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Abstract: The idea of symmetric super-implicit linear multi-step methods (SSILMMs) necessitates the use of not just past and present solution values of the ordinary differential equations (ODEs), but also, future values of the solution. Such methods have been proposed recently for the numerical solution of second-order ODEs. One technique to obtain more accurate integration process is to construct linear multi-step methods with hybrid points employing future solution values. In this regard, we construct families of Störmér-Cowell type hybrid SSILMMs having higher order than that of the symmetric super-implicit method recently proposed for the same step number using the Taylors series approach. The newly derived hybrid SSILMMs are p-stable with accurate results when tested on some special second order IVPs.
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1. Introduction

Consider the initial value problem (IVP),

\[ y''(x) = f(x, y(x), y'(x)) ; y(x_0) = y_0, y'(x_0) = y_0, \]

in ordinary differential equations (ODEs) in which there is no explicit first derivative appearing. There is vast literature for the numerical solution of (1), see [13], [5], and references therein. The linear multi-step methods for solving the second order IVP (1) is,

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j y_{n+j} = h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j f_{n+j}, \beta_k \neq 0. \] (2)

The first and second characteristic polynomials are,

\[ \rho(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j z^j, \sigma(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j z^j. \] (3)

The LMM (2) has an associated local truncation error (LTE) difference operator,

\[ L[y(x); h] = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j y(x + jh) - h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j y''(x + jh) = C_{p+2} h^{p+2} y^{(p+2)}(x_n) + O(h^{p+3}). \] (4)

The order of the method, and \( C_{p+2} \) is the error constant given by,

\[ C_q = \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} j^{q+2}(j^2 \alpha_j - q(q-1)\beta_j) \]

\[ - \sum_{k+1=0}^{q} \frac{j^{q-2}}{(q-k)!} \beta_j, q > 2. \] (5)

As the usual convention, method (2) is assumed to satisfy the following conditions,

1. \( \alpha_k = 1, |\alpha_0| + |\beta_0| \neq 0 \) (real parameters),
2. \( \rho(z) \) and \( \sigma(z) \) have no common factor (irreducibility),
3. \( \rho(1) = \rho'(1) = 0, \rho''(1) = 2\sigma(1) \) (consistency),
4. zero-stable.

The method (2) is symmetric if \( \alpha_j = \alpha_{k-j} \) and \( \beta_j = \beta_{k-j} \) for \( j = 0(1)k \). The stability of method (2) is determined by the application on the periodic test problem,

\[ y'' + \omega^2 y = 0, \omega, y \in \mathbb{R}. \] (6)

Some preliminary definitions are given.

Definition 1 [14]: The LMM (2) is said to have an interval of periodicity \((0, H^2)\), if for all \( H^2 \) in this interval, the roots of,
\[ P(z, H^2) = \rho(z) + H^2 \sigma(z) = 0, H = \omega h, \] (7)

satisfy, \[ z_1 = e^{i \theta_1}H, z_2 = e^{-i \theta_1}H, |z_i| \leq 1, t = 3, \ldots, k, \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \].

Definition 2 [14]: The LMM (2) is said to be p-stable, if its interval of periodicity is \((0, \infty)\).

Definition 3 [18]: Method (2) is almost p-stable, if its interval of periodicity is \((0, \infty) – d\), where \(d\) is a set of distinct points.

The result put forward by [13] have shown that no LMM (2) of order greater than \(p = 2\) can be p-stable. Also, [8] has stated.

Definition 2 [14]: The LMM (2) is said to be p-stable, if its periodicity if and only if,

\[ I = \varepsilon \mathbb{R} \]

Theorem 4 [8]: Consider an irreducible, convergent, symmetric multi-step method given by,

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_i (y_{n+i} + y_{n-j}) = h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{k'} \beta_j (f_{n+j} + f_{n-j}). \] (11)

with order \(p = 4\), \(C_{p+2} = \frac{17}{5760}\), which is an extension of the scheme in [4]. The concept of p-stability based on [14] (definition (2)) which was also employed in [1] and [6] will be adopted in this paper. Several methods based on LMM have been proposed see for example, [21], [22], [23], and [25]. Neta [16] considered a very special class of (2), the symmetric super-implicit linear multi-step method given by,

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j (y_{n+j} + y_{n-j}) = h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{k'} \beta_j (f_{n+j} + f_{n-j}). \] (11)

The interval of periodicity of (9) is \((0, \infty)\), and it is p-stable.

