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Substrate temperature and seed scarification on germination parameters of butterfly pea (Clitoria ternatea L.)

Sean M. Campbell, Brian J. Pearson* and S. Christopher Marble

Department of Environmental Horticulture, University of Florida, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Mid-Florida Research and Education Center, 2725 South Binion Road, Apopka, Florida, 32703, United States of America.

Received 7 October 2022, Accepted 14 November 2022

Recent interest in the cultivation and use of butterfly pea (Clitoria ternatea L.) has increased demand for its commercial production. Successful germination of seed is a critical first step to its production; however, few studies have documented factors influential to germination success. To help support commercial production efforts, the influence of substrate temperature and seed scarification technique on germination parameters were evaluated. Two scarification (nicked and soaked) and three substrate temperature treatments (21, 24 and 27°C) were imposed on C. ternatea seed. Following treatments, six germination parameters were recorded. Data showed seeds maintained at a substrate temperature of 21°C reached maximal germination (54.8%) at the longest mean germination time (2.61 d) and slowest mean germination rate (0.39 d ay−1). Mechanical scarification by nicking the seed coat with a razor blade prior to soaking the seed for 24 h reached maximal germination (80.95%) at the lowest mean germination time (2.06 days) and fastest mean germination rate (0.51 day−1). Optimal germination was attained when seed was scarified and soaked and then germinated in rockwool substrate maintained at a temperature (T₀) of 21°C. Results provide commercial growers with production technique information helpful to fast and efficient germination of C. ternatea seed.
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INTRODUCTION

Butterfly pea (C. ternatea L.) has long been valued as a forage legume in tropical Asia, China, Sudan, South and Central America and the East and West Indies (Awadalla and Morsy, 2017; Nadzirah et al., 2018). More recently, C. ternatea has been recognized in the United States and Europe for its ornamental value due to its aesthetically appealing deep blue flowers. Driven by increased interest in the utilization of the flower as a food colorant, nutraceutical, cosmetic, and environmentally friendly insecticide, propagation and production of C. ternatea L. in novel growing environments has been more prevalent than ever (Oguis et al., 2019). The herbaceous perennial plant generally produces an abundance of seeds (Figure 1). Morris (2009) observed a range of between 6 and 3400 total seeds per plant in their assay of 19 different accessions. Despite generally producing a large number
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of seeds per plant, *C. ternatea* L. is sometimes regarded for its low seed germination rates.

Seed germination percentage is influenced by a variety of internal and external factors that can have significant implications for commercial production operations. Substrate temperature is an important external factor given its substantial impact on germination. Varying optimal germination temperatures ($T_o$) have been described for *C. ternatea* both as a single temperature of 30°C (Selvamaleeswaran et al., 2011) and as a range of temperatures of 24 to 28°C (McDonald, 2002) and 24 to 32°C (Oguis et al., 2019). Germinability (G), also referred to as germination capacity (Ranal et al., 2009), is characterized as the binary response of whether a fully formed cotyledon is visible above the substrate surface at the end of observations (germinated/non-germinated). Recent research examining the influence of substrate temperatures of 21, 24 and 27°C and G values of 93, 88, and 82% respectively, determined a $T_o$ of 21 to 27°C for *C. ternatea* (Campbell et al., 2020).

Similar to many legumes, *C. ternatea* has a waxy seed coat which is impermeable to water and may result in stunted and inconsistent germination. Freshly harvested *C. ternatea* seeds do not readily absorb water due to their waxy coat (Mullick and Chatterji, 1967). To overcome this, authors stored seed for six months to allow sufficient time for the waxy coat to breakdown naturally, which resulted in an increase by 15 to 20%. Despite this increase, the duration of time necessary for increased germinability may be in conflict with commercial cultivation objectives and resources where storage space and time limit the ability of aging seed. Several methods exist for increasing the permeability of seed coats with most focused on physical (scarification) or chemical treatments. Mechanical scarification of *C. ternatea* seed through removal of the seed tip has been found to result in a 30% increase in germinability (G) over the control (Mishra et al., 2006). While treatment with sulfuric acid has been shown to be effective for *C. ternatea*, it can result in seedling damage and ultimately cause yellowing of cotyledons following germination (Awadalla and Morsy, 2017; Makasana et al., 2016). To address the need for timely and efficient germination, the influence of three substrate temperatures and two seed scarification methods on germination metrics of *C. ternatea* seed were measured and recorded. Results from this investigation will provide plant producers with critical information necessary to successfully germinate *C. ternatea*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Seed scarification**

