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layer trace gases, including atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and Sulphur dioxide (SO₂). Surface level O₃, SO₂ and CO₂ have direct impacts on crops, while CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O are critical in altering air temperature. Climate change scenarios include higher temperatures, changes in precipitation, and higher atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Generally, temperature increase would lower the yield and also the quality of food crops thus jeopardizing food supplies.

### Climate change predictions

The IPCC TAR (2001) [17] provided a baseline for the prediction of climatic changes at broad scales by using historical measurements and future predictions made by several global circulation models or GCMs in addition to the first and second assessment reports had previously outlined (IPCC, 1990, 1995) [20, 15], but included the definitions of more politically oriented scenarios (i.e. the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) scenarios; IPCC, 2000) [16] rather than the IS92 emission scenarios described in the first and second assessment reports. Working group, I (WGI) reported.

- Average diurnal temperature had increased by 0.6°C in the 20th century, with a significant increase from 1910 to 1945, followed by a slight decrease during the period 1945–1965, and a severe increase from 1976 to 2000;

- Increases in sea level between 0.1 and 0.2 m.

- Geographically differentiated increases and decreases in precipitation of at least 1% per decade.

- Increase in frequency and intensity of heavy rainfall events, increase in cloud cover

- Reductions in low temperature extreme events and increases in high temperature extreme events such as El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO).

### Greenhouse gases from different crops

The release of GHG including carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O) from various crops are not well studied compared to the emission of GHGs from other industrial sectors (e.g., coal and oil), especially in the developing world. Even the data presented in the few studies conducted are highly variable due to the variability associated with the agricultural conditions in any particular region. Recent research has shown that global concentrations of CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ are increasing rapidly and currently are 40%, 20%, and 150% of pre-industrial age levels, with agricultural operations being one of the major contributors to this phenomenon. Due to the greenhouse gas effect, the increase in GHG levels can have a substantial impact on temperatures and consequently on climatic conditions (Gul Zazai et al., 2018) Jain et al., 2016, Smit et al., 2002, Wang et al., 2013, Cole et al., 1997) [39, 21, 47, 52, 8].

### Table 1: Predicted effects of climate change on agriculture over the next 50 years MAFF (2000)

| Climatic element | Expected changes by 2050 | Confidence Imprediction | Effects in agriculture |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| CO₂ | Increase from 360 ppm to 450-600 ppm | Very high | Good for crops, increased photosynthesis, reduced water use |
| Sea level rise | Rise by 10–15cm | Very high | Loss of land, coastal erosion, flooding, salinization of ground water |
| Temperature | Rise by 1-2°C | High | Faster, shorter, earlier growing season, heat stress risk Increased evapotranspiration |
| Precipitation | Seasonal changes by ±10% | Low | Impact on droughts, risk soils workability, water logging, irrigation supply, transpiration |
| Storminess | Increased wind speed, especially in north. more intense rainfall event | Very low | Lodging, soil erosion, reduced infiltration of rainfall |

### Table 2: Seasonal flux of global warming potential and carbon equivalent emission

| Crop | Global warming potential (kg CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹) | Carbon Equivalent Emission (kg C ha⁻¹) |
|------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Rice | 2890–17,000 | 956–4600 |
| Millet | 3218 | 878 |
| Wheat | 2000–18,000 | 545–4900 |
| Maize | 3427–17,600 | 935–4800 |
| Sorghum | 3358 | 916 |
| Rice – wheat | 7137–18,000 | 2000–4900 |
| Wheat – maize | 12,880–18,850 | 3512–5100 |

Global warming potential (GWP) is an estimate to assess the total amount of heat that can be trapped in the atmosphere due to GHGs. It is defined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change(IPCC) as “the radiative forcing following a pulse emission of a unit mass of a given greenhouse gas in the present day atmosphere integrated over a chosen time horizon relative to that of carbon dioxide” (IPCC, 2013) [18]. The assessment of the potential is made either based on a 20- or 100-year duration and generally it is assumed that the longer the gas is present in the atmosphere, the lower is its potency (can have exceptions Ex: Sulphur hexafluoride) (Shang et al., 2011) [46]. Simply, if a GHG has a higher thermal absorption rate and takes longer to degrade, it has a higher GWP. The GWP of various cereal crops like rice, wheat, maize and millets have been calculated which are reported in Table 2. Multiple studies including life cycle analyses have been conducted in different parts of the world to assess the GWP based on the regional data. The GWP can vary widely based on agricultural practices, availability of irrigation systems, fertilizer applications, availability and mode of transportation as all these factors play a role in GHG released into the atmosphere.

