Abstract. Experimental chest trauma or blunt thoracic trauma using a blast wave mechanism is well established in animal models. The aim of the present study was to establish a complementary, murine experimental chest trauma model precisely defined by physical data and calculations. For this purpose, a device was developed using a dropped weight and physical properties, including velocity, energy and impact, were calculated. The device allowed for the maximum depth of impression to be measured. The device was first tested using blocks of modelling clay and was then applied to mouse cadavers. X‑ray and dissection were performed to check for bone fractures and organ injuries following blunt chest traumas of increasing impact. Lesions and hemorrhages were observed in mouse cadavers which sustained a force equivalent to the energy of ~1 J.

Introduction

Blunt chest trauma leads to death in up to 60% of patients after car accidents (1). Small and large animal models of blunt chest trauma are necessary to develop novel therapeutic approaches. Previously, a blast wave generator was developed to consistently induce chest traumata in rats (2). In the last decade, mice have primarily been used as experimental animals for studies investigating blunt chest trauma in order to explore the abundant immunological and genetic tools in this species. A previous study reported the physiological and immunological characterization of a long‑term model of blunt chest trauma in mice using a blast wave generator (3). Another approach for inducing blunt chest trauma is dropping a weight from a defined height, which has been used in multiple rat and murine trauma models (4‑6).

Materials and methods

Blunt chest trauma device. The device used in the present study was a block of metal of defined weight that was dropped from a defined height on the dummies or mouse cadavers. A schematic of the construction is presented in Fig. 2A and an image of the device is presented in Fig. 2B and C. The device was built using different materials, including V2A steel (rods), aluminum (ground plate), teflon (upper plate), acrylic glass (ring for ground plate), rubber (rings) and brass (fixing plate and screws). Further details may be requested from the corresponding author. The device was constructed in several steps in order to avoid handling and reproducibility problems. Dummies made of plasticine (300 for development of the device and 15 used in the reported experiments) were tested to reach the required reproducibility standard. Standard drop heights were 100, 200 and 300 mm. The effective drop heights were calculated using measurements of the top edge of the plasticine blocks or mouse cadavers, respectively. Standard formulas were used for the calculation of energy, velocity, impact and deceleration. Recoil was measured using rings of rubber on top of the rods. The rings indicate the difference between the maximum depth of
impression and the depth of impression after recoil from the impact (Fig. 2C).

**Test blocks.** Test blocks (19.5x19.1x61.1 mm) were made of plasticine (Pelikan Vertriebsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG) using a form made of acrylic glass and had a mean weight of 25±1 g each.

**Mouse cadavers.** Mouse cadavers (9; female C57BL/6 mice; weight, 23‑26 g; age, 12 weeks; Charles River Laboratories, Inc.) were subjected to blunt chest trauma using the novel impact device by dropping weights from different heights within 30 min post mortem (at room temperature). While alive, the mice were housed in Macrolon® cages on a 12‑h day/night cycle with free access to chow and water. The mice were euthanized using an overdose of pentobarbital (intraabdominal injection; 800 mg per kg body weight; death confirmed by absence of breathing, heartbeat and corneal reflex) and the trauma was induced within 30‑min postmortem. After trauma induction, chest X‑rays were performed using a Bruker Skyscan (Bruker Corp.). Following imaging, the chests of the cadavers was carefully opened to investigate the chest organs. The cadavers were obtained following experiments that will be presented separately and were from the same control group (protocol no. 36/2015, Animal Ethical Committee of the Saarland, Homburg/Saarbrücken, Germany).

**Results**

**Test blocks.** Energy, maximum velocity (Vmax), impact, depth of impression and recoil of the plasticine blocks from the standard drop heights of 100, 200 and 300 mm are presented in Table I. As expected, the plasticines dummies exhibited no recoil.

**Mouse cadavers.** A standard falling height of 100 mm (effective or net falling heights of 44-46 mm, Fig. 2A), was used for the mouse cadavers to achieve impact energies from 0.13 to 0.23 kg m/sec using falling weights of 138, 190 and 241 g. Impact (or impulse) and other data, as well as the various depths of impression are listed in Table II. The impact ranged from 0.13 to 0.23 kg m/sec and depths of impression were between 12.4 and 14.7 mm. Mouse cadaver recoils were between 0.6 and 1 mm. In the cadaver experiments, no fractures or dislocations of the ribs were observed at any drop height, as shown in representative X-rays (Fig. 3A). By contrast, soft-tissue injuries were observed with varying characteristics: A net falling height of 45 mm and a drop weight of 241 g resulted in massive hemorrhage within the chest cavity. Furthermore, perforation/rupture of the heart right atrium was observed (Fig. 3B and C). Hemorrhage was also observed in the lung lobes. Following impact with an effective or net falling height (from lowest part of the falling weight to the highest part of the animal thorax) of 44 mm (gross falling height 100 mm, for details, Fig. 2A) and a drop weight of 139 g, the heart was normal but the lower lobe of the right lung exhibited a cleft (Fig. 3D); however, another cadaver (44 mm/139 g) had no cleft or hemorrhage after the impact, but contusions/impressions from the ribs on the left and right lungs were observed (Fig. 3E and F). After an impact of medium force (48 mm/191 g), one of the three mice in this experimental group exhibited a hemothorax and rupture of the right atrium, whereas the other two mice displayed no ruptures or clefts (images not shown), whereas one of them had contusions from the ribs in the right lung (images not shown but similar to those presented in Fig. 3F and E). None of the mice exhibited any visible injuries to abdominal organs.

