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Abstract

Background

Internal hernia (IH) and small bowel obstruction (SBO) are serious complications after gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Whether closure of Petersen's defect (PD) might reduce the rates is unknown.

Methods

Patients underwent gastrectomy with PD were enrolled. From January 2014 to January 2017, we performed gastrectomy without closure of PD (non-closure group). From February 2017 to June 2018, we closed PD (closure group). The rates of IH, suspected internal hernia (SIH), and SBO were compared between the two groups.

Results

Among a total of 1213 patients, 151 patients (12.4%) developed SBO after gastrectomy, 10 patients (0.8%) developed IH and 20 patients (1.6%) developed SIH. The rate of SBO and SIH were 15.0% (124/828) and 2.3% (19/828) respectively in the non-closure group, compared with 7.0% (27/385) and 0.3% (1/385) in the closure group (p = 0.017 and p = 0.015 respectively). The rate of IH in the closure group (1/385, 0.3%) was lower than the non-closure group (9/828, 1.1%). Non-closure of PD was a risk factor for IH and SIH [odds ratio (OR) 6.58, 95%CI 1.56–27.85, p = 0.011].

Conclusions

Closure of PD is recommended after gastrectomy, as we noticed a significantly lower rate of SBO and SIH, and a tendency for lower rate of IH.

Introduction

Internal hernia (IH) can lead to small bowel obstruction (SBO) and life-threatening conditions, such as bowel ischemia or perforation\(^1,2\). IH is a recognized and well-described complication after laparoscopic Roux-Y gastric bypass\(^3–6\). However, there have been few studies about IH after gastrectomy for gastric cancer\(^1,7\).

Distal gastrectomy with Billroth-2 (B-2) anastomosis can create a Petersen's defect (PD) between the transverse colon and the jejunal loop. Total gastrectomy with ante-colic approach Roux-en-Y (R-Y) anastomosis creates two potential defects. One is a PD between the transverse colon and the Roux limb, and the other is a jejunojejunalostomy mesenteric defect (JMD) around the jejunojejunalostomy. IH may occur through the two potential defects after gastrectomy for gastric cancer. It rarely occurs during other reconstruction procedures, such as esophagogastrostomy following proximal gastrectomy and Billroth-1 reconstruction\(^8\).
The rare incidence and nonspecific manifestations make it difficult to diagnose IH preoperatively\textsuperscript{7,9,10}. Some non-operatively managed patients were highly suspicious for IH according to the manifestations and computed tomography (CT) results\textsuperscript{11,12}; however, some of these patients might be misdiagnosed with adhesive SBO and managed non-operatively\textsuperscript{13,14}. Therefore, some patients suspicious for IH (SIH) are not confirmed by surgical exploration. Patients with SIH may have non-specific and recurrent abdominal pain; they are also at risk for incarcerated internal hernia and bowel necrosis, so we should also pay great attention to these patients.

Closure of PDs and JMDs is now recommended for laparoscopic Roux-Y gastric bypass\textsuperscript{2,5}. However, IH can occur despite the closure of all mesenteric defects\textsuperscript{8}. There is also concern that closure by itself may increase the risk of bleeding, mesenteric hematoma and anastomotic leakage due to vascular injury\textsuperscript{3}. Similar mesenteric defects are created after gastrectomy for gastric cancer. However, to date, there has been no consensus on management of mesenteric defects after gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

Therefore, the purpose of our study was to investigate whether closure of PD during gastrectomy can decrease the rates of IH, SIH, and SBO. To our knowledge, this was the first study including SIH and SBO as endpoints concerning the effect of closure of mesenteric defects following gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

**Materials And Methods**

**Ethical Statement**

The study was based on information collected from the Surgical Gastric Cancer Patient Registry of West China Hospital (WCH-SGCPR-2019-10). The establishment of the database was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of West China Hospital. Besides, since this was an interrupted time-series study, patients did not provide written informed consent, but before statistical analysis, personal information was anonymous.

**Patients**

A total of 1213 consecutive patients with gastric cancer treated from January 2014 to June 2018 in West China Hospital were eligible for the study. The diagnosis of gastric cancer for all the patients was confirmed by upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and biopsy. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with histologically proven gastric adenocarcinomas; 2) patients underwent curative distal gastrectomy with B-2 reconstruction and total gastrectomy with ante-colic R-Y reconstruction. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) other types of malignancies in stomach; 2) palliative surgery; 3) with an earlier history of gastrectomy 4) lost to follow-up. The flowchart of patients enrolled in the study is summarized in Supplementary Fig. 1.

