QUATERNIONIC-LIKE MANIFOLDS
AND HOMOGENEOUS TWISTOR SPACES

RADU PANTILIE

Abstract. Motivated by the quaternionic geometry corresponding to the homogeneous complex manifolds endowed with (holomorphically) embedded spheres, we introduce and initiate the study of the ‘quaternionic-like manifolds’. These contain, as particular subclasses, the CR quaternionic and the $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds. Moreover, the notion of ‘heaven space’ finds its adequate level of generality in this setting: any real analytic quaternionic-like manifold admits a (germ) unique heaven space, which is a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold. We, also, give a natural construction of homogeneous complex manifolds endowed with embedded spheres, thus, emphasizing the abundance of the quaternionic-like manifolds.

Introduction

The quaternionic geometry is, informally, that part of the geometry where the points are spheres. This means that the objects of quaternionic geometry are parameter spaces for families of (Riemann) spheres embedded into CR manifolds, the latter, being called the twistor spaces (of the corresponding objects). When the twistor spaces are complex manifolds and the normal bundles of the twistor spheres are nonnegative we obtain what we call $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds [12].

This paper grew out from our aim to understand the $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds $M$ whose twistor spaces are homogeneous. As $M$ is not necessarily homogeneous (see Example [7], below), one of the main tasks is to describe the intrinsic geometry of the corresponding (local) orbits. This is, further, motivated by the idea that, because the corresponding twistor space is homogeneous, each such orbit should, somehow, determine the geometry of $M$.

We are, thus, led to consider the quaternionic-like manifolds (note that, this expression was previously used with a different meaning) which, at the linear level, are given by embeddings of the sphere into the complex Grassmannian of a vector space (these embeddings are required to be compatible with the conjugations; see Section [1], below, for details). Then, similarly to the classical linear quaternionic structures, the dual of a linear quaternionic-like structure is quaternionic-like. Furthermore, the class of quaternionic-like manifolds contains two important subclasses: the CR quaternionic manifolds of [8], and the $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds of [12] (in particular, the co-CR quaternionic manifolds of [9]). Moreover, the notion of heaven space (see [8]) finds
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its adequate level of generality in this setting (Theorem 2.5). For example, if $M$ is a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold whose twistor space is homogeneous then each orbit, of the induced local action on $M$, is endowed with a quaternionic-like structure whose heaven space is $M$.

We, also, give a natural construction of homogeneous complex manifolds endowed with embedded spheres (with nonnegative normal bundles) which is then characterized and illustrated with several classes of examples (Section 3). This emphasizes the abundance of the quaternionic-like manifolds.

1. Quaternionic-like vector spaces

This section is dedicated to the study of the following notions.

Definition 1.1. A linear quaternionic-like structure on a (real) vector space $U$ is a holomorphic embedding of the sphere into the complex Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_k(U^C)$ which intertwines the antipodal map and the conjugation determined by the conjugation of $U^C$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq \dim U$.

A quaternionic-like vector space is a vector space endowed with a linear quaternionic-like structure.

On identifying, as usual, an embedding with its image, if $(U, t)$ is a quaternionic-like vector space, we denote by $U^z$ the subspace of $U^C$ which is the image of $z \in t$ through the corresponding embedding. Then the dual linear quaternionic-like structure on $U^*$ is given by associating to each $z \in t$ the annihilator of $U^z$. Also, a quaternionic-like linear map, between the quaternionic-like vector spaces $(U^*, t_U)$ and $(V^*, t_V)$, is a pair $(\psi, T)$, where $\psi : U \to V$ is linear and $T : t_U \to t_V$ is an orientation preserving isometry such that $\psi(U^z) \subseteq V^{T(z)}$, for any $z \in t_U$.

Proposition 1.2. There exists a functorial (bijective) correspondence between linear quaternionic-like structures on $U$ and nontrivial (that is, nonsplitting) exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow U_- \longrightarrow t \times U^C \longrightarrow U_+ \longrightarrow 0$$

of holomorphic vector bundles over the sphere $t$, endowed with conjugations covering the antipodal map.

Furthermore, under this correspondence, dual linear quaternionic-like structures correspond to dual exact sequences.

Proof. If $(U, t)$ is a quaternionic-like vector space then $U_-$ is the restriction to $t$ of the tautological vector bundle over the corresponding Grassmannian. □

Note that, if $E$ is a (classical) quaternionic vector space (see, for example, [8]) and $t$ is the corresponding space of compatible linear complex structures then $t \to \text{Gr}_{2k}(E^C)$, $J \mapsto \ker(J + i)$, $(J \in t)$, is a linear quaternionic-like structure, where $\dim E = 4k$.

