Global marine biosecurity and ship lay-ups: intensifying effects of trade disruptions
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Abstract Recent global trade disruptions, due to blockage of the Suez Canal and cascading effects of COVID-19, have altered the movement patterns of commercial ships and may increase worldwide invasions of marine non-indigenous species. Organisms settle on the hulls and underwater surfaces of vessels and can accumulate rapidly, especially when vessels remain stationary during lay-ups and delays. Once present, organisms can persist on vessels for long-periods (months to years), with the potential to release propagules and seed invasions as ships visit ports across the global transportation network. Shipborne propagules also may be released in increasing numbers during extended vessel residence times at port or anchor. Thus, the large scale of shipping disruptions, impacting thousands of vessels and geographic locations and still on-going for over two years, may elevate invasion rates in coastal ecosystems in the absence of policy and management efforts to prevent this outcome. Concerted international and national biosecurity actions, mobilizing existing frameworks and tools with due diligence, are urgently needed to address a critical gap and abate the associated invasion risks.
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Introduction

On the morning of March 23, 2021, the 400 m-long “Ever Given”, one of the largest container ships in the world, became wedged across the Suez Canal,
bringing maritime traffic to a halt for six days in the world’s busiest inter-ocean passage. A shipping superhighway, used by >18,000 commercial ship transits per year, the canal links a vast constellation of ports around the world (Fig. 1). As the world watched, more than 350 commercial ships queued in the Red Sea, the Mediterranean, and in the canal itself (Lind et al. 2021). The Suez Canal reopened on March 29, yet on April 3 the queue waiting to transit still numbered around 200 vessels. Suez-delayed ships caused capacity bottlenecks and backlogs at far away destination ports: as port authorities scurried to allot scarce berthing slots and storage space, large numbers of vessels were queueing at anchorages the world over (Kickham 2021).

The Suez Canal blockage overlapped with the COVID-19 pandemic crisis, which has been disrupting global shipping patterns since early 2020. Cruise ships have been among the hardest hit maritime transportation sectors (March et al. 2021; Millefiori et al. 2021). With no customers and thus nowhere to go, many cruise ships were laid up forming stationary aggregations nearshore (Fig. 2), mostly in tropical and sub-tropical seas (Rogoway 2021). Container ships have also experienced extended delays at ports around the world, due to supply-chain interruptions,

Fig. 1 The global network of port callings for commercial vessels that transited the Suez Canal in 2018. Shown is the distribution of ports visited by vessels that transited the Suez (shown in red) from January–December 2018, including the 45 days before and after. Port brightness is proportional to number of visits during this period (totals: 346,501 separate port callings, 3889 unique ports and anchorages, 6693 unique vessels). Data provided by S & P Global

Fig. 2 Cruise ships aggregated at anchor at Manila Bay, Philippines (May 31, 2020), in response to COVID-19 disruptions and restrictions. (Photo by Ted Aljibe/AFP via Getty Images)
resulting from a surge in demands for goods, a shortage of shipping containers, and reduced dockside capacity (Berger 2021; Goodman 2021; Varley and Murray 2021).

While pandemic impacts on cruise ships began almost immediately, those on containerships built more gradually, causing backups and delays that grew more acute throughout 2021. For example, high COVID-19 infection and exposure rates among transportation and logistics personnel caused delays at major North American Pacific Coast ports that were exacerbated by a surge of incoming trans-Pacific cargo to supply booming consumer spending on goods in the U.S. This combination produced extensive harbor congestion and knock-on supply chain disruptions, especially for containerships (Berger 2021; Goodman 2021). Recently, over 100 anchored ships were waiting to berth at the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach in a queue stretching 20 km, in contrast to the few ships normally at anchor there (Berger 2022). Shipping officials projected the back-ups will continue into 2023 (Saraiva and Murray 2021; Berger 2022).

