Effect of Potassium Promoter on the Performance of Nickel-Based Catalysts Supported on MnO\(_x\) in Steam Reforming of Ethanol
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Abstract: The effect of a potassium promoter on the stability of and resistance to a carbon deposit formation on the Ni/MnO\(_x\) catalyst under SRE conditions was studied at 420 \(^\circ\)C for different H\(_2\)O/EtOH molar ratios in the range from 4/1 to 12/1. The catalysts were prepared by the impregnation method and characterized using several techniques to study their textural, structural, and redox properties before being tested in a SRE reaction. The catalytic tests indicated that the addition of a low amount of potassium (1.6 wt.%) allows a catalyst with high stability to be obtained, which was ascribed to high resistance to carbon formation. The restriction of the amount of carbon deposits originates from the potassium presence on the Ni surface, which leads to (i) a decrease in the number of active sites available for methane decomposition and (ii) an increase in the rate of the steam gasification of carbon formed during SRE reactions.
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1. Introduction

Hydrogen does not affect the environment adversely, unlike fossil fuels and nuclear power; thus, it is considered a clean next-generation energy source with great potential for commercialization. Unfortunately, it is not freely available in nature and it must be produced. For hydrogen to become a truly sustainable energy source its production from renewable resources should be promoted. Ethanol is an attractive choice of raw material for producing hydrogen because, besides its low ecotoxicity, it can be produced from biomass and utilized without the net addition of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. Ethanol produced by biomass fermentation has a high ratio of water to ethanol, which is suitable for the steam reforming reaction. In the case of this process, it is not necessary to carry out the distillation of fermented to obtain pure ethanol. Therefore, it is possible to directly use bioethanol obtained from biomass fermentation in steam reforming reactions, which can save lots of money and energy for purification [1–4].

One of the greatest challenges in the production of renewable hydrogen from bioethanol using the catalytic steam reforming of ethanol (SRE) process is the development of a catalyst with the desirable activity, selectivity, and stability. Transition metals have widespread applications as heterogeneous catalysts for a number of reactions and two of them, i.e., cobalt and nickel, possess an excellent performance in the SRE reaction due to their high C–C bond cleavage activity. The interaction of a transition metal with a support has a
significant influence on the structure definition and the catalytic activity in the SRE process. Supporting metals might allow the production very active nanoparticles stabilized towards sintering and somehow activated by the interaction with the support. The most often used supports for cobalt- or nickel-containing catalysts in the SRE process are oxides [5–8]. Alumina is one of the most widely used supports in catalysis due to its high surface area, which allows a great dispersion of the active phase [3], and its application as the support of cobalt- or nickel-based catalysts in the SRE process has been extensively studied [9–14]. However, the acidic character of alumina may promote ethanol dehydration to ethylene, which can lead to carbon formation on a catalyst surface. On the other hand, redox supports like ceria and ceria-containing mixed oxides have been proposed to prevent the carbon deposits on the catalytic structure under SRE conditions, due to their high oxygen storage capacity [7,15]. Although the previously mentioned redox support oxides are highly favorable for ethanol reforming, the unique redox property and strong oxygen storage and release ability of manganese oxides (MnOx) were practically not investigated in this process. It is all the more remarkable that manganese oxide-based systems represent a very intriguing and peculiar class of support with both high chemical stability and the enhanced redox behavior, allowing the stabilization of various intermediate manganese oxidation states (MnO2, Mn3O4, Mn5O8, Mn2O3, Mn3O4, and MnO) and crystalline phases, which provides potential suitability for catalytic reactions [8,16,17].

In the literature, there are only a few studies on the application of manganese oxides in an SRE reaction over cobalt- or nickel-based catalysts. Most of these reports concern the application of this oxide as a modifier of cobalt-based catalysts [5,18,19]. Lee et al. [18] showed that the introduction of manganese oxides to the Co10Si90MCM-48 material had a favorable effect on its catalytic performance in the SRE process by preventing the catalytic deactivation caused by the sintering of cobalt particles. Moreover, according to the same authors [18], manganese oxides appeared to provide oxygen to cobalt species, resulting in increases in hydrogen production and the suppression of carbon monoxide production. The same conclusions were drawn by Kwak et al. [19] for the role of manganese oxide in the spinel structured Co2MnO4 of Co2MnO4/SBA-15 material during its catalytic performance in the SRE reaction. However, not only positive effects on the performance of manganese-oxide-modified cobalt-based catalysts under the SRE conditions were observed. As was presented by Li et al. [5], an addition of manganese oxides to the Co/Al2O3 catalyst suppressed its activity in the SRE process. Furthermore, a few reports concerning the application of manganese oxide as a support of cobalt- and nickel-based catalysts can be found in the literature [2,20,21]. Both cobalt- and nickel-based catalysts supported on two structured manganese oxides, i.e., birnessite and todorokite, were found to be highly active and selective to produce hydrogen in the SRE reaction [2,20]. In addition, the cryptomelane-based cobalt-manganese catalyst showed high ethanol conversion and selectivity to hydrogen and carbon dioxide in the SRE reaction in the temperature range of 390–480 °C. However, it was observed only at the initial stage of the process. With the increase in the time-on-stream, its activity decreased with a simultaneous increase in the acetaldehyde production, which was ascribed to deactivation due to carbon formation [21]. Sohrabi and Irankhah [22] showed that Ni/CoMnO2 catalyst was active in the SRE process and its activity was improved by the addition of copper or iron promoters.

Carbon deposition is the major deactivation mechanism of the ethanol steam reforming catalysts. Although reducibility and oxygen transfer capacity of support have shown to be fundamental in the keeping the active phase surface free of carbon deposits [3], the addition of alkali is another solution that is also commonly used to reduce the problem of carbon formation under the SRE conditions [22–33]. In general, the application of alkali promoters allows a decrease in the rate of formation of carbon deposits on the surface of the catalysts during the SRE process [25,31–34]. However, Grzybek et al. [29] indicated that the rate of carbon depositions on the surface of a potassium-doped CoZn1α-Al2O3 catalyst is even higher compared to the undoped sample and its enhanced stability under SRE conditions results from a stronger interaction between the cobalt nanocrystals and
the alumina support in the presence of a potassium promoter, stabilizing the active phase against the driven detachment and its subsequent encapsulation by the growing carbon deposit. Moreover, Słowiń et al. [28] showed that the presence of a potassium promoter does not protect the Ni/CeO$_2$ catalyst against carbon deposit formation and even worsens its stability under SRE conditions compared to the unpromoted sample. According to the authors, the addition of a potassium promoter influences the structure and degree of graphitization of the formed carbon deposits, leading to its faster deactivation.

