Syder, Kirsty (2014) Two-dimensional local-global class field theory in positive characteristic. PhD thesis, University of Nottingham.

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:
http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/14538/1/Thesis.pdf

Copyright and reuse:
The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk
Two-Dimensional Local-Global Class Field Theory in Positive Characteristic

Kirsty Syder

Thesis submitted to The University of Nottingham for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2014
Abstract

Using the higher tame symbol and Kawada and Satake’s Witt vector method, A. N. Parshin developed class field theory for positive characteristic higher local fields, defining reciprocity maps separately for the tamely ramified and wildly ramified cases. We prove reciprocity laws for these symbols using techniques of Morrow for the Witt symbol and Romo for the higher tame symbol. We then extend this method of defining a reciprocity map to the case of positive characteristic local-global fields associated to points and curves on an algebraic surface over a finite field.
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Introduction

In the study of class field theory of algebraic curves, the local field associated to each point on the curve is used to define a ring of adeles for the curve. This ring provides the domain for a reciprocity map for the global field of functions of the curve. In this thesis, we extend this approach to the case of an algebraic surface over a finite field, using reciprocity maps for higher local fields in analogy with the classical case.

The study of higher local fields was initiated in the 1970s by Y. Ihara, with further work done by A. N. Parshin in the positive characteristic case and K. Kato in the general case. We recall the inductive definition: an $n$-dimensional local field $K$ is a complete discrete valuation field with ring of integers

$$\mathcal{O}_F := \{ \alpha \in F : v_F(\alpha) \geq 0 \}$$

and maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_F := \{ \alpha \in F : v_F(\alpha) > 0 \}$$

such that the residue field $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional local field. One-dimensional local fields are the usual local fields, i.e. finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$, for a prime $p$. This thesis will concentrate on two-dimensional local fields, so the field $\mathbb{F}_q((u))((t))$ is a useful example to have in mind for a reader unfamiliar with higher dimensional number theory.

The class field theory of higher local fields has been extensively studied, with different methods applied. Kato used cohomological methods to define the reciprocity map, see [9, Section 5] for an overview or [15], [16] and [17] for full details. Fesenko provides an explicit version of the class field theory, as Neukirch (see [29]) did in the classical case - see [9, Section 10] for an overview or [4] and [5] for full details.

In his papers [33] and [34], Parshin developed a reciprocity map for positive
characteristic higher local fields by gluing together three separate maps for unramified, tamely ramified and wildly ramified extensions. The unramified part of the map is as usual a valuation map associated to the Frobenius element. See [9] section seven for a review of this theory. In higher class field theory, the domain of the reciprocity map is a Milnor $K$-group, in particular the group $K_{n}^{\text{top}}(F)$ for an $n$-dimensional local field $F$. See 1.1 for a definition of this group. Note that if $n = 1$, then $K_{n}^{\text{top}}(F) = F^\times$ so this theory is compatible with the usual one-dimensional theory.

The map for tamely ramified extensions came from the higher tame symbol, which is a higher dimensional generalisation of the tame symbol,

$$\{f, g\} = (-1)^{v(f)v(g)} \frac{f^{v(g)}}{g^{v(f)}}$$

for $f, g$ elements of a local field with valuation $v$. The higher tame symbol can be viewed as a map with domain the Milnor $K_{2}$-group of the local field. This symbol has been studied extensively, and the reciprocity laws described below proved using several different methods. In particular for a study of the tame symbol for an algebraic surface, see the work of Romo, [35], [12], [36] and [37], Osipov, [30], and Osipov and Zhu, [31]. See section 1.2 for a definition and discussion of the higher tame symbol.

The map for wildly ramified extensions is the Artin-Schreier-Witt pairing, which uses Witt vectors to study $p$-extensions in characteristic $p$. The method of using the Witt pairing to define a reciprocity map for wildly ramified extensions of fields of positive characteristic was first developed by Kawada and Satake in their paper [21]. They proved the class field theory for local fields and function fields of positive characteristic. Parshin’s method is a higher-dimensional generalisation of Kawada and Satake’s method, using $K$-groups. See section 1.4 for a full definition of the Witt symbol and associated local reciprocity map.

We will now provide a brief description of the Witt vectors. Firstly, for a positive characteristic ring $R$, the Witt vectors of length $m$, $W_{m}(R)$, are
vectors with \( m \) entries from the ring \( R \). Addition and multiplication are defined coordinate-wise by polynomials with integral coefficients, depending only on the characteristic of \( R \). The Witt ring of \( R \) is then defined as the projective limit,

\[
W(R) = \lim_{\leftarrow} W_m(R).
\]

For those unfamiliar with Witt vectors, the most important examples of these rings for our purposes are:

\[
W_m(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}
\]

and

\[
W(\mathbb{F}_p) = \lim_{\leftarrow}(W_m(\mathbb{F}_p)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p.
\]

**Comparison with the Class Field Theory of an Algebraic Curve**

Before we state the main theorems of the thesis, we recall the classical theory of the class field theory for an algebraic curve, and compare this to the case of an algebraic surface.

For the function field \( k(y) \) of an algebraic curve \( y \), the adeles are defined as the restricted product of the completions \( k(y)_x \) at each point \( x \in y \), with respect to the rings of integers \( \mathcal{O}_{k(y)_x} \). The ideles \( J_y \) are the multiplicative group of the adeles.

We then define a reciprocity map

\[
\phi_y : J_y \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k(y)_{ab}/k(y))
\]

as the sum of local reciprocity maps \( \phi_{x,y} \).

The kernel of \( \phi_y \) is the diagonal embedding of the global field \( k(y) \). The theorem that for all \( f \in k(y) \),

\[
\phi_y(f) = \sum_{x \in y} \phi_{x,y}(f) = 0
\]
is the reciprocity law for the curve $y$.

Now we briefly outline the theory for an algebraic surface $X$, making the comparison with the one-dimensional case outlined above. We refer to the relevant sections later in the thesis where more information can be found.

Firstly, in analogy with the information provided by a point $x$ on our curve $y$, we consider points $x$ on curves $y$ on the surface. As stated above, we can associate two-dimensional local fields $F_{x,y}$ to each such pair, which arise as completions of the function field $F$ of the surface - compare with $k(y)_x$ above. See 1.1 for full details of this process.

Then we define an adelic group, using the Milnor $K_2$-groups instead of the multiplicative group. This adelic group is still a restricted product, this time with respect to “two-dimensional rings of integers” - see 3.1 for the definitions of these groups.

The reciprocity map for the surface $X$ can be defined as the product of the local reciprocity maps $\phi_{x,y}$, in this case the maps defined by Parshin in [33]. The domain is the adelic $K$-group from the previous paragraph. To find the kernel, we must prove two reciprocity laws, both of which are found in chapter 2. Firstly, we fix a curve $y$ and prove a reciprocity law which looks very like the one-dimensional case: for $f \in F_y$ we have:

$$\sum_{x \in y} \phi_{x,y}(f) = 0,$$

where $F_y$ is a field associated to the curve $y$ discussed below and defined fully in 2.1.

For the second reciprocity law, we first fix a point $x \in X$. Then we consider the curves $y$ passing through the point $x$, and prove that for $f \in F_x$:

$$\sum_{y \ni x} \phi_{x,y}(f) = 0,$$
where $F_x$ is a ring associated to the point $x$, discussed below and defined fully in 2.1.

We will actually prove these reciprocity laws separately for the different parts of the reciprocity map - the part associated to the Witt pairing, and the part associated to the higher tame pairing. We will discuss this in more detail in the ‘Structure’ section below. Chapter two is concerned with proving these reciprocity laws.

Once the reciprocity laws are proved, as with the one-dimensional case we then must prove that these diagonal elements are exactly the kernel of the reciprocity map. This allows us to define an injective reciprocity map and provides the full power of Witt and Kummer duality to show it has dense image in the Galois group. Chapters four and five prove this for the separate cases of a fixed curve and a fixed point.

**Structure**

We now discuss the structure of this thesis. Chapter one provides some preliminary material, firstly defining higher local fields and the $K$-groups needed for the reciprocity map. Sections 1.2 and 1.3 then define the local Witt symbol and higher tame symbol, and section 1.4 states the main theorems of the higher local class field theory proved by Parshin.

The structure of Chapter 2 is as follows. The first section recalls the relation of the symbols to Galois theory for a higher local field, and shows how this can be used to get a map to the absolute abelian Galois group of a semi-global field. The next two sections use this method to glue together the symbols in this Galois group, and prove reciprocity laws around a point and along a curve on the algebraic surface $X$. The proofs of the reciprocity laws for the Witt symbol are new, and use a method similar to Morrow’s work on reciprocity laws for differentials, adapted for our different situation which also uses methods of Witt, Kawada and Satake. The gluing together of the
symbols in the global situation is also new work, we use Galois theory and appeal to the one-dimensional case.

When gluing the two symbols together to prove the reciprocity laws, we first prove separate reciprocity laws for each symbol, then use the homomorphism to the absolute abelian Galois group to get a reciprocity law for the glued symbols. This method is used because the higher tame symbol takes values in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, so the reciprocity law is in the form of a product, and the Witt symbol takes values in the additive group $\mathbb{F}_q$ with reciprocity law in the form of a sum. Hence it is more clear to keep the two symbols separate outside of the Galois group and combine the reciprocity laws after applying the reciprocity map.

Chapter three deals with the global situation. It begins by defining an adelic group associated to the algebraic surface, and its Milnor $K$-group. In analogy with the one-dimensional case, this $K$-group of the adelic group will provide the domain for the reciprocity map. We then define the global Witt and higher tame pairings as a sum and product (respectively) of the local pairings, and prove these are well-defined.

Chapters four and five then study these pairings over two types of ‘semi-global’ field, which will be discussed below, proving duality theorems which enable the proof of semi-global versions of Parshin’s higher local class field theory.

We now introduce the semi-global fields, and then state the main theorems of chapters four and five.

Our set-up is as follows: let $X$ be an algebraic surface over a finite field $k$ of size $q$, with function field $F$. Closed points of the surface will be denoted $x$, and curves on the surface by $y$.

We associate a product of higher local fields to a point $x$ lying on a curve $y$ on the surface $X$ by a series of completions and localisations of the local
ring \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) - see section 1.1. This process is similar to the classical association of a local field to a point on an algebraic curve. We can then define a product of semi-global fields associated to a curve \( y \) and a product of rings associated to a point \( x \). Complete definitions can be found at 2.1.1, for now it is enough to think of \( F_y \) as a complete discrete valuation field over a global field, isomorphic to \( k(y)((t_y)) \), and \( F_x \) the ring generated by the complete local ring \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \), and \( F \), the field of functions of \( X \).

The class field theory of these objects has been studied before, primarily by Kato and Saito. See their papers [18], [19], and [20] for details. The approach used is similar to Kato’s local class field theory. They also provide class field theory for the function field \( F \) of a surface \( X \). Another method for the class field theory of arithmetic surfaces was proposed by Wiesend and developed by Kerz and Schmidt - see [22]. Their method only considers the global case, without the use of local or local-global class field theory.

As in the classical case, most of these class field theories become very complicated when discussing the \( p \)-part of the reciprocity map in characteristic \( p \). Kawada and Satake’s Witt vector method greatly simplifies this in the one-dimensional case, and Parshin and Fesenko’s methods both build on this work in the higher local case. This paper extends those methods to provide a more simple description of the \( p \)-part of the map in the semi-global case, while defining compatible reciprocity maps for the non-\( p \)-divisible parts.

We will write \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{ab,p}/F) \) for the \( p \)-divisible part of the absolute abelian Galois group. We write \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\text{unram}}/F) \) for the part of the absolute abelian Galois group, isomorphic to \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \), which is related to the algebraic closure of the finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

The main new results leading to the reciprocity map are duality theorems. We define certain subgroups of the \( K \)-groups of the adelic group of \( X \) - see section 3.1 - and also define the global Witt and higher tame pairings as sums along a curve or around a point, then prove the following theorem.
Theorem 0.1.1. Let \( x \in X \) be a closed point and \( y \subset X \) a curve. Then we have the isomorphisms
\[
\begin{align*}
J_y &\rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( \frac{W(F_y)}{(\text{Frob} - 1)W(F_y)}, \mathbb{Z}_p \right) ; \\
\tilde{J}_y &\rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( \frac{F_y^x}{(F_y^x)^{q-1}}, \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z} \right) ; \\
J_x &\rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( \frac{W(F_x)}{(\text{Frob} - 1)W(F_x)}, \mathbb{Z}_p \right) ; \\
\tilde{J}_x &\rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( \frac{F_x^y}{(F_x^y)^{q-1}}, \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z} \right) .
\end{align*}
\]

The theorems can be found at 4.1.2, 4.1.11, 5.1.2 and 5.1.9.

These theorems are important for two reasons. The first is to define the reciprocity map: Witt duality and Kummer theory show that we can define a map from the groups on the left to the absolute abelian Galois group. The second is to prove the reciprocity map is injective.

Using these theorems to define the reciprocity maps \( \phi_y, \phi_x \) for a fixed curve and point respectively, we can then prove the main theorems of the class field theory:

Theorem 0.1.2. Let \( X/\mathbb{F}_q \) be a regular projective surface, \( x \in X \) a closed point and \( y \subset X \) an irreducible curve. Then the continuous maps
\[
\phi_y : \frac{\prod_{x \in y} K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})}{\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y))} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F_y^{ab}/F_y)
\]
and
\[
\phi_x : \frac{\prod_{y \ni x} K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})}{\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x))} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F_x^{ab}/F_x)
\]
are injective with dense image and satisfy:

1. \( \phi_y, \phi_x \) depend only on \( F_y, F_x \) - not on the choice of model of \( X \);
2. For any finite abelian extension $L/F_y$, the following sequence is exact:

$$
\frac{\prod_{x' \in y', \varphi(x') = x} K_2^{\text{top}}(L_{x'})}{\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) \cap \prod_{x' \in y', \varphi(x') = x} K_2^{\text{top}}(L_{x'})} \xrightarrow{N} \mathcal{J}_y \cap \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)) \xrightarrow{\phi_y} \text{Gal}(L/F_y) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and the same sequence applies for a finite extension $L/F_x$.

3. For any finite separable extension $L/F_y$, the following diagrams commute:

$$
\mathcal{J}_L/\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) \xrightarrow{\phi_L} \text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}/L) \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}_y/\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)) \xrightarrow{\phi_y} \text{Gal}(F_y^{\text{ab}}/F_y)
$$

where $V$ is the group transfer map, and

$$
\mathcal{J}_L/\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) \xrightarrow{\phi_L} \text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}/L) \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}_y/\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)) \xrightarrow{\phi_y} \text{Gal}(F_y^{\text{ab}}/F_y)
$$

and the same diagrams apply for a finite extension $L/F_x$.

The theorems for $F_y, F_x$ can be found at 4.1.17, 5.1.15 respectively. Note that the injectivity of the reciprocity map is a result that has not been seen in other class field theories for these local-global fields. Previous studies of the subject have used Milnor $K$-groups for the domain of the map, instead of the topological $K$-groups used in this thesis, which meant that the maps were not injective.

The definition of the global and semi-global Witt pairings first appeared in the author’s paper [39], which proves the reciprocity laws for the Witt and higher tame pairings - an important result for the class field theory in this paper.

The proofs of the four duality theorems all follow the same basic pattern. Using basic theorems on the structure of the $K$-groups, and the definition
of the adelic groups, we may restrict to a small set of generators for the
adelic $K$-groups. Similarly, we find a “nice” form for the right-hand side of
the pairing - in the case of $F_q^\times/(F_q^\times)^q^{-1}$ and $F_x^\times/(F_x^\times)^q^{-1}$ we also find some
generators. The case of the Witt vectors is more difficult - we can prove a
useful general form for the entries in the Witt vector which allows us to show
the non-degeneracy of the pairing. We also use techniques such as reducing
back to the classical case for a fixed curve, and then applying the classical
reciprocity law for a curve - see [13, III.7.14]. For a fixed point, we reduce
to the regular case, where the proof uses basic symmetries of the associated
local fields and is much more simple than the general case.

The deduction of the main theorems of class field theory, as stated above at
0.1.2 then follows from Witt duality and Kummer theory. The commutative
diagrams follow from the local theory in [33] and the reciprocity laws from
[39].

The bulk of the work to prove these theorems is in proving that each section
of the map is injective, and the exactness of the sequence in property 2.
These follow from the duality theorems and properties of the $K$-groups.

It remains to mention one major obstacle to the proof of the duality theorems
- the fact that we must consider singular points and curves which are not
irreducible.

For the case of a fixed curve $y$, we first look only at a smooth irreducible
curve, and prove the above theorems for such an object. We then note
that our adeles for a reducible curve are just the product of the adeles for
the irreducible components - and the same applies for the $K$-groups and
the semi-global fields we originally associated to the curve. So each group
in theorem 0.1.1 separates into a product over the irreducible components
$z \subset y$, and so every isomorphism from the theorem holds, as we have proven
them for each $z$.

For a fixed point $x$, it is a little more complicated. We first prove the duality
Theorems 0.1.1 for a point satisfying condition †:

“The surface $X$ has only normal crossings, so we can assume $k_y(x) = k(x)$ for all $y \ni x$ and $x$ has just two curves passing through it.”

The arguments for both the Witt and higher tame pairings follow fairly simply from combinatorial arguments and $K$-groups identities in this case.

We then generalise this case to the case where the point $x$ lies on more than two curves. This is much more difficult than the curves case above, as it means the ring $O_{X,x}$ is a more complicated - i.e. non-regular - ring, rather than splitting as a product of rings we have already seen.

To prove the generalisation, we must look closely at the structure of the $K$-groups and their adelic groups and find generators for these groups. We can then calculate the pairings on these generators, and check using case † when the value is trivial. This enables us to prove non-degeneracy when quotienting by the diagonal elements, and complete the proof of the duality theorems 0.1.1 in the general case for a fixed point. The class field theory, theorem 0.1.2, follows from the duality theorems as explained above.
1 Preliminary Material

This chapter provides the preliminary material necessary for the remainder of the thesis. We begin by defining higher local fields and their $K$-groups and proving some properties of these objects. We then define the local Witt pairing and higher tame pairing, again proving basic properties needed for the reciprocity map. The chapter concludes with a section stating the main theorems of the higher local class field theory as proved by Parshin.

1.1 Two-Dimensional Local Fields and their Milnor $K$-groups

Define an $n$-dimensional local field inductively as a complete discrete valuation field $F$ with ring of integers

$$\mathcal{O}_F := \{\alpha \in F : v_F(\alpha) \geq 0\}$$

and maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_F := \{\alpha \in F : v_F(\alpha) > 0\}$$

such that the residue field $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F$ is an $(n - 1)$-dimensional local field. One-dimensional local fields are the usual local fields, i.e. finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ for a prime $p$.

We will discuss the class field theory of two-dimensional local fields, which have the following classification theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.1.** Let $F$ be a two-dimensional local field with valuation $v_F$. Then $F$ is isomorphic to a field of one of the following types:

1. $\mathbb{F}_q((u))((t))$ for some prime power $q$ and $v_F(\sum a_i t^i) = \min\{i : a_i \neq 0\}$;

2. $K((t))$, where $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime number $p$ and $v_F(\sum a_i t^i) = \min\{i : a_i \neq 0\}$;
3. \( K \{ \{ t \} \} := \{ \sum a_i t^i : a_i \in K, \inf v_K(a_i) > -\infty, v_K(a_i) \to 0 \text{ as } i \to -\infty \} \)

where \( K \) is a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) for some prime \( p \) and \( v_F(\sum a_i t^i) = \inf v_K(a_i) \), or a finite extension of such a field.

Proof. See [9] section 1.

We will only consider fields of type 1, the positive characteristic two-dimensional local fields. In this case we say \( u \) and \( t \) are local parameters for \( F \).

Given the following data:

1. A smooth projective algebraic surface \( X \) over a finite field \( k \);
2. A reduced irreducible curve \( y \subset X \);
3. A closed point \( x \in y \);

we can associate a product of two-dimensional local fields \( F_{x,y} = \prod_{z \in y(x)} F_{x,z} \) to the pair \( (x, y) \), where \( y(x) \) is the set of local irreducible branches of the curve \( y \) at \( x \).

For each \( z \in y(x) \), let \( t_z \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) be a local equation for \( z \) at \( x \) and \( u_{x,z} \in \mathcal{O}_{z,x} \) a local parameter at \( x \). Then

\[ F_{x,z} := k_z(x)((u_{x,z}))(t_z) \]

is a two-dimensional local field over the finite field \( k_z(x) \), where \( k_z(x) \) is the residue field of the local ring of the point \( x \) on the curve \( z \). To show this process is independent of the choices of \( u_{x,z} \) and \( t_z \), the field \( F_{x,z} \) is constructed through a series of localisations and completions which are outlined below.

For full details, see [28, section 3].

Let \( m \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) be the maximal ideal associated to \( x \) and \( p \subset m \) a prime ideal associated to \( z \). Note that we may take \( t_z \) to be any generator of \( p \), \( u_{x,z} \) to be an other generator of \( m \) and \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) a localisation of \( k(x)[u_{x,z}][t_z] \) such that
its completion with respect to \( m \) is \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \cong k(x)[[u_{x,z}, t_z]]. \)

Take \( \hat{p} \) to be any image of \( p \) in \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \), i.e.

\[
\hat{p} \in \left\{ q \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} : q \text{ is an ideal of } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}, \ q \cap \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = p \right\}.
\]

Localise with respect to \( \hat{p} \) to get the ring \( (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x})_{\hat{p}} \). Completing with respect to the ideal \( \hat{p} (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x})_{\hat{p}} \) produces the ring

\[
(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x})_{\hat{p}} \cong k_z(x)((u_{x,z}))[[t_z]].
\]

Finally localising this ring with respect to a minimal prime ideal will produce the field \( F_{x,z} \cong k_z(x)((u_{x,z}))(t_z) \). Then \( F_{x,y} \) is the product of these two-dimensional local fields.

We define the topology on the multiplicative group of a two dimensional local field of positive characteristic as follows:

Take the product topology of the discrete topology on \( k_z(x)^\times = (\mathcal{O}_{x,z}/m_{x,z})^\times \) and the discrete topologies on the groups generated by the local parameters \( u_{x,z}, t_z \). For the remaining generating elements, the group of principal units, we use the topology induced from the topology on \( F_{x,z} \), which we now describe.

Fix the local parameters \( t_z \) and \( u_{x,z} \), and a lifting from \( \tilde{F}_{x,z} \cong k_z(x)((u_{x,z})) \).

The topology is usually defined inductively, starting from the discrete topology on \( k_z(x) \) - but as this gives the usual topology on the local field \( k_z(x)((u_{x,z})) \), we just discuss the induction step to \( F_{x,z} \).

An element \( \alpha \) of \( F_{x,z} \) is the limit of a sequence of elements \( \alpha_n \) in \( F_{x,z} \) if and only if given any series \( \alpha_n = \sum_i \theta_{n,i} t_y^i \), we have \( \alpha = \sum_i \theta_i t_y^i \), satisfying the following conditions. For every set \( \{ U_i : -\infty < i < \infty \} \) of neighbourhoods of zero in \( \tilde{F}_{x,z} \) and every \( i_0 \), for almost all \( n \) the residue of \( \theta_{n,i} - \theta_i \) is in \( U_i \) for all \( i < i_0 \). Now we may call a subset \( U \) of \( F_{x,z} \) open if and only if for every \( \alpha \in U \) and every sequence \( \alpha_n \) having \( \alpha \) as a limit, all but finitely many \( \alpha_n \) are in \( U \). For further details of this definition, see [6].
Milnor $K$-groups

In higher local class field theory, the Milnor $K$-groups play the role of the multiplicative group of the field in the one-dimensional case. We define these groups and prove some useful properties.

**Definition 1.1.2.** For a ring $R$, let

$$I_n := \{ \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n \in (R^\times)^{\otimes n} : \alpha_i + \alpha_j = 1, \text{ some } 1 \leq i, j \leq n \}.$$

Define the $n$th Milnor $K$-group of $R$ as:

$$K_n(R) := (R^\times)^{\otimes n} / I_n.$$

For a higher local field $L$, denote elements of $K_n(L)$ by $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ and define the symbol map $\phi : (L^\times)^n \to K_n(L)$ by $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \mapsto \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$.

The group law on $K_n(L)$ will be written multiplicatively.

