Performance of Activated Carbon Supported Cobalt Oxides and Iron Oxide Catalysts in Catalytic Cracking of Waste Cooking Oil
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Abstract
This work studied the catalyst activity of activated carbon (AC) supported Co, Fe and Co-Fe oxides in catalytic cracking of waste cooking oil. Reactions were carried out in a fixed bed reactor at 450 °C with WHSV 9 hr⁻¹. Single metal Co/AC and Fe/AC catalysts with different metal loading (2.5–15 wt.%) and bimetal xCo-yFe/AC (x, y = 2.5 to 12.5 wt.%; x + y = 15 wt.%) catalysts were investigated. Co/AC and Fe/AC catalysts both contributed to significant liquid yield with high selectivity towards C₁₅ and C₁₇ hydrocarbons. Fe/AC catalysts gave high C₅–C₂₀ hydrocarbon yield whereas Co/AC attained more palmitic (C₁₆) and oleic (C₁₈) acid conversion. Synergistic effect in two metals Co-Fe/AC catalysts had further improved the liquid hydrocarbon yield (up to ~93 %) and fatty acid conversion (up to 94 %). The best catalyst, 10Co-5Fe/AC had been further tested under the effect of reaction temperature, feed flow rate (WHSV) and deactivation for its catalytic performance.
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1 Introduction
It is estimated that around 16.5 million tons of waste cooking oil (WCO) is generated every year worldwide [1]. These oils could harm the environment if they are not being handled properly before disposal. Recently, WCO is found to be a potential feedstock for green fuel production. It is more economical than using fresh vegetable oil as a feedstock and does not affect other applications such as competing with human consumption [2]. In the production of liquid biofuel, catalytic cracking process is favored over other approach due to its lower energy consumption relatively [3]. This reaction is usually catalyzed by metal oxides, molecular sieves, zeolites, activated alumina and sodium carbonate [4]. Recently, waste-derived carbon material [5], such as activated carbon (AC) and carbon nanotube (CNT), with high surface area and porous structure catalyst have received attention in the catalytic cracking reaction [6] due to a better catalytic performance. It was reported that conversion of WCO and liquid product yield were to be higher by using activated carbon compared to that of HZSM-5 catalyst [7]. Activated carbon also had more reaction active sites than MCM-41 in catalytic cracking of WCO to produce higher fuel range liquid product with lower oxygenated compounds due to better deoxygenation [8, 9]. Furthermore, using activated carbon supported MgO generated higher C₈ (8.1 wt.%) yield and C₁₇ (10.2 wt.%) yield compared to silica, alumina, and zirconia as the support in catalytic cracking of WCO [10].

In catalysis, metal oxides provide acid and/or basic sites for the reactions and the active metal phase is anchored to highly porous support with large surface area [11]. Cobalt oxide promotes decarboxylation and decarbonylation to produce paraffinic and olefinic hydrocarbons, respectively [12]. Cobalt supported on activated carbon produced 91 % of C₄ – C₂₀ hydrocarbons with 72 % of n-(C₁₅ + C₁₇) selectivity in deoxygenation of palm fatty acid distillate via high decarboxylation and/or decarbonylation [13]. Incorporation of CoO₄ onto La₂O₃/AC catalyst increased the hydrocarbon yield and n-(C₁₅ + C₁₇) selectivity as well. Catalytic cracking of WCO over CoO₄-La₂O₃/AC resulted in 96 % of C₈ – C₂₀ hydrocarbon yield with 93 % n-(C₁₅ + C₁₇) selectivity [12].

On the other hand, activated carbon-doped Fe produced liquid biofuel with properties specified by the ASTM
standards in catalytic cracking of WCO [6]. NiO-Fe$_2$O$_3$/MWCNT catalyst contributed 89% of C$_8$–C$_{12}$ hydrocarbon yield and 79% n-(C$_{15}$ + C$_{17}$) selectivity with only traces of heavy compounds (>C$_{20}$). Incorporation of Fe$_2$O$_3$ onto NiO/MWCNT increased n-(C$_8$–C$_{12}$) hydrocarbons formation (49%) via its high cracking activity. The liquid product obtained met the specifications designated for ultra-low-sulfur diesel in terms of flash point, cloud point, pour point and cetane index [14].

