ON THE GRAPH-CONNECTIVITY OF SKELETA OF
CONVEX POLYTOPES

CHRISTOS A. ATHANASIADIS

Abstract. Given a $d$-dimensional convex polytope $P$ and nonnegative
integer $k$ not exceeding $d - 1$, let $G_k(P)$ denote the simple graph on
the node set of $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ in which two such faces are
adjacent if there exists a $(k + 1)$-dimensional face of $P$ which contains
them both. The graph $G_k(P)$ is isomorphic to the dual graph of the
$(d - k)$-dimensional skeleton of the normal fan of $P$. For fixed values of
$k$ and $d$, the largest integer $m$ such that $G_k(P)$ is $m$-vertex-connected
for all $d$-dimensional polytopes $P$ is determined. This result generalizes
Balinski’s theorem on the one-dimensional skeleton of a $d$-dimensional
convex polytope.

1. Introduction

The combinatorial theory of convex polytopes has provided mathemati-
cians with interesting problems since antiquity. Some of these problems
concern skeleta of polytopes and their connectivity; see for instance [6].
Balinski’s theorem [1] (see also Theorem 2.2) gives a sharp lower bound on
the (vertex) connectivity degree of the abstract graph $G(P)$ defined by the
one-dimensional skeleton of a $d$-dimensional convex polytope $P$. This graph
can also be understood as the dual graph of the normal fan $\mathcal{N}_P$ of $P$ or,
equivalently, as the dual graph of the $(d - 1)$-dimensional skeleton of any
polytope $Q$ which is polar-dual to $P$. By definition, these are the simple
graphs on the node set of maximal faces of $\mathcal{N}_P$ and of $(d - 1)$-dimensional
faces (facets) of $Q$, respectively, in which two such faces are adjacent if they
share a common codimension one face. It is natural to inquire about the
connectivity of the dual graphs of other skeleta of $\mathcal{N}_P$ or $Q$. These graphs
correspond to the simple graphs $G_k(P)$ defined for nonnegative integers $k$
as follows. The nodes of $G_k(P)$ are the $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ and two
such faces are adjacent if there exists a $(k + 1)$-dimensional face of $P$ which
contains them both. In other words, $G_k(P)$ is the graph on the node set
of rank $k + 1$ elements in the face lattice of $P$, two such elements being
adjacent if they have a common cover in this lattice. For $k = 0$, the graph
$G_0(P)$ reduces to the graph $G(P)$ which appears in Balinski’s theorem. It is
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folklore that the graphs $G_k(P)$ are connected; see [6, Theorem 19.5.2]. Their higher (vertex) connectivity is the subject of this paper.

Let $m$ be a positive integer and recall that an abstract graph $G$ is said to be $m$-connected if $G$ has at least $m + 1$ nodes and any graph obtained from $G$ by deleting $m − 1$ or fewer nodes and their incident edges is connected. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** For fixed nonnegative integers $k$ and $d$ satisfying $0 ≤ k ≤ d − 1$, let $m_k(d)$ denote the largest integer $m$ such that the graph $G_k(P)$ is $m$-connected for all convex polytopes $P$ of dimension $d$. We have

$$m_k(d) = \begin{cases} 
  d, & \text{if } k = d - 2 \\
  (k + 1)(d - k), & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

A few remarks on Theorem 1.1 are in order. Any node of an $m$-connected graph $G$ must have at least $m$ neighbors, since the graph obtained from $G$ by removing all neighbors of a given node either has a single node or else is disconnected. Theorem 1.1 is made plausible by the fact that any $k$-dimensional face $F$ of a $d$-dimensional polytope $P$ has at least $(k + 1)(d - k)$ neighbors in $G_k(P)$. Indeed, such a face $F$ is contained in at least $d - k$ faces of $P$ of dimension $k + 1$, each one of those has at least $k + 1$ faces of dimension $k$ other than $F$ and all these $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ are pairwise distinct and are neighbors of $F$ in $G_k(P)$. On the other hand, if $P$ is a $d$-dimensional simplex then any $k$-dimensional face of $P$ has exactly $(k + 1)(d - k)$ neighbors in $G_k(P)$ and therefore $G_k(P)$ is not $m$-connected for any value of $m$ which exceeds $(k + 1)(d - k)$. This example shows that $m_k(d) ≤ (k + 1)(d - k)$ for all $k$ and $d$. Theorem 1.1 reduces to Balinski’s theorem in the case $k = 0$ and is trivial for $k = d - 1$, since $G_{d-1}(P)$ is the complete graph on the set of facets of $P$ and any $d$-dimensional polytope has at least $d + 1$ facets.