Considering the above points, the purpose of the present work was to study the performance of the Ni/MnO$_x$ and KNi/MnO$_x$ catalysts under an SRE reaction and to determine the role of a potassium promoter on the stability and resistance to carbon deposit formation. The catalysts were prepared by the impregnation method and characterized using several techniques in order to study their textural, structural, and redox properties before being tested in an SRE reaction.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1. Catalyst Synthesis

(CH$_3$COO)$_2$Mn·4H$_2$O ($\geq$97.0%, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O ($\geq$97.0%, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), KNO$_3$ ($\geq$99.0%, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ (100%, Avantor, Gliwice, Poland) were used without further purification.

In the first step, the MnO$_x$ support was prepared using the conventional precipitation method from a 0.5 mol/L manganese acetate aqueous solution. Precipitation was accomplished at 40 °C with the addition of 1 mol/L ammonium carbonate solution, drop by drop, up to a pH of 8, under continuous stirring in suspension. After the aging of the precipitate at 60 °C for 2 h, the suspension was filtrated. The filtrate was washed with absolute ethanol in order to remove water from the precipitates. The obtained solid was dried overnight at 110 °C and then calcined at 500 °C in air.

In the second step, the Ni/MnO$_x$ catalysts were prepared using the wet impregnation method, with 10 g of a finely grinded MnO$_x$ solid and solutions containing 5.505 g of nickel nitrate. The mixture was dried at 110 °C for 12 h and then calcined at 500 °C in air to decompose the nickel nitrate into the nickel oxide precursor. The loading of cobalt or nickel to MnO$_x$ was 10 wt%.

In the final step, the KNi/MnO$_x$ catalysts were prepared using the wet impregnation method, with 5 g of a finely grinded Ni/MnO$_x$ solid and solutions containing 0.264 g of potassium nitrate. The mixture was dried at 110 °C for 12 h and then calcined at 500 °C in air to decompose the potassium nitrate. The loading of potassium to Ni/MnO$_x$ was 2 wt%.

2.2. Catalysts Characterization

The chemical composition of the cobalt- and nickel-based catalysts was determined by the X-ray fluorescence method, using an Axios mAX (PANalytical, Malvern, UK) fluorescence spectrometer. The textural properties of the support and catalysts were determined from nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms at −196 °C using ASAP 2405N (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA). The specific area was obtained using the BET method and pore volume and diameter were obtained using the BJH method. The linear region in the BET plots is between p/p$_0$ = 0 and 0.25. Prior to the analysis, the samples were outgassed at 200 °C. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected with an Empyrean X-ray (PANalytical, Malvern, UK) diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.154 nm) in the 20–100° 2θ range and a step of 0.026°. In order to analyze samples in their reduced form, prior to the analysis, they were activated in situ at 500 °C with hydrogen in an XRK 900 reactor chamber (Anton Paar, St. Albans, UK). The morphology and lattice profiles of all the catalysts after their reduction were characterized by an electron transmission microscope Titan G2 60–300 kV (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The fast Fourier transform (FFT) was obtained to determine which d-spacing corresponded to which crystalline species. The mapping was carried out in the STEM mode by collecting a point-by-point EDS spectrum of each of the corresponding pixels on the map. By measuring the size of at
least 200 particles from the HRTEM images, particle size distributions and mean particle size data were obtained. Prior to the analysis, the catalysts were reduced in a fixed-bed reactor with hydrogen at 500 °C and transferred in a closed reactor to a glove box filled with argon, which prevented the catalyst from oxidation. Then, a TEM vacuum transfer holder was used to transfer the catalysts laid on a copper grid in the glove box, from the aforementioned preparation station into a TEM microscope in argon atmosphere. SEM images of the catalysts used in the SRE process were taken using a FIB-SEM Crossbeam 540 FEG (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with an acceleration voltage of 1.8 kV. The samples were prepared by drying the solution of catalyst diluted in ethanol dropped onto carbon tape. TEM images of catalysts used in the SRE process were obtained in an electron transmission microscope, Titan G2 60–300 kV (FEI Company). Samples were suspended in ethanol and exposed to ultrasonic vibrations to decrease aggregation. A drop of the resultant mixture was laid on the copper grid covered with lacy formvar and stabilized with carbon.

H₂-TPR measurements were carried out with an AutoChem II 2920 (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA) analyzer. Typically, a 50 mg sample was placed in a quartz U-tube reactor and heated to 750 °C at 10 °C/min under the mixed reduction gas flow (5% H₂/He, 30 mL·min⁻¹). The hydrogen consumption was monitored by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

The acidity of the samples was determined by the FT-IR pyridine adsorption studies. The sample was pressed into the form of a disc, then placed in a custom-made quartz IR cell and in situ evacuated, then activated at 500 °C in a pure hydrogen stream for 1 h.

