Optimized algorithm in solid thyroid nodule elastography
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Abstract. The present study aimed to evaluate the reproducibility and accuracy of the optimized algorithm of shear-wave elastography (SWE) in diagnosing solid thyroid nodules. Two hundred and sixty-three solid thyroid nodules in 248 patients who underwent conventional ultrasound and SWE, respectively, by two operators were scheduled for fine-needle aspiration or surgery. Elasticity indices of the mean, minimum and maximum of nodules (EI) and thyroid parenchyma (Elnorm) were measured respectively in the same frame of elastographic images for three times by both operators. The intraobserver and interobserver reproducibility of the optimized algorithm were assessed by intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC). Diagnostic performance of the optimized algorithm was compared with that of conventional SWE measurements by receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Among a total of 243 nodules included, 121 were benign nodules and 122 were papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC). Intraobserver reliability for EI and Elr was nearly perfect (ICC>0.80). Interobserver agreement for MEANd, MAXd, MEANr and MAXr was nearly perfect (ICC>0.80). MAXd had the largest areas under the ROC curve which was 0.82. Compared with conventional SWE, the optimized algorithm of SWE shows better reproducibility and performance in diagnosing solid thyroid nodules.

Introduction

In recent years we have witnessed an increasing trend in the incidence of thyroid nodules (1). However, only about 5 to 15% of the nodules are malignant. The optimal imaging method is a common diagnostic tool in thyroid diseases. The accuracy of optimal imaging method in the diagnosis of thyroid diseases needs further improvement. These improvements include improving the sonographic features of both benign and malignant thyroid nodules (2,3).

Ultrasound elastography (USE) is a novel technology to qualitatively or quantitatively, measure the stiffness of the tissue that can offer valuable diagnostic information. This method is considered a promising tool in differential diagnostic of benign and malignant nodules. USE can be generally divided into two categories: Strain elastography and shear wave elastography (SWE). The former which emerged earlier needs an external force to generate tissue strain and has been proven to be helpful in the diagnosis of lesions in many organs such as the liver, breast and thyroid (4-7). This technology largely depends on the operator's experience which includes an interobserver reproducibility effect. SWE, which we used in this study, is a real-time elastography to measure the stiffness of the tissue qualitatively and quantitatively (8,9). This is because the external force applied in SWE is generated by the sound pulse of the SWE system, and thus it has good reliability and repeatability.

Recently, SWE is the focus of elastography research which has demonstrated higher performances in diagnosing breast and thyroid lesions compared with conventional US and other existing elastography used in prior studies. However, the clinical application of SWE in thyroid lesions remains controversial (10-13). On the other hand, a recent study (14) demonstrated that the lesion elasticity (stiffness) is effected by the compression put on the probe although which is not necessary. That was similarly found in our study. We found that the discrepancy in the optimized algorithm, difference value and ratio value between nodules and thyroid parenchyma acquired in the same frame of elastographic images, was much smaller than that of conventional elasticity indices (EIs).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the reproducibility and accuracy of the optimized algorithm of SWE in differentiating benign and malignant solid thyroid nodules.
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Patients and methods

Patients. From April 2016 to April 2017, 263 solid thyroid nodules in 248 patients (76 males and 152 females) were collected at the Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences and Sichuan Provincial People’s Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. These solid thyroid nodules underwent conventional US and SWE by an operator with 8 years of experience in thyroid US examination and one year experience in SWE. The second operator with less experience in both methods conducted SWE immediately after the former one and was blind to previous results. Then all the patients were scheduled for ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration (FNA). Nodules with malignant or suspicious cytopathology received surgery and part of the benign nodules (n=54) received surgery as well. Twenty of the 263 nodules were excluded due to unqualified cytopathologic results. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences and Sichuan Provincial People’s Hospital, China. Patients who participated in this research, signed the informed consent and had complete clinical data.

Conventional US examination. Conventional US was performed using a 4–15 MHz linear transducer (SuperLinear™SL 15-4) on the AIXPLORER system (Aixplorer, SuperSonic Imagine, Aix-en-Provence). This provided real-time assessment of tissues stiffness with the SWE color map qualitatively and elasticity modulus (Young’s modulus) quantitatively. Patients were positioned in a supine position with their necks bend back slightly over a pillow. The nodule size, depth (distance from the skin), location (isthmus or not) and calcification (yes or no) were observed and recorded by the first operator. Only the solid nodules with the size <30 mm and depth <25 mm and without macrocalcification were included in this study.

