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Abstract: Microalgae are increasingly being studied to replace fishmeal in aquafeed production. Low level Spirulina supplementation to various fish species has been widely investigated, demonstrating enhanced growth and better product quality. In order to evaluate the effects of a full fishmeal replacement with Spirulina (*Arthrospira platensis*) on growth and product quality in African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*), two isoenergetic diets were formulated and fed for ten weeks to 120 fish with an average initial weight of 50 ± 3 g. Full supplementation of Spirulina resulted in reduced growth (p < 0.001) whereas feed conversion ratio was on par (p > 0.05). Furthermore, Spirulina-fed fish showed a more intense yellow coloration in skin, and raw and cooked fillet (p < 0.001). The analysis of fatty acids revealed higher proportions of C16:0 (p < 0.001) and C18:2n6 (p < 0.05) in fish fed the Spirulina-diet while C24:0 (p < 0.01) and C20:5n3 (p < 0.001) were found to be higher in the control group. Even though no statistically significant differences in the overall SFA, MUFA and PUFA were detected, a slight increase of the n6/n3 ratio was observed in the Spirulina-fed fish. Without further optimization of the feed ration, a complete fishmeal replacement with Spirulina can lead to economic losses. It remains to be studied whether the observed changes in product quality affect consumer acceptance.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, the worldwide fish populations are endangered by various anthropogenic factors. Climate change threatens the overall biodiversity [1–4] and can have an effect on the worldwide fish populations [5–7]. Further, water pollution can be identified as another crucial factor, which has been largely investigated [8–11]. In addition, the intensive use of fishmeal represents a threat to the safeguarding of marine ecosystems [12]. Seawater consumption, the high amount of energy required, and the emission of effluents with a high organic content are some of the reasons why the processing of raw fish into produce fishmeal is raising environmental concerns. In addition, fisheries lead to far-reaching changes in the ecosystem and endanger the world’s fish populations [13]. These observations underlie the urgent need to identify feasible alternatives to common fishmeal for the aquaculture sector.

Micro- and macroalgae constitute the first trophic level in the food chain, representing an interesting source of proteins for aquafeed production. They are also considered to be more sustainable in terms of resource use than other aquafeed ingredients [14]. The protein content of algae is between 6 and 71% of dry matter, highly depending on the species [15].
Spirulina (SP) seems to be suitable for aquafeed production, containing a high proportion of proteins, usually between 59 and 65% of dry matter [16]. In fact, various studies showed that low levels of SP addition can be beneficial to the growth performance of different fish species [17–24].

Besides the protein, SP is also a source of natural carotenoids, containing β-carotene and xanthophylls (zeaxanthin, echinenone and cryptoxanthin) [25,26]. These carotenoids are integrated into the fish muscle without further modification [27], producing a more intense red and yellow coloration of the fillet, along with blood carotenoids [28]. In previous studies, the luminosity (L*), redness (a*) and yellowness (b*) was found to be higher in SP-fed trout fillets [18,29]. Although the presence of carotenoids in the fillet could represent a sort of value adding in terms of their nutraceutical properties [30], the trade-off might be a decreased consumer acceptance due to an unfamiliar coloring effect [31].

The fatty acid profile plays a major role in product quality of animal products, and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) are especially crucial for human health [32]. Since algae are considered to have a positive effect on the lipid metabolism in fish [33], several studies were already conducted in this direction and reported an enhanced level of PUFA in the fish muscle [29,34,35].

In our study, we aimed at evaluating the effects of a full supplementation of SP on the growth and product quality of fish. African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) was selected for the experiment, being an omnivorous fish species and given its increasing importance in global aquaculture production [36]. A ten-week feeding trial was undertaken with two isoenergetic diets containing either fishmeal or SP (Arthrospira platensis) meal as a protein source. In order to estimate the general effects of a fishmeal-free fully SP-substituted diet on weight, feed conversion ratios (FCR), color of skin and fillet, cooking loss and fatty acids were determined.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Ethical Clearance

All animal work followed relevant national guidelines. Good veterinary practice was applied in all procedures whenever animals were handled. The study was in accordance with the German legal and ethical requirements of appropriate animal procedures. The procedures in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Welfare Body (no. T2-2019, 27 June 2019).

