Nano-fluid viscosity screening and study of in situ foam pressure buildup at high-temperature high-pressure conditions

Mohammed Falalu Hamza1,2 · Hassan Soleimani1,2 · Zulkifli Merican Aljunid Merican1,2,3 · Chandra Mohan Sinnathambi1,2 · Karl Dunbar Stephen4 · Abdelazeem Abbas Ahmad2

Received: 22 April 2019 / Accepted: 31 July 2019 / Published online: 8 August 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract
In this study, an industrial-based surfactant known as MFomax surfactant has been modified with unfunctionalized and silane-functionalized silica nanoparticles (NPs) to select the high viscous nano-fluid (NF) for generation of in situ foam to assess the differential pressure buildup ($\Delta p$) behavior in the porous media. Different weight concentrations of NPs and MFomax from 0.1 to 0.5% were studied using Design Expert Software to generate full matrix design of NF formulations. The viscosity data were analyzed with the aid of response surface analytical tool to investigate the response of NPs loading on the NF viscosity for optimization. The microstructural properties of the NFs were characterized using spectroscopic equipment. Subsequently, the high viscous NF was selected to generate in situ foam in comparison with the precursor MFomax foam for $\Delta p$ buildup assessment at 110 °C and 2023 psi in the native reservoir core. Results have shown that both the silica NPs could significantly improve the MFomax viscosity; however, the silane-functionalized silica NPs have more effect to improve the viscosity and other microstructural properties than the unfunctionalized NPs, and thus, they were selected for further experimental studies. The coreflood $\Delta p$ buildup assessment shows that NF foam built more $\Delta p$ having average value of 46 psi against 25 psi observed in the case of the precursor MFomax foam. Thus, this study demonstrates that functionalized silica NPs could improve the MFomax viscosity and eventually generates high $\Delta p$ buildup at high-temperature high-pressure conditions.
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Introduction
The increasing energy demand for domestic and industrial uses led to the application of enhanced oil recovery (EOR) to extract residual oil after primary and secondary methods (Afolabi and Yusuf 2019; Hendraningrat and Torsæter 2016; Soleimani et al. 2016a, b; Hamza et al. 2017a, b). In most EOR technologies, such as surfactant flooding, polymer–surfactant flooding and foam flooding, surfactants play unprecedented roles that include reduction in interfacial tension and sometimes in gas mobility control (Moradi et al. 2019; Hanamertani et al. 2018; Dang et al. 2017; Kamal et al. 2017; Soleimani et al. 2015). A major technical challenge during chemical and gas flooding that received widespread interests is the effect of viscous fingering and early gas breakthrough (Jia et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2016; Hendraningrat and Zhang 2015). The major factor responsible for viscous fingering is viscosity difference between the injected chemical fluids and the crude oil (Fuseni et al. 2018; Jackson et al. 2017; Jamaloei et al. 2010, 2016). If the viscosity of the crude oil is greater than that of the injected chemical fluids, the chemicals create pathways (fingers) and by-pass the oil front (Nasr et al. 2019a, b). On the other hand, if the viscosity of crude oil is less than that of the injected chemicals, an efficient sweep and oil displacement would occur and eventually improve the oil recovery factor (Jamaloei et al. 2011).
