Introduction

Normal human cells gradually lose replicative capacity after a finite number of cell divisions as a result of telomere shortening and irreversibly enter the growth-arrested state called replicative senescence (Hayflick and Moorhead, 1961). This process is accompanied by a series of changes, including cell enlargement, increased acidic β-galactosidase activity (senescence-associated β-galactosidase [SA–β-gal]), and alterations of chromatin structures. Replicative senescence has been suggested to represent certain aspects of organismal aging (Campisi, 2005).

It is known that cells also enter a state that is indistinguishable from replicative senescence after exposure to sublethal doses of various types of stress, including reactive oxygen species, oncogenic activation, and inappropriate culture conditions. Such a stress-induced state is called premature senescence or stress-induced senescence (Serrano et al., 1997; Ramirez et al., 2001). Accordingly, cellular senescence refers to both replicative and premature senescence. Although the stimuli inducing the two types of senescence appear to be considerably diverse (telomere dependent and independent), the stress-induced MAPK p38 plays a key role in signaling such diverse stimuli to induce both types of senescence (Ishikawa, 2003).

Cellular senescence is not a condition that simply causes organismal aging to endanger the survival of individuals. Instead, it has been hypothesized that cellular senescence plays an adaptive role in preventing cells from immortalization and neoplastic transformation in vitro and plays a role in tumor suppression in vivo (Lowe et al., 2004; Campisi, 2005). Indeed, it was recently reported that senescent cells exist in several types of premalignant tumors in vivo and that cellular senescence is required to prevent tumorigenesis in vivo (Braig and Schmitt, 2006). Therefore, cellular senescence acts as a barrier to tumorigenesis as apoptosis does by stably suppressing the growth of stressed cells.

The contribution of cellular senescence to tumor suppression depends on the stable maintenance of growth arrest. Alterations of chromatin structure are believed to account for the irreversible nature of the senescent state (Narita et al., 2003). In the nucleus, DNA is wrapped around histone octamers to form nucleosomes, an array of which, in turn, is packed into higher ordered chromatin structures by the association of linker histone H1 and other chromatin proteins (Thoma et al., 1979). Senescent cells form characteristic heterochromatin structures called senescence-associated heterochromatic foci (SAHF s). We found that individual SAHF s originate from individual chromosomes. SAHF s do not show alterations of posttranslational modifications of core histones that mark condensed chromatin in mitotic chromosomes, apoptotic chromatin, or transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin. Remarkably, SAHF-positive senescent cells lose linker histone H1 and exhibit increased levels of chromatin-bound high mobility group A2 (HMGA2). The expression of N-terminally enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)–tagged histone H1 induces premature senescence phenotypes, including increased levels of phosphorylated p53, p21, and hypophosphorylated Rb, and a decrease in the chromatin-bound endogenous histone H1 level but not in p16 level accumulation or SAHF formation. However, the simultaneous ectopic expression of hemagglutinin-tagged HMGA2 and N-terminally EGFP-tagged histone H1 leads to significant SAHF formation (P < 0.001). It is known that histone H1 and HMG proteins compete for a common binding site, the linker DNA. These results suggest that SAHF s are a novel type of chromatin condensation involving alterations in linker DNA–binding proteins.

Loss of linker histone H1 in cellular senescence

Ryo Funayama,1,2 Motoki Saito,1 Hiroko Tanobe,1 and Fuyuki Ishikawa1

1Laboratory of Cell Cycle Regulation, Department of Gene Mechanisms, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Konoe-cho, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
2Laboratory of Cell and Developmental Biology, Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatsuta-cho, Kanagawa 226-8501, Japan

Correspondence to Fuyuki Ishikawa: fislikawa@lif.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abbreviations used in this paper: AE, acid extracted; CENP-B, centromere protein B; HMG, high mobility group; ICD, interchromosome domain; IF, immunofluorescence; SA–β-gal, senescence-associated β-galactosidase; SAHF, senescence-associated heterochromatin focus; TB, Triton buffer; WCE, whole cell extract.

The online version of this article contains supplemental material.
senescence-associated heterochromatin foci (SAHFs; Narita et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2005). SAHFs contain methylated histone H3 at Lys9 (H3(K9)me) and heterochromatin protein 1, which are typically detected in heterochromatin. It was also reported that H3(K9)me is enriched at proliferation-promoting gene promoters specifically in senescent cells that are concomitant with the appearance of SAHFs but not in quiescent cells (Narita et al., 2003). Furthermore, Suv39h1, a histone methyltransferase for H3K9, is required for oncogene-induced senescence in mouse lymphocytes and for the suppression of lymphoma (Braig and Schmitt, 2006). Therefore, it is suggested that senescent cells maintain the growth-arrested state, at least in part, by stably forming heterochromatin at proliferation-promoting gene loci.

The molecular mechanism of SAHF formation remains poorly understood. Although histone chaperones Asf1a and histone regulation A have been reported to play a role in SAHF formation (Zhang et al., 2005), it is not known how these chaperones induce SAHFs. In this study, we have found an unexpected role of histone H1 in cellular senescence.