2.2. Rearing of Experimental Fish

Reproduction, rearing and the feeding trial of fish took place in a warm water recirculation aquaculture system (RAS) of the Georg August University of Göttingen. Catfish larvae (full siblings) were reared with trout starter feed (Inicio Plus, Biomar, Aarhus Denmark) until they reached the required weight. Then a total number of 120 African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) with an average body weight of 50 ± 3 g were fed for ten weeks on two isoenergetic experimental diets (Tables 1 and 2), developed and analyzed by Dietz et al. [37]. Nutritional value was in accordance with the recommendations of the National Research Council (NRC) for catfish [38]. The control group (FM100) was fed with a pelleted feed consisting of 20% fishmeal, whereas in the experimental group (SP100), the fishmeal was completely replaced by SP meal. Each treatment was run in randomized triplicates and consisted of 20 fish per aquarium. All experimental fish were kept in 200 L aquariums connected to a RAS and exposed to a light regime of 10 h dim light and 14 h darkness. Fish were hand fed twice a day according to body weight and water temperature, thus daily rations amounted to 2% of the fish biomass. One fish died over the course of the trial and was replaced with a full sibling comparable in body weight (±5 g) of the dead fish’s weight in order to keep a constant stocking density. The body weight of fish was regularly measured (after two, six and ten weeks) in order to adjust rations applied. Water temperatures and oxygen contents were recorded daily using a Pond Master sensor (OxyGuard, Farum, Denmark). Average water temperature was kept at 27.0 °C throughout
the study period, and oxygen saturation in the RAS showed an average value at 127.0%, resembling an average oxygen content of 9.9 mg/L. The pH was measured weekly applying a color scale for indicator solution UNISOL 410 (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Ammonium and nitrate concentrations were measured photometrically by a NANOCOLOR 300 D (Macherey-Nagel, Düren Germany). The average pH of the water was 6.9, while the ammonium and nitrate concentrations ranged in the intervals 0.05–0.12 mg/L and 0.12–0.26 mg/L, respectively.

Table 1. Feed ingredients of FM100 and SP100 diets.

| Ingredient (% Dry Matter) | FM100 | SP100 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Fishmeal                  | 20.00 | 0.00  |
| Spirulina                 | 0.00  | 20.00 |
| Fish oil                  | 10.70 | 10.70 |
| Wheat meal                | 14.00 | 12.50 |
| Wheat gluten              | 20.00 | 21.50 |
| Soy protein concentrate   | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| Rapeseed oil              | 10.70 | 10.70 |
| Vit./min. premix          | 1.00  | 1.00  |
| CaHPO4                    | 1.00  | 1.00  |
| CMC (Binder)              | 1.29  | 1.08  |
| TiO2 (Marker)             | 0.50  | 0.50  |
| Fe3O4—black (dye)         | 0.07  | 0.07  |
| L-Lysin (HCL-Lys, 78% Lys)| 0.70  | 0.90  |
| D,L-Methionine            | 0.01  | 0.04  |
| L-Tryptophan              | 0.03  | 0.01  |

1 Crude protein: 62% as is, 2 Crude protein: 63% as is, 3 Crude protein: 67% as is.

Table 2. Approximate composition (% fresh matter) of FM100 and SP100 diets.

| Approximate Composition (%) | FM100 | SP100 |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Dry matter                  | 94.6  | 94.0  |
| Crude protein (Nx6.25)      | 45.4  | 45.7  |
| Crude lipids                | 24.6  | 23.9  |
| N-free extracts             | 17.5  | 19.0  |
| Crude ash                   | 7.1   | 5.4   |
| Gross energy [MJ/kg]        | 23.4  | 23.5  |
| Digestible energy [MJ/kg]   | 20.0  | 20.0  |

2.3. Sampling of Experimental Fish

After 10 weeks of feeding, 120 fish were anesthetized with a sharp blow to the head and killed by immediate withdrawal of blood. Body weight, length and carcass weight were recorded for all experimental fish. Approximate FCR was calculated as followed:

\[
\text{approximate FCR} = \frac{\text{mean amount of feed per fish [g]}}{\text{weight gain [g]}}
\]

Daily weight gain was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{daily weight gain} = \frac{\text{weight gain [g]}}{\text{days of feeding}}
\]

Eighteen fish (9 per treatment) were used for microbiome analysis (data presented elsewhere) and were not used for further product quality measurements. After the direct samplings, fish were filleted and each fillet was cut in two parts (anterior and posterior muscle) and frozen at −20 °C until further analyses.
2.4. Color Measurements of Fish

A total of 102 fish (51 fish per treatment) were used to determine skin and fillet color (Figure 1). Color values were displayed as lightness (L*), red/green (a*) and blue/yellow (b*). Chroma (C*) describes the color saturation and was calculated as follows:

\[ C^* = \sqrt{a'^2 + b'^2} \]  

(1)

h° describes the color appearance and was calculated as follows:

\[ h^° = \arctan \left( \frac{b'^*}{a'^*} \right) \]  

(2)

Figure 1. Skin and fillet of FM100 and SP100-fed fish after 10 weeks of experimental feeding: (a,b) skin and fillet of a fish fed the FM100 diet; (c,d) fillet and skin of a fish fed SP100 diet.

