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ABSTRACT

In most cases, sorafenib-resistant HCC cells exhibit significant mesenchymal phenotype and stemness features. In this context, tumor cells might undergo cell fate transition in response to sorafenib or other targeted drugs in the presence or absence of genetic mutations. Therefore, understanding the major characteristics of drug-resistant cells state helps to discover new treatments that overcome drug resistance. To note, little is known about the metabolic or microenvironmental aspects of the certain tumor cell states beyond the genome. This review mainly focuses on the underlying mechanisms of acquired sorafenib resistance based on CSCs and EMT models, which explain tumor heterogeneity and have been considered the major cause of secondary sorafenib resistance. In particular, it discusses how the tumor microenvironment and tumor metabolism regulate cell stemness, mesenchymal state, and sorafenib resistance through epigenetic regulations, and provides reliable targets that might have synergistic effect with sorafenib.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Liver cancer is the second-leading cause of cancer-related death globally, largely because of the limited number of effective interventions for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [1]. Sorafenib, a first-generation targeted therapy, was confirmed to be beneficial for patients with late-stage HCC [2,3]. Unfortunately, most patients did not experience a long-term benefit, largely because of the early occurrence of sorafenib resistance. New drug development has encountered huge obstacles in the next ten years since the approval of sorafenib. Until in 2017 and 2018, several new drugs were approved as first- or second-line targeted drugs for advanced HCC. Defining the underlying mechanisms of sorafenib resistance is still of great significance for other new targeted drugs.

Genomic and transcriptional heterogeneity has been identified especially in patients with multifocal HCC, which is considered the major cause of treatment failures since both trunk and branch sorafenib-targeting mutations are low-frequency events in HCC [4]. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and cancer stem cell (CSC) are typical tumor heterogeneity models and contribute to phenotypic diversity of HCC cells. Stemness and mesenchymal features contributing to primary sorafenib resistance might be acquired at tumor initiation with the help of oncofetal proteins or pathogenic factors [5,6]. Acquired resistance is always established during long-term sorafenib exposure, whereby genomic instability serves as a platform in which random mutations occur in different tumor cells subsequently endowed with different fitness, and sorafenib itself as a selective force favors the outgrowth of drug-resistant subclones. In this context, cellular heterogeneity is characterized by molecular heterogeneity that compensates tumor cells for Raf kinase signaling blockade by sorafenib (Fig. 1). In this context, oncoprotein like phosphorylated ERK might be promising biomarker for sorafenib response [7]. On the other hand, HCC cells gradually transformed into a remarkable mesenchymal state, and Liver CSCs could be enriched following long-term sorafenib exposure in vivo and in vitro [8,9]. This indicates that tumor cells might undergo cell fate transition to become resistant to sorafenib (Fig. 2a-b). However, even liver CSCs displays heterogeneous sensitivity to sorafenib and EMT transformation can be canceled by sorafenib [9,10]. It is because CSCs themselves undergo clonal evolution and EMT can be induced by various signals (Fig. 2a).

Stemness and mesenchymal states had been identified within a distinct group of EpCAM™ circulating tumor cells (CTCs), detecting which was proved to be advantageous for evaluating response to sorafenib (Fig. 2c) [11]. This highlights the importance of defining tumor cell states in monitoring sorafenib sensitivity and indicates that EMT and CSCs are not mutually exclusive. They share common gene signatures, most of which are EMT-inducing transcription factors (EMT-TFs) (Fig. 2d). Emerging studies suggested that EMT-TFs and pluripotency factors can regulate tumor metabolism in response to sorafenib [12,13]. Different EMT states and CSCs are localized in certain microenvironmental niches and closely
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in contact with different stromal cells[14,15]. Hence, this review will specifically focus on the metabolic changes and microenvironmental interplay in EMT transition or CSCs evolution beyond genome, which help us to have a comprehensive understanding of the relationship among tumor cell states, tumor heterogeneity, and sorafenib resistance (Fig. 2e).

