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Abstract: In this work, we have proposed two new methods for the synthesis of [TcO$_2$L$_4$]$^+$ (where $L = $ imidazole (Im), methylimidazole (MeIm)) complexes using thiourea (Tu) and Sn(II) as the reducing agents. The main and by-products of the reactions were determined, and possible reaction mechanisms were proposed. We have shown that the reduction of Tc(VII) with thiourea is accompanied by the formation of the Tc(III) intermediate and further oxidation to Tc(V). The reaction conditions’ changing can lead to the formation of Tc(VII) and Tc(IV) salts. Seven new crystal structures are described in this work: Tc(V) complexes, salts with Tc(VII) and Tc(IV) anions. For the halide salts of Tu the cell parameters were determined. In all of the obtained compounds, except for [TcO$_2$(MeIm)$_4$]TcO$_4$, there are π–stacking interactions between the aromatic rings. An increase in the anion size lead to weakening of the intermolecular interactions. The halogen bonds and anion-π interactions were also found in the hexahalide-containing compounds. The Hirshfeld surface analysis showed that the main contribution to the crystal packing is created by the van der Waals interactions of the H···H type (42.5–55.1%), H···C/C···H (17.7–21.3%) and hydrogen bonds, which contribute 15.7–25.3% in total.
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1. Introduction

Technetium is mostly chemically and environmentally available as Tc(VII)O$_4^-$, and therefore in most reactions possesses oxidative properties. Depending on the reducing conditions and the chemical nature of the ligand available, Tc(VII)O$_4^-$ may convert to stable/unstable final/intermediate species of Tc(V), Tc(VI) or Tc(III). Under very special conditions, the products could be either of a cluster nature [1], or carbonyl derivatives [2,3] of Tc[2+, 2.5+ and 0] oxidation states. Very high attention is focused on the Tc(V) and Tc(III) complexes that play important roles in the preparative [4–6], radiopharmaceutical [7,8] and industrial reprocessing chemistry of Tc [9].

Weak, intermolecular interactions are of particular interest from a theoretical point of view, the study of which in pertechnetates and perrhenates of purines led to the discovery of a new type of chemical bond [10]. New methods of separating perrhenates and pertechnetates are based on non-valent interactions [11–14]. The study of the non-valent interactions’ contribution for various technetium compounds will allow a deeper understanding of the impact of radiopharmaceuticals on living organisms [7], and of the formation of non-trivial technetium complex compounds, similar to those described in [4,5,15]. The information about weak interactions can also be useful for predicting the behavior of the Tc-containing intermediates in catalytic and sorption processes on complex organic sorbents, such as those described [16].
Halide systems have now received a new meaning for high-temperature pyrometallurgical reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel. One approach to this is the use of low-temperature melts and ionic liquids, based on imidazole derivatives. It is known that concentrated hydrohalogen acids form the stable compounds TcO(Hal)₂⁺, but during its long-term storage, Tc(V) transforms into the hexahalide compounds of Tc(IV). However, with the presence of ligands in the Tc(V) solutions, it becomes possible to obtain useful functional complexes, which was shown in the work [17]. There is no description in the literature of Tc(IV) hexahalide complexes with imidazolium cations. In the course of the operation of molten-salt reactors, the TcHal₆²⁻ compounds will inevitably accumulate. The study of the crystal structure, intermolecular interactions and conditions for the formation of technetium hexahalides can be used to develop methods for reprocessing fuel for new types of reactors [18,19]. It should be taken into account that TcHal₆²⁻ compounds can be used to purify and obtain metallic technetium, which is considered to be the most acceptable form for the long-term storage or transmutation of technetium.

The reduction of the pertechnetate ions with organosulfur compounds (inorganic Na₂S₂O₃ or organic thiourea (Tu)) leads to the formation of Tc(V) [20,21] depending on the present ligands, which the authors of the following work pay attention to [22].

In the work of Fackler and co-workers in 1985 [1], the structure of [TcO₂(Im)₄]Cl·2H₂O (Im = imidazole) was analyzed. The Tc=O distances were used in some of the databases as a reference for TcO₂⁺, giving an idea of the large variation of Tc=O in technetyl cation—the observation being in fact erroneous, due to the initial misinterpretation of the space group in the compound structure. Meanwhile, the great interest in the technetyl(V) derivatives arose due to the fact that its application in nuclear medicine as biologically active ⁹⁹mTc radiopharmaceuticals is based on the Tc(V) formation with [O=Tc=O]⁺ as a complex-forming center [23–26]. The Tc complexes of such a type with amine and imine ligands are the principal models for the Tc bonding to amino acids, proteins, antibodies etc. In general, Tc (V) usually forms trans-[O₂L₄Tc]⁺, where L is the N-bearing organic ligand [17]. Imidazole rings are often found in biochemical systems applicable to metal coordination.

The R-factor obtained in [17] for [TcO₂(Im)₄]Cl·2H₂O, was equal to 0.091 with the abnormally short distances Tc–O, that are characteristic more of Tc(VII) than of Tc(V). The analyses of local symmetry and the hkl set support the erroneous interpretation of the space group to a centrosymmetric one by the authors of [17] for the structure [TcO₂(Im)₄]Cl·2H₂O. Nowadays, a great database for mettroyl cations complexes is available, and the typical tendencies indicate that the structure of [TcO₂(Im)₄]Cl·2H₂O in [17] was confusing; therefore, we considered it reasonable to undertake new research of its analogues with the principal idea of enlarging (and possibly correcting) the database for the technetyl complex compounds and the structural understanding of them.

