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**Abstract:** Differential genetically determined expression of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) pathway and of vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) might modulate the molecular “milieu” involved in the etio-pathogenesis of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC). We have evaluated the frequency of some functionally relevant SNPs of TGF-β and VEGF-A genes in 70 NMSC patients and 161 healthy controls, typed for TGF-β1 rs1800471, TGF-β2 rs900, TGF-β1 rs334348 and rs334349, TGF-β2 rs4522809 and VEGF-A rs3025039 SNPs. TGF-β2 rs1800629G allele and related genotypes were found to be associated with a possible protective role against NMSC, whereas VEGF-A rs3025039T was associated with an increased risk. To evaluate the effect of genotype combinations on NMSC susceptibility, we determined the frequencies of 31 pseudo-haplotypes due to non-random linkage among alleles of loci not lying on the same chromosome. Two pseudo-haplotypes that imply a minor allele of TGF-β2 or minor allele of VEGF-A SNPs combined with major alleles of the other SNPs were, respectively, associated with a protective effect, and susceptibility to NMSC. In addition, a pseudo-haplotype involving minor alleles of TGF-β2 rs900, TGF-β1 rs334348 and rs4522809 SNPs might be a susceptibility marker for NMSC. In conclusion, our data suggest that a complex interplay among the genetic polymorphisms of TGF-β, TGF-β receptors and VEGF-A genes might influence the net effect of genetic background of the patients on NMSC development. This might be relevant in the risk evaluation, diagnosis and treatment of NMSC.
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1. **Introduction**

The incidence of the vast majority of cancers, including melanoma, increases with advancing age. However, the mechanism by which aging influences the risk of developing cancer is not fully understood. Whether this is attributable to declines in immunity or other changes, such as changes in the aging tissue microenvironment, remains unclear [1].

Non-melanoma skin cancers (NMSC) include basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), which are responsible for approximately 80 and 20% of all NMSC cases, respectively [2,3]. In humans, skin cancer arises in the epidermis or hair bulbs where proliferating basaloid tumor cells are detected. The extension and severity of these...
phenomena, rate of skin aging and age of cancer appearance have a broad spectrum of inter-individual variability that might depend on different factors including the genetic background of each individual [4].

In particular, variants of the genes coding for molecules involved in the inflammatory response, mainly single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), can generate pro-inflammatory genotypes, which modulate and increase the chronic inflammation, and, in turn determining the formation of a molecular “milieu” that could accelerate the skin aging process and enhance the development and progression of cancer [5,6]. One fundamental signaling pathway with essential roles in tissue homeostasis is the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) pathway. TGF-β is a family of pro-fibrotic cytokines, which is the primary regulator of collagen synthesis in human skin [7] and normally exerts tumor-suppressive effects by enforcing cytostasis and differentiation. TGF-β must first combine with its specific receptor complex, including TGF-β type I (TβRI) and TGF-β type II (TβRII) receptor chains on the cell surface, to exert its biological effects [8,9]. However, as the tumor advances in malignant progression, the genome often accumulates mutations in the TGF-β receptor genes that renders the cancer cells unresponsive to TGF-β [10]. Moreover, its multiple effects on non-malignant stromal cells, such as evasion of immune surveillance, can be exploited by the tumor and hence turn TGF-β into a tumor-promoting factor that leads to increased invasion and metastasis [11]. Increased expression of TGF-β and activation of immune response suppressor pathways characterize the peri-tumoral skin microenvironment both in non-melanocytic cancers and in melanoma [12,13].

It is well known that SNPs in TGF-β1, TGF-β2, TGF-βR1 and TGF-βR2 genes are susceptibility factors for different type of cancers [14]. Less it is known about the role that polymorphisms of the TGF-β gene family may have in skin cancer, in particular in NMSC. The polymorphism at position +915 in the signal sequence (rs1800471), which changes codon 25 (arginine/proline), is associated with inter-individual variation in levels of TGF-β1 production. The intronic TGF-β2 rs900 has no predicted effects in folding, activity or function of the TGF-β2 protein. On the other hand, T allele might interfere with ribosomal translation and rate of protein production [15].

TGF-βR1 rs334349 and rs334348 are in strict linkage disequilibrium. These SNPs are implied in altered miRNA interaction and differential germline allele specific expression of TGF-β Receptor I. TGF-βR2 rs4522809, situated in intron 2 of the TGF-βR2, seems not to affect mRNA folding and does not seem related to either TGF-β or TGF-βR2 protein expression [16]; on the other hand, there is the possibility that it is a marker in linkage with another causal variant [17].

One of the central mechanisms in cancer progression and expansion is the formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing vasculature. New angiogenesis actually plays a key role in tumor development, invasion, and metastases formation of many cancers, including NMSC [18,19]. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) family is considered to be a fundamental key factor involved in angiogenesis, influencing progression, prognosis and therapy in various forms of cancers [20–26]. Specific variations of VEGF genes have been demonstrated to be genetic determinants for susceptibility, outcome and therapy response, especially for the solid tumors. VEGF-A rs3025039 (+936 C/T) is located in the 3’ untranslated region of the gene. At a biological level, the T allele has been observed to give rise to lower circulating VEGF-A levels due to a loss of a potential binding site for the transcription factor activator protein-4 which may lower VEGF-A production [27,28].

Considering the strict functional interplay between TGF-β and VEGF pathways both in normal and neoplastic tissues [29] we have evaluated the role of some functionally relevant SNPs of the TGF-β gene family and of VEGF-A as susceptibility markers for NMSC.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Patient and Control Populations

Our study included 70 patients born in Western Sicily who were enrolled at time of their admission to the Dermatology Unit of Palermo, “Paolo Giaccone” University Hospital.
Patients were affected by non-melanoma skin cancer, clinically and histologically classified as basal cell carcinomas or squamous cell carcinomas. To perform comparative genotype analyses 161 age related healthy controls were also enrolled. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients and control subjects are summarized in Table 1. In addition, both patients and controls belonged to same ethnic group, since their parents and grandparents were born in Western Sicily.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of 70 patients with non-melanocytic skin cancer (NMSC) and 161 control subjects.

| Demographic Characteristics | Cancer | Controls | p |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|---|
| Age, mean ± SD              | 63.90 ± 14.38 | 50.37 ± 11.49 | <0.0001 |
| Age median                  | 64.00 | 46.00 | - |
| ≥64 years old               | 36 | 51.42 | 48 | 29.81 | - |
| Women                       | 24 | 34.33 | 76 | 47.81 | 0.0719 |
| Basal cell carcinoma (BCC)  | 50 | 71.42 | - | - | - |
| Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)| 20 | 28.58 | - | - | - |

| Non-melanocytic skin cancer patient clinical characteristics |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| BCC Subtypes: N. % | Metastases | Therapy |
| Nodular           | 33 | 66.00 | No | Surgical excision |
| Superficial       | 12 | 24.00 | No | Surgical excision |
| Adenoid BCC       | 2  | 4.00  | No | Surgical excision |
| Nodulocystic BCC  | 1  | 2.00  | No | Surgical excision |
| Micronodular BCC  | 1  | 2.00  | No | Mohs surgery |
| Pinkus Fibroepithelioma | 1 | 2.00 | No | Surgical excision |

| SCC Subtypes: | |
| SCC arising in actinic keratosis | 14 | 70.00 | No | Surgical excision |
| Keratoacanthoma | 2 | 10.00 | No | Immune stimulatory therapy and cryosurgery |
| Adenosquamous carcinoma | 1 | 5.00 | No | Surgical excision and adjuvant immunotherapy |
| Verrucous SCC | 1 | 5.00 | No | Surgical excision and cryosurgery and adjuvant chemotherapy |
| Invasive Bowen’s disease | 1 | 5.00 | N.D. | Surgical excision and adjuvant chemotherapy |
| Carcinosarcoma | 1 | 5.00 | Yes | Surgical excision and adjuvant chemotherapy |

Our study was performed in accordance with ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration of the World Medical Association and Italian legislation, and was approved by the local institutional review board (Comitato Etico Palermo 1, protocol code CET1 03/2017, date of approval 1 March 2017). All participants gave their informed consent. Data were encoded to ensure privacy protection of patients and controls. Laboratory procedures were performed by lab staff unaware of the patient or control sources of the biological specimens.

