Fish Specialize Their Metabolic Performance to Maximize Bioenergetic Efficiency in Their Local Environment: Conspecific Comparison Between Two Stocks of Pacific Chub Mackerel (Scomber japonicus)
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Species-specific ecological traits in fishes are likely to vary between populations or stocks due to differences in regional oceanic conditions, such as latitudinal temperature. We examined potential intraspecific differences in the swimming performance and metabolism of Pacific chub mackerel (Scomber japonicus) from the Northwest and Northeast Pacific stocks, which are distributed on opposite sides of the North Pacific at similar latitudes, but where the temperature contrast is large. Swimming bioenergetics and metabolic data of Northwest stock mackerel were measured at 14, 18, and 24°C using variable-speed swim-tunnel respirometers, and then the resulting bioenergetic parameters were compared with previous findings from the Northeast stock. At a given size, the maximum sustainable swimming speed ($U_{\text{max}}$) of the Northwest stock showed no significant difference compared to the Northeast stock at 18 and 24°C, but was lower at 14°C. In addition, the oxygen consumption rate ($M_{\text{O2}}$) of the Northwest stock showed lower mass dependence and different temperature dependence at a given swimming speed than in the Northeast stock. Combined with stock-specific data on growth and experienced temperatures in the wild, these bioenergetic differences indicate that the swimming performance and metabolism of the two stocks are specific to their local environment to maximize bioenergetic efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

Many marine species, particularly fish, show differentiated traits corresponding to their environment. Generally, fish at higher latitudes reach larger body sizes (Conover, 1992; Trip et al., 2014), have increased number of vertebrae (Wisner, 1960; McDowell, 2008), and have seasonally faster growth rates (Conover and Present, 1990) than conspecifics at lower latitude. These traits can partially be attributed to the latitudinal gradient and the length of the growing season. Since sea surface temperature (SST) decreases with latitude because of lower irradiance and heat absorption at higher latitudes, these latitudinal variations in ecological traits are usually suggested to be related to temperature. For example, Munch and Salinas (2009) showed that within species, fish at higher latitudes usually have longer lifespans associated with temperature as explained by the metabolic theory of ecology. However, other environmental factors including day length, salinity, and dissolved oxygen also often vary with latitude, potentially obscuring the relationship between temperature and ecological traits in wild populations.

Marine species that have oceanic-scale distributions are ideal for investigating the potential variation in ecological traits associated with temperature, as large ocean basins have distinct east–west temperatures. Even at the same latitude, habitat temperature can be significantly different due to ocean circulation patterns, such as western boundary currents and eastern boundary upwellings. In the coastal areas of the North Pacific, the warm and strong Kuroshio western boundary current affects the growth and distribution of many important commercial pelagic species in the Northwest Pacific (i.e., Nakata et al., 2000; Sassa et al., 2006; Kaneko et al., 2019). In contrast, fish in the Northeast Pacific generally experience lower temperatures and highly productive environments due to upwelling (Bograd et al., 2009). Consequently, the relative relationship of spawning temperature optima between northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax) and California sardine (Sardinops sagax) in the California Current system is different than that of Japanese anchovy (E. japonicus) and Japanese sardine (S. melanostictus) in the Northwest Pacific: sardine prefer warmer conditions than anchovy in the California Current system but vice versa in the Northwest Pacific (Takasuka et al., 2008). Even within the same species, growth of Pacific herring (Clupea pallasi) in the North Pacific shows not only latitudinal but also zonal variation (Hay et al., 2008), and is characterized by the geographic specificity of bioenergetics parameters as well as location-specific variation in temperature and food (Itō et al., 2015). For these small pelagic species, zonal differences in ecological traits (growth, metabolism, spawning, etc.) are likely a result of life history strategies reflecting adaptation to local environments.

Because metabolism is the primary means of energy dissipation and is directly related to residual energy available for growth, understanding a species’ metabolism can provide critical insight into other ecological traits and life history strategy. In small pelagic fish, metabolism is generally affected by biotic (e.g., body size) and abiotic (e.g., temperature) factors (Clarke and Johnston, 1999; Killen et al., 2010; Ikeda, 2016), as well as by movement and activity level (including migration), which are related to swimming ability (Brett, 1964; Mandal et al., 2016; Norin and Clark, 2016). Latitudinal variation of metabolic traits has also been shown in several fish species (Clarke, 2003); for example, cold-adapted populations of perciform fishes can increase the volume and surface density of mitochondrial clusters to enhance metabolic function under cold water conditions (Johnston et al., 1998). To observe adaptive evolution in response to ecological factors, comparing the metabolic scaling within individual species or conspecific stocks, each from a specific environment, is likely more useful than a general cross-taxa comparison (Glazier, 2005).

Therefore, in this study we focused on zonal comparison of metabolic traits in Pacific chub mackerel (Scomber japonicus; hereafter, chub mackerel), a small pelagic fish species widely distributed throughout the coastal areas of the Pacific. In the North Pacific, there are two stocks: the Northwest stock around Japan using the Kuroshio Current, and the Northeast stock which utilizes the California Current system (Collette et al., 2011). These two stocks have a similar spawning season, primarily from March to May, and are also experiencing environmental changes, often migrating from south to north in the summer and from north to south in the winter (MBC Applied Environmental Sciences, 1987; Yukami et al., 2019). Both stocks also experience cyclical periods of notable changes in abundance like other small pelagic fish such as sardine and anchovy (Takasuka et al., 2008; Crone et al., 2019). For the Northeast stock, the oxygen consumption rate (a proxy for the aerobic component of metabolic rate) has been measured over a range of activity levels (swimming speeds) and temperatures from 14 to 24°C (Sepulveda and Dickson, 2000; Dickson et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2019), and Guo et al. (2019) synthesized these data to estimate respiration parameters for bioenergetic modeling. For the Northwest stock, the full bioenergetics budget of chub mackerel has only been studied using aquaculture juveniles (Ohnishi et al., 2016). Although we hypothesize that chub mackerel from the Northwest and Northeast stock may show variation in their bioenergetic parameters to maximize their growth and survival within their local environment, additional data from the Northwest stock are needed to make this comparison.

