The potential of *Asystasia intrusa* weed of Acanthaceae family as a cover crop in oil palm plantations
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Abstract. Satriawan H, Fuady Z, Ernawitia. 2020. The potential of *Asystasia intrusa* weed as a cover crop in oil palm plantations. *Biodiversitas* 21: 5710-5717. Weeds generally found in oil palm plantations, one of which is dominant is *Asystasia intrusa*. This weed has begun to be used as a cover crop on oil palm land because it is assumed to have a beneficial effect. The purpose of this research is to explore the potential of *Asystasia intrusa* in growing as a cover crop on oil palm plantations. The experimental arrangement used was split-plot design. Oil palm plan’s ages were used as the main plot, while the spacing plant (*Asystasia intrusa*) as the subplots. Experiments were done in triplicate. The results showed that *Asystasia intrusa* has the potential to be used as a cover crop in oil palm plantations, since it meets several requirements, such as quickly covering the land (12 WAP), fast decomposing (30-60 days), tolerance to shade. This was indicated by the growth percentage of 97.56%, containing nutrients N (1.65-1.77%), P (0.29%), and K (4.6-4.97%), as biomass (0.9 t C/ha/year) and soil carbon stocks (39.52-41.16 t/ha/year). The studied weed also has the ability to increase soil carbon stock up to 119%.
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INTRODUCTION

The oil palm is recorded as the largest source of foreign exchange in Indonesia with a value of $ 14.7 billion in 2016, which then increased to $ 18.23 billion in 2018 (BPS 2018). These were obtained from 9 million ha of oil palm plantation area in 2010 (Putra et al. 2012), which then increased to 12.3 million ha with CPO production of 35.3 million tons (Directorate General of Estate Crops 2017). In Aceh Province, the area of oil palm plantation was 393,230 ha, with a proportion of 50.41% small and 49.59% private holder plantations (BKPM 2017).

In general, oil palm plantations have nuisance plants called weeds. Satriawan and Fuady (2019) stated that the most common weed species found in oil palm plantations is *Asystasia intrusa*. The weeds belong to the same family as *Asystasia gangetica* (L.) T. Anderson. *A. gangetica* serves as cover plant in oil palm land surface since it can improve the soil capacity to hold water (Yahiya, 2010). This is in accordance with the characteristics of its root fibers, which can increase soil pore space, especially the subsoil which affects groundwater storage. Thus, the presence of *Asystasia* weeds which serve as oil palm land cover, decreases the risks of water shortage in the dry season. Thus, the presence of *Asystasia* weeds serving as oil palm land cover, minimizing water shortage in the dry season.

*A. gangetica*, with proper management, can be used as a cover crop in mature oil palm plantations. However, its advantages include the ability to grow well in soils with low fertility, shade tolerance (Samedani et al. 2013), and its capacity of rapid growth at a shade level of 90% (Asbur et al. 2016). The use of *A. gangetica* as cover crop has previously reported before (Adetula 2004), and it has been reported to contain several nutrients, such as N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn in plant tissue (Odhavra et al. 2007). Furthermore, it is used as green manure for peanut cultivation (Junedi 2014). The results showed that *A. gangetica* was able to increase 43.7% N, 112.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and 162.6% K<sub>2</sub>O nutrient contents in the soil (Asbur et al. 2015). The ability of *A. gangetica* to increase the stability and percent of soil aggregates formed in laboratory-scale also has been reported (Junedi et al. 2015).

The use of certain weed groups as cover crops needs to meet several conditions, such as the ability to propagated using simple techniques, rapid growth to cover the land in a short time, produce lots of leaves and branches, grow well in soil conditions with low fertility levels, easily adapt to the environment without competing in absorbing nutrients with the parent plants (Department of Agriculture 2013), produce high biomass to increase soil organic matter through the stem, twig, and leaf litter (Satriawan and Fuady 2014), increase nitrogen supply, maintain nutrient balance, and improve soil structure (Kruidhof et al. 2009). Therefore, the present study was carried out to evaluate the potency of *Asystasia intrusa* grows as cover crop in oil palm plantation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were carried out in Almuslim University, Bireun, Indonesia and the community field oil palm plantations planted in 2012 (8 years old), 2013 (7 years old), and 2014 (6 years old) (5°06′91″N, 96°75′13″E,
150-200 m a.s.l.), South Peusangan subdistrict, Bireuen Regency, Aceh, Indonesia. The dry weight observation, the analysis of plant tissue and soil chemical properties were carried out at the Almuslim University Integrated Agriculture Laboratory, Bireuen, Indonesia. The experimental arrangement used a split-plot design, i.e., the oil palm plants' ages were used on the main plot, while the spacing strategy of *Asystasia intrusa* was utilized on the subplots. The main consisted of 8, 7, and 6 years plants, while the subplots featured the spacing strategies of 10×10 cm, 20×20 cm, and 30×30 cm, and each treatment was repeated 3 times.

