Tree species diversity of Pegunungan Bintang, Papua, Indonesia as potency of wood supply
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Abstract. Murdjoko A, Djitmau DA, Sirami EV, Siburiu A, Mardiyadi Z, Wanua JF, Mofu WY, Marwa J, Susanti CME, Tokede MJ, Imburi CS, Sagrim M, Mamboai H, Sonbait LV, Dwiranti F, Salosa YY, Paembonan JB, Wiradyo ET, Unenor E, Benu NMH, Saragh ASB. 2021. Tree species diversity of Pegunungan Bintang, Papua, Indonesia as potency of wood supply. Biodiversitas 22: 5645-5655. The tropical rainforest is a primary global concern in many aspects. Therefore, this study aimed to describe the tree diversity in Pegunungan Bintang Forest, Papua, Indonesia. There are 10 dominant species from seedlings, saplings, poles, and trees, indicating the timber production potency. This study set systematic plots in Murkim and Teirapu Sub-districts to collect taxonomic information, diameter, and clear bole height samples. The results showed that the forest was categorized as a tropical mixed due to diverse tree species. The leading plant families included Moraceae, Myrtaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Lauraceae, and Anacardiaceae, with various seedlings, saplings, poles, and trees. The potency of timber was reflected through sample distribution using the reverse J-shaped curve, identifying the merchantable trees with larger and smaller diameters as re-established. However, timber utilization management requires silvicultural treatments using selective logging by diameter limit and logging cycle for forest sustainability.
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INTRODUCTION

Tropical natural forests as one of the living natural resources still provide benefits and an essential role in life for fulfilling people's lives (Fredericksen and Putz 2003; Uddin et al. 2020; Sonbait et al. 2021). In addition, these forests also provide valuable functions for the sustainability of the ecosystem. For example, the function of forest ecosystems as a water catchment area is to assist in the process of the hydrological cycle so that the availability of water in its sources is correct and it will become a necessity for humans in particular (Lohbeck et al. 2014; Margono et al. 2014). In addition, the function globally is to balance the cycle of nutrients such as carbon, which is currently an international issue where tropical forests are used as carbon sinks and stores. Therefore, the existence of tropical forest ecosystems is essential in their role in social, economic, and ecological life in general (Rozendaal et al. 2019; Murdjoko et al. 2020; Tawer et al. 2021).

Papua (Indonesia) is one of the tropical rain forests, particularly the District of Pegunungan Bintang which consists of the morphology of lakes and rivers (alluvial and colluvial), denuded structural morphology, and glacier morphology. The variation of this area is spread from an altitude of 0 to 4.700 m above sea level (asl). Pegunungan Bintang District is a segment of Papua's central mountains, an active fold and fault area with a moderate to heavy, undulating topography at an altitude of 1.000-4.700 m asl, and a slope level of 30-45%. There are igneous and igneous rocks metamorphosed in the northern part due to folding and intense fractures of the Pre-Tertiary and Quaternary age (0.5-2 million years ago). These rocks are characteristic of coal, petroleum, and other metal mineralization potential. The northern mountains of the Pegunungan Bintang District are a reasonably strong collision zone between the oceanic plate and the vast continent (Australia), a mountain (mount) with a slope of 30-45%. This plain consists of sedimentary layers that are part of the
coastal plains of Papua which indicate the dominance of the floodplain (Murdjoko et al. 2020).

In this tropical forest area in this area, the interaction between humans and forest resources has also occurred for a very long time. These humans have identified and used forest products to fulfill their needs. These forest products have become part of these human activities. This causes these forest products to have economic value in circulation in human activities (Ungirwalu et al. 2014; Ungirwalu et al. 2017). This condition causes the need for forest resources, in this case, timber forest products, to become an established economic mechanism. This condition is currently the reason that this tropical forest area can provide economic functions to humans (Kuswandi and Murdjoko 2015; Murdjoko et al. 2016b). For example, currently, timber forest products are products from tropical forest areas that have been utilized and become part of the economic cycle. The timber forest product is a commodity from trees in the tropical forest (Murdjoko 2013; Kübler et al. 2020). The utilization of these timber forest products has also been going on for a long time and was carried out in official or illegal conditions. Therefore, we hypothesized that the two Sub-districts contained higher diversity of tree species and the individual distribution of tree species over diameter class indicated the stock of tree as timber potency. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to reveal tree diversity in Pegunungan Bintang Forest, Papua, Indonesia as forest description, uncovered species following seedlings, saplings, poles, and trees, and described the potency of timber production. Besides, we provided advice for sustainable forest management during using the tree as a wood supply.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was conducted in Murkim and Teiraplu Sub-districts in Pegunungan Bintang District, Papua Province, Indonesia. The Murkim Sub-district is located in the eastern part covering 359 km² as per the administrative boundaries. It is located at coordinates 140°39’35.289”-41°00’00.00” E and 04°00’59.196”-04°21’54.82” S (Figure 1). In contrast, the Teiraplu Sub-district is located in the north, spread at 1,469 km². It is located at coordinates 140°13’26.618”-140°30’47.699” E and 03°44’42.041”-04°26’23.674” S. The Pegunungan Bintang District is located in Papua Province, Indonesia, directly bordering Papua New Guinea (PNG). It covers 1,586,300 hectares and is dominated by mountainous areas (66.09%) and hilly areas (27.26%). Its area is approximately ± 4.01% of Papua Province, with a flat distance of 111km from West to East and 160 km from North to South (Kartikasari et al. 2012; Murdjoko et al. 2020).

