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Abstract: The current education ecosystem is moving towards centralized online blended learning. Online learning repositories have replaced traditional libraries. Learning repositories contain learning materials, which can be located with the help of associated metadata. Associating metadata to the content (definition, program, example, figure, and table) of individual learning concept (topic) from the learning material also leads to a better search. If a student knows the prerequisites of the topic s/he wants to learn then the study of current topic would be more fruitful. The prerequisites of a computer science topic can be obtained from its explanation and the programming code snippet used for its implementation. This paper proposes a metadata “code construct as a prerequisite of a code snippet”. For example “recursion and function call are prerequisite to understand recursive module of binary tree traversal”. It also proposes the framework to automatically identify, extract and present the code constructs used in code snippets included in a computer science learning material. Thus obtained list of code constructs act as prerequisites for understanding the corresponding code snippet. Rule-based pattern mining approach is used for the identification of code snippet in the learning material and identification of code constructs in the code snippet. A pattern set is designed for the same. Natural language tool kit of python is used to identify the code snippet. The algorithms are tested on the programs of C, C++, and Java. Accuracy and efficiency of the developed algorithms is checked against the manual results given by subject experts. An average F1 score of 92% is obtained.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Importance of Automatic Identification and Extraction of Programming Code Constructs

If a student wants to learn a concept, it is important for him/her to get knowledge of its prerequisites. For example, if a student wants to implement a logic of ‘traversing a binary tree’ the he/she must be aware of recursion, stack, function definition, function call, array declaration, loop structure, if-then-else structure. This can also help in creating the prerequisite path for the student. For example, the prerequisite map of ‘recursion’ can be “control structure -> loop structure -> defining and accessing an array -> stack -> recursion”. A tool is required which automatically generates the prerequisite map. This paper proposes a pattern based text mining approach for extracting the prerequisites from the learning content available in university repositories. The prerequisite path has multiple nodes with neighbors of each node as its prerequisite (left node) and subsequent (right node). For example, consider a topic “pre-order traversal” of “data structures”. In order to identify its prerequisites one should look at places where it is been defined, explained and implemented in a specific programming language. Automatic extraction of prerequisites from the textual content of a learning material is already done by the authors [7] as displayed in figure 1.

![Prerequisites of “pre-order traversal”](image)

**Table I. Sample set of code constructs used in programming languages**

| Function call | Control structure | Loopping structure | Recursion |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Inline function | Friend Function | Polymorphism | Operator overloading |
| Function overloading | GOTO statements | Comments | Array |
| Structure | Union | Class | Inheritance |
| Linked List | Switch-case | Parameter passing (By Reference/value) | Function definition |
| Include/define directives | Pointers | |

**Fig.1. Prerequisites of “pre-order traversal” [7]**
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In this paper the authors propose the work done by them in automatic extraction of prerequisites from the code snippet which implements the given topic. In computer science domain, programming language code constructs also serve as the programming perquisites for the specified topic. For example, it is important to know beforehand the concept of ‘recursion’, ‘functions call’, and ‘if/else’ constructs to understand the code of “traversing a tree using recursion”. Edu-APCCM automatically extracts the code constructs required to understand the related code snippet. Table 1 shows the set of programming constructs that are present in C, C++, and Java programming languages.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

