ON THE TRANSFORMATIONS OF A MINKOWSKI \( n \)-SPACE.
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Abstract. In this paper we review the transformations of a Minkowski \( n \)-space. We also prove some new results: we give the normal form of the adjoint abelian or isometric operators of a finite-dimensional real Banach space and also characterize the isometry group of a Minkowski 3-space with property that its unit sphere does not contain ellipse.

1. Introduction

The one hundred old concept of “Minkowski geometry” is one of the most studied topic of the scientific community. To illustrate this fact we note that the query name “Minkowski geometry” gives more than 88000 results in the browser Google Scholar among them 17500 since 2008, respectively. Note that the phrase “Minkowski space” do not distinguish two theories: the theory of normed linear spaces and the theory of linear spaces with indefinite metric. For finite dimensions both also called Minkowski spaces in the literature. It is interesting that these essentially distinct theories of mathematic have similar axiomatic foundations, respectively. The axiomatic building up of the theory of linear spaces with indefinite metric comes from H. Minkowski [26] and the similar system of axioms of normed linear spaces was introduced by Lumer much later in [20]. The first concept widely used in physic this is the mathematical structure of relativity theory and thus it importance is no doubt. The usability of the second one basically in the fact that a large part of the modern functional analysis works in more general spaces as an inner product (or Hilbert) space, and the Lumer-Gils theory of semi-inner product gives a possibility to handling such a space. Of course, in both of these spaces there are a lot of problem can be formulated or can be solved on the langues of geometry.

In recent times, the geometry of finite dimensional, real Banach spaces; see [28] became again an important research field. Strongly related to Banach space theory, it is permanently enriched by new results in applied disciplines. The most examined concepts of it naturally connect to physics, functional analysis, and non-Euclidean geometries.

This paper is an expository paper reviewing the transformations of a Minkowski \( n \)-space. We organize it as follows: First review the results of classical operator theory valid in a Minkowski \( n \)-space (Section 2), then we describe the result of the recent studied geometric algebra of Minkowski geometry. Through the paper completing the known results we prove some new statements.

We mention the results of Theorem 8 and Theorem 13 giving normal forms for the adjoint abelian operators and isometries of a Minkowski \( n \)-space, respectively; and of Theorem 15 which describes the isometry group of a Minkowski 3-space with the property that its unit sphere does not contain ellipse. This latter generalizes the analogous theorem of H. Martini, M. Spirova and K. Strambach proved for non-Euclidean Minkowski plane.

2. Operator theory in Banach space

2.1. Semi-inner product spaces. A generalization of the inner product and the inner product spaces (briefly i.p spaces) was raised by G. Lumer in [20].
Definition 1 (20). The semi-inner-product (s.i.p) on a complex vector space $V$ is a complex function $[x, y]: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:

- **s1:** $[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$,
- **s2:** $[\lambda x, y] = \lambda [x, y]$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,
- **s3:** $[x, x] > 0$ when $x \neq 0$,
- **s4:** $|[x, y]|^2 \leq [x, x][y, y]$.

A vector space $V$ with a s.i.p. is an s.i.p. space.

G. Lumer proved that an s.i.p space is a normed vector space with norm $\|x\| = \sqrt{[x, x]}$ and, on the other hand, that every normed vector space can be represented as an s.i.p. space. In [9] J. R. Giles showed that the following homogeneity property holds:

- **s5:** $[x, \lambda y] = \lambda [x, y]$ for all complex $\lambda$.

This can be imposed, and all normed vector spaces can be represented as s.i.p. spaces with this property. Giles also introduced the concept of continuous s.i.p. space as an s.i.p. space having the additional property

- **s6:** For any unit vectors $x, y \in S$, $\mathbb{R}\{[y, x + \lambda y]\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\{[y, x]\}$ for all real $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

The space is uniformly continuous if the above limit is reached uniformly for all points $x, y$ of the unit sphere $S$.

A characterization of the continuous s.i.p. space is based on the differentiability property of the space.

Definition 2 ([9]). A normed space is Gâteaux differentiable if for all elements $x, y$ of its unit sphere and real values $\lambda$, the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + \lambda y\| - \|x\|}{\lambda}$$

exists. A normed vector space is uniformly Fréchet differentiable if this limit is reached uniformly for the pair $x, y$ of points from the unit sphere.

Giles proved in [9] that

Theorem 1 ([9]). An s.i.p. space is a continuous (uniformly continuous) s.i.p. space if and only if the norm is Gâteaux (uniformly Fréchet) differentiable.

In the paper [11] a stronger condition on differentiability of the s.i.p. space used. Therefore it was defined the differentiable s.i.p. as follows:

Definition 3. A differentiable s.i.p. space is an continuous s.i.p. space where the s.i.p. has the additional property: **s6’:** For every three vectors $x, y, z$ and real $\lambda$

$$[x, y]'_\lambda(y) := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{R}\{[x, y + \lambda z]\} - \mathbb{R}\{[x, y]\}}{\lambda}$$

does exist. We say that the s.i.p. space is continuously differentiable, if the above limit, as a function of $y$, is continuous.

The equality $\mathbb{R}\{[x, y]\} = \mathbb{R}\{-ix, y]\}$ together with the above property guarantees the existence and continuity of the complex limit:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{[x, y + \lambda z] - [x, y]}{\lambda}.$$ 

Analogously to the theorem of Giles (see Theorem 3 in [9]) it can be combined this definition with the differentiability properties of the norm function generated by the s.i.p.:

Theorem 2 ([13]). An s.i.p. space is a (continuously) differentiable s.i.p. space if and only if the norm is two times (continuously) Gâteaux differentiable.
Giles proved a variation of the Riesz representation theorem:

**Theorem 3** (Generalized Riesz-Fischer representation theorem, [9]). Let $V$ be a smooth, uniformly convex Banach space. If $f$ is a continuous linear functional on $V$ then there is a unique vector $y \in V$ such that $f(x) = [x, y]$ for all $x \in V$. Hence $[x, y] = [x, z]$ for all $x \in V$ if and only if $y = z$.

