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1. Introduction

The results of this study show that disk-cone appliances are used in a variety of technical applications, including determining the viscosity of a fluid (viscosimetry), convective diffusion, medical devices, and biomedicine for oxygen measurement [1]. The heat transfer through a spinning cone is also addressed in this research. Many academics are drawn to this sort of study to investigate its properties, behavior, and applications. Turkilmazoglu [2] investigated a steady Newtonian viscous fluid over a rotating cone using the homotopy analysis technique. The mixed convective simulation with momentum and heat distribution across a perforated upward spinning cone in an ambient liquid was numerically calculated by Chamkha and Al-Mudhaf [3]. They hypothesized that when cone angular velocity varies, axial, and tangential velocity increases considerably. Garrett et al. [4] investigated the fluid flow across a turning cone (half angle) in axial direction. The MHD (magnetohydrodynamics) nanoliquid flow with Brownian motion and thermophoresis influence over a revolving cone was deled by Nadeem and Saleem [5] and Towers and Garrett [6]. It is worth noting that surface temperature and Mach number destabilise the system, whereas suction stabilises it. Hayat et al. [7] used a shooting method to emphasize the MHD chemical reactivity of an unsteady viscous fluid over a turning cone. Chamkha et al. [8] evaluated the influence of a rotating cone on a 3D CNT hybrid nanofluid in a trapezoid permeable cavity, considering MHD interactions.

The upshot of unsteady viscosity on viscous fluid characteristics causes some variation. The viscosity of liquids, for example, decreases as temperature goes up, but the viscosity of gases improves. The rises in thermal energy cause friction in oily fluids, which affects fluid viscosity, and the viscosity no longer holds consistent. In light of this deficiency, a growing number of researchers are focusing their efforts on demonstrating the impact of changing viscosity phenomena under various situations. The effects of fluctuating viscosity...
of third-grade dispersed fluid flow via a conduit have been explored by Christie and Massoudi [9]. The numerical outputs were discovered using the finite difference method. Seddeek [10] investigated unstable free convection MHD flow across an infinite plate under the influence of a magnetic field and changing viscosity. The computational solution of the simulated equations is done using the finite difference method. MHD boundary layer flow over an extended heated surface with variable viscosity is reported by Pantokratoras [11]. Their analysis also included a graphical representation of viscosity variations. Mukhopadhyay and Layek [12] looked studied heat exchange across a stretched vertical porous surface with changing fluid viscosity. They discovered that increasing the viscosity, enhances the velocity while decreasing the energy field. Using a discrete variant of HAM known as spectral homotopy evaluation over a rotating cone. Gayatri et al. [18] studied viscous dissipation in 2D fluid flow with varying thickness and slip coefficients across a stretched surface. They discovered that the slip parameters increase friction while lowering fluid velocity. Using the Atangana-Baleanu fractional method, Saqib et al. [19] investigated electro-osmotic nanofluid flow.

Fractional calculus, which is an extension of regular calculus, has a 300-year history. This field has exploded in popularity in recent years. Almost all activities in applied sciences are described by signal processing, fluid flow in permeable substances, wave transference in mechanical properties, finance theory, and biological system electric conductance [20, 21]. Many definitions exist for the fractional derivative; however, the Caputo and Riemann Liouville fractional derivatives are particularly important in terms of applicability [22]. We know that the kernel was single in both fractional definitions. To overcome this difficulty, Caputo and Fabrizio presented a new point of view of noninteger order derivative with nonsingular kernel [23] in 2016, which is highly beneficial for a variety of physical problems. Manzoor et al. [24] analyzed the uniqueness and existence of solutions of fractional order differential equations with Caputo derivatives. They came up with a set of requirements to assure solution validity while maintaining Hyers-Ulam stability. The fractional assessments for Darcy hybrid nanoliquid flow over a perforated spinning disc were elaborated by Li et al. [25]. The proposed model has been put up using Matlab fractional code Fde12 to produce the fractional solution. The outputs are compared to the fast-approaching numerical Matlab scheme boundary value solver for correctness and validity of the resultant framework.

