INTRODUCTION

Artificial insemination (AI) has been widely used in the swine industry, and the quality of spermatozoa is the most critical factor for successful AI. The assessment of spermatozoa quality before AI has been performed by assessing a number of basic parameters based on sperm concentration, motility, and morphology (World Health Organization, 1992; Correa and Zavos, 1994), and most of the AI centers in Korea rely on these primary parameters for determination of sperm quality. However, many studies have shown the limitations of these primary parameters in the assessment of sperm quality. Although these parameters have been regarded as criteria of normal spermatogenesis and fertilization potential (Snaacke, 1983; Amann, 1989),...
they cannot be used as reliable predictors of fertilizing ability (Glass and Ericsson, 1979; Blasco, 1984; Bostofte et al., 1984; Foldesy et al., 1986; Hoing et al., 1986; Amann, 1989). Fertilization disorders due to poor sperm quality result in huge monetary loss in both AI centers and swine farms. To avoid this kind of unpredicted economic loss, a functional assessment of sperm quality is necessary. Several methods have been developed such as cervical mucus penetration, oocyte penetration assay, and measurement of acrosin activity (World Health Organization, 1992). However, these methods require labor, and time consuming and specialized equipment that restrict field applications.

The common sperm defect that prevent normal fertilization through AI in large animal is an acrosome defect, the acrosomes are knobbed, ruffled, and incomplete (Chenoweth, 2005). The acrosome defect-mediated infertility has been reported in human (Aiiken et al., 1990; Moretti et al., 2005), boars, bulls, stallions, rams, and dogs (Nicander and Bane, 1966; Hurtgen and Johnson, 1982; Toyama and Itoh, 1993; Soderquist, 1998; Chenoweth, 2005; Santos et al., 2006). Identification of defective spermatozoa in early stage before AI is important for maintaining semen quality and good prolificacy.

In this study, the fertilization ability and detailed sperm motion characteristics, besides total motility and viability, from normal and low breeding grade boars were compared to identify the specific motion characteristics and possible structural defect in sperm morphology of the low breeding grade boar that can be easily applied as a parameter to exclude low quality sperm in AI centers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal selection and preparation of semen**

Normal and low breeding grade boars were selected from Darby genetics Inc. (Anseong, Korea) on the basis of AI breeding performance. The three selected boars were Landrace pigs. As shown in Table 1, semen from boar number 2012 showed a pregnancy rate of 49.7%, but semen from boar number 2004 and 2023 showed pregnancy rates greater than 70% by AI. Although delivery rates were approximately 50% for all boars, the average litter size and suckling piglets from boar 2012 were lower than those of boar 2004 and 2023 (Table 1). Based on these breeding performances, boar number 2004 and 2023 were regarded as normal and boar number 2012 as a low-breeding grade animal. In addition, motion characteristics of sperm from boar 2012 were compared to motion characteristics of sperm from boar 2004 and 2023 as positive control. Freshly ejaculated semen was immediately diluted in Beltsville thawing solution and sperm motility was measured. Spermatozoa with an initial motility of more than 75% were used in further analysis.

**Evaluation of sperm parameters**

A 20 μL aliquot spermatozoa was placed into a pre-warmed slide glass and analyzed by computer assisted semen analysis (CASA, Hamilton Thorne, Beverly, MA, USA) system. The parameters recorded for each sample were as follows: percentage of motile sperm, movement velocity such as rapid, medium, slow, and static, and movement characteristics such as progressiveness, average path velocity (VAP), straight line velocity (VSL), curvilinear velocity (VCL), sperm head elongation, and area rate.

**Acrosome staining assay by fluorescein isothiocyanate-Pisum sativum agglutinin**

Aliquots of boar sperm suspensions were smeared on glass slides and air-dried. Slides were fixed in 100% methanol for 10 min at room temperature. Thereafter, the smears of boar sperm suspensions were incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate-Pisum sativum agglutinin (FITC-PSA) (40 μg/mL phosphate buffered saline [PBS], pH 7.4, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA; L-0770) solution for 30 min at room temperature in a dark moisture chamber. The unbound FITC-PSA solution was washed out 5 times by PBS. Finally, a mounting solution (DAKO, Carpenteria, CA, USA; S3025) was used to fix the boar sperm smears.

