Marine mammals used as bait for improvised fish aggregating devices in marine waters of Ecuador, eastern tropical Pacific
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ABSTRACT: Fish aggregating devices (FADs) are floating objects typically used to attract and capture pelagic fish in industrial tuna fisheries. This study documents 9 cases, involving 31 marine mammals, of incidentally captured, killed or otherwise retrieved cetaceans and pinnipeds which were used, or presumably used, as bait for improvised fish aggregation devices (IFAD) by artisanal fishers in coastal Ecuador. At least 3 species of small cetaceans were affected, including pantropical spotted dolphin Stenella attenuata, short-finned pilot whale Globicephala macrorhynchus, pygmy killer whale Feresa attenuata and an unidentified small delphinid, as well as South American sea lions Otaria byronia which were reportedly killed on purpose for this fishing practice. A sperm whale Physeter macrocephalus and a humpback whale Megaptera novaeangliae were presumably found floating at sea and opportunistically exploited as FADs. The South American sea lion represented 80.6% of marine mammals used as bait associated with FADs (25 sea lions out of 31 marine mammals), while the remaining 5 (possibly 6) cetacean species represented 19.4%. This is the first report of baited FADs in Ecuador, the extent of which is still unknown. This fishing technique has not been documented in other nations along the west coast of South America, although baiting of gillnets with marine mammal parts is common in Peru. Without fisheries management and regulation, this illegal fishing practice could rapidly expand and lead to further direct kills and conservation problems for targeted marine mammal populations in the eastern tropical Pacific. A bottom-up fisheries policy in concert with community-based conservation to ban the use of marine mammals as FAD bait is recommended.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fish aggregating devices (FADs) have traditionally been defined as structures floating at the surface of the ocean placed by fishers to increase fishing opportunities and specifically attract and capture both pelagic juvenile and adult fishes, such as tropical tuna including skipjack Katsuwonus pelamis, yellowfin Thunnus albacares and bigeye Thunnus obe-
In Ecuador, 3 types of fishing gear have been associated with incidental captures of marine mammals: (1) surface gillnets set mainly for pelagic fishes, such as swordfish *Xiphias gladius* and billfishes (Istiophoridae); (2) longlines of different lengths also targeting pelagic fish; and (3) purse-seines targeting different tuna species such as yellowfin *Thunnus albacares*, bigeye, skipjack or barrilete but also dorado, croakers (*Sciaenidae, Cynoscion* spp.) (Alava et al. 2012, 2019, Castro & Rosero 2010, Castro et al. 2018).

Prior studies have reported incidental capture rates of dolphins by Ecuadorian fishermen in coastal waters. Two catch rates with drift gillnets were estimated in the early 1990s, ranging from 0.04 dolphins per boat in Puerto Lopéz to 0.10 dolphins per boat for Santa Rosa (Félix & Samaniego 1994). In the Gulf of Guayaquil, a daily catch rate for bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* was estimated at 0.0064 dolphins d\(^{-1}\), or 0.019 bottlenose dolphins per trip, but the confidence intervals were very wide (Van Waerebeek et al. 1997). The highest incidental catch rate was obtained in August 2009 when 0.18 dolphins d\(^{-1}\) per equivalent trip was estimated (Castro & Rosero 2010). However, there is no information about the use of marine mammals for fishing purposes in coastal Ecuador. At Puerto Bolivar (southern Ecuador), one fisherman admitted harpooning a bottlenose dolphin near Puná Island, for bait in the longline.
fishery, while fishers operating in the Gulf of Guayaquil indicated they either discarded bycaught dead dolphins at sea or, when possible, sold them for bait to Peruvian fishermen (Van Waerebeek et al. 1997).

While industrial tuna fishing operation deploying FADs at sea is known and managed by the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) in the eastern tropical Pacific (Murua et al. 2016, Gershman et al. 2015), the emerging use of FADs with bycaught or otherwise dead marine mammals as bait has only recently been identified in small-scale fisheries of Ecuador and previously reported in a working document to the International Whaling Commission (Castro et al. 2018).

