staining and measurement conditions. Otherwise, MFI values were compared in same day experiments.

RTOC and implantation. For isolation of pMECs, 100 µg anti-RANKL-Ab was intraperitoneally injected into RANK-EGFP mice at 13 d after vaginal plug detection. pMECs (3 × 10^5) from E17.5 RANK-EGFP mice treated with anti-RAN KL-Ab were reaggregated together with trypsin-digested thymic cells (5 × 10^5) from E15.5 or E16.5 aly/aly mice on the BALB/cA background. Anti-RANKL-Ab (100 µg) was subcutaneously injected into LtbR−/− RANK-EGFP mice at 9 d after vaginal plug detection when pro-pMECs were sorted. Pro-pMECs (3 × 10^5) from E15.5 LtbR−/− RANK-EGFP mice treated with RANK-Ab were reaggregated with digested thymic cells (5 × 10^5) from E15.5 or E16.5 aly/aly mice. RTOCs were cultured on Nucleopore filters (Whatman) placed in R10 medium containing RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine (Wako), 1x nonessential amino acids (NEAAs; Sigma-Aldrich), 100 U/ml penicillin (Ban-yu), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Meiji), and 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (Wako) for 5 d. RTOCs were analyzed by FACS, RT-PCR, and immunohistochemical staining analysis. For implantation experiments, RTOCs were grafted under the kidney capsule of 6-wk-old female nude mice.

RTOC. Thymic lobes were isolated from E15.5 mice and cultured for 4 d on Nucleopore filters (Whatman) placed on R10 medium containing 1.35 mM 2′-deoxyguanosine (2DG; Sigma-Aldrich). Fetal thymic stroma (2DG-RTOC) was subsequently cultured in R10 with recombinant RANKL (1 µg/ml; Oriental Yeast Co.), agonistic anti-LtbR monoclonal antibodies (1 µg/ml), or MV1 (5 µM). 5 d after ligation, 2DG-RTOC was used for the analysis.

Immunohistochemistry. For immunohistochemical staining, samples were embedded in OCT compound frozen on dry ice and sectioned into 5-µm slices. Cryosections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C for 30 min. After blocking with 5% goat serum in PBS for 1 h at room temperature, sections were incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature in the same blocking solution. Secondary antibodies were visualized by using an appropriate fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature (donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 488, Alexa Fluor 568, or Alexa Fluor 647; Invitrogen). Sections were mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent containing DAPI (Invitrogen) and examined using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope.
for 20 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS on ice and washed with PBS containing 10 mM glycine. After treatment with 0.1% Triton X in PBS for 5 min on ice, sections were blocked with 10% goat serum in PBS. RANK expression in RANK-EGFP mice was detected using a polyclonal chicken anti-GFP antibody (1:300; Abcam) followed by a secondary goat anti–chicken Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated antibody (1:100; Invitrogen). Keratin-5 was detected using a polyclonal rabbit anti–mouse K5 antibody (1:300; Covance), followed by a secondary goat anti–rabbit Alexa Fluor 546–conjugated antibody (1:100; Invitrogen). Aire was detected using a monoclonal rat anti–Aire antibody (1:300; clone 5H12; eBioscience) and a secondary goat anti–rat Alexa Fluor 546–conjugated antibody (1:100; Invitrogen). Biotin UEA-1 (1:50; Vector Laboratories) and streptavidin Alexa Fluor 647 (1:100; Invitrogen) were used to detect UEA-1–binding protein.

qRT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Micro kit (QIAGEN). cDNA was obtained by random-primed RT using a PrimerscriptII First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Takara Bio, Inc.). qPCR, was performed using an ABI PRISM 7300 Sequence Detection System and SYBR Green Master Mix (Toyobo). Expression values for each sample were normalized to GAPDH or 36B4.

Histological examination and detection of autoantibodies. Organs from nude mice were stained with hematoxylin and eosin at 8 wk after implantation. The degrees of inflammatory cell infiltration were scored as follows: 0, no detectable infiltration; 1, a focus of perivascular infiltration; 2, several foci of perivascular infiltration; 3, cellular infiltration in >50% of the vasculature; 4, severe infiltration throughout the interstitial region. To detect autoantibodies in serum, tissue samples from Rag2−/− mice were embedded in OCT compound, frozen on dry ice, and sectioned into 5-µm-thick slices. Cryosections were fixed with ice-cold acetone for 5 min and washed with PBS. Samples were blocked with 10% goat serum in PBS and incubated with serum (100× dilution) for 1 h at room temperature. The slides were subsequently incubated with secondary antibody (anti–mouse IgG–Alexa Fluor 488) and 1 µg/ml propidium iodide for 40 min at room temperature. Confocal color images were obtained using an FV1000D (Olympus).

