Hydrothermal synthesis of single crystal CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ compounds and their magnetic properties†
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The crystal structures of the hydrothermally synthesised trippkeite-related materials, CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ were investigated by means of single-crystal X-ray diffraction, Raman and infrared spectroscopy. The obtained compounds crystallise in the tetragonal crystal system (P4$_2$/mnc), with unit cell parameters at 293 K of $a = 8.345(10)/8.227(12)$ Å, $c = 5.620(10)/5.612(11)$ Å, $V = 391.40(12)/379.90(13)$ Å$^3$. Magnetic measurements show that the resulting single crystal of NiAs$_2$O$_4$ exhibits an antiferromagnetic transition at $T_N = 53$ K in a high magnetic field of 10 kOe, as already reported in the literature. The single crystal of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ reveals an interplay between ferromagnetic and a canted antiferromagnetic interactions resulting in a canted antiferromagnetic state which occurs at 105 K – the highest critical temperature among all similar structures.

1. Introduction

In order to recognise the role of arsenic in the environment, one has to investigate structures and stabilities of naturally occurring arsenic compounds. Besides, a study of mineral-related synthetic phases should be useful, because they can appear as a consequence of human activities. The susceptibility of As(III) to oxidation to As(V) in oxide environments affords high thermal stability only to ternary X–As(V)–O oxides (X = Mg and divalent 3d transition metal) with arsenic in its higher oxidation state. However, under strict synthetic conditions, X–As(III)–O oxides can be formed. For that reason, anhydrous arsenates of cobalt and nickel are well-established but arsenites of cobalt and nickel are less known. Besides Co$_2$As$_2$O$_6$, CoAs$_2$O$_4$ represents the second compound in the Co(II)–As(III)–O system and the NiAs$_2$O$_4$ is the first structurally determined compound in the Ni(II)–As(III)–O system.

CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ are isostructural to the M$^2+$X$^3+$O$_4$ materials and minerals, with the exception of ZnAs$_2$O$_4$. These compounds crystallise tetragonal, adopting space group P4$_2$/mnc, and contain chains of edge-linked MO$_6$ octahedra running along [001]; the chains are connected via trigonal pyramidal XO$_3$ units.

All MSb$_2$O$_4$ phases, with the exception of MgSb$_2$O$_4$, have been shown to display antiferromagnetic ordering with Néel temperatures in the range 40–60 K and a transition of the magnetic modal ordering from a predominant A mode to a C mode (vide infra) on crossing the first row transition metals. The edge sharing nature of the octahedra and the superexchange interactions between the M$^{2+}$ transition metals in the chains and between the chains are responsible for the magnetic properties of these group compounds. However, no crystallographic and magnetic structures have been reported for the M$^{2+}$As$_2$O$_4$ (M$^{2+}$ = Co, Ni) compounds. Molecular susceptibility of NiAs$_2$O$_4$ has been measured and the values of the Néel temperature and the asymptotic Curie temperature are given.

CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ were synthesised during an on-going research on natural and synthetic arsenic o xo-salts, with a focus on their structural and spectroscopic classification. The present article reports the hydrothermal synthesis of two new arsenites, CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$. The results of the determination of their crystal structures based on single-crystal X-ray diffraction data are given and the relationship to the known M$^{2+}$X$_2$O$_4$ compounds is discussed. To obtain further information on anion groups, Raman and infrared spectra were acquired. Due to the presence of transition metal M$^{2+}$ cations, non-diamagnetic ground state of as grown crystals is expected and investigated using SQUID measurements. Continuous investigations on the crystal chemistry of the arsenic o xo-salts are performed because arsenic is at the top of the priority of the most hazardous substances, but less is known about its crystal structures.
2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

The materials used were: KCl (Loba Chemie, 13508), Co(OH)$_2$ (Sigma-Aldrich, 342440, tech. 95%), Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$ (Sigma-Aldrich 244074-500G), As$_2$O$_3$ (Alfa Aesar 33289, ACS 99.95–100.05%).