2.2. **Self-adjoint operators.** Without using the concept of semi inner product it can be said about the "self-adjoint" property of a linear operator of a real normed space on the basis of the concept of norm derivative (see in [11, 61] or in [31]). If $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a real normed space the functions

$$
\rho_\pm'(x, y) := \lim_{t \to \pm 0} \frac{\|x + ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{2t} = \|x\| \cdot \lim_{t \to \pm 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}
$$

are called norm derivatives. Their properties are

- $\forall x, y \in V \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad \rho_\pm'(x, \alpha x + y) = \alpha \|x\|^2 + \rho_\pm'(x, y)$;
- $\forall x, y \in V \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \rho_\pm'(\alpha x, y) = \alpha \rho_\pm'(x, y) = \rho_\pm'(x, \alpha y)$;
- $\forall x \in V \rho_\pm'(x, x) = \|x\|^2$;
- $\forall x, y \in V \rho_\pm'(x, y) \leq \|x\|\|y\|$;
- $\forall x, y, \gamma \in V \rho_\pm'(x, y, \alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha \rho_\pm'(x, y) + \beta \rho_\pm'(x, z)$;
- $\forall x, y, z \in V \rho_\pm'(x, y, z) \leq \rho_\pm'(x, y) + \rho_\pm'(x, z)$;
- $\rho_\pm'(x, y)$ continuous with respect to the second variable, but not necessarily with respect to its first one;
- if $(V, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a smooth semi inner product space then $[y, x] = \rho_\pm'(x, y) = \rho_\pm'(x, y)$ (implying also that $\rho_\pm'(x, y)$ is linear in its second argument).

Thus the concept of norm derivatives distinct to the concept of the semi inner product in such spaces only which are not smooth. In such a space we can consider the set of smooth points (in the norm is Gateaux differentiable at this point). This set denoted by $D_{sm}(V)$ in this paper. From Mazur’s theorem (see [25]) immediately follows that in a separable real Banach space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ the set $D_{sm}(V)$ is dense. In this context self-adjoint operator means a linear operator $A$ satisfies the property $\forall x, y \in V \quad \rho_\pm'(A(x), y) = \rho_\pm'(x, A(y))$. Among other nice results Wójcik in [31] proved the theorem:

**Theorem 4** ([31]). Let $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable real Banach space. The mapping $f : V \to V$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\forall x, y \in V \quad \rho_\pm'(f(x), y) = \rho_\pm'(x, f(y))
$$

if and only if $f$ is a self-adjoint operator of $V$. (Hence it is linear and continuous.)

He also investigated the Banach space $C(M)$ of the continuous functions of a compact metric space $M$. (The norm is the supremum norm defined by the equality $\|x\|_\infty = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in M\}$.) He proved that

**Theorem 5** ([31]). Let $T : C(M) \to C(M)$ be a nonvanishing mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $T$ satisfies the functional equation $\forall x, y \in V \quad \rho_\pm'(T(x), y) = \rho_\pm'(x, T(y))$;
2. there exist a scalar $\gamma \neq 0$ and a linear isometry $U : C(M) \to C(M)$ such that $U^2 = Id$ and $T = \gamma U$;
3. there exist a scalar $\gamma \neq 0$ and a homeomorphism $h : M \to M$ such that $h \circ h = id$ and a continuous function $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\varphi(t)| = 1$ for $t \in M$ and $T \varphi = \gamma \cdot \varphi \circ h$ for all $\varphi \in C(M)$.

Statements (2) and (3) for an adjoint abelian operator in the general case proved in [7] by Fleming and Jamison. The real new observation here is the equality of the three statements in Theorem 5.
The real, finite-dimensional normed space \((V, \| \cdot \|)\) is a normed space of \(S\)-type if there is a basis such that a linear operator \(A : V \rightarrow V\) is self-adjoint if and only if the matrix of \(A\) with respect to this basis is symmetric. Wójcik proved that if \(V\) real, finite-dimensional normed space then it is of \(S\)-type if and only if the norm comes from an inner product.

2.3. Generalized adjoint of a linear transformation in a normed space. Let \(V\) be a smooth, uniformly convex Banach space with the semi inner product described in the Subsection 2.1. Koehler in [17] introduced the concept of general adjoint as follows. If \(A\) is a bounded linear operator from \(X\) to itself then \(g_y(x) = [A(x), y]\), is a continuous linear functional, and from the generalized Riesz-Fischer representation theorem it follows that there is a unique vector \(A^T(y)\) such that

\[
[A(x), y] = [x, A^T(y)] \text{ for all } x \in X.
\]

\(A^T\) is called by the generalized adjoint of \(A\). This mapping is the usual Hilbert space adjoint if the space is an inner product space. In this more general setting this map is not usually linear but it still has some interesting properties. Koehler denoted by \(\varphi\) the duality map from \(V\) to its dual space \(V^*\) given by \(\varphi(y) = f_y = [\cdot, y]\). Then \(\varphi\) is a duality map, it is one-to-one and onto, and its inverse is always continuous (since \(V\) is uniformly convex). \(\varphi\) continuous at a point \(x \in V\) if and only if the norm of \(V\) is Fréchet differentiable at that point [10].

**Theorem 6** ([17]). For linear transformations \(A\) and \(B\) and for the scalar \(\lambda\) we have:

1. \((\lambda A)^T = \overline{\lambda A}^T\) and \((AB)^T = B^T A^T\).
2. \(A^T\) is one-to-one if and only if the range of \(A\) is dense in \(X\).
3. \(A^* \varphi = \varphi A^T\).
4. If norm of \(V\) is Fréchet differentiable then \(A^T\) is continuous.

Stampfli in [27] has defined a bounded linear operator \(A\) to be adjoint abelian if and only if there is a duality map \(\varphi\) such that \(A^* \varphi = \varphi A\). So evidently, \(A\) is adjoint abelian if and only if \(A = A^T\), thus the abelian adjoint operators are in some sense "self-adjoint".

2.4. Characterization of adjoint abelian operators of a Minkowski \(n\)-space. Lángi in [19] introduced the concept of the Lipschitz property of a semi-inner product and investigated the diagonalizable operators of a finite dimensional real Banach space \([V, \| \cdot \|]\). He said that the semi-inner product \([\cdot, \cdot]\) has the Lipschitz property if for every \(x\) from the unit ball there is e real number \(\kappa\) such that for every \(y\) and \(z\) from the unit ball holds \(\|[x, y] - [x, z]\| \leq \kappa\|y - z\|\). We note that from the differentiability property for the semi-inner product (defined in [11]) follows the Lipschitz property of the product, too. Let \(A\) be a diagonalizable linear operator of \(V\), and let \(\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \ldots > \lambda_k \geq 0\) be the absolute values of the eigenvalues of \(A\). If \(\lambda_i\) is an eigenvalue of \(A\), then \(E_i\) denotes the eigenspace of \(A\) belonging to \(\lambda_i\), and if \(\lambda_i\) is not an eigenvalue, set \(E_i = \{0\}\). \(E_i\) defined similarly with \(-\lambda_i\) in place of \(\lambda_i\). The main result in [19] is the following.

**Theorem 7** ([19]). Let \(V\) be a smooth finite-dimensional real Banach space such that the induced semi-inner product \([\cdot, \cdot]\) satisfies the Lipschitz condition, and let \(A : V \rightarrow V\) be a diagonalizable linear operator. Then \(A\) is adjoint abelian with respect to \([\cdot, \cdot]\) if, and only if, the following hold.