Sampling and survey

We applied the systematic plots during data collection where the 24 plots were placed in each Sub-district, Teiraplu, and Murkim, respectively. The distance among the plot was more or less 100 m. Then, the plot size was 20 m x 20 m for tree and 3 subplots (10 m x 10 m for pole, 5 m x 5 m for sapling, and 2 m x 2 m for seedling). Data were the number of individuals in the plot, species of tree, pole, sapling, and seedling for taxonomic information. Species were recognized to species level by means of morphological characters based on determinant keys and unidentified species were sent as voucher to the Herbarium Papuense of Balai Penelitian dan Pengembangan Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan (BP2LHK) Manokwari and Herbarium Manokvariense (MAN) Pusat Penelitian Keanekaragaman Hayati Universitas Papua (PPKH-UNIPA), Manokwari. The scientific name of the species was based on World Flora Online (WFO) at the website: http://www.worldfloraonline.org/. Then, diameter and clear bole height was measured for tree pole and tree using phi band and Haga hypsometer, respectively. The diameter was measured at 1.3 m from the ground or at breast height and more or less 10 above the buttress. The clear bole height was measured at the vantage point below the first branch.

Figure 1. Location of research in Pegunungan Bintang Forest, Papua, Indonesia (symbolized by white box)
Data analysis

We calculated the diversity and evenness of species in both Sub-districts using the Shannon-Wiener index and Pielou’s evenness, respectively, computed as:

\[ H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} p_i \ln (p_i) \]

Where:
- \( H' \) is Shannon-Wiener index
- \( p_i \) is the number of samples where species \( i \) is present

Pielou’s evenness was computed using:

\[ J = \frac{H'}{\ln (S)} \]

Where:
- \( S \) is the total number of species for each type of forest (Spellerberg and Fedor 2003; Tawer et al. 2021).

Moreover, we also computed the Importance Value Index (IVI) of species by adding relative frequency, density, and dominance as:

\[ \text{IVI}_i = RF_i + RD_i + RD_0 \]

Where:
- \( \text{IVI}_i \) is the important value index of species \( i \)
- \( RF_i \) is the relative frequency of species \( i \)
- \( RD_i \) is the relative density of species \( i \)
- \( RD_0 \) is the relative dominance of species \( i \) (Cottam and Curtis 1956; Murdjoko et al. 2016a; Fatem et al. 2020).

Results and Discussion

Forest description

The two Sub-districts consist of natural tropical forests with high species diversity. The results showed 56 tree families with 185 species (Table 2). It indicated that the tree species diversity was high because this area was part of New Guinea harboring plenty of species vegetation (Whitfeld et al. 2014; Câmara-Leret et al. 2020; Murdjoko et al. 2020). The identified trees were categorized into four phases: seedlings, saplings, poles, and trees. Additionally, other vegetation was identified as the primary category of tropical forests characteristics. However, this study’s main objective was the tree species.

The forests are diverse with similar tree species, indicated by an almost similar Shannon-Wiener diversity index (\( H' \)) at 2.758 for Murkim Sub-district and 2.765 for Teiraplu. The tree species evenness value distribution was 0.930 and 0.937 for Murkim and Teiraplu Sub-districts, respectively (Table 1). Therefore, the diversity and tree species are evenly distributed, and none dominates both forests (Spellerberg and Fedor 2003).

Besides, the distribution of taxon for family levels in the forest in the two sub-districts cannot be dominated by particular families. This condition indicates that the forest formed in these two sub-districts is a tropical mixed forest. However, it can be analyzed to show the position of the taxon at the family level in this forest based on the number of species and individuals so that many family levels in this forest can be sorted. From the analysis results, the families occupying the top five positions were Moraceae, Myrtaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Lauraceae, and Anacardiaceae (Figure 2). Furthermore, the five families have the most significant proportion with more than three percent, while the other 76 families have the same value with the highest distribution being three percent. Thus, the forests in these two sub-districts form a mixed tropical forest where this forest forms its ecosystem by interacting between species in several lifeforms that are present together with trees (Murdjoko et al. 2016a; Murdjoko et al. 2020).

