Is amygdala size correlated with stress?

M. Canbolat¹, M.F. Erbay¹, D. Şenol¹, C. Uçar², S. Yıldız⁴

¹Department of Anatomy, İnönü University, Malatya, Turkey
²Department of Radiology, İnönü University, Malatya, Turkey
³Department of Physiology, Adıyaman University, Adıyaman, Turkey
⁴Department of Physiology, İnönü University, Malatya, Turkey

[Received: 18 June 2020; Accepted: 10 August 2020; Early publication date: 14 August 2020]

Background: One of the important mechanisms that regulate the stress response of the body is hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis. One of the structures activating this axis is amygdala. We have seen people around who react calmer and cooler to very stressful situations. Are people with smaller amygdala really calmer? Or, can we say that the bigger the amygdala, which is the trigger of the body’s response to stress, the more a person panics? Aim of the study is to compare the saliva cortisol levels and amygdala volume.

Materials and methods: Study conducted with 63 male students. Magnetic resonance images of students were taken before their final exam to calculate amygdala volumes. Saliva samples of all students were taken two times to detect cortisol levels in saliva. First one was 20 days before the final exam and second one was on the exam day. We assumed that the students were stressful on exam day.

Results and Conclusions: No statistically significant correlation was found between saliva cortisol levels and amygdala volume in the study. (Folia Morphol 2021; 80, 3: 514–519)
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INTRODUCTION

One of the most basic problems of today’s human beings is stress. Stress, which is defined as the sensual tension [2, 35] that occurs as a result of the deterioration of physiological and spiritual well-being of the organism due to environmental factors, has negative influences on individuals’ self-respect and productivity. Since stress plays a significant role in the occurrence of many diseases, one of the most important goals of health professionals is to eliminate stress and if this is not possible, to manage stress [22]. The response given by organism to stress in acute period is a useful tool that activates the resources of the organism to protect it against a dangerous situation [26]. Low levels of stress can even be instructional for the organism and can cause the organism to find new solutions to a problem [27]. What causes distress is the persistence and chronicity of stress. While acute and low intensity stress is useful for a person, chronic stress damages cognitive functions and neurological structures such as the hippocampus [10, 20].

One of the important mechanisms that regulate the stress response of the body in case of a stressful situation is hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis (HPA axis) [29]. The function of HPA axis is sensing the external stimulus, assessing the stimulus and creating...
a fight-or-run response, completing the fight in the shortest time possible and bringing the organism back to its normal state. One of the structures activating this axis is amygdala. Amygdala is an almond-shaped subcortical structure in the temporal region of our brain. Amygdala, which is part of the limbic system, assesses the sensory direction of the external stimulus [31]. In addition, it realizes the activation or inhibition of responses like fear and anxiety [18]. It protects the organism from danger and enables its survival. It plays an active role in triggering emotions against external stimuli [32]. Amygdala assesses every situation and every object and makes this assessment roughly with questions such as “is it something that I like?”, “can this harm me?”, and if it is accepted as dangerous as a result of the assessment, amygdala starts the crisis situation. Long before an external sensory stimulus reaches the cortex after being filtered from the thalamus; it reaches the amygdala in a much faster way. By the time the sense has reached the cortex and has been assessed, amygdala has already started the process [16, 21]. When amygdala that has sensed the danger is activated, it sends a signal to hypothalamus and corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF) is released from the hypothalamus. CRF causes the pituitary to release adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and ACTH enables cortisol release from adrenal gland [11]. Cortisol regulates the physiological response of the organism against stress.

The thought that there might be an association between amygdala size and responses given under stress does not seem to be unreasonable because stimulating the amygdala causes fear and anxiety. We have seen people around who react calmer and cooler to very stressful situations. Are people with smaller amygdala really calmer? Or, can we say that the bigger the amygdala, which is the trigger of the body’s response to stress, the more a person panics? In our study, our aim was to compare the saliva cortisol levels of healthy university students exposed to the same stressor and their amygdala size in order to find an answer to this question.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval for our study was obtained from the Malatya Clinical Researches Ethical Board (No. 2018/111). The participants in our study were informed about the study and they read and signed the informed consent form. We conducted our study with 63 male students from İnönü University, Faculty of Medicine who were not using cigarette, alcohol or drugs, who did not have any psychological disease, who did not have any seizures in childhood and later, who did not have any head trauma or surgical operation and who were right-handed. Median age of students were 21 (min: 19, max: 27) years.