**Clinicopathological materials**

Clinicopathological data including sex, age, body mass index (BMI), previous abdominal surgery history, tumor diameter (cm), tumor location, macroscopic type, tumor differentiation, pathologic TNM stage, reconstruction type (distal gastrectomy with B-2 anastomosis or total gastrectomy with ante-colic R-Y reconstruction).
anastomosis), surgical approach (open or laparoscopy-assisted), and postoperative chemotherapy were evaluated. All the definitions, including macroscopic type, tumor differentiation, and TNM stage, were determined according to the 7th staging manual of American Joint Committee on Cancer\textsuperscript{15}.

**Follow-up**

Postoperative follow-up was performed either at the postoperative outpatient clinic or by telephone. It was recommended that all patients be followed every three months in the first two years, every six months in the third year and at least once a year in the following years. During follow-up, CT examination was required for each patient. Follow-up information was also collected from the database and updated to 1 July 2019. The duration of follow-up was recorded as the time from gastrectomy until death or last registered patient at the postoperative outpatient clinic or by telephone, whichever came first.

**Surgical technique**

The surgical treatment principles were based on the Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines\textsuperscript{16, 17}. For reconstruction, B-2 reconstruction was adopted for distal gastrectomy and ante-colic R-Y reconstruction was adopted for total gastrectomy. JMDs were all closed for patients who underwent R-Y reconstruction in both groups. From January 2014 to January 2017, we performed R-Y and B-2 reconstruction without closure of PD (non-closure group). From February 2017 to June 2018, we closed PDs in both R-Y and B-2 reconstruction (closure group). We closed all the defects using an interrupted 3-0 non-absorbable suture (Fig. 1A,1B).

**Endpoints**

The primary endpoints were 1) IH, 2) SIH, and 3) SBO. The secondary endpoints were postoperative complications within 30 days of gastrectomy.

IH was defined as a mesenteric defect hernia and was confirmed by surgical exploration.

SIH was defined as nonoperatively treated SBO patients who showed a whirling appearance of the mesentery and mesenteric vessels on CT scans (“whirl sign”, Supplementary Fig. 2). The “whirl sign” on CT examination was confirmed by two radiologists and was described in previous studies\textsuperscript{11, 12, 18-20}.

SBO was defined as an episode of intestinal obstruction found during surgery that was caused by IH or adhesions, or no other causes of mechanical intestinal obstruction (obstructive tumor, incisional hernia, inguinal hernia, intussusception, etc.) could be identified on CT scans following nonoperative management. Therefore, SBO can be caused by IH, SIH and adhesions in the present study.

Early postoperative complications were classified according to the Clavien-Dindo surgical complication grading system\textsuperscript{21}. When a patient had two or more postoperative complications, the higher grade was adopted\textsuperscript{22}.

**Statistical analysis**
Categorical variables are presented as numbers with percentages, and continuous data are presented as mean with standard deviation (s.d.). Categorical data were compared with Pearson χ² test or Fisher’s exact test, and continuous data were compared with independent sample t test or Wilcoxon rank sum as appropriate. The cumulative rate of SBO after gastrectomy was calculated with the Kaplan-Meier method. The rates of IH, SIH, SBO and adhesions between the two groups were compared by log-rank test. A logistic regression analysis was performed to test the univariate and multivariate associations between variables to identify risk factors for IH and SIH. Two-sided p<0.050 was considered significant. Data were analyzed using statistical software SPSS 20.0 (SPSS®, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Baseline Data

A total of 1213 patients were enrolled in our study. The non-closure group included 828 patients, and the closure group included 385 patients. The demographic data of the entire patient population is presented in Table 1. There were no statistical differences between the two groups with respect to sex, age, BMI, previous abdominal surgery history, tumor diameter, tumor location, macroscopic type, tumor differentiation, TNM stage, reconstruction type, surgical approach or postoperative chemotherapy. The non-closure group had a significantly longer median follow-up interval at 39 (i.q.r. 30-51) months vs. the closure group with 20 (i.q.r. 16-24) months (p=0.001).

Early Postoperative Complications

Table 2 shows the early postoperative complications. A total of 132 patients (15.9%) in the non-closure group and 55 patients (14.1%) in the closure group had early postoperative complications. There was no significant difference between the two groups respect to postoperative complications, non-surgical complications or surgical complications (p=0.457, 0.571 and 0.106 respectively).

