Audio steganography (AS) uses the auditory redundancy of the human ear to conceal the hidden message inside the audio track. In recent studies, deep learning-based steganalysis has swiftly revealed AS by extracting high-dimensional stego acoustic features for categorization. There is still an opportunity for improvement in the current audio steganography required for managing communication confidentiality, access control and data protection. The main objective of this research is to improving the data protection by identifying the data embedding location in the audio. Generative Adversarial Network-based Audio Steganography Framework (GAN-ASF) is presented in this study, and it can automatically learn to provide better cover audio for message embedding. The suggested framework’s training architecture comprises a generator, a discriminator, and a steganalyzer learned using deep learning. The Least Significant Bit Matching (LSBM) message embedding technique encrypts the secret message into the steganographic cover audio, which is then forwarded to a trained steganalyzer for misinterpretation as cover audio. After performing the training, steganographic cover audio has been generated for encoding the secret message. Here, Markov model of co-frequency sub images to generate the best cover frequency sub-image to locate an image’s hidden payload. Steganographic cover audio created by GAN-ASF has been tested and found to be of excellent quality for embedding messages. The suggested method’s detection accuracy is lower than that of the most current state-of-the-art deep learning-based steganalysis. This payload placement approach has considerably increased stego locations’ accuracy in low frequencies. The test results GAN-ASF achieves a performance ratio of 94.5%, accuracy ratio of 96.2%, an error rate of 15.7%, SNR 24.3%, and an efficiency ratio of 94.8% compared to other methods.
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1. Introduction

Steganography hides the payload, which is the substance of a secret chat, using redundant sections of multimedia data, such as digital photos, audio, music, and messages [1]. Several steganographic algorithms have been suggested over the last several decades using various forms of media as the cover [2]. Steganalysis techniques have also been suggested to identify the stego object, which is consistent [3]. It is more common for an investigator to be interested in discovering the concealed information and discriminating between the cover and stego things [4]. The stego key space, the stego locations, and the selection process for the stego places are only some additional data needed to extract hidden information [5].

An encoded message can be disguised in a covert, such as a clip, image or audio recording [6]. Covert communication might be made possible through the stego, a covert data storage device [7]. A wide range of multimedia security situations, such as privacy protection, has been aided by steganography’s use [8]. Non-adaptive and adaptive steganography are two subcategories of steganography that vary in their embedding methodologies [9]. Non-adaptive steganography technologies, on the other hand, tend to alter every part of the cover equally.

LSB and LSB Matching (LSBM) are notable examples of this work [10]. As a result, adaptive steganography employs various techniques to hide the hidden message [11]. Algorithm to locate the payload in JPEG-compressed spatial images by LSB matching, which recompresses and decompresses the compressed versions to estimate cover images [12]. Multiple Least Significant Bit (MLSB) steganography’s characteristics were studied, and a payload localization technique and stego key recovery procedure was suggested using the best stego subset [13]. Because of their great accuracy, the techniques above may be used to find the concealed payload in various types of encryption, such as LSB matching or MLSB replacement, and even to estimate the number of groups in group parity steganography [14]. Steganography techniques that use JPEG images as a cover are ineffective.

In audio steganography, the hidden message is encoded into the audio [15]. It’s a method for safeguarding the transfer of sensitive information or concealing its presence altogether. If the communication is encrypted, it may also protect the secrecy of a secret message [16]. Audio Steganography is a method of transmitting concealed information by altering an audio stream in an undetectable way [17]. This method can conceal a hidden text or audio message inside
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a host [18]. Host message characteristics, before and during steganography, are identical to those of the stego message itself. Payload localization in pseudorandom scrambled JPEG image steganography has recently been established using co-frequency subimage filtering [19]. The fidelity of the predicted cover images affects the precision of this payload locating approach, which can be enhanced by developing a more accurate estimator [20].

Deep learning-based steganography algorithms are mostly focused on images, whereas audio steganography methods need development. Consequently, GAN-ASF aims to investigate steganography related to the audio domain. There are three components to the proposed training system which are the generator, discriminator, and steganalyzer. The original cover audio is used to create a steganographic cover. By employing the standard message embedding technique LSBM for steganographic cover audio, the concealed information is injected into it, mistaken for cover audio by experts. The site analyzer is taught to make erroneous predictions using this technique. The generator’s weight parameters are updated based on misclassification errors.

