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ABSTRACT

A total of 750 serum samples from sheep and goats of Navsari, Valsad, Surat and Tapi districts of south Gujarat were screened for PPR specific antibodies using PPR competitive ELISA (c-ELISA). The Overall seroprevalence of PPR was found 62.40 % (468/750). Based on affected species, seropositivity was noted significantly higher in goats 65.77% than sheep 43.96 %. Among the districts, Surat topped the list (66.46 %) followed by Valsad (62.67%), Tapi (60.76%) and Navsari (60.24%). Higher seroprevalence rate (66.25%) was found males in comparison to their female counterparts (61.35%). Age wise higher seroprevalence (66.23%) was recorded among the age group of 1 to 3 years, followed by <1 year age group (58.58%) and with least seroprevalence in > 3 years of age group (10.75%). In case of goats, maximum seroprevalence was recorded in Valsad district (67.34%) whereas least seroprevalence was recorded in Navsari (63.94%). Seropositivity was higher in male (69.71%) than the female (64.63%). The age group of 1 to 3 years was highest seropsitive (70.63%) than above 3 years of age (27.77%). Among sheep, district wise seroprevalence of PPR was noted to be highest in Valsad (51.61%) and lowest in Tapi (27.77%). In female sheep, the seropositivity was noted higher having 44.89 % cases however; males exhibited a lower (38.88%). The highest rate of seroprevalence (45.67%) was observed in the age group of 1 to 3 years than (40.00%) recorded in remaining age groups. Month wise overall seropositivity found highest in October (72.88%) followed by December (63.86%).
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Introduction

PPR is a highly contagious, acute, febrile viral disease in goats and sheep, characterized by fever, anorexia, depression, nasal discharge, ocular discharge, anorexia, abortion, erosion on nasal mucosa, stomatitis, coughing and depression (Tariq et al., 2014). Therefore, World Organization of Animal Health (WOAH) has identified PPR as a
notifiable and economically important transboundary viral disease of sheep and goats (Balamurugan et al., 2010). Peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV) infect sheep and goats, although both cattle and pigs are susceptible to infection, but do not contribute to the epidemiology as they are unable to excrete virus (Kahn, 2010). The PPRV is a RNA virus belonging to the genus Morbillivirus and family Paramyxoviridae. The virus is closely related to rinderpest virus (Anderson et al., 1990; Couacy-Hymann et al., 1995) measles and canine distemper (Gibbs et al., 1979).

In India, the disease has great economic importance on basis of mortality, morbidity, losses through body wastage, poor food efficiency, loss of the meat, milk and milk products, wool losses and also offspring. The percentage of the livestock derived income loss due to PPR varies between 21-90% (FAO, 2009).The morbidity (65.66%) and mortality (34.34%) contribute mainly in overall losses due to PPR outbreaks and prevalence in India(Singh et al., 2014).PPR was first reported from Tamil Nadu in 1987 (Shaila et al., 1989). For many years the disease was supposed to be confined in the southern parts of the country (Krishna et al., 2001). However, during 1994, a major epidemic of PPR spread across the country, the disease has been recorded from northern states as well as West Bengal (Dhar et al., 2002). Within a decade, PPR became endemic in the country, imposing severe threat to small ruminant industry.

At present, regularly outbreaks occur among small ruminants throughout the country (Kerur et al., 2008) and the overall prevalence of PPRV was reported within the range of 20-60% (Singh et al., 2004a). Many reports documented the presence of PPRV in Rajasthan in the north (Kataria et al., 2007), Kolkata region in the east (Saha et al., 2005), Karnataka in the south and Maharashtra in the west (Santosh et al., 2009; Raghayendra et al., 2008). No epidemic of PPR had been reported in Gujarat till 2014. But, presence of PPRV was studied and confirmed from Gujarat in 2001 (Hinshu et al., 2001) and later on by other workers (Tiwari et al., 2004; Nagraj, 2006; Kanani et al., 2006; Chandrahas, et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2015).