Rate of IH, SIH and SBO

Among a total of 1213 patients, 151 patients (12.4%) developed SBO after gastrectomy, 10 patients (0.8%) developed IH and 20 patients (1.6%) developed SIH. In the non-closure group, which included 828 patients, 124 patients (15.0%) developed SBO, 9 patients (1.1%) developed IH and 19 patients (2.3%) developed SIH. The mean time intervals of SBO, IH and SIH were 14.0, 10.7 and 9.5 months respectively. After routine PD closure in 385 patients in the closure group, 27 patients (7.0%) developed SBO, 1 patient (0.3%) developed IH after 22 months, and 1 patient (0.3%) developed SIH after 19 months, the mean time interval to SBO was 10.6 months in the closure group.

Table 3 shows the causes and treatment of SBO. The rates of SBO and SIH were significantly decreased after PD closure (p=0.017 and p=0.015 respectively, log-rank test). The rates of IH and adhesions were not significantly different between the two groups (p=0.274 and p=0.212 respectively, log-rank test). Fig. 2 shows the cumulative incidence of SBO.

Characteristics of patients with IH
Table 4 shows the characteristics of patients with IH. 9 patients in the non-closure group and 1 patient in the closure group developed IH. All IHs were located in the PD (Fig. 1C), no IH was found in the JMD. We closed all the PDs after reduction of the herniated bowels, and no IH recurrence was observed until the end of the study. All 10 patients showed “whirl signs” on CT scans. 8 patients underwent emergency surgery, and 2 patients underwent elective surgery. The two patients in the elective surgery group experienced recurrent SBO and showed a “whirl sign” on the CT scan before surgery. Both of them were managed non-operatively and discharged from the hospital for every episode. Therefore, we advised surgery, and finally, they were confirmed to be IHs upon surgical exploration.

**Risk Factors for IH and SIH**

Table 5 shows the results of univariate and multivariate analyses to identify the independent risk factors for IH and SIH. In the multivariate analysis, non-closure of PD was the only risk factor for IH and SIH [odds ratio (OR) 6.58, 95% CI 1.56–27.85, p=0.011]. Sex, age, BMI, previous abdominal surgery history, reconstruction type, and surgical approach were not associated with IH and SIH occurrence.

**Discussion**

Gastric cancer is a major health problem, as it is the second leading cause of cancer death and the fourth most common cancer worldwide. Surgery is a major curative strategy for gastric cancer. A PD is created after gastrectomy with B-2 or R-Y reconstruction. However, there has been no consensus yet on how to deal with it.

The study showed that the rates of SBO and SIH were significantly decreased after closure of PD. The rate of IH was not significantly decreased after closure of PD, probably reflecting the very low number of IHs. In the descriptive analysis, the rate of IH was much lower in the closure group (1/385, 0.3%) than the non-closure group (9/828, 1.1%). The analysis of the risk factors for IH and SIH additionally validated these findings. Our results were consistent with those of previous studies.

Theoretically, if mesenteric defects are completely closed, no IH can occur through mesenteric defects. However, similar to a previous study, after we closed the PDs, one case of IH occurred. We found that the IH patient underwent gastrectomy in the first week when we started to close the PD, and there have been no IH patients in closure group since then. Both surgeons participating in the study were very well experienced with gastrectomy and far beyond their learning curve for this operation, but this was not necessarily the case for PD closure. Therefore, the reason for this may be incomplete closure of the PD during primary surgery. Another explanation is that defects may open out after the loss of mesenteric fat, leading to the formation of IH. Therefore, although closure of all mesenteric defects cannot completely prevent IH, current studies have shown that it may decrease the rate.

The overall rate of IH was 0.8% in our study, and it ranged from 0.19–5% in previous studies. The rate of IH varied greatly among different literatures. These differences may be caused by different inclusion groups, diagnostic criteria, follow-up periods, laparoscopy proportions, and mesenteric defect closures. The rate of IH in the study was lower than that in most studies. The possible reason is that we routinely closed...
the JMD in all patients, and no IH was found in the JMD in this study; however, most authors left it open before they changed their technique to close all mesenteric defects. In a study conducted by Miyagaki et al\(^8\), all gastrectomies, regardless of reconstruction method or gastrectomy type, were invested, including patients with little possibility of IH such as those who underwent esophagogastronomy and Billroth-1 reconstruction. The 3-year incidence rate of IH in their study was 0.19%, which was the lowest in literature.

