Deep sequencing of tRNA’s 3’-termini sheds light on CCA-tail integrity and maturation
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ABSTRACT
The 3’-termini of tRNA are the point of amino acid linkage and thus crucial for their function in delivering amino acids to the ribosome and other enzymes. Therefore, to provide tRNA functionality, cells have to ensure the integrity of the 3’-terminal CCA-tail, which is generated during maturation by the 3’-trailer processing machinery and maintained by the CCA-adding enzyme. We developed a new tRNA sequencing method that is specifically tailored to assess the 3’-termini of E. coli tRNA. Intriguingly, we found a significant fraction of tRNAs with damaged CCA-tails under exponential growth conditions and, surprisingly, this fraction decreased upon transition into stationary phase. Interestingly, tRNAs bearing guanine as a discriminator base are generally unaffected by CCA-tail damage. In addition, we showed tRNA species-specific 3’-trailer processing patterns and reproduced in vitro findings on preferences of the maturation enzyme RNase T in vivo. Keywords: tRNA integrity; tRNA maturation; CCA-tail; deep sequencing; CCA-adding enzyme; RNase T

INTRODUCTION
Transfer RNAs (tRNAs) deliver amino acids for a variety of cellular processes like cell wall synthesis (Dare and Ibba 2012), biosynthesis of antibiotics, for example, valanimycin (Garg et al. 2008), and for the transfer of leucine or phenylalanine to the amino terminus of proteins as degradation signal by the L/F transferase (Varshavsky 2011; Dougan et al. 2012). However, the most important function of tRNAs is to transport amino acids to the ribosome for protein synthesis. During ribosomal protein synthesis, tRNAs specifically recognize codons of mRNA and deliver the cognate amino acid to the growing nascent chain. Thus, tRNAs connect the nucleic acid code with the amino acid world. Specifically, this connection lies at the 3’-end of tRNAs, which bears the invariant CCA-tail in all kingdoms of life. The terminal adenosine nucleotide is coupled with a cognate amino acid by aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, which form an ester bond between the two (Green and Noller 2002). This bond relies on an intact CCA-tail. Therefore, generating this CCA-tail in tRNA de novo synthesis, as well as maintaining its integrity, is crucial for all tRNA functions.

tRNAs are transcribed as precursor molecules bearing a 5’-leader and 3’-tailer. While the 5’-leader is cleaved in one single enzymatic reaction by the ribonucleaseprotein ribonuclease P (Walker and Engelke 2006), processing of the 3’-tailer requires multiple steps being accomplished by multiple enzymes. In bacteria, initially, the endonuclease RNase E cleaves within tRNA 3’-trailers, a processing step which is especially important for multimeric transcripts or long trailer sequences (Li and Deutscher 2002). Subsequently, different exornucleases including RNases BN, D, T, PH, II, and PNPase, trim the 3’-tailer to its mature length (Reuven and Deutscher 1993a). These exonucleases share redundant activities, which is exemplified by survival of mutant strains with only two out of these six nucleases (Kelly and Deutscher 1992; Reuven and Deutscher 1993a,b). However, besides taking over the function of a deleted enzyme in a knockout strain, certain RNases show specific substrate preferences: While RNase II and PNPase are most efficient on long 3’-trailers (3–7 nt), RNases T and PH are the main processors of short trailers (1–4 nt) (Li and Deutscher 1994). Deleting the latter two dramatically reduces the amount of mature tRNA by about 90% (Li and Deutscher 1994). Interestingly, these enzymes use different cleavage mechanisms: hydrolytic and phosphorolytic, respectively, and while RNase T can process +1, +3, and +4 tRNA precursors, RNase PH efficiently acts on +2 precursors (Li and Deutscher 1994). Although much knowledge on sequence specificity—especially of RNase T—has...
been accumulated (Deutscher et al. 1985; Kelly and Deutscher 1992; Zuo and Deutscher 2002; Duh et al. 2015; Wellner et al. 2018), so far we do not know whether certain tRNA precursors prefer maturation by a specific RNase, and whether this might play a role in the regulation of mature tRNAs.