2.2. Molecular Typing

As reported in Table 2, we selected six functional and common SNPs of the TGF-β pathway and one of the VEGF-A gene. Selection of these SNPs was performed using information acquired from dbSNP NCBI, the ENSEMBL database (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html, accessed on 3 April 2022), and the UCSC Genome Browser website (http://genome.ucsc.edu, accessed on 3 April 2022). Alleles and genotypes were analyzed using on demand assays developed by KBioscience Ltd. (Middlesex, UK). Tests apply homogeneous Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) detection and allele specific PCR (Kaspar) as previously described [30]. The genotypes were determined using the 7300
system SDS software, vs. 1.3 (Applied Biosystems, Monza (Mi) Italy) sample by sample, on the basis of the detection of unique (homozygous samples) or double (heterozygous samples) fluorescence signals.

Table 2. Genes, reference number (rs), localization and position of SNPs investigated in the study.

| Genes | SNPs | Gene Region | Position | Major Allele | Minor Allele | MAF * | Biological Effect | Reference |
|-------|------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------------------|-----------|
| TGF-β1 | rs1800471 | Exon 1 | 19:41352971 | G | C | 0.05 | Modification of TGF-β1 production | [31] |
| TGF-β2 | rs900 | 3′UTR | 1.218441563 | A | T | 0.29 | Modification of TGF-β2 production | [15] |
| TGF-βR1 | rs334348 | 3′UTR | 9.99150189 | A | G | 0.26 | | [32] |
| TGF-βR1 | rs334349 | 3′UTR | 9.99152105 | G | A | 0.27 | | |
| TGF-βR2 | rs4522809 | Intron 2 | 3:30627192 | A | G | 0.47 | Probable association to TGF-β signaling modification | [17] |
| VEGF-A | rs3025039 | 3′UTR | 6:43784799 | C | T | 0.14 | Reduced VEGF-A production | [27,28] |

* Minor allele frequencies (MAF) in Caucasian population retrieved from dbSNP database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/, accessed on 5 June 2022).

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Allele and genotype frequencies were evaluated by gene count using an online statistical analysis tool applied to the evaluation of SNPs (https://www.snpstats.net/start.htm, accessed on 9 May 2022). Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was checked by Pearson’s test. Evaluation of power of calculation was performed with an online tool (https://sample-size.net/, accessed on 19 April 2022). Significant differences in genotype distributions between groups were calculated using chi-square or Fisher’s exact test applied to the appropriate 2 × 2 or 3 × 2 contingency tables. Overdominant, codominant, dominant and recessive models of heredity were applied in multiple logistic regression analyses adjusting the results for age and gender. GraphPadInStat software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA, version 3.06) and the above mentioned online statistical analysis tool applied to the evaluation of the association of SNPs with diseases in the presence of other variances (biological, genetic, or clinical), were used to calculate statistical significance (p-value cutoff < 0.05) of odds ratio (OR) values and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Epistatic interaction among the different SNPs was performed using the Haplotype frequencies estimation (frequency threshold for rare haplotype: 0.01) and Haplotype association with response estimation functions at https://www.snpstats.net/start.htm (accessed on 19 April 2022) searching for so called pseudo-haplotypes. Actually, the concept of epistasis analysis is very similar in theory to haplotype analysis [33,34], in particular when functionally well-defined pathways (i.e., TGF-β and VEGF functionally interacting pathways) are studied.

3. Results

3.1. Allele and Genotype Frequencies of TGF-β and VEGF-A SNP in Non Melanocitic Skin Cancer Patient (NMSC) and Control Subjects

No significant differences were observed analyzing allelic and genotypic frequencies of TGF-β1, TGF-β2, and TGF-βR1 gene SNPs between NMSC patient and control subjects. When TGF-βR2 rs1800629 SNP was analyzed, significantly decreased frequencies of G allele and related genotypes were observed in the patient group (crude analysis) (Table 3). These results were confirmed applying the dominant model of heredity in multiple logistic regression analyses, adjusting the results for age and gender (Table 3). In addition, both VEGF-A rs3025039T allele and TT homozygous genotype were found to be significantly increased in patients compared to the controls both in the crude analyses and when the recessive model of heredity in multiple logistic regression analyses was applied, adjusting the results for age and gender (Table 3).
### Table 3. Significantly different allelic and genotypic SNP frequencies in 70 non-melanocytic skin cancer patients (NMSC) and 161 control subjects.

| GENE | SNP    | Alleles/Genotypes | Controls Nr | Frequ. | NMSC Nr | Frequ. | OR (95% CI) | p-Value  |
|------|--------|--------------------|-------------|--------|---------|--------|-------------|----------|
|      |        |                    |             |        |         |        |             |          |
| TGF-β1 | rs1800471 | G                  | 292         | 0.91   | 123     | 0.88   |             | 0.774    |
|       |        | C                  | 30          | 0.09   | 17      | 0.12   |             |          |
|       |        | G/G                | 135         | 0.84   | 56      | 0.8    |             | 1.27     |
|       |        | C/G                | 22          | 0.14   | 11      | 0.16   |             |          |
|       |        | C/C                | 4           | 0.02   | 3       | 0.04   |             |          |
| TGF-β2 | rs900  | A                  | 222         | 0.69   | 94      | 0.67   |             | 0.822    |
|       |        | T                  | 100         | 0.31   | 46      | 0.33   |             |          |
|       |        | A/A                | 75          | 0.47   | 34      | 0.49   |             | 1.08     |
|       |        | A/T                | 72          | 0.45   | 26      | 0.37   |             |          |
|       |        | T/T                | 14          | 0.09   | 10      | 0.14   |             |          |
| rs334348 |        | A                  | 244         | 0.76   | 106     | 0.76   |             | 1.000    |
|       |        | G                  | 78          | 0.24   | 34      | 0.24   |             |          |
|       |        | A/A                | 96          | 0.6    | 42      | 0.6    |             | 0.97     |
|       |        | A/G                | 52          | 0.32   | 22      | 0.31   |             |          |
|       |        | G/G                | 13          | 0.08   | 6       | 0.09   |             |          |
| TGF-βR1 | rs334349 | G                  | 250         | 0.78   | 99      | 0.71   |             | 0.845    |
|       |        | A                  | 72          | 0.22   | 41      | 0.29   |             |          |
|       |        | G/G                | 101         | 0.63   | 37      | 0.53   |             | 1.42     |
|       |        | G/A                | 48          | 0.3    | 25      | 0.36   |             |          |
|       |        | A/A                | 12          | 0.07   | 8       | 0.11   |             |          |
| * TGF-βR2 | rs4522809 | A                  | 188         | 0.58   | 110     | 0.79   |             | <0.0001  |
|       |        | G                  | 134         | 0.42   | 30      | 0.21   |             |          |
|       |        | A/A                | 55          | 0.34   | 48      | 0.69   |             |          |
|       |        | A/G                | 78          | 0.48   | 14      | 0.2    |             | 0.21     |
|       |        | G/G                | 28          | 0.17   | 8       | 0.11   |             |          |
| ** VEGF-A | rs3025039 | C                  | 272         | 0.84   | 104     | 0.74   |             | 0.0291   |
|       |        | T                  | 50          | 0.16   | 36      | 0.26   |             |          |
|       |        | C/C                | 116         | 0.72   | 43      | 0.61   |             |          |
|       |        | C/T                | 40          | 0.25   | 18      | 0.26   |             |          |
|       |        | T/T                | 5           | 0.03   | 9       | 0.13   |             |          |

* Dominant model (A/A vs. A/G-G/G) OR (95% C.I.) = 0.24 (0.13–0.43) p < 0.0001. ** Recessive model (T/T vs. C/C-C/T) OR (95% C.I.) = 4.60 (1.48–14.29) p < 0.0067.