We will also use the Milnor $K$-groups $K_2(O_L)$ and

$$K_2(O_L, p_L) := \ker (K_2(O_L) \to K_2(O_L/p_L))$$

where $p_L$ is the prime ideal of $O_L$ made up of the elements of valuation greater than zero, i.e.

$$p_L = \{ \alpha \in O_L : v_L(\alpha) \geq 1 \}.$$

For a product of fields $F_{x,y}$ at a singular point $x$, we define the group $K_n(F_{x,y})$ to be the product of the $K$-groups $K_n(F_{x,z})$ at the branches $z \in y(x)$.

We now mention some basic properties of these groups. For $n \geq 1$ and a discrete valuation field $L$ with residue field $\bar{L}$, there is the boundary homomorphism

$$\delta : K_n(L) \to K_{n-1}(\bar{L}).$$
For $n = 2$ this can be explicitly calculated as

$$\delta(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = (-1)^{v(\alpha)v(\beta)}\alpha v(\beta)\beta - v(\alpha).$$

See [10] chapter seven section two for details, and the next section on the higher tame symbol on an algebraic surface for calculations when $n = 3$. The boundary homomorphism enables us to investigate the relationship between the Milnor $K$-groups of a discrete valuation field and those of its residue field - in particular we will use the Bass-Tate theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.3.** Fix $n \geq 1$. Let $E$ be discrete valuation field, $F = E(X)$ and $v$ run through the discrete valuations of $F$ trivial on $E$, with $\delta_v : K_n(F_v) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(\bar{F}_v)$ the boundary homomorphism for each $v$. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n(E) \longrightarrow K_n(F) \oplus \delta_v \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v K_{n-1}(\bar{F}_v) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and splits.

*Proof.* See [10], 7.4.2.

Next, for $L/M$ a field extension of prime degree, we wish to define a map $N : K_2(L) \rightarrow K_2(M)$ to be the analogue of the norm map. Following [10, 9.3], $K_2(L)$ is generated by symbols $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ with $\alpha \in L$, $\beta \in M$. So for $\gamma$ a symbol purely of this form, we can take $N(\gamma) = N(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = \{N_{L/M}(\alpha), \beta\}$ - where $N_{L/M}$ is the usual norm map $L \rightarrow M$ - and extend linearly. This is independent of the choice of representative for $\gamma$.

**Definition 1.1.4.** $N : K_2(L) \rightarrow K_2(M)$ is called the norm map, or the transfer map.

We finally define a quotient group of the Milnor $K$-groups, which allows us to describe an injective reciprocity map for higher local fields. For full details on the following definition, see [6]. Endow $K_n(F_{x,z})$ with the strongest topology such that negation and the symbol map $(F^\times_{x,z})^n \rightarrow K_n(F_{x,z})$ are sequentially continuous.
Definition 1.1.5. Define the $n^{th}$ topological Milnor $K$-group, $K_{n}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,z})$, as the quotient of $K_{n}(F_{x,z})$ by the intersection of all its neighbourhoods of zero.

Fesenko proves that

$$K_{n}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,z}) = K_{n}(F_{x,z}) / \bigcap_{l \geq 1} lK_{n}(F_{x,z})$$

in [6].

As discussed in [9, 6], the convergent sequences in the topological $K$-groups are the same as in the Milnor $K$-groups, and so a series converges in $K_{n}^{\text{top}}(F)$ if and only if its terms converge to zero.

The structure of the topological $K$-groups of a two dimensional local field can be described as follows.

Theorem 1.1.6. Let $F$ be a two-dimensional local field of positive characteristic, $u$, $t$ a system of parameters and $\alpha \in K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F)$. Then $\alpha$ is a convergent product of symbols of the form:

1. $\{u,t\}$;
2. $\{a,u\}, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$;
3. $\{a,t\}, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$;
4. $\prod_{j \geq N_{2}} \prod_{i \geq N_{1}(j)} \{1 + a_{i,j}u^{t^{j}}, u\}$, $N_{2} \geq 0$, $N_{1} \geq 0$ if $N_{2} = 0$, $p \nmid j$, $a_{i,j}$ in a fixed basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}/\mathbb{F}_{p}$;
5. $\prod_{j \geq N_{2}} \prod_{i \geq N_{1}(j)} \{1 + a_{i,j}u^{t^{j}}, t\}$, $N_{2} \geq 0$, $N_{1} \geq 0$ if $N_{2} = 0$, $p \nmid i, j$, $a_{i,j}$ in a fixed basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}/\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
In fact, the topological closure of the elements of these forms spans $K^\text{top}_2(F)$, and no elements of differing types are in the closure of each other - hence we may say these elements form a “topological basis” of $K^\text{top}_2(F)$.

Proof. See [33], section 2 proposition 1. □

The boundary map $\delta$, and the norm map $N : K^\text{top}_2(L) \to K^\text{top}_2(F)$ when restricted to the topological $K$-groups are well-defined, which comes from the fact that $K^\text{top}_2(L) = K_2(L)/\cap_{i \geq 1} K_2(L)$ - see [6, 4.8] for details.

1.2 Witt Vectors and Duality

For a field $F$ of positive characteristic, let $W_m(F)$ denote the Witt vectors of length $m$ with entries in $F$ and

$$W(F) = \lim_{\leftarrow} W_m(F)$$

the Witt ring of $F$ - see [38]. The projective limit is taken with respect to the truncation maps $T : W_m(F) \to W_{m-1}(F)$ where $T(w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}) = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-2})$.

We will work with a two-dimensional local field $F_{x,z}$ with local parameters $t_1$ and $t_2$. Recall that in the positive characteristic case, the module of differentials $\Omega^2_{F_{x,z}/\mathbb{F}_q}$ is free of rank one, generated by the symbol $dt_1 \wedge dt_2$.

Next we recall the definition of the residue homomorphism.

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let $F_{x,z}$ a two-dimensional local field of positive characteristic, and fix an isomorphism $F_{x,z} \cong k_z(x)(((t_1))((t_2)))$, where $k_z(x)$ has size $q$. Define the residue homomorphism

$$\text{res}_{F_{x,z}} : \Omega^2_{F_{x,z}/\mathbb{F}_q} \to \mathbb{F}_q$$

by $\text{res}_{F_{x,z}}(\omega) = \text{Tr}_{k_z(x)/\mathbb{F}_q} a_{-1,-1}$ where

$$\omega = \sum a_{a_1,a_2} t_1^{a_1} t_2^{a_2} dt_1 \wedge dt_2.$$
The residue map is independent of the choice of local parameters $t_1$ and $t_2$, see [32] section one.

Now let $A$ be the fraction field of the ring of Witt vectors of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $L = A((t_1))((t_2))$. This lift to characteristic zero is necessary to define the following auxiliary co-ordinates and polynomials, but notice that in the end the formulae will be ‘denominator free’, so the reduction back down to positive characteristic is well-defined.

Let $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in L$, and for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ introduce the auxiliary co-ordinates

$$x(m) = x_0^{p^m} + px_1^{p^{m-1}} + \cdots + p^mx_m$$

and the polynomials $P_m(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}][X_0][X_1] \ldots [X_m]$ such that $P_m(x(0), x(1), \ldots, x(m)) = x_m$.

**Definition 1.2.2.** Let $f_1, f_2 \in F_{x,z}^\times$, $g \in W(F_{x,z})$ and $\bar{g} \in W(L)$ an element such that $\bar{g} \mod p = g$. Define the Witt pairing by

$$(f_1, f_2|g)_{x,z} = (\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p} w_i)_{i \geq 0} \in W(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

where for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$w_i = P_i \left( \text{res}_L \left( \bar{g}(0) \frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2} \right), \ldots, \text{res}_L \left( \bar{g}(i) \frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2} \right) \right) \mod p$$

where the $\bar{g}(j)$ are the auxiliary co-ordinates for the Witt vector $\bar{g}$. Then for a curve $y$ with branches $z$, define

$$(, |)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in y(x)} (, |)_{x,z}.$$

**Proposition 1.2.3.** The Witt pairing satisfies the following properties:

1. $(f_1, f_1', f_2|g)_{x,y} = (f_1, f_2|g)_{x,y} + (f_1', f_2|g)_{x,y}$ and $(f_1, f_2, f_2'|g)_{x,y} = (f_1, f_2|g)_{x,y} + (f_1, f_2'|g)_{x,y}$;

2. $(f_1, f_2|g + h)_{x,y} = (f_1, f_2|g)_{x,y} + (f_1, f_2|h)_{x,y}$;

3. $(f_1, 1 - f_1|g)_{x,y} = 0$;
4. \((f_1, f_2|g]_{x,y} = (w_0, w_1, \ldots) \implies (f_1, f_2|g^p]_{x,y} = (w_0^p, w_1^p, \ldots)\);

5. \((f_1, f_2|g]_{x,y} is continuous in each argument;

6. \((f_1, f_2|g_0, \ldots, g_{m-1}]_{x,y} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}) \implies (f_1, f_2|g_0, \ldots, g_{m-2}]_{x,y} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-2})\);

7. \((f_1, f_2|0, g_1, \ldots, g_{m-1}]_{x,y} = (0, (f_1, f_2|g_1, \ldots, g_{m-1}]_{x,y}).\)

Proof. In [33, 3.3.6], Parshin proves this for a single higher local field. We will prove it here for the case where \(x\) is a singular point of \(y\) and so we must sum the pairings over each branch of \(y\) at \(x\).

Property 3 follows straight away, and properties 1 and 2 follow from the fact that trace distributes over addition.

Property 4 is true as

\[
(f_1, f_2|g^p]_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in y(x)} (f_1, f_2|g^p]_{x,z} = \sum_{z \in y(x)} (w^p_{0,x,z}, w^p_{1,x,z}, \ldots)
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{z \in y(x)} w^p_{0,x,z}, \ldots \right) = \left( \left( \sum_{z \in y(x)} w_{0,x,z} \right)^p, \ldots \right) = (w^p_0, w^p_1, \ldots)
\]

where equality holds as the sum of Witt vectors is given by polynomials in their coefficients, and when taking powers of \(p\) we just raise each coefficient to the power \(p\).

Property 5 follows from the continuity of trace and addition. 7 is true because when summing Witt vectors, the \(n^{th}\) term depends linearly only on the \(0^{th}, \ldots (n-1)^{th}\) terms of the vectors being summed: so if the \(0^{th}\) term is 0 for all \(z \in y(x)\) then it will be in the sum also.

Finally, property 6 follows straight from [33], and the fact that Witt vector summation depends only on lower terms as mentioned above.

\[\square\]
Properties one and three show that the Witt symbol is a symbol on \( K_2(F_{x,y}) \times F_{x,y}^\times \).

In his extension of Kawada and Satake’s local theory, Parshin proves the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.2.4.** For an \( n \)-dimensional local field \( L \) of characteristic \( p \), the symbol \( (\mid)_L \) defines a non-degenerate pairing

\[
(\mid)_L : K_n^\top(L)/p^m K_n^\top(L) \times W_m(L)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(L) \to W_m(\mathbb{F}_q)
\]

where \( \text{Frob} \) is the Frobenius map.

**Proof.** See [33, 3.3.7].

Let \( \mathfrak{W}(L) = \lim \limits_{\leftarrow} W_m(L)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(L) \) be the projective limit with respect to the mappings \( V : (y_0, \ldots, y_{m-1}) \mapsto (0, y_0, \ldots, y_{m-1}) \). Then following Kawada and Satake’s argument from [21, Chapter 2] gives the pairing

\[
K_n^\top(L) \times \mathfrak{W}(L) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}
\]

which is non-degenerate in the second argument. The kernel with respect to the first argument is \( K_n^\top(L)_{\text{tors}} \), see [33, 3.3].

This section is concluded with a lemma describing some properties of the residue map.

**Lemma 1.2.5.** Let \( F_{x,z} \) be a two-dimensional local field of positive characteristic over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and \( t_z \) a generator of the maximal ideal of \( \mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}} \). The residue map \( \text{res}_{x,z} \) satisfies:

1. \( \text{res}_{x,z}(\omega) = 0 \) for all \( \omega \in \Omega^2_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}}/\mathbb{F}_q} \).

2. \( \text{res}_{x,z} \left( \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dt_z}{t_z} \right) = \text{res}_{F_{x,z}} \left( \frac{dx}{x} \right) \) for all \( x \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}}^\times \).
Proof. 1. Fix an isomorphism \( F_{x,z} \cong \mathbb{F}_q((t_1))((t_2)) \) and let \( f \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}} \). We can restrict to the case \( \omega = \text{ad}t_1 \wedge dt_2 \) where \( a \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}} \) and \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are the local parameters of \( F_{x,z} \). Decomposing \( a \) as a series \( a = \sum_{i \geq I} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{i,j} t_1^i t_2^j \) gives the result.

2. First let \( x = 1 + at \), some \( a \in \mathcal{O}_K \). Then
\[
\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dt}{t} = x^{-1}da \wedge dt \in \Omega^2_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbb{F}_q}
\]
and so its residue is zero - but \( \text{res}_{F_{x,z}} (d\bar{x}/\bar{x}) = 0 \) also, so we are done in this case.

The symbol \( dt/t \) is additive with respect to multiplication by \( t \), so we can now restrict to the case \( \bar{x} \in \bar{F}^\times, x = \bar{x} + bt \) with \( b \in \mathcal{O}_K \). Then
\[
\text{res}_K \left( \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dt}{t} \right) = \text{res}_K \left( \frac{d(\bar{x} + bt)}{\bar{x} + bt} \wedge \frac{dt}{t} \right) = \text{res}_K \left( \frac{d\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \right)
\]
by expanding \((\bar{x} + bt)^{-1}\).

\[\square\]

1.3 The Higher Tame Symbol on an Algebraic Surface

Again, let \( X \) be an algebraic surface over \( k \) and \( x \in y \subset X \) a point on a curve contained in \( X \). The higher tame symbol takes values in \( k_z(x) \). First let \( x \) be a smooth point of \( y \). If \( f, g \) and \( h \) are elements of \( F_{x,y} \), then the higher tame symbol is expressed as
\[
(f, g, h)_{x,y} = (-1)^{\alpha_{x,y}} \left( \frac{f^{v_y(g)v_x(h) - v_y(h)v_x(g)}}{g^{v_y(f)v_x(h) - v_y(h)v_x(f)}} h^{v_y(f)v_x(g) - v_y(g)v_x(f)} \right) \mod m_{x,y}
\]
where:
\[
\alpha_{x,y} = v_y(f)v_y(g)\bar{v}_x(h) + v_y(f)v_y(h)\bar{v}_x(g) + v_y(g)v_y(h)\bar{v}_x(f)
\]
\[ v_y(f)\tilde{v}_x(g)\tilde{v}_x(h) + v_y(g)\tilde{v}_x(f)\tilde{v}_x(h) + v_y(h)\tilde{v}_x(f)\tilde{v}_x(g); \]

\( v_y \) is the surjective discrete valuation induced by \( y \) and \( \tilde{v}_x \) is the function \( \tilde{v}_x : F_{x,y}^x \to \mathbb{Z} \) defined by \( \tilde{v}_x(\beta) = v_{x,y}(p(t_y^{-v_y(\beta)}) \), where \( p \) is the projection map from \( O_{x,y} \) to \( \tilde{F}_{x,y} \) and \( v_{x,y} \) is the discrete valuation on the local field \( \tilde{F}_{x,y} \). Finally, \( m_{x,y} \) is the maximal ideal of \( O_{x,y} \).

Parshin introduced this symbol without the sign \((-1)^{a_{x,y}}\) - this was first defined by Fesenko and Vostokov in their paper [11]. They gave a simpler definition of the symbol using a two-dimensional discrete valuation. Let \( \nu := (\tilde{v}_x, v_y) = (v_1, v_2) \). Then the symbol \((f_1, f_2, f_3)_{x,y}\) is equal to the \((q-1)th\) root of unity in \( \mathbb{F}_q^x \) which is equal to the residue of \( f_1^{b_1} f_2^{b_2} f_3^{b_3} (-1)^b \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), where

\[ b = \sum_{s,i<j} v_s(b_i)v_s(b_j)b_{i,j}^s, \]

\( b_j \) is \((-1)^{j-1}\) multiplied by the determinant of the matrix \((v_i(f_j))\) with the \( j^{th} \) column removed and \( b_{i,j}^s \) is the determinant of the matrix with the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) columns and \( s^{th} \) row removed.

Notice the relation to the boundary homomorphism of \( K\)-theory - for \( L \) an \( n \)-dimensional local field with first residue field \( \bar{L} \), there is a map \( \delta : K_i(L) \to K_{i-1}(\bar{L}) \).

See [10], chapter seven for details of this homomorphism.

If \( x \) is not a smooth point of the curve \( y \), we can define the higher tame symbol for each local branch \( z \in y(x) \) and then let \((\ , \ , \ )_{x,y} = \prod_{z \in y(x)} N_{k_z(x)/\mathbb{F}_q}(\ , \ , \ )_{x,z} \).
In [33], Parshin proved the following analogue of Kummer theory, related to ramified extensions of higher local fields of degrees prime to the characteristic.

**Proposition 1.3.1.** Let \( L \) be a local field of dimension 2 and \( l \) an integer dividing \( q-1 \). The higher tame symbol defines a continuous and non-degenerate pairing

\[
(\cdot, \cdot)_F : K_2^{\text{top}}(L)/IK_2^{\text{top}}(L) \times L^\times/(L^\times)^l \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}.
\]

### 1.4 Higher Local Class Field Theory

This section will state the class field theory for a two-dimensional local field of characteristic \( p \), using Parshin’s methods in [33]. Let \( L \cong \mathbb{F}_q((u))((t)) \) be a two-dimensional local field and \( L^{ab} \) the maximal abelian extension of \( L \).

**Theorem 1.4.1.** There exists a canonical reciprocity map

\[
\phi_L : K_2^{\text{top}}(L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L)
\]

such that:

1. \( \ker(\phi_L) \) is trivial and \( \text{im}(\phi_L) \) is dense in \( \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L) \).

2. For \( M/L \) an abelian extension, the sequence

\[
K_2^{\text{top}}(M) \xrightarrow{N} K_2^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{\phi_L} \text{Gal}(M/L) \rightarrow 1
\]

is exact.

3. For \( M/L \) a finite separable extension, there are the following commutative diagrams:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_2^{\text{top}}(M) & \xrightarrow{\phi_M} & \text{Gal}(M^{ab}/M) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
K_2^{\text{top}}(L) & \xrightarrow{\phi_L} & \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L)
\end{array}
\]
where $V$ is the group transfer map.

4. The diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
K^{\text{top}}_2(M) \xrightarrow{\phi_M} \text{Gal}(M^{ab}/M) \\
N \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
K^{\text{top}}_2(L) \xrightarrow{\phi_L} \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L)
\end{array}
$$

is commutative.

Proof. Parshin defines the map as the pasting together of three separate maps, for unramified, tamely ramified and wildly ramified extensions. We will describe this map, for full proofs of compatibility and the commutative diagrams, see [33] and [34].

Let $\text{Frob}$ be the canonical generator of the maximal unramified extension of $L$. Define the unramified map by

$$
\phi_{L,\text{un}}(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Frob}^{v_E(\delta(\alpha, \beta))_L}.
$$

The isomorphism of our analogue of Kummer theory, and then the usual Kummer isomorphism show that

$$
K^{\text{top}}_2(L)/IK^{\text{top}}_2(L) \cong \text{Hom}(L^{\times}/(L^{\times})^1, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})
$$

$$
\cong \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L)/(\text{Gal}(L^{\text{unram}}/L)\text{Gal}(L^{ab,p}/L))
$$
yielding the tame part of the map.

The isomorphism of Artin-Schreier theory, [29, 4.3], shows

$$
\text{Gal}(L^{ab,p}/L) \cong \text{Hom}(L/(\text{Frob} - 1)L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).
$$

Together with the non-degenerate pairing $??$, this isomorphism shows that $\text{Gal}(L^{ab,p}/L)$ is dual to $\mathfrak{M}(L)$. Then Witt duality yields the map

$$
\phi_L : K^{\text{top}}_2(L) \to \text{Gal}(L^{ab,p}/L).
$$
The exactness of the sequence in $ii$ is proved in [34], and $iii$ is proved in the same way. For property $iv$, see [33], section four, theorem one.

Remark 1 The proof of Parshin’s local class field theory is unchanged for an $n$-dimensional local field, where $n > 2$.

Remark 2 These theorems can also be proved using Fesenko’s explicit class field theory, which defines the reciprocity map using similar methods to Neukirch’s method for the one-dimensional case - see [4], [5] and [29].
2 Reciprocity Laws

The aim of this chapter is to prove reciprocity laws for the Witt and higher tame symbols on an algebraic surface. We begin with a section defining some global objects associated to curves and points on the algebraic surface $X$, and then discussing the relation of the symbols to the reciprocity map via Galois theory and valuation theory, which is necessary in order to glue the symbols together. We then prove a reciprocity law for curves around a point, then finally a reciprocity law for points on a curve.

2.1 Objects associated to the Surface $X$ and Relation to Higher Class Field Theory

Let $X$ be a smooth projective algebraic surface over a finite field $k$. We define several fields and rings related to $X$.

**Definition 2.1.1.**

1. Let $F = k(X)$ be the function field of $X$. $F$ is a function field in two variables over $k$.

2. For an irreducible curve $y \subset X$, let $\hat{O}_{X,y}$ be the completion of the local ring at $y$ and $F_y$ its field of fractions. $F_y$ has the structure of a complete discrete valuation field with residue field a function field in one variable over a finite extension of $k$ - i.e. a global field of positive characteristic.

3. For a closed point $x \in X$, define the ring $F_x$ to be the ring generated by $\hat{O}_{X,x}$ and $F$. This is a subring of $\text{Frac}(\hat{O}_{X,x})$ where each function in the ring will have only globally defined poles.

4. Fix an irreducible curve $y \subset X$, and chose a point $x \in y$. If $y$ is smooth at $x$ then define the finite field $k_y(x)$ to be the quotient of $\hat{O}_{y,x}$ by the prime ideal defined by $x$ - in the case the field is equal to $k(x)$.

If $y$ is not smooth at $x$, then $\hat{O}_{y,x}$ is a local ring with several minimal
prime ideals, so the definition becomes more complicated. Denote the branches of $y$ at $x$ by $y_i$, so we have

$$\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{y,x}) = \prod_i \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{y_i,x})$$

a finite product of one-dimensional local fields. We then denote the residue field of each of these local fields by $k_{y_i}(x)$, and $k_y(x) = \prod_i k_{y_i}(x)$.

5. For a singular curve $y$, the local parameter $t_y$ is the element of the product of fields $F_{y_i}$ with a local parameter $t_{y_i}$ in each entry. The ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,y}$ is the product $\prod_{y_i \in y(x)} \mathcal{O}_{x,z}$.

We have the inclusions

$$\begin{align*}
F_{x,z} & \hookrightarrow F_z \\
\uparrow & \quad \uparrow \\
F_x & \hookrightarrow F.
\end{align*}$$

Define the topological $K$-groups of these global objects in the same way as for the local fields discussed in section 1.1.

Both the higher tame symbol and the Witt symbol are related to higher local class field theory. The Witt symbol was first used by Kawada and Satake to get a reciprocity map in the wildly ramified case for local and global fields of positive characteristic in [21]. In [33], Parshin used both symbols to define the ramified part of the reciprocity map for higher local fields, via some well-known dualities. We will outline these dualities below, but for full details see [33]. The higher tame symbol and the Witt symbol are both sequentially continuous, so can be seen as symbols on $K^{top}_2(F_{x,y})$.

Firstly, the higher tame symbol is related to the Kummer extensions $F_q((t_1^{1/l}))((t_2^{1/l}))/F_{x,y}$ where $l$ divides $q - 1$. The higher tame symbol is a non-degenerate pairing on

$$K^{top}_2(F_{x,y})/(q - 1)K^{top}_2(F_{x,y}) \times F_{x,y}^\times / (F_{x,y})^{q - 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$$
inducing an isomorphism

\[ K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})/(q-1)K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \cong G_1 \]

following from Kummer duality, where \( G_1 \) is the Galois group of the extension \( \mathbb{F}_q((t_1^{1/(q-1)}))(t_2^{1/(q-1)})/F_{x,y} \).