The paper considers a new method for the preparation of [TcO₂(Im)₄]Cl·2H₂O (I), [TcO₂(Im)₄]Br·2H₂O (II), [TcO₂(2-MeIm)₄]Cl·2H₂O (III), and [TcO₂(2-MeIm)₄]TcO₂ (IV) compounds, their crystal structures are studied and the noncovalent interactions are analyzed by the Hirshfeld surfaces method and IR spectroscopy of [TcO₂(Im)₄]Br·2H₂O. A detailed analysis of the reactional by-products ((Melm)(HMelm)(Tu)TcO₄ (V), HIm₂TcCl₆ (VI), Him₂TcBr₆ (VII), (HImelm)₄Tc/SnCl₆Cl₂ (VIII), Tu₂Cl₂ (IX) and Tu₂Br₂ (X)) was carried out and new imidazole complexes Tc(VI) and Tc(IV) were identified. The optimal conditions for the formation of the complex-forming nucleus TcO₂⁺ by the proposed method were also determined.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Structural Description of Tc(V) Complexes

Compounds I–IV contain [TcO₂L₄]⁺ cationic complexes, in which the Im (Melm) molecules are coordinated to the Tc atom by the N atom (Figure 1). The crystal structure data for compound I were obtained from [17]. The [TcO₂Im₄]Cl·2H₂O structure described earlier in the centrosymmetric space group C2/c, with the disordering of the chloride ion and water molecules is, probably, not centrosymmetric and similar to II. Furthermore,
in [17] the authors also reported the structure of [TcO₂(MeIm)₄]Cl₂H₂O. The space group of the compound is C2/c, while the compound III of the same composition in our work is P-1. The oxygen atoms are in the trans-position (the angles O–Tc–O are close to 180°). The N–Tc–N angles are close to 90° in all of the complexes (Tables S2, S6 and S10, Supplementary Materials). In II, three imidazole rings are turned in one direction (C1, C7 and C10 atoms on one side of the equatorial plane of the TcO₂ group), and only one ring is turned in the other direction (C4 on the other side of the plane) (Figure 1). In the structures III and IV, the opposite imidazole rings are turned in different directions. Although in all of the structures the imidazole rings are planar, they are not parallel to the O···O direction. In structure II, the two opposite rings are rotated further away from this direction (torsion angles O1–Tc1–N1–C3 and O1–Tc1–N3–C4 are 159.1° and 164.2°, respectively), than the other two (the torsion angles O1–Tc1–N7–C12 and O1–Tc1–N5–C8 are 170.1° and 175.4°). In III, the imidazole rings deviate more strongly from the O···O direction (torsion angles change from 150° to 155°), while in IV, on the contrary, they are close to this direction (torsion angles change from 168.1° to 175.0°).

The Tc–N distances in all of the complexes are approximately equal and change from 2.122 to 2.140 Å. The Tc=O distances in the complexes vary from 1.743 to 1.763 Å, which is longer than the distances described in previous works for the chlorides of these complexes [17], and used in the calculations [27].

In all of the structures, the cations are bonded to each other through crystallization water molecules or counterions. In II, the cations are linked through bromide-ions by the H-bonds of the N–H···Br type and through the water molecules by the hydrogen bonds of the N–H···O and O–H···O types. In III, the cations are linked to the water molecules by the hydrogen bonds of the O–H···O type, which in turn form a water···Cl···water···water bridge to another cation. In IV, the cations are linked to each other through the pertechnetate anions by the weak hydrogen bonds of the C–H···O type. The crystal packing in all of the complexes can be represented as layered, where the molecules of the complexes form

Figure 1. Molecular structure of II (a), III (b) and IV (c) showing the coordination of the technetium atom with some bond lengths and labeling. Solvent molecules, counterions and H-atoms are omitted for clarity.
layers, between which there are molecules of anions and water (Figure 2). Additionally, the molecules of the complexes in structure II are connected by two π–stacking interactions, and in III by only one (Figure 3a,b). The strongest π–stacking interaction is observed in structure III (Table 1). In structure IV, there are short distances between the atoms of the imidazole rings, but these contacts cannot be called π–stacking [28,29], because of the large distance between the centers (4.127 Å) and the large displacement (1.944 Å) of the rings. However, in IV, the methyl hydrogen of methylimidazole and one of the hydrogens of the imidazole ring participate in the CH–π interactions (the distances between the hydrogen atoms and the centers of the aromatic rings are shorter than 3 Å(Figure 3c)) [30–33]. In this case, the distance between the hydrogen atom and the center of the aromatic ring is the shortest in the case of the hydrogen of the imidazole ring. Although the CH–π interactions are often considered weaker than the hydrogen bonds, they are one of the factors that determine the molecular structure within a crystal and can be used for recognition [34,35].