An approach to identify prerequisites is proposed [12] based on the concept that if concept1 occurs in the definition of concept2 then concept1 is the prerequisite of concept2. The sequence of learning goes from lower learning level to upper learning level. The learning level is calculated on basis of three features. They are range in which the topic is covered, number of incoming links from other learning materials and number of outgoing links to other learning materials of the repository. A personalized assessment model is also proposed that is based on the principle of on identification of learning gaps of the students. They intend to reduce these learning gaps as much as possible. The learning gaps are identified by constructing a hierarchical prerequisite map. A tool is proposed [9] to decide the sequence in which documents should be read, with documents having basic (general) concept to be read first followed by the documents covering the advanced (specific) concepts. The documents are multiple Wikipedia pages. Collection of documents is organized in the form of a tree. This aids a learner to choose a reading sequence of the documents. For identifying the prerequisite relations among concepts, a reference distance (RefD) [10] is proposed. It is a link-based metric that measures the relationship among learning concepts. The relations are classified as asymmetry and irreflexivity. Its contribution is a set of 1336 concept pairs in computer science domain and mathematics domain. Statistical methods and machine learning techniques are exploited [2] to identify prerequisite concepts and defined concepts from learning resources available on the web. Formatting features are used as a principal technique for identification of prerequisites and definitions. A model for concept map construction from textbooks is presented [13]. It combines the knowledge from Wikipedia and the way corresponding textbook is structured. The knowledge is gained from the index of the textbooks, where prerequisites appear earlier in the index. A three-level prerequisite path construction approach is proposed [8], where each concept is given weightage. The weights are based on the frequency of learning concept in the learning material. Prerequisite pairs are hence identified. The corresponding map is acyclic. Norm reference technique is used to differentiate between relevant and irrelevant items. Applications of competence-based knowledge space theory in web based online learning are discussed in [11]. Their approach focuses on utilization of prerequisite path for achieving personalization and adaptivity in distance education and web-based online learning. Their work is based on the usage of concept maps and semantic networks for deriving prerequisite concepts. Bayesian network is used to establish prerequisite relations from multiple learning materials. It is implemented by developing a component-based MEDEA architecture [1]. Difficulty of each knowledge unit is also established. A Clique Grow model [6] is proposed to establish all those prerequisite relations which do not follow and are not captured by a specific pattern. These relations are identified based on the transitivity among prerequisite concepts identified by a specific pattern. Versus query logs are used to establish the graph, where nodes correspond to those learning concepts whose attributes can be compared. Machine learning techniques are exploited for annotating (prerequisite or outcome) learning concepts present in the learning material [3]. The annotation is contextual. Methods are proposed to access and score student’s performance. These annotations of learning resources such as web documents lead to a sequenced learning path for study. A prerequisite path is created [4] using machine learning techniques with various learning objects as its nodes. A rule-based approach is used for identification of prerequisites and defined outcomes from a learning material are proposed [5]. This approach requires the knowledge and use of subject domain. The domain is represented in form of ontology.

Existing methods identify prerequisites of a concept from wrongly answered queries, concept definition statement and statements embedding the concept. If the entire article belongs to the concept then all those keywords which are not defined in the article are considered prerequisites.

Automatic extraction of prerequisites from the analysis of code snippet is not yet explored. A survey of students (MCA, M.Sc and M.Tech from Gujarat University) was conducted and it was found that if students knew the code constructs present in a code then they could understand the code easily. The proposed model first extracts all the statements of code snippet pertaining to a given learning concept and then analyses them to classify in any one of the programming code constructs.

III. PROPOSED WORK

A. Automatic Identification of Code Constructs

Figure 2 shows the framework for identification of code constructs. The proposed algorithm is implemented using python natural language processing toolkit. DOCX parser, PdfMiner and BeautifulSoup parsers are used to parse DOC files, pdf files and HTML pages. Raw text, set of images and set of tables are obtained. Output screens are developed using JavaScript.

A.1 Code Snippet Identification

The learning concept to which the code belongs can be found by its presence in the caption of code snippet or 2 lines above and 2 lines below of each code snippet. The presence of a code snippet is identified by continuous presence of language specific words. Each sentence from the code is analyzed for the presence of code construct.
Pattern matching engine looks for the existence of a specific pattern in each sentence. A data set is prepared for the patterns used to identify code constructs. It is prepared by gathering the patterns after an extensive study of programs written in C, C++, and Java languages. Using sentence tokenizer and word tokenizer each word is separated and tested against the patterns of table II and table III.

A.2 Pattern Matching Engine

A.2.1 Algorithmic steps taken to identify various code constructs

Table 2 lists different code constructs to be identified and corresponding rules for identifying their occurrences. Column 1 lists the code constructs to be identified. Column 2 lists corresponding set of core steps to be executed for automatic extraction.

