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ABSTRACT

Aims: The study was aimed at; profile the socio economic characteristics of the farmers in the study area, ascertaining types of cocoa rehabilitation techniques prevalent in the study area and ascertaining farmers’ knowledge of cocoa rehabilitation.

Place and Duration of the Study: Boki local government area of cross river state, Nigeria.

Methodology: Data obtained was analyzed using simple descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviation. The study employed a multistage random sampling technique to select cocoa farmers. The first stage was a purposive selection of the local government area. A total of eighty seven (87) cocoa farmers were randomly selected in the local government area. Well-structured questionnaire was used to elicit information from the farmers.

Results: About 77.0% and 96.6% of the farmers were male and married. Mean age, household size, farming experience, age of cocoa farms were 46 years ± 10, 12 ± 7, 24 years ± 9 and 18 years ± 10.
1. INTRODUCTION

Nigeria is the World’s fourth largest cocoa producer after Ivory Coast, Ghana and Indonesia, producing about 12 percent of the total world production and Nigeria is the third producer in Africa [1] Cocoa is the most prominent export crop in Nigeria in terms of production and export capacities [2]. According to Adelbele and Amusan [3] cocoa contributes about 15 percent to the total Nigerian export in 1970 and also contributes $900 million to Nigeria’s economy in 2012 [4]. Nigeria’s cocoa production in 2011/12 was put at 300,000 MT, up from 280,000 MT in 2011. The projected increase is based on a favourable weather outlook and significantly higher grower prices, which encouraged farmers to increase the cultivated area [5]. Nigeria’s cocoa production continued to increase both in absolute quantity and as a proportion of total world production. Export of cocoa products from Nigeria was $822.8 million in 2010. This represents about 35 percent of the $2.32 billion earnings from non-oil exports in 2010 for Nigeria [6]. However, the fortune of cocoa turned upside down with the discovery of oil in large quantity in the 70’s and this brought a downward trend in Nigerian cocoa production and position in the world market [7]. The effect of this is still being felt till date as the country has been struggling to reach the levels of cocoa production it once attained in the 60’s and 70’s when it was the second largest producer in the world [2]. It was reported that Nigerian cocoa output declined from 399, 200 tonnes in 2010 to about 298, 029 tonnes in 2016 with a growth rate declining from 16.2% to about 12.2% during the period [8]. Rehabilitation as a word has been used in many different ways and contexts. It has long not had a merging conceptual framework. Traditionally, the word has been used to describe a range of responses to incapability. Rehabilitation in this context is to enable cocoa farms with weaknesses to attain and maintain their maximum potentials during their life cycle.

Over the years, some of these cocoa trees are seen to be diminishing in productivity due to old age and lack of adequate knowledge of rehabilitation of the old farms among the farmers. Hence, to address these issues, Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria (CRIN) developed various Cocoa Rehabilitation Techniques (CRTs). This was done to regenerate old cocoa trees on cocoa farms and improve the income and livelihood of the farmers. In addition, it was observed that farmers are usually unwilling to destroy an old farm.

According to Opeke [9], rehabilitation is in two ways, the first is putting a cocoa field back into good condition, while the second way is clearing the old cocoa trees and replanting with young seedlings. It should be noted that the former way is seen to be more preferable to the farmers. This is because, it is cost effective, it enhances early maturity of cocoa trees and it generates quick returns to the farmers than the later. Rehabilitation techniques developed by CRIN include coppicing, complete replanting, side grafting, top grafting, phased farm replanting, fertilizer application and planting under cocoa trees. Empirical studies have been carried out by some authors on the number of years the productivity of cocoa tree is observed to be diminishing. The highest cocoa yield is achieved between 15 and 25 years and a profitable life span may be 50 years. In addition, yield declines gradually and production cost rises steadily from the 26th year of planting [10]. In a bid to sustain and perhaps improve Nigeria’s position on global scale in cocoa production, CRIN has developed and disseminated different improved production packages on cocoa to farmers in Nigeria. These efforts have achieved some of its objectives. Productivity among farmers has been greatly enhanced and income increased. The study addressed the question of socio economic characteristics of farmers, type of techniques, and farmers’ knowledge of cocoa rehabilitation techniques.

