Abstract- Conventional ophthalmic dosage forms, although being simple to apply and presenting great patients' compliance, display poorer drug bioavailability and retention time on the eye surface. To cope with these problems, one must formulate novel drug delivery systems, such as nanosystems, for ocular drug delivery. Different formulation methods of nanoparticles have been developed, but some of them, such as the supercritical fluid method, have not reached their full potential in ocular drug delivery. This article aims to present the possibilities of the supercritical fluid method when preparing nanosystems for ocular drug delivery. This method could be used more frequently and efficiently because it is environmentally friendly and produces nanoparticles of the desired physicochemical properties, which is especially important in ocular drug delivery considering its peculiarities. Modifications of the supercritical fluid method can be used when a drug has some specific properties, which is an additional benefit in ocular drug delivery.
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I. **Introduction**

Different eye barriers present a challenge for drug delivery to the eye (Figure 1). These barriers are [1,2]:

- Anatomical (conjunctiva, sclera, choroid, retina, with the blood-retinal barrier (BRB) comprising retinal capillary endothelial cells and retinal pigment epithelium (RPE)).
- Physiological (removal of the solution by blinking, dilution of the solution by tearing).

![Figure 1: Different eye layers](image-url)
They are an obstacle during the development of different ophthalmic dosage forms [3,4]. Conventional ophthalmic dosage forms include eye drops, ointments, suspensions, and emulsions. These formulations have proven their advantages, such as great patients' compliance and easy applicability, but their limitations include more frequent administration to get the therapeutic drug concentration, as well as poor drug bioavailability. Conventional ophthalmic dosage forms are more powerful in the treatment of the diseases of anterior rather than posterior eye segment. Previously mentioned dosage forms can also cause the occurrence of eye irritation, eye redness, and visual impairment [5,6].

To cope with these disadvantages of conventional ophthalmic dosage forms, novel drug delivery systems for ocular drug delivery are being developed, wherein nanosystems get special attention. Nanosystems that can be used as ocular drug delivery systems include nanoparticles, nanomicelles, liposomes, niosomes, dendrimers, nanosuspensions, nanoemulsions, nanocrystals, etc (Figure 2). Their advantages are [7,8]:

- Higher: drug permeability, bioavailability, and retention on the eye surface
- Lower: drug degradation, risk of visual impairment, required drug doses, and occurrence of side effects.

There are two classifications of nanoparticles, the first being nanospheres and nanocapsules, and the second being polymeric nanoparticles and lipid nanoparticles. Nanospheres are matrix systems, in which a drug is adsorbed on the surface or evenly dispersed in the matrix. They have a solid core with a dense polymer around it, with a size from 100 to 1000 nm. Nanocapsules are vesicular systems, in which a drug is usually dissolved in the particle’s nucleus, but it can also be adsorbed on the surface. They have an oil-filled cavity with a firm polymeric envelope around it, with a size from 10 to 1000 nm [9,10]. In polymeric nanoparticles, a drug is dissolved or encapsulated in different polymers. These nanoparticles have a size from
10 to 100 nm, are biodegradable, non-toxic, biocompatible, and mucoadhesive. Polymers can be made either by the direct process of polymerisation of different monomers or from derived polymers [11]. Lipid nanoparticles comprise lipids that are solid at room and body temperature, unlike water/oil (w/o) emulsions that have liquid lipids. These nanoparticles have a size from 150 to 300 nm, are non-toxic and long-term stable, protect a drug from its degradation, present controlled drug release and improved drug bioavailability. There are two groups of lipid nanoparticles: solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) and nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC) [12]. The SLN comprise a solid lipid with an incorporated drug, and water, co-emulsifiers, and emulsifiers as excipients [13]. The NLC incorporate liquid and solid lipids as the basic matrix [14].

Factors that must be considered when designing an ocular drug delivery nanosystem are [15]:

- Size of nanoparticles
- Blood and lymphatic circulations
- Melanin pigmentation
- Diseases
- Functionalization of nanoparticles
- Charge of nanoparticles.

