Detecting Coppice Legacies from Tree Growth
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Abstract

In coppice-with-standards, once a common type of management in Central European lowland forests, selected trees (standards) were left to grow mature among the regularly harvested coppice stools to obtain construction wood. After the underwood was harvested, the forest canopy opened rapidly, giving standard trees an opportunity to benefit from reduced competition. Although this silvicultural system virtually disappeared after WWII, historical management cycles can still be traced in the tree-rings of remaining standards. Our research aims at answering the question whether tree-ring series of standard trees can be used to reconstruct past management practices. The study was carried out on 117 oak standard trees from five sites situated in formerly coppiced calcareous oak-hornbeam and acidophilous oak forests in the Bohemian Karst Protected Landscape Area, Czech Republic. The evaluation was based on the analysis of growth releases representing the response of the standards to coppicing events, and comparison to the archival records of coppice events. Our results showed that coppicing events can be successfully detected by tree-ring analysis, although there are some limitations. Altogether 241 releases were identified (49% of major releases). Large number of releases could be related to historical records, with the major ones giving better results. The overall probability of correct detection (positive predictive power) was 58%, ranging from 50 to 67%, probability for major releases was 78%, ranging from 63 to 100% for different sites. The ability of individual trees to mirror past coppice events was significantly affected by competition from neighboring trees (their number and the sum of distance-weighted basal areas). A dendro-ecological approach to the study of forest management history can serve as an input for current attempts of coppice reintroduction and for conservation purposes.

Introduction

For centuries, coppice management was common in European lowland forests [1]. In coppice forests, shoots resprouting from stumps (coppice stools) are felled repeatedly at short intervals, providing a regular supply of firewood. Coppicing was often combined with standards (individual trees originating from seed and left to grow mature), providing building material. This
form of management is referred to as coppice-with-standards [2–4]. Coppicing has a much higher disturbance frequency compared to high-forest management because of the much shorter cutting cycle. This has a profound impact on forest structure and function. After felling, insolation suddenly increases, influencing microclimate (soil temperature, moisture), evaporation, transpiration and the nutrient pool [5]. For the coppiced underwood, growth increases for a short period after cutting [6,7] (depending on the species and the site conditions), when the underwood canopy closes again [8,9]. Such cyclic changes affect the growth dynamics of standards [10,11].

The coppice cycle in Central Europe changed from 7 years in medieval times to 30–40 years in the 20th century [4,12]. Due to the use of fossil fuels and transition to modern (mostly high-forest) forestry, coppicing management virtually disappeared from most of Europe, including the Czech Republic, by the second half of the 20th century [1,7,13]. Nevertheless, its legacy can still be traced in coppice forest remnants—decaying coppice stools and standards left in overgrown coppices. Many coppiced forests as well as other cultural landscapes created by traditional management have been recognized to hold high conservation value [1,10,14].

Tree-rings have proven to be a useful tool in documenting past forest management, especially in the case of missing historical records [15]. Dendrochronology is widely used in archaeology to reconstruct past human activities and woodland management [8,16,17]. The identification of releases (i.e. abrupt increases in radial tree growth) represents one of the fundamental approaches to assess the history of forest disturbance, both natural and human-driven [18]. The sudden reduction of competition for light and nutrients in coppiced stands causes immediate growth release in standards [12,19]. In the last decades, a relatively large number of methods has been developed for release detection, which differ in pre-determined criteria and thus in the accuracy of detected releases as well as in the length of disturbance return intervals [20,21,22]. Rubino & McCarthy [22] reviewed 28 different release identification methods, and few more techniques appeared since (e.g. [23,24,25,26]).

The effects of past coppicing events on the dynamics of standard tree-ring increment remain little explored. We found only a few studies, which demonstrated varying levels of success. While [11] considered coppicing a severe disturbance event, [10] showed only a mild effect of felling, which increased the growth of the remaining standard trees by 20% for about 7 to 9 years. [11] achieved high correspondence of detected releases with historical coppicing events, whilst [27] found post-coppicing growth releases at only one of the four forest sites studied.

The objective of our research was to evaluate the possibilities of dendroecological methods to reconstruct coppicing history, assessing (a) the ability of tree-rings to reflect historical coppice events by comparing detected releases to historical evidence of coppicing, and (b) the relative importance of competition in predicting the magnitude of release as a response of standard trees to coppicing events. Our study was performed in abandoned coppice-with-standard oak–hornbeam and acidophilous oak forests in the Bohemian Karst Protected Landscape Area (PLA). These forests were regularly coppiced until WWII, and they still retain their original structure. This enabled us to distinguish standards from overgrown coppice shoots. Standard trees were old enough to cover several coppice cycles, and a number of historical forestry documents are available at least partly in the archives to reconstruct coppicing events.

