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HIGHLIGHTS

- The degradation rate of PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system is 50 times higher than that without MoO$_2$.
- Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle on (110) surface of MoO$_2$ in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system was confirmed.
- The metal active sites exposed to MoO$_2$ (110) surface are responsible for PMS activation.
- Compared with MoS$_2$, MoO$_2$ co-catalytic system has less toxicity and no release of H$_2$S.
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Metallic Active Sites on MoO$_2$(110) Surface to Catalyze Advanced Oxidation Processes for Efficient Pollutant Removal

Jiahui Ji, Rashed M. Aleisa, Huan Duan, Jinlong Zhang, Yadong Yin, and Mingyang Xing

SUMMARY

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) based on sulfate radicals (SO$_4$$^{2-}$) suffer from low conversion rate of Fe(III) to Fe(II) and produce a large amount of iron sludge as waste. Herein, we show that by using MoO$_2$ as a cocatalyst, the rate of Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycling in PMS system accelerated significantly, with a reaction rate constant 50 times that of PMS/Fe(II) system. Our results showed outstanding removal efficiency (96%) of L-RhB in 10 min with extremely low concentration of Fe(II) (0.036 mM), outperforming most reported SO$_4$$^{2-}$-based AOPs systems. Surface chemical analysis combined with density functional theory (DFT) calculation demonstrated that both Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycling and PMS activation occurred on the (110) crystal plane of MoO$_2$, whereas the exposed active sites of Mo(IV) on MoO$_2$ surface were responsible for accelerating PMS activation. Considering its performance, and non-toxicity, using MoO$_2$ as a cocatalyst is a promising technique for large-scale practical environmental remediation.

INTRODUCTION

The presence of organic pollutants such as aromatic organic compounds in the environment is among the most significant issue for humans that requires immediate remediation (Muthuraman and Teng, 2009; Crini, 2006; Al-Ghouti et al., 2003). These pollutants are toxic, carcinogenic, and recalcitrant to degrade with time, demonstrating the great need for their removal (Ito et al., 2016; Du et al., 2018b; Yi et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2018). Although several processing methods have been proposed for effectively removing organic compounds from places such as drinking water, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) based on the generation of hydroxyl radicals (·OH) are among the most promising techniques because they are inexpensive, environmentally safe (Buck et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019, Tao et al., 2001), and efficient in oxidizing almost all organic pollutants to harmless products (Clarizia et al., 2017).

Recently, sulfate radical (SO$_4$$^{2-}$)-based AOPs have drawn much interests (Zhang et al., 2016; Yun et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2018) due to their higher oxidation potentials (SO$_4$$^{2-}$, 2.5–3.1 eV) compared with hydroxyl radical (·OH, 2.8 eV), longer half-life, higher selectivity (Li et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2017), and tolerance to wider pH range (2–8) (Ghanbari and Moradi, 2017). Peroxymonosulfate (PMS) molecules are widely used as a source for sulfate radicals in AOPs, which can be activated during the treatment process through various methods such as heating (Chen et al., 2016), UV light (Guan et al., 2011), transition metal ions, and ultrasound (Liu et al., 2017; Du et al., 2018a). Dionysiou et al. found that PMS can be activated by various transition metals, among which Co(II) and Ru(III) demonstrated the best performances as catalysts for generating sulfate radicals (Anipsitakis and Dionysiou, 2003, 2004). However, their high toxicity and scarcity significantly limited their implementation in PMS activation system. A more environmental and economical alternative to Co and Ru has been found to be Fe(III), which can decompose PMS and generate SO$_4$$^{2-}$ in a similar manner (Dan et al., 2014). Generally, the stoichiometric ratio of PMS to Fe(II) is maintained at approximately 1:1 according to Equation 1. Transformation from Fe(III) to Fe(II) was found to be the limiting step for the reaction (Anipsitakis and Dionysiou, 2003). Besides, the activation of PMS by Fe(III) will also produce SO$_4$$^{2-}$ (1.1 eV) under acidic conditions (Equation 2), greatly decreasing its oxidation capacity (Anipsitakis and Dionysiou, 2004).

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HSO}_5^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{OH}^- \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

$$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HSO}_5^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}^+ \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

In addition, the amount required for Fe(II) to be used in PMS activation is considered extremely large, which is also responsible for producing large amount of iron sludge (Rastogi et al., 2009b). Therefore, several
other combination methods have been proposed to further enhance the performance of Fe(II) in PMS activation system. For example, iron tetracarboxyphthalocyanine molecules were synthesized as a homogeneous catalyst to activate PMS, which outperformed the performance of Co(II) (Dai et al., 2017). Also, a p-MnO2/Fe3O4 catalyst with high porosity showed excellent regeneration ability just by simply washing with deionized water (Du et al., 2018a). Assisted UV irradiation has shown also to greatly improve the regeneration of Fe(II) (Khan et al., 2016). However, the following factors need to be considered when using the assisted-Fe(II)/PMS activation: (1) the elimination of competitive reaction between organic complexes and pollutants; (2) the reduction of energy consumption during the process; and (3) the simplicity of preparation and availability of the assisted materials or methods. Recently, AOPs with MoS2 as a cocatalyst have achieved surprising results (Xing et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Sheng et al., 2019). However, there are still some critical problems with MoS2 as a cocatalyst to decompose PMS: the inevitable secondary pollution caused by the generation of H2S during reaction and the fact that MoS2 itself can activate PMS, leading to itself to be consumed.

Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop a greener and more efficient cocatalyst that can replace MoS2 for rapid, stable, and efficient cocatalytic decomposition of PMS for environmental remediation. Here, we present a facile strategy to significantly enhance the performance of SO4\(^{2-}\)/C0\^\text{2+}\)-based AOPs by using molybdenum dioxide (MoO2) as a cocatalyst in PMS/Fe(II) system. The oxidation efficiencies of PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system were examined with different aromatic organic pollutants, including lissamine rhodamine B (L-RhB), phenol, methylene blue (MB), sulfadiazine, and norfloxacin. Among them, the degradation rate of L-RhB in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system was significantly improved, 50 times higher than that in the PMS/Fe(II) system, with removal efficiency of 96% in 10 min while very low concentration of Fe(II) was used (0.036 mM), exceeding most reported PMS/Fe(II) systems. We further employed surface chemical analysis and DFT calculation to understand the cocatalytic enhancement of MoO2. The results revealed that the (110) crystal plane of MoO2 worked as active site for PMS activation, where the exposed Mo(IV) on the MoO2 cocatalyzed the conversion of Fe(III) to Fe(II), leading to continuous activation of PMS.

RESULTS
MoO2 Cocatalytic PMS/Fe(II) System for the Oxidation Reaction

As shown in Figure 1A, no oxidation of L-RhB was observed in the absence of PMS. Besides, in the absence of Fe(II) ions, the oxidation efficiency was extremely low that only 4.1% of L-RhB was removed. This is attributed mainly to almost no production of reactive radical species in the absence of PMS or Fe(II). When the concentration of Fe(II) was fairly low (0.036 mM) and no MoO2 was added, the degradation performance of the PMS/Fe(II) system remained poor because of the slow conversion rate of Fe(III) to Fe(II) (Anipsitakis and Dionysiou, 2004), with only 29% of L-RhB degraded within 30 min. However, when all components were involved, L-RhB degraded near completely in 10 min (96%), indicating that MoO2 accelerated the conversion from Fe(III) to Fe(II), leading to continuous activation of PMS.

