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INTRODUCTION

In the current era, the teachers are not only required to deliver learning materials but also empower various 21st-Century competencies (Docherty, 2018; Serdyukov, 2017; Wilson & Bai, 2010). Various thinking skills that are the foundation of 21st-Century skills must be known and understood by the teachers and the way they are empowered must be known (AACTE, 2010; Darling-Hammond, Flook, Cook-Harvey, Barron, & Osher, 2019). According to several thinking skills, metacognitive skills become essential skills that also support and relate to other skills (Blummer & Kenton, 2014; Chauhan & Singh, 2014; Demirel, Aşkıın, & Yağcı, 2015).

The empowerment of metacognitive skills is seen as an urgent for several reasons. First, metacognition is closely related to a student's ability to deal with problems while learning (Chauhan & Singh, 2014). Second, metacognition can also support students in the problem solving process (Persky, Medina, & Castleberry, 2018). Third, metacognition is also related to cognitive and self-regulatory control abilities in students (Efklides, 2014). Moreover, this competency can maximize personal development, academic writing skills, and mastery of concepts (Sudarmin et al., 2016).
Through the empowerment of metacognitive skills during learning, the learning process becomes more effective because students can evaluate their own understanding (Chauhan & Singh, 2014). They will also be able to increase their learning motivation (Yanqun, 2019). Furthermore, through the empowerment of metacognitive skills, students’ thinking skills also will be increased. Some of the thinking skills reported have also increased when metacognitive skills have increased, including critical (Lukitasari, Hasan, & Murtafiah, 2019; Magno, 2010; Naimulke & Corebima, 2018) and creative thinking skills (Hargrove & Nietfeld, 2015; Jia, Li, & Cao, 2019; Suratno, Komaria, Yushardi, Dafik, & Wicakseno, 2019).

The teacher as the main component in the learning process is also expected to have good metacognitive skills. The better the teacher's metacognitive skills, the more optimal the empowerment of these competencies. Therefore, prospective teachers must have good metacognitive skills (Ahmad Fauzi & Sâdiyâh, 2019). The reason is, it is not possible for a person to be able to empower metacognitive skills well if he himself does not master these skills (Bahri, Idris, Nurman, & Ristiana, 2019; Jiang, Ma, & Gao, 2016; Suratno et al., 2019).

Beside teacher factor, learning materials also play a role in empowering metacognitive skills. Some materials have a higher potential for empowering skills than others (Broom, 2015; Gullan, Power, & Leff, 2013; Sewagegn & Diale, 2019). One material that has a potential to empower metacognitive skills is biology (Djamahar et al., 2019; Hartman, 2001; Rahmat & Chanunan, 2018; Setiawati & Corebima, 2018; Siegesmund, 2016; Zohar & Barzilai, 2013; Zubiani, Rosyidatun, Hasanri, Rohmatullah, & Zuzistya, 2018). Through presenting various biological problems, students can be trained to improve their metacognitive skills. Some indicators that can be used to evaluate their metacognitive skills when solving biological problems, include the accuracy of the argument, systematic in responding to problems, and skills in using language when solving problems (Corebima, 2009).

Ethnoscience is one of lectures that have to be learned by students in Science Education study program. Regarding to this lecture, it discusses about the learning process which links on the relationship between scientific knowledge, local culture, and indigenous science (Sudarmin et al., 2016). Ethnoscience is important to be mastered since it supports the Curriculum 2013 and its relationship between 21st-Century skills (Sudarmin, 2014). The Curriculum 2013 can support students to link the science knowledge and culture (Kemendikbud, 2014). As we know, Indonesia has a diverse culture, but has not been widely used as a material of science learning. As the impact, Indonesia culture and local wisdom are continually left and forgotten by society, especially students. Therefore, pre-service science teachers need to empower this subject in order can transfer this to their students later.

During ethnoscience learning process, one of higher thinking skill that have to be taught to pre-service teacher is metacognitive skill. This skill is important to be empowered since it will be used in analyzing and identifying various problems related to biology phenomenon and absolutely also in solving those authentic problems (Chauhan & Singh, 2014; Persky, Medina, & Castleberry, 2018). According to the observation result, it also can be concluded that students' metacognitive skill still needs to be improved during the learning process, especially on the aspect of planning, monitoring, and evaluating. Responding to the importance of metacognitive skills, various studies examining metacognitive skills in Indonesia have been conducted several times. From the various reports, it was found that some forms of learning can improve this competency (Siregar, Susilo, & Suwono, 2017; Tamsyani, 2016). The development of modules and learning media was also carried out by previous researchers to streamline the empowerment of metacognitive skills (Dewi, Kannapiran, & Wibowo, 2018; Siagian, Saragih, & Sinaga, 2019). However, from the many studies that have been conducted, the assessment of the metacognitive skills profile of prospective teacher students is still difficult to find. Its difficulty is caused by the type of instrument in which generally the instrument used to evaluate metacognitive skill is only in the form of questionnaire. Moreover, the metacognitive skill which is a part of cognitive domain can be analysed and evaluated using a test instrument. This kind of research is important because it can be important information in evaluating the quality of teacher education through such kind of test instrument modified from Schraw and Dennison (1994). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to analyze the metacognitive skills of students majoring in science education to respond to biological questions.