**Materials and Methods**

A field permit was obtained from the Nature Conservation Agency of the Czech Republic.
Site description

The study area is located in the Bohemian Karst PLA, Czech Republic (49.9134106N, 14.1093536E; Fig 1), and is protected since 1972. It is both a geologically and biologically valuable landscape southwest of Prague, with characteristic karst formations. The bedrock consists mainly of limestone. Mean annual temperature in the area is ca. 8.5°C, mean annual precipitation 530 mm, altitudes vary between 208 and 499 m a.s.l. The area has been inhabited by humans for millennia. It consists of a mosaic of arable fields, villages, and forests (38% of the PLA). The forests tend to be located on hilltops, and are often isolated. The bedrock, specific geomorphology, warm and dry climate with a xerothermophilous flora, and the role of humans have resulted in high biodiversity including protected flora and fauna, often light demanding species dependent on the traditional management.

Since human population has always been relatively large and the need for firewood high, the vast majority of the forests in the Bohemian Karst have been intensively managed. Forests composed of hornbeam, lime and oak were suitable for coppicing or coppicing with standards. Both types of management were practiced until WWII and since then the formerly coppiced trees have grown up to the height of the standards and thus completely closed the canopy.

For this study, we selected the following forest complexes (hills): Koda National Nature Reserve, Kobyla Nature Reserve and Mramor. Koda as well as parts of Kobyla and Mramor are under Natura 2000 protection. Except for a part of Mramor, the hills consist of limestone bedrock and are covered by Calcaric Cambisols and oak-hornbeam forests. The southern part of the Mramor complex consists of sandstone covered by Dystric Cambisol, and is overgrown by less dense oak forests with an admixture of Pinus sylvestris (3%). Within these forest complexes, we selected five mature forest stands with well-preserved oak standards on both limestone and sandstone bedrock dominated by Carpinus betulus and Quercus petraea agg. These were Koda, Kobyla, and Mramor I, II and III (Fig 1; S1 Table). Although all trees were about the same height, standards were clearly distinguishable from overgrown coppice stools by their typical appearance of wide crowns and branches starting at about 1/3 of the stem.

Data collection and analysis

Sample preparation and measurements. Cores were collected from 117 oak standards at breast height (1.3 m, one core each) using increment borers (Haglof, Sweden) in 2012–2014.

Fig 1. Study area (left) and a detailed view of the Koda stand with a year of the last coppice harvest marked (right part).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147205.g001
We measured their diameter at breast height (DBH), and recorded their exact geographical position using a differential GPS Trimble PathFinder Pro XRS. Cores were placed in straw and transported to the dendrochronological laboratory of the Institute of Botany in Třeboně. A thin layer of wood was sliced off from each core using a core microtome [28] to highlight the tree-rings and allow for the inspection of reaction wood and other abnormal properties. Ring widths were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm using the TimeTable measuring device interfaced with PAST4 software [29] and an Olympus SZ51 stereomicroscope. Individual tree-ring series were visually cross-dated using the pattern of wide and narrow rings [30] and verified using the PAST4 [29].

**Detection of past coppice events.** Coppicing affects tree growth similarly to natural forest disturbances (e.g. [23,31]), which means that its history can be traced by the identification of releases. To reconstruct coppice events, we tested two methods of release detection from the tree-rings: (i) the radial-growth averaging (GA) criteria [32], and (ii) boundary-line (BL) criteria [33]. In GA method, the average radial growth over the preceding 10-year period, $M_1$ (including the target year), and the average radial growth over the subsequent 10-year period, $M_2$ (excluding the target year) are calculated. The percentage growth change ($\%GC$) is obtained by: $\%GC = \left( \frac{M_2 - M_1}{M_1} \right) \times 100$. In BL method, mean radial growth in prior 10-years is calculated, and the BL is constructed by dividing prior growth data into 0.5 mm segments with the top ten values of $\%GC$ averaged within each segment. Finally, the curve is fitted to all positive segment averages using function with the highest $R^2$ [23]. The base dataset and formula for the BL followed [11]. To include information about the severity of the disturbance, i.e. coppicing, detected releases were divided into categories of moderate and major to reflect mild and severe disturbance, respectively. The minimum thresholds for both moderate and major releases were adopted from [32,33]. Calculations were performed with R software, version 3.1.3 [34] using the TRADER package [20].

**Comparison to forest archives.** Forest archives were investigated for records of coppicing. Forest maps were digitized and georeferenced. Information on coppice events (calculated from the forest age in a given year) was derived from the map legend and corresponding forest management plans using ArcGIS 10.1 software [35].

In the past, forest stands in the study area were divided into relatively small forest compartments (2 to 4 ha on average depending on the map source). Usually more than one compartment was coppiced in any given year, Fig 1). From historical records it follows that all underwood in a certain forest compartment was harvested at once or more precisely the compartments were delineated to always include the coppice underwood of the same age. It means that the compartment borders could change following the harvest to reflect the actual forest age. The pattern of coppice events therefore differed in space and time even within the same analyzed stand.