### Implementation

Before planting *Asystasia intrusa*, the soil was turned over in the tillage layer about 20 cm above, then harrowed, and leveled with a hoe, this step was carried out 2 weeks before planting. After the soil cultivation was completed, experimental plots were made with a size of 2.5×2 m. Then, the *Asystasia intrusa* were planted between rows of oil palms using stem cuttings of 15 cm or two segments, and planted according to the spacing treatment.

### Parameter

The parameters observed were: (i) the growth percentage carried out on 4, 8, and 12 weeks after planting (WAP). (ii) The land coverage percentage carried out by calculating the ability of *Asystasia intrusa* to spread across the soil surface at 4, 8, and 12 WAP using the 0.5 m x 0.5 m square method, which had small holes with a size of 10 cm x 10 cm to represent the area covered, then calculated using the equation as follows: % KPT = A/B x 100%, where A = number of holes covered by *Asystasia intrusa*; B = the total number of holes. (iii) The plant height (cm) from the age of 2-20 WAP. 4) The number of branches per plant from the age of 8-20 WAP. 5) The number of leaves per plant. 6) Measurement of the total leaf area per plant (cm²) using the gravimetric method were conducted as follows: (i) leaf patterns (leaf replicas) were drawn on a plain paper; (ii) the replica of the leaf was weighed using analytical scales; (iii) make 10 cm x 10 cm paper pieces, then weigh them; (iv) calculate the leaf area using the formula: Leaf area = (weight of leaf replica/paper weight 10 x 10 cm) x 100 cm². 7) The leaf area index, using the formula: (total leaf area * number of leaves/number of leaves)/100. 8) The dry weight (g) obtained from all plant parts that had been dried using an oven at 80°C for 48 hours. The dry weights were measured from 1 m x 1 m sample plots, for example, the dry weight per experimental plot was 100 g x 5 m² = 1000 g/plot.

The decomposition rate is calculated from the depreciation of the weight of the decomposed plant material in one unit time using the equation: \( R = \frac{(W_0 - W_t) / t}{t} \), where: \( R \) = decomposition rate (g/day), \( W_0 \) = initial biomass dry weight (g), \( W_t \) = dry weight of biomass after observation time \( t \) (g), \( t \) = time of observation (days). Then converted to units of kg/ha to determine the total biomass weight of *Asystasia intrusa* in the oil palm plantation.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth percentage

The ANOVA test results on the growth percentage of *Asystasia intrusa* at all ages of oil palm showed significant differences in all groups of oil palm planting years. This showed that *Asystasia intrusa* was able to grow in low and high shade conditions.

Table 1 showed that *Asystasia intrusa* met the requirements as a cover crop, due to its high development rate, at 4 WAP it had produced a growth percentage of 60-71% in oil palm stands aged 8 and 7 years, and 59-63% aged 6 years. Furthermore, the growth percentage of *Asystasia intrusa* with a spacing of 30 × 30 cm was significantly different from that of 10 × 10 cm and 20 × 20 cm. On 8 year old stands, the treatment at the spacing of 10 ×10 cm, 20 × 20 cm, and 30 × 30 cm produced increases of 25.53%, 25.38%, and 28.91%, respectively. The trend of increasing growth rate also occurred in 2013 and 2014 stands for all spacing with a growth percentage of 9.54-15.44%. Further test analysis also showed a significant difference in the growth percentage, where the spacing of 30 × 30 cm at all planting ages of oil palm produced the highest growth.

| Observation time | Spacing of *A. intrusa* (cm) | Age of oil palm (years) | 8 | 7 | 6 |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4 WAP            | 10 × 10                      | 65.02<sup>aA</sup>     | 62.75<sup>aA</sup> | 59.38<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 67.13<sup>bA</sup>     | 65.89<sup>bA</sup> | 61.22<sup>bA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 71.45<sup>bC</sup>     | 70.34<sup>bC</sup> | 63.79<sup>bA</sup> |
| 8 WAP            | 10 × 10                      | 80.32<sup>aA</sup>     | 70.29<sup>aA</sup> | 68.55<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 84.17<sup>bB</sup>     | 73.67<sup>bB</sup> | 67.21<sup>bA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 92.11<sup>cC</sup>     | 79.77<sup>cC</sup> | 69.88<sup>cA</sup> |
| 12 WAP           | 10 × 10                      | 93.44<sup>aA</sup>     | 86.33<sup>aA</sup> | 79.25<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 95.1<sup>aA</sup>      | 85.99<sup>aA</sup> | 80.11<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 97.56<sup>bB</sup>     | 92.45<sup>bB</sup> | 90.23<sup>bB</sup> |

