Nonlinear fermions and coherent states

D A Trifonov

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energetics, 72 Tzarigradsko chaussee, Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail: dtrif@inrne.bas.bg

Received 2 November 2011, in final form 7 March 2012
Published 30 May 2012
Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/45/244037

Abstract

Nonlinear fermions of degree $n$ ($n$-fermions) are introduced as particles with creation and annihilation operators obeying the simple nonlinear anticommutation relation $A A^\dagger + A^\dagger A^n = 1$. The $(n+1)$th-order nilpotency of these operators follows from the existence of unique $A$-vacuum. Supposing appropriate $(n+1)$th-order nilpotent para-Grassmann variables and integration rules the sets of $n$-fermion number states, ‘right’ and ‘left’ ladder operator coherent states (CS) and displacement-operator-like CS are constructed. The $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix realization of the related para-Grassmann algebra is provided. General $(n+1)$th-order nilpotent ladder operators of finite-dimensional systems are expressed as polynomials in terms of $n$-fermion operators. Overcomplete sets of (normalized) ‘right’ and ‘left’ eigenstates of such general ladder operators are constructed and their properties are briefly discussed.
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1. Introduction

In the last decades or so, considerable attention has been paid in the literature to the problems of extension and adoption of the celebrated coherent state (CS) method [1–3] for description of quantum systems with finite-dimensional Hilbert state space—fermionic [4–6], parafermionic ($k$-fermionic) [7–10] and parabosonic [11], Hermitian and pseudo-Hermitian [12–16], systems with discrete finite coordinate spectra [17, 18]. Finite-dimensional quantum mechanics is proved useful in many areas, such as quantum computing, quantum optics, signal analysis etc (see e.g. [18, 19] and references therein).

Parafermions and parabosons were introduced by Green [20, 21] in order to study particle statistics of a more general type than the common Fermi–Dirac and Bose–Einstein statistics. The annihilation and creation operators of these (hypothetical) particles obey certain trilinear commutation relations for parafermions and commutation–anticommutation relations for parabosons. The maximal number of parafermions in a given state is finite, denoted usually
as $p$, and called the order of (para)statistics. These ladder operators are nilpotent of order $p + 1$. It was later shown [22] that $n$ pairs of Green parafermions generate the Lie algebra of the orthogonal group $SO(2n + 1)$. Palev [23] introduced creation and annihilation operators, $n$ pairs of which generate the algebra of the unimodular group $SL(n + 1)$, with the corresponding order of statistics $p$ (called $A_p$-statistics) being also finite. The dimension of $n$-mode Palev parafermion Fock space is $(p + n)!/p!n!$, so the ladder operators are nilpotent of order $p + 1$. CS of Klauder–Perelomov type for these parafermions are constructed by Daoud [24]. For Green parabosons, the ladder operator CS (overcomplete in a certain subspace) are constructed for the two-mode case in [11].

Finite-dimensional Fock spaces can be constructed by means of ladder operators that obey the $q$-deformed boson commutation relations [25] when $q$ is $k$-root of unity, with the corresponding parafermions being called $k$-fermions [7]. Ladder operator CS for Hermitian $k$-fermions are considered in [7, 9, 10, 15], for pseudo-Hermitian—in [14, 16]. These CS are constructed as non-normalized ‘left’ eigenstates of the corresponding ladder operators, but when normalized they cease being eigenstates of those operators due to noncommutation between para-Grassmanian eigenvalues and the normalization factors. Another unsatisfactory feature of such ladder operator parafermion CS is that the eigenvalue of the squared ladder operator $b^2$ is not equal to the square of the eigenvalue $\theta$ of $b$, which is due to the noncommutation between $\theta$ and $b$. The displacement-operator-like CS ($D$-CS) are also lacking.

In this paper, we introduce a new kind of parafermion, based on simple non-linear anticommutation relations (suggested by Chaichian and Demichev [26] in the context of polynomial relations for the generators of the $su(2)$ Lie algebra) and construct the related Fock states, CS in the form of normalized ‘left’ and ‘right’ ladder operator eigenstates and $D$-CS. We call these parafermions $n$-linear fermions (shortly $n$-fermions), where the positive integer $n$ is the degree of nonlinearity of anticommutation relations. A remarkable feature of $n$-fermions is that the order of their statistics equals the degree of nonlinearity $n$. An advantage of the ‘right’ CS is that they are free from the above-noted unsatisfactory features of ‘left’ parafermion CS. The related generalized Grassmann variables and their integration rules that ensure the overcompleteness of $n$-fermion CS appear to be the direct and most simple extension of the standard fermion variables and integration rules. They ensure the resolution of the identity in terms of $n$-fermion ladder operator CS with no additional weight functions, unlike the case of previous parafermion CS [7, 9, 10, 14, 15], where the introduction of weight functions is always needed. We had to introduce weight functions in the case of the displacement-operator-like $n$-fermion CS only. The algebra of our $(n + 1)$th-order nilpotent para-Grassmann variables admits simple $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix realization.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, $n$-fermion algebra and Fock states are considered. Supposing the existence of $n$-fermion vacuum, the $(n + 1)$th-order nilpotency of creation and annihilation operators is derived and $n + 1$ excited number states are constructed. In section 3, normalized ‘right’ eigenstates of the annihilation operator are built up and their overcompleteness is established, using appropriately defined anticommutation relations between $(n + 1)$th-order nilpotent eigenvalues $\zeta, \zeta^*$ and $n$-fermion ladder operators, and new integration rules for the variables $\zeta, \zeta^*$. The structure of the integration rules is different for even and odd $n$, being simplest for odd $n$ (even dimension of the Fock space). In section 4, we consider a general form of ladder operators in finite-dimensional Hilbert space (finite-level systems) and construct the related normalized ‘right’ CS. The form of the weight function that ensures the identity resolution of the general ladder operator CS with respect to the same integration rules, as introduced for the $n$-fermion case, is explicitly determined. The general ladder operators for finite-level systems are expressed as polynomials in terms of the $n$-fermion creation and annihilation operators. In the last section, the non-normalized ‘left’
general ladder operator eigenstates and D-CS are constructed, and their overcompleteness is established. Some objectionable properties of ‘left’ CS are pointed out and the corresponding advantages of the ‘right’ CS are noted.

