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Abstract

We present several formulas for some specific multiple $L$-values of conductor four. This grew out from the study of zeta functions of level four of Arakawa-Kaneko type. Closely related is a new version of multiple poly-Euler numbers and we briefly discuss this too.

1 Introduction

This paper is in a sense a continuation of our previous work [17, 18, 19]. There we studied a certain type of zeta functions and their values at positive as well as negative integer arguments, the prototype being the so-called Arakawa-Kaneko zeta function investigated in [2], whose values at positive integers can be written in terms of multiple zeta values and at negative integers in terms of poly-Bernoulli numbers.

Through our study in this context in the case of level 4, we are naturally led to the investigation of a class of multiple $L$-values of level (or conductor) 4, which in our notation is given by

$$L_w(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \chi_4, \ldots, \chi_4) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r} \frac{(-1)^{(m_r-r)/2}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}.$$

We discovered that these $L$-values with special indices satisfy a notable linear relation with combinatorial numbers (‘Entringer numbers’) as coefficients. Also we found a (conjectural) connection of double $L$-values to modular forms of level four, a relation of previously studied level 2 $L$-values (‘multiple $T$-values’) to our level 4 values, and a formula for the generating function of ‘height one’ $L$-values in terms of the Appell hypergeometric function $F_1$, being in contrast to Gauss’s $_2F_1$ in the previous cases.

In the next section, we present these findings on the level 4 multiple $L$-values in detail. Various iterated integral expressions are our basic tools. In Section 3 we introduce and study the motivating Arakawa-Kaneko type zeta function of level 4 and the corresponding multiple poly-Euler numbers (different from those introduced and studied in Sasaki [25] and Ohno-Sasaki [22, 23, 24]). In the final Section 4 we connect the one variable multiple $\tilde{T}$-function to the zeta function introduced in §3 and obtain several family of relations among multiple $\tilde{T}$-values. The formulas and the techniques used in these two sections are more or less parallel to our previous studies.
2 Some results and conjectures on multiple $L$-values of level four

2.1 Definition

In [3], Arakawa and the first named author defined two types of multiple $L$-values as follows. Let $f_j : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, \ldots, r$) be some periodic functions (in the sequel we only consider the Dirichlet character of conductor 4 and its square). For integers $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, define

$$L_{\omega}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; f_1, \ldots, f_r) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r} \frac{f_1(m_1)f_2(m_2 - m_1) \cdots f_r(m_r - m_r)}{m_1^{k_1}m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}, \quad (1)$$

$$L_\omega(k_1, \ldots, k_r; f_1, \ldots, f_r) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r} \frac{f_1(m_1)f_2(m_2 - m_1) \cdots f_r(m_r - m_r)}{m_1^{k_1}m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}, \quad (2)$$

If $k_r \geq 2$, these series are absolutely convergent, and if $k_r = 1$, these are interpreted as

$$L_{\omega}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; f_1, \ldots, f_r) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r < M} \frac{f_1(m_1)f_2(m_2 - m_1) \cdots f_r(m_r - m_r)}{m_1^{k_1}m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_r^{k_r}},$$

$$L_\omega(k_1, \ldots, k_r; f_1, \ldots, f_r) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r < M} \frac{f_1(m_1)f_2(m_2 - m_1) \cdots f_r(m_r)}{m_1^{k_1}m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_r^{k_r}},$$

when the limits exist. As the notation suggests, these values satisfy (under a suitable condition, see [3]) shuffle and stuffle (or harmonic) product rules respectively.

Let $\chi_4$ be the (unique) primitive Dirichlet character of conductor 4, and consider the case of $f_j = \chi_4$ for all $j$. Then it is readily seen by definition that

$$L_{\omega}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \chi_4, \ldots, \chi_4) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r \atop m_j \equiv j \mod 2} \frac{(-1)^{(m_r - r)/2}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}, \quad (3)$$

which is convergent even when $k_r = 1$. To ease notation, and introducing the factor $2^r$ for later convenience, we define the ‘multiple $T$-values’ for any tuple of positive integers $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$

$$\tilde{T}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := 2^r L_{\omega}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \chi_4, \ldots, \chi_4) = 2^r \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r \atop m_j \equiv j \mod 2} \frac{(-1)^{(m_r - r)/2}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}. \quad (4)$$

This is in contrast to our previously studied object

$$T(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = 2^r L_{\omega}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \chi_4^2, \ldots, \chi_4^2) = 2^r \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r \atop m_j \equiv j \mod 2} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}, \quad (5)$$

which we called ‘multiple $T$-values.’ For this, the last entry $k_r$ should be larger than 1. More generally, we introduced in [18, 19] a level 2 analogue of the multiple polylogarithms for $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$:

$$A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z) = 2^r \sum_{0 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r \atop m_j \equiv 1 \mod 2} \frac{z^{m_r}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}} \quad (|z| < 1). \quad (6)$$
In particular,
\[ A(1; z) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{2n+1} = 2 \tanh^{-1}(z) = \log \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = \int_0^z \frac{2dt}{1-t^2}. \] (7)

As is easily seen (parallel to the case of usual multiple polylogarithms), the function \( A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z) \) satisfies the derivative formula (see \[15\] Lemma 5.1)
\[
\frac{d}{dz} A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{z} A(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r-1; z) & (k_r \geq 2), \\
\frac{2}{1-z^2} A(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}; z) & (k_r = 1), 
\end{cases} \] (8)
and hence \( A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z) \) is realized as an iterated integral starting with
\[
A(1; z) = \int_0^z \frac{2dt}{1-t^2}
\]
as follows. We introduce a compact notation of writing an iterated integral. Let \( a \) and \( b \) be points in the closed unit disk. For differential 1-forms \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \ldots, \Omega_k \), we understand by the expression
\[
\int_a^b \Omega_1 \circ \Omega_2 \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k
\]
the iterated integral
\[
\int_a^b \left( \int_a^{t_k} \left( \int_a^{t_{k-1}} \left( \int_a^{t_2} \Omega_1 \right) \Omega_2 \right) \cdots \Omega_k \right),
\]
where \( \Omega_i = \Omega_i(t_i)dt_i \). Here and in the following, every path of integration is considered inside the unit disk. Under this notation, from (7) and (8), we immediately have
\[
A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z) = \int_0^z \Omega_1 \circ \Omega_2 \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k,
\]
where \( \Omega_j = 2dt_j/(1-t_j^2) \) if \( j \in \{1, k_1+1, \ldots, k_1+\cdots+k_{r-1}+1\} \) and \( \Omega_j = dt_j/t_j \) otherwise. Note that the total number \( k \) of differential forms is the weight \( k_1 + \cdots + k_r \) of the index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\), and the number of \( 2dt/(1-t^2) \) is the depth \( r \), the total number of components of the index.

Since
\[
T(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1) \quad (k_r \geq 2),
\] (9)
we have an integral expression of multiple \( T \)-values (see also \[19\] Theorem 2.1)
\[
T(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) = \int \frac{2dt_1}{1-t_1^2} \circ \frac{dt_2}{1-t_2^2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{dt_{k_1-1}}{1-t_{k_1-1}^2} \frac{dt_{k_1}}{1-t_{k_1}^2} \circ \frac{dt_{k_1+1}}{1-t_{k_1+1}^2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{dt_{k_{r-1}}}{1-t_{k_{r-1}}^2} \circ \frac{dt_{k_{r-1}+1}}{1-t_{k_{r-1}+1}^2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{dt_k}{1-t_k^2}. \] (10)

For simplicity, we have suppressed the subscripts of variables of most of the differential forms.
In the same vein, the multiple \( \widetilde{T} \)-values can also be given as integrals. This fact is fundamental to almost all of our proofs of Theorems. First, as in the case of usual multiple zeta values and \( T \)-values, by expanding \( 1/(1 + t^2) \) into geometric series and integrating term by term, we obtain:

**Proposition 2.1.** For \( k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have

\[
\widetilde{T}(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) = \int_{0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq 1} \frac{2dt_1}{1 + t_1^2} \frac{dt_2}{t_1} \cdots \frac{dt_k}{t_{k-1}} = \int_{0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq 1} \frac{2dt_1}{1 + t_1^2} \frac{dt_2}{t_1} \cdots \frac{dt_k}{t_{k-1}}. 
\]

A typical consequence, which we use later several times, is the following identity.

