CtpB Assembles a Gated Protease Tunnel Regulating Cell-Cell Signaling during Spore Formation in \textit{Bacillus subtilis}
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SUMMARY

Spore formation in \textit{Bacillus subtilis} relies on a regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) pathway that synchronizes mother-cell and forespore development. To address the molecular basis of this SpoIV transmembrane signaling, we carried out a structure-function analysis of the activating protease CtpB. Crystal structures reflecting distinct functional states show that CtpB constitutes a ring-like protein scaffold penetrated by two narrow tunnels. Access to the proteolytic sites sequestered within these tunnels is controlled by PDZ domains that rearrange upon substrate binding. Accordingly, CtpB resembles a minimal version of a self-compartmentalizing protease regulated by a unique allosteric mechanism. Moreover, biochemical analysis of the PDZ-gated channel combined with sporulation assays reveal that activation of the SpoIV RIP pathway is induced by the concerted activity of CtpB and a second signaling protease, SpoIVB. This proteolytic mechanism is of broad relevance for cell-cell communication, illustrating how distinct signaling pathways can be integrated into a single RIP module.

INTRODUCTION

Cellular differentiation is a process commonly associated with multicellular organisms and their development. However, differentiation into specialized cell types is also employed by a range of bacteria. One classic example is the endospore forming bacterium \textit{Bacillus subtilis} that can differentiate into dormant and stress-resistant spores to survive harsh environmental conditions (Setlow, 1995). Spore formation proceeds via an asymmetric cell division resulting in a small cell, the forespore, and a large mother cell (Errington, 2003; Stragier and Losick, 1996). The two cells follow distinct developmental programs that are kept in register with each other by a tightly coordinated intercellular signaling cascade. The corresponding “criss-cross” communication is mediated by the sequential activation of cell-type-specific sigma factors termed $\sigma^E$, $\sigma^F$, $\sigma^G$, and $\sigma^K$ (Kroos et al., 1989; Losick and Stragier, 1992) (Figure 1A). The final steps of spore formation are under control of the sigma factor $\sigma^K$, which is synthesized in the mother cell as an inactive, membrane-associated precursor protein (pro-$\sigma^K$) that is activated by regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) (Wolfe and Kopan, 2004). In the specialized SpoIV RIP pathway, the I-CLiP intramembrane cleaving protease SpoIVFB (4FB) is embedded in the mother-cell membrane and is held inactive by two membrane proteins SpoIVFA (4FA) and BofA (Cutting et al., 1990; Resnekov and Losick, 1998; Rudner et al., 1999; Rudner and Losick, 2002). Inhibition of 4FB is relieved by the signaling proteases SpoIVB (4B) and CtpB that are secreted into the intramembrane space and cleave the extracellular domain of the negative regulator 4FA at multiple sites (Campo and Rudner, 2006; Zhou and Kroos, 2005). The 4FB membrane protease then processes pro-$\sigma^K$ into the mature $\sigma^K$ transcription factor that, in turn, activates many of the genes required to complete the sporulation program (Campo and Rudner, 2006, 2007; Pan et al., 2003). Though the members of this specialized RIP pathway are known, it is still unclear how the distinct proteases cooperate with each other to ensure the precisely timed activation of $\sigma^K$.

The signaling proteases 4B and CtpB belong to the widespread family of PDZ-proteases that combine a catalytic serine protease with a regulatory PDZ domain that is capable of sensing distinct molecular cues, as exemplified by the HtrA proteases (reviewed in Clausen et al., 2011). In contrast to HtrA proteases, the mechanistic features of 4B and CtpB have not been examined, and thus it remains unknown how these signaling proteases are regulated. Whereas 4B belongs to a small clan of bacterial serine proteases of unknown structure, CtpB is a member of the large family of C-terminal processing proteases...
(Rawlings et al., 2002) that either serve a regulatory role when cutting the C-termini of specific precursor proteins (Hara et al., 1991; Takahashi et al., 1988) or a housekeeping function when degrading incompletely synthesized proteins tagged by the SsrA system (Keiler et al., 1996). Structural analysis of the chloroplast D1 degrading protease (D1P) (Liao et al., 2000), a member of this protease family involved in the biogenesis of photosystem II, revealed a Ser-Lys dyad as the catalytic motif but did not elucidate further regulatory properties.

Given the remarkable versatility of PDZ-proteases acting as sensor proteins in diverse biological processes and the broad impact of RIP cascades for cell-cell communication, it is striking how little is known about the molecular mechanism of C-terminal processing proteases implicated in cellular signaling. To delineate this mechanism, we undertook a comprehensive structural, biochemical, and in vivo analysis of CtpB from B. subtilis. Here, we present high-resolution crystal structures of CtpB in a resting and multiple active conformations. A prominent feature of the CtpB fold is the sequestration of the catalytic pocket in a narrow tunnel providing a mechanistic explanation for the observed dependency of CtpB protease activity on prior substrate processing. In sum, our data define the molecular underpinnings of how CtpB is regulated and explains how a specialized RIP system ensures the precise temporal control of transcription factor activation that is required for efficient spore formation.