The severe cases of unfavorable mobility ratios may lead to early water (chemical) or gas breakthrough to production wells (Agi et al. 2018; Al Hinai 2017). High reservoir conditions such as high temperature in most cases contribute to these aforementioned factors, because the viscosity of the injected fluids decreases tremendously with temperature causing fingering to oil bank. This is the common problem injected chemical fluids face in the oil field. For decades, polymers are known as viscosity enhancer and have shown promising results, but present various challenges in oil field such as thermal instability, adsorption, gelation, high cost and environmental concern (Rellegadla et al. 2017; Speight 2016). However, recent EOR studies focus on nanoparticles (NPs) utilization to improve important properties such as interfacial tension, wettability alteration and viscosity due to their thermophysical properties (Koca et al. 2017; Adenutsi et al. 2018; Zallaghi et al. 2018; Sharma and Sangwai 2017; Choi et al. 2017; Kean Chuan et al. 2016; Cheraghian et al. 2015; Pozhar 2000; Hendraningrat et al. 2013). Worthen et al. (2013) describe the advantage of NPs in surfactant blends for their ability to be irreversibly adsorbed at interface and provide potential benefits to surfactant’s properties. Similarly, Ahmadi and Shadizadeh (2013) studied the adsorption behavior of surfactant in the presence of NPs onto sandstone rock. The authors believed that NPs could significantly inhibit the surfactant adsorption. Because of these advantages, researchers have become more interested to functionalize the surface of NPs to improve properties of the NPs. The study of Zhang et al. (2011) pointed out the benefit of NPs surface coating tailored to achieve certain additional properties that could withstand the effect of high temperature. Agista et al. (2018) also provide a comprehensive review on the state of the art of NPs application in EOR. The authors summarized different functionalized NPs, particularly SiO₂ NPs, to achieve various influential factors in nano-stabilized foam. To this effect, Azarshin et al. (2017) experimentally investigated the effect of silane-modified SiO₂ NPs in waterflood injection scenario and concluded by applauding the role of surface coating to influence the IFT and contact angle and ultimately increased oil production by 18% relative to uncoated NPs. Similarly, Al Yousef et al. (2017, 2019) in their foam stabilization experiment using surface-functionalized NPs found out that functionalized NPs have the ability to decrease gas mobility. The NPs/NF could be a suitable candidate to improve various properties; consequently, the application of NPs with emphasis on the modified materials has still remained the subject of discussion for the purpose of increasing hydrocarbon recovery. The present study seeks to investigate the modification of industrial-based surfactant known as MFomax surfactant with unfunctionalized and silane-functionalized silica NPs to select the high viscous NF for the generation of in situ foam to assess the Δp buildup behavior in the porous media. The Δp buildup serves as a prerequisite for increasing oil production. The full matrix design of the NF formulations is achieved using design of experiment expert software (DOE version 8.0.0). The software has a collection of analytical tools that is systematically used in determining the cause-and-effect relationships between input parameters (NPs and surfactant) to optimize the output (viscosity). The tools can be used to understand the synergistic or antagonistic responses of the input parameters on the output through response surface methodology (RSM). It also allows the development of regressive models that could give information on the quantitative contributions of the input parameters to output (Azarshin et al. 2017).