Similarly, the Witt symbol is related via Witt duality to the Galois group of all \( p \)-extensions of \( F_{x,y} \). The method of using such a pairing to exploit this duality was first applied to one-dimensional local and global fields by Kawada and Satake in their paper [21]. Taking the Witt symbol as a pairing on the topological \( K_2 \)-group of \( F_{x,y} \) and the Witt vectors of \( F_{x,y} \), we get a pairing

\[ K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})/K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})_{\text{tors}} \times W(F_{x,y})/(\text{Frob} - 1)W(F_{x,y}) \to \mathbb{Z}_p \]

where \( \mathbb{Z}_p = W(\mathbb{F}_p) \). The pairing induces an isomorphism

\[ K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})/K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})_{\text{tors}} \cong \text{Hom}(W(F_{x,y})/(\text{Frob} - 1)W(F_{x,y}), \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong G_{\text{ab},p} \]

where \( G_{\text{ab},p} \) is the Galois group of the maximal abelian \( p \)-extension of \( F_{x,y} \) and the second isomorphism follows from Witt duality.

This is the setting in which we will prove reciprocity laws for the symbols - viewing them both as taking values in the Galois group allows us to prove reciprocity laws which work for both symbols at the same time, in a way we could not if just viewing them as described above, as the higher tame symbol takes values in the \textit{multiplicative} group \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) and the Witt symbol takes values in the \textit{additive} group \( W(\mathbb{F}_p) \).

We will now examine how to view these pairings when summing about a point or along a curve.

First, fix a closed point \( x \in X \). Let \( L/F_x \) be a finite étale extension with Galois group \( G \) (see [24] or [40]), \( \mathcal{O}_L \) the integral closure of \( \hat{O}_{X,x} \) in \( L \) and \( p_L \) its maximal ideal. As mentioned at the start of section two, every height one prime ideal \( q \subset p_L \) determines a two-dimensional local field \( L_{p_L,q} \). \( \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \)
is a normal two-dimensional scheme over the residue field \( k(x) \), and we have a finite morphism

\[
\phi : \text{Spec}(O_L) \to \text{Spec}(\hat{O}_{X,x}).
\]

For a height one prime ideal \( q \) of \( O_L \), define the stabiliser

\[
G_q = \{ g \in G : g(q) = q \}.
\]

If \( q, q' \) are two such primes, and \( \phi(q) = \phi(q') \) then \( G_q \) is conjugate to \( G_{q'} \) in \( G \).

Now let \( L/F_x \) be an abelian extension - then the homomorphism

\[
\text{Gal}(L_{pL,q}/F_{x,y}) \cong G_q \to G = \text{Gal}(L/F_x)
\]

is independent of the choice of \( q \), where \( q \) is any prime ideal such that \( \phi(q) \) is the prime ideal of \( \hat{O}_{X,x} \) associated to the curve \( y \). Of course, this (and the statement below for curves) is just basic valuation theory - see [2], chapter VI.

So the product of all the symbols

\[
\prod_{y \ni x} \mathcal{K}_2^{(x,y)}(F_{x,y}) \to \text{Gal}(F_x^{ab}/F_x)
\]

is well-defined. It remains to check this product of symbols converges by proving that for \( f, g \) and \( h \in F \), \( h' \in W(F) \), both symbols \( (f, g, h)_{x,y} \) and \( (f, g| h')_{x,y} \) are trivial for all but finitely many \( y \ni x \) - this will be done in the following section.

Now fix a reduced irreducible curve \( y \subset X \). Our method is very similar to the one above for a fixed point, using only basic Galois theory and decomposition groups. Let \( L/F_y \) be a finite Galois extension with Galois group \( G \) - then \( L \) will also be a complete discrete valuation field over a global field. The extension of residue fields \( \bar{L}/k(y) \) determines a finite morphism of curves \( \pi : y' \to y \), where \( y' = y \times_F L \) and \( k(y') = \bar{L} \). For each point \( x \in y \), we have the decomposition

\[
L \otimes_{F_y} F_{x,y} = \bigoplus_{x' \in y', \pi(x') = x} L_{x', y'}
\]
where the $L_{x',y'}$ are products of two-dimensional local fields. Each term in the product is a finite extensions of $F_{x,z}$, where $z$ is a branch of $y$ passing through $x$.

For each $x' \in y'$ with $\pi(x') = x$, define

$$G_{x'} = \{ g \in G : g(x') = x' \}.$$ 

As before, for another $x''$ such that $\pi(x'') = x$, the groups $G_{x'}$ and $G_{x''}$ are conjugate in $G$. We have $G_{x'} \cong \text{Gal}(L_{x',y'}/F_{x,y})$.

Now let $L/F_y$ be an abelian extension. Then the homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(L_{x',y'}/F_{x,y}) \cong G_{x'} \to G = \text{Gal}(L/F_y)$$

is independent of the choice of $x'$.

So the product of the symbols

$$\prod_{x \in y} K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \to \text{Gal}(F_y^{ab}/F_y)$$

is well-defined. Again, we must check that this converges in the group $\text{Gal}(F_y^{ab}/F_y)$ - see the section on reciprocity for curves below.

This is the context in which we will prove reciprocity laws for the symbols - viewing their values as elements of the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension of the fields associated to the point $x$ and the curve $y$.

### 2.2 Reciprocity at a Point

In this section we will prove a reciprocity law for the gluing together of the higher tame symbol and the Witt symbol in the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_x^{ab}/F_x)$. Our first step is to prove the lemma promised above, i.e. that the sum converges in $\text{Gal}(F_x^{ab}/F_x)$ - it is simpler to prove that the value of each symbol is trivial in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ or $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for almost all $y \ni x$ rather than working in the Galois group at this stage. We will keep the pairings separate to avoid confusion.
Lemma 2.2.1. Let $f, g \in F$, $h \in W(F)$ and fix a point $x \in X$. Then for all but finitely many $y$ passing through $x$, the Witt symbol $(f, g|h)_{x,y}$ is zero.

Proof. For each $y \ni x$ and $z \in y(x)$, fix a local parameter of the curve $t_z \in \mathcal{O}_{X,z}$ and a local parameter at $x$, $u_{x,z} \in k(z)$. Then

$$F_{x,z}^\times \cong k_z(x)^\times \times \langle u_{x,z} \rangle \times \langle t_z \rangle \times U_{x,z}$$

where $U_{x,z}$ is the group of principal units in $\mathcal{O}_{x,y}^\times$.

Then $f, g$ and each entry $h_i$ can be expanded in each $F_{x,z}^\times$ as, e.g. $f = \alpha_{x,z} u_{x,z}^i t_z^j e_{x,z}$ with $\alpha_{x,z} \in k_z(x)^\times$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e_{x,z} \in U_{x,z}$. Then for all but finitely many $y \ni x$, the exponent of $t_z$ will be zero - this is because each $t_z$ represents an irreducible polynomial in $F_x$, and any element can be divisible by only finitely many of these.

So for all but finitely many $y$ with $z \in y(x)$, we have $f, g$ and $P_i(h_0, \ldots, h_{i-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{x,z}$. The following lemma will complete the proof. \hfill \square

Lemma 2.2.2. Let $F_{x,z}$ be a two-dimensional local field over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The residue map satisfies

$$\text{res}_{F_{x,z}}(\omega) = 0 \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega^2_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}}/\mathbb{F}_q}.$$

Proof. Fix an isomorphism $F_{x,z} \cong \mathbb{F}_q((t_1))((t_2))$ and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}}$. We can restrict to the case $\omega = adt_1 \wedge dt_2$ where $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}}$ and $t_1$ and $t_2$ are the local parameters of $F_{x,z}$. Decomposing $a$ as a series

$$a = \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{i,j} t_1^i t_2^j$$

gives the result. \hfill \square

Now we move on to the higher tame symbol.

Lemma 2.2.3. Let $f, g, h \in F^\times$ and fix a point $x \in X$. Then for all but finitely many curves $y$ passing through $x$, the higher tame symbol $(f, g, h)_{x,y}$ is equal to one.
Proof. We proceed in a similar fashion to the proof for the Witt symbol. Again, we may decompose our elements as products $\alpha_{x,y}u_{x,y}^i t_y^j \varepsilon_{x,y}$, with $j = 0$ for all but finitely many $y$. If we fix a $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there are only finitely many expansions of a fixed element with the exponent of $t_y$ being $j$ and the exponent of $u_{x,y}$ being non-zero. So the number of $y$ with $i$ and $j$ not equal to zero is certainly finite. So for all but finitely many $y$, $f, g$ and $h$ will all be in the group $k(x)^\times \times U_{x,y}$.

Basic properties of the higher tame symbol show it is trivial if any entry is in the group of principal units $U_{x,y}$, and a simple calculation shows it is also trivial if more than one of the entries is in $k(x)^\times$, which completes the proof.

The triviality of the symbols in these groups of course proves the triviality of the symbols after the homomorphism to the Galois group. We now discuss reciprocity laws in the same way - keeping the symbols separate and in $W(F_p)$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, proving that the sums and products over all $y \ni x$ are trivial, then observing this implies the same in the abelian Galois group to glue the two symbols together.

**Theorem 2.2.4.** Let $f, g \in F^\times$, $h \in W(F)$. Then the sum

$$\sum_{y \ni x} (f, g| h)_{x,y} = 0$$

in the ring of Witt vectors $W(F_p)$.

We will proceed in a very similar manner to Morrow in [27], section 3, which deals with reciprocity laws for residues on an arithmetic surface. We begin with a positive characteristic analogue of Morrow’s lemma 3.4.

**Lemma 2.2.5.** Let $F_x$ be the field associated to $x \in X$ as above, $\hat{O}_{X,x} \cong k(x)((t_1))((t_2))$. Then every element of $F_x$ is a finite sum of elements of the form

$$\frac{\beta}{\alpha^m \gamma^n}$$
where \( \alpha, \gamma \) are distinct irreducible polynomials in \( k(x)(t_1)(t_2) \), \( \beta \in \hat{O}_{X,x} \) and \( m, n \geq 0 \) with at least one greater than zero.

**Proof.** Following [27], we begin with an element of the form \( 1/\pi_1^{n_1}\pi_2^{n_2}\pi_3^{n_3} \) with the \( \pi_i \) distinct irreducible elements of \( \hat{O}_{x,x} \) and the \( n_i \geq 1 \). Then we have

\[
1 = \beta_1\pi_1^{n_1}\pi_2^{n_2} + \beta_2\pi_3^{n_3}
\]

for some \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \hat{O}_{X,x} \) and hence

\[
\frac{1}{\pi_1^{n_1}\pi_2^{n_2}\pi_3^{n_3}} = \frac{\beta_1}{\pi_1^{n_1}\pi_2^{n_2}} + \frac{\beta_2}{\pi_1^{n_1}\pi_3^{n_3}}.
\]

For the general case, we write any element of \( F_x \) as \( a/b \) with \( a, b \in \hat{O}_{X,x} \). We may uniquely factorise \( b \) as \( u\gamma_{r_1} \cdots \gamma_{r_s} \) where \( u \in \hat{O}_{X,x} \), the \( \gamma_i \) are irreducible polynomials and all exponents are \( > 0 \). We may replace \( a \) with \( u^{-1}a \) and so suppose \( u = 1 \). Then repeated application of the first part of the proof decomposes \( a/b \) into a sum as required.

We now proceed to the proof of 2.2.4.

**Proof.** We first prove that if \( \hat{O}_{X,x} \) is regular, then for each \( \omega \in \Omega_{F/F_p}^2 \),

\[
\sum_{y \ni x} \text{Tr}_{F/F_p} \text{res}_{x,y}(\omega) = 0.
\]

By lemma 2.2.5, it is enough to reduce to the case

\[
\omega = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^m\gamma^n}dt_1 \land dt_2
\]

where \( \beta, \alpha, \gamma, m, n \) are all as in lemma 2.2.5 and \( \hat{O}_{X,x} \cong k(x)[[t_1]][[t_2]] \).

Let \( y \) be a reduced irreducible curve containing \( x \). Then \( y \) is associated to an irreducible polynomial \( t_y \in \hat{O}_{X,x} \). Fix \( u_{x,y} \) a local parameter of \( x \) at \( y \) such that \( \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle = \langle u_{x,y}, t_y \rangle \). Suppose first that \( t_y \) is not equal to either \( \alpha \) or \( \gamma \). Then \( \beta/\alpha^m\gamma^n, t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) all belong to the completion of \( \hat{O}_{X,x} \) at the prime ideal \( t_y\hat{O}_{X,x} \), i.e. \( O_{F_x,y} \). Then \( t_y^{-1} \) cannot have a non-zero coefficient in

\[
\frac{\beta}{\alpha^m\gamma^n} \left( \frac{dt_1}{du_{x,y}} \land \frac{dt_2}{dt_y} + \frac{dt_1}{dt_y} \land \frac{dt_2}{du_{x,y}} \right)
\]

and so
\[ \text{res}_{x,y}(\omega) = \text{coeff}_{x,y}^{-1} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha^m \gamma^n} \left( \frac{dt_1}{du_{x,y}} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{dy} + \frac{dt_1}{du_{x,y}} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{dy} \right) \right) = 0. \]

Similarly to [27], theorem 3.6, this reduces us to proving that

\[ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p} \text{res}_{x,\alpha}(\omega) + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p} \text{res}_{x,\gamma}(\omega) = 0. \]

This part of our proof is much easier than Morrow’s case, as the bulk of his proof involves passing between the different characteristics found in residue fields of an arithmetic surface.

We must use an important property of the higher residue symbol: let \( K \) be a higher local field with final local parameter \( t \). Then for any \( x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \),

\[ \text{res}_K \left( \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dt}{t} \right) = \text{res}_K \left( \frac{d\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \right). \]

To see this, first let \( x = 1 + at \), some \( a \in \mathcal{O}_K \). Then

\[ \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dt}{t} = x^{-1} da \wedge dt \in \Omega^2_{\mathbb{O}_K/\mathbb{F}_q} \]

and so its residue is zero - but \( \text{res}_K(d\bar{x}/\bar{x}) = 0 \) also, so we are done in this case.

The symbol \( dt/t \) is additive with respect to multiplication by \( t \), so we can now restrict to the case \( \bar{x} \in \bar{F}_x, \bar{x} = \bar{x} + bt \) with \( b \in \mathcal{O}_K \). Then

\[ \text{res}_K \left( \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dt}{t} \right) = \text{res}_K \left( \frac{d(\bar{x} + bt)}{(\bar{x} + bt)} \wedge \frac{dt}{t} \right) = \text{res}_K \left( \frac{d\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \right) \]

by expanding \((\bar{x} + bt)^{-1}\).

Now take \( y \) to be the curve associated to the prime ideal \( \alpha \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \). Then we may take \( \alpha \) to be the local parameter for \( y \) in \( F_{x,y} \). Expand \( \beta \) as a sum

\[ \sum_{i,j} b_{i,j} \gamma^i \alpha^j, \ b_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_q \] - we may assume that \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) generate the maximal ideal of \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \), as otherwise the residue is certainly zero. Then

\[ \text{res}_{x,\alpha} \left( \sum_{i,j} \frac{b_{i,j} \gamma^i \alpha^j}{\alpha^m \gamma^n} \left( \frac{dt_1}{du_{x,y}} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{d\alpha} + \frac{dt_1}{d\alpha} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{du_{x,y}} \right) \right) du_{x,y} \wedge d\alpha \]
\[ = \text{res}_x \left( \frac{\sum_i b_{i,m-1} \gamma_i}{\gamma^n} \right) \left( \frac{dt_1}{du_{x,y}} + \frac{dt_2}{du_{x,y}} \right) du_{x,y}. \]

Then apply exactly the same argument for \( x \) as for the curve \( y \) - the only prime of \( k(y) \) for which this residue can be non-zero is \( \bar{\gamma} \). Again we may take \( \bar{\gamma} \) to be the local parameter at \( x \), and see that the residue equals \( b_{n,m-1} - 1 \).

Following the same argument, but first taking \( y \) to be the curve defined by \( \gamma \), we see the residue in this case will be \(-b_{n,m-1}\), the negation coming from the equality

\[ \text{res}_{x,\gamma} \left( \frac{\sum_i b_{i,j} \gamma_i \alpha^i}{\alpha^m \gamma^n} \right) \left( \frac{dt_1}{d\gamma} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{d\alpha} + \frac{dt_1}{d\gamma} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{du_{x,y}} \right) d\gamma \wedge d\alpha \]

\[ = -\text{res}_{x,\gamma} \left( \frac{\sum_i b_{i,j} \gamma_i \alpha^i}{\alpha^m \gamma^n} \right) \left( \frac{dt_1}{d\gamma} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{d\alpha} + \frac{dt_1}{d\gamma} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{du_{x,y}} \right) d\alpha \wedge d\gamma \].

So we have proved the reciprocity law in the regular case.

Now we suppose \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \) is a two-dimensional, normal complete local ring of characteristic \( p \) with finite final residue field \( k(x) \) - these assumptions match the assumption that \( X \) is a normal projective surface over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

It is easy to prove a positive characteristic version of Morrow’s lemma 3.7 in [27]: by [3], theorem 16, \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \) contains a subring \( B_0 \) such that \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) is a finite \( B_0 \)-module and \( B_0 \) is a two-dimensional local ring with residue field \( k(x) \). Set \( i : \mathbb{F}_q[[t_1]][[t_2]] \to B_0 \) an isomorphism. Then to show \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \) is a finite \( B_0 \)-module, we proceed exactly as in Morrow’s proof. So there is a ring \( B \) between \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \) and \( \mathbb{F}_q \) such that \( B \cong k(x)[[t_1]][[t_2]] \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \) is a finite \( B \)-module.

The usual local-global trace formula tells us that for \( \omega \in \Omega^2_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}/\mathbb{F}_q} \) and \( y \ni x \),

\[ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\text{Frac}(B)}(\omega) = \sum_{Y \ni y} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Y/\text{Frac}(B_y)}(\omega). \]

Then using the functoriality of the residue map - see [33], lemma 3.4.4 - we
have
\[ \text{res}_y \text{Tr}_{F/F} \left( B \right) (\omega) = \sum_{Y \mid y} \text{res}_y \text{Tr}_{F_Y/F} (\omega) = \sum_{Y \mid y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/F} \text{res}_y (\omega). \]

Finally applying \( \text{Tr}_{F_q/F_p} \) to both sides yields
\[ \text{Tr}_{F_q/F_p} \text{res}_y \text{Tr}_{F/F} (\omega) = \sum_{Y \mid y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/F} \text{res}_y (\omega). \]

Now we complete the proof in the case where \( X \) is not assumed to be regular. Let \( x' \) be the image of \( x \) in \( B \). We have
\[ \sum_{Y \ni x} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/F} \text{res}_y (\omega) = \sum_{y \ni x'} \sum_{Y \mid y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/F} \text{res}_y (\omega) = \sum_{y \ni x'} \text{Tr}_{F_q/F_p} \text{res}_y (\text{Tr}_{k(x)/F} (\omega)) = 0 \]
by the reciprocity law for regular rings proved above.

The case for a general Witt vector follows by induction. The case for a one-dimensional Witt vector is done above, so we suppose that the sum is zero for all \( f, g \in F \) and \( h \in W_{m-1}(F) \), the ring of truncated Witt vectors of length \( m-1 \). Let \( h = (h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1}) \in W_m(F) \). By property 6 in proposition 1.2.3,
\[ (f, g | (h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1})]_{x,y} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}) \]
implies
\[ (f, g | (h_0, \ldots, h_{m-2})]_{x,y} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-2}). \]

Suppose there exists \( h \in W_m(F) \) such that \( \sum_{y \ni x} (f, g | h]_{x,y} \neq 0 \) for \( f, g \in F \), i.e.
\[ \sum_{y \ni x} (f, g | (h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1})]_{x,y} \neq 0. \]
But by our induction hypothesis,
\[ \sum_{y \ni x} (f, g | (h_0, \ldots, h_{m-2})]_{x,y} = 0, \]
so the only non-zero term in \( (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}) \) can be the last entry. By the definition of the Witt pairing, this means that \( h_{m-1} \) can be the only non-zero term of the Witt vector \( h \). Now using property 7 from proposition 1.2.3, we can reduce back to the one-dimensional case for a contradiction. \( \square \)
Theorem 2.2.6. Let $f, g, h \in F^\times$. Then the product

$$\prod_{y \ni x} \prod_{z \in y(x)} N_{k_z(x)/\mathbb{F}_q}(f, g, h)_{x,z} = 1$$

in the group $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

There have been many proofs of this proposition, however some neglect the norm from $k_z(x)$ to $k(x)$ and so are not quite correct. We offer a corrected explicit proof below.

Proof. We use the decomposition

$$F_{x,z}^\times \cong k_z(x)^\times \times \langle t_1 \rangle \times \langle t_2 \rangle \times \mathcal{U}_{x,z},$$

where $t_1$ and $t_2$ are any two local parameters generating the maximal ideal at $x$, to look at several different cases.

Firstly, it is easy to see from the definition and the discussion at the beginning of section four that none of the entries can be from $\mathcal{U}_{x,z}$ and at least two must not be constants in $k_z(x)$.

If two of the entries are a prime $t$, without loss of generality we assume they are the entries in the group $K^\text{top}_2(F_{x,z})$ - i.e. the first two entries. Then we use the $K$-group relation $\{t, t\} = \{t, -1\}$ to return to the above case.

So we are left with the case where either the first two entries are local equations of different curves passing though $x$ and the last is a constant, or all three entries are different equations of curves.

For the first of these case, we let the two curves whose equations appear in the symbol be $z_1$ and $z_2$ and compute

$$\prod_{y \ni x} \prod_{z \in y(x)} N_{k_z(x)/\mathbb{F}_q}(f, g, h)_{x,z} = N_{k_{z_1}(x)/\mathbb{F}_q}(f, g, h)_{x,z_1} N_{k_{z_2}(x)/\mathbb{F}_q}(f, g, h)_{x,z_2}$$

as the symbol is trivial where-ever $f$, $g$ and $h$ are not local parameters of the curve related to the field. This is then equal to
where the $\bar{v}_f$ and $\bar{v}_g$ appear as we may take the equations $f$ and $g$ to be the other local parameters in the fields $F_{x,z_2}$ and $F_{x,z_1}$ respectively. A final computation reveals this is equal to

$$(h \mod p_{f,g})^{(f,g)_{x,z}} = 1$$

where $(f,g)_x = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/(f,g))$ is the local intersection number at $x$.

Finally, we have the case where $f$, $g$ and $h$ are all equations of different curves passing through $x$. We can use blow-ups to reduce to the case where $x$ lies on only two curves - see [13], V.3 - but we must check this doesn’t change the value of the higher tame symbol.

Let $z$ be a branch of a curve $y$ at $x$ and $z'$ its preimage after the blowing-up $\phi$, $x'$ the preimage of $x$ and $f'$, $g'$ and $h'$ the preimages of $f$, $g$ and $h$. We wish to show that

$$(f,g,h)_{x,z} = (f',g',h')_{x',z'}.$$ 

We take local parameters $t_1$, $t_2$ at $x$ and $s_1$, $s_2$ at $x'$ such that $\phi^*(t_1) = s_1$ and $\phi^*(t_2) = s_1s_2$ - see [13], I.4.

Now we can proceed to calculate both symbols using Fesenko’s determinant method - it is easy to see using these local parameters that we have just replaced our matrix by one with a column of the matrix added to another, and thus the determinant remains unchanged. So we have returned to the above case and the proof is complete.

We mention some other methods of proving this theorem, all of which take singular points into account. A recent approach uses central extensions to construct the higher tame symbol as an analogue of a commutator, then proves that the extension is trivial for global elements. Romo uses a simple version of this argument to prove reciprocity for a curve in [35], see the next section for more details. In [31], Osipov and Zhu use a similar but much
more general method to get higher tame reciprocity laws. They define the
category of central extensions of a group by a Picard groupoid and then get
a “commutator map” with three entries for a 2-category. They then apply
this specifically to semi-global adelic complexes on an algebraic surface and
a certain central extension of the general linear group of a two-dimensional
local field. The resulting commutator is the higher tame symbol. They then
show the extension can be trivialised, and the reciprocity law follows. Note
that many of these papers refer to the higher tame symbol as the Parshin
symbol.

2.3 Reciprocity along a Curve

This section will discuss reciprocity laws along curves on an algebraic surface
for both the Witt symbol and the higher tame symbol, again treating them
separately before gluing them together as a map to the abelian Galois group.
We first prove the reciprocity law for the Witt symbol, which similarly to
above will take the form of an argument for the one-dimensional case, then
a simple induction. For the case of a curve, the proof is much simpler than
in the characteristic zero case in [27], as the mixed characteristic higher local
fields complicate matters greatly.