These factors are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Amrite et al. conducted a study to determine the distribution of carboxylate-modified, negatively charged polystyrene (PS) nanoparticles in the eye. Nanoparticles, sizes of 20 nm and 200 nm, were applied subconjunctivally to Sprague Dawley rats. Nanoparticles of 200 nm were detected two months post-application in the periocular tissue of the eye, whereas nanoparticles of 20 nm were not, as they were fastly eliminated from that tissue. Subconjunctivally applied nanoparticles of 200 nm and larger could be kept at the site of application for at least two months, which meant that nanosystems of this size could be useful as controlled drug delivery nanosystems for periocular application [16]. Amrite et al. conducted another study to investigate the transscleral permeability of celecoxib-loaded nanoparticles, sizes of 20 nm and 200 nm, in the presence and absence of blood and lymphatic circulations in Sprague Dawley rats. Various clearance rates showed that the clearance of nanoparticles affected the concentrations of celecoxib in the retina. Larger nanoparticles showed sustained drug delivery in the retina better compared with the smaller ones, but these differences were reduced with an increase in the release rate of celecoxib from nanoparticles. Blood and lymphatic circulations played an important role in the clearance of the 20 nm-sized nanoparticles, which were transported across the sclera in a minimal amount, but with significant transport across the sclera-choroid-RPE, because of their fast disposition in the presence of blood and lymphatic circulations. The 200 nm-sized nanoparticles displayed low clearance by blood and lymphatic circulations and were suitable for controlled transscleral drug delivery [17].

Cheruvu et al. conducted a study to determine the effect of the melanin pigmentation on the transscleral delivery of celecoxib-loaded nanoparticles in Sprague Dawley (non-pigmented, albino) and Brown Norway (pigmented) rats. The affinity and the extent of the binding of celecoxib to natural melanin were not significantly different compared with those to synthetic melanin. Transscleral retinal and vitreal drug deliveries of celecoxib were significantly lower in Brown Norway rats compared with those in Sprague Dawley rats, which could be attributed to the significant binding of celecoxib to melanin and its accumulation in the melanin-rich choroid-RPE [18]. Cheruvu et al. conducted another study to investigate the effect of diabetes on the transscleral delivery of celecoxib-loaded nanoparticles in Sprague Dawley and Brown Norway rats. Transscleral retinal and vitreal drug deliveries were significantly higher in diabetic animals of both Sprague Dawley and Brown Norway rats, which could be attributed to the disruption of the BRB because of diabetes. Transscleral delivery of celecoxib was higher in Brown Norway rats compared with that in Sprague Dawley rats [19].

Kompella et al. conducted a study to determine whether topical ocular drug delivery of nanoparticles of size <100 nm could be enhanced by their coating with peptides or proteins in the bovine eye. The uptake of nanoparticles was in the following order: corneal epithelium > stroma > endothelium, with concentrations in the aqueous humour being undetectable. Transport across the cornea was enhanced because of the functionalization of PS nanoparticles of 20 nm with deslorelin, a luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonist, as well as transferrin. Surface modification of nanoparticles by conjugating a LHRH agonist or transferrin was useful to provide fast and efficient delivery of nanoparticles across the cornea [20]. Eljarrat-Binstock et al. conducted a study to determine the penetration of positively and negatively charged fluorescent nanoparticles in rabbits. Positively charged nanoparticles showed better penetration into the inner ocular tissues compared with the negatively charged ones [21]. Kim et al. conducted a study to investigate the movement of intravitreally applied human serum albumin (HSA) nanoparticles concerning the surface charge of nanoparticles. Either cationic or anionic HSA nanoparticles were injected to determine the effect of surface charge on the intravitreal movement of nanoparticles. Anionic nanoparticles with a surface charge of -33.3 ± 6.1 mV diffused more easily through the collagen fibres compared with the cationic ones with a surface charge of 11.7 ± 7.2 mV. Anionic HSA nanoparticles presented a promising drug delivery nanosystem to the subretinal ocular tissue and RPE.
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A supercritical fluid is a substance under a condition above its critical points, which are the highest temperature and pressure at which a substance exists as the gas and the liquid in equilibrium. Thus, a supercritical fluid shows the properties of both gases (such as penetration) and liquids (such as dissolution) simultaneously. Gases, such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), ammonia (NH₃), or water (H₂O), can be converted to supercritical fluids under particular conditions. But only supercritical CO₂ can be economically useful because its critical points (temperature = 31.1 °C, pressure = 73.8 bar) are relatively easy to reach [26].

Supercritical fluids have great potential in the production of nanosystems but have not been used frequently in ocular drug delivery. Studies conducted so far include the preparation of dexamethasone [27] and griseofulvin [28] nanoparticles. This method can replace the use of organic solvents while preparing nanoparticles for advanced drug delivery and formulation systems, such as the ocular ones. What makes a difference between conventional formulation methods, such as freeze- or spray-drying, where larger particles are primarily formed and then reduced to the desired size, is the fact that the supercritical fluid method instantly forms particles in a manner to get the desired properties. This is the way to avoid the unwanted effects caused by the energy transfer in the system, which is needed to reduce the size of particles. Particles formed this way do not have to undergo additional treatment, which is a significant advantage [29,30].