Historical records of coppicing and detected release events were compared, with two types of error (c.f. [26,36]): (i) commission error, in which a release was identified with no coincident coppice event in the archives, i.e. false detection, and (ii) omission error, in which coppicing was recorded in the archives but no release was detected. To assess the accuracy of tree-ring analysis for coppice event detection, we measured for each cored tree the sensitivity (measure of commission error, ratio of correctly detected and all archival coppice records) and positive predictive power (PPP; measure of omission error; ratio of correctly detected and total detected releases) [36,37]. A five-year difference was allowed to compensate for both variation of individual growth patterns and possible errors in the archives. Documentation in the archives was not complete. Some records were missing, and the accuracy of releases detected in such time periods could not be verified. Such releases were excluded from the detection accuracy assessment.
Effects of competition. Since all studied forest stands were left to grow without management measures for the last 60 years, and both coppice underwood and seedlings could develop spontaneously, we assume that present stand density reflects the site natural conditions and can therefore explain part of historical tree growth variability, such as in [11]. To analyze the effects of competition by neighboring trees, all trees of DBH over 4 cm at a distance of up to 10 m from the cored standard trees were identified and measured (their distance and DBH), the same way as in [11] and [38]. Statistical differences between the study sites were tested using analysis of variance. To analyze the effect of competition, several indices of crowding intensity (CI) were calculated to account for both density- and size-related neighbour effects and for intraspecific vs. interspecific interference. Because the effects of neighbors decrease with distance from a subject tree, the neighbor tree basal area was weighted by its distance to the cored tree [39]. CIs based on density were calculated as (i) number of all stems within 10 m from the cored standard, (ii) separately for dominating oak, hornbeam, and others, and (iii) separately for multi- or single-stem neighbors. CIs accounting for size-related neighbor effect were (iv) the sum of basal areas of all neighbors weighted by their distance (DWBA) from the cored tree, (v) DWBA divided by the species, and (vi) DWBA divided by the stem structure. Competition and tree growth parameters were related to the response of standards to coppice events (number of releases, mean growth change—MGC, sensitivity and PPP values) using generalized linear models in R [34]. Predictor variables were selected by step-wise selection of model receiving the best Akaike’s Information Criterion [40,41] using MASS package in R [42].

Results

Tree-ring analysis

Altogether 117 oak standards from five forest stands were used for the reconstruction of coppice cycles. The average age of oak standards was 150 years, ranging from 90 to 215. The BL method showed unbalanced results with overestimation of releases at Koda site (S1 Fig, S2 Table) and was therefore excluded from the further analysis. By the GA method, altogether 241 releases were identified, 49% (123) of which were determined as major (Table 1, Fig 2, S2 Table). Standard trees experienced 2.1 release events on average. The highest value was found at Mramor II. (2.6 releases per tree), the lowest at Mramor III. (1.8 releases per tree). Regarding the release intensity, trees at Kobyla site experienced markedly less major releases (0.5) compared to other sites (1.1–1.2 major releases per tree, Table 1). The frequency of the detected releases indicates that coppicing was performed in 20- to 40-year intervals (with the exception of Kobyla, where major releases were detected only in 1894/5). Moreover, release reconstruction revealed that majority of severe events occurred before WWII (97%).

Concordance with historical records

Silvicultural system applied in all five studied stands during the analyzed time span (last 230 years) was coppicing with standards. Forests were coppiced in 25–30 year cycle. In the 1890s, the cycle was extended to 40 years and after WWII, coppices were left to overgrow. Exact counts of standards are only available for Koda stand in 1864, and partly in 1944. Such sparse records do not allow assessment of changes in standard density.

For the historical analysis, ten forest maps and five forest management plans and surveys were found in the archives (S3 Table). Concordance of some detected releases could not be verified due to the missing archival records (no records between 1892–1944 for Koda and prior 1902 for Mramor and Kobyla forests, S3 Table). This accounts for 34% of releases (45 major and 38 moderate), that were excluded from the analysis.
The degree of compliance between detected release and historical coppice events varied (Table 2). While some coppice events remained undetected, some generated high number of releases with up to 86% compliance (expressed by sensitivity). 58% of detected releases agreed with the archives (i.e. PPP), ranging from 58% at Kobyla to 67% at Koda site (Table 3). 47% of archival coppice events were detected by tree-ring analysis (i.e. sensitivity), ranging from 35% at Kobyla to 58% at Koda site (Table 3). The highest proportion of major releases (around 60%) was found at Mramor III. and Koda sites (Table 1). Regarding release type, major releases showed comparably higher agreement to archival records compared to moderate ones (78% of major releases agreed, ranging from 63 to 100% for different sites).

Effects of site conditions

At a distance of up to 10 m from the cored oak standards, we recorded 42.6 stems with sum basal area of 9623 cm² per standard on average. 84% of the stems were coppice shoots, i.e. multi-stem structured (Fig 3A, Fig 4, S2 Table). Stems of coppice origin were mostly hornbeam (Carpinus betulus; 59%) and oak (Quercus petraea agg.; 31.5%). Individual seed-originated trees were mostly oaks (59%), with about one quarter of young stems with DBH < 50 cm. Single stems of hornbeam made up 23%, and were mostly young (three quarters). Some species, such as Pinus sylvestris and Betula pendula were represented only by trees of seed origin. Koda showed significantly higher neighbor density (expressed by both DWBA and number of stems; Fig 4; S4 Table) compared to other stands.