1. \([\cdot, \cdot]\) is the direct sum of its restrictions to \(E_i = \text{lin}\{E_i \cup E_{-i}\}, i = 1, \ldots, k\);
2. for every value of \(i\), the subspaces \(E_i\) and \(E_{-i}\) are both transversal and normal (meaning that they are mutually orthogonal in the sense of Birkhoff orthogonality);
3. for every value of \(i\), the restriction of \(A\) to \(E_i\) is the product of \(\lambda_i\) and an isometry of \(E_i\).

Using an observation from [11] we have the following nice geometric corollary (Corollary 3, in [19]) of this theorem: by the assumption of the theorem if no section of the unit sphere with a plane is an ellipse with the origin as its centre, then every diagonalizable adjoint abelian operator of \(X\) is a scalar multiple of an isometry of \(V\). We suggest the following definition for the assumption above:
Definition 4. A Minkowski n-space is totally non-Euclidean if it has no 2-dimensional Euclidean subspace.

Now the corollary above says:

Corollary 1. In a totally non-Euclidean Minkowski n-space every diagonalizable adjoint abelian operator is a scalar multiple of an isometry.

The following theorem describe the structure of a real adjoint abelian operator.

Theorem 8. Let $V$ be a smooth finite-dimensional real Banach space with the induced semi inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. If $A$ is adjoint abelian with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$ then $V$ can be decomposed the direct sum of $A$-invariant subspaces of dimension at most two. Restricting $A$ to a 2-dimensional component it is a generalized dilatation with respect to an Auerbach basis $\{a_s, b_s\}$ defined by the matrix

$$[A|\text{lin}(a_s, b_s)]_{\{a_s, b_s\}} = |\lambda| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

where $|\lambda| \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 < \varphi \leq 2\pi$.

Proof. First we prove that if $A$ is an adjoint abelian operator and $U$ is an $A$-invariant subspace then the orthogonal complement

$$U^\perp := \{ v \in V \mid [v, u] = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \}$$

is also $A$ invariant. In fact, for a $v \in U^\perp$ we have $[A(v), u] = [v, A(u)] = 0$ for all $u \in U$ proving this statement. From this it follows a decomposition of the space $V$ to the direct sum of minimal invariant subspaces $V_i$ with the property $V_i^\perp \supset V_j$ for all $j > i$. From the fundamental theorem of algebra it also follows that the dimension of $V_i$ is at most 2.

Assume that $Z$ is a 2-dimensional minimal invariant subspace of $A : V \longrightarrow V$ implying that it does not contain real eigenvector of $A$. Hence for every vector $z \in Z$ the pair of vectors $z$ and $A(z)$ form a basis in $Z$. Thus the equality $A^2(z) = \gamma z + \delta A(z)$ also holds. Since this equation also valid if we substitute into $A(z)$ in the variable vector $z$ we get that the polynomial equation $A^2 = \gamma I + \delta A$ holds on $Z$. Set $\delta = 2\alpha$ then we get the equation $(A - \alpha I)^2 = (\alpha^2 + \delta)I$. Since there is no real eigenvalue of $A$ on $Z$ we get that $(\alpha^2 + \delta) < 0$ say $-\beta^2$. Thus we have a polynomial equation of second order of form $(A - \alpha I)^2 = -\beta^2 I$ is valid on $Z$.

Let $\mathbb{C}Z$ be the two dimensional complex vector space on the vectors of the additive commutative group $Z$, defined by the set of linear combinations

$$\{\xi f_1 + \zeta f_2 \mid \{f_1, f_2\} \text{ is a basis of } \mathbb{R}Z \text{ and } \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

Above the complex field we can decompose the minimal polynomial $(x - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2$ to linear terms by the identity $(x - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2 = (x - \alpha - \beta i)(x - \alpha + \beta i)$. Hence we can correspond two complex eigenvalues $\lambda = \alpha + \beta i$ and $\overline{\lambda} = \alpha - \beta i$ of the extracted complex linear operator $\tilde{A} : \mathbb{C}Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}Z$.

(Note that with respect to the basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$ the complex operator $\tilde{A}$ has the same (and real) coefficients as of the real linear operator $A$.) In $\mathbb{C}Z$ for the eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $\overline{\lambda}$ have distinct eigenspaces of dimension 1. These complex lines generated by the complex vectors

$$u = \xi f_1 + \zeta f_2 = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 i)f_1 + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 i)f_1 = (\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2) + (\beta_1 f_1 + \beta_2 f_2) i =: a + bi,$$

and its conjugate $\overline{u} = a - bi$, respectively. (Here $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. $Z$.) We say in this case that $\lambda$ is a complex eigenvalue of the real linear operator $A$ with complex eigenvector $u$. We identify the one-dimensional complex eigenspace of $u$ with the two dimensional real subspace generated by $a$ and $b$ with the mapping $E : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} Z$

$$E((x + yi)(a + bi)) := \Re((x + yi)(a + bi)) + i((x + yi)(a + bi)) = (x + y)a + (x - y)b.$$ 

We note that $E$ is a bijective mapping. In fact, if $x + y = x' + y'$ and $x - y = x' - y'$ then $x = x'$ and $y = y'$ and there is an unique solution of the equation system $r = x + y$ and $s = x - y$ it is $x = (r+s)/2, y = (r-s)/2$. From this follows that we can assume that $a$ and $b$ gives an Auerbach basis of $Z$ meaning in the rest part of this proof that $|a, a| = |b, b| = 1$ and $|a, b| = |b, a| = 0$. 

Let now a complex eigenvalue of $A$ is $\lambda$. Denote by $E$ the complex eigenspace (of dimension $d$) corresponding to $\lambda$. Then $\overline{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue with the eigenspace $\overline{E}$, where $\overline{E} = \{\overline{x} \mid x \in E\}$.