**Corollary 2.2.** For any \( n \geq 1 \), we have

\[
\frac{\widetilde{T}(1)^n}{n!} = \widetilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1). 
\]

If we make a change of variables \( t \to (1 - u)/(1 + u) \) appeared in [19] for \( T \)-values, we obtain from (11) another integral expression when \( k_r > 1 \) (in the case of ‘admissible’ index). For the definition of the ‘dual’ of an index, see for instance [19] §3.1.

**Corollary 2.3.** Suppose \( k_r > 1 \) and let \( (l_1, \ldots, l_s) \) be the dual index of \( (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) \). Then we have

\[
\widetilde{T}(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) = \int_{0 \leq u_1 < \cdots < u_k \leq 1} \frac{2du_1}{1 - u_1^2} \frac{2du_2}{1 + u_1^2} \cdots \frac{2du}{1 + u_{k-1}^2} 
\]

Furthermore, by the series expressions (11) and (12), we see that

\[
\widetilde{T}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = i^{-r} A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; i) \quad (i = \sqrt{-1}),
\]

and hence we have yet another iterated integral representation of \( \widetilde{T}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \):

\[
\widetilde{T}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) 
\]
\[ i^r \int_0^t \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \left( \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{k_1-1} \left( \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{k_2-1} \cdots \left( \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{k_r-1} \].

(15)

2.2 The space of multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values

As usual, let us consider the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space

\[ \tilde{T} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{T}_k \]

spanned by all multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values, where

\[ \tilde{T}_0 = \mathbb{Q}, \quad \tilde{T}_k = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq k, \ k_1 + \cdots + k_r = k} k! \cdot \tilde{T}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \ (k \geq 1). \]

**Proposition 2.4.** The space \( \tilde{T} \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra under the usual multiplication of real numbers.

**Proof.** This is a standard consequence of the integral expression (11), the product being described by the shuffle product rule.

\[ \Box \]

**Example 2.5.** By (11), the shuffle product of \( \tilde{T} \)-values takes exactly the same form as in the case of multiple zeta (and \( T \)-) values. In our case, the \( \tilde{T} \)-values for non-admissible indices also converge and we do not need any regularization procedure. For instance, we have

\[ \tilde{T}(1)\tilde{T}(2) = 2\tilde{T}(1, 2) + \tilde{T}(2, 1), \]

\[ \tilde{T}(2)^2 = 4\tilde{T}(1, 3) + 2\tilde{T}(2, 2). \]

The first natural question would be the dimension \( d_k \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of each subspace \( \tilde{T}_k \) of weight \( k \) elements. We have conducted numerical experiments with Pari-GP, and obtained the following conjectural table.

| \( k \) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( d_k \) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| \( d_{k,ev} \) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 22 | 44 | 59 | 118 | 162 |
| \( d_{k,od} \) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 22 | 29 | 59 | 78 |

It seems that the space of multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values of *even* depth and that of *odd* depth are disjoint. In the table above we also display the conjectural dimensions \( d_{k,ev} \) and \( d_{k,od} \) of the spaces of even and odd depth multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values of weight \( k \) respectively. As far as the table made by numerical experiments up to weight 11 goes, the predicted relation \( d_k = d_{k,ev} + d_{k,od} \) holds. We can also read off the relation \( d_k = 2d_{k-1} \) and \( d_{k,ev} = d_{k,od} \) if \( k > 1 \) is even in this range, but we are not aware of any reason to believe that this holds true in general.

Through the numerical experiments, we found it very likely that the multiple \( T \)-values lie in the space \( \tilde{T} \) of multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values and moreover in the subspace spanned by *even* depth
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multiple $\tilde{T}$-values. This prediction, first announced as a conjecture in a conference, was confirmed soon after (independently) by R. Umezawa and M. Hirose. They pointed out that, essentially, this follows from the path-composition formula for the iterated integrals. We give here seemingly the most direct proof using that formula.

**Theorem 2.6** (Umezawa [29]). Any multiple $T$-value can be written as a linear combination of multiple $\tilde{T}$-values of even depth.

**Proof.** We use the formula (10):

$$T(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = \int_0^1 \Omega_1 \circ \Omega_2 \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k,$$

where $\Omega_j = 2dt_j/(1 - t_j^2)$ if $j \in \{1, k_1 + 1, \ldots, k_1 + \cdots + k_{r-1} + 1\}$ and $\Omega_j = dt_j/t_j$ otherwise. Now, by decomposing the path of integration into two paths, first from 0 to $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and from $i$ to 1, and using the path composition formula for iterated integrals (see for instance [4, Prop. 1.5.1]), we may write this as

$$T(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = \sum_{j=0}^k \left( \int_0^i \Omega_1 \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_j \right) \left( \int_i^1 \Omega_{j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k \right).$$

Suppose that $\int_0^i \Omega_1 \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_j$ has depth $l$, i.e., there are $l \ 2dt/(1-t^2)$’s among $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_j$. Then by (13), $\int_0^j \Omega_1 \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_j$ is equal to $i^l$ times a multiple $\tilde{T}$-value of depth $l$.

On the other hand, by changing the variables $t \to (-iu + 1)/(u - i)$, we see that the integral $\int_0^i \Omega_{j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k$ is equal to $i^{-k+j-r+l}$ times a real iterated integral $\int_0^l \Omega_{j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k$, where $\Omega_l = 2du/(1-u^2)$ if $\Omega_k = dt/(1+t^2)$ and $\Omega_l = 2du/(1+u^2)$ if $\Omega_k = dt/t$. Since the number of $2du/(1-u^2)$ (depth) among $\Omega_k$ is $r-l$ and the total number (weight) is $k-j$, by (13), we conclude that the integral $\int_0^i \Omega_{j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k$ is equal to $i^{-k+j-r+l}$ times a multiple $\tilde{T}$-value of weight $k-j$ and depth $k-j-r+l$. Therefore, we conclude that the product $\left( \int_0^i \Omega_1 \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_j \right) \left( \int_0^i \Omega_{j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \Omega_k \right)$ is $i^{-k+j+r}$ times a product of multiple $\tilde{T}$-values of depths $l$ and $k-j-r+l$, which is by the shuffle product $i^{-k+j+r}$ times a sum of multiple $\tilde{T}$-values of depth $k-j-r+2l$. Since $T(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ is real and the power $-k+j+r$ of $i$ and the depth $k-j-r+2l$ have the same parity, we conclude that the $T$-value $T(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ is a sum of $\tilde{T}$-values of even depth.  

**Example 2.7.** Consider the ‘height one’ multiple $T$-values, i.e., $T$-values of the form $T(1, \ldots, 1, k+1)$ with $r, k \geq 1$. Starting with the iterated integral expression (10) and proceeding as in the above proof, we have

$$T(1, \ldots, 1, k+1) = \int_0^1 \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \circ \frac{dt}{t} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \left( \int_0^i \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \right) \left( \int_i^1 \frac{dt}{t} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{dt}{t} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^r \left( \int_0^i \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \right) \left( \int_i^1 \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \circ \frac{dt}{t} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{dt}{t} \right).$$
Taking the real and imaginary parts of this equation, we have the following set of relations.

Now, by (15), we have

\[
\int_0^i \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \cdots \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} = i^{r-j} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1),
\]

\[
\int_0^i \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \cdots \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \, \frac{dt}{t} \cdots \frac{dt}{t} = i^r \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1),
\]

and by the change of variable \( t \to (-iu + 1)/(u - i) \)

\[
\int_1^i \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \cdots \frac{2dt}{1-t^2} \, \frac{dt}{t} \cdots \frac{dt}{t} = i^{-k} \int_0^1 \frac{2du}{1-u^2} \cdots \frac{2du}{1-u^2} \, \frac{2du}{1+u^2} \cdots \frac{2du}{1+u^2}
\]

\[
= i^{-k} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1),
\]

\[
\int_1^i \frac{dt}{t} \cdots \frac{dt}{t} = i^{-k+j} \int_0^1 \frac{2du}{1+u^2} \cdots \frac{2du}{1+u^2}
\]

\[
= i^{-k+j} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1).
\]

Putting all these together and using the shuffle product formula

\[
\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) = \binom{r + k}{r} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1),
\]

we have

\[
T(1, \ldots, 1, k + 1) = i^{r-k} \binom{r+k}{r} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1)
\]

\[
+ i^{r-k} \sum_{j=1}^r i^{-j} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1) + i^{r-k} \sum_{j=1}^k i^j \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1).
\]

(16)

Taking the real and imaginary parts of this equation, we have the following set of relations.

