The Role of Progesterone Receptors in Breast Cancer
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Abstract: The progesterone receptor (PR) modulates estrogen receptors α (ERα) action in breast cancer; it is an upregulated target gene of ER, and its expression is dependent on estrogen. PR is also a valuable prognostic biomarker in breast cancer, especially in hormone-positive breast cancer. High expression of PR is more frequently observed in tumors with a better baseline prognosis (ie, luminal A) than tumors with a poor baseline prognosis (ie, luminal B). In the following review, we present the role of PR in breast cancer, including the genomic characteristics and pathways in breast cancer, PR and endocrine therapy.
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Background
Steroid hormones and their receptors play multiple roles in the regulation of biological functions, including sex organ development, pregnancy, bone density, cholesterol mobilization, brain function, cardiovascular system, and more.1–5 They play an important role in breast cancer development and progression. Almost 70% of breast cancers are hormone receptor-positive.6 Their cells have positive expression of ER and/or PR, which are related to cancer cell growth and spread. Estrogen and its receptor, ER, play a critical role in the development and progression of breast cancer.7 PR is an upregulated target gene of ER, its expression is dependent on estrogen, and PR can modulate ER action.8 PR is also a valuable prognostic biomarker of overall survival or disease-free survival (DFS) in breast cancer. In this review, we will concentrate on the role of PR in breast cancer subtype, prognosis, treatment and endocrine therapy.

PR Signaling
Progesterone is a 21-carbon steroid hormone that is involved in the female menstrual cycle, pregnancy and embryogenesis of humans and other species by binding to progesterone receptors.9 Studies in a breast mouse model, normal human breast culture, and clinical studies have indicated that estrogen and progesterone are the major proliferative steroid hormones in the mammary epithelium that signal mammary gland development. Estradiol and epithelial ERα signaling are necessary for ductal elongation during early puberty, and progesterone/PR is not necessary for this stage. PR signaling by progesterone is required in the epithelial compartment for ductal elongation and side branching with increased estrogen levels.10–12 In early pregnancy, PR signaling can stimulate massive expansion of the epithelial compartment. Progesterone is required for alveolar differentiation in mid- to late pregnancy. At term, progesterone switches to inhibit terminal differentiation and must be removed for lactation.13

PR Genomic Characters
PR is a member of the steroid nuclear receptor family of ligand-dependent transcription factors. PR consists of the central portion of the DNA binding domain (DBD), C-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD) and amino-terminal domain composed of intrinsically disordered protein.14 PR has two transcriptional activation domains or functions (AFs) that provide interaction...
surfaces for coregulatory proteins: AF1 is located in the N-terminal domain (NTD) and AF2 is located in the LBD. The activated PR recruits diverse functional domains and enzyme activities to the promoter to achieve efficient transcriptional regulation in vivo through interaction with coactivators or corepressors, such as steroid receptor co-activator-1 (SRC-1), SRC-2 and SRC-3, cyclic AMP response element-binding protein (CBP/p300) and others.\textsuperscript{15} They will bind the progesterone response element (PRE) of PR and initiate the transcription of target genes. In addition, growth factor-regulated nuclear transcription factors may synergize with agonist-occupied PRs to control the activity of key genes involved in breast cell fate inside the nucleus.\textsuperscript{16} Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) appears to be directly involved in the step of recognize and destroy developing tumors,\textsuperscript{17} PR can downregulate the STAT1 mediated interferon-alpha signal to escape innate immune surveillance by phosphorylation of STAT1.\textsuperscript{18} PR exists as two predominant isoforms, PR-A and PR-B, which are transcribed from the same gene located on 11q22-q23 by two distinct promoters and exhibit different transcriptional and biological activities as ligand-activated transcription factors.\textsuperscript{19,20} PR-B is a full-length receptor; PR-A is a truncated form of PR-B lacking 164 amino acids at the N-terminus of the protein. Under progestin-independent condition, PRA is a more active isoform which is compared to PRB,\textsuperscript{21} whereas PR-A is predominantly localized in the nucleus and fails to mediate direct transcriptional events or rapid cytoplasmic changes.\textsuperscript{22–25} Previous research on artificial progesterone-responsive reporter plasmids controlled by the canonical PRE indicated that PR-B is the predominant mediator of progesterone-induced transcriptional activity; however, PR-A has minimal transcriptional activity at the exogenous PRE.\textsuperscript{26,27} PR-A can inhibit the activity of PR-B on ER-chromatin binding activity.\textsuperscript{8} The two isoforms are usually co-expressed at similar levels in normal breast, just at 1:1 ratio. In atypical lesions, there was a significant increase in predominant expression of PRA or PRB, with lesion progression from the normal state to malignancy.\textsuperscript{28} PR isoform predominance, generally PRA over its counterpart in a high proportion of ductal carcinomas in situ and invasive breast lesions.\textsuperscript{20,28–31} Meanwhile, the ratio of PR-A/PR-B can affect the ability of progesterone to impact gene expression in reproductive tissue and the outcome of breast cancer treatment.\textsuperscript{30,32–34}