These research works showed that activated carbon supported transition metal oxide has a good potential in catalytic cracking activity. Co oxide and Fe oxide incorporation has improved the performance of the activated carbon-based catalysts. This work studies the synergistic effect between the combination of Co-Fe oxides and their individual contribution in the catalytic cracking activity. Particularly, the effects of different metal loading and bimetal ratio in catalysts, as well as operating conditions during the catalytic cracking reaction.

2 Experimental
2.1 Materials
WCO was collected from the cafeteria in the university campus. Coconut shell-based activated carbon was supplied by Kekwa Indah Carbon Solutions Sdn. Bhd. Cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate, Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (99.999 %) and iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (99.999 %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2 Catalyst preparation
AC obtained was pretreated with boiling nitric acid (69–70% QREC) solution at 80 °C overnight initially. Co and Fe (2.5–15 wt.%) were then incorporated onto AC via incipient wetness impregnation method, where the required amount of metal precursors (Co or and Fe) were dissolved in deionized water. AC was added into the aqueous solution and stirred at room temperature overnight. The catalysts prepared were then dried in an oven at 105 °C and calcined at 550°C in nitrogen flow (99.9995%) for 5 hours. The synthesized catalysts were denoted as x-Co/AC, y-Fe/AC, and xCo-yFe/AC (x, y referred to metal loading in wt.%).

2.3 Characterization of catalyst
N$_2$ physisorption was conducted at -196 °C using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model in Micromeritics ASAP2020 to determine surface area and pore distribution of the catalyst. The sample was degassed at 150 °C for 24 hours prior to analysis to remove the moisture. The catalysts were also characterized using a Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) on FEI Quanta 450 FEG instrument operated at 5 kV. The SEM was equipped with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) performed by Oxford Instruments X-Max.

2.4 Catalyst activity test
A continuous tubular reactor setup was used for the reaction. 1 g of catalyst was loaded inside the tubular reactor. N$_2$ (99.9995%) as carrier gas was passed through the reactor via a mass flow controller (model M100B by MKS Instrument). A tube furnace (Nabertherm B170) was used to heat up the reactor. Once the reactor was heated up to the desired temperature, WCO was fed into the reactor by a metering pump (Lab Alliance Series I) at a set WHSV and the reaction was considered to start. The reactor outlet stream was condensed using cold water bath and was collected at interval of 30 mins or 1 hr. Used catalyst was recovered from the reactor after it was allowed to cool down. The yield of coke, liquid and gaseous products were calculated as shown below:

Liquid yield (wt.%) = \( \frac{\text{Liquid product (g)}}{\text{Weight of oil fed (g)}} \times 100\% \)

Coke yield (wt.%) = \( \frac{\text{Recovered solid material (g)} - \text{Fresh catalyst (g)}}{\text{Weight of oil fed (g)}} \times 100\% \)

Gas yield (wt.%) = 100% – Liquid yield – Coke yield

2.5 Liquid product analysis
The liquid sample was diluted with hexane and analyzed using a gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (GC–FID) (Agilent 7890A) which was equipped with a HP-5 column (30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 µm). The detector was set at 300°C with injection temperature at 250°C and helium (99.999%) at 1 ml/min served as carrier gas. Oven temperature was held at 40°C for 6 mins and subsequently ramped to 270°C at a heating rate of 7°C min$^{-1}$. The liquid product was calculated for its hydrocarbon yield and selectivity as follows [15]:

Hydrocarbon yield (%) = \( \frac{\text{Area of C}_5 - \text{C}_{20} \text{ hydrocarbons}}{\text{Total area} - \text{Area of hexane}} \times 100\% \)

Selectivity of C$_n$ (%) = \( \frac{\text{Area of C}_n}{\text{Area of C}_5 - \text{C}_{20} \text{ hydrocarbons}} \times 100\% \),

where \( n \) ranges from 5 to 20.
3. Results and discussions

3.1 Characterization of catalyst

The AC used had a high BET surface area of 1105 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and microporous structure with large porous volume of 0.45 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$. It was expected of slight reduction in surface area with the loading of metals onto the AC [16].

