REDEFINING THE NEED OF CT SCAN IN CHRONIC RHINOSINUSITIS- A RATIONAL APPROACH
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Chronic rhinosinusitis is a global health problem affecting a large population of people, thus causing significant morbidity. Its diagnosis has remained a dilemma and clinicians need to resort to expensive investigations such as CT scan for a confirmed diagnosis, thus increasing healthcare costs. It is imperative to reduce the cost of healthcare in developing nations, as a large number of patients cannot pay for expensive investigations. Nasal endoscopy appears to be a cheaper alternative to CT scans for the diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis and its use has gained prominence in recent times. The aim of this study is to see if there is any correlation between the findings of nasal endoscopy and CT scan findings of patients suspected to have chronic rhinosinusitis diagnosed by symptomatology according to EPOS 2012 criterion and formulate an efficient management plan based on the results.

METHODS
Patients presenting to the ENT OPD and meeting clinical criteria of chronic rhinosinusitis under EPOS 2012 were selected and subjected to nasal endoscopy and CT scan of the nose & paranasal sinuses. Endoscopic findings were then graded according to Lund-Kennedy score, while the CT scan findings were graded according to Lund-Mackay score. These were then statistically analysed.

RESULTS
A total of 55 patients were selected. The mean Lund-Kennedy score was 5.36 with a standard deviation of 3.628. The mean Lund-Mackay score was 9.91 with a standard deviation of 6.375. There was a positive correlation between nasal endoscopic and CT scan findings, which was statistically significant (p<0.005). The sensitivity and specificity of nasal endoscopy to diagnose chronic rhinosinusitis was 93.61% and 62.5% respectively.

CONCLUSIONS
Nasal endoscopy is highly sensitive in diagnosing chronic rhinosinusitis and should be the investigation of choice in patients suspected to have chronic rhinosinusitis. CT scan may be reserved for patients who are endoscopically negative or who are planning to undergo operative management. This can cause a significant reduction in the costs associated with the management of chronic rhinosinusitis as well as decrease the radiation exposure associated with CT scans.
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BACKGROUND
Chronic rhinosinusitis is a very common problem worldwide causing significant morbidity and healthcare expenditure.1,2 It is characterized by inflammation of the mucosa of the nose and paranasal sinuses lasting more than 12 weeks. Although, previously the term “sinusitis” was used, as “rhinitis” and “sinusitis” coexist, the term “rhinosinusitis” is now preferred.

In 1997, the Rhinosinusitis Task Force Committee of The American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery came out with definitions of rhinosinusitis which was based on subjective criterion.3

These subjective diagnostic criteria required at least two major factors or one major and two minor factors for diagnosing chronic rhinosinusitis. The major factors included facial pain or pressure, facial congestion or fullness, nasal obstruction or blockage, nasal discharge or purulence or discoloured post nasal discharge, halitosis or anosmia, and purulence in nasal cavity on examination. The minor factors included headache, fever, fatigue, dental pain, cough and ear pain or pressure or fullness.

However, as the classification of rhinosinusitis was based only on subjective symptoms, it was felt that the specificity and predictive value of Task Force criteria was not adequate to serve as diagnostic standard and additional validating data was needed4,5. Sinus Allergy Health Partnership (SHAP) task force revised the criterion in 2004 and stressed the need for objective evaluation for confirming the diagnosis of rhinosinusitis.

This need for objective evaluation led to the inclusion of Nasal endoscopy and Computed Tomography Scans to support the diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis by the European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps.
The European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012 (EPOS 2012) defines chronic rhinosinusitis as inflammation of the nose and the paranasal sinuses characterised by two or more symptoms, one of which should be either nasal blockage/obstruction/congestion or nasal discharge (anterior/posterior nasal drip) ± facial pain/pressure ± reduction or loss of smell lasting ≥ 12 weeks without complete resolution in symptoms.

and either

- endoscopic signs of: - nasal polyps, and/or
- muco-purulent discharge primarily from middle meatus and/or
- oedema/mucosal obstruction primarily in middle meatus

and/or

- CT scan findings of: - mucosal changes within the ostiomeatal complex and/or sinuses.

CT Scan is considered to be the gold standard diagnostic test for Chronic rhinosinusitis. However, it is an expensive investigation and its availability is scarce in resource poor countries. Even though the availability has improved in recent times, the quality of CT scan is still questionable in a country like India. Also, CT Scans are associated with radiation exposure and excessive exposure to them is considered harmful. Nasal endoscopy, although cheaper than CT scans and without having any radiation exposure, has its own limitations. It is impossible to look inside the sinuses even with angled endoscopes during diagnostic nasal endoscopy. Posterior ethmoid sinuses cannot be visualized unless the anterior ethmoidal cells are removed. In cases of extensive sinonasal polyposis the extent of disease cannot be determined due to lack of access to the posterior based sinuses. Through this study we will observe the findings seen on nasal endoscopy and CT scan in patients of chronic rhinosinusitis. The findings will then be correlated and after careful statistical analysis we aim to see whether the need of CT scans can be decreased with careful case selection.

