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Abstract

Epidemics have so far been mostly studied in undirected networks. However, many real-world networks, such as the online social network Twitter and the world-wide web, on which information, emotion or malware spreads, are directed networks, composed of both unidirectional links and bidirectional links. We define the directionality \( \xi \) as the percentage of unidirectional links. The epidemic threshold \( \tau_c \) for the susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) epidemic is lower bounded by \( 1/\lambda_1 \) in directed networks, where \( \lambda_1 \), also called the spectral radius, is the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix. In this work, we propose two algorithms to generate directed networks with a given directionality \( \xi \). The effect of \( \xi \) on the spectral radius \( \lambda_1 \), principal eigenvector \( x_1 \), spectral gap \( (\lambda_1 - |\lambda_2|) \) and algebraic connectivity \( \mu_{N-1} \) is studied. Important findings are that the spectral radius \( \lambda_1 \) decreases with the directionality \( \xi \), whereas the spectral gap and the algebraic connectivity increase with the directionality \( \xi \). The extent of the decrease of the spectral radius depends on both the degree distribution and the degree-degree correlation \( \rho_D \). Hence, in directed networks, the epidemic threshold is larger and a random walk converges to its steady-state faster than that in undirected networks with the same degree distribution.

1 Introduction

Much effort has been devoted to understand epidemics on networks, mainly because of the increasing threats from cybercrime and the expected outbreak of new fatal viruses in populations. Epidemics have been studied on undirected networks for a long time and many authors (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]) addressed the existence of an epidemic threshold \( \tau_c \) in the susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) epidemic process [8]. We consider the SIS epidemic process in an undirected network \( G(N, L) \), characterized by a symmetric adjacency matrix \( A \) consisting of elements \( a_{ij} \) that are either one or zero depending on whether node \( i \) is connected to \( j \) or not. Each node \( i \) has two possible states at time \( t \): either \( X_i(t) = 0 \), meaning that the node is healthy and susceptible, or \( X_i(t) = 1 \), for an infected node. Initially, a certain percentage of nodes are randomly selected as infected. The infection from an infected node to each of its healthy neighbors and the curing of an infected node are assumed to be independent Poisson processes with rates \( \beta \) and \( \delta \), respectively. Every node \( i \) at time \( t \) is either infected, with probability \( v_i(t) = \text{Prob}[X_i(t) = 1] \) or healthy (but susceptible) with probability \( 1 - v_i(t) \). This is the general continuous-time description of the simplest type of a SIS epidemic process on a network. The epidemic threshold \( \tau_c \) separates two different phases of the epidemic process on a network: if the effective infection rate \( \tau \triangleq \beta/\delta \) is above the threshold, the infection spreads and becomes persistent; if \( \tau < \tau_c \), the infection dies out exponentially fast. The epidemic threshold \( \tau^{(1)}_c = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \) of the N-intertwined Mean-field Approximation (NIMFA) [7, 9, 10, 11] of the SIS model lower bounds the real threshold, where \( \lambda_1 \) is the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix \( A \), also called the spectral radius.

Topologies of undirected networks have been mostly modeled by Erdős and Rényi [12, 13, 14] as binomial
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\[ \text{An Erdős-Rényi random graph can be generated from a set of } N \text{ nodes by randomly assigning a link with probability } p \text{ to each pair of nodes.} \]
networks, by Bárabasi and Albert\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{15} as power-law networks, or by Watts and Strogatz\textsuperscript{3} \textsuperscript{16} as small-world networks. More complicated static and dynamic models, such as the configuration model \textsuperscript{17} \textsuperscript{18} \textsuperscript{19}, are also proposed to approximate real-world networks. However, many real-world networks are directed networks, some examples are shown in Table 1. The dataset of the real-world networks is obtained from \textsuperscript{20} \textsuperscript{21} and the description of these networks is attached in the Appendix A.

Two kinds of links, namely bidirectional links and unidirectional links, exist in directed networks. If node \( i \) is connected to node \( j \) (denoted by \( i \to j \)) then \( j \) is also linked to \( i \) (denoted by \( j \to i \)), one bidirectional link exists between nodes \( i \) and \( j \); and if either \( i \to j \) or \( j \to i \) exists, but not both in between the node pair \( i \) and \( j \), a unidirectional link exists. Here, we define the directionality as \( \xi = \frac{L_{\text{unidirectional}}}{L_{\text{arcs}}} \), where the number of arcs (the number of 1-elements in the adjacency matrix) \( L_{\text{arcs}} = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} = u^T Au \) (\( u \) is the all-one vector), can also be calculated by \( L_{\text{arcs}} = L_{\text{unidirectional}} + 2L_{\text{bidirectional}} \). A directed network with directionality \( \xi \) is denoted by \( G(\xi) \). The network \( G(\xi=0) \) is a bidirectional network or an undirected network, whose adjacency matrix is symmetric, when \( \xi = 0 \). The network \( G(\xi=1) \) is a directed network without any bidirectional link, when \( \xi = 1 \). A high directionality is observed in Twitter, as shown in Table 1. A link runs from user A to user B if user A follows user B in Twitter, where user A is called the "follower" of user B. The fact that user A "follows" user B, does not necessarily mean that the reverse is also true. For example, a famous person could have millions of followers but he/she may not follow many others. This explains the high directionality \( \xi \) of Twitter. The virtual-community social networks, such as LiveJournal, have a low directionality (see Table 1), mainly because they aim to construct virtual connections in between real-life friends, and friendship relations are usually mutual.