PPR is characterized by pyrexia, ocular and nasal discharges, erosive and necrotic stomatitis, conjunctivitis, gastroenteritis, diarrhoea and pneumonia. PPR is primarily a disease of goats and sheep, however, goats are usually more severely affected than sheep (Lefevre et al., 1990; OIE, 2013). The virus is shed in all body secretions and excretions, thus transmission of PPR requires close contact between susceptible and infected animals and occurs mainly through inhalation of aerosols produced by sneezing and coughing. The disease usually appears after an introduction of new animals into a herd. Fomites such as bedding may also contribute to the onset of an outbreak (Lefevre et al., 1990).

The distribution and prevalence of PPR may be diagnose and monitor by epidemiological case recording of PPR outbreaks, serological detection of PPR specific antibodies and detection of the virus. The most commonly used method is c-ELISA for serological diagnosis of PPR due to its highly sensitivity (94.5%) and specificity (99.4%) compared to virus neutralization assay that can detect PPRV antibodies in the sera of affected sheep and goats after the first week of infection (Libeau et al., 1995; Singh et al., 2004b) however, it is not useful method as it does not differentiate vaccinated from infected animals. Hence, Present study was undertaken to find out the age wise, sex wise, species wise and season wise prevalence of the disease based on the diagnostic modality.
Materials and Methods

Study area

During 2015 to 2017, suspected PPR outbreaks among sheep and goats occurred in different locations namely: Navsari, Valsad, Surat and Tapi districts of south Gujarat (Fig. 1).

In the present study, a total of 750 (goats: 634 and sheep: 116) serum samples from sheep and goats of same area were screened for PPR specific antibodies using PPR c-ELISA.

Collection and preparation of serum samples

About 5 ml of blood was collected aseptically from the jugular vein of each animal in a vacuette with serum clot activator (Greiner bio-one, Austria). The vacuettes were kept in upright position at room temperature for about two hours.

The separated serum was collected in a screw capped plastic vials and transported to the laboratory. The sera were stored at -20°C / -80°C temperatures till further use.

All the serum samples were collected from 750 animals (goats: 634 and sheep: 116) under the present study described in Table 1 and 2.

In order to confirm that PPRV was the main cause of the recent suspected outbreaks, Nasal, conjunctival and oral swabs were collected by rubbing cotton swabs against mucosal surfaces, suspended in VTM which was kept in icepacking and quickly transported to the laboratory as quickly as possible.

Tissues were collected from died animals and quickly transported to laboratory on ice and stored at –80°C. Sera were collected from both infected and healthy animals in the herds. All the serum samples were analyzed by c-ELISA.

Competitive Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (c-ELISA)

For detection of PPRV antibodies in the collected sera, c-ELISA using monoclonal antibodies directed against PPRV nucleoprotein gene was performed using commercial ELISA kit, ID Screen®PPR Competition kit (IDVet Innovative Diagnostics, France) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of data generated on various parameters was carried out by Standard Statistical procedures using Statistical Packages for Social Science (SPSS) software (version 17). Chi-square test was used according to WEB AGRI STAT PACKAGE software developed by Ashok Kumar, ICAR research complex, Goa, India.

Results and Discussion

Suspected PPR outbreak serum samples were tested for the period of 2015 to 2017. Detailed overall seroprevalence described in table 3. Different attributes were also calculated and presented in table 4.

Description of PPR clinical disease in sheep and goats

Sheep and goats from PPR suspected outbreaks were recognized mostly by the appearance of the typical clinical signs of the disease including pyrexia, anorexia, profuse serous nasal and ocular discharges while some animals showed mucopurulent discharges, dry muzzle, respiratory signs and diarrhea. The cases were associated with a higher morbidity and mortality rates particularly among young animals. No any vaccinations against PPR in sheep and goats herds in these areas were carried out declared by animal owners.
Detection of PPRV antibodies using c-ELISA

Examination of 750 sera samples i.e. 634 goats and 116 sheep sera by c-ELISA for the presence of PPRV antibodies indicated that 468 (62.40%) sera were found positive for PPR antibodies. This was combined/overall seroprevalence of PPR in the goats and sheep of the four districts of south Gujarat under the study.