As [1] further noted, [12] claimed to have derived high order p-stable linear multi-step methods but their concept of p-stability is considerably different from that given in [14]. The work in [2] further stressed on the work in [1], by considering the free parameters available in their proposed linear multi-step methods which can reduce the work to two functional evaluations, and also, reduces the work with respect to implementation for nonlinear problems of (1).

Fatunla [4] derived a one-leg scheme found to be advantageous in terms of functions evaluations. Only one function evaluation and \(k\) values of \(y\) need to be stored for use in the next integration step. Fatunla et al [6] used the concept of Padé approximation to obtain a p-stable linear multi-step method,

\[ y_{n+2} - 2y_{n+1} + y_n = h^2 f \left( x_{n+1}, \frac{1}{12} y_{n+2} + \frac{5}{6} y_{n+1} + \frac{1}{12} y_n + h^2 \left( \frac{1}{144} f_{n+2} + \frac{1}{72} f_{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} f_n \right) \right), \] (10)

The \(\alpha_j\) are arbitrarily chosen to satisfy zero-stability condition, and \(\beta_j\) are the coefficients to be determined. This and like the methods to be proposed require additional formulas to handle the additional starting and future values.

The method (11) is the extension of the work in [8]. Example of method (11) derived in [16] is given for \(k = 4, k' = 8\).

However, the Taylors series approach in the sense of the work in [1] will be used to derive the new hybrid extension of (11) in [16] while using MATHEMATICA v 8 [11].

2. Construction of Hybrid Symmetric Super-Implicit Obrechkoff Type LMM

The class of methods to be considered is in the general class of the hybrid method,

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j (y_{n+j} + y_{n-j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} h^{2i} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j (f_{n+j} (2i-2) + f_{n-j} (2i-2)) + \sum_{i=1}^{9} h^2 \phi^{(i)} (f_{n+\lambda (2i-2) + f_{n-\lambda (2i-2)}) \right). \] (13)

This is an Obrechkoff type class of methods, where the hybrids are given by,
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j (y_{n+j} + y_{n-j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} h^2 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} y^{(1)}_{f_{n+j}} (2j^2 + f_{n-j}) \right),
\]

(14)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j (y_{n+j} + y_{n-j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} h^2 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j (2j^2 + f_{n+j}) \right),
\]

(15)

In particular, is the hybrid SSILMM,

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j (y_{n+j} + y_{n-j}) = h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \beta_j (f_{n+j} + f_{n-j}) + h^2 \phi(f_{n+k} + f_{n-\lambda}),
\]

(16)

where \( m = 1, q = 1 \) in (13), this is also considered in [15], where \( k \) and the super-implicit parameter \( s \) are even. The method (16) is explicit for \( s = k - 1 \), implicit for \( s = k \), and super-implicit for \( s > k \) with \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \) as in [1]. Here the \( \psi_j \) are fixed, say \( \psi_1 = 1, \psi_0 = -1 \) to satisfy the zero-stability condition. The constants \( (\beta_j)_{j=0(1)s} \) are then determined. The method (13) approximates the hybrid quantities \( y_{n \pm s} \) by an expression involving the quantities \( \{y_{n \pm j}; f_{n \pm j}\} \) only. For \( m = 1 \) in (14) and (15), we have,

\[
y_{n+k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j (y_{n+j} + y_{n-j}) + h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \beta_j (f_{n+j} + f_{n-j}).
\]

(17)

\[
y_{n-k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j (y_{n+j} + y_{n-j}) + h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j (f_{n+j} + f_{n-j}).
\]

(18)

However, the hybrid of interest is

\[
y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 (2f_{n+1} + f_{n+2} + f_{n-1} + f_{n-2})
\]

(21)