Fig. 1 shows the N$_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherm of 10Co-5Fe/AC. The catalyst exhibited type I isotherm with P/Po approaching 1 and H4 hysteresis loop which represented its microporous structure. It possesses BET surface area of 837.19 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and microporous surface area of 459.91 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The porous volume of 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst was identified to be 0.44 cm$^3$ g with microporous volume of 0.22 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$.

Fig. 2 shows the SEM image of 10Co-5Fe/AC that exhibits a highly porous structure with microporosity (<2 μm). The homogeneous distribution of Co and Fe metals on activated carbon was observed in EDX mapping. High surface area of the catalyst is contributed by its high porosity with uniform dispersion of metal phases onto AC without particles aggregation [17]. There is 8.67 wt.% Co and 3.52 wt.% Fe in the catalyst as shown in Fig. 3. This indicated that the activated carbon was successfully impregnated with Co and Fe. From XRD analysis (spectra not shown), Co$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ were detected as the active phases on activated carbon. Similar observation was also reported in [12].

3.2 Effect of metal loading on AC

3.2.1 Fe loading

Fe/AC catalysts with different Fe loading were tested for their performance in catalytic cracking of WCO at 450 °C and a feed rate of WHSV 9 hr$^{-1}$. The reaction conditions were selected based on the preliminary experiment studies. Fig. 4 shows the product yields obtained in catalytic cracking using activated carbon incorporated with 2.5–15 wt.% Fe.

The liquid yield obtained in catalytic cracking of WCO using Fe/AC was found to increase slightly from 49 wt.% to 55 wt.% with increasing Fe loading from 2.5 wt.% to 15 wt.% Higher Fe oxide might had triggered polyaromatization as side-reaction that caused an increase in coke yield from 3.24 wt.% to 5.17 wt.% Despite the highest liquid yield (55 wt.%) was obtained by 15-Fe/AC in the study range, the highest coke yield (5 wt.%) was also obtained by using the catalyst in the present study. This indicated that higher Fe loading favored heavier fraction of the product. The WCO
feed contains 37.03 % palmitic acid (C_{16}) and 62.97 % oleic acid (C_{18}). Although large amount of the WCO (>44 wt.%) being converted to gas and coke, there were significant amount of hydrocarbon formed and detected in the liquid product. Fig. 5 shows the liquid C_{5} – C_{20} hydrocarbon yield obtained with Fe/AC with different Fe loading.

The liquid products were obviously dominated by heavier hydrocarbon (C_{13} – C_{20}) fractions with carbon chain length in the range of fatty acids in the WCO (C_{16} and C_{18}) which is also in agreement with [18]. The liquid C_{5} – C_{12} hydrocarbons yields obtained were between 17–23 % with the highest obtained by 10-Fe/AC. The C_{13} – C_{20} hydrocarbon yield increased starting from 2.5 wt.% Fe until 7.5 wt.% Fe (64 %) and then it dropped subsequently with further increase in Fe loading till 15 wt.% (at 35 %). In the present study, Fe loading >10 wt.% had little effect on the liquid C_{5} – C_{12} hydrocarbons yield.

Fig. 6 shows the carbon number selectivity for C_{5} – C_{20} hydrocarbons content in liquid product obtained by using the Fe/AC catalysts with different Fe loading. Liquid product exhibited more selectivity for n-(C_{15} + C_{17}) hydrocarbons and it could be deduced as the consequence of deoxygenation of palmitic acid (C_{16}) and oleic acid (C_{18}) in WCO via decarboxylation and/or decarbonylation [12]. The highest n-(C_{15} + C_{17}) hydrocarbons selectivity (52 %) was detected for 7.5-Fe/AC which was also in line with the highest liquid yield obtained. Selectivity towards heavier
hydrocarbons ($C_{18} - C_{20}$) were higher relatively for 15-Fe/AC. This could be deduced as higher Fe loading is selective towards heavier hydrocarbon compounds. It was also believed that the catalyst had partially deactivated due to high coke formation that covered the active sites.

3.2.2 Co loading

Co/AC catalysts with different Co loading were also examined for their performance in catalytic cracking of WCO. Fig. 7 shows the liquid, gas and coke yield obtained for catalytic cracking of WCO using Co/AC with 2.5–15 wt.% Co loading.

