NMR Study of Synthetic Gallosilicate Natrolite
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Abstract
Solid-state $^{29}$Si and $^{71}$Ga NMR was used to study the synthetic gallosilicate Na$_{16}$Ga$_{16}$Si$_{24}$O$_{80}$·16H$_2$O (Ga–natrolite). It has been shown that Ga–natrolite contains mainly Si(GaO$_4$)$_3$(SiO$_4$) and Si(GaO$_4$)$_2$(SiO$_4$)$_2$-structural units and has sufficiently ordered structure. Temperature dependence of the spin–lattice relaxation time $T_1$ of $^{71}$Ga nuclei has also been studied using solid-state NMR. Spin–lattice relaxation of the $^{71}$Ga was determined to be governed by the electric quadrupole interaction with the crystal electric field gradients modulated by translational motion of H$_2$O molecules in the Ga–natrolite pores.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, there has been considerable interest in the isomorphous replacement of Al atoms by other trivalent cations due to the increased interest in zeolites. These micro-porous species possess different physical and chemical properties from their aluminium analogues and offer possibilities for new applications of molecular sieves [1–5]. Gallium is directly below aluminium in the periodic table; therefore, it forms chemically similar, analogous tetrahedra to AlO$_4$. Isomorphous substitution of Ga for Al in alumosilicate natrolite (Na$_{16}$Al$_{16}$Si$_{24}$O$_{80}$·16H$_2$O) gives gallosilicate natrolite (Ga–natrolite) [1].

NMR spectroscopy is one of the main methods for studying the microstructure of materials, including zeolites [6]. In present paper, the structure of orthorhombic synthetic gallosilicate natrolite (Na$_{16}$Ga$_{16}$Si$_{24}$O$_{80}$·16H$_2$O) was studied by means of NMR MAS of $^{29}$Si and $^{71}$Ga nuclei. The temperature dependence of the spin–lattice...
relaxation time $T_1$ of $^{71}$Ga nuclei has also been studied using solid-state NMR. Obtained results were compared with available references [7–15].

## 2 Experimental Procedure

Gallium form of natrolite was hydrothermally synthesized as described in [12]. Water solution of gallium oxide (Ga$_2$O$_3$, 99.99+, Aldrich) and sodium hydroxide (50% aqueous solution, Aldrich) was heated up to 100 °C and stirred over night. After cooling to room temperature, colloidal silica (Ludox AS-40) was slowly added, with stirring. Result mixture with oxide composition of 6.0Na$_2$O·1.0Ga$_2$O$_3$·10.0SiO$_2$·150H$_2$O was charged into Teflon-lined 100 mL autoclave and heated up to 170 °C. After 12 days, the autoclave was cooled down. Products were washed three times with water and dried over night in the oven.

Powder X-ray data (XRD) were collected on PANalytical XPERT-PRO diffractometer using Cu–Kα radiation source ($\lambda = 1.541874$ Å). Samples were analyzed over the 2θ range of 5–70° with the step size of 0.017° (Fig. 1). Phases were identified by comparing diffraction peaks with the data reported in Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) using X’Pert HighScore program. According to ICSD, obtained XRD pattern matches NAT topology and it is in a good agreement with material TNU-4 as in [12]. This suggests that experimental material is gallium form of natrolite.

Chemical analyses of synthesized gallium natrolite and natural natrolite were performed using DSM 982 Gemini FE-SEM scanning electron microscope (SEM) ZEISS. The detector consisted of the inlens and lateral electron (SE) detector and the backscatter electron (BSE) detector. BSE detector was used most frequently for individual SEM images. Respective excitation voltage was 0.265 keV. Details of

![Fig. 1 Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of gallium natrolite prepared in this study](image-url)
chemical analyses can be found in Table 1. SEM picture of small crystals produced by hydrothermal synthesis can be found in Fig. 2.

NMR MAS spectra were obtained on polycrystalline sample of Ga–natrolite on a Bruker Avance-400 NMR spectrometer. $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra were measured at a spinning rate of 10 kHz using 4 mm rotors at a $^{29}$Si frequency of 79.490 MHz with $\pi/2$ pulse length of 3 $\mu$s. Typically, 10,000 scans were accumulated and the $^{29}$Si chemical shifts were referenced to TMS. $^{71}$Ga MAS NMR spectra were obtained at a $^{71}$Ga frequency of 122.0564 MHz in 4 mm rotors at a spinning rate of 14.0 kHz with an acquisition of 1000 pulse transients, which was repeated with RF pulse length of 2.4 $\mu$s. The Dmfit program [16] was used to simulate $^{71}$Ga spectra to extract isotropic chemical shifts ($\delta_{iso}$), quadrupolar coupling constants ($C_Q$), and asymmetry parameters ($\eta$). The spin–lattice relaxation time $T_1$ for $^{71}$Ga nuclei was measured by the saturation-recovery method.