We also found that the degradation rate slowed as the concentration of L-RhB increased (Figure 1B), because there is always a constant number of radical species generated when the amount of PMS is fixed. In addition to L-RhB, the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system also showed a rapid and effective degradation of other organic pollutants. Figure 1C shows that phenol, MB, sulfadiazine, and norfloxacin were degraded by 69%, 84%, 80%, and 59% in 30 min, respectively, demonstrating the potentials of this system for remediating various organic pollutants.

To explore the influence of MoO2, Fe(II), and PMS concentrations on the reaction rate, a series of experiments were conducted to determine the best reaction conditions (Figures S1A–S1C). The oxidation rate of L-RhB becomes faster with the increase of Fe(II) and MoO2 at pH 3.0 (Figures S1A and S1B). It is worth noting that the concentration of Fe(II) in the solution was extremely low (0–0.036 mM), far less than the molar amount of PMS, so the increase of Fe(II) concentration had a significant effect on the PMS activation (Anipsitakis and Dionysiou, 2003). The more addition of MoO2 provided more redox active sites for the transformation from Fe(III) to Fe(II), resulting in the rapid oxidation of L-RhB. However, with the increase of PMS (Figure S1C), the degradation rate first increased and then decreased a little, reaching the
maximum when the adding amount of PMS was 0.650 mM, which could be attributed to the scavenging of SO$_4^{2-}$ by excess PMS to produce SO$_5^{2-}$ (1.1 eV) via Equation 3 (Ling et al., 2010).

$$\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{HSO}_5^{-} \rightarrow \text{SO}_5^{2-} + \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}^+$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(Equation 3)}

The kinetics were investigated by using a first-order kinetic model, as in the following equation: $-\ln(C/C_0) = k \cdot t$, where $C_0$ and $C$ represent organic matter concentrations at time $t = 0$ and $t$, respectively, and $k$ (min$^{-1}$) is the reaction rate constant (Figures S1D–S1F). Figures S1D–S1F show that the experiment results were fitting the first-order kinetics well. Not surprisingly, the reaction rate constant ($k$) was upgraded with the increase of Fe(II) and MoO$_2$. Specifically, the $k$ value with the condition of 0.036 mM Fe(II) (0.311 min$^{-1}$) was 222 times faster than that without Fe(II) (0.00140 min$^{-1}$). Meanwhile, the addition of MoO$_2$ made $k$ 4–50 times faster than that with no MoO$_2$ added (0.00938 min$^{-1}$), and there was no striking difference between 300 mg/L and 600 mg/L MoO$_2$ added. When the PMS concentration was 0.650 mM, the $k$ value was the largest, about 2.3 times higher than that with 0.325 mM and a little higher than that with 1.300 mM. As a result, we concluded that Fe(II) had the greatest influence on the reaction rate in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system, whereas the addition of MoO$_2$ significantly reduced the required amount of Fe(II), and the amount of PMS greatly determined the amount of radical species generated.

In the exploration of the influence of the initial pH in the mixture, we found that L-RhB could be removed efficiently in 30 min with a wide initial pH range of 2.0–9.0, as shown in Figure 1D. An increase in the degradation efficiency of L-RhB was obtained by increasing the initial pH from 2.0 to 3.0, in which Fe(OH)$_2$ might form and activate PMS more efficiently as reported previously (Pignatello et al., 2006). However, L-RhB could be still completely oxidized within 20 min when the initial pH was neutral. There was a slight decrease in the degradation rate when the initial pH increased from 4.0 to 7.0. It has been reported that Fe(II) coprecipitates with Fe(III) oxyhydroxides when both Fe(II) and Fe(III) coexist under a pH value over 3.0. The degradation rate of L-RhB continued to decrease as the initial pH was increased to 9.0 because of more iron coprecipitation. Thus, the fastest degradation rate was obtained at pH 3.0. According to Equation 1, when Fe(II) activates PMS, OH$^-$ is generated. Under acidic conditions, the generated OH$^-$ can be quickly neutralized so that the reaction can proceed in the positive reaction direction. Moreover, under acidic conditions,
Fe(II) is not easily complexed with OH\(^{-}\), which leads its precipitation. Thus, PMS can be activated more easily by Fe(II) under acidic conditions. Nevertheless, with the increase in initial pH, the removal efficiency of L-RhB in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\(_2\) system varied slightly but remained superior compared with the PMS/Fe(II) system. The variation of pH values in the system was also measured during the reaction process as shown in Figure 1E. Considering the possibility of radical consumption or complexation with Fe(II) or Fe(III), there were no buffering reagents included in the solution so far. Regardless of the initial pH of the system, the reaction solution would quickly become acidic when PMS was added, because KH\(_2\)SO\(_4\) molecules are essential part of the PMS mixtures (Wacławek et al., 2015). Also, the pH values slowly declined until PMS was completely consumed (Figure 1E). This explains why the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\(_2\) system maintained a high level of activity in the treatment of neutral dye solution because this dropping of pH value would suppress the precipitation of Fe(II), keeping Fe(II) at high catalytic activity in the acidic solution. Moreover, the influence of solution pH was also investigated with potassium hydrogen phthalate (C\(_8\)H\(_5\)KO\(_4\), pH 4.00), mixed phosphate (pH 6.86), and borax (Na\(_2\)B\(_4\)O\(_7\)·10H\(_2\)O, pH 9.18) buffer solutions, respectively. As shown in Figure S2, the degradation efficiency of L-RhB became extremely poor at all three different pH conditions, which may be attributed to the consumption of most of the free radicals by the ions in the buffer solution, leading to few free radicals attacking L-RhB molecular (Zou et al., 2013).

We concluded that the optimal conditions for the degradation of L-RhB were as follows: an initial pH value of 3.0, PMS concentration of around 0.650 mM, and the more MoO\(_2\) and Fe(II) are added to the system, the faster the reaction rate will be. Given that moderate dosages of 300 mg/L MoO\(_2\) and 0.036 mM Fe(II) were enough to completely degrade L-RhB, they were chosen for most further experiments in the subsequent investigations. Ultimately, the performance of PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\(_2\) system was also compared with other reported heterogeneous catalysis SO\(_4\)^{2-}-based AOPs, where its removal efficiency performed most reported values as shown in Table S1.

