A Case Demonstrating the Cardio-Vascular Interaction by a New Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index During the Treatment of Concentric Hypertrophy
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Abstract

A 42-year-old hypertensive woman came to our hospital suffering from shortness of breath. Her left ventricular mass index (LVMI) was increased, and a new arterial stiffness index, cardio-ankle vascular index (CA VI), was also elevated. By treating hypertension (HT), diabetes mellitus (DM) and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), her left ventricular concentric hypertrophy was improved, accompanying with a decrease in CA VI. These observations suggested that arterial stiffness monitored with CA VI might be involved in cardiac hypertrophy. This cardio-vascular interaction could be demonstrated at the first time by monitoring CA VI, which is not affected by blood pressure (BP) at measuring time.
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Introduction

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) associated with hypertension (HT) is very important as it indicates the beginning of heart failure [1]. LVH is caused by overwork of cardiac muscle and is also supposed to be affected by so-called afterload, which consists of vascular resistance. There are several indices of LVH. Ejection fraction (EF) is a popular index reflecting left ventricular (LV) function and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) affecting approximately 50% of the patients with clinical heart failure [2]. Assessment of myocardial deformation using two-dimensional (2D) speckle-tracking echocardiography for the measurement of global longitudinal strain (GLS) has begun to be recognized as a sensitive and objective modality and may represent a useful tool for the HFpEF population [3, 4]. GLS analysis by speckle-tracking echocardiography has been proven to be a stronger predictor of patient outcome than left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) [5, 6]. While peak systolic longitudinal LV strain is quantified in the three apical views using the 2D speckle-tracking method in a 17-segment model, GLS is calculated as the change in length divided by the original length of the speckle pattern over the cardiac cycle and then is expressed as an averaged percentage from the results of each segmental peak systolic strain. Normal GLS was defined as ≤ -16%, consistent with the previous literature where normal GLS is reported to range from -15.9 to -22.1% [7].

On the other hand, as for the so-called afterload, which consists of vascular resistance, there has not been a good index. Arterial stiffness has been measured using pulse wave velocity (PWV), and a lot of useful information has been obtained as an index of vascular aging reflecting arteriosclerosis. But PWV is essentially affected by blood pressure at the time of measurement. Then, PWV could not be used for the evaluation of the effect of blood pressure on cardiac function. Recently, the cardio-ankle vascular index (CA VI) was developed as an arterial stiffness index from the origin of the aorta to the ankle [8, 9]. The feature of CA VI is that it is independent from blood pressure (BP) at the time of measurement, because it is derived from stiffness beta theory. And it is demonstrated by the studies using β1-blocker metoprolol and α1-blocker doxazosin on CA VI and BP [10]. And CA VI is proposed to reflect the so-called afterload by Shimizu et al [11] and Yamamoto et al [12]. They reported an acute change in CA VI using nitroglycerin to evaluate the vascular function in atherosclerotic patients and healthy people. Namely, CA VI is expected to be an index of vascular function for the evaluation of heart failure [13].

The relationship of LVH with CA VI was first revealed in 2015 when Schillaci et al reported that a high CA VI is associated with an inappropriately high LV mass and poor midwall systolic function by a cross-sectional survey [14].

Here, we report a woman whose LVH and GLS were improved by the combined treatment of HT, diabetes mellitus.
(DM) and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), associated with the improvement of CAVI. We tried to analyze the correlation between those LV functional indices and CAVI.

Case Report

We followed a woman in her 40s who had HT, DM, OSA and obesity. She had a past medical history of toxemia during pregnancy. Echocardiography was performed by a professional technician using Vivid S5 (General Electric Healthcare, USA) and GLS was calculated by the EchoPAC PC version 113 (General Electric Healthcare, USA) system. CAVI was measured using a Vasela 1500A (Fukuda Denshi Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) in the examination room of our hospital by a professional technician. When this patient was 33 years old, she visited our hospital for the first time due to HT. However, after only a few months, she discontinued clinic visits.

All diseases were untreated for approximately a 10-year period. When she was in her early 40s, she was admitted to our hospital due to severe HT. On physical examination, her BP was 207/122 mm Hg, and her pulse rate was 100 beats/min. Heart sound and respiratory sound were clear. A chest radiography showed that her cardiothoracic ratio was 53%. Plasma brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) level was 151.2 pg/mL. She already had advanced DM (HbA1c 10.0%) and OSA. Her apnea hypopnea index was 28.2 times/h, max apnea time was 70 s and minimum SpO₂ was 84% in polysomnography. We did not find any endocrine abnormalities causing her HT (adrenaline < 0.01 ng/mL, noradrenaline 0.17 ng/mL, dopamine < 0.002 ng/mL, cortisol 14.5 µg/dL, plasma renin 2.3 ng/mL/h, and aldosterone 101 pg/mL). Her LV geometry pattern was concentric remodeling. Her EF was preserved, and pulsed Doppler echocardiograms of the mitral inflow showed the relaxation abnormality pattern. We treated her HT, DM and OSA using various medicines and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). Over a few months, her BP was controlled using antihypertensive drugs and CPAP. She became a regular CPAP user and her controlled AHI level was kept within about 1.0 time/h under CPAP use. However, we failed to achieve body weight reduction. We tried to discontinue her spironolactone treatment in 2016, but her BP, serum BNP and CAVI worsened (④ in Fig 1 and Table 1). Therefore, we continued spironolactone again.

Gradually, her condition has been improved, with improvement in LVH and GLS that is associated with the improvement of CAVI.