When \( r + k \) is even,

\[
(-1)^{(k-r)/2} T(1, \ldots, 1, k + 1) = \binom{r+k}{r} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{j/2} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1) + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j/2} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1),
\]

(17)
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1/2} T(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1)
\]
\[+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j/2} T(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1) = 0, \quad (18)
\]

and when \( r + k \) is odd,
\[
(-1)^{(k-r-1)/2} T(1, \ldots, 1, k + 1) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{(j+1)/2} T(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1)
\]
\[+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j/2} T(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1), \quad (19)
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left( \begin{array}{c}
 r + k \\
 r
\end{array} \right) T(1, \ldots, 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j/2} T(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1)
\end{aligned}
\]
\[+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j/2} T(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1) = 0. \quad (20)
\]

For small values of \( r \) and \( k \) with \( r + k \leq 4 \), we obtain from these, together with the shuffle product, the followings:
\[
T(2) = 2 \tilde{T}(1, 1),
\]
\[
T(3) = T(1, 2) = \tilde{T}(1, 2) + \tilde{T}(2, 1),
\]
\[
\tilde{T}(3) = 3 \tilde{T}(1, 1, 1),
\]
\[
T(4) = T(1, 1, 2) = 2 \tilde{T}(1, 3) + \tilde{T}(2, 2) + \tilde{T}(3, 1) - 4 \tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 1),
\]
\[
\tilde{T}(4) = 2 \tilde{T}(1, 1, 2) + 2 \tilde{T}(1, 2, 1) + \tilde{T}(2, 1, 1),
\]
\[
T(1, 3) = -2 \tilde{T}(1, 3) + 6 \tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 1).
\]

The particular case of \( r = 1 \) (multiplied by \( i^k \)) of (16) will be used later in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

\[
i^k T(k + 1) = i(k + 1) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) + \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, 2) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} i^{j+1} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \tilde{T}(j + 1). \quad (21)
\]

### 2.3 Height one multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values

As another application of the iterated integral expression [11], we can compute the generating function of ‘height one’ multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values. Analogous results are known in the case of multiple zeta values as well as multiple \( T \)-values [11 6 19]:
\[
1 - \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \zeta(1, \ldots, 1, m + 1)^{m} \gamma^{n} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - X) \Gamma(1 - Y)}{\Gamma(1 - X - Y)} = 2 \Gamma_{1}(X, Y; 1; 1)^{-1}
\]
and
\[ 1 - \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} T(1, \ldots, 1_{n-1}, m+1)X^{m}Y^n = \frac{2 \Gamma(1 - X) \Gamma(1 - Y)}{\Gamma(1 - X) \Gamma(1 - Y)}_2F_1(1 - X, 1 - Y; 1 - X - Y; -1), \]
where \(_2F_1(a, b; c; z)\) is the Gauss hypergeometric function. In contrast to these cases, now the Appell hypergeometric function \(F_1\) emerges.

**Theorem 2.8.** We have
\[ \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1_{n-1}, m)X^{m-1}Y^{n-1} = \frac{2}{1 - X}F_1(1 - X; 1 - iY, 1 + iY; 2 - X; i, -i), \]
where \(F_1\) stands for the Appell hypergeometric function
\[ F_1(a; b_1, b_2; c; x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n}(b_1)_m(b_2)_n}{(c)_{m+n}m!n!} x^m y^n. \]

**Proof.** From the integral expression (III), we have
\[
\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1_{n-1}, m) = \int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < u_1 < \cdots < u_{m-1} < 1} \frac{2dt_1}{1 + t_1^2} \cdots \frac{2dt_n}{1 + t_n^2} \frac{du_1}{u_1} \cdots \frac{du_{m-1}}{u_{m-1}}
= \int_0^{t_n} \left( \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left( \int_0^{t_n} \frac{2dt}{1 + t^2} \right)^{n-1} \right) \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left( \int_0^{1} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{m-1} \frac{2dt_n}{1 + t_n^2}.
\]
Here, by using
\[ \int_0^{t_n} \frac{2dt}{1 + t^2} = \frac{1}{i} \log \left( \frac{1 + it_n}{1 - it_n} \right) \] (principal value, \(0 \leq t_n \leq 1),
we obtain
\[ \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1_{n-1}, m) = \frac{i^{1-n}}{(n-1)!(m-1)!} \int_0^{1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - it} \right)^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + it} \right)^{m-1} \frac{2dt}{1 + t^2} \]
and hence
\[ \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1_{n-1}, m)X^{m-1}Y^{n-1}
= \int_0^{1} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - it} \right)^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left( \frac{Y}{t} \right)^n \right) \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 + it} \right)^m \frac{X^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \right) \frac{2dt}{1 + t^2}
= \int_0^{1} \frac{1 + it}{1 - it} \left( t^{-X} \int_0^{1} \frac{2dt}{1 + t^2} \right)
= 2 \int_0^{1} t^{-X} (1 - it)^{Y-1} (1 + it)^{-iY-1} dt.
\]
Recall the integral expression of the Appell hypergeometric series \(F_1\) (see e.g. [III, Chap.14 (p. 300)]):
\[ F_1(a; b_1, b_2; c; x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n}(b_1)_m(b_2)_n}{(c)_{m+n}m!n!} x^m y^n \quad (|x| < 1, |y| < 1) \]
\[
\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1}(1-xt)^{-b_1}(1-yt)^{-b_2}dt \quad (\Re(a) > 0, \Re(c-a) > 0).
\]

We put
\[a = 1 - X, c = a + 1 = 2 - X, b_1 = 1 - iY, b_2 = 1 + iY, x = i, y = -i\]
and obtain
\[
\int_0^1 t^{-X}(1-it)^{-Y-1}(1+it)^{-Y-1}dt
\]
\[= \frac{\Gamma(1-X)\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(2-X)} F_1(1-X; 1-iY, 1+iY; 2-X; i, -i)
\]
\[= \frac{1}{1-X} F_1(1-X; 1-iY, 1+iY; 2-X; i, -i).
\]

From this the result follows.

\[\Box\]

2.4 A relation of multiple \(\widetilde{T}\)-values involving Entringer numbers

To state the next theorem, we review the ‘Entringer number’, which counts the number of ‘down-up’ permutations in the symmetric group \(S_{n+1}\) starting with \(j + 1\). Alternatively, the Entringer numbers \(\{E(n, j) \mid n, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, 0 \leq j \leq n\}\) are defined inductively by

\[
E(0, 0) = 1, \quad E(n, 0) = 0 \quad (n > 0),
\]
\[
E(n, j) = E(n, j-1) + E(n-1, n-j) \quad (n \geq 1, \ 1 \leq j \leq n).
\]

Note in particular that \(E(n, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\). For more details, see Entringer [7] and also Stanley [26].

| \(n\) | \(j\) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0   | 0   | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 1   | 0   | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2   | 0   | 1 | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3   | 0   | 1 | 2 | 2 |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4   | 0   | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 |   |   |   |   |
| 5   | 0   | 5 | 10| 14| 18| 16|   |   |   |
| 6   | 0   | 16| 32| 46| 56| 61| 61|   |   |
| 7   | 0   | 61| 122|178|224|256|272|272|   |

Table 1: \(E(n, j)\) \((0 \leq n, j \leq 7)\)

Incidentally, let \(E_0 = 1\) and define

\[
E_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E(n-1, j) \quad (\text{=} E(n, n)) \quad (n \geq 1).
\] (22)
Then \( E_n \) is sometimes called the ‘Euler number’ (see [26]) and is equal to the total number of ‘down-up’ (or ‘up-down’) permutations in \( S_n \). A generating function of \( E_n \) is

\[
\sec x + \tan x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!}
\]

(see [26, Theorem 1.1]). Hence \((-1)^n E_{2n} = E_{2n}\) is the usual Euler number defined by

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{\cosh x}
\]

(see Nörlund [21, Chap. 2], note that \( \cosh x \) is an even function) and the odd-indexed \( E_{2n+1} \) coincides with the ‘tangent number’ (see [21]).

| \( n \) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| \( E_n \) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 61 | 272 | 1385 | 7936 | 50521 |

Table 2: \( E_n \) (0 \( \leq \) \( n \) \( \leq \) 10)

Using \( E_n \), the well-known formulas for Riemann zeta values as well as Dirichlet \( L \)-values of conductor 4 (sometimes referred to as the Dirichlet beta values) can be re-written as formulas for our \( T \)- and \( \tilde{T} \)-values in a uniform manner as

\[
\begin{align*}
T(n+1) & \quad (n : \text{odd}) \\
\tilde{T}(n+1) & \quad (n : \text{even})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\frac{E_n}{n!} (\frac{\pi}{2})^{n+1}
\]

(25)

for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) (see Comtet [31]).