To estimate the impact of potassium on the catalyst’s electron-donor properties, the work function was determined. The contact potential difference (VCPD) was measured by means of the dynamic condenser method of Kelvin using a KP6500 probe (McAllister Technical Services, Berkeley, CA, USA). A stainless-steel plate was used as a reference electrode (φref = 4.3 eV) at 3 mm in diameter. The measurement parameters were: the vibration frequency at 120 Hz and the amplitude at 40 a.u. The measurements were performed at ambient conditions (room temperature, atmospheric pressure). The work function values were calculated based on the equation: \( \Delta \phi = \phi_{\text{ref}} - \phi_{\text{sample}} \).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) studies were performed in a Kratos Axis Supra Spectrometer equipped with a monochromatized Al source operating at 150 W over the samples mounted on conductive double-side Cu tape. The pass energy of the analyzer was set to 160 eV (energy step 1.0 eV) for the survey scan and 20 eV (energy step 0.1 eV) for high-resolution Ni 2p, Mn 2p, O 1s, C 1s, and K 2p spectra of spent catalysts (EtOH/H₂O = 1/12, 420 °C). The base pressure in the analysis chamber was 4 × 10⁻⁷ Pa. Data processing was performed with CasaXPS software (v 2.3.16 PR 1.6, Zurich, Switzerland), taking into account the relative sensitivity factors (provided by CasaXPS software). The XPS spectra were charge-corrected for an O 1 s peak binding energy equal to 530.0 eV. After conducting Shirley background subtraction, the quantification of the Ni 2p and Mn 2p regions was performed using the peak fitting procedure of mixed Gaussian–Lorentzian components, except for the metallic cobalt and nickel for fitting, of which the LA(1.4,5,5) and LA(1.1,2.2,10) mixed functions were used, respectively. The fitting parameters for those regions were constrained and determined from the reference spectra recorded over: Ni(0) from metallic nickel and Ni(II) from nickel(II) oxide.

Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was conducted on a TG121 microbalance system (CAHN, Newington, NH, USA) in order to quantify the amount of carbon deposited onto the catalyst surface under SRE conditions. The studies were carried out at the temperature of 420 °C at two steam to ethanol ratios, i.e., H₂O:EtOH = 4:1 and 12:1. The total volumetric flow rate of the mixture (70 mL min⁻¹) was kept constant by adding helium. Prior to reaction, the catalyst sample (0.01 g) was reduced by passing 10% H₂/He flow at the temperature of 500 °C for 1 h.
2.3. Catalyst Evaluation in the SRE Process

Studies were performed using the application of a Microactivity Reference unit (PID Eng & Tech., Madrid, Spain), similar to that described in our earlier reports. The samples of catalysts diluted with quartz were introduced to the fixed-bed continuous-flow quartz reactor. Activation was performed in a stream of hydrogen with a flow rate of 100 mL min\(^{-1}\) at 500 °C under isothermal conditions for 1 h. After activation, the catalysts were cooled down to 420 °C, and then hydrogen was replaced by the reaction mixture composed of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{EtOH}/\text{Ar} = 48/4/52\) vol\%, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{EtOH}/\text{Ar} = 36/4/60\) vol\%, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{EtOH}/\text{Ar} = 24/4/72\) vol\%, and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{EtOH}/\text{Ar} = 16/4/80\) vol\% for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{EtOH}\) molar ratios of 12/1, 9/1, 6/1, and 4/1, respectively. The total flow rate was equal to 100 mL min\(^{-1}\) and the weight of the catalyst was equal to 100 mg. Space velocity referenced to the total flow rate of the reaction mixture divided by the catalyst weight was equal to 60,000 mL h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) (space velocity referenced to the flow rate of \(\text{EtOH}\) was regarded as a key component of the reaction; divided by the catalyst weight, it was equal to 2400 mL \(\text{EtOH} h^{-1} g^{-1}\)). The reaction substrates and products were analyzed with two online gas chromatographs. One of them, Bruker 450-GC was equipped with two columns, the first filled with a porous polymer Porapak Q (for all organics, \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) vapor) and the other a capillary column CP-Molsieve 5Å (for \(\text{CH}_4\) and \(\text{CO}\) analysis). Helium was used as a carrier gas and a TCD detector was employed. The hydrogen concentration was analyzed by the second gas chromatograph, Bruker 430-GC, using a Molsieve 5Å, argon as a carrier gas, and a TCD detector. Both Bruker 450-GC and Bruker 430-GC were equipped with TCD detectors.

The conversion of ethanol (\(X_{\text{EtOH}}\)) and conversions of ethanol into individual carbon-containing products (\(X_{\text{CP}}\)) were calculated on the basis of its concentrations at the reactor inlet and outlet:

\[
X_{\text{EtOH}} = \frac{C_{\text{in}}^{\text{EtOH}} - C_{\text{out}}^{\text{EtOH}}}{C_{\text{in}}^{\text{EtOH}}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
X_{\text{CP}} = \frac{\sum n_i C_{i}^{\text{out}}}{\sum n_i C_{i}^{\text{in}}} \times 100\%
\]

where \(C_{\text{in}}^{\text{EtOH}}\) is the molar concentration of ethanol in the reaction mixture (mol\%), \(C_{\text{out}}^{\text{EtOH}}\) is the molar concentration of ethanol in the post-reaction mixture (mol\%), \(C_{\text{out}}^{\text{CP}}\) is the molar concentration of carbon-containing product in the post-reaction mixture (mol\%), and \(n_i\) is the number of carbon atoms in the carbon-containing molecule of the reaction product.

The selectivity of hydrogen formation was determined as:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{selectivity} = \frac{C_{\text{out}}^{\text{H}_2}}{C_{\text{out}}^{\text{H}_2} + 2 \times C_{\text{out}}^{\text{CH}_4} + 2 \times C_{\text{out}}^{\text{CH}_3\text{CHO}}} \times 100\%
\]

where \(C_{\text{out}}^{\text{H}_2}\) is the molar concentration of the hydrogen-containing products in the post-reaction mixture (mol\%).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. The Influence of a Potassium Promoter on the Catalysts’ Physicochemical Properties

The chemical compositions and textural properties of \(\text{MnO}_x\) support and both nickel-based catalysts are summarized in Table 1. The results of XRF studies reveal that the assumed content of nickel is obtained with satisfactory accuracy. However, the actual weight percentage of potassium loadings in the \(\text{KNi/MnO}_x\) catalyst is less than the nominal value. It is well-known that in order to achieve high metal dispersion and high thermal stability, the support material should have a high specific surface area [35,36]. However, the BET surface area of the obtained \(\text{MnO}_x\) support was very low, which led to obtaining the catalysts with low surface areas and rather low nickel dispersion. TEM studies of the \(\text{Ni/MnO}_x\) catalyst (Table 1, see Supporting Information, Figure S1a) demonstrate that, although the \(\text{Ni}^{0}\) particles have a narrow size distribution in the range of 6–24 nm, the
average particle size is high and equal to 14.2 nm. Compared to the Ni/MnOₓ catalyst, Ni⁰ particles of the KNi/MnOₓ sample (Table 1, see Supporting Information, Figure S2b) exhibited a wider size distribution in the range of 6–38 nm with a larger average particle size of 20.4 nm. Based on the Scherrer equation analysis of the (111) reflections, the average size of the obtained Ni⁰ particles of the Ni/MnOₓ and KNi/MnOₓ catalysts was estimated to be 19.0 and 23.8 nm, respectively (Table 1). Although slightly different values of Ni⁰ particle size were found for TEM and XRD methods, a trend of increasing Ni⁰ particle size in the presence of a potassium promoter is the same. Probably, the aggregation of the primarily formed nickel particles of the Ni/MnOₓ sample during its second calcination after impregnation with potassium salt led to the formations of nickel particles with a larger size.

