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ABSTRACT
In this letter, the system performance of the DF full-duplex (FD) relaying communication network is investigated with physical layer security (PLS). In this system model, the source (S) and the destination (D) communicate via a helping relay (R) in the presence of the eavesdropper (E). From the system model, we derive the closed-form expressions for intercept probability (IP) and secrecy outage probability (SOP). For verifying the correctness of the analytical analysis, the Monte Carlo simulation is conducted. In addition, the influence of the main system parameter on the system performance is investigated. Finally, the results show that the analytical and the simulation values agree well with each other.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In the comparison with the conventional communication network, the wireless communication network the power supply from the nodes to nodes can be done via RF to avoid the process battery replacement with some disadvantages, such as inconvenient, infeasible for some applications [1-10]. In the last few years, wireless energy transfer (WET) technologies by supplying continuous and stable energy over the air is a proposed solution for avoiding disadvantages. This method could significantly reduce the maintenance cost and the frequency of energy outage events due to battery depletion [6-15]. Authors in [16] investigated the outage probability and the throughput of an amplify-and-forward relaying system using energy harvesting, and an amplify-and-forward (AF) relaying network is considered in [17], where an energy-constrained relay node harvests energy from the received RF signal and uses that harvested energy to forward the source information to the destination. Furthermore, authors in [18] proposed a dual-hop decode-and-forward (DF) relaying network, where relays operate based on harvested energy from radio frequency (RF) radiation and authors in [19] considered the generalized diversity combining of an energy-constrained multiple antenna decode-and-forward relay network. Moreover, authors in [20] proposed and investigated simultaneous wireless information and power transfer in two-way decode-and-forward (DF) relay networks,
where the relay is an energy-constrained node but is capable of harvesting energy from the radio frequency signal transmitted by the source nodes for forwarding its information [21-26].

In this letter, the system performance of the DF full-duplex (FD) Relaying communication network is investigated with physical layer security (PLS). In this system model, the source (S) and the destination (D) communicate via a helping relay (R) in the presence of the eavesdropper (E). From the system model, we derive the closed-form expressions for intercept probability (IP) and Secrecy Outage Probability (SOP). For verifying the correctness of the analytical analysis, the Monte Carlo simulation is conducted. In addition, the influence of the main system parameter on the system performance is investigated. Finally, the results show that the analytical and the simulation values agree well with each other. The main contribution of the paper can be summarized as the following:

a) The system model of the DF full-duplex (FD) Relaying communication network is investigated with Physical layer security (PLS) is proposed.
b) The closed-form expressions for intercept probability (IP) and secrecy outage probability (SOP) are derived.
c) The influence of the main system parameter on the system performance is investigated.
d) The Monte Carlo simulation is conducted for verifying the analytical analysis.

The rest of the manuscript can be provided as the following. The system model of the DF full-duplex (FD) Relaying communication network is investigated with physical layer security (PLS) is drawn in the Section 2. The IP and SOP analysis are presented in the Section 3. Then, the numerical results and some discussions are presented in the Section 4. Finally, the Section 5 concludes this manuscript.

2. SYSTEM MODEL

In Figure 1, a source S communicates with a destination D via the help of a FD relay denoted by R and one eavesdropper, which denoted by E want to overhear the information at the relay R [27-30]. Let us denote $h_{SR}$, $h_{RD}$ and $h_{RE}$ as the channel coefficients of the $S \rightarrow R$, $R \rightarrow D$ and $R \rightarrow E$ links, respectively. We also denote $h_{RR}$ as the self-interference between the transmit antenna and the receive antenna of the relay R.

Cumulative distribution function (CDF) is given as

$$ F_{\alpha}(x) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda_i x) $$

(1)

Where $i \in \{SR, RD, RR, RE\}$,

Here,

$$ \lambda_i = (d_i)^d $$

(2)

where $d_i$ is link distance between correspondence nodes.
Then, the probability density function (PDF) of $\Phi$ is expressed as,

$$f_{\Phi}(x) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

As shown in Figure 2, $T$ denotes the total time interval, which is used for energy harvesting and information transferring from S to D. In the first interval time $\alpha T \ (0<\alpha<1)$, the relay $R$ harvests energy from the source. In the remaining interval $(1-\alpha)T$, the source transfers the information to the destination $D$ via the helping relay $R$.

