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ABSTRACT. We give a summary of the results from Parts I–V
(math/9804086, math/9804087, math/9804088, math/9810013, math/9810014).
Our work originated from harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group. The
problem of spectral decomposition for certain representations of this group leads to
a family of probability measures on an infinite–dimensional simplex, which is a kind
of dual object for the infinite symmetric group.

To understand the nature of these measures we interpret them as stochastic point
processes on the punctured real line and compute their correlation functions.

The correlation functions are given by multidimensional integrals which can be
expressed in terms of a multivariate hypergeometric series (the Lauricella function of
type B).

It turns out that after a slight modification (‘lifting’) of the processes the correla-
tion functions take a common in Random Matrix Theory (RMT) determinantal form
with a certain kernel.

The kernel is expressed through the classical Whittaker functions. It depends on
two parameters and admits a variety of degenerations. They include the well–known
in RMT sine and Bessel kernels as well as some other Bessel–type kernels which, to
our best knowledge, are new.

The explicit knowledge of the correlation functions enables us to derive a number
of conclusions about the initial probability measures.

We also study the structure of our kernel; this finally leads to a constructive
description of the initial measures.

We believe that this work provides a new promising connection between RMT
and Representation Theory.

In this paper we review our results from [Part I – Part V].

1. The Thoma simplex [Part I, §1]. The starting point of our study was the
work [KOV] on generalized regular representations of the infinite symmetric group.
These representations depend on two parameters (further denoted by $z$ and $z'$).
The decomposition of the representations into irreducibles is governed by certain
probability measures $P_{zz'}$ living on the infinite–dimensional simplex

$$
\Omega = \{ \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \ldots \geq 0; \beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \ldots \geq 0 \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \leq 1 \}
$$

Supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant 98-01-00303 (G. O) and
by the Russian Program for Support of Scientific Schools under grant 96-15-96060 (A. B. and
G. O.)
called the *Thoma simplex* [VK], [KV]. Note that Ω is compact in the topology of pointwise convergence.

Our aim is to understand these measures. Our results show that the measures \( P_{zz'} \) are close to stochastic point processes arising in scaling limits of random matrix ensembles.

2. **Probability measures** \( P_{zz'} \) [Part I, §§1,2]. The measures \( P_{zz'} \) are defined as follows. There exists a family \( \{ \tilde{s}_\lambda \} \) of continuous functions on Ω, indexed by the Young diagrams \( \lambda \). Their linear span is dense in \( C(\Omega) \). We know explicitly the integrals

\[
\varphi_{zz'}(\lambda) = \int_\Omega \tilde{s}_\lambda(\omega) P_{zz'}(d\omega)
\]

which determine \( P_{zz'} \) uniquely. Essentially, this is the only information about \( P_{zz'} \) that we possess.

Now we shall describe the functions \( \tilde{s}_\lambda \) and write down the formula for \( \varphi_{zz'} \).

Let \( \omega = (\alpha|\beta) \) range over \( \Omega \). Set

\[
\tilde{p}_k(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^k + (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i^k, & k \geq 2 \end{cases}
\]

and for any partition \( \rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_l) \)

\[
\tilde{p}_\rho(\omega) = \tilde{p}_{\rho_1}(\omega) \cdots \tilde{p}_{\rho_l}(\omega).
\]

These are continuous functions on \( \Omega \). The functions \( \tilde{s}_\lambda \) are related to \( \tilde{p}_\rho \)'s in exactly the same way as the Schur functions \( s_\lambda \) are related to the power sums \( p_\rho \), see [M, §1.7]. Specifically,

\[
\tilde{s}_\lambda = \sum_\rho \chi_\rho^\lambda \sum_{\rho} \tilde{s}_\rho
\]

where \( \rho \) ranges over the partitions of \( |\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots \); \( \chi_\rho^\lambda \) is the irreducible character of the symmetric group of degree \( |\lambda| \), \( \chi_\rho^\lambda \) is its value on the conjugacy class indexed by \( \rho \), and \( \sum_{\rho} \) \( \cdot |\lambda|! \) is the cardinality of this conjugacy class.

Conversely,

\[
\tilde{p}_\rho = \sum_\lambda \chi_\rho^\lambda \tilde{s}_\lambda.
\]

The functions \( \tilde{s}_\lambda \) are called *extended Schur functions*, see [KV].