In many organisms, the invariant CCA-tail is not encoded in tRNA genes and has to be added by the crucial tRNA nucleotidyl transferase (CCA-adding enzyme) after 3′-tailer processing. In Escherichia coli, however, all tRNAs are encoded with CCA-tail and thus maturation is ideally completed after 3′-trail processing. Hence, the CCA-adding enzyme in E. coli is believed to play no role in the de novo synthesis of tRNAs and is rather important for maintaining the mature tRNA pool by repairing tRNAs with damaged CCA-tails. Such damage is mainly attributed to the tRNA end-turnover carried out by RNase T (Deutscher et al. 1985) or by spontaneous autocatalytic cleavage by intramolecular transesterification leading to a 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate group at the 3′-terminus (Lizano et al. 2008). To repair such 3′-tRNAs, the E. coli CCA-adding enzyme, in contrast to the human homolog, bears a HD domain allowing the removal of the 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate group (Aravind and Koonin 1998; Yakunin et al. 2004). The tRNA end-turnover is a quality control mechanism: after removal of the 3′-terminal A by RNase T, the CCA-adding enzyme scrutinizes the tRNA during repair. Only intact, properly modified and folded tRNAs are efficiently repaired. CCA-addition on nicked tRNAs is slower by a factor of 1000 (Dupasquier et al. 2008). These nicked tRNAs remain damaged, are not aminoacylated, not bound by EF-Tu, and not used in translation. In addition, misfolded or hypomodified tRNAs can be tagged by the CCA-adding enzyme with a second CCA entity (Wilusz et al. 2011; Kuhn et al. 2015; Wellner et al. 2018). The resulting CCACCA-tail renders tRNAs susceptible for degradation by RNase R (Wellner et al. 2018).

In spite of the crucial function of tRNA and its central impact on speed and fidelity of protein synthesis (Kirchner et al. 2017), quantification of tRNA lags behind that of other globally important biomolecules like proteins or mRNA. Since tRNAs are very similar in shape, size and charge it is hard to separate them, a prerequisite for specific quantification (Ferro and Ignatova 2015). First, 2D gel electrophoresis (Dong et al. 1996) and microarrays (Dittmar et al. 2004) delivered global quantitative measurements of tRNA. An advantage of the latter technique is that it also allows assessment of aminoacylation levels (Zaborske et al. 2009; Zaborske and Pan 2010) or integrity of the CCA-tail (Czech et al. 2013). However, a deep sequencing-based quantification is difficult, because the stable secondary structure and the high degree of posttranscriptional modifications, hamper reverse transcription, a crucial step in library preparation (Wilusz 2015). Reports of several attempts to circumvent these obstacles have been published, for example, the usage of demethylation enzyme AlkB to eliminate some hard-stop methylations (ARM-seq) (Cozen et al. 2015) in combination with a highly processive reverse transcriptase (DM-tRNA-seq) (Zheng et al. 2015) or tRNA frationation to cope with stable secondary structure (HydroSeq) (Gogakos et al. 2017). However, besides methylation, many more modifications remain and might bias tRNA quantification by sequencing.

In this study, we used a new deep sequencing approach to quantify tRNAs and assess their 3′ termini. By sequencing only the hypomodified 3′-terminal portion of tRNAs from E. coli we avoid biases due to secondary structure and posttranscriptional modifications. Harnessing the potential of this method in assessing the 3′-part of tRNAs, we shed light on CCA-tail integrity and 3′-processing of tRNAs.