More generally, we have the following classes of examples.
Proposition 1.5. Any nonnegative holomorphic vector bundle following exact sequences (where, for example, responds uniquely (up to canonical isomorphisms) and functorially, to each of the fol-

sphere (identified with the sheaf of holomorphic section of the trivial line bundle we shall call a

of the notions of linear co-CR quaternionic and linear CR quaternionic structures, introduced in \[8\].

To understand how the linear \(\rho\)-quaternionic structures are placed among the linear quaternionic-like structures, we use Proposition 1.2. Then a quaternionic-like vector space \((U,t)\) is \(\rho\)-quaternionic if and only if in the Birkhoff–Grothendieck decomposition of \(\mathcal{U}_-\) appear only terms of Chern number \(-1\); consequently, \((U,t)\) is, in this case, determined by \(\mathcal{U}_+\) which we call its holomorphic vector bundle.

Moreover, we have the following basic result (in which the relevant morphisms are defined in the obvious way, and \(\mathcal{U}_\pm\) are as in Proposition 1.2).

Theorem 1.4. There exist functorial correspondences between the following, where \(U\) is a vector space:

(i) Linear quaternionic-like structures on \(U\).

(ii) Pairs \((U_+,\psi_+)\), where \(U_+\) is endowed with a linear \(\rho\)-quaternionic structure \((E_+,-,$$\rho_+$$)$$)$$, and \(\psi_+:U\to U_+\) is a linear map such that \((U_+)\mathbb{C} + (\mathbb{C} \psi_+)\mathbb{C} = (U_+)\mathbb{C}\), for any \(z\) in the embedded sphere of \(E_+\).

(iii) Pairs \((U_-,\psi_-)\), where \(U_-\) is endowed with a linear \(\rho^*\)-quaternionic structure \((E_-,$$\rho^*_-$$)$$)$$, and \(\psi_-:U\to U_-\) is a linear map such that \((U_-)\mathbb{C} \cap (\ker \psi_-)\mathbb{C} = \{0\}\), for any \(z\) in the embedded sphere of \(E_-\).

Moreover, if \((U_+,\psi_+)\) and \((U_-,$$\psi_-)$$)$$)$$ correspond to the same linear quaternionic-like structure then \(E_+ = E_-\) and \(\psi_+ \circ \psi_- = \rho_+ \circ \rho^*_-\). Also, the holomorphic vector bundles of \((U_+,E_+,$$\rho_+$$)$$)$$ and \((U_-,$$E_-,$$\rho^*_-)$$)$$ are \(\mathcal{U}_+\) and \(\mathcal{U}_-\), respectively.

To prove Theorem 1.4, we shall need the following result (cf. \[13\] Proposition 3.1).

Proposition 1.5. Any nonnegative holomorphic vector bundle \(\mathcal{U}\) over the sphere corresponds uniquely (up to canonical isomorphisms) and functorially, to each of the following exact sequences (where, for example, \(\mathcal{O}\) is the canonical function sheaf of the sphere (identified with the sheaf of holomorphic section of the trivial line bundle \(t \times \mathbb{C}\)), \(\mathcal{O}(-1)\) is the tautological line bundle over the sphere (identified with the complex projective line), and \(\mathcal{U}(-1) = \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)\)):

\[
\begin{align*}
0 \to & \quad \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{U}(-1)) \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{U} \to 0, \\
& \quad (1.1) \\
0 \to & \quad \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{U}(-2)) \to \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{U}(-1)) \to \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{U}(-2)) \to 0. \\
& \quad (1.2)
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. The sequence (1.1) is the exact sequence of [13, Proposition 3.1]. It is useful, however, to recall how it is obtained. Firstly, as \( U \) is nonnegative, the canonical morphism \( \mathcal{O} \otimes H^0(U) \to U \) is surjective, and the induced exact sequence of cohomology implies that its kernel is of the form \( \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes V \) for some (complex) vector space \( V \).

Then, on tensorising \( 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes V \to \mathcal{O} \otimes H^0(U) \to U \to 0 \) with \( \mathcal{O}(-1) \) and passing to the cohomology exact sequence we obtain that \( V = H^0(U(-1)) \).

To construct (1.2), note that, there exists a canonical exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}_0 \to 0,
\]

where \( \mathcal{U}_1 \) is positive and \( \mathcal{U}_0 \) is trivial; moreover, \( \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{O} \otimes H^1(U(-2)) \). Then \( \mathcal{U}_1(-1) \) is nonnegative and therefore (1.1) holds for it. As \( H^0(U(-j)) = H^0(U_1(-j)) \), for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \), on tensorising with \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) the exact sequence (1.1), applied to \( \mathcal{U}_1(-1) \), we obtain

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O} \otimes H^0(U(-2)) \to \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes H^0(U(-1)) \to \mathcal{U}_1 \to 0,
\]

which, together with (1.3), gives (1.2) (with its uniqueness and functoriality).

