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Abstract

Arrays of subwavelength resonators can mimic the biomechanical properties of the cochlea, at the same scale. We derive, from first principles, a modal time-domain expansion for the scattered pressure field due to such a structure and propose that these modes should form the basis of a signal processing architecture. We investigate the properties of such an approach and show that higher-order gammatone filters appear by cascading. Further, we propose an approach for extracting meaningful global properties from the coefficients, tailored to the statistical properties of so-called natural sounds.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Biomimetic signal processing

Humans are exceptionally good at recognising different sound sources in their environment and there have been many attempts at designing artificial approaches that can replicate this feat. The human auditory system first amplifies and filters sounds biomechanically in the peripheral auditory system before processing the transduced neural signals in the central auditory signal. With a view to trying to mimic this world-beating system, we consider using an artificial routine with a similar two-step architecture: physical filtering followed by additional processing stages.

There has been much attention paid to designing biomimetic structures that replicate the biomechanical properties of the cochlea [1–7]. At the heart of any such structure are graded material parameters, so as to replicate the spatial frequency separation of the cochlea. In particular, a size-graded array of subwavelength resonators can be designed to have similar dimensions to the cochlea and respond to an appropriate range of audible frequencies [1]. An acoustic subwavelength resonator is a cavity with material parameters that are greatly different from the background medium [3]. Bubbly structures of this kind can be constructed, for example, by injecting air bubbles into silicone-based polymers [8,9].

A graded array of resonators effectively behaves as a distributed system of band-pass filters [10]. The choice of kernel filter for auditory processing has been widely explored. Popular options include windowed Fourier modes [11,12], wavelets [13–17] and learned basis functions [18]. In particular, gammatone filters (Fourier modes windowed by gamma distributions) have been shown to approximate auditory filters well and, thanks also to their relative simplicity, are used widely in modelling auditory function as a result [10,19–21]. We will prove that, at leading order, an array of $N$ subwavelength resonators behaves as an array of $N$ gammatone filters.

The human auditory system is known to be adapted to the structure of the most important inputs and exhibits greatly enhanced neural responses to natural and behaviourally significant sounds such as animal and human vocalisations and environmental sounds [22]. It has been observed that such sounds, often known collectively as natural sounds, display certain statistical properties [22–25]. By design, most music also falls into this class; music satisfying these properties sounds “much more pleasing” [23]. Thus, it is clear that the human auditory system is able to account for global properties of a sound and that a biomimetic processing architecture needs to replicate this. Many attempts have been made to extract these. We propose using the parameters of the observed statistical distributions as meaningful and tractable examples of global properties to be used in artificial representations of auditory signals.
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1.2 Main contributions

In Section 3 we derive results that describe the resonant properties of a system of $N$ resonators in three dimensions and prove a modal decomposition in the time domain. This formula takes the form of $N$ spatial eigenmodes with first-order gammatone coefficients. Further to this, we show in Section 4 that a cascade of these filters equates to filtering with higher-order gammatones and that extracting information from temporal averages is stable to deformations. Finally, in Section 5.1 we focus our attention on the class of natural sounds, which we define as sounds satisfying certain (widely observed) statistical and spectral properties. Using these properties, we propose a parametric coding approach that extracts the global properties of a sound.

2 Boundary integral operators

2.1 Problem setting

We are interested in studying wave propagation in a homogeneous background medium with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ disjoint bounded inclusions, which we will label as $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. We will assume that the boundaries are all Lipschitz continuous and will write $D = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_N$. In order to replicate the spatial frequency separation of the cochlea, we are interested in the case where the array has a size gradient, meaning each resonator is slightly larger than the previous, as depicted in Figure 1.

We will denote the density and bulk modulus of the material within the bounded regions $D$ by $\rho_b$ and $\kappa_b$, respectively. The corresponding parameters for the background medium are $\rho$ and $\kappa$. The wave speeds in $D$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D$ are given by

$$v_b = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_b}{\rho_b}}, \quad v = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\rho}}.$$  

We also define the dimensionless contrast parameter

$$\delta = \frac{\rho_b}{\rho}.$$  

We will assume that $\delta \ll 1$, meanwhile $v_b = O(1)$, $v = O(1)$ and $v_b/v = O(1)$.

2.2 Boundary integral operators

The Helmholtz single layer potential associated to the domain $D$ and wavenumber $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined, for some density function $\varphi \in L^2(\partial D)$, as

$$S_k^D[\varphi](x) := \int_{\partial D} G(x - y, k) \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where $G$ is the Helmholtz Green’s function, given by

$$G(x, k) := -\frac{1}{4\pi|x|} e^{ik|x|}.$$

The Neumann-Poincaré operator associated to $D$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$K^k_D[\varphi](x) := \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial G(x - y, k)}{\partial n(x)} \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \partial D,$$
where $\partial/\partial \nu$ denotes the outward normal derivative on $\partial D$. These two integral operators are related by the conditions

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} S^b_D[\varphi] \bigg|_+ (x) = \left( \pm \frac{1}{2} I + K^b_D \right) [\varphi](x), \quad x \in \partial D,
$$

(2.1)

where the subscripts $+$ and $-$ denote evaluation from outside and inside the boundary $\partial D$, respectively, and $I$ is the identity operator on $L^2(\partial D)$.