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter notation in the same column and row are not significantly different on the 5% LSD test. Lowercase notation is read horizontally, uppercase notation is read vertically. (WAP=weeks after planting. LSD= least significant difference).
The greater development of *Asystasia intrusa* in the 30 × 30 cm spacing compared to other treatments at all planting years was due to the wider range of growth factors in the initial stages, such as nutrients, water, light, and air. This condition showed that at closer spacing, there was competition in receiving sunlight from the beginning of the planting, affecting the amount of photosynthate produced to be used in root development. When the roots had grown, there was more competition in water extraction. Therefore, competition for sunlight and water affected the shoots' growth.

**Land coverage percentage**

The ANOVA test results and the further tests showed that the percentage of *Asystasia intrusa* planted in oil palm plantations aged 8, 7, and 6 years were significantly different, due to the treatment at each spacing. This showed that the cover crop percentage was influenced by the differences in spacing, not by the age of oil palms (Table 2). The initial stage of *Asystasia intrusa* growth did not show satisfying land cover capability, both in 2012, 2013, and 2014 planting years. The land cover percentage at 4 WAP in these years was around 10.93-29.23%. The highest cover percentage was produced by the spacing of 10 × 10 cm in oil palm stands in 2012 planting year. A significant increase in the land cover percentage occurred at the age of 8 WAP, while in 2013 the spacing of 30 × 30 cm and 20 × 20 cm produced the highest increase of 83.80 and 62.73%, with an initial land cover of 10.93%. The observations at the age of 8 WAP showed that the land cover percentage of *Asystasia intrusa* at all spacing in all oil palm planting ages reached a maximum of > 100%. The result also showed that the highest land cover percentage was produced at a spacing of 10 cm × 10 cm in all oil palm planting years.

The greater cover percentage of *Asystasia intrusa* in the 10 × 10 cm spacing treatment was related to a large number of individuals per unit area. This was related to the canopy produced with a larger population, covering the empty space between the plants. However, the land cover percentage of *Asystasia intrusa* was still much lower than that of *Arachis pintoi*, which reached 100% at the age of 12 WAP (Purba and Rahutomo 2000; Evrizal 2003), *Nephrolepis biserata* which covered 94.16% of the land surface (Ariyanti et al. 2016), and the *Asystasia gangetica* which reached 100% (Asbur et al. 2018).

The land cover percentage was an indicator that showed the speed of plants in covering the surface of cultivated land (Asbur et al. 2018). Alonso-Ayuso et al. (2014; 2018) stated that the existence of ground cover crops influenced the increasing soil moisture and suppressing the weed growths that were detrimental to staple crops. However, the speed of land cover crop was strongly influenced by the growth percentage, plant height/length, number of branches, and leaves (Suryana et al. 2019; Kaye et al. 2019).

**Plant height**

The height was the common indicator for studying plant growth, since they were easier to observe. For plant height, observations were made at the age of 2-WAP. The further analysis at 6 years showed that *Asystasia intrusa* spacing had an effect on plant height at 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 WAP, while plant height at 2 WAP did not produce a significant difference. The uniform plant height patterns were used at 7 and 8 years, while all spacing had a significant effect on the growth of *Asystasia intrusa*. In general, the spacing with the most significant effect was 30 cm × 30 cm (Table 3).

The height increase of *Asystasia intrusa* showed that a wider spacing, the competition between individual plants to access light, nutrients, and water, optimally affected plant development (Rusdiana et al. 2000). The results indicated that *A. gangetica* served as shade-tolerant plant, since there was no etiolation even at a tighter spacing. Based on Table 2, there was no significant difference in plant height.

