Genomic sequence analysis reveals diversity of Australian Xanthomonas species associated with bacterial leaf spot of tomato, capsicum and chilli
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Abstract
Background: The genetic diversity in Australian populations of Xanthomonas species associated with bacterial leaf spot in tomato, capsicum and chilli were compared to worldwide bacterial populations. The aim of this study was to confirm the identities of these Australian Xanthomonas species and classify them in comparison to overseas isolates. Analysis of whole genome sequence allows for the investigation of bacterial population structure, pathogenicity and gene exchange, resulting in better management strategies and biosecurity.

Results: Phylogenetic analysis of the core genome alignments and SNP data grouped strains in distinct clades. Patterns observed in average nucleotide identity, pan genome structure, effector and carbohydrate active enzyme profiles reflected the whole genome phylogeny and highlight taxonomic issues in X. perforans and X. euvesicatoria. Circular sequences with similarity to previously characterised plasmids were identified, and plasmids of similar sizes were isolated. Potential false positive and false negative plasmid assemblies were discussed. Effector patterns that may influence virulence on host plant species were analysed in pathogenic and non-pathogenic xanthomonads.

Conclusions: The phylogeny presented here confirmed X. vesicatoria, X. arboricola, X. euvesicatoria and X. perforans and a clade of an uncharacterised Xanthomonas species shown to be genetically distinct from all other strains of this study. The taxonomic status of X. perforans and X. euvesicatoria as one species is discussed in relation to whole genome phylogeny and phenotypic traits. The patterns evident in enzyme and plasmid profiles indicate worldwide exchange of genetic material with the potential to introduce new virulence elements into local bacterial populations.
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Background
In recent years, whole genome sequences of a variety of bacterial plant pathogens have been used to investigate the phylogenetic relationships between species, as well as the genetic basis for pathogenicity and potential diagnostic target genes [1]. Next generation sequencing (NGS) and population genomics provides insight into many facets of host-pathogen interactions [2]. The wealth of information generated with NGS technology gives plant pathologists an opportunity to investigate pathogen movement, infection strategies and phenotypic trait association with the ultimate goal of providing targeted management strategies and better biosecurity. For example, genome sequence analysis of pathogenic and non-pathogenic Xanthomonas species on Prunus spp. resulted in a molecular diagnostic assay to differentiate pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains where previous tests did not [3]. Similar studies have examined the genetic diversity of Xanthomonas species that cause bacterial leaf spot (BLS) of tomato, capsicum and chilli worldwide [1], but not yet to Australian Xanthomonas strains associated with this disease.
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*Xanthomonas* species reported to cause BLS in Australian tomato and pepper have been assigned to *X. euvesicatoria*, *X. perforans* and *X. vesicatoria*, with non-pathogenic strains of *X. arboricola* and *Xanthomonas* sp. isolated [4]. A draft genome comparison of BLS-causing *X. vesicatoria*, *X. euvesicatoria*, *X. gardneri* and *X. perforans* provided the basis for many subsequent studies using genomic data. Insights into the virulence and pathogenicity of *Xanthomonas* has been provided by genomic studies that have revealed much about plasmids and secretion systems that deliver effectors and host cell wall degrading enzymes [1, 5]. Plasmid transferral via conjugation is a major mechanism of gene transfer throughout bacterial populations, accounting for rapid shifts in pathogen response to chemicals, antibiotics and host resistance genes [6–8]. Plasmids of BLS-causing *Xanthomonas* species vary in size and carry virulence and resistance genes [9, 10]. Gene cassettes and integrons are also responsible for genome diversity in *Xanthomonas* [11]. The characteristic structure and content of a number of *Xanthomonas* species has been described as an open pan genome that readily exchanges mobile elements within a population [12]. Other features of *Xanthomonas* genomes include products of bacterial secretion systems involved with host interactions such as effectors and carbohydrate active enzymes. Understanding these elements of the bacterial genome are key to understanding how genetics reflects species phylogeny and pathogenicity.

Plant defence responses to bacterial pathogens involve recognition of molecular patterns or proteins associated with bacterial secretion systems [13]. Pathogen-associated molecular patterns are recognised by pattern receptors in the host that then triggers immunity. Proteins introduced by bacterial secretion systems, known as effectors may also induce immunity. Effectors of the type III secretion system (T3SS) were shown to be the main source of virulence in *X. campestris pv. campestris* [14], and integral to pathogenicity in *Xanthomonas* [13]. The T3SS introduces a complex of proteins to the host cell that target plant cell structures, alter the regulation of host genes or act as chaperones and delivery systems for the secreted effectors [15]. Effectors of plant pathogens are complex and diverse; some of the better studied include the TAL/TALE (transcription activator-like) classes of effectors [16]. The Xop (*Xanthomonas* outer protein) effector classes and general effector nomenclature is described by White et al. [13], and are identified in strains of many *Xanthomonas* species. They note that the complex interactions between secreted proteins and host cells will likely be expanded and refined with additional genomic data. The need to understand the impact of effectors is demonstrated by the *X. perforans* host range expansion partially correlated with the loss of the effector AvrBsT [17]. Interestingly, AvrBsT has been described as a fitness factor [18], demonstrating that effectors may influence disease severity as well as host range. Other effectors have been linked to pathogenic function, such as AvrHah1 inducing a water soaking effect common in many bacterial diseases [19] by upregulating the intake of water into cells. Even as more genomes are sequenced every year, there is still much to be investigated about effector function [20].

In addition to the T3SS, the type II secretion system (T2SS) has also been described as important for pathogenicity in *Xanthomonas* species [21]. The type II secretion system is a common feature of many plant and animal pathogens as well as non-pathogenic species, involved in a range of infection and colonisation processes [22]. The T2SS is typically associated with the secretion of carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes), families of enzymes involved in carbohydrate processing pathways. Carbohydrate degradation has traditionally been used as a diagnostic trait in bacteriology [23], and have also been discussed in structural biology as therapeutic targets [24]. Determining which CAZyme families are present in bacterial strains may indicate substrate preference and pathogenicity. As they are currently classified, CAZymes are described by protein sequence as numbered families of six classes; glycoside hydrolases (GH), glycosyl transferases (GT), polysaccharide lyases (PL), carbohydrate esterases (CE), carbohydrate binding modules (CBM), and auxiliary activity families (AA) [25, 26]. The variety of secreted proteins in *Xanthomonas* and their impact on pathogenicity has been reviewed previously [1, 27], highlighting the potential for effector and CAZyme profiles to infer pathogenicity and bacterial growth strategies.

The analyses of genome structure and secretion system products contribute to the understanding of bacterial relatedness and function. By comparing genomes of Australian BLS-associated *Xanthomonas* strains we aim to improve our understanding of the taxonomic status of these species as well as incorporating Australian BLS-causing strains into the global understanding of this pathogen complex. These analyses will provide a foundation for further identification of targets for resistance breeding or future population genetics studies.

