Exophthalmometry values in the Hong Kong Chinese adult population from a population-based study
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Abstract
This study aims to establish the range of exophthalmometry values (EV) in the adult Hong Kong (HK) Chinese population, its relationship with various anthropometric parameters, and to compare it with the EV in different ethnic groups.

About 1485 adult HK Chinese were drawn from a random sample from the community-based FAMILY Cohort. Participants were interviewed, and comprehensive ophthalmological assessments were conducted. EV was measured using the Hertel’s exophthalmometer.

EV of both eyes from 630 male (42.4%) and 855 female (57.6%) participants, mean age 54 ± 17.2 and 52 ± 16 respectively were analyzed. The mean EV were found to be 15.3 ± 2.9 mm in the right eye, 15.2 ± 2.9 mm in the left eye for men and 14.4 ± 2.7 mm in the right eye, 14.3 ± 2.7 mm in the left eye for women. There were no differences in the EV between right and left eyes (P > .05). Men had significantly higher EV than women (P < .05) and age was found to be negatively correlated to EV (P < .05). Body height (r = 0.20), weight (r = 0.25), waist (r = 0.11), hip circumference (r = 0.15), body mass index (r = 0.17), interpupillary distance (r = 0.54), and axial length (AL) (r = 0.20) were all found to have statistically significant positive correlations with EV (P < .01). Spherical equivalent was found to have statistically significant negative correlation with EV (P < .01).

Our study provides normative data on EV in the HK Chinese population. Height, weight, interpupillary distance, waist, hip circumference, and AL had positive correlations with EV. Spherical equivalent had negative correlation with EV. There was no significant difference in EV between the right and left eye. Age and gender had significant effect on EV.

Abbreviations: AL = axial length, BMI = body mass index, EV = exophthalmometry values, HK = Hong Kong, PRISMA = The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses, SE = spherical equivalent.
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1. Introduction
Proptosis, forward displacement of the globe, can be a manifestation of various orbital pathologies, namely thyroid eye disease, tumor, trauma, and craniofacial abnormalities. As the various literature has demonstrated heterogeneity in exophthalmometric values (EV) across race and geographical locations, establishing a population specific set of normal value is critical for diagnosis, gauging severity, monitoring
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treatment, and guiding craniofacial plastic and reconstruction surgery.[1]

From clinical exophthalmometry to orbital computed tomography, modern technology has enabled a variety of means to measure the axial position of the globe. Despite possible inter-observer and inter-instrumental variability, the Hertel’s exophthalmometry is simple and easy to operate, hence widely applied in clinical practice.[2,3] Distance between the vertex of the cornea to the anterior aspect of the lateral orbital margin is measured, giving rise to absolute (with reference to measurements of the general population), relative (with reference to the contralateral eye), and comparative (with reference to earlier measurements) values.[2]

Clinicians and scientists have been trying to establish normal EV for different populations for many decades. Studies have shown that EV may differ between various ethnicities due to differences in anatomy. This study reports on the range of globe position in the adult Hong Kong (HK) Chinese population and its relationship with various parameters including gender, age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), hip, and waist circumference. No prior studies on Asian population have established the preliminary relationships between EV and anthropometric measurements.

2. Methods

The Department of Ophthalmology of the Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine and the School of Public Health at the University of Hong Kong, in conjunction with the FAMILY Cohort,[4] launched a comprehensive eye survey nested within the large, population-based FAMILY Cohort. These participants have been part of the Cohort since 2008, with known background demographic data available. The study design, cohort characteristics, inclusion, and exclusion criteria of the FAMILY Cohort have been previously reported.[4]

For this current study, participants from the FAMILY Cohort were drawn from a random core sample and were recruited by telephone invitation. All participants recruited were ≥18 years old. After obtaining written consent, subjects were interviewed, and thorough ophthalmological assessments were performed. The height, weight, hip, and waist circumference of each participant was measured and recorded. Their BMI was then calculated using the formula: weight (kg)/square of height (meters²). Subjective refraction was measured by a certified optometrist and the axial length (AL) was measured using ocular biometer (AL-Scan, Nidek, Gamagori, Japan).