Fig. 2. Proposed Framework of Edu-APCCM

| Code Construct                  | Core Algorithmic Steps                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Function call                   | • Check each code statement                                                             |
|                                 | • Check whether each word is any one word from return_types,                           |
|                                 | • Occurrence of word after all return_type words followed by ‘{’ or ‘<operator>{‘ is  |
|                                 | the function name                                                                      |
| Recursion                       | • extract function name with the help of succeeding ‘(‘ parenthesis                    |
|                                 | • check each statement in code segment                                                 |
|                                 | • before occurrence of ‘}’ if same function name appears then it shows presence of     |
|                                 | recursion                                                                               |
| Function overloading            | Three ways of overloading                                                              |
|                                 | 1. number of parameters                                                                 |
|                                 | 2. type of parameters                                                                   |
|                                 | 3. sequence of parameters                                                               |
|                                 | But in all cases name of function remains the same                                      |
|                                 | Split by function name                                                                  |
|                                 | Identify two function names with same name                                             |
| Parameter passing by references | Split the code statement by function name                                              |
|                                 | After ‘(‘ if the word is from return_type followed by ‘&‘ without space                 |
| Parameters passing by value     | Split the code statement by function name                                              |
|                                 | After ‘(‘ if the word is from return_type followed by space                             |
| Looping structure               | Check each code statement                                                              |
|                                 | Presence of any looping_structure_keyword                                             |
| Friend function                 | Occurrence of ‘friend’ keyword followed by occurrence of a function                   |
|                                 | ‘friend’ keyword                                                                       |
|                                 | Return_type                                                                            |
|                                 | ‘{‘                                                                                  |
| Go to                           | Presence of ‘goto’ statement                                                           |
| Comments in Python,             | Presence of // /* /*                  |
| Java, C, C++                    | # but not ‘#include’ or ‘#define’                                                      |
| Class                           | Presence of ‘class’ keyword                                                           |
| Array                           | Presence of any word from Return_types                                               |
|                                 | Followed by ‘{‘                                                                        |
| Switch-case                     | Presence of ‘switch’ and ‘case’                                                         |
| Struct                          | Presence of ‘struct’ keyword                                                           |
| Union                           | Presence of ‘union’ keyword                                                            |
| Operator overloading            | Check each code statement                                                              |
|                                 | If two statement with same function names followed by same operator                    |
| Inline function                 | If the code statement contains ‘#define’ in C or ‘lambda’ in python                    |
| Polymorphism                    | If the code statement contains ‘extends’                                               |
| Pointer                         | If the code statement starts with ‘struct’ and followed by a word ending with ‘*’.     |

A.2.2 Supportive patterns used for automatic identification of code constructs

Table 3 lists the supportive patterns used to identify the occurrences of return types, looping structures, operators and special words. These patterns help in executing steps to identify code constructs.
Table III. Supportive patterns

| Return_types       | 'int', 'integer', 'float', 'char', 'void', 'double', 'static', 'boolean', 'decimal', 'string', 'point' |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Loop structure    | 'if', 'else', 'for', 'while', 'do'               |
| Operators         | '+', '-', '}', '(', ',', ',', '==', '!=', '==' |
| Special words     | 'struct', 'void', 'bool', 'char', 'return', 'push', 'namespace' |

IV. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

This section includes sample input (table IV) to the algorithm, results (Figure 3) for the sample input and results (table V) for 7 other programs written in JAVA, C and C++. Table VI presents the tabular result analysis.

A. An Example of ‘Java’ program (Sample Input to the proposed algorithm)

Table IV shows a sample input which is a code snippet covering various programming concepts of a java program. Figure 3 gives the output screenshot containing the list of automatically extracted code constructs obtained as a result. Table V shows the experimental results obtained on 7 programs.

Table IV. A sample java code snippet incorporating different programming constructs.

```java
import java.util.*;

class One {
    public void display()
    {
        System.out.println("One");
    }
}

class Two extends One {
    @Override
    public void display() {
        System.out.println("Two");
    }
    public int add(int x, int y) //Parameter Passing
    { return x+y; }
    //Overload
    public double add(double x,double y) {
        return x+y;
    }
    abstract class TwoWheeler {
        public abstract void run();
    }
    class Honda extends TwoWheeler{
        .....
    }
    public class MainClass {
        static int factorial(int n) {
            if (n == 1)
                return 1;
            else
                return(n * factorial(n-1));
        }
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            One a=new One();
            a.display();
            Two b=new Two();
            b.display();
            System.out.println(b.add(4.2));
            System.out.println(b.add(5.,2.)); //polymorphism
            TwoWheeler test = new Honda();
            test.run(); //function call
            int x = 10;
            while( x < 20 ) {
                System.out.print("value of x : " + x );
                x++;
                System.out.print("n");
            }
            if(x > 18)
                System.out.println("above 18 ");
            else
                System.out.println("below 18 ");
            System.out.println("Factorial of 5 is: "+factorial(5));
            int week = 0;
            Scanner sc = new Scanner(System.in);
            week = sc.nextInt();
            String day;
            switch (week) {
                case 1:
                    day = "Sunday";
                    break;
                case 2:....
            }
    }
```