### Identification of Reactive Species in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\(_2\) System

KH\(_2\)SO\(_4\) has an asymmetric structure (HO-O-SO\(_4\)^{2-}\)), so it can be activated to produce sulfate radical (SO\(_4\)^{2-}\)) via Equation 1, persulfate radical (SO\(_5\)^{2-}\)) via Equation 2, or hydroxyl radicals (’OH) via Equation 4. At the same time, those radicals interconvert via Equations 5 and 6, which is partially influenced by the solution pH (Duan et al., 2018). For further exploration of the main reactive species throughout the organic oxidation process, selective radical quenching tests were done with TBA and MeOH. The carbon atom of MeOH attached to the hydroxyl has three \(\alpha\)-hydrogens ([\(\alpha\)-H\(_2\)C-OH], which allows methanol to capture ‘OH \((k = (1.2−2.8) \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1})\) and SO\(_4\)^{2-}\) \((k = (1.6−7.7) \times 10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1})\)) at high reaction rates. On the other hand, TBA, which has no \(\alpha\)-hydrogen, can react with ‘OH \((k = (3.8−7.6) \times 10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1})\) faster than SO\(_4\)^{2-}\) \((k = (4.0−9.1) \times 10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1})\) (Liang and Su, 2009). However, both MeOH and TBA are nonreactive with SO\(_5\)^{2-}\) \((k \leq 10^3 M^{-1} s^{-1})\) (Hayon et al., 1972). Therefore, the contributions of SO\(_5\)^{2-}\) and ‘OH/SO\(_4\)^{2-}\) can be differentiated by MeOH, whereas TBA can be employed to distinguish the contributions of ‘OH and SO\(_4\)^{2-}\).

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HSO}_5^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad \text{(Equation 4)}
\]

\[
\text{SO}_5^{2-} + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(Equation 5)}
\]

As shown in Figure 1F, when the molar ratio of MeOH to PMS was maintained as 500:1, only 26% of L-RhB was degraded, which confirms the small contribution of SO\(_5\)^{2-}\) in the system. However, 100% degradation efficiency was achieved in 30 min when 500 times molar ratio of TBA to PMS was maintained in the mixture, which was much slower compared with the controlled experiment. This result indicates that the radicals generated from PMS were mainly SO\(_4\)^{2-}\) and ‘OH, and a small number of SO\(_5\)^{2-}\). The presence of Fe(II) under acidic conditions implies that SO\(_5\)^{2-}\) and ‘OH contributed the most to L-RhB degradation. To further prove the generation of ‘OH, the photoluminescence (PL) signal of hydroxybenzoic acid formed by benzoic acid capturing ‘OH was measured. As shown in Figure 2A, the signal of hydroxybenzoic acid increased significantly in the first five minutes and then slowed down, which is consistent with the interpretation that ‘OH plays a significant role in the system.

To further support these assumptions, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) was employed to detect the existence of radicals, coupled with 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline (DMPO) as a spin-trapping reagent that can capture both SO\(_4\)^{2-}\) and ‘OH. The intensity of DMPO radical adducts is in direct proportion to the concentration of reactive radical species (Zamora and Villamena, 2012; Fang et al., 2017). As illustrated in Figure 2B,
compared with the low EPR signals in the PMS/Fe(II) system and no EPR signal in the PMS/MoO2 system, the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system exhibited the characteristic DMPO\textsuperscript{-OH} and DMPO\textsuperscript{-SO4}/C0 adduct signals, which further indicates that both \textsuperscript{-OH} and \textsuperscript{-SO4}/C0 were generated during PMS activation. The addition of MoO2 only facilitated the recycle of Fe(III)/Fe(II), hence promoting the generation of radical species. Moreover, the intensity of DMPO\textsuperscript{-SO4}/C0 adduct signals was much lower than the DMPO\textsuperscript{-OH} adduct signals. This might be attributed to the fast conversion of DMPO\textsuperscript{-SO4}/C0 adducts to DMPO\textsuperscript{-OH} adducts through the nucleophilic substitution reaction (Furman et al., 2010, Timmins et al., 1999).

**Exploration of PMS Activation Mechanism in PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 System**

The slow conversion of Fe(III) to Fe(II) is the rate-determining step in effective PMS activation (Rastogi et al., 2009a, 2009b). Based on our results, the acceleration of L-RhB oxidation rate was attributed to MoO2 promoting the transformation of Fe(III) to Fe(II), consequently leading to faster activation of PMS. To further explore this hypothesis, the valence levels of Fe(II) and Fe(III) during the reaction were investigated. According to Equation 4, the ratio of Fe(II) to Fe(III) is believed to be positively correlated with the activation rate of PMS. 1,10-phenanthroline molecule can complex with Fe(II) to produce the jacinth complex in a pH range of 2–9 (Harvey et al., 1955, Herrera et al., 1989), whereas potassium thiocyanate (KSCN) is usually used to coordinate with Fe(III) to produce a blood-red complex (Kusic et al., 2011). As shown in Figures 2C and 2D, before the addition of PMS, the concentrations of Fe(II) (blue bar) were the same, whereas no Fe(III) was detected in the solutions (orange bar) in both the PMS/Fe(II) system and the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system. When PMS was added, the concentrations of Fe(II) in the solutions rapidly decreased, and the concentrations of Fe(III) reached their maximum values within 5 min, illustrating that most Fe(II) was immediately oxidized to Fe(III) by PMS (Equation 1), and the reduction of Fe(III) was slow in the system (Equation 2). Fe(II) was extremely low during L-RhB oxidation in both systems. After almost complete consumption of PMS, Fe(III) was gradually reduced to Fe(II) by MoO2 until it maintained a relative dynamic equilibrium with the residual PMS, further indicating that MoO2 continuously accelerate the conversion of Fe(III) to Fe(II) because the presence of PMS made Fe(II) difficult to exist stably. After the PMS was almost consumed, the stable existence of Fe(II) could be detected. Notably, the equilibrium concentration of Fe(III) in the
PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system was much lower than that in the PMS/Fe(II) system. Therefore, zeta potential tests were conducted to determine the isoelectric point (IEP) of MoO$_2$. The results showed that its IEP was between pH 4 and 5 (Figure 2E). Because the pH was lower than 4 during the reaction, the surface of MoO$_2$ would be positively charged, leading PMS to be easily adsorbed, and then Fe(II) could be absorbed as well. Then, MoO$_2$ was recovered, dried, and redispersed in an acidic aqueous solution (pH = 3) after completing the oxidation reaction. Through ICP measurements of the supernatant, we found that the iron ions adsorbed on the surface of MoO$_2$ accounted for 87.7% of the initial amount, which could explain the low equilibrium concentration of Fe(III) and the incomplete recovery of Fe(II) in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system.

\[
2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \equiv \text{Mo}^{4+} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \equiv \text{Mo}^{6+} \quad \text{(Equation 7)}
\]

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \equiv \text{Mo}^{4+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \equiv \text{Mo}^{5+} \quad \text{(Equation 8)}
\]