![Figure 2. EH and IT phases](image)

2.1. Energy harvesting and Information transmission phase

In this phase, the received signal at the relay can be formulated as,

$$y_R = h_{SR}x_S + h_{RR}x_R + n_R$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

And $\mathbb{E}\{|x_0|^2\} = P_S$, $\mathbb{E}\{|x_d|^2\} = P_K$, $\mathbb{E}\{\Phi\}$ is an expectation operator in which $P_S$ is average transmit power at the source and $P_K$ is average transmit power at the relay.

As [28], the harvested power at the relay can be calculated as,

$$P_K = \frac{E}{T} = \frac{\eta \alpha TP_S |h_{SR}|^2}{(1-\alpha)T} = \kappa P_S |h_{SR}|^2 = \kappa P_S \Phi_{SR}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $\kappa = \frac{\eta \alpha}{1-\alpha}$ in which $0<\eta<1$.

The received signal at the destination can be expressed as:

$$y_D = h_{RD}x_D + n_D$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where $h_{RD}$ is the relay to destination channel gain and $n_D$ is the AWGN with variance $N_0$.

In our proposed system, we consider the decode and forward scheme as [29]. So, from (4), the signal to noise ratio (SNR) at the relay can be formulated by,

$$\gamma_R = \frac{P_S |h_{SR}|^2}{|h_{SR}|^2 P_K + N_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Substituting (5) into (7), we obtain:

$$\gamma_R = \frac{P_S |h_{SR}|^2}{\kappa P_S |h_{SR}|^2 + N_0} = \frac{1}{\kappa |h_{SR}|^2} = \frac{1}{\kappa \Phi_{SR}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

From (6), the SNR at the destination can be computed as,
\[ \gamma_D = \frac{\kappa P_s|h_{sd}|^2}{N_0} = \kappa \Psi |h_{sd}|^2 |h_{ds}|^2 = \kappa \Psi \phi_{sd} \phi_{ds} \]

(9)

\[ \Psi = \frac{P_s}{N_0} \]

Where

The end to end SNR can be claimed by,

\[ \gamma_{de} = \min(\gamma_D, \gamma_s) \]

(10)

Next, the eavesdropper overhears the information of the relay. Hence, the received signal at the eavesdropper can be expressed by,

\[ y_e = h_{re}x_h + n_e \]

(11)

E successfully decodes the information from the relay with the following SNR:

\[ \gamma_e = \frac{P_s|h_{se}|^2}{N_0} = \kappa \Psi |h_{se}|^2 |h_{es}|^2 = \kappa \Psi \phi_{se} \phi_{es} \]

(12)

Here, the data rate for the data and eavesdropping links can be formulated, respectively by,

\[ C_{de} = (1 - \alpha) \times \log_2(1 + \gamma_{de}) \]

\[ C_e = (1 - \alpha) \times \log_2(1 + \gamma_e) \]

(13)

3. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

3.1. Intercept probability (IP) analysis

As [31], the IP can be defined by

\[ IP = \Pr(C_e \geq C_i) \]

(14)

Where \( C_i \) is the predetermined data rate threshold.

Substituting (13) into (14) and then combining with (12), we can obtain:

\[ IP = \Pr(\kappa \Psi \phi_{se} \phi_{es} \geq \gamma_i) = 1 - \Pr(\kappa \Psi \phi_{se} \phi_{es} < \gamma_i) \]

\[ = 1 - \Pr(\phi_{se} < \frac{\gamma_i}{\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}}) = 1 - \int_{0}^{\gamma_i/\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}} f_{\phi_{es}}(\phi) d\phi \]

(15)

Where \( \gamma_i = 2^{C_i/\alpha} - 1 \)

Using results from (1) and (3), (15) can be reformulated by,

\[ IP = 1 - \int_{0}^{\gamma_i/\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}} \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -\frac{\gamma_i}{\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}} \right) \right] \times \lambda_{se} \exp(-\lambda_{se} \phi) d\phi = \lambda_{se} \int_{0}^{\gamma_i/\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}} \exp\left( -\frac{\gamma_i}{\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}} \right) \times \exp(-\lambda_{se} \phi) d\phi \]

(16)

Applying (3.324.1) of [32], we obtain:

\[ IP = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_i}{\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}}} \times K_i\left( 2 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_i}{\kappa \Psi \phi_{es}}} \right) \]

(17)

Where \( K_i(*) \) is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and \( v^\text{th} \) order.
3.2. Secrecy outage probability (SOP) analysis

The secrecy capacity can be defined as,

\[ C_{\text{sec}}^* = \max[0, C_{DF} - C_E] \]  \hfill (18)

