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Multiparticle entangled states are significant resources for both quantum information processing and quantum metrology. In particular, non-Gaussian entangled states are predicted to achieve a higher sensitivity of precision measurements than Gaussian states. On the basis of metrological sensitivity, the conventional linear Ramsey squeezing parameter (RSP) efficiently characterizes the Gaussian entangled atomic states but fails for much wider classes of highly sensitive non-Gaussian states. These complex non-Gaussian entangled states can be classified by the nonlinear squeezing parameter (NLSP), as a generalization of the RSP with respect to nonlinear observables, and identified via the Fisher information. However, the NLSP has never been measured experimentally. Using a 19-qubit programmable superconducting processor, we report the characterization of multiparticle entangled states generated during its nonlinear dynamics. First, selecting 10 qubits, we measure the RSP and the NLSP by single-shot readouts of collective spin operators in several different directions. Then, by extracting the Fisher information of the time-evolved state of all 19 qubits, we observe a large metrological gain of $9.89_{-0.29}^{+0.28}$ dB over the standard quantum limit, indicating a high level of multiparticle entanglement for quantum-enhanced phase sensitivity. Benefiting from high-fidelity full controls and addressable single-shot readouts, the superconducting processor with interconnected qubits provides an ideal platform for engineering and benchmarking non-Gaussian entangled states that are useful for quantum-enhanced metrology.

**Introduction.**—The ability to create and manipulate the entangled states of multiparticle quantum systems is crucial for advanced quantum technologies, including quantum metrology [1], quantum error correction [2, 3], quantum communications [4, 5], quantum simulations [6], and fundamental tests of quantum theory [7]. A universal quantum computer [8] is able to deterministically generate multipartite entangled states with numerous sequences of single- and two-qubit operations. However, the conventional step-by-step method is very challenging to scale up and increases exposure to noise. Instead, parallel entangling operations, involving all-to-all connectivity, can efficiently create various types of entangled states, and have also been suggested to obtain polynomial or exponential speedups in some quantum algorithms and quantum simulation [9, 10]. Realized via the free evolution under a one-axis twisting (OAT) Hamiltonian, the parallel entangling operation first transforms the initial coherent spin state to squeezed spin states [11, 12] and then to non-Gaussian entangled states [13], including multicomponent atomic Schrödinger cat states and the GHZ state [14, 15]. In the squeezed regime, squeezing of a collective spin, described by Gaussian statistics, represents the improvement of phase sensitivity to SU(2) rotations over the standard quantum limit [1, 11, 16] and can be characterized by the Ramsey squeezing parameter (RSP) $\xi_{RSP}$ [17]. In the over-squeezed regime, multipartite entanglement of the non-Gaussian spin states can be witnessed by extracting the Fisher information (FI) $F$ [18], related to the phase sensitivity in Ramsey interferometry via the Cramér-Rao bound $(\Delta \theta)^2 \geq 1/F$ [1, 11, 19]. Furthermore, the non-Gaussian entangled states can be classified by the nonlinear squeezing parameter (NLSP) $\xi_{NLSP}$ [20], extending the concept of spin squeezing to nonlinear observables. Despite many achievements in generating linear spin squeezing (e.g., in Bose-Einstein condensates [21–26], atomic ensembles [27–38], and trapped ions [39]), the non-Gaussian entangled states, believed to perform higher-sensitive quantum phase estimation, quantum simulations [6] and classically intractable quantum algorithms (e.g., Shor’s algorithm [40]), are attracting growing interests [41–44].

In this Letter, we measure the NLSP using 10 interconnected superconducting qubits, which requires the capability of single-shot readouts of collective spin operators. Compared with the linear RSP and the FI, our experiments help to analyze different classes of complicated non-Gaussian entangled states during the OAT evolution of the multi-qubit state. Moreover, by extracting the FI, our experiments achieve a metrological gain, $F/N = 9.89_{-0.29}^{+0.28}$ dB using $N = 19$ qubits, which is larger than those obtained in many other experimental platforms with a much larger number of particles.