Given that the reduction potential of Fe(III)/Fe(II) (0.77 V) is higher than that of MoO$_4^{2-}$/MoO$_2$ (0.65 V) (Du et al., 2018a), it could be speculated that Mo(IV) on the surface of MoO$_2$ was oxidized by Fe(III) to Mo(V) and Mo(VI) (Equation 7). Fe(III) was converted to Fe(II) simultaneously (Equation 8), which was supported by Figure S3. (Ugo et al., 2002) To further support this argument, we studied the surface conditions of MoO$_2$ via SEM, XRD, Raman, and XPS, as depicted in Figures 2F and 3. Figures 3A and 3B display the SEM images of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction. It can be seen that the surface of MoO$_2$ after reaction was much rougher than that before the reaction, which proves that MoO$_2$ participated in the reaction. However, the XRD spectra in Figure 2F shows that the crystalline structure of MoO$_2$ did not change after the reaction, demonstrating that the deformed monoclinic structure of MoO$_2$ was quite stable, but the relative strength of the crystal plane (110) decreased, which might be ascribed to the redox reaction taking place on this plane and changing its surface condition (Xie et al., 2015, Sun et al., 2011). Moreover, the surface property of MoO$_2$ was investigated by Raman spectroscopy. The variety of electron cloud density causes red/blue shift of Raman peaks. As shown in Figure 3C, $A_g(O=\text{Mo})$ peak and two m-MoO$_2$ peaks of MoO$_2$ are blue shifted by 3, 1, and 6 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, after reaction (Camacho-López et al., 2011), because the electron clouds on the surface of MoO$_2$ transfer to Fe(III), leading to the decrease of the probability of collision between photons and electrons, so that the average free path of collision increases and the energy loss caused by collision decreases. Therefore, the energy of photons scattered by MoO$_2$ after reaction is higher than that of the ones scattered by MoO$_2$ before reaction, causing the displacement...
of three peaks of Raman spectra, the oxidation of Mo(IV), and the reduction of Fe(III). The variety of valence state of Mo in MoO$_2$ was evaluated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Five distinct peaks in the survey spectra of the MoO$_2$ before and after reaction are exhibited in Figure 3D, which can be indexed to Mo 3d (232.7 eV), C 1s (284.7 eV), Mo 3p (396.7 eV and 413.7 eV), and O 1s (530.7 eV), respectively. The Mo 3d peaks were further explored by high-resolution XPS. Figure 3E shows the multiple peaks of Mo 3d spectra, which are fitted well into three spin-orbit doublets, coinciding to the peaks of Mo(V), Mo(V), and Mo(VI) oxidation states. In detail, the two Mo 3d peaks of MoO$_2$ before/after reaction centered at 229.2/229.3 and 232.5/232.5 eV can be attributed to Mo(IV) 3d$_{5/2}$ and Mo(IV) 3d$_{3/2}$, the two peaks located at 229.7/229.7 and 233.4/233.5 eV are indexed to Mo(V) 3d$_{5/2}$ and Mo(V) 3d$_{3/2}$ (Zhang et al., 2019, Barros et al., 2003, Yi et al., 2019), and the other two peaks located at 231.1/231.0 and 234.3/234.3 eV are inferred to Mo(VI) 3d$_{5/2}$ and Mo(VI) 3d$_{3/2}$ (Camacho-López et al., 2011, Hanawa et al., 2001, Xie et al., 2015). Detailed fitting data are listed in Table S2 and the peak area ratios of Mo(V)/(Mo(V)+Mo(VI)) are calculated, which varies from 0.355 to 0.346, manifesting that some of Mo(V) on the sample surface was oxidized to Mo(V) and Mo(VI), leading to a slight decrease of the ratios. Fe ions (0.21 at%) were also detected on the surface of MoO$_2$, which is consistent with the result of the ICP test, but it is difficult to split the peak of Fe2p high-resolution XPS due to the low content of Fe. As shown in Figure 3F, Fe(III) and its satellite peaks are fitted (Tang et al., 2015), proving the existence of Fe(III) on the surface of MoO$_2$. Moreover, as shown in Figure S4, almost no change was found between O1s spectra of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction (Xia et al., 2018), indicating that no iron oxide was formed.

**DFT Calculation**

DFT calculation was employed to investigate the reaction mechanism in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system. MoO$_2$ has a monoclinic crystal structure, with P21c space group, and unit cell dimensions of $a = 5.611$ Å, $b = 4.856$ Å, $c = 5.629$ Å, and $\beta = 120.95^\circ$ (Brandt, 1971). Figure S5A shows its crystal structure, which consists of distorted octahedral [MoO$_6$] units. Structural optimizations of bulk MoO$_2$ were performed at a series of volumes to obtain the equilibrium unit cell parameters. The calculated lattice parameters ($a = 5.594$ Å, $b = 4.910$ Å, $c = 5.682$ Å) and bond angle ($\beta = 120.47^\circ$) were generally consistent with experimental data. To better understand the activation mechanism of PMS molecules (labeled as HSO$_5$- in Figure S5B) on the MoO$_2$ surfaces, DFT calculations were performed to determine which species are stable. The most commonly studied surface in rutile-type MoO$_2$ systems is the (110) plane, where the atomic layers along the [110] direction are ordered as Mo-O-Mo (Tokarz-Sobieraj et al., 2011). The MoO$_2$ (110) surface possesses three distinct surface terminations: (1) both Mo and O atoms exposed, (2) with O atoms exposed, and (3) O’ atoms exposed, as shown in Figures S5C–S5E. The comparison of surface formation energy—1.25 J/m$^2$, 1.12 J/m$^2$, and 0.79 J/m$^2$—indicated that a surface with the “bridging oxygen” termination (O’ termination) was most likely to form, hence, it was selected for the further analysis.

As shown in Figure 4A, during the activation on the MoO$_2$ (110) surface, the PMS molecule was likely to locate at the MoO$_2$ (110) surface with the two O atoms on the -SO$_2$ side bonding with two Mo atoms of the surface. The two bond lengths were calculated as 2.09 Å and 2.07 Å, respectively. In addition, the H atom on the -OH side would form a hydrogen bond with the O’ termination (approximately 1.80 Å in length), where the O-O bond length ($l_{O-O}$) rarely changed after its adsorption. All these inhibited the generation of hydroxyl radicals, which could explain the poor performance of MoO$_2$ alone in activating PMS. For the adsorption of PMS on the Fe(II)-decorated O’ surface, the PMS attached to the surface with three O atoms from -SO$_2$ group binding the Fe(II) and two Mo atoms, as shown in Figure 4B. The bond lengths were calculated as 2.08 Å, 2.24 Å, and 2.27 Å, respectively. The adsorption between PMS and surface was enhanced by these three bonds, the occurrence of more electron transfer, and that -OH side would be maintained far from the surface, leading to an elongation of $l_{O-O}$. To better understand the interaction between the surfaces and PMS activation, we calculated the adsorption energy of PMS ($E_{ads}$) on the different surfaces, charge transfer ($\Delta q$) between PMS and (110) surfaces, and the bond length ($l_{O-O}$) between the -OH group and -SO$_2$ group. All results are summarized in Table S3. The adsorption on both surfaces was found to be strong, with $E_{ads}$ being $-2.06$ and $-3.17$ eV for MoO$_2$ (110) surface without and with Fe(II) respectively. This was also consistent with the formation of chemical bonds between PMS and the two surfaces, illustrating the strong interaction between PMS and Fe(II) and electrons transferred from the surface atoms to the PMS molecules. The adsorption of PMS on Fe(II)-(110) was stronger, with lower $E_{ads}$, longer $l_{O-O}$, and more electrons received from the metal atoms on the surface. Therefore, we concluded that the PMS on the modified MoO$_2$ (110) surface was the most active site.
Based on the above comprehensive characterization and DFT calculations (Figure 4), the mechanism of the L-RhB degradation can be inferred as follows: first, HSO\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{−} adsorbed on MoO\textsubscript{2} surface under acidic conditions, followed by Fe(II) approaching the surface owing to its positive charge. Subsequently, Fe(II) donates one electron to HSO\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{−} transforming into Fe(III). Therefore, HSO\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{−} is dissociated into the radical species (\textsuperscript{•}OH and SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}) to attack the organic molecules. These results are supported by the rapid decline of Fe(II) in the first minute (Figure 2D) and the EPR signals of DMPO\textsuperscript{•−}·OH and DMPO\textsuperscript{•−}·SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} adducts (Figure 2B). Afterward, the organic compounds are mineralized by those radical species, and Fe(III) is reduced to Fe(II) by Mo(IV) on the surface of the MoO\textsubscript{2} to continue activating PMS at the same time. Moreover, PMS is also decomposed to produce SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} as a by-product. This cocatalytic mechanism of MoO\textsubscript{2} in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\textsubscript{2} system is schematically summarized in Figure 5A.