### 3.2. SNP Frequencies of NMSC Patient and Control Groups Stratified According to 64 Years Age Cut Off and Gender

As reported in Table 1, the median age of the NMSC patients was 64 years old. This median value was chosen as cut-off for breakdown of the two patient and control populations in subgroups of <64 and ≥64 year old subjects. Data reported in Table 4 showed that by analyzing SNP frequencies stratified according to age cut off and adjusted by gender, there was an increase in TGF-βR2 rs4522809A homozygous genotype frequency in patients compared to the controls both in the <64 and ≥64 year old classes whereas heterozygous genotype and frequency of G positive genotypes on the whole were decreased.
Table 4. Significantly different TGF-β2 and VEGF-A SNP frequencies in non melanocitic skin cancer patients (NMSC) and controls (CTRL) stratified according to 64 years age cut off (adjusted by gender).

| Genes and SNP | Alleles | Age < 64 | | | Age ≥ 64 | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|-----|---------|
| TGF-β2        |         |         | OR (95% CI) | p-Value | OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
| rs4522809     | A/A     | 42 (0.37) | 23 (0.68) | 3.54 (1.57–7.98) | 0.0028 | 13 (0.27) | 25 (0.69) | 6.12 (2.36–15.9) | 0.0002 |
|               | A/G     | 54 (0.48) | 7 (0.20)  | 0.28 (0.11–0.71) | 0.0053 | 24 (0.50) | 7 (0.20)  | 0.15 (0.05–0.45) | 0.0058 |
|               | G/G     | 17 (0.15) | 4 (0.12)  | 1.22 (0.75–2.41) | 0.784  | 11 (0.23) | 4 (0.11)  | 0.42 (0.12–1.45) | 0.249  |
|               | G/*     | 71 (0.63) | 11 (0.32) | 0.28 (0.12–0.64) | 0.0028 | 35 (0.73) | 11 (0.31) | 0.16 (0.06–0.42) | 0.0002 |
| VEGF-A        |         |         | OR (95% CI) | p-Value | OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
| rs3025039     | C/C     | 77 (0.68) | 25 (0.74) | 1.29 (0.55–3.06) | 0.673  | 39 (0.81) | 18 (0.50) | 0.23 (0.09–0.61) | 0.0042 |
|               | C/T     | 33 (0.29) | 6 (0.17)  | 0.52 (0.19–1.37) | 0.267  | 7 (0.15)  | 12 (0.33) | 3.74 (1.23–11.33) | 0.0058 |
|               | T/T     | 3 (0.03)  | 3 (0.09)  | 3.55 (0.70–18.5) | 0.137  | 2 (0.04)  | 6 (0.17)  | 4.59 (0.87–24.33) | 0.0632 |
|               | T/*     | 36 (0.32) | 9 (0.26)  | 0.77 (0.33–1.82) | 0.673  | 9 (0.19)  | 18 (0.50) | 4.33 (1.63–11.5) | 0.0042 |

G/* = G positive genotypes of TGF-β2 rs4522809 A/G SNP; T/* = T positive genotypes of VEGF-A rs3025039/T SNP.

On the other hand, when the analysis was applied to VEGF-A rs3025039 genotypes, significant differences were observed only between ≥64 years old patients and controls. In particular VEGF-A rs3025039CC homozygous genotype frequency was significantly decreased with respect to the control group, whereas heterozygous genotype and frequency of T positive genotypes on the whole was significantly increased. In addition, homozygous T genotype reached roughly a statistical significance when considered separately.

Data reported in Table 5 show that TGF-β2 rs4522809A homozygous genotype frequency was significantly increased in patients respect to the controls both in females and in males. In addition, the frequency of G positive genotypes, on the whole, was significantly decreased (homozygous + heterozygous genotypes). Decreases of both homozygous and heterozygous G genotypes, considered separately, reached roughly statistical significance.

Table 5. Significantly different SNP frequencies in non melanocitic skin cancer patients (NMSC) and controls (CTRL), stratified according to gender (adjusted by age cut off).

| Genes and SNP | Alleles | Female | | | Male | | |
|---------------|---------|--------|-----|---------|--------|-----|---------|
| TGF-β2        |         |        | OR (95% CI) | p-Value | OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
| rs4522809     | A/A     | 31 (0.40) | 17 (0.71) | 3.68 (1.37–9.91) | 0.011  | 24 (0.29) | 31 (0.67) | 5.08 (2.33–11.1) | 0.0001 |
|               | A/G     | 37 (0.47) | 4 (0.17)  | 0.22 (0.07–0.71) | 0.008  | 41 (0.49) | 10 (0.22) | 0.28 (0.13–0.65) | 0.003  |
|               | G/G     | 10 (0.13) | 3 (0.12)  | 0.97 (0.24–3.86) | 1.000  | 18 (0.22) | 5 (0.11)  | 0.44 (0.15–1.28) | 0.153  |
|               | G/*     | 47 (0.60) | 7 (0.29)  | 0.27 (0.10–0.73) | 0.011  | 59 (0.71) | 15 (0.33) | 0.20 (0.09–0.43) | 0.0001 |
| VEGF-A        |         |        | OR (95% CI) | p-Value | OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
| rs3025039     | C/C     | 54 (0.69) | 13 (0.54) | 0.53 (0.21–1.34) | 0.221  | 62 (0.75) | 30 (0.65) | 0.64 (0.29–1.39) | 0.311  |
|               | C/T     | 22 (0.28) | 8 (0.33)  | 1.27 (0.48–3.39) | 0.618  | 18 (0.21) | 10 (0.22) | 1.05 (0.42–2.40) | 1.00   |
|               | T/T     | 2 (0.03)  | 3 (0.13)  | 5.43 (0.85–34.27) | 0.083  | 3 (0.04)  | 6 (0.13)  | 4.08 (0.95–16.8) | 0.068  |
|               | T/*     | 24 (0.31) | 11 (0.46) | 1.90 (0.75–4.86) | 0.221  | 21 (0.25) | 16 (0.35) | 1.57 (0.72–3.45) | 0.311  |

On the other hand, when the analysis was applied to VEGF-A rs3025039 genotypes no significant differences were observed stratifying data according to gender, even if the frequency of rs3025039T homozygous genotype was increased with a statistical significance near to \( p = 0.05 \) threshold (0.083 and 0.068, respectively, for female and male groups). The
complete analyses of data stratified according to age cut-off and gender for all SNP types are reported in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

3.3. SNP Frequencies in NMSC Patients Stratified according to Skin Cancer Hystotype

SNP frequencies were analyzed stratifying patient data according to NMSC hystotype as affected by basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and as affected by squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (adjusted by 64 years age cut off and gender), and compared with each other and with the control population (Table 6). This would mean that 20 patients were represented in the SCC and 50 in the BCC group. However, the analyses confirmed that TGF-β R2 rs4522809G positive genotype frequencies are reduced in NMSC patients with respect to the controls (BCC dominant model OR 0.24, CI 95% 0.12–0.49, \( p < 0.0001 \); SCC dominant model OR 0.15, CI 95% 0.05–0.45, \( p = 0.0002 \)) irrespective of BCC or SCC hystotype. Similarly, VEGF-A rs3025039T positive genotypes are significantly increased with respect to the controls both in BCC (recessive model OR 3.94, CI 95% 1.15–13.49, \( p < 0.0001 \)) and SCC patients (dominant model OR 2.86, CI 95% 1.09–7.50, \( p = 0.034 \)). On the other hand, the analysis of TGF-βR1 SNP genotype frequencies showed that rs334349AA genotype is significantly increased in SCC patients compared both to the BCC (recessive model OR 6.20, CI 95% 1.25–30.81, \( p = 0.022 \)) and control group (recessive model OR 4.31, CI95% 1.32–14.08, \( p = 0.022 \)). The complete analyses of data stratified according to skin cancer hystotype for all the six SNP types are reported in Supplementary Table S3.