Coming back to the Entringer number, we have the following curious and beautiful relations. Note that the values on the right are not appearing in (25), i.e. the ‘difficult’ values presumably not rational multiples of powers of \( \pi \).

**Theorem 2.9.** For any \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} E(n, j) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, 2, 1, \ldots, 1) = \begin{cases} 
\tilde{T}(n+1) & \text{(n : odd)}, \\
T(n+1) & \text{(n : even)}.
\end{cases}
\]

(26)

**Example 2.10.** Examples in low weights are

\[
\begin{align*}
T(3) & = \tilde{T}(2, 1) + \tilde{T}(1, 2), \\
\tilde{T}(4) & = \tilde{T}(2, 1, 1) + 2\tilde{T}(1, 2, 1) + 2\tilde{T}(1, 1, 2), \\
T(5) & = 2\tilde{T}(2, 1, 1, 1) + 4\tilde{T}(1, 2, 1, 1) + 5\tilde{T}(1, 1, 2, 1) + 5\tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 2), \\
\tilde{T}(6) & = 5\tilde{T}(2, 1, 1, 1, 1) + 10\tilde{T}(1, 2, 1, 1, 1) + 14\tilde{T}(1, 1, 2, 1, 1) \\
& \quad + 16\tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 2, 1) + 16\tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2), \\
T(7) & = 16\tilde{T}(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) + 32\tilde{T}(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1) + 46\tilde{T}(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1) \\
& \quad + 56\tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1) + 61\tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1) + 61\tilde{T}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2).
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. We give a proof by induction on \( n \geq 1 \). The case \( n = 1 \) becomes the trivial identity \( \tilde{T}(2) = T(2) \). Let \( k \geq 2 \) and assume that the assertions for \( n \leq k - 1 \) hold and consider the case \( n = k \). From the identity \( (\ref{T2}) \), by taking out the term with \( j = k \) on the right-hand side and noting \( (k + 1)\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) = \tilde{T}(1)\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \) corresponds to the term \( j = 0 \), we have

\[
i^{k}T(k + 1) - i^{k+1}\tilde{T}(k + 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}i^{j+1}\tilde{T}(j + 1)\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) + \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, 2).
\]

We use the real part of this identity:

\[
\text{Re} \left( i^{k}T(k + 1) - i^{k+1}\tilde{T}(k + 1) \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{(j+1)/2}\text{Re} \left( T(j + 1)\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) + \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, 2) \right).
\]

By the induction hypothesis, we can substitute

\[
\tilde{T}(j + 1) = \sum_{h=1}^{j}E(j, h)\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, \frac{h}{j}) \quad (j \text{ odd, } 1 \leq j \leq k - 1)
\]

into \( (\ref{27}) \) and obtain

\[
\text{Re} \left( i^{k}T(k + 1) - i^{k+1}\tilde{T}(k + 1) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{(j+1)/2}\sum_{h=1}^{j}E(j, h)\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, \frac{h}{j})\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) + \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, 2).
\]

Since multiple \( \tilde{T} \)-values satisfy the same shuffle product formulas as the usual multiple zeta values, we have the following relation:

\[
\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, \frac{m}{n})\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) = \sum_{l=m}^{n+h} \binom{l}{h} \binom{m + n - l}{m - h} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, \frac{1}{l}).
\]

Therefore we obtain

\[
\text{Re} \left( i^{k}T(k + 1) - i^{k+1}\tilde{T}(k + 1) \right) = \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, 2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{(j+1)/2}\sum_{h=1}^{j}E(j, h)\sum_{l=h}^{k-j+h} \binom{l}{h} \binom{k - l}{j - h} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, \frac{1}{l})
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{(j+1)/2}\sum_{h=1}^{j}E(j, h)\binom{l}{h} \binom{k - l}{j - h} \right) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, \frac{1}{l})
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{h=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{(j+1)/2}\binom{l}{h} \binom{k - l}{j - h} E(j, h)\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, \frac{1}{l})
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{h=1}^{l} \sum_{j=h}^{k} (-1)^{(j+1)/2} \left( \frac{l}{h} \right) \left( \frac{k-l}{j} \right) \mathbb{E}(j, h) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1) \\
&- \delta_{k,\text{odd}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{(k+1)/2} \mathbb{E}(k, l) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\delta_{k,\text{odd}} = 1\) (resp. 0) if \(k\) is odd (resp. even). By replacing \(j\) with \(h+j\), the last expression becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{h=1}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} (-1)^{(h+j+1)/2} \left( \frac{l}{h} \right) \left( \frac{k-l}{j} \right) \mathbb{E}(h+j, h) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1) \\
&- \delta_{k,\text{odd}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{(k+1)/2} \mathbb{E}(k, l) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Here, we need

**Lemma 2.11.** For \(k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\),

\[
\sum_{h=0}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} i^{h+j} \left( \frac{l}{h} \right) \left( \frac{k-l}{j} \right) \mathbb{E}(h+j, h) = i^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(k, l) + \delta_{k,l} i + \delta_{l,0},
\]

(28)

where \(\delta_{k,l}\) is the Kronecker delta.

Multiplying both sides of (28) by \(i\) and taking the real part, we have

\[
\sum_{h=1}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} (-1)^{(h+j+1)/2} \left( \frac{l}{h} \right) \left( \frac{k-l}{j} \right) \mathbb{E}(h+j, h) = \delta_{k,\text{even}} (-1)^{k/2} \mathbb{E}(k, l) - \delta_{k,l},
\]

where \(\delta_{k,\text{even}} = 1\) (resp. 0) if \(k\) is even (resp. odd). Therefore we obtain

\[
\text{Re} \left( i^{k} T(k+1) - i^{k+1} \tilde{T}(k+1) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left( \delta_{k,\text{even}}(-1)^{k/2} \mathbb{E}(k, l) - \delta_{k,l} \right) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1) + \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, 2)
\]

\[
- \delta_{k,\text{odd}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{(k+1)/2} \mathbb{E}(k, l) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1)
\]

\[
= \delta_{k,\text{even}}(-1)^{k/2} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}(k, l) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1)
\]

\[
- \delta_{k,\text{odd}}(-1)^{(k+1)/2} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}(k, l) \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots, 1).
\]

This gives the desired identities when \(n = k\) for both even and odd \(k\). \(\square\)
Proof of Lemma 2.11. We use the following generating function of the Entringer numbers (see [26, (2.2)]):
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \sum_{h=0}^{k-l} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{l} \binom{k-l}{j} \frac{x^k - l}{(k-l)!} \frac{y^l}{l!} = \cos x + \sin y \cos(x+y).
\] (29)

Let \( I_1(x, y) \) be the generating function of the left-hand side of (28):
\[
I_1(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \sum_{h=0}^{k-l} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{l} \binom{k-l}{j} \frac{x^k - l}{(k-l)!} \frac{y^l}{l!}.
\]

By (29), we have
\[
I_1(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{h} \binom{m-h}{j} \frac{x^m - h}{(m-h)!} \frac{y^h}{h!} e^{x+y}.
\]

On the other hand, the generating function of the right-hand side of (28) is
\[
I_2(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k} (i^{k-l} \mathbb{E}(k, l) + \delta_{k,l} i) \frac{x^k - l}{(k-l)!} \frac{y^l}{l!}.
\]

Hence \( I_1(x, y) = I_2(x, y) \), which gives the proof of (28), and now the proof of Theorem 2.9 is complete.

2.5 Relation to modular forms

In this subsection, we present our experimental discovery on a possible connection between double \( \tilde{T} \)- (as well as \( T \)-) values and modular forms of level 4 (and 2), or precisely speaking, connection to some ‘period polynomials’ associated to those modular forms. This is
certainly an analogous phenomenon to our previously studied relations between double zeta values and modular forms on the full modular group (\([10]\)). We however could not give a proof and leave it to the interested readers.