To define \( \varphi_{zz'}(\lambda) \) we need Frobenius notation for a Young diagram \( \lambda \):

\[
\lambda = (p_1, \ldots, p_d | q_1, \ldots, q_d);
\]

here \( d \) is the number of diagonal boxes of \( \lambda \), and

\[
p_i = \lambda_i - i, \quad q_i = \lambda'_i - i,
\]

where \( \lambda' \) stands for the transposed diagram (see [M, §1.1]).
Set \( n = |\lambda|, \ t = zz' \). Then

\[
\varphi_{zz'}(\lambda) = \frac{t^d}{(t)_n} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(z+1)p_i(z'+1)p_i(-z+1)q_i(-z'+1)q_i}{(p_i!)^2(q_i!)^2} \\
\times \frac{\prod_{i<j}[(p_i-p_j)(q_i-q_j)]}{\prod_{i,j}(p_i+q_j+1)}
\]

(2.3)

where \((a)_m = a(a+1) \cdots (a+m-1), (a)_0 = 1\).

We shall always assume that \(z \text{ and } z' \) satisfy one of the following conditions

(*) \( z' = \bar{z}, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \);

(**) \( z \text{ and } z' \) are real and for a certain \( m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m < z, z' < m + 1 \).

(2.4)

Under this assumption there exists a probability measure \( P_{zz'} \) such that (2.1) holds.

Note that the measure \( P_{zz'} \) can be obtained as the limit, as \( n \to \infty \), of certain statistics on partitions of \( n \), see [KOO, proof of Theorem B], [Part II, Introduction].

3. Stochastic point processes [Part I, §4]. We shall interpret the measures \( P_{zz'} \) as stochastic point processes on \( I = [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\} \). With each point \( \omega \in \Omega \) we associate a point configuration in \( I \),

\[ \omega = (\alpha | \beta) \mapsto (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots; -\beta_1, -\beta_2, \ldots) \]

where we omit possible zeros in \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). Note that any such configuration has no accumulation points in \( I \), the points condensate near the origin which is not in \( I \).

Thus, the measure \( P_{zz'} \) becomes a probability measure on the space of point configurations in \( I \), i.e., a stochastic point process on \( I \). We shall denote this process by \( \mathcal{P}_{zz'} \).

The \( n \)th correlation function \( \rho_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) of a point process is the density of the probability to find a point in each of the infinitesimal intervals \([x_i, x_i + dx_i]\) (see [DVJ], [Part I, §4] for details).

Our aim is to compute the correlation functions \( \rho_n^{(zz')} \) of our processes \( \mathcal{P}_{zz'} \).

4. Moment problems [Part I, §3], [Part II, Section 1.1]. Our strategy to solve the problem is to find the probability measures \( \sigma_n^{(zz')} \) on \([-1, 1]^n, n = 1, 2, \ldots \), characterized by their moments

\[
\int_{[-1, 1]^n} x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n} \sigma_n^{(zz')} \, (dx) = \int_{\Omega} \prod_{i=1}^{l_i} (\lambda_{l_i+1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}) \varphi_{zz'}(\lambda) P_{zz'}(d\omega).
\]

Note that the RHS is explicitly known because of (2.1), (2.2):

\[
\int_{[-1, 1]^n} x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n} \sigma_n^{(zz')} \, (dx) = \sum_{\lambda, |\lambda| = \sum l_{i+1}} \chi_{l_{i+1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}} \varphi_{zz'}(\lambda).
\]

(4.1)

It turns out that outside the diagonals \( x_i = x_j \) in \( I^n \)

\[
\rho_n^{(zz')} (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{|x_1 \cdots x_n|} \{ \text{density of } \sigma_n^{(zz')} (dx_1, \ldots, dx_n) \}
\]

(4.2)
It is worth noting that the measure $\sigma_n^{(zz')} for n \geq 2 always has singular components living on the diagonals while (as is proved in [Part I, Proposition 4.2], [Part II, Theorem 2.5.1]) $\rho_n^{(zz')}$ has no such components.

Thus, we obtain the correlation functions from a more sophisticated object. However, we can not formulate a moment problem for $\rho_n^{(zz')}$ directly because of the absolute value sign in (4.2).

5. Integral representations for the correlation functions [Part I, §§5,6], [Part II, Chapter 2]. The moment problem (4.1) can be completely solved. In particular, for $n = 1$ we obtained a two dimensional integral representation of $\sigma_1^{(zz')}$ (see [Part I, Theorem 5.8]). A careful examination shows that $\sigma_1^{(zz')}$ has no atom at zero. This fact has an important corollary.

