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Achalasia is an esophageal motility disorder of unknown cause, characterized by aperistalsis of the esophageal body and impaired lower esophageal sphincter relaxation. Patients present at all ages, primarily with dysphagia for solids/liquids and bland regurgitation. The diagnosis is suggested by barium esophagram and confirmed by esophageal manometry. Achalasia cannot be cured. Instead, our goal is to relieve symptoms, improve esophageal emptying and prevent the development of megaesophagus. The most successful therapies are pneumatic dilation and surgical myotomy. The overall success rate of graded pneumatic dilation is 78%, with women and older patients responding best. Laparoscopic myotomy, usually combined with a partial fundoplication, has an overall success rate of 87%. Young patients, especially men, are the best candidates for surgical myotomy. Botulinum toxin injection into the lower esophageal sphincter and smooth muscle relaxants are usually reserved for older patients or those with co-morbid illness. The prognosis for achalasia patients to return to near normal swallowing is good, but the disease is rarely "cured" with a single procedure and intermittent touch-up procedures may be required. (J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2010;16:232-242)
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Introduction

Achalasia is the most recognized motor disorder of the esophagus, and is the only primary motility disorder with an established pathophysiology. The term means “failure to relax,” and describes the primary predominant feature of this disorder, a poorly relaxing lower esophageal sphincter (LES) seen in association with aperistalsis of the esophageal body. The first case of achalasia was reported more than 300 years ago by Thomas Willis; where the patient’s cardiospasm responded to dilation with a whalebone.1

Epidemiology and Pathophysiology

Achalasia occurs with equal frequency in men and women. There is no racial predilection. Case studies show an age distribution between birth and the nineth decade, with the peak incidence between 30 and 60 years of age. In children, it can be part of the Triple A syndrome, characterized by achalasia, alacrima and adrenocorticotropic hormone resistant adrenal insufficiency. Achalasia is an uncommon disease, but occurs frequently enough to be encountered at least yearly by most gastroenterologists. Esophageal specialists, both gastroenterologists and surgeons, may see 10 or more cases a year.2 The disease prevalence is ap-
proximately 10 cases per 100,000 population. Its incidence has been fairly stable over the last 50 years at approximately 0.5 cases per 100,000 population per year. The overall life expectancy of patients with achalasia does not differ from those of the general population.5

The histologic abnormalities in patients with achalasia have been well described at autopsy or from myotomy specimens.4,5 The primary region of damage is the esophageal myenteric (Auerbach’s) plexus, and includes prominent but patchy inflammatory response, consisting of predominantly CD3 and CD8 positive cytotoxic T lymphocytes, variable numbers of eosinophils and mast cells, loss of ganglion cells and some degree of myenteric neurofibrosis. Early disease has more of an inflammatory component, with some of the ganglion cells appearing to be intact, while end stage disease is associated with complete loss of ganglion cells and replacement with myenteric fibrosis.5 Even during the early inflammatory stages of achalasia, there is a selective loss of postganglionic inhibitory neurons containing nitric oxide (NO) and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide. Since postganglionic excitatory neurons are spared, cholinergic stimulation continues unopposed, leading sometimes to high resting LES pressure. The loss of inhibitory input results in abnormal and usually incomplete LES relaxation. This occurs for all stimuli, including electrical field stimulation of muscle strips from achalasia patients, intravenous cholecystokinin, esophageal distension, and gastric distension fail to induce transient LES relaxation in achalasia patients.6 Aperistalsis is caused by the loss of the latency gradient that permits sequential contractions along the esophageal body, a process mediated by NO.

Although achalasia is the best characterized of the esophageal motility disorders, its pathogenesis is still not fully elucidated. Available data suggests that hereditary, degenerative, autoimmune and infectious factors are possible causes - the latter 2 being the most commonly accepted.7 The presence of cytotoxic T lymphocytes, IgM antibodies and evidence of complement activation and antibodies against myenteric neurons, especially in patients with specific HLA genotype (DQA1 × 0103 and DQB1 × 0603 alleles), point toward an autoimmune origin of the myenteric ganglionitis.4 However, some of these antineuronal antibodies may be seen in healthy patients and patients with GERD, suggesting they may represent an epiphenomenon, and not a causative factor.9 Although these findings are all very interesting, it still remains obscure why only neurons in the esophagus and LES are destroyed. Furthermore, the exact stimulus initiating this immune response or the antigen targeted remains unidentified. The fact that achalasia is confined to the esophagus and LES has led to hypotheses that neurotropic viruses, especially viruses with predilection for squamous epithelium, may be involved. However, studies focusing on the presence of viral antibodies in the serum or viral DNA in esophageal tissue show conflicting results.10,11 On the other hand, a recent study suggests a causal role for a subpopulation of cytotoxic lymphocytes activated by the herpes simplex virus antigens or antigens on neurons similar to herpes simplex virus.12