RESULTS

Sequencing 3′-ends of tRNA to reduce sequencing bias

Sequencing of tRNA is hampered by extensive secondary structure and posttranscriptional modifications (Gogakos et al. 2017). In order to overcome these shortcomings, we developed a new deep sequencing strategy, which analyzes only the 3′-part of tRNAs (Fig. 1A). As applied in Hydro-tRNA-seq, tRNAs are fragmented to break secondary structure (Gogakos et al. 2017). However, by ligating the 3′-adapter before fragmentation, we selected only the hypomodified 3′-portion of tRNAs (Supplemental Fig. 1A) for library preparation thereby minimizing biases due to secondary structure and posttranscriptional modifications. For E. coli, mapping the last 10 nt excluding the CCA-tail is sufficient to distinguish between all tRNA species except four isodecoder pairs, Leu<sub>CAG</sub>, fMet<sub>CAU</sub>, Thr<sub>CGU</sub>, and Tyr<sub>GUA</sub> (Supplemental Fig. 1B). To gain insights into the maturation process and integrity of tRNAs, we used a CCA-adding enzyme knockout strain (Δcca) and a ribonuclease T (RNase T) knockout strain (Δamt) in addition to the wildtype CA244 cells and analyzed PAGE-purified tRNA from cells in the exponential (exp) and the stationary (stat) growth phase in two to three replicates with high reproducibility (Supplemental Figs. 2, 3). The abundance of individual tRNA species ranged over two orders of magnitude from very low abundant tRNAs, for example, Arg<sub>CCU</sub>, Gly<sub>CCC</sub>, or Thr<sub>CGU</sub> to high abundant species, for example, Asn<sub>Glu</sub>, Asp<sub>GlC</sub>, or Glu<sub>DUC</sub> (Fig. 1B). This is in line with absolute tRNA concentrations reported by Dong et al. (1996). However, the overall correlation between tRNA fractions calculated from this data on the basis of 2D gel electrophoresis and our sequencing data, is rather low (R² = 0.07). To exclude the possibility that this variation is caused by different E. coli strains (CA244 vs. W1485) or media (LB medium vs. defined MOPS medium with supplements) (Dong et al. 2008).
1996), we used 2D gel electrophoresis on our samples to quantify five exemplary tRNAs, Leu CAA, Leu CAG, Leu UAG, Ser GCU, and Ser UGA (Supplemental Fig. 4A). Strikingly, tRNA fractions calculated from 2D gel electrophoresis correlated very well while sequencing data of this tRNA subset again showed high divergence (Supplemental Fig. 4B). Since 2D gel electrophoresis does not require any sample processing steps like probe hybridization or reverse transcription, it is very robust and unbiased and thus superior over northern blot or qRT-PCR to evaluate tRNA quantification based on deep sequencing. We reasoned that remaining sequencing bias might derive from fragment generation, adapter ligation or PCR efficiency. We realized that the last 10 nt of Leu CAG which showed very prominent spots in our 2D gel but had rather little reads in the sequencing experiment, have a high fraction of cytidine residues (70%). We wondered whether over-representation of a certain nucleotide, high GC-content or stable folding might hamper quantitative cDNA library generation. Thus, we tested whether divergence between 2D gel electrophoresis and deep sequencing results correlates with nucleotide content and folding energy of the 10 nt tRNA fragments in conjunction with 3'-adapter but did not observe any correlation (Supplemental Fig. 4C,D). Furthermore, an incomplete deacylation might leave certain tRNAs aminoacylated and thus unavailable for 3'-adapter ligation. We compared varying half-life times of aminoc-acyl-tRNAs (Hentzen et al. 1972) with the divergence between 2D electrophoresis and deep sequencing, but could not detect any correlation (Supplemental Fig. 4D). In summary, even though we could reduce biases caused by secondary structure and posttranscriptional modifications, there are remaining biases most likely derived from 5'-adapter ligation and varying PCR efficiencies (van Dijk et al. 2014; Bartholomäus et al. 2016). While our method cannot provide a means to derive absolute tRNA quantification, it can be utilized as a method to study relative differences between tRNAs, especially related to the 3'-terminus.