**Results**

**Taxonomy and pathogenicity**

Genome statistics of all 50 Australian *Xanthomonas* draft genomes are reported in Table 1. All draft genomes were approximately 5 Mbp in length, ranging from 4,806,110 bp to 5,379,097 bp and had a GC content ranging from 64.02 to 66.14% (average of 64.74%) which is consistent with reference genomes of the sequenced species [10, 27].
| Strain        | Genbank ID | Species | Host         | Region      | Collection date | Contig number | Mb     | CDS f | N50   | GC%  | Reference                      |
|--------------|-----------|---------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------------------------------|
| BRIP 62410   | QFAP01    | xa      | Tomato       | Stanthorpe  | 2015           | 36            | 4.9    | 4077  | 224,446 | 65.76 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62412   | QFAO01    | xa      | Tomato       | Stanthorpe  | 2015           | 53            | 5.12   | 4295  | 403,341 | 65.65 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62414   | QFAN01    | xa      | Tomato       | Stanthorpe  | 2015           | 45            | 5.14   | 4304  | 307,902 | 65.63 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62416   | QFAM01    | xa      | Tomato       | Stanthorpe  | 2015           | 63            | 5.17   | 4266  | 455,337 | 65.66 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62432   | QFAQ01    | xa      | Tomato       | Tenterfield | 2015           | 49            | 4.95   | 4060  | 227,845 | 65.61 | this study                     |
| NCPPB 1630   | JPHE01    | xa pv ce | Banana      | New Zealand | 1960           | 121           | 4.98   | 4062  | 80,994  | 65.5  | Harrison et al. 2014 (Unpublished) |
| NCPPB 1822   | JPHC01    | xa pv ce | Banana      | New Zealand | 1960           | 75            | 4.91   | 4044  | 172,772 | 65.6  | Harrison et al. 2016          |
| NCPPB 1447   | AJTL01    | xa pv j | na e | na           | na          | 371            | 5.02   | 4257  | 26,883  | 65.4  | Noh et al. (Unpublished)       |
| NCCB 100547  | APWC02    | xa pv co | Hazel       | USA         | 2010           | 281           | 5.23   | 4346  | 35,479  | 65.5  | Ibarra Caballero et al. 2013   |
| xa3004       | AZQY01    | xa      | Barley       | Russia      | 1998           | 132           | 4.76   | 3936  | 61,665  | 66    | Ignatov et al. 2015            |
| MA 2626.1    | LIGN01    | xa pv p | Plum (Prunus salicina) | Spain | 2009           | 214           | 5.03   | 4268  | 47,650  | 65.4  | Gara-Cambroner et al. 2016     |
| CITA 44      | LIGM01    | xa      | Cherry (Prunus mahaleb) | Spain | 2002           | 71            | 4.76   | 3951  | 120,981 | 65.8  | Gara-Cambroner et al. 2016     |
| J303         | LSGZ01    | xa pv j | Walnut      | Chile       | 2011           | 161           | 5.07   | 4227  | 53,673  | 65.5  | Santander et al. (Unpublished)  |
| CITA 124     | LXK01     | xa      | Peach (Prunus persica) | Spain | 2012           | 128           | 4.75   | 4041  | 60,818  | 65.8  | Gara-Cambroner et al. (Unpublished) |
| CITA 14      | LXI01     | xa      | Peach (Prunus persica) | Spain | 2008           | 72            | 4.86   | 4001  | 100,946 | 65.6  | Gara-Cambroner et al. (Unpublished) |
| CFBP 2528    | JZEF01    | xa pv j | Walnut      | New Zealand | 1956           | 19            | 5.08   | 4264  | 431,859 | 65.5  | Cesbron et al. (Unpublished)    |
| CFBP 7684    | JZEH01    | xa      | Walnut      | France      | 2002           | 5             | 4.93   | 4102  | 3,063,886 | 65.6  | Cesbron et al. (Unpublished)    |
| CFBP 7179    | JZEG01    | xa pv j | Walnut      | France      | 2002           | 23            | 5.16   | 4358  | 479,113 | 65.4  | Cesbron et al. (Unpublished)    |
| CFBP 7651    | JZEI01    | xa      | Walnut      | France      | 2008           | 8             | 5.03   | 4188  | 2,811,770 | 65.5  | Cesbron et al. (Unpublished)    |
| CFBP 3894    | LOM01     | xa pv p | Plum (Prunus salicina) | New Zealand | 1953           | 78            | 5.06   | 4204  | 162,135 | 65.4  | Lopez-Soriano et al. (Unpublished) |
| MAFF301420   | BAWC01    | xa pv p | na          | na          | 396            | 5.002          | 4485   | 21,373 | 65.3  | Fujikawa et al. (Unpublished)   |
| MAFF311562   | BAVB01    | xa pv p | na          | na          | 432            | 5.08   | 4630  | 23,374  | 65.3  | Fujikawa et al. (Unpublished)   |
| MAFF301427   | BAVD01    | xa pv p | na          | na          | 356            | 4.9     | 4444  | 27,529  | 65.4  | Fujikawa et al. (Unpublished)   |
| Xap33        | JHUQ01    | xa pv p | Almond      | Spain       | 2009           | 474           | 5.1    | 4388  | 34,438  | 65.4  | Gara-Cambroner et al. 2014     |
| BRIP 63464   | QEZX01    | xe      | Capsicum    | Bundaberg   | 2015           | 140           | 5.34   | 4561  | 194,822 | 64.53 | this study                     |
| DAR 82542    | QEY01     | xe      | Capsicum    | Bundaberg   | 1981           | 426           | 5.12   | 4482  | 30,590  | 64.66 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62441   | QFAC01    | xe      | Chilli      | Bundaberg   | 2015           | 135           | 5.34   | 4565  | 159,122 | 64.52 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62555   | QFA01     | xe      | Chilli      | Bundaberg   | 2015           | 139           | 5.34   | 4570  | 164,574 | 64.53 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62757   | QFAA01    | xe      | Chilli      | Bundaberg   | 2015           | 149           | 5.33   | 4558  | 167,015 | 64.53 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62858   | QEZZ01    | xe      | Chilli      | Bundaberg   | 2015           | 144           | 5.34   | 4554  | 146,014 | 64.53 | this study                     |
| BRIP 62959   | QEZ01     | xe      | Chilli      | Bundaberg   | 2015           | 129           | 5.34   | 4560  | 169,175 | 64.53 | this study                     |
| BRIP 38997   | QEZV01    | xe      | Chilli      | Bundaberg   | 1986           | 112           | 5.3    | 4513  | 163,565 | 64.55 | this study                     |
| Strain   | Genbank ID | Species | Host              | Region             | Collection date | Contig number | Mb   | CDS f | N50   | GC% | Reference         |
|----------|------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|-------|-------|-----|-------------------|
| BRIP 39016 | QEZW01     | xe      | Apple of Peru     | Christmas creek    | 1973            | 96             | 5.06 | 4290  | 171,827 | 64.6 | this study         |
| DAR 34895  | QEXX01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Dural              | 1981            | 69             | 5.23 | 4455  | 176,952 | 64.62| this study        |
| BRIP 62390  | QFAL01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Gatton             | 2014            | 137            | 5.34 | 4550  | 153,551 | 64.53| this study        |
| BRIP 62391  | QFAK01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Gatton             | 2014            | 131            | 5.34 | 4559  | 113,982 | 64.53| this study        |
| BRIP 62392  | QFAJ01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Gatton             | 2014            | 183            | 5.34 | 4562  | 169,141 | 64.53| this study        |
| BRIP 62395  | QFAI01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Gatton             | 2014            | 169            | 5.35 | 4574  | 179,351 | 64.53| this study        |
| BRIP 62396  | QFAH01     | xe      | Chilli            | Gatton             | 2014            | 161            | 5.38 | 4604  | 102,920 | 64.5 | this study        |
| BRIP 62400  | QFAG01     | xe      | Chilli            | Gatton             | 2014            | 133            | 5.34 | 4548  | 194,822 | 64.53| this study        |
| BRIP 62403  | QFAF01     | xe      | Chilli            | Gatton             | 2014            | 144            | 5.34 | 4557  | 135,453 | 64.53| this study        |
| BRIP 62438  | QFAD01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Hawkesbury Heights | 2015            | 99             | 5.12 | 4310  | 161,933 | 64.71| this study        |
| BRIP 62425  | QFAE01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Stanthorpe         | 2015            | 163            | 5.34 | 4576  | 164,091 | 64.53| this study        |
| DAR 26930   | QEYW01     | xe      | Capsicum          | Liverpool          | 1976            | 101            | 5.05 | 4201  | 103,617 | 64.9 | this study        |
| 66b JSZG01  | xe         | Capsicum | Bulgaria          | C. annuum cv. Kambil | 2012            | 384            | 5.31 | 4550  | 28,793  | 64.6 | Vancheva et al. 2015 |
| 83 M JSZH01  | xe         | Capsicum | Macedonia         | C. annuum cv. Kurtovska kapija | 2013            | 317            | 5.1  | 4330  | 31,385  | 64.7 | Vancheva et al. 2015 |
| LMG 27970   | JPYCD01    | xe      | pepper            | Belgium            | 1947            | 1491           | 5.04 | 4380  | 9186   | 64.7 | Constantin et al. 2015 |
| Xe329 JZLQ01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1997              | 203             | 5.09 | 4242  | 210,541 | 64.7 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe206 JZLN01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1995              | 133             | 5.44 | 4648  | 133,864 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe515 JZLV01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1999              | 118             | 5.32 | 4525  | 163,350 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe526 JZLW01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 2000              | 178             | 5.39 | 4598  | 176,627 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe586 JZLX01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 2003              | 120             | 5.31 | 4522  | 140,453 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe679 JZLZ01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 2008              | 109             | 5.14 | 4324  | 144,310 | 64.7 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe681 JZMA01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 2009              | 104             | 5.16 | 4360  | 210,555 | 64.7 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe678 JZLY01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 2008              | 202             | 5.42 | 4643  | 132,312 | 64.4 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe259 JZLO01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1995              | 139             | 5.25 | 4423  | 187,535 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe199 JZLM01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1994              | 110             | 5.27 | 4470  | 174,842 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe315 JZLP01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1996              | 629             | 5.51 | 4638  | 164,442 | 64.3 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe354 JZLR01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1998              | 287             | 5.43 | 4629  | 176,627 | 64.4 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe376 JZLS01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1995              | 128             | 5.3  | 4500  | 176,635 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe455 JZLT01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1998              | 137             | 5.33 | 4549  | 148,783 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xe490 JZLU01 | xe          | Pepper | USA               | 1999              | 132             | 5.37 | 4587  | 187,439 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Strain   | Genbank ID | Species | Host | Region | Collection date | Contig number | Mb   | CDS | N50       | GC% | Reference                          |
|----------|------------|---------|------|--------|----------------|---------------|------|-----|----------|-----|-----------------------------------|
| Xe683    | JZRP01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2010 | 108 | 5.32 | 4544 | 163,371 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| Xe684    | JZRO01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2010 | 157 | 5.38 | 4594 | 148,783 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| Xe685    | JZRR01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2010 | 131 | 5.32 | 4536 | 148,770 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| F4–2     | JZRQ01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2004 | 123 | 5.35 | 4591 | 159,113 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| H3–2     | JZRM01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2004 | 108 | 5.32 | 4531 | 163,306 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| Xe689    | JZRS01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2011 | 143 | 5.37 | 4588 | 163,336 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| Xe695    | JZRT01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2012 | 110 | 5.36 | 4588 | 163,310 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| G4–1     | JZRV01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2011 | 112 | 5.35 | 4586 | 163,306 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| L3–2     | JZRX01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 2012 | 152 | 5.36 | 4584 | 159,114 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| Xe181    | JZRY01     | xe      | Pepper | USA | 1994 | 107 | 5.31 | 4518 | 163,975 | 64.5 | Schwartz et al. (Unpublished)     |
| ATCC 19865 | AEQK01    | xg      | Tomato | Yugoslavia | 1961 | 552 | 5.53 | 4786 | 22,686 | 63.7 | Potnis et al. 2011               |
| SM406–11 | JZNV01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2011 | 461 | 5.26 | 4508 | 18,995 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM234–10 | JZJV01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2010 | 250 | 5.33 | 4521 | 42,583 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM413–11 | JZJX01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2011 | 313 | 5.31 | 4527 | 29,049 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM605–11 | JZJY01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2011 | 242 | 5.34 | 4517 | 45,830 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM194–10 | JZJR01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2010 | 309 | 5.39 | 4550 | 41,678 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM177–10 | JZJS01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2010 | 245 | 5.31 | 4509 | 41,491 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM182–10 | JZJT01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2010 | 251 | 5.3 | 4505 | 36,743 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM220–10 | JZJU01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2010 | 260 | 5.29 | 4506 | 38,544 | 63.5 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM795–12 | JZKA01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2012 | 293 | 5.13 | 4325 | 37,712 | 63.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| SM775–12 | JZJZ01     | xg      | Tomato | USA | 2012 | 241 | 5.24 | 4420 | 45,190 | 63.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015             |
| BRIP 62383 | QEZU01   | xp      | Tomato | Bowen | 2012 | 61 | 5.27 | 4462 | 302,799 | 64.68 | this study                      |
| BRIP 62384 | QEZT01   | xp      | Tomato | Bowen | 2012 | 77 | 5.25 | 4450 | 312,509 | 64.66 | this study                      |
| BRIP 62398 | QEP01    | xp      | Tomato | Bowen | 2014 | 57 | 5.23 | 4410 | 187,438 | 64.67 | this study                      |
| BRIP 62404 | QEZ001   | xp      | Tomato | Bowen | 2014 | 109 | 5.25 | 4446 | 140,260 | 66.66 | this study                      |
| BRIP 62397 | QEZZ01   | xp      | Tomato | Brisbane | 2014 | 45 | 4.97 | 4178 | 288,612 | 64.99 | this study                      |
| BRIP 62386 | QEZS01   | xp      | Tomato | Bundaberg | 2012 | 80 | 5.29 | 4484 | 187,311 | 64.67 | this study                      |
| BRIP 62405 | QEZN01   | xp      | Tomato | Bundaberg | 2014 | 77 | 5.28 | 4478 | 293,980 | 64.67 | this study                      |
| BRIP 63262 | QEZM01   | xp      | Tomato | Bundaberg | 2015 | 100 | 5.33 | 4545 | 372,915 | 64.65 | this study                      |
| BRIP 63565 | QEML01   | xp      | Tomato | Bundaberg | 2015 | 73 | 5.23 | 4421 | 312,487 | 64.68 | this study                      |
| BRIP 63666 | QEOK01   | xp      | Tomato | Bundaberg | 2015 | 59 | 5.28 | 4473 | 312,512 | 64.68 | this study                      |
| BRIP 62389 | QEZRO1   | xp      | Tomato | South Turramurra | 2013 | 70 | 5.27 | 4466 | 225,394 | 64.68 | this study                      |
| Xp91–118 | CP019725.1 | xp      | Tomato | USA | 2004 | 1 | 4.89 | 4450 | 4,898,349 | 65 | Potnis et al. 2011           |
Table 1 Draft genome statistics of 50 Australian *Xanthomonas* strains and reported genome statistics of public assemblies from Genbank (Continued)