Clinical Presentation

The diagnosis of achalasia should be suspected in any patients complaining of dysphagia for solids and liquids with regurgitation of bland food and saliva. The onset of the dysphagia is usually gradual, being described initially as an infrequent “fullness in the chest” or “sticking sensation,” but usually occurs daily or with every meal by the time the patient sees a physician. Initially, the dysphagia may be primarily for solids; however, by the time of clinical presentation, nearly all complain of dysphagia for solids and liquids while eating and drinking, especially cold beverages. Various maneuvers, including “power swallows” and carbonated beverages, both of which increase intraesophageal pressure, may be used to improve esophageal emptying. Regurgitation becomes a problem with progression of the disease, especially when the esophagus becomes dilated. Regurgitation of bland, undigested retained foods or accumulated saliva, sometimes misdiagnosed as postnasal phlegm or bronchitis, occur postprandially and at night, often waking the patient from sleep because of coughing and choking. Rarely, aspiration pneumonia is a problem. Chest pain occurs in some patients, primarily at night, and is especially seen in patients with milder disease when the esophagus is minimally dilated. The mechanism of chest pain is unknown, but it is not simply repetitive episodes of simultaneous contractions, causing the esophageal lumen to be occluded. Whereas pneumatic dilation or surgery usually relieves dysphagia and regurgitation, the chest pain in achalasia patients responds much less predictably. Fortunately, the chest pain seems to get better over time, possibly as the esophagus dilates.13 Heartburn is a frequent complaint in achalasia, despite the fact that achalasia is not associated with increased episodes of acid reflux by pH monitoring. The cause of this symptom is speculative, but probably related to retention of acid beverages such as carbonated or fruit drinks and, in some cases, the production of lactic acid from retained food in a markedly dilated esophagus. Most achalasia
patients have some degree of weight loss at presentation; however, the loss is usually only 5 to 20 lb over months to years.

**Diagnostic Evaluation**

When achalasia is suspected, a barium esophagram with fluoroscopy is the best initial diagnostic test. The esophagus is usually dilated and sometimes tortuous, does not empty, and retained food and saliva produces an air-fluid level at the top of the barium column. The distal esophagus is characterized by a smooth tapering from the closed LES, resembling a bird’s beak, and sometimes an epiphrenic diverticulum is noted. Fluoroscopy always shows a lack of peristalsis, replaced by to-and-fro movement in the supine position. We have popularized a modification of the barium esophagram known as the timed barium swallow. The test is individualized for each patient and primarily assesses esophageal emptying of barium in the upright position over 5 minutes. Tests can be repeated serially after therapy to evaluate esophageal emptying and correlate it with the patients’ symptoms.

Esophageal manometry is required to establish the diagnosis of achalasia and must be done in any patient where invasive treatments such as pneumatic dilation or surgical myotomy are planned. Because achalasia only involves the smooth muscles of the esophagus, the manometry abnormalities are confined to the

![Figure 1. Achalasia subtypes by high resolution manometry. (A) Type I (classic achalasia) - there is no significant pressurization within the esophageal body (all dark blue) and impaired lower esophageal sphincter (LES) relaxation (IRP = 42 mmHg). (B) Type II (achalasia with compression) - water swallows cause rapid pan-esophageal pressurization which may exceed LES pressure, causing the esophagus to empty. (C) Type III (spastic achalasia) - although this is also associated with rapidly propagated pressurization, the pressurization is attributable to an abnormal lumen obliterating contraction. (Modified from: Pandolfino JE, Fox MR, Bredenoord AJ, Kahrilas PJ. High resolution manometry in clinical practice: utilizing pressure topography to classify oesophageal motility abnormalities. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2009;21:796-806). UES, upper esophageal sphincter; IRP, integrated relaxation pressure; CFV, contractile front velocity.](image-url)
However, this triad tends to have poor specificity. The most common cause of pseudoachalasia is a malignancy infiltrating the cardia and gastroesophageal junction. Therefore, all patients with suspected achalasia need a careful upper endoscopy with close examination of the cardia and gastroesophageal junction. If pseudoachalasia is still suspected, endoscopic ultrasound with a small 20 mHz probe or computed tomography scanning of the chest may be helpful.