The aim of this study was thus to determine if the Pacific chub mackerel shows an adaptive strategy in its swimming performance and metabolism in response to local environmental conditions. Specifically, we designed an experiment to evaluate the metabolism and swimming performance of fish collected from the Northwest stock and analyzed the relationship of these bioenergetics parameters with temperature, growth stage (mass), and activity level (swimming speed). The results were compared with those of the Northeast stock under the known climatic environment each stock regularly encounters to examine potential differences in the metabolism and swimming performance between the two stocks associated with their local habitat.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish Collection and Husbandry
Chub mackerel from the Northwest stock were collected from the wild and supplied from two hatchery operations in order to compile a relatively large body-size range for respirometry trials (5.5–18.6 cm FL, 1.7–94.8 g). The smallest chub mackerel used in respirometry trials (5.5–6.0 cm, 1.7–3.4 g, n = 13) were hatchery-produced from sixth-generation artificial fertilization in June, 2018 at the Fisheries Research Institute of Karatsu, Department of Joint Research, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University (hereafter Karatsu, Figure 1A). At 30 days post hatch, ~100 small juveniles were randomly selected and moved to two circular 100 L tanks (about 50 individuals per tank). The water temperature of the tanks was adjusted from ambient (∼21°C) by 1°C per day until arriving at the target experimental temperatures of 18 and 24°C. These fish were fed about 5% body weight per day with a formulated diet (Ambrose 100–200: protein 53%, oil 8%, ash 15%, and fiber 3%, calcium 2%, phosphorus 1.4%; FEED ONE, Yokohama, Japan) during the experimental period. Following respirometry trials at this small size, all the fish were reared in a single tank to 5 months old for respirometry trials at a larger size. During growout, the water was manually cooled to an average of 24°C until the end of September and then kept at ambient temperature from October to November (Supplementary Figure 1).

Large juvenile chub mackerel used in respirometry experiments (13.8–18.6 cm, 23.0–94.8 g, n = 13) came from fertilized eggs spawned by 3-year-old fish under captive conditions in June, 2018 at the National Research Institute of Fisheries and Environment of Inland Sea, Fisheries Research and Education Agency (hereafter Hakatajima) (14.7–18.6 cm, 36.4–94.8 g, n = 3), combined with the individuals grown up from Karatsu (13.8–18.1 cm, 23.0–73.0 g, n = 10) and transported to Hakatajima in November, 2018, about 1 month before respirometry trials. Because fish from Karatsu were not individually marked, a few were possibly used both for the small
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**Figure 1** (A) Pacific chub mackerel collection sites (red markers) in relation to the species distribution area (gray polygon), assumed hatch month (April, green circle), and the mean monthly latitude position shift of the Northwest stock (left) and Northeast stock (right). (B) Mean monthly sea surface temperature (SST climatology averaged from 1970 to 2019 ± standard deviation) experienced by the mean latitudinal position of the two stocks.
and large juvenile experiments. Fish from different sources were kept in separate circular 2-KL tanks and fed with Mojako GF No2 (protein 50%, lipid 10%, ash 18%, fiber 6%, calcium 2%, phosphorus 1.2%; Feed One, Yokohama, Japan) about 0.5% body weight per day in order to control body size but still be able to maintain basic metabolic requirements.

Lastly, wild juvenile chub mackerel (10.8–15.8 cm, 11.7–38.2 g, n = 17) were captured using hook and line along the coast of Otsuchi Bay, Iwate prefecture in July 2019. The sea surface temperature at capture was ~19°C. Fish were then held in a 1-KL circular tank at 18°C at least 7 days prior to experiments at the International Coastal Research Centre, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, The University of Tokyo. Respirometry trials were first conducted at 18°C. Following trials at 18°C, the water temperature was adjusted 1°C per day to 24°C and kept at 24°C for another 3 days before additional trials were run on different

**FIGURE 2** | (A) Relationship between fish mass (W, g) and cross-sectional area at the largest girth (A, cm²) of all Northwest stock individuals used in the experimental trials. The curves represent the power regressions: $A_a = 0.407 W^{0.679}$ ($r^2 = 0.993$, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 44$) for aquaculture-reared individuals and $A_w = 0.548 W^{0.555}$ ($r^2 = 0.854$, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 30$) for wild-caught individuals. (B) Relationship between standard metabolic rate and body mass (W, g) of aquaculture-reared large juveniles before and after correction (non-corrected = light green open triangle; corrected = dark green solid triangle) in comparison to wild-caught (non-corrected, purple solid square) individuals at 18°C. The solid line represents the linear regression of logarithmic fish mass (W, g) and logarithmic activity effected removed mass-specific $M_{O_2}$: log (SMR$_{18}$) = $-0.219 \log (W) - 4.62$ ($r^2 = 0.616$, $p < 0.0001$).
individuals at this warmer temperature acclimation. Fish were fed with New-Artek K4 (protein 52%, lipid 11%, ash 18%, fiber 3%, calcium 2%, phosphorus 1.5%; Marubeni Nisshin Feed, Tokyo, Japan) about 2% of total weight each day.

All individuals were held at constant temperature for at least 3 days before the respirometry experiments and were fasted for at least 24 h prior to the beginning of each trial (Guo et al., 2019).

