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Abstract
In this paper, we obtain a rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for high order weighted Hermite variations of the fractional Brownian motion. The proof is based on the techniques of Malliavin calculus and the quantitative stable limit theorems proved by Nourdin et al. (Ann Probab 44:1–41, 2016).
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1 Introduction
The fractional Brownian motion \( B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\} \) is characterized by being a zero-mean Gaussian self-similar process with stationary increments and variance \( E(B_t^2) = t^{2H} \). The self-similarity index \( H \in (0, 1) \) is called the Hurst parameter. The fractional Brownian motion was first introduced by Kolmogorov in 1940. However, the landmark paper by Mandelbrot and van Ness [7] gave fractional Brownian motion its name and inspired much of the modern literature on the subject.

The study of single path behavior of stochastic processes often uses their power variations. In particular, the fractional Brownian motion is known to have a \( 1/H \)-variation on any finite time interval equal to the length of the interval multiplied by the constant \( \kappa_H = E[|Z^{1/H}|] \), where \( Z \) is a \( N(0, 1) \) random variable. That means, if

\[ \kappa_H = E[|Z^{1/H}|] \]
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we consider the uniform partition of the interval $[0, 1]$ into $n \geq 1$ intervals and for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ we denote $\Delta B_k/n = B_{(k+1)/n} - B_k/n$, we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta B_k/n|^{1/H} \to \kappa_H,
\]
where the convergence holds almost surely and in $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p \geq 2$. A central limit theorem associated with this approximation can be obtained by expanding the function $|x|^{1/H}$ into Hermite polynomials. In particular, as a consequence of the Breuer–Major theorem [5], for each integer $q \geq 2$ such that $H < 1 - \frac{1}{2q}$, we have the convergence in law
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} H_q(n^H \Delta B_k/n) \overset{\mathcal{L}}{\to} N(0, \sigma_{H,q}^2),
\]
where $H_q$ is the $q$th Hermite polynomial and
\[
\sigma_{H,q}^2 = q! \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho_H(k)^q.
\]
(1.1)

Here
\[
\rho_H(k) = \frac{1}{2}(|k + 1|^{2H} + |k - 1|^{2H} - 2|k|^{2H}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
\]
denotes the covariance of the stationary sequence $\{B_{k+1} - B_k, k \geq 0\}$.

There has been intensive research on the asymptotic behavior of the weighted Hermite variations of the fractional Brownian motion $B$, defined by
\[
F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(B_k/n) H_q(n^H \Delta B_k/n),
\]
(1.3)

where $f$ is a given function. The analysis of the asymptotic behavior of these quantities is motivated, for instance, by the study of the exact rates of convergence of some approximation schemes of scalar stochastic differential equations driven by the fractional Brownian motion (see, for instance, [6,9]), in addition to the traditional applications of $q$-variations to parameter estimation problems. Additionally, as discovered in [10], new phenomena arise in the presence of weights. These phenomena were more fully studied in [14] and [16].

It was shown by Nourdin, Nualart, and Tudor in [14] that, when $\frac{1}{2q} < H < 1 - \frac{1}{2q}$, the sequence $F_n$ defined in (1.3) converges in law to a mixture of Gaussian distributions. More precisely, the following stable convergence holds as $n$ tends to infinity
\[
(B, F_n) \overset{\mathcal{L}}{\to} \left( B, \sigma_{H,q} \int_0^1 f(B_s)dW_s \right),
\]
(1.4)

where $W = \{W_t, t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of $B$, and $\sigma_{H,q}$ is given by (1.1). For $H$ outside the interval $(\frac{1}{2q}, 1 - \frac{1}{2q})$, different phenomena occur.
Specifically, it was shown in [12] that when \( 0 < H < \frac{1}{2q} \), \( n^{qH-H/2}F_n \) converges in \( L^2(\Omega) \) to \( (-2)^{-q} \int_0^1 f^{(q)}(B_s) \, ds \), and when \( 1 - \frac{1}{2q} < H < 1 \), \( n^{q(1-H)-1/2}F_n \) converges in \( L^2(\Omega) \) to \( \int_0^1 f(B_s) \, dZ^q_s \) where \( Z^q \) is the Hermite process. In the critical case \( H = \frac{1}{2q} \), there is convergence in law to a linear combination of the \( H < \frac{1}{2q} \) and \( \frac{1}{2q} < H < 1 - \frac{1}{2q} \) cases, and in the critical case \( H = 1 - \frac{1}{2q} \), there is convergence in law with an additional logarithmic factor (see [12]).

We recall the assumption \( \frac{1}{2q} < H < 1 - \frac{1}{2q} \), under which convergence of the sequence \( F_n \) was shown in [14]. A natural question is to study the rate of convergence in law of the sequence \( F_n \) to \( \sigma_{H,q} \int_0^1 f(B_s) \, dW_s \) stated in (1.4). When \( f \equiv 1 \), Stein’s method, combined with Malliavin calculus, allows one to derive upper bounds for the rate of convergence of the total variation distance (see the monograph by Nourdin and Peccati [15] and the references therein). The series of papers [3,10,12–14,16,17] have greatly contributed to the development of the Malliavin-stein approach, which has become a powerful and general tool to study limit theorems for functionals of Gaussian processes. In the case of weighted variations, this methodology is no longer applicable. In [13], Nourdin, Nualart, and Peccati developed a new approach based on the interpolation method that provides quantitative rates for the convergence of multiple Skorohod integrals to a mixture of Gaussian laws. A basic result in this direction is Proposition 2.7. In [13], the authors apply this approach to deduce a rate of convergence for \( F_n \) in the case \( q = 2 \) and \( \frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{3}{4} \).

The main purpose of this paper is to apply the technique introduced in [13] to weighted Hermite variations of any order \( q \geq 2 \), extending the results proved for weighted quadratic variations. We will show that the rate of convergence is bounded, up to a constant, by \( n^{\Phi(H)} \), where the exponent \( \Phi(H) \) is defined by

\[
\Phi(H) = \left( \left| H - \frac{1}{2} \right| - \frac{1}{2} \right) \lor \left( q \left| H - \frac{1}{2} \right| - \frac{q - 1}{2} \right).
\]

That is,

\[
\Phi(H) = \begin{cases} 
(-H) \lor (-qH + \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } H \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\
(H-1) \lor (q(H-1) + \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } H > \frac{1}{2}. 
\end{cases}
\]

Notice that \( \Phi(H) = 0 \) when \( H \) is equal to one of the end points of the interval \((\frac{1}{2q}, 1 - \frac{1}{2q})\) and it is symmetric with respect to the middle point \( \frac{1}{2} \). Moreover, there are unexpected transition phases when \( H = \frac{1}{2q-2} \) and when \( H = 1 - \frac{1}{2q-2} \).

In order to state our main result, we need some notation and definitions. We say that a function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) has moderate growth if there exist positive constants \( A, B, \) and \( \alpha < 2 \) such that for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), \( |f(x)| \leq A \exp(B|x|^{\alpha}) \).

Given a measurable function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \), an integer \( N \geq 0 \) and a real number \( p \geq 1 \), we define the semi-norm

\[
\| f \|_{N,p} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sup_{0 \leq i \leq 1} \| f^{(i)} \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, \gamma_t)}
\]
where $\gamma_t$ is the normal distribution $N(0, t)$.

We can now state the main result of this paper. This result extends the work done in [14] that proves stable convergence for any $q$, and the work done in [13] that provides a quantitative bound in the $q = 2$ case.

**Theorem 1.1** Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$. Assume that the Hurst index $H$ of the fractional Brownian motion $B$ belongs to $\left(\frac{1}{2q}, 1 - \frac{1}{2q}\right)$. Consider a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^{2q}$ such that $f$ and its first $2q$ derivatives have moderate growth. Suppose in addition that

$$
E \left[ \left( \int_0^1 f^2(B_s) \, ds \right)^{(1-q)\alpha} \right] < \infty
$$

for some $\alpha > 1$. Consider the sequence of random variables $F_n$ defined by (1.3). Set $S = \sqrt{\sigma_{H,q}^2 \int_0^1 f^2(B_s) \, ds}$, where $\sigma_{H,q}$ is given in (1.1). Then, for any function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^{2q+1}$ with $\|\varphi^{(k)}\|_\infty < \infty$ for each $k = 0, \ldots, 2q + 1$, we have

$$
|E[\varphi(F_n)] - E[\varphi(S\eta)]| \leq C_{H,f,q} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq 2q + 1} \|\varphi^{(i)}\|_\infty \eta^{h(H)}, \quad (1.7)
$$

where $\eta$ is a standard normal variable independent of $B$. The constant $C_{H,f,q}$ has the form

$$
C_{H,f,q} = CH_{H,q} \max \left\{ \|f\|_{2q,2}, E[S^{(2-2q)\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \|f\|_{2q,(2q+2)\beta}^{2q+1} \right\},
$$

and $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries on the fractional Brownian motion and its associated Malliavin calculus. The basic rate of convergence result for multiple Skorohod integrals, Proposition 2.7, is also stated in this section. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof intensively uses the techniques of Malliavin calculus and detailed estimates for the sums of powers of the covariance function $\rho_H$ obtained in Sect. 2 (see Lemma 2.4). We have included an example at the end of Sect. 3 that explains why the phase of transition in the rate of convergence occurs. A technical lemma is proved in the Appendix.