Carbon equivalent emission (CEE) is just a measure of total carbon equivalents calculated based on the GWP (which is the CO₂ equivalents).
CEE is obtained by using the following equation.

$$\text{CEE} = \frac{\text{GWP} \times \text{atomic weight of carbon}}{\text{Molecular weight of CO}_2} - \frac{\text{GWP} \times 12}{44}$$

The GWP for these cereals was found to reach 17,000–19,000 kg CO\(_2\) eq. ha\(^{-1}\). In this study, the mean GWP and CEE for the five cereal crops was estimated to be 3598 kg CO\(_2\) eq. ha\(^{-1}\) and 977 kg C ha\(^{-1}\) [Jain et al., 2016] [21]. Wheat showed the highest GWP with 3968 kg CO\(_2\) eq. ha\(^{-1}\), followed by maize (3427 kg CO\(_2\) eq. ha\(^{-1}\)) and rice (3401 kg CO\(_2\) eq. ha\(^{-1}\)). Millets have the lowest GWP with 3218 kg CO\(_2\) eq. ha\(^{-1}\) for pearl millet (a minor millet) and 3358 kg CO\(_2\) eq. ha\(^{-1}\) for sorghum (a major millet). The CEE of different crops have showed that millets have the lowest carbon emissions (878 kg C ha\(^{-1}\)), followed by sorghum with 916 kg C ha\(^{-1}\). The highest carbon emission was released by wheat (1042 kg C ha\(^{-1}\)). Hence, to reduce greenhouse emissions from agricultural activity, cultivating millets will be a better option, which in turn could be beneficial in reducing global warming. Furthermore, a comprehensive life cycle analysis must be performed on millets to confirm the lower GWP when transportation and other factors are also included.

Effect of climate change on rice production

Carbon dioxide

Elevated CO\(_2\) accelerated rice development, increased leaf photosynthesis by 30-70 per cent, canopy photosynthesis by 30-40 per cent and crop biomass yield by 15-30 per cent, depending on genotype and environment. Elevated CO\(_2\) had a minor effect on rice nitrogen (N) uptake, which appeared to be associated with the relatively insensitive response of leaf area growth to CO\(_2\).

Table 1.

| Max temp (°C) | Min temp (°C) | CO\(_2\) (ppm) | Simulated yield (q/ha) | Deviation yield (%) | Maturity date | Days to maturity | Deviation maturity days |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|
| C1 Normal    | Normal       | Normal       | 80.2                   | -                   | 14 September  | 144              | -                      |
| C2 +3        | +3           | Normal       | 87.1                   | 8.6                 | 27 August     | 126              | 18                     |
| C3 -3        | -3           | Normal       | 0.0                    | Nil                 | Crop did not mature | -                | -                      |
| C4 Normal    | Normal       | 50           | 20.0                   | 13 September        | 143           | 1                |                        |
| C5 +3        | +3           | 450          | 24.5                   | 23 August           | 122           | 22               |                        |
| C6 Normal    | Normal       | 700          | 26.4                   | 13 September        | 143           | 1                |                        |
| C7 +3        | +3           | 700          | 30.8                   | 21 August           | 120           | 24               |                        |
| C8 -3        | -3           | 700          | 0.0                    | Nil                 | Crop did not mature | -                | -                      |

Those rice responses to CO\(_2\) resulted in a substantial grain yield increase under nearly optimum temperature condition. The anticipated changes in temperature and CO\(_2\) have been modeled to have opposite effects on the production. Increasing temperatures shortened the growing season leading to decreased yields, while elevated CO\(_2\) increased the yields (Erda et al., 2005) [12]. When C\(_3\) plants, such as rice, are exposed to high CO\(_2\) concentration, the net photosynthesis rate is accelerated due to both enrichment of substrate C\(_3\) as well as well as inhibition of photorespiration by high CO\(_2\) concentration (Long et al., 2004) [20]. Reduction of stomatal conductance due to elevated CO\(_2\) has been commonly observed in rice (Ainsworth, 2008) [2]. However, the response of stomatal conductance to elevated CO\(_2\) varies considerably in response to various environmental factors (Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007) [3].

