Efficient RNA-guided base editing for disease modeling in pigs
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Dear Editor,

Point mutations are the cause of many human genetic diseases1. However, the typical indel mutations generated by CRISPR/Cas9 make this system not ideal for modeling point mutations. The recently developed base editors such as the third generation base editor (BE3) have proved effective for creating missense mutations and early stop codons2,3, and have been used in zebrafish, mice, and rabbits through zygote microinjection4–7. In this study, we demonstrated the feasibility of BE3 and somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) in generating pig models.

To test BE3 in pig fibroblasts, we chose TWIST2 and TYR genes to examine the efficiency of base conversion (Fig. 1a–c). The one base change (amino-acid change) in the TWIST2 gene is responsible for the ablepharon macrostomia syndrome (AMS) in human, resulting in severe deformities such as macrostomia, microtia, and absent eyelids8. The TYR gene is the causal gene for oculocutaneous albinism type 1 (OCA1)9.

After transfecting porcine fetal fibroblasts (PFFs) with plasmids expressing BE3 and sgRNA components (Supplementary Table S1), 43 clones for the TWIST2 gene were picked and expanded. Among these, 36 clones were confirmed to be edited by BE3 with a high efficiency (84%). There are two C’s in the editing window of the sgRNA target site. Among the 36 clones, 15 carried two C to T substitutions, 21 carried one C to T substitution, and no clone was found to have C to T substitution outside the base editing window. In total, 11 clones were homozygous mutations carrying a glutamate to lysine amino-acid change (Fig. 1d, e; Supplementary Fig. S1a and Table S2), precisely mimicking the p.E75K mutation found in human. For the TYR gene, 66 clones were picked and expanded. There is only one C in the editing window of the sgRNA target site. Among the 66 clones, eight clones were introduced with an early stop codon, and one clone carried the homozygous mutations. Also, one clone was found to have C to T substitution outside the base editing window (Supplementary Fig. S1b).

Next, we performed SCNT to investigate the developmental capacity of these BE3-edited cells in vivo. In total, we obtained 21 piglets derived from the TWIST2 clones (TWIST2-#22 and TWIST2-#54) containing the E75K mutations, and 4 piglets from the TYR clones (TYR-#1 and TYR-#8) containing the Q68Stop mutations (Supplementary Table S3). All of the TWIST2 piglets were confirmed by sequencing to contain the same base conversion (E75K), and they showed expected phenotypes similar to human patients with absent eyelids, microtia, macrostomia, hypotrichosis, and abnormal trotters (Fig. 1f). In addition, IL-1β, which is normally inhibited by TWIST2, was found highly expressed in the skin by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Fig. S2a), consistent with previous study10. Among the four TYR piglets, three were carrying homozygous mutations (Q68Stop), and one had an allele of Q68Stop and an allele of 15 bp deletion. These four piglets showed typical albinism phenotypes and completely lost dark pigment in skin, hair, and eyes (Fig. 1g). Western blot showed that tyrosinase was not expressed in the heart, liver, lungs, or kidney (Supplementary Fig. S2b). In addition, we examined ten potential off-target sites for TYR and TWIST2 sgRNAs, and no off-target mutations were detected in the mutant piglets.
The above results indicate that the base editing system is successful in generating pig models (Table 1). To examine the efficiency of BE3 in editing multiple copies of genes, we selected the porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERVs), which have various copy numbers.
among different pig breeds. According to droplet digital PCR, the PERVs copy number of the pig fibroblast lines we used in this study was 34, 33, and 30, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S4a). Two sgRNAs were used to target the highly conserved enzyme activity center of the pol gene of PERVs to create premature termination codons. We picked and analyzed 326 pig fibroblast clones modified by BE3. By deep sequencing, we found that ~13.3% of clones were edited for more than six copies simultaneously, and up to 20 copies of PERVs could be edited (Supplementary Fig. S4b, c and Table S6). In addition, we compared the toxicity of BE3 with Cas9 for editing PERVs in cell culture. Using the immunofluorescent staining of Phospho-Histone H2A.X and Annexin V, we demonstrated that fewer early apoptotic cells were produced by BE3 than Cas9, and more live cells were present in the BE3 group (Supplementary Fig. S5). The above results suggest that BE3 may be a safer tool for modifying multiple genes simultaneously.

In summary, we confirmed that the BE3 system could achieve C-to-T (G-to-A) conversions in cell culture both for individual genes and multiple copies of genes (up to 20). The pig models created via BE3 closely reproduced the phenotypes of human diseases. Besides, a genome-wide analysis showed that early stop codons could be introduced by BE3 in 16,677 pig genes (Supplementary Fig. S6 and Table S7), suggesting a broader range of potential applications of this technology. With the development of new technologies, which have wider PAM compatibility, even more genes could be base edited.11

Consistent with previous studies, our observations found that the double strand DNA break (DSB) resulted from Cas9 could cause DNA damage and cell death, especially in the case of editing multiple copies of genes.6 In contrast, BE3 creates termination codons in the open reading frame of PERVs without DSB damage. Although there are some unwanted cytosine changes in or outside the editing window, different variants of cytidine deaminases can be selected to narrow the editing window to further improve the precision of base editing.12,13 Finally, through combination of nuclear transfer and base editing, homozygous or heterozygous mutant cells can be conveniently selected in vitro, ensuring precise model creation. In conclusion, base editing systems provide a safer and more efficient approach to generate pig models that can precisely mimic mutations of human diseases.
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