The connectivity of skeleta of polytopes and more general cell complexes was studied by Fløystad [5] from a homological point of view and, more recently, by Björner [3] from a homotopy-theoretic point of view. Fløystad showed that Balinski’s theorem holds for one-dimensional skeleta of a class of cell complexes which includes all finite Cohen-Macaulay polyhedral complexes, namely that of finite Cohen-Macaulay regular cell complexes with the intersection property. It is plausible (see Conjecture 6.2) that Theorem 1.1 generalizes for $0 ≤ k ≤ d - 2$ in this respect.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews graph-theoretic terminology and basic background on convex polytopes. The special cases $k = d - 2$ and $k = 1$ of Theorem 1.1 are proved in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. The proof of the theorem is completed in Section 5. Section 6 discusses possible generalizations to classes of cell complexes.

**Remark 1.2.** After this paper was written, it was pointed out to the author by Ronald Wotzlaw that the graphs $G_k(P)$ have been previously studied by Sallee [8]. In the notation of Theorem 1.1, it is proved in [8] (see equation...
(7.18) on page 495) that \((k + 1)(d - k) - k \leq m_k(d) \leq (k + 1)(d - k)\). More generally, given integers \(0 \leq r < s \leq d - 1\), upper and lower bounds are given in [8] for the connectivity degree of the graph on the node set of \(r\)-dimensional faces of a \(d\)-dimensional convex polytope \(P\), in which two such faces are adjacent if there exists an \(s\)-dimensional face of \(P\) which contains them both (thus our setting corresponds to the case \(r = k\) and \(s = k + 1\)). More precise results are obtained in [8] for various other notions of connectivity for the incidence graphs between faces of dimension \(r\) and faces of dimension \(s\) of \(d\)-dimensional polytopes.

2. Preliminaries

All graphs considered in this paper will be simple (without loops or multiple edges) and finite. Thus every edge of a graph \(G\) connects two distinct nodes of \(G\), called its endpoints, and is said to be incident to each of these nodes. Two nodes of \(G\) are said to be adjacent if they are connected by an edge in \(G\). A walk of length \(n\) in \(G\) is an alternating sequence \(w = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, e_n, v_n)\) of nodes and edges, such that \(v_{i-1}\) and \(v_i\) are the endpoints of \(e_i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n\). We say that \(w\) connects nodes \(v_0\) and \(v_n\), which are the endpoints of \(w\). Thus \(G\) is connected if any two nodes can be connected by a walk in \(G\). Given a subset \(V\) of the set of nodes of \(G\), we denote by \(G \setminus V\) the graph obtained from \(G\) by deleting the nodes in \(V\) and all incident to these nodes edges of \(G\). Given a positive integer \(m\), the graph \(G\) is said to be \(m\)-connected if it has at least \(m + 1\) nodes and \(G \setminus V\) is connected for all subsets \(V\) of the set of nodes of \(G\) with cardinality at most \(m - 1\).

A convex polytope \(P\) is defined as the convex hull of a finite set of points in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). The dimension of \(P\) is the dimension of the affine hull of \(P\), as an affine subspace of \(\mathbb{R}^N\). A face of \(P\) is either a subset of \(P\) on which some linear functional on \(\mathbb{R}^N\) achieves its minimum on \(P\) or else the empty set. Any face of \(P\) is a polytope in \(\mathbb{R}^N\) and the intersection of two faces of \(P\) is again a face of \(P\). Faces of \(P\) of dimension zero or one are called vertices or edges, respectively, and faces of codimension one are called facets. Every edge has exactly two vertices, also called its endpoints. The following lemma is well-known; see, for instance [7, Section 1.2].