**Results**

**Individual SAHFs originate from individual chromosomes**

SAHFs are characterized by numerous, highly condensed granular DNAs spreading throughout the nucleus, as shown in WI-38 cells that were induced to senesce by the retroviral expression of oncogenic Ras (RasG12V; Fig. 1 A, bottom). SAHFs were observed in >80% of RasG12V-transfected cells as early as day 1 after drug selection. However, the degree of chromatin condensation appeared to increase during the following 7 d, during which the senescent state was stably maintained.

Because SAHFs are similar in size to inactive X chromosomes in WI-38 female normal fibroblasts (Fig. 1 A, top), we hypothesized that each granular structure originates from individual chromosomes. It is known that individual chromosomes occupy nonoverlapping and discrete spaces called chromosome territories (Cremer and Cremer, 2001). Previously, it was reported that centromeres are localized at the periphery of chromosome territories in quiescent human lymphocytes and human fibroblasts (Weierich et al., 2003). We examined the number and position of centromeres in RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells using antibodies recognizing centromere protein B (CENP-B). Approximately 85% of CENP-B foci were colocalized with SAHF signals (Fig. 1, B and C). Conversely, ~70% of SAHFs contained one CENP-B focus at their periphery (Fig. 1, B and D). These results suggest that individual SAHFs originate from individual chromosomes.

We also performed FISH analysis using a probe specific for chromosome 12. Optical sections of the nucleus of RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells at 0.4-μm intervals showed that two chromosome 12 signals completely overlapped with two SAHFs in all of the five senescent cells examined (Fig. 1 E). Together, we conclude that each SAHF represents a heterochromatinized portion of an individual chromosome.
SAHFs are surrounded by the ICD

It has been proposed that in interphase nuclei, individual chromosomes are surrounded by the interchromosome domain (ICD), where transcription and RNA splicing take place (Cremer and Cremer, 2001). To investigate which regions in the nucleus are responsible for transcription in SAHF-positive senescent cells, RNA polymerase II was subjected to immunofluorescence (IF) analysis using specific antibodies (Fig. 2 A). In mock-transfected WI-38 cells, anti-RNA polymerase II signals were diffusely distributed throughout the nucleus. In RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells, RNA polymerase II was specifically localized in the DAPI-negative regions in a mutually exclusive manner with SAHFs (Fig. 2 A, bottom). Quantitative analyses revealed that ~90% of SAHFs excluded anti-RNA polymerase II signals (Fig. 2 B). This result is not caused by the inability of the antibody to penetrate SAHFs because anti-H3(K9)me antibody reacted with SAHFs in IF experiments (see Fig. 3 A).

Next, we performed in situ labeling of nascent RNAs to visualize the transcription sites (Fig. 2 C). RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells were permeabilized with 0.05% Triton X-100 and incubated with transcription buffer containing bromo-labeled UTP (BrU). The incorporation of BrU into nascent RNAs was visualized by IF analysis using anti-BrdU antibody. Although the shape of SAHFs was not well preserved after the transcription reaction, small dots of anti-BrU signals were exclusively localized in the DAPI-negative regions (Fig. 2 C). Interestingly, relatively large dots of anti-BrU signals were localized at the surface of SAHFs (Fig. 2 C, bottom enlarged images). Together, we conclude that in SAHF-positive senescent cells, active transcription occurs at the DAPI-negative inter-SAHF regions, particularly at the surface of SAHFs. This result is consistent with a previous report that SAHFs do not contain active sites for transcription (Narita et al., 2003) and with our hypothesis that the DAPI-negative regions correspond to ICDs (see Discussion).

SAHFs do not show histone modifications that characterize other types of chromatin condensation

Three types of condensed chromatin are characterized by specific posttranslational modifications of core histones: phosphorylations of histone H3 at Ser10 and Ser28 (H3(S10)ph and H3(S28)ph) in mitotic chromosomes, phosphorylation of histone H2B at Ser14 (H2B(S14)ph) in apoptotic chromatin, and methylation of histone H3 at Lys9 (H3(K9)me) in transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin (Peterson and Laniel, 2004). It has been argued that SAHFs represent transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin because SAHFs are colocalized with H3(K9)me and heterochromatin protein 1 (Narita et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2005).