Two experiments were performed utilizing the same experimental design. The first experiment occurred 14 to 19 March 2019 and the second occurred 17 to 22 April 2019. For each experiment, 252 seeds were harvested and utilized within 48 h of harvest from a single plant grown in a 31 x 15 m gutter-connected greenhouse with 30% light reducing polycarbonate paneling, located in Apopka, FL, United States (lat. 28.64°N, long. 81.55°W). On 14 March and 17 April seeds for each experiment were treated with one of two seed scarification treatments described by (Mackay et al., 2001); either physical scarification with a small nick to the seed coat using a razor blade (Scar.) or physical scarification with the razor blade before a 24 h soak in deionized water (DI) water (S and S). To evaluate the effect of scarification technique on germination, a
control treatment was incorporated where seeds were neither scarified nor soaked.

Substrate

Rockwool (Grodan, Inc., Roermond, Netherlands) was utilized for both experiments given its documented ability to support the successful germination of C. ternatea (Campbell et al., 2020). On 15 March and 18 April, eighteen 14-count rockwool cube sheets were prepared by cutting 98-count cube (25 cm³) sheets into six pieces, discarding the excess. Cubes were soaked for 30 minutes in water adjusted to pH 5.5 using a pH down buffering solution (General Hydroponics Inc., Sebastopol, CA, United States) before a single C. ternatea seed was sown into each plug ~0.6 cm below the substrate surface. The eighteen substrate sheets were then placed into a propagation unit, six per substrate temperature treatment, as described below.

Substrate temperature

The propagation unit utilized in this experiment consists of a multi-tier shelfed structure (Compact SunLite 3-Tier Garden; Gardener's Supply Company, Burlington, VT, United States), with each of the three shelves equipped with a 19 cm vented humidity dome (HydroFarm, Petaluma, CA, United States), 25 x 53 cm heat mat (Vivosun, Shanghai, China), fluorescent lighting (T5; Sunblaster, Langley, BC, Canada), and a mini thermo-hygrometer (Mondi, Vancouver, BC, Canada) to measure environmental conditions within each humidity dome. A digital hygrometer and temperature monitor (AcuRite, Lake Geneva, WI, United States) was placed on the exterior of the propagation unit to record ambient environmental conditions, with mean temperature and humidity of 22.4 °C (53%) and 22.2 °C (50%) for experiments 1 and 2, respectively. Heating mats were programmed to maintain 21, 24, and 27 °C for the three experimental substrate temperature treatments imposed in the study. Top and side vents of the domes were fully closed to maintain consistent humidity. Lights were positioned ~5 cm from the top of the dome and were run continuously throughout the duration of the experiment, providing photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at an intensity of between 55 and 90 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at the outer corners and the center of the substrate sheet, respectively. Each of the substrate sheets had approximately 50 mL of water applied each day utilizing a laboratory wash bottle (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, United States).

Germination parameters

Six germination parameters were recorded and calculated throughout the experiment (Ranal et al., 2009). The qualitative value of germinability (G), as described above, was then converted into a quantitative attribute of germination percentage (%) for statistical analysis and reporting. Mean germination time (MT) is the mean of the individual germination times, weighted by the number of seeds germinated per data measurement time interval (days). Mean germination time provides a quantifiable assessment of the average amount of time necessary for maximum germination of an experimental group. Use of the weighted mean for this measurement accounts for variance in the number of seeds germinated per time interval. The coefficient of variation of germination time (CV) is a measurement of the variability in relation to the MT, allowing for additional comparisons independent of the mean germination time magnitude.