We accepted 20 days before the final exam as relaxed period. Exam day is accepted as stressed period. We assumed that the students were stressed on exam day. To support this idea we used cortisol levels and State Trait Anxiety Inventory-I (STAI-I). The STAI-I was given to students during relaxed and stressed periods. Also saliva samples were taken during relaxed and stressed periods to detect cortisol levels in saliva. The STAI-I is one of the common scales to assess anxiety [4]. According to STAI-I, ≤ 36 points means “no anxiety”, 37–42 points means “mild anxiety”, ≥ 42 points means “high anxiety”.

Neuroimaging

We got the magnetic resonance (MR) images of students a day before exam. 3T Siemens scanner (Skyra syngo MR E11 version, Germany) was used for neuroimaging. T1-weighted three-dimensional (3D) (MPRAGE) sequence was used in sagittal plane to get structural images and the following parameters were used: TE/TR: 2300 ms/2.32 s, flip angle: 8°, field of view: 240 mm², slice thickness: 0.9 mm. MR T1 data was downloaded from the scanner and by using different software, they were transferred and processed. MR images were kept in hdr and img formats. To do this, a personal computer on a 32-bit Dell PC, running Windows 10 operating system was used. Volume was calculated with mricloud (www.mricloud.org). Installation, configuration or training are not required to use the web based module. Through a web interface, mricloud volumetric analysis system functions remotely to provide a report including volumetric information from any submitted case.

Analysis saliva cortisol

Saliva samples of all students were taken before they entered the exam. Saliva samples were collected by using passive drool method [8]. Samples collected were kept in a laboratory freezer at –20°C. After thawing, the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 4000 g and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) analyses were conducted by using the supernatant.
All samples were diluted at 1:5 and assayed in triplicate by using the assay buffer. Carbonate buffer, pH 9.6 was used to dilute cortisol-bovine serum albumin stock solution (1 mg/mL) by ELISA procedure and this was added to 96-well microtiter plate at 200 μL/well. Later, the microtiter plate was incubated at +4°C for a night and washed 5 times with washing buffer by using an 8-channel pipette. Some of the binding places that did not include coating antigen were blocked for 2 hours at 37°C with blocking buffer (200 μL/well). Some of the washing places that did not include coating antigen were blocked for 2 hours at 37°C with blocking buffer (200 μL/well). Following the process of washing, diluted first Ab (antiserum) (40 μL/well) and standard solutions or samples (40 μL/well) were placed in duplicate and incubated at +4°C for 45 min. After the washing process, biotinylated anti-rabbit antibody (100 μL/well) was added and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After washing for 5 times, Streptavidin peroxidase solution (100 μL/well) was added and the plate was incubated for 15 min at +4°C. Following another 5 times of washing, substrate solution (150 μL/well) was added to the plate and incubated in dark for 10 min. Following incubation, stop solution (50 μL/well) was also added and absorbance was measured at 450 nm by using the microplate reader. Inter-assay variation was found to be 7.8%, while inter-assay coefficients of variation was 5.6%.

Statistical analysis
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to find out whether the data were normally distributed. The Wilcoxon paired-samples test was used to analyse the data which were not normally distributed. Spearman Rho correlation analysis was conducted on the data to find out how the cortisol level differed with amygdala volume and STAI-I scores. Minimum (min) and maximum (max) values of data which were not normally distributed were given with median. Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare the right and left amygdala volumes. P < 0.05 values were considered as statistically significant. IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 for Windows program was used in statistical analyses.

RESULTS
The median value of saliva cortisol increased in stressed period. Also STAI-I score increased in stressed period. The Wilcoxon paired-samples test was conducted on data and statistically significant increase was found in relaxed and stressed period saliva cortisol and STAI-I scores (Table 1).

As a result of Spearman rho correlation analysis of amygdala volumes with cortisol level differences and State Trait Anxiety Inventory-I (STAI-I) score differences between relaxed and stressed periods, it was found that there was no correlation. Also there was no correlation between amygdala volumes and STAI-I score differences of relaxed and stressed periods (Table 2).