**Ships laid-up together may increase global marine bioinvasions**

Beyond the much-discussed and high-profile economic disruptions, ships’ protracted standstills and shifts in operational tempo may have widespread environmental impacts. Foremost among these are marine biological invasions, resulting from the ship-mediated transfer of species from one global location to another. Such species transfers enable the establishment and spread of non-indigenous species (NIS) to new regions that threaten economies, health, biodiversity, cultural uses, and ecosystem function, with potentially large and lasting impacts (Ruiz et al. 2015; Bailey et al. 2020).

Stationary ships integrate several key processes known to increase the likelihood of invasions. It is well known that ships transfer NIS as biofouling organisms accumulating on their hulls and other exposed underwater surfaces, as well as planktonic and nektonic organisms entrained in ballast tanks, and together these ship-mediated transfers drive global invasion dynamics in coastal ecosystems (Bailey et al. 2020). Importantly, when vessels remain immobile for extended periods, biotic exchange between ship and environment (and among closely clustered ships) can increase, with more time and opportunity for organisms to colonize vessels (Davidson et al. 2020) or jump ship to surrounding waters via reproduction, mobility, or fragmentation (Apte et al. 2000; Minchin and Gollasch 2003). Thus, residence time is recognized as a key factor in biofouling accumulation on ships and subsequent invasion risk (Floerl and Coutts 2009; Davidson et al. 2016, 2020).

Ship lay-ups and prolonged queuing may increase chances of “super-spreader” events, where vessels accumulate heavy biofouling and transfer NIS at unusually high rates to subsequent downstream ports, both near and far (Fig. 1). Blockages and bottlenecks occurring in multiple regions expand the range of environmental conditions involved and the diversity (cumulative species pool) of biofouling organisms available to colonize vessels, thereby increasing the potential opportunities for species transfer events by vessels moving among suitably matched source and destination conditions. Although the likelihood of transfer and subsequent invasions of NIS may be relatively low during normal operations for any single vessel or voyage, and depends on multiple factors (Ruiz et al. 2000; Davidson et al. 2018), transport and invasion potential undoubtedly increases with the growing number of vessels and global regions exposed to layup events.

While biofouling can impose significant costs on vessel performance, such as increased fuel consumption (due to drag), that provide some incentives for its management, international policy to reduce associated NIS invasions is still emerging (Davidson et al. 2016; Tamburri et al. 2021). Currently, only voluntary biofouling guidelines exist for vessels operating globally (International Maritime Organization 2011) to reduce NIS invasions, with the exception of a few regions (Davidson et al. 2016; Tamburri et al. 2021).

The economic impact of laid-up and idled vessels (to ship operators), and urgency to return to business, may also constrain the effective use of in-water cleaning or other mitigation measures to reduce biofouling prior to re-entry to service that has now begun (Hines 2021). Since dry-dock hull-cleaning occurs at approximately 3–10 year intervals, biofouling accumulated in lay-up events can persist on vessels for years and may also facilitate further colonization, whereby
species can recruit to existing biofouling and avoid the biocidal effects of antifouling coatings.

Thus, the cumulative effect of these multiple overlapping lay-up events has the potential to greatly increase invasion rates across the globe, although this increase may take years to detect, due to both lag-time in detection and limited marine surveillance efforts (Ruiz et al. 2000; Bailey et al. 2020). Instead of a short-term spike, these events may cause a more sustained invasion wave, as NIS establish and spread through the complex world-wide transportation network. The consequences of these current events for invasion dynamics and sustained impacts have received little attention, and to our knowledge, there is no plan to evaluate or mitigate associated invasion risks, outside of already existing practices.

**Biosecurity measures for vessel biofouling**

Commercial ships have an average underwater exposed surface area of 1000s of square meters—a staggering 500,000,000 m² across the global fleet—that is susceptible to biofouling (Moser et al. 2016). In 2011, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) adopted its voluntary “Guidelines for the control and management of ships’ biofouling to minimize the transfer of invasive aquatic species” (International Maritime Organization 2011), and has recently agreed to review these and consider adding requirements (International Maritime Organization 2018). This follows a similar approach initiated over 30 years ago by IMO for treating ships’ ballast water, beginning with voluntary guidelines and transitioning to mandatory treatment to reduce NIS transfers (Albert et al. 2013; Scianni and Georgiades 2019; Tamburri et al. 2020; Tamburri et al. 2021; Scianni et al. 2021). Tools exist or are emerging—the time has come to mobilize them effectively, to stem invasion risks associated with the current lay-ups and beyond.

