Reference values of fractional excretion of exhaled nitric oxide among non-smokers and current smokers
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Abstract

Background: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FE\textsubscript{NO}) is used to assess airway inflammation; diagnose asthma and monitor adherence to advised therapy. Reliable and accurate reference values for FE\textsubscript{NO} are needed for both non-smoking and current smoking adults in the clinical setting. The present study was performed to establish reference adult FE\textsubscript{NO} values among never-smokers, former smokers and current smokers.

Methods: FE\textsubscript{NO} was measured in 5265 subjects aged 25–75 years in a general-population study, using a chemiluminescence (Niox™) analyser according to the guidelines of the American Thoracic Society and the European Respiratory Society. Atopy was based on the presence of immunoglobulin E (IgE) antibodies to common inhalant allergens (measured using Phadiatop® test). Spirometry without bronchodilation was performed and forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expired volume in 1 s (FEV\textsubscript{1}) and the ratio of FEV\textsubscript{1} to FVC values were obtained. After excluding subjects with asthma, chronic bronchitis, spirometric airway obstruction and current cold, 3378 subjects remained. Equations for predictions of FE\textsubscript{NO} values were modelled using nonparametric regression models.

Results: FE\textsubscript{NO} levels were similar in never-smokers and former smokers, and these two groups were therefore merged into a group termed “non-smokers”. Reference equations, including the 5th and 95th percentiles, were generated for female and male non-smokers, based on age, height and atopy. Regression models for current smokers were unstable. Hence, the proposed reference values for current smokers are based on the univariate distribution of FE\textsubscript{NO} and fixed cut-off limits.

Conclusions: Reference values for FE\textsubscript{NO} among respiratory healthy non-smokers should be outlined stratified for gender using individual reference values. For current smokers separate cut-off limits are proposed.
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Background

In 2005, the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the American Thoracic Society (ATS) jointly published recommendations for measuring the fractional excretion of exhaled nitric oxide (FE\textsubscript{NO}). These recommendations were followed in 2011 by an updated ATS document on the clinical use of FE\textsubscript{NO} [1, 2]. The 2011 guidelines suggested that an FE\textsubscript{NO} level of >50 ppb indicated a high probability of eosinophilic airways inflammation, and that an FE\textsubscript{NO} level 25–50 ppb should be evaluated further [2]. Subsequent publications have favoured the use of fixed cut-off limits to diagnose asthma, especially Th-2 driven airway inflammation, the most common motive for measuring FE\textsubscript{NO} [3–5]. To date, however, no consensus has been reached regarding the reference values for FE\textsubscript{NO}, although there is a tendency to support the use of fixed cut-off limits [1, 6].

Moreover, there is a lack of knowledge regarding reference values in current smokers. A study published in 1995 reported that smokers exhaled lower concentrations of nitric oxide (NO) than non-smokers [7]. This finding has since been confirmed in other studies [8–10]. The usefulness of FE\textsubscript{NO} values in assessing asthma and Th-2 driven...
airway inflammation in smokers is still unclear, although some studies have shown that smokers with asthma had higher \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) levels than healthy subjects who smoked \([11]\). Hence, there is a need to determine reference values for \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) among current smokers.

The primary aim of the present study was to establish reference values for \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) at an exhalation flow rate of 50 mL/s based on a large random population comprising never-smokers, former smokers and current smokers. The second aim of the study was to determine whether age, sex, height and atopy should to be considered when proposing reference values. The analysis was based on an expanded population sample of 5265 subjects; one segment of the cohort, 1803 never-smokers, was included in our previous paper \([12]\).

**Methods**

The data for this study were extracted from the previously described ADONIX (Adult-Onset Asthma and Exhaled Nitric Oxide) random general-population study in Sweden, which included individuals aged 25–75 years \([8, 12]\). The clinical measurements were performed between 2002 and 2007. We included subjects with complete anthropometric data, smoking data, spirometry data and \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) levels measured at an exhalation flow rate of 50 mL/s \( (n = 5854) \). The \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) measurements were performed before spirometry. After excluding subjects whose \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) measurements did not meet the quality criteria (see below) 5265 subjects remained in the cohort.

\( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) was measured with a chemiluminescence analyzer (NIOX, Aerocrine AB, Stockholm, Sweden) and the analyser was calibrated every other week with a certified calibration gas. All procedures were performed in accordance with the recent ATS recommendations and have been described previously in detail \([13, 14]\). Briefly, the subjects exhaled against a mouth pressure of 5 cm \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) at 50 mL/s for 10 s. NO was measured between the 6th and 10th second. Subjects were excluded if the exhaled flow was >55 mL/s or <45 mL/s. Blood samples were analysed for the presence of immunoglobulin E \((\text{IgE})\) antibodies to common inhalant allergens (Phadia-top, Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) and the results were classified as negative (class 0) or positive (class 1) \([15]\).

Height and weight were measured with light clothing and without shoes. Spirometry without bronchodilation was performed after the \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) measurements using a dry-wedge spirometer (Vitalograph, Maids Moreton, UK) and according to the ATS/ERS standards \([16]\). The forced vital capacity (FVC) and the forced expired volume in 1 s \((\text{FEV}_1)\) were obtained with the subjects in a sitting position, wearing a nose clip. The ratio of \( \text{FEV}_1 \) to forced vital capacity (FVC) was calculated and expressed as \( \text{FEV}_1/\text{FVC}\%\). Predicted normal values for the spirometric variables were obtained from the same population \([17]\).

The subjects were classified as never-smokers, former smokers and current smokers, based on their responses to a questionnaire, as previously described \([8]\). A smoker who had refrained from smoking for >1 year was considered as a former smoker. Affirmative responses to the following questions or positive test results were used to define asthma, chronic bronchitis, atopy, and cold:

- **Asthma:** Do you have or have you ever had asthma? *or* Have you ever had asthma diagnosed by a physician? \([18]\).
- **Chronic bronchitis:** Have you had persistent cough since the age of 15? *and* If yes, did any coughing period last at least 3 months? *and* If yes, have you experienced such coughing periods for at least two consecutive years? \([19]\).
- **Atopy:** Presence of IgE antibodies in blood sample tested using Phadiatop (class 1) \([15]\).
- **Cold:** Do you have a cold now? *and/or* Do you have a sore throat now?

Airway obstruction; \( \text{FEV}_1/\text{FVC} \) ratio below the lower limit of normal \([17]\).

We excluded subjects with asthma, chronic bronchitis, airway obstruction, and a cold, 3378 subjects remained in the cohort.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS (Statistical Analysis System, version 9.3; SAS Institute Inc.; NC, USA). All comparisons between groups were analysed by the Mann-Whitney U test and two-sided \( p \)-values are presented. \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) levels were not normally distributed; hence all univariate analyses were performed using nonparametric tests. In preliminary multiple regression analyses on ln \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) the explanatory variables age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI) and atopy were included. Age, height and atopy were significant for both men and women and were therefore kept in the final multiple regression models (QUANTREG procedure) to obtain the estimated coefficients for median, 5th and 95th percentiles. To test whether the effect of age and height on \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) differed between never-smokers and former smokers we included smoking status, height, age, and the interactions between smoking status and both age and height. There was no significant effect of smoking status.

**Results**

Descriptive data, including \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) values for 3378 respiratory healthy subjects, are presented in Table 1. Median \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) values and the 5th and 95th percentiles according to smoking status and sex are presented in Table 2. In the whole population the median \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) value was 16.5 ppb with 7.2 ppb and 39.0 ppb as the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively. \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) levels were significantly \( (p < 0.0001) \) higher in men than in women. Further, current smokers had significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) lower \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) levels (by around 6 ppb) than former smokers or never-smokers. \( \text{FE}_\text{NO} \) levels were similar among never-smokers.
and former smokers. In addition, linear regression did not find a significant effect of smoking status (never-smoker/former smoker) among women or men (data not shown). Hence, never-smokers and former smokers were merged into a group termed as “non-smokers” in subsequent analyses.

