Feel4Diabetes healthy diet score: development and evaluation of clinical validity
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this paper is to present the development of the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score and to evaluate its clinical validity.

Methods: Study population consisted of 3268 adults (63% women) from high diabetes risk families living in 6 European countries. Participants filled in questionnaires at baseline and after 1 year, reflecting the dietary goals of the Feel4Diabetes intervention. Based on these questions the Healthy Diet Score was constructed, consisting of the following components: breakfast, vegetables, fruit and berries, sugary drinks, whole-grain cereals, nuts and seeds, low-fat dairy products, oils and fats, red meat, sweet snacks, salty snacks, and family meals. Maximum score for each component was set based on its estimated relative importance regarding T2DM risk, higher score indicating better quality of diet. Clinical measurements included height, weight, waist circumference, heart rate, blood pressure, and fasting blood sampling, with analyses of glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides.

Analysis of (co)variance was used to compare the Healthy Diet Score and its components between countries and sexes using baseline data, and to test differences in clinical characteristics between score categories, adjusted for age, sex and country. Pearson’s correlations were used to study the association between changes from baseline to year 1 in the Healthy Diet Score and clinical markers. To estimate reproducibility, Pearson’s correlations were studied between baseline and 1 year score, within the control group only.

Results: The mean total score was 52.8 ± 12.8 among women and 46.6 ± 12.8 among men (p < 0.001). The total score and its components differed between countries. The change in the Healthy Diet Score was significantly correlated with changes in BMI, waist circumference, and total and LDL cholesterol. The Healthy Diet Score as well as its components at baseline were significantly correlated with the values at year 1, in the control group participants.

(Continued on next page)
Background

Type 2 diabetes (T2DM) is a chronic disease that develops as a result of interactions between genetic and environmental factors. In addition to obesity and sedentary lifestyle, the composition of the diet is recognized as one of the important modifiable factors in the development of T2DM [1]. Lifestyle interventions focusing on dietary modification and increasing physical activity have been shown to prevent the development of T2DM in people at high risk [2]. To evaluate the effectiveness of a preventive intervention, it is thus important to be able to measure the achieved changes in lifestyle using validated methods.

One of the aims in the multinational Feel4Diabetes project was to identify families at increased T2DM risk and provide them interventions to decrease the risk in a cluster-randomized study setting in 6 European countries (Belgium, Finland, Greece, Spain, Hungary, Bulgaria) [3]. The high-risk families living in the intervention areas were subject to the interventions delivered in the school and community setting between 2016 and 2018. In addition, they were offered a possibility to take part in a more intensive lifestyle counselling, consisting of group and individual sessions and SMS-intervention focusing specifically on preventing the development of T2DM.

To measure the effect of the Feel4Diabetes intervention on dietary behaviours, questions on dietary intake were included in the questionnaire which the parents filled in at baseline and after 1 and 2 years [3, 4]. The dietary questions were formulated to focus specifically on the intake of the food items that had been chosen as the goals in the Feel4Diabetes dietary intervention. To test the questionnaire reliability, a total of 191 parents completed the questionnaires twice, with a 1–2 week interval, and based on the intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) of test-retest the developed questionnaires showed acceptable reliability [4]. Based on the dietary questions, the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score was compiled, in order to simplify the information from the questionnaire in a single parameter that can be used as a marker of dietary compliance.

The aim of this paper is to present the development of the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score and to evaluate its clinical validity.

Methods

Study population and measurements
Participants were 3268 adults (63% women) from high diabetes risk families living in the Feel4Diabetes study areas in 6 European countries. A high-risk family was defined as at least one of the parents having (relatively) high score in the Finnish Diabetes Risk Score FINDRISC [5]. FINDRISC is a validated tool to identify people at risk of developing T2DM in the future, consisting of eight questions (age, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, physical activity, consumption of fruit and vegetables, blood pressure medication, high blood glucose measured at any point, and family history of diabetes). The FINDRISC Score ranges from 0 to 26, with score 12 or higher indicating increased T2DM risk. The mean age of the study participants was 42 ± 7 years, and 82% of them were married or lived with a spouse. The average years of education completed were 14–15 years, and 67% of the participants worked full or part-time during the intervention period. The recruitment of the participants, as well as the procedures and measurements, has been explained in detail earlier [3]. In brief, the adults who consented to take part in the clinical study were invited to a study visit. The measurements included height, weight, waist circumference, heart rate, blood pressure, and fasting blood sampling, with analyses of glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. Waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) was calculated dividing waist circumference (m) by height (m). Participants also filled in a questionnaire including dietary questions, which were used to develop components of the Score (see Additional file 1). The measurements were repeated after 1 year.

