Orphan nuclear receptor TLX contributes to androgen insensitivity in castration-resistant prostate cancer via its repression of androgen receptor transcription
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Abstract
The metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is a lethal form of prostate cancer, in which the expression of androgen receptor (AR) is highly heterogeneous. Indeed, lower AR expression and attenuated AR signature activity is shown in CRPC tissues, especially in the subset of neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC) and prostate cancer stem-like cells (PCSCs). However, the significance of AR downregulation in androgen insensitivity and de-differentiation of tumor cells in CRPC is poorly understood and much neglected. Our previous study shows that the orphan nuclear receptor TLX (NR2E1), which is upregulated in prostate cancer, plays an oncogenic role in prostate carcinogenesis by suppressing oncogene-induced senescence. In the present study, we further established that TLX exhibited an increased expression in metastatic CRPC. Further analyses showed that overexpression of TLX could confer resistance to androgen deprivation and anti-androgen in androgen-dependent prostate cancer cells in vitro and in vivo, whereas knockdown of endogenous TLX could potentiate the sensitivity to androgen deprivation and anti-androgen in prostate cancer cells. Our study revealed that the TLX-induced resistance to androgen deprivation and anti-androgen was mediated through its direct suppression of AR gene transcription and signaling in both androgen-stimulated and -unstimulated prostate cancer cells. We also characterized that TLX could bind directly to AR promoter and repress AR transcription by recruitment of histone modifiers, including HDAC1, HDAC3, and LSD1. Together, our present study shows, for the first time, that TLX can contribute to androgen insensitivity in CRPC via repression of AR gene transcription and signaling, and also implicates that targeting the druggable TLX may have a potential therapeutic significance in CRPC management, particularly in NEPC and PCSCs.

Introduction
The initial and advanced growth of prostate cancer is critically dependent on androgens. Hormone or androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT), which acts to suppress the androgen receptor (AR) signaling by androgen depletion or AR antagonists, is still the principal treatment option for locally advanced and metastatic prostate cancers. However, after initial favorable responses to hormone therapy, most
patients inevitably develop resistance to treatment and relapse with a more aggressive form of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) within 2–3 years. Most studies on CRPC suggest that the advanced disease progression involves multiple and interrelating AR-dependent mechanisms, including (1) overexpression of AR and its co-regulators, (2) AR point mutations, (3) AR activation by growth factors-mediated signal transduction pathways, (4) AR-mediated activation of oncogenic fusion genes, (5) constitutively active AR splice variants, and (6) intratumoral production of androgens by either conversion from adrenal androgens or de novo androgen biosynthesis from cholesterol via overexpressed steroidogenic enzymes, that leading to persistent and reactivated AR signaling and altered androgen metabolism [1, 2]. Altered AR signaling is still the current research focus in CRPC.

AR signaling pathway is commonly altered and remains persistent in CRPC. Genomic amplification of AR gene has been found in 30% CPRC and even higher in 50% metastatic CRPC (mCRPC) [3–7]. However, the expression of AR is highly heterogeneous in prostate cancer specimens, reflecting the heterogeneity of the disease. Early immunohistochemical studies reveal that tumor tissues generally exhibit lower AR expression than normal and benign hyperplastic tissues, and also high Gleason score or less differentiated cancers display lower AR expression than low Gleason score cancers [8–13]. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis on micro-dissected prostatic tissues confirms that tumor tissues express significant lower AR expression than normal and benign tissues [14]. Decreased AR expression is also shown in metastatic prostate cancer [12, 15]. A similar decrease or loss of AR immune reactivity is shown in CRPC and such loss of AR expression is demonstrated to be associated with poor prognosis and neuroendocrine differentiation (NED) in a subset of CRPC patients [11, 16–19]. Microarray gene expression analysis reveals that AR activity signature in prostate cancer tissues is decreased after hormone therapy and in CRPC [20]. However, the significance of down-regulation of AR in advanced prostate cancer and CRPC progression is still poorly understood and neglected.