2. Nonlinear fermion algebra and Fock states

Consider the operators \( A(n) \) and \( A_i(n) \) which satisfy the nonlinear anticommutation relation of the form

\[
A(n)A_i^n(n) + A_i^n(n)A^i(n) = 1,
\]

with \( n \) being a positive integer. At \( n = 1 \), the standard fermionic relations \( a^1a^1 + a^1 = 1 \) are recovered, i.e. \( A(1) = a \). Therefore, the nonlinear relation (1) could be called nonlinear fermion anticommutation relation. In analogy with the case of standard fermions, we aim to interpret operators \( A(n) \) and \( A_i(n) \) as particle annihilation and creation operators, and \( n \) as the highest number of particles in one quantum state. For this, we have to construct Fock orthonormal states and a relevant number operator. The related particles could be called nonlinear fermions with a degree of nonlinearity \( n \) and an order of statistics \([20, 21]\) \( n \) (order of nilpotency \( n + 1 \)), shortly \( n \)-linear fermions, or simply \( n \)-fermions. In this terminology, the standard fermions are ‘1-fermions’ or linear fermions.

We suppose that there exists a vacuum state \( |0\rangle \) that is annihilated by \( A(n) \),

\[
A(n)|0\rangle = 0.
\]

From the uniqueness of the vacuum, one can derive that \( A(n) \) is nilpotent of order \( n \), namely \( A^{n+1}(n) = 0 \). For this, we first consider \( A(n)A_i^{n+1}(n) \). Applying twice the anticommutation (1) to \( A(n)A_i^{n+1}(n) \), we have

\[
A(n)A_i^{n+1}(n) = A_i^n(n) - A_i^n(n)A^i(n)A_i^n(n) = A_i^n(n) - A_i^n(n)A^{i-1}(n)A_i^{n-1}(n)
+ A_i^n(n)A^{i-1}(n)A_i^{n-1}(n)A_i^{n-1}(n).
\]

The last two terms in (3) contain the factor \( A_i^{n-1}(n)A_i^{n-1}(n) \) to the right, which by repeated applications of (1) can be transformed to the form

\[
A_i^{n-1}(n)A_i^{n-1}(n) = 1 + \text{terms with } A_i^i(n), i \geq n - 1, \text{ to the right.}
\]

Taking this into account, we see that all terms on the rhs of (3) contain the factor \( A(n) \) to their right, which means that the operator \( A(n)A_i^{n+1}(n) \) annihilates \( |0\rangle \), and thus \( A(n) \) annihilates the state \( A_i^{n+1}(n)|0\rangle \). In view of the uniqueness of \( |0\rangle \), we have to put \( A_i^{n+1}(n)|0\rangle = c_1|0\rangle \). Multiplying the latter equation from the left by \( |0\rangle \), we obtain \( c_1 = 0 \). This proves that \( A_i^{n+1}(n) \) annihilates \( |0\rangle \),

\[
A_i^{n+1}(n)|0\rangle = 0.
\]

Next we have to consider the states \( |k\rangle \),

\[
|k\rangle = A_i^k(n)|0\rangle.
\]

In view of (5) there are \( n + 1 \) nonvanishing states \( |k\rangle \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n \). These \( |k\rangle \) can be proved to be orthonormalized,

\[
\langle i|k\rangle = \langle 0|A_i^i(n)A_i^k(n)|0\rangle = \delta_{ik}.
\]

\(^1\) Such a relation has been suggested (and realized for \( n = 2, 3 \)) in [26] in the context of polynomial relations for the generators of the \( su(2) \) Lie algebra.
To verify (7), we consider the products $A^i(n)A^k(n)$. Applying repeatedly (1) we find, similar to the case (4),

$$i \leq k \leq n: \quad A^i(n)A^k(n) = A^{i+k}(n) + \text{terms with } A(n) \text{ to the right},$$

$$i > k \leq n: \quad A^i(n)A^k(n) = A^{i-k}(n) + \text{terms with } A(n) \text{ to the right}. \quad (8)$$

More explicitly, for $i = 1 < k = 3$ and $n = 3$, the first equation in (8) reads

$$A(3)A^{13}(3) = A^{12}(3) - A^{13}(3)A(3)[1 - A^{13}(3)A^{13}(3)]$$

$$+ A^{13}(3)A^{22}(3)A^{13}(3),$$

and for $i > k = 2$ and any $n$ the second equation in (8) produces

$$A^i(n)A^{i2}(n) = A^{i-2}(n)[1 - A^{i-2}(n)A^{i-2}(n)] - A^{i-1}(n)A^{i0}(n)A^{i-1}(n)[1 - A^{i-1}(n)A^{i-1}(n)].$$

From (8) it follows that

$$A^i(n)A^k(n)[0] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i > k, \\ |k-i\rangle, & \text{if } i \leq k. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Now we readily see that the orthonormality relations (7) follow from (9), and $A(n)$ and $A^i(n)$ act on $|k\rangle$ as raising and lowering operators with step 1:

$$A(n)|k\rangle = A(n)A^0(n)[0] = |k-1\rangle, \quad A^i(n)|k\rangle = |k+1\rangle. \quad (10)$$

Next we have to construct the appropriate particle number operator. One can easily check that $|k\rangle, k = 0, 1, \ldots , n$, are eigenstates of the following Hermitian operator:

$$N(n) = A^i(n)A(n) + A^{i2}(n)A^2(n) + \cdots + A^{i0}(n)A^n(n), \quad (11)$$

with the eigenvalues being equal to $k$,

$$N(n)|k\rangle = k|k\rangle. \quad (12)$$

One easily finds that the squared operator $N^2$ takes the form

$$N^2(n) = A^i(n)A(n) + 3A^{i2}(n)A^2(n) + 5A^{i3}(n)A^3(n) + \cdots + (2n-1)A^{i(n)}A^n(n), \quad (13)$$

wherefrom it follows that $N^2|k\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k (2i-1)|k\rangle = k^2|k\rangle$ as required. Thus, $N(n)$ plays the role of the number operator and states $|k\rangle$ can be called number states. The linear span of $|k\rangle$ should be denoted as $H_{n+1}$, with its dimension being $n + 1$. This is the Fock space for the $n$-fermions. In this space, the operator $A^{n+1}(n)$ is to be put to zero, since it annihilates all vectors $|k\rangle$: indeed, from (9) we find $A^{n+1}(n)|k\rangle = A^{n+1}(n)A^m(0) = 0$. It is in this sense that $A(n)$ is nilpotent of order $n + 1$, $A^{n+1}(n) = 0$. The set of projectors $|i\rangle\langle i|$ resolves the identity operator in $H_{n+1}$, \sum $|i\rangle\langle i| = 1$. In this way, the state $|k\rangle$, equation (6), can be regarded as a normalized state with $k$ number of $n$-fermions, $k = 0, 1, \ldots , n$. There are no states with more than $n$ such particles. So the degree of nonlinearity $n$ is the order of statistics of our $n$-fermions. Let us note that the relation $A(n)A^i(n)[0] = [0]$ is valid for any $n$ (any order of statistics), while for the Green parafermions [20] and Palev $A_n$-type parafermions [23] the action of the product of annihilation and creation operators on $|0\rangle$ reads $f f^\dagger|0\rangle = p|0\rangle$, where $p$ is the order of corresponding statistics.

