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Abstract: To facilitate C–C coupling in on-surface synthesis on inert surfaces, we devised a radical deposition source (RDS) for the direct deposition of ary radical onto aryl radicals. Its core piece is a heated reactive drift tube through which halogenated precursors are deposited and en route converted into radicals. For the proof of concept we study 4,4′-diiodo-p-terphenyl (DITP) precursors on iodine-passivated metal surfaces. Deposition with the RDS at room temperature results in highly regular structures comprised of mostly monomeric (terphenyl) or dimeric (sexiphenyl) biradicals. Mild heating activates progressive C–C coupling into more extended molecular wires. These structures are distinctly different from the self-assemblies observed upon conventional deposition of intact DITP. Direct deposition of radicals renders substrate reactivity unnecessary, thereby paving the road for synthesis on application-relevant inert surfaces.

Introduction

On-surface synthesis is a maturing approach for the realization of extended covalent organic nanostructures that spark tremendous interest in fundamental science and await a promising future in applications.[1] In terms of electronic π-conjugation and overall stability, reactions that afford carbon-carbon (C–C) coupling are of primary importance. In the established synthetic routes precursor molecules are deposited onto metal surfaces with accompanying or subsequent heating to thermally activate coupling.[2] On-surface C–C coupling was implemented by various reactions inspired from their solution analogues as Sonogashira,[3] Glaser-Hay,[4] and vastly explored Ullmann-type couplings[5] as well as cyclo-dehydrogenation.[6] These reactions proceed exclusively on metal surfaces, whose chemically active contributions are required either for kinetic or thermodynamic reasons. Metal surfaces can lower reaction barriers and enhance reaction energies by stabilizing reaction intermediates, by-products or final products.[3] Alternatively, C–C coupling can be non-thermally activated by light.[7] Despite encouraging first results, the generality and utility of alternative activation schemes remains elusive.

Although metal surfaces are beneficial for characterization with electron-based techniques such as scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), an impasse is reached when it comes to the fabrication of devices that exploit the unique electronic properties. Ultimately, this requires semiconducting or insulating substrates. Post-synthetic decoupling by physically separating the nanostructures through an inert spacer layer represents a viable means for diminishing the influence of the metal surface. Proven strategies encompass intercalation,[8] chemical transformation of the upper metal layers into an inert compound (e.g. silicides or oxides)[9] or lateral manipulation on top of a posteriori deposited insulating thin films (mostly alkali halides).[10] While decoupling facilitates characterization of the nanostructure’s inherent structures and electronic properties, it appears to be of limited use for actual device fabrication. Therefore, transferring the nanostructures from the growth to the target substrate remains the prevalent challenge.[11] Although metal surfaces are beneficial for characterization with electron-based techniques such as scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), an impasse is reached when it comes to the fabrication of devices that exploit the unique electronic properties. Therefore, after the synthesis on metal surfaces, the nanostructures are transferred to the target substrate for characterization with electron-based techniques such as scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

Accordingly, synthesis by direct C–C coupling on inert surfaces represents an important milestone. Only few successful examples of either inter- or intramolecular C–C coupling are reported on various non-metallic surfaces as TiO2,[13] calcite[14] and alkali halides.[15] Even though these examples represent major achievements, their specific requirements and conditions cannot alleviate the need for a facile and more general approach.

An intriguing concept is the direct deposition of activated species that can readily undergo C–C couplings on arbitrary surfaces. This idea was implemented by Gleason and coworkers as “initiated Chemical Vapour Deposition—iCVD”. Thereby up to micron thick polymer films can be grown with the aid of directly deposited radicals that either polymerize themselves by addition reactions or initiate a polymerization in pre-adsorbed monomers.[20] For on-surface synthesis, the temporal and spatial separation of activation and coupling removes the need for chemically active substrates, which could then be chosen for optimized coupling. The obvious activated species for C–C coupling are radicals. The utility of halogen-substituents as leaving groups for thermal cleavage prior to deposition was already alluded in the seminal work by Grill et al.,[16] where they suggested the direct deposition of radicals for crucible temperatures above 590 K. Such an in-crucible activation, however, does not allow sustainable
deposition of radicals due to progressive polymerization of the evaporand. A more sophisticated scheme based on the separation of precursor sublimation, activation and deposition was proposed by Sakaguchi.\textsuperscript{[17]} They used a quartz tube reactor in a two-zone furnace, where a flux of brominated precursors is generated by sublimation. Debromination is supposed to take place in the high temperature zone at the 625 K hot reactor walls. The supposedly created radicals are deposited downstream onto the target substrate in a lower temperature zone. Yet, in this work, conditions were applied where chemical activation is still feasible on the target substrate. The Au(111) surface was held at a temperature of 525 K, that is, high enough for debromination of intact precursors.\textsuperscript{[18]}\textsuperscript{[18]}\textsuperscript{[18]} Although this study proposes an intriguing concept, it could not provide unequivocal evidence for precursor activation prior to deposition.