1.1 Objectives

The specific objectives of the study were to:
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a. Profile the socio economic characteristics of farmers in the study area
b. As certain types of cocoa rehabilitation techniques prevalent in the study area
c. Ascertain farmers’ knowledge of cocoa rehabilitation

2. METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Boki Local Government Area (LGA) of Cross River State, Nigeria in 2016. It is located at longitude 6°16'12.61 N and latitude 9°00'36.11 of the equator. Boki LGA has a population of about one hundred and eighty six thousand six hundred and eleven people (186,611). It has a density of about 67.3 persons per square kilometer [11]. It is bounded in the West by Ikom and Ogoja, North by Obudu and Obanliku, South by Etung LGAs and to the East by the Republic of Cameroon, respectively. The study area is an agrarian and landlocked LGA (http://crossriverwatch.com/2013/11, Accessed on 12.03.2021). Major crops grown include cocoa, coffee, timber and palm products. There are cocoa estates in the LGA. These include Integrated cocoa estates, Iso-Bendeghe cocoa estate, Boje cocoa estate, Integrated cocoa estate, Wula, Integrated cocoa estate, Banba and Integrated cocoa estate, Benyia Uman (Kekerete.tripod.com).

The study employed a multistage sampling technique to select cocoa farmers. The first stage was a purposive selection of the LGA. This is because of the volume of cocoa production in the LGA. The second stage was a random selection of two villages (Ochor and Orimekpa) from the eleven (11) wards in the LGA. The third stage was a random selection of eighty seven (87) cocoa farmers in the LGA. Primary and secondary data were used for the study. Well structured questionnaire was used for the primary data. Secondary data was by the use of literatures. Data were collected on age of the farm, age of the farmers, marital status, household size, farming experience, educational level, membership of farmers’ association, farm distance, tenure type, knowledge on cocoa rehabilitation, and type of cocoa rehabilitation techniques (CRTs). CRTs considered in the study were coppicing, complete replanting, side grafting, top grafting, phased farm replanting, fertilizer application and planting under cocoa trees. Data was analyzed using simple descriptive statistics means, frequencies, percentages and standard deviation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Socioeconomic Characteristics of Cocoa Farmers in Boki Local Government Area (LGA) of Cross River State

Table 1 shows the socio-economic characteristics of cocoa farmers in Boki Local Government Area (LGA) of Cross River State. The table reveals that majority (77.01%) of the farmers were male. The implication of this is that cocoa farming in the study area is largely dominated by male gender and thus may be able to withstand the tasks of CRTs. Similarly, Girei et al [12] reported that in Africa, men are more in a crop that is perceived to have commercial value. In addition, the result conforms to the findings by Taiwo et al [13] who reported that about 68.70% of farmers that practiced CRTs in Southwest and South-South agro-ecological zones of Nigeria are male. In addition, the table reveals that majority (96.60%) of the farmers were married. Moreover, the mean age of the farmers is 46 years. The implication of this is that cocoa farmers in the LGA are still in their productive years and thus cocoa production in the study area is expected to be on the increase. However, this is not in consonance with the findings by Adeogun et al [14] and Adebiyi and Okunlola [15] who reported that cocoa farmers in selected states of Nigeria were old and that most of the cocoa farmers in Oyo State have passed there productive age. Similarly, the table reveals that highest proportion (56.30%) of the farmers had access to secondary education. The implication of this is that the farmers may perhaps have access to information on good agricultural practices (GAP) with respect to cocoa production and farm rehabilitation. Furthermore, the table reveals an average household size of 12 persons with a Standard Deviation (SD) of ± 7. This implies that the farmers may perhaps utilize members of the household as labour for some operations relating to cocoa production and farm rehabilitation. This may reduce some production costs that may be incurred on the crop. Mean age of cocoa farms in the study area was about 18 years. This means that the cocoa farms are in their productive years. This is contrary to findings by Adeogun et al [14] that cocoa farmers in selected states of Nigeria had aged trees that are older than thirty (30) years and it is expected that diminishing return on production will set in. Furthermore, the table shows that about 55.20% of the cocoa farmers had above 20 years farming experience.
Table 1. Socio economic characteristics of cocoa farmers in boki local government area, cross river state