TLX (NR2E1) is an orphan nuclear receptor belonging to the nuclear receptor superfamily. Studies in past decades indicate that TLX plays important roles in brain and retina development, maintenance of stemness, and self-renewal of embryonic and adult neural stem cells (NSCs) via its direct transcriptional control of genes involved in DNA replication, cell cycle, adhesion, and migration, Wnt/catenin, and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling [21, 22]. Its dysregulation is implicated in several neurological disorders [23]. Emerging evidences suggest that TLX performs oncogenic roles in oncogenesis and could be a potential therapeutic target for cancers [24]. Recent studies show that TLX plays an essential role in the development of brain tumors, as evidenced by its upregulation in high-grade gliomas and its transgenic overexpression can result in a long-term expansion of a subgroup of NSCs and form gliomas in mutant mouse brain in conjunction with genetic loss of tumor suppressors Pten or p53 [25–27]. TLX also performs a similar oncogenic role in neuroblastoma via its promotion of self-renewal of tumor-initiating cells and transactivation of OCT4 gene [28]. Transgenic knockout and gene-knockdown studies suggest that in vivo targeting TLX is a potential therapeutic strategy for brain tumors [29, 30]. TLX is also shown to be upregulated in poor prognosis ERα-negative breast cancer [31]. Recently, we have demonstrated that TLX, which is upregulated in prostate cancer, can promote its initiation and progression by repression of oncogene-induced senescence via its differential co-regulation of senescence-regulatory genes CDKN1A and SIRT1 [32].

In this study, we aim to elucidate the role of TLX in the androgen-insensitive growth of prostate cancer. Our study showed that TLX could directly repress the AR gene transcription via its direct binding and recruitment of chromatin modifiers as co-repressors to AR gene promoter. Our findings also implicate that TLX could be a potential therapy target for CRPC.

**Results**

**TLX shows upregulation in CRPC xenograft model and human metastatic CRPC**

We established CRPC a xenograft tumor model VCaP-CRPC, based on the castration-relapse growth of AR-positive and androgen-responsive VCaP cells in castrated host severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice [33]. Expression analyses of TLX in VCaP-CRPC xenograft tumors revealed that both messenger RNA (mRNA) levels and immunosignals of TLX exhibited significant increased expression in castration-relapse VCaP-CRPC xenograft tumors grown in castrated mice as compared to pre-castration VCaP tumors grown in intact mice (Fig. 1a, b). qRT-PCR analysis of TLX expression in a panel of LNCaP- and VCaP-derived prostate cancer cell lines showed that TLX transcripts were significantly increased in androgen-independent LNCaP sublines (104R1, abl) established by long-term androgen deprivation [34, 35] and bicalutamide-resistant LNCaP-BC and VCaP-BC cells [36], as compared to their parental cells (Supplementary Figure S1a). To further validate the increased expression pattern of TLX in clinical CRPC, immunohistochemical analysis performed on a tissue microarray slide containing 56 validated cases of hormone-naïve and -refractory prostate cancer showed that
Increased expression of TLX in CRPC xenograft tumors and human metastatic prostate cancer tissues. a, b Real-time qRT-PCR and immunohistochemical analyses of TLX in VCaP-CRPC xenograft model. Significant increases of transcripts and immunosignals of TLX were detected in castration-relapse VCaP-CRPC xenograft tumors (CR) grown in castrated mice as compared to pre-castration (PreC) tumors grown in intact hosts. $n = 7$ per group. Data are presented as mean ± SD of triplicate measurements. Magnification, ×400; scale bars = 50 µm. c TLX immunohistochemistry. Representative micrographs of TLX-immunostained prostate cancer TMA. Magnification, ×40; bars, 250 µm. Insets show the enclosed areas at higher magnification. Magnification, ×400; bars, 30 µm. d TLX immunoreactive score analysis (TLX-IRS) performed on normal-adjacent tissues ($n = 9$), hormone-naive or neo-adjuvant-treated prostate cancer ($n = 26$), and hormone-resistant tissues ($n = 15$). **$P < 0.01$ versus normal tissues. e Expression profile of TLX as revealed from a GEO dataset (GSE6919) [37]. TLX mRNA levels were compared in benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH, $n = 81$), primary prostate cancer ($n = 65$), and metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer tissues ($n = 25$). Box plot (lines from top to bottom): maximum, third quartile Q3; median; minimum, first quartile Q1. **$P < 0.002$ versus BPH.
TLX exhibited a significant increase in nuclear immunosignals in lesions in hormone-resistant tissues as compared to hormone-naive or neo-adjuvant-treated prostate cancer and normal prostatic tissues (Fig. 1c, d). Moreover, TLX expression was queried in publicly available gene expression databases and results showed that TLX exhibited a significant increased expression pattern in metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer as compared to benign prostatic hyperplasia and primary prostate cancer tissues from Gene Expression Omnibus dataset (GSE6919) [37] (Fig. 1e). To further explore the association of TLX and AR expression in primary prostate cancer and CRPC, we compared TLX levels in ARlow and ARhigh subsets of prostate cancer patients using RNA-seq datasets in two published cohorts [19, 38] in an unbiased manner. Results showed that TLX exhibited upregulation in ARlow,
KLK3low, and KLK2low subsets (Supplementary Figure S1b and S1c). These results suggest that increased TLX expression displays a positive association with the advanced progression of CRPC.