**tRNA integrity is diminished in exponential growth phase**

To be active, tRNAs need to be aminoa-acylated which requires an intact 3’-CCA-tail. Previously, we showed in human HeLa cells, that under oxidative stress the ribonuclease angiogenin is activated, cleaving the 3' terminal adenosine from tRNA's CCA-tail to quickly inactivate the tRNA pool and consequently inhibit translation (Czech et al. 2013). We aimed to measure tRNA integrity in E. coli and used our deep sequencing data to quantify CCA- and CC-bearing tRNA in exponential and stationary phase. tRNA integrity in exponentially growing wildtype E. coli cells was rather variable, ranging from 72%–99% among different tRNA species (Fig. 2A). As expected, the knockout of the repairing CCA-adding enzyme significantly reduced tRNA integrity (Fig. 2A). One tRNA is particularly affected by the CCA-adding enzyme knockout, tRNA Cys, whose integrity was reduced from 82% to 40% (Fig. 2A). This finding might be connected with earlier results showing a translation-independent deacylation of tRNA Cys by the YbaK deacylase (Ruan and Söll 2005; David et al. 2012). This enzyme is supposed to eliminate mischarged cysteine from tRNA Pro, but also deacylates correctly charged Cys-tRNA Cys (Ruan and Söll 2005). Reduced tRNA Cys charging level has been proven by microarrays (Avciar-Kucukgoze et al. 2016) and might result in higher susceptibility for exonucleolytic cleavage and a strong dependence on CCA-tail repair by the CCA-adding enzyme. Interestingly, global tRNA integrity increased significantly upon transition of the cells from exponential to stationary phase (Fig. 2A). In contrast, on average the fraction of CC-bearing tRNAs decreased significantly by ~50% (Fig. 2B). This observation must be...
connected with the CCA-adding enzyme, because in Δcca cells, this effect was not visible (Fig. 2A,B). To biochemically verify these results from our deep sequencing data, we adapted the tRNA labeling method developed in the Pan laboratory for tRNA microarrays (Dittmar et al. 2004, 2006), which specifically ligates CCA-containing tRNA to a fluorescent oligonucleotide bearing a 3′-NGGT overhang. First, by reducing the RNA part of the labelling oligonucleotide to only 1 nt (5′-rC), we dramatically increased the ligation efficiency (Supplemental Fig. 5A). Second, we used oligonucleotides bearing a 3′-NGG overhang, which specifically ligated to nonintact tRNA CC, but not to intact tRNA CCA. We proved this method by treating tRNA with angiogenin, which removes the 3′-terminal adenosine (Czech et al. 2013). While ligation efficiency for the CCA-specific oligonucleotide decreased, the CC-specific oligonucleotide was ligated more efficiently after angiogenin treatment (Supplemental Fig. 5B). We assessed integrity of tRNA, which was used for deep sequencing, in two ligation reactions with oligonucleotides specific for tRNA CCA and tRNA CC (Fig. 2C,D). While CCA-specific ligation showed increased ligation products for tRNA of wildtype cells from stationary over exponential growth phase, no difference could be observed for Δcca confirming our deep sequencing results (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. 5C). In contrast, CC-specific ligation showed reduced product in wildtype, stationary over exponential phase and no difference for Δcca (Fig. 2D; Supplemental Fig. 5C). To evaluate the effect of reduced tRNA integrity on translation efficiency, we applied purified tRNA from exponentially growing or stationary phase cells in an in vitro translation reaction depleted of intrinsic tRNAs and without CCA-adding enzyme (Shimizu et al. 2001). The expression of GFP showed that even slight reduction of CCA integrity in exponential phase tRNA results in reduced protein synthesis (Supplemental Fig. 6). In summary, we verified that a significant fraction of tRNA is not intact under exponential growth conditions leading to reduced protein synthesis and that this fraction is reduced upon transition into stationary phase.