Although the symptoms of achalasia are relatively classic, and the diagnostic tests, especially barium X-rays and manometry, readily available, there is still a considerable delay between the onset of symptoms and the diagnosis. In one report, patients on average reported symptoms of dysphagia for approximately 5 years and had seen several physicians before the correct diagnosis was made. Interestingly, the frequent delay in the diagnosis was not due to an atypical clinical presentation of the disease, but rather to misinterpretation of typical findings by the physician consulted.

### Treatment of Achalasia

No treatment can restore muscular activity to the denervated esophagus in achalasia. Esophageal aperistalsis and impaired LES relaxation are rarely, if ever, reversed by any mode of therapy. Therefore, every treatment for achalasia is directed to reducing the pressure gradient across the LES with 3 goals of: (1) relieving patients’ symptoms, especially dysphagia and bland regurgitation, (2) improving esophageal emptying by disrupting the poorly relaxing LES and (3) preventing the development of megaesophagus.

The disruption of the LES gradient is best accomplished by pneumatic dilation or surgical myotomy and, less effectively, by pharmacologic agents. The symptoms of regurgitation and dysphagia are the easiest to treat, but chest pain can be problematic in some patients. Overall, using single or multiple modalities of treatment, over 90% of achalasia patients will do well. However, achalasia is never “cured” and touch-up therapies after pneumatic dilation or Heller myotomies are often needed. Therefore, I recommend that all achalasia patients be followed up every 1 to 2 years by a gastroenterologist or surgeon familiar with the disease.

In my experience, the timed barium swallow is very helpful in following these patients, however, my colleagues in Europe prefer to do serial measurements of LES pressure.
the waist, caused by the spastic LES, is flattened or effaced. The
pressure required is usually 7-12 psi of air, held for 15-60
seconds. Sometimes multiple balloon distensions are done at the
same setting. Some investigators only perform one dilation,22 but
most use a graded dilation protocol starting with 3.0 cm, followed
by 3.5 cm and then 4.0 cm balloon dilation, in subsequent
sessions.24 A few European centers perform serial progressive di-
lations over several days, until the manometrically measured LES
pressure is below 10-15 mmHg.22,23 Pneumatic dilation is now
routinely done in outpatient centers, with the patient being ob-
served for up to 6 hours, to ensure that no complications have
occurred. Some perform Gastrografin followed by barium swal-
lows to exclude perforations; others do not recommend obtaining
routine barium X-ray films unless clinically indicated.

Table 1 summarizes the good to excellent symptom relief
with the Rigiflex balloons in 1,144 patients.25 These 24 studies,
with an average follow-up of 37 months, found that the clinical
response improves in a graded fashion with increasing size of the
balloon diameter - good to excellent response in 74%, 86% and
90% with the 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0 balloons, respectively. Over a third
of achalasia patients treated with pneumatic dilation will experi-
ence symptom recurrence during a 4 to 6-year period of follow-
up. Long-term remission can be achieved in virtually all of
these patients treated by repeated pneumatic dilation according to
an “on demand” strategy, based on symptom recurrence.26
Therefore, in clinical practice, pneumatic dilation is a non-surgi-
cal treatment that will require periodic “touch ups” over the life of
the patient. Pneumatic dilation is the most cost effective method
for treating achalasia, when compared to Heller myotomy or
Botox, over a time period of 5 to 10 years.27,28

With the standardization of the Rigiflex balloons, we are be-
ginning to define the risk factors for relapse after pneumatic dila-
tion (Table 2). These are mainly young age (< 40 years), male
gender, single dilation with a 3.0 cm balloon, posttreatment LES
pressure > 10-15 mmHg, and poor esophageal emptying on
timed barium swallow. The effects of age on the success of pneu-
matic dilation are most reproducible from as far back as 1971,
even with the older balloons.29 For example, Eckhardt et al,30 using
a 4 cm Brown-McHardy dilator, demonstrated a 5-year re-
mission rate of 16% for patients younger than 40 years, compared
to 8% for those older than 40 years. Recent studies suggest
young men do not do as well as young women with the pneumatic
dilation. In a study of 126 patients, Ghoshal et al11 found that
male gender, but not age, was independently associated with poor
outcome after dilation. Another large study from the Cleveland
Clinic (106 patients, 51 women) confirmed the importance of age
but also found gender to be equally important.32 Men, up to age
50 years, did not do well with a single 3.0 cm Rigiflex pneumatic
dilation. However, only young women (< 35 years of age) did
poorly with pneumatic dilation, while most older women had sus-
tained relief over at least 5 years with a single pneumatic dilation.

