Strain-induced topological phase transition with inversion of the in-plane electric polarization in tiny-gap semiconductor SiGe monolayer
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Our density functional theory calculations show that tiny-gap semiconductor SiGe monolayer is a quantum valley Hall insulator with a spontaneous electric polarization and, under a small biaxial strain, undergoes a topological phase transition between the states with opposite valley Chern numbers. The topological phase transition entails abrupt inversion of the in-plane electric polarization corresponding to inversion of the sublattice pseudospin polarization, while the out-of-plane electric polarization shows a linear response to the biaxial strain as well as to the perpendicular electric field regardless of the phase transition. Thus, the quantum valley Hall state entails in-plane ferroelectricity corresponding to a sublattice pseudospin ferromagnetism.

After the discovery of graphene,1–3 two-dimensional (2D) materials have attracted more and more research interest.4–6 Graphene, a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice, is a semimetal with linear crossing bands at the K point of the Brillouin zone.2 The low-energy physics of graphene can be described by the 2D Dirac equation, and graphene is a quantum spin Hall insulator under spin–orbit coupling.2,3 Monatomic 2D materials of group IV elements such as silicene and germanene are analogous to graphene with a buckled honeycomb lattice. Like graphene, silicene and germanene are semimetals with linear crossing bands and are quantum spin Hall insulators under spin–orbit coupling.7–9

Most diatomic 2D materials composed of group IV or group III–V elements have been reported to be wide gap semiconductors due to their ionic character.5, 6, 10, 11 Unlike the other diatomic 2D materials, SiGe monolayer was reported to be a semimetal or a tiny-gap semiconductor with linear energy dispersion near the K point, which was attributed to a very small difference in the electronegativity of Si and Ge elements.5, 12 In the presence of spin–orbit coupling, a truly disordered SiGe monolayer was predicted to be a quantum spin Hall insulator from the adiabatic continuity arguments and from a W-shaped behavior of the band gap under an electric field perpendicular to the layer as observed in silicene and germanene.13 The ordered SiGe monolayer was reported not to be a quantum spin Hall insulator because the band gap did not show the W-shaped behavior.13

Under a small biaxial strain that can be induced by a lattice constant mismatch with the substrate, monatomic 2D group IV materials such as graphene, silicene and germanene show no significant changes in the band structure and the linear energy dispersion near the K point remains almost intact, because the biaxial strain maintains all crystal symmetries.14, 15 Uniaxial strain further destroys the symmetry and some conflicting results were reported for the band gap of the uniaxially strained monatomic 2D materials of group IV elements.16–19 In a single-orbital tight-binding model, it has been shown that uniaxial strain as well as biaxial strain do not open a band gap in the graphene-like honeycomb lattice.14 The band gap of SiGe monolayer was reported to increase with uniaxial strain whether compressive or tensile.20 Unlike the monatomic 2D group IV materials, diatomic 2D materials such as SiGe monolayer have broken inversion symmetry and the inversion symmetry-breaking potential may depend on the strain, leading to a change in the band gap.
On the other hand, electron-electron interaction in 2D materials much stronger than that in three-dimen-
sional materials was predicted to lead to an in-plane spontaneous electric polarization.\(^1\) In monolayer Group-
IV monochalcogenides MX (M = Ge, Sn; X = S, Se), in-plane displacement of atoms was reported to induce an
in-plane spontaneous electric polarization.\(^2\) In buckled 2D materials, such as GaAs\(_2\) and diatomic 2D
materials of group IV or group III–V elements, out-of-plane displacement of atoms was reported to induce an
out-of-plane spontaneous electric polarization whose magnitude and sign depend on the displacement.\(^3,\)\(^4\) In
the buckled 2D materials, the two symmetry-equivalent energy minima with opposite polarities are obtained by
reversing the buckling angle.