Temperature

Higher temperatures affect rice yields through two fundamentally different processes: (1) gradual changes in metabolism and phenology and (2) spikelet sterility caused by temperatures (heat waves) beyond certain temperature/humidity thresholds. Rice is grown in many regions where current temperatures during grain filling are only slightly below the critical limits for spikelet sterility (Wassmann et al., 2009) [53]. Grain yield declined by 10 per cent for each 1°C increase in growing-season minimum temperature in the dry season, whereas the effect of maximum temperature on crop yield was insignificant (Peng et al., 2004) [57]. Sensitivity of CERES – rice model to climate change has been validated under temperate conditions of Kashmir (Singh; 2006). Simulated effect elevated ambient maximum and minimum temperature by 3°C (C2) resulted in increased rice yield by 8.6 percent over normal temperature (table 3) and decreased days to maturity by 17 days. The effect of elevated CO\(_2\) (350 ppm) of the base value 330 ppm on simulated grain yield showed increase of 20.0 per cent and also matured the crop only one day earlier over base value. Further increase in CO\(_2\) i.e. 700 ppm (C6) recorded increase of 26.4 per cent over 330 ppm level (base value). Increase in maximum and minimum temperature 3°C under elevated CO\(_2\) concentration of 450 ppm (C5) and 700ppm (C7) improved grain yield by 24.3 and 30.8 per cent than treatment (C1) respectively. Decrease in maximum and minimum temperature by 3°C under normal (C3) and 700 ppm (C8) did not mature the crop.

Deka reported model sensitivity to interaction of temperature and CO\(_2\) level while predicting the effects of potential future global warming on rice yields, studies that examine the effects and interaction of both temperature and CO\(_2\) are far more relevant than studies that examine only the effects of CO\(_2\) or temperature enrichment (Nakagawa et al. 2000) [34]. The net effect of an increase in CO\(_2\) Simulated yield of rice as function of change in temperature and CO\(_2\) level (Singh; 2006), and temperature is complicated and depends on the relative effects of both variables in a given region (Pathak et al. 2003) [36]. The interaction effect of temperature (0°C, +1°C, +2°C, +3°C, +4°C, +5°C and 6°C) and atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration (390,450,550, 650 and 750 ppm) showed that for all the CO\(_2\) levels considered the CERES-Rice model predicted increasing yield of rice due to an increase in air temperature upto 5°C (table 4). Mean relative yield increase was 7% at +1°C and increased linearly upto 13.2% at +5°C followed by a short decrease at +6 temperature (table 4). Similarly, for all the temperature increments considered, mean relative yield increased from 6.3% at 390 ppm to 11.4% at 750 ppm of CO\(_2\) concentration. Relative yield increase over the base yield was maximum (17.8%) at +5°C air temperature and 750 ppm CO\(_2\) under prevailing condition of study area.
Any further rise in temperature from +5°C with 750 ppm CO₂ leads to a decline in relative yield. The result also indicated that the positive effect of elevated CO₂ on rice yield (550ppm, 650 ppm and 750 ppm) was cancelled out at temperature >4°

Simulated yield of cultivar Jhelum at different locations is presented in Table 5, as a function of various climate change parameters.

Table 5: Simulated rice yield (q ha⁻¹) of cultivar Jhelum of different locations as function of various climate change parameters (Tabassum; 2014) [48]

