Air quality is becoming an essential environmental issue in nowadays [1-3]. Researchers have been interested in air quality due to severe health problems caused by air pollution as it is one of the factors that effects the nature of human beings [1, 4]. Air pollution has been considered as one of the most important environmental concerns especially for urban areas due to their high population and industries [4, 5].

There are a number of pollutants that are also known ground-level air pollutants, namely PM10, O3, CO, NO2, NO, SO2, H2S and SO3 [6]. Nitrogen oxide, generally known as NOx, is a common term for compounds include oxygen and nitrogen such as NO, NO2, N2O3, N2O4, and N2O5 [7, 8]. Combustion emissions and vehicle exhaust are the main source of NOx [9, 10]. NOx emissions can cause acid rains and photochemical smog that has an effect on human health (such as infection, cancer, etc.) and air quality [9]. Inhaling NOx is associated with increased health problems including respiratory disease, difficulty in breathing, and premature death [11]. Moreover, accumulation of NOx that is come from the air into water, lowering the oxygen concentration in the water, causes acidification and eutrophication of lakes, which can damage the survival of aquatic plants and other organisms [8].

Prediction of NOx has great importance for these environmental and health concerns. Current air quality monitoring systems provide a great amount of dataset and therefore, loss of data is occurred commonly due to instrument break down, failure of data transmission and maintenance, etc. Therefore, these monitoring techniques have failed to measure the NOx concentration for monitoring air quality and exhibited low precision in long-term predictions according to industrial and meteorological changes [2].

In recent years, artificial neural network structures (ANNs) have been widely used as a well-known technique of capturing nonlinear relations for air pollutants prediction and air quality monitoring [6]. ANN modeling is a proper mathematical approach for demonstrating highly complex relationships and can be generalized accurately when new input parameter was presented [5]. Compared to the traditional modeling
techniques, ANN is a data driven, self-adaptive, black-box method, which learns from examples.

There are several studies on prediction of ground-level air pollutants have been conducted with an ANN model. Sofuoğlu et al. [12], utilized an ANN model that examines meteorological parameters (wind speed and temperature) and measured particulate matter concentrations as input variables, to forecast SO$_2$ concentrations in Izmir. Baawain et al. [14] have presented a rigorous method of preparing air quality data to achieve more accurate air pollution prediction models which based on an artificial neural network (ANN). Lal Benjamin et al. [5] developed two air quality prediction models using feed-forward neural network which learn from examples, ANN is supposed as the higher levels compared to the other methods, ANN is a data driven, self-adaptive, black-box method, which learns from examples.

The main aim of the present study is to reveal the impact of meteorological and air pollutant influence for NO$_x$ prediction in Adana. Therefore, a method based on artificial neural network model that is capable of estimating NO$_x$ concentrations (µg/m$^3$) as output parameter.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Material**

Adana is the sixth largest city of Turkey with 1,854,270 inhabitants in 2017 [13]. The city of Adana is also localized in the interface of developed and relatively underdeveloped cities in Turkey. Therefore, high unusual urbanization rate (75%) is occurred due to migration from rural areas and the lack of resources and it can cause urban problems. As conclusion, the NO$_x$ yearly average for Adana is supposed as the higher levels compared to the other locations of Turkey. The monthly variations of average NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ emissions in Adana between 2014 and 2018, which was obtained from Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment and Urbanization, "Air Quality Monitoring Stations" website [14] have been presented in Table 1. The monthly average of wind rate and temperature values in Table 1. The monthly average of wind rate and temperature values have been acquired by using World Weather Online website [15]. The dataset was selected by randomly using MATLAB SOFTWARE and was divided into three parts: training, validation, and testing.

| Year | Month | SO$_2$ (µg/m$^3$) | Wind Rate (km/h) | Temperature (°C) | NO$_x$ (µg/m$^3$) |
|------|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2018 | January | 5.214576 | 8.4 | 9 | 34.87 |
| 2018 | February | 3.762245 | 7.7 | 12 | 20.45 |
| 2018 | March | 3.762245 | 7.5 | 15 | 20.45 |
| 2018 | April | 3.782792 | 7.8 | 18 | 21.88 |
| 2018 | May | 1.765229 | 8.4 | 24 | 7.87 |
| 2018 | June | 9.736235 | 9.3 | 26 | 10.67 |
| 2018 | July | 7.713627 | 10.2 | 28 | 9.68 |
| 2018 | August | 3.622233 | 9.6 | 28 | 8.67 |
| 2018 | September | 10.90257 | 8.2 | 27 | 15.83 |
| 2018 | October | 10.40373 | 7.6 | 27 | 5.80 |
| 2018 | November | 11.93729 | 8.4 | 29 | 5.89 |
| 2018 | December | 11.40444 | 9.2 | 13 | 47.97 |

