TP53/miR-34a-associated signaling targets SERPINE1 expression in human pancreatic cancer
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ABSTRACT

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a disease of aging. The TP53 gene product regulates cell growth, aging, and cancer. To determine the important targets of TP53 in PDAC, we examined the expression of 440 proteins on a reverse phase protein array (RPPA) in PDAC-derived MIA-PaCa-2 cells which either had WT-TP53 or lacked WT-TP53. MIA-PaCa-2 cells have a TP53 mutation as well as mutant KRAS and represent a good in vitro model to study PDAC. RPPA analysis demonstrated expression of tumor promoting proteins in cells that lacked WT-TP53; and this feature could be reversed significantly when the cells were transfected with vector encoding WT-TP53 or treated with berberine or a modified berberine (BBR). Expression of miR-34a-associated signaling was elevated in cells expressing WT-TP53 compared to cells expressing mTP53. Results from in vivo studies using human PDAC specimens confirmed the in vitro results as the expression of miR-34a and associated signaling was significantly decreased in PDAC specimens compared to non-cancerous tissues. This study determined SERPINE1 as a miR-34a target with relevance to the biology of PDAC. Thus, we have identified a key target (SERPINE1) of the TP53/miR-34a axis that may serve as a potential biomarker for early detection of pancreatic cancer.

INTRODUCTION

The risk of developing cancer of the pancreas increases with age; it was estimated that only 13% of all patients with pancreatic cancer are diagnosed before the age of 60 [1]. The increasing incidence and mortality from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) are medical issues of paramount importance [2, 3]. Current treatments combining surgical resection and chemotherapy are only minimally effective [4, 5]. In most cases, by the time PDAC is diagnosed, it has already spread to distant sites, making treatment an impossible task. PDAC is the ninth most common cancer in the USA, has the highest mortality of any cancer, and will soon be the second most common cause of cancer death in USA [6, 7].

Two of the key genes involved in the development of PDAC are KRAS and TP53 [8]. KRAS (activation) mutations occur in about 90% of PDAC while TP53 (inactivation) mutations occur in approximately 75% of pancreatic cancers [9]. Apart from mutations in these genes, host cell microRNAs (miRNAs) also have crucial roles to play in various biological processes, including: inflammation, cell growth, aging, differentiation, proliferation, and metastasis [10, 11]. Increasing evidence in recent years suggests that miRNAs control the development and progression of inflammation and cancer [12–15]. In this study we focused on miR-34a over other miRNAs because of the following reasons: (i) Expression of miR-34a is significantly down-regulated or absent in a variety of cancers including hepatocellular and renal cell carcinomas, colon, breast, lung, prostate, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers [16–22]; (ii) The two major oncogenes that are mutated in PDAC are KRAS and TP53 [23]; (iii) TP53 directly transactivates miR-34a
expression [24] while mutated KRAS indirectly lowers expression of miR-34a via the transcription factor, ZEB1 [25, 26]. Therefore, inactivation of TP53 and increases in mutated KRAS expression result in a sharp decline in miR-34a expression during tumorigenesis.

The miR-34 family contains three members and is encoded by two genes located on chromosomes 1 and 11 [27]. The mature miR-34a shares 86% identity (19/22 nt) with miR-34b and 82% identity (18/22 nt) with miR-34c, respectively. The position 2-9 adjacent at the 5’ end (8 nt) is considered the “seed region” for all three members [27–29]. Among these members, miR-34a is expressed at higher levels than miR-34b/c, with the exception of the lung [30]. miR-34a is a key regulator of tumor suppression and is considered to have a broad anti-oncogenic activity [30]. We hypothesize miR-34a to play a major role in the development of PDAC. As of this date, there are limited investigations conducted to understand the roles of miR-34a in the biology of PDAC. Therefore, the focus of this study was to decipher a potential role for TP53>miR-34a-associated signaling in pancreatic cancer using in vitro and in vivo models. Our study determined a decrease in the expression of miR-34a in human PDAC specimens. Using in vitro and in vivo approaches, we ascertained SERPIN1 to be a target of miR-34a and their patho-physiological significance is discussed.

RESULTS

Profiling of tumor promoting and suppressor proteins in response to expression of wild-type TP53 in MIA-PaCa-2 cells

RPPA assay was performed to elucidate the effects of expressing WT-TP53 in MIA-PaCa-2 cells. The crucial step prior to performing the RPPA assay was to characterize the MIA-PaCa-2 cells used in this study. This is important as these cells expressing the mTP53 and WT-TP53 form the basis for the in vitro experiments conducted in this study. The MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 cells were more sensitive to the chemotherapeutic drugs compared to MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells (Supplementary Figure 1). Similar results have been reported by earlier studies [23, 31–33]. The above results authenticate the physiological effects of expressing different forms of TP53 and associated cell signaling. RPPA is a high-throughput technology based on the detection of proteins along with their post-translational protein modifications, e.g., cleavage and phosphorylation [34]. To this end, we performed RPPA using a selection of 446 antibodies (Supplementary Table 1). RPPA analysis revealed a mTP53-dependent modulation of multiple cell signaling molecules involved in cell proliferation and survival (Figure 1A). Further, the analysis documented an increase and decrease in the expression of specific proteins that promoted tumor formation (Table 1) in MIA-PaCa-2 cells with mutated TP53 (MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN) compared to MIA-PaCa-2 cells expressing WT-TP53 (MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53). The expression of proteins in parental MIA-PaCa-2 untransfected cells followed a similar pattern as expressed in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells (data not shown).