We generalized the approach of [26] based on the aforementioned literature and its application in the actual world. The goal of this study is to assess the upshots of time-dependent viscosity on flow, thermal energy, and mass transfer in a vertical rotating cone. The spinning phenomenon is arranged in the format of a system of PDEs for this reason. Which are solved using the fractional code FDE12, and the results are checked for validity and correctness using the Matlab numerical software boundary value solver (bvp4c). The findings are depicted graphically and shortly reviewed.

2. Mathematical Formulation

We considered axisymmetric, an incompressible and unsteady fluid flow with an angular velocity $\Omega$ across a rotating cone. The $u$, $v$, and $w$ are the velocity component has been considered along $x$, $y$, $z$ direction. The gravity $g$ impact is downward, and the buoyancy force exist due to the temperature variation. The tangential direction influences temperature $T_w$ variability near the cone’s edge, while temperature $T_{co}$ away from the cone kept fixed. Fluid flow mechanism over a rotating cone is depicted through Figure 1. Furthermore, the variable thermal conductivity and viscosity are expressed as [26]: the variable viscosity model used here is the Reynolds’s model. The Taylor series expansion has been used to obtain.

$$\mu = \mu_0(1 - \Lambda \theta), \mu = \mu_0 e^{-\eta(T - T_{\infty})},$$

$$k = k_0 e^{-\epsilon(T - T_{\infty})}, k = k_0 (1 - \epsilon \theta).$$

Where

$$A = (T_w - T_{co}) \eta \text{ and } \epsilon = -(T_w - T_{co}) c.$$ (2)

Here, $k_0$ and $\mu_0$ is the fluid conductivity and dynamic viscosity.

The governing system of nonlinear PDEs for momentum, mass, and energy may be written as by utilising Boussinesq approximation boundary layer theory and the aforementioned assumption [26]:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho y)}{\partial z} = 0.$$ (3)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{v^2}{x} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + g(T - T_{co}) \beta \cos \alpha^*, $$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{uv}{x} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right).$$ (5)
\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\mu}{\rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2. \]

(6)

\[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2}. \]

(7)

Where \( \alpha^*, \beta, k, \rho, \) and \( g \) are the cone vertical angle, thermal expansion, thermal conductivity, density, and gravity, respectively.

The boundary conditions are:

\[ u(0) = 0, \quad v(0) = \frac{1}{(1 - \text{st}^*)} \Omega x \sin \alpha^*, \quad T(0) = T_w, \quad C(0) = C_w, \]

\[ u(\infty) \longrightarrow 0, \quad v(\infty) \longrightarrow 0, \quad T(\infty) \longrightarrow T_{\infty}, \quad C(\infty) \longrightarrow C_{\infty}. \]

(8)

To simplify the PDEs to ODES, we commence the preceding similarity variables [26]:

\[ u = \frac{1}{2(1 - \text{st}^*)} \Omega x \sin \alpha f'(\eta), \]

\[ w = \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \text{st}^*)} \Omega x \sin \alpha^* \right) \frac{1}{2} f(\eta), \eta = \left( \frac{\Omega \sin \alpha^*}{v_0(1 - \text{st}^*)} \right)^{1/2} z, \]

\[ T = T_{\infty} + (T_w - T_{\infty}) \theta(\eta), \quad T_w - T_{\infty} = \left( \frac{T_0 - T_{\infty}}{1 - \text{st}^*} \right) x, \]

\[ v = \frac{1}{(1 - \text{st}^*)} \Omega x \sin \alpha^* g(\eta), \]

\[ C = C_{\infty} + (C_w - C_{\infty}) \phi(\eta), \]

where \( t^* = (\Omega \sin \alpha^*) t, \) (9)

By plunking Equation (9) in Equation (3)–(8), we track down:

\[ f''''(1 - A\theta) - f''A\theta' + \frac{1}{2} \left( f' \right)^2 - 2g^2 - f f'' - 2\lambda \theta - s \left( \frac{\eta}{2} f'' + f' \right) = 0, \]

(10)

\[ g''''(1 - A\theta) - A g' \theta' + g f' - s (\eta/2) g' + g = 0, \]