### 3 Results and Discussion

Figure 3 shows $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra of Al–and Ga–natrolites. From these figure, it follows that $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra of Al– and Ga–natrolite are similar and contain two resonant lines. In natural Al–natrolite, two resonance lines of $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectrum at – 84.9 ppm and – 92.7 ppm with an intensity ratio of $\approx 2:1$ were observed in our investigations (Fig. 3).

![Fig. 2 Scanning electron micrographs of the gallium natrolite](image)
The number of resonance NMR lines of the nuclei $^{29}\text{Si}$ and $^{71}\text{Ga}$ determined by the degree of ordered of the natrolite structure [6, 14, 17]. It has been demonstrated at first by Lipmaa et al. [17] that chemical shifts of $^{29}\text{Si}$ MAS NMR spectra of zeolite and other aluminoosilicates are sensitive to the number of AlO$_4$ tetrahedra linked to the SiO$_4$ tetrahedron, also described as the second coordination sphere of silicon. The number of aluminium tetrahedra sharing oxygens with the SiO$_4$ tetrahedron defines five different structural units in the alumosilicate framework, namely, Si(nAl), where index $n=0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ specify the number of aluminium tetrahedra connected with SiO$_4$ tetrahedron. $^{29}\text{Si}$ chemical shift ranges by about 5 ppm low-field shifts for each additional connected substituent [17]. This allows to establish structures of various zeolites and get information about Si/Al ordering.

The orthorhombic Al–natrolite (space group Fdd2) has three different central sites (T-sites) in TO$_4$ tetrahedra with multiplicities 8:16:16. According to the X-ray and NMR structure determination study [17, 18], the structure of natural Al–natrolite is well-ordered with Si/Al ratio of 3:2 [6, 17]. There are two types of species with silicon atoms containing Si/Al ratio of 1.5, i.e., those connected to three AlO$_4$ tetrahedra and one SiO$_4$ tetrahedron (Si(AlO$_4$)$_3$(SiO$_4$)) and those connected to two AlO$_4$ tetrahedra and two SiO$_4$ tetrahedra (Si(AlO$_4$)$_2$(SiO$_4$)$_2$) in 2:1 ratio [17].

Two resonance lines at −81.1 ppm and −91.1 ppm observed in NMR MAS $^{29}\text{Si}$ spectrum (Fig. 3) of gallosilicate natrolite may indicate that the natrolite has sufficiently well-ordered structure and mainly contains Si(GaO$_4$)$_3$(SiO$_4$) and Si(GaO$_4$)$_2$(SiO$_4$)$_2$ structural units. However, the intensity ratio of these two lines (≈ 1.7) is somewhat unusual and has an Si/Ga ratio different than 1.5. This suggests that in the structure of gallosilicate natrolite, there are other Si(GaO$_4$)$_n$(SiO$_4$)$_{4-n}$ species with $n=0, 1, 4$ structural.

Fig. 3 $^{29}\text{Si}$ MAS NMR spectra of Al–natrolite [13] (a) and Ga–natrolite (b)
units [11]. Intensities of NMR MAS $^{29}\text{Si}$ lines of these units may be too weak to be observed experimentally [11]. The difference of chemical shifts between two resonance lines of $^{29}\text{Si}$ atoms in Ga–natrolite is 10.0 ppm.

Gallium atoms have four nearest-neighbour oxygen atoms in tetrahedral coordination in Ga–natrolite. The nucleus of gallium atom has the spin of $I=3/2$ and it is a quadrupolar nucleus. The quadrupole moment of the nucleus is a sensitive probe of the existence of a nonuniform electric field (EFG) at the location of the nucleus. The experimental and simulated $^{71}\text{Ga}$ MAS NMR spectra of Ga–natrolite are shown in Fig. 4 which clearly shows a line shape that is determined by the second-order quadrupolar interaction [19, 20].