Table 2. Land cover percentage of *Asystasia intrusa* under oil palm stands

| Observation time | Spacing of *A. intrusa* (cm) | Age of oil palm (years) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | 4 WAP                        | 8          | 7          | 6          |
| 10 × 10          | 29.23<sup>C</sup>           | 23.33<sup>bc</sup> | 21.14<sup>C</sup> |
| 20 × 20          | 18.99<sup>bB</sup>          | 15.51<sup>ab</sup> | 14.34<sup>bB</sup> |
| 30 × 30          | 15.63<sup>Aa</sup>          | 10.93<sup>aA</sup> | 11.67<sup>aA</sup> |
| 8 WAP            | 10 × 10                      | 37.41<sup>cC</sup> | 32.12<sup>cC</sup> | 30.95<sup>cC</sup> |
|                  | 10 × 20                      | 29.71<sup>bB</sup> | 25.24<sup>bB</sup> | 21.02<sup>bB</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 23.45<sup>Aa</sup> | 20.09<sup>aA</sup> | 16.82<sup>aA</sup> |
| 12 WAP           | 10 × 10                      | 82.59<sup>Aa</sup> | 78.89<sup>aA</sup> | 74.67<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 66.28<sup>bB</sup> | 62.12<sup>bB</sup> | 59.51<sup>bB</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 59.76<sup>aA</sup> | 55.54<sup>aA</sup> | 51.79<sup>aA</sup> |

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter notation in the same column and row are not significantly different on the 5% LSD test. Lowercase notation is read horizontally, uppercase notation is read vertically. (WAP=weeks after planting. LSD= least significant difference).

Table 3. Height growth of *Asystasia intrusa* under oil palm stands

| Observation time | Spacing of *A. intrusa* (cm) | Age of oil palm (years) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | 2 WAP                        | 8          | 7          | 6          |
| 10 × 10          | 16.76<sup>aA</sup>          | 16.11<sup>aA</sup> | 15.33<sup>aA</sup> |
| 20 × 20          | 19.55<sup>bB</sup>          | 17.87<sup>aA</sup> | 17.21<sup>aA</sup> |
| 30 × 30          | 22.98<sup>bB</sup>          | 21.12<sup>bB</sup> | 20.34<sup>bB</sup> |
| 4 WAP            | 10 × 10                      | 20.05<sup>aA</sup> | 19.22<sup>aA</sup> | 16.79<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 22.13<sup>aA</sup> | 20.65<sup>aA</sup> | 19.13<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 25.12<sup>aA</sup> | 22.97<sup>aA</sup> | 22.86<sup>aA</sup> |
| 8 WAP            | 10 × 10                      | 24.22<sup>aA</sup> | 21.33<sup>aA</sup> | 20.26<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 26.89<sup>aA</sup> | 23.99<sup>aA</sup> | 23.11<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 29.06<sup>aA</sup> | 27.85<sup>aA</sup> | 26.13<sup>aA</sup> |
| 12 WAP           | 10 × 10                      | 35.01<sup>aA</sup> | 33.29<sup>aA</sup> | 31.57<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 39.33<sup>aA</sup> | 36.76<sup>aA</sup> | 35.24<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 40.59<sup>aA</sup> | 39.55<sup>aA</sup> | 39.61<sup>aA</sup> |
| 16 WAP           | 10 × 10                      | 46.67<sup>aA</sup> | 43.11<sup>aA</sup> | 42.88<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 50.53<sup>aA</sup> | 48.23<sup>aA</sup> | 46.37<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 59.79<sup>aC</sup> | 53.41<sup>aC</sup> | 51.02<sup>aC</sup> |
| 20 WAP           | 10 × 10                      | 56.82<sup>aA</sup> | 55.77<sup>aA</sup> | 53.99<sup>aA</sup> |
|                  | 20 × 20                      | 60.13<sup>bA</sup> | 58.53<sup>bA</sup> | 58.22<sup>bA</sup> |
|                  | 30 × 30                      | 65.34<sup>bA</sup> | 64.19<sup>bA</sup> | 63.76<sup>bA</sup> |

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter notation in the same column and row are not significantly different on the 5% LSD test. Lowercase notation is read horizontally, uppercase notation is read vertically. (WAP=weeks after planting. LSD= least significant difference).
Number of branches

The spacing setting had a significant effect on the growth of *Asystasia intrusa* branches, both in oil palm plantations at the ages of 6, 7, and 8 years (Table 4). The spacing of $30 \times 30$ cm produced a higher number of branches compared to those of $10 \times 10$ cm and $20 \times 20$ cm at all ages.

Number of *Asystasia intrusa* branches per plant increased with a rise in the plant age (Table 4). At 2-12 WAP, the increase in the number of *Asystasia intrusa* branches per plant at all spacing and age was almost the same. However, after 16-20 WAP, it started showing differences. This might be due to tight spacing, the competition for light, water, and nutrients were can not be avoided. Therefore the plant growth start to inhibited, while at sparse spacing, the competitions were less, thus, making plant grow better.