2. Tumor microenvironment (TME) and sorafenib resistance

2.1. Sorafenib-induced hypoxia (SIH)

Sorafenib treatment resulted in decreased numbers of tumor vessels and pericyte depletion, and subsequent hypoxia that elicited EMT and resistance to sorafenib.[16] SIH promotes the nuclear accumulation and stabilization of HIF-1α and HIF-2α, and causes subsequent enhanced angiogenesis and transcription of oncogenes that enable HCC cells to adapt to sorafenib [17,18]. Moreover, sorafenib triggers the switch from HIF-1α- to HIF-2α-dependent pathways [19], making such adaptation stronger and fairly flexible. Collectively, HIF family plays central role in hypoxia-mediated sorafenib resistance (Fig. 3a), and increasing degradation of HIF proteins by small molecules restored sorafenib sensitivity in HCC [20,21]. From a CSC perspective, SIH and HIF family could enhance the stemness of HCC cells through promoting the expression of stemness-regulated genes and stem cell markers [22,23], or by downregulating the expression of AR [24]. As we have shown before, applying potent HIF-2α inhibitor or AR inhibitor can significantly enhance sorafenib efficacy in HCC [25,26]. A significant shift of blood supply from relying on angiogenesis to vessel co-option has been recognized in response to the anti-angiogenesis effect of sorafenib [27]. Researchers also identified high enrichment of CSCs in these vascular niches, and close interactions between CSCs and vascular niches mediated by exosomes via the exchange of growth and pro-angiogenic factors under hypoxia [28]. However, the role of such communication in promoting sorafenib resistance has not been exactly elucidated.

2.2. Stromal cells infiltration

The killing effect of certain anti-tumor drugs could be rendered in the presence of stromal cells, which was more pronounced for targeted drugs than for traditional chemotherapeutic drugs [29]. The infiltration of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), and tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) have been proved to be correlated with sorafenib sensitivity (Fig. 3b) [30-32]. Those stromal cells have profound impact on regulating HCC cell states [6], [15]. Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) can induce EMT process of sorafenib-resistant HCC cells by producing extracellular components, diffusible signaling molecules, and activating signals [33]. The distribution of TAMs shows consistency with progressive EMT states (Fig. 3c) [14]. It may due to that TAMs could induce EMT and increase stemness properties in HCC samples receiving sorafenib [34], however, the details of the communication between TAMs and HCC cells remain largely unknown. The key process remains to be elucidated to explain how sorafenib promotes the infiltrations of stromal cells. Some studies pointed out the SIH could enhance the expression of cytokines and chemotactic factor like IL-1β and CXCL5 in HCC cells in a HIF-dependent manner [32,35]. Those factors attract peripheral blood neutrophils and educate them to become TANs, which then recruit TAMs and T-regulatory (Treg) cells that together induce tumor vascularization to survive the hypoxia [32]. SIH also promotes immunosuppression, characterized by increased intra-tumoral expression of programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) and accumulation of TAMs and TAMS (Fig. 3d) [36]. In this context, SIH counteracts the tumor cell killing effect of immune cells, leading to tumor relapse.

2.3. Extracellular vesicles

Drug-resistant cells benefited from surrounding drug-sensitive cells in response to targeted drug whereby “secretomes” derived from drug-sensitive cells attract drug-resistant cells and foster their outgrowth [37]. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) might be the major part of these “secretomes”, and are originated from either stromal cells or tumor cells. Exosomes and their cargos such as miRNAs, modulate sorafenib sensitivity in vivo and in vitro [38]. Little publications are available in the literature that address the mechanisms of exosome-mediated sorafenib resistance. Recent studies suggested that hypoxia and HIF family increased the generation and secretion of exosomes and induced the transcription of exosomal cargo, especially microRNAs (miRNAs) and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) [39]. A large amount of exosomal miRNAs and lncRNAs could promote EMT and transfer mesenchymal phenotype to the recipient tumor cell [40].
Moreover, as discussed previously, exosomes mediate the communication between stem cells and vascular niches and between CAFs and mesenchymal cells. Collectively, we assume that exosomes might mediate sorafenib resistance by promoting CSC phenotypes, EMT, or adaptation to hypoxic conditions.

