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Abstract: The CO2 fluxes measured by the eddy covariance technique (EC) are presented for a district of the urban area of Bari (Italy). The applicability of the EC method was satisfied even though the measurements were taken at a limited height. The CO2 fluxes are representative of an area with public offices and schools, the university campus, green areas, and busy roads with intensive traffic during school and office times. The measurements were carried out in March–June, covering late winter, characterized by huge vehicle traffic and domestic heating, until late spring, characterized by reduced activities for schools and the university. The source area was determined as a function of atmospheric stability, for data with the ratio between measurement-height/buildings-height in the range of 1.3–1.5. The measured CO2 fluxes were compared to gas consumption values. The results show that the district is a strong source of CO2 during the winter. Emissions were drastically reduced (~82%) after the heating was switched off, and a further decrease in CO2 emissions (~50%) occurred with the reduction of school activities, partly due to the mitigating effect of green areas with large trees in the area.
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1. Introduction

Many experimental studies are available for understanding the role of human activities on atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2) dynamics in urban ecosystems. These works are mostly based on measurements of CO2 fluxes by the eddy-covariance (EC) technique [1] at different urban locations all over the world. In general, the influence of human activities on urban CO2 fluxes has been identified in three specific sources/sinks: (i) fossil fuel and gas combustions for heating and electricity, (ii) urban traffic, and (iii) biogenic emission and uptake from vegetation. Furthermore, local weather has a major influence on CO2 exchanges at urban ecosystem level (in terms of air temperature and humidity, wind speed and direction, solar radiation, and rain).

CO2 fluxes are measured mainly in America and Europe (see [2] for a review), and in more recent years in Asia (e.g., [3]). In general, intensity and distribution of CO2 sources and sinks in urban ecosystems are clearly and strongly seasonal, as domestic heating is linked to air temperature and humidity; urban traffic is linked to day-of-the-week and rush hour; vegetation cover is related to the plant growth cycle, which in turn is affected by the thermodynamics of the biosphere. Urban CO2 fluxes therefore change with...
changing seasons. Velasco et al. [4] carried out measurements during and after holidays for 23 days, finding that CO$_2$ fluxes followed a diurnal pattern, correlated with traffic and urban land use (scholar, residential, and commercial areas). Moreover, effects of extension and location of the EC tower were found for coastal (Melbourne, [5]) and semi-arid areas in the USA [2]. Among many others, similar behaviors of CO$_2$ fluxes were found in several contrasting urban sites like Edinburgh [6], Chicago [7], Tokyo [8], Helsinki [9], Mexico City [10], London [11], Łódź [12], Beijing [13], and Basel [14].

Even though the Mediterranean region has been identified as one of the most prominent “hot-spots” in future climate change projections, with a delicate equilibrium between inner rural, suburban, and coastal areas [15,16], the studies on CO$_2$ fluxes in urban sites of this region are rare. By analyzing the urban heating and traffic, Matese et al. [17] found that in Florence (Central Italy) the CO$_2$ Net Ecosystem Exchange is well related to the seasonal changes of air temperature. Same results were found for Rome (Central Italy, [18]) subject to Mediterranean climate, warm and temperate, and Lecce (Southern Italy, [19]), a city quite far from the sea and subject to a semi-arid climate. In a coastal Mediterranean big city such as Marseille, Grimmond et al. [20] showed that a central district is almost always a CO$_2$ source, but the vegetation reduces the magnitude of the CO$_2$ emissions when the wind comes from the green areas inland (during the afternoon).

Matching the requirements for EC measurements above urban areas can be complex. In fact, the great patchiness of the urban surface, usually a mixture of building, asphalt/concrete roads and vegetation, translates into complex roughness characteristics, making it challenging for EC measurements, and for drawing conclusions in terms of representativeness at district or city scales [21]. In particular, the EC instrumentation should be installed at a tower height greater than the blending height (defined as the scale at which the flow changes from equilibrium with the local surface to horizontal position independence [22]), so that the measured fluxes can represent their local-scale source areas. However, restrictions in the setting up of monitoring towers in densely built areas, especially for public or historical buildings, often prevent the most appropriate choice of sensor location in terms of height, absence of obstacles nearby, and relative distance to the building [23]. As a consequence, very interesting urban areas falling into this last category are little studied or not monitored at all.