**Theorem 2.3.1.** Let $f, g \in F$, $h \in W(F)$ and fix an irreducible curve
$y \subset X$. Then for all but finitely many $x \in y$, the Witt symbol $(f, g|h]_{x,y}$ is
zero, and the sum

$$\sum_{x \in y} (f, g|h]_{x,y} = 0.$$  

**Proof.** The first statement follows similarly to lemma 2.2.1. For the second
statement, first let $\omega \in \Omega^2_{F/\mathbb{F}_q}$. Fix a local parameter $t_z \in \mathcal{O}_z$ for $z$ a branch
of $y$ and expand

$$\omega = \sum_i \eta_i \wedge t_z^i dt_z$$
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where $\eta_{i} \in \Omega^{1}_{k(z)/\mathbb{F}_{q}}$. Then we know by definition that $\text{res}_{x,z}(\omega) = \text{res}_{x}(\eta_{-1})$. So we have reduced to the case for a curve,

$$\sum_{x \in z} \text{res}_{x,z}(\omega) = \sum_{x \in z} \text{res}_{x}(\eta_{-1}) = 0$$

which is well-known, see e.g. [13], III.7.14. For a general Witt vector, we can now sum over all branches of $y$ and induct as in 2.2.4.

\[\Box\]

We treat the higher tame symbol similarly to the previous section.

**Theorem 2.3.2.** Let $f, g, h \in F^{\times}$ and fix an irreducible curve $y \subset X$. Then for all but finitely many $x \in y$ the higher tame symbol $(f, g, h)_{x,y}$ is equal to one, and the product

$$\prod_{x \in y} N_{k_{z}(x)/\mathbb{F}_{q}}(f, g, h)_{x,y} = 1.$$

We provide a proof similar to the proof above for the Witt symbol, showing we can reduce to the one-dimensional case. This method is well-known, but not with the norm from $k_{z}(x)$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ taken into account. We also provide a discussion of other methods of proving this theorem.

**Proof.** By rearranging the definition of the higher tame symbol given at the start of section 3, for any $x \in z \in y(x)$ we can write

$$(f, g, h)_{x,z} = (p(t_{z}^{-v_{z}}(f)f), p(t_{z}^{-v_{z}}(g)g))_{x} \bar{v}_{x}(h) \times$$

$$p(t_{z}^{-v_{z}}(g)g), p(t_{z}^{-v_{z}}(h)h))_{x} \bar{v}_{x}(f) \times$$

$$p(t_{z}^{-v_{z}}(h)h), p(t_{z}^{-v_{z}}(f)f))_{x} \bar{v}_{x}(g) \times (-1)^{\beta_{x}}$$

where $p, v_{z}$ and $\bar{v}_{x}$ are all as defined in section 3, the symbol $(\ , \ )_{x}$ is the tame symbol and

$$\beta_{x} = v_{z}(f)v_{z}(g)\bar{v}_{x}(h) + v_{z}(f)v_{z}(h)\bar{v}_{x}(g) + v_{z}(g)v_{z}(h)\bar{v}_{x}(f).$$
Now by Weil reciprocity, the product of each tame symbol \( \prod_{x \in z}(, )_x = 1 \), so we just need to check that the product \( \prod_{x \in z}(-1)^{\beta_x} \) is also equal to one.

We have
\[
\prod_{x \in z}(-1)^{v_x(f)v_x(g)v_x(h)} = (-1)^{v_x(f)v_x(g)(\sum_{x \in z} v_x(h))} = 1
\]
by the theory of valuations on a curve. By symmetry this works for all terms of \( \beta \), and so the formula
\[
\prod_{x \in z} N_{k_v(x)/F_q}(f, g, h)_{x,z} = 1
\]
holds. Now we write
\[
\prod_{x \in z} N_{k_v(x)/F_q}(f, g, h)_{x,y} = \prod_{x \in y} \prod_{z \in y(x)} N_{k_v(x)/F_q}(f, g, h)_{x,z} = 1
\]
where we may rearrange the product as needed by the argument in lemma 6.3. This completes the proof.

The methods of Osipov and Zhu in [31] also work for reciprocity along a curve. In [35], Romo uses an algebraic construction to show the product of higher tame symbols around a point is one. He shows that the tame symbol is the unique continuous Steinberg symbol in the cohomology class of the commutator symbol given by the central extension
\[
0 \to k(v)^{\times} \to \hat{\Sigma}_v^{\times} \to \Sigma_v^{\times} \to 0
\]
where \( \Sigma_v \) is the fraction field of a discrete valuation ring with residue field \( k(v) \). The Parshin symbol can then be realised (up to a sign) as the composition of two of these commutators. The reciprocity law follows very easily, via an analogy to Tate’s residue theorem (see [41]). Romo’s approach has much in common with Osipov and Zhu, but is much more explicit about this particular case when compared to their categorical constructions.
3 The Pairings on the Adelic Group

This section will define the Witt pairing, higher tame pairing and various groups associated to an arithmetic surface $X$. Section 3.1 will the adelic objects associated to the algebraic surface $X$, and discuss their structure. 3.2 and 3.3 will define the global versions of the pairings.

3.1 The Adeles and their $K$-groups

We define the geometric adeles associated to $X$ and subspaces associated to a curve $y$ and a point $x$. The following definitions appeared originally in [7], where the characteristic zero and mixed characteristic cases are also considered. For alternative definitions see [43], where the adeles are defined for $n$-dimensional schemes using completion functors and simplices.

Definition 3.1.1. For a curve $y \subset X$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the adelic object $\mathbb{A}_y^r$ by:

$$\mathbb{A}_y^r := \left\{ \left( \sum_{i \geq r} a_i, t_i \right)_{x \in y} : a_i = (a_i, x)_{x \in y} = (a_i, x)_{x \in z, z \in y(x)} \right\}.$$

Define also

$$\mathbb{A}_y = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}_y^r = \mathbb{A}_y^{[r^{-1}]}.$$

So we have defined a “higher adelic object” associated to each curve on the surface $X$. Notice we use the adeles of the underlying (one-dimensional) global field associated to the curve and the two dimensional structure of the surface to limit which coefficients can occur, similarly to the classical definition of adeles. We now define the geometric adeles associated to the surface, using the above definition.
Definition 3.1.2. The geometric adeles associated to a surface $X$ are

$$\mathbb{A}_X := \prod_{y \subset X} \mathbb{A}_y'$$

where the restricted product is taken with respect to the rings $\mathcal{O}_{x,y}$ and $\mathbb{A}_y^r$, i.e. $\mathbb{A}_X$ is the set of $(a_{x,y})_{x \in y} = (a_{x,z})_{x \in z, z \in y(x)}$ such that :

1. $y$ runs through curves on the surface $X$;

2. $(a_{x,y})_{x \in y} \in \mathbb{A}_y$ for all $y \subset X$;

3. for all but finitely many $y$, $a_{x,y} \in \mathcal{O}_{x,y}$ for all $x \in y$;

4. $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(a_{x,y})_{x \in y} \in \mathbb{A}_y^r$ for all $y \subset X$.

For more properties of the geometric adeles, see Fesenko’s paper [8].

We also define

$$\mathbb{B}_X := \prod_{y \subset X} \Delta(F_y) \cap \mathbb{A}_X;$$

$$\mathbb{C}_X := \prod_{x \in X} \Delta(F_x) \cap \mathbb{A}_X;$$

where $\Delta$ is the diagonal embedding of the rings $F_y$ and $F_x$. These two adelic rings provide us with adelic analogues of the semi-global rings $F_y$ and $F_x$. Similarly to the diagram of fields above, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}_X & \leftarrow & \mathbb{B}_X \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{C}_X & \leftarrow & F
\end{array}$$

where $F$ injects into the adeles via the diagonal map as in the one-dimensional case.

As in the local case, Milnor $K$-groups will replace the multiplicative group in higher global class field theory. We define:

$$K_2(\mathbb{A}_X) := (\mathbb{A}_X^\times)^{\otimes 2} / <\alpha \otimes (1 - \alpha) \in (\mathbb{A}_X^\times)^{\otimes 2}>.$$
Defining the topological $K$-groups as the quotient of the $K$-groups by the neighbourhood of the identity as before, we have $\{(f_{x,y})_{x \in y \subset X} \in K^{\text{top}}_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \}$ if and only if:

1. $f_{x,y} \in K^{\text{top}}_2(F_{x,y})$ for all $x$ and $y$;
2. For all but finitely many $y$, $f_{x,y} \in K^{\text{top}}_2(O_{x,y})$ for all $x \in y$;
3. $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{(f_{x,y})_{x \in y} \in K^{\text{top}}_2(\mathbb{A}_y^r) \}$ for all $y \subset X$.

Note that we write $K^{\text{top}}_2(R_{x,y}) = \prod_{y_i \in y(x)} K^{\text{top}}_2(R_{x,y_i})$ for any ring $R_{x,y_i}$ associated to a singular point $x$ on a curve $y$ with irreducible components $y_i$.

The following will define our analogue of the idele group and some important subgroups.

**Definition 3.1.3.** Denote $K^{\text{top}}_2(\mathbb{A}_X)$ by $J_X$. Some useful subgroups of $J_X$ will be denoted:

1. $J_y := \{(f_{x,y}) \in J_X : f_{x,y'} = 1 \forall y' \neq y \text{ curves on } X\}$;
2. $J_x := \{(f_{x,y}) \in J_X : f_{x',y} = 1 \forall x' \neq x \text{ points on } X\}$;
3. $J_1$ is the intersection of $J_X$ with the diagonal image of $\prod_{y \subset X} K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)$ in $\prod_{x,y} K^{\text{top}}_2(F_{x,y})$;
4. $J_2$ is the intersection of $J_X$ with the diagonal image of $\prod_{x \in X} K^{\text{top}}_2(F_x)$ in $\prod_{x,y} K^{\text{top}}_2(F_{x,y})$.

$J_1$ and $J_2$ are the $K$-group analogues of $\mathbb{B}_X$ and $\mathbb{C}_X$ respectively. The next proposition proves that these groups depend only on the underlying field and not on the model of $X$ (i.e. the choice of embedding into the algebraic closure $X \times_F \overline{F}$) - an important fact for class field theory.

**Proposition 3.1.4.** $J_y$ and $J_x$ are independent of the choice of model of $X$. 45
Proof. \( J_y \): For each component \( y_i \subset y \), \( F_{y_i} \) has the structure of a complete discrete valuation field over \( k(y_i) \). By the usual theory of complete discrete valuation fields - see [25] - we may fix an isomorphism \( F_{y_i} \cong k(y_i)((t_{y_i})) \).

The points on (the normalisation of) \( y_i \) correspond to the valuations of \( k(y_i) \). Hence the local fields \( F_{x,y_i} \) are given by \( k(y_i)((t_{y_i})) \), which is well-defined - see [28, Section 3]. So the product

\[
\prod_{x \in y} K^\text{top}_2(F_{x,y})
\]

is also well-defined.

The following exact sequences follow from the local theory. The second sequences follows from the standard facts about the local boundary maps, which each have kernel \( K^\text{top}_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}) \) and surject onto the (product of) residue fields \( k(y)_x \). The first sequence follows from the surjection from the groups \( K^\text{top}_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}) \) to the final residue fields \( \bigoplus_{z \in y(x)} k_z(x)^\times \), which has kernel the principal units.

By the theory of complete discrete valuations fields and the boundary map of \( K \)-theory, the sequences are independent of the choices of the \( t_{y_i} \).

\[
0 \rightarrow \prod_{x \in y} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{F_{x,y}} \times \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{F_{x,y}} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in y} K^\text{top}_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in y} \bigoplus_{z \in y(x)} k_z(x)^\times \rightarrow 0
\]

and

\[
0 \rightarrow \prod_{x \in y} K^\text{top}_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}) \rightarrow J_y \xrightarrow{\delta_X} \prod_{x \in y, y_i \subset y(x)} k(y_i)_x^\times \rightarrow 0
\]

where \( pr \) and \( \delta_X \) are defined as follows. The first term of the first sequence will be defined below, as the kernel of the map \( pr \). Note that the restricted product and direct sums appearing in the final terms of the second sequence corresponds to the usual one-dimensional adelic products, i.e. all but finitely many terms are in \( \mathcal{O}_{k(y)_x} \).

The boundary homomorphism \( \delta : K^\text{top}_2(F_{x,y_i}) \rightarrow K^\text{top}_1(\bar{F}_{x,y_i}) \) induces

\[
\delta_X : J_X \xrightarrow{\delta_X} \bigoplus_{y_i \subset y \subset X} k^\times_{k(y_i)}
\]
where the range is because of the definition of $\mathcal{J}_x$. The projection map $K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}) \to K_2^{top}(\tilde{F}_{x,y})$ induces the surjective map

$$pr : \prod_{x \in y} K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}) \to \bigoplus_{y_i \in y(x)} k_{y_i}(x)^\times.$$ 

The kernel of $pr$ is given by

$$\prod_{x \in y} E_{x,y}^{(1)} F_{x,y} \times E_{x,y}^{(2)} F_{x,y}$$

where $E_{x,y}^{(j)} = \prod_{y_i \in y(x)} E_{x,y_i}^{(j)}$, and the $E_{x,y_i}^{(j)}$ for $j = 1, 2$ are respectively generated by the elements of types $iv$ and $v$ in 1.1.6.

These exact sequences and maps characterise $\mathcal{J}_y$ in $\prod_{x \in y} K_2^{top}(F_{x,y})$.

As we know the independence of the $k(y_i)_x$ and $k(x)$ from the choice of model (this is a consequence of basic valuation theory, see [28]), we just need to show the independence of $\prod_{x \in y} E_{x,y}^{(1)} F_{x,y} \times E_{x,y}^{(2)} F_{x,y}$. This will enable the independent characterisation of $\prod_{x \in y} K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y})$ in the first sequence, and hence that of $\mathcal{J}_y$ in the second sequence.

$\prod_{x \in y} E_{x,y}^{(1)} F_{x,y} \times E_{x,y}^{(2)} F_{x,y}$ is generated as a group by elements $\{1 + \phi_k(u_{x,y}) t_{y_i}^k, \beta\}$, where $k \geq 1$, $\phi_k(u_{x,y}) \in k(x)((u_{x,y}))$ and $\beta$ is one of the local parameters $u_{x,y_i}$ and $t_{y_i}$. Hence if $\alpha = (\alpha_{x,y_i})_{x,y_i}$ such that $\{\alpha_{x,y_i}, \beta\} \in \prod_{x \in y} E_{x,y}^{(1)} F_{x,y} \times E_{x,y}^{(2)} F_{x,y}$, there is a decomposition

$$\alpha_{x,y_i} = \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + \phi_{k,x,y}(u_{x,y})) t_{y_i}^k;$$

which enables us to construct $E_{x,y_i}^{(1)} F_{x,y_i} \times E_{x,y_i}^{(2)} F_{x,y_i}$ from $F_{y_i}$. This proves $\mathcal{J}_y$ is well-defined as a topological group by $F_{y_i}$.

$\mathcal{J}_x$: Let $R$ be a two-dimensional reduced excellent local ring of characteristic $p$, $m$ its maximal ideal and $p \subset m$ a prime ideal of height one. A ring is excellent if it satisfies some technical conditions, see [28, remark 4.11] for a simple discussion of these rings, or [1, Section 7] for a full definition.

Define $\mathcal{J}_R \subset \prod_{p} K_2^{top}(R_{m,p})$, where $R_{m,p}$ is constructed by a series of localisations and completions as in section 2.1, by the commutative diagram with
exact rows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_p K_2^{\text{top}}(R_{m,p}) & \longrightarrow & J_R & \delta & \oplus_p K_1^{\text{top}}(K_{m,p}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_p K_2^{\text{top}}(R_{m,p}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_p K_2^{\text{top}}(K_{m,p}) & \delta & \prod_p K_1^{\text{top}}(K_{m,p}) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

where \( K_{m,p} = \text{Frac}(R_{m,p}) \) and the vertical arrows are injective.

Now for a pair \((X, x),\) a two-dimensional scheme over a finite field and a point \(x \in X,\) let \(R = \hat{O}_{X,x}\) and define \(J_x = J_R.\) Then we have defined \(J_x\) depending only on \(\hat{O}_{X,x}\). Hence \(J_x\) depends only on the completion of \(O_{X,x},\) and \(J_y\) only on the product of fields \(F_y\) - so for a complete discrete valuation field \(L\) with global residue field, it makes sense to write \(J_L\) for the topological \(K\)-group of its adeles.

**Structure of \(J_X\)**

We now look at the structure of this group, providing us with some useful decompositions and a topology.

As above, we have the boundary homomorphism

\[
\delta_X : J_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \subset X} \mathbb{A}_k^{X_{y(y)}}.
\]

Since in each local factor, \(\delta(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = (-1)^{v(\alpha)v(\beta)}\alpha^{v(\beta)}\beta^{-v(\alpha)}\) (see [10, 9.2.3]), we have

\[
\ker(\delta_X) = \prod_{y \subset X} \prod_{x \in y} K_2^{\text{top}}(O_{x,y}) = J_X \cap \prod_{y \subset X} \prod_{x \in y} K_2^{\text{top}}(O_{x,y}).
\]

So the map \(pr\) is a map with domain the kernel of \(\delta_X.\) Locally, the structure of \(\ker(pr)\) is clear by the structure theorem for the
topological $K$-groups of higher local fields, but globally we must define the restricted product

$$\prod'_{x \in y \subset X} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{F_{x,y}} \times \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{F_{x,y}} \subset \prod_{x \in y \subset X} \{O_{x,y}, t_y\} \times \{O_{x,y}, u_{x,y}\}.$$ 

As above, if $\alpha = (\alpha_{x,y})_{x,y}$ such that $\{\alpha_{x,y}, \beta\} \in \prod'_{x \in y} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{F_{x,y}} \times \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{F_{x,y}}$, there is a decomposition

$$\alpha_{x,y} = \prod_{z \in y(x)} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + \phi_{k,x,z}(u_{x,z})t^k_z).$$

We have $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \prod'_{x \in y} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{F_{x,y}} \times \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{F_{x,y}}$ if and only if for all $k \geq 1$ and all $y \subset X$, $(\phi_{k,x,z})_{x \in z, z \in y(x)} \in \prod_{z \in y(x)} \mathbb{A}_{k(z)}$. The decomposition is unique, see [33, Section 2, Proposition 3].

**Topology**

To define the topology of $J_X$, we follow Fesenko’s definition from [7, Section 2]. Fesenko shows that our group $J_X$ is isomorphic to the group which is defined as followed. Let $V_X$ be the image of the $K$-group symbol map on the subgroup of the adeles $\mathbb{A}_X$ where for each pair $(x, y)$, the entry $a_{x,y}$ is in $O_{x,y}$ and its image in the residue field is in the ring of integers $O_{k(y), x}$. Then $J_X$ is isomorphic to:

$$V_X + \bigoplus_{x \in y \subset X} K_0(k_y(x)).$$

See [7, Section 2] for more details.

We give $V_X$ the product topology from the subgroup of the adeles, and then $J_X$ the sequential saturation of the topology induced by the product of this and the discrete topology on the $K_0$ terms.

### 3.2 The Global Witt Pairing

In this section we will define the global Witt pairing as a sum of the traces of local Witt pairings, prove that it is a well-defined sum and check some basic
properties. For some related background on residue pairings on algebraic surfaces, see [14], [44] and [43] by Yekutieli and [27] and [26] by Morrow.

**Definition 3.2.1.** For each positive \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), define the global Witt pairing

\[
\{ | \}_{X} : \mathcal{J}_{X} \times W_{m}(\mathbb{A}_{X}) \to W_{m}(\mathbb{F}_{q})
\]

by

\[
\{(f_{x,y})_{x \in y}, (g_{x,y})_{x \in y}, (h_{x,y})_{x \in y}\}_{X} \mapsto \sum_{y \subset X} \sum_{x \in y, z_{i} \in y(x)} \text{Tr}_{W_{m}(k_{z_{i}}(x))/W_{m}(\mathbb{F}_{p})}(f_{x,z_{i}}, g_{x,z_{i}} | h_{x,z_{i}})_{F_{x,z_{i}}},
\]

We now check that this sum converges.

**Lemma 3.2.2.** Let \( \{ | \}_{F_{x,y}} \) be the Witt pairing associated to the product of two-dimensional local fields \( F_{x,y} \), and let \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then the map

\[
\mathbb{A}_{X}^{\times} \times \mathbb{A}_{X}^{\times} \times W_{m}(\mathbb{A}_{X}) \to W_{m}(\mathbb{F}_{p})
\]

\[
(((f_{x,y})_{x \in y}, (g_{x,y})_{x \in y}, (h_{x,y})_{x \in y})
\]

\[
\mapsto \sum_{y \subset X} \sum_{x \in y, z_{i} \in y(x)} \text{Tr}_{W_{m}(k_{z_{i}}(x))/W_{m}(\mathbb{F}_{p})}(f_{x,y}, g_{x,y} | h_{x,y})_{F_{x,y}}
\]

is well-defined, i.e. there are only finitely many non-zero terms appearing in the sum.

**Proof.** We induct on the length of the Witt vectors.

\( m = 1 \): Firstly note that the pairing is symbolic as in the local case (see proposition 1.2.5 property \textit{iii}) so in fact we consider a pairing

\[
\mathcal{J}_{X} \times \mathbb{A}_{X} \to \mathbb{F}_{p}.
\]

From the discussion of the structure of \( \mathcal{J}_{X} \) above, if we let \( \Gamma \) be the image of a section of \( \delta_{X} \)

\[
\sigma : \mathcal{J}_{X} \to \bigoplus_{y \subset X} \prod_{x \in y, z_{i} \in y(x)} k(z_{i})^{\times},
\]
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then $J_X$ can be decomposed as

$$J_X \cong \Gamma \times \prod_{y \subseteq X} \prod_{x \in y, z \in y(x)} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(O_{x,z}).$$

Note here that when we write $K_{2}^{\text{top}}(O_{x,y})$, we mean the topological quotient of the tensor product $O_{x,y}^{\times} \otimes O_{x,y}^{\times}$ by $I_2 \cap (O_{x,y}^{\times} \otimes O_{x,y}^{\times})$.

From the additive property of the local Witt pairing, we can evaluate on $\Gamma$ and $\prod_{y \subseteq X} \prod_{x \in y, z \in y(x)} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(O_{x,z})$ separately.

For $\prod_{y \subseteq X} \prod_{x \in y, z \in y(x)} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(O_{x,z})$, as the last term in the pairing $h = (h_{x,z})$ satisfies $h_{x,z} \in O_{x,z}$ for all but finitely many $(x, z)$ we may apply property $i$ in lemma 1.2.5. Hence the pairing takes only finitely many non-zero values here.

Let $\Gamma$ be generated by the section

$$\bar{\gamma} \mapsto \{\gamma, t_y\}$$

where $\gamma$ is the lift of $\bar{\gamma}$ induced by $F_{x,y} \cong k(y)_x((t_y))$ - this does depend on the choice of $t_y$, but we will see this does not affect the proof. By lemma 1.2.5 $ii$, we have

$$([\gamma, t_z]|h_{x,z}]_{F_{x,y}} = \text{res}_{F_{x,y}}(\bar{h}_{x,z} \frac{d\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}})$$

so we have reduced to the one-dimensional case. It is well-known from the study of differential forms on curves that there are only finitely many non-zero values here, so the base case is complete.

**Induction:** Suppose $([f_{x,y}, g_{x,y}]_{h_{x,y}}{F_{x,y}} = 0$ for all but finitely many $(x, y)$, where $h = (h_{x,y}) \in W_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}_X)$. By viii in proposition 1.2.3,

$$([f_{x,y}, g_{x,y}]([h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1}]_{x,y}]_{F_{x,y}} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1})$$

implies

$$([f_{x,y}, g_{x,y}]([h_0, \ldots, h_{m-2}]_{x,y}]_{F_{x,y}} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-2}).$$
Suppose there exists \( h \in W_m(\mathbb{A}_X) \) such that the pairing \( \{ f_{x,y}, g_{x,y} \}|h_{x,y}|_{F_{x,y}} \) takes infinitely many non-zero values for some \( f, g \in \mathbb{A}_X \). Any pair \((x, y)\) such that 

\[
\{ f_{x,y}, g_{x,y} \}|(h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1})_{x,y}|_{F_{x,y}} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}) \neq 0
\]

has 

\[
\{ f_{x,y}, g_{x,y} \}|(h_0, \ldots, h_{m-2})_{x,y}|_{F_{x,y}} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{m-2})
\]

so \( w_{m-1} \) must be the only non-zero term for all but finitely many such values of the pairing.