Let us finally find the commutators between $N(n), A(n)$ and $A^i(n)$. By direct calculations we obtain the relations

$$[A(n), N(n)] = A(n), \quad [A^i(n), N(n)] = -A^i(n). \quad (14)$$

We say that the three operators $A(n), A^i(n)$ and $N$, satisfying (1) and (14), form the $n$-fermion algebra. At $n = 1$, it coincides with the (standard) fermion algebra.
It is worth noting that the operators $A(n)$ and $A^\dagger(n)$ can be expressed in terms of the (nonorthogonal) projectors $|i\rangle\langle i|\rangle$ as follows:

$$A(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| + 1|, \quad A^\dagger(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |i + 1\rangle\langle i|.$$  

(15)

The latter formulas show that the operators $A(n)$ and $A^\dagger(n)$ can be realized as ladder operators in any finite-level quantum system with $n + 1$ orthonormalized states. This is in complete analogy with the case of ordinary fermion operators and ladder operators in two-level systems. Matrix elements of $A(n)$ between $|i\rangle$ and $|k\rangle$ are $(i|A(n)|k) = \delta_{i,k-1}$. Thus, in the matrix form we have ($i$ denoting the row and $k$ the column)

$$A(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |n\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(16)

### 3. $n$-Fermion CS

#### 3.1. Ladder operator eigenstates

Our next aim is the construction of CS of the $n$-fermion system in the form of annihilation operator eigenstates. From $A^{n+1} = 0$, it follows that the eigenvalues $\zeta$ of $A$, when they exist, should be nilpotent of the same order $n$ as $A$ is, i.e. $\zeta^{n+1} = 0$. In order to perform the explicit construction of CS, we have to specify the (anti)commutation relations between $\zeta$ and $\zeta^*$, $A$ and $A^\dagger$. Keeping in mind the known case of eigenvalues of the ordinary fermion operators, we adopt the following relations:

$$\{\zeta, \zeta^*\} = 0, \quad \{\zeta, A(n)\} = 0 = \{\zeta, A^\dagger(n)\}, \quad \zeta |0\rangle = |0\rangle \zeta,$$  

(17)

where $\{a, b\} = ab + ba$. In view of (17) and (6), one has $\zeta |k\rangle = (-1)^k |k\rangle \zeta$ and $\zeta^* |k\rangle = |k\rangle \zeta^* \zeta$.

The one-mode (complex) Grassmann variables are known to admit matrix representation in terms of $4 \times 4$ matrices. It is worth looking therefore for the matrix representation of para-Grassmann variables too. With this aim, consider the $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices, $n > 1$,

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$  

(18)

where the values $1, -i$ are alternating in the first subdiagonal of $\xi$ up to the $n + 1$ row, and $\xi^*$ is the conjugate of $\xi$. One can easily verify that the following relations are valid: $\{\xi, \xi^*\} = 0$, $\xi^{n+1} = 0 = \xi^{*n+1}$. Therefore, the quantities $\xi$ and $\xi^*$ can be regarded as generators (basis elements) of our para-Grassmann algebra, and $z \xi, z^* \xi^*$ with $z \in C$ as the matrix representation of the para-Grassman variables $\xi$ and $\xi^*$.

Eigenstates $|\zeta; n\rangle$ of $A(n)$ should be constructed as a series in terms of the basic number states $|k\rangle$. Since $\zeta$ does not commute with $|k\rangle$, it is clear that $\zeta$ could not commute with $|\zeta; n\rangle$.
too. Therefore, there are two main types of eigenstates of $A(n)$: ‘left’ and ‘right’. Here, we adopt the ‘right’ eigenstates\footnote{The ‘left’ eigenstates of $A(n)$ are considered in the last section. At $n = 1$ (standard fermion case), the ‘left’ and ‘right’ eigenstates coincide.},

$$A(n)|\xi; n\rangle_r = |\xi; n\rangle_r \xi.$$

Using anticommutation relations (17) and the action of $A$ on the number states $|k\rangle$ (equation (10)), one can easily verify that the superpositions

$$||\xi; n\rangle_r = |0\rangle - \xi|1\rangle + \xi^2|2\rangle - \cdots + (-1)^n \xi^n|n\rangle$$

are (non-normalized) ‘right’ eigenstates of $A$: $A(n)||\xi; n\rangle_r = ||\xi; n\rangle_r \xi$. The normalization factor $N$ turned out to be $\sqrt{1 - \xi^* \xi}$, so that the normalized states are

$$|\xi; n\rangle_r = N(\xi^* \xi)|\xi; n\rangle_r = \sqrt{1 - \xi^* \xi} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-\xi)^k|k\rangle.$$

At $n = 1$, we have $|\xi; 1\rangle_r = (1 - \xi^* \xi/2) (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$ which recovers the usually used form of the standard fermion CS [5]. For any $n$, our states reveal the following useful properties:

$$A^k(n)|\xi; n\rangle_r = |\xi; n\rangle_r \xi^k,$$

$$r(n; \xi)A^k(n)|\xi; n\rangle_r = \xi^* \xi^k (23)$$

Eigenstates with different eigenvalues $\xi$ and $\eta$ are not orthogonal. Their scalar product takes the form (note that $\xi \eta = \eta \xi$, $\xi^* \eta^* = -\eta^* \xi$)

$$r(n; \xi)\eta; n\rangle_r = (1 + \xi^* \eta + \cdots + \xi^* \eta^n)N(\xi^* \xi)N(\eta^* \eta).$$

3.2. Integration rules and overcompleteness

Our next aim is to establish the overcompleteness of the set of $A(n)$-eigenstates $|\xi; n\rangle_r$, equations (20), (21). For this purpose, we have to find appropriate integraion rules for the anticommutating $n$th-order nilpotent variables $A^k(n)$ and $A^* (n)$, such that the set of projectors $|\xi; n\rangle_r, r(n; \xi)$ resolves the unity operator 1 in the state space $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$,

$$I = \int d\xi^* d\xi |\xi; n\rangle_r r(n; \xi) = 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)