3. Tumor metabolism and sorafenib resistance

3.1. Metabolic switch of glucose metabolism and alternative energy sources

Metabolic processes are demonstrated to exhibit consistent prognostic patterns, and they are associated with the sensitivity of drugs in clinical use. 2-deoxy-D-glucose, a common glycolytic inhibitor, could drastically inhibit the growth of sorafenib-resistant cells [41]. Glucose uptake and lactate export have also been enhanced in response to sorafenib [42]. Key enzymes in glycolysis including PFKFB3, HK2, and PKM2 have been demonstrated overexpression in HCC patients or sorafenib-resistant HCC cell lines to increase glycolytic flux and enhance glycolysis [43]. Silencing these enzymes has shown synergetic effect with sorafenib [44-46]. In addition, glycolysis under hypoxic environments exhibits high dependency on HIF family, especially in aggressive HCC [47]. Interestingly, these metabolic enzymes even could directly bind to HIF-1α or forms a positive feedback loop with HIF-1α at transcriptional level [45,48]. Inhibiting glycolysis by specific molecule or by targeting key enzymes of glycolysis is effective strategy to attenuates sorafenib resistance especially under SIH.

Metabolic switch of glucose metabolism might be more pronounced in CSCs. Glucose uptake is remarkably increased in liver CSCs via the preferential expression of the certain glucose transporters, inhibition of which can increase the sensitivity to sorafenib in vivo [49]. Low levels of total and phosphorylated AMPK, which is a low energy sensor that favors oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), had been detected in sorafenib-resistant stem-like HCC cells and promotes the expression of stemness-related genes through regulating HIF-1α level [50]. Those suggest that liver CSCs are highly dependent on glycolysis, but we cannot then exclude the potential role of OXPHOS in stemness regulation. Mitophagy has been proved to regulate the sensitivity of sorafenib in HCC. Mild mitophagy mediated drug resistance by degradation of sorafenib-damaged mitochondrial while excessive mitophagy exacerbated sorafenib-induced apoptosis [52,53]. Collectively, mitochondrial function and OXPHOS are involved in the regulation of CSC-mediated sorafenib resistance. SIRT1/MRPS5 axis bridges the stemness properties and OXPHOS regulation. Moreover, a switch from OXPHOS to glycolysis in response to hypoxia depends on the acetylation status of MRPS5 protein [51], representing a metabolic plasticity in liver CSCs. Altogether, energy addiction is a striking characteristics of liver CSCs, featured by both addicted glycolysis and sustained OXPHOS.
Given that glucose flows more to the glycolysis pathway in CSCs, there might be alternative energy sources for liver CSCs to complete Krebs cycle (TCA cycle) and fuel OXPHOS (Fig. 4a). Fatty acid addiction and enhanced fatty acid oxidation (FAO) have been observed during CTNNB1-mutated HCC tumorigenesis [54], and can be activated by NANOG to support the self-renewal ability of CSCs and sorafenib resistance [13]. Glutamate oxidation becomes the main energy source for OXPHOS in HCC cell line under aglycemia [55]. Overall, these findings revealed the plasticity of energy metabolism in liver CSCs and their contribution to sorafenib resistance. NANOG, MYC, and CTNNB1 are key genes regulating the crosstalk of cancer stemness, energy metabolism, and sorafenib resistance in HCC.

3.2. Lactate links tumor metabolism to TME

Lactate is the main byproduct of glycolysis. Studies have shown that tumor cells can utilize lactate in the TME through multiple pathways to survive the targeted drugs. A lactate shuttle was first identified between CAFs and tumor cells, which help tumor cells remove excess lactate [56]. Moreover, accumulated lactate can act as a signaling molecule and directly stimulate CAFs to secrete growth factors and cytokines, that can be utilized by tumor cells to establish adaptive resistance to targeted drugs [57]. Similar phenomena may also occur in sorafenib-resistant HCC, but there are currently no relevant data clarifying this possibility. The co-existence of hypoxic and normoxic regions has been identified inside tumors in terms of the relative proximity to blood vessels in vivo [58]. Those two regions surprisingly form metabolic symbiosis by shutting lactate via distinct expression patterns of glucose and lactate transporters or metabolic enzymes in a HIF-1α-dependent manner. This phenomenon is consistent with the idea that tumor cells maintain high rates of both glycolysis and OXPHOS, whereas addiction to glycolysis occurs only in the core of the tumor under hypoxia. Above all, lactate or other substances have more diverse functions than just metabolites in the development of sorafenib resistance.

