High-energy irradiation and mass loss rates of hot Jupiters in the solar neighborhood

M. Salz\(^1\), P. C. Schneider\(^1\), S. Czesla\(^1\), J. H. M. M. Schmitt\(^1\)

Hamburger Sternwarte, Universität Hamburg, Gojenbergsweg 112, 21029 Hamburg, Germany
e-mail: msalz@hs.uni-hamburg.de

ABSTRACT

Giant gas planets in close proximity to their host stars experience strong irradiation. In extreme cases photoevaporation causes a transonic, planetary wind, and the persistent mass loss can possibly affect the planetary evolution. We have identified nine hot Jupiter systems in the vicinity of the Sun, in which expanded planetary atmospheres should be detectable through Ly\(\alpha\) transit spectroscopy according to predictions. We use X-ray observations with Chandra and XMM-Newton of seven of these targets to derive the high-energy irradiation level of the planetary atmospheres and the resulting mass loss rates. We further derive improved Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity estimates for the host stars including interstellar absorption. According to our estimates WASP-80\(b\), WASP-77\(b\), and WASP-43\(b\) experience the strongest mass loss rates, exceeding the mass loss rate of HD 209458\(b\), where an expanded atmosphere has been confirmed. Furthermore, seven out of nine targets might be amenable to Ly\(\alpha\) transit spectroscopy. Finally, we check the possibility of angular momentum transfer from the hot Jupiters to the host stars in the three binary systems among our sample, but find only weak indications for increased stellar rotation periods of WASP-77 and HAT-P-20.
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1. Introduction

The discovery of giant gas planets in close proximity to their host stars brought the stability of these planets and their atmospheres into question. Orbiting as close as two stellar radii above the photosphere of the host star (Hebb et al. 2009), the so-called hot Jupiters and hot Neptunes are exposed to strong irradiation. In particular, the absorption of extreme ultraviolet (EUV) radiation ionizes hydrogen and heats the atmospheric gas. The resulting high temperatures of about 10\(\text{,000}\) K can support a steady expansion of the atmosphere, which manifests itself in a planetary wind. In its formation this wind is not unlike the solar wind (Parker 1958; Watson et al. 1981). Smaller planets with low densities experience the strongest fractional mass loss and could lose their hydrogen and helium envelopes, evolving to hot Super-Earth like planets (Carter et al. 2013).

Indeed, expanded atmospheres have been confirmed around two hot Jupiters. In a study of the system HD 209458, Vidal-Madjar et al. (2003) discovered a 15\% dimming in the line wings of the hydrogen Ly\(\alpha\) line of the host star when transited by the hot Jupiter in the system, whereas the optical transit depth is only 1.5\% (Henry et al. 2000; Charbonneau et al. 2000). To date, the presence of this upper atmosphere has been confirmed by several observations measuring excess absorption in H\(_2\), O\(_2\), C\(_n\), Si\(_m\), and Mg\(_i1\) lines (Vidal-Madjar et al. 2004; Ballester et al. 2007; Ehrenreich et al. 2008; Linsky et al. 2010; Jensen et al. 2012; Vidal-Madjar et al. 2013). In the second system, HD 189733, an expanded atmosphere was also confirmed by several observations (Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 2010, 2012; Jensen et al. 2012; Ben-Jaffel & Ballester 2013), and the system also exhibits an excess transit depth in X-rays (Poppenhaeger et al. 2013). Further tentative detections of excess absorption in transit observations of the systems WASP-12 (Fossati et al. 2010), 55 Cancri (Ehrenreich et al. 2012), and GJ 436 (Kulow et al. 2014) hint that expanded atmospheres could be a common feature in tightly bound gas giants.

Four of these five discoveries succeeded using Ly\(\alpha\) transit spectroscopy. There are two reasons for this: First, the upper atmospheres of hot gas giants should consist mostly of hydrogen and helium, and second, the Ly\(\alpha\) line dominates the UV spectrum of low mass stars despite interstellar absorption (France et al. 2013). Nevertheless, with today’s instrumentation these types of investigations of exoplanetary atmospheres are only possible in close-by systems with strong Ly\(\alpha\) emission.

Although the presence of expanded atmospheres is commonly accepted at least in the two standard cases, the origin of the Ly\(\alpha\) absorption signal and the essential mass loss are not clear. Both can be affected by at least three processes, i.e., the planetary wind, the stellar wind, and the stellar irradiation pressure. In general, the spectrally resolved absorption signal reveals the fraction of absorbed stellar emission and the absorption width. The transit depth can be explained by an opaque planetary atmosphere covering a certain fraction of the stellar disk. The absorption width of about 1\(\text{\AA}\), corresponding to \(±100\ \text{km s}^{-1}\), can either be produced by a neutral hydrogen cloud with the given bulk velocity or by a static cloud with sufficient optical depth.

Koskinen et al. (2013\(a\),\(b\)) support the idea that the escaping atmosphere produces a sufficient neutral hydrogen column density to explain the Ly\(\alpha\) transit observations, although this wind proceeds with bulk velocities of only 10\(\text{ km s}^{-1}\). The transit depth of their model atmosphere is consistent with the measured signal of HD 209458\(b\). However, the larger velocity offset of \(±200\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) in the absorption signal of HD 189733\(b\) (Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 2012) can probably not be explained with this model. Among others, Ekenbäck et al. (2010) argue that charge...
exchange between the fast ionized stellar wind and the neutral planetary wind creates the hydrogen population causing the Ly\(\alpha\) absorption, but their model requires 40% of Jupiter’s magnetic field strength only a stronger planetary wind, but also has a larger interaction region for charge exchange and radiation pressure. Besides the atmospheric size, charge exchange is mainly affected by the temperature and velocity of the stellar wind (Holmström et al. 2008), whereas the radiation pressure primarily depends on the Ly\(\alpha\) emission line strength of the host star (Bourrier & Lecavelier des Etangs 2013). While the two systems with secure detections of expanded atmospheres already show differences in their Ly\(\alpha\) absorption signal (e.g., compare Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003; Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 2012), a larger sample of detections, increasing the phase space of system parameters, will eventually reveal correlations of the absorption signal with system parameters and help to identify the dominating processes in the atmospheres.

