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Abstract

**Background:** With advances in diagnostics and treatment approaches, patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma (HL) in developed countries can nowadays expect to have excellent outcomes. However, information about the characteristics and outcomes in the developing world is very scarce, and this is important given the fact that there are several reports about differences of disease characteristics depending on geographic location and the development level of the country. **Materials and Methods:** In this retrospective study we assessed the features of 36 adult (≥18 years old) patients with HL and their diagnosis and treatment and outcomes in the Clinic of Chemotherapy of Muratsan University Hospital of Yerevan State Medical University, Armenia, between 2008-2014. **Results:** All patients had classic HL and among them 19 (53%) had nodular sclerosis subtype, 8 (22%) mixed cellularity and 9 (25%) lymphocyte-rich. 16 (44.5%) patients were at stage II, 13 (36%) stage III and 7 (19.5%) stage IV. Median follow-up time was 24.5 months (range 1-71 months) and during the whole follow-up period only two relapses (early) were documented and there were no deaths. Twenty-three (64%) patients received a BEACOPP (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone) regimen, and 13 (36%) ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine) regimen. A total of 25 (69.5%) patients received radiation in addition to chemotherapy. **Conclusions:** Although the number of patients involved in the study is small and the median follow-up time was just two years, this retrospective study shows that treatment of HL can be successfully organized in a resource-limited setting.
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**Introduction**

Over the last decades with the advancements in diagnostics and treatment approaches, many cancer types can be expected to have excellent outcomes. Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) or Hodgkin’s disease, first described by the British pathologist and reformer Thomas Hodgkin, today with the use of chemo- and radiotherapy can reach cure rates of up to 80-90% (Geller and Taylor, 2013; DeSantis et al., 2014).

While in many other cancer subtypes today’s medical and scientific efforts mostly are directed to find better treatments and increase the survival, in HL the concept of “cure” is widely accepted idea, especially for the patients with early stage disease, and currently HL researchers are concentrating their research mainly on how to decrease the late effects of the treatment, while keeping the excellent survival rates. But this all story is mostly about developed countries like United States or Germany, and much less is known about the features and outcomes of patients diagnosed and treated in developing world, given also the fact that there are several reports about differences of disease characteristics depending on geographic locations and the development level of the country (Macfarlane et al., 1995).

Recently, few studies were published from African and South Asian regions examining the clinico-pathological characteristics and the outcomes of HL patients (Dinand and Arya 2006; Siddiqui et al., 2006; Olu-Eddo and Omoti 2011; Hessissen et al., 2013; Baharvand and Mortazavi 2014; Fadhil, Al-Nueimy, and Lazim 2014; Sughayer et al., 2014; Sherief et al., 2015), however the information about characteristics and outcomes of HL from South Caucasian region is lacking, which was one of the main reasons to conduct this retrospective study. In this study we describe the features of adult patients with Hodgkin lymphoma and their outcomes, diagnosed and treated at our clinic in Armenia during recent years.
Materials and Methods

The data of adult patients (≥18 years old) with newly diagnosed Hodgkin lymphoma, diagnosed and treated at the Clinic of Chemotherapy of Muratsan University Hospital of Yerevan State Medical University between 2008 - 2014 were retrospectively collected and analyzed. The data included demographic information, clinical and laboratory features at diagnosis, staging, treatment, follow-up, as well as information on whether patients received treatment covered by the government (free) or paid out-of-pocket. The diagnosis was confirmed by the histo-pathological and immunophenotypic characteristics (Harris, 1999), and Ann-Arbor staging system was used for the clinical staging (Carbone et al., 1971; Lister et al., 1989).

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Hodgkin Disease/Lymphoma were used for diagnosis, treatment decisions, response criteria and follow-up (“NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Hodgkin Disease/Lymphoma” 2008). Patients received either 4-6 cycles of ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine) regimen or 6-8 cycles of BEACOPP (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone) regimen with or without radiation therapy (“NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Hodgkin Disease/Lymphoma” 2008).

Results

36 adult patients (median age 28 years, range 18-72), from which 16 (44%) males and 20 (56%) females, were diagnosed and treated at our clinic during mentioned period of time. Based on histo-pathological and immunophenotypic characteristics, all patients had classic Hodgkin lymphoma and among them 19 (53%) patients had nodular sclerosis subtype, 8 (22%) patients mixed cellularity and 9 (25%) patients lymphocyte-rich. 16 (44.5%) patients were at stage II, 13 (36%) patients stage III and 7 (19.5%) patients stage IV. At presentation 34 (94.5%) patients had lymphadenopathy, and cervical/supraclavicular (30 patients; 81%) and mediastinal (19 patients; 53%) nodes were the most commonly involved. 14 (39%) patients presented with fever, 7 (19.5%) with night sweating, 2 (5.5%) patients with skin rash, 7 (19.5%) presented with dyspnea and 2 (5.5%) with bone pain. None of the patients had affected bone marrow.

Median follow-up time was 24.5 months (range 1-71 months) and during the whole follow-up period only two relapses (early) were documented and there was no death. 23 (64%) patients received BEACOPP regimen, and 13 (36%) patients received ABVD regimen; from 13 patients who received ABVD regimen 12 of them were with stage II disease. 25 (69.5%) patients received radiation in addition to chemotherapy. During chemotherapy the most common complication was leukopenia ~58%, and G-CSF was paid out-of-pocket. The diagnosis was confirmed by the histo-pathological and immunophenotypic characteristics (Harris, 1999), and Ann-Arbor staging system was used for the clinical staging (Carbone et al., 1971; Lister et al., 1989).

