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Abstract

Background: Auxin plays important roles in hormone crosstalk and the plant’s stress response. The auxin-responsive Gretchen Hagen3 (GH3) gene family maintains hormonal homeostasis by conjugating excess indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), salicylic acid (SA), and jasmonic acids (JAs) to amino acids during hormone- and stress-related signaling pathways. With the sequencing of the apple (Malus × domestica) genome completed, it is possible to carry out genomic studies on GH3 genes to indentify candidates with roles in abiotic/biotic stress responses.

Results: Malus sieversii Roem., an apple rootstock with strong drought tolerance and the ancestral species of cultivated apple species, was used as the experimental material. Following genome-wide computational and experimental identification of MdGH3 genes, we showed that MdGH3s were differentially expressed in the leaves and roots of M. sieversii and that some of these genes were significantly induced after various phytohormone and abiotic stress treatments. Given the role of GH3 in the negative feedback regulation of free IAA concentration, we examined whether phytohormones and abiotic stresses could alter the endogenous auxin level. By analyzing the GUS activity of DR5::GUS-transformed Arabidopsis seedlings, we showed that ABA, SA, salt, and cold treatments suppressed the auxin response. These findings suggest that other phytohormones and abiotic stress factors might alter endogenous auxin levels.

Conclusion: Previous studies showed that GH3 genes regulate hormonal homeostasis. Our study indicated that some GH3 genes were significantly induced in M. sieversii after various phytohormone and abiotic stress treatments, and that ABA, SA, salt, and cold treatments reduce the endogenous level of auxin. Taken together, this study provides evidence that GH3 genes play important roles in the crosstalk between auxin, other phytohormones, and the abiotic stress response by maintaining auxin homeostasis.
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Background

Auxin regulates numerous aspects of plant growth and development. To date, auxin has been linked to the control of cell elongation and division, tropic responses to light and gravity, general root and shoot architecture, organ patterning, responses to biotic and abiotic stimuli, vascular development, and growth in tissue culture [1]. Phytohormones are involved in many distinct and/or overlapping processes throughout the life cycle of plants. Auxin facilitates hormonal crosstalk by regulating the expression of auxin-responsive genes [2]. For example, several ACS genes, which encode enzymes involved in ethylene biosynthesis, are induced by auxin [3,4]. Auxin homeostasis and the auxin response pathway are regulated by several groups of auxin-responsive genes, including the Gretchen Hagen3 (GH3) family. Jasmonate resistant 1 (jar1) belongs to the GH3 gene family in Oryza sativa (rice) and is involved in jasmonate signaling [5]. In addition, AtGH3-5 acts as a bifunctional modulator of both salicylic acid (SA) and auxin signaling during pathogen infection [6]. Auxin also regulates the expression of several genes in the gibberellic acid (GA) biosynthesis pathway [7]. Moreover, auxin homeostasis links growth regulation with stress adaptation responses. For instance, plants subjected to stress conditions exhibit retarded growth, altered patterns of metabolism, and changes in the expression and/or activity of auxin-regulated genes.
[8,9]. Furthermore, the repression of auxin signaling in Arabidopsis enhances antibacterial resistance [10].

Auxin homeostasis and the auxin response pathway are regulated by several groups of auxin-responsive genes, including the Gretchen Hagen3 (GH3) family [2]. GH3 was first identified in Glycine max (soybean) as an early auxin-responsive gene [11]. To date, GH3 homologs have been identified in Chlorophyta, Bryophyta, Coniferophyta, and Magnoliophyta [12]. GH3 family genes are divided into three groups (I, II, and III) based on their sequence similarities and the substrate specificities of their products in Arabidopsis, which harbors 19 GH3 members and one incomplete GH3 protein [13,14]. Group I GH3 enzymes are JA-amido or SA-amido synthetases [14]. Arabidopsis Group II enzymes were demonstrated to be active on IAA [13,15]. Group III enzymes have only been identified in Arabidopsis to date. Group II GH3 functions in the negative feedback regulation of IAA concentration. Several Arabidopsis Group II GH3s help maintain auxin homeostasis by conjugating excess IAA to amino acids, either for storage or degradation [15]. Members of this gene family are known to be regulated by phytohormones and biotic/abiotic stress factors, including abscisic acid (ABA), SA, JA, drought, cold, salt, pathogen infection, and light [6,16-19]. GH3-mediated auxin homeostasis is an essential constituent of the complex network of auxin activity that regulates stress adaptation responses [19]. Recent research has shown that overexpression of GH3 reduced auxin content and changed plant architecture and plant resistance to biotic and abiotic stress. Overexpression of TLD1/OsGH3.13 in the rice tld1-D mutant resulted in IAA deficiency, dramatic changes in plant architecture, and enhanced drought tolerance [6]. Overexpression of OsGH3.1 and OsGH3.8 in rice resulted in reduced auxin content, arrested plant growth and development, abnormal plant morphology, and enhanced pathogen resistance [20,21].