The X-ray luminosity of exoplanet hosts is a crucial parameter for both, estimating the mass loss rate of a hot gas giant and assessing the detectability of the expanded atmosphere. In the energy-limited case, the mass loss rate depends on the radiative energy input due to hydrogen ionizing emission of the host stars, however, EUV emission is mostly extinguished by interstellar energy input due to hydrogen ionizing emission of the host stars, and particularly the X-ray luminosity is a robust and direct proxy for both, estimating the mass loss rate of a hot gas giant and assessing the detectability of the expanded atmosphere. Several methods exist to reconstruct this emission, increasing the phase space of system parameters, will eventually reveal correlations of the absorption signal with system parameters and help to identify the dominating processes in the atmospheres.

Under certain circumstances photoevaporation, charge exchange, and radiation pressure can produce a neutral hydrogen population at velocity offsets of \(-100\) km s\(^{-1}\). Larger velocity shifts or redshifted absorption cannot be explained by radiation pressure.

Table 1. System parameters of the complete sample (see Sect.\[5\])

| System | Host star | Planet |
|--------|-----------|--------|
| HAT-P-2 | F8V | WASP-77 | G8V |
| WASP-38 | F8V | WASP-10 | K5V |
| WASP-77 | G8V | WASP-9 | K3V |
| WASP-10 | K5V | WASP-8 | G8V |
| WASP-80 | K7-M0V | WASP-43 | K7V |
| WASP-43 | K7V | WASP-18 | F6IV-V |
| WASP-18 | F6IV-V | HD 209458 | G0V |
| HD 189733 | K0-2V | 55 Cnc (b) | K0IV-V |
| 55 Cnc (b) | K0IV-V | GJ 436 | M2.5V |

Notes. Columns are: name of the system, spectral type, effective temperature, visual magnitude, colors (SIMBAD or according to spectral type), distance, rotation period, and gyrochronological age of the host star (see Sect.\[5\]); planetary radius, mass, density, equilibrium temperature (cited Article number, page 2 of 11 Notes.

References. The data were compiled using exoplanets.org [1] and the following publications: HAT-P-2: Bakos et al. (2007); van Leeuwen (2007); Pal et al. (2010).\footnote{1} P\(\text{rot}\) from \(v\sin i\), \(T\text{eq}\) varies due to eccentricity (1250 to 2150 K); WASP-38: Barros et al. (2011); Brown et al. (2012); WASP-77: Maxted et al. (2013); WASP-10: Christian et al. (2009); Johnson et al. (2009); Smith et al. (2009), Leeuwen (2007); Pál et al. (2010), Bakos et al. (2011), Bouchy et al. (2005); Henry & Winn (2008); Southworth (2010); WASP-8: Queloz et al. (2000); Henry et al. (2000); Torres et al. (2008); Silva-Valio (2008); HD 189733: Bouchy et al. (2005); Henry & Winn (2008); Southworth (2010); WASP-80: Triaud et al. (2013); Pal et al. (2010).\footnote{2} P\(\text{rot}\) from \(v\sin i\); HD 209458: Charbonneau et al. (2000b); Henry et al. (2000); Torres et al. (2008); Silva-Valio (2008); HD 189733: Bouchy et al. (2005); Henry & Winn (2008); Southworth (2010); 55 Cnc: Butler et al. (1997); McArthur et al. (2004); Gray et al. (2003); Fischer et al. (2008); GJ 436: Butler et al. (2004); Knutson et al. (2011).
related with the Lyα luminosity of main-sequence stars (Linsky et al. 2013). Thus, they can be used to predict the signal in Lyα transit observations aimed at a detection of expanded atmospheres.

In an effort to increase the number of planets with detectable expanded atmospheres, we have identified a sample of nine hot gas giants in the vicinity of the Sun, where predictions yield strong Lyα emission amenable to transit spectroscopy. Here we report on our Chandra and XMM-Newton observations of seven targets without prior X-ray observations. The X-ray observations are used to determine the total high-energy irradiation of the planetary atmospheres. For this purpose, we compare three different methods to reconstruct the EUV emission of host stars. We present the first energy-limited mass loss rates based on observations. The improved Lyα luminosities of our targets in combination with estimates of interstellar absorption reveal the best targets for future transit spectroscopy campaigns. Finally, we assess the possibility of enhanced stellar rotation induced by tidal interactions with the close gas giants in three binary systems among our sample.

2. Target selection

To identify the most promising targets for Lyα transit spectroscopy among confirmed extrasolar planets we first selected hot, gaseous planets. The recent work by Marcy et al. (2014) has shown that the transition from rocky to gaseous planets with large amounts of volatile elements occurs at ~2 R⊕. Therefore, we selected all planets with radii greater than 2 R⊕ and an orbital distance smaller than 0.1 AU to ensure high levels of irradiation. Planets with an optical transit depth smaller than 0.5% were also excluded to increase the contrast of the expected absorption signal.

In a second step, we predicted the strength of the Lyα emission line, using the following relation between effective temperature T eff, stellar rotation period P rot, and the Lyα line flux in erg cm−2 s−1 at 1 AU (Linsky et al. 2013):

\[
\log (F_{\text{Ly} \alpha} (1 \text{AU})) = \\
0.37688 + 0.0002061 T_{\text{eff}} \quad \text{for } P_{\text{rot}} = 3 - 10 \text{ d}, \\
0.48243 + 0.0001632 T_{\text{eff}} \quad \text{for } P_{\text{rot}} = 10 - 25 \text{ d}, \\
-1.5963 + 0.0004732 T_{\text{eff}} \quad \text{for } P_{\text{rot}} > 25 \text{ d}.
\]

The stellar rotation periods were derived from the stellar radius and rotational velocity (see Sect. 2.1). Finally, we scaled the line flux with the stellar distance to obtain the flux at Earth (see Table 1). Interstellar absorption has a decisive effect on the observable strength and profile of the Lyα line. Because of considerable uncertainty in predicting the absorption, it is neglected in the selection process, but for the final targets we provide estimates in Sect. 4.2. The distance scaling ensures that the most promising systems are included.

Based on the anticipated Lyα flux, we ranked the preselected systems to find the most suitable targets for transit spectroscopy campaigns. The ranking order is mostly dominated by the distance of the host stars so that the most promising targets have distances less than 120 pc. Thus, they are probably contained in the Local Bubble of low-density, hot interstellar gas (Redfield & Linsky, 2008). This further limits the uncertainty introduced by interstellar absorption. Among our final sample we accepted only targets with a Lyα flux exceeding 1/5 of the unabsorbed line flux from HD 209458 (Wood et al. 2005). Considering the remaining uncertainty in the prediction process, these targets can exhibit sufficiently strong Lyα lines for the detection of expanded atmospheres.