Table 3 shows the FENO values for men and women stratified by age groups. Irrespective of age group, FENO levels were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher among men than women. Furthermore, FENO levels increased with age among both men and women.

FENO values for non-smokers stratified by atopy and sex are presented in Table 4. FENO levels were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher (by around 2 ppb) among subjects with atopy.

Table 5 presents FENO reference equations for men and women including median values and the 5th and 95th percentiles from quantile regression models for non-smokers (never-smokers and former smokers). Individual FENO values for men and women are plotted in Fig. 1a and b. The dashed lines represent the 95th percentile and the age dependence of the upper limit of normal, particularly among women. As an example, the predicted 95th percentile of FENO values for a 40 year-old non-atopic woman, height 170 cm, is $4.0 + 0.4081 \times 40 + 0.1414 \times 170 - 16.1097 = 28.2$ ppb.

Regression modelling for the 420 current smokers in the cohort resulted in unstable models. Hence, the proposed reference values for current smokers are based on the univariate distribution of FENO values and 95th and 5th percentiles shown in Table 2. The proposed upper reference value for FENO is $29.4$ ppb for female current smokers and $34.3$ ppb for male current smokers.

The Additional file 1 includes an Excel-based FENO calculator for obtaining individual predicted reference values (median and 5th and 95th percentiles) after entering sex, age and height; Phadiatop blood test results (positive or negative) are an optional variable.

**Discussion**

The present study found that FENO levels among non-smokers are significantly influenced by sex, height, age and atopy, and the reference equations imply that considerable differences in the reference values between a young woman and an older man would be considerable. The reference equations give the upper reference value for a non-atopic woman, 30 years old and 160 cm tall, as 23 ppb, and for a non-atopic man, 60 years old and 180 cm tall, as 41 ppb. Hence, we consider that the findings support the use of individual reference values among non-smokers rather than fixed cut-off limits. However, among current smokers fixed cut-off limits should still be used.

One approach to establishing criteria of normality has been the use of fixed cut-off values for abnormality based on the distribution among normal healthy individuals. Another approach is the production of reference values based on regression equations obtained from the distribution of factors of interest and important predictors, often anthropometric factors. However, the explanatory value of anthropometric factors for FENO is rather low, and that is one reason why cut-off values have often been recommended. The present results indicate, however, that there are considerable differences in the predicted reference values, which support the use of individual reference values based on regression equations instead of a fixed cut-off value.

### Table 1

General characteristics and lung function of 3378 randomly selected healthy subjects

|                  | All (n = 3378) | Women (n = 1741) | Men (n = 1637) |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                  | Mean SD       | Mean SD         | Mean SD       |
| Age (years)      | 51.4 11.2     | 50.9 11.3       | 52.0 11.1     |
| Height (cm)      | 172.4 9.3     | 166.2 6.5       | 179.0 6.9     |
| Weight (kg)      | 77.6 14.4     | 70.2 12.0       | 85.5 12.4     |
| BMI (kg/m^2)     | 26.0 3.9      | 25.4 4.2        | 26.7 3.5      |
| FVC (% pred)     | 98.1 11.9     | 99.1 11.8       | 97.0 11.9     |
| FEV$_1$ (% pred) | 98.6 12.1     | 99.7 12.0       | 97.6 12.1     |
| FEV$_1$/FVC (%)  | 79.5 4.7      | 79.7 4.7        | 79.3 4.7      |
| FEV$_1$/FVC (% pred) | 100.5 5.6   | 100.4 5.4       | 100.6 5.7     |