Apple is one of the most widely cultivated fruit trees in the world, and is thus of considerable economic value. Because biotic/abiotic stresses are crucial factors in determining the distribution and yield of apple trees, improving resistance to stresses has been one of the main breeding objectives in apple. M. sieversii, an apple rootstock with strong drought tolerance, is an ancestral species of modern apple cultivars that is mainly distributed in the Tianshan Mountains of Central Asia [22,23]. Previous studies in Arabidopsis and rice indicated that GH3 is involved in the stress response pathway by maintaining auxin homeostasis through conjugating excess IAA to amino acids. In fruit trees, our knowledge of GH3 genes is mainly limited to their roles in fruit development. Vitis vinifera (grapevine) GH3-1 encodes an IAA-amido synthetase involved in the establishment and maintenance of low IAA concentrations, which enables fruit ripening [24]. Apple GH3 genes were down-regulated during rapid fruit expansion, consistent with the elevated concentrations of auxin observed at this stage [25].

Synthetic auxin-responsive promoters, such as DR5 [26], are widely used as experimental readouts for the auxin response and/or auxin levels in planta [27]. DR5::GUS contains several copies of a synthetic auxin-responsive element (TGTCTC) fused to a 35S minimum promoter and the GUS encoding sequence [26]. To investigate the role of GH3 genes in apple, we examined the expression patterns of these genes in M. sieversii under biotic and abiotic stress conditions and analyzed whether other phytohormones and abiotic stresses could alter the endogenous distribution of auxin using DR5::GUS-transformed Arabidopsis seedlings. We show that GH3 genes play important roles in the crosstalk between auxin, other phytohormones, and abiotic stress factors in M. sieversii by maintaining auxin homeostasis.

Results

Genome-wide characterization of the M. domestica GH3 family

From the peptide FASTA file of M. domestica genome annotations, we identified 29 candidate GH3 family proteins using the HMMER 3.0 (28 March 2010) program. We disregarded seven of the candidates, as they were below the E-value threshold after the first round of searching. Furthermore, two sequences were repeats of each other, another four sequences were incomplete, with overlapping regions that could be combined into two complete GH3 sequences, and four members were found not to be GH3 family proteins using the BLASTp program at the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Therefore, 15 unique members were present, all of which were confirmed to be GH3 family proteins by the hidden Markov model of the SMART/Pfam tool. Among these full-length coding sequences, four MdGH3 genes (MdGH3-1, 3, 4, and 5) were further confirmed by RT-PCR amplification, cloning, and sequencing (Additional file 1). The MdGH3 polypeptide sequences were all of uniform length (Table 1) and the deduced molecular weight of MdGH3 proteins generally ranged from 64 to 69 kDa. Multiple sequence alignments showed that the MdGH3s were highly conserved (Additional file 2). All of the MdGH3s contained a highly conserved GH3 domain that did not match any other motif in the Pfam database. Pairwise analyses of the full-length protein sequences showed that the overall sequence identities ranged from 26.9% to 96.8% (Additional file 3). Interestingly, MdGH3s formed homologous pairs, with the sequence identities of homologous pairs being extremely high; e.g., MdGH3-1/MdGH3-2 (94.4%), MdGH3-3/MdGH3-4 (94.3%), MdGH3-5/MdGH3-6 (96.8%), MdGH3-7/MdGH3-8 (94%),
MdGH3-9/MdGH3-10 (95.3%), MdGH3-11/MdGH3-12 (86.9%), and MdGH3-13/MdGH3-14 (94.2%). Then, we examined the phylogenetic relationship and exon–intron organization of apple GH3 family members. As shown in Figure 1, the homeologous pairs exhibited a close evolutionary relationship and similar gene structures. All of the MdGH3 genes contained two or three introns, and most had a similar intron phase distribution. We also analyzed the chromosomal location of MdGH3s, and found that all 15 MdGH3s were distributed on 10 of 17 chromosomes. Four MdGH3 genes were present on chromosome 11, including a distinct tandem duplicate gene cluster with two tandem genes (MdGH3-9 and MdGH3-15, respectively); two were present on chromosome 3 and also on 5; and one each on chromosomes 1, 4, 7, 9, 13, 15, and 17. None of the MdGH3 genes were located on chromosomes 2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, or 16. Twelve of 15 MdGH3s, including homeologous pairs MdGH3-1/MdGH3-2, MdGH3-3/MdGH3-4, MdGH3-9/MdGH3-10, and MdGH3-11/MdGH3-12, were mapped on the segmental duplication regions according to information from the SyMAP database (Figure 2).