Steganographic cover audio can be used to smuggle messages after the adversarial training between these three parties has concluded. It is possible to verify that the audio information distribution matches the arrangement of messages using a well-trained generator. However, cover audio containing hidden messages is known as steganographic cover audio. Classic steganography incorporates the secret message in the track’s cover audio. The existing methods fail to identifying the exact embedding location which causes to create the security, integrity and confidentiality issues. Therefore, the exact embedding location must be predicted to improve the security and data protection rate. According to testing data, GAN-ASF can produce cover audio undetectable by existing deep learning steganalyzers. The approach uses the different layers and training model that identifying the exact embedding location. This steganographic process improves the overall data security and protection rate.

2. Literature review and problem statement

[21] described Hybrid Multistage Framework (HMF) for combining encryption and steganography for data manipulation. The main intention of this study is to improving the data manipulation rate. After checking several and everything with the cover picture and various image formats and is appropriate that the text size be 15% smaller than that of the cover picture in a hybrid multistage encrypted communication architecture that constructs sequential and mumbo jumbo encoding/decoding algorithms with pre-stage text encryption.

Novel linguistic steganalysis framework for Integrating Semantic and Syntactic (SeSy) Features described by [22]. A graph attention network and a transformer-architecture communicative approach would be employed to maintain syntactic information. Experimental evidence shows that the SeSy framework significantly improves on existing advanced steganalysis approaches because it incorporates syntactic information.

Steganography based on Technical and Non-Technical Steganography (STN-TS) for data security demonstrated by [23]. The main intention of this study is to maximizing the robustness and reducing the error rate while creating the steganography. This article examines and analyses steganography algorithms based on characteristics such as PSNR, MSE, and Robustness. The research finishes with suggestions for developing high-quality stego pictures, large payload capacity, and strong steganography approaches based on examining these characteristics and other difficulties.

Frequency Hopped Spread Spectrum (FHSS) techniques for secure audio stenography were discussed by [24]. The system aims to developing the secure data transmission to minimizing the interference and improving security. The transmission and reception of a code determine the changes that take place. Code-division multiple access (CDMA) communications may be made possible by using FHSS to minimize interference and to enable multiple access. A frequency hopping approach was utilized in this technique, where consumers are forced to switch frequencies at a certain time interval.

Multitask Identity-Aware Image Steganography (MIAIS) for restoring secret images initialized by [25]. The MIAIS system is to improving system robustness and increasing the security in steganography. The identification information may be preserved by introducing a basic content loss and designing a minimax optimization to cope with the opposing features. In other words, the robustness findings may be applied to various datasets. We may optionally add a restoration network into our technique to provide a multitasking framework for the hidden picture restoration.

In [26] introduced Genetic Algorithm (GA) based audio steganography for identifying the adopt location. This work uses the steganalysis dataset information for creating the audio steganography. During this process, discrete waveform transform and discrete cosine transform for making the steganalysis. Then genetic operators such as selection, crossover and mutations are applied to predict the exact embedding location. The genetic algorithm based selected location minimize the unauthorized activities and mean square error rate. However, the system requires additional effort and embedding identification techniques to improve the overall efficiency.

Developed Convolution Neural Networks (CNN) to creating the audio steganography. This system aims to reducing the Iterative Adversarial Attacks while developing the steganography. The network uses the multiple layers that identifying the exact embedding location; during this process non-adaptive and adaptive steganography procedure to eliminate the iterative attacks. This process helps to reduce the overall data security and integrity. However, this method requires additional security methods to improve the authorization and authentication while accessing the data.

Light-weight generative neural networks are recommended for developing smart steganography. The network consists of three network models such as encoder, decoder and discriminator for identifying the secure message. The algorithm helps to identifying the exact embedding location and the efficiency of the system evaluated using the steganalysis dataset. Then the introduced system manages the data security and robustness. Based on the analysis, there are some drawbacks such as efficiency ratio, accuracy ratio, performance ratio, signal-to-noise ratio, and error rate. Hence this paper GAN-ASF to achieve the audio steganography based on deep learning techniques.