Figure 2. Crystal packing of II (a), III (b) and IV (c) showing layers of complexes with solvent molecules and counterions between them. View along b axis.
Table 1. Parameters of π–stacking interactions.

| Structure | Rings                                                                 | Angle   | Centroid–Centroid Distance | Shift Distance |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------|
| II        | N1C3C2N2C1 N3C6C4N4C (symmetry code: −1/2+x, 1/2+y, z)                 | 6.503   | 3.749                     | 1.175          |
|           | N3C6C4N4C (symmetry code: −1/2+x, 1/2−y, −1/2+z)                      | 5.351   | 3.979                     | 1.718          |
| III       | C10N7C12C11N8 C7N5C8C9N6 (symmetry code: x, y, z)                     | 1.325   | 3.569                     | 1.269          |
| V         | C5N1C2N3C4 C15N1C12N13C14 (symmetry code: 1−x, 1−y, 1−z)              | 4.667   | 3.437                     | 1.153          |
|           | C15N1C12N13C14 (symmetry code: 1−x, 2−y, 1−z)                        | 0.000   | 3.342                     | 0.572          |
| VI        | C1N5C4C3N2 (symmetry code: 2−x, 1−y, 2−z)                             | 0.000   | 3.461                     | 0.937          |
| VII       | C1N5C4C3N2 (symmetry code: −x, 1−y, −z)                               | 0.000   | 3.536                     | 0.960          |
| VIII      | C6N2C7C11N1 C17N9C21C19N4 (symmetry code: x, 5/2−y, −1/2+z)           | 3.045   | 3.446                     | 1.102          |
|           | C14N12C18C15N5 (symmetry code: x, 1+y, z)                             | 7.555   | 3.332                     | 0.892          |
|           | C14N12C18C15N5 (symmetry code: x, 3/2−y, 1/2+z)                       | 6.556   | 3.481                     | 1.136          |

Figure 3. View showing π–stacking interactions in the structures II (a), III (b) and CH–π interactions in IV(c). Only the hydrogen atoms involved in the CH–π interactions are shown.

The analysis of the supramolecular interactions in the obtained structures showed that an increase in the size of the anion leads to a deterioration in the binding of the molecules in the crystal but does not lead to crystal-packing disturbances (solvent molecules and counterions are located between the layer of cations) and suggests an increase in solubility upon passing from compound II to IV.

2.2. Structural Description of By-Products

Compound V was obtained as a by-product of the preparation of compound IV. The structure contains two Melm molecules, one of which is protonated at the nitrogen atom, but the proton in the compound is disordered between the two nitrogen atoms of different molecules (N3 and N13). The structure contains a neutral thiourea molecule and a pertechnetate-anion (Figure 4a). The Melm fragments are in the same plane (the angle between the planes is 4.67°). The crystal packing can be represented as layered, the Melm fragments are linked to each other by a hydrogen bond of the N–H···H type into dimers and by π–stacking interaction (Table 2) into layers, as in II–IV, and the pertechnetate-ions...
and thiourea molecules are located between the layers (Figure 4b). The thiourea molecules are linked to each other by the hydrogen bonds of the N–H···S type, to the pertechnetate-ions by H-bonds of the N–H···O type and to the imidazole fragments by the bonds of the C–H···S type.

![Molecular structure of V (a) including atom labeling and crystal packing (b). Only one disordered H-atom of the imidazole ring is shown.](image)

**Table 2.** Absorption peaks of compound II in the IR spectrum.

| Peak Position | Intensity | Peak Position | Intensity |
|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| 661.82        | 0.915     | 1264.79       | 0.689     |
| 659.95        | 1.070     | 1330.65       | 0.883     |
| 739.93        | 1.045     | 1434.10       | 0.834     |
| 775.83        | 1.104     | 1500.61       | 0.934     |
| 809.79        | 1.134     | 1537.21       | 1.012     |
| 847.68        | 0.979     | 1655.87       | 0.867     |
| 1065.58       | 1.221     | 2856.37       | 1.192     |
| 1094.15       | 0.912     | 2961.85       | 1.282     |
| 1137.11       | 0.864     | 3151.49       | 1.605     |

Compounds VI, VII and VIII are by-products of the second method. Compounds VI and VII contain protonated imidazole cations and hexahalide Tc(IV) anions (Figure 5). Compound VIII is a mixed salt containing 30% technetium and 70% tin in the hexahalide anion. In VIII, the asymmetric fragment contains four Melm cations, the hexahalide anion and two chloride anions. The environment of the technetium or tin atoms in the hexahalide anions is close to an ideal octahedral (Tables S18, S23 and S27, Supplementary Materials).
In structures VI–VIII, there are π–stacking interactions between cations (Table 2) (Figure 6). The crystal packing can be represented as layered. The cations in VI–VIII are linked into layers by the π–stacking interactions, the cations and anions are linked between layers by hydrogen bonds (Figure 7). In VI and VII, the anions are additionally linked by the halogen bonds, and the Hal···Hal. The packing of VI and VII is very close (Figure 7), the main difference is in the small rotations of anions and cations: in VI (sp. gr. C2/m), the anions occupy a special position with symmetry 2/m, and cations occupy a special position with symmetry m; in VII (sp. gr. P21/c), the anions occupy a special position with symmetry -1, and the cations are in a general position.

In addition, in VI–VIII there are anion–π interactions (the distance between the center of the ring and the anion is less than 5 Å, and the angle α is greater than 50°) between the hexahalide anions and imidazole rings (Figure 6) [36,37].