Theorem I ([Part I, Theorem 6.1]). With probability 1,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1.$$

For $n > 1$ the solution of the moment problem (4.1) requires a lot of work ([Part II, Chapter 1]). The difficulties come from the fact that the RHS of (4.1) involves the symmetric group characters for which there is no simple expression. We use Murnaghan–Nakayama rule ([M, §1.7, Ex. 5]) to handle the characters. In [Part II, Theorem 1.2.1'] we obtain a more economic form of this rule which enable us to solve the moment problem.

The final expression for $\rho_n^{(zz')}$ is a linear combination of multidimensional integrals of various orders up to $3n$. The situation simplifies when all the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are of the same sign, say, positive.

Theorem II ([Part II, Theorem 2.2.1]). Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n > 0$. Then

$$\rho_n^{(zz')} (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = t^n \Gamma(t)$$

$$\times \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i^{-z}}{\Gamma(-z+1)} \frac{(a_i+1)^{-z'}}{\Gamma(z'+1)} \frac{b_i^{-z'}}{\Gamma(-z'+1)} \frac{(b_i+1)^{z}}{\Gamma(z+1)}$$

$$\sum_{i, a_i, b_i > 0} x_i(a_i+b_i+1) < 1$$

$$\times \det \left( \frac{1}{a_i + b_j + 1} \right) \frac{\Gamma(t-n)}{\Gamma(t-n)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i} x_i(a_i + b_i + 1) \right)^{t-n-1} dadb. \tag{5.1}$$

The RHS of (5.1) is well defined for $z$ and $z'$ such that

$$-1 < Rez, Rez' < 1; \quad t = zz' > n.$$

For other values of $z, z'$ we use analytic continuation.

We tacitely assume that $\sum_i x_i < 1$. Actually, the correlation measure $\rho_n^{(zz')} (x) dx$ always lives on the set $\sum_i |x_i| \leq 1$; additional considerations show that there are no singular components on the faces $\sum_i |x_i| = 1$, see the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.3.1 in [Part II].

---

1 This just means that nonzero coordinates in $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are pairwise distinct with probability 1.
The RHS of (5.1) can be expressed via the multivariate Lauricella hypergeometric function of type B, see [Part II, Section 2.4]. In particular, $\rho_1^{(zz')}$ can be expressed in terms of the Appell’s two–dimensional hypergeometric function $F_3$, see [Part II, Corollary 2.4.2]. Another expression of $\rho_1^{(zz')}$ through the Lauricella function in three variables is given in [Part I, Theorem 5.12].

6. Lifting [Part II, Chapter 3]. A surprising fact is that the correlation functions are greatly simplified after a ‘lifting’ of our processes $P_{zz'}$ to a slightly bigger space.

According to Theorem I, the measure $P_{zz'}$ is concentrated on the face

$$\Omega_0 = \{\omega = (\alpha | \beta) \mid \sum \alpha_i + \beta_i = 1\} \subset \Omega.$$ 

Let

$$\tilde{\Omega}_0 = \Omega_0 \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$ 

We pass from the measure $P_{zz'}$ on $\Omega_0$ to the measure

$$\tilde{P}_{zz'} = P_{zz'} \otimes \left\{ \frac{s^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)} e^{-s} ds \right\}$$

on $\tilde{\Omega}_0$. In other words, we tensor $P_{zz'}$ by the gamma–distribution with parameter $t$.

We associate to a point $\tilde{\omega} = (\omega, s) \in \tilde{\Omega}_0$ a point configuration in $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ as follows

$$((\alpha | \beta), s) \mapsto (\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \ldots; -\tilde{\beta}_1, -\tilde{\beta}_2, \ldots) = (s\alpha_1, s\alpha_2, \ldots; -s\beta_1, -s\beta_2, \ldots).$$

Thus, we get a probability measure on the space of point configurations in $\mathbb{R}^*$, i.e., a point process. We denote it by $\tilde{P}_{zz'}$.

The process $\tilde{P}_{zz'}$ is obtained from $P_{zz'}$ by multiplying the random configuration in $I$ by the scalar random factor $s$ with gamma–distribution.

The lifting is invertible via the map

$$(\tilde{\alpha} | \tilde{\beta}) \mapsto (\alpha | \beta) = \left( \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1}{s}, \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2}{s}, \ldots; -\frac{\tilde{\beta}_1}{s}, -\frac{\tilde{\beta}_2}{s}, \ldots \right)$$

where $s = \sum_i (\tilde{\alpha}_i + \tilde{\beta}_i)$.

