Serum Concentration of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor after Bilateral Intravitreal Injection of Bevacizumab
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Purpose: This study compared serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) concentration between patients given the bilateral and unilateral intravitreal injections of bevacizumab.

Methods: In a prospective manner, serum VEGF levels in treatment-naive patients with age-related macular degeneration who underwent bilateral or unilateral intravitreal injections of bevacizumab were investigated. After informed consent, peripheral blood was collected from patients who underwent bilateral or unilateral intravitreal injection of bevacizumab before and 1 month after the injection. Serum VEGF levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay after centrifugation. In addition, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and central retinal thickness (CRT) before and 1 month after the injection were compared between each group.

Results: Twenty patients received bilateral injections (40 eyes) and 20 patients received unilateral injections. The VEGF concentrations (pg/mL) before the bilateral injection were 235.75 ± 183.16 and 252.53 ± 233.52 for the unilateral injection. They were significantly reduced to 153.88 ± 113.26 and 189.42 ± 251.72 after 1 month, respectively (p = 0.037 and 0.019), which are showing no significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.771). And there were no significant intergroup difference in pre- and postoperative BCVA and CRT.

Conclusions: The bilateral simultaneous intravitreal injection of bevacizumab did not differ greatly from unilateral intravitreal injection in the influence on serum VEGF levels and the therapeutic outcome.
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Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is an important mediator of ocular angiogenesis and thus is important in the etiology of various ophthalmic diseases including retinal and choroidal neovascularization. Intravitreal injection of anti-VEGFs is the standard treatment for wet age-related macular degeneration (AMD). One major problem with this treatment is the typical need for frequent injections. The interval between injections varies depending upon the severity of disease and the physician; however, all patients are burdened with frequent hospital visits [1-6].

AMD tends to occur in both eyes. The incidence rate of bilateral AMD has been estimated to be at least 30% and 45% in Asian and European countries, respectively [7]. Also, 26% of patients diagnosed with unilateral AMD were reported to develop choroidal neovascularization in the opposite eye within five years [8]. Thus, patients undergoing anti-VEGF treatment in both eyes should visit the hospital once every 1 to 2 weeks, which imposes economic and time burdens on patients. In addition, there is a need to inject anti-VEGF into both eyes at the same time on each treatment day.

Recently, some hospitals have been performing bilateral
simultaneous intravitreal injections to reduce costs and save time. A series of studies have reported that there is no significant difference in the occurrence rate of complications between bilateral simultaneous intravitreal injections and unilateral intravitreal injections [9-11], and some ophthalmologists perform these injections in an office setting [11,12]. Bevacizumab (Avastin; Genentech, San Francisco, CA, USA) is a widely used anti-VEGF drug that consists of the full-length antibody. Researchers have found that unilaterally-injected bevacizumab can reach the contralateral eye via systemic circulation [13-17]. However, the effect of bilaterally-injected bevacizumab on the systemic circulation is not well known.

To compare the systemic effects of bilateral and unilateral intravitreal injections of bevacizumab, we investigated the serum concentration of VEGF from the peripheral blood of patients undergoing bilateral or unilateral intravitreal injections of bevacizumab.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Soonchunhyang University Hospital, and we adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all patients before intravitreal injections and blood collections. In a prospective manner, we investigated preoperative and postoperative serum VEGF levels in treatment-naive AMD patients who underwent bilateral or unilateral intravitreal bevacizumab injections at the Soonchunhyang University Hospital between May 2008 and December 2010. After informed consent was obtained, peripheral blood was collected before and one month after the injection. The collected blood was centrifuged at an authorized institute, and serum VEGF levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Patients with systemic malignancy, infection, inflammatory disorder, or other ophthalmologic diseases and who had previous eye surgery were excluded. Patients who could not be followed for three months were also excluded. Every patient underwent a complete ophthalmologic examination including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and a central retinal thickness (CRT) measurement using spectral optical coherence tomography (Heidelberg Engineering, Dossenheim, Germany) before and one month after the procedure. Every time a patient visited the hospital, ophthalmic complications such as anterior chamber inflammation, endophthalmitis, retinal break, and retinal detachment were checked. Also, the patient was questioned about the occurrence of systemic complications such as heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and infectious disease.

Intravitreal injection methods

Intravitreal injections were performed by two operators (KSC and SJL) under the same conditions for all patients. Both eyes were anesthetized with the instillation of 0.5% proparacaine hydrochloride (Alcaine; Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA). The eyelids and eyelashes on both sides were prepared with 10% povidone-iodine, and 5% povidone-iodine was instilled onto the conjunctival surface. The operators performed all procedures using aseptic techniques. After the eyelid speculum and sterile drape were placed appositely, prepared bevacizumab (1.25 mg/0.05 mL) was injected using a 30-gauge needle at the superotemporal quadrant 3 to 3.5 mm posterior to the limbus. The bevacizumab ampule was opened just before the procedure, and the solution was dispensed into 1-mL syringes and was kept in aseptic conditions. Any remaining drug was disposed. The procedure on the opposite eye was performed after resterilization and with new gloves, drapes, surgical instruments, and syringes. Every patient was instructed to apply levofloxacin (Cravit; Santen, Osaka, Japan) into their eyes four times a day for one week post-injection.