There has been an increasing interest in the study of directed networks. Topological properties of directed networks, such as the short loops, closure connectivity, degree, domination and communities on realistic directed networks have already been studied in \textsuperscript{22} \textsuperscript{23} \textsuperscript{24} \textsuperscript{25} \textsuperscript{26} \textsuperscript{27}. Garlaschelli and Loffredo \textsuperscript{28} investigated the reciprocity \textsuperscript{29} in directed networks, where the reciprocity is equal to \( 1 - \xi \). Processes taking place on networks, such as synchronization, percolation and epidemic spread, have also been researched \textsuperscript{28} \textsuperscript{30} \textsuperscript{31} \textsuperscript{32} \textsuperscript{33} in real directed networks. Percolation theory for directed networks with \( \xi = 1 \) was firstly developed by Newman et al. \textsuperscript{19} \textsuperscript{34}. Then, Boguñá and Serrano \textsuperscript{35} pointed out that even a small fraction of bidirectional links suffices to percolate the network. Moreover, Meyers et al. \textsuperscript{36} used a generating function method to predict the epidemic threshold in directed networks with \( \xi < 1 \) and the size of the infected cluster. Recently, Van Mieghem and van de Bovenkamp have proven that the NIMFA epidemic threshold \( \tau_c^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \) of the SIS epidemic process also holds (\textsuperscript{34} \textsuperscript{35} \textsuperscript{36}).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Percentage of unidirectional links in real-world networks}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
Real-world networks & \( N \) & \( L_{\text{arcs}} \) & \( \xi \) \\
\hline
Enron & 69,244 & 276,143 & 84.29\% \\
Ljournal-2008 & 5,363,260 & 79,023,142 & 25.32\% \\
Twitter-2010 & 41,652,230 & 1,468,365,182 & 64.29\% \\
WordAssociation-2011 & 10,617 & 72,172 & 76.77\% \\
cnr-2000 & 325,557 & 3,216,152 & 70.33\% \\
in-2004 & 1,382,908 & 16,917,053 & 60.68\% \\
eu-2005 & 862,664 & 1,935,140 & 67.80\% \\
uk-2007-05@100000 & 100,000 & 3,050,615 & 82.23\% \\
uk-2007-05@1000000 & 1,000,000 & 41,247,159 & 79.71\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{2}A Bárabasi-Albert graph starts with \( m \) nodes. At every time step, we add a new node with \( m \) links that connect the new node to \( m \) different nodes already present in the graph. The probability that a new node will be connected to node \( i \) in step \( t \) is proportional to the degree \( d_i(t) \) of that node. This is referred to as preferential attachment.

\textsuperscript{3}A Watts-Strogatz small-world graph can be generated from a ring lattice with \( N \) nodes and \( k \) edges per node, by rewiring each link at random with probability \( p \).
for directed networks [10]. Stimulated by the directed social networks with different directionalities, here, we focus on the influence of the directionality $\xi$ on the epidemic threshold $\tau_c^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}$ and other spectral properties.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we propose two algorithms that could be applied to a bidirectional network to generate a directed network with an arbitrary given directionality $\xi$, by rewiring or resetting links. The in- and out-degree distribution of the generated directed network is the same as the degree distribution of the original bidirectional network. Chen and Olvera-Cravioto [37] proposed an algorithm to generate a directed network with a given in- and out-degree distribution, which is similar to the configuration model. However, their algorithm in [37] cannot generate a directed network with a given directionality $\xi$. In Section 3, we investigate the effect of the directionality $\xi$ on the spectral radius $\lambda_1$, the principal eigenvector $x_1$ (the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1$), the spectral gap $\lambda_1 - |\lambda_2|$ and the algebraic connectivity $\mu_{N-1}$ in both directed binomial [4] and power-law networks, whose in-degree and out-degree both follow a binomial (or power-law) distribution. Interestingly, we find that the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ of networks $G^{(\xi=0)}$ is larger than that of directed networks $G^{(\xi=1)}$ when the degree distribution and the assortativity of these networks are the same. This means that the epidemic threshold $\tau_c$ in undirected networks is smaller than that in directed networks with the same degree distribution and assortativity. Furthermore, we explore the influence of the Pearson degree correlation coefficient $\rho_D$ (also called the assortativity) on the epidemic threshold $\tau_c^{(1)}$ in both directed binomial and directed power-law networks with different $\xi$, in Sec. 4. The $\rho_D$ is the Pearson correlation coefficient of degrees [38] at either ends of a link and lies in the range $[-1, 1]$. Actually, there are four degree correlations, namely the in-degree and in-degree correlation, the in-degree and out-degree correlation, the out-degree and in-degree correlation and the out-degree and out-degree correlation, in directed networks. We consider directed networks where the in-degree and out-degree of each node are the same. In this case, the four degree correlations are equal to each other and can be all referred as the degree correlation (or the assortativity). The decrease of the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ with $\xi$ is large when the assortativity $\rho_D$ is large in directed binomial networks, whereas the opposite is observed in directed power-law networks.