| Climate parameters scenario (CO₂ Temp and precp) | Locations |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
|                                               | Kokernag  | Devi (%) | Kupwara  | Devi (%) | Anantnag | Devi (%) | Srinagar  | Devi (%) | Budgam  | Devi (%) | Average | Devi (%) |
| Normal                                        | 97.81     | 67.81     | 77.96     | 56.80     | 65.69     | 73.21     |
| Maximum temperature -2°C (C₂)                 | 96.09     | -1.81     | 58.91     | -1.31     | 78.19     | +0.29     | 57.66     | -0.25     | 64.82    | -1.32    | 72.96    | -0.73    |
| Minimum temperature +2.7°C (C₂)               | 97.38     | -0.44     | 65.32     | -3.73     | 78.11     | +0.19     | 56.51     | -0.51     | 66.71    | -1.53    | 72.74    | -0.55    |
| Precipitation -90mm (C₂)                      | 82.16     | -16.02    | 73.45     | -46.54    | 38.24     | -2.49     | 33.91     | -49.32    | 34.93    | -46.83    | 43.04    | -38.41   |
| CO₂ +80ppm (C₃)                               | 104.45    | +6.41     | 71.43     | +5.08     | 78.32     | +0.53     | 59.03     | +3.78     | 68.46    | -4.41    | 76.48    | +4.13    |
| ALL (C₂+C₂+C₃+C₄)                             | 86.36     | -11.73    | 53.72     | -47.22    | 38.28     | -50.94    | 35.52     | -37.46    | 35.95    | -45.32    | 46.56    | -34.67   |

% relative change in yield is computed in reference to yield from current Scenario or the current atmospheric condition (normal)

It was observed that with the increase of 2°C temperature as estimated by 2040 it was expected an overall decrease of rice yield by 0.7% at all the locations, as estimated increase in minimum temperature by 2.3°C also simulated decrease by 0.55% across different locations. Precipitation with estimated decrease of 90mm of rainfall by 2040 huge decrease of yield was simulated at Kupwara, Anantnag, Srinagar and Budgam however decrease in Kokernag was not considerable. On an average decrease across the locations was 38 Increase of CO₂ by 80ppm as estimated by 2040 recorded considerable increase in the yield at Kokernag, Kupwara, Srinagar and Budgam was 6.415.08.3.78 and 4.05 respectively, where at Anantnag the increase was only 0.5%. With regard overall change of all climatic parameters simulated the decrease of yield across the all locations is 36.67%. (Tabassum; 2014) [48]. Ria Biswas reported that Crop maturity period is highly dependent on prevailing temperature and crop duration decreases with the temperature enhancement. The effect of increased minimum temperature has pronounced impact on grain yield. Shah et al., (2011) [44] also emphasized on adverse impact of higher temperature on yield of rice. The maturity period of Shatabdi variety was reduced by 3 to 7 days due to 1-2°C temperature enhancement.(Chhagan et al., 2019) [1] The model output also showed the simulated LAI would decrease with increase of temperature. During panicle initiation and grain filling stages, the enhanced temperature effect on LAI would be more (Table 6). With the normal DOS (4th week of May) and the common management practices,5-10 per cent yield reduction would be observed with rise in temperature upto 2°C. Under elevated thermal condition, the yield decrease occurs mainly due to the lower LAI throughout the crop growth stages and shorter crop growth period, which is evident from the study.

Table 6: Performance of rice under elevated thermal condition (Biswas, 2018)

| AT* | AT+1°C | AT+2°C |
|-----|--------|--------|
| LAI at Panicle Initiation stage | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.4 |
| LAI at grain filling stage | 7.2 | 6.9 | 5.8 |
| Days to mature | 110 | 107 | 103 |
| Reduction in days to maturity (days) | - | 3 | 7 |
| Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | 4238.6 | 4006.5 | 3784.2 |
| Yield reduction (%) | - | 5.5 | 10.7 |

Effect of temperature variability on wheat productivity

Changes in global climate are likely to influence spatial and temporal trends of temperature and rainfall, which will affect crop productivity and yield. (Jalota and Vashisht, 2016) [22]. A gradual or abrupt change in weather parameters especially maximum temperature (Tmax) and minimum temperature (Tmin) compared to optimum can adversely impact growth and yield of wheat. Field experiments also revealed that during six years of experimentation, wheat yield increased with inter-seasonal Tmax and Tmin, which ranged from 21.5–24.4°C and 8.5–10.2°C, respectively. This may be ascribed to the fact that average seasonal Tmax and Tmin in the present study period were within the optimum or apposite temperature limits of 20–25°C Tmax and 5–10°C Tmin, reported by Porter and Gawith (1999). However, in simulation study (1989-2008) it was observed that the inter-seasonal Tmax and Tmin varied from 22.4–29.7°C; and 9.1–15.9°C, respectively and wheat yield followed a polynomial function with temperature. The wheat yield started declining beyond 27°C and 11°C of Tmax and Tmin, respectively (Fig. 1). Increased temperatures impart negative impact of on crop production by reducing the length of the growing period of wheat (Jalota et al., 2013 [23]; Jalota and Vashisht, 2016 [22]; Zacharias et al., 2014 [55]; Kingra, 2016) [25].
Effect of maximum and minimum temperature on yield of rice and wheat