For compound IX, a crystal structure containing a dithiourea cation and chloride anions was previously described [38]. The doubly charged dithiourea cation is formed by S–S bonding of the thiourea molecules. The halide anions are attached to the cations by the hydrogen bonds. Compound X is isostructural to compound IX (a = 8.836(1), b = 10.506(1), c = 19.695(1), sp. gr. Pbca); in this paper, we determined only the crystal cell of compound IX: a = 9.36, b = 10.70, c = 20.17 Å, orthorhombic P.
2.3. Hirshfeld Surface Analysis

The Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis is based on the division of the electron density in a crystal. The Hirshfeld surface covers the molecule and determines the volume of space occupied by the molecule within the crystal. This analysis provides insights into the intermolecular interactions and the packing of molecules in the crystal structure.

Figure 6. View showing halogen bonds, anion–π and π–stacking interactions in the structures VI (a), VII (b) and VIII (c).

Figure 7. Crystal packing of VI (a), VII (b) and VIII (c), showing cation.
2.3. Hirshfeld Surface Analysis

The Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis is based on the division of the electron density in a crystal. The Hirshfeld surface covers the molecule and determines the volume of space in which the electron density of the pro-molecule exceeds the density of all of the neighboring molecules [39]. The fingerprint plots (2D scans of 3D surfaces) are a convenient way to analyze the intermolecular interactions present in crystals. This method can be used to analyze the \( \pi \)-stacking interactions [40], the halogen and hydrogen bonds [41,42], anion--\( \pi \) [28] and other weak non-covalent interactions [43,44].

The Crystal Explorer 21 [45] program was used to analyze the non-valent interactions in crystals using the HS analysis. The donor–acceptor groups are visualized using a standard (high) surface resolution and \( d_{\text{norm}} \) surfaces (Figure 8a–c). The red spots on the surface of the \( d_{\text{norm}} \) plot indicate intermolecular contacts involving the hydrogen bonds. The brightest red spots correspond to the strongest hydrogen bond N—H···Hal in II–III and O—H···O in II. The weaker red spots correspond to C—H···Hal bonds in II–III, C—H···O in II–IV and the \( \pi \)-stacking interactions in II–III. For an additional analysis of the \( \pi \)-stacking interactions, the shape-index surfaces were described. There are \( \pi \)-stacking interactions in II and III, as seen from the characteristic red and blue triangles on the surface, and absent in IV (Figure 8d–f).

The nonvalent interactions analysis of the obtained complexes showed that the main contribution to the crystal packing is made by van der Waals interactions of the H···H type (42.5–55.1%) and H···C/C···H (17.7–21.3%). The contacts of the H···H type make the greatest contribution to III, which may be due to the appearance of a methyl group and the absence of a large number of H-bonds. A significant contribution to the intermolecular interactions is made by the hydrogen bonds, for which the following types are responsible: O···H/H···O and Hal···H/H···Hal, which contribute 15.7–25.3% in total. Contacts of the C···N/N···C type responsible for the \( \pi \)-stacking interactions contribute 3.3% in II, 1.5% in III and are practically absent in IV (0.1%). The contacts that contribute less than 2% are not considered in Figure 9.

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** HS mapper over \( d_{\text{norm}} \) for I (a), II (b), III (c) and HS mapper shape-index of I (d), II (e), III (f) to visualize intermolecular interactions in crystals.
Figure 9. Percentage contributions to the Hirshfeld surface area for the various close intermolecular contacts for II–IV.

2.4. IR-Spectroscopy

The electronic absorption spectroscopy of the bright pink solutions of compounds I and II showed a broad wave with a peak of 480 ± 10 nm (Figure 10, inset), which is typical for Tc(V) compounds. Otherwise, the UV-Vis spectroscopy was uninformative.

As no sulfur atoms are present in our case, compound II, the group [O=Tc=O]+ is completely characterized with the fluctuation bands at 790–880 cm⁻¹ in the infrared region [46–48]. In the IR spectrum of II (Figure 10) the Tc=O group is pronounced by the bands at 809.79 cm⁻¹ and 847.68 cm⁻¹ (Table 2). A wide wave in the range of 2800–3700 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the vibrations of water atoms [49] and 1500 ± 50 cm⁻¹ scissor vibrations HOH. The remaining peaks displayed on the spectrum, including those that appear in the region of 2800–3700 cm⁻¹, correspond to the vibrations of the carbon and nitrogen atoms in the imidazole fragment of the compound molecule II [50,51]. The spectra show the peaks splitting typical for compressed tablets KBr.

Figure 10. IR spectrum of compound II, inset: UV-vis spectroscopy of a methanolic solution of compound II.
2.5. Proposed Mechanism for the Formation of Complexes \([\text{Tco}_2(\text{Im})_4]^+\)

