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We report on experiments that were performed with microwave waveguide systems and demonstrate that in the frequency range of a single transversal mode they may serve as a model for closed and open quantum graphs. These consist of bonds that are connected at vertices. On the bonds, they are governed by the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with boundary conditions imposed at the vertices. The resulting transport properties through the vertices may be expressed in terms of a vertex scattering matrix. Quantum graphs with incommensurate bond lengths attracted interest within the field of quantum chaos because, depending on the characteristics of the vertex scattering matrix, its wave dynamic may exhibit features of a typical quantum system with chaotic counterpart. In distinction to microwave networks, which serve as an experimental model of quantum graphs with Neumann boundary conditions, the vertex scattering matrices associated with a waveguide system depend on the wavenumber and the wave functions can be determined experimentally. We analyze the spectral properties of microwave waveguide systems with preserved and partially violated time-reversal invariance, and the properties of the associated wave functions. Furthermore, we study properties of the scattering matrix describing the measurement process within the framework of random matrix theory for quantum chaotic scattering systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum graphs [1, 2] have served for three decades as a suitable system for the study of features of quantum systems, whose corresponding classical dynamic is fully chaotic. Linus Pauling introduced them for the modeling of organic molecules [3] and they are also employed to simulate a large variety of other physical systems like, e.g., quantum wires [4, 5], optical waveguides [6] and mesoscopic quantum systems [7, 8]. They are constructed from bonds that are connected at vertices [1, 2, 9, 13]. Wave propagation in a quantum graph is governed by the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation along the bonds with boundary conditions at their ends, that is, at the vertices, that ensure continuity of the wave functions and current conservation. It has been proven rigorously in Ref. [4] that, depending on the boundary conditions, closed quantum graphs with incommensurate bond lengths exhibit in their eigenvalue spectra the fluctuation properties of typical quantum systems with chaotic classical dynamic [15, 18]. According to the Bohigas-Gianonni-Schmit conjecture (BGS) [15, 18] these are described by the Gaussian ensembles of random matrix theory (RMT) [19]. The boundary conditions can be expressed in terms of unitary vertex matrices [2, 3, 12, 20, 21], that characterize the transport or scattering properties of the waves through the vertices.

It was shown based on an exact trace formula [2, 22, 23] that ergodicity of the wave dynamic results from the scattering characteristics of the waves entering and exiting a vertex through the bonds connected to it [12]. Also the two-point correlation functions of the scattering matrix associated with the scattering dynamic of open graphs that are coupled to their environment through leads, i.e., bonds that extend to infinity, were shown to coincide with those of random matrices applicable to typical quantum-chaotic scattering systems [24–28]. Thus, even though closed and open quantum graphs are basically described by the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation, their wave dynamic may exhibit a rich variety of features observed in quantum systems with a chaotic classical dynamic. Furthermore, they are mathematically simple in the sense, that a secular equation can be written down explicitly for their eigenstates [2], so that these can be determined numerically with much less efforts than is required, e.g., for quantum billiards [10, 17, 29, 30], which are also accessible experimentally [31, 37].

Another advantage of quantum graphs is, that all three universality classes associated with Dyson’s threefold way [38] can be simulated experimentally for Neumann boundary conditions or, generally, δ-type boundary conditions at the vertices [1, 2, 9] with microwave networks [39], which are composed of coaxial cables corresponding to the bonds that are coupled by joints at the vertices. Note that these are wave-dynamical systems, however, the BGS conjecture also applies to systems exhibiting wave chaos [30, 40]. Experiments with microwave networks with preserved time-reversal (T) in-
variance, which belong to the orthogonal universality class, that is, with an antiunitary symmetry $\hat{T}$ with $\hat{T}^2 = 1$, and with violated $T$ invariance, i.e., unitary universality class, revealed \[39, 41, 42\] that, indeed, the fluctuation properties in their spectra agree well with those of random matrices from the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) and the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE), respectively. Above all, microwave networks can be employed to model experimentally quantum systems with an antiunitary symmetry with $\hat{T}^2 = -1$ \[43–47\], whose spectral fluctuations coincide with those of random matrices from the Gaussian symplectic ensemble (GSE) \[17, 48\]. Only recently, the universality classes of microwave-network realizations \[49\] could be extended to the ten-fold way \[50\]. The properties of open quantum graphs with wave chaotic dynamic have been investigated experimentally in \[51–56\].

A drawback of microwave networks and quantum graphs with Neumann boundary conditions is the presence of backscattering at their vertices that leads to eigenstates that are localized on single bonds or on loops formed by a fraction of the bonds. These do not exhibit the complexity required to achieve agreement with RMT predictions for typical quantum systems with chaotic classical counterpart and they are non-universal because they depend on the lengths of the bonds they are confined to. They can be prevented by an appropriate choice of the boundary conditions. This was one of the motivations for designing quantum waveguide systems as a model of quantum graphs. They consist of straight waveguides with Dirichlet boundary conditions at the walls, that are connected at junctions \[57–59\]. In the frequency range of a single transversal mode the associated Schrödinger equation is one-dimensional along the bonds. Furthermore, in distinction to microwave networks and Neumann quantum graphs, the vertex scattering matrices describing the transport of the waves through the junctions depends on the wavenumber.

We simulate such systems experimentally with flat, metallic microwave waveguides, also referred to as waveguide graphs in the sequel. Here, we exploit the analogy of the associated Helmholtz equation with the Schrödinger equation of the quantum waveguide system for microwave frequencies below a maximum frequency which is inversely proportional to the height of the waveguides \[31–36\]. Actually, in 2015 experiments were performed with superconducting waveguide graphs in the quantum chaos group of Achim Richter and BD and completed just before the laboratory was closed. They have been presented in various presentations, however, a publication is in preparation since then due to various incidents that led to delays. The manuscript will be submitted soon \[60\]. In these experiments the eigenvalues of the corresponding quantum waveguide graph could be determined with high accuracy and also properties of the scattering matrix describing the measurement process, which is directly related to that of the corresponding open quantum graph. The waveguide system was designed such that the $k$-dependence of the vertex scattering matrix and backscattering in the junctions is minimized, yielding a relative angle of 120°, and thus vertex valency three for planar waveguide systems. The lengths of the waveguide graphs are incommensurate.