In a (buckled) 2D honeycomb lattice, there exists a sublattice pseudospin in addition to the spin and valley
degrees of freedom.\(^3,\)\(^5\) The pseudospin up (down) refers to the state where the charge carriers are located in the
A (B) sublattice, or equivalently in the upper (lower) layer because the A and B sublattices are on different layers
in the buckled lattice. Sublattice symmetry-breaking leads to a nonzero polarization of the sublattice pseudospin,
directly corresponding to an electric polarization. Smooth elastic deformation in graphene and electron-electron
interactions in bilayer graphene have been reported to be able to break the sublattice symmetry, leading to pseudo-
spin ferromagnetism corresponding to a ferroelectric state.\(^25,\)\(^26\) It is not clear whether the sublattice pseudospin
polarization directly corresponds to the out-of-plane electric polarization, which was attributed to out-of-plane
displacement of atoms, in buckled 2D materials.\(^23,\)\(^24\)

In a (buckled) 2D honeycomb lattice,\(^1\)\(^2\) the low-energy dispersion near the Fermi level (\(E_F\)) has been well
described by a 2D Dirac hamiltonian with a mass gap, \(H = \psi F (\sigma_i k_i + \sigma_j k_j) + m \sigma_z\), where \(\psi F\) is the Fermi veloc-
ity, \(\sigma_0 = \pm i\) is a valley index, and \(\sigma\) is the Pauli matrix accounting for the sublattice pseudospin. The mass term
\(m \sigma_z\) can be introduced by an inversion symmetry-breaking potential such as staggered AB-sublattice potentials
and electric fields perpendicular to the layer. The broken inversion symmetry in a 2D honeycomb lattice leads to
a quantum valley Hall effect, where carriers in different valleys flow to the opposite transverse edges.\(^9,\)\(^27,\)\(^28\) The
valley Chern number is given by \(C_V = \text{sign}(m)\), neglecting the spin degeneracy, and the sublattice pseudospin
has a nonzero polarization whose sign is also determined by \(\text{sign}(m)\). Thus, the quantum valley Hall state will
have spontaneous electric polarization, which directly corresponds to the sublattice pseudospin polarization and is
independent of the ion displacement in contrast to the electric polarization reported in some 2D materials.\(^22,\)\(^24\)

In this work, we have investigated tiny-gap semiconductor SiGe monolayer by using the density functional
theory (DFT) calculations. First, we show that the SiGe monolayer is a quantum valley Hall insulator and under-
goes a topological phase transition between the quantum valley Hall states with opposite valley Chern numbers
under a small biaxial strain or under a perpendicular electric field. Strain-dependent on-site Coulomb potentials
of Si-3p and Ge-4p orbitals seem to be responsible for the quantum valley Hall state and the topological phase
transition. Next, we show that the quantum valley Hall state has in-plane ferroelectricity. The topological phase
transition entails abrupt inversion in the in-plane electric polarization, while the out-of-plane electric polariza-
tion shows a linear response to the biaxial strain as well as to the perpendicular electric field regardless of the
phase transition. The in-plane electric polarization is a purely electronic one and directly corresponds to the
sublattice pseudospin polarization.

Figure 1a shows the structure of SiGe monolayer. The lattice vectors are \(\hat{a} = a \hat{x}, \hat{b} = a(\hat{x}/2 + \sqrt{3}\hat{y}/2)\) and
\(\hat{c} = c \hat{z}\), where \(c\) was set to 2.6 nm corresponding to the vacuum spacing. The Si and Ge atoms are located at
(1/3, 1/3, \(-h\)) and (2/3, 2/3, \(+h\)), respectively, in the fractional coordinates. The equilibrium lattice constant \(a_0 = 0.3965\) nm
was obtained from a total energy minimum as shown in Fig. 1b, in agreement with a previous work.\(^3\) The buckling height \(2c\), which gradually decreases with increasing lattice constant, was 0.065 nm at equilibrium, and was insensitive to the perpendicular electric field investigated in this work.