RESULTS

The 4B Protease Prepares the 4FA Substrate for CtpB Cleavage

Previous studies revealed that σK maturation is under control of the signaling proteases 4B and CtpB that introduce several cuts into the extracellular domain of the 4FA inhibitor. The cleavage sites targeted by 4B (residues 104, 116, 146, and 154) and CtpB (residue 131) (Campo and Rudner, 2006) are located in the linker region connecting the compact LytM domain with the...
transmembrane region of 4FA (Figure 1A). To test whether the two PDZ-proteases cleave the 4FA substrate independently or in concert, we incubated various 4FA constructs with recombinant 4B and CtpB. Owing to autoproteolysis of wild-type CtpB at positions 36 and 42, we performed the biochemical analysis using a truncated protease, which had an activity indistinguishable from the full-length protein (Figures S1A and S1B available online). Consistent with previous work (Campos and Rudner, 2006), the model substrate 4FAEC, that represents the complete extracellular portion of 4FA was efficiently cleaved by both 4B and CtpB (Figure 1B). Because the N terminus of the extracellular 4FA domain is normally anchored in the mother-cell membrane and thus shielded from degradation, we generated a 4FA variant carrying an N-terminal SUMO fusion protein as a steric block. To simplify our analysis, we further mutated all 4B cleavage sites except 154, the primary target site of 4B in vivo (Campos and Rudner, 2006). Strikingly, CtpB was unable to process the “SUMO-sealed” substrate, whereas 4B efficiently cut the construct into two halves. However, when SUMO-4FAEC was incubated with both signaling proteases, the N-terminal cleavage product (4FAEC) generated by 4B was rapidly cleaved by CtpB (Figure 1C). This CtpB-dependent cleavage of the preprocessed substrate was >10-fold faster than of the uncut, N-terminally accessible 4FAEC substrate.

To monitor the activities of the proteases with a substrate that more closely mimics the in vivo situation, we prepared membranes from early sporulating B. subtilis cultures. The strain used (BNC694) carries a deletion of the 4B protease and expresses a functional 4FA transmembrane variant with an N-terminal GFP fusion. The detergent-solubilized B. subtilis membrane proteases were incubated with CtpB and 4B alone, and the cleavage of 4FA was followed by immunoblot analysis using anti-GFP antibodies. Consistent with our previous results, solubilized GFP-4FA was cleaved by 4B, but not by CtpB (Figure 1D). Moreover, treatment with 4B yielded a single cleavage product, resulting from a cut at position 154, even though all 4B cleavage sites were present. This suggests that the 4B protease cleaves the full-length, membrane-embedded substrate differently from the isolated 4FA extracellular domain. Most importantly, the corresponding cleavage product was promptly degraded by CtpB, which was added at a later time point to the reaction (Figure 1D). Taken together, the in vitro reconstituted cleavage reactions demonstrate that 4B-dependent cleavage of 4FA generates a substrate that can be further digested by CtpB.

The CtpB Protease Is Organized as a Dimeric Ring

To further investigate the molecular mechanism underlying the interplay between the two signaling proteases, we performed a detailed structural analysis of B. subtilis CtpB. The crystal structure of the wild-type protease was determined at 1.9 Å resolution using anomalous diffraction data (Table S1). Overall, CtpB is composed of a PDZ domain, two protease subdomains, and two dimerization motifs, which are used to assemble a ring-like dimer (Figures 2 and S2A). The N-terminal dimerization motif comprises two alpha helices (a1, a2) that form an intermolecular 4-helix bundle upon dimerization. On the opposite side of the CtpB dimer, the C-terminal dimerization motifs folds into two juxtaposed 4-helix domains (a8, a10, a11, a12) that resemble, despite distinct function, the pro-MMP-2 propeptide (Morgunova et al., 1999) and the peptidoglycan-binding domain of the lytic transglycosylase gp144 (Fokine et al., 2008). Consistent with the large size of the composite dimer interface (2550 Å²), its hydrophobic character, and the participation of highly conserved residues (Figure S2B), the CtpB dimer observed in the crystal is stably formed in solution and is essential for protease function (Figures S1C and S1D).

