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Abstract
Rationale: Extra-adrenal myelolipoma in the presacral area often raises a concern for liposarcoma because they have similar radiologic features.

Patient concerns: A 70-year-old woman with multiple abdominal surgeries in the past presented with persistent lower abdominal pain and anemia. A presacral mass, found on a pelvic magnetic resonance image (MRI), was suspicious of liposarcoma, as it is the most common fat-containing mass in the presacral area. It is often difficult to make a diagnosis of myelolipoma just based on radiologic features, which necessitates a histopathologic examination of the resected mass for a definitive diagnosis.

Diagnoses: Myelolipoma is an encapsulated, benign tumor containing mature adipocytes and hematologic cells. Most of the patients with myelolipoma remain asymptomatic but continued growth of tumor without local invasion can lead to compression of nearby structures, causing persistent pain.

Interventions: Asymptomatic patients do not require intervention but surgical resection is indicated for persistent pain or hemorrhage inside the tumor. Our patient had 2 indications for surgery – persistent pain as well as a provisional diagnosis of liposarcoma. After the surgical resection, pelvic pain was resolved, and a diagnosis of myelolipoma was made based on histopathologic examination.

Outcomes: Patient was reassured that it was myelolipoma, a benign tumor, not requiring subsequent surveillance for recurrence.

Lessons: Despite advancement in imaging techniques, and knowledge of the radiological features of myelolipoma, it still remains as a challenge for clinicians to make the distinction between liposarcoma and myelolipoma only based on diagnostic radiology. Although myelolipoma is a benign tumor, if patient suffers from persistent pain due to local mass effect, surgical resection is required.

Abbreviations: CT = computer tomography, IV = intravenous, MRI = magnetic resonance image.
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1. Introduction
Myelolipoma is a rare disease entity. It is a benign tumor with mature adipocytes and mature hematopoietic cells. It can be classified into 4 subgroups, based on their clinicopathological features: isolated adrenal myelolipoma, adrenal myelolipoma with acute hemorrhage, extra-adrenal myelolipoma, and myelolipoma associated with other adrenal disease.

Isolated adrenal myelolipoma is the most common type, and it is most frequently found as an isolated adrenal incidentaloma on imaging studies. Patients are usually asymptomatic, since most of the tumors are non-functioning. However, when its size grows, it can have central hemorrhage as a complication. There were multiple case reports of acute massive retroperitoneal hemorrhage due to myelolipoma.

Although adrenal myelolipoma is the most common form, myelolipoma can occur in any part of the body. There are 77 English literature results in PubMed when searched for “extra-adrenal myelolipoma,” describing occurrences in various parts of the body but most commonly, presacral, retroperitoneal, and mediastinal areas.

With advancement in imaging techniques, the incidence of myelolipoma has risen slightly, but its diagnosis, and management remain challenging. It is often misdiagnosed as a liposarcoma based on imaging because liposarcoma is the most common fat-containing presacral mass. However, unlike myelolipoma, liposarcoma is malignant, and thus, more aggressive, requiring immediate surgical intervention. Whether to obtain a core-needle biopsy, or an excisional biopsy remains controversial as core-needle biopsy can cause seeding of the tumor in the needle tract while excisional biopsy is a lot more invasive, requiring general anesthesia.

Here, we present a case of symptomatic presacral myelolipoma, which was initially misdiagnosed as liposarcoma.

1.1. Presenting concerns
A 70 year-old African American woman presented with ongoing pelvic pain for a few months. She did not have any other
associated symptoms such as fever, dysuria, vaginal bleeding, diarrhea or rectal bleeding.

1.2. Clinical findings

The patient’s comorbidities included hypertension, chronic obstructive lung disease, chronic kidney disease, and anemia. She also had multiple abdominal surgeries, including partial colectomy, and total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo oophorectomy. Physical examination was unremarkable other than abdominal tenderness in the lower quadrants.

1.3. Timeline

| Date         | Relevant past medical history and interventions |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Date         | Summaries from initial and follow-up visits   |
|              | Diagnostic testing (including dates)         |
|              | Interventions                                |
| July 22, 2016| A 70 year-old African American woman presented with ongoing pelvic pain for a few months without any other associated symptoms such as fever, dysuria, vaginal bleeding, diarrhea, or rectal bleeding. She also reported that she was recently found to have a “pelvic mass” at another hospital. |
| July 22, 2016| No pelvic mass identified. Pelvic ascites present. Ultrasound of pelvis and transvaginal ultrasound of abdomen showed pelvic ascites without a visible mass. |
| July 23, 2016| Diagnosed common bile duct and small ascites. Ultrasound of abdomen showed dilated common bile duct and small ascites. |
| July 25, 2016| Magnetic resonance image (MRI) showing the pelvic mass. MRI pelvis with and without contrast. Patient left the hospital prior to being evaluated by surgical team. |
| November 18, 2016| Delay in colonoscopy due to loss to follow up. Diverticulosis in colon. Non-bleeding hemorrhoids. No further intervention. Colonoscopy showed no evidence of colonic malignancy associated with the mass. CT chest, abdomen, and pelvis with oral, and IV contrast for staging purpose showed a stable fat-containing well-circumscribed presacral mass measuring 4.2 × 3.9 × 3.0 cm. |
| January 01, 2017| Patient came back with persistent abdominal and pelvic pain. Staging computer tomography (CT) did not show any evidence of metastasis. CT chest, abdomen, and pelvis with oral and IV contrast for staging purpose showed a stable fat-containing well-circumscribed presacral mass measuring 4.2 × 3.9 × 3.0 cm. |
| January 18, 2017| Came for elective surgery. Not applicable. Resection of the pelvic mass. |

