Transversity distribution functions in the valon model
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We use the valon model to calculate the transversity distribution functions inside the nucleon. Transversity distributions indicate the probability to find partons with spin aligned (antialigned) to the transversely polarized nucleon. The results are in good agreement with all available experimental data and also global fits.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The nucleon “spin crisis” is still one of the most fundamental problems in high-energy spin physics. Results of deep inelastic scattering (DIS) experiments suggest that just 30% of the spin of the proton is carried by the intrinsic spin of its quark constituents. This discovery has challenged our understanding about the internal structure of the proton. Therefore many theoretical and experimental studies have been conducted to investigate and understand the role of spin in the proton’s internal structure.

The key question is how the spin of the nucleon is shared among its constituent quarks and gluons. That is, the determination and understanding of the shape of quarks and gluon spin distribution functions have become an important task.

In general, there are three collinear parton distribution functions: the unpolarized parton distribution functions (PDFs), the longitudinally polarized distribution functions (PPDFs), and the transversity distributions. They have a simple meaning too: In a transversely polarized hadron, transversity distribution is denoted by $\Delta T(x, Q^2)$ and represents the number density of partons with spin aligned/antialigned to the transversely polarized parent hadron momentum and spin align/antialign to hadron’s spin. It measures the net helicity of partons in a longitudinally polarized hadron.

The third parton distributions are transversity distribution functions. They have a simple meaning too: In a transversely polarized hadron, transversity distribution is denoted by $\Delta T(x, Q^2)$ and represents the number density of partons with momentum fraction $x$ and polarization parallel to that of the hadron minus the number density of partons with the same momentum fraction and antiparallel spin direction:

$$\Delta T(x, Q^2) = q^\uparrow(x, Q^2) - q^\downarrow(x, Q^2).$$  \hfill (3)

Historically they were first introduced in the 1970s by Ralston and Soper [1] and rediscovered by Artru and Mekhfi [2] in the beginning of the 1990s and their QCD evolution studied by Jaffe and Ji [3].

Since $\Delta T q(x, Q^2)$ is a chirally odd quantity, it cannot be probed in the cleanest hard process, DIS. It can only be accessed in a process where it couples to another chirally odd quantity. As such, $\Delta T q(x, Q^2)$ can be measured in hard reactions such as semi-inclusive lepton production or in the Drell-Yan di-muon production. Measuring the transverse polarization of partons is the goal of experiments such as COMPASS, HERMES, RHIC, and SMC collaborations [4–6]. These measurements can teach us about the transversity distribution and the transverse motion of quarks and thus the role that their orbital angular momentum plays in the structure of proton and fragmentation processes.

Calculation of transversity distribution functions, using some phenomenology is an active task in spin physics [7–10]. We intend to do the same and calculate transversity distribution using the valon model. The valon model is a phenomenological model originally proposed by R. C. Hwa, [11] in the early 1980s. It was improved later by Hwa [12] and others [13–15] and extended to the polarized cases [16–18]. In this model a hadron is viewed as three (two) constituent quarklike objects, called valons. Each valon is defined to be a dressed valence quark with its own cloud of sea quarks and gluons. The dressing processes are described by QCD. The structure of a valon is resolved at high $Q^2$. At low $Q^2$, a valon behaves as constituent quark of the hadron. In this model the recombination of partons into hadrons is a two stage process: in the first step the partons emit and absorb gluons in the process of the evolution of the quark-gluon cloud and become “valons”; then these valons recombine into hadron. The model describes the unpolarized and polarized nucleon structure rather well [15,18].

In the present paper we apply the valon concept to the transverse polarization and calculate the transversity distribution functions. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the valon model for calculating the polarized parton distribution functions (PPDFs). Then in Sec. III we utilize it...
TABLE I. Numerical values of the parameters in Eq. (5) for polarized valon distributions inside the proton.

| Valon(i) | N_i | α_i | β_i | a_j | b_j | c_j | d_j |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| U       | 3.44 | 0.33 | 3.58 | -2.47 | 5.07 | -1.859 | 2.780 |
| D       | -0.568 | -0.374 | 4.142 | -2.844 | 11.695 | -10.096 | 14.47 |

to calculate the transversity distribution. Our conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

II. POLARIZED PARTON DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS IN THE VALON MODEL

In the valon representation of hadrons the polarized parton distribution in a polarized hadron is given by

\[ \delta q_i^p (x, Q^2) = \sum_j \int \frac{dy}{y} \delta G^h_{\text{valon}} (y) \delta q_j^\text{valon} \left( \frac{x}{y}, Q^2 \right), \]  