Remark 1.6. Let \( U \) be a nonnegative holomorphic vector bundle over the sphere, endowed with a conjugation covering the antipodal map. Then the complexification of the corresponding linear \( \rho \)-quaternionic structure is obtained by applying the functor \( H^0 \) to the morphism \( \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes H^0(U(-1)) \to \mathcal{U} \) from (1.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. If we dualize (ii) we obtain (iii) applied to \( U^* \). Furthermore, if (ii)/(iii) holds then there exists a unique linear quaternionic-like structure on \( U \), with respect to which \( \mathcal{U}_\pm \) is the holomorphic vector bundle of \( U_\pm \), and \( \psi_\pm \) is quaternionic-like linear and induces the identity morphisms on \( U_\pm \).

To prove the converse, for simplicity, we work in the category of complex vector spaces. Thus, we shall ignore the conjugations, and, in particular, we shall denote in the same way \( U \) and its complexification. Then endow \( U \) with a linear quaternionic-like structure. By Proposition 1.2, this means that we have an exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over the sphere, as follows

\[
0 \to \mathcal{U}_- \to \mathcal{O} \otimes U \to \mathcal{U}_+ \to 0.
\]

Let \( U_+ = H^0(U_+) \) and let \( \psi_+ : U \to U_+ \) the induced linear map. By duality, we, also, obtain \( \psi_- : U_- \to U \). Further, on tensorising (1.4) with \( \mathcal{O}(-1) \) and by passing to the cohomology sequence we obtain

\[
H^0(U_+(1)) = H^1(U_-(1)) = H^0(U_-^*(-1))^*,
\]

where the second equality is given by the Serre duality. Together with Remark 1.6, this implies that \( E_+ = E_- \) (as (complex-)quaternionic vector spaces), where \( (E_+, \rho_+) \) and \( (E_-, \rho_-^*) \) are the linear \( \rho \)-quaternionic and the linear \( \rho^* \)-quaternionic structures of \( U_+ \) and \( U_- \), respectively.

Now, we claim to have the following commutative diagram, in which all of the vertical and horizontal sequences are exact.
Indeed, the second horizontal sequence is just (1.4), the third horizontal sequence is (1.1) applied to $U_+$, whilst the morphisms, corresponding to vertical arrows, between these two sequences are induced by $\psi_+$. Further, the second vertical sequence is the cohomology sequence of (1.4). By using the fact that $\psi_+$ is injective if and only if $U_-$ is negative we, also, obtain the first horizontal sequence, and by passing to cokernels we may complete the diagram with its fourth horizontal sequence.

Now, by using the Serre duality, and (1.5) we deduce that the first vertical sequence of the diagram is just the dual of (1.2) applied to $U^*_-$. Thus, if we dualize the diagram, pass to the cohomology sequence of the horizontal sequences, and then dualize, again (recall, also, Remark 1.6), we deduce the following facts:

(a) $\psi_+ \circ \psi_- = \rho_+ \circ \rho^*_-$,
(b) $\rho^*_-$ maps ker $\psi_-$ isomorphically onto ker $\rho_+$,
(c) $\psi_-$ maps ker $\rho^*_-$ isomorphically onto ker $\psi_+$,
(d) $\rho_+$ maps coker $\rho^*_-$ isomorphically onto coker $\psi_+$,
(e) $\psi_+$ maps coker $\psi_-$ isomorphically onto coker $\rho_+$.

Finally, properties (b) and (c), above, quickly complete the proof of statement (iii), and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

2. Quaternionic-like manifolds and their heaven spaces

We are interested in homogeneous complex manifolds $Z$ endowed with a (holomorphically) embedded (Riemann) sphere $t \subseteq Z$ with nonnegative normal bundle. For the corresponding differential geometry to be real we have to assume that $Z$ is endowed with an involutive antiholomorphic involution $\tau$ without fixed points, such that
\[ \tau(t) = t, \] and the complex Lie group \( G \) acting holomorphically and tranzitively on \( Z \) is the complexification of the (real) Lie subgroup of \( G \) formed of those elements whose induced holomorphic diffeomorphisms on \( Z \) commute with \( \tau \).

Along the way, we shall see that such pairs \((Z, t)\) are abundant. In this section, we answer to the following question: what geometric structure is induced on the (local) orbits of the \( \rho \)-quaternionic manifold whose twistor space is \( Z \) and for which \( t \) is a twistor sphere? The importance of this problem is strengthened by the proof of the following simple proposition, and its consequences.

**Proposition 2.1.** If \( Z \) is a homogeneous complex manifold then any sphere embedded in \( Z \) has nonnegative normal bundle.

**Proof.** Let \( t \subseteq Z \) be an embedded sphere, and let \( G \) be a complex Lie group acting holomorphically on \( Z \). Denote by \( G_t \) the closed subgroup of \( G \) formed of the elements preserving \( t \). Thus, each point \( aG_t \in G/G_t \) corresponds to the sphere \( a \subseteq Z \).