Fig.3. Result output screen displaying automatically identified programming code constructs
Table V. Experimental Results of Proposed algorithms on 7 programs

| Sr. No. | Program Description                                      | Programming Language | No of lines in program | Results (number of automatically extracted code constructs) |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Program to convert infix expression to postfix expression | C                    | 57                     | 11                                                         |
| 2       | Program to insert and element in Binary search tree      | C++                  | 52                     | 15                                                         |
| 3       | Program to delete an element from Heap tree              | Java                 | 35                     | 8                                                          |
| 4       | Program to implement depth first traversal of a directed graph | C                  | 27                     | 6                                                          |
| 5       | Program to sort a list using bubble sort                 | C                    | 25                     | 9                                                          |
| 6       | Program to implement student’s result system             | Java                 | 135                    | 12                                                         |
| 7       | Program to calculate salary of bank employees            | C++                  | 165                    | 14                                                         |

B. RESULT ANALYSIS

Table VI lists the evaluation of the results obtained for 7 programs. The results were given to the subject experts for verification of correctness, completeness and sufficiency. A satisfactory response was obtained. The experimentation and evaluation were then continued with 100 code snippets written in C/C++/Java. Verification against expert generated manual results showed an F1 score of 92%. F1 score measure was used for measuring the accuracy of automatically generated results. The F1 score is calculated as weighted average of the precision and recall. F1 score attains its best value at 1 and worst at 0.

\[ F1 = \frac{2 \times \text{precision} \times \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}} \]

Precision = Total number of correct results identified by the tool / Total number of all results identified by the tool

Recall = Total number of correct results identified by the tool / Total number of results provided by experts

Figure 4 presents the graphical analysis of the results obtained. It plots the precision and recall of the results obtained. X-axis represents the program number out of 100 programs (test data) and Y-axis represents the range (0-1) of precision and recall. Precision is found to be higher that the recall. That means that, the tool is extracting some incorrect code constructs along with the correct code constructs. The incorrect results were found because the tool extracted code constructs from multiline comments as well. Work is under progress to improve the results.

Table VI. Tabular Analysis of Automatically extracted code constructs against expert generated manual results

| Program Description                                      | Number of programming code constructs as suggested by the subject experts | Number of automatically extracted code constructs by the proposed algorithm | Number of correctly extracted code construct as per expert’s opinion | Precision | Recall |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Program to convert infix expression to postfix expression | 11                                                                       | 10                                                                       | 10                                                                  | 0.9091    |        |
| Program to insert and element in Binary search tree      | 15                                                                       | 17                                                                       | 15                                                                  | 0.882     |        |
| Program to delete an element from Heap tree              | 8                                                                        | 7                                                                        | 7                                                                    | 0.875     |        |
| Program to implement depth first traversal of a directed graph | 6                                                                       | 6                                                                        | 6                                                                    | 1         | 1      |
| Program to sort a list using bubble sort                 | 9                                                                        | 9                                                                        | 9                                                                    | 1         | 1      |
| Program to implement student’s result system             | 12                                                                       | 11                                                                       | 10                                                                  | 0.9091    | 0.8333 |
| Program to calculate salary of bank employees            | 14                                                                       | 13                                                                       | 12                                                                  | 0.9231    | 0.8571 |
| Best Case                                               |                                                                          |                                                                          |                                                                      | 1         | 1      |
V. CONCLUSION

This paper introduces the concept of programming code constructs as prerequisites for the code snippet pertaining to the topic specified by the learner. It discusses the methods developed for automatic extraction of code constructs from the code snippets of programs written in C, C++ and Java languages. Code constructs in a code snippet can be found in different forms. The proposed algorithm tries to cover as many forms as possible. A pattern set developed for their identification is presented with corresponding rule set for automatic identification, extraction and manifestation. A sample code with corresponding auto generated output is also presented to show working of the proposed approach. Satisfactory evaluation against expert generated manual results is shown. Work is under progress to improve the F1 score. The authors are also working on inclusion of as many programming languages as possible. The task of identifying code snippet from an image is also in progress.
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