An advantage of the microwave waveguide systems used in the present paper with respect to superconducting ones and to microwave networks is that the wavefunction intensities are experimentally accessible. We analyze the spectral properties and fluctuation properties of the scattering matrix of closed and open waveguide graphs with preserved and partially violated time-reversal ($T$) invariance, and perform an in-depth study of the properties of the wave functions for the case of preserved $T$ invariance. Furthermore, we investigate the spectral properties in a frequency range where single and double transversal modes exist. Here, the analogy to a conventional quantum graph is lost \[59\]. We would like to mention that, recently, photonic-crystal graphs where proposed as another model for quantum graphs and studied numerically with COMSOL Multiphysics \[61\]. In such a system the metal walls are replaced by an arrangement of rods on a certain lattice structure, and the waves simulating the quantum graph are confined to a band gap, which occurs depending on the structure and defects introduced to realize the waveguide system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce waveguide graphs, their experimental realization and the procedures that are used to determine wave functions and to induce $T$-invariance violation. Then, in Sec. III we present our experimental results on the spectral properties of waveguide graphs in the regions of a single transversal mode and where single and two transversal modes coexist, and we review our results on statistical properties of the electric field intensity. Furthermore, we followed Refs. \[62–65\] to analyze further statistical measures for the wave function properties of quantum graphs, e.g., in terms of inverse participation ratios. In Sec. IV we investigate spectral properties for the case of partial $T$-invariance violation. Finally, in Sec. V we summarize the results on the properties of the scattering matrix associated with the measurement process which is employed to obtain resonance spectra. In Sec. VI we summarize our findings and discuss them.

## II. THE MICROWAVE WAVEGUIDE NETWORK

The bonds of the microwave waveguide network are constructed from metallic rectangular waveguides of width $w$, height $h < w$ and incommensurate lengths $l_b \gg w$, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1 (a) and in the left lower part. Respectively three of them are connected at a relative angle of 120° at the vertices of the network. Below the cutoff frequency $f_{\text{max}} = c/2h$ for the second mode in the vertical $(z)$ direction, only transverse-magnetic modes $TM_{n,0}$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ are ex-
cited and the electric field strength $\vec{E} = E_z \hat{e}_z$, is perpendicular to the top and bottom of the waveguides. In that frequency range the microwaves are governed by the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation for a perfect electric conductor, that is, with Dirichlet boundary conditions at the side walls,

$$\left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + k^2 \right] E_z = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \quad E_z|_{x,y \in \partial \Omega} = 0,$$

with $x$ in the transversal direction and $y$ in the longitudinal one. Here, $E_z$ is the electric field strength in $z$ direction, $k = \frac{2\pi f}{c}$ denotes the wave number and $c$ the speed of light in vacuum. The wavenumbers in longitudinal direction corresponding to the ordered eigenfrequencies $f_m, f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \ldots$ are given as

$$k_{y,m} = \sqrt{\left( \frac{2\pi f_m}{c} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{n\pi}{w} \right)^2}$$

where the index $n$ counts the number of modes excited in transversal direction. For the width and height of the waveguides chosen in the experiment, $w = 22.86$ mm, $h = 10.16$ mm the cutoff frequencies of the first and second transversal mode and for the first excited transverse-magnetic mode, TM$_{01}$, are given as

$$f_{TM_{10}} = \frac{c}{2w} = 6.56 \text{ GHz},$$
$$f_{TM_{20}} = \frac{c}{w} = 13.12 \text{ GHz},$$
$$f_{TM_{01}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}w} = 14.76 \text{ GHz},$$

respectively. In the frequency range $f_{TM_{10}} \leq f \leq f_{TM_{20}}$ of single transversal modes the waveguide network simulates a quantum graph constructed from vertices with valency two at its bents and valency three at its junctions. The vertex scattering matrices associated with the boundary conditions at the vertices are obtained from the wave-function properties of the waveguide graph at the junctions. They depend strongly on $k$ and on the bending angle and were designed such that back scattering is minimized [64]. This is in contrast to the vertex scattering matrix in the quantum graphs considered in [2] and realized in the experiments with microwave networks [39], where it corresponds to Neumann boundary conditions and thus is $k$-independent.

In Fig. 2 we show transmission (black dashed and red solid line) and reflection spectra (cyan line) that were computed with COMSOL Multiphysics for a waveguide graph consisting of three waveguides of incommensurate lengths, that are joined at a $120^\circ$ angle, as illustrated schematically in the lower left part of Fig. 2. They are shown in the frequency range, where only TM$_{01}$ modes exist, that is, where wave propagation takes place in the $(x, y)$ plane and is essentially one-dimensional. The period of the oscillations depends on the lengths of the waveguides and on the frequency $f$. They result from the superposition of waves of incommensurate periods entering the vertex. The waveguide graph is constructed from such subgraphs and the wave chaotic features revealed in their spectral properties and in the fluctuation properties of the scattering matrix are attributed to this multi-connectivity yielding ergodicity of the microwave phases. For comparison we also show the measured transmission and reflection spectra of a microwave network consisting of three coaxial cables of the same geometric lengths as the waveguides that are joined by a conventional T joint [44], shown schematically in the upper left part of Fig. 2 which is characterized by a constant scattering matrix, $S_{ab} = 2/3 - \delta_{ab}$ [2, 39]. For this case the oscillations are much less pronounced. Note, that the lengths of the waveguide graph correspond to the optical lengths of the coaxial cables, which are filled with a dielectric medium.