Except for the silicate-based Mramor III stand, the most abundant species were hornbeam (46–63%) and oak (22–38%). Other species forming 3–8% (in order of abundance) were Acer campestre, Tilia cordata, Fagus sylvatica, Sorbus torminalis, Acer platanoides, Pinus sylvestris, and Corylus avellana. The sandstone-based Mramor III stand was very monotonous in species composition, covered by oak (97%) with an admixture of pine (3%; Fig 3B).

Table 1. Summary of cored trees and release events (GA method).

|                    | Mramor I | Mramor II | Mramor III | Kobyla | Koda | Total |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|------------|--------|------|-------|
| No. of cored oaks  | 16       | 16        | 18         | 22     | 45   | 117   |
| Age of cored oaks  | 90–196   | 97–210    | 97–215     | 116–176| 106–196| 90–215|
| DBH of cored oaks  | 125–208  | 144–215   | 120–202    | 125–225| 111–205| 111–225|
| No. of releases detected | 40      | 42        | 33         | 42     | 84   | 241   |
| Average No. of releases per tree | 2.5   | 2.6       | 1.8        | 1.9    | 1.9  | 2.1   |
| Average No. of major releases per tree | 1.2   | 1.2       | 1.1        | 0.5    | 1.1  | 1.0   |
| Proportion of trees experiencing some release | 87.5%  | 100.0%    | 88.9%      | 90.9%  | 97.8%| 94.0% |
| Proportion of major releases | 47.5%  | 45.2%     | 60.6%      | 28.6%  | 57.1%| 49.0% |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147205.t001

Fig 2. Moderate (blue) or major releases (red columns) detected using the GA method displayed in 5-year intervals. Years of coppicing recorded in archives are marked by black arrows. The green line indicates sample depth.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147205.g002
Tree response to the coppicing expressed by the number of releases detected in tree rings as well as the MGC were significantly influenced by the intensity of neighboring competition—number of neighbours showed positive and their DWBA negative correlation (Table 4). Number of releases was also positively influenced by cored tree age, whereas MGC was negatively influenced by mean growth rate. Intraspecific competition from hornbeam played more important role compared to the interspecific one for both response variables. The structure of stands (single-stemmed neighbors) played significant role in MGC giving the same pattern as previous, i.e. positive correlation with the number of single-stemmed trees and negative with their DWBA. For number of releases the role of the stem structure was not significant. Ability of standards to reflect coppice events (expressed by sensitivity and PPP values) was positively related to the MGC, whereas the relationship with the neighbor competition was not clear (Table 4).

Discussion

Our study covered a relatively diverse area of both calcareous and acidophilous oak forests in Bohemian Karst, Czech Republic, enabling us to reconstruct the 100–200-year history of formerly coppiced oak forests growing in different environmental conditions. This time span included several coppice events, but was too short to capture shifts in coppice cycles, which

| Site      | Coppice event | Trees experiencing coppicing | Trees detecting coppicing (major/moder.) | Sensitivity (± 5 years) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mramor I. | 1911–15       | 14                          | 9/3                                     | 85.7%                   |
|           | 1935–40       | 15                          | 0/1                                     | 6.7%                    |
| Mramor II. | 1888–93      | 13                          | 5/6                                     | 84.6%                   |
|           | 1917–25       | 13                          | 2/3                                     | 38.5%                   |
|           | 1935          | 8                           | 0/1                                     | 12.5%                   |
| Mramor III. | 1900–01     | 13                          | 2/2                                     | 30.8%                   |
|           | 1940          | 14                          | 8/1                                     | 64.3%                   |
| Kobyła    | 1894–95       | 21                          | 5/6                                     | 52.4%                   |
|           | 1915          | 22                          | 0/4                                     | 18.2%                   |
| Koda      | 1845          | 7                           | 1/2                                     | 42.9%                   |
|           | 1861–63       | 7                           | 0                                       | 0.0%                    |
|           | 1916          | 14                          | 7/4                                     | 78.6%                   |
|           | 1934–44       | 31                          | 14/6                                    | 64.5%                   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147205.t002

Table 3. Accuracy of coppice detection from tree release (GA method) compared to historical coppice records (± 5 years). Positive predictive power (PPP) express probability of correct detection and is the inverse of the commission error accounting for false detections, whereas sensitivity is the inverse to the omission error accounting for undetected coppice events.

| Site      | Coppicing detected (major/moder.) | False detection (major/moder.) | Coppicing not detected | PPP  | PPP (major release) | Sensitivity |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------|---------------------|-------------|
| Mramor I. | 9/4                               | 4/6                           | 17                     | 57% | 75%                 | 43%         |
| Mramor II. | 8/8                              | 3/9                           | 14                     | 57% | 73%                 | 53%         |
| Mramor III. | 10/3                         | 6/7                           | 16                     | 50% | 63%                 | 45%         |
| Kobyła    | 5/10                             | 0/11                          | 28                     | 58% | 100%                | 35%         |
| Koda      | 26/10                            | 5/13                          | 26                     | 67% | 82%                 | 58%         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147205.t003
usually happened in the 17th and first half of the 18th century [4,12]. Coppice cycles reflected in tree-rings agreed with the general trend of coppice abandonment by WWII or even earlier [13] – 40% of the cored trees experienced the last coppicing in the 1920s.