**Discriminator base predicts tRNA integrity**

To gain more insights into what governs tRNA integrity, we analyzed our deep sequencing data in more detail and found that the identity of the discriminator base is a good predictor for integrity of tRNAs (Fig. 3). In wildtype cells under exponential growth phase conditions, tRNAs with discriminators adenosine (A), uridine (U), and cytidine (C) showed on average tRNA integrity of only 85%. In contrast, tRNAs with discriminator guanosine (G) showed on average 98.5% integrity (Fig. 3). These fractions are complemented by tRNA CC fractions, which were high for tRNAs bearing discriminators A, U, and C and low for tRNAs bearing discriminator base G (Supplemental Fig. 7). We thought of three possible explanations for this observation: First, varying aminoacylation and consequently EF-Tu binding might result in varying protection of the 3′-termini from exonuclease cleavage. However, tRNAs from one isoacceptor family, that is, charged by the same aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, showed different behavior: Of the four tRNA Arg species, two bear discriminator G and showed high integrity (99% for ArgCCG, ArgUCU) and two bear discriminator A and showed low integrity (93% for ArgACG, 83% for ArgCCU). Thus, we concluded that tRNA integrity is not majorly dependent
on the amino acid identity. Second, the CCA-adding enzyme might favor tRNAs with a certain discriminator base. Indeed, it was shown that the human CCA-adding enzyme prefers tRNAs with discriminator A over G (Wende et al. 2015). However, the same study showed equal efficiency of the E. coli CCA-adding enzyme on tRNAs bearing discriminator base A and G, eliminating a preference of this enzyme as explanation for our observations (Wende et al. 2015). Third, we reasoned that differences in the acceptor stem stability could lead to unfolding in less stable tRNAs, thus, allowing attack by nucleases. In a previous study on initiator tRNAfMet it was shown, that mutation of discriminator base from purine to pyrimidine exacerbated stacking onto the acceptor stem, leading to increased breathing of the helix and accessibility by enzymes like Met-tRNA transformylase (Lee et al. 1993). Mutating discriminator base A to G did not show any significant change in stability (Lee et al. 1993), dismissing the effect of the discriminator base on tRNA stability to explain our findings. In addition, we did not measure any significant differences in the overall acceptor stem stability among tRNAs from different discriminator base groups (Supplemental Fig. 8). In summary, it remains elusive what causes variation of 3′-CCA-tail integrity and what is the impact of the discriminator base.

3′-tRNA deep sequencing sheds light on trailer processing

Since we exclusively sequenced the 3′-portion of tRNA—and due to the high sequencing depth—we obtained many reads from tRNA precursors still containing their 3′-trailer. To gain insights into the maturation process of individual tRNAs, we grouped reads according to their trailer length and normalized each group to the amount of mature tRNA. Note that different trailer lengths result in differences in mapping, that is, shorter trailer reads could not be mapped uniquely and were instead assigned to groups of tRNA genes. As expected, the read counts for precursor tRNAs decreased with increasing trailer length reflecting a high processing efficiency (Supplemental Fig. 9A). However, the pattern varied drastically among different tRNA genes. This suggests that processing is not uniform and might be regulated to yield different amounts of mature tRNA. Interestingly, certain tRNA precursors accumulated at a specific trailer length. For example, ThrUGU_43 showed the biggest fraction of very long trailers up to 8 nt, which might be caused by a C7C8-motif which might represent an obstacle for exonucleolytic processing by RNase T (Supplemental Fig. 9A). However, LeuUAA_76 showed an accumulation of 3 nt-trailers, downstream from a G2G3-motif (Supplemental Fig. 10A). Further research will be necessary to explain accumulation of specific precursor tRNAs. At the first trailer position we found the highest variability among tRNA precursor fractions ranging from 0% to 17% of mature tRNAs and intriguingly, all tRNA trailers with very high fractions, for example, ArgACG_64-67, HisGUG_37, and MetCAU_82, started with uridine (Supplemental Fig. 9A). Thus, we analyzed 1-nt-trailer fraction in relation to the identity of this first nucleotide. We found that trailers starting with U are significantly more abundant than all other nucleotides (Fig. 4A), suggesting a slow processing of such precursors in comparison to trailers starting with A, C, or G. On the other hand, trailers starting with adenosine are most rare and thus might be processed very quickly (Fig. 4A). In vitro experiments by the Deutscher laboratory demonstrated that RNase PH and RNase T are the ribonucleases involved in final trimming of precursors (Li and Deutscher 1994). While the substrates of RNase PH are mainly 2 nt-trailers, RNase
T processes predominantly 1 nt-trailers (Li and Deutscher 1994). Our results showed that the latter ribonuclease favors adenosine over uridine at the first trailer position (Fig. 4A), which corroborates in vitro results showing a nearly fivefold higher $k_{cat}/K_m$ of RNase T for A$_{17}$ over U$_{17}$ oligonucleotides (Zuo and Deutscher 2002). To further prove this, we compared fractions of 1 nt-trailers of different nucleotides in wildtype and RNase T knockout ($\Delta$mt) cells. Indeed, knockout of RNase T significantly increased the fraction of tRNA precursors with one adenosine trailer while uridine trailers were significantly reduced (Fig. 4B). The latter observation might be caused by up-regulation of compensatory ribonucleases which might favor uridine over adenosine. As expected, 1 nt-trailers bearing cytidine are not affected by RNase T knockout, since C and CC motifs block RNase T processing by a factor of 100 and even completely, respectively (Zuo and Deutscher 1999, 2002). To further prove this, we compared fractions of 1 nt-trailers of different nucleotides in wildtype and RNase T knockout ($\Delta$mt) cells. Indeed, knockout of RNase T significantly increased the fraction of tRNA precursors with one adenosine trailer while uridine trailers were significantly reduced (Fig. 4B). The latter observation might be caused by up-regulation of compensatory ribonucleases which might favor uridine over adenosine. As expected, 1 nt-trailers bearing cytidine are not affected by RNase T knockout, since C and CC motifs block RNase T processing by a factor of 100 and even completely, respectively (Zuo and Deutscher 1999, 2002). Thus, RNase T will not process 1 nt-trailers bearing a cytidine. We wanted to test whether our results are artefacts resulting from varying ligation efficiency of 3′-adapters to different 3′-terminal nucleotides, that is, lower ligation efficiency for tRNA precursors ending with A over those ending with U. Therefore we repeated our analysis with 2 nt-trailers and hypothesized that a general ligation bias would result in similar results. However, 2 nt-trailer fractions are distributed more equally among the four nucleotides, and no effect or strongly reduced effect of RNase T knockout was observed (Supplemental Fig. 9B). In summary, we showed for the first time varying processing effectiveness among different tRNA species in vivo raising the possibility of tRNA pool regulation on the level of maturation. In addition, we reproduced in vitro results in cells showing preferred maturation of A-starting trailers by RNase T.