Number of leaves, total leaf area and leaf area index

In plant analysis, the leaf parameter was very important to be observed, since it related to the overall growth. The leaf organ was the site for photosynthesis which produced photosynthate for the needs of other plant tissues (Asbur et al. 2018). The variance analysis showed that the number and total area of *Asystasia intrusa* leaves were significantly different, due to the spacing at all ages, where $30 \times 30$ cm produced the largest number of leaves. The number and total area of *Asystasia intrusa* leaves in 7-year-old oil palm plantations were greater and wider than that of 6 and 8 year-old. This showed that *Asystasia intrusa* had the ability to grow in highly shaded conditions, even when their number and total area of leaves were less (Table 5).

Dry weight and decomposition rate

The dry weight was determined from the root and shoot, which were the indicator for plant growth. Dry weight closely related to plant’s nutrient absorption and growth performance. The greater dry weight recorded indicating optimum nutrient absorption and normal plant organ growth (Fageira and Moreira, 2011). The analysis of the dry weight of *Asystasia intrusa* per treatment plot was shown in Table 6. The ANOVA results showed that the spacing had a significant effect on the dry weight of *Asystasia intrusa* at the ages of 4, 12, and 20 WAP on 6, 7, and 8-year-old oil palm stands. The spacing of $10 \times 10$ cm produced a higher dry weight per experimental plot than that of $20 \times 20$ cm and $30 \times 30$ cm. The high dry weight of *Asystasia intrusa* per experimental plot at a spacing of $10 \times 10$ cm was caused by the high number and area of leaves (Table 5). Haryantini and Santoso (2001) stated that plants with better leaf area have higher ability to photosynthesize optimally, due to the wider surface area receiving sunlight. Therefore, the photosynthesis results in the form of carbohydrates affected the increase in cell size, i.e., the additional dry weight.

The decomposition rate of *Asystasia intrusa* was influenced by the condition of oil palm stands at each age. In this case, the highest and fastest decomposition was found in 6, followed by 7 and 8-year-old oil palm stands. In this study, *Asystasia intrusa* was not easily decomposed. In 30 days, only 73% of the total biomass disintegrated at a rate of 1.22% per day. In 60 days, as much as 94.6% of its biomass was decomposed. The lower results were obtained in the stands aged 7 and 8 years, namely 91.4% and 85.6% (Table 7). This indicated that the increase in oil palm age inhibited the decomposition rate of plant litter underneath. This phenomenon was interesting when related to the sustainability of organic deposits in maintaining soil fertility. The rate of decomposition of *Asystasia intrusa* is lower than that of *Nepheleopsis bisserrata* (3.2%) but the total disintegration after 60 days were similar (Ariyanti et al. 2014; 2015).

### Table 4. Number of *Asystasia intrusa* branches under oil palm stands

| Observation time | Spacing of A. intrusa (cm) | Age of oil palm (years) |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                  | 8 WAP                     | 7                        | 6                        |
| 10 x 10          | 3.68<sup>a</sup>          | 3.58<sup>a</sup>         | 2.98<sup>a</sup>         |
| 20 x 20          | 3.88<sup>a</sup>          | 3.78<sup>AB</sup>        | 3.38<sup>b</sup>         |
| 30 x 30          | 4.38<sup>a</sup>          | 4.18<sup>b</sup>         | 3.88<sup>c</sup>         |
| 10 WAP           | 4.48<sup>a</sup>          | 4.48<sup>a</sup>         | 3.88<sup>a</sup>         |
| 20 x 20          | 5.38<sup>b</sup>          | 4.98<sup>b</sup>         | 4.68<sup>b</sup>         |
| 30 x 30          | 5.68<sup>b</sup>          | 5.88<sup>c</sup>         | 4.98<sup>b</sup>         |
| 12 WAP           | 5.88<sup>b</sup>          | 5.58<sup>c</sup>         | 4.48<sup>a</sup>         |
| 20 x 20          | 7.38<sup>b</sup>          | 6.68<sup>b</sup>         | 5.38<sup>c</sup>         |
| 30 x 30          | 7.88<sup>b</sup>          | 6.98<sup>b</sup>         | 5.88<sup>c</sup>         |
| 14 WAP           | 6.98<sup>b</sup>          | 6.48<sup>b</sup>         | 6.28<sup>c</sup>         |
| 20 x 20          | 9.88<sup>b</sup>          | 8.88<sup>b</sup>         | 8.58<sup>c</sup>         |
| 30 x 30          | 10.18<sup>b</sup>         | 9.18<sup>b</sup>         | 8.98<sup>c</sup>         |
| 16 WAP           | 9.28<sup>b</sup>          | 8.98<sup>b</sup>         | 8.68<sup>b</sup>         |
| 20 x 20          | 12.88<sup>b</sup>         | 10.68<sup>b</sup>        | 10.28<sup>b</sup>        |
| 30 x 30          | 14.28<sup>c</sup>         | 11.58<sup>b</sup>        | 10.88<sup>b</sup>        |
| 18 WAP           | 12.38<sup>c</sup>         | 11.78<sup>c</sup>        | 11.28<sup>c</sup>        |
| 20 x 20          | 16.48<sup>c</sup>         | 14.28<sup>c</sup>        | 13.98<sup>c</sup>        |
| 30 x 30          | 18.78<sup>c</sup>         | 17.18<sup>c</sup>        | 16.78<sup>c</sup>        |
| 20 WAP           | 15.28<sup>c</sup>         | 14.18<sup>c</sup>        | 13.88<sup>c</sup>        |
| 20 x 20          | 19.38<sup>c</sup>         | 17.98<sup>c</sup>        | 16.98<sup>c</sup>        |
| 30 x 30          | 22.68<sup>c</sup>         | 20.28<sup>c</sup>        | 19.18<sup>c</sup>        |