(4)

where \( \delta G^h_{\text{valon}} (y) \) is the helicity distribution of the valon in the hosting hadron, i.e., the probability of finding the polarized valon inside the polarized hadron. Here we study the internal structure of the proton, so we have to use the polarized valon distributions inside the proton. \( \delta G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \) is related to the unpolarized valon distribution, \( G_i^p (y) \), by

\[ \delta G^p_i (y) = \delta F_j (y) G^p_j (y) = N_j y^{\alpha_j} (1 - y)^{\beta_j} \times (1 + a_j y^{0.5} + b_j y + c_j y^{1.5} + d_j y^2), \]  

(5)

where \( j \) refers to \( U \) - and \( D \) -type valons [11,15]. Polarized valon distributions are determined by a phenomenological argument [16]. The parameters in Eq. (5) are summarized in Table I and \( \delta G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \) are plotted in Fig. 1. The term \( \delta q_j^\text{valon} (x/y, Q^2) \) for \( h = p \) in Eq. (4) is the polarized parton distribution inside a valon. Their evolution is governed by the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) equations [19–21]. Finally, the polarized proton structure functions are obtained via a convolution integral as follows:

\[ g^p_i (x, Q^2) = \sum_{\text{valon}} \int \frac{dy}{y} \delta G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \delta q_j^\text{valon} \left( \frac{x}{y}, Q^2 \right), \]  

(6)

where \( g^p_j^\text{valon} (x, Q^2) \) is the polarized structure function of the valon. The details of the actual calculations are given in [16–18].

III. TRANSVERSITY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS IN THE VALON MODEL

We now follow the same procedure as in Sec. II, to calculate the transversity distribution functions of partons in the proton. For the transversely polarized proton, Eq. (4) reads as

\[ \Delta_T q_i^p (x, Q^2) = \sum_{\text{valon}} \int \frac{dy}{y} \Delta_T G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \Delta_T q_j^\text{valon} \left( \frac{x}{y}, Q^2 \right), \]  

(7)

where \( \Delta_T G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \) is the transverse valon distribution functions describing the probability of finding a valon with spin aligned or antialigned with the transversely polarized proton. In fact, \( \Delta_T G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \) is identical to \( \delta G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \) in the longitudinal case. This is so, because we know that in the nonrelativistic limit of the quark motion, the PPDFs and transversity distribution would be identical, since the rotations and Euclidean boosts commute and a series of boosts and rotation can convert a longitudinal polarized proton into a transversely polarized one with an infinite momentum [9,22].

The only difference between the transversity distributions and PPDFs reflects the relativistic character of quark motion in the proton and shows up in the splitting functions and DGLAP equations. Consequently, here we set \( \Delta_T G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) = \delta G^p_{\text{valon}} (y) \). Also notice that \( \Delta_T q^\text{valon} (x, Q^2) \) in Eq. (7) are the transversity distribution functions in the valon. They can be calculated using the DGLAP evolution equations, as described below.

In the Mellin space, transversity distribution functions are given by

\[ \Delta_T q_\pm (n) = \Delta_T q (n) \pm \Delta_T \bar{q} (n), \]  

(8)

FIG. 1. (Color online) Polarized valon distributions for \( U \) and \( D \) valons inside the proton.

FIG. 2. (Color online) \( \Delta_T q (n) \) as a function of \( n \) in different ranges of \( Q^2 \).
where $\Delta_T q_{\pm}(n)$ are the singlet and nonsinglet transversity distribution functions of partons. The first moment ($n = 1$) of transversity distribution refers to the proton’s tensor charge [3,23–24]. Their DGLAP evolution equations are [25]

$$
\frac{d}{d \ln Q^2} \Delta_T q_{\pm}(n, Q^2) = \Delta_T \gamma_{q_{\pm}}(n, \alpha_s(Q^2)) \Delta_T q_{\pm}(n, Q^2),
$$

(9)

$$
\frac{d}{d \ln Q^2} \Delta_T q_{\pm}(n, Q^2) = \Delta_T \gamma_{q_{\pm}}(n, \alpha_s(Q^2)) \Delta_T q_{\pm}(n, Q^2).
$$

(10)

The solution of the DGLAP evolution equations in the Mellin space at NLO approximation is [26]

$$
\Delta_T q_{\pm}(n, Q^2) = \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi \beta_0} \right\} \times \left[ \Delta_T \gamma_{q_{\pm}}^{(0)}(n) - \frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_0} \Delta_T \gamma_{q_{\pm}}^{(0)}(n) \right] \\
\times \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\alpha_s(Q_0^2)}^{2\Delta_T \gamma_{q_{\pm}}^{(0)}(n)/\beta_0} \Delta_T q_{\pm}(n, Q_0^2),
$$

(11)

In the above equation, $\Delta_T q_{\pm}(n, Q_0^2)$ are the initial input densities. They are determined by a phenomenological argument in the valon model. $\Delta_T \gamma_{q_{\pm}}^{(0)}(n)$ and $\Delta_T \gamma_{q_{\pm}}^{(1)}(n)$ are the usual anomalous dimensions and are given in the Appendix.