The most important characteristic of this method is the fact that there is no phase boundary between the gas and the liquid, so there is continuity in the physicochemical properties of the fluid. With small variations in pressure and temperature of the system, the properties of supercritical fluids are alterable from the gas to the liquid, therefore the viscosity and diffusivity are closer to those of the gas and the density is closer to that of the liquid [31].

The most important types of the supercritical fluid method include [24,32]:

- Supercritical anti-solvent (SAS)
- Rapid expansion of the supercritical solution (RESS).
  i. Supercritical anti-solvent (SAS)

The SAS method includes the precipitation of a solute in a compressed fluid under supercritical conditions. The precipitation can be done in two ways [33]:

1. An anti-solvent can be added to a solution (normal-addition precipitation)
2. A solution can be added to an anti-solvent (reverse-addition precipitation).

A supercritical anti-solvent must be miscible with a solution solvent, whereas a solute must not be soluble in a supercritical anti-solvent [33].

In normal-addition precipitation, first, a solute is dissolved in a liquid solvent, and second, a supercritical anti-solvent is added to a solution. The latter is done in a partially filled container at the ambient pressure. With the addition of a supercritical anti-solvent, both the pressure of a closed container and the volume of a solution/anti-solvent mixture increase. With an increase in the anti-
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1. An active ingredient and a coating material are dissolved in an organic solvent.
2. The organic solution is sprayed into supercritical CO$_2$.
3. Supercritical CO$_2$, as an anti-solvent, leads to supersaturation and precipitation of nanoparticles.
4. When the expansion occurs, CO$_2$ reverts to its gaseous state, while an organic solvent is being removed.

One advantage of the SAS method is the fact that supercritical fluids are environmentally safe, thus this method could be used for preparing biodegradable nanoparticles, which is recommended in ocular drug delivery. Nevertheless, there are some new modifications of this method, which include the nebulisation of a solution by supercritical fluids that also

- The concentration of a solute
- The relative quantities of a solution and an anti-solvent
- The rate of the anti-solvent addition
- The degree of mixing.

In a study conducted by Subramaniam et al., the size of nanoparticles depended on the pressure because smaller nanoparticles are formed when the pressure of an added anti-solvent increases. This was attributed to the faster nucleation of a solute [34]. In a study conducted by Rantakylä et al., the size of nanoparticles depended on both temperature and pressure, but was independent of the nozzle exit velocity and diameter. The initial size of droplets did not affect the size of nanoparticles [35].

The SAS method is presented in Figure 3.
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important for drugs that are thermally labile or shock-sensitive, such as some drugs used in the treatment of ocular diseases. Still, many substances have a higher solubility in liquid solvents compared with low-temperature supercritical fluids, and the SAS method allows a higher flow rate [40].

ii. Rapid expansion of the supercritical solution (RESS)

The RESS method differs from the SAS method because, in the RESS method, first, a solute is dissolved in a supercritical fluid to form a solution, and second, a solution is quickly expanded into an area of lower pressure or ambient air [41–43]. A rapid decrease in pressure and density causes the precipitation of a solute. A supercritical solution can be generated in two ways, by [44]:

- Heating and pressurizing a solution at room temperature (expansion is performed at a constant concentration)
- Continuously extracting a solute with an extraction column (useful for solutes insoluble in solvents).

The temperature of a solvent can differ from or be the same as the temperature at which the rapid expansion is performed. Both the extraction temperature and flow rate control the concentration of a solute. Rapid expansion from supercritical to ambient pressure leads to supersaturation because the solubility of supercritical fluids can be over 100 times higher compared with that under ideal gas conditions. Fast reduction of pressure results in the homogeneous nucleation of a solute but narrow size distribution of nanoparticles [44].

The RASS method can be used for preparing organic, inorganic, or polymeric nanomaterials. Fibres of polymeric nanomaterials can be prepared under the controlled expansion conditions, therefore variations in these conditions allow modifications of physicochemical properties of nanoparticles. Pre-expansion temperatures that are significantly higher or lower compared with the melting point of a polymer are used to create particles, whereas those that are close to the melting point of a polymer are used to create fibres [44].

The RASS method could also create nanoparticles with the controlled release of drugs, which could be very useful in ocular drug delivery [29]. The physicochemical properties of nanoparticles depend on various processing conditions, which allow control over them. Microparticles are generally obtained as primary products, but nanoparticles (100–300 nm) can also be produced in the RESS method by using the appropriate nozzles [45].