**Materials and methods**

The unfunctionalized and silane-functionalized SiO₂ NPs (functionalized with 3–4 wt% of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane coupling agent-KH550) were purchased from US Research Nanomaterials, Inc., USA. The particles have average diameters of 20–30 nm, surface area of 180–600 m²/g, bulk and true density of <0.10 and 2.4 g/cm³, respectively. The chemical surfactant (MFomax) with 20% active content is an in-house-developed surfactant formulated from the mixture of anionic and amphoteric surfactants, supplied by PETRONAS Research Sdn. Bhd. (PRSB), Malaysia. This surfactant is selected for the reason that blends of different charged surfactants are preferable for achieving various important properties due to synergy action (Hakiki et al. 2015; Memon et al. 2017). The properties of native reservoir core sample sourced from Malaysian oil field are presented in Table 1. All materials and chemicals were used as received without further treatment.

**Nano-fluid chemical formulation**

Table 2 shows the full matrix factorial design generated with the aid of DOE to formulate the NFs. The table presents 20 random experimental formulations of NFs performed for each of the NPs with six central formulations (NF 15–20) to serve as replicates to minimize error. The brine sample

| Table 1 Properties of native reservoir core analyzed |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| **Core properties**                                 |
| Pore volume PV (cc)                                 | 15.60 |
| Porosity Ø (%)                                      | 23.10 |
| Permeability \( K_{\text{avg}} \) (mD)               | 198.6 |
| Length (mm)                                         | 61.10 |
| Diameter (mm)                                       | 37.50 |
| Mass (g)                                            | 138.0 |
| Sampling depth (ft)                                 | 6173  |
(3.5 wt%) used in the NFs formulations was prepared based on the composition of Malaysian oil field formation water (composed of NaCl, KCl, MgCl₂·6H₂O, CaCl₂·2H₂O, Na₂SO₄, NaHCO₃, SrCl₂·2H₂O). Each NFs formulation was stirred at 25 °C for 12 h using a magnetic stirrer (IKAC-MAG HS 7 S002) and sonicated with ultrasonic bath device (Ultrasonics Corporation, USA) at 25 Hz for 1 h to minimize particles aggregations in the NFs.

Viscosity measurement and optimization

The resistance of fluids to flow is termed as a viscosity which is one of the most important rheological properties governing the performance of foaming agents in the reservoir. The choice of high viscous fluid in the screening of NFs is important for the generation of good-quality foam. The dynamic viscosity measurements of the NFs (MFomax/SiO₂ and MFomax/SiO₂-KH550 NFs) were conducted using Anton Paar Modular Compact Rheometer (MCR 302) at 90 °C for 12 h using a magnetic stirrer and sonicated with ultrasonic bath device at 25 Hz for 1 h to minimize particles aggregations in the NFs.

Characterization study

The chemical properties and surface morphology of the NFs were characterized using different spectroscopic instruments as follows.

Dynamic light scattering

Dynamic light scattering (DTL) measurement of the dispersion of NFs was performed using particle size analyzer (DT 1202) to ascertain the aggregation and size distribution of the dispersed NPs in the mixtures. Prior to analysis, the NF was homogenized using the sonication device. Thereafter, about 15 ml of the NF sample was placed in the measuring chamber which is equipped with a detector sensor to measure the particle’s Brownian motions at 25 °C, from which the average size and distributions were analyzed.

FTIR spectroscopy

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) (PerkinElmer Spectrum ISO AKX1300573 Nexus FTIR) was used to study the chemical interactions between the MFomax and the NPs by comparing their spectral absorptions before and after the chemical formulation. The transmittance was measured against the wave number between 600 and 4000 cm⁻¹.

X-ray diffraction

The X-ray diffraction (XRD Bruker D2 Phaser) analysis was performed using Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA. All scans were classically accomplished over a 2θ range from 10° to 99° at a 0.02/s speed, with an opening slit, an anti-scatter slit and a receiving slit of 2 mm, 6 mm and 0.2 mm, respectively.

FESEM spectroscopy

Field emission scanning electron micrograph (FESEM Carl Zeiss AG SUPRA 55VP) instrument was used to study the nanocomposite morphology through which the physical morphological transformation was assessed. The sample was coated with gold to minimize charge reflection due to NPs to give proper viewing at different magnifications from 100 to 100,000×.
**Thermogravimetric analysis**

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) instrument (PerkinElmer Pyris 1) equipped with aluminum pans was used to investigate the thermal stability of the NF. Measurements were carried out under air atmosphere and a controlled temperature program which automatically maintains constant temperature during thermal decompositions. About 8–10 mg sample mass was heated, and the weight loss measurement was carried out between 25 and 180 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

**Coreflood experiment: in situ foam generation and Δp buildup assessment**

Multifluid core displacement equipment (Sanchez coreflood V1.5740) presented in Fig. 1 is used to generate in situ foam for (∆p) buildup assessment. The system consists of three separate chambers of oil, brine and chemical, as well as a gas accumulator, and a cell used for confined pressure which housed a core holder. The core holder is supported by the injections and receiving ends, within which the injection and outlet pressures are detected. The whole system is connected to a computer to monitor the Δp buildup at defined experimental conditions. A manual saturator was used to saturate the core with the brine under 1000 psi pressure for 24 h prior to installment inside the core holder. The experimental conditions used to study the Δp across the core are presented in Table 3.