Quantum metrology, using quantum resources to yield a higher measurement precision than classical approaches, is one of the most promising applications of quantum technologies [1]. By measuring the RSP, the NLSP, and the FI, we demonstrate quantum enhancement in parameter estimation by using entangled states of superconducting qubits. Compared with the RSP, the experimentally measured NLSP repre-
FIG. 1. Superconducting quantum processor and experimental pulse sequence. (a) Simplified schematic of the superconducting quantum processor, showing 19 qubits interconnected by the central bus resonator R. (b,c) Effective all-to-all coupling strengths, $\chi_{ij}$, for (b) selected 10 qubits and (c) all 19 qubits. (d) Experimental waveform pulse sequences for detecting (1) the squeezing parameters (RSP and NLS) and (2) the FI. Ten or nineteen qubits are initially prepared at $|0\rangle$ at their idle points, and then transformed to $|+\rangle$ by a collective $Y_\pi$ gate. After the free evolution with a time $t$, all qubits are equally detuned to the resonator R with $\Delta/2\pi \approx -580$ MHz, all qubits at their idle points are measured in the same direction.

sent greater metrological improvement benefiting from nonlinear observables for both Gaussian and non-Gaussian entangled states. Our work will stimulate interests in non-Gaussian entangled states of quantum many-body systems, which are useful in quantum metrology and quantum information processing.

Experimental device.—In our experiments, 19 addressable transmon qubits ($Q_j$, with $j$ varied from 1 to 19), capacitively coupled to a resonator bus $R$, are chosen to effectively engineer a OAT Hamiltonian, see Fig. 1(a) and Refs. [15, 45]. By equally detuning selected qubits from the resonator by $\Delta/2\pi \approx -580$ MHz, the effective system Hamiltonian reads (we set $\hbar = 1$, where $\hbar$ is the Planck’s constant $\hbar$ divided by $2\pi$)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \chi_{ij} (\hat{\sigma}^+_i \hat{\sigma}_j^- + h.c.), \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^+_i$ ($\hat{\sigma}^-_i$) is the raising (lowering) operator of $Q_j$, and $\chi_{ij}$ denotes the qubit-qubit coupling. The all-to-all couplings between the qubits are realized by the super-exchange interaction mediated by the bus resonator $R$ [46, 47]. As shown in Fig. 1(b,c) for choosing 10 qubits ($Q_j$ with $j = 1, 2, \cdots, 10$) and all 19 qubits, respectively, the effect of unbalanced qubit-qubit couplings, caused by the few cross-talk couplings between neighboring qubits, can be ignored [15, 45]. With $N$ selected qubits initialized at their idle points as $|00\cdots0\rangle_N$, we prepare these qubits in the state $|+ + \cdots +\rangle_N$ via a $Y_\pi$ pulse, and then detune them equally from the resonator $R$ for the quench dynamics with a time $t$ before the readouts in the same direction [see the experimental pulse sequence in Fig. 1(d)]. The simultaneous single-shot readouts for all the selected qubits are performed by applying readout pulses to the transmission lines, coupled to the readout resonator of each qubit, yielding the joint probabilities of the outcomes of the collective spin operator in the $z$-direction. Collective spin operators in other directions can be measured by rotating the measurement axes with microwave pulses before readout. Our $N$-qubit system can be described by a family of linear collective spin operators $\hat{J} \equiv (\hat{J}_x, \hat{J}_y, \hat{J}_z)$, with $\hat{J}_\beta \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{\sigma}^\beta_j/2$, and $\hat{\sigma}^\beta_j$ being Pauli matrices for $\beta = x, y, z$. The Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) can be approximately expressed as an OAT one $H \approx -\chi \hat{J}_z^2$.

Measurement of the nonlinear squeezing parameter for 10 superconducting qubits.—We need to estimate an unknown parameter $\theta$, impressed on the time evolved state $\rho_t$ at time $t$ via unitary evolutions, $\rho_t(\theta) = e^{-i\hat{J}_t \theta} \rho_0 e^{i\hat{J}_t \theta}$, with $\hat{J}_t \equiv \hat{n} \cdot \hat{J}$ being a collective spin operator in the direction $\hat{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For a family of $D$ accessible operators $\hat{S} = (\hat{S}_1, \hat{S}_2, \cdots, \hat{S}_D)$, the parameter $\theta$ is estimated from the measurement of the observable $\hat{S}_m = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{S}$, with $\hat{m} \in \mathbb{R}^D$, as a linear combination of accessible operators. Then, the optimal metrological squeezing parameter of $\rho_0$ for $\hat{S}$ can be written as [20]