The waveguide network comprising 48 waveguides with total length $L \approx 5.814$ m along its central line, is constructed from a top (934 × 868 × 17 mm$^3$) and a bottom (1200 × 1000 × 17 mm$^3$) aviation aluminium plate. For the realization of the waveguides, channels of height $h$ and width $w$ are milled out of the bottom plate. A photograph is shown in Fig. 4 (b). A good electrical contact between the top and bottom plates is attained by screwing them tightly together through holes at distances 13 mm along the channels as recognizable in Fig. 4 (b) and (c). Furthermore, lead wire was inserted into grooves with width 1.3 mm and depth 1 mm that were milled out of the bottom plate along the channels.

Resonance spectra of the waveguide network were measured with two procedures. For the first one eight wire antennas were attached to the top plate at the
The Hamiltonian describing the closed resonator and $W$ accounts for the coupling of the resonator modes to the $M$ open channels. In the vicinity of an isolated or weakly overlapping resonance at eigenfrequency $f_m$, $|S_{ba}|$ is well described by the complex Breit-Wigner form,

$$S_{ba}(f) = \delta_{ba} - i \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_{na} \gamma_{mb}}}{f - f_m + \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_m},$$

(7)

where $\gamma_{na}$ and $\gamma_{mb}$ are the partial widths associated with antennas $a$ and $b$ and $\Gamma_m$ denotes the total width, which is given by the sum of the partial widths and the width $\Gamma_{abs}$ due to absorption in the walls of the waveguide. The partial widths are proportional to the modulus of the wave functions at the positions of the antennas. The resonance parameters, that is, the resonance strengths $\gamma_{na} \gamma_{mb}$, resonance widths $\Gamma_m$ and eigenfrequencies $f_n$ are determined by fitting the complex Breit-Wigner form Eq. (6) to the measured scattering matrix elements [63]. This is feasible, if the widths of the resonances are small compared to the average spacing between adjacent resonances. Consequently, a cavity with a high-quality factor $Q$ is a prerequisite. It depends on the absorption of microwave power in the walls, that is, the material, and it is proportional to the ratio of the volume to the surface of the resonator, that is, essentially to its height $h$ which is fixed by the size of the waveguide-to-coaxial adapters. To reduce absorption, both plates were coated with a copper cover of high conductivity, whose thickness 0.008 mm is much larger than the skin depth $\delta \approx 0.001$ mm. Thereby we attained quality factors of up to $Q \approx 6500$, which was sufficient to identify complete sequences of eigenfrequencies in the relevant frequency regions.

Figure 3 shows parts of the transmission spectra measured from antenna 1 to antenna 2 (black line, top), and from port 1 to port 2 (red line, bottom), respectively. The latter was multiplied with (-1) to facilitate comparison of the spectra. Both spectra comprise isolated and weakly overlapping resonances, as illustrated to their right and left, respectively. The amplitudes are generally higher and a stronger resonance overlap is observed for the measurements with ports, which may be attributed to a larger opening of the waveguide system than in the measurements with antennas. Therefore, we used antennas for the determination of the eigenfrequencies.
As compared to microwave networks, waveguide graphs have the advantage that the wave function intensity distribution can not only be measured at the vertices but also along the waveguide parts. It is obtained from the electric field intensity distribution $E(x, y)$ which is determined based on Slater’s theorem [31] by employing the perturbation body method [31] [38–70]. Namely, when introducing a metallic perturbation body into a microwave resonator a frequency shift is induced which depends on the squared electric and magnetic field at the position of the perturbation body,

$$
\Delta f(x, y) = f(x, y) - f_m = f_m \left[ c_1 E^2(x, y) - c_2 B^2(x, y) \right].
$$

The constants $c_1$ and $c_2$ depend on the geometry and material of the perturbation body and $f_m$ denotes the resonance frequency of the resonator before introducing the perturbation body. The contribution of $B(x, y)$ is removed by choosing a cylindrical perturbation body which is made from magnetic rubber (NdFeB) [71]. It has a diameter of 8 mm and a height of 6 mm and is moved with an external magnet, which is fixed to a positioning unit which is described in [72], in steps of 3 mm parallel to the waveguide walls through the whole waveguide network. In order to determine the frequency shift $\Delta f(x, y)$ we determine at the eigenfrequency $f = f_m$ the difference $\Delta \phi$ of the relative phases between the received and emitted rf signal for the cases without and with perturbation body, which is proportional to $\Delta f$, so that $\Delta \phi \propto \Delta f \propto E^2(x, y)$ [69].

To induce violation of $\mathcal{T}$ invariance in a microwave network, vertices are partly replaced by circulators [39, 41], microwave devices with three ports which introduce a directionality in the sense that microwaves entering it at one port may only exit at one of the two other ports, respectively. Thereby, complete violation of $\mathcal{T}$ invariance is induced. In the experiments with the waveguides we used the procedure of [31, 73, 74], that is, we inserted in total 12 19G3 cylinder-shaped ferrites made from Fe$_2$O$_3$ with diameter 5 mm and height 10 mm at the positions marked by black dots in Fig. 1(a). Their saturation magnetization is $M_s = 0.1941$ T. Each ferrite is magnetized by two external cylindrical NdFeB magnets of diameter 15 mm and height 20 mm, that are positioned above and below it to generate a uniform magnetic field in $z$ direction of strength $B \approx 0.1264$ T. The magnetic field $B$ induces a macroscopic magnetization in the ferrites, thus causing a precession of the spins in the ferrite around it with the Larmor frequency. Violation of the principle of reciprocity [25, 79], $S_{ba}(f) = S_{ab}(f)$, is induced through the coupling of the spins to the rf magnetic-field components of the resonator modes, whose size depends on the rotational direction of polarization of the latter. Since the modes are circularly polarized with unequal magnitudes of the two rotational components [69], this implies a deterioration of reciprocity between modes emitted at one antenna and received at another one and the reversed modes. Figure 4 demonstrates that violation of the principles of detailed balance and reciprocity are attained when inserting the ferrites and magnetizing them. On the other hand, the principles hold for the waveguide graph without ferrites, since for that case the scattering matrix is symmetric.

