HST/ACS colour–magnitude diagrams of M 31 globular clusters
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ABSTRACT

Aims. With the aim of increasing the sample of M 31 clusters for which a colour–magnitude diagram is available, we searched the HST archive for ACS images containing objects included in the Revised Bologna Catalogue of M 31 globular clusters.***

Methods. Sixty-three such objects were found. We used the ACS images to confirm or revise their classification and were able to obtain useful CMDs for 11 old globular clusters and 6 luminous young clusters. We obtained simultaneous estimates of the distance, reddening, and metallicity of old clusters by comparing their observed field-decontaminated CMDs with a grid of template clusters of the Milky Way. We estimated the age of the young clusters by fitting with theoretical isochrones.

Results. For the old clusters, we found metallicities in the range $-0.4 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq -1.9$. The individual estimates generally agree with existing spectroscopic estimates. At least four of them display a clear blue horizontal branch, indicating ages $\geq 10$ Gyr. All six candidate young clusters are found to have ages $<1$ Gyr. The photometry of the clusters is made publicly available through a dedicated web page.

Conclusions. With the present work the total number of M 31 GCs with reliable optical CMD increases from 35 to 44 for the old clusters, and from 7 to 11 for the young ones. The old clusters show similar characteristics to those of the MW. We discuss the case of the cluster B407, with a metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}] \approx -0.6$ and located at a large projected distance from the centre of M 31 ($R_p = 19.8$ kpc) and from the major axis of the galaxy ($Y = 11.3$ kpc). Metal-rich globulars at large galactocentric distances are rare both in M 31 and in the Milky Way. B407, in addition, has a velocity in stark contrast with the rotation pattern shared by the bulk of M 31 clusters of similar metallicity. This, along with other empirical evidence, supports the hypothesis that the cluster (together with B403) is physically associated with a substructure in the halo of M 31 that has been interpreted as the relic of a merging event.
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1. Introduction

Over the past ~20 years, the globular cluster (GC) system of M 31 has been the subject of intensive study both from the ground and from space-borne observatories (see Rich et al. 2005; Galleti et al. 2004 – hereafter G04, 2006a, 2007; Huxor et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2008; and Caldwell et al. 2009 – hereafter C09, for recent reviews and references). One of the main aims of these studies was to collect as much as possible information on the GCs in the Galaxy, so as to derive better insight into the formation and (chemical and dynamical) evolution of these two spiral galaxies and possibly of galaxies in general. The advent of the Hubble Space Telescope provided the unprecedented opportunity to obtain colour–magnitude diagrams (CMD) of M 31 clusters, thus adding a completely new perspective to this research.

Substantial contributions in this field have been made by many investigators. At present, sufficiently accurate visual CMDs for a meaningful comparison with their Galactic counterparts have been published for 35 GCs in M 31. Except for one that was observed from the ground (MGC1, Martin et al. 2006), a good fraction of these have been obtained with the HST-WFPC2 (Ajhar et al. 1996; Rich et al. 1996; Fusi Pecci et al. 1996; Holland et al. 1997; Jablonka et al. 2000; Meylan et al. 2001; Rich et al. 2005) until the better resolution and sensitivity of the ACS allowed even more accurate CMDs at fainter limiting magnitudes (Brown et al. 2004; Huxor et al. 2004, 2005, 2008; Galleti et al. 2006b; Mackey et al. 2006, 2007).

In addition to photometric quality, which is essential for the analysis of individual objects, a good statistical coverage is also important for a better understanding of the GC system. To increase the sample of M 31 GCs with a CMD of individual member stars, we searched the HST archive for ACS images of objects that are listed in the Revised Bologna Catalogue of M 31 clusters (RBC, see G04). We found useful ACS images containing 69 such objects (see Fig. 1). The retrieved material allowed us to confirm or revise the classification of all of them and to obtain CMD of individual stars for 17, 11 likely old globulars, and 6 young luminous clusters (like those discussed in Williams & Hodge 2001; Fusi Pecci et al. 2005; and Perina et al. 2009a). This paper is devoted to the analysis of these data.

In Sect. 2 we present the target list, and in Sect. 3 we describe the adopted reduction procedures that yielded the CMDs.

---

* Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained from the data archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute. STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555.

** Photometric catalogues are available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/507/1375, and at http://www.bo.astro.it/M31/hstcatalog

*** RBC Version 3.5 available at: http://www.bo.astro.it/M31
Section 4 is devoted to describe the method we have used to estimate the metallicity, reddening, and distance from each individual CMD for which a sufficiently reliable decontamination from the non-member field components was feasible. In Sect. 5 specific notes and comments on the results are presented for each of the 11 GCs (the primary targets) and for the other objects for which a sufficiently meaningful photometry was carried out. In Sect. 6 we discuss a possible connection between a few clusters and a large substructure recently found in M 31. Finally, Sect. 7 contains some general considerations and conclusions.

2. The targets

A search by coordinates allowed us to find ACS images\(^1\) for 69 entries of the RBC V3.5, independently of their original classification (see G04, and Galletti et al. 2006a). In two cases the images revealed that there were two catalogue entries referring to the same object (i.e. B521 = SK034A, and B522 = SK038A), thus reducing the number of real objects to 67. Four confirmed clusters classified as candidate “intermediate-age GCs” by Puzia et al. (2005), and for which we have obtained good CMDs, have been excluded from the list as they will be the subject of a dedicated study (Perina et al. 2009, in prep.).

Eighteen of the remaining 63 objects, namely B004D, B253, B304D, SK102C, G137, SK107C, B102, SK094B, B072D, SK077D, SK078D, SK079D, SK120B, SK083D, B175, SK079A, M047, and SK181B, are not bona fide clusters: their original RBC classification has been confirmed or revised based on the high resolution ACS images. The results of this analysis are summarised in Table 2 where we report their old and new classification flag.