**Lemma 2.1.** Any \(d\)-dimensional convex polytope has at least \(\binom{d+1}{i+1}\) faces of dimension \(i\) for all nonnegative integers \(i\).

The graph of \(P\), denoted by \(G(P)\), is the abstract graph which has as nodes the vertices of \(P\) and in which two nodes are adjacent if they are the endpoints of an edge of \(P\). We will need the following slightly stronger version of Balinski’s theorem, which follows, for instance, from the proof given in [9, Section 3.5].

**Theorem 2.2.** (Balinski [1]) Given a \(d\)-dimensional convex polytope \(P \subset \mathbb{R}^N\), the graph \(G(P) \setminus V\) is connected for any subset \(V\) of the vertex set of \(P\).
which is contained in some \((d - 2)\)-dimensional affine subspace of \(\mathbb{R}^N\). In particular, \(\mathcal{G}(P)\) is \(d\)-connected.

For any convex polytope \(P\) there exists a polytope \(Q\) (necessarily of the same dimension) whose set of faces is in inclusion-reversing bijection with the set of faces of \(P\). Such a polytope is said to be polar-dual to \(P\). Given an \(r\)-dimensional face \(F\) of a \(d\)-dimensional convex polytope \(P\), the set of faces of \(P\) which contain \(F\) is in inclusion-preserving bijection with the set of faces of a \((d - r - 1)\)-dimensional polytope \(P_F\), called a face figure (or vertex figure if \(F\) is a vertex) of \(P\) at \(F\). It is clear that walks in the graph \(\mathcal{G}_k(P_F)\) (as defined in the introduction) correspond bijectively to walks in \(\mathcal{G}_{k+r+1}(P)\) which involve only faces of \(P\) containing \(F\). For more information on convex polytopes and their combinatorial structure we refer the interested reader to [7, 9].

3. The case \(k = d - 2\)

In this section we restate and prove Theorem 1.1 in the case \(k = d - 2\).

Proposition 3.1. The graph \(\mathcal{G}_{d-2}(P)\) is \(d\)-connected for all convex polytopes \(P\) of dimension \(d\). Moreover, in any dimension \(d \geq 2\) there exist convex polytopes for which \(\mathcal{G}_{d-2}(P)\) is not \((d + 1)\)-connected.

It is perhaps easier to visualize the graph \(\mathcal{G}_{d-2}(P)\) in terms of a polytope \(Q\) which is polar-dual to \(P\). Since faces of \(P\) of dimension \(d - 2\) and \(d - 1\) correspond to edges and vertices of \(Q\), respectively, \(\mathcal{G}_{d-2}(P)\) is isomorphic to the graph \(\Gamma(Q)\) on the node set of edges of \(Q\) in which two nodes are adjacent if they have a vertex of \(Q\) as a common endpoint.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let \(Q\) be a polytope polar-dual to \(P\), so that \(\mathcal{G}_{d-2}(P)\) may be replaced by the graph \(\Gamma(Q)\) on the node set of edges of \(Q\). To show that \(\Gamma(Q)\) is \(d\)-connected, let \(E\) be any subset of the set of edges of \(Q\) of cardinality at most \(d - 1\). We observe first that given any \(e \in E\), it is possible to choose some two-dimensional face \(F\) of \(P\) containing \(e\), so that \(e\) is the unique edge of \(F\) which belongs to \(E\). Indeed, in view of our assumption on the cardinality of \(E\), this is so because \(e\) is contained in at least \(d - 1\) faces of \(P\) of dimension 2 and any two such faces share no edge other than \(e\) in common. Since \(\mathcal{G}(Q)\) is connected, so is \(\Gamma(Q)\). Our previous remark shows that any walk \(w\) in \(\Gamma(Q)\) connecting two nodes not in \(E\) can be transformed to one connecting the same nodes that does not involve elements of \(E\). This can be done by replacing any node \(e \in E\) that may appear in \(w\) with the sequence of edges other than \(e\) (and vertices other than the endpoints of \(e\)), ordered appropriately, of a two-dimensional face of \(P\) which contains \(e\) but no other edge in \(E\). It follows that \(\Gamma(Q) \setminus E\) is connected and hence that \(\Gamma(Q)\) is \(d\)-connected.