3. The aim and objectives of the study

The aim of the study is identifying optimal message embedding location in audio steganography using generative adversarial networks.
To achieve this aim, the following objectives are accomplished:
- to maximizing the steganography quality by identifying the exact embedding location in audio by utilizing the Generative Adversarial Neural Network;
- to minimizing the deviation between the original and audio steganography by utilizing generator and discriminator to predict the embedding location which minimize the error rate;
- to enhance the transmitted data confidentiality, integrity and security by embedding the cover audio with the original information.

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Object and hypothesis of the study

Dataset description: there are 33 npy files with a npy file size of (8000, 50*time). There are 8000 speech samples in total, with a sample size of (frames, QIM parameters). An AMR frame takes up time multiplied by a factor of 50. Thirty-three QIM parameters make up AMR; the first five are LPC parameters, the middle two are FCB parameters (Pulse Pairs), and the last two are ACB parameters (four integer pitch delay variables plus four fractional pitch delay variables). The dataset is collected from Multiple Voip Steganography Datasets. Here, the discussed system implemented with the help of FPGA related spatial domain. The collected files are processed by Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) to implementing the quality steganography. The main hypothesis of this study is to improving the overall security in steganography-based information transmission. Let’s assume that the transferred audio messages are sensitive and secured one. Therefore, the identified embedding locations are highly ensuring the security to the transferred data. The transferred data able to adapt with the transmission medium that ensures the security in the network.

4.2. Generative adversarial network

The fundamental objective of GAN is to construct, with real-world samples, a generator that can create new samples with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples. It is possible to think of the generator as a kind of transformer with a data distribution identical to the genuine samples.

4.3. Loss function

To ensure that the discriminator has a superior discriminant capacity. Consequently, let's separate the adversarial training process into two stages: the first stage includes just the discriminator and generator, and the second stage includes the trained steganalyzer.

**Stage 1.** The loss function for stage 1 can be stated as follows:

$$ K_{s1} = K_c - K_{sth} $$

(1)

As presented in equation (1), where $K_{s1}$ denotes the stage 1 loss of the GAN framework, $K_c$ and $K_{sth}$ are the two losses calculated using binary cross-entropy as their basis. The generator’s loss at stage 1 $K_{s1}$ can be determined using this equation:

$$ K_{s1} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \left(1 + C(h(z)) \right) \right] $$

(2)

As presented in equation (2), $D_z$ is the expectation operator applied to the input audio clips, where $C(h(z))$ denotes the actual audio. The discriminator loss $K_c$ can be calculated as follows:

$$ K_c = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log C(h(z)) - \log (1 + C(z)) \right] $$

(3)

As presented in equation (3), the sound that has been encoded using steganography can be distinguished from unencrypted sound $C(z)$ by using this discriminator.

**Stage 2.** The loss function for stage 2 is shown as follows:

$$ K_{s2} = \beta K_{GAN} - \gamma K_c $$

(4)
As presented in equation (4), the loss of the GAN framework in stage 2 is represented by $K_{G2}$ and the similarity loss function $K_s$ is used to quantify the similarity between steganographic cover audio $B$ and $g$ indicates the original audio. The loss of the generator and discriminator $K_{GAN}$ is stated as:

$$K_{GAN} = K_c - K_{G2}. \quad (5)$$

As presented in equation (5), binary cross-entropy is used to calculate the losses $K_c$ and $K_{G2}$. The generator's objective is to generate steganographic audio identical to the original in terms of aural quality. An audio steganalyzer receives the embedded audio and uses it to determine the likelihood that it has been covered. The generator's loss $K_{G2}$ can be determined as follows:

$$K_{G2} = D_s \left[ \log \left( 1 + e^{h(z)} \right) \right] - D_z \left[ \log \left( 1 + e^{E(h(z))} \right) \right]. \quad (6)$$

The similarity loss $K_s$ is defined as:

$$K_s = D_z \left[ h(z) + z \right] - D_z \left[ E(h(z)) + z \right]. \quad (7)$$

As presented in equations (6), (7), where $z$ indicates the actual audio. $D_s$ is the expectation operator applied to the original audio clips. $C$, $h(z)$, and $T$ signify the discriminator, steganalyzer, and generator, respectively. $E(h(z))$ denotes the classical information technique. The $K_1$ norm is used to quantify the loss of steganographic cover audio compared to the original. $K_2$ norm steganographic cover audio has a somewhat superior perceptual quality than $K_2$ norm steganographic cover audio of similarity loss.