Calculated as the reciprocal of MT, the mean germination rate (MR) quantifies germination rate increases and decreases in relation to 1/MT rather than MT alone. Uncertainty of the germination process (U) is a measurement of the degree of overlap that exists during germination within an experimental group. Since only one seed germinating can change U, this measurement value quantifies the degree of spread of germination as influenced by temporal factors. Conversely, the synchrony of the germination process (Z) represents the degree of overlap that exists during germination and is only produced when two or more seeds finish germination within the same time interval (Ranal et al., 2009).

Experimental design

The experiment was organized as a 2 × 3 factorial in a completely randomized design, with substrate temperature and seed scarification assigned as independent variables. Four replicates were cultivated for each treatment combination, two per experimental trial, for a total of 36 experimental units. Heating mat temperature (°C), vented humidity dome interior temperature (°C) and humidity (%), and ambient laboratory temperature (°C) and humidity (%) were recorded daily for the portions of the trial where active germination was taking place; a total of six measurements each for Trial 1 (14 to 19 March) and Trial 2 (17 to 22 April) (Table 1).

Data analysis

Germination parameters were analyzed based on a collected response then reported as percentages. A restricted maximum likelihood mixed model analysis was performed on the data collected from the 14 germinated seeds per experimental trial using JMP® Pro 14 (SAS; Cary, NC, United States) with post-hoc mean separation tests performed using Tukey’s honest significant differences test (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Differences were also observed for MT and MR among both temperature and scarification treatments (Table 2). MT decreased with increasing substrate temperature for the 21 (2.61 day), 24 (2.41 day), and 27°C (2.04 day)
Table 1. Recorded substrate temperature (°C), vented humidity dome interior temperature (°C) and humidity (%) for experimental trials 1 and 2 of the 21, 24 and 27°C substrate temperature treatments.

| Temperature (°C) | Recorded substrate temperature (°C) | Interior temperature (°C) | Interior humidity (%) |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|                  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |
| 21               | 24.2a   | 24.8    | 23.6   | 25.3    | 62.8    | 54.7 |
| 24               | 24.4    | 25      | 23.6   | 25.3    | 62.2    | 55.3 |
| 27               | 26.8    | 26.2    | 75     | 25.6    | 63.3    | 59.3 |

1Mean of the six environmental parameters collected daily for Trial 1 (14 to 19 March) and Trial 2 (17 to 22 April).

Author's recorded environmental data.

Table 2. Mean germinability (G), mean germination time (MT), coefficient of variation of the germination time (CVt), mean germination rate (MR), uncertainty of the germination process (U), and synchrony of the germination process (Z) for the 21, 24 and 27°C substrate temperature independent variables; the scarified, scarified and soaked, and control seed scarification independent variables; and the substrate temperature (°C) by seed scarification interaction effect for butterfly pea (Clitoria ternatea) seeds.

| Temperature scarification | G (%) | MT (d) | CVt (%) | MR (d⁻¹) | U | Z |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|---|---|
| G (%)                     | P = 0.71 | P = 0.79 | P = 0.12 | P = 0.77 | P = 0.81 | P = 0.75 |
| 21°C Control              | 26.8a  | 2.74a  | 32.2    | 0.37a     | 1.05a  | 0.21a |
| 21°C Scar.                | 55.4b  | 2.81a  | 24.0    | 0.36b     | 1.17b  | 0.41b |
| 21°C S and S              | 82.1b  | 2.29a  | 22.6    | 0.44a     | 0.99a  | 0.55b |
| 24°C Control              | 25.0a  | 2.68a  | 26.3    | 0.39a     | 0.91a  | 0.32a |
| 24°C Scar.                | 42.9a  | 2.34a  | 31.5    | 0.43a     | 1.22a  | 0.36a |
| 24°C S and S              | 82.1b  | 2.20a  | 40.8    | 0.49a     | 1.40a  | 0.35a |
| 27°C Control              | 28.6a  | 2.13a  | 29.4    | 0.50a     | 1.02a  | 0.34a |
| 27°C Scar.                | 39.3a  | 2.29a  | 28.4    | 0.44a     | 1.17a  | 0.44a |
| 27°C S and S              | 78.6a  | 1.71a  | 49.6    | 0.60a     | 1.12a  | 0.50a |

