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We proposed an analytical model to analyze the Landau-Zener interference in a multilevel superconducting flux qubit driven by large-amplitude external fields. Our analytical results agree remarkably with those of the experiment [Nature 455, 51 (2008)]. Moreover, we studied the effect of driving-frequency and dephasing rate on the interference. The dephasing generally destroys the interference while increasing frequency rebuilds the interference at large dephasing rate. At certain driving frequency and dephasing rate, the interference shows some anomalous features as observed in recent experiments.

PACS numbers: 74.50.+r, 85.25.Cp

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconducting Josephson devices coherently driven by external fields provide new insights into fundamentals of quantum mechanics and hold promise for use in quantum computation as qubits. Compared with natural atoms and molecules, these devices can be strongly coupled to external radio-frequency (rf) fields while preserving quantum coherence. A large number of experiments associated with strong driving have been done on these devices such as Rabi oscillations in the multiphoton regime, Bloch oscillations, Landau-Zener(LZ) interference, and dressed states of superconducting qubits under extreme driving.

Recently, coherent dynamics of superconducting qubits in the regime dominated by LZ transitions were extensively studied. In this case, the driving frequency is much smaller than energy-level separation and the transitions occur via the LZ process at a level crossing. One may use LZ transitions to enhance the quantum tunneling rate to prepare the quantum state to control the qubit gate operations effectively and so on. Moreover, repetition of the LZ transitions can induce quantum mechanical interference, which leads to Stueckelberg or Ramsey-type oscillations. Since the theoretical scheme of observing LZ interference in qubits was proposed by Shytov et al., a series of beautiful experiments on LZ interference were implemented in two level systems such as flux qubits and charge qubits which provided an alternative method to manipulate and characterize the qubit in the strongly driven regime.

A new regime of strong driving was reported in a recent work. Unlike previous experiments which employed a two level system, the qubit in this experiment was driven through a manifold of several states spanning a wide energy range. The population of the qubit under large-amplitude fields exhibited a series of diamond-like interference patterns in the space parameterized by flux detuning and microwave amplitude. The interference patterns, which displayed a multiscale character, encoded the information of several energy levels of the system. In a recent work by Rudner et al., a skillful and concise method employing a two-dimensional Fourier transform was used to study such a system. They transfer the measurement results of the energy domain to the time domain, and realize a “tomogram” of the time evolution of the qubit phase, from which the decoherence time of the qubit can be easily obtained.

In this article, rather than extract information by decomposing or translating the interference patterns, we start from the point of reconstructing the interference patterns through analyzing the dynamics of the system, i.e., we map the time variable(including both decoherence rate and field-driving rate) onto the distribution of the qubit’s population. Our model used to analyze multilevel systems are based on the well developed theory of two-level systems. This article is organized as follows. In Sec.II the basic models were introduced firstly, from which we used rate equations to discuss the dynamics of the two diamond-like interference patterns separately. The results agree with those of experiments very well. In Sec.III, we discussed the effect of driving frequency and dephasing rate on the LZ interference. By tuning the driving frequency and dephasing rate one can expect different interference patterns. Some anomalous interference patterns, such as the moiré-like pattern reported in a recent experiment, can be well explained using our model.

II. MODEL AND METHOD

We start from a driven two-level system subject to the effects of decoherence:

$$\hat{H}(t) = -\frac{\Delta}{2}\hat{\sigma}_x - \frac{\hbar(t)}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z,$$

where $\Delta$ is the tunnel splitting; $\hat{\sigma}_x$ and $\hat{\sigma}_z$ are Pauli matrices. $\hbar(t)$ is the time dependent energy detuning from an avoided crossing:

$$\hbar(t) = \epsilon + A\sin\omega t + \delta\epsilon_{\text{noise}}(t),$$
where $\epsilon$ is the dc component of the energy detuning, $A$ and $\omega$ are the amplitude and frequency of the driving rf field respectively, $\delta\epsilon_{\text{noise}}(t)$ is the classical noise. As discussed in ref [13], by using white noise model and perturbation theory, the rate of LZ transitions between the states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ can be obtained:

$$W(\epsilon, A) = \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \sum_n \frac{\Gamma_2 J_n^2(\epsilon)}{(\epsilon - n\omega)^2 + \Gamma_2^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\Gamma_2 = 1/T_2$ is the dephasing rate and $J_n(x)$ are Bessel functions of the first kind with the argument $x = A/\omega$. Eq. (3) implies that the transition rate is proportional to $\Delta^2$ which is decided by the energy structure of the system.