### MdGH3 promoter and EST analyses

Cis-acting regulatory DNA elements on both strands of the MdGH3 promoter were identified using the PLACE web server (http://www.dna.affrc.go.jp/PLACE/), and different DNA elements predicted to be involved in the plant’s response to phytohormones and biotic and abiotic stress were found. The DNA elements included multiple copies of CACGTG (ABA-inducible); TGTCTC (ARF (auxin response factor) binding site); ACTTTA (tissue-specific expression and auxin-inducible); CATATG (auxin-inducible); TGACG (IAA/SA-inducible); TTGAC (SA-inducible); AACGTG (JA-inducible); ACGTG and CACATG (drought-inducible); CCGAC (cold/drought-inducible); GAAAAA (salt-inducible); and TGTGA, AAAGAT, and TTGACC (disease-inducible) (see Additional file 4). A BLASTn search of the *M. domestica* EST database (324847 records), which is available at the NCBI webserver (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), confirmed the transcriptional activity of most MdGH3s, but the frequency of ESTs for individual genes varied greatly (Table 1). For example, 32 ESTs were identified for MdGH3-12 and 15 for MdGH3-6, whereas no ESTs had been deposited for MdGH3-2, 3, 10, and 14 (Table 1).

### Phylogenetic relationship between *M. domestica* and Arabidopsis GH3 family members and AtGH3 expression analysis using Genevestigator

Before analyzing the expression pattern of MdGH3s, we performed a preliminary study of AtGH3 expression. To

### Table 1 Characteristics of the GH3 family in *M. domestica*

| Name   | GDR* | Length (aa)b | ESTs/cDNAs*c |
|--------|------|--------------|--------------|
| MdGH3-1 | MDP0000834656 | 607 | GOS24295.1 |
| MdGH3-2 | MDP0000226842 | 607 | 0 |
| MdGH3-3 | MDP0000132162 | 614 | 0 |
| MdGH3-4 | MDP0000402444 | 614 | DT002305.1 |
| MdGH3-5 | MDP0000873893 | 601 | CN915524.1 DT043059.1 EB141323.1 DR991447.1 CO898207.1 |
| MdGH3-6 | MDP0000279432 | 601 | CN914672.1 EB156443.1 CN908490.1 EB155663.1 EB155796.1 EB144806.1 CV632081.1 CV128791.1 CN995533.2 EB155616.1 EB155541.1 EB156184.1 EB156696.1 EB156293.1 |
| MdGH3-7 | MDP0000872868 | 599 | CN910072.1 CN909305.1 CN907800.1 CN910152.1 CN909148.1 CN910072.1 |
| MdGH3-8 | MDP0000612660 | 599 | CN909042.1 CN907795.1 CN907829.1 CN907847.1 CN908348.1 CN908044.1 |
| MdGH3-9 | MDP0000204381 | 596 | 0 |
| MdGH3-10 | MDP0000568498 | 596 | |
| MdGH3-11 | MDP0000786650 | 571 | CN49575.1 |
| MdGH3-12 | MDP0000233483 | 571 | CN912573.1 CN906096.1 GOS11018.1 GOS24815.1 DY256317.1 CN909380.1 EB141581.1 EB13692.1 GC528114.1 DY255512.1 EB151573.1 EB156721.1 EB045141.1 GO538760.1 EB107177.1 CV63137.1 CV630750.1 EB099323.1 EB107459.1 GO538621.1 |
| MdGH3-13 | MDP00008711081 | 589 | GOS24347.1 EB109814.1 GO524301.1 CN879199.1 GO51774.1 CN931693.1 GO545393.1 |
| MdGH3-14 | MDP0000214081 | 589 | |
| MdGH3-15 | MDP0000238173 | 575 | GOS22926.1 GOS22429.1 GOS22817.1 |

*Accession numbers of the proteins at the Genome Database for Rosaceae. bLength of the protein in amino acids. cAccession numbers of the ESTs/cDNAs at NCBI.
Figure 1 Phylogenetic analysis and gene structure of apple GH3 members. A. Phylogeny of apple GH3 proteins, generated using MEGA5 (using the neighbor-joining method and a bootstrap test with 1000 iterations). B. Gene structure of the corresponding apple GH3 proteins, generated by a gene structure display server. The black boxes represent exons and lines represent introns. 0, 1, and 2 represent phase 0, 1, and 2 introns.

Figure 2 Mapping of MdGH3s and segmental duplication regions on apple chromosomes. Segmental duplication regions were determined using the SyMAP database. Genes and segmental duplication regions were mapped to the apple chromosomes via the Circos tool. The apple chromosomes were arranged in a circle. Ribbon links represent segmental duplication regions.
examine the phylogenetic relationship between *M. domestica* and Arabidopsis GH3 family members, a phylogenetic tree was constructed from alignments of their full-length protein sequences. Whereas AtGH3s can be clustered into three sequence homology groups [13], MdGH3 proteins are only present in two of these (Group I and II; Figure 3). Most of the AtGH3/MdGH3 proteins showed a 1:2 orthologous relationship, such as AtGH3-17 from Arabidopsis and the gene pair from apple, MdGH3-1 and -2. Based on the Arabidopsis microarray data, we found that many of the AtGH3s were significantly up-regulated under phytohormone and biotic/abiotic stress treatment (Additional file 5). Most Arabidopsis Group II enzymes were induced by auxin; AtGH3.1, AtGH3.2, AtGH3.3, and AtGH3.4 were elevated over 10-fold after IAA treatment and AtGH3.5 and AtGH3.6 were induced to a lesser extent (2.5-fold to 8-fold). AtGH3.9 and AtGH3.17 expression exhibited no remarkable changes in response to auxin. In contrast, no members of the other two groups showed an obvious response to auxin. Only three AtGH3 members (AtGH3-3, AtGH3-5, and AtGH3-6; all belonging to Group II) were induced over 3-fold within 3 h of ABA treatment. AtGH3-3 and AtGH3-4 were slightly induced (under 3-fold) under SA and methyl jasmonate (JA) treatments.