To prove the second statement in the proposition let \(I\) be a line segment, let \(Q = \Delta \times I\) be the prism over a \((d - 1)\)-dimensional simplex \(\Delta\) and denote by \(E\) the set of edges of \(Q\) of the form \(v \times I\), where \(v\) is a vertex of
Δ. It is clear that the set \( E \) has \( d \) elements and that the graph \( \Gamma(Q) \setminus E \) is disconnected. This implies that \( \Gamma(Q) \) is not \((d+1)\)-connected. \( \square \)

4. THE CASE \( k = 1 \)

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 in the case \( k = 1 \) as follows.

**Proposition 4.1.** The graph \( G_1(P) \) is \((2d-2)\)-connected for all convex polytopes \( P \) of dimension \( d \geq 4 \).

**Proof.** Recall that the set of nodes of the graph \( G_1(P) \) coincides with the edge set of \( P \). Let \( E \) be any subset of this set of cardinality less than \( 2d - 2 \) and let \( f \) and \( g \) be any two edges of \( P \) not in \( E \). We need to show that \( f \) and \( g \) can be connected by a walk in the graph \( G_1(P) \setminus E \). For any vertex \( v \) and any edge \( e \) of \( P \), we will denote by \( s(v) \) the number of edges in \( E \) which have \( v \) as an endpoint and by \( t(e) \) the number of edges in \( E \) which have at least one common endpoint with \( e \).

**Claim:** There exists a walk \( w = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, e_n, v_n) \) in \( G(P) \) such that \( v_0 \) is an endpoint of \( f \), \( v_n \) is an endpoint of \( g \) and the following hold:

- (a) \( s(v_i) \leq d - 2 \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) and
- (b) \( t(e_i) \leq d - 1 \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) with \( e_i \in E \).

Given the claim, we can proceed as follows. For any index \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) with \( e_i \in E \), there exist at least \( d - 1 \) two-dimensional faces of \( P \) which contain \( e_i \) and any two of them have no edge other than \( e_i \) in common. Because of condition (b), in at least one of these faces none of the two edges which share exactly one common endpoint with \( e_i \) belong to \( E \). Therefore we may choose edges \( g_i \) and \( f_i \) of \( P \) not in \( E \) which are adjacent nodes in the graph \( G_1(P) \), so that \( v_{i-1} \) is an endpoint of \( g_i \) and \( v_i \) is an endpoint of \( f_i \). We set \( g_i = f_i = e_i \) for those indices \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) for which \( e_i \) is not an element of \( E \). We also set \( f_0 = f \) and \( g_{n+1} = g \). For \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) we observe that \( f_i \) and \( g_i \) are edges of \( P \) not in \( E \) which have \( v_i \) as a common endpoint and denote by \( P_i \) the vertex figure of \( P \) at \( v_i \) and by \( V_i \) the set of vertices of \( P_i \) which correspond to elements of \( E \) having \( v_i \) as an endpoint. Since, by Theorem 2.2, the graph \( G(P_i) \) is \((d-1)\)-connected and, by condition (a), the set \( V_i \) has no more than \( d - 2 \) elements, the vertices of \( P_i \) which correspond to \( f_i \) and \( g_i+1 \) can be connected by a walk in \( G(P_i) \setminus V_i \). This implies that \( f_i \) and \( g_{i+1} \) can be connected by a walk in the graph \( G_1(P) \setminus E \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq n \). Therefore \( f_0 = f \) and \( g_{n+1} = g \) can also be connected by a walk in this graph.