This study presents the first well-documented records on the take and use of cetaceans and pinnipeds as fishing bait in continental Ecuador. The purpose is to document and raise awareness about the occurrence of these illicit, unregulated and unreported practices, suggest regulations, and promote the adoption of management efforts to improve the protection of marine mammal populations in Ecuador.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The information presented here was obtained by the Pacific Whale Foundation (PWF) with information from tourists, park rangers, and fishers and compiled as a database of stranding and bycatch records, observations through fish market monitoring as well as interviews with crew members of commercial fishing vessels and local fishermen. Stranding information, both from own observations and interviews with locals, was collected opportunistically in the course of multiple unscheduled visits to fishing ports, surrounding beaches and other coastal locations of continental Ecuador in the period 2009 to 2019 (Fig. 1, Table 1). Although daily search effort data were not logged, between June 2001 and March 2017 the carcasses of 130 cetaceans belonging to 18 species were documented in the PWF database as stranded on the coasts of continental Ecuador (Castro & Van Waerebeek 2019). Only stranding records where we observed fishing gear, indications of baiting, cutting, tethering or other evidence of human manipulation, were taken into account in the present study. Cases 1
Table 1. Documented cases of marine mammals utilized as bait with improvised Fish Aggregating Devices (IFADs) on the Ecuadorian coast from 2009 to 2019. Carcass condition codes (CC) follow Geraci & Lounsbury (2005)—1: alive, 2: freshly dead, 3: early decomposition, 4: advanced decomposition, 5: bare bones or mummified. Cases 2 and 9 are unconfirmed but highly probable cases. Conservation categories of the IUCN were based on IUCN (2019) at the international level and on various contributions to the Red Book of Ecuador’s mammals (Tirira 2011) for the IUCN national categories in Ecuador. The CITES status was retrieved from the checklist of CITES Species (http://checklist.cites.org). N/A: not available

| Case | Date (d.mo.yr) | Location | No. of ind. | Species | CC   | IUCN category | Observations                                                                 |
|------|----------------|----------|-------------|---------|------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | 10.11.09       | Between Cojimíes and Pedernales (0° 22' 4" N, 80° 2' 7" W) | 17         | South American sea lion (*Otaria byronia*) | 3−4  | International: Least Concern National: N/A | Carcasses associated with ropes and buoys                                   |
| 2    | 10.11.09       | Between Cojimíes and Pedernales (0° 22' 4" N, 80° 2' 7" W) | 1          | Sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) | 3−4  | International: Vulnerable National: Vulnerable: (Alava et al. 2011a) | Stranded carcass associated with ropes and buoys; 1 marine turtle found nearby |
| 3    | 23.11.12       | Puerto López (1° 33' 60" S, 80° 48' 60" W) | 1          | Spotted dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*) | 4    | International: Least Concern National: Vulnerable (Alava et al. 2011b) | Carcass and rope tied tailstock                                            |
| 4    | 11.08.14       | Salango (1° 35' 0" S, 80° 51' 0" W) | 1          | Sea lion (likely *O. byronia*) | 3−4  | International: Least Concern National: N/A | Bloated animal floating with holes and thin black rope tied to the body       |
| 5    | 15.06.16       | Puerto López (1° 33' 60" S, 80° 48' 60" W) | 1          | Unidentified small delphinid | 4    | International: Least Concern National: N/A | Carcass exhibiting skin with cut marks                                      |
| 6    | 17.09.16       | Puerto López (1° 33' 60" S, 80° 48' 60" W) | 1          | Pygmy killer whale (*Feresa attenuata*) | 4    | International: Least Concern National: Near Threatened (Alava et al. 2011c) | The animal had its head severed and missing tail                            |
| 7    | 30.09.16       | Cojimíes (2° 20' 0" N, 80° 02' 00" W) | 7          | Sea lion (likely to be *O. byronia*) | 3−4  | International: Least Concern National: N/A | Skeletal remains and carcasses entangled with nylon rope connected with weights and buoys. Presence of float tanks, purse seine or gillnet, and wooden structure with floats. Four more sea lions were found nearby |
| 8    | 30.09.16       | Cojimíes (2° 20' 0" N, 80° 02' 00" W) | 1          | Short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrocephalus*) | 3−4  | International: Least Concern National: Near Threatened (Castro et al. 2011a) | Carcass with both dorsal fin and flukes missing; presumably a shark bite and other damage. Rope, weights, and buoys, as part of a FAD, were attached. 3 dead sea turtles were found at the same location |
| 9    | 28.07.19       | Las Tunas (1° 39' 0" S, 80° 49' 15" W) | 1          | Immature humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) | 4    | International: Least Concern National: Vulnerable (Castro et al. 2011b) | Carcass washed ashore with shark bites but no other visible trauma; light nylon line attached to the tailstock. Presumably collected and used by fishermen |
and 2 are based on information received from the Municipality of Pedernales, Manabí province (Fig. 1) and examination of photos for these specific cases (Table 1) available online (information published by the newspaper El Diario 2009). Condition codes of carcasses (CC) were determined according to Geraci & Lounsbury (2005), briefly, as follows: 1: alive; 2: reshly dead; 3: early decomposition; 4: advanced decomposition; 5: bare bones or mummified.