The PDZ Domain That Protrudes away from the Center of the Dimer Is the Most Flexible Entity of the Protein as Judged by Its Thermal Motion Factors (46.3 Å² compared to the average B-factor of 41.5 Å²). It adopts a similar fold as other PDZ domains present in a protease context having a highly twisted, five-stranded β sheet (β1c, β1d, β1e, β1f, and β1g) as the central element. The edges of the sheet are shielded by two helices, a4 and a5, with the longer helix a5 contributing to the peptide-binding cleft next to strand β1c. The functional body of CtpB is completed by a bipartite protease scaffold that is composed of a cap and a core subdomain (Figure 2C). The protease cap forms a roof-like structure on top of the protease core, with one side of the roof being formed by helix a3 and the second side by the 3-stranded sheet βa/βj/βo. The protease core comprises a 4-stranded, largely parallel β sheet (βh, βi, βj, and βm) that winds around helix α13 and is covered on its opposite side by helices α6, α7, and α8. Compared to other C-terminal processing proteases (peptidase family S41) (Rawlings et al., 2002), CtpB features a 27-residue long insertion after helix α7 that folds into a β-hairpin structure (βk and βl). This insertion protrudes away from the protease core and continues along the cap domain toward the N-terminal dimerization domain of the adjacent protomer, where Arg280 located at the tip of the βk/βl-hairpin closely interacts with helix α2 thus contributing to the CtpB dimer interface (Figure S2A).

The Proteolytic Site of CtpB Is Buried within a Tunnel

The most remarkable feature of the CtpB structure is a narrow tunnel that is formed between the protease core and the protease cap (Figure 3A). This tunnel is about 7 Å wide and should exclusively allow the passage of an unfolded protein segment present in extended conformation. The tunnel opens toward the peptide-binding cleft of the PDZ domain and sequesters the active site nucleophile (Ser309) required for peptide cleavage (Campos and Rudner, 2006). The side chain of Ser309 is in hydrogen bonding distance to Lys334, which could function as an acid-base catalyst during peptide hydrolysis. Lys334, in turn, is oriented by Gln338, a strictly conserved residue in the CtpB family (Figure 3B and Figure S2B). Owing to the observed interaction network, we presume that Ser309, Lys334, and Gln338 function as catalytic triad mediating cleavage of the 4FA substrate. Notably, a peptide ligand, which copurified and cocrystallized with CtpB, was observed at the entrance of the protease tunnel near the catalytic triad (Figure 3B). The peptide closely interacts with strand βn revealing how the P2, P3, and P4 residues of the substrate are accommodated in specificity pockets formed at the interface of the two protease subdomains.

The PDZ Domain Controls Access to the Protease Tunnel

As will be described below, we determined crystal structures of various functional forms of CtpB that recapitulate the enzymatic
cycle of the protease. Based on the proper arrangement of the catalytic triad, the structure described above likely reflects the active state of CtpB, which we refer to as CtpBa1. To refine the molecular mechanism of CtpB regulation, we carried out additional crystallization experiments and obtained a crystal form in which CtpB was present in two further active conformations, CtpBa2 and CtpBa3 (Table S1). In contrast to CtpBa1, the peptide bound in the proteolytic site of CtpBa2/3 was covalently attached to Ser309 representing a trapped reaction intermediate that depicts the mechanistic properties of CtpB in great detail (Figure S3 A). The organization of the active site suggests that binding specificity primarily depends on the P1 and P2 residues preceding the scissile bond. The P1 side chain of the substrate is accommodated in a small, hydrophobic pocket lined with residues Leu257, Ile313, and Val337, whereas the P2 residue protrudes into a slightly larger hydrophobic pocket that is composed of residues from both protease core (Tyr256) and cap (Phe103 and Leu107). Consistent with the structural data, the biochemically identified CtpB cleavage sites have specific residues in P1 (Ala and Val) and P2 (Thr, Leu, and Ile) position but are otherwise diverse (Figure S3B). In addition, structural comparison of CtpBa1/2/3 reveals that protease and dimerization domains are similarly arranged (rms deviation between 0.50–0.59 Å for 300 Cα atoms), whereas the PDZ domains are differently positioned. In CtpBa2 and CtpBa3, the PDZ domains are located further from the proteolytic tunnel compared to CtpBa1, highlighting the pronounced en-bloc mobility of the PDZ domain (Figure 3C). Despite this structural flexibility, a common feature of the active protease is the formation of the two-stranded β-sheet βc/βg that limits the rotational freedom of the PDZ domain to orient its peptide-binding site toward the opening of the protease tunnel.