So, the SOP of the system can be formulated by,

\[ SOP = \Pr \left( C_{\text{sec}}^* < C_a \right) = \Pr \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_{DF}}{1 + \gamma_E} < 2^C \right) = \Pr \left( \gamma_{DF} < \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \gamma'_E \right) \]  \hfill (19)

Where \( \gamma_1 = 2^{-C} - 1 \) and \( \gamma_2 = 2^C \)

In the high SNR regime, (19) can be approximated by,

\[ SOP \approx \Pr \left( \frac{\gamma_{DF}}{\gamma_E} < 2^C \right) = \Pr \left( \gamma_{DF} < \gamma_2 \gamma'_E \right) \]  \hfill (20)

Combining (8), (9), (10) and (12), (20) can be rewritten as,

\[ SOP = \Pr \left[ \min \left( \frac{1}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}} < \kappa \Psi \gamma_2, \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} \right) \right] = \Pr \left[ \min \left( \frac{1}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} < \kappa \Psi \gamma_2, \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} \right) \right] \times f_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}(x)dx \]  \hfill (21)

The probability in (21) can be written by,

\[ P_1 = \Pr \left( \gamma_{DF} < \kappa \Psi \gamma_2 \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} \right) = \int_{\gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} F_{\gamma_{DF}} \left( \kappa \Psi \gamma_2 \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} \right) = \int_{\gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} \left[ 1 - \Pr \left( \kappa \Psi \gamma_2, \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} > \varphi \right) \right] d\varphi \]  \hfill (22)

Where \( \gamma'_1 = \min \left( \frac{1}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) \)

Next, we find the CDF of \( \gamma_{DF}' \). We can express as:

\[ F_{\gamma_{DF}}(y) = \Pr \left( \gamma_{DF}' < y \right) = \Pr \left[ \min \left( \frac{1}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} < y \right) \right] = 1 - \Pr \left( \frac{1}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} > y \right) \times \Pr \left( \kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} > y \right) \]  \hfill (23)

The first term of (23) can be calculated by,

\[ P_{11} = \Pr \left( \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} \leq \frac{1}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) = 1 - \exp \left( - \frac{\lambda_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) \]  \hfill (24)

Next, \( P_{12} \) can be computed by,

\[ P_{12} = 1 - \Pr \left( \kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} < y \right) = 1 - \Pr \left( \phi \sigma \rho_{DD} \leq \frac{y}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) = \exp \left( - \frac{y \lambda_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) \]  \hfill (25)

Substituting (24), (25) into (23), we have:

\[ F_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}(y) = 1 - \left[ 1 - \exp \left( - \frac{\lambda_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) \right] \times \exp \left( - \frac{y \lambda_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) = 1 - \exp \left( - \frac{y \lambda_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) + \exp \left( - \frac{y \lambda_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} + \frac{\lambda_{\phi \sigma \rho_{DD}}}{\kappa \Psi \phi \sigma \rho_{DD}} \right) \]  \hfill (26)
Substituting (26) into (22), we claim:

\[
P_i = \int \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -\gamma_n \phi \lambda_{\text{en}} \right) + \exp\left( -\gamma_n \phi \lambda_{\text{en}} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi}} \right) \right] \times f_s(\phi) d\phi \\
= 1 - \lambda_n \int_0^\infty \exp\left( -\gamma_n \phi \lambda_{\text{en}} - \lambda_n \phi \right) d\phi + \lambda_n \int_0^\infty \exp\left( -\gamma_n \phi \lambda_{\text{en}} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi}} \right) d\phi
\]

(27)

From (27), the first integral can be easily calculated by,

\[
I_1 = \lambda_n \int_0^\infty \exp\left( -\phi \left( \lambda_{\text{en}} \gamma_n + \lambda_n \phi \right) \right) d\phi = \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{\text{en}} \gamma_n + \lambda_n}
\]

(28)

Next, the second integral can be obtained as,

\[
I_2 = \lambda_n \int_0^\infty \exp\left( -\phi \left( \gamma_n \lambda_{\text{en}} + \lambda_n \phi \right) - \frac{\lambda_n}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi}} \right) d\phi
\]

(29)

Applying (3.324,1) of [32], we have,

\[
I_2 = 2\lambda_n \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\text{en}}}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi} \left( \gamma_n \lambda_{\text{en}} + \lambda_n \right)}} \times K_0 \left( 2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\text{en}} \left( \gamma_n \lambda_{\text{en}} + \lambda_n \right)}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi}}} \right)
\]