Keeping in mind the Berezin integration rules for complex Grassmann variables and the overcompleteness of the standard fermion CS [5], we adopt the following form:

$$\int d\xi^* d\xi \xi^k \xi^* e^k = \delta_{gg_k(n)} \delta_{i,j},$$

where $g_k(n)$ are the real numbers to be determined. We shall require that at $n = 1$ the generalized rules (26) recover the Berezin rules [5], which read

$$g_0^\text{Ber} = 0, \quad g_1^\text{Ber} = 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (27)

In fact, the integration $\int d\xi^* d\xi$ in (26), and later on, should be regarded as a linear functional $I[f]$ that maps the functions $f$ of $\xi$ and $\xi^*$ into the real line. Due to the nilpotency of $\xi$, these functions are superpositions of monomials $\xi^k, k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

Replacing $|\xi; n\rangle_r$ and $r(n; \xi)$ with the corresponding expressions according to (21), we obtain

$$I = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \int d\xi^* d\xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^* \xi} |i\rangle \langle j| \xi^* \xi^k |j\rangle \sqrt{1 - \xi^* \xi},$$

\hspace{1cm} (28)
In view of the completeness of the set adjacent states is for example. We put wherefrom, taking into account (26), we have
\[
I = \sum_{i,k} \int d\zeta^* d\zeta \sqrt{1 - \zeta^* \zeta} \zeta^i \zeta^k \langle k | \sqrt{1 - \zeta^* \zeta} = \sum_k I_k, \tag{29}
\]
\[
I_k = \int d\zeta^* d\zeta \sqrt{1 - \zeta^* \zeta} \zeta^k \zeta^k | k \rangle \langle k | \sqrt{1 - \zeta^* \zeta}. \tag{30}
\]
Noting that \(\zeta^k \zeta^k\) commute with \(|k\rangle \langle k|\) and \(N\) commutes with \(|k\rangle \langle k|\) and \(\zeta^k \zeta^k\), we find
\[
I_k = \int d\zeta^* d\zeta (1 - \zeta^* \zeta) \zeta^k \zeta^k | k \rangle \langle k | = (g_k(n) - (-1)^{k+1} g_{k+1})|k\rangle \langle k|,
\]
after which equation (29) is rewritten as
\[
I = \sum_{k=0}^n (g_k(n) - (-1)^{k+1} g_{k+1})|k\rangle \langle k|. \tag{32}
\]
In view of the completeness of the set \{|k\rangle\}, the operator \(I\) would be the identity operator in \(\mathcal{H}_{n+1}\) iff
\[
g_k(n) - (-1)^{k+1} g_{k+1} = 1. \tag{33}
\]
These are recurrence relations for the quantities \(g_k(n)\): one can express all \(g_k\) in terms of \(g_n\) for example. We put \(g_n(n = 1) = 1\) and write the solution
\[
g_k(n) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} (-1)^{k+i} \frac{(n+1)}{i}, \quad k = n - 1, n - 2, \ldots, 0. \tag{34}
\]
For \(g_k\), \(k = n, n - 1, n - 2, n - 3\), we have
\[
g_n(n = 1) = 1 + (-1)^n, \quad g_{n-2}(n) = (-1)^{n-1}, \quad g_{n-3}(n) = 0. \tag{35}
\]
Note the different structures of \(g_k(n)\) for odd and even \(n\) (i.e. for even and odd dimensions of the space \(\mathcal{H}_{n+1}\)). For odd \(n (n = 1, 3, \ldots)\), the structure is very simple:
\[
g_n = 1, \quad g_{n-1} = 0, \quad g_{n-2} = 1, \ldots, g_1 = 1, \quad g_0 = 0. \tag{36}
\]
Clearly, these are direct and natural generalizations of the Berezin rules (27) to the case of \((n+1)\)th-order nilpotent anticommuting variables.

We have proven above that the integration rules (26) with \(g_k\) given by (34) ensure the overcompleteness relation (25) for \(|\zeta; n\rangle_r\). Therefore, \(|\zeta; n\rangle_r\) can be qualified as CS—the n-fermion ladder operator CS. At \(n = 1\), they reproduce the fermionic CS [5, 4] (Grassmann CS [6]).

4. General \((n+1)\)th-order nilpotent ladder operators and CS

4.1. Ladder operators and their ‘right’ eigenstates

In the finite-dimensional Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_{n+1}\) (spanned by a set of orthonormal vectors \(|k\rangle\)), the general form of \((n+1)\)th-order nilpotent ladder operators that perform transitions between adjacent states is
\[
A(n, \vec{\alpha}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k | k + 1 \rangle, \quad A^\dagger(n, \vec{\alpha}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k^* | k + 1 \rangle, \tag{37}
\]
where \(\alpha_k\) are complex numbers, in general. The actions on \(|k\rangle\) are
\[
A(n, \vec{\alpha}) | k \rangle = \alpha_{k-1} | k - 1 \rangle, \quad A^\dagger(n, \vec{\alpha}) | k \rangle = \alpha_k^* | k + 1 \rangle, \tag{38}
\]
\[
A^\dagger(n, \vec{\alpha}) A(n, \vec{\alpha}) | k \rangle = | \alpha_{k-1}^2 | k \rangle.
\]
We suppose that \( A(n, \vec{a}) |0\rangle = 0 \), so that \( \alpha_{-1} = 0 \). States \(|k\rangle\) may be regarded as eigenstates of a Hamiltonian \( H \) of some finite-level \((n + 1)\)-level quantum system, not necessarily with the equidistant spectrum. If \( \varepsilon_0 = 0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \) are (nondegenerate) the eigenvalues of \( H \), then we have \( H = A^\dagger(n, \vec{a}) A(n, \vec{a}) \) with \(|\alpha_{-1}|^2 = \varepsilon_k\). Since \(|k\rangle\) are eigenstates also of the \( n \)-fermion number operator \( N \), equation (11), the Hamiltonian commutes with \( N \). The proportionality relation \( H = h \omega N \) (with \( \omega \) being some frequency parameter) holds for \( H \) with the equidistant spectrum only. Thus, \( \varepsilon_k \) may be viewed as the sum of energies of \( k \) noninteracting \( n \)-fermions with equal portions \( \varepsilon_k/k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

In previous sections, we studied the simplest case of \( \alpha_k = 1 \), i.e. we have \( A(n, 1) \equiv A(n) \). This means that nonlinear fermion operators \( A(n) \) can be formally realized for any \((n + 1)\)-level quantum system, in complete analogy with the case of the standard fermion operators and two-level system. At \( \alpha_k = \sqrt{(n+1)(2j-k)} \), the spin \( j \) lowering operators \( J_+ \) are recovered (redenoting standard spin states \(|j, m\rangle \) with \(|k\rangle, k = m + j; |j, j\rangle = |0\rangle, |j, -j + 1\rangle = |1\rangle, \ldots, |j, j\rangle = |n\rangle, n = 2j; \alpha_{-1} = 0, \alpha_0 = \sqrt{n}, \alpha_1 = \sqrt{2(n-1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} = \sqrt{n} \).

