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1 Introduction

This paper deals with monotonicity in the context of a reflexive Banach space $X$. Monotonicity for set valued operators and bifunctions appear in the literature as an elegant and
useful mathematical notion. Monotone bifunctions have been extensively studied since the following equilibrium problem appeared in the seminal work of Blum and Oettli [3]: given a bifunction $F : X \times X \to \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$ and a set $K \subseteq X$ such that $F(x, x) = 0$ for every $x \in K$, find $\tilde{x} \in K$ such that $F(\tilde{x}, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in K$.

The aim of this paper is to present some necessary and sufficient conditions for a monotone bifunction to be maximal in the pointwise sense. The conditions we will present are equivalent to the existence of solutions to a class of equilibrium problems obtained by perturbing a given bifunction in a suitable way.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we begin fixing notations and reviewing some important points of monotone operator theory. Notation not explicitly defined there is standard and as in [11]. Based on the definitions in [1] and [5], we introduce suitable notions of monotone and maximally monotone bifunctions and show that there is a bijection between special classes of monotone bifunctions and monotone operators. We finish the section by introducing pointwise maximal monotonicity. In Section 3, we use a recent characterization of maximally monotone operators due to the third author [9], in order to characterize maximally monotone bifunctions in terms of the solution sets of equilibrium problems. We also get a generalization of the following characterization of maximality: a monotone operator $A$ is maximally monotone if and only if for each $x \in X$, there exists $x' \in X$ such that $0 \in J(x' - x) + A(x')$, with $J$ denoting the duality mapping (see, for instance, [6, p. 324]). Our last result extends [5, Proposition 2.6].

2 Notation and Preliminary Results

In the following, $X$ is a reflexive Banach space, $X^*$ its dual and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is the duality pairing. The indicator function of a set $C \subseteq X$ is the function $\delta_C : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$\delta_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in C, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Given a multivalued operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, its domain and graph are, respectively, the sets

$$D(T) = \{ x \in X : T(x) \neq \emptyset \}$$

$$\text{Graph}(T) = \{ (x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : x^* \in T(x) \}.$$

The operator is called monotone if for every $x, y \in X$ and $x^* \in T(x), y^* \in T(y)$,

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

It is called maximally monotone if it is monotone and its graph is not properly included in the graph of any other monotone operator. If the inequality is strict whenever $x \neq y$, we say that $T$ is strictly monotone. The inverse of $T$ is the operator $T^{-1} : X^* \rightrightarrows X$ defined by

$$T^{-1}(x^*) = \{ x \in X : x^* \in T(x) \}.$$

Given a proper convex function $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, its Fenchel conjugate is the function $f^* : X^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$f^*(x^*) = \sup_{x \in X} \{ \langle x, x^* \rangle - f(x) \}.$$
The subdifferential of a l.s.c. proper convex function $f$ at a point $x \in X$ is the set
\[
\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle y - x, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall y \in X\}.
\]

This defines an operator $\partial f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ which is maximally monotone [12]. If we take $f$ to be the function $\frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|^2$, then its subdifferential operator is a maximally monotone operator called the duality mapping of $X$; it is denoted by $J$, and satisfies
\[
J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\};
\]
thus, $J(-x) = -J(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

For any monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ such that $\text{Graph}(T)$ is monotone (and in particular maximally monotone) and $\text{Graph}(T)$ is finite-valued, are not used $B$ is finite-valued and $\text{Graph}(T) + \text{Graph}(B) = X \times X^*$, there exists $(p, p^*) \in \text{Graph}(B)$ such that $\langle p - y, p^* - y^* \rangle > 0$ for every $(y, y^*) \in \text{Graph}(B) \setminus \{(p, p^*)\}$.

Remark 1 As it is obvious from the proof of the above theorem in [9], the assumptions that $B$ is monotone (and in particular maximally monotone) and $\phi_B$ is finite-valued, are not used in the proof of the implication (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Hence, if there exists an operator $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ such that $\text{Graph}(T) + \text{Graph}(B) = X \times X^*$ and there exists $(p, p^*) \in \text{Graph}(B)$ such that $\langle p - y, p^* - y^* \rangle > 0$ for every $(y, y^*) \in \text{Graph}(B) \setminus \{(p, p^*)\}$, then $T$ is maximally monotone.