Expression of DNMT1, S6 (phosphorylated on serine residues at 240 and 244), and GSK-3β (phosphorylated on serine residue at 21 of GSK3α or serine 9 of GSK-3β) were elevated in MIA-PaCa-2 cells with mTP53 (MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN) (Table 2) and MIA-PaCa-2 cells (data not shown). On the same lines, expression of Bax, cleaved caspase-3, and cleaved caspase-8 were down-regulated in MIA-PaCa-2 cells expressing WT-TP53 (MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53) (Table 2). Thus, the cellular events seem to promote cell survival while actually inhibiting apoptosis in cells expressing mTP53 (Figure 1B). RPPA analysis demonstrated a crucial role for the WT-TP53 in mediating anti-tumor activity via modulating cell signaling.

Effect of treating MIA-PaCa-2 cells with BBR and MBBR on cell division, proliferation, survival, migration, and apoptosis

Earlier studies by us determined that BBR and MBBR inhibited proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells [31, 32]. In the current study, we determined the effect of treating MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells with BBR and MBBR (NAX060) on cell signaling using RPPA. Treatment of MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells (carrying mTP3) with BBR and MBBR altered the expression of 11 proteins to varying extents (Table 3). Each of these proteins influence tumorigenesis by regulating cell cycle progression, survival, proliferation, apoptosis and DNA repair. The effects of BBR and MBBR on the proliferation of MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells is presented in the schematic (Figure 2). The schematic also represents the manner by which BBR and MBBR may directly or indirectly alter the expression of mTP53-associated signaling molecules (Figure 1A). RPPA analysis demonstrated the ability of BBR and MBBR to promote anti-tumor activity in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN and MIA-PaCa-2 (data not shown) cells by inhibiting cell cycle progression, proliferation, and survival to varying extents.

WT-TP53 enhances expression of miR-34a in MIA-PaCa2 cells

TP53 directly transactivates miR-34a expression [24]. Therefore, we set out to compare the expression levels of
miR-34a in MIA-PaCa-2 cells in vitro. The expression levels of miR-34a were significantly lower in the pancreatic cancer cell lines MIA-PaCa-2 and MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN than those of MIA-PaCa2 cells that were stably transfected with vector encoding WT-TP53 (MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53) (Figure 3A). Mock transfection (data not shown) did not significantly alter the expression profile of miR-34a. These results indicate the following: a) miR-34a levels are inherently lower in cells derived from pancreatic cancer which have a mTP53; and b) There is a direct positive correlation between the expression of WT-TP53 and miR-34a.

Figure 1. Changes in protein expression profile in MIA-PaCa-2 cells expressing pLXSN compared to WT-TP53. (A) Protein expression was assayed by RPPA. Proteins indicated in red and green denotes increased and decreased expression, respectively. Genes in red and green indicate tumor promoting and suppressor activities, respectively. (B) Schematic demonstrating cell signaling in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells promoting cell survival (in red) while significantly inhibiting apoptosis (in green).
Table 1. RPPA analysis demonstrating the tumor promoting milieu in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells compared to MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 cells.