### 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first present some definitions and basic results on the fractional Brownian motion and the associated Malliavin calculus. The reader is referred to the monographs [18] and [15] for a detailed account on these topics. We also recall an upper bound for the approximation of multiple Skorohod integrals by a mixture of Gaussian laws that will play a fundamental role in the proof of the main result.
2.1 Fractional Brownian motion

Consider a fractional Brownian motion \( B = \{ B_t, t \in [0, 1] \} \) with Hurst parameter \( H \in (0, 1) \) defined in a probability space \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \). That means, \( B \) is a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance

\[
E(B_t B_s) = \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}), \quad s, t \in [0, 1].
\]

Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the space of step functions on \([0, 1]\) and consider the Hilbert space defined as the closure of \( \mathcal{E} \) under the inner product \( \langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = E(B_t B_s) \) for \( s, t \in [0, 1] \).

Then the mapping \( I_{[0,1]} \rightarrow B \), can be extended to a linear isometry between \( \mathcal{F} \) and the Gaussian space generated by \( B \). We denote by \( B(h) \) the image of \( h \in \mathcal{F} \) by this isometry. With this notation, \( \{ B(h), h \in \mathcal{F} \} \) is an isonormal Gaussian process associated with the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{F} \). We refer the reader to the references \([11,18]\) for a detailed study of this process.

For any integer \( q \geq 1 \), we denote by \( \mathcal{F} \otimes^q \) and \( \mathcal{F} \otimes_q \), respectively, the \( q \)th tensor product and the \( q \)th symmetric tensor product of \( \mathcal{F} \).

From now on, we assume that \( \mathcal{F} \) is the \( P \)-completion of the \( \sigma \)-field generated by \( B \). For every integer \( q \geq 1 \), we let \( \mathcal{H}_q \) be the \( q \)th Wiener chaos of \( B \), that is, the closed linear subspace of \( L^2(\Omega) \) generated by the random variables \( \{ H_q(B(h)), h \in \mathcal{F}, \| h \|_{\mathcal{F}} = 1 \} \), where \( H_q \) is the \( q \)th Hermite polynomial defined by

\[
H_q(x) = (-1)^q x^{q/2} \frac{d^q}{dx^q} (e^{-x^2/2}).
\]

We denote by \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) the space of constant random variables. For any \( q \geq 1 \), the mapping \( I_q(h \otimes^q) = H_q(B(h)) \) provides a linear isometry between \( \mathcal{F} \otimes^q \) (equipped with the modified norm \( \sqrt{q!} \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{F} \otimes^q} \)) and \( \mathcal{H}_q \) (equipped with the \( L^2(\Omega) \) norm). For \( q = 0 \), we set by convention \( \mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{R} \) and \( I_0 \) equal to the identity map.

It is well known (Wiener chaos expansion) that \( L^2(\Omega) \) can be decomposed into the infinite orthogonal sum of the spaces \( \mathcal{H}_q \), that is: any square integrable random variable \( F \in L^2(\Omega) \) admits the following chaotic expansion:

\[
F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q), \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( f_0 = E[F] \), and the \( f_q \in \mathcal{F} \otimes^q, q \geq 1 \), are uniquely determined by \( F \).

Let \( \{ e_k, k \geq 1 \} \) be a complete orthonormal system in \( \mathcal{F} \). Given \( f \in \mathcal{F} \otimes^p, g \in \mathcal{F} \otimes^q \) and \( r \in [0, \ldots, p \wedge q] \), the \( r \)th contraction of \( f \) and \( g \) is the element of \( \mathcal{F} \otimes^r \) defined by

\[
f \otimes_r g = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_r=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_r} \rangle_{\mathcal{F} \otimes^r} \otimes \langle g, e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_r} \rangle_{\mathcal{F} \otimes^r}. \tag{2.2}
\]

Notice that \( f \otimes_r g \) is not necessarily symmetric. We denote its symmetrization by \( f \boxtimes_r g \in \mathcal{F} \otimes^{p+q-2r} \). Moreover, \( f \otimes_0 g = f \otimes g \) equals the tensor product of \( f \).
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and $g$ while, for $p = q$, $f \otimes_q g = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_q \mathcal{F}_q}$. Contraction operators appear in the following formula for products of multiple Wiener–Itô integrals (see, for instance, [18] Proposition 1.1.3):

$$I_p(f)I_q(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{p \land q} r! \binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{r} I_{p+q-2r}(f_{\otimes r}g),$$

(2.3)

for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_q$.

We consider the uniform partition of the interval $[0, 1]$, and, for $n \geq 1$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$, let $\delta_{k/n} = \mathds{1}_{[k/n,(k+1)/n]}$ and $\varepsilon_{k,n} = \mathds{1}_{[0,k/n]}$. We will make use of the notation

$$\alpha_{k,t} = \langle \delta_{k/n}, \mathds{1}_{[0,t]} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_q} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{j,k} = \langle \delta_{j/n}, \delta_{k/n} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_q}$$

(2.4)

for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $j, k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Notice that

$$\beta_{j,k} = n^{-2H} \rho_H(j - k)$$

where $\rho_H$ has been defined in (1.2).

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need several technical estimates on the quantities $\alpha_{k,t}$ and $\beta_{j,k}$. We first reproduce a useful technical lemma from [13].

**Lemma 2.1** Let $0 < H < 1$ and $n \geq 1$. We have, for some constant $C_H$ that depends on $H$,

(a) $|\alpha_{k,t}| \leq n^{-(2H+1)}$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $k = 0, \ldots, n - 1$.  

(b) $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{k,t}| \leq C_H$.

The next lemma estimates the sum of powers of the terms $\beta_{j,k}$.

**Lemma 2.2** (a) For $a \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and some constant $C_H$ that depends on $H$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j,i}|^a \leq C_H n^{(1-2a) \vee (-2aH)}.$$

(2.6)

(b) For $a \geq 1$ and for some constant $C_H$ that depends on $H$,

$$\sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^a \leq C_H n^{(2-2a) \vee (1-2aH)}.$$

**Proof** Using (1.2), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j,i}|^a = n^{-2aH} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\rho_H(j - i)|^a.$$
Taking into account that $|\rho_H(j - i)|^a$ converges to zero as $j$ tends to infinity at the rate $j^{a(2H-2)}$, when $a(2H-2) < -1$ the above sum is bounded by a constant. When $a(2H-2) \geq -1$, it diverges at the rate $n^{a(2H-2)+1}$. This gives the estimate in part (a). For (b), we make the change of indices $(j, k) \rightarrow (j, h)$, where $h = j - k$, we estimate the sum in $j$ by $n$ and apply (a) for the sum in $h$. $\square$

We recall a version for infinite sums of the rank-one Brascamp–Lieb inequality that will be used to estimate sums of products of the terms $\beta_j, k$. The statement is reproduced from [19, Proposition 2.4], which is taken from the works [1, 2] and [4]:

**Proposition 2.3** (Brascamp–Lieb inequality) Let $2 \leq M \leq N$ be fixed integers. Consider nonnegative measurable functions $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, and fix nonzero vectors $v_j \in \mathbb{R}^M$. Fix positive numbers $p_j$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, verifying the following conditions:

(i) $\sum_{j=1}^N p_j = M$.

(ii) For any subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have $\sum_{j \in I} p_j \leq \dim(\text{Span}(v_j, j \in I))$.

