Repertoire of free-living protozoa in contact lens solutions
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Abstract

Background: The repertoire of free-living protozoa in contact lens solutions is poorly known despite the fact that such protozoa may act as direct pathogens and may harbor intra-cellular pathogens.

Methods: Between 2009 and 2014, the contact lens solutions collected from patients presenting at our Ophthalmology Department for clinically suspected keratitis, were cultured on non-nutrient agar examined by microscope for the presence of free-living protozoa. All protozoa were identified by 18S rRNA gene sequencing.

Results: A total of 20 of 233 (8.6 %) contact lens solution specimens collected from 16 patients were cultured. Acanthamoeba amoeba in 16 solutions (80 %) collected from 12 patients and Colpoda steini, Cercozoa sp., Protostelium sp. and a eukaryotic more closely related to Vermamoeba sp., were each isolated in one solution. Cercozoa sp., Colpoda sp., Protostelium sp. and Vermamoeba sp. are reported for the first time as contaminating contact lens solutions.

Conclusion: The repertoire of protozoa in contact lens solutions is larger than previously known.
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Background

Contact lens (CL) wearers are at risk of developing infectious keratitis [1]. In particular, the prevalence of amoebic keratitis has been shown to be significantly higher in CL wearers than in the general population living in the same geographic area [2]. Accordingly, it has been suspected that CL solution could be the source of amoeba in this situation [3]. Indeed, several studies have reported detecting amoeba in CL solutions [2]. Thus far, only amoeba of the genus Acanthamoeba have been documented in CL solutions [1, 4, 5].

Here, we prospectively search for free-living unicellular protozoa in CL solutions collected from patients with suspected keratitis, in an effort to broaden the repertoire of free-living protozoa as potential cornea pathogens.

Methods

Culture of protozoa

CL solution specimens were collected between 2009 and 2014 by CL wearers presenting to the Ophthalmology Department of the Timone Hospital in Marseille, France, for the clinical diagnosis of keratitis and corneal ulcers. Clinical criteria for diagnosis included evidence of a corneal infiltrate or corneal ulcer with underlying inflammation, which could lead to the necrosis of corneal tissue. CL solution provided by the patient was poured into a sterile can kept at room temperature for 4–24 h before it was analysed in the laboratory. The following standard protocol was used to search for protozoa. The CL solution was spread onto a non-nutrient agar plate with living Enterobacter aerogenes. The non-nutrient agar plate was incubated at 28 °C in a humidified atmosphere (contact with moistened gauze) and examined by microscope at × 4 and × 10 magnifications. Free-living protozoa were subcultured on a new non-nutrient agar plate with living E. aerogenes in order to obtain sufficient clonal populations. When the growth was sufficient, areas where protozoa were easily detected by microscope were cut and centrifuged at 2000 g for...
10 min. The pellet was re-suspended in 1 mL of Amoeba Page’s saline PAS (Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratory, Oban, UK) for further DNA extraction.

**Culturing bacterial and fungal organisms**

CL solution specimens were seeded onto 5 % sheep-blood agar (COS, bioMérieux, La-Balme-les-Grottes, France) and BCYE (Buffered Charcoal Yeast Extract, bioMérieux) and incubated at 32 °C for 10 days in a 5 % CO₂ atmosphere. For the culture of yeasts and fungi, CL solution specimens were seeded onto Sabouraud agar containing chloramphenicol and gentamicin (bioMérieux), incubated at 32 °C for 10 days. All the bacterial isolates were identified using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS; Microflex, Bruker Biospin S.A., Wissembourg, France) as previously described [6]. Briefly, colonies detached from the agar were directly applied to a MALDI-TOF MTP 384 target plate (Bruker) in order to analyze four spots per isolate. Each spot was overlaid with 2 μL of matrix solution, a saturated solution of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 50 % acetonitrile mixed with 2.5 % trifluoroacetic-acid. The matrix-sample was crystallized by air-drying at room temperature for 5 min. Measurements were performed using an Autoflex II mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik) equipped with a 337-nm nitrogen laser. Spectra were recorded in the 2–20 kDa mass range. Data were automatically acquired using AutoXecute acquisition control software. The two first raw spectra obtained for each isolate were imported into the BioTyper software, version 2.0 (Bruker Daltonik GmbH), and were analyzed by standard pattern matching (with default parameter settings) against 5625 references in the BioTyper database. When both spots yielded a score ≥1.9, identification was complete. In this study, it was not necessary to complete accurate MALDI-TOF-MS identification of bacteria by DNA sequencing.