**METHODS**

The study was conducted at a tertiary level teaching hospital in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology over a period of one year (from December 2017 to December 2018). This cross-sectional study was cleared by the Institutional ethical committee and informed consent was obtained from all the participating patients. The patients presenting at the ENT OPD and meeting the clinical criteria for chronic rhinosinusitis under EPOS 2012 guidelines were selected. A thorough history was taken, and appropriate clinical examination was done. The patients were the subjected to diagnostic nasal endoscopic evaluation and non-contrast CT scan of nose & paranasal Sinuses.

**Exclusion Criteria**

1. Age <12 years.
2. Patients having previously undergone any nasal surgery.

3. Patients suspected to be having any chances of neoplasia.
4. Patients having presence of gross anatomical abnormalities leading to failure of completion of diagnostic nasal endoscopy.

For endoscopic assessment of nose, adequate nasal decongestion was done by packing the nose with neuropatties soaked in a solution 4% lignocaine and adrenaline (30 ml 4% lignocaine mixed with 3 ml of 1:1000 adrenaline). The nose was then assessed endoscopically using Karl Storz 0 degree and 30-degree rigid endoscopes. The findings were graded according to the established Lund-Kennedy Endoscopic grading system. This grading system was preferred as it is one of the most widely followed and is easy to use. The disease was scored as follows on each side of nose:

- Polyp (0 - absent, 1 - within middle meatus, 2 - beyond the middle meatus)
- Discharge (0 - absent, 1 - thin clear, 2 - thick purulent)
- Oedema (0 - absent, 1 - mild, 2 - severe)

Lund Kennedy Score of '0' was considered to be Endoscopically negative (‘Endo –’) for the disease. Any other score was considered to be Endoscopically positive (‘Endo +’) for the disease. The maximum possible score was 12 (6 on each side). Scarring and crusting, which are also a part of Lund Kennedy grading was not taken into account as these are post-operative findings.

Assessment of disease was also done radiologically using CT Scans. Non-Contrast 1 mm cut CT scan images of the nose and para-nasal sinuses were first obtained in axial planes and then reformatted to provide coronal and sagittal images and finally evaluated. Mucosal changes within ostiomeatal complex and/or sinuses on coronal sections of CT Scan was seen and the status of maxillary, anterior ethmoids, posterior ethmoids, sphenoid and frontal sinuses was determined. The disease was then staged using Lund-Mackay staging as follows:

| Parasinal Sinuses | Right | Left |
|-------------------|-------|------|
| Maxillary sinus (0, 1, 2) | 0-2 | 0-2 |
| Anterior ethmoids (0, 1, 2) | 0-2 | 0-2 |
| Posterior ethmoids (0, 1, 2) | 0-2 | 0-2 |
| Sphenoid (0, 1, 2) | 0-2 | 0-2 |
| Frontal (0, 1, 2) | 0-2 | 0-2 |
| Ostitoetoeal Complex* | 0-2 | 0-2 |

Score: 0 = No opacification, 1 = partial opacification, 2 = complete opacification; * = Not occluded, ** = occluded.

**Lund Mackay Scoring System**

The data obtained was collated and presented in tables. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software. As the sample size was more than 50 (n=55), the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. As the Lund-Kennedy and Lund – Mackay scores did not show a normal distribution pattern, their logarithmic values were used, and the Pearson coefficient was determined to check if there is any correlation between them. Also, the sensitivity and specificity of endoscopic findings was determined, taking CT scan as the gold standard.

| Endoscopy Finding | No. of Patients | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Polyps            | 27/55          | 45.45%         |
| Discharge         | 31/55          | 54.54%         |
| Oedema of Nasal Mucosa | 42/55      | 76.36%         |

**Table 1. Distribution of Findings on Nasal Endoscopy**
RESULTS
A total of 55 patients were enrolled in this study, out of which 25 were male (45.45%) and 30 were female (54.54%) with a Male: Female ratio is 1:1.2. On nasal endoscopy, 47 patients were Endo (+) and 8 were Endo (-). The mean Lund-Kennedy score was 5.36 with a standard deviation of 3.628. The presentation of disease is shown in Table 1. The CT Scans were scored using the Lund Mackay staging system. A Lund Mackay score of three or less was considered normal. Opacity of the maxillary sinus was the most common finding on CT scan (81.8%), followed by anterior ethmoids. The mean Lund Mackay score was 9.91 with a standard deviation of 6.375. The CT scan findings are shown in Table 2. Among the 55 patients who were diagnosed with chronic rhinosinusitis based on their symptoms, nasal endoscopy was abnormal in 47 patients (85.45%). Of these 47 patients, CT scan was abnormal in 44 patients and normal in 3. Eight patients had normal endoscopy; of these, 3 patients had abnormal CT scan and 5 patients had normal CT scan. The distribution pattern of the variables was determined for the sample size of 55 (n=55). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. Parametric tests were done for both the variables (Lund Kennedy score and Lund Mackay score) and the Pearson coefficient(r) was determined. There was a statistically significant positive correlation between them (r=0.649, p=0.000). Taking CT scan as gold standard, the sensitivity of endoscopy to diagnose chronic rhinosinusitis was 93.61% with the specificity being 62.5%.