Fig. 2 shows that one bit of embedded data overwrites the 8 b/sample LSB. One of the first forms of information concealing, the LSB (Least Significant Bit), goes back to the early days of computer programming. The audio on the cover is compressed to the smallest bit feasible to carry each bit of the message. In this case, 16 kbps of data is encoded into the stream for a 16 kHz sampled audio file. The LSB methodology provides a huge capacity for data embedding and is rather easy to utilize or combine with other approaches. The security of this method is low since it is vulnerable to simple assaults, yet it has a low resistance to noise addition. Stego-audio data will be lost if it is boosted, filtered, noise-added, or compressed using lossy techniques. It’s also possible for an attacker to see the message by simply eliminating the LSB plane in question. A simple LSB method has included a speech message in wireless communication. The least error replacement approach was used while embedding four bits per sample to maximize concealing capacity while decreasing error in stego audio. Four samples later, the embedding mistake has spread. The second approach described here discovered a hidden data channel capable of 176.3 kbps in a 44.1 kHz transmission.

A new embedding layer has been added to the LSB method’s resilience to distortion and noise, increasing its depth from four to six and eight layers. There is just one bit of the original 16-bit sample that is altered by the message’s bit. The other bits can be changed to generate a new sample closer to the original to reduce embedding error. The embedding of the LSB has been shifted to the eighth bit, preventing it from hiding in the host signal’s quiet or almost silent sections.

Compared to standard LSB techniques, the embedding happens in the eighth bit, which improves the method’s durability. There will be a reduction in concealing capability due to the need to preserve the audio stream’s audio detection accuracy by leaving certain samples unalterable. Because embedded bits are located in the sixth or eighth position from the stego audio, message retrieval remains one of the fundamental drawbacks of the LSB and its variation. Then the embedding procedure shown in Fig. 3.

**Fig. 2.** One bit of embedded data overwrites the 8 b/sample Least Significant Bit

**Fig. 3.** Procedure for embedding

Fig. 4 show the procedure for extracting. Audio steganography is a method of concealing a message inside the sound of another sound source. This approach uses a filter to reduce the output signal’s dynamic range, primarily outside the HAS dynamic range. Following this filtering step, the sub-signal is transformed into an image in two dimensions (2D) to maintain the visual-auditory link. As a result, audio steganography is reduced to an image steganography problem. One of this method’s primary features is its large embedding capacity and resistance to MP3 compression. Data obfuscation techniques similar to these have been suggested. Audio input has been transformed into a visual representation utilizing wavelet audio to image transform. A basic form of image steganography is used to hide the data in the final image. Afterwards, the image is converted back into an audio stream.
A time-domain processing approach is used to watermark the information. The watermark can be detected using this approach without needing the original signal. The audio signal’s frequency and amplitude are manipulated during the watermarking process, which reduces the signal’s audibility. Normal signal processing processes such as filtering, resampling, and so on cannot remove the embedded watermark. However, this method is vulnerable to sophisticated assaults, such as the inability to identify watermarks in a signal that has experienced a temporal shift. It’s more probable that attackers will alter the original samples when using these techniques. Steganography utilizes audio based on the idea of music edge detection. The major purpose of this method is to resist Time Scale Modification (TSM) procedures. By selectively extending the acoustic signals in areas with very little temporal data, the TSM algorithms strive to preserve the music’s edges. There are watermarks included in this work because of its TSM attribute. In addition to being resistant to typical assaults, this approach is also resistant to time-scale alteration methods.

Stencilling speech into an MP3 file using this approach is impossible since it operates in the temporal domain. The basic premise of this approach is first to identify the speech’s quiet pauses. Then, secret information is hidden by varying the duration of 12 intervals. MP3-resistant voice steganography methods have been developed. However, the embedded data has been lost in a noisy environment because these approaches are used in a modified domain. The approach can overcome this obstacle and concealing data in voice signals at a high rate. Although the quality of speech is lowered, with its big payload and great privacy, audio steganography has the least potential to conceal data as a temporal encoding method. This technique is known as «automated audio generation steganography». The secret bits stream that has to be encoded is used to generate high-quality audio coverings automatically. Suppose each sample point’s conditional probability distribution space can be suitably coded. In that case, it is possible to pick the associated signal output by the bitstream and thereby achieve a hidden message embedding.