3.3. Sorafenib-induced oxidative stress and reactive oxygen species (ROS) control

As for the reliance of HCC cells on oxidative stress response for growth advantage and sorafenib sensitivity, sorafenib itself exerts a positive influence on ROS production in HCC by targeting mitochondrial electron transport chain complexes and ATP synthases [59]. Meanwhile, using dichloroacetate (DCA), a pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) inhibitor, reversed sorafenib resistance in highly glycolysis-addicted HCC cells. However, such a reversal is not attributed to the suppression of glycolysis nor the additional inhibition of ERK signaling, but enhanced ROS production and ROS-induced apoptosis [60]. Thus, ROS control plays a crucial role in the development of sorafenib resistance in HCC.

Glutathione (GSH) synthesis plays central role in ROS control. β-catenin and c-Myc are proved to be the key proteins in GSH-dependent stemness maintenance and sorafenib resistance [61,62]. In contrast, decreased glutaminolysis mediated by glutamine synthetase (GS) contributes to enhanced sensitivity to sorafenib in HCC [63]. Tumor cells undergoing EMT acquire metastasis potential and escape from anoikis, a cell death program induced by ATP deficiency due to ECM detachment. Moreover, one study assessed the correlation between mesenchymal states scored by several sets of gene signatures and drug AUC, confirming that the contribution of the mesenchymal state to therapeutic resistance is highly dependent on GPX4, a glutathione peroxidase that act against lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis, a form of oxidative necrosis [12]. Ferroptosis can be induced...
by several compounds including sorafenib. Activation of the p62/Keap1/NRF2 pathway protected against sorafenib-induced ferroptosis by directly modulating ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) metabolism genes in HCC [64]. NRF2 is a master regulator of redox homeostasis and mediates the overexpression and activation of antioxidants including MTIG, TXNRD1, MTHFD1L, and NADPH, all of which have been proven to overcome sorafenib-induced oxidative stress [65-68]. In this context, antioxidants mediate EMt-induced sorafenib resistance by supporting the high ATP consumption in HCC. FGF19/FGFR4 axis recently becomes a promising target for the treatment of HCC. It has been reported that FGF19/FGFR4 inhibited sorafenib-induced ROS generation and apoptosis [69], and was the upstream of NRF2 [70]. But more studies are needed to demonstrate the potential role of FGF19/FGFR4 in NRF2-mediated anti-ferroptosis. Altogether, ROS-mediated damage potentiates the antitumor effect of sorafenib and ROS control plays key role in cell state regulation and sorafenib resistance (Fig. 4b).

4. Epigenetic regulation links microenvironmental or metabolic changes to cell state transition

4.1. Stromal cell infiltration and chromatin remodeling

Researchers have identified different EMT tumor subpopulations which are spatially organized in particular microenvironments with the infiltration of specific stromal populations, especially macrophages [14]. They applied ATAC-seq analysis and unraveled stepwise and very specific chromatin remodeling in the different EMT states. But they didn’t uncover mechanisms underlying how macrophages infiltration facilitates chromatin remodeling in tumor cells. As we discussed before, infiltration of stromal cells might be a striking characteristic of EMT-related sorafenib resistance in HCC. Cytokines and chemokines secreted from immune cells such as TGF-β, IL-6, HGF, and COX2 might be responsible for the stroma-mediated cell state transition and sorafenib resistance, given that TGF-β/SMAD, IL-6/STAT3, HGF/MET, COX2/HIF-1α and TNF-α/NF-κB pathways have been widely recognized as inducers of EMT, stemness, and sorafenib resistance [18,22,29,34]. Activation of these pathways in HCC leads to global enhanced transcriptional activity including those DNA and histone methylation modifiers that further enhance transcription of oncogenes such as IGF2, another key factor of cell state regulation and sorafenib resistance [77]. It has been reported that epigenetic reconditioning by using demethylating compound 5-azacytidine (5-AZA) improved sorafenib sensitivity in HCC.