METHOD

This study was a case study research, involving 110 students of Science Education Study Program at University of Trunojoyo Madura. The research subject involved students from class A, B, and C in the academic year of 2018. Students were taught Ethnoscience lecture during one semester, and the metacognitive skill on students were evaluated. Data were collected through Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI) with adapting from Schraw and Dennison (1994). This test instrument consisted of 15 essay question items asking the concepts of Genetics on Human and its relationship with Ethnic and Society on Madura, East Java-Indonesia.
The metacognitive test was arranged in several indicators and sub-Indicators. The first indicator was declarative knowledge with three sub-indicators; identifying the problem, analysing the prior knowledge to solve the problem, and examining own weakness and capabilities, on item number 1, 2, and 15. Furthermore, the second indicator asked about procedural knowledge with two sub-indicators; giving the alternative solutions to overcome problem and providing steps or ways to solve problem, on item number 6 and 7. The third indicator was about indicator of conditional knowledge in which the sub-indicators were about deciding the best answer and giving reasons of choosing that answer, on item number 8 and 10. Furthermore, the forth indicator was about planning with two sub-indicators; relating the prior knowledge and new information to solve the problem, and arranging plan to solve problems on item number 3, 4, and 5. The fifth indicator was about monitoring with two-sub-indicators; evaluating the formula that was used to solve the problem related to human genetics and analysing strategies that are used to correct the results, on item number 9, 11, 12, and 13. Finally, the last indicator was about evaluation with one sub-indicator; re-checking the assignments, on item number 13 and 14. The obtained result of study were analyzed using quantitative descriptive method. Data of metacognitive skill obtaining from test score was converted using the Formula 1 based on Corebima (2009) where y1 is cognitive test score, y2 is the combination of cognitive and metacognitive test score, and x is metacognitive skills score. Findings were also obtained from the metacognitive questionnaire in which it used Guttman scale (Abdi, 2010), with score 1 and 0, then the total score was categorized based on the interval on Table 1. Finally, the level of metacognitive skill was determined using criteria on the Table 2.

$$y_2 = \frac{y_1 + 2x}{2}$$

(1)

Table 1. Interval of metacognitive skill

| Component on metacognitive | Indicator     | Good | Moderate | Bad |
|----------------------------|---------------|------|----------|-----|
| Cognitive                  |               |      |          |     |
| Declarative                | 6-8           | 3-5  | 0-2      |     |
| Procedural                 | 5-6           | 2-4  | 0-1      |     |
| Conditional                | 4-5           | 2-3  | 0-1      |     |
| Psychomotor                |               |      |          |     |
| Planning                   | 6-7           | 2-5  | 0-1      |     |
| Monitoring                 | 6-7           | 2-5  | 0-1      |     |
| Evaluating                 | 4-5           | 2-3  | 0-1      |     |

Source: Adapted from Corebima (2009) and Yasir (2015)

Table 2. Criteria of metacognitive skill level

| Level of metacognitive | Activities of metacognitive |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Excellent              | 1. Declarative, procedural, and conditional skills developed well. |
|                        | 2. Planning, monitoring, and evaluating skills enhanced well. |
| Good                   | 1. Declarative and procedural skills developed well but conditional skill reached on moderate level. |
|                        | 2. Planning and monitoring skills developed well but evaluating skills reached on moderate level. |
| Moderate               | 1. Declarative developed on moderate level but conditional and procedural skills reached on bad level. |
|                        | 2. Planning developed on moderate level but monitoring and evaluating skills reached on bad level. |
| Bad                    | 1. Declarative, procedural, and conditional skills developed poorly. |
|                        | 2. Planning, monitoring, and evaluating skills enhanced poorly. |

Source: Adapted from Corebima (2009) and Yasir (2015)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Metacognition is an important competency that needs to be optimally empowered at all levels of education. The metacognitive skills of the students on science education study program involved in this study are presented in Table 3 and the level of each component of their metacognition is presented in Figure 1. Based on Table 3, the metacognitive skills on students in class A, B, and C have developed well, showed by the average of 72.93%. Furthermore, it also can be seen that the each metacognitive skill component reached on score above 60 (Figure 1). Data in the Figure 1 indicates that students’ metacognitive skill in all components has developed well, showed in percentage of declarative knowledge skill (75.81%), procedural knowledge (71.46%), conditional knowledge (73.80%), planning (64.53%), information management strategy (67.60%), comprehension monitoring (65.78%), and evaluation (68.50%). Meanwhile the skill of debugging strategies reached the highest percentage which means that this skill has developed very well (88.30%).
Table 3. Data of students’ metacognitive skill in every class

| Class | Metacognitive skill (%) | Category          |
|-------|------------------------|-------------------|
| A     | 72.03                  | Developed well    |
| B     | 75.38                  | Developed well    |
| C     | 70.38                  | Developed well    |

Figure 1. Students’ metacognitive skill in every component (DK: Declarative Knowledge, PK: Procedural Knowledge, CK: Conditional Knowledge, P: Planning, IMS: Information Management Strategies, CM: Comprehension Monitoring, DS: Debugging Strategies, E: Evaluation)

The good profile of metacognitive skills of students analyzed in this study is not in line with some previous studies. Some previous studies conducted in Indonesia have reported that the metacognition profile of students is still unsatisfactory. These studies are not only conducted at middle school (Diella & Ardiansyah, 2017; Nurajizah & Windyariani, 2018; Tjalla & Putriyani, 2018), but also higher education (Ahmad Fauzi & Sa’diyah, 2019). Therefore, findings in this study showed that learning process especially in Science Education study program is conducted well by enhancing metacognitive skill. It is supported that metacognitive skill can enhance well if it is implemented continually during the learning process.