Table 1. Diversity and evenness scores in the two Sub-districts where \( H' \) is Shannon diversity index and \( J \) is Evenness

| Location          | Murkim | Teiraplu | Both sub-district |
|-------------------|--------|----------|------------------|
| Index             | H'     | J        | H'              |
| Mean              | 2.758  | 0.930    | 2.765            |
| Standard deviation| 0.495  | 0.051    | 0.245            |

Figure 2. Taxon sequences for Family Rank (x-axis) based on the proportion of the number of species and individuals as a percentage (y-axis) in the two sub-districts
Table 2. List of tree species in two sub-districts and the symbol of the presence of tree species

| Tree species | Sub-district | Murkim | Tetaplah |
|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
| Acronychia brassii T.G.Hartley | ✓ | ✓ |
| Acronychia reticulata Lauterb. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Actinodaphne nitida Teschner | ✓ | ✓ |
| Adinandra integerima T.Anderson ex Dyer | ✓ | ✓ |
| Aglaia argentea Blume | ✓ | ✓ |
| Aglaia spectabilis (Miq.) S.S.Jain & S.Bennet | ✓ | ✓ |
| Alphitonia incana (Roxb.) Tejsim. & Binn. ex Kurz | ✓ | ✓ |
| Alstonia scholaris (L.) R. Br. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Alstonia spectabilis R.Br. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Anisandra toxicaria Lesch. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Anisarpornis decipiens K.Schum. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Archidendron parviflorum Pulle | ✓ | ✓ |
| Artocarpus altilis (Parkinson ex F.A.Zorn) Fosberg | ✓ | ✓ |
| Beilschmiedia morobensis Kosterm. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Bischotia javanica Blume | ✓ | ✓ |
| Blumeodeondron tokhai (Blume) Kurz | ✓ | ✓ |
| Buchanania arborescens (Blume) Blume | ✓ | ✓ |
| Buchanania macrocarpa Lauterb. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Callicarpa longifolia Lam. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Calophyllum caudatum Kaneh. & Hatus. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Calophyllum inophyllum L. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Campanosperma brevitetiolatum Volkens | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cananga odorata (Lam.) Hook.f. & Thomson | ✓ | ✓ |
| Canarium asperum Benth. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Canarium hirsutum Willd. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Canarium indicum L. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Canarium rigidum (Blume) Zipp. ex Miq. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Carallia brachiat (Lour.) Merr. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Casearia carrrii Sleumer | ✓ | ✓ |
| Casearia monticola Sleumer | ✓ | ✓ |
| Casearia sp. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Casearia urophylla Gilg | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cerbera floribunda K.Schum. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Chionanthus macrocarpus Blume | ✓ | ✓ |
| Chioschoten ceramics Miq. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cryptophyllum papuanum (Pierre ex Dubard) Royen | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cleistanthus papuanaus (Lauterb.) Jabl. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cochlospermum gibbriuei Benth. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Commersonia bartramia (L.) Merr. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Corynocarpus laevigatus J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cryptocarya palmersiens (C.K.Allen) | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cryptocarya sp. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Decaspernum parviflorum (Lam.) A.J.Scott | ✓ | ✓ |
| Dillenia papuana Martelli | ✓ | ✓ |
| Diospyros papuana Valeton ex Bakh. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Diospyros pilosanthera Blanco | ✓ | ✓ |
| Diospyros sp. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Dracontomelon dao (Blanco) Merr. & Rolfe | ✓ | ✓ |
| Drypetes globosa (Merr.) Pax & K.Hoffm. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Dyssoxylum mollissimum Blume | ✓ | ✓ |
| Elaeocarpus angustifolius Blume | ✓ | ✓ |
| Elaeocarpus arnhemiacus F.Muell. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Endiandra rubescens (Blume) Miq. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Endiandra virens F.Muell. | ✓ | ✓ |
| Endospermum medullosum L.S.Sm. | ✓ | ✓ |