SWE examination. After receiving conventional US examination, patients underwent SWE in the same position by the same operator using the same transducer. Subsequently, SWE mode was activated and the region of interest (ROI) was applied on the longitudinal view of the nodule with maximum diameter (Fig. 1). ROI was the SWE color map box in which the blue area to red area represented lowest stiffness region to highest stiffness region with Young’s modulus ranging from 0-140 kPa and the target nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma was included. The probe was held for about 5 sec on the patient’s neck without applying any pressure with adequate amount of transducer gel on the skin above the nodule in order to stabilize the SWE images. Subsequently, the best frame with a reasonable color map covering the target region without filling defect of the saved images was selected to measure the mean, minimum and maximum (showed as Emean, Emin and Emax on the AIXPLORER system) of elasticity indices of the nodule (recorded as EInorm, including MEANnorm, MINnorm and MAXnorm) by two circular sampling volume (Q-Box™) respectively. The first Q-Box™ was placed in the target nodule with a size equal to the size of the whole nodule while the tissues outside the nodule were not included (eg. strap muscles, trachea). The second Q-Box™ with the same size of 2 mm was placed in the surrounding thyroid parenchyma. The EI and EInorm were measured and recorded for three times and before each measurement, the transducer was removed and reapplied. The average value of three measurements for EI and EInorm was recorded as EIavg (including MEANavg, MINavg and MAXavg) and EInorm_avg (including MEANnorm_avg, MINnorm_avg and MAXnorm_avg), respectively. The second operator repeated SWE examination immediately after the first operator and was blind to previous results.

Optimized algorithm. The optimized algorithm was the difference in the value of elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma in the same frame of SWE images (EId=EI-EInorm, including MEANd, MIn and MAXd and EId_avg=EIavg-EInorm_avg, including MEANd_avg, MINd_avg and MAXd_avg) and the ratio value of elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma measured in the same frame of SWE images (Elr_avg=Elr, including MEANr, MINr and MAXr and Elr_avg=EIavg/EInorm_avg, including MEANr_avg, MINr_avg and MAXr_avg).

Statistical analysis. All the data were analyzed using SPSS statistical software (IBM Corp.) version 19.0. The intra-class correlation coefficients (ICCs) were calculated to measure the reliability of using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The ICCs of EId and Elr calculated by the first observer were measured for intraobserver reliability. The EId_avg and Elr_avg calculated by both observers were measured for interobserver reliability. An ICC of 0-0.49 indicates poor reliability; 0.50-0.74 indicates moderate reliability; 0.75-1.00 indicates excellent reliability based on the criteria (15). Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were performed to evaluate the diagnostic performances of conventional SWE parameters and optimized algorithm parameters and the areas under the ROC curves (AUCs) were

| Characteristics | Benign (n) | Malignant (n) |
|----------------|-----------|---------------|
| Total no. of nodules | 121 | 122 |
| Size (mm) | | |
| ≤10 | 87 | 63 |
| 10-20 | 28 | 53 |
| >20 | 6 | 6 |
| Depth (mm) | | |
| ≤10 | 64 | 75 |
| 10-20 | 57 | 46 |
| >20 | 0 | 1 |
| Location | | |
| Isthmus | 19 | 33 |
| Not isthmus | 102 | 89 |
| Calcification | | |
| Yes | 53 | 74 |
| No | 88 | 48 |
calculated to determine the best diagnostic cut-off value for each parameter. In addition, the optimal SWE parameter and its corresponding sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated.

**Results**

Two hundred and forty-eight patients (age range, 5-85 years; mean age: 43.18 years) with 243 solid nodules were included in this study (Table I). The mean size of the nodules was 9.83±5.15 mm (range, 2.90-29.50 mm). The benign nodules (n=121) including nodular goiter (n=44), adenoma (n=4), focal thyroiditis (n=35), and other benign hyperplastic nodule (n=38) were confirmed by qualified FNA cytology tests or surgery. All of the malignant nodules (n=122) were confirmed as papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) by surgery.

