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Abstract: Language identification is an important task in natural language processing that consists of determining the language of a given text. It has increasingly picked the interest of researchers for the past few years, especially for code-switching informal textual content. This paper, focuses on the identification of the Romanized user-generated Tunisian dialect on the social web. Segmented and annotated a corpus extracted from social media and propose a deep learning approach for the identification task. A Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory neural network with Conditional Random Fields decoding (BLSTM-CRF) had been used. For word embeddings, a combination of word-character BLSTM vector representation and Fast Text embeddings that takes into consideration character n-gram features. The overall accuracy obtained is 98.65%.
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1. Introduction

The language situation in Tunisia is characterized by a huge diversity. Besides the linguistic phenomena resulting of the speaking practices and regional dialects, we witness the presence of foreign languages like French and English. This diversity is mainly due to historical, cultural and political reasons [14].

Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) is the official language in Tunisia. It’s the language taught in schools and used in administration, press and state media. The Tunisian Dialect (TD) on the other hand remains the predominant oral language. It is naturally spoken by a large part of the Tunisian population. In the social web, users resort to Tunisian dialect in their written exchanges. They often include language loans, especially from French and English. Textual productions on Tunisian social networks are indeed strongly characterized by the code switching and the multilingualism phenomena.

The diversity that Tunisia witnessed especially politically and historically, favoured the emergence of multilingualism. Indeed, Tunisians use more than one language in their social exchanges (Modern Standard Arabic, Tunisian dialect, French, English, etc.). Code switching¹, on the other hand, refers to the act of changing between two or more languages in the same discourse according to the Cambridge dictionary². This phenomenon was widely discussed by linguists since it may occur in both oral and written conversations [57].

Both multilingualism and code switching make the language processing a non-trivial task, especially for the Tunisian dialect in its written form. The TD used on the social web is fundamentally a spoken language. It lacks available language resources namely corpora, lexica and dictionaries to allow its automatic processing. To create such resources, we need to harvest a large amount of textual content from the social web. Multilingualism and code switching make this task more challenging. The textual content written by Tunisians on the social web includes messages from different languages. The same message may itself include words from several languages. These phenomena occur essentially with the Tunisian dialect written with the Latin script (LTD), which we also refer to as Romanized Tunisian dialect. Non-LTD (NLT) refers, in this paper, to the written content that doesn’t belong to the Latin Tunisian dialect. Figure 1 shows an example.

![Example of an LTD message.](image-url)

Figure 1. Example of an LTD message.

¹Also called language alternation
²https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/code-switching
The message shown in Figure 1 illustrates the alternation between LTD words and words from other languages NLTD in the same message. Dealing with such language productions is difficult since we cannot effortlessly identify the point of switching between languages, neither the language of each word. In addition, practitioners of the Romanized TD on social media don’t rely on defined syntactic or orthographic rules in their writings.

Another difficulty that we may encounter when dealing with the language identification task, is the ambiguity at a word level. In fact, the same word can belong to more than one language and bear different meanings. In the example of Figure 1, the word “la” is a French word that means “the” in this context. In other contexts, it can be considered as an LTD word that means “no” (“لا”).

All these factors led us to tackle, in this paper, the word-level identification of the Tunisian dialect written on the social web using the Latin script. The automatic LTD detection, not only allows constructing sizable adequate language resources for the TD language processing, but can also be a crucial step for any NLP application treating the Tunisian dialect on the social web, such as sentiment analysis, topic detection, machine translation, etc. In order to automatically recognize the LTD words within multilingual and code-switched textual productions, we propose a deep learning-based solution. In fact, while approaches to NLP tasks based on deep learning show that they reach state-of-the-art results [8, 44, 49], they remain still little explored when dealing with the Arabic dialects and with the Tunisian dialect in particular [60]. In this work, we propose to use a word-character Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory model with Conditional Random Fields decoding (BLSTM-CRF), using word embeddings that take into account character n-gram features.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: section 2 is devoted to a literature review on the language identification of code-switching texts. We motivate our work in section 3, then in section 4, we describe the datasets we used for the Latin TD identification task, and present a brief study of the code-switching and multilingualism phenomena in our corpus. Section 5 presents the proposed deep learning model and finally, section 6 is dedicated to experiments and results.