Figure 1c shows the band gap \(E_g\) without (black symbols) and with spin–orbit coupling (red symbols) as a
function of the biaxial strain \(\Delta \alpha\). Biaxial in-plane strain \(\Delta \alpha\) was defined by \(\Delta \alpha = (a - a_0)/a_0 \times 100\) in units of
\(\%\), where \(a_0 = 0.396\) is a strained (equilibrium) lattice constant. We can see that the band gap closes at the criti-
cal strain \(\Delta \alpha_c = 0.38\%\) corresponding to \(a = 0.396\) nm, indicating a quantum phase transition. The spin–orbit
coupling slightly increases the band gap and just shifts the critical strain. In a previous work, the ordered SiGe
monolayer corresponding to our case was reported not to be a quantum spin Hall insulator even in the presence
of spin–orbit coupling.\(^13\) The spin–orbit coupling, which is not directly related to the quantum phase transition
in Fig. 1c, is neglected in this work.

Figure 2 shows the band structure and Berry curvature (a) for \(\Delta \alpha = -1.14\% (< \Delta \alpha_c)\) and (b) for
\(\Delta \alpha = +1.39\% (> \Delta \alpha_c)\), both corresponding to \(a = 0.396\) nm and 0.4 nm. Under small strains investigated in
this work, the band structure (black lines) does not largely change and has a small band gap at the K point. At
the critical strain, the band structure has linear crossing bands at the K point. The Berry curvature (red lines)
shows sharp peaks at the K points with opposite signs at opposite valleys, possibly indicating a valley Hall effect,
and the Berry curvature peaks are inverted at the critical strain. The valley Chern number obtained by integrat-
ing the Berry curvature was \(C_V = +1\) for \(\Delta \alpha < \Delta \alpha_c\) and \(C_V = -1\) for \(\Delta \alpha > \Delta \alpha_c\), indicating that the quantum
phase transition at the critical strain is a topological phase transition between the quantum valley Hall states
with opposite valley Chern numbers.

An external electric field perpendicular to the layer induces a potential difference between the A and B
sublattices and can induce the topological phase transition between the quantum valley Hall states. Figure 3a
shows the band gap as a function of the perpendicular electric field \(E_z\) for \(\Delta \alpha = -1.14\%\) (solid symbols) and
for \(\Delta \alpha = 1.39\%\) (open symbols), where we can see the band gap closure corresponding to the topological phase
transition. Figure 3b shows the electric field \(E_z\) where the band gap closes, as a function of \(\Delta a\). \(E_z\) linearly decreases with increasing lattice constant and is nearly zero at the critical strain, indicating that an
intrinsically potential difference between the two sublattices corresponds to the quantum valley Hall states and the
strain-induced topological phase transition.
Using a Bader charge analysis, we found that a charge of $0.23\,\text{e}$ is transferred to the silicon atom at equilibrium. The amount of charge transferred to the Si atom monotonically decreases from $0.28\,\text{e}$ at $\Delta a = -1.14\%$ to $0.19\,\text{e}$ at $\Delta a = 1.39\%$, and the direction of charge transfer does not change at the topological phase transition. Using a Mulliken population analysis, we obtained essentially the same results, except that the amount of charge transferred to the Si atom is about four times smaller than in the Bader analysis. Although there is some ambiguity in the estimation of the effective charge, the band gap change leading to the topological phase transition seems to be independent of the charge transfer.

To estimate the intrinsic potential difference $\Delta V_0$ between the two sublattices, a potential difference $\Delta V$ between the Ge-4$p$ and Si-3$p$ orbitals was applied (see Methods section). $\Delta V$ was controlled to reduce the band gap down to zero. The critical value $\Delta V_C$, where the band gap closes, can be considered as the counterbalance of the intrinsic potential difference between the Ge-4$p$ and Si-3$p$ orbitals, i.e., $\Delta V_0 = -\Delta V_C$. Figure 3c shows the intrinsic potential difference $\Delta V_0 = V_{\text{Si-3p}} - V_{\text{Ge-4p}}$ between the Si-3$p$ and Ge-4$p$ orbitals as a function of strain. $\Delta V_0$ linearly decreases with increasing lattice constant and becomes zero at the critical strain. The applied potential difference between the Si-3$s$ and Ge-4$s$ orbitals little affects the band gap. Thus, the strain-dependent on-site Coulomb potentials of the Si-3$p$ and Ge-4$p$ orbitals may be responsible for the quantum valley Hall states and the topological phase transition. Like an external electric field perpendicular to the layer, strain induces a potential difference between the A and B sublattices, leading to the topological phase transition.