Statistical analysis

Statistical data were analyzed using SPSS ver. 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Mean changes in serum VEGF level, BCVA, and CRT were analyzed by the Wilcoxon signed-ranks test and intergroup differences by the Mann-Whitney test.

Results

Twenty patients had bilateral intravitreal injections of bevacizumab (40 eyes) for bilateral wet AMD. The median age was 64 years (range, 34 to 92 years). There were 11 males and nine females. Over the same period, 20 patients underwent unilateral bevacizumab injections (nine males and 11 females). The median age was 63 years (range, 21 to
break, retinal detachment, cerebrovascular disease, and myocardial infarction did not occur in either group.

Discussion

With the increasing use of bevacizumab for VEGF-mediated eye diseases, it is important to know the adverse systemic effects of intravitreal injection. In the CATT (Comparison of Age-related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials) trial, acute myocardial infarction occurred in 0.7% of patients injected with 1.25 mg of bevacizumab monthly and in 0.3% of patients injected with bevacizumab as needed. Also, stroke events occurred in 0.7% and 0.7% of patients injected monthly and as needed, respectively, and venous thrombotic events occurred in 1.4% and 0.3% of the patients, respectively. These results were not statistically significant, but it remains unknown whether an increase in the systemic dose due to bilateral injections causes an increased risk [18].

The pharmacokinetics of bevacizumab have been determined in other studies. In human nonvitrectomized eyes, the aqueous half-life of 1.5 mg intravitreally injected bevacizumab is 8 to 10 days [19,20]. Recent studies have found that bevacizumab can flow into the serum via systemic circulation. In a study conducted on newborn infants, bevacizumab concentration in the serum increased with time after injection into the vitreous cavity. Also, bevacizumab concentration reached its peak during the second week after injection, which was inversely proportional to the VEGF level [21]. In another recent study in adult patients with diabetes, 24 hours after intravitreal injection of bevacizumab, serum levels of VEGF were lower than basal levels, and the maximal reduction of serum VEGF was noted on the seventh postoperative day. Then, 28 days after the injection, VEGF levels increased to levels similar to those before the treatment [22]. The VEGF-lowering effect of bevacizumab has been known to diminish in the first few weeks after the injection. However, some studies have reported significantly decreased levels of blood VEGF even one month or more after intravitreal injection of bevacizumab [23,24]. In our study, it was impossible to obtain blood samples in the early postoperative period for ethical and technical reasons, and thus the data may be insufficient to estimate the pharmacokinetics of intravitreally-injected bevacizumab. However, our results were in accor-
dance with previous studies showing that the effect of intravitreally-injected bevacizumab can last for one month. Some researchers have reported a bilateral response after unilateral injection of bevacizumab [13-17]. Considering the fact that unilaterally-injected bevacizumab can reach the contralateral eye, it can be deduced that, after bilateral injection, a synergistic therapeutic effect can occur. However, there were no significant intergroup differences in the preoperative and postoperative BCVA and CRT levels in our study.

In this study, there was no significant difference in the preoperative and postoperative serum VEGF levels between bilateral and unilateral intravitreal injections. These results suggest that bilateral injections have similar systemic effects compared to unilateral injections. However, it would take many more patients in order to assess the incidence of systemic complications. The reason why significant changes in serum level were not observed, even though more medicine was injected into the vitreous cavity, may be due to the relatively large distribution volume of the systemic circulation. The effect of bilateral injections in children or increasing the drug dose is still unknown. Ad-

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics

|                  | Bilateral injection | Unilateral injection | p-value |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| No. of patients  | 20 (40 eyes)        | 20                   | -       |
| Age              |                     |                      |         |
| Mean             | 64                  | 63                   | 0.828   |
| Range            | 34-92               | 21-86                | -       |
| Gender           |                     |                      |         |
| Male             | 11 (55%)            | 9 (45%)              | 0.527   |
| Female           | 9 (45%)             | 11 (55%)             | -       |
| Systemic diseases|                     |                      |         |
| Diabetes         | 10 (50%)            | 7 (35%)              | 0.337   |
| Hypertension     | 8 (40%)             | 9 (45%)              | 0.749   |
| Renal disease    | 0                   | 0                    | NA      |
| Malignant diseases/tumors | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Inflammatory/infectious disorders | 0 | 0 | NA |

NA = not applicable.