Several pore volumes (PV) of brine are injected at 0.2 cc ml⁻¹ flow rate to record the Δp buildup due to water-flood. After the Δp stabilizes, 1.1 PV of the nitrogen gas (N₂) was injected into the brine by maintaining 0.2 cc ml⁻¹ flow rate until no further displacement of the brine was observed. At this stage, the Δp buildup due to gas injection was also observed. A few more PV of brine was re-injected until the Δp reached steady state. Several PV of MFomax surfactant were injected by maintaining the same flow rate to ensure full core saturation with the MFomax. Thereafter, another minimum of 5 PV of the N₂ gas was injected at the same flow rate to generate in situ MFomax foam and the Δp buildup due to MFomax foam was also observed. This procedure was repeated for foam generation using NF (MFomax/SiO₂-KH550 NPs), and Δp buildup by NF was also recorded. All the data recorded were carefully analyzed to assess the Δp buildup relative to PV of different slug injections. The permeability (K) impairment due to NPs was examined relative to pre- and post-NF injection using the expression given in Hendraningrat et al. (2013).

**Results and discussion**

**Rheology studies**

The ANOVA of the NFs viscosity is presented in Table 4. From the table, all the data in each case were in reasonable agreement because the observed p values are less than 0.05 with good R² near 1.0. Real statistical data are recognized based on p and R² values, and good data must have a strong confidence interval (>90%) at p<0.05 for the model to be significant and the lack of fit not significant, respectively (Almeida et al. 2017). The interaction effects of MFomax×aging² and aging³ in the MFomax/SiO₂ NF are not significant (p>0.05) after reducing the model to eliminate the non-significant terms; therefore, they

![Fig. 1 Schematic diagram and image of the high-temperature high-pressure coreflood displacement equipment used](image-url)
could be ignored. Based on these statistical data, the experimental data against the predicted data presented in Fig. 2a, b matched with the preference line with $R^2$ above 0.9 indicating good agreement. These best fit with the cubic models shown in Eqs. (1) and (2) that described the synergistic and antagonistic relationships between the MFomax and NPs on the NF’s viscosity. The coefficient factors in the model quantified the extent to which both the MFomax and NPs influence the NF’s viscosity in synergistic and antagonistic relationships. Thus, from the equations, the SiO$_2$-KH550 NPs had greater synergistic interaction (+0.31) with the MFomax than the SiO$_2$ NPs (+0.04). In addition, in terms of independence effect, the SiO$_2$-KH550 NPs had a more influential effect (+0.48) than the SiO$_2$ NPs (+0.082) on the viscosity. Therefore, the NPs functionalized with the KH550 have a more significant effect to increase the viscosity of MFomax surfactant than unfunctionalized NPs.

$$\text{Viscosity} = 0.66 + 0.026 \times \text{MFomax} + 0.082 \times \text{SiO}_2 + 0.022 \times \text{MFomax} \times \text{SiO}_2 - 0.046 \times \text{aging}^2 + 0.044 \times \text{MFomax}^2 \times \text{SiO}_2 + 0.077 \times \text{MFomax} \times \text{aging} - 0.0044 \times \text{aging}^3 \quad (1)$$

