Role of Hippo/YAP signaling in irradiation-induced glioma cell apoptosis

Xiaofei Xu¹
Yan Chen²
Xi Wang¹
Xingguo Mu¹

¹Department of Radiology, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Chang Chun 130041, People’s Republic of China; ²Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Chang Chun 130041, People’s Republic of China

Background: Although Hippo/Yes-associated protein (YAP) signaling plays crucial roles in radiation sensitivity and resistance of multiple kinds of cancers, its role in the radiation sensitivity of glioma cells remains unclear. The present study aimed to reveal Hippo/YAP role in the radiation sensitivity of glioma cells.

Methods: Glioma U251 cells were administrated with different doses of irradiation. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) and flow cytometry assays were used to assess cell viability and apoptosis. Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay was used to assess the interactions between proteins.

Results: The results showed that irradiation exposure significantly inhibited cell viability and induced cell apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner, as well as decreased YAP1 expression via enhancing RCHY1-mediated YAP1 protein degradation. In addition, we observed that downregulation of YAP1 or RCHY1 weakened the role of irradiation exposure in cell viability inhibition and apoptosis promotion.

Conclusion: Collectively, this study emphasizes the vital role of Hippo/YAP signaling in radiation sensitivity of glioma, that RCHY1-mediated YAP1 protein downregulation is a main mechanism accounting for radiation-induced glioma cell apoptosis. Our study may enrich the theoretical basis of Hippo/YAP signaling as a new target for improving radiation sensitivity in glioma.
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Introduction

Glial-derived tumor, or glioma, is the most common brain tumor and accounts for about 74.6% of all malignant tumors occurring in brain and central nervous system.¹ Approximately 82% of gliomas cases are diagnosed at high malignant WHO grades III and IV, with a 5-year survival rate of only 10%.² It is identified that radiotherapy has synergistic role with surgery and chemotherapy and is considered as the most effective therapeutic method except for surgery. However, the high intrinsic radioresistance severely restricts its therapeutic efficacy.³ Therefore, it is needful to clarify the mechanisms of the radioresistance in glioma, aiming to relieve the pain of glioma patients and improve their life quality.

The Hippo signaling pathway, first identified in Drosophila, was originally discovered to play a crucial role in regulating tissue growth; gradually it was found to be closely implicated in the modulation of multiple biological processes such as cell proliferation and apoptosis.⁴⁻⁷ Mst1/2 (Mammalian Ste20-like 1 and 2), LATS1/2 (large tumor suppressor kinase 1/2), MOB1A/1B (MOB kinase activator 1A/1B) and SAV1 (salvador family WW domain-containing protein 1) are core components of Hippo signaling pathway, and their main role is to prevent...
the transportation of Yes-associated protein (YAP) to nuclear, thereby inhibiting the transcription of its downstream genes.\textsuperscript{8,9} Notably, studies have confirmed that Hippo/YAP signaling plays a crucial role in chemoradiotherapy resistance of tumors. For example, Song et al\textsuperscript{7} found that knockdown of YAP1 significantly enhanced the sensitivity of colorectal cancer LoVo-R cells, an acquired 5-fluorouracil resistant cell line. Fernandez et al\textsuperscript{10} demonstrated that YAP conferred tumor cell radio-resistance and promoted cell ongoing proliferation after radiation in medulloblastoma. Wang et al\textsuperscript{11} revealed that increased activation and nuclear translocation of YAP protein induced by MST1 and LATS1/2 downregulation obviously promoted the proliferation and chemoresistance of osteosarcoma MG63 cells. All of the findings emphasize the significant role of Hippo/YAP signaling in cancer chemoradiotherapy resistance. However, its effects and underlying mechanisms in glioma radiotherapy remain incompletely clear.

In the present study, we focused on uncovering the role of Hippo/YAP signaling in the radiosensitivity in glioma and its underlying mechanisms, aiming to improve the radiosensitivity of glioma.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and treatment

Human glioma U251 cells obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured in DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), supplemented with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were treated with 100 μg/mL of cycloheximide (CHX) for 1, 2, 4, 8 or 24 hrs to stop protein synthesis.