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the MnOₓ support and nickel-based catalysts.

| Sample          | Metal Content (wt.%) | S_BET (m² g⁻¹) | Ni⁰ Particle Size (nm) * |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|                 | Ni       | K         |                         | By XRD | By TEM |
| Ni/MnO          | 9.7      | -         | 16.7                    | 19     | 14    |
| KNi/MnO         | 9.1      | 1.6       | 10.1                    | 23     | 20    |
| MnOₓ            | -        | -         | 12.0                    | -      | -     |

* Ni⁰ denoted Ni particle size for catalyst reduced at 500 °C.

HRTEM studies were carried out to obtain an overview of the morphology and latticed spacings of nickel-based catalysts after their reduction at 500 °C. A representative selection of HRTEM images of the Ni/MnOₓ and KNi/MnOₓ catalysts is shown in Figure 1a–b. The corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern (Figure 1a₁,b₁) adequately verifies the formation of metallic particles of nickel in its elemental state Ni⁰ and low valent manganese oxide MnO after thermal treatment of both catalysts under a reducing atmosphere. The FFT patterns indicate the characteristic (111) intensity ring and plane, with a d-spacing of 0.203 nm for face-centered cubic metallic nickel. The d-spacing of 0.222 nm correspond to the (200) lattice fringes of cubic MnO. These findings are consistent with the results obtained by X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 2c,d, see Supporting Information, Figure S2b). Recorded XRD patterns of both catalysts as-prepared and reduced with hydrogen at 500 °C confirm the structural transformation that accompanies their reduction. In the case of as-prepared samples (Figure 2a,b), the diffraction peaks at 2θ exhibited a mixed-phase structure of NiO, Mn₂O₃, and Mn₃O₄; the XRD results of the reduced samples (Figure 2c,d) indicate that the series of Bragg reflections corresponding to two crystalline phases, Ni⁰ and MnO, is maintained (see Supporting Information, Table S1) [37–42]. This means that, during the treatment of both nickel-based catalysts under a hydrogen atmosphere at 500 °C, Ni⁰ and MnO phases are formed by the reduction of NiO and Mn₂O₃/Mn₃O₄ phases, respectively. The comparison of XRD results for the as-prepared MnOₓ support (see Supporting Information, Figure S2a) and both nickel-based catalysts (Figure 2a,b) indicates a change in the structure of the MnOₓ phase during the step of catalyst synthesis concerning nickel introduction. The as-prepared MnOₓ support mainly exhibits a phase of hausmannite (Mn₃O₄) with spinel structure and tetragonal symmetry, with a minor contribution of cubic Mn₂O₃ phase, while XRD patterns of both as-prepared catalysts include a dominant Mn₂O₃ phase and a minor phase of hausmannite (Mn₃O₄) [43]. This indicates that, during the calcination of the nickel phase precursor at the temperature of 500 °C, the partial transformation of Mn₃O₄ to Mn₂O₃ occurs, which is closely related to the oxygen transfer on the surface: O₂(gas) ↔ O₂⁻ (ads) ↔ O²⁻ (ads) ↔ 2O²⁻ (ads) ↔ 2O²²⁻ (lattice) [44]. Because there is not much difference between the XRD patterns of the Ni/MnOₓ and KNi/MnOₓ catalysts, it can be suggested that no phase is changed after the potassium addition. Any phases containing potassium are not identified, indicating that the potassium compound remained amorphous [30] or was highly dispersed on the catalysts’ surface [21]. STEM-EDS analysis (Figure 1b₂) confirms that potassium is well-dispersed and distributed over both MnO and Ni⁰ particles of the reduced KNi/MnOₓ sample. On the
other hand, the same analysis indicates an inhomogeneity of Ni\(^0\) distribution on the MnO support for both the reduced Ni/MnO\(_x\) (Figure 1a3) and KNi/MnO\(_x\) (Figure 1b3) catalysts. From visual inspections of the maps, it is clear that in the case of both nickel-based catalysts, there are Ni-rich and Ni-poor regions but it is difficult to establish which nickel-based catalyst exhibited more uniformly distributed Ni\(^0\) particles.

![Microscopic analysis](image)

**Figure 1.** Microscopic analysis of the (a) Ni/MnO\(_x\) and (b) KNi/MnO\(_x\) catalysts after reduction at 500 °C. HRTEM images (a1, a2, b1, b2) and STEM-EDS analysis (a3, b3).

![XRD patterns](image)