Reduction in yields of rice and wheat crops in different time slices was found to be directly related to increased $T_{\text{max}}$ and $T_{\text{min}}$ (fig. 2.). In comparison to the increased $T_{\text{min}}$ the magnitude of yield reduction in both the crops was higher with increased $T_{\text{max}}$, confirming the finding of Jalota et al. (2009b) [24]. However, with increased $T_{\text{min}}$ the reduction was more in rice crop than wheat. These results corroborate the findings of Peng et al. (2004) [38], at the international rice research institute, Philippines, that night temperature decrease rice yield. Actually increased temperature has shortened the crop duration in addition to higher respiration than photosynthesis, less assimilation per unit water consumed, decreased nutrient absorption, low dry mass production, high spikelet sterility and sink capacity at grain filling stage, reduced grain weight etc. (Kobata et al., 1992 [26]; Kobata and Uemuki, 2004 [27]; Mackill et al., 1987 [30]; Matasubayashi, 1965 [31]; Saini et al., 1986 [42]; Satake and Yoshida, 1978 [43]; Yoshida, 1961 [54].

Effect of temperature change on deviation in phenology of rice and wheat crop

CERES-rice and CERES-wheat have been validated for commonly sown cultivars of rice and wheat under Ludhiana (Punjab) conditions. Since rice and wheat are grown under assured irrigated conditions in Punjab, optimum (non limiting) moisture conditions were assured (Hundal and Prabhjyot, 2007) [13].
Both maximum and minimum temperature were increased and decreased by 0.5°C, 1.0°C, 2.0°C and 3°C from normal while keeping while keeping other climate variables constant. Heading as well as maturity of rice was not much affected by increase or decrease in temperature of 1°C from normal (table 7), but with a decrease in temperature by 3.0°C heading and maturity were delayed by 15 and 12 days respectively, from normal. On the other hand, anthesis and maturity of wheat revealed more drastic changes as the phenology was significantly advanced by increasing temperature, but was delayed by decreasing temperature (table 7).

When the maximum temperature decreased by 0.25 to 1°C from normal and minimum increased simultaneously from 1 to 3°C from normal keeping other climate variables constant, the phenology of rice and wheat was advanced by as much as 1-8 days (Table 8). In rice and wheat, when minimum temperature increased by 1.0-3.0°C and maximum temperature decreased by -0.25 to -1.0°C from normal, both the anthesis and maturity were advanced by upto 8 days from normal

### Table 7: Effect of temperature change from normal on deviation on phenology (days) of crop

| Temperature change (°C) | Rice | Wheat |
|------------------------|------|-------|
| Phenological event     | Normal temperature | +0.5 | +1.0 | +2.0 | +3.0 |
| Heading                | 101* | 0     | 0    | -1   | -4   |
| Maturity               | 141* | 0     | -1   | -3   | -8   |
| Heading                | 35*  | -1    | -2   | -3   | -8   |
| Maturity               | 135* | -1    | -2   | -3   | -8   |

### Table 8: Effect of increasing minimum temperature above normal and decreasing maximum temperature below normal on deviation in phenology (days) of crop (Hundal and Prabjyot, 2007) [13]

| Minimum temperature changes (°C) | +1.0 | +2.0 | +3.0 |
|----------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Normal (DAS)                     |      |      |      |
| Phenological Event Rice          |      |      |      |
| Heading                          | -1   | -2   | -3   |
| Maturity                         | -2   | -3   | -4   |
| Wheat                            | -2   | -3   | -4   |
| Anthesis                         | -2   | -3   | -4   |
| Maturity                         | -1   | -1   | -1   |
| Wheat                            | -1   | -1   | -1   |