As in the known case of standard fermions, we can consider the \( n \)-fermion operators \( A(n) \) and \( A^\dagger(n) \) as a complete set in the sense that any other operator in \( \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \) is a polynomial in terms of \( A(n) \) and \( A^\dagger(n) \). It is not difficult to verify that the general ladder operators \( A(n, \vec{a}) \) take the following polynomial form:

\[
A(n, \vec{a}) = \alpha_0 A(n) + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_0) A^\dagger(n) A(n) + \cdots (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{-2}) A_{n-1} A^\dagger(n) A(n) A^\dagger(n) \quad \text{(39)}
\]

In the particular case of spin ladder operators \( J_\pm \) for spin \( n/2 = 1/2, 1, 3/2 \), such a formula is provided in [26]. Clearly, in general, the ladder operators (37) do not obey the nonlinear anticommutation relation (1), though the operator \( A(n, \vec{a}) A^\dagger(n, \vec{a}) A^\dagger(n) A^\dagger(n, \vec{a}) \) is diagonal:

\[
A(n, \vec{a}) A^\dagger(n, \vec{a}) + A_{n}^\dagger(n, \vec{a}) A_{n}^\dagger(n, \vec{a}) = \text{diag}(|\alpha_0|^2, |\alpha_1|^2, \ldots, |\alpha_{-1}|^2, |\alpha_{-1}^2|) \quad \text{(40)}
\]

where \(|\alpha_{-1}|^2 = |\alpha_{-1}^2| = |\alpha_{-1}^2| = \cdots = |\alpha_{-1}^2|).

With the aim of constructing CS as eigenstates of \( A(n, \vec{a}) \), we first note that the eigenvalues \( \zeta \) of \( n \)-fermion annihilation operators \( A(n, \vec{a}) \) anticommute with \( A(n, \vec{a}) \), as is seen from equations (39) and (17):

\[
\{ \zeta, A(n, \vec{a}) \} = 0 = \{ \zeta, A^\dagger(n, \vec{a}) \}. \quad \text{(41)}
\]

Then, using (38) and (41), we easily derive the form of eigenstates of \( A(n, \vec{a}) \) with the ‘right’ eigenvalue (shortly ‘right’ eigenstates),

\[
A(n, \vec{a})|\zeta; n, \vec{a}\rangle_r = |\zeta; n, \vec{a}\rangle_r \zeta, \quad |\zeta\rangle_r = \mathcal{N}(\zeta^* \zeta, \vec{a}) |\zeta; n, \vec{a}\rangle_r. \quad \text{(42)}
\]

\[
||\zeta; n, \vec{a}\rangle_r = (0) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha_0} |1\rangle + \frac{\zeta^2}{\alpha_1} |2\rangle - \frac{\zeta^3}{\alpha_2} |3\rangle + \cdots + (-1)^n \frac{\zeta^n}{\alpha_{n-1}^2} |n\rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \frac{\zeta^k}{\alpha_{k-1}^2} |k\rangle, \quad \text{(43)}
\]

where \( \alpha_{-1} \equiv 0, 0! \equiv 1, \alpha_{k-1}! = \alpha_0 \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1} \). \( \mathcal{N}(\zeta^* \zeta, \vec{a}) \) is the normalization constant,

\[
\mathcal{N}^2(\zeta^* \zeta, \vec{a}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j^* (\vec{a}) (\zeta^* \zeta)^j = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j (\vec{a}) \zeta^* \zeta^j, \quad \text{(44)}
\]

with the coefficients \( a_j \) being determined via the recurrence relations

\[
a_j = \frac{\alpha_0}{|\alpha_0|^2} = \frac{\alpha_1}{|\alpha_1|^2} = \cdots = \frac{\alpha_{j-1}}{|\alpha_{j-1}|^2}. \quad \text{(45)}
\]
The first four $a_j$s are $a_0, a_1 = -a_0/|\alpha_1|^2, a_2 = -a_0/|\alpha_1|^2! - a_1/|\alpha_1|^2! + a_2/|\alpha_1|^2!$, and $a_3 = -a_0/|\alpha_3|^2! - a_1/|\alpha_2|^2! + a_2/|\alpha_1|^2!$, which in terms of $a_k$ read $(a_0$ is put to 1)

$$a_0 = 1, \quad a_1 = -\frac{1}{|\alpha_1|^2!}$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{1}{|\alpha_2|^2!} + \frac{1}{|\alpha_1|^4!},$$

$$a_3 = -\frac{1}{|\alpha_3|^2!} + \frac{2}{|\alpha_1|^2!|\alpha_2|^2!} - \frac{1}{|\alpha_1|^6!}.$$  (46)

### 4.2. Overcompleteness of the ‘right’ eigenstates of $A(n, \vec{a})$

Our next task is to establish the overcompleteness of the set $\{|\zeta; n, \vec{a}\rangle\}$. It turns out that the para-Grassmann variables $\zeta$ and $\zeta^*$ with the same basic anticommutation and integration rules (equations (17), (26), (41) and (34)) can be used to establish the overcompleteness of this set. This time however we have to introduce the appropriate weight function $W(\zeta^*; n, \vec{a})$ in the resolution unity relation

$$\int d\zeta^* d\zeta W(\zeta^*; n, \vec{a})|\zeta; n, \vec{a}\rangle\langle \zeta; n, \vec{a}| = 1.$$  (47)