**TLX overexpression confers resistance to androgen deprivation and anti-androgen in AR-positive prostate cancer cells in vitro and in vivo**

Since TLX exhibited an increased expression in VCaP-CRPC xenograft model and mCRPC tissues, we hypothesize that TLX might perform a growth promoting role in CRPC. To elucidate the functional significance of TLX in prostate cancer growth upon androgen-deprivation stress, we then generated stable TLX-transduced clones in AR-positive prostate cancer cell lines (including LNCaP, VCaP, and LAPC-4) for in vitro and in vivo growth phenotype studies (Fig. 2a). In vitro growth characterization analyses showed that the immunoblot-validated TLX-overexpressing stable cells exhibited enhanced growth capacity (Supplementary Figure S2a and S2b) and higher resistant capacity to culture conditions with charcoal-stripped serum (CS; mimicking androgen deprivation) or bicalutamide and both (Fig. 2b-e), as compared to their counterpart empty vector infectants. In vivo tumorigenicity analysis showed that tumors formed by either LNCaP-TLX or their empty vector infectants grew at similar rates in intact SCID mice. Intriguingly, xenograft tumors formed by LNCaP-TLX-stable cells showed no response to castration of host mice and the tumors continued to grow aggressively after host castration.
a sharp contrast to tumors formed by vector infectants that ceased to grow or become shrunk in castrated hosts (Fig. 2f, g). To further validate the significance of TLX in the induction of androgen-insensitive growth capacity in prostate cancer cells, we next evaluate the effects of knockdown of endogenous TLX expression in parental LNCaP cells and their bicalutamide-resistant LNCaP-BC cells (Fig. 3a, c), and examined their growth responses toward bicalutamide and CS treatments. In vitro growth analyses showed that short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-knockdown of TLX could inhibit the cell growth in LNCaP-shTLX cells (Supplementary Figure S2c) and potentiate their sensitivity to bicalutamide (Fig. 3b). LNCaP-BC32 cells showed more resistance to bicalutamide (20–60 µM) and 10% CS-FBS (Fig. 3d, e), and proliferated at faster rates upon bicalutamide (10 µM) treatment (Fig. 3f) as compared to their parental LNCaP cells. However, knockdown of TLX in LNCaP-BC32-shTLX cells restored the sensitivity to bicalutamide and CS (Fig. 3d–f). These results showed that shRNA-knockdown of TLX could potentiate the sensitivity to bicalutamide and CS in both androgen-sensitive LNCaP cells and bicalutamide-resistant LNCaP-BC cells. Together, our results suggest that overexpression of TLX could promote androgen-deprivation- and anti-androgen-insensitive growth capacity in AR-positive prostate cancer cells.