| Strain | Genbank ID | Species | Host | Region | Collection date | Contig number | Mb | CDS | N50 | GC% | Reference |
|--------|------------|---------|------|--------|----------------|---------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| Xp5–6  | JZVA01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 70            | 5.3 | 4501 | 202,262 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp7–12 | JZVB01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 65            | 5.12 | 4343 | 207,802 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp9–5  | JZVD01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 113           | 5.38 | 4624 | 193,597 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp10–13| JZVE01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 78            | 5.17 | 4410 | 158,830 | 64.8 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp11–2 | JZVF01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 50            | 5.35 | 4568 | 302,422 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp15–11| JZVG01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 63            | 5.31 | 4520 | 236,137 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV839 | JZVK01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 109           | 5.44 | 4669 | 107,802 | 64.4 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp3–15 | JZUY01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 93            | 5.46 | 4692 | 226,886 | 64.4 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp8–16 | JZVC01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 62            | 5.26 | 4469 | 241,328 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp4–20 | JZUZ01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 71            | 5.29 | 4515 | 250,796 | 64.7 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp17–12| JZVH01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 84            | 5.2  | 4387 | 201,083 | 64.7 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp18–15| JZVI01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2006          | 78            | 5.35 | 4568 | 178,519 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV909 | JZVO01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 71            | 5.14 | 4388 | 128,792 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV940 | JZVS01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 98            | 5.14 | 4384 | 118,066 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV968 | JZVT01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 96            | 5.14 | 4392 | 114,913 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV1001| JZW01      | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 78            | 5.18 | 4402 | 123,606 | 64.8 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV872 | JZVL01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 80            | 5.14 | 4401 | 143,709 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV893 | JZVM01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 116           | 5.13 | 4387 | 105,783 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp48   | JZUX01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 1998          | 214           | 5.22 | 4469 | 73,796  | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV904 | JZVN01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 134           | 5.14 | 4405 | 77,145  | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV936 | JZVR01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 104           | 5.14 | 4390 | 111,234 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV915 | JZVP01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 70            | 5.12 | 4356 | 180,795 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV917 | JZVQ01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 122           | 5.14 | 4390 | 85,814  | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV1054| JZVY01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 85            | 5.23 | 4450 | 128,850 | 64.7 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV1044| JZVX01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 82            | 5.24 | 4456 | 128,850 | 64.7 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| TB6    | JZWA01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2013          | 148           | 5.27 | 4473 | 249,903 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| TB9    | JZWB01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2013          | 268           | 5.32 | 4483 | 236,007 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV993 | JZVL01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 69            | 5.15 | 4385 | 176,938 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV1026| JZWC01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 70            | 5.15 | 4373 | 158,062 | 64.9 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| GEV1063| JZVZ01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2012          | 93            | 5.16 | 4384 | 128,850 | 64.8 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| TB15   | JZWC01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2013          | 261           | 5.33 | 4505 | 233,353 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| Xp2010 | JZVJ01     | xp      | Tomato | USA    | 2010          | 13            | 5.25 | 4575 | 1,113,511 | 64.6 | Schwartz et al. 2015 |
| 4P152  | JRWW01     | xp      | Tomato | Sicily | 2011          | 442           | 5.51 | 5216 | 41,480  | 64.7 | Torelli et al. (Unpublished) |
| Strain  | Genbank ID b | Species c | Host       | Region          | Collection date | Contig number | Mb    | CDS f | N50 | GC% | Reference                  |
|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|----------------------------|
| DAR 33341 | QEYV01      | x sp      | Capsicum   | Peats Ridge    | 1979           | 57            | 3.18  | 4387  | 199,700 | 64.66 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62409 | QEZJ01      | x sp      | Tomato     | Stanthorpe     | 2015           | 37            | 4.92  | 4033  | 210,840 | 65.92 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62411 | QEZI01      | x sp      | Tomato     | Stanthorpe     | 2015           | 46            | 4.81  | 4002  | 334,519 | 66.14 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62415 | QEH01       | x sp      | Tomato     | Stanthorpe     | 2015           | 49            | 4.85  | 3983  | 325,857 | 66.06 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62418 | QEZG01      | x sp      | Tomato     | Stanthorpe     | 2015           | 67            | 5.09  | 4274  | 193,024 | 65.8  | this study                 |
| BRIP 38864 | QEA01       | xv        | Wild tomato| Bowen          | 1982           | 69            | 5.22  | 4439  | 377,679 | 64.23 | this study                 |
| DAR 26931 | QEU01       | xv        | Capsicum   | Burrundulla    | 1977           | 46            | 5.18  | 4386  | 243,089 | 64.25 | this study                 |
| DAR 26933 | QEU01       | xv        | Tomato     | Redland bay    | 1977           | 1851          | 4.93  | 4899  | 4596   | 64.09 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62388 | QEO01       | xv        | Tomato     | South Turramura| 2012          | 85            | 5.31  | 4488  | 142,449 | 64.02 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62413 | QEZF01      | xv        | Tomato     | Stanthorpe     | 2015           | 56            | 5.37  | 4574  | 377,588 | 64.12 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62423 | QEEO01      | xv        | Tomato     | Stanthorpe     | 2015           | 69            | 5.37  | 4582  | 467,775 | 64.12 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62428 | QEZC01      | xv        | Tomato     | Tenterfield    | 2015           | 66            | 5.36  | 4575  | 298,833 | 64.12 | this study                 |
| BRIP 62429 | QEZB01      | xv        | Tomato     | Tenterfield    | 2015           | 60            | 5.36  | 4567  | 198,567 | 64.13 | this study                 |
| BRIP 38861 | QEU01       | xv        | Tomato     | Victoria Point | 1981           | 46            | 5.23  | 4442  | 377,697 | 64.22 | this study                 |
| ATCC 35937 | AEQ01       | xv        | Tomato     | New Zealand    | 1955           | 296           | 5.53  | 4768  | 41,380  | 64.1  | Potnis et al. 2011         |
| 53 M    | JSY01       | xv        | Capsicum annuum cv. Kurtovska kapija | Macedonia | 2005 | 344 | 5.29 | 4526 | 29,340 | 64 | Vancheva et al. 2015       |
| 15b     | JSZ01       | xv        | Capsicum annuum | Bulgaria   | 2005 | 338 | 5.29 | 4517 | 31,449 | 64 | Vancheva et al. 2015       |
| LMG 919 | JTEF01      | xv        | Tomato     | Zimbabwe      | na             | 420           | 5.12  | 4391  | 21,731 | 64.3  | Lefeuvre et al. (Unpublished) |
| LMG 920 | JTEG01      | xv        | Tomato     | Italy         | 1956           | 326           | 5.16  | 4430  | 28,850 | 64.2  | Lefeuvre et al. (Unpublished) |