(11)\[ 1/(\text{Pr}) (\epsilon(\theta)^2) + (1 + \epsilon \theta) \theta' - f \theta' + 1/2 f^2 \theta - s (\eta/2) \theta + 2\theta) + Ec((f')^2 + 1/4(f'')^2) (1 - A\theta) = 0, \]

(11)

The reduced conditions are:

\[ f(0) = 0, \quad g(0) = 1, \quad f'(0) = \phi(0) = 0, \quad \theta(0) = 0, \]

\[ f''(\infty) \longrightarrow 0, \quad g(\infty) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \phi(\infty) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \theta(\infty) \longrightarrow 0, \]

(12)
Figure 2: Viscosity parameter $A$ effect on axial velocity profile $f'(\eta)$.

Figure 3: Mixed convection parameter $\lambda$ effect on axial velocity profile $f'(\eta)$.

Figure 4: Unsteadiness parameter $S$ effect on axial velocity profile $f'(\eta)$.
The parameters generated are rebound as:

\[ \text{Pr} = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}, \text{Re}_L = \Omega \sin \alpha^* \frac{L^3}{v_0}, \text{Gr} = g(T_0 - T_\infty) \frac{L^3}{v_0^2} \beta \cos \alpha^*, \]

\[ \lambda = \frac{\text{Gr}}{\text{Re}_L}, \text{Ec} = \frac{xL}{C_p T_0 - T_w} \frac{\Omega \sin \alpha^*}{(1 - st^*)^{3/2}}, \text{Sc} = \frac{\nu f}{D_f}. \]  

(13)

Where \( \text{Pr}, \text{Sc}, \text{and Ec} \) are the Prandtl, Schmidt, and Eckert number, respectively. While \( S \) is the unsteadiness and \( \lambda \) is the mixed convection coefficient.

The skin friction, mass transfer, and Nusselt number are written as:

\[ C_{fx} = \frac{2 \tau_{xz}|_{z=0}}{\rho [\Omega \sin \alpha^*/(1 - st^*)]^{3/2}}, C_{fy} = \frac{-2 \tau_{yz}|_{z=0}}{\rho [\Omega \sin \alpha^*/(1 - st^*)]^{3/2}}, \]

(14)

\[ \text{Sh}_r = \frac{x(\partial C/\partial z)|_{z=0}}{(C_w - C_{\infty})}, \text{Nu}_s = \frac{x(\partial T/\partial z)|_{z=0}}{(T_w - T_{\infty})}. \]  

(15)

Figure 5: Viscosity parameter \( \Lambda \) effect on radial velocity profile \( g(\eta) \).
Equations (14) and (15) dimensionless set up are:

\[ C_f x \Re = \left[ -(1 - A \theta) f''(\eta) \right]_{\eta=0}^{\eta=1}, \]

\[ C_f y \Re = \left[ -(1 - A \theta) g'(\eta) \right]_{\eta=0}^{\eta=1}, \]

\[ Nu_x \Re^{1/2} = -\theta'(\eta)_{\eta=0}, \Re^{1/2} \Sh = -\phi'(0). \]

Where

\[ \Re = \frac{1}{v_0(1 - \Omega^2)} \Omega \chi^2 \sin \alpha. \]

3. Preliminaries

Definition 1. For a function \( g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), the fractional integral of order \( \alpha > 0 \) is defined as:

\[ I_\alpha^t (g(t)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \chi)^{\alpha-1} g(\chi)d\chi. \]  

Definition 2. For function \( g \in C^n \), the Caputo noninteger order derivative is defined as:

\[ {}^cD_\alpha^t (g(t)) = I^{n-\alpha}D^n g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{g^n(\chi)}{(t-\chi)^{\alpha+n-1}} d\chi. \]

Clearly \( {}^cD_\alpha^t (g(t)) \) tends to \( g'(t) \) as \( \alpha \rightarrow 1 \).
4. Problem Solution

By defining the preceding variables, the system of ODEs (10)–(13) and (14) are reduced to a dimensionless first order differential equations (DE):

$$\eta = y_1, f = y_2, f' = y_3, f'' = y_4, g = y_5, g' = y_6, \theta = y_7, \theta' = y_8, \phi = y_9, \phi' = y_{10}$$