DISCUSSION
Chronic rhinosinusitis is a very common ENT disease presenting in the Outpatient department. Even though a detailed history taking is very important for the diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis, its accurate diagnosis cannot be made just based on symptomatology. Most of the guidelines now routinely recommend the use of Nasal endoscopy and/or CT Scans to aid the diagnosis.¹

For our study, we enrolled patients who were suspected to have chronic rhinosinusitis based on their history. We found the male: female ratio as 1:1.2. We subjected the patients to both nasal endoscopy and CT scan of the nose & paranasal sinuses. During Nasal endoscopy, we found oedema of the nasal mucosa to be the most common finding and affected 76.36% of individuals. This was followed by nasal discharge. This is in stark contrast to the study conducted by Shahizon AM et al.⁹ They had found polyps to be the most common presentation followed by mucosal disease. This difference in findings may be due to use of excessive nasal packing and decongestion prior to nasal endoscopy that may significantly reduce mucosal oedema, which is frequently a precursor to polyph formation. Vining et al.¹⁰ studied the pre-operative importance of endoscopic assessment of nasal cavity of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis, and concluded that nasal endoscopy helped to determine the type of soft tissue obstructing the middle meatus. It also demonstrated other findings such as septal deviations, adenoid hypertrophy and turbinate enlargement.

On CT scan, the most common sinus to be involved was maxillary sinus followed by anterior ethmoids. It was interesting to note that all cases with Osteometric complex (OMC) blockage had opacity in maxillary and anterior ethmoids. This is probably since both these sinuses drain through the narrow OMC, any oedema in this area leads to disruption of mucociliary clearance and stasis of nasal secretions leading to sinusitis.

| CT Scan Finding                        | No. of Patients | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Blockage of Ostiomeatal Complex        | 36/55           | 65.45%         |
| Opacity of Maxillary Sinus             | 45/55           | 81.81%         |
| Opacity of Anterior Ethmoids           | 38/55           | 58.18%         |
| Opacity of Posterior Ethmoids          | 22/55           | 40%            |
| Opacity of Frontal Sinus               | 10/55           | 18.18%         |
| Opacity of Sphenoid Sinus              | 11/55           | 20%            |

Table 2. CT Scan Findings
Bhattacharya et al. and Bradley DT et al. tried to compare the symptom score of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis and the CT scan score, but found no significant relation between them.

We compared the findings of nasal endoscopy and CT scan. Nasal endoscopy was better at detecting nasal polyps and discharge. These findings could not be seen on the CT scan as CT scan just showed an opacity which could be due to discharge, polyps or mucosal oedema. However, CT scan was better at evaluating the sinuses. Posterior ethmoids and frontal sinus could only be evaluated using CT Scans. CT scans were also better at delineating anatomical details required for surgical procedures. Even though CT scan is considered a gold standard for diagnosing chronic rhinosinusitis, it has some inherent problems. It is not widely available in a resource poor country like India. Where available, the quality of scans is debatable. Routine use of CT scans for diagnosing chronic rhinosinusitis is not a cost-effective solution in a developing nation. Exposure to harmful radiation is of concern and so the use of CT scans should be minimized as much as possible. To address the above issues, we did a statistical analysis which showed a positive correlation between the nasal endoscopy and CT scan findings (r=0.649, p=0.000). In the study by Pokharel et al. also there was a statistically significant correlation (r=0.556) between endoscopic and CT scan scores.

In our study nasal endoscopy showed a high sensitivity of 93.6% to diagnose chronic rhinosinusitis but it had a low specificity of only 62.5%. Kolethekkat et al. had found the sensitivity and specificity to be 91% and 44% respectively, whereas Deosthale NV et al. found them to be 94% and 75% respectively. As the sensitivity of nasal endoscopy is very high, it is very likely to miss the diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis. This shows that nasal endoscopy can be used as a first line investigation for patients suspected to have chronic rhinosinusitis.

As nasal endoscopy is cheap, without associated radiation risks and also very highly sensitive for diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis, we propose that CT scan should be reserved for patients suspected to have chronic rhinosinusitis based on symptomatology but are found to be Endo (-). However, if surgical treatment is being planned for the patient, then the patient should undergo a non-contrast CT scan so as to delineate the anatomy better, which will lead to a safer surgery. A working flowchart of the same is outlined below.

**Ethical Approval**

Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Ethics Committee. All procedures and tests performed on human participants were in accordance to the ethical standards of the institutional ethics committee.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Both, nasal endoscopy and CT scan of paranasal sinuses have a role to play in the management of chronic rhinosinusitis and are positively correlated. Although CT scan gives a more detailed anatomical layout of the nose and paranasal sinuses, endoscopy is an excellent alternative for diagnosis and helps to decrease the cost of treatment in resource poor countries. Also, it decreases the radiation exposure a patient receives. Endoscopy can be the first choice of investigation in patients suspected of having chronic rhinosinusitis, with CT scan reserved for those patients who have normal endoscopic findings or are undergoing sinus surgery. Additional studies with a larger sample size would be required to further validate the proposed management and the cost benefit analysis of the same.
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