2.1.1 Preparation of CoAs$_2$O$_4$. During an ongoing research on synthetic mineral-like arsenites in the M1–M2–As(m)–(H)–(Cl) system (M1 = Na$^+$, K$^+$, Sr$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$; M2 = Mg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$), with a focus on their structural and spectroscopic classification, single crystals of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ were obtained. The crystals of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ were grown from a mixture of KCl, Co(OH)$_2$, As$_2$O$_3$ and distilled H$_2$O in molar ratio 1 : 1. The initial pH value of the mixture was kept at around 6. The obtained products were washed with distilled water, filtered and dried in the air at room temperature. The stainless-steel autoclave was then closed and the crystallisation was carried out by placing the autoclave in oven under air atmosphere and heating the mixture under autogenous pressure from room temperature. A heating regime with three steps was chosen: the autoclaves were heated from 20°C to 200°C (four hours), held at 200°C for 199 h, and finally cooled to room temperature within 98 h. The pH value of supernatant solution was 6. The obtained products were washed with distilled water, filtered and dried in the air at room temperature. CoAs$_2$O$_4$ crystallised as pink transparent elongated prisms (yield 60%) (Fig. 1a) together with transparent crystals of KAs$_4$O$_6$Cl$_2$ (yield 40%). The maximal length of crystals was about 2 mm.

2.1.2 Preparation of NiAs$_2$O$_4$. After successful synthesis of the single crystals of CoAs$_2$O$_4$, systematic synthesis of the single crystals of M$^2+$As$_2$O$_4$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) was performed. However, only attempts to get single crystals of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ were fruitful. The crystals of NiAs$_2$O$_4$ were grown from a mixture of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$, As$_2$O$_3$ and distilled H$_2$O in molar ratio 1 : 1. The initial pH value of the mixture was kept at around 2.5 in order to avoid the oxidation of As$^{3+}$ to As$^{5+}$. A heating regime with three steps was chosen: the autoclaves were heated from 20°C to 200°C (four hours), held at 200°C for 56 h, and slowly cooled to room temperature within 320 h. The pH value of supernatant solution was 4. The products obtained were washed with distilled water, filtered and dried in the air at room temperature. NiAs$_2$O$_4$ crystallised as green transparent elongated prisms (yield ca. 95%) (Fig. 1b). The maximal length of crystals was about 1.7 mm.

2.2 Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements

Several single crystals of the two title compounds were studied on a Bruker AXS Kappa APEX II CCD diffractometer, equipped with a monopole optics collimator and graphite-monochromatised MoK$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.71073 Å). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected at room temperature, integrated and corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors and absorption correction by evaluation of partial multiscans (see Table 1 for details). The intensity data were processed with the Bruker-Nonius programme suite SAINT-Plus$^{13}$ and corrected for Lorentz, polarization, background and absorption effects. Their crystal structures were refined with SHELXL-97 (ref. 14) and WinGX$^{15}$ starting from the atomic coordinates given for isotypic CuAs$_2$O$_4$.$^{2}$

Relevant information on crystal data, data collection, and refinements are compiled in Table 1. For final positional and displacement parameters see CIF files.$^\dagger$ Selected bond lengths and angles for both arsenites are presented in Table 2.

2.3 Vibrational spectroscopy measurements

The single-crystal Raman spectra and Raman spectra of the bulk material of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ were collected using a Horiba LabRam HR Evolution system equipped with a Si-based, Peltier-cooled CCD detector in the spectral range from 4000 to 60 cm$^{-1}$. The 633 nm excitation line of a He–Ne laser was focused with a 100× objective on the randomly oriented single crystal. The sample spectra were acquired with a nominal exposure time of 5 s and 10 s, for Co- and Ni-arsenite, respectively (confocal mode, Olympus 1800 lines per mm, 1.5 μm lateral resolution, approximately 3 μm depth resolution).

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) absorption spectra of the title compounds were recorded using a Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrophotometer, equipped with mid-IR Globar light source and KBr beam splitter, attached to a Hyperion 2000 FTIR microscope with liquid nitrogen-cooled mid-IR, broad-band MCT detector. A total of 128 scans were accumulated between 4000 and 370 cm$^{-1}$ using the samples prepared as KBr pellets (KBr : MAs$_2$O$_4$ = 200 : 1).