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma_1 &= 1, \gamma_2 = \gamma_3, \gamma_3 = \gamma_4 \\
\gamma_4 &= \frac{1}{1-Ay_7} \left( Ay_6y_4 + \frac{1}{2}(y_5)^2 + y_2y_4 + 2(y_3)^2 + 2x\gamma_7 + S\left( y_5 + \frac{\eta}{2}y_7 \right) \right), \\
\gamma_5 &= \gamma_6, D\gamma_6 = \frac{1}{1-Ay_7} \left( Ay_6y_4 + y_2y_6 - y_3y_5 + S\left( y_5 + \frac{\eta}{2}y_7 \right) \right), \\
\gamma_7 &= y_7, \\
\gamma_8 &= \frac{1}{1+\epsilon y_7} \left[ Pr \left[ y_3y_7 - y_3y_7 + S\left( \frac{\eta}{2}y_7 + 2y_7 \right) - Ec \left( \frac{1}{4}(y_4)^2 + (y_5)^2 \right) \right] (1-Ay_7) \right] - \epsilon(y_8)^2, \\
\gamma_9 &= y_{10}, y_{10} = Sc(y_3y_{10}).
\end{align*}$$

(20)

**Figure 7**: Unsteadiness parameter $S$ effect on radial velocity profile $g(\eta)$. 

---
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We now use the Caputo fractional derivative to extend the previous system of first order DEs to noninteger order:

\[
\begin{align*}
D_t^\alpha y_4 &= \frac{1}{1-Ay_5} \left\{ Ay_6y_4 - \frac{1}{2}(y_5)^2 + y_2y_4 + 2\lambda y_7 + 2(y_5)^2 + S\left(\frac{\eta}{2}y_4 + y_5\right)\right\}, \\
D_t^\alpha y_6 &= \frac{1}{1-Ay_5} \left\{ Ay_6y_6 - y_5y_5 + y_2y_6 + S\left(\frac{\eta}{2}y_6 + y_5\right)\right\}, \\
D_t^\alpha y_{10} &= Sc(y_{10}y_5), \\
D_t^\alpha y_8 &= \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon y_7} \left\{ Pr \left[ y_3y_2 - \frac{1}{2}y_3y_2 + S\left(\frac{\eta}{2}y_2 + 2y_7\right) - Ec\left(\frac{y_5}{2}\right)^2\right] - \varepsilon(y_8)^2\right\}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(21)

Figure 8: Viscosity parameter $A$ effect on energy profile $\theta(\eta)$. 

We now use the Caputo fractional derivative to extend the previous system of first order DEs to noninteger order:
5. Result and Discussion

The purpose of this section is to quantify and compare the functionality of energy and mass transition rate based on various physical factors. The findings are produced using Matlab fractional package (fde12), and a fast-approaching numerical technique bvp4c, has been applied to ensure the validity and correctness of the outcomes.

Table 1 shows the adequacy of the current study when compared to [26]. While the fractional and numerical methods have been compared in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. For mixed convection and Prandtl number $Pr$, the numerical outcomes of tangential and azimuthal skin friction is shown in Table 2. For mixed convection and Prandtl number, Table 3 shows the quantitative results of Sherwood Nusselt number.

The schematic drawing of a revolving cone is depicted in Figure 1. Figures 2–12(a) depict the behavior of various flow entities when $\alpha = 1$, whereas Figures 2–12(b) describe the fractional behavior of basic constraints when $\alpha = 0.8$.

The upshot of $A$ (variable viscosity) on the velocity field is noticed in Figure 2. At $t = 0$, the velocity at the cone surface is assumed to be zero, and with increasing credit of viscosity variation, the fluid velocity rises in both Figures 2(a) and 2(b).

![Graph](image-url)
Figures 3(a) and 3(b) demonstrate the distribution of the mixed convection $\lambda$ vs the axial velocity profile $f'(\eta)$. Physically, mixed convection has a beneficial influence on boundary layer thickness, resulting in an increase in fluid axial velocity. Convection decreases fluid density, causing fluid particles to move as a result of forces and pressure.