However, when urban EC measurements cannot be representative of the whole city, a detailed and accurate determination of the source area around the measurement point is often still possible, for understanding the relationships between urban structures, vegetation, and human activities, even if only at the local scale [20–26]. These results could be useful for achieving wider air quality objectives by municipalities and public institutions starting from actual CO$_2$ data.

In this study, CO$_2$ fluxes are measured from an urban district of a large coastal city subject to Mediterranean climate in southern Italy (Bari, Apulia). The trial was carried out in an area hosting mainly public facilities, schools and university buildings. The EC instrumentation was installed on top of the roof of a historic public building surrounded by a large old garden, at the maximum possible height given all the structural restrictions, instrumental constraints, and public authorizations required for the available tower. The main objective of this study is to determine in detail the CO$_2$ source areas, to understand how representative the EC measurements are, and to assess which specific areas correspond to the relationships between CO$_2$ fluxes and human activities. In support of this, an analysis of factors affecting CO$_2$ exchanges and their relationships with the surrounding area and human activities was carried out during a three-month trial, showing the effects in terms of domestic heating and traffic, during a transition period between winter and spring.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. The Site and the Set Up

The trial was carried out in Bari, a coastal city on the Adriatic Sea of around 320,000 inhabitants on a surface of 117.39 km² located in Southern Italy (Figure 1). The EC tower was set up on the roof of the CREA-AA research institute site, a public historic building (late 19th century), located in a residential area with many public offices, schools, and the university campus. The main types of building structures considering a radius of 500 m from the measuring tower are shown in Figure 2. In the north-eastern sector (I quadrant), a large green surface of large trees is visible (mainly spruce with some cypress and eucalyptus); the south-east sector (II quadrant) hosts the university campus, with high and small buildings, green areas covered by shrubs, small trees, and grass; in the south-western sector (III quadrant), only big roads and civil buildings are present; the remaining north-western sector (IV quadrant) hosts schools and public offices among some private buildings. The EC-tower building is surrounded by a garden with shrubs, grass, and trees of different species (mainly olive, citrus, broadleaves, and conifers) [27].

Figure 1. The city of Bari (Apulia, Southern Italy), on the Adriatic Sea. The eddy covariance measurement tower was set up on the rooftop of the CREA-AA building (Agriculture and Environment Center).
Figure 2. The area used for the footprint morphology analysis located around the measurement point: A circle of 500 m radius, divided in 324 cells of 50 m per sectors of 10°. The colored polygons on the map indicate the campus area (purple), the public buildings (yellow), and the schools (red).

The experimental campaign started on 7 March 2012 and finished on 17 June 2012, covering a transition period between winter, characterized by domestic heating and intensive vehicle traffic for scholar and public offices activities, and spring, characterized by vehicle traffic and active growth of urban vegetation.

Measured values of CO₂ fluxes are compared to the actual gas consumption of the city. Natural gas used for domestic heating and cooking is usually provided by two pumping stations by Amgas Srl, established in Bari since 2003. Here the values of gas consumption, as provided by the station serving the monitored district, are presented in m³ day⁻¹. The city of Bari did not have any limited traffic zone, therefore no official value of circulating vehicles was available. However, during the scholar activities, the vehicle traffic was obviously most intensive in the area around the monitoring point of this study due the prevalence of public offices (see Figure 2).

The EC equipment did not work between 11 April at 10:30 and 18 April at 8:30, therefore the measurements cover a period of 96 days, from the end of winter up to the end of spring.