Physiologic studies can also predict the long-term success
rate of pneumatic dilation. Eckhardt and colleagues22 reported
that all patients with post procedure LES pressure < 10 mmHg
were in remission after 2 years, compared with 71% for pressures
between 10-20 mmHg and 23% for pressures over 20 mmHg.
More recently, the Leuven group observed that 66% of their pa-
tients with post procedure LES pressure < 15 mmHg were in
symptomatic remission after an average of 6 years.23 Using the
timed barium swallow, we found that patients with complete
symptom relief, correlating with marked improvement of esoph-
geal emptying, were more likely to do well at 3 years than those
with symptom relief, but poor esophageal emptying (82% vs
10%, respectively).21 A randomized clinical trial of pneumatic di-
lation versus surgery found that patients with < 50% improve-

### Table 1. Long-term Efficacy and Complications of Rigiflex Balloon Dilation Versus Heller Myotomy for Achalasia

|                      | Rigiflex balloon | Laparoscopic myotomy¹ |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Number of studies    | 24               | 30                    |
| Number of patients   | 1,144            | 1,487                 |
| Excellent/good symptom response (%) | 78.0            | 86.7                  |
| Follow up (months)   | 37               | 32                    |
| Complications (%)    | 1.9              | 18.0 (perforation) (gastroesophageal reflux) |

¹Surgical series with over 10 patients.

### Table 2. Pneumatic Dilation: Predictors of Relapse

| Related to patient       | Younger age (< 40 yr) | Male gender | Wide esophagus |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Related to procedure     | Single dilation       | Small size balloon (< 30 mm) | LES pressure > 10-15 mmHg measured within 1 yr of procedure | Poor esophageal emptying on barium swallow post-treatment |
| Related to manometry     | Type I and III pattern on high resolution manometry |
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ment in the height of the barium column at 1 minute post treatment had a 40% risk of treatment failure during follow up.33 Most recently, the Northwestern group observed that patients with Type II achalasia pattern (esophageal pressurization) on high resolution manometry were more likely to respond to any therapy (Botox 71%, pneumatic dilation 91% and Heller myotomy 100%), compared to Type I (56% overall) and Type III (29% overall).36 This was a single center study whose authors are enthusiastic about high resolution manometry, therefore, confirmation by other centers of excellence are needed.

The only absolute contraindication to pneumatic dilation is poor cardiopulmonary status or other comorbid illnesses preventing surgery, should an esophageal perforation occur. Some have suggested that patients with vigorous achalasia, achalasia associated with epiphrenic diverticulum or hiatal hernia, malnutrition, or more than 1 previous dilation may have an increased risk of perforation. However, a retrospective study of 237 patients found no difference in clinical, endoscopic, manometric or radiographic characteristics among 7 who had perforations, compared to the 230 who did not.34 Pneumatic dilation can be safely done after a failed Heller myotomy, although larger diameter balloons are required (I usually start with a 3.5 cm balloon) and the success rate is not as good.35

The most serious complication from pneumatic dilation is esophageal perforation, with an overall rate in experienced hands of 1.9% (range 0%-16%).35 Treatment may be conservative with antibiotics and total parenteral nutrition, or surgical repair through a thoracotomy may be required. Other minor complications include chest pain (15% of patients), aspiration pneumonia, hematemesis, fever, esophageal mucosal tear and hematoma. Severe complications of gastroesophageal reflux disease (esophagitis, peptic stricture and Barrett’s esophagus) are rare after pneumatic dilation, but 15%-35% of patients have heartburn, responding to proton pump inhibitors.35

