STUDY ON HYDROGEN PRODUCTION OF BIO-OIL CATALYTIC REFORMING BY CHARCOAL CATALYST
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Abstract. In this study Charcoal was used as a primary bio-oil steam reforming catalyst. The performance of the catalyst was investigated. The dynamic parameters of the first order dynamic equation were calculated. The stability of charcoal was also examined. The results indicated that first, when reforming temperatures were lower than 700 °C, the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas were very high. This indicated unsuitability for further catalytic reforming over a metal catalyst. Second, the catalytic activity of charcoal became very significant under high temperature conditions (≥ 800 °C); thus, the apparent activation energy of the first order kinetic rate constant is 56.98 kJ/mol, and the pre-exponential factor is $1.58 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$. Third, the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas varied from a decrease to a slight increase in the first 2 h under a catalytic reforming temperature of 900 °C, a WHSV (weight hourly space velocity) of 2.6 h$^{-1}$, a bio-oil feeding rate of 47.02 g/h, and a S/B (mass steam-to-bio-oil) ratio of 2. Overall, the charcoal exhibited an excellent bio-oil removal rate (conversion > 99.6%) and the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas were lower than 1.89 g/Nm$^3$, hence signifying suitability for further reforming over metal catalysts.
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Introduction

Interest in the hydrogen economy is increasing due to its potential applications in hydrogen fuel cell vehicles and fuel cell power generation (Li et al., 2018). Currently, the main hydrogen production processes are catalytic reforming of naphtha, light hydrocarbons and methane (Zhou et al., 2017a), while coal gasification is also widely used (Zhang, 2018; Daniel et al., 2018). However, the sustainable energy development can be realized only by using renewable energy as raw material to produce hydrogen (Bizkarra et al., 2018; Hossain et al., 2019). In this regard, steam reforming of bio-oil has been reported in many journals (Beatriz et al., 2018; Asghar et al., 2018). Bio-oil is produced by rapid pyrolysis of biomass. And its main components include alcohol, furan, acid, sugar, phenol, ketone and aldehyde (Arandia et al., 2018; Ilyas et al., 2018). The catalytic reforming of bio-oil mainly depends on the catalytic capacity of the catalyst for steam reforming reaction ($C_nH_mO_k + (n – k) H_2O = (n + m/2 – k) H_2 + nCO$) and water gas shift reaction ($CO + H_2O = H_2 + CO_2$). When bio-oil is reformed at high temperature, the Boudoard reaction ($2CO = C + CO_2$) and partial thermal decomposition of bio-oil may occur simultaneously (Zhou et al., 2017b).

Preliminary economic analysis shows that bio-oil steam reforming for hydrogen production is profitable in the current market (Czernik and French, 2014; Omini and Akpang, 2018). However, bio-oil catalytic reforming for hydrogen production is still facing many technical difficulties in order to become a commercially mature technology. Zeng et al. (2015) and Rajput et al. (2019) reported that carbon deposition on catalyst supports leads to low purity hydrogen in gas products. Li et al. (2017) proposed that a significant challenge in bio-oil hydrodeoxygenation was the
deactivation of coke deposits on zeolite catalysts. Wu et al. (2008) proposed dolomite catalyst with low cost to be chosen as the first-order catalyst for tar steam reforming, and then methane and tar are further reformed on nickel metal catalyst (Zhang et al., 2017). This technological process can protect the metal catalyst from quick deactivation and the economic loss could be reduced efficiently (Li et al., 2008). This technological process can effectively prevent the rapid deactivation of nickel metal catalysts and reduce economic losses (Cho et al., 2014; Yahya et al., 2018). However, since the calcined dolomite is easy to break up under the impact of air flow, powder dolomite may cause the resistance of the catalyst bed to rise sharply (Thakkar et al., 2016).