Eucalyptopsis papuana C.T.White ✓
Fagraea elliptica Roxb. ✓
Fagraea racemosa Jack ✓
Ficus annulata Blume ✓
Ficus chrysoplepis Miq. ✓
Ficus hispida L.f. ✓
Ficus nodosa Tejsim. & Binn. ✓
Ficus racemosa L. ✓
Ficus robusta Corner ✓
Ficus septica Burn.f. ✓
Ficus sp. ✓
Ficus sycomorus L. ✓
Ficus variegata Blume ✓
Flindersia pimenteliana F.Muell. ✓
Gabalimima belgraveana (F.Muell.) Sprague ✓
Garcinia latissima Miq. ✓
Garcinia picrobiosa Miq. ✓
Garcinia sp. ✓
Gironniera nervosa Planch. ✓
Glochidion sp. ✓
Gmelina sessilis C.T.White & W.D.Francis ex Lane-Poole ✓
Gnetum gnemon L. ✓
Goniothalamus giganteus Hook.f. & Thomson ✓
Gonocaryum litorale (Blume) Sleumer ✓
Grevia ericarpa Juss. ✓
Gymnanthera farquharia (Hook.f. & Thomson) Warb. ✓
Halfordia kendack Guillaumin ✓
Haplolobus celebicus H.J.Lam ✓
Haplolobus floribundus (K.Schum.) H.J.Lam ✓
Haplolobus lanceolatus H.J.Lam ex Leenh. ✓
Harpullia carrii Leenh. ✓
Heritiera sylvatica S.Vidal ✓
Homalanthus novoguineensis (Warb.) K.Schum. ✓
Homalanthus populneus (Geiseler) Pax ✓
Homalium foetidum Benth. ✓
Hopea celtidfolia Kosterm. ✓
Hopea novoguineensis Slooten ✓
Hopea papuana Diels ✓
Horsfeldia irya (Gaertn.) Warb. ✓
Horsfeldia laevigata Warb. ✓
Horsfeldia parviflora (Roxb.) J.Sinclair ✓
Horsfeldia sylvestris Warb. ✓
Istisia palenbicana Miq. ✓
Jagera pseudorhib Radlk. ✓
Kibara bullata Philipson ✓
Kibara coriaceae (Blume) Hook. f. & A. Thomps. ✓
Kibara elongata A.C.Sm. ✓
Kleinlowa hospita L. ✓
Knema intermedia Warb. ✓
Lithocarpus rufolillosus (Markgr.) Rehder ✓
Litsea firma (Blume) Hook.f. ✓
Litsea ledermannii Teschner ✓
Litsea sp. ✓
Litsea timoriana Span. ✓
Maasia glauca (Hassk.) Mols, Kessler & Rogstad ✓
Maasia sumatrana (Miq.) Mols, Kessler & Rogstad ✓
Macaranga densiflora Warb. ✓
Macaranga gigantea (Rchb.f. & Zoll.) Müll.Arg. ✓
Macaranga mapo (L.) Müll.Arg. ✓
Macaranga tanarius (L.) Müll.Arg. ✓
Magnolia tsiampaccia (L.) Figlar & Noot. ✓
Mallotus philippensis (Lam.) Müll.Arg. ✓
Mallotus sp. ✓
Maniltoa browneoides Harms ✓
Maniltoa plurijuga Merr. & L.M.Perry ✓
Mastixiodendron pachyclados (K.Schum.) Melch. ✓
Medusandra laxiflora (Miers) R.A.Howard ✓
Melicope bomwickii (F. Muell.) T.G. Hartley √
Melicope elleryana (F. Muell.) T.G. Hartley √
Myristica ensifolia J.Sinclair √
Myristica fatua Houtt. √
Myristica gigantea King √
Myristica globosa Warb. √
Myristica hollriangi Warb. √
Nauclea orientalis (L.) L. √
Neolamarckia cadamiba (Roxb.) Bosser √
Ochna glomerata (Blume) F.Muell. √
Octamrytus insignis Diels √
Palaquium lobbianum Burck √
Pararchidendron prunosum (Benth.) I.C.Nielsen √
Parrastemon veersteeghii Merr. & L.M.Perry √
Phaleria macrocarpa (Scheff.) Boerl. √
Picrasma javanica Blume √
Pimelodendron amboinicum Hassk. √
Pisonia longirostris Tejsm. & Binn. √
Planchonella anterifera (C.T.White & W.D.Francis ex Lane-Poole) H.J.Lam √
Planchonella keyensis H.J.Lam √
Polycias nodosa (Blume) Seem. √
Pometia acuminata Radlk. √
Pometia pinnata J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. √
Premna corymbosa Rottler & Wild. √
Prunus arborea (Blume) Kalkman √
Prunus javanica (Tejsm. & Binn.) Miq. √
Pterocarpus indicus Wild. √
Pterocymbium beccarii K.Schum. √
Rapanea tempanpan P.Royen √
Rhodamnia cinerea Jack √
Rhus lamprocarpa Merr. & L.M.Perry √
Rhus taiensis Guill. √
Ryparosa javanica Koord. & Valetton √
Schizomeria ovata D.Don √
Semecarpus papuanus Lauterb. √
Sipholodon celastrinus Griff. √
Sipholodon sp. √
Sloanea pullet O.C.Schmidt ex A.C.Sm. √
Spathioestemon javensis Blume √
Sterculia macrophylla Vent. √
Sterculia shillinglawii F.Muell. √
Streblus elongatus (Miq.) Corner √
Sundacarpus amarus (Blume) C.N.Page √
Symlocos anomala Brand √
Symlocos gigantifolia Noot. √
Syzygium sp. 1 √
Syzygium sp. 2 √
Syzygium sp. 3 √
Syzygium veersteegii (Lauterb.) Merr. & L.M.Perry √
Tabernaemontana aurantiaca Gaudich. √
Teijmanniodendron boreriense Koord. √
Terminalia copelandii Elmer √
Terminalia kaernbacchii Warb. √
Timonius caril S.P.Darwin √
Timonius timon (Spreng.) Merr. √
Tristanlopsis macrorperma (F.Muell.) Peter √
G.Wilson & I.T.Water. √
Vatica rassak Blume √
Vitex pinnata L. √
Weinmannia alta Engl. √
Wendlandia sp. √
Xanthophyllum papuanum Whitmore ex Meijden √
Xanthostemon novaguirreensis Valeton √