Then, there exists a finite constant $C$, depending on $N, M$ and the $p_j$’s such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^M} \prod_{j=1}^N f_j(k \cdot v_j) \leq C \prod_{j=1}^N \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_j(k)^{1/p_j} \right)^{p_j}. \quad (2.7)$$

We will use the Brascamp–Lieb inequality to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4** For $a \geq 1$, $b \geq 1$, $\ell = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, and for some constant $C_H$,

$$\sum_{j, j'=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j, \ell}|^a |\beta_{j', \ell}|^a |\beta_j, j'|^b \leq C_H n^{(-2H(2a+b))\vee(2-2(2a+b))}.$$  

**Proof** We have

$$\sum_{j, j'=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j, \ell}|^a |\beta_{j', \ell}|^a |\beta_j, j'|^b = n^{-2H(2a+b)} \sum_{j, j'=0}^{n-1} |\rho_H(\ell - j)\rho_H(\ell - j')|^a |\rho_H(j - j')|^b. \quad (2.8)$$

Making the substitutions $k_1 = \ell - j$ and $k_2 = \ell - j'$, we can write the above sum as

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2=\ell-n+1}^\ell |\rho_H(k_1)\rho_H(k_2)|^a |\rho_H(k_1 - k_2)|^b. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $N = 3, M = 2$,

$$f_1(x) = f_2(x) = |\rho_H(x)|^a 1_{[\ell-n \leq x \leq \ell]}$$
and

\[ f_3(x) = |\rho_H(x)|^b 1_{|x| \leq n-1}. \]

Consider the vectors \( \mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 0), \mathbf{v}_2 = (0, 1), \) and \( \mathbf{v}_3 = (1, -1). \) Applying Proposition 2.3, we have

\[
\sum_{k_1, k_2 = \ell - n + 1}^{\ell} |\rho_H(k_1)\rho_H(k_2)|^a |\rho_H(k_1 - k_2)|^b \leq C \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho_H(k)|^{1/p_1} \right)^{p_1} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho_H(k)|^{1/p_2} \right)^{p_2} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho_H(k)|^{1/p_3} \right)^{p_3}
\]

\[
= C \left( \sum_{k = \ell - n + 1}^{\ell} |\rho_H(k)|^{a/p_1} \right)^{p_1} \left( \sum_{k = \ell - n + 1}^{\ell} |\rho_H(k)|^{a/p_2} \right)^{p_2} \times \left( \sum_{k = -(n-1)}^{n-1} |\rho_H(k)|^{b/p_3} \right)^{p_3}.
\]

The choices \( p_1 = p_2 = 2a/(2a + b) \) and \( p_3 = 2b/(2a + b) \) satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.3. Note that \( p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 2 \) and \( a/p_1 = a/p_2 = b/p_3 = (2a + b)/2. \) In this way, we can write

\[
\sum_{k_1, k_2 = \ell - n + 1}^{\ell} |\rho_H(k_1)\rho_H(k_2)|^a |\rho_H(k_1 - k_2)|^b \leq C \left( \sum_{|k| \leq 2n} |\rho_H(k)|^{(2a+b)/2} \right)^2 \leq C n^{2((2H-2)(2a+b)/2)+1} \]

which implies the desired estimate. \( \square \)

### 2.2 Malliavin calculus

Let us now introduce some elements of the Malliavin calculus with respect to the fractional Brownian motion \( B. \) Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be the set of all smooth and cylindrical random variables of the form

\[ F = g(B(\phi_1), \ldots, B(\phi_n)), \tag{2.10} \]

where \( n \geq 1, \) \( g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is an infinitely differentiable function with compact support, and \( \phi_i \in \mathcal{S}. \) The derivative of \( F \) with respect to \( B \) is the element of \( L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{S}) \) defined as

\[ DF = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} (B(\phi_1), \ldots, B(\phi_n)) \phi_i. \]

By iteration, one can define the \( q \)th derivative \( D^q F \) for every integer \( q \geq 2, \) with \( D^q F \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{S}^\otimes q). \) For integers \( q \geq 1 \) and real numbers \( p \geq 1, \) the Sobolev space

\[ (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes q} \]
$D^q,p$ is defined as the closure of $S$ with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_{D^q,p}$, defined by the relation

$$
\| F \|_{D^q,p}^p = E \left[ |F|^p \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{q} E \left( \| D^i F \|_{S_j}^p \right).
$$

The derivative operator $D$ verifies the following chain rule. If $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable with bounded partial derivatives and if $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is a vector of elements of $D^{1,2}$, then $\varphi(F) \in D^{1,2}$ and

$$
D(\varphi(F)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(F) D F_i.
$$

We denote by $\delta$ the adjoint of the operator $D$, also called the divergence operator or Skorohod integral (see, e.g., [18, Section 1.3.2] for an explanation of this terminology). A random element $u \in L^2(\Omega; S_j)$ belongs to the domain of $\delta$, denoted by $\text{Dom}\delta$, if and only if it verifies

$$
|E(\langle DF, u\rangle_{S_j})| \leq c_u \sqrt{E(F^2)}
$$

for any $F \in D^{1,2}$, where $c_u$ is a constant depending only on $u$. If $u \in \text{Dom}\delta$, then the random variable $\delta(u)$ is defined by the duality relationship (called ‘integration by parts formula’):

$$
E(F \delta(u)) = E(\langle DF, u\rangle_{S_j}),
$$

(2.11)

which holds for every $F \in D^{1,2}$. Formula (2.11) extends to the multiple Skorohod integral $\delta^q$, and we have

$$
E \left( F \delta^q(u) \right) = E \left( \langle D^q F, u \rangle_{S_j^{\otimes q}} \right),
$$

(2.12)

for any element $u$ in the domain of $\delta^q$ and any random variable $F \in D^{q,2}$. Moreover, $\delta^q(h) = I_q(h)$ for any $h \in S_j^{\otimes q}$.

The following statement will be used in the paper and is proved in [12].

**Lemma 2.5** Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer. Suppose that $F \in D^{q,2}$, and let $u$ be a symmetric element in $\text{Dom}\delta^q$. Assume, that, for any $0 \leq r + j \leq q$, $\langle D^r F, \delta^j(u) \rangle_{S_j^{\otimes q - r - j}} \in L^2(\Omega; S_j^{\otimes q - r - j})$. Then, for any $r = 0, \ldots, q - 1$, $\langle D^r F, u \rangle_{S_j^{\otimes r}}$ belongs to the domain of $\delta^{q - r}$ and we have

$$
F \delta^q(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \binom{q}{r} \delta^{q-r} \left( \langle D^r F, u \rangle_{S_j^{\otimes r}} \right),
$$

(2.13)

with the convention that $\delta^0(v) = v$, $v \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $D^0 F = F$, $F \in L^2(\Omega)$.

For any Hilbert space $V$, we denote by $D^{k,p}(V)$ the corresponding Sobolev space of $V$-valued random variables (see [18, page 31]). The operator $\delta^q$ is continuous from $D^{k,p}(S_j^{\otimes q})$ to $D^{k-q,p}$, for any $p > 1$ and any integers $k \geq q \geq 1$, that is, we have

$$
\| \delta^q(u) \|_{D^{k-q,p}} \leq c_{k,p} \| u \|_{D^{k,p}(S_j^{\otimes q})},
$$

(2.14)
for all $u \in \mathbb{D}^{k,p}(\mathfrak{H}^\otimes q)$, and for some constant $c_{k,p} > 0$. These estimates are consequences of Meyer inequalities (see [18, Proposition 1.5.7]). In particular, these estimates imply that $\mathbb{D}^{q,2}(\mathfrak{H}^\otimes q) \subset \text{Dom} \delta^q$ for any integer $q \geq 1$.

The following commutation relationship between the Malliavin derivative and the Skorohod integral (see [18, Proposition 1.3.2]) is also useful:

$$D\delta(u) = u + \delta(Du),$$

(2.15)

for any $u \in \mathbb{D}^{2,2}(\mathfrak{H})$. By induction we can show the following formula for any symmetric element $u$ in $\mathbb{D}^{j+k,2}(\mathfrak{H}^\otimes j)$

$$D^k\delta^j(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{j \wedge k} \binom{k}{i} \binom{j}{i} i! \delta^{j-i}(D^{k-i}u).$$

(2.16)

In particular, when $j = k$, making the substitution $i \to k - i$, we obtain

$$D^k\delta^k(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i}^2 (k-i)! \delta^{k-i}(D^iu).$$

(2.17)

We will also use the following formula for the multiple Skorohod integral.