### 2.3 Asymptotic properties

The single layer potential and the Neumann–Poincaré operator both have helpful asymptotic expansions as $k \to 0$ (see e.g. [26]). In particular, we have that

$$
S^b_D[\varphi] = S_D[\varphi] + k S_{D,1}[\varphi] + O(k^2),
$$

(2.2)

where $S_D := S^0_D$ (i.e. the Laplace single layer potential) and

$$
S_{D,1}[\varphi](x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y).
$$

One crucial property to note is that $S_D$ is invertible. Similarly,

$$
K^b_D[\varphi] = K^o_D[\varphi] + k K_{D,1}[\varphi] + k^2 K_{D,2}[\varphi] + k^3 K_{D,3}[\varphi] + O(k^4),
$$

(2.3)

where $K^o_D := K^o_{D,1}$, $K_{D,1} = 0$, $K_{D,2}[\varphi](x) := \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial D} \frac{(x-y) \cdot \nu(x)}{|x-y|^3} \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y)$ and $K_{D,3}[\varphi](x) := \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{\partial D} (x-y) \cdot \nu(x) \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y)$.

Several of the operators in the expansion (2.3) can be simplified when integrated over all or part of the boundary $\partial D$. As proved in e.g. [27, Lemma 2.1], it holds that for any $\varphi \in L^2(\partial D)$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\int_{\partial D} \left( -\frac{1}{2} I + K^o_D \right) [\varphi] \, d\sigma = 0, \quad \int_{\partial D} \left( \frac{1}{2} I + K^o_D \right) [\varphi] \, d\sigma = \int_{\partial D} \varphi \, d\sigma,
$$

$$
\int_{\partial D} K_{D,2}[\varphi] \, d\sigma = -\int_{D_i} S_D[\varphi] \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\partial D} K_{D,3}[\varphi] \, d\sigma = \frac{|D_i|}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \varphi \, d\sigma.
$$

(2.4)

### 3 Subwavelength scattering decompositions

#### 3.1 Scattering of pure tones

Suppose, first, that the incoming signal is a plane wave parallel to the $x_1$-axis with angular frequency $\omega$, given by $A \cos(k x_1 - \omega t)$ where $k = \omega/v$. Then, the scattered pressure field is given by $\text{Re}(u(x, \omega)e^{-i\omega t})$ where $u$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left( \Delta + k^2 \right) u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}, \\
&\left( \Delta + k_b^2 \right) u = 0 \quad \text{in } D,
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.1)

where $k = \omega/v$ and $k_b = \omega/v_b$, along with the transmission conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_+ - u_- = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D, \\
&\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} |_+ - \frac{1}{p_b} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} |_- = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D,
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.2)

and the Sommerfeld radiation condition in the far field, which ensures that energy radiates outwards [26], given by

$$
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lvert x \rvert} - ik \right) (u - u^{in}) = o(\lvert x \rvert^{-1}) \quad \text{as } \lvert x \rvert \to \infty,
$$

(3.3)

where, in this case, $u^{in}(x, \omega) = Ae^{ikx_1}$. 

3
Definition 3.1 (Resonant frequency). We define a resonant frequency to be \( \omega = \omega(\delta) \) such that there exists a non-trivial solution to (3.1) which satisfies (3.2) and (3.3) when \( u^{(\omega)} = 0 \).

Definition 3.2 (Subwavelength resonant frequency). We define a subwavelength resonant frequency to be a resonant frequency \( \omega \) that depends continuously on \( \delta \) and is such that \( \omega(\delta) \to 0 \) as \( \delta \to 0 \).

Lemma 3.3. A system of \( N \) subwavelength resonators exhibits \( N \) subwavelength resonant frequencies with positive real parts, up to multiplicity.

Proof. This was proved in [3] and follows from the theory of Gohberg and Sigal [26, 28]. \( \square \)

3.1.1 Capacitance matrix analysis

Our approach to solving the resonance problem is to study the (weighted) capacitance matrix, which offers a rigorous discrete approximation to the differential problem. We will see that the eigenstates of this \( N \times N \)-matrix characterise, at leading order in \( \delta \), the resonant modes of the system.

In order to introduce the notion of capacitance, we define the functions \( \psi_j \), for \( j = 1, \ldots, N \), as

\[
\psi_j := S_D^{-1}[\chi_{\partial D_j}],
\]

where \( \chi_A : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \{0,1\} \) is used to denote the characteristic function of a set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \). The capacitance coefficients \( C_{ij} \), for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \), are then defined as

\[
C_{ij} := -\int_{\partial D_i} \psi_j \, d\sigma.
\]

We will need two objects involving the capacitance coefficients. Firstly, the weighted capacitance matrix \( C^{\text{vol}} = (C_{ij}^{\text{vol}}) \), given by

\[
C_{ij}^{\text{vol}} := \frac{1}{|D_i|} C_{ij},
\]

which has been weighted to account for the different sized resonators (see e.g. [27, 29, 30] for other variants in slightly different settings). Secondly, we will want the capacitance sums contained in the matrix \( C^{\text{sum}} = (C_{ij}^{\text{sum}}) \), given by

\[
C_{ij}^{\text{sum}} := JC,
\]

where \( C = (C_{ij}) \) is the matrix of capacitance coefficients and \( J \) is the \( N \times N \) matrix of ones (i.e. \( J_{ij} = 1 \) for all \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \)).