**Table 1. The monthly variations of average NO$_x$ emissions.**
Material

Artificial neural network

ANN is a set of algorithms includes the functions of neurons that simulates massively parallel-distributed information processing system, inspired by neuroscience. The most commonly used ANN is multi-layer perceptron (MLP) based feed–forward back propagation model. MLP is a layered neural network structure (Fig. 1) with more than a single layer including one or several neuron(s). In this structure, the input of a layer is the output of the previous layer which is achieved through the activation function. As a result, the equation to determine the output of an artificial node $j$ is given by:

$$ h_k = f \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_{ki} + b_k \right) $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $h_k$ is the calculated value called output from the $k^{th}$ node at the previous layer, $w_{ki}$ is the weight of the artificial node between $i^{th}$ and $k^{th}$ node, and $b_k$ is the bias value. The net weights $w_{ki}$ and biases $b_k$ are calculated based on training algorithm. Along training procedure, training algorithm is updated the weights and deviations to reduce the error between predicted and actual values of the model. In this study we used back propagation algorithm as a training algorithm, which is accomplished through adjusting the gradient weights to minimizing the difference between target output and network output respectively.

Backpropagation algorithm is probably the most popular learning algorithm for supervised learning of artificial neural networks using gradient descent based on generalizing the Widrow-Hoff learning rule. In this study, backpropagation algorithm, which is widely applied in a variety of engineering applications, was applied as the learning algorithm of ANN model.

Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm

The Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) is the most used regularization algorithm for backpropagation algorithm to minimize the Mean Squared Error (MSE) of proposed neural network structure. This optimization algorithm is a kind of pseudo second order method and determines the best direction to move the weights with minimization methods accurately when model network topology has

| Year | Month  | SO2 (µg/m³) | Wind Rate (km/h) | Temperature (°C) | NOx (µg/m³) |
|------|--------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 2014 | January | 8.707439    | 9.7              | 8                | 21.19       |
|      | February| 6.15348     | 10.2             | 10               | 16.43       |
|      | March   | 8.704655    | 9.1              | 13               | 11.42       |
|      | April   | 11.22918    | 8.4              | 16               | 5.53        |
|      | May     | 7.167712    | 8.7              | 22               | 9.83        |
|      | June    | 6.621278    | 11.1             | 24               | 5.49        |
|      | July    | 4.435859    | 10.6             | 28               | 3.82        |
|      | August  | 6.281179    | 10               | 29               | 3.03        |
|      | September| 8.634721   | 7.9              | 27               | 5.85        |
|      | October | 8.059739    | 7.7              | 23               | 10.56       |
|      | November| 8.29313     | 8.3              | 17               | 12.66       |
|      | December| 9.163185    | 8.2              | 11               | 13.50       |

Air Quality Monitoring Station: Meteoroloji

| Year | Month  | SO2 (µg/m³) | Wind Rate (km/h) | Temperature (°C) | NOx (µg/m³) |
|------|--------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 2015 | January | 22.57541    | 7.8              | 10               | 66.68       |
|      | February| 6.273418    | 7.9              | 11               | 58.34       |
|      | March   | 4.833347    | 9.5              | 13               | 45.08       |
|      | April   | 2.458409    | 7.6              | 17               | 24.94       |
|      | May     | 4.003284    | 7.4              | 21               | 17.92       |
|      | June    | 4.180194    | 8                | 25               | 14.65       |
|      | July    | 3.801008    | 9.9              | 27               | 10.78       |
|      | August  | 4.205149    | 8.5              | 28               | 12.70       |
|      | September| 3.965452  | 8.3              | 25               | 20.83       |
|      | October | 4.155049    | 7.5              | 21               | 39.53       |

Air Quality Monitoring Station: Valilik

| Year | Month  | SO2 (µg/m³) | Wind Rate (km/h) | Temperature (°C) | NOx (µg/m³) |
|------|--------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 2014 | January | 11.08059    | 7.8              | 10               | 52.07       |
|      | February| 9.447555    | 7.9              | 11               | 37.00       |
|      | March   | 3.383083    | 9.5              | 13               | 32.24       |
|      | April   | 2.053013    | 7.6              | 17               | 20.16       |
|      | May     | 2.468017    | 7.4              | 21               | 22.68       |
|      | June    | 2.852342    | 8                | 25               | 21.32       |
|      | July    | 3.486149    | 9.9              | 27               | 23.54       |
|      | August  | 3.21794     | 8.5              | 28               | 38.03       |
|      | September| 3.877626  | 8.3              | 25               | 59.84       |
|      | October | 4.591569    | 7.5              | 21               | 48.37       |