2. Related Work

The language Identification (LI) task was extensively studied by NLP researchers. Despite the numerous works on LI, dating back several years, we focus in this paper solely on the recent ones. The works we present are rather interested in the texts incorporating the code-switching phenomenon, dealing with informal short texts. Our study allowed us to distinguish three main types of approaches for the LI task: language modelling, machine learning and deep learning-based algorithms.

2.1. Language Modelling Approaches

A considerable amount of studies on the LI task for code-switched texts relied on language models. The resort to this approach was based on the assumption that each language is characterized by a specific character behaviour and a distinct phonology and morphology [2].

Some works on LI dealt with MSA and Arabic Dialects (AD). This was the case of Zaidan and Callison-Burch [61] who proposed an approach using a smoothed n-gram model. Elfardy et al. [18] presented an identification system for the code-switched MSA-Egyptian words that relies on an MSA morphological analyser. To identify the Romanized form of the Arabic dialects (Arabizi), Eskander et al. [19] resorted to a language model for name tagging, to which they introduced a set of features.

As a contribution to the first shared task on language identification on codeswitched data [55], Shreshtha [53] focused on the language identification of code-switched Spanish-English and Nepali-English texts. He resorted to an incremental n-gram approach.

Regarding the second shared task on language identification on code-switched data [39], several researchers relied on language modelling approaches such as Chanda et al. [10] who focused on the language identification of code-switching English-Spanish tweets. They used an algorithm that generates the word’s n-gram and checks its presence in the dictionaries. Shirvani et al. [42] focused on the Swahili-English code-switched texts and introduced several features including character n-grams. This approach was adopted by Piergallini et al. [43] for code-switched English-Spanish with 17 new features including Part Of Speech (POS) tags.

In other contexts, Jhantani et al. [29] focused on the word-level identification of code-switched Hindi-English texts. They used a model that relies on several features like character n-grams the neighbouring words’ POS tags. They also experimented with several classifiers namely Decision Trees, Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Random Forests. Nguyen and Cornips [40] were interested in identifying code-switched Dutch-Limburgish tweets from a province in the Netherlands using words probabilities. Guellil and Azouaou [23] focused on the language identification of Algerian dialect. Their approach was based on an Algerian lexicon and on improved Levenshtein distance.

2.2. Machine Learning Approaches

With the proliferation of textual content, especially on social media, researches on the language identification task have become more oriented to machine learning
based approaches. This was the case of Giwa and Davel [20] who resorted to the Naive Bayes (NB) and SVM to deal with the LI of the code-switched south African words. Sadat et al. [46] focused on the identification of 18 Arabic dialects using a character-based n-gram Markov Model and NB.

Several works have been carried out as a contribution to the first shared task on language identification on code switched data [55], such as that of Bar and Dershowitz [4] who focused on the identification of the code-switched English-Spanish tweets using SVM with different features. Barman et al. [5] focused on identifying the code-switched words in Bengali, English and Hindi textual contents on social media using SVM and CRF. To identify Nepali-English and Spanish-English code-switched tweets, Barman et al. [6] proposed a classification approach using K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and SVM. Chittaranjan et al. [13] were interested in the LI for the code-switched English-Spanish, English-Nepali, English-Mandarin and MSA-AD texts using CRF with several features. The same languages were identified by King et al. [31] with an extension of a Markov Model. In the same context, Lin et al. [34] used a baseline CRF model with labelled data and CRF auto encoder with word embeddings and word lists as features with unlabeled data. Papalexakis et al. [41] used NB with a set of features to predict code-switching in an online Turkish-Dutch forum for immigrant community in the Netherlands.