**Table 6.** Significantly different SNP frequencies in non melanocytic skin cancer patients (NMSC) affected by basal cell carcinoma (BCC) compared to NMSC with squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (adjusted by 64 years age cut off and gender).

| Genes and SNP Alleles   | BCC Nr | BCC Freq. | SCC Nr | SCC Freq. | Controls Nr | Controls Freq. | BCC vs. SCC OR (95% CI) | BCC vs. Controls OR (95% CI) | SCC vs. Controls OR (95% CI) | p Value |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| **TGF-βR1 rs334349**    |        |           |        |           |             |                  |                          |                             |                             |        |
| G/G                     | 29     | 0.58      | 8      | 0.40      | 101         | 0.63            | 0.48 (0.17–1.39)         | 0.158 (0.43–1.57)            | 0.618 (0.15–1.02)             | 0.057  |
| G/A                     | 18     | 0.36      | 7      | 0.35      | 48          | 0.3             | 1.39 (0.41–4.71)         | 0.882 (0.68–2.78)            | 1.84 (0.63–5.42)             | 0.615  |
| A/A                     | 3      | 0.06      | 5      | 0.25      | 12          | 0.07            | 0.019 (1.31–38.48)        | 0.81 (0.21–3.18)             | 1.000 (1.52–19.7)            | 0.044  |
| **TGF-βR2 rs4522809**   |        |           |        |           |             |                  |                          |                             |                             |        |
| A/A                     | 33     | 0.66      | 15     | 0.75      | 55          | 0.34            | 1.54 (0.48–4.98)         | 0.444 (1.91–7.31)            | 0.0001 (1.99–16.7)           | 0.001  |
| A/G                     | 12     | 0.24      | 2      | 0.10      | 78          | 0.48            | 0.35 (0.07–1.81)         | 0.145 (0.11–0.52)            | 0.0002 (0.02–0.40)           | 0.0004 |
| G/G                     | 5      | 0.10      | 3      | 0.03      | 28          | 0.17            | 1.31 (0.27–6.35)         | 0.567 (0.19–1.45)            | 0.268 (0.23–3.06)            | 1.000  |
| **VEGF-A rs3025039**    |        |           |        |           |             |                  |                          |                             |                             |        |
| C/C                     | 33     | 0.66      | 10     | 0.50      | 116         | 0.72            | 0.52 (0.86–8.27)         | 0.279 (0.38–1.48)            | 0.478 (0.15–1.00)            | 0.068  |
| C/T                     | 10     | 0.20      | 8      | 0.40      | 40          | 0.25            | 1.00–13.00               | 0.129 (0.44–2.23)            | 0.091 (0.94–7.17)            | 0.085  |
| T/T                     | 7      | 0.14      | 2      | 0.10      | 5           | 0.03            | 1.20 (0.20–7.13)         | 0.745 (5.08)                 | 0.0085 (4.89)                | 0.074  |

* rs334349: SCC vs. BCC recessive model 6.20 (1.25–30.81) \( p = 0.022 \); SCC vs. Controls recessive model 4.31 (1.32–14.08) \( p = 0.024 \). ** rs4522809: BCC vs. Controls dominant model 0.24 (0.12–0.49) \( p < 0.0001 \); SCC vs. Controls dominant model 0.15 (0.05–0.45) \( p = 0.0002 \). *** rs3025039: BCC vs. Controls recessive model 3.94 (1.15–13.49) \( p < 0.0001 \); SCC vs. Controls dominant model 2.86 (1.09–7.50) \( p = 0.034 \).

3.4. TGF-β and VEGF-A SNP Pseudo-Haplotype Associations with Non-Melanocytic Skin Cancer

Algorithms for haplotype frequencies estimation and association with the disease were used to evaluate the epistatic effect of combinations of genotypes at multiple loci on a complex trait such as NMSC due to the so-called gametic phase disequilibrium, as the alleles non-casually segregate within gametes, but are not physically located on the same chromosome [35]. This approach allows us to identify 31 functional pseudo-haplotypes...
composed by functional disequilibrium among alleles of functionally linked loci with a frequency > 0.01 in at least one of the populations analyzed, i.e., the NMSC or control population (Supplementary Table S4).

As reported in Table 7, pseudo-haplotype 2 composed by major alleles of TGF-β1, TGF-β2, TGF-βR1 and VEGF-A SNPs and minor allele of TGF-βR2 SNP showed a significantly reduced frequency in NMSC with respect to the control population.

Table 7. Significantly different results obtained analyzing frequencies of 31 pseudo-haplotype (p-Hp) containing major or minor alleles of TGF-β and VEGF-A SNPs, in non-melanocytic skin cancer (NMSC) patients and control (CTRL) subjects.

| p-Hp | TGF-β1 rs1800471 G/C | TGF-β2 rs900 A/T | TGF-βR1 rs334348 A/G | TGF-βR1 rs334349 G/A | TGF-βR2 rs4522809 A/G | VEGF-A rs3025039 C/T | CTRL OR (95%CI) | p Value |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 2    | G                   | A               | A                   | G                   | G                   | C                   | 0.150 0.028 0.17 (0.04–0.73) | 0.0061 |
| 14   | G                   | A               | A                   | G                   | A                   | T                   | 0.010 0.124 11.7 (2.46–55.9) | 0.0005 |
| 24   | G                   | T               | G                   | A                   | A                   | C                   | 0.000 0.058 21.8 (1.16–411) | 0.0079 |

On the contrary, pseudo-haplotype 14, composed by major alleles of TGF-β1, TGF-β2, TGF-βR1 and TGF-βR2 SNPs and minor allele of VEGF-A had a significantly increased frequency in NMSC patients. In addition, pseudo-haplotype 24 composed by minor alleles of TGFβ2, and both TGFβR1 SNPs and major alleles of TGF-β1, TGF-β2 and VEGF-A SNPs showed a significantly increased frequency in NMSC respect to control subjects. Complete pseudo-haplotype statistical analyses are reported in Supplementary Table S4.

Taken together, these data seem to indicate that TGF-β and VEGF-A pathway modulation by gene variants might have a role in susceptibility to or protection against NMSC.

4. Discussion

Non-melanoma skin cancers, basal cell and squamous cell carcinoma (BCC and SCC) commonly arise in the epidermis or hair follicles where proliferating basaloid tumor cells are present. Cytokine and growth factors are involved in this process [36].

Vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs) are the key regulators in angiogenesis and have been shown to play a significant role in the progression and prognosis of angiogenesis-related diseases, such as cancer [37–40]. VEGF is known to play a critical role in the development of non-melanoma skin cancers. Actually, VEGFs can affect skin carcinogenesis by directly interacting with keratinocytes, tumor cells, and immune cells [41,42]. In addition, VEGFs carry out other functions beyond their well-established effects on angiogenesis and influence skin carcinogenesis by directly activating tumor cells [19]. Moreover, several studies have uncovered direct effects of VEGF on keratinocytes and skin tumor cells. These studies suggested that in addition to enhancing angiogenesis, VEGF may promote skin carcinogenesis by altering the survival, proliferation, or stemness of keratinocytes and tumor cells in an autocrine manner [37,39,41,43].

Our data indicate that the frequency of VEGF-A rs3025039T positive genotypes was increased in NMSC patients, adjusting data for gender and age. Stratifying data according to age, T positive on the whole was increased in >64 years old subjects. Similarly, stratifying data according to gender, homozygous T genotype reached a roughly statistical significance when considered separately although this finding is dependent on a small number of subjects categorized according to gender and single genotype.