We define the functions \( S_n^\omega \), for \( n = 1 \ldots, N \), as

\[
S_n^\omega(x) := \begin{cases} S_D^{k}[\psi_n](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D, \\ S_D^{k}[\psi_n](x), & x \in D. \end{cases}
\]

Lemma 3.4. The solution to the scattering problem (3.1) can be written, for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), as

\[
u(x) = A e^{ikx} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n S_n^\omega(x) - S_D^{k} [S_D^{k-1}[A e^{ikx}]](x) + O(\omega),
\]

for constants \( q_n \) which satisfy, up to an error of order \( O(\delta \omega + \omega^3) \), the problem

\[
(\omega^2 I - v_0^2 \delta C^{\text{vol}}) \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_N \end{pmatrix} = v_0^2 \delta \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|D_1|} \int_{\partial D_1} S_D^{k-1}[A e^{ikx}] \, d\sigma \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{|D_N|} \int_{\partial D_N} S_D^{k-1}[A e^{ikx}] \, d\sigma \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Proof. The solutions can be represented as

\[
u(x) = \begin{cases} A e^{ikx} + S_D^{k}[\psi](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D, \\ S_D^{k}[\phi](x), & x \in D, \end{cases}
\]

(3.4)
for some surface potentials \((\phi, \psi) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)\), which must be chosen so that \(u\) satisfies the transmission conditions across \(\partial D\). Using (2.1), we see that in order to satisfy the transmission conditions on \(\partial D\), the densities \(\phi\) and \(\psi\) must satisfy

\[
S_D^k[\phi](x) - S_D^{ik}[\psi](x) = Ae^{ikx}, \quad x \in \partial D,
\]

\[
\left(-\frac{1}{2}I + K_D^{k,\ast}\right)[\phi](x) - \delta \left(\frac{1}{2}I + K_D^{k,\ast}\right)[\psi](x) = \delta \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(Ae^{ikx}), \quad x \in \partial D.
\]

Using the asymptotic expansions (2.2) and (2.3), we can see that

\[
\psi = \phi - S_D^{-1}[Ae^{ikx}] + O(\omega),
\]

and, further, that

\[
\left(-\frac{1}{2}I + K_D + \frac{\omega^2}{v_b^2}K_{D,2} - \delta \left(\frac{1}{2}I + K_D^\ast\right)\right)[\phi] = -\delta \left(\frac{1}{2}I + K_D^\ast\right)S_D^{-1}[Ae^{ikx}] + O(\delta \omega + \omega^3).
\]

Then, integrating (3.5) over \(\partial D_i\), for \(1 \leq i \leq N\), and using the properties (2.4) gives us that

\[
-\omega^2 \int_{\partial D_i} S_D[\phi] \, d\nu - v_b^2 \delta \int_{\partial D_i} \phi \, d\sigma = -v_b^2 \delta \int_{\partial D_i} S_D^{-1}[Ae^{ikx}] \, d\sigma + O(\delta \omega + \omega^3).
\]

At leading order, (3.5) says that \((-\frac{1}{2}I + K_D^\ast)[\phi] = 0\) so, in light of the fact that \(\{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_N\}\) forms a basis for ker \((-\frac{1}{2}I + K_D^\ast)\), the solution can be written as

\[
\phi = \sum_{n=1}^N q_n \psi_n + O(\omega^2 + \delta),
\]

for constants \(q_1, \ldots, q_N = O(1)\). Making this substitution we reach, up to an error of order \(O(\delta \omega + \omega^3)\), the problem

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-q_1 \\
\vdots \\
q_N
\end{pmatrix} = -v_b^2 \delta \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{|\partial D|} \int_{\partial D_i} S_D^{-1}[Ae^{ikx}] \, d\sigma \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{|\partial D_N|} \int_{\partial D_N} S_D^{-1}[Ae^{ikx}] \, d\sigma
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The result now follows by combining the above. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.5.** As \(\delta \to 0\), the subwavelength resonant frequencies satisfy the asymptotic formula

\[
\omega_n^\pm = \pm \sqrt{v_b^2 \lambda_n \delta - i \tau_n \delta + O(\delta^{3/2})},
\]

for \(n = 1, \ldots, N\), where \(\lambda_n\) are the eigenvalues of the weighted capacitance matrix \(C^{vol}\) and \(\tau_n\) are real numbers that depend on \(D, \nu\) and \(v_b\).

**Proof.** If \(u^{in} = 0\), we find from Lemma 3.4 that there is a non-zero solution \(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_N\) to the eigenvalue problem (3.4) when \(\omega^2/v_b^2 \delta\) is an eigenvalue of \(C^{vol}\), at leading order.

To find the imaginary part, we adopt the ansatz

\[
\omega_n^\pm = \pm \sqrt{v_b^2 \lambda_n \delta - i \tau_n \delta + O(\delta^{3/2})}.
\]

Using the expansions (2.2) and (2.3) with the representation (3.4) we have that

\[
\psi = \phi + \frac{k_b - k}{4\pi i} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \psi_n \right) \int_{\partial D} \phi \, d\sigma + O(\omega^2),
\]

and, hence, that

\[
\left(-\frac{1}{2}I + K_D + k_b^2 K_{D,2} + k_b^2 K_{D,3} - \delta \left(\frac{1}{2}I + K_D^\ast\right)\right)[\phi] - \frac{\delta (k_b - k)}{4\pi i} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \psi_n \right) \int_{\partial D} \phi \, d\sigma = O(\delta \omega^2 + \omega^4).
\]
We then substitute the decomposition (3.6) and integrate over \( \partial D_i \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), to find that
\[
\left( -\frac{\omega^2}{v_b^2} I - \frac{\omega^3}{v_b^2} \frac{i}{4\pi} \sum + \delta \omega \left( \frac{1}{v_b} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \frac{i}{4\pi} \sum \right) \eta = O(\delta \omega^2 + \omega^4).
\]
Then, using the ansatz (3.8) for \( \omega_n \) and setting \( \eta = v_n \) (the eigenvector corresponding to \( \lambda_n \)) we reach that
\[
\left( \tau_n I - \frac{v_b \lambda_n}{8\pi} \sum + \left( 1 - \frac{v_b}{v} \right) \frac{v_b}{8\pi} \sum \right) v_n = 0. \tag{3.9}
\]

Remark 3.6. The resonant frequencies will have negative imaginary parts, due to the loss of energy (e.g. to the far field), thus \( \tau_n \geq 0 \) for all \( n = 1, \ldots, N \).