Note that $s$ is finite almost surely with respect to $\tilde{P}_{zz'}$.

Let $\rho_n^{(zz')}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be the $n$th correlation function of $\tilde{P}_{zz'}$.

The ‘lifted’ correlation functions are related to the initial ones by the following simple transformation

$$\tilde{\rho}_n^{(zz')}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)} \rho_n^{(zz')}(x_1 s^{-1}, \ldots, x_n s^{-1}) \frac{ds}{s^n}, \quad (6.1)$$
see [Part II, Proposition 3.1.1]. This implies that the moments of the correlation measures \( \rho_n^{(zz')}(x)dx \) and \( \tilde{\rho}_n^{(zz')}(x)dx \) are related in a very simple way

\[
\int x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n} \rho_n^{(zz')}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
= (t)_{l_1+\ldots+l_n} \int x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n} \tilde{\rho}_n^{(zz')}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n
\]

(the moments are finite if \( l_1, \ldots, l_n \geq 1 \)).

Note also that the transformation (6.1) is invertible, and there exists a complex inversion formula similar to that for the Laplace transform.

7. Determinantal formula. Matrix Whittaker kernel [Part IV, Sections 1, 2]. Now we shall show that the correlation functions \( \tilde{\rho}_n^{(zz')}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) have determinantal form with a kernel expressed through the Whittaker function \( W_{\kappa,\mu}(x), x > 0 \).

This function can be characterized as the only solution of the Whittaker equation

\[
y'' - \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\kappa}{x} + \frac{\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2} \right) y = 0
\]

such that \( y \sim x^{\kappa} e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \) as \( x \to +\infty \) (see [E1, Chapter 6]). Here \( \kappa \) and \( \mu \) are complex parameters. Note that

\[
W_{\kappa,\mu} = W_{\kappa,-\mu}.
\]

We shall employ the Whittaker function for real \( \kappa \) and real or pure imaginary \( \mu \); then \( W_{\kappa,\mu} \) is real.

Set

\[
a = \frac{z + z'}{2}, \quad \mu = \frac{z - z'}{2}, \quad \sigma = \sqrt{\sin(\pi z)\sin(\pi z')} > 0.
\]

(7.1)

It is often convenient to consider \((a, \mu)\) as new parameters of our processes, instead of \((z, z')\). In terms of \((a, \mu)\) the basic assumptions (2.4) take the following form

- \( a \) is always real
- either \( \mu \) is pure imaginary
- or \( \mu \) is real, \( |\mu| < \frac{1}{2} \), and there exists \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that
  \[
m + |\mu| < a < m + 1 - |\mu|
\]
- \( a \) is not an integer when \( \mu = 0 \).

Introduce the following functions on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \)

\[
A_+(x) = \sqrt{x} W_{a+\frac{1}{2},\mu}(x) \quad A_-(x) = \sqrt{x} W_{-a+\frac{1}{2},\mu}(x) \\
B_+(x) = \sqrt{x} W_{a-\frac{1}{2},\mu}(x) \quad B_-(x) = \sqrt{x} W_{-a-\frac{1}{2},\mu}(x)
\]

Theorem III ([Part IV, Theorem 2.7]). The correlation functions of the lifted process \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{zz'} \) have the form

\[
\tilde{\rho}_n^{(zz')}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \det [K(u_i, u_j)]_{i,j=1}^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots; \quad u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^*.
\]
where the kernel $K(u,v)$ is conveniently written in the block form

$$K(u,v) = \begin{cases} 
K_{++}(u,v), & u,v > 0; \\
K_{+-}(u,-v), & u > 0, v < 0; \\
K_{-+}(-u,v), & u < 0, v > 0; \\
K_{--}(-u,-v), & u,v < 0;
\end{cases}$$

with

$$K_{++}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z')} \frac{A_+(x)B_+(y) - B_+(x)A_+(y)}{x-y}$$

$$K_{+-}(x,y) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \frac{A_+(x)A_-(y) + tB_+(x)B_-(y)}{x+y}$$

$$K_{-+}(x,y) = -\frac{\sigma}{\pi} \frac{A_+(y)A_-(x) + tB_+(y)B_-(x)}{x+y}$$

$$K_{--}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-z)\Gamma(-z')} \frac{A_-(x)B_-(y) - B_-(x)A_-(y)}{x-y}$$

(7.3)

(recall that $t = zz'$).

This is one of our main results.