Laparoscopic surgery was considered a risk factor for IH in most previous studies\(^1, 7, 8, 20, 27\). The possible reason was fewer adhesions\(^1, 8\). However, similar to a previous study\(^9\), it was not a risk factor in the present study. The possible explanation was that we adopted laparoscopy-assisted surgery in most cases. However, most authors mainly adopted total laparoscopic surgery in previous studies. Laparoscopy-assisted surgery may result in more adhesions than total laparoscopic surgery.

In previous studies on the effect of closure of mesenteric defects in gastrectomy for gastric cancer, IH was defined as the only endpoint, but if SBO and SIH were not included, the effect of mesenteric defects closure may be overlooked. Due to its rare incidence and nonspecific symptoms, it is difficult to diagnose IH preoperatively. CT scans have become the main work-up to diagnose IH before surgery\(^11\) and some authors held the idea that “whirl sign” on CT scans was the most predictive sign of IH (sensitivity 78-100%, specificity 80-90%)\(^3, 12, 18, 19\). Kang et al\(^20\) even used the “whirl sign” as a diagnostic criterion for IH in their study. In the present study, 2 patients were considered to have SIH according to our criteria before surgery, and they were finally confirmed to have IH by surgical exploration. Another 20 non-operatively treated SBO patients also showed a “whirl sign” on CT examinations. The reason why they were managed nonoperatively was that they had no signs of bowel necrosis, and they were reluctant to receive surgery, or surgery was not physically allowed. There is a high possibility that in some of these patients with SBO, it was caused by IH, although this was not confirmed by surgical exploration. Therefore, we defined these patients as having SIH in this study. Additionally, the work-up for IH was mainly performed with CT scan, and it is possible that these false negative CT patients were not diagnosed with IH; however, SBO is not easily missed with CT scans\(^28\). It is also possible that some patients who underwent operation for SBO actually suffered from IH, and they were not identified during the procedure\(^29\). Therefore, considering the above factors, we included both SIH and SBO as endpoints in this study.

SBO is a well-described complication after abdominal surgery and adhesion is considered as the cause of almost 75% SBO, other etiologies including IH, inguinal hernia, incisional hernia, and obstructive tumor etc\(^30\). The rate of SBO after gastrectomy for gastric cancer ranged from 11.7 to 38.5% in previous studies\(^31, 32\). The rate of SBO reported in this study was 12.4%, which was similar to previous studies. The rate of SBO may be influenced by different diagnostic criteria, follow-up periods, and proportions of laparoscopy. In our study, SBO was defined as an episode of intestinal obstruction caused by IH, SIH and adhesions. And we found that there was no significant difference in the rate of adhesive SBO between the two groups.

There is concern that closure of the mesenteric defects may be associated with a higher rate of postoperative complications such as mesenteric hematomas and bleeding. However, in our study, there was no difference in the rate of complications within 30 days between non-closure and closure groups. It showed that closure of PD did not increase early postoperative complications.
The strengths of the study were that it followed a standardized surgical protocol and two distinct groups for comparison. Both surgeons participating in the study were well and equally experienced in gastrectomy for gastric cancer. The limitations of the study include that it was a retrospective study conducted in a single center. The number of IHs in our study was too small for analysis, and we included SIH to investigated the risk factors. Another potential limitation of the study was that the follow-up duration was different between the two groups. However, the rate of IH seems to be highest within 1-2 years after operation, corresponding to the time of the largest weight loss. And in the non-closure group, 7 out of 9 IH cases (78%) presented within the first 16 months after gastrectomy. Considering that 85% of patients in the closure group were followed for more than 16 months, we would not expect a large number of additional IHs in this group. A multicenter prospective study is required to evaluate patients with closure of all mesenteric defects during gastrectomy, including postoperative complications and quality of life.

In conclusion, closure of PD is recommended after gastrectomy for gastric cancer, as we noticed a significantly lower rate of SIH and SBO and a tendency for lower rate of IH, while the procedure did not significantly increase postoperative complications.

**Abbreviations**

IH: internal hernia

SBO: small bowel obstruction

LRYGB: laparoscopic Roux-Y gastric bypass

B-2: Billroth-2

R-Y: Roux-en-Y

PD: Petersen’s defect

JMD: jejunojejunostomy mesenteric defect

PDH: Petersen’s defect hernia

CT: computed tomography

BMI: body mass index

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate:**

This study was based on the information gathered from the database of the Surgical Gastric Cancer Patient Registry of West China Hospital (WCH-SGCPR) under registration number: WCH-SGCPR-2019-10. The
establishment of this database was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of West China Hospital. Informed consent individual patients were waived because of the retrospective nature of the analysis.