**Data availability statement**

The data that support the findings presented in Fig. 1 of this study are available from S & P Global but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of S & P Global.

**Acknowledgements** We thank Richard A. Everett and Andrew N. Cohen for discussion of the original draft manuscript. We also thank Sarah Bailey and an anonymous reviewer for improvements to the manuscript.

**Author contributions** Conceptualization: GMR, BSG, JTC. Investigation: GMR, BSG, JTC, ICD, SCD, AWM, JRM, MSM, MNT. Formal Analysis: JRM. Visualization: GMR, BSG, JTC. Project administration: GMR, BSG, JTC. Writing – original draft: GMR, BSG, JTC.
Global marine biosecurity and ship lay-ups: intensifying effects of trade disruptions

Berger P, Scianni C, Ruiz G (2018) A history of ship specialization and consequences for marine invasions, management and policy. J Appl Ecol 55:1799–1811. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13114

Davidson IC, Smith G, Ashton GV, Ruiz GM, Scianni C (2020) An experimental test of stationary lay-up periods and simulated transit on biofouling accumulation and transfer on ship. Biofouling 36:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2020.1769612

Floerl O, Coutts A (2009) Potential ramifications of the global economic crisis on human-mediated dispersal of marine non-indigenous species. Mar Pol Bul 58:1595–1598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.08.003

Georgiades E, Kluzu D, Bates T, Lubarsky K, Brunton J, Growcott A, Smith T, McDonald S, Gould B, Parker N, Bell A (2020) Regulating vessel biofouling to support New Zealand’s marine biosecurity system – a blue print for evidence-based decision making. Front Mar Sci 19:390. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00390

Goodman PS (2021) How the supply chain broke, and why it won’t be fixed anytime soon. The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/22/business/shortages-supply-chain.html. Accessed 7 December 2021

Hines M (2021) US cruises could restart soon with ‘passenger voyages by mid-July’, CDC says. USA today. https://www.usatoday.com/story/travel/cruises/2021/04/28/cdc-cruises-could-restart-july/488345001/. Accessed 2 June 2021

Kickham V (2021) Supply chains brace for ripple effects of Suez Canal blockage. Velocity.com. https://www.devolution.com/articles/50093-supply-chains-brace-for-ripple-effects-of-suez-canal-blockage. Accessed 4 April 2021

Lind et al. (2021) The Suez Canal puzzle – pulling the pieces together. The Maritime Executive. https://www.maritime-executive.com/editorials/the-suez-canal-puzzle-pulling-the-pieces-together. Accessed 4 April 2021

International Maritime Organization (2011) Guidelines for the control and management of ships’ biofouling to minimize the transfer of invasive aquatic species. International Maritime Organization, London

International Maritime Organization (2018) Report of the Marine Environment Protection Committee on its Seventy-Second Session. MEPC 72/17, 3 May 2018

March D, Metcalf K, Tintore J, Godley BJ (2021) Tracking global reduction of marine traffic during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nat Comm 12:2415. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-97461-7

Millefiori LM, Braca P, Zisis D, Spilopoulos G, Marano S, Willett PK, Carnies S (2021) Covid-19 impact on global maritime mobility. Sci Rep 11:18039. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22242

Minchin D, Gollasch S (2003) Fouling and ships hulls: how changing circumstances and spawning events may result in the spread of exotic species. Biofouling 19:111–122. https://doi.org/10.1080/089270120100057891

Ministry for Primary Industries, New Zealand Government (2020) https://www.mpi.govt.nz/import/border-clearance/ships-and-boats-border-clearance/biofouling/biofouling-management. Accessed 19 April 2021

