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Purpose: This study was done to assess the efficacy of powered endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR) with creation of a large bony ostium exposing fundus of the sac and primary mucosal anastomosis.

Methods: The study involved prospective interventional case series with short perioperative follow up. Operative and postoperative data were prospectively collected on 42 patients (15 men and 27 women; mean age, 62.4 years; range 14–91 years) who presented to a lacrimal clinic with epiphora and obstruction of the nasolacrimal system and who consecutively underwent either primary or revision powered endoscopic DCR. All surgeries were done by the same surgeon by standardized surgical technique. Follow-up evaluation included symptom evaluation and endoscopic assessment of the newly created ostium with fluorescein testing at each postoperative visit.

Results: The only surgical complication was one case of sub cutaneous emphysema. Thirty nine of the 42 DCRs had anatomically patent naso lacrimal duct after a mean follow-up of 12 months (standard deviation = 5 months), yielding a success rate of 95.7%. Out of three failures, two had closed ostium because of improper use of medications, one patient had granulation tissue at the ostium. Two patients with a patent ostium had functional block and continued to have some symptoms.

Conclusion: Powered endoscopic DCR with full sac exposure and primary mucosal apposition has a success rate comparable to that achieved with external DCR.

Abstract

Introduction
Dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR) is surgical procedure done for epiphora due to nasolacrimal duct obstruction (NLDO), which involves creating a fistulous opening between the lacrimal sac and the nasal cavity at the level of the middle meatus. There are various procedures to create this ostium such as external DCR, endoscopic nasal DCR, and laser DCR. The most accepted are external and endonasal DCR. Endonasal DCR initially had guarded acceptance because of inconsistent success rates. External DCR is considered to be the gold standard procedure. Because of the introduction of newer techniques, endonasal DCR also has success rate comparable to the external DCR.

In the conventional endonasal DCR, the main hurdles are removing the thick maxillary process and creating a large ostium to expose the fundus of the lacrimal sac. The use of powered instruments to debride the thick bone has increased the success rate of endonasal DCR.

In this study, the objective is to evaluate the effectiveness of powered endonasal DCR, that is removal of medial wall of the lacrimal sac with powered instruments Marathon M4 lab micro motor burr, which is economically most affordable compared to other micro motor burrs and preservation of the mucosa. In this study, we have included 42 patients with NLDO who have undergone powered endonasal DCR by the same surgeon. The outcome and long term patency of the ostium were evaluated.

Materials and Methods
Forty two patients with complaints of watering who came to the lacrimal clinic between 2016 February to 2017 April were included in our study. Among them, 15 were males and 27 were females. The most common aetiology of presentation was Primary Acquired Naso lacrimal Duct Obstruction (PANDO) (Table 1). All the patients underwent thorough ocular examination, sac syringing, endoscopic examination of nasal cavity pre operatively (Table 2) and patients with the following inclusion criteria were included in the study.

Inclusion Criteria
- Patients with nasolacrimal duct obstruction
- Patients above the age of 12 years
- Failed DCR

Exclusion Criteria
- Canalicular obstruction
- Primary nasal pathology (severe atrophic rhinitis, nasal polyp, gross DNS)

Surgical Technique
All the cases are done under local anesthesia using 45 degree 4mm nasal endoscope. Nerve blocks are given to the supratroclear nerve at the supraorbital notch, ethmoidal nerve block is given at the medial canthus, infraorbital nerve block at infraorbital notch using 2% lignocaine and 1:100000 adrenaline mixture. Nasal pack with 4% lignocaine and 2 ampules of adrenaline mixture are placed for 20 minutes before surgery. Nasal mucosal infiltrate at the lateral nasal wall near the middle meatus, middle turbinate and medial nasal wall is given using the same 2% lignocaine and 1:100000 adrenaline mixture.

An inferior based mucosal flap is created with crescent (Figure 1) blade, the first incision starting 10 mm above the middle turbinate extending down till the inferior turbinate,
the second vertical incision of similar length taken 10 mm in front of the first incision, then these two vertical incisions are joined together at the superior margin using 15 no. surgical blade. This mucosal flap is then reflected (Figure 2) on the inferior turbinate. The bone seen now is the frontal process of maxillary bone. The bone punch is begun with Kerrison’s bone punch. Since the bones in the nasal cavity are placed sagittaly, bone punch becomes difficult as we go up. Then theses burrs come to our rescue. With the help of these cutting and diamond burrs (Figure 3 & 4), the thickness of the bone is reduced which provides a good bone grip, enlarges the ostium exposing the fundus of the lacrimal sac and smoothens the bony margin to prevent granulation tissue formation.