Taking into account that $W$ and $N$ depend on $\zeta$ and $\zeta^*$ through $\zeta^*\zeta$ and using equations (43), (26) and the completeness of the set of $|k\rangle$, we obtain the $n + 1$ integral relations for the unknown $W$,

$$\int d\zeta^* d\zeta W(\zeta^*; n, \vec{a})N^2(\zeta^*; n, \vec{a}) \frac{\zeta^k \zeta^k}{|\alpha_{k-1}|^2!} = 1,$$  (48)

where $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n, |\alpha_{k-1}|^2! = |\alpha_0|^2|\alpha_1|^2! \cdots |\alpha_{k-1}|^2!$ and $|\alpha_{-1}|^2! = 0! = 1$. In fact, here we have to solve the inverse momentum problem. We expand $W$ in a series in terms of $\zeta^*\zeta$,

$$W(\zeta^*; n, \vec{a}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i(n, \vec{a}) (\zeta^*)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i(n, \vec{a}) \zeta^i \zeta^i,$$  (49)

take into account the expansion of $N^2$, equation (44), and obtain

$$\frac{1}{|\alpha_{k-1}|^2!} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_j w_j \int d\zeta^* d\zeta \zeta^j \zeta^j \zeta^k \zeta^k = 1.$$  (50)

In view of (26), these are recurrence relations for $w_j$ in terms of the known $a_{k-1}, a_k$ and $g_k$. Indeed, for $k = n$ in (50), we have $a_0 w_0 g_n = |\alpha_{n-1}|^2!$, wherefrom $w_0$ is determined. Similarly, putting $k = n - 1, n - 2, \ldots$ we can express $w_1, w_2, \ldots$ in terms of $w_0, w_1, \ldots$,

$$w_0 = \frac{|\alpha_{n-1}|^2!}{a_0 g_n},$$

$$w_1 = (-1)^n a_1 \frac{1}{a_0 g_n} (|\alpha_{n-2}|^2! - a_0 w_0 g_{n-1} - (-1)^n a_1 w_0 g_n),$$

$$w_2 = \frac{1}{a_0 g_n} (|\alpha_{n-3}|^2! - a_0 w_0 g_{n-2} - (-1)^{n-1} (a_0 w_1 + a_1 w_0) g_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{a_0} (a_1 w_1 - a_2 w_0),$$

$$w_3 = (-1)^3 a_1 \frac{1}{a_0 g_n} (|\alpha_{n-4}|^2! - a_0 w_0 g_{n-3} - (-1)^{n-2} (a_0 w_1 + a_1 w_0) g_{n-2})$$

$$- \frac{1}{a_0} (-1)^3 (a_0 a_2 w_2 - a_2 w_0 - a_1 w_1) g_{n-1}) - (a_3 w_0 - w_1 a_2 - w_2 a_1).$$  (51)
At \( \alpha_k = 1 \), the above formulas (51) do reproduce \( w_0 = 1 \), \( w_1 = 0 = w_2 = w_3 \) as expected. We write down the explicit form of all \( W \)-coefficients \( w_j \) in terms of \( \alpha_k \) for \( n = 3 \) (four-level system):

\[
\begin{align*}
 w_0 &= |\alpha_2|^2!, \quad w_1 = -|\alpha_1|^2! - |\alpha_2|^2!, \\
 w_2 &= |\alpha_0|^2! - |\alpha_2|^2! - \alpha_1(|\alpha_1|^2! + |\alpha_2|^2!) - \alpha_2|\alpha_2|^2!, \\
 w_3 &= -1 + |\alpha_1|^2! + |\alpha_3|^2! + \alpha_2(|\alpha_1|^2! + |\alpha_2|^2!) \\
 &\quad - \alpha_1 (|\alpha_0|^2! - |\alpha_2|^2! - \alpha_1|\alpha_1|^2! - \alpha_2|\alpha_2|^2!),
\end{align*}
\]

(52)

where \( \alpha_j \) are given in terms of \( \alpha_k \) in (46), \( \alpha_0 = 1 \).

It is worth emphasizing that the resolution of the unity operator in terms of the non-normalized eigenstates projectors \( ||\zeta; \vec{n}, \vec{\alpha}|| \), \( ||\zeta; \vec{n}, \vec{\alpha}|| \), equation (20), is also possible,

\[
\int d\zeta^* d\zeta \ \tilde{W}(\zeta^* \zeta; n, \vec{\alpha}) ||\zeta; \vec{n}, \vec{\alpha}|| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tilde{w}_i(n, \vec{\alpha}) \zeta^i \zeta^i,
\]

(53)

with the coefficients \( \tilde{w}_i \) being determined via the recurrence relations (50), this time with \( a_0 = 1 \) and \( a_1 = a_2 = \ldots = a_n = 0 \). For \( n = 3 \) (four-level system), the four \( \tilde{w}_i \) read

\[
\begin{align*}
 \tilde{w}_0 &= |\alpha_2|^2!, \quad \tilde{w}_1 = -|\alpha_1|^2!, \\
 \tilde{w}_2 &= |\alpha_0|^2! - |\alpha_2|^2!, \quad \tilde{w}_3 = -1 + |\alpha_1|^2!.
\end{align*}
\]

(55)

At \( \alpha_k = 1 \), relations (55) produce \( \tilde{W} = 1 - \zeta^* \zeta \) as expected.

In this way, we have proved that the ladder operator ‘right’ eigenstates \( |\zeta; n, \vec{\alpha}|| \), for every set of nonvanishing complex parameters \( \alpha_k \) do form an overcomplete family with respect to the anticommutation relations (17) and the fixed integration rules (26) and (34). Therefore, these states can be qualified as \( CS \) (ladder operator ‘right’ \( CS \)) for the \((n + 1)\)-level quantum systems. At \( \alpha_k = \sqrt{(k + T)(2j - k)} \), the operator \( A(n, \vec{\alpha}) \) coincides with spin lowering operator \( J_− \); therefore, the states \( |\zeta; n, \vec{\alpha}| \), at \( \alpha_k = \sqrt{(k + T)(2j - k)} \) are the spin ladder operator ‘right’ \( CS \). At \( \alpha_k = 1 \), for all \( k \), the states \( |\zeta; n, \vec{\alpha}| \), reproduce the \( n \)-fermion ‘right’ \( CS \) \( |\zeta; n, \vec{\alpha}| \). In \( CS \) \( |\zeta; n, \vec{\alpha}| \), the eigenvalues of \( A^\dagger(n, \vec{\alpha}) \) and \( A(n, \vec{\alpha}) \) and the mean values of \( A^\dagger(n, \vec{\alpha})A(n, \vec{\alpha}) \), for any \( n \) and \( \vec{\alpha} \), are given by the same desired expressions as in (22) and (23).