For \( N = 2 \) and 4, even integer \( k \geq 4 \), and \( 1 \leq j \leq (k - 2)/2 \), define the polynomial \( \tilde{S}_{N,k,j}(X) \) with rational coefficients by

\[
\tilde{S}_{N,k,j}(X) = \frac{N^{k-2j-1}}{k-2j} X^{k-2} B_{k-2j}^0 \left( \frac{1}{NX} \right) - \frac{1}{2j} B_{2j}^0 (X) - \frac{k B_{2j} B_{k-2j}}{2j(k-2j) B_k} \left( \frac{1 - 2^{-2j} X^{k-2}}{1 - 2^{-k} N} - \frac{1 - 2^{-k+2j}}{1 - 2^{-k} N^{2j}} \right),
\]

where \( B_n \) is the Bernoulli number and \( B_{0}^{n}(X) \) is the usual Bernoulli polynomial with the term \( nB_1 X^{n-1} \) removed:

\[
B_{n}^{0}(X) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \binom{n}{j} B_j X^{n-j}.
\]

We further define \( P_{N,k,j}(X) \) and \( P_{N,k,j}^{(\pm)}(X) \) by using \( \tilde{S}_{N,k,j}(X) \) as

\[
P_{N,k,j}(X) = (-2X + 2)^{k-2} \tilde{S}_{N,k,j} \left( \frac{X + 1}{-2X + 2} \right)
\]

and

\[
P_{N,k,j}^{(\pm)}(X) = \frac{1}{2} (P_{N,k,j}(X) \pm P_{N,k,j}(-X)).
\]

We can now state our conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.12.** 1) For \( N = 2 \) or 4, even integers \( k \geq 4 \), and integers \( j \) with \( 1 \leq j \leq (k - 2)/2 \), write the polynomial \( P_{N,k,j}^{(+)}(X + 1) \) as

\[
P_{N,k,j}^{(+)}(X + 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} a_i \binom{k-2}{i} X^i.
\]

(Each coefficient \( a_i \) depends on \( N \), \( k \), and \( j \).) Then we have the following relation among the double \( \tilde{T} \)-values:

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} a_i \tilde{T}(i+1, k-i-1) = 0.
\]

The \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( V_{4,k} \) spanned by \( P_{4,k,j}^{(+)}(X) \) \((1 \leq j \leq (k - 2)/2)\) is of dimension \( [(k - 2)/4] \), which we conjecture to be equal to the number of independent relations among double \( \tilde{T} \)-values of weight \( k \). The polynomials \( P_{2,k,j}^{(+)}(X) \) are contained in \( V_{4,k} \), and span the subspace of dimension \( [(k - 2)/6] \).

2) For \( N = 2 \) or 4, even integers \( k \geq 4 \), and integers \( j \) with \( 1 \leq j \leq (k - 2)/2 \), write the polynomial \( P_{N,k,j}^{(-)}(X + 1) \) as

\[
P_{N,k,j}^{(-)}(X + 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} b_i \binom{k-2}{i} X^i.
\]
(Here too we suppress the dependence on $N$ etc. in the notation of $b_i$. Note that the degree of the odd polynomial $P_{N,k,j}^{(-)}(X)$ is at most $k - 3$.) Then we have the following relation among the double $T$-values:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-3} b_i T(i+1,k-i-1) = 0.$$  

In this case, the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $W_k$ spanned by $P_{k,j}^{(-)}(X)$ $(1 \leq j \leq (k-2)/2)$ is the same as that spanned by $P_{2,k,j}^{(-)}(X)$ $(1 \leq j \leq (k-2)/2)$, and the conjectural dimension of $W_k$ is $[k/4] - 1$. The conjectural number of independent relations among double $T$-values is $k/2 - 2$.

**Remark 2.13.** i) The polynomial $\tilde{S}_{N,k,j}(X)$ is the period polynomial $r^+(R_{\Gamma_0(N),k-2,2j-1})(X)$ in the work of Fukuhara and Yang [8]. A period polynomial is a polynomial associated to a cusp form whose coefficients are 'periods' of the given cusp form. They computed period polynomials explicitly for some specific cusp forms $R_{\Gamma_0(N),k-2,2j-1}$ on the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$, and exhibited several properties of those. For more details, see their paper [8] and the references therein. For basics of modular forms, see e.g. Miyake [20].

ii) The number $[(k-2)/4]$ appeared in 1) of the above conjecture is equal to the difference $\dim S_k(\Gamma_0(4)) - \dim S_k(\Gamma_0(2))$ of dimensions of the spaces of cusp forms of weight $k$ on the congruence subgroups $\Gamma_0(4)$ and $\Gamma_0(2)$. And the difference $[(k-2)/4] - [(k-2)/6]$ is equal to the dimension of the space of new forms of weight $k$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$. Also, the number $[k/4] - 1$ in 2) is equal to $\dim S_k(\Gamma_0(2))$, whereas $k/2 - 2 = \dim S_k(\Gamma_0(4))$. We could not find how to produce the remaining $k/2 - 2 - ([k/4] - 1) = [(k-2)/4]$ relations of double $T$-values via a similar procedure.

iii) By Fukuhara and Yang [9] Cor. 1.9 (resp. [8] Cor. 1.5), the polynomials $\tilde{S}_{4,k,j}(X)$ (resp. $\tilde{S}_{2,k,j}(X)$) span the $k/2 - 2 = \dim S_k(\Gamma_0(4))$ (resp. $[k/4] - 1 = \dim S_k(\Gamma_0(2))$) dimensional space.

**Example 2.14.** i) For $N = 4$, $k = 6$, and $j = 1$, we have

$$\tilde{S}_{4,6,1}(X) = -\frac{1}{2}X^4 + \frac{1}{2}X^2 - \frac{1}{32}, \quad P_{4,6,1}^{(+)}(X) = X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$$

and

$$P_{4,6,1}^{(+)}(X + 1) = -8 - 16X - 4X^2 + 4X^3 + X^4$$

$$= -8 \left(\frac{4}{0}\right) - 4 \left(\frac{4}{1}\right) X - \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{4}{2}\right) X^2 + 1 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right) X^3 + 1 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{4}\right) X^4$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \left(24 \left(\frac{4}{0}\right) + 12 \left(\frac{4}{1}\right) X + 2 \left(\frac{4}{2}\right) X^2 - 3 \left(\frac{4}{3}\right) X^3 - 3 \left(\frac{4}{4}\right) X^4\right).$$

Accordingly, we numerically (to high precision) have

$$24\bar{T}(1,5) + 12\bar{T}(2,4) + 2\bar{T}(3,3) - 3\bar{T}(4,2) - 3\bar{T}(5,1) = 0.$$  

ii) For $N = 2$, $k = 8$, and $j = 2$, we have

$$\tilde{S}_{2,8,2}(X) = -\frac{1}{17}X^6 + \frac{1}{4}X^4 - \frac{1}{8}X^2 + \frac{1}{136},$$

$$P_{2,8,2}^{(+)}(X) = -\frac{2}{17}(5X^6 - 61X^4 - 61X^2 + 5).$$
and
\[ P_{2,8,2}^{(\pm)}(X + 1) = \frac{2}{17} (112 + 336X + 352X^2 + 144X^3 - 14X^4 - 30X^5 - 5X^6) \]
\[ = \frac{2}{17} \left( 112 \binom{6}{0} + 56 \binom{6}{1} X + \frac{352}{15} \binom{6}{2} X^2 + \frac{36}{5} \binom{6}{3} X^3 \right. \]
\[ \left. - \frac{14}{15} \binom{6}{4} X^4 - 5 \binom{6}{5} X^5 - 5 \binom{6}{6} X^6 \right). \]

We compute
\[ 112\tilde{T}(1, 7) + 56\tilde{T}(2, 6) + \frac{352}{15} \tilde{T}(3, 5) + \frac{36}{5} \tilde{T}(4, 4) - \frac{14}{15} \tilde{T}(5, 3) - 5\tilde{T}(6, 2) - 5\tilde{T}(7, 1) = 0 \]
to very high precision.

iii) For \( N = 4, k = 8, \) and \( j = 1, \) we have
\[ \tilde{S}_{4,8,1}(X) = \frac{208}{51} X^6 - \frac{16}{3} X^4 + \frac{7}{6} X^2 - \frac{19}{408}, \quad P_{4,8,1}^{(-)}(X) = -\frac{640}{17} (X^5 - 8X^3 + X) \]
and
\[ P_{4,8,1}^{(-)}(X + 1) = \frac{640}{17} \left( 6 + 18X + 14X^2 - 2X^3 - 5X^4 - X^5 \right) \]
\[ = \frac{64}{51} \left( 180 \binom{6}{0} + 90 \binom{6}{1} X + 28 \binom{6}{2} X^2 - 3 \binom{6}{3} X^3 - 10 \binom{6}{4} X^4 - 5 \binom{6}{5} X^5 \right). \]
The corresponding conjectural relation is
\[ 180T(1, 7) + 90T(2, 6) + 28T(3, 5) - 3T(4, 4) - 10T(5, 3) - 5T(6, 2) = 0. \]

At the end of this section, we mention a connection to our previously obtained ‘weighted sum formula’.