**III. PROPERTIES OF THE EIGENVALUES AND WAVE FUNCTIONS OF QUANTUM WAVEGUIDE GRAPHS WITH PRESERVED $\mathcal{T}$ INVARIANCE**

We use the analogy between quantum waveguide graphs [57, 58] and microwave waveguide networks of corresponding geometry to investigate their properties experimentally. In the experiments with antennas the transmission and reflection spectra were measured in a frequency range $f_{TM_{10}} \leq f \leq f_{TM_{01}}$ in steps of 500 kHz, whereas the waveguide-to-coaxial converters operate in the frequency range $8.2 \leq f \leq 12.4$ GHz and spectra were measured in steps of 400 kHz. The eigenfrequencies were determined by fitting the squared modulus of the Breit-Wigner form Eq. 7 to the resonances in the spectra $|S_{ba}(f)|^2$. For this their precise experimental determination is indispensable, that is, all systematic negative effects need to be removed. Dominant contributions to them come from the coaxial cables connecting the VNA with the cavity, which attenuate the rf signal and additional reflections occur at their interconnections with the VNA and resonator that complicate the extraction of the resonance parameters. These effects are removed.
by a proper calibration of the VNA before a measurement[66]. Furthermore, despite the coating with copper, there is absorption in the cavity walls, which leads to weakly overlapping resonances. The fitting procedure might fail in cases, where it is too strong or where two eigenfrequencies are lying too close to each other. Another cause for missing resonances are situations where the electric field strength is zero at the position of an antenna so that they cannot be excited. To avoid this, we performed measurements for various positions of the antennas.

In distinction to the experiments with microwave networks the spectral density, and thus the mean spacing depends on the eigenfrequency \( f_m \), i.e., eigenwavenumber \( k_m \). In the relevant frequency ranges, the smooth part of the integrated spectral density is obtained from Eq. (2) as

\[
N^{\text{smooth}}(k_m) = \frac{L}{\pi} \sqrt{k_m^2 - \left( \frac{\pi}{w} \right)^2}
\]

for \( f_{TM10} \leq f \leq f_{TM20} \), and

\[
N^{\text{smooth}}(k_m) = \frac{L}{\pi} \left[ \sqrt{k_m^2 - \left( \frac{2\pi}{w} \right)^2} + \sqrt{k_m^2 - \left( \frac{\pi}{w} \right)^2} \right]
\]

for \( f_{TM20} \leq f \leq f_{TM01} \). For frequencies much larger than the associated cutoff frequency \( N^{\text{smooth}}(k) \) approaches that for the corresponding microwave network, \( N^{\text{smooth}}(k) \approx \frac{L}{\pi} k \). In order to locate missing eigenfrequencies we looked at the difference of the number of identified eigenwavenumbers below \( k_m \) and the expected number, \( N(k_m) \). Missing levels manifest themselves as jumps in the locally averaged fluctuating part of the integrated spectral density \( N(k) \), \( N^{\text{fluc}}(k) = N(k) - N^{\text{smooth}}(k) \). We identified them and then carefully inspected all reflection and transmission spectra to check whether we oversaw a resonance because of the overlap with neighboring ones which would be visible as a bump in a resonance curve. In total 261 eigenfrequencies could be identified in the range 7.33-12.04 GHz for the antenna measurement, which coincides to the expected number within the range of error bars for the value of \( L \). We confirmed that we found all eigenfrequencies with simulations using COMSOL Multiphysics. In Fig. 5 we compare the analytical results Eqs. (9) and (10) (black solid line). The red, blue and orange vertical lines indicate the locations of the cutoff frequencies \( f_{TM10}, f_{TM20}, f_{TM01} \), respectively.

A. Fluctuation properties in the eigenfrequency spectrum for the single-mode case

The eigenwavenumbers \( k_{y,m} \) obtained from the eigenfrequencies by employing Eq. (2), were unfolded to mean spacing unity, that is, system specific properties were removed, by replacing them by \( N^{\text{smooth}}(k_m) \) given in Eqs. (9) and (10). Furthermore, we computed 4500 eigenvalues for the corresponding quantum graph by proceeding as in [2, 80] and those of the waveguide graph by employing COMSOL Multiphysics. Results for the spectral statistics are exhibited in Fig. 6. Shown are the distribution of the spacings between nearest-neighbor eigenvalues \( P(s) \) and the associated cumulative distribution \( I(s) \) as measures for short-range correlations, the number variance \( \Sigma^2(L) \) and the Dyson-Mehta statistics \( \Delta_3(L) \), which gives the spectral rigidity of a spectrum [19, 81], as measures for long-range correlations. Agreement between the curves for GOE statistics and those of the quantum graph are good. For the experimental data (red curves) small deviations are observed for \( P(s) \) and \( I(s) \) which may be attributed to experimental inaccuracies. For \( \Sigma^2(L) \) the agreement with GOE is similar to that for the simulations and for \( \Delta_3(L) \) the curve lies below the GOE curve for \( L \gtrsim 5 \). A similar, but more pronounced, behavior has been observed for quantum graphs and microwave networks and has been attributed to the contributions from waves experiencing backscattering at vertices which leads to their confinement to individual bonds or a fraction of them [80]. These are nonuniversal, as they depend on the lengths of the bonds and they do not exhibit the complexity of the dynamic which leads to the GOE like spectral properties. In the waveguides backscattering may result from reflections at the inner corners formed by the waveguides at the vertices [64]. The distribution of the ratios of consecutive spacings of nearest-neighbor non-unfolded eigenfrequencies [2, 81], and the cumulative ratio distribution, plotted in Fig. 7(a) and (c), respectively, are also quite well described by the RMT results for the GOE. Agreement of the spectral properties of the waveguide network with those of random matrices from the GOE indicates that they exhibit similar wave chaotic features as quantum graphs. In both cases the wave propagation
FIG. 6. Spectral Properties of the unfolded eigenfrequencies. Shown are the nearest-neighbor spacing distribution $P(s)$, the cumulative nearest-neighbor spacing distribution $I(s)$, the number variance $\Sigma^2(L)$ and spectral rigidity $\Delta_3(L)$. The red histogram and dashed lines, blue line and squares, and green lines and dots show the results deduced from the experimental data, COMSOL Multiphysics simulations and the quantum graph of corresponding geometry, respectively. The black full and dashed lines show the results for the GOE and GUE, respectively.