**In vitro fertilization and culture**

Semen samples from each boar were divided to make at least 10 aliquots and each aliquots was washed two times by centrifugation with Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) supplemented with 0.1% bovine serum albumin at 2,000 g for 2 min. After washing, the sperm pellet was resuspended in modified Tris-buffered medium (mTBM) (Abeydeera and Day, 1997), which was pre-equilibrated for 18 h at 39°C under 5% CO₂. Just before fertilization, the sperm motility from each aliquot was assessed and sperm

---

**Table 1. Breeding performance of semen from boar number 2004, 2012, and 2013**

| Boar number | Age (Month) | No. of sow for AI | No. of pregnant sow (Pregnant rate, %) | Delivery rate (%) | Average No. of farrow | Average No. of suckling piglet |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2004        | 30          | 200               | 143 (71.5)                             | 51                | 11.0                 | 10.2                          |
| 2012        | 16          | 159               | 79 (49.7)                              | 50                | 9.2                  | 8.3                           |
| 2023        | 16          | 201               | 141 (70.1)                             | 50                | 12.3                 | 10.9                          |

AI, artificial insemination.
with more than 80% motility were used for in vitro fertilization (IVF). After 40 to 42 h of in vitro maturation of porcine oocytes, the cumulus cells were denuded by gentle pipetting with 0.1% hyaluronidase and washed three times in Tyrode’s medium containing 0.05% (v/v) polyvinyl alcohol (Bavister et al., 1983). Oocytes with even ooplasm and visible first polar body were used for all experiments. Groups of 15 oocytes were randomly placed into 40 μL droplets of mTBM in a 35×10 mm Petri dish (Falcon; Becton Dickinson Labware, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) covered with pre-warmed mineral oil. After appropriate dilution, 5 μL of the sperm suspension was added to the 40 μL droplet of fertilization medium (mTBM) to yield a final sperm concentration of 1×10^6 sperm/mL. For IVF, the two-step culture system (Gil et al., 2004) was used. The oocytes were co-incubated with sperm for 20 min at 38.5°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air. After 20 min of co-incubation with the sperm, the loosely attached sperms were removed from the zonapellucida (ZP) by gentle pipetting. The oocytes were then washed three times in mTBM and incubated in mTBM without sperm for 5 to 6 h at 38.5°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air. Thereafter, gametes were washed three times with embryo culture medium and cultured in 25 μL micro-drops (10 gametes/drop) of porcine zygote medium (Yoshioka et al., 2002). The embryos in cultured drops were covered with pre-warmed mineral oil and incubated at 38.5°C under a humidified atmosphere of 5% O2, 5% CO2, and 90% N2.

**Pronuclear staining**

Embryos were denuded by repeated pipetting in the presence of 1 mg/mL of hyaluronidase for 2 to 3 min. The embryos were then fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. For examination of pronuclear formation, embryos were treated with 5 μg/mL of Hoechst 33342 for 10 min. After washing twice in PBS containing 0.1% (w/v) polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), the embryos were mounted and observed under ultraviolet light with an excitation wavelength of 340 to 380 nm and an emission wavelength of 435 to 485 nm using a fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

**Statistical analysis**

Semen from three different boars was collected at five different times, and each sample was divided in triplicated to make 15 sperm samples per one tested boar to analyze the motion parameters. Results were compared by one way analysis of variance, using SPSS statistical package version 15.0 for windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NJ, USA). All data are expressed as means with standard deviation. The values were compared by paired t-test. The null hypothesis was rejected when the probability was <0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Comparison of viability and motion parameters between normal and low breeding grade semen**

The percentage of motile sperm from the three boars did not show any statistical difference. All three boars presented a sperm motility superior at 75% (Figure 1A). This level of initial motion parameters satisfies and is acceptable for AI. To identify the details of movement characteristics of the three semen samples, the distribution of rapid, medium, slow, and static sperm were calculated. Interestingly, semen from boar number 2012 presented a significantly reduced population of rapid sperm and an increased population of slow sperm compared to boar number 2004 and 2023 (Figure 1B and D). However, the proportion of medium and static sperm was not significantly different among the three semen samples (Figure 1C and E).