The research on catalytic reforming of tar over charcoal during biomass gasification can be used as a reference for steam reforming of bio-oil (El-Rub et al., 2008; Masri and Samsudin, 2018). Char itself has some activity for biomass tar conversion (Nestler et al., 2016), and its conversion activity is affected by surface area (Mun et al., 2010), pore size and ash composition (Zanzi et al., 1996). The coke deposited on the charcoal will block the pore and reduce the surface area, thus reducing the activity of the charcoal. However (Morishita et al., 2002), coke can also be consumed by gaseous products such as steam and carbon dioxide, and its deactivation can be suppressed by generating new pores (Hosokai et al., 2005; Sharma and Yadav, 2018). Furthermore, char catalysts would have low costs and be simply burned/gasified to recover the energy of the char without the need of often expensive regeneration after deactivation (Li, 2007). Hence, choosing a char catalyst as the primary steam reforming catalyst is more promising (Kai et al., 2018). It is important to study the catalytic activity and stability of the char catalysts for bio-oil conversion.

In this study, char is used as a primary steam reforming catalyst (Min et al., 2011; Naidu et al., 2018). The catalytic performance of the catalyst for bio-oil catalytic steam reforming under different operating conditions was studied. The stability of the charcoal catalyst was also investigated. The kinetic parameters were calculated by using the first-order kinetic equation (Qian and Kumar, 2015).

Materials and Methods

Test material

Bio-oil was produced by rapid pyrolysis of sawdust with fluidizer N₂ in the temperature range of 450 to 500 °C. The reactor used was a fluidized bed designed by East China University of Technology (Shanghai, China). Bio-oil components were determined by a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. The results are shown in Table 1.

Properties of char

The commercial charcoal was supplied by Shanghai Canature Environmental Products Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Elemental analysis of dried samples showed that it contained C 74.09%, O 17.51%, H 4.80%, S 0.018% and N 0.1%. Industrial analysis showed that ash content was 3.48%.

Before the catalytic test, the commercial biomass charcoal was heated to 900 °C at a heating rate of 27 K/min under the condition of air isolation, and the temperature was maintained for 30 min. Table 2 shows the elemental analysis and ash content of the treated charcoal samples. Elemental C, N, and H analyses were performed using an
elemental analyzer, Elementar Vario EL III (Langenselbold, Germany). A sulfur analysis was accomplished on a Coulomb sulfur analyzer, CLS-2 (Jiangsu Jiangfen Electric Instrument Co. Ltd., Jiangyan, China). The composition of ash was determined via Sequential X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, (XRF-1800, Thermofisher, Beijing, China). The char samples were screened to the particle sizes of 1 mm to 2 mm.

Installation and procedure for experiment

As shown (Fig. 1), the catalytic reforming was performed in a fixed-bed reactor made of stainless steel. The height and diameter of the reactor are 800 mm and 20 mm respectively. The reaction pressure is atmospheric. The char catalysts were placed in the middle of the fixed-bed tube and the fixed bed was heated by an electric heating furnace equipped with a temperature control device. The nitrogen purged the system prior to the reactor heating. Bio-oil and water were fed into the reactor at a constant rate by two separate metering pumps. The reaction products were first condensed by tap water, then by ice-water mixtures, and the condensate was collected in liquid containers. The non-condensed components of the product gases were determined using an online gas analyzer (Gasboard-3100, Cubic Optoelectronics Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China) and the gas flow rate was measured using a soap membrane flow-meter (Agilent technologies Co., Ltd., Santa Clara, USA). When the feed is finished, the reactor is cooled to room temperature by nitrogen flow with a flow rate of 0.6 L/min, then the char catalysts are collected and weighed.