As above reported, the VEGF-A rs3025039T allele has been observed to give rise to lower circulating VEGF levels. However, studies on a South Italy population have demonstrated that the rs3025039C/T associated VEGF levels are variable and influenced by the presence of other VEGF-A SNP alleles in linkage disequilibrium with rs3025039C/T alleles [44]. A possible link between the rs3025039C/T SNP and several cancer types has been reported, and the contribution of this polymorphism to oncogenesis has been investigated in several types of tumors [45–48]. In some cases, T allele was found associated
to protection against cancer [27,49]. Allele T associated susceptibility has been reported for oral cancer [50], head and neck cancer [51], breast cancer [52] and colorectal cancer [53,54]. In a recent paper, Kämmerer et al. [55], reporting the association of T allele with oral squamous cell carcinoma, showed that VEGF-A rs3025039T allele seems to be associated with an increased CD31 immuno-stain, currently used for measurement of microvessel density (MVD) and endothelial cell kinetic in normal and pathological tissues.

On the other hand, our data indicated that the statistical significance of the increase in the T positive genotype was maintained both in patients ≥64 years old and in patients affected by BCC. Recent findings suggest that in aging the reduced angiogenesis potential correlates with a reduced expression of VEGF-A [56]. Moreover, it appears intriguing that tumor cells of human BCCs tend to show weak VEGF expression with positive tumor cells predominantly localized to the invading margin [26,57]. In contrast, SCCs, which are typically more aggressive than BCCs, display more intense and widespread staining, with higher expression in tumor cells localized near infiltrating inflammatory cells [26]. However, our group of SCC patients is small as only 20 patients were represented in the SCC group, so the data obtained by comparing SCC patients with BCC and controls should be considered suggestive but not conclusive. In addition, the case mixes in both BCC and SCC groups (Table 1) do not allow us to further analyze possible significant differences associated with histotype, therapeutical approach, or relapses in relationship with SNP types.

Overall, the increased frequency of VEGF-A rs3025039T positive genotypes in our group of patients confirms the view that analysis of VEGFs genetic polymorphisms in aged cancer patients might be important for a better tailoring of cancer therapy [56].

The TGF-β signaling pathway plays an important role in the regulation of normal and cancer skin cell proliferation [13]. Increased expression of TGF-β and activation of the T regulatory pathway characterize the peri-tumoral skin microenvironment both in non-melanocytic tumors and in melanoma. TGF-β1 can modulate normal and pathological cell differentiation and proliferation in an auto- or paracrine manner [58]. In particular, the ability of TGF-β signaling to activate target genes enables the pathway to impact diverse cellular processes including proliferation, differentiation, migration, apoptosis, and endothelial cell remodeling [59,60] with different and sometimes opposite effects on cancer cells. Actually, TGF-β normally exerts tumor-suppressive effects. However, as the tumor advances in malignant progression, mutations in the TGF-β receptor system render the cancer cells unresponsive to the cytokine and to TGF-β through its multiple effects on non-malignant stromal cells, such as evasion of immune surveillance, creating a tumor-promoting factor that leads to increased invasion and metastasis [11].

In this scenario, given the role of genetic polymorphisms located in both transcribed and untranscribed regions of TGF-β and TGF-β receptors, the tuning of TGF-β effects might be crucial.

Accordingly, we found that TGF-βR2 SNP genotypes are differently distributed in NMSC patients and controls. In particular, TGF-βR2 rs4522809G positive genotype frequencies are reduced in NMSC patients with respect to the controls, adjusting data for gender and age. Similar results were obtained by analyzing genetic frequencies in female, male, younger or older patients compared to adequate controls. Moreover, this reduced frequency was observed both in SCC and BCC histologic types. Decreases of both homozygous and heterozygous G genotype reach roughly statistical significance when considered separately but this finding is dependent on a relatively small number of subjects categorized according to gender and single genotype.

It is not yet clear how TGF-β signaling is influenced by rs4522809. It has been hypothesized that the G allele of TGF-βR2 rs4522809, that is located in the intronic region, might modify the gene expression. Alternatively, it is possible that this gene variant regulates the amount and direction of transcription [61]. In this view, the increased frequency of rs4522809G positive genotypes in the control group allows to speculate about a possible protective effect of this gene variant against NMSC. It appears intriguing that a similar
protective effect of the G allele was found in other types of cancer such as breast cancer [17].

We have also found that TGF-βR1 rs334349AA genotype frequencies are significantly increased in SCC patients compared both to BCC and controls. Association of this TGF-βR1 variant with cancer was documented in particular for colon rectal cancer [62]. Overall, our results, even if they need to be confirmed in a larger sample of NMSC patients (in particular for data comparing SCC and BCC histologic types) suggest that the net effect of the TGF-β gene pathway on skin cancer pathogenesis is influenced by polymorphisms of genes coding for the TGF-β receptor complex.

As is well known, the net effect of the presence of a functionally relevant SNP might be masked or counterbalanced by other SNPs in the same or in interacting genes [31]. Our data in their complexity seem to suggest both protective and susceptible polymorphism in strictly interacting genes as TGF-β family and VEGF-A [63,64]. In this regard, we have explored the interaction among the six SNP types, applying an online haplotype searching tool to determine the so called functional pseudo-haplotypes [32]. This would describe the correlation among genotypes of loci not lying on the same chromosome, that has been also described as “gametic phase disequilibrium”, i.e., the non-random association of alleles within gametes, even for physically unlinked loci tethered on different chromosomes [65]. This approach allowed us to establish that two pseudo-haplotypes showed a significantly different distribution frequency in NMSC with respect to the control population, implicating a minor allele of TGF-βR2 or minor allele of VEGF-A SNPs combined with major alleles of the other SNPs studied. Analyzing the frequencies of the two TGF-βR2 and VEGF-A SNPs individually, it seems that the first haplotype is associated with a protective effect against NMSC and the second with susceptibility. These findings would suggest that the effect of TGF-βR2 and VEGF-A SNPs might be more evident when the major alleles of the other loci are present. It is intriguing to observe that when minor alleles of TGF-βR2 and VEGF-A SNPs are contemporaneously associated in the same pseudo-haplotype, no significant differences between frequencies of NMSC and control were observed (Table S4 pseudo-haplotypes 12 and 16). Finally, pseudo-haplotype analyses revealed that the pseudo-haplotype 24 composed by minor alleles of TGF-β2 rs900, TGF-βR1 rs334348 and rs4522809 SNPs and major alleles of TGF-β1, TGF-βR2 and VEGF-A SNPs might be a susceptibility factor for NMSC.

