Introduction

Ameloblastoma is the most common odontogenic neoplasm, primarily originating from the oral epithelium. It usually involves the jaw bone and accounts for 11% to 13% of all odontogenic tumors. Ameloblastoma, formerly known as solid/multicystic ameloblastoma, is considered the most frequent type of ameloblastoma in the mandible, especially in the posterior region. Ameloblastoma presents characteristics of local invasion and progressive infiltration of the medullary bone, as well as expansion of the cortical bone. It can cause displacement of the adjacent teeth, root resorption, and extensive bone expansion, thereby leading to facial asymmetry.

The intimate proximity of ameloblastoma to the mandibular canal can result in clinical symptoms of paresthesia,
especially in advanced-stage lesions. The outcomes of a thorough clinical evaluation in conjunction with different imaging modalities and histopathology are crucial for the successful management of ameloblastoma. Both cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT) are efficient imaging modalities for assessing ameloblastomas, as they enable a 3-dimensional (3D) evaluation of the lesion and the anatomical structures adjacent to the tumor, especially the relationship to the mandibular canal.

In cases of ameloblastoma, mandibular canal displacement is a common radiological finding, especially in lesions closer to the neurovascular bundle. Ameloblastomas cause buccal displacement of the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) in 84.6% of cases and lingual displacement in 15.4%. When treating patients with ameloblastoma, it is essential to know the pathway of the mandibular canal when determining the direction of biopsy access and the safety limits of surgical excision in order to prevent neurovascular injuries. Precise localization of the IAN may be particularly crucial for posterior mandibular lesions, especially if preservation of the neurovascular bundle is included in the surgical planning.

Depending on how early a patient presents for evaluation, mandibular canal displacement may not be evident on imaging. The mandibular canal has an S-shaped pattern in the bone, forming a pathway to the mental foramen. Three-dimensional-reconstructed volumes show the pathway, rather than sectional views of the mandibular canal. Until now, mandibular canal displacement has been studied through qualitative analyses, without using 3D models. Over the last decade, the diagnostic use of CBCT exams has been enhanced by the emergence of specific tools for 3D symmetry analyses of both craniofacial sides. Displacement of the IAN associated with jaw lesions could be an essential radiographic finding. Recognizing its direction could contribute to a better understanding of the biological behavior and management of the tumor. This study set out to investigate ameloblastoma-related mandibular canal displacement, using a 3D mirrored-model analysis.

**Materials and Methods**

This observational retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Michigan (ID: HUM00161968) and the Federal University of Goiás (02681118.5.0000.5078).

**Sample**

This sample consisted of a secondary data analysis of available MSCT tomographic scans (n=5) and CBCT scans (n=25), exported as Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) files, divided into 2 groups of patients: the ameloblastoma group, which consisted of 10 patients (7 men and 3 women) with an ameloblastoma lesion who were treated between 2013 and 2018 at the Oral Surgery Department of the Clinical Hospital of Federal University of Goiás, Brazil, and the control group, which included 20 healthy patients (10 men and 10 women) selected from de-identified pre-orthodontic files of the Dental and Craniofacial Bionetwork for Image Analysis of the University of Michigan, USA. The control group patients were selected by pairing their ages with those in the ameloblastoma group at a 2:1 ratio. The sample size calculation considered the presence of inclination asymmetry between both sides of the mandibular canal with a power of 80% and an alpha of 0.05 for a 2-tailed test. The sample size required for a ratio of 2:1 for control and ameloblastoma patients was 16:8.

The following inclusion criteria were applied: 1) MSCT and CBCT scans exported as DICOM files; 2) tomographic images presenting no distortion or movement artifacts and a field of view (FOV) covering the full extent of the mandibular canal; 3) ameloblastoma with histopathological confirmation and the lesion located in the mandibular body or ramus; and 4) healthy patients without severe mandibular asymmetry ( <4 mm) or anatomical variations in the mandibular canal (bifid mandibular canal). The exclusion criteria were: 1) images suggestive of facial trauma; 2) images of patients with syndromes or congenital craniofacial anomalies, such as cleft lip and palate; and 3) images suggestive of previous mandibular surgical procedures.

**Image acquisition and 3D assessment**

The MSCT scans, which had been taken for 5 ameloblastoma patients before treatment as a complementary exam for diagnosis or surgical planning, were performed on a Syngo CT device (Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Nuremberg, Germany) at the University Clinical Hospital, with an exposure time of 6.44 s, a thickness of 0.8 mm, a peak kilovoltage of 130 kVp, and a tube current of 25 mA. The CBCT scans of 5 ameloblastoma patients and the entire control sample were acquired using an iCat unit (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA, USA), with a scan time of 40 s, an FOV of 23 cm × 17 cm, and a voxel size of 0.4 mm³.