By definition of the Witt pairing, it can be seen that this implies \( h_{x,y} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, h_{m-1})_{x,y} \) for almost all of the pairs \((x, y)\) giving non-zero values. But by induction on relation \( vii \) in proposition 1.2.3, we can reduce to the case \( m = 1 \), which gives a contradiction.

The lemma above shows this pairing is well-defined. By [33, 3.3.1], the components of each local pairing are polynomials in the components of \( f_{x,z}, g_{x,z} \) and \( h_{x,z} \), proving continuity of the local pairing. Since there are only finitely many non-zero terms this extends to continuity of the global pairing.

We now restate the reciprocity law for the Witt pairing, proved above in sections 2.2 and 2.3.

**Proposition 3.2.3. Reciprocity Law**

For a fixed curve \( y \subset X, m \in \mathbb{Z}, f, g \in F_x^* \) and \( h \in W_m(F_y) \),

\[
\sum_{x \in y, y \in y(x)} \text{Tr}_{W_m(k_{y,x})/W_m(F_p)}(\{ f_{x,y}, g_{x,y} \}|h_{x,y}|_{F_{x,y}} = 0.
\]

For a fixed point \( x \in X, m \in \mathbb{Z}, f, g \in F_x \) and \( h \in W_m(F_x) \)

\[
\sum_{y \ni x} \text{Tr}_{W_m(k_{y,x})/W_m(F_p)}(\{ f_{x,y}, g_{x,y} \}|h_{x,y}|_{F_{x,y}} = 0.
\]

**Corollary 3.2.4.** For each \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) there is a continuous pairing

\[
(\mid)_X : \frac{\mathcal{J}_X}{\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2} \times W_m(F)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}.
\]
Notice the relation to one-dimensional class field theory - the quotient here is an analogue of the quotient of the idele group by the global elements to obtain the idele class group. The higher tame symbol described below will also take values on this group, as a similar reciprocity law is proved in the paper above.

In the following chapters, we aim to prove that the Witt pairing is non-degenerate on certain subgroups and quotients of the groups on which it is defined, along with similar results for the higher tame pairing.

### 3.3 The Global Higher Tame Pairing

This section begins with a definition of the global higher tame pairing then proceeds in a manner similar to the previous section on the Witt pairing - we check the pairing is well-defined and prove basic properties.

**Definition 3.3.1.** For a surface $X$ over a finite field $k$, $\{f, g\} = (\{f_{x,y}, g_{x,y}\})_{x,y} \in \mathcal{J}_X$ and $h = (h_{x,y})_{x,y} \in \mathbb{A}_X$, define the global higher tame pairing by

\[
\left(\{f, g\}, h\right)_X = \prod_{y \subset X} \prod_{x \in y, z \in y(x)} N_{k(x)/k}(\{f_{x,z}, g_{x,z}\}, h_{x,z})_{x,z}
\]

where for each pair $(x, z)$, the symbol $(\cdot, \cdot)_{x,z} : K_{2,\text{top}}^*(F_{x,z}) \times F_{x,z} \to k$ is the local higher tame symbol.

**Lemma 3.3.2.** The global higher tame pairing is well-defined, i.e. for fixed $(\{f_{x,y}, g_{x,y}\})_{x,y} \in \mathcal{J}_X$, $h \in \mathbb{A}_X$, as $(x, z)$ range over all points on all branches of the curves $y$ on $X$, the value of $(\{f_{x,y}, g_{x,y}\}, h_{x,y})_{x,z}$ is not equal to one for only finitely many pairs $(x, y)$.

**Proof.** First fix $x \in X$. For each $z \ni x$, we may decompose our elements $f_{x,z}, g_{x,z}, h_{x,z}$ as products $\alpha_{x,z}u_{x,z}^j t^j \varepsilon_{x,z}$, with $j = 0$ for all but finitely many $z$, $\alpha_{x,z} \in k(x)$ and $\varepsilon_{x,z}$ a principal unit of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{x,z}}$. 
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If we fix a \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), then there are only finitely many expansions of a fixed element with the exponent of \( t_z \) being \( j \) and the exponent of \( u_{x,z} \) being non-zero. So the number of \( z \) with \( i \) and \( j \) not equal to zero is certainly finite. So for all but finitely many \( z \), \( f_{x,z}, g_{x,z} \) and \( h_{x,z} \) will all be in the group \( k_z(x)^\times \times U_{x,z} \).

Basic properties of the higher tame symbol show it is trivial if any entry is in the group of principal units \( U_{x,z} \), and a simple calculation shows it is also trivial if more than one of the entries is in \( k_z(x)^\times \), which shows there are only finitely many values not equal to one for a fixed point.

Now we fix a curve \( y \), and proceed in exactly the same way to the case for a fixed point. Putting these two cases together, the proof is complete. \( \square \)

We now restate the reciprocity law for the higher tame symbol, proved in 2.2 and 2.3.

**Proposition 3.3.3.** For a fixed curve \( y \subset X, f, g, h \in F_y^\times \), the product

\[
\prod_{x \in y, z_i \in y(x)} N_{k_{z_i}(x)/k}(\{f, g\}, h)_{x,z_i} = 1.
\]

For a fixed point \( x \in X, f, g \) and \( h \in F_x \), the product

\[
\prod_{y \ni x} N_{k_y(x)/k}(\{f, g\}, h)_{x,y} = 1.
\]

**Corollary 3.3.4.** The higher tame symbol defines a pairing

\[
(\quad, \quad)_X : \frac{\mathcal{J}_X}{\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2} \times \mathbb{A}_X^\times \to k^\times.
\]

In the following sections, we will use Kummer theory to get duality theorems which will enable us to define the tamely ramified part of the reciprocity map for \( X \).

In the following chapters, we proceed by splitting into the two semi-global cases of a fixed curve and a fixed point, proving the duality of the Witt pairing for \( F_y \) and \( F_x \).
4 Class Field Theory of Complete Discrete Valuation Fields over Global Fields

In this chapter we fix a curve \( y \subset X \), and hence a product of fields \( F_y \cong \prod_{y_i \subset y} k(y_i)((t_{y_i})) \) - each one a complete discrete valuation field over a global field \( k(y_i) \). We will denote the finite constant field of \( k(y_i) \) by \( k_{y_i} \), and let \( k_y = \prod_{y_i \subset y} k_{y_i} \).

We begin with a definition of a subgroup of the adeles for the curve \( y \). This will be the subgroup on which the Witt pairing is non-trivial.

**Definition 4.1.1.** Define \( J_y := \prod'_{x \in y} K_2^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, m_{x,y}) \). Recall the definition of the restricted product is from 3.1.2.

The first theorem we aim to prove is the following Witt duality theorem for a non-singular curve. The Frobenius element \( \text{Frob} \) is the canonical generator of the Galois group of the maximal unramified extension of \( F_y \). It acts on each term of the Witt vectors.

**Theorem 4.1.2.** For a fixed non-singular curve \( y \subset X \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), the pairing

\[
J_y/(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y) \cap J_y)J_y^m \times W_m(F_y)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_y) + W_m(k(y)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}
\]

is continuous and non-degenerate, and the induced homomorphism from \( J_y/(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y) \cap J_y)J_y^m \) to

\[
\text{Hom}(W_m(F_y)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_y) + W_m(k(y)), \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})
\]

is a topological isomorphism.

We will proceed by induction on \( m \). To prove the theorem for \( m = 1 \), we need a series of technical lemmas.
We first discuss why the pairing is taken on this group. The quotient by the diagonal elements $K^{top}_2(F_y)$ is because of the reciprocity law 3.2.3, and the quotient by $J_p^m$ is because of 1.2.3, properties four and seven. From Parshin’s calculations in [33, 3.2.5] we see that for each field $F_{x,y}$, elements of the $K$-group containing principal units and elements of the finite field $k_y(x)$ are the only elements where the Witt pairing can take non-zero values. We quotient by the constant elements as these are the ones related to unramified extensions, i.e. $p^h$-powers of the Frobenius element. The following lemma on the structure of the $K$-groups will complete this discussion.

**Lemma 4.1.3.** Fix non-singular $y \subset X$. Then $K^{top}_2(F_y)$ is generated by symbols of the form:

1. $\{a, t_y\}$ with $a \in k(y)^\times$;
2. $\{a, b\}$ with $a, b \in k(y)^\times$;
3. $\{1 + at_y^k, t_y\}$ with $a \in k(y)^\times$, $k \geq 1$;
4. $\{1 + at_y^k, b\}$ with $a, b \in k(y)^\times$, $k \geq 1$.

The proof of this lemma is exactly the same as for a two-dimensional local field, see 1.1.6. Notice again we are choosing a smooth irreducible curve $y$ - the discussion of the singular case follows at the end of the section.

For fixed $y \subset X$, $x \in y$, let $\mathcal{E}_{x,y}^{(1)}$ be the group generated by the symbols with entries as in proposition 1.1.6 part four in the first position, and $\mathcal{E}_{x,y}^{(2)}$ the group generated with symbols in part five in the first position, and local parameters in the second. Using proposition 1.1.6 we can now write

$$\prod_{y \subset X} \prod_{x \in y} K^{top}_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, m_{x,y}) = \prod_{y \subset X} \prod_{x \in y} \mathcal{E}_{x,y}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{E}_{x,y}^{(2)}$$

and using the lemma above, we know the two groups we quotient by are generated by symbols

$\{1 + at_y^k, t_y\}$ with $a \in k(y)^\times$, $k \geq 1$;  \( \{1 + at_y^k, b\} \) with $a, b \in k(y)^\times$, $k \geq 1$. 
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We examine the structure of this group further.

**Lemma 4.1.4.** Let $\alpha \in \prod_{x \in y} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{x,y} \times \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{x,y}$. Then $\alpha$ can be decomposed as $\alpha^{(1)} \alpha^{(2)}$, where:

$$
\alpha^{(1)} = \{ \varepsilon^{(1)}_{x,y}, t_y \}, \text{ with } \varepsilon^{(1)}_{x,y} \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{x,y}
$$

and

$$
\alpha^{(2)} = \{ \varepsilon^{(2)}_{x,y}, u_{x,y} \}, \text{ with } \varepsilon^{(2)}_{x,y} \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{x,y}
$$

are unique expansions for each $x \in y$. The unique decomposition of the $\varepsilon^{(i)}_{x,y}$ can be rewritten as:

$$
\varepsilon^{(1)}_{x,y} = \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 + \phi^{(1)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y})t^j_y)
$$

$$
\varepsilon^{(2)}_{x,y} = \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 + \phi^{(2)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y})t^j_y)
$$

where $\phi^{(i)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y}) \in k(y)_x$ satisfy:

1. $(\phi^{(i)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y}))_{x \in y} \in A_{k(y)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and for all $j$;

2. If $k$ is such that $\phi^{(1)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j < k$, then $\phi^{(1)}_{k,x,y}(u_{x,y})$ contains no powers $u^i_{x,y}$ with $p \mid i$.

3. If $k$ is such that $p \mid k$ and $\phi^{(2)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j < k$, then $\phi^{(2)}_{k,x,y}(u_{x,y}) = 0$.

4. For all $k$ and for all $x \in y$, $\psi^{(2)}_{k,x,y}(u_{x,y}) = \psi_{k,x,y}(u^p_{x,y})$ for some series $\psi_{k,x,y} \in k(y)[[X]]$.

**Proof.** By the structure theorem for $K_2^{top}(F_y)$, the decomposition $\alpha = \alpha^{(1)} \alpha^{(2)}$ is clear. The uniqueness follows from [33], corollary to proposition 4, section one.

Property 1 follows from the induced (by our definition of the adeles) restricted product of the groups $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{x,y}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{x,y}$.

Suppose $k$ is such that $\phi^{(1)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j < k$. Since the product in $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{x,y}$ is taken over the indices not divisible by $p$, the only powers $u^i_{x,y}$ with $p \mid i$
must come from sums terms in $\phi_{j,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})$ for $j < k$ - but these are all zero. So property 2 is proved.

Suppose $k$ is such that $p|k$ and $\phi_{j,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j < k$. The product in $E_{x,y}^{(2)}$ is taken over the indices with $p$ not dividing the index of $t_y$, so 3 is proved in the same way as 2 above.

Finally, for any $k$ and $x \in y$, the product in $E_{x,y}^{(2)}$ is taken so that the second index is divisible by $p$, so property 4 is clear. \qed

We now look at the expansion given above for elements of $K_*^{\text{top}}(F_y)$. This will give us a general form for elements of the diagonal group, enabling us to prove that elements of the kernel of the Witt pairing are exactly the diagonal elements.

**Lemma 4.1.5.** Let $\{1 + at_y^k, t_y\}, \{1 + ht_y^l, b\} \in K_*^{\text{top}}(F_y)$ for some $k, l > 0$, $a, b, h \in k_y(x)$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ their respective images in $J_y$. Then for $\alpha_1$:

\[
\phi_{j,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})_1 = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } j < k \\
a \mod k(y)^p_x & \text{if } j = k 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\phi_{j,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y})_1 = 0 \quad j \leq k.
\]

For $\alpha_2$, let $\eta = (\eta_x)_{x \in y} \in A_{k(y)}$ be defined by:

\[
h u_{x,y} b^{-1} \frac{db}{du_{x,y}} + u_{x,y} \frac{d\eta_x}{du_{x,y}} \in k(y)^p_x.
\]

Then:

\[
\phi_{j,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})_2 = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } j < l \\
\eta_x & \text{if } j = l 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\phi_{j,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y})_2 = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } j < l \\
h u_{x,y} b^{-1} \frac{db}{du_{x,y}} + u_{x,y} \frac{d\eta}{du_{x,y}} & \text{if } j = l.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** First consider $\alpha_1$. For $j < k$, the claim is clear. Let $\delta = (\delta_x)_{x \in y} \in A_{k(y)}$ be defined by

\[
a = \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) + \delta_{x}(u_{x,y})^p
\]
with $\delta_x \in k(y)_x$. Such a delta exists by the expansion of $\alpha^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}_{F_{x,y}} \times \mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{F_{x,y}}$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $J_{y, \geq j}$ as

$$\{ \alpha \in \prod_{x \in y} K^{top}_2(O_{x,y}, m_{x,y}) : (\phi^{(1)}_{i,x,y})_{x \in y} = 0 \text{ and } (\phi^{(2)}_{i,x,y})_{x \in y} = 0 \forall i < j \}.$$  

It is enough to show that

$$\{1 + \delta_x^{(p^{k})} t^k_y, t^l_y\} = \{1 + \delta_x t^k_y, t^l_y\} \{1 - \phi^{(2)}_{k,x,y}(u_{x,y}) t^k_y, t^l_y\} \in J^p_{y} J_{y, \geq k+1}$$

as then we have the correct value modulo $p^{th}$-powers, and the remaining terms affect only $\phi^{(1)}_{j,x,y}(u_{x,y})$ with $j > k$.

If $p | k$, then $\{1 + \delta_x^{(p^{k})} t^k_y, t^l_y\} \in J^p_{y}$, so assume $p \nmid k$. We have the identity:

$$\{1 + \delta_x^{(p^{k})} t^k_y, -\delta_x^{(p^{k})} t^k_y\} = 1$$

by definition of the $K$-groups. Hence

$$\{1 + \delta_x^{(p^{k})} t^k_y, t^l_y\} \equiv \{1 + \delta_x^{(p^{k})} t^k_y, \delta_x^{(p^{k})}\} \mod J_{y, \geq k+1}. $$

See ?? in the appendix for the details of this calculation.

So now consider $\alpha_2$. Let $f_i, g_j \in k(x)$. We have:

$$\{1 + f_i u^i_{x,y}, 1 + g_j u^j_{x,y}\} \equiv \left\{1 + f_i u^i_{x,y} \frac{j g_j u^j_{x,y}}{1 + g_j u^j_{x,y}} t^l_y, u_{x,y}\right\} \mod K^{top}_2(O_{x,y}, m^{l+1}_{x,y}),$$

see appendix, ??.

Let $h = \sum_i f_i u^i_{x,y}$ and $b = \prod_j (1 + g_j u^j_{x,y})$, so that

$$\frac{db}{du_{x,y}} = \left(\sum_j \frac{j g_j u^j_{x,y} - 1}{1 + g_j u^j_{x,y}}\right) b.$$  

Hence

$$\left\{1 + ht^l_y, b\right\} \equiv \left\{1 + u_{x,y} b^{-1} \frac{db}{du_{x,y}} t^l_y, u_{x,y}\right\} \mod K^{top}_2(O_{x,y}, m^{l+1}_{x,y})$$

and so

$$\{1 + ht^l_y, b\} \equiv \left\{1 + \phi^{(2)}_{l,x,y}(u_{x,y}) t^l_y, u_{x,y}\right\} \left\{1 - u_{x,y} \frac{dt^l_y}{du_{x,y}} t^l_y, u_{x,y}\right\}$$
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modulo $K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, \mathfrak{m}_{x,y}^{l+1})$, if we let $\phi^{(2)}_{l,x,y}$ be as required.

We have the relation

$$\{1 - iv_i u_{x,y}^i, u_{x,y}\} \equiv \{1 + lv_i u_{x,y}^i, t_y\} \mod K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, \mathfrak{m}_{x,y}^{l+1})$$

for $p \nmid i$, $v_i \in k(x)$. See ?? for details. So if we let $\eta_x = \sum_{p|l} m_i u_{x,y}^i$, we get

$$\left\{1 - u_{x,y} \frac{d\eta_x}{du_{x,y}} t_y^l, u_{x,y}\right\} \equiv \{1 + l\eta_x t_y^l, t_y\} \mod K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, \mathfrak{m}_{x,y}^{l+1}).$$

Combining the two calculations, we see

$$\{1 + ht_y^l, b\} \equiv \{1 + \phi^{(2)}_{l,x,y}(u_{x,y}) t_y^l, u_{x,y}\} + \{1 + l\eta_x t_y^l, t_y\} \mod K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, \mathfrak{m}_{x,y}^{l+1})$$

so we may let $\phi^{(1)}_{l,x,y}(u_{x,y}) = l\eta_x$ as required. Note that we need only to prove the lemma $\mod K_2^{top}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, \mathfrak{m}_{x,y}^{l+1})$ as higher terms will affect $\phi^{(i)}_{j,x,y}$ with $j > l$.

Remark The uniqueness of the decomposition means that if we show an element of $J_y$ can be written in the above form, then it is in the diagonal image of $K_2^{top}(F_y)$.

The next lemma will provide a simple form for the elements of $F_y/(Frob - 1)F_y + k(y)$, enabling us to prove non-degeneracy on the right-hand side of the Witt pairing.

**Lemma 4.1.6.** Let $f \in F_y/((Frob - 1)F_y + k(y))$. Then $f$ has a unique representation as a finite sum

$$f = \sum_{k < 0} f_k t_y^k$$

with $f_k \in k(y)$ and if $p|k$, $f_k \in R_p$, a fixed set of representatives for $k(y)/k(y)^p$. 

60
Proof. Decompose \( f \) as \( f = \sum_{k \geq v_y(f)} f_k t_y^k \). If \( v_y(f) > 0 \), consider the convergent (for \( v_y(f) > 0 \)) sum:

\[
f' = (-f) + (-f)^p + (-f)^{p^2} + \ldots
\]

with \( f = f^p - f' \in (\text{Frob} - 1)F_y \). So modulo \((\text{Frob} - 1)F_y\) we need only consider the terms with \( k < 0 \).

Suppose \( k < 0 \) is the least such with \( p \mid k \) and \( f_k \not\in \mathbb{F}_p \). Then

\[
f_k = f''_k + g^p
\]

some \( f''_k \in \mathbb{F}_p \), \( g \in k(y) \). Replace \( f_k \) by \( f''_k \) and \( f_{k/p} \) by \( f_{k/p} + g \) to get another representation of \( f \), and continue this process until the representation is as required.

Uniqueness: Suppose \( \sum_{k \leq 0} f_k t_y^k \) and \( \sum_{k \leq 0} f'_k t_y^k \) represent \( y \) in the required form. Then:

\[
\sum_{k \leq 0} (f_k - f'_k) t_y^k = \left( \sum_k h_k t_y^k \right)^p - \sum_k h_k t_y^k = h^p - h \quad (\star)
\]

some \( h \in F_y \).

Then \( f_0 - f'_0 = h_0^p - h_0 \in (\text{Frob} - 1)F_y + k(y) \), which implies \( f_0 = f'_0 \).

Let \( k < 0 \) be the least \( k \) with \( f_k \neq f'_k \). Then for \( i > 0 \),

\[
h_{p^i k} = h_{p^i k} + f_{p^i k} - f'_{p^i k}.
\]

But equating coefficients in (\star) gives:

\[
h_{p^i k} + f_{p^i p} - f'_{p^i k} = h_{p^i-1 k}^p
\]

and hence \( h_{p^i} = h_{p^i k}^p \) by induction.

But for large enough \( i \), we have \( h_{p^i k} = 0 \), so \( h_k = 0 \) and we must have

\[
f_k - f'_k = \begin{cases} 0 & p \nmid k \\ (-h_k/p)^p & p \mid k \end{cases} \equiv 0
\]

contradicting our choice of \( k \). \( \square \)
We can now calculate the value of the Witt pairing on elements of $J_y$ and $F_y/(\text{Frob}-1)F_y, k(y)$ in these useful forms.

**Lemma 4.1.7.** Fix some $k \geq 1$, $l \leq -1$. Let $\alpha_k^{(1)} \in J_y$ be an element of the form described in 4.1.4 such that $\phi_{j,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j \neq k$ and $\phi_{j,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j$. Let $\alpha_k^{(2)} \in J_y$ be an element of the form described in 4.1.4 such that $\phi_{j,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j$ and $\phi_{j,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $j \neq k$. Let $f_l \in k_y$. Then:

$$(\alpha_k^{(1)} | f_l t_y)_{y} = \begin{cases} 0 & k + l > 0 \\ \sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k}(\text{res}_x(f_l d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}))) & k + l = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\alpha_k^{(2)} | f_l t_y)_{y} = \begin{cases} 0 & k + l > 0 \\ -\sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k}\left(\text{res}_x\left(f_l k \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \frac{du_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}}\right)\right) & k + l = 0, \ p \nmid k \\ 0 & k + l = 0, \ p | k \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** For each $x \in y$, we have:

$$(\alpha_{x,y}^{(1)} | f_l t_y)_{y} = \text{res}_{x,y} \left(f_l t_y \frac{d(\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) t_y^k)}{1 + \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) t_y^k} \wedge \frac{dt_y}{t_y}\right)$$

which is equal to

$$\text{res}_{x,y} \left(f_l t_y^{k+1} \frac{d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})}{1 + \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) t_y^k} \wedge \frac{dt_y}{t_y}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & k + l > 0 \\ \text{res}_x(f_l d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})) & k + l = 0 \end{cases}$$

by expanding $(1 + \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) t_y^k)^{-1}$ and using property 3.4.1, ii. Summation over $x \in y$ gives the first part of the lemma.

Similarly, we have

$$(\alpha_{x,y}^{(2)} | f_l t_y)_{y} = \text{res}_{x,y} \left(f_l t_y \frac{d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) t_y^k}{1 + \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) t_y^k} \wedge \frac{du_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}}\right)$$
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which is equal to

\[
\text{res}_{x,y} \left( f_{k+l} t_y^{k+l} \frac{\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y})}{1 + \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) t_y^{k+l} t_y} \right) = \begin{cases} 
0 & k + l > 0 \\
-\text{res}_x \left( f_{k+l} \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \frac{du_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}} \right) & k + l = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

exactly as for \( \alpha^{(1)} \). As before summing over \( x \in y \) gives the lemma.

