Study of NO2 Sensing Properties of UV-Activated Graft Comb Copolymer and ZnO Blends in ppm and Sub-ppm Range at Room Temperature †
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Abstract: In this work, a novel organic-inorganic blend made from PEGSil (Poly(dimethylsiloxaneco-[poli(methylhydroxilsokiane)-graft-2-wynyl-poly(3-heksylthiophene)]-co-[poly(dimethylsiloksan e)-graft- metakrylane ethere metylene poly(etylene glicole)]) mixed with zinc oxide nanomaterial was studied as the sensitive layer for nitrogen dioxide (NO2) resistance gas sensor application. Moreover, the PEGSil graft copolymer material was tested in two variants, defined by side-chain length of P3HT: shorter hexane fraction (H) and longer chloroform fraction (CH). Elaborated organic–inorganic blend was deposited on interdigital transducers (Au on Si/SiO2) by the drop coating method from a chlorobenzene-based mixture. Sensor response characteristics to different concentrations of NO2 (1–10 ppm) in N2 carrier gas and synthetic air were measured and compared. Measurements were done at room temperature with UV light charge carriers’ activation. Moreover, measurements for low gas concentrations (50–500 ppb) were made and analyzed. The obtained results show that the sensitivity of fabricated sensors is about 6.8% per 1 ppb for hexane fractions of PEGSil and 9.3% for chloroform fractions in the concentration range from 50 to 200 ppb NO2 in N2 carrier gas. These results show that the blend of these materials has wide potential as a sensing layer for NOx low-concentration sensing.
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1. Introduction

The detection of nitrogen dioxides (NO2), which are one of the most common air pollutants, is very important due to its high toxicity. NO2 sensors are used for monitoring atmospheric air or for, e.g., the detection of explosive vapors [1]. The most popular sensors that are used for NO2 sensing are chemoresistive gas sensors based on metal oxide (MOX) inorganic semiconductor materials such as TiO2, ZnO (n-type semiconductor) [2]. The main advantages of these materials are their long-term stability and high sensitivity, but they operate at relatively high temperatures (ca. 200 °C) [3]. On the other hand, conductive polymers have begun to be used recently as a sensor layer, in particular polyaniline, polythiophene and their derivatives [4] such as poly(3-hexylthiophene) (p-type semiconductor). Polymers showed a good sensitivity, a short response time and can operate at room temperature [5]. However, such polymers exhibit poor processability and short-time stability for sensing applications. Both of these materials can be mixed together, creating a bulk heterojunction...
blend, which allows to combine their material advantages and functionalization of the produced sensor layers [6,7].

The object of this study was to investigate the organic–inorganic blend of PEGSil polymer and ZnO nanomaterial as a sensing layer for ppm and sub-ppm NO₂ gas detection at room temperature and under UV irradiation.

2. Materials and Methods

The synthesis of both shorter hexane (H) and longer chloroform (CH) fractions of PEGSil (Poly(dimethylsiloxan)-co-[poli(metylohydrosiloskane)-graft-2-winyl-poly(3-heksylthiophene)]-co-[poly(dimethylsiloksane)-graft-metakrylane ethere metylene poly(ethylene glicole)]), was obtained using the method described in the patent application [8]. The graft comb copolymers were studied and their properties are described in the literature [9]. The interdigital transducers (IDT) were fabricated on an n-doped Czochralski (CZ)-grown silicon wafer with a thermal SiO₂ 200 nm dielectric layer. Photolithography and physical vapor deposition methods were used to fabricate the electrodes on interdigital transducers. An amount of 20 nm of chromium (Cr) was evaporated on the substrate and 100 nm of gold (Au) film as the electrodes with a channel length of 1500 μm. Four variants (Figure 1) of the transducers were made, which were divided according to the width of the electrode (W) and the distance (D) between them: 3 × 3 μm (W × D), 3 × 10 μm, 3 × 20 μm and 5 × 12 μm. The IDT were designed in such way as to be used as a substrate for an organic thin film transistor in future research, after embedding a gate electrode at the bottom of the substrate using the PVD method.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** SEM representative images of elaborated PEGSil CH/ZnO blend sensor structures, divided by electrode width and distance between electrodes: (a) 3 × 3 μm (W × D), (b) 3 × 10 μm, (c) 3 × 20 μm, (d) 5 × 12 μm.

The organic–inorganic blend was prepared by mixing together conductive graft copolymer with zinc oxide nanomaterial as a suspension for fabricating a thin film layer using the drop-coating method. An amount of 1 mg of ZnO obtained by the hydrothermal method [10,11] was dispersed in the 5 mL of chlorobenzene via 30 min ultrasonic stirring. Then, 2.5 mg of PEGSil polymer was dissolved in 1 mL of chlorobenzene via 30 min ultrasonic stirring. Both solutions were then mixed together via 30 min ultrasonic stirring. The prepared organic–inorganic blend was applied on the IDT substrate using the drop coating method — 6 μL of solution was dropped on each variant of IDT and left to dry on a heater in a laminar chamber for 12 h. After the drying process, the coated IDT structures were purged with pure nitrogen to remove any non-adhering materials. The fabricated structures were placed on a Al₂O₃ heater and connected to chip feed-throughs using the ultrasonic bonding method with a 25 μm gold wire. The bonding procedure was realized using a 53XX-BDA, F&K DELVOTEC wire bonder. The morphology and roughness of the obtained blend thin film and ZnO distribution were investigated using SEM (Inspect S50, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA).