There was an optimum point for liquid yield in the present Co loading study range. Liquid yield increased from 48 wt.% to 59 wt.% with increasing Co loading from 2.5 wt.% to 10 wt.% and then reduced to 55 wt.% with higher Co loading (>10 wt.%) for Co/AC catalysts. This can be related to increased coke yield (>4 wt.%) in the presence of more Co oxide which blocked access of triglycerides to the active sites for reaction [19]. However, the lowest fatty acid concentration was detected in liquid product by 12.5-Co/AC for the Co/AC catalysts. The liquid $C_{5} - C_{20}$ hydrocarbon yield of Co/AC catalysts with different Co loading is given in Fig. 8. The liquid hydrocarbon yield increased from 66 % with 2.5-Co/AC to 79 % with 10-Co/AC. The liquid hydrocarbon yield remained at 79 % with 12.5-Co/AC and then reduced to 53 % with 15-Co/AC catalyst.

Excess metal loading may have caused accumulation of the active phase at pore inlet that reduced its contact with reactant molecules [20]. Similar trend as the liquid hydrocarbon yield, was observed for liquid $C_{5} - C_{14}$ hydrocarbons (max. 25 % by 12.5-Co/AC). This suggested that more Co loading in Co/AC induced more cracking activity to generate lighter compounds. The highest $C_{13} - C_{20}$ hydrocarbon yield (57 %) was obtained by 7.5-Co/AC which then dropped with higher Co loading beyond that.

Fig. 9 shows carbon number selectivity for $C_{5} - C_{20}$ hydrocarbons in the liquid product of Co/AC catalysts. The selectivity of n-($C_{15} + C_{17}$) hydrocarbons (57 %) obtained by 7.5-Co/AC was the highest. 12.5-Co/AC catalyst exhibited high selectivity towards liquid $C_{5} - C_{14}$ hydrocarbons (34 %) via more cracking activity. 7.5-Co/AC and 7.5-Fe/AC both contributed to better deoxygenation but higher loading of Co increased cracking activity with higher $C_{1} - C_{12}$ lighter hydrocarbons selectivity whereas higher Fe loading leading to heavier products. These
observations had led to the investigation of bimetallic Co-Fe/AC catalysts to further improve the liquid product and hydrocarbon yield with better selectivity towards $C_5 - C_{12}$ range hydrocarbons or $C_{13} - C_{20}$ fraction.

### 3.3 Bimetallic Co-Fe/AC

Performance of bimetallic Co-Fe/AC catalysts in catalytic cracking of WCO was investigated. A total Co and Fe loading of 15 wt.% on AC was used. Co loading increased from 2.5 wt.% to 12.5 wt.% with the reduction in Fe loading from 12.5 wt.% to 2.5 wt.% on AC. Fig. 10 shows the product yields in catalytic cracking of WCO using bimetallic Co-Fe/AC catalysts with various Co-Fe loading combinations.

The highest liquid yield (65 wt.%) was obtained by using 10Co-5Fe/AC with the lowest gaseous product yield of 30 wt.%. Liquid yield increased to the maximum with Co loading (from 2.5 to 10 wt.%) and then dropped with further increase of Co loading on AC. Meanwhile, increase in coke formation (from 3.99 to 4.93 wt.%) was noted with Fe loading from 2.5 wt.% to 12.5 wt.% in catalyst. Nevertheless, the coke yield was less than 5 wt.% for all Co-Fe/AC catalysts used in the present study. Although similar trend was exhibited for the single metal-AC catalysts (Co/AC), the bimetallic Co-Fe/AC catalysts led to higher liquid yield with better fatty acid conversion. The lowest fatty acid component (4.36 %) obtained by bimetallic catalyst (10Co-5Fe/AC) was lower than that by the single metal-AC catalysts with 7.5-Fe/AC (21.9 %) and 12.5-Co/AC (14.2 %) catalysts.