Respirometry Measurement
Oxygen consumption rates of individuals from the Northwest stock were measured at several swimming speeds up to their maximum sustainable swimming speed ($U_{\text{max}}$) following procedures used for the Northeast stock (Sepulveda and Dickson, 2000; Dickson et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2019). Two variable-speed, temperature-controlled swim-tunnel respirometers (a 280-mL Blazka-type for small juveniles (5.5–6.5 cm, 1.7–3.4 g) and a 5-L Brett-style with a 30 cm test section for large juveniles (10.8–19.6 cm, 11.7–94.8 g) Loligo Systems, Denmark) were used. The length of test section of the Blazka-type respirometers was extended to 17 cm by replacing the originally 10 cm one from 170-mL Blazka-type respirometers to create more space for fish to swim. In all experiments, the dissolved oxygen concentration (DO) of the water in the respirometer was recorded every second using a Fibox 3 fiber optic oxygen transmitter (PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Regensburg, Germany). Following placement in the swim tunnel respirometer, a fish was allowed to adjust for 2–4 h to recover from handling at 12–15 cm s$^{-1}$ (small juvenile) or 25–30 cm s$^{-1}$ (large juvenile). The exact duration of the acclimation period was determined through examining the $M_{O2}$ (oxygen consumption rate) of the fish on a 15-min cycle, which included an 8–10-min flush period (in which the respirometer was continually flushed with fresh seawater from the surrounding buffer tank), a 1–2 min wait period (respirometer closed off to the seawater supply but DO data were excluded from $M_{O2}$ estimation), and a 5-min measurement period (respirometer kept closed and DO data used for $M_{O2}$ estimation). Immediately following each cycle, $M_{O2}$ was calculated automatically by linear regression of water DO vs. time by Autoresp® (Loligo Systems, Denmark). The acclimation period ended once the fish could swim in the middle of the chamber without showing any significant signs of stress (e.g., irregular tailbeat frequency or cessation of swimming) and the $M_{O2}$ for that individual stabilized (defined as estimated $M_{O2}$ not varying more than 10% from the mean over three consecutive 15 min measurement cycles).

Following the acclimation period, each fish swam for sequential 30-min periods at increasing swimming speed steps, with an interval increase of 2–4 cm s$^{-1}$ (small juveniles) or 5–7.5 cm s$^{-1}$ (large juveniles). The measurement continued until the fish was exhausted, as described by Guo et al. (2019). $U_{\text{max}}$ for each fish was defined as the preceding speed at which the fish maintained normal cruise swimming for 30-min (Dickson et al., 2002).

Following respirometry measurements, fish were removed from the swim tunnel, and their fork length, total length, girth, and mass (wet weight) were measured. The chamber was rescaled to measure the background oxygen consumption rate, which was subtracted from the fish oxygen consumption rate. For data quality control, $M_{O2}$ data were excluded from further analysis if the fish showed signs of stress by irregular swimming, hitting the chamber wall, and or stopping swimming more than three times once the incremental swimming speed steps started. All handling, care, and experimentation were performed following the animal-experimentation manual of The University of Tokyo (No. A19-15).

Swimming Speed Correction, Oxygen Consumption Rate, and Parameter Estimation for Bioenergetic Modeling
The flow speed of the swim-tunnel respirometer was calibrated preceding each experiment. Test velocities and fish swimming speeds were corrected for the solid-blocking effect of the vane probe and the fish following Bell and Terhune (1970). Each swimming speed step allowed for two 15 min measurement cycles (as conducted during acclimation) and the $M_{O2}$ at each test speed was defined as the lower value (better performance and presumably lower stress status) between the two measurements. The daily mass-specific $M_{O2}$ ($R, g O_2 g^{-1} day^{-1}$) was expressed as:

$$R = SMR \times activity$$

where SMR is the standard metabolic rate of each fish estimated by extrapolating the $M_{O2}$ – swimming speed relationship to a speed of zero (e.g., Dewar and Graham, 1994). Activity is a function of swimming speed ($U, cm s^{-1}$):

$$activity = e^{(d RU)}$$

where $d_R$ is a coefficient for swimming speed vs. $R$ that is estimated for each individual by exponential regression analysis of the relationship between $R$ and $U$.

SMR can then be calculated by removing the activity effect from $M_{O2}$. In general, SMR is related to body mass ($W, g$) and water temperature ($T, ^\circ C$) (Stewart and Binkowski, 1986). However, the aquaculture-reared fish used in this study had a different body shape than the wild caught fish (for example, the cross-sectional area at a given mass was significantly higher in the aquaculture-reared fish) (Figure 2A). Because this difference in body shape could lead to a different water drag effect and consequently result in different mass-specific $M_{O2}$ (Figure 2B, details in the result section), a correction term of cross-sectional area at the largest girth ($A_w, cm^2$) was added to the function of SMR to eliminate the body-shape effect resulting in the equation:

$$SMR = a_R W^{b_R} e^{(c_R/T)} \left( \frac{A_a}{A_w} \right)^q$$

where $a_R$ is constant and represents the $M_{O2}$ of a 1-g fish at rest at $\infty^\circ C$, $b_R$ is the slope of the allometric mass function for $R$, $c_R$ is a coefficient relating water temperature to $R$, $A_a$ and $A_w$ are the estimated cross-sectional area ($A, cm^2$) of aquaculture-reared ($A_a = 0.407 W^{0.679}, r^2 = 0.993, p < 0.0001$) and wild-caught fish ($A_w = 0.548 W^{0.553}, r^2 = 0.854, p < 0.0001$) (Figure 2A). Factor $q$ is the correction parameter for the ratio of $A_a$ and $A_w$ which is applied only for aquaculture-reared individuals ($q$ is assumed to be 0 for wild-caught individuals).
Since the correction term of body shape is also a function of $W$, correction factor $q$ for aquaculture individuals and the mass-dependence parameter ($b_q$) were estimated simultaneously by multivariate non-linear regression. Because SMR is only related to mass ($W_q$) at a given temperature, the temperature was fixed at 18°C while applying the regression because of the relatively wider size range of aquaculture-reared individuals compared to other temperatures and available data from the wild-caught individuals at 18°C for correction. The value of $q$ and $b_q$ were determined when the regression obtained minimum RMSE, and were substituted into Equation (3) to remove the mass effect of SMR. Then the temperature-dependence parameter ($c_R$) and constant $a_R$ were estimated using non-linear regression of the corrected SMR and temperature. Because the number of data points differed greatly among the different temperatures (Table 1), to ensure the same contribution to parameter estimation, the data were weighted by the reciprocal of the number of available measurements at each temperature. The weighting ratio at each temperature was 1/35:1/148:1/147 for 14, 18, and 24°C, respectively.