| Temperature | P = 0.53 | P = 0.02* | P = 0.14 | P = 0.01* | P = 0.84 | P = 0.67 |
|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 21°C        | 54.8a     | 2.61b      | 26.3      | 0.39b      | 1.07b     | 0.66b     |
| 24°C        | 50.0a     | 2.41ab     | 32.9      | 0.44b      | 1.18b     | 0.60b     |
| 27°C        | 48.8a     | 2.04       | 35.8      | 0.52a      | 1.10a     | 0.51a     |

| Scarification | P < 0.01* | P = 0.04* | P = 0.12 | P = 0.02* | P = 0.54 | P = 0.18 |
|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Control       | 26.8b     | 2.52b      | 29.3      | 0.42b      | 0.99b     | 0.29b     |
| Scar.         | 45.8b     | 2.48b      | 27.9      | 0.41b      | 1.19b     | 0.40b     |
| S&S           | 80.9a     | 2.06a      | 37.7      | 0.51b      | 1.17a     | 0.47a     |

2Scar. = Scarified; S&S = Scarified and soaked; * = Significance at P ≤ 0.05; means (n = 36) within column with the same letter are not significantly different (P ≤ 0.05; Tukey’s honest significant difference test).

Author’s germination parameter and statistical analysis data.

treatments (P = 0.02). MR values rose with increasing substrate temperatures for the 21 (0.39 day⁻¹), 24 (0.44 day⁻¹), and 27°C (0.52 day⁻¹) treatments (P = 0.01). Among scarification treatments, the scarified and soaked (2.06 day) and scarified (2.48 day) groups had lower MT values than the control (2.52 day) (P = 0.04). Similarly, the scarified and soaked group (0.51 day⁻¹) had a faster germination rate than seed subjected to the scarified (0.41 day⁻¹) or control (0.42 day⁻¹) treatments (P = 0.02). The interaction effects support these results; with the exception of the 27°C control group outlier, the 27°C S&S (1.71 day, 0.60 day⁻¹), 27°C S and S (2.20 day, 0.49 day⁻¹), and 21°C S&S (2.29 day, 0.44 day⁻¹) treatments had the shortest mean germination times and the highest mean germination rates.

Considering depressed MT and elevated MR values represent a briefer and more rapid germination, differences observed in the MT and MR parameters of the substrate temperature variable indicate that the 21 °C treatment group outperformed the 24 or 27°C groups.
Similarly, while substrate temperature lacked significance for G, the 21°C treatment produced the highest percentage of viable seedlings. This $T_o$ is slightly lower than values published in related literature and is likely explained by variations in how temperature was recorded (that is, recording substrate temperature as compared to recording air temperature). While other publications measured local atmospheric temperature and inferred its influence on substrate temperature, this investigation used heating mats with integrated thermostats to accurately measure, record, and maintain substrate temperature (McDonald, 2002; Selvamaleeswaran et al., 2011).

Differences in observed $T_o$, as compared to results reported by McDonald (2002), may also be due to differences in substrate used; McDonald utilized moist seed-test filter paper in petri dishes to achieve germination. Thus, application of reported optimal germination temperature by commercial producers should be carefully implemented based on the media reported in literature.