**Table 1. Components of bio-oil**

| Name                        | Area (%) | Name                        | Area (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Acetaldehyde                | 2.81     | 2-methyl-2-Pentenal         | 0.28     |
| Methanol                    | 5.83     | Phenol                      | 1.23     |
| Acetone                     | 1.27     | Unknown                     | 0.48     |
| Unknown                     | 0.49     | 2-Hydroxy-3-methyl-2-Cyclopenten-1-one | 1.22 |
| 2-Pentanone                 | 0.33     | 2-methyl-Phenol             | 0.78     |
| Pentane                     | 0.16     | 2-methoxy-Phenol            | 0.85     |
| Formic acid                 | 0.86     | 4-methyl-Phenol             | 1.48     |
| Unknown                     | 2.18     | 3,4-dimethyl-Phenol         | 0.45     |
| Acetic acid                 | 20.34    | 2-methoxy-4-methyl-Phenol   | 0.98     |
| 1-hydroxy-2-Propanone       | 11.49    | 1,2-Benzenediol             | 3.44     |
| Propanoic acid              | 0.81     | 4-ethyl-2-methoxy-Phenol    | 0.36     |
| 3-hydroxy-2-Butanone        | 1.25     | 3-methoxy-1,2-Benzenediol   | 0.21     |
| 1-(acetyloxy)-2-Butanone    | 1.83     | 4-hydroxy-Benzemethanol     | 0.76     |
| 2-methyl-Pentanal           | 0.83     | 2,6-dimethoxy-Phenol        | 1.21     |
| Propanoic acid,2-oxo-, methyl ester | 0.52 | 2-methoxy-4-(1-propenyl)-Phenol | 0.10 |
| Unknown                     | 0.78     | Vanillin                    | 0.16     |
| Furfural                    | 2.15     | 1,2,4-Trimethoxybenzene     | 0.52     |
| 2-Cyclopenten-1-one         | 0.36     | Unknown                     | 0.20     |
| 2-Butanone                  | 0.77     | 1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxy phenyl)-Ethanol | 0.18 |
| 1-(acetyloxy)-2-Propanone   | 0.46     | 1,2,3-trimethoxy-3-methyl-Benzene | 0.19 |
| 2-methyl-2-Cyclopenten-1-one| 0.27     | 1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxy phenyl)-2-Propanone | 0.22 |
| Butyroloactone              | 0.30     | D-Mannoheptulose             | 0.91     |
| 2(5H)-Furanone              | 1.04     | 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-Phenol | 0.60 |
| 2-hydroxy-2-Cyclopenten-1-one| 0.44    | D-Allose                    | 23.68    |
| 5-methyl-2(5H)-Furanone      | 0.21     | 1-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-Ethanol | 0.45 |
| 5-methyl-2-Furancarboxaldehyde| 0.42   | 1,1'-butylidenebis-Benzene  | 0.49     |
| 3-methyl-2-Cyclopenten-1-one| 0.38     |                             |          |
Table 2. Elemental analysis and ash composition of the ultimate charcoal samples

| Ultimate analysis (wt.%, dry basis) |  |
|---|---|
| C | 91.03 |
| S | 0.024 |
| O | 2.766 |
| N | 0.14 |
| H | 1.05 |
| Ash | 4.99 |

| Ash composition (%) |  |
|---|---|
| K₂O | 8.3240 |
| SO₃ | 2.0576 |
| Al₂O₃ | 1.4948 |
| ZnO | 0.0739 |
| NiO | 0.0717 |
| Co₂O₃ | 0.0062 |
| MgO | 12.3426 |
| Fe₂O₃ | 2.6929 |
| SrO | 0.5573 |
| Cl | 0.1273 |
| BaO | 0.0475 |
| Y₂O₃ | 0.0017 |
| CaO | 57.2088 |
| P₂O₅ | 4.6305 |
| TiO₂ | 0.1616 |
| ZrO₂ | 0.1286 |
| Cr₂O₃ | 0.0269 |
| SiO₂ | 8.1264 |
| MnO | 0.0736 |
| CuO | 0.1614 |
| Na₂O | 1.6748 |
| ZnO | 0.0739 |
| Cl | 0.1273 |
| ZrO₂ | 0.1286 |
| Na₂O | 1.6748 |
| NiO | 0.0717 |
| BaO | 0.0475 |
| Cr₂O₃ | 0.0269 |
| Rb₂O | 0.0100 |

