Antiviral Efficacy of Pralatrexate against SARS-CoV-2

Joon-Yong Bae1,†, Gee Eun Lee1,†, Heedo Park1, Juyoung Cho1, Jeonghun Kim1, Jungmin Lee1, Kisoon Kim1, Jin Il Kim1,2,*, and Man-Seong Park1,2,*

1Department of Microbiology, Institute for Viral Diseases, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul 02841, 2Biosafety Center, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea

Abstract
Novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) has caused more than 100 million confirmed cases of human infectious disease (COVID-19) since December 2019 to paralyze our global community. However, only limited access has been allowed to COVID-19 vaccines and antiviral treatment options. Here, we report the efficacy of the anticancer drug pralatrexate against SARS-CoV-2. In Vero and human lung epithelial Calu-3 cells, pralatrexate reduced viral RNA copies of SARS-CoV-2 without detectable cytotoxicity, and viral replication was successfully inhibited in a dose-dependent manner. In a time-to-addition assay, pralatrexate treatment at almost half a day after infection also exhibited inhibitory effects on the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in Calu-3 cells. Taken together, these results suggest the potential of pralatrexate as a drug repurposing COVID-19 remedy.
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INTRODUCTION
Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) causes an unprecedented pandemic disease (COVID-19) (The Lancet, 2020). Since its first case was reported in China, in December 2019, the virus has resulted in more than 100 million human infections in more than 200 countries, territories, and areas with an almost 2.16% case-fatality rate (based on the data of World Health Organization COVID-19 Dashboard, as of January 21, 2021) (WHO, 2020a). Needless to say, COVID-19 has been socially and economically paralyzing our global community (Van Lancker and Parolin, 2020; Jones et al., 2021). To address this global disaster, many potential COVID-19 vaccine candidates have been investigated (WHO, 2021b). Of them, mRNA-based Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccines have been recommended for use, and three other candidates are being or will be under Phase 3 clinical trials in the United States (CDC, 2021c). As of January 31, 2021, almost 50 million doses of COVID-19 vaccines have been distributed in the U.S., and more than 25 million people were administered at least one dose (CDC, 2021a). However, approximately one million new cases have been reported in the U.S. during the last week of January 2021 (CDC, 2021b), and the situation is almost the same in Europe (ECDC, 2021). Hence, it is urgently needed to prepare another medical intervention method, such as therapeutic drugs, to fight against contagion.

Drug repositioning uses an approved drug beyond its original targeted purpose. At the time of the pandemic caused by an unprecedented novel virus, it may be a time-saving strategy compared to developing a completely new drug. In this regard, several approved drugs have been investigated for their potential effects on COVID-19 (WHO, 2020b). Given the interim results of the WHO Solidarity Trial (Consortium et al., 2020), remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir, and interferon regimens appeared to have unsatisfiable effects on COVID-19 patients. Of these drugs, remdesivir was the only drug authorized by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the emergency treatment of COVID-19 patients, whereas the use of chloroquine (or hydroxychloroquine) with or without azithromycin, lopinavir/ritonavir, and ivermectin was not recommended (FDA, 2020). Consistently, Beigel et al. (2020) reported the beneficial effects of remdesivir on the recovery time in COVID-19 patients. To fight against COVID-19, however, the Solidarity Trial is still seeking other treatment methods, including corticosteroids (WHO, 2021a). In search of a
candidate drug that can be successfully listed in the treatment options for COVID-19 patients, we also examined several candidate drugs and reported the anti-SARS-CoV-2 efficacy of the anticancer drug pralatrexate, which has been used to treat relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma (Hong et al., 2019).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and viruses**

Vero, Calu-3, and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were purchased from the Korean Cell Line Bank (Seoul, Korea). The cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Serana, Pessin, Germany) and penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco). SARS-CoV-2 (BetaCoV/korea/KCDC03/2020, NCCP no. 43326) and A/Korea/01/2009 (2009 pandemic influenza strain, H1N1 subtype), B/Brisbane/60/2008 (Victoria lineage), and B/Wisconsin/01/2010 (Yamagata lineage), were provided by the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA; Osong, Korea), were prepared by propagation in Vero cells and embryonated chicken eggs, respectively, after plaque purification. Other seasonal influenza viruses, such as A/Perth/16/2009 (H3N2 subtype), B/Brisbane/60/2008 (Victoria lineage), and B/Wisconsin/01/2010 (Yamagata lineage), were provided by Il Yang Pharmaceutical Co. (Seoul, Korea) and prepared by propagation in embryonated chicken eggs after plaque purification. All the viruses were confirmed by commercial sequencing before use.