District wise seroprevalence

District wise seroprevalence in Navsari, Valsad, Surat and Tapi districts was found as 60.24, 62.67, 66.46 and 60.76 per cent, respectively. Seroprevalence was found higher in Surat whereas almost equal percent positivity was observed from Valsad, Tapi and Navsari districts. Among goats, highest Prevalence was recorded in Valsad (67.34%) followed by Surat (66.46%), Tapi (66.07%) and Navsari (63.94%) district. While the decreasing order for sheep was Valsad (51.61%), Navsari (38.88%) and Surat (27.77%), and Tapi (0.0%) (Fig. 2).

Species wise seroprevalence of PPRV antibodies

On the basis of affected species, seropositivity was noted as 65.77% (417/634) in goats and 43.96 % (51/116) in sheep which showed extremely statistically significant (P<0.0001) variation between species towards susceptibility of PPRV infection (Fig. 3).

Sexwise seroprevalence

The sex wise overall seroprevalence of PPR disease was reported higher 66.25% (106/160) in male than that to females i.e. 61.35 % (362/590). Male goats showed numerically higher seroprevalence (69.71%) as compared to females (64.63%). The situation among sheep was reverse and the female sheep showed higher seroprevalence (44.89%) than that of males (38.88%).

Age wise seroprevalence

Age wise overall seroprevalence was observed significantly (P<0.01) higher in 1 to 3 years of age which is 66.23 (304/459) followed by less than 1 year of age group as 58.58 (157/268) per cent. While 30.43 % (7/23) seropositivity was found in >3 years of age group. In respect of age, maximum seroprevalence (70.63%) was found in goat of 1-3 years of age followed by the age group of < 1 year (60.92%) and >3 years (27.77%). When sheep sera were tested as per same age grouping maximum seroprevalence (45.67%) was found in animals above 1-3 years of age, than the age group of < 1 year (40 %) and >3 years (40%). Unlike goat, the age wise prevalence was not differing significantly among groups.

Month wise seroprevalence

Highest overall and within the species month wise positivity was noted in October followed by December (Fig. 4). Total two seasons and over the six month study revealed difference in outbreak of disease and percent positivity. Fall and spring season are the most critical period of the disease occurrence. The detailed were presented in table 4. Disease occurrence duration in our study can be draw from the frequency distribution (Figure 5) which clearly depicted that the duration time of September to January is the most susceptible for the PPR infection in small ruminants.

Our finding are corroborates with the reports (Chauhan et al., 2011) where 112/176 (63.64%) sera samples were positive for PPRV antibodies in migratory/village flocks of sheep and goats of Kutchh region of Gujarat state. Again (Chauhan et al., 2012) studied seroepidemiology and found 51.86%
seroprevalence of PPR in sheep and goats maintained under different managemental conditions.

Lesser than our results, seropositivity level at 33.33% in south Gujarat (Tiwari, 2004) and 37.09 % in Gujarat state (Ranaware et al., 2013) was recorded. Similarly, 25.70% (Thakor et al., 2016) and 47.14% recorded an overall seroprevalence in case of goats of south Gujarat (Patel et al., 2017). The overall 46.26% seroprevalence of PPRV infection in sheep and goats of North Gujarat was reported (Chandrahas et al., 2011) and found 43.56 per cent of overall prevalence in different states of India between 2003 and 2009 year period (Balamurugan et al., 2011). The overall seroprevalence 47.5 (114/240) and 35.23 (2500/7096) per cent were observed in southern part of Tigray region of Ethiopia (Afera et al., 2014) and Sindh province of Pakistan (Nizamani et al., 2015), respectively.