We unambiguously demonstrate the generation of radicals from an iodinated precursor for subsequent deposition and C–C coupling on inert surfaces. As target substrates we have chosen Ag(111) and Au(111) passivated with chemisorbed iodine monolayers, because iodine passivation is facile, self-limiting to one monolayer and renders the surface inert with respect to thermally activated dehalogenation.\textsuperscript{[8,19]} Iodine forms hexagonal $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}R \pm 30^\circ$ superstructures on both Au(111) and Ag(111) with similar lattice parameters of $a = b = 0.50$ nm.\textsuperscript{[20]} More importantly, adsorbed aryl radicals form covalent-like bonds with the chemisorbed iodine atoms,\textsuperscript{[21]} which may be helpful to stabilize smaller radical species for STM characterization. We used the 4,4’-diodo-phenyl-terphenyl (DITP) precursor as relatively simple and well-studied model compound.\textsuperscript{[22]} Moreover, the targeted para-poly-phenylene (PPP) wires received significant interest,\textsuperscript{[23]} not at least for the on-surface synthesis of graphene nanoribbons by lateral fusion,\textsuperscript{[24]} consistent with C–C bonded terphenyl dimers, that is, sexiphenyl, as demonstrated by the overlay, and further corroborated by the internal STM contrast showing six protrusions, corresponding to the phenyl rings (Figure 1b). Moreover, we occasionally observed longer entities that are consistent with C–C bonded terphenyl trimers ((3.7 ± 0.1) nm) or tetramers ((5.0 ± 0.1) nm) (Figure 1c). The chemical nature of the termini, however, remains nonspecific. Yet, we can already exclude remaining iodine substituents, simply because there is not enough space to accommodate them for the narrowest observed lamella spacings.

Accordingly, we propose that the rods correspond to biradicals that are stabilized by covalent-like bonds formed at the termini with chemisorbed iodine atoms in the monolayer.\textsuperscript{[25]} The model in Figure 1d suggests an excellent geometric match. Thereby strain is minimized, resulting in relatively strong adsorption of the comparatively small sexiphenyl biradicals that facilitates sufficient stability for room temperature STM imaging. Despite the covalent bonding with the iodine monolayer, the adsorbed biradicals still remain active for progressive C–C coupling. The STM image in Figure 2a was acquired after subsequent mild heating to 375 K. It shows a remaining domain of sexiphenyl, as demonstrated by the overlay, and further corroborates the formation of more extended linear oligomers. These are organized in domains with uniform alignment along the three equivalent <110> directions of the iodine monolayer. Again the oligomer
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\caption{RDS deposition of biradicals from DITP precursors onto 1-Au(111) held at room temperature. a)–c) STM images acquired at room temperature showing regular structures of rod shaped entities; Note that the image in c) exhibits an occasionally observed inverted contrast (adsorbed biradicals appear with lower height); (image parameters: a: 25 x 25 nm$^2$, 108 pA, 0.60 V; b: 10 x 10 nm$^2$, 64 pA, 1.49 V; c: 10 x 10 nm$^2$, 104 pA, -0.96 V) d) tentative model based on the experimentally deduced orientation of sexiphenyl with its long axis aligned along the <110> directions of the iodine monolayer (grey spheres, the underlying metal surface is omitted for clarity).}
\end{figure}

Results and Discussion

Terphenyl biradicals were generated and deposited with a dedicated radical deposition source (RDS, see SI section 2). Its core piece is a heated drift tube comprised of gold-plated stainless steel through which the precursors are indirectly deposited. While on gold surfaces deiodination readily occurs at room temperature,\textsuperscript{[19,24]} a sufficiently high drift tube temperature of $\approx 500$ K was required to facilitate passage of en route generated radicals by sequences of adsorption-desorption processes.