If we start with the polynomials \( X^{k-2} \) or \( 1 \) instead of \( \tilde{S}_{N,k,j}(X) \), we obtain the weighted sum formulas for double \( \tilde{T} \)- and \( T \)-values. More precisely, for even \( k \geq 4 \), take the even and odd parts of the polynomial
\[ (-2X + 2)^{k-2} \left( \frac{X + 1}{-2X + 2} \right)^{k-2} = (X + 1)^{k-2}, \]
namely \((X + 1)^{k-2} \pm (-X + 1)^{k-2})/2 and make the shift \( X \to X + 1 \) to obtain
\[ \frac{1}{2} (X + 2)^{k-2} \pm (-X)^{k-2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} 2^{k-j} \binom{k-2}{j} X^j \pm X^{k-2} \right). \]

Then, this ‘corresponds’ to the weighted sum formula
\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} 2^{k-j-2} \tilde{T}(j + 1, k - 1 - j) + \tilde{T}(k - 1, 1) = (k - 1)T(k) \]
proved in [3] Prop. 4.2] in the ‘+’ case, and
\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2^{k-j-2} T(j + 1, k - 1 - j) = (k - 1)T(k) \]
proved in [19] Th. 3.2] in the ‘−’ case. If we start with 1 instead, the resulting polynomial is essentially the same.
3 Certain zeta functions and poly-Bernoulli and Euler numbers

In our previous work [2, 18], we studied zeta functions

$$\xi(k_1, \ldots, k_r; s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \frac{\text{Li}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1 - e^{-t})}{e^t - 1} dt$$

and

$$\psi(k_1, \ldots, k_r; s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \tanh(t/2)) \frac{\sinh t}{t} dt,$$

both converge in $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and are analytically continued to entire functions. Here,

$$\text{Li}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z) = \sum_{0 < m_1 < \cdots < m_r} z^{m_r} m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r} \quad (k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}; \ |z| < 1)$$

is the multiple polylogarithm and $A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z)$ is its ‘level 2’ analogue already appeared in (6).

We now introduce a level 4 analogue of these zeta functions by using the level 4 variant $A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z)$ of multiple polylogarithm, which is defined by the iterated integral as follows. The idea is just to replace the starting point 0 of the iterated integral of $A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z)$ with $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

**Definition 3.1.** For $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, define

$$A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\int_{z}^{i} \frac{1}{u} A(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r - 1; u) du & (k_r \geq 2), \\
\int_{i}^{2} \frac{2}{1-u^2} A(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}; u) du & (k_r = 1),
\end{array} \right.$$

with $A(\emptyset; u) = 1$. In particular,

$$A(1; z) = \int_{i}^{z} \frac{2}{1-u^2} = A(1; z) - A(1; i) = 2 \tanh^{-1}(z) - \frac{\pi i}{2}.$$

Note that if $k_r \geq 2$, then we may consider the value of $A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z)$ at $z = 1$, and in fact this was already appeared in the proof of Theorem 2.6. We state the formula again as a proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.** For $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $k_r \geq 2$, let $(l_1, \ldots, l_s)$ be the dual index of $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$. Then we have

$$A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1) = i^{r-k_T} T(l_1, \ldots, l_s).$$

**Proof.** As already done in the proof of Theorem 2.6, this can be shown by the change of variables $t \to (-iu + 1)/(u - i)$ in the iterated integral

$$A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1)$$

and by the formula (13).
We also record here a formula of \( A(1, \ldots, 1, k + 1; z) \) expressed in terms of \( \tilde{T} \)-values, \( \mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, k + 1; z) \) \( (1 \leq j \leq r) \), and \( \log z \). Specialization \( z = 1 \) gives (16).

**Proposition 3.3.** For \( r, k \geq 1 \), we have

\[
A(1, \ldots, 1, k + 1; z) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} i^{r-j} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1) \mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, k + 1; z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k} i^{r-j} \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, j + 1) \frac{(\log z - \log i)^{k-j}}{(k-j)!}.
\]

**Proof.** This can be shown in the same manner using the path composition formula as in the calculation of (16). We omit the detail here. \( \square \)

Now we define the zeta function associated to our \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Definition 3.4.** For \( k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), set

\[
\lambda(k_1, \ldots, k_r; s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \tanh(t/2 + \pi i/4)) \frac{\cosh t}{\cosh t} \, dt \quad (\Re(s) > 0).
\]

Before discussing the convergence of the integral in \( \Re(s) > 0 \), let us first explain our motivation of introducing these functions, in other words, explain how we chose the integrants of these. For this, we recall ‘poly-Bernoulli numbers’.

Poly-Bernoulli numbers, having two versions \( B_n^{(k)} \) and \( C_n^{(k)} \), were defined by the first named author in [13] and in Arakawa-Kaneko [2] by using generating series. For an integer \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), the sequences \( \{B_n^{(k)}\} \) and \( \{C_n^{(k)}\} \) of rational numbers are given by

\[
\text{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad \text{Li}_k(1 - e^{-t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{(k)} \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]

where \( \text{Li}_k(z) = \text{Li}(k; z) \) (in the notation above) is the classical polylogarithm function (or rational function when \( k \leq 0 \)) given by

\[
\text{Li}_k(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m^k} \quad (|z| < 1).
\]

Since \( \text{Li}_1(z) = -\log(1 - z) \), we see that \( B_n^{(1)} \) and \( C_n^{(1)} \) are usual Bernoulli numbers, where the only difference being \( B_n^{(1)} = 1/2 \) and \( C_n^{(1)} = -1/2 \). A multiple version \( C_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)} \) of \( C_n^{(k)} \) is the multi-poly-Bernoulli numbers defined in Imatomi-Kaneko-Takeda [12] by the generating series

\[
\text{Li}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1 - e^{-t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)} \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

The function \( \xi(k_1, \ldots, k_r; s) \) was introduced as the one interpolating \( \{C_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)}\} \) at negative integer arguments:

\[
\xi(k_1, \ldots, k_r; -n) = (-1)^n C_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).
\]
Note that the left-hand side of the definition (37) is exactly the same as the function appearing in the integral of the definition (30) of $\xi(k_1, \ldots, k_r; s)$, and we observe that this function can be realized as

$$\frac{\text{Li}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1 - e^{-t})}{e^t - 1} = \frac{d}{dt} \text{Li}(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r + 1; 1 - e^{-t})$$

and moreover that the function $1 - e^{-t}$ is the inverse of $\text{Li}(1; t) = -\log(1 - t)$:

$$\text{Li}(1; 1 - e^{-t}) = t.$$

Likewise, we see that the function appearing in (31) is

$$\frac{A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \tanh(t/2))}{\sinh t} = \frac{d}{dt} A(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r + 1; \tanh(t/2)),$$

where $\tanh(t/2)$ is the inverse of $A(1; t)$ (see (7)).

Our newly introduced function $A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; z)$ satisfies, by definition (32), the same derivative formula as (8) and therefore, if we follow the same line, it would be natural to consider the function

$$\frac{d}{dt} A(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r + 1; h(t)),$$

where $h(t)$ is the inverse of $A(1; t)$.