The difference results between researches that was conducted in middle school with this present research can be happened due to the difference of education level of research subject. The statement is based on the information from previous research that inform level of education has an essential factor in contributing student thinking skills (Coşkun, 2018). Education level has an impact on metacognitive skills due to these competencies can improve when students regularly use their cognitive. Therefore, the longer the students involved in education processes, the higher their metacognitive skills (Ahmad Fauzi & Sa’diyah, 2019).

Then, the difference results between the finding between this present research and the previous research that conducted in the other higher education program and institution can be happened due to the difference learning activities experienced by research subjects. The good profile of metacognitive skills of students in science education program that involved in this research indicated that the course activities that held in this study program could empowered metacognitive skills. Learning activities have been known as main factor affect students metacognitive level (Aydin, 2011; Zohar & Barzilai, 2013).

However, results of this study indicate that students’ declarative skill has increased well, but less optimal. It is also assumed that students were not able to control their meta-comprehension skill since they were difficult in monitoring their selves. If this ability is low, then students will face difficulties in understanding concepts well (Sudarmin et al., 2016). This condition reveals that several students still found difficulties on facing and analysing problems related to Ethnoscience, especially in the concepts of Genetics on Human and its relationship between Ethnic and Social.

Furthermore, based on Figure 1, the students’ procedural knowledge skill has increased well. However some of them still need assistance in applying cognitive strategy during the learning process. Procedural knowledge skill is related to the way of doing something. Several strategies can be implemented to rise metacognitive skill such as by getting students to identify what they already know and do not know, re-tell about their thinking, arrange plans, identify questions, and evaluate their selves (Corebima, 2016; Sudarmin et al., 2016).
Then, conditional knowledge is a skill to decide when declarative and procedural knowledge will be applied during the learning process. It is found in this study that students’ conditional knowledge has developed well. However, some of them still were not capable to understand in learning strategy decision. Students should be used to decide what learning strategy that will be used to learn since it can enhance thinking skill. Use of various learning strategies will make learning process easier.

Planning ability is also one of skill in metacognitive which is related to arrange plan of learning activity. Findings in this study showed that students were not capable to control their selves before start the learning process, most of them also did not state learning aims, and manage time of learning. However, it can be assumed that this skill is really important to be applied during the learning process since it can affect on the learning achievement.

Furthermore, information management strategy related to the skill to analyze and identify ideas and use learning strategy to make meaningful information. It is found in this study that students were used to read text book in learning. Furthermore, the other component in metacognitive skill is comprehension monitoring which includes the evaluation of learning activity and strategy. Students should be used to evaluate themselves since it is advantageous to understand which the best learning strategy that is suitable with their needs and personality.

The last component is debugging strategies in which students in this study reached the highest score. It is assumed that students were able to revise wrong understanding and assignments. However, it should be always implemented during the learning process to make the metacognitive skill in all components can develop very well.

To sum up, according to the findings obtained in this study, students’ metacognitive skill reached on 71.93% meaning that it has developed well. However, it is found that the weakness related to the students’ metacognitive skill in this study should be solved effectively by applying several learning strategies, for instance by applying mind mapping or concept mapping. Metacognitive skill is not an inherited skill however it can be taught continually through active learning. Some references suggest a active learning such as mind-mapping (Pedone, 2014), self-reflection activities (Colbert et al., 2015), as well as inquiry learning (Adnan & Bahr, 2018). Moreover, several learning model have also been confirmed could improve students’ metacognitive skills. Some of the learning model is project-based learning (Sumampouw, Rengkuan, Siswati, & Corebima, 2016) and problem-based learning (Haryani, Masfufah, Wijayati, & Kurniawan, 2018; Panchu, Bahuleyan, Seethalakshmi, & Thomas, 2016).

CONCLUSION

According to the findings of this study, it can be concluded that the students’ metacognitive skill has developed well with the average of 71.93%. Furthermore, the students’ metacognitive skills in every component also developed well (64.53 – 75.81%), except the ability of debugging strategies which enhanced excellently (88.30%). This study has examined the profile of metacognitive skills of students of science education courses. The results of the analysis have shown that their metacognitive skills are good. However, this conclusion is only based on metacognition data that collected using one instrument. Therefore, further research involving more than one type of metacognition instrument needs to be done. In addition, to confirm the effectiveness of lectures in science education in empowering metacognition, research that examines the metacognition profile in various study programs needs to be conducted.
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