Mean values and standard deviations (SD) are presented.
Whether subjects with atopy should be included in a healthy reference population is not clear. Most published studies have included subjects with atopy [2, 12, 20, 21]. At present, the clinical significance of increased production of specific IgE antibodies in non-asthmatic subjects is unclear, but certainly does not imply the presence of disease. Phadiatop test has been shown to exhibit higher sensitivity but lower specificity than the skin-prick test identifying subjects with allergic manifestations such as asthma, dermatitis or rhinitis [15]. However, the clinical significance is unclear. Subjects with atopy (positive Phadiatop test) had a 2 ppb higher median FE NO, 13 ppb higher 95th percentile, Table 4. We therefore consider it important to present reference equations separately for atopics and non-atopics, which in the present study was based on Phadiatop testing. In a clinical situation with no information on the patient’s atopic status, we recommend to apply the reference equation for non-atopics (negative Phadiatop). We stress that a positive Phadiatop is not a determination of atopic disease. We should also add that our study population comprised subjects with atopic dermatitis, a condition that has been associated with increased FE NO levels [22].

From the present results we can conclude that there are consistent differences between men and women, as women had lower FE NO levels than men. The sex difference was not observed in the 1131 subjects included in our previous paper [12]. However, a sex difference was also numerically present in the previous study, but did not reach statistical significance because of the smaller sample-size. The lower FE NO levels observed in women may not necessarily reflect lower NO production in women, as FE NO is highly flow-dependent, and decreases substantially with increasing flow. For any given exhalation-flow from the mouth (we used 50 mL/s), the linear flow velocities within the airways will depend on lung size, and the smaller the lung the higher the linear flow velocities. As women have smaller lungs and consequently higher linear flow velocities in the airways, lower FE NO levels may be expected. Furthermore, the airway surface area that produces NO is smaller among women. Accordingly, the sex-observed for FE NO values in healthy subjects may to some extent be attributable to airway size difference rather than to differences in NO production.

The proposed reference values reflect the distribution of FE NO levels, and may serve as a tool to enhance the identification of subjects with deviating, abnormal values, and possibly on-going Th-2 driven inflammation. The usefulness of reference values for FE NO is indeed to determine what is abnormal. When using FE NO to diagnose asthma, clinicians may also consider other factors. For a correct diagnosis, FE NO values with the highest positive- and negative likelihood ratios must be identified, and those may be different for Th-2 and non-Th-2 driven asthma. However, the present study was not designed to define these diagnostic characteristics, and we lack other markers of T-helper-2 driven inflammation, for example in induced-sputum, for comparison. The accuracy of different fixed cut-off limits in relation

### Table 3

| Age class (years) | Women (n = 1449) | Men (n = 1432) | p  |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------|----|
|                  | 25–34           | 35–44         | 45–54 | 55–64 | >64 |
| n                | 103             | 320           | 406  | 411   | 209 |
| Median           | 12.9            | 14.3          | 15.4 | 18.1  | 19.5 |
| 5th perc         | 5.9             | 6.8           | 7.7  | 8.3   | 8.3  |
| 95th perc        | 30.3            | 31.3          | 33.6 | 37.9  | 42.2 |
| p                |                |               |      |       |     |

p values refer to differences between median values according to the Mann-Whitney two-sided test
Number of subjects, median values and the 5th and 95th percentiles are presented.

### Table 4

| Subsets          | Phadiatop negative | Phadiatop positive | p   |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----|
|                  | n                   | Median              | 5th perc | 95th perc | N | Median | 5th perc | 95th perc |
| All              | 2210                | 16.9               | 7.9        | 36.7      | 671 | 19.1               | 8.8        | 49.7      |
| Women            | 1133                | 15.7               | 7.7        | 33.6      | 316 | 17.1               | 7.5        | 46.1      |
| Men              | 1077                | 18.4               | 9.0        | 39.2      | 355 | 20.7               | 9.8        | 52.7      |

p values refer to differences between median values of FE NO according to the Mann-Whitney two-sided test