We are aware of the fact that sample size was imbalanced. Ideally a larger sample size for all sites (such as in Koda) would have been better, but the number of preserved standard trees in the area was limited (all available standards were cored).

Choice of release detection method

The success of coppice reconstruction from tree-rings was sensitive to the method selected for the release detection. From the two methods tested, the BL criteria [33] was found unsuitable for our study for several reasons, mainly because of bias in release number among the sites. For

Fig 4. Competition from neighbouring trees within a 10 m distance from cored standard trees expressed by distance-weighted basal area (a), and number of neighbouring stems (b), mean growth rate (c), and mean growth change identified by GA method. KO = Koda, KB = Kobyla, M1–3 = Mramor I–III. Significance tests are summarized in S4 Table.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147205.g004
this method, we applied BL previously calculated in [11]. Although large datasets of ring width measurements (app. 50,000) are supposed to be necessary [21], a lot of studies successfully fitted BL with a considerably lower number of tree-ring width measurements (see Appendix in [20]), because BL tends to be universal for individual species across stands. However, in some cases construction of local BL is necessary; e.g. for *Picea abies* [43]. Such heterogeneity in

Table 4. Models testing effect of growth parameters and neighboring competition on the tree response to the release (number of releases and mean growth change), and the accuracy of coppice detection from tree-ring analysis (expressed by sensitivity and PPP). Significance was tested in
generalized linear models using software R version 3.1.3. Best predictor variables were selected by stepwise model selection by Akaike's Information Crite-
rion using MASS package in R.

| Variables of initial models (No. releases and MGC): MGR; No. neighbors (all, oaks, hornbeam, other); DWBA (all, oaks, hornbeam, other); age | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(>|t|) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| No. releases | -0.2987461 | 0.5185749 | -0.576 | 0.5657 |
| age | 0.016471 | 0.0034024 | 4.841 | 4.29E-06 *** |
| DWBA hornbeam | -0.0014463 | 0.0006678 | -2.166 | 0.0325 * |
| No. hornbeam | 0.0226908 | 0.0116399 | 1.949 | 0.0538 . |

AIC: 328.19

| Variables of initial model (MGC): MGR; No. neighbors (all, single-stemmed, multi-stemmed); DWBA (all, single-stemmed, multi-stemmed); age | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(>|t|) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model I. for MGC | 7.46E-02 | 4.22E-02 | 1.768 | 0.0799 . |
| MGR | -3.81E-04 | 1.88E-04 | -2.031 | 0.0447 * |
| DWBA hornbeam | -6.19E-05 | 2.47E-05 | -2.505 | 0.0137 * |
| No. all neighbors | 7.89E-04 | 4.40E-04 | 1.793 | 0.0757 . |
| DWBA oak | -2.03E-05 | 1.45E-05 | -1.405 | 0.163 . |

AIC: -326.59

| Variables of initial models (sensitivity and PPP): MGC; No. releases; MGR; No. neighbors (all, oaks, hornbeam, other); DWBA (all, oaks, hornbeam, other); age | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(>|t|) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sensitivity | 0.914319 | 0.208031 | 4.395 | 0.000128 *** |
| MGC | 2.512293 | 0.632153 | 3.974 | 0.000128 *** |
| age | -0.002966 | 0.001243 | -2.385 | 0.018825 * |
| No. oaks | -0.006481 | 0.003534 | -1.834 | 0.069373 . |
| No. all neighbors | 0.003364 | 0.002191 | 1.535 | 0.127586 . |

AIC: 104.73

| PPP | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(>|t|) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (Intercept) | 0.548567 | 0.0638904 | 8.586 | 7.02E-14 *** |
| No. hornbeam | 0.0085018 | 0.0048387 | 1.757 | 0.0817 . |
| MGC | 1.2113676 | 0.7090305 | 1.708 | 0.0904 . |
| DWBA hornbeam | -0.0004111 | 0.0002778 | -1.48 | 0.1418 . |

AIC: 132.47

Signif. codes: 0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1.

Abbreviations: DWBA—distance-weighted basal area of neighboring trees; MGC—mean growth change; MGR—mean growth rate; PPP—Positive Predictive Power.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147205.t004
release response can be caused by differences in genotype, site conditions, range position, disturbance history [21,23] and biogeoclimate [44]. Prior using the known BL, its fit to the analyzed data should therefore be checked [43,45,46]. In our case it seems that application of BL from study of [11] was not suitable, as visible specifically in Koda, where it probably overestimated releases due to substantial environmental differences between the analyzed site and the reference site used to develop the BL. Moreover, GA method shows higher sensitivity for release detection compared to BL. One of the most important steps before applying the GA technique is selection of appropriate criteria and parameters. In this study, we decided to follow the criteria as suggested by [32] instead of more conservative ones (e.g. [47]), not sensitive enough to detect releases of old-age, overstory trees [32,47]. Used criteria afford the detection of local or subtle canopy disturbances [32], which can play important role in the study forest ecosystem and increase the similarity between reconstructed disturbance chronology and historical records.