(30)

Then, substituting (28) and (30) into (27), we have:

\[
P_i = 1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{\text{en}} \gamma_n + \lambda_n} + 2\lambda_n \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\text{en}}}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi} \left( \gamma_n \lambda_{\text{en}} + \lambda_n \right)}} \times K_0 \left( 2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\text{en}} \left( \gamma_n \lambda_{\text{en}} + \lambda_n \right)}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi}}} \right)
\]

(31)

Finally, substituting (31) into (21), we obtain:

\[
SOP = 1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{b^2 x} + \frac{2\lambda_n \lambda_{\text{en}} a}{b} \int_0^{\infty} x^{-1/2} \exp(-\lambda_n x) \times K_0 \left( \frac{2ab}{\sqrt{x}} \right) dx
\]

(32)

Where

\[
a = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\text{en}}}{\kappa^2 \Psi_{\gamma, \chi}}}
\]

and

\[
b = \sqrt{\gamma_n \lambda_{\text{en}} + \lambda_n}
\]

Using result from (26) of [33], the SOP can be claimed as,

\[
SOP = 1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{b^2 x} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n \lambda_{\text{en}} a}}{b} \times G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left[ a^{1/2} \lambda_{\text{en}}^{1/2} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{array} \right) \right]
\]

(33)

Where

\[
G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ z \begin{array}{c} a_1, \ldots, a_p \\ b_1, \ldots, b_q \end{array} \right]
\]

is the Meijer G function.

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The system IP and SOP versus \( \alpha \) are investigated in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. In Figure 3, the main system parameters are set as the following \( \psi = 5 \text{ dB} \), \( \text{Cth}=0.25 \), and \( \eta = 0.5, 0.85, 1 \), respectively. From Figure 3, we can see that the system IP has a huge increase when \( \alpha \) varies from 0 to 0.7 and the decreases significantly. The maximum value of the system IP can be obtained with \( \alpha \) near 0.7. Furthermore, the main system parameters are set as \( \text{Cth}=0.25 \), \( \psi=10 \text{ dB} \), and \( \eta = 0.5, 0.85, 1 \), respectively. As shown in Figure 4, the system SOP increase.
massively with rising of $\alpha$ from 0 to 1. In Figures 3 and 4, it can be observed that the simulation and analytical curves are the same to verify the correctness of the analytical above section [27-36].

In addition, the effect of $C_{th}$ on the system IP is illustrated in Figure 5 with the primary system parameter as $\psi=10$ dB, $\eta=1$, and $\alpha=0.3, 0.5, 0.85$, respectively. From the result, the system IP falls considerably with the increase of $C_{th}$ from 0 to 1 bit/s/Hz. Moreover, we considered the system SOP as the function of $\eta$ with the main system parameters as $C_{th}=0.25$, $\psi=10$ dB, $\alpha=0.3, 0.5, 0.85$ as proposed in Figure 6. As drawn in Figure 6, the system SOP increases significantly while $\eta$ rises from 0 to 1. As shown in Figures 5 and 6, the simulation curve agrees well with the analytical curve to convince the correctness of the analytical section.

Finally, the system IP and SOP versus $\psi$ are presented in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. In Figures 7 and 8, we set $\eta=1$, $\alpha=0.3$, $C_{th}=0.24, 0.5$ and 1. As shown in Figures 7 and 8, the system IP and SOP has a massive increase while $\psi$ rises from -10 dB to 20 dB. From the research results, we can see that the analytical are the same with the simulation values.
5. CONCLUSION

In this letter, the system performance of the DF FD Relaying communication network is investigated with PLS. From the system model, we derive the closed-form expressions for IP and SOP. For verifying the correctness of the analytical analysis, the Monte Carlo simulation is conducted. Moreover, the influence of the main system parameter on the system performance is investigated. Finally, the results show that the analytical and the simulation values agree well with each other.

REFERENCES

[1] Wong, K. Daniel. "Fundamentals of Wireless Communication Engineering Technologies." 12, 2011. doi:10.1002/9781118121115.

[2] Bi, S., Ho, C. K., & Zhang, R. "Wireless powered communication: Opportunities and challenges”. IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 117-125, 2015. doi:10.1109/mcom.2015.7081084

[3] Niyato, D., Kim, D. I., Maso, M., & Han, Z. "Wireless Powered Communication Networks: Research Directions and Technological Approaches”. IEEE Wireless Communications, pp. 2-11, 2017. doi:10.1109/mwc.2017.1600116

[4] Yu, H., Lee, H., & Jeon, H. “What is 5G? Emerging 5G Mobile Services and Network Requirements”. Sustainability, vol. 9, no. 10, p. 1848, 2017. doi:10.3390/su9101848.