With this procedure we found 11 hot gas giants among all confirmed planets. As expected, the best-known systems, HD 189733 and HD 209458, lead the ranking. The three other systems with reported detections of absorption signals are not to be found in this target list. The distance of WASP-12 is too large for Lyα transit spectroscopy; 55 Cnc b is not transiting the host star, but only the expanded atmosphere has been found to undergo a grazing transit; and GJ 436 b is a slowly rotating host star with no certain detection of the equatorial rotational velocity used to derive estimates for the Lyα luminosity (Lanotte et al. 2014). 55 Cnc b and GJ 436 b have proven to be suitable targets for Lyα transit observations, thus, we included them in our analysis. The sample was scanned for previous X-ray observations: WASP-18 was not detected in a dedicated X-ray observation (Pillitteri et al. 2014) and WASP-43 has been analyzed by Czesla et al. (2013). The remaining seven host stars did not have any prior X-ray observation and unrestricted ROSAT upper limits. The system parameters of our targets are summarized in Table 1.

2.1. Stellar rotation periods

Precise stellar rotation periods of the targets are needed to estimate the Lyα emission line strength. We compared published values with estimates based on the stellar rotational velocity and our own periodogram analysis of the host star’s light curves (SuperWASP). The adopted values are given in Table 1.

An initial estimate for the period can be derived from the stellar radius R s and the equatorial rotational velocity v eq:

\[
P_{\text{rot}} = 2\pi R_s \times v_{\text{eq}}^{-1}.
\]

1 http://www.superwasp.org/
assuming that the inclination of the rotation axis is $90^\circ$ — a reasonable assumption for most transiting systems. The rotational velocity and the stellar radius are available for most systems, and in the cases of WASP-80, HAT-P-2 and WASP-18 more precise rotation periods are not available.

WASP-38, WASP-77, WASP-10, HD 209458, HD 189733, 55 Cnc, and GI 436 have published rotation periods based on photometric variability, which correspond well with the values derived from Eq. 2. The rotation period of WASP-43 was analyzed by Hellier et al. (2011).

We download the original photometric data of the available targets (HAT-P-2, WASP-10, HAT-P-20, WASP-8) from the SuperWASP archive and analyzed the light curves via a generalized Lomb-Scargle periodogram (LSP, Zechmeister & Kürster 2009) using the PyAstroNomy package to derive stellar rotation periods (see Fig. 1). WASP-10 and HAT-P-20 show isolated peaks with high powers in the periodogram that agree with the $v \sin i$ based rotation periods within the errors. The analysis of HAT-P-2 remained inconclusive, so we reverted to the rotation period from Eq. 2.

The data of WASP-8 consist of two seasons with different major periods, which have false alarm probabilities of less than $10^{-4}$. Only the period around 16.4 d is present in both seasons. The estimate from the stellar rotational velocity and the radius is about a factor of two larger, but the planet is in a retrograde orbit with a projected angle of $123^\circ$ between the orbital and the stellar rotation axes (Queloz et al. 2010). Hence, the prior assumption of an inclination angle of $90^\circ$ is probably invalid, and the photometric rotation period in combination with the $v \sin i$ indicate an inclination of the rotation axis with the line of sight of about $30^\circ$.

### 3. Observations and data analysis

We observed six planet hosts with the Chandra X-ray observatory using 10 ks long exposures and WASP-80 with XMM-Newton for 16 ks (see Table 2). Three Chandra observations partially covered the planetary transit; the exposure of WASP-38 occurred completely within the transit of the hot Jupiter.

We analyzed the Chandra ACIS-S observations with the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations software package (CIAO) 4.6, CALDB 4.5.9 (Fruscione et al. 2006). We extracted the source counts in a circular region with a radius of $2''$ and background counts in another circular region with a $25''$ radius placed in a source-free region close to the target position. The target coordinates at the observational epoch were obtained from the SIMBAD database, accounting for proper motion. For HAT-P-20, we used the proper motion from the UCAC4 catalog (Zacharias et al. 2013).

### Table 2. Details of the observations

| Target      | ObsID | Inst. | Start time (UT) | Duration (ks) | Start phase | Stop phase | Transit start phase |
|-------------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|
| HAT-P-2     | 15707 | C     | 2013-11-16 01:15 | 9.9          | 0.971       | 0.996      | 0.984               |
| Wasp-38     | 15708 | C     | 2014-01-18 07:52 | 9.9          | 0.984       | 1.004      | 0.986               |
| Wasp-77     | 15709 | C     | 2013-11-09 13:36 | 9.9          | 0.883       | 0.997      | 0.967               |
| Wasp-10     | 15710 | C     | 2013-11-15 07:31 | 9.9          | 0.786       | 0.830      | 0.985               |
| Hat-P-20    | 15711 | C     | 2013-11-24 17:33 | 9.9          | 0.699       | 0.749      | 0.987               |
| Wasp-8      | 15712 | C     | 2013-10-23 20:42 | 9.9          | 0.109       | 0.127      | 0.989               |
| WASP-80     | 0744940101 | XMM | 2014-05-15 20:13 | 15.5         | 0.718       | 0.783      | 0.986               |

Notes. ‘C’ is a Chandra ACIS-S observation, XMM is an XMM-Newton EPIC PN observation. Start and stop phase indicate the exposure duration in reference of the planetary orbit with the transit occurring at phase zero.

### Table 3. Optical Monitor results of WASP-80

| Filter | Cen. Wavelength (nm) | Flux$^a$ | Mag. |
|--------|----------------------|---------|------|
| U      | 344                  | 5.4 ± 0.5 | 14.5   |
| UVW1   | 291                  | 1.5      | 16.0   |
| UVM2   | 231                  | 0.1      | 19.2   |
| UVW2   | 212                  | <0.04    | <20.3   |

Notes. The UVW2 filter did not yield a detection of the target. Count rate conversion for a K0V star (XMM-Newton User Handbook). The UVW1 and UVM2 conversion introduces a factor of two error and for UVW2 a factor of ten. Magnitudes were computed according to the fluxes. $^a$ $(10^{-15} \text{erg cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{Å}^{-1})$
source counts were extracted in a circular region with a radius of 15″ and background counts were extracted from three circular regions on the same CCD, which were chosen with at least 30″ distance to any recognizable X-ray source.