The following consistent simultaneous order condition are obtained as,

\[
h^6: (1 - 2\varnothing - 2\beta_0 - 2\beta_2 - 2\beta_3)
\]

\[
h^6: \frac{1}{12} (1 - 12\lambda^2 \varnothing - 12\beta_1 - 48\beta_2 - 108\beta_3)
\]

\[
h^4: \frac{1}{360} (1 - 30\lambda^4 \varnothing - 30\beta_1 - 480\beta_2 - 2430\beta_3)
\]

\[
h^2: (1 - 56\lambda^6 \varnothing - 56\beta_1 - 3584\beta_2 - 40824\beta_3)
\]

\[
h^0: \frac{1}{1814400} (1 - 90\lambda^8 \varnothing - 90\beta_1 - 23040\beta_2 - 590490\beta_3)
\]

(20)

For hybrid parameter \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \), method (21) become,

\[
y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left( \frac{20017}{590720} f_n + \frac{671}{36288} (f_{n+1} + f_{n-1}) - \frac{241}{226800} (f_{n+2} + f_{n-2}) + \frac{13}{453600} (f_{n+3} + f_{n-3}) + \frac{18496}{70875} (f_{n+4} + f_{n-4}) \right)
\]

(22)

with order \( p = 10 \) and LTE = \( \frac{\varnothing^{12}|\epsilon|^{12}}{25344000} \). For the hybrid,

\[
y_{n+k} = \alpha_2 y_{n+1} + \alpha_1 y_n + \alpha_0 y_{n-1} + h^2 (y_{0f_n} + y_{1f_{n+1}} + y_{2f_{n+2}} + y_{3f_{n+3}}).
\]

(23)

We obtain the consistent order equations,

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_0 &= \frac{1}{114} (21\lambda - 60\lambda^3 + 55\lambda^4 - 18\lambda^5 + 2\lambda^6) \\
\alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{57} (57 - 78\lambda + 60\lambda^3 - 55\lambda^4 + 18\lambda^5 - 2\lambda^6)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{114} (135\lambda - 60\lambda^3 + 55\lambda^4 - 18\lambda^5 + 2\lambda^6)
\]

\[
\varnothing = -\frac{3313\lambda + 3420\lambda^2 + 2110\lambda^3 - 3280\lambda^4 + 1203\lambda^5 - 140\lambda^6}{6840}
\]

3. Construction of High Order Störrmer-Cowell Type Hybrid SSILMMs

This section presents the Störmr-Cowell type hybrid SSILMMs of order \( p = 10 \), and \( p = 12 \) respectively with hybrid parameter \( \lambda \). When \( k = 2, s = 6, \psi \) are arbitrarily chosen as in section (2) and substituted into (16), we have,
Assume that (1) is Lipschitz continuous with reference to considering for implementation following the ideas in [1] and hybrid methods. However, methods (22) and (27) are some stiff oscillatory and undamped Duffing problems of (1) derived to show the accuracy of these methods in solving thus p-stable.

We have the following expression for the constants,

\[ a_0 = \frac{1}{114}(135L - 60L^3 + 55L^4 - 18L^5 + 24L^6) \]
\[ a_1 = \frac{1}{57}(57 - 78L + 60L^3 - 55L^4 + 18L^5 - 22L^6) \]
\[ a_2 = \frac{1}{114}(21L - 60L^3 + 55L^4 - 18L^5 + 24L^6) \]
\[ b_0 = -3313L + 3420L^2 + 2110L^3 - 3280L^4 + 1203L^5 - 140L^6 \]
\[ b_1 = -1641L + 1920L^3 - 50L^4 - 279L^5 + 50L^6 \]
\[ b_2 = \frac{321L - 510L^3 + 40L^4 + 189L^5 - 40L^6}{6840} \]
\[ b_3 = \frac{-47L + 80L^3 - 10L^4 + 33L^5 + 10L^6}{6840} \]

with the LTE = \( \frac{577y(7)[k]h^7}{583680} \). Similarly, for hybrid,

\[ y_{n+\lambda} = \frac{671}{1236}y_{n+1} + \frac{241}{608}y_n + a_0y_{n-1} + h^2(\frac{503}{4864}f_n - \frac{631}{7296}f_{n+1} + \frac{223}{14592}f_{n+2} - \frac{1}{456}f_{n+3}), \] (24)