The weather in the experimental period followed the seasonal patterns typical of the Bari climate. Daily mean values of air temperature (minimum and maximum), relative humidity, global radiation, and precipitations are shown in Figure 3a, for the period of 1 March–30 June 2012.
Figure 3. (a) Weather patterns during the experiment in terms of daily minimum and maximum air temperature, relative humidity, global radiation, and precipitation (courtesy of Associazione Consorzi di Difesa della Puglia, Italy); (b) wind direction during the morning and afternoon as percentage of values per 10° sectors.
Considering that the city developed along the Adriatic Sea (see Figure 1), thus benefiting of the afternoon breeze, the analysis of the predominant wind directions was carried out separately for morning (from 6:00 until 12:00) and afternoon (from 12:00 until 18:00). Figure 3b shows the percentage number of times the wind blew from each 10° sector; in the morning the wind comes from inland (mainly west and north-west), while in the afternoon the wind generally comes from the sea (north and north-east), following the breeze regime until sunset.

The sensors were installed on a 3.5 m mast mounted on a small turret (2 m high, with a floor area of 7 m²) on the roof of the building 12 m above street level, thus the measuring point was positioned at a total height of 17.5 m above street level. The building has the shape of a rough parallelepiped with a surface of 28 × 31 m².

The EC tower system was equipped with a fast-responding open-path infrared gas analyzer for water vapor (H₂O) and CO₂ (IRGA, LI-7500, Li-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) and a three-dimensional sonic anemometer Gill-R2 (Gill Instruments Ltd., Lymington, UK). The LI-7500 sensor was placed 0.3 m to the side of the anemometer in order to avoid flow disturbances.

The Municipality of Bari imposed by law the switch-off of domestic heating on 31 March 2012; in the first half of June, school holidays began (with complete interruption of lessons and reduction of university activities) in Southern Italy. The trial covers a transition period between intensive and reduced activities of schools and workplaces. The measurement periods and the main events are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Main events during the experimental period.

| Date        | Event                                          |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 7 March     | Start of CO₂ flux measurements                 |
| 31 March    | Household heating official switch off          |
| 11–18 April | Failure of equipment                           |
| 1 June      | Beginning of school holidays and reduction of university activities |
| 17 June     | End of CO₂ flux measurements                   |

2.2. Measurements and Data Processing

Fluxes of CO₂ were measured by the eddy covariance method [1,28], in which the net flux $F$ in μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, is given by:

$$ F = \bar{\rho} \bar{w} \bar{\chi} $$

(1)

where $\bar{\rho}$ is the air density, $\bar{w}$ and $\bar{\chi}$ are the departures from the mean of the vertical wind component and the mean mass of a scalar per unit mass of dry air, respectively.

Following [29], micrometeorological measurements were recorded at 20.8 and 10 Hz, for wind and concentration, respectively, by the EddySoft resident software, and half-hourly fluxes were computed offline using EddyPro® 4.2 (www.licor.com/eddypro).

The angle-of-attack correction after [30] was applied to compensate flow distortions for the Gill anemometer. The standard WPL terms [31,32] were taken into account to correct for density fluctuations.

Following the suggestion by some authors in the literature [33,34], no friction velocity screening was used. The stationarity and developed turbulence tests of [35] were calculated for all fluxes, but in this case we did not follow the standard procedure to discard the fluxes flagged as “2” (as done normally in non-urban context), since these classifications could lead to considerable data loss for urban areas [34,36].

Spectral analysis was used to verify the quality of the acquisition frequency applied on site, and averaging time interval used for the fluxes. An example of averaged and normalized scalar cospectra of sensible heat and CO₂ is reported in Figure 4 for the runs from 11:00 to 15:00 during a clear day. Both cospectra agreed well with the theoretical $-4/3$
slopes in the inertial subrange. The low damping in the CO$_2$ fluxes was corrected, at high and low frequencies, applying the method proposed by [37].

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Normalized averaged cospectra (ratio of cospectra over covariance) of sensible heat fluxes, $\text{Co}(w,T)$, and of CO$_2$, $\text{Co}(w,\text{CO}_2)$ over a 4 h period (from 11:00 to 15:00, with $U$ from 2.15 to 2.85 m $\text{s}^{-1}$ and $z/L$ ranging from $-0.203$ to $-0.00469$).