Thus it suffices to prove the claim. Let us call a vertex \( v \) of \( P \) bad if it is an endpoint of at least \((d+1)/2\) edges in \( E \) and good otherwise. We will also call an edge \( e \) of \( P \) bad if it violates condition (b), meaning that \( e \in E \) and \( t(e) \geq d \). Otherwise we call \( e \) good. Clearly, any vertex of \( P \) which violates condition (a) is bad and any bad edge has at least one bad endpoint. As a result, any walk in \( G(P) \) which does not go through bad vertices satisfies conditions (a) and (b). Let \( q \) denote the cardinality of \( E \). Since each edge of \( P \) has only two endpoints, the number \( p \) of bad vertices
of $P$ satisfies $p \lfloor (d+1)/2 \rfloor \leq 2q \leq 2(2d - 3)$. From this and our assumption $d \geq 4$ it follows that $p \leq d - 1$, that is there exist at most $d - 1$ bad vertices. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2 deleting all bad vertices of $P$ from $G(P)$ and their incident edges results in a connected graph. Thus the claim will follow if we can show that each of the edges $f$ and $g$ either has a good endpoint or else one of its endpoints, say $v$, satisfies $s(v) \leq d - 2$ and is connected to a good vertex by a good edge of $P$. We will prove this statement for $f$, the same arguments applying for $g$. Let $a$ and $b$ be the endpoints of $f$. We distinguish two cases:

Case 1: At least one of the endpoints of $f$, say $a$, satisfies $s(a) \geq d - 1$. Since $E$ has at most $2d - 3$ elements and $f$ is not in $E$, we must have $s(b) \leq d - 2$. As a result, there exists at least one edge $e$ of $P$ other than $f$ which has $b$ as an endpoint and does not belong to $E$. Simple counting shows that at least one of the endpoints of $e$ is good. Since $e$ is a good edge, we are done in this case.

Case 2: We have $s(a) \leq d - 2$ and $s(b) \leq d - 2$. As a result, each of $a, b$ is an endpoint of at least one edge of $P$ not in $E$, other than $f$. There is nothing to prove if at least one of $a, b$ is good, so we may assume that both of them are bad. Suppose first that there exist distinct vertices $a'$ and $b'$ of $P$ other than $a, b$ which are connected to $a$ and $b$, respectively, by edges of $P$ not in $E$. Once again, simple counting shows that at least one of $a', b'$ must be good and the desired statement follows. Otherwise $a$ and $b$ must be connected to a vertex $c$ of $P$ with edges not in $E$ and we must have $s(a) = s(b) = d - 2$. It follows that $s(c) \leq 1$ and that $c$ is good, so we are done in this case as well.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we complete the proof of our main theorem. The structure of the proof is similar to that of Proposition 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let us write $n_k(d) = (k + 1)(d - k)$. We have already remarked in the introduction that there exist $d$-dimensional convex polytopes $P$ such that $G_k(P)$ is not $m$-connected for $m > n_k(d)$ and that Theorem 1.1 is trivial for $k = d - 1$. In view of Proposition 3.1, it remains to show that for $1 \leq k \leq d - 3$ the graph $G_k(P)$ is $n_k(d)$-connected for all convex polytopes $P$ of dimension $d$.

We proceed by induction on $d$ and $k$, where the case $k = 1$ was treated by Proposition 1.1. Assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $U$ be any subset of the set of $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ of cardinality less than $n_k(d)$ and let $F$ and $G$ be two $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ not in $U$. We need to show that $F$ and $G$ can be connected by a walk in $G_k(P) \setminus U$.