We have the following expression for the constants,

\[ y_{n-\lambda} = a_2y_{n+1} + a_1y_n + a_0y_{n-1} + h^2(b_0f_n + b_1f_{n+1} + b_2f_{n+2} + b_3f_{n+3}). \] (25)

The hybrid (25) now become,

\[ y_{n-\lambda} = \frac{63}{1216}y_{n+1} + \frac{241}{608}y_n + \frac{671}{1236}y_{n-1} + h^2(\frac{503}{4864}f_n - \frac{631}{7296}f_{n+1} + \frac{223}{14592}f_{n+2} - \frac{1}{456}f_{n+3}), \] (26)

with the LTE = \( \frac{-577y(7)[k]h^7}{583680} \).

On the examination of the stability of the new hybrid SSILMM (22), the value of the hybrid parameter \( \lambda \) has been carefully chosen as \( \frac{1}{2} \) to ensure p-stability. On substituting the hybrid pair (24) and (26) into the main method (22) and applying the scalar test problem (6) for \( H = 0h \) using MATHEMATICA v 8 [11], we obtain the interval of periodicity \((0, \infty)\). The method is thus p-stable. When \( k = 2, s = 8 \), we obtain the order \( p = 12 \) method.

\[ y_{n+1} - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2(\frac{353093}{769360} + \frac{187171(f_{n+2} + f_{n+1})}{9979200} - \frac{53(f_{n+2} + f_{n+1})}{9979200} + \frac{61(f_{n+1} + f_{n+2})}{9979200} - \frac{f_{n+4} + f_{n+3}}{9979200} + \frac{40576(f_{n+4} + f_{n+3})}{155925}), \] (27)

with the LTE = \( \frac{4650y(0)[k]h^4}{10461394944000} \). The hybrids are,

\[ y_{n+\lambda} = h^2(\frac{-48103f_n}{552960} - \frac{3323f_{n+1}}{34560} + \frac{7171f_{n+2}}{276480} - \frac{43f_{n+3}}{5760} + \frac{577f_{n+4}}{552960} + \frac{89y_{n-1}}{2304} + \frac{487y_n}{1152} + \frac{1241y_{n+1}}{2304}), \] (28)

with the LTE = \( \frac{-15712y(0)[k]h^8}{278691840} \), and

\[ y_{n-\lambda} = h^2(\frac{-48103f_n}{552960} - \frac{3323f_{n+1}}{34560} + \frac{7171f_{n+2}}{276480} - \frac{43f_{n+3}}{5760} + \frac{577f_{n+4}}{552960} + \frac{1241y_{n-1}}{2304} + \frac{487y_n}{1152} + \frac{89y_{n+1}}{2304}). \] (29)

Following the analysis like that of (22) on MATHEMATICA v 8 [11], method (27) is thus p-stable.

\[ y(x) \text{ for all } x \in [a, b], \]
\[ \|f(x, y) - f(x, y')\| \leq L\|y - y'\|, \] (30)

where \( L \) is the Lipschitz constant. The approach of Newton-Raphson iterative method is used to resolve the implicitness in the newly proposed methods. The predictor,

\[ y_{n+2} - 2y_{n+1} + y_n = h^2f_{n+1}, \] (31)

of order \( p = 2 \) will be used as the starter for the Newton-Raphson iteration with LTE = \( \frac{1y(4)[k]h^4}{12} \). The p-stable method,

\[ y_{n+2} - 2y_{n+1} + y_n = \frac{h^2}{4}(f_{n+2} - 2f_{n+1} + f_n), \] (32)
is also employ to generate the future solution values \( \{y_{n+j}\} \) \( j=2,3 \) in the case of (22) and \( \{y_{n+j}\} \) \( j=2,3,4 \) in the case of (27) respectively. So that the Newton-Raphson iteration becomes

\[
y^{[t+1]}_n = y^{[t]}_n \left( f(y^{[t]}_n) \right)^{-1} F(y^{[t]}_n), t = 0, 1, \ldots, w, (33)
\]

where the Jacobian is given by,

\[
f(y) = \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

The numerical methods (22) and (27) is applied to solve example 1, 2, 3. In the case of the p-stable method in (22),

\[
F(y^{[t+1]}_n) = y^{[t]}_n - 2y_n + y_{n-1} = h^2 \left( \frac{20017}{90720} f_n + \frac{671}{36288} f_{n+1} + \frac{4096}{70875} \right)
\]