**Figure 2.** XRD patterns of (a,b) as prepared and (c,d) reduced with hydrogen at 500 °C for Ni/MnO\(_x\) and KNi/MnO\(_x\) catalysts.
A possible role of the alkali ions as promoters is that they could change the reducibility of the catalyst. Therefore, the relative reducibility of the nickel-based catalysts was investigated using an H$_2$-TPR measurement. Because it is known that NiO and MnO$_x$ oxides are reduced approximately in the same temperature range [43–45] (see Supporting Information, Figure S3), the H$_2$-TPR profile of NiO, KNiO, MnO$_x$, and KMnO$_x$ samples used as the reference samples were also obtained. As seen in Figure 3, the reduction of the MnO$_x$ support can be divided into two stages, namely a low-temperature reduction (LTR) ranging ca. 220–330 $^\circ$C and a high-temperature reduction (HTR) ranging ca. 330–520 $^\circ$C. The former reduction peaks can be attributed to the reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ to Mn$_3$O$_4$, and the later reduction peak can be ascribed to the transformation of Mn$_3$O$_4$ to MnO, which is in line with the X-ray diffraction result (see Supporting Information, Figure S2) [17,44,46,47]. Compared to MnO$_x$, the reduction peaks of Ni/MnO$_x$ catalysts obviously shifted to lower temperatures, suggesting the excellent redox ability of the sample. The first main reduction peak shifted from 417 $^\circ$C to 384 $^\circ$C and the second main reduction peak shifted from 309 $^\circ$C to 288 $^\circ$C. The low-temperature peak can be ascribed to the reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ to Mn$_3$O$_4$, and the high-temperature peak can be ascribed to the overlap of two reduction processes: the reduction of Mn$_3$O$_4$ to MnO and the reduction of NiO to Ni$^0$. The promotion of MnO$_x$ reduction to MnO by nickel can be interpreted in terms of the activation and spillover of hydrogen from the initially reduced nickel (Ni$^0$) to MnO$_x$ [48,49]. Because the K-containing materials generally show a wide peak and move to a lower temperature [50], the H$_2$-TPR profile of the KNi/MnO$_x$ catalyst shows only one reduction consumption peak between 160–420 $^\circ$C. The main highly asymmetric peak with the maximum at 350 $^\circ$C could be attributed to two overlapping processes: rapid reduction of Mn$_2$O$_3$ to MnO with Mn$_3$O$_4$ as intermediates and the reduction of NiO to Ni$^0$. A small shoulder peak in the low-temperature region represents the existence of unstable species with different Mn–O bond strengths, which are unstable in the lattice of oxides and could be regarded as surface-active species [50]. The peak of reduction gradually shifted to a lower value after the potassium promoter addition, indicating a weakened interaction between nickel species and the MnO$_x$ support compared to the Ni/MnO$_x$ sample [51]. Moreover, according to Grzybek et al. [30], the presence of potassium facilitates the storage of nitrates on the catalyst’s surface. Therefore, the appearance of the intense sharp peaks in the H$_2$-TPR profile of KNi/MnO$_x$ catalyst can result from the presence of a significant amount of nitrate residues accumulated on the catalyst’s surface. Probably, the nickel presence increases the nitrate reducibility because hydrogen is dissociated on the reduced nickel (Ni$^0$) and then it spills to the nitrate ions and reduces them [52]. The reduction of nitrates explains the high hydrogen consumption obtained for the KNi/MnO$_x$ sample (see Supporting Information, Table S2).

Figure 3. H$_2$-TPR profiles of MnO$_x$ support and Ni/MnO$_x$ and KNi/MnO$_x$ catalysts.
In order to better understand the nature of the acid sites and the role of the nickel and potassium addition, in situ FT-IR experiments on MnO\textsubscript{x}, Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x}, and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} samples were performed (Figure 4). For the MnO\textsubscript{x} support, the IR spectra of pyridine sorption show the presence of the 1445 cm\textsuperscript{-1} band corresponding to Py interacting with Lewis acid sites with medium strength, which originate from the Mn(IV, III) surface exposed cations. The complexity of the PyL band at 1445 cm\textsuperscript{-1} points to the heterogeneous nature of Mn surface cations ruled by their various oxidation states (IV, III) and/or their coordination with O\textsuperscript{2−}. The deposition of nickel resulted in the appearance of an additional band at 1430 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, which originated from the physisorbed Py molecules. The total concentration of Lewis acid sites of the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst is comparable to that of the MnO\textsubscript{x} support (Table 2). However, their strength is higher, giving an intense peak at 1448 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Morrow et al. [53] demonstrated that nitrogen-coordinated pyridine chemisorbs perpendicular to the surface on nickel-metal moieties; thus, such metal-originated Lewis acid sites can give rise to 1448 cm\textsuperscript{-1} IR bands [54]. Consequently, it can be concluded that the presence of metallic forms of nickel does not reduce the number of Lewis acid centers, but furthermore contributes to the increase of the strength of Lewis acid centers.

![FT-IR spectra of pyridyne interacting with the Lewis acid sites of MnO\textsubscript{x} support and Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts.](image)

**Figure 4.** FT-IR spectra of pyridyne interacting with the Lewis acid sites of MnO\textsubscript{x} support and Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts.

**Table 2.** The comparison of the content of Lewis acid sites for MnO\textsubscript{x} support and Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts.

| Sample          | Lewis Acid Sites Concentration (mmol g\textsuperscript{-1}) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| MnO\textsubscript{x} | 54                                                        |
| Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} | 50                                                        |
| KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} | 13                                                        |

The potassium addition to the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst resulted in the downshift of this band to 1443 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, indicating the decrease of the electron acceptor properties of the Lewis sites, which was additionally accompanied by a significant decrease in the number of Lewis acid centers. This phenomenon is ascribed to an increase in the surface electron density induced by K-dopant. This is in line with the results of the work function studies, which show a decrease in the work function of the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts upon potassium doping from 4.9 to 4.6 eV, also in accordance with the previous literature reports [55].
3.2. The Influence of a Potassium Promoter on the Performance of the Catalysts in Ethanol Steam Reforming Process

The influence of a potassium promoter on the nickel-based catalysts in the SRE process was evaluated in terms of ethanol conversion (Figure 5) and selectivity to products (Figure 6a,b) at the temperature of 420 °C for different H2O/EtOH molar ratios of 12/1, 9/1, 6/1, and 4/1. Additionally, the performance of the MnOx support under SRE conditions was carried out (see Supporting Information, Figure S4) and was found to be almost inactive in the SRE process with ethanol conversion lower than 5%. As expected, due to its basicity, MnOx favors ethanol dehydrogenation to acetaldehyde [56]. The lack of any C1 compound among the products confirms an inability of MnOx to break the C–C bond in the absence of the nickel active phase. These results are in line with those obtained for the MnOx support performance under SRE conditions by Gac et al. [21].