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter notation in the same column and row are not significantly different on the 5% LSD test. Lowercase notation is read horizontally, uppercase notation is read vertically. (WAP=weeks after planting. LSD= least significant difference).

### Table 5. Number of leaves, total leaf area, and the index of *Asystasia intrusa* under oil palm stands

| Observation time | Spacing of A. intrusa (cm) | Age of oil palm (years) |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                  | 8                        | 7                        | 6                        |
| Number of leaf (sheet) | 10 x 10          | 23.48<sup>a</sup>        | 28.28<sup>a</sup>        | 30.18<sup>a</sup>        |
|                  | 20 x 20                  | 27.78<sup>b</sup>        | 38.18<sup>b</sup>        | 39.68<sup>b</sup>        |
|                  | 30 x 30                  | 31.98<sup>c</sup>        | 45.58<sup>c</sup>        | 48.28<sup>c</sup>        |
| Total leaf area (cm²) | 10 x 10          | 236.34<sup>a</sup>       | 309.28<sup>a</sup>       | 357.28<sup>a</sup>       |
|                  | 20 x 20                  | 330.78<sup>b</sup>       | 489.28<sup>b</sup>       | 509.26<sup>b</sup>       |
|                  | 30 x 30                  | 408.01<sup>c</sup>       | 583.31<sup>c</sup>       | 626.63<sup>c</sup>       |
| Leaf area index   | 10 x 10                  | 2.36<sup>b</sup>         | 3.09<sup>c</sup>         | 3.57<sup>c</sup>         |
|                  | 20 x 20                  | 0.83<sup>a</sup>         | 1.22<sup>b</sup>         | 1.27<sup>b</sup>         |
|                  | 30 x 30                  | 0.45<sup>a</sup>         | 0.65<sup>a</sup>         | 0.70<sup>a</sup>         |

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter notation in the same column and row are not significantly different on the 5% LSD test. Lowercase notation is read horizontally, uppercase notation is read vertically. LSD: least significant difference.
Table 6. Dry weight of Asystasia intrusa under oil palm stands

| Observation time | Spacing of A. intrusa (cm) | Age of oil palm (years) | 8 | 7 | 6 |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4 WAP            | 10 x 10                     | 123.2ab                 | 138.9bc | 201.2bc |
|                  | 20 x 20                     | 101.1ab                 | 118.2ab | 149.1ab |
|                  | 30 x 30                     | 99.7ab                  | 105.6ab | 128.3ab |
| 8 WAP            | 10 x 10                     | 636.4abc                | 579.7bc | 557.2bc |
|                  | 20 x 20                     | 532.8abc                | 483.4bc | 409.6bc |
|                  | 30 x 30                     | 448.8abc                | 381.1ab | 326.6ab |
| 12 WAP           | 10 x 10                     | 982.30abc               | 734.10bc | 722.20bc |
|                  | 20 x 20                     | 799.40bc                | 612.30ab | 620.10ab |
|                  | 30 x 30                     | 689.50abc               | 521.10ab | 511.60ab |

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter notation in the same column and row are not significantly different on the 5% LSD test. Lowercase notation is read horizontally, uppercase notation is read vertically. (WAP=weeks after planting. LSD=least significant difference)

Furthermore, the biomass weight loss of Asystasia intrusa was greater than the oil palm fronds and other weeds such as Melastoma malabathricum L. Study showed that oil palm fronds and M. malabathricum took 14 months to decomposed with a biomass weight loss of 83.1% and 87.6%, respectively (Maswar, 2009). Furthermore, the research results of Sulistiyanto et al. (2005) in Central Kalimantan showed that forest biomass in mixed swamp and low pole forest required a longer decomposition time (18 months) than A. gangetica, with weight loss of 34.7% and 27.6%, respectively. Based on environmental conditions, temperature played an important role as a factor affecting the decomposition rate (Quideau et al. 2001, Hevias et al. 2003). In this situation, light intensity and air temperature played a higher role than air humidity.