With the high diversity of taxon species, the condition of this mixed natural forest can be analyzed to show several species that grow and dominate at the seedling, sapling, poles, and tree levels in each subdistrict. The analysis used is to use the IVI in percentage, as shown in Table 3. At each level, it can be seen that the species present in the top ten positions differ. This indicates that the dynamic conditions of stand composition in this forest vary widely. The position of adult individuals, in this case, is the level of poles and trees, has a regeneration process that depends on the state of the forest, such as competition between species, so that many species at the seedling and sapling levels as a reflection of the regeneration of the adult individual are controlled by other species. For example, in Teraplu Subdistrict, at the adult level, it is Melicope elleryana (F. Muell.) T.G. Hartley, Pararchidendron prunosum (Benth.) I.C.Nielsen, Parrastemon veersteeghii Merr. & L.M.Perry and Vatica rassak Blume.

However, there are many different species at the seedling and sapling levels, namely Xanthostemon novaguirreensis Valeton, Gymnacranthera farquhariana (Hook.f & Thomson) Warb., Macaranga densilora Warb. and Aglaia argentea Blume. This phenomenon is normal in tropical forests where adult species that dominate a certain area do not necessarily have good regeneration abilities. This is due to elusive factors in mixed tropical forest ecosystems. However, this situation can be presumed due to heterospecific and conspecific associations that occur as a relationship between the same individuals in one species or different species (Murdjoko et al. 2016c; Murdjoko et al. 2017; Murdjoko et al. 2020). This kind of situation needs to be a concern in tropical forest management, especially for the problem of regeneration that occurs, so it is necessary not to intervene to support the regeneration process of species in this forest (Menezes et al. 2019; Mahayani et al. 2020).

Potential of timber products

From the conditions of this mixed tropical forest, tree species can be described to benefit potential timber forest products which can be seen from the indicators of the number of individuals and the volume per unit area of a hectare (de Avila et al. 2017). Therefore, the grouping of the potential distribution is based on the diameter class group with an interval of 10 cm (Figures 3 and 4). According to the Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry of the Republic of Indonesia Number: P.42 / Menhlik-Setjen / 2015 concerning Administration of Timber Forest Products Originating from Natural Forests, timber forest products consist of three, namely large logs (KBB), medium logs (KBS) and small logs (KBK). Therefore, the distribution of diameter classes for these two sub-districts is about 80 cm high and based on this regulation, forest areas in these two sub-districts can produce timber forest products in the form of KBK, KBS, and KBK.
Table 3. Ten leading species for seedlings, saplings, poles, and trees in each sub-district were dominant based on the Importance Value Index (IVI)