Differences were observed for G, MT, and MR among seed scarification treatments (Table 2). The scarified and soaked experimental treatment produced a higher percentage of viable, germinated seeds than the scarified or control treatment groups. The scarified and soaked treatment resulted in lower MT and higher MR values than the scarified or control groups, indicating that germination was accomplished in a shorter time and at a faster rate. This conclusion is consistent with published literature where the hard seed coat of $C. ternatea$ required either a dormancy period or mechanical/chemical scarification to promote germination (Oguis et al., 2019) with previous research finding mechanical scarification in $C. ternatea$ resulted in a 30% increase in G values over the control (Mishra et al., 2006).

Considering MT is a quantifiable value relating to the average time for maximum germination, theoretically optimized germination conditions should result in a shorter germination period and minimal MT value. As the reciprocal, optimized germination conditions should therefore also result in faster average germination rate and maximal MR value. But when considered in the context of the germination capacities, a different trend emerges, with a direct relationship between increasing germinability and mean germination time and rate for the substrate temperature variable and the inverse for the seed scarification variable. This is further supported by the substrate temperature and seed scarification interaction effect. With the exception of the 27 °C control...
(28.6%, 2.13 day), the 21°C S and S (82.1%, 2.29 day), 24°C S&S (82.1%, 2.20 day) and 24°C S&S (78.6%, 1.71 day) treatment groups had the highest G and lowest MT values, respectively. Similarly, with the exception of 27°C control (28.6%, 0.50 day⁻¹) group, the 21°C S&S (82.1%, 0.44 days⁻¹), 24°C S&S (82.1%, 0.49 days⁻¹) and 27°C S&S (78.6%, 0.60 days⁻¹) treatment groups had both the highest G and MR.

The final germination parameters CV, U, and Z lacked significance (P = 0.14, 0.84 and 0.67, respectively) (Table 2). With an observed increase in CV, with increased substrate temperature, the 21°C (26.3%) treatment group had less variability in germination than the 24°C (32.9%) or 27°C (35.8%) treatments. Relationships between CV, and seed scarification were less consistent as the scarified (27.9%) treatment group had more variability than the scarified and soaked (37.7%) or control (29.3%) treatments. Less association was observed for the U and Z metrics; the only values consistent with trends observed for the other germination parameters are the Z values of the seed scarification variable, with the scarified and soaked (0.47) group showing higher synchrony than the scarified (0.40) or control (0.29) groups.

Scarifying and soaking C. ternatea seed significantly improved germination success while reducing germination time. Improvements to these cultivation parameters support advances in efficiency for production of C. ternatea from a seed-based source. Although outside the scope of this investigation, an assessment documenting time and labor to perform these additional germination steps would better elucidate potential return on investment for their commercial implementation. Moreover, an investigation focused on the influence of scarifying and soaking of aged C. ternatea seed would provide insight into the importance of this technique for older seed.

Conclusion

With a surge of interest in a wide variety of applications, the need to maximize germination success for C. ternatea seed has become increasingly crucial to commercial producers. Given its advantage of sterility, consistency, and water- and nutrient-holding capacities, rockwool has become a popular substrate choice for large-scale plant producers. Although related literature has documented the influence of temperature on germination success of C. ternatea, they have not utilized rockwool media as a substrate choice. Both variables of substrate temperature and seed scarification had experimental treatments that resulted in maximized germination capacities but with opposite influences on the MT and MR parameters. The 21°C substrate temperature independent variable reached maximal germination (54.8%) at the highest mean germination time (2.61 d day) and slowest mean germination rate (0.39 day⁻¹), while the scarified and soaked seed treatment reached maximal germination (80.9%) at the lowest mean germination time (2.06 days) and fastest mean germination rate (0.51 day⁻¹).

Regardless of these conflicting trends, optimal germination was achieved through mechanical scarification by nicking the seed coat with a razor blade prior to soaking the seed for 24 h, followed by germination of seed in rockwool at a substrate temperature (Tₛ) of 21°C. Research efforts that examine additional environmental factors responsible for germination success, such as those that occur naturally outdoors, is warranted and likely helpful to support commercial cultivation of C. ternatea.
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