The reduction reaction of the pertechnetate ions with thiourea in the presence of the HHal acids has a relatively low yield, but it also has advantages. The method turned out to be selective for the synthesis of the \([\text{TcO}_2(\text{R-Im})_4]^+\) complexes, and the target Tc-containing products were the only colored compounds. Changing the concentrations of thiourea and hydrohalic acid did not lead to a noticeable change in the yield of the target reaction products. Nevertheless, it should be noted that, in the absence of the acids (HHal or HTcO4), the reaction proceeds very slowly, which can be explained as in the described compound \(V\), where the thiourea interacts with oxygen Tc=O with unprotonated nitrogen atoms of nitrogen. The experiments have shown that an excess of thiourea and an equimolar concentration of HHal acid will be optimal for the preparation of the \([\text{TcO}_2(\text{R-Im})_4]^+\) compounds. The UV-VIS spectroscopy of the intensely colored solutions formed during the synthesis showed the presence of several broad wavelengths of 370 nm, 415 nm and 495 nm (Figure 11). A similar spectrum is described by the authors of [21] (peaks at 423 nm and 493 nm), which suggests the formation of Tc(III) intermediates in the form of coordination cations \([\text{TcTu}_4\text{L}_2]^{3+}\) (\(L = \text{Tu}\) or R-Im). The authors of this work find it difficult to interpret the peak at 370 nm. The synthesis in an oxygen-free atmosphere hindered the formation of the target complexes; the Tc(III) compounds could not be isolated in the presence of imidazoles. The combination of the above factors helped to suggest the mechanism for the formation of Tc(V) complexes, which is schematically presented in Figure 12.

![Figure 11. UV-Vis spectroscopy of the stock solution of compound III a few minutes after the addition of HTcO4.](image-url)
Proposed mechanism of Tc(VII) reduction in the presence of competitive ligands by thiourea with the formation of intermediate products.

The reduction of the pertechnetate ion and the formation of the complexes apparently occur during the successive reduction of Tc(VII) to Tc(III) and the subsequent oxidation with atmospheric oxygen to Tc(V). The reaction takes place in the presence of catalytic anions in an acidic medium, in which the thiol tautomeric form of thiourea is stable. The reaction begins with the SH-group reaction with the Tc-O bond; in this case, the Tc-S bond is formed with the formation of particle I and the water molecule elimination. The redistribution of the charges in an acid medium leads to an elongation of the Tc=O bond and the formation...
of particle II. Repeating this process three times results in the formation of particle III. The resulting fragment is reactive and coordinates with the two additional ligands, which can be assumed to contain thiourea, in the case of thiourea excess. The resulting particle IV presumably has an octahedral environment of the technetium atom \([\text{TcS}_6]\). In the presence of the imidazolium fragment, it is possible for a slow stage of fragment IV oxidation. The oxidation, most likely with dissolved oxygen, leads to the sequential elimination of two thiourea molecules, which leave as a \(\text{Tu}_2^{2+}\) dimer, and the formation of a V particle. The repeated course of the reaction of oxidation and the substitution of two molecules of the Tu leads to the formation of particle VI. The resulting particle VI coordinates with the two imidazole molecules and completes the coordination sphere, forming a stable reaction product \([\text{TcO}_2(\text{Im})_4]^+\).

The mechanism of the Tc(V) complex formation reaction, according to the second proposed method, is based on the assumption of the formation of an intermediate \(\text{TcO(Hal)}_5^{2-}\) particle. The ongoing hydrolysis processes lead to the formation of the \([\text{O=}=\text{Tc}=\text{O}]^+\) nucleus, and in the presence of an imidazolium ligand, the final product \([\text{TcO}_2(\text{Im})_4]^+\) is formed. A large excess of HHal acid provokes a competitive exchange of ligands; at a sufficiently high concentration, HHal reduces Tc(VII) via Tc(V) to Tc(IV) with the formation of a stable hexahalide complex.

3. Materials and Methods

**Caution!** \(^{99}\text{Tc}\) is a \(\beta\)-emitter \((A = 635 \text{ Bq/µg}\ [52], E_{\text{max}} = 290 \text{ keV})\), the appropriate shielding and manipulation techniques were employed during the synthesis and all of the manipulations.

All of the reagents used in the work were qualified chemically pure and were not subjected to further purification. The technetic acid used in the work was prepared by dissolving \(\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7\) in bi-distilled water \((R \geq 18 \text{ MΩ})\).

3.1. Synthesis \([\text{TcO}_2(\text{Im})_4]\text{Cl}\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} \ (I), [\text{TcO}_2(\text{Im})_4]\text{Br}\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} \ (II), [\text{TcO}_2(2-\text{MeIm})_4]\text{Cl}\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} \ (III)\)

3.1.1. Method 1

A total of 10 mg thiourea (Tu) (Merck) and 10 mg imidazole (Im) (Merck) (5 µL 2-methylimidazole (MeIm) (Merck) for compound III) were placed in a 1.5 mL vial and dissolved in 350 µL methanol (Sigma-Aldrich). Then, 10 µL of 12M HCl (5M HBr for compound II) and 2 µL of 3.5 M HTcO\(_4\) were added to the resulting solution. The vial with an intensely colored red solution was closed and left until the color changed to bright pink, then the solution was evaporated to dryness at room temperature (about 10 h). The resulting crystalline mixtures were washed once with cold methanol \((3 ^\circ\text{C})\), the washing solutions were colored light pink. The resulting crystals I, II and III were suitable for SCXRD. The compounds are infinitely soluble in alcohol, acetone, water and organic acids. The recrystallization is conveniently carried out from methanol. The approximate reaction yield of each substance by technetium: I, II ~20%, III ~40%. UV-Vis spectroscopy of the methanol solutions of compounds I, II and III: 233 nm, 340 nm, 480 nm. A total of 50 mg of each of the products was given for elemental analysis. The elemental analysis calculated/found (%). I: Tc–20.36/19.54; O–13.48/16.17; C–30.34/32.37; N–23.6/24.25; Cl–7.48/7.33; H–4.21; II: Tc–19.07/18.7; O–12.33/13.3; C–27.7/29.47; N–21.6/21.76; Br–15.41/14.48; H–3.9; III: Tc–18.66/17.28; O–12.06/13.2; C–36.19/37.63; N–21.11/21.9; Cl–6.7/6.29; H–4.52.