2 Algorithm Description

The networks mentioned in this paper are simple, without self-loops and multiple links from any node to any other node. Here, we propose two algorithms, In-degree and Out-degree Preserving Rewiring Algorithm (IOPRA) and Link resetting algorithm (LRA), which both can be applied to any network to generate a directed network with a given directionality $\xi$. In this study, we only apply these two algorithms to generate directed networks with the same in- and out-degree distribution. The difference is that IOPRA preserves the in- and out-degree of each node, while, LRA may change the in- and out-degree of any node. IOPRA is inspired by the degree preserving rewiring, which has been presented in [39] [10]. We firstly introduce the degree-preserving rewiring, which monotonously increases or decreases the assortativity $\rho_D$, while maintaining the node degrees unchanged, in undirected networks. Afterwards, we describe IOPRA and LRA in detail.

2.1 Degree-preserving rewiring

The degree-preserving rewiring [39] can either increase or decrease the assortativity of a bidirectional network: (a) the degree-preserving assortative rewiring: randomly select two links associated with four nodes and then rewire the two links such that the two nodes with the highest degree and the two lowest-degree nodes are connected, respectively. If any of the newly rewired links exists before rewiring, discard this step and a new pair of links is randomly selected; (b) the degree-preserving disassortative rewiring: randomly select two links associated with four nodes and then rewire the two links such that the highest-degree node and the lowest-degree
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4For example, an Erdős-Rényi random network is a binomial network with the Pearson degree correlation $\rho_D = 0$. A general binomial network could possibly have an assortativity $\rho_D$ within a large range.
node are connected, and the remaining two nodes are also connected, as long as the newly rewired links do not exist before rewiring. Either rewiring step (a) or (b) can be repeated to monotonically increase or decrease the assortativity in a bidirectional network.

### 2.2 In-degree and out-degree preserving rewiring algorithm (IOPRA)

IOPRA can be applied to change the directionality of networks. We define our In-degree and Out-degree Preserving Rewiring Algorithm (IOPRA) as follows: randomly choose two unidirectional links with four end nodes, and rewire the two unidirectional links. In IOPRA, the head of one unidirectional link only can rewire with the head of the other unidirectional link, in order to maintain both the in-degree and out-degree of the four nodes unchanged (see Figure 1). We don’t rewire if such rewiring can introduce duplicated links from any node to any other. We discard the rewiring step if this rewiring step doesn’t change the directionality $\xi$ towards the given directionality. In both cases, we randomly reelect a pair of unidirectional links associated four nodes. We illustrate the process of IOPRA changing the directionality in Algorithm 1 (see Appendix C). IOPRA actually changes the directionality $\xi$ of a given network $G$ without changing the in- and out-degree of each node. If the original network $G$ is an undirected network, the in-degree sequence is exactly the same as the out-degree sequence in the directed network $G(\xi)$ generated by IOPRA.

![Figure 1: (Color online) In-degree and out-degree preserving rewiring](image)

IOPRA changes the directionality, as well as randomizing the connections of the original network, without changing the degree of any node. Hence, if the initial network is a random network, e.g. an Erdős-Rényi (ER) network or a scale-free network generated by the configuration model, where the connections are originally laid at random, IOPRA changes only the directionality $\xi$. However, if we apply IOPRA to a nonrandom network, e.g. a lattice, the resulting network has not only a different directionality but also a more randomized structure.