Twenty of the remaining 45 objects are unequivocally confirmed as bona fide clusters (B037, B041, B042, B056D, B061, B063, B082, B094, SK048A, B130, B185, B198, B203, B206, B213, B215, B231, B234, B522 = SK038A, and SK036A, see Fig. 3) and we obtained photometry of individual stars from the respective images, however we were unable to find an annulus around the cluster centre where the population of the cluster could be disentangled from the population of the surrounding field. In general this is due to the extreme compactness of the clusters, preventing to obtain good photometry for a sufficient number of stars even in the outermost coronae, but also the density of the background population plays a role. For five additional clusters, e.g. B147, B151, B162, B169, B171 (Fig. 3), located in the bulge of M 31, at projected distances \(R = 7.8', 7.29', 7.17', 6.31'\) and 9.95' from the centre, the overall crowding was so high that it resulted impossible to carry out any meaningful photometry even in the field, with the method adopted here.

The remaining 20 objects are the main subject of the present analysis and are subdivided as follows:

- eleven bona fide clusters for which we could obtain a meaningful CMD, albeit of varying accuracy\(^2\), and that were revealed by their CMD to be likely classical old globulars (i.e. having ages of several Gyr). These are the “primary targets” discussed in this paper, namely B008, B010, B023, B088, B158, B220, B224, B225, B255D, B366, and B407, according to the RBC nomenclature;
- nine bona fide clusters that were listed as candidate young clusters (age \(\leq 2 \) Gyr) by some previous study (Fig. 4). Five of them, namely B049, B057, B090, B367, B458 were included in the list of the so-called Blue Luminous Compact Clusters (“Fusi Pecci et al. 2005); three of them, namely B521 = SK034A, M039 = KH312-516 (Krienke & Hodge 2008), and M050 were classified as “young” by C09 (see Table 6); and one, B515 = KH312-409, was included in the list of possible young/open clusters of Krienke & Hodge (2008). For six of them (B039, B049, M050, B367, B458, and B521) we were able to derive a CMD in which the cluster population can be identified and we can confirm their young age, while for the other three we obtained useful photometry only for the surrounding field.

Going back to the 11 “primary target” GCs discussed in detail in the present study, most of them lie close to the galactic plane of M 31, as shown in Fig. 1. Three of them have been observed with the ACS/HRC and eight with the ACS/WFC. Their V images are shown in Fig. 2 and their HST data are listed in Table 1, together with their integrated magnitudes and colours taken from the RBC, when available. Similar data for all the other 52 targets considered in this paper are reported in Table 2.

3. Data reduction and the colour–magnitude diagrams

Data reduction has been performed on the prereduced images provided by STScI, using the ACS module of DOLPHOT\(^3\) (Dolphin 2000a), a point-spread function fitting package specifically devoted to the photometry of HST data. The package

\(^1\) Released until June 2007 from the HST Archive.

\(^2\) Depending on the cluster characteristics, the crowding conditions and the surface density of the surrounding field.

\(^3\) See http://purcell.as.arizona.edu/dolphot/
| ID | RA (J2000) | Dec. (J2000) | X (arcsec) | Y (arcsec) | V (B - V) | (V - I) | [Fe/H] | Type* | bandset(exptime) | PJD | Datasets |
|----|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| B0048-V123 | 00 20 24.61 | +14 34.27 | 5.17 | 17.11 | 18.85 | 1.18 | | | | | | |
| B037-V327 | 00 21 35.00 | +14 54.9 | -8.98 | 9.51 | 16.82 | 2.05 | 2.63 | -1.07* | | | | | |
| B041-G103 | 00 21 47.3 | +14 45.8 | -8.44 | 8.57 | 17.65 | 0.97 | 1.18 | -1.22* | | | | | |
| B042-G104 | 00 21 41.69 | +10 25.8 | -14.12 | 3.93 | 16.29 | 1.48 | 1.89 | -1.09* | | | | | |
| B057-G118 | 00 21 52.84 | +10 52.04 | -24.96 | -7.15 | 16.64 | 0.99 | -2.12* | | | | | | |
| B257 | 00 22 46.3 | +10 52.97 | -24.60 | -6.11 | 18.01 | 0.55 | 6 | | | | | | |
| B054D | 00 22 50.13 | +10 51 46.7 | -25.51 | -6.93 | 17.50 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| B522-SK038A | 00 22 50.48 | +10 52.83 | -24.60 | -6.42 | 17.85 | 1(2) | | | | | | | |
| SK102C | 00 23 55.92 | +10 50.19 | -25.98 | -8.68 | 15.22 | 0.76 | 0.85 | | | | | | |
| B521-SK034A | 00 23 50.14 | +10 52.04 | -26.29 | -5.51 | 17.50 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| B458-D049 | 00 24 44.61 | +10 51 23.3 | -26.47 | -6.35 | 17.84 | 0.49 | 0.57 | -1.18* | | | | | |
| B049-G113 | 00 24 45.6 | +10 59 57.3 | -27.52 | -7.41 | 17.56 | 0.52 | 0.69 | -2.14* | | | | | |
| SK036A | 00 24 47.34 | +10 51 07.5 | -26.35 | -6.91 | 19.43 | 1.01 | 1.13 | | | | | | |

Additional targets grouped according to their location within the same-exposure field (see Sect. 2).

Table 2. Additional targets grouped according to their location within the same-exposure field (see Sect. 2).
identifies the sources above a fixed flux threshold on a stacked image and performs the photometry on individual frames, accounts for the hot-pixel and cosmic-ray masking information attached to the observational material, automatically applies the correction for the Charge Transfer Efficiency (CTE, Dolphin 2000b) and transforms instrumental magnitude to the VEGAMAG and standard BVI system using the transformations by Sirianni et al. (2005). In the following we use BVI photometry.