The map \( G \times t \to Z \times G/G_t \), \((a, z) \mapsto (az, at)\), induces an embedding of \( G \times G_t \) into \( Z \times G/G_t \) whose image is formed of those pairs \((w, s) \in Z \times G/G_t \) such that \( w \in s \).

Suppose, now, that \( G \) acts tranzitively on \( Z \). Then \( G \times G_t \to Z \), \([a, z] \mapsto az\), is a surjective holomorphic submersion. Consequently, the normal bundle of \( t \) in \( Z \) is a quotient of the trivial bundle over \( t \) with typical fibre the holomorphic tangent space to \( G/G_t \) at its ‘origin’. Hence, the normal bundle of \( t \) in \( Z \) is nonnegative, and the proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.2.** 1) It is easy to see that any (generalized) flag manifold admits an embedded sphere. Thus, Proposition 2.1 and [12], implies that any flag manifold is the twistor space of a (nonunique) \( \rho \)-quaternionic manifold.

2) With the same notations as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, and assuming that \( G \) acts tranzitively on \( Z \), we have that \( G \) acts tranzitively on \( G \times G_t \subseteq Z \times G/G_t \) if and only if \( G_t \) acts tranzitively on \( t \). If this holds, then \( G \times G_t \equiv G/(K \cap G_t) \), where \( K \subseteq G \) is the isotropy group of the action of \( G \) on \( Z \) at some point of \( t \).

Now, to answer to the above mentioned question we have to introduce the following notion.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( M \) be a manifold and let \( k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq \dim M \). An **almost quaternionic-like structure** on a \( M \) is a pair \((Y, t)\), where \( Y \) is an oriented sphere bundle over \( M \), and \( t : Y \to \text{Gr}_k(T^C M) \) is a bundle morphism such that \( t_x \) is a linear quaternionic-like structure on \( T_x M \), for any \( x \in M \).

An **almost quaternionic-like manifold** is a manifold endowed with an almost quaternionic-like structure.

Let \( (M,Y,t) \) be an almost quaternionic-like manifold. We denote by \( T^\pm M \) the complex vector bundles obtained as follows (cf. Proposition 1.2). Firstly, \( T^- M \) is the pull-back by \( t \) of the tautological bundle over \( \text{Gr}_k(T^C M) \) and then \( T^+ M \) is the cokernel
of the inclusion \( \iota : \mathcal{T}^{-} M \to \pi^{*}(T^{C} M) \), where \( \pi : Y \to M \) is the projection.

From [5, Theorem 2.3], [6, Theorem 9], and Theorem 1.4, we deduce the existence of vector bundles \( T^{\pm} M \) and \( E(= E_{\pm}) \), and morphisms \( \psi_{\pm} \) and \( \rho_{\pm} \) which determine the given almost quaternionic-like structure.

Let \( c : \mathcal{T}^{-} M \to T^{C} Y \) be a morphism of complex vector bundles over \( Y \) which intertwines the conjugations, and such that \( \tilde{d}_{\pi} \circ c = \iota \), where \( \tilde{d}_{\pi} : T^{C} Y \to \pi^{*}(T^{C} M) \) is the morphism of complex vector bundles induced by \( d_{\pi} \). We shall denote \( C = (\ker d_{\pi})^{0,1} \oplus (\text{im } c) \).

**Definition 2.4.** The almost quaternionic-like structure \((Y, t)\) is integrable, with respect to \( c \), if the space of smooth sections of \( C \) is closed under the usual bracket. Then \((Y, t, c)\) is a quaternionic-like structure and \((M, Y, t, c)\) is a quaternionic-like manifold.

Suppose, further, that there exists a surjective submersion \( \varphi : Y \to Z \), where \( Z \) is endowed with a CR structure \( C_{Z} \) such that:

1. \( d_{\varphi}(C) = C_{Z} \),
2. \( (\ker d_{\varphi})^{C} = C \cap \overline{C} \),
3. \( \varphi \) restricted to each fibre of \( \pi \) is injective,
4. the antipodal map on \( Y \) descends to an (anti-CR) involution \( \tau \) on \( Z \).

Then the CR manifold \((Z, C_{Z})\) is the twistor space of \((M, Y, t, c)\).

Note that, in Definition 2.4, as \( C \) is integrable, a sufficient condition for \( Z \), with the required properties, to exist, is that \( C \cap \overline{C} \) be the complexification of the tangent bundle of a foliation given by a submersion \( \varphi \) with connected fibres.

Also, if, in Definition 2.4, \((Y, t)\) is (given by) an almost CR quaternionic structure then, by applying [11, Theorem 2.1], we retrieve the notion of CR quaternionic manifold of [8]. Moreover, we shall, next, see that, assuming real analyticity, the notion of ‘heaven space’ appears naturally in the current, more general, setting. In particular, if \((Y, t)\) is an almost \( \rho \)-quaternionic structure then, up to a complexification, we retrieve the \( \rho \)-quaternionic manifolds of [12].

