**Lightlike Braneworlds**

Eduardo Guendelman\(^1\), Alexander Kaganovich\(^1\), Emil Nissimov\(^2\), Svetlana Pacheva\(^2\)

\(^1\)Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O.Box 653, IL-84105 Beer-Sheva, Israel

\(^2\)Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Boul. Tsarigradsko Chausee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

**Abstract**

We propose a new class of \(p\)-brane models describing intrinsically lightlike branes in any world-volume dimensions. Properties of the dynamics of these lightlike \(p\)-branes in various gravitational backgrounds of interest in the context of braneworlds are briefly described. Codimension two (and more) lightlike braneworlds perform in their ground states non-trivial motions in the extra dimensions in sharp contrast to standard (Nambu-Goto) braneworlds.

**1 Introduction**

Lightlike branes (\(LL\)-branes, for short) are of particular interest in general relativity primarily due to their role: (i) in describing impulsive lightlike signals arising in cataclysmic astrophysical events [1]; (ii) as basic ingredients in the so-called “membrane paradigm” theory [2] of black hole physics; (iii) in the context of the thin-wall description of domain walls coupled to gravity [3, 4].

More recently, \(LL\)-branes became significant also in the context of modern non-perturbative string theory, in particular, as the so-called \(H\)-branes describing quantum horizons (black hole and cosmological) [5], as well appearing as Penrose limits of baryonic \(D\) (=Dirichlet) branes [6].

In the original papers [3, 4] \(LL\)-branes in the context of gravity and cosmology have been extensively studied from a phenomenological point of view, i.e., by introducing them without specifying the Lagrangian dynamics from which they may originate\(^1\). On the other hand, we have proposed in a series of recent papers [8] a new class of concise Lagrangian actions, among them – Weyl-conformally invariant ones, providing a derivation from first principles of the

---

\(^1\)In a recent paper [7] brane actions in terms of their pertinent extrinsic geometry have been proposed which generically describe non-lightlike branes, whereas the lightlike branes are treated as a limiting case.
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LL-brane dynamics. The latter LL-brane actions were, however, limited to \((p + 1) = \text{odd}\) world-volume dimensions.

In Section 2 of the present paper we extend our previous construction to the case of LL-brane actions for arbitrary world-volume dimensions. In Section 4 we discuss the properties of LL-brane dynamics in generic static gravitational backgrounds, in particular, the case with two extra dimensions from the point of view of “braneworld” scenarios [9] (for a review, see [10]). Unlike conventional braneworlds, where the underlying branes are of Nambu-Goto type (i.e., describing massive brane modes) and in their ground state they position themselves at some fixed point in the extra dimensions of the bulk space-time, our lightlike braneworlds perform in the ground state non-trivial motions in the extra dimensions – planar circular, spiral winding, etc. depending on the topology of the extra dimensions. Finally, in the outlook section we briefly outline the treatment of the special case of codimension one lightlike branes which play an important role in the context of black hole physics. Also we comment on the role of lightlike branes in Kaluza-Klein scenarios with singular bulk metrics [11].

2 Generalized Gauge Field Description of Lightlike Branes

The main ingredients of our construction of LL-brane actions for arbitrary \((p+1)\) world-volume dimensions are:

- Alternative non-Riemannian integration measure density \(\Phi(\varphi)\) (volume form) on the \(p\)-brane world-volume manifold:

\[
\Phi(\varphi) \equiv \frac{1}{(p + 1)!} \varepsilon_{I_1 \ldots I_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} \varphi^{I_1} \ldots \partial_{a_{p+1}} \varphi^{I_{p+1}} \quad (1)
\]

instead of the usual \(\sqrt{-\gamma}\). Here \(\{\varphi^I\}_{I=1}^{p+1}\) are auxiliary world-volume scalar fields; \(\gamma_{ab}\) \((a, b = 0, 1, \ldots, p)\) denotes the intrinsic Riemannian metric on the world-volume, and \(\gamma = \det \|\gamma_{ab}\|\).