To investigate to which of these distinct chromatin condensations SAHFs are related, we examined the posttranslational modifications of histone H2B and histone H3 in RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells (Fig. 3). In IF experiments, neither anti-H3(S10)ph, anti-H3(S28)ph, nor anti-H2B(S14)ph antibodies stained SAHFs in senescent WI-38 cells, whereas they stained mitotic WI-38 chromosomes and apoptotic HeLa nuclei (Fig. 3 A). Similarly, in immunoblotting experiments, neither anti-H3(S10)ph nor anti-H3(S28)ph antibodies detected substantial protein bands in acid-extracted (AE) histone fractions derived from mock- or RasG12V-transfected WI-38 cells, whereas strong signals were observed in histone fractions from colcemid-treated HeLa cells (Fig. 3 B). Although anti-H2B(S14)ph signals were observed in histone fractions derived from mock- and RasG12V-transfected WI-38 cells, they were much weaker than those observed in colcemid- or UV-treated HeLa-derived histone fractions (Fig. 3 B). These results indicate that SAHFs are a type of chromatin condensation that is distinct from those found in mitotic chromosomes or apoptotic cells. Anti-H3(K9)me antibody reacted with SAHFs in senescent cells as well as the whole nuclear regions in mock-transfected cells (Fig. 3 A), as previously reported (Narita et al., 2003). Importantly, however, immunoblotting analysis of AE fractions using anti-H3(K9)me antibody revealed that the total amount of H3(K9)me per unit cell (calibrated by anti-H2B signals) was not substantially different between mock- and RasG12V-transfected WI-38 cells (Fig. 3 B), suggesting that
the apparently strong anti-H3(K9)me signals at SAHFs reflect the condensation of DNAs.

**Histone H1 does not exist in the senescent cell**

To analyze the molecular mechanisms involved in SAHF formation, we examined chromatin proteins in mock- and RasG12V-transfected WI-38 cells. The fractionation scheme used in this study is shown in Fig. 4 A. SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining of the fractionated proteins revealed several protein bands showing distinct patterns in mock-transfected and senescent cells (Fig. 4 B). Notably, a protein band having an apparent molecular mass of 37 kD (hereafter referred to as a 37-kD protein) was found in chromatin-rich fraction N2 derived from mock-transfected cells but not from senescent cells (Fig. 4 B, arrow). Mass spectrometric analysis identified this protein as histone H1 (Fig. 4 B).

To confirm that the 37-kD protein is histone H1, we performed immunoblotting analyses using two different antihistone H1 antibodies prepared in rabbit or sheep (Fig. 4 C). Although the anti-H1 rabbit antibody detected a single band, the anti-H1 sheep antibody detected two bands showing apparent molecular masses of 37 kD (Fig. 4 C, lanes 4, 5, 7, and 8; arrowheads). This is probably the result of different specificities of these antibodies for histone H1 variants. In both cases, no anti-H1 signals were detected in fraction N2 derived from RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells (Fig. 4 C, lanes 6 and 9).

To further confirm that histone H1 is lost from senescent cell chromatin, histones were prepared from fraction N2 by acid extraction (Fig. 4 D). Histone H1 prepared from colcemid-treated HeLa cells (Fig. 4 D, lanes 2 and 6) showed lower mobility than that prepared from nontreated HeLa cells (Fig. 4 D, lanes 1 and 5) and mock-transfected WI-38 cells (Fig. 4 D, lanes 3 and 7), which is consistent with the hyperphosphorylation of histone H1 at metaphase. Histone H1 was not detected by Coomassie staining (Fig. 4 D, lane 4) or by anti-H1 sheep antibody (Fig. 4 D, lane 8). Collectively, we conclude that histone H1 is lost from the chromatin of RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells.

To determine whether histone H1 existed in any other fractions of RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells, we performed immunoblotting analyses of whole cell extracts (WCEs) using anti-H1 sheep antibody (Fig. 4 E). WCEs were prepared from mock-transfected, RasG12V-transfected, and serum-starved quiescent (0.1% FBS) WI-38 cells by directly suspending cell pellets in SDS sample buffer. No 37-kD histone H1 band was detected in the WCE from senescent cells (Fig. 4 E, lane 3). Time-course experiments showed that the majority of histone H1 was lost between days 2 and 3 after the
completion of drug selection in the RasG12V-transfected cells (Fig. 4 F). These results indicate that histone H1 is lost from the entire cell in the Ras-induced senescence.

Histone H1 is lost from various types of senescent cells

We extended the experiments to include other types of normal human fibroblasts (MRC-5, IMR-90, and BJ; Fig. 5 A). The amount of histone H1 was moderately decreased in RasG12V-transfected MRC-5 and IMR-90 cells (Fig. 5 A, lanes 4 and 6) but not in BJ cells (Fig. 5 A, lane 8). Importantly, as shown in Fig. 5 A, the extent of histone H1 reduction is well correlated with the frequency of SAHF-positive cells after RasG12V expression. We also examined histone H1 in cellular senescence induced by other types of stimuli. Histone H1 was lost from or considerably decreased in senescent cells induced by extensive culturing (replicative senescence) or by the ectopic expression of a constitutive active form of MKK6 (MKK6EE) that activates the stress-induced MAPK p38 (Fig. 5 B; Wang et al., 2002; Iwasa et al., 2003). Moreover, the extent of histone H1 reduction was correlated with the frequency of SAHF-positive cells. Together, the loss of histone H1 is observed not only in RasG12V-transfected WI-38 cells but also in other types of RasG12V-transfected normal human fibroblasts and in senescent cells induced by other stimuli, extending its correlation with SAHF formation.