**Table 4** Statistical data and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for viscosity of the NF

| Viscosity response | Factors | Mean | SD | $R^2$ | $R^2$ Adj. | $R^2$ Pred. | $F$ value | $p < 0.005$ |
|--------------------|---------|------|----|-------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | Cubic model | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.99  | 0.98       | 0.94        | 82.18     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | MFomax  | 10.58| 0.01 | 0.6   | 0.6        | 0.6         | 117.6     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | SiO$_2$ | 109.7| 0.01 | 9.7   | 9.7        | 9.7         | 114.2     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | MFomax$^2$| 17.95| 0.01 | 2.2   | 2.2        | 2.2         | 17.95     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | SiO$_2^2$| 23.57| 0.01 | 0.2   | 0.2        | 0.2         | 23.57     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | Aging$^2$| 87.00| 0.01 | 0.8   | 0.8        | 0.8         | 87.00     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | MFomax$^2$| 114.2| 0.01 | 2.2   | 2.2        | 2.2         | 114.2     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$     | Aging$^3$| 2.640| 0.14 | 0.0   | 0.0        | 0.0         | 2.640     | 0.1384      | not significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550| Cubic model | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.92  | 0.90       | 0.62        | 44.15     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550| SiO$_2$-KH550 | 117.6| 0.01 | 17.6  | 17.6       | 17.6        | 117.6     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550| SiO$_2$-KH550$^2$| 22.72| 0.01 | 2.27  | 2.27       | 2.27        | 22.72     | 0.0002      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550| Aging$^2$| 11.51| 0.04 | 1.15  | 1.15       | 1.15        | 11.51     | 0.0040      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550| MFomax$^2$| 28.28| 0.01 | 2.83  | 2.83       | 2.83        | 28.28     | 0.0001      | significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550| Aging$^3$| 2.860| 0.13 | 0.02  | 0.02       | 0.02        | 2.860     | 0.1288      | not significant |
| MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550| Lack of fit | 1.590| 0.31 | 0.16  | 0.16       | 0.16        | 1.590     | 0.3078      | not significant |

**Fig. 2** Comparison between experimental and the model predicted data of a MFomax/SiO$_2$ NF and b MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550
The effects of NPs loading on the MFomax are demonstrated in the three-dimensional surface plots (Fig. 3a, b). From 3a, it can be observed that, by keeping the MFomax concentration constant, increasing the concentration of SiO$_2$ NPs tends to increase the NF’s viscosity. While, at a constant SiO$_2$ NPs concentration, the increase in the MFomax results in an initial increase in the viscosity, and when the MFomax was gradually increased, the viscosity decreases. Similarly, when SiO$_2$-KH550 NPs loading at a constant MFomax is increased, the NF’s viscosity increases. However, at a constant SiO$_2$-KH550, the viscosity decreased and later increased with increasing MFomax. Thus, in both the cases, the influence of the NPs on the NF’s viscosities had been demonstrated.

Figure 4a, b demonstrates the viscosity behavior of each NF with aging time (2 weeks). From the results (4a), the viscosity of the MFomax/SiO$_2$ decreased with aging time, while for the MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550 (4b) the aging time has less effect on the viscosity of the NF due to no significant changes observed along the aging axis. This explains the influence of the coating agent (KH550).

Therefore, the optimized formulation ratios for both the MFomax/SiO$_2$ and MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550 NFs were found

\[
\text{Viscosity}_{(\text{MFomax/SiO}_2 \text{- KH550})} = +0.73 + 0.48 \times \text{SiO}_2 \\
- \text{KH550} + 0.13 \times \text{SiO}_2 \\
- \text{KH550}^2 + 0.093 \times \text{age}^2 \\
- 0.31 \times \text{MFomax}^2 \times \text{SiO}_2 \text{- KH550}
\] (2)

Fig. 3 Effect of NPs loading on the NF’s viscosity; the x axis represents the concentrations presented in terms of the coded value which indicate the lowest value to be 0.1% (−1) and the highest 0.5% (1.0)

Fig. 4 Effect of aging time on the NF’s viscosity with the axis of SiO$_2$ and SiO$_2$-KH550 represents concentration presented in terms of the coded value which indicate the lowest value to be 0.1% (−1) and the highest 0.5% (1.0), while for aging is the number of days from 3 (−1) to 15 (1.0)
to be 0.4:0.4% and 0.4:0.5%, respectively, and these were used for further characterizations and analysis.