Irradiation exposure

U251 cells in exponentially growing phase were irradiated at a dose of 2, 4, 6, or 8 Gy at room temperature through using a 6 MV photon beam generated (2100C/D; VARIAN, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Cell transfection

To delete the expression of YAP1, glioma cells were transfected with the small interfering RNAs (si-YAP1) purchased from OriGene (No. SR323110; Beijing, China). Meanwhile, the plasmid used to overexpress RCHY1 (OE-RCHY1) in U251 cells was also obtained from OriGene (No. SC107968). Cell transfection was executed by using Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s descriptions.

Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay

Total RNA was obtained by using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) referring to the manufacturer’s instructions. After a total of 1 μg RNA being reversely transcribed into cDNA, real-time qPCR was performed using an EasyScript One-Step RT-PCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) on an ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). GAPDH mRNA level was used to normalize mRNA expression. Primer sequences were obtained from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) and were listed as follows:

YAP1: sense-5ʹ-CCCTCGTTTTTGCACATGAACC-3ʹ, YAP1: antisense-5ʹ-GTTGCTGTGTTGGAGGTG-3ʹ; Mst1: sense-5ʹ-CAGAGCTGCATCAAATC-3ʹ, Mst1: antisense-5ʹ-ACCTTGTGAGGAACCTTG-3ʹ; LATS1: sense-5ʹ-GTGCACAAAAACCCATCTG-3ʹ, LATS1: antisense-5ʹ-ACACCAAGCAACAGATGATTAA-3ʹ; MOB1: sense-5ʹ-AGGTGTGCAAAGGTCGCA-3ʹ, MOB1: antisense-5ʹ-CTGCTCGAGGACAAGAGAA-3ʹ; SAV1: sense-5ʹ-GCGGGAGAATTTACGGGAT-3ʹ, SAV1: antisense-5ʹ-GGGACAGCATCCTTCTGCAC-3ʹ; GAPDH: sense-5ʹ-CCACTAGGCGCTCACTTCT-3ʹ, GAPDH: antisense-5ʹ-GCATCGCCCCACTTGATT-3ʹ.

Western blotting assay

The isolation of total protein from U251 cells was carried out with RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China). Cytoplasm and nucleoprotein were obtained using the Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Protein Extraction Kit (Weiao Co., LTD, Shanghai, China). After protein concentrations were assessed using BCA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), the total RNA was obtained by using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) referring to the manufacturer’s instructions. After a total of 1 μg RNA being reversely transcribed into cDNA, real-time qPCR was performed using an EasyScript One-Step RT-PCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) on an ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). GAPDH mRNA level was used to normalize mRNA expression. Primer sequences were obtained from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) and were listed as follows:

YAP1: sense-5ʹ-CCCTCGTTTTTGCACATGAACC-3ʹ, YAP1: antisense-5ʹ-GTTGCTGTGTTGGAGGTG-3ʹ; Mst1: sense-5ʹ-CAGAGCTGCATCAAATC-3ʹ, Mst1: antisense-5ʹ-ACCTTGTGAGGAACCTTG-3ʹ; LATS1: sense-5ʹ-GTGCACAAAAACCCATCTG-3ʹ, LATS1: antisense-5ʹ-ACACCAAGCAACAGATGATTAA-3ʹ; MOB1: sense-5ʹ-AGGTGTGCAAAGGTCGCA-3ʹ, MOB1: antisense-5ʹ-CTGCTCGAGGACAAGAGAA-3ʹ; SAV1: sense-5ʹ-GCGGGAGAATTTACGGGAT-3ʹ, SAV1: antisense-5ʹ-GGGACAGCATCCTTCTGCAC-3ʹ; GAPDH: sense-5ʹ-CCACTAGGCGCTCACTTCT-3ʹ, GAPDH: antisense-5ʹ-GCATCGCCCCACTTGATT-3ʹ.
caspase 3 (No. #9662; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), cleaved caspase3 (c-caspase3; No. #9661, Cell Signaling Technology), Bax (No. ab32503; Abcam), TrCP (No. ab71753; Abcam), CDC4 (No. ab64533; Abcam), RCHY1 (No. 5754; Cell Signaling Technology), MDM2 (No. ab38618; Abcam), SKP2 (No. ab68455; Abcam), UBE3A (No. ab126765; Abcam), SMURF1 (No. ab7573; Abcam) and GAPDH (No. TA-08; Zhongshan jinqiao Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) overnight at 4°C. The blot bands were visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and detected by the gel imaging instrument (Eberhardzell, Germany) and analyzed by ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MA, USA).

Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay
Co-IP assay was performed to assess the interactions between proteins. In detail, U251 cells were lysed in IP lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Next, the cell lysate containing 200 μg proteins was incubated with Dynabeads® protein G for 1 hr and incubated with 2 μg anti-YAP1 antibody (No. ab56701; Abcam) or IgG (negative control) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with Dynabeads® protein G for another 1 hr. Then, the immune complex was submitted to western blotting assay with antibodies against Ub (No. 3933), TEA/ATTS domain (TEAD , No. 13295), P73 (No. 14620) and runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2; No. 12556), all purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, and then anti-cAMP responsive element-binding protein 1 (CREB; No. ab31387; Abcam) antibody.

Immunofluorescence technology
Immunofluorescence was performed according to a previous study.12 Cells were incubated with the primary antibody against YAP1 (No. ab52771; Abcam) overnight at 4°C and then probed with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated IgG (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA) in the dark at room temperature for 1 hr. The staining was recorded by using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (LSM510; Carl Zeiss, Inc., Oberkochen, Germany).

Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay
Cell viability was detected by using a CCK-8 kit (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, U251 cells transfected with si-NC, si-YAP or si-RCHY1 were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 3000 cells/well. After attachment, the cells were treated with 2, 4, 6 or 8 Gy radiation. After another 24 hrs of culture, cell viability was measured by CCK-8 kit (Sigma-Aldrich, StLouis, MO, USA).

Flow cytometry assay
Cell apoptosis was detected by flow cytometry assay with Annexin V Apoptosis Detection kit (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA). First, U251 cells were seeded in 12-well plates and transfected with si-NC, si-YAP1 or si-RCHY1. After 24 hrs of cell transfection, cells were treated with 2, 4, 6 or 8 Gy radiation. After another 24 hrs incubation at 37°C, cells were harvested and washed with PBS, followed by incubation with 5 μL of Annexin V and 5 μL propidium iodide (PI) solution diluted in 100 μL 1X binding buffer for 15 mins in the dark. Then, cells were washed with 1X binding buffer and resuspended with 500 μL of 1X binding buffer and submitted to flow cytometry assay on a Beckman FC500 flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA) and analyzed by FlowJo 7.6 software (Tree Star, Lnc, Ashland, OR, USA).

Statistical analysis
Every experiment in this study was performed ≥3 times. Data were indicated as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed by GraphPad (version 6.0; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) with one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey’s test for multiple groups and Student’s t-test for two groups. P<0.05 was thought as statistically significant difference.

Results
Radiation promotes cell apoptosis and deceases YAPI mRNA level in glioma cells
To evaluate the effects of Hippo/YAP signaling in cell apoptosis induced by radiation, we first assessed the effects of radiation on the activation of Hippo/YAP signaling. Compared with control group, irradiation exposure obviously inhibited glioma U251 cell growth (Figure 1A) and induced cell apoptosis (Figure 1B and C) in a dose-dependent manner, hence we chose the average dose of 4 Gy for the following experiments. Compared with the control group, 4 Gy exposure increased the expression of c-caspase3, caspase3 and Bax in U251 cells (Figure 1D).
and E). Besides, the mRNA expression of YAP1 was decreased, while Mst1, LATS1, MOB1 and SAV1 expressions were increased when U251 cells were treated with 4 Gy irradiation (Figure 1F). These results suggested that irradiation could promote glioma cell apoptosis and decrease YAP1 mRNA level.