Among districts, Percent positivity of Navsari district was in accordance with (Patel et al., 2017) but higher than (Thakor et al., 2016). Higher prevalence of PPR in Valsad district of south Gujarat was reported earlier (Thakor et al., 2016). The Surat district was not included in the earlier studies by any worker. In Pakistan, there were found 43.33 per cent seroprevalence was noted in 24 districts of Punjab Province (Khan et al., 2007) and 45.5% from different villages of 27 randomly selected districts.

In species wise study, we found significant difference (P < 0.01). Seroprevalence in goat was found as 65.77% (417/634) which is higher than sheep 43.96% (51/116). The results have been concurred with the findings of earlier workers (Tiwari et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2009; Balamurugan et al., 2011; Rahman et al., 2017). In general goats are more susceptible than sheep, therefore have a higher probability of developing PPRV antibodies (Kumar et al., 2014). However, reports from India (Chandrahas et al., 2011) and from Pakistan (Khan et al., 2007; Nizamani et al., 2015) have been showed higher seroprevalence in sheep than goats. Non-significant difference was observed in seroprevalence for both of species.

The sex-wise overall seroprevalence of PPR disease was reported higher 66.25% (106/160) in male than that to females i.e. 61.35 % (362/590). Although the differences observed in PPR seroprevalence between males and females was statistically non significant. This is in agreement with (Thakor et al., 2016) who observed non significant difference on sex wise basis in south Gujarat. The prevalence values reported here are in concordance with report of Maharasthra, India (Karelewad et al., 2007) who recorded sex-wise prevalence was higher in male (73.28%) as compared to female (55.22%) goats in and similarly report (Mahajan et al., 2012), found significantly higher seroprevalence in males (33.33%) than the females (24.53%) in J & K, India.

Higher seroprevalence of PPR in female than male in south Gujarat was observed earlier (Patel et al., 2017). On the contrary, we found higher seroprevalence in male because the outbreaks were observed during the festive season during which demands for male was more. Similarly, many of the workers found higher prevalence in does than bucks (Mahajan et al., 2012; Nizamani et al., 2015; Rahman et al., 2017; Patel et al., 2017).

Largely, per cent variation might be due to difference in sample size in these two cases. For sheep most of studies have shown a higher prevalence in female population (Khan et al., 2007; Nizamani et al., 2015) which support our present findings. Similar trends of the results have been found by previous worker on sex wise basis (Thakor et al., 2016).
Age-wise overall seroprevalence was observed significantly (P<0.01) higher in 1 to 3 years followed by less than 1 year of age group as 66.23 (304/459) and 58.58 (157/268) per cent, respectively. While, only 30.43 % (7/23) seropositivity was found in age >3 years. These findings are in agreement with previous reports from Gujarat (Chauhan et al., 2012) and J& K, India (Mahajan et al., 2012). The former recorded Maximum seroprevalence of 55.65 per cent was found in the age group of > 3 years, followed by 2 to 3 years (52.00 %), 1 to 2 years (31.91 %) and < 1 year (27.87%) in goats, and later author noted significantly (p < 0.05) higher seroprevalence in >12 months age group (39.58%) followed by 8–12 months (26.38%) and 4–8 months (20.83%) age group.