| Protein name, and phosphorylation status | Gene symbol | Function | GenBank accession no. | Fold change in protein expression |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **INCREASE IN EXPRESSION:**              |             |          |                       |                                   |
| AKT serine/threonine kinase 2 (AKT2)     | AKT2        | Promotes cancer formation | AAI20996.1 | 2.0                              |
| Cyclin dependent kinase 1 (CDK1_pT14)    | CDK1        | Promotes cell division   | NP_001777.1 | 2.8                              |
| Connexin-43 (Cx43)                       | GJA1        | Correlates with cancer metastasis | AAA52131.1 | 5.0                              |
| Cyclin-B1                                | CCNB1       | Promotes cell survival   | EAW51306.1  | 2.4                              |
| Dual specificity phosphatase 6 (DUSP6)   | DUSP6       | Drives poor prognosis in cancer | BAA34369.1  | 3.2                              |
| Glycogen synthase kinase 3α/β (GSK-3α/β_pS21_S9) | GSK-3α/β | Promotes cell growth & invasion | NP_063937.2  | 2.1                              |
| Minor histocompatibility protein HA-1 (HMHA1) | HMHA1    | Induces cell spread      | AAH48129.1  | 5.3                              |
| mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 9 (MLK1) | MLK1 | Induces necroptosis      | AAB26359.1  | 2.7                              |
| Protein kinase-β II (PKC-β-II_pS660)     | PRKCB       | Promotes signaling to cause cancer | P05771.2    | 2.0                              |
| Pyruvate kinase M1/2 (PKM2)              | PKM2        | Drives poor prognosis in cancer | AAH94767.1  | 2.1                              |
| Polo like kinase 1 (PLK1)                | PLK1        | Promotes proliferation and suppress apoptosis | NP_005021.2 | 3.1                              |
| Retinoblastoma protein (Rb_pS807_S811)   | Rb1         | Phosphorylation of Rb inactivates the protein | AAH40540.1  | 2.7                              |
| Ribonucleotide reductase regulatory subunit M2 (RRM2) | RRM2 | Drives poor prognosis in cancer | NP_001025.1 | 2.4                              |
| 40S ribosomal protein S6 (S6_pS235_S236)  | S6          | Promotes cell survival   | NP_001001.2 | 3.4                              |
| 40S ribosomal protein S6 (S6_pS240-S244)  | S6          | Promotes cell survival   | NP_001001.2 | 3.8                              |
| SMAD family member 1 (SMAD1)             | SMAD1       | A crucial role in development of cancer | AAC50790.1  | 2.0                              |
| Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) | VEGF2 | Induces angiogenesis      | P35968.2    | 2.5                              |
| **DECREASE IN EXPRESSION:**              |             |          |                       |                                   |
| NAD(P)H quinone dehydrogenase 1 p21       | NQO1        | Regulates autophagy      | AAI7740.1   | 0.3                              |
| Serum/Glucocorticoid Regulated Kinase 1 (SGK1) | SGK1      | Tumor suppressor         | AAB29246.1  | 0.5                              |
| von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor (VHL)  | VHL         | Inhibits cancer cell invasion and migration | AAH01263.1  | 0.4                              |

Table 2. RPPA analysis demonstrating changes in the expression of proteins that promote cell survival while decreasing apoptosis in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells compared to MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 cells.

| Protein name, and phosphorylation status | Gene symbol | Function | GenBank accession no. | Fold change in protein expression |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **PROMOTING CELL SURVIVAL:**             |             |          |                       |                                   |
| DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1)           | DNMT1       | Promotes cell survival | AAI26228.1 | 2.4                              |
| 40S ribosomal protein S6 (S6_pS240-S244)  | S6          | Promotes cell survival | NP_001001.2 | 3.8                              |
| Glycogen synthase kinase 3α/β (GSK-3α/β_pS21_S9) | GSK-3α/β | Promotes cell survival | NP_063937.2  | 2.1                              |
| **DECREASING TUMOR SUPPRESSION:**        |             |          |                       |                                   |
| BCL2 associated X, apoptosis regulator (BAX) | BAX        | Promotes apoptosis      | Q07812.1    | 0.3                              |
| Cleaved caspase-3 (Caspase-3)            | CASP3       | Promotes apoptosis      | CAC88666.1  | 0.4                              |
| Cleaved caspase-8 (Caspase-8)            | CASP8       | Promotes apoptosis      | BAB32555.1  | 0.3                              |
Table 3. RPPA analysis demonstrating the fold change in activity of proteins in response to treating MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells with BBR and MBBR.

| Protein name, and phosphorylation status | Gene symbol | Function | GenBank accession no. | % drop in expression |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| AXL receptor tyrosine kinase (AXL)      | AXL         | Promotes proliferation, stem cell phenotype | AAH32229.1           | 34% 46%              |
| Dynamin-related protein 1 (DRP-1)       | DRP-1       | Promotes cell survival, migration | O00429.2             | 44% 43%              |
| Eukaryotic elongation factor 2 kinase (eE2K) | eE2K       | Promotes cell survival, proliferation | AAH32665.1           | 31% 38%              |
| Glycogen synthase kinase 3α/β (GSK-3α/β) | GSK-3α/β   | Promotes cell growth & invasion | NP_063937.2          | 92% 33%              |
| Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) | HER2       | Correlates with worse survival | P04626.1             | 39% 92%              |
| Jagged canonical Notch ligand 1 (JAG1)  | JAG1        | Promotes migration and invasion of cells | NP_000205.1          | 38% 42%              |
| Paired box 8 (PAX8)                     | PAX8        | Promotes cell proliferation | AAB34216.1           | 54% 44%              |
| Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1)  | PDK1        | Promotes cell growth and survival | AAH39158.1           | 86% 35%              |
| Ribosomal protein S6 kinase B1 (S6K1)   | S6K1        | Promotes cell proliferation | P23443.2             | 52% 37%              |
| X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP)   | XIAP        | Inhibitor of apoptosis | NP_001191330.1       | 70% 33%              |