The second shared task LI in code-switched data [39] included several contributions that relied on machine learning models as well. Chanda et al. [11] focused on identifying code switched Bengali-English language productions. Part of their experiments were performed using machine learning algorithms namely J48, KNN and Random Forest and different features. Shrestha [52] worked on the identification of code-switched English-Spanish and MSA-AD language productions using CRF with a set of features. Sikdar and Gambik [54] resorted to CRF as well and focused on the LI of code-switched English-Spanish texts. In the same context, Xia [56] worked on the LI of English-Spanish code-switched tweets using CRF.

The identification of other languages was tackled by several researchers such as Samih and Maier [48] who focused on the MSA-Moroccan code-switched texts using CRF. Schulz and Keller [51] worked on the LI of code-switching in Latin-Middle English textual contents with CRF. Al-Badrashiny and Diab [2] proposed a CRF based approach as well for the LI of English-Spanish, Nepali-English, English-Hindi, Arabizi-English, Arabic-Engari, MSA-Egyptian, Levantine-MSA and Gulf-MSA. Dongen [15] worked on the LI of code-switched Dutch-English language productions in social media using SVM, Decision Trees and CRF. Rijhwani et al. [45] performed the LI task on a variety of languages namely Dutch, English, French, German, Portuguese, Spanish and Turkish. They developed an Hidden Markov Model (HMM)-based Generalized Word-level Language Detection system. Aridi et al. [3] worked on the LI of Romanized TD using N-Gram Cumulative Frequency Addition [1] and SVM. Salameh et al. [47], focused on the LI of several AD and MSA. They resorted to the Multinomial Naive Bayes (MNB) and trained, for each dialect, a 5-gram character level language model using KenLM [26]. Lichouri et al. [33] focused on the LI for AD and Algerian dialects. They used Linear SVM, Bernoulli Naive Bayes (BNB) and MNB.

### 2.3. Deep Learning Approaches

Deep learning is a subset of machine learning that has caught a great interest from researchers in recent years. However, we have identified relatively few works regarding the LI task compared to machine learning and language modelling approaches. Chang and Lin [12] focused on detecting the language of code-switching twitter corpus for the English-Spanish, English-Nepali, Mandarin-English and MSA-Egyptian languages as a contribution to the first shared task on language identification on codeswitched data [55]. The LI was based on the Elman-type and the Jordan-type Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) with the optional inclusion of pre-trained Word2Vec and character n-gram features.

In the second shared task on language identification in code-switched data [39], Jaech et al. [28] focused on the LI of code-switched English-Spanish and MSA-AD tweets. The LI system includes a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) component that provides words embeddings and a Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (BLSTM) component for labelling. Samih et al. [49] focused on identifying code-switched English-Spanish and MSA-Egyptian dialect textual contents. They resorted to the LSTM neural network with a CRF layer and used a template including various features.

To identify code-switched Hindi-English and Spanish-English textual content, Mave et al. [36] resorted to BLSTM, word-character LSTM and CRF with different features (n-grams, POS tags, etc.). Mager et al. [35] proposed a model based on segmental RNN to identify Spanish-Wixarika and German-Turkish code-switching content.

Regarding MSA and Arabic dialects identification, Elaraby and Abdul-Mageed [17] used three machine learning classifiers: Logistic Regression, Multinomial Naïve Bayes and SVM and resorted to six deep learning models as well namely CNN, LSTM, Contextual LSTM (CLSTM), BLSTM, Bidirectional Gated Recurrent Units (BiGRU) and BLSTM with attention mechanisms.

Only one work, to the best of our knowledge, focused on the Tunisian Dialect in particular (TD), using a deep learning approach, namely that of Sayadi
et al. [50]. The authors resorted to LSTM RNN to identify TD-MSA and a set of 5 AD-MSA. They used the election data set for the TD language and the multidialect parallel corpus of arabic [9] for the AD languages.