aBrisbane pathology herbarium ID
bWGS project number or Genbank ID where complete genome is available
cxa X. arboricola, xe X. euvesicatoria, xp X. perforans, xv X. vesicatoria, x sp Xanthomonas sp.
dxa pv ce: X. arboricola pv. celebensis, xa pv j: X. arboricola pv. juglandis, xa pv co: X. arboricola pv. corylina, xa pv p: X. arboricola pv. pruni
eData not available
fCDS as determined by the annotation of this study for consistency

Included statistics are contig number, length in Mb, CDS, N50 and GC content. All reported CDS numbers were generated in this study. The metadata for Australian strains was sourced from Roach et al. 2017.
The SNP-based phylogenetic tree arranges most strains in this study into distinct clades (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* clades grouped distinctly from the *X. vesicatoria*, *X. gardneri* and *X. arboricola* clades. The *X. vesicatoria* and *X. arboricola* clades contain three and four distinct sub-clades respectively (branch support values of 1). Four strains from tomato in Stanthorpe (BRIP 62409, 62411, 62415, and 62418, designated the uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* sp. clade) resolved in a clade distinct from its closest relative *X. arboricola*. The core genome phylogenies of individual BLS-causing species (excluding *X. gardneri*, *X. arboricola* and the uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* sp. clade) clustered Australian strains in clades with multiple strains from other countries (Fig. 1). Australian strains of *X. perforans* cluster with overseas isolates xp 91–118, xp 4p1s2 and xp 17–12. Nine Australian *X. euvesicatoria* strains cluster in a clade by themselves, with the other ten distributed across clades with overseas strains. Australian *X. vesicatoria* strains cluster in three clades with overseas strains, distant from the type strain ATCC 35937.

All *X. euvesicatoria* strains apart from BRIP 39016 tested were pathogenic on both capsicum [4] and tomato, where BRIP 39016 was determined to be non-pathogenic on both hosts. Strains of *X. vesicatoria* and *X. perforans* were pathogenic on tomato as determined previously [4], and non-pathogenic on capsicum. Strains of the uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* sp. were non-pathogenic on both hosts, and strains of *X. arboricola* were designated non-pathogenic on tomato [4] and capsicum. Pathogenicity of *X. euvesicatoria* on tomato was observed as small, dark lesions with yellow halo that displayed bacterial streaming. Isolations resulted in yellow, gram negative colonies.

Average nucleotide identity (ANI) of core genome sequence analysed in this study are presented in Fig. 2. An ANI of 93% supports the separation of *X. arboricola* and the four uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* sp. strains into two separate species. ANI values of >98% showed the genetic similarity of *X. perforans* and *X. euvesicatoria* while also displaying conserved separation. ANI analysis indicates that BRIP 39016 and DAR 26930 are also strains of *X. euvesicatoria* (ANI >98%). Strain DAR 33341 has an ANI < 95% to all other strains in the analysis and 94% to *X. euvesicatoria* and was therefore excluded from *X. euvesicatoria*.

**Pan genome composition**

The nucleotide homologue cluster matrix grouped all strains (Fig. 3) in a generally similar topology to the phylogeny while also highlighting distinct differences between species. All species contained unique homologues (280 in *X. arboricola*, 70 in *X. euvesicatoria*, 69 in *X. perforans*, 416 in the combined *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* clades, 1639 in *X. gardneri*, 1646 in *X. vesicatoria*, and 609 in the uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* sp. clade). Pan genome pie charts (Fig. 4) based on the homologue matrix (Fig. 3) describe the core, soft core, shell and cloud genome content of each species. Gene discovery plots for each species (Additional file 2: Figure S2) showed that the number of new genes approached zero as genome number increased.