The 3D model analysis was performed by a single examiner, who had previously undergone training in this method with an expert in 3D model analysis, and by an oral radiologist. Calibration was achieved by performing all the steps...
in 5 different scans before the study.

The 3D analysis was carried out in the following steps, beginning with the conversion of DICOM files to GIPL files using ITK-SNAP, an open-source software (version 2.4.0; www.itksnap.org). The original scan was converted from a 0.4-mm³ voxel size to 0.5-mm³ voxels using 3D Slicer (ver. 4.0; www.slicer.org) to reduce the computational power and time required for the image analysis. Automatic segmentation of the mandible was executed, followed by the creation of a volumetric label map and a virtual 3D model using 3D Slicer. For the 3D model of the mandible, position orientation was carried out using the 3D Slicer tool (a fixed-coordinate system) in order to standardize the mandibular canal position in the image analysis view. The base of the mandibular body was matched with the horizontal reference plane on both sides and the sagittal reference plane was perpendicular to the horizontal position and centered in the mandibular symphysis. The coronal plane of reference was matched to the gonial angle on both sides to correct the mandibular rotation. When cortical expansion was present, only the healthy side was considered in the orientation. The pre-labeling step consisted of creating a segmented label map of the mandibular canal with landmarks using ITK-SNAP. The landmarks denoted 3 regions in the mandibular canal: anterior region (mental foramen), posterior region (mandibular foramen), and the middle region (orthogonal projection of the center point located on the connecting line between the mental foramen and mandibular foramen). The landmarks are shown and described in Figure 1.

The mirroring steps started with mirroring the pre-labeled mandible, the mandibular canal volumetric labels with landmarks, and the corresponding scans using 3D Slicer. The reference for the mirroring was the superimposition of the healthy side onto the lesion side in the ameloblastoma group, and the superimposition of the left side onto the right side in the control group. Then, the mirrored scan was manually approximated over the original oriented scan, using the healthy bilateral regions of the mandibular symphysis as a best-fit reference. Mirrored and oriented files (scans and segmentation) were then registered, after which the mirrored and original segmentation files were converted to surface models with pre-labeled landmarks using 3D Slicer. The pre-labeled anatomical landmarks were detected in the original and mirrored surface models using the Q3DC tool in 3D Slicer, and then the Q3DC tool was used to calculate the quantitative linear distances of mandibular canal length, and the number of directional changes of the mandibular canal in the mediolateral (ML), anteroposterior (AP), and supero-inferior (SI) axes. The variables were measured on both sides, as described in Table 1. The qualitative yaw and pitch variables of the anterior and posterior regions were
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Table 1. Mandibular canal measurements

| Variables                          | Unit             | Definition                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Linear asymmetries                 |                  |                                                                           |
| Total length                        | 3D (mm)          | Difference of the right and left distances from the mandibular foramen to the mental foramen |
| Anterior canal length               | 3D (mm)          | Difference of the right and left distances from the middle point canal to the mental foramen |
| Posterior canal length              | 3D (mm)          | Difference of the right and left distances from the middle point canal to the mandibular foramen |
| Mental foramen location             | 2D (mm)          | Distance between right and left mental foramen superimposition            |
| Middle point canal location         | 2D (mm)          | Distance between right and left middle point canal superimposition         |
| Mandibular point foramen location   | 2D (mm)          | Distance between right and left mandibular foramen superimposition         |
| Inclination asymmetries             |                  |                                                                           |
| Anterior canal pitch                | Yes/No           | Presence or absence of supero-inferior inclination asymmetry of the anterior canal |
| Anterior canal yaw                  | Yes/No           | Presence or absence of buccolingual inclination asymmetry of the anterior canal |
| Posterior canal pitch               | Yes/No           | Presence or absence of supero-inferior inclination asymmetry of the posterior canal |
| Posterior canal yaw                 | Yes/No           | Presence or absence of buccolingual inclination asymmetry of the posterior canal |

ML: mediolateral, AP: anteroposterior, SI: supero-inferior, 3D: 3-dimensional, 2D: 2-dimensional