Fig. 4. Metabolic homeostasis in sorafenib resistance. (a) OXPHOS is sustained in liver CSCs, and glutamine, fatty acids and acetate could be alternative energy sources to fuel HCC cells under sorafenib-induced hypoxia and relative glucose deprivation. (b) Redox production including GSH, NAPDH and thioredoxin involves multiple metabolic pathways and plays central role in against sorafenib-induced oxidative stress, especially in EMT process. NRF2 plays the key role in (c) Enhanced proteins, lipids and nucleotides biosynthesis are crucial to maintain cell structure, support DNA repair and supply pro-survival growth signals. Abbreviations: OXPHOS, Oxidative phosphorylation; TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle; GSH, glutathione; NADPH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; R5P, Ribose 5-phosphate; ROS, reactive oxygen species.
that post-translational modifications have been studied under SIH in HCC [20,23]. SIRT1-mediated deacetylation of HIF family including SUMOylation and ubiquitination have also been found instructing CAFs to produce HGF for tumors to survive the killing effect of sorafenib [57]. Recent studies also report that lactate and acetyl-CoA could act as substrates for histone modifications that epigenetically promote EMT and M2 polarization under hypoxia [80,81]. On the other hand, metabolic enzymes also have a direct role in regulating transcription and translation in response to hypoxia and sorafenib treatment [45]. Proline and hydroxyproline metabolism could modulate HIF1α stability through inhibiting hydroxylation of HIF1α protein and subsequent pVHL-mediated degradation [82]. Other post-translational modifications of HIF family including SUMOylation and ubiquitination have also been well studied under SIH in HCC [20,23]. SIRT1-mediated deacetylation controls the dual function of MRPS5 in regulating the switch of mitochondrial-dependent energy supply to glycolysis in liver CSCs under hypoxia [51]. Attenuated phosphorylation of ACC1 by AMPK improved tumor survival under sorafenib treatment [75]. These findings confirm that post-translational modification is an important pathway for regulating tumor adaptation to sorafenib during metabolic reprogramming.

Fig. 5. Epigenetic regulation links microenvironmental or metabolic changes to cell state transition. Abbreviation: m6A, N6-methyladenosine; ncRNAs, non-coding RNAs.

4.2. Metabolic changes and post-translational modifications

Hypoxia and HIF family mediate the upregulation and secretion of cytokines and chemokines from stromal cells under sorafenib treatment. We revealed that metabolic changes under SIH directly induced epigenetic regulations (Fig. 5). Metabolites like lactate could act as a direct signal instructing CAFs to produce HGF for tumors to survive the killing effect of sorafenib [57]. Recent studies also report that lactate and acetyl-CoA could act as substrates for histone modifications that epigenetically promote EMT and M2 polarization under hypoxia [80,81]. On the other hand, metabolic enzymes also have a direct role in regulating transcription and translation in response to hypoxia and sorafenib treatment [45]. Proline and hydroxyproline metabolism could modulate HIF1α stability through inhibiting hydroxylation of HIF1α protein and subsequent pVHL-mediated degradation [82]. Other post-translational modifications of HIF family including SUMOylation and ubiquitination have also been well studied under SIH in HCC [20,23]. SIRT1-mediated deacetylation controls the dual function of MRPS5 in regulating the switch of mitochondrial-dependent energy supply to glycolysis in liver CSCs under hypoxia [51]. Attenuated phosphorylation of ACC1 by AMPK improved tumor survival under sorafenib treatment [75]. These findings confirm that post-translational modification is an important pathway for regulating tumor adaptation to sorafenib during metabolic reprogramming.