The matrix representation of the kernel

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} K_{++} & K_{+-} \\
K_{-+} & K_{--} \end{bmatrix}$$

(7.4)

corresponds to the splitting $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}_+ \sqcup \mathbb{R}_-$ of the phase space and subsequent identification of $\mathbb{R}_-$ with the second copy of $\mathbb{R}_+$. We call (7.4) the matrix Whittaker kernel.

All the blocks of (7.4) are real valued kernels on $\mathbb{R}_+$. They possess the following symmetry

$$K_{++}(x,y) = K_{++}(y,x), \quad K_{--}(x,y) = K_{--}(y,x),$$

$$K_{+-}(x,y) = -K_{-+}(y,x).$$

Note the minus sign in the last relation. It means that the kernel (7.4) is formally $J$–symmetric where $J$ is the operator $\text{id} \oplus (-\text{id})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,dx) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,dx)$.

Another symmetry property: the change of parameters $(z,z') \to (-z,-z')$ is equivalent to the transform of the kernel

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{++} & K_{+-} \\
K_{-+} & K_{--} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} K_{--} & -K_{-+} \\
-K_{+-} & K_{++} \end{bmatrix}$$

Determinantal form for the correlation functions (like (7.2)) appears in different problems of random matrix theory and mathematical physics, see, e.g., [Dy], [Me1], [Ma1], [Ma2], [TW1–3], [L], [KBI]. In most situations the kernel $K$ is symmetric or Hermitian (see, however, [B]). Appearance of $J$–symmetric kernels seems to be new.
8. The $L$–kernel [Part V, §2]. Consider the operator $K$ in the Hilbert space

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, du) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$$

(8.1)
given by the kernel (7.4).

**Theorem IV ([Part V, Theorem 2.4]).** Assume $|a| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$K = \frac{L}{1 + L}$$

(8.2)

where $L$ is bounded and given by the kernel

$$L(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^a \exp\left(\frac{y-x}{x+y}\right) \\
-\frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^a \exp\left(\frac{x-y}{x+y}\right) & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

(8.3)

Recall that $a$ and $\sigma$ were defined in (7.1).

It is worth noting that (8.3) involves no special functions. Note also that $\mu = \frac{x+y}{2}$ enters only in the scalar factor $\sigma$.

The formulas (7.2), (7.3), (8.2), (8.3) give a precise description of the process $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{zz'}$ and, thus, of the initial process $\mathcal{P}_{zz'}$. We consider these formulas as our main result.

9. **Spectral analysis** [Part V, §3]. In this section we shall diagonalize the operators $K$ and $L$. Denote by $A$ the operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ with the kernel

$$A(x, y) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^a \exp\left(\frac{y-x}{x+y}\right)$$

(9.1)

It is bounded provided that $|a| < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $A'$ denote the operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ with the transposed kernel

$$A'(x, y) = A(y, x).$$

Then (8.1) implies that the blocks of the matrix Whittaker kernel are expressed through $A$ and $A'$ as follows

$$K_{++} = AA'(1 + AA')^{-1} \quad K_{--} = A'A(1 + A'A)^{-1}$$

$$K_{+-} = (1 + AA')^{-1}A \quad K_{-+} = -(1 + AA')^{-1}A'$$

(9.2)

Consider the following Sturm–Liouville differential operator on $(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ depending on $a$ as a parameter:

$$\mathcal{D}_a = \frac{d}{dx} x^a \frac{d}{dx} + \left(a - \frac{x}{2}\right)^2.$$ 

(9.3)

We have

$$\mathcal{D}_a A = A \mathcal{D}_{-a}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{D}_a$ (formally) commutes with $AA'$ and $K_{++}$ while $\mathcal{D}_{-a}$ commutes with $A'A$ and $K_{--}$. 
Consider the following functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$:

$$f_{a,m}(x) = \frac{1}{x} W_{a,im}(x), \quad m > 0.$$  

We have

$$D_{a} f_{a,m} = \left( a^2 + \frac{1}{4} + m^2 \right) f_{a,m}.$$  

According to [W], the functions $f_{a,m}$ with $a$ fixed form a continual basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ diagonalizing $D_{a}$. Moreover, the Plancherel formula looks as follows. For good enough functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$

$$(f, g) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} (f, f_{a,m})(f_{a,m}, g) \cdot \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - a - im \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - a + im \right) \, dm$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$.

We have

$$A f_{a,m} = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - a + im \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - a - im \right) f_{a,m},$$  

$$A' f_{a,m} = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + a + im \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + a - im \right) f_{a,m}.$$  

Returning to the decomposition (8.1), we take $\{f_{a,m}\}_{m > 0}$ as a basis in the first summand $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ and $\{f_{a,m}\}$ as a basis in the second one.