# denotes a significant difference from the other smoking categories (ANOVA)
Number of subjects, median values and the 5th and 95th percentiles of FE NO (ppb) are presented.
Clinical asthma has recently been evaluated, showing that increasing cut-off limits increase the specificity [6]. These authors concluded that a reasonable balance between specificity and sensitivity would be a cut-off value > 45 ppb. For non-smokers, we suggest the use of the individual upper 95th and lower 5th percentiles based on sex, age, atopy and height. The upper limits of normal calculated from the present findings are substantially lower than the upper limits suggested for diagnosing eosinophilic airways inflammation, suggested by the American Thoracic Society [2]. The ATS suggests that an FE NO value exceeding 50 ppb indicates a high probability of eosinophilic airway-inflammation, and values of 25–50 ppb require further evaluation. However, our findings indicate that the upper limits of what is apparently normal can differ greatly between a young woman without atopy (around 22 ppb) and an elderly man (over 40 ppb). When evaluating FE NO in clinical practice the presented upper limits of normal are important.

We consider the present proposed reference values for current smokers to be original. We confirmed results from previous studies, which reported that current smokers exhibited low FE NO levels [23]. Several potential mechanisms underlying this finding have been proposed, such as the hypotheses that smoking may induce lower FE NO metabolism or that smoking may induce a reduced active in nitric oxide synthases in the airways [23]. We modelled the data with various anthropometric variables, but because of the unstable models, we decided to propose sex-specific fixed cut-off limits based on a univariate analysis of our data. Further studies are needed to evaluate whether FE NO levels in current smokers have clinical relevance [11].

Low FE NO levels are of increasing interest. A study published in 1997 showed that 27 patients with cystic fibrosis had low FE NO levels, with a mean level of 5.9 ppb [24]. FE NO levels have also been studied in relation to BMI; low levels were observed in both obese and underweight individuals [25, 26]. Moreover, low FE NO levels have been discussed in relation to certain asthma phenotypes [27]. These reports underscore the need to establish reference limits that define low levels of FE NO. The present reference equations provide the lower limits of normal and ought to be of help in identifying subjects with abnormally low FE NO.

Several small general-population studies from different countries have calculated reference values for FE NO [4, 10, 12, 20, 21, 28–30]. There is, however, one large recently published general-population study from the US (the National Health and Nutrition

| Table 5  | Coefficient estimates of reference equations for FE NO. The 5th percentile, median value and the 95th percentiles are presented for females and males respectively. |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Women (n = 1406)               | Men (n = 1410)                |
| 5th perc. | Median | 95th perc. | 5th perc. | Median | 95th perc. |
| Intercept | −6.9  | −12.7  | 4.0     | −5.1  | −14.1  | 84.7   |
| Age (years) | 0.0629 | 0.2205 | 0.4081 | 0.0508 | 0.1444 | 0.2682 |
| Height (cm) | 0.0736 | 0.1189 | 0.1414 | 0.0694 | 0.1559 | −0.2501 |
| Phadiatop positive | 0.0  | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0  | 0.0     | 0.0     |
| Phadiatop negative | −0.9  | −2.7    | −16.1   | −1.1  | −2.8    | −15.0   |

Fig. 1 Plots of FE NO against age for men (Fig. 1a) and women (Fig. 1b), respectively, which illustrate the age dependency of the upper limit normal. Only subjects with negative Phadiatop® results are presented (n = 2210). The y-axis range is restricted and excludes one female subject and three male subjects with FE NO above 110 ppm. The dashed lines indicate the upper 95th percentiles assuming height of women and men to be 166 and 179 cm respectively.
Examination Survey 2007–2010) where the recommended cut-off values for diagnosing asthma in individuals aged 12–80 years was 39 ppb based on the 95th percentile, i.e. the upper and lower 2.5th percentiles [3]. The authors recommended the same value for men and women, and the statistical analyses were designed quite differently from the present study. The US study excluded only subjects with asthma and wheezing, but included smokers and subjects with atopy. The final regression model included age, height, sex, race, smoking status and passive smoking.

