Bilateral coronal craniosynostosis (BCS) is the premature fusion of the coronal suture bilaterally. The birth prevalence of craniosynostosis is approximately 4 in 10,000 live births, and BCS corresponds to 12% of the craniosynostosis cases in our unit. This cranial abnormality results in a brachycephalic skull shape, which is characterized by a short, wide, and high cranium. The deformity is usually syndromic, such as in Apert, Crouzon, Muenke, and Saethre-Chotzen syndromes, but it may also be nonsyndromic. Genetic analysis of the syndromic patients often reveals mutations in the genes encoding for the fibroblast growth factor receptor types (FGFR2, FGFR3) or in the TWIST1 gene. The cranial malformation may prevent the brain from adequate development, with a risk of increased intracranial pressure (ICP) leading to, for example, blindness and cognitive impairment. 
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Surgical correction typically consists of fronto-orbital advancement (FOA)/posterior skull expansion\(^6\)–\(^9\) and is usually performed before the first year of age.\(^10\) Despite the fact that raised ICP may have devastating effects, there is still a debate about the relationship between intracranial volume (ICV) and raised ICP. The purpose of cranial surgery in BCS is to increase the ICV and normalize the skull shape. However, little is known about the effects of surgery on ICV. To date, no studies have been published describing specifically the ICV in patients with BCS.

The aim of the present study was to measure ICV preoperatively and at follow-up in children with BCS and to compare the ICVs with an age- and gender-matched control group.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

A consecutive series of patients were operated on with the standardized spring-assisted cranioplasty for BCS (Fig. 1) between 2005 and 2009 at the Craniofacial Unit, Department of Plastic Surgery, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden, were extracted from the Sahlgrenska craniofacial registry. Computed tomography (CT) scans (Fig. 2) were routinely performed in 0.6-mm slices before surgery, at the time of spring removal, that is, 6 months after operation, and finally at 3 years of age. CT scans were obtained in the equipment General Electric Advantage Workstation Volumes share 4.3 (GE Healthcare, Buc, France).

**Volume Calculation**

A computer program capable of measuring the total ICV by semiautomatic segmentation had been developed previously at our unit using MATrix LABoratory (MATLAB) version R2011a (MathWorks, Boston, MA).\(^11\) The program uses the concept of region growing, which is an image segmentation method. Horizontal slices were determined by manually choosing the start-slice just above the foramen magnum and the end-slice just beneath the vertex of the skull. The program calculated the total ICV by multiplying the number of pixels in each slice by the pixel size and slice thickness. Measurements from preoperative, postoperative, and follow-up CT scans were performed in 0.6-mm slices \((n = 43)\) by the same investigator (R.C.J.T.).

An age- and gender-matched group of healthy children \((n = 86)\) who underwent CT scans for neurological or posttraumatic reasons were used as control data. To reduce the exposure to radiation, these CT examinations are routinely performed in thick 5-mm slices. Measurements of the control group were carried out by the coauthor (E.W.) and corrected for slice thickness.\(^11\) The control group was compared to other published normative data.

**Statistical Analysis**

Student’s \(t\) test was used to compare ICVs; analyses were performed in the program SPSS version 19.0.0 (IBM, SPSS Statistics, Chicago, Ill.). All \(P\) values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

Fifteen patients (7 girls and 8 boys) with 43 CT scans—15 preoperative (mean age, 5 months), 15 postoperative (mean age, 11 months), and 13 follow-up (mean age, 3 years)—who underwent spring-assisted cranioplasty for BCS were identified. Thirteen children had syndromic synostosis (3 Apert syndrome, 1 Crouzon syndrome, 6 Muenke syndrome, and 3 Saethre-Chotzen syndrome) and 2 had non-syndromic synostosis. DNA analyses were performed in 12 patients, and those with Apert syndrome were diagnosed clinically.

**Volumes**

The mean preoperative ICV was 887 mL [standard deviation (SD), 139 mL], mean 6-month postoperative ICV was 1177 mL (SD, 133 mL), and mean follow-up ICV was 1369 mL (SD, 131 mL). Individual results for the patients are presented in Table 1.

In comparison, the mean ICV values for controls at the mean ages of 5 months \((n = 30)\), 11 months \((n = 30)\), and 3 years \((n = 26)\) were 854 mL.
(SD, 137 mL), 1118 mL (SD, 117 mL), and 1358 mL (SD, 113 mL), respectively (Fig. 3). The current controls differed somewhat when compared to other published normative data12,13 (Table 2).

The differences between patients and controls in each age group were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$).

The difference between the mean ICV for patients at a mean age of 5 months (887 mL) and at a mean age of 3 years (1369 mL) was 482 mL, an increase of 54.3%.

The difference between the mean ICV for controls at a mean age of 5 months (854 mL) and at a mean age of 3 years (1358 mL) was 504 mL, an increase of 59%.

Fig. 2. CT scans of a girl with nonsyndromic bilateral coronal craniosynostosis (A and B) and a boy with Apert syndrome (C and D). A and C, Preoperative image; B and D, 3-year follow-up.
Patients with Apert syndrome (n = 3) had greater ICV than the rest of the group (n = 12) preoperatively (1067 mL vs 842 mL) and at 3 years follow-up (1538 vs 1318 mL). When patients with Apert syndrome were excluded, the results for the rest of the group were not significantly (P > 0.05) different from controls. Even when the 2 nonsyndromic cases were excluded, results were still not significantly different from controls.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the ICV in patients with BCS and compared it with an age- and gender-matched control group. We found that the ICV in patients was similar to that of normal children, both preoperatively and postoperatively, and that patients maintained their age-related ICV at follow-up. To date, there have been few published studies on ICV in patients with complex craniosynostosis, none specifically describing the ICV in BCS.