Fig. 2. A. Three-dimensional (3D) models of the mandible (white), ameloblastoma lesion (blue), and right (green) and left (red) mandibular canals from an ameloblastoma patient. B. Lateral view of the mandibular canal of the lesion side (red) mirrored on mandibular canal of the healthy side (green) showing the respective landmarks, correspondence of the posterior canal direction, and inferior displacement of the lesion side in the anterior region. C. Superior view of the mandibular canal of the lesion-affected side (red) mirrored onto the mandibular canal of the healthy side (green), showing the respective landmarks, equivalence of the posterior canal direction, and buccal displacement of the lesion-affected side in the anterior region.

determined through a visual evaluation of the 3D superimposed models. An example of linear and angular (yaw and pitch) measurements is shown in Figure 2.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A *post hoc* power analysis was undertaken using G*Power software, version 3.2.9.2. The random error was determined using the Dahlberg formula, while systematic error was detected by intraclass correlation coefficients with a confidence level of 95% to verify reproducibility after repeating placements of all the pre-labeled landmarks and measurements of all patients at a 15-day interval. The distributions of all variables were tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and all variables showed a normal distribution. The t-test for independent samples was used to compare groups in terms of differences between both sides, while the Fisher exact test was used to compare groups in terms of the presence of asymmetric inclinations of the anterior and posterior canal. The level of significance was set at 0.05 for all tests.
Results

Reliability and sample characteristics

All 3D measurements showed a high level of intra-examiner agreement. The lowest ICC value was that of the AP middle point (0.881), while the highest was that of the SI mandibular foramen position (0.894). The operator error measurements varied between 0.06 and 0.51 mm.

The sample power analysis of our study (20 : 10) resulted in a power of 92.96%. Table 2 presents the sample distribution (sex, age, side of the lesion, lesion location, and cortical expansion). The ameloblastoma and control groups showed a similar age distribution. Ameloblastoma lesions were seen more frequently in men, on the left side, spread over both the anterior and posterior regions, and with cortical expansion.

Table 2. Sample characteristics of control and ameloblastoma groups (number and percentage)

|                      | Control (n = 20) | Ameloblastoma (n = 10) |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Sex                  |                 |                       |
| Female               | 10 (50.0)       | 3 (30.0)              |
| Male                 | 10 (50.0)       | 7 (70.0)              |
| Mean age (years)     | 27.0            | 26.3                  |
| Lesion side          |                 |                       |
| Right                | NA              | 2 (20.0)              |
| Left                 | NA              | 7 (70.0)              |
| Both                 | NA              | 1 (10.0)              |
| Lesion location*     |                 |                       |
| Anterior             | NA              | 2 (20.0)              |
| Posterior            | NA              | 1 (10.0)              |
| Both                 | NA              | 7 (70.0)              |
| Cortical expansion   |                 |                       |
| Yes                  | NA              | 6 (60.0)              |
| No                   | NA              | 4 (40.0)              |

*: related to mandibular canal, NA: not applicable

Table 3. Linear asymmetry between both sides of the mandibular canal in the control and ameloblastoma groups

| Variables                        | Control (n = 20) | Ameloblastoma (n = 10) | Mean difference | P value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Linear asymmetries               |                 |                       |                 |         |
| Length                           |                 |                       |                 |         |
| Total length (mm)                | −0.18 ± 1.96    | −0.14 ± 3.58           | 0.03            | >0.05   |
| Anterior canal length (mm)       | −0.46 ± 1.81    | 0.34 ± 3.65            | 0.81            | >0.05   |
| Posterior canal length (mm)      | 0.63 ± 1.92     | 0.17 ± 2.72            | 0.46            | >0.05   |
| Regional position                |                 |                       |                 |         |
| Mental foramen ML (mm)           | −0.73 ± 0.95    | 2.40 ± 4.16*           | 3.13            |         |
| Mental foramen AP (mm)           | 0.64 ± 1.06     | 0.23 ± 1.64            | 0.40            | <0.05   |
| Mental foramen SI (mm)           | 0.41 ± 1.46     | −1.97 ± 1.92*          | 2.38            | >0.05   |
| Middle point canal location ML (mm) | −0.90 ± 0.91  | 1.09 ± 2.75*           | 1.99            | <0.01   |
| Middle point canal location AP (mm) | 1.27 ± 1.40  | 0.59 ± 1.58            | 0.67            | <0.05   |
| Middle point canal location SI (mm) | −0.48 ± 1.22  | −2.88 ± 4.47           | 2.39            | >0.05   |
| Mandibular foramen ML (mm)       | −0.46 ± 1.16    | −0.18 ± 2.64           | 0.27            | >0.05   |
| Mandibular foramen AP (mm)       | 0.93 ± 1.58     | 0.29 ± 3.03            | 0.63            | >0.05   |
| Mandibular foramen SI (mm)       | 0.79 ± 2.07     | −3.01 ± 5.25           | 3.09            | >0.05   |