### Climate change–Mitigation and adaptation in agriculture

Adapting to climate change entails taking the right measures to reduce the negative effects of climate change (or exploit the positive ones) by making the appropriate adjustments and changes. It also refers to actions that people, countries, and societies take to adjust to climate change that has occurred. Adaptation has three possible objectives: to reduce exposure to the risk of damage; to develop the capacity to cope with unavoidable damages; and to take advantage of new opportunities. Following are some strategies to mitigate ill effects of climate change

1. Assist farmers in coping with current climatic risks by providing value-added weather services to farmers. Farmers can adapt to climate changes to some degree by shifting planting dates, choosing varieties with different growth duration, or changing crop rotations.
2. An Early warning system should be put in place to monitor changes in pest and disease outbreaks. The overall pest control strategy should be based on integrated pest management because it takes care of multiple pests in a given climatic scenario.
3. Participatory and formal plant breeding to develop climate-resilient crop varieties that can tolerate higher temperatures, drought and salinity.
4. Developing short-duration crop varieties that can mature before the peak heat phase set in.
5. Selecting genotype in crops that have a higher per day yield potential to counter yield loss from heat-induced reduction in growing periods.
6. Preventive measures for drought that include on-farm reservoirs in medium lands, growing of pulses and oilseeds instead of rice in uplands, ridges and furrow system in cotton crops, growing of intercrops in place of pure crops in uplands, land grading and leveling, stabilization of field bunds by stone and grasses, graded line bunds, contour trenching for runoff collection, conservation furrows, mulching and more application of Farm yard manure (FYM).
7. Efficient water use such as frequent but shallow irrigation, drip and sprinkler irrigation for high value crops, irrigation at critical stages.
8. Efficient fertilizer use such as optimum fertilizer dose, split application of nitrogenous and potassium fertilizers, deep placement, use of neem, karanja products and other such nitrification inhibitors, liming of acid soils, use of micronutrients such as zinc and boron, use of Sulphur in oilseed crops, integrated nutrient management.
9. Seasonal weather forecasts could be used as a supportive measure to optimize planting and irrigation patterns.
10. Provide greater coverage of weather linked agriculture insurance.

### Conclusion

Both current and future climate changes will influence crop yield especially of cereal crops. This statement has drawn attention of the world, because different aspects of climate variability (temperature, precipitation) impact the crop growth and their productivity results. Researchers and growers should develop diversification strategies based on local conditions.
This should be part of the strategy for achieving food security within the context of climate change and a rapidly increasing population. Furthermore, it has to be noted that a holistic approach is required in tackling food security issue as there is no single solution that can solve the issue. Coping with the impact of climate change on agriculture will require careful management of resources like soil, water, and biodiversity. To cope with the impact of climate change on agriculture and food production, India will need to act at the global, regional, national and local levels.

References

1. Adviento-Borbe M, Haddix M, Binder D, Walters D, Dobermann A. Soil greenhouse gas fluxes and global warming potential in four high-yielding maize systems. Glob. Chang. Biol. 2007; 13:1972-1988.

2. Ainsworth AE. Rice production in a changing climate: a meta-analysis of responses to elevated carbon dioxide and elevated ozone concentration. Global Change concentration on biological nitrogen fixation, nitrogen mineralization and carbon decomposition in submerged rice soil. Biolog. Fertil. & soils. 2008; 34:7-13.

3. Ainsworth EA, Rogers A. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO₂]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. Plant Cell Environ. 2007; 30:258-270.

4. Bhata A, Pathak H, Aggarwal PK, Jain N. Trade-off between productivity enhancement and global warming potential of rice and wheat in India. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 2010; 86:413-424. 49.

5. Bhata A, Pathak H, Jain N, Singh P, Singh A. Global warming potential of manure amended soils under rice-wheat system in the indo-gangetic plains. Atmos. Environ. 2005; 39:6976-6984. 44.

6. Biswas R, Banerjee S, Bhattacharyya B. Impact of temperature increase on performance of kharif rice at Kalyani, West Bengal using WOFOST model. Journal of Agrometorology. 2018; 20(1):28-30

7. Chhagan BR, Sharma MP, Sharma KR, Samanta A, Wani OA, Kachroo D et al. Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Bio fertilizers on Soil Physicochemical Properties in Rainfed Maize-wheat Cropping System of Jammu. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2019; 8:2539-2545.