According to this method of data concealment, secret data may be disguised in cover audio of the same size. It can be accomplished using a fractal coding technique, which utilizes a high compression ratio to produce an acceptable reconstructed signal. Up to 30% more information may be hidden in audio steganography, making this the most important advancement. Interval and variable low-bit coding methods have been used in modern steganography for wireless communication. Configurable low-bit coding allows for the embedding speed and capacity to be varied by a time for hidden messages to be inserted into an audio file at a predetermined threshold, an entirely new method of hiding information in audio files. An additional audio channel is generated to conceal the information. The secret key is a threshold level that encodes a secret message signal in the audio stream. Fig. 5 shows the optimum cover JPEG image of the estimate approach based on sub-image cover probability.

In theory, it is possible to compute the probability of all possible watermarks and look for an equation (11). In contrast, the cover image has too many coefficient values to explore it thoroughly. It is possible to use the Viterbi algorithm to best predict the cover JPEG image by integrating the co-frequency sub-images. First-order Markov models at various frequencies can provide more accurate estimates of the cover co-frequency sub-images. There are a large number of zero coefficients in several frequency ranges. Non-zero coefficients are thus not statistically significant. Co-frequency sub-images can be estimated using first-order Markov models blended across locations.

4. Payload localization based on cover co-frequency sub-image

The coefficient at the position \( (k, l) \) of the \( r \)th stego image has a residual value \( S_r (k, l) \) defined:

\[
s_r (k, l) = |T_r (k, l) + D_r (k, l)|. \tag{8}
\]

As presented in equation (8), a stego picture’s cover image is denoted by \( D_r \), where \( D_r \) is the cover image for \( T_r \).
Detection $R$ is capable of distinguishing between stego poses and non-stego postures $\hat{s}(k,l)$ are stated as:

$$\hat{s}(k,l) = \frac{\sum_{r} \hat{s}(k,l)}{R} = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{R} T_r(k,l) + \tilde{D}_r(k,l)}{R}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

As presented in equation (9), investigators are capable of deciphering stego imagery $\hat{s}(k,l)$ can calculate the mean of estimated residuals $r$ in the same place $T_r(k,l)$ of several cover images $\tilde{D}_r(k,l)$.

According to the averaged estimated residuals, the investigator can be able to discern between stego and non-stego positions more reliably than by guessing at random based on the number of images inserted along the same path as follows,

$$g(k,l) = \begin{cases} 1, & \hat{s}(k,l) \leq td; \\
0, \hat{s}(k,l) \geq td. \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

As presented in equation (10), a non-stego position is denoted by $g(k, l)$. A stego position is indicated by $\hat{s}(k,l)$. And the threshold for making a choice is represented by $td$.

Image co-frequency Markov Model (MM).

Co-frequency sub-image estimates for $T_r$ should be calculated using the cover co-frequency sub-image estimation $D_r$ with the highest posterior probability $q$, in terms of statistical inference $D_r$ is given in (11):

$$D_r = \arg \max_{D_r} q(D_r | T_r).$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

The optimum estimate of the cover co-frequency sub-image $k$ is therefore translated into an issue of the greatest estimation of the posterior probability $q(T_r | D_r)$ as follows:

$$q(T_r | D_r) = \prod_{i} q(T_r(k) | D_r(k)).$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$(D_r(k)) = \prod_{i} q(D_r(k) | D_r(k+2), \ldots, D_r(k+m)).$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

As presented in equations (12) and (13), quantized Discrete cosine transform (DCT) coefficients for stego co-frequency sub-images $D_r(k)$ are connected to their quantized DCT coefficients to cover co-frequency sub-images $T_r(k)$ only if $m$ is a positive integer; the cover co-frequency sub-image $q(D_r)$ On the other hand, it is modelled using an ordered Markov model.