A bifunction is, by definition, any function $F : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$. The domain of a bifunction $F$ is defined to be the set
\[
\text{dom} F = \{x \in X : \forall y \in X, \ F(x, y) > -\infty\}.
\]

Definition 1 A bifunction $F : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called monotone if
\[
F(x, y) \leq -F(y, x), \quad \forall x, y \in X.
\]
If $F$ is monotone, then for every $x, y \in \text{dom } F$ one will have

$$-\infty < F(x, y) \leq -F(y, x) < +\infty$$

so $F(x, y), F(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$. Further, for every $x \in X$, $F(x, x) \leq -F(x, x)$ implies that $F(x, x) \leq 0$ since $F(x, x) = +\infty$ is impossible.

Given any bifunction $F : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ one defines the operator $A^F : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ by

$$A^F(x) = \{x' \in X^* : \langle x - x', x \rangle \leq F(x, y), \forall y \in X\}.$$

It is obvious that $D(A^F) \subseteq \text{dom } F$. As it is clear from its definition, if $F(x, x) = 0$ then $A^F(x) = \partial F(x, \cdot)(x)$ (the Fenchel subdifferential of the function $F(x, \cdot)$ at the point $x$). This happens in particular whenever $x \in D(A^F)$ and $F$ is monotone; indeed, in this case for any $x' \in A^F(x)$ we have $F(x, x) \geq \langle x - x', x \rangle = 0$. Since $F(x, x) \leq 0$ by monotonicity, we deduce $F(x, x) = 0$.

$A^F$ corresponds to one of the two “diagonal subdifferential operators” introduced in [7], see also [8].

**Remark 2**  It is easy to check that whenever a bifunction $F$ is monotone, the operator $A^F$ is also monotone. The converse is not true, as can be seen by the following example. Define $F : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ by $F(x, y) = \|y - x\|^2$. Then $F$ is not monotone, and it is easy to show that $A^F = 0$ so it is monotone. This leads to other examples, by taking the sum of the above bifunction with another monotone bifunction. For instance, if $T : X \to X^*$ is any monotone, bounded linear operator, then the bifunction $G(x, y) = \langle Tx, y - x \rangle + \|T\| \|y - x\|^2$ is not monotone and $A^G = T$.

For every operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ one defines the bifunction $G_T$ by

$$G_T(x, y) = \sup_{x' \in T(x)} \langle y - x, x' \rangle.$$

Obviously, $G_T(x, y) = -\infty$ if and only if $T(x) = \emptyset$. Thus, $D(T) = \text{dom } G_T$.

The mappings $T \mapsto G_T$ and $F \mapsto A^F$ are not one-to-one [5]; however, if we restrict their domain and range, they become bijections as we shall see. We introduce first the following notation:

- $\mathcal{C}(X^*) := \{C \subseteq X^* : C^* \text{ is a closed convex set}\}$
- $\mathcal{O}(X) := \{T : X \rightrightarrows X^* : T(x) \in \mathcal{O}(X^*), \forall x \in X\}$
- $\mathcal{O}_m(X) := \{T \in \mathcal{O}(X) : T \text{ is monotone}\}$
- $\mathcal{S}(X) := \{s : X \to \mathbb{R} : s \equiv -\infty, \text{ or } s(0) = 0 \text{ and } s \text{ is l.s.c., convex and positively homogenous}\}$
- $\mathcal{B}_0(X) := \{F : X \times X \to \mathbb{R} : F(x, x + \cdot) \in \mathcal{S}(X), \forall x \in X\}$
- $\mathcal{B}_m(X) := \{F \in \mathcal{B}_0(X) : F \text{ is monotone}\}$.

Note that for every $s \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, either $s \equiv -\infty$ or $s(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in X$.

If $T$ is a maximally monotone operator, then $T \in \mathcal{O}_m(X)$. Also, if $F$ is a monotone bifunction, then $A^F \in \mathcal{B}_m(X)$.