Length measurements have positive values for greater values on the left side or lesion side, and negative values for greater values on the right or healthy sides. Positive values of regional position represent buccal, superior, or anterior displacement of the left or lesion landmarks. Negative values of regional position represent lingual, inferior, or posterior displacement of the left or lesion landmarks. *: P<0.05 compared with the control group. ML: mediolateral, AP: anteroposterior, SI: supero-inferior
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The ameloblastoma group presented statistically significant differences in anterior canal yaw, anterior canal pitch, posterior canal yaw, and posterior canal pitch when compared to the control group ($P<0.05$). The lingual inclination of the posterior canal did not show a statistically significant difference ($P>0.05$). Displacement of the mandibular canal in ameloblastoma patients tended to occur in the anterior region, toward the buccal and inferior directions in 60% and 70% of patients, respectively, and a high prevalence of the corresponding posterior canal yaw was also found (70%). The control group presented a marked predominance of the corresponding positions of anterior and posterior canal inclinations in the right and left sides of the mandible. Figures 3 and 4 show mandibular canal superimposition in the ameloblastoma and control groups, respectively.

**Discussion**

This study presented a 3D evaluation of mandibular canal displacement using 3D mirrored models in a sample diagnosed with ameloblastoma compared with a symmetric control group. This methodology was capable of 3-dimensionally detecting the behavior of the mandibular canal position in the presence of a benign but aggressive lesion, and also of identifying the correspondence of the mandibular canal pathway in the symmetric group of healthy patients. The results showed that the most significant changes in patients with ameloblastoma were related to asymmetric inclinations in the anterior regions of the mandibular canal and that few changes were expressed in the posterior region. The mandibular canal length showed stable measurements, both in the anterior and posterior regions.

Ameloblastoma is usually found in patients in their third decade of life, has no sex predilection, and is mainly located in the posterior part of the mandible, such as the ramus, mandibular angle, and mandibular body. Cortical bone expansion and mandibular canal displacement are common signs present in ameloblastoma lesions. These signs are related to the length of time involved in the development of the lesion, as well as to its size, and produce facial deformities and erosion of cortical bone. These lesions also produce paresthesia when close to the mandibular canal. In the present study, the ameloblastoma lesions were also found in young adult patients, and were more prevalent...
in men, as has generally been reported in South American populations.\textsuperscript{18}

Earlier studies on the relationship between ameloblastoma and mandibular canal displacement used tomographic images of 3 different regions of the mandible and showed the mandibular displacement in a buccolingual direction.\textsuperscript{5,6} These studies also used the external cortical bone (buccal or lingual) in the lesion side as the anatomical reference to carry out qualitative measurements. However, as these studies used no control groups, their results showing buccolingual directions of mandibular canal displacement are controversial. Such methods are not capable of detecting the extent of the displacement through quantitative data. Our study presents a methodology based on 3D model superimpositions of the healthy and lesion-affected sides of the mandibular canal. Moreover, the control group of symmetric mandibles added information about mandibular canal correspondence between both sides to validate the method, as seen in Figure 4.

Our results showed significant mandibular canal displacement in ameloblastoma patients when compared to healthy patients, especially in the anterior region. However, the qualitative analysis did not agree with the results of earlier studies\textsuperscript{5,6} which found a higher prevalence of buccal displacement in the posterior region. One possible explanation for the conflicting results is the use of different reference parameters. While our study was based on the pathway of the healthy mirrored mandibular canal, the other studies used the lesion itself or the cortical bone on the lesion side to identify the displacement of the mandibular canal. Such an approach can bias the results because the relationship between the mandibular canal position and the ameloblastoma lesion or cortical bone only indicates the location, and not the direction, of displacement. Furthermore, our imaging study traced the trajectory of displacement, which is different for every tumor. This statement is supported by cases that showed inexpressive buccolingual displacement in the posterior region (30%), and no statistical significance when lingual displacement was present ($P > 0.05$), as seen in Figure 3 and Table 4. This individual variability reinforces the fact that each case must be evaluated on an individual basis, mainly using the parameters of the patients them-
selves, such as the healthy side as compared to the lesion side.