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was employed to analyze the primary products after the L-RhB degradation in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\textsubscript{2} system. As shown in Figure 5B, the strongest peak at 14.02 min, which corresponds to complete disappearance of L-RhB molecules after the oxidation reaction, confirms its complete degradation. Moreover, the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\textsubscript{2} system achieved relatively a high total organic carbon (TOC) removal rate (50%) with the addition of 0.650 mM PMS per 30 min, as illustrated in Figure 5C. This method may be an appropriate way for further mineralization of intermediates to H\textsubscript{2}O and CO\textsubscript{2} (Zou et al., 2013).

Due to the complex structure of L-RhB, we explored the degradation intermediates and mechanisms of phenol, another organic pollutant that can be degraded in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\textsubscript{2} system. Based on the fragment peaks obtained from gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) measurements (Figure S6), we speculated that mainly SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} and \textsuperscript{•}OH would attack the benzene ring first to form phenoxo radicals, thereby producing a series of ring-opening reactions, as speculative in the oxidation reaction.
pathway depicted in Scheme S1. However, the fragment (m/z = 73) with the strongest molecular ion peak could be attributed to glyoxylic acid intermediate, which is known to resist mineralization (Pimentel et al., 2008).

The reusability of MoO₂ is a very important aspect for commercial pollutants treatment. The cocatalytic activity of MoO₂ was greatly reduced in the second cycle as shown in Figure 5D. Vacuum calcination was employed to restore the activity of MoO₂. As shown in Figure S7, the activity of MoO₂ after vacuum calcination was still much worse than the original. Therefore, we suspect that the active sites on the surface of MoO₂ were covered by carbon deposits, which were difficult to remove, but after UV irradiation of MoO₂, its cocatalytic activity was restored, which could be attributed to the decomposition of some unmineralized carbon-based residues on MoO₂ surface. Hence, its cocatalytic activity remained stable for the next three recycles.

Subsequently, the amount of the dissolved Mo ions under acidic conditions was determined. Figure S8 shows that the dissolution balance of Mo ions (1.60 mg/L, 0.71% of the total Mo addition) was achieved in 120 min. Because each experiment ended in 30 min, and the dissolved Mo ions might be the primary cocatalyst in reducing Fe(III) rather than MoO₂ itself, the degradation of L-RhB and the variation of Fe(II) and Fe(III) concentrations were measured in the PMS/Fe(II)/dissolved Mo ion system. As shown in Figure 5E,
the degradation rate of L-RhB dropped sharply, with only 51.9% degraded in 30 min, which is far slower than that in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system. This demonstrates that the main cocatalytic effect in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system comes from Mo(IV) on the surface of MoO2 rather than the dissolved Mo ions. Also, the variations of Fe(II) and Fe(III) concentrations can explain the poor performance of the PMS/Fe(II)/dissolved Mo ions system. As shown in Figure 5F, almost no Fe(II) was recovered after 30 min, whereas Fe(III) concentration remained almost constant similar to the PMS/Fe(II) system, which could be correlated to the low conversion rate of Fe(III)/Fe(II), confirming that the few dissolved Mo ions were not sufficient to promote rapid Fe(III)/Fe(II) conversion.

Ultimately, a large scale-up test with 1 L system was employed to examine the practicality in scaling-up the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system for practical environmental remediations. As shown in Figure S9, PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system maintained its excellent catalytic performance compared with the PMS/Fe(II) system even in this large volume, consistent with results in Figure 1A. Moreover, we found that 12 times the amount of Fe(II) (40 mg/L per 10 min added) was required to make the degradation effect of PMS/Fe(II) system almost same as that of PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system. Therefore, the addition of MoO2 reduced the amount of Fe(II) needed by more than 92% and subsequently reduced the generation of iron sludge and the cost of secondary pollution treatment. Taking one ton of this wastewater as an example, the consumption of PMS and Fe(II) in MS/Fe(II) system was 0.82 $ and 0.17 $, respectively. And the consumption of PMS and Fe(II) in PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system was 0.82 $ and 0.01 $. Considering that the amount of PMS added to the two systems is the same, the cost difference between the two systems is mainly due to the amount of iron added. Therefore, the addition of cocatalyst can save 94% of the cost. This shows the great potentials of the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system for industrial applications.

Expanded Application of MoO2 in PMS/Fe(III) System

In general, Fe(III) does not readily activate PMS according to Equation 2. However, because the addition of MoO2 significantly promotes the conversion of Fe(III) to Fe(II), it should enhance the decomposition of PMS in PMS/Fe(II) system. To examine this hypothesis, we carried a series of testing for the degradation of L-RhB in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO2 system as shown in Figure 5G. The obtained results were far better than the PMS/Fe(II) system (4.1%) and the PMS/MoO2 system (3.3%), where no degradation was observed in the Fe(III)/MoO2 system. This might be because Fe(III) was reduced to Fe(II) immediately after the addition of MoO2, leading to its spontaneously precipitation. Therefore, the performance of the degradation of L-RhB is substantially the same as that in the PMS/MoO2/Fe(II) system. Figures S10 and S11 show the great degradation performance of L-RhB and other organics, and Figure S12 shows the almost same kinetic results as PMS/MoO2/Fe(II) system. The degradation of L-RhB in different pH was also investigated as shown in Figure S13. Radical quenching tests proved that SO4$^-\cdot$ was the main reactive species (Figure S14), which was further supported by EPR spectra (Figure S16). Typically, as shown in Figure S15, as the reaction progressed, Fe(III) rapidly decreased and Fe(II) gradually increased, but the total amount of iron ions detected after starting the reaction was lower than initially added. This may be because in the presence of PMS and MoO2, Fe(II) was rapidly oxidized by PMS, and Fe(III) was also rapidly reduced by MoO2, so that 1,10-phenanthroline and KSCN were difficult to capture Fe(II) or Fe(III) quickly. The result proves the circulation of iron ions during the reaction in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO2 system. The oxidation mechanism of L-RhB in the PMS/Fe(III)/MoO2 system is also basically the same as that of PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system, which was supported by XPS spectra (Figure S17), Raman spectra (Figure S19), and XPS spectra (Figures S20–S22). The only difference that might exist is that in the PMS/Fe(III)/MoO2 system, MoO2 reduces the surface-adsorbed Fe(III) to Fe(II) first and then activates PMS.