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show that increasing the value of the unsteadiness parameter $S$ lowers fluid velocity. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) exhibit the radial velocity features versus the variable viscosity coefficient $A$. It has been discovered that when the variable viscosity $A$ increases, the fluid’s radial velocity decreases. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) depict the effect of the convection component on radial velocity. The convection component has a significant impact on fluid motion, and as a result, the velocity drops. In the presence of the unsteadiness parameter $S$, the radial velocity responds similar as an axial velocity. The radial velocity decreases with the increment in $S$ as elaborated through Figures 7(a) and 7(b).

Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show a reduction in thermal gradient when the variable viscosity factor is increased. The interaction forces within fluid molecules grow as the amount of variable viscosity rises, which results in the lowering fluid energy profile. It is self-evident that when the Prandtl number $\text{Pr}$ increases, the fluid temperature significantly reduces. Because a fluid with a higher Prandtl number has a lower thermal diffusivity (Figures 9(a) and 9(b)).

In Figures 10(a) and 10(b), an increase in energy profile is observed against the Eckert number $Ec$. The Eckert number describes a fluid’s self-rising thermal rate as a result of
viscous dissipation. The fluid temperature is efficiently increased using a variable thermal conductivity as revealed through Figures 11(a) and 11(b). The result of Schmidt number vs mass transmission rate is shown in Figures 12(a) and 12(b). The fluid’s mass transfer rate is reduced as the Schmidt number is increased.

6. Conclusion

The rotating flow of a viscous fluid with time-dependent viscosity and thermal conductivity across a vertical cone is evaluated in this study. A comparison of the numerical bvp4c method and fractional fde12 package is also emphasized. The study’s compelling observations are listed below:

(i) With a positive increase in unsteadiness entity $S$, both the axial and radial velocity declines

(ii) As the value of the mixed convection component improves, the fluid axial velocity $f^a(\eta)$ appears to increase substantially, but the secondary velocity $g(\eta)$ gradient decreases

(iii) Similarly, the main velocity $f^a(\eta)$ increases as the viscosity variability component $A$ grows, while the radial velocity $g(\eta)$ operates transversely as $A$ increases

(iv) Improvements in thermal conductivity, Eckert number $Ec$ and viscosity constraint $A$, limit the rate of thermal energy transference $NuRe_x^{-1/2}$

Figure 11: Variable thermal conductivity effect on energy profile $\theta(\eta)$. 

![Figure 11](image-url)
Mixed convection has a beneficial influence on boundary layer thickness, resulting in an increase in fluid primary velocity. Convection reduces the density of the fluid, causing fluid particles to flow owing to forces and pressure.

Nomenclature

- $S$: Unsteadiness parameter
- $\alpha^*$: Semi vertical angle of cone
- $T$: Fluid temperature (K)
- $T_{\infty}$: Temperature away from cone surface
- $\varepsilon$: Variable thermal conductivity coefficient
- $\eta$: Similarity variable
- $\mu_0$: Dynamic viscosity
- $\nu_0$: Kinematic viscosity
- $Pr$: Prandtl number
- $\theta$: Dimensionless temperature
- $\rho$: Density
- $c_p$: Specific heat
- $z$-axis: Axial or normal to cone
- $C_{fs}$: Skin friction
- $Nu$: Nusselt number
- $Bvp4c$: Boundary value solver
- $u, v, w$: Velocity component
- $\alpha$: Fractional order
- $T_w$: Wall temperature
- $\beta$: Temperature expansion coefficient
- $g$: Gravity
- $\lambda$: Mixed convection
- $t^*$: Dimensionless time
- $k$: Thermal conductivity

Figure 12: Schmidt number effect on mass profile $\phi(\eta)$. 
\( \Omega \): Angular velocity of disk (r s\(^{-1}\))
\( \phi \): Dimensionless concentration
\( k_0 \): Fluid conductivity
\( Ec \): Eckert number
\( A \): Variable viscosity coefficient
\( \phi_l \): Skin friction
\( Sh \): Sherwood number
FDE12: Matlab fractional package.
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