Loss of histone H1 from senescent cell chromatin is posttranslationally regulated

The expressions of most histone genes are coupled with DNA replication (Gunjan et al., 2005). As senescent cells do not undergo DNA replication (Serrano et al., 1997; Narita et al., 2003), it is expected that histone H1 is transcriptionally repressed. Indeed, RT-PCR analysis showed that the mRNA expression of *HIST1H1D*, one of the major histone H1 genes encoding histone H1.3, was repressed in RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells compared with young proliferating cells (10% FBS; Fig. 6 A, lanes 1 and 3). This raised the possibility that the loss of histone H1 from senescent cell chromatin is simply caused by the repression of de novo histone H1 synthesis. We found that the mRNA expression of *HIST1H1D* was not detected in quiescent WI-38 cells that had been serum starved for 1 wk (note that 1 wk is long enough for RasG12V expression to cause histone H1 loss; Figs. 4 F and 6 A, lane 2), as expected from the observation that the fraction of quiescent cells in S phase was very small.
Ectopic expression of histone H1 does not prevent RasG12V-induced senescence

To examine whether the loss of histone H1 has a causative role in senescence phenotype induction and SAHF formation, we prepared WI-38 cells that express short hairpin RNA to knock down the expression of histone H1. However, despite repeated trials, we did not succeed in knocking down the genes (unpublished data), probably as a result of the presence of multiple histone H1 variant genes.

We next examined whether or not the ectopic expression of histone H1 prevented RasG12V-induced senescence. Young proliferating WI-38 cells were first infected with retrovirus (driven by the weak long terminal repeat promoter or the strong cytomegalovirus promoter) or lentivirus (driven by the strong EF1α promoter) expressing untagged histone H1 and were infected with RasG12V-expressing retrovirus (Fig. 7). The ectopic expression of histone H1 only slightly increased the amount of chromatin-bound histone H1 in RasG12V-transfected cells (Fig. 7, compare lane 2 with lanes 4, 6, and 8). However, it did not substantially prevent the RasG12V-induced loss of histone H1, growth arrest, or SAHF formation (Fig. 7) even when histone H1 was expressed by the strong cytomegalovirus and EF1α promoters. Together, these experiments do not conclude whether or not histone H1 loss has any causative role in cellular senescence because we could not manipulate the histone H1 level sufficiently to induce phenotypic changes.

Ectopic expression of N-terminally EGFP-tagged histone H1 induces senescence phenotypes

We next examined whether the ectopic expression of various types of recombinant histone H1 alone had any effects on WI-38 cells. Young proliferating WI-38 cells were transfected with one of four retroviruses expressing histone H1 fused to EGFP or HA (Fig. 8 A, top). Fluorescence microscopy revealed that all histone H1 fusion proteins were localized in nuclei and colocalized with mitotic chromosomes (Fig. 8 A and Fig. S3 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200604005/DC1), indicating their proficient chromatin binding in nuclei. Unexpectedly, cells expressing N-terminal EGFP-H1 fusion proteins (N fusions 1 and 2) showed decreased levels of chromatin-bound endogenous histone H1 compared with cells expressing C fusion or HA-H1 (Fig. 8 B). N fusions 1 and 2 have different linker amino acid sequences between EGFP and histone H1, growth arrest, or SAHF formation (Fig. 7) even when histone H1 was expressed by the strong cytomegalovirus and EF1α promoters. Together, these experiments do not conclude whether or not histone H1 loss has any causative role in cellular senescence because we could not manipulate the histone H1 level sufficiently to induce phenotypic changes.

Figure 5. Histone H1 is lost from various types of senescent cells.

(A) Mock- or RasG12V-transfected cells (WI-38, MRC-5, IMR-90, and BJ) were fractionated as shown in Fig. 4 A at day 5 after drug selection. AE and cytoplasmic fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting. The percentages of BrdU- and SAHF-positive cells are indicated (bottom; n = 200). (B) WI-38 cells were induced to senescence by the retroviral expression of RasG12V or a constitutive active form of MKK6 (MKK6EE). Replicative senescent cells were analyzed at 57 population doubling levels, at which cells could not reach confluence for 3 wk. Quiescent cells were prepared by culturing the mock-transfected cells in 0.1% FBS for 1 wk. WCES were analyzed by immunoblotting. The percentages of BrdU- and SAHF-positive cells are indicated (bottom; n = 200).

(Fig. 6 B, right). Nevertheless, the serum-starved quiescent WI-38 cells contained the same amount of chromatin-bound histone H1 protein as proliferating WI-38 cells cultured with 10% FBS (Fig. 6 C), arguing that repression of the de novo histone H1 synthesis itself is not sufficient for the loss of histone H1 protein from chromatin. Therefore, the loss of histone H1 from senescent cells is most likely posttranslationally regulated.