The position of the globe was measured using the Hertel’s exophthalmometer (Oculus 52400). The measurement was taken in a well-lit room with subjects sitting up right. The Hertel’s exophthalmometer rested on the lateral orbital rims with the subject’s eyes directed forwards. Through the system of mirrors and a millimeter scale, the protrusion of the corneal apex relative to the outer orbital margin in the sagittal plane was measured and recorded.

Data analysis was done using SPSS software (version 25, Chicago, IL). The mean and standard deviations were calculated. Statistical significance between male and female EV readings was calculated using the Mann–Whitney U test. Comparisons of EV between different age groups were performed using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Correlations between different parameters with EV were tested by Pearson correlation test. A P-value less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 1517 participants out of 2202 eligible subjects were recruited from February 6, 2017 to December 31, 2017. Among these 1517 participants, 32 were excluded due to myopia or hyperopia greater than 6D, missing exophthalmometric readings for either one or both eyes, and one subject was excluded for having an erroneous reading for one eye. A total of 1485 participants’ data were analysed, including 630 males (42.4%) and 855 females (57.6%) (see Fig. 1).

The mean age of male participants was 54 years old (range 18–92 years old) and mean age of female participants was 52 years old (range 18–90 years old). Table 1 summarizes the age, anthropometric measurements (including the height, weight, BMI, waist circumference, and hip circumference), spherical equivalent (SE), and AL.

Subjects were divided into 4 age groups: 18–40, 41–60, 61–80, and >80 and the mean EV and the reference range using the 95% confidence interval within the different age groups were calculated (see Table 2).

There was no statistically significant difference between the two eyes when compared independently among the male and female participants. EV in male participants was 15.3mm on the right and 15.2mm on the left, with no statistically significant difference (P >.05). That of female participants was 14.4mm on the right and 14.3mm on the left, also with no statistical significance (P >.05). However, male participants had significantly higher EV compared with female participants (P <.001).

The difference in EV between the different age groups in both eyes for male and female participants was significantly different (P <.001) (see Fig. 2). There was a negative correlation between age and EV, indicating EV decreased with increasing age and the correlation was statistically significant (P <.001).

Body height, weight, waist circumference, hip circumference, BMI, interpupillary distance, and AL were all found to have statistically significant positive correlations with EV, while SE had statistically significant negative correlation with EV (P <.001). Table 3 summarizes correlation coefficient and P-values of these parameters.
Table 1
Age, anthropometric measurements, refraction, and eyeball axial length.

|          | Male                          | Female                        |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|          | Mean ± SD Range               | Mean ± SD Range               |
| Age (yr) |                                |                               |
| 18-40    | 54.0 ± 17.2 10-22             | 52.0 ± 16.0 10-20             |
| 41-60    | 167.9 ± 6.6 10-20             | 18.0 ± 123.5 9-23             |
| Height (cm) | 68.6 ± 10.8 5-23              | 57.5 ± 9.8 5-23               |
| Weight (kg) | 24.3 ± 3.5 4.8-6.2            | 23.5 ± 3.9 4.8-6.2            |
| BMI      | 97.0 ± 6.8 6.0-135.0          | 91.0 ± 6.8 6.0-134.0          |
| Waist (cm) | 88.0 ± 9.6 6.0-130.0          | 81.0 ± 10.6 6.0-130.0         |
| Hip (cm)  | 97.0 ± 6.8 6.0-134.0          | 95.0 ± 8.0 6.0-130.0          |
| SE (D)   | −1.1 ± 3.0 −6.0-6.0           | −1.0 ± 3.0 −6.0-6.0           |
| AL (mm)  | 24.7 ± 1.6 20-34.5            | 24.2 ± 1.5 19-32.2            |

AL = axial length, BMI = body mass index, SD = standard deviation, SE = spherical equivalent.