**TLX acts to suppress AR expression and AR signaling, and upregulate neuroendocrine differentiation markers in prostate cancer cells**

We next sought to investigate whether the hormone-insensitive growth phenotype induced by ectopic TLX overexpression in prostate cancer cells could be associated with disturbance on AR signaling. Results of real-time qPCR showed that the mRNA levels of AR and AR-target genes (including KLK3/PSA, KLK2, TMPRSS2, and MAK) were significantly reduced in LNCaP, VCaP, and LAPC-4-TLX-overexpressing stable cells as compared to their vector-infectant counterparts (Fig. 4a–c). Results of immunoblots also confirmed that the protein levels of AR and PSA were significantly decreased in all three TLX-stable cells (Fig. 4d). Contrarily, mRNA and protein levels of AR and its targets showed significant upregulation in LNCaP-shTLX cells (Supplementary Figure S3c and S3d).

Since AR signaling is lost in neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC), we next examined the possible association of TLX in NEPC progression in LNCaP-TLX cells. Expression analyses showed that the mRNA and protein levels of two NEPC markers ENO2 (neuronal-specific enolase) and CHGA (chromogranin A) exhibited significant higher expressions in LNCaP-TLX cells, but lower expressions in LNCaP-shTLX cells (Supplementary Figure S4d–f).
Moreover, the expressions of TLX and NEPC markers were also analyzed in AR<sup>high</sup> and AR<sup>low</sup> subsets of NEPC patients in Beltran NEPC cohort [19]. Results showed that the AR<sup>low</sup> NEPC subset showed higher expressions of TLX and two critical NEPC-regulation factors POU3F2 (BRN2) and NCAM1 (Supplementary Figure S1c). These data suggested that TLX may play a role in the AR downregulation in NEPC and also its progression.

**TLX attenuates the androgen-stimulated transcriptional activity of AR in androgen-stimulated prostate cancer cells**

To further explore the molecular mechanism involved in the suppression of AR expression and its signaling in prostate cancer cells mediated by TLX overexpression, we next examined the basal and androgen-stimulated levels of the endogenous AR and PSA in LNCaP-TLX-stable cells. Immunoblot analysis showed that in the absence of dihydrotestosterone (DHT) or bicalutamide, LNCaP-TLX cells expressed lower levels of AR and PSA as compared to empty vector LNCaP-pWPI cells. DHT treatment induced significant increases of AR and PSA in LNCaP-pWPI cells, but only slight increase of AR and no change of PSA in LNCaP-TLX cells. Bicalutamide treatment, with or without DHT, attenuated expressions of both AR and PSA in LNCaP-pWPI cells, and further suppressed AR and PSA levels in LNCaP-TLX cells (Fig. 5a). These results suggested that the androgen-stimulated AR signaling pathway was interfered or blocked by TLX overexpression in prostate cancer cells. To further demonstrate the significance of TLX overexpression on the AR transactivation in androgen-stimulated prostate cancer cells, we examined the nuclear translocation of AR immunosignals in LNCaP-TLX
Fig. 6 TLX-induced transrepression of AR is mediated by its direct binding to AR gene. a ChIP assay. Top: schematic diagram shows the locations of two identified TLX-binding sites (P1, P2) located at the 5′-UTR and proximal region of AR promoter. The TLX-binding motifs TTCAGT and palindromic sequence ACTGAA located at P1 and P2 sites are shown in red. P1 site contains more than one TLX-binding motifs. The locations of PCR primers (indicated by arrows) used for the ChIP assay are also shown. Bottom: ChIP assay of AR gene promoter performed in TLX-transfected or non-transfected HEK293 cells. Non-immunoprecipitated sonicated DNA (10% diluted) was used as input. b Top: schematic diagram shows the two AR gene promoter-driven constructs: pGL3-AR1.8-Luc and pGL3-AR2.4-Luc containing fragments of 742 bp and 1350 bp of the AR gene promoter, respectively, and the full-length of the 5′-UTR downstream to the start codon ATG (+1126). AR1.8-Luc contains the P1 site and AR2.4-Luc contains both the P1 and P2 sites (highlighted in red). Bottom: Luciferase reporter assay of AR gene promoter (AR1.8-Luc and AR2.4-Luc) transactivation performed in HEK293 cells transfected with TLX or empty vector pcDNA3.1. **P < 0.01 versus empty vector. Data are presented as mean ± SD of triplicate assays. c Top: schematic diagrams show the structural organization of the wild-type intact TLX (385 aa) and truncated mutants TLXΔZF1 (364 aa; with deletion of first zinc finger in DBD) and TLXΔLBD-AF2 (340 aa; with deletion of AF2 in LBD). Bottom left: schematic diagrams show the wild-type sequences of the two putative TLX-binding motifs TTCAGT (M1 and M2) and one palindromic sequence ACTGAA (M3) (highlighted in red) located at the P1 site of the 5′-UTR of AR gene, the point mutations at M1-M3 TLX-binding motifs (highlighted in blue) introduced by site-directed mutagenesis, and also the generated reporter constructs AR1.8-Luc carrying the wild-type P1 and AR1.8M1/M2/M3-Luc carrying the point mutations at P1 site. d Luciferase reporter assay of wild-type and site-mutated AR promoter-driven reporters (AR1.8M1-Luc, AR1.8M2-Luc, and AR1.8M3-Luc) performed in HEK293 cells transfected with TLX or its truncated mutants. *P < 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± SD of triplicate assays.
infectants by immunofluorescence. Results showed that significant increase of AR immunosignals was induced in the nuclei of the LNCaP cells upon DHT treatment, but with such increase of immunosignals abolished by bicalutamide (Fig. 5b). Compared to vector-infected LNCaP cells, LNCaP-TLX infectants exhibited much weaker or undetected levels of AR immunosignals at their nuclei and cytoplasm; and upon DHT stimulation, LNCaP-TLX infectants expressed significant weaker nuclear immunosignals of AR and also with the immunosignals abolished.
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AR gene as a homodimer to mediate repression of AR, in a similar manner as it binds to the CDKN1A (p21<sup>WAF1/CIP1</sup>) gene promoter as shown previously [32]. Together, these results suggest that TLX could repress the AR gene transcription via its direct binding to consensus TLX-binding sequences present at the 5′-UTR and promoter of AR gene.

