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**Summary**

The proteases of retroviruses, such as leukemia viruses, immunodeficiency viruses (including the human immunodeficiency virus, HIV), infectious anemia viruses, and mammary tumor viruses, form a family with the proteases encoded by several retrotransposons in Drosophila and yeast and endogenous viral sequences in primates. Retroviral proteases are key enzymes in viral propagation and are initially synthesized with other viral proteins as polyprotein precursors that are subsequently cleaved by the viral protease activity at specific sites to produce mature, functional units. Active retroviral proteases are homodimers, with each dimer structurally related to the larger class of single-chain aspartic peptidases. Each monomer has four structural elements: two distinct hairpin loops, a wide loop containing the catalytic aspartic acid and an $\alpha$ helix. Retroviral gene sequences can vary between infected individuals, and mutations affecting the binding cleft of the protease or the substrate cleavage sites can alter the response of the virus to therapeutic drugs. The need to develop new drugs against HIV will continue to be, to a large extent, the driving force behind further characterization of retroviral proteases.

**Gene organization and evolutionary history**

Retroviral proteases are encoded by a part of the pol gene, for example in that of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The protease gene is located between the gag gene (encoding structural proteins) and other enzymatic genes, such as reverse transcriptase and integrase. There are 93 sequences belonging to the retroviral protease family A2 of the aspartic peptidase clan AA at present, according to the Merops database, which provides information on viral as well as other proteases [1]. The A2 family includes the proteases of leukemia viruses, immunodeficiency viruses, infectious anemia viruses, and mammary tumor viruses, as well as those encoded by several retrotransposons from fruit flies and yeast, and endogenous viral sequences in humans and other primates. Figure 1 presents a phylogenetic tree that shows the evolutionary history of, and relationships between, selected members of the family of retroviral proteases.

The RNA of retroviruses is replicated through a DNA intermediate, the product of the virus-encoded reverse transcriptase, which is an error-prone enzyme that lacks a proofreading function. In HIV-1 (the HIV type responsible for most cases of the acquired immune deficiency syndrome, AIDS), at least one nucleotide substitution occurs on average during every round of replication. Selective pressures affect replication, cell tropism (the ability of a virus to enter particular cell types), and escape from host immunity, and contribute to genetic differences between HIV-1 isolates within an individual and between individuals [2]. Thus, there is no ‘wild-type’ HIV-1 protease, but rather a complex mixture of related sequences [3]. Variability is most pronounced in the HIV-1 envelope (env) gene, but is found in virtually all regions of the viral genome, including the protease gene. Similar variability is expected in other retroviral sequences, but much less information is available...
compared to the wealth of data that has been gathered for the HIV system. Genetic analysis of proteases from different individuals [4] is illustrated in Figure 2. Viruses from different individuals form separate branches in a phylogenetic tree of protease sequences. Each major branch develops into multiple small branches that represent the swarm, or quasispecies, of viruses within an individual. Protease sequences in viruses from children who were infected perinatally by maternal transmission differ from one another, but are closely related to sequences in viral quasispecies found in their mother or siblings. Even when individuals are unrelated, the relationship between their HIV-1 isolates and the history of infections can be detected; for example, in Figure 2, children 6 and 7 were not related but were infected by the same blood product. Individual 2 was infected by sexual transmission of HIV-1 from individual 1. The protease from the laboratory strain HIVLAI is located on a separate branch in the tree, indicating that no HIV-1 protease from patient viruses is identical to this prototype protease sequence.
Characteristic structural features