Finally, the estimation error ($E_{err}$) was calculated to assess the accuracy of the resulting modeled equation for $R$ as $E_{err} = (\text{measured value} – \text{estimated value})/\text{estimated value}$, following the methods of Guo et al. (2019). The optimal swimming speed ($U_{opt}$, $FL s^{-1}$), which is defined as the swimming speed with the minimum gross cost of transport (COT), was typically applied in fish growth-migration models as the default cruising swimming speed. Since COT can be estimated with Equation (1) divided by $U$, $U_{opt}$ was estimated as $U$, where the first derivative of $R/ U$ by $U$ becomes zero with the minimum COT.

**Comparison Between the Northwest and Northeast Stock**

Swimming and metabolic data determined for the Northwest stock in this study were compared with raw data from the Northeast stock (Sepulveda and Dickson, 2000; Dickson et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2019). Because of differences in body shape between aquaculture and wild individuals of Northwest stock in the present study, $d_R$ and $U_{max}$ values between these two groups were first compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) before comparison with the Northeast stock. Because $d_R$ and $U_{max}$ did not differ significantly between the aquaculture-reared and wild-caught fish at 18°C ($p = 0.109; p = 0.286$), the Northwest individuals tested at the same temperature were regarded as a single group for subsequent comparison of $d_R$ and $U_{max}$ with the Northeast stock. Potential differences in the relationship of $U_{max}$, $d_R$ values and fish size (fork length, $FL$) between stocks was assessed at a given temperature by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA).

To investigate whether the dependence of chub mackerel metabolism on temperature and body mass is specific to the environment in which the stocks are distributed, the assumed environmental temperature experienced in the wild and the growth trajectories of age 0–5 fish of the two stocks were used to calculate the consumed energy in relation to SMR. Since chub mackerel migrate within their distribution area and the migration routes may interannually shift according to the environmental fluctuations, for simplicity, the migration routes of the two stocks were fixed between years (Figure 1A). The monthly latitude positions of migration were based on the observations made by Crone et al. (2019) for the Northeast stock and Yukami et al. (2019) for the Northwest stock, while the distribution area of each stock was defined as ~400 km from the coastline at that latitude. The monthly SST of the latitude within the distribution area was calculated from ERSST (2° × 2° grid, monthly averaged, https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/marineocean-data/extended-reconstructed-sea-surface-temperature-ersst-v5) from 1970 to 2019 (Figure 1B, details in section Results). Fish mass data at age 0–5 during 1970–2018 for the Northwest stock was obtained from Yukami et al. (2019), while mass data at age 0–5 for the Northeast stock during 1983–2018 came from Crone and Hill (2015) and Crone et al. (2019). The mass at each month from age 0 (6 months) to 5 years (66 months) was estimated by linear regression. To prevent error from extrapolation, growth trajectory from 0 to 6 months was derived using the Gompertz growth curve as parameterized by Takahashi et al. (2014):

$$W = W_\infty (e^{-e^{-k(t-t_0)}})^b$$

where $W$ is the fish mass at age $t$ (year old); $W_\infty$ is the asymptotic weight at the end of the first year of growth (0-year-class) obtained from the maximum mass of age 0 individuals of each stock; $k$ is the growth coefficient, and $t_0$ is the point of inflection (age of maximum growth rate). Using the length-weight relationship of all wild-caught individuals used in this study, the slope of allometric mass $b$ and the biological intercept $W_0$ were estimated. Thus, $t_0$ and $k$ were calculated by substituting two fixed points, $W_0$ at $t = 0$ and $W_{6month}$ at $t = 0.5$, into Equation (4):

$$k = -2 \ln \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{W_0}{W_{6month}} \right)}{b} \right)$$

$$t_0 = - \ln \left( \frac{W_0}{W_{6month}} \right) / k$$

Finally, hatch dates were expected to be in April, and the experienced temperature at each growth stage and estimated parameters of the Northwest stock and the Northeast stock (data from Guo et al., 2019) were introduced into Equations (1)–(3) for comparison. Unless indicated, all linear or non-linear regression analyses used a significance level of $p < 0.05$ and were applied in MATLAB (version 2019b).

**RESULTS**

**Maximum Sustainable Swimming Speed**

In total, 43 individuals successfully acclimated to the swim tunnel respirometer providing useful bioenergetic data about the Northwest stock (Table 1). The $U_{max}$ values for chub mackerel at 24°C were 62.2 ± 7.5 cm s$^{-1}$ or 9.1 ± 1.1 FL...
TABLE 1 | Source, size, and bioenergetics data for Northwestern stock Pacific chub mackerel individuals examined in the present study including collection location (source), experimental temperature (°C), fish mass (W, g), fork length (FL, cm), maximum sustainable swimming speed (U_{max}, cm s^{-1}, and FL s^{-1}), and exponential regression equations of mass-specific M_{O_2} (R, g O_2 g^{-1} fish^{-1} day^{-1}) vs. U (cm s^{-1}); R = SMR × e^{P_{U_{max}}}