Upon cold treatment, AtGH3-4 expression increased over 7-fold in the green tissue, and AtGH3-12 was elevated over 10-fold in the root. After drought treatment, only AtGH3-14 was induced over 6-fold in the root, while the other members had no remarkable response. In response to heat, AtGH3-3 and AtGH3-10 increased over 3-fold. Salinity treatment caused a marked induction of AtGH3-1, AtGH3-3, AtGH3-4, and AtGH3-12 expression in the root. All treatments considered, AtGH3-3 and AtGH3-4 responded to most of phytohormone and abiotic stresses, while some of the other AtGH3 respond to some treatments.

**Differential expression of MdGH3s**

To determine the expression level of MdGH3s, qRT-PCR was performed with total RNA isolated from the leaf and root tissues of micropropagated *M. sieversii* plants. Given the high degree of sequence identity between homeologous pairs (Additional file 3), it was challenging to design optimal qRT-PCR primers that were specific for each gene. Since the primers designed for MdGH3-1 and MdGH3-10 were predicted to be unable to differentiate between the homeologues, the expression patterns are given with both names (see Additional file 1). The expression level of the apple HistoneH3 gene

![Figure 3 Phylogenetic tree of *M. domestica* and Arabidopsis GH3 proteins. The phylogeny was constructed using the neighbor-joining method and a bootstrap test with 1000 iterations, using MEGAS software, and alignments were generated with ClustalW.](image)
was assumed to be $1 \times 10^5$, and was selected as an internal standard in the analysis. qRT-PCR analysis revealed that MdGH3 genes were differentially expressed in the leaves and roots (Figure 4 and Additional file 6). MdGH3-7, MdGH3-12, and MdGH3-13 showed only weak expression in the leaves compared with MdGH3-3, MdGH3-6, MdGH3-9 and MdGH3-15. MdGH3-1/2, MdGH3-3 and MdGH3-4 were strongly expressed in roots under natural growth conditions, compared with MdGH3-2, MdGH3-7, MdGH3-11, and MdGH3-12. Most MdGH3 genes were more strongly expressed in roots than in leaves, except for MdGH3-9, suggesting that MdGH3 genes are root-specific. In particular, MdGH3-4 transcripts were over 500-fold higher in roots than in leaves. MdGH3-3 and MdGH3-15 showed strong expression in both leaves and roots. However, MdGH3-2, MdGH3-5, MdGH3-7, MdGH3-11 and MdGH3-12 expression showed weak expression under normal growth conditions.

Expression pattern of MdGH3s following phytohormone and abiotic stress treatment

IAA treatment caused a dramatic induction of MdGH3-6 and MdGH3-8 in both the leaves and roots, while the transcript level of MdGH3-2, MdGH3-11, MdGH3-13/14, and MdGH3-15 was not remarkably changed in either of these tissues upon IAA treatment (Figure 5 and Additional file 7). MdGH3-1/2, MdGH3-3, MdGH3-5, and MdGH3-7 were dramatically upregulated in the leaves only. In contrast, MdGH3-9 and MdGH3-9/10 were only induced in the roots under IAA treatment. The expression of most MdGH3 genes was not remarkably changed after ABA treatment in either the leaves or the roots, whereas the expression of MdGH3-3, MdGH3-7, and MdGH3-12 in leaves and MdGH3-3, MdGH3-8, MdGH3-11, and MdGH3-15 in roots was 2 -fold that of the control, suggesting that all of these genes are ABA-responsive. Interestingly, the expression pattern of MdGH3s was similar after SA and JA treatment. When SA or JA was applied, MdGH3-3 and MdGH3-12 were upregulated in both the leaves and roots, suggesting that MdGH3 proteins participate in the crosstalk between the SA and JA signaling pathways. In contrast, the expression of MdGH3-1/2, MdGH3-4, and MdGH3-13 was not altered upon SA or JA treatment in either leaves or roots. The expression of MdGH3-7, and MdGH3-11 was upregulated only in leaves upon SA or JA treatment. Salinity treatment caused a dramatic induction of MdGH3-7(almost 50-fold), MdGH3-14 (over 70-fold) in the leaves and MdGH3-5 (almost 150-fold), MdGH3-6(almost 60-fold), MdGH3-8 (over 160-fold) in the root. Under cold conditions, most MdGH3 genes were slightly upregulated, whereas the expression of MdGH3-5 rose 39-fold in the leaves. Most MdGH3s showed a slight increase in expression in the leaves or roots. In contrast, MdGH3-4 and MdGH3-5 showed increased expression in both the leaves and roots, under drought stress. However, the expression of MdGH3-5 was strongly induced in leaves (over 460-fold) and roots (over 2-fold) under drought treatment. Interestingly, MdGH3-5, MdGH3-6, MdGH3-7, and MdGH3-8 were markedly induced in the leaves or roots under IAA, salt, cold, and drought treatment, suggesting that these genes might function in the abiotic stress response.