A FAD is defined as a floating man-made object or structure used to attract ocean going pelagic fish, such as tuna, billfish, and dorado. They usually consist of buoys or floats tethered to the ocean floor with concrete blocks. Industrial fisheries utilize large, commercially produced FADs made of metal and plastic floats, so they can be used for long periods (Morgan 2011a, Moreno et al. 2016a); however, there is a recent tendency to replace these fishing devices with biodegradable materials such as bamboo, palm leaves, coconut fiber, and cotton (Moreno et al. 2016b, Murua et al. 2016). For instance, efforts to test and implement biodegradable FADs are underway in Ecuador (Tunacons 2019). Once deployed at sea, they are rarely lifted out of the water.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Marine mammals as bait

We found that smaller, improvised FADs (IFADs) fabricated and used by artisanal fishers (Fig. 2) were often baited with marine mammal remains. Nine cases of marine mammal strandings that had been used as bait (2 suspected) were registered at 4 different locations along the coast of Manabí Province, i.e. Cojimíes-Pedernales, Puerto López, Salango and Las Tunas (i.e. from 1° 39' 0'' S, 80° 49' 15'' W at Las Tunas to 0° 22' 4'' N, 80° 2' 7'' W at Cojimíes-Pedernales) from 2009 to 2019 (Table 1, Fig. 1), but with strandings survey effort since 2001. When found washed ashore or floating nearshore, carcasses were either directly attached to a FAD or wrapped in some assemblage of fish netting, lines, and buoys, with clear indications that they had been handled by people and were not simply the result of accidental entanglement in fishing gear (Figs. 3–6).