A serious difference on the viewpoint of PR-A/PR-B in breast cancer prognosis. Some research indicated that high ratio of PR-A/PR-B associated with worse prognosis and recurrence after tamoxifen,\textsuperscript{30} yet some research concluded higher PR-A/PR-B related with biomarkers of better prognosis and a luminal A phenotype.\textsuperscript{34} PR-A knock-out mice had uterine and ovarian abnormalities with no effect on the mammary gland with female infertility; while PR-B KO mice had reduced mammary ductal morphogenesis with no effect on the uterus or ovaries.\textsuperscript{35,36} Receptor activator of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) ligand (RANKL) is a paracrine mediator of PR-B during the latter stage of breast development, side branching, and alveologenesis.\textsuperscript{36} Progesterone and PR can induce intrinsic proliferation of PR-negative luminal epithelial cells of the breast by autocrine activation via the RANKL pathway and activation of the downstream target Cyclin D1 (CCND1).\textsuperscript{37} Previous studies reported that, compared with progesterone, many natural and synthetic steroid derivatives are nonendogenous ligands and have higher relative binding affinity to progesterone receptors.\textsuperscript{36–43} However, progesterone is the endogenous ligand for PR, but in the references, where there was one-to-one correspondence between hPRs and ligands, and their flexible docking, they found that PR-A or PR-B monomer had higher affinity with estradiol and estrone than progesterone, more particularly to PR-A alone.\textsuperscript{44} The isoform of PR adds the complexity to the study of PR in normal breast and breast cancer.

PR undergoes post-translational modifications, which include acetylation, ubiquitination, methylation, phosphorylation and SUMOylation.\textsuperscript{45} p300 can acetylates Lys-183 of PR for the enhancement of PR-dependent initiation and reintitiation process through accelerated binding of its direct target genes without affecting PR tethering on other transcription factors in response to cellular cues.\textsuperscript{46,47} Additionally, increases of Lys183 acetylation or inhibition of deacetylation can enhance Ser294 phosphorylation levels and PR activity. Ser294 phosphorylation can also suppress SUMOylation of PR.\textsuperscript{48} Site-specific PR phosphorylation is an important context-dependent mechanism for PR regulation. PR contains more than 10 phosphorylation sites (Ser/Thr) in NTD or hinge region. Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2), PKA or CK2 can phosphate PR at specific site. MAPK can phosphate Ser294 and Ser345 of PR.\textsuperscript{49,50} CDK2 phosphates PR Ser190, Ser 294, Ser 554 and Ser 676 to activate PR hormone-dependent transcription.\textsuperscript{51} RANKL pathway related with PR S191 phosphorylation.\textsuperscript{52,53} Malbeteau et al indicated that the type I protein arginine methyltransferase 1 (PRMT1) can methylate PR at the arginine 637 and stable of the receptor, thereby accelerating its recycling and finally its transcriptional activity.\textsuperscript{54} PRMT1 can also methylate ERα at Arg260,\textsuperscript{55} it is involved in the ERα/PR cross talk. PRMT1 is recruited on PR-associated chromatin regions of some progesterin-regulated genes.\textsuperscript{54}
PR Role in Normal Breast