| Type                      | Temperature (°C) | Mass (g) | Fork length (cm) | U_{max} (cm s^{-1}) | SMR (g O_2 g^{-1} fish^{-1} day^{-1}) |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Aquaculture (Karatsu)     | 14               | 36.4     | 18               | 0.0173             | 0.014                               |
|                           | 24               | 36.2     | 11.5             | 0.0113             | 0.017                               |
|                           | 24               | 36.8     | 10.0             | 0.0139             | 0.013                               |
|                           | 24               | 36.9     | 9.4              | 0.0120             | 0.016                               |
|                           | 26               | 36.5     | 9.9              | 0.0093             | 0.020                               |
|                           | 26               | 51.2     | 8.3              | 0.0098             | 0.021                               |
|                           | 28               | 75.0     | 11.5             | 0.0137             | 0.012                               |
| Wild                      | 18               | 36.2     | 6.6              | 0.0104             | 0.020                               |
|                           | 24               | 38.5     | 6.3              | 0.0099             | 0.019                               |
|                           | 2.4              | 36.2     | 5.7              | 0.0055             | 0.031                               |
|                           | 2.6              | 43.4     | 7.2              | 0.0070             | 0.025                               |
|                           | 3.0              | 36.5     | 5.5              | 0.0074             | 0.025                               |
|                           | 3.4              | 45.7     | 7.0              | 0.0087             | 0.033                               |
|                           | 23.0             | 43.5     | 3.2              | 0.0103             | 0.015                               |
|                           | 30.9             | 53.2     | 3.5              | 0.0064             | 0.023                               |
|                           | 31.9             | 48.5     | 3.3              | 0.0061             | 0.056                               |
|                           | 42.7             | 74.2     | 4.8              | 0.0071             | 0.023                               |
|                           | 56.1             | 50.6     | 3.0              | 0.0033             | 0.039                               |
|                           | 58.8             | 57.1     | 3.6              | 0.0037             | 0.029                               |
|                           | 59.8             | 51.5     | 3.0              | 0.0063             | 0.029                               |
|                           | 73.0             | 42.6     | 2.4              | 0.0028             | 0.049                               |
|                           | 58.0             | 64.3     | 3.7              | 0.0046             | 0.023                               |
| Aquaculture (Hakatajima)  | 14               | 39.0     | 2.7              | 0.0029             | 0.043                               |
|                           | 36.4             | 67.2     | 3.8              | 0.0014             | 0.035                               |
|                           | 85.9             | 43.0     | 2.3              | 0.0186             | 0.030                               |
| Wild                      | 24               | 72.3     | 5.7              | 0.0117             | 0.013                               |
|                           | 16.6             | 79.1     | 5.8              | 0.0051             | 0.021                               |
|                           | 22.3             | 54.9     | 3.9              | 0.0037             | 0.038                               |
|                           | 23.9             | 60.8     | 4.3              | 0.0040             | 0.031                               |
|                           | 24.7             | 67.7     | 4.9              | 0.0093             | 0.019                               |
|                           | 25.0             | 67.7     | 4.6              | 0.0062             | 0.024                               |
|                           | 29.3             | 49.2     | 3.3              | 0.0073             | 0.025                               |
|                           | 31.6             | 67.7     | 4.5              | 0.0103             | 0.012                               |
|                           | 32.7             | 61.5     | 3.9              | 0.0045             | 0.033                               |
|                           | 32.9             | 55.5     | 3.7              | 0.0083             | 0.019                               |
|                           | 18               | 36.8     | 2.9              | 0.0071             | 0.023                               |
|                           | 17.8             | 36.8     | 2.9              | 0.0071             | 0.023                               |
|                           | 18.0             | 48.3     | 3.8              | 0.0042             | 0.031                               |
|                           | 21.8             | 81.7     | 5.9              | 0.0049             | 0.028                               |
|                           | 26.7             | 53.0     | 3.7              | 0.0067             | 0.018                               |
|                           | 27.4             | 61.5     | 4.3              | 0.0036             | 0.030                               |
|                           | 28.9             | 57.3     | 3.8              | 0.0053             | 0.020                               |
|                           | 29.7             | 87.5     | 5.8              | 0.0083             | 0.001                               |

s^{-1} for small juveniles and 63.6 ± 9.0 cm s^{-1} or 4.5 ± 0.8 FL s^{-1} for large juveniles, with no significant relationship between \( U_{\text{max}} \) and fork length or mass (Figure 3A). At 18°C, \( U_{\text{max}} \) for small juveniles was 39.5 ± 4.1 cm s^{-1} or 5.6 ± 0.6 FL s^{-1}, while the \( U_{\text{max}} \) of the large juveniles was 56.5 ± 14.4 cm s^{-1} or 3.8 ± 1.0 FL s^{-1} (52.6 ± 9.9 cm s^{-1},
or 3.3 ± 0.7 $\text{FL s}^{-1}$ for the aquaculture-reared individuals and 60.9 ± 18.6cm $\text{s}^{-1}$ or 4.3 ± 1.1 $\text{FL s}^{-1}$ for the wild-caught individuals), and showed a significant linear relationship with fork length: $U_{\text{max}} = 1.864\text{FL} + 27.94$ ($r^2 = 0.252, p < 0.05$, Figure 3B). The $U_{\text{max}}$ for large juveniles at 14°C was 53.3 ± 12.5 cm $\text{s}^{-1}$ or 3.1 ± 0.6 $\text{FL s}^{-1}$, with no significant relationship with fork length or mass (Figure 3C). While $U_{\text{max}}$ was significantly higher at 24°C than at 18°C for the small juvenile stage (ANOVA, $p < 0.001$), $U_{\text{max}}$ showed no significant difference among temperatures for the large juveniles (ANOVA, $p = 0.251$).

The $U_{\text{max}}$ of Northwest stock only showed a significant relationship with fork length at 18°C (Figure 3B, ANCOVA, $p < 0.005$), and the slope of this relationship was not significantly different than that of the Northeast stock at 18°C (ANOVA, $p = 0.873$). Likewise, at a given fish size, $U_{\text{max}}$ of the two stocks at 18°C did not differ significantly (ANOVA, $p = 0.390$).