Table 2
Mean, standard deviations, and 95% confidence interval of EV of different age groups.

|          | Male                          | Female                        |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|          | Right eye Mean ± SD Range (mm) | Left eye Mean ± SD Range (mm) |
|          | 95% CI (LCL, UCL) 5-23 | 95% CI (LCL, UCL) 5-23 |
| Age groups (yr) | N      |                                |                                |
| 18-40    | 140   | 15.9 ± 2.3 10-22               | (11.3, 19.7) 12.3 ± 3.3 10-25  |
| 41-60    | 280   | 15.5 ± 2.6 10-23               | (10.5, 22.6) 15.4 ± 2.6 9-23   |
| 61-80    | 106   | 15.0 ± 3.2 5-23                | (8.6, 21.3) 14.9 ± 3.2 5-23    |
| All      | 630   | 15.3 ± 2.8 5-23                | (9.2, 20.8) 15.2 ± 2.8 5-23    |

CI = confidence interval, LCL = lower control limit, N = number, SD = standard deviation, UCL = upper control limit.

4. Discussion
A systematic review of the literature according to The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) was used to identify studies for comparison with our data results (see Fig. 3). Studies with only participants below 18 years old were not included since our study does not have the relevant data for comparison. Table 4 is a summary of the 11 studies included.

Proptosis is defined as a measurement 2 mm greater than the normal upper limit as suggested by Tsai et al. The primary aim of this study is to look into the EV of HK Chinese adults. The closest study in terms of subject ethnicity and geographical location was published in 1992 by Quant and Woo, in which 243 HK Chinese aged 18 to 60 were recruited. While participants in our study were randomly selected, Quant and Woo did not outline the mechanism of subject selection in their study. This suggests that our study has an additional methodological advantage in addition to a larger sample size of 1517 participants. Quant and Woo did not document the refraction and AL of their participants, while our study did, with a mean SE of −1.1D and −1.0D and mean AL of 24.7 mm and 24.2 mm, in male and female participants, respectively. Interestingly, despite a rising trend of myopia in Hong Kong, EV demonstrated in this study were lower than that in Quant and Woo’s study. The mean ages of male and female participants were 54 and 52 years old, respectively, in this study, which is much older than that of the Quant and Woo study with mean ages at 25 and 29, respectively. Mean EV in male participants (right eye) was 15.3 ± 2.79 mm in this study and that of the Quant and Woo study was 16.73 ± 1.90 mm. Such difference is consistent with our finding that older age is associated with lower EV. Table 5 summarizes the important differences between the two studies. Quant and Woo put forth 95% confidence limits for EV of normal subjects. It was suggested that values of less than 12 mm should be defined as enophthalmos and values more than 20.5 mm warranted further investigation. Based on such definitions, around 21% of our subjects have enophthalmos in either one or both eyes whereas 4 and 13 subjects were found to have unilateral and bilateral EV readings above 20.5 mm, respectively. These could have been normal variants instead. From our data, the 95% confidence limit for EV of normal subjects was 9.5 mm to 20.9 mm in male participants and 9 mm to 19.7 mm in female participants. In view of the large sample size, the data distribution can be assumed normal and therefore the reference range can be derived from the 95% confidence limits. In our study, the mean SE was −1.1 ± 3D and −1.0 ± 3D, and mean AL was 24.7 ± 1.6 mm and 24.2 ± 1.5 mm, in male and female, respectively. This was not documented in the study by Quant and Woo, which may contribute to differences in EV.

Two studies conducted in Taiwan and Northeastern China also investigated the normal EV of Chinese participants. Both studies compared their findings with the normal EV reported in various studies that included participants of different ethnic groups and found considerable racial differences in the values. Our study demonstrates that HK Chinese participants have similar EV to that of Northeastern Han Chinese, Mexican, and Iranian. Sri Lankan, White, and African American and White European have significantly higher EV than our HK
Chinese participants, whereas Taiwanese\(^5\) and Dutch\(^15\) have lower EV readings.