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of experimental device; 1) Nitrogen cylinder, 2) Gas flow indicator, 3) Water, 4) Meterflow pump I, 5) Fixed bed reactor, 6) Heating tube, 7) Thermocouple, 8) Temperature controller, 9) Meterflow pump II, 10) bio-oil, 11) Condenser, 12) Liquid collector, 13) Ice-water bath, 14) Drying tower, 15) GC, and 16) soap membrane flow-meter

Analysis of bio-oil in liquid condensate

TOC (Total Organic Carbon) method was used to analyze liquid products. Liquid products can be divided into water-soluble and non-water-soluble substances, namely light hydrocarbons and heavy hydrocarbons, and oxygenates. Both fractions were called ‘tars.’ Although the water-soluble tar was a complex mixture of organic compounds, phenol represented the “average” tar compound. The tar yield determination was made in the same manner as previously indicated by Corella et al. (1991).

Experimental data evaluation

The conversion of bio-oil was calculated from their mass inlet and outlet as shown in Equation 1:

\[
\text{Conversion} = \frac{\text{Mass outlet} - \text{Mass inlet}}{\text{Mass inlet}} \times 100\%
\]
where \( X (\%) \) is the bio-oil conversion, \( F_{in} \) (g) is the total mass of the inlet bio-oil, and \( F_{out} \) (g) is the total mass of the outlet bio-oil.

The main property selected for determining the suitability of further catalytic steam reforming over a metal catalyst was the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas \( (Y) \). It was defined as the ratio of the mass flow rate of bio-oil (g/min) over the flow rate of dry gas (Nm\(^3\)/min) in an outlet as shown in Equation 2:

\[
Y = \frac{F_{out}}{\text{(Total vol. of non-condensed gaseous products except for Nm}^3)} \quad \text{(Eq.2)}
\]

The residence time (s) in the catalyst bed were defined as Equation 3:

\[
t = \frac{\varepsilon \times V_{\text{char}}}{Q_{\text{in,T}}} \quad \text{(Eq.3)}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) (dimensionless) was the bed porosity of the catalyst (with a value of 0.38 in), \( V_{\text{char}} \) was the volume (L) of char in the bed, and \( Q_{\text{in,T}} \) was the total inlet flow rate (L/s) of N\(_2\), bio-oil, and water vapor under reactor temperature. The \( Q_{\text{in,T}} \) was calculated by the equation for the state of ideal gas, as shown in Equation 4:

\[
Q_{\text{in,T}} = \frac{1000 \times F_{\text{inlet,n}} \times R \times T}{P} \quad \text{(Eq.4)}
\]

where \( F_{\text{inlet,n}} \) (mol/s) was the total inlet molar flow rate of N\(_2\), bio-oil, and water vapor, \( R = 8.314 \) (J/mol/K), \( T \) (K) is the reactor temperature, and \( P = 101325 \) (Pa).

Results and discussion

Effect of catalytic reforming temperature

The effects of catalytic reforming temperatures in the range from 600 to 900 °C on the dry gas composition, bio-oil conversion, and bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas were investigated while the N\(_2\) flow rate, WHSV (Weight hourly space velocity), feeding rate of bio-oil, and S/B (mass steam-to-bio-oil ratio) were fixed at 30 mL/min, 5.2 h\(^{-1}\), 47.02 g/h, and 2, respectively. As can be seen from Table 3, as expected, the concentration of hydrogen in the gaseous product and the bio-oil conversion increased with the catalytic reforming temperature, while the concentration of carbon dioxide in the gaseous product and the bio-oil content in the outlet dry gas decreased. Comparing the results with that of Wang et al. (2007), it can be seen that the catalytic activity of the char catalyst is higher than the C12A7-O/18% Mg catalyst at 600 to 700 °C. This indicated that the char catalyst had good catalytic performance for the bio-oil conversion. However, comparison with the results of Wang et al. (Wang et al., 1998) found that the total concentration of H\(_2\) and CO was about 80% over the commercial catalysts of the UCI G-90C and ICI 46-series. Nevertheless, the total composition of H\(_2\) and CO was lower than 64% over the char catalyst at 800 to 900 °C. A reason for this was because the concentration of CH\(_4\) in the gaseous product was high. This indicated
that the char catalyst had limited effects on the \( \text{CH}_4 \) transformation (Yang et al., 2019). When the temperature was lower than 700 °C, the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas was very high, and therefore is not suitable for further catalytic steam reforming over the metal catalyst. The catalytic activity of char became very prominent at high temperatures (≥ 800 °C). The bio-oil conversion was higher than 80% and the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas became much lower, though the technological parameters should be further optimized to reduce the bio-oil contents in outlet dry gas.