**Consent for publication:**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials:**

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Competing interests:**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Funding:**

This study was funded by (1) National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 81702366; (2) Foundation of Science & Technology Department of Sichuan Province (2019YFS0255) ; (3) 1.3.5 project for disciplines of excellence, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. ZY2017304; (4) Supported by " the Fundamental Research Funds for the central Universities", No.2015SCU04A43. (5) Sichuan Province Cadre Health Care Research Project (No.2017-114)

**Authors’ contribution:**

1. Jian-kun Hu, Tao Pan, Hui Wang and Xin-zu Chen made substantial contributions to conception and design for this study.
2. Tao Pan, Hui Wang, Kai-Liu Xiao-long Chen and Wei-han Zhang acquired and analyzed data and Tao Pan drafted the article.
3. Since this was a large sample research in our institution, 1213 cases were included in our study, Kun Yang, Bo Zhang, Jian-Kun Hu and Zong-guang Zhou provided a large number of cases and gave many important suggestions for this study. They also participated in writing the paper.
4. Tao Pan, Hui Wang, Kai Liu, Xin-zu Chen, Wei-han Zhang, Xiao-long Chen, and Kun Yang also participated in revising it critically for important intellectual content;
5. The authors thank the language editing service from American Journal Experts.
6. Tao Pan and Hui Wang contributed equally to this work.
7. Jian-kun Hu gave final approval of the version to be published.

**Acknowledgment**

The authors thank the substantial work of Volunteer Team of Gastric Cancer Surgery
References

1. Han WH, Eom BW, Yoon HM, et al. Clinical characteristics and surgical outcomes of internal hernia after gastrectomy in gastric cancer patients: retrospective case control study. Surg Endosc 2018.

2. Steele KE, Prokopowicz GP, Magnuson T, et al. Laparoscopic antecolic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass with closure of internal defects leads to fewer internal hernias than the retrocolic approach. Surg Endosc 2008;22:2056-61.

3. Stenberg E, Szabo E, Ågren G, et al. Closure of mesenteric defects in laparoscopic gastric bypass: a multicentre, randomised, parallel, open-label trial. The Lancet 2016;387:1397-1404.

4. Geubbels N, Lijftogt N, Fiocco M, et al. Meta-analysis of internal herniation after gastric bypass surgery. Br J Surg 2015;102:451-60.

5. Amor IB, Kassir R, Debs T, et al. Impact of Mesenteric Defect Closure During Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (LRYGB): a Retrospective Study for a Total of 2093 LRYGB. Obesity Surgery 2019.

6. Blockhuys M, Gypen B, Heyman S, et al. Internal Hernia After Laparoscopic Gastric Bypass: Effect of Closure of the Petersen Defect - Single-Center Study. Obes Surg 2019;29:70-75.

7. Kojima K, Inokuchi M, Kato K, et al. Petersen's hernia after laparoscopic distal gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction for gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 2014;17:146-51.

8. Miyagaki H, Takiguchi S, Kurokawa Y, et al. Recent trend of internal hernia occurrence after gastrectomy for gastric cancer. World J Surg 2012;36:851-7.

9. Yoshikawa K, Shimada M, Kurita N, et al. Characteristics of internal hernia after gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction for gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2014;28:1774-8.

10. Comeau E, Gagner M, Inabnet WB, et al. Symptomatic internal hernias after laparoscopic bariatric surgery. Surg Endosc 2005;19:34-9.

11. Ederveen JC, van Berckel MMG, Nienhuijs SW, et al. Predictive value of abdominal CT in evaluating internal herniation after bariatric laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Br J Surg 2018;105:1623-1629.

12. Lockhart ME, Tessler FN, Canon CL, et al. Internal hernia after gastric bypass: sensitivity and specificity of seven CT signs with surgical correlation and controls. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2007;188:745-50.

13. Hwang RF, Swartz DE, Felix EL. Causes of small bowel obstruction after laparoscopic gastric bypass. Surg Endosc 2004;18:1631-5.

14. Brolin RE, Kella VN. Impact of complete mesenteric closure on small bowel obstruction and internal mesenteric hernia after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2013;9:850-4.

15. Washington K. 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual: stomach. Ann Surg Oncol 2010;17:3077-9.

16. Sano T, Aiko T. New Japanese classifications and treatment guidelines for gastric cancer: revision concepts and major revised points. Gastric Cancer 2011;14:97-100.
17. Japanese Gastric Cancer A. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2014 (ver. 4). Gastric Cancer 2017;20:1-19.