**Lemma 3.5.** The function

$$h(x) = \tanh(x) + \frac{i}{\cosh(x)},$$

which is also equal to

$$\tanh \left( \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right),$$

is the inverse of $A(1; x)$. We have

$$\frac{d}{dx} h(x) = -\frac{i}{\cosh x} h(x),$$

and thus for $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} A(k_1, \ldots, k_r; h(x)) = \begin{cases} -i A(k_1, \ldots, k_r - 1; h(x)) / \cosh x & (k_r \geq 2) \\ -i A(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}; h(x)) & (k_r = 1) \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** We know from (33) that

$$A(1; x) = 2 \tanh^{-1}(x) - \frac{\pi i}{2},$$

and so $\tanh(x/2 + \pi i/4)$ is the inverse of $A(1; x)$:

$$A(1; \tanh \left( \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right)) = 2 \tanh^{-1}(\tanh \left( \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right)) - \frac{\pi i}{2} = x.$$

Using the duplication formulas, we compute

$$\tanh(x) + \frac{i}{\cosh(x)} = \frac{2 \sinh(x/2) \cosh(x/2) + i(\cosh^2(x/2) - \sinh^2(x/2))}{\cosh^2(x/2) + \sinh^2(x/2)}$$
\[
\frac{i(\cosh(x/2) - i \sinh(x/2))^2}{(\cosh(x/2) + i \sinh(x/2))(\cosh(x/2) - i \sinh(x/2))}
\]
\[
= \frac{(1 + i)e^{x/2} - (1 - i)e^{-x/2}}{(1 + i)e^{x/2} + (1 - i)e^{-x/2}} = \frac{e^{x/2 + \pi i/4} - e^{-x/2 - \pi i/4}}{e^{x/2 + \pi i/4} + e^{-x/2 - \pi i/4}}
\]
\[
= \tanh \left( \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right).
\]

The derivative formula follows from the definition (32). □

It is amusing to note that this \( h(x) \) is essentially equal to the generating function (23) of the Euler numbers \( \{E_n\} \) appeared in §2.4:
\[
\frac{1}{i} h(ix) = \sec x + \tan x.
\]

By using (40), we may obtain the following estimate and the convergence of the integral (35) in \( \Re(s) > 0 \) follows.

**Lemma 3.6.** For \( k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \),
\[
\mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; h(x)) = O \left( x^{k_1 + \cdots + k_r} \right) \quad (x \to \infty).
\]

**Proof.** We proceed by double induction on \( r \) and \( k_r \).

When \( r = 1 \) and \( k_1 = 1 \), the left-hand side is equal to \( x \) and the assertion is obvious. For \( k_1 \geq 2 \), by (40) and \( \cosh x \to \infty \) \((x \to \infty)\), there exists \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
|\mathcal{A}(k_1; h(x))| \leq \int_0^x \frac{1}{\cosh u} |\mathcal{A}(k_1 - 1; h(u))| \, du \leq C \int_0^x u^{k_1-1} \, du = \frac{C}{k_1} x^{k_1}
\]
for sufficiently large \( x > 0 \). Thus by induction we obtain the assertion when \( r = 1 \).

Consider the case \( r \geq 2 \). When \( k_r = 1 \), then we immediately obtain the assertion from (40) and the case \( r - 1 \) because
\[
\mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; h(x)) = -i \int_0^x \mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1} ; h(u)) \, du.
\]

Note that \( h(0) = i \) and \( \mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r ; h(0)) = 0 \). If \( k_r \geq 2 \), then, again by (40) we may argue similarly as in the case of \( r = 1 \) and we obtain the assertion by induction. □

**Example 3.7.** By the standard integral representation of \( L(s, \chi_4) \),
\[
L(s, \chi_4) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{\cosh t} \, dt \quad (\Re(s) > 0),
\]
we have
\[
\lambda(1; s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^s}{\cosh t} \, dt = 2sL(s + 1, \chi_4).
\]

As in our previous cases (of ‘level 1’ [12] and ‘level 2’ [16]), we may define multi-poly-Euler numbers as follows and connect them to values of the function \( \lambda \) at negative integer arguments.

**Definition 3.8.** For \( k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), define multi-poly-Euler numbers \( \{E_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)}\} \) by
\[
\mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; \tanh (t/2 + \pi i/4)) \frac{1}{\cosh t} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty E_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)} t^n / n!.
\]
(42)
Remark 3.9. The case of \( r = 1 \) and \( k_1 = 1 \) becomes
\[
\frac{t}{\cosh t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n+1}^{(1)} t^n}{n!},
\]
namely \( E_{m+1} = (m+1)E_m \) \( (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \), \( E_m \) being Euler numbers defined in (24). Also it should be noted that
\[
\frac{t}{\cosh t} = -2 \sum_{a=1}^{4} \chi_4(a)te^{at} = -2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\chi_4} \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
where \( B_{n,\chi_4} \) is the generalized Bernoulli number associated to the character \( \chi_4 \) of conductor 4. Hence \( E_{n}^{(k)} \) is also regarded as the generalized poly-Bernoulli number of conductor 4.

Remark 3.10. Sasaki [25], about a decade ago, defined the poly-Euler numbers by using a different generating function as
\[
\frac{\text{Li}_k(1-e^{-4t})}{4t \cosh t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{(k)} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{43}
\]
and studied their properties together with Ohno in Ohno-Sasaki [22, 23, 24]. When \( k = 1 \), this is
\[
\frac{1}{\cosh t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{(1)} \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]
and \( E_{n}^{(1)} \) coincides with the classical Euler number \( E_n \). Also Hamahata [11] defined the poly-Euler polynomials along the same line.

In [24], Ohno and Sasaki further defined the zeta function of Arakawa-Kaneko type by
\[
L_k(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{\text{Li}_k(1-e^{-4t})}{8 \cosh t} \, dt \quad (\text{Re}(s) > 0, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})
\]
which satisfies
\[
L_k(-n) = \frac{(-1)^n n E_{n-1}^{(k)}}{2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}).
\]
However, as far as the authors realize, it is unclear whether \( L_k(s) \) connects poly-Euler numbers and certain multiple series as \( \xi \) and \( \psi \) functions did.

Poly-Bernoulli numbers \( \{B_n^{(-k)}\} \) and \( \{C_n^{(-k)}\} \) satisfy a kind of duality relations (13, 14), while those for poly-Euler numbers \( \{E_n^{(-k)}\} \) (defined by (13)) are unknown. From the viewpoint of the current paper, we shall define poly-Euler numbers with non-positive indices \( \{E_n^{(-k)}\} \) \( (k \geq 0) \) and a related zeta function, and study their properties in our forthcoming paper [14] with Komori.

Remark 3.11. From (20) and
\[
\mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; h(0)) = \mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; i) = 0,
\]
we can show by induction that the identity
\[
\mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; h(-x)) = (-1)^{k_1+\cdots+k_r} \mathcal{A}(k_1, \ldots, k_r; h(x)) \tag{44}
\]
holds (take the derivatives of both sides). Hence, if \( n \) and \( k_1 + \cdots + k_r \) are of different parity then \( E_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)} = 0 \).
Example 3.12. Consider the case of \( r = 1 \) and \( k_1 = 2 \). As in the proof of \([13, \text{Theorem 3.1}]\), using (3.5), we have

\[
\frac{\mathcal{A}(2; h(t))}{\cosh t} = -\frac{i}{\cosh t} \int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{A}(1; h(v))}{\cosh v} dv
\]

\[
= -i \sum_{m=0}^\infty \mathcal{E}_m^{(1)} \frac{t^{m-1}}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{E}_n^{(1)} \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}
\]

\[
= -i \sum_{N=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^N \left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ j \end{array} \right) \frac{\mathcal{E}_j^{(1)}}{j+1} \frac{t^N}{N!}.
\]

Hence

\[
\mathcal{E}_N^{(2)} = -i \sum_{j=0}^N \left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ j \end{array} \right) \frac{\mathcal{E}_{N-j}^{(1)}}{j+1} \quad (N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).
\]

Since \( \mathcal{E}_0^{(1)} = 0 \) and \( \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_N = N \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{N-1} \) \((N \geq 1)\), we have \( \mathcal{E}_m^{(1)} = 0 \) \((m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})\) and

\[
\mathcal{E}_1^{(1)} = 1, \quad \mathcal{E}_3^{(1)} = -3, \quad \mathcal{E}_5^{(1)} = 25, \quad \mathcal{E}_7^{(1)} = -427, \ldots
\]

Therefore we have \( \mathcal{E}_{2m+1}^{(2)} = 0 \) \((m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})\) and

\[
\mathcal{E}_0^{(2)} = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}_2^{(2)} = -i, \quad \mathcal{E}_4^{(2)} = 9i, \quad \mathcal{E}_6^{(2)} = -145i, \ldots
\]

Using the well-known method of contour integration (see, for example, Washington \([30, \text{Theorem 4.2}]\)), and noting Lemma 3.6, we can easily establish the following.