Remark 3.7. Note that in some cases \( \tau_n = 0 \) for some \( n \), meaning the imaginary parts exhibit higher-order behaviour in \( \delta \). For example, the second (dipole) frequency for a pair of identical resonators is known to be \( O(\delta^2) \) [27].

Remark 3.8. The numerical simulations presented in this work were all carried out on an array of 22 cylindrical resonators. We approximate the problem by studying the two-dimensional cross section using the multipole expansion method, as in [1].

Remark 3.9. The array of 22 resonators that simulated in this work measures 35 mm, has material parameters corresponding to air-filled resonators surrounded by water and has subwavelength resonant frequencies within the range 500 Hz – 10 kHz. Thus, this structure has similar dimensions to the human cochlea, is made from realistic materials and experiences subwavelength resonance in response to frequencies that are audible to humans.

It is more illustrative to rephrase Lemma 3.4 in terms of basis functions that are associated with the resonant frequencies. Denote by \( v_n = (v_{1,n}, \ldots, v_{N,n}) \) the eigenvector of \( \sum \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \). Then, we have a modal decomposition with coefficients that depend on the matrix \( V = (v_{i,j}) \), provided the system is such that \( V \) is invertible.

Remark 3.10. The invertibility of \( V \) is a subtle issue and depends only on the geometry of the inclusions \( D = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_N \). In the case that the resonators are all identical, \( V \) is invertible since \( \sum \) is symmetric. If the size gradient is not too drastic, we expect \( V \) to also be invertible (this is supported by our numerical analysis, which typically simulates an array of resonators where each is approximately 1.05 times the size of the previous).

Lemma 3.11. Suppose the resonator’s geometry is such that the matrix of eigenvectors \( V \) is invertible. Then if \( \omega = O(\sqrt{\delta}) \) the solution to the scattering problem (3.1) can be written, for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), as
\[
u_n - A e^{i k x_1} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n u_n(x) - S_D \left[ S^{-1}_D \left[ A e^{i k x_1} \right] \right] (x) + O(\omega),
\]
for constants given by
\[
a_n = \frac{-A v_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)}.
\]
where \( v_n = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [V^{-1}]_{n,j} \), i.e. the sum of the \( n \)th row of \( V^{-1} \).
Proof. In light of (3.6), we define the functions
\begin{equation}
    u_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i,n} S_D[\psi_i](x),
\end{equation}
for \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). Then, by diagonalising \( C^\text{vol} \) with the change of basis matrix \( V \), we see that the solution to the scattering problem (3.1) can be written as
\begin{equation}
    u - u^\text{in} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n u_n - S_D \left[ S_D^{\text{inv}} [A e^{ikx_1}] \right] + O(\omega),
\end{equation}
for constants \( a_n \) given, at leading order, by
\begin{equation}
    V \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 - v_0^2 \delta \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega^2 - v_0^2 \delta \lambda_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{pmatrix} = v_0^2 \delta \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|\partial D|} \int_{\partial D} S_D^{\text{inv}} [A e^{ikx_1}] d\sigma \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{|\partial D|} \int_{\partial D} S_D^{\text{inv}} [A e^{ikx_1}] d\sigma \end{pmatrix} + O(\omega^3).
\end{equation}
Now, \( \omega^2 - v_0^2 \delta \lambda_n = (\omega - \omega_n^+) (\omega - \omega_n^-) + O(\omega^3) \) so we have that up to an error of order \( O(\omega^3) \)
\begin{equation}
    \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{pmatrix} = v_0^2 \delta \begin{pmatrix} (\omega - \omega_1^-)^{-1} (\omega - \omega_1^+)^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ (\omega - \omega_N^-)^{-1} (\omega - \omega_N^+)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|\partial D|} \int_{\partial D} S_D^{\text{inv}} [A e^{ikx_1}] d\sigma \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{|\partial D|} \int_{\partial D} S_D^{\text{inv}} [A e^{ikx_1}] d\sigma \end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}
In order to simplify this further, we use the fact that \( e^{i k x_1} = 1 + ikx_1 + \cdots = 1 + O(\omega) \) to see that
\begin{equation}
    \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\text{Re}(\omega_i^2)}{(\omega - \omega_i^-)(\omega - \omega_i^+)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{-\text{Re}(\omega_N^2)}{(\omega - \omega_N^-)(\omega - \omega_N^+)} \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \vdots \\ A \end{pmatrix} + O(\omega).
\end{equation}
\( \square \)

### 3.2 Modal decompositions of signals

Consider, now, the scattering of a more general signal, \( s : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), whose frequency support is wider than a single frequency and whose Fourier transform exists. Again, we assume that the radiation is incident parallel to the \( x_1 \)-axis. Consider the Fourier transform of the incoming pressure wave, given for \( \omega \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) by
\begin{equation}
    u^\text{in}(x, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(x_1/v - t) e^{i \omega t} \, dt = e^{i \omega x_1/v} \hat{s}(\omega) = \hat{s}(\omega) + O(\omega),
\end{equation}
where \( \hat{s}(\omega) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(-u)e^{i\omega u} \, du \). The resulting pressure field satisfies the Helmholtz equation (3.1) along with the conditions (3.2) and (3.3).