In the following, first we solve the DGLAP evolution equations for a valon. This will give transversity distribution functions in each valon. We then use them in the convolution integral, Eq. (7), to obtain transversity distribution functions in the proton. In doing so, we adopt the $\overline{MS}$ scheme with $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.22$ GeV and $Q_0^2 = 0.283$ GeV$^2$. This value of $Q_0^2$ corresponds to a distance of 0.36fm which is roughly equal to or slightly less than the radius of a valon. It may be objected that such distances are probably too large for a meaningful pure perturbative treatment. We note that valon structure function has the property that it becomes $\delta(z - 1)$ as $Q^2$ is extrapolated to $Q_0^2$, which is beyond the region of validity. This mathematical boundary condition signifies that the internal structure of a valon cannot be resolved at $Q_0^2$ in the NLO approximation. Consequently, when this property is applied to Eq. (7), the structure function of the nucleon becomes directly related to $x\delta_T G_{valon}$ at those values of $Q_0^2$. Furthermore, as noted in [15], we have checked that when $Q^2$ approaches $Q_0^2$, the quark moments approach unity and gluon

FIG. 3. (Color online) $x\Delta_T u(x)$ and $x\Delta_T d(x)$ as a function of $x$ for different ranges of $Q^2$.

FIG. 4. (Color online) The transversity distribution function for valence $u$ quark calculated by our model as a function of $x$ and $k_\perp$ at $Q^2 = 2.4$ GeV$^2$. They are compared with those from Soffer and Anselmino global fits [9,30].
moments go to zero. From the theoretical standpoint, both $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ and $Q_0^2$ depend on the order of the moments, but here, we have assumed that they are independent of moment order. In this way, we have introduced some degree of approximation to the $Q^2$ evolution of the valence and sea quarks. However, on one hand there are other contributions like target-mass effects, which add uncertainties to the theoretical predictions of perturbative QCD, while on the other hand since we are dealing with the valons, there are no experimental data to invalidate moment order independent of $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$. Therefore we are led to choose our initial input densities at $Q_0^2$ to be $\delta(z - 1)$, leading to

$$\Delta_T q^+(z,Q_0^2) = \Delta_T q^-(z,Q_0^2) = \delta(z - 1).$$

(12)

Thus, their moments are

$$\Delta_T q^+(n,Q_0^2) = \Delta_T q^-(n,Q_0^2) = \int_0^1 z^{n-1} \delta(z - 1) dz = 1.$$  

(13)

It is also interesting to note that our selected value for $Q_0^2$ is very close to the transition region reported by the CLAS Collaboration for the behavior of the first moment of the proton structure function around $Q^2 = 0.3$ GeV$^2$ [27].

The moments of valence quark transversity distribution are now easily obtained from the solution of DGLAP evolution equations, Eq. (11), in Mellin space; they are shown in Fig. 2. Finding the transversity distribution functions in a valon, using Eq. (11), is now reduced to an inverse Mellin transformation. This enable us with the help of Eq. (7) to obtain $x \Delta_T u(x)$ and $x \Delta_T d(x)$ as a function of $x$. They are shown in Fig. 3 for a number of $Q^2$ values.

It is common to write the transversity distribution functions as

$$\Delta_T q(x) = \int \Delta_T q(x,k_\perp) d^2k_\perp,$$  

(14)

where $\Delta_T q(x,k_\perp)$ are the unintegrated transversity distribution functions. We assume that $k_\perp$ dependence of transversity distributions are factorized in a Gaussian form:

$$\Delta_T q(x,k_\perp) = \Delta_T q(x) \frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}.$$  

(15)
where $\Delta_T q(x)$ is transverse distribution function and the average values of $k_{\perp}$ are taken from SIDIS cross-section data \cite{28,29} to be

$$\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.25 \text{ GeV}^2.$$  

(16)

In Fig. 4, we show our results for the transversity distribution function of the valence $u$ quark, $x\Delta_T u(x,Q^2)$. It is compared with Anselmino’s (2008) and Soffer’s global fits at $Q^2 = 2.4$ GeV$^2$ \cite{9,30}. We also show the result for $x\Delta_T u(x,k_{\perp})$ distribution at $x = 0.1$ in the right panel of Fig. 4. The same plot is given for the $d$ valence quark in Fig. 5. Figure 6 shows more recent global fit results \cite{10} as compared to our analysis.