Figure 4 qRT-PCR analysis of MdGH3 genes under normal growth conditions. Seedlings were grown on Hoagland solution for Data were normalized to the expression level of the apple HistoneH3 gene. The expression level of apple HistoneH3 was assumed to be $1 \times 10^5$. Mean expression values were calculated from three independent replicates. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
ABA, SA, salt, and cold treatments suppress the auxin response

Auxin response elements (AuxREs), which consist of a TGTCTC motif and an adjacent or overlapping coupling element, were defined based on the auxin-responsive promoter of the soybean GH3 gene \[28,29\]). The finding that native and synthetic promoters containing this element are activated following auxin treatment \[29,30\] led to the construction of artificial auxin-responsive promoters such as DR5 \[31\]. A fusion of the DR5 promoter with the β-glucuronidase (GUS) coding sequence has been frequently used as a maker to monitor endogenous auxin distribution and auxin levels in planta, because the resulting GUS activity coincides with the endogenous IAA distribution \[32,33\].

To determine whether other phytohormones and abiotic stresses could alter the endogenous distribution of auxin, we examined the response of the auxin-signaling reporter DR5::GUS to various plant hormones and abiotic stresses in Arabidopsis seedlings. As shown in Figure 6A and Additional file 8, treatment with 10 μM IAA induced high levels of GUS activity relative to the control. This result was also observed upon in situ staining for GUS activity in roots (Figure 7B, C, E, and F). In the presence of 10 μM ABA or 0.1 mM SA, the increase in GUS activity mediated by treatment with 10 μM IAA was abolished, and this effect was more significant with increasing concentrations of ABA or SA. Likewise, upon incubation with 10 μM IAA at 4°C, GUS activity was significantly lower in the DR5::GUS seedlings than in the control. The effect of cold treatment on the suppression of the auxin response was more intense with longer treatments. Exposure to a low concentration of NaCl enhanced the auxin-mediated expression of GUS in DR5::GUS seedlings, whereas treatment with a high concentration of NaCl significantly inhibited auxin-mediated GUS expression. However, as shown in Figure 7D, JA treatment had no effect on the auxin-mediated expression of GUS in DR5::GUS seedlings.

Discussion

Previous studies showed that the expression of GH3 family genes was regulated by various stress conditions \[6,8\]. Most studies of GH3 genes focused on the functional analysis of individual genes in Arabidopsis, rice, and grapevine \[18,21,24\]. With the availability of the whole genome
Figure 6 GUS activity assays of whole DR5::GUS transgenic seedlings. (A) DR5::GUS seedlings were incubated for an increasing number of hours with 10 μM IAA. (B) DR5::GUS seedlings were incubated for 3 h with 10 μM IAA and increasing concentrations of ABA. (C) DR5::GUS seedlings were incubated for 3 h with 10 μM IAA and increasing concentrations of SA. (D) DR5::GUS seedlings were incubated for 12 h with 10 μM IAA and increasing concentrations of NaCl. (E) DR5::GUS seedlings were incubated with 10 μM IAA and grown in a growth chamber set to 4°C under a 16/8 h light/dark cycle. The means and SEs of three replicates are shown.
sequence for apple [34], complete gene families for different classes of genes can be readily identified from genome data based on knowledge of conserved domains. A systematic analysis of the characteristics and phylogeny of apple GH3 family genes and of their expression patterns upon exposure to phytohormones and abiotic stress would help identify candidates with roles in abiotic/biotic stress responses.

GH3-mediated auxin homeostasis is an essential constituent of the complex network that underlies crosstalk between auxin signaling and biotic/abiotic stress signaling [6,21]. We investigated whether other phytohormones and abiotic stresses could alter the endogenous auxin distribution using transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings expressing DR5::GUS. The results of these analyses will provide the groundwork for further studies on the role of auxin in stress responses.

The evolution of GH3 family genes in apple
In this study, we identified 15 putative proteins belonging to the GH3 family in apple (Table 1). The number of
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GH3 proteins in apple is similar to that in Arabidopsis (10; excluding Group III members, which are unique to Arabidopsis), rice (13), and Sorghum bicolor (sorghum) (16) [13,19,35]. Multiple sequence alignments showed that GH3s were highly conserved in apple (Additional file 2), as they are in sorghum [19]. Pairwise analyses with the full-length protein sequences showed that the overall amino acid sequence identities of the full-length GH3s were higher in apple (Additional file 3) than sorghum. MgGH3 genes emerged in homologous pairs, with very high sequence similarity. The homologous pairs had close evolutionary relationships and similar gene structures. Most of the MgGH3 homologous pairs were also gene pairs in the segmental duplication regions, which suggests that the apple genome underwent whole-genome duplication (GWD) event, which had a great impact on the amplification of members of gene families ago (Mya), the apple genome underwent a whole-genome duplication. Indeed, about 60 to 65 million years ago, the apple genome underwent a whole-genome duplication (GWD) event, which had a great impact on the amplification of members of gene families [34]. Moreover, these results also indicate that the members of an MgGH3 homologous pair might have descended from a common ancestor and have similar functions.