**Predicted and isolated plasmids and predicted effector content**

Contigs originating from plasmids were assembled for 48 of 50 sequenced Australian strains, resulting in a total of 61 plasmids reconstructed for 41 strains (Table 2). A 31 kb plasmid (13 plasmids of 31,328 bp and two of 31,318 bp) was present in 15 of the *X. euvesicatoria* strains studied, although it was not found in any *X. perforans* strains. A 150 kb plasmid (six plasmids of 159,114 bp and one of 159,115 bp) was present in seven *X. euvesicatoria* strains and none of the *X. perforans* strains. An 88 kb plasmid (3 plasmids of 88,047 bp, 88,057 bp, 88,063 bp) was present in three of the *X. vesicatoria* strains. A 47 kb plasmid (three plasmids of 47,218 bp, one of 47,214 bp) was present in four strains of *X. vesicatoria*. The remaining plasmids were not unique to individual species. A 17 kb plasmid (two of 17,360 kb, one of 17,359 kb, 17,382 kb, and 17,377 kb) was present in three of the *X. vesicatoria* strains. An 88 kb plasmid (3 plasmids of 88,047 bp, 88,057 bp, 88,063 bp) was present in three of the *X. vesicatoria* strains. A 47 kb plasmid (three plasmids of 47,218 bp, one of 47,214 bp) was present in four strains of *X. vesicatoria*. The remaining plasmids were not unique to individual species. A 17 kb plasmid (two of 17,360 kb, one of 17,359 kb, 17,382 kb, and 17,377 kb) was present in four *X. euvesicatoria* strains and one *X. arboricola* strain. Plasmids of 40–41 kb were present in two *X. euvesicatoria* strains, one *X. perforans* strain and two *X. vesicatoria* strains. A single *X. euvesicatoria* strain contained two plasmids of 28,462 kb and 32,900 kb, while a strain of the uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* sp. contained a plasmid of 28,836 kb. The 19 plasmids less than 10 kb in size were found in five *X. euvesicatoria* strains, nine strains of *X. perforans*, one strain of the uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* clade, and DAR 33341. Protein sequence with homology to established effectors were detected in reconstructed plasmid sequence. Homologues of AvrBsT were detected in each of seven strains of *X. vesicatoria* (four 47 kb plasmids and three 88 kb plasmids); AvrBs3 in two strains of *X. euvesicatoria* on 40 kb plasmids and XopH in an *X. perforans* strain on a 41 kb plasmid.

Predicted plasmids were investigated by isolating and visualising plasmid DNA of strains BRIP 38864, BRIP 62858, BRIP 62416, BRIP 62423, BRIP 62388, BRIP 62397, BRIP 63464, BRIP 38997 and the extraction control DC 3000. (Additional file 3: Figure S3). Bands approximately of the size predicted were observed in strains BRIP 38864, BRIP 62858, BRIP 62423, BRIP 62397, BRIP 63464 and DC 3000. Where
no plasmids were predicted for strain BRIP 62388, bands similar to those of other extractions were observed. For strains BRIP 62416, no plasmids were recovered despite the prediction of 17 kbp circular sequence. BRIP 62423, BRIP 62397, BRIP 38997, and BRIP 62858 may have additional large bands that could not be separated effectively. Sizing is only approximate due to the possibility of multiple plasmid structures (nicked circular sequence, linear sequence, supercoiled plasmids) migrating through the gel at different rates.

**Effector and CAZyme content**

The effector profiles of the dataset (Fig. 5) grouped species in the same general topology as the whole genome SNP phylogeny (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Effectors that were core to each species and the entire dataset, as well as effectors discussed in other studies, are listed in supplementary material (Additional file 4: Table S1). The occurrence of important effectors identified in previous studies [1, 17] are also listed here. Retained as core to BLS-causing species (X. euvesicatoria, X. perforans, X. vesicatoria and X. gardneri) as listed previously (AvrBs2,
XopR, XopX, XopZ1, XopAD, XopN, XopF1, XopK, XopL, XopQ, XopD) [1] are AvrBs2, XopR, XopX, XopZ1 and XopAD. Several of these effectors previously considered core were detected in all but a few strains of certain species; XopN was absent in one *X. vesicatoria* and one *X. gardneri* strain, XopF1 was absent in one *X. gardneri* strain, XopK was absent in one *X. euvesicatoria* strain, and XopL was absent in four *X. gardneri* strains. XopQ was absent in all *X. vesicatoria* strains and XopD was absent in eight *X. vesicatoria* strains.

The dendrogram based on CAZyme family data (Fig. 6) grouped species distinctly in the same general topology as seen in the genome SNP phylogeny (Additional file 1: Figure S1). In contrast to the SNP phylogeny, the CAZyme dendrogram clusters some *X. arboricola* and *X. perforans* strains outside of their group. A total of 92 carbohydrate active and facilitator enzyme families were identified, revealing groups present or absent in certain species and clades (Fig. 6, Additional file 5: Table S2). CAZyme families of cell wall degrading enzyme genes identified in BLS-causing *Xanthomonas* by Potnis et al. [27] were also identified in this dataset (Additional file 5: Table S2).

**Discussion**

Genomic analysis of BLS-associated *Xanthomonas* strains revealed diverse groups with distinguishing features that will have implications for future pathogenicity and taxonomic studies. Phylogenetic analysis (SNP, core genome, ANI) supports the close relationship between *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans*. An uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* species (BRIP 62409, BRIP 62411, BRIP 62415 and BRIP 62418) was demonstrated to be distinct from closely related strains of *X. arboricola* according to the SNP phylogeny and ANI. The effector and CAZyme profiles of species that differ in pathogenicity displayed clear differences that may reflect differences in epidemiology and niche survival.
Taxonomic status of BLS-causing *Xanthomonas* species

The phylogenies and homologue matrix generated in this study support the current taxonomic status of *X. euvesicatoria*, *X. perforans* and *X. vesicatoria* [28], also confirming previous findings [4] that *X. gardneri* was not detected in Australian strains of this study. A recent study based on whole genome ANI determined that *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* were not genetically distinct enough to be considered separate species [29]. Our study found an ANI of 98.6% between strains of *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans*, supporting these findings. While genetically very similar, strains of *X. perforans* were still clearly distinguished from *X. euvesicatoria* and other species in the phylogenetic analyses and the analyses of secretion systems. This may reflect differences in phenotype and pathogenicity, as Australian strains of *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* are generally isolated from capsicum and tomato respectively [4]. This example of genetically similar species being reliably differentiated by other measures is important to consider in the ongoing debate of how to classify bacteria, as a name could reflect phylogenetic groupings or phenotypic (and pathogenic) differences.

The core genome phylogenies of the individual BLS-causing species *X. euvesicatoria*, *X. perforans* and *X. vesicatoria* cluster Australian strains in multiple clades with strains from overseas (Fig. 1). Australian *X. vesicatoria* strains are similar to strains from Italy (LMG 920), Zimbabwe (LMG 919), Macedonia (53 M) and Bulgaria (15b). Australian strains of *X. perforans* generally resolved in a clade of their own, closely related to the type strain. A subclade clustered BRIP 62398 and BRIP 62397 with xp 4p1s2 and xp 17–12, two strains from Sicily and the USA respectively (Table 1). Australian strains of *X. euvesicatoria* generally clustered in their own clade, with strains BRIP 62425, BRIP 38997,
BRIP 39016, BRIP 62438, DAR34895 and DAR82542 dispersed throughout the phylogeny with overseas strains, generally from the USA. The presence of Australian and overseas strains together in different clades may represent direct introductions of pathogens or the general distribution of the species across the world over time.

**Pan genome of collected Xanthomonas species**

The homologue matrix of strains in this study reflected the whole genome SNP phylogeny, while also highlighting blocks of unique and shared regions containing hundreds of genes that may be relevant to host specificity, virulence, other phenotypic traits and niche adaptations. This matrix shows that the genomes of *X. euvesicatoria* strains BRIP 39016 and DAR 26930 were included in the *X. perforans* group, indicating there may be some recombination events present in these strains/species. The Australian strains were not significantly differentiated from overseas strains by this matrix, indicating a certain level of species homogeneity distributed across the world.

The core genomes of species in this dataset represent conserved functionally essential genes, while the larger accessory and cloud genomes contained genes that may be specific to growth or pathogenicity, particularly as species with different pathogenic capabilities are present in the homologue matrix. Most species analysed individually reflected this trend of large accessory genomes, with the exception of *X. gardneri*, which was influenced by the small sample size of highly similar strains. The gene discovery plots for the pan genomes of these species showed that the genomes of *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* can be considered closed. Plotting gene discovery indicates there is some potential that additional genomes would result in detection of new genes for *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. gardneri*. The large accessory genomes observed in many of these species reflects the genetic diversity seen in other studies of *Xanthomonas* species [3], and also suggests that genome plasticity could result in new genes being added to the population.