**Table 3. Effects of catalytic reforming temperatures on dry gas composition and bio-oil conversion as well as bio-oil contents in outlet dry gas**

| Temperature (°C) | Dry gas composition (vol.%) | Bio-oil conversion (wt.%) | In outlet dry gas (g/Nm³) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 | H₂ | CO | CH₄ | CO₂ |                       |                          |
| 600             | 0.33 | 6.14 | 17.45 | 76.09 | 49.00               | 2664.44                  |
| 700             | 12.24 | 10.84 | 21.79 | 55.13 | 62.65               | 1105.79                  |
| 800             | 18.62 | 19.50 | 27.93 | 33.95 | 82.22               | 237.50                   |
| 900             | 45.85 | 18.36 | 11.34 | 24.45 | 93.87               | 53.25                    |

**Kinetic aspects of catalytic reforming**

From the previous sections it was found that the catalytic activity of char became very significant at high temperatures above 800 °C. The temperature and char dosage effects on the bio-oil conversion were studied in the temperature range of 800 to 900 °C and the char dosage range of 9 to 18 g.

A first order kinetic equation is used to calculate the kinetic parameters (Eq. 5), for the overall bio-oil catalytic reforming reactions:

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = -kF
\]  

(Eq.5)

where \( F \) (mol/s) is the molar flow rate of bio-oil at the reactor inlet and \( k \) is the apparent kinetic constant.

To verify plug flow conditions in the fixed bed, the longitudinal or axial dispersion coefficient \( D \), which characterizes the degree of back mixing during the flow, needed to be calculated. This coefficient is used in the dimensionless Peclet number \( Pe \) to determine the type of flow, according to Equation 6:

\[
Pe = \frac{\nu \times L}{D}
\]  

(Eq.6)

\( Pe \to 0 \) large dispersion, hence the use of a mixed flow; \( Pe \to \infty \) negligible dispersion, hence the use of a plug flow, where \( L \) is the length of the bed (units) and \( m \) and \( \nu \) are the average actual fluid velocity (m/s).

The Peclet number was very high (approximately \( 1.81 \times 10^4 \)), hence the plug flow conditions can be assumed. Under the plug flow conditions, the first-order kinetic equation can be integrated according to Equation 7:
\[
\frac{F_{\text{in}}}{F_{\text{out}}} = k t
\]  
(Eq.7)

The slopes of those lines were calculated by a linear regression to give the apparent kinetic constant, \(k\), under the catalytic gasification temperature of 800 °C, 850 °C, and 900 °C as shown in Figure 2.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Effects of the residence time in the catalyst bed on \(\ln(F_{\text{in}}/F_{\text{out}})\) under catalytic gasification temperature of 800 °C, 850 °C, and 900 °C. (Dosage of char rose from 9 to 18 g at a rate of 3 g, feeding rate of bio-oil was 47.02 g/h and S/B was 2)}
\end{figure}

The apparent rate constant of the bio-oil conversion over char was estimated according to the Arrhenius’ law, seen in Equation 8. The estimated apparent activation energy of char was assumed to be constant in the studied temperature range (800 to 900 °C).

\[
k = k_0 e^{(-E_a/RT)}
\]  
(Eq.8)

where \(k_0\) is the apparent frequency factor, \(s^{-1}\) and \(E_a\) are the apparent activation energy (J/mol).