One miRNA may target several genes. By using the miRmap and PiCTar tool algorithms [35, 36], we identified potential targets for miR-34a (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Analysis of RPPA data identified expression of a few of the miR-34a target proteins was altered in MIA-PaCa-2 cells. We determined a significant decrease in the expression of putative miR-34a targets (ATG4B, AXL, GATA3, JAG1, LDHA, MAP2K1, MYT1, NOTCH1, PEA-15, SERPINE1, and SNAIL) in MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 compared to MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN (Figure 3B). Expression of putative miR-34a targets (PCD4 and MAPT) were significantly elevated in MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 compared to MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN (Figure 3B). The effect of expressing...
WT-TP53 on the miR-34a targets at the level of transcription was monitored in cells by qRT-PCR. qRT-PCR data (Figure 3C) corroborated the RPPA analysis. The study established an inverse correlation between the expression of miR-34a and its target genes.

**In vivo expression profile of miR-34a reflects its in vitro expression pattern**

To monitor in vivo expression of miR-34a, we used human pancreas samples obtained from PDAC patients with appropriate controls. The expression levels of miR-34a were measured employing qRT-PCR with the SYBR green detection and specific forward primer for the mature miRNA sequence and the universal adaptor reverse primer (GeneCopoeia, USA). Our preliminary results (Figure 4A) demonstrate a significant decrease in the levels of miR-34a in PDAC tumors when compared to healthy pancreas controls. The next obvious question was to understand the expression profiles of the set of putative miR-34a target genes that were significantly altered in vitro (Figure 3B, 3C). The expression profile of the miR-34a target genes (ATG4B, AXL, GATA3, JAG1, LDHA, MAP2K1, MYT1, NOTCH1, PEA-15, SERPINE1, and SNAIL) followed an identical expression pattern (Figure 4B). Expression of PCD4 was at undetectable levels in vivo (Figure 4B). Interestingly, expression of SERPINE1 was significantly greater than
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**Figure 3. miR-34a expression in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells.** (A) qRT-PCR was conducted to determine the miR-34a expression in MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 and MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells. Briefly, approximately 500 ng of RNA was reverse transcribed in a 25 μl reaction volume using the All-in-one miRNA qRT-PCR detection kit (GeneCopoeia, Rockville, MD). The synthesized cDNAs were used in the PCR reaction. The expression levels of miR-34a were measured employing the SYBR green detection and specific forward primer for the mature miRNA sequence and the universal adaptor reverse primer (GeneCopoeia, USA). Two-tailed P value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant: ***p < 0.001. (B) The putative targets of miR-34a that were significantly altered in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN and MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 cells when the cells were treated with BBR and MBBR. A select few of the miR-34a target proteins that were significantly altered by treatment of MIA-PaCa-2 cells with BBR and NAX060 are projected. The data represent average of three individual experiments. (C) qRT-PCR was conducted to determine the expression of miR-34a-target genes in MIA-PaCa2+pLXSN and MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 cells. qRT-PCR was performed to monitor expression of the different miR-34a-putative target genes in untreated MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells and MIA-PaCa-2 expressing WT-TP53 or those treated with BBR and MBBR, respectively, using specific primers and SYBR green detection as per standard protocols. Bars represent average ± s.d. of three individual experiments.
any other miR-34a target genes of interest. This along with the fact that little is known about miR-34a>SERPINE1 associated signaling led us to further investigate the biology of this interaction in pancreatic cancer.

**miR-34a targets SERPINE1**

The secondary structure of the pre-miR-34a was predicted using the RNAstructure software [37] (Supplementary Figure 2). By using the DIANA and MiRmap tool algorithms, we identified a putative miR-34a binding site located in the 3′-UTR of SERPINE1 mRNA (Supplementary Figure 3). To confirm the ability of miR-34a to specifically inhibit SERPINE1 expression, we monitored the expression of SERPINE1 in target cells that were untransfected, transfected with miR-34A mimic, or miR-NC. The range of doses tested in this study is comparable to those reported in the earlier studies [38–40]. The doses of the mimic and inhibitor used in the study did not significantly induce cell death in MIApaCa-2+pLXSN cells (Figure 5A, 5B). Transfection of MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells with the miR-34a mimic significantly lowered the expression of SERPINE1 and PAI-1 levels at 24h post transfection compared to untransfected cells and cells transfected with miR-NC (Figure 5C, 5D). There was an inverse correlation observed in the expression of miR-34a and SERPINE1 and PAI-1 levels in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells (Figure 5D, 5E). These results authenticate the fact that SERPINE1 expression may well be regulated by miR-34a.