Discussion

Hodgkin lymphoma most frequently presents between 15 and 49 years of age, however people at any age can get that disease(DeSantis et al., 2014). In our study cohort we only examined adult patients, i.e. 18 years and older, and the median age was 28 years; in USA Hodgkin lymphoma is most commonly diagnosed among people from 20 to 34 years old, with a median age of 38 years; reports from other countries also showed similar results (“SEER 18 Stat Fact Sheets (2008-2012) - Hodgkin Lymphoma” 2015; Lee, Tan, and Feng 2005; Ramadas et al., 1994).

Studies from different parts of the world report HL is more common in males (Riyat 1992; Ramadas et al., 1994; Foss Abrahamsen et al., 1997; Siddiqui et al., 2006; Bosetti et al., 2009; Sant et al., 2010; Baharvand and Mortazavi 2014; Fadhil, Al-Nueimy, and Lazim, 2014; Sughaier et al., 2014; Torre et al., 2015), however, surprisingly, we see slightly more females and this need to be studied in a bigger cohort, whether it is an accidental finding or there are some correlations between gender and geographic location. This could be explained also by the male/female ratio in the whole adult population (15 - 64 years), which in Armenia, European Union, United States and Jordan is, respectively, 0.88; 1; 1.07 and 1.15 (“Gender Statistics. Highlights from 2012 World Development Report” 2012). Slightly higher incidence of HL in female was reported from some countries in Eastern Europe as well (Bosetti et al., 2009; Andjelic et al., 2014).

In the United States the most common form of HL is classic form (about 95%) and lymphocyte - predominant form is rarely seen (5%) (Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL 2008). In our clinic all patients presented with classic HL. In developed countries nodular sclerosis (NS) subtype is more frequently seen, however several studies from developing countries reported mixed cellularity (MC) subtype being predominant (Georgii et al., 1993; Ramadas et al., 1994; Lee, Tan, and Feng 2005; Siddiqui et al., 2006; Olu-Eddo and Omoti 2011; Baharvand and Mortazavi 2014). Although Armenia is a developing country, but in our cohort NS was more than twice frequent than MC; approximately similar results recently were reported also from Iraq and Jordan (Yaqa et al., 2011; Fadhil, Al-Nueimy, and Lazim, 2014; Sughaier et al., 2014). In our cohort an interesting finding was higher incidence of lymphocyte rich variant, which is known to have an excellent outcome with current treatment strategies (Shimabukuro et al., 2005).

In developed countries, patients usually present with early stage disease (I, II), and in developing countries with advanced stage disease (III, IV). In our cohort 17 patients were with early stage disease (no stage I patient; only stage II) and 19 patients with advanced stage (III or IV)
disease, which is similar to previously published results from other developing countries (Riyat, 1992; Ramadas et al., 1994; Siddiqui et al., 2006). This can be explained by the lack of population education about early cancer signs, gaps in diagnostics, psychological and financial issues (many patients, even after noticing early signs, tend to visit doctors at the last, because of the fear of cancer and also fear of not having enough financial resources to cover diagnosis and treatment). Another reason in the case of HL could be that the clinical manifestation of the disease is not very specific, so many patients could be misdiagnosed for a long time period.

8 (22%) patients resided in the villages and other 28 (78%) in the cities; from those 8 patients from villages 6 (75%) presented with late stage disease, which can be due to less developed health care infrastructure and higher level of the poverty in the villages.

The healthcare system in Armenia is a remnant from the past Soviet Union system, and despite several reforms performed during last 25 years, many problems still are of significance. The total expenditure on health in Armenia is about 4.5% of GDP with a 150S healthcare expenditure per capita, while in the United States the health expenditure is respectively 17.9% of GDP and 8.895$ per capita (“World Health Organization Global Health Expenditure Database 2010 - 2014” 2015). As a result the population cannot access all free services contained in the government-guaranteed Basic Benefit Package and the alternative financing mechanisms are very limited or lacking. In Armenia out-of-pocket payments are more than half of the all health care costs (Hakobyan at al., 2006; Richardson 2013). Out of 36 patients, only 6 (17%) of them were covered by the government-guaranteed Basic Benefit package, which includes the fees for the hospital service and labs. All patients paid for their chemotherapy drugs themselves, for 1 course of ABVD around 300 USD and for 1 course of BEACOPP around 600 USD, depending on the drug store they buy the drugs and deliver to the clinic. The hospital service fees are approximately 300 - 400 USD for 1 course of chemotherapy. As a result patients only for chemotherapy for HL usually spend from 4000 to 6000 USD, which is a big amount of money for a country with an average monthly salary of 350 USD, and the situation is even more difficult for the poorest households (“National Statistical Service of Republic of Armenia” 2015).

Although the number of patients involved in the study is small and the median follow-up time is just two years, this retrospective study shows that the treatment of Hodgkin lymphoma could be successfully organized also in resource-limited setting and that the epidemiology and outcomes of HL in Armenia possibly is reaching developed countries. Larger studies with longer follow-up are needed to confirm the findings of this study.
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