In total, 31 individuals of 6 (potentially 7) different marine mammal species were determined as used for bait associated with a FAD. The species most frequently encountered was South American sea lion *Otaria byronia*, with a prevalence rate of 80.6% (i.e. 25 out of 31 individuals) relative to the total number of carcasses observed (Figs. 3 & 5), but 3, potentially 4, species of Delphinidae were also found: 1 pantropical spotted dolphin *Stenella attenuata* (Fig. 4A), 1 unidentified small delphinid (Fig. 4C,D), a short-finned pilot whale *Globicephala macrocephalus* (Fig. 4E,F), and a pygmy killer whale *Feresa attenuata*, accounting for 19.4% of the reported carcasses identified as FAD bait (Table 1). Two large cetaceans, including an odontocete (sperm whale *Physeter macrocephalus*) and mysticete (humpback whale *Megaptera novaeangliae*), were probably found floating at sea and may have been opportunist-
typically exploited as IFADs by artisanal fishers. This may be a common practice considering that accidental entanglements and ship strikes of sperm and humpback whales have been occurring frequently in Ecuador for decades (e.g. Haase & Félix 1994, Alava et al. 2005a, 2012, Félix et al. 1997, Castro & Van Waerebeek 2019). On 2 occasions, more than 1 large marine vertebrate was found associated with IFAD parts and gear on the same beach in Cojimíes, Manabi Province (Table 1). The animals associated with IFADs had washed ashore, or were found floating nearshore, as shown in Figs. 3–5, with remains of ropes, floats, and weights, which in some cases were attached to a still intact IFAD (Figs. 4 & 5). Comparing the total number of stranded, dead marine mammals (i.e. 5 cetaceans and 25 sea lions) associated with IFADs as bait (n = 30 individuals, excluding the humpback whale reported in 2019; Table 1) to the total number of marine mammal strandings (n = 168 individuals, including cetaceans and pinnipeds such as *O. byronia* and *Arctocephalus* sp.) from 2001 to 2017 (Castro & Van Waerebeek 2019, PWF database unpubl. data), it is roughly estimated that approximately 18% of stranded marine mammals were associated with IFADs.

The carcasses were mainly in an advanced state of decomposition (condition code, C.C. = 4), as most had apparently been found some time after the stranding occurred, their skin was sunburnt, and many showed holes typically made by scavenging ghost crabs (Ocypodidae) and black turkey vultures *Coragyps atratus*, which are common on Ecuador’s beaches. Also, some bare bones were found wrapped in small-mesh netting tied to large floats (Case 7; Fig. 5) where all soft tissues had already been scavenged by fishes or other marine organisms. Certain cases deserve further comments, as follows.

**Case 1.** On 9 November 2009, the regional newspaper ‘El Diario’ published an article on the stranding of 17 sea lions, 1 sperm whale and 1 sea turtle (unidentified species) on the beach between Cojimíes and Pedernales, Manabi Province. Unfortunately, the dead animals were in an advanced state of decomposition and quickly buried without necropsy or scientific analysis. This seems to be the earliest reported stranding event related to the use of marine mammals as FAD bait in Ecuador. The Municipality of Pedernales first raised the notion that the cause of those strandings was associated with the use of FADs by fishers (El Diario 2009). Although >1 IFAD was likely involved, we continued treating this as a single case.

**Cases 7 & 8.** Another multiple-species FAD stranding consisting of 7 sea lions (probably South American sea lions *O. byronia*) and a short-finned pilot whale, alongside 3 unidentified sea turtles was registered in Cojimíes in September 2016 (Table 1). Five sea lions were tied with thin ropes to the same structure, with weights and floats; the 2 others were found detached along the same beach (Fig. 5A–D). The skeletal remains of some sea lions were wrapped in netting tied to a wooden structure with floats and a tank (Figs. 5E–G). The pilot whale had cuts in the dorsal fin and tail, possibly where it was tied to the structure or some floating tank (Fig. 4E,F). Several components used in IFADs were observed as shown in Fig. 5C–G. The IFAD was found on the beach attached to green plastic flotation tanks (Fig. 5G). Black gillnet-like netting holding the complete carcasses of sea lions was found attached at both sides (Fig. 5E). In one net, only bare bones were found, suggesting that perhaps this bait was in place for an extended time (Fig. 5G). The origin of the FAD is unknown, but due to its size, it is likely that it was being used by a larger fishing boat before it was lost or broke loose from its moorings and then washed ashore.
Case 9. The single wrap of a 7–8 mm nylon cord around the tailstock of the subadult humpback whale appeared to be a human intervention on a carcass (Fig. 6A). A live whale of this size would have readily snapped such a light line had it been an accidental entanglement with fishing gear. However, this cord is thought to have held a dead floating whale tethered as a Type 1 FAD (see Section 3.2) to some fishing gear. Local rangers (Machalilla National Park) blamed the industrial fishery (pers. comm. to KVW); however, no evidence puts the artisanal fishery beyond suspicion. The cause of death of the whale remained unknown, but ventrally no signs of traumata were visible except (most likely postmortem) shark bites (Fig. 6B). Unfortunately, no necropsy could be performed as the carcass was quickly buried on the beach by a Municipality backhoe loader.