In order to verify Taylor’s turbulence frozen hypothesis, the turbulence intensity is determined by standard deviations of wind vector divided by horizontal wind velocity $U$ [38] as:

$$I_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{U} \quad (i = u, v, w)$$

$I_i < 0.5$ is required for Taylor’s hypothesis to be verified [38]. Mean values for the different wind vector components under neutral stability found at this site are $I_u = 0.38$, $I_v = 0.30$, $I_w = 0.14$; these are in agreement with urban values reported by other authors [20,39,40].

The flux random uncertainty due to sampling errors was calculated according to [41], giving overall estimates of $7.4 \pm 7$ W m$^{-2}$ for sensible heat and $2.3 \pm 7.3$ μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for CO$_2$ fluxes, respectively; other considerations on the random errors are reported in Appendix A.

The steady state classification according to [35] showed that the suspicious fluxes (class 2) amounted to 2% and 10% for sensible heat flux (H) and CO$_2$, respectively, for daylight values, increasing to 10% and 25%, respectively, for night time, when often stable conditions prevail.

One-way ANOVA was applied to daily mean CO$_2$ fluxes, after testing normality (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) and homogeneity of variance (Bartlett test), for the period before and after heating switching (31 March). This statistical analysis was carried out using the free software environment R (R Development Core Team, 2014. http://cran.r-project.org/).
2.3. Morphological Characteristics and CO₂ Source Areas of the Site

The footprint notion is often used to describe the source area of turbulent scalar fluxes [42]. In this study, the source areas of CO₂ fluxes, in terms of distance from the EC measurement point, were calculated run by run, following the procedure from Kotthaus and Grimmond [25]. The footprint (x, in m) was determined as a function of wind speed and direction, roughness length ($z_0$, in m), and displacement plane height ($d$, in m) at the site. In this case, $d$ and $z_0$ were determined as reported by MacDonald et al. [43], using the morphological parameters (building height $H_b$ in m, frontal area fraction $\lambda_f$, and plan area fraction $\lambda_p$) relative to the cells individuated inside the area of 500 m radius centered in the EC tower, for every 50 m and 10° interval sectors (324 cells, see the scheme of cells in Figure 2). In particular:

$$\lambda_p = \frac{A_p}{A_d} \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda_f = \frac{A_f}{A_d} \quad (4)$$

with $A_p$, $A_d$, and $A_f$ being the plan area in m² occupied by buildings, the area of the cell, and the frontal area of buildings oriented toward the EC measurement point, respectively. $A_f$ is calculated as indicated in [44], as it is a function of orientation, $\theta$, of the frontal area of the buildings. The projection of the building surface along the wind direction is given by the dot product between this vector and a horizontal unit vector in the direction $\theta$. The detailed procedure to calculate the source areas is presented in Appendix B.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Source Area