The quantum valley Hall states described by a 2D Dirac Hamiltonian with mass gap is expected to have spontaneous electric polarization corresponding to the sublattice pseudospin polarization. Figure 4 shows the electric polarization and the Wannier function centers as a function of strain $\Delta a$ for $E_z = 0$ (first column) and as a function of $E_z$ for $\Delta a = -1.14\%$ (second column). In Fig. 4a,b, the band gap closure indicates the topological phase transition between $E_V = \pm 1$. Figure 4c,d show the in-plane electric polarization $P'_{\alpha} (= P_{\alpha})$ along the lattice vector $\vec{a}$ (b). We can see that the quantum valley Hall states with opposite valley Chern numbers have in-plane electric polarizations of opposite signs, and that the in-plane electric polarization undergoes abrupt inversion at the topological phase transition. $|P_{\alpha}|$ depends very weakly on $\Delta a$ and $E_z$, and is $\sim 3.1 \times 10^{-10}$ C/m, comparable to the values reported in monolayer Group-IV monochalcogenides. It is worth noting that $|P_{\alpha}| \simeq P_0/3 = (2\varepsilon)(a/3),$
where $P_0$ is the quantum of electric polarization along $\vec{a}$. Considering that $a/3$ is the separation between the two sublattices, $|P_0|$ is equivalent to the electric dipole moment due to the charge carriers located on a sublattice, indicating that the in-plane electric polarization directly corresponds to the sublattice pseudospin polarization.

Figure 4e,f show the out-of-plane electric polarization $P_c$ along the lattice vector $\vec{c}$. $P_c$ is about $0.6 \times 10^{-12}$ C/m at equilibrium, comparable to the values reported in previous works.\cite{25,24} $P_c$ shows a linear response to $\Delta a$ and to
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**Figure 2.** Band structure (black lines) and Berry curvature (red lines). (a) $\Delta a = -1.14\%$ ($< \Delta a_c$). (b) $\Delta a = 1.39\%$ ($> \Delta a_c$). The valley Chern number $C_V$ was +1 and -1 for $\Delta a = -1.14\%$ and 1.39\%, respectively.
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**Figure 3.** Band gap and intrinsic potential difference. (a) Band gap $E_g$ as a function of $E_z$ for $\Delta a = -1.14\%$ (solid symbols) and for $\Delta a = 1.39\%$ (open symbols). (b) Critical electric field $E_{zc}$, where the band gap closes, as a function of $\Delta a$. (c) Intrinsic potential difference $\Delta V_0$ between Si-3$p$ and Ge-4$p$ orbitals as a function of $\Delta a$. 

Figure 4. Electric polarization. The first and second columns correspond to \( E_z = 0 \) and \( \Delta a = -1.14\% \), respectively. (a,b) Band gap \( E_g \). (c,d) In-plane electric polarization \( P_a (= P_b) \). (e,f) Out-of-plane electric polarization \( P_c \). (g,h) Wannier function center \( (R_{a,b}/3, R_b/3, hR_c) \) in the fractional coordinates.
and is independent of the topological phase transition, indicating that the out-of-plane electric polarization is independent of the sublattice pseudospin polarization.

Figure 4g,h show the sum of the maximally localized Wannier function centers of the occupied bands, \( \vec{R}_{WF} = (R_{a}/3, R_{\beta}/3, R_{c}/3) \) in the fractional coordinates. When \( R_{i} = \pm 1 \) \((i = a, \beta, \text{or} \ c)\), the Wannier function center has the same coordinate as that of the Si or Ge atom in the \( i \) direction. We can see that the Wannier function center is almost on the \( ab \)-plane with a very small out-of-plane component. The in-plane coordinates of the Wannier function center coincide with those of the Si or Ge atoms, undergoing an abrupt change at the topological phase transition. The Wannier function center, located only on the A or B sublattices (the Si or Ge atoms), corresponds to the sublattice pseudospin. Considering that the electronic contribution to the electric polarization is equal to \( 2e\vec{R}_{WF} \), we can confirm that the in-plane electric polarization is a purely electronic one and directly corresponds to the sublattice pseudospin polarization. The in-plane electric polarization can be expressed as \( P_{a} = (2e)(a/3)R_{a} \), where \( R_{a} = \pm 1 \) corresponding to the in-plane component of the Wannier function center serves as the sublattice pseudospin.