For every $C^* \in \mathcal{C}(X^*)$, recall that its support function is

$$\sigma_{C^*} := \delta_{C^*}.$$
It is easy to check that $\sigma_C \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. The mapping $\mathcal{C}(X^*) \ni C^* \mapsto \sigma_C \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ so defined is a bijection; its inverse is the mapping $\psi : \mathcal{S}(X) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(X^*)$ defined by

$$\psi(s) = \{x^* : (x, x^*) \leq s(x), \ \forall x \in X\}.$$ 

This means that

$$\psi(\delta_{C^*}^*) = C^* \text{ and } \delta_{\psi(s)}^* = s, \ \forall C^* \in \mathcal{C}(X^*), \ \forall s \in \mathcal{S}(X). \quad (3)$$

This bijection satisfies $\psi(-\infty) = \emptyset$.

For every $T \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ one has

$$G_T(x, x + \cdot) = \sup_{x^* \in T(x)} \langle \cdot, x^* \rangle = \delta_{T(x)}^* \quad (4)$$

so $G_T \in \mathcal{B}_1(X)$. Conversely, for every $F \in \mathcal{B}_1(X)$, it is clear that $A^F \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Note that

$$A^F(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle d, x^* \rangle \leq F(x, x + d), \ \forall d \in X\} = \psi(F(x, x + \cdot)). \quad (5)$$

The following simple proposition holds:

**Proposition 1** For every $T \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, we have $A^{G_T} = T$. Also, for every $F \in \mathcal{B}_1(X)$, we have $G_{A^F} = F$. Consequently, the mapping

$$\mathcal{O}(X) \ni T \mapsto G_T \in \mathcal{B}_1(X)$$

is a bijection, whose inverse is the mapping

$$\mathcal{B}_1(X) \ni F \mapsto A^F \in \mathcal{O}(X).$$

The restriction of this mapping to $\mathcal{O}_m(X)$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{O}_m(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}_m(X)$.

**Proof** For every $T \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $x \in X$ we have, using successively (5), (4) and (3):

$$A^{G_T}(x) = \psi(G_T(x, x + \cdot)) = \psi(\delta_{T(x)}^*) = T(x).$$

Similarly, for every $F \in \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ and $x \in X$ we obtain:

$$G_{A^F}(x, x + \cdot) = \delta_{A^F(x)}^* = \delta_{\psi(F(x, x + \cdot))}^* = F(x, x + \cdot).$$

Thus, $G_{A^F} = F$. The remaining assertions follow immediately. ∎

A usual definition of maximal monotonicity for bifunctions is the following:

**Definition 2** A monotone bifunction $F : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a maximally monotone bifunction (MMB) if the operator $A^F$ is maximally monotone.

We also introduce another definition, on a more restricted class of bifunctions:

**Definition 3** A bifunction $F \in \mathcal{B}_m(X)$ is said to be a pointwise maximally monotone bifunction (PMMB) if $F$ is pointwise maximal in $\mathcal{B}_m(X)$, that is,

$$\forall H \in \mathcal{B}_m(X), \quad F \leq H \Rightarrow F = H$$

The following proposition shows the relation between the two notions:
Proposition 2 Let \( F \in \mathcal{B}_m(X) \). Then \( F \) is an MMB if and only if \( F \) is a PMMB.

Proof Let \( F \in \mathcal{B}_m(X) \) be a PMMB, and let \( T \) be a maximally monotone extension of \( A^F \). Then \( A^F(x) \subseteq T(x) \) for all \( x \in X \), hence \( G_{A^F} \leq G_T \). By Proposition 1, \( F = G_{A^F} \), thus \( F \leq G_T \). Since \( F \) is a PMMB and \( G_T \in \mathcal{B}_m(X) \), we obtain \( F = G_T \). Hence, \( A^F = A^{G_T} = T \) by Proposition 1. Hence \( A^F \) is maximally monotone and \( F \) is an MMB.