There are mixed conclusions regarding the relationship between refraction and AL with EV. Some studies\(^{10,14}\) did not find significant correlation between SE and EV, yet our study is in agreement with Karti et al\(^{16}\) in finding a significant negative correlation between SE and EV. Some studies eliminate data from subjects with myopia more than \(-6 \text{D}\)^{9} to exclude possibility of high myopia affecting EV. In our locality, there is high prevalence of high myopia,\(^{17}\) we have similarly excluded subjects with SE greater than \(-6 \text{D}\). It is noteworthy that AL was shown to correlate with EV in our study and it should be taken into account in exophthalmometer measurements.\(^{16}\)

Factors including age, gender, height, weight, and BMI are also known to contribute to differences in EV.\(^{16}\) Earlier studies have demonstrated a negative correlation between EV and age starting from 20 years old,\(^{9,18,19}\) which is consistent with our findings; this may be due to orbital soft tissue atrophy. The mean EV in the >80 years old group were significantly lower when compared to other age groups across both eyes and gender. Kashkouli et al\(^9\) and Nath et al\(^{19}\) included children and teenagers in their study and found EV to significantly increase as they grow older into adulthood. Fledelius and Stubgaard,\(^{14}\) however, found EV to remain static after late teenage years. Chan et al\(^{10}\) demonstrated in their study that EV were not statistically different across all age groups in both genders. Karti et al\(^{16}\) commented in their study that the relationship between gender and EV is controversial. Bilen et al\(^{20}\) and Kashkouli et al\(^9\) did not find any statistically significant gender differences in EV in their study. Two older studies,\(^{11,13}\) however, reported significantly higher EV in male
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Figure 2. Male and female subjects exophthalmometric values of right and left eyes across different age groups.
than in females, which is in keeping with our findings. Fledelius and Stubgaard\(^{[14]}\) attributed the higher male EV to the larger body structure.

According to the results of our study, anthropometric measurements like height, weight, hip, and waist circumference are positively related to EV. However, only a few previous studies have investigated into and discussed the reason for the correlation. Males in general are taller, heavier with larger hip and waist circumference, and are likely to have higher EV.\(^{[14]}\) Smolders et al\(^{[21]}\) found that obese patients have significantly higher EV. Their male and female obese participants had a mean BMI of 39.0 and 36.5, respectively. On top of the increased deposition of excess body fat in the retrobulbar fat-pads, medial rectus muscle enlargement was also found to be a contributing factor.

While the absolute EV is useful in diagnosing bilateral proptosis, relative EV measures the asymmetry of protrusion between the two eyes, hence useful in diagnosing unilateral proptosis.\(^{[9]}\) Statistically significant differences between the two eyes has been reported in some studies,\(^{[22–24]}\) but this was not demonstrated in our study. Some consider relative EV exceeding 2 mm to be clinically significant.\(^{[9]}\) The findings from our study are useful in providing information to support further research in orbital conditions, particularly bilateral conditions such as thyroid eye disease where the fellow eye cannot be used as a normal baseline. Our study can provide local reference values to assess disease severity, and monitor progression and treatment response in future research.

Limitations were identified in our study. First, participants <18 years old were not included. It would be clinically relevant to

| Table 3 | Correlation coefficient and P-value of relationship between body height, weight, hip circumference, waist circumference, interpupillary distance, body weight index, spherical equivalent, and axial length with EV. |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Correlation coefficient (R value) | P |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Height 0.20 | <.001 |
| Weight 0.26 | <.001 |
| Hip circumference 0.15 | <.001 |
| Waist circumference 0.12 | <.001 |
| IPD 0.57 | <.001 |
| BMI 0.17 | <.001 |
| SE -0.18 | <.001 |
| AL 0.20 | <.001 |

Pearson correlation (R value) is significant at 0.01. AL = axial length, BMI = body mass index, IPD = interpupillary distance, SE = spherical equivalent.

Figure 3. PRISMA flowchart.
conduct another study to establish a set of normal EV in this age group. Second, the study was solely conducted on Chinese residing in HK. It may not represent other ethnic populations and may not even fully represent the whole Chinese population. There may be geographical variations among the Chinese population. However, this was not seen when comparing our results from HK which lies in Southern China with the results from Chinese population residing in the Northeastern part of China.