4.3. Post-transcriptional regulation

ncRNAs are emerging key regulators of post-transcriptional activity in cancers. miRNAs are most frequently studied and some of them have been proved to be significantly dysregulated in HCC, promoting tumor progression and sorafenib resistance [83,84]. Consistent with the idea that certain cell states determine drug sensitivity, miRNAs, as well as other ncRNAs including lncRNAs that mostly act as sponges of miRNAs, modulated sorafenib sensitivity through regulating EMT and stemness in HCC [85]. There are no studies reporting the role of circular RNAs (circRNAs) in sorafenib resistance yet, however, circRNA was involved in regulating stemness features of HCC cells [86]. In addition, acidic microenvironment, energy stress, and immune cell infiltration enhanced the transcription of ncRNAs in HCC cells, and promoted EMT, stemness, and angiogenesis in a HIF-dependent manner or with the help of stroma-derived growth factors [15,27,87,88]. LncRNAs could also directly bind to chromatin-remodeling complex to increase stemness features of liver CSCs [89], and could be transcriptionally driven by EMT-TFs to regulate EMT process in turn [90]. These findings shed new insights into ncRNA-mediated interplay among microenvironment, metabolism, and tumor cell states in HCC, and provide ideas for further research of ncRNA-mediated cell state transition in sorafenib resistance.

RNA processing has attracted much attention in tumor regulation and endow HCC cells with molecular heterogeneity at post-transcriptional level, which is the key factor modulating sorafenib sensitivity. One study revealed that splicing factors and mRNA splicing are involved in sorafenib resistance through regulating glucose metabolism [73]. Still, little is known about the role of RNA processing in cell state transition and sorafenib resistance. ncRNAs could directly bind to splicing factor and RNA helicases, while m6A and splicing factors regulates processing and splicing of ncRNAs. In this context, ncRNAs together with RNA processing establish a complex epigenetic regulation network, which might have great impact on diverse responses of tumor cells towards treatment (Fig. 5).

5. Conclusion and outstanding questions

CSCs and EMT models provide cellular and molecular heterogeneity that endow HCC cells with diverse plasticity and fitness advantages in response to sorafenib. One reason for the great attention paid to immunotherapy is that it exerts tumor killing effect at cell-to-cell level unlike sorafenib, regardless of the compensation of the intracellular signaling pathway network. In this context, targeting tumor cell with certain states, namely mesenchymal and stemness states which are associated with adaptive resistance to sorafenib, might be a promising combinational strategy with sorafenib or other TKIs. Hence, we elucidated the striking features of HCC cells with certain states from microenvironmental and metabolic perspectives. We found that liver CSCs and mesenchymal cells were in close contact with the stroma under sorafenib treatment. Extracellular components, diffusible signaling molecules, and activating signals mediated such communication in an autocrine, paracrine, or EVs-dependent manner. With respect to metabolic alterations, liver CSCs and mesenchymal cells exhibit high dependencies on energy supply, redox homeostasis, and enhanced biosynthesis. Key regulators like NANOG, c-MYC, and β-catenin mediated the interplay between tumor metabolism and cell fate transition. Moreover, sorafenib-induced hypoxia and HIF family were common causes of these metabolic and microenvironmental changes, and play central roles in regulating stem cell...
specification, EMT, metabolic reprogramming, vascularization, immune suppression, and their crosstalk in sorafenib resistance. At last, we found that epigenetic alterations are frequent events within HCC cells in response to sorafenib, linking microenvironmental or metabolic changes to cell state transition. We also summarized the preclinical practices of drugs in combination with sorafenib, hoping to provide future directions for the development of new treatment for HCC patients (Table 1).

Future research needs to improve three aspects: (1) undiscovered associations between tumor metabolism, tumor microenvironment, tumor cell status, and sorafenib sensitivity. (2) advances in liquid biopsy to detect the mesenchymal or stemness states of tumor cells, which allow researchers to better discriminate and monitor sorafenib sensitivity among HCC patients. (3) new strategies targeting sorafenib-resistant tumors based on their high dependencies on tumor microenvironment and metabolic reprogramming.

6. Search strategy and selection criteria

Data for this Review were identified by searches of PubMed, and references from relevant articles using the search terms “sorafenib” and “hepatocellular carcinoma”. Most of references are articles published in English between 2008 and 2019 were included, while few of them are reviews to explain well-known concepts.
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