Conversely, let \( F \in \mathcal{B}_m(X) \) be an MMB. Assume that \( H \in \mathcal{B}_m(X) \) satisfies \( F \leq H \). Then \( A^F(x) \subseteq A^H(x) \) for all \( x \in X \). Since \( A^F \) is a maximally monotone operator, \( A^F = A^H \). In view of Proposition 1, this implies that

\[
F = G_{A^F} = G_{A^H} = H.
\]

Hence, \( F \) is a PMMB. \( \square \)

Given any bifunction \( F \), its Fitzpatrick transform \([1,2]\) is defined as the function \( \Phi_F : X \times X^* \to \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[
\Phi_F(x,x^*) = (-F(x,x^*))^* = \sup_{y \in X} \{ \langle y,x^* \rangle + F(y,x) \}.
\]

If \( T \) is any operator, then \( \Phi_{G_T} = \varphi_T \) \([1]\). Consequently, if \( F \in \mathcal{B}_s(X) \), then \( \Phi_F = \varphi_{A^F} \)

since \( F = G_{A^F} \).

Now we show the following useful proposition:

Proposition 3 Let \( A : X \rightrightarrows X^* \) be monotone and \( B : X \rightrightarrows X^* \) be maximally monotone and such that \( \varphi_B \) is finite-valued. Consider the following statements:

1) \( A \) is maximally monotone
2) For every \( x \in X \), it holds that \( R(A + B( -x )) = X^* \)
3) For every \( x \in X \), there exists \( x' \in X \) such that

\[
0 \in A(x) + B(x' - x).
\]

Then the following implications hold true: 1) \( \Rightarrow \) 2) \( \Rightarrow \) 3). If, moreover, \( B \) is single-valued and strictly monotone, then these statements are equivalent.

Proof 1) \( \Rightarrow \) 2) Let us define \( T := B(-x) = \tau_{-x}B \). Then \( T \) is a monotone operator and by relation (2), \( \varphi_T \) is finite-valued.

By using the first part of the Corollary 2.7 of \([9]\) we obtain that for each \( x \in X \), it holds \( R(A + B( -x )) = X^* \).

2) \( \Rightarrow \) 3) is obvious.

Now assume that \( B \) is also single-valued and strictly monotone. Define \( B_1 \) by \( B_1(x) = -B(-x) \). It is easy to see that \( B_1 \) is maximally monotone, single-valued, strictly monotone, and \( \varphi_{B_1}(x,x^*) = \varphi_B(-x,-x^*) < +\infty \). Also, \( B_1^{-1} \) is maximally monotone, single valued, strictly monotone and such that \( \varphi_{B_1^{-1}}(x^*,x) = \varphi_{B_1}(x,x^*) < +\infty \). From the assumption we infer that for each \( x \in X \), \( \exists x' \in X \) and \( \exists x^* \in X^* \) such that \( x^* \in A(x') \cap ( -B(x' - x) ) \), that is, \( x^* \in A(x') \) and \( x^* \in B(x' - x) = B_1(x - x') \). This implies \( x \in (A^{-1} + B_1^{-1})(x^*) \). Accordingly, \( R(A^{-1} + B_1^{-1}) = X \). By using Corollary 2.7 of \([9]\) we deduce that \( A^{-1} \) is maximally monotone, hence \( A \) is maximally monotone. \( \square \)
Remark 3 The implication 3) \( \Rightarrow \) 1) does not hold in general if the operator \( B \) is not single-valued. For example, consider the operators \( A \) and \( B \) defined on \( \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
A(x) = \begin{cases} 
\{1\}, & x > 0 \\
\{0\}, & x = 0 \\
\{-1\}, & x < 0 
\end{cases} \quad B(x) = \begin{cases} 
\{x+1\}, & x > 0 \\
\{-1\}, & x = 0 \\
\{x-1\}, & x < 0 
\end{cases}.
\]

Then \( A \) is monotone but not maximally monotone, \( B \) is maximally monotone and strictly monotone, with \( \varphi_B \) finite-valued. To see this, it is enough to show that for given \( (x,x^*) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) it holds \( \inf_{(y,y^*) \in \text{Graph}(B)} (x-y)(x^*-y^*) > -\infty \). For this to hold, it is enough to have \( \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (x-y)(x^*+s-y) > -\infty \), for \( s \in \{-1,1\} \). The latter is obviously true since we have the infimum of a quadratic function in \( y \) with positive leading coefficient. Hence \( \varphi_B \) is finite-valued. For every \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) we set \( x' = x \). We see that statement 3) holds since \( B(0) = [-1,1] \) while \( A(x) \) is one of the sets \( \{-1\}, \{0\} \) and \( \{1\} \). However, statement 1) does not hold.