### 3.1. Binaries

WASP-8, WASP-77, and HAT-P-20 are known visual binary stars and the positions of the faint red companions (BD-07°436B, 2MASS J07273963+2420171, WASP-8 B) are marked in Fig. 2 by crosses. The position of the companions was obtained from images of the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS, Skrutskie et al. 2006) and transferred to the X-ray images, assuming a common proper motion of the binaries. For the companion of HAT-P-20, the infrared colors J = 10.14, H = 9.44, and K = 9.22 from 2MASS indicate an M-type dwarf. The companions of WASP-77 and WASP-8 have spectral types of K5V and M, respectively (Maxted et al. 2013; Queloz et al. 2010).

The 2MASS images of all targets were screened for further close companions. Only WASP-80 shows a 2MASS source (2MASS 20124062-0208333) about 4 magnitudes darker at 9″ distance, which is also marked in Fig. 2. The source is also clearly distinguishable in the OM exposure. The infrared colors indicate a late K to early M-type star, similar to the spectral type of WASP-80 (J区管委会). We conclude that this source is most likely a background object, possibly of extragalactic origin because HAT-P-2 does not lie in the galactic plane.

### 3.2. Light curve analysis

For our analysis of the high-energy irradiation of planetary atmospheres, we are interested in the mean coronal emission of the targets. Strong flares during the observations would result in unreasonably high luminosity estimates. The exposure time corrected X-ray light curves of the targets with 1 ks binning are shown in Fig. 3. We added the background subtracted counts of the three EPIC instruments for the light curve of WASP-80. None of the observations shows a strong flare.

The count rate of WASP-80 declines significantly during the first 6 ks of the observation. The bottom panel of Fig. 3 shows the hardness ratio (0.65 − 2.0/0.2 − 0.65 keV) of the target. The ratio decreases along with the count rate, indicating that this trend can be related to prolonged flaring activity at the exposure start. However, the count rate around 6 ks is unusually low compared to the rest of the observation, so eventually we use the complete exposure to derive the mean X-ray flux of the target.

In the exposure of HAT-P-2, we detected a soft X-ray source at a distance of 16″ from the target, corresponding to 1800 AU at the distance of the HAT-P-2 (114 pc; van Leeuwen 2007). The 2MASS J-band yields no detection with an upper limit of 15.8 mag, hence a dwarf with a spectral type later than M5 would not be detected. Assuming the distance of HAT-P-2, the X-ray source has a luminosity of $2 \times 10^{28}$ erg s$^{-1}$, whereas the maximal $L_X/L_{bol}=10^{-3}$ in M-dwarfs results in $4 \times 10^{27}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (James et al. 2000). The light curve excludes a strong flare and the gyrochronological age of HAT-P-2 (0.5 Ga in our analysis, 1.6 Ga in the more detailed analysis of Brown 2014) excludes increased activity due to a young age (<100 Ma; Stelzer & Neuhäuser 2001). We conclude that this source is most likely a background object, possibly of extragalactic origin because HAT-P-2 does not lie in the galactic plane.

The observations of HAT-P-2 and WASP-77 partially cover the planetary transit. Individual X-ray exposures are not sensitive to the level of absorption expected from expanded atmo-

---

Fig. 2. Source regions filtered for soft X-ray emission (0.2 – 2.0 keV). The target positions are marked by circles with a 2″/15″ radius in the Chandra/XMM-Newton observations; the position of known companions is marked by crosses. In the panel of WASP-80, a nearby detected 2MASS source is also marked by a cross. The X-ray source close to HAT-P-2 is discussed in Sect. 3.1. Six out of seven targets have been detected as X-ray sources.

---

5 http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/overview/about2mass.html
spheres of hot Jupiters (≈ 7%, Poppehaege et al. 2013). Nevertheless, the periods affected by the planetary transits are shaded in Fig. 3. Comparing the count rate during the transit with the pretransit rate, we derive an upper limit for the size of an X-ray opaque planetary atmosphere assuming a homogeneous X-ray surface brightness of the host star. For HAT-P-2 we have 31 in-transit counts with 32.7 counts expected, which corresponds to a maximum radius of an expanded atmosphere of 7.3 $R_{\text{pl}}$ (95% confidence).

It is unlikely that the nondetection of WASP-38 is caused by an expanded planetary atmosphere that covers the complete stellar disk, because the atmosphere would have to be expanded over two stellar radii (2 $R_{\ast}$), whereas usual estimates assume expansions by only a few planetary radii (e.g., Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003). Although, the derived upper limit for the X-ray luminosity of the host star is low, the value is consistent with predictions (see Table 4 and with other activity indicators (see Sect. 3.4).

### 3.3. Spectral analysis and X-ray luminosities

Spectra were extracted from source and background regions and analyzed with XSPEC V12.7.1 (Arnaud 1996). The three spectra from the individual cameras on board XMM-Newton were fitted in a joint analysis. We used the C-statistics, appropriate for low count rates (Cash 1979). According to the XSPEC guidelines, the data were binned to contain at least one photon per bin with the exception of WASP-10, for which the five source counts were fitted using the unbinned data. We used an absorbed, one temperature, optically thin plasma emission model (APEC, Foster et al. 2012) with solar abundances (Grevesse & Sauval 1998). We added a second temperature component for WASP-80. In this case, the spectra contain sufficient source counts because of the longer exposure and a five times higher effective area at 1 keV of XMM-Newton compared with Chandra.

Since the absorption component of the model remained ill-constrained in the fitting procedure, we fixed the interstellar hydrogen column density using the source distance and an average interstellar hydrogen density of 0.1 cm$^{-3}$ (Redfield & Linsky 2000). The resulting spectra and models are shown in Fig. 4 where the spectra were binned to a lower resolution for visualization. The inserts show the 1, 2, and 3 sigma confidence contours of the two model parameters: plasma temperature and emission measure. These models are used to compute the X-ray fluxes in the 0.124 to 2.48 keV (5 to 100 Å) spectral range (see Table 4). The detected targets have mean coronal temperatures ranging from 2 to 9 × 10$^6$ K and their X-ray luminosities vary by one order of magnitude from 0.7 to 8 × 10$^{28}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

The short X-ray exposures do not yield detections of the faint companions in the three binary systems. However, one or two photons are detected at the expected positions of the secondaries. Assuming similar coronal parameters for both components, we derive upper limits for the X-ray luminosity of the B components (95% confidence, see Table 5).