**Predicted plasmids reconstructed from collected Xanthomonas strains**

The seven 150 kb plasmids from *X. euvesicatoria* had high homology to the *X. euvesicatoria* plasmid pLMG930.2 of similar size (167,496 bp). Similarly, the 31 kb group of Australian plasmids found in *X.
Table 2: Reconstructed circular sequence assembled for Australian Xanthomonas draft genomes from whole genome sequence data

| Strain   | Species | Plasmid number | Plasmid .0 | Plasmid .1 | Plasmid .2 | Plasmid .3 |
|----------|---------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| BRIP 62410 | xa      | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62412 | xa      | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62414 | xa      | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62416 | xa      | 1              | 17,377     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62432 | xe      | 1              | 17,382     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62441 | xe      | 1              | 31,328     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62555 | xe      | 1              | 31,328     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62757 | xe      | 2              | 159,114    | 31,328     |            |            |
| BRIP 62858 | xe      | 3              | 8247       | 159,114    | 31,328     |            |
| BRIP 62959 | xe      | 1              | 159,115    |            |            |            |
| BRIP 38997 | xe      | 2              | 17,360     | ***40,439  |            |            |
| BRIP 63464 | xe      | 2              | 159,114    | 31,328     |            |            |
| BRIP 39016 | xe      | 2              | 32,900     | 28,462     |            |            |
| BRIP 62396 | xe      | 2              | 31,328     | 4316       |            |            |
| BRIP 62400 | xe      | 1              | 31,328     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62403 | xe      | 2              | 31,328     | 159,114    |            |            |
| BRIP 62390 | xe      | 2              | 159,114    | 31,328     |            |            |
| BRIP 62391 | xe      | 2              | 8247       | 31,328     |            |            |
| BRIP 62392 | xe      | 1              | 31,328     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62395 | xe      | 1              | 31,328     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62438 | xe      | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62425 | xe      | 3              | 31,328     | 159,114    | 17,360     |            |
| DAR 34895  | xe      | 1              | 31,318     |            |            |            |
| DAR 82542  | xe      | 4              | 2587       | 17,350     | ***40,429  | 31,318     |
| DAR 26930  | xe      | 1              | 8201       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62383 | xp      | 1              | 1418       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62384 | xp      | 1              | 2808       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62398 | xp      | 1              | 7812       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62404 | xp      | 1              | 2808       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62397 | xp      | 1              | ***41,842  |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62386 | xp      | 1              | 2808       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62405 | xp      | 2              | 2589       | 5031       |            |            |
| BRIP 63262 | xp      | 2              | 2589       | 5031       |            |            |
| BRIP 63565 | xp      | 1              | 2808       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 63666 | xp      | 1              | 1418       |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62389 | xp      | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62409 | x sp    | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62411 | x sp    | 1              | 28,836     |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62415 | x sp    | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62418 | x sp    | 1              | 1418       |            |            |            |
| DAR 33341  | x sp    | 2              | 7154       | 4921       |            |            |
| BRIP 38864 | xv      | 2              | *88057     | 41,641     |            |            |
| BRIP 62388 | xv      | 0              |            |            |            |            |
| BRIP 62413 | xv      | 1              | *47218     |            |            |            |
euvesicatoria shared homology with X. euvesicatoria and X. citri plasmids pLMG930.4 (GenBank, unpublished) and CFBP6167 plasmid pG [7]. This homology, and their presence in X. euvesicatoria strains (notably absent in X. perforans strains) indicates these 150 kb and 31 kb sequences are previously characterised plasmids. This is likely also the case for plasmids of 47 kb found in X. vesicatoria, as they are similar in size and homology to the X. vesicatoria plasmid pLMG911.2 (CP018727.1, 47 kb). By contrast, three 88 kb plasmids in X. vesicatoria, although similar by sequence homology, are much smaller than the X. vesicatoria plasmid pLMG911.1 (192,558 bp).

Plasmids of 40 kb found in X. vesicatoria, X. euvesicatoria and X. perforans were most similar to previously reported plasmids of X. campestris pv. campestris strains CN14 (GenBank: CP017318.1) and CN15 (CP017325.1), and X. perforans pLH3.3 (NZCP018476.1) and pLH3.2 (NZCP018476.1), all of varying sizes. Three plasmids of approximately 28–32 kb were slightly different in size and homology to the 31 kb plasmids, their presence in older and uncharacterised Xanthomonas strains indicating they may be more distantly related. Interestingly, five 17 kb plasmids of X. euvesicatoria did not significantly match any plasmid sequence and were not recovered in the plasmid isolations of BRIP 62416 and BRIP 38997. Evidence for large (80–150 kbp) plasmids was observed in the plasmid extractions, as well as bands that are likely 30–40 kbp in size (Additional file 3: Figure S3). No definitive bands at 8 kbp or 17 kbp were observed in strains BRIP 62858, BRIP 62416 and BRIP 38997, indicating some smaller plasmids may be a result of computational reconstruction. There also appeared to be some plasmids present that were not detected by the selected programs, as in BRIP 62388. False positives and negatives may be a result of integrative conjugative elements or repeat regions that may require further sequencing to fully resolve.

Avirulence genes have been found in many described plasmids of most genera, including Xanthomonas pathogens [8]. Sequence with homology to three effectors (AvrBs3, AvrBsT and XopH) were detected in assembled plasmids of ca. 40–47 kb. AvrBsT was detected in most X. vesicatoria plasmids (seven of nine), and has been known to exist on plasmids since its characterisation [9, 30]. As in other studies of plasmid-borne effectors [31], the presence of effectors here demonstrates these circular elements have the potential to influence pathogenicity. XopH, detected in one plasmid of X. perforans, has been suggested as a potential determinant of pathogenicity in X. arboricola pv. corlyina [32]. It has also been found in X. campestris pv. campestris [33], and here was found in the majority of X. gardneri and X. vesicatoria chromosomes. Other genes, for example copper tolerance genes, have been found on Xanthomonas plasmids [8], suggesting other significant adaptive genes in addition to effectors may be investigated in future studies.

**Effector profiles of Xanthomonas**

Many studies have presented core effector lists for Xanthomonas pathogens and found that these effectors are integral to certain strains/species and play key roles in pathogenicity [1, 13, 17, 29, 34]. The effector profiles determined by this study show distinct patterns specific to species and clades. We have revised the core and specific effector list for Xanthomonas species causing BLS and contrast them with species displaying different pathogenic abilities.

**Core and specific effectors**

Few effectors were found to be shared between closely related phylogenetic groups, a finding consistent with a previous study on the type strains of four BLS-causing Xanthomonas species [27]. Subsequent studies have noted that strains may display different effector profiles to that of the type strain of their species [17], a pattern also observed in this study. The core effectors previously identified in the type strains of the BLS pathogens X. gardneri, X. perforans, X. vesicatoria and X. campestris pv. vesicatoria (X. euvesicatoria) [27] were expanded [17] with the addition of XopE2 and a member of the
YopJ family (AvrBs1T and XopJ1). Barak et al. [29] further refined the list of core effectors, finding all effectors previously identified [27], with the exception of XopAD that displayed internal stop codons in some X. euvesicatoria strains. The analysis of effectors in species that do not cause BLS provides an opportunity to compare and contrast effector profiles with X. vesicatoria, X. euvesicatoria, and X. perforans. The Xanthomonas sp. clade has few effectors, most of which are shared with some X. arboricola and X. vesicatoria strains. Reduced T3SS effector repertoires do not necessarily indicate a lack of pathogenic capability [35], however it is likely these effectors (Additional file 4: Table S1) are not directly involved in pathogenicity on tomato or pepper due to their presence in non-pathogenic strains. The Australian X. arboricola strains in this study have varied effector profiles with few common effectors. As there are relatively few sequenced strains of X. arboricola from different hosts, it is difficult to draw meaningful conclusions about effector profiles in relation to their pathogenicity. The variation observed in these profiles is likely a result of wider host range, presenting a point of contrast to the other groups.