| Species                        | F  | D  | Do | RF | RD | RDo | IVI (%) |
|--------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|---------|
| **Sub-district Teiraplu**      |    |    |    |    |    |     |         |
| **Seedlings**                  |    |    |    |    |    |     |         |
| Xanthostemon novaguneensis     | 0.17| 0.92| n.a | 2.90| 8.56| n.a  | 11.46   |
| Gymnanthera farquhariana       | 0.29| 0.58| n.a | 5.07| 5.45| n.a  | 10.52   |
| Fagraea racemosa               | 0.25| 0.38| n.a | 4.35| 3.50| n.a  | 7.85    |
| Aglaia argentea                | 0.17| 0.46| n.a | 2.90| 4.28| n.a  | 7.18    |
| Geniostoma rupestrae           | 0.17| 0.46| n.a | 2.90| 4.28| n.a  | 7.18    |
| Canarium hissutum             | 0.13| 0.50| n.a | 2.17| 4.67| n.a  | 6.84    |
| Garcinia latissima             | 0.17| 0.33| n.a | 2.90| 3.11| n.a  | 6.01    |
| Gnetum gnemon                  | 0.21| 0.25| n.a | 3.62| 2.33| n.a  | 5.96    |
| Vatica racsak                 | 0.21| 0.21| n.a | 3.62| 1.95| n.a  | 5.57    |
| Canarium indicum              | 0.17| 0.25| n.a | 2.90| 2.33| n.a  | 5.23    |
| **Saplings**                  |    |    |    |    |    |     |         |
| Macaranga densiflora           | 0.42| 0.88| n.a | 4.39| 5.87| n.a  | 10.25   |
| Aglaia argentea                | 0.42| 0.83| n.a | 4.39| 5.59| n.a  | 9.97    |
| Fagraea racemosa               | 0.33| 0.67| n.a | 3.51| 4.47| n.a  | 7.98    |
| Teijmannidendron bogoriense    | 0.33| 0.67| n.a | 3.51| 4.47| n.a  | 7.98    |
| Vatica racsak                  | 0.25| 0.54| n.a | 2.63| 3.63| n.a  | 6.26    |
| Pararchidendron pruinorum      | 0.25| 0.33| n.a | 2.63| 2.23| n.a  | 4.87    |
| Canarium indicum              | 0.21| 0.38| n.a | 2.19| 2.51| n.a  | 4.71    |
| Gmelina sessilis               | 0.21| 0.29| n.a | 2.19| 1.96| n.a  | 4.15    |
| Prunus arborea                 | 0.21| 0.29| n.a | 2.19| 1.96| n.a  | 4.15    |
| Canarium hissutum             | 0.21| 0.25| n.a | 2.19| 1.68| n.a  | 3.87    |
| **Poles**                      |    |    |    |    |    |     |         |
| Melicope elleryana             | 0.13| 0.25| 0.00| 3.70| 6.38| 7.30 | 17.38   |
| Pararchidendron pruinorum      | 0.17| 0.25| 0.00| 4.94| 6.38| 4.78 | 16.10   |
| Acronychia reticulata          | 0.17| 0.21| 0.00| 4.94| 5.32| 3.57 | 13.82   |
| Lithocarpus rufovilloos        | 0.13| 0.17| 0.00| 3.70| 4.26| 5.36 | 13.32   |
| Parastemon versteeghi          | 0.17| 0.17| 0.00| 4.94| 4.26| 4.07 | 13.26   |
| Vatica racsak                  | 0.17| 0.17| 0.00| 4.94| 4.26| 3.74 | 12.93   |
| Xanthostemon novaguneensis     | 0.08| 0.17| 0.00| 2.47| 4.26| 4.85 | 11.57   |
| Pomelia pinnata                | 0.08| 0.13| 0.00| 2.47| 3.19| 4.54 | 10.20   |
| Homalanthus novaguneensis      | 0.08| 0.17| 0.00| 2.47| 4.26| 3.02 | 9.75    |
| Prunus arborea                 | 0.13| 0.13| 0.00| 3.70| 3.19| 2.39 | 9.28    |
| **Trees**                      |    |    |    |    |    |     |         |
| Parastemon versteeghi          | 0.46| 0.58| 0.07| 11.00|12.96|17.78 |41.74   |
| Vatica racsak                  | 0.25| 0.33| 0.02| 6.00| 7.41| 5.27 | 18.67   |
| Lithocarpus rufovilloos        | 0.29| 0.29| 0.02| 7.00| 6.48| 4.31 | 17.80   |
| Xanthostemon novaguneensis     | 0.21| 0.21| 0.03| 5.00| 4.63| 6.93 | 16.56   |
| Gironniera nervosa             | 0.25| 0.25| 0.01| 6.00| 5.56| 3.65 | 15.20   |
| Intisia palembanica            | 0.08| 0.08| 0.02| 2.00| 1.85| 4.82 | 8.67    |
| Decaspermum parviflorum        | 0.13| 0.13| 0.01| 3.00| 2.78| 2.33 | 8.11    |
| Teijmannidendron bogoriense    | 0.13| 0.13| 0.01| 3.00| 2.78| 2.27 | 8.05    |
| Eucalyptopsis papuana           | 0.04| 0.04| 0.02| 1.00| 0.93| 5.66 | 7.59    |
| Litsea timoriana               | 0.13| 0.13| 0.01| 3.00| 2.78| 1.81 | 7.58    |
| **Sub-district Murkim**        |    |    |    |    |    |     |         |
| **Seedlings**                  |    |    |    |    |    |     |         |
| Hopea novaguneensis            | 0.44| 2.64| n.a | 7.38|22.37| n.a  | 29.76   |
| Canarium indicum               | 0.40| 0.84| n.a | 6.71| 7.12| n.a  | 13.83   |
| Premna corymbosia              | 0.24| 0.60| n.a | 4.03| 5.08| n.a  | 9.11    |
| Lithocarpus rufovilloos        | 0.20| 0.48| n.a | 3.36| 4.07| n.a  | 7.42    |
| Ficus robusta                  | 0.20| 0.44| n.a | 3.36| 3.73| n.a  | 7.08    |
| Glochidion sp.                 | 0.16| 0.36| n.a | 2.68| 3.05| n.a  | 5.74    |
| Sloanea paleri                 | 0.20| 0.28| n.a | 3.36| 2.37| n.a  | 5.73    |
| Fagraea racemosa               | 0.16| 0.20| n.a | 2.68| 1.69| n.a  | 4.38    |
| Manilkara browneoides          | 0.16| 0.20| n.a | 2.68| 1.69| n.a  | 4.38    |
| Chrysophyllum papuanicum       | 0.04| 0.36| n.a | 0.67| 3.05| n.a  | 3.72    |
Saplings