Working in the frequency domain, the scattered acoustic pressure field \( u \) in response to the Fourier transformed signal \( \hat{s} \) can be decomposed in the spirit of Lemma 3.11. We write that, for \( x \in \partial D \), the solution to the scattering problem is given by
\begin{equation}
    u(x, \omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{s}(\omega) v_n \frac{\text{Re}(\omega_n^2)}{(\omega - \omega_n^-)(\omega - \omega_n^+)} u_n(x) + r(x, \omega),
\end{equation}
for some remainder \( r \). We are interested in signals whose energy is mostly concentrated within the subwavelength regime. In particular, we want that
\begin{equation}
    \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |r(x, \omega)| \, d\omega = O(\delta).
\end{equation}
Remark 3.12. Note that the condition (3.12) is satisfied e.g. by a pure tone within the subwavelength regime, since if $\omega = O(\sqrt{\delta})$ then Lemma 3.11 gives us that $\sup_{r} |r| = O(\omega)$.

Now, we wish to apply the inverse Fourier transform to (3.11) to obtain a time-domain decomposition of the scattered field. From now on we will simplify the notation for the resonant frequencies by assuming we can write that $\omega_n^+ = \omega_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega_n^- = -\text{Re}(\omega_n) + i \text{Im}(\omega_n)$ (which, by Theorem 3.5, is known to hold at least at leading order in $\delta$).

**Theorem 3.13** (Time-domain modal expansion). For $\delta > 0$ and a signal $s$ which is subwavelength in the sense of the condition (3.12), it holds that the scattered pressure field $p(x,t)$ satisfies, for $x \in \partial D$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$p(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n[s](t)u_n(x) + O(\delta),$$

where the coefficients are given by $a_n[s](t) = (s * h_n)(t)$ for kernels defined as

$$h_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0, \\ c_n e^{i \text{Im}(\omega_n)t} \sin(\text{Re}(\omega_n)t), & t \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c_n = \nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n)$.

**Proof.** Applying the inverse Fourier transform to the modal expansion (3.11) yields

$$p(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n[s](t)u_n(x) + O(\delta),$$

where, for $n = 1, \ldots, N$, the coefficients are given by

$$a_n[s](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-\delta(\omega) \nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega = (s * h_n)(t),$$

where $*$ denotes convolution and the kernels $h_n$ are defined for $n = 1, \ldots, N$ by

$$h_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega.$$

We can use complex integration to evaluate the integral in (3.14). For $R > 0$, let $C_R^\pm$ be the semicircular arc of radius $R$ in the upper ($+$) and lower ($-$) half-plane and let $C^\pm$ be the closed contour $C^\pm = C_R^\pm \cup [-R,R]$. Then, we have that

$$h_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_R^\pm} \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_R^\pm} \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega.$$

The integral around $C^\pm$ is easy to evaluate using the residue theorem, since it has simple poles at $\omega_n^\pm$. We will make the choice of $+$ or $-$ so that the integral along $C_R^\pm$ converges to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. For large $R$ we have a bound of the form

$$\left| \int_{C_R^\pm} \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega \right| \leq C_n R^{-1} \sup_{\omega \in C_R^\pm} e^{\text{Im}(\omega)t},$$

for a positive constant $C_n$.

Suppose first that $t < 0$. Then we choose to integrate over $C_R^+$ in the upper complex plane so that (3.15) converges to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have that

$$h_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_R^+} \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega = 0, \quad t < 0,$$

since the integrand is holomorphic in the upper half plane. Conversely, if $t \geq 0$ then we should choose to integrate over $C_R^-$ in order for (3.15) to disappear. Then, we see that

$$h_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_R^-} \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$$

$$= i \text{Res} \left( \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t}, \omega_n^+ \right) + i \text{Res} \left( \frac{-\nu_n \text{Re}(\omega_n^+)^2}{(\omega - \omega_n^+)(\omega - \omega_n^-)} e^{-i\omega t}, \omega_n^- \right), \quad t \geq 0.$$
Using the notation $\omega^+_n = \omega_n$, $\omega^-_n = -\text{Re}(\omega_n) + i\text{Im}(\omega_n)$ we can simplify the expressions for the residues at the two simple poles to reach the result.

**Remark 3.14.** The fact that $h_n(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ ensures the causality of the modal expansion in Theorem 3.13.

**Remark 3.15.** The asymmetry of the eigenmodes $u_n(x)$ means that the decomposition from Theorem 3.13 replicates the cochlea’s famous travelling wave behaviour. That is, in response to an incoming wave the position of maximum amplitude moves from left to right in the array, see [3] for details.

### 4 Subwavelength scattering transforms

In Section 3 we showed that when a subwavelength (i.e. audible) sound is scattered by a cochlea-mimetic array of resonators the resulting pressure field is described by a model decomposition. This decomposition takes the form of convolutions with the basis functions $h_n$ from Theorem 3.13. Since $\text{Im}(\omega_n) < 0$, each $h_n$ is a windowed oscillatory mode that acts as a band pass filter centred at $\text{Re}(\omega_n)$. We wish to explore the extent to which these decompositions reveal useful properties of the sound and can be used as a basis for signal processing applications.

In order to reveal richer properties of the sound, a common approach is to use the filters $h_n$ in a convolutional neural network. That is, a repeating cascade of alternating convolutions with $h_n$ and some activation function $\Theta$:

$$a^{(1)}_{n_1}[s](t) = \Theta (s * h_{n_1})(t),$$
$$a^{(2)}_{n_1,n_2}[s](t) = \Theta (a^{(1)}_{n_1}[s] * h_{n_2})(t),$$
$$\vdots$$
$$a^{(k)}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}[s](t) = \Theta (a^{(k-1)}_{n_1,\ldots,n_{k-1}}[s] * h_{n_k})(t),$$

where, in each case, the indices are such that $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k) \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k$. We will use the notation $P_k = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ from now on, and refer to the vector $P_k$ as the path of $a^{(k)}_{P_k}$.