Immediately after slaughtering, skin color was measured with a CM-600d spectrophotometer (Konica Minolta, Chiyoda, Japan) with the following settings: Illumina D65 and two degrees observer. Both sides of the fish, left and right, were sampled on the dorsal skin at three points: between the head and dorsal fin, below the beginning of the dorsal fin and above the middle of the dorsal fin. In addition, three measurements were taken along the ventral skin at the abdomen: between the pectoral fins, between the pectoral and pelvic fins, and between the pelvic fins.

For the fillet color evaluation, both sides of the skinless fillets were cleaned with tap water and measured with a CR-400 spectrophotometer (KONICA MINOLTA, Japan) on the internal surface of the skinless fillet, as described by Iwona et al. [39] for African catfish. Subsequently, the right fillet was frozen at \(-72^\circ C\) for fatty acid analysis and the left fillet was frozen at \(-20^\circ C\) for the determination of color stability upon cooking and cooking loss.

2.5. Sampling of Experimental Fish

Further measurements were taken once the 102 (51 fish per treatment) fillets reached room temperature after thawing. The core temperature of raw and also of cooked fillets was measured with a thermometer (735-2, testo, Titisee-Neustadt, Germany). First, the right dorsal part of the fillets was thawed for 24 h at \(4^\circ C\), then the fillet color was measured (CM-600d, Konica Minolta, Chiyoda, Japan) once again in three measurements along the dorsal back muscle. The color change was calculated as follows:

\[ \Delta \text{color} = \text{fillet color cooked} - \text{fillet color raw} \]  

(3)
The fillets were weighed, placed in plastic bags that were slightly evacuated (80%) and then cooked in a water bath at 78 °C until the core temperature reached 70 °C. After the boiled fillets reached room temperature, the leaked fluid was carefully dabbed with a paper tissue, the fillets were weighed again, and a second color measurement was taken.

2.6. Fatty Acids

The method for the fatty acid analysis was adapted from [40] with modifications. Both of the experimental diets and the front dorsal muscle of 20 fish per treatment were used for analysis. Fish fillets and feed samples were freeze-dried overnight and minced (EGK 200 spice and coffee grinder, Rommelsbacher, Dinkelsbühl, Germany). The samples were stored at 4 °C in hermetically sealed boxes until further processing.

2.6.1. Chemical and Reagents

Butylated hydroxyanisol (BHA) and 3 M methanolic HCl were provided by Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, BY, Germany). Methanol, chloroform, and n-hexane were purchased from Carlo Roth (Karlsruhe, BW, Germany). Sodium chloride (NaCl) was provided by VWR Chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.6.2. Fish Samples Treatment

Two grams of freeze-dried fish were accurately weighed and recorded to two decimal places. A 20 mL chloroform/methanol solution (2/1, v/v, Folch I solution) and 32 µL of 10% (w/v) BHA ethanol solution were added. The mix was homogenized with an Ultra Turrax T25 (IKA, Germany) for 45 s. Next, the homogenate was filtered through paper and 5 mL of 0.88% NaCl (aq) was added. After 15 min the lower phase was withdrawn and evaporated with a Multivapor P-12 (BÜCHI, Switzerland) at 50 °C. Afterwards, 1 mL of 3 M methanolic HCl was added and incubated in a 60 °C water bath for 40 min. After cooling for 5 min at room temperature, 2 mL hexane was added. The upper phase was transferred into a 1.5 mL vial for the GC-FID analysis.

2.6.3. Feed Samples Treatment

Of freeze-dried feed, 0.5 g was accurately weighed. Three milliliters of 3 M methanolic HCl was added and incubated at 60 °C for 2 h. The mix was brought to room temperature and centrifuged for 5 min at 10 °C and 4000 × g. One milliliter of the supernatant was mixed with 1 mL of n-hexane and 200 µL of the resulting upper phase was put into a GC-vial for the GC-FID analysis.