Figure 1. The structure of a multilayer perceptron neural network.
small size. Additionally, the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm is an iterative process to implement second-order training methods apply the Hessian matrix to determine weight values. The model validation criteria of the proposed ANN model are required to predict NO\textsubscript{x} concentration according to other published correlations on the base of the determination coefficient (R\textsuperscript{2}) examination.

**Validation of the model**

There are several types of correlation validation criteria exist with their own range of usability to examine the developed model efficiency. These parameters represent prediction accuracy of a statistical estimating method, which expresses generalization performance. Three statistical parameters are employed to make correlation for prediction of NO\textsubscript{x} concentration, which are computed as follows:

1. **Correlation Coefficient (R\textsuperscript{2}):**
   \[
   R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{\text{actual}} - y_{\text{predicted}})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{\text{actual}} - \bar{y})^2} \times 100\%
   \]  

2. **Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE):**
   \[
   \text{MAPE} = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{y_{\text{actual}} - y_{\text{predicted}}}{y_{\text{actual}}} \right|
   \]  

3. **Root mean squared error (RMSE):**
   \[
   \text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{\text{predicted}} - y_{\text{actual}})^2}
   \]

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**The Impact of Input Parameters**

Fig. 2 were generated by plotting the individual input parameters that are SO\textsubscript{2} (µg/m\textsuperscript{3}), temperature (°C) and wind rate (km/h) of the air quality prediction against the corresponding measured NO\textsubscript{x} values.

It is concluded that linear models for individual parameters may not represent the most proper solution to precisely estimate measured NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. This complexity due to the nonlinearity can be handle using artificial intelligence based on data-driven modeling in the form of ANNs.

**Neural Network Model**

In this study, the feed-forward multi-layer neural network is used due to its ability to model very effectively any measurable input-output relationship to any desired degree of accuracy. The ANN model consists of three input variables: i) SO\textsubscript{2} (µg/m\textsuperscript{3}), ii) wind rate (km/h), iii) temperature (°C). The input data was split into test, training and validation sets.

In the absence of any accurate theory to calculate the number of artificial neurons and hidden layers, the network structure related model parameters were calculated heuristically by using trial and error methods. Therefore, the applicability of 350 different ANN models was examined with various activation functions and topology including different number of hidden layers. As seen on Fig. 3, the developed ANN model includes four layers that are input layer, output layer, and two hidden layers.

The first hidden layer has thirty neurons and the second hidden layer consists of four neurons. The tangent sigmoid (tansig) functions were used for the neurons input layer, first and second hidden layers respectively, and line-
The ar function was utilized for output layer. In order to use an artificial neural network model, one needs first to train the proposed model with training dataset. Fig. 4 shows the values obtained training datasets which reached an overall score of 0.8927 when using the Levenberg-Marquardt regularization method. According to this figure, the correlation between the predicted and measured values was high enough to assert that the developed ANN model demonstrates a good agreement with the training datasets.

The validation dataset was used to stop training early if the model performance fails. As seen on Fig. 5, R² is higher than 0.90 for the validation set. The network structure is capable to generalize the prediction of NOₓ emissions.

Fig. 6 indicated that the prediction performance of the ANN model with testing datasets is better than against its training performance. The test data result indicates that the predicted value of NOₓ concentration is fitted to the measured value, the correlation coefficient can meet the requirements for the estimation. Beyond that, the MAPE and RMSE results for each target were also examined. Table 2 lists the MAPE and RMSE values for the training, validation and test dataset.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, a new correlation based on ANN model approach was used for prediction of NOₓ concentration (µg/m³) in Adana, which is rely on SO₂ concentration (µg/m³) as an air pollutant and meteorological effecting parameters (wind rate (km/h), temperature (°C)). The performance of the developed model (R²>0.9568) has been evaluated using measurements collected from weather stations in Adana. At the moment of writing this paper, we are not aware of other techniques for NOₓ prediction in Adana.

The key merit of the proposed model in this study is an easy method to predict NOₓ concentration when air monitoring station is not available. Therefore, the model could be utilized to provide air monitoring data at currently unmonitored locations in Adana, which obviate the necessity of a relatively high number of monitoring stations for describing the NOₓ concentration.
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