3. Motivation

The previously presented works demonstrate the increasing interest of the NLP community to the language identification task, especially with the proliferation of informal textual content use on social media. We notice that despite the good performance of the language modelling approaches, researchers have been resorting in the last few years to machine learning and deep learning models.

These approaches have proven to be extremely performant notably when we dispose of large amounts of language data. We notice, however, that the exploration of deep learning algorithms is still in progress especially for the TD language.

In fact, we counted only one work using deep learning methods [50] that concerned the identification of TD written in the Arabic alphabet. As for its Latin form, only one work was performed [3] using N-Gram Cumulative Frequency Addition and an SVM model. We should note that efforts were invested in the Tunisian dialect NLP using Neural Networks such as speech recognition [25]. Indeed, LI is still a task that hasn’t been fully explored for the Tunisian dialect. In the present work, we focus on the identification of the Latin form of the TD. First, since this form of writing is predominant on the Tunisian social web [58] and second, because deep learning approaches haven’t been experimented yet on LTD.

4. Used Data

4.1. Corpus Annotation

The LTD corpus we used in our LI experiments is extracted from that of Younes et al. [58]. The initial corpus is composed of Romanized messages collected from Tunisian social web pages. We used 13,656 messages of this corpus including 167,337 words. Our segmentation process was performed automatically at first, considering the space character as a delimiter. We subsequently checked the segmentation manually to correct cases of errors such as attached words, emoticons or symbols. The words were afterwards annotated according to 5 categories:

- LTD: all words belonging to the Tunisian dialect language according to its context within the whole message to which it belongs
- NLTD: foreign languages (English, French, etc.)
- PUNCT: punctuation marks (Example: / / ? etc.)
- SYMB: symbols that Tunisians use in the internet, other than punctuation (Example: + / - / < / > etc.)
- EMO: emoticons namely any representation of facial expression using symbols and punctuation marks (Example: 😊 / 😞 etc.)

Figure 2 illustrates an example of annotation on a portion of our corpus.

The corpus is subsequently divided into train (80% of the corpus) and test (20% of the corpus) sets randomly. Table 1 shows the details.

| Corpus | #Msg | #Words |
|--------|------|--------|
| LTD    | NLTD | PUNCT  | SYMB  | EMO  | total |
| Global | 13,656 | 76,416 | 79,646 | 8402 | 629 | 2244 | 167,337 |
| Train  | 10,882 | 60,611 | 65,787 | 6358 | 510 | 1792 | 135,058 |
| Test   | 2774  | 15,805 | 13,859 | 2044 | 119 | 432  | 32,279  |

4.2. Multilingualism and Code Switching

Before proceeding to the identification task, we propose to assess the multilingualism and code-switching behaviour in our corpus. Therefore, we compute 2 metrics:

- Multilingual Index (M-Index): computes the inequality of the language tags distribution in a corpus of at least two languages [7]. It is calculated as follows:

$$M - Index = \frac{1-\sum p_j^2}{(k-1)\sum p_j^2}$$

$p_j$ is the total number of words in the language j over the total number of words in the corpus and $k$ represents the total number of languages in the corpus. The value of M-Index can range between 0 and 1. A value of 1 means that the corpus has equal number of words from each language where a value of 0 means that the corpus includes only one language.
- Integration Index (I-Index): computes the approximate probability that any given token in the corpus is a switch point [24]. The integration index is calculated as follows:

\[
l - \text{Index} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S(l_i) \]

(2)

Where \( l_i \) represents the words tagged by language and \( i \) ranges from 1 to the corpus size \( n-1 \). \( S(l_i) \) can be either 0 or 1. A value of 1 means that \( l_i \) and a value of 0 indicates that \( l_i \) is not.

For our experiments, we consider only 2 languages: LTD if the word belongs to the Tunisian dialect or NLTD if the word belongs to a foreign language. Table 2 shows the results of the code-switching metrics.