The equivalence 1) \( \iff \) 3) in Proposition 3 generalizes the following characterization of maximality: a monotone operator \( A \) is maximally monotone if and only if for each \( x \in X \), there exists \( x' \in X \) such that \( 0 \in J(x' - x) + A(x') \). See for instance [6, p. 324].

3 Main Results

Our first main result gives conditions for a bifunction to be maximally monotone.

Theorem 2 Let \( F \) be a monotone bifunction such that \( F(x, \cdot) \) is convex and l.s.c., for each \( x \in X \). Then the following statements are equivalent.

1) \( F \) is an MMB.
2) \( G_{A^F} \) is a PMMB.
3) For every PMMB \( H \in \mathcal{B}_m(X) \) such that \( \Phi_H \) is finite-valued, there exists \( x_H \in X \) such that

\[
F(x_H,y) + H(x_H,y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in X.
\]

4) There exist a finite-valued \( H \in \mathcal{B}_d(X) \) and \( p \in X \) such that

(a) \( H(p, \cdot) \) is continuous affine,

(b) \( H(p,z) + H(z,p) < 0, \forall z \in X \setminus \{p\} \),

(c) For every \( (x_0,x_0^*) \in X \times X^* \) there exists \( \tilde{x} \in X \) satisfying

\[
F(\tilde{x},y) + H(x_0 - \tilde{x}, x_0 - y) - \langle y - \tilde{x}, x_0^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in X.
\]

Proof 1) \( \Rightarrow \) 2) Let \( F \) be an MMB. If we set \( F_1 = G_{A^F} \), then \( F_1 \in \mathcal{B}_m(X) \). By Proposition 1, \( A^{F_1} = A^F \), thus \( F_1 \) is an MMB. By Proposition 2, \( F_1 \) is a PMMB.

2) \( \Rightarrow \) 3) Let \( H \) be as in statement 3). Setting again \( F_1 = G_{A^F} \), by Proposition 2 both \( F_1 \) and \( H \) are MMB. Since \( A^{F_1} = A^F \), the operators \( A^F \) and \( A^H \) are maximally monotone. In addition, \( \varphi_{A^{F_1}} = \Phi_H \) is finite-valued.

Let \( B \) be the operator defined by \( B(x) = -A^H(-x) \). It can be easily seen that \( B \) is maximally monotone, and \( \varphi_B(x,x^*) = \varphi_{A^{F_1}}(-x, -x^*) \). Using implication a) \( \Rightarrow \) b) of Theorem 1 we obtain that

\[
(0,0) = (w,w^*) + (v,v^*)
\]
for some \((w,w^*) \in \text{Graph}(A^T)\) and \((v,v^*) \in \text{Graph}(-B)\). Then \(w^* + v^* = 0\), with \(w^* \in A^T(w)\), \(v^* \in A^H(-v)\) and \(w = -v\). Thus,
\[
\langle y - w, w^* \rangle \leq F(w,y), \quad \forall y \in X
\]
\[
\langle y - v, v^* \rangle \leq H(-v,y), \quad \forall y \in X.
\]

Adding the two inequalities and setting \(x_H = w\) we obtain
\[
F(x_H, y) + H(x_H, y) \geq \langle y - x_H, w^* + v^* \rangle = 0, \quad \forall y \in X.
\]

3) \(\Rightarrow\) 4) We take \(p = 0\) and \(H = G_J\), where \(J\) is the duality mapping. We will show that \(H\) satisfies all conditions of 4).