The Arrhenius plots and Cremer-Constable diagram of the catalytic gasification (800 to 900 °C) are shown in Figure 3. The slopes and intercepts of the line were calculated by a linear regression to give the apparent activation energies \((E_a)\) of 56.98 KJ/mol and the pre-exponential factors \((k_0)\) of \(1.58 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}\) for a catalytic reformation with the char catalyst. It would be interesting to compare the results with the results of other researchers. However, research on the bio-oil conversion kinetic parameters for the biomass char could not be found. Only a comparison with tar reduction was made (Shan et al., 2018). The Charcoal in this work gave lower apparent activation energies \((E_a)\) values in comparison to several different chars (85.8 KJ/mol for sorbent-free coal char (Shamsi et al., 1996), 61 KJ/mol (El-Rub et al., 2008), 81.2 KJ/mol for foster wheeler
char (Shamsi et al., 1996), BASF (58 KJ/mol) (Aznar, 1998), and G1-25 S (58 KJ/mol) (Aznar, 1998). And it gives pre-exponential factors ($k_0$) higher than the commercial biomass char ($1.0 \times 10^4 \text{s}^{-1}$) (El-Rub et al., 2008), and foster wheeler char ($1.30 \times 10^4 \text{s}^{-1}$) (Shamsi et al., 1996). Therefore, bio-oil can be considered an easier removal than tar either from biomass gasification or coal gasification.

![Figure 3. The relationship between apparent reaction rate constant and temperature according to Arrhenius’ law](image)

### Stability of the char catalyst on bio-oil catalytic reforming

From the previous sections it was found that the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas was low under the catalytic reforming conditions, with a catalytic temperature of 900 °C, N$_2$ flow rate, 30 mL/min, WHSV, 2.6 h$^{-1}$, a feeding rate of bio-oil, 47.02 g/h and S/B of 2. It was suitable for further catalytic steam reforming over the metal catalyst (Mengping et al., 2018). However, the stability of the char catalyst should be well understood (Chang et al., 2018). Thus, dry gas composition, bio-oil conversion, bio-oil contents in outlet dry gas, char waste, and the weight percentage of char consumed were studied as a function of time (Li et al., 2019). The experimental results (Table 4) show that the increase in time resulted in the bio-oil contents of outlet dry gas to vary from decreasing to a slight increase, whereas the bio-oil conversion varied from increasing to a slight reduction (Zhang et al., 2018). These phenomena indicated that as time increased from 0.5 h to 1 h, the char catalyst was consumed by steam and CO$_2$, which produced new pores. In contrast, more alkali metals, such as CaO and K$_2$O (Table 2), which have a better catalytic performance on the bio-oil gasification (Wang et al., 2018), were exposed on the char surface so that the catalytic performance of char increased. However, as the reaction time increased, more char catalysts are deactivation for sticking together. When the reaction time increased from 0.5 to 1 h, the weight percentage of char catalyst consumption increased from 9.51 to 12.22%, which confirmed that the char catalyst was consumed by steam and CO$_2$ (Kang et al., 2017). As the reaction time increased from 1 h to 2 h, the weight percentage of char consumed decreased to 4.02%, which indicated that the coke deposition increased. All in all, the
char catalyst exhibited an excellent bio-oil removal rate (bio-oil conversion > 99.6%) in the first 2 h. Aznar (1999) has confirmed in his work that in order to avoid deactivation of the catalyst by coke, the tar content in the gas product must be less than 2 g/Nm³. In this work, the bio-oil contents of the outlet dry gas were lower than 1.89 g/Nm³ in the first 2 h, thus it is suitable for further catalytic steam reforming over a metal catalyst. The CO₂ and H₂ concentrations showed similar trends of a small increase, whereas both the CO and CH₄ concentrations decreased as the reaction time rose. From the dry gas composition point of view, it is suitable for hydrogen production.

When the experiment was performed in nearly 3 h, the water-metering pump could not feed water into the reactor because of the high pressure in the reactor. This was caused by char catalysts sticking together. A further study should include understanding on the reason for char sticking together and how to reduce such.