Table 2. Code-switching metrics.

| Language pairs | M-Index | I-Index |
|---------------|---------|---------|
| LTD - NLTD    | 0.98    | 0.16    |

Based on the results shown in Table 2, we note that our corpus is indeed multilingual (M-index > 0). The amount of NLTD is approximately equal to that of LTD since the M-Index is close to 1. On the other hand, the probability of switching between LTD and NLTD in our corpus is equal to 0.16.

4.3. Language Ambiguity

Besides the code-switching phenomenon that characterizes the LTD texts written on the social web, there is another aspect of this language that is worth mentioning since it further complicates the LI task, that is the language ambiguity. This phenomenon rises when 2 or more words are written in the same way but bear different meanings and belong to different languages. Indeed, as we mentioned in the previous sections of this paper, practitioners of the LTD language on the social web don’t follow any guidelines in their writings namely syntactic rules or orthographic conventions. This evenly applies to the LTD and the foreign languages.

On one hand, LTD is a language that is fundamentally oral and lacks consequently spelling rules for its written form. On the other hand, foreign languages, like French and English, can be written by Tunisians either correctly following their adequate spelling rules or in an informal form which we chose to refer to as “SMS form”. This denomination amounts to the fact that this language appeared with the Short Message Service in mobile phones (texts) in the year 2000 [21]. With SMS texts, users began to personalize their writings by omitting vowels, replacing characters by digits, using acronyms, etc. We give examples of this phenomenon in Table 3.

The use of the SMS form of foreign languages by Tunisians enhances the ambiguity cases. Examples are shown in Table 4.

Table 3. Examples of words in SMS form.

| Language | Word | SMS form |
|----------|------|----------|
| French   | Demain (tomorrow) | 2ml |
| English  | See you | CU |
| French   | Quand (when) | Kan |

The examples given in Table 4 prove that we are not only dealing with simple code switching in the LI task, but also with a high language ambiguity rate. We tried to measure this ambiguity in our corpus using 5 lexicons, presented by Younes and Souissi [59]. The lexicons correspond to LTD, French, French-SMS, English and English-SMS languages, which are the most used languages by Tunisians based on our observations of the writings on the social web. Table 5 shows the details of the used lexicons.

Table 4. Examples of language ambiguity in LTD.

| Word | Languages | Meanings |
|------|-----------|----------|
| Ki   | LTD       | Who (correct transcription: “qui”) |
| Bled | LTD       | Country |
| machin | LTD     | We’re going |
| English | SMS   | Thingy (colloquial) |
| French | SMS   | We’re going |

We performed the ambiguity counting assuming that any word belonging to LTD and any other language at the same time is considered ambiguous. Therefore, we used our annotated corpus and the lexicons presented in Table 5 and computed the ambiguity rate considering the following cases:

- Number of words that are annotated as LTD and belong at the same time to one of the NLTD lexicons.
- Number of words that are annotated as NLTD and belong at the same time to the LTD lexicon.

We note, however, that the used lexicons do not entirely cover our corpus’s vocabulary. The results shown in Table 6 give us an approximate idea about the language ambiguity in our corpus.

Table 5. Used lexicons.

| Lexicon | #Entries |
|---------|----------|
| LTD (Enriched) | 27,712 |
| NLTD      |          |
| French-SMS | 770     |
| English   | 354,986  |
| English-SMS| 950     |

Table 6. Ambiguity rates.

|          | Words with repetition | Words without repetition |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| #Words   | 167,337               | 36,493                  |
| #covered words | 148,583               | 32,276                  |
| #coverage rate | 88.80%               | 88.44%                  |
| #ambiguous words | 30,585               | 1346                    |
| Ambiguity rate | 20.58%               | 4.17%                   |
As shown in Table 6, 20.58% of the covered words in the corpus are ambiguous when we counted the repeated words. On the other hand, 4.17% of the covered vocabulary words (without repetition) are ambiguous.