Laparoscopic Heller Myotomy

The first successful surgery for achalasia was performed in 1913, by the German surgeon Ernest Heller.36 This surgery consisted of an anterior and posterior (double) lower esophageal myotomy through a laparotomy. Subsequently, the operation was modified to a single anterior myotomy performed usually through a left posterior thoracotomy. This operation was the primary surgical treatment for achalasia, until the mid 1990’s, with reported good success rate (60%-94%) but high postoperative morbidity, making this treatment much less attractive.37 This dramatically changed with the introduction of the minimally invasive myotomy by Pellegrini and coworkers,38 in 1992. Initially performed through the chest, the overall success of the laparoscopic operation through the abdomen is superior to the thorascopic approach. Patients are usually hospitalized for less than 48 hours and can return to work within 1 to 2 weeks. Recent improvements on the operation have included extending the myotomy 2-3 cm onto the proximal stomach to cut the gastric sling fibers, further decreasing LES pressure and improving dysphagia.39 This more aggressive myotomy accentuates the risk for postoperative gastroesophageal reflux; therefore, the consensus is to add an incomplete fundoplication, either an anterior Dor or posterior Toupet, to prevent this complication.40

Table 1 summarizes the good to excellent relief with laparoscopic Heller myotomy in nearly 1,500 patients.42 Younger patients, especially men and patients with higher LES pressures, may benefit most from primary surgery. Importantly, patients who fail pneumatic dilation or Botox treatment can be successfully treated with surgical myotomy.42,41 However, repeated Botox injections significantly hinder the dissection of the submucosal plane, leading to mucosal perforations in 7%-15% of operations.42 Although these perforations are usually recognized and repaired at the time of the initial operation, some studies suggest a negative effect on long term results. For example, Portale et al41 found the myotomy success rate of 19 patients previously treated with pneumatic dilation was 94% at 5 years, but only 75% for the 26 patients previously treated with Botox. Recurrence of dysphagia after a laparoscopic Heller myotomy is usually the result of an incomplete myotomy, particularly on the gastric side, esophageal scarring, obstruction by the fundoplication, megaesophagus or complications of severe GERD, including esophagitis or peptic stricture. Surgical expertise is key, with most complications occurring in the first 50 operations.42 Surgery is the most costly treatment for achalasia.27 However, it may be cost-effective, but only if its effectiveness reliably lasts at least 10 years.28

Although the short term results of laparoscopic Heller myotomy are excellent, it remains to be seen if the long term results are as favorable. Three groups have recently reported the long term results of laparoscopic Heller myotomy (mean follow up between 5.3 and 11.2 years) in 179 patients.43-45 Deterioration over time seems to occur with some striking consistency in these multinational studies; 18% required pneumatic dilation, 5% Botox injection and 5%-10% required repeat myotomy or esophagectomy.

Surgical complications of laparoscopic Heller myotomy in-
include death (0.1%) and esophageal perforation (7%-15%). The most common long term problem is chronic GERD and its sequelae, occurring overall in 18% of patients (range 5%-55%). Most of these patients have reflux symptoms; some esophagitis, and rarely Barrett’s esophagus and secondary adenocarcinoma of the esophagus have been reported after Heller myotomy. The addition of an incomplete fundoplication decreases, but does not eliminate, the complications of GERD. A recent study by Csendes et al. illustrates the potential for GERD complications, especially among patients followed for over 10 years. This study reported on 67 patients with Heller myotomy and Dor fundoplication after open laparotomy with a mean follow up of nearly 16 years (range 6.6%-30 years). Overall, 31% of the patients developed GERD, and 55% had abnormal pH studies 20 years after their myotomy. Importantly, 9 patients (13%) developed Barrett’s esophagus (6 short segment and 3 long segment), with the frequency increasing over time, reaching 30% after 20 years. In this series, poor or failed results were seen in 22.4% of the patients, but only 1 was due to an incomplete myotomy, with the remaining 14 due to complications of severe GERD. These alarming results may not be translatable to the laparoscopic operation, where the minor dissection of the perihital tissue theoretically should reduce the risk of postoperative GERD. However, careful studies will be required to address this concern.

Pneumatic Dilation or Surgical Myotomy?

Ideally, the choice between 2 treatment options should be based upon prospective, randomized comparative studies. Studies comparing pneumatic dilation with the Rigiflex balloon and laparoscopic Heller myotomy have recently been reported. These studies are appearing at a critical time, when many gastroenterologists have stopped performing pneumatic dilations and the laparoscopic technique has made Heller myotomy the most favored treatment for achalasia.