Feel4Diabetes healthy diet score

Dietary goals set in Feel4Diabetes intervention were used as the basis for the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score. There were a total of 12 Feel4Diabetes intervention goals that were related to food choices or food behaviour, and were selected as the main components of the Healthy Diet Score (Table 1). These components were breakfast, vegetables, fruit and berries, sugary drinks, whole-grain
**Table 1** Scoring of the Healthy Diet Score

| Component and F4D dietary goal | Content Categories | Categories | Score |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------|
| 1. Breakfast  
Eating (healthy and balanced) breakfast daily | Weekdays and weekend days | 5 times on weekdays | 7 |
|  |  | 3–4 times on weekdays | 4 |
|  |  | 1–2 times on weekdays | 1 |
|  |  | I don’t eat breakfast | 0 |
|  |  | 2 times during weekend | 3 |
|  |  | 1 time during weekend | 2 |
|  |  | I don’t eat breakfast | 0 |
|  |  | Total score | 10 |
| 2. Vegetables  
≥ 5 servings of vegetable per day | Raw and cooked vegetables, cooked legumes | 5 servings per day | 10 |
|  |  | 4 servings per day | 8 |
|  |  | 3 servings per day | 6 |
|  |  | 2 servings per day | 4 |
|  |  | 1 servings per day | 2 |
|  |  | < 1 serving per day | 0 |
| 3. Fruits and berries  
≥ 3 servings of fruit & berries per day | Fruit and berries | 3 or more servings per day | 10 |
|  |  | 1–2 servings per day | 8 |
|  |  | 5–6 servings per week | 6 |
|  |  | 3–4 servings per week | 4 |
|  |  | 1–2 servings per week | 2 |
|  |  | < 1 serving per week | 0 |
| 4. Sugary drinks  
< 1 serving of sugary drinks per day | Soft drinks with sugar, juices with sugar, beer, cider, wine, spirits | < 1 serving per day | 10 |
|  |  | 1–2 servings per day | 8 |
|  |  | 3–4 servings per day | 6 |
|  |  | 5–6 servings per day | 4 |
|  |  | ≥ 7 servings per day | 0 |
| 5. Whole-grain cereals  
≥ 4 servings of whole-grain foods/cereals per day | Whole-grain bread, porridge, whole-grain cereals | ≥ 4 servings per day | 10 |
|  |  | 3 servings per day | 7 |
|  |  | 2 servings per day | 4 |
|  |  | 1 serving per day | 1 |
|  |  | < 1 serving per day | 0 |
| 6. Nuts and Seeds  
≥ 3 servings of nuts & seeds a week | Nuts and seeds | ≥ 3 servings per week | 6 |
|  |  | 1–2 servings per week | 3 |
|  |  | < 1 time per week | 0 |
| 7. Low-fat Dairy  
≥ 1 serving of low-fat dairy products per day | Low-fat dairy < 2% fat  
Full-fat dairy > 2% fat | ≥ 1 servings per day and no full-fat dairy products | 6 |
|  |  | ≥ 1 servings per day and ≥ 1 servings of full-fat dairy products | 3 |
|  |  | < 1 serving per day and ≤ 1 servings of full-fat dairy products | 0 |
| 8. Oils and fats  
daily use of olive or rapeseed oil or soft margarine including cooking fats and spreads | Favorable fats: vegetable oils, margarine, soft and reduced-fat margarine, oil based dressings  
Avoidable fats: butter, butter mixtures, I don’t use any | Cooking (option to choose several):  
Daily use of vegetable oils and margarines and no use of butter | 4 |
|  |  |  
Daily use of vegetable oils and margarines and some usage of butter | 2 |
|  |  |  
Daily use or no usage of vegetable oils or margarines and higher usage of butter or no usage of daily fats  
Bread spreads (option to choose only one): | 0 |
cereals, nuts and seeds, low-fat dairy products, oils and fats, red meat, sweet snacks, salty snacks, and family meals. Excluded intervention goals were related to physical activity and sedentary behaviour, and thus not included as part of the Healthy Diet Score.