In order to determine the *bona fide* target of miR-34a, a luciferase reporter assay was performed. In this assay, two quantifiable genes encoding luciferase proteins were cloned in a vector. The SERPINE1 3′ UTR with the target region was placed downstream GLuc to regulate its translation, and SEAP was placed under no regulation for normalization. 293 cells were co-transfected with the SERPINE1 3′ UTR vector plasmid and miR-34a mimic. miR-34a mimic significantly decreased the relative luciferase activity compared to the cells that were transfected with miR-NC (Figure 6). In contrast, transfection of cells with miR-inhibitor reversed the ability of miR-34a mimic from lowering the luciferase activity (Figure 6). These results suggest that miR-34a directly targets SERPINE1 and thereby downregulates its expression.

**DISCUSSION**

The *TP53* tumor suppressor gene is also known as the “guardian of the genome” as it serves to identify DNA damage, pause cell cycle progression to allow for repair, and when repair is not possible, to induce apoptosis [41, 42]. The multiplatform molecular analysis of the PDAC-derived target cells exhibits a range of neoplastic cellularity representative of the clinico-pathologic

---

**Figure 4. Expression profile of miR-34a in human PDAC samples.** (A) miR34-a expression levels are lower in PDAC specimens compared to healthy pancreas controls. We compared the expression of miR-34a in 10 specimens in each group. Student t test was performed to compare groups. Two-tailed *P* value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. *** *P* < 0.001. (B) qRT-PCR was conducted to determine the expression of miR-34a-target genes in human PDAC or healthy pancreas control specimens. Expression of miR-34a-target genes in human PDAC and healthy pancreas control specimens were detected by qRT-PCR using specific primers and SYBR green detection as per standard protocols. Bars represent average ± s.d. of three individual experiments. Two-tailed *P* value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant; *** *P* < 0.001.
Figure 5. miR-34a targets SERPINE1. (A, B) To determine the cytotoxic effect of miR-34a mimic and inhibitor, MIA-PaCa2+pLXSN cells were transfected with different concentrations of miR-34a mimic and inhibitor. At 24 h post transfection, MTT was added to each well and
the absorption was measured. Percentage of cell death was monitored for miR-34a mimic (miR-mimic) (A) and miR-inhibitor (B) compared with 0.01% DMSO as control. (C, D) miR-34a mimic significantly decreased expression of SERPINE1 and PAI-1 in MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells. MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN cells were untransfected, mock transfected, or transfected with miR-34a mimic or miR-NC. At the end of 24h of incubation at 37°C, the cells were lysed, RNA extracted (panel C), cDNA synthesized, and SERPINE1 expression monitored by qRT-PCR. In another set of experiments, the cells were lysed were probed for PAI-1 expression by Western blotting (panel D). (E) The relative expression of SERPINE1 and miR-34a in MIA-PaCa-2 target cells was monitored by qRT-PCR. The expression was measured in terms of cycle threshold value (Ct) and normalized to expression of β-actin and snRNA RNU6B, respectively. The x-axis denotes the cell type and y-axis denotes fold change in expression of SERPINE1 and miR-34a. The R2 values for the miRNA expression are provided. (F) In another set of experiments, the above cells were lysed and probed for PAI-1 expression by Western blotting (panel F). Bars (A–C, E) represent average ± s.d. of five individual experiments. Student t test was performed to compare groups. Two-tailed P value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. **p,0.01; ***p < 0.001; NS-not significant.

spectrum of this disease (Figure 1). The RPPA analysis demonstrated the following: (i) expression of mTP53-associated signaling promoted cell survival and proliferation while inhibiting apoptosis (Figure 1; Tables 1, 2). Cells with mTP53 alone (MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN) had an increase in the expression DUSP6 (Figure 1). The role of DUSP6 in tumor formation depends on the micro-environment [43]. Recent studies demonstrated over-expression of DUSP6 to induce tumor formation [44]; (ii) expression of WT-TP53

Figure 6. miR-34a specifically binds and interact with SERPINE1. Luciferase activity in 293 cells transfected with Dual-luciferase vector encoding Gaussia Luciferase (GLuc) and secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) with 3′UTR of SERPINE1 placed downstream of Glu luciferase reporter (SERPINE1 3’UTR). 293 cells were either transfected with SERPINE1 3’UTR, co-transfected with SERPINE1 3’UTR and miR-34a mimic, co-transfected with SERPINE1 3’UTR and control mimic (miR-NC), or co-transfected with SERPINE1 3’UTR, miR-34a mimic and miR-34a inhibitor. GLuc activity was monitored at 10 h, 22 h, 30 h, 40 h, 50 h, and 60 h post-transfection and was normalized to SEAP. Data is plotted as GLuc/SEAP ratio where the x-axis indicates the transfection and time points, and y-axis indicates the relative luciferase activity. Bars represent average ± s.d. of five individual experiments. Student t test was performed to compare groups. Two-tailed P value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. *p < 0.05; **p,0.01; ***p < 0.001; NS-not significant.
had an opposing effect on mTP53-associated signaling (Figure 1); (iii) Treatment of cells expressing mTP53 with BBR and MBBR can reverse cell signaling critical to tumor formation (Figure 2; Table 3). Inactivation of TP53 is believed to be a critical step in pancreatic cancer progression. The above results are a crucial piece of evidence to this work on miRNA as this allowed us to establish a cell culture model to study the effects of TP53 on miR-34a and associated signaling.

