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Abstract  Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) is providing new methodologies to improve and complement traditional genetic approaches. These strategies, collectively termed NGS-enabled genetics, consist of identifying variation in bulks of plants that have been assembled based on a specific phenotype of interest. We examined NGS-enabled genetics in hexaploid wheat by using near isogenic lines (NIL) differing across a specific disease resistance locus. RNA-Seq of NILs allowed the identification of SNPs across this locus and helped distinguish allelic SNPs from homoeologous variants. F2 bulks were assembled based on opposing disease resistance phenotypes and the frequency of the informative allelic SNPs was examined across bulks using RNA-Seq. Variants enriched in the corresponding bulks are expected to be most closely linked to the phenotype of interest and were prioritized for validation. Recent advances in cereal genomics in the form of wheat gene models, sequenced diploid progenitors, and the advances in the Chromosome-based Survey Sequencing Project enabled us to develop a pipeline to automatically design SNP-based markers. These high-throughput assays were used to genotype the original individuals used to assemble the bulks and to generate a genetic map across the target locus. Linked markers are now being incorporated into marker assisted selection programs by breeders.
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Introduction

Wheat is among the most important crops in the world providing over 20% of the world’s calorie and protein intake (FAO 2012). Genetic improvement and breeding, however, are hampered by the large proportion of repetitive elements (>80%, Flavell et al. 1974) across its large genomes and the polyploid nature of hexaploid wheat which often leads to functional redundancy among homoeologous genes (Avni et al. 2014). The advances in next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies are creating new opportunities to exploit the variation in the wheat genome for crop improvement, both in repetitive regions (insertion site-based polymorphism (ISBP) markers, Paux et al. 2010) and in low copy regions (gene based single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), Allen et al. 2013).

The challenges that are thus emerging are how to prioritize the large number of SNPs available both in public databases, for example Cerealsdb.uk.net (Wilkinson et al. 2012), and those obtained with Next Generation Sequencing (NGS), as well as how to convert these into high-throughput (HTP) markers for breeding. One option is to exploit synteny between grass species (Moore et al. 1995), although this approach is limited to gene-based SNPs. The recent release of the Wheat Chromosome-based Survey Sequencing Project coordinated by the International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC) allows broad scale localisation to a particular homoeologous chromosome arm, although resolution is limited.

Wheat Genetics

A complementary approach is to exploit genetics to decrease the background complexity of the wheat genome. Near isogenic lines (NILs) that only differ across a specific target region can be a powerful resource for this purpose. A great example is the set of Avocet-S NILs for major disease resistance (R) genes against yellow rust (Yr) developed by Colin Wellings and colleagues at the University of Sydney. We have used the Avocet S-Yr15 NILs (Fig. 22.1a) to develop a segregating BC\textsubscript{1}F\textsubscript{2} population that was phenotyped through virulence assays to the wheat yellow rust pathogen (Puccinia striiformis). Resistant plants, composed of both homozygous and heterozygous individuals across the Yr15 locus, were pooled into a resistant bulk. In an analogous manner, susceptible plants were grouped into a susceptible bulk (Fig. 22.1b). This strategy, first published as bulked segregant analysis (BSA) in 1991 (Michelmore et al. 1991), can now be further exploited with NGS approaches. An initial complexity reduction is achieved with the Avocet NILs whose main difference is across the R gene locus. This list of SNPs can then be further refined by the BSA approach. In this study we highlight how the combination of genetic and genomic resources, along with methods such as BSA, can be used to leverage new biological research and develop HTP markers for breeding.
A high quality reference genome of bread wheat hasn’t yet been completed. In the past few years, however, the wheat community has produced valuable genomic resources that can be used as a proxy reference: a whole genome shotgun (WGS) assembly of Chinese Spring based on 454 technology (Brenchley et al. 2012); the reference genomes for the diploid progenitor species *Triticum uratu* (2n=14;AA) (Ling et al. 2013) and *Aegilops tauschii* (2n=14;DD) (Jia et al. 2013); an assembly of the close Triticeae relative *Hordeum vulgare* L. (2n=14;HH) (International Barley Genome Sequencing et al. 2012); and the genomic contigs from the Chromosome-based Survey Sequencing (CSS; International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium 2014). We can use the listed collections as supporting information, bearing in mind that discrepancies are expected for the related organisms and that specific genes being studied may not be present in Chinese Spring. Nevertheless, using the available genomic sequences facilitates NGS analyses that depend on a reliable genome reference.
SNPs that can be used to map genes of interest are becoming increasingly available. The CerealsDB website holds ~100,000 SNPs from British varieties (Allen et al. 2013) obtained by transcriptome and exome sequencing, although only a fraction (<8 %) have been converted into functional HTP assays. Recently a subset of these have been incorporated into the ~82,000 iSelect array coordinated by Eduard Akhunov at Kansas State University (Wang et al. 2014) which follows the 9,000 SNP array (Cavanagh et al. 2013). These SNP arrays are providing an extremely valuable resource for the community in diversity and association studies. Their use however is limited for large mapping populations because of their cost, the difficulty in interpreting data when using diploid or alien introgressions, and the difficulty to reliably call heterozygous individuals. Because of these reasons, alternative approaches are sometimes required to identify polymorphisms across target regions.