Ectopic expression of histone H1 does not prevent RasG12V-induced senescence

To examine whether the loss of histone H1 has a causative role in senescence phenotype induction and SAHF formation, we prepared WI-38 cells that express short hairpin RNA to knock down the expression of histone H1. However, despite repeated trials, we did not succeed in knocking down the genes (unpublished data), probably as a result of the presence of multiple histone H1 variant genes.

We next examined whether or not the ectopic expression of histone H1 prevented RasG12V-induced senescence.
Coexpression of N-fusion histone H1 and HA-tagged HMGA2 induces SAHF formation

We found that a protein band showing an apparent molecular mass of 22 kD was accumulated in the AE fraction prepared from RasG12V-transfected senescent WI-38 cells (Fig. 9 A, arrowhead). Mass spectrometric analysis and immunoblotting analysis identified this protein as high mobility group A2 (HMGA2; Fig. 9 A). Similar observations have been reported recently (Narita et al., 2006). Time-course experiments indicated that the accumulation of chromatin-bound HMGA2 was inversely correlated with the loss of histone H1 from chromatin (Fig. 9 B, lanes 5–8). Interestingly, the amount of chromatin-bound HMGA2 was also increased in senescent WI-38 cells expressing both histone H1 and RasG12V (Fig. 9 C). We also showed that macroH2A was incorporated into chromatin in RasG12V-transfected cells as previously reported (Fig. 9 B, lanes 5–8; Zhang et al., 2005).

To investigate the role of macroH2A and HMGA2 in senescence phenotype induction and SAHF formation, young proliferating WI-38 cells were transfected with HA-tagged HMGA2 (HA-HMGA2) or FLAG-tagged macroH2A (macroH2A–FLAG; Fig. 9 D). The amounts of chromatin-bound HA-HMGA2 and macroH2A–FLAG were comparable with those of endogenous proteins observed in RasG12V-transfected cells (Fig. 9 D, lanes 2–4; and Fig. S4 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200604005/DC1). Furthermore, IF analysis revealed that both HA-HMGA2 and macroH2A–FLAG were localized in nuclei (Fig. S4 B and not depicted). The expression of HA-HMGA2 or macroH2A–FLAG did not induce senescence phenotypes, including growth defects, a large, flat morphology, or SAHF formation (Fig. 9 D, bottom; Fig. S4, C and D; and not depicted).

To investigate whether the overexpression of HMGA2 or macroH2A collaborates with the reduced endogenous histone H1 level found in N fusion–expressing cells, young WI-38 cells expressing HA-HMGA2 or macroH2A–FLAG were further transfected with the retrovirus expressing N fusion 2 and were scored for SAHF-positive cells (Fig. 9, E and F). The coexpression of HA-HMGA2 and N fusion 2 significantly increased the percentage of SAHF-positive cells (P < 0.001), whereas the coexpression of macroH2A–FLAG and N fusion 2 did not. The coexpression of HA-HMGA2 and N fusion 1 led to similar results, but the coexpression of HA-HMGA2 and HA-H1 did not (Fig. S5), suggesting that the removal of endogenous histone H1 from chromatin by N-fusion histone H1 rather than the production of recombinant histone H1 itself is important for SAHF formation. Together, these results indicate that the simultaneous overexpression of HA-HMGA2 and N-fusion histone H1 leads to SAHF formation. However, cells coexpressing HA-HMGA2 and N fusion 2 did not show the accumulation of p16, at least up to day 3 after drug selection (unpublished data).

Discussion

In this study, we found that the induction of senescence phenotypes is accompanied by the loss of linker histone H1 from and the accumulation of HMGA2 to chromatin. The loss of histone H1 is not simply through the repression of histone H1 mRNA expression but is most likely through a posttranslational mechanism. The ectopic expression of N-terminally EGFP-tagged...
histone H1 in WI-38 cells acutely induces senescence phenotypes except SAHF formation and p16 accumulation, which is concomitant with a decrease in the chromatin-bound endogenous histone H1 protein level. This result suggests that distinct pathways are responsible for p53-p21-pRb–dependent growth arrest and SAHF formation with or without p16 accumulation in cellular senescence. Notably, when HMGA2 was coexpressed in this condition, significant amounts of SAHFs were formed (P < 0.001), suggesting that the substitution of HMGA2 for histone H1 is required for SAHF formation. Previously, it was reported that the amount of histone H1 protein was decreased in replicative senescence (Mitsui et al., 1980). However, the biological significance of that observation has remained a mystery. We suggest that SAHFs are a novel type of chromatin condensation involving alterations in linker DNA–binding proteins.