Estrogen receptor (ER) and PR were positive in approximately 15–30% of luminal epithelial cells, but not elsewhere in the breast. Dual-label immunofluorescence techniques indicated that all cells expressing PR also contained ERα. In Robert B. Clarke et al study, double labeling using antibodies to ER and then to PgR indicated that 96% of steroid receptor-positive cells can synthesize both ER and PR. PR is an estrogen-regulated gene, and its synthesis in normal and cancer cells requires estrogen and ER. Considerable heterogeneity and cyclical variation exist in nuclear hormone receptor activity among lobules. During the menstrual cycle, peak ER values were observed during the proliferative phase (days 3–8), and the second peak ER was observed in the luteal phase (days 25 and 26). The first PR peak is days 13–14, and the second peak occurred in the luteal phase (days 21–23). We will discuss their expression in breast cancer in the next section. The menstrual cycling of ER and PR occurs in the normal mammary gland, not in some breast carcinomas. Owing to the specificity of this research, our knowledge of the roles of ER and PR in the breast is derived primarily from a mouse model, offering insight into the biology of human breast development. ER is required at an earlier stage to induce ductal elongation; thus, PR is required for lobuloalveolar development in mice. In the adult mouse mammary gland, PR is expressed in only a fraction of the luminal epithelial cells, while the basal epithelium is PR-negative, and progesterone can act on a subset of mammary epithelial cells to allow for alveolar growth by a paracrine mechanism. Progesterone upregulates RANKL expression by binding to its receptor in PR-positive breast luminal cells. Then, RANKL binds to RANK expressed on the surface of neighboring PR-negative luminal cells or basal cells, activating downstream pathways of cell proliferation, expansion, and survival. Progesterone can induce adjacent PR-positive cell proliferation by a cell-autonomous, CCND1-dependent mechanism. Progesterone also elicits the proliferation of PR-negative luminal epithelial cells by a paracrine mechanism of the receptor activator nuclear factor-kB and nuclear factor-kB (RANK-NFκB) signaling pathway. Figure 1. The paracrine mediators of progesterone-mediated proliferation include Wnt Family Member 4 (Wnt4), RANKL, amphiregulin, calcitonin and the chemokine C-X-C ligand

**Figure 1** PR/RANKL signal in human breast. Progesterone can increase RANKL in PR positive luminal breast epithelial cells mainly through inducing the transcription or stabilization of RANKL mRNA. Then the paracrine of RANKL induce the proliferation neighboring PR negative luminal cells by activating NF-κB and cyclin D1 pathways. Activated RANKL is also involved in the process of myoepithelium to mammary stem cell and epithelial expansion. PR can mediate CXCL12-CXCR4 and Wnt Pathway within luminal and basal compartment.
PR mediated epithelial-myoepithelial cell interactions by a paracrine mechanism. Progesterone can drive mammary gland development by expansion of the mammary stem cell population, and PR can also regulate this pathway. Alveolar development during pregnancy is completely absent in the absence of PR.

PR Pathway in Breast Cancer
A hallmark of precancerous lesions and mammary tumors is an increase in the proportion of proliferating ER/PR-positive cells during breast carcinogenesis and then a switch from a paracrine to an autocrine mode of regulation by steroid hormones. Cells expressing both ERα and the Ki67 proliferation-associated antigen increased during breast tumorigenesis. Is it the essential step from hyperplasia to ductal carcinoma in situ or invasive breast cancer? Is it the essential process for hormone-negative breast carcinogenesis? Previous research indicated that breast cancer with the harmful breast cancer 1 (BRCA1) variant is more likely to be hormone receptor negative, and BRCA1-driven triple-negative breast cancer has been shown to arise from luminal progenitors. BRCA1 can inhibit PR transcriptional activity by ubiquitination, leading to PR degradation and epigenetic silencing of target promoters. A previous study indicated that greater exposure to progesterone increases breast cancer risk and leads to the development of hormone receptor-negative tumors in addition to those that are hormone receptor positive. Postmenopausal women with higher circulating progesterone levels experience a 16% increased risk of breast cancer.