Scoring of the components was done according to the 14 diet-related questions in the Feel4Diabetes questionnaire. Each component reflected one or two questions about intake frequencies of each particular food group or food behaviour. Components consisting of two questions were vegetables and oils and fats. Vegetables included questions about vegetable and legume consumption, and oils and fats included questions about bread spreads and daily use of different oils and fats. The maximum score for each component was set based on its estimated relative importance with regards to T2DM risk. A maximum score of 10 was given to breakfast, vegetables, fruit and berries, sugary drinks

| Component and F4D dietary goal | Content Categories | Score |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 9. Red meat ≤ 2 servings of red and/or processed meat a week | Daily use of vegetable oil, Soft margarine 70–80% or fat Reduced-fat margarine 28–60% fat Daily use of butter-vegetable oil mixture, butter or I do not use fat spread on bread | 0 |
| 10. Sweet snacks ≤ 1 serving of sweet snacks per week | Biscuits, ice cream, cakes, pastries etc. | 0 |
| 11. Salty snacks ≤ 1 serving of salty snacks/fast food per week | Hamburger, chips, pizza, savory pastries etc. | 0 |
| 12. Family meals Meals eaten with others | Breakfast, lunch and dinner with a friend, colleague, or with a family member Breakfast | 0–8 |
| Total Healthy Diet Score All components (1–12) | | 0–100 |
(including juices and soft drinks containing sugar and also high energy beverages beer, cider, wine and spirits), whole-grain cereals, and red meat. A maximum score of 8 was given to the consumption of oils and fats and frequency of family meals (including breakfast, lunch and dinner eaten in the company of others, defined as a friend, colleague or family member). The rest of the components, sweet snacks, salty snacks, nuts and seeds, and low-fat dairy, got a maximum score of 6. A higher score indicated higher or more frequent consumption, except for sugary drinks, red meat, sweet snacks and salty snacks where higher scores indicated lower consumption. Total score, calculated as the sum of the component scores, was ranging from 0 to 100, higher score indicating better quality of diet and maximum score indicating full achievement of the Feel4Diabetes dietary goals.

Descriptive and statistical analyses
Data was analyzed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 25.0. The Healthy Diet Score components were calculated for each country and men and women separately using baseline data. Score component values, as country means for men and women, are presented as bar charts. The Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score was divided into quarters. A multivariate analysis of covariance was used to test differences in clinical characteristics between the Score categories. In the model, clinical characteristics were used as dependent variables and score categories as the independent variable. The model was adjusted for age, sex and country and results are presented as marginal estimated means, standard deviations, and p-values.

To estimate the clinical validity of the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score, the changes in the Score and changes in clinical markers between baseline and first year follow-up were studied using Pearson’s correlation. To estimate reproducibility, Pearson’s correlations were studied between baseline and first year follow-up scores within the control group participants only. Results from both analyses using Pearson’s correlation are presented as correlation coefficients and p-values.

Results
The distribution of the Healthy Diet Score in the total population at the baseline is depicted in Fig. 1.

The mean total score was 52.8 ± 12.8 among women and 46.6 ± 12.8 among men (p < 0.001). The mean score values by sex and country are presented in Fig. 2. There were significant differences in the total score, as well as its components, between countries.

The total score was highest among Finnish (56.8 ± 11.9) and Spanish (52.9 ± 11.4), and lowest in Hungarian (47.8 ± 12.7) and Bulgarian (47.9 ± 11.5) adults. Of the components, score value was generally low for vegetables and high for salty snacks and breakfast.

The baseline clinical characteristics of all study participants according to Healthy Diet Score, adjusted for age, sex and country are presented in Table 2. The Score was directly associated with HDL-cholesterol (p = 0.022), and inversely associated with LDL-cholesterol (p = 0.003) and triglyceride (p = 0.043) concentrations as well as heart rate (p = 0.012).

The change in Healthy Diet Score from baseline to year 1, amongst all participants, was significantly and inversely correlated with changes in BMI, waist circumference, waist-to-height ratio and total and LDL cholesterol (Table 3). Increase in component scores for vegetables, fruit and berries, sweet snacks, salty snacks, and red meat correlated with a decrease of body weight indices, and increased intake of vegetables also with a decrease of total cholesterol and triglycerides. Higher consumption of whole-grains correlated
only with a decrease of total and LDL-cholesterol. Improvement in breakfast habits correlated with a reduction in BMI, but improvement in family meal practices did not correlate with any clinical changes. Sugary drinks correlated only with a change in systolic blood pressure, but the correlation was not in the expected direction. Also for the change in sweet and salty snacks score components an inverse correlation with HDL-cholesterol was discovered.