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{COOH}\rightarrow\text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2 \] (Reaction (R1))

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \] (Reaction (R2))

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \] (Reaction (R3))

\[ \text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \] (Reaction (R4))

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + 2\text{CO} \] (Reaction (R5))

\[ \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \] (Reaction (R6))

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \] (Reaction (R7))

\[ \text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \] (Reaction (R8))

\[ \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2 \] (Reaction (R9))

\[ \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2 + \text{CO} \] (Reaction (R10))

Figure 5. The ethanol conversion (a) at the beginning and (b) after 18 h of SRE process at 420 °C for H2O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1, 9/1, 6/1, and 4/1 over Ni/MnOx and KNi/MnOx catalysts.

Figure 6. The selectivity to products (a) at the beginning and (b) after 18 h of SRE process at 420 °C for H2O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1, 9/1, 6/1, and 4/1 over Ni/MnOx and KNi/MnOx catalysts.

Regardless of the H2O/EtOH molar ratio, at the beginning of the SRE process, both catalysts exhibited 100% ethanol conversion. After 18 h of processing, the ethanol conversion over the Ni/MnOx sample decreased in the whole range of molar ratios studied because of the carbon formation on the catalyst surface (the studies on catalyst deactivation will be discussed in the next section). The higher excess of water facilitates carbon gasification [56].
Therefore, the highest ethanol conversion of 97% after 18 h of the SRE process was observed for the H₂O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1 and it decreased with the decrease of excess water to 63% for the H₂O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1. For the KNi/MnOₓ catalyst, complete ethanol conversion was obtained for 18 h of SRE reaction in the range of H₂O/EtOH molar ratio of 6/1–12/1. The decrease of the sample activity to ca. 90% after 18 h of SRE reaction was only observed at the low H₂O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1. The obtained results indicate that the potassium promoter inhibits catalyst deactivations, thus improving its stability under SRE conditions (see Supporting Information, Figure S5), which is consistent with the previous studies on the role of this catalyst promoter in the studied process [25,26,29,34,57,58]. On the other hand, the stability of nickel-based catalysts in the SRE reaction is not always improved by the addition of potassium, which was shown by Słowik et al. [28].

The distribution of products over both nickel-based catalysts indicates that, besides the SRE reaction (Reaction (R1)), ethanol decomposition (Reaction (R2)) and its dehydrogenation (Reaction (R3)) followed by acetaldehyde decomposition (Reaction (R4)) also occur.

\[
\begin{align*}
C_2H_5OH + 3H_2O & \leftrightarrow 2CO_2 + 6H_2 & (R1) \\
C_2H_5OH & \leftrightarrow CH_4 + CO + H_2 & (R2) \\
C_2H_5OH & \leftrightarrow CH_3CHO + H_2 & (R3) \\
CH_3CHO & \leftrightarrow CH_4 + CO & (R4)
\end{align*}
\]

At the beginning of the SRE reaction (Figure 6a), regardless of H₂O/EtOH molar ratio, both Ni/MnOₓ and KNi/MnOₓ catalysts exhibit the highest selectivity to hydrogen and carbon dioxide, suggesting that the SRE is the main reaction taking place (Reaction (R1)). More of the most desirable products of SRE reaction is produced over KNi/MnOₓ catalyst which could be ascribed to increase the activity of SRE (Reaction (R1)) and the water gas shift (WGS, Reaction (R5)) reactions in the presence of potassium promoted sample.

\[
CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2 & (R5)
\]

Moreover, the rate of both SRE (Reaction (R1)) and WGS (Reaction (R5)) reactions increases with the increase in steam excess [59]. Therefore, in the case of both nickel-based catalysts, the selectivity to carbon dioxide is the lowest for the H₂O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1 (57% for Ni/MnOₓ, 62% for KNi/MnOₓ) but increases with its increase to 12/1 (65% for Ni/MnOₓ and 73% for KNi/MnOₓ). At the beginning of the SRE reaction, carbon monoxide and methane are only byproducts that are formed under SRE conditions. In the whole range of H₂O/EtOH molar ratios studied, the selectivity to carbon monoxide does not exceed 3.5 and 5% over the Ni/MnOₓ and KNi/MnOₓ catalysts, respectively. However, selectivity to the second byproduct, methane, is much higher in the presence of both materials because of the high activity of nickel-based catalysts in the methanation reactions of carbon monoxide and/or carbon dioxide (Reactions (R6) and (R7)) [60].

\[
\begin{align*}
CO + 3H_2 & \leftrightarrow CH_4 + H_2O & (R6) \\
CO_2 + 4H_2 & \leftrightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O & (R7)
\end{align*}
\]

The decrease in selectivity to methane from 36 to 33% (Ni/MnOₓ) and from 32 to 25% (KNi/MnOₓ) with the increase in the H₂O/EtOH molar ratio from 4/1 to 12/1 confirms that excess of water facilitates the steam reforming of methane (Reaction (R8)) [60].

\[
CH_4 + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2 & (R8)
\]

After 18 h of the SRE reaction (Figure 6b), the distribution of products in the presence of both nickel-based catalysts is comparable to that observed at the beginning of the process for a whole range of H₂O/EtOH molar ratios studied. The sole appearance of acetaldehyde among byproducts after 18 h of the SRE reaction indicates a decrease in the ability of both
catalysts in the C–C bond cleavage with the increase in time-on-stream due to carbon formation. Regardless of the H₂O/EtOH molar ratio, more nickel active sites to break this bond remained available in the case of the potassium-promoted catalyst, resulting in its lower selectivity to the C₂ product, i.e., acetaldehyde, and higher selectivity to the C₁ products, i.e., carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and methane, in comparison with the Ni/MnOₓ sample. Because steam excess limits the carbon formation, the amount of produced acetaldehyde increases with a decrease in H₂O/EtOH molar ratio.