5. Proposed model

Our corpus is composed of messages. To each word of these messages is assigned a well-defined tag. Accordingly, we chose to model the LTD identification as a sequence labelling task which consists in assigning a tag to each word indicating whether it belongs to LTD or not. We thus propose an approach based on deep learning, namely a BLSTM-CRF model whose components will be described in the following section.

5.1. Model Components

- **Word embeddings**: Throughout our experiments, we aimed to explore a word vector representation that catches the morphological structure of the words. This kind of information is not taken into account by traditional word embeddings, like Wor2Vec where the words are processed like atomic entities. Therefore, we resorted to FastText, an open source library for text representations that was developed by Facebook [30]. We used the skip-gram model which predicts the context given the word. We chose this model since it is known to perform well with small amounts of training data and rare words [38]. Therefore, given an LTD word ($w_i$), the skip-gram model predicts the surrounding context words. Regarding the n-gram features, the parameters of the model have been adjusted to take into account character n-grams from 2 to 6. The structure of our word vector representation model is illustrated in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Fast Text word embeddings using a skip-gram model.](https://fasttext.cc/)

- **BLSTM-CRF**: Bidirectional long short-term memory was first introduced by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber [27]. It is a variant of RNN, capable of capturing long-range dependencies on sequential data. LSTM unit, is basically composed of 4 layers, interacting and controlling the information to forget or to pass on to the next time step [37]. Figure 4 illustrates the interaction between the layers in an LSTM unit.

![Figure 4. LSTM unit.](https://fasttext.cc/)

The layers shown in Figure 4 can be summarized as follows, where $f_i$, $i$, $o_i$ and $C_i$ represent the forget, input and output gates respectively and $δ$ is the sigmoid function [22]:

$$f_i = \delta(W_f[h_{t-1}, x_i] + b_f)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_c[h_{t-1}, x_i] + b_c)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$i_t = \delta(W_i[h_{t-1}, x_i] + b_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$C_t = f_tC_{t-1} + i_t\tilde{C}_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$o_t = \delta(W_o[h_{t-1}, x_i] + b_o)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$h_t = o_t\tanh(C_t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

LSTM’s hidden state captures only past information. It is however more constructive for the LTD identification task to benefit from both past and future contexts. This issue is solved by presenting each sequence forwards and backwards to two separate hidden states, which is the basic idea for the bidirectional LSTM [16].

As each word is associated to a vector capturing information about its context in the message, a vector of scores is computed in order to make the final prediction. In the decoding step, we can normalize the scores into a probability that the LTD word is categorized as belonging to a well-defined language. The tagging decision in this method is local and based solely on the context of the word in the message but doesn’t take into account the adjacent tagging decisions. Therefore, we propose to add a CRF output layer to our model, in order to consider the correlations between tags and choose the best sequence of labels for a given input LTD message [37].

- **Word-char embeddings**: For each word, we aim to generate a vector containing features extracted from the character level. Therefore, we resort to a character level BLSTM [32]. The model is run on the character embeddings and the final states are subsequently concatenated to generate the word vector [32]. Figure 5 illustrates the word-char vector representation for the LTD word “dar” (meaning: house).

---

3https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/

4https://fasttext.cc/
5.2. LTD Identification Model

The input of our identification model is twofold word embeddings:

1. Word embeddings with Fasttext: captures character n-gram features from 2 to 6.
2. Word-char embeddings with BLSTM: captures character embeddings.

These representations are subsequently concatenated to get a vector representing each word considering its context within the whole LTD message. The BLSTM model learns, thus, the fixed dimensional representation from the embedding layers. Finally, we add a CRF layer at the output to take into account the neighbouring tagging decisions. Figure 6 summarizes the components of our LTD identification model.