Denote the set of elements with both \( \phi_{j,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) = 0 \) and \( \phi_{j,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) = 0 \) for all \( j < k \) by \( J_{y,\geq k} \). Combining these two lemmas gives:

**Corollary 4.1.8.** Fix \( k \geq 1 \) and let \( \alpha_{\geq k} \in J_{y,\geq k} \). We may decompose this element as \( \alpha_{\geq k} = \alpha_{\geq k+1} \alpha_k \), where \( \alpha_k = \alpha_k^{(1)} \alpha_k^{(2)} \) as in the lemma above. Let \( l \leq -1 \). Then \( \alpha_{\geq k} \langle f_{l} t_y \rangle_{y} \) is given by

\[
\begin{cases} 
0 & k + l > 0 \\
\sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k} \left( \text{res}_x \left( f_{l} \left( d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) - k\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \frac{du_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}} \right) \right) \right) & k + l = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We now move on to studying the case \( k + l = 0 \), treating the two cases \( p \nmid k \) and \( p \mid k \) separately for now. Note that we have not mentioned the case \( k + l < 0 \) yet, as this will not be needed in the proof of the main theorem. The following lemmas prove non-degeneracy of the Witt pairing on the subspaces \( J_{y,\geq k} \) modulo the higher powers and the diagonal elements.

**Lemma 4.1.9.** Fix \( k \geq 1 \) with \( p \nmid k \). Then the map

\[
( \ | \ )_y : J_{y,\geq k}/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}(F_y)) \cap J_{y,\geq k}) J_{y,\geq k+1} \times t_y^{-k} k(y) \to k_y
\]

is a non-degenerate pairing of \( k_y \)-vector spaces. The induced homomorphism

\[
J_{y,\geq k}/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}(F_y)) \cap J_{y,\geq k}) J_{y,\geq k+1} \to \text{Hom}(k(y), k_y)
\]

is an isomorphism.
Proof. Let \( \alpha \geq k \in J_{y \geq k} \). By lemma 4.1.4, \( \alpha \geq k \) is uniquely determined modulo \( J_{y \geq k+1} \) by \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) \) and \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \in \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}^p \).

Further, \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) \) contains no \( p \)-powers and \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \) contains only \( p \)-powers, so the pairing becomes a pairing on the groups:

\[
(\mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}/\mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}^p \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}) \times k(y) \rightarrow k_y
\]

which maps \( (\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}), \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}), f_{-k}) \) to

\[
\sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x \left( f_{-k} \left( d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) - k\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \frac{du_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}} \right) \right))
\]

by corollary 4.1.8.

This reduces us to the classical one-dimensional case. By [42], chapter IV 2.3, the pairing

\[
\mathfrak{A}_{k(y)} \times \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y) \rightarrow k_y
\]

mapping \( (f_x, \omega_x) \) to \( \sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x(f_x \omega_x)) \) is a continuous non-degenerate pairing of vector spaces such that \( k(y)^{\perp} = \Omega^1_{k(y)} \), where \( \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y) \) is defined to be

\[
\left\{ (\omega_x)_{x \in y} \in \prod_{x \in y} \Omega^1_{k(y)_x/k_y} : v_x(\omega_x) \geq 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } x \in y \right\}.
\]

This reduces us to showing the map

\[
\mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}/\mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}^p \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}^p \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y)/\Omega^1_{k(y)}
\]

sending \( (\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}), \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y})) \) to \( d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) - k\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \frac{du_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}} \) is a surjection, with kernel the diagonal elements as characterised in lemma 4.1.5.

Let \( \omega \in \Omega^1_{k(y)_x} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y) \). Then \( \omega \) decomposes as \( P(u_{x,y})du_{x,y} \) for some \( P(u_{x,y}) \in k_y(x)((u_{x,y})) \) as \( \Omega^1_{k(y)_x} \) is a \( k_y(x) \)-module generated by \( du_{x,y} \). It is clear this decomposition can be rewritten in the required form for suitable \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}), \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \), so the map is surjective.

For the kernel, let \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}), \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \in \mathfrak{A}_{k(y)} \) and suppose \( \omega = (\omega_x)_{x \in y} \in \Omega^1_{k(y)} \) is such that

\[
\omega_x = d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) - k\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \frac{du_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}}
\]

64
for each $x \in y$.

As $\Omega^1_{k(y)}$ is a rank one $k(y)$-module, we may choose $a, b \in k(y)$ such that

$$\omega = -ka \frac{db}{b}.$$  

As in lemma 4.1.5, define $\eta(a, b) \in k(y)$ uniquely by

$$au_{x,y}b^{-1} \frac{db}{d_{x,y}} + u_{x,y} \frac{\eta_x(a,b)}{d_{x,y}} \in k(y)^p$$

for each $x \in y$. Then for each point $x$:

$$d(k\eta(a,b)) - k \left( au_{x,y}b^{-1} \frac{db}{d_{x,y}} + u_{x,y} \frac{\eta_x(a,b)}{d_{x,y}} \right) \frac{d_{x,y}}{u_{x,y}}$$

$$= d(k\eta(a,b)) - kab^{-1} db - k d\eta(a,b) = \omega.$$  

But then by the uniqueness of the decomposition of $\omega$, we have

$$\phi^{(1)}_{k,x,y}(a_{x,y}) = k\eta_x$$

and

$$\phi^{(2)}_{k,x,y}(u_{x,y}) = au_{x,y}b^{-1} \frac{db}{d_{x,y}} + u_{x,y} \frac{d\eta}{d_{x,y}}$$

for each $x \in y$, as required.

The surjection $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(A_{k(y)}, k_y) \to \text{Hom}(k(y), k_y)$ combined with the induced map $A_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y) \to \text{Hom}(A_{k(y)}, k_y)$ from the pairing above proves the required isomorphism:

$$J_{\geq k}/(\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)) \cap J_{\geq k}) J_{\geq k+1} \to A_{k(y)}/A_p^{k(y)} \oplus A_p^{k(y)}$$

$$\to A_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y) \to \text{Hom}(k(y), k_y).$$

The following lemma is similar to the above, but considers the case $p|k$. 
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Lemma 4.1.10. Fix $k \geq 1$ with $p|k$. Then the pairing

$$J_{y, \geq k} / (\Delta(K_2^{top}(F_y)) \cap J_{y, \geq k}) J_{y, \geq k+1} \times \mathcal{R}_p(k(y)) \to k_y$$

mapping $(\alpha, f_{-k})$ to $(\alpha|f_{-k}^{-1})_y$ is non-degenerate. The induced homomorphism

$$J_{y, \geq k} / (\Delta(K_2^{top}(F_y)) \cap J_{y, \geq k}) J_{y, \geq k+1} \to \text{Hom} \left( \frac{k(y)}{(k(y))^p}, k_y \right)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{\geq k} \in J_{y, \geq k}$ be uniquely determined up to $J_{y, \geq k+1}$ by $\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})$ and $\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y})$. From lemma 4.1.4, we know $\phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) = 0$ and $\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})$ contains no $p$-powers. Hence there is an isomorphism

$$J_{y, \geq k} / J_{y, \geq k+1} \to \mathbb{A}_k(k(y))/\mathbb{A}_k^p(k(y))$$

mapping $\alpha_{\geq k}$ to $(\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) mod k(y)^p)_{x \in y}$.

Lemmas 4.1.6 and 4.1.7 show it is enough to prove that the pairing

$$\mathbb{A}_k(k(y))/(\mathbb{A}_k^p(k(y)) + k(y)) \times \frac{k(y)}{(k(y))^p} \to k_y$$

sending $((\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}))_{x \in y}, f_{-k})$ to $\sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x(f_{-k} d\phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y})))$ is non-degenerate and induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{A}_k(k(y))/(\mathbb{A}_k^p(k(y)) + k(y)) \to \text{Hom} \left( \frac{k(y)}{(k(y))^p}, k_y \right).$$

Fix $s \in k(y)$ and suppose $\sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x(r_x ds)) = 0$ for all $(r_x) \in \mathbb{A}_k(k(y))$. Letting $\omega = ds \in \Omega_1^1$, from the non-degenerate pairing in the lemma above we see $\omega = 0$, i.e. $s \in k(y)^p$.

Fix $(r_x)_{x \in y} \in \mathbb{A}_k(k(y))$ and suppose $\sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x(r_x ds)) = 0$ for all $s \in k(y)$. Then:

$$\sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x(r_x ds)) = \sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x(d(r_x s) - sdr_x))$$

$$= - \sum_{x \in y} \text{Tr}_{k(x)/k_y}(\text{res}_x(sdr_x)).$$
As $k(y)^\perp = \Omega^1_{k(y)}$ with respect to the pairing in the lemma above, we have $(dr_x) \in \Omega^1_{k(y)}$. Then the commutative diagram with exact rows:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & k(y)/k(y)^p & \overset{d}{\longrightarrow} & \Omega^1_{k(y)} & \longrightarrow & \Omega^1_{k(y)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{k(y)}/\mathbb{A}_{k(y)}^p & \overset{d}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

implies $(r_x) \in k(y) + \mathbb{A}_{k(y)}^p$ as required.

Finally the continuous injections of $k_y$ vector spaces

\[k(y)/k(y)^p \hookrightarrow \Omega^1_{k(y)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y)\]

induce

\[
\mathbb{A}_{k(y)} \to \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{A}_{k(y)}(\Omega^1_y), k_y) \to \text{Hom}(\Omega^1_{k(y)}, k_y) \to \text{Hom}(k(y)/k(y)^p, k_y)
\]

where the first map is an isomorphism.

We can now use these final two lemmas to prove theorem 4.1.2.

**Proof.** $m = 1$:

Firstly we prove the pairing is non-degenerate in the second argument. Let $f = \sum_{k<0} f_k t^k_y$ be a representative of $F_y/((\text{Frob} - 1)F_y + k(y))$ and assume $(\alpha|f)_y = 0$ for all $\alpha \in J_y$. Assuming $f \neq 0$, let $l$ be the least index with $f_l \neq 0$, and let $\alpha_{-l} \in J_{y,-l}$. Then

\[0 = (\alpha_{-l}|f)_y = (\alpha_{-l}|f_l t^l_y)_y\]

and lemmas 4.1.9 and 4.1.10 show $f_l = 0$, a contradiction.

We now prove the map to the homomorphism group is an isomorphism, which will also prove non-degeneracy in the first argument. Let

\[\mu : F_y/((\text{Frob} - 1)F_y + k(y)) \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\]
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be a homomorphism. By lemma 4.1.6, \( \mu \) can be described by a family of continuous maps

\[ \mu_k : k(y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \]

sending \( f_k \) to \( \mu(f_k t^k_y) \) for each \( k < 0 \).

We will inductively construct an \( \alpha \in J_y/J_y^p \) such that

\[ (\alpha|f)_y = \mu(f) \]

for all \( f \in F_y/(Frob-1)F_y+k_y \), and such that \( \alpha \) is unique up to \( \Delta(K_2^{top}(F_y)) \cap J_y \).

For any \( \alpha \in J_y/J_y^p \), let \( \alpha_{\geq 1} \in J_{y, \geq 1} \) be the element defined by \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) \) and \( \phi_{k,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \) for \( k \geq 1 \) in the expansion of \( \alpha \), and \( \alpha_1 \) the element defined by \( \phi_{1,x,y}^{(1)}(u_{x,y}) \) and \( \phi_{1,x,y}^{(2)}(u_{x,y}) \). Inductively, define

\[ \alpha_{\geq j} = \alpha_j \alpha_{\geq j+1}. \]

By corollary 4.1.8,

\[ (\alpha|f_{-k}t_y^{-k})_y = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (\alpha_j|f_{-k}t_y^{-k})_y \]

so \( (\alpha|f)_y = \mu(f) \) for all \( f \in F_y/((Frob-1)F_y+k_y) \) if and only if

\[ (\alpha_k|f_{-k}t_y^{-k})_y = \mu_k(f_{-k}) - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (\alpha_j|f_{-k}t_y^{-k})_y \]

for all \( k \geq 1 \).

By lemma 4.1.9 and 4.1.10, there exists such an \( \alpha_k \), uniquely defined up to \( (J_{y, \geq k} \cap \Delta(K_2^{top}(F_y)))J_{y, \geq k+1} \) for each \( k \). Letting \( \alpha = \prod_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k \), we obtain the required element.

**Induction**

Suppose we have

\[ \frac{J_y}{(\Delta(K_2^{top}(F_y)) \cap J_y)J_y^p} \cong \text{Hom} \left( \frac{W_m(F_y)}{(Frob-1)W_m(F_y)+W_m(k(y))}, \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \right) \]
for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mu \in \text{Hom}(W_{m+1}(F_y)/((\text{Frob} - 1)W_{m+1}(F_y) + W_{m+1}(k(y))), \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z})$. Then if

$$\mu' : W_m(F_y)/((\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_y) + W_m(k(y))) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$$

is the map sending $(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$ to $V(\mu(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}, 0)$, where $V$ is the usual map in Witt theory $(x_0, x_1 \ldots) \mapsto (0, x_0, x_1, \ldots)$, then $\mu'$ is a homomorphism.

So we can associate $\alpha \in J_y/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}^y) \cap J_y)J_y^{p^m}$ to $\mu'$, i.e.:

$$(\alpha|f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}]_y = V(\mu(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}, 0).$$

Now, for $(0, \ldots, 0, f_m) \in W_{m+1}(F_y)/((\text{Frob} - 1)W_{m+1}(F_y) + W_{m+1}(k(y)))$, we have

$$(\alpha|0, \ldots, 0, f_m]_y = (0, \ldots, 0, (\alpha|f_m]_y) \in W_{m+1}(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

If we consider the Witt vector $(0, \ldots, 0, f_m) \in \frac{W_m(F_y)}{(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_y) + W_m(k(y))}$, then we see

$$(\alpha|0, \ldots, f_m]_y = (0, \ldots, 0, (\alpha|f_m]_y)$$

in $W_m(k_y)$. But also

$$(\alpha|0, \ldots, 0, f_m]_y = V(\mu(0, \ldots, 0, f_m)) = \mu(0, \ldots, 0, f_m)$$

(in $W_{m+1}(F_y)$), as $V$ commutes with any homomorphism of Witt vectors. This gives:

$$(\alpha|f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}, f_m]_y = (\alpha|f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}, 0]_y + (\alpha|0, \ldots, 0, f_m]_y)

= \mu(y_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}, 0) + \mu(0, \ldots, 0, f_m) = \mu(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}, f_m)$$

as required. To see that $\alpha$ is unique up to $J_{p^{m+1}}$, use proposition 1.2.3 iv.

For a singular curve $y \subset X$, we see that the above theorem holds for each irreducible component $y_i \subset y$, as the fields $F_{x,y}$ and $F_y$ depend only on the...
normalisation of $y$. So the theorem is also true for the products $J_y$, $F_y$ and $k_y$ in this case.

We next prove a similar duality theorem for the higher tame symbol, enabling us to define the tamely ramified part of the reciprocity map. Our ultimate aim is the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.11.** Fix a non-singular curve $y \subset X$ and define $\mathfrak{J}_y$ to be the ring generated by the subgroup of the $K$-groups

$$
\prod_{x \in y} \left\{ k_y(x), u_{x,y} \right\} \times \left\{ k_y(x), t_y \right\} \times \left\{ u_{x,y}, t_y \right\},
$$

i.e. the elements of $\mathfrak{J}_y$ with either one entry in the constant field and one entry a local parameter for either $y$ or some $x \in y$, or both entries the local parameters for $y$ and some $x \in y$. The restricted product is because $\mathfrak{J}_y$ is a subgroup of $J_y$. Then the global higher tame pairing on

$$
\mathfrak{J}_y / (\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_y)) \cap \mathfrak{J}_y) \mathfrak{J}_y^{q-1} \times F_y^\times / (F_y^\times)^{q-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times
$$

is continuous and non-degenerate.

By the reciprocity law 3.3.3, we know that the intersection with $K_{\text{top}}^2(F_y)$ is contained in the kernel of the left hand side of the pairing. Proceeding in a similar way to the method used for the Witt pairing, we will look at the structure of the group on the left hand side and prove non-degeneracy by a combinatorial argument. It may be noted that the higher tame pairing requires only linear algebraic methods to understand, and so the argument will be much more simple than in the case of the Witt pairing, as the $p$-part of the reciprocity map is harder to understand.

We briefly recall Parshin’s argument from [33, 3.1.3], that is, the proof of duality for a single higher local field. In our language, we fix a point $x$ and just discuss the case of a two-dimensional local field. Fix a $(q - 1)^{th}$ root of
unity $\zeta \in F_{x,y}$ so that the left hand side of the pairing is generated by the elements

$$\{\zeta, u_{x,y}\}, \{\zeta, t_y\} \text{ and } \{u_{x,z}, t_y\}.$$ 

The higher tame pairing takes non-zero values when the above elements are paired with $t_y, u_{x,y}$ and $\zeta$ respectively. But these three elements generate the group $F_{x,y}^\times/(F_{x,y}^\times)^{q-1}$, which in the local case is the right hand side of the pairing, as required.

**Lemma 4.1.12.** Fix a $(q - 1)^{\text{th}}$ root of unity $\zeta \in F_y$. Then the group $F_y^\times/(F_y^\times)^{q-1}$ is generated by the elements

$$\zeta, t_y, \text{ and for each } x \in y, u_{x,y}.$$ 

**Proof.** It is well known that the first residue field, isomorphic to a one-dimensional global field $\mathbb{F}_q(u)$, has multiplicative group generated by $\zeta$ and the primes of the field. These primes are in bijective correspondence with the points $x \in y$ and can be represented by the equations $u_{x,y} \in F_y$.

Then under the isomorphism $F_y \cong \mathbb{F}_q(u)((t_y))$, it is clear from the theory of complete fields that we need only to include $t_y$ to generate the multiplicative group $F_y^\times$. All of these elements have order $q - 1$ in the quotient group, so they also generate $F_y^\times/(F_y^\times)^{q-1}$. \qed

Now we examine the structure of the elements of the groups $\mathcal{J}_y$ and $\mathcal{J}_y / (\Delta(K_2^\text{top}(F_y)) \cap \mathcal{J}_y)^{q-1}$ which will give non-zero values when paired with elements of the form in the above lemma.

The non-degeneracy on the right hand side of the pairing with $\mathcal{J}_y$ is easy to see. Pairing:

1. the root of unity $\zeta$ with an element of $\mathcal{J}_y$ with $\{u_{x,y}, t_y\}$ in the $x$-position and trivial everywhere else;
2. the local parameter $t_y$ with an element with $\{\zeta, u_{x,y}\}$ at the $x$-position and trivial everywhere else;

3. any parameter $u_{x,y}$ with the element with $\{\zeta, t_y\}$ at the $x$-position and trivial everywhere else

all yield non-zero values. We must check that these remain non-zero when we quotient by the diagonal elements, and prove non-degeneracy on the left hand side.

In the following lemma, and throughout the rest of the section, we will refer to the “point at infinity”. By this, we mean the following: let $k(y)$ be isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{F}_q(u)$. Then the element $1/u$ must be considered as a prime element, and taken into account when we prove reciprocity laws. We will refer to this point of the curve $y$ as the point at infinity. See [42] for more details of this definition from the classical theory.

**Lemma 4.1.13.** Let $\alpha \in \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)) \cap J_y$. Then $\alpha$ is a product of elements of the form:

1. $\{u_{x,y}, t_y\}$ in the $x$-position and the position corresponding to the point at infinity, ones everywhere else;

2. $\{\zeta, u_{x,y}\}$ in the $x$-position and the position corresponding to the point at infinity, ones everywhere else;

3. $\{\zeta, t_y\}$ in every position.

**Proof.** Lemma 4.1.3 gives us four types of elements that appear in $K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)$, but the elements of types 3 and 4 contain principal units and hence are not in the group when intersected with $J_y$. So we are left with the diagonal embeddings of elements of types 1 and 2, i.e. $\{a, t_y\}$ and $\{a, b\}$ where $a$ and $b$ are lifts of elements in $k(y)^\times$.

We can decompose the elements of $k(y)^\times \cong k_y(u)^\times$ as products of elements of $k_y^\times$ and primes which may be represented as parameters $u_{x,y}$. Then we
can restrict to elements of type \(\{\zeta, t_y\}\) and \(\{u_{x,y}, t_y\}\) from the first type of element, and \(\{\zeta, u_{x,y}\}\) from the second type - we know that \(K_2^{\text{top}}(k_y) = 0\) and \(\{u_{x,y}, u_{x,y}\} = \{-1, u_{x,y}\}\), so these are the only elements of the second type.

So we now investigate the diagonal elements of each of these types of elements. The elements of \(\mathfrak{J}_y\) with \(\{\zeta, t_y\}\) at every place cannot be reduced into a more simple form, so this is the third type of element in the list above.

The elements of type \(\{\zeta, u_{x,y}\}\) will take this form at the \(x\)-position and the point at infinity, but at other positions the parameter \(u_{x,y}\) can be decomposed as a product of principal units and elements of \(k_y\), as it is not a prime at these points. But these elements are all either trivial in the topological \(K\)-group or not in the intersection with \(\mathfrak{J}_y\), so we are left with an element of type 2.

The elements of type \(\{u_{x,y}, t_y\}\) will take this form at the \(x\)-position and the point at infinity. At the other positions, \(u_{x,y}\) is not a prime and so can be decomposed as a product of principal units and elements of \(k_y\). We can renormalise the other local parameters \(u_{x',y}\), where \(x \neq x'\), so that \(u_{x,y}\) decomposes just as a principal unit in each place. Then when we take the intersection with \(\mathfrak{J}_y\), these elements are all trivial and only the element of type one remains.

We use this simple form of elements in the diagonal embedding of \(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)\) to study the elements in the quotient.

**Lemma 4.1.14.** Let \(\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_y/(\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y)) \cap \mathfrak{J}_yJ_y^{y-1})\). Then \(\alpha\) can be written as a finite product of elements of the form:

1. \(\{u_{x,z}, t_y\}\) in the position corresponding to the point at infinity, for some \(x \in y\), and trivial in every other position;
2. \(\{a, u_{x,y}\}\) in the position corresponding to the point at infinity, for some \(x \in y\) and \(a \in k_y\), and trivial in every other position;
3. An element \(\{a_x, t_y\}\) in any \(x\)-position, where \(a_x \in k_y\).
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Proof. From our definition of $J_y$ in 4.1.11, we know any element of $J_y$ is a product of elements $\{u_{x,y}, t_y\}$, $\{a, u_{x,y}\}$ and $\{a, t_y\}$ where $x$ runs through the closed points of $y$. We prove that when quotienting by the diagonal elements, these generators take the above form.

Firstly, for an element with $\{u_{x,y}, t_y\}$ in the $x$-position, we multiply by the element of $\Delta(K_2^{top}(F_y))$ with $\{u_{x, z}^{q-2}, t_y\}$ in the $x$-position and the point at infinity to obtain an element which is a product of those of type one in the lemma.

For an element with $\{b, u_{x,y}\}$ in the $x$-position, where $b \in k_y(x)$, we must do slightly more work. If $b \in k_y$, similarly to the above we can just multiply by the element with $\{b, u_{x,y}^{q-2}\}$ in the $x$-position and the point at infinity to obtain an element which is a product of elements of type two. But if $b \in k_y(x) \setminus k_y$ this will not work.

We know that the higher tame symbol will take the value $N_{k_y(x)/k_y}(b)$ when the element $\{b, u_{x,y}\}$ is paired with $t_y$ - and that this is true for all conjugates of $b$ in the extension $k_y(x)/k_y$. So if we can show that $b$ is equivalent to its norm in the quotient, then we may replace $b$ with $N_{k_y(x)/k_y}(b) \in k_y$ and proceed as above.

Let $k_y$ have size $q$ and $k_y(x)$ have size $q^n$. Then the Galois group of the extension $k_y(x)/k_y$ is generated by the map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^q$. So our element $b$ differs from each of its conjugates $b^q, b^{q^2}, \ldots$ by a $(q - 1)^{th}$-power. Hence it differs from its norm $N_{k_y(x)/k_y}(b) = b^{q^n}b^{q^2} \ldots$, a product of $n$ terms, by a $(q - 1)^{th}$-power also. So these elements are all products of elements of the second type.

For an element made up of symbols $\{b_x, t_y\}$ in each $x$-position, $b_x \in k_y(x)$, we may use the above method to show $b_x$ is equivalent to $a_x = N_{k_y(x)/k_y}(b_x) \in k_y$.

Then an element containing entries only of this type is an element of the third type, as required.

The product is finite because of the intersection with the adelic group. \qed
We can now complete the proof of theorem 4.1.11. Following Parshin’s local approach detailed above, we provide each of the generators of \( F_y^\times/(F_y^\times)^{q-1} \) with exactly one of the generators of \( \mathfrak{J}_y/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}(F_y))\cap \mathfrak{J}_y)\mathfrak{J}_y^{q-1} \) with which it has a non-zero value when the higher tame pairing is applied.