1.4. Diagnostic focus and assessment

The patient did have chronic normocytic anemia (mean corpuscular volume was 92 to 96; hemoglobin was 8.6 gm/dL; and hematocrit was 25.3%). Her other routine laboratory values including white blood cell counts and platelet counts were normal as was basic metabolic profile. A transvaginal ultrasound showed pelvic ascites without a visible mass. MRI of abdomen, and pelvis with, and without gadobenate (MultiHance) showed a focal mass which measures 3.5 cm transverse × 3 cm anteroposterior × 3.6 cm craniocaudally within a presacral soft tissue. MRI = magnetic resonance image.
1.5. Therapeutic focus and assessment

The patient underwent surgical resection of the mass without complication. Although not included in this article, the resection was complete, and margins were clear without evidence of local invasion. The histopathological examination of the resected mass showed numerous adipocytes with hematopoietic cells interspersed between the adipocytes on hematoxylin and eosin stain under /C2 100 magnification (Fig. 3). Under higher magnification (×400), individual hematopoietic element, including erythrocytes, megakaryocytes, and granulocytes, is well visualized (Fig. 4). There is no dominance in 1 particular lineage. Hematopoietic components appeared mature although immunohistochemistry was not performed. Overall, the histology of the sample resembled a bone marrow biopsy without bone trabeculae. Lipoblasts, and stromal cells, typically seen in liposarcoma, were also absent.

1.6. Follow-up and outcomes

There was no need for follow-up as the mass was a benign tumor on histopathology and patient’s abdominal pain resolved after the surgery.

2. Discussion

Myelolipoma is most often found as an adrenal incidentaloma on imaging. There have been about 50 case reports, and literature reviews. It has a woman predominance with 2:1 ratio, and occurs among middle-aged to elderly population with a mean age of 60. Various parts of the body have been involved: presacral, retroperitoneum, mediastinum, liver, stomach, lungs, pelvis, and spleen.24

Most patients with myelolipoma are asymptomatic, as the tumor is non-functional. However, with continued growth, it can lead to local mass effect, compressing surrounding structures. Rarely, it can also adhere to a nearby structure, making surgical resection difficult. When the mass enlarges, it can also result in central hemorrhage.

Different imaging modalities have been used in the past to characterize myelolipoma. Such modalities include ultrasound, CT, and MRI. On ultrasound, myelolipoma has various appearances, depending on the proportion of fat and hematopoietic elements – fatty parts will appear as hyperechoic areas while hematopoietic cells appear as hypoechoic areas. On CT, myelolipoma presents as an encapsulated mass with a macroscopic fatty tissue admixed with a soft tissue, representing hematopoietic elements. Also, hematopoietic parts may enhance after IV contrast injection. MRI is the best modality to characterize myelolipoma. Fatty area has high signal intensity on T1-weighted images which can be confirmed on fat suppression sequence while hematopoietic parts appear as lower signal intensity on T1-weighted and intermediate signal intensity on T2-weighted images.25

Despite advancement in imaging techniques, and knowledge of the radiological features of myelolipoma, it still remains as a challenge for clinicians to make the distinction between liposarcoma and myelolipoma only based on diagnostic radiology.9,10 However, it is very important to make a correct diagnosis as the prognosis, and management for myelolipoma are very different from liposarcoma, as the former is benign while the latter is malignant. Most patients with extra-adrenal myelolipoma are asymptomatic, and need serial assessment with imaging for monitoring without surgical intervention.

Differential diagnoses for a fat-containing presacral mass includes liposarcoma, extramedullary hematopoiesis, and germ cell tumor. These disease entities have distinctive pathophysiology as well as different features on imaging.

Liposarcoma is the most common retroperitoneal fat-containing mass.11 It is malignant and more aggressive in nature. It appears as more infiltrative growth with less well-defined borders.12 Because, liposarcoma lacks encapsulation, it often involves local invasion. Histology often shows lipoblasts and stromal cells. Management involves surgical radical resection.

Extramedullary hematopoiesis also contains fat although usually at a microscopic level. The predilection site is mediastinum but it can infrequently occur in a presacral area. It is usually multifocal, and poorly circumscribed.13 Also, it is more commonly, seen among young to middle-aged men in association with myeloproliferative disorders, and chronic hemolytic anemia.
Germ cell tumor is another fat-containing mass that can occur in the pelvic region. Germ cell tumors appear as well-circumscribed heterogeneous masses on image, because they contain fat, soft tissue, and calcification. Calcification is often a distinctive feature of teratoma although it can also be seen in myelolipoma following hemorrhage.

Our patient carried a misdiagnosis of liposarcoma from another facility. The first MRI of abdomen and pelvis showed a well-circumscribed fat-containing mass, with a provisional diagnosis of liposarcoma again. Surgical resection relieved her pain, and provided a definitive tissue diagnosis of myelolipoma.
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