The level of patchiness of the studied area can be deduced by the values of $\lambda_f$ and $\lambda_p$, illustrated in Figure 5. In fact, $\lambda_f$ has the lowest value in the sectors between 60° and 80°, corresponding to the areas with fewer buildings and large vegetation cover, while the highest values are in the north-west and south-east sectors, hosting many civil buildings and the university campus, respectively (see Figure 2). $\lambda_f$ assumes the lowest value always in the direction with large vegetation area at north-east, but also at south south-east, where the university campus hosts both high and low scattered buildings. Figure 6 shows the distribution of the mean building heights for each cell and the mean values of the ratio between the measurement height ($z_m$) and $H_b$ of all cells in each sector of 10°. Moreover, in Figure 6, the ratio between $z_m$ and the $H_b$ found by Kljun et al. [45], above which the divergence of fluxes vanishes, is highlighted (equal to 1.5). It is clear that the requirement of 1.5 for having acceptable measurements is completely satisfied in the sector 330–100°, while a lower value around 1.3–1.4 was accepted for the sector 210–330°. The ratio between $z_m$ and the $H_b$ was considered too low.
Figure 5. Values of $\lambda_p$ (upper panel) and $\lambda_f$ (lower panel) calculated for the 324 cells around the measurement point.
The source area of EC fluxes is strongly affected by the atmospheric stratification [42]. Following [23], the chosen atmospheric stability parameter is \( \zeta = z'/L \), with \( L \) Obukhov length and \( z' \) effective height \( z' = z_m - d \) (\( z_m \) and \( d \) are measurement and displacement height, respectively). The used stability classification is \( \zeta < -0.1 \), \( -0.1 \leq \zeta \leq 0.1 \), and \( \zeta > 0.1 \) for unstable, near neutral, and stable conditions, respectively; the number of runs in stable conditions is negligible (3%) for all sectors, and not further considered in the flux analysis, while the number of runs in neutral and unstable conditions represent 50.5% and 46.5% of the total, respectively. The percentage of runs with the wind coming from the sectors between 100° and 210° (not considered in the analysis of CO\(_2\) fluxes) is 17% (9% in neutral and 8% in unstable conditions). The unstable conditions occurred during daytime on 65% of the total cases, while neutral conditions in 58% of total cases during the night. Stable conditions were found exclusively during the night.

The results of this calculation are reported in Figure 7 for neutral and unstable conditions: The isololeths represent the 20, 40, 60, 80, 100% of the source areas, calculated by aggregating all data, showing a larger surface area under neutral conditions than unstable conditions. The footprint calculation relative to the sector between 100° and 210° failed to return feasible results, i.e., no footprint value met the conditions required by the Kormann and Meixner’s model, confirming that when the wind comes from that sector, the measurement point is inside the roughness sublayer and well below the blending height, jeopardizing the hypotheses of the method. For this reason, the sector was excluded from the flux analysis.
In unstable conditions, the source areas of CO$_2$ fluxes contained public facilities buildings, the vegetated area surrounding the measuring tower, a single-carriage road which passes through the schools and public offices, and a very busy road east of the measuring point which links the outskirts to the city center. In neutral conditions, the source area was extended to private residence buildings, roads, and the large vegetation area in the north-east direction.
In Figure 7, the footprint peak is also reported, as a red line; it was always between 50 and 150 m away from the roof of the building with the EC tower, in both unstable and neutral situations.

3.2. CO\textsubscript{2} Fluxes and Anthropogenic Activities

3.2.1. Landscape

Firstly, the relationship between wind direction and CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes around the measurement tower is analyzed. In Figure 8, the measured CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes (μmol m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}) are shown for each 10° sector, in unstable (left) and neutral (right) conditions, for the whole experimental period; the half-hourly fluxes are subdivided in three classes: ≤0 μmol m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, i.e., CO\textsubscript{2} uptake by the ecosystem, 0–10 μmol m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, i.e., moderate emissions, and ≥10 μmol m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, i.e., high emissions. For unstable conditions, the majority of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions came from the big busy streets and schools between south-west and north. The north-east sector, where a large green surface is located, with big trees just close to the measurement point above the historical building, was never a source of CO\textsubscript{2} in unstable conditions. In this case, the presence of trees and the vegetation, with their diurnal photosynthetic activity, probably contributes with a CO\textsubscript{2} uptake, mitigating the otherwise emissive character of the urban area, similarly to the mitigation effect of vegetation on CO\textsubscript{2} emissions observed by Grimmond et al. [20] on Marseille. In fact, a one-way ANOVA for comparing, at hourly scale, (1) the mean of CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes when the wind direction was inside the I quadrant (north-east) and (2) the mean of fluxes when the wind direction was in the other sectors (see Figure 2) showed a highly significant difference (P<0.00001), with lower values in the first case, i.e., when the wind came from the area containing large vegetated surfaces (Table 2). In Table 2, the mean random error is also reported for both cases.