**Discussion**

In the present study, a novel device for the induction of blunt chest traumata in mice was developed with the aim of improving measurement of maximal penetration depth and recoil variable energies in comparison to use of blast wave generator. In the present mouse model, no bone fractures were observed, even after large impacts. Following impact, potentially lethal injuries were observed, including rupture of the right atrium and the consecutive hemothorax. In addition, a cleft in a lung lobe would, in most cases, lead to death. To the best of our knowledge, rib-induced impressions on or contusions of the lungs may be compatible with acute survival but may also cause lung hemorrhage and lung edema, leading to death hours or days post-impact.
A noteworthy limitation of the present study is the lack of comparability between live animals and cadavers. However, the cadaver study yielded useful results. For instance, the mouse cadavers in the present study subjected to impact with an energy of ~1 J incurred severe lesions, which would have likely been fatal in live animals. Data from a previous study using living rats demonstrated that sustaining a dropping weight impact of 2.7 J resulted in a mortality rate of 33% (6). The present study concluded that an impact with an energy of 0.5 J would induce a severe, but probably not lethal, thorax trauma in mice. This would occur in the case of a drop height of 100 mm (~44 mm effectively) and a drop weight of ~110 g. However, to the best of our knowledge, no previous study has demonstrated the lethality of an impact with an energy of 0.5 J in live mice. The data obtained in the present study provided a further interesting observation, namely with
Table I. Data for plasticine blocks.

| Gross falling-height (mm) | Effect. falling height (mm) | Weight (g) | Recoil (mm) | Mean recoil (mm) | SD recoil (mm) | Max. penetration-depth (mm) | Mean max. penetration depth (mm) | SD max. penetration depth (mm) | Potential energy (J) | Impact (Impulse) (kg m/sec) | Max. velocity (m/sec) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 100                       | 45                         | 241        | 0.45        | 0.37            | 0.045          | 2.03                        | 1.85                          | 0.137                        | 1.06                | 0.23                     | 0.94                 |
|                           | 46                         |            | 0.35        |                 |                | 1.91                        | 1.09                          |                              |                     | 0.95                     |                      |
|                           | 45                         |            |             |                 |                | 1.74                        | 1.07                          |                              |                     | 0.94                     |                      |
|                           | 46                         |            |             |                 |                | 1.69                        | 1.09                          |                              |                     | 0.95                     |                      |
|                           | 46                         |            |             |                 |                | 1.88                        | 1.09                          |                              |                     | 0.95                     |                      |
| 200                       | 145                        | 190        | 0.45        | 0.45            | 0.035          | 3.03                        | 3.15                          | 0.186                        | 2.71                | 0.32                     | 1.69                 |
|                           | 146                        |            | 0.5         |                 |                | 3.19                        | 2.72                          |                              |                     |                          |                      |
|                           | 146                        |            | 0.4         |                 |                | 3.23                        | 2.72                          |                              |                     |                          |                      |
|                           | 146                        |            | 0.45        |                 |                | 3.38                        | 2.72                          |                              |                     |                          |                      |
|                           | 146                        |            | 0.45        |                 |                | 2.9                         | 2.7                           |                              |                     |                          |                      |
| 300                       | 246                        | 139        | 0.5         | 0.49            | 0.022          | 3.39                        | 3.51                          | 0.180                        | 3.35                | 0.3                      | 2.2                  |
|                           | 245                        |            | 0.5         |                 |                | 3.43                        | 3.33                          |                              |                     |                          |                      |
|                           | 246                        |            | 0.45        |                 |                | 3.53                        | 3.35                          |                              |                     |                          |                      |
|                           | 245                        |            | 0.5         |                 |                | 3.4                         | 3.34                          |                              |                     |                          |                      |
|                           | 246                        |            | 0.5         |                 |                | 3.82                        | 3.34                          |                              |                     |                          |                      |

SD, standard deviation; Max., maximum; Effect., effective.
Figure 3. Findings after induction of chest trauma. (A) Chest X-ray of a mouse after trauma induction, indicating no bone lesions. Specific rather than representative images of trauma induction demonstrating (B) thoracic hemorrhage (arrow), (C) rupture of the right atrium (arrow), (D) cleft in the right lung (arrow) and impressions from ribs on (E) the left (arrows) and (F) the right lung (arrows).
regard to the maximum penetration depth and recoil. There was no difference in the maximum penetration depth of the lightest and the heaviest drop weight in the cadaver experiments. This suggests that a threshold value or maximum of penetration depth had been reached. By contrast, the recoil tended to be reduced with heavier drop weights and would likely be running to zero with very heavy weights. The recoil forces of the animals tissues (skeleton, muscles, organs) are limited. Since a significant impact leads to a contusion of the organs underneath, the severity of the contusion of heart, lung and vessels is a complex result of the following: i) The impact energy and, ii) the maximum penetration depth. When comparing different trauma-inducing models the impact energy and the maximum penetration depth are both important in the view of the authors.

Another factor that should be taken into consideration is the shape of the impact block. If a rounded or bullet-like block is used instead of a plain block, this could influence the severity of organ lesions. The present study simulated the frontal impact such as that which would be experienced by a human struck by a car. To simulate a side impact of a vehicle on a human, the animal may be easily turned to the right side or the left side. For further translational research, it may be necessary to adapt this device for sheep, pigs or, in the case of cadaver research, even in humans. However, any device for the induction of experimental thoracic trauma and injury will only ever have a model character, as motor vehicle collisions or injuries incurred in other situations are always complex and unique. The presented model may be of use in establishing thoracic traumata for the testing of potential treatments for such trauma. Several drugs are potentially applicable for the treatment of severe but not fatal blunt chest trauma, including adrenomedullin (7,8) and interleukin-6 antagonists (9), which are both suitable for the prevention of secondary lesions, such as inflammation and edema.

Overall, the device presented in the present study is a useful option complementary to the blast wave generator because maximal penetration depth and recoil can be measured and the trauma energy can be adjusted to mortality.
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