$$\xi^2_{\text{opt}}[\rho_0, \hat{S}] = \min_{m \in \mathbb{R}^D} \min_{\hat{S} \in \mathbb{R}^D} \frac{N(\Delta \rho_0 \hat{S}_m)^2}{\langle \{ \hat{S} \hat{S} \rangle \rho_0 \rangle^2} \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta \rho_O \hat{O} \equiv \langle \hat{O}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{O} \rangle^2$ denotes the variance of the operator $\hat{O}$ with respect to the state $\rho$. This parameter, $\xi^2_{\text{opt}}[\rho_0, \hat{S}]$, quantifies the achievable metrological sensitivity enhancement over the standard quantum limit. Its inverse $1/\xi^2_{\text{opt}}[\rho_0, \hat{S}]$ > $\kappa$, with $1 \leq \kappa \leq (N - 1)$, reveals the multiparticle entanglement of at least $(\kappa + 1)$ qubits [48]. When the observables are limited to linear collective spin operators $\hat{S}_m = \hat{J}$, the $\xi^2_{\text{opt}}[\rho_0, \hat{J}]$ reduces to the linear RSP $\xi^2_{\text{RSP}}[\rho_0]$. We can further define the NLSP $\xi^2_{\text{NLSP}}[\rho_0]$ with an $\hat{S}$ that includes not only linear but also nonlinear operators. For example, the second-order NLSP [20] corresponds to the $D = 9$ linear and quadratic collective spin operators in different directions:

$$\hat{S}_m = (\hat{J}_x, \hat{J}_y, \hat{J}_z + \hat{J}^2_x, \hat{J}^2_x + \hat{J}^2_y, \hat{J}^2_x + \hat{J}^2_y + \hat{J}^2_z), \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{J}_\beta \equiv (\hat{J}_\beta + \hat{J}_\gamma)/\sqrt{2}$ with $\beta, \gamma \in \{x, y, z\}$.

With the method in Ref. [20] to optimize measurement observables for quantum metrology, we first measure the linear RSP and the NLSP of $N = 10$ qubits during the nonlinear
FIG. 2. Linear RSP versus NLSP for 10 superconducting qubits. (a and b) The measured matrices $\mathcal{M}$ to optimize (a) the linear RSP at $t = 34$ ns, and (b) the NLSP at $t = 50$ ns, respectively, compared with the numerical simulations. (c) Experimental data of the Husimi $Q$ functions of the states, $Q(\theta, \phi)$, against $\theta$ and $\phi$ at specific times with the rotations along the $x$-axis to widen the equatorial distributions. At $t = 0$ ns, 34 ns, and 82 ns, the $Q(\theta, \phi)$ represent the spin-coherent state, the spin-squeezed state, and the non-Gaussian state, respectively. Insets: Experimentally measured $2 \times 2$ matrix $\hat{\rho}$ for the evolution of the inverse linear RSP, with a family of operators $\hat{S}_{\text{exp}}$, and the normalized FI, $F/N$, for the numerical simulations without decoherence. The green solid curve shows the numerical simulation of the normalized quantum FI, $F_0/N = 4 \max_{\Delta \phi} \langle |\Delta \phi, \hat{J}_z| \rangle^2 / N$, without decoherence, which is the largest normalized FI over all possible measurements and linear generators. The error bars, indicating the standard deviations of the results, are calculated from 200,000 repetitive experimental runs in total (see Supplementary Material [47] for details).

Free evolution. The optimal metrological squeezing parameter can be obtained by searching the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of a $3 \times 3$ matrix $\mathcal{M}[\rho, \hat{S}]$ as [20]

$$\xi_{\text{opt}}^2[\rho, \hat{S}] = \frac{N}{\lambda_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{M}[\rho, \hat{S}])},$$  