In the next result, by a ‘Hausdorff manifold’ we mean a manifold whose underlying topological space is Hausdorff (not necessarily paracompact).

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \((M, Y, t, c)\) be a real analytic quaternionic-like manifold with twistor space \((Z, C_{Z})\), given by the surjective submersion \( \varphi \). Then there exists a (germ) unique Hausdorff quaternionic-like manifold \((M^{+}, Y^{+}, t^{+}, c^{+})\), with twistor space \((Z^{+}, C^{+})\), given by \( \varphi^{+} \), and a unique quaternionic-like map \((\psi, \Psi)\) from \( M \) to \( M^{+} \) such that the following assertions are satisfied:

1. \( (Y^{+}, t^{+}) \) is an almost \( \rho \)-quaternionic structure (equivalently, \( TM^{+} = T^{+} M^{+} \)),
2. \( \psi^{*}(T^{M^{+}}) = T^{+} M \) and the morphism from \( TM \) to \( T^{+} M \) induced by \( d\psi \) is \( \psi^{+} \),
3. \( \Psi \) induces a CR embedding \( \psi_{Z} : Z \to Z^{+} \) such that \( \psi_{Z} \circ \varphi = \varphi^{+} \circ \Psi \).

(The ‘germ unicity’, here, means that, up to diffeomorphisms, the germ of \( M^{+} \) (as a quaternionic-like manifold) along the image of \( \psi \) is uniquely determined.)
Proof. By [1], we may assume that $Z$ is a CR submanifold of a complex manifold $\tilde{Z}$ such that the inclusion $T^CZ \subseteq T^C\tilde{Z}$ induces an isomorphism between the following two complex vector bundles:

(1) the restriction to $Z$ of the holomorphic tangent bundle of $\tilde{Z}$, and
(2) the quotient of $T^CZ$ through $C_Z$.

(In fact, we may, essentially, assume that $Z$ admits a complexification such that $C_Z$ is the restriction to $Z$ of a holomorphic foliation whose leaf space is $\tilde{Z}$.)

Moreover, we may assume that $\tau$ is the restriction to $Z$ of an antiholomorphic involution $\tilde{\tau}$ on $\tilde{Z}$, without fixed points.

Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the complexification of $Y$ as a sphere bundle over $M$. Then $\tilde{Y}$ is a sphere bundle, whose projection we denote by $\tilde{\pi}$, over a complexification $\tilde{M}$ of $M$; in particular, $\tilde{\pi}|_Y = \pi$. (Note that, $\tilde{Y}$ is not a complexification of $Y$, as a real analytic manifold, but the ‘complexification’ of $Y$ endowed with the CR structure given by the antiholomorphic tangent bundles of its fibres.) We may assume that the antipodal map extends to an involution without fixed points on $\tilde{Y}$ which covers, through $\tilde{\pi}$, the conjugation of $\tilde{M}$ (whose fixed point set is $M$).

By passing, if necessary, to open neighbourhoods of $\tilde{Z}$ and $\tilde{M}$ we may assume that $\varphi$ extends to a holomorphic surjective submersion $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{Y} \to \tilde{Z}$ which intertwines the conjugations. We claim that we may, further, assume that the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to each fibre of $\tilde{\pi}$ is an embedding. For this, we need the deformation theory of compact complex submanifolds (see [10], [14]; cf. [4]). Let $S$ be the moduli space of compact complex one-dimensional submanifolds of $\tilde{Z}$. This is a Hausdorff reduced complex analytic space endowed with a conjugation induced by $\tilde{\tau}$. Moreover, as $M$ is a parameter space for a real-analytic family of embedded spheres in $\tilde{Z}$ there exists a unique map $\psi : M \to S$ corresponding to $\varphi$ and the embedding $Z \subseteq \tilde{Z}$. Now, the isomorphism between (1) and (2), above, implies that, for any $x \in M$, the normal bundle of the sphere $\varphi(\pi^{-1}(x))$ in $\tilde{Z}$ is isomorphic to $T^+_xM$ which, because is nonnegative, has the property that $H^1(T^+_xM) = 0$. Consequently, the image of $\psi$ is formed of smooth points of $S$. Moreover, we may assume that $\psi$ admits a holomorphic extension $\tilde{\psi}$ over $\tilde{M}$ corresponding to a holomorphic extension of the CR map $\varphi : Y \to Z$, and whose image is formed of smooth points. By unicity this should correspond to $\tilde{\varphi}$, after passing, if necessary, to an open neighbourhood of $M$ in $\tilde{M}$. As the image of $\tilde{\psi}$ is formed of smooth points (corresponding to spheres embedded into $\tilde{Z}$) this proves our claim.