Extending Eq. (3) to multilevel systems, the LZ transition rate between states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ can be written as:

$$W_{ij}(\epsilon_{ij}, A) = \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \sum_n \frac{\Gamma_2 J_n^2(\epsilon_{ij} - n\omega)^2 + \Gamma_2^2}{(\epsilon_{ij} - n\omega)^2 + \Gamma_2^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\Delta_{ij}$ is the avoided crossing between states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$, and $\epsilon_{ij}$ is the dc energy detuning from the corresponding avoided crossing $\Delta_{ij}$. Eq. (4) can be derived in the same way as in ref [13] considering only the direct coupling between states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$. We emphasize that the situation will change for no decoherence, in which coherence evolution among all coupled levels should be considered. While in our case, the coherence evolution is reduced to rate equations as discussed below, which is appropriate in dealing with stationary population distribution.

Hereafter, we focus on the multilevel superconducting flux qubit, a superconducting loop interrupted by three Josephson junctions. Near flux bias $\Phi = 0.5m\Phi_0$, the system exhibits a double-well potential parameterized by the flux detuning $\delta\Phi \equiv \Phi - 0.5m\Phi_0$. Fig. 1 shows the lowest four levels of the system as a function of flux detuning. Whenever the diabatic states $|i\rangle$ ($i = 0, 1$; right well with negative slope) and $|j\rangle$ ($j = 2, 3$; left well with positive slope) are degenerate, avoided crossing $\Delta_{ij}$ forms because of the inter-well tunneling. If a microwave flux is applied (Fig. 1, blue sinusoid), the detuning flux of the system is

$$\delta\Phi(t) = \delta\Phi_{dc} + \delta\Phi_{ac} = \delta\Phi_{dc} + \Phi_{rf}\sin\omega t,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

with $\Phi_{rf}$, in the unit of flux, proportional to the microwave source voltage. Then the time dependent energy detuning (see Eq. (2)) of states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ of the flux qubit can be expressed as:

$$h_{ij}(t) = (|m_i| + |m_j|)(\delta\Phi_{dc} + \Phi_{rf}\sin\omega t) + \delta\epsilon_{\text{noise}}(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $m_i = dE_i(\Phi)/d\Phi$ is the diabatic energy-level slope\cite{25} of state $|i\rangle$ in units of frequency per flux. From Eq. (1) and Eq. (4), the LZ transition rate $W_{ij}$ is a function of $\delta\Phi_{dc}$ and $\Phi_{rf}$, which can be easily controlled in experiments. To understand simply, the tunnel splitting $\Delta_{ij}$ serves as a channel that connects different energy states $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ when the systems are driven by large-amplitude fields. Whether the channel is ‘open’ or ‘closed’ is related with both the energy detuning $\epsilon_{ij}$ and the amplitude of the driving field $A$. As observed in experiments, the qubit population exhibited a series of ‘diamonds’ patterns\cite{25} in the space parameterized by $\Phi_{rf}$ and $\delta\Phi_{dc}$. Here we mainly discuss the first two diamonds produced by the transitions among the lowest four energy level states. The same methods can be used to analyze other ‘diamonds’ resulting from transitions among higher energy levels. In order to have a clear physical picture of the interference patterns, we analyze the first two diamonds in part A and part B separately, and make a more quantitative analysis in part C.

**A. THE FIRST DIAMOND**

As shown in Figure 1, the red path represents the main transition process generating the first ‘diamond’. In order to describe the time evolution of the population in the presence of driving fields, we employ a rate equation approach, in which the qubit level occupations $p_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) obey:

$$\dot{p}_0 = -p_0 W_{02} + p_1 (W_{10} + p_2 (W_{02} + \Gamma_{20})$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = -p_1 (W_{12} + \Gamma_{10}) + p_2 W_{12},$$