Ficus sp. 0.52 1.28 n.a 4.63 7.60 n.a 12.23
Macaranga densiflora 0.40 0.84 n.a 3.56 4.99 n.a 8.55
Premna corymbosa 0.40 0.84 n.a 3.56 4.99 n.a 8.55
Ficus robusta 0.36 0.60 n.a 3.20 3.56 n.a 6.77
Syzygium sp. 1 0.36 0.48 n.a 3.20 2.85 n.a 6.05
Hopea novoguineensis 0.24 0.60 n.a 2.14 3.56 n.a 5.70
Ficus sycomorus 0.24 0.44 n.a 2.14 2.61 n.a 4.75
Callicarpa longifolia 0.24 0.40 n.a 2.14 2.38 n.a 4.51
Canarium indicum 0.24 0.36 n.a 2.14 2.14 n.a 4.27
Institia palembanica 0.24 0.36 n.a 2.14 2.14 n.a 4.27

Poles

Syzygium sp. 1 0.24 0.36 0.01 7.14 9.09 9.03 25.27
Hopea novoguineensis 0.20 0.24 0.00 5.95 6.06 6.61 18.62
Alphitonia incana 0.08 0.28 0.01 2.38 7.07 8.58 18.03
Ficus sp. 0.16 0.20 0.00 4.76 5.05 5.61 15.42
Institia palembanica 0.16 0.16 0.00 4.76 4.04 3.71 12.51
Gymnostropha farquhariana 0.12 0.12 0.00 3.57 3.03 3.16 9.76
Hopea papuana 0.08 0.12 0.00 2.38 3.03 3.43 8.85
Pometia acuminata. 0.08 0.12 0.00 2.38 3.03 3.20 8.61
Canarium hirsutum 0.08 0.08 0.00 2.38 2.02 3.15 7.55
Parastemon versteeghi 0.08 0.12 0.00 2.38 3.03 2.11 7.52

Trees

Institia palembanica 0.24 0.28 0.09 6.82 7.45 25.98 40.24
Hopea novoguineensis 0.44 0.48 0.05 12.50 12.77 13.06 38.33
Lithocarpus rufovillosus 0.16 0.20 0.02 4.55 5.32 4.56 14.43
Buchanania arborescens 0.16 0.16 0.01 4.55 4.26 3.88 12.68
Knema intermedia 0.16 0.16 0.01 4.55 4.26 2.71 11.51
Vatica rassak 0.12 0.16 0.01 3.41 4.26 2.09 9.76
Palaquium lobbianum 0.12 0.12 0.01 3.41 3.19 2.40 9.00
Hopea papuana 0.08 0.08 0.01 2.27 2.13 2.60 7.00
Tristaniopsis macroasperma 0.08 0.08 0.01 2.27 2.13 2.37 6.77
Campnosperma brevipetiolatum 0.08 0.08 0.01 2.27 2.13 2.11 6.51

Note: F is the frequency, D is the density, Do is the Relative Frequency, RD is the Relative Density, RDo is the Relative Dominance and the IVI (%) is the Importance Value Index, n.a is not applicable.

Therefore, in presenting this data, it is divided into groups of diameter classes so that the potential for this forest product is included and can be managed according to the type of wood to be produced. In these two sub-districts, the number of individuals in the diameter class below 10 cm is the highest and decreases with the increasing diameter class (Figure 3). However, the potential for timber forest products is found in diameter classes above 10 cm where these forest products can be used or utilized. In addition, the economic value of the potential of these forest products can be measured in quantity and later by volume (Groenendijk et al. 2017).

From the calculation of the potential for timber forest products according to volume per unit area in hectares and diameter class (cm), it can be seen that the highest volume is in the 10-19 cm diameter class around 100 m³.ha⁻¹ then tends to decrease. The increase in diameter except in diameter class 50-59 cm (Figure 4). This situation shows that the potential of timber forest products in these two sub-districts is still complete, where small to large diameters are still formed in this mixed natural forest structure. The reverse J-shaped curve in the graphs indicated that the forest had a more or less good condition (Myanmar 2016; Padmakumar et al. 2018). Based on the distribution of the number of individuals per unit area of a hectare and diameter class for the two sub-districts, it can be seen that the number of individuals scattered in the two sub-districts did not experience a big difference (Figure 5). The distribution of the number of individuals is still complete for each diameter class. This distribution pattern shows a decrease and an increase in the diameter class. The distribution of potential timber forest products in the two sub-districts does not indicate a big difference. This can be seen from the shape of the distribution that is almost the same in the two sub-districts. Only me in the 50-59 cm diameter class, there are no individuals in Teiraplu Sub-district. Conclusively, the potential for timber forest products in the two sub-districts spreads from the small-diameter class to the largest diameter class, namely 80-89 cm (Figure 6).