TP53 mutations frequently occur during the transition from benign pancreatic intra-epithelial neoplasia to the highly-aggressive, invasive and metastatic PDAC [45]. TP53 is a transcription factor that controls the expression of many key genes and miRNAs that are involved in the regulation of cell cycle progression, apoptosis, cellular senescence and other critical biological processes [46–48]. miR-34a expression in PDAC-derived cell lines like MIA-PaCa-2 cells is relatively low [49]. It was demonstrated in this study that miR-34a levels could be significantly increased in the same MIA-PaCa-2 cells when they were transfected with vector expressing WT-TP53 (Figure 3A). RPPA analysis also demonstrated a sharp decline in the expression of miR-34a-associated target genes in MIA-PaCa-2 cells over-expressing WT-TP53 compared to cells expressing mTP53 (Figure 3B, 3C). Overall, this is the first report to demonstrate a direct correlation between the WT-TP53 and miR-34a expression in PDAC-derived cells.

In order to appreciate the clinical relevance of the expression of miR-34a and its cognate targets in vivo, we monitored the expression profiles of miR-34a and associated signaling in vivo using PDAC specimens derived from human participants. miR-34a levels were significantly lower in PDAC specimens compared to healthy pancreatic tissues (Figure 4A). Also, we observed an increase in the expression of majority of the miR-34a targets (Figure 4B) that were analyzed by RPPA using lysates from MIA-PaCa-2 cells (Figure 3B, 3C). The only difference observed was as follows: (i) in vivo expression of PCD4 was at undetectable levels; and (ii) expression of SERPINE1 was significantly elevated compared to the rest of the miR-34a targets (Figure 5B). SERPINE1 levels have been identified to be significantly increased in colorectal cancer [50], lung cancer [51], gastric cancer [52], bladder cancer [53], head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [54], and others. Interestingly, earlier studies demonstrated ability of the SERPINE1 encoded protein, plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1), to mediate proliferation and invasion of PDAC-derived cell lines, including MIA-PaCa-2 cells [55]. A recent study also concluded that the expression of SERPINE1 is negatively-related to the survival of PDAC patients [56]. Nonetheless, there are only three manuscripts that describe the expression of SERPINE1 and its association with PDAC and they were all performed with cell line models [55–57]. This is the first report of that links miR-34a>SERPINE1 expressions to PDAC using an in vivo patient-derived sample model.

It is a known fact that multiple genes may be regulated by one miRNA [58]. On the same note, a single mRNA transcript may be regulated by multiple miRNAs [59]. It is more than likely that the relationships between miRNAs and their targets are not one-to-one but multiple-to-multiple in cancers as reported in gastric carcinogenesis [60]. Earlier studies have demonstrated SERPINE1 as a target of miR-34a in colorectal [61] and non-small cell lung cancer [62]. Using bioinformatics tools, we identified SERPINE1 to be a promising target to miR-34a (Supplementary Figure 3). The results from luciferase reporter assays confirmed SERPINE1 to be a target for miR-34a (Figure 6). Accordingly, there was an inverse correlation between the expression of miR-34a and SERPINE1 (Figure 5E). Taken together, our results for the first time demonstrates a direct link between TP53, miR-34a, and SERPINE1 expression profiles in the pathobiology of PDAC.

The SERPINE1 gene is located at 7q21.2-q22 and encodes a single chain glycoprotein of about 50kDa. The SERPINE1 gene is one of the main regulators of the plasminogen activator system (PAs). SERPINE1 inhibits the urokinase-type plasminogen (uPA) and tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA), which in turn, reduce the conversion of plasminogen to the active protease plasmin [21]. Thus, the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) encoded by the SERPINE1 gene regulates tumor cell migration and invasion crucial to tissue remodeling and tumorigenesis [63, 64]. PAI-1 protein can exist in two distinct forms: active and inactive forms. This is crucial because depending on the conformation, PAI-1 can activate distinct cell signaling pathways critical to development of tumors [65].