To fully delineate how CtpB is regulated, we determined the high-resolution crystal structure of the resting protease by crystallizing the catalytically inactive S309A mutant. Compared to CtpBa1/2/3, the resting CtpB (CtpBi) assembles a more compact dimer with a remodeled functional core. Interestingly, the PDZ domain was repositioned such that it is closely incorporated into the CtpB scaffold blocking the protease tunnel (Figure 3C). As a further consequence, the protease cap is shifted away from the protease core leading to the remodeling of the active site. This rearrangement is best seen for Gln338 that pulls the active site Lys334 away from Ser309, thereby disrupting the catalytic triad (Figure S3C). Accordingly, the resting CtpBi is characterized by a disrupted active site and a blocked enzymatic tunnel. Taken together, the four crystal structures of CtpB represent distinct snapshots of the working CtpB emphasizing the importance of the PDZ domain in

Figure 2. Structural Architecture of the CtpB Dimer
(A) Domain organization of CtpB indicating the domain borders, autocleavage sites and catalytic residues.
(B) The ribbon model of the CtpB dimer shows one CtpB protomer in white, while the second protomer is colored according to its domains with the secondary structural elements being labeled. The schematic drawing illustrates the overall organization of the CtpB dimer.
(C) Orthogonal view of the CtpB dimer highlighting the tunnel formed between protease cap and protease core. Residues constituting the proteolytic site (Ser309, Lys334, Gln338) and the carboxylate-binding loop of the PDZ domain (Gly113–Ala116) are shown as stick models. See also Figures S1 and S2 and Table S1.
gatekeeping the protease tunnel and defining resting and active conformations.

Peptide Binding to the PDZ Domain Stimulates Protease Activity

Having observed different functional conformations of CtpB, we next explored the molecular mechanism underlying the regulatory switch in protease activity. Strikingly, inspection of the ligand-binding sites of the four CtpB structures revealed that the active structures CtpBa1/2/3 had a peptide bound in the PDZ domain (Figure 4 A), whereas the corresponding interaction site of CtpBi was empty. The peptide-binding pocket of the PDZ domain is located in the cleft between strand $b_c$ and helix $a_5$. One edge of this pocket is composed of the carboxylate-binding loop, which is formed by residues Gly113-Ile114-Gly115-Ala116 (Figure 4 B) and anchors the C terminus of the captured substrate. Although we could not determine the identity of the copurified and cocrystallized peptide by mass spectrometry, the high quality of the CtpBa1 electron density map revealed a proline as the penultimate residue, which is bound together with its adjacent residues as an additional $\beta$ strand to $\beta_c$ of the PDZ domain (Figure 4A). Since proline residues are typically not found in PDZ-binding motifs, we explored the substrate preference of the PDZ domain of CtpB by biochemical means. To this end, we carried out a peptide spot analysis using a polypeptide library presenting 1,849 distinct C termini prepared by an inverted peptide array (Boisguerin et al., 2007) (Figure S4A). Peptides recognized by the isolated PDZ domain of CtpB were systematically permuted and tested in secondary screens. The results of these assays indicate that the PDZ domain of CtpB preferentially binds peptides carrying Tyr/Phe/Trp-Ala/Val combinations at their C terminus (Y/F/W-V/A, Figures 4C and S4B). To test whether the identified ligands also interact with full-length CtpB, we carried out isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) measurements with the proteolytically inactive S309A mutant. The derived dissociation constants ($K_D$) for the full-length protein were about 3-fold higher than for the isolated PDZ domain, but still in the range of 2–10 $\mu$M highlighting the potential of the Y/F/W-V/A motif to target substrates to CtpB (Figure S4C). However, when we analyzed the sequences of the 1,864 proteins predicted to reside

---

**Figure 3. The Proteolytic Site of CtpB Is Sequestered in a Narrow Tunnel**

(A) Surface presentation of the CtpB dimer with mapped electrostatic potential. The molecule is shown in a half-cut view displaying the construction of one of the two protease tunnels penetrating the dimer. The zoomed-in window illustrates the position of the catalytic serine buried within the tunnel, as well as the dimensions of the molecular passage.

(B) The catalytic residues Ser309, Lys334, and Gln338 are shown in stick representation and their respective distances are indicated. The omit electron density of a cocrystallized ligand (1.9 Å resolution, contoured at 1.2 $\sigma$) is shown together with a modeled poly-Ala peptide.