#### 4.1 Example: identity activation

As an expository example, we consider the case where $\Theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the identity $Id(x) = x$. In this case, for any depth $k$ we have that $a^{(k)}_{P_k}[s] = s * h^{(k)}_{P_k}$ for some function $h^{(k)}_{P_k}$ which is the convolution of $k$ functions of the form (3.13), indexed by the path $P_k$. This simplification means that a more detailed mathematical analysis is possible.

The basis functions $h^{(k)}_{P_k}$ take specific forms. In particular, the diagonal terms contain *gammatones*. A gammatone is a sinusoidal mode windowed by a gamma distribution:

$$g(t; m, \omega, \phi) = t^{m-1}e^{i\text{Im}(\omega)t}\cos(\text{Re}(\omega)t - \phi), \quad t \geq 0,$$

for some order $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and constants $\omega \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) < 0\}$, $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$. Gammatones have been widely used to model auditory filters [10]. We notice that $h_n(t) = c_ng(t; 1, \omega_n, \pi/2)$ and that higher order gammatones emerge at deeper levels in the cascade (4.1).
Figure 4: The emergence of gammatones at successively deeper layers in the cascade, shown for the first subwavelength resonant frequency in the case of 22 resonators.

**Lemma 4.1** (The emergence of higher-order gammatones). For $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, there exist non-negative constants $C_{m,k}^{n,k}$, $m = 1, \ldots, k$, such that

$$h_{n,\ldots,n}^{(k)}(t) = (c_n)^k \sum_{m=1}^{k} C_{m,k}^{n,k} g(t; m, \omega_n, m \pi^2).$$

In particular, $C_{k,k}^{n,k} \neq 0$.

**Proof.** Let us write $G_{m,n}^{1}(t) := g(t; m, \omega_n, m \pi^2)$, for the sake of brevity. Firstly, it holds that $h_n(t) = c_n G_{n}^{1}(t)$. Furthermore, we have that

$$(G_n^1 * G_n^1)(t) = \frac{1}{2} G_n^2(t) + \frac{1}{2 \Re(\omega_n)} G_n^1(t),$$

as well as, for $m \geq 3$, the recursion relation

$$(G_n^{m-1} * G_n^1)(t) = \frac{1}{2(m-1)} G_n^m(t) + \frac{m-2}{2 \Re(\omega_n)} (G_n^{m-2} * G_n^1)(t).$$

The result follows by repeatedly applying this formula. In particular, we find that

$$C_{k,k}^{n,k} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}(k-1)!} > 0.$$  

□

**Remark 4.2.** While the gammatones appeared here through the cascade of filters, gammatones also arise directly from resonator scattering if higher-order resonators are used: resonators that exhibit higher-order singularities in the frequency domain [10,31]. It was recently shown that if sources of energy gain and loss are introduced to an array of coupled subwavelength resonators then such higher-order resonant modes can exist [30].

**Remark 4.3.** Since the imaginary part of the lowest frequency is much larger than the others (see Figure 2), $h_1$ acts somewhat as a low-pass filter (see Figure 3).

For any depth $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and path $P_k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k$ it holds that $h_{P_k}^{(k)} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ meaning that if $s \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then $a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s] \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. If, moreover, $s$ is compactly supported then the decay properties of $h_{P_k}^{(k)}$ mean that $a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s] \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. Further, we have the following lemmas which characterise the continuity and stability of $s \mapsto a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s]$.

**Lemma 4.4** (Continuity of representation). Consider the network coefficients given by (4.1) with $\Theta$ being the identity. Given $k_{max} \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists a positive constant $C_1$ such that for any depth $k = 1, \ldots, k_{max}$, any path $P_k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k$ and any signal $s \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ it holds that

$$\|a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s_1] - a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s_2]\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|s_1 - s_2\|_1.$$
Proof. It holds that
\[ C_1 := \sup_{k \in \{1, \ldots, k_{\max}\}} \sup_{P_k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 - c) |h_{P_k}^{(k)}(x)| < \infty. \]

Then, the result follows from the fact that
\[ \left| a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s_1](t) - a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s_2](t) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s_1(u) - s_2(u)| |h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t - u)| \, du. \]

\[ \square \]

Remark 4.5. The continuity property proved in Lemma 4.4 implies, in particular, that the representation of a signal \( s \) is stable with respect to additive noise.

Lemma 4.6 (Pointwise stability to time warping). Consider the network coefficients given by (4.1) with \( \Theta \) being the identity. For \( \tau \in C^0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \), let \( T_\tau \) be the associated time warping operator, given by \( T_\tau f(t) = f(t + \tau(t)) \). Then, given \( k_{\max} \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) there exists a positive constant \( C_2 \) such that for any depth \( k = 1, \ldots, k_{\max} \), any path \( P_k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k \) and any signal \( s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) it holds that
\[ \left\| a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s] - a_{P_k}^{(k)}[T_\tau s] \right\|_\infty \leq C_2 \|s\|_1 \|\tau\|_\infty. \]

Proof. Let \((h_{P_k}^{(k)})'\) denote the first derivative of \( h_{P_k}^{(k)} \) (which is zero on \((-\infty, 0)\) and does not exist at 0). Then, we see that
\[ C_1 := \sup_{k \in \{1, \ldots, k_{\max}\}} \sup_{P_k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k} \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} |(h_{P_k}^{(k)})'(x)| < \infty, \]
and, by the mean value theorem, that for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \)
\[ \left| h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t - \tau(t)) - h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t) \right| \leq C_1 |\tau(t)|. \]