For a close inspection of detailed motion parameters, sperm progressiveness, VAP, VSL, and VCL from the three semen samples were analyzed. Percentage values of progressive sperm from boar 2004, 2012, and 2023 were 31.0±5.7%, 11.8±0.5%, and 27.8±9.0%, respectively, and the portion of progressive sperm from boar 2012 was significantly lower than that of boar 2004 and 2023 (Figure 2A). In addition, VAP and VSL of sperm from boar 2012 also showed a significant reduction compared to those of boar 2004 and 2023 (Figure 2B and C), although VCL did not show any significant difference (Figure 2D). Based on detailed motion parameter data, straightness and linearity index of sperm were calculated. As expected, sperm straightness and linearity from boar 2012 were significantly lower than those of boar 2004 and 2023 (Figure 3A and B). To identify the reason of low grade motion parameters in semen from boar 2012, morphological abnormal sperm parameters such as elongation rate and area of sperm head were observed. However, no significant difference among the three sperm samples was observed in sperm head morphologic index (Figure 3C and D).

**Assessment of oocyte penetration ability using in vitro fertilization assay**

To compare and identify the fertilization ability of sperm from boar 2012 and 2023, IVF was performed using in vitro matured pig oocytes. Sperm from boar 2012 and 2023 showed 3.4 and 75.45% of fertilization rate, respectively (Table 2). Interestingly, the number of oocytes presenting a pronucleus (PN) formation using sperm from boar 2012 was only four, one oocyte had 1PN and 3 oocytes had 2PN. However, sperm from boar 2023 fertilized 83 eggs out of 110 oocytes, and the number of PN in fertilized eggs varied from one to more than three (Table 2). Most of the spermatozoa from boar 2012 did not penetrate the ZP of oocytes and failed to form PN. They remained on the outer
area of the oocytes (Figure 4A). In contrast, a lower number of sperm nuclei were identified on the ZP region in fertilized oocytes with sperm from boar 2023 and PN formation was determined (Figure 4B).

**Comparison of acrosome formation**

To determine the reason behind the penetration defect of sperm from boar 2012, acrosome formation was analyzed using *Pisum sativum* agglutinin (PSA) staining. Most of the spermatozoa from boar 2023 showed a normal and full formation of the acrosome (Figure 5B). However, spermatozoa from boar 2012 showed none or broken acrosome formation (Figure 5A). Interestingly, spermatozoa from both boar 2012 and 2023 did not show severe morphological defects, such as short tail, abnormal contour, small and abnormal head, coiled or folded tail, and
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underdevelopment.

DISCUSSION

The selection criteria for semen in most of the AI centers in Korea and other countries are sperm motility, concentration, and morphology (Robinson and Buhr, 2005). Sperm motility is the single most important criterion in determining fertilization rates, and normal sperm motion characteristic parameters are imperative to determine the successful fertilization of tested spermatozoa. In the present study, semen was selected based on these motility and viability parameters and AI was performed. However, the semen from boar 2012 showed very low breeding performances, as shown in Table 1. This result strongly suggests that initial parameters such as sperm motility and concentration are not reliable criteria for successful fertilization, farrowing rate, and litter size through AI. Similarly in rabbit, sperm motility and morphology based parameters showed up to 45% variability in fertility results (Lavara et al., 2005), while a combination of parameters, including sperm motility, concentration, and viability showed 16% of variability in litter size (Quintero-Moreno et al., 2007). These previous reports also suggest that more reliable qualitative parameters are required to select sperm for AI.

Although the total motility and viability did not show any statistical significance among the tested sperm, significant differences were observed in percentage of rapid and slow moving sperm, indicating that semen from boar 2012 had a reduced number of rapid moving spermatozoa and an increased number of slow moving spermatozoa (Figure 1B and D, respectively). The percentage of motile sperm and sperm velocity has been well correlated to reproductive success. Thus, assessing both spermatozoa velocity of movement and total motility is recommended for the selection of qualitative semen for AI. In addition to sperm motility, CASA can automatically analyze other sperm motion characteristic parameters such as VCL, VAP, VSL, linearity (LIN), and straightness (STR). Although VCL did not show any significant difference among the tested semen samples, semen from boar 2012 showed a significant decreased in progressive sperm number, VAP, and VSL compared to semen from boar 2004 and 2023.
Figure 3. Comparison of motion and morphological index. Two motion indices were evaluated for boar 2004, 2012, and 2023. (A) straightness (STR, %) was calculated as the ratio of straight-line velocity (VSL) to average path velocity (VAP), and (B) linearity (LIN, %) was defined as the ratio of VSL to curvilinear velocity (VCL). Morphological abnormality was evaluated by measuring (C) sperm head elongation ratio (%) and (D) area (μm²). Elongation was calculated by the ratio of minor to major axis of sperm head, and area corresponded to the head size. Semen was collected at five different times from the boars (n = 5). The collected semen was divided in triplicates and applied to CASA analysis. The results are expressed as mean±SD. *p<0.05. CASA, computer assisted semen analysis; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2. Analysis of sperm fertilization ability using IVF