Moser CS et al (2016) Quantifying the total wetted surface area of the world fleet: a first step in determining the potential

References

Albert RJ, Lishman JM, Sexena JR (2013) Ballast water regulations and the move toward concentration-based numeric discharge limits. Ecol Appl 23:289–300. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0669.1

Apte S, Holland BS, Godwin LS, Gardner JPA (2000) Jumping ship: a stepping stone event mediating transfer of a non-indigenous species via a potentially unsuitable environment. Biol Invasions 2:75–79. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010024818644

Bailey SA et al (2020) Trends in the detection of aquatic non-indigenous species across global marine, estuarine, and freshwater ecosystems: a 50-year perspective. Divers Distrib 26:1780–1797. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13167

Berger P (2021) Why containerships can’t sail around the California ports. The Wall Street Journal. https://www.wsj.com/articles/why-container-ships-cant-sail-around-the-california-ports-bottleneck-11632216603?cx_testId=3&cx_testVariant=tx_2&cx_artPos=1&mod=WTRN#cxrecs. Accessed 5 December 2021

Berger P (2022) Southern California Ports Struggle to Trim Cargo Backlog as Omicron Surges. The Wall Street Journal. https://www.wsj.com/articles/southern-california-ports-struggle-to-trim-cargo-backlog-as-omicron-surges-11641938505?mod=Searchresults_pos10&page=1. Accessed 12 January 2022

Davidson I, Scianni C, Hewitt C, Everett R, Holm E, Tamburri M, Ruiz G (2016) Assessing drivers of ship biofouling management: aligning industry and biosecurity goals. Biofouling 32:411–428. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2016.1149572

– review and editing: GMR, BSG, JTC, ICD, SCD, AWM, MSM, JRM, HO, MNT.

Funding The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

Delarations

Conflict of interests The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.
extent of ships' biofouling. Biol Inv 18:265–277. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-1007-z

Rogoway T (2021) Satellite images show armadas of vacant cruise ships huddling together at sea. Thedrive.com. https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/33338/satellite-images-show-armadas-of-vacant-cruise-ships-huddling-together-out-at-sea. Accessed 5 April 2021

Ruiz GM, Fofonoff P, Carlton JT, Wonham MJ, Hines AH (2000) Invasions of coastal marine communities in North America: apparent patterns, processes, and biases. Ann Rev Ecol Syst 31:481–531. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.481

Ruiz GM, Fofonoff PW, Steves BP, Carlton JT (2015) Invasion history and vector dynamics in coastal marine ecosystems: a North American perspective. Aquat Ecosyst Health Manag 18:299–311. https://doi.org/10.1080/14634988.2015.1027534

Saraiva A, Murray B (2021) California’s busiest port is straining the global supply chain, causing delays. Bloomberg.com. https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2021-congestion-at-americas-busiest-port-strains-global-supply-chain/. Accessed 5 December 2021

Scianni C, Georgiades E (2019) Vessel in-water cleaning or treatment: identification of environmental risks and science needs for evidence-based decision making. Front Mar Sci 6:467. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00467

Scianni C, Lubarsky K, Ceballos-Osuna L, Bates T (2021) Yes, we CANZ: initial compliance and lessons learned from regulating vessel biofouling management in California and New Zealand. Manag Biol Invasions 12:727–746. https://doi.org/10.3391/mbi.2021.12.3.14

Tamburri MN et al (2020) In-water cleaning and capture to remove ship biofouling: an initial evaluation of efficacy and environmental safety. Front Mar Sci 7:437. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00437

Tamburri MN, Georgiades E, Scianni C, First M, Ruiz G, June mann CE (2021) Technical considerations for development of policy and approvals for in-water cleaning of ship biofouling. Front Mar Sci 8:1–7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.804766

Varley K, Murray B (2021) Supply squeeze: port gridlock stretches supply lines thin in blow for economies. Bloomberg.com. https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-10-17/port-gridlock-stretches-supply-lines-thin-in-blow-for-economies. Accessed 6 December 2021

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.