3.2. Improvised fish aggregating devices

Artisanal fishermen do not seem to invest in the large commercially available FADs. Instead, they make their own: far simpler and smaller versions (Figs. 2 & 4E,F), which we refer to as improvised fish aggregating devices (IFADs). We suggest that most of the latter are baited with the remains of marine mammals or sea turtles, often involving several
specimens simultaneously (see illustration in Fig. 2). Based on field observations and comments provided by fishers, we provisionally distinguish 3 types of IFADs found washed ashore, the difference being the presence or absence of floats and the type of construction and materials used.

**Type 1.** Marine mammal carcasses moored with thin ropes by their pectoral fins, body or tailstock. They are possibly moored to certain fishing gear, and they remain floating by their own decomposition-enhanced buoyancy, attracting fish. No floats are present. This type of IFAD is used by artisanal fishers.

---

**Fig. 5.** Carcasses and skeletal remains of sea lions (probably South American sea lions, *Otaria byronia*) used as bait in improvised fish aggregating devices (IFADs) (Case 7). (A,B,C) Two of 7 sea lions (Case 7) found in association with IFADs on Cojimíes beach on 30 September 2016. Note (A) a black rope wrapped around the body and (B) the presence of white nylon rope doubly wrapped and knotted around the flippers (not accidentally entangled). (C) Floats were attached to the lines (white arrow), and (D) lead weights were also present. At least 4 different colored lines were used. (E,F,G) The skeletal remains of 2 sea lions (E,G) were also attached to the IFADs with recognizable pinniped scapula, humerus, and ribs, wrapped in small-mesh netting. (F,G) Note the assembled raft covered with black mesh tied up with blue and green ropes and a yellow float on it. (G) A green plastic bin used as a float, tied to mesh netting with green ropes and attached to the raft was also found. Photos A–D by Pacific Whale Foundation. Photos E, F, and G courtesy of Johana Moreira, Ministry of Environment of Ecuador.
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(Figs. 3 & 4B). We suspect that floating dead whales may be used in this way, such as the sperm and humpback whales found stranded in Pedernales and Las Tunas, respectively.

**Type 2.** Marine mammals wrapped in netting and tied to plastic floats to increase and prolong buoyancy. The nets are heavier and have weights and floats with mooring lines. Possibly used by artisanal fishermen, chinchorreros and others (Fig. 5A−C).

**Type 3.** Marine mammals wrapped in fishing nets but at the same time tied to floats supporting larger, mostly rectangular wooden and bamboo structures, which can hold several bait-carcasses simultaneously (Fig. 5E−G); possibly used also by larger, semi-industrial fishing boats.

Although no observations have been made of operating baited FADs at sea, they are thought to function by attracting smaller marine organisms including small fish to feed on the bait, which then, in turn, may attract and concentrate larger fish species (tuna, billfishes, and sharks). The latter are easily encircled by purse-seines or taken by other means (e.g. long-lines), as is done with commercial FADs.