The RESS method requires minimum to no organic solvent. The precipitation process is enhanced because of the decrease in pressure and temperature that a solute goes through during the rapid expansion [46]. Caution should be taken regarding the occurrence
1. An active ingredient and a coating material are dissolved in supercritical CO$_2$.
2. When the expansion occurs, CO$_2$ reverts to its gaseous state.
3. Nanoparticles are formed because of the decrease in solubility and precipitation of an active ingredient and a coating material.

### iii. Operating parameters affecting the properties of nanoparticles formed by the supercritical fluid method

Various thermodynamic and aerodynamic factors, as well as properties of the material, affect the morphology, shape, size, and size distribution of nanoparticles. Thermodynamic factors include temperature, pressure, rate of addition of substances, as well as phase and composition changes during the expansion. Aerodynamic factors include nozzle geometry, mechanical shear, and impact distance of the jet against a surface [46].

The supercritical fluid method allows the production of tiny particles (such as nanoparticles) and experiments conducted so far have shown that the size of particles, as well as some other properties, depend on a solvent, a solute, and the pre-expansion conditions. Still, there are some dilemmas regarding the relationship between the conditions of the process and the mechanism of the formation of particles. The interaction between nucleation, condensation, and coagulation is also important to understand. Thus, there is a need to model the supercritical fluid method and its conditions to better understand how different operating parameters affect the properties of the final product, such as nanoparticles. Some of the most cited studies conducted regarding the operating parameters affecting the properties of nanoparticles formed by the supercritical fluid method are presented in Table 1, along with their most important remarks.

### Table 1: Studies conducted regarding the operating parameters affecting the properties of nanoparticles formed by the supercritical fluid method

| STUDY | REMARKS |
|-------|---------|
| [55]  | Mathematical modelling of particle growth and nucleation during the supercritical fluid method was presented. The flow rate was steady and one-dimensional. Partial expansion of supercritical solutions was proven to be an effective way to form powders of desired size and other properties. The size of particles responded to the extraction temperature (the temperature at which a solute is dissolved in a supercritical fluid), as well as the pre-expansion temperature (the temperature at which the saturated mixture is isobarically pre-heated before the expansion). A decrease in solubility upon isobaric heating embodied three trends predicted by calculations: an increase in the size of particles upon the increase in pre-expansion temperature and a decrease in the size of particles upon the increase in extraction temperature and pressure. |
| [56]  | Hydrodynamic modelling of the RESS method was presented. The expansion occurred at the nozzle inlet, along with the nozzle, and in the expansion chamber, and the thermodynamic transformations for each step were calculated, along with the temperature and pressure profiles. Flow rate at the nozzle exit was measured for two supercritical fluids, CO$_2$ and fluoroform (CHF$_3$), at different pre-expansion temperatures and pressures. Computed values were comparable with the experimental data. |
### III. Conclusion

Novel drug delivery systems are continuously being developed for ocular drug delivery because of their many advantages compared with conventional ophthalmic dosage forms. Nanoparticles have especially been receiving attention. The most important formulation methods of nanoparticles for ocular drug delivery include polymerisation of a monomer (emulsion polymerisation, interfacial polymerisation, interfacial polycondensation), production from pre-formed polymers (solvent removal, interfacial deposition, emulsification – solvent evaporation, emulsification – solvent diffusion, salting-out), production from natural macromolecules (ionic gelation), desolvation of macromolecules, newer formulation methods (supercritical fluid), and other formulation methods (homogenisation, milling). The choice of the formulation method depends on an active pharmaceutical ingredient and other substances used, as well as the desired physicochemical properties of nanoparticles. New formulation methods are constantly being developed to get the most out of nanotechnology, such as the supercritical fluid method. The SAS method uses a liquid solvent and a supercritical fluid, which are miscible with each other, but a solute is not soluble in a