2.4 Magnetic measurements

The magnetisation was measured with a QUANTUM DESIGN MPMS-XL-5 SQUID magnetometer. Zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) runs were performed between room temperature and 2 K in a static magnetic field of 10 kOe, 1 kOe and 100 Oe. Isothermal magnetization curves were measured at several temperatures below and above the critical temperature.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Crystal structures

In the crystal structures of MAs$_2$O$_4$, the chains of edge-sharing MO$_6$ octahedra run parallel to the [001] direction, where the
Table 1  Crystal data, data collection and refinement details for CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$

|                  | CoAs$_2$O$_4$                           | NiAs$_2$O$_4$                           |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Chemical formula | CoAs$_2$O$_4$                           | NiAs$_2$O$_4$                           |
| Temperature [K]  | 293(2)                                 | 293(2)                                 |
| Crystal form, colour | Prismatic, pink                        | Prismatic, green                        |
| Formula weight, $M_f$ (g mol$^{-1}$) | 272.77                                 | 272.55                                 |
| System, space group (no.) | Tetragonal, $P4_1/mbc$ (135)           | $R_{\text{m}}$                          |
| $a$ (Å)          | 8.34530(10)                            | 8.2277(12)                             |
| $c$ (Å)          | 5.62010(10)                            | 5.6120(11)                             |
| $V$ (Å$^3$)      | 391.406(12)                            | 379.90(13)                             |
| $Z$              | 4                                      | 4                                      |
| $F(000)$         | 500                                    | 504                                    |
| Calculated density, $D_\text{c}$ (g cm$^{-3}$) | 4.629                                 | 4.765                                 |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu$ (mm$^{-1}$) | 21.032                                | 22.258                                |
| Transmission factors, $T_{\text{min}}/T_{\text{max}}$ | 0.124/0.678                           | 0.135/0.664                           |
| Crystal size (mm) | 0.02 x 0.02 x 0.17                      | 0.02 x 0.02 x 0.15                     |
| Reflections collected/unique | 11 599/838                            | 7925/595                             |
| Observed reflections [$I > 2 \sigma(I)$] | 719                                   | 532                                   |
| $R_{\text{int}}$ | 0.0418                                 | 0.0382                                 |
| Range for data collection, $\theta$ (°) | 3.452–44.387                           | 3.502–39.118                           |
| Range of Miller indices | $-15 \leq h \leq 16$; $-16 \leq k \leq 16$; $-10 \leq l \leq 9$ | $-14 \leq h \leq 14$; $-8 \leq k \leq 12$; $-9 \leq l \leq 9$ |
| Extinction coefficient, $k$ | 0.0159(8)                             | 0.0056(5)                              |
| Rf-indices [all data]$^a$ | 22                                    | 22                                    |
| Rf-indices [$I > 2 \sigma(I)$]$^a$ | $R_1 = 0.0229$                        | $R_1 = 0.0161$                        |
| wR$_2$           | 0.0440                                 | 0.0363                                 |
| $wR_2$           | 0.0455                                 | 0.059                                  |
| Goodness-of-fit, $S$ | 1.079                                  | 1.09                                  |
| $(\Delta \rho)_{\text{max}}$ (e Å$^{-3}$) | 1.088, $-$1.022                       | 0.490, $-$0.656                       |

$^a$ $w = 1/\sum[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0129F_o)^2 + 0.2839F_o^2]$ for CoAs$_2$O$_4$, $w = 1/\sum[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0129F_o)^2 + 0.2839F_o^2]$ for NiAs$_2$O$_4$.

Table 2  Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$

|                  | CoAs$_2$O$_4$ | NiAs$_2$O$_4$ |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Co–O2            | 2.030(7)     | 2.007(9)     |
| $-$O2$^i$        | 2.030(7)     | 2.007(9)     |
| $-$O2$^{ii}$     | 2.030(7)     | 2.007(9)     |
| $-$O2$^{iii}$    | 2.030(7)     | 2.007(9)     |
| $-$O1            | 2.1775(11)   | 2.1222(13)   |
| $-$O1$^{v}$     | 2.1775(11)   | 2.1222(13)   |
| (O–O)            | 2.0795       | 2.046        |
| As–O2$^v$        | 1.7292(11)   | 1.7275(12)   |
| $-$O1$^{v}$     | 1.8473(5)    | 1.8501(6)    |
| (As–O)           | 1.8079       | 1.8092       |
| Co–Co            | 2.81005(5)   | 2.8060(5)    |
| $\angle$O1$_{2}$–As–O2$_{1}$ | 96.76(3) x 2  | 96.64(4) x 2 |
| $\angle$O1$_{2}$–As–O1$_{b}$ | 98.63(4)     | 98.63(4)     |
| $\angle$As–O1$_{2}$–As | 124.09(6)    | 124.13(7)    |