In this study, the core effectors AvrBs2, XopAD, XopR, XopX and XopZ1 were found in the majority of strains of X. euvesicatoria, X. gardneri, X. perforans and X. vesicatoria. All of these strains together with those of X. arboricola and the uncharacterised Xanthomonas sp.
clade contained rpfA, rpfB and rpfF, members of the rpf gene family that regulate pathogenicity factors and biofilm production [36]. Homologues of AvrBs2, involved in the modulation of effector delivery [37] were also found in all strains of this study. Other effectors previously listed as core to BLS-causing Xanthomonas species were detected in most strains of these species with some exceptions as listed above. Interestingly, many of these effectors are also present in X. arboricola strains and the uncharacterised Xanthomonas clade. For example, XopF1 was only absent in one strain of X. gardneri, but was detected in BLS-causing species as well as most X. arboricola and all strains of the uncharacterised clade. The core effectors XopK, XopL and XopN, were also found in strains not isolated from tomato or pepper, which indicates these proteins may not be associated with specificity to these hosts. No single effector in this study appeared to be consistently associated with pathogenicity on tomato based on comparison with the X. arboricola and Xanthomonas spp. clades. This was also the case for pepper pathogenic strains, though XopAA and XopJ3 were present only in the pepper pathogenic X. euvesicatoria and the non-pepper pathogenic BRIP 39016. The profiles presented here represent

Fig. 6 Cluster matrix and dendrogram based on number of CAZyme families identified in 147 Xanthomonas genomes. Number of CAZyme families present in each strain is indicated by the red-blue scale of the figure legend (17 families = red, 1 = blue, 0 = white). CAZyme families are listed vertically. Horizontal coloured bars represent species as indicated by SNP phylogeny and ANI. Xanthomonas perforans strains of X. euvesicatoria are indicated separately to highlight differences in cazyyme profile.
homologues in predicted protein sequence, so it is possible inactivation in effector gene sequences play a role in pathogenicity as well.

As demonstrated by Barak et al. [29], the core effectors listed above do not determine pathogenicity on tomato due to their presence in an *X. euvesicatoria* strain isolated from rose. One particular clade of *X. arboricola* (containing the MAFF strains) shared many effectors with BLS-causing species, further emphasising the need for comprehensive pathogenicity studies to tie effector profile to functional traits.

**Effectors and host range of the *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* clades**

While *X. euvesicatoria* is commonly reported as a pathogen of tomato and pepper, all but two *X. euvesicatoria* strains (BRIP 39016 and DAR 26930) from Australian crops were found in capsicum and chilli [4]. Recent reports indicate it is more common to observe *X. perforans* (and *X. gardneri*) in tomato and *X. euvesicatoria* in peppers [17, 38, 39]. Prior to 1991, *X. euvesicatoria* was the main BLS pathogen on tomato in Florida [1]. This indicates it was once more common to find *X. euvesicatoria* in tomato than it is today. As the only Australian *X. euvesicatoria* strains isolated from tomato were from 1973 and 1976, Australian *X. euvesicatoria* populations reflect this host shift observed overseas. One *X. perforans* strain (Xp2010) from Florida displayed dual infecting ability in pepper and tomato [27]. An Australian strain, BRIP 62398, phylogenetically related to Xp2010 did not share this trait, as all tested Australian *X. perforans* strains were pathogenic only on tomato. While this indicates pathogenicity traits are not necessarily reflected in phylogenies, variation in virulence on pepper of certain phylogenetic groups has been noted [17].

Strains of *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* are genetically similar and share a similar effector profile, while still displaying notable differences. The core effectors XopF1, XopL, XopN, XopQ, XopR, XopX, XopAK, were conserved in the *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* strains in this study as well as in a previous study (that did not include *X. perforans* strains) [29]. While core effectors may indicate evolutionary history, several studies note that functionality of effector genes must be investigated in addition to presence or absence [17, 29]. Australian strains of *X. euvesicatoria* displayed almost identical profiles to those of overseas strains, apart from a group of 11 that contain an AvrBs3 homologue along with x678 and x685 that likely reflect pathogenicity differences. Australian *X. perforans* effector profiles were also similar to other *X. perforans* strains, though they (as well as x91–118 and x4p152) lack XopE2. Australian *X. perforans* (excluding BRIP 62397) appear to have XopE3 where all other *X. perforans* strains do not. These presences and absences may have pathogenicity implications according to the description of the XopE family [40], though this pattern does also reflect their clade groupings in the core genome phylogeny. XopE3 has also been implicated in citrus pathogenicity [41]. Further investigation into the function of these effectors may reveal the significance of these patterns.

An effector that has been used to track population changes is the 600 amino acid protein XopAE, which is a fusion of the HpaF and hpaG effectors [27]. The majority of Australian *X. euvesicatoria* and *X. perforans* strains contained a XopAE homologue, while four *X. euvesicatoria* strains (BRIP 62438, BRIP 38997, DAR 34895 and DAR 82542) had hpaG and hpaF as separate effectors. These strains were collected from locations and/ or time points different from the rest of the collection, possibly reflecting different introductions or outbreaks. Barak et al. [29] suggested the presence of the translational mutation and the single alleles represented separate introductions, as they observed in strain LMG918. The difference in effector profiles between strains separated by time has also been noted previously [17] and is reflected in historical strains of *X. euvesicatoria* in this study, in particular BRIP 39016 and DAR29630 that are also separated geographically.

**Effectors of *X. vesicatoria* and *X. gardneri***

Strains of *X. vesicatoria* have a distinct effector profile similar to *X. gardneri*, which reflects their position in the whole genome SNP phylogeny. The variation of effector profile within *X. vesicatoria* reflects the phylogenetic clades identified, rather than specific differences in Australian and overseas strains. Homologues of XopAG and XopAI have previously been identified as specific to *X. vesicatoria* [27]. However, we have shown that homologues of XopAG exist in DAR 33341 (*Xanthomonas* sp.) and an *X. arboricola* strain (NCPPB 100457). XopAI was also detected in these and an additional five strains of *X. arboricola*. Previous studies of the *X. gardneri* effector profile found differences between the type and other strains, which is also evident in this study [17].

**Key CAZymes**

Similar to the effector profiles, the enzyme profiles grouped strains mostly into species, highlighting regions of difference. No differences between Australian and overseas strains within species were detected. CAZyme genes and families have been identified previously in the type strains of BLS-causing *Xanthomonas* species [27] and reflect the profiles seen in this study. Cellulases are known to be common to the gammaproteobacteria [42],
and the abundance of GH families was expected. The xylanase families GH10 and GH30 [25, 43] were present in all strains, indicating these groups share similar strategies for degrading plant cells. Identifying core carbohydrate active enzymes needs further investigation into the proteins and genes of these enzyme families [27]. Carbohydrate utilisation reactions have been used as a diagnostic tool for many years to differentiate bacterial species based on substrate usage [23]. It has also been suggested that secreted cell wall degrading enzymes play a role in host adaptation, with several studies linking these enzymes and pathogenicity [35].

CAZyme differences between species
The CAZyme family profiles of the X. euvesicatoria and X. perforans strains were nearly identical, apart from the absence of three families (CE8, CE14, and GH39) in the X. euvesicatoria strains. The absence of these families of plant polysaccharides, acetylases and pectinases highlights a distinct difference between these two groups. The absence of CE8 (a pectin methylesterase family) in X. euvesicatoria strains correlates with their lack of ability to degrade pectin [25, 43].

The X. euvesicatoria strains had mostly glycosyl hydrolase (GH) and polysaccharide lyase (PL) families (PL10, PL3, CBM63, GH16, PL17, PL6, GH4, GH89, GT84) and lacked many CAZyme families present in other species. The CAZyme families PL3, CBM63 and GH16 were found in all X. euvesicatoria and X. gardneri strains and many X. arboricola strains. The conserved presence of some CAZyme families that are present or absent in some species may indicate different substrate utilisation capabilities. Groups of cellulose degrading enzymes also display different profiles, which indicates that species have different modes of action on this substrate. The families GH5, GH9 and GH12 were found in most or all of the strains in this study, GH8 and GH6 had a more restricted distribution. In particular, GH6 was identified only in strains of X. vesicatoria and DAR 33341, which may reflect different strategies or evolutionary pathways for degrading cellulose.