**TLX-mediated repression of AR gene transcription involves recruitment of chromatin modifiers as co-repressors**

It is previously shown that TLX can perform transrepressive regulation of its target genes via a mechanism of recruitment of chromatin modifiers as co-repressors, including histone deacetylases (HDACs) and lysine-specific demethylase (LSD1) [39, 40]. In order to determine whether HDACs and LSD1 would be recruited by TLX to the identified TLX-enriched sites at the AR gene, we performed the ChIP assay in LNCaP cells infected with lentiviral vector pWPI-TLX. Sonicated chromatin fragments were immunoprecipitated with antibodies against FLAG, HDAC1, HDAC3, and LSD1 antibodies but not HDAC5, and PCR-amplified (Fig. 7a). In vitro glutathione S-transferase (GST) pull-down assay was then performed to further validate whether TLX could directly interact with HDACs and LSD1 so as to execute its transrepression function. Results of immunoblot analysis showed that HDAC1, HDAC3, and LSD1 could be immunodetected in the GST-TLX protein complex, but these chromatin modifiers were much less accumulated in the GST-TLXΔLBD complex, indicating that interaction between TLX and chromatin modifiers would require TLX with intact LBD (Fig. 7b). To validate whether the endogenous TLX could interact with chromatin modifiers, IP assay was performed in FLAG-TLX-infected LNCaP cells. Results showed that HDAC1, HDAC3, and LSD1 were associated with TLX, whereas association with HDAC5 was not detected (Fig. 7c). Together, these results indicate that TLX recruits HDAC1, HDAC3, and LSD1 to the AR gene and repress AR transcription. To further validate the significance of the identified TLX-associated chromatin modifiers on their contribution to the repression of AR gene transcription, we then performed the luciferase reporter assays on TLX-transfected non-prostatic HEK293 cells treated with pan-inhibitors of HDACs (including NaBut, TSA, and VPA) and LSD1 (pargyline). Results showed that treatments with HDAC and LSD1 inhibitors could dose-dependently reverse and restore the repressed AR-Luc reporter activity mediated by the transfected TLX in HEK293 cells (Fig. 7d, e). Moreover, pargyline treatment could also significantly restore the repressed AR expression in LNCaP-TLX infectants and its transcription in androgen-stimulated LNCaP-TLX infectants (Fig. 7f, g). Together, these results suggest that TLX recruits both HDACs and LSD1 as co-repressors and together the TLX-HDACs-LSD1 complex contributes to the transrepression regulation of AR gene transcription.