[5] Zhou, Xun, Rui Zhang, and Chin Keong Ho. "Wireless Information and Power Transfer: Architecture Design and Rate-Energy Tradeoff." IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 4754-767, 2017. doi:10.1109/tcomm.2013.13.120855.

[6] Bi, Suzhi, Chin Keong Ho, and Rui Zhang. "Recent Advances in Joint Wireless Energy and Information Transfer." 2014 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW 2014), 11 2014. doi:10.1109/itw.2014.6970850.

[7] Kawabata, Hiroki, and Koji Ishibashi. "RF Energy Powered Feedback-aided Cooperation." 2014 IEEE 25th Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor, and Mobile Radio Communication (PIMRC), 09 2014. doi:10.1109/pimrc.2014.7136211.

[8] Huang, Kaibin, and Vincent K. N. Lau. "Enabling Wireless Power Transfer in Cellular Networks: Architecture, Modeling and Deployment." IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 902-12, 2014. doi:10.1109/twc.2013.122313.130727.

[9] Medepally, Bhargav, and Neelesh B. Mehta. "Voluntary Energy Harvesting Relays and Selection in Cooperative Wireless Networks." IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 3543-553, 2014. doi:10.1109/twc.2010.091510.100447.

[10] Zhou, Xun, Rui Zhang, and Chin Keong Ho. "Wireless Information and Power Transfer: Architecture Design and Rate-energy Tradeoff." 2012 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), 12 2012. doi:10.1109/glocom.2012.6503739.

[11] Song, Changick, Cong Ling, Jaehyun Park, and Bruno Clerckx. "MIMO Broadcasting for Simultaneous Wireless Information and Power Transfer: Weighted MMSE Approaches." 2014 IEEE Globocon Workshops (GC Wkshps), 12 2014. doi:10.1109/glocom.2014.7063588.

[12] Varshney, Lav R. "Transporting Information and Energy Simultaneously." 2008 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, 07 2008. doi:10.1109/isi.2008.4595260.

[13] Salari, Soheil, Il-Min Kim, Dong In Kim, and Francois Chan. "Joint EH Time Allocation and Distributed Beamforming in Interference-Limited Two-Way Networks With EH-Based Relays." IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 6395-408, 10 2017. doi:10.1109/twc.2017.2723466.

[14] Liu, Liang, Rui Zhang, and Kee-Chaing Chua. "Wireless Information and Power Transfer: A Dynamic Power Splitting Approach." IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 3990-4001, 09 2013. doi:10.1109/tcom.2013.071813.130105.
Indonesian J Elec Eng & Comp Sci  ISSN: 2502-4752 873

[15] Jameel, Furqan, Shurjeel Wyne, and Zhiguo Ding. "Secure Communications in Three-Step Two-Way Energy Harvesting DF Relaying." IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 308-311, 2018. doi:10.1109/lcomm.2017.2772244.

[16] Chen, Yunfei. "Energy-Harvesting AF Relaying in the Presence of Interference and Nakagami-m Fading." IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 1008-017, 2016. doi:10.1109/twc.2015.2481393.

[17] Nasir, Ali A., Xiangyun Zhou, Salman Durrani, and Rodney A. Kennedy. "Relaying Protocols for Wireless Energy Harvesting and Information Processing." IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 3622-3636, 2013. doi:10.1109/twc.2013.062413.122042.

[18] Do, Nhu Tri, Vo Nguyen Quoc Bao, and Beongku An. "A Relay Selection Protocol for Wireless Energy Harvesting Relay Networks." 2015 International Conference on Advanced Technologies for Communications (ATC), 2015. doi:10.1109/pace.2015.7388327.

[19] Nguyen, Sang Quang, and Hyung Yun Kong. "Generalized Diversity Combining of Energy Harvesting Multiple Antenna Relay Networks: Outage and Throughput Performance Analysis." Annals of Telecommunications, vol. 71, no. 5-6, pp. 265-77, 04, 2016. doi:10.1007/s12243-016-0508-9.

[20] Peng, Chunling, Fangwei Li, and Huaping Liu. "Optimal Power Splitting in Two-Way Decode-and-Forward Relay Networks." IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 2099-012, 2017. doi:10.1109/lcomm.2017.2671363.