Intrathymic injection. 100 µg anti–RANKL-Ab was injected subcutaneously into RANK-EGFP mice (B6) 9 d after vaginal plug detection. Thymic lobes were dissected from E14.5 embryos, and thymic stromal cells were prepared as previously described (Akiyama et al., 2014). Cells were sorted using a cell sorter (BD Aria; BD). Sorted cells were injected into E14.5 thymic lobes of aly/aly mice (10 cells/lobe). After culture for 1 d, thymic lobes were transplanted under the kidney capsule of 6-wk-old female nude mice. At 4 wk after transplantation, grafted thymi were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis.

COBRA. DNA methylation analysis was performed as described previously (Wu et al., 2012). In brief, cells isolated from the embryonic thymus were subjected to bisulfite treatment using an EZ DNA Methylation-Direct kit (Zymo Research Cooperation). PCR of Aire was performed using
bisulfite-converted genomic DNA with the following forward and reverse primers: 5′-TTTGGTTTAATATTGTGTGATAGGA-3′ and 5′-CCCATTTTATCTGCTAACTTTCATC-3′, respectively. COBRA was performed by evenly dividing the PCR products to incubate with HpyCH4IV for 5 h at 37°C, and samples were then analyzed with a microchip electrophoresis system (MultiNA; Shimadzu Biotech). Unmethylated DNA levels were calculated as the percentage of undigested fragment compared with the sum of the digested and undigested fragments.

**Synthesis of Boc-MV-1 and MV1.** N- and C-terminal protected Boc-MV-1 was synthesized using conventional solution-phase methods, in which 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC) hydrochloride and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) hydrate were used as coupling reagents. The coupling conditions were as follows: N-terminal free amino acid or peptide (1 Eq.), Boc-protected amino acid (1.2 Eq.), EDC (1.5 Eq.), HOBt (1.5 Eq.), and N,N-disopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 2 Eq.) in dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) at room temperature for 5 h. The deprotection conditions were as follows: Boc-protected peptide (1 Eq.), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 10 Eq.) in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 30 min. Boc-MV-1: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 8 7.19–7.33 (m, 11H), 6.70 (br s, 1H), 5.37 (t, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.47 (m, 1H), 4.38 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.62 (m, 1H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 2.79 (s, 3H), 2.07 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.82 (m, 10H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.29 (d, 3H, J = 10.8 Hz), 0.96–1.24 (m, 6H); [HR-ESI(+)]: m/z calculated for C₃₈H₅₃N₄O₇ [M+H]⁺: 677.3914, found: 677.3920. Amino acid (1.2 Eq.), EDC (1.5 Eq.), HOBt (1.5 Eq.), and terminal free amino acid or peptide (1 Eq.), Boc-protected N- and C-terminal free amino acid or peptide (1 Eq.), Boc-protected amino acid (1.2 Eq.), EDC (1.5 Eq.), HOBt (1.5 Eq.), and N,N-disopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 2 Eq.) in dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) at room temperature for 30 min. Boc-MV-1: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 8 7.19–7.33 (m, 11H), 6.70 (br s, 1H), 5.37 (t, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.47 (m, 1H), 4.38 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.62 (m, 1H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 2.79 (s, 3H), 2.07 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.82 (m, 10H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.29 (d, 3H, J = 10.8 Hz), 0.96–1.24 (m, 6H); [HR-ESI(+)]: m/z calculated for C₃₈H₅₃N₄O₇ [M+H]⁺: 677.3914, found: 677.3920. A solution of Boc-MV-1 (1.35 g, 2.0 mmol) in 4 M HCl in 1,4-dioxane (6 ml) was prepared at 0°C, and the mixture solution of Boc-MV-1 (1.35 g, 2.0 mmol) in 4 M HCl in 1,4-dioxane (6 ml) was prepared at 0°C, and the mixture

---

**Statistical analysis.** P-values were calculated using Student's t tests with a two-tailed distribution and two-sample equivalent variance parameters or Mann-Whitney U test.

**Online supplemental material.** Figs. S1–S3 include gating strategies for isolation of pMECs, pro-pMECs, and other TECs. Online supplemental materials are available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20151780/DC1.
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