On the other hand, both next generation sequencing studies and GWAS have not directly evidenced that SNP of TGF-β pathway or VEGF-A are genetic markers of risk for NMSC. These approaches have highlighted that pigmentation genes as TYR, TYRP1, OCA2, SLC24A5, SLC45A2, POMC, ASIP, ATRN, involved in activation of the Hedgehog (HH) pathway, were identified as susceptible loci for BCC and SCC, independently of the pigmentation phenotypes [66,67]. HLA class II, TP63, FOXP1 genes were associated with NMSC [68,69]. However, novel approaches that integrate skin expression-related single-nucleotide polymorphisms (eSNPs) and pathway analysis based on Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), Gene Ontology (GO), or BioCarta databases allowed identification of the role of genes of the TGF-β pathway interacting with genetic activation of the Hedgehog pathway [70,71]. The NO pathway in the BioCarta includes VEGFA SNPs and plays an important role in the regulation of vascular endothelial function facilitating, in particular, BCC development and an angiogenic response propagated by the growing skin cancer [72]. In this view, it is hypothesized that VEGF-A and TGF-βR2 genetic variants studied by our group can be considered components of multiloci and complex metabolic pathways that characterize NMSC development.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our data suggest that a complex interplay among the genetic polymorphisms of TGF-β, TGF-β receptors and VEGF-A genes might influence the net effect of the genetic background of the patients on development of non-melanocytic tumors of the skin. Actually, in humans, their gene products can mediate stimulating or inhibiting cell growth effects depending on the cellular and tissue targets [10]. TGF-β, in particular,
might mediate different and time-differentiated effects on tumor evolution. The apparent contrasting effects might be due to progressively distinct genetic states and modifications of the tumor microenvironment influencing proliferation, metabolism and expression of key genes targeted [34,58,73]. In this view, larger investigations are warranted to explore the relevance of the TGF-β and VEGF pathway genetic background as a diagnostic or predictive marker that can be targeted to treat non-melanocytic skin cancers.
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Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.S., M.R.B., D.L. and G.C.; methodology, G.A. and A.A.; validation, L.S., G.I.F. and G.C.; formal analysis, D.L.; investigation, L.S., G.I.F. and M.R.B.; resources, M.R.B.; data curation, G.A.; writing—original draft preparation, L.S. and M.R.B.; writing—review and editing, D.L. and G.I.F.; visualization, C.S. and R.S.; supervision, D.L.; project administration, G.C.; funding acquisition, G.C.; L.S. and M.R.B. contributed equally to the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by University of Palermo (FFR 2012/2013 project 06/09: Multi-parametric analysis of skin aging markers in subjects of different ages) to G.C.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Local Institutional Review Board (Comitato Etico Palermo 1, protocol code CET1 03/2017, date of approval 1 March 2017).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: All data generated or analyzed during this study are stored in electronic archives and can be supplied on request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References
1. Fougere, B.; Boulanger, E.; Nourhashemi, F.; Guyonnet, S.; Cesari, M. Chronic Inflammation: Accelerator of Biological Aging. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2016, 72, glw240. [CrossRef]  
2. John, S.M.; Trakatelli, M.; Gehring, R.; Finlay, K.; Fionda, C.; Wittlich, M.; Augustin, M.; Hilpert, G.; Barroso Dias, J.M.; Ulrich, C.; et al. Consensus report: Recognizing non-melanoma skin cancer, including actinic keratosis, as an occupational disease—A Call to Action. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol. 2016, 30, 38–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
3. Mushtaq, S. The Immunogenetics of Non-melanoma Skin Cancer. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2022, 1367, 397–409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
4. Van der Poort, E.K.J.; Gunn, D.A.; Beekman, M.; Griffiths, C.E.M.; Slagboom, P.E.; van Heemst, D.; Noordam, R. Basal cell carcinoma genetic susceptibility increases the rate of skin ageing: A Mendelian randomization study. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol. 2020, 34, 97–100. [CrossRef]  
5. Hosseini, K.; Trus, P.; Frenzel, A.; Werner, C.; Fischer-Friedrich, E. Skin epithelial cells change their mechanics and proliferation upon snail-mediated EMT signalling. Soft Matter 2022, 18, 2585–2596. [CrossRef]  
6. Neagu, M.; Constantin, C.; Caruntu, C.; Dumitru, C.; Surcel, M.; Zucic, S. Inflammation: A key process in skin tumorigenesis. Oncol. Lett. 2019, 17, 4068–4084. [CrossRef]  
7. Shi, X.; Luo, J.; Weigel, K.J.; Hall, S.C.; Du, D.; Wu, F.; Rudolph, M.C.; Zhou, H.; Young, C.D.; Wang, X.J. Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Facilitate Squamous Cell Carcinoma Lung Metastasis in Mice by Providing TGFβ-Mediated Cancer Stem Cell Niche. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 2021, 9, 68164. [CrossRef]  
8. Szabo, H.; Fiorino, G.; Spinelli, A.; Rovida, S.; Repici, A.; Malesci, A.; Danese, S. Review article: Anti-fibrotic agents for the treatment of Crohn’s disease—lessons learnt from other diseases. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2010, 31, 189–201. [CrossRef]
9. Quan, T.; He, T.; Kang, S.; Voorhees, J.J.; Fisher, G.J. Solar ultraviolet irradiation reduces collagen in photoaged human skin by blocking transforming growth factor-β type II receptor/Smad signaling. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2004, 165, 741–751. [CrossRef]

10. Ke, Y.; Wang, X.J. TGFβ Signaling in Photoaging and UV-Induced Skin Cancer. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* 2021, 141, 1104–1110. [CrossRef]

11. Massagué, J. TGF-β signaling in development and disease. *FEBS Lett.* 2012, 586, 1833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Park, J.H.; Ameri, A.H.; Dements, K.E.; Conrad, D.N.; Kem, M.; Mino-Kenudson, M.; Demehri, S. Nuclear IL-33/SMAD signaling axis promotes cancer development in chronic inflammation. *EMBO J.* 2020, 40, e106151. [CrossRef]

13. Ravindran, A.; Mohammed, J.; Gunderson, A.J.; Cui, X.; Glick, A.B. Tumor-promoting role of TGFβ1 signaling in ultraviolet B-induced skin carcinogenesis is associated with cutaneous inflammation and lymph node migration of dermal dendritic cells. *Carcinogen* 2014, 35, 959–966. [CrossRef]

14. Korkut, A.; Zaidi, S.; Kanchi, R.S.; Rao, S.; Gough, N.R.; Schultz, A.; Li, X.; Lorenzi, P.L.; Berger, A.C.; Robertson, G.; et al. A Pan-Cancer Analysis Reveals High-Frequency Genetic Alterations in Mediators of Signaling by the TGF-β Superfamily. *Cell Syst.* 2018, 7, 422–437.e7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Scola, L.; Di Maggio, F.M.; Vaccarino, L.; Bova, M.; Forte, G.I.; Pisano, C.; Candore, G.; Colonna-Romano, G.; Lio, D.; Ruvolo, G.; et al. Role of TGF-β pathway polymorphisms in sporadic thoracic aortic aneurysm: rs900 TGF-β2 is a marker of differential gender susceptibility. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2014, 2014, 165758. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Barlow, J.; Yandell, D.; Weaver, D.; Casey, T.; Plaut, K. Higher stromal expression of transforming growth factor—β type II receptors is associated with poorer prognosis breast tumors. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2003, 79, 149–159. [CrossRef]

17. Scollen, S.; Lucarini, C.; Baynes, C.; Driver, K.; Humphreys, M.K.; García-Closas, M.; Figueroa, J.; Lissowska, J.; Pharoah, P.D.; Easton, D.F.; et al. TGF-β signaling pathway and breast cancer susceptibility. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2010, 21, 1112–1119. [CrossRef]

18. Annese, T.; Tamma, R.; Ribatti, D. RNAscope for VEGF-A Detection in Human Tumor Biopptic Specimens. *Meth. Mol. Biol.* 2022, 2475, 143–155. [CrossRef]

19. Li, S.; Zhang, Q.; Hong, Y. Tumor Vessel Normalization: A Window to Enhancing Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cell Death Dis.* 2020, 11, 153033820980116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Johnson, K.E.; Wilgus, T.A. Multiple roles for VEGF in non-melanoma skin cancer: Angiogenesis and beyond. *J. Skin Cancer* 2012, 2012, 483439. [CrossRef]

21. Scolla, L.; Di Maggio, F.M.; Vaccarino, L.; Bova, M.; Forte, G.I.; Pisano, C.; Candore, G.; Colonna-Romano, G.; Lio, D.; Ruvolo, G.; et al. Role of TGF-β pathway polymorphisms in sporadic thoracic aortic aneurysm: rs900 TGF-β2 is a marker of differential gender susceptibility. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2014, 2014, 165758. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Barlow, J.; Yandell, D.; Weaver, D.; Casey, T.; Plaut, K. Higher stromal expression of transforming growth factor—β type II receptors is associated with poorer prognosis breast tumors. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2003, 79, 149–159. [CrossRef]

23. Scollen, S.; Lucarini, C.; Baynes, C.; Driver, K.; Humphreys, M.K.; García-Closas, M.; Figueroa, J.; Lissowska, J.; Pharoah, P.D.; Easton, D.F.; et al. TGF-β signaling pathway and breast cancer susceptibility. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2010, 21, 1112–1119. [CrossRef]