3.1.2. Method 2

The compounds I, II and III were prepared by reduction of 100 mg K\(_{2}\text{TcO}_4\) («Isotope JSC») with SnCl\(_2\) (Merck) (or SnBr2 for substance II) in 1M HCl (HBr) in presence of excess Im (MeIm for substance III). A pink solution was formed on refluxing the reaction mixture at 80 °C for 3 h. The pink crystals were grown on cooling and separated by filtration and recrystallized for ethanol. The mother liquors were an intense red-brown color. The obtained compounds I, II and III turned out to be contaminated with other
technetium-containing products and were subjected to recrystallization from methanol. The approximate reaction yield by technetium: I, II = 50%, III = 63%.

3.2. Synthesis $[\text{TcO}_2(2\text{-MeIm})_4\text{TcO}_4]$ (IV)

A total of 9.5 mg of thiourea (Tu) and 5 µL of 2-methylimidazole (MeIm) were placed in a 1.5 mL vial and dissolved in 350 µL of methanol. Then, 5 µL of 12M HCl and 10 µL of 3.5M $\text{HTcO}_4$ were added to the resulting solution. The intensely colored red solution was allowed to evaporate to dryness at room temperature (10 h). The pink transparent crystals of IV were separated from the mother liquor and washed with methanol ($20 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C}$), until the washings were colorless. The resulting compound IV was less soluble in the alcohols and acetone than those obtained for I, II and III. Compound IV can be conveniently recrystallized from ethanol with the addition of 10% water. Approximate reaction yield by technetium was 40%. A total of 50 mg of the product IV was given for elemental analysis.

The elemental analysis calculated/found (%): Tc-33.11/31.12; O–6.06/17.5; C–32.1/32.37; N–18.72/19.66; H–4.01.

3.3. Selection and Synthesis $(\text{MeIm})(\text{HMeIm})(\text{Tu})\text{TcO}_4$ (V)

The alcohol solutions formed by washing the dry crystalline mixture of compound IV were evaporated by half at room temperature. The transparent colorless crystals that formed along the meniscus of the liquid were selected for X-ray diffraction. An increase in the concentration of MeIm and a decrease in the acidity of the reaction mass led to an increase in the content of compound V in the products (approx. 40–50% by Tc).

3.4. Selection and Synthesis $\text{HIm}_2\text{TcCl}_6$ (VI), $\text{HIm}_2\text{TcBr}_6$ (VII) $(\text{HMeIm})_4\text{Tc}/\text{SnCl}_6\text{Cl}_2$ (VIII)

The methanolic solutions obtained after washing the mother crystalline mixtures of compounds I, II and III were mixed with the solutions obtained after recrystallization of the compounds obtained by method 2. After the slow evaporation of methanol, the crystalline mixtures were obtained containing a small amount of compounds I, II and III (approx. 5%), compounds VI, VII and VIII (approx. 30%). In a mixture containing compound II, the previously described [53] HImBr crystals were found.

Compounds VI and VII can be obtained analogously to the second synthesis method I, II and III by adding more HHal acid. The recrystallization of the products I, II and III from the concentrated HHal acids also led to the formation of hexahalotechnetates.

3.5. Selection $\text{Tu}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (IX) and $\text{Tu}_2\text{Br}_2$ (X)

In the dried crystalline mixture containing crystals of compounds IV and V, as well as in the mixtures of the compounds I, II and III, obtained by method 1, long transparent crystals of IX and X were found. They were readily soluble in methanol and could be purified from other impurities, including technetium compounds by recrystallization.

3.6. FTIR-Analysis

The IR spectrum of the compound II was registered at Nicolet IR200 FT-IR from the 2 mg sample pressed as a finely grounded mixture with 100 mg KBr and pressed at 50 kg/sm$^2$.

3.7. Single-Crystal XRD Analysis

The crystal structure of all of the synthesized substances was determined by X-ray structural analysis, using an automatic four-circle area-detector diffractometer Bruker KAPPA APEX II with MoKα radiation. The cell parameters were refined over the entire dataset together with the data reduction by using SAINT-Plus software [54]. The absorption corrections were introduced using the SADABS program [55]. The structures were solved by using the SHELXT-2018/2 program [56] and refined by full-matrix least squares on $F^2$ in the anisotropic approximation for all of the non-hydrogen atoms (SHELXL-2018/3 [57]). Atoms H, bounded to CH- and NH-groups, were placed in geometrically calculated positions with
the isotropic temperature factors equal to 1.2 $U_{eq}$(C, N) and 1.5 $U_{eq}$(C) for CH3-groups. The H atoms in the water molecules in I were objectively located from the difference Fourier synthesis and refined with isotropic temperature factors equal 1.5 $U_{eq}$(O). The structure I was refined as an inversion twin. The tables and figures for the structures were generated using Olex2 [58].

The crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. All of the other crystallographic parameters of the structures are indicated in Tables S1–S29 (Supplementary Materials). The atomic coordinates were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre [59], CCDC № 1022420, 2193592–2193597 for II–VIII. The supplementary crystallographic data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif (accessed on 30 July 2022).

Table 3. Crystal data and structure refinement for structures II–VIII.

| Identification Code | II         | III        | IV         | V          | VI         | VII        | VIII       |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Empirical formula   | C_{2}H_{7}BrN_{3}O_{7}Te | C_{2}H_{7}CN_{2}O_{7}Te | C_{2}H_{7}N_{2}O_{5}Te | C_{2}H_{7}N_{2}O_{5}S_{2}Te | C_{2}H_{7}Cl_{2}N_{3}Te | C_{2}H_{7}Br_{2}N_{2}Te |
| Formula weight      | 518.27     | 529.91     | 620.43     | 403.34     | 448.88     | 715.64     | 729.22     |
| Temperature/K        | 100 (2)    | 296 (2)    | 100 (2)    |            |            |            |            |
| Crystal system       | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group          | Cc         | P-1        | C2/c       | P2₁/n      | C2/m       | P2₁/c      | P2₁/c      |
| a/Å                  | 13.0113(11)| 8.2324(7)  | 14.8088(9) | 10.5966(3) | 12.4407(5) | 7.6991(9)  | 14.7536(5) |
| b/Å                  | 11.3064(9) | 9.4890(8)  | 13.1343(8) | 8.6220(3)  | 7.9932(4)  | 8.3979(9)  | 15.0790(5) |
| c/Å                  | 14.2554(16)| 15.2946(13)| 12.9484(8) | 17.2476(6) | 7.4078(3)  | 12.8406(16)| 13.4854(5)|
| α/°                 | 90         | 107.16(3)  | 90          | 90          | 90         | 90         |
| β/°                 | 114.312(4) | 95.947(3)  | 115.911(2) | 94.804(1)  | 97.352(3)  | 98.166(7)  | 102.729(1)|
| γ/°                 | 90         | 96.731(3)  | 90          | 90          | 90         | 90         |
| Volume/Å³            | 1911.1(3)  | 1121.63(17)| 2265.3(2)  | 1570.27(9) | 730.58(6)  | 821.81(17)| 2926.36(18)|
| Z                    | 4          | 2          | 4          | 2          | 2          | 2          | 4          |
| $\rho_{calc}$/g/cm³  | 1.801      | 1.569      | 1.819      | 1.706      | 2.041      | 2.892      | 1.655      |
| $\mu$/mm⁻¹           | 2.879      | 0.800      | 1.270      | 1.073      | 2.605      | 15.447     | 1.524      |
| F(000)               | 1032.0     | 544.0      | 1240.0     | 816.0      | 438.0      | 654.0      | 1457.0     |
| Crystal size/mm³     | 0.4 × 0.12 × 0.1 | 0.3 × 0.27 × 0.25 | 0.18 × 0.1 × 0.06 | 0.36 × 0.22 × 0.18 | 0.4 × 0.23 × 0.19 | 0.22 × 0.12 × 0.1 | 0.18 × 0.12 × 0.1 |
| Radiation            | MoKα ($\lambda = 0.7103$) |
| 20 range for data collection/° | 9.316 to 59.996 | 8.264 to 59.992 | 8.336 to 59.988 | 8.374 to 70 | 8.508 to 49.91 | 8.204 to 64.974 | 8.224 to 70 |
| Index ranges         | −15 ≤ h ≤ 18, | −11 ≤ h ≤ 11, | −20 ≤ h ≤ 20, | −17 ≤ h ≤ 17, | −14 ≤ h ≤ 14, | −11 ≤ h ≤ 10, | −23 ≤ h ≤ 21, |
|                      | −15 ≤ k ≤ 15, | −13 ≤ k ≤ 11, | −18 ≤ k ≤ 18, | −13 ≤ k ≤ 13, | −9 ≤ k ≤ 9, | −12 ≤ k ≤ 12, | −23 ≤ k ≤ 22, |
|                      | −20 ≤ l ≤ 20, | −21 ≤ l ≤ 21, | −18 ≤ l ≤ 18, | −27 ≤ l ≤ 27 | −8 ≤ l ≤ 8 | −19 ≤ l ≤ 19 | −21 ≤ l ≤ 21 |
| Reflections collected | 13375 | 19989 | 23979 | 72747 | 2927 | 18418 | 44345 |
| Independent reflections | 4644 | [R(Fo) = 0.0376, R(Fo) = 0.0435] | 6527 | [R(Fo) = 0.0425, R(Fo) = 0.0557] | 5283 | [R(Fo) = 0.0547, R(Fo) = 0.0371] | 6875 | [R(Fo) = 0.0488, R(Fo) = 0.0254] | 685 | [R(Fo) = 0.0336, R(Fo) = 0.0279] | 2960 | [R(Fo) = 0.0400, R(Fo) = 0.0293] | 12760 | [R(Fo) = 0.0370, R(Fo) = 0.0389] |
| Data/restraints/ parameters | 4644/18/248 | 6537/0/281 | 3283/0/149 | 6675/0/192 | 6605/30/58 | 2960/0/79 | 12760/0/315 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F² | 1.030 | 1.039 | 1.049 | 1.051 | 1.065 | 1.065 | 1.020 |
| Final R indexes [I ≥ 2σ (I)] | R₁ = 0.0223, wR₁ = 0.0470 | R₁ = 0.0487, wR₁ = 0.1090 | R₁ = 0.0320, wR₁ = 0.0676 | R₁ = 0.0238, wR₁ = 0.0510 | R₁ = 0.0259, wR₁ = 0.0591 | R₁ = 0.0415, wR₁ = 0.0877 | R₁ = 0.0286, wR₁ = 0.0537 |
| Final R indexes (all data) | R₁ = 0.0235, wR₁ = 0.0474 | R₁ = 0.0865, wR₁ = 0.1204 | R₁ = 0.0444, wR₁ = 0.0729 | R₁ = 0.0310, wR₁ = 0.0539 | R₁ = 0.0306, wR₁ = 0.0612 | R₁ = 0.0665, wR₁ = 0.0966 | R₁ = 0.0477, wR₁ = 0.0605 |
| Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å⁻³ | 0.34/−0.37 | 3.81/−1.88 | 0.65/−0.90 | 0.59/−0.51 | 0.47/−0.46 | 1.76/−1.03 | 1.50/−0.51 |
| Flack parameter | 0.588(7) |
3.8. Elemental Analysis