**Table.1** Details of districts, species, age and sex wise serum samples collection in goat and sheep

| Species | Age groups | Sex   | Navsari | Valsad | Surat | Tapi | Total |
|---------|------------|-------|---------|--------|-------|------|-------|
| Goat    | < 1 year   | Male  | 51      | 31     | 36    | 30   | 148   |
|         |            | Female| 54      | 42     | 54    | 36   | 186   |
|         | 1-3years   | Male  | 4       | 34     | 2     | 3    | 43    |
|         |            | Female| 38      | 5      | 43    | 30   | 116   |
|         | Above 3 years | Male | 5       | 4      | 2     | 1    | 12    |
|         |            | Female| 56      | 31     | 30    | 12   | 129   |
|         | Total      |       | 208     | 147    | 167   | 112  | 634   |
| Sheep   | < 1 year   | Male  | 4       | 8      | --    | --   | 12    |
|         |            | Female| 7       | 10     | --    | --   | 17    |
|         | 1-3years   | Male  | 2       | 3      | --    | 1    | 6     |
|         |            | Female| 16      | 34     | --    | 14   | 64    |
|         | Above 3 years | Male | 0       | 0      | --    | --   | --    |
|         |            | Female| 7       | 7      |       | 3    | 17    |
|         | Total      |       | 36      | 62     | --    | 18   | 116   |
|         | Overall    |       | 244     | 209    | 167   | 130  | 750   |

**Table.2** Details of month wise sample collection from sheep and goat

| Particular   | Goat | Sheep | Total |
|--------------|------|-------|-------|
| Month wise   |      |       |       |
| August       | 82   | --    | 82    |
| September    | 81   | 18    | 99    |
| October      | 163  | 14    | 177   |
| December     | 205  | 69    | 274   |
| January      | 56   | 15    | 71    |
| February     | 47   | --    | 47    |
| Total        | 634  | 116   | 750   |
Table 3 Overall seroprevalence of PPR diagnosed by c-ELISA

| Attributes | No. of Tested | No. of Positive | Percent Positive |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Region     |               |                 |                  |
| South Gujarat | 750          | 468             | 62.40            |
| Species    |               |                 |                  |
| Goat       | 634           | 417             | 65.77            |
| Sheep      | 116           | 51              | 43.96            |
| Total      | 750           | 468             | 62.40            |
| $\chi^2 = 19.876^{\ast\ast} (P<0.0001)$ |
| Sex        |               |                 |                  |
| Male       | 160           | 106             | 66.25            |
| Female     | 590           | 362             | 61.35            |
| Total      | 750           | 468             | 62.40            |
| $\chi^2 = 1.285 (P>0.05)$ |
| Age        |               |                 |                  |
| <1 year    | 268           | 157             | 58.58            |
| 1-3 years  | 459           | 304             | 66.23            |
| >3 years   | 23            | 07              | 30.43            |
| Total      | 750           | 468             | 62.40            |
| $\chi^2 = 14.552^{\ast\ast} (P<0.0001)$ |

Fig. 1 showing four districts namely Navsari, Valsad, Surat and Tapi were selected for study.
Table 4 Correlation of region, districts, sex and age between the Goat and Sheep of PPR seroprevalence

| Attributes | Goat | | Sheep |
|------------|------|-------|-------|
|            | No. of Tested | No. of Positive | Percent Positive | No. of Tested | No. of Positive | Percent Positive |
| Region     | SouthGujarat | 634 | 417 | 65.77 | 116 | 51 | 43.96 |
| Districts  | Valsad | 147 | 99 | 67.34 | 62 | 32 | 51.61 |
|            | Navsari | 208 | 133 | 63.94 | 36 | 14 | 38.88 |
|            | Tapi | 112 | 74 | 66.07 | 18 | 5 | 27.77 |
|            | Surat | 167 | 111 | 66.46 | -- | -- | -- |
| Total      | 634 | 417 | 65.77 | 116 | 51 | 43.96 |
|            | $\chi^2 = 0.512$ (P>0.05) | $\chi^2 = 3.763$(P>0.05) | | | | |
| Sex        | Male | 142 | 99 | 69.71 | 18 | 7 | 38.88 |
|            | Female | 492 | 318 | 64.63 | 98 | 44 | 44.89 |
| Total      | 634 | 417 | 65.77 | 116 | 51 | 43.96 |
|            | $\chi^2 = 0.512$ (P>0.05) | $\chi^2 = 0.223$(P>0.05) | | | | |
| Age        | <1 year | 238 | 145 | 60.92 | 30 | 12 | 40.00 |
|            | 1-3 years | 378 | 267 | 70.63 | 81 | 37 | 45.67 |
|            | >3 years | 18 | 05 | 27.77 | 5 | 2 | 40.00 |
| Total      | 634 | 417 | 65.77 | 116 | 51 | 43.96 |
|            | $\chi^2 = 14.552^{**}$(P<0.001) | $\chi^2 = 0.32$(P>0.05) | | | | |
| Month      | August | 82 | 42 | 51.21 | -- | -- | -- |
|            | September | 81 | 45 | 55.55 | 18 | 7 | 38.88 |
|            | October | 163 | 121 | 74.23 | 14 | 8 | 57.14 |
|            | December | 205 | 144 | 70.24 | 69 | 31 | 44.92 |
|            | January | 56 | 36 | 64.20 | 15 | 5 | 33.33 |
|            | February | 47 | 29 | 61.70 | -- | -- | -- |
| Total      | 634 | 417 | 65.77 | 116 | 51 | 43.96 |
|            | $\chi^2 = 18.334^{**}$(P<0.01) | $\chi^2 = 1.889$ (P>0.05) | | | | |
Fig. 2 District wise seroprevalence of PPR
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Fig. 3 Species, sex and age wise seroprevalence of PPR