### 2.3 Link resetting algorithm (LRA)

We start with a bidirectional network $G$, and use the Link Resetting Algorithm (LRA) to change the directionality (see Algorithm 2 in Appendix C). We randomly choose a fraction $\xi$ of the bidirectional link pairs from $G$. Then, we randomly choose only one unidirectional link from each bidirectional link, and randomly relocate the selected unidirectional links to a place without any link. In this work, we only apply LRA to ER networks. In this case, the in- and out-degree of the generated directed network follow the same binomial degree distribution as the original network. However, the in-degree and out-degree of any node in the generated network may differ from those in the original network $G$. When LRA is applied to other types of networks, such as the power-law networks, the original in- and out-degree distributions are destroyed, and tend to be binomial.

In summary, two types of directed binomial networks can be generated: one is generated by IOPRA (called the IOPRA directed binomial networks), whose nodes have the same in-degree and out-degree; the other, created by LRA (called the LRA directed binomial networks), has the same in- and out-degree distribution, while allowing the in- and out-degree of any node to be different.
3 Spectral properties in directed networks

3.1 Spectral radius of directed networks

The adjacency matrix of a directed network is an asymmetric matrix, whose spectral radius $\lambda_1$ is still real by the Perron-Frobenius Theorem (see [11]). We generate directed networks, with the directionality $\xi$ ranging from 0 to 1, by applying IOPRA to the ER ($N = 1000, p = 2\ln N/N$) and the BA ($N = 1000, m = 4$) networks gradually. An ER network is connected, if $p > p_c \approx \ln N/N$ for large $N$, where $p_c$ is the disconnectivity threshold. In this work, we choose $p \geq 2p_c$ to be sure that the original networks are connected. The influence of the directionality $\xi$ on the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ and the assortativity $\rho_D$ is studied in both directed power-law networks and directed binomial networks (see Figure 2).

Apart from some wobbles, the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ decreases almost linearly with the directionality $\xi$. The same phenomenon can also be observed in large, sparse directed networks (see Appendix D). Moreover, the assortativity $\rho_D$ of the network fluctuates slightly around 0. We also have observed a similar phenomenon in large sparse networks. We observe that the tiny leaps of spectral radius $\lambda_1$ happen when the assortativity $\rho_D$ has a rise, which is understandable, because it has been shown in [39] that the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ increases with the increase of the assortativity $\rho_D$. Figure 3 exemplifies that the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ may increase instead of decreasing when the directionality increases due to the assortativity $\rho_D$. We will study the effect of the assortativity $\rho_D$ on the decrease of the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ with the directionality $\xi$ in Section 4.

With LRA, we generate directed binomial networks with directionality $\xi$ from 0 to 1 with step 0.1. The assortativity $\rho_D$ of all the directed binomial networks generated by LRA is around 0. Hence, the effect of the assortativity $\rho_D$ can be ignored here. The spectral radius $\lambda_1$ is calculated in directed networks with different directionality $\xi$. We performed all the simulations for $10^3$ network realizations. The spectral radius $\lambda_1$ is plotted as a function of the directionality $\xi$ for directed binomial networks with $p = 2\ln N/N$ and $p = 0.05$ in Figure
From the observation, the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ is inversely proportional to the directionality $\xi$ with the factor $\simeq -1$, which is independent from the link density $p$ of the networks. This observation can be explained by the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** Let $G^{(\xi=0)} = G_p(N)$ be a connected Erdős-Rényi (ER) random graph with a finite $N$, and let $G^{(\xi)}$ be a directed binomial network generated by LRA whose in- and out-degree follow the same binomial distribution as $G_p(N)$. The average spectral radius satisfies

$$E[\lambda_1(G^{(\xi)})] \simeq E[\lambda_1(G_p(N))] - \xi$$

(1)

**Arguments:**

A directed binomial network $G^{(\xi)}$ generated by LRA with link density $p$, can be equivalently constructed by randomly adding $2p\xi(N)$ unidirectional links to a bidirectional ER network $G_{p(1-\xi)}(N)$ with size $N$ and link density $p(1-\xi)$. The average spectral radius [41, pp. 173, art. 137] of $G_{p(1-\xi)}(N)$ is

$$E[\lambda_1(G_{p(1-\xi)}(N))] = (N-2)p(1-\xi) + 1 + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}).$$

The principal eigenvector of an adjacency matrix $A$ is denoted by $x_1$ obeying the normalization $x_1^T x_1 = 1$. Let $C$ denote the adjacency matrix of the resulting network after adding one unidirectional link to network $G$. The largest eigenvalue is increased due to the addition of the link $(i \rightarrow j)$ [41, pp. 236, Lemma 7] as

$$\lambda_1(C) \simeq \lambda_1(A) + (x_1)_i(x_1)_j,$$
where the increase is strict if the adjacency matrix $A$ is irreducible. Hence, the average increase of the spectral radius by adding $m$ unidirectional links in random networks is obtained as

$$E[\lambda_1(C) - \lambda_1(A)] \simeq mE[(x_1)_i(x_1)_j].$$

The sum of the product of components in the principal eigenvector of Erdős-Rényi networks is approximated by a function of link density $p$ (see Figure 5). The fitting function can be expressed as,