$$p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

FIG. 1: (color online) Energy-level diagram of a superconducting flux qubit illustrating the level-crossing positions for a particular static flux detuning $\delta\Phi_{dc}$. Blue solid line represents the time-periodic detuning $\Phi_{rf}\sin\omega t$. The red path and the green path represent two different transition processes leading to different interference patterns. The dotted lines represent intra-well relaxation processes.
where $W_{ij}$ is the LZ transition rate introduced in Eq. (4), and $\Gamma_{ij}$ is the relaxation rate from $|i\rangle$ to $|j\rangle$. It is noticed that some relaxation rates (e.g., $\Gamma_{01}$) that have little effects on the results have been neglected for simplicity, and these rates would be considered in part C. Moreover, we did not consider the population of $|3\rangle$ for two reasons: (i) The driving-field amplitude is not large enough to reach anti-crossing $\Delta_{03}$, resulting in no LZ transitions between $|0\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$. (ii) In general, for the superconducting flux qubits, the intra-well relaxation rate $\Gamma_{10}$ is much larger than the LZ rate $W_{13}$. Therefore, the population of $|1\rangle$ mostly relaxed to $|0\rangle$ rather than made a transition to $|3\rangle$. In part C these approximations would be discussed in detail and we found they are valid for the reported experimental data.

In the stationary case, $p_0 = p_1 = p_2 = 0$. The qubit population in the left well can be easily solved from Eq. (7):

$$p_2 = \frac{W_{02}(W_{12} + \Gamma_{10})}{W_{12}(W_{12} + W_{02} + \Gamma_{20}) + \Gamma_{10}(2W_{02} + W_{12} + \Gamma_{20})}. \tag{8}$$

Fig. 2(c) is the contour plot according to Eq. (4) and Eq. (8) with the parameters from experiments. The main features of the theoretical results agree with the experimental data very well. Since the pattern is symmetric about $0$ detuning, we only plot half of the pattern. Qualitatively, using Eq. (5), we split the space into three regimes:

$$p_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & W_{02} \text{ is off} \\ \frac{W_{02}}{W_{02} + \Gamma_{20}} & W_{02} \text{ is on}; W_{12} \text{ is off} \\ \frac{W_{12}}{W_{12} + \Gamma_{10}} & W_{12} \text{ is on}; \text{off}. \end{cases}$$

When the amplitude of rf field is small, $W_{02}$ is off. The channel that connects states $|0\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ is cut off, resulting in no population transfer to the left well. The minimum amplitude required to switch $W_{02}$ on is proportional to the detuning. Therefore, we observed an edge with positive slope at left. With the amplitude increased, we may enter a regime where $W_{02}$ is on and $W_{12}$ is off. The qubit serves as a two level system with the channel to higher energy levels cut off. Since the minimum amplitude to switch on the $W_{12}$ linearly decreases with the detuning, we saw a shadow with negative slope on the right side. There is another regime where both $W_{02}$ and $W_{12}$ are on. Because $W_{12}$ is much larger than $W_{02}$, the population of $|1\rangle$ is more likely to be excited to $|2\rangle$, and then relaxes back to $|0\rangle$, thus suppressing the net population transfer to the left well (see the red path in Fig. 1). In addition, the population on the peaks of the interference patterns should be less than 0.5 because of the spontaneous relaxation to the ground state. This was confirmed in the experiments.

### B. THE SECOND DIAMOND

The ’second-diamond’ interference patterns correspond to the transition processes shown in Fig. 1(green path). Using a similar method with that of part A and neglecting weak transitions, we can write the rate equation for...
When the population inversion is a straightforward result of
inversion, agree with those of the experiments very well.

Fig. 3(c) is the contour plot using Eq. (10) with exper-
imental parameters. The results, which show notable
features of both checkerboard patterns and population
inversion, agree with those of the experiments very well.
The population inversion is a straightforward result of
Eq. (10). When $W_{03}$ is on and $W_{12}$ is off, since
$W_{03} > \Gamma_{20}$, the system forms a $\Lambda$-type three-level
structure. $p_L$ is larger than 0.5 and even close to 1. Actually,
one can use this property to generate controllable pop-
ulation inversion to produce lasers. However, once $W_{12}$
is on, the population of $|2\rangle$ is pumped to $|1\rangle$ and relaxes
to $|0\rangle$ rapidly. Because $W_{12} > W_{03}$, $p_L < 0.5$, thus
destroying the population inversion (see the green path in
Fig. 1).