If $\{u_1, \ldots, u_d\}$ is a complex basis of $E$ then $\{\overline{u_1}, \ldots, \overline{u_d}\}$ is a basis of $\overline{E}$. Assuming that $u_s = a_s + b_s i$ and $\lambda = \alpha + \beta i$, we get that $\overline{u_s} = a_s - b_s i$ and $\overline{\lambda} = \alpha - \beta i$. Since $A(u_s) + A(b_s)i = A(u_s) = \lambda u_s = (\alpha a_s - \beta b_s) + (\beta a_s + \alpha b_s)i$,

$A$ is invariant on the real subspace $\overline{E} := \text{lin}\{a_1, b_1 \mid s = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ which we call the real invariant subspace associated to $\lambda$. Its clear that for the eigenspace $\overline{E}$ we can associate the same invariant subspace. Since the vectors $u_s = a_s + b_s i$ $s = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ form a basis of the complex subspace $E$, the vectors $\{a_s, b_s \mid s = 1, \ldots, d\}$ form a real generator system of $\overline{E}$ implying that the dimension is at most $2d$. Consider a pair of real vectors $a_s, b_s$. If $b_s = \lambda a_s$ then

$$a_s(\alpha - \lambda \beta) + a_s(\beta + \alpha \lambda)i = (a_s \alpha - b_s \beta) + (a_s \beta + b_s \alpha)i = A(a_s + b_s i) = (1 + i\lambda)A(a_s) = (1 + i\lambda)a_s(\alpha - \beta \lambda) = a_s(\alpha - \lambda \beta) + i\lambda a_s(\alpha - \beta \lambda),$$

implying that

$$\beta + \alpha \lambda = \lambda \alpha - \lambda^2 \beta.$$  

Since $\lambda \neq 0$ it follows that $\beta = 0$ which contradict by the fact that $\lambda$ is not a real number. This shows that every pairs $\{a_s, b_s\}$ are independent vectors. Thus the complex eigenspace of dimension $d$ is isomorphic to that real space of dimension $2d$ which is the direct product of its two dimensional subspaces generated by $a_s$ and $b_s$.

Hence the adjoint abelian operator $A$ invariant on the real plane $\text{lin}\{a_s, b_s\}$ and with respect to the Auerbach basis $\{a_s, b_s\}$ it has the matrix representation:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha r & \beta r \\ -\beta r & \alpha r \end{pmatrix} = |\lambda| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix} =: |\lambda| F_\varphi.$$  

where $| \cdot |$ means the absolute value of a complex number and $\varphi$ is the argument of $\lambda$.

We note that $F_\varphi$ is also an adjoint abelian operator on that plane, we call it generalized rotation with respect to the Auerbach basis $\{a_s, b_s\}$. In fact, $|\lambda| \neq 0$ because $\lambda$ is not real. Thus we have

$$[F_\varphi(x), y] = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} [|\lambda| F_\varphi(x), y] = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} [x, |\lambda| F_\varphi(y)] = [x, F_\varphi(y)].$$

**Example.** For an example for generalized rotation consider an inner product plane defined by the unit circle

$$\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 = 1.$$  

The product is

$$[v, z] = [x_1 e + y_1 f, x_2 e + y_2 f] = \frac{x_1 x_2}{a^2} + \frac{y_1 y_2}{b^2},$$  

and an Auerbach basis is $\{ae, bf\}$. The generalized rotation is in the Euclidean orthonormal basis $\{e, f\}$ is $F_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$

It is an isometry because it sends the unit disks into itself, however it is not adjoint abelian operator because of

$$[F_\varphi(e), f] = \frac{a}{b^2} \sin \varphi \neq \frac{b}{a^2} \sin \varphi = [e, F_\varphi(f)].$$

We strongly think the following:

**Conjecture 1.** From Lánghi’s theorem (Theorem 1 (and Corollary 2) of [19]) we can omit the assumption ”diagonalizable”. More precisely every adjoint-abelian operator of a smooth Minkowski space is diagonalizable.
In the case of $l_p$ spaces this conjecture is true:

**Theorem 9.** Let $1 < p < \infty$ be a real number. In a finite-dimensional real $l_p$ space every adjoint abelian operator is diagonalizable.

**Proof.** Observe that for an $l_2$ space the statement is true because of the semi inner product is an inner product. Consider the Euclidean plane with the $l_p$ norm $1 < p < \infty$. The corresponding semi inner product (see [3]) can be defined by the equality

$$[z, v] = [x_1 a_s + y_1 b_s, x_2 a_s + y_2 b_s] = \frac{1}{\|s_1\|^{p-2}} \int_X s_1 |s_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(s_2) d\mu =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(|x_2|^p + |y_2|^p)^{\frac{-p}{p}}} \left( x_1 |x_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(x_2) + y_1 |y_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(y_2) \right),$$

where $\{a_s, b_s\}$ is an orthonormal basis in the Euclidean sense and Auerbach basis with respect to the $l_p$ norm associated to the above product. Now we have the formulas

$$F_\varphi(z, v) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(|x_2|^p + |y_2|^p)^{\frac{-p}{p}}} \left( (\cos \varphi x_1 + \sin \varphi y_1)|x_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(x_2) + (\cos \varphi y_1 - \sin \varphi x_1)|y_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(y_2) \right),$$

and

$$[z, F_\varphi(v)] = \frac{1}{(|x_2|^p + |y_2|^p)^{\frac{-p}{p}}} \left( \cos \varphi x_2 + \sin \varphi y_2 \right)^p \left( x_1 \cos \varphi x_2 + \sin \varphi y_2 \text{sgn}(\cos \varphi x_2 + \sin \varphi y_2) + y_1 \cos \varphi y_2 - \sin \varphi x_2 \text{sgn}(\cos \varphi y_2 - \sin \varphi x_2) \right).$$

For $\varphi = \pi/2$ we get that

$$[F_\varphi(z), v] = \frac{1}{(|x_2|^p + |y_2|^p)^{\frac{-p}{p}}} \left( y_1 |x_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(x_2) - x_1 |y_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(y_2) \right) = -[z, F_\varphi(v)]$$

holds for all $z$ and $v$. Since $[F_\varphi(z), v] = [z, F_\varphi(v)]$ also holds for all $z$ and $v$, we get that $F_\varphi(z) = 0$ for all $z$ giving a contradiction. Thus $\varphi \neq \pi/2$ for an adjoint abelian generalized rotation.

If $\varphi = \pi$ then $F_\varphi(v) = -v$ and it is diagonalizable for all $p$.

Finally if $\varphi = 3\pi/2$ then

$$[F_\varphi(z), v] = \frac{1}{(|x_2|^p + |y_2|^p)^{\frac{-p}{p}}} \left( y_1 |x_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(x_2) + x_1 |y_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(y_2) \right)$$

and

$$[z, F_\varphi(v)] = \frac{1}{(|x_2|^p + |y_2|^p)^{\frac{-p}{p}}} \left( y_1 |x_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(x_2) - x_1 |y_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(y_2) \right),$$

providing the strict inequality $[F_\varphi(z), v] > [z, F_\varphi(v)]$ for $z$ and $v$ with positive $x_1$ and $y_2$. This is a contradiction, too.

For general (and fixed) $\varphi$ we get the equality

$$\left( |\cos \varphi x_2 + \sin \varphi y_2|^p + |\cos \varphi y_2 - \sin \varphi x_2|^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left( (\cos \varphi x_1 + \sin \varphi y_1)|x_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(x_2) + (\cos \varphi y_1 - \sin \varphi x_1)|y_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(y_2) \right) =$$

$$= \left( |x_2|^p + |y_2|^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left( x_1 \cos \varphi x_2 + \sin \varphi y_2 \text{sgn}(\cos \varphi x_2 + \sin \varphi y_2) + y_1 \cos \varphi y_2 - \sin \varphi x_2 \text{sgn}(\cos \varphi y_2 - \sin \varphi x_2) \right),$$

which holds for all $z$ and $v$.