A correlation relationship can be made between the two conditions based on the distribution pattern of potential timber forest products measured in units of volume (m³) per tree and diameter (cm). The result of regression analysis produces a mathematical equation is y = 0.0002x² + 0.002, where the form of the equation formed is a power pattern (Figure 7). With the following explanation, y is the volume of the tree in cubic meters (m³) and x is the...
individual diameter. The coefficient of determination formed is 0.9407, which correlates closely to 1 (Ishihara et al. 2016), so this equation can be used to calculate the volume of standing trees in the forest. The diameter at breast height (DBH) can predict the volume of timber in the tropical forest (Harja et al. 2012; Tang et al. 2017; Andrade et al. 2019).

**Figure 3.** Distribution of the number of individuals in units of area (hectares) by diameter class in the Murkim and Teiraplu Sub-district

**Figure 4.** Potential standing wood volume in units of area (hectare) based on the distribution of diameter classes in the Murkim and Teiraplu Sub-district

**Figure 5.** Distribution of the number of individuals in units of area (hectares) by diameter class in Murkim and Teiraplu Sub-district
Figure 6. Distribution of potential standing timber volume in units of area (hectares) based on diameter classes in Murkim and Teiraplu Sub-district

Figure 7. The relationship between the diameter (DBH) in cm and the volume of standing wood in cubic meters (m³). Information: $y = 0.0002x^{2.4922}$ is an equation formed with the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) is 0.9407. In this equation, $x$ is the diameter (DBH) in cm and $y$ is the volume of wood standing in cubic meters (m³)

Tree diversity to support sustainable product

The tropical rainforest provides high diversity of vegetation in which the trees are the dominant structure and other lifeforms (Murdjoko et al. 2021a; Murdjoko et al. 2021b). This area is part of a lowland area comprising the ecological process without human intervention. The regeneration of trees depends on the existence of putative parent trees. Besides, seed dispersal also plays a crucial role in the resurrection and distribution of species. This research revealed that the forest is a stably ecological circumstance where vertical layers such as forest floor and canopy are naturally delicate (Murdjoko et al. 2017; Moreno-Mateos et al. 2020). Therefore, the stock of small trees as future trees are available in this forest regardless of the particular species. Then, the forest would grow naturally as the character of old-growth forest (Murdjoko 2013; Murdjoko et al. 2016b). This forest also benefits other biotic factors to promote the sustainability of tropical rain forest.

As described in this research, the vertical structure of this forest uncovered that trees, particularly more giant trees, are firmly present as part of vegetation diversity. As one of the lifeforms in tropical forests, the trees contain more or less 30 % of species richness, especially in the New Guinea ecosystem (Cámara-Leret and Dennehy 2019; Cámara-Leret et al. 2020). Based on the observation, local people still conduct the swidden practices as their livelihood enormously counts on the forest (Ungirwalu et al. 2014; Ungirwalu et al. 2017). As regional development, the demand for timber would probably increase to meet the infrastructure development including this area part of Pegunungan Bintang District. Thus, this finding described the timber stock and the sustainability for managing the timber product. The forest can be the source of timber
supply, but the management must pay attention to the silvicultural method in tropical mixed forests. The selective cutting could be carried out with a certain diameter limit and logging intensity. The mechanism of timber exploitation must be in line with the national regulation.

This recommendation could be performed correctly as the local government pays attention to the regulation, socio-culture, private sector, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other stakeholders. To achieve the goals of sustainable forest management, actors must get involved either direct way or an indirect way. The production forests in tropical countries are mainly located close or overlaid position with areas of local people. Thus, the involvement of customary forests could be considered carefully as one of the main objectives of forest management. In this case, the timber could be the main product of the forest, but the local people must be included in this program to support regional development goals (Ungirwalu et al. 2021).

To sum up, the forest in Pegunungan Bintang Forest was formed by the high diversity of tree species known as a tropical mixed forest. The families of Moraceae, Myrtaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Lauraceae, and Anacardiaceae were the dominant families in Pegunungan Bintang Forest with a variety of species in seedlings, saplings, poles, and trees. The potency of timber can be seen from the distribution of individuals following the reverse J-shaped curve, indicating that the trees were merchantable and provided enough regeneration of trees. However, the management of timber utilization requires silvicultural treatments by applying selective logging with diameter limit cut and logging cycle to promote sustainable forest management.
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