- Auxiliary \((p - 1)\)-rank antisymmetric tensor gauge field \(A_{a_1 \ldots a_{p-1}}\) on the world-volume with \(p\)-rank field-strength and its dual:

\[
F_{a_1 \ldots a_p} = p \partial_{[a_1} A_{a_2 \ldots a_p]} \quad , \quad F^{*a} = \frac{1}{p!} \frac{\varepsilon^{a_1 \ldots a_p}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} F_{a_1 \ldots a_p} \quad (2)
\]

Note the simple identity:

\[
F_{a_1 \ldots a_{p-1} b} F^{*b} = 0 \quad , \quad (3)
\]

which will play a crucial role in what follows, and let us also introduce the shorthand notation:

\[
F^2 \equiv F_{a_1 \ldots a_p} F_{b_1 \ldots b_p} \gamma^{a_1 b_1} \ldots \gamma^{a_p b_p} \quad (4)
\]

We now propose the following reparametrization invariant action describing intrinsically lightlike \(p\)-branes for any world-volume dimension \((p + 1)\):

\[
S = - \int d^{p+1} \sigma \Phi(\varphi) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu G_{\mu \nu}(X) - L(F^2) \right] \quad (5)
\]
using the objects (1) and (2), where \( L(F^2) \) is arbitrary function of \( F^2 \) (4) and \( G_{\mu\nu}(X) \) denotes the Riemannian metric of the bulk space-time.

**Remark.** For the special choice \( L(F^2) = (F^2)^{1/p} \) the action (5) becomes manifestly invariant under Weyl (conformal) symmetry: \( \gamma_{ab} \rightarrow \gamma'_{ab} = \rho \gamma_{ab}, \varphi^i \rightarrow \varphi'^i(\varphi) \) with Jacobian \( \det \left[ \frac{\partial \varphi'^i}{\partial \varphi^j} \right] = \rho \).

Rewriting the action (5) in the following equivalent form:

\[
S = - \int d^{p+1}\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{cd} (\partial_c X^a \partial_d X^b) - L(F^2) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{cd} (\partial_c X^a \partial_d X^b) - L(F^2) \right],
\]

we see that the composite field \( \chi \) plays the role of a dynamical (variable) brane tension.

The equations of motion obtained from (5) w.r.t. measure-building auxiliary scalars \( \varphi^I \) and \( \gamma_{ab} \) read, respectively:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{cd} (\partial_c X^a \partial_d X^b) - L(F^2) = M \quad (= \text{integration const}),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{cd} (\partial_c X^a \partial_d X^b) - pL'(F^2) F_{a1...a_{p-1}b1...b_{p-1}} \gamma_{a1} \gamma_{b1} ... \gamma_{a_{p-1}} \gamma_{b_{p-1}} = 0,
\]

where we have introduced short-hand notation for the induced metric:

\[
(\partial_a X^a \partial_b X^b) \equiv \partial_a X^a \partial_b X^b G_{\mu\nu}.
\]

Let us note that Eqs.(8) can be viewed as \( p \)-brane analogues of the string Virasoro constraints.

Eqs.(7)–(8) have the following profound consequences. First, taking the trace in (8) and comparing with (7) implies the following crucial relation for the Lagrangian function \( L(F^2) \):

\[
L(F^2) - pF^2 L'(F^2) + M = 0,
\]

which determines \( F^2 \) on-shell as certain function of the integration constant \( M \), i.e.

\[
F^2 = F^2(M) = \text{const}.
\]

The second and most important implication of Eqs.(8) is due to the identity (3) which implies that the induced metric (9) on the world-volume of the \( p \)-brane model (5) is singular (as opposed to the ordinary Nambu-Goto brane):

\[
(\partial_a X^a \partial_b X^b) F^{ab} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad (\partial_F X^a \partial_F X) = 0, \quad (\partial_\perp X^a \partial_F X) = 0,
\]

where \( \partial_F \equiv F^{ab} \partial_a \) and \( \partial_\perp \) are derivatives along the tangent vectors in the complement of \( F^{ab} \).