Conclusions
This study has provided an overview of the genome structure and content of several Xanthomonas species and expanded the original identification of Australian species associated with BLS. We support the taxonomic status of X. euvesicatoria and X. perforans as one species, though it is clear these strains also have conserved differences that complicate taxonomy. Our analysis of effector proteins and carbohydrate active enzymes links pathogenic data with proteins detected in the genomic analysis, demonstrating that while these profiles differ between species no single pathogenicity factor was identified. It is clear that some differences may also exist in Australian populations regarding effector content. The limitations of bioinformatic reconstruction of plasmids was also highlighted. This study has furthered the understanding of species that cause BLS and provided several points of future study to improve the understanding of Australian bacterial populations.

Methods
Isolate collection, pathogenicity testing and sequencing
Strains of Xanthomonas spp. associated with BLS in Australia were collected as described in Roach et al. [4]. To determine pathogenicity on tomato and capsicum, all isolates (excluding DAR strains as only genomic data was available) were inoculated on susceptible Capsicum annuum var. Yolo Wonder and susceptible Solanum lycopersicum var. Grosse Lisse. Overnight cultures of bacteria were diluted in distilled H2O to concentrations of 1 × 10^8 cfu/ml and sprayed onto plants until run-off. Pathogenicity was recorded after approximately 7 days. Pathogenicity on host of isolation has been reported [4]. Pathogenicity on the alternative host was observed as small, dark lesions with yellow halo that displayed bacterial streaming.

The dataset of 50 Australian strains was comprised of 44 strains held in the Queensland Plant Pathology Herbarium (BRIP) culture collection and six sequenced Australian strains provided by the NSW Plant Pathology Herbarium (DAR) (Table 1). Selected strains of each identified species represented a range of taxa, host and geographical distribution. Strains were grown overnight in lysogeny broth (Luria-Bertani) [44] and the DNA was extracted using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen; Hilden, Germany). Genomic libraries were prepared using an Illumina Nextera XT Library Preparation Kit according to the manufacturer instructions (Illumina; San Diego, USA). Sequencing was conducted using a Miseq v3 reagent kit on an Illumina Miseq*

Genome construction
Sequence read adaptors were trimmed with Cutadapt version 1.8.1 and quality trimmed using Trim Galore (q = 25 with ‘paired’ and ‘nextera’ flags) version 0.4.0 [45]. Contigs were assembled with SPAdes version 3.5.0 [46] (with kmers of 127, 117, 107, 97, 87, 77, 67 using the ‘careful’ flag), and annotated with Prokka version 1.11 [47] using the packaged database (using the ‘genus’ and ‘force’ flags). In addition to the 44 sequenced strains, sequence data for 6 Australian strains from DAR were processed with SPAdes and Prokka as above. Genome statistics including GC content, contig number, N50 and genome length were calculated with QUAST version 4.5 [48].
An additional 97 genomes of *Xanthomonas* strains available in GenBank were downloaded and re-annotated with Prokka (as described above) for standardisation and included in analyses (Table 1). These public genomes represent the majority of sequenced *X. arboricola*, *X. euvesicatoria* (*X. campestris* pv. *vesicatoria*), *X. gardneri*, *X. perforans*, and *X. vesicatoria* strains in GenBank. Average nucleotide identity of scaffolds was calculated for the entire dataset of 147 genomes with pyani version 0.2.4 [49] using the default settings. Strains were determined to belong to the same species if ANI values were above the 95–96% zone as set in Konstantinidis et al. [50] and utilised in Barak [29].

**Plasmid prediction and isolation**

Plasmid prediction from the draft genomes of Australian strains was achieved using the plasmidSPAdes option (‘plasmid’ flag) of SPAdes version 3.8.0 [46]. Circular sequences from these assemblies were finished using recycler version 0.62 [51]. Bandage version 0.8.1 [52] was used to view the Recycler paths. The Blast+ algorithm version 2.6.0 [53] was used to compare the plasmid sequences to a custom database of complete *Xanthomonas* plasmids obtained from GenBank [54]. Plasmid isolation was carried out on a subset of strains (BRIP 38864, BRIP 62858, BRIP 62416, BRIP 62423, BRIP 62388, BRIP 62397, BRIP 63464, BRIP 38997) using the alkaline lysis method described in Chakrabarty [55]. The *Pseudomonas* strain DC 3000 [56] was used as an extraction control. Strains were grown in LB broth and processed with the described buffers, resuspending the pelleted DNA in distilled H2O. Plasmid DNA was visualised on 0.7% agarose gels using standard electrophoresis at 40 V for 4–12 h.

**Analysis of genome content**

A phylogeny that displays general species relationships was generated using the RedDog pipeline version V1beta.10.3 [57]. Briefly, the RedDog pipeline assembles and aligns raw reads against a reference genome (*X. campestris* pv. *vesicatoria* strain 85–10, Table 1), then creates a phylogeny using SNP data generated within the pipeline. Simulated reads were generated for public genomes using WgSim v. 0.3.1-r13 for inclusion in analysis [58]. Support values of the resulting phylogeny calculated by FastTree version 2.1.8 [59] are displayed as a range of 0 to 1. The final tree was annotated in FigTree ver. 1.4.2 [60] and GIMP version 2.8.14 [61].

Roary version 3.8.2 [62] was used to cluster homologues, generate a core alignment (–e and -n flags), and generate the pan-genome homologous matrix. The core alignment was then used to create individual phylogenies of BLS-causing species *X. euvesicatoria*, *X. perforans*, and *X. vesicatoria* with FastTree and annotated with FigTree and GIMP. The homologous matrix was then used to generate pan-genome pie plots using scripts available within the Roary package. Core genes were defined as present in 99–100% strains, soft core genes in 95–99% strains, shell genes in 15–95% strains and cloud genes in 0–15% strains. Gene discovery graphs were plotted using Roary scripts and R version 1.0.136 [62] to determine if the pan-genome was open or closed. These analyses were done for each species as defined in Table 1 (DAR 33341 was not included). The homologue matrix was filtered in R to identify unique genes of each species.

Carbohydrate-active and associated enzyme (CAZyme) coding sequences within each genome were identified using HMMER version 3.1b2 [63] and the DbcAN database [64]. The CAZyme hits were then clustered manually and presented as a heat map and dendrogram in R version 3.3.0 [65] using the heatmap package [66] and annotated in GIMP, T3SS enzyme protein (effector) sequence and select regulatory protein sequence (rpf) were sourced from GenBank as listed in the *Xanthomonas* resource ‘effector’ page [67] and compared to the genome sequence and reconstructed plasmid sequence using the Blast+ algorithm. Hits were filtered (e-value 0.000001) and presented as a heat map using R and GIMP as described above.

**Additional files**

- **Additional file 1:** Figure S1. Phylogeny of Australian and Genbank genomes based on whole genome SNP data. Australian strains are indicated by BRIP and DAR collection prefixes; all others are public genomes of related species. Type strains are indicated in bold and branch support values are displayed to clade level (measured with the Shimodaira-Hasegawa test). Branch length is indicated by the scale bar. Clade colouring is based on phylogeny and ANI values to assign strains to species. The four Australian strains most closely related to *X. arboricola* are designated in the text as an uncharacterised *Xanthomonas* species. (PNG 278 kb)
- **Additional file 2:** Figure S2. Gene discovery graphs for *X. euvesicatoria*, *X. perforans*, *X. vesicatoria* and *X. gardneri* plot number of new genes in the species pan-genome as genome number increases. The graph curves demonstrate how many new genes will be added with the addition of more sequenced genomes to estimate pan-genome completeness. X axis: genome number; Y axis: number of new genes. (PNG 45 kb)
- **Additional file 3:** Figure S3. Standard electrophoresis of plasmid isolations with predicted circular sequence in base pairs below each lane. Ladder = Generuler™ DNA Ladder Mix, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts. The 10 kbp label marks the largest ladder fragment, and the 60–70 kbp label marks the band present in DC 3000 (plasmid extraction control). A) gel was run for approx. 12 h at 40 V B) gel was run for approx. 4 h at 40 V. A and B represent two different extraction experiments. (PNG 79 kb)
- **Additional file 4:** Figure S4. Gene discovery graphs for each species and unique to each species. (PNG 278 kb)
- **Additional file 5:** Figure S5. Phylogeny of Australian and Genbank genomes. The 10 kbp label marks the largest ladder fragment, and the 60–70 kbp label marks the band present in DC 3000 (plasmid extraction control). A) gel was run for approx. 12 h at 40 V B) gel was run for approx. 4 h at 40 V. A and B represent two different extraction experiments. (PNG 79 kb)
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