The present study was performed in a random adult population with a participation of approximately 40%; the highest non-participation was observed in young men and in smokers [31]. A possible selection bias may have been present and affected our analysis, as current smokers were overrepresented among non-participants. Another issue to note is that certain conditions which may affect the levels of FE NO, such as cystic fibrosis and atopic dermatitis, were not excluded [22, 24]. Furthermore, we did not exclude subjects using inhaled or oral corticosteroids. However, this was a random population sample and subjects with asthma, airway obstruction and chronic bronchitis were excluded, reducing the bias in relation to inhaled or oral corticosteroids.

Conclusions
We conclude that the present reference values for female and male non-smokers (never-smokers and former smokers) that are based on age, height and atopy are more appropriate than fixed cut-off limits. However, for current smokers separate cut-off limits should still be used.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Excel-based FE NO calculator. (XLSX 19 kb)

Abbreviations
ADONIX: Adult-onset asthma and exhaled nitric oxide; ATS: American thoracic society; BMI: Body mass index; ERS: European respiratory society; FE NO: Fractional excretion of exhaled nitric oxide; FVC: Forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FV25-75: Forced vital capacity; IgE: Immunoglobulin E; NO: Nitric oxide; ppb: Parts per billion; SAS: Statistical analysis system

Acknowledgements
Not applicable

Funding
The study was supported by the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (Forte) and the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used in the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors’ contributions
KT conceptualised, designed, and supervised the study. NM assisted with the study conception and drafted the manuscript. ACO assisted with the manuscript conception and supervised the statistical analyses. BB assisted with the study conception, performed the statistical analyses and assisted with drafting the manuscript. ACO assisted with study conception and preparation of the manuscript and supervised the analyses and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The ethics committee of the University of Gothenburg approved the study and each subject provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication
Not applicable

Competing interests
All authors declared that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details
1Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. 
2Section of Occupational medicine, Respiratory Diseases and Toxicology University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy.
3Department of Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Received: 7 November 2016 Accepted: 4 August 2017
Published online: 25 August 2017

References
1. ATS/ERS recommendations for standardized procedures for the on-line and off-line measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide: Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005;171:912–930.

2. Öweik RA, Bobgi PB, Erzurum SC, Irvin CG, Leigh MW, Lundberg JO, et al. An official ATS clinical practice guideline: interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels (FENO) for clinical applications. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;184:602–15.

3. See KC, Christiani DC. Normal values and thresholds for the clinical interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels in the US general population: Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007–2010. Chest. 2013;143:107–16.

4. Jo E-J, Song W-J, Kim T-W, Park H-W, Chang Y-S, Kim T-B, et al. Reference ranges and determinant factors for exhaled nitric oxide in a healthy Korean elderly population. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2014;6:504–10.

5. Bjørner L, Alving K, Diamant Z, Magnussen H, Pavord I, Piacenti G, et al. Current evidence and future needs for FeNO measurement in respiratory diseases. Respir Med. 2014;108:830–41.

6. Karrasch S, Lindé K, Rücker G, Sommer H, Karsch-Völkl M, Kleijnen J, et al. Accuracy of FE NO for diagnosing asthma: A systematic review. Thorax. 2016; [E-pub Jul 7]

7. Khartonov SA, Robbins RA, Yates D, Keatings V, Barnes PJ. Acute and chronic effects of cigarette smoking on exhaled nitric oxide. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1995;152:609–12.

8. Olin AC, Rosengren A, Thelle DS, Lissner L, Bake B, Torén K. Height, age, and atopy are associated with the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide in a large adult general population sample. Chest. 2006;130:1319–25.

9. Taylor DR, Mandhane P, Greene JM, Hancox RJ, Filsell S, McLaren CR, et al. Factors affecting exhaled nitric oxide measurements: the effect of sex. Respir Res. 2007;8:52.

10. Karrasch S, Ernst K, Behr J, Heinrich J, Huber RM, Nowak D, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide and influencing factors in a random population sample. Respir Med. 2011;105:713–8.

11. Malinovschi A, Backer V, Harving H, Portbjerg C. The value of exhaled nitric oxide to identify asthma in smoking patients with asthma-like symptoms. Respir Med. 2012;106:794–801.