Then we get a basis in the whole space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, du)$ diagonalizing both

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$  

and

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} AA'(1 + AA')^{-1} & (1 + AA')^{-1}A \\ -(1 + A'A)^{-1}A' & A'A(1 + A'A)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$  

In particular, we get the diagonalization of $K_{++}$:

$$K_{++} f_{a,m} = \frac{\cos(2\pi \mu) - \cos(2\pi a)}{\cos(2\pi \mu) + \cos(2\pi im)} \cdot f_{a,m}.$$  

Note that for $a = 0$ the integral transform inverse to $f \mapsto \{(f, f_{a,m})\}_{m > 0}$ is the Kontorovich–Lebedev transform, see [E2].

The above results show that the operators $K$ and $L$ with $a$ fixed and $\mu$ varying form a commutative family.

10. Applications [Part III, Sections 2,5]. Now we shall give applications of the main results. These applications concern our initial object — the probability measures $P_{zz'}$ on $\Omega$.

**Theorem V ([Part III, Theorem 5.1]).** Consider $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots; \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots$ as random variables on the probability space $(\Omega, P_{zz'})$. Then with probability 1 there exist the limits

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_k^{1/k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \beta_k^{1/k} = \exp \left( \frac{-\pi \sin(2\pi \mu)}{2\mu \sigma^2} \right).$$

This result is a kind of the strong law of large numbers. Roughly speaking, it means that both $\alpha_i$’s and $\beta_i$’s decay as geometric progressions with the same exponent. Similar situation occurs for the Poisson–Dirichlet process, see [VS].
The same limit relation as (10.1) holds for \( \tilde{\alpha}_k \)'s and \( \tilde{\beta}_k \)'s.

The proof of (10.1) is based on the examination of \( \tilde{\rho}_1(zz') \) and \( \tilde{\rho}_2(zz') \), and it incorporates Kingman’s argument from [Ki, Section 4.2].

Observe that the exponent of the decay does not change under the shifts \((z, z') \to (z + N, z' + N), N \in \mathbb{Z}\). Moreover, the whole process describing the tails of the sequences \( \{\alpha_i\} \) and \( \{\beta_i\} \) turns out to be invariant with respect to these shifts. This periodicity is quite unexpected: initial formulas (2.3) have no apparent periodicity.

Another application relies on the examination of \( \tilde{\rho}_1(zz') \) alone.

**Theorem VI ([Part III, Proposition 2.2]).** Let

\[
|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i, \quad |\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i, \quad \psi(\cdot) = \frac{\Gamma(\cdot)}{\Gamma(\cdot)}.
\]

Then

\[
\mathbb{E}|\alpha| = \sin(\pi z) \sin(\pi z') \left[ \frac{z - z'}{2\pi \sin(\pi(z - z'))} \frac{z' + z - 1}{zz'} + \frac{\psi(-z') - \psi(-z)}{\pi \sin(\pi(z - z'))} \right]
\]

and \( \mathbb{E}|\beta| \) is given by the same formula with \((z, z') \) replaced by \((-z, -z')\).

Here \( \mathbb{E} \) is the symbol of expectation.

**11. Tail process [Part III, Sections 3,4], [Part V, §4].** Here we study the asymptotic behavior of the process \( \tilde{\rho}_{zz'} \) near the origin. The starting observation is that

\[
\tilde{\rho}_1^{zz'}(u) \sim \frac{c}{|u|}, \quad u \to 0 \quad (11.1)
\]

where

\[
c = \frac{2\mu \sigma^2}{\pi \sin(2\pi \mu)} \quad (11.2)
\]

This asymptotic relation agrees with the rate of decay of \( \alpha_i \)'s and \( \beta_i \)'s, see (10.1).