$^a$ Symmetry codes: $[i] y - 1/2, x + 1/2, -z + 1/2; [ii] -x, -y + 1, -z; [iii] y + 1/2, -x + 1/2, z + 1/2; [iv] -x, -y + 1, z; [v] -x + 1/2, y - 1/2, -z; [vi] x, y, z.$

The average Co–O and Ni–O bond lengths are 2.0795 and 2.046 Å. According to the formula $\Delta_{\text{act}} = 1/6 \sum (d_i - d_m)/d_m^2$ the bond-length distortions for the Co and Ni atoms amount to $1.11 \times 10^{-3}$ and $6.92 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively and indicate large distortions.$^{16,17}$ These results compare well with the values compiled by Wildner$^{18}$ for Co$_6$ octahedra in accurately determined crystal structures, who found 672 Co–O bond lengths between 1.959 and 2.517 Å. The average (Co–O) bond lengths for 112 polyhedra are in the range of 2.054 to 2.182 Å; the overall mean value is 2.1115 Å.

![Fig. 2](image-url) Part of the crystal structure of the tetragonal M$^{2+}$X$^3+$O$_4$ compounds showing the connection of the MO$_6$ octahedral chains via trigonal $\psi$-(AsO$_3$)$^{3-}$ pyramids.
3.2 Vibrational spectra analysis

Spectroscopic data on arsenites that have been previously published are so far rather incomplete and not in good agreement with each other. However, the spectra assignments of CoAs2O4 and NiAs2O4 may be based on the single-crystal Raman study of synthetic tripkeite, CuAs2O4 (ref. 21) and AAsO2(ref. 19) (A = Na, K, and Rb). In the AAsO2 compounds, where AsO3 units are also interconnected to the chains (each unit possessing one terminal O and two bridging O atoms), the bands above 800 cm−1 are observed in each spectrum. These bands were assigned to the vibration of terminal oxygen atoms. The bands at 810 and 780 cm−1 in synthetic tripkeite are assigned to stretches of terminal O atoms and stretches of the bridging O atoms are assigned to bands at 657 and 496 cm−1. Therefore the distinct frequency ranges in CoAs2O4 and NiAs2O4 may be assigned as follows:

The Raman and infrared (IR) spectra of CoAs2O4 and NiAs2O4 are presented at the Fig. 4–6.

The Raman spectra of both title compounds were obtained aligning the laser beam parallel and normal to the longest axis of the single-crystal. The strong bands at 776 and 774 cm−1 (778 cm−1 in IR-spectrum and 783 cm−1 in the Raman spectrum of the bulk) in NiAs2O4 and 787 and 780 cm−1 (767 cm−1 in IR-spectrum and 769 cm−1 in the Raman spectrum of the bulk) in CoAs2O4 in parallel (p) and normal (n) orientation to the laser beam, respectively may be assigned to the symmetric As–Oterminal stretches, and the weak bands between 700 and 450 cm−1 in both orientations and the bulk Raman spectra are assigned to the As–Obridging stretches (strong bands at 533 and 494 cm−1 and 540, 516, 486 cm−1 in IR-spectrum of NiAs2O4 and CoAs2O4, respectively). In the p orientation of the Raman spectra, bands of very low intensity were observed at 960 and 958 cm−1 (around 960 cm−1 in IR spectra) as well as a shoulder to the bands around 780 cm−1 at 831 and 822 cm−1 in NiAs2O4 and CoAs2O4, respectively. These bands are attributed also to

Fig. 3 Perspective view of the crystal structure of the tetragonal M2+X2.5+O4 compounds.

The MO6 octahedra are edge-linked to chains parallel to the 4-fold axis. The shared edges O2–O1 (i = −x, −y + 1, −z) have lengths of 2.932(2) and 2.873(2) Å, for Co and NiAs2O4, respectively. Due to this connection, the angular distortion is large: \( \sigma_{oc}^2 = 1/11 \sum (\angle_i - 90)^2 \) is 20.59 and 17.49 for the two CoO6 and NiO6 octahedra, respectively. The inter-transition metal separation distances, Co–Co and Ni–Ni along [001] are equal to the c/2 and amount 2.81005(5) and 2.8060(5) Å.