### 3.4. Optical observations

To check for activity indicators in the optical, we obtained quasi-simultaneous optical spectroscopy for WASP-38 and WASP-77. In particular, the spectra were taken with the “Heidelberg Extended Range Optical Spectrograph” (HEROS), mounted at the 1.2 m “Telescopio Internacional de Guanajuato, Robotico-Espectroscópico” (TIGRE) at La Luz observatory in Mexico (Schmitt et al. 2014). The spectra cover the 350–880 nm range with only a small gap around 570 nm at a resolution of about 20 000.

We observed WASP-38 for 30 min, less than one hour after the X-ray exposure, and we observed WASP-77 twice, 5 h before and 11 h after the X-ray observation with each exposure lasting 45 min. Both the WASP-38 spectrum and the combined WASP-77 spectrum show a mean signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 25 in the blue channel, which is sufficient to derive the Mount Wilson S-index ($S_{\text{MWO}}$, Wilson 1978). We further converted $S_{\text{MWO}}$ into the chromospheric log $R'_{\text{HK}}$ index, which represents the ratio of emission in the Ca II H and K emission lines and the stellar bolometric luminosity (Noyes et al. 1984; Rutten 1984).

For WASP-38, we obtained values of $S_{\text{MWO}} = 0.153 \pm 0.006$ and log $R'_{\text{HK}} = -4.87 \pm 0.04$, which are consistent with a basal light.
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Fig. 4. X-ray spectra of the targets. The plasma emission models are depicted by the histograms and the source count rates by diamonds with error bars. The \( \chi^2 \) contours for the 1, 2, and 3 \( \sigma \) confidence intervals of the model parameters are shown in the inserts. WASP-80 was fitted with a two temperature model; the contours of the four parameters are not shown. With the exception of WASP-10, which only has five source counts, the model parameters are well confined.

detectable variability in the H\( \alpha \) line, which is, again, consistent with the detection of X-
activity (Mittag et al. 2013). This finding is also consistent with the absence of detectable X-ray emission during our observation. For WASP-77, we derived an S-index, \( S_{\text{MWO}} \), of \( 0.33 \pm 0.01 \), corresponding to a \( \log R'_{\text{HK}} \) value of \( -4.57 \pm 0.02 \). This indicates a moderate level of chromospheric activity clearly exceeding the basal level, which is, again, consistent with the detection of X-ray emission. Between the consecutive nights, we found no detectable variability in the H\( \alpha \) line, covered by the red spectral channel where the SNR is higher (\( \sim 40 \)).

4. Planetary irradiation and mass loss

While EUV emission (\( 100 < \lambda < 912 \) Å) contributes about \( \sim 90\% \) of the radiation power of hydrogen ionizing (\( \lambda < 912 \) Å) emission from inactive stars (Sanz-Forcada et al. 2011), above 400 Å it is completely absorbed by interstellar hydrogen. To derive estimates for the planetary mass loss rate, which crucially depends on the radiative energy input, we have to assess the EUV emission of the host stars.

4.1. Stellar EUV emission

Here, we compare three different methods to derive the EUV luminosity of dwarfs either based on the stellar rotation period, the X-ray luminosity, or the Ly\( \alpha \) luminosity. The first method was introduced by Lecavelier des Etangs (2007), who apply a relationship between the stellar equatorial rotation velocity and the stellar flux in the S2 bandpass of the Wide Field Camera on board the ROSAT satellite (Wood et al. 1994). The flux in this band is further scaled to the full EUV range based on an average solar spectrum:

\[
F_{\text{EUV}}(1 \text{ AU}) = 4.6 \left( \frac{v_{\text{eq}}}{2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{1.4} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}. \tag{3}
\]

Second, Sanz-Forcada et al. (2011) derive a relation between the X-ray (5 to 100 Å) and EUV luminosities of main-sequence stars,

\[
\log L_{\text{EUV}} = (4.80 \pm 1.99) + (0.860 \pm 0.073) \log L_{\text{X}}. \tag{4}
\]

Their method is based on a sample of stars with a full reconstruction of the emission measure distribution from \( 10^4 \) to \( 10^7 \) K. The plasma model is then folded with an atomic emission model to derive the spectral energy distribution. Third, Linsky et al. (2014) derive the EUV flux in several 100 Å wide bands on the basis of the Ly\( \alpha \) flux. Their relations are based on intrinsic Ly\( \alpha \) fluxes, EUVE measurements (< 400 Å), and semiempirical models (400 < \( \lambda < 912 \) Å, Fontenla et al. 2014).
which all have measured X-ray luminosities, known stellar rotation velocity with the standard mass-radius relation for main-

From Lecavelier des Etangs, we derive a stellar equatorial rotation periods, and determined stellar masses. To apply the relation

luminosity is not available for the stars of Pizzolato. However, a

Notes. Columns are: name of the system, coronal temperature and emission measure \(^{(A)}\) \((10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-3})\); X-ray flux at Earth \(^{(B)}\) \((10^{-12}-2.48 \text{ keV,} 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})\); X-ray luminosity and predicted luminosity \([\text{Pizzolato et al. 2003}; \text{EUV luminosity (100-912 Å, Sanz-Forcada et al. 2011); reconstructed Ly}\alpha\text{ luminosity (Linsky et al. 2013); reconstructed Ly}\alpha\text{ flux at Earth (10}^{10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}); \text{minimum and maximum Ly}\alpha\text{ flux at Earth after interstellar absorption (10}^{10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}); \text{XUV flux at planetary distance (4) < 912 Å, 10}^{8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}); \text{mass loss rate (Sanz-Forcada et al. 2011); and rotation based estimate (Ehrenreich & Désert 2011)}\); and the last column is the total fractional mass loss of the planet in its lifetime (see text).