**SAHFs are a novel type of chromatin condensation**

We propose that individual SAHFs originate from individual chromosomes. This proposition is based on several lines of evidence. The majority of SAHFs contained one centromere at their periphery and was surrounded by DAPI-negative regions where RNA polymerase II exists and de novo transcription occurs. Such features of the DAPI-negative regions have been proposed and described for ICD, a system of channels that run between chromosome territories and metabolize and transport RNA transcripts (Cremer and Cremer, 2001). Finally, the painting probe for chromosome 12 stained exactly one SAHF. A recent study has demonstrated the presence of RNA transcripts and transcription factors inside the chromosome territory, challenging the conventional ICD model (Williams, 2003). A revised model has been developed in which a single chromosome territory is composed of condensed chromatin subdomains as well as channels canalizing the territory and ultimately draining into ICDs. Active genes are proposed to exist on the surface of the condensed chromatin subdomains inside the territory. We found that RNA polymerase II exists more or less diffusely throughout the nucleus in young WI-38 cells, supporting this revised model. However, we also found that RNA polymerase II and RNA transcripts are excluded from SAHFs in senescent cells. These observations suggest that SAHF is a heterochromatinized portion of an individual chromosome whose chromatin is so tightly condensed that active genes are virtually excluded.
SAHFs are a novel type of chromatin condensation not only from a cytological viewpoint but also from a biochemical viewpoint. We found that SAHFs do not show the phosphorylation of histone H3 at either Ser10 or Ser28 or the phosphorylation of histone H2B at Ser14, which are hallmarks of mitotic and apoptotic chromatins, respectively. It has been reported that SAHFs exhibit features of transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin (Narita et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2005). Consistent with such earlier studies, we also observed that SAHFs were strongly stained with anti-H3(K9)me antibody. However, we found that the total amount of H3(K9)me remained unchanged at least for 1 wk after the induction of senescence, during which the majority of cells became SAHF positive. These results suggest that although de novo histone methylation may occur locally and repress gene expression at some particular gene loci, a genomewide increase in the total amount of H3(K9)me does not occur in senescent cells. Although these results do not exclude the involvement of transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin in SAHFs, they suggest that SAHFs are generated by a mechanism that should be distinguished from those involved in conventional chromosome condensation and heterochromatinization.

Loss of histone H1 and senescence phenotypes
We found that linker histone H1 is lost from senescent cells. Because it is generally believed that histone H1 facilitates chromatin condensation and acts mainly as a transcriptional repressor (Hayes and Hansen, 2001), it was unexpected that histone H1 was lost instead of increased in SAHFs. Although we could not definitely conclude whether the loss of histone H1 is causally linked to the induction of senescence phenotypes and SAHF formation. We found that linker histone H1 is lost from senescent cells.
Finally, senescence phenotypes except SAHF formation and p16 accumulation were induced in young WI-38 cells by the ectopic expression of N fusion but not of C fusion, HA-H1, or untagged recombinant H1 proteins. These proteins were produced at similar levels (Fig. 8, B and F) and were efficiently bound to chromatin (Fig. 8 A and Fig. S3 A), suggesting that senescence was not induced by nonspecific effects caused by the overproduction of nonfunctional histone H1 proteins.

Interestingly, the senescence induction and reduced level of endogenous histone H1 were well correlated: only N fusions showed both effects, whereas the other recombinant histone H1 proteins showed neither. We do not know why N fusions but not C fusion affect the level of endogenous histone H1 and induce SAHF formation. It has been reported that N-terminal GFP-tagged histone H1.1 binds to chromatin with higher affinity than C-terminal GFP-tagged histone H1.1 in SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells (Hendzel et al., 2004). It is possible that such different affinities cause different stabilities of endogenous histone H1 protein. Alternatively, N-terminal GFP may perturb the incorporation of endogenous histone H1 into neighboring nucleosomes by steric hindrance, for example.

Embryonic stem cells homozygously deleted of three out of six somatic histone H1 variant genes were viable and showed apparently normal growth. Interestingly, however, the DNA methylation and expression patterns of some specific loci, including imprinted genes, were deregulated (Fan et al., 2005). These results raise the possibility that the absence of histone H1 in senescent cells may specifically affect the expression of genes involved in senescence phenotypes (Fig. 9 G).

The amounts of histone proteins, especially those of chromatin-unbound forms, are strictly regulated in cells. It has been reported that the chromatin-unbound free core histones are degraded in a Rad53-dependent manner in yeast. In rad53 cells, chromatin-unbound core histones persist at the completion of S phase, leading to impaired cell growth (Gunjan et al., 2005). Therefore, another interesting possibility is that the production of free histone H1, not the reduction of chromatin-bound histone H1, positively triggers a signal leading to senescence (Fig. 9 G). A hypothesis reminiscent of this case was reported previously: after exposure to x rays, histone H1.2, a histone H1 variant, moves to the cytoplasm, where it induces cytochrome c release from mitochondria to activate caspase-dependent destruction cascades (Konishi et al., 2003).

Altered balance between histone H1 and HMGA2 bound to chromatin in SAHF formation

Recent studies have emphasized the dynamic binding of histone H1 to chromatin. Histone H1 associates with and dissociates from chromatin in a rapid turnover (Lever et al., 2000; Bustin et al., 2005). Histone H1 dissociation from chromatin may be enhanced by activities that destabilize the histone H1–chromatin association. HMG proteins are the second most abundant chromatin proteins after histones and consist of three subfamilies: HMGA, HMGB, and HMGN. It was reported that HMG proteins and histone H1 compete with each other for the same binding site, the linker DNA. Specifically, microinjected excess amounts of HMG proteins leads to high turnover rates and reduces the residence time of endogenous chromatin-bound histone H1, presumably by being excluded from chromatin by the excess HMG proteins (Catez et al., 2004).