We fixed the threshold for the search of sources on the images at $3\sigma$ above the background. DOLPHOT provides as output the magnitudes and positions of the detected sources, as well as a number of quality parameters for a suitable sample selection, in view of the actual scientific objective one has in mind. Here we selected all the sources having valid magnitude measurements in both passbands, global quality flag = 1 (i.e., best measured stars), crowding parameter $\leq 0.5$, $\chi^2 < 1.5$ if $V < 22.5$, $\chi^2 < 2.5$ for brighter stars, and sharpness parameter between $-0.3$ and 0.3 (see Dolphin 2000b, for details on the parameters). This selection cleans the sample from the vast majority of spurious and/or bad measured sources without significant loss of information, and it has been found to be appropriate for the whole data set.

The limiting magnitudes of our photometry range from $V \sim 26$ for the fields observed with relatively short exposure times, to $V \sim 27.5$ for the deepest ones. The internal photometric errors of individual measures are in general within the range 0.01–0.08 mag for stars brighter than $V = 26$ (see Fig. 5), depending quite strongly on the degree of crowding. However, errors increase rapidly for fainter stars, along with the impact of blending. Since we are mainly interested in the position and morphology of the main CMD branches we have not performed artificial stars experiments to study in detail the completeness of the samples as a function of magnitude. However, based on simple tests and on our previous experience, we are confident that in all of the considered cases the completeness is more than sufficient ($\geq 70\%$) to achieve our scientific goals for $V \leq 26$.

To have an idea of the characteristic sizes of the clusters we estimated half-light radii – $R_h$ (see Table 4) by aperture photometry over concentric annuli centered on the cluster and extended out to sufficiently large distances to properly sample the background. This approach is quite rough, nevertheless the values obtained here for the 5 clusters (B023, B088, B158, B225, B407) in common with Barnby et al. (2007) agree within 0.05 arcsec (i.e. to better than 0.2 pc at the M 31 distance) in all cases.

The individual CMDs are shown in Figs. 6 and 7, where the cluster and field stellar populations are indicated with different symbols (filled black and open grey circles, respectively). The cluster CMDs shown in these figures sample the stellar population within an annulus around the cluster centre where the cluster members are more readily distinguishable with respect...
Fig. 2. $V$ band ($F606W$) images of the 11 M 31 GCs analysed in the present study (the primary targets). The cluster and ACS camera identification are shown in each subraster. Each image covers $20'' \times 20''$ ($20'' = 76$ pc at the assumed M 31 distance modulus of 24.47). North is up and East to the left.

to the surrounding field. The inner limit of the annulus is set by the crowding level that prevents from performing useful photometry in the most central region of the cluster, the outer limit is set by the limiting radius of the cluster and by the need to avoid contamination by the surrounding field population. The inner and outer radii of the adopted annuli are indicated for each cluster. The field population is measured on an outer concentric annulus having the same area as the cluster annulus. In all the CMDs shown in Figs. 6 and 7 the cluster population can be distinguished from the field. In most cases the clusters show a thinner and much steeper RGB with respect to the field, and in many cases a Blue HB is visible, that has no (or much weaker) counterpart in the field population.

Before proceeding with the analysis of the cluster properties (discussed in Sect. 3), we have applied the field decontamination procedure described in Bellazzini et al. (1999). This method is based on a clipping routine which, making use of the local density on the CMDs of the field and of the cluster, computes the probability that a given star is a member of the cluster and retains or rejects stars from the cluster CMD according to that. To verify the reliability of this procedure we carried out several decontamination tests using different areas of the field and different techniques. In particular we applied to the most contaminated clusters a statistical subtraction procedure based on a Monte Carlo approach, where up to 5000 field-subtraction trials were used, thus obtaining globular cluster measured samples weighted by a statistical membership likelihood. Figs. 9 and 10 show that the decontamination of our primary targets was quite successful, providing “clean” CMDs in which the main cluster branches are more clearly identified (the individual cases are briefly discussed in Sect. 5). Therefore, the following analysis is based on the decontaminated CMDs.

3.1. Comparison with Fuentes-Carrera et al. (2008) photometry

While carrying out the present analysis, independent photometry of three objects included in our primary sample (B023 = G078,
B158 = G213, B225 = G280) was produced by Fuentes-Carrera et al. (2008) based on the same data set. Both CMDs for each of these three clusters are shown side by side in Fig. 8, showing an excellent degree of consistency in magnitude and colour extension and in the quality of individual star photometry. The close coincidence of the main branches and even of most of the detected stars testifies the strict similarity and agreement of these two independent photometries.

Fuentes-Carrera et al. have focussed their analysis on the claimed existence of metallicity spreads in these very bright and populous GCs, based on the intrinsic width of the main branches. Although the quality of their data reduction is comparable to ours, we have not dealt with this aspect which is beyond the scope of the present study. We refer the interested reader to their work for a detailed discussion of this topic.

4. M 31 vs. Galactic GCs: direct comparisons of the CMDs

We estimate the distance, metallicity, and reddening of our primary clusters by comparison with a set of CMD templates of well studied Galactic GCs, similarly to Rich et al. (2005), and Mackey et al. (2006, 2007). Relying on the hypothesis that the considered clusters are of similar nature as their Galactic counterparts we searched for the set of parameters \((m - M)_0, E(B - V)\) and \([\text{Fe/H}]\) producing the best match between the observed RGBs and HBs and the ridge lines of the template clusters in the absolute plane, given the direction of the reddening vector \(A_V = 3.1E(B - V), A_I = 1.94E(B - V)\) and \(E(V - I) = 1.375E(B - V)\) (Schlegel et al. 1998).