miR-34a expression inhibits components of inflammatory response [66]. miR-34a downregulates expression of NF-κB via APE1/Ref-1 or SEMA4B [67, 68]. Importantly, miR-34a targets more TP53 network genes compared to miR-34b/c [24]. miR-34a is a key regulator of tumor suppression and is considered to have a broad anti-oncogenic activity [30]. Expression of miR-34a is significantly down-regulated or absent in a variety of cancers including hepatocellular and renal cell carcinomas, colon, breast, lung, prostate, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers [16–22]. The focus of this study was on miR-34a; which is the target of TP53 [69]. In the process, we were able to identify a key link between
miR-34a, SERPINE1, and PDAC. Just as the age is a risk factor for the development of PDAC [70], PAI-1 is a part of the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) [71] and its expression is accordingly elevated in the elderly [72, 73]. Future studies are aimed at delineating the interactions between miR-34a and SERPINE1 in the context of PDAC and aging.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells**

The MIA-PaCa-2 (ATCC® CRM-CRL-1420™) carcinoma cell line was derived from a 65-year old Caucasian male [74]. MIA-PaCa-2 cells have the R248W TP53 GOF mutation. The R248W TP53 mutation present in MIA-PaCa-2 cells is a missense point mutation in the central DNA binding domain which abrogates its DNA contact [75]. This TP53 mutation results in a TP53 protein that is unable to bind to all TP53 target sequences in TP53-responsive genes and results in loss of its tumor suppressor properties [76, 77]. MIA-PaCa-2 cells also have an activating mutation at KRAS (G12C) and an elevated PI3K/AKT pathway activity. MIA-PaCa-2 cells were purchased from the ATCC (Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were cultured in medium containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) purchased from (Atlanta Biologicals, Atlanta, GA, USA) as described in [33]. Tissue culture medium (Dulbecco's modified Eagles medium, DMEM), antibiotics containing 1-glutamine and trypsin were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA).

**BBR and modified BBR (NAX060)**

BBR was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA). NAX060 compound was synthesized, purified and provided as a gift by Dr. Paolo Lombardi (Naxospharma, Milan, Italy) [78, 79].

**Infection of cells with a retroviral vector encoding WT-TP53**

The MIA-PaCa-2 cell line was infected with either a retroviral vector encoding WT-TP53 (MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53) or the empty pLXSN vector (MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN) as a control as described [23]. Stably infected cell lines were isolated in the presence of 2 mg/ml G418 (geneticin; Sigma-Aldrich). Pools were established after approximately four weeks in culture as per standard protocols [31].

**Reverse phase protein array (RPPA)**

Target cells were either untreated or treated with 1,000 nM BBR or 1,000 nM NAX060 for 24h at 37°C. Cells were lysed 24 h later, denatured with 1% SDS and betamercaptoethanol, and five 2-fold serial dilutions of the samples were arrayed on nitrocellulose-coated slides (Grace Bio Lab, Bend, OR, USA) by an Aushon 2470 Arrayer (Aushon BioSystems, Bellerica, MA, USA). Each slide was probed with 419 primary antibodies and a biotin-conjugated secondary antibody. The stained samples were precipitated with 3,3' diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and quantified for spot intensity by using customized software. The signals were amplified with a Catalyzed Signal Amplification System (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark). Only target antibodies with a Pearson correlation coefficient (RPPA: western blotting) greater than 0.7 were used in the RPPA analysis. Each dilution curve was fitted with a logistic model (“Supercurve Fitting,” developed by the Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology at MD Anderson Cancer Center). R software and the package Ggplot2 were used to visualize the heatmap.

**Human PDAC specimens**

A total of ten frozen PDAC human specimens were used in this study. We also used a total of ten frozen healthy pancreas specimens as controls. A total of these 20 samples were obtained from the North Carolina Tissue Consortium, Division of Surgical Oncology, Brody Medical Sciences Building, Greenville, NC. All these specimens were preserved in a liquid nitrogen container.

**Monitoring expression of miR-34a**

RNA was extracted from the cells and the tissues as per standard laboratory procedures using TRIzol (Invitrogen) [38]. The RNA concentrations were measured with a NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and then verified for quality using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Only the RNA samples with 260/280 ratios of 1.8 to 2.0 were used in the study.

Approximately 500 ng of RNA was reverse transcribed in a 25 μl reaction volume using the All-in-oneTM miRNA qRT-PCR detection kit (GeneCopoeia, Rockville, MD, USA). Briefly, the cDNA was synthesized in a 25 μl reaction mix containing 5 μl of 5x reaction buffer, 2.5U/μl poly A polymerase, 10ng/μl MS2 RNA, and 1μl RTase mix. The reaction was performed at 37°C for 60 min and terminated at 85°C for 5 min. cDNA that was produced in the RT reaction was diluted ten-fold and was used as the template for the PCR reaction in an Applied Biosystems Viia 7 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). In this system, MS2 RNA was amplifed with a primer set that was produced in the RT reaction was diluted ten fold.
was used as an external reference for the quality of the extracted miRNAs, and RNU6B, RNU44, RNU48, and RNU49 were used for normalization. The expression levels of miRNAs were measured employing qRT-PCR with the SYBR green detection and specific forward primer for the mature miRNA sequence and the universal adaptor reverse primer (GeneCopoeia, USA). The specific forward primer to amplify miR-34a was 5’-TGGCAGTGTCTTAGCTGGTTGT-3’.

qRT-PCR to monitor expression of miR-34a putative targets

RNA was extracted from the cells and the tissues as per standard laboratory procedures using TRIzol [38]. Expression of ATG4B, AXL, GATA3, JAG1, LDHA, MAP2K1, MYT1, NOTCH1, PEA-15, SERPINE1, and SNAIL mRNAs by qRT-PCR was conducted as per earlier protocols [58] using appropriate primers (Supplementary Table 4).