2.6.4. GC-FID Analysis of FAMEs

Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were analyzed by means of a TRACE™ 1310 gas chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and an AS 1310 autosampler (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA). Extractions were performed in duplicate for the fish fillets and for the experimental diets in quadruplicate, respectively. The gas chromatograph was equipped with Chromeleon software (version 7.2.9). Separation of methyl esters was performed on a SupelcowaxTM-10 column (30 m, 0.32 mm, 0.25 µm) provided by Thermo Fisher, USA. A flame ionization detector (FID) was used with a heater temperature of 260 °C, an air flow of 350 mL/min, H₂ flow of 35 mL/min and auxiliary gas flow of 40 mL/min. The SSL injector temperature was set at 250 °C with a split ratio of 1:50 and a purge flow of 2.4 mL/min. The oven temperature was set at 160 °C for 1 min, then increased by 10 °C/min until 220 °C and then held for 3 min. The final temperature reached 250 °C (increased also by 10 °C/min) and held for 3 min. The total run time amounted to 16 min. Hydrogen was used as a carrier gas with a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. Identification of fatty acids was performed by comparison of retention times with the Supelco 37 Component FAME Mix standard (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). The relative amount of each fatty acid was expressed as percentage of total area.
2.7. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted with R [41]. Even though a normal distribution is initially assumed for a fish population, we visually checked normality by Q-Q-plot and confirmed it with a Shapiro–Wilk test. Welch two-sample t-tests for unequal variances were carried out to compare the means of all quantitative variables from FM100 and SP100. ANOVA was calculated to obtain differences between the initial experimental units. Pearson’s correlation was used for linear association between fish body weight and cooking loss. A principal component analysis (PCA) was then performed on standardization (i.e., to mean 0 and standard deviation 1) such that the PCA was merely based on correlations of the studied variables. Multivariate analysis was applied to growth, color parameters, cooking loss as well as fatty acids. For visualization, the R package’s ggplot2 [42] and factoextra [43] were used. Additionally, R code snippets from Allen et al. [44] were adjusted to create raincloud plots.

3. Results

3.1. Growth Parameters

Mortality was generally low during the ten-week trial, since only one fish died for unknown reasons in the SP100 group. The initial body weight did not show any statistical differences between the experimental units ($p > 0.05$). The final body weight differed significantly among experimental groups, which showed average values of $280.84 \pm 110.80$ g for FM100 and $219 \pm 82$ g for SP100, respectively (Figure 2 and Table A1). The final weight was found to be significantly reduced for SP100 ($p < 0.001$). No statistically significant difference was observed in FCR between both groups ($p > 0.05$), but within the last weeks of the feeding experiment, we observed an increase in FCR for both groups (Table A2 in Appendix A).

![Figure 2. Raincloud plot of body weight and approximate food conversion ratio (FCR) for experimental groups FM100 (gray, $n = 60$) and SP100 (green, $n = 60$) after 10 weeks. Upper histograms show the distribution of data and boxplot with each value for every individual measurement.](image-url)
3.2. Color Parameters and Cooking Loss

Dorsal skin and ventral skin showed significant differences between FM100 and SP100 in redness ($a^*$) ($p < 0.01$), yellowness ($b^*$) and $C^*$ ($p < 0.001$), but not in $h^\circ$-values ($p > 0.05$). In SP100 samples, redness ($a^*$) was lower in the skin and higher in the fillets ($p < 0.001$), when compared to FM100 group (Table 3). In skin and fillet samples of SP100, significantly higher values for the color yellow ($b^*$) were observed ($p < 0.001$). No relevant differences for brightness ($L^*$) were observed in both tissues ($p > 0.05$). In addition, SP100 values were elevated in treatment groups in skin and fillet; however, $h^\circ$-values showed only slight increase in skin samples and decreased values in fillets.

Table 3. Color parameters of skin, and raw and cooked fillet in FM100 ($n = 51$) and SP100 ($n = 51$).

| Diet   | Skin (Dorsal Back Muscle) | Skin (Abdomen) | Raw Fillet | Cooked Fillet |
|--------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|
|        | $L^*$ | $a^*$ | $b^*$ | $C^*$ | $h^\circ$      | $L^*$ | $a^*$ | $b^*$ | $C^*$ | $h^\circ$      | $L^*$ | $a^*$ | $b^*$ | $C^*$ | $h^\circ$      |
| FM100  | 30.42 | 1.17 | 1.97 | 2.40 | 67.29 ± 63.92  | 71.19 | 1.56 | 2.49 | 3.53 | 107.89 ± 100.11 |
| SP100  | 29.81 | 0.77 | 4.18 | 3.40 | 78.14 ± 17.43  | 68.60 | 0.61 | 11.25 | 11.46 | 87.34 ± 10.46  |
| $p$-Value | 0.455 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.091 | 0.058 | 0.002 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.056 | 0.247 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

Values are means ± SD. $p$-values were calculated by Welch $t$-test. $L^*$ (+ = lighter, − = darker), $a^*$ (+ = redder, − = greener), $b^*$ (+ = yellower, − = bluer), $C^*$ (+ = brighter, − = duller), $h^\circ$ (hue angle).