$$E \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_1)_i(x_1)_j \right] = N - \frac{1}{p} + O(1),$$

when the network is connected. Since $x_1^T x_1 = 1$ and since the expectation $E[.]$ is a linear operator, we obtain

$$E[(x_1)_i(x_1)_j] = N - \frac{1}{p} - 1 + O(\frac{1}{N^2}), \quad (2)$$

when $i \neq j$. Directed binomial networks generated by LRA from ER with $N$ and $p$, have the same $E[(x_1)_i(x_1)_j]$. Hence, the average spectral radius of the directed network obtained by adding $m = 2p\xi(\frac{N}{2})$ unidirectional links to the network $G_{p(1-\xi)}(N)$ can be approximated by

$$E[\lambda_1(G^{(\xi)})] \simeq E[\lambda_1(G_{p(1-\xi)}(N))] + 2(N(N-1)/2)p\xi E[(x_1)_i(x_1)_j].$$

Using (2),

$$E[\lambda_1(G^{(\xi)})] \simeq (N-2)p + 1 - \xi + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right),$$

which leads to (1).

Juhász [42] also pointed out that the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(G^{(\xi=1)})$ of a directed random network with link density $p$ and size $N$ is almost surely $Np$, when $N$ is large. In ER random networks, the spectral radius $E[\lambda_1(G^{(\xi=0)})] \rightarrow Np + 1$, when $N$ is large (see [41, pp. 173, art. 137]). Both earlier results are consistent with Proposition 1 and support that the proportionality factor between the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ and the directionality $\xi$ is around $-1$.

![Figure 5: (Color online) Sum of the product of components in the principal eigenvector as a function of the link density $p$ in ER networks ($N = 1000$).](image)

Proposition 1 also reveals the effect of the size $N$ on the relative largest decrease of the spectral radius $\Lambda = \frac{\lambda_1(G^{(\xi=0)}) - \lambda_1(G^{(\xi=1)})}{\lambda_1(G^{(\xi=1)})}$. We predict that $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ if $N \rightarrow \infty$ for directed binomial networks, because the decrease of the spectral radius $(\lambda_1(G^{(\xi=0)}) - \lambda_1(G^{(\xi=1)}))$ is almost a constant value, whereas the spectral radius $\lambda_1(G^{(\xi=0)})$ of dense directed binomial networks increases with the size of the networks. This implies that the effect of the directionality $\xi$ on the spectral radius is small in large dense binomial networks.
3.2 Principal eigenvector in directed networks

The principal eigenvector $x_1$ was first proposed as a centrality metric by Bonacich [43] in 1987, to indicate the influence of each node. For example, the decrease of the spectral radius [44, 45] by removing nodes, can be characterized by the corresponding principal eigenvector components. In this section, we explore the principal eigenvector in directed networks. The principal eigenvector of the directed networks, with the directionality $\xi$ from 0 to 1 with step 0.1, are calculated. Then, the components of the principal eigenvector are sorted in an ascending order. For each $\xi$, we simulate $10^3$ network realizations and compute the average sorted principal eigenvector components. Figure 6 illustrates that the components of the principal eigenvector are more uniform in directed binomial networks: the principal eigenvector $x_1 \to \sqrt{N}$ as $\xi \to 1$; moreover, the variance of components of the principal eigenvector linearly decreases with the directionality $\xi$ in both directed binomial networks and the directed power-law networks (see Figure 7). The observation implies that when the directionality is larger, the influence of each node on the spectral radius is more similar. This experimental evidence suggests that increasing the directionality enables all nodes to contribute more similarly to the robustness against epidemic in directed networks.

The decrease of the variance $\text{Var}[x_1]$ in directed binomial networks by LRA is larger than that in directed binomial networks by IOPRA (see Figure 7(a)). The connections in LRA directed binomial networks are more random than that in IOPRA directed binomial networks, in the sense that LRA allows each node to have a different in- and out- degree, although the in- and out- degree distribution are the same in both LRA and IOPRA binomial networks. As a consequence, the principal eigenvector $x_1$ is more uniform with a smaller $\text{Var}[x_1]$ in LRA directed binomial networks than that in IOPRA directed binomial networks when the directionality is the same. Thus, nodes in LRA directed binomial networks have more equal contributions to the spectral radius than nodes in IOPRA directed binomial networks with the same directionality. Li et al. [46] have shown that both a large variance of the degree and a large assortativity $\rho_D$ contribute to a large variance $\text{Var}[x_1]$ of the components of the principal eigenvector $x_1$. Here, we point out further that a large directionality $\xi$ leads to a small variance $\text{Var}[x_1]$ of the components of $x_1$.