DISCUSSION

The slow transformation from Fe(III) to Fe(II) has persistently limited the practical application of PMS/Fe(II) systems, for which a great amount of iron ions are needed to activate PMS, causing massive formation of iron sludge. In the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system, this problem is solved by the addition of MoO2, which is earth-abundant, quite stable, and has enough reductive power to reduce Fe(III). Therefore, an extremely low concentration of Fe(II) (0.036 mM) is adequate to activate PMS and degrade organic pollutants rapidly in the wide pH range of 2.0–9.0. The iron sludge is limited so that no more secondary pollution is caused. SO4$^-\cdot$ and ‘OH are the primary reactive species produced in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO2 system. The TOC removal rate of L-RhB reached 50% with the addition of PMS, which will be an appropriate approach to completely mineralize refractory organic contaminants. Moreover, MoO2 could be recycled and exhibited excellent
recover activity after its treatment with UV light irradiation. The involvement of MoO₂ in the PMS/Fe(II) system could allow for the low-cost remediation of organic pollutants, thus contributing to sustainable development for the environment.

Limitations of the Study
Although this study greatly accelerates the activation of PMS and reduces secondary pollution compared with some other systems, the amount of catalyst needed for the reaction is relatively high. Fe(II) is inevitably needed to activate PMS because MoO₂ itself cannot activate PMS.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.
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Materials. All chemicals, including molybdenum dioxide (MoO$_2$, Shanghai Energy Chemical Co., Ltd., 99%), ferrous sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO$_4$•7H$_2$O, Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd., 99.95%), potassium monopersulfate triple salt (KHSO$_5$•0.5KHSO$_4$•0.5K$_2$SO$_4$, PMS, Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd., 42% ~ 46% KHSO$_5$ basis), Lissamine rhodamine B (L-RhB, Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd.), phenol (Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd., ≥ 99%), methylene blue (MB, Shanghai Adamas Reagent Co., Ltd., RG, ≥ 98%), sulfadiazine (Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd., 98%), norfloxacin (Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd., 98%) 1,10-phenanthroline (Shanghai Lingfeng Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., ≥ 99%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, Shanghai Titan Scientific Co. Ltd., AR, ≥ 96.0%), sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$, Shanghai Titan Scientific Co. Ltd., CP, 95.0% ~ 98.0%), methanol (MeOH, Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd., AR, 99.5%), tert-butyl alcohol (TBA, Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd., GR, ≥ 99.5%), benzoic acid (Shanghai Lingfeng Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., AR, ≥ 99.5%), 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO, Shanghai Adamas Reagent Co., Ltd., RG, ≥ 98%) and potassium thiocyanate (KSCN, Shanghai Titan Scientific Co. Ltd., AR, ≥ 98.5%), were used without further purification. Deionized water (DI-water) was produced by OKP-S040 Standard ultrapure water system and used in all experiments.
Experimental Procedures. All experiments were performed in plastic cups with magnetic stirring to keep the solution homogeneous during the reaction. The predesigned initial pH of the L-RhB solution was adjusted first with NaOH, H₂SO₄ or buffer solutions. Then, fixed amounts of MoO₂ and FeSO₄•7H₂O were added to 100 mL reaction solutions with the desired concentration of organic pollutants. Finally, quantitative PMS was added to initiate the oxidation. Samples were taken out at regular intervals, centrifuged and analyzed immediately. The stability of MoO₂ was also investigated. After being centrifuged, washed, dried and vacuum calcination/UV (365 nm)-activated, MoO₂ continued to participate in the next degradation reaction.

Radical quenching tests. Radical quenching tests were conducted to identify the dominant radicals in PMS/Fe(II)/MoO₂ system with methanol and TBA, which were added before the addition of PMS. The other procedures were the same as the experiments above. The radical species were further detected by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) technology, where 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline (DMPO) was used as a spin-trapping reagent. The detailed parameters were as follows: a center field of 352.0 mT, a sweep width of 20.0 mT, a microwave frequency of 9.882 GHz, a microwave power of 6.402 mW, a temperature of 300.0 K, a receiver gain of 7.96×10⁴, a modulation amplitude of 0.1 mT, and a sweep time of 41.94 s.
The variety of iron ions concentrations. The variety of Fe(II) or Fe(III) concentration was tracked during the reaction by complexing the samples with 1,10-phenanthroline or potassium thiocyanate (KSCN), respectively. Fe(II) and Fe(III) can be complexed with 1,10-phenanthroline and KSCN for color development, respectively. Since the concentration of the complex is proportional to the absorbance, the corresponding absorbance of Fe(II)/Fe(III)-complex with the initial known concentration was measured. Thus, the content of Fe(II)/Fe(III) can be calculated from the ratio of the absorbance of the complex to the absorbance of the initial complex at different time periods: The L-RhB solution was replaced by deionized water while the other conditions and procedures remained unchanged; excessive 1,10-phenanthroline or potassium thiocyanate reagent was immediately added after sampling and centrifuging, and then analyzed.

The effect of dissolved Mo ions. Moreover, in order to investigate the effect of dissolved Mo ions for (i) the conversion of Fe(III)/Fe(II) and (ii) the degradation of L-RhB, the following experiments were also explored: MoO₂ was added first in (i) deionized water or (ii) L-RhB solution at fixed initial pH. Then, the solution was stirred for 30 min to dissolve Mo ions and centrifuged to remove solid MoO₂. Finally, FeSO₄·7H₂O and PMS was added to initiate the reaction. (i) Excessive 1,10-phenanthroline reagent was immediately added after sampling and centrifuging, and then analyzed; (ii) samples were taken out at regular intervals, centrifuged and analyzed immediately.
All the experiments in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system were the same as those in PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system, just using Fe(III) to replace Fe(II).