First we substitute $x_2 = y_2$ and $y_1 = 0$ into this equality and we get:

$$|x_2|^{2p-3} \left( |\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi|^p + |\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi|^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} x_1 \text{sgn}(x_2) (\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) =$$

$$= |x_2|^{2p-3} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi |^{p-1} x_1 \text{sgn}(x_2) \text{sgn}(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi),$$
implying the other equality

\(|\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi|^p + |\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi|^p|^{p-2}(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) = |\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi|^{p-1}\text{sgn}(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi)|.

From this immediately follows that either \(\cos \varphi \pm \sin \varphi > 0\) or \(\cos \varphi \pm \sin \varphi < 0\).

We can also substitute the equalities \(y_2 = 0\) and \(x_1 = y_1\) into the original equality. This leads to the equality:

\(|\cos \varphi|^p + |\sin \varphi|^p|^{p-2}(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) = |\cos \varphi|^{p-1}\text{sgn}(\cos \varphi) + |\sin \varphi|^{p-1}\text{sgn}(\sin \varphi).

Now from the assumption \(\cos \varphi \pm \sin \varphi > 0\) it follows that \(\text{sgn}(\cos \varphi) = 1\) and we have two possibilities. If \(\text{sgn}(\sin \varphi) = -1\) then we get

\((1 + (\tan \varphi)^p|^{p-2}(1 + \tan \varphi) = 1 - (\tan \varphi)^{p-1}.

Let

\(f(p) := (1 + (\tan \varphi)^p|^{p-2}(1 + \tan \varphi) - 1 + (\tan \varphi)^{p-1}\)

be a function of \(p\) for a fixed admissible \(\varphi\). It is clear that \(\lim_{p \to \infty} f(p) = \tan \varphi\) and a short calculation shows that for \(p > 2\) it is a non-increasing function which at \(p = 2\) is \(2\tan \varphi\) hence for \(p \geq 2\) we get that \(f(p) > 0\). The function \(f(p)\) on the interval \(1 < p < 2\) is concave showing that \(f(p) \geq \min\{f(1), f(2)\} > 0\). Thus there is no \(p\) and \(\varphi\) for which this equality can be hold.

If \(\text{sgn}(\sin \varphi) = 1\) then we get the equality

\((1 + |\tan \varphi|^p|^{p-2}(1 - |\tan \varphi|) = 1 + |\tan \varphi|^{p-1},

and the function

\(f(p) := 1 + |\tan \varphi|^{p-1} - (1 + |\tan \varphi|^p|^{p-2}(1 - |\tan \varphi|) > 1 + |\tan \varphi|^{p-1} - 1 - |\tan \varphi|^p\)

is a positive one for \(1 < p < \infty\), since \(|\tan \varphi| < 1\).

Thus remains only one possibility which could give a non-trivial adjoint abelian generalized rotation in an \(l_p\) space (for certain \(p\)) when we assume that \(\cos \varphi \pm \sin \varphi < 0\). In this case \(\text{sgn}(\cos \varphi) = -1\) and \(|\cos \varphi| > |\sin \varphi|\). However in this case the substitution \(y_2 = 0\) and \(x_1 = y_1\) leads to the same equalities as in the previous one leading to the same contradictions. Thus there is no non-diagonalizable adjoint abelian generalized rotation in an real \(l_p\) space of finite dimension, as we stated.

We note that in the case of a Minkowski space we get a new proof for the known fact that every adjoint abelian operator on \(L_p\) \((1 < p < \infty, \quad p \neq 2)\) is a multiply of an isometry proved by first Fleming and Jamison in [7].

2.5. Isometries. A Banach space isometry is a linear mapping which preserves the norm of the vectors. As it can be seen easily, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 10** ([17]). A mapping in a smooth Banach space is an isometry if and only if it preserves the (unique) s.i.p.

Thus, if the norm is at least smooth, then the two types of linear isometry coincide. On the basis of the results of Stampfli [27] we have two corollaries:

**Corollary 2** ([17]). In any smooth uniformly convex Banach space, \(U\) is an invertible isometry if and only if \(U^{-1} = U^T\). As a result if in addition \(U^{-1} = U\) then \(U\) is scalar.

Stampfli has defined an operator \(U\) to be iso-abelian if and only if there is a duality map \(\phi\) such that \(\phi U = (U^*)^{-1} \varphi\).

**Corollary 3** ([17]). In a smooth Banach space \(U\) is iso-abelian if and only if it is an invertible isometry.

The above statement was extended to include the non-smooth case in [18]. Precisely:
Theorem 11 ([8]). Let $V$ be a normed linear space (real or complex) and $U$ be an operator mapping $V$ into itself. Then $U$ is an isometry if and only if there is a semi-inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$, such that $[U(x), U(y)] = [x, y]$ for all $x$ and $y$.

As a corollary of this theorem the authors also proved the following:

Corollary 4 ([8]). $U$ is iso-abelian if and only if it is an invertible isometry.

For our characterization very important the following result:

Theorem 12 ([8]). A finite dimensional eigenspace of an isometry has a complement invariant under the isometry.

For the construction can be seen that this complement is orthogonal to the given eigenspace of the isometry with respect to that semi-inner product which preserved by the isometry. Since every linear mapping there is at least one (complex) eigenvalue hence a complex finite-dimensional Banach space is an orthogonal direct sum of eigenspaces of a given isometry (See Corollary 4 in [8].) For the real case we get analogously the following statement:

Theorem 13. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional real Banach space, $U : V \rightarrow V$ be an isometry on $V$, and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a semi-inner product preserved by the isometry $U$. Then there is a decomposition of the space of form

$$V = V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_s \oplus V_{s+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_t \oplus V_{t+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{l+k},$$

where $V_i$ $1 \leq i \leq l$ are $U$-invariant mutually orthogonal eigenspaces of dimension 1 if $1 \leq i \leq s$ the corresponding eigenvalue is 1 and for $s \leq i \leq l$ the common eigenvalue is $-1$; moreover $n - l$ is even and the subspaces $V_{l+1}, \ldots, V_{l+k}$ are 2-dimensional $U$-invariant subspaces all of them are orthogonal to the 1-dimensional ones. Restricting $U$ to a 2-dimensional component it is a generalized rotation with respect to an Auerbach basis $\{a_s, b_s\}$ defined by the matrix

$$[A|_{\text{lin}\{a_s, b_s\}}]_{\{a_s, b_s\}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\
-\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad 0 < \varphi \leq 2\pi$$

Proof. Since $V$ is an orthogonal direct sum of the eigenspaces of $U$ we have $n$ mutually orthogonal eigenvectors of $U$, say $u_1, \ldots, u_n$. Since $X$ is a finite dimensional real Banach space the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l$ corresponding to $u_1, \ldots, u_l$ are real numbers and the rest eigenvalues $\lambda_{l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are complex ones.