Finally, by applying [12], an open neighbourhood of the image of $\psi$ is the complexification of a Hausdorff $\rho$-quaternionic manifold $M^+$, as required, thus, completing the proof.

We call the $\rho$-quaternionic manifold $M^+$ of Theorem 2.5 the heaven space of $M$.

Example 2.6. Any quaternionic-like vector space is a real analytic quaternionic-like manifold, in an obvious way, whose heaven space is given by the $\rho$-quaternionic vector space $U^+$.  

Example 2.7. As already mentioned, any CR quaternionic manifold $M$ is quaternionic-like. Furthermore, assuming real analyticity, the heaven space of $M$, as a CR quaternionic manifold, is equal to the heaven of $M$, as a quaternionic-like manifold.

It is straightforward to extend Theorem 2.5 to obtain a result similar to [8, Theorem 5.3].

Example 2.8. With the same notations as in Proposition 2.1, the homogeneous complex manifold $G/G_t$ is a complex-quaternionic-like manifold. Consequently, if $M$ is a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold whose twistor space is homogeneous then each orbit, of the induced local action on $M$, is endowed with a real-analytic quaternionic-like structure whose heaven space is $M$.

3. A construction of homogeneous twistor spaces

We shall give and characterise a construction of homogeneous complex manifolds endowed with embedded Riemann spheres (with nonnegative normal bundles), and we shall describe several classes of $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds whose twistor spaces are obtained by this construction.

The ingredients of the construction are:

1. a complex Lie algebra $g$,
2. a (complex) representation $\sigma : g \rightarrow gl(E)$,
3. an injective morphism of complex Lie algebras $\tau : sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow g$,
4. a complex vector subspace $U \subseteq E$ such that $A \mapsto (\sigma \circ \tau)(A)|_U$ is an irreducible nontrivial representation of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $U$.

Remark 3.1. 1) Condition (3), above, implies that $g$ is not solvable (see [2, §6, n° 8, cor.1]). Conversely, for any Lie algebra $g$ which is not solvable we can find $\sigma, \tau, U$ as in (1)-(4), above. Indeed, if $g, \sigma, \tau$ are as in (1)-(3), then there exists $U$ such that (4) is satisfied if and only if $\sigma \circ \tau$ is nontrivial.

2) To obtain real $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds, in (1)-(3), we use complexifications (with $su(2)$, in (3)), and, in (4), we assume $U$ invariant under the conjugation of $E$.

To simplify the exposition, we shall call a quadruple $(g, \sigma, \tau, U)$ as in (1)-(4), above, good. With these quadruples we can form a category whose morphisms are pairs $(\varphi, \psi) : (g, \sigma_g, \tau_g, U_g) \rightarrow (h, \sigma_h, \tau_h, U_h)$, where $\varphi : g \rightarrow h$ is a morphism of Lie algebras such that $\varphi \circ \tau_g = \tau_h$, and, if $E_g$ and $E_h$ are the representation spaces of $\sigma_g$ and $\sigma_h$, respectively, then $\psi : E_g \rightarrow E_h$ is a $\varphi$-equivariant complex linear map such that $\psi(U_g) = U_h$ (in particular, $U_g$ and $U_h$ are isomorphic as representation spaces of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$).

Proposition 3.2. Let $(g, \sigma, \tau, U)$ be a good quadruple, and let $t \subseteq PU$ be the Veronese curve formed of all $\ker((\sigma \circ \tau)(A)|_U)$, with $A \in sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ nilpotent.

Let $Z$ be the orbit containing $t$, of the induced action of $G$ on $PE$, where $G$ is the simply-connected complex Lie group whose Lie algebra is $g$. 
Then the normal bundle of $t$ in $Z$ is nonnegative, and $(g, \sigma, \tau, U) \mapsto (Z, t)$ is functorial.

Proof. This follows quickly from Proposition 2.1. □

To state the next result, we recall two standard notions of algebraic geometry (see [3]). Let $N$ be a complex manifold (not necessarily compact) and let $L$ be a holomorphic line bundle over $N$. Also, let $E$ be a finite dimensional complex vector subspace of the space of holomorphic sections of $L$. We say that $L$ is very ample, with respect to $E$, if on associating to any $x \in N$ the subspace of $E$ formed of those sections that vanish at $x$ we obtain a well-defined injective immersion into $PE^*$. On the other hand, let $N$ be a compact complex submanifold of a complex projective space $PE$, and let $L$ be the dual of the tautological over $PE$. Then $N$ is normal if the restriction map from $E^*$ to the space of holomorphic sections of $L|N$ is surjective.