On the surface, the failure of the ectopic expression of histone H1 to prevent RasG12V-induced senescence appears to refute the causative role of histone H1 loss in senescence induction. However, the amounts of chromatin-bound HMGA2 and chromatin-bound histone H1 were similarly increased and reduced, respectively, in RasG12V-expressing cells irrespective of histone H1 coexpression (Figs. 7 and 9 C), suggesting that RasG12V expression shifts the balance of HMGA2 and histone H1 association with the linker DNA in favor of HMGA2 (Fig. 9 G). The ectopic expression of histone H1 may fail to restore the balance in favor of histone H1 to a degree that is sufficient to prevent senescence in the RasG12V-expressing condition. It is not clear why the ectopic expression of histone H1 even by the strong promoters failed to restore the chromatin-bound histone H1 protein level in RasG12V-transfected cells. It is possible that histone H1 is actively removed from chromatin in such cells. Alternatively, senescent cell chromatin may be incompetent to incorporate newly synthesized histone H1. It is also not clear how endogenous histone H1 disappears from senescent cells. Further studies are warranted to determine whether histone H1 loss plays a causative role in senescence induction.

When HMGA2 was ectopically expressed in young WI-38 cells to the level found in RasG12V-expressing cells, no senescence phenotypes were induced (Fig. 9 D and Fig. S4). Conversely, when the amount of chromatin-bound histone H1 was reduced by N-fusion expression, no SAHFs were formed either (Fig. 8 A). SAHFs were formed only when N fusions accompanying histone H1 loss and HMGA2 were coexpressed. We hypothesize that both the reduced association of histone H1 and the increased association of HMGA2 with chromatin, which reflect the substitution of HMGA2 for histone H1, are required for SAHF formation (Fig. 9 G). Therefore, SAHFs may be viewed as heterochromatin in which the balance of the two major linker DNA–binding proteins (histone H1 and HMGA2) is altered.

We also found that the coexpression of N fusion 2 and HA-HMGA2 did not induce p16 accumulation, at least up to day 3 after drug selection. Additional conditions may be required to trigger the full-blown senescence program, including the activation of p16.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and retroviral gene transfer

Normal human fibroblasts WI-38, MRC-5, and IMR-90 were purchased from Coriell Cell Repositories. BJ was purchased from American Type Culture Collection. The cells were cultured in DME supplemented with 10% FBS. Phoenix cells (provided by G. Nolan, Stanford University, Stanford, CA) were transfected with retroviral vectors using FuGene 6 (Roche). Culture supernatants were supplemented with 8 μg/ml polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated with target cells. Infected cells were selected by incubating with 2.5 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) or 600 μg/ml G418 (Nacalai Tesque) for 3 or 5 d, respectively.

Retroviral vectors used in this study are pMX-puro and pMX-neo (gift from T. Kikumura, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan). MKK6EE cDNA was provided by E. Nishida (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan) and Y. Goto.
(University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan). MacroH2A cDNA was provided by K. Shibahara (National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan). Amino acid sequences between EGFP and the histone H1 open reading frame in N and C fusions are as follows: EGFP-Leu-Glu-Asp-Asp-Ala-Ile-Gly-Ser-H1 for N fusion 1, EGFP-Pro-Glu-Phe-Thr-H1 for N fusion 2, and H1-Pro-Glu-Phe-Thr-EGF for C fusion.

Antibodies

The following primary antibodies were used: anti-RNA polymerase II (BWG16), anti-HA (16B12), and anti-HMGA2 (Covance); actin γ, anti-p16, anti-p21, anti-p53 (Bp53-12), anti-H-Ras, and anti-MKK6 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); anti-phospho-S10 histone H3, anti-phospho-S14 histone H2B, anti-dimethyl-K9 histone H3, anti-histone H1B, anti-Rb (Abcam); anti-Rb (G3-245); BD Biosciences; anti-phospho-S15 p53 (Cell Signaling); anti–CENP-B (provided by H. Masumoto, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan); anti-phospho-S28 histone H3 (provided by T. Urano, Nagoya University); and antihistone H1 rabbit (provided by K. Ohsumi, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Kanagawa, Japan).