**Analytic Methods.** The concentrations of L-RhB, MB, Fe(II) and Fe(III) were measured with a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU UV-2450). The pH value and pH variation of the solution during the reaction were determined with a pH meter (INESA PHS-3C). The zeta potential of MoO$_2$ was measured for its isoelectric point (IEP) by a ZETASIZER instrument (Malvern ZEN3600). The morphology of MoO$_2$ was characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-6360 LV). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were acquired in the range of 5-80° (2θ) by a RigakuD/MAX 2550 diffractometer, with the operation parameters of 40 kV and 100 mA and Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å). Raman spectroscopy was done using a Renishaw Invia spectrometer using a 532 nm Ar$^+$ laser at room temperature. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of MoO$_2$ was conducted at a condition of Al Kα irradiation by THERMO ESCALAB 250 Xi. The total organic carbon (TOC) concentration of the filterable degradation agent was investigated using the SHIMADZU TOC-L CPN analyzer. The dissolved Mo ions in acidic conditions were detected by an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP, NYSE: A 725). A PC fluorescence spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU RF-5301) was employed to obtain the photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy of hydroxybenzoic acid to detect •OH. A high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, SHIMADZU LC-20A) were employed to explore the degradation of L-RhB, phenol, sulfadiazine and
norfloxacin. A gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC-MS, ThermoFisher Trace ISQLT) with HP-5ms column (30 m * 250 um * 0.25 um) was employed to explore the intermediates in the degradation process of phenol. The heating program was maintained at 40 °C for 3 min, heated to 300 °C at 5 °C/min, and held for 10 min. The inlet temperature was 300 °C, the transfer line temperature was 300 °C, and the column flow rate was 1.2 mL/min. Mass spectrometry conditions: EI ionization source (70 eV, full scan).

DFT calculation. Computational details. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out using the all-electron code Fritz-Haber Institute ab initio molecules simulations package (FHI-aims). (Blum et al., 2009) Interactions between atomic core shells and the valence electrons were described using the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method, the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerh (PBE) of gradient-corrected functional was used to treat the exchange and correlation. (Perdew, 1996, Kresse, 1999) The default “tight” species were chosen in this work. Considering the weak non-covalent van der Waals attraction, all calculations were performed by the scheme of Tkatchenko and Scheffler. (Tkatchenko and Scheffler, 2009) Gaussian smearing was used with a width of 0.1 eV to determine the partial occupancies. The convergence threshold was set to be $10^{-5}$ eV in energy and $10^{-3}$ eV·Å$^{-1}$ in force. A periodic (110) slab model of MoO$_2$ was built up to simulate the activation process of peroxymonosulfate (PMS) molecules, which preferred to adsorb on the (110) surfaces, contributed to the electron transfer and the generation of hydroxyl radicals. Besides, the catalytic effect of Fe(II) ions was also taken into account for low surface coverages of PMS molecules. To minimize the
interaction of (110) surfaces in different supercells along c direction, a 20 Å vacuum
layer between them was taken. All atoms were allowed to relax expected the bottom	hree-layer atoms to fix at the bulk parameters. Brillouin zone was sampled using a
3×3×1 Monkhorst Pack k-point mesh during geometry optimization and properties
calculation for the (110) surface of MoO$_2$. (Monkhorst, 1976)

Adsorption energy and charge transfer. To reveal the activation process of PMS
molecule on the (110) surface of MoO$_2$, the interaction between them could be
evaluated by the adsorption energies, which was defined as $\Delta E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{MoO}_2+\text{PMS}} - E_{\text{MoO}_2} - E_{\text{PMS}}$, where $E_{\text{MoO}_2+\text{PMS}}$ was the total energy for the PMS adsorbed on
the surface, $E_{\text{MoO}_2}$ was the total energy for the MoO$_2$ substrate without
adsorption, and $E_{\text{PMS}}$ was the total energy of a separated molecule as
determined from DFT calculations. The Mulliken charge analysis was used to
quantitatively estimate the amount of charge transfer between the adsorbed
molecule and MoO$_2$ substrate, which contributed to reveal the activation
mechanism. (Tang et al., 2009)
Figure S1. Effect of (a) Fe(II) concentration, (b) MoO$_2$ concentration, (c) PMS concentration on L-RhB oxidation in PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system; Pseudo-first-order kinetics of effect of (d) Fe(II), (e) MoO$_2$ and (f) PMS concentration. General conditions: [PMS]$_0$ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(II)]$_0$ = 0.036 mM, [MoO$_2$]$_0$ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0, [L-RhB]$_0$ = 20 mg/L. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 1.
Figure S2. The investigation of the influence of solution pH with potassium hydrogen phthalate (C₈H₅KO₄, pH 4.00), mixed phosphate (pH 6.86) and borax (Na₂B₄O₇·10H₂O, pH 9.18) buffer solutions, respectively. General conditions: [PMS]₀ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(II)]₀ = 0.036 mM, [MoO₂]₀ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0, [L-RhB]₀ = 20 mg/L. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 1.
Figure S3. The variation of Fe(II) and Fe(III) concentrations in Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system.

General conditions: [Fe(III)]$_0$ = 0.035 mM (total Fe), [MoO$_2$]$_0$ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 2.

The reduction potential of Fe(III)/Fe(II) (0.77 V) is higher than that of MoO$_4^{2-}$/MoO$_2$ (0.65 V). As shown in Fig. S5, when MoO$_2$ was added to the Fe(III)-containing solution, Fe(III) was immediately reduced to Fe(II), which was almost completely reduced within 15 min. Thus, it can be concluded that MoO$_2$ itself can reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II), which solves the problem that iron ions are difficult to circulate in PMS/Fe(II) system.
| Catalyst          | Catalytic performance | PMS concen. | Organic pollutant | Removal efficiency | Ref.    |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
| MoO₂/Fe(II)       | 0.30 g·L⁻¹ /0.036 mM  | 0.65 mM     | L-RhB;            | 96% in 10 min      | -       |
|                    |                       |             | 20 mg·L⁻¹         |                    |         |
| HA/Fe(II)         | 0.4 mM /10.8 μM       | 0.32 mM     | BA;               | 94% in 15 min      | (Zou et al., 2013) |
| Fe₃O₄@C/Co        | 0.20 g·L⁻¹ /20 mg·L⁻¹ | 0.1 g·L⁻¹   | AO II;            | 40 min             | (Xu et al., 2015) |
|                   |                       |             | 20 mg·L⁻¹         |                    |         |
| Fe₃O₄@MnO₂        | 0.30 g·L⁻¹ 20 mM      | 0.32 mM     | MB;               | 30 min             | (Zhang et al., 2016) |
| BBHs              | 20 mg·L⁻¹             |             |                   |                    |         |
| Co₃[Fe(CN)₆]₂     | 50 mg·L⁻¹ /10 mg·L⁻¹ | 50 mg·L⁻¹   | RhB;              | 20 min             | (Lin et al., 2016) |
|                   |                       |             | 10 mg·L⁻¹         |                    |         |
| CNF3              | 0.10 g·L⁻¹ /0.10 mM   | 1.0 mM      | 4-CP;             | 20 min             | (Li et al., 2018a) |
|                   |                       |             | 0.10 mM           |                    |         |
| FeCo-LDH          | 0.20 g·L⁻¹ /20 mg·L⁻¹ | 0.15 g·L⁻¹  | RhB;              | 10 min             | (Gong et al., 2017) |
|                   |                       |             | 20 mg·L⁻¹         |                    |         |
| Fe₃O₄/Mn₃O₄/rGO   | 0.10 g·L⁻¹ /0.30 g·L⁻¹| 0.30 g·L⁻¹  | MB;               | 93.5% in 30 min    | (Yang et al., 2015) |
|                   |                       |             | 50 mg·L⁻¹         |                    |         |
| M@N-C             | 20 mg·L⁻¹ /0.65 mM    |             | Orange II;        | 90 min             | (Yao et al., 2016) |
| (M=Fe, Co)        |                       |             | 20 mg·L⁻¹         |                    |         |
| CoFe₂O₄           | 0.40 g·L⁻¹ /10 mg·L⁻¹ | 0.8 mM      | ATZ;              | 30 min             | (Li et al., 2018b) |
|                   |                       |             | 10 mg·L⁻¹         |                    |         |
| Fe₃O₄@C/Mn        | 0.15 g·L⁻¹ /0.06 g·L⁻¹| 0.65 mM     | AO II;            | 99% in 15 min      | (Lu et al., 2017) |
|                   |                       |             |                   |                    |         |
| Co₂O₄             | 0.15 g·L⁻¹ /20 mg·L⁻¹ |             |                   |                    |         |