| Variables               | Frequency | Percentage (%) | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|------|----------------|
| Sex                     |           |                |      |                |
| Male                    | 67        | 77.01          |      |                |
| Female                  | 20        | 22.99          |      |                |
| Age (Years)             |           |                |      |                |
| 29-38                   | 28        | 32.18          |      |                |
| 39-48                   | 19        | 21.84          |      |                |
| 49-58                   | 34        | 39.08          |      |                |
| Above 58                | 6         | 6.90           | 46   | 10             |
| Marital Status          |           |                |      |                |
| Married                 | 84        | 96.55          |      |                |
| Separated               | 1         | 1.15           |      |                |
| Widowed                 | 2         | 2.30           |      |                |
| Educational Level       |           |                |      |                |
| Primary                 | 27        | 31.04          |      |                |
| Secondary               | 49        | 56.32          |      |                |
| Tertiary                | 11        | 12.64          |      |                |
| Membership of Farmers’ Group |       |                |      |                |
| Yes                     | 85        | 97.70          |      |                |
| No                      | 2         | 2.30           |      |                |
| Household Size          |           |                |      |                |
| 1-10                    | 41        | 47.13          |      |                |
| 11-20                   | 35        | 40.23          |      |                |
| Above 20                | 11        | 12.64          | 12   | 7              |
| Age of cocoa farm (Years) |        |                |      |                |
| Below 11                | 12        | 13.79          |      |                |
| 11-30                   | 67        | 77.01          |      |                |
| Above 30                | 8         | 9.20           | 18   | 8              |
| Farming Experience (Years) |        |                |      |                |
| 1-10                    | 7         | 8.00           |      |                |
| 11-20                   | 32        | 36.80          |      |                |
| Above 20                | 48        | 55.20          | 24   | 9              |
| Farm Distant           |           |                |      |                |
| Homestead Farm          | 15        | 17.24          |      |                |
| Distant Farm            | 72        | 82.76          |      |                |
| Knowledge of cocoa Rehabilitation |       |                |      |                |
| Yes                     | 83        | 95.40          |      |                |
| No                      | 4         | 4.60           |      |                |
| Side Grafting           |           |                |      |                |
| Yes                     | 61        | 70.12          |      |                |
| No                      | 27        | 31.03          |      |                |

Source: Field Survey, 2016  Std. Dev: Standard Deviation

Table 2. Types and knowledge of cocoa rehabilitation techniques (CRTs) among farmers in boki local government area, cross river state

| Types of CRTs | Knowledge of CRTs (%) |
|---------------|-----------------------|
|               | Yes | No    |
| Coppicing     | 41.4 | 58.6 |
| Complete replanting | 41.4 | 58.6 |
| Side grafting | 70.1 | 29.9 |
| Top grafting  | 63.2 | 36.8 |
| Phased farm replanting | 59.8 | 40.2 |
| Fertilizer application | 39.1 | 60.9 |

Source: Field Survey, 2016

In addition, majority (95.40%) of the farmers reported that they have knowledge of cocoa rehabilitation and 70.1 percent of the respondents practiced side grafting as the most
prevailing CRTs. This perhaps may be due to the awareness and trainings conducted by CRIN and other stakeholders in cocoa production. This is in conformity with the findings by Taiwo et al [13].

3.2 Types and Knowledge of Cocoa Rehabilitation Techniques (CRTs) among Farmers in Boki Local Government Area, Cross River State

Table 2 below revealed that all the farmers have knowledge of CRTs. This is expected based on the awareness and series of trainings on these techniques carried out by CRIN. In addition, the results are confirmation of the positive impacts the Ajassor sub-station of the Institute is making on cocoa farmers. The sub-station is located in the State. However, largest proportion (70.10%) of the farmers has knowledge of coppicing while 39.10% has knowledge of fertilizer application. The implication of this is that the farmers may perhaps develop more knowledge of coppicing because it is easily done when a cocoa tree is diseased and old. Hence, farmers see this as a technique that may result into little or no loss to them as the new shoots will derive nutrients from the old and mother tree. Moreover, the result on fertilizer application is expected because fertilizer is not always available and affordable. Hence, cocoa farmers may not be willing to practice this technique.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It was revealed that age of farmers, gender, marital status, household size, age of the farms, farming experience and educational level of farmers were identified socio-economic factors among cocoa farmers in the study area. It was also observed that side grafting was the most available type of CRTs while majority of the farmers have knowledge of coppicing in the study area. Therefore, it is thus recommended that cocoa farmers in the study area should be encouraged to stay on the farms through provision of infrastructure since majority of the farmers are in their productive years. This will reduce rural-urban migration. Moreover, they should be encouraged to take active roles in the association by participating in all activities directed to CRTs in order to get up to date information on the advantages inherent in cocoa farms rejuvenation. Furthermore, CRIN and other stakeholders in cocoa production should endeavour to develop new CRTs that are gender friendly. This will ensure that cocoa farmers are encouraged to adopt and practice other CRTs irrespective of gender. Moreover, fertilizer should be made available and affordable to cocoa farmers to encourage the practice of this technique. Further studies should be carried out to ascertain the adoption of these techniques and the most preferable CRTs among cocoa farmers in the study area based on the results of high knowledge level.
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