**Table II. Intraobserver reliability of the optimized algorithm measurements for 243 solid thyroid nodules for the first operator.**

| Parameters       | Benign       | Malignant     | Total        |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
|                  | ICC 95% CI   | ICC 95% CI    | ICC 95% CI   |
| MEANd<sup>a</sup> | 0.91 0.88-0.94 | 0.91 0.88-0.94 | 0.93 0.92-0.95 |
| MINd<sup>b</sup>  | 0.77 0.69-0.84 | 0.88 0.84-0.91 | 0.86 0.83-0.89 |
| MAXd<sup>c</sup>  | 0.94 0.92-0.96 | 0.89 0.85-0.92 | 0.92 0.91-0.94 |
| MEANr<sup>d</sup> | 0.87 0.83-0.91 | 0.89 0.85-0.92 | 0.91 0.89-0.93 |
| MINr<sup>e</sup>  | 0.81 0.74-0.86 | 0.91 0.88-0.94 | 0.90 0.87-0.92 |
| MAXr<sup>f</sup>  | 0.90 0.87-0.93 | 0.86 0.82-0.90 | 0.91 0.88-0.92 |

Parameters were measured by the first operator. <sup>a</sup>MEANd is the difference value of mean elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. <sup>b</sup>MIND is the difference value of min elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. <sup>c</sup>MAXd is the difference value of max elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. <sup>d</sup>MEANr is the ratio value of mean elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. <sup>e</sup>MINr is the ratio value of min elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. <sup>f</sup>MAXr is the ratio value of max elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. ICC, intraclass correlation coefficients; CI, confidence interval.

**Figure 1.** The longitudinal view of Shear-wave elastography (SWE) images of nodular goiter acquired (A) with or (B) without precompression in the same lesion. Each of the elasticity indices (EIs) measured with precompression was apparently increased. But MAXd (difference value of Emax between nodules and thyroid parenchyma) acquired with precompression (a, MAXd=7.5 kPa) was not so obviously different from that acquired without precompression (b, MAXd=7.3 kPa).

**Intraobserver reproducibility of the optimized algorithm for 243 solid thyroid nodules.** The intraobserver reliability of the optimized algorithm for benign nodules was nearly perfect for MEANd, MAXd, MEANr, MINr and MAXr with ICC>0.80 and MINd was substantial with ICC=0.77 (Table II). For malignant nodules, the reliability was nearly perfect for all parameters with ICC>0.80. As for all 243 nodules, the reliability was nearly perfect for all parameters with ICC>0.80.

**Interobserver reproducibility of the optimized algorithm for 243 solid thyroid nodules.** In the benign nodules, the interobserver agreement for MEANd<sub>_avg</sub>, MAXd<sub>_avg</sub>, MEANr<sub>_avg</sub> and MAXr<sub>_avg</sub> was nearly perfect with ICC>0.80 and was substantial for MINd<sub>_avg</sub> and MINr<sub>_avg</sub> with ICC=0.72 and 0.71, respectively (Table III). In the malignant nodules, it was nearly perfect for all parameters with ICC>0.80. As for all 243 nodules, the interobserver agreement was nearly
Parameters were measured by the first operator. MEANd_avg is the average difference value of three measurements for mean elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. MINd_avg is the average difference value of three measurements for min elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. MAXd_avg is the average difference value of three measurements for max elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. MEANr_avg is the average ratio value of three measurements for mean elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. MINr_avg is the average ratio value of three measurements for min elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. MAXr_avg is the average ratio value of three measurements for max elasticity modulus between nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. ICC, intraclass correlation coefficients; CI, confidence interval.
specificity compared with others in predicting malignant nodules with the cut-off value of 32.95 kPa and the sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, PPV and NPV were 65.57, 89.26, 77.37, 86.02 and 72.0, respectively (Table IV).

Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that the size, location and presence of calcification of thyroid nodules have a certain impact on their elasticity modulus (16). Another research study also indicated that partially cystic nodules had higher elasticity modules than solid ones in both shear wave elastography (SWE) and strain elastography although the cause remains unknown (16,17). In addition, it was reported by Cengic et al (18) that the success rate of fine-needle aspiration (FNA) was lower and total biopsy time of FNA was longer in predominantly cystic nodules than that in predominantly solid ones. Furthermore, Gu et al (19) and Chan et al (20) reported that the risk of being malignant in solid or predominantly solid thyroid nodules was higher than that in the cystic or predominantly cystic nodules. Thus, the cases studied in the present report were all solid thyroid nodules and the main focus was the difficulty in differentiating between benign and malignant thyroid nodules.