6. Experiments and Results

The hyperparameters of our model were tuned as follows:
- Number of hidden layers: 400
- Number of epochs: 40
- Batch size: 20
- Dropout rate: 0.5
- Decay rate: 0.9

We performed several experiments using other combinations of the proposed model which we detail in Table 7.

| Components | Word2Vec | FastText | Char-word | CRF layer |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| BLSTM-wv   | √        |          |           |           |
| BLSTM-cwv  |          | √        |           |           |
| BLSTM-ft   |          |          | √         |           |
| BLSTM-cft  |          |          |           | √         |
| BLSTM-CRF-wv | √          |           |           | √         |
| BLSTM-CRF-cwv |          |           |           | √         |
| BLSTM-CRF-ft |          |           |           | √         |
| BLSTM-CRF-cft |          |           |           | √         |

We experimented using a CRF model as well to which we introduced 5 word-level features namely: size, suffixes and prefixes, word containing digits, word containing consecutive 3 consonants and Word2Vec embeddings. The results in terms of overall accuracy are presented in Table 8.

| Model | Overall accuracy
|-------|------------------|
| CRF   | 95.44%           |
| BLSTM-wv | 97.56%         |
| BLSTM-cwv | 98.56%         |
| BLSTM-ft  | 98.24%         |
| BLSTM-cft | 98.60%         |
| BLSTM-CRF-wv | 97.59%       |
| BLSTM-CRF-cwv | 98.49%       |
| BLSTM-CRF-ft | 98.25%        |
| BLSTM-CRF-cft | 98.65%        |

Based on the results shown in Table 8, we notice that the best accuracy is obtained when we use the combination of BLSTM-CRF with FastText and word-character embeddings. We detail the results given by this model in terms of precision, recall and F1-score for each tag in Table 9.

| Accuracy | Tags   | Precision | Recall | F1-score |
|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 98.65%   | LTD    | 98.77%    | 98.55% | 98.66%   |
|          | NLTD   | 98.32%    | 98.59% | 98.45%   |
|          | EMO    | 99.56%    | 99.56% | 99.56%   |
|          | PUNCT  | 100%      | 100%   | 100%     |
|          | SYMB   | 96.33%    | 94.71% | 95.51%   |

3We note that we used the NumPy and Tensorflow libraries.
The LI identification model we proposed for the LTD language yielded encouraging results on a test set of 2774 messages including 32,279 words. We reached an overall accuracy of 98.65%.

We believe that the good performance of the model is due to the consideration of the words’ morphological structures as well as their contexts within the messages in which they appear.

Comparing our work to that of Aridhi et al. [3], in which the same corpus was used, we notice that the approach we followed outperforms that of Aridhi’s et al. [3]. The authors in [3] focused on the word-level identification of Romanized TD and took into account the morphological structure of the words by adopting n-gram cumulative frequency addition [1] and SVM. However, the context of the messages to which the words belong was not considered. The best results were obtained with a 3-gram SVM classifier using a combination of 3 features: N-gram frequency, N-gram location and digit presence in N-gram. The accuracy of the model reached 93.57% [3]. In our work, we tried to take advantage of the word contexts and the neighboring tagging decisions and we combined 2 vector representations of the words. The captured context allowed us to reach a good overall performance of 98.65%.

The error rate is low when it comes to the emoticons, symbols and punctuation as the ambiguity between them is practically unremarkable. However, cases of errors occurred in the LTD/NLTD pairs. Based on the F1-score of the NLTD tag, we notice that most of the errors result of tagging an LTD word as a foreign word. We summarize and categorize the observed errors as follows:

1. LTD words with foreign roots: we notice several cases of erroneous identification with the words having non-LTD roots and LTD affixes. We show some examples in Table 10.

| LTD word | Meaning | Root | Root language | LTD affixes |
|----------|---------|------|---------------|-------------|
| Encadreur | Your supervisor | encadreur | French | Ek |
| Partagili | Share to me | partag | French | Il |
| Maconnect | haven’t connected | connect | French / English | ma / itech |

2. LTD words with foreign origins: this case is different from the previous one since the foreign word’s morphology is fully altered to match a Tunisian dialect conjugation (verb, plural, etc.)