**Chemicals**

Pralatrexate was purchased from Selleckchem Chemicals Llc (Houston, TX, USA). Hydroxychloroquine sulfate was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). These chemicals were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich) or deionized water to achieve a final concentration of 10 mM.

**Plaque assay**

A plaque assay was performed to determine infectious viral titers of SARS-CoV-2. Briefly, a confluent monolayer of Vero cells was prepared in advance and inoculated with diluted viruses. After 1 h of infection, the inoculum was discarded, and the cells were overlaid with DMEM-F12 (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 2% agarose. After 72 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the viruses were confirmed by commercial sequencing before use.

**Chemicals**

Pralatrexate was purchased from Selleckchem Chemicals Llc (Houston, TX, USA). Hydroxychloroquine sulfate was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). These chemicals were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich) or deionized water to achieve a final concentration of 10 mM.

**Plaque assay**

A confluent monolayer of MDCK cells was prepared in advance, and the cells were inoculated with 10³ plaque-forming units (pfu) of influenza viruses. After 1 h of infection, the inoculum was discarded, and the cells were overlaid with DMEM-F12 (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 2% agarose and serially two-fold diluted pralatrexate. After 72 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the cells were stained with crystal violet (Georgia Chemicals Inc., Norcross, GA, USA).

**Plaque-reduction assay**

A confluent monolayer of MDCK cells was prepared in advance, and the cells were inoculated with 10³ plaque-forming units (pfu) of influenza viruses. After 1 h of infection, the inoculum was discarded, and the cells were overlaid with DMEM-F12 (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 2% agarose and serially two-fold diluted pralatrexate. After 72 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the cells were stained with crystal violet (Georgia Chemicals Inc.). Control wells were treated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

**Cell viability assay**

The cytotoxicity of the chemicals was determined using the cell proliferation reagent WST-1 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were seeded at a density of 2×10⁴ cells/well (Vero) or 7×10⁵ cells/well (Calu-3) in a 96-well clear flat-bottom TC-treated culture microplate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated with DMEM (Gibco) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The next day, 2% FBS cell culture media were discarded and washed once with PBS. Then, serial twofold dilutions of the chemicals were added to each well. DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a control. At 24 and 48 h postinfection (hpi), 10 µL WST-1 was added to each well, followed by 2 h of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Subsequently, cell viability was determined using a microplate reader. The 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀) was calculated using GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA).

**Real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)**

Twelve-well plates (SPL Life Sciences, Pocheon, Korea) were seeded with 0.9×10⁶ cells/well (Vero) or 1×10⁶ cells/well (Calu-3) in advance. After 24 h, the cells were washed once with PBS and infected with SARS-CoV-2 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 or 0.1 for Vero or Calu-3 cells, respectively. After 1 h of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the inoculum was discarded, and the cells were washed once with PBS. Subsequently, the cells were treated with serial twofold dilutions of the chemicals. At 24 and 48 hpi, viral RNAs extracted from the cell supernatants were quantified by qRT-PCR. Briefly, 130 µL cell supernatants were harvested for RNA extraction with a Maxwell RSV Viral Total Nucleic Acid Purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). A SARS-CoV-2 RdRp region was amplified using forward (5'-GTGARATGGTCATGTGTTG-CCGG) and reverse (5'-CARATGTAAASACATATTAGCATA) primers and a probe (5'-FAMCAGGTTGAACCTCATATTAGCATA) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). A standard curve was generated based on the cycle-threshold values (Ct values) corresponding to the known viral titers (10¹⁻¹⁰⁶ pfu) of SARS-CoV-2 in quantitative real-time RT-PCR targeted for the RdRp region.

**Growth kinetics**

The replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 were analyzed in Vero and Calu-3 cells. Briefly, monolayered Vero or Calu-3 cells in 12-well plates were inoculated with 0.01 or 0.1 MOI, respectively, for 1 h. Then, the inoculum was discarded, and the cells were washed with DMEM (Gibco) three times and maintained with DMEM containing 2% FBS (Serana). Various concentrations of chemicals were added to the cell supernatants, and the cell supernatants were harvested at 24, 48, and 72 hpf for titration by the plaque assay in Vero cells.

**Time-to-addition assay**

Confluent Calu-3 cell monolayers in 12-well tissue culture plates were inoculated with 0.1 MOI (10² pfu/100 µL) of SARS-CoV-2 for 1 h. After infection, the inoculum was discarded, and the cells were washed with PBS once. DMEM (Gibco) containing 2% FBS (Serana) was added (nontreated group). Pralatrexate (12.5 µM) was added to the medium at 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 25, and 37 hpi. The cell supernatants were harvested at 48 h later from each drug addition time, and virus titers were determined by the plaque assay in Vero cells.
Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of viral titer differences in the replication kinetics between the control (or virus-only) and drug-treated groups, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test was applied using GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad). The results of the time-to-addition assay between the virus-only and drug-treated groups were analyzed by Student’s t test. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; and ***p<0.001.