24. Richarz, N.A.; Boada, A.; Carrascosa, J.M. Angiogenesis in Dermatology—Insights of Molecular Mechanisms and Latest Developments. *Acta Dermosifiliogr.* 2017, 108, 515–523. [CrossRef]

25. Johnson, K.E.; Wilgus, T.A. Multiple roles for VEGF-A in non-melanoma skin cancer: Angiogenesis and beyond. *J. Skin Cancer* 2012, 2012, 483439. [CrossRef]

26. Annesse, T.; Tamma, R.; Ribatti, D. RNAseq for VEGF-A Detection in Human Tumor Biopptic Specimens. *Meth. Mol. Biol.* 2022, 2475, 143–155. [CrossRef]

27. Li, S.; Zhang, Q.; Hong, Y. Tumor Vessel Normalization: A Window to Enhancing Cancer Immunotherapy. *Technol. Cancer Res. Treat.* 2020, 19, 153033820980116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Saba, N.F.; Vijayvargiya, P.; Vermorken, J.B.; Rodrigo, J.P.; Willems, S.M.; Zidar, N.; de Bree, R.; Mäkitie, A.; Wolf, G.T.; Argiris, A.; et al. Targeting Angiogenesis in Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck: Opportunities in the Immunotherapy Era. *Cancers* 2022, 14, 1202. [CrossRef]

29. Žygoń, J.; Szajewski, M.; Kruszewski, W.J.; Rzepeko, R. VEGF, Flt-1, and microvessel density in primary tumors as predictive factors of colorectal cancer prognosis. *Mol. Clin. Oncol.* 2017, 6, 243–248. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Ghosh, A.; Dasgupta, D.; Ghosh, A.; Roychoudhury, S.; Kumar, D.; Gorain, M.; Butti, R.; Datta, S.; Agarwal, S.; Gupta, S.; et al. miRNA199a-3p suppresses tumor growth, migration, invasion and angiogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting VEGFA, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, HGF and MMP2. *Cell Death Dis.* 2017, 8, e2706. [CrossRef]

31. Goertz, L.; Schneider, S.W.; Desch, A.; Mayer, F.T.; Koett, J.; Nowak, K.; Karampinis, I.; Bohlmann, M.K.; Umansky, V.; Bauer, A.T. Heparin that block VEGF/A-mediated von Willebrand factor fiber generation are potent inhibitors of hematogenous but not lymphatic metastasis. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 68527–68545. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Ciottea, C.D.; Jung, I.; Gurzu, S.; Kövecsi, A.; Turdian, S.G.; Bara, T. Correlation of angiogenesis with other immunohistochemical markers in cutaneous basal and squamous cell carcinomas. *Rom. J. Morphol. Embryol.* 2015, 56, 665–670.

33. Krippel, P.; Langsenlehner, U.; Renner, W.; Yazdani-Biuki, B.; Wolf, G.; Wascher, T.C.; Paulweber, B.; Haas, J.; Samonigg, H. A common 936 C/T gene polymorphism of vascular endothelial growth factor is associated with decreased breast cancer risk. *Int. J. Cancer* 2003, 106, 468–471. [CrossRef]

34. Renner, S.; Kotschan, S.; Hoffmann, C.; Obermayer-Pietsch, B.; Pilger, E. A common 936 C/T mutation in the gene for vascular endothelial growth factor is associated with vascular endothelial growth factor plasma levels. *Vascular. Res.* 2000, 37, 443–448. [CrossRef]

35. Guarneri, M.; Scolla, L.; Giarratana, R.M.; Bova, M.; Carollo, C.; Vaccarino, L.; Calandra, L.; Lio, D.; Balistrieri, C.R.; Cottone, S. MIF rs755 622 and IL6 rs1800795 Are Implied in Genetic Susceptibility to End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD). *Genes* 2022, 13, 226. [CrossRef]

36. Morales-Guadarrama, G.; García-Becerra, R.; Méndez-Pérez, E.A.; García-Quiroz, J.; Avila, E.; Diaz, L. Vasculogenic Mimicry in Breast Cancer: Clinical Relevance and Drivers. *Cells* 2021, 10, 1758. [CrossRef]

37. Crivello, A.; Giacalone, A.; Scolla, L.; Forte, G.I.; Nuzzo, D.; Giacconi, R.; Cipriano, C.; Candore, G.; Mocchegiani, E.; Colonna-Romano, G.; et al. Frequency of polymorphisms of signal peptide of TGF-beta1 and -1082G/A SNP at the promoter region of II-10 gene in patients with carotid stenosis. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2006, 1067, 288–293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Nicoloso, M.S.; Sun, H.; Spizzo, R.; Kim, H.; Wickramasinghe, P.; Shimizu, M.; Wojcik, S.E.; Ferdin, J.; Kunej, T.; Xiao, L.; et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms inside microRNA target sites influence tumor susceptibility. *Cancer Res.* 2010, 70, 2789–2798. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Veturi, Y.; Ritchie, M.D. How powerful are summary-based methods for identifying expression-trait associations under different genetic architectures? *Pacific Symp. Biocomp. 2018*, 23, 228–239.

34. Bush, W.S.; Haines, J.L. Genotype-phenotype correlation analysis reveals pathway-based functional disequilibrium and potential epistasis in the human interactome. In *Proceedings of the 17th European Conference, EvoApplications 2014: Applications of Evolutionary Computation*, Granada, Spain, 23–25 April 2014. [CrossRef]

35. Wang, X.; Elston, R.C.; Zhu, X. The meaning of interaction. *Hum. Hered.* **2010**, 70, 269–277. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Nissinen, L.; Farshchian, M.; Riihilä, P.; Kähäri, V.M. New perspectives on role of tumor microenvironment in progression of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *Cell Tissue Res.* **2016**, 365, 691–702. [CrossRef]

37. Varricchi, G.; Granata, F.; Loirosso, S.; Genovese, A.; Marone, G. Angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis in inflammatory skin disorders. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2015**, 73, 144–153. [CrossRef]

38. Zhu, W.; Huang, L.; Li, Y.; Zhang, X.; Gu, J.; Yan, Y.; Xu, N.; Wang, M.; Qian, H.; Xu, W. Exosomes derived from human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells promote tumor growth in vivo. *Cancer Lett.* **2012**, 315, 28–37. [CrossRef]

39. Lichtenberger, B.M.; Tan, P.K.; Niederleithner, H.; Ferrara, N.; Petzelbauer, P.; Sibilia, M. Autocrine VEGF signaling synergizes with EGFR in tumor cells to promote epithelial cancer development. *Cell 2010*, 140, 268–279. [CrossRef]

40. Yano, K.; Kajtya, K.; Ishiwata, M.; Hong, Y.K.; Miyakawa, S.; Detmar, M. Ultraviolet B-induced skin angiogenesis is associated with a switch in the balance of vascular endothelial growth factor and thrombospondin-1 expression. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2004**, 122, 201–208. [CrossRef]

41. Linde, N.; Lederle, W.; Depner, S.; van Rooijen, N.; Gutschalk, C.M.; Mueller, M.M. Vascular endothelial growth factor-induced skin carcinogenesis depends on recruitment and alternative activation of macrophages. *J. Pathol.* **2012**, 227, 17–28. [CrossRef]

42. Nie, X.J.; Liu, W.M.; Zhang, L. Association of VEGF Gene Polymorphisms with the Risk and Progression of Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Med. Sci. Monit. Int. Med. J. Exp. Clin. Res.* **2016**, 22, 3658–3665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Wilgus, T.A.; Matthies, A.M.; Radek, K.A.; Dovi, J.V.; Burns, A.L.; Shankar, R.; Di Pietro, L.A. Novel function for vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 on epidermal keratinocytes. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2005**, 167, 1257–1266. [CrossRef]