Let \( \zeta \) be a primitive \((q - 1)^{th}\) root of unity in \( F_y \), i.e. a lift of a generator of \( k_y \). Then as above, \( F_y \) is generated by \( \zeta, t_y \) and a local parameter \( u_{x,y} \) for each \( x \in y \).

We pair \( \zeta \) with the element of \( \mathfrak{J}_y/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}(F_y))\cap \mathfrak{J}_y)\mathfrak{J}_y^{q-1} \) with \( \{u_{x,y}, t_y\} \) at the position corresponding to the point at infinity for some \( x \in y \), and trivial everywhere else. This is independent of the choice of \( x \in y \): the value of the tame pairing depends on the valuation of \( u_{x,y} \), which here is related to the degree of the polynomial \( u_{x,y} \) when written as a polynomial in a fixed variable \( u \). So the non-trivial case is when \( u_{x,y} \) has degree greater than one, which coincides with the case \( k_y(x) \neq k_y \).

Let \( v_{x,y} \) be the linear factor of \( u_{x,y} \) corresponding to the valuation on \( F_{x,y} \). Now, as discussed in the above proof, in the \( x \)-position the element \( u_{x,y} = N_{k_y(x)/k_y}(v_{x,y}) \) differs from the factor \( v_{x,y} \) by a \((q - 1)^{th}\)-power. So again, modulo the power of \((q - 1)\), the pairings have the same value in this position, and hence also when shifted to the point at infinity.

We pair the parameter \( t_y \) with the element of \( \mathfrak{J}_y/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}(F_y))\cap \mathfrak{J}_y)\mathfrak{J}_y^{q-1} \) with \( \{\zeta, u_{x,y}\} \) at the point at infinity for some \( x \in y \) and trivial everywhere else. As above, this is independent of our choice of \( x \in y \).

Finally, for each \( x \in y \), we pair the parameter \( u_{x,y} \) with the element of \( \mathfrak{J}_y/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}(F_y))\cap \mathfrak{J}_y)\mathfrak{J}_y^{q-1} \) with \( \{\zeta, t_y\} \) in the \( x \)-position and trivial everywhere else.

For a singular curve \( y \subset X \), we may use the above construction for each irreducible component \( y_i \) of \( y \) which will induce a non-degenerate pairing on the groups \( F_{y_i}^\times \) and \( \mathfrak{J}_y/(\Delta(K_{2,\text{top}}(F_y))\cap \mathfrak{J}_y)\mathfrak{J}_y^{q-1} \), both direct sums over \( y_i \subset y \), where the case for \( y_i \) again follows as the fields involved depend only on the
normalisation of the curves.

We will now construct the reciprocity map for a product of complete discrete valuation fields over a global field, associated to a curve on an arithmetic surface. We recall the argument from section 2.1, which constructs homomorphisms from the $K$-groups to the absolute abelian Galois group, using the Witt and higher tame symbols. Hence we obtain a well-defined map, using the product of the Witt and higher tame symbols:

$$\prod'_{x\in y} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \to \text{Gal}(F_{y}^{ab}/F_{y}).$$

In addition, we must define the unramified part of the reciprocity map. The unramified closure of the field $F$ is the field generated by $F$ and $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$, and its Galois group is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, generated by the Frobenius automorphism of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$, $\text{Frob}$.

**Definition 4.1.15.** Let $\delta : K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \to K_{1}^{\text{top}}(\bar{F}_{x,y})$ be the boundary homomorphism of K-theory. We define the map

$$Un_{x,y} : K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

by

$$\{\alpha, \beta\} \mapsto \text{Frob}^{v_{\bar{F}_{x,y}}(\delta(\{\alpha, \beta\})},$$

where $v_{\bar{F}_{x,y}}$ is the valuation map of the local field $\bar{F}_{x,y}$.

We define $Un_{y}$ to be the product of the $Un_{x,y}$. Note that this product is well-defined on the adelic group $\prod'_{x\in y} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})$, as for all but finitely many $x \in y$, the component $\{\alpha_{x,y}, \beta_{x,y}\}$ is in $K_{2}^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y})$ and the value of $\delta(\{\alpha_{x,y}, \beta_{x,y}\})$ is 1.

The unramified part of the map also obeys the reciprocity law: it follows straight from the reciprocity law for $k(y)$. So we may define the product of all three maps

$$\prod'_{x\in y} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \to \text{Gal}(F_{y}^{ab}/F_{y}).$$
Define
\[ \psi_{y} : \prod_{x \in y} K_{x,y}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F_y) \]
as the product of the $\phi_{x,y}(L)$.

**Lemma 4.1.16.** Let $L/F_y$ be a finite abelian extension. Then for almost all $x \in y$, we have $\phi_{x,y}(L) = 1$, and hence $\phi_y$ is a continuous homomorphism.

**Proof.** By [33] section four, it is sufficient to prove the lemma in the three cases $L = F_y(\gamma)$, $L/F_y$ an Artin-Schreier extension with $\gamma^p - \gamma = \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in F_y$, $L = F_y(\beta)$ is a Kummer extension where $\beta^l = \delta$ for some $l|q - 1$ and $\delta \in F_y$, and $L/F_y$ is unramified.

This is sufficient as the abelian closure, $F_y^{ab}/F_y$ is generated by the maximal unramified extension, the maximal ramified and prime to $p$ extension, and the maximal $p$-extension. These three types of extension are disjoint, except for the unramified $p$-extension, where the maps are compatible.

For the first case, the local residue symbol is described by the relation
\[ \phi_{x,y}(w_{x,y})(z) = (w_{x,y}|\alpha)_{x,y}(z) \]
for $w_{x,y} \in K_{x,y}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})$ and we know this is zero for almost all $x \in y$ from lemma 3.2.2 above.

For the Kummer extension, the local residue symbol is described by the relation
\[ \phi_{x,y}(w_{x,y})(z) = (w_{x,y},\delta)_{x,y} \]
and similarly we know this is trivial for almost all $x \in y$ by lemma 3.3.2. The comment below definition 5.1.12 proves the lemma in the unramified case.

The continuity of the reciprocity map follows, as the preimage of any open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(F_y^{ab}/F_y)$ has only finitely many non-zero elements of $J_y$.

But from the definition of the topology, this is exactly what is required in the direct sum and product topology. \qed
From [33] section four, we see that these maps are compatible for different abelian extensions $L/F_y$, so we have a continuous homomorphism

$$\phi_y : \prod_{x \in y} K_{2}^{top}(O_{x,y}) \to \text{Gal}(F_{y}^{ab}/F_y).$$

We now prove the main theorem of this section. Recall that the restricted product of the groups $K_{2}^{top}(O_{x,y})$ is given by the intersection of the product with the adelic group $\mathbb{A}_X$.

**Theorem 4.1.17.** Let $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ be a regular projective surface and $y \subset X$ an irreducible curve. Then the continuous map

$$\phi_y : \prod_{x \in y} K_{2}^{top}(O_{x,y}) \to \text{Gal}(F_{y}^{ab}/F_y)$$

is injective with dense image and satisfies:

1. $\phi_y$ depends only on $F_y$, not on the choice of model of $X$;

2. For any finite abelian extension $L/F_y$, the following sequence is exact:

$$\frac{\prod_{x' \neq x \in y', \pi(x') = x} K_{2}^{top}(O_{x',y'})}{\Delta(K_{2}^{top}(L)) \cap \prod_{x' \neq x \in y', \pi(x') = x} K_{2}^{top}(O_{x',y'})} \xrightarrow{N} \mathcal{J}_y / \Delta(K_{2}^{top}(F_y)) \cap \mathcal{J}_y \xrightarrow{\phi_y} \text{Gal}(L/F_y) \rightarrow 0.$$

3. For any finite separable extension $L/F_y$, the following diagrams commute:

$$\mathcal{J}_L / \Delta(K_{2}^{top}(L)) \xrightarrow{\phi_L} \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_y / \Delta(K_{2}^{top}(F_y)) \xrightarrow{\phi_y} \text{Gal}(F_{y}^{ab}/F_y)$$

where $V$ is the group transfer map, and

$$\mathcal{J}_L / \Delta(K_{2}^{top}(L)) \xrightarrow{N} \mathcal{J}_y / \Delta(K_{2}^{top}(F_y)) \xrightarrow{\phi_y} \text{Gal}(F_{y}^{ab}/F_y).$$

78
Proof. By propositions 3.2.3 and 3.3.3, we know $\phi_y(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y))$ is trivial in the absolute abelian Galois group. We again separate into the three cases of an Artin-Schreier extension, a Kummer extension, and an unramified extension.

For the unramified extension, the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
J_y/\Delta(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)) & \to \prod_{x \in y} k(y)_x^\times / k(y)^\times \\
\phi_y & \downarrow \\
\text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}_y / F_y) & \to \text{Gal}(k(y)^{\text{ab}} / k(y))
\end{align*}
$$

and the fact that the right vertical map is injective with dense image show the left map is injective and has dense image.

Artin-Schreier-Witt duality and theorem 4.1.2 induce the isomorphism

$$
J_y/(\Delta(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)) \cap J_y)J^m_y \to G^{\text{wr}} / (G^{\text{wr}})^m
$$

and passing to the projective limit gives the decomposition

$$
J_y/(\Delta(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)) \cap J_y) \to \lim_{\leftarrow} J_y/(\Delta(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)) \cap J_y)J^m_y \cong G^{\text{wr}}
$$

and hence the wildly ramified part of $\phi_y$ has dense image.

To show $\phi_y$ is injective, we must show

$$
\cap_m (\Delta(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)) \cap J_y)J^m_y = \Delta(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)) \cap J_y.
$$

Now, for each $x \in y$ we have $\cap_m K^{\text{top}}_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, p_{x,y})^{m} = \{1\}$ - see [33, Section 2, Lemma 3] - and hence this is true for the adelic product also. Hence the above equality holds, and so the wildly ramified part of the map in the projective limit is injective.

We now study the tamely ramified part of the reciprocity map. Kummer duality and theorem 4.1.11 induce the isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{J}_y/(\Delta(K^{\text{top}}_2(F_y)) \cap \mathcal{J}_y)\mathcal{J}^{q-1}_y \to G^{\text{tr}}
$$

showing that this part of the map is injective with dense image also.
Finally, noting that \( \prod_{x \in y} K_2^{\text{top}}(O_{x,y}) / K_2^{\text{top}}(F_y) \cap \prod_{x \in y} K_2^{\text{top}}(O_{x,y}) = J_y \times J_y \)
and that the Galois group the Witt and Kummer dualities generate is isomorphic to \( \text{Gal}(F_y^{ab} / F_y) / \text{Gal}(F_y^{unram} / F_y) \), we see that the whole reciprocity map is injective and has dense image.

For the remaining properties, 1 follows from theorem 3.1.4. For 2, consider the commutative diagram with exact lower row:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\prod' K_2^{\text{top}}(L_x) & \xrightarrow{N} & \prod' K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_y} & \text{Gal}(L/F_y) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) \cap \prod' K_2^{\text{top}}(L_x) & \xrightarrow{\phi_L} & \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})) \cap \prod' K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_y} & \text{Gal}(L/F_y) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
\]

The exactness of the upper row follows from the fact that the image of the norm map \( N \) is closed [9, section 6] and that the images of the first two vertical maps are dense.

The commutative diagrams follow straight from the corresponding local properties - see [33] - without the factorisation by the diagonal elements, and then the reciprocity laws from 3.2.3 and 3.3.3 prove them with the factorisation. \( \square \)
5 Class Field Theory of Arithmetic Two-Dimensional Local Rings

We will now fix a point \( x \in X \) and study a ring of the type \( F_x \) described in definition 2.1.1 part 3. As in the preceding section, we will first study the Witt pairing for the wildly ramified part of the class field theory, then the higher tame pairing for the tamely ramified part.

**Definition 5.1.1.** Define \( J_x := \prod_{y \ni x} K_2^{top}(O_{x,y}, \mathfrak{m}_{x,y}) \).

This group will be related to the Witt symbol, and is the analogue of \( J_y \) in the preceding section. Note that the product is finite, so need not be a restricted product.

Firstly we will consider the case where our point \( x \in X \) satisfies condition \( \dagger \):

"\( x \in X \) has strictly normal crossings, i.e. all intersections are transversal and \( k_y(x) = k(x) \) for all \( y \ni x \)."

We let the point \( x \) lie on two curves, defined by parameters \( u \) and \( t \), so that the two dimensional local fields associated to \( x \) are:

\[
F_{u,t} := k((x)(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{t,u} := k(x)((t)u).
\]

We aim to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.1.2.** Fix a point \( x \in X \). Then the pairing

\[
\frac{J_x}{\Delta(K_2^{top}(F_x) \cap J_x)J_x^{p_m}} \times \frac{W_m(F_x)}{(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_x)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}
\]

is continuous and non-degenerate for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). The induced homomorphism from \( J_x/(\Delta(K_2^{top}(F_x) \cap J_x)J_x^{p_m}) \) to

\[
\text{Hom}\left( \frac{W_m(F_x)}{(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_x)}, \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \right)
\]

is a topological isomorphism.
We will prove this theorem in case † and then prove we can always reduce to this case.
We begin with some lemmas on the structure of the $K$-groups similar to the lemmas in the preceding section.

**Lemma 5.1.3.** Fix $x \in X$, and let $u, t$ generate the maximal ideal of $\hat{O}_{X,x}$. Let $y, y'$ run through the local irreducible curves in a neighbourhood of $x$ such that $\text{Spec}(O_{X,x}) \cap y$ (resp. $y'$) determines a curve in a neighbourhood of $x$ with equation $t_y$ (resp. $t_{y'}$). Then $K^\text{top}_2(F_x)$ is generated by symbols of the form:

1. $\{t_y, t_{y'}\}$
2. $\{a, t_y\}$ with $a \in k(x)^\times$
3. $\{1 + au^it^j, t_y\}$ with $a \in k(x)^\times, i, j \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Let $E_x$ be the group generated by the elements

$$\{a \in \hat{O}_{X,x}^\times : a \equiv 1 \mod p_x\} = \{1 + bu^it^j : b \in k(x)^\times, i, j \geq 1\}.$$  

Then we can decompose the multiplicative group as

$$F_x^\times = E_x \times k(x)^\times \times \bigoplus_y <t_y>$$

where the direct sum is taken over the curves as in the statement of the lemma. This is because the irreducible curves in a neighbourhood of $x$ define a prime ideal of height one in $O_{X,x}$ generated by the equation $t_y$, and it is easy to see that these are exactly the part of $F_x^\times$ not contained in the group generated by the constants and the principal units of $\hat{O}_{X,x}$. Once we have this decomposition, the lemma follows exactly as in the curve case 4.1.3. □

**Lemma 5.1.4.** Let $\alpha \in J_x$ with $x \in X$ satisfying †. Then $\alpha$ is a product of symbols:

$$\text{in } F_{u,t} : \begin{cases} \{1 + a_{i,j}u^it^j, t\} & p \nmid i; \\ \{1 + b_{i,j}u^it^j, u\} & p | i, \text{ and if } p | j, b_{i,j} = 0; \end{cases}$$
in $F_{t,u}$:

\[
\begin{cases}
{1 + a_{i,j}t^iu^j, t} & p \mid j \text{ and if } p \mid i, \ a_{i,j} = 0; \\
{1 + b_{i,j}t^ju^i, u} & p \nmid j;
\end{cases}
\]

for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from theorem 1.1.6 and the fact that for $x \in X$ satisfying $\dagger$, the product $\prod_{y \in X} K_2^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, \mathfrak{m}_{x,y})$ becomes the product of elements of types 4 and 5 in theorem 1.1.6 for the fields $F_{u,t}$ and $F_{t,u}$. □

Let $z = c_{i,j}u^{-i}t^{-j} \in W_m(F_x)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_x)$. Notice that exactly as in lemma 4.1.6, at least one of the pair $(i, j)$ must be greater than zero. Similarly to the case of a fixed curve, we will look at the values of the pairing for a pair $(i, j)$ and distinguishing the cases depending on whether $i$ or $j$ is divisible by $p$.

**Lemma 5.1.5.** Suppose $p \nmid i$, $p \mid j$ and let $\alpha = (\{1 + a_{i,j}u^it^j, t\}, \{1 + b_{i,j}t^iu^j, u\}) \in J_x$ and $z = cu^kt^l \in F_x/(\text{Frob} - 1)F_x$. Then

\[
(\alpha|z)_x = \begin{cases}
\frac{ic(a_{i,j} - b_{i,j})}{i + k = 0, \ j + k = 0} & i + k > 0 \text{ and } j + l > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Symmetrically, if $p \mid i$ and $p \nmid j$, let $\beta = (\{1 + a'_{i,j}u^it^j, u\}, \{1 + b'_{i,j}t^iu^j, u\})$, then

\[
(\beta|z)_x = \begin{cases}
\frac{j(c(b'_{i,j} - a'_{i,j})}{i + k = 0, \ j + l = 0} & i + k > 0 \text{ and } j + l > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. This is a simple calculation of residues, following as in lemma 4.1.7. □

**Lemma 5.1.6.** Suppose $p \nmid i, j$. Let $\alpha = (\{1 + a_{i,j}u^it^j, t\}, \{1 + b_{i,j}t^iu^j, u\}) \in J_x$ and $z = cu^kt^l \in F_x/(\text{Frob} - 1)F_x$. Then

\[
(\alpha|z)_x = \begin{cases}
\frac{c(ia_{i,j} + jb_{i,j})}{i + k = 0, \ j + l = 0} & i + k > 0 \text{ and } j + l > 0.
\end{cases}
\]
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Proof. As for lemma 5.1.5.

For \((i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2\), define \(J_{x, \geq i,j}\) to be the set of elements with both \(a_{k,l}\) and \(b_{k,l}\) equal to zero for all \(k < i, l < j\), when expressed as a product of elements of the form given in lemma 5.1.4.

Lemma 5.1.7. Let \(x \in X\) satisfy \(\dagger\) and fix \((i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2\) with \(p \nmid i, p \mid j\). Then the map

\[
(\mid )_x : \frac{J_{x, \geq i,j}}{(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap J_{x, \geq i+1,j}; J_{x, \geq i,j+1})} \times u^{-i}t^{-j}k(x) \rightarrow k(x)
\]

is a non-degenerate pairing of \(k(x)\)-vector spaces. The induced homomorphism

\[
\frac{J_{x, \geq i,j}}{(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap J_{x, \geq i+1,j}; J_{x, \geq i,j+1})} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(k(x), k(x)) \cong k(x)
\]

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let \(\alpha_{\geq i,j} \in J_{x, \geq i,j}\). By lemma 5.1.4, \(\alpha_{\geq i,j}\) is uniquely determined modulo \(J_{x, \geq i+1,j}; J_{x, \geq i,j+1}\) by the pair \((a_{i,j}, b_{i,j})\), where \(\alpha_{\geq i,j}\) is represented by \((\{1 + a_{i,j}u^{t^j}, t\}, \{1 + b_{i,j}t^ju^t, t\})\).

Let \(z = c_{i,j}u^{-i}t^{-j}\), and suppose \((\alpha_{\geq i,j} | z)_x = 0\). Then by lemma 5.1.5, \(ic_{i,j}(a_{i,j} - b_{i,j}) = 0\). Since we are assuming \(z \notin (\text{Frob}^{-1})F_x\) and \(\alpha_{\geq i,j} \neq 0\), we must have \(a_{i,j} = b_{i,j}\). Hence \(\alpha_{\geq i,j} \in \Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap J_{x, \geq i,j}\), and the pairing is non-degenerate.

The isomorphism to the homomorphism group follows easily, as the left hand side is obviously isomorphic to \(k(x)\).

The case \((i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2, p \nmid j, p \mid i\) is identical to the above lemma.

Lemma 5.1.8. Let \(x \in X\) satisfy \(\dagger\) and fix \((i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2\) with \(p \nmid i, j\). Then the map

\[
(\mid )_x : \frac{J_{x, \geq i,j}}{(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap J_{x, \geq i+1,j}; J_{x, \geq i,j+1})} \times u^{-i}t^{-j}k(x) \rightarrow k(x)
\]

is an isomorphism.
is a non-degenerate pairing of $k(x)$-vector spaces. The induced homomorphism

$$J_{x, i,j} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(k(x), k(x)) \cong k(x)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. As in the proof of lemma 5.1.7, $\alpha_{i,j} \in J_{x, i,j}$ is uniquely determined modulo $J_{x, i+1,j} \cdot J_{x, i,j+1}$ by $(a_{i,j}, b_{i,j})$ and represented by $(\{1 + a_{i,j}u^i t^j, t\}, \{1 + b_{i,j} u^i t^j, u\})$.

Let $z = c_{i,j} u^{-i} t^{-j}$, so that by lemma 5.1.6 we have

$$(\alpha_{i,j} | z)_x = c_{i,j} (ia_{i,j} + jb_{i,j}).$$

Suppose $(\alpha_{i,j} | z)_x = 0$. As in the lemma above, we must then have $jb_{i,j} = -ia_{i,j}$, i.e.

$$\alpha_{i,j} = (\{1 + a_{i,j} u^i t^j, t\}, \{1 - (i^{-1} j) a_{i,j} t^j u^i, u\}).$$

We use the $K$-group identity from ??

$$\{1 - ivt^j u^i, u\} \equiv \{1 + jvu^i t^j, t\} \mod K_2^{top}(O_{t,u}, p_{t,u}).$$

So $\alpha_{i,j}$ can be represented by:

$$(\{1 + a_{i,j} u^i t^j, t\}, \{1 + a_{i,j} u^i t^j, t\}) \in \Delta(K_2^{top}(F_x)) \cap J_{x, i,j}.$$

Hence the pairing is non-degenerate and the proof ends exactly as in lemma 5.1.7.

We now proceed to the proof of theorem 5.1.2, in the case $x$ satisfies hypothesis †.

Proof. $m=1$: We first prove non-degeneracy in the second argument. Let $z = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} u^i t^j$ be a representative of $F_x/(\text{Frob} - 1)F_x$, and suppose $(\alpha | z)_x = 0$ for all $\alpha \in J_x$. Assume $z \neq 0$ and let $(i, j)$ be the least index with $c_{i,j} \neq 0$.
ordered lexicographically.
Let \( \alpha_{-i,-j} \in J_{x,-i,-j} \). Then

\[
0 = (\alpha_{-i,-j}|z)_x = (\alpha_{-i,-j}|c_{i,j}u^it^j)_x
\]

by lemmas 5.1.5 and 5.1.6, but also by these lemmas, this is a contradiction.
Hence the pairing is non-degenerate in the right argument.
Now let

\[
\mu : F/(Frob - 1)F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}
\]

be a homomorphism. We describe \( \mu \) via a family of homomorphisms

\[
\mu_{i,j} : k(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}
\]

mapping \( c_{i,j} \) to \( \mu(c_{i,j}u^it^j) \) for each \((i,j)\) not both greater than zero.
We will construct an \( \alpha \in J_{x}/J_{x}^p \) such that

\[
(\alpha|z)_x = \mu(z)
\]

for all \( z \in F/(Frob - 1)F \) and such that \( \alpha \) is unique up to \( \Delta(K_{top}(F)) \cap J_x \).
Similarly to the proof of theorem 4.1.2, for \( \alpha \in J_{x}/J_{x}^p \) we inductively define

\[
\alpha_{>i,j} = \alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{\geq i+1,j}\alpha_{\geq i,j+1}
\]

and \( \alpha_{1,1} \) the element defined by \( a_{1,1} \) and \( b_{1,1} \) in our expansion of \( \alpha \) as a product of elements of the form given in lemma 5.1.4.
By lemmas 5.1.5 and 5.1.6, we have

\[
(\alpha|c_{-i,-j}u^{-i}t^{-j})_x = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i, 1 \leq l \leq j} (\alpha_{k,l}|c_{-i,-j}u^{-i}t^{-j})_x
\]

and so \( (\alpha|z)_x = \mu(z) \) for all \( z \in F/(Frob - 1)F \) if and only if

\[
(\alpha_{i,j}|c_{-i,-j}u^{-i}t^{-j})_x = \mu_{i,j}(c_{-i,-j}) - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq i, 1 \leq l \leq j} (\alpha_{k,l}|c_{-i,-j}u^{-i}t^{-j})_x
\]

for all pairs \((i,j)\) not both less than zero.
Now by lemmas 5.1.7 and 5.1.8, there does exist such an \( \alpha_{i,j} \) for each pair
\((i,j)\), uniquely defined up to \((\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_{x,\geq i,j}) \cdot J_{x,\geq i+1,j} \cdot J_{x,\geq i,j+1}\). So let \(\alpha = \prod \alpha_{i,j}\), and we have the required element. Hence the proof is complete for \(m = 1\).