RESULTS

In our search of antiviral drug candidates (Kim et al., 2019), pralatrexate was initially found to be an oseltamivir-comparable candidate drug effective against seasonal influenza viruses (Fig. 1). The 50% inhibitory concentrations (IC50) of pralatrexate against all four tested influenza viruses were much lower than those of oseltamivir, and especially against the A/H1N1 and A/H3N2 subtypes, pralatrexate appeared to exhibit far better efficacy (Table 1). To evaluate its extended efficacy against SARS-CoV-2, we examined pralatrexate using cell-based assays. In Vero cells, pralatrexate appeared to interfere with viral RNA synthesis (Fig. 2). At higher concentrations, pralatrexate showed some cytotoxicity, but it reduced viral RNA copies of SARS-CoV-2 by less than 0.1 μM without cytotoxicity at 24 hpi. At 48 hpi, pralatrexate still exhibited efficacy against SARS-CoV-2, and its IC50 values were determined to be 0.020-0.028 μM (Fig. 2A, Table 2). Pralatrexate also effectively inhibited the replication of SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2B). In Vero cells, 0.1 μM pralatrexate reduced viral replication with almost two log scales at 24 hpi with statistical significance (**p<0.01 and ***p<0.001), and at 48 hpi, it could still curb viral replication. However, the anti-SARS-COV-2 effects of pralatrexate appeared to be diminished at 72 hpi (Fig. 2B).

We then evaluated the anti-SARS-CoV-2 efficacy of pralatrexate in human lung epithelial Calu-3 cells by comparing it to that of hydroxychloroquine (Fig. 3). Both drugs exhibited no cytotoxicity in Calu-3 cells. However, only pralatrexate successfully inhibited the RNA synthesis of SARS-CoV-2, whereas hydroxychloroquine showed no efficacy (Fig. 3A and B). Given these results, the IC50 values of pralatrexate and hydroxychloroquine were determined to be 0.054 μM and 107.8 μM, respectively, and the selective index (SI) value of pralatrexate was more than 1,800-fold higher than that of hydroxychloroquine (Table 2). The effects of pralatrexate on the replication of SARS-CoV-2 were also investigated in Calu-3 cells. Compared to hydroxychloroquine (Fig. 3D), 1.56-50 μM pralatrexate showed significantly higher inhibitory effects against the replication of SARS-CoV-2 at 48 and 72 hpi, with statistical significance (**p<0.01 and ***p<0.001) (Fig. 3C). When added to cell supernatants after infection in the time-to-addition assay, pralatrexate exhibited anti-SARS-CoV-2 efficacy in a time-dependent manner. Treatment before 9 hpi could curb viral replication by almost 90% compared to the control.

Table 1. IC50 values of pralatrexate against seasonal influenza viruses

| Chemical          | A/H1N1 | A/H3N2 | B/Victoria | B/Yamagata |
|-------------------|--------|--------|------------|------------|
| Pralatrexate      | 0.068  | 0.379  | 0.009      | 0.042      |
| Oseltamivir       | 85.01  | 453.7  | 7.126      | 0.527      |

*A/H1N1, A/Korea/01/2009; A/H3N2, A/Perth/16/2009; B/Victoria, B/Brisbane/60/2008; and B/Yamagata, B/Wisconsin/01/2010.

Fig. 1. Inhibitory effects of pralatrexate against influenza. (A, B) Pralatrexate was screened for its anti-influenza efficacy by a plaque-reduction assay in MDCK cells. Oseltamivir carboxylate was used as a control. A/H1N1, A/Korea/01/2009; A/H3N2, A/Perth/16/2009; B/Victoria, B/Brisbane/60/2008; and B/Yamagata, B/Wisconsin/01/2010.