The effect of cooking on the color stability of the fillet is expressed in the delta values between raw and cooked fillet samples. Color parameters changed after cooking for both treatments. Brightness, redness and yellowness increased due to the cooking process. The color changes were comparable for $L^*$ and $b^*$, showing no statistically significant difference ($p > 0.05$). However, significant differences in $\Delta a^*$ were observed between the two groups ($p < 0.01$).

SP replacement affected cooking loss ($p < 0.05$). Specifically, cooking loss average values of 11.24 ± 3% and 9.82 ± 3% were recorded for FM100 and SP100 samples, respectively. No correlation was found between body weight and cooking juice loss ($p > 0.05$).

3.3. Fatty Acids

The five most abundant fatty acids in our investigation included oleic (C18:1n9), palmitic (C16:0), linoleic (C18:2n6c), lignoceric (C24:0) and eicosapentaenoic acids (C20:5n3) (Figure 3 and Table A2). Higher proportions of C16:0 ($p < 0.001$) were observed in fish fed the SP100 diet with 19.37 ± 1.25% compared to 17.89 ± 1.22% in the FM100 group. C24:0 ($p < 0.01$) and C20:5n3 ($p < 0.001$) were higher in the FM100 group (8.01 ± 1.47% and 6.00 ± 0.53%) than in the SP100 group (6.58 ± 1.33% and 4.99 ± 0.59%). No significant difference ($p > 0.05$) was observed for C18:1n9, which was the most abundant fatty acid in both FM100 (36.36 ± 2.42%) and SP100 (37.07 ± 2.20%) groups. No significant difference
was found for C18:2n6c, with 11.48 ± 0.72% in FM100 and 11.33 ± 0.64% in the SP100 group. Overall, no differences were observed in sum of saturated fatty acids (SFA), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) between the two diets. Total n-6 fatty acids amounted to 12.79 ± 0.73% in FM100 and were higher \( (p < 0.001) \) in the SP100 group with 14.27 ± 0.73%, whereas n-3 fatty acids were lower in content \( (p < 0.001) \) in SP100 with 8.53 ± 0.68% towards 9.87 ± 0.56%. This led to a significantly lower \( (p < 0.001) \) n-6/n-3 ratio in FM100 (1.30 ± 0.09) than in SP100 (1.68 ± 0.12).

![Most frequent fatty acids](image)

**Figure 3.** Most frequent fatty acids (%) in fish muscle of FM100 \((n = 20)\) and SP100 \((n = 20)\). SFA: saturated fatty acid, MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acid, PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid, N6: sum of omega-6 fatty acids, N3: sum of omega-3 fatty acids. N6/N3: ratio N6/N3. The values are means ± SD. \( p \)-values were calculated by Welch \( t \)-test \((* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.01)\).

The fatty acid profile in the feed was characterized by higher values of SFA in SP100 \((32.05 ± 0.28\%)\) than in FM100 \((25.37 ± 0.54\%)\) and in MUFA for SP100 \((52.16 ± 0.39\%)\) than in SP100 \((43.93 ± 1.97\%)\) Table A3. Overall, PUFA levels were lower in SP100 \((15.73 ± 0.17\%)\) than in the FM100 \((30.60 ± 1.48\%)\) diet. It is particularly noticeable that the concentration of C20:5n3 in SP100 was much lower, at 0.62 ± 0.05%, than in FM100, with 8.58 ± 0.63%.

### 3.4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

The PCA biplot illustrates that fillet color was influenced the most by the complete replacement of FM with SP (Figure 4). In addition, skin color parameters (dorsal back muscle and belly) \( b^* \) and \( C^* \), and to a lesser extent \( a^* \), were also affected by SP-based feeding. As for the other parameters, PCA confirms the results of the \( t \)-test, with fatty acids
being one of the distinguishing factors among the two groups; FCR, weight, length, carcass weight, cooking loss and delta color parameter had only a subordinate influence.

Figure 4. PCA biplot of weight, length, carcass weight, FCR, skin and filet color parameters, color stability (delta values), cooking loss and fatty acids for FM100 (n = 20) and SP100 (n = 20). Individual values are shown as gray points for FM100 and green triangles for SP100 group.

4. Discussion

The results of this study indicate that a full supplementation of SP has a negative effect on the growth parameters in African catfish. Furthermore, our study implies that SP might affect consumer acceptance, as color parameters of skin and fillet change according to the SP supplementation. Additionally, effects on cooking loss and fatty acid composition were observed.