![Figure 6: (Color online) Change of the components of principal eigenvector from bidirectional networks to directed networks.](image)

3.3 Spectral gap of directed networks

The difference $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$ between the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ and the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_2$ is called the spectral gap. All eigenvalues of the symmetric adjacency matrix of an undirected network are real. Here we focus on the directed networks, whose adjacency matrix is asymmetric. The eigenvalues of directed networks can be complex numbers (as exemplified in Figure 13 in Appendix B). In directed networks, the spectral gap is defined as $\lambda_1 - |\lambda_2|$, where $|\lambda_2|$ is the modulus of $\lambda_2$. The spectral gap $\lambda_1 - |\lambda_2|$ increases with the directionality $\xi$ in both the directed binomial networks and the directed power-law networks (see Figure 8). As introduced in
Sec. 3.1, the spectral radius decreases with the directionality. Our observation implies that the second largest eigenvalue $|\lambda_2|$ decreases with the directionality faster than the spectral radius. The larger the spectral gap is, the faster a random walk converges to its steady-state \[41, pp. 64\]. Thus, the dynamic process in a directed network reaches the steady-state faster than that in an undirected network with the same degree distribution. Figure 8 (a) implies that a dynamic process is slightly faster to reach the steady-state in IOPRA directed binomial networks than in LRA directed binomial networks. The existence of large spectral gap together with a uniform degree distribution results in higher structural sturdiness and robustness against node and link failures \[47\]. Hence, directed networks with high directionality $\xi$ and a uniform degree distribution are more robust than undirected networks with large variance of degree.

### 3.4 Algebraic connectivity of directed networks

The Laplacian matrix \[48\] is defined as $Q = \frac{1}{2}BB^T$, where the incidence matrix $B$ is an $N \times L$ matrix with elements \[41\]

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if link } e_l = i \rightarrow j \\ -1 & \text{if link } e_l = j \rightarrow i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Laplacian matrix can be equivalently expressed as $Q = \Delta - \bar{A}$, where $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{in} + \Delta_{out})$, $\Delta_{in}$ and $\Delta_{out}$ are diagonal matrices which contain the in-degree and out-degree of each node respectively, and $\bar{A} = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)$. If the network is an undirected network, $\bar{A}$ is the adjacency matrix $A$ and $\Delta = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_N)$ is the degree matrix. The second smallest eigenvalue $\mu_{N-1}$ of the Laplacian $Q$ was named algebraic connectivity by Fiedler \[49\]. The Laplacian $Q$ is always symmetric as defined. Hence, the algebraic connectivity of a directed and connected network is a positive real number. The algebraic connectivity, together with the spectral gap, quantifies the robustness and the network’s well-connectedness. The larger the algebraic connectivity is, the more difficult it is to cut the network into disconnected parts. Here, we study the influence of the directionality $\xi$ on the algebraic connectivity $\mu_{N-1}$ of directed networks. As illustrated in Figure 9, the algebraic connectivity increases with the directionality $\xi$ in both the directed binomial networks and the directed power-law networks. This suggests that the directed networks with high directionality are more difficult to break into parts and synchronize faster. As the directionality increases, the number of none-zero elements of $\bar{A}$ increases and the variance of the elements of $\bar{A}$ decreases. This could be one possible reason why the network is better connected. Moreover, the algebraic connectivity $\mu_{N-1}$ is greater in the LRA directed binomial networks than in the IOPRA binomial networks (see Figure 9 (a)).

![Figure 7: (Color online) Plot of the variance of the principal eigenvector versus the directionality (a) in directed binomial networks (generated by LRA in yellow squares and by IOPRA in red triangles) and (b) in directed power-law networks by IOPRA ($10^3$ network realizations).](image)
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Figure 8: (Color online) Plot of the spectral gap as a function of the directionality (A) in directed binomial networks (generated by LRA in yellow square and by IOPRA in red triangle) and (B) in directed power-law networks (10³ network realizations).

Figure 9: (Color online) Plot of the algebraic connectivity as a function of the directionality (A) in directed binomial networks (generated by LRA in yellow square and by IOPRA in red triangle) and (B) in directed power-law networks (10³ network realizations).

The algebraic connectivity $\mu_{N-1}$ approaches the spectral gap $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$, as the network tends to be regular bidirectional networks [41, pp. 71], which suggests the spectral gap is related to the algebraic connectivity. Figures 8 and 9 show that both, the spectral gap and the algebraic connectivity, increase with the directionality $\xi$ in directed networks, which is consistent with the relation between the algebraic connectivity and the spectral gap.