![Figure 8](image-url). Percentage frequency distribution of CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes in the 10° sectors for runs in unstable (left) and neutral (right) conditions, respectively. CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes are divided in uptake (white), moderate emission (grey), and higher emission (black).
Table 2. Comparison between mean hourly CO$_2$ fluxes, as measured during the whole experimental period, in function of wind direction (one way—ANOVA).

| Wind Direction          | Runs (n) | Mean CO$_2$ Fluxes ($\mu$mol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$) | Standard Deviation ($\mu$mol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$) | Mean Random Error ($\mu$mol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$) |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| I quadrant (north-east) | 918      | $-0.25$                                       | 21.7                                          | 1.35                                          |
| others                  | 2416     | $+4.00$                                       | 117.8                                         | 2.43                                          |

3.2.2. Domestic Heating

The dynamics of CO$_2$ flux, the gas consumption, and the air temperature as average at daily scale are shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 9. Daily patterns of mean CO$_2$ fluxes, total gas consumption (courtesy of Amgas Srl Bari), and mean air temperature. The bars on the CO$_2$ fluxes refer to the mean random error.](image)

From the figure, it is clear that the CO$_2$ fluxes are highest in the month of March, corresponding to the highest gas consumption values. This is in accordance with the consideration that in Bari, the domestic heating is switched off by law on 31 March. The highest CO$_2$ fluxes are, moreover, in correspondence with lowest air temperature values. Furthermore, from Figure 9 it can also be noticed that the emissions of CO$_2$ are partially compensated by the photosynthetic activity of vegetated surfaces, when the predominant wind came from the I quadrant (north-east, black circles).

The relationship between CO$_2$ fluxes and gas consumption in the experimental period is evaluated considering the average at weekly scale in Figure 10. A clear linear dependence is highlighted with a high value of $R^2$. The values are largely spread (high standard deviations) during the months presenting highest values of CO$_2$ flux (March and April).
Table 3 shows F values and P-values of a one-way ANOVA applied to mean daily CO₂ fluxes for each day of the week, before and after the heating switching off (Significant codes: *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01).

![Graph showing the relationship between weekly mean CO₂ fluxes and gas consumption](image)

**Figure 10.** Relationship between weekly mean of CO₂ fluxes and gas consumption (courtesy of Amgas Srl Bari). The error bars represent the standard deviations for the gas consumption and the mean random errors for the CO₂ fluxes.

**Table 3.** F values and P-values of the one-way ANOVA applied to mean daily CO₂ fluxes for each day of the week before and after the heating switching off (Significant codes: *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01).

| Day of the Week | F-Value | p-Value |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Sunday          | 0.792   | 0.376   |
| Monday          | 40.46   | 7.21×10⁻⁹ *** |
| Tuesday         | 37.43   | 2.28×10⁻⁹ *** |
| Wednesday       | 28.85   | 5.97×10⁻⁷ *** |
| Thursday        | 25.67   | 2.04×10⁻⁶ *** |
| Friday          | 22.75   | 6.74×10⁻⁶ *** |
| Saturday        | 3.863   | 0.0523  |
In particular, the differences between the two periods are highly significant for the workdays of the week, between Monday and Friday, clearly suggesting the relationship with the highest gas consumption required by working and scholar activities, and their interruption during the weekend.

From these results, it is evident that the investigated area is a strong source of CO\(_2\) during winter, with the heating on, especially during weekdays from Monday to Friday, with peak values around the center of the week (Wednesdays). During the winter weekends, emissions of CO\(_2\) are reduced because in the surrounding area the majority of buildings are public places as schools, the university, and offices, normally closed during weekends. After March, with the heating switched off, the daily course of CO\(_2\) fluxes does not present a clear pattern within the week. Further investigations are necessary to better describe the possible turbulence pumping effect in the central part of the week.