Growth performance is one of the most relevant factors for aquaculture economics, considering that the operational costs for aquafeed are between 50 and 70% [45]. In this trial, fish fed SP100 showed a significantly lower growth performance than the FM100 group. This confirms previous observations that a full replacement of fishmeal with SP resulted in reduced growth performance in other fish species [46,47]. More specifically, supplementation rates of up to 50% produced comparable growth to that of the control group, but beyond that level, a lower growth rate and—with a 100% SP replacement—a lower feed utilization was observed. On the other hand, a low (5%) to intermediate (75%) inclusion level of SP in the diet of African catfish has been shown to boost growth performance [22,47]. In our study, a full supplementation of SP had no effect on FCR. Over the course of the trial, a declining feed acceptance was observed within the last weeks of the trial and this resulted in uneaten food particles, which may have influenced the FCR and explain the tendency to increasing FCR values. Compared to other studies with a lower SP supplementations for African catfish, a full supplementation showed comparable FCR values [22,48,49]. However, the feed ingredients, housing conditions and the age of the
The cooking process increased $L^*$, $a^*$ and $b^*$ values for both groups. This observation could be justified by the already documented thermal stability of yellow pigments, such as lutein [39].

Dietary nutrients have the potential to improve the flesh quality of fish [55]. To ensure a high product quality, a minimal weight loss after cooking is required to fulfill consumer expectations [56]. The fish consists of high proportions of water and fat. Its moisture content mostly depends on feeding and usually ranges from 69 to 74% [57–59] and the fat content is about 5.7% [60]. Due to the cooking process, liquid components leach out of the fillet [61]. In our study, cooking loss was reduced in the SP100 group. A study performed on African catfish suggested that the fattening and—as a consequence—the weight of the fish could have an influence on the cooking loss [62]. More specifically, the author observed higher cooking losses in stronger fattened African catfish. However, in this case we found no statistically significant correlation between body weight and cooking loss, so that the difference might be due to a fattening effect, the diets or could be attributable to other aspects not considered in this study.

Our research showed that fatty acids profile was affected by the presence of SP in the diet. C18:1n-9 was one of the most prevalent fatty acids but did not differ significantly in the FM100 group. SFA, MUFA and PUFA also did not show any significant differences. In contradiction to our study, MUFA was reduced, while PUFA levels were elevated in Siberian sturgeon (Acipenser baeri) fed with high SP inclusion of up to 60% [63]. However, this led to increased proportions of C16:0, which is in accordance with our study. Teimouri et al. [35] reported similar findings for MUFA and PUFA in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) fed up to 100 g kg$^{-1}$ SP. Additionally, SFA reduced with high inclusions of SP. However, Jafari et al. [34] found this effect in rainbow trout only with low SP inclusion of 5%, but not at 7.5%. Increasing amounts of SFA and PUFA could be found in Roohani et al. [29] at 52.8 g kg$^{-1}$ SP inclusion in the feed of Caspian brown trout (Salmo trutta caspius). In walking catfish (Clarias macrocephalus) fed with a 10% SP inclusion, C16:0 increased too, but other highly abundant fatty acids such as C18:0, C18:1n-9, C18:2n-6 and C22:6n3 showed opposite results. Furthermore, SFA and MUFA increased and PUFA was reduced [64]. Differences in the fatty acid composition between the experimental groups can largely be explained by the fatty acid composition of the diets. In this respect, it is noted that the C20:5n3 was largely reduced in the SP100 diet, but in the fillet the strong difference was not reflected in the fish muscle. This might be because of the biosynthesis of long-chain PUFA towards C20 and C22 PUFA and the expression of the elongase of the very long chain fatty acid gene [65]. Nevertheless, the fatty acid composition is species-specific [66] and feed composition of the experimental diets differed strongly, which means that comparisons between species can only provide limited information. Differences in the microbial com-
munity of the gastrointestinal tract could be a reason for this effect. The slightly increased n6/n3 ratio observed in SP100 fillets reflects the fatty acids composition of diets. In fact, results showed how the replacement of FM with SP led to an increase of dietary n6 fatty acids and a contemporary reduction of the n3. Although from a mere nutritional point of view these data can be interpreted as a (slight) worsening of the lipid profile of the fillet, the observed n6/n3 ratio was still well below the limit of 5 recommended for the fatty acid balance in the human diet [67].

5. Conclusions

This is the first study regarding total replacement of fishmeal with SP for African catfish. Even though a full SP supplementation could produce several benefits in terms of sustainability, the full replacement of fishmeal with SP could return economic losses due to a lower growth performance. It remains to be shown whether catfish with elevated yellow skin and fillet coloration is acceptable to consumers. Moreover, investigations on the chemical nature of pigments accumulated in the fish muscle are necessary in order to evaluate possible health benefits deriving from the consumption of SP-fed African catfish. Even though the replacement of fishmeal reduced the PUFA content in feed drastically, this difference was comparably low in flesh and the resulting n6/n3 ratio is still considered acceptable. Further optimizations in feed composition and feed application time should be investigated as well as the performance of other fish species.
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Appendix A