**Concordance to archival records**

Tree-ring analysis showed app. 50% agreement with historical coppice records. The major releases contributed most to the tree-ring/archive concordance. Accuracy of detection varied substantially among coppice events from mostly detected to almost/completely undetected, and was positively related to the MGC, meaning that with stronger response of the tree to the coppicing higher accuracy to archival records was achieved. Accuracy of detection was also indirectly negatively affected by mean growth rate via its correlation with MGC. In this study, we achieved lower concordance than 90% as referred to in [11], however, study [27] was far less successful in coppicing detection, proving post-coppicing growth releases at only one of the four study sites. The lower accuracy compared to [11] could have been caused by the fact that the analyzed area was much larger and more diverse in both natural conditions and site history. Including both calcareous and acidophilous oak forests not only enabled us to broaden the environmental variability of formerly coppiced oak forests, but also resulted in more complexity, as the site conditions (competition) were proven to significantly affect the ability of trees to mirror coppice history.

Possible causes of errors were: (i) false detection (omission error) because a historical record on coppicing was missing, or the release was caused by small scale (illegal) cutting or environmental variation only; (ii) coppicing not detected (commission error) because historical records were not precise, or the magnitude of the release was too small to be detected. We did not find any records on extreme climatic events such as wind storms or ice/snow damage nevertheless such events may not necessarily be recorded if small scaled.

In error assessment, we have to consider the imperfection/incompleteness of archival records and inaccuracies in forest maps. In case of a time gap in archival records, releases were not included into the analysis to avoid bias, however such releases did not influence accuracy. As mentioned in [11], historical records on coppicing were connected to calendar years while falling was carried out in the winter season or sometimes in two consecutive years. Although some coppice events documented in the archives were detected with very high accuracy, some were not detected by tree-ring analysis at all. This fact might signify inconsistency and inaccuracy in archival records. For example, unrecorded small coppice events or illegal cutting, manifested as moderate releases, would reduce the PPP value. The age of forests was recorded both in forest maps and in forest management plans. However, the given dates did not always agree. In this case the oldest record of a given event was considered. To overcome these inaccuracies, the ± 5 year interval was applied. As stated in [4], some archival records on tree harvesting were related
to plans that were not followed too rigidly. Our study sites comprised several forest compart-
ments with different timings of coppicing. Various archival materials were used to acquire
dates of past coppice events. Differences in their quality could also have contributed to differ-
ences in detection accuracy.

Historical forest records usually concentrate on underwood coppice, and do not provide
details on possible neighboring standard tree felling. Because of the size of standard trees, fell-
ing would have had great influence on the whole forest ecosystem. However, due to their den-
sity (distance to each other), the direct influence on neighboring standing trees would not have
been so pronounced. Moreover, standard and underwood felling usually happened at the same
time, only magnifying the disturbance effect.

Important role of competition

Part of historical variability in the tree growth could be explained by current neighbor density
on the stand, such as in [11]. In our case, the magnitude of release (represented by MGC and
number of releases) was significantly influenced by the neighbor competition. Higher was the
number of smaller trees (especially hornbeam) in the standard vicinity, stronger was its
response to the disturbance event, such as coppicing, suggesting that competition from larger
trees (more single-stem trees of the seed origin) reduce the reaction of tree to the coppicing
event. We did not find any clear pattern in relation of coppice detection accuracy and the
neighbor competition.

Implications for nature conservation

Comparison to documented coppice events in forest archives allowed us to assess the suitability
of dendro-ecological methods for a reconstruction of coppicing history. Our results proved
that coppicing events can be detected by tree-ring analysis through the identification of growth
releases with relatively high accuracy. Considering the mentioned limits, our reconstruction
can be regarded robust enough to be applied for investigation of management history. Cur-
rently, growing efforts to re-establish coppicing for ecological purposes generate needs for
detailed knowledge on the history of coppicing. Attempts to reintroduce coppice management
for conservation purposes should take into account patterns of past coppicing and its abandon-
ment [4]. Thanks to the coppicing legacies preserved in the tree-rings, dendroecological
approach can provide such detail insights into the tree history and can serve as an important
input for coppice reintroduction for conservation purposes.

Conclusions

Our research provides a detailed dendroecological assessment of historical coppice events
reflected in the tree-rings of standard trees. Tree-ring data proved to be a suitable source of
information about past forest management (coppicing). Nonetheless, we are aware of the limi-
tations of the method, e.g. neighbor competition seems to influence the ability of tree-rings to
mirror past coppice events. Our study contributes to the understanding of forest dynamics and
the knowledge of coppice management history, and may serve as an important input for cur-
rent attempts of nature conservation to re-establish coppice forest management.
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played in 5-year intervals. Years of coppicing recorded in archives are marked by black
arrows. The green line indicates sample depth.