The best match was judged by eye guided by (extensive) experience, as this approach is much more robust than most automated algorithms in presence of significant residuals from the decontamination procedure. The steepness of the RGB is of great help in judging if the branch is red because of high metallicity or because of high reddening; the fact that the HB match is mostly sensitive to vertical (magnitude) shifts, while the RGB is mostly sensitive to horizontal (colour) shifts also provides a useful guide to the solution. Colour and magnitude shifts are applied iteratively until a satisfactory match with any RGB and HB template is found: from these shifts we obtain estimates of the reddening and distance, while the metallicity is estimated by interpolation between the two RGB ridge lines bracketing the observed RGB locus.
As starting values for the iterative procedure we have used $E(B - V) = 0.08$ for the foreground reddening (Barmby et al. 2007; Burstein & Heiles 1984), and the distance modulus $\mu_0 = 24.47$ mag for all the M 31 clusters (McConnachie et al. 2005). The ridge lines of the reference GGCs were assembled from the observed CMDs (Piotto et al. 2002 for BV photometric data, and Rosenberg et al. 2000a,b for VI) that were shifted to the absolute reference frame by correcting for reddening and distance using the values listed in Table 3. These reference GGCs have been chosen to provide a sufficiently fine and regular sampling over a wide enough range of metallicities for a correct characterization of the target GCs.

In Figs. 9 and 10 we show the field decontaminated CMDs and, overplotted, the reference grid of GGC ridge lines, where the bracketing RGB reference clusters are highlighted. The values of metallicity, reddening and distance corresponding to the best match are also reported in each individual panel, as well as in Table 4; the typical uncertainty on the distance modulus is $\pm 0.2$ mag, $\pm 0.04$ mag in $E(B - V)$, and $\pm 0.25$ dex in metallicity. We think that the solutions presented in Figs. 9 and 10 are satisfactory and reliable. We have explored also alternative solutions, some of which are discussed in Sect. 5. In all cases the final adopted solution was the one which provided the best fit for both RGB and HB simultaneously.

As a matter of fact, due to the intrinsic and well-known age-metallicity degeneracy, also age could be considered as an additional free parameter, which would further complicate the analysis, having a (minor) effect on the colour of the RGB. Since the data are not deep enough (i.e. to the main sequence turn-off) to allow us to estimate the cluster ages (for ages larger than $\sim 2$ Gyr), we have assumed that all of the 11 primary target are classical old globulars (i.e. age $> 10$ Gyr). This assumption is
Fig. 6. The CMDs of the target GCs B008, B010, B220, B224, B255D, and B366. Filled black circles are stars measured within the annulus with radius \( r \) in arcsec from the cluster centre (as reported in each panel). They are taken to represent the cluster population; open grey circles are stars measured within an outer area, of the same size, around the cluster, and represent the surrounding field population.

Table 3. Reference grid of template Galactic globular clusters.

| ID              | [Fe/H] | \( E(B-V) \) | \( \mu \) | Phot. |
|-----------------|--------|---------------|---------|-------|
| NGC 7078 (M15)  | −2.16  | 0.10          | 15.37   | BV    |
| NGC 6397        | −1.91  | 0.18          | 12.36   | VJ    |
| NGC 5824        | −1.87  | 0.13          | 17.93   | BV    |
| NGC 5272 (M3)   | −1.66  | 0.01          | 15.12   | VJ    |
| NGC 6205 (M13)  | −1.65  | 0.02          | 14.48   | BV    |
| NGC 5904 (M5)   | −1.40  | 0.03          | 14.46   | VI, BV |
| NGC 6723        | −1.12  | 0.05          | 14.85   | BV    |
| 47 Tuc          | −0.71  | 0.04          | 13.37   | VI, BV |
| NGC 6624        | −0.35  | 0.28          | 15.36   | BV    |
| NGC 6553        | −0.29  | 0.63          | 15.83   | VJ    |

Notes: metallicities are from Zinn (1985); all other parameters are from Harris (1996) (online update 2003). \( V, I \) photometry is from Rosenberg et al. (2000a,b); \( B, V \) photometry is from Piotto et al. (2002).

supported by the overall morphology of the CMDs, in particular for those clusters displaying a Blue HB.

The best fitting procedure allowed us to estimate also the mean apparent \( V \) magnitude of the HB, \( V(\text{HB}) \), by reading the value of the HB apparent magnitude level directly on the adopted HB ridge line at \((B-V)_0 = 0.3\) or \((V-I)_0 = 0.5\) for the metal-poor clusters. This colour has been chosen to represent the middle of the instability strip. For the metal-rich clusters we have estimated \( V(\text{HB}) \) at the blue end of the red HB clump, with an additional correction of 0.08 mag to recover the mean level of the HB at the colour of the corresponding instability strip (see Fusi Pecci et al. 1996). The uncertainties affecting the \( V(\text{HB}) \) estimates are often quite large, due to the intrinsic quality of the available data and the possible residual field contamination. We have conservatively adopted ±0.15 mag for all the considered clusters. \( V(\text{HB}) \) and \( M_V(\text{HB}) \) are reported in Table 4, together with the other parameters derived from the above procedure.

In the following section we briefly discuss the cases of each individual cluster.

5. Comments on the individual clusters

5.1. \textit{B008 = G060}

In spite of the strong field contamination the typical cluster morphology can be identified in the decontaminated CMD of B008. The cluster displays a red HB and an RGB falling about halfway
between the ridge lines of 47 Tuc and M5, with no need of
adjustment with respect to the initial assumptions on distance
and reddening. This leads to estimate a metallicity \( [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] =
-1.0 \pm 0.25 \) (the error is the typical uncertainty in the in-
terpolation between the bracketing ridge lines). This result is in
marginal disagreement (at \(<2\sigma \) level) with the estimates by
Perrett et al. (2002, hereafter P02; \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.41 \pm 0.38 \)),
and by Galleti et al. (2009, hereafter G09; \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.47 \pm 0.35 \)),
both obtained from integrated ground-based spectroscopy. We
collect in Table 5 all the available metallicity determinations
for all the target clusters, for convenience of comparison with
the present estimates. On the other hand, adopting the redden-
ing \( E(B-V) = 0.18 \) mag and a distance modulus \( \mu_0 = 24.25 \).
The solution relies on the best match to the blue
part of the HB, considering the handful of (supposed) HB stars
around \( 0.3 < (B-V)_0 < 0.5 \) as evolved BHBs, i.e. post-ZAHB
stars in their way to the Asymptotic Giant Branch (and hence
brighter than the genuine unevolved HB stars that we are using
as standard candles).

