GFP Labeling and Hepatic Differentiation Potential of Human Placenta-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells
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Abstract
Background: Stem cell-based therapy in liver diseases has received increasing interest over the past decade, but direct evidence of the homing and implantation of transplanted cells is conflicting. Reliable labeling and tracking techniques are essential but lacking. The purpose of this study was to establish human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hPMSCs) expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) and to assay their hepatic functional differentiation in vitro. Methods: The GFP gene was transduced into hPMSCs using a lentivirus to establish GFP⁺ hPMSCs. GFP⁺ hPMSCs were analyzed for their phenotypic profile, viability and adipogenic, osteogenic, and hepatic differentiation. The derived GFP⁺ hepatocyte-like cells were evaluated for their metabolic, synthetic and secretory functions, respectively. Results: GFP⁺ hPMSCs expressed high levels of HLA I, CD13, CD105, CD73, CD90, CD44 and CD29, but were negative for HLA II, CD45, CD31, CD34, CD133, CD271 and CD79. They possessed adipogenic, osteogenic and hepatic differentiation potential. Hepatocyte-like cells derived from GFP⁺ hPMSCs showed typical hepatic phenotypes. Conclusions: GFP gene transduction has no adverse influences on the cellular or biochemical properties of hPMSCs or markers. GFP gene transduction using lentiviral vectors is a reliable labeling and tracking method. GFP⁺ hPMSCs can therefore serve as a tool to investigate the mechanisms of MSC-based therapy, including hepatic disease therapy.
Introduction

Human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hPMSCs) have recently been proven as attractive candidates for cell-based therapy due to their practical and ethical advantages: they are easy to collect, have a high proliferation rate for expansion in vitro and they are able to differentiate into multiple lineages, including chondrocytes, osteoblasts and adipocytes [1]. Increasing evidence of the hepatic capabilities of hPMSCs both in vitro and in vivo shows that hPMSCs may be a preeminent transplantable source of hepatic progenitors that do not require invasive surgery. Some studies have attempted to demonstrate hPMSCs homing to the liver and differentiating into normal hepatocytes; hPMSCs can also potentially activate and support the differentiation and proliferation of liver progenitor cells. Differentiated hepatic cells are capable of secreting multiple cytokines and growth factors to reduce inflammation and angiogenesis and accelerate restoration of the liver [2–4]. However, there is no convincing evidence that the biological characteristics of hPMSCs, including homing, differentiation and secretion, are responsible for their therapeutic effects in vivo. This results from the difficulty in tracking mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) after transplantation due to the lack of reliable MSC-specific markers in vivo. Nowadays, MSCs can be stably labeled or stained in vivo using numerous new methods involving 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU), magnetic nanoparticles, 18-fludeoxyglucose (18FDG) and green fluorescent protein (GFP) [5–9].

The present study aimed to assess the GFP genetic modification of hPMSCs, in terms of transduction efficiency and durability of transgene expression, using a lentiviral vector. In addition, it examined the effect of lentiviral vector transduction on hPMSC (GFP+ hPMSC) morphology, phenotypic profiles, viability and the capacity for adipogenic, osteogenic and hepatic differentiation, compared with unlabeled hPMSCs.

Materials and Methods

GFP transduction

All protocols for human tissue and cell handling were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Zhejiang, China (Reference no. 2013-272). hPMSCs were collected from fresh human placentas and prepared as described previously [3]. The day before transduction, hPMSCs (passage 3) were cultured in a 6-well plate at a density of 1 × 10^4 /cm^2 in special medium (MesenCult® Human Basal Medium plus MesenCult Human Supplement; STEMCELL Technologies Inc., Vancouver; BC, Canada). On the day of transduction, the medium was aspirated, and the cells were washed once with PBS. Then, 2 ml of new culture medium containing 5 μg/ml polybrene were added to each well. Next, 100 μl of 1 × 10^9 TU/ml Lentivirus-5 negative control (LV-5NC) recombinant lentivirus (GenePharma, Shanghai, China) were added, and the media were mixed gently. The cells were incubated overnight at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% (v/v) CO_2. The next day, morphologic changes and GFP expression were observed using a fluorescence phase contrast microscope (IX2-UCB-2; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The medium was then replaced with fresh medium containing 10 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Upon reaching 80–90% confluence, adherent cells were trypsinized using 0.25% (w/v) trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and re-plated at a 1:3 dilution.