5. ‘Left’ ladder operator \( CS \) and \( D-CS \)

5.1. ‘Left’ ladder operator \( CS \)

Our aim here is the construction of the ladder operator ‘left’ \( CS \) of the \( general \) \((n + 1)\)-level system with orthonormalized states \( |k\rangle \). As in the previous sections, we suppose that eigenvalues \( \zeta \) and the ladder operators \( A(n, \vec{\alpha}) \), equation (37), obey the same (anti)commutation relations (17). Then, we easily find that the states

\[
|\zeta; n, \vec{\alpha}|| = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \frac{\zeta^k}{\alpha_{k-1}^!}|k\rangle
\]

\[
= |0\rangle - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha_0^!}|1\rangle - \frac{\zeta^2}{\alpha_1^!}|2\rangle + \frac{\zeta^3}{\alpha_2^!}|3\rangle + \cdots + (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \frac{\zeta^n}{\alpha_{n-1}^!}|n\rangle
\]

(56)
are the (non-normalized) eigenstates of \(A(n, \vec{a})\) with the ‘left’ eigenvalue (shortly ‘left’

eigenstates)

\[
A(n, \vec{a})|\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle = \xi |\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle.
\] (57)

At \(n = 1\) and \(\alpha_0 = 1\), ‘left’ and ‘right’ eigenstates coincide: we have \(|\{ \xi; 1, 1\}\rangle = |0\rangle - \xi |1\rangle\),

which recovers the usually used form of the standard fermion CS [5].

An objectionable property of these states is that the eigenvalue of even powers of \(A(n, \vec{a})\)
is not equal to the same power of \(\xi\),

\[
A^k(n, \vec{a})|\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle = (-1)^{k-1} \xi^k |\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle.
\] (58)

The scalar product \((\xi, n; \xi; n, \vec{a})\) takes the Hermitian form

\[
\langle \xi, n; \xi; n, \vec{a}\rangle = 1 + \frac{\xi^* \xi}{|\alpha_0|^2} + \frac{\xi^* 2 \xi^2}{|\alpha_1|^2} + \cdots + \frac{\xi^* n \xi^n}{|\alpha_{n-1}|^2}.
\] (59)

The normalization constant can be seen to be the same as that in equation (42): \(\mathcal{N}_l = \mathcal{N}\). However, in view of the noncommutation between \(\xi\) and \(\mathcal{N}\), we encounter another objectionable property: the normalized ‘left’ states \(|\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle := \mathcal{N}_l |\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle\) are not eigenstates of \(A(n, \vec{a})\) for \(n > 1\),

\[
\mathcal{N}_l A(n, \vec{a}) |\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle = \mathcal{N}_l A(n, \vec{a}) |\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle \\
\neq \xi \mathcal{N}_l |\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle.
\] (60)

As a result, further objectionable properties occur: at \(n > 1\), the mean values in \(|\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle\) of

powers \(A^k\) and \(A^l A^k\) are not equal to \(\xi^k\) and \(\xi^k \xi^l\), respectively.

It is interesting however that the ‘left’ eigenstates \(|\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle\) do form an overcomplete set

with respect to our integration rules (26) and (34), with the weight function \(W(\xi^* \xi; n, \vec{a})\) being the same as that for the ‘right’ CS (see equations (49)–(52)). This can be verified by
direct calculations. So, mathematically, we have two overcomplete families of normalized states related to every ladder operator \(A(n, \vec{a})\). They coincide at \(n = 1\) only.

It is worth noting that (non-normalized) eigenstates of operators of the form (37)

with the ‘left’ eigenvalues are constructed in the recent papers [9, 14–16], where different

anticommutation relations and integration rules for generalized Grassmann variables were

adopted. These ‘left’ eigenstates, called in [9, 14–16] CS, reveal similar objectionable

properties as those for \(|\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle\), listed above, which are due to the noncommutation of the corresponding

para-Grassmannian eigenvalues with the related normalization constants and ladder operators. (The way out of such inconsistencies is provided by the ‘right’ CS, constructed in the previous subsections.) At \(\alpha_k = 1\), for all \(k\), the states \(|\{ \xi; n, \vec{a}\}\rangle\) are the ‘left’ eigenstates of the \(n\)-fermion annihilation operator \(A(n)\), and at \(\alpha_k = \sqrt{(k+1)(2J-k)}\) they are the ‘left’ eigenstates of the spin lowering operator \(J_-\).

5.2. Displacement-operator-like CS for \(n\)-fermions

The canonical fermion CS \(|\xi\rangle\) [5], reproduced by our CS \(|\xi; n\rangle\) at \(n = 1\), are equally well

constructed as \(D\)-CS \(|\xi\rangle = D(\xi)|0\rangle\) with the unitary displacement operator \(D(\xi) = \exp(a^\dagger \xi - \xi^* a)\), \(a = A(1)\). These \(D(\xi)\) obey the relation

\[
D(\xi) a D(\xi)^\dagger = a + \xi,
\] (61)

wherefrom it follows, due to the commutations \([\xi, a^\dagger \xi - \xi^* a] = 0, [a, a^\dagger \xi - \xi^* a]\) = \(\xi\), that

\(D(\xi)|0\rangle\) is (left and right) an eigenstate of \(a\) with the eigenvalue \(\xi\).

One might be tempted to construct \(D\)-CS for \(n\)-fermions by means of the similarly defined

unitary displacement operator

\[
D(\xi, n) = \exp(A(1)^\dagger (n) \xi - \xi^* A(n)),
\] (62)
which at $n = 1$ recovers the fermion displacement operator \([5]\). However, at $n > 1$ the variable $\zeta$ does not commute with $A^\dagger(n)\zeta - \zeta^* A(n)$ and $[A(n), A^\dagger(n)\zeta - \zeta^* A(n)] \neq \zeta$, which means that
\[
D^\dagger(\zeta, n)A(n)D(\zeta, n) \neq A(n) + \zeta.
\] (63)

Therefore, the ‘displaced’ states $|\zeta; n_D\rangle$,
\[
|\zeta; n_D\rangle := D(\zeta, n)|0\rangle,
\] (64)

*are not eigenstates* of $A(n)$. They do however form an overcomplete system with respect to
our integration rules \((26)\) and \((34)\) and with the appropriately determined weight function $W_D(\zeta^* \zeta; n)$. One can easily verify the validity of these two statements from the example of $n = 2, n = 3$ etc. For $n = 2$, we find
\[
|\zeta; 2_D\rangle = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta)|0\rangle - \zeta|1\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2|2\rangle,
\] (65)

and for $n = 3$,
\[
|\zeta; 3_D\rangle = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta - \frac{1}{6!}\zeta^* \zeta^2\right)|0\rangle - \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{5!}\zeta^* \zeta^3\right)|1\rangle
\]
\[
+ \left(-\frac{1}{2}\zeta^2 + \frac{1}{4!}\zeta^* \zeta^3\right)|2\rangle + \frac{1}{3!}\zeta^3|3\rangle,
\] (67)