References. X-ray flux: WASP-43 \([\text{Czesla et al. 2013}; \text{WASP-18 (Pillitteri et al. 2014); HD 209458, HD 189733, 55 Cnc, and GJ 436 (Sanz-Forcada et al. 2011); Reconstructed Ly}\alpha\text{ flux: HD 209458 (Wood et al. 2005); HD 189733 (Bourrier & Lecavelier des Etangs 2013), 55 Cnc (Ehrenreich et al. 2012), and GJ 436 (France et al. 2013); Measured Ly}\alpha\text{ fluxes of HD 209458, HD 189733, and GJ 436 are mean integrated out-of-transit fluxes in the original HST data.}

Table 4. Results from the analysis of the X-ray observations and the mass loss analysis for the host stars in the sample.

| System   | X-ray analysis | Mass-loss analysis |
|----------|----------------|-------------------|
|          | \(T\) (10^4 K) | \(EM\) \(^{(A)}\) | \(FX\) \(^{(B)}\) | \(L_X\) \(10^{25} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) | \(L_{XUV}\) \(10^{25} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) | \(O_{LXUV}\) | \(M_{Lyon}\) | \(M_{XUV}\) | \(\Delta M\) |
| HAT-P-2  | 4.2^{+1.4}_{-0.6} | 35.8^{+1.3}_{-0.8} | 5.3^{+0.5}_{-0.5} | 8.2^{+1.7}_{-1.5} | 39. |
| WASP-38  | —               | —                 | <0.8            | 1.1               | 9.4           |
| WASP-77  | 9.0^{+1.6}_{-0.9} | 5.0^{+1.0}_{-1.6} | 1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2} | 1.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2} | 2.1           |
| WASP-10  | 1.8^{+1.0}_{-1.8} | 6.0^{+7.0}_{-6.0} | 1.3^{+0.1}_{-1.3} | 1.2^{+0.6}_{-1.2} | 1.8           |
| HAT-P-20 | 5.2^{+1.6}_{-1.4} | 4.0^{+2.1}_{-1.5} | 1.7^{+0.2}_{-0.4} | 1.0^{+0.2}_{-0.1} | 1.1           |
| WASP-8   | 3.9^{+1.7}_{-0.7} | 12.5^{+4.5}_{-3.9} | 3.1^{+0.4}_{-0.6} | 2.8^{+0.6}_{-0.7} | 1.9           |
| WASP-80  | 2.2^{+1.8}_{-0.9} | 1.7^{+2.3}_{-0.6} | 1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.4} | 0.7^{+0.5}_{-0.5} | 1.6           |
| WASP-43  | —               | —                 | —               | 0.8^{+0.6}_{-0.3} | 0.76           |
| WASP-18  | —               | —                 | <0.07           | 17.               |
| HD 209458| —               | —                 | <0.03           | 4.0               |
| HD 189733| —               | —                 | 1.5^{+0.5}_{-0.4} | 2.1               |
| 55 Cnc (b)| —               | —                 | 0.04            | 0.31              |
| GJ 436   | —               | —                 | 0.01            | 0.06              | 8.92           | 8.78          | 0.18 |

To establish a comparability of these three approaches, we use a sample of main-sequence stars from \([\text{Pizzolato et al. 2003}, \text{which all have measured X-ray luminosities, known stellar rotation periods, and determined stellar masses. To apply the relation from \text{Lecavelier des Etanges}, we derive a stellar equatorial rotation velocity with the standard mass-radius relation for main-sequence stars (\text{Lacy 1977}) and then invert Eq. 2}.\text{The stellar Ly}\alpha\text{ luminosity is needed for the \text{Linsky et al. method, but that luminosity is not available for the stars of Pizzolato. However, a close correlation between the X-ray and Ly}\alpha\text{ luminosities exists for main-sequence stars (\text{Linsky et al. 2013)}}.}

\[
\log L_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 19.7 + 0.322 \log L_X
\]

(5)
The equation is a linear fit to the K5 to F5 stars in the sample from \([\text{Linsky et al. 2013}].\text{We can now use Eq. 5 to derive the Ly}\alpha\text{ luminosity, which is in turn utilized to compute the EUV flux. This method results in a second X-ray based estimate, and is valid for K5 to F5 stars. Among our sample, WASP-80, WASP-43, and especially GJ 436 have a later spectral types resulting in a larger uncertainty of the derived EUV luminosity.}

Figure 5 shows the three estimates for the EUV luminosities of the \([\text{Pizzolato et al. 2003}, \text{sample versus the X-ray luminosities. The rotation based estimates show the expected scatter, which reflects the accuracy of the correlation between X-ray emission and rotation period. For a better visualization, we show a linear fit to the rotation based estimates, leaving out stars with periods shorter than 1 d because they are in the saturated regime. Each method results in significantly different EUV luminosities. The best agreement occurs for inactive stars (\log L_X = 27.2), where the three correlations agree within a factor of 2.5, but for highly active stars the estimate of \text{Sanz-Forcada et al. is about one order of magnitude higher than both other relations. The different results of the three approaches give an idea of the uncertainty in deriving stellar fluxes in this spectral range. The uncertainty is larger than the long-term variability expected for solar type stars. For example, in the Sun the chromospheric emission changes by up to 120% over one solar cycle (\text{Wood et al. 2005}), which is a factor of two smaller than the best agreement between the EUV estimates.}

For the following mass loss analysis we use the relation from \([\text{Linsky et al. 2014}].\text{We derive the EUV luminosity of the host stars in our sample (see Table 4). The relation is based on a detailed analysis of the EUV fluxes in eight spectral bands, and we consider the combination of observations and chromospheric models, validated on the basis of solar spectra, to be the best currently available option.}

4.2. Improved Ly\alpha flux estimates and interstellar absorption

Using Eq. 5 we derive improved estimates for the Ly\alpha luminosities of our targets. The mean dispersion of this equation is a factor of two smaller than our initial estimates for the Ly\alpha lumistartime}.\text{A&A proofs: manuscript no. salz_hj_hosts}
nosities based on the spectral type and the stellar rotation period (see Sect. 4). We provide the new estimates in Table 4 along with the expected unabsorbed stellar flux at Earth. These values can be directly compared with the unabsorbed flux of HD 209458 ($F_{\text{Ly}α} = 15 \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, Wood et al. 2005), where an expanded atmosphere has been unambiguously measured. However, interstellar absorption reduces the observable emission line strength at Earth. The absorption depends on the interstellar neutral hydrogen column density, on the relative velocity of the star compared to the moving interstellar clouds, and on the shape of the Ly$α$ line.