Fig. 2. Inhibitory effects of pralatrexate against SARS-CoV-2 in Vero cells. (A, B) Using qRT-PCR, viral RNAs of SARS-CoV-2 were detected at 24 and 48 hpi with pralatrexate (0.78 to 100 μM) treatment in Vero cells. Cytotoxicity (CC50, 50% cytotoxicity concentration) was assessed at the same concentrations of pralatrexate. (C) Antiviral effects of pralatrexate (0.025, 0.05, or 0.1 μM) on the replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 were evaluated in Vero cells. A virus-only group was treated with cell culture medium. The results were obtained from three independent experiments. The error bar denotes the standard deviation (SD). *p<0.05; **p<0.01; and ***p<0.001.
viral replication titer of a PBS-treated control, and even at 11 or 13 hpi, pralatrexate could inhibit the replication of SARS-CoV-2 by more than 60% compared to the control (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Given the time and costs of new drug discovery, drug repurposing may have great advantages, and it might be the reason that FDA-approved remdesivir and hydroxychloroquine have been investigated to treat COVID-19 patients (FDA, 2020; WHO, 2020b). For a similar reason, we also investigated the in vitro anti-SARS-CoV-2 efficacy of pralatrexate using cell-based assays. Initially, we observed that it had antiviral effects against different (sub)types of seasonal influenza viruses (Fig. 1, Table 1). In fact, pralatrexate has been used to treat relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma. It has been reported that pralatrexate may induce the depletion of thymidine monophosphate inside the cell (Hong et al., 2019). Recently, Zhang et al. (2020) suggested the potential binding of pralatrexate to the RdRp catalytic site of SARS-CoV-2, which was investigated using a hybrid screening procedure. At present, we do not know exactly the mode(s) of action (MOA) of pralatrexate against SARS-CoV-2 and influenza viruses. Given these suggested biological mechanisms of pralatrexate, we speculated that pralatrexate might exert its effects by interrupting RNA synthesis of different RNA viruses. Consistently, pralatrexate interfered with SARS-CoV-2 RNA synthesis in Vero cells (Fig. 2A). Despite its cytotoxicity at relatively higher concentrations, pralatrexate impeded viral RNA synthesis as early as 24 hpi, and its IC50 value was determined to be 0.020 µM (Table 2). Considering cytotoxicity changes between 24 and 48 hpi (Fig. 2A) and different inhibitory effects on viral replication between 24 and 48 hpi (Fig. 2B), it might be beneficial if pralatrexate is treated more than once at the early phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The results of the time-to-addition assay might also support this suggestion (Fig. 4).

Notably, pralatrexate showed different inhibitory effects

---

**Table 2.** Antiviral and cytotoxic profiles of pralatrexate against SARS-CoV-2 in cells

| Chemical    | Cell    | Time (hpi) | IC50 (µM) | CC50 (µM) | SI value |
|-------------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Pralatrexate| Vero    | 24         | 0.028     | >100      | >5,000   |
|             | Calu-3  | 48         | 0.028     | >100      | >3,571   |
| Hydroxychloroquine | Calu-3  | 48         | 0.054     | >100      | >1,851   |

*CC50, 50% cytotoxic concentration; and *SI, selective index.

---

**Fig. 3.** Inhibitory effects of pralatrexate against SARS-CoV-2 in Calu-3 cells. (A, B) Using qRT-PCR, viral RNAs of SARS-CoV-2 were detected at 48 hpi with pralatrexate or hydroxychloroquine (0.78-100 µM) treatment in Calu-3 cells. Cytotoxicity was also assessed for the same concentrations of pralatrexate or hydroxychloroquine. (C, D) Antiviral effects of pralatrexate or hydroxychloroquine (1.56 to 50 µM) on the replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 were evaluated in Calu-3 cells. A virus-only group was treated with cell culture medium. The results were obtained from three independent experiments. The error bar denotes the SD. ***p<0.001.

---

**Fig. 4.** Time-to-addition effects of pralatrexate against SARS-CoV-2 in Calu-3 cells. The time-to-addition effects of pralatrexate (12.5 µM) on the replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 were evaluated in Calu-3 cells. After infection (MOI=0.1), pralatrexate was added to the cell supernatants at each indicated time point. The cell supernatants were then collected at 48 h after the addition time and used for titration by the plaque assay in Vero cells. A virus-only group was treated with cell culture medium. The error bar denotes the SD. **p<0.01.
on the replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 in Vero and Calu-3 cells (Fig. 2, 3). In Vero cells, pralatrexate more reduced the replication of SARS-CoV-2 at 24 hpi than at 48 hpi. In the qRT-PCR assay in Vero cells, pralatrexate also exhibited better effects at 24 hpi than at 48 hpi. Given the half-life of pralatrexate (12-18 h) (Drugbank, 2021), these results might be acceptable. However, the antiviral effects of pralatrexate were maximized at 48 hpi in Calu-3 cells, not at as early as 24 hpi. This discrepancy suggests that the onset of pharmacological action of pralatrexate might be cell- or host-dependent, which should be considered in determining a medication administration protocol. Furthermore, as indicated in the cytotoxicity assay, the potential adverse effects of pralatrexate on normal cells and its exact MOA against SARS-CoV-2 must also be explored further in suitable animal models.

With therapeutic benefits and limits, the FDA-approved anticancer drug pralatrexate can be repurposed upon approval of its pharmacological effects to equip ourselves against COVID-19 in the influenza virus-circulating winter season, and it may also reduce health risks in cancer patients, providing dual beneficial effects.
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