GFP expression verification

GFP-transduced hPMSCs (GFP+ hPMSCs) at passages 5 and 20 were washed with PBS twice, and 5 × 10^6 cells were resuspended to 500 μL of T-PER® Tissue Protein Extraction Reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA), supplemented with 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (Pierce) and 5 mM ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA; Sigma-Aldrich), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The mixture was centrifuged at 20000 × g for 15 min and the protein was quantitated using a BCA® Protein Assay Kit (Pierce). The proteins were separated on 12% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The blots were incubated for 1 h in Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 20 mM Tris-HCl and 140 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) with 0.05% Tween-20 and 5% skim milk at room temperature, then
incubated with anti-GFP antibodies (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) diluted 1:2000 overnight at 4°C. The blots were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Abcam) diluted 1:3000 for 1 h at room temperature, and then developed using electrochemiluminescence reagents (Pierce). Recombinant Aequorea victoria GFP (Sino Biological Inc., Beijing, China) served as a positive control.

**Surface antigen expression**

GFP⁺ hPMSCs (passages 2–5) were washed with PBS containing 0.5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA) and adjusted to 1 x 10⁶ cells/ml. They were then incubated in the dark with allophycocyanin-labeled anti-human antibodies against human leukocyte antigen class I (HLA I), HLA II, cluster of differentiation (CD)13, CD27, CD29, CD31, CD34, CD44, CD45, CD73, CD90, CD105 (eBioscience Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), CD133 (Miltenyi Biotec Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), CD79b and CD271 (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) for 30 min. The cells were then washed twice with PBS. Two-color flow cytometry was conducted using a Cytomics FC 500 MPL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA), and the data were analyzed using MXP software.

**Cell viability and population doubling time assay**

Cell viability was determined using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Each group of cells was seeded at 3 x 10⁴ /cm² in 96-well plates (Nunclon™ Delta Surface, Roskilde, Denmark). Next, 20 μl of MTT reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well. The resulting formazan complex was dissolved in 150 μl of dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich), and the absorbance at 490 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer (Multimode Detector DTX880; Beckman Coulter). To calculate the population doubling time (PDT), 3 x 10⁵ cells/cm² were seeded in 24-well plates (Nunclon™ Delta Surface). The doubling time of the GFP⁺ hPMSCs and control cells were calculated according to the formula: PDT = t log₂(Nt − N₀), where t is the time to confluence, Nt is the number of cells at the end of the growth period, and N₀ is the initial number of cells [10].

**Adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation**

GFP⁺ hPMSCs (passages 2–5) were plated at a density of 1 x 10³ /cm² on 6-well multidishes (Nunclon™ Delta Surface) and cultured in adipogenic or osteogenic medium (OriCell™ hMSC Adipogenic and Osteogenic Differentiation Medium; Cyagen Biosciences, Guangzhou, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Four weeks later, adipogenesis was confirmed by staining with oil red O (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), and osteogenic differentiation was detected by staining with alizarin red S (Genmed Sciences Inc. USA, Shanghai, China).

**Hepatic differentiation**

Hepatogenic induction was conducted as follows. GFP⁺ hPMSCs (1 x 10³ /cm², passages 2–5) were plated in T25 culture flasks (Nunc flasks with a filter cap; Nunclon™ Delta Surface) in LG-DMEM (Life Technologies Inc., Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% (v/v) FBS (Gibco). After 2 days, the medium was changed to Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) supplemented with 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF; Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 days. The medium was subsequently changed to IMDM supplemented with 20 ng/ml hepatocyte growth factor (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 ng/ml FGF, 0.61 g/l niacin amide and 1% (v/v) insulin-transferrin-selenium (ITS) premix (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 days. The medium was replaced every 3 days. Finally, the cells were cultured in IMDM with 20 ng/ml oncostatin M (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 μmol/l dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% (v/v) ITS premix for 10 days.

**Immunocytochemistry**

Cells were fixed in 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde at room temperature for about 15 min, treated with 0.3% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide and blocked in 5% (m/v) BSA, then incubated with primary antibodies against human cytokeratin 18 (CK18) (Abcam) diluted 1:400, CK19 (Abcam) diluted 1:400, human alphafetoprotein (AFP, Abcam) diluted 1:200, or human albumin (ALB; Abcam) diluted 1:500, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Then they were detected by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibodies (Abcam) diluted 1:1000, followed by diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride solution (DAB kit; Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, USA).
Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The RNA was quantitated by measuring the absorbance at 260 and 280 nm. cDNA was synthesized using oligo dT primers and the ImProm-II™ Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primer sequences are shown in Table 1.

Glycogen storage staining

Differentiated and control cells were subjected to oxidation and then stained using a periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining kit (Genmed Scientifics Inc. USA).

Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) uptake assay

Differentiated and control cells were labeled with 10 µg/ml 1,19-dioctadecyl-3,3,39,39-tetramethylindocarbocyanine-low-density lipoprotein (DiI-LDL; Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) for 24 h at 37°C. The cells were then washed with PBS and evaluated using an IX81 fluorescence microscope (Olympus).