4 Effects of the assortativity on the spectral radius of directed networks

In the directed networks generated by applying IOPRA to ER or BA networks, the in- and in- degree correlation, the in- and out- degree correlation, the out- and in- degree correlation and the out- and out- degree correlation are the same. Thus, the four correlations are all referred as the degree correlation (or the assortativity). In Section 3 we have discussed how the spectral properties change with the directionality in directed networks, where the assortativity is always close to zero. Here, we study how the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ changes with the directionality $\xi$ when the assortativity $\rho_D$ is the same, and how the change of the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ with $\xi$ is influenced by the assortativity in directed networks. Two approaches are applied to investigate this problem.
• **Approach 1**: First, we perform degree-preserving rewiring on ER networks (or BA networks) to obtain a set of bidirectional networks with assortativity $\rho_D$ from $-0.8$ to $0.8$ (or $-0.3$ to $0.3$) with step $0.1$. Second, we alter the directionality $\xi$ of all bidirectional networks with each assortativity using IOPRA. The directionality $\xi$ is changed from 0 to 1 with step 0.1. IOPRA randomizes network connections, and thus pushes the assortativity of the resulting directed network towards zero, if the original network has a non-zero assortativity. Figure 10 plots the simulation results of one binomial network realization and $10^2$ binomial network realizations. The simulation of one realization is almost the same as the result of a large number of network realizations, which points to *almost sure behavior* [50]. The results in the directed power-law networks are shown in Figure 11.

• **Approach 2**: First, we generate ER networks (or BA networks) $G^{(\xi=0)}$ whose directionality $\xi = 0$. Second, we apply IOPRA to ER (or BA) networks $G^{(\xi=0)}$ to generate directed binomial networks (or directed power-law networks) $G^{(\xi=1)}$ with directionality $\xi = 1$. Then, we change the assortativity of ER networks (or BA networks) $G^{(\xi=0)}$ and directed binomial networks (or directed power-law networks) $G^{(\xi=1)}$ by degree preserving rewiring and in- and out-degree preserving rewiring, respectively, without changing the directionality. Note that the in- and out-degree preserving rewiring can be applied not only to change the directionality, but also to change the assortativity. Figure 12 plots the spectral radius of the networks $G^{(\xi=0)}$ and $G^{(\xi=1)}$ as a function of the assortativity.

The two approaches both change the assortativity and the directionality of the networks, while, the order of change is different: Approach 1 changes the assortativity firstly and then the directionality; Approach 2 is the opposite. Figures 10, 11, and 12 show that the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ always decreases with the directionality $\xi$ when the networks have the same degree distribution and the same assortativity $\rho_D$. Moreover, the degree distribution of the network also influences the change range of the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ with $\xi$. The decrement of the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ with $\xi$ increases with the assortativity in directed binomial networks (see Figures 10 and 12 (a)). On the contrary, the decrement of the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ with $\xi$ goes down with the assortativity in directed power-law networks (see Figures 11 and 12 (b)). Furthermore, the decrease of the spectral radius in directed power-law networks is larger than that in directed binomial networks, when the assortativity is zero. Many real-world networks are directed power-law networks, where $\lambda_1$ could possibly be tuned within a large range by controlling the directionality in real-world networks.

Summarizing, the spectral radius $\lambda_1$ decreases with the directionality $\xi$ when the assortativity remains constant. In order to protect the network from virus spreading via increasing the epidemic threshold, while maintaining the degree distribution and the assortativity, increasing the directionality of networks is recommended. Meanwhile, the spectral gap and the algebraic connectivity are also increased, which means that the topological robustness is also enhanced in return.

Figure 10: (Color online) Spectral radius as a function of the assortativity in directed binomial networks with $\xi$ from 0 to 1 with step 0.1 is scatter plotted in different colorful (or grayscale) lines, from the gray (upper) line to the pink (lower) line.
5 Conclusions

In this work, two algorithms to generate directed networks with a given directionality $\xi$ are proposed. This allows us to study the influence of the directionality $\xi$ on the spectral properties of networks. The spectral radius $\lambda_1$, which is the inverse of the SIS NIMFA epidemic threshold $\tau_c^{(1)}$, is studied in directed networks. A universal observation is that, the spectral radius decreases with the directionality when the degree distribution and the assortativity of the network is preserved. We may, thus, increase the epidemic threshold to suppress the virus spread via increasing the directionality of the network. The possible range to increase the epidemic threshold is relatively large in directed binomial networks with a high assortativity and directed power-law networks with a low assortativity. The variance of the components of the principal eigenvector decreases with the directionality, which indicates that the influence of each node on the spectral radius is similar in networks with a high directionality. Moreover, the spectral gap and the algebraic connectivity increase with the directionality, implying that an increase of the directionality enhances the connectivity of the network. Furthermore, we observe that the spectral gap increases faster with the directionality in IOPRA than in LRA directed binomial networks, on the contrary, the algebraic connectivity increases with the directionality faster in LRA than in IOPRA directed binomial networks. This observation may be due to the fact that the in- and out- degree of each node could be different in LRA directed binomial networks, while, are exactly the same in IOPRA directed binomial networks. The influence of the difference between in- and out- degree of nodes on spectral properties for directed power-law networks remains an open question.
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Appendix

A. Introduction of real-world networks

1. Enron:
   This data set was made public by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission during its investigations: it is a partially anonymised corpus of e-mail messages exchanged by some Enron employees (mostly part of the senior management). This data set is a directed graph, whose nodes represent people and with an arc from x to y whenever y was the recipient of (at least) a message sent by x.