Theorem 3.3. There exists a natural correspondence between (i) good quadruples, and (ii) triples $(Z, t, L)$, with $Z = G/K$ a homogeneous complex manifold, $t \subseteq Z$ an embedded Riemann sphere, and $L$ a holomorphic line bundle over $Z$, such that:

(a) the morphism $G_t \rightarrow \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $a \mapsto a|_t$ is surjective, where $G_t \subseteq G$ is the subgroup preserving $t$,
(b) the action of $G$ on $Z$ lifts to a holomorphic action of $G$ on $L$ by automorphisms,
(c) $L$ is very ample, with respect to a $G$-invariant subspace $F$ of the space of holomorphic sections of $L$,
(d) the induced embedding of $t$ into $PF^*$ is normal.

Proof. It is sufficient to show how to pass from (ii) to (i). If $(Z, t, L)$ is a triple as in (ii), with $Z = G/K$, let $g$ be the Lie algebra of $G$, let $E = F^*$, and let $U$ be the dual of the space of holomorphic sections of $L|_t$. From (d), we obtain that $U \subseteq E$, and, from (b), (c), we obtain a representation $\sigma$ of $g$ on $E$.

If $g_t$ be the Lie algebra of $G_t$ then, by (a), we have a surjective morphism of complex Lie algebras $\mu : g_t \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. As $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is simple, there exists a Lie algebras section $\tau$ of $\mu$ (see [2] §6, n°8, cor. 3).

Finally, (d) implies that the representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, induced on $U$, is irreducible and of dimension $d + 1$, where $d$ is the degree of $t \subseteq PE$. Thus, $(g, \sigma, \tau, U)$ is good, and the proof is complete. □

Example 3.4 (compare [12]). The irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ are given by injective morphisms of Lie algebras $\tau_k : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(U_k)$, where $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U_k = k + 1$, $(k \in \mathbb{N})$; in particular, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sl}(U_1)$.

If $k \geq 1$ then $(\mathfrak{sl}(U_k), \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(U_k)}, \tau_k, U_k)$ is good, and the resulting complex homogeneous manifold is $Z = PU_k$, the twistor space of the space $M_k$ of Veronese curves of degree $k$. Note that, $M_k$ is the quotient of $\text{PGL}(U_k)$ through $\text{PGL}(U_1)$ and therefore is homogeneous. Thus, up to the integrability, the geometric structure of $M_k$ is determined by the type of the normal bundle of $t \subseteq PU_k$ as a holomorphic vector bundle.
endowed with an action of $\text{PGL}(U_1)$. It is fairly well-known that this normal bundle is $U_{k-2} \otimes O(k + 2)$, where $U_{-1} = \{0\}$ (if $k$ is odd, $\text{PGL}(U_1)$ acts on $U_{k-2} \otimes O(k + 2)$, although it does not act on its factors). Consequently, the geometry of $M_k$ is underlined by the canonical representation of $\text{PGL}(U_1)$ on $U_{k-2} \otimes U_{k+2}$.

**Example 3.5** (compare [9]). Let $E$ be a (real) Euclidean space and let $U \subseteq E$ be a three-dimensional vector subspace. By using the Euclidean structure, we obtain an injective morphism of Lie algebras $\tau : \mathfrak{so}(U) \to \mathfrak{so}(E)$.

Then (the complexification of) $(\mathfrak{so}(E), \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{so}(E)}, \tau, U)$ is good, and the resulting complex homogeneous space is the (isotropic) hyperquadric in $PE^C$. This is the twistor space of the Grassmannian of oriented three-dimensional subspaces of $E$.

**Example 3.6** (compare [9]). Let $(V, \omega)$ be a complex symplectic vector space, $\dim_C V \geq 4$, and let $p_1$ and $p_2$ be two-dimensional complex vector subspaces of $V$ such that $V_1 = p_1 + p_2$ is nondegenerate (and four-dimensional), with respect to $\omega$, and $\omega|_{p_1} = 0$, $\omega|_{p_2} = 0$. Alternatively, $p_1$ and $p_2$ are characterised by the facts that they are of dimension 2, $\omega|_{p_1} = 0$ and $\omega$ induces an isomorphism between $p_2$ and $p_1^\ast$.

Let $U_1 = (\ker \omega) \cap \Lambda^2 V_1$, and, note that, $\Lambda^2 p_1$ and $\Lambda^2 p_2$ are subspaces of $U_1$. Moreover, $\Lambda^2 p_1 + \Lambda^2 p_2$ is a two-dimensional subspace of $U_1$ which is nondegenerated, with respect to the (complex-)conformal structure, given by the wedge product. Therefore the orthogonal complement $U \subseteq U_1$ of $\Lambda^2 p_1 + \Lambda^2 p_2$, with respect to this conformal structure, is nondegenerated and three-dimensional. Consequently, we have $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{so}(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(U_1) = \mathfrak{sp}(V_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(V)$; denote by $\tau : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ the obtained injective morphism of Lie algebras.