### Table 2. Mean serum concentration of VEGF and therapeutic outcome after bilateral intravitreal injection of bevacizumab

|                        | Bilateral injection (n = 20, 40 eyes) | Unilateral injection (n = 20) | p-value |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Serum VEGF concentration (pg/mL) |                      |                              |         |
| Preoperative           | 235.75 ± 183.16                   | 252.53 ± 233.52              | 0.62    |
| Postoperative 1 month  | 153.88 ± 113.26                   | 189.42 ± 251.72              | 0.77    |
| Mean change            | -99.87 ± 146.75                   | -63.11 ± 29.51               | 0.53    |
| p-value                | 0.04†                              | 0.02†                         |         |
| BCVA (logMAR)          |                                    |                               |         |
| Preoperative           | 0.79 ± 0.70                       | 0.82 ± 0.62                  | 0.77    |
| Postoperative 1 month  | 0.73 ± 0.67                       | 0.74 ± 0.63                  | 0.92    |
| Mean change            | -0.06 ± 0.22                      | -0.08 ± 0.24                 | 0.55    |
| p-value†               | 0.008†                            | 0.007†                       |         |
| CRT (µm)               |                                    |                               |         |
| Preoperative           | 286.31 ± 140.80                   | 301.08 ± 127.58              | 0.51    |
| Postoperative 1 month  | 244.00 ± 69.73                    | 227.35 ± 89.94               | 0.37    |
| Mean change            | -56.81 ± 92.53                    | -64.24 ± 102.32              | 0.74    |
| p-value†               | <0.001†                           | 0.001†                       |         |

VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor; BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity; logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; CRT = central retinal thickness.

*Mann-Whitney test; †p < 0.05; ‡Wilcoxon signed ranks test.
ditional causes for no changes in serum level could also be the blood sampling period of one month after the injection, which is a limitation of this study. Since the serum half-life of bevacizumab is known to be less than one week [22,24], the difference in serum VEGF level between the two groups one month after the injection would have been less than that in the early postoperative period. Future studies that include multiple blood tests from the early postoperative period will help more clearly determine the serum drug levels.

During this study, one serious complication, a sudden elevation of IOP requiring emergency treatment, occurred. Ordinarily, the IOP increases temporarily immediately after the intravitreal injection of an anti-VEGF but decreases naturally with time [25,26]. However, recent studies have shown that IOP elevations can occur over a prolonged period. An increase in vitreous volume, an inflammatory reaction of the aqueous humor or meshwork, direct damage to outflow tracks, and meshwork obstruction caused by high-molecular weight medicines such as bevacizumab are cited as the causes of the IOP increase [27-29]. It is rare for acute angle-closure glaucoma to occur after bilateral simultaneous intravitreal injection, with only one case being reported to date [30]. The case in this study occurred in a patient who had a previous angle-closure glaucoma attack in the opposite eye. The vitreous humor increased in volume after the intravitreal injection and narrowed the anterior chamber angle. This may have created conditions susceptible to angle-closure attack. Accordingly, in patients who have a history of glaucoma or a shallow anterior chamber angle, intravitreal injection requires meticulous attention.

In recent years, researchers have reported on the safety of bilateral simultaneous intravitreal injections of anti-VEGFs. Several studies have revealed that the complication rate after bilateral intravitreal injections was not higher than that of unilateral injection [9-12,31]. However, there is still controversy on bilateral intravitreal injections of anti-VEGFs due to possible complications. Arteriothrombotic or venous thrombotic events, hypertension, and death are considered to be serious complications. For patients having cardiovascular risk factors, intravitreal injections require meticulous attention. If the patient has a history of a major systemic events such as stroke, cardiac arrest, or uncontrolled hypertension within the previous three months, intravitreal anti-VEGF injection should be avoided [32-35].

Our study has several limitations including a nonrandomized uncontrolled study, a small number of subjects, and short-term follow-up. In addition, postoperative blood tests were performed only once at one month post-injection. Since it was shown in a newborn study that an increase in the serum concentration of bevacizumab after being injected into the vitreous cavity reaches its peak during the second week [21], our results may not demonstrate the lowest level of serum VEGF.

To our knowledge, there have been no studies comparing the serum VEGF levels in bilateral and unilateral intravit-
real injections. In addition, BCVA and CRT did not differ between patients undergoing bilateral and unilateral intravitreal injections. Our results are encouraging; however, in order to understand the exact pharmacokinetic features and the impact of bilateral intravitreal injection on the systemic circulation, larger future studies are needed.

In conclusion, bilateral and unilateral intravitreal injections of bevacizumab do not show significant differences with regard to postoperative serum VEGF level and therapeutic outcome. This study has again demonstrated the effectiveness of bilateral simultaneous intravitreal injection. In-depth study of bilateral injection of bevacizumab with a large number of patients is still required.
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