**Particle aggregation in the NF**

The particle size distributions of the dispersion of the NPs in the MFomax surfactant are shown in Fig. 5a, b. From the figure, it can be seen that NPs aggregated in the MFomax compared to the respective initial sizes of 20–30 nm before the dispersion. However, about 90% of the NPs in MFomax/SiO₂-KH550 NF are within the nano-scale (< 100 nm) indicating minimal aggregation, contrary to MFomax/SiO₂ NF. This observation is mainly due to the surface functionalization properties of NPs in the MFomax/SiO₂-KH550 NF, making particles get separated from one another resulting in minimal aggregation in the surfactant medium (Susheel 2015).

**Influence of temperature and pressure on the NF’s viscosity**

Figure 6 shows the effect of temperature on the NFs viscosity ramped from 30 to 100 °C. From the figure, it can be seen that both the NFs exhibited higher viscosity than the free-MFomax at ambient and higher temperatures; this shows that NPs loading could improve the viscosity of the MFomax because of NPs thermophysical properties (Prot-sak et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2015). However, the NF viscosity containing SiO₂-KH550 NPs is higher than unfunctionalized SiO₂. This describes the role of the coupling agent (KH550) as a surface modifier to induce additional viscosity retention capacity to the MFomax.

Thus, the viscosity of the MFomax/SiO₂-KH550 NF being the highest viscous NF was further investigated under the influence of pressure (2023 psi) and 125 °C to depict the real field conditions. The results in Fig. 7 show that, apart from the effect of temperature, pressure has an additional effect on the viscosity of the MFomax/SiO₂-KH550 when compared with the result obtained from Fig. 6. However, minimum viscosity retention is expected to generate quality foam in the porous media.

**Spectroscopy characterization studies**

Figure 8 presents the FTIR spectra of the MFomax, MFomax/SiO₂ and MFomax/SiO₂-KH550 materials. It can be observed that both the NPs demonstrate chemical interactions with the MFomax due to observed characteristic absorption peaks of N–H (3320 cm⁻¹) and C=O...
(1633 cm$^{-1}$) from the MFomax and reduction in strong intensities of Si–O–Si (1085 cm$^{-1}$) in the NFs, respectively; this shows that the NFs constitute the MFomax and the NPs. However, the sharp intensity reduction is more pronounced in the MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550 spectra due to the binding capacity of the KH550. Similar observations were provided in the work from (Zhu et al. 2014).

The FESEM micrographs of the SiO$_2$, SiO$_2$-KH550, MFomax/SiO$_2$ and MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550 are shown in Fig. 9. From the figures, it can be seen that the mesoporous (amorphous) microstructural properties of both the pure NPs (Fig. 9a, b) transformed into non-mesoporous (c and d), indicating great impact of the MFomax surfactant to change the microstructural properties.

The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) conducted on the portion of the FESEM (Fig. 10) reveals the presence of elemental constituents in the NFs. The most important elements being considered in this study are the Si and C, for bonding capacity. It can be seen that the weight percent (wt%) of C was higher in the MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550 (20.8%) than in the MFomax/SiO$_2$ (15.8%); this was due to the additional C from the coupling agent (KH550). However, the Si element, on the contrary, was found to be higher in the MFomax/SiO$_2$ by about 54% despite high NPs loading in the MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550. This observation is believed to be due to the coating effect of the KH550 which reduces the incident beam of X-rays to excite the electrons of Si that could give many characteristic peaks on the emission spectrum.

The XRD results presented in Fig. 11 show that both the SiO$_2$ and SiO$_2$-KH550 exhibited characteristic amorphous nature due to the appearance of broad diffraction shoulders at around 22° theta, which corresponds to the characteristic nature of the amorphous SiO$_2$ NPs (Pham et al. 2018). However, after the interaction with the MFomax, the transformations of nature from amorphous to crystalline were observed in both the NFs due the appearance of the crystalline peaks in the NFs XRD spectra. But the transformation is more prominent in the MFomax/SiO$_2$-KH550 compared with the MFomax/SiO$_2$ NFs because of the binding effect of the KH550 coupling agent.