| LTD word | meaning | source word | source language |
|----------|---------|-------------|-----------------|
| Naérez | We revise | réviser / revise | French / English |
| Fransis | French people | Français | French |
| Mvares | That caught a virus | Virus | French / English |
| Triguel | She adjusts | Régler | French |

3. LTD conjunctions attached to foreign words: this kind of errors occur when an LTD coordination conjunction or a determiner is attached to a non-LTD word. Some examples are given in Table 12.

| word | Meaning | LTD conjunction | NLTD word | NLTD language |
|------|---------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|
| fauteuil | the armchair | el (the) | fauteuil (armchair) | French |
| stade | the stadium | e (the) | stade (stadium) | French |
| google | for google | l (for / to) | google (search engine) | English |
| partie | and the section | w (and) | partie (section) | French |

4. Oddly written LTD words: these words are written in LTD but with a particular orthographic, rarely seen in everyday communication. They are incorrectly considered by the LI model as non-LTD words. We distinguish among them:

- Words written with English language phonemes: most Tunisians follow French language conventions when they write in the Latin script. For example, they refer to the character succession “ou” to transcribe the long vowel “ø” in Arabic. The succession “ou” is mostly used in the French language as the phoneme /u:/ /ø/. This same phoneme is referred to, in English for example, as the succession “oo”. Therefore, using English phonemes in the corpus resulted in erroneous tags.

- Words written using French orthographic particularities: some used characters led to error cases are known to be used mostly in the French language such as the succession “gu” to designate the phoneme /g/ or the character “ç” that is particularly used, among others, in the French language.

- Use of accented letters: other cases of errors were observed when the LTD word includes accented characters. It’s unlikely that users resort to this level of precision in their written exchanges in social media as they usually opt for the simplest and the quickest transcription.

- Use of the single quote (’): rare cases of errors were noticed when some users resort to the single quote character in the middle of the LTD word to refer to the Arabic diacritization sign “Soukoun” (ـْـ), when it’s more likely to find it in other languages such as French or English.

Table 13 shows examples of oddly written LTD words.
Based on the error analysis, we notice that part of the observed inaccuracies is related to the rarity of words. We can remedy this issue by enlarging the size of the corpus to cover a maximum of vocabulary. A larger corpus will certainly incorporate different kinds and styles of written LTD texts and consequently help reduce the errors related to oddly written words.

Regarding the errors related to conjunctions and determiners that are occasionally attached to non-LTD words, they amount to our segmentation method which relied on the space character. Such errors might be avoided if we adopt another segmentation method where we take morphological information into consideration such as affixes and stop words.

7. Conclusions

We presented in this paper an approach for the language identification of Tunisian dialect written in the social web using the Latin script. We resorted to a deep learning method based on Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory with CRF decoding (BLSTM-CRF) using word-char BLSTM embeddings combined with FastText embeddings. The latter takes into consideration character n-gram features and captures each word’s morphology.

We used a corpus composed of messages from Tunisian social web pages. The corpus was subsequently segmented and annotated according to 5 categories mainly Latin Tunisian dialect, foreign words, punctuation, emoticons and symbols. We performed a counting on the corpus to assess the code-switching behaviour and get the measure of the multilingualism. We found a multilingual index of 0.98 and an integration index of 0.16.

The identification results were quite good with an overall accuracy of 98.65%. Cases of observed errors were related to the rarity of the words, others were due to the adopted segmentation method. The inaccuracy cases can be reduced by enlarging the corpus size to include a maximum of vocabulary and by improving the segmentation process.

We aim, in future studies, to further explore the identification approach and use it to generate sizable LTD corpora rich in dialectal content. We intend to create lexica and dictionaries, for this form of TD as well, that will allow researchers to lead exhaustive studies and develop adequate NLP tools.

Moreover, we plan to focus in future work on the Tunisian dialect written in the Arabic script. This form of writing presents a significant challenge giving the high language ambiguity between Arabic TD and MSA.
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