First examine the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l$. Since $U$ is an isometry we have only two possibilities for its values, these are 1 and $-1$. We can assume that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_s = 1$ and $\lambda_{s+1} = \cdots = \lambda_l = -1$. In the subspace generated by the first $s$ eigenvectors every vectors are eigenvectors with eigenvalue 1 thus we can choose $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ as the elements of an Auerbach basis (hence there are mutually orthogonal vectors). We choose the basis $\{u_{s+1}, \ldots, u_l\}$ analogously from the eigenspace of eigenvalue $-1$. Since two eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are mutually orthogonal to each other, we get the orthogonality property of the statement about the first $l$ eigenspaces.

Assume now that $\lambda_{l+2r-1} = \lambda_{l+2r}$ holds for $r = 1, \ldots, (n - l)/2$. Consider again the vectors $u_{l+2r-1} = a_{l+2r-1} + b_{l+2r-1}i$ and scalars $\lambda_{l+2r-1} = a_{l+2r-1} + \beta_{l+2r-1}i$ such that $U(u_{l+2r-1}) = \lambda_{l+2r-1}u_{l+2r-1}$. (See the analogous construction in the proof of Theorem 8 on adjoint abelian operators.) The real subspaces $\text{lin}\{a_{l+2r-1}, b_{l+2r-1}\}$ are invariant with respect to $U$ and have dimension 2. Since $\lambda_{l+2r} = \alpha_{l+2r-1} - \beta_{l+2r-1}i$ and $u_{l+2r} = a_{l+2r} + b_{l+2r}i = a_{l+2r-1} - b_{l+2r-1}i$ we also have that $\text{lin}\{a_{l+2r}, b_{l+2r}\} = \text{lin}\{a_{l+2r-1}, b_{l+2r-1}\}$. Hence $V_{l+2r-1} = V_{l+2r} = \text{lin}\{a_{l+2r-1}, b_{l+2r-1}\}$ is an eigenspace of dimension at most 2. The case, when $b_{l+2r-1} = a_{l+2r-1}$ with real $\alpha$ implies that $u_{l+2r-1}$ is a real eigenvector with complex eigenvalue $\lambda_l$ is impossible thus we get the decomposition of the statement. Since the equality $[a_{l+2r-1} + b_{l+2r-1}i, u_r] = 0$ implies the respective equalities $[a_{l+2r-1}, u_r] = 0$ and $[b_{l+2r-1}, u_r] = 0$, the last statement on orthogonality is also true. Finally from the $U$-invariant property it follows that $U$ restricted to a 2-dimensional invariant subspace is a generalized
dilatation (see Theorem 8). On the other hand $U$ is an isometry thus $|\lambda_{t+(2r-1)}|=1$ for all $r$ hence it is a general rotation as we stated. $\square$

Remark. We note that there are non-diagonalizable general rotations which are also isometries. In an $L_p$ space of dimension 2 for the general rotation $F_{\pi/2}$ we get $F_{\pi/2}(x_1a_s+y_1b_s) = (y_1a_s-x_1b_s)$ and $F_{\pi/2}(x_2a_s+y_2b_s) = (y_2a_s-x_2b_s)$ showing that

$$[F_{\pi/2}z, F_{\pi/2}v] = \frac{1}{(|y_2|^p + |x_2|^p)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}
(y_1|y_2|^{p-1}\text{sgn}(y_2) - x_1|y_2|^{p-1}\text{sgn}(-x_2)) = \frac{1}{(|x_2|^p + |y_2|^p)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}
(y_1|y_2|^{p-1}\text{sgn}(y_2) + x_1|x_2|^{p-1}\text{sgn}(x_2)) = [z, v].$$

3. Geometric algebra of a Minkowski geometry

3.1. The group of isometries. In geometric algebra, one studies the properties of certain algebraic entities that can be directly linked with geometric objects, and analyses how their (algebraic) properties relate to geometric properties of the underlying geometry under investigation. This approach will be applied here to the study of strictly convex (normed or) Minkowski spaces, that is, metric spaces whose unit balls are centrally symmetric and strictly convex bodies. Such planes have been studied for many years; see [5, 23, 24, 25], and it is particularly interesting to characterize their group of isometries or related transformation groups. Although the lines of strictly convex non-Euclidean Minkowski planes are just their affine lines, the group of their isometries is small. Namely, it is the semi-direct product of the translation group by a finite group of even order which either consists of Euclidean rotations or is the dihedral group. This nice fact was proven by several authors (see in [5, 25 and 22]).

**Theorem 14 ([5, 25, 22]).** If $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ is a Minkowski plane that is non-Euclidean, then the group $I(2)$ of isometries of $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of the translation group $T(2)$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a finite group of even order that is either a cyclic group of rotations or a dihedral group.

In higher dimension it is possible for the group of linear isometries to be infinite without the space being Euclidean (e.g. if the unit ball is a elliptic cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^3$). The proof can be found in [22] uses the concept of Löwner-John’s ellipsoids. John’s (Löwner) ellipsoid of the unit ball $C$ is the unique ellipsoid with maximal (minimal) volume contained (circumscribed) in (about) it. It is clear that every isometries which leaves invariant the unit ball is also sends the John’s and Löwner’s ellipsoids into themselves, respectively. A nice consequence of this fact (proved first by Auerbach in [2]) is the following:

**Corollary 5 ([25, 2]).** If the isometry group of a Minkowski space is transitive on the unit ball of the space then the unit ball is ellipsoid and a space is Euclidean.

On the other hand Gruber in [14] shows that for ”most” cases the group of isometries is finite. It follows from the fact, that in ”most” cases a Minkowski unit ball meets the boundary of the Löwner ellipsoid in $d(d+1)/2$ pairs of symmetric points. (See in [14].) Using again the concept of John’s ellipsoid we can prove a similar results which is also generalization of Theorem 14.

**Theorem 15.** If the unit ball $C$ of $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ has no intersection with a two-plane which is an ellipse, then the group $I(3)$ of isometries of $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of the translation group $T(3)$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a finite subgroup of the group of linear transformations with determinant $\pm 1$.

**Proof.** Since at any point of $V$ there exists a point reflection that is an isometry of $(V, \| \cdot \|)$, the group $I(n)$ contains the semi-direct product of $T(n)$ with a point reflection. Since $I(n)$ is a closed subgroup of the Lie group of the affinities, the translation group $T(n)$ is a normal subgroup of $I(n)$ and $I(n)$ is a semi-direct product of $T(n)$ with the stabilizer $I(n)_0$ of the
point 0 in \( \mathcal{I}(n) \) leaving the unit ball \( C \) invariant. On the other hand every isometry of \( V \) is also an affine isometry thus the elements of \( \mathcal{I}(n) \) are in the special linear group of order \( n \), too (see \( \S \)).