In most target tissues, PR is a direct estrogen-inducing gene, which can synergize with or antagonize ER to affect downstream biological processes. In the promoter interference assays, PR mainly inhibits ER transcription activity through PR-a. In a previous study, progesterone eliminated estrogen-induced tumor growth and was added together with tamoxifen, possibly partly due to the movement of ER from the mitotic site to the apoptosis and cell death genes controlled by PR. And progesterone may not only directly interfere with ER transcription but also modulate Pol iii mediated transcription and downstream translation to regulate overall tumor growth. The hormone medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) can accelerate the susceptibility of epithelial cells to mammary tumors via the receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB and its ligand (RANKL/RANK) pathway. Progesterone binds to PR in luminal cells, leading to an increase in RANKL protein mainly through stabilization of its mRNA. Then, RANKL further upregulates its receptor RANK to induce proliferation or differentiation. The inhibition of RANKL directly resulted in the reduction of tumorigenesis on hormone-induced mammary epithelium at early stages. Transgenic mice using mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV)/RANK fusion gene expression showed markedly enhanced susceptibility to mammary tumors compared with wild-type mice. The MMTV-Cre/rankfloxed/Δ mouse model, lacking RANK in the mammary epithelia, shows reduced mammary tumorigenesis. The PR-RANK-RANKL pathway is responsible for the major proliferative response of mouse mammary epithelium to progesterone.

PR in Subtype of Breast Cancer
The seminal work of Perou et al initially identified molecular portraits of breast cancer based upon gene expression profiling of 65 surgical specimens of human breast tissue from 42 individuals using complementary DNA (cDNA) microarrays representing 8102 genes. Breast cancer subtypes that expressed genes characteristic of luminal epithelial cells, including the ER and PR, and those that were negative for these genes were identified. Luminal-B breast cancer has been defined as ER-positive breast cancer with increased proliferation in many studies. The profiles and algorithm 50 (PAM50) assay provide semiquantitative scoring of the PR protein, but not ER protein, and might help discriminate the genomically defined luminal A from B subtypes. PR is an important prognostic marker in breast cancer, and high expression of PR is more frequently observed in tumors with a better baseline prognosis (ie, luminal A) than in tumors with a poor baseline prognosis (ie, luminal B). The study by Rachel Schiff found that ER+/PR- tumors, as defined by gene expression profiles, share gene expression patterns with both ER+/PR+ and ER-/PR--; they bear resemblance to the luminal B subset initially defined by Perou and have poorer prognosis. The proposed immunohistochemistry (IHC)-based definition of luminal A tumors is hydrolysis rates (HR) positive/HER2 negative/Ki-67 less than 14% and PR more than 20%. PR expression cannot predict the benefit of endocrine efficacy in ER-positive breast cancer in clinical trials, possibly because the gene expression profile is not equal to the clinical IHC-based ER/PR status and is closely...
related. There are no data assessing the difference in endocrine efficacy in ER+/PR- breast cancer based on gene signatures or clinical IHC.

**PR and Endocrine Therapy**

Breast cancer is heterogeneous and encompasses multiple biologically distinct entities with specific biological behaviors and pathologic features. Breast cancer cells with ER and/or PR depend on estrogen and/or progesterone to grow. ER and/or PR expression is a crucial determinant for patients to be able to benefit from endocrine therapy targeting estrogen action, such as selective ER modulators (tamoxifen), aromatase inhibitors (letrozole, anastrozole, exemestane), and ER downregulators (fulvestrant). Endocrine therapy is generally well tolerated and an easy-to-administer option for hormone-positive breast cancer patients. This therapy is hampered by a greater treatment expectation and is a major clinical obstacle to the treatment of hormone-positive breast cancer. Adjuvant endocrine therapy can be started either before or after surgery and is usually taken for 5–10 years. The aim of this therapy is to keep cancer from returning. The response to endocrine therapy is confounded in that it may be influenced by the response to the therapy, as well as by the occult advancement of disease and the inherent aggressiveness of the cancer. PR expression is upregulated by ER, and ER-positive/PR-positive breast cancer is common. In a study on SEER data with 666,852 hormone receptor-positive breast cancer, 82.9% were ER-positive/PR-positive, 15.0% were ER-positive/PR-negative, and 2.0% were ER-negative/PR-positive. It was thought that the absence of PR reflected a nonfunctional ER pathway and was less responsive to selective ER modulators (SERMs), such as tamoxifen. A cohort study indicated that ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer had worse breast cancer-specific survival than ER-positive/PR-positive subtype breast cancer. This cancer occurs more frequently in elderly, postmenopausal women. ER-positive/PR-positive breast cancers respond better to SERMs than ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer and have better breast cancer-specific survival. As assessed by gene expression profiling, ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer had increased DNA copy number alterations and upregulated PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway activity. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha (PI3KCA) mutation often correlated with phosphoinositide-3-kinase/protein kinase B/mammalian Target of Rapamycin (PI3K/Akt/mTOR) pathway activation, and previous research indicated higher PI3KCA mutation in PR-positive tumors than in PR-negative tumors despite ER expression. No research has indicated whether ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer is related to PI3KCA mutations. In Early Breast Cancer Trialists’ Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) overview analysis of a study between 1980 and 1985, PR-positive and PR-negative patients showed similar benefits from tamoxifen (RR 0.81; CI 0.65–1.02 and 0.70; CI 0.49–0.99, respectively) in ER-positive breast cancer patients.