8. Cole C, Duxbury J, Freney J, Heinemeyer O, Minami K, Mosier A et al. Global estimates of potential mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions by agriculture. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 1997; 49:221-228.

9. Das S, Adhya TK. Effect of combine application of organic manure and in organic fertilizer on methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a tropical flooded soil planted to rice. Geoderma. 2014; 213:185-192. 45.

10. Deka RL. Climate change in Brahmputra valley and impact on rice and tea productivity. Thesis research, 2013.

11. Dendooven L, Patino-Zúñiga L, Verhulst N, Luna-Guido M, Marsch R, Govaerts B. Global warming potential of agricultural systems with contrasting tillage and residue management in the central highlands of mexico. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2012; 152:50-58. 48.

12. Erda L, Wei X, Hui J, Yinlong X, Yue L, Liping B et al. Climate change impacts on crop yield and quality with CO₂ fertilization in China. Philosophical. Transactions of the Royal Society Biology. 2005; 360:2149-2154.

13. Hundal SS, Prabhjot K. climatic variability and its impact on cereal productivity in Indian Punjab. Current Science. 2007; 94(4):506-512.

14. IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC. Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., Miller, H.L. (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 996, 2007.

15. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). IPCC Second Assessment Report: Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva, 1995.

16. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). IPCC Special Report on Emissions Scenarios, Summary for Policymakers. IPCC, Geneva, 2000.

17. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). IPCC Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001. IPCC, Geneva, 2001.

18. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2013.

19. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2011, 881p.

20. IPCC. IPCC First Assessment Report: Climate Change 1990. IPCC, Geneva, 1990.

21. Jain N, Arora P, Tomer R, Mishra SV, Bhata A, Pathak H et al. Greenhouse gases emission from soils under major crops in northwest India. Sci. Total Environ. 2016; 542:551-561. [Cross Ref] [PubMed]

22. Jalota SK, Vashishth BB. Adapting cropping systems to future climate change scenario in three agro-climatic zones of Punjab, India. J. Agrometeorol. 2016; 18(1):48-56.

23. Jalota SK, Kaur H, Kaur S, Vashishth BB. Impact of climate change scenarios on yield, water and nitrogen balance and –use efficiency of rice–wheat cropping system. Agric. Water Manage. 2013; 116:29-38.

24. Jalota SK, Ray SS, Panigraphy S. Effect of elevated CO₂ and temperature on productivity of three main cropping systems in Punjab state of India: a simulation analysis. In: Panigraphy, S., Ray, S.S., Parihar, S.S. (Eds.), ISPRS Archives XXXXIII-8/W3 Workshop Proceedings: Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture. ISPRS WG VIII/6 Agriculture, Ecosystem and Bio-diversity SAC (ISRO), Ahmedabad, 2009b, 138-142p.

25. Kingra PK. Climate variability impacts on wheat productivity in central Punjab. J. Agrometeorol. 2016; 18(1):97-99.

26. Kobata T, Uemuki N. High temperatures during the grain filling period do not reduce the potential grain dry matter increase of rice. Agronomy Journal. 2004; 96:406-41.

27. Kobata T, Patta JA, Turner NC. Rate of development of post-anthesis water deficits and grain filling of spring wheat. Crop Science. 1992; 32:1238-1242.

28. Laratte B, Guillaume B, Kim J, Birregah B. Modeling cumulative effects in life cycle assessment: The case of fertilizer in wheat production contributing to the global warming potential. Sci. Total Environ. 2014; 481:588-595.
29. Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Ort DR. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: plants FACE the future. Ann. Rev. Pl. Biol. 2004; 55:591-628.

30. Mackill DJ, Coffman WR, Rutgers LJ. Pollen shedding and combining ability for high temperature tolerance in rice. Crop Science. 1987; 20:730-733.

31. Matasubayashi M. Theory and Practices of Growing Rice. Fuji Publishing Company, 1965.

32. Mertz O, Halsaesa K, Olesen JE, Rasmussen K. Adaptation to climate change in developing countries. Environmental Management. 2009; 43:743-752.

33. Mosier AR, Halvorson AD, Reule CA, Liu XJ. Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in irrigated cropping systems in northeastern Colorado. J. Environ. Qual. 2006; 35:1584-1598.