For \( n = 3 \) from Theorem 13 we get that an isometry has at least one eigenvector and we have two possibilities, either it is diagonalizable operator or it is not. In the second case it has a minimal invariant subspace of dimension 2. Let \( \mathcal{I}_x \) be the subgroup of \( \mathcal{I}(3)_0 \) containing those isometries which fixed the 1-dimensional subspace of \( x \). Then the 2-dimensional subspace orthogonal to \( x \) is also invariant with respect to the elements of \( \mathcal{I}_x \) (see Theorem 13). By Theorem 14 the group \( \mathcal{I}_x \) is a finite of even order that is a cyclic group or a dihedral group.

Consider now the John’s ellipsoid \( E \) ([28]) of the unit sphere \( C \). The concept of John’s ellipsoid is affine invariant hence without loss of generality we can assume that \( E \) is a ball inscribed into the suitable affine copy of \( C \) (which for simplicity we also denote by \( C \)). (Now the investigated isometries are elements of \( O(3) \).) Consider the group \( G \) of elements of \( \mathcal{I}(3)_0 \) belonging to \( SO(3) \). Taking into consideration that the "determinant" map \( \det : \mathcal{I}(3)_0 \to \{ \pm 1 \} \) is a surjective group homomorphism whose kernel \( G \) has index 2 in \( \mathcal{I}(3)_0 \), so that, \( G \) is finite if and only if \( \mathcal{I}(3)_0 \) is so. Let a point \( x \) is a common point of the boundary of \( C \) and the boundary of \( E \). (Of course such a point is exist.) Let denote by \( C^+ \) the closed half sphere containing \( x \) and bounded by the hyperplane orthogonal to \( x \) through the origin. If the group \( G \) is infinite then the orbit of \( x \) is also contains infinitely many distinct points of form \( T_i(x) \in bdE \cap bdC^+ \) where \( T_i \in \mathcal{I}(3)_0 \). Since \( bdE \cap bdC^+ \) is compact for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) there is two indices \( i \neq j \) such that \( ||T_i(x) - T_j(x)|| \leq 1/k \) implying that \( ||T_j^{-1}T_i(x) - x|| \leq 1/k \). Consider the isometry \( T_j^{-1}T_i \in SO(3) \). Hence \( T_j^{-1}T_i \) is rotation about an axis say \( x_k \). Thus the points \( T_j^{-1}T_i(T_k(x)) \) for \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) are on a two dimensional intersection of \( bdE \), so they are also on a circle \( E_k \). This circle through the point \( x \) contains a set of points of \( bdC \) with successive distance at most \( 1/k \) forming an \( 1/k \)-net on \( x \). Let denote by \( Y_k \) the unit normal vector of the plane of \( E_k \) directed by \( C^+ \). The set \( Y := \{ Y_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is infinite and hence it has a convergent subsequence \( (y_k) \) with limit \( y \). Consider now the circle \( E(x,y) \) defined by the intersection of \( E \) with the plane through \( x \) and orthogonal to \( y \). It has the property that if \( z \in E(x,y) \) for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a point \( u \in bdE \cap bdC \) such that \( ||z - u|| \leq \varepsilon \). This implies that \( E(x,y) \subset bdE \cap bdC \) giving a contradiction with our assumption. Thus the group \( \mathcal{I}(n)_0 \) is finite and the statement is true.

**Remark.** We note that we proved the finiteness of the point group from a stronger assumption that of the "totally non-Euclidean" property. A method using Löwner-John ellipsoids can not be applied to prove a more general statements on this direction because of there are Minkowski spaces which are not totally non-Euclidean but the intersection of the John’s ellipsoid of its unit sphere contains ellipse. For simple example, consider a unit ball \( B \) and one of its great circle \( S \). Let \( H(2n,\varepsilon) \) be a regular polygon circumscribed to \( (1 + \varepsilon)S \) with \( 2n \) vertices. Now define the unit ball \( C(n,\varepsilon) := \text{conv}\{ B \cup H(2n,\varepsilon) \} \). It is clear that the Minkowski space with unit ball \( C(n,\varepsilon) \) is not totally non-Euclidean however for small \( \varepsilon \) and for large \( n \) the John’s ellipsoid of \( C(n,\varepsilon) \) is \( B \), hence \( bdC(n,\varepsilon) \cap bdB \) contains circle. This motivates the following problem:

**Problem 1.** It is true or not that if for \( n \geq 3 \) the Minkowski \( n \)-space is totally non-Euclidean one (see Definition 4) then its isometry group \( \mathcal{I}(n) \) is a semi-direct product of the translation group \( T(n) \) with a finite subgroup of \( SL(n) \)?

3.2. **Affine reflections, left reflections.** On an affine plane an axial affinity of order two called by **affine reflection**. Any affine reflection \( \alpha \) has an **axis** \( G \) and leaves any line of precisely one parallel class \( A \) not containing \( G \) invariant. We call this parallel class the **direction** of \( \alpha \). We say that a line has a direction \( A \) if it belongs to \( A \). We collect the known statements on affine reflections in a strictly convex Minkowski plane. This results can be found in [22].

- Let \( \alpha \) be the reflection at the point 0 in the stabilizer \( \mathcal{I}(2)_0 \) of the isometry group \( \mathcal{I}(2) \) of a strictly convex Minkowski plane. If \( \mathcal{I}(2)_0 \) is the dihedral group, then the lines \( G_r \) and \( G_{r\tau} \), which are axes of the affine reflections \( \tau \) resp. \( \tau\alpha \), are mutually orthogonal.
- Let \( \Psi_{G_1} \) and \( \Psi_{G_2} \) be two affine reflections in the non-parallel lines \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \).
(a) The affine reflections $\Psi_{G_1}$ and $\Psi_{G_2}$ leave a line $H$ different to $G_1$ and $G_2$ and passing through $G_1 \cap G_2$ invariant if and only if their product is a shear with $H$ as axis.

(b) The product $\Psi_{G_1} \circ \Psi_{G_2}$ has only the intersection $p$ of $G_1$ and $G_2$ as a fixed point if and only if $\Psi_{G_1} \circ \Psi_{G_2}$ is not a shear.

The scarcity of isometries of non-Euclidean Minkowski planes motivated H. Martini and M. Spirova to introduce left reflections as a conceptual tool for investigating strictly convex Minkowski planes (see [21]).