When the sac is exposed till the fundus, canaliculi are intubated with Bowman’s probe tenting the sac in the nasal cavity. The sac is cut opened vertically with the help of keratome (Figure 5), then two horizontal incisions are given with a sickle knife, creating two anterior and posterior flaps. This marsupialises the lacrimal sac into the nasal cavity. Then the inferior based flap is reflected back on the bare bone. Light nasal packing is done with antibiotic ointment which is removed after 24 hours. The patients are discharged on the next day with antibiotic coverage and saline nasal sprays. Lacrimal syringing is done on the first day, 1 week, 1 month post-operatively following which, they are followed-up twice monthly for a minimum of 8 months. At each follow-up visit, lacrimal syringing with nasal endoscopy was done to visualise the patent ostium.

**Results**

Operative and postoperative data were analysed on 42 patients (15 men and 27 women; mean age, 62.4 years; range 14–91 years) who presented to a lacrimal clinic with epiphora and obstruction of the nasolacrimal system and who consecutively underwent either primary or revision powered endoscopic DCR.

Among 42 patients, preoperative endoscopic examination showed 5 patients with mild deviated nasal septum, 2 with hypertrophied inferior turbinate and 3 patients with mild atrophic rhinitis which was treated before surgery (Table 2). Intra operatively, 2 patients had posteriorly placed lacrimal sac. Post-operative endoscopic examination revealed that 3 patients had granuloma near the ostium, of which 1 was covering the ostium leading to surgical failure, 2 patients had closed ostium because of improper use of medication and 2 had functional block with FDDT.

The surgical complications included two case of sub cutaneous emphysema which resolved in two weeks, one patient had post nasal bleed following surgery with increased blood pressure. Thirty nine of the 42 patients had anatomically patent ostium after a mean follow-up of 12 months (standard deviation 5 months), yielding a success rate of 95.7%.

**Discussion**

Dacryocystorhinostomy is the surgery of choice for NLDO. In endonasal DCR, important factors that determine the
long-term patency are creating a large ostium and mucosal apposition which helps in healing by primary intention and prevents granulation tissue formation. The importance of mucosal flap preservation is still under debate.

In our techniques use of diamond burr increases the success rate of endonasal DCR. Utilization of diamond burr helps to make a large ostium. Drilling the bone helps to reduce bone thickness and provide a good grip of the bone to punch it. It smoothen the bony margin of the ostium to reduce the granulation tissue formation.

Tan et al, in their study, concluded that the surgical outcome depends on the ostium size and that significant shrinkage of the DCR ostium occurs in the first 4 weeks post-operatively. Hence, it is not just the creation of a wide sac ostium which is important; the first few weeks of healing also plays a big role in determining long term patency.

Wormald (2012), in his study, said that adequate exposure of the lacrimal sac requires exposure above the level of the axilla of middle turbinate using a drill. This was found to be important to ensure success of the procedure. Hence these powered instruments help to remove the thick bone and expose the fundus of the lacrimal sac.

Endoscopic DCR has many advantages as compared to external DCR—avoidance of facial scaring, non-division of medical canthal ligament, performed as a day care procedure, limited tissue damage and preservation of the pump action of the lacrimal sac of the orbicularis oculi muscle. Wormald et al studied operative and postoperative data in 47 patients and concluded that powered endoscopic DCR with full sac exposure and primary mucosal apposition has a success rate comparable to that achieved with external DCR.

Ali et al did a retrospective review of 283 patients and concluded that powered endoscopic DCR is a safe procedure and offers excellent results both in primary and revision DCRs. The threshold to perform adjunctive endonasal procedures should be very low when indicated.

The overall success rate (95.7%) of our new technique is comparable to the previous studies and further validation of this new method requires larger randomised control trials. These economically affordable instruments can be owned by all endoscopic DCR surgeons to have a high success rate.

**Conclusion**

Powered endoscopic DCR with full sac exposure and primary mucosal apposition has a success rate comparable to that achieved with external DCR.
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