Because the number of gapless edge states is determined by the bulk topology according to the bulk-edge correspondence, zigzag-edge nanoribbons were investigated for \( V = -0.5 \text{ V/nm} \), respectively. Comparing Fig. 5d–f corresponding to \( 1 \), we have investigated H-terminated 32-ZNR with an edge potential of \(-2 \text{ eV} \) at the Si edge and \(+2 \text{ eV} \) at the Ge edge (see Methods section), which forms a topological domain wall at each edge. The edge potential was chosen high enough that the topological confinement effect of the edge potential could exceed the finite size effect. As shown in Fig. 5d,e, there are gapless edge states within the bulk gap, which are well confined on an edge. Figure 5f shows a schematic for the propagating states at \( E_{F} \). At each edge, backscattering of the gapless edge states is forbidden by the valley separation in the Brillouin zone because reversal of the propagating direction requires a reversal of the valley. At each edge, the number of gapless edge states per valley is 1 and is equal to the valley Chern number \( C_{V} = 1 \), which satisfies the bulk-edge correspondence.

Similar results can be obtained by using asymmetric edge terminations. Figure 5g–i correspond to 32-ZNR with H-terminated Ge edge and OH-terminated Si edge. As shown in Fig. 5g,h, there are gapless edge states within the bulk gap, which are well confined on an edge. Figure 5i shows a schematic for the propagating states at \( E_{F} \). Only at the right edge (Ge edge), backscattering is forbidden and the number of gapless edge states per valley is equal to \( C_{V} = 1 \). By counting the number of the valley- and edge-resolved states propagating in a given direction, the quantized valley Hall conductivity \( \sigma_{V} \) can be estimated in units of \( e^{2}/h \). \( \sigma_{V} = 2 e^{2}/h \) in Fig. 5f and \( \sigma_{V} = 1 e^{2}/h \) in Fig. 5i.

To determine whether an in-plane electric field induces the topological phase transition between \( C_{V} = \pm 1 \), we have investigated H-terminated 32 ZNR with an edge potential of \(-2 \text{ eV} \) at the Si edge and \(+2 \text{ eV} \) at the Ge edge under an in-plane transverse electric field \( E_{y} \) as shown in Fig. 6. Figure 6a–d, e–f correspond to \( E_{y} = 0.5 \) and \(-0.5 \text{ V/nm} \), respectively. Comparing Fig. 5d–f corresponding to \( E_{y} = 0 \), we can see that the gapless edge states and the quantum valley Hall conductivity do not change under the electric fields, indicating that the in-plane transverse electric field does not induce a topological phase transition. As \( |E_{y}| \) increases, the band crossing point near \( E_{y} \) moves toward or away from \( k = \pi/a \) as shown in Fig. 6a,d. As \( |E_{y}| \) further increases, the band gap opens as shown in Fig. 6g,h corresponding to \( |E_{y}| = 1.5 \text{ V/nm} \).

To summarize, we have investigated tiny-gap semiconductor SiGe monolayer by using the density functional theory calculations. We found that the SiGe monolayer is a quantum valley Hall insulator with spontaneous electric polarization, undergoing a topological phase transition under a small biaxial strain. Strain-dependent on-site Coulomb potentials of the Si-3p and Ge-4p orbitals seem to be responsible for the quantum valley Hall state and the topological phase transition. The topological phase transition entails abrupt inversion of the in-plane electric polarization directly corresponding to inversion of the sublattice pseudospin polarization, while the out-of-plane electric polarization shows a linear response regardless of the topological phase transition. Thus, the quantum valley Hall state described by a two-dimensional Dirac Hamiltonian with mass gap entails in-plane ferroelectricity corresponding to a sublattice pseudospin ferromagnetism.