Claim: There exists a walk $w$ in $G(P)$ which connects a vertex of $F$ to a vertex of $G$ and has the following properties:

(a) no edge of $w$ is contained in $\binom{d-1}{k-1}$ or more faces in $U$ and
Given the claim, the proof proceeds as follows. Let $w = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, e_n, v_n)$ be a walk as in the claim and set $F_0 = F$ and $F_{n+1} = G$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that each edge of $w$ is a bad edge, then there must be at least two elements of $\delta_{k-1}(d-1)$ of them, there must be at least $k$ elements of $U$ which contain all of $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$. Clearly, the
intersection of any two if these \( k \) elements contains the \( v_i \) and has affine dimension at most \( k - 1 \), so \( v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k \) must be affinely dependent. It follows that the dimension of the affine span of \( V \) is at most \( k - 1 \). As a consequence, each one of the \( k \)-dimensional faces \( F \) and \( G \) has at least one vertex not in \( V \) and, by Theorem 2.2 the graph \( G(P) \setminus V \) is connected. These two facts imply the existence of a walk \( w \) in \( G(P) \) with the claimed properties. \( \square \)

6. Cell complexes

In this section we discuss possible generalizations of Theorem 1.1 to certain classes of regular cell complexes. We will assume some familiarity with regular cell complexes and standard notions in topological combinatorics; excellent sources on these topics are [4, Section 4.7] and the article [2].

A regular cell complex is a finite collection \( C \) of balls in a Hausdorff space \( \|C\| = \bigcup_{\sigma \in C} \sigma \), called cells or faces, such that:

(i) \( \emptyset \in C \),
(ii) the relative interiors of the nonempty cells partition \( \|C\| \) and
(iii) the boundary of any cell in \( C \) is a union of cells in \( C \).

Cells of dimension zero or one are called vertices or edges, respectively, and cells which are maximal with respect to inclusion are called facets. The dimension of \( C \) is the maximum dimension of a cell. The (loop-free) abstract graph \( G(C) \) defined by the vertices and edges of \( C \) is called the graph of \( C \).

A polyhedral complex in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) is a regular cell complex each of whose cells is a polytope in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). A simplicial complex is a polyhedral complex in which every cell is a simplex. A regular cell complex \( C \) is said to have the intersection property if the intersection of any two cells in \( C \) is also a cell in \( C \) (in particular, the graph \( G(C) \) is simple). For instance, polyhedral complexes have the intersection property. A regular cell complex \( C \) with the intersection property is said to be Cohen-Macaulay (over a field \( K \)) [5, Section 2] if, under the inclusion partial order, it is a Cohen-Macaulay poset (over \( K \)); see [2, Section 11] for the notion of Cohen-Macauliness for simplicial complexes and posets. Such a complex \( C \) is pure, meaning that all facets of \( C \) have the same dimension, and strongly connected, meaning that for any two facets \( \tau \) and \( \tau' \) of \( C \) there exists a sequence \( \tau = \tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n = \tau' \) of facets of \( C \) such that \( \tau_{i-1} \) and \( \tau_i \) intersect on a common face of codimension one for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). The following generalization of Balinski’s theorem was proved by Fløystad, as a consequence of [5, Corollary 2.7].

**Theorem 6.1.** (Fløystad [5]) For any \( d \)-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay regular cell complex with the intersection property, the graph \( G(C) \) is \( d \)-connected.

Let \( G_k(C) \) denote the simple graph on the node set of \( k \)-dimensional cells of \( C \) in which two such cells are adjacent if there exists a \( (k+1) \)-dimensional
cell of \( C \) which contains them both. Theorem 6.1 is the special case \( k = 0 \) of the following statement.

**Conjecture 6.2.** For any \( d \)-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay regular cell complex \( C \) with the intersection property, the graph \( \mathcal{G}_k(C) \) is

- \((k + 1)(d - k)\)-connected if \( 0 \leq k \leq d - 3 \) and
- \( d \)-connected if \( k = d - 2 \).

The \( d \)-dimensional simplicial complex which has two \( d \)-dimensional simplices as facets, intersecting on a common codimension one face, shows that Theorem 1.1 does not extend to the setup of Conjecture 6.2 for \( k = d - 1 \). We can verify this conjecture in the special cases which appear in the following statement.