**Radiation exposure represses the activation of YAP1 signaling in glioma**

Next, we explored the effects of radiation exposure on the expression, stability and ubiquitination of YAP1 protein. Western blotting result showed that the expression of YAP1 protein was reduced when U251 cells were treated with 4 Gy irradiation (Figure 2A and B). Besides, 4 Gy irradiation increased the ubiquitination of YAP1 (Figure 2C) and crippled its protein stability (Figure 2D and E). Moreover, 4 Gy irradiation promoted the transportation of YAP1 protein from nuclear to cytoplasm (Figure 3A and C) and significantly impaired YAP1 protein interaction with the nuclear transcription factors TEAD, CREB, P73 and RUNX2 (Figure 3D). In addition, 4 Gy irradiation significantly increased the phosphorylation level of YAP1 (Figure 4E). These results demonstrated that radiation stimulation could repress the activation of YAP1 signaling.

**Downregulation of YAP1 weakens the role of irradiation on cell apoptosis promotion in glioma**

Then, we explored the effects of YAP1 on cell apoptosis under irradiation stimulation through loss-of-function assays. As shown in Figure 4A and B, si-2 targeting YAP1 gene showed the best knockdown efficiency between the 3 siRNAs, hence we chose it for the further study. Downregulation of YAP1 decreased U251 cell growth (Figure 4C) and induced cell apoptosis (Figure 4D and E), but abolished the effects of high dose of irradiation on cell apoptosis promotion. These results confirmed the vital role of Hippo/YAP signaling on irradiation-induced cell apoptosis in glioma.

**Downregulation of RCHY1 increases YAP1 expression and inhibits glioma cell apoptosis**

Subsequently, we probed the molecular mechanism of YAP1 ubiquitination. Among 6 ubiquitination-related proteins, TrCP, CDC4, RCHY1, MDM2, SKP2, UBE3A and SMURF1, irradiation treatment only increased RCHY1 expression, with no obvious change in the expression levels of TrCP, CDC4, MDM2, SKP2, UBE3A and SMURF1 (Figure 5A and B). And, RCHY1 upregulation significantly enhanced the ubiquitination
of YAP1 protein (Figure 5C), suggesting that RCHY1 induced the ubiquitination of YAP1 protein. Then, we used si-RCHY1 to downregulate RCHY1 expression and then explored its role in irradiation-induced cell apoptosis in glioma. As shown in Figure 6A, si-1 significantly decreased RCHY1 expression in U251 cells.
Downregulation of RCHY1 increased YAP1 expression in a dose of irradiation-independent manner (Figure 6B). Additionally, RCHY1 downregulation increased cell viability (Figure 6C) and reduced cell apoptosis (Figure 6D) in a dose of irradiation-independent manner. These above findings illustrated that the role of irradiation-induced cell apoptosis in glioma was closely related to RCHY1-regulated YAP1 expression.

Figure 4 Downregulation of YAP1 weakened the effect of irradiation on cell apoptosis induction. (A, B) The knockdown efficiency of si-YAP1 was assessed by Western blotting assay after 48 hrs of cell transfection. (C) Cell proliferation was detected by CCK-8 assay after 24 hrs of irradiation exposure. (D, E) Cell apoptosis was tested by flow cytometry assay after 24 hrs of irradiation exposure (n=3, *P<0.05).

Abbreviations: YAP, Yes-associated protein; CCK-8, Cell counting kit-8; Co-IP, co-immunoprecipitation.

Figure 5 The ubiquitination of YAP1 protein was regulated by RCHY1. U251 cells were treated with 4 Gy irradiation, then the following assays were carried out after 24 hrs of irradiation exposure. (A, B) The expression of TrCP, CDC4, RCHY1, MDM2, SKP2, UBE3A and SMURF1 was detected by Western blotting assay. (C) Co-IP assay was used to detect the effects of RCHY1 overexpression on the ubiquitination of YAP1 protein (n=3, *P<0.05).