18. Iannuccilli JD, Grand D, Murphy BL, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of eight CT signs in the preoperative diagnosis of internal mesenteric hernia following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. Clin Radiol 2009;64:373-80.

19. Lanzetta MM, Masserelli A, Addeo G, et al. Internal hernias: a difficult diagnostic challenge. Review of CT signs and clinical findings. Acta Biomed 2019;90:20-37.

20. Kang KM, Cho YS, Min SH, et al. Internal hernia after gastrectomy for gastric cancer in minimally invasive surgery era. Gastric Cancer 2019.

21. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg 2004;240:205-13.

22. Kubota T, Hiki N, Sano T, et al. Prognostic significance of complications after curative surgery for gastric cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2014;21:891-8.

23. Van Cutsem E, Sagaert X, Topal B, et al. Gastric cancer. The Lancet 2016;388:2654-2664.

24. Kelly KJ, Allen PJ, Brennan MF, et al. Internal hernia after gastrectomy for cancer with Roux-Y reconstruction. Surgery 2013;154:305-11.

25. Toriumi T, Makuuchi R, Kamiya S, et al. Obesity is a risk factor for internal hernia after laparoscopic or robot-assisted gastrectomy with mesenteric defect closure for gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2019.

26. Chowbey P, Baijal M, Kantharia NS, et al. Mesenteric Defect Closure Decreases the Incidence of Internal Hernias Following Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass: a Retrospective Cohort Study. Obes Surg 2016;26:2029-2034.

27. Hosoya Y, Lefor A, Ui T, et al. Internal hernia after laparoscopic gastric resection with antecolic Roux-en-Y reconstruction for gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2011;25:3400-4.

28. Ingrid M, Alban R, Chakib A, et al. Adhesive small-Bowel Obstruction: Value of CT in Identifying Findings Associated with the Effectiveness of Nonsurgical Treatment. Radiology. 2014;279(2):219-302.30.

29. Kristensen SD, Jess P, Floyd AK, et al. Internal herniation after laparoscopic antecolic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a nationwide Danish study based on the Danish National Patient Register. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2016;12:297-303.

30. Andersen P, Jensen KK, Erichsen R, et al. Nationwide population-based cohort study to assess risk of surgery for adhesive small bowel obstruction following open or laparoscopic rectal cancer resection. BJS Open 2017;1:30-38.

31. Inaba T, Okinaga K, Fukushima R, et al. Prospective randomized study of two laparotomy incisions for gastrectomy: midline incision versus transverse incision. Gastric Cancer 2004;7:167-71.

32. Hayashi S, Fujii M, Takayama T. Prevention of postoperative small bowel obstruction in gastric cancer. Surg Today 2015;45:1352-9.

33. Rodriguez A, Mosti M, Sierra M, et al. Small bowel obstruction after antecolic and antegastric laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: could the incidence be reduced? Obes Surg 2010;20:1380-4.
34. de la Cruz-Munoz N, Cabrera JC, Cuesta M, et al. Closure of mesenteric defect can lead to decrease in internal hernias after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2011;7:176-80.

35. Ahmed AR, Rickards G, Husain S, et al. Trends in internal hernia incidence after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Obes Surg 2007;17:1563-6.