(C) Ribbon plots of CtpBa1, CtpBa2, CtpBa3, and CtpBi. To illustrate the pronounced rearrangements of the protease cap, helix $a_3$ is labeled. Moreover, the variable positions of the PDZ domain are indicated by an arrow, the opening of the proteolytic tunnel is marked with an asterisk and the $\beta$ sheet $b-g$, which was only observed in the active conformations, is labeled. In CtpBa3, one PDZ domain was too flexible to be modeled into electron density and is indicated by a gray sphere. See also Figure S3.
in the intermembrane space of *B. subtilis*, none of the 13 proteins carrying the preferred C-terminal consensus motif appears to be a potential substrate. In all cases, the Y/F/W-V/A C terminus is close to a folded protein segment and thus presumably inaccessible to CtpB (Table S2). Given the high affinity of the Y/F/W-V/A motif, it is striking that a peptide with a proline in penultimate position, which was a minor hit in the peptide spot analysis, copurifies with CtpB and stabilizes its active fold. As this peptide was only observed upon overexpressing proteolytically active CtpB, we presume that the copurified ligand was directly generated by CtpB, most likely at rather high concentrations favoring its binding to the PDZ domain. Unfortunately, we could not determine the *K*<sub>D</sub> of a corresponding peptide due to its weak binding properties. To investigate the interaction with a proline-containing peptide, we thus applied a structural approach and cocryrstallized the S309A mutant with an oligopeptide having a Val-Pro-Ala C terminus. The crystal structure of the resultant S309A/VPA complex, which was determined at 1.9 Å resolution, demonstrated unequivocally that the Val-Pro-Ala motif can specifically bind to the PDZ domain (Figure S4D). In addition, the S309A/VPA structure revealed that peptide binding itself is sufficient to transform the resting protease into the active conformation, even in the absence of the functional OH group of Ser309 (Figure 4D).

To test the respective allosteric mechanism biochemically, we carried out degradation assays monitoring how CtpB digests model substrates carrying distinct C termini. Based on the 4FA<sub>proc</sub> substrate resulting from 4B cleavage, we synthesized various model proteins containing the native CtpB cleavage...
Arg168 of the PDZ Domain Couples Substrate Binding with Protease Activation

Owing to its residual protease activity against substrates having a noncognate C terminus (Figures 1B and 4E), CtpB appears to exist in equilibrium between resting and active conformations. Of note, the PDZ domain of CtpBi is fixed in its inhibitory position by a multitude of specific interactions with residues of the protease cap, the protease core, and the tail domain (Figure S5A). The size of this interface (1300 Å²) corresponds to interface areas observed for transient and stable protein-protein interactions (i.e., 500–1500 Å²), and, importantly, is larger than that observed in the active conformations (e.g., 550 Å² in CtpBa1). Therefore, the resting state of CtpB with its “locked” PDZ domain should represent the prevailing conformation in solution. Given this favored domain arrangement, how can the catalytically active conformation be stabilized? Our structural data suggest that Arg168 could function as a key residue controlling the conformational switch (Figure S5A). In the resting state, the side chain of Arg168 points away from the PDZ domain forming hydrogen bonds with Ser106 that is located on helix α3 of the protease cap. However, in the activated state, Arg168 is reoriented such that its side chain can directly participate in anchoring the main-chain of the incoming ligand (Figure 5B). Importantly, Arg168 maintains the contact with helix α3 during the conformational transition, as seen by its interactions with Ser106 or Asp105 in CtpBa1 and CtpBa2/3, respectively. Thus, Arg168 can switch between peptide bound and unbound orientations but maintains the interdomain contact in both states. We therefore propose that Arg168 functions as a substrate sensor stabilizing the active conformation once it is engaged in peptide binding to the PDZ domain (Figure S5B).

To test this model, we monitored the effects of various CtpB mutations on degrading the 4FApro substrate (Figure 5C). Since Arg168 closely interacts with the protease cap orienting the flexible domain, we first explored to what extent the precise positioning of the protease cap is required for full protease function. For this purpose, we distorted the catalytic triad Ser309-Lys334-Gln338, which contain residues from both protease subdomains. Introducing the Q338E mutation abolished protease function confirming the identity of the composite catalytic triad. Moreover, this result argues that the cap needs to be precisely oriented to establish the fully functional protease. Next, we exchanged Arg168 by Ala and Phe thereby distorting the interface between PDZ domain and protease cap to different degrees. Consistent with the proposed model, the introduced R168A and R168F mutations had two effects. On one side, the mutations led to a 3- to 5-fold weaker affinity for PDZ ligands confirming the involvement of Arg168 in binding the C terminus of the incoming substrate (Figure S5B). In parallel, the mutations reduced the proteolytic activity of CtpB against 4FAproc with the R168A mutation having a milder effect than the R168F exchange (Figure 5C). To specifically monitor the catalytic function of the Arg168 mutants, we generated double mutants coupling the Arg168 variants with a V118Y mutation that completely blocks peptide binding to the PDZ domain (Figure S5) but still exhibits residual protease activity (Figure 5C). Importantly, the combined R168A/V118Y and R168F/V118Y mutations reduced the protease activity of CtpB to levels lower than the V118Y mutation alone. These results highlight the additional catalytic function of Arg168. To better understand this catalytic role, we crystallized the R168A mutant in the active conformation. As illustrated in Figure S5D, the functional cap and PDZ domains are arranged similarly as in wild-type CtpB; however, both domains displayed elevated thermal motion factors. In particular, helices α3 and α5, where the interacting Ser106 and Arg168 residues protrude, became more flexible and were slightly relocated. These data indicate that the physical link between PDZ and protease domain, which is established by Arg168, is critical to assemble the fully functional CtpB protease. At last, to directly test the filtering function of the PDZ domain, we monitored protease activity and substrate selectivity of a PDZ deletion mutant (ΔPDZ). Strikingly, the ΔPDZ mutant cleaved the 4FAproc substrate more efficiently than wild-type CtpB (Figure 5C). Moreover, the ΔPDZ mutant did not distinguish between substrates having a CtpB-targeting or nontargeting C terminus, as it degraded all substrates with similar efficiency (Figure 4E). Thus, the PDZ deletion yields a constitutively active, “open gate” protease. Taken together, these data demonstrate that the repositioning of the PDZ domain upon substrate binding is critical for protease regulation and is communicated via Arg168 to the proteolytic site.