**Molecular identification of protozoa**

Total DNA was extracted using the QIAmp tissue kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (QIAGEN SA, Courtaboeuf, France). A 328-bp fragment of the 18S rRNA gene was PCR-amplified using the primers NS5/F 5’AACTTAAAGGAATTGACGGAAG3’ and NS6/R 3’ GCATCACAGACCTGTTCCTGC5’ and an annealing temperature of 60 °C [7]. All amplification reactions were performed using the 2720 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Saint-Aubin, France) in a 50 μL-mixture containing 5 μL of dNTPs (2 mM of each nucleotide), 5 μL of DNA polymerase buffer (Qiagen), 2 μL of MgCl₂ (25 mM), 0.25 μL HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (1.25 U) (Qiagen), 1 μL of each primer and 35.75 μL of DNAse-free water. The positive control consisted of *Candida albicans* DNA. Sterile distilled water was used as a negative control. PCR consisted of a 15-min initial denaturation Taq polymerase Hot-Star at 95 °C followed by 30-s denaturation at 95 °C, 30-s hybridation at 60 °C and 1-min elongation at 72 °C. After 35 cycles, extension was performed for 5 min at 72 °C. Amplified products were visualized under UV illumination with Syber Safe staining after electrophoresis using a 1.5 % agarose gel. PCR products were cloned by the pGEM®-T Easy Vector System Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, Lyon, France). They were sequenced in both directions using the Big Dye® Terminator V1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). Original sequences have been submitted to GenBank.

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis**

Sequencing products were resolved using an ABI PRISM 3130 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were compared with the GenBank database using the online BLAST program (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The highest percentage of sequence similarity was used to identify isolates. Sequence similarity higher than 97 % with a described species was considered to be indicative of identification at the species level. Phylogenetic analysis was established by the neighbor-joining method using MEGA5 software (www.megasoftware.net). Phylogenetic construct was based on the 18S rRNA gene sequences aligned with 52 references.

**Results**

**Free living protozoa**

A total of 20/233 (8.6 %) CL solution specimens collected between 2009 and 2014 from 16 patients, cultured at least one free-living protozoa (Table 1). Protozoa identifications were made by partial sequencing of the 18S rRNA gene and by establishing the percentage of similarity of these sequences with reference sequences. authenticated by the validity of positive and negative controls. With one exception, confident identification was obtained at the genus level only. These identifications include *Acanthamoeba in 16 (80 %) solution specimens collected from 12 different patients, Colpoda steini in specimen n°14, Cercozoa sp. in specimen n°12, Protostelium sp. in specimen n°15, and an identical 99 % sequence similarity with both Hartmanella and Vermamoeba genus in specimen 13.

Further phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1) confirmed these identifications and indicated that the protozoa isolated in specimen n°13 was more closely related to *Vermamoeba*. Furthermore, phylogenetic analysis indicated that the same *Acanthamoeba* was isolated in left and right contact lens solutions in patients 6, 11 and 16.

**Bacteria and fungi**

Twelve of the 20 protozoa-positive (60 %) CL specimens cultured bacteria, while eight protozoa-positive CL
Table 1 List of protozoa identified in 16 contact lens solution specimens, along with co-cultured bacteria and fungi