Crystal and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structures are available for retroviral proteases from HIV-1 [5], HIV-2 [6], simian [7] and feline [8] immunodeficiency viruses (SIV and FIV), rous sarcoma virus (RSV) [9] and equine infectious anemia virus (EIAV) [10]; reviewed in [11]. The secondary structures of all retroviral proteases share a structural template (Figure 3) that was previously used to describe non-viral aspartic proteases [12]. Retroviral proteases form homodimers and the template structure shows that a monomer is formed by the duplication of four structural elements: a hairpin (containing loop A1), a wide loop (B1, containing the catalytic aspartic acid), an α helix (C1), and a second hairpin (D1). The second monomer contains the identical elements, named A2, B2, C2, and D2 in Figure 3. The length of loops A1 and A2 is different in various retroviral proteases, as are the length and conformation of the connecting segments between these structural elements. The α helix C1 is prominent only in EIAV protease, whereas it consists of a single helical turn in RSV and FIV proteases and is replaced by a loop in the proteases of HIV-1, HIV-2, and SIV. The flexible β loop D1, known as a ‘flap’ in non-viral proteases, is functionally very important, because it changes orientation during binding of the ligand (substrate or inhibitor) and forms numerous interactions with it. Two such flaps are present in the symmetric dimers of retroviral proteases. The hairpin D2 is substituted by a β strand in all retroviral proteases for which structural information is available. In addition to the four core structural elements, the amino and carboxyl termini in a dimer form a four-stranded β-sheet interface. The amino-acid sequences of retroviral proteases are significantly similar, particularly in the locations of residues that are important in preserving both structure and function.

The active site of each retroviral protease contains a pair of aspartic acid residues (Asp25 and Asp25*; amino acids are numbered according to their positions in HIV-1 protease). The conserved active-site residues - Asp25, Thr26 (replaced by Ser38 in RSV protease), and Gly27 - are located in a loop, the structure of which is stabilized by a network of hydrogen bonds similar to that found in the eukaryotic proteases (Figure 4; for a review, see [13]). The carboxylate groups of the Asp25 residues from both chains are nearly co-planar and make close contacts via their O1 atoms. The network is quite rigid as the result of a set of interactions called the ‘fireman’s grip’, in which the O1 atom of each Thr26 accepts a hydrogen bond from the main-chain NH group of the Thr26 in opposing loop; Thr26 also donates a hydrogen bond to the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group of residue 24 on the opposite loop. Identical interactions have been observed in all retroviral proteases thus far examined by crystallographic methods. The carboxylate residues are bridged by a water molecule, located within hydrogen-bonding distance of the oxygen atoms of the Asp25 carboxylates. Water molecules forming similar bridges have also been reported in non-viral proteases [13]; they might correspond to the catalytic water molecule required for hydrolysis of the peptide bond in the substrate. The distances between the inner oxygen atoms of the co-planar carboxylates are 2.8 to 3 Å, indicating the presence of an acidic proton in the bridge.

Binding of inhibitors is accompanied by a large shift in the flaps of both subunits (Figure 3c). In some enzymes (for example, RSV protease), the flaps are disordered and therefore are not seen in the X-ray structure [9]. In other enzymes, the flaps are seen in an ‘open’ conformation when no ligands (substrates and/or inhibitors) are present. Binding to the active site induces a downward movement of the flap residues; this allows additional interactions with the ligand and strengthens the binding of both substrates (by inference) and inhibitors.

Localization and function

Translation of the retroviral gag-pol mRNA produces in most cases a Gag protein of 55 kDa, ending before the protease gene. In about 5% of the gag-pol transcripts, a translational frameshift occurs slightly upstream of the protease
gene and the stop codon after the gag locus is no longer in frame, producing a Gag-Pol fusion polyprotein (Figure 5). The protease embedded within the Gag-Pol polyprotein cleaves itself out by specifically cutting peptide bonds at either end of its sequence. The protease then cleaves additional bonds within the remaining fragment of the Gag-Pol polyprotein to yield reverse transcriptase and integrase, two other important enzymes of the virus [14]. Cleavage of Gag-Pol occurs sequentially and with high fidelity at nine separate, unrelated cleavage sites. The rates of cleavage can differ by up to 400-fold between sites [15]. These differences may be related to different steps in assembly of virions.