The liquid hydrocarbon yield obtained in catalytic cracking using Co-Fe/AC catalysts is illustrated in Fig. 11. The highest hydrocarbon yield of 93 % was achieved with bimetallic 10Co-5Fe/AC which was higher than that for single metallic catalysts: 7.5-Fe/AC (87 %) and 12.5-Co/AC (79 %) catalysts. Bimetal oxides improved catalytic performance of the activated carbon-based catalyst with more liquid product, fatty acid conversion and liquid hydrocarbon yield. This could be caused by the synergistic effect between Co and Fe oxides for more efficient cracking and deoxygenation reactions [12]. In the present study, it can be deduced that Co oxides contributed to high liquid yield and Fe oxide offered more liquid $C_5 - C_{20}$ hydrocarbon yield in 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst. High cracking activity of the catalyst provided more liquid $C_5 - C_{12}$ range hydrocarbons than $C_{13} - C_{20}$ hydrocarbon fraction [21]. This infers that the high yields in liquid $C_5 - C_{20}$ hydrocarbons (58 %) and $C_{13} - C_{20}$ hydrocarbons (34 %) was due to high cracking and deoxygenation reactions consequences of synergy between Fe and Co in 10Co-5Fe/AC. The lowest liquid hydrocarbon yield (70 %) along with the highest coke yield (4.93 wt.%) was obtained by using 2.5Co-12.5Fe/AC catalyst. Interestingly, the highest
C\textsubscript{13} – C\textsubscript{20} hydrocarbons yield (54 \%) was resulted by using 12.5Co-2.5Fe/AC catalyst.

Fig. 12 shows the carbon number selectivity for hydrocarbons in liquid product of Co-Fe/AC catalysts. 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst rendered 22 \% n-(C\textsubscript{15} + C\textsubscript{17}) hydrocarbons selectivity and the maximum selectivity towards n-(C\textsubscript{15} + C\textsubscript{17}) hydrocarbons (45 \%) was attained by 12.5Co-2.5Fe/AC. n-(C\textsubscript{15} + C\textsubscript{17}) hydrocarbons are considered as the direct products of decarboxylation and/or decarbonylation of palmitic acid (C\textsubscript{16}) and oleic acid (C\textsubscript{18}). The highest selectivity towards n-(C\textsubscript{15} + C\textsubscript{17}) hydrocarbons obtained by Co-Fe/AC catalysts was lower than that attained by Co/AC and Fe/AC catalysts (>50 \%). This implies that Co-Fe/AC catalysts induced extensive cracking of the deoxygenated product to generate short-chain hydrocarbons [22]. High Co loading was found to increase cracking activities, for instance using 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst displayed 72 \% selectivity towards lighter hydrocarbons (C\textsubscript{5} – C\textsubscript{14}) with lower C\textsubscript{15} and C\textsubscript{17} hydrocarbons selectivity (22 \%). Both Fe/AC and Co/AC enhanced deoxygenation. This can be observed especially in the catalytic cracking reactions with 7.5-Co/AC (57 \%) and 7.5-Fe/AC (52 \%) which produced higher n-C\textsubscript{15} and n-C\textsubscript{17} hydrocarbons (Fig. 3 and Fig. 6).

Fig. 13 compares the composition of components in liquid products obtained for 10Co-5Fe/AC, 12.5-Co/AC and 7.5-Fe/AC catalysts with high fatty acid conversion. The liquid product consisted of hydrocarbons and oxygenates such as alcohols, ketones, carboxylic acids and esters. The hydrocarbons were aliphatic compounds (alkanes and alkenes) with some cycloalkanes and aromatics.

Though 10Co-5Fe/AC gave the highest liquid hydrocarbon yield, 12.5-Co/AC catalyst gave the highest alkanes yield (56 \%) whereas the highest alkenes yield (33 \%) was attained by 7.5-Fe/AC catalyst. This could be caused by Fe oxide that favoured olefins formation via secondary cracking of the oxygenated compounds [23]. It also promoted secondary reactions such as cyclization and aromatization to form reasonably high cycloalkanes (1.7 \%) and aromatics (7.8 \%) relatively, which are coke precursors. The bimetallic oxide 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst had the least oxygenates content: carboxylic acid/fatty acid (4.36 \%), ketone (2.02 \%) and ester (0.6 \%) with the highest aliphatic hydrocarbons yield (84 \%). It showed better total deoxygenation activity than single metal oxides Co/AC or Fe/AC catalysts. Since it had the highest liquid yield and the liquid hydrocarbon yield, the influence of reaction factors such as temperature, feed flow rate and reaction period on the catalyst performance were investigated.