**Lemma 2.6** If $\varphi$ is a $q$ times continuously differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi^{(q)}$ has moderate growth and $g, h \in \mathfrak{H}$, then

$$\delta^q(\varphi(B(g))h^\otimes q) = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \binom{q}{r} \varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}^r \delta^{q-r}(h^\otimes r)(-1)^r.$$  

Proof We will prove this formula by induction. By linearity, it suffices to assume that $\|h\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1$. When $q = 1$, this formula reduces to

$$\delta(\varphi(B(g))h) = \varphi(B(g))\delta(h) - \varphi'(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}},$$

which is a particular case of (2.13) with $q = 1$. Suppose it holds for $q$. Using the recurrence formula $H_{n+1}(x) = x H_n(x) - n H_{n-1}(x)$ for Hermite polynomials and the relation between Hermite polynomials and multiple stochastic integrals, we can write

$$I_q(h^\otimes q) = H_q(\delta(h))$$

$$= \delta(h) H_{q-1}(\delta(h)) - (q - 1) H_{q-2}(\delta(h))$$

$$= \delta(h) I_{q-1}(h^{q-1}) - (q - 1) I_{q-2}(h^{\otimes q-2}).$$    

(2.18)
Applying the inductive hypothesis, we have

\[ \delta^{q+1}(\varphi(B(g))h^\otimes q+1) = \delta(\delta^q(\varphi(B(g))h^\otimes q)h) \]

\[ = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \binom{q}{r} \delta^r(\varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r I_{q-r}(h^\otimes q-r)h) (-1)^r \]

\[ = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \binom{q}{r} (-1)^r [\delta(h)I_{q-r}(h^\otimes q-r)\varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r] \]

\[ - \langle D[\varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r r, h \rangle \rangle_{\gamma} \]. \quad (2.19) \]

Computing the derivative in the last term, we have

\[ \langle D[\varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r r, h \rangle \rangle_{\gamma} = \varphi^{(r+1)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^{r+1} I_{q-r}(h^\otimes q-r) \]

\[ + \varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r (q-r)I_{q-r-1}(h^\otimes q-r-1) \]. \quad (2.20) \]

Substituting (2.20) into (2.19) and using (2.13), yields

\[ \delta^{q+1}(\varphi(B(g))h^\otimes q+1) = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \binom{q}{r} (-1)^r [\delta(h)I_{q-r}(h^\otimes q-r)\varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r] \]

\[ - \varphi^{(r+1)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^{r+1} I_{q-r}(h^\otimes q-r) \]

\[ - \varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r (q-r)I_{q-r-1}(h^\otimes q-r-1) \]

\[ = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \binom{q}{r} (-1)^r \varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r I_{q-r+1}(h^\otimes q-r+1) \]

\[ + \sum_{r=1}^{q+1} \binom{q}{r-1} (-1)^r \varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r I_{q-r+1}(h^\otimes q-r+1) \].

Taking into account that \( \binom{q}{r} + \binom{q}{r-1} = \binom{q+1}{r} \), we finally obtain

\[ \delta^{q+1}(\varphi(B(g))h^\otimes q+1) = \sum_{r=0}^{q+1} \binom{q+1}{r} (-1)^r \varphi^{(r)}(B(g))\langle h, g \rangle \delta_{\otimes q}^r I_{(q+1)-r}(h^\otimes ((q+1)-r)) \]

and the induction is complete. \( \square \)

### 2.3 Rate of convergence to a mixture of Gaussian laws

In this subsection, we state Theorem 5.2 from [13] here, that will play a basic role in the proof of our main theorem.
Proposition 2.7 Suppose that \( u \in \mathbb{D}^{2q,4q}(S^q) \) is symmetric. Let \( F = \delta^{q}(u) \). Let \( S \in \mathbb{D}^{q-4q} \), and let \( \eta \sim N(0,1) \) indicate a standard Gaussian random variable, independent of the fractional Brownian motion \( B \). Assume that \( \varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is \( C^{2q+1} \) with \( \| \varphi^{(k)} \|_{\infty} < \infty \) for any \( k = 0, \ldots, 2q + 1 \). Then
\[
\left| E[\varphi(F)] - E[\varphi(S\eta)] \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| \varphi'' \|_{\infty} E[\| u, D^q F \|_{S^q \otimes \eta}^2 - S^2]
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{(b,b') \in Q} \sum_{j=0}^{\left| b' \right| / 2} c_{q,b,b',j} \left\| \varphi^{(1+\left| b \right|+2\left| b' \right|-2j)} \right\|_{\infty}
\times E \left[ |b'|^{-2j} \left( u, (DF)^{\otimes b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (D^{q-1}F)^{\otimes b_{q-1}} \right) \right.
\left. \otimes (DS)^{\otimes b'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (D^qS)^{\otimes b'_{q}} \right]_{S^q \otimes \eta},
\]
where \( Q \) is the set of all pairs of vectors \( b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{q-1}) \) and \( b' = (b'_1, \ldots, b'_q) \) of nonnegative integers satisfying the constraints \( b_1 + 2b_2 + \cdots + (q-1)b_{q-1} + b'_1 + 2b'_2 + \cdots + q b'_q = q \). The constants \( c_{q,b,b',j} \) are given by
\[
c_{q,b,b',j} = \frac{1}{2} B(\left| b \right| / 2 + 1/2, \left| b' \right| / 2 + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{q} \left( \frac{c_i}{b_i} \right) \times \frac{\left| b' \right| !}{2^j (\left| b' \right| - 2j)! j!} \times \frac{q!}{\prod_{i=1}^{q} i!^{c_i} c_i!}
\]
where \( c = b + b' \) and \( B \) denotes the Beta function.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Along the proof \( C \) will denote a generic constant that might depend on \( q \) and \( H \). Before starting the proof let us make some remarks on the exponent \( \phi(H) \) defined in (1.5). Notice that \( H < 1/(2q-2) \) if and only if \( -H < -qH + \frac{1}{2} \) and \( H > 1 - 1/(2q-2) \) if and only if \( 1 - H < q(H-1) + \frac{1}{2} \). As a consequence, we have
\[
\phi(H) = \begin{cases} -qH + \frac{1}{2} & 1/2q < H \leq 1/(2q-2), \\ -H & 1/(2q-2) < H \leq 1/2, \\ H-1 & 1/2 < H \leq 1 - 1/(2q-2), \\ q(H-1) + \frac{1}{2} & 1 - 1/(2q-2) \leq H < 1 - 1/2q. \end{cases}
\]
This implies that
\[
\phi(H) = \max \left\{ -H, H-1, -qH + \frac{1}{2}, q(H-1) + \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \quad (3.1)
\]
The proof will be done in several steps. Consider the element \( u \in \mathbb{D}^{2q,\infty} := \cap_{p \geq 1} \mathbb{D}^{2q,p} \) given by

\[
u_n = n^{qH-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(B_{k/n}) \delta_{k/n}^q,
\]

where we recall that \( \delta_{k/n} = \mathbb{1}_{[k/n,(k+1)/n]} \). Note first that the random variable \( F_n \) does not coincide with \( \delta^q(u_n) \), except in the case \( H = 1/2 \). For this reason, we define \( G_n = \delta^q(u_n) \) and first estimate the difference \( F_n - G_n \).

**Step 1** We claim that

\[
E[|F_n - G_n|] \leq C \|f\|_{2q-1,2n}^\phi(H).
\]

To show (3.2), we apply Lemma 2.6 and obtain

\[
\delta^q(u_n) = n^{qH-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta^q(f(B_{k/n}) \delta_{k/n}^q)
\]

\[
= n^{qH-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{q} (-1)^r \binom{q}{r} f^{(r)}(B_{k/n}) \alpha_r \delta_{k/n}^{q-r}.
\]

Note that the \( r = 0 \) term corresponds to \( F_n \), so

\[
F_n - G_n = n^{qH-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r=1}^{q} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{q}{r} f^{(r)}(B_{k/n}) \alpha_r \delta_{k/n}^{q-r}.
\]

Let

\[
K_{n,r} = n^{qH-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{q}{r} f^{(r)}(B_{k/n}) \alpha_r \delta_{k/n}^{q-r},
\]

so that \( F_n - G_n = \sum_{r=1}^{q} K_{n,r} \).

Applying the product formula of multiple stochastic integrals (2.3), we can write

\[
E[K_{n,r}^2] = n^{2qH-1/2} \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \binom{q}{r}^2 E
\]

\[
\times \left[ f^{(r)}(B_{j,n}) f^{(r)}(B_{k/n}) \delta_{j/n}^{q-r} \delta_{k/n}^{q-r} \right] \alpha_{r,j,n} \alpha_{r,k,n}
\]

\[
= n^{2qH-1/2} \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-r} \binom{q}{r}^2 \binom{q-r}{s}^2 \beta_{j,k}^s \alpha_{r,j,n} \alpha_{r,k,n} \alpha_{r,q-n} \alpha_{r,q-k,n}.
\]

(3.5)
Using the duality formula between multiple stochastic integrals and the derivative operator (2.12), we obtain

\[
E \left[ f^{(r)}(B_{j,n}) f^{(r)}(B_{k,n}) I_{2q-2r-2s} \left( \delta_{j/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \otimes \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= E \left[ \left( D^{2q-2r-2s} (f^{(r)}(B_{j,n}) f^{(r)}(B_{k,n})) \right) \delta_{j/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \otimes \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \right] \mathcal{S}_{k}^{\otimes q-2r-2s}.
\]