3.3. The Influence of a Potassium Promoter on Prevention of the Nickel-Based Catalyst Deactivation under SRE Conditions

The SEM (Figures 7a₁ and 8a₁) and TEM images (Figures 7a₂,a₃ and 8a₂,a₃) of the Ni/MnOₓ and KNi/MnOₓ catalysts show that carbon filamentous deposits are formed on the surface of both samples after 18 h of the SRE reaction at 420 °C, regardless of the H₂O/EtOH molar ratio. Carbon filaments, leading to catalyst deactivation, are typically formed at the surface of nickel particles under SRE conditions via the Boudouard reaction (Reaction (R9)) and/or methane decomposition [51].

\[
2\text{CO} \leftrightarrow \text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \quad \text{(R9)}
\]

\[
\text{CH}_4 \leftrightarrow \text{C} + 2\text{H}_2 \quad \text{(R10)}
\]

Figure 7. Microscopic analysis of Ni/MnOₓ catalyst after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C for (a) H₂O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1 and (b) H₂O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1. SEM images (a₁,b₁) and TEM images (a₂,a₃,b₂,b₃).
Therefore, comparing SEM images of spent catalysts and support (see Supporting Information, Figure S6) reveals that carbon deposition occurs efficiently on nickel surface due to its ability to break C–C and C–H bonds, while relatively little (or lack) carbon deposition occurs on MnO\textsubscript{x} without nickel. Since the size distribution of the nickel particles was not in a narrow range for both Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts (see Supporting Information, Figure S1), the carbon filaments exhibited a correspondingly non-uniform diameter distribution. Moreover, carbon filaments with not only significantly varying diameters but also lengths formed on the surface of both nickel-based catalysts under SRE conditions. The presence of potassium seems not to influence both diameters and lengths of the carbon filaments. However, the degree of carbon graphitization depends on the potassium promotion, as can be seen in the TEM images (Figures 7a\textsubscript{3} and 8a\textsubscript{3}). The presence of potassium influenced the increase in the degree of graphitization and a highly ordered, i.e., graphitic carbon formed on the surface of the KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst, whereas mixed turbostratic carbon and graphitic carbon phases formed on the surface of the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} sample. These results are consistent with those obtained by Słowik et al. [28], who observed an increase in the degree of graphitization of carbon formed under SRE conditions over KNi/CeO\textsubscript{2} compared to the Ni/CeO\textsubscript{2} catalyst.

By comparing spatial features in Ni and Mn maps of both catalysts after the SRE reaction (Figures 9a\textsubscript{5} and 10a\textsubscript{5}), it is apparent that there are regions with the presence of Ni but without Mn copresence. This means that the Ni particles did not remain attached to the support surface but a carbon deposit separated the Ni phase from the support. Upon closer examination (Figures 7a\textsubscript{3},b\textsubscript{3}, 8a\textsubscript{3},b\textsubscript{3}, 9a\textsubscript{1}–a\textsubscript{5} and 10a\textsubscript{1}–a\textsubscript{5}), it was evident that the Ni particles were carried away from the support surface by the carbon growth process.

**Figure 8.** Microscopic analysis of KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C for (a) H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1 and (b) H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1. SEM images (a\textsubscript{1},b\textsubscript{1}) and TEM images (a\textsubscript{2},a\textsubscript{3},b\textsubscript{2},b\textsubscript{3}).
during the reaction and they were located at the tip of the filaments, which is a direct consequence of the weak metal-support interaction(s) associated with both Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts. In contrast to these results, where a potassium promotion does not enhance the interaction between Ni and MnO\textsubscript{x} phases, the strong cobalt-support interaction resulting from the potassium presence resulted in the stabilization of the active phase, preventing its removal from the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surface because of carbon filament growth under SRE conditions [30].

![Figure 8](image)

Figure 8. Microscopic analysis of the KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C for (a) H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1 and (b) H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1. SEM images (a\textsubscript{1},b\textsubscript{1}) and TEM images (a\textsubscript{2},a\textsubscript{3},b\textsubscript{2},b\textsubscript{3}).

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9. Microscopic analysis of the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C (H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio = 12/1). HRTEM images (a\textsubscript{1},a\textsubscript{2},a\textsubscript{3},a\textsubscript{4}) and STEM-EDS analysis (a\textsubscript{5}).

The TEM analysis of both nickel-based samples after the SRE process at the H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1 indicates modifications in the distribution of nickel particle size compared to results obtained for the catalysts after their reduction (see Supporting Information, Figures S1 and S7). A comparison of histograms of the reduced samples with those obtained for catalysts after the SRE reaction shows that the larger crystallites mostly disappeared. The average nickel particle size underwent ~50% reduction (Table 3) after the SRE process at the H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1. This suggests that the fragmentation of the initial metal surface occurs prior to the growth of carbon filaments. On the other hand, the nickel particle size distribution obtained for nickel-based catalysts after the SRE reaction at the H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1 was broader and shifted to larger particles (5–65 nm) (see Supporting Information, Figure S8) in comparison with results obtained for them after reduction (see Supporting Information, Figure S1). The increase of particle size after the SRE reaction at the H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1 results from sintering. However, the number of large crystallites is not high and the average particle size (Table 2) is comparable with those obtained for reduced catalysts. The mainly small crystallites were observed after the SRE reaction at the H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio of 4/1, suggesting that nickel crystallites underwent a continued fragmentation into smaller ones.
Figure 10. Microscopic analysis of the KNi/MnOₓ catalyst after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C (H₂O/EtOH molar ratio = 12/1). HRTEM images (a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄) and STEM-EDS analysis (a₅).

Table 3. Ni particle size of the Ni/MnOₓ and KNi/MnOₓ catalysts after SRE reaction.

| Sample          | H₂O:EtOH = 12/1 | H₂O:EtOH = 4/1 |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Ni/MnO          | 8               | 18             |
| KNi/MnO         | 10              | 20             |