3.4 Effect of reaction temperature

The catalytic performance of 10Co-5Fe/AC at different reaction temperature was tested. Table 1 shows the product yields for 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst in catalytic cracking of WCO at temperature range of 400 – 550 °C. The liquid yield decreased with increasing reaction temperature while the gaseous product (38 wt.\%) increased significantly due to extensive cracking [24]. More fatty acids were converted to other intermediates or products at a higher reaction temperature. On the other hand, coke formation was found to decrease with increasing temperature. This can be linked to decomposition of oil or the char formed on catalyst [25].

The coke yield (6 wt.\%) was higher at lower temperature (400 °C) where incomplete conversion of WCO could have caused deposition of residual substance on the catalyst. Fig. 14 shows liquid C\textsubscript{5} – C\textsubscript{20} hydrocarbon yields
obtained in catalytic cracking of WCO using 10Co-5Fe/AC at temperature range from 400 to 550 °C.

Despite the highest liquid yield (76 wt.%) obtained at 400 °C, liquid C_{5}–C_{20} hydrocarbon yield was the least (50 %) among the investigated temperature range. This could be due to insufficient reaction energy at the lower temperature to crack triglyceride in WCO to free fatty acids and further cracking the free fatty acids to hydrocarbon. 93 % liquid hydrocarbon yield was attained at temperature of 450 °C which could have provided optimum heat energy for the reaction to occur at a better efficiency [26]. The liquid hydrocarbon yield dropped at temperature beyond 450 °C which could be due to excess heat that promoted undesired secondary reactions such as polyaromatization and condensation to produce heavy compounds [27]. It is observed that liquid C_{5}–C_{12} hydrocarbons yield was higher than C_{13}–C_{20} hydrocarbon fraction at reaction temperature ≥450 °C. This can be explained with the occurrence of extensive cracking to lighter hydrocarbons [28]. Fig. 15 depicts the carbon number selectivity for hydrocarbons produced at reaction temperature in the range of 400–550 °C.

3.5 Effect of weight hourly space velocity of feed

The activity of 10Co-5Fe/AC in catalytic cracking of WCO at different feed rates were investigated too. Table 2 shows the liquid, gas and coke yields obtained in the reaction at WHSV between 7 hr\(^{-1}\) and 10 hr\(^{-1}\) at 450 °C and 30 min. The liquid yield increased with WHSV and the gas yield reduced with it. Formation of more gaseous product at lower feed rate was caused by increased residence time resulting from extended contact time between reactant and catalyst for higher cracking activity [29]. Coke yield also increased at higher WHSV. This phenomenon could have been caused by formation of residual

| Temperature (°C) | Product Yield (wt. %) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|
|                 | Liquid | Coke | Gas     |
| 400             | 76.65  | 6.17 | 17.18   |
| 450             | 65.44  | 4.14 | 30.42   |
| 500             | 61.52  | 3.88 | 34.60   |
| 550             | 57.91  | 3.59 | 38.50   |

Fig. 14 Liquid C_{5}–C_{20} hydrocarbon yield generated in catalytic cracking of WCO using 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst (400–550 °C; WHSV 9 hr\(^{-1}\) and 30 min)

| Weight Hourly Space Velocity (hr\(^{-1}\)) | Product Yield (wt. %) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                           | Liquid | Coke | Gas     |
| 7                                        | 55.05  | 3.17 | 41.78   |
| 8                                        | 62.89  | 3.57 | 33.55   |
| 9                                        | 65.44  | 4.14 | 30.42   |
| 10                                       | 65.75  | 5.90 | 28.35   |

The highest n-(C_{15} + C_{17}) hydrocarbons selectivity (57 %) was noted at 400 °C with the lowest C_{5}–C_{14} hydrocarbons selectivity (20 %) which indicates cracking of C-O bond (deoxygenation) require lower reaction energy than cracking of C-C bond. The selectivity for lighter C_{5}–C_{14} hydrocarbons increased with the rise in reaction temperature (450 to 550 °C). Selectivity of both C_{5}–C_{14} hydrocarbon (44 %) and n-(C_{15} + C_{17}) hydrocarbons were high at 450 °C that catered for balanced decarboxylation/ decarbonylation and cracking reactions. Therefore, 450 °C seemed to be the optimum temperature in the present study.