Finally, applying the Leibniz rule, we can write

\[
E \left[ f^{(r)}(B_{j,n}) f^{(r)}(B_{k,n}) I_{2q-2r-2s} \left( \delta_{j/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \otimes \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{2q-2r-2s} \binom{2q-2r-2s}{m} E \left[ f^{(r+m)}(B_{j,n}) f^{(2q-r-2s-m)}(B_{k,n}) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left( \mathbb{I}^{\otimes m} \otimes \left( \mathbb{I}^{\otimes (2q-r-2s-m)} \delta_{j/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \otimes \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes q-r-s} \right) \right) \mathcal{S}_{k}^{\otimes q-2r-2s}.
\]

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3), yields

\[
E[K_{n,r}^{2}] \leq C \| f \|_{2q-1,2}^{2qH-1} \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-r} |\beta_{j,k}|^{s} |\alpha_{j,n/k}|^{q-r-s-i} |\alpha_{k,n/k}|^{m-i}.
\]

Then, decomposing the summation in \( s \) into the cases \( s = 0 \) and \( s \geq 1 \), we obtain

\[
E[K_{n,r}^{2}] \leq C \| f \|_{2q-1,2}^{2qH-1}
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2q-2r} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} |\beta_{j,k}|^{i} |\alpha_{j,n/k}|^{i+r} |\alpha_{k,n/k}|^{q-r-s-i} |\alpha_{k,n/k}|^{m-i} |\alpha_{k,n/k}|^{q-m+i} \right.
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^{q-r} \sum_{m=0}^{2q-2r-2s} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} |\beta_{j,k}|^{i} |\alpha_{j,n/k}|^{i+r} |\alpha_{k,n/k}|^{q-r-s-i} |\alpha_{k,n/k}|^{m-i} |\alpha_{k,n/k}|^{q-s-m+i} \bigg).
\]
In the $s = 0$ case, we replace the summation of $j$ and $k$ with a factor of $n^2$ and estimate the $\alpha$’s with Lemma 2.6(a). For $s \geq 1$, we apply Lemma 2.2(b) and bound each $\alpha$ with Lemma 2.6(a), so that

$$E[K^2_{n,r}] \leq C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2qH-1}$$

$$\times \left( n^{-2q(2H+1)+2} + \sum_{s=1}^{q-r} n^{(1-2sH)/(2-2s)} n^{-(2H+1)(2q-2s)} \right).$$

The $s = 0$ term yields the contribution $n^{2qH-1} n^{-2q(2H+1)+2} = n^{-2q(H+1-H)+1}$. Note that $-(H \land (1-H)) q + \frac{1}{2} \leq \phi(H)$.

Let us consider the terms $A_s := C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2qH-1} n^{(1-2sH)/(2-2s)} n^{-(2H+1)(2q-2s)}$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, q-r$. We consider three different cases:

**Case 1** Suppose that $1 - 2sH < 2 - 2s$. In this case, $H > 1 - 1/(2s) \geq 1/2$ and $s < 1/(2(1 - H))$. Therefore, we obtain

$$A_s = C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2qH-1} n^{2-2q} = C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{1+2q(H-1)}.$$

**Case 2** Suppose that $1 - 2sH \geq 2 - 2s$ and $H \geq 1/2$. In this case, $1/2 \leq H \leq 1 - 1/(2s) \leq 1 - 1/(2(q - 1))$ and $1/(2(H - 1)) \leq s \leq q - 1$. So, we can write

$$A_s = C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2qH-1} n^{1-2q + 2s(1-H)}$$

$$\leq C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2qH-1} n^{1-2q + 2(q-1)(1-H)}$$

$$\leq C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2H-2}.$$

**Case 3** Suppose that $1 - 2sH \geq 2 - 2s$ and $H < 1/2$. We have

$$A_s = C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2qH-1} n^{2sH-4qH+1}$$

$$\leq C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2qH-1} n^{2(q-1)H-4qH+1}$$

$$= C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{2H-2}.$$

Combining the bound for $s = 0$ and the bounds for $A_s$, applying Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality and taking into account the definition of $\phi(H)$ and (3.1), we obtain

$$E[|F_n - G_n|] \leq \sum_{r=1}^{q} E[|K_{n,r}|] \leq \sum_{r=1}^{q} \sqrt{E[K^2_{n,r}]} \leq C \|f\|^2_{2q-1,2} n^{\phi(H)}, \quad (3.5)$$

thus proving (3.2).

**Step 2** Now that we have a bound for $E[|F_n - G_n|]$, we will establish a bound for $|E[\varphi(G_n)] - E[\varphi(S\eta)]|$ using Proposition 2.7. First, however, we need a result to
convert derivatives of $S$ into derivatives of $S^2$. By the Faa di Bruno formula (see [8] Theorem 2.1), with $h(x) = \sqrt{x}$, we have

$$
D^k S = D^k \sqrt{S^2} = \sum_{m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + km_k = n} C_{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k} h^{(m_1 + \cdots + m_k)} (S^2) \otimes_j (D^j S^2)^{\otimes m_j}
$$

$$
= \sum_{m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + km_k = k} C'_{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k} S^{1-2(m_1 + \cdots + m_k)} \otimes_j (D^j S^2)^{\otimes m_j},
$$

(3.6)

where the $m_j$ represent the powers of $D^j S^2$, $C_{m_1, \ldots, m_k} = \frac{1}{m_1! m_2! \cdots m_k!}$ is a combinatorial constant that depends on $m_1, \ldots, m_k$, and

$$
C'_{m_1, \ldots, m_k} = C_{m_1, \ldots, m_k} \prod_{\ell=0}^{m_1 + \cdots + m_k - 1} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \ell \right).
$$

Applying this result to each derivative of $S$ in Proposition 2.7 and combining the terms, we obtain

$$
|E[\varphi(G_n)] - E[\varphi(S^q)]| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{\infty} E \left[ \langle u, D^q G_n \rangle_{S^q} - S^2 \right]
$$

$$
+ C \sum_{(b, b') \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{j=0}^{\|b\|/2} \|\varphi(1+\|b\|+2|b'| - 2j)\|_{\infty}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_q} \mathbb{E} \left[ S^{2|b'|-2j-2} \sum_{k=1}^{q} m_k b'_k \right]
$$

$$
\times \left( u_n \otimes_{\ell=1}^{q-1} (D^\ell G_n)^{\otimes b_\ell} \times \otimes_{\ell'=1}^{q} (D^{\ell'} S^2)^{\otimes (b'_1 m_{1\ell'} + \cdots + b'_{q\ell'} m_{q\ell'})} \right),
$$

(3.7)

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is the set of all vectors $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_{q-1})$ and $b' = (b'_1, \ldots, b'_{q'})$ of non-negative integers such that $b_1 + 2b_2 + \cdots + (q-1)b_{q-1} + b'_1 + 2b'_2 + \cdots + q b'_q = q$.

Also, we will use the notation $m_k = (m_{kj})_{j=1,\ldots,q}$, $|m_k| = m_{k1} + \cdots + m_{kq}$, where the $m_k$ satisfy

$$
m_{i1} + 2m_{i2} + \cdots + q m_{iq} = i.
$$

(3.8)

for each $i = 1, \ldots, q$. We include the combinatorial coefficient from the Faa di Bruno formula in the constant $C$.

Let $d_{\ell'} = b'_1 m_{1\ell'} + \cdots + b'_{q\ell'} m_{q\ell'}$. Using (3.8), we obtain

$$
d_1 + 2d_2 + \cdots + q d_q = \sum_{\ell'=1}^{q} \ell' (b'_1 m_{1\ell'} + \cdots + b'_{q\ell'} m_{q\ell'}) = b'_1 + 2b'_2 + \cdots + q b'_{q}.
$$

\(\square\) Springer
Therefore,
\[ b_1 + 2b_2 + \cdots + (q - 1)b_{q-1} + d_1 + 2d_2 + \cdots + qd_q = q. \]  
(3.9)

Note that
\[ |d| = b'_1|m_1| + \cdots + b'_q|m_q|. \]

The exponent of \( S \) in (3.6) is a negative number, denoted by \( a \), such that, if \( |b'| \) is even,
\[
\begin{align*}
   a &= 2|b'| - 2j - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{q} |m_k|b'_k \\
   &\geq 2|b'| - 2|b'|/2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{q} |m_k|b'_k \\
   &\geq |b'| - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{q} |m_k|b'_k.
\end{align*}
\]

Noting that \( |m_k| \leq k \), this implies
\[ a \geq \sum_{k=1}^{q} (1 - 2k)b'_k. \]

Similarly, if \( |b'| \) is odd,
\[ a \geq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{q} (1 - 2k)b'_k. \]

The lowest possible value of \( a \) is obtained when \( m_{q1} = q, b'_q = 1, b'_1 = b'_2 = \cdots = b'_{q-1} = 0, \) so
\[ a \geq 2 - 2q. \]

