Improved protein production and codon optimization analyses in *Escherichia coli* by bicistronic design
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Summary

Different codon optimization algorithms are available that aim at improving protein production by optimizing translation elongation. In these algorithms, it is generally not considered how the altered protein coding sequence will affect the secondary structure of the corresponding RNA transcript, particularly not the effect on the 5′-UTR structure and related ribosome binding site availability. This is a serious drawback, because the influence of codon usage on mRNA secondary structures, especially near the start of a gene, may strongly influence translation initiation. In this study, we aim to reduce the effect of codon usage on translation initiation by applying a bicistronic design (BCD) element. Protein production of several codon-optimized gene variants is tested in parallel for a BCD and a standard monocistronic design (MCD). We demonstrate that these distinct architectures can drastically change the relative performance of different codon optimization algorithms. We conclude that a BCD is indispensable in future studies that aim to reveal the impact of codon optimization and codon usage correlations. Furthermore, irrespective of the algorithm used, using a BCD does improve protein production compared with an MCD. The overall highest expression from BCDs for both GFP and RFP is at least twofold higher than the highest levels found for the MCDs, while for codon variants having very low expression from the MCD, even 10-fold to 100-fold increases in expression were achieved by the BCD. This shows the great potential of the BCD element for recombinant protein production.

Introduction

Heterologous protein production in prokaryotes is one of the major hallmarks of biotechnology and synthetic biology, and it forms the foundation of a wide range of medical and industrial innovations (Elena et al., 2014). However, optimization of protein production mostly relies on a trial-and-error approach. The poor predictability of high-level protein production is due to the complexity and interconnection of several determining factors. Key factors at the transcriptional level are the gene’s copy number and promoter strength. At the translational level, the ribosome binding site (RBS) strength, mRNA secondary structure and codon usage are key factors that together play a major role in efficient protein production (Kudla et al., 2009; Mutalik et al., 2013b; Rosano and Ceccarelli, 2014; Quax et al., 2015). Especially, factors at the translational level are highly complex, and our limited understanding of these interconnected factors often hampers high protein production (Mutalik et al., 2013b; Quax et al., 2015).

Translation initiation in prokaryotes occurs when the 16S rRNA of the small ribosomal subunit binds the RBS in the 5′-UTR of a gene. After this, the large ribosomal subunit is recruited and translation elongation can start. The RBS must be freely accessible to allow recruitment of the ribosomal subunits. Hence, strong secondary structures in the mRNA involving the RBS result in poor ribosome binding kinetics (Studer and Joseph, 2006), which can lead to reduced protein production (de Smit and van Duin, 1990; Kudla et al., 2009; Salis et al., 2009; Goodman et al., 2013). Secondary structures that include the RBS motif have been reported to form either
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via local contacts between the 5′-UTR and the adjacent start of the coding domain sequence (CDS), or via long-range interactions through base pairing of the 5′-UTR with more distal regions in the CDS (Mustoe et al., 2018). A constant 5′-UTR region can, therefore, perform differently regarding translation efficiency in case of different CDS and 3′-UTR sequences (Griswold et al., 2003). In extreme cases, secondary structures between the RBS and CDS have been reported to block translation completely (Mutalik et al., 2013a; Mirzadeh et al., 2015).

Given the degeneracy of the genetic code, 61 codons for only 20 amino acids, many different codon sequence variants can encode a certain protein. During translation elongation, codon usage is a crucial factor that can influence the efficiency of protein production in multiple ways. The elongation rate can be limited by several factors such as the availability of cognate aminoacyl-tRNA’s (Hanson and Coller, 2018) and the presence of potential hurdles in the CDS, such as RBS-like sequences (Li et al., 2012; Vasquez et al., 2016) and secondary structures (Takyar et al., 2005; Buchan and Stansfeld, 2007; Chen et al., 2013). Coding sequences that are efficiently translated were also reported to be linked to longer mRNA lifetimes, further enhancing production (Boël et al., 2016). Whereas in native situations, codon usage has been extensively tuned in the course of evolution, attempts to express such genes at very high-levels in heterologous production hosts are often hampered. This can potentially be solved by substituting the codons with synonymous counterparts. However, transcript secondary structure and codon sequence are intrinsically correlated. Therefore, the effect of single or multiple synonymous codon substitutions cannot be clearly attributed to changes in translation elongation or in translation initiation (Gustafsson et al., 2012; Gorochowski et al., 2015).