FIG. 7. Comparison of the ratio distributions (upper panels) and the cumulative ratio distributions (lower panels) of the experimentally (green histograms and dots) obtained results for the waveguide graph without [(a) and (c)] and with [(b) and (d)] partial violation of $T$ invariance, for the frequency range 8.7-14.5 GHz, where the $T$-invariance violation parameter equals $\xi = 0.3$. The corresponding RMT curves are shown as red lines. The black solid and dashed lines exhibit the results for random matrices from the GOE and GUE, respectively.

FIG. 8. Comparison of the length spectra deduced from the measurements with antennas (black line) with those obtained from the lowest 250 eigenvalues of the quantum graph of corresponding geometry (red line) and all computed eigenvalues (turquoise line). The violet diamonds mark twice the lengths of the bonds in the quantum graph, orange dots twice the lengths of bonds connected to bents in the waveguide graph corresponding to these additional closed loops. Both length spectra exhibit peaks at lengths corresponding to twice the length of the bonds, thus indicating that backscattering is present.
B. Properties of the wave functions in the frequency range for the single-mode case

We measured the electric field intensity distributions, i.e., wave-function intensities, for 154 well isolated resonances in the frequency range \( f_{\text{TM}10} \leq f \leq f_{\text{TM}20} \) where only one mode is excited in transversal direction of the waveguide, using the ports to couple in microwaves. We tuned their frequency to one of the corresponding eigenfrequencies and employed the method explained in Sec. II. In order to avoid frequency shifts due to temperature drifts the room temperature was kept constant with an air conditioner. The perturbation body was moved along three different loops, shown in Fig. 9 (1)-(3) along seven straight lines parallel to the waveguide walls, shown schematically in Fig. 9 (4). Thus, some of the waveguides were visited more than once. Then we averaged over the intensities resulting from the different loop measurements. Four examples of measured wave functions are shown in Fig. 10. Along the straight waveguide parts, i.e., the bonds, the wave function patterns exhibit sinusoidal oscillations with a constant amplitude. Their transport properties at the junctions are as illustrated in Fig. 2. They lead to the complex structure of the intensity which can be vanishingly small in some of the waveguides.

To further explore this structure, we analyzed the distribution of their intensities. For this we employed the experimental data obtained from a measurement along the loop \( C \) of total length \( L_1 \) shown in Fig. 9 (2), which comprises in total \( N_B = 53 \) out of the 48 waveguides, in the frequency range of a single transversal mode, \( f \leq f_{\text{TM}20} \). The top panel in Fig. 11 exhibits the distribution of the normalized electric field intensity \( |E_z(l)|^2 \), with \( l \) giving the distance covered by the perturbation body along the loop at each point of measurement,

\[
v = \mathcal{N}^{-1}|E_z(l)|^2, \quad \mathcal{N} = \int_C |E_z(l')|^2 \, dl'.
\]  

According to the random-plane wave hypothesis [85, 86] the distribution \( P(v) \) of the thus normalized squared wave function components is expected to coincide with a Porter-Thomas distribution \( \tilde{P}(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v}} e^{-v/2} \) with mean value unity for quantum systems with a fully chaotic classical dynamics. It has a singularity at \( v = 0 \). Therefore, we transformed \( v \) to the logarithmic variable \( z = \log_{10}(v) \). The result is exhibited in the top panel of Fig. 11 (red histogram). Deviations from the Porter-Thomas distribution (black solid line) result from wave functions exhibiting above-average intensities with values beyond \( z \approx 1 \). These are localized on a few waveguides. One example is depicted in the inset.

As illustrated in Fig. 10 the wave-function components on the bonds \( j = 1, \ldots, N_B \) are well described for each eigenwavenumber \( k_m \) by an ansatz of the form \( \psi_j(y) = a_j(x; k_m) e^{ik_m y} + a^*_j(x; k_m) e^{-ik_m y} \), with \( -w/2 \leq x \leq w/2 \), \( 0 \leq y \leq L_j \) denoting the lengths of the bonds. Accordingly, we proceeded as in [82] and analyzed wave-function intensities in terms of the distribution of the squared modulus of the amplitudes \( a_j(x; k_m) \), which are complex numbers with the star denoting complex con-
jugation. We determined the amplitudes by identifying the maxima in the electric-field intensity along the central line $x = 0$ of the waveguides. Similar to Eq. (11) we introduce normalized amplitudes $\tilde{a}_j(k_m)$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_B} \frac{L_j}{L_1} |\tilde{a}_j(k_m)|^2 = 1,$$

(12)

for the computation of the wave-function intensity distribution. Here, we suppress the argument $x = 0$. Essentially, in distinction to the procedure Eq. (11), this one neglects the $y$-dependence of the electric-field intensity, assuming that it takes its maximal value along the whole bond. For quantum systems with fully chaotic counterpart the squared amplitudes $|\tilde{a}_j|^2$ are expected to be Porter-Thomas distributed. In the middle panel of Fig. 11 we compare the experimental result (red histogram) with the Porter-Thomas distribution (black solid line). Like in the distribution of $v$ the deviations may be attributed to wave functions that are strongly localized on a few waveguides, thus yielding the exceptionally high peak. These results for the distributions of the squared wave-function components $v$ and their amplitudes $|\tilde{a}|^2$ suggest that for a nonnegligible part of the waveguide modes the complexity introduced at the junctions of the waveguide network is not sufficient to generate the random-plane wave behavior expected for quantum systems with a fully chaotic classical counterpart. These are localized on part of the waveguide network and thus do not comply with the random-plane wave hypothesis.