2. Ljournal-2008:
   LiveJournal is a virtual-community social site started in 1999: nodes are users and there is an arc from x to y if x registered y among his friends. It is not necessary to ask y permission, so the graph is directed. This graph is the snapshot used by Chierichetti, Flavio, et al. in "On compressing social networks." Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. ACM, 2009, and was kindly provided by the authors.

3. Twitter-2010
   Twitter is a website, owned and operated by Twitter Inc., which offers a social networking and microblogging service, enabling its users to send and read messages called tweets. Tweets are text-based posts of up to 140 characters displayed on the user’s profile page. This is a crawl presented by Kwak, Haewoon, et al. in ”What is Twitter, a social network or a news media?” Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web. ACM, 2010. Nodes are users and there is an arc from x to y if y is a follower of x. In other words, arcs follow the direction of tweet transmission.

4. Word Association-2011
   The Free Word Association Norms Network is a directed graph describing the results of an experiment of free word association performed by more than 6000 participants in the United States: its nodes correspond to words and arcs represent a cue-target pair (the arc x-\(\rightarrow\)y means that the word y was output by some of the participants based on the stimulus x).

5. WWW networks
   The networks, "cnr-2000", "in-2004", "en-2005", "uk-2007-05@100000" and "uk-2007-05@1000000" are small WWW networks that were crawled from the Internet. The "cnr-2000" is crawled from the Italian CNR domain. A small crawl of the .in domain performed for the Nagaoka University of Technology is in data "in-2004". The "en-2005" is a small crawl of the .eu domain. This network "uk-2007-05@100000" and "uk-2007-05@1000000" have been artificially generated by combining twelve monthly snapshot of the .uk domain and collected for the DELIS project.

B. Eigenvalues of the directed networks

The spectral radius \(\lambda_1\) and the spectral gap \((\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\) are considered as important metrics for the percolation processes on networks. Here we also present all eigenvalues in directed networks in a Image-Real figure. The eigenvalues are calculated on \(10^3\) simulation realizations. The changes of the eigenvalues \(\lambda_i\) with the directionality \(\xi\) from 0 to 1 with step 0.1 in directed binomial networks \((N = 10, p = 0.25)\) are shown on Figure [13]. Surprisingly, the real part of all eigenvalues tends to 0, when the directionality \(\xi\) increases.

C. Algorithms (see Algorithm [1] and Algorithm [2])

D. Spectral radius of large sparse networks (see Figure [14])
Figure 13: Change of the eigenvalues with the directionality. When the directionality increases, the real parts of the eigenvalues tend to 0.

Algorithm 1 IOPRA\((G, \xi)\)

1: Create a bidirectional network \(G(N, L)\);
2: Save network \(G(N, L)\) as \(G_s\) and calculate the directionality \(\xi_s\) of network \(G_s\);
3: while \(|\xi_s - \xi| > 10^{-5}\) do
4: Randomly select two unidirectional links \(i \rightarrow j\) and \(k \rightarrow l\) associated with the four nodes \(i, j, k, l\);
5: Rewire the link pair \(i \rightarrow j\) and \(k \rightarrow l\) into \(i \rightarrow l\) and \(k \rightarrow j\). The new network \(G_n\) is obtained;
6: calculate the directionality \(\xi_n\) of the network \(G_n\);
7: if \(|\xi_s - \xi| > |\xi_n - \xi|\) then
8: \(G_s \leftarrow G_n\);
9: \(\xi_s \leftarrow \xi_n\);
10: else
11: give up this rewired node pair;
12: end if
13: end while
14: return \(G_s\)

Algorithm 2 LRA\((G, \xi)\)

1: Create a bidirectional network \(G(N, L)\);
2: Randomly choose \(\xi\) percentage of bidirectional link pairs;
3: Randomly choose one unidirectional link from each link pair;
4: Randomly reset the chosen unidirectional links to the locations without any link;
5: Save the new network as \(G_s\);
6: return \(G_s\)
Figure 14: (Color online) Spectral radius as a function of the directionality in large, sparse directed binomial networks.
power-law directed networks
($N = 1000, m = 4$)