The induction follows exactly in the proof of theorem 4.1.2. \(\square\)

We now study the global higher tame pairing for a fixed point on the surface \(X\). We first define \(J_x\) to be the ring generated by

\[
\prod_{y \ni x} \{k(x), t_y\} \times \prod_{y,y' \ni x, y' \neq y} \{t_y, t_{y'}\}.
\]

We will proceed very similarly to the case of a fixed curve, aiming to prove the theorem below.

**Theorem 5.1.9.** Fix a point \(x \in X\), and let \(k(x)\), the residue field at \(x\), be a finite field of size \(q\). Then the global higher tame pairing on

\[
J_x/(\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x)J_x^{q-1} \times F^\times_x/(F^\times_x)^{q-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times
\]

is continuous and non-degenerate.

As above for the Witt pairing, we will apply condition † at first. We will again use a combinatorial argument to give each generator of \(F^\times_x/(F^\times_x)^{q-1}\) exactly one generator of the quotient group \(J_x/(\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x)J_x^{q-1}\) with which it has a non-zero value when the higher tame pairing is applied.

From the description of \(F^\times_x\) in lemma 5.1.3, we see that \(F^\times_x/(F^\times_x)^{q-1}\) is generated by a \((q - 1)\)th root of unity \(\zeta \in k(x)\) and a local parameter \(t_y\) for each \(y\) passing through \(x\) - with condition †, we will have just two such local parameters \(u\) and \(t\). We study the elements in the diagonal embedding of \(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)\), and use this to study the generators of the quotient group.

**Lemma 5.1.10.** Let \(\alpha \in \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x\), where \(x \in X\) satisfies condition †. Then \(\alpha\) is a product of elements of the form:

1. \(\{\zeta, u\}, \{\zeta, u\}\);
2. \( (\{\zeta, t\}, \{\zeta, t\}) \);

3. \( (\{u, t\}, \{u, t\}) \).

Proof. As \( x \) satisfies \( \dagger \), we know by lemma 5.1.3 that \( K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x) \) is generated by the elements \( \{\zeta, u\}, \{\zeta, t\} \) and \( \{u, t\} \), and the principal units which we do not need to consider here. Embedding each of these elements diagonally into \( \mathfrak{J}_x \), we get elements which are non-trivial in both local fields \( F_{u,t} \) and \( F_{t,u} \) and can still be written in this form.

Lemma 5.1.11. Let \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_x/(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap \mathfrak{J}_x)\mathfrak{J}_x^{q-2} \), where \( x \in X \) satisfies condition \( \dagger \). Then \( \alpha \) can be written as a product of elements on the form:

1. \( (\{\zeta, u\}, 1) \);

2. \( (\{\zeta, t\}, 1) \);

3. \( (\{u, t\}, 1) \).

Proof. Any element with non-trivial entries only in the first column clearly satisfies the lemma because of the structure of the topological \( K \)-groups of a higher local field. So suppose \( \alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \) for some elements \( \beta \in K_{\text{top}}^2(F_{u,t}) \) and \( \gamma \in K_{\text{top}}^2(F_{t,u}) \). Then multiplying by the element \( (\gamma, \gamma)^{q-2} \in \Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap \mathfrak{J}_x \) gives us the element \( (\beta \gamma, 1) \in \mathfrak{J}_x/(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap \mathfrak{J}_x)\mathfrak{J}_x^{q-1} \), which is a product of elements of types 1, 2 and 3 as before.

We may now very simply prove 5.1.9 in the case \( x \) satisfies \( \dagger \). Recall we wish to pair each generator of \( F_x^\times/(F_x^\times)^{q-1} \) with a generator of \( \mathfrak{J}_x/(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)) \cap \mathfrak{J}_x)\mathfrak{J}_x^{q-1} \), so that the two elements have non-zero pairing, thus showing non-degeneracy. We pair the elements \( \zeta, u \) and \( t \) with the elements of types 3, 2 and 1 respectively as defined in the lemma above. Each pair yields one of the elements \( \pm \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q \). This completes the proof when \( x \) satisfies \( \dagger \).

We now prove the theorems without the condition \( \dagger \). We first look at the case of the Witt pairing.
Proof

We will proceed by considering a general element in \( J_x \), and asking when it can be a “degenerate element” - i.e. an element \( \alpha \) which has \( (\alpha|h)_x = 0 \) for every \( h \in F_x \). We will use the local case, case \( \dagger \) and consider the forms the elements can take, and see that the only degenerate elements which can occur must be diagonal elements.

We briefly introduce our argument. First, we take a typical element of \( J_x \):

\[
\left( \{1 + \beta_1 \alpha_1^i \gamma_1^j, \alpha_1 \}, \{1 + \beta_2 \alpha_2^i \gamma_2^j, \alpha_2 \}, \ldots \right).
\]

Then we have two options:

1. There exists a pair of local parameters \( \alpha_k, \gamma_k \) such that the entry in one of the two local fields defined by the parameters is non-trivial, and the entry in the other is trivial.

2. The entries in all pairs of local fields as described above are either both non-trivial or both trivial.

In the first case, the local case and first part of the argument below will show this type of element can never be degenerate. In the second case, condition \( \dagger \) shows that each pair of local parameters must have the same entry for both the local fields defined, and an element with all entries of this form is itself diagonal.

We now begin the rigorous argument by considering the general element

\[
\left( \{1 + \beta_1 \alpha_1^i \gamma_1^j, \alpha_1 \}, \{1 + \beta_2 \alpha_2^i \gamma_2^j, \alpha_2 \}, \ldots \right) \in J_x,
\]

where the \( \beta_k \in k_{y_k}(x) \), and the \( \alpha_k, \gamma_k \) are local parameters for the localisation of \( F_x \) given by the prime \( y_k \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \).

We examine when such an element can be degenerate in the left hand side of the Witt pairing on

\[
J_x / \Delta(K^\text{top}_2(F_x)) \times F_x / (\text{Frob} - 1) F_x.
\]
If just one of the entries $\{1 + \beta_k \alpha^k \gamma_k^j, \alpha_k\}$ is non-trivial, then we are in the local situation and can always find an element of $F_x$ with which our element has non-zero Witt pairing - here take

$$h_0 = \alpha_k^{-i_k \gamma_k^{-j_k}}.$$

See lemma 5.1.5 for the calculation. There is a further difficulty here if the element is in $K_2^{top}(F_{x,y},)^p$. Then we must pair it with an element in $W_m(F_x)$, a part of the induction we will discuss more later.

So to be degenerate we must have more than one non-trivial entry.

We now look at the case where exactly two of the entries are non-trivial. Suppose these entries are in localisations of $F_x$ with different local parameters from each other, say corresponding to primes $y_k$ and $y_l$. So the two non-trivial entries are $\{1 + \beta_k \alpha^k \gamma_k^j, \alpha_k\}$ and $\{1 + \beta_l \alpha^l \gamma_l^j, \alpha_l\}$.

Then letting

$$h_0 = \alpha_k^{-i_k \gamma_k^{-j_k}}$$

we have $\{1 + \beta_k \alpha^k \gamma_k^j, \alpha_k\}|h_0]_{\alpha_k, \gamma_k} = j_k \beta_k$ by lemma 5.1.5.

So if $j_k$ is not divisible by $p$, we have an element of $F_x$ which has a non-zero pairing with the first entry and zero with the second, and hence non-zero when summed. If $p^m$ is the maximal power of $p$ dividing $j_k$, replace $h_0$ by the Witt vector with $h_0$ in the $m^{th}$ position to get the same result, by property 7 of lemma 1.2.3. Hence if our element is a product of local elements with all the non-trivial entries in fields defined by different curves $y_i \ni x$, then the element cannot be degenerate.

We now consider the case where there are two entries from fields defined by the same pair of local parameters, starting with this pair being the only nontrivial entries.

Then we can apply the calculations from lemmas 5.1.7 and 5.1.8, where condition † is satisfied, to see that it must have identical entries in the two
non-trivial places. But this is exactly the image of the element of $K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)$ with these entries diagonally embedded in $J_x$, as they are trivial in all other localisations. So we have proven non-degeneracy in this case.

Finally, we discuss the case where there are more than two non-trivial entries in the element. Suppose first that there is an entry with local parameters $\alpha_k, \gamma_k$ which aren’t local parameters for any of the other non-trivial entries, i.e. $\alpha_k \neq \alpha_l$ and $\gamma_k \neq \gamma_l$ for all $l$ with $\beta_l \neq 0$.

Then arguing as in the case of two non-trivial entries above, we have an element $\alpha_k^{-i_k} \gamma_k^{-j_k} \in F_x$ which has a non-zero pairing with $\{ 1 + \beta_k \alpha_k^{i_k} \gamma_k^{j_k}, \alpha_k \}$ and zero with each other element. So to be degenerate, an element must have at least two entries for each pair of local parameters.

But the only two fields which can be defined by these parameters $\alpha_k, \gamma_k$ are the localisations with respect to the prime ideal generated by one of them, then the maximal ideal generated by both - i.e. $F_{\alpha_k, \gamma_k}$ and $F_{\gamma_k, \alpha_k}$. So in fact any degenerate element must be a sum of the type discussed above. But such an element is the image, under the diagonalisation map, of the product of all its entries - each parameter can be regarded as trivial in the topological $K$-groups of the local fields where it is not a local parameter.

Hence the pairing is non-degenerate on the left hand side $J_x/\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x))$. So we now must prove that the pairing is non-degenerate on the right-hand side. Following from the calculations 5.1.7 and 5.1.8, we see this is equivalent to proving that the elements of $W_m(F_x)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_x)$ are all of the required form for each integer $m$, i.e. every entry $f$ in the Witt vector is a sum of elements of the form of the form $f = \beta \prod_k \alpha_k^{i_k}$ where $\beta \in k(x)$, the $\alpha_k$ are primes of $F_x$, and at least one of the $i_k$ is negative. This argument follows as before, in lemma 4.1.6: suppose all coefficients are greater than zero, then look at the convergent (with respect to the topology of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$) sum $f' = (-f) + (-f)^p + (-f)^{p^2} + \ldots$, hence $f = f'^p - f$ is trivial modulo $(\text{Frob} - 1)$. So we can now complete the proof, as this shows the non-degeneracy on the
right-hand side of the pairing.

This completes the proof of theorem 5.1.2.

Remark

One can use the work of Matsumi to understand the structure of $F_x/(\text{Frob} - 1)F_x$, then induct as in the case of a fixed curve. For a complete two-dimensional local ring $R$ of positive characteristic, Matsumi’s paper [23] finds a simple form for the rings $R/R^p$ and $F/F^p$, where $F$ is the fraction field of $R$.

We now complete the proof of theorem 5.1.2.

Proof. The above discussion uses the structure of the group $J_x$, the argument for the normal crossings case, and the structure of $F_x/(\text{Frob} - 1)F_x$ to show that

$$
\frac{J_x}{(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)))J_x^p} \cong \text{Hom} \left( \frac{F_x}{(\text{Frob} - 1)F_x}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right).
$$

We can then induct on the length of the Witt vectors as in the proof of 4.1.2. Suppose

$$
\frac{J_x}{(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)))J_x^{p^m}} \cong \text{Hom} \left( \frac{W_m(F_x)}{(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_x)}, \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \right)
$$

for some integer $m$. Let $\mu \in \text{Hom}(W_{m+1}(F_x)/(\text{Frob}-1)W_{m+1}(F_x), \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z})$. Then as before we define the restriction $\mu' : W_m(F_x)/(\text{Frob} - 1)W_m(F_x) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\mu'(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}) = V(\mu(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}, 0)).
$$

Then $\mu'$ can be associated to $\alpha \in J_x/(\Delta(K_{\text{top}}^2(F_x)))J_x^{p^m}$. Then as in the proof of 4.1.2, $\alpha$ will also associate to $\mu$ in the same way, uniquely up to $J_x^{p^m}$ as required.

We now remove the necessity for condition $\dagger$ for the case of the higher tame symbol and $J_x$. We proceed in a broadly similar manner to the argument.
for the Witt symbol, reducing back down to the case of exactly two local parameters and looking at the quotient by the diagonal elements.

Firstly, we note that \( F_x/(F_x)^{q-1} \) is generated by \( k(x) \), and a local parameter \( t_y \) for each curve \( y \) passing through \( x \). Let \( k(x) \) itself be generated by the \((q - 1)^{th}\) root of unity \( \zeta \).

We wish to pair \( \zeta \) and each \( t_y \) with an element of \( \mathfrak{I}_x \), unique up to the diagonal elements and a power of \((q - 1)\), so that the elements we choose generate \( \mathfrak{I}_x/(\Delta(K_x^{top}(F_x))/\mathfrak{I}_x^{q-1}) \). As before, this is enough to prove Kummer duality and the tame part of the reciprocity map.

We pair an element \( t_y \) with an element with \( \{\zeta, t_{y'}\} \) in the position corresponding to a two-dimensional local field with \( t_y \) and \( t_{y'} \) as local parameters - there are two such fields in the adeles at \( x \), but case \( \dagger \) above shows that this choice does not matter. We must show also that the choice of prime \( t_{y'} \) does not matter.

The higher tame pairing will take the value

\[
(\{\zeta, t_{y'}\}, t_y)_x = \zeta^{(t_y, t_{y'})_x}
\]

where \((t_y, t_{y'})_x\) is the intersection multiplicity at \( x \). Since the intersection multiplicity satisfies

\[
(t_y, t_{y'})_x = \dim_{k(x)}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/(t_y, t_{y'}))
\]

we see that the value of the higher tame pairing again differs by norms as the choice of \( y' \) varies, so as in the argument for a fixed curve, the choice of \( y' \) in the quotient does not matter, as it will change only up to a power of \((q - 1)\).

We pair the element \( \zeta \) with an element with \( \{t_y, t_{y'}\} \) in the position corresponding to a two-dimensional local field with \( t_y \) and \( t_{y'} \) as local parameters and trivial everywhere else, where \( t_y \) and \( t_{y'} \) are distinct height one primes of \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \).
To show our choice does not matter in the quotient, we argue as above. Firstly the choice of fields $F_{ty,ty'}$ or $F_{ty',ty}$ does not matter by condition † in the preceding section.

Secondly, we consider our choice of $y$ and $y'$. Up to a sign, the pairing will take the value $\zeta^{(ty,ty')}x$. If we change the primes to $ty_1$ and $ty'_1$, this is equivalent in the adelic quotient to multiplying by the element with $\{ty_1, ty'_1\}$ in the place corresponding to the new primes, and $\{ty, ty'\}^{q-2}$ in the place corresponding to the old primes.

But by the same argument as before, we may replace all these elements by their norms, which are $(q-1)^{th}$-powers in the adeles. Hence the above value of the higher tame pairing is unchanged and the elements all become equivalent in the quotient.

So we have paired each generator of $F_x/F_x^q$ with a generator of the tame part of the adeles at $x$ modulo $(q-1)^{th}$ powers, and hence can apply Kummer theory as usual.

We now construct the reciprocity map for the ring $F_x$. As in the previous section, we recall the theory from section 2.1 to obtain a well-defined map

$$\prod_{y \not\equiv x} K^{\text{top}}_2(F_{x,y}) \to \text{Gal}(F_x^\text{ab}/F_x).$$

We now define the unramified part of the reciprocity map. The unramified closure of the ring $F$ is the ring generated by $F$ and $\bar{F}_q$, and its Galois group is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, generated by the Frobenius automorphism of $\bar{F}_q$, Frob.

**Definition 5.1.12.** Let $\delta : K^{\text{top}}_2(F_{x,y}) \to K^{\text{top}}_1(\bar{F}_{x,y})$ be the boundary homomorphism of K-theory. We define the map

$$Un_{x,y} : K^{\text{top}}_2(F_{x,y}) \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

by

$$\{\alpha, \beta\} \mapsto \text{Frob}^{v_{F_{x,y}}(\delta(\{\alpha, \beta\}))},$$
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where $v_{F_{x,y}}$ is the valuation map of the local field $\bar{F}_{x,y}$.

We define $U_{n_x}$ to be the product of the $U_{n_{x,y}}$ over the local irreducible curves $y \ni x$. Note that this product is well-defined on the adelic group $\prod_{y \ni x} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y})$, as for all but finitely many $y \ni x$, the component $\{\alpha_{x,y}, \beta_{x,y}\}$ is in $K_{2}^{\text{top}}(O_{x,y})$ and hence the value of $\delta(\{\alpha_{x,y}, \beta_{x,y}\})$ is 1.

**Lemma 5.1.13.** The map $U_{n_x}$ obeys the reciprocity law, i.e. for an element $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_x)$, we have $U_{n_x}(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = 1$.

**Proof.** Using lemma 5.1.3, we may calculate the image of $K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_x)$ under the map $U_{n_x}$. We have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{x,y}(\{t_y, t_{y'}\}) &= t_y; \\
\delta_{x,y'}(\{t_y, t_{y'}\}) &= -t_{y'}; \\
\delta_{x,y}(\{a, t_y\}) &= a; \\
\delta_{x,y}(1 + au^i t^j, t_y) &= 1;
\end{align*}
\]

and all other values are trivial. Hence the image of $K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_x)$ is generated by the images of the elements

\[t_y - t_{y'}, \quad a\]

in $k(y)_{x}^{\times}$, where $y$ and $y'$ range through the curves passing through $x$ and $a \in k(x)^{\times}$. Now to find the image of $U_{n_x}$, we sum the valuations of the image of $\delta$ over the curves $y \ni x$. It is easy to see that in both cases the sum of the generators over the two non-zero values is zero. \hfill $\Box$

So the product of all the symbols

\[\prod_{y \ni x} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F_{x}^{ab}/F_x)\]

is well-defined. By lemmas 3.2.2 and 3.3.2, we know the product converges.

Now we define

\[\phi_x : \prod_{y \ni x} K_{2}^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F_x)\]
to be the sum of the $\phi_{x,y}(L)$.

**Lemma 5.1.14.** Let $L/F_x$ be a finite abelian extension. Then for almost all $y \ni x$, we have $\phi_{x,y}(L) = 1$, and hence $\psi_x$ is a continuous homomorphism.

**Proof.** By [33] section four, it is sufficient to prove the lemma in the three cases $L = F_x(\gamma)$, $L/F_x$ an Artin-Schreier extension with $\gamma^p - \gamma = \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in F_x$, $L = F_x(\beta)$ is a Kummer extension where $\beta^l = \delta$ for some $l|q - 1$ and $\delta \in F_x$, and an extension of only the base field $k(x)$.

This is sufficient as the abelian closure, $F_x^{ab}/F_x$ is generated by the maximal unramified extension, the maximal ramified and prime to $p$ extension, and the maximal $p$-extension. These three types of extension are disjoint, except for the unramified $p$-extension, where the maps are compatible.

For the first case, the local residue symbol is described by the relation

$$\phi_{x,y}(w_{x,y})(z) = (w_{x,y}|\alpha)_{x,y}(z)$$

for $w_{x,y} \in K_2^{top}(F_{x,y})$ and we know this is zero for almost all $y \in x$ from lemma 3.2.2.

For the Kummer extension, the local residue symbol is described by the relation

$$\phi_{x,y}(w_{x,y})(z) = (w_{x,y}, \delta))_{x,y}$$

and similarly we know this is trivial for almost all $y \in x$ by lemma 3.3.2.

For the extension of $k(x)$, using the calculations in lemma 5.1.13 we see that $\phi_{x,y}$ is non-trivial only in the case where the component is of the form $\{t_y, t_{y'}\}$, which by our adelic restrictions can happen in only finitely many places.

The continuity of the reciprocity map follows, as the preimage of any open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(F_x^{ab}/F_x)$ has only finitely many non-zero elements of $J_x$.

But from the definition of the topology, this is exactly what is required in the direct sum and product topology.
We now prove the main theorem of the section.

**Theorem 5.1.15.** Let $X$ be a regular projective surface over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $x \in X$ a closed point. Then the continuous map

$$\psi_x : \mathcal{J}_x / \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \to \text{Gal}(F^{ab}_x / F_x)$$

is injective with dense image. It also satisfies:

1. For any finite abelian extension, the following sequence is exact

$$\prod_{y' \ni x'} K_2^{\text{top}}(L_{y'}) \xrightarrow{N} \mathcal{J}_x / \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \xrightarrow{\psi_x} \text{Gal}(L/F_x) \longrightarrow 0.$$ 

2. For any finite separable extension $L/F_x$, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{J}_L / \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) & \xrightarrow{\phi_L} & \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow V \\
\mathcal{J}_x / \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) & \xrightarrow{\phi_x} & \text{Gal}(F^{ab}_x / F_x)
\end{array}$$

where $V$ is the group transfer map, and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{J}_L / \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) & \xrightarrow{\phi_L} & \text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L) \\
N \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{J}_x / \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) & \xrightarrow{\phi_x} & \text{Gal}(F^{ab}_x / F_x).
\end{array}$$

**Proof.** As in the case for a fixed curve, the commutative diagrams follow from the local case proved in [33] and the reciprocity laws in 3.2.3 and 3.3.3. We now show $\psi_x$ is injective with dense image, using the basic facts of Artin-Schreier-Witt and Kummer duality in a similar manner to the proof of 4.1.17.
Artin-Schreier-Witt duality and theorem 5.1.2 induce the isomorphism

\[ J_x / (\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F^{ab,p}_x / F_x) / (\text{Gal}(F^{ab,p}_x / F_x))^{\text{perm}} \]

and passing to the projective limit gives the decomposition

\[ J_y / (\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x) \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} J_x / (\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x) J_p^m \cong \text{Gal}(F^{ab,p}_x / F_x) \]

and hence the wildly ramified part of \( \phi_x \) has dense image.

To show \( \phi_x \) is injective, we must show

\[ \cap_m (\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x) J_p^m = \Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x. \]

Now, for each \( y \ni x \) we have \( \cap_m K_2^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}, p_{x,y})^{\text{perm}} = \{1\} \), and hence this is true in the adelic product also. So the wildly ramified part of the map is injective.

We now study the tamely ramified part of the reciprocity map. Kummer duality and theorem 5.1.9 induce the isomorphism

\[ J_x / (\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x) J_2^{-1} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F^{ab}_x / F_x) / (\text{Gal}(F^{ab,p}_x / F_x) \text{Gal}(F^{\text{unram}}_x / F_x)) \]

showing that this part of the map is injective with dense image also.

We complete this part of the proof by checking that the part of the reciprocity map related to the algebraic closure of \( k(x) \) is injective with dense image. Since the image is \( \mathbb{Z} \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \), the density of the image is clear.

To show this part of the map is injective, we use the commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
J_x / (\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x)) \cap J_x) J_2^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \oplus_{y \ni x} \mathbb{Z}/k(y) \oplus (\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(F_x))) \\
\phi_x \downarrow & & \phi_{k(x)} \downarrow \\
\text{Gal}(F^{ab}_x / F_x) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(k(x)^{ab}/k(x))
\end{array}
\]

Both the rows are exact, and the kernel of the first map on the top row is \( \prod_{y \ni x} K_2^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{O}_{x,y}) \) which is the part of the group related via the reciprocity map to the kernel of the first map on the bottom row, by definition of the
Galois groups. Hence this part of the reciprocity map is injective also, and this part of the proof is complete.

Finally, to prove exact sequence 1, we consider the commutative diagram with exact lower row:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Pi'_{y \geq z} K_2^{\text{top}}(L_{y'}) & \xrightarrow{N} & \Pi'_{y \geq z} K_2^{\text{top}}(F_{x,y}) \\
\Delta(K_2^{\text{top}}(L)) \cap \Pi'_{y \geq z} K_2^{\text{top}}(L_{y'}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{Gal}(L/F_x) \\
\phi_L & & \psi_y \\
\phi_L & & || \\
\text{Gal}(L^{ab}/L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F_x) \\
\end{array}
\]

where \(N\) is the product of the local norm maps. The commutivity follows from property two of this theorem and Galois theory. Now as in the corresponding theorem in the previous section, the density of the images of the first two vertical maps and the fact that the image of \(N\) is closed complete the proof that the top sequence is exact.  

\(\square\)
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