5.2. B010 = G062

In this case, the decontaminated CMD is quite clean, showing a
well defined and populated Blue HB and a steep RGB, indicating
old age and low metal content. The best match of these features
with the corresponding ridge lines is obtained by assuming a
value of reddening \( E(B-V) = 0.18 \) mag and a distance modulus
\( \mu_0 = 24.25 \). The solution relies on the best match to the blue
part of the HB, considering the handful of (supposed) HB stars
around \( 0.3 < (B-V)_0 < 0.5 \) as evolved BHBs, i.e. post-ZAHB
stars in their way to the Asymptotic Giant Branch (and hence
brighter than the genuine unevolved HB stars that we are using
as standard candles).

With these assumptions, the CMD shown in Fig. 9 indicates
that the metallicity of B010 is very similar to NGC 5824, namely
\( [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 1.8 \pm 0.25 \). This value is in good agreement with the
spectroscopic ground-based estimates, \( [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.87 \pm 0.61 \)
(Huchra et al. 1991, hereafter HBK), \( [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.77 \pm 0.14 \)
(P02), and \( [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.64 \pm 0.68 \) (G09). Also the adopted
reddening \( E(B-V) = 0.18 \) is fully consistent with the values
5.3. B220 = G279

The CMD of B220 shows the presence of a well defined BHB and a rather steep RGB, indicating old age and a low metallicity content. The best match of these features of the CMD with the corresponding reference ridge lines is obtained by assuming a value of reddening $E(B-V) = 0.07$ mag (in agreement with $E(B-V) = 0.05$ by F08, and $E(B-V) = 0.08$ by B00) and a distance modulus $\mu_0 = 24.40$. With these assumptions the CMD shown in Fig. 9 indicates that the metallicity of B220 is intermediate between M 13 and NGC 5824, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.75 \pm 0.25$. This value compares fairly well with the spectroscopic estimate of HBK, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2.07 \pm 0.82$, whereas the values found by P02, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.21 \pm 0.09$ and G09 $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.09 \pm 0.42$ seem too high for this cluster.

5.4. B224 = G279

The best match of the steep RGB and extended HB of B224 with the corresponding reference ridge lines is obtained by assuming a value of reddening $E(B-V) = 0.07$ mag and the standard distance modulus of 24.47 mag. With these values, the CMD shown in Fig. 9 indicates that the metallicity of B224 is intermediate between M 13 and NGC 5824, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.80 \pm 0.25$. This value compares well with previous estimates from integrated spectroscopy: $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.90 \pm 0.24$ (HBK), $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.80 \pm 0.05$ (P02), and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.68 \pm 0.28$ (G09).

Both F08 and B00 have estimated slightly higher reddening values: 0.13 and 0.12 mag, respectively. We have searched for solutions with $E(B-V) = 0.13$, and we found that the best fit would yield a similar metallicity but a much shorter distance, $\mu_0 = 24.25$. However, the overall quality of the fit is significantly worse when using this higher value of reddening, so we have adopted our primary solution.

5.5. B255D

The cluster is rather small and the statistical decontamination procedure becomes less effective when the number of stars is low. As a result, one can still see the presence of some residual field population on the blue side of the CMD (blue plume). Nevertheless, a sparse and metal-rich RGB as well as a red clump can be seen clearly. The best match with the ridge lines in this case is not much more than an intelligent guess, and indicates a metallicity $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.40 \pm 0.25$ and a distance modulus $\mu_0 = 24.40$ mag for the assumed value of reddening $E(B-V) = 0.10$ mag. There are no ground-based spectroscopic estimates for this cluster.

5.6. B366 = G291

B366 is a rather populous cluster lying in a high density field, as shown in Fig. 2. The decontamination procedure was not able to eliminate completely the field component (a blue plume as well as a red clump to the red of the cluster RGB), but the cluster population shows up quite clearly as a well defined HB with a possible blue extension, and a rather steep RGB, suggesting old age and metal deficiency. The cluster is classified as old also by C09, based on its integrated spectrum.

The best match between the observed CMD and the template ridge lines is achieved with $E(B-V) = 0.11$ mag and $\mu_0 = 24.39$ mag. With these values, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.80 \pm 0.25$ is found. This value is consistent, within the uncertainties, with the spectroscopic estimates by HBK, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.39 \pm 0.28$, and G09, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2.14 \pm 0.39$, while it is in excellent agreement with the results of P02, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.79 \pm 0.05$. 

---

**Fig. 8.** Comparison of the CMDs obtained from the present study (left) and by Fuentes-Carrera et al. (2008, their Fig. 6) (right, VEGAMAG magnitudes), for the clusters B023 (top), B158 (middle) and B225 (bottom).
5.7. \textit{B023 = G078}

The field decontamination has left some marginal field contribution on the bluest part of the CMD, but the main branches stand out quite clearly. The cluster has a red HB, and its RGB falls almost exactly on the ridge line of 47 Tuc.

The best match of the main branches is obtained for \(E(B-V) = 0.20\) mag and \(\mu_0 = 24.57\). This leads to estimate a metallicity \([\text{Fe/H}] = -0.70 \pm 0.25\), in good agreement with existing spectroscopic estimates, \([\text{Fe/H}] = -0.92 \pm 0.10\) by HBK, and \([\text{Fe/H}] = -0.91 \pm 0.15\) by G09.