Bhatia et al (17) and Szczepanek-Parulski et al (16) reported that the size of a nodule has a positive correlation with its elasticity modulus. In addition, researchers analyzed 382 nodules with size ≥4 cm and found that the incidence of a clinically significant thyroid carcinoma nodule was 22%. Using these findings, they recommended that all nodules with a size ≥4 cm should be considered for either thyroid lobectomy or total thyroidectomy (21). Thus, an overlarge nodule appears to be an independent risk factor of thyroid carcinoma which is of little clinical significance for SWE examination and should be treated with more aggressive treatments. All nodules studied in our study were smaller than 30 mm.

Prior reports on SWE for breast, liver and other organs disclosed that when the lesion is too deep, the accuracy of measurement decreases and the false-positive rate of elastography increases (22-25). Therefore, the depth of nodule was less than 25 mm.

Almost every report published on this subject was unanimous on the verdict that calcification has a significant effect on elasticity. The elasticity modulus of a nodule can increase remarkably if both microcalcification and macrocalcification are present. Consequently, some researchers (16) considered that thyroid nodules with macrocalcification would not be appropriate for SWE examination (26). Thus, in our study the nodules with macrocalcification (size >2 mm) were excluded.

The conventional SWE parameters presents a great reliability in measurements of thyroid nodules and the optimized algorithm used in our study showed a similar performance. Intraclass correlation coefficients of EId and EIr of the first operator were almost perfect for all benign and malignant nodules (ICC>0.75 for each). ICCs between two operators for MEAN_avg, MEANr_avg, MAXd_avg and MAXr_avg were almost perfect (ICC>0.8 for each). This can be explained by the following facts: First, with the first sampling volume (the first Q-Box™) covering the entire target nodule in optimized algorithm, there could be no error on the choices of maximum elasticity region by different operators. Veyrieres et al (11) examined 297 thyroid nodules (35 of which were malignant nodules) using shear-wave elastography on the AIXPLORER system and found that the sensitivity of diagnosing malignant nodules was 80% and the specificity was 90.5% with the optimal cut-off value (65 kPa).

Table IV. Comparison of the diagnostic performances of conventional SWE and optimized algorithm parameters.

| Parameters | Cut-off value | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Accuracy (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | AUC (95% CI) |
|------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| MEAN_avg   | 38.85 kPa    | 58.20          | 80.17         | 69.14       | 74.74   | 65.54   | 0.76 (0.70-0.82) |
| MIN_avg    | 18.11 kPa    | 59.84          | 62.81         | 61.32       | 61.86   | 60.80   | 0.61 (0.54-0.68) |
| MAX_avg    | 61.55 kPa    | 69.67          | 78.51         | 74.07       | 76.58   | 71.97   | 0.79 (0.74-0.85) |
| MEANd_avg  | 14.30 kPa    | 66.39          | 83.47         | 74.90       | 81.20   | 71.13   | 0.80 (0.74-0.85) |
| MINd_avg   | 1.93 kPa     | 59.02          | 70.25         | 64.61       | 66.67   | 62.96   | 0.63 (0.56-0.70) |
| MAXd_avg   | 32.95 kPa    | 65.57          | 89.26         | 77.37       | 86.02   | 72.0    | 0.82 (0.77-0.87) |
| MEANr_avg  | 1.61         | 67.21          | 78.51         | 72.84       | 75.93   | 70.37   | 0.78 (0.73-0.84) |
| MINr_avg   | 1.22         | 50.82          | 77.69         | 64.20       | 69.66   | 61.04   | 0.63 (0.56-0.70) |
| MAXr_avg   | 2.15         | 63.93          | 87.60         | 75.72       | 83.87   | 70.67   | 0.82 (0.76-0.87) |