The Healthy Diet Score, as well as its components at baseline, were significantly correlated with the values at year 1 in the analysis including only the control group participants (Table 4). The Correlation coefficients varied from 0.479 \((p < 0.001)\) for fats and oils to 0.795 \((p < 0.001)\) for breakfast. For the total score, the correlation coefficient between baseline and year 1 was 0.755 \((p < 0.001)\).
Discussion

In this paper, we report the construction of the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score and present some findings to support its usability, reproducibility and clinical validity. The Healthy Diet Score is constructed based on the questionnaire that was developed for the Feel4Diabetes project [4]. A detailed description of the study population and design, as well as study results, are out of the scope of this paper.

The Healthy Diet Score was created to standardize and simplify the Feel4Diabetes dietary questionnaire [4] data in a single parameter that allows rapid evaluation of the diet across this multi-national project. The Healthy Diet Score is comprised of components that represent the dietary goals of the Feel4Diabetes high-risk intervention, weighted based on their appraised importance as risk or protective factors for type 2 diabetes.

The weights of the components were decided based on research literature. The most convincing evidence about the potential of dietary manipulation in reducing T2DM risk comes from randomized prevention trials. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) was the first individually-randomized controlled trial to show that T2DM can be prevented by dietary and physical activity counselling [6]. Risk of developing T2DM was shown to be the lowest among the participants who consumed a diet with moderate fat and high fibre content [7]. In the Diabetes Prevention Program, another important trial

| Table 2 Baseline clinical characteristics of all participants by Healthy Diet Score quarters (EMM, SE and ANCOVA p-value) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **BMI (Kg/m²)**                                               | 1. quarter 0-42 | 2. quarter 43-51 | 3. quarter 52-60 | 4. quarter 61-100 | p-value |
| 28.9 ± 0.2                                                   | 28.7 ± 0.2      | 28.1 ± 0.2      | 28.2 ± 0.2       | 0.054            |
| Waist circumference (cm)                                    | 95.3 ± 0.5      | 95.0 ± 0.6      | 94.0 ± 0.5       | 94.4 ± 0.6       | 0.325 |
| WHtR                                                        | 0.57 ± 0.0      | 0.57 ± 0.0      | 0.56 ± 0.0       | 0.56 ± 0.0       | 0.113 |
| SBP (mmHg)                                                  | 118 ± 1         | 119 ± 1         | 118 ± 1          | 119 ± 1          | 0.779 |
| DBP (mmHg)                                                  | 79 ± 1          | 79 ± 1          | 79 ± 1           | 79 ± 1           | 0.882 |
| Heart rate (bpm)                                            | 73 ± 0          | 72 ± 0          | 72 ± 0           | 71 ± 0           | 0.012* |
| total Cholesterol (mmol/L)                                  | 5.12 ± 0.04     | 5.02 ± 0.04     | 5.03 ± 0.04      | 4.96 ± 0.04      | 0.058 |
| LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)                                    | 3.21 ± 0.04     | 3.10 ± 0.04     | 3.10 ± 0.04      | 3.02 ± 0.04      | 0.003* |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)                                    | 1.36 ± 0.04     | 1.36 ± 0.04     | 1.38 ± 0.04      | 1.42 ± 0.04      | 0.022* |
| TG (mg/dL)                                                  | 1.29 ± 0.04     | 1.31 ± 0.03     | 1.23 ± 0.03      | 1.17 ± 0.04      | 0.043* |
| Glucose (mmol/L)                                            | 5.24 ± 0.04     | 5.33 ± 0.05     | 5.31 ± 0.05      | 5.37 ± 0.05      | 0.240 |

*p < 0.05, ANCOVA adjusted for age, sex and country. BMI Body mass index, WHtR Waist-to-Height ratio, SBP Systolic blood pressure, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, TG Triglycerides, EMM Estimated marginal means, SE Standard error