**Definition 5.** Given a line $G$ in a strictly convex Minkowski plane $(V, \| \cdot \|)$, we define a transformation

$$\Psi_G : V \to V \quad \Psi_G(p) = p'$$

as a left reflection in the line $G$ if

(i) $p' = p$ holds for all $p \in G$,

(ii) $p' \neq p$ and $\langle p, p' \rangle_G \perp_B G$ hold for all points $p \in V \setminus G$, and

(iii) the midpoint of the segment $[p, p']$ lies on $G$ for all points $p \in V$.

In general, left reflections are not isometries, but they are strongly related to the notion of Birkhoff orthogonality: if every left reflection in a strictly convex Minkowski plane preserves Birkhoff orthogonality, then this plane is a Radon plane. The advantage of left reflections is that there exists one for any line. In general, they generate the special or equi-affine group of the real affine plane, i.e., the group of affine transformations of determinant 1 (see [29]). By imposing specific conditions on left reflections, their products, or the group generated by them, one can characterize the Euclidean plane as well as Radon planes in new ways. For example, a strictly convex Minkowski plane is Euclidean if every left reflection maps circs into circs or preserves James orthogonality, or if the product of any two distinct left reflections is an isometry. In particular, Bachmanns approach to geometry (see [3]) can be used in an efficient way. It was shown that smooth, strictly convex Minkowski or strictly convex Radon planes are Euclidean if the Three-Reflections Theorem holds for left reflections. The left reflections in any strictly convex Minkowski plane are affine reflections. In the Euclidean case the left reflections generate already the full equi-affine group. It can be proved that the set of all left reflections of the plane generates a proper subgroup of the equi-affine group if and only if the plane is Euclidean. Using products of two left reflections, it characterized the singularities of the unit circ in a strictly convex Minkowski plane. Moreover, clarified when the product of a left reflection in a line $G$ with the reflection in a point incident with $G$ is again a left reflection. We noted that left reflections, as defined here, are affine reflections. It was their aim to investigate how the concept of left reflections fits into the geometry of (special) normed planes. They started with the following observation.

For any $y \neq 0$ in a strictly convex Minkowski plane there exists a unique direction $x$ with $x \perp_B y$. For any $x \neq 0$ in a smooth Minkowski plane, there exists a unique direction $y$ such that $x \perp_B y$ (cf. [15], [16]). Clearly, in affine geometry the notion of direction is given by a class of parallel lines represented by a respective vector.

We notice also that all results obtained for this type of left reflections can be analogously derived for the correspondingly defined concept of right reflections in lines for smooth Minkowski planes. The observation above guarantees that also this type of transformation is well defined, and that for smooth Minkowski planes, we may replace in (ii) the condition $\langle p, p' \rangle \perp_B G$ by the condition $G \perp_B < p, p' >$, and so all results derived for left reflections in strictly convex planes hold analogously for right reflections in smooth planes; see [21]. Moreover, in strictly convex as well as smooth Minkowski planes any left reflection is also a right-reflection if and only if $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ is a Radon plane; see [23], Section 6.1.2).

We now give a collection of important results on left reflections from [22] and [21].

- Let $\Psi_G$ be a left reflection in a strictly convex Minkowski plane $(V, \| \cdot \|)$. Then $\Psi_G$ is involutory and is affine. Moreover it has the following properties.
(i) If $H$ is an arbitrary line and $H^{Ψ_G} = H'$, then either $H \parallel H' \parallel G$ or $H \cap H' \subseteq G$.

(ii) The only fixed lines of $Ψ_G$ except for $G$ are those that are Birkhoff orthogonal to $G$, i.e., the lines Birkhoff orthogonal of $G$ form the direction of $Ψ_G$.

- The product of two left reflections in parallel lines of a strictly convex Minkowski plane is a translation.
- The product of three left reflections in parallel lines in a strictly convex Minkowski plane $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ is a left reflection in another line belonging to the same pencil of parallel lines.
- Let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be two (not necessarily distinct) parallel lines in a strictly convex Minkowski plane $(V, \| \cdot \|)$. Let $p$ be an arbitrary non-zero vector of $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ and $Θ_p$ be the translation through $p$. If $Ψ_{G_1}$ and $Ψ_{G_2}$ are the reflections in $G_1$ and $G_2$, then $Ψ_{G_2} \circ Θ_p \circ Ψ_{G_1}$ is a translation.
- If every left reflection in a strictly convex, smooth Minkowski plane preserves Birkhoff orthogonality, then it is a Radon plane.
- A strictly convex and smooth Minkowski plane $(V, \| \cdot \|)$ is Euclidean if, and only if, for arbitrary three distinct lines $G_1, G_2$, and $G_3$ having a common point $p$ there exists a line $G_4$ passing through $p$ such that $Ψ_{G_1} \circ Ψ_{G_2} \circ Ψ_{G_3} = Ψ_{G_4}$. (Here the assumption “smooth” can be replaced by the assumption ”Radon plane” (Th. 4.4 in [22]).
- A strictly convex Minkowski plane is Euclidean if and only if the reflections generate a proper closed subgroup of the equiaffine group.
- A strictly convex Minkowski plane is Euclidean if and only if the image of any circle with respect to any left reflection is also a circle.
- If every left reflection in a strictly convex Minkowski plane preserves James orthogonality, then it is an Euclidean plane.
- A strictly convex Minkowski plane that is smooth or a Radon plane is Euclidean if and only if for any two intersecting lines $G_1$ and $G_2$ and an arbitrary line $G'_1$ passing through $\{p\} = G_1 \cap G_2$ there exists a line $G'_2$ through $p$ such that $Φ_{G'_2,G'_1} = Φ_{G_2,G_1}$.
- In a strictly convex Minkowski plane every product of two left reflections is an isometry if and only if the plane is Euclidean.

We note that the concept of left (affine) reflections without any hardness can be extracted for higher dimensions and a possible research problem is to investigate statements analogous to the mentioned above. For example the definition of a left reflection in a strictly convex Minkowski $n$-space could be the following:

**Definition 6.** Given a hyperplane $G$ in a strictly convex Minkowski space $(V, \| \cdot \|)$, we define a transformation

$$Ψ_G : V \rightarrow V \quad Ψ_G(p) = p'$$

to be a left reflection in the hyperplane $G$ if

(i) $p' = p$ holds for all $p \in G$,

(ii) $p' \neq p$ and $\langle p, p' \rangle_B \perp G$ hold for all points $p \in V \setminus G$, and

(iii) the midpoint of the segment $[p, p']$ lies on $G$ for all points $p \in V$.

From the definition obvious that it is an involutory mapping. On the other hand by the definition of the Minkowski distance it is also clear that it sends a $k$-dimensional subspace into a $k$-dimensional one and ideal points into ideal ones, respectively. This means that it is an affinity of the space. Hence if the origin is on the hyperplane $G$ then it is also a linear mapping.
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