34. Nakagawa H, Horie T, Goudriaan J, Kim HY, Ohnishi H, Homma K et al. Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and increased temperature on leaf area, growth and dry matter production of rice as found in TGC study. Plant Prod. Sci. 2000; 93:93-99.

35. Ozor N, Nnaji CE. The role of extension in agricultural adaptation to climate change in Enugu State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development. 2011; 3(3):42-50.

36. Pathak H, Ladha JK, Aggarwal PK, Peng S, Das S, Singh B et al. Trends of climatic potential and on farm yields of rice and wheat in the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Field crop research. 2003; 80:223-234.

37. Peng S, Huang J, John ES, Rebecca CL, Romeo MV, Xuhua Z et al. Rice yields decline with higher night temperature from global warming, 2004.

38. Peng S, Huang J, Sheehy JE, Laza RC, Visperas RM, Zhong X et al. Rice yields decline with higher night temperature from global PNAS. 2004; 101(27):9971-9975.

39. Gul Zazai K, Ali Wani O, Ali A, Devi M. Phytoremediation and Carbon Sequestration Potential of Agroforestry Systems: A Review. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2018; 7:2447-2457.

40. Porter JR, Gawith M. Temperature and the growth and development of wheat: a review. Eur. J. Agron. 1999; 16:23-36.

41. Rajaniemi M, Mikkola H, Ahokas J. Greenhouse gas emissions from oats, barley, wheat and rye production. Agron. Res. 2011; 9:189-195.

42. Saini AD, Dhadwal VK, Phadnawis BN, Nanda R. Influence of sowing dates on pre-anthesis phenology in wheat. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1986; 56:503-511.

43. Satake T, Yoshida S. High temperature induced sterility in Indica rice at flowering. Japanese Journal of Crop Science. 1978; 47:6-10.

44. Shah F, Huang J, Cui K, Nie L, Shah T, Chen C et al. Impact of high-temperature stress on rice plant and its traits related to tolerance. J. Agric. Sci. 2011; 149:545-556.

45. Singh G. Optimization of agro techniques to maximize productivity of maize under irrigated and unirrigated conditions using CERES – Maize model, 2015.

46. Shang Q, Yang X, Gao C, Wu P, Liu J, Xu Y et al. Net annual global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double rice-cropping systems: A-3-year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments. Glob. Chang. Biol. 2011; 17:2196-2210.

47. Smit B, Skinner MW. Adaptation options in agriculture to climate change: A typology. Mitig. Adapt. Strat. Glob. Chang. 2002; 7:85-114.

48. Tabasum S. Impact of Climate change on different rice varieties under varied agro ecological conditions of Kashmir using CERES -Maize model. Research Thesis, 2014.

49. Tokyo, Cheng WG, Inubushi K, Yagi K, Sakai H, Kobayashi K. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentration on biological nitrogen fixation, nitrogen mineralization and carbon decomposition in submerged rice soil. Biolog. Fertil. & soils. 2001; 34:7-13.

50. Tuomisto H, Hodge I, Riordan P, Macdonald D. Comparing global warming potential, energy use and land use of organic, conventional and integrated winter wheat production. Ann. Appl. Biol. 2012; 161:116-126.

51. Tuomisto H, Hodge I, Riordan P, Macdonald D. Comparing global warming potential, energy use and land use of organic, conventional and integrated winter wheat production. Ann. Appl. Biol. 2012; 161:116-126.

52. Wang Y, Hu C, Ming H, Zhang Y, Li X, Dong W et al. Concentration profiles of CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O in soils of a wheat–maize rotation ecosystem in North China plain, measured weekly over a whole year. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2013; 164:260-272.

53. Wassmann R, Jagadish SVK, Heuer S, Ismail A, Redoia E, Serraj R et al. Climate change affecting rice production: the physiological and agronomic basis for possible adaptation strategies. Adv. Agron. 2009; 101:59-122.

54. Yoshida N. Photosynthesis and dry matter production in rice plant. In: Symposium on Rice Problems. Pacific Science Congress, 1961.

55. Zacharias, Manju, Kumar S, Naresh, Singh SD, Rani DNS et al. Assessment of impacts of climate change on rice and wheat in the Indo-Gangetic plains, J. Agrometeorol. 2014; 16(1):9-17.