For any \( a \in \{0, -1, \ldots, 2 - 2q\} \), define
\[
J_{a,b,d} := E \left[ S^a \left| \left( \bigotimes_{\ell=1}^{q-1} (D^\ell G_n) \otimes b_{\ell} \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{\ell' = 1}^{q} (D^{\ell'} S^2) \otimes d_{\ell'} \right) \right| \right] = n^{qH-1/2} E \left[ S^a \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(B_{j/n}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{q-1} (\delta_{j/n}^{\otimes \ell}, D^\ell G_n)_{S_j \otimes \ell} \prod_{\ell' = 1}^{q} (\delta_{j/n}^{\otimes \ell'}, D^{\ell'} S^2)_{d_{\ell'}} \right],
\]
where
\[ b_1 + 2b_2 + \cdots + (q - 1)b_{q-1} + d_1 + 2d_2 + \cdots + qd_q = q. \]  
(3.11)
Notice also that
\[ 1 + |b| + 2|b'| - 2j \leq 1 + |b| + 2|b'| \leq 2q + 1. \]

Then, from (3.7) we conclude that
\[
|E[\varphi(G_n)] - E[\varphi(S\eta)]| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_\infty E \left[ \left| \langle u, D^q G_n \rangle_{S^1} - S^2 \right| \right]
+ C \sup_{1 \leq i \leq 2q+1} \|\varphi(i)\|_\infty \sup_{(b,d) \in Q} \sup_{2-2q \leq a \leq 0} J_{a,b,d}.
\]

(3.12)

**Step 3** We next show that
\[
E \left( \left| \langle u_n, D^q G_n \rangle - S^2 \right| \right) \leq C \|f\|_{2q,2}^2 n^{\Phi(H)}. \tag{3.13}
\]

Recall that \( G_n = \delta^n(u_n) \). We have, applying (2.17),
\[
E \left( \left| \langle u_n, D^q G_n \rangle - S^2 \right| \right) \leq E \left( q! \left| u_n \right|_{S^1}^2 - S^2 \right)
+ \sum_{i=1}^{q} \binom{q}{i} (q - i)! E \left( q! \left| \langle u_n, \delta^i (D^j u_n) \rangle_{S^1} \right| \right). \tag{3.14}
\]

Let \( A_n := q! \left| u_n \right|_{S^1}^2 - S^2 \) and \( B_{n,i} := \left| \langle u_n, \delta^i (D^j u_n) \rangle_{S^1} \right| \), so that we can write
\[
E \left( \left| \langle u_n, D^q G_n \rangle - S^2 \right| \right) \leq E[A_n] + C \sum_{i=1}^{q} E(B_{n,i}).
\]

First, we will show that
\[
E[A_n] = E \left[ q! \left| u_n \right|_{S^1}^2 - S^2 \right] \leq C \|f\|_{1,2}^2 n^{\Phi(H)}. \]

We have
\[
q! \left| u_n \right|_{S^1}^2 = q! n^{2qH-1} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{n-1} f(B_{j/n}) f(B_{j'/n}) \beta_{j,j}'^q
= q! n^{q-1} \sum_{j,j'=0}^{n-1} f(B_{j/n}) f(B_{j'/n}) \rho_H(k - j)^q
\]
\[= q^n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)(n-1-p)} f(B_{j/n}) f(B_{(j+p)/n}) \rho_H(p)^q \]

where

\[P_n := q^n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)(n-1-p)} f(B_{j/n}) (f(B_{(j+p)/n}) - f(B_{j/n})) \rho_H(p)^q\]

and

\[Q_n := q^n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=-n+1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)(n-1-p)} f(B_{j/n})^2 \rho_H(p)^q.\]

Using \(E[(f(B_{(j+p)/n}) - f(B_{j/n}))^2] \leq C \|f\|_{1,2n^{-H}}^q\) and the fact that \(\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_H(p)^q < \infty\), we have

\[E[|P_n|] \leq C \|f\|_{1,2n^{-H}}^2. \quad (3.15)\]

Next, taking into account that \(\sum_{|p| \geq n} \rho_H(p)^q\) converges to zero at the rate \(n^{q(2H-2)+1}\) as \(n \to \infty\), we can write

\[E \left[|Q_n - S^2|\right] \leq C \|f\|_{0,2n^{q(2H-2)+1}}^2 + q^n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_H(k)^q E \left[\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f^2(B_{j/n}) - \int_0^1 f^2(B_s) \, ds\right|\right] \]

\[= C \|f\|_{0,2n^{q(2H-2)+1}}^2 + q^n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_H(k)^q E \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{j/n}^{(j+1)/n} f^2(B_{j/n}) - f^2(B_s) \, ds\right] \]

Using \(E[|f^2(B_{j/n}) - f^2(B_s)|] \leq C \|f\|_{1,2n^{-H}}^2\) for \(s \in [j/n, (j+1)/n]\), we obtain

\[E[|Q_n - S^2|] \leq C \|f\|_{0,2n^{q(2H-2)+1}}^2 + C \|f\|_{1,2n^{-H}}^2. \quad (3.16)\]

Because \(E[A_n] \leq E[|P_n|] + E[|Q_n - S^2|]\), we have from (3.15) and (3.16) that

\[E[A_n] \leq C \|f\|_{1,2n^{-H}}^2 + \|f\|_{0,2n^{q(2H-2)+1}}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{1,2n^{\phi(H)}}^2.\]
Next, we estimate the terms $E[B_{n,i}]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$. Taking into account the definition of $u_n$, we obtain

$$E[B_{n,i}] = E \left[ \left( u_n, \delta^i (D^i u_n) \right) \right]$$

$$
\leq n^{qH - 1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E \left[ f \left( B_{j/n} \right) \langle \delta^{\otimes q}, \delta^i(D^i u_n) \rangle \right]
$$

$$
= n^{qH - 1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E \left[ f \left( B_{j/n} \right) \delta^i \left( D_{j/n} \cdots D_{j/n} (u_n \otimes q \delta^{q-i}_{j/n}) \right) \right],
$$

where here we made use of the notation

$$D_{j/n} F = \langle DF, \delta_{j/n} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_Y}.$$ (3.17)

Applying Hölder’s and Meyer’s inequalities (2.14), we have

$$E[B_{n,i}] \leq n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{0,2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E \left[ \left\| \delta^i \left( D_{j/n} \cdots D_{j/n} (u_n \otimes q \delta^{q-i}_{j/n}) \right) \right\|_{i,2}^{2 - 1/2} \right]
$$

$$\leq C n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{0,2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\| D_{j/n} \cdots D_{j/n} (u_n \otimes q \delta^{q-i}_{j/n}) \right\|_{i,2}^{1 - 2} .$$

We consider several cases and apply Lemma 4.1 with $M = i$, $a = i$, $b = q - i$, $c = q - i$, and $p = 2$ to control the Sobolev norm $\| \cdot \|_{i,2}$.

**Case 1** Suppose that $H \leq 1/2$, $i < q$. We have

$$E[B_{n,i}] \leq C n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{2i,2}^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n^{-1/2 - H(q+i)}
$$

$$= C n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{2i,2}^2 n^{1/2 - H(q+i)}
$$

$$\leq C \| f \|_{2i,2}^2 n^{H - 1/2}
$$

$$\leq C \| f \|_{2q,2}^2 n^{\phi(H)} .$$
Case 2 Suppose that $H > 1/2$, $i < q$. We have

$$E[B_{n,i}] \leq C n^{qH-1/2} \| f \|_{2i,2}^2 n \cdot n^{-1/2-i+(-H(q-i))\vee(q(H-1)+1-q+i)}$$

$$= C \| f \|_{2i,2}^2 n^{i(H-1))\vee(2q(H-1)+1)}$$

$$\leq C \| f \|_{2i,2}^2 H^{-1}\vee(2q(H-1)+1)$$

$$\leq C \| f \|_{2q,2}^2 n^{\Phi(H)}.$$ 

Case 3 Suppose that $i = q$. Note that $a = q, b = 0, c = 0$. Thus,

$$E[B_{n,i}] = E[B_{n,q}] \leq C n^{qH-1/2} \| f \|_{2q,2}^2 n^{-q(2H \wedge 1)}$$

$$= C \| f \|_{2q,2}^2 n^{-(q(2H \wedge 1-1)+1/2}$$

$$= C \| f \|_{2q,2}^2 n^{-(qH + 1/2)\vee(q(1-H)+1)/2)}$$

$$\leq C \| f \|_{2q,2}^2 n^{\Phi(H)}.$$ 

This completes the proof of (3.13).