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the submatrix only containing the first three rows and columns of a $D \times D$ matrix $\mathcal{M}$. The matrix $\mathcal{M}$ reads

$$\mathcal{M}[\rho, \hat{S}] = C^\dagger[\rho, \hat{S}] C[\rho, \hat{S}],$$  

where $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{exp}} = \langle \hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_y, \hat{J}_z^2, \hat{J}_y^2, \hat{J}_z^{2y}, \hat{J}_z^{2z} \rangle$, and obtain the time evolution of the NLSP via measuring each element of $\mathcal{V}[\rho, \hat{S}_{\text{exp}}]$ and $C[\rho, \hat{S}_{\text{exp}}]$ with simultaneous single-shot readouts of 10 qubits in different directions (see Supplementary Material [47] for more details). Note that the elements of the matrices $\mathcal{V}$ and $\hat{C}$ for the NLSP include the averages of the collective spin operators’ third power and fourth power, requiring single-shot readouts of the qubits. The RSP can be simply given by considering the submatrices $\mathcal{V}$ and $\hat{C}$, only containing the first three rows and columns of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\hat{C}$, respectively. The observable for the optimal metrological squeezing parameter can be obtained as $S_{\text{opt}} = \hat{m}_{\text{opt}} \cdot \hat{S}$, where $\hat{m}_{\text{opt}} = \mathcal{V}^{-1} \mathcal{C} \hat{m}'$, and $\hat{m}' = (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3, 0, \ldots, 0)$, with $n_{\text{max}} = (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3)$ being the eigenvector for the maximum eigenvalue of $\mathcal{M}[\rho, \hat{S}]$ [20, 47].

At $t = 34$ ns and 50 ns, the experimental data of ma-
traces $\mathcal{M}[\rho_t, \hat{J}]$ and $\mathcal{M}[\rho_t, \hat{S}_{ap}]$ for the RSP and the NLS, respectively, are compared with the numerical predictions in Fig. 2(a,b). The optimal observable (after normalization) for the RSP at $t = 34$ ns is obtained from experimental data as $0.026 \hat{J}_x + 0.463 \hat{J}_y = 0.886 \hat{J}_z$, and the one for the NLS at $t = 50$ ns is $-0.025 \hat{J}_x + 0.443 \hat{J}_y - 0.879 \hat{J}_z + 0.070 \hat{J}_z^2 + 0.002 \hat{J}_y^2 + 0.020 \hat{J}_x^2 - 0.157 \hat{J}_z^2$. More data for the optimal observables for the RSP and the NLS during the evolution are shown in the Supplementary Material [47]. The time evolutions of the inverse RSP, $\xi_R^{-2}$, and the inverse NLS, $\xi_{NL}^{-2}$, are shown in Fig. 2(d), which are compared with the normalized FI, $F/N$. Our results, verifying the hierarchical relationship, $\xi_R^{-2} \leq \xi_{NL}^{-2}$, demonstrate that the NLS, generalizing and improving the RSP with additional quadratic operators, helps to capture a larger set of metrologically useful entangled states. Especially, in the over-squeezed regime (e.g., $t = 82$ ns), the NLS and the FI identify the multiparticle entangled state with an obvious non-Gaussian distribution in phase space [see the experimental results of the Husimi Q function, $Q(\theta, \phi)$, in the rightmost subfigure of Fig. 2(c)], which cannot be characterized by the linear RSP. Therefore, the NLS, measured with single-shot readouts of collective spin operators, is efficient to capture the entanglement of the non-Gaussian state without the need of quantum state tomography.

**Extraction of the FI for 10 and all 19 superconducting qubits.**—Furthermore, the maximal FI, $F_{\text{opt}}$, which quantifies the achievable metrological sensitivity, can be compared to the inverse of the optimal metrological squeezing parameter $F_{\text{opt}}/N \geq \xi_{\text{opt}}^{-2} [\rho_t, \hat{S}]$ [20]. To demonstrate the metrological performance of our superconducting qubits, we experimentally detect the FI by comparing the measurement statistics of the time evolved states $\hat{\rho}_t(0)$ and $\hat{\rho}_t(\theta)$ with and without a small rotation with the generator $\hat{J}_z$ after the optimization rotation along the $x$-axis [Fig. 3(a)]. For a small $\theta$ and sufficiently large number of experimental realizations, the FI can be extracted as the coefficient of the quadratic term from a polynomial fit to the square of the Hellinger distance [13]: [see the experimental results in Fig. 4(a)]