By Proposition 1, \(H \in \mathcal{B}_J(X)\). Since
\[
H(x,y) = G_J(x,y) = \sup_{x^* \in J(x)} \langle y - x, x^* \rangle
\]
and the set \(J(x)\) is nonempty and bounded, \(H\) is obviously finite-valued. Moreover, for all \(y \in X\) the definition of \(G_J\) and (1) imply that \(G_J(0,y) = 0\) and \(G_J(y,0) = -\|y\|^2\). Hence, for \(y \neq 0\),
\[
H(0,y) + H(y, 0) = G_J(0,y) + G_J(y,0) < 0.
\]

Given that \(G_J(0,\cdot) = 0\), both conditions 4(a) and 4(b) are satisfied. In order to obtain that \(H\) satisfies also condition 4(c), given \((x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*\), let us consider \(T : X \rightrightarrows X^*\) defined by
\[
T(x) = J(x + x_0) - x_0 = \tau_{(x_0, x_0^*)}(J)(x)
\]
and set \(\tilde{H} = G_T\). Then \(T\) is a maximally monotone operator, so \(\tilde{H}\) is a PMMB. We know that \(\Phi_{\tilde{H}} = \Phi_{G_T} = \varphi_T\). Hence, by relation (2), \(\Phi_{\tilde{H}}\) is finite-valued.

From 3) we obtain that there exists \(\bar{x} \in X\) such that
\[
F(\bar{x},y) + \tilde{H}(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in X. \quad (6)
\]

To conclude, let us calculate \(\tilde{H}(x,y)\) for \((x,y) \in X \times X^*\). Since \(J(-x) = -J(x)\) for all \(x \in X\), we obtain \(T(x) = -J(x_0 - x) - x_0^*\). It follows that
\[
\tilde{H}(x,y) = \sup_{v^* \in J(x)} \langle y - x, v^* \rangle = \sup_{v^* \in -J(x_0 - x) - x_0^*} \langle y - x, v^* \rangle. \quad (7)
\]

We set \(x^* = -v^* - x_0^*\). Then \(v^* \in -J(x_0 - x) - x_0^*\) if and only if \(x^* \in J(x_0 - x)\). Hence (7) gives
\[
\tilde{H}(x,y) = \sup_{x^* \in (x_0 - x)} \langle y - x, -x^* - x_0^* \rangle
\]
\[
= \sup_{x^* \in (x_0 - x)} \langle (x_0 - y) - (x_0 - x), x^* \rangle - \langle y - x, x_0^* \rangle
\]
\[
= G_J(x_0 - x, x_0 - y) - \langle y - x, x_0^* \rangle.
\]

Then (6) becomes
\[
F(\bar{x},y) + G_J(x_0 - \bar{x}, x_0 - y) - \langle y - \bar{x}, x_0^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in X,
\]
that is, 4) holds.
4) $\Rightarrow$ 1) Let $H$ and $p$ be as in 4). Since $H(p, \cdot) : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous affine, we have that $A^H(p)$ is singleton. By setting $\{p^*\} = A^H(p)$, for every $(y, y^\ast) \in \text{Graph}(A^H) \setminus \{(p, p^*)\}$, it holds that $y \neq p$ so

$$<(p - y, p^* - y^*)> = -<(y - p, p^*)> - <p - y, y^*> \geq -[H(p, y) + H(y, p)] > 0. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, given $(x_0, x_0^\ast) \in X \times X^*$, by considering $\tilde{x}$ as in statement 4(c) we have

$$F(\tilde{x}, y) + H(x_0 - \tilde{x}, x_0 - y) - <y - \tilde{x}, x_0^\ast> \geq 0, \forall y \in X.$$  