Table A1. Final growth parameters, carcass weight and approximate FCR and daily weight gain of FM100 (n = 60) and SP100 (n = 60).

| Diet  | Weight [g]          | Length [mm]          | Carcass Weight [g] | Approximate Feed Conversion Rate (FCR) | Daily Weight Gain [g] |
|-------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| FM100 | 280.84 ± 110.80     | 315.85 ± 38.84       | 256.67 ± 99.91     | 0.97 ± 1.89                            | 3.31 ± 1.59           |
| SP100 | 218.66 ± 82.24      | 291.33 ± 33.31       | 199.61 ± 72.87     | 1.16 ± 1.16                            | 2.41 ± 1.18           |
| df    | 108.74              | 115.32               | 107.93             | 97.921                                 | 108.74                |
| t-Statistics | 3.550     | 3.711               | 3.617              | −0.577                                 | 3.550                 |
| p-Value | <0.001  | <0.001             | <0.001             | 0.565                                  | <0.001                |

Values are means ± SD. p-values were calculated by Welch t-test.
Table A2. Growth parameters over the course of the ten-week trial for FM100 and SP100.

| Weeks | 0-2 | 2-6 | 6-10 |
|-------|-----|-----|------|
|       | Diet | Weight [g] | FCR | Daily Weight Gain [g] | Weight [g] | FCR | Daily Weight Gain [g] | Weight [g] | FCR | Daily Weight Gain [g] |
| FM100 | 74.47 ± 0.64 | 0.67 ± 0.03 | 1.714 ± 0.08 | 169.33 ± 8.81 | 0.66 ± 0.05 | 3.388 ± 0.25 | 280.84 ± 13.19 | 1.33 ± 0.19 | 3.982 ± 0.60 |
| SP100 | 71.07 ± 1.06 | 0.75 ± 0.03 | 1.526 ± 0.07 | 147.95 ± 5.87 | 0.78 ± 0.04 | 2.756 ± 0.16 | 218.66 ± 41.57 | 1.72 ± 0.28 | 2.526 ± 0.44 |
| df     | 2.406 | 4.000 | -3.084 | 3.753 | -3.053 | -3.050 | -3.245 | 3.738 | 2.469 | -1.991 | 3.412 |
| t-Statistics | 3.396 | 0.037 | 0.041 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.0264 | 0.111 | 0.126 | 0.031 |
| p-Value | 0.053 | <0.001 | 0.157 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.001 |

Values are means ± SD of triplicate groups (n = 20 per replicate). p-values were calculated by Welch t-test.

Table A3. Fatty acid content (%) of FM100 (n = 20) and SP100 (n = 20) in the fillet.

| Fatty Acid | FM100 | SP100 | p-Value |
|------------|-------|-------|---------|
| C6:0       | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | NA |
| C8:0       | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.586 |
| C10:0      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.690 |
| C11:0      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.622 |
| C12:0      | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.957 |
| C13:0      | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.700 |
| C14:0      | 2.92 ± 0.24 | 2.75 ± 0.26 | 0.026 |
| C14:1      | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.247 |
| C15:0      | 0.23 ± 0.06 | 0.22 ± 0.03 | 0.535 |
| C15:1      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.834 |
| C16:0      | 17.89 ± 1.22 | 19.37 ± 1.25 | <0.001 |
| C16:1      | 3.90 ± 0.34 | 4.37 ± 0.32 | <0.001 |
| C17:0      | 0.20 ± 0.12 | 0.18 ± 0.07 | 0.639 |
| C17:1      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.155 |
| C18:0      | 5.59 ± 0.67 | 5.23 ± 0.53 | 0.061 |
| C18:1n9    | 36.36 ± 2.42 | 37.07 ± 2.20 | 0.312 |
| C18:2n6c   | 11.48 ± 0.72 | 11.33 ± 0.64 | 0.811 |
| C18:3n6    | 0.18 ± 0.05 | 0.73 ± 0.13 | <0.001 |
| C18:3n3    | 3.52 ± 0.29 | 3.24 ± 0.25 | 0.001 |
| C20:0      | 0.27 ± 0.37 | 0.25 ± 0.30 | 0.806 |
| C20:1n9    | 1.06 ± 0.72 | 0.95 ± 0.53 | 0.580 |
| C20:2      | 0.27 ± 0.07 | 0.30 ± 0.11 | 0.389 |
| C20:3n6    | 0.54 ± 0.27 | 0.91 ± 0.39 | <0.001 |
| C21:0      | 0.00 ± 0.01 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.223 |
| C20:4n6    | 0.59 ± 0.10 | 0.63 ± 0.13 | 0.195 |
| C20:3n3    | 0.11 ± 0.02 | 0.10 ± 0.03 | 0.264 |
| C20:5n3    | 6.00 ± 0.53 | 4.99 ± 0.59 | <0.001 |
| C22:0      | 0.37 ± 0.36 | 0.24 ± 0.18 | 0.099 |
| C22:1      | 0.78 ± 0.34 | 0.26 ± 0.17 | <0.001 |
| C22:2      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | NA |
| C23:0      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | NA |
| C24:0      | 8.01 ± 1.47 | 6.58 ± 1.33 | 0.001 |
| C24:1n9    | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.01 | 0.324 |
| C22:6n3    | 0.24 ± 0.15 | 0.20 ± 0.13 | 0.277 |
| SFA        | 35.55 ± 2.22 | 34.90 ± 2.04 | 0.299 |
| MUFA       | 42.12 ± 2.22 | 42.66 ± 2.29 | 0.428 |
| PUFA       | 22.93 ± 0.95 | 23.10 ± 1.18 | 0.604 |
| Total n-6  | 12.79 ± 0.70 | 14.27 ± 0.73 | <0.001 |
| Total n-3  | 9.87 ± 0.56 | 8.53 ± 0.68 | <0.001 |
| n-6/n-3    | 1.30 ± 0.09 | 1.68 ± 0.12 | <0.001 |