Example 1: Orbital problem (Source: [1], [4], [6], [14])

\[
y'' + y = 0.01 e^{ix},
\]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

which the theoretical solution is,

\[
y(x) = u(x) + iv(x) = (u(x), v(x)),
\]  \hspace{1cm} (37)

where \( u(x) = \cos x + 0.0005 \sin x \) and \( v(x) = i(\sin x - 0.0005 \cos x) \). The IVP (36) represent motion on a perturbed circular orbit on the complex plane in which the path defined by the point \( y(x) = (u(x), v(x)) \) spirals outward such that its distance from the origin at any given time \( x \) is given by,

\[
\Omega(x) = \sqrt{u(x)^2 + v(x)^2},
\]  \hspace{1cm} (38)

The interval \( 0 < x \leq 40 \pi \) correspond to 20 orbits of the point \( y(x) \),

\[
\Omega(x_f) = |y(x_f)| = 1.00197197653449, x_f = 40 \pi. (39)
\]

The numerical result is generated using the step size \( h = \frac{\pi}{2^q}, q = 3(1)13 \), and can be seen in Table 1, 2, and 3.

**Table 1. Numerical results of method (22) at \( x_f = 40 \pi. \)**

| q   | h       | Method (22) (\( \Omega \)) | Error | \(|\Omega(x_f) - \Omega|\) |
|-----|---------|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| 3   | \( \pi/2^3 \) | 1.0020340192000494 | 6.20426704474042 e-005 |
| 4   | \( \pi/2^4 \) | 1.0002802878112717 | 3.090157768291 e-005 |
| 5   | \( \pi/2^5 \) | 1.000198739738256 | 1.5420848069382 e-005 |
| 6   | \( \pi/2^6 \) | 1.000197697947316 | 7.70293866714233 e-006 |
| 7   | \( \pi/2^7 \) | 1.000195582613246 | 3.84959797039564 e-006 |
| 8   | \( \pi/2^8 \) | 1.00019390086563 | 1.92433114087898 e-006 |
| 9   | \( \pi/2^9 \) | 1.000192993589310 | 9.62048610331223 e-007 |
| 10  | \( \pi/2^{10} \) | 1.00019245752955 | 4.80995601558076 e-007 |
| 11  | \( \pi/2^{11} \) | 1.00019221702471 | 2.40490217517930 e-007 |
| 12  | \( \pi/2^{12} \) | 1.00019209677777 | 1.20243278001198 e-007 |
| 13  | \( \pi/2^{13} \) | 1.00019203665567 | 6.01211789241773 e-008 |

**Table 2. Numerical results of method (27) at \( x_f = 40 \pi. \)**

| q   | h       | Method (27) (\( \Omega \)) | Error | \(|\Omega(x_f) - \Omega|\) |
|-----|---------|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| 3   | \( \pi/2^3 \) | 1.0020340192000494 | 6.20426704474042 e-005 |
| 4   | \( \pi/2^4 \) | 1.0002802878112717 | 3.090157768291 e-005 |
| 5   | \( \pi/2^5 \) | 1.000198739738256 | 1.5420848069382 e-005 |
| 6   | \( \pi/2^6 \) | 1.000197697947316 | 7.70293866714233 e-006 |
| 7   | \( \pi/2^7 \) | 1.000195582613246 | 3.84959797039564 e-006 |
| 8   | \( \pi/2^8 \) | 1.00019390086563 | 1.92433114087898 e-006 |
| 9   | \( \pi/2^9 \) | 1.000192993589310 | 9.62048610331223 e-007 |
| 10  | \( \pi/2^{10} \) | 1.00019245752955 | 4.80995601558076 e-007 |
| 11  | \( \pi/2^{11} \) | 1.00019221702471 | 2.40490217517930 e-007 |
| 12  | \( \pi/2^{12} \) | 1.00019209677777 | 1.20243278001198 e-007 |
| 13  | \( \pi/2^{13} \) | 1.00019203665567 | 6.01211789241773 e-008 |