**DISCUSSION**

By delivering amino acids for protein synthesis to the ribosome, tRNAs are the central connector between the nucleic acid language of the genetic code and the amino acid language of proteins. Since in ternary complex with EF-Tu and GTP, tRNAs transport amino acids to ribosomes by diffusion, the speed of incorporation of an amino acid into the growing peptide chain depends mainly on the concentration of cognate tRNA (Anderson 1969; Sørensen et al. 1989). Stretches of slowly translated codons at domain boundaries coordinate cotranslational folding of individual domains and ensure folding fidelity (Zhang et al. 2009). Besides tRNA concentrations, variation in aminocacylation levels (Zaborske et al. 2009) and CCA-tail integrity (Czech et al. 2013) can regulate translation under certain environmental conditions such as starvation or oxidative stress (Zaborske et al. 2009; Czech et al. 2013). Quantitative assessment of the tRNA pool by means of deep sequencing is hampered by stable secondary structure and posttranscriptional modifications which influence reverse transcription. Here, we applied a new protocol for

**FIGURE 4.** 1 nt-trailers are processed by RNase T with preference for adenosine. (A) Fractions of 1 nt-trailer precursors grouped by nucleotide identity show preference for adenosine over uridine. Points represent individual 1 nt-precursor fractions normalized by respective mature tRNA ($n = 2$), Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (B) Knockout of RNase T significantly increases the fraction of A-starting trailers and decreases U-starting trailers. Points represent individual 1 nt-precursor fractions normalized by respective mature tRNA ($n = 2$), bars represent means among all tRNAs within one group, Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