| Patient | CL case | Protozoa       | Co-cultured bacteria                                                                 | Co-cultured fungi |
|---------|---------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Patient 1 | 1       | Acanthamoeba sp. | Senata liquefaciens, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Pseudomonas aeruginosa             | None              |
| Patient 2 | 2       | Acanthamoeba sp. | Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Chryseobacterium daacunse, Citrobacter freundi | Sacrocdium kilense |
| Patient 3 | 3       | Acanthamoeba sp. | Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Chryseobacterium gleum, Delfia acidovarans                 | None              |
| Patient 4 | 4       | Acanthamoeba sp. | Pseudomonas fluorescens, Mycobacterium chimaera, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia        | None              |
| Patient 5 | 5       | Acanthamoeba sp. | None                                                                                  | None              |
| Patient 6 | 6-1     | Acanthamoeba sp. | None                                                                                  | None              |
| Patient 7 | 7       | Acanthamoeba sp. | None                                                                                  | Candida guilliermondii, Fusarium oxysporum |
| Patient 8 | 8       | Acanthamoeba sp. | Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Raoultella amythathyltica, Sphingobacterium multivorum, Agrobacterium tumefaciens, Klebsiella terrigena, Pseudomonas hibiscicola, Shewanella putrefaciens, Sphingobacterium syyangense | None              |
| Patient 9 | 9-1     | Acanthamoeba sp. | None                                                                                  | None              |
| Patient 10 | 9-2    | Acanthamoeba sp. | None                                                                                  | None              |
| Patient 11 | 10     | Acanthamoeba sp. | Klebsiella pneumonia, Enterobacter cloacae, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia             | Candida parapsilosis, Candida lipolytica |
| Patient 12 | 11-1   | Acanthamoeba sp. | Sphingobacterium multivorum, Aeromonas veronii, Aeromonas caeae, Raoultella amythathyltica, Klebsiella pneumoniae | None              |
| Patient 13 | 11-2   | Acanthamoeba sp. | Pseudochrobactrum asaccharolyticum, Aeromonas caeae, Wausterella fasiemi              | None              |
| Patient 14 | 12     | Cercozoa sp.    | Klebsiella oxytoca, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Alcaligenes xylosoxidans, Pseudomonas aeruginosa | Candida colliculosa |
| Patient 15 | 13     | Vermamoeba sp.  | Enterobacter cloacae, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Xanthobacter flavus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Mycobacterium chelonae | None              |
| Patient 16 | 14     | Colpoda steini  | None                                                                                  | None              |
| Patient 17 | 15     | Protostelium sp. | Alcaligenes xylosoxidans, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Sphingomonas multivorum, Aeromonas coccida, Microbacterium flavum, Chryseobacterium hominis, Microbacterium testaceum | None              |
| Patient 18 | 16-1   | Acanthamoeba sp. | Microbacterium oxydans                                                                  | Penicillium chrysogenum, Candida parapsilosis, Fusarium oxysporum |
| Patient 19 | 16-2   | Acanthamoeba sp. | None                                                                                  | None              |
specimens did not. *Stenotrophomonas* sp. and *Pseudomonas* sp. were most frequently identified and found in 8/20 (40%) specimens, followed by *Klebsiella* sp. in 4/20 (20%) specimens, *Aeromonas* sp. in 3/20 (15%) specimens, *Chryseobacterium* sp. and *Sphingobacterium* sp. in 2/20 (10%) specimens and *Achromobacter* sp., *Agrobacterium* sp., *Alcaligenes* sp., *Citrobacter* sp., *Delftia* sp., *Enterobacter* sp., *Microbacterium* sp., *Mycobacterium* sp., *Raoultella* sp., *Serratia* sp., *Shewanella* sp. and *Wautersiella* sp. in 1/20 specimens. Fungi were cultured in five protozoa-positive CL specimens. Fungi included *Candida guilliermondii*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida lipolytica* and *Candida colliculosa*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Sacrocadium kiliense* and *Penicillium chrysogenum*. In three cases, several fungi were co-cultured, including *P. chrysogenum*, *C. parapsilosis* and *F. oxysporum* in case 16–1, *C. guilliermondii* and *F. oxysporum* in case 7 and *C. parapsilosis* and *C. lipolytica* in case 10.

**Discussion**

We embarked upon a prospective study of the repertoire of free-living protozoa in the CL solutions. In this study we observed that, unsurprisingly, the vast majority of positive specimens grew an *Acanthamoeba* amoeba. A previous study reported 28 *Acanthamoeba* isolates from CL solutions, including *A. lugdunensis*, *A. hatchettii* and *A. castellani* [4]. Further species were later found to contaminate CL solutions of residents in Southern Korea [5]. Also, amoeba morphologically identified as *A. rhysodes*, *A. polyphaga* and *A. hatchetti* were reported in CL specimens of patients with clinical keratitis in Austria [8]. Here, we additionally observed that it is most likely that the same amoeba contaminates both the right and the left CL solutions. These observations are of clinical interest, as *Acanthamoeba* are known to cause keratitis [9–12].

---

**Fig. 1** Phylogenetic tree based on the 18S rRNA gene sequences derived from 20 protozoan isolates taken from contact lens solutions. Bootstrap values are indicated at nodes. The bar indicates 1‰ substitutions in sequences.
However, we failed to find Colpoda sp., Protostelium sp., and Vermamoeba sp. in these CL solutions. Likewise, we found no cases of keratitis which were due to any of these three species: non-Acanthamoeba keratitis were found to be due to Valkampfia and Hartmanella amoeba [13, 14]. Amoeba, and Acanthamoeba in particular, have been shown to host so-called amoeba-resisting bacteria [15, 16], making them a source of polymicrobial keratitis which may involve the amoeba itself in addition to bacteria and viruses [17]. Several bacteria here co-cultivated with Acanthamoeba, are amoeba-resisting bacteria, including P. aeruginosa [18] Mycobacterium sp. [19–21] and Aeromonas sp. [16, 22]. We also co-cultivated several bacteria with Cercozoa sp., Vermamoeba sp. and Protostelium sp., but not with C. steini, suggesting further studies of the relationships between these protozoa and bacteria may be required.

Conclusions
In conclusion, the spectrum of protozoa contaminating CL solutions is broader than previously thought. These protozoa may also host ocular pathogens including bacteria and fungi. Some of these emerging protozoa escape the current routine detection of amoeba in clinical specimens collected from corneal lesions, underscoring the need to develop additional laboratory tools for the diagnosis of keratitis.
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