**Discussion**

The current view on the persistent and reactivated AR signaling in CRPC, that forms the basis of targeting the AR-axis signaling in ADT, is largely based on the facts of positive AR expression detected in clinical CRPC tumor tissues [11, 41], persistent AR activity and intraprostatic androgen levels in primary prostate tumors from androgen deprivation-treated patients [42], recurrent serum PSA levels and also the persistent AR activity as shown in experimental xenograft models of CRPC [43–47]. On the other hand, the prognostic significance of AR expression in the progression of prostate cancer and CRPC still remains controversial [48]. Besides, AR expression in metastatic and non-metastatic CRPC is still not established largely due to limited access of clinical specimens. Some reports demonstrate that lower AR expression and attenuated AR signature activity is indeed shown in clinical CRPC tissues [11, 17, 20] and also mCRPC [12, 15, 49, 50]. Contrarily, rebound of AR expression is demonstrated in some experimental CRPC xenograft models upon short-term castration [43, 45]. Prospective analysis suggests that the decrease or loss of AR expression in CRPC may be associated with its advanced progression to androgen-independent NED progression and poor prognosis [17, 51]. AR is reported to be suppressed by N-Myc in NEPC [51] and the p38MAPK/FOXC2/Zeb1 pathway in prostate cancer stem-like cells (PCSCs), and inhibition of FOXC2 can reduce stem cell properties and restore AR/PSA expression and also sensitivity to docetaxel in DU145 cells [52]. These new evidences suggest that downregulation of AR may exert an oncogenic role in advanced CRPC, at least some subpopulations of CRPC; and restoration of AR expression and its signaling might be beneficial to some advanced patients with NEPC or mCRPC patients with cancer stem-like cell phenotype. However, how the decrease or loss of AR is regulated in CRPC progression and through which CRPC acquires androgen insensitivity, NED, and stemness phenotypes, are still poorly understood.

In the present study, we demonstrated that the orphan nuclear receptor TLX, which displayed an upregulation expression in both clinical mCRPC and xenograft models, could confer androgen insensitivity in AR-positive prostate cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Our functional analyses further revealed that the TLX-induced androgen...
insensitivity was mediated through its direct repression of AR gene transcription and suppression of AR transcriptional activity in prostate cancer cells via a mechanism of recruitment of chromatin modifiers HDACs and LSD1 to the TLX-binding motifs at the AR gene promoter. We also observed that the expressions of at least two NED markers (ENO2 and CHGA) were significantly upregulated in TLX infectants. Our newly published results show that TLX exhibits an upregulation in PCSCs enriched by three-dimensional cultures [33]. Our previous study also showed that TLX can play a role in suppression of oncogene-induced senescence in prostate cancer cells via its direct repression of p21/CDKN1A and SIRT1 [32]. Therefore, we hypothesize that TLX may contribute to NEPC acquisition by its suppression of AR and promote NEPC and PCSCs proliferation at least partially by its differential transcriptional regulation of CDKN1A and SIRT1. However, the specific role of TLX in NEPC progression and stemness of advanced prostate cancer need further investigation.