Discussion

Severe HT causes LVH [1]. Angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB), spironolactone and CPAP were very effective for her BP control. Spironolactone is known to be the most effective add-on drug for the treatment of resistant HT [15]. The superiority of spironolactone supports the primary role of sodium retention. In fact, the control of her BP was affected by the discontinuation of spironolactone. On the other hand, it is known that ARB is an effective medicine for regression of LVH [16]. Miyashita et al reported that ARB was more effective than calcium antagonist for the improvement of CAVI [17]. As her LVH was improved, CAVI was also improved.

As for OSA, Kasai et al [18] and Shimizu et al [19] reported CPAP therapy which brought about improvement in CAVI. OSA is associated with increased sympathetic nerve activities and leads to resistant HT. We consider that CPAP reduces chronic activation of the sympathetic nerves caused by OSA, resulting in improvement in vascular endothelial function, and consequently a decrease in CAVI.

Most cardiovascular risk factors are associated with insulin resistance [20]. When blood glucose is well controlled in type 2 diabetes, CAVI is also improved [21, 22].

The findings from the present case suggest that improvement in CAVI caused by antihypertensive agents, antidiabetic agents and CPAP therapy may have decreased afterload of the left ventricle and accelerated the improvement of LV function. Namely, the interaction between left ventricle and vascular function as reflected by afterload was clearly demonstrated by monitoring CAVI. Furthermore, the changes in GLS were very interesting in this patient. GLS is a new method of early detection of HFpEF. Her LVEF was preserved from the begin-
ning, as shown in Table 1. The score of GLS was gradually normalized. Especially, the LV wall became thinner and left ventricular mass index (LVMI) got lower. E/A and e’ were also normalized, correlating with CAVI.

This phenomenon suggests that diastolic dysfunction of left ventricle caused by HT, DM and OSA is closely related to LVH and increased CAVI. Moreover, the findings suggest the possibility of a cardio-vascular interaction (Fig. 2), in which arterial stiffness monitored with CAVI was involved in forming cardiac hypertrophy. It had been supposed that there is a cardio-vascular interaction, but it could not be demonstrated with the index value. But, now, the presence of the interaction has been demonstrated for the first time to our knowledge by monitoring CAVI, because CAVI can reflect arterial stiffness of the arterial tree from the origin of the aorta to the ankle without the influence of blood pressure changes at the time of measurement. Although further large-scale studies are needed to prove this hypothesis, it could be suggested that the clinical process of the present case, in which the improvement of various factors reflecting CAVI led to improvement of LVH, may indicate that CAVI could be a useful index to evaluate the role of the cardio-vascular interaction in the treatment of LVH.

Conclusions

The present case highlights the role of cardio-vascular interaction in the treatment of concentric hypertrophy. To analyze this interaction, CAVI could be a useful index not only of the marker of atherosclerosis, but also of the vascular function in the cardio-vascular interaction.

### Table 1. Changes in Clinical Parameters

| Clinical parameters | 2006 | ① 2015 | ② 2015 | ③ 2015 | ④ 2016 | ⑤ 2017 | ⑥ 2018 |
|---------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| BW (kg)             | 75   | 75     | 73     | 73     | 77     | 77     |
| SBP (mm Hg)         | 200  | 167    | 126    | 135    | 157    | 121    |
| DBP (mm Hg)         | 110  | 100    | 78     | 84     | 95     | 78     |
| HR (bpm)            | 70   | 76     | 73     | 68     | 62     | 70     |
| Creatine (mg/dL)    | 0.63 | 0.65   | 1.07   | 1.05   | 0.89   | 0.93   |
| Urinary protein     | (-)  | (+)    | (-)    | (-)    | (-)    | (-)    |
| HbA1c (%)           | 6.2  | 10.0   | 7.3    | 6.2    | 6.7    | 7.4    |
| BNP (pg/mL)         | 5.9  | 151.2  | 6.1    | 7.8    | 78.5   | <5.8   |
| CTR (%)             | 48   | 53     | 50     | 94     | 44     | 44     |
| IVST (mm)           | 10   | 15     | 15     | 12     | 12     | 12     |
| LVPWT (mm)          | 10   | 15     | 15     | 12     | 12     | 12     |
| LVMI (g/m²)         | 128  | 110    | 95     | 87     | 95     | 72     |
| EF (%)              | 59   | 62     | 62     | 68     | 73     | 65     |
| E/A                 | 0.73 | 1.05   | 0.89   | 1.16   | 1.45   | 0.98   |
| E/e’                | 13.6 | 13.18  | 11.52  | 8.84   | 15.93  | 10.68  |
| e’ (cm/s)           | 5.0  | 4.4    | 5.9    | 9.5    | 6.4    | 7.3    |
| GLS (%)             | -10.8| -8.9   | -16.1  | -18.4  | -16.3  | -20.4  |
| CAVI                | 9.5  | 8.2    | 7.6    | 8.0    | 7.0    | 7.2    |

SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HR: heart rate; bpm: beats per minute; BNP: plasma brain natriuretic peptide; CTR: cardio-thoracic ratio; IVST: interventricular septal thickness; LVPWT: left ventricular posterior wall thickness; LVMI: left ventricular mass index; EF: ejection fraction; E/A: peak early diastolic filling velocity (E-wave)/peak atrial filling velocity (A-wave); E/e’: peak early diastolic filling velocity (E-wave)/spectral Doppler tissue velocities of the septal mitral annulus (e’); GLS: global longitudinal strain.

Figure 2. Cardio-vascular interaction. Vascular function was closely related with left ventricular function. CAVI: cardio ankle vascular index; E/A: peak early diastolic filling velocity (E-wave)/peak atrial filling velocity (A-wave); E/e’: peak early diastolic filling velocity (E-wave)/spectral Doppler tissue velocities of the septal mitral annulus (e’); EF: ejection fraction; LVMI: left ventricular mass index; GLS: global longitudinal strain.
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