We measured the ICVs from CT scans by semiautomatic segmentation in a MATLAB-based program previously developed at our unit. Methods of ICV measurement have been improved over the last decades, from estimations using skull x-rays and mathematical formulas to the use of computerized software for measuring ICV from CT scans or magnetic resonance imaging, or by indirect methods using three-dimensional (3D) photography. The disadvantages of using CT scans are the exposure to radiation and the need of anesthesia in some children, but ICV measurements from CT scans are more accurate than estimations from x-rays and 3D photographs. Magnetic resonance imaging has the advantage of accurately measuring brain and ventricular volumes separately. However, CT scans with low-radiation technique are currently used for diagnosis and follow-up at our unit. When using the 3D photography, there is no radiation; the ICV is estimated and follow-up at our unit. When using the 3D photography, there is no radiation; the ICV is estimated but can be converted into the absolute volume by dividing the estimated volume by a constant (1.34).

Only a few studies have been published on normal ICV in children. The normative data of Lichtenberg from 1960 have previously been accepted and used by several authors. Posnick et al were surprised to find ICVs above the norms of Lichtenberg in a series of patients with metopic and sagittal craniosynostosis. The study by Posnick et al was later questioned by Marsh because of the selection of normative data. The norms presented by Lichtenberg were obtained from a French population using skull x-ray and mathematical formulas for ICV estimation. Abbott et al and Kamdar et al have presented normative data from CT scans. The problem is that almost all craniofacial centers use different methods of ICV measurement, and the accuracy might be questioned when comparing such data. When we compared the available normative data at the ages of 6 months, 12 months, and 36 months from Dekaban and Abbott et al with our own data (Table 2), our measurements were greater in these age groups compared with Dekaban’s, but more similar to Abbott’s study. The differences in ICV may be explained by differences in selection of control groups and in measurement methodologies.

In our previous study, Wikberg et al, the ICV measurements were evaluated for methodological errors. Precision was evaluated by running the program 10 times in each slice thickness, 0.6 mm and 5 mm. The differences between the 2 slice thicknesses were also calculated. In addition, human dry skulls were filled with agar gel and compared to the ICVs calculated from CT scans of the same skulls.

Surgical treatment of BCS has the purpose of increasing the ICV and normalizing the skull shape. Several surgical techniques are used at different craniofacial units. We use a combined procedure of frontal advancement and spring expansion of the posterior skull, together with biparietal restriction (Fig. 1). Vinchon et al use FOA with frontoparietal remodeling for nonsyndromic craniosynostosis with brachycephaly. Several craniofacial units use posterior skull expansion, with spring-assisted techniques or with distractors, as an initial surgical intervention in patients with BCS. Serlo et al propose posterior cranial expansion as an initial procedure for syndromic cases because of the greater gain in ICV compared to the previously used technique with frontal advancement. In our combined procedure, the increase in ICV for patients was comparable to the normal ICV growth in healthy children. We believe that the skull expansion will have positive effects for the patient by reducing the risk of raised ICP. The normalization of ICV and cranial shape will probably also be of importance when it comes to intellectual development and psychosocial abilities.

The ICV is age dependent and for that reason we were not capable to compare our results with that of other centers due to the lack of published data in the age groups 6 months, 12 months, and 3 years. The heterogeneity and small numbers of this study may show a type II error in the statistical analysis, something one has to be aware of when interpreting the results. Further case-control studies will be required to determine whether our results are comparable to those of other centers.

We know very little about the natural history of patients with craniosynostosis, which is a substantial drawback in the interpretation of our results. Patients who have not been operated on may have normal, supranormal, or subnormal ICV, the latter with a risk of increased ICP, and a cranial deformity due to compensatory skull growth in uninvolved sutures. No patient in this study had a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Another concern is the heterogeneity of our study, by including syndromic and nonsyndromic BCS, that may cause a different outcome.

The relationship between ICV and ICP is however not well defined in the literature. Gault et al presented a series of 66 children with craniosynostosis. Thir-
teen patients (20%) had raised ICP and 12 out of these (92%) also had a reduced ICV. Raised ICP was found to be more common in patients with multiple-suture craniosynostosis.\textsuperscript{24} Fok et al\textsuperscript{25} studied a series of 41 consecutive craniosynostosis cases; 38 patients (93%) had raised ICP, but only 4 (10%) had a reduced ICV.

Children with single-suture craniosynostosis may be more capable of compensating in their skull growth than patients with multiple-suture craniosynostosis. Craniofacial anomalies with multiple-suture involvement are seen, for example, in Apert, Crouzon, Pfeiffer, and Saethre-Chotzen syndromes. These patients are more prone to develop intracrani hypertension,\textsuperscript{30,31} probably due to the lack of compensatory skull growth. Patients with Apert syndrome generally have a greater ICV compared with normal controls,\textsuperscript{19,20} and there is no discernible difference between the 2 genotypes Ser252Trp and Pro253Arg.\textsuperscript{32} Interestingly, Gosain et al\textsuperscript{20} noted that the ICV of patients with Apert syndrome was raised after 3.5 months of age, a raise that seemed unaffected by both ventriculomegaly and cranial vault surgery.

The skull surgery may not only keep the ICV in a normal range but also impair the skull growth, resulting in a reduced ICV. Therefore, to detect alterations, ICV measurements could be useful for surgical evaluation of patients with craniosynostosis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, patients with BCS who are operated on with the spring-assisted cranioplasty seem to maintain their age-related ICV at 3 years of age when compared with normal controls. Further studies will be required to determine whether these short-term results continue into adulthood.
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