IF and DNA content analyses

Cells were fixed with 3% formaldehyde in PBS at 4°C for 20 min and permeabilized with Triton buffer (TB; 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 10 min. After incubation in TB containing 5% skim milk for 1 h, the cells were treated with primary antibody and incubated with AlexaFluor488 or Cy-3–conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen and Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, respectively). DNA was stained with 0.05% Triton X-100 at 4°C for 20 min at 4°C. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-RNA polymerase II (BWG16), anti-HA (16B12), and anti-HMGA2 (Covance); actin γ, anti-p16, anti-p21, anti-p53 (Bp53-12), anti-H-Ras, and anti-MKK6 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); anti-phospho-S10 histone H3, anti-phospho-S14 histone H2B, anti-dimethyl-K9 histone H3, anti-histone H1B, anti-Rb (Abcam); anti-Rb (G3-245); BD Biosciences; anti-phospho-S15 p53 (Cell Signaling); anti–CENP-B (provided by H. Masumoto, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan); anti-phospho-S28 histone H3 (provided by T. Urano, Nagoya University); and antihistone H1 rabbit (provided by K. Ohsumi, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Kanagawa, Japan).

In situ labeling of nascent RNAs and BrdU incorporation assay

In situ labeling of nascent RNAs was performed as previously described (Wansink et al., 1993) with slight modifications. In brief, cells were rinsed with glycerol buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EGTA, 25% glycerol, and Complete protease inhibitors [Roche]) containing 50 μM S-adenosylmethionine (Sigma-Aldrich) at 35°C for 10 min. Incorporated BrdU was visualized by IF analysis using anti-BrdU antibody. For BrdU incorporation assay, cells were cultured in DME supplemented with 10 μM BrdU for 5 h, fixed with 2% formaldehyde at 4°C for 15 min, and permeabilized with TB at room temperature for 5 min. After treatment with 1.5 N HCl at room temperature for 10 min, incorporated BrdU was visualized by IF analysis using anti-BrdU antibody.

FISH

FISH was performed using a chromosome 12–specific probe conjugated with Cy-3 (StarFISH; Cambio). FISH on metaphase spreads was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. FISH on senescent cells was performed as described previously (Crot et al., 1999).

Subcellular fractionation, mass spectrometry, and immunoblotting

Cells were harvested and incubated in buffer A (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 100 mM ascorbate, and Complete protease inhibitors) containing 10 mM NaCl at 4°C for 10 min. Then, the cells were passed through a 26- (mock-transfected cells) or 23-gauge (RasG12V-transfected cells) needle ~20 times to disrupt the cell membrane and were centrifuged at 1,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were removed (fraction C), and pellets were incubated in buffer A containing 150 mM NaCl and 0.2% Triton X-100 at 4°C for 10 min. After centrifugation at 1,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, supernatants were removed (fraction N1). Pellets (fraction N2) were resuspended in the SDS sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, and 20% glycerol, colored with bromophenol blue) and sonicated.

For acid extraction of histones, fraction N2 was incubated with 200 mM sulfuric acid at 4°C for 30 min and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were supplemented with 1/4 vol of 100% trichloroacetic acid and incubated at 4°C for 10 min. After centrifugation at 20,000 g for 5 min at 4°C, pellets were rinsed with cold acetone, dried, and resuspended in the SDS sample buffer. For the preparation of cell lysates (Figs. 7–9), cells were suspended in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1.25 mM sodium fluoride, 100 mM ascorbic acid, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and Complete protease inhibitors] at 4°C for 30 min. After centrifugation at 20,000 g for 4°C for 10 min, the supernatants were used for immunoblotting analyses using anti-Rb, cyclin A, p16, p21, p53, P-p53, Ras, and actin antibodies. Pellets were suspended in the SDS sample buffer and used for immunoblotting analyses using anti-H1, HMGA2, and H2B antibodies.

For mass spectrometry, protein bands were loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels and digested with trypsin (Promega). The eluted peptides were analyzed by a hybrid mass spectrometer (QSTAR XL; Applied Biosystems) equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source (MDS Protana). For immunoblotting, proteins were loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels, transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore), and detected with ECL immunoblotting detection reagents (GE Healthcare).

RT-PCR and SA–p-gal staining

RT-PCR was performed with an RNA PCR kit (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR primers used in this study are HIST1H1D (5′-CAAGCTCAGGAAGCCTGCTG-3′ and 5′-AAAGGGAACCTCGCCCG-CCGAC-3′) and GAPDH (5′-ATTGGTCTGATTGGGCCTGTGC-3′ and 5′-TGTGATCTTCTGATGGTCAAC-3′).

For SA–p-gal staining, cells were fixed with 0.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS at 4°C for 10 min and incubated in staining solution (0.65 mM 4-Me X-Gal [5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-p-galactoside], 5 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 5 mM K4Fe(CN)6, and 1 mM MgCl2 in PBS, pH 6.0) at 37°C overnight.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the specificities of FISH signals and anti-BrdU IF signals. Fig. S2 shows the specificity of anti-H1B rabbit antibody. Fig. S3 shows the cosuppression of N-fusion H1 and mitotic chromosomes and the enlargement of WI-38 cells expressing N-fusion H1. Fig. S4 shows the effect of HA-HMGA2 expression on cell growth and SAHF formation. Fig. S5 shows the effect of N-fusion 1 expression on SAHF formation. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200604005/DC1.
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