On denoting by $\sigma$ the representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ on $\Lambda^2 V$ we obtain the good quadruple $(\mathfrak{sp}(V), \sigma, \tau, U)$. The obtained homogeneous complex manifold is the complex hypersurface $Z \subseteq \text{Gr}_2(V)$ formed of the two-dimensional complex subspaces which are isotropic with respect to $\omega$.

The corresponding $p$-quaternionic manifold is the submanifold $M \subseteq Z \times Z$ formed of those $(q_1, q_2) \in Z \times Z$ such that $q_1 + q_2$ is nondegenerated, with respect to $\omega$. Consequently, $M$ is the quotient of $\text{Sp}(V)$ through $H = \text{GL}(p_1) \times \text{Sp}(V_2)$, where $V_2 = (p_1 \oplus p_2)_{\text{\tiny iso}}$, and to embed $H$ into $\text{Sp}(V)$ we have used the complex linear isomorphism $V = (p_1 \oplus p_1^\ast) \oplus V_2$. Then $M = \text{Sp}(V)/H$ is a reductive homogeneous space, and the action of $H$ on $\mathfrak{sp}(V)/\mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of $H$, is induced through the complex linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{sp}(V)/\mathfrak{h} = (\odot^2 p_1) \oplus (\odot^2 p_1^\ast) \oplus ((p_1 \oplus p_1^\ast) \otimes V_2)$, where $\odot$ denotes the symmetric product. It follows that the normal bundle of each twistor sphere is $2O(2) \oplus 2(\dim_C V - 4)O(1)$.

To make this construction real, think of $V$ as a real vector space and let $I$ be the given linear complex structure on it. Then endow $V$ with another linear complex structure $J$ such that $JI = -IJ$ and $J^\ast \omega = \overline{\omega}$. Now, the map $M \to M$, $(q_1, q_2) \mapsto (Jq_2, Jq_1)$, is a conjugation (that is, an involutive antiholomorphic diffeomorphism) whose fixed point
set is the image of the real analytic map \( Z \to M \), \( p \mapsto (p, Jp) \); thus, \( M = Z^C \). This way, \( Z \) is an \( f \)-quaternionic manifold and its twistor space is \( Z \) itself.

For the next example, recall [7] that if \( g \) is a complex semisimple Lie algebra then there is a natural correspondence between nilpotent adjoint orbits and conjugation classes of Lie subalgebras of \( g \) isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \). The involved association is the following: if \( Y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\} \) is nilpotent (equivalently, \( Y^2 = 0 \), in the canonical representation) and \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) is a Lie subalgebra of \( g \) then \( Y \in g \) is nilpotent, and, obviously, the adjoint orbit of \( Y \) depends only of the conjugation class of the embedding \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq g \). Conversely, any nilpotent \( Y \in g \setminus \{0\} \) is contained in a Lie subalgebra of \( g \) isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) (this fact is, usually, called the Jacobson–Morozov Theorem), and, moreover, any two such subalgebras are conjugated.

**Example 3.7** (compare [15]). Let \( g \) be a complex semisimple Lie algebra, and let \( \text{ad} : g \to \mathfrak{gl}(g) \) be its adjoint representation. Then, for any Lie algebra embedding \( \tau : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to g \), the quadruple \((g, \text{ad}, \tau, \text{im} \tau)\) is good.

Let \((Z, t)\) be the resulting homogeneous complex manifold, endowed with an embedded Riemann sphere. To describe the normal bundle of \( t \) in \( Z \), for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( a_j \in \mathbb{N} \) be the multiplicity with which the irreducible component \( U_j \) appears in the decomposition of the representation of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) on \( g \), induced by \( \text{ad} \) and \( \tau \). Then the normal bundle of \( t \) in \( Z \) is \((-2 + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} ja_j)O(1)\) (note that, the previous sum contains only finitely many nonzero terms).

It is known that any compact real form \( g_\mathbb{R} \) of \( g \) - which we assume such that \( g_\mathbb{R} \cap \text{im} \tau = \mathfrak{su}(2) \) - determines a conjugation, with respect to which, \( Z \) is the twistor space of a quaternionic-Kähler manifold \( M \), and \( t \) is a real twistor sphere.

Then \( M \) is a co-CR quaternionic submanifold of \( \text{Gr}_3^+(g_\mathbb{R}) \). Furthermore, the conjugation class \( N \) of the embedding \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \subseteq g_\mathbb{R} \) is a CR quaternionic submanifold of \( M \); moreover, \( M \) is the heaven space of \( N \). On the other hand, if there exists \( j \geq 3 \) such that \( a_j \neq 0 \), then \( N \) is not a CR quaternionic submanifold of \( \text{Gr}_3^+(g_\mathbb{R}) \).

Finally, it is known that the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) \( M \) is compact,
- (b) \( M = N \),
- (c) \( M \) is a Wolf space (that is, \( g \) is simple and \( Z \) is the projectivisation of the adjoint orbit of a highest root vector, with respect to a Cartan subalgebra of \( g \)).
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