$W_D(\zeta^* \zeta; 3) = 36 + 2\zeta^* \zeta + \frac{178}{5}\zeta^* \zeta^2 + \frac{1382}{20}\zeta^* \zeta^3.$

(68)

Using the nilpotency of $\zeta$ and the (anti)commutation relations \((17)\), one can directly check that the states $|\zeta; 2_D\rangle, |\zeta; 3_D\rangle$, given by the series in \((65), (67)\), are normalized. In view of the fact that the normalized ‘displaced’ states $|\zeta; n_D\rangle$ are not eigenstates of $A(n)$, we shall call them displacement-operator-like CS (D-CS for short) for $n$-fermions.

It is worth noting that for $n$-fermions, there exist ‘higher order’ unitary ‘displacement-like’ operators due to the fact that exponentials of polynomials in terms of $A^\dagger(n)$ and $A(n)$ are finite series. Applying such operators to the ground state $|0\rangle$, one can expect to obtain other
overcomplete families of states (CS). For example, the states
\[
|\zeta; n_D\rangle := D'(\zeta, n)|0\rangle,
\] (69)

where
\[
D'(\zeta, n) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A^\dagger(n)\zeta^k - \zeta^* A^k(n)\right),
\] (70)

are normalized and form an overcomplete set with respect to rules \((26)\) and \((34)\) and with the appropriately determined weight function $W_D(\zeta^* \zeta; n)$. These $|\zeta; n_D\rangle$, like $|\zeta; n_D\rangle$, are not eigenstates of $A(n)$. For illustration, let us provide the results for $n = 2$,
\[
|\zeta; 2_D\rangle = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta^2)|0\rangle - (\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta^2)|1\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2|2\rangle,
\] (71)

$W_D(\zeta^* \zeta; 2) = 4 - 11\zeta^* \zeta - 9\zeta^* \zeta^2.$

(72)

and for $n = 3$,
\[
|\zeta; 3_D\rangle = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta^2 - \frac{1}{4!}\zeta^* \zeta^3 - \frac{21}{5!}\zeta^* \zeta^3\right)|0\rangle
\]
\[
+ \left(-\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^* \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{3!}\zeta^* \zeta^3 + \frac{59}{5!}\zeta^* \zeta^3 + \frac{1}{4!}\zeta^* \zeta^3\right)|1\rangle
\]
\[
+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\zeta^2 - \frac{7}{4!}\zeta^* \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{3!}\zeta^* \zeta^3\right)|2\rangle + \left(\frac{2}{3}\zeta^3 + \frac{1}{4!}\zeta^* \zeta^3\right)|3\rangle.
\] (73)
Thus, there are three distinct types of overcomplete families of n-fermion CS (‘right’ and ‘left’ ladder operator CS), and D-CS (D- and D′-CS), which at $n = 1$ all recover the canonical fermion CS [5]. It is worth recalling at this point the similar situation with the well-known Barut–Girardello CS $|z; k⟩$ and Perelomov CS $|ξ, k⟩$ for $SU(1, 1)$ (see e.g. in [2]): the former are eigenstates of the ladder operator $K_+$ with the eigenvalue $z$, and the latter are obtained applying to the ground state the $SU(1, 1)$ unitary operator $D(ξ) = \exp(ξ K_+ − ξ^* K_-)$. However, $|ξ, k⟩$ are not eigenstates of $K_−$ and $|z; k⟩$ are not orbits of $D(ξ)$.

6. Concluding remarks

We have introduced a new kind of parafermion, called n-linear fermions (shortly n-fermions), based on the simple nonlinear anticommutation relations (1). Using these relations and supposing the existence of a unique vacuum, we derived (not simply assumed) the $(n + 1)$th-order nilpotency of the operators $A(n)$, $A^♯(n)$ and constructed the system of Fock states, on which $A(n)$ and $A^♯(n)$ act as particle creation and annihilation operators. The $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix realization of these operators is provided. A remarkable feature of n-fermions is that the order of their statistics equals the degree of nonlinearity $n$ of the anticommutation relations (1).

‘Right’ and ‘left’ eigenstates of n-fermion annihilation operator $A(n)$ are presented, introducing generalized ($(n + 1)$th-order nilpotent) Grassmann variables $ξ^*$ and $ξ$ with the same simple anticommutation relations as for the standard Grassmann variables. These para-Grassmann variables are shown to be realized by simple $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices, equation (18). Then we derived integration rules for these variables that ensure the overcompleteness of eigenstates of $A(n)$, establishing in this way n-fermion CS. Our integration rules are a simple generalization of Berezin rules used for canonical fermion CS [5], but differ from rules used in other papers on parafermion CS [7–10], [14–16]. They reveal some differences for odd and even $n$, with the rules for odd $n$ (even dimension of the Fock space) being simplest. This is an interesting correlation between (parity of) paraparticle order of statistics and related para-Grassmann integration rules.

The n-fermion para-Grassmann variables and integration rules appeared in a certain sense as basic ones. Using these same variables and rules, we succeeded in constructing ‘right’ and ‘left’ overcomplete ladder operator CS for the general ladder operators $A(n, a)$ and $A^♯(n, a)$ in the $(n + 1)$-dimensional Hilbert space $H_{n+1}$, and normalized displacement-operator-like CS (D-CS) for n-fermions. At $n = 1$, the ‘right’, ‘left’ and D-CS all reproduce the canonical fermion CS, but at $n > 1$ these CS reveal different properties, more useful in the case of ‘right’ CS and some objectionable ones in the case of ‘left’ CS. The operators $A(n, a)$ and $A^♯(n, a)$ were expressed as polynomials in terms of $A(n)$ and $A^♯(n)$. It is feasible that any operator in $H_{n+1}$ could be expressed in terms of n-fermion operators, as is the case with ordinary fermions at $n = 1$. The noted commutation of the Hamiltonian of a finite-level system with the n-fermion number operator reveals the possibility of considering the energy level $ε_k$ as a sum of the energies of $k$ number of n-fermions. The concept of n-fermions, the related new para-Grassmann algebra and CS could be useful in further description of physical properties of finite-level quantum systems.
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