44. Ruggiero, D.; Dalmasso, C.; Nuttle, T.; Sorice, R.; Dionisi, L.; Aversano, M.; Bröet, P.; Leutenegger, A.L.; Bourgain, C.; Ciullo, M. Genetics of VEGF serum variation in human isolated populations of Cilento importance of VEGF polymorphisms. *PloS ONE 2011*, 6, e16982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Steffensen, K.D.; Waldstrom, M.; Brandslund, I.; Jakobsen, A. The relationship of VEGF polymorphisms with serum VEGF levels and progression-free survival in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2010**, 117, 109–116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Sivaprasad, S.; Govardhan, B.; Harithakrishna, R.; Venkat Rao, G.; Pradeep, R.; Kunal, B.; Ramakrishna, N.; Anuradha, S.; Reddy, D.N. Association of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene polymorphism and increased serum VEGF concentration with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Pancreatology* **2013**, 13, 267–272. [PubMed]

47. Kang, M.J.; Jung, S.A.; Jung, J.M.; Kim, S.E.; Jung, H.K.; Kim, T.H.; Shim, K.N.; Yi, S.Y.; Yoo, K.; Moon, I.H. Associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms of MMP2, VEGF, and HIF1A genes and the risk of developing colorectal cancer. *Anticancer Res.* **2011**, 31, 575–584. [PubMed]

48. Sanz-López, P.; Vazquez, F.; Cozar, J.M.; Carretero, R.; Garrido, F.; Ruiz-Cabello, F. VEGF polymorphisms are not associated with an increased risk of developing renal carcinoma in Spanish population. *Hum. Immunol.* **2013**, 74, 98–103. [PubMed]

49. Ballester, S.; Pineda, B.; Rodrigues, P.; Tormo, E.; Terol, M.J.; Eroles, P. Clinical Relevance of +936 C>T VEGFA and c.233C>T BFGF Polymorphisms in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Genes 2020*, 11, 686. [CrossRef]

50. Mandal, R.K.; Yadav, S.S.; Panda, A.K.; Khatti, S. Vascular endothelial growth factor 936 C>T polymorphism increased oral cancer risk: Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Genet. Test. Mol. Biomark.* **2015**, 19, 543–547. [CrossRef]

51. Ajaz, S.; Muneeb, R.; Siddiqua, A.; Ali Memon, M.; Firasat, S.; Abid, A.; Khaliq, S. Association of specific single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the promoter and 3′-Untranslated region of Vascular Endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene with risk and higher tumour grade of head and neck cancers. *Oral Oncol.* **2021**, 122, 105519. [CrossRef]

52. Li, Z.; Wang, Y.; Liu, C.; Wang, Z.; Wang, D.; Liang, X.; Tian, J. Association between VEGF single nucleotide polymorphism and breast cancer in the Northern China Han population. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2021**, 216, 149–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Ungerbäck, J.; Elander, N.; Dimberg, J.; Söderkvist, P. Analysis of VEGF polymorphisms, tumor expression of VEGF mRNA and colorectal cancer survival in a Swedish population. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2009**, 2, 435–439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Kim, J.G.; Chae, Y.S.; Sohn, S.K.; Cho, Y.Y.; Moon, J.H.; Park, J.Y.; Jeon, S.W.; Lee, I.T.; Choi, G.S.; Jun, S.H. Vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphisms associated with prognosis for patients with colorectal cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2008**, 14, 62–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Kämmerer, P.W.; Al-Nawas, B.; Kalkan, S.; Liese, J.; Fruth, K.; Frerich, B.; Briege, J. Angiogenesis-related prognosis in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma-role of the VEGF +936 C/T polymorphism. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.* **2015**, 44, 429–436. [CrossRef]

56. Della-Morte, D.; Rondino, S.; Ferroni, P.; Palmirotta, R.; Pastore, D.; Lauro, D.; Guadagni, F.; Roselli, M. Impact of VEGF gene polymorphisms in elderly cancer patients: Clinical outcome and toxicity. *Pharmacogenom* **2015**, 16, 61–78. [CrossRef]

57. Bowden, J.; Brennan, P.A.; Umar, T.; Cronin, A. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in basal cell carcinoma and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *J. Cut. Pathol.* **2002**, 29, 585–589. [CrossRef]

58. Zarzynska, J.M. Two faces of TGF-β with oral squamous cell carcinoma-role of the VEGF +936 C/T polymorphism. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.* **2015**, 44, 429–436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Oshimori, N.; Fuchs, E. Paracrine TGF-β signaling counterbalances BMP-mediated repression in hair follicle stem cell activation. *J. Stem Cell 2012*, 10, 63–75. [CrossRef]
60. Du, S.; Ling, H.; Guo, Z.; Cao, Q.; Song, C. Roles of exosomal miRNA in vascular aging. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2021, 165, 105278. [CrossRef]

61. Staneviciute, Z.; Sepetiene, R.; Grabauskyte, I.; Patamysyte, V.; Lesauskaite, V. Investigation of TGFβR2 SNP rs4522809, Osteopontin, TGF β1 and their association with dilatative pathology of ascending thoracic aorta. *Cytokine* 2018, 107, 70–73. [CrossRef]

62. Valle, L. Debate about TGFBR1 and the susceptibility to colorectal cancer. *World J. Gastroint. Oncol.* 2012, 4, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Schubert, A.; Boutros, M. Extracellular vesicles and oncogenic signaling. *Mol. Oncol.* 2021, 15, 3–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Emon, B.; Bauer, J.; Jain, Y.; Jung, B.; Saif, T. Biophysics of Tumor Microenvironment and Cancer Metastasis—a Mini Review. *Comp. Struct. Biotech. J.* 2018, 16, 279–287. [CrossRef]

65. Joiret, M.; Mahachie John, J.M.; Gusareva, E.S.; Van Steen, K. Confounding of linkage disequilibrium patterns in large scale DNA based gene–gene interaction studies. *BioDat. Min.* 2019, 12, 11. [CrossRef]

66. Huang, M.; Lyu, C.; Li, X.; Qureshi, A.A.; Han, J.; Li, M. Identifying Susceptibility Loci for Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma Using a Fast Sequence Kernel Association Test. *Front. Genet.* 2021, 12, 657499. [CrossRef]

67. Lobl, M.B.; Hass, B.; Clarey, D.; Higgins, S.; Wyssong, A. Next-generation sequencing identifies novel single nucleotide polymorphisms in high-risk cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: A pilot study. *Exp. Dermatol.* 2020, 29, 667–671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Choquet, H.; Ashrafzadeh, S.; Kim, Y.; Asgari, M.M.; Jorgenson, E. Genetic and environmental factors underlying keratinocyte carcinoma risk. *JCI Insight* 2020, 5, e134783. [CrossRef]

69. Liyanage, U.E.; Law, M.H.; Han, X.; An, J.; Ong, J.S.; Gharrakhani, P.; Gordon, S.; Neale, R.E.; Olsen, C.M.; 23 and Me Research Team; et al. Combined analysis of keratinocyte cancers identifies novel genome-wide loci. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2019, 28, 3148–3160. [CrossRef]

70. Adolphe, C.; Xue, A.; Fard, A.T.; Genovesi, L.A.; Yang, J.; Wainwright, B.J. Genetic and functional interaction network analysis reveals global enrichment of regulatory T cell genes influencing basal cell carcinoma susceptibility. *Genome Med.* 2021, 13, 19. [CrossRef]

71. Li, X.; Liang, L.; De Vivo, I.; Tang, J.Y.; Han, J. Pathway analysis of expression-related SNPs on genome-wide association study of basal cell carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 36885–36895. [CrossRef]

72. Zhang, M.; Liang, L.; Xu, M.; Qureshi, A.A.; Han, J. Pathway analysis for genome-wide association study of basal cell carcinoma of the skin. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e22760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Omland, S.H.; Nielsen, P.S.; Gjerdrum, L.M.; Gniadecki, R. Immunosuppressive Environment in Basal Cell Carcinoma: The Role of Regulatory T Cells. *Acta Derm. Venereol.* 2016, 96, 917–921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]