The structure of the interstellar medium has been determined within 15 pc around the Sun (Redfield & Linsky 2008), but our targets are found at distances $>$ 60 pc. Therefore, we use Na I measurements of interstellar absorption of stars with similar lines of sight as our targets to estimate the neutral hydrogen column density. From Table 1 of Welsh et al. (2010), with Na I absorption measurements along 1857 lines of sight, we select stars within 8° angular distance of our targets resulting in three lines of sight for WASP-80, for example, and up to 16 lines of sight for HAT-P-2. The column densities are scaled linearly from the distances of the stars of Welsh et al. to the distance of our targets and then converted into a hydrogen column density (Ferlet et al. 1985).

To evaluate the absorption, we constructed a mean Ly$α$ line profile using a double Gaussian combining a narrow ($130$ km s$^{-1}$) and a broad (400 km s$^{-1}$) emission line component, which typically describes the line profile well (France et al. 2013). The line flux is normalized to the values derived from Eq. [1] with the broad component containing 15% of the flux. For main-sequence stars, the Ly$α$ line profiles often show a double-peaked structure (Wood et al. 2005), which increases the detectable line flux compared to our estimates. The line width of stars also varies, imposing further uncertainty on the estimates (broader lines are less absorbed). The standard Ly$α$ absorption cross section with a Voigt-profile is used for the absorption with a Doppler-width of 10 km s$^{-1}$. Deuterium absorption is included according to a Deuterium fraction in the Local Bubble of 1.56 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ (Wood et al. 2004). The relative velocity of the absorber is neglected because Welsh et al. (2010) did not publish the kinematics of the interstellar medium. This introduces an uncertainty of a few 10%, with absorbed fluxes up to 40% higher for relative velocities of up to $\pm 50$ km s$^{-1}$.

The absorbed line flux is computed for the minimum and maximum hydrogen column densities scaled from the surrounding measured lines of sight (see Table 4). With this procedure, we take into account the uncertainty in the derived column densities due to inhomogeneous interstellar absorption, which is about an order of magnitude for most targets. For comparison, Table 4 also contains the measured line fluxes of the four stars with detected absorption signals. The limiting flux for the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph on board the Hubble Space Telescope is about 2.5 $\times$ 10$^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (upper limit derived for GJ 1214, France et al. 2013). For most targets the estimates remain inconclusive. However, the derived line fluxes for WASP-38 and WASP-18 are too low for a detection because of the inactive state of the stars; these systems are thus not well suited for Ly$α$ transit spectroscopy. Despite the large uncertainty in the absorbed Ly$α$ flux of WASP-80, this is the closest target among the sample and one of the most promising systems for follow-up campaigns.

4.3. Mass loss analysis

With the computed EUV fluxes, we can now determine the mass loss rates from the total radiative flux $F_{\text{XUV}} = F_X + F_{\text{EUV}}$, which impinges on the atmospheres (Erlk$\text{ae}$ et al. 2011; Sanz-Forcada et al. 2011)

$$M = \frac{3 \eta F_{\text{XUV}}}{4 KG \rho_{pl}}$$

Here, $\rho_{pl}$ is the planetary density and $G$ denotes the gravitational constant. We adopt a heating efficiency of $\eta = 0.15$, which accounts for the fraction of the radiative energy needed for ionization processes or lost through radiative cooling. This choice agrees with recent results from Shematovich et al. (2014), who find $\eta < 0.20$ in the atmosphere of HD 209458b. Furthermore, it enables a direct comparison with the mass loss rates determined by Ehrenreich & Désert (2011), who utilized the stellar rotation based method from Lecavelier des Etangs (2007) to estimate the EUV fluxes (see Sect. 4). The parameter $K$ is a reduction factor for the gravitational potential of the planet due to tidal forces (Erlk$\text{ae}$ et al. 2007). It is given by

$$K(\xi) = 1 - \frac{3}{2\xi} + \frac{1}{3\xi^2},$$

with $\xi = r_{RL}/r_{pl} \approx (\delta/3)^{1/3}/\lambda$, where $\delta = M_{plan}/M_{pl}$ is planet to star mass ratio, and $\lambda = a/r_{pl}$ the ratio of the semimajor axis to the planetary radius. All results are summarized in Table 4.

The X-ray based mass loss rates of our targets are on average higher than the rotation based estimates from Ehrenreich & Désert, which corresponds to the expected offset due to the different methods of deriving the EUV irradiation. However, based on our measured irradiation levels, we find the mass loss rate of WASP-38 to be more than a factor of two lower and the value of WASP-8 a factor of four higher than the previous estimates. The mass loss rate of WASP-18 is even one order of magnitude lower than the stellar rotation based estimate.

We caution that these estimates are based on the assumption of energy-limited atmospheric escape, which in general provides an upper limit to the mass loss rate of a planet (Watson et al. 1981). Furthermore, for the heating efficiency, values ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 are used in literature (Ehrenreich & Désert 2011), although the recent study of Shematovich et al. (2014) for HD 209458b somewhat restricts this unconfined parameter. This adds to a considerable source of uncertainty in the derivation of the high-energy irradiation (see Sect. 4). Hence, the mass loss rates must be viewed as order of magnitude estimates, but are more reliable than previous estimates without determination of the high-energy irradiation level (e.g., Ehrenreich & Désert 2011).

The current fractional mass loss of all planets in the sample is small. The close proximity and the small planetary masses of WASP-77, WASP-43, and WASP-80 result in the strongest mass loss rates on a par with the mass loss rate of HD 189733b. WASP-80 loses 0.10% of its mass in 1 Ga, assuming constant stellar emission. Moreover, high-energy emission is up to a factor of 100 stronger in young stars (age < 100 Ma) (Ribas et al. 2005; Stelzer & Neuhausel 2001). Assuming this high irradiation level for the first 100 Ma, the planet would have lost additional 0.28% of its mass. For our targets, we derive the total mass loss estimate by combining this value and applying the present mass loss rate for the remaining lifetime (for the age estimates see Sect. 5). According to these values, six hot Jupiters have lost less than 0.01% of their masses through photoevaporation (see...
correlation with tidal interactions. However, the author does not exclude effects in individual systems. We use the mean of the three color based rotation-age relations from Brown (2014) to derive gyrochronological age estimates for our targets (see Table 5).