Arsenic is one-sided coordinated to three oxygen atoms and its coordination figure is represented by \( \psi_1(\text{AsO}_3)^{3-} \) pyramids (\( \psi_1 \) is a stereoactive lone pair of electrons), where the As atoms lies at the vertex and the oxygen atoms are at the basis of the pyramid. \( \psi_1(\text{AsO}_3)^{3-} \) pyramids share corners thus forming chains also parallel to the 4-fold axis. Oxygen atoms of the pyramid basis lie parallel to the vertex and the oxygen atoms are at the basis of the pyramid. \( \psi_1(\text{AsO}_3)^{3-} \) pyramids share corners thus forming chains also parallel to the 4-fold axis. Oxygen atoms of the pyramid basis lie parallel to the (110) plane, and the As-atoms alternate left and right from that plane (Fig. 3). The As–O bond lengths within the single chain are significantly longer (1.8473(5) and 1.850(5) Å, respectively) than the third As–O bond length (1.7292(11) and 1.7275(12) Å, respectively). As–O1b–As angles within a single chain are 124.09(6)° and 122.3(5)° in CoAs2O4 and 124.13(7)° and 122.1(14)° in NiAs2O4, respectively and compare well with the As–O0–As angles in other ‘chain’ arsenites [127.3(3)° in CuAs2O4,8 from 123.3(5) to 125.1(3)° in XASo2−3 X = Na, K, Rb], 125.1(14)° in Cs3As5O9 (ref. 18) and 121.3(5) and 122.3(5) in leitite, ZnAs2O4.4

Bond valence sum calculations20 based on the room temperature data show the models to be compatible with the bonding requirements of Co2+ (2.10 v.u.), Ni2+ (2.08 v.u.) and As3+ (2.88/2.88 v.u.) O1 (2.24/2.26 v.u.), O2 (1.98/1.94 v.u.) for CoAs2O4 and NiAs2O4, respectively.

Fig. 4 The single-crystal Raman spectra of CoAs2O4 and NiAs2O4 presented in two different orientations. p = elongation of the crystal is parallel to the laser beam, and n = elongation of the crystal is normal to the laser beam.
3.3 Magnetic properties

The magnetic properties of the NiAs$_2$O$_4$ and CoAs$_2$O$_4$ single crystals were investigated, since the presence of transition metals in chemical composition with unpaired d electrons indicates the non-diamagnetic ground state. All single crystals used for the magnetic measurements were studied by single-crystal X-ray diffraction techniques. The measurements showed the same primitive tetragonal unit cell, without additional non-indexed reflections. All single crystals have been probed in the constant magnetic field oriented with their c-axis parallel to the magnetic field (H || c), while the crystals of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ have been measured also in H ⊥ c orientation. The temperature dependence of the inverse molar magnetic susceptibility (measured at 10 kOe and displayed in Fig. 7) has a typical paramagnetic shape between room temperature and approximately 140 K, and can be described in the high temperature range (140–300 K) with the Curie–Weiss law:

$$\chi(T) = \chi_0 + C/(T - \theta),$$

where $\chi_0$ is the temperature-independent part of $\chi$, i.e. diamagnetic contribution, $C$ is the Curie constant, and $\theta$ is the Curie–Weiss temperature. From the slope of $\chi^{-1}$ vs. $T$ graph we obtain $\mu_\text{eff} = 3.3$ $\mu_B$ and Curie–Weiss temperature $\theta$ of approximately $-40$ K for NiAs$_2$O$_4$ as reported in Witteveen,$^{11}$ while $\mu_\text{eff} = 5.6$ $\mu_B$ and $\theta \approx 75$ K for CoAs$_2$O$_4$ sample. The paramagnetic effective moments $\mu_\text{eff}$ calculated from the Curie constant are in a close agreement with the literature data for Ni$^{2+}$ cation (3.2 $\mu_B$) and high spin Co$^{2+}$ cation with large orbital contribution (6.5 $\mu_B$).$^{25}$ Below 140 K the susceptibility of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ sample starts to deviate from paramagnetic (linear $\chi^{-1}$ vs. $T$) regime, while for NiAs$_2$O$_4$ the same occurs below 70 K. We also performed an equivalent analysis of inverse molar magnetic susceptibility measured under external field of 1 kOe, and obtained results are practically identical as for previously described measurement (Fig. S1 – ESI†).