4.5 First-order MM-based optimal cover JPEG image estimate

The hidden MM can be used to describe the co-frequency sub-image $t_{ab}$ and the Viterbi algorithm is a prominent way to solve the hidden MM issue $t_{ab}$. The Viterbi technique can be used to find the best cover co-frequency sub-image $d_{ab}$. Calculation of probable initial cover element values is carried out through the Viterbi algorithm $u(d_{ab})$ as follows:

$$u(d_{ab}) = q(t_{ab}|d_{ab})q(d_{ab}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

Then, the potential values for the following cover elements $u(d_{ab})$ are calculated as follows:

$$u(d_{ab}) = u(d_{ab})q(d_{ab}|d_{ab})q(t_{ab}|d_{ab})d_{ab}.$$

As presented in equations (14) and (15), the possible value $q$ for the $m^{th}$ cover element in the image is represented by $d_{m+1,k}$.

F5 steganography has a coefficient variable transition probability of $q$, as follows:

$$q(t_s | d_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} t_s = d_s + 1 \text{ and } t_s < 0; \\
\frac{1}{2} t_s = d_s - 1 \text{ and } t_s > 0; \\
0, t_s = d_s = 0; \\
others. \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

As presented in equation (16), each node’s score $t_s$ is calculated sequentially, $a$ is then connected to the node that has the highest value $d_s$.

4.6 F5 payload position without matrix encoding

The following equation determines the difference between the given stego image and the estimated cover Joint photographic experts group JPEG image $T_r(k, l)$:

$$s_r(k,l) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{mod}(k,8) = 0 \text{ and } \text{mod}(l,8) = 0; \\
\frac{1}{2} t_s = d_s - 1 \text{ and } t_s > 0; \\
0, \text{others}. \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

As presented in equation (10), using the specified stego JPEG pictures $D_r(k,l)$ and estimated cover JPEG images $T_r(k,l)$ Residuals can be calculated for each point $k$, and then averaged.

5. Results of message embedding location in audio steganography

5.1 Accuracy of the message embedding location

There are various ways to hide messages in digital media, such as audio, picture, or video. Steganography plays an important part in real-world applications since privacy is a major issue for everyone in today’s society. Audio signals are the most often used cover signals due to their larger size and greater capacity to conceal more information. With higher redundancy and a quicker data transmission rate, digital audio signals are suited for cover usage. This study examines how these techniques may be used to communicate information in audio and spoken signals in audio steganography-based methodologies. This paper discusses many strategies for digital audio steganography and their algorithms and concepts, and a compendium is offered. The research includes a critical analysis of the current techniques, which may be used by the community to choose which one best meets their needs.

LSB embedding is a method for concealing text inside a picture. Secret communications are encrypted twice using the new approach. The metadata is first constructed, and the header information is inserted into the first few bytes of the cover image. Second, after hashing commonly occurring phrases, the secret message is encoded in the cover image using an upgraded technique. More computations must be performed to store the same amount of secret data in a smaller area.

As found in equation (18) and Fig. 6 shows the accuracy ratio based on the dataset [29]. Once encoded sound is transferred digitally, a comparison of the original $(Y_0(m))$ signal and its decoded version $Y_0(m)$ is as simple as comparing...
apples to oranges, and assuming the transmission medium and any file storage maintain their integrity, the accuracy should be remarkable. Problems with data retrieval accuracy and encryption key security have been documented, as have the sluggishness and complexity of computations. Encryption of a text file using a hybrid system is described in this work as a straightforward, low-complexity solution that ensures the security of the message while maintaining its dependability. Using the LSB approach, the technique takes a bit from the symbol's bitstream to rotate the preceding and subsequent bits in the bitstream:

\[ Y_y = \{ y(m) \} \mid 0 < m < m_{\text{run}}. \]  

(18)

Securing data transfer, encrypting data, and using steganography are critical. In this study, steganography and cryptography have been combined to produce a secure hybrid stego-system. To begin, a cypher text is generated by encrypting a text message using a novel approach based on bits cycling. An upgraded LSB approach is utilized in the second step to disguise the text bits in a wave format. Data may be effectively secured using a hybrid approach. SNR and error were used to assess the suggested system's performance.

\[ \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(y_{x}-y_{y})^2 \]  

(19)
where $X$ is a stego signal, $Y$ represents the original signal, $M$ and $N$ denotes the number of rows and columns in the input signals.