Tables

Table 1 Demographic data at the time of gastrectomy

|                      | Non-closure group | Closure group | p†   |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|------|
|                      | First period,     | Second period,|      |
|                      | N=828             | N=385         |      |
| Age at operation     | 592 (71)          | 256 (66)      | 0.077†|
| (years) *            | 236 (29)          | 129 (34)      |      |
| Ass index            | 58.0 (11.4)       | 59.0 (11.1)   | 0.077‡|
|                      | 22.6 (2.9)        | 22.9 (3.2)    | 0.089‡|
|                    ) *|                   |               |      |
| Abdominal surgery  † | 137 (17)          | 79 (21)       | 0.092 |
| History              | 691 (83)          | 306 (79)      |      |
| Diameter             | 4.6 (2.5)         | 4.4 (2.5)     | 0.208‡|
|                      |                   |               |      |
| Total approach       | 180 (22)          | 87 (23)       | 0.813 |
|                     | 118 (14)          | 59 (15)       |      |
|                     | 516 (62)          | 231 (60)      |      |
|                     | 14 (2)            | 8 (2)         |      |
| Laparoscopic type    | 455 (55)          | 197 (51)      | 0.219 |
| N=0–II               | 373 (45)          | 188 (49)      |      |
| N=III–IV             |                   |               | 0.307 |
| Abdominal surgery    | 226 (27)          | 116 (30)      |      |
| Type                  | 602 (73)          | 269 (70)      |      |
| Moderately          |                   |               |      |
| Undifferentated      |                   |               |      |
| Age                  | 217 (26)          | 102 (26)      | 0.568 |
|                      | 213 (26)          | 109 (28)      |      |
|                     | 398 (48)          | 174 (46)      |      |
| Resection type       | 551 (67)          | 257 (67)      | 0.943 |
| Total                | 277 (33)          | 128 (33)      |      |
| Tomomy               |                   |               |      |
| Abdominal surgery    | 721 (87)          | 330 (86)      | 0.516 |
| Laparoscopic therapy | 107 (13)          | 55 (14)       |      |
| Operative therapy    | 507 (61)          | 241 (63)      | 0.649 |
|                       | 321 (39)          | 144 (37)      |      |
Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise; *values are mean(s.d.).

R-Y Roux-en-Y reconstruction, B-2 Billroth-2 reconstruction.

†χ² test, except‡ Paired t test

Table 2 Complications within 30 days of gastrectomy

|                          | Non-closure group (First period) | Closure group (Second period) | p§  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| **Stomoraphic complications** | 132 (15.9)                      | 55 (14.3)                     | 0.457 |
| **In-surgical complications** | 96 (11.6)                      | 49 (12.7)                     | 0.571 |
| Pulmonary disease         | 88 (10.6)                       | 43 (11.2)                     |     |
| Urinary disease           | 5 (0.6)                         | 3 (0.8)                       |     |
| Cardiac disease           | 0                               | 1 (0.3)                       |     |
| Delirium                  | 2 (0.2)                         | 1 (0.3)                       |     |
| Venous thromboembolus     | 0                               | 1 (0.3)                       |     |
| Liver function impairment | 1 (0.1)                         | 0                             |     |
| Surgical complications    | 43 (5.2)                        | 12 (3.1)                      | 0.106 |
| Anastomotic/suture leakage | 5 (0.6)                         | 1 (0.3)                       |     |
| Surgical site infection   | 10 (1.4)                        | 3 (0.8)                       |     |
| Pancreatic fistula        | 3 (0.4)                         | 1 (0.3)                       |     |
| Lymphatic leakage         | 1 (0.1)                         | 0                             |     |
| Post-operative bleeding   | 4 (0.5)                         | 3 (0.8)                       |     |
| Delayed stricture        | 19 (2.3)                        | 4 (1.0)                       |     |
| Other major complications | 1 (0.1)                         | 0                             |     |
| Avian-Dindo classification | 125 (15.1)                     | 52 (13.5)                     | 0.245 |
| III-V                    | 6 (0.8)                         | 3 (0.8)                       |     |

Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise;
7 patients in non-closure group and 6 patients in closure group had both surgical and general complications.

† Surgical complications other than small bowel obstruction.

* Site of leakage was gastrojejunostomy for 1 patient, and duodenal stump for 4 patients.

# Site of leakage was duodenal stump for 1 patient.

¶ Site of bleeding was abdominal cavity for 3 patients, and gastrointestinal tract for 1 patient.

‡ Site of bleeding was abdominal cavity for 2 patient, and gastrointestinal tract for 1 patient.

- Small bowel perforation due to gallstone.

1 patient died of pulmonary infection and respiratory failure.

χ² test.

Table 3 The causes and treatment of SBO

| Causes     | Non-closure group (First period, N=828) | Closure group (Second period, N=385) | p†  |
|------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| SBO        | 124 (15.0)                             | 27 (7.0)                           | 0.017 |
| IH         | 9 (1.1)                                | 1 (0.3)                            | 0.274 |
| SIH        | 19 (2.3)                               | 1 (0.3)                            | 0.015 |
| Adhesions  | 96 (11.6)                              | 25 (6.5)                           | 0.212 |
| Treatment  |                                        |                                    |     |
| Conservative | 99 (12.0)                          | 22 (5.7)                           |     |
| Surgical   | 25 (3.0)                               | 5 (1.3)                            |     |
| Bowel resection |                                    |                                    |     |
| Yes        | 4 (0.5)                                | 1 (0.3)                            |     |
| No         | 21 (2.5)                               | 4 (1.0)                            |     |

Values in parentheses are percentages.