| Table 3 Pearson's correlation for changes in the Healthy Diet Score components and clinical markers between baseline and first year for all participants |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Total score**                                               | **BMI (Kg/m²)** | **WC (cm)** | **WHtR** | **SBP (mmHg)** | **DBP (mmHg)** | **Heart rate (bpm)** | **TC (mmol/l)** | **LDL (mmol/l)** | **HDL (mmol/l)** | **Glucose (mmol/l)** | **TG (mmol/l)** |
| −0.143**                                                    | −0.116**        | −0.123**    | ns       | ns             | ns             | −0.127**           | −0.114**       | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Breakfast                                                   | −0.061*         | ns          | ns       | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Vegetables                                                  | −0.077**        | −0.080**    | −0.070** | ns             | ns             | −0.052*           | ns              | ns              | ns              | −0.050*          | ns              |
| Fruit and berries                                           | −0.064*         | −0.069**    | −0.061*  | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Sugary drinks                                               | ns              | ns          | 0.053*   | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Whole-grain                                                 | ns              | ns          | ns       | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | −0.065*         | ns              | −0.062*          | ns              |
| Nuts and seeds                                              | ns              | ns          | ns       | ns             | ns             | ns                | −0.052*         | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Low-fat dairy                                               | ns              | ns          | ns       | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Oils and fats                                               | −0.052*         | ns          | ns       | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Red meat                                                    | −0.080**        | −0.077**    | −0.078** | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Sweet snacks                                                | −0.120**        | −0.098**    | −0.103** | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | −0.061*         | ns              | ns              |
| Salty snacks                                                | −0.061*         | −0.073**    | −0.070** | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | −0.058*         | ns              | ns              | ns              |
| Family meals                                                | ns              | ns          | ns       | ns             | ns             | ns                | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              | ns              |

*p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01. ns non-significant.

BMI Body mass index, WC Waist circumference, WHtR Waist-to-Height ratio, SBP Systolic blood pressure, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, TC total cholesterol, LDL LDL-cholesterol, HDL HDL-cholesterol, TG Triglycerides
The Healthy Diet Score at baseline was normally distributed and thus demonstrated variation within the study population. However, the country means of the Score, as well as its components, differed significantly from each other. These differences appeared plausible, considering the differing dietary cultures in the participating countries [18, 19]. For example, in Finland whole grain rye bread and low-fat milk are staples, and this is also reflected in the Score components for whole-grain cereal and dairy products. The consumption of vegetables was much lower than recommended across the countries, clearly indicating a need for public health actions to tackle the problem.

In the cross-sectional analysis, the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score category was significantly, albeit moderately associated with clinical risk factors such as HDL- and LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, when age, sex, and country were adjusted for. There also appeared to be a trend between decreasing BMI and increasing score. This association did not reach statistical significance. In the analysis exploring the association between changes in the Score and its components as opposed to changes in clinical risk factors, several associations were discovered. These analyses combined offer proof for the clinical validity of our Healthy Diet Score. Many diet scores have been developed for different purposes, and they have been proved clinically useful, especially when combined with other non-invasive measurements of risk factors [20]. Lassale et al. who compared the performance of different scores advocate the use of easy-to-measure, concise food-based scores that are developed based on predefined rather than study population-based cut-off points and propose that such scores “would be most pragmatic for individual risk prediction and health promotion” [20]. This is indeed also our justification for the development of the Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score.

Finally, the reproducibility of the Healthy Diet Score was shown to be good, based on the analysis of correlation between baseline and 1 year score components in the control participants only. This analysis excluded intervention subjects, as they were, by definition, instructed on how to make beneficial changes in their diet.

An important limitation of the present study is that we do not have a reference method (e.g. food diaries) for dietary data collection. However, the questionnaire reliability was tested in volunteers in each country before the study [4] and was shown to be acceptable.

**Conclusions**

The Feel4Diabetes Healthy Diet Score is a reproducible method to capture the dietary information collected with the Feel4Diabetes questionnaire and measure the level of

---

**Table 4** Correlation for the Healthy Diet Score components between baseline and first year for controls

| Score component       | Pearson’s correlation | p-value  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Total Score           | 0.755**               | < 0.001  |
| Breakfast             | 0.795**               | < 0.001  |
| Vegetables            | 0.486**               | < 0.001  |
| Fruit and berries     | 0.704**               | < 0.001  |
| Sugary drinks         | 0.622**               | < 0.001  |
| Whole-grain cereals   | 0.565**               | < 0.001  |
| Nuts and seeds        | 0.602**               | < 0.001  |
| Low-fat dairy         | 0.517**               | < 0.001  |
| Oils and fats         | 0.479**               | < 0.001  |
| Red meat              | 0.626**               | < 0.001  |
| Sweet snacks          | 0.624**               | < 0.001  |
| Salty snacks          | 0.558**               | < 0.001  |
| Family meals          | 0.616**               | < 0.001  |

**p<0.01
and changes in the adherence to the dietary goals of the intervention. It gives a simple parameter that associates with clinical risk factors in a meaningful and plausible manner. The Healthy Diet Score was constructed to facilitate the analyses of the Feel4Diabetes study outcomes, but it could also serve as a simple and visual tool to measure dietary intake in e.g. health care services and public health initiatives.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-020-0521-x.

Additional file 1. Feel4Diabetes dietary questions used in the development of the Healthy Diet score.
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