Magnetic susceptibility measurements of NiAs$_2$O$_4$ under ZFC and FC conditions (Fig. 8a) show some unexpected results. At $T_N = 53$ K in high magnetic field of 10 kOe, the susceptibility

![Fig. 5 Raman spectra of the bulk CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ crystals.](Image)

![Fig. 6 Infrared spectra of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$.](Image)

![Fig. 7 Temperature dependence of the inverse magnetic susceptibility for the studied NiAs$_2$O$_4$ and CoAs$_2$O$_4$ single crystals measured along crystal c-axis in a DC field H = 10 kOe. The solid (black) lines are the best fit to the Curie–Weiss law at high temperatures.](Image)
shows behavior consistent with a transition to the antiferromagnetic ground state without splitting between ZFC and FC curves as already reported.\textsuperscript{24} When the applied DC field is ten times lower, at the same temperature ZFC and FC curves start to diverge. Such divergence is highly enhanced when applied field was 100 Oe only. This first observed strong dependence of the transition at 55 K on magnetic field might be a consequence of two different superexchange interactions between Ni\textsuperscript{2+} ions: a positive and weaker one intrachain $J_1$ between magnetic moments in the chain, and a negative and stronger interchain interaction $J_2$ as proposed by Witteveen.\textsuperscript{11} The unexpected behaviour of ZFC-FC curves is detected at the temperature around 20 K, where the transition to the ferromagnetic state has been clearly observed. Finally, below 15 K ZFC-FC curves show a strong divergence.

This second magnetic transition influences also the magnetization curves as only at 2 K where a small hysteresis with coercivity $H_c = 1.6$ kOe and remanent magnetization $M_r = 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mu_B$/Ni atom can be observed in $M$–$H$ graph displayed in Fig. 8b. $M(H)$ curve measured at 50 K and 70 K is rather linear.

The phase transition from paramagnetic to magnetic ordered phase in CoAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} occurs at higher temperature as in NiAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}. The positive Curie–Weiss temperature $\theta = 75$ K obtained from $\chi^{-1}$ vs. $T$ plot suggests a ferromagnetic interaction between cobalt ions. Indeed, with decreasing temperature, the susceptibility measured in $H = 100$ Oe suddenly sharply increases at $T_c = 105.5$ K. The critical temperature $T_c$ was defined from the fit $M \propto (1 - T/T_c)^\beta$ as shown in inset in Fig. 9a. The obtained critical exponent $\beta = 0.34$ agrees with the theoretically calculated value for 3-D Ising system.\textsuperscript{26} The susceptibility at $T_c$ and below behaves quite differently when measured in 1 kOe or 10 kOe instead of 100 Oe. It decreases below $T_c$ as it is characteristic for antiferromagnetic transitions. The magnetisation curves $M$ vs. $H$ measured at several temperatures (Fig. 9b) also show this duality – ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic behaviour. $M(H)$ obtained at 2 K and 10 K exhibits an “S”-shaped curve for small magnetic fields that saturates at $\approx 0.01 \mu_B$/Co ion in a field of approx. 5 kOe. In larger magnetic fields magnetisation increases linearly with the fields as expected for antiferromagnetically coupled magnetic moments.

The crystal structure of CoAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} compound and the distribution of magnetic Co\textsuperscript{2+} ions in chains are similar to already reported NiAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (ref. 22) ($T_N = 53.5$ K), NiSb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (ref. 22) ($T_N = 47$ K), MnSb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (ref. 5) ($T_N = 55$ K), and CoSb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (ref. 8) ($T_N = 79$ K). In the case of CoAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} the magnetic ions Co\textsuperscript{2+} possess the largest magnetic moment among above mentioned

Fig. 8 (a) ZFC and FC molar magnetization versus temperature curves for NiAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} measured in the various magnetic fields aligned along crystal $c$-axis. (b) Magnetization versus applied field aligned along crystal $c$-axis for NiAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} at 2, 15, 50 and 70 K.