**Precursor preference for RNase T might impact CCA-tail integrity**

To connect our findings on tRNA integrity with the results on 3′-maturation, we compared 3′-trailer sequences of tRNAs with different discriminator bases and observed general similarity among them (Supplemental Fig. 10). However, tRNAs bearing discriminator G have significantly fewer trailers starting with adenosine and more trailers starting with cytidine than tRNAs bearing discriminator A or U (Supplemental Fig. 10). As shown before, RNase T prefers adenosine and disfavors cytidine at the first position of the trailer (Fig. 4B). Thus, precursor tRNAs bearing discriminator A or U might be preferentially processed by RNase T, while in the case of tRNAs bearing discriminator G, other ribonucleases, most likely RNase PH, might be preferentially involved in 3′-trailer trimming. Subsequently, RNase T might not only process the 3′-trailer, but also cleave the adenosine of the CCA-tail before it is inhibited by the CC-motif, as has been shown in vitro (Li and Deutscher 1994; Zuo and Deutscher 2002). Consequently, tRNAs being processed by RNase T might have a higher risk for CCA-tail damage, which might explain the lower integrity of tRNA bearing discriminator A and U, compared to those bearing discriminator G (Supplemental Fig. 11).
deep sequencing of tRNA by analyzing only the hypomodi-
ified 3′-portion of tRNAs, avoiding biases from secondary
structure or modification (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 1A).
Unfortunately, our absolute tRNA quantification revealed
low correlation with tRNA concentrations determined by
2D gel electrophoresis (Dong et al. 1996). Thus, we have
to consider further sources of biases, that is, 5′-adapter li-
gation and PCR efficiency (Linsen et al. 2009). It was shown
that sequencing bias in small RNAs is independent of the
sequencing platform, but depends strongly on the library
preparation method (Linsen et al. 2009). Although unbi-
ased absolute tRNA quantification was not achieved, we
generated highly reproducible, highly enriched reads of
3′-tRNA portions which allowed us to shed light on the in-
tegrity of tRNA′s CCA-tail and the maturation process of
tRNA precursors.

Surprisingly, we found a significant fraction of tRNAs
with damaged CCA-tails, that is, CC-tails, in exponentially
growing E. coli cells (Fig. 2A,B). We confirmed this result
biochemically (Fig. 2C,D) and showed that this tRNA dam-
age impairs protein synthesis in vitro (Supplemental Fig.
6). Intriguingly, only tRNAs bearing discriminator bases
A, U, or C are affected by CCA-tail damage (Fig. 3;
Supplemental Fig. 7) and transition from exponential into
stationary growth phase reduces the fraction of damaged
tRNA (Figs. 2, 3). This seems counter-intuitive, since in sta-
tionary phase translation is shut down by forming inactive
hibernating 100S ribosomes (Chai et al. 2014; Beckert
et al. 2018), and thus a more intact tRNA pool is not need-
ed. However, this shutdown of translation might be what is
responsible for the higher tRNA integrity. Since ternary
complexes are not used and tRNAs not deacylated by ribo-
somes during this shutdown, the 3′-termini of tRNAs are
constantly protected, preventing cleavage by cellular ribo-
ucleases. Compared with that, under exponential growth
conditions, aminocyl-tRNA synthetases and ribonucleases
are hard to detect with conventional sequencing methods
which is essential for tRNA functionality. Reduced matura-
tion efficiency of precursors as well as damaged CCA-tails
are not a significant change in the processing of cytidine trailers upon knock-out of RNase T (Fig. 4B), suggesting, that these
tRNA precursors are processed by another ribonuclease.

In summary, with our new sequencing protocol we pro-
vide a tool to specifically assess the 3′-termini of tRNAs,
which is essential for tRNA functionality. Reduced matura-
tion efficiency of precursors as well as damaged CCA-tails
might be caused by their preferential maturation by RNase T, which might not stop at the CCA-tail but cleaves the terminal adenosine
(Deutscher et al. 1985). Such collateral damage during de
novo synthesis of tRNAs bearing A and U discriminator
bases must be repaired by the CCA-adding enzyme.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth conditions and RNA isolation