In ER-positive breast cancer, how about PR role in therapy? The retrospective subgroup study on Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin (ATAC) indicated that the time to recurrence was longer for anastrozole- than tamoxifen-treated patients in ER-positive breast cancer, but the differential benefit was greater in the ER-positive/PR-negative subgroup. PR-negative ER-positive breast cancer has a worse prognosis and is associated with endocrine resistance. PR-negative breast cancer consistently responds less well to endocrine therapy than PR-positive breast cancer, although some of them do benefit. In the clinic, anti-estrogen therapy alone is avoided for ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer. Low or absent PR expression may be a predictive benefit of adding chemotherapy in pre- and perimenopausal ER-positive patients.

In clinical work, PR negativity in ER-positive breast cancer is one of the high recurrence risks. How about the potential benefit of escalating endocrine therapy in ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer? Based on the results from the Suppression of Ovarian Function Trial (SOFT) and Tamoxifen and Exemestane Trial (TEXT), HR-positive/HER2-negative premenopausal breast cancer with high recurrence risk may experience 10–15% absolute improvement in 8-year freedom from distant recurrence with escalating endocrine therapy. In the subgroups of PR expression 20–49%, distant recurrence–free interval (DRFI) favors exemestane plus OFS more than tamoxifen plus OFS, HR=0.43. In the PR <20% and >50% subgroups, DRFI had the same trend, with HRs of 0.59 and 0.75, respectively. It seems to contradict the previous statement. Despite positive ER, PR loss prompts the development of endocrine resistance. Therefore, escalating endocrine therapy or switching to alternative endocrine agents may overcome endocrine resistance. A pooled analysis indicated that the addition of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor (CDKI) to endocrine therapy seemed to benefit all clinicopathological subgroups in hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, advanced or metastatic breast cancer,
regardless of PR expression. Potential benefits from escalating endocrine therapy in ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer need to be evaluated by prospective research.

Another unique group is ER-negative/PR-positive breast cancer, and some researchers have considered that it results from inadequate tissue fixation or technical failure of immunohistochemical assays in ER-positive/PR-positive breast cancer. ER-negative/PR-positive breast cancer has decreased with the optimization of immunohistochemical techniques. With the development of molecular biology and immunohistochemistry technology, increasing evidence supports the biological entity of the ER-negative/PR-positive subtype, which represents only a very small proportion of all breast cancers with unique characteristics. They were found more frequently in younger patients (<49 years), had worse outcomes than ER-positive/PR-positive or ER-positive/PR-negative breast cancer, and probably had a better prognosis than ER-negative/PR-negative breast cancer. The 31% ER-negative/PR-positive group had Receptor Tyrosine Kinase 2-positive (ERBB2-positive) tumors. There was no difference between ER-negative/PR-positive and ER-negative/PR-negative subtypes with respect to ERBB2 status (25.3% vs 29.5%).

Conclusion
PR is an important steroid hormone receptor in normal mammary gland development, breast carcinogenesis, and advancement. PR is also a biomarker used routinely at diagnosis to characterize breast cancer. PR participated in molecular subtyping and played a determining factor in treatment decisions. However, its complicated roles in different situations need to be elucidated. In the clinic, there is a higher endocrine response in PR-positive breast cancer than in PR-negative breast cancer. It has limited practical utility as a predictive marker for the response to endocrine therapy. However, we still think PR is a very important and interesting marker in breast cancer. PR offers useful clues. How can we make full use of PR? How can we experience and understand the role of PR in practical problems in the clinic? For the treatment of ER-positive/PR-negative or ER-negative/PR-positive breast cancer, escalate or deescalate endocrine therapy? Many questions remain, and more research is needed.
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