From the TGA results (Fig. 12), observation shows that MFomax material experiences higher weight loss (60% mass loss) than the NFs at around 100 °C which is due to the evaporation of water in the form of moisture and other smaller molecules. However, with the reinforcement of NPs onto the MFomax, there were significant thermal improvements more than 50% in each case; this shows that reinforcing the MFomax with the NPs could significantly improve its thermal decomposition resistance due to the thermophysical properties of the NPs.

**Coreflooding study; ∆p buildup assessment**

The coreflood system results are presented in Fig. 13. From the figure, it can be seen that until 6 PV, the ∆p buildup across the core was low (0.83 ± 0.3 psi); this was due to the water flood at an initial condition. However, after subsequent gas injection (1.1 PV), the ∆p had increased appreciably (∆p max., 4.39 psi) indicating the ability of gas to increase ∆p buildup. Since gas could not make sufficient bubbles in brine has caused ∆p to drop abruptly leading to early gas breakthrough. This represents the condition of free foam in the core similar to the case reported in the work of Sun et al. 2014. After subsequent fluids injection (MFomax and...
MFomax/SiO\textsubscript{2}-KH550) to create favorable environment to generate in situ foam, reintroduction of gas into these fluids caused significant $\Delta p$ buildup and high $\Delta p$ peak values were observed for both cases. The $\Delta p$ buildup increase suggests that in situ foams have been generated which immobilized the gas and caused diversion to other sections of the core. The foam can trigger effective pressure buildup better than that of water and gas flooding which is a prerequisite for enhancing oil recovery (Zhao et al. 2015). The main physical mechanism for foam to achieve pressure buildup is usually the influence of Jamin effect, which provides resistance to flow due to contact angle hysteresis of lamellae that bridge the pores. The other mechanism is through complete encapsulation of bubbles by liquid which can easily adapt to shapes at pore throats and block the gas flow (Sun et al. 2016). The average $\Delta p$ buildup due to the MFomax/SiO\textsubscript{2}-KH550 NF foam shows that NF foam built more $\Delta p$ having average value of 46 psi as against 25 psi from the precursor MFomax foam. This could be correlated owing to the improved viscosity due the presence of SiO\textsubscript{2}-KH550 NPs which enabled the generation of high-quality foam as well as provide a steric barrier to film rupture. Consequently, the $\Delta p$ buildup improvement due to the presence of the NPs is improved by about 83% relative to the precursor MFOmax foam. This finding is in accordance with the work in (Farhadi et al. 2016), where the authors reported that foam-containing NPs control gas mobility better than its precursor surfactant foam. The effects of NPs blend in surfactant recipes have also been reported in (Vatanparast et al. 2017; Hamza et al. 2017a, b; Farhadi et al. 2016; Soleimani et al. 2016).

![Fig. 9](image_url) Comparison of the FESEM micrograph between the pure NPs (a: pure SiO\textsubscript{2} and b: pure SiO\textsubscript{2}-KH550) and the NF (c: MFOmax/SiO\textsubscript{2} and d: MFOmax/SiO\textsubscript{2}-KH550)

![Fig. 10](image_url) The EDX spectroscopy showing the elemental composition in the NFs
The examination of the permeability impairment shows that the injection of the NF reduced the core’s permeability by a factor of 8% which is relatively low and will not affect the reservoir productivity.

**Conclusion**

The effects of functionalized and unfunctionalized SiO2 NPs loading on the viscosity of the MFomax surfactant have been demonstrated, and the regression multivariate viscosity prediction models show the synergy between the NPs and MFomax surfactant. Functionalized NPs can improve the MFomax viscosity better than its counterpart.

The high viscous NF surfactant generates quality foam due to high pressure buildup than the precursor MFomax surfactant. Thus, this study recommends the use of the functionalized NPs for efficient pressure buildup as a prerequisite for enhancing oil recovery.
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