**Proposition 6.3.** Under the assumptions of Conjecture 6.2, the graph \( \mathcal{G}_k(C) \) is

(i) \((k + 1)(d - k)\)-connected if \( 0 \leq k \leq d - 3 \) and \( C \) is a polyhedral complex,

(ii) \( d \)-connected if \( k = d - 2 \).

**Proof.** Suppose first that \( C \) is a polyhedral complex and that \( 0 \leq k \leq d - 3 \) (a similar argument works for \( k = d - 2 \)). Let \( U \) be any subset of the set of \( k \)-dimensional faces of \( C \) of cardinality less than \((k + 1)(d - k)\) and let \( F \) and \( G \) be two \( k \)-dimensional faces of \( C \) not in \( U \). We need to show that these two faces can be connected by a walk in the graph \( \mathcal{G}_k(C) \setminus U \). Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be facets of \( C \) (necessarily of dimension \( d \)) containing \( F \) and \( G \), respectively. By strong connectivity of \( C \), we may choose a sequence \( P = P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_n = Q \) of facets of \( C \) such that \( P_{i-1} \) and \( P_i \) intersect on a common \((d - 1)\)-dimensional face for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). By Lemma 2.1, each intersection \( P_{i-1} \cap P_i \) has at least \( \binom{d}{k} \) faces of dimension \( k \). Since \( \binom{d}{k} \geq (k + 1)(d - k) \) for \( k \leq d - 3 \), there exists at least one \( k \)-dimensional face, say \( F_i \), of \( P_{i-1} \cap P_i \) which is not an element of \( U \). We let \( F_0 = F \) and \( F_{n+1} = G \) and note that \( F_i \) and \( F_{i-1} \) can be connected with a walk in \( \mathcal{G}_k(P_{i-1}) \setminus U \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n + 1 \), by Theorem 1.1. It follows that \( F \) and \( G \) can be connected with a walk in \( \mathcal{G}_k(C) \setminus U \). This proves (i).

Suppose now that \( k = d - 2 \) and that \( C \) is as in Conjecture 6.2. We can proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 with no need to pass to a dual to \( C \) object. Indeed, let \( \mathcal{E} \) be any subset of the set of \((d - 2)\)-dimensional faces of \( C \) of cardinality at most \( d - 1 \). We observe first that any \( e \in \mathcal{E} \) has at least \( d - 1 \) codimension one faces and that no \((d - 2)\)-dimensional face of \( C \) other than \( e \) contains two or more of those. Therefore, it is possible to choose a codimension one face \( \sigma \) of \( e \) so that \( e \) is the unique element of \( \mathcal{E} \) which contains \( \sigma \). We then check that in any part of a walk in \( \mathcal{G}_{d-2}(C) \) of the form \((\tau, e, \tau')\), where \( e \in \mathcal{E} \) and \( \tau, \tau' \) are faces of dimension \( d - 1 \) containing \( e \), the node \( e \) can be replaced by a walk that does not involve elements of \( \mathcal{E} \) as follows. Since the inclusion poset of faces of \( C \) which strictly contain \( e \)
is Cohen-Macaulay of rank one, and hence connected, we may assume that some facet $\rho$ of $C$ contains both $\tau$ and $\tau'$. We pick a codimension one face $\sigma$ of $e$ as above and observe that the set of faces of $C$ containing $\sigma$ and contained in $\rho$ is in inclusion preserving bijection with the set of faces of a polygon $\Pi$ (this holds more generally for Gorenstein* posets of rank 3) and that $e$ and $\tau, \tau'$ correspond to a vertex and its two incident edges in $\Pi$. Hence we can deviate our given walk in $G_{d-2}(C)$ around $e$ through the boundary of $\Pi$, thus avoiding nodes in $E$ by the defining property of $\sigma$. To complete the proof of (ii) it remains to comment that the graph $G_{d-2}(C)$ is connected. This holds because the inclusion order on the set of faces of $C$ of dimension $d-2$ or $d-1$ inherits the Cohen-Macaulay property from $C$. □
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