Table 4. Stability of char catalyst

| Time (h) | Dry gas composition (vol.%) | Bio-oil | Char waste |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------|------------|
|          | H₂  | CO  | CH₄ | CO₂ | Conversion (wt.%) | In the outlet dry gas (g/Nm³) | g   | wt.% |
| 0.5      | 51.69 | 15.88 | 8.90 | 23.53 | 99.62 | 1.89 | 1.69 | 9.51 |
| 1        | 52.74 | 14.25 | 8.80 | 24.20 | 99.91 | 0.38 | 2.31 | 12.22 |
| 2        | 54.35 | 13.12 | 7.64 | 24.89 | 99.89 | 0.47 | 0.74 | 4.02 |

Reason for char sticking together and methods to overcome it

There are three possible reasons for char sticking together, (1) the char catalysts tended to slag because of high alkali content and lower fusion temperatures, (2) as the char catalyst was consumed by steam and CO₂, the framework of char collapsed, and (3) carbon deposition between the char catalysts. If char stickiness was mainly caused by slagging, the char catalyst would have a slower sticking rate under lower catalytic temperatures. To verify whether char sticking together was caused by slagging, a test was performed under the catalytic reforming conditions with a catalytic temperature of 800 °C, N₂ flow rate, 30 mL/min, WHSV, 1.9 h⁻¹, feeding rate of bio-oil, 35 g/h and a S/B of 2. The experimental results indicated that water also could not be fed into the reactor through the water-metering pump after 118 min. Lower reaction temperatures caused char to stick faster, which indicated that slagging could not be the main factor causing the char to stick together. It is known that char catalysts always stick at the top of the char bed, which is near to the inlet of the reactor, and only a very small part of char stick together. Therefore, it is believed that the temperature at the top of the char bed is much lower than 900 °C for the continuously feeding thus carbon was formed. In other words, bio-oil and water need to be preheated. Prior to being fed into the catalytic reforming reactor, bio-oil, water, and N₂ were preheated at 800 °C. The catalytic reforming test was performed under conditions that were identical to the previous sections. The result shows that char sticking together and char collapsing were not found. This confirmed that char sticking together was caused only by carbon deposition between the char catalysts and preheating the bio-oil. It also confirmed that water was an effective method to overcome the char from sticking together.
Conclusions

(1) When catalytic gasification temperatures were lower than 700 °C, the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas were very high. Reforming product was not suitable for further catalytic steam reforming over a metal catalyst. The catalytic activity of char catalysts became very prominent at high temperatures (≥ 800°C).

(2) The first order kinetic rate constant of char for bio-oil conversion, in the temperature range of 800 to 900 °C, was found to have an apparent activation energy (E_a) of 56.98 KJ/mol and a pre-exponential factor (k_0) of 1.58 × 10^4 s^{-1}.

(3) Bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas varied from decreasing to a slight increase in the first 2 h under the catalytic gasification conditions with a catalytic temperature of 900 °C, WHSV, 2.6 h^{-1}, feeding rate of bio-oil of 47.02 g/h and S/B of 2. In comparison, bio-oil conversions vary from increasing to a slight reduction. All in all, the char catalyst exhibited an excellent bio-oil removal rate (bio-oil conversion > 99.6%) and the bio-oil contents in the outlet dry gas were lower than 1.89 g/Nm^3, thus it was suitable for further catalytic steam reforming over a metal catalyst.

(4) The feeding materials temperature should be allowed to achieve the designed reaction temperature before reaching the char catalysts, to block the char catalysts from sticking together.

In the study of catalytic reforming of biomass pyrolysis oil for hydrogen production, only a small number of catalysts have been studied. It is necessary to study in depth the catalysts suitable for the reforming of biomass pyrolysis oil for hydrogen production with good selectivity, high activity and long life. In this way, the research on hydrogen production from Bio-oil reforming catalyzed by activated carbon will make progress in the future.
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