Many codon optimization algorithms have been developed aiming to improve heterologous protein production (Gould et al., 2014), although with varying success rates in terms of increased functional protein production (Maertens et al., 2010; Gustafsson et al., 2012; Claassens et al., 2017; Mignon et al., 2018). This variety can be partially explained by the introduction of new secondary structures within the transcript due to synonymous codon changes (Nerholm et al., 2013; Mirzadeh et al., 2015). Particularly, secondary structures at the 5′-UTR are overlooked as most optimization algorithms only consider optimization of the CDS and do not take the 5′-UTR into account. Still, when the 5′-UTR sequence would be included in the design, currently available tools for RNA secondary structure prediction are not accurate enough to robustly design well-accessible 5′-UTRs.

To properly study the effects of codon usage and codon optimization approaches on translation elongation, effects of codons on translation initiation need to be decoupled. To some degree, secondary structures at the 5′-UTR can be predicted in silico, and synonymous codons can be introduced to remove these limitations. However, this requires custom design for each construct and limits codon studies as it dictates codons at the start of the gene. Alternatively, the undesired 5′-UTR structure may be solved, either on purpose or accidentally, by including well-expressed N-terminal protein fusions in the expression vector. These fusions are mostly included to facilitate affinity purification or folding for specific proteins (e.g. His-tag or MPB-tag; Griswold et al., 2003; Vazquez-Albacete et al., 2017). However, the addition of an N-terminal peptide to the protein may affect protein functionality and may require additional cleavage and hence is not always a desirable solution.

Therefore, we decided to use a bicistronic design (BCD) element controlling expression of heterologous genes (Makoff and Smallwood, 1990). These elements were previously developed by Mutalik et al. (2013a) for reliable generic control of different genes. The BCD contains a well-accessible RBS1 motif that drives the translation of a short peptide (Fig. 1A). Within the short peptide’s CDS, RBS2 is present that allows for translation initiation of the protein of interest, and the stop codon of the peptide sequence overlaps with the start codon of the target CDS. This genetic architecture leads to the translational coupling of the short peptide to the protein of interest (Mutalik et al., 2013a). After transcription of the bicistronic mRNA, the ribosome readily binds to the well-accessible RBS1 site and translates the first cistron; then, the RBS2 site probably becomes available due to the intrinsic helicase activity of the ribosome, irrespective of adverse mRNA secondary structures (Takyar et al., 2005).

We here describe the effects of a BCD element on the expression of various codon-optimized variants of the green fluorescent protein from Aequorea victoria jellyfish, optimized for excitation by UV light (GFPuv; Cramer et al., 1996), and a monomeric version of the red fluorescent protein from Discosoma coral (mRFP; Campbell et al., 2002). Both proteins are from eukaryotic origin, which makes them good models for studying codon optimization in a distant bacterial expression host, while their functional expression levels can be easily estimated by measuring fluorescence.

Production from BCDs is compared with production as a single gene (monocistronic design, MCD), the architecture that is generally used for heterologous protein production. We demonstrate that these BCD elements can positively influence the performance of different codon optimization algorithms. Hence, we propose that these BCD elements should be an essential part of future
codon usage studies to eliminate the potentially overlapping influence of RNA secondary structure.

**Results and discussion**

Various optimized coding sequences for mRFP and GFPuv were expressed using the relatively weak, constitutive beta-lactamase promoter ($P_{bla}$). The low transcription rate prevents possible oversaturated gene expression and as such generates a dynamic range that allows for accurately comparing the effects of the used codon optimization strategies and of the BCD and MCD elements. The regularly used (RU) mRFP (Campbell *et al.*, 2002) and GFPuv (Crameri *et al.*, 1996) sequences, both containing several distinctive mutations compared with the wild type for better stability of fluorescence properties, were compared with several other codon variants, all having identical amino acid sequences to the regularly used protein. These variants include a codon-harmonized (H) variant (Angov *et al.*, 2011), a multiparameter codon-optimized variant generated using GeneArt's GeneOptimizer software (Opt; Raab *et al.*, 2010) and a tRNA codon-optimized (tRNA) variant (Table S1). Codon harmonization copies the codon usage landscape from the original host to the new host (Angov *et al.*, 2011; Claassens *et al.*, 2017). GeneArt's GeneOptimizer algorithm performs multi-parametric optimization with an apparent preference for common codons as it generated a sequence with the
The tRNA codon optimization replaces codons for codons that have the highest number of complimentary tRNA genes. Additionally, a transcript was designed with minimal overall mRNA secondary structure including the fixed 5'UTR and 3'UTR regions (codon usage variants based on this will hereafter be referred to as dG), which allows all possible codons. Lastly, a minimal overall free folding energy transcript was included, which is restricted to codons with well-represented tRNA's (tRNA-dG). The harmonized sequence for mRFP is not included, as it could not be designed because the genome of its original host, Discosoma sp., is not available.