For compounds I–IV, the chemical composition was determined on a sample of 50 mg each. The technetium in the compounds was determined by liquid scintillation on a Tri-Carb 3180 TR/SL instrument (PerkinElmer, Chiba, Japan), using a HiSafe 3 scintillator; the measurement error did not exceed 5%. C, N, O were determined using the EA 3000 EuroVector analyzer, the measurement error was not more than 10%. The halogens were determined by Mohr titration in the presence of potassium dichromate.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we proposed two new methods for the preparation of $[\text{TcO}_2\text{L}_4]^+$ complexes (where $\text{L} = \text{imidazole (Im)}, \text{methylimidazole (MeIm)}$). The reduction of Tc(VII) in an acidic alcoholic solution with thiourea leads to the selective formation of Tc(V). In the absence of a reducing agent, a complex salt Tc(VII) is formed. The reduction of Tc(VII) in an acidic aqueous solution by Sn(II) leads to the formation of a mixture of Tc(V) and Tc(IV) complexes. In a large excess of the reducing agent, the Tc(IV) hexahalides are formed and the formation of double, mixed salt (HMeIm)$_4[\text{Tc/SnCl}_6]\text{Cl}_2$ is possible. The main by-products of the reactions are determined and the possible reaction mechanisms are considered. We have shown that the Tc(VII) reduction by thiourea occurs via Tc(III) intermediates, followed by oxidation to Tc(V).

The definition of the $[\text{TcO}_2\text{Im}_4]\text{Br}\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ crystal structure in the non-centrosymmetric space group suggests that the literature description of the $[\text{TcO}_2\text{Im}_4]\text{Cl}\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ structure in the C2/c space group with disordered Cl and water positions may be erroneous. The lengths of the Tc-N and Tc=O bonds in complexes of the $[\text{TcO}_2\text{L}_4]^+$ type were refined. A comparative analysis of the non-valent interactions in the obtained crystals showed that with an increase in the size of the anion or the change of Im for MeIm, the intermolecular interactions become weaker. In all of the compounds obtained, except for $[\text{TcO}_2(\text{MeIm})_4]\text{TcO}_6$, there are π–stacking interactions between the aromatic rings. The halogen bonds and anion–π interactions were also found in the hexahalide-containing compounds. An analysis of the Hirshfeld surface showed that the main contribution to the crystal packing is made by van der Waals interactions of the type H···H (42.5–55.1%), H···C/C···H (17.7–21.3%) and hydrogen bonds, which contribute 15.7–25.3% in total.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms23169461/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P.N. and M.A.V.; methodology, A.M.F. and M.A.V.; software, A.P.N.; validation, A.P.N., M.A.V. and K.E.G.; formal analysis, A.P.N.; investigation, A.P.N. and M.A.V.; resources, M.S.G.; data curation, M.S.G.; writing—original draft preparation, M.A.V.; writing—review and editing, M.A.V. and A.P.N.; visualization, M.A.V. and A.P.N.; supervision, K.E.G.; project administration, K.E.G.; funding acquisition, K.E.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (program no. 122011300061-3).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The work does not contain information classified as a state secret and can be published in the public domain.

Acknowledgments: X-ray diffraction experiments were performed at the Center for Shared Use of Physical Methods of Investigation at the Frumkin Institute of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry, RAS. The study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (program no. 122011300061-3).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
55. Krause, L.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Sheldrick, G.M.; Stalke, D. Comparison of silver and molybdenum microfocus X-ray sources for single-crystal structure determination. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2015, 48, 3–10. [CrossRef]
56. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXT—Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Crystallogr. 2015, 71, 3–8. [CrossRef]
57. Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
58. Dolomanov, O.V.; Bourhis, L.J.; Gildea, R.J.; Howard, J.A.K.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2009, 42, 339–341. [CrossRef]
59. Groom, C.R.; Bruno, I.J.; Lightfoot, M.P.; Ward, S.C. The Cambridge Structural Database. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B Struct. Sci. Cryst. Eng. Mater. 2016, 72, 171–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]