Another possibility to extract information on statistical properties of the wave function components is to exploit the proportionality of the partial widths associated with antennas $a$ and $b$ to the electric field intensities at the positions of the antennas [30, 87]. The partial widths cannot be determined separately [66]. Therefore, we determined the strengths $y = \gamma_{ma}^{\gamma_{mb}}$ by fitting Eq. (7) to the transmission spectra and analyzed their distributions. We rescaled them to average value unity, $\langle \tilde{y} \rangle = 1$. In the bottom panel of Fig. 11 we compare the distribution of the transformed strength, $z = \log_{10} \tilde{y}$, with that expected for quantum systems with a fully chaotic classical counterpart, which is given as

$$p(z) = \frac{\ln(10)}{\pi} 10^{z/2} K_0(10^{z/2}).$$

(13)

Here, $K_0(x)$ denotes the modified Bessel function of order zero [88]. The experimental results were obtained by averaging over the distributions for three antenna combinations. Agreement with the RMT prediction is good. In distinction to the wave function measurements, only the wave-function components at 8 positions are taken into account at all eigenfrequencies. There, the wave-function intensities are not necessarily maximal, however they are non-zero, because otherwise the resonance would be missing in the transmission spectra.

![FIG. 11. Top: Distribution of the wave-function intensities after normalization as in Eq. (11). Middle: Distribution of the wave-function amplitudes in the individual waveguides after normalization as in Eq. (12). Bottom: Strength distribution. The red histograms show the results deduced from the experimental data and the black solid line the Porter-Thomas distribution.](image)

The inverse participation ratio (IPR),

$$I_m = \langle |\tilde{a}_j(k_m)|^4 \rangle$$

(14)

provides an additional statistical measure for the wave-function intensity distribution applied in Ref. [62] to quantum graphs, assuming that the amplitudes $a_j(k_m)$ are normalized as in Eq. (12), which corresponds to the first non-trivial moment of the distribution $P(|\tilde{a}|^2)$ introduced above. The IPR gives information on the degree of localization of a wave function [62, 63], i.e., the degree of localization...
deviation of it from a random plane wave. In the limit of maximal ergodicity in configuration space, where all intensities \(|a_j|\) are equal, \(\mathcal{I} = 1\). The other extremal situation corresponds to localization on individual bonds (b), where it equals their number, \(\mathcal{I} = N_B\). This situation occurs in quantum graphs with Dirichlet boundary conditions \([2]\). Since the coefficients entering the random plane-wave ansatz are complex, RMT predicts \(\mathcal{I} = 2\) for time-reversal invariant quantum systems with a fully chaotic classical dynamics. As shown in the top panel in Fig. 12, the IPR values vary erratically with the eigenfrequency. Values of \(N_B > \mathcal{I} \geq 2\) indicate localization in parts of the waveguide network. In the right part of the figure are shown two examples of measured electric-field intensities, one which exhibits a complex intensity pattern on a fraction of the whole waveguide graph (a), and one for which the intensities are similar on all bonds. The corresponding values are larger than two and close to one respectively, as expected. The orange circles indicate the IPR values for the wave functions shown in Figs. 10 and 12. The average value \(\langle \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \rangle = 0.0645\) is closer to that for the RMT case, \(\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = 2/33 = 0.0606\), than to that for the ergodic case and well below that for localized wave functions.

C. Fluctuation properties in the eigenfrequency spectrum above the cutoff frequency for two transversal modes

We measured transmission and reflection spectra up to the cutoff frequency \(f_{TM01}\) for the first excited transverse magnetic mode which also comprises eigenfrequencies \(f_m \geq f_{TM20}\) corresponding to the second transversal mode. For these eigenmodes wave propagation is no longer one-dimensional and the analogy to the quantum graph of corresponding geometry is lost. We identified a complete sequence of 176 eigenfrequencies in the range from 13.5 to 14.7 GHz. Furthermore, we computed the eigenfrequencies in that range employing COMSOL Multiphysics. The spectral statistics deduced from the exper-
imental and numerical data are shown in Fig. 13. Their

\[
H \equiv H^{(S)} + \frac{\pi \xi}{\sqrt{N}} K^{(A)},
\]

Here, \( H^{(S)} \) is a real-symmetric random matrix drawn from the GOE and \( H^{(A)} \) is a real-antisymmetric matrix with Gaussian-distributed matrix elements. The parameter \( \xi \) determines the magnitude of \( T \) violation. For \( \xi = 0 \) \( H \) describes chaotic systems with preserved \( T \) invariance, whereas for \( \pi \xi / \sqrt{N} = 1 \) \( H \) is a random matrix from the GUE, however, the transition from GOE to GUE already takes place for \( \xi \simeq 1 \) \[91\]. Analytical expressions exist for the nearest-neighbor spacing distribution \( P(s; \xi) \) and the two-point cluster function \( Y_2(L; \xi) \). They are given by \[92\]

\[
P(s; \lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{2 + \lambda^2}{2}} \frac{s \xi^2}{\lambda} \text{erf} \left( \frac{s \xi^2 / \lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-\frac{s^2 \xi^2}{4}}
\]

with \( \lambda = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \xi \),

\[
c(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{2 + \lambda^2}{4}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{2 + \lambda^2} \right) \right]
\]

and \( \text{erf}(x) \) denoting the error function, and \[19\] \[93\] \[94\]

\[
Y_2(L; \xi) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s(L)}{s(L)} & -D(L; \xi) \\ -J(L; \xi) & s(L) \end{pmatrix},
\]

with \( s(L) = \frac{\sin \pi L}{\pi L}, \ D(L; \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx e^{2L^2 x^2} \sin(Lx) \) and \( J(L; \xi) = \frac{\xi}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dx e^{-2\xi^2 x^2} \sin(Lx) \). The number variance \( \Sigma^2(L; \xi) \) and spectral rigidity \( \Delta^2(L; \xi) \) are obtained from the two-point cluster function \[19\] \[95\]. The red curves in Fig. 14 are deduced from these analytical expressions for the values of the parameter \( \xi \) indicated in the panels. To determine it we proceeded as in \[74\] \[91\] using the scattering matrix formalism for microwave resonators as outlined in the next section, Sec. V.