[21] Liu, R.; Maric, I.; Spasojevic, P.; Yates, R.D. “Discrete Memoryless Interference and Broadcast Channels with Confidential Messages: Secrecy Rate Regions”. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 54, pp. 2493-2507, 2008. doi:10.1109/tit.2008.921879.

[22] Gopala, P.K.; Lai, L.; El Gamal, H. "On the Secrecy Capacity of Fading Channels". IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 54, pp. 4687-4698, 2008. doi:10.1109/TIT.2008.928990.

[23] Zhang, J.; Duong, T.Q.; Woods, R.; Marshall, A. “Securing Wireless Communications of the Internet of Things from the Physical Layer, An Overview”. Entropy, vol. 19, p. 420, 2017. doi:10.3390/ion19080420.

[24] Sun, L.; Qinghe, D. “A Review of Physical Layer Security Techniques for Internet of Things: Challenges and Solutions”. Entropy, vol. 20, p. 730, 2018. doi:10.3390/en20070370.

[25] Sun, L.; Qinghe, D. "Physical Layer Security with Its Applications in 5G Networks: A Review". China Commun, vol. 14, pp. 1-14, 2017. doi:10.1109/cc.2017.8246328.

[26] Yang, N.; Wang, L.; Geraci, G.; Elkashlan, M.; Yuan, J.; Di Renzo, M. “Safeguarding 5G Wireless Communication Networks Using Physical Layer Security”. IEEE Commun. Mag, vol. 53, pp. 20-27, 2015. doi:10.1109/mcom.2015.7081071.

[27] Bhatnagar, M. R. "On the Capacity of Decode-and-Forward Relaying over Rician Fading Channels." IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1100-103, 06 2013. doi:10.1109/lcomm.2013.050331.122813.

[28] Tan N. Nguyen, T.H.Q.Minh, Phuong T. Tran and Miroslav Voznak. “Energy Harvesting over Rician Fading Channel: A Performance Analysis for Half-Duplex Bidirectional Sensor Networks under Hardware Impairments”. Sensors, vol. 18, 2018.

[29] Tan N. Nguyen, T.H.Q.Minh, Phuong T. Tran and Miroslav Voznak. “Adaptive Energy Harvesting Relaying Protocol for Two-Way Half Duplex System Network over Rician Fading Channel”. Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, 2018.

[30] Nguyen, T. N., T. T. Duy, G.-T. Luu, P. T. Tran, and M. Voznak. "Energy Harvesting-based Spectrum Access with Incremental Cooperation, Relay Selection and Hardware Noises." Radio engineering, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 240-50, 04, 2017. doi:10.13164/re.2017.0240.

[31] Ngo Hoang An, Minh Tran, Tan N. Nguyen and Duy-Hung Ha. "Physical Layer Security in Hybrid TPSR Two-Way Half-Duplex Relaying Network over Rayleigh Fading Channel: Outage and Intercept Probability Analysis", Electronics, vol. 9, no. 3, Art. p. 428, 2020.

[32] “Table of Integrals, Series, and Products”, 2015. doi:10.1016/c2010-0-64839-5

[33] Phu Tran Tin, Bach Dinh Hoang, Tan N. Nguyen, Duy Hung Ha and Tran Thanh Trang, "Power Beacon-Assisted Energy Harvesting Wireless Physical Layer Cooperative Relaying Networks: Performance Analysis", Symmetry, vol. 12, no. 1, Art. p. 106, 2020.

[34] Tan N. Nguyen, T.H.Q.Minh, Duy-Hung Ha, Thanh-Long Nguyen and Miroslav Voznak, "Energy harvesting based two-way full-duplex relaying network over Rician fading environment: performance analysis", Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 111-123, 2019.

[35] Tan N. Nguyen, Minh Tran, Duy-Hung Ha, Tran Thanh Trang and Miroslav Voznak, "Multi-Source in DF Cooperative Networks With PSR protocol Based Full-Duplex Energy Harvesting Over Rayleigh Fading Channel: Performance Analysis", Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 264-275, 2019.

[36] Tan N. Nguyen, Miroslav Voznak, Tran Hoang Quang Minh, Phuong T. Tran, Phu Tran Tin, Thanh-Long Nguyen. "Outage Probability Analysis of Power Splitting PowerBeacon Assisted Energy Harvesting Relay Wireless Communication Networks", 2018 IEEE/ACM 22nd International Symposium on Distributed Simulation and Real Time Applications (DS-RT), 2018.