$$d^2_\text{H}(\theta) = \frac{F}{8} \theta^2 + O(\theta^4),$$

where $d^2_\text{H}(\theta) \equiv 1 - \sum_z \sqrt{P_z(0)P_z(\theta)}$, and the sum is the Bhattacharyya coefficient with probability distributions $P_z(0)$ and $P_z(\theta)$ of the observable $\hat{J}_z$ for states $\hat{\rho}_t(0)$ and $\hat{\rho}_t(\theta)$, respectively. The experimental results of the probability distributions $P_z(\theta)$ for different values of $\theta$ are illustrated in Fig. 3(c). In Fig. 2(d), we show with $N = 10$ qubits that the FI reveals larger multiparticle entanglement, though the RSP and the NLS increase at long evolution times (e.g., $F/N \geq \xi_{NL}^{-2} \geq \xi_R^{-2}$, with $t \geq 66$ ns). At some times, the measured normalized FI is smaller than the inverse NLS, because it is difficult in experiments to search for the optimal observable and to apply an infinitesimal phase $\theta$ for detecting the quantum FI that gives an upper bound for the inverse NLS [20]. The maximum normalized FI, $F/N = 5.13 \pm 0.32$ (7.10 $\pm 0.26$ dB), is detected at $t = 66$ ns for $N = 10$ qubits. For $N = 19$ qubits, we measure the FI during the nonlinear time evolution as shown in Fig. 4(c). At $t = 64$ ns, we observe the maximum metrological gain $F/N = 9.75 \pm 0.64$ (9.89 $\pm 0.28$ dB), benefiting from the multiparticle entanglement of non-Gaussian states in the over-squeezed regime.

In addition, the FI is also helpful for obtaining simple integer indicators that capture the extent of multipartite correlations: (i) the entanglement depth $w$ describes that at least $w$ parties are entangled; (ii) $h$-inseparability expresses that the system cannot be split into $h$ separable parties [49]. Taking $w$ and $h$ as the width and height of the Young diagram, Dyson’s rank $r \equiv w - h$ [50] is a better integer quantifier of multipartite entanglement than either $w$ or $h$ [51], which also indicates the “strenchability” of entanglement [52]. For 10 qubits, we obtain from the FI that $r = 5$, saturated by $w = 5$ and $h = 2$. Using 19 qubits, we have $r = 7$, with $w = 11$ and $h = 4$. Our experiment detects positive and relative large Dyson’s ranks, implying a large size $w$ of the largest entangled group and a small number $h$ of separable groups.

**Numerical details.**—Numerical computations are per-

![FIG. 4. Quantum enhanced metrology with 19 superconducting qubits. (a) The squared Hellinger distance (HD) at $t = 48$ ns versus the phase $\vartheta$ in the Ramsey interferometer for different tomography angles $\alpha = -0.288$ rad, $-0.212$ rad, and $-0.138$ rad (along the $x$-axis). The solid lines are for the quadratic curve fitting. (b) The normalized FI, $F/N$, extracted from the squared Hellinger distance versus $\alpha$. The optimal angle at $t = 48$ ns is obtained as $\alpha_{\text{opt}} = -0.288$ rad. (c) The time evolution of the normalized FI, $F/N$, is compared with the numerical simulations of the inverse RSP (red dashed curve), $\xi_R^{-2}$, and the normalized quantum FI (blue solid curve), $F_{\text{opt}}/N = 4 \max_{a,b}(\Delta_{\rho_t, J_z})^2/N$, without decoherence. The error bars, indicating the standard deviations of the results, are calculated from about 600,000 repetitive experimental runs in total (see Supplementary Material [47] for details).](image-url)
formed using QUTiP [53, 54] (quantum toolbox in Python) and NUMPY. The time evolutions of the system with a Hamiltonian [expressed in Eq. (1)] are numerically simulated using QUTiP’s master equation solver mesolve, where the parameters in Fig. 1(b) and Supplementary Material [47] are used. Because the evolution time is much shorter than the qubits’ energy relaxation time and dephasing time $t \ll T_1, T_2$, we neglect the effect of decoherence in simulations.

Conclusions—We have demonstrated the characterization of multiparticle entangled states of superconducting qubits utilizing different concepts of entanglement witnesses, including the RSP, the NLSp, and the FL. With 19 qubits, we have obtained a larger quantum metrological gain over the classical metrology than those obtained in many other platforms with a much larger number of particles. It would imply the potential capability of showing quantum advantages in quantum metrology with interconnected superconducting circuits, as superconducting circuits have been used for the dynamical Casimir effect [55] and to search for dark matter [56]. Owing to the high-fidelity controls and individually addressable single-shot readouts of qubits with long decoherence times, our system is also promising for realizing different quantum algorithms including two-axis-twisting spin squeezing [12] and variational quantum simulations [57].
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