The FFT method (Figures 9a₁,a₃ and 10a₁,a₃) reveals the presence of both Ni⁰ and NiO phases in both spent catalysts. Moreover, based on the phase identification by the FFT method, the MnOₓ support of the spent Ni/MnOₓ sample was identified to include three forms: MnO, Mn₂O₃, and Mn₃O₄, where only facets originating from MnO were detected for the support of the spent KNi/MnOₓ catalyst. Moreover, the surface composition was investigated by XPS spectroscopy. The surface of both spent nickel-based catalysts was completely covered by carbon (96 at.%) and oxygen to carbon-bounded species (3.9 at.%); therefore, the intensity of the Mn 2p and Ni 2p regions was very low, almost at the level of noise (Figure 11). Due to this fact, the fitting procedure and calculation of each component’s contribution to the overall spectrum were affected by a significant error and will not be discussed in this work. However, it is worth seeing that, on the surface of both catalysts, two oxidation states of manganese and nickel are recorded. The Mn 2p region is slightly shifted towards lower binding energies, suggesting that most manganese may exist as MnO [61]. It should be highlighted that the Mn 2p region overlaps with Ni LMM Auger peaks [62]. In order to analyze the contribution of Ni LMM Auger in overall Mn 2p, we recorded Ni LMM template from Ni₀ and NiO. Using these lines and the calculated area ratio between Ni 2p3/2/Ni LMM for Ni₀ and Ni 2p 3/2/Ni LMM for NiO, we estimated the contribution of Ni LMM in Mn 2p3/2. Since fitted signals have very small intensity, they were omitted in further analysis of this region. In the case of nickel, the shape of the recorded spectra undoubtedly indicates the presence of NiO (pronounced shoulder at
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Figure 9. Microscopic analysis of the Ni/MnOx catalyst after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C (H2O/EtOH molar ratio = 12/1). HRTEM images (a1, a2, a3, a4) and STEM-EDS analysis (a5).

Figure 10. Microscopic analysis of the KNi/MnOx catalyst after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C (H2O/EtOH molar ratio = 12/1). HRTEM images (a1, a2, a3, a4) and STEM-EDS analysis (a5).

Table 3. Ni particle size of the Ni/MnOx and KNi/MnOx catalysts after SRE reaction.

| Sample | H2O:EtOH = 12/1 | H2O:EtOH = 4/1 |
|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| Ni/MnOx | 8               | 18             |
| KNi/MnOx| 10              | 20             |

Figure 11. High-resolution XPS spectra of Mn 2p and Ni 2p regions collected from the surface of Ni/MnOx and KNi/MnOx catalysts after 18 h of SRE reaction at 420 °C (H2O/EtOH molar ratio = 12/1).

To study the difference in the number of accumulated species on the Ni/MnOx and KNi/MnOx catalysts and the rate of their formation, the thermogravimetric experiments under SRE conditions were conducted for the H2O/EtOH molar ratio of 12/1 and 4/1 at 420 °C (Figure 12). The results from the thermogravimetric experiments show that the amount of carbon deposits for both nickel-based catalysts is minimized by performing the SRE reaction with the higher excess of water. Moreover, regardless of the H2O/EtOH molar ratio, the rate of carbon formation is significantly lower over KNi/MnOx compared to Ni/MnOx, which indicates that the addition of an alkali promoter inhibits carbon deposition and/or promotes its gasification. According to the literature [64–66], the presence of potassium on the Ni surface can decrease the number of active sites available for methane decomposition (reaction 10), which allows for suppression of the amount of carbon deposits. The presence of potassium dopant promotes the adsorption of water in a dissociative manner [65,66] and raises the oxygen population on the surface, which, in turn, increases the rate of the steam gasification of carbon formed during steam reforming reactions. Furthermore, the lower accumulation of the carbon deposits on the surface of the KNi/MnOx catalyst guarantees more Ni active sites available to the reactants and the higher stability of this catalyst compared to the Ni/MnOx sample.
By modifying Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} with a potassium promoter, the catalyst stability can be improved under the SRE conditions for different H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratios in the range from 4/1 to 12/1. Moreover, since the KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst is more resistant to deactivation, more nickel active sites to break the C–C bond remain available on its surface, resulting in its lower selectivity to acetaldehyde and higher selectivity to C1 products in comparison to the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} sample after 18 h of the SRE reaction regardless of the H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio. The observed high stability of the catalyst promoted with the potassium results from an improved resistance to carbon formation. The potassium promoter inhibits the accumulation of the carbon on the catalyst surface under SRE conditions. Because the Ni active phase dispersion over the KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} sample is worse compared to the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst, the observed higher ability to inhibit the carbon formation of potassium-promoted catalysts does not result from the smaller size of Ni crystallites. It means that the restriction of the amount of carbon deposits originates from the potassium presence on the Ni surface, leading (i) to a decrease in the number of active sites available for methane decomposition and (ii) to an increase in the rate of the steam gasification of carbon formed during SRE reactions. On the other hand, the potassium addition does not influence the type of carbon deposits and filamentous carbon is formed in the presence of both Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts. Additionally, the morphology, i.e., thickness and length, of carbon filaments formed on the surface of both nickel-based catalysts are similar. Only the degree of graphitization of the carbon deposit on the surface of the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts is slightly different. The Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst exhibits less graphitization degree than carbon deposited on the surface of KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} material.

**Figure 12.** Changes in Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts’ weight under SRE conditions at H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio = 12/1 (straight line) and H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio = 4/1 (dashed line) at 420 °C.

4. Conclusions

By modifying Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} with a potassium promoter, the catalyst stability can be improved under the SRE conditions for different H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratios in the range from 4/1 to 12/1. Moreover, since the KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst is more resistant to deactivation, more nickel active sites to break the C–C bond remain available on its surface, resulting in its lower selectivity to acetaldehyde and higher selectivity to C1 products in comparison to the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} sample after 18 h of the SRE reaction regardless of the H\textsubscript{2}O/EtOH molar ratio. The observed high stability of the catalyst promoted with the potassium results from an improved resistance to carbon formation. The potassium promoter inhibits the accumulation of the carbon on the catalyst surface under SRE conditions. Because the Ni active phase dispersion over the KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} sample is worse compared to the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst, the observed higher ability to inhibit the carbon formation of potassium-promoted catalysts does not result from the smaller size of Ni crystallites. It means that the restriction of the amount of carbon deposits originates from the potassium presence on the Ni surface, leading (i) to a decrease in the number of active sites available for methane decomposition and (ii) to an increase in the rate of the steam gasification of carbon formed during SRE reactions. On the other hand, the potassium addition does not influence the type of carbon deposits and filamentous carbon is formed in the presence of both Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts. Additionally, the morphology, i.e., thickness and length, of carbon filaments formed on the surface of both nickel-based catalysts are similar. Only the degree of graphitization of the carbon deposit on the surface of the Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} and KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalysts is slightly different. The Ni/MnO\textsubscript{x} catalyst exhibits less graphitization degree than carbon deposited on the surface of KNi/MnO\textsubscript{x} material.
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