Prostate cancer is a heterogeneous disease and exhibits different clinical behaviors in patients. Indeed, subsets of PSA−/PSAhigh and ARlow/ARhigh populations can be distinguished among prostate cancer patients [53, 54]. Our in vitro results showed that TLX overexpression could suppress significantly AR expression and its targets PSA/ KLK3 and KLK2 in LNCaP-TLX cells (Fig. 4a); whereas treatment with DHT could only trigger the AR expression but not PSA in LNCaP-TLX cells (Fig. 5a). Previously, we show in an anti-androgen-resistant LNCaP-BC model that the AR transcription activity is significantly repressed in LNCaP-BC cells [36], which express high TLX levels. It remains to be determined that if TLX could suppress AR signaling by suppression of AR transcriptional activity in the bulk of the differentiated ARhigh/PSAhigh cell populations in castration-relapse CRPC tumors. Our present findings show that TLX can directly target and repress the AR gene promoter, and also suppress the ligand-activated transcriptional activity of AR in prostate cancer cells, and through which it could enhance the NED progression and stemness of PCSCs in subpopulations of CRPC. We also showed that histone modifiers HDACs and LSD1, whose overexpression are implicated in CRPC progression [55, 56], can function as co-repressors of TLX and participate in TLX-mediated repression of AR transcription. Indeed, TLX can interact with multiple co-regulators to mediate its transcriptional activity in target cells [57]. However, the underlying mechanism still needs further characterization.

In summary, our current study provides evidences showing that the orphan nuclear receptor TLX can promote the androgen insensitivity in CRPC progression by repressing AR transcription via recruitment of histone modifiers HDACs and LSD1 (Fig. 7h). Our findings also implicate that targeting TLX signaling could be a potential therapeutic strategy for CRPC treatment.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and antibodies

5α-Androstan-17β-ol-3-one (4,5α-dihydrotestosterone, DHT), bicalutamide, pargyline hydrochloride, sodium butyrate (NaBu), trichostatin A (TSA), and valproic acid (VPA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The rabbit polyclonal TLX-antibody was immunoblot-validated as described previously [32]. Other antibodies used in this study are listed as follows: monoclonal anti-TLX was purchased from Perseus Proteomics Inc. (Tokyo, Japan); rabbit polyclonal antibodies anti-histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1), -HDAC3, -HDAC5, and mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA); anti-LSD1 from abcam (Hong Kong, China); anti-AR and anti-PSA from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA).

Cell cultures

Human prostate cancer cell lines (including LNCaP, VCaP, and LAPC-4) and their sublines (including androgen-insensitive sublines LNCaP-abl, LNCaP-104-R1; bicalutamide-resistant sublines LNCaP-BC32, LNCaP-BC56, VCaP-BC36, and VCaP-BC62) were used in this study. LNCaP, LAPC-4, HEK293, and PA317 cell lines were obtained from ATCC (Manassa, Virginia, USA). VCaP cells were kindly provided by Dr. Kenneth Pienta (University of Michigan); LNCaP-104-R1 cells from Dr. Shutsung Liao (University of Chicago); LNCaP-abl cells from Dr. Helmet Klocker (University of Innsbruck). LNCaP-abl cells were maintained in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% CS-FBS and LNCaP-104-R1 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% CS-FBS. LNCaP-BC and VCaP-BC cells were established as described previously [36]. All cell lines were authenticated by STR profiling and tested for mycoplasma contamination before use.

Human prostatic tissues and immunohistochemistry

Human prostate cancer tissue microarray was provided by Department of Defense Prostate Cancer Research Program, Prostate Cancer Biorepository Network (PCBN), NYU. Peroxidase immunohistochemistry was performed on paraaffin sections of formaldehyde-fixed prostatic tissues as described previously [58].
Plasmid construction

(a) Expression plasmids pBABE-TLX, pWPI-TLX, pcDNA3.1-TLX, and truncated mutant pcDNA3.1-TLXΔZF1 and pcDNA3.1-TLXΔLBD-AF2 were generated as described previously [32]. Full-length TLX and deletion mutant TLXΔLBD were subcloned into pGEX as pGEX-TLX and pGEX-TLXΔLBD for GST-fusion protein production in *Escherichia coli*. (b) Reporter plasmids: ARE-Luc was kindly provided by Dr. J.M. Vanacker (Lyon, France) [59]. pGL3-AR1.8 and pGL3-AR2.4 were gifts from Dr. Angelo Poletti [60]. pGL3-AR1.8M1, -AR1.8M2, and -AR1.8M3 were generated by sequential site-directed mutagenesis of TLX-binding sites AAGTCA to AGATCA [61].