4B/CtpB-Mediated Removal of 4FA Residues 131–154 Induces σK Maturation

To explore the cooperation of 4B and CtpB in degrading the RIP inhibitor 4FA in vivo, we followed the processing of σK in different B. subtilis strains. For this purpose, bacterial lysates prepared in 30 min intervals after inducing sporulation were subjected to immunoblot analysis. Consistent with previous reports (Campo and Rudner, 2006; Cutting et al., 1990; Pan et al., 2003), the 4FB-mediated processing of pro-σK into σK started in the wild-type strain about 3.5 hr after inducing sporulation, whereas mutating all 4B cleavage sites delayed pro-σK processing and consequently reduced spore formation (Figure 6). Moreover, a CtpB deletion strain showed a delay of 30-60 min in the onset of σK processing. A similar “delay” phenotype was observed in the strain expressing the 4FA154 variant carrying only the most C-terminal 4B cleavage site (Ala154), the primary target site of 4B in vivo (Campo and Rudner, 2006). To test our model that 4B and CtpB cooperatively degrade 4FA, we generated a combined “delay” strain expressing 4FA154 and lacking the CtpB
protease. In agreement with our model, this strain exhibited a synthetic phenotype, as pro-$\alpha^K$ processing was severely impaired. Moreover, spore formation of the $\Delta$CtpB/4FA154 double mutant was reduced to the same level as for the 4FA variant missing all 4B cleavage sites, whereas strains either lacking CtpB or expressing 4FA$^{164}$ were almost indistinguishable from wild-type (Figure 6, Table S3). These findings provide evidence for the close cooperation between 4B and CtpB in vivo and, in
addition, indicate that the removal of the 4FA segment 131-154, either by repeated 4B cleavage or by the concerted 4B-CtpB activities, relieves inhibition of the 4FB RIP protease triggering proc-σK processing and consequently spore formation.

**DISCUSSION**

**CtpB Reveals the Mechanistic Versatility of PDZ-Proteases in Signaling Pathways**

In this work we determined the crystal structures of CtpB in different functional states, including one resting and three active conformations. A structure-guided mutational analysis led us to propose a model for how the CtpB protease is activated. The two most striking features of this mechanism comprise a PDZ-gated protease tunnel and the reversible switch between resting and activated protease states in response to peptide binding to the PDZ domain. Phenotypically, this allosteric mechanism is similar to the activation of HtrA proteases (Clausen et al., 2011). Though structurally unrelated, both CtpB and HtrA evolved a functional motif (CtpB: protease cap; HtrA: activation domain) that reorients and completes the active site in response to peptide binding to the PDZ domain (Figure S6A). In the case of HtrA proteins, a particular protease loop (L3) functions as a critical switch element that interacts with the PDZ domain and stabilizes the active protease conformation in the presence of substrate (DegP; Kim et al., 2011; Krojer et al., 2008a; Krojer et al., 2008b) or allosteric activator (DegS; Hasselblatt et al., 2007; Sohn and Sauer, 2009; Wilken et al., 2004). In CtpB, the activation signal is communicated to the active site by assigning a dual function to the PDZ residue Arg168, which is critical for binding the C terminus of substrate as well as for stabilizing the active protease. Owing to the close collaboration of protease and PDZ domains in setting up the composite CtpB degradation system, the predigested 4FA<sub>proc</sub> can function as substrate as well as allosteric activator. By binding to the PDZ domain of CtpB, 4FA<sub>proc</sub> opens the protease tunnel thus inducing its own degradation. This cis-activation mechanism is different from the regulation of other PDZ-proteases that are typically activated in trans, as for example stress-signaling peptides activate DegS to cleave the RseA substrate (Walsh et al., 2003). Moreover, the PDZ domain of CtpB controls protease function in a unique way. Whereas activation of HtrA proteases is under control of a disorder-to-order transition, the PDZ domain of the resting CtpB disrupts the proteolytic site in a structurally defined manner blocking the protease tunnel and separating the catalytic residues. Accordingly, the PDZ domain of CtpB appears to function as an enzymatic prodomain that needs to be reoriented before substrates can be cleaved. Coupling the reorientation of the PDZ prodomain with substrate binding ensures that the CtpB signaling protease can be switched on and off depending on the availability of substrate. Moreover, the PDZ-gated protease tunnel enables CtpB to trim the 4FA substrate in a highly specific manner despite the fact that the targeted protein is largely unstructured. Upon recognizing the C terminus of the preprocessed 4FA, the PDZ domain reorients and pulls the downstream cleavage site, which is located 23 residues away, into the protease tunnel where it gets cleaved. Such specific trimming of an unfolded protein is a unique property of the C-terminal processing proteases demanding for sophisticated regulatory measures as seen for CtpB. Importantly, structural comparison with the related D1P protease suggests that the CtpB mechanism may be generally relevant to regulate tail-specific proteases and respective signaling pathways (Figure S6C). Taken together, the present work highlights the convergent