In Fig. 7 we present the result for $x(\Delta_T u(x,Q^2) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_T d(x,Q^2))$ and compare with those reported by HERMES and COMPASS collaborations \cite{31,32}, as well as Radici’s model \cite{33}. Another interesting quantity, related to the first moment, is the tensor charge, defined by the integral (17) as

$$\delta q = \int_0^1 dx \left( \Delta_T q - \Delta_T \bar{q} \right).$$  

(17)

In our analysis the first moment of sea transversity distributions turns out to be very small: $(-0.00105)$ for $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$. Therefore, the tensor charges are absolutely the first moment of valence transversity distribution functions. Actually the valon model predicts that the sea quark polarization is very small and is consistent with zero. It is undetectable, since the valon structure is generated by perturbative dressing in QCD. In such processes with massless quarks, helicity is conserved and therefore, the hard gluons cannot induce sea quark polarization perturbatively. The experiments also support this finding \cite{35–38}. Thus we have no sea polarization in our model. As a consequence, the first moment of transversity distributions of $u$ and $d$ quark (tensor charges) at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$ are

$$\delta u = 0.7386, \delta d = -0.3782.$$  

(18)

Finally, in Fig. 8 our results for tensor charge are compared with the predictions of some models \cite{9,10,39–43}.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS

We have utilized the so-called valon model and calculated transversity distribution functions for $u$ and $d$ quarks inside the proton. The transversity distribution functions together with the helicity distribution functions provide a more comprehensive picture of the proton structure. While the former is fairly well understood, the latter is just beginning to be probed. Our calculation in this paper is a step towards this goal. As noted in Eq. (18) of the text, in our model the sea partons...
The contribution to the transversity distributions is consistent with zero, whereas the valence sector assumes a sizable value. In a sense, this prediction is similar to the one we have made for the helicity distribution in Ref. [16], which was later on confirmed by experiment. However, the obtained results do not exhaust the spin of the proton and implies that there is room for further contribution from, perhaps, the orbital angular momentum. It also shows that a simple model like zero, whereas the valence sector assumes a sizable value. In a sense, this prediction is similar to the one we have made for the helicity distribution in Ref. [16], which was later on confirmed by experiment. However, the obtained results do not exhaust the spin of the proton and implies that there is room for further contribution from, perhaps, the orbital angular momentum. It also shows that a simple model like

\[
\Delta_T \gamma^{(0)}_{qq}(n) = C_F \left[ \frac{3}{2} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right],
\]

\[
\Delta_T \gamma^{(1)}_{qq,\eta}(n) = C_F^2 \left[ \frac{3}{8} + \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \delta_\eta - \frac{3}{2} S_2(n) - 4 S_1(n) \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) - \frac{8}{3} \tilde{S}(n) + \frac{8}{3} S_3 \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} C_F N_c \left[ \frac{17}{12} - \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \delta_\eta - \frac{134}{9} S_1(n) + \frac{22}{3} S_2(n) + 4 S_1(n) \left( 2 S_2(n) - S_2 \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \right) + 8 \tilde{S}(n) - S_3 \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{3} C_F T_F \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{10}{3} S_1(n) - 2 S_2(n) \right\},
\]

where \( \eta = \pm \) and \( S \) (harmonic functions) are defined by

\[
S_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^k},
\]

\[
S_k \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) = 2^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1 + (-1)^j}{j^k} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \eta) S_k \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) S_k \left( \frac{n - 1}{2} \right),
\]

\[
\tilde{S}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j}{j^2} S_1(j)
\]

\[
= -\frac{5}{8} \zeta(3) + \eta \left[ \frac{S_1(n)}{n^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{12} G(n) + \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^{n-1} \log(x)}{1 + x} \right]
\]

with \( G(n) = \psi \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right) - \psi \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \), \( \psi(z) = d \log \Gamma(z)/dz \), and \( \eta = \pm 1 \) for \( \delta P^{(1)n}_{N,S\pm} \) and \( \eta = -1 \) for the flavor singlet anomalous dimensions.
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APPENDIX

Here we list the anomalous dimensions in mellin space and the \( \bar{\mathcal{M}} \) scheme [44] the \( \gamma^{(0)}(n), \gamma^{(1)}(n) \) adequate to \( \Delta_T q \) are as follows:
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