Urea assay

Differentiated and control cells were cultured for 24 h in HepatoZYME-SFM (serum-free, no phenol red; Gibco) supplemented with 0.9 mmol/l ammonium chloride (Bio Basic Inc., Amherst, NY, USA). The supernatants were collected and the urea levels were assayed using a Urea Assay Kit (BioAssay Systems, Hayward, CA, USA) by measuring the absorbance at 430 nm with a spectrophotometer (Multimode Detector DTX880; Beckman Coulter).

Cytochrome P450 activity

Cells were cultured with medium containing 10 μM rifampicin for 48 h, after which the medium was changed to IMDM containing a luminogenic CYP substrate (50 μM luciferin-PFBE, 1:40 dilution) for 24 h. Intracellular CYP450 activity was assessed by assaying luciferin production (P450-Glo™ CYP3A4 assay with luciferin-PFBE; Promega).

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as means±standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses for cell viability, immunophenotype, urea synthesis, CYP-450 enzymatic activity and secretion were performed using t-tests. Values of *p*<0.05 were considered statistically significant (*p*<0.05, **p*<0.01). The data were analyzed using SPSS version 16.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Morphology and phenotypic profile

We transduced hPMSCs with a lentiviral vector encoding GFP and assessed successful lentiviral transduction in viable cultured MSCs directly using a fluorescence phase contrast microscope to detect GFP expression (Fig. 1-I). At a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100,
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Fig. 1. (I) hPMSCs morphology (40×). (A) hPMSCs without lentiviral transduction. (B) hPMSCs with lentiviral transduction after 3 days. (C) Passage 20 after lentiviral transduction. (II) Adipogenic (A–C) and osteogenic (D–F) differentiation of GFP+ hPMSCs. (A and B) GFP+ hPMSCs that underwent adipogenic differentiation (accumulation of lipid droplets is shown by red staining) before and after staining (100×). (C) hPMSCs that underwent adipogenic differentiation after staining (200×). (D and E) GFP+ hPMSCs that underwent osteogenic differentiation (forming bone nodules) before and after staining (100×). (F) hPMSCs that underwent osteogenic differentiation after staining (200×). (III) GFP+ hPMSCs viability and population doubling time compared with normal hPMSCs. (A) Viability of GFP+ hPMSCs and non-transduced control hPMSCs. (B) Growth curves for GFP+ hPMSCs and non-transduced control hPMSCs. (C) Population doubling time for GFP+ hPMSCs at passages 3, 5, 7 and 9 compared with control hPMSCs. (IV) Western blot results. No GFP expression was found in normal hPMSCs (lane 1); GFP expression was found in GFP+ hPMSCs at passages 5 and 20 (lanes 2 and 3); recombinant *A. victoria* GFP served as a positive control (lane 4).

The vector yielded transduction efficiencies >80%. The stabilization of GFP expression at passages 5 and 20 was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 1-IV).
The immunophenotype of the GFP$^+$ hPMSCs was analyzed by flow cytometry. The results indicate that the cells were negative for HLA II, CD45, CD31, CD34, CD133, CD271 and CD79,
but they expressed high levels of HLA I, CD13, CD105, CD73, CD90, CD44 and CD29. The data for passage 3 are shown in Figure 2. This is consistent with the general description of the phenotypic profile of classical hPMSCs (Table 2).

Fig. 3. (I) Hepatic differentiation of GFP+ hPMSCs (100×). (A, D, G and J) Staining for the hepatic markers ALB, AFP, CK18 and CK19; a large number of cells were light brown in color. (B, E, H and K) hPMSCs cultured in normal medium did not display positive staining. (C, F, I and L) C3A was used as a positive control. (II) RT-PCR analysis of hepatic-specific gene expression in hepatic differentiation GFP+ hPMSCs. Lane 1, GFP+ hPMSCs cultured in normal growth medium; lane 2, GFP+ hPMSCs that underwent hepatic differentiation; lane 3, human liver cancer tissue as a positive control. (III) Functional parameters of hepatocyte-like cells derived from GFP+ hPMSCs. (A–C) Glycogen storage capacity (100×). (A) GFP+ hPMSCs cultured in normal growth medium. (B) GFP+ hPMSCs cultured in hepatogenic differentiation medium for 28 days stained positively, revealing cytoplasmic glycogen accumulation. (C) C3A served as a positive control. (D–G) LDL uptake (100×). (D) GFP+ hPMSCs maintained in normal growth medium stained negatively. (E and F) LDL uptake was detected in hepatocyte-like cells 21 days after differentiation. (G) C3A served as a positive control. (H) Urea synthesis assay. When GFP+ hPMSCs were cultured in hepatogenic differentiation medium for 28 days, the urea levels in the culture supernatants were increased significantly. (I) CYP-450 enzymatic activity assay. After incubation in hepatogenic differentiation culture medium for 30 days, CYP-450 enzymatic activity was increased significantly. The data represent means±SD (n=4; *p<0.05, **p<0.01).
Cell viability and PDT
After lentiviral vector transduction, cell viability was measured by an MTT assay (Fig. 1-IIIA). No significant adverse effects on cell viability on days 1, 3, 5 and 7 were apparent when GFP+ hPMSCs were compared with non-transduced control hPMSCs (n=3, *p > 0.05). The growth curves of GFP+ and control hPMSCs were both S-shaped (Fig. 1-IIIB). At generations 3, 5, 7 and 9, the doubling time was 26.91±0.12, 27.07±0.15, 26.95±0.18 and 27.01±0.22 h, respectively, for GFP+ hPMSCs (Fig. 1-III C); no significant adverse effects on doubling time were detected compared with non-transduced control hPMSCs (n=3, *p > 0.05).

Adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation
Adipogenic differentiated GFP+ hPMSCs formed intracytoplasmic lipid droplets and could be identified by oil red O staining. Osteogenic differentiated cells formed bone nodules and were positive on alizarin red S staining (Fig. 1-II).

Hepatic differentiation
The differentiation efficiency was confirmed by analyzing protein and mRNA expression. Immunocytochemistry revealed the presence of hepatic markers (ALB, AFP, CK18 and CK19) in GFP+ hPMSCs subjected to the hepatogenic differentiation protocol, as well as in hepatocytes, but not in undifferentiated hPMSCs (Fig. 3-I). Similar results were obtained by RT-PCR (Fig. 3-II), which revealed the expression of ALB, CK-18 and CK-19 mRNA in differentiated cells.

Hepatocyte-like cells that had differentiated from GFP+ hPMSCs exhibited typical hepatocyte features, including glycogen storage, LDL uptake, urea synthesis and CYP-450 enzymatic activity, which were absent in normal medium (Fig. 3-III).

Discussion
To further improve MSC-based treatment, certain crucial questions must be addressed. How can transplanted MSCs be engrafted and distributed to the target area? How can injected MSCs achieve their expected therapeutic effect? Cell labeling and tracking can help address these questions. BrdU, magnetic nanoparticles, 18FDG and GFP are markers commonly used to label and track stem cells. BrdU can be incorporated into DNA during cell replication because of its role as a thymidine analog. However, some research showed that BrdU labeling might be lost since it can be transferred to host cells [11]. Magnetic nanoparticles can also be used for cell labeling and can be tracked by magnetic resonance imaging in vivo. However, the label will be diluted as the MSCs divide, which is a major limitation for long-term tracking. Furthermore, macrophages can engulf dead MSCs containing nanoparticles, which will interfere with the tracking of labeled stem cells [12–14]. The in vivo application of 18FDG labeling has been limited to early biodistribution studies and requires positron emission tomography [7]. Therefore, BrdU, magnetic nanoparticles and 18FDG are not ideal biomarkers for studying hPMSCs.

GFP, first isolated from jellyfish, is a vital marker in mammalian cells [15]. Since GFP does not require any additional substrate for signal emission and can be scored directly by fluorescence microscopy, it has been widely used to track cells [16]. MSCs transfected with GFP can be tracked by fluorescence microscopy after cell transplantation. In our study, lentiviral vectors expressing GFP were integrated into the genome of hPMSCs, resulting in stable long-term GFP expression by passage 20. As expected, a dose-response effect was achieved in that the transfection efficiency increased as the MOI increased (the transduction efficiency was >80% at an MOI of 100). We also found that lentiviral vector modification had no significant negative effects in hPMSCs compared with non-transduced control cells.

Besides the assessment of transduction efficiency and viability, we also examined the phenotypic profile and differentiation potential of MSCs modified with the lentiviral vector. hPMSCs transfected with lentivirus were positive for HLA I, CD13, CD105, CD73, CD90,
CD44 and CD29 and negative for HLA II, CD45, CD31, CD34, CD133, CD271 and CD79, corroborating the results of previous studies [3]. MSCs are typically characterized by their demonstrated ability to differentiate into adipocytes and osteocytes [1]. In our study, GFP + hPSCs differentiated successfully into adipocytes and osteocytes. In addition, the GFP + hPSCs were able to differentiate into hepatocyte-like cells and acquired the ability to take up LDL, clear ammonia and store glycogen. Based on these data, it seems unlikely that differentiation pathways are affected by lentiviral modification. Indeed, some researchers observed that lentiviral vector transduction did not negatively affect the osteogenic or adipogenic differentiation of MSCs [17, 18].

In conclusion, we report that transduction of the GFP gene into MSCs using a lentiviral vector is a reliable labeling and tracking method, and that lentiviral vectors are valuable gene delivery tools for the lentivirus-mediated genetic modification of MSCs for MSC-based liver disorder therapies.
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