The tests of the elaborated structures were carried out at different gaseous atmospheres at room temperature and under UV irradiation. As a resistance meter of the sensor active layer, a multi-switch unit 34970A (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used and the meter measurement range was set at 100 MΩ, where the current source of 500 nA is used. In all cases, resistance measurements were done at room temperature (23 °C), which was measured by Shimaden SR94 using a Pt100 sensor.
Measurements were carried out under UV light illumination (LED, $\lambda = 390$ nm). The preparation of the gas mixtures was done by a gas server based on mass flow controllers controlled by LabVIEW environment. The measurements were divided according to the carrier gas used: pure nitrogen or synthetic air. The following doses of nitrogen dioxide were used: 1, 2, 5, 10 ppm of NO$_2$ (standard mixture of NO$_2$: 100 ppm in N$_2$) for each measurement cycle. In addition, low gas concentration measurements were made, starting with a 50 ppb dose with a 10 ppb incrementing step up to 200 ppb to determine the sensitivity characteristics of the sensors. The detailed gas sensing measurement setup is presented elsewhere [9].

The sensor response ($R$) was calculated by using the formula below:

$$R = \left( \frac{R_g - R_a}{R_a} \right) \cdot 100\%.$$  

where $R_a$ is the measured resistance in carrier gas (base line value) and $R_g$ is the resistance measured in target gas (NO$_2$).

3. Results and Discussion

Sensor layer morphology and topography were investigated using scanning electron microscopy and are presented in Figure 2a,b. The applied sensing layer had a good adhesion to the substrate and shows uniform distribution of the ZnO grains nanostructures. ZnO nanostructures consist of nano- and micro-bars and nanorods, which agglomerate locally, shaped in a flower-like form, which greatly affects the active sensor surface.

Figure 2 shows that the sensor response for NO$_2$ gas exposition is significantly better in the case where the carrier gas was N$_2$. From a practical point of view, such measurements should also be made in an air atmosphere, so a synthetic air mixture was used as a carrier gas. The measurements show that the value of the sensor response in synthetic air is lower than for N$_2$ atmosphere, but it is still clear enough in relation to the sensor baseline that the functionality of the sensor is preserved (signal change over 1000% for 1 ppm of NO$_2$). Moreover, the measurements also show that the sensor reaches its saturation level faster in synthetic air than in nitrogen carrier gas. This is due to the presence of oxygen in the gas mixture. The baseline drift is smaller for synthetic air carrier gas. As commercial sensors work in an air atmosphere, the further work was focused on studying the gas detection properties of two variants of PEGSil: hexane (H) and chloroform (CH) fraction.

Figure 4 shows that the sensor response of the PEGSil H/ZnO material blend is more favorable because the response values are significantly higher than for the CH fraction, and baseline drift is comparable. It can be seen that as the NO$_2$ concentration increases, the sensor response signal decreases, which may be due to the dominance of nanostructural ZnO in this type of material blend.
First, after the gas supply, ZnO had a majority role on the sensor layer response, then the polymer began to dominate by adding energy carriers due to the interaction with the gas environment, as a result of which the resistance decreases and so does the sensor response.

![Figure 3. Sensor (3 × 20 μm) response graph of 1, 2, 5, 10 ppm of NO₂ in N₂ (blue line) and synthetic air (yellow line) carrier gas at room temperature and UV irradiation.](image1)

![Figure 4. Sensor (3 × 20 μm) response graph of 1, 2, 5, 10 ppm of NO₂ for PEGSil H/ZnO blend (magenta line) and PEGSil CH/ZnO blend (cyan line) in synthetic air at room temperature and under UV irradiation.](image2)

In addition, measurements were made for very low NO₂ concentration N₂ carrier gas at room temperature and under UV irradiation. Measurements from 50 to 200 ppb were carried out with an incrementation step of 10 ppb in order to determine the sensor calibration curve and the detection limit of the structures made. The linear characteristics were fitted to the designated points and are presented in Figure 5. It is worth emphasizing the good linearity of the determined characteristics, because R² is at a higher level than 0.99. It can be stated that the PEGSil CH/ZnO material blend saturates faster, while the H fraction saturates slower. The main advantage of the H fraction is the lower detection threshold for NO₂ in N₂. The signal change for the CH fraction was determined and is equal to 9.30% per 1 ppb of NO₂ and for the H fraction, 6.80% per 1 ppb.
Compared to our previous work [11] based solely on nanostructural ZnO, the applied sensing layer of an organic polymer (PEGSil) blend with an inorganic structured material (ZnO) significantly improved the parameters of the sensors produced, ranging from effective work at low temperatures (room temperature) to response dynamics and ending with the sensor response value itself (about 20 times higher signal change).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we presented our extensive studies of organic–inorganic material blend as a sensing layer for NO₂ gas sensor. Measurements in ppm and ppb range were made in pure nitrogen and synthetic air carrier gas, at room temperature, and under UV irradiation. The investigations presented in this paper show that this material blend can be a promising sensory material for the detection of oxidizing gases such as NO₂. The results show that ppb level detection at room temperature is possible. However, when choosing sensor materials, one must pay attention to individual features—baseline drift, sensor response value, signal dynamics—in our case, these were the features that also differentiate both polymer fractions in terms of the obtained results. The PEGSil H fraction has a lower drift line.
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