Other new information from our study includes quantitative data on mandibular canal length and its regions of asymmetries. As seen in Table 3, the mandibular canal length of the anterior and posterior regions of the ameloblastoma group was similar on the healthy and lesion-affected sides. In addition, the comparison between the ameloblastoma and control groups showed similar lengths for both sides. The similarity in canal lengths on both sides suggests that ameloblastoma is incapable of significantly changing the canal length. The mandibular canal was most often dislocated by the ameloblastoma in the latero-medial and superior directions. The variability in quantitative data in all regional positions also reinforces that there is no specific pattern of mandibular canal displacement, especially in the posterior region.

The clinical application of the results of this study is directly related to surgical treatment planning, and to the early diagnosis of mandibular canal displacement in ameloblastoma, a phenomenon that occurs before cortical expansion. In our study sample, 60% of patients showed evident cortical expansion, while 80% had mandibular canal displacement (Fig. 3). This information suggests that mandibular canal displacement could happen before cortical expansion occurs, and could be an essential detail in the diagnosis. Imaging analysis of the mandibular canal using tomographic exams is usually based on different sectional views. 3D-reconstructed models provide a better comparison of the mandibular canal pathway than tomographic sectional views. The 3D superimposition of the original and mirrored models has been studied for mandibular asymmetries and constitutes a valuable and accurate approach to analyzing craniofacial and mandibular asymmetries. The results of a thorough clinical evaluation in conjunction with different imaging modalities and histopathology are crucial for the successful management of ameloblastoma, irrespective of its histological subtype.

Both primary and recurrent ameloblastoma are usually treated surgically. For every type of surgical approach, knowledge of the relationship between the tumor and the IAN is of fundamental importance for avoiding postoperative complications. The impact of surgery on facial growth and development in young patients should also be considered during treatment planning. The use of surgical treatment for pediatric ameloblastoma patients remains controversial. In general, conservative surgery prioritizes the maintenance of a good post-treatment quality of life over the possibility of recurrence in pediatric ameloblastoma patients.

Mandibular canal displacement can be present in patients diagnosed with ameloblastoma, although this imaging feature is not considered pathognomonic. Canal displacement is probably related to the nature of the lesion, such as the

Table 4. Frequency of inclination asymmetry between both sides of the mandibular canal in the control and ameloblastoma groups

| Variables                  | Control (n = 20) | Ameloblastoma (n = 10) | P value |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|
| Inclination asymmetry direction | n (%)          | n (%)                  |         |
| Anterior canal yaw         |                 |                        |         |
| None                       | 19 (95.0)       | 3 (30.0)               | <0.05   |
| Buccal                     | 0 (0.0)         | 6 (60.0)               | <0.05   |
| Lingual                    | 1 (5.0)         | 1 (10.0)               | <0.05   |
| Anterior canal pitch       |                 |                        |         |
| None                       | 18 (90.0)       | 2 (20.0)               | <0.05   |
| Superior                   | 0 (0.0)         | 1 (10.0)               | <0.05   |
| Inferior                   | 2 (10.0)        | 7 (70.0)               | <0.05   |
| Posterior canal yaw        |                 |                        |         |
| None                       | 18 (90.0)       | 7 (70.0)               | <0.05   |
| Buccal                     | 0 (0.0)         | 2 (20.0)               | <0.05   |
| Lingual                    | 2 (10.0)        | 1 (10.0)               | >0.05   |
| Posterior canal pitch      |                 |                        |         |
| None                       | 19 (95.0)       | 4 (40.0)               | <0.05   |
| Superior                   | 1 (5.0)         | 1 (10.0)               | <0.05   |
| Inferior                   | 0 (0.0)         | 5 (50.0)               | <0.05   |

*: P<0.05 compared with the control group
direction of spread, slow growth rate, and its lower aggressiveness when compared to other lesions. Knowing the prevalence of the direction of mandibular canal displacement is invaluable information, especially when conservative treatment of ameloblastoma is indicated.

The limitations of this study include the use of retrospective data and a relatively small sample size with different ameloblastoma volumes and directions of spread. Further studies, including groups with lesions with different volumes and biological behaviors, could be useful for identifying the association of mandibular canal displacement and clinical symptoms, such as paresthesia.

This study concludes that the displacement of the mandibular canal due to an ameloblastoma lesion can be detected by this superimposed mirrored method. Displacement is more prevalent toward the inferior and buccal directions and affects the mental foramen position, but does not lead to a change in the length of the mandibular canal. The results also suggest that the direction of mandibular canal displacement should be taken into account as a tomographic sign to support decision-making concerning diagnostic and therapeutic management.
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