Step 4 Next, we will show that $J_{a,b,d} \leq C \| f \|_{2q,2q+2\beta} E[S^{(2q)\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} n^{\Phi(H)}$. Using Hölder’s inequality with $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$, $r := |b| + |d| + 1 \leq q + 1$, we can write

$$J_{a,b,d} \leq C n^{qH-1/2} \| f \|_{0,r,\beta} E[S^{\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{q=1}^{q-1} E\left[ \left| \langle \delta_{k/n}, D^\ell G \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\ell}} \right|^r \right]^{b/\ell/r}$$

$$\times \prod_{\ell'=1}^{q} E\left[ \left| \langle \delta_{k/n}, D^\ell' S^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\ell'}} \right|^r \right]^{d_{\ell'}/r}.$$

Let

$$K_{k,\ell} := E\left[ \left| \langle \delta_{k/n}, D^\ell G \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\ell}} \right|^r \right]^{1/r}$$

and

$$L_{k,\ell'} := E\left[ \left| \langle \delta_{k/n}, D^\ell S^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\ell'}} \right|^r \right]^{1/r},$$

so that

$$J_{a,b,d} \leq C n^{qH-1/2} \| f \|_{0,r,\beta} E[S^{\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{q-1} K_{k,\ell}^{b/\ell/r} \prod_{\ell'=1}^{q} L_{k,\ell'}^{d_{\ell'}/r} \tag{3.18}.$$
We will now find estimates for \(K_{k,\ell}\) and \(L_{k,\ell'}\). First, applying (2.16) and using the notation (3.17), we can write
\[
\left\langle \delta_{k/n} \otimes \delta_{i}, D_{\ell} G_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\ell} \otimes \ell} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{i}^2 i! \left\langle \delta_{k/n} \otimes \delta_{i}^{\otimes i}, \delta_{\ell-i}^{\otimes i} (D_{\ell-i} u_n) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\ell} \otimes \ell},
\]
so by Minkowski’s and Meyer’s inequalities (2.14),
\[
K_{k,\ell} \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \left\| \frac{D_{k/n} \cdots D_{k/n}}{\ell-i \text{ times}} (u_n \otimes_i \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes i}) \right\|_{q-i,r\beta}. \tag{3.19}
\]

Let
\[
M_{k,\ell,i} := \left\| \frac{D_{k/n} \cdots D_{k/n}}{\ell-i \text{ times}} (u_n \otimes_i \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes i}) \right\|_{q-i,r\beta},
\]
so that (3.19) becomes \(K_{k,\ell} \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} M_{k,\ell,i}\). We now apply Lemma 4.1 with \(M = q-i, a = \ell-i, b = i, c = i, p = r\beta\) and consider three cases.

**Case 1** Suppose that \(0 < i \leq \ell, H \leq 1/2\). We have
\[
M_{k,\ell,i} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2i, r\beta n^{-1/2-H(2\ell-i)}} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2i, r\beta n^{-1/2-H(2\ell-\ell')}}
\]
\[
= C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2(\ell-1), r\beta n^{-1/2-H\ell}}
\]
\[
\leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2(\ell-1), r\beta n^{(-1/2-H\ell)\vee(-\ell(2\ell+1))}}. \tag{3.20}
\]

**Case 2** Suppose that \(0 < i \leq \ell, H > 1/2\). We have
\[
M_{k,\ell,i} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2i, r\beta n^{-1/2-\ell+i+(-Hi)\vee(q(H-1)+1-i)}}
\]
\[
= C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2i, r\beta n^{((1-H)\vee-\ell+1/2)\vee(q(H-1)+1/2-\ell)}}.
\]

Because
\[
i(1-H) - \ell - 1/2 \leq \ell(1-H) - \ell - 1/2 = -1/2 - H\ell
\]
and
\[
q(H-1) + 1/2 - \ell \leq \phi(H) - \ell \leq -\ell,
\]
we have, recalling $H > 1/2$,

$$M_{k, \ell, i} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2i, r\beta n^{(-1/2-H\ell)\vee(-\ell)}} = C \|f\|_{q+\ell-2i, r\beta n^{(-1/2-H\ell)\vee(-\ell(2H\wedge 1))}}. \tag{3.21}$$

**Case 3** Suppose that $i = 0$. Because $i = 0$, we have $M = q, a = \ell, b = 0, and c = 0$. Thus,

$$M_{k, \ell, i} = M_{k, \ell, 0} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell, r\beta n^{-\ell(2H\wedge 1)}} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell, r\beta n^{(-1/2-H\ell)\vee(-\ell(2H\wedge 1))}}. \tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.20), (3.21), and (3.22), we have

$$K_{k, \ell} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell, r\beta n^{(-1/2-H\ell)\vee(-\ell(2H\wedge 1))}} = C \|f\|_{q+\ell, r\beta n^{-\ell(2H\wedge 1)+(-1/2+\ell(H\wedge(1-H)))^+}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Let $1 \leq \ell_0(H) \leq q - 1$ be chosen such that when $\ell \leq \ell_0(H)$,

$$-1/2 + \ell(H \wedge (1 - H)) \leq 0$$

and when $\ell > \ell_0(H)$,

$$-1/2 + \ell(H \wedge (1 - H)) > 0.$$

Observe that

$$\ell_0(H) = \min \left\{ q - 1, \left[ \frac{1}{2(H \wedge (1 - H))} \right] \right\}.$$

When $\ell \leq \ell_0(H)$,

$$K_{k, \ell} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell, r\beta n^{-\ell(2H\wedge 1)}}$$

and when $\ell > \ell_0(H)$,

$$K_{k, \ell} \leq C \|f\|_{q+\ell, r\beta n^{-\ell(2H\wedge 1)+(-1/2+\ell(H\wedge(1-H)))}}.$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{q-1} K_{k, \ell}^{b_{\ell}} \leq C \|f\|_{2q-1, r\beta n^{\kappa_1(H)}}, \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$\kappa_1(H) := -(2H \wedge 1) \sum_{\ell=1}^{q-1} \ell b_{\ell} + \sum_{\ell=\ell_0(H)+1}^{q-1} b_{\ell} (-1/2 + \ell(H \wedge (1 - H))). \tag{3.25}$$
Next, we will estimate $L_{k, \ell'}$. Let $g(x) = f^2(x)$. Then, applying Lemma 2.1(a), the semi-norm norm (1.6), and using Minkowski’s inequality, we can write

$$L_{k, \ell'} = \sigma_{H,q}^2 \left\| \int_0^1 g(\ell') (B_s) \sigma_{k,s}^{\ell'} \, ds \right\|_{\beta r} \leq C n^{-\ell'(2H \land 1)} \|g\|_{\ell' \beta r}.$$  

Noting that for $k \geq 1$, $g^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{z=1}^k C_z f^{(z)}(x) f^{(k-z)}(x)$, for some combinatoric numbers $C_z$, we have

$$L_{k, \ell'} \leq C n^{-\ell'(2H \land 1)} \|f\|_{\ell' 2 \beta r}.$$  

(3.26)

Taking the product over $\ell'$ and applying (3.26), we obtain

$$\prod_{\ell'=1}^q L_{d, \ell'} \leq C \|f\|_{2,2 \beta r}^2 n^{\kappa_2(H)},$$  

(3.27)

where

$$\kappa_2(H) := -(2H \land 1) \sum_{\ell'=1}^q \ell' d_{\ell'}.$$  

(3.28)

Applying (3.24) and (3.27), we have, recalling (3.18) and replacing the summation in $k$ with the factor $n$,

$$J_{a,b,d} \leq C n^{q H - 1/2} \|f\|_{2q,2 \beta r}^{1+|b|+2|d|} E[S^{a \alpha}]^{1/\alpha} n^{-1/2} \kappa_1(H) + \kappa_2(H)$$

$$= C \|f\|_{2q,2 \beta r}^{1+|b|+2|d|} E[S^{a \alpha}]^{1/\alpha} n^{H+1/2} + \kappa_1(H) + \kappa_2(H)$$

$$= C \|f\|_{2q,2 \beta r}^{1+|b|+2|d|} E[S^{a \alpha}]^{1/\alpha} n^{\kappa(H)},$$  

(3.29)

where

$$\kappa(H) := q H + \frac{1}{2} + \kappa_1(H) + \kappa_2(H).$$

Recalling (3.25), (3.28), and (3.11), we have

$$\kappa(H) = q H + \frac{1}{2} - (2H \land 1) \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{q-1} \ell b_\ell + \sum_{\ell'=1}^q \ell' d_{\ell'} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=t_0(H)+1}^{q-1} b_\ell \left( -1/2 + \ell (H \land (1-H)) \right)$$

 Springer
\[-q(H \wedge (1 - H)) + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell (-1/2 + \ell(H \wedge (1 - H))).\]

(3.30)

We will now show that
\[\kappa(H) \leq \phi(H).\] (3.31)

We consider three cases depending on the value of \(\sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell\).