Thus, we arrive at the following important conclusion: every point on the surface of the \( p \)-brane (5) moves with the speed of light in a time-evolution along the vector-field \( F^{ab} \). Therefore, we will name (5) by the acronym LL-brane (Lightlike-brane) model.
Before proceeding let us point out that we can add to the \textit{LL-brane} action (5) natural couplings to bulk Maxwell $A_\mu$ and Kalb-Ramond $A_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{p+1}}$ gauge fields:

$$S = -\int d^{p+1}\sigma \Phi(\varphi) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X) - L(F^2) \right]$$

$$- q \int d^{p+1}\sigma \varepsilon^{ab_1\ldots b_p} F_{b_1\ldots b_p} \partial_a X^\mu A_\mu(X)$$

$$- \frac{\beta}{(p+1)!} \int d^{p+1}\sigma \varepsilon^{a_1\ldots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} X^{\mu_1} \ldots \partial_{a_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}} A_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{p+1}}.$$  (13)

The additional coupling terms to the bulk fields do not affect Eqs.(7) and (8), so that the conclusions about on-shell constancy of $F^2$ (11) and the lightlike nature (12) of the $p$-branes under consideration remain unchanged. The second Chern-Simmons-like term in (13) is a special case of a class of Chern-Simmons-like couplings of extended objects to external electromagnetic fields proposed in ref. [12].

The Kalb-Ramond gauge field has special significance in $D = p + 2$-dimensional bulk space-time. The single independent component $\mathcal{F}$ of its field-strength:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_D} = D \partial_{[\mu_1} A_{\mu_2\ldots\mu_D]} = \mathcal{F} \sqrt{-G} \varepsilon_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_D}$$  (14)

when coupled to gravity produces a dynamical (positive) cosmological constant (cf. ref. [13] for $D = 4$; recall, here $D = p + 2$):

$$K = \frac{8\pi G_N}{p(p+1)} \mathcal{F}^2.$$  (15)

It remains to write down the equations of motion w.r.t. auxiliary world-volume gauge field $A_{a_1\ldots a_{p-1}}$ and $X^\mu$ produced by the action (13):

$$\partial_a \left( F^{\nu c} \gamma_{bc} \chi L'(F^2) \right) + \frac{q}{4} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(X) = 0 ;$$  (16)

$$\partial_a \left( \chi \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab} \partial_b X^\mu \right) + \chi \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab} \partial_b X^\nu \partial_b X^\lambda \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda}(X)$$

$$- q \varepsilon^{a_1\ldots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} X^{\mu_1} \ldots \partial_{a_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_{p+1}}(X) G^{\lambda\mu}(X) = 0 .$$  (17)

Here $\chi$ is the dynamical brane tension as in (6),

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_{p+1}} = (p + 2) \partial_\lambda A_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{p+1}}$$  (18)

are the corresponding gauge field-strengths,

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} G^{\mu\kappa} \left( \partial_\nu G_{\kappa\lambda} + \partial_\lambda G_{\kappa\nu} - \partial_\kappa G_{\nu\lambda} \right)$$  (19)

is the Christoffel connection for the external metric, and $L'(F^2)$ denotes derivative of $L(F^2)$ w.r.t. the argument $F^2$. 
3 Gauge-Fixed Equations of Motion

Invariance under world-volume reparametrizations allows to introduce the standard synchronous gauge-fixing conditions:

\[ \gamma^{0i} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, p) \] , \quad \gamma^{00} = -1 . \tag{20} \]

In what follows we will also use a natural ansatz for the auxiliary world-volume gauge field-strength:

\[ F^{*i} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, p) , \quad i.e. \quad F_{0i_{1} \ldots i_{p-1}} = 0 , \quad \] \tag{21} \]

the only non-zero component of the dual strength being:

\[ F^{*0} = \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} F_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} , \quad \gamma^{(p)} \equiv \det \| \gamma_{ij} \| \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, p) , \quad F^{2} = p! (F^{*0})^{2} = \text{const} . \tag{22} \]