**Figure 6. Removal of the 4FA Segment 131-154 by 4B and CtpB Is Critical for Relieving Inhibition of 4FB**

After inducing sporulation, *B. subtilis* cell extracts (genotypes indicated) were prepared at defined time points and analyzed by immunoblots using anti-σK antibodies. The respective bands for pro-σK and σK are labeled and sporulation efficiencies are indicated together with the standard errors of the mean (CFU, colony forming unit). The cartoon below illustrates possible cleavage events. According to the in vivo data, the 4FA region 131-154 (highlighted in red), which is removed by the concerted activity of the 4B and CtpB proteases, is the primary inhibitor motif of the I-CLiP 4FB. See also Table S3.
evolution of PDZ-proteases to become activated in a reversible but tightly controlled manner by employing PDZ domains as regulatory modules. In this context, the versatile, and strikingly unpredictable, mechanistic role of a PDZ domain when linked to a “simple” protease is remarkable. As seen in great detail for CtpB, this multifaceted function includes substrate sensing, active site gatekeeping and conformational switching. Presumably, it is this functional versatility that underlies the prominent role of PDZ-proteases in controlling diverse cell signaling pathways.

**CtpB Assembles the Minimal Version of a Self-Compartmentalizing Protease**

The protease fold of CtpB is directly related to the cage-forming ClpP and tricorn proteases, which function as molecular shredders in bacterial protein quality control. For both cage-forming proteins, the proteolytic sites are buried within a self-compartmentalizing particle, in which substrate filtering is achieved by sophisticated gating mechanisms, i.e., a regulated entrance pore in case of ClpP (Gottesman et al., 1997; Lee et al., 2010) and a substrate-guiding ß-propeller in the tricorn protease (Brandstetter et al., 2001; Goettig et al., 2005). Our data indicate that the three participating proteases CtpB and 4B in the SpoIV sporulation pathway release 4FA and activate pro-ßK. The truncated 4FA C terminus binds and activates CtpB, which in turn removes the inhibitory 4FA segment indicated in red. The unreleased I-CLiP membrane protease 4FB can now cleave the membrane-associated pro-ßK, releasing ßK into the cytosol and allowing sporulation to proceed. See also Figure S6B.

**Implications for SpoIV RIP Signaling and Cell-Cell Communication Pathways**

The present work clarifies the collaboration of the two signaling proteases CtpB and 4B in the SpoIV sporulation pathway revealing a novel design principle of RIP cascades. Previous studies showed that 4B-deficient strains are incapable of relieving inhibition of the I-CLiP protease 4FB and are blocked in the production of mature ßK required to complete spore formation (Hoa et al., 2002). As these strains express normal levels of the second signaling protease CtpB, it was unclear why CtpB was unable to cleave 4FA and activate pro-ßK processing. Our data demonstrate that 4B and CtpB closely collaborate in degrading the 4FA inhibitor (Figure 7). The electron density of the copurified ligand bound to the PDZ domain of CtpB is compatible with a Pro-Ala C-terminal motif. Intriguingly, a corresponding C terminus is generated by the 4B protease when cleaving 4FA at its primary cleavage site. Though the 4FA proc C terminus is not the preferred ligand of CtpB in vitro, protease and...
cocrystallization assays indicate that the weak affinity of the degradation tag is sufficient to activate CtpB. According to these data, the predigested 4FA<sub>proc</sub> substrate may need to reach a certain level to turn on CtpB activity. Such a mechanism would prevent the premature induction of the sporulation pathway and in parallel preclude the unspecific cleavage of nonnative substrates carrying a similar degradation tag. In conclusion, we propose that 4B and CtpB act sequentially on 4FA with the 4B protease first removing the compact LytM domain and then, and only then, CtpB further cleaves 4FA removing the remaining linker region (residues 131-154). According to our in vivo data, this is a linker segment that primarily inhibits the I-CLiP protease 4FB and must be removed to continue the SpoIV RIP pathway.