**S1 Table. Site characteristics**

**S2 Table. Data used in the analysis.**

**S3 Table. Summary of archival sources.** (FM—forest map, FMP—forest management plan, FS—forest survey SOA—State Regional Archive, PLA—Protected Landscape Area)

**S4 Table. Differences in competition of neighboring trees up to 10 m from the cored standards (ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD test).** DWBA = distance-weighted basal area. Values in bold are significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Acknowledgments**

We thank our colleague Z. Konopová for technical assistance and help with the fieldwork, J. Mottl for valuable advice and assistance in the field, P. Szabo for improving our English, and two anonymous reviewers for valuable comments and suggestions.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: JM VP JA JD. Performed the experiments: JM VP TP PD. Analyzed the data: JM VP TP PD JA JD. Wrote the paper: JM VP JA PD JD.

**References**

1. Rackham O. Ancient woodlands: modern threats. The New Phytologist. 2008; 180(3): 71–86. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02579.x
2. Peterken GF. Natural Woodland: Ecology and Conservation in Northern Temperate Regions. Cambridge University Press; 1996.
3. Rackham O. Ancient woodland, its history, vegetation and uses in England. (Second). Castlepoint Press; 2003.
4. Müllerová J, Szabó P, Hédl R. The rise and fall of traditional forest management in southern Moravia: A history of the past 700 years. For Ecol Manage. 2014; 331: 104–115. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.07.032
5. Keenan RJ, Kimmins JP. The ecological effects of clear-cutting. Environ Rev. 1993; 1(2): 121–144.
6. Corcuera L, Camarero JJ, Sisó S, Gil-Pelegrín E. Radial-growth and wood-anatomical changes in over-aged Quercus pyrenaica coppice stands: Functional responses in a new Mediterranean landscape. Trees (Berl West). 2006; 20(1): 91–98. doi:10.1007/s00468-006-0016-4
7. Deforce K, Haneca K. Tree-ring analysis of archaeological charcoal as a tool to identify past woodland management: The case from a 14th century site from Oudenaarde (Belgium). Quat Int. 2014; 11. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2014.05.056
8. Buckley GP. Ecology and Management of Coppice Woodlands. Springer Science & Business Media; 1992.
9. Peterken G. Woodland conservation and management. Woodland conservation and management. Springer Science & Business Media; 1993.
10. Bridge MC, Hibbert FA, Rackham O. Effects of Coppicing on the Growth of Oak Timber Trees in the Bradfield Woods, Suffolk. J Ecol. 1986; 74(4): 1095–1102. doi:10.2307/2260326
11. Altman J, Hédl R, Szabó P, Mazúrek P, Riedl V, Müllerová J et al. Tree-Rings Mirror Management Legacy: Dramatic Response of Standard Oaks to Past Coppicing in Central Europe. PLoS ONE 2013; 8(2): 1–11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055770
12. Szabó P. Driving forces of stability and change in woodland structure: A case-study from the Czech lowlands. For Ecol Manage. 2010; 259(3): 650–656. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.11.026

13. Müllerová J, Hédí R, Szabó P. Coppice abandonment and its implications for species diversity in forest vegetation. For Ecol Manage. 2015; 343: 88–100. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2015.02.003

14. Sjölund MJ, Jump AS. The benefits and hazards of exploiting vegetative regeneration for forest conservation management in a warming world. Forestry. 2013; 86(5): 503–513. doi: 10.1093/forestry/cpt030

15. Haneca K, Van Acker J, Beeckman H. Growth trends reveal the forest structure during Roman and Medieval times in Western Europe: a comparison between archaeological and actual oak ring series (Quercus robur and Quercus petraea). Ann For Sci. 2005; 62(8): 797–805. doi: 10.1051/forest

16. Billamboz A. Dealing with heteroconnections and short tree-ring series at different levels of dating in the dendrochronology of the Southwest German pile-dwellings. Dendrochronologia. 2008; 26(3): 145–155. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2008.07.001

17. Haneca K, Čufar K, Beeckman H. Oaks, tree-rings and wooden cultural heritage: a review of the main characteristics and applications of oak dendrochronology in Europe. J Archaeol Sci. 2009; 36(1): 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2008.07.005

18. Frelich LE. Forest Dynamics and Disturbance Regimes: Studies from Temperate Evergreen-Deciduous Forests. Cambridge University Press; 2002.

