Vulvar epithelioid leiomyoma with myxoid change
A case report and literature review
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Abstract
Rationale: Smooth muscle tumors of the vulva are infrequent neoplasms with diverse histologic features and unclear biologic behavior. Herein, we report a very rare case of vulvar epithelioid leiomyoma and review of previous reported cases of these tumors. In our discussion, we reviewed the diagnostic criteria of these tumors and their clinical implications.

Patient concerns: We recently met a 45-year-old woman with complaint of painful vulvar mass.

Interventions: Excisional biopsy was performed.

Diagnoses: Pathologic examination revealed a vulvar epithelioid leiomyoma with multinodular growth pattern. Mitotic activity was rare and cellular atypia was not identified. Based on histology and immunohistochemical staining results, the case was diagnosed as vulvar epithelioid leiomyoma.

Outcomes: After mass excision, the patient was discharged with no complication and there was no evidence recurrence for 6 months.

Lessons: After reviewing previous papers and diagnostic criterion, we thought that vulvar smooth muscle tumors with predominant epithelioid morphology may be associated with unfavorable prognosis. Therefore, pathologists should examine the epithelioid component in vulvar smooth muscle tumors carefully.

Abbreviations: ER = estrogen receptor, SMA = smooth muscle actin, Smm-hc = smooth muscle myosin heavy chain.
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1. Introduction
Smooth muscle tumors of the vulva are very uncommon entities and this rarity could lead to misdiagnosis of these tumors as other benign conditions including Bartholin cysts or abscesses.

2. Case report
A 45-year-old woman visited the outpatient department for evaluation of a right vulvar mass with pain and tenderness. She incidentally recognized the tumor a few days back and the pain tended to aggravate during the period of menstruation. There were no other symptoms like fever, erythema and discharge. The past personal medical history was unremarkable. Physical examination revealed a mass on her right labia minor, measuring 1.7 x 1.4 cm in size. The tumor was superficially seated and movable. Ultrasound revealed a hypoechoic lesion that suggests Bartholin gland cyst or abscess. The uterus and both the adnexae were not significant. A mass excision was performed for definitive diagnosis and treatment. The vulvar mass was located in deep dermis and it grossly exhibited a tortuous appearance with soft rubbery consistency.
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Microscopic examination revealed a well-demarcated convoluted or multinodular tumor with solid growth pattern (Fig. 1A). The tumor comprised of mainly uniform epithelioid round or polygonal cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and centrally located round nuclei (Fig. 1B). Extracellular myxoid materials were multifocally identified in stroma and intracellular vacuolar myxoid change was noted (Fig. 1C). The focal areas were made up of spindle cells with blunt-ended elongated nuclei in part (Fig. 1D). In some areas, the tumor originated from the vessel wall. Mitotic activity, cellular atypia or necrosis pleomorphism were absent. Immunohistochemistry revealed smooth muscle actin (SMA), smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (Smm-hc) and estrogen receptor (ER) as diffuse strong positive and focal positivity for desmin (Fig. 2A–D). HMB45 was not expressed in the tumor cells. Based on histologic features and immunohistochemistry, the tumor was diagnosed as vulvar epithelioid leiomyoma. The patient was discharged without any other complications and there was no evidence of clinical or radiologic recurrence for 10 months on a periodic follow-up.

3. Discussion

Vulvar leiomyomas are infrequent tumors accounting for 4.2% of cutaneous leiomyomas and 0.07% of all vulvar tumors. Among them, vulvar leiomyomas comprising of epithelioid cells as a dominant histologic component are of very rare occurrence. Vulvar epithelioid leiomyomas were first introduced in 1979 by Tavassoli and Norris. Earlier reports revealed that vulvar smooth muscle tumors with epithelioid feature showed a worse prognosis than the usual type of leiomyoma. Subsequently, additional cases were reported and to the best of our knowledge, 15 cases have been added in the English literature until date. The clinicopathologic characteristics of the previous cases and the present case are described in Table 1.

The age when vulvar epithelioid leiomyomas tend to occur range from 19 to 47 years with a mean age of 31.7 years. In 2 patients, the tumor was detected during pregnancy. The size of the tumor varied from 1.5 cm to 10.5 cm in the largest dimension (mean tumor size: 4.87 cm). Regardless of the unique morphology, most of the cases exhibited no or minimal cellular pleomorphism. Moderate degree of cellular atypia was identified in only 1 report. In most of the cases, mitotic count was very low and five mitoses per 10 HPFs were observed in 1 case. Tumor margin was relatively well circumscribed or focally infiltrative in all the reported cases and myxoid stroma could be easily found in these tumors (9 out of 13 cases). Despite the benign histologic findings, frequent occurrence of local recurrence was noted (3 out of 9 cases). In the present case, the typical histologic features of vulvar epithelioid leiomyoma including absence of atypia, absence of mitosis and well circumscription were observed. Multifocal myxoid areas were also noted.