Interviews with artisanal fishers revealed that small cetaceans are obtained from incidental captures in fishing nets, while sea lions if still alive following net entanglement were beaten to death. According to some artisanal fishers, the use of marine mammals as bait is carried out only by industrial tuna fisheries and ‘chinchorreros’ (purse-seine) who take advantage of the night to opportunistically fish for other species such as dorado using FADs. The name in Spanish for this kind of FAD is ‘plantado’ (Morgan 2011b). However, some interviews revealed that artisanal fishermen were also using small cetaceans and sea lions obtained opportunistically, referred to as ‘siembra’. Thus, the words ‘plantado’ and ‘siembra’ are synonyms, applied to industrial or artisanal fishing, respectively.

Based on anecdotal information from fishers, the use of dead marine mammals as bait in FADs is very effective, due to their strong smell, high amount of fat and long permanence in the water. An interviewed fisher from Puerto López stated: ‘The best thing to catch dorado fish is the fat of sea lions and dolphins, with which [the FAD] is baited as these remains stay intact for several days’.

### 4. DISCUSSION

Here we reported the first cases of cetaceans and sea lions used as bait in IFADs in artisanal fisheries in Ecuador. Several questions were raised by our findings. The main concern is to what extent improvised FADs are used by Ecuadorian artisanal fisheries and since when. Also, the incidence of baiting IFADs, whether it is standard practice or only an occasional one, remains unclear. As it stands, the available evidence is insufficient to clarify if the observed mixed-species arrangements were a coincidence or were arranged by design. Conceivably, each bait species may have different characteristics, e.g. decompose at a different pace, preferentially attract different marine organisms, or other.

In 2 cases (Cases 2 and 8), dead sea turtles were also observed washed ashore in close association with IFADs (Table 1), raising the question whether they were also applied as bait. If so, these would be the first reports that we know of. However, since these marine reptiles are confirmed entanglement victims of commercial FADs drifting in open waters (Fonteneau et al. 2013), it is challenging to uncover the causality of their presence in and around the stranded IFADs described here. As accidental entan-
glements cannot be excluded, more field research will be needed to provide the necessary answers. Indeed, the issue is further confounded by insights from other sea turtle stranding events along Ecuador’s coast. Strandings of olive ridley *Lepidochelys olivacea* and green sea turtles *Chelonia mydas* are common on Ecuador’s beaches (see Alava et al. 2005b). Massive die-offs have also been documented (Alava et al. 2005b, Herrera et al. 1999). These stranding episodes were associated with environmental/natural factors (e.g. changes in sea surface temperature, food availability, parasitic and other diseases) and anthropogenic impacts, including fisheries interactions, mainly bycatch in gillnets and longlines, and boat collisions (Herrera et al. 1999, Alava 2000, Alava et al. 2005b). While the FADs used by industrial fisheries in Ecuador have begun to be managed and regulated by the IATTC (Gershman et al. 2015, 2016, 2018, Murua et al. 2016), artisanal fishers have requested regulation from the Ecuadorian Government (Castro et al. 2018). There are indications that industrial fishers may also have started to bait FADs to increase effectiveness, but this has not been substantiated. It is reasonable to believe that the lack of enforcement of relevant legislation, regulation, and control regarding the use of FADs may have allowed artisanal fishermen in Ecuador to start using baited IFADs so as to improve their fishing operations. It will be difficult to study the extent of use and evaluate the impact of this activity considering that the IFADs are hard to visibly trace when deployed at sea. Indeed, the few IFADs that were documented had reached the shore and were encountered by chance. A well-designed interview study might shed some light on these aspects, but a considerable degree of resistance and some false declarations should be expected.

Little is known of the potential use of baited FADs in waters of neighboring countries and where this practice first arose. There is no evidence, for instance, that baited FADs are or have been deployed off Peru, Chile, or Colombia. However, the use of small cetaceans as bait in longline fisheries, especially for sharks, has long been widespread in Peru (e.g. Van Waerebeek & Reyes 1994, Van Waerebeek et al. 2002, Mangel et al. 2010) and was also documented in Pacific Colombia (Avila et al. 2008). More recently, Peruvian gillnet fishermen have resorted to attaching butchered parts of small cetaceans directly to wide-mesh multifilament gillnets to attract sharks, rays, and other large fishes; in some ways these are similar to a Type 1 improvised FAD, but structured FAD devices with floats (Type 2 and 3) have so far not been documented. In a survey of fishing operations of some artisanal fishing boats in Salaverry in 2005 to 2007, Mangel et al. (2010) found that 29% of small cetaceans by-caught in gillnets were used as bait. Also, all harpooned dolphins, both by gillnet and longline vessels, were used as bait (Mangel et al. 2010).