3.2.3. Local Traffic

Without a measured number of vehicles circulating in the area due to the absence of a monitoring of the municipality, the behavior of CO\(_2\) fluxes can be only qualitatively linked to the local traffic, which appears more relevant when the heating component is off. The chosen period to investigate the relationship between local traffic and CO\(_2\) emissions in the experimental area was identified from May until the end of the trial in June, including the closure of the schools and university for the summer holidays (after 1 June), and the consequent decrease of traffic around the EC tower in the actual source area (see Figure 7). In general, the CO\(_2\) mean fluxes during workdays (Monday to Friday) are slightly higher during the school period. In fact, calculating the cumulative sum of all CO\(_2\) fluxes during this period, the values are +1210 and +783 mmol m\(^{-2}\) period\(^{-1}\) for the workdays before and after the school holiday, respectively, with a reduction of 35\% of the total CO\(_2\) emissions during holiday periods. During weekends, the CO\(_2\) fluxes are always positive or slightly negative during the day, because of the presence of quite large green surfaces with big trees, which during the spring have a strong photosynthetic activity.

Finally, the patterns of the CO\(_2\) fluxes during the day at an hourly scale (averaged over the different periods) show specific peculiarities for the three targeted periods: Heating and school, no heating and school, no heating and school holidays. The results illustrated in Figure 12, in fact, clearly show that in the first period, when the heating is
working, the emissions of CO$_2$ are always positive both during daytime and night time, with cumulated mean daily values of 506 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ and 127 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively (see the bar panels at the right of each panel in Figure 12). During the second period, when the heating is switched off, the CO$_2$ fluxes greatly decrease, being almost always positive during the day, and with more similar values for day and night (37 and 80 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively). During the third period, with reduced traffic for the school holidays and no heating, the emissions are further reduced, being 8 and 50 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for day and night, respectively. Furthermore, during the day, the CO$_2$ fluxes are slightly but consistently negative, showing a clear uptake of CO$_2$ by the vegetation around the experimental area. In this case, the photosynthetic activity seems to play an important role during the day by mitigating the CO$_2$ emissions and should be studied in more detail.

![Figure 12](image_url)

**Figure 12.** Mean daily course of CO$_2$ fluxes in three periods: Winter (domestic heating and school activities, on the left panel), early spring (without heating and with school activities, center panel), late spring (without heating and school activities, right panel). In the small corner panels, the cumulated CO$_2$ fluxes for each period are shown. The standard deviations are around 11.7, 4.3, and 1.0 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for the left, center, and right panel, respectively.

4. Conclusions

This study reports a morphological analysis of the source area and a footprint analysis within an urban context, using EC-CO$_2$ fluxes measured in a district of a coastal city in southern Italy, between the end of winter and the end of spring. The eddy covariance measurements were carried out on the roof of a laboratory research site, surrounded by green surfaces and trees. The ratios of measurement-height/buildings-height of the source area were in the range 1.3–1.5. However, despite the fact that the measurement point of EC fluxes in this study was not placed at an ideal height, the source area was determined in great detail run by run, showing different representativeness of source areas according to stability conditions. Under unstable conditions, the source area includes only public buildings (schools, offices, research centers, the university campus) and a single road connecting all structures. In neutral conditions, the source area is extended to private buildings and relatively busy roads. A big green area, north-east of the measurement site, also markedly influences the CO$_2$ sink/source characteristics. A sector of 90° in the south-east direction is excluded from the analysis due to the proximity of big buildings which do not meet the requirements for the correct calculation of the source area.

The effects of heating, vehicle traffic, and vegetation on CO$_2$ fluxes supported the source area analysis. In particular, the results showed that the experimental area is almost always a source of CO$_2$. However, the presence of big trees and other vegetative surfaces seems to mitigate the CO$_2$ emissions when the growing season starts. When the domestic heating was on, the area emitted large amounts of CO$_2$, especially during workdays, with a reduction of 82% when the heating was switched off. A further reduction of 50% was
measured at the closing of the schools for summer holidays and consequent traffic reduction. These insights could be well used to regulate the heating at least in public structures (to shorten and better schedule the functioning time), and to understand a way to reduce the impact of the traffic on human health.