E. coli CA244 wt, Δcca, and Δmtt cells were grown at 37°C in LB
medium (10 g/L NaCl, 10 g/L tryptone and 5 g/L yeast extract).
Cells were harvested in exponential (OD600 0.3) and stationary
phase (OD600 5 and 3 for wt and Δcca, respectively). Total RNA
was isolated by hot-phenol extraction or TRIzol (Thermo Fisher
Scientific). Total tRNA was purified by cutting respective bands
from denaturing polyacrylamide gels and eluted overnight at 4°C
in elution buffer (50 mM potassium acetate, 200 mM potassium
chloride, pH 7.0).

tRNA deep sequencing

Total tRNA was 3′-dephosphorylated with T4 PNK (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) for 45 min at 37°C and purified with Clean and
Concentrator Kit (Zymo Research). A preadenylated sequencing adapter (rApp/TGGGATCTCGGGTGCCAAGG/3/ddC) was ligated to the 3′-end of tRNAs using T4 RNA ligase 2 truncated (NEB) for 2.5 h at 22°C. For preparation of the sequencing library which contains 3′-terminal tRNA parts, the sample was subjected to random alkaline fragmentation for 20 min at 95°C in 100 mM NaHCO3, 2 mM EDTA pH 9.2. Subsequently, the RNA was 5′-phosphorylated with T4 PNK (NEB) and a second adapter (GUUACAGUGGCUGCAAGCCGAGCAU) was ligated to the 5′-termini with T4 RNA ligase 1 (NEB) at 22°C overnight. Using a primer complementary to the 3′-adapter (CCTTGGCACCCGA GAATTCCA) the RNAs were reverse transcribed into cDNA with Revert Aid H Minus RT (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h at 44°C. RNA was degraded by the addition of NAOH and incubation at 90°C for 10 min and cDNA containing both adapters was amplified by PCR with Pfu DNA polymerase in 10× PCR buffer (1.2.1; minimal overlap: 1 nt). tRNA sequences were downloaded from the genomic tRNA database (Escherichia coli K12) and 10 nt 5′ upstream of the CCA-tails were used for mapping sequencing reads. For precursor analysis, 9 nt incl. CCA were used with variable lengths of trailer.

**tRNA 2D gel electrophoresis and northern blotting**

For the first dimension, 1 μg of total RNA was separated fully denatured on 10% polyacrylamide, 8 M urea in 1×TAE buffer at 50°C. Bands of tRNA were excised and layered onto 20% polyacrylamide, 8 M urea in 1×TAE buffer at 30°C. Subsequently, Cy3-labeled probes (Leu CAG: GGCACGTATTTCTACGGTTG, Ser CUG: GCAGCTTTTGACCGCATACTCCC, CGGCGCCAGAACCTA, Leu CAA: GGCACGTATTTCTACGGTTG) were added to a final concentration tRNAs are allowed to partially refold and run at room temperature for 19–20 h. Gels were stained with SYBR Gold staining (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To identify spots, RNA from 2D gels was transferred onto Amersham Hybond-N membrane (GE Healthcare) in cooled 0.5×TAE for 2 h at 80 V and UV-crosslinked. Membranes were prehybridized for 5 h in Church buffer (250 mM NaHCO3, 2 mM EDTA pH 9.2) was transferred onto Amino Hybond-N membrane (GE Healthcare) in cooled 0.5×TAE for 2 h at 80 V and UV-crosslinked. Membranes were prehybridized for 5 h in Church buffer (250 mM NaHCO3, 2 mM EDTA pH 9.2) in cooled 0.5×TAE for 2 h at 80 V and UV-crosslinked.

**In vitro translation**

To assess activity of isolated tRNA we used the PURExpress Δ(aa, tRNAA) Kit (NEB). Fifteen microliters of reactions including E. coli ribosomes, energy, and amino acid mix and GFP-encoding plasmid were supplemented with 10 μg purified tRNA from exponentially growing and stationary phase cells. The reaction was incubated at 37°C for 250 min in a plate reader and GFP fluorescence was measured simultaneously. Equal amounts of ribosomes and tRNA in each reaction were verified by gel electrophoresis.

**DATA DEPOSITION**

RNA-seq data have been deposited at the Gene expression Omnibus under accession number GSE126019.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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