\section*{C. Combine the Two Diamonds}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4}
\caption{(color online) Calculated qubit population versus flux
detuning and driving amplitude. The first diamond corre-
sponds to the red path and the second diamond corresponds
to the green path in Fig. 1. The parameters we used in calcu-
lation are: the inter-well relaxation rate $\Gamma_{20}/2\pi = 0.05$ MHz,
the avoided crossing $\Delta_{13} = 0.5$ GHz, and the temperature $T$
used in $\Gamma_{02} = \Gamma_{20} \exp(-E_{02}/kT)$ is 20 mK. Other par-
ameters are the same with those in Fig. 2.}
\end{figure}

Having addressed the two diamonds separately, now we
can make a more integral and quantitative study of the
interference patterns. Here the driving-field amplitude
is increased from 0 to a large value which has reached $\Delta_{03}$
and $\Delta_{12}$ crossings. In this situation, all the transi-
 tion processes in Fig. 1 should be considered, and the rate
equation can be written as:

$$
\dot{p}_0 = -p_0 W_{03} + p_1 \Gamma_{10} + p_2 \Gamma_{20} + p_3 W_{03},
\dot{p}_1 = -p_1 (W_{12} + \Gamma_{10}) + p_2 W_{12},
\dot{p}_2 = p_1 W_{12} - p_2 (W_{12} + \Gamma_{20}) + p_3 \Gamma_{32},
\phi_0 = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1,
$$

(9)

where $W_{ij}$ and $\Gamma_{ij}$ have the same definitions as those in
part A. In the stationary case $\dot{p}_0 = \dot{p}_1 = \dot{p}_2 = \dot{p}_0 = 0$, Eq. (9)
can be analytically solved. Considering $\Gamma_{10}$,
$\Gamma_{32} \gg W_{12}, W_{03}$, which is the case in experiments,
the population in the left well can be obtained in a very sim-
ple form:

$$
p_L = p_2 + p_3 \approx \frac{W_{03}}{W_{03} + W_{12} + \Gamma_{20}}.
$$

(10)

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5}
\caption{(color online) (a) Qubit population obtained from
Eq. (11) with driving frequency $\omega/2\pi = 1.2$ GHz. Moiré-like
patterns reveal for resonances $n > 12$. The parameters we
used are from experiments with $\Delta_{02} = 0.004$ GHz and
$\Delta_{12}$ is fitted to be 0.09 GHz. The locations of the level
crossings $\Delta_{02}$ and $\Delta_{12}$ are $0m\Phi_0$ and $13.1m\Phi_0$ respectively.
The diabatic energy-level slope $|m_0((m_2))| = 1.01$ GHz/m$\Phi_0$, and
$|m_1((m_3))| = 0.91$ GHz/m$\Phi_0$. The dephasing rate we used
in calculation $\Gamma_{2}/2\pi = 0.05$ GHz, the inter-well relaxation
rate $\Gamma_{20}/2\pi = 0.05$ MHz, and the intra-well relaxation rate
$\Gamma_{10}/2\pi = 0.66$ GHz. (b) The dephasing rate is increased to
$\Gamma_{2}/2\pi = 0.2$ GHz, leading to a diamond-like interference pat-
tern, in which the area of missing parts increases.}
\end{figure}

(11)

which can also be solved analytically. Since the analyt-
ical solution is too complex to extract a physical picture,
we did not write it out explicitly here. Nevertheless, we
solved Eq. (11) numerically and obtained the qubit pop-
ulation as functions of the amplitude and flux detuning as
shown in Fig. 4. The agreement between our results and
those of the experiments is remarkable. The right edges
of the second diamond are not shown in Fig. 4 because
it requires the presence of higher excited states, which
could be considered easily with the method we discussed
here.

In addition, there is no explicit signature of coher-
ent traversal through avoided crossing $\Delta_{13}$ observed in
FIG. 6: (color online) Qubit population obtained from Eq.(11) with driving frequency $\omega/2\pi = 0.16\text{GHz}$, $0.879\text{GHz}$ and $0.8886\text{GHz}$ from left to right, and dephasing rate $\Gamma_2/2\pi = 0.05\text{GHz}$, $0.2\text{GHz}$ and $0.4\text{GHz}$ from top to bottom, respectively. The parameters of the superconducting flux qubit are the same with those in Fig.2.

experiments. In order to clarify this point, we changed the value of $\Delta_{13}$ from 0 to 0.8GHz. The interference patterns obtained from Eq.(11) exhibit almost no change, indicating that the LZ transitions at $\Delta_{13}$ has negligible contribution to the whole process. Moreover, we have compared the results of part C to those of part A and B respectively. They accorded very well, indicating that our approximation in part A and B is appropriate.