As before, we identify the phase space \( \mathbb{R}^* \) with the disjoint union of two copies of \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) and then in each copy of \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) we make the following change of variable

\[
x \mapsto \xi = -c \ln x \quad (11.3)
\]

**Theorem VII ([Part V, Theorem 4.1]).** Let \( K'(\xi, \eta) \) denote the matrix Whittaker kernel on \( \mathbb{R} \) obtained from the matrix Whittaker kernel (7.4) by the change of variable (11.3). Then there exists the limit

\[
\lim_{M \to +\infty} K'(\xi + M, \eta + M) = K(\xi, \eta).
\]

Here \( K(\xi, \eta) \) is a translation invariant matrix kernel with \( K(\xi, \xi) \equiv 1 \),

\[
K(\xi, \eta) = \begin{bmatrix} K_{++}(\xi, \eta) & K_{+-}(\xi, \eta) \\ K_{-+}(\xi, \eta) & K_{--}(\xi, \eta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F(\xi - \eta) & G(\xi - \eta) \\ -G(\eta - \xi) & F(\xi - \eta) \end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
F(\xi) = \frac{B \sinh(A\zeta)}{A \sinh(B\zeta)} \quad (11.4)
\]
\[ G(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\mu\sigma} \frac{(\sin(\pi\mu) \cos(\pi\alpha)) \cosh(A\zeta) + (\sin(\pi\alpha) \cos(\pi\mu)) \sinh(A\zeta)}{\cosh(B\zeta)} \]

and the constants \( A, B \) are as follows

\[ B = \frac{\pi \sin(2\pi\mu)}{4\mu\sigma^2} > 0, \]
\[ A = 2\mu B = \frac{\pi \sin(2\pi\mu)}{2\sigma^2}. \]

We call the point process on \( \mathbb{R} \sqcup \mathbb{R} \) with the correlation functions given by the determinantal formula with the matrix kernel \( K(\xi, \eta) \) the tail process for \( \tilde{P}_{zz'} \). By the construction, it describes the behavior of \( \tilde{\alpha}_i \)'s and \( \tilde{\beta}_i \)'s with large \( i \) after the appropriate rescaling.

In particular, the kernel \( K_{++}(\xi, \eta) \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) describes the tail of \( \{\tilde{\alpha}_i\} \) alone, and \( K_{--}(\xi, \eta) \) does the same for \( \{\tilde{\beta}_i\} \). Since \( K_{++} = K_{--} \), the tail properties of \( \{\tilde{\alpha}_i\} \) and \( \{\tilde{\beta}_i\} \) are identical.

The same kernel \( K_{++}(\xi, \eta) \) appears in the scaling limit of the correlation functions for the unlifted process \( P_{zz'} \) restricted to \((0, 1]\]. However, this requires more sophisticated considerations, see [Part II, Sections 4.2, 4.3].

Recall that \( \mu \) is either real or pure imaginary. According to this, the constant \( A \) is also either real or pure imaginary. In the latter case the hyperbolic sine in the numerator of (11.4) turns into the ordinary sine.

The next result is parallel to Theorem IV.

**Theorem VIII ([Part V, Proposition 4.2]).** Let \(|a| < \frac{1}{2}\). Then

\[ K = \frac{L}{1 + L} \]

where \( L \) is a bounded operator in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\xi) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\xi) \) with the kernel

\[ L(\xi, \eta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\frac{\sigma}{2\pi} \exp(-2aB(\xi-\eta))}{\cosh(B(\xi-\eta))} \\ -\frac{\sigma}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(-2aB(\eta-\xi))}{\cosh(B(\eta-\xi))} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \] (11.5)

where \( B \) is as above.

Finally, note that all formulas of this section are invariant under the shifts \((z, z') \rightarrow (z + N, z' + N), N \in \mathbb{N} \) (or, equivalently, \((a, \mu) \rightarrow (a + N, \mu)\)), cf. Section 10.

12. Formalism of fermion point processes [Part III, Section 1], [Part V, §1]. Here we shall discuss elementary general properties of the point processes with determinantal correlation functions [DVJ], [Ma1], [Ma2].

Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a phase space with reference measure \( dx \), \( K(x, y) \) be a kernel on \( \mathcal{X} \), and \( \mathcal{P} \) be a point process on \( \mathcal{X} \) with the correlation functions

\[ \rho_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \det [K(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n. \]

Let \( \mathcal{Y} \) be a subset of \( \mathcal{X} \) such that

\[ \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \rho_1(x) dx < \infty. \]
Then the number of points in \( \mathcal{Y} \) is finite with probability 1. Moreover, the probability to find exactly \( n \) points located in the infinitesimal volumes \( dx_1, \ldots, dx_n \) around points \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) equals

\[
\pi_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \frac{\det [L_{\mathcal{Y}}(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n}{\det(1 + L_{\mathcal{Y}})} \, dx_1 \cdots dx_n. \tag{12.1}
\]

Here \( L_{\mathcal{Y}}(x, y) \) is the kernel of the operator \( L_{\mathcal{Y}} \) in \( L^2(\mathcal{Y}, dx) \) such that

\[
K_{\mathcal{Y}} = \frac{L_{\mathcal{Y}}}{1 + L_{\mathcal{Y}}}
\]

where \( K_{\mathcal{Y}} \) is the operator in \( L^2(\mathcal{Y}, dx) \) with the kernel \( K(x, y) \) restricted to \( \mathcal{Y} \).