GH3 family genes were divided into three groups (I, II, and III) based on their sequence similarities and the substrate specificities of their products in Arabidopsis, which harbors 19 GH3 members and one incomplete GH3 protein. Group III GH3 enzymes, of which there are nine in Arabidopsis, have only been identified in Arabidopsis to date [13,15]. Our phylogenetic analysis of Arabidopsis and apple GH3 proteins revealed three groups that exhibited distinct orthologous relationships, and no Group III enzymes in apple (Figure 3). Most of the AtGH3s/MgGH3 pairs showed a 1:2 orthologous relationship. Considering the total number of GH3 family members, genomic duplications were not instrumental in the evolutionary history of the GH3 family in Arabidopsis [12]. In contrast, genomic duplications had a great impact on the amplification of members of the GH3 family in apple. This finding also indicates that MgGH3s might have begun to diversify as a result of gene duplication. Some AtGH3s/MgGH3 pairs exhibited an n:n orthologous relationship, which indicates that the functions of these family members had started to diversify in both Arabidopsis and apple. However, MgGH3-15 has distant orthologous relationships with the other GH3 proteins.

GH3 family members involved in plant responses to phytohormones and abiotic stress

To predict the functions of the MgGH3 genes, we analyzed their promoters and ESTs. The promoters of MgGH3 contained numerous DNA elements predicted to be induced by phytohormones and biotic and abiotic stresses, suggesting that the expression of MgGH3s is regulated by phytohormones and biotic and abiotic stresses (see Additional file 4). Transcriptional activity was confirmed for most of the MgGH3s, and the frequency of ESTs implied that genes were expressed at various levels in different tissues (Table 1). Sequence homology analysis represents an important method for predicting gene function. Thus, we examined the expression patterns of AtGH3s under phytohormone and biotic/abiotic stress treatment, using the gene expression search engine, Genevestigator. Some of the GH3 family members responded to both phytohormones and abiotic stress in Arabidopsis (Additional file 4).

Our qRT-PCR analysis showed that almost all MgGH3s were expressed at a higher level in the roots than in the leaves under normal conditions, indicating that these proteins may be involved in root growth and development (Figure 4). The ydk1-D and df1-D Arabidopsis activation-tagged mutants, which have a T-DNA insertion proximal to a Arabidopsis Group II GH3 gene, have short primary roots and a reduced number of lateral roots [13,17]. GH3 was first identified in Glycine max as an early auxin-responsive gene [11]. Many of the GH3 genes in Arabidopsis, soybean, and sorghum can be induced by applying exogenous auxin [13,19,36]. With the exception of GH3-1, all Group II GH3s in Arabidopsis were demonstrated to be IAA-amido synthetases [13]. In agreement with the GH3 family expression pattern determined from Arabidopsis microarray data, all GH3 genes of Group II, except MgGH3-2 and MgGH3-4, were dramatically upregulated in apple under IAA treatment, suggesting that the Group II proteins from apple might also be IAA-amido synthetases (Figure 5). However, the expression of most MgGH3 genes was not markedly changed after ABA treatment. The expression of MgGH3-3, MgGH3-7, and MgGH3-12 in the leaves and of MgGH3-2 and MgGH3-15 in the roots rose two-fold compared with the control, suggesting that all of these genes are involved in the ABA signaling pathway (Figure 5). SA and JA are known to play key roles in plant defense, and SA- and JA-dependent defense pathways exhibit crosstalk with each other [37-39]. Interestingly, the expression pattern of MgGH3 genes was similar under SA and JA treatment, which suggests that MgGH3s might participate in the crosstalk between SA- and JA-dependent defense pathways (Figure 5). In rice, wild-type seedlings subjected to various abiotic stresses showed a dramatic increase in the transcription of OsGH3-1, OsGH3-8, and OsGH3-13 compared with control seedlings [6,40]. In Arabidopsis, WES1 (AtGH3/GH3.5) was strongly induced by ABA and SA treatment and pathogen infections [8]. In sorghum, SbGH3-1, 2, 4, 5, 12, and 13 were markedly induced in leaves upon salt and drought stress treatments [19]. Previous studies showed that GH3 genes were regulated by various phytohormones...
and biotic/abiotic stresses. Our analysis of microarray data from Arabidopsis revealed that AtGH3-3 and AtGH3-4 were induced by most phytohormone and abiotic stress treatments (Additional file 5). In this study, some MdGH3s were markedly induced in response to various phytohormones and biotic/abiotic stress treatments, particularly MdGH3-5, MdGH3-6, MdGH3-7, and MdGH3-8 (Figure 5). Interestingly, our results showed that MdGH3-5, MdGH3-6, MdGH3-7, MdGH3-8, AtGH3-3, and AtGH3-4 were close evolutionary relatives (Figure 3). Taken together, these findings suggest that the MdGH3 gene family participates in the stress adaptation response, and that MdGH3-5, MdGH3-6, MdGH3-7, and MdGH3-8 may play important roles in this response.