Why are two signaling proteases involved in degrading the SpoIV regulator protein 4FA? Typically, RIP pathways are linear cascades, in which a site-1 cleavage event sheds an inhibitory ectodomain from the signaling protein and enables the subsequent site-2 cleavage of the very same protein, producing one signaling molecule per cycle (Brown et al., 2000; Wolfe and Kopan, 2004). In sharp contrast, the RIP cascade initiated by 4B and CtpB leads to a constitutively active membrane protease 4FB, which can target several pro-<i>c</i>-<i>K</i> molecules and thus functions as a signal amplifier in the SpoIV pathway. The pronounced signaling capacity of the 4FB I-CLiP may thus necessitate a more elaborate control in its activation mechanism. As revealed in this work, this mechanism relies on the concerted activity of two intermembrane proteases that ensure the precise temporal control of transducing an activating signal from forespore to mother cell. In addition, the present SpoIV RIP mechanism illustrates how different activation signals can be integrated into one pathway. Dependent on the origin of the signaling proteases such a mechanism could coordinate either different transcriptional programs within a single cell or, in case where the signaling proteases are secreted from two neighboring cells, link the RIP signal cascade to cell-cell communication coordinating for example developmental programs.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Protein Expression and Purification**

Recombinant His-tagged proteins were expressed in <i>E. coli</i> BL21(DE3)pLysS or B834(DE3) (SeMet derivatized proteins), respectively. All proteins were purified by Ni-affinity chromatography and size exclusion chromatography or B834(DE3) (SeMet derivatized proteins), respectively. All proteins were purified by Ni-affinity chromatography and size exclusion chromatography or B834(DE3) (SeMet derivatized proteins), respectively. All proteins were purified by Ni-affinity chromatography and size exclusion chromatography or B834(DE3) (SeMet derivatized proteins), respectively. All proteins were purified by Ni-affinity chromatography and size exclusion chromatography or B834(DE3) (SeMet derivatized proteins), respectively. All proteins were purified by Ni-affinity chromatography and size exclusion chromatography or B834(DE3) (SeMet derivatized proteins), respectively.

**Crystallization and Structure Solution of Resting and Activated CtpB**

All CtpB crystals were grown at 19°C using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method. Collection of diffraction data, structure solution, and refinement proceeded by standard procedures, as detailed in Supplemental Information.

**In Vitro and In Vivo Activity Assays**

To follow the protease activity of 4B and CtpB in vitro, the recombinant proteases were incubated with different forms of the 4FA substrate or a <i>B. subtilis</i> membrane fraction containing GFP-4FA (<i>Campos and Rudner, 2006</i>, 2006) for various times at 25°C. To generate the preprocessed 4FA variant, SUMO-4FA<sub>EC</sub> was treated for 90 min with 4B. Subsequently, CtpB was added and the reaction prolonged for various times. Reactions were stopped by adding SDS loading buffer, cleavage products separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie staining, 35S-autoradiography or western blotting using anti-GFP antibodies. For in vivo assays, activity of the I-CLiP protease 4FB was monitored by following production of the mature <i>c</i>-<i>K</i>. In parallel, the efficiency of spore formation was determined upon nutrient exhaustion, as described in (Campos and Rudner, 2006). Plasmids, primers, and strains used in this study are summarized in Tables S4, S5, and S6, respectively.

**Peptide Spot Assay**

Peptide spot libraries were generated by synthesizing the 11 C-terminal residues of 1,849 B. subtilis proteins predicted to reside in the intermembrane space onto a cellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked for 4 hr with blocking buffer (blocking reagent, Sigma-Genosys) and subsequently incubated with CtpB. Bound protein was identified using specific antibodies. Further libraries were generated, where identified peptide sequences were systematically permuted.

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry**

Thermodynamic values characterizing the interaction between CtpB and peptide ligands were determined by ITC (MCS-ITC; Microcal). All experiments were performed in overflow mode at 30°C applying standard procedures with proteins and peptide ligands equilibrated in SEC buffer.

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**

The Protein Data Bank accession numbers for the coordinates and structure factors reported in this paper are CtpBα1, 4c2c; CtpBα2/3, 4c2d; CtpB1, 4c2e; R168A, 4c2f; S309A/VPA, 4c2g; and V118Y, 4c2h.
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