Thus, in case of finite point configurations the operator \( L = \frac{K}{1-K} \) has a clear probabilistic meaning — it gives the distribution functions \( \pi_n \).

It is tempting to apply (12.1) in our case, when the operator \( L \) has especially simple form. Unfortunately, we can not do this for the whole space because the point configurations are infinite. Of course, we can restrict ourselves to an appropriate region \( \mathcal{Y} \), but then the simple form of our \( L(x, y) \) will be lost.

Again, in the case of finite configurations, if the space is a disjoint union of two pieces and \( L \) is written in block form according to this splitting, then vanishing of the diagonal blocks of \( L \) (as in (8.3) and (11.5)) exactly means that the random configurations has equally many points in each of the pieces (see [Part V, Proposition 1.7]). We do not know how to interpret such vanishing in our situation.

13. Distribution of \( \tilde{\alpha}_1 \) [Part III, Section 2]. Here we shall consider the lifted process \( \tilde{P}_{zz'} \) restricted to \( \mathbb{R}_+ \subset \mathbb{R}^* \); it is governed by the ‘++’ block of the matrix Whittaker kernel, see (7.3). We call \( K_{++}(x, y) \) the Whittaker kernel.

Note that for any \( \tau > 0 \) the intersection of the random configuration with \( \mathcal{Y}(\tau) = [\tau, +\infty) \) is finite. By (12.1)

\[
\text{Prob}\{\tilde{\alpha}_1 < \tau\} = \frac{1}{\det(1 + L_{\mathcal{Y}(\tau)})} = \det(1 - K_{\mathcal{Y}(\tau)}). \tag{13.1}
\]

Here, following the notation of Section 12, the kernel of \( K_{\mathcal{Y}(\tau)} \) is obtained by restricting \( K_{++}(x, y) \) on \([\tau, +\infty) \). As was pointed out by Tracy [T2], a modification of the argument from [TW3, Section V.B] allows to express the Fredholm determinant (13.1) through the Painlevé transcendent \( V \).

14. Comparison with random matrices. Degenerations of the Whittaker kernel [Part III, Sections 1.6], [Part V, §5]. There are a lot of similarities between the processes \( \tilde{P}_{zz'} \) and point processes arising from random matrices. Random matrix theory leads to various kernels: the sine kernel, the Bessel kernel, the Laguerre kernel, etc. (see [Me1], [TW1–3]) All of them have the form

\[
\frac{\varphi(x)\psi(y) - \psi(x)\varphi(y)}{x - y} \tag{14.1}
\]

and so does the Whittaker kernel \( K_{++}(x, y) \), see (7.3). Here \( \varphi(\cdot) \) and \( \psi(\cdot) \) are solutions of certain linear second–order differential equations. The Fredholm determinants of many kernels of this form are expressed through Painlevé transcendents,
see [TW3, Sections III and V]. Various kernels (14.1) restricted to suitable intervals commute with Sturm–Liouville operators (see [G], [Me1, §5.3], [TW1], [TW2]). The same is true for the Whittaker kernel restricted to $[\tau, +\infty)$, see [Part III, Section 6].

The Whittaker kernel degenerates to the Laguerre kernel of order $N$ and parameter $\alpha > -1$ if we formally substitute $z' = N$, $z = N + \alpha$, see [Part III, Remark 2.4]. The Bessel kernel is the scaling limit of the Laguerre kernel as $N \to +\infty$. Similar scaling procedure applied to the Whittaker kernel leads to a two–parametric family of Bessel–type kernels, see [Part V, Theorem 5.1].

Likewise, the stationary kernels from Theorem VII generalize the sine kernel: if $\mu \to i\infty$, (11.4) tends to $\frac{\sin(\pi \zeta)}{\pi \zeta}$ irrespective to the behavior of $a$. The kernels $K_{++}(\xi, \eta)$ have already appeared in [BCM, MCIN] in connection with so–called $q$–Hermite ensemble.

As for the matrix Whittaker kernel, it has a similarity with matrix kernels arising from two–matrix ensembles ([EM], [Me2], [MS]), see [Part IV, Section 3].
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