According to (12) the meaning of the ansatz (21) is that the lightlike direction \( F^{*a} \partial_{a} \simeq \partial_{0} \equiv \partial_{\tau} \), i.e., it coincides with the brane proper-time direction. Bianchi identity \( \partial_{a} F^{*a} = 0 \) together with (21)–(22) implies:

\[ \partial_{0} F_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \partial_{0} \gamma^{(p)} = 0 . \tag{23} \]

Using (20) and (21) the equations of motion (8), (16) and (17) acquire the form, respectively:

\[ (\partial_{0} X \partial_{0} X) = 0 \] , \quad \( \partial_{0} X \partial_{i} X = 0 \) \quad , \quad \( \partial_{i} X \partial_{j} X \) \ - \ 2a_{1}(M) \gamma_{ij} = 0 \tag{24} \]

(Virasoro-like constraints), where the constant:

\[ a_{1}(M) \equiv F^{2} L'(F^{2}) \big|_{F^{2} = F^{2}(M)} \tag{25} \]

(it must be strictly positive);

\[ \partial_{i} X + \frac{q}{a_{2}(M)} \partial_{0} X^{\mu} \partial_{0} X^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = 0 \] , \quad \( \partial_{i} X^{\mu} \partial_{j} X^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = 0 \) \tag{26} \]

with

\[ a_{2}(M) \equiv 2F^{*0} L'(F^{2}) \big|_{F^{2} = F^{2}(M)} = \text{const} ; \tag{27} \]

\[ -\sqrt{\gamma^{(p)}} \partial_{0} \left( \chi \partial_{0} X^{\mu} \right) + \partial_{i} \left( \chi \sqrt{\gamma^{(p)}} \gamma^{ij} \partial_{j} X^{\mu} \right) \\
+ \chi(\sqrt{\gamma^{(p)}} \left( -\partial_{0} X^{\nu} \partial_{0} X^{\lambda} + \gamma^{kl} \partial_{k} X^{\nu} \partial_{l} X^{\lambda} \right) \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\mu} - q p! F^{*0} \sqrt{\gamma^{(p)}} \partial_{0} X^{\nu} F_{\lambda\nu} G^{\lambda\mu} \\
- \frac{\beta}{(p + 1)!} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_{1}} X^{\mu_{1}} \ldots \partial_{a_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}} F_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{p+1}} G^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{p+1}} = 0 . \tag{28} \]
4 Lightlike Brane Dynamics in Static Gravitational Backgrounds

Let us split the bulk space-time coordinates as:

\[
(X^\mu) = (x^a, y^\alpha) \equiv (x^0, x^i, y^\alpha)
\]

(29)

\[a = 0, 1, \ldots, p \quad i = 1, \ldots, p \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, D - (p + 1)\]

and consider static \((x^0\)-independent) background metrics \(G_{\mu\nu}\) of the form:

\[
ds^2 = -A(y)(dx^0)^2 + C(y)g_{ij}(\vec{x})dx^i dx^j + B_{\alpha\beta}(y)dy^\alpha dy^\beta.
\]

(30)

Here we will discuss the simplest non-trivial ansatz for the \(LL\)-brane embedding coordinates:

\[
X^a \equiv x^a = \sigma^a, \quad X^{p+\alpha} \equiv y^\alpha = y^\alpha(\tau), \quad \tau \equiv \sigma^0.
\]

(31)

With (30) and (31), the constraint Eqs.(24) yield:

\[-A(y(\tau)) + B_{\alpha\beta}(y(\tau)) \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta = 0, \quad C(y(\tau))g_{ij} - 2a_1(M)\gamma_{ij} = 0, \]