Plant tissue nutrient content and potential carbon stocks

The ANOVA results on the nutrient content of Asystasia intrusa plant tissue obtained from the roots, stems, and leaves showed that the levels of C-organic, N, P, and K contents were influenced by plant parts. Our study showed that the highest C-organic was obtained in the roots, while the highest N, P, and K content were obtained in the leaves. However, the effect of oil palm stand age was not significantly different (Table 8).

Table 7. Decomposition rate of Asystasia intrusa under oil palm stands

| Age of oil palm | Initial weight (g) | Weight of 30 days (g) | Weight of 60 days (g) | Decomposition rate of 30 days (%/day) | Decomposition rate of 60 days (%/day) | Decomposed biomass of 30 days (%) | Decomposed biomass of 60 days (%) |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 8 years         | 50                 | 20.1a                 | 7.2a                  | 1.00a                                | 0.71a                                | 59.8a                            | 85.6a                            |
| 7 years         | 50                 | 17.3b                 | 4.3b                  | 1.09b                                | 0.76b                                | 65.4b                            | 91.4b                            |
| 6 years         | 50                 | 13.5c                 | 2.7c                  | 1.22c                                | 0.79c                                | 73c                              | 94.6c                            |

Note: The numbers followed by the same letter were not significantly different in the LSD (Least Significant Difference) test at α 5%.

Table 8. Nutrient content of Asystasia intrusa plant tissue under oil palm stands

| Nutrient (%) | Plant organs | Age of oil palm (years) | 8 | 7 | 6 |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| C-Organic    | Roots        | 50.23bc                 | 50.01bc | 48.34bc |
|              | Stems        | 46.13bc                 | 45.81bc | 43.84bc |
|              | Leaves       | 42.63abc                | 41.12ab | 40.04ab |
| N            | Roots        | 1.4a                    | 1.38ab | 1.38ab |
|              | Stems        | 1.8ab                   | 1.75ab | 1.77ab |
|              | Leaves       | 2.1abc                  | 2.6bc  | 1.8b  |
| P            | Roots        | 0.23ab                  | 0.23ab | 0.22ab |
|              | Stems        | 0.31ab                  | 0.32ab | 0.33ab |
|              | Leaves       | 0.33ab                  | 0.32ab | 0.33ab |
| K            | Roots        | 2.1a                    | 1.9a   | 1.8a   |
|              | Stems        | 5.8ab                   | 5.6ab  | 5.5ab  |
|              | Leaves       | 7.1c                    | 6.8bc  | 6.5c   |

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter notation in the same column and row are not significantly different on the 5% LSD test. Lowercase notation is read horizontally, uppercase notation is read vertically. LSD: least significant difference. C: carbon, N: nitrogen, P: phosphor; K: kalium/potassium
Besides the nutrient content of weeds, such as *N. biserrata*, it was known to contain C-organic, nitrogen, phosphate, and potassium at 35.63%, 4.02%, 0.27%, and 1.21%, respectively. Furthermore, *A. gangetica* contained 33.30% C-organic, 3.14% nitrogen, 0.22% phosphate, and 2.41% potassium. The *P. conjugatum* contained 35.71% C-organic, 2.61% nitrogen, 0.31% phosphate, 1.83% potassium, while *A. conyzoides* contained 37.23% C-organic, 2.49% nitrogen, 0.18% phosphate, and 2.12% potassium. This was different from the results of Ariyanti et al. (2016) in an oil palm plantation in South Lampung, which showed that *N. biserrata* contained 50.70% C-organic, 1.43% N, 0.17 P, and 1.67% K. Similarly, the results of Asbur et al. (2016) in South Lampung oil palm plantations showed that *A. gangetica* contained 48.90% C-organic, 1.90% N, 0.27% P, and 4.67% K. This indicated that the content of organic matter and the plant nutrients in different areas were varied and influenced by various environmental factors in the location where the weeds grew.