Thus, we see that for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \)
\[ |a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s] - a_{P_k}^{(k)}[T_\tau s]| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s(t - u)| \left| h_{P_k}^{(k)}(u) - h_{P_k}^{(k)}(u - \tau(u)) \right| \, du, \]
\[ \leq C_1 |\tau(u)| \|\tau\|_\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s(t - u)| \, du. \]

\[ \square \]

A common approach to extracting information from the coefficients (4.1) is to use their temporal averages. A particular advantage of such an approach is that it gives outputs that are invariant to translation and time-dilation (cf. the scattering transform [32,33]). Let \( \langle a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s]\rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} \) denote the average of \( a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s](t) \) over the interval \((t_1, t_2)\), given by
\[ \langle a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s]\rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} = \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s](t) \, dt. \] (4.2)

Lemma 4.7 (Stability of averages to time warping). Consider the network coefficients given by (4.1) with \( \Theta \) being the identity. For \( \tau \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \), let \( T_\tau \) be the associated time warping operator, given by \( T_\tau f(t) = f(t + \tau(t)) \). Suppose that \( \tau \) is such that \( \|\tau'\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2} \). Then, given \( k_{\max} \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) there exists a positive constant \( C_2 \) such that for any depth \( k = 1, \ldots, k_{\max} \), any path \( P_k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k \) and any signal \( s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) it holds that
\[ \left| \langle a_{P_k}^{(k)}[s]\rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} - \langle a_{P_k}^{(k)}[T_\tau s]\rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} \right| \leq C_2 \|s\|_1 \left( \frac{2}{t_2 - t_1} \|\tau\|_\infty + \|\tau'\|_\infty \right). \]
Figure 5: The architecture considered in this work cascades the physically-derived subwavelength scattering, extracts the instantaneous amplitude and phase before, finally, estimating the parameters of the associated natural sound distributions.

Proof. Since \( \| \tau' \|_\infty \leq c < 1 \), \( \varphi(t) = t - \tau(t) \) is invertible and \( \| \varphi' \|_\infty \geq 1 - c \),

\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t - \tau(t)) - h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t) \right) dt = \int_{\varphi(t_1)}^{\varphi(t_2)} \frac{1}{\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t))} dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t) dt
\]

\[
= \int_{t_1 - t_2}^{t_2 - t_1} h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t) \frac{1}{\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t))} dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t) \varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t)) dt,
\]

for some intervals \( I_1, I_2 \subset \mathbb{R} \), each of which has length bounded by \( \| \tau \|_\infty \). Now, define the constant

\[
C_2 := \sup_{k \in \{1, \ldots, k_{\text{max}} \}} \sup_{P_k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}} \sup_{\tau \in (0, \infty)} (1 - c) \left| h_{P_k}^{(k)}(x) \right| < \infty.
\]

Finally, we can compute that

\[
\langle a_{P_k}(s) \rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} - \langle a_{P_k}[T \tau s] \rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} = \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(u) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t - u - \tau(t)) - h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t - u) \right) dt du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(u) \left( \int_{t_1 - t_2}^{t_2 - t_1} h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t - u) \frac{1}{\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t - u))} dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h_{P_k}^{(k)}(t - u) \varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t - u)) dt \right) du,
\]

meaning that

\[
\left| \langle a_{P_k}(s) \rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} - \langle a_{P_k}[T \tau s] \rangle_{(t_1, t_2)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \| s \|_1 \left[ 2\| \tau \|_\infty C_2 + (t_2 - t_1)C_2 \| \tau' \|_\infty \right].
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.8.** Lemma 4.7 shows that temporal averages are approximately invariant to translations if the length of the window is large relative to the size of the translation (i.e. if \( t_2 - t_1 \gg \| \tau \|_\infty \)).

5 Representation of natural sounds

Extracting meaning from the representation of a sound, beyond elementary statements about which tones are more prolific at different stages, is difficult. In this section, we propose a novel approach tailored to the class of natural sounds which exploits their observed statistical properties.

5.1 Properties of natural sounds

Let us briefly summarise what has been observed about the low-order statistics of natural sounds [22–25]. For a sound \( s(t) \), let \( a_\omega(t) \) be the component at frequency \( \omega \) (obtained e.g. through the application of a band-pass filter centred at \( \omega \)). Then we can write that

\[
a_\omega(t) = A_\omega(t) \cos(\omega t + \phi_\omega(t)),
\]
Further, this property is independent of the frequency band that is studied [24]. The power spectrum (the square of the Fourier transform) of the amplitude satisfies a relationship of the form

$$S_{A_n}(f) = |A_n(f)|^2 \propto \frac{1}{f^\gamma}, \quad 0 < f < f_{\text{max}},$$

(5.1)

for a positive parameter $\gamma$ (which often lies in a neighbourhood of 1) and some maximum frequency $f_{\text{max}}$. Further, this property is independent of the frequency band that is studied [24].

Consider the log-amplitude, $\log_{10} A_n(t)$. It has been observed that for a variety of natural sounds (including speech, animal vocalisations, music and environmental sounds) the log-amplitude is locally stationary. Suppose we normalise the log-amplitude so that it has zero mean and unit variance, giving a quantity that is invariant to amplitude scaling. Then, the normalised log-amplitude averaged over some time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ has a distribution of the form [25]

$$p_A(x) = \beta \exp(\beta x - \alpha - e^{\beta x - \alpha}),$$

(5.2)

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real-valued parameters and $\beta > 0$. Further, this property is scale invariant in the sense that it is true irrespective of the scale over which the temporal average is taken. It also known that the curves for different frequency bands fall on top of one another, meaning that $p_A$ does not depend on $\omega$ (the frequency band).