(32)

where \(\ddot{y}^\alpha \equiv \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}y^\alpha\). Second Eq.(32) together with the last relation in (23) implies:

\[
\frac{d}{d\tau}C(y(\tau)) \equiv \dot{y}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}C \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0.
\]

(33)

The second-order Eqs.(28) in the absence of couplings to bulk Maxwell and Kalb-Ramond fields (which will be case we will consider in the present section) yield accordingly:

\[
\dot{\chi}^\alpha + \frac{\chi}{A(y)} \dot{y}^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}A(y) \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0, \quad \ddot{y}^\alpha + \dot{y}^\beta \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} + B^{\alpha\beta} \left( p a_1(M) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}C(y) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}A(y) \right) \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)}
\]

(34)

\[
\quad \quad - \frac{\dot{y}^\alpha}{A(y)} \dot{y}^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}A(y) \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0.
\]

(35)

where \(\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\) is the Christoffel connection for the metric \(B_{\alpha\beta}\) in the extra dimensions (cf. (30)).

Here we will be interested in the case of constant brane tension:

\[
\partial_\tau \chi = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \dot{y}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}A \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0 \quad \text{from Eq.}(34).
\]

(36)

Thus we arrive at the following compatible system of equations describing a nontrivial motion of the \(LL\)-brane in the extra dimensions:

\[
\dot{y}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}A \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0, \quad \dot{y}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}C \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0, \quad \ddot{y}^\alpha + \dot{y}^\beta \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} + B^{\alpha\beta} \left( p a_1(M) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}C(y) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}A(y) \right) \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0
\]

(37)

\[
\quad \quad - \frac{\dot{y}^\alpha}{A(y)} \dot{y}^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}A(y) \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0.
\]

(38)

where \(\Gamma^\beta_{\gamma\rho}\) is the Christoffel connection for the metric \(B_{\alpha\beta}\) in the extra dimensions (cf. (30)).

Here we will be interested in the case of constant brane tension:

\[
\partial_\tau \chi = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \dot{y}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}A \bigg|_{y=y(\tau)} = 0 \quad \text{from Eq.}(34).
\]

(36)
4.1 Example 1: Two Flat Extra Dimensions

In this case:
\[
y^\alpha = (\rho, \phi) \quad , \quad B_{\alpha\beta}(y)dy^\alpha dy^\beta = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2 ; \quad (40)
\]
\[
A = A(\rho) \quad , \quad C = C(\rho) \quad ; \quad \dot{\rho} = 0 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \rho = \rho_0 = \text{const} . \quad (41)
\]
Eqs.(38) and (39) yield correspondingly:
\[
-\rho_0 \dot{\phi}^2 + \left( \frac{p_{a1}(M)}{C(\rho)} \partial_\rho C + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho A \right) \bigg|_{\rho=\rho_0} = 0 \quad , \quad \phi = 0 . \quad (42)
\]
\[
\text{The last Eq.(43) implies:} \quad \phi(\tau) = \omega \tau , \quad (44)
\]
which upon substituting into (42)–(43) gives:
\[
\omega^2 = \frac{A(\rho_0)}{\rho_0^2} \quad , \quad A(\rho_0) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{p_{a1}(M)}{C(\rho)} \partial_\rho C + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho A \right) \bigg|_{\rho=\rho_0} . \quad (45)
\]
Thus, we find that the \textit{LL-brane} performs a planar circular motion in the flat extra dimensions whose radius \(\rho_0\) and angular velocity \(\omega\) are determined from (45). This property of the \textit{LL-branes} has to be contrasted with the usual case of Nambu-Goto-type braneworlds which (in the ground state) occupy a fixed position in the extra dimensions.