ABA, SA, salt, and cold treatments suppress the auxin response

A comprehensive study of the effects of SA on auxin signaling based on the Affymetrix ATH1 Gene-Chip for Arabidopsis thaliana showed that SA causes the global repression of auxin-related genes, including the TIR1 receptor gene, resulting in the stabilization of Aux/IAA repressor proteins and the inhibition of auxin responses [41]. An R2R3-type MYB transcription factor, MYB96, regulates the drought stress response by integrating ABA and auxin signals. The MYB96-mediated ABA signals are integrated into an auxin signaling pathway that involves a subset of GH3 genes encoding auxin-conjugating enzymes [42]. Previous studies indicated that SA and ABA have a negative impact on auxin responses. In addition, the GH3 gene family can be regulated by phytohormones and biotic/abiotic stress, which act to regulate the auxin pool, effectively modulating auxin responses. We proposed that SA and ABA treatments induce GH3 expression, which in return reduces the endogenous auxin level. We used DR5::GUS, an important tool for localizing regions of auxin responsiveness and/or auxin levels, to test this hypothesis. We detected the effect of various plant hormones and abiotic stress factors on the activity of this reporter in Arabidopsis seedlings. ABA, SA, salt, and cold treatments significantly inhibited the auxin-mediated expression of this reporter, confirming that endogenous auxin levels could indeed be suppressed by these treatments (Figures 6 and 7).

Conclusion

We performed a genome-wide analysis of the GH3 gene family in apple, conducted a phylogenetic analysis of the corresponding proteins, and examined their expression profiles in response to phytohormone and abiotic stress treatment. Some MdGH3 genes were markedly upregulated upon treatment with various phytohormones and biotic/abiotic stresses, especially MdGH3-5, MdGH3-6, MdGH3-7, and MdGH3-8, which were strongly induced in leaves following IAA, drought, cold, and salt treatment (Figure 5). ABA, SA, salt, and cold treatments caused a sharp decline in IAA concentration (Figures 6 and 7). Given that GH3 functions in the negative feedback regulation of IAA concentration, we conclude that other phytohormones and abiotic stress factors alter the endogenous distribution of auxin, and that the GH3 gene family plays an important role in this process by maintaining auxin homeostasis.

Methods

Identification of GH3 proteins in the M. domestica genome

The genome annotations of M. domestica were downloaded from the Genome Database for Rosaceae (http://www.rosaceae.org/node/476). GH3 proteins were identified by Hidden Markov Model (HMM) searches of sequences in the downloaded peptidic FASTA file using the HMMER 3.0 (28 March 2010) program [43] with default parameters. Any sequence that matched the GH3 (PF03321) domain was considered a candidate GH3 protein during the first round. Then, the results were submitted to the Pfam database to confirm that the candidate sequences were apple GH3 proteins. Similarity searches were performed using the BLASTp program at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/) to confirm the predictions.

Sequence analysis and chromosomal mapping

The sequence identities were analyzed by pairwise comparisons using the DNAStar MegAlign 5.01 package. The number and position of exons and introns were determined by comparing the coding sequences (CDSs) with their corresponding genomic DNA sequences, and a map of the gene structure was generated using a gene structure display server [44]. The chromosomal position of each gene was retrieved from the position of the genes stored in the GFF file of the apple genome. Information regarding the segmental duplication regions in the apple genome was retrieved using the SyMAP database [45]. Then, genes and segmental duplication regions were mapped to the apple chromosomes using the Circos tool [46]. Multiple sequence alignments were performed using ClustalW [47]. Phylogenetic analysis was carried out by the neighbor-joining method using MEGA 5 software [48].

MdGH3 promoters, EST detection, and AtGH3 expression analysis

By comparing the CDSs with their corresponding genomic DNA sequences, regions approximately 2,000 bp upstream of the start codon were extracted from the genomic DNA sequences and were designated as promoter sequences.
Cis-acting regulatory DNA elements on both strands of the promoter sequences were scanned using the PLACE webserver (http://www.dna.aaffrc.go.jp/PLACE/). BLASTn was used to perform a search for EST and cDNA sequences against the *M. domestica* EST database (324847 records) using the NCBI webserver (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Only hits of the BLASTn search for *MdGH3* showing a bit score of at least 500 were considered to be significant. *AtGH3s* were downloaded from The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR; http://arabidopsis.org). Based on Arabidopsis microarray data from public repositories such as ArrayExpress [49] and GEO [50], we determined the expression patterns of *AtGH3s* under phytohormone and biotic/abiotic stress using the gene expression search engine of Genevestigator [51] (http://www.genevestigator.ethz.ch/).

**Plant materials, growth conditions, and treatments**

*Arabidopsis thaliana DR5::GUS* [26] and *M. sieversii* plants were used in this study.