Cytotoxicity assay

The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays were performed to assess the sensitivity of cells to drugs, as previously described [23, 31, 80]. Target cells were treated with different concentrations of miR-34a mimic, inhibitor, or with appropriate controls at 37°C in a V-bottom 96-well plate. After a 24 h incubation, the percentage viable cells were assayed with MTT (Sigma-Aldrich). The optical density (OD) at the wavelength of 570 nm was used to calculate cell viability.

Western blotting

All the buffers used in this project were made with water that was endotoxin and pyrogen free. Western blotting was conducted as per earlier studies using the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-PAI-1 polyclonal antibody (ThermoFisher Scientific) and mouse anti-actin antibodies (Clone AC-74; Sigma-Aldridge). The dual-luciferase reporter assay

Luciferase reporter plasmids with wild-type SERPINE1 3’-UTR were purchased from GeneCopoeia. 293 cells were plated in 6-well plates. At 24 h post-plating, 293 cells were co-transfected with SERPINE1 3’-UTR luciferase reporter plasmid and miR-34a mimic, a scramble control (miR-NC), and/or miR-34a inhibitor using FuGene HD (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). At 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 h post transfection, supernatants were collected from each treatment and the luciferase activity measured using the Secrete-Pair Dual Luminescence Assay Kit (GeneCopoeia) as per the manufacturers’ recommendations.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Effects of Different doses of BBR and MBBR (NAX060) on MIA-PaCa-2+pLXSN and MIA-PaCa-2+WT-TP53 Cells.
Supplementary Figure 2. Secondary structures of Pre-mir-34a. Structure was predicted using the RNAstructure software and base-pairing probability depicted in colors. Green line along the sequence denotes the mature sequence of hsa-miR-34a-5p (22 – 43).
Supplementary Figure 3. RNA hybrid analysis shows the miR-34a binding site located in 3'UTR of SERPINE1 mRNA. This is predicted using DIANA and MiRmap algorithms.
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Supplementary Table 1. Description of antibodies used in RPPA assay.

Supplementary Table 2. comprehensive list of the putative targets of miR-34a as determined by miRmap.

Supplementary Table 3. comprehensive list of the putative targets of miR-34a as determined by PicTar.

Supplementary Table 4. Primers used to amplify miR-34a putative targets.

| Target | Primer |
|--------|--------|
| ATG4B  | F: 5’-TGAGTCTTGTGGTGTGGT-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-TACCTCCAGACAGCGAC-3’ |
| AXL    | F: 5’-GAGGGAGATTTGGAGCTG-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-GAAACGACACCGATGAGCC-3’ |
| GATA3  | F: 5’-GGCGCCGTCTTGGATACCTTC-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-AAGAGCAGAGAGGAGGAGA-3’ |
| PCD4   | F: 5’-GCAGAAGATGCTGGGACTGAG-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-TGATCCCAACACACCCTTG-3’ |
| JAG1   | F: 5’-GTCCTGGATTCTCTTGGA-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-ATATACCGACACCTCCAGG-3’ |
| LDHA   | F: 5’-GGCTACACATGGGTGATCTCC-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-TCTTTCTGAACGCCCTCT-3’ |
| MAP2K1 | F: 5’-CAGAAGCAGAGGTTGGAGA-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-GGATGCCTGTGGATATCC-3’ |
| MYT1   | F: 5’-TTGATGTCAAGCCTGCAAC-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-CAGACTGAACACATCCGCTG-3’ |
| NOTCH1 | F: 5’-ATGCCAAGACACAGGGAGGA-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-ACCGTTGGTACTCGTCCAG-3’ |
| MAPT   | F: 5’-ACTCCAAACGGGAGCATGT-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-GATAGCAGCGGGTGTCTTT-3’ |
| PEA-15 | F: 5’-ACCCTTTCAAATTGAGCAGCT-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-TGCTCTGGGCTCTGAAAAA-3’ |
| SERPINE1 | F: 5’-CGCTCTTCCAAAATTGAG-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-AATGGTGTTGAGGCGAGA-3’ |
| SNAIL  | F: 5’-CCCAATTCAGGAGACTACT-3’ |
|        | R: 5’-GACAGAGTGCCAGAATGAGCA-3’ |