III. EFFECT OF DRIVING-FREQUENCY AND DEPHASING RATE

As discussed in ref [12], subsequent LZ-tunneling events can interfere only when phase coherence is preserved, requiring that the time interval of subsequent LZ tunneling events $\tau_p$ must be less than decoherence time $\tau_{coh}$ of the system ($\tau_p < \tau_{coh}$). Since $\tau_p \propto \omega^{-1}$, if the qubit’s decoherence time $\tau_{coh}$ is short due to strong coupling to environment, a large driving frequency is required to observe LZ interference. Therefore, it is of great meaning to discuss the behavior of the qubit driven by high-frequency fields. We emphasize that the high frequency we discussed here is still in the regime dominated by LZ transitions, which means that the analysis in Sec.II is still valid.

Another advantage of using high frequency is that one can resolve more features in the interference patterns. At low frequency, the microwave can match the energy level spacing approximately at all flux detuning, corresponding to the almost continuous band of $W_{ij}$ in Fig.2 and Fig.3. Actually, these continuous bands are composed of resonant peaks (with single and multiple photon effect) that are overlapped with each other. The distance between these peaks is the driving frequency. At high frequency, the distance of these overlapped resonances increased and the continuous band in Fig 2 and Fig. 3 become a series of discrete peaks. This was demonstrated by recent experiments. By using higher driving frequency, clear LZ interference pattern is observed and the results show some new characters (e.g., partly missing fringes) that are different from the ‘diamond’ interference patterns. We found that $\Gamma_2 \simeq \omega/2\pi$ is the critical situation that the interference patterns transform between moiré-like and diamond-like types. To explain the moiré-like pattern observed for resonances $n > 12$, where $n$ represents the $n$-photon resonances, higher excited states need to be considered. By inserting the experimental parameters into Eq.(11), the qubit population was obtained (see Fig.5(a)). The calculated results, with some fringes partly missing and some fringes intact, agree with the experiments very well. These features can be easily understood using Eq. (9). The missing part of the fringes at $n > 12$ corresponds where both $W_{02}$ and $W_{12}$
are on. The intact fringes (e.g., n=14) correspond where \( W_{02} \) is on while \( W_{12} \) is off.

For higher dephasing rate, the width of the resonance peaks for all \( W_{ij} \) will increase. As a result, the area of the region where both \( W_{02} \) and \( W_{12} \) are on also expands, resulting in an increasing area of missing fringes (see Fig. 5(b)). Similar to that of the part A, the missing fringes form a shadow with negative slope.

We investigated the effect of driving frequency and dephasing rate on the LZ interference. Shown in Fig.6 are some examples. With dephasing rate increasing, the individual resonances are no longer distinguishable and merged into a continuous band (Fig.6 (d-f)). However, by increasing the driving frequency to \( \omega/2\pi > \Gamma_2 \), we re-build the interference again (Fig.6(d,g)), as discussed in ref[13]. It is interesting that there are some new features emerging during these processes.

As we discussed in Sec. II, whenever \( W_{03} \) and \( W_{12} \) are on simultaneously, the population will be pumped back and a missing part will be generated on the fringes (Fig. 3). For small dephasing rates, the resonant peaks are very sharp. The resonant peaks are difficult to hit the spots where both \( W_{03} \) and \( W_{12} \) are on. We thereby saw high quality moiré-like interference patterns. However, for large dephasing rates, the resonant peaks are very wide along the axis of flux detuning. At many places \( W_{03} \) and \( W_{12} \) manipulate the resonant peaks simultaneously and we observed complex patterns that are mainly diamond-like. Similar anomalous pattern was reported in recent experiments[12].

IV. CONCLUSION

We have analyzed the interference patterns observed in recent experiments which demonstrated an innovative approach to make spectroscopic measurement of a quantum system. The analytical results obtained from our model are agreed with the experiments very well, and the method can be extended to investigate the response of higher energy levels under a large-amplitude driving field. Moreover, we investigated the effect of driving frequency and dephasing rate on the LZ interference. The fast dephasing will destroy the interference. However, it is possible to overcome the dephasing by increasing rf frequency. We have not only explained the moiré-like pattern observed in a recent experiment, but also discussed some interesting anomalous patterns that could be expected in experiments. Our results can be used to understand the LZ interference in multilevel system under different driving frequencies and decoherence rates. The model and method used in this article can be extended to other systems with multiple energy levels structure.

In addition, we noticed a recent work[12] in which microscopic two-level systems (TLS) were observed in flux qubits by using one- and two-photon spectroscopy method. We proposed that it is very convenient to detect such TLSs with the amplitude spectroscopy method demonstrated by Berns et al[12] and the analytical methods in this article will be still valid in such case.
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