NGS is providing different methodologies to identify putative variations. WGS sequencing consists on sequencing random fragments of genomic DNA without any selection except for the fragment size. The reads are then aligned to a reference and SNPs can be called from the alignments. In several model organisms, these re-sequencing NGS approaches have been combined with bulks/pools of phenotypically distinct individuals to identify SNPs that are closely linked with the gene of interest within a single experiment.

These approaches, collectively termed as NGS-enabled genetics (Schneeberger and Weigel 2011), are rapidly evolving and have used different strategies. MutMap produces segregant populations from seeds with induced mutations and then bulks are sequenced using WGS (Abe et al. 2012; Takagi et al. 2013b). QTL-seq takes two plants with opposite phenotypes and the progeny with extreme phenotypes are bulked and their DNA is extracted for sequencing (Takagi et al. 2013a). Renseq, focuses on R-genes by designing baits from known resistance genes and performing targeted resequencing (Jupe et al. 2013). The mentioned techniques do not scale well in wheat because they rely on having a reliable reference sequence and relatively small genome size. A full Illumina HiSeq 2500 can produce 600-Gbp in a single run, providing 35-fold coverage of the complete wheat genome. However, this translates into less than two reads for each position per individual for a bulk of 20 plants.

To reduce the complexity of the data, we propose to focus on sequencing the wheat transcriptome using RNA-Seq (Westermann et al. 2012) instead of genomic DNA. By sequencing the transcribed RNA we can use short read aligners and use transcript assemblies as reference (Fig. 22.2a). At first we used the wheat UniGenes set available NCBI, which consist of collapsed homoeologous transcripts from a

Fig. 22.2 (continued) reference sequence, with matches indicated by dots, and polymorphisms at positions 181 and 184 indicated by the corresponding nucleotide variants at those positions. The SNP index is calculated as the frequency of the informative allelic SNP in each bulk. The Bulk Frequency Ratio is the quotient of the resistant and susceptible bulk SNP Indexes. (c) Primer design: The allelic SNP (G184A) is used at the 3′ end of the differentiating primers. For the common primer, a homoeologous SNP is selected for the 3′ end to make the marker genome-specific. (d) The KASP assay output shows the intensities of the HEX and FAM fluorescence of individual plants as a single dot. The clusters near the X and Y-axis are composed of homozygous individuals, while the central cluster contains heterozygous plants
**Fig. 22.2** RNA-Seq NGS-enabled genetics. (a) Representation of a typical RNA-Seq output; the method excludes non-coding regions from the genome and the coverage is correlated to the expression of the gene. (b) Illustration of a non-informative homoeologous SNP (G181T) present in both parental lines, and an informative allelic SNP (G184A), only present in the resistant progenitor Avocet S + Yr15. The consensus sequences from the parental genotypes include this information in the form of ambiguity codes (K and R, respectively). In the bulks, the individual reads align across the
myriad of sources (Pontius et al. 2002). More recently, we have shifted to a phased transcriptome which has gene models separated by the corresponding genome (Krasileva et al. 2013).

RNA-Seq captures the full range of the dynamic spectrum of the transcriptome, an advantage when compared to array platforms that are restricted to the pre-defined set of variants incorporated into the array design. SNPs can be identified either by aligning to a known transcriptome or by de novo assembly over the transcriptome (Grabherr et al. 2011). With the use of F2 populations it is possible to create a panel of putative SNPs that enables haplotype analysis without a priori knowledge of the positions of the loci (Trick et al. 2012). RNA-Seq allows rapid access to SNPs in wheat and it scales well as the transcriptome is several orders of magnitude smaller than the genome, ~80 Mb compared to 17 Gb, respectively. In principle, it is possible to sequence the transcriptome with an average coverage over 900x (keeping in mind variations of coverage due to expression) in a single HiSeq 2,500 lane, as opposed to just over 1.5x of genomic sequence.

The original purpose of RNA-Seq is to characterize expression levels of genes, which can bias the SNP calling, as the assumption of uniform coverage is not valid. To overcome these biases and analyse the volume of data produced by NGS, bioinformatics pipelines and access to high performance computing are required. Although this is a potential barrier for adoption, new web-based user-friendly graphical interfaces, such as Galaxy (Goecks et al. 2010), are empowering new users to access high-performance computing facilities. For NGS-enabled genetics in wheat, we propose a pipeline that integrates BSA (Michelmore et al. 1991; Trick et al. 2012), syntenic information from related grasses, and the use of the CSS to aid in the design of genome specific primers from putative SNPs.