The direct hunting (harpooning) of bottlenose dolphins for trading of meat as bait in the Gulf of Guayaquil has also been documented and anecdotally reported by artisanal fishers and rulers, but the current status of this practice has yet to be concercedly assessed in coastal Ecuador (Van Waerebeek et al. 1997, Jiménez et al. 2018). Previous evidence indicated that some fishers were eager to pay up to USD $75 for a dolphin carcass to obtain bait in a fishing community (i.e. Puerto López) on the central coast of Ecuador during the 1990s (Félix & Samaniego 1994). However, questions remain concerning the presence of a systematic market to trade bait collected from bycaught small cetaceans, and it is likely that a small-scale black market took place on the southern coast of Ecuador, i.e. Puerto Bolivar (Félix & Samaniego 1994, Van Waerebeek et al. 1997), where at least one boat harpooned dolphins in the past (Van Waerebeek et al. 1997); similarly, at least one bottlenose dolphin was harpooned by fishers close to Puná Island, Gulf of Guayaquil (Van Waerebeek et al. 1997). As it stands, the use of a cast net (a fishing gear locally known as ‘voladora’) by a fisher from Posorja Harbor (Guayaquil Gulf) to directly take bottlenose dolphins to trade their meat in local markets was reported by rangers from the Reserva Ecológica de Vida Silvestre Manglares El Morro (El Morro Mangrove Wildlife Ecological Reserve) in 2017 (Jiménez et al. 2018). The exact purpose remains unclear, i.e. whether bycaught or hunted marine mammals are being traded for their use in FADs in Ecuador. Recent anecdotal information, archived in a recorded interview of an artisanal fisher working on a tuna fishing boat, corroborates that this seems to be the case for South American sea lions taken in Peruvian waters. Excerpts from the interview are provided as follows:

‘Here, the ‘lobos marinos’ [sea lions] for ‘plantados’ [the FADs] are brought from Piura, or Pisco (Peru); the sea lions are bought from small boats in Peru that are dedicated to the dorado fisheries. There, they call the dorado ‘perico’. Nowadays they buy the sea lions during the ‘brisa’ fishing period; they purchase them from the small vessels, then the sea lions are frozen and stored to be used for the ‘plantados’. The ‘brisa’ is a ‘cardumen’, a specific school of tuna fish that occur
on these dates, from December to January... A sea lion is cheap and costs between USD $70 to 100 each one, which is a lot of money for the Peruvian fishers; they buy between 40 to 50 sea lions, depending on the amount of sea lions available for sale by the fishers in the boats. The sea lions are not taken in Ecuador as there are very few here and the species is more regulated by the authorities; the sea lions are from Peru where they are very abundant and not regulated, except for the surveillance of the Peruvian Navy... Between December and January until mid-February, during the brisa period, the sea lions are bought' (J. M. confidential pers. comm. to C. Castro).