**Proposition 3.13.** For \( k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), \( \lambda(k_1, \ldots, k_r; s) \) can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane and satisfies

\[
\lambda(k_1, \ldots, k_r; -n) = (-1)^n \mathcal{E}_n^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).
\]

### 4 Relations between the \( \lambda \)-function and the one variable multiple \( \widetilde{T} \)-function

As in \([2]\), we consider the one variable function \( \widetilde{T}(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, s) \) defined by replacing \( k_r \) with a variable \( s \) in \([4]\):

\[
\widetilde{T}(k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, s) := 2^r \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r \atop m_j \equiv j \mod 2} \frac{(-1)^{(m_r-r)/2}}{m_1^{k_{m_1}} \cdots m_{r-1}^{k_{m_{r-1}}} m_r^s}.
\]

Then, based on the following integral expression, we can obtain in an almost similar manner as in the previous cases exactly the same relations among this function, \( \lambda \)-function, and the \( \widetilde{T} \)-values. Since the proofs are similar, we only give brief outlines.

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. \([2, \text{Theorem 3}]\; [18, \text{Lemma 5.4}]\). For \( l_1, \ldots, l_{r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \),

\[
\widetilde{T}(l_1, \ldots, l_{r-1}, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(l_1) \cdots \Gamma(l_{r-1}) \Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty x_1^{l_1-1} \cdots x_{r-1}^{l_{r-1}-1} x_r^{s-1}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{\cosh(x_j + \cdots + x_r)} dx_1 \cdots dx_r.
\]
Proof. Exactly the same method as in the proof of [24 Theorem 3] using
\[
\frac{1}{\cosh(x_j + \cdots + x_r)} = \frac{2e^{-x_j - \cdots - x_r}}{1 + e^{-2(x_j + \cdots + x_r)}} = 2 \sum_{m_j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m_j e^{-(2m_j+1)(x_j + \cdots + x_r)}
\]
works, and the iterated integral can be computed to obtain the assertion.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 4.2** (cf. [24 Theorem 8; 18 Theorem 5.3]). For \(r, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\),
\[
\lambda(1, \ldots, 1, k; s) \\
= (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{a_1 + \cdots + a_k \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{c} s + a_k - 1 \cr a_k \end{array} \right) \cdot \tilde{T}(a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_{k-1} + 1, a_k + s) \\
+ \left( \begin{array}{c} k-2 \cr j \end{array} \right) (\tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, r + 1) \cdot \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, s)).
\]

Proof. The method of the proof is similar to that of [24, Theorem 8] and [18, Theorem 5.7] (see also [25, Theorem 7]). Given \(r, k \geq 1\), introduce the following integral
\[
J^{(r,k)}_s = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1; h(x_1 + \cdots + x_k))^{s-1} dx_1 \cdots dx_k}{\prod_{l=1}^s \cosh(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)} (1 \leq \nu \leq k).
\]
We compute \(J^{(r,k)}_1(s)\) in two different ways. First, since
\[
\mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1; h(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)) = \frac{\mathcal{A}(1; h(x_1 + \cdots + x_k))^{r}}{r!} = \frac{(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)^r}{r!}
\]
by the shuffle product and Lemma 3.5, we have
\[
J^{(r,k)}_1(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s) r!} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)^r x_k^{s-1} dx_1 \cdots dx_k}{\prod_{l=1}^s \cosh(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)} \\
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s) a_1! \cdots a_k!} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^k \cosh(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)} \ dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
\times \Gamma(s + a_k) \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_1 + 1) \cdots \Gamma(a_{k-1} + 1) \Gamma(s + a_k)} \\
\times \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} x_k^{s+a_k-1} \ dx_1 \cdots dx_k}{\prod_{l=1}^k \cosh(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)}
\]
Using Lemma 4.1 for the last integral, we obtain
\[
J^{(r,k)}_1(s) = \sum_{a_1 + \cdots + a_k = r} \left( \begin{array}{c} s + a_k - 1 \cr a_k \end{array} \right) \cdot \tilde{T}(a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_{k-1} + 1, a_k + s).
\]
\[\text{47}\]
Secondly, by Lemma 3.5 we compute

\[
J^{(r,k)}_\nu(s) = \frac{2^r}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \left[ i\mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, \nu + 1; h(x_\nu + \cdots + x_k)) \right]_{x_\nu=0}^\infty \\
\times \frac{1}{\prod_{l=\nu+1}^k \cosh(x_l + \cdots + x_k)} x_k^{s-1} dx_\nu \cdots dx_k \\
= i\mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, \nu + 1; 1) \cdot T(1, \ldots, 1, s) - i J^{(r,k)}_{\nu+2} \\
= i\mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, \nu + 1; 1) \cdot T(1, \ldots, 1, s) \\
- \nu \mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, \nu + 2; 1) \cdot T(1, \ldots, 1, s) + \nu^2 J^{(r,k)}_{\nu+2}.
\]

Therefore, repeating this operation, we obtain

\[
J^{(r,k)}_1(s) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{\nu-1} \nu \mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, \nu + 1; 1) \cdot T(1, \ldots, 1, s) + (-1)^{k-1} \nu \mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, \nu + 1; 1) \cdot T(1, \ldots, 1, s) + (-1)^{k-1} \nu \mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, \nu + 1; 1) \cdot T(1, \ldots, 1, s)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} (-1)^{k-j} (k-j-1) \mathcal{A}(1, \ldots, 1, k - j; 1) \cdot T(1, \ldots, 1, s)
\]

by setting \( j = k - \nu - 1 \) and noting

\[
J^{(r,k)}_k(s) = \lambda(1, \ldots, 1, k; s).
\]

Comparing (47) and (48), we obtain the assertion. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.3** (cf. [2] Theorem 9 (i); [18] Theorem 5.5). For \( r, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \),

\[
\lambda(1, \ldots, 1, k; m + 1)
\]

\[
= \nu^1 \sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_k \geq 0} \binom{a_k + r}{r} \cdot \lambda(a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_k + 1, a_k + r + 1).
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.5 we have

\[
\lambda(1, \ldots, 1, k; m + 1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^\infty \frac{t_k^m}{\cosh t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \frac{r-1}{\cosh t_{k-1}} dt_{k-1} dt_k
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{m!^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{t_k^m}{\cosh t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \frac{1}{\cosh t_{k-1}} dt_{k-1} dt_k
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{m!^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{t_k^m}{\cosh t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \frac{1}{\cosh t_{k-1}} dt_{k-1} dt_k
\]
\[
\frac{\sigma(1, \ldots, 1; k - 2; h(t_{k-2}/2))}{\cosh t_{k-2}} dt_{k-2} dt_{k-1} dt_k
= \ldots
= \frac{1}{m!^{k-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t_2} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} \frac{t_k^m \sigma(1, \ldots, 1; h(t_1))}{\cosh(t_k) \cdot \cosh(t_1)} dt_1 \cdots dt_k
= t^{1-k} \frac{1}{m! r!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t_2} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} \frac{t_k^m r^r}{\cosh(t_k) \cdot \cosh(t_1)} dt_1 \cdots dt_k.
\]

By the change of variables
\[t_1 = x_k, t_2 = x_{k-1} + x_k, \ldots, t_k = x_1 + \cdots + x_k,\]
and using Lemma 4.1, we obtain
\[
\lambda(1, \ldots, 1; m + 1)
= i^{1-k} \frac{1}{m! r!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)^m x_k^r}{\prod_{l=1}^k \cosh(x_l + \cdots + x_k)} dx_1 \cdots dx_k
= i^{1-k} \sum_{a_1 + \cdots + a_k = m} \binom{a_k + r}{r} \cdot \tilde{T}(a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_{k-1} + 1, a_k + r + 1).
\]

Setting \(s = m + 1\) in Theorem 4.2 and comparing with Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following which corresponds to [2, Corollary 11].

**Theorem 4.4** (cf. [2] Corollary 11; [18] Theorem 5.7). For \(m, r \geq 1\) and \(k \geq 2\),
\[
\sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_k \geq 0} \binom{a_k + r}{r} \cdot \tilde{T}(a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_{k-1} + 1, a_k + r + 1)
+ (-1)^k \sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_k \geq 0} \binom{a_k + m}{m} \cdot \tilde{T}(a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_{k-1} + 1, a_k + m + 1)
= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} (-1)^j \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, r + 1) \cdot \tilde{T}(1, \ldots, 1, m + 1).
\]
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\(^1\)Ce Xu has kindly informed us of their recent paper [32] with Jianqiang Zhao, where they study more general level 4 multiple \(L\)-values and obtain a similar theorem ([32, Theorem 4.4]) in their setting, of which the following is a special case.
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