We define the function $g$ on $X$ by $g(y) = H(x_0 - \tilde{x}, x_0 - y) - <y - \tilde{x}, x_0^\ast>$. Since $H \in \mathcal{R}_f(X)$, $g$ is convex and l.s.c.; it is also finite-valued by assumption 4). Obviously it satisfies $F(\tilde{x}, y) + g(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in X$, and $F(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) + g(\tilde{x}) = 0$. Hence, $0 \in \partial(F(\tilde{x}, \cdot) + g(\cdot))(\tilde{x})$. By the subdifferential sum rule (see for instance [6]), $0 \in \partial F(\tilde{x}, \cdot) + \partial g(\cdot)(\tilde{x})$. Hence, there exists $x^\ast \in X^*$ such that $x^\ast \in \partial F(\tilde{x}, \cdot)(\tilde{x}) = A^F(\tilde{x})$ and $-x^\ast \in \partial g(\tilde{x})$. The last inclusion yields for every $y \in X$,

$$H(x_0 - \tilde{x}, x_0 - y) - <y - \tilde{x}, x_0^\ast> \geq <y - \tilde{x}, -x^\ast>$$

or

$$H(x_0 - \tilde{x}, x_0 - y) \geq <(x_0 - y) - (x_0 - \tilde{x}), x^\ast - x_0^\ast>.$$  

Thus, $x^\ast - x_0^\ast \in A^H(x_0 - \tilde{x})$. Consequently,

$$(x_0, x_0^\ast) = (\tilde{x}, x^\ast) + (x_0 - \tilde{x}, x_0^\ast - x^\ast) \in \text{Graph}(A^F) + \text{Graph}(-A^H). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, in view of Remark 1, we have obtained that $A^F$ and $A^H$ satisfy all assumptions (see (8) and (9) above) necessary to conclude that $A^F$ is a maximally monotone operator. This is equivalent to saying that $F$ is an MMB, so 1) holds.  

From the previous results we obtain the following.

**Corollary 1** Let $F : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone bifunction and let $B : X \to X^*$ be a maximally monotone, single-valued and strictly monotone operator such that $\phi_B$ is finite-valued. Then $F$ is maximally monotone if, and only if, for every $\lambda > 0$ and for every $x_0 \in X$, there exists $x_\lambda \in X$ such that

$$\lambda F(x_\lambda, y) + \langle y - x_\lambda, B(x_\lambda - x_0) \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in X. \quad (10)$$

**Proof** ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that $F$ is an MMB. Given $x_0 \in X$ fixed, consider the bifunction $H(x, y) = <y - x, B(x - x_0)>$. It is clear that $H \in \mathcal{R}_\mu(X)$. Also, $A^H(x) = \{B(x - x_0)\} = \tau_{(-x_0, 0)}B(x)$. Accordingly, $A^H$ is a maximally monotone operator, so $H$ is a PMMB. In addition, from $\Phi_H = \phi_B^H = \Phi_{\tau_{(-x_0, 0)}B}$ and relation (2) we deduce that $\Phi_H$ is finite-valued.

Set $F_1 = G_A^F$. Then $F_1 \in \mathcal{R}_\mu(X)$ and $A^{F_1} = A^F$, so $F_1$ is maximally monotone. For each $\lambda > 0$, from the equivalence (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) of the Theorem 2 applied to the bifunctions $\lambda F_1$ and $H$, we deduce that there exists $x_\lambda \in X$ such that

$$\lambda F_1(x_\lambda, y) + H(x_\lambda, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in X,$$

that is,

$$\lambda F_1(x_\lambda, y) + \langle y - x_\lambda, B(x_\lambda - x_0) \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in X.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that $F_1 \leq F$. Therefore, for each $\lambda > 0$ we obtain that

$$\lambda F(x_\lambda, y) + \langle y - x_\lambda, B(x_\lambda - x_0) \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in X.$$
The inequality (10) is equivalent to $-B(x_{\lambda} - x) \in A^\lambda F(x_{\lambda})$. Hence, given $\lambda > 0$, for each $x \in X$ there exists $x_{\lambda} \in X$ such that $0 \in A^\lambda F(x_{\lambda}) + B(x_{\lambda} - x)$. By the implication $3) \implies 1)$ of Proposition 3, we have that $\lambda F$ is an MMB, for each $\lambda > 0$ fixed. In particular, $F$ is an MMB.

Corollary 1 is a generalization of Proposition 2.6 obtained in [5].

We finish by observing that the statements 3) and 4) of the Theorem 2 and the Corollary 1 establish the existence of solutions to equilibrium problems obtained by perturbing the bifunction $F$ according to a choice of a suitable bifunction $H$ for each case.
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