5. Conclusion

Knowledge on normal EV has important implications on the diagnosis and management of ocular and orbital diseases of various etiologies. Ethnicity, age, and gender were all shown to affect EV in our study. Elementary relationships between height, weight, waist and hip circumference, AL and SE with EV were observed, warranting further studies to explicate these associations.

Table 4
Comparison of Hertel’s EV among different ethnic populations.

| Study          | Ethnic group        | Age          | Sample size | Eye | EV mean ± SD     |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|
| Current study  | Chinese (HK)        | Mean age     | 54 (M)      | OD  | 15.3 ± 2.8      |
|                |                     |              | 52 (F)      | OS  | 15.2 ± 2.9      |
| Quant and Woo[6]| Chinese (HK)        | 18–60        | 243         | OD  | 16.7 ± 1.9      |
| Wu et al[7]    | Chinese Han (NE China) | 15–19       | 517         | OD  | 15.1 ± 1.6      |
|                |                     | 20–69        | 528         | OS  | 15.7 ± 1.6      |
| Tsai et al[5]  | Chinese (Taiwan)    | Mean Age     | 59.4 (M)    | OD  | 13.97 ± 2.26    |
|                |                     |              | 49.0 (F)    | OS  | 13.86 ± 2.39    |
| Chan et al[10] | Sri Lankan          | Mean Age     | 57.9 (M)    | OD  | 16.66           |
|                |                     |              | 56.4 (F)    | OS  | 15.27           |
| Migliori and Gladstone[11] | White American | 18–91        | 127 (M)     | OD  | 16.55 ± 2.57    |
|                |                     |              | 200 (F)     | OS  | 15.46 ± 2.34    |
|                |                     | African American | 18–91      | OD  | 15.36 ± 2.33    |
|                |                     |              | 241 (F)     | OS  | 15.36 ± 2.33    |
| Cole et al[12] | White American      | Mean Age     | 42.8        | OU (M) | 17.34 ± 2.52  |
|                |                     |              | 57          | OU (F) | 17.47 ± 2.26  |
|                |                     | African American | 42.8        | OU (M) | 18.57 ± 2.59  |
|                |                     |              | 148         | OU (F) | 19.23 ± 2.35  |
| Dunsky[13]     | African American    | 19–80        | 170 (M)     | OD  | 18.20 ± 2.07    |
|                |                     |              | 139 (F)     | OU  | 17.46 ± 2.64    |
| Bolanos Gil de Montes et al[9] | Mexican | Mean Age | 36 | 116 (M) | NA | 15.18 ± 2.16 |
|                |                     |              | 185 (F)     | NA  | 14.82 ± 1.98    |
| Kashkouli et al[9] | Iranian          | 13–19        | 319         | OD  | 15.3 ± 1.9      |
|                |                     |              | 555         | OS  | 15.1 ± 1.9      |
| Fledelius et al[14] | White European | 11–19        | 71 (M)      | OU  | 15 ± 1.7        |
|                |                     |              | 77 (F)      | OU  | 15.1 ± 1.7      |
|                |                     | 19–80        | 102 (M)     | OU  | 16.5 ± 2.3      |
|                |                     |              | 101 (F)     | OU  | 16 ± 1.7        |
| Mourits et al[15] | Dutch             | 20–60        | 40 (M)      | OU  | 13.85 ± 2.06    |
|                |                     |              | 40 (F)      | OU  | 12.55 ± 1.75    |

F = female, M = male, NA = date not available, OD = right eye, OS = left eye, OU = both eyes.

*Mourits et al recorded the highest EV of two eyes.
Table 5
Comparison of Hertel’s EV among Hong Kong Chinese population.

| Investigator                        | Sample size | Age  | Gender/eye | Mean ± SD | Range |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|------|------------|-----------|-------|
| Current study                       | 1517        | 18–92| M/OD       | 16.3±2.8  | 5–23  |
|                                    |             |      | M/OS       | 15.2±2.9  | 5–23  |
|                                    |             |      | F/OD       | 14.4±2.7  | 5–23  |
|                                    |             |      | F/OS       | 14.3±2.7  | 5–23  |

F = female, M = male, OD = right eye, OS = left eye, SD = standard deviation.
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