**Important mutants**

Viral species with altered protease sequences arise as a result of the high nucleotide-substitution rate during viral replication. The functional properties of these variant proteases have been the subject of intense study. Some changes occur in regions exposed at the enzyme’s surface without significant alteration of the enzymatic properties of the protease;
other changes occur within the binding cavity, leading to changes in the binding of both substrates and inhibitors. The balance between the ability to bind substrates and the interactions with inhibitors will determine the success or failure of the variant protease and hence of the variant virus. If the viral protease has lost the ability to bind an inhibitor tightly, the virus might be able to survive drug therapy with that compound; if, on the other hand, the viral protease has also lost the ability to bind to and cleave the polyprotein, the virus will be unable to replicate successfully. (Figure 6 shows those mutations that have well-defined consequences for function, leading to reduced susceptibility to protease inhibitors.)

In addition to direct effects on the binding of inhibitors to HIV protease, mutations in other positions along the polyprotein sequence can have consequences for polyprotein processing (Figure 7). These events can impact the viability of the virus in both positive and negative ways [16]. For example, it is becoming apparent that mutations in cleavage sites can compensate for changes within the binding cleft of HIV protease. Alterations in the active site will alter the cleavage specificity; alterations in the cleavage site to better match the variant protease could allow the virus to escape inhibition by antiviral compounds, while also maintaining the necessary points of cleavage to produce structural proteins.

Frontiers
Understanding protease function in polyprotein processing and viral replication remains important. Despite the early successes with the development of drugs that control HIV infection by blocking proteolytic processing, the poor bioavailability of inhibitors \textit{in vivo} leads to suboptimal drug levels. The high turnover of the virus (two or three cycles of replication per day) coupled with the high viral load in infected individuals, and the mutation rate has led to the
Figure 5
Translational products from the retroviral gag-pol mRNA. In most cases, translation of the gag-pol transcript results in a Gag polyprotein including structural proteins. A translational frameshift within the p6 region allows translation beyond the p6 gag gene, resulting in a Gag-Pol fusion protein. The Gag-Pol fusion protein contains a p6 protein, the sequence of which differs from the p6 protein as a result of the frameshift. Abbreviations: MA, p17 matrix protein; CA, p24 capsid protein; NC, p7 nucleocapsid protein; PR, protease; RT, reverse transcriptase; IN, integrase.

Figure 6
Drug-resistance amino-acid profiles of HIV-1 protease. Protease-inhibitor treatment leads to growth of viruses with changes in specific amino-acid positions. The numbers across the top designate amino-acid positions in HIV-1 protease; the solid line indicates the flap region. Filled boxes indicate mutations that occur in treated patients; red boxes indicate that mutation is seen in patients both before therapy starts (bottom panel) and in patients after therapy has begun (top panel); hatched boxes indicate mutations that occur during passage of virus cultured in the presence of a drug. The percentages in the bottom panel refer to the percentage of clones that contain the mutation indicated in the corresponding row. In the top panel, each row displays the profile of amino-acid changes related to high-level resistance to protease inhibitors that are approved by the US Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) for treatment of HIV-1-infected adults. Abbreviations: APV, amprenavir; IDV, indinavir; LPV, loprinavir; NFV, nelfinavir; RTV, ritonavir; SQV, saquinavir. Only RTV, NFV, and IDV have FDA approval for treatment of children and adolescents.

Figure 7
The impact of mutations in the Gag-Pol polyprotein on protease activity. The letters above the diagram indicate cleavage sites; cleavage at sites E and F release the protease; subsequent cleavage at sites C, A, and B produce mature structural proteins. Regions in Gag that impact protease processing have been defined by deletion analysis (underlined). Specific mutations (indicated by dots), particularly at the sites between nucleocapsid (p7NC) and p6 or p6’ (depending on the reading frame), can alter the rates of protease processing at different cleavage sites (green circles: our unpublished data; black circles: summary of published data). For abbreviations see Figure 5.
emergence of viruses resistant to all approved drugs [17]. The variant forms of drug-resistant protease have been expressed and studied biochemically and structurally, and a new round of drug design is underway to target variant forms. One can imagine that this cycle will continue until a universal inhibitor is found that binds tightly to all forms of the viral enzyme. Other approaches, such as the development of peptides that bind to the dimerization interface and block assembly of functional proteases, are also under extensive investigation.
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