Values are means ± SD. p-values were calculated by t-test.
Table A4. Fatty acid content (%) in FM100 and SP100 diet.

| Fatty Acid | FM100 | SP100 |
|------------|-------|-------|
| C6:0       | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.15 ± 0.00 |
| C8:0       | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 0.10 ± 0.02 |
| C10:0      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| C11:0      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.28 ± 0.00 |
| C12:0      | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 0.11 ± 0.02 |
| C13:0      | 0.01 ± 0.00 | 0.05 ± 0.00 |
| C14:0      | 3.65 ± 0.33 | 4.59 ± 0.33 |
| C14:1      | NA     | NA     |
| C15:0      | 0.24 ± 0.02 | 0.54 ± 0.02 |
| C15:1      | NA     | NA     |
| C16:0      | 12.60 ± 0.35 | 19.03 ± 0.35 |
| C16:1      | 3.93 ± 0.26 | 4.59 ± 0.33 |
| C17:0      | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 0.10 ± 0.02 |
| C17:1      | 0.09 ± 0.00 | 0.09 ± 0.00 |
| C18:0      | 2.61 ± 0.18 | 3.73 ± 0.18 |
| C18:1n9    | 0.24 ± 0.02 | 0.54 ± 0.02 |
| C18:2n6c   | 4.83 ± 0.36 | 1.34 ± 0.04 |
| C18:3n6    | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.05 ± 0.00 |
| C18:3n3    | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.05 ± 0.00 |
| C18:1n9    | NA     | NA     |
| C18:2n6c   | 15.77 ± 0.53 | 11.81 ± 0.16 |
| C18:3n6    | 0.12 ± 0.02 | 1.19 ± 0.05 |
| C18:3n3    | 4.83 ± 0.36 | 1.34 ± 0.04 |
| C20:0      | 0.58 ± 0.04 | 1.80 ± 0.03 |
| C20:1n9    | 1.87 ± 0.13 | 1.96 ± 0.05 |
| C20:2      | 0.10 ± 0.01 | 0.06 ± 0.01 |
| C20:3n6    | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.06 ± 0.00 |
| C21:0      | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| C20:4n6    | 0.56 ± 0.06 | 0.12 ± 0.01 |
| C20:3n3    | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| C20:5n3    | 8.58 ± 0.63 | 0.62 ± 0.05 |
| C22:0      | 0.22 ± 0.06 | 0.59 ± 0.28 |
| C22:1      | 1.24 ± 0.15 | 0.50 ± 0.28 |
| C22:2      | NA     | NA     |
| C23:0      | NA     | NA     |
| C24:0      | 5.18 ± 0.24 | 0.61 ± 0.03 |
| C24:1n9    | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.01 |
| C22:6n3    | 0.67 ± 0.16 | 0.58 ± 0.12 |
| SFA        | 25.37 ± 0.54 | 32.05 ± 0.28 |
| MUFA       | 43.93 ± 1.97 | 52.16 ± 0.39 |
| PUFA       | 30.60 ± 1.48 | 15.73 ± 0.17 |
| Total n-6  | 16.51 ± 0.60 | 13.19 ± 0.20 |
| Total n-3  | 14.09 ± 0.88 | 2.54 ± 0.12 |
| n-6/n-3    | 1.17 ± 0.30 | 5.21 ± 0.03 |

Values are means ± SD.
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