The *DR5::GUS* transgenic plant has been described by Ulmasov [26]. Seedlings were surface sterilized with 10% sodium hypochlorite for 15 min and washed five times with sterile water. Sterilized seeds were cold treated for 4 d at 4°C, germinated on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog medium (MS) with 0.8% (w/v) agar and 3% (w/v) sucrose for 15 days, and transferred to Hoagland solution. Seedlings were grown at 22°C under long-day conditions (16 h light, 8 h darkness). Uniformly developed seedlings from the liquid culture were treated with 1 μM IAA + 100 μM NaCl. For low-temperature treatment, plants with heights ranging from 25 to 30 cm were selected for treatment. Uniformly developed seedlings from the liquid culture were treated with 100 μM IAA, 100 μM ABA, 50 μM ABA, or 10 μM IAA + 100 μM ABA; 10 μM IAA + 100 μM SA; 10 μM IAA + 200 μM SA; 10 μM IAA + 50 μM SA, or 10 μM IAA + 1000 μM SA; 10 μM IAA + 10 μM MeJA, 10 μM IAA + 20 μM MeJA, 50 μM IAA + 10 μM MeJA, or 10 μM IAA + 100 μM MeJA; or 10 μM IAA + 10 mM NaCl, 10 μM IAA + 20 mM NaCl, 10 μM IAA + 50 mM NaCl, or 10 μM IAA + 100 mM NaCl. For low-temperature treatment, seedlings of *DR5::GUS* incubated with 10 μM IAA were transferred to a growth chamber set at 4°C under long-day conditions.

Micropropagated *M. sieversii* plants were pre-cultured in 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution for 15 days and then transferred to full-strength Hoagland solution [52]. Plants with heights ranging from 25 to 30 cm were selected for treatments. Uniformly developed seedlings from the liquid culture were treated with 100 μM IAA, 100 μM ABA, 50 μM SA, or 500 μM JA for 3 h, with 150 mM NaCl for 12 h, or at 4°C for 12 h, respectively. Hormones were directly sprayed on the leaf, while NaCl was added to the Hoagland nutrient solution. For drought treatment, seedlings were exposed to air for 12 h. All seedlings were grown at 25°C under a photoperiod of 16 h light/8 h dark, except for those grown at low temperatures.

**RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis**

Total RNA was extracted using the cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method [53]. Genomic DNA was removed from total RNA using RNase-free DNase I (TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan). cDNA was synthesized using an M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and the Oligo(dT) primers and random primers were used in the reverse-transcription reactions. PCR primer pairs were designed using PREMIER Primer 5 software, and evaluated using DNAMAN V6 software (see Additional file 1). Primer sequences were evaluated using the BLAST program to ensure that the primers would allow amplification of unique and appropriate cDNA segments. All real-time PCR assays generated a single band of the expected size, and therefore accurately represented the expression of the queried gene. Melting curve analysis indicated that all the primers generated a single peak. qRT-PCR was performed in the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA), using the UltraSYBR Mixture (CW BIO, Beijing, China). PCR amplification conditions for qRT-PCR were 95°C for 10 min, one cycle; and 94°C for 10 s, 60°C for 31 s, 45 cycles. The apple *HistoneH3* gene was selected as an internal standard in the analysis. The relative RNA level of each gene was calculated according to the 2−ΔΔCT method [54]. Each cDNA sample was quantified in triplicate. The data were visualized with the R programming language [55].

**RT-PCR amplification, cloning, and sequencing**

Total RNA was extracted using the cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method [53] from leaves of *M. sieversii*. cDNA was synthesized using the M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The primer information is given in Additional file 1. RT-PCR amplification conditions were empirically optimized. The PCR products were cloned into the pMD18-T simple vector (TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The ligated vector DNAs were transformed into *Escherichia coli* DH5α, transformants were plated on LB plates containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin, and isolated plasmid fragments were then sequenced.

**Histochemical analysis of GUS activity**

*DR5::GUS* seedlings were incubated with GUS staining solution [56] (1 mM of X-Glu, Gold Biotechnology, St. Louis, Missouri, USA; 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.5), 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.5 mM potassium ferricyanide, and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100) overnight at 37°C. Samples were washed in a graded ethanol series to extract chlorophyll after GUS staining. Images were taken with an OLYMPUS SZX16-DP72 stereo fluorescence microscope.
Quantitative analysis of GUS activity
After growth in Hoagland solution for 10 days, DR5::GUS seedlings were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total soluble protein was isolated in GUS extraction buffer [56]. The GUS activity of the supernatant was determined using 4-MUG (4-methylumbelliferone glucuronide) as a substrate. The fluorescence of the GUS-catalyzed hydrolysis reaction product, 4-methylumbelliferone (4-MU), was measured with the TECAN GENios system. Protein concentrations in the supernatant were determined by the Bradford method (1976), using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard. GUS activity was expressed as nmol MUG/min/mg protein. Means ± standard errors (SEs) of three replicates were calculated.
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