A large study from the Cleveland Clinic compared 106 patients treated with Rigiflex balloons by a single gastroenterologist, and 73 undergoing primarily laparoscopic Heller myotomy (20 had failed pneumatic dilation and crossed over to surgery) by a single esophageal surgeon. The success of graded pneumatic dilation and myotomy, defined as dysphagia/regurgitation <3 times a week or freedom from alternative treatment, was similar; 96% versus 89% at 6 months, decreasing to 44% versus 57% at 6 years. Causes of symptom recurrence were incompletely treated achalasia (96% after pneumatic dilation vs 64% after myotomy) and complications of GERD (4% after dilation vs 36% after surgery).

To date, 2 small randomized studies have been reported comparing Rigiflex balloon dilation and laparoscopic myotomy. The first (16 pneumatic dilation, 14 Heller myotomy) found no difference in success rates. The second series (26 dilations, 25 surgery) with follow up for at least 12 months, observed 6 failures in the dilation group and 1 with surgery. This difference reached statistical significance (p = 0.04) in the per protocol analysis, but not the intention-to-treat analysis (p = 0.09). Most recently, an achalasia trial involving 5 European countries randomized 94 patients to Rigiflex pneumatic dilation (3.0 and 3.5 cm) and 106 to laparoscopic Heller myotomy with Dor fundoplication. After 2 years of follow up, both treatments had comparable success rates - 92% for pneumatic dilation and 87% for laparoscopic myotomy. Barium swallow emptying and LES pressures were similar for both groups. Four perforations occurred after pneumatic dilations, compared to 11 perioperatively recognized perforations (1 converted to open operation) during laparoscopic Heller myotomy.

Another method to address this issue is to investigate large population based databases comparing outcomes of these 2 procedures in typical practice settings. This was recently reported by Lopushinsky and Urbach in a retrospective longitudinal study in Ontario, Canada, from July 1991 to December 2002. A total of 1,461 persons aged 18 years or older received treatment for achalasia; 1,181 (80.8%) had pneumatic dilation and 280 (19.2%) had surgical myotomy as their first procedure. The cumulative risk of any subsequent intervention for achalasia (pneumatic dilation, myotomy or esophagectomy) after 1, 5 and 10 years respectively was 36.8%, 56.2% and 63.5% after initial pneumatic dilation treatment, as compared to 16.4%, 30.3% and 37.5% after initial myotomy (hazard risk, 2.37; CI, 1.86-3.02; p < 0.001). The difference in risk between these 2 procedures was observed only when repeat pneumatic dilation was recorded as an adverse outcome. Since “on demand” pneumatic dilation is the accepted approach to treating achalasia, this cannot logically be viewed as failure of this treatment modality. Interestingly, the 33% need for subsequent pneumatic dilation and 18% risk of repeat surgery following myotomy were much higher than the current surgery literature suggests, probably defining the more realistic surgical experience in the clinical community.
Pharmacologic Treatments

1. Smooth muscle relaxants

LES pressure can be transiently reduced by smooth muscle relaxants. Nitrites increase the NO concentration in smooth muscle cells, which subsequently increases cyclic guanosine monophosphate levels and results in muscle relaxation. Calcium is necessary for esophageal muscle contractions and its action is blocked by calcium antagonists. Nitrites and calcium channel blockers decrease LES pressure in a dose-dependent manner, with a maximum effect of approximately 50%, thereby temporarily relieving dysphagia. These drugs are taken 15-30 minutes before meals; the improvement in dysphagia is usually incomplete and short lived, efficacy decreases with time, and side effects (headache, dizziness, and pedal edema) are common. As a result, there is infrequently a place for these drugs in the clinical management of achalasia. The same holds true for sildenafil, a phosphodiesterase inhibitor that reduces the breakdown of cyclic guanosine monophosphate, the second messenger mediating NO induced relaxation.

2. Botulinum toxin

Botulinum toxin (Botox) is a potent inhibitor of acetylcholine release from nerve endings. The inactive form is synthesized by the Clostridium botulinum bacteria. Botox cleaves SNAP-25, a cytoplasmic protein involved in the fusion of acetylcholine containing presymptomatic vesicles with the neuronal plasma membrane. Exocytosis of acetylcholine is inhibited and paralysis of the innervated muscle occurs. Botox counteracts the unopposed stimulation of the LES by cholinergic neurons, helping to restore the LES to a lower resting pressure. On average, Botox injections decrease LES pressure by 50%, while partially improving esophageal emptying.