The experimental temperature dependence of the spin–lattice relaxation time $T_1$ of $^{71}\text{Ga}$ nuclei in Ga–natrolite is shown in Fig. 5. It is important to note that the relaxation process for $^{71}\text{Ga}$ nuclei in Ga–natrolite was well described by a single exponential. It can be assumed that the main physical mechanism responsible for spin–lattice relaxation of $^{71}\text{Ga}$ nuclei in Ga–natrolite is identical with the mechanism of spin–lattice relaxation of $^{27}\text{Al}$ nuclei in Al–natrolite and the spin–lattice relaxation of $^{71}\text{Ga}$ nuclei in Ga–natrolite is concerned with modulation of electric quadrupolar interactions at the sites of the $^{71}\text{Ga}$ nuclei by thermal motion of water molecules [14]. In this case, the rate of spin–lattice relaxation of $^{71}\text{Ga}$ nuclei may be described by the equation as [14, 20–23]

$$R_{1Q} ≡ {1\over T_{1Q}} ≃ \alpha \cdot (\delta C_{Q})^2 \left[ {\tau_c \over 1 + \omega_0^2 \tau_c^2} + {4\tau_c \over 1 + 4\omega_0^2 \tau_c^2} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

**Fig. 4** $^{71}\text{Ga}$ MAS NMR spectra of Ga–natrolite. a Experimental spectrum at $T=300$ K. b Theoretical spectrum with parameters: the quadrupole coupling constant $C_Q = e^2 q Q/h = 5$ MHz; the asymmetry parameter $\eta = 0.5$; chemical shift $\delta_{\text{iso}} = 190.54$ ppm. Spinning sidebands are marked by asterisks.
where parameter \( \alpha \) depends on the asymmetry parameter \( \eta \) of the EFG (from the estimations \( \alpha \approx 0.13–0.17 \) [23]); \( \omega_0 \) is the Larmor frequency of the quadrupole nucleus and

\[
\delta C_Q = \frac{e^2(\delta q)Q}{\hbar} \tag{2}
\]

describes fluctuations of the quadrupole coupling constant [20] at the sites of the \( ^{71}\text{Ga} \) nuclei, \( \tau_c \) is the correlation time, which describes activated translational and reorientational jumps of electric dipoles in water molecules. In Eq. (1), \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) represents an ensemble average.

From Eq. (1), it follows that \( R_{1Q} \sim \tau_c \) for \( \omega_0 \tau_c \ll 1 \), while \( R_{1Q} \sim 1/\tau_c \) for \( \omega_0 \tau_c >> 1 \), with a maximum of \( R_{1Q} \) (and minimum of \( T_{1Q} \)) in the intermediate region. We assume that the correlation time \( \tau_c \) caused by the molecular motion follows the Arrhenius-type temperature dependence [20]:

\[
\tau_c = \tau_0 \exp \left( \frac{E_a}{RT} \right). \tag{3}
\]

Translational and reorientational jumps of electric dipoles of water molecules were assumed to be dynamically heterogeneous (i.e., described by different activation energies \( E_a \)), and as a result, these were characterized by a normal distribution of the activation energies [24]:

\[
p(E_a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_E} \exp \left\{ \frac{E_a - \bar{E}_a}{2\sigma_E^2} \right\}. \tag{4}
\]
Therefore, Eq. (1) was averaged over distribution function of Eq. (4). Experimental temperature dependence of the spin–lattice relaxation time and results of their approximation by function of Eq. (1) are presented in Fig. 5. Obtained adjusting parameters are given in Table 2.

Obtained activation energy 7.3 kcal/mol strongly suggests that translational and reorientational jumps of electric dipoles of water molecules are responsible for the relaxation process of the $^{71}$Ga nuclei.

According to the data presented in Table 1, averaged fluctuations of quadrupole coupling constant at the sites of the $^{71}$Ga nuclei are

$$\sqrt{\alpha \cdot (\delta C_Q)}^2 \approx 0.3 \text{ MHz.}$$

Full constant of quadrupolar interaction for the $^{71}$Ga nuclei in the natrolite $C_Q = \text{eq}Q/h = 5 \text{ MHz}$, and therefore, the contribution of the electric dipolar moments of the water molecules to the full EFG at the $^{71}$Ga sites is 6%.

### 4 Conclusions

Based on the analysis of obtained NMR MAS spectra of $^{29}$Si and $^{71}$Ga nuclei in Ga–natrolites, synthetic gallosilicate natrolite has a well-ordered structure with Si/Ga ratio (3:2) and it contains (Si(GaO$_4$)$_3$(SiO$_4$)) and (Si(GaO$_4$)$_2$(SiO$_4$)$_2$) tetrahedra in the 2:1 ratio. From experimental and simulated $^{71}$Ga MAS NMR spectrum of Ga–natrolite, it follows that NMR line shape is determined by the second-order quadrupolar interaction. The spin–lattice relaxation of the $^{71}$Ga is governed by electric quadrupole interaction with crystal electric field gradients modulated by translational motion of H$_2$O molecules in natrolite pores.
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