Abbreviation: YAP, Yes-associated protein.
Radiotherapy is one of the main therapeutical means for both low- and high-grade gliomas. However, radioresistance causes poor radiotherapeutic responses especially in glioblastoma patients; hence, overcoming radioresistance remains a pressing challenge for clinicians and researchers. It is well documented that pathways induced tumor cell excessive proliferation and defective apoptosis account for the major mechanisms of radioresistance in cancer cells. Therefore, here, we explored the roles of Hippo/YAP signaling in glioma cell proliferation and apoptosis under irradiation exposure. We report a new effective manner that downregulation of YAP can significantly enhance the radiosensitivity of glioma cells.

Physiologically, when Hippo signaling is active, YAP and transcriptional co-activator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ) are phosphorylated and controlled in cytoplasm by forming core complexes with LATS1/2, Mst1/2, MOB1 and SAV1. However, the inactivation of Hippo pathway will redistribute YAP/TAZ to nuclear, leading to the transactivation of the downstream target genes via interacting with transcription factors, such as TEAD, p73, CREB and RUNX2. In the current study, to reveal the role of Hippo/YAP signaling in irradiation-mediated cell apoptosis, we first used real-time qPCR assay to assess the expressions of key genes of Hippo/YAP pathway under the treatment of irradiation. We found that the expressions of LATS1, Mst1, MOB1 and SAV1 mRNA were all increased when U251 cells were treated with irradiation, whereas YAP1 mRNA expression was reduced, as well as YAP1 protein expression. Moreover, we observed that irradiation promoted the nuclear export of YAP1 protein and weakened its interaction with TEAD, p73, CREB and RUNX2 proteins. All of the results suggest that the activation of Hippo and inactivation of YAP1 might play an important role in the radiation resistance of glioma.

YAP is known to possess oncogenic properties, including the ability to suppress cell apoptosis and promote cell growth. However, YAP role in carcinogenesis is highly context-dependent. For example, it acts as an oncogene in liver, pancreas cancers, whereas it exerts as a tumor suppressor in some breast cancers. In glioma, YAP1 and their target genes, CRY61, CTGF, and BIRC5 were identified to be significantly amplified in glioma tissues, and upregulation of YPA1 enhanced cell proliferation ability and conferred glioma cells cisplatin-resistance, illustrating that YAP1 plays a role in glioma chemosensitivity. Moreover, Zhang et al reported that inhibition of TAZ, an effector of Hippo signaling significantly promoted radiation-induced senescence and growth inhibition in glioma cells, suggesting a vital role of Hippo signaling plays in glioma radiosensitivity. In the present study, we recruited siRNA to silence YAP1 in U251 cells and thereby evaluated its function in irradiation-induced apoptosis. The results
showed that YAP1 downregulation reduced cell viability and induced cell apoptosis, and impaired irradiation roles in apoptosis promotion, suggesting that irradiation promoted glioma cell apoptosis via downregulating YAP1.

Furthermore, we found that irradiation exposure significantly reduced YAP1 expression via accelerating its ubiquitination degradation in an RCHY1-dependent way. RCHY1 as an E3 ubiquitin ligase regulates proteasomal degradation of its target proteins such as p53, p63, and p73. And, the current study illustrated, for the first time, that the E3 ubiquitin ligase RCH1 but not CDC4, MDM2, SKP2, UBE3A or SMURF1 could interact with YAP1 and promoted its ubiquitination degradation. Besides, we observed that knockdown of RCHY1 abolished the inhibitory role of irradiation in YAP1 expression, thereby enhancing cell viability and inhibiting cell apoptosis regardless of high/low dose of irradiation imposition. This result indicated that irradiation treatment induced cell apoptosis through downregulating YAP1 in an RCH1-dependent manner.

In conclusion, the present study uncovers a vital role of Hippo/YAP signaling in radiation-induced cell apoptosis in glioma. Deregulation of YAP1 induced by RCHY1-mediated ubiquitination degradation can obviously weaken radiation-mediated cell apoptosis promotion, which then contributes to the improvement of radio sensitivity. Our study provides a potential of Hippo/YAP signaling as a target for overcoming radiation resistance in glioma.
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