**Oxygen Consumption Rate and Respiration-Related Parameters**

Mass-specific $M_{\text{O}_2}$ showed a significant exponential relationship with $U$ for all fish following Equations (1) and (2), except for four individuals of the Northwest stock, which were excluded from further analysis (Table 1). The value of activity dependence ($d_R$) ranged from 0.012 to 0.049 and was distributed normally (Lilliefors test). $d_R$ did not differ significantly between temperatures (ANOVA, $p = 0.060$) or between the aquaculture-reared and wild-caught fish at 18°C (ANOVA, $p = 0.109$). However, for small juvenile individuals, the values of $d_R$ at 24°C were significantly lower than those at 18°C (ANOVA, $p = 0.001$), which resulted in a positive linear relationship between $d_R$ and fish mass at 24°C ($r^2 = 0.303, p = 0.022$, Figure 4). For simplicity, the parameter $d_R$ was estimated as 0.0252 s cm$^{-1}$, which is the expected value of the normal distribution across temperatures and fish size. After the mass effect of the Northwest and Northeast stock were removed, the $d_R$ of the two stocks did not differ significantly (ANOVA, $p = 0.324$).

The relationship between fish mass ($W$) and SMR at 18°C (after removing the activity effect from $M_{\text{O}_2}$ of wild-caught individuals as well as aquaculture-reared individuals following correction is shown in Figure 2B. When $q = 2.27$, the regression obtained minimum RMSE: log (SMR$_{18}$) = −0.219 log ($W$) − 4.62 ($r^2 = 0.616, p < 0.0001$). Therefore, $b_W$, the parameter of mass dependence, was defined as −0.219. After SMR was corrected and the mass dependence was removed, the constant $a_R$ and temperature dependence $c_T$ were defined as 0.0290 g O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ fish$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ and −21.42°C$^{-1}$, respectively, resulting in the best regression Equation (3): $\text{SMR(}W^{−0.219}A^{2.27}) = 0.0290e^{(−21.42/}\text{T})$ ($r^2 = 0.489, p < 0.0001$, Figure 5). Compared with the Northeast stock, the Northwest stock had a significantly higher $a_R$ and $b_W$, and a different type of $c_T$. However, within the habitat temperature from 13 to 19°C, the Northwest stock always had a lower temperature dependence [$f(t)$ in Table 2].
The actual data, and the RMSE of $E_{err}$ was 32%. According to Equation (1), $R/U = 0.0290W^{0.219}e^{(-21.42/T)}$, where $U_{opt} (U$ at the minimum COT) was 39.2 cm s$^{-1}$ (2.1–6.4 FL s$^{-1}$), regardless of temperature and fish mass, which was similar to 42.5 cm s$^{-1}$ (1.5–3.0 FL s$^{-1}$) of the Northeast stock.

**Experienced Temperature and Energy Consumed**

The length-weight relationship of all wild-caught individuals was $W = 0.0058FL^{3.16}$ ($r^2 = 0.989$, $n = 64$). Therefore, the biological intercept $W_0$ and the slope of allometric mass $b$ in Equation (4) were set as 0.0058 g and 3.16, respectively. For the Northwest stock, the relationship of age ($t$) and mass ($W$) was estimated as $W = 123t + 64.2 (n = 294, r^2 = 0.838, p < 0.0001)$ and the Gompertz growth curve for the first 6 months was $W = 207[e^{-0.0058(t+207.16)^{0.316}}]$ for the Northeast stock. The annual experienced temperature of the Northwest stock was 16.8 ± 1.6°, higher than the Northeast stock of 14.9 ± 1.4°C, as was the range of seasonal fluctuation (14.4–18.7°C compared with 13.1–16.9°C, respectively). In addition, the standard deviation of the monthly temperature anomaly of the Northwest stock, which ranged from 0.5°C (Mar.) to 1.1°C (Jul.), was wider than that of the Northeast stock from 0.6°C (Oct.) to 0.8°C (Mar.) (Figure 1B). Since the activity dependence of the two stocks
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**TABLE 2** Comparison between the Northwest (present study) and Northeast (Guo et al., 2019) stocks of Pacific chub mackerel including individual numbers, fork length (FL, cm), fish mass (W, g), experimental temperature (°C), mean seasonal temperature range experienced by each stock (°C), maximum sustainable swimming speed ($U_{max}$, cm s$^{-1}$) at 18°C, optimum swimming speed ($U_{opt}$, cm s$^{-1}$), and metabolic equation and related parameters:

| Stock      | Northwest | Northeast |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Individuals| 43 (39)$^a$ | 48 (33)$^a$ |
| Fork length (cm) | 6.5 – 18.5$^a$ | 14.0 – 33.0 (14.0 – 30.5)$^a$ |
| Mass (g) | 1.72 – 85.9$^a$ | 15 – 690 (15 – 252)$^a$ |
| Experimental temperature (°C) | 14°, 18°, 24° | 14°, 15, 18°, 20, 24° |
| Experienced temperature (°C) | 14.4 – 18.7 | 13.1 – 16.9 |
| $U_{max}$ (cm s$^{-1}$) at 18°C | 1.86FL + 27.9 | 1.68FL + 36.6 |
| $U_{opt}$ (FL s$^{-1}$) | 39.2 | 42.5 |
| Metabolic equation | $SMR = a_0W^{0.838}e^{0.219/T}$ | $SMR = a_0W^{0.838}e^{0.219/T}$ |
| $a_0$ (estimated value ± 95% CI) | 0.0290 ± 0.0004 | 0.0103 ± 0.0037 |
| $b_0$ (estimated value ± 95% CI) | −0.219 ± 0.035 | −0.490 ± 0.049 |
| $T_{opt}$ (°C) | 2.1 – 6.4 | 1.5 – 3.0 |
| $d_1$ (expected value) | 0.223 – 0.317 | 1.85 – 2.21 |

$^a$Individuals used for estimation on $d_1$.