SBO small bowel obstruction, IH internal hernia, SIH suspicious for internal hernia.

† Log-rank test

Table 4 Characteristics of patients with IH
| Case | Age | Sex | Interval period (months) | Whirl sign | Closure of PD | Procedure of gastrectomy | Bowel resection | Type of surgery | Mortality |
|------|-----|-----|--------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1    | 58  | Male | 22                       | Yes        | Yes          | Laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy | No             | Emergency      | No        |
| 2    | 65  | Male | 24                       | Yes        | No           | Open distal gastrectomy | No             | Emergency      | No        |
| 3    | 64  | Male | 7                        | Yes        | No           | Open distal gastrectomy | No             | Emergency      | Yes*       |
| 4    | 47  | Female | 1                       | Yes        | No           | Laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy | No             | Elective      | No        |
| 5    | 44  | Female | 7                       | Yes        | No           | Open total gastrectomy | Yes            | Emergency      | No        |
| 6    | 79  | Male | 27                       | Yes        | No           | Open total gastrectomy | No             | Emergency      | No        |
| 7    | 67  | Male | 16                       | Yes        | No           | Open distal gastrectomy | No             | Emergency      | No        |
| 8    | 78  | Male | 6                        | Yes        | No           | Open distal gastrectomy | No             | Emergency      | No        |
| 9    | 66  | Male | 1                        | Yes        | No           | Open distal gastrectomy | Yes            | Emergency      | No        |
| 10   | 60  | Female | 7                       | Yes        | No           | Open distal gastrectomy | No             | Elective      | No        |

*Died of sepsis caused by bowel necrosis

IH internal hernia, BMI body mass index, PD Petersen's defect

**Table 5** Results of univariate and multivariate analyses to identify the independent risk factors for IH and SIH
|                          | IH or SIH (No, n=1183) | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                          | (Yes, n=30)            | OR (95% CI)         | p                     |
| Sex                      |                        |                     |                       |
| Female                   | 358                    | 1.00 (reference)    |                       |
| Male                     | 825                    | 1.43 (0.61-3.35)    | 0.416                 |
| Age (years)              |                        |                     |                       |
| ≥ 65                     | 367                    | 1.00 (reference)    |                       |
| <65                      | 816                    | 0.68 (0.32-1.42)    | 0.298                 |
| BMI (kg/m²)              |                        |                     |                       |
| ≥ 25                     | 928                    | 1.00 (reference)    |                       |
| <25                      | 255                    | 1.10 (0.45-2.72)    | 0.838                 |
| Previous abdominal surgery history |                |                     |                       |
| Yes                      | 214                    | 1.00 (reference)    |                       |
| No                       | 969                    | 3.09 (0.73-13.08)   | 0.125                 |
| Type of reconstruction   |                        |                     |                       |
| R-Y (Total gastrectomy)  | 400                    | 1.00 (reference)    | 1.00 (reference)      |
| B-2 (Distal gastrectomy) | 783                    | 2.55 (0.97-6.72)    | 0.058                 |
| Surgical approach        |                        |                     |                       |
| Laparoscopy-assisted/Ro  | 160                    | 1.00 (reference)    |                       |
| bot                      |                        |                     |                       |
| Open                     | 1023                   | 2.19 (0.52-9.28)    | 0.287                 |
| Closure of PD            |                        |                     |                       |
| Yes (Second period)      | 383                    | 1.00 (reference)    | 1.00 (reference)      |
| No (First period)        | 800                    | 6.70 (1.59-28.28)   | 0.010* 6.58 (1.56-27.85) | 0.011* |

Values in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals.

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, IH internal hernia, SIH suspicious for internal hernia, BMI body mass index, R-Y Roux-en-Y reconstruction, B-2 Billroth-2 reconstruction, PD
Petersen's defect

* Statistically significant difference (p<0.05)

**Supplementary Figure Legends**

**Supplementary Fig. 1** The flowchart of patients enrolled in the study;

**Supplementary Fig. 2** Whirling appearance of the mesentery and mesenteric vessels on CT scans ("whirl sign", red arrows).

**Figures**
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**Figure 1**

Operative pictures: A Closure of Petersen's defect (PD, red arrows). B Closure of jejunojejunostomy mesenteric defect (JMD, green arrows). C Petersen's defect (PD, blue arrow) was found open after reduction of the internal hernia (IH);
Figure 2

Cumulative incidence of small bowel obstruction (SBO);
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