For the measurement of the transmission and reflection spectra of the waveguide graph containing the ferrites, that are magnetized with external magnets as described in Sec. II, only antennas at the positions marked by 1,2,7 and 8 were used which are located outside the region of the ferrites. Strongest \( T \)-invariance violation is observed for the single-mode case in the frequency range 10-12 GHz, and above the cutoff frequency for the second transversal mode in the range 13-14 GHz. The spectral properties are presented in Fig. 14 where we combined the results for these frequency ranges. We show results for the ranges from 7.1-9.6 GHz and 8.7-14.5 GHz. Panels (b) and (d) of Fig. 7 show the ratio distribution and cumulative ratio distribution of the non-unfolded eigenfrequencies in the frequency range 8.7-14.5 GHz. For the nearest-neighbor spacing distribution and its cumulative distribution differences between this model and the GOE and GUE curves are clearly visible for \( \xi = 0.15 \), whereas they are close to those of the GUE for \( \xi = 0.3 \). The ratio distribution is close to that for the GUE for both values of \( \xi \). They are shown for \( \xi = 0.3 \) in Fig. 7. The spectral properties agree quite well with those of the random-matrix model Eq. (16).

V. FLUCTUATION PROPERTIES OF THE SCATTERING MATRIX

We also analyzed to what extent waveguide graphs exhibit features typical for quantum-chaotic scattering systems. Since the reflection and transmission spectra are measured by emitting microwave power into the resonator via one antenna or port – where, according to the results obtained for the wave functions (see, e.g. Fig. 10), the microwaves experience mainly in the regions of the junctions scattering from the resonator walls – and then receiving them at the same or another antenna or port, the waveguide graph can be viewed as a
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trix for compound-nucleus reactions. This analogy has that introduced in [90] for the description of compound-nucleus reactions. This analogy has been employed in numerous experiments [74, 91, 97–104] to investigate universal properties of the scattering matrix for compound-nucleus reactions and, generally, for quantum scattering processes with classically chaotic dynamics and preserved or partially violated T invariance. Analytical results [23, 105] were derived on the basis of the supersymmetry and RMT approach and verified in Refs. [74, 91, 102] for scattering-matrix correlation functions and in Refs. [28, 106, 107] for distributions of the scattering-matrix elements.

Within this RMT approach for quantum-chaotic scattering systems with partially violated T invariance, the scattering matrix is obtained by replacing in Eq. (6) the resonator Hamiltonian by a random matrix of the form Eq. (10). Furthermore, the matrix \( \hat{W} \) accounts for the coupling of the \( N \) internal modes to their environment through \( M \) open channels modeling the antennas or ports and \( \Lambda \) fictitious channels [108, 109] that mimic the absorption into the walls of the resonator. Thus it is a \( (M + \Lambda) \times N \) dimensional matrix with real and Gaussian distributed entries \( W_{cp} \) of which the sum \( \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} W_{cp} W_{cp}^* = N v_c^2 \), \( c = 1, \ldots, M + \Lambda \) yields the transmission coefficients

\[
T_c = 1 - |\langle S_{cc} \rangle|^2, \tag{20}
\]

through the relation

\[
T_c = \frac{4\pi^2 v_c^2/\delta}{(1+4\pi^2 v_c^2/\delta)^2}, \qquad \text{with } \delta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N} \langle H_{ab}^2 \rangle / \pi} \text{ denoting the mean resonance spacing [91].}
\]

They provide a measure for the unitarity deficit of the average scattering matrix \( \langle S_{cc} \rangle \). The frequency-averaged scattering matrix obtained from the transmission and reflection measurements is diagonal, \( \langle S_{cc} \rangle = 0 \), implying that direct processes are negligible. This property is accounted for in the RMT model through the orthogonality property

\[
\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} W_{cp} W_{c\mu}^* = N v_c^2 \delta_{cc}', \quad \text{for indices } c \text{ denoting an antenna or port channel the parameter } v_c^2 \text{ corresponds to the average size of the electric field at the position of the antenna or port [30]. For the RMT simulations we chose an ensemble of 200 random matrices with } M = 2, \Lambda = 30, N = 300.
\]

The input parameters of the RMT model for the scattering matrix are the transmission coefficients \( T = T_a \approx T_b \) associated with antennas or ports \( a \) and \( b \), the transmission coefficients \( T_f \approx T, f = 3, \ldots, M + \Lambda \) accounting for the absorption \( \tau_{abs} \approx \Delta T_f \) and the \( T \)-violation parameter \( \xi \). The transmission coefficients \( T_a \) and \( T_b \) associated with antennas \( a \) and \( b \) are obtained with Eq. (20) from the measured reflection spectra, whereas preliminary values for the absorption parameter \( \tau_{abs} \) are obtained by fitting the complex Breit-Wigner form Eq. (7) to the measured resonances and employing the Weisskopf formula [110],

\[
2\pi \frac{\Gamma}{d} = \sum_{\epsilon} T_c = T_1 + T_2 + \tau_{abs}. \tag{21}
\]

This value for \( \tau_{abs} \) is further refined by proceeding as in [91] and comparing distributions of the experimental scattering-matrix elements \( S_{ab}, a,b = 1,2 \), and the auto-correlation function

\[
C_{ab}(\epsilon) = \langle S_{ab}^\mu(f + \epsilon) S_{ab}^{\mu*}(f) \rangle \tag{22}
\]

with \( S_{ab}^\mu(f) = S_{ab}(f) - \langle S_{ab}(f) \rangle \) and \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denoting the spectral average over a measured resonance spectrum and an ensemble average over the different antenna or port measurements, to the analytical results [28, 74, 91, 106, 107].