---

| [57] | A one-dimensional flow modelling of the RESS method was presented. The emphasis was on the wall friction in the nozzle and heat interchange with the surrounding region. Calculations were performed on the ibuprofen–supercritical CO₂ system and supersaturation, as well as nucleation, were considered. Results showed that very high supersaturation and nucleation rates can be obtained when the fluid leaves the nozzle exit. A decrease in the size of particles was also visible. Sensitivity analyses showed that pre-expansion temperatures and pressures, as well as the nozzle length, did not significantly influence the flow rate. |
| [58] | Modelling of the thermodynamic behaviour of supercritical solutions during the RESS method was presented and an impact of the solubility and surface tension of different solutes in supercritical fluids on nucleation rates under typical RESS conditions was investigated. Calculations showed that the nucleation rate is a solubility and surface tension function. It was shown that it is not possible to investigate coagulation and homogeneous nucleation separately. Also, the information about the properties of a solute needs to be more reliable. |
| [59] | Experiments were carried out with CO₂ and CHF₃ as supercritical fluids, as well as with cholesterol, benzoic acid, and griseofulvin. It was shown that it is possible to produce particles of the desired size, which leads to improved dissolution. Flow rate and the formation and growth of particles during the RESS method were modelled numerically. The flow rate was steady and one-dimensional. Results showed that the formation of particles mainly occurs in the supersonic free jet and that the primary mechanism of particle growth is coagulation. |
| [60] | The size distribution of fine powders formed during the RESS method depends on the operating parameters and the geometry of the expansion utensil. Homogeneous nucleation, condensation, and coagulation during the expansion of a non-volatile solute in a supercritical fluid were modelled. Calculations showed that the RESS method is very successful in the formation of particles in the diameter range of 10–50 nm. Delayed nucleation, precipitation of a small solute fraction, and narrow size distribution of particles were mostly displayed. |
| [61] | In this study, it was shown that the aerodynamic factors remain constant over a wide range of pressures. The formation of particles was probably a result of gas-phase nucleation and growth within the expansion utensil, rather than within discrete liquid droplets. |
| [62] | In this study with the toluene (solvent)–CO₂ (anti-solvent) system, it was displayed that the size of droplets depends on densities of both solvent and anti-solvent. It is recommended to work in a single-phase zone at high pressure because higher mass transfer and supersaturation ratio are then achieved. Mathematical modelling of mass transfer between an organic solvent and an anti-solvent was presented when two phases were miscible. The mass transfer behaviour of droplets could affect the morphology of particles. Under supercritical conditions of the mixture, a solvent and an anti-solvent were miscible. Thus, the interface between the droplets and their environment was not defined. By defining a radius of droplets based on the difference in density between a solvent and an anti-solvent, the mass transfer can be calculated, as well as an impact of the operating parameters. Calculations showed droplets swell when a solvent has a higher density compared with an anti-solvent, and shrink when an anti-solvent has a higher density compared with a solvent. Near the critical points of the mixture, droplets swelled and were more sensitive to changes in temperature and pressure. The lifetime of droplets was significantly shorter under miscible conditions. When the critical points of the mixture are close, droplets swelled less. The extent of droplet swelling or shrinking was a temperature and pressure function correlated to the difference in density and diffusivity between a solvent and an anti-solvent. Supercritical conditions resulted in faster mass transfer, causing higher supersaturation and nucleation rates but the smaller size of particles. |
| [63,64] | The authors of these two studies investigated whether the spherical shape of particles results from the drying of droplets after the atomisation of a liquid solution. Above the critical pressure, the surface tension influences the formation of particles. When atomisation is not obtained, the precipitation from the fluid phase produces particles. They also investigated the relationship between the solute concentration, the vessel temperature and pressure, as well as the fraction of CO₂. They concluded that the fraction of CO₂ greater than 0.95–0.97 is the most useful for the formation of smaller particles. Critical points of the mixture of CO₂ and a liquid organic solvent were then achieved. |
Supercritical fluids as a state-of-the-art formulation method of nanoparticles for ocular drug delivery

Supercritical fluid. Instantaneous precipitation of a solute, which is formed because of the extraction of a liquid solvent by a supercritical fluid, causes the formation of nanoparticles. In the RESS method, a supercritical fluid dissolves a solute. Consequently, the solvent power of a supercritical fluid is reduced and a solute precipitates because of its rapid expansion into an area of lower pressure. These methods can create nanoparticles of adequate size, size distribution, and other properties for ocular drug delivery, and should be applied more regularly.
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Abbreviations

BRB – blood-retinal barrier
CAN-BD – CO2-assisted nebulisation with a bubble dryer
HSA – human serum albumin
LHRH – luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone
NLC – nanostructured lipid carriers
PEG – poly(ethylene glycol)
PS – polystyrene
RESS – rapid expansion of the supercritical solution
RESS-SC – rapid expansion of the supercritical solution with solid co-solvent
RPE – retinal pigment epithelium
SAA – supercritical fluid-assisted atomisation
SAS – supercritical anti-solvent
SLN – solid lipid nanoparticles
w/o – water/oil