Further, the power spectrum $S_{\phi_n}$ of the instantaneous phase also satisfies a $1/f$-type relationship, of the same form as (5.1). On the other hand, the instantaneous phase is non-stationary (even locally), making it difficult to describe through the above methods. A more tractable quantity is the instantaneous frequency (IF), defined as

$$\lambda_\omega = \frac{d\phi_\omega}{dt}.$$ 

It has been observed that $\lambda_\omega(t)$ is locally stationary for natural sounds and the temporal mean of its modulus satisfies a distribution $p_\lambda$ of the form [24]

$$p_\lambda(x) \propto (\zeta^2 + x^2)^{-\eta/2},$$

(5.3)

for positive parameters $\zeta$ and $\eta > 1$.

### 5.2 Representation algorithm

For a given natural sound, we wish to find the parameters that characterise its global properties, according to (5.1)–(5.3). Given a signal $s$ we first compute the convolution with the band-pass filter $h_n$ to yield the spectral component at the frequency $\text{Re}(\omega_n)$, given by

$$a_n[s](t) = A_n(t) \cos(\text{Re}(\omega_n)t + \phi_n(t)).$$

We extract the functions $A_n$ and $\phi_n$ from $a_n[s]$ using the Hilbert transform [24,34,35]. In particular, we have that

$$a_n[s](t) + iH(a_n[s])(t) = a_n[s](t) + \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_n[s](u)}{t-u} \, du = A_n(t) e^{i(\text{Re}(\omega_n)t + \phi_n(t))},$$

from which we can extract $A_n$ and $\phi_n$ by taking the complex modulus and argument, respectively.

**Remark 5.1.** It is not obvious that the Hilbert transform $H(a_n[s])$ is well-defined. Indeed, we must formally take the principal value of the integral. For a signal that is integrable and has finite support, $H(a_n[s])(t)$ exists for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Given the functions $A_n$ and $\phi_n$, the power spectra $S_{A_n}(f)$ and $S_{\phi_n}(f)$ can be computed by applying the Fourier transform and squaring. We estimate the relationships of the form (5.1) by first averaging the $N$ power spectra, to give

$$\overline{S_A}(f) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_n S_{A_n}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{S_\phi}(f) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_n S_{\phi_n}(f)$$

before fitting curves $f^{-\gamma_A}$
and $f^{-\gamma_{\phi}}$ using least-squares regression. We estimate the parameters of the probability distributions (5.2) and (5.3) by normalising both $\log_{10} A_n(t)$ and $\lambda_n(t)$ so that

$$\langle \log_{10} A_n \rangle = 0, \quad ((\log_{10} A_n)^2) = 1,$$

and similarly for $\lambda_n(t)$, before repeatedly averaging the normalised functions over intervals $[t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Curves of the form (5.2) and (5.3) are then fitted to the resulting histograms (which combine the temporal averages from different filters $n = 1, \ldots, N$ and different time intervals $[t_1, t_2]$) using non-linear least-squares optimisation.

|                      | trumpet | violin | cello | thunder | baby speech | adult speech | running water | crow call |
|----------------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| $\gamma_A$          | 1.767   | 1.563  | 1.528 | 1.415   | 1.763       | 1.808        | 1.466         | 1.571    |
| $\alpha$            | 1.244   | 0.375  | 0.284 | 0.474   | 0.517       | 0.528        | 0.336         | 0.649    |
| $\beta$             | 2.390   | 0.783  | 0.841 | 0.596   | 0.747       | 0.894        | 0.484         | 0.896    |
| $\gamma_{\phi}$     | 0.763   | 0.871  | 0.6977| 0.446   | 1.192       | 1.125        | 1.088         | 0.908    |
| $\zeta \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.878 | 3.433  | 6.1149| 6.322   | 4.773       | 5.176        | 5.200         | 4.212    |
| $\eta$              | 8.579   | 11.824 | 8.679 | 8.315   | 9.660       | 9.358        | 9.290         | 10.475   |

Table 1: Values of the estimated distribution parameters for different samples of natural sounds.
5.3 Discussion

The observations of Section 5.1 give us six coefficients \((\gamma_A, \alpha, \beta, \gamma_0, \zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^6\) that portray global properties of a natural sound. Table 1 shows some examples of these parameters, estimated using the approach described in Section 5.2. Our hypothesis is that these parameters capture, in some sense, the quality of a signal. Thus, incorporating these parameters into the representation of a signal, alongside e.g. temporal averages (4.2), will improve the ‘perceptual’ abilities of any classification algorithm based on this representation. The space of natural sounds that is characterised by the six parameters is likely to have a highly non-trivial (and non-Euclidean) structure which will need to be learnt from data, cf. [36,37].

6 Concluding remarks

We have studied an array of subwavelength resonators that has similar dimensions to the cochlea and mimics its biomechanical properties. We proved, from first principles, that the pressure field scattered by this structure satisfies a modal expansion with spatial eigenmodes and gammatone time dependence. We, then, explored how these basis functions could be used as kernels in a convolutional signal processing routine. In particular, we proposed an algorithm for extracting meaningful global properties from the band-pass coefficients tailored to the class of natural sounds.

An advantage of two-step approach (physical scattering followed by neural processing) is that the subtleties of the auditory system can be readily incorporated, e.g. the non-linear amplification that takes place in the cochlea [38]. This work studied linear representations of signals followed by a non-linear algorithm for extracting the natural sound parameters. While analyses of non-linear networks (i.e. with the activation function different from the identity) have been conducted in other settings [32, 33], an amplification mechanism based on a compressive non-linearity can be incorporated directly into the resonator-array model, as studied in [1,2].
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