1MEAN_avg is the average value of three measurements for mean elasticity modulus of the nodule. 2MIN_avg is the average value of three measurements for min elasticity modulus of the nodule. 3MAX_avg is the average value of three measurements for max elasticity modulus of the nodule. 4MEANd_avg is the average difference value of three measurements for mean elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. 5MINd_avg is the average difference value of three measurements for min elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. 6MAXd_avg is the average difference value of three measurements for max elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. 7MINr_avg is the average ratio value of three measurements for mean elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. 8MAXr_avg is the average ratio value of three measurements for max elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. 9MINr_avg is the average ratio value of three measurements for min elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. 10MAXr_avg is the average ratio value of three measurements for max elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. SWE, shear wave elastography; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; AUC, area under the ROC curve; CI, confidence interval.
on the stiffest region of the nodule. Kim et al (13) analyzed 99 nodules with the same cut-off value (65 kPa) using the same method for sampling, but its sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis was much lower (76.1 and 64.1%). Although Bhatia et al (27) and Liu et al (28) used the same sampling method (2 mm size of Q-Box™) with a similar cut-off value (42.1 and 39.3 kPa) to predict malignancy, they discovered that their diagnostic performances were not quite consistent. This was due to the different proportions of pathologic results and their sampling volume (Q-Box™) that only covered the hardest region of the nodule. Secondly, in the present report, we report that each time we operated SWE, the compression on the transducer would significantly affect the measurement results (Fig. 1). Lam et al (14) pointed out that when the precompression increased by 22-30%, the elasticity modulus of thyroid parenchyma, benign hyperplastic nodule (BHN) and papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) would increase simultaneously but differently (10.8, 24.6 and 75.4 kPa). In addition, no matter how much precompression was exerted, there was a significant difference between the difference value of PTC vs. parenchyma and BNH vs. parenchyma, which was consistent with our results. We concluded that the optimized algorithm used in our study was able to avoid the influence of compression and had a high rate of reproducibility. Moreover, the MAXd variables in the optimization algorithm had a specificity of 89.26%, which could exclude nodules that did not need fine-needle aspiration (FNA), thus avoiding invasive examination and waste of medical resources.

Shear-wave elastography (SWE) has been proven to be useful in differential diagnosis of thyroid nodules. In most previous studies, the diagnostic performance of the mean elasticity of the nodule (Emean) was slightly higher than that of the minimum elasticity (Emin) and maximum elasticity (Emax). Duan et al (29) reported that AUC of Emean (0.789) was greater than that of Emin (0.703) and Emax (0.701). However, the results of our study demonstrated that neither the AUC value of Emean of the nodule (MEAN_avg) nor the AUC value of MEANavg (0.76, 0.80 and 0.78) was higher than that of MAX_avg, MAXd_avg and MAXr_avg (0.79, 0.82 and 0.82) (Table IV), which was different from previous studies. This difference may be due to the following reasons. First, in previous studies, the sampling volume, the size of which was fixed at 2 mm, was placed in the most stiffest part of the target nodule and the mean elasticity modulus was close to the highest modulus of the entire nodule which was similar as the max elasticity modulus of the entire nodule measured by the method of our study. The difference in the MEAN or MEANavg in our study was influenced by the homogeneity of the nodule. Secondly, the diagnostic performance was also affected by the composition of the cases, such as pathology results, size, depth and other factors which would affect specificity, accuracy and PPV of MAXd_avg (cut-off value = 32.95 kPa) in the optimized algorithm was higher than either the conventional SWE indices or other indices in the optimized algorithm.

We acknowledge that the present study had several limitations. First, the placement of sampling volume for thyroid parenchyma was certainly influenced by the subjective factors. Secondly, the influence of chronic thyroiditis on elasticity (30-32) is still considered a controversial issue. However, there were no separate analyses on cases with subacute thyroiditis or Hashimoto thyroiditis which were included in this study. Thirdly, previous research (10) has confirmed that the elasticity modulus varies from different pathologic subtypes. However, all the malignant nodules in this study were PTC. Due to the insufficient data on specific pathologic subtypes, they were not compared with variables in the optimization algorithm, which deserves further attention. In addition, it can be seen from previous studies that the size, depth and location of nodules are the significant influencing factors that have not been grouped and studied in our research.

In conclusion, the optimized algorithm of SWE elastography showed good reproducibility and better performance in diagnosing solid thyroid nodules than conventional SWE and the best elasticity indices in optimized algorithm was MAXd, the difference value of elasticity modulus between the nodule and surrounding thyroid parenchyma. However, the size, depth, location and calcification of the nodule had an important impact on SWE performance. Therefore, the conditions in which the optimized algorithm will work better requires further study.
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