**Table 3. Numerical results of the hybrid methods when compared with existing methods at \( x_f = 40 \pi. \)**

| q   | Lambert & Watson [14] | Cash [1] | Fatunla [4] | Fatunla et al [6] | Hybrid SSILMMs |
|-----|----------------------|---------|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| 3   | 0.965645             | NA      | 1.010853    | 1.009580         | 1.002034       |
| 4   | 0.993734             | 1.004118| 1.004106    | 1.0096427        | 1.002002       |
| 5   | 0.999596             | 1.002856| 1.002502    | 1.006985         | 1.001987       |
| 6   | NA                   | 1.002400| 1.002104    | 1.0048087        | 1.001979       |
| 7   | NA                   | 1.002057| 1.002005    | 1.0035509        | 1.001975       |
| 8   | NA                   | 1.001980| 1.0020847   | 1.0030578        | 1.001973       |
| 9   | 1.001829             | 1.002057| 1.001974    | 1.0020842        | 1.001972       |
Example 2: Stiff oscillatory IVP (Source: [17])

\[ y''(x) + m^2 y(x) = 8\cos(x) + \frac{2}{3} \cos(3x), \tag{40} \]
\[ y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 0, \]

where \( m = 5 \). The theoretical solution is,
\[ y(x) = \frac{1}{3} \cos(x) + \cos(3x) + \cos(5x). \tag{41} \]

Where the oscillatory pattern of (40) is generated through the theoretical and numerical solution as in figures 1 and 2 respectively with step size \( \frac{\pi}{6} \) at \( x = 10\pi \).

\[ \text{Figure 1. Theoretical solution of IVP (40) over one period.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 2. Numerical solution of IVP (40) over five periods.} \]

Example 3: Undamped Duffing IVP (Source: [2], [17], [24]), forced by a harmonic function,
\[ y'' + y + y^3 = \delta \cos(\mu x), \tag{42} \]

with the values of the parameters \( \delta = 0.002 \) and \( \mu = 1.01 \), and with the initial conditions \( y(0) = A, y'(0) = 0 \), taking for \( A \) the value of the Galerkin approximation \( y_G \) at \( x = 0 \). By Urabe’s method applied to Galerkin’s procedure, [20] has computed the Galerkin’s approximation of order \( p = 9 \) to a periodic solution having the same period as the forcing term with a precision \( 10^{-12} \) of the coefficients of,
\[ y_G = \sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{2i+1} \cos((2i+1)\mu x), \tag{43} \]
\[ y_G = \cos(x\mu)a_1 + \cos(3x\mu)a_3 + \cos(5x\mu)a_5 + \cos(7x\mu)a_7 + \cos(9x\mu)a_9 + \cos(11x\mu)a_{11}, \tag{44} \]

where,
\[ a_1 = 0.200179477536, a_3 = 0.246946143 \times 10^{-3}, a_5 = 0.3040144 \times 10^{-6}, a_7 = 0.374 \times 10^{-9}, a_9 = 0.460964452 \times 10^{-12}, a_{11} = 0.5676 \times 10^{-15}. \]

Where the oscillatory pattern of (42) is generated through the theoretical and numerical solution as in figures 3 and 4 respectively with step size \( h = \frac{\pi}{8} \) at \( x = 40\pi \).

\[ \text{Figure 3. Theoretical solution of IVP (42).} \]

\[ \text{Figure 4. Numerical solution of IVP (42).} \]

5. Conclusion

This paper has considered the class of methods defined in
(16), and p-stable methods based on (16) have been derived. In particular, in (16), p-stable methods were derived with order as high as $p = 10$, and 12 which turns to be higher than that of the ones proposed in [16] for the same step length. The order barrier theorem of [3] which has been extended to second-order ODEs by [9] has been bypassed through the use of hybrid methods. The numerical results compare favourably with theoretical and existing results, see tables 1, 2, 3, and figures 1, 2, 3, and 4 as well.
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