**Methods**

A SIESTA package, which uses a localized linear combination of the numerical atomic-orbital basis sets, was employed for the DFT calculations. A generalized gradient approximation of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof was used for the exchange and correlation potential. A double-\( \zeta \) polarized basis set and norm-conserving fully-relativistic pseudopotentials were used. The plane-wave cutoff energy of 350 Ry and \( k \)-points of \( 100 \times 100 \times 1 \) meshes in a Monkhorst-Pack scheme were used. The atomic coordinates were optimized by using the conjugated gradients method with a maximum force tolerance of 0.1 eV/nm. The vacuum spacing for the SiGe monolayer was set to 2.6 nm. Zigzag-edge nanoribbons were considered as a one-dimensional system periodic in a zigzag direction. A periodic sawtooth potential was used to simulate the external electric fields \( E_{x} \) and \( E_{z} \) applied along the \( y \) and \( z \) axes, respectively. If not specified, the spin–orbit coupling is neglected.
To apply a staggered AB-sublattice potential, the LDA+U method implemented in SIESTA was used with the option that the $U$ parameter is interpreted as a local potential shift. The applied potential difference between the Ge-4$p$ and Si-3$p$ orbitals corresponds to $\Delta V = U_{\text{Ge-4p}} - U_{\text{Si-3p}}$, where $U_{\text{Ge-4p}}$ and $U_{\text{Si-3p}}$ are the $U$ parameters for the Ge-4$p$ and Si-3$p$ orbitals, respectively. In zigzag-edge nanoribbons, edge potentials were applied by using $U_{\text{Ge-4p}}$ and $U_{\text{Si-3p}}$ at the edge sites. The macroscopic electric polarization was calculated by using the Berry phase approach implemented in SIESTA. The electric polarization is only defined modulo a quantum of the polarization $P_0 = 2eR$, where $e$ and $R$ are the elementary charge and an arbitrary lattice vector, respectively. We chose the values between $\pm P_0 / 2$ as the electric polarization. The electric polarization was normalized by the cell area and is given in units of $C/m$.

Using the wavefunctions obtained from the DFT calculations, maximally localized Wannier functions were constructed within the Wannier90 code. Berry curvatures were calculated based on the Wannier interpolation.

---

**Figure 5.** Zigzag-edge nanoribbons. Geometric structures of (a) H-terminated 8-ZNR and (b) 8-ZNR with H-terminated Ge edge and OH-terminated Si edge. Red, green, blue, and black balls correspond to Ge, Si, O, and H, respectively. (c) Band structure of H-terminated 32-ZNR. (d–f) H-terminated 32-ZNR with edge potential of $-2$ eV at the Si edge and $+2$ eV at the Ge edge. (g–i) 32-ZNR with H-terminated Ge edge and OH-terminated Si edge. (d–g) Band structures. In (f–i), the up and down arrows correspond to the opposite propagating directions. The solid and dashed arrows represent the opposite valleys. $\sigma_V$ is a quantized valley Hall conductivity in units of $e^2/h$. 

---
Using the anomalous Hall conductivity calculation routine implemented in Wannier90 code, the valley-resolved Chern number \( C(\tau) \) was calculated by integrating the Berry curvature near a valley. The valley Chern number was obtained as 

\[
C_v = C(K+) - C(K-) .
\]

\( K^+ \) and \( K^- \) represent the inequivalent \( K \) points in the Brillouin zone, corresponding to the opposite valleys.

**Figure 6.** Zigzag-edge nanoribbons under a transverse electric field. H-terminated 32-ZNR with edge potential of \(-2.0\) eV at the Si edge and \(+2.0\) eV at the Ge edge. (a–c) \( E_y = 0.5 \) V/nm. (d–f) \( E_y = -0.5 \) V/nm. (g) \( E_y = 1.5 \) V/nm. (h) \( E_y = -1.5 \) V/nm. (a,d,g,h) Band structures. (b,e) \( |\Psi|^2 \) at \( E_F \). (c,f) Schematics for the propagating states at \( E_F \). In (c,f), the up and down arrows correspond to the opposite propagating directions. The solid and dashed arrows represent the opposite valleys. \( \sigma_v \) is a quantized valley Hall conductivity in units of \( e^2/h \).
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