STM images acquired after deposition with the RDS onto iodinated metal surfaces held at room temperature are presented in Figure 1. The overview image unveils a highly regular arrangement of rod-shaped entities, organized in unevenly spaced lamellae. The (2.5 ± 0.1) nm long rods clearly exceed the dimensions of DITP precursors (1.58 nm from iodine to iodine, cf. SI, Figure 2c). But their length is
RDS deposition of biradicals from DITP precursors onto I-Au(111) held at room temperature. STM images acquired after subsequent annealing to a) 375 K and c) 425 K; (image parameters: a) 120 × 120 nm², 42 pA, 1.76 V; b) 30 × 30 nm², 104 pA, −0.96 V; c) 100 × 100 nm², 84 pA, −1.19 V; d) 8 × 8 nm², 101 pA, −0.11 V). e) Histograms of the oligomer length distribution after heating to 375 K (blue, 2272 terphenyl moieties counted) and 425 K (red, 1254 terphenyl moieties counted) f) Ball and stick model highlighting the <11> orientation of the oligomers with respect to the iodine monolayer; C atoms in the oligomers are alternatingly colored in blue and yellow to highlight the terphenyl moieties, H in white, I in grey.

Figure 2. RDS deposition of biradicals from DITP precursors onto I-Au(111) held at room temperature. STM images acquired after subsequent annealing to a), b) 375 K and c), d) 425 K; (image parameters: a) 120 × 120 nm², 42 pA, 1.76 V; b) 30 × 30 nm², 104 pA, −0.96 V; c) 100 × 100 nm², 84 pA, −1.19 V; d) 8 × 8 nm², 101 pA, −0.11 V). e) Histograms of the oligomer length distribution after heating to 375 K (blue, 2272 terphenyl moieties counted) and 425 K (red, 1254 terphenyl moieties counted) f) Ball and stick model highlighting the <11> orientation of the oligomers with respect to the iodine monolayer; C atoms in the oligomers are alternatingly colored in blue and yellow to highlight the terphenyl moieties, H in white, I in grey.
precursor en route in a reactive drift tube. Its hot gold surface catalyzes the dissociation of iodine-substituents from DITP precursors and conducts the generated biradicals. STM images acquired after deposition onto iodinated metal surfaces reveal regular arrangements of terphenyl biradicals that spontaneously dimerize into sexiphenyl biradicals at room temperature. Based on this observation, we propose an important role of the registry between biradical species and iodine monolayer for their surface stability. Control experiments with conventional DITP deposition demonstrate that I-Ag(111) surfaces are highly inert with respect to dehalogenation, and also corroborate generation of radicals by the RDS for downstream deposition. The adsorbed species maintain their radical character as confirmed by their ability to further couple into extended linear structures upon mild heating. Accordingly, iodine passivated metal surfaces proved ideal for initial RDS studies as they offer a unique combination of inertness for dehalogenation and stickiness for the adsorption and stabilization of radical species. Among thousands of coupled terphenyl units only a few structures were observed that may be non-linear junctions (see SI, Figure S8). Given that those could still arise from isomer impurities in the precursor, this observation suggests that the RDS does not induce 1,2-rearrangements, where the radical site migrates to the adjacent carbon atom. This is important good news for using the RDS in the reticular synthesis of covalent nanostructures, because it implies that the sites for C–C coupling are fully predetermined by the precursor’s halogen-substitution pattern. However, a possible favourable role of the covalent bonding between radicals and iodinated surface remains to be explored.

Successful implementation of the RDS is our base camp for further exploring on-surface synthesis with radicals. Exciting future experiment could tackle more abundant brominated or more complex precursors for targeting two-dimensional polymers. Moreover, more fragile precursors could by tested, as for instance molecules with sulfur-containing heterocycles that are key components in molecular electronics, but unfortunately prone to on-surface decomposition. An obvious extension would be the use of alternative surfaces, where the RDS facilitates thus far elusive studies of deposition, diffusion and coupling of radicals at arbitrary temperatures.
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