Table S1. The catalytic performance of PMS/Fe(II)/MoO₂ system compared with other reported catalysts. Related to Figure 1.
| Valence state          | IV   | V+VI  |
|------------------------|------|-------|
| Mo (before reaction)   | 26.2%| 73.8% |
| Mo (after reaction)    | 25.7%| 74.3% |

Table S2. The variety of Mo valence distribution percentage before and after reaction. Related to Figure 3.
Figure S4. O1s spectra of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction in PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 3.
Figure S5. Optimized structure of MoO$_2$, PMS and three ideal terminations of (110) surface: (a) unite cell, (b) HSO$_5^-$, (c) the termination with exposed MoO active centers, (d) the termination with exposed O active centers, (e) the termination with exposed O’ active centers. The yellow, red, olive, and white atoms are S, O, Mo, and H atoms, respectively. Related to Figure 4.
| Types                                    | $\Delta E_{ads}$ (eV) | $\Delta q$ (e) | $l_{O-O}$ (Å) |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Free HSO$_5^-$ molecule                  | /                      | /              | 1.36          |
| HSO$_5^-$ on (110)                       | -2.06                  | 0.62           | 1.40          |
| HSO$_5^-$ on Fe(II)-(110)                | -3.17                  | 0.75           | 1.48          |
| SO$_4^{2-}$+HO$^-$ on (110)              | -1.68                  | 0.69           | /             |
| SO$_4^{2-}$+HO$^-$ on Fe(II)-(110)       | -2.54                  | 0.83           | /             |

Table S3. The adsorption energy ($\Delta E_{ads}$), the electron transfer between the molecule and MoO$_2$ ($\Delta q$), and the bond length ($l_{O-O}$) of [SO$_4$-OH]$^-$ in the different adsorption configurations. Related to Figure 4.
Figure S6. Mass spectrometry of phenol detected by GC-MS. Conditions: $[\text{PMS}]_0 = 0.650$ mM, $[\text{Fe(II)}]_0 = 0.036$ mM, $[\text{MoO}_2]_0 = 300$ mg/L, initial pH = 3.0, $[\text{phenol}]_0 = 20$ mg/L. Related to Figure 5.
Scheme S1. Reaction pathway of phenol mineralization in the PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S7. Recycling of MoO$_2$ after vacuum activation for PMS/Fe(II)/MoO$_2$ system. General conditions: [PMS]$_0$ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(II)]$_0$ = 0.036 mM, [MoO$_2$]$_0$ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0, [L-RhB]$_0$ = 20 mg/L. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S8. Dissolution of Mo ions in PMS/Fe(II)/ MoO₂ system. General conditions: [PMS]₀ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(II)]₀ = 0.036 mM, [MoO₂]₀ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S9. Scale up tests (1 L system). General conditions: \([\text{PMS}]_0 = 0.650 \text{ mM}, \ [\text{Fe(II)}]_0 = 0.036 \text{ mM}, \ [\text{MoO}_2]_0 = 300 \text{ mg/L}, \) initial pH = 3.0, \([\text{L-RhB}]_0 = 20 \text{ mg/L}.\) Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
**Figure S10.** Degradation of different L-RhB concentration in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO₂ system. Conditions: [PMS]₀ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(III)]₀ = 0.035 mM, [MoO₂]₀ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S11. Degradation of different aromatic organic compounds in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Conditions: [PMS]$_0$ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(III)]$_0$ = 0.035 mM, [MoO$_2$]$_0$ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0, [aromatic organic compound]$_0$ = 20 mg/L. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
**Figure S12.** Effect of (a) Fe(III) concentration, (b) MoO\(_2\) concentration, (c) PMS concentration on L-RhB degradation in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO\(_2\) system; Pseudo-first-order kinetics of effect of (a) Fe(III), (b) MoO\(_2\) and (c) PMS concentration in PMS/Fe(II)/MoO\(_2\) system. Conditions: \([\text{PMS}]_0 = 0.650\, \text{mM}, \, [\text{Fe(III)}]_0 = 0.035\, \text{mM}, \, [\text{MoO}_2]_0 = 300\, \text{mg/L, initial pH} = 3.0, \, [\text{L-RhB}]_0 = 20\, \text{mg/L}.\) Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure S5.
**Figure S13.** Effect of initial pH in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO\(_2\) system. Conditions: \([\text{PMS}]_0 = 0.650 \text{ mM}, [\text{Fe(III)}]_0 = 0.035 \text{ mM}, [\text{MoO}_2]_0 = 300 \text{ mg/L}, \text{ initial pH} = 3.0, [\text{L-RhB}]_0 = 20 \text{ mg/L}.\) Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
**Figure S14.** Inhibition effect of radical scavengers on L-RhB degradation in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Conditions: [PMS]$_0$ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(III)]$_0$ = 0.035 mM, [MoO$_2$]$_0$ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0, [L-RhB]$_0$ = 20 mg/L. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
**Figure S15.** The variation of Fe(II) and Fe(III) concentrations in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. General conditions: $[\text{PMS}]_0 = 0.650$ mM, $[\text{Fe(III)}]_0 = 0.035$ mM (total Fe), $[\text{MoO}_2]_0 = 300$ mg/L, initial pH = 3.0. Error bars represent the standard deviation from at least duplicate experiments. Related to Figure 5.
**Figure S16.** EPR spectra obtained from (i) PMS/MoO$_2$ system, (ii) PMS/Fe(III) system, and (iii) PMS/Fe(III)MoO$_2$ system with the existence of DMPO (* represents SO$_4^{\cdot-}$ adduct). Conditions: [PMS]$_0$ = 0.650 mM, [Fe(III)]$_0$ = 0.035 mM, [MoO$_2$]$_0$ = 300 mg/L, initial pH = 3.0. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S17. SEM images of MoO$_2$ (a) before and (b) after reaction in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S18. XRD patterns of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S19. Raman spectra of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 5.
**Figure S20.** XPS survey spectra of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 5.
**Figure S21.** Mo3d spectra of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 5.
Figure S22. O1s spectra of MoO$_2$ before and after reaction in PMS/Fe(III)/MoO$_2$ system. Related to Figure 5.
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