12. Olin AC, Bake B, Torén K. Normal equations for fraction of exhaled nitric oxide. Chest. 2007;131:1852–6.

13. Olin A-C, Aldenbratt A, Ekman A, Ljungkvist G, Alving K, Torén K. Increased nitric oxide in exhaled air after intake of a nitrate rich meal. Respir Med. 2001;95:153–8.
14. Olin A-C, Alving K, Torén K. Exhaled nitric oxide: Relation to sensitization and respiratory symptoms. Clin Exp Allergy. 2004;34:221–36.
15. Tschopp JM, Sistek D, Schindler C, Leuenberger P, Perruchoud AP, Wütrich B, et al. Current allergic asthma and rhinitis: Diagnostic efficiency of three commonly used atopic markers (IgE, skin prick tests and Phadiatop®). Allergy. 1998;53:608–13.
16. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Buraschi V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J. 2005;26:319–38.
17. Brismar J, Kim JL, Olin A-C, Torén K, Bake B. Spirometric reference equations for Swedish adults. Clin Physiol Funct Imag. 2016 [Feb 10] [E-pub ahead of print].
18. Torén K, Palmqvist M, Löwhagen O, Balder B, Tunsäter A. Accuracy of self-reported year of asthma-onset. J Clin Epidemiol. 2006;59:90–3.
19. Holm M, Torén K, Andersson E. Incidence of chronic bronchitis: A prospective study in a large general population. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2014;18:870–5.
20. Travers J, Marsh S, Aldington S, Williams M, Shintcliffe P, Pritchard A, et al. Reference ranges for exhaled nitric oxide derived from a random community survey of adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;176:238–42.
21. Dessel H, de la Motte D, Reichert J, Ochman U, Petru R, Angerer P, Holz O, Nowak D, Jones RA. Exhaled nitric oxide: Independent effects of atopy, smoking, respiratory tract infections, gender and height. Respir Med. 2008;102:962–9.
22. Zinelli C, Caffarelli C, Snid J, Jaffe A, Atherton DJ. Measurement of nitric oxide and 8-isoprostanate in exhaled breath of children with atopic eczema. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2009;34:607–12.
23. Xu X, Hu H, Kearney GD, Kan H, Carollo G, Chen X. A population-based study of smoking, serum cotinine and exhaled nitric oxide among asthmatics and a healthy population in the USA. Inhal Toxicol. 2016;28:224–30.
24. Graiemann H, Michler E, Wallot M, Ratjen F. Decreased concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (NO) in patients with cystic fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol. 1997;24:173–7.
25. Maniscalco M, Zedda A, Faraoe S, Cristiano S, Sofia M, Motta A. Low alveolar and bronchial nitric oxide in severe uncomplicated obesity. Obes Res Clin Pract. 2015;9:603–8.
26. Uppalapati A, Goginemi S, Espiritu R. Association between body mass index (BMI) and fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) levels in the National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES) 2007–2010. Obes Res Clin Pract. 2016;10:652–58.
27. Modena BD, Tedrow JR, Milosevic J, Bleecker ER, Meyers DA, Wu W, et al. Gene expression in relation to exhaled nitric oxide identifies novel asthma phenotypes with unique biomolecular pathways. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2014;190:1363–72.
28. Biody DJ, Zhang X, Kt BK, Dillon CF. Reference values and factors associated with exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) in children. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2013;48:378–81.
29. Kim SH, Kim TH, Sohn JW, Yoon HL, Shin DH, Park SS. Reference values and determinants of exhaled nitric oxide in healthy Korean adults. J Asthma. 2010;47:563–7.
30. Ko FW, Leung TF, Wong GW, Chu JH, Sy HY, Hui DS. Determinants of, and reference equation for, exhaled nitric oxide in the Chinese population. Eur Respir J. 2013;42:767–75.
31. Strandhagen E, Berg C, Lissner L, Nunez L, Torén K, et al. Selection bias in a population survey with registry linkage: Potential effect on socioeconomic gradient in cardiovascular risk. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010;25:163–72.