Table 1 Product yields for 10Co-5Fe/AC in catalytic cracking of WCO (400–550 °C; WHSV 9 hr\(^{-1}\) and 30 min)

![Fig. 15 Carbon number selectivity for hydrocarbons produced in catalytic cracking of WCO using 10Co-5Fe/AC (400–550 °C; WHSV 9 hr\(^{-1}\); 30 min)](image-url)

Fig. 15 Carbon number selectivity for hydrocarbons produced in catalytic cracking of WCO using 10Co-5Fe/AC (400–550 °C; WHSV 9 hr\(^{-1}\); 30 min)
substances via incomplete conversion of WCO due to overloading of available active sites with excess reactant molecules.

The liquid C₅ – C₂₀ hydrocarbon yield obtained is shown in Fig. 16. With the WHSV increasing, the yield of liquid C₅ – C₂₀ hydrocarbon increased to the maximum at WHSV of 9 hr⁻¹ (93 %) and then dropped after that at WHSV 10 hr⁻¹ (56 %). Maximum reaction efficiency was attained with sufficient reactant molecules introduced to available active sites of the catalyst. The liquid C₅ – C₁₄ hydrocarbon yield had similar trend because secondary cracking reaction is minimized at higher feed rate (WHSV 10 hr⁻¹) [30].

Fig. 17 displays carbon number selectivity of liquid C₅ – C₂₀ hydrocarbons produced for 10Co-5Fe/AC in catalytic cracking of WCO at WHSV 7–10 hr⁻¹. The n-(C₁₃ + C₁₇) selectivity decreased with increase in WHSV because the catalyst activity is optimum at 9 hr⁻¹ which gave the highest liquid hydrocarbon yield. However, the liquid C₅ – C₁₄ hydrocarbons selectivity dropped at higher feed rate (10 hr⁻¹) that could have been due to lower contact time for the secondary cracking of deoxygenated (C₁₅ and C₁₇) products.

3.6 Catalyst deactivation over reaction period
The catalytic cracking of WCO was proceeded at 450 °C and 9 hr⁻¹ for 300 mins to determine the deactivation of 10Co-5Fe/AC catalyst. The results of liquid C₅ – C₂₀ hydrocarbon yield and the remaining fatty acid in the liquid product collected for 5 hrs of reaction are shown in Fig. 18. A significant amount of coke (6.81 wt.%) was formed after 300 mins.

The catalyst activity was observed to reduce rapidly with decrease of hydrocarbon yield in liquid product (from 93 % to 35 %) over 300 min of reaction. The fatty acid in liquid product was found to increase (from 4 % to 31 %) over the period of time. Severe deactivation occurred as the operating time prolonged for the catalyst could be due to continuous coking which covered the active sites of the catalyst. Formation of carbonaceous substance covered its porous structure and hence blocked reactant molecules’ access to the reaction sites [31]. Although the catalyst exhibited significant catalytic cracking performance, it experienced rapid deactivation due to coke deposition which makes it undesirable, and regeneration is critical.

4 Conclusions
High C₅ – C₂₀ hydrocarbon yield was obtained with 7.5-Fe/AC (87 %) and 10-Co/AC (87 %) catalysts. Incorporation of Co and Fe to activated carbon at ratio of 2:1 further increased the liquid yield (65 %) and liquid hydrocarbon.
yield (93 %), which was believed to be the synergistic interaction of the two metals in the catalyst that improved the catalyst activity on cracking and deoxygenation reactions. Co and Fe oxides contributed respectively to higher liquid product and more liquid hydrocarbon yield. Though good performance was obtained by the bimetallic catalyst, but it deactivated rapidly. Conventional catalyst regeneration via calcination in the air to burn off the coke formed would cause carbon decomposition into ash [32] and is not suitable for this carbon-based catalyst. Regeneration of carbon-based catalyst warrants further investigation [33].
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