For the three binaries among our targets we derive X-ray based age estimates, using the relationship from Poppenhaeger & Wolk (2014). The upper limits for the X-ray luminosity of the secondaries provide lower limits for their ages. The strength of the tidal interactions can be assessed by the fractional height of the tidal bulges in reference to the photospheric pressure scale height $h_{\text{tid}}/H_P$ (Cuntz et al. 2000). In addition, we provide the isochrone ages of the three targets from literature in Table 5.

For WASP-77 A, we derive an X-ray age of about 5 Ga and the B component should be older than 9 Ga. For HAT-P-20 A and B as well as for WASP-8 A and B, we derive ages of about 2 Ga with only slight differences, but the upper limits indicate that the B components seem to be about twice the age of the primaries. In contrast to WASP-8, WASSP-77, and HAT-P-20 exhibit low X-ray luminosities compared with the rotation-based estimates. Their gyrochronological age estimates are consistently smaller than the X-ray based ages. The hot Jupiter WASP-77 b raises the highest relative tidal bulge on its host star, and this is the only system with an apparent age difference between the host star and the companion. The isochrone age of this system is consistent with the X-ray age of the secondary, which further supports an age difference between the two stellar companions. The age difference also exceeds the general offset of the isochrone age estimates of 1.8 Ga found by Brown. The same argument holds for HAT-P-20, but here the upper limit for the X-ray luminosity of the secondary does not reveal a significant age difference. There is no difference in the four age estimates of WASP-8, which exhibits the weakest tidal interactions. At this point the data do not provide strong evidence for a transfer of angular momentum from the hot Jupiters to the host stars.

6. Conclusion

We measured the X-ray luminosities of seven hot Jupiter hosts and determined the level of high-energy irradiation and the planetary mass loss rates. Additionally, the two previously analyzed targets and four systems with detected atmospheres are included in our discussion. According to our estimates, six of the eleven planets did not lose more than 1% of their mass as the result of a hydrodynamic planetary wind during their lifetime. In our sample, WASP-80 b, WASP-77 b, and WASP-43 b experience the strongest mass loss rates. Our improved Lyα flux estimates reveal that in seven of the nine systems expanded atmospheres could be detectable through Lyα transit spectroscopy by stacking a small number of transit observations. While WASP-80 b, WASP-77 b, and WASP-43 b are good targets because of their strong predicted mass loss rates, WASP-8 b is an interesting case because its higher mean density could locate the planet close to the transition from a strong photoevaporative wind to a stable atmosphere. We find only weak indications for an angular momentum transfer from the orbiting hot Jupiters to the host stars in the two binary systems with expected strong tidal interactions.

We conclude that currently the systems WASP-80, WASP-43, and WASP-77 represent the most promising candidates to search for absorption signals of the expanded atmospheres of hot Jupiters.

Table 5. Age estimates for the binary systems within our sample

| System  | Sp. T  | Sep. (") | log $L_X$ (erg s$^{-1}$) | $A_{\text{iso}}$ (Ga) | $A_{\text{gry}}$ (Ga) | $A_X$ (Ga) | $h_{\text{tid}}/H_P$ |
|---------|--------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| WASP-77 | A G8V  | 3.3      | 28.1                     | 5.3                    | 1.7                    | 4.5      | 0.12                   |
|         | B K5V  |          | 27.5                     |                        |                        |          |                        |
| HAT-P-20| A K3V  | 6.9      | 28.0                     | 7                      | 0.8                    | 1.9      | 0.066                  |
|         | B M    |          | 27.4                     |                        |                        |          |                        |
| WASP-8  | A G8V  | 4.8      | 28.4                     | <3.6                   | 1.6                    | 1.6      | 0.002                  |
|         | B M    |          | 27.6                     |                        |                        |          |                        |

Notes. Columns are: system name and component, spectral type, separation of the components, X-ray luminosity, isochrone age (Brown (2014); Bakos et al. (2011), gyrochronological age, age estimate based on the X-ray luminosity (Poppenhaeger & Wolk (2014)), and height of the tidal bulge in reference to the photospheric scale height.

Even if we conservatively assume an uncertainty as large as a factor 100 for the total mass loss estimates, these six planets cannot have lost more than 1% of their mass because of photoevaporation during their lifetime.

A final remark concerning photoevaporation: HAT-P-2 is the strongest X-ray source among our targets, but the mass loss rate is small because the planet is massive and has a comparatively large semimajor axis. Also the predicted mass loss rates of HAT-P-20 b and WASP-18 b are very small. These planets exhibit the highest densities in our sample. In general the formation of a hydrodynamic wind from such compact and massive objects is disputable. Hydrodynamic escape is only possible if the sonic point in the escape flow occurs before the exobase level is reached, otherwise radiative energy cannot be transformed into a bulk flow and only Jeans escape proceeds with a significantly lower mass loss rate (e.g., Tian et al. 2005). The compactness of HAT-P-2 b, HAT-P-20 b, and WASP-18 b may completely prevent the formation of a planetary wind.

5. Angular momentum transfer from hot Jupiters to their host stars

Giant gas planets in tight orbits raise substantial tidal bulges on their host stars (Cuntz et al. 2000). If the stellar rotation period is longer than the orbital period of the planet, it is possible that the tidal interaction induces a torque on the host star and reduces the stellar spin-down with age (Poppenhaeger & Wolk (2014)). Based on measured X-ray luminosities Poppenhaeger & Wolk predict stellar ages of binaries, where the primary features a hot Jupiter in a close orbit. Binaries have the advantage of providing two individual age estimates for the system, and a younger age of the primary would indicate continuous angular momentum transfer from the hot Jupiter’s orbital motion. Indeed, the two host stars with the strongest tidal interactions (HD 189733, CoRoT-2) in the study of Poppenhaeger & Wolk suggest a significantly younger age of the hot Jupiter host compared with the secondary. In contrast three systems with smaller tidal interactions do not exhibit age differences.

The angular momentum transfer was also studied by Brown (2014), who analyzes the gyrochronological and isochrone ages of exoplanet hosts. Reduced spin-down of the host stars due to tidal interactions would appear as young gyrochronological ages compared with the isochrone ages in hot Jupiter bearing systems.

Brown found indications for a general age difference of 1.8 Ga between gyrochronological and isochrone age estimates, but no...