| Type of sperm (Boar number) | No. of oocytes for IVF | No. of oocytes with | % of fertilized oocytes |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                             |                        | 1 PN | 2 PN | 3 PN | >3PN | PN formation |
| Normal (2023)               | 110                    | 5    | 25   | 26   | 28   | 83           | 75.45      |
| Defect (2012)               | 119                    | 1    | 3    | 0    | 0    | 4            | 3.4        |

IVF, in vitro fertilization; PN, pronucleus.
Figure 4. Comparison of pronucleus formation after \textit{in vitro} fertilization of porcine oocytes. (A) Porcine oocytes \textit{in vitro} fertilized with sperm from boar number 2012 and (B) 2023. White arrows indicate pronuclei, the red arrow indicates a polar body of unfertilized oocytes, and yellow circles indicate non-fertilized sperm heads containing nucleic acid (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, ×100).

Figure 5. Comparison of acrosome formation in sperm from boar number 2012 and 2023. Acrosome was stained with FITC-PSA probe. (A) sperm from boar 2012, and (B) sperm from boar 2023. Yellow circles show the spermatozoa with acrosome defect and white circles contain the sperm head formed with normal acrosome (FITC-PSA, ×400). FITC-PSA, Fluorescein isothiocyanate-Pisum sativum agglutinin.
development of the early embryo (Saacke, 1990). In the first case, the matter of failure to reach the fertilization site is closely related to the motion parameter characteristics, and this kind of defects can be solved by increasing the number of sperm used in AI (Saacke, 1990). However, the second case cannot be solved by an increase in sperm numbers, since it is caused by other problems such as genetic defects (Chenoweth, 2005).

In the present study, fertilization ability of boar 2012 using IVF assay was very low compared to boar 2023. Pronucleus formation of sperm from boar 2012 showed only 3.4% (Table 2), indicating that most of the sperm from boar 2012 had a critical penetration defect into the oocyte ZP. In general, when spermatozoa reach the oocyte, they initiate the acrosome reaction and release an enzyme called acrosin, which degrades the oocyte ZP to allow spermatozoa to penetrate into the oocyte cytosol, where the fertilization is finally completed. However, most of the sperm from boar 2012 did not penetrate the oocytes (Figure 4A), suggesting that spermatozoa from boar 2012 present a certain acrosome defect. Consequently, acrosome staining data using PSA staining method revealed that sperm from boar 2012 showed an abnormal formation of the acrosome compared to boar 2023. These data strongly indicated that the acrosome defect in sperm from boar 2012 caused poor motion characteristics and IVF failure.

The causes of acrosome defect may be environmental, genetic, or a combination of both (Chenoweth, 2005), and it is difficult to eradicate structurally defective spermatozoa from the semen before AI at any rate. However, it is essential to increase the probability to select normal and high quality semen by simple and easy observations that can be performed at the AI center. In this regard, the present study recommends two simple and easy methods to select normal grade sperm together with primary parameters such as viability and concentration. These methods are the observation of detailed motion parameters and acrosome staining. Most of the AI centers have a CASA assay system, and CASA can provide not only basic information such as motility, viability, and concentration, but also valuable detailed motion parameters such as progressiveness, VAP, and VSL. Based on this information, low quality semen may be discriminated using CASA analysis. Then, semen containing spermatozoa with an acrosome defect can be eliminated by simple PSA staining which takes only 30 min, at most.

In summary, we compared the semen from boars presenting low and normal breeding performance. Although the low breeding performance semen presented similar viability and motility compared to the normal breeding performance semen, its detailed motion characteristics, such as progressiveness, VAP, and VSL were lower. In addition, acrosome staining using PSA assay clearly determined the defect of acrosome formation in the semen showing low breeding performances. Thus, the present study strongly recommend the inclusion of detailed motion parameter analysis and acrosome staining before selection of semen for AI to enhance the semen quality and breeding performance.
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