![Species, sex and age wise seroprevalence of PPR](image)
However, the higher seroprevalence in young ones was opined in South Gujarat (Thakor et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017). Higher seroprevalence rate in above 6 months of age than below 6 months was also reported (Begum et al., 2016; De et al., 2016). The factual explanation of the present findings has been justified by the fact that sheep and goats exposed to natural infection to PPRV at a very young age may carry antibodies for 1-2 year following exposure and might be carried for life long time (Devi et al., 2016; Kahn, 2010).

Maximum seroprevalence (70.63%) was found in goats of 1-3 years of age followed by the age group of < 1 year (60.92%) and >3
years (27.77%). The age wise prevalence was found as significantly different among groups which supports the earlier findings of (Chauhan et al., 2011; Nizamani et al., 2015).

On the contrary, higher seropositivity was observed in below 6 months age (Thakor et al., 2016) and less 1 year of age, (Patel et al., 2017) respectively. When sheep sera were tested as per same age grouping maximum seroprevalence (45.67%) was found in animals above 1-3 years of age, than the age group of < 1 year (40%) and >3 years (40%). Unlike goat, the age wise prevalence was not differing significantly among groups. The percent positivity was in accordance of the published reports (Nizamani et al., 2015; Rahman et al., 2017). However, highest incidence of PPR was recorded in < 1 year and > 3 years of age (Mahajan et al., 2012). The seroprevalence rate found in sheep was 41.12% (Ranaware et al., 2013) in Gandhinagar region of Gujarat. None of the previous study for seroprevalence in sheep has been found for Valsad and Tapi districts of south Gujarat.

Month wise seroprevalence of PPR was found in accordance of (Abubakar et al., 2009) where two different seasonal variations were found. Our study has shown highest positivity during the September to January duration. This duration is immediately after raining season and starting of winter in India. During which temperature and humidity fluctuation makes favorable condition to PPRV for infection in animals. Environmental changes also make putative impact on animal health which tends to compromised immunity of host. Balamurugan et al., (2012) have also found month wise distribution of the percent positivity of samples. They have similarly reported majorly positivity during august to October time. Taylor, 1984 reported that PPR incidence majorly observed in winter season whereas Wosu, 1994 noted that dry season is most favorable for the disease occurrence. Overall dry and cold weather combination during the October to February favors the spread of disease (Balamurugan et al., 2012).

Thus the present study revealed the valuable sufficient data on the seroepidemiology of PPRV in sheep, goats and its cofactor with age, sex, region and seasonal variations in southern districts of Gujarat which may help in policy making on vaccination and preventive strategy for the control of disease. Regular time to time vaccination is strongly required in young and adult small ruminants. Our study has clearly given the basic idea for the point of vaccination time, care and preventive preparedness before the outbreak.
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