Although no evidence or assessment is presently available regarding the use of FADs in artisanal fishing operations in Ecuador, we suspect that both the coastal gillnet fisheries and the large oceanic longline fisheries, targeting large pelagic fish such as tuna, billfishes, and various shark species, may be the main users of IFADs. This rationale is based on the fact that the artisanal fisheries fleet usually operates within the 200 nautical miles (nm) of Ecuador's Economic Exclusive Zone (EEZ), including the coastal multifilament gillnet fisheries and the oceanic artis- nal longline fisheries fleet (Alava et al. 2015, Martínez-Ortiz et al. 2015). However, it is likely that the artisanal fisheries fleet is currently expanding its fishing operations beyond the 200 nm, specifically the large oceanic artisanal longline fishery which is unique to Ecuador and operates far offshore deploying a mothership with a fleet of small vessels (Alava et al. 2015, Martínez-Ortiz et al. 2015). Assuming the wide distribution of fishing zones and extension of artisanal fishing fleet off Ecuador's continental coast as a proxy, we project that IFADs may also be used in these fishing areas.

The overall scarcity of information begs for more thorough fact-finding investigations that could then serve as guidance to propose legislation that would control or prohibit the use of marine mammals as bait, search for alternatives and recommendations, and establish exemplary sanctions. As it stands, the deployment of FADs using marine mammals as bait in Ecuador can have negative repercussions in the face of new regulations established in 2017 under the US Marine Mammal Protection Act (NMFS 2016), commanding the implementation of a regulatory program to monitor and mitigate marine mammals bycatch in countries exporting seafood/fish to the USA by 2022. Ecuador falls in this category as this nation exports seafood to the USA but has not yet implemented such a regulatory program (Jiménez et al. 2018).

Since 2013, the IATTC, as the regional fisheries management organization (RFMO) in the Eastern Tropical Pacific, recommended the use of non-entangling drifting FADs as a measure to mitigate the entanglement and minimize deleterious damage to susceptible marine fauna (Gershman et al. 2015, Murua et al. 2016). Along with this measure, incentives for marine observers and fishers should be developed and fostered by local fisheries management authorities, so as to record and retrieve abandoned, lost or discarded fishing gear, including derelict drifting FADs encountered at sea, and to deliver the derelict fishing gear to port reception facilities for recycling and disposal (Gilman 2015, Gilman et al. 2016). The precautionary principle has been emphasized as an approach to reduce, or at least monitor and control, the use of dFADs to mitigate their adverse effects not only on yellowfin and bigeye tuna stocks, sharks and sea turtles but also on open-ocean ecosystems (Fonteneau et al. 2013). As an option, the International Seafood Sustainability Foundation has also recommended the use of non-entangling biodegradable FADS, with minimal risk of entanglement, constructed using only natural and/or biodegradable materials (e.g. bamboo, sisal, yute, palm leaves, coconut fiber, cotton) instead of plastics and/or metals to further reduce and avoid the environmental impact of marine pollution by drifting FADs on the oceans (Murua et al. 2016, Moreno et al. 2016b). In October 2018, Ecuador’s Tuna Conservation Group launched a contest aimed at developing biodegradable FADs (Eco-FADs) for industrial fisheries, especially tuna fishing in Ecuador to reduce pollution (Tunacons 2019). Experiments by tuna processing companies with certain biodegradable and plant materials to test the designs are underway in the country. Similar mitigation actions and incentives should be promoted among small-scale (artisanal) fisheries by fisheries management authorities.

The issue of marine mammal-baited IFADs has recently emerged as a threat to the conservation of marine mammals in Ecuador and should be addressed. As estimated in this study, approximately a fifth of dead marine mammals found stranded along Ecuador's beaches were associated with IFADs over the period 2001 to 2017. If not addressed, within a short period of time, illicit directed takes of both cetaceans and pinnipeds could increase dramatically and lead to severe conservation problems for Ecuador's marine mammal populations. Community-based conservation including an open, bottom-up dialogue is required urgently between Ecuador's artisanal fishing communities and fisheries manage-
ment actors assisted by experts. These should provide insights into the pervasiveness of IFAD use and the origin of marine mammal-sourced bait, as well as test and evaluate alternative bait (e.g. low-cost frozen abattoir remains considered unfit for consumption) and fully biodegradable IFAD materials. Finally, these approaches can serve as potential recommendations to deter and help prevent the use of marine mammals as bait.
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