For the STAI-I score, there is a decrease in numbers of “no anxiety” and “mild anxiety” volunteers in stressed period compared to relax period. But there is a high increase in stressed period for the numbers of “high anxiety” volunteers compared to relax period (Table 3).
Median value of the right amygdala volume of our volunteers was found as 1974 (1533–2356) mm$^3$, while the median value of their left amygdala volume was 1781 (1480–2063) mm$^3$. Median value of total amygdala volume of our volunteers was found 3681 (3055–4349) mm$^3$. Mann-Whitney U test was conducted on the data to find out whether the difference was significant. We found a statistically significant difference between the volumes of right and left amygdala (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

For a high efficiency from personnel working in occupations with high stress; mainly security staff, surgeons and athletes; individuals need to be able to cope with these stressful situations. Of course, it is important to show quantitatively at the beginning of personnel choice whether the individual has such an ability. We conducted this study with the thought that we could obtain a parameter in the detection of personnel to be employed in the aforementioned stressful fields if we could find an association between amygdala volume and cortisol level.

In our study, we received the saliva cortisol level as an indicator of stress. As mentioned above, the ultimate product of the HPA axis, which is activated as a result of stress, is cortisol that is released in the circulation [17]. Cortisol level in saliva reflects the serum cortisol level [5, 13]. We chose to use saliva cortisol as an indicator of stress due to reasons such as its being non-invasive, not putting the samples in an extra stress and the ease of taking samples.

As a result of our study, we could not find an association between amygdala size and cortisol values we obtained from the samples as a quantitative parameter. In studies conducted, a big amygdala size has been associated with increased anxiety [1], being sensitive to negative experiences [6] and negative affectivity [9]. On the other hand, studies conducted in patients with unipolar depressive disorder have not shown a significant association between amygdala size and basal cortisol release [12, 25]. In another study conducted in patients with major depression, a positive correlation was found between amygdala size and average cortisol level [19]. In another study, a correlation was found between amygdala activities of university students exposed to the same stressor and the environment they lived in. While the bigger and more stressful place individuals lived in the more amygdala activity they had, subjects who lived in rural areas were found to have low amygdala activity [15]. Being exposed to the same stressor but responding differently can also be associated with amygdala size. In a study conducted with healthy female children, a positive correlation was found between fear and amygdala size [33]. In a study conducted on children who spent the first 2 years of their lives in an orphanage and who were exposed to abuse, amygdalae of these children were found to be big [30]. Observationally, individuals dealing with religious, mystic and meditative disciplines have a general state of calmness. In another study conducted, it has been put forward that meditation and yoga were associated with smaller amygdala [7].

Generally, studies conducted on patient groups have given different results. The common characteristic of these patient groups is having a chronic stress state. Exposition to this long-term stress, mostly since childhood, causes damages in limbic system structures such as amygdala [34] and hippocampus which have glucocorticoid receptor on them. However, our study was conducted on completely healthy young adults and the purpose of the study was to compare the cortisol level released against sudden stress and amygdala size.

Volumetric measurements have gained importance through imaging techniques. Symmetry or asymmetry of neuroanatomical structures distributed to both hemispheres is used as a prediction tool for clinicians in pathological processes [3]. An asymmetry is mentioned in the literature between right and left amygdala in terms of both function and size. In a meta-analysis [23] which examined 82 studies conducted on healthy individuals between 1990 and 2002 showed that the right amygdala size was bigger than that of the left amygdala. In our study, we found the right amygdala size statistically significantly bigger than that of the left hemisphere.

State-Trait Anxiety Inventory is one of the most used scales to assess anxiety. Anxiety has two components: state anxiety and trait anxiety [4]. In contrast, trait anxiety, state anxiety shows psychological and

| Variables | Amygdala volume [mm$^3$] | P |
|-----------|--------------------------|---|
| Right amygdala | 1974 (1533–2356) | 0.000 |
| Left amygdala | 1781 (1480–2063) | |
| Total amygdala | 3681 (3055–4349) | |
physiological transient reactions directly associated with adverse situations at the given time [14]. So, we used state part of STAI to see anxiety scores of volunteers before exam. Occasional anxiety is part of life. People can feel anxious when faced with problems in work/school, before tests, before taking important decisions. Our volunteers got high anxiety scores before exam. Our findings are in line with the studies about exam stress [24, 28].
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