Fig. 9 (a) ZFC and FC molar magnetization versus temperature curves for CoAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} measured in the various magnetic fields aligned along crystal $c$-axis. Full line in inset is a fit $M \propto (1 - T/T_c)^\beta$. (b) Magnetization versus applied field aligned along crystal $c$-axis for CoAs\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} at 2 K, 10 K, and 120 K (inset).
compounds with the exception of CoSb$_2$O$_4$ where it is roughly the same. This may be the reason why the transition to magnetically ordered phase is shifted to a higher temperature for CoAs$_2$O$_4$. Practically the same critical exponent as we obtained for CoAs$_2$O$_4$ ($\beta = 0.34$) was measured in MnSb$_2$O$_4$ (ref. 5) ($\beta = 0.36$) too. Having these similar structural and detected magnetic properties in mind, we propose the same – a canted antiferromagnetic structure of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ as described for MnSb$_2$O$_4$.$^5$ Such a structure is in agreement with a measured ferromagnetic response in a small magnetic field and prevailing antiferromagnetism when measured in magnetic field of 1 kOe or larger. In order to confirm the proposed magnetic structure neutron diffraction data are needed, for which there is not enough material at the moment.

Below 10 K a similar increase of the susceptibility in CoAs$_2$O$_4$ can be observed as already described for NiAs$_2$O$_4$ below 20 K. At the moment we have no reliable explanation for these two increases of susceptibilities. Similar anomaly in the ZFC/FC susceptibility below about 20 K has been already detected for NiO nanoparticles and bulk materials.$^{27-29}$

The anomaly was contributed to surface spin magnetism due to Ni$^{2+}$ magnetic moments that are not coordinated in the same way as expected for the titled compound. We tentatively ascribe the measured anomalies at 20 K and 10 K in NiAs$_2$O$_4$ and CoAs$_2$O$_4$, respectively, to the surface spins. In order to test this hypothesis much larger single crystals as we used in our research are needed.

The single-crystalline nature of investigated compounds points a further magnetic research into the possible magnetic anisotropy detection. In high magnetic field (1 T) the parallel and perpendicular susceptibilities, as shown in the inset of Fig. 10, are as expected for typical two-dimensional (layered) antiferromagnetic system as already described for BaNi$_2$(PO$_4$)$_2$ and Rb$_5$Co$_{0.7}$Mg$_{0.3}$F$_4$. While in small magnetic field of 100 Oe susceptibilities in both orientations of the sample increases below $T_N$. The increase is even larger for perpendicular orientation, in agreement with our hypothesis of canted magnetic moments from c-direction.

4. Conclusions

CoAs$_2$O$_4$ and NiAs$_2$O$_4$ were synthesised using low-temperature hydrothermal method, which resulted in beautiful, pure and homogeneous single crystals. In this manner, among isotypic M$^{2+}$X$_2$$^{3+}$O$_4$ compounds, the measuring of the magnetic properties using single-crystals was possible for the first time. Single-crystals also made us possible to extend our study of magnetic and vibrational properties to anisotropy measurements. MX$_2$O$_4$ compounds could be obtained by different synthesis routes (flux method, solid-state reaction, high-temperature hydrothermal method), but with the exception of CuAs$_2$O$_4$, low-temperature hydrothermal method was used for the crystallization of suitable M$^{2+}$X$_2$$^{3+}$O$_4$ material for the first time.

The structurally characterised single crystal of NiAs$_2$O$_4$, exhibit magnetic properties in accordance with the reported data. The magnetic susceptibility of NiAs$_2$O$_4$ at $T_N = 53$ K in high magnetic field of 10 kOe shows behaviour consistent with a transition to the antiferromagnetic ground state. However, at 20 K another transition to the ferromagnetic state has been clearly observed, which might be attributed to the uncompensated surface spins due to Ni$^{2+}$ magnetic moments that are not coordinated in the same way as expected for the title compound. The SQUID measurement of the single crystal of CoAs$_2$O$_4$ reveals some subtle interplay between AFM and FM interactions in the system as evidenced as FM-like transition at 105.5 K in small magnetic field and AFM-like transition in 10 kOe and above. The transition at 105.5 K is, according to our knowledge, the highest critical temperature among all similar structures.
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