The size of \( \xi \) is determined from the cross-correlation coefficients \( C_{12}^\text{cross}(0) = C_{12}^\text{cross}(\epsilon = 0; \tau_{abs}, \xi) \),

\[
C_{12}^\text{cross}(0) = \frac{\text{Re}[S_{12}^\mu(\nu) S_{21}^\mu(\nu*)]}{\sqrt{\langle |S_{12}^\mu(\nu)|^2 \rangle \langle |S_{21}^\mu(\nu)|^2 \rangle}}. \tag{23}
\]
and the corresponding analytical result [74]. It equals unity for $\mathcal{T}$-invariant systems, and approaches zero with increasing size of $\mathcal{T}$-invariance violation.

In the RMT model obtained from Eq. (6) the coupling matrix $\hat{W}$ is assumed to be frequency independent. Therefore, in order to attain approximately frequency-independent resonance parameters, we divided the frequency range into windows of 1 GHz in the analysis of the experimental data [91, 94]. The results for the transmission coefficients, $\tau_{abs}$ and $\xi$, are shown in Fig. 15. For the port measurements the transmission coefficients are of the same size as in the experiments with microwave networks and they are considerably larger than for the antenna measurements and barely vary with frequency. A similar behavior is observed for $\tau_{abs}$, thus confirming our assumption that in the measurements with ports the microwave waveguide system is more opened than in those with antennas. Nevertheless, the strength of $\mathcal{T}$-invariance violation is similar for both measurement procedures. This is expected because the experimental setups are identical except of the procedure of opening the resonator, that is, the number of ferrites, their positions and the size of the external magnetic fields are the same. We find good agreement between the experimental curves and the size of the external magnetic fields are the same.

![FIG. 15.](image1)

FIG. 15. Left: Variation of transmission coefficients $T_1$ (black [cyan]), $T_2$ (red [violet]) and $\tau_{abs}$ (green [magenta]) deduced from the antenna [port] measurements without (dots [triangles down and left]) and with magnetized ferrites (squares [triangles up and right]), obtained from averaging over 1 GHz windows. Right: Cross-correlation coefficients (red) and corresponding values of $\xi$ (black) deduced from the antenna (dots connected by dashed lines) and port (squares connected by dashed-dotted lines) measurements.

![FIG. 16.](image2)

FIG. 16. Distribution of scattering-matrix elements obtained from the port measurements without (left) and with (right) magnetized ferrites (black). They are compared to the corresponding RMT results (red histograms). The corresponding values of $T_1$, $T_2$, $\tau_{abs}$ and $\xi$ are given in the panels.

![FIG. 17.](image3)

FIG. 17. Scattering-matrix autocorrelation functions obtained from the port measurements in the frequency intervals 9-10 GHz, 10-11 GHz and 11-12 GHz without (left) and with magnetized ferrites (right) (black dots and lines). They are compared to the RMT results (red histograms) for the values of $T_1$, $T_2$, $\tau_{abs}$ and $\xi$ given in the panels of Fig. 16. The frequency difference $\epsilon$ is plotted in units of the local mean level spacing $d$. All curves are normalized to unity at $\epsilon = 0$.

VI. CONCLUSION

We present experimental results for a waveguide network constructed from waveguides of incommensurate lengths, that are joined at junctions with a relative angle of 120°. In part of the experiments partial $\mathcal{T}$-invariance violation was induced. We analyzed spectral properties in the frequency range where the analogy to the corresponding quantum waveguide graph holds. In distinction to quantum graphs with Neumann boundary conditions at the vertices and to their experimental realization, namely microwave networks, the vertex scattering matrix describing the transport properties through the vertices or junctions from one bond or waveguide to another one depends on the microwave frequency. It needs to be derived from the wave-function properties at the junctions. A drawback of microwave networks and quantum graphs with Neumann boundary conditions is the occurrence of backscattering at the vertices which also takes place at the waveguide walls in the junctions. The design of waveguide graph, that is, the relative angle of 120° was developed in 2014-2015 [60] such that the backscattering and frequency dependence are minimized [61]. The waveguide graph is constructed from metal plates with an extension of about 1 m² and the surface was covered with high-quality copper, so that high quality factors of up to $Q \approx 6500$ were achieved, such that complete sequences
of eigenfrequencies could be identified.

We come to the conclusion that the spectral properties coincide well with those of the corresponding quantum graph. Backscattering is also present in them, however not as pronounced as in quantum graphs with Neumann boundary conditions. We also investigated statistical properties of the wave functions and confirm the prediction made in [62] that deviations from RMT predictions can be attributed to wave functions that are localized on a part of the whole graph. Yet, the strength distribution, which only incorporates wave-function components at the positions of the antennas, agrees well with the RMT prediction for quantum systems with a classically chaotic counterpart. The fluctuation properties of the scattering matrix describing the measurement process of the resonance spectra are also well described by those predicted for typical quantum-chaotic scattering systems, both for the cases of preserved and partially violated $T$-invariance violation.

These findings suggest, that microwave waveguide graphs may serve as a suitable model for the investigation of features of quantum chaos. Compared to microwave networks, they have the advantage that the boundary conditions at the junctions may be varied, by changing the relative angle of the waveguides at the junctions or by changing material in a controlled way. Furthermore, while in a waveguide graph the electric field, i.e. wave function intensity can be measured in the whole system, this is only possible at the vertices for a microwave network. However, this part of the graph is the most interesting one, because in the waveguides themselves the wave propagation is sinusoidal.

As mentioned in the introduction, a new waveguide system, constructed from a microwave photonic crystal with square lattice structure, was studied numerically with COMSOL Multiphysics [61]. A disadvantage of such systems is that the number of modes that exist in the band gap is limited and smaller than that of single transversal modes in a waveguide graph of corresponding geometry.

The range relevant for the simulation of a quantum graph coincides with that of a single transversal mode. We also performed experiments in the frequency range above the cutoff frequency for a second transversal mode. This region will be further explored with focus on a recent work by S. Gutzmann and U. Smilansky [59].
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