$^b$Functions of temperature dependence $c(T)$, for Northwest stock, $f(T) = e^{d_1/T}$ and for Northeast stock, $f(T) = e^{d_1/T}$. T is temperature.
did not differ significantly, only the SMR of the two stocks was compared by substituting the temperature at each growth stage (relationship with fish mass, Figure 7A) in Equation (3). The SMR of the two stocks both showed seasonal fluctuation and decreased with mass. Compared to the Northeast stock, SMR of the Northwest stock was generally higher (except at ages <2 months), decreased less as the fish grew, and showed more obvious seasonal variation (Figure 7B). Accumulated consumed oxygen, which is the integration of the product of SMR and fish mass from hatch to a given size, was very similar between the two stocks until the fish reached maturity (over 200 g, age 2), at which point the Northwest stock showed an increase in accumulated consumed oxygen as fish mass increased (Figure 7C).

FIGURE 7 | Stock comparisons of the relationship between Pacific chub mackerel mass (growth stage) and (A) experienced temperature (B) SMR (g O2 g fish−1 day−1) and (C) accumulate consumed oxygen (g). Red and blue stand for Northwest and Northeast stocks, respectively. Triangle markers in (B) and (C) are values at age 0–5. The colored shadow represents the standard deviation of temperature in (A) and the associated error in (B,C).

**DISCUSSION**

This study reports the first robust dataset of Pacific chub mackerel metabolism and swimming bioenergetics from the Pacific Northwest stock, providing information from small to large juveniles over a 10°C temperature range. These parameterized metabolic characteristics and estimated swimming-ability (U\text{max}, U\text{opt}) data were then compared with previous findings from the Northeast stock (Guo et al., 2019). In this section, we discuss similarities and differences between the Northwest and Northeast stocks in terms of differences in the environmental conditions of their habitats, swimming performance, and the effects of mass, temperature and activity on metabolism to determine if oceanic conditions may contribute to stock-specific differences in ecological traits.

**Maximum Swimming Speed**

At 18°C, U\text{max} at a given fish size, and the rate of increase of U\text{max} with body size did not vary significantly between the Northwest and Northeast stocks (Figure 3B), suggesting that the two stocks have a similar swimming ability at this temperature. At 24°C, U\text{max} of the Northwest stock did not show a significant relationship with fish size as found in the Northeast stock. However, estimates of U\text{max} using the linear relationship of the Northeast stock (Sepulveda and Dickson, 2000) fell within the range of values determined in the present study for the Northwest stock when compared at the same size (Figure 3A), although additional experiments over a wider size range (especially additional mature individuals from Northwest stock and small juveniles from the Northeast stock) would help confirm this relationship. In contrast to the warmer temperatures, U\text{max} values at 14°C did not show a significant relationship with fish size in either stock, and U\text{max} values for the Northwest stock at 14°C were significantly lower than those of slightly larger juveniles of the Northeast stock (ANOVA, p = 0.004, Figure 3C). This difference in swimming performance may reflect mean habitat temperature differences between the two stocks. Generally, the Northwest stock experiences relatively higher temperatures (mean seasonal variation of 14.4–18.7°C), while the Northeast stock typically experiences lower temperatures (13.1–16.9°C) (Figure 1B), suggesting that the Northeast stock may be better adapted for fast swimming speeds at the lower temperatures they
more regularly encounter. However, the $U_{\text{max}}$ values at 14°C of the Northwest stock were only obtained from aquaculture juveniles, which experienced higher temperatures than the wild individuals. Because of our limited sample size, the wild individuals from the Northwest stock were only tested at 18 and 24°C, and therefore, we were not able to directly verify if there were any differences in the swimming performance of wild and aquaculture individuals from the Northwest stock at 14°C. Additional experiments on Northwest stock wild individuals are needed to support this conspecific comparison at low temperature in the future work.

Similar to the Northeast stock (Dickson et al., 2002), we found a general increase in the $U_{\text{max}}$ from the Northwest stock when temperature increased from 18 to 24°C. This was particularly noticeable for the smallest juveniles (Figures 3A,B). Generally, higher temperatures increase metabolism and promote swimming activity by the $Q_10$ effect. Since Scomber spp. are highly susceptible to starvation (Mendiola et al., 2007), an increase in swimming performance at high temperatures may be essential to capture sufficient prey to sustain higher levels of metabolism associated with warm temperatures, especially at the early juvenile stage. In contrast, the $U_{\text{max}}$ of larger juveniles from both the Northwest and Northeast stock (Guo et al., 2019) did not significantly increase from 14 to 18°C. While this may be partially attributed to the relatively small experimental temperature difference between 14 and 18°C, it may also reflect the typical water temperatures experienced by chub mackerel in the wild. During the large juvenile stage (July–September), the typical sea surface temperature experienced by the two stocks ranges from 15 to 19°C, and thus our results suggest that chub mackerel have a relatively stable swimming ability within this natural range of habitat temperature.