Further research is evidently desirable for linking the photosynthetic activity of vegetation and the evapotranspiration to the CO\textsubscript{2} sink/source of this particular urban area to mitigate the impacts of carbon dioxide and other pollutants.

Finally, even if the investigated area was too restricted to allow a generalization of the results to the whole city, it is evident that the CO\textsubscript{2} sink/source character of a well delimited area can be anyway related with specific anthropogenic and vegetation activities, by implementing a parameterization of the footprint for each individual run, which draws a clear picture of the morphological characteristics for each flux event.
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**Appendix A. The Random Error**

In this study, the random uncertainty due to sampling errors was calculated for each run according to [41]. It is the random uncertainty of fluxes expressed as “absolute uncertainty” and takes the same units of the flux it refers to. In Figures A1 and A2, the distribution of random errors is shown for sensible heat and CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes, respectively. These distributions are peaked around low values both for measured fluxes, i.e., 2 W m\textsuperscript{-2} and 0.6 \textmu mol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} for H and CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes, respectively.
Appendix B. Procedure to Calculate the Source Areas

The calculation of the source area (SA) of energy and mass fluxes in the present work was carried out by the procedure undertaken by [21]. The SA around the measurement point was calculated by using the analytical model of footprint proposed by [42]. The calculation gives the footprint length, \( x \), correspondent to a given percentage of the total flux (for example the crosswind integrated flux at downwind distance \( x_f = 0.75 \) takes into account the area source of 75% of the flux). In this study, this length was obtained by a procedure of two steps. Firstly, an iterative process, starting from \( x = 10 \) m, the order of dimensions of the building on which the EC tower was placed, was carried out by putting the values of \( H_b \), \( \lambda_p \), and \( \lambda_f \) equal to the mean of all values in the cells from 50 to 500 m, in the sector of 10° containing the wind direction of the run. Finally, new morphometric
parameters were calculated for each identified source area, leading to new roughness parameters that provided the basis for more precise source area calculations [45].

The downwind distance \( x \) by the model of Kormann and Meixner is calculated by the function

\[
 f_x = \frac{\xi \mu e^{-\xi/x}}{\Gamma(\mu) x^{1+\mu}} \quad (A1)
\]

where \( x \) is the distance from the location of the anemometer in the wind direction, \( \xi = \xi(z) \) is a flux length scale that depends on the height above the ground, \( z; \mu \) is a dimensionless model constant, and \( \Gamma(\mu) \) is the gamma function. All variables are detailed in [42] with the same symbols here used.

The equation for the peak distance is explicitly derived by the authors merely finding the maximum from the former equation:

\[
 x_{\text{peak}} = \frac{\xi}{1 + \mu} \quad (A2)
\]

Here the measured \( u(z) \) was not used to take \( u^* \), but vice versa, \( u(z) \) is calculated using the values of \( u^* \) determined by the sonic anemometer and the classical equation of the wind speed profile

\[
 u(z) = \frac{u_*}{k} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z - d}{z_0} \right) + \psi_m \left( \frac{z - d}{L} \right) \right] \quad (A3)
\]

The roughness length \( z_0 \) and the displacement plane height \( d \) were part of the calculation in order to take into account the specific morphological characteristics of the site. \( z_0 \) and \( d \) are given by the following expressions [43]:

\[
 \frac{d}{H_b} = 1 + A^{-\lambda_p} (\lambda_p - 1) \quad (A4)
\]

with \( A = 4.43 \) for staggered blocks in this case, and

\[
 \frac{z_0}{H_b} = \left( 1 - \frac{d}{H_b} \right) \exp \left( - \left( 0.5 \beta \frac{C_0}{k^2} \left( 1 - \frac{d}{H_b} \right) \lambda_f \right)^{-0.5} \right) \quad (A5)
\]

with \( C_0 = 1.2 \) and \( \beta = 0.55 \).
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