4.2 Example 2: Spherical Extra Dimensions

In this case:
\[
y^\alpha = (\theta, \phi) \quad , \quad B_{\alpha\beta}(y)dy^\alpha dy^\beta = d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 ; \quad (46)
\]
\[
A = A(\theta) \quad , \quad C = C(\theta) \quad ; \quad \theta = 0 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \theta = \theta_0 = \text{const} . \quad (47)
\]
Eqs.(38) and (39) yield correspondingly:
\[
-\sin(\theta_0) \cos(\theta_0) \dot{\phi}^2 + \left( \frac{p_{a1}(M)}{C(\theta)} \partial_\theta C + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta A \right) \bigg|_{\theta=\theta_0} = 0 \quad , \quad \phi = 0 . \quad (49)
\]
Therefore, once again we obtain:
\[
\phi(\tau) = \omega \tau , \quad (50)
\]
which upon substituting into (48)–(49) gives:
\[
\omega^2 = \frac{A(\theta_0)}{\sin^2(\theta_0)} \quad , \quad A(\theta_0) = \tan(\theta_0) \left( \frac{p_{a1}(M)}{C(\theta)} \partial_\theta C + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta A \right) \bigg|_{\theta=\theta_0} . \quad (51)
\]
As in the case of flat extra dimensions, Eqs.(51) determine the position \(\theta_0\) of the circular orbit of the \textit{LL-brane} and its angular velocity \(\omega\).
4.3 Example 3: Toroidal Extra Dimensions

In this case:

\[ y^\alpha = (\theta, \phi) , \quad 0 \leq \theta, \phi \leq 2\pi , \quad B_{\alpha\beta}(y)dy^\alpha dy^\beta = d\theta^2 + a^2d\phi^2 ; \quad (52) \]

Eqs.(37)–(39) assume the form:

\[ \left( \dot{\theta} \partial_\theta A + \dot{\phi} \partial_\phi A \right) \bigg|_{\theta=\theta(\tau), \phi=\phi(\tau)} = 0 , \]

\[ \left( \dot{\theta} \partial_\theta C + \dot{\phi} \partial_\phi C \right) \bigg|_{\theta=\theta(\tau), \phi=\phi(\tau)} = 0 ; \quad (53) \]

\[ -A \bigg|_{\theta=\theta(\tau), \phi=\phi(\tau)} + \dot{\theta}^2 + a^2\dot{\phi}^2 = 0 ; \quad (54) \]

\[ \ddot{\theta} + \left( \frac{pa_1(M)}{C} \partial_\theta C + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta A \right) \bigg|_{\theta=\theta(\tau), \phi=\phi(\tau)} = 0 , \]

\[ \ddot{\phi} + \left( \frac{pa_1(M)}{C} \partial_\phi C + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\phi A \right) \bigg|_{\theta=\theta(\tau), \phi=\phi(\tau)} = 0 . \quad (55) \]

Eqs.(53) can be solved by taking \( A(\theta, \phi) \) and \( C(\theta, \phi) \) as functions of only one combination \( \xi(\theta, \phi) \) such that:

\[ A = A(\xi(\theta, \phi)) , \quad C = C(\xi(\theta, \phi)) \]

\[ \frac{d}{d\xi} A \bigg|_{\theta=\theta(\tau), \phi=\phi(\tau)} = 0 , \quad \frac{d}{d\xi} C \bigg|_{\theta=\theta(\tau), \phi=\phi(\tau)} = 0 . \quad (56) \]

Taking into account (57), Eqs.(55) imply:

\[ \ddot{\theta} = 0 , \quad \ddot{\phi} = 0 , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \theta(\tau) = \omega_1\tau , \quad \phi(\tau) = \omega_2\tau . \quad (58) \]

Furthermore, taking into account the periodicity of \( A \) and \( C \) w.r.t. \( (\theta, \phi) \) we find:

\[ \xi(\theta, \phi) = \theta - N\phi , \quad \omega_1 = N\omega_2 , \quad (59) \]

where \( N \) is arbitrary positive integer. In other words, from (56)–(57) the admissible form of the background metric must be of the form:

\[ A = A(\theta - N\phi) , \quad C = C(\theta - N\phi) , \quad A'(0) = 0 , \quad C'(0) = 0 , \quad (60) \]

whereas Eq.(54) determines the angular frequencies \( \omega_{1,2} \) in (58):

\[ \omega_1^2 = \frac{A(0)}{1 + a^2/N^2} , \quad \omega_2 = \frac{\omega_1}{N} . \quad (61) \]