We note that the reddening estimated by Barmby et al. (2007, hereafter B07), and F08 is significantly larger, \(E(B-V) = 0.36\) and 0.32 mag, respectively. With these values no match can be achieved with any of the ridge lines, therefore we exclude the possibility of such a high reddening for this cluster.

5.8. \textit{B088 = G150}

As one can see in Fig. 2, this cluster is very populous, has a strongly elliptical shape and lies in a rather dense field. Two other clusters in our sample, B023 and B366, show some evidence of elliptical shape, but the ellipticity of B088 is clearly larger. The values reported in the literature are \(\epsilon = 0.25\) (Barmby et al. 2007), \(\epsilon = 0.18\) (Staneva et al. 1996) and \(\epsilon = 0.23-0.27\) (Lupton 1989), making this object particularly noteworthy.

In this case, where the stellar field is very crowded and variable, we have performed several statistical field subtraction experiments. In spite of the presence of some residual contamination from the field, the steep cluster RGB is clearly identified in all cases, indicating a low metal content. On the other hand the HB morphology is more confused, and the vertical match is rather tentative. A possible adopted set of parameters is \([\text{Fe/H}] = -1.90 \pm 0.25\), \(E(B-V) = 0.37\) and \(\mu_0 = 24.41\). The metallicity agrees very well with spectroscopic estimates, \([\text{Fe/H}] = -2.17 \pm 0.48\) (HBK), \([\text{Fe/H}] = -1.81 \pm 0.06\) (P02), and \([\text{Fe/H}] = -1.94 \pm 0.52\) (G09). A high value of reddening for this cluster was found independently by F08 (0.46 mag) and B07 (0.48 mag). Our result indicates that the cluster is located in the nearest side of the M31 disc, and lies behind some dust layer as clearly visible in the Spitzer images of this region (Gordon et al. 2006).

5.9. \textit{B158 = G213}

Even if sparsely populated, the steep RGB of B158 stands out quite clearly in the decontaminated CMD, while the fit to a
Fig. 10. Same as in Fig. 9 for the GCs B023, B088, B158, B225 and B407.

(supposed) extended HB is just tentative. Our best solution gives an estimate of the reddening $E(B-V)=0.13$ mag, in excellent agreement with the results by F08, $E(B-V)=0.14$, and B00, $E(B-V)=0.12$. The adopted distance modulus is $\mu_0=24.43$, and the metallicity $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-0.90\pm0.25$, which compares very well with all the ground-based estimates: $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-1.08\pm0.05$ (HBK), $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-1.02\pm0.02$ (P02), and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-0.74\pm0.15$ (G09).

5.10. B225 = G280

The RGB and red HB of the cluster stand out very clearly and are well consistent with the ridge lines of the metal-richest templates, on the assumption of a reddening value $E(B-V)=0.07$ and a distance $\mu_0=24.40$. This leads to estimate a metallicity $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-0.60\pm0.25$, in agreement with the spectroscopic estimates: $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-0.70\pm0.12$ (HBK), $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-0.67\pm0.12$ (P02), and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-0.35\pm0.15$ (G09).

The CMD of this cluster was previously obtained by Fusi Pecci et al. (1996), with HST/FOC and, subsequently, by Rich et al. (2005), with HST/WFPC2. Both studies obtained results in good agreement with those presented here.

5.11. B407 = G352

The cluster B407 lies at a rather large projected distance from the centre of M 31, in a low density region where the contamination by field stars is very low. As a consequence, the RGB and red HB of the cluster are very well defined. Their position in the CMD indicates a metallicity slightly higher than the reference cluster 47 Tuc.

The best solution is obtained for $E(B-V)=0.10$ mag and $\mu_0=24.40$ mag. With these values, the metallicity of B407 is
Table 5. Comparison of the estimates of metallicity here obtained for the target clusters (see Sects. 4 and 5) and previous recent determinations.

| ID          | \(R_h\) (arcsec) | \(V_{\text{HB}}\) | \((B - V)\) | \(\mu_0\) | \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{CMD}}\) | \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{G09}}\) | \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{P02}}\) | \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{HBBK}}\) |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| B008-G60    | 0.95             | 25.29            | 0.10        | 24.47     | -0.10 ± 0.25    | 0.57 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B010-G62    | 1.40             | 25.30            | 0.18        | 24.25     | -1.80 ± 0.25    | 0.49 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B023-G78    | 0.95             | 25.91            | 0.20        | 24.57     | -0.70 ± 0.25    | 0.72 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B088-G150   | 1.15             | 25.99            | 0.37        | 24.41     | -1.90 ± 0.25    | 0.43 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B158-G213   | 0.65             | 25.44            | 0.13        | 24.43     | -0.90 ± 0.25    | 0.61 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B220-G275   | 2.5              | 25.08            | 0.07        | 24.40     | -1.75 ± 0.25    | 0.46 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B224-G279   | 1.35             | 25.22            | 0.07        | 24.47     | -1.80 ± 0.25    | 0.53 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B225-G280   | 0.61             | 25.35            | 0.07        | 24.40     | -0.60 ± 0.25    | 0.73 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B255D-D072  | 1.60             | 25.53            | 0.10        | 24.40     | -0.40 ± 0.25    | 0.82 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B366-G291   | 2.00             | 25.25            | 0.11        | 24.39     | -1.80 ± 0.25    | 0.52 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |
| B407-G352   | 0.80             | 25.41            | 0.10        | 24.40     | -0.60 ± 0.25    | 0.70 ± 0.25     | 0.25 ± 0.25     |

Table 6. Parameters derived for the candidate young clusters.

| ID          | \(R_h\) (arcsec) | \((B - V)\) | Age (Myr) | \((B - V)\) Age (Myr) |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| B049-G112   | 1.20             | 17.36       | 0.52      | 0.30                  | 0.25  | 400 |
| B090        | 0.47             | 18.80       | old       |                       |      |     |
| B367-G292   | 0.94             | 18.45       | 0.32      | 0.25                  | 0.25  | 200 |
| B458-D049   | 1.60             | 17.84       | 0.49      | 0.25                  | 0.25  | 300 |
| B515        | 1.25             | 18.60       | old       |                       |      |     |
| B521-SK034A | 0.75             | 19.08       | 0.55      | 0.40                  | 0.38  | 250 |
| M039        | 0.62             | 18.94       | 0.10      | 0.32                  | 0.18  | 320 |
| M050        | 0.80             | 18.71       | 0.15      | 0.50                  | 0.25  | 300 |
| B057-G118   | 0.70             | 17.64       | 0.69      | old                   |      |     |

Photometry is from G04 except when otherwise stated. \(R_h\) indicates the half-light radius.