The carbon stock was the amount stored in an ecosystem at a certain time in the form of biomass, dead plants, or soil carbon (Agus et al. 2011). The *Asystasia intrusa* carbon stock was obtained on a 1 m x 1 m sample plot, from the plant biomass and soil. The carbon stock estimation on a land was obtained from the potential dry weight of biomass growing on it, which composed of 45%-50%. Therefore the ability of a plant to store carbon (as stock) was estimated from half the total dry weight of its biomass (Brown and Gaston 1996).

Therefore, carbon stock stored by each part of *Asystasia intrusa* biomass was almost half of the total dry weight (Figure 1). It also means that an increased amount of biomass in a plant rises the carbon stock in an agricultural system. Based on Figure 1, the *Asystasia intrusa* biomass was able to store 0.6-0.9 tonnes C/ha/year in plant tissue. The estimated biomass carbon stock of *Asystasia intrusa* was very low compared to that of oil palm fronds, *M. malabatricum*, and *Cycas* sp. Biomass carbon stock recorded in the smallholder plantations in peatland in Aceh was 9.4-12.2 ton/ha/year (Maswar, 2009; Maswar et al., 2011). While the biomass carbon stock in tropical Asian forests was 40-250 ton/ha (Lasco, 2002).

### Soil carbon stocks

Figure 2 showed that the land with *Asystasia intrusa* under an 8-year-old palm oil stand was able to increase soil carbon stock by 119%, 115%, and 95.6% at a depth of 0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, and 20-30 cm, respectively. In a 7-year-old oil palm stand, *Asystasia intrusa* was able to improve soil C organic content by 113%, 105.6%, and 104.5% at a soil depth of 0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, and 20-30 cm, respectively. Meanwhile, in a 6-year-old oil palm stand, there was an increase in C organic stock by 105.2%, 101.5%, and 110% at a depth of 0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, and 20-30 cm, respectively. This indicated that the presence of *Asystasia intrusa* increased carbon stock by almost 2 times for every depth profile. The increased soil carbon stock of *Asystasia intrusa* was due to the rise C organic content from 0.5% at a depth of 0-10 cm before planting to 1.3% after cultivating. Furthermore, at a depth of 10-20 cm and 20-30 cm, it increased from 0.4% and 0.45% before planting to 1.2% and 1.15% after cultivating. Shofiyati et al. (2010) and Ohkura et al. (2003) stated that soil carbon content was influenced by its physical properties (especially bulk density), and the vegetation type growing on it. Plants stored carbon from photosynthesis process to become the constituent materials of the tissue. However, when leaves, twigs, or the whole plant died, these materials then returned to the soil and decomposed. The decomposition process partially produced CO₂ gas, released again into the air, while some were retained in the ground (Robert 2001).

When the soil carbon stock for each depth was added up, the results were respectively 41.16, 40.7, and 39.52 tonnes/ha/year in oil palm stands aged 8, 7, and 6 years. Although this soil carbon stock was quite small, it was still in the same range as those in the tropical forests at the same depth, namely 5-180 tonnes/ha (IPCC, 1997). And also much larger than the carbon stock of oil palm plantations in the Paser Regency, East Kalimantan, which was only 0.1 tonnes/ha (Sugirahayu and Rusdiana 2011).

---

**Figure 1.** *Asystasia intrusa* biomass carbon stock

**Figure 2.** Soil carbon stock under oil palm
The increased carbon stock under oil palm stands due to *Asystasia inrarsa* improved soil physical and chemical properties, and also increased groundwater stocks. The absolute C organic content in biomass at a certain time was known as carbon stock (Ullumuddin et al. 2005). Meanwhile, half of the total CO$_2$ was absorbed by plants from the free air entering the soil through the decomposition of plant residues (litter), dead roots, and other organisms (Hikmat 2005; Ruddiman 2007).

In conclusion, *Asystasia inrarsa* has the potential to be used as ground cover crop in oil palm plantations. It met several requirements as ground cover crop, i.e. fast growth covering the land (12 WAP), fast decomposition rate (30-60 days), tolerant to shade which was indicated by the growth percentage of 97.56%, containing nutrients N (1.65-1.77%), P (0.29%), and K (4.6-4.97%), as biomass (0.9 ton C/ha/year) and soil carbon stocks (39.52-41.16 tonnes/ha/year. And also has the ability to increase soil carbon stock up to 119%.

The optimum spacing for planting *Asystasia inrarsa* as ground cover crop in oil palm plantations was 10 cm x 10 cm followed by 20 x 20 cm and 30 x 30 cm. Meanwhile, the number of leaves, their area index, and the total leaf area per plant at a spacing of 30 cm x 30 cm were higher than that of 20 cm x 20 cm and 10 cm x 10 cm.
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