**Activity Dependence on Metabolism**

The $d_R$ (indicating the swimming speed dependence of respiration) of the Northwest stock had a positive relationship with fish mass at 24°C, but did not show mass dependence at 18 and 14°C (Figure 4). In contrast, Guo et al. (2019) showed a negative relationship between fish mass and $d_R$ regardless of temperature in the Northeast stock. However, after removing the effect of mass, the value of $d_R$ did not differ significantly between the two stocks, suggesting that the increase in metabolic rate due to swimming activity did not differ between the stocks. The different mass dependence on $d_R$ between the two stocks may reflect the different size range of fish examined in this study (2–94 g) in comparison to Guo et al. (2019) (26–252 g). We are not aware of other studies that have directly investigated mass dependence of $d_R$. However, Brett (1965) considered changes in metabolic rate in response to both swimming speed and large changes in body mass for sockeye salmon as pointed out by Halsey et al. (2018). Brett (1965) showed a size dependence of the ratio between the maximum metabolic rate and $U_{\text{max}}$ for sockeye salmon. At $U_{\text{max}}$, the activity effect of the oxygen consumption rate could also be represented as the ratio of maximum metabolic rate to SMR. Thus, we calculated the $d_R$ of sockeye salmon by substituting metabolic rate data and $U_{\text{max}}$ data given by Brett (1965) into Equation (2). The best regression of the relationship between $d_R$ and mass suggested that $d_R$ of sockeye salmon decreased significantly as mass increased: $d_R_{\text{salmon}} = -0.000004W + 0.0134$ ($r^2 = 0.725, p = 0.031$, Figure 8). However, the slope coefficient was very small and the $d_R$ of the 3-month old individual (first data point at 3.38 g) was relatively low compared with that at later juvenile stages. Therefore, the mass dependence of $d_R_{\text{salmon}}$ seemed positive for early stages but turned negative for later stages. This difference in the mass dependence of $d_R$ by life stage thus appears similar to the pattern observed in the present study for chub mackerel when considering the Northwest and Northeast datasets together. Relatively, the effect of temperature (18 vs. 24°C) on SMR and $d_R$ were only significant in small juvenile individuals, indicating that the temperature effect on metabolism and swimming energetics was more obvious at the early life stage. This finding appears related to the $U_{\text{max}}$ of small juveniles at 24°C, which was significantly higher than that measured at 18°C. Thus, while a higher temperature increases SMR, a lower $d_R$ enables the fish to swim more efficiently at a relatively high speed at warmer temperatures, potentially saving energy when searching for prey or on longer movements and migration. However, additional studies on larger mature individuals of the Northwest stock should be considered to support this trend.

**Mass Dependence and Temperature Dependence on Metabolism**

Since no significant difference was found in the activity dependence of metabolism and optimal swimming speed ($U_{\text{opt}}$) between the two stocks (Table 2), the difference in oxygen consumption could be attributed to the mass dependence and temperature dependence on metabolism. Generally, temperature dependence of fish follows the temperature coefficient $Q_{10}$, and the metabolic rate increases faster at higher temperatures (Hegarty, 1973; Videler, 1993), as seen in the Northeast stock of chub mackerel (Guo et al., 2019). However, the metabolic rate of the Northwest stock increased slower at higher temperatures and the temperature dependence fitted better as Equation (3), with a higher $r^2$ and lower RMSE (Figure 5). Since the Northwest stock typically experience a relatively higher temperature than the Northeast stock, especially at the early growth stage of the first 6 months after hatch (Figure 1B), the lower thermal dependence of metabolism at high temperatures could help larvae and juveniles of the Northwest stock conserve energy. Compared to the Northeast stock, the Northwest stock had much lower temperature dependence within the habitat temperature (Table 2), indicating that they may be better adapted to deal with a warmer environment.

In addition to a lower thermal dependence, the Northwest stock also showed lower mass dependence (higher $b_R$) on metabolism compared to the Northeast stock (Table 2), which could help to reduce SMR with growth, especially at the larval and early juvenile stage. Although the Northwest stock usually experiences higher temperatures than the Northeast stock (Figure 7A), the SMR of the Northwest stock is predicted to be lower than that of the Northeast stock for fish under 21 g (about 2 months after hatch) because of the combining effect
of $a_g$, $b_R$ and temperature dependence (Figure 7B). The wild-caught individuals from the Northwest stock measured in this study were from larvae that likely hatched around the Izu Islands and would have been transported by the Kuroshio and the Kuroshio Extension, where the temperature is relatively higher than that of the California Current system. Thus, the lower mass dependence on SMR could help the Northwest stock larvae maintain a relatively lower metabolic demand at an early stage. In addition, a lower increase in metabolism with higher temperatures could also conserve additional energy for growth at the higher temperatures they typically experience. The analysis of stable oxygen isotope ratios of otoliths and larger juvenile chub mackerel collected in the Kuroshio–Oyashio transition region indicates that faster growing fish proactively enter cooler water areas, accessing a highly nutritious diet resulting in further rapid growth ("growth positive spiral" hypothesis; Higuchi et al., 2019). The relatively cooler water temperature and good prey environment could balance the higher mass dependence on metabolism after the early growth stage. Similarly, the cool and highly productive upwelling environment of the California Current system likely provides the Northeast stock with greater prey availability to obtain the energy required to balance their high metabolic demand during the early growth stage. Although the mass dependence and temperature dependence of the two stocks were different, the accumulated SMR was similar between the two stocks until the fish reached maturity at about 2 years of age (Figure 7C). This suggests that the mass dependence and temperature dependence on the metabolism of the two stocks are specific to their environment for lower energy consumption, especially for early life stages before they experience first reproduction.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the two stocks of chub mackerel showed a similar swimming ability ($U_{\text{max}}$) at temperatures regularly encountered within their habitat. In addition, the similar optimal swimming speed ($U_{\text{opt}}$) of the two stocks suggests that the chub mackerel prefers to maintain a similar migration speed regardless of habitat. Consequently, the activity dependence on oxygen consumption rate may have different mass dependence, but after removing the mass effect, the activity dependence of the two stocks did not differ significantly. Compared to the Northeast stock, the Northwest stock had a relatively lower mass dependence and a lower rate of increase in oxygen consumption as temperature increased. These characteristics could represent the adaptation of the Northwest stock to grow faster at a relatively warmer and less-prey abundant environment under the influence of a warm western boundary current. Thus, while the swimming ability and migration speed are similar, fish from different stocks possibly adapt to their local environment by specializing their metabolism for less energy dissipation.
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