1: \(V\) magnitude from C09.

[Fe/H] = -0.60 ± 0.25, fully consistent with the spectroscopic estimates by HBK, \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.85 ± 0.33\ and, in particular, G09, \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.65 ± 0.15\).

The case of B407 as a metal rich cluster in the outer halo of M 31 is discussed in more detail in Sect. 6.

5.12. The candidate young clusters

As noted in Sect. 2, there are 9 clusters that we consider separately as they have been classified as young by previous studies. Five of them, namely B049, B057, B090, B367, B458, were included in the list of the so-called “Blue Luminous Compact Clusters” (BLCC, Fusi Pecci et al. 2005, F05 hereafter). They are quite faint, \(V \sim 17.5 – 18.5\), but are undoubtedly clusters and some of them have the compact appearance that is typical of GCs (see Fig. 4, F05, Williams & Hodge 2001). B057 was included by F05 among the candidate “young” clusters due to the quite high \(H_P\)-value, 5.56, but C09 (see Table 6) classify it as “old” as well as B090, with a lower \(H_P\)-value, 3.38, that was included in the list of possible young candidates by Jiang et al. (2003).

Three other objects, B521, M050, M039 have been classified as “young” clusters by C09 (see Table 6). B521 is actually coincident with another object, SK034A, having measured radial velocity \(v_r = -531.8\ \text{km s}^{-1}\), Kim et al. 2007; \(v_r = -515.8\ \text{km s}^{-1}\), C09). M050 is classified as a “young” cluster by C09 who found \(v_r = -156.6\ \text{km s}^{-1}\). It looks like a small asymmetric aggregate of stars, but its CMD confirms that it is indeed a young cluster (see below). M039 = KHM31-516 (Krienke & Hodge 2008) is faint and partially resolved, C09 list \(v_r = -82.4\ \text{km s}^{-1}\). B515 = KHM31-409 was listed by Krienke & Hodge (2008) as an open cluster.

For the remaining 6 of the 9 clusters quoted above (B367, B049, B458, B521 = SK034A, M039 and M050) we were able to obtain CMDs representative of the cluster populations, that are shown in Figs. 11 and 12. On the rightmost panels of these figures we report the cluster density profiles obtained by counting stars on CMD boxes selecting the young main sequence (MS) population (open circles) and the red evolved population (RGB and Red Clump; crosses). Even if in most cases the CMD of the cluster is quite similar to that of the surrounding field (sampling the star-forming thin disc of M 31), the density profiles show that in all cases a significant overdensity of MS stars is found at the cluster position. Guided by the density profiles we selected the radial annuli where the CMD is expected to be dominated by cluster stars (leftmost panels), to be compared with an external annulus of the same area sampling the surrounding field (central panels).

To have a rough estimate of the age and reddening, the CMDs of the clusters were fitted by eye with solar abundance isochrones (from Girardi et al. 2002), as done in Williams & Hodge (2001) and Perina et al. (2009a). The results, reported in Table 6, are in good agreement with similar estimates by C09 who adopted however super-solar abundance isochrones. All the six clusters for which the CMD could be derived (see Figs. 11 and 12) appear indeed younger than 1 Gyr, thus confirming their previous classification.

For the remaining three clusters B057, B090 and B515, it resulted impossible to single out the cluster population from the background, thus we cannot provide any improved age estimate.

It is worth noticing, that four of the clusters considered here (B367, B049, B458, B507 = SK034A, M039 and M050) we were able to obtain a calibration that is based on (and valid only for) old clusters. Their high degree of metal deficiency reported by P02 \(-1.18 < \left[\text{Fe}/\text{H}\right] < -2.32\) is very likely spurious, due to the known fact that a young age mimics the lack of metals in integrated colours and spectra (see Fusi Pecci et al. 2005, for a detailed discussion of this effect in the context of the study of the M 31 young clusters).
the GC system of M31). Moreover, in a search for groups of M31 GCs having common origin (from the disruption of the same parent dwarf galaxy, for instance) based on the similarity in position, velocity, and metallicity, Perrett et al. (2003) identified eleven remarkable groups. Their group 9 contains B049 and B458, confirmed here as having age $< 1$ Gyr from their CMD, B057 and DAO408, classified as young from their H$\beta$ and/or colour in the RBC, and B034. Thus, four of the five members of the group are young clusters having velocities in full agreement with the overall rotation pattern of M31 disc. As they likely belong to the disc, their proximity in space naturally implies similar velocities, while the similarity in metallicity is due to their young age being mis-interpreted as low metal content, as described above. We conclude that this proposed group does not trace a real overdensity in the phase-space of the M31 halo, but simply a bunch of bright young disc clusters lying in the same spot of the disc.

A thorough discussion of “young” and bright clusters in M31 with HST-based CMDs, based on a wide homogeneous sample of other 18 candidates (P.I. Cohen GO 10818) and also including the six clusters studied here and the four clusters by Williams & Hodge (2001), will be presented in a forthcoming paper (see Perina et al. 2009, for a presentation of the overall project). For a discussion about faint young clusters in M31 we refer the reader to Krienke & Hodge (2007, 2008).