Botox is commercially available in a lyophilized powder which should be stored below -5°C. The toxin is gently diluted with 5 mL of preservative-free sterile saline. Bubbles should not be formed during the mixing process, so as not to decrease the toxin’s potency. Total dose of 100 units is endoscopically injected through a sclerotherapy needle into the LES in divided 25 unit aliquots, one in each quadrant of the sphincter. Increasing the dose to 200 units does not improve the success rate, but repeated 100 units may improve efficacy. One study reported that patients receiving 100 units of Botox, followed by a second injection of 100 units 30 days later, had an 80% remission rate at 12 months, compared with the 55% rate with the traditional regimen. The drug is contraindicated in patients with allergy to egg proteins. It should be administered cautiously to patients receiving amino-glycosides, because these medications may potentiate the effect of the toxin. The most common side effects of Botox injection is chest pain in 16%-25% of patients.

Based on numerous studies, some placebo-controlled, Botox markedly improves symptoms in approximately 75% of achalasia patients. However, symptoms recur in more than 50% of patients within 6 months, possibly because of regeneration of the affected receptors. Of course, those responding to the first injection of 100 units of Botox, nearly 75% will respond to a second...
injection, but the response decreases with further injections, probably from antibody production to the foreign proteins. Less than 20% of the patients failing to respond to the initial Botox injection respond to a second injection. Patients older than 60 years of age, and those with vigorous achalasia, are more likely to get a sustained response, up to 1.5 to 2 years to Botox injection. Serial injections of Botox are required to give sustained relief, and comparison studies demonstrate its long term efficacy is inferior to pneumatic dilation or myotomy. A single vial of Botox costs approximately $500. Serial Botox injections are more expensive than pneumatic dilation, because of the need for repeated injections. This treatment may have a cost advantage for patients living < 2 years.

General Recommendations

For the newly diagnosed patient with achalasia, a suggested treatment algorithm is shown in Figure 2. Symptomatic healthy patients with achalasia should be given the option of graded pneumatic dilation or laparoscopic Heller myotomy since a review of the literature suggests relatively similar efficacy in the hands of experienced gastroenterologists and surgeons. Pneumatic dilation has the advantage of being an outpatient procedure, the pain is minimal, GERD is an infrequent problem, pneumatic dilation can be performed in any age group and during pregnancy. Pneumatic dilation does not hinder the performance of a future myotomy, and all cost analyses find it less expensive than Heller myotomy over 5 to 10 years. On the other hand, laparoscopic Heller myotomy has the advantage of being a single procedure, the dysphagia relief may be greater at the cost of more troubling heartburn, and a myotomy may be more effective treatment than pneumatic dilation in adolescents and young adults, especially men. Myotomy is definitely the treatment of choice in uncooperative patients and patients in whom pseudoachalasia cannot be excluded. In healthy subjects, we do not offer Botox as an option, because the treatment is not definitive, and the duration of relief short term. On the other hand, Botox injections are the treatment of choice in patients who are poor surgical candidates and the elderly because it is safe, improves symptoms and generally older patients require retreatment more frequently than once a year. Initial treatment of uncomplicated achalasia probably can be handled by experienced community physicians and surgeons. Failures, particularly after surgery, should be referred to Esophageal Centers of Excellence with expertise in pneumatic dilation, repeat myotomy and esophagectomy. Using this multidiscipline approach, we have found that over 90% of achalasia patients can have long term relief or improvement in their dysphagia and good quality of life. However, few patients are "cured" with a single procedure and intermittent "touch up" procedures (especially pneumatic dilation and sometimes repeat myotomy) may be required.

Conclusion

There are still many challenges and questions to be answered regarding achalasia and its treatment. We need to understand the triggers leading to the destruction of the esophageal and LES neurons and possibly how to prevent these insults. If this is due to an autoimmune process, one possible alternative therapeutic approach may be immune modulating drugs. Animal studies should continue to explore the potential of stem cell transplantation to restore esophageal and LES function. Recent studies of mice suggest that transplantation of neuronal stem cells injected in the pylorus survive and even express NO synthase. Future large, randomized, prospective trials will need to compare laparoscopic Heller myotomy and pneumatic dilation to address the superiority of one technique to the other over a 5 to 10 year period, or to determine which therapies should be reserved for a certain subset of patients. Initial trials should be done in Centers of Excellence with surgeons and gastroenterologists skilled with this disease, but a later comparative study in the community setting would best define where these patients should be initially treated. Finally, some endoscopic enthusiasts will see if a successful myotomy can be performed through the endoscope.
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