Fig. 9 and (19) show error rate has been calculated based on the dataset [26]. In place of the traditional three random keys used in LSB, this audio steganography approach uses Lifting Wavelet Transform (LWT) and LSB. Three randomly generated keys improve LSB’s resilience. In addition, our method utilized LWT instead of other ways to prevent the rounding error of the approximate values as LWT is transformed.

\[
\text{SNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y^2(m)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ Y^2(m) - X(m) \right]} \right),
\]

(20)

where $X$ is a stego signal, $Y$ represents the original signal, which denotes the number of rows and columns in the input signals.

Fig. 10 and (19) show signal to the noise ratio has been described based on the dataset [26]. The concealed message was quantified as adding noise to a previously recorded audio clip. In the noise-controlled rehearsal room, SNR data is acquired through experiments comparing the encoded and original signal at various sensitivity variable settings and the single sample per character encoding. Thus the introduced GAN based audio steganography process successfully identifying the message embedding location which is difficult to identifying by third party or unauthorized users compared to the existing methods. Then the generated audio cover satisfies the quality and security factors.

The research work uses the Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) with Least Significant Bit Matching (LSBM) approach is utilized for creating the effective audio steganography. Here, the cover audio is successfully investigated by applying the GAN approach that utilizes the different modules such as Generator, discriminator and encoder to extracting the audio features such as variations, modulations, pitch etc. These features are helps to identifying the exact message embedding locations in the cover audio. During the process, LSBM technique incorporated with GAN that improves the overall audio steganography efficiency up to 96.2%. The steganography efficiency is discussed in Fig. 6, 8 which clearly shows that introduced algorithm recognize the embedding location with maximum rate for different speech data. After identifying the embedding location, the quality of the signal is similar to the original signal which is difficult to identifying by the intermediate user. Therefore, the quality and security of the cover audio is successfully managed compared to the existing systems. The effectiveness of the introduced GAN based audio steganography approach is compared with several existing methods. However, this study requires the optimization techniques to improve the steganography performance. The integration of neural model with optimization approach reduces the difficulties in embedding location identification process. This optimization process reduces the deviations between the computing outputs and the efficiency of the system illustrated in Fig. 9. In large data volume process, system meets the optimization problems that reduce the entire audio steganography efficiency. Along with this, high-dimension of feature handling process creating the computation complexity which is described in Fig. 10. The Fig. 10 shows that text embedded audio signals are more quality because of the optimal selection of embedding location. It can be overcome by applying the feature selection techniques. Therefore, the optimization and feature selection techniques are requiring to improve the overall audio steganography process. Once the exact embedding location is identified the quality of audio has been maintained with high security.
7. Conclusions

1. The research work uses the generator, discriminator and steganalysis for analyzing the message embedding location. This process improves the overall efficiency of message embedding location identification in audio steganography. The GAN network has multiple layers that successfully identify the appropriate location in audio signal. The AS and voice processing methodologies have been published in the literature and consolidated. Techniques that use audio steganography mostly deal with audio and spoken signals for covert communication. A thorough examination of steganography methods considers the three most important facets: capacity, security, and resilience. Various methodologies in this subject are compared and grouped based on their operational commonalities, which may be valuable to researchers in this field. The effective utilization of the neural layers improves the steganography efficiency up to 96.2 % of accuracy and 94.5 % of performance ratio.

2. The audio steganography process uses the neural network to predict the embedding location identification process. During the analysis, network uses the fine-tuned network parameters, which leads to minimize the deviation between the steganography location outputs. Here, the neural networks parameters are continuously updated while the deviations are occurred. During this process, backpropagation learning algorithm is applied for reducing the error value. The introduced method minimizes the error value up to 15.7 % compared to other method.

3. Here, the embedding process is performed by applying the Least-Significant Bit Matching (LSBM) techniques are applied to encrypt the message that helps to maintain the data security, integrity and confidentiality. In addition, the method ensure the audio quality which is evaluated in the experimental analysis, the system gets 24.3 % of SNR value and 94.8 % of efficiency ratio.
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