6. Clusters in Streams

Among all the clusters of our sample, B407 is the most distant from the centre of M31, lying at a projected distance of about 20 kpc. It is also one of the most metal rich, and this combination makes it worth a more detailed investigation.

In Fig. 13 we show the distribution of Galactocentric distance and absolute height above the Galactic plane as a function of metallicity for GCs in the Milky Way (from Harris 1996). It is quite clear that, while metal-poor clusters ([Fe/H] $\leq -1$) are found at any $R_{GC}$ and/or $|Z|$, the metal-rich ([Fe/H] $\geq -1$)
clusters are confined within $R_{GC} < 8$ kpc and $|Z| < 3$ kpc. The only exceptions are three metal-rich clusters that do not satisfy these conditions and stand out as obvious outliers in Fig. 13, namely Terzan 7, Palomar 12 and Palomar 1. Ter 7 is a member of the Sagittarius dwarf spheroidal galaxy (Ibata et al. 1994, 1995), a satellite of the MW that is currently disrupting under the strain of the Galactic tidal field. In this process it has developed two huge tidal tails (Sgr Stream) containing its former stars (Ibata et al. 2001a; Majewski et al. 2003; Belokurov et al. 2006) and clusters (Bellazzini et al. 2003a) escaped during various perigalactic passages. Pal 12 is indeed associated with the Sgr Stream (Dinescu et al. 2000; Martinez-Delgado et al. 2002; Bellazzini et al. 2003a,b; Cohen 2004). An extra-galactic origin has been invoked also for Pal 1, to explain its anomalously young age (Rosenberg et al. 1998) and its unusual abundance pattern (Venn et al. 2007; Correnti et al. private communication).

These characteristics are shared also by Ter 7 (Buonanno et al. 1995; Tautvaisiené et al. 2004; Sbordone et al. 2005) and Pal 12 (Stetson et al. 1989; Brown et al. 1997; Cohen 2004). The recent extensive and homogeneous analysis of relative ages of Galactic GCs by Marin-Franch et al. (2009) identifies Pal 1, Pal 12 and Ter 7 as the three youngest clusters of their whole sample. In conclusion, the diagrams in the left panels of Fig. 13 are very effective in identifying as outliers three clusters that are (most likely) of extra-galactic origin.

In the right panels of Fig. 13 we show the similar kind of plots for the M 31 GCs (metallicities from G09). Unfortunately, in the case of M 31 we have at disposal only projected quantities (the projected galactocentric distance $R_p$ and the projected distance from the major axis, a proxy for the height above the disc), unavoidably blurring the information contained in their de-projected counterparts. Nevertheless, the overall morphology of the distributions is quite similar to the MW case. In particular there is just a bunch of metal-rich clusters having large $R_p$ and $Y$, including B407.

To see if the anomaly in the position of these clusters can be traced also in their kinematics, in Fig. 14 we plot the

---

5 Incidentally, we note that the transition between the clusters confined to low $R_{GC}$ and $|Z|$ and those distributed over the whole range spanned by these parameters seems to be very sharp, occurring nearly exactly at $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.2$. 

---

Fig. 12. Same as Fig. 11 for the clusters B521, M050 and M039.
projected position of metal-rich ([Fe/H] ≥ −1.0) clusters in the plane of the sky (upper panel), and their M 31-centric radial velocity as a function of their distance along the major axis (assuming $V_{\text{hel}} = −301$ km s$^{-1}$ as the systemic velocity of M 31, Van den Bergh 2000). It is well known that, at odds with the MW case, the bulk of M 31 GCs participate to the rotation pattern of the galaxy disc, as traced by the HI rotation curve, and the correlation is tighter for metal-rich clusters (P02, Lee et al. 2008, G09, and references therein). Among the clusters labelled in Fig. 13 as having an anomalous position for their metallicity, three have velocities in stark contrast with the rotation pattern shared by the bulk of the metal-rich GCs: B357, B403 and B407. In particular, the latter two clusters lie within a projected distance of 3 kpc from each other, and have velocities differing by ≃20 km s$^{-1}$. It is tempting to suggest that the two clusters are (were) physically associated to a common structure, having a different origin from the bulk of the other clusters. Recent extensive surveys have revealed that the halo and the outer disc of M 31 host a wealth of sub-structures, generally believed to be the relics of past accretion events (see also Lee et al. 2008). It also supports the idea that the ingestion of GCs from accreting dwarf galaxies may provide a significant contribution to the assembly of the globular cluster systems of giant galaxies, as already shown in the case of the Milky Way (Bellazzini et al. 2003b).

7. Summary and conclusions

We have analysed 63 objects listed in the RBC for which HST/ACS images were publicly available in the HST Archive. We have confirmed or revised their classification based on the inspection of these images and we were able to obtain meaningful CMD for 11 likely old GCs and 6 young bright clusters.

We estimated distance, reddening, and metallicity for the eleven old GCs, by comparing the field-decontaminated CMD of the clusters with a grid of ridge lines of well-studied template clusters of the Milky Way. Our reddening and metallicity estimates are, in general, in satisfactory agreement with previous

Another cluster, B401, having very similar position and velocity ($X = 56.99, Y = −32.30, V_{\text{hel}} = −333$ km s$^{-1}$), was not plotted in Fig. 14 because of its very low metallicity ([Fe/H] = −2.03).
among those labelled in Fig. 13, that do not follow the general rotation pattern. We have labelled only the clusters, for the same M31 GCs as above. The line is the HI rotation curve of the halo of M31, and interpreted as a relic of past (minor) merging.

With the present analysis the total number of M31 confirmed GCs with published reliable optical CMDs increases from 35 to 44 for the old globulars, and from 7 to 11 for the young bright ones (BLCCCs). The photometric catalogues of the clusters studied here will be made publicly available through a dedicated web page and at the CDS.
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