19. Bleicher N. Four levels of patterns in tree-rings: an archaeological approach to dendroecology. Veg Hist Archaeobot. 2013; 23(5): 615–627. doi: 10.1007/s00334-013-0410-6

20. Altman J, Fibich P, Doležal J, Aakala T. TRADER: A package for Tree Ring Analysis of Disturbance Events in R. Dendrochronologia. 2014; 32(2): 107–112. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2014.01.004

21. Black BA, Abrams MD, Rentch JS, Gould PJ. Properties of boundary-line release criteria in North American tree species. Ann For Sci. 2009; 66(2): 1–19. doi: 10.1051/forest/2008087

22. Rubino DL, McCarthy BC. Comparative analysis of dendrochronological methods used to assess disturbance events. Dendrochronologia. 2004; 21(3): 97–115. doi: 10.1078/1125.7855.00047

23. Black BA, Abrams MD. Use of boundary-line growth patterns as a basis for dendroecological release criteria. Ecol Appl. 2003; 13(6): 1733–1749. doi: 10.1890/02-5122

24. Druckenbrod DL. Dendroecological reconstructions of forest disturbance history using time-series analysis with intervention detection. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 2005; 35: 868–876. doi: 10.1139/x05-020

25. Fravé S, White AS. Identifying growth releases in dendrochronological studies of forest disturbance. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 2005; 35: 1648–1656. doi: 10.1139/x05-092

26. Thompson RD, Daniels LD, Lewis KJ. A new dendroecological method to differentiate growth responses to fine-scale disturbance from regional-scale environmental variation. Can J For Res. 2007; 37(6): 1034–1043. doi: 10.1139/X06-300

27. Bridge MC, Winchester V. An evaluation of standard oak tree growth in Ruislip woods, West London. Bot J Linn Soc. 2000; 134(1-2): 61–71. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8339.2000.tb02345.x

28. Gärtner H, Nievergelt D. The core-microtome: a new tool for surface preparation on cores and time series analysis of varying cell parameters. Dendrochronologia. 2010; 28(2): 85–92. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2009.09.002

29. Knibbe B. PAST4 – Personal Analysis System for Treering Research version 4.5. Instruction Manual. Vienna: SCiEM/Bernhard Knibbe; 2008.

30. Yamaguchi DK. A simple method for cross-dating increment cores from living trees. Can J For Res. 1991; 21(3): 414–416. http://doi.org/10.1139/x91-053

31. Altman J, Doležal J, Černý T, Song JS. Forest response to increasing typhoon activity on the Korean peninsula: evidence from oak tree-rings. Global Change Biology 2013; 19: 498–504. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12067

32. Nowacki GJ, Abrams MD. Radial-growth averaging criteria for reconstructing disturbance histories from presettlement-origin oaks. Ecol Monogr. 1997; 67(2): 225–249. doi: 10.1890/0012-9615(1997)067[0225:RGACFR]2.0.CO;2

33. Black BA, Abrams MD. Development and application of boundary-line release criteria. Dendrochronologia. 2004; 22(1): 31–42. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2004.09.004

34. Team. R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing; 2013.

35. ESRI. ArcGIS Desktop 10.1. Redlands CA; 2012.

36. Congalton RG, Green K. Assessing the Accuracy of Remotely Sensed Data: Principles and Practices, Second Edition. CRC Press; 2008.
37. Fielding AH, Bell JF. (1997). A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. Environ Conserv. 1997; 24(01): 38–49. doi:10.1017/S0376892997000088

38. Fonti P, Cherubini P, Rigling A, Weber P, Biging G. Tree rings show competition dynamics in abandoned Castanea sativa coppices after land-use changes. J Veg Sci. 2006; 17(1): 103–112. doi:10.1658/1100-9233(2006)017[0103:TRSCDI]2.0.CO;2

39. Weiner J. Neighbourhood interference amongst Pinus rigida individuals. J. Ecol. 1984; 72: 183–195. doi:10.2307/2260012

40. Akaike H. Information theory as an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In: Petrov BN, Csaki F, editors. Second International Symposium on Information Theory. Akademiai Kiado; 1973. pp 267–281.

41. Johnson JB, Omland KS. Model selection in ecology and evolution. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2004; 19(2): 101–108. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.10.013 PMID: 16701236

42. Venables WN, Ripley BD. Modern Applied Statistics with S. 4th ed.. New York: Springer; 2002.

43. Zielonka T, Holeksa J, Fleischer P, Kapusta P. A tree-ring reconstruction of wind disturbances in a forest of the Slovakian Tatra Mountains, Western Carpathians. Journal of Vegetation Science 2010; 21: 31–42. doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01121.x

44. Ziaco E, Biondi F, Di Filippo A, Piovesan G. Biogeoclimatic influences on tree growth releases identified by the boundary line method in beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) populations of southern Europe. Forest Ecology and Management 2012; 286: 28–37. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.09.005

45. Nagel T, Levanic T, Diaci J. A dendroecological reconstruction of disturbance in an oldgrowth Fagus-Abies forest in Slovenia. Annals of Forest Science 2007; 64: 891–897. doi:10.1051/forest:2007067

46. Samonil P, Antolik L, Svoboda M, Adam D. Dynamics of windthrow events in a natural firbch forest in the Carpathian mountains. Forest Ecology and Management 2009; 257: 1148–1156. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.11.024

47. Lorimer CG, Frelich LE. A methodology for estimating canopy disturbance frequency and intensity in dense temperate forests. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 1989; 19:651–663. doi:10.1139/x89-102