Transduction and generation of stable TLX-overexpressed clones

The production of retrovirus and lentivirus, and generation of stable TLX-overexpressed cells, AR-positive prostate cancer cells (including LNCaP, VCaP, and LAPC-4) was performed as described previously [62, 63].

RNA interference

Procedures on the generation of stable TLX-knockdown prostate cancer cells by shRNA were described previously [32].

In vitro cell growth assay

In vitro cell growth of TLX- or shTLX-transduced prostate cancer cells were evaluated by MTT assay as described previously [62].

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Procedures on AR immunofluorescence were described previously [64].

PCR and immunoblot analyses

Detailed procedures on PCR and immunoblotting are described as previously [62] and primers for RT-PCR were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

In vivo tumorigenicity assay and VCaP-CRPC model

LNCaP-TLX and vector-control cells were subcutaneously inoculated into the flank region of intact male SCID mice and allowed to grow for 11 weeks when tumors reached sizes of 1 cm³ or larger. Host mice were then orchidectomized and allowed to grow for another 6–7 weeks. Tumor sizes were measured weekly. The VCaP-CRPC xenograft model was established previously [33]. All animal protocols were approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee, Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Luciferase reporter assays

For ARE-Luc and AR-Luc reporter assay performed in HEK 293 cells, plasmids were transduced into cells by Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, California, US). For ARE-Luc reporter assay performed in LNCaP and LNCaP-TLX cells, cells were transduced with pGL3-ARE-Luc and pRL-SV40 by electroporation with an optimized exponential pulse of 230 V and 950 μF (Gene Pulser Xcell Electroporation System, Bio-Rad). After 24 h post transfection, cells were treated with hormone (DHT) or epigenetic modifiers (TSA, VPA, NaBut, or pargyline) or vehicles (DMSO, ethanol, or water) as control, and incubated for another 24 h. Cells were lysed for luciferase luminescence measurement using the Renilla-firefly dual-luciferase assay as described previously [65].

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

ChIP assay on AR gene promoter was performed in HEK293 cells transfected with pcDNA3.1-TLX/pcDNA3.1 or TLX-transduced LNCaP cells, following procedure as described previously [65]. Genomic DNA extracted from TLX-transfected or -transduced cells was sonicated and sheared into fragments. DNA samples were immunoprecipitated by various antibodies (against TLX, HDACs, and LSD1), followed by PCR analysis (30 cycles) with primer pairs specific for human AR gene promoter (P1: 5′-CGGAGCCAGAGATCAAAAGA-3′; 5′-CAAAATCCTCCACCTTCCA-3′; P2: 5′-AAGAGACCCAGGCAAAAATC-3′; 5′-GTGGA-GATGCAAGTGGAAT-3′; distal primers: 5′-GTGCATGCTGTGCTTCACT-3′; 5′-GTGCCTTCACCCTGTCTT-3′).

Immunoprecipitation assay

Procedures on co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay were performed as previously described [66].

GST pull-down assay

BL2 (DE3) competent *E. coli* cells were transformed with pGEX-TLX or pGEX-TLXΔLBD plasmids to express the GST-fusion recombinant proteins GST-TLX₁₋₃₈₅ and GST-
TLXΔLBD1-340. After protein induction with isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), bacterial cells were sonicated in TIF lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1% NP40, 10% glycerol, pH 8.0) and the GST-fusions were affinity-purified with glutathione-sepharose 4B resin (GE Healthcare). For pull-down of overexpressed TLX, cell lysates of pWPI-TLX- and pWPI-TLXΔLBD-transduced LNCaP cells were incubated with the GST fusion protein-bound beads overnight with rotation at 4 °C. After washing the beads with TIF buffer, the protein complexes were eluted with Laemmli-SDS sample buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE, and visualized by immunoblotting.

Statistical analysis

All results were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis of data was performed using two-tailed Student’s t test and differences were considered significant where P < 0.05.
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