Case 1 Suppose that \(\sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell = 0\). Then
\[
\kappa(H) = -(H \wedge (1 - H))q + \frac{1}{2} = \left(-q H + \frac{1}{2}\right) \vee \left(q(H - 1) + \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \phi(H).
\]

Case 2 Suppose that \(\sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell = 1\). We conclude that all of \(b_{\ell_0(H) + 1}, \ldots, b_{q-1}\) are zero except for one, say \(b_m = 1, \ell_0(H) + 1 \leq m \leq q - 1\). Because \(m \leq q - 1\), we have
\[
\kappa(H) = -(H \wedge (1 - H))q + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell (-1/2 + \ell(H \wedge (1 - H)))
\]
\[
= -q(H \wedge (1 - H)) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - b_m) + mb_m(H \wedge (1 - H))
\]
\[
= (m - q)(H \wedge (1 - H))
\]
\[
\leq -(H \wedge (1 - H)) = (-H) \vee (H - 1) \leq \phi(H).
\]

Case 3 Suppose that \(\sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell \geq 2\). We have
\[
\kappa(H) = -q(H \wedge (1 - H)) + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell (-1/2 + \ell(H \wedge (1 - H)))
\]
\[
= -q(H \wedge (1 - H)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} b_\ell\right) + (H \wedge (1 - H)) + \sum_{\ell = \ell_0(H) + 1}^{q-1} \ell b_\ell
\]
\[
\leq -(H \wedge (1 - H)) - \frac{1}{2} + (H \wedge (1 - H))q = -\frac{1}{2} \leq \phi(H),
\]
completing the proof of (3.31). Thus, we have, recalling (3.29),
\[
J_{a,b,d} \leq C\|f\|_{2q,2r\beta}^{1+|b|+2|d|} E\left[S^{\alpha a}\right]^{1/\alpha} n^{\phi(H)}
\]
\[
\leq C\|f\|_{2q,(2q+2)\beta}^{2q+1} E\left[S^{(2-2q)\alpha}\right]^{1/\alpha} n^{\phi(H)}.
\] (3.32)

Combining (3.5), (3.12), (3.13), and (3.32), the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. \(\square\)
Remark In order to understand the phase transition in the rate of convergence when $H > 1/2$, let us discuss a particular example. Suppose that $q = 3$ and $f(x) = x$ and $H > 1/2$. Then

$$F_n = n^{3H-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{k/n} I_3 \left( \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes 3} \right).$$

The random variable $F_n$ can be decomposed as follows: $F_n = G_n + R_n$, where

$$G_n = n^{3H-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I_4 \left( \mathbb{I}_{[0,k/n]} \otimes \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes 3} \right)$$

and

$$R_n = 3n^{3H-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle \mathbb{I}_{[0,k/n]}, \delta_{k/n} \rangle I_2 \left( \delta_{k/n}^{\otimes 2} \right).$$

Let us find out the rate of convergence in $L^2$ of the residual term $R_n$. We can write

$$E[R_n^2] = \frac{9}{4} n^{-2H-1} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} ((k+1)^{2H} - k^{2H} - 1)((j+1)^{2H} - j^{2H} - 1) \rho_H^2(j-k).$$

Then, if $H \geq \frac{3}{2}$, the series $\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho_H^2(h)$ is divergent and the expectation $E[R_n^2]$ behaves, as $n^{6H-5}$ when $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, if $H < \frac{3}{4}$, the series $\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \rho_H^2(h)$ is convergent and $E[R_n^2]$ behaves, as $n^{2H-2}$ when $n \to \infty$. We see that the phase transition occurs at $H = \frac{3}{4}$. For a general $q \geq 3$, and assuming $H > 1/2$, the phase transition occurs for values of $q$ and $H$ such that the series $\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |\rho_H^{q-1}(h)|$ changes its convergence, that is, when $(2H-2)(q-1) = -1$. One can show that the expectation $E[G_n^2]$ converges to a constant, and the rate of convergence is worse than that of the residual term.
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Appendix

Here we prove a result we need in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall the notation $D_{k/n} F = \langle DF, \delta_{k/n} \rangle$ where $\delta_{k/n} = \mathbb{I}_{[k/n,(k+1)/n]}$.

Lemma 4.1 For any integers $\ell, M, a, b, c$ such that $M \geq 0, 0 \leq c \leq q, 0 \leq a \leq q - c, 0 \leq b \leq c$ and any real number $p > 1$, there exists a constant $C$ depending on $q, M,$
\( p \), and the Hurst parameter \( H \) such that
\[
\left\| \underbrace{D_{k/n} \cdots D_{k/n}}_{a \text{ times}} (u_n \otimes_b \delta_{k/n}) \right\| \leq C \| f \|_{M^{a,p}} n^{\kappa(a,b,c,H)},
\]
where
\[
\kappa(a, b, c, H) := \begin{cases} 
-1/2 - H(2a + c) & b > 0, H \leq 1/2 \\
-1/2 - a - H(c - b) + (-Hb) \vee (q(H - 1) + 1 - b) & b > 0, H > 1/2 \\
-a(2H \land 1) - Hc & b = 0
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof** For \( 0 \leq m \leq M \), let
\[
A_m := E \left[ \left\| D_k \left( \underbrace{D_{k/n} \cdots D_{k/n}}_{a \text{ times}} (u_n \otimes_b \delta_{k/n}) \right) \right\|_\mathcal{S}^{m+q-2b+c} \right]^{1/p}.
\]
Taking the norm in \( \mathcal{S}^{m+q-2b+c} \), we have
\[
A_m \leq C n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{m+a,p} \left\{ \sum_{j,j'=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_{k,j/n}|^a |\alpha_{k,j'/n}|^a |\beta_{j,k}|^b |\beta_{j',k}|^b n^{-2H(c-b)} |\beta_{j,j'}|^b \right\}^{1/2}.
\]

We consider three different cases:

**Case 1** Suppose that \( 0 < b < q \). Applying Lemma 2.4 to \( \sum_{j,j'=0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^b |\beta_{j',k}|^b \) \( |\beta_{j,j'}|^b \) and Lemma 2.1(a) to each of the \( \alpha \) terms, we have
\[
A_m \leq C n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{m+a,p} \left( n^{-2a(2H \land 1)} n^{-2H(c-b)} n^{-2H(q+b)} \right)^{1/2} = C n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{m+a,p} n^{-a(2H \land 1)} n^{-H(c-b)} n^{-(H(q+b))} (1-(q+b)).
\]

When \( H \leq 1/2, q + b \geq 2 \geq 1/(1 - H) \), so \(-H(q+b) \geq 1 - (q+b)\), and we have
\[
A_m \leq C n^{qH - 1/2} \| f \|_{m+a,p} n^{-H(q+2a+c)}.
\]
When $H > 1/2$, 

$$A_m \leq C n^{qH-1/2} \left\| f \right\|_{m+a,p} n^{-a} n^{-H(c-b)} n^{(-H(q+b))\vee (1-(q+b))} = C n^{qH-1/2} \left\| f \right\|_{m+a,p} n^{-a} n^{-H(c-b)} n^{(-H(q+b))\vee (1-(q+b))} = C \left\| f \right\|_{m+a,p} n^{-a+1/2-H(c-b)} n^{(-Hb)\vee (q(H-1)+1-b)}.$$ 

**Case 2** Suppose that $b = q$. In this case, $c = q$ and $a = 0$ and, applying Lemma 2.2(a), 

$$A_m \leq C n^{qH-1/2} \left\| f \right\|_{m,p} \left[ \sum_{j,j' = 0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^q \right]^{1/2} = C n^{qH-1/2} \left\| f \right\|_{m,p} \sum_{j = 0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^q \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{m,p} n^{qH-1/2} n^{(-2qH)\vee (1-2q)}.$$ 

Note that $-2qH = (-2qH)\vee (1-2q)$. Thus, this estimate coincides with the estimate in case 1 when $b = c = q$ and $a = 0$.

**Case 3** Suppose that $b = 0$. Applying Lemma 2.2(b) to $\sum_{j,j' = 0}^{n-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^q$ and Lemma 2.1(a) to each of the $\alpha$ terms, 

$$A_m \leq C n^{qH-1/2} \left\| f \right\|_{m+a,p} n^{-a(2H\wedge 1)} n^{-Hc} n^{(1/2-qH)\vee (1-q)} = C n^{qH-1/2} \left\| f \right\|_{m+a,p} n^{-a(2H\wedge 1)} n^{-Hc} n^{1/2-qH} \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{m+a,p} n^{-a(2H\wedge 1)-Hc}.$$ 

This concludes the proof of the lemma. 

\[\square\]
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