SNP Selection and Marker Design

To identify loci linked to a trait we use BSA and extend it by using RNA-Seq instead of targeting known markers. We sequence the parental genotypes (Avocet S and Avocet S + Yr15, Fig. 22.1a, b) and generate a consensus reference by aligning the reads to the UniGenes and gene models described above (Krasileva et al. 2013). Although genome-specific references are used when possible, there are still cases where multiple homoeologues will align to a common reference (as illustrated in Fig. 22.2b). These homoeologous variants (exemplified by the G>T variant at position 181; K in consensus) will generate ambiguity codes within both parental consensus sequences and can therefore be excluded. Real allelic SNPs between the parental genotypes (exemplified by the G>A variant at position 184; R in consensus) are distinguished by the presence in one, but not the other parental consensus sequence (Fig. 22.2b).

These allelic SNPs are then examined further in the alignments of the RNA-Seq reads from the susceptible and resistant bulks. To identify enriched and depleted SNPs we first calculate the SNP Index, which is the proportion of the non-consensus
base at the positions previously identified (A at position 184 according to the example in Fig. 22.2b; Takagi et al. 2013a). Then, we calculate the bulk frequency ratios (BFR), which is the ratio between the SNP Indexes of the resistant and susceptible bulks (Trick et al. 2012). The BFR helps reduce the noise generated by differential expression of homoeologous genes and accounts for the presence of alternative bases at any given position. A closely linked SNP to the \( R \)-gene should generate a very high BFR since the resistant bulk will carry exclusively plants with the resistant allele, whereas the susceptible bulk should be devoid of any plants carrying the resistant allele. As one moves further away from the \( R \)-gene, recombination events occur between the gene and the candidate SNPs decreasing the BFR.

Once a list of SNPs with the corresponding BFRs is obtained, these can be prioritized in several ways before independent validation as genetic markers. First and foremost is the BFR value itself; the higher the BFR the most likely the SNP is genetically linked to the gene. Second, we can align the candidate genes to the genome of syntenic species such as *Hordeum vulgare*, *Brachypodium distachyon* and *Oryza sativa* to identify the orthologous genes and start to identify syntenic regions with high BFRs. More recently, we have started to align the genes to the CSS assemblies to locate the chromosome arms with highest BFR. The putative SNPs with enriched BFR can then be converted into HTP SNP assays (see next section) and genotyped across the individuals that were used to assemble the bulks. This generates a genetic map with markers across the \( R \)-gene locus. If the interval is syntenic to one of the sequenced grass genomes, an additional round of SNP selection can be performed based on synteny and using slightly more relaxed parameters to establish the BFR cut-off.

**High-Throughput Genotyping**

A key issue is to move from *in silico* SNPs into a HTP SNP assay that can be amenable to both researchers and wider applications such as marker assisted selection (MAS) in breeding programs. In this regard, it is particularly important to have genome specific SNP assays that allow the screening of germplasm where heterozygotes need to be easily identified. The IWGSC CSS assemblies facilitate this objective by allowing us to generate a multiple alignment of the reference sequence containing the SNP of interest with the assemblies from the three homoeologous genomes (Fig. 22.2c). In this manner, homoeologous SNPs can be readily identified and incorporated into the primer design to assay the SNP only in the genome of interest. This is particularly applicable to end point fluorometric assays, such as KASP (Allen et al. 2013), that identify SNPs using two differentially labeled primers with the SNP at the 3′ end of the primers (boxed sequences with SNP in bold; Fig. 22.2c). A common reverse primer can be designed across a homoeologous SNP to generate the genome specific amplification. This results in a HTP assay that can be readily used to genotype heterozygous individuals (Fig. 22.2d). In the past, the generation of genome-specific assays has been a time consuming task (Chao et al.
2008) but with the use of this pipeline the creation of allele specific SNPs has been simplified. We developed a web-based user-friendly interface (PolyMarker, Ramirez-Gonzalez et al. 2015a) to make this pipeline readily available to the community (http://polymarker.tgac.ac.uk).

Final Remarks

Despite the complexity of the wheat genome and the draft status of the genomic reference, it is possible to advance in the development of NGS-enabled genetic approaches in hexaploid wheat. RNA-Seq of NILs facilitates the identification of SNPs and helps distinguish these as informative allelic SNPs or non-informative homoeologous variants. RNA-Seq of NIL-derived $F_2$ bulks helps identify those SNPs that are most closely linked to the phenotype of interest. With the aid of the recently released CSS, putative SNPs can be rapidly converted into HTP assays that can be incorporated into MAS improvement programs by breeders (Ramirez-Gonzalez et al. 2015b). These approaches will continue to improve in resolution as physical maps improve in wheat and homoeologous relationships between transcripts are more precisely defined.
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