A particular choice for \( A \) (and similarly for \( C \)) respecting conditions (57) is:

\[ A = A_0 \sin^2\left( \frac{\theta - N\phi}{2} \right) + A_1 , \quad A_{0,1} = \text{positive const} . \quad (62) \]

Thus, we conclude that the \textit{LL-brane} performs a spiral motion in the toroidal extra dimensions with winding frequencies as in (61).
5 Outlook

In the present paper we presented a systematic Lagrangian formulation of lightlike $p$-branes in arbitrary $(p + 1)$ world-volume dimensions allowing in addition for natural (gauge-invariant) couplings to bulk electromagnetic and Kalb-Ramond gauge fields. In the context of “brane-world scenarios” lightlike braneworlds (of codimension two or more) in their ground state perform non-trivial motions in the extra dimensions unlike ordinary Nambu-Goto braneworlds which position themselves at certain fixed points in the extra dimensions.

The special case of codimension one LL-branes needs separate study which is relegated to a subsequent paper. As already discussed in refs. [8] for lightlike membranes $(p = 2)$ in $D = 4$ bulk space-time, the LL-brane dynamics dictates that the bulk space-time must possess an event horizon which is automatically occupied by the LL-brane (an explicit dynamical realization of the “membrane paradigm” in black hole physics [2]). Extending our treatment in refs. [8], we will study the important issue of self-consistent solutions for bulk gravity-matter systems (e.g., Einstein-Maxwell-type) coupled to lightlike branes where the latter serves as a source for gravity, electromagnetism, dynamically produces space-varying cosmological constant and triggers non-trivial matching of two different space-time geometries across common event horizon spanned by the lightlike brane itself.

Let us mention the observation in ref. [14], that large extradimensions could be rendered undetectable (due to the zero eigenvalue of the induced metric) if our world is considered as a lightlike brane moving in $D > 4$ bulk space – precisely the brane-world scenario obtained in the present paper from the consistent unified dynamical (Lagrangian) description of lightlike branes.

To stress again, in our formalism we consider the intrinsic metric $\gamma_{ab}$ on the world-volume of the lightlike brane to be the metric that defines the geometry experienced by an observer confined to the brane. This is in contrast to the induced metric (9), which as a result of lightlike nature of the brane is necessarily singular, having spacelike components and a zero eigenvalue, i.e., a lightlike instead of timelike one. Nevertheless, it is possible to ascribe a physical role to singular induced metrics provided they possess an additional timelike (diagonal) component. The latter can be achieved by considering LL-brane with $(p + 2)$-dimensional world-volume (cf. (5)) embedded in a $D$-dimensional $(D > p + 2)$ bulk space with two timelike dimensions $(G_{\mu\nu}$ having signature $(-,-,+\ldots, +))$. Repeating the steps in Section 2 we will get an induced $(p + 2) \times (p + 2)$ metric (9) with signature $(0,-,+,\ldots,+)$, i.e., with one lightlike, one timelike and $p$ spacelike dimensions. Then, applying the formalism for degenerate metrics proposed in ref. [11], one can employ the resulting induced metric as a starting point for construction of a Kaluza-Klein model with the pertinent lightlike brane (with $(p + 2)$-dimensional world-volume) as a total Kaluza-Klein space with naturally unobservable extra dimension (the first lightlike one) from the point of view of the “normal” $(p + 1)$ world-volume dimensions. Also, let us note that the use of an embedding space-time with two
timelike coordinates has an advantage if we want to obtain a Lorentz-invariant
ground state, since there is the possibility of having one additional time, not
involved in the motion of the lightlike brane.
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