The diagnosis of smooth muscle tumors of the vulva is associated with a problem of establishing the diagnostic criterion which could differentiate between benign and malignant tumors. Tavassoli et al proposed three histologic factors to predict the prognosis of smooth muscle tumors of vulva. A large tumor size (>5 cm), an infiltrative margin and a high mitotic count (≥5 mitosis/10 HPFs) were suggested as the predictive factors for recurrence and diagnostic features for sarcoma. Subsequently, Nielsen et al proposed an expanded and specific criterion based
on the review of their 25 cases and the previously reported literatures. The researches gave attention to four characteristics including a large tumor size ($\geq 5$ cm), an infiltrative margin, a high mitotic activity ($\geq 5$ mitosis/10HPFs) and moderate to severe nuclear atypia. Nielsen et al categorized the tumors with 3 or 4 features as leiomyosarcoma, the tumors with 2 as atypical leiomyoma and the tumors with none or one as leiomyoma.$^{[3]}$

Apparently, 3 out of 4 recurrent or metastatic smooth muscle tumors of the vulva reported in their article were classified as leiomyosarcoma, suggesting the appropriateness of the above criterion.

However, it remains whether the same criterion could be applied to smooth muscle tumors of the vulva with predominant epithelioid morphology. In previous reports on vulvar epithelioid

Table 1

| References          | Age (yr) | Pregnant | Greatest dimension (cm) | Cellular atypia | Mitosis per 10 HPF | Margin                  | Myxoid change | Outcome                  |
|---------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Tavassoli and Norris$^{[1]}$ | 25       | No       | 1.5                     | 1               | 1–2                | Expansile               | No            | Recurrence after 11 mo   |
| Chen et al$^{[4]}$  | 43       | No       | 8                       | 1               | 5                  | –                       | Yes           | Recurrence after 1yr and died 22 mo after diagnosis |
| Aneiros et al$^{[7]}$ | 26       | No       | –                       | –               | –                  | –                       | –             | –                        |
| Newman and Fletcher$^{[8]}$ | –       | –        | –                       | 0               | –                  | Well circumscribed       | –             | –                        |
| Nielsen et al$^{[3]}$ | 26       | No       | 3                       | 1               | 0                  | Focally infiltrating     | Yes           | NED 3yr and 5 mo         |
|                    | 47       | No       | 1.6                     | 1               | 0                  | Well circumscribed       | No            | NED 2 yr                 |
|                    | 19       | No       | 4                       | 2               | 0                  | Well circumscribed       | Yes           | NED 15 mo                |
|                    | 20       | No       | 3                       | 1               | 0                  | Well circumscribed       | Yes           | Recurrence after 10 yr NED 5 mo |
|                    | 45       | No       | 4                       | 1               | 3                  | Well circumscribed       | No            | –                        |
|                    | 24       | No       | –                       | 1               | 1                  | Well circumscribed       | No            | –                        |
|                    | 17       | No       | 5                       | 1               | 0                  | Well circumscribed       | Yes           | –                        |
| Hopkins-Luna et al$^{[9]}$ | 45       | No       | 10.5                    | 0               | Rare               | Well circumscribed       | Yes           | –                        |
| Zhou et al$^{[2]}$  | 29       | Yes      | 8.5                     | 1               | Less than 1        | Well circumscribed       | Yes           | NED 29 mo                |
| Kim et al$^{[11]}$  | 35       | Yes      | 5.5                     | –               | –                  | –                       | –             | –                        |
| Zhao et al$^{[5]}$  | 30       | No       | 7                       | 0               | Rare               | Well circumscribed       | Yes           | NED 14 mo                |
| Present case        | 45       | No       | 1.7                     | 1               | 0                  | Well circumscribed       | Yes           | NED 6 mo                 |

HPF = high-power fields.

Figure 2. Immunohistochemical staining results in vulvar mass. Immunohistochemistry for SMA (A) and Smm-hc (B) was positive and immunohistochemistry for desmin (C) was focally positive. ER (D) was diffusely and strongly expressed in tumor cells.
leiomyomas, recurrence was observed in 3 out of 9 patients. One of the 3 recurrent cases fulfilled the requirements of being classified as atypical leiomyoma and remaining two cases met the criteria of leiomyoma category. Recurrence of vulvar epithelioid leiomyomas occurs in a range of 11 months to 10 years after diagnosis.\cite{1,3,6} Although there was no evidence of relapse for 10 months in the present case, a longer follow-up evaluation is needed. We thought that epithelioid histology of vulvar smooth muscle tumors may be associated with poor prognosis or frequent recurrence, but additional cases should be collected and studied.

In conclusion, we report a very uncommon case of vulvar smooth muscle tumor with a predominant epithelioid component along with a review of previous literatures. We have summarized the pathologic features and reviewed a criterion of smooth muscle tumors of the vulva. It is necessary to be aware of epithelioid morphology of vulvar smooth muscle tumors for presenting an appropriate diagnostic criterion to predict the prognosis.
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