Protein production overall increases when using a BCD compared with MCD for all GFPuv variants (Fig. 1B). The harmonized and optimized GFPuv sequences resulted in increased protein production compared with the RU sequence in combination with the MCD 5’UTR. The tRNA, tRNA-dG and dG variants with an MCD 5’UTR led to lower protein production versus the RU gene variant. However, when comparing protein production of variants expressed with a BCD, completely different relative expression ratios are observed. The harmonized variant performed worse than the RU sequence, and expression of the tRNA-optimized sequence was similar to that of the RU sequence. The two transcript variants designed to have a low overall free energy (tRNA-dG and dG) had reduced expression compared with the RU sequence; however, the addition of the BCD improved expression for both variants versus the MCD.

For the mRFP expression similar effects of the BCD were observed. The overall mRFP production improved by the BCD, and relative differences among codon variants are very different compared with the MCD (Fig. 1C). As an exception, the expression of the E. coli optimized mRFP did not benefit from the BCD but stayed equal, suggesting that translation initiation is not the limiting factor in this case.

Although the specific codon optimization methods applied in this study were not the main focus, some conclusions can be drawn regarding these methods. First,
There is no algorithm that consistently stands out for optimal production of both GFPuv and mRFP. Secondly, a decrease in transcript free energy, especially for the dG variants, seems to lead to reduced expression, probably due to the incorporation of rare codons in favour of low secondary structures (CAI score < 0.55, Table S1).

In case of the mRFP-tRNA variant, we further investigated the surprisingly large increase in production from the BCD relative to the MCD (over 100-fold). The extremely low production from the MCD might be explained by a seriously hampered RBS accessibility. In this specific case, in silico secondary structure analysis of the MCD mRFP-tRNA transcript indeed revealed that the RBS site was involved in a strong loop (Fig. 2A), which could prevent the ribosome from binding. This structure is also predicted in the BCD construct (Fig. 2B); however, the BCD expression appeared not to be affected, as was expected based on the functionality of the BCD architecture that generally prevents issues with RBS2 inaccessibility, probably through the aforementioned ribosome helicase activity (Takyar et al., 2005; Mutalik et al., 2013a). With an in silico prediction; we attempted a design to weaken the RBS-containing secondary structure by introduction of a silent point mutation in the CDS (Fig. 2A and C). Experimentally, it could indeed be demonstrated that this mutation indeed recovered expression of mRFP-tRNA with the MCD, at levels similar to those of the BCD (Fig. 2D).

While the translation initiation limitation for mRFP-tRNA could be obviously predicted using in silico mRNA structure analysis, this was not that obvious for the other expressed GFPuv (Fig. S1) and mRFP (Fig. S2) constructs. Likewise, expression levels for MCD constructs did not correlate with predictions by the RBS Calculator algorithm (Salis et al., 2009; Espah Borujeni et al., 2014; Fig. S3). This again shows the general limitation of biophysical models and in silico tools to design reliable UTR’s, whereas the BCD system does not depend on such tools.

Our results show the importance of an accessible RBS region for overall translation efficiency. Due to the intrinsic correlation between the coding sequence and secondary structures of the corresponding mRNA, it will be hard to disentangle these factors in correlation studies. Further, we note that the overall increased expression may also be partly caused by a higher number of ribosomes sequestered to translate the ORF due to the presence of two RBSs. Generally, using a BCD may eliminate the translation initiation as the rate-limiting step of the translation process. Hence, the BCD approach seems the way to go to study the effect of synonymous codon substitutions on protein production in E. coli, and likely also in other prokaryotes. For potential issues related to translation initiation in eukaryotes, different tools will be required, as they rely on fundamentally different translation initiation mechanisms. However, previously developed tools based on upstream open reading frames (uORF) may be a useful eukaryotic tool (Morris and Geballe, 2000; Ferreira et al., 2013), somewhat analogous to BCDs in prokaryotes. Finally, it is concluded that the outcome of the here used codon optimization methods is still rather unpredictable, and better, consistently performing codon optimization algorithms need to be explored, such as by Design of Experiment approaches (Gustafsson et al., 2012). An interesting outcome of this study is that the experimental data do confirm the promise of using BCD elements as a generic approach to increase yields in heterologous protein production (Roy et al., 2017).
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