Dia2 Controls Transcription by Mediating Assembly of the RSC Complex
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Abstract

Background: Dia2 is an F-box protein found in the budding yeast, S. cerevisiae. Together with Skp1 and Cul1, Dia2 forms the substrate-determining part of an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, otherwise known as the SCF. Dia2 has previously been implicated in the control of replication and genome stability via its interaction with the replisome progression complex.

Principal Findings: We identified components of the RSC chromatin remodelling complex as genetic interactors with Dia2, suggesting an additional role for Dia2 in the regulation of transcription. We show that Dia2 is involved in controlling assembly of the RSC complex. RSC belongs to a group of ATP-dependent nucleosome-remodelling complexes that controls the repositioning of nucleosomes. The RSC complex is expressed abundantly and its 17 subunits are recruited to chromatin in response to both transcription activation and repression. In the absence of Dia2, RSC-mediated transcription regulation was impaired, with concomitant abnormalities in nucleosome positioning.

Conclusions: Our findings imply that Dia2 is required for the correct assembly and function of the RSC complex. Dia2, by controlling the RSC chromatin remodeller, fine-tunes transcription by controlling nucleosome positioning during transcriptional activation and repression.

Introduction

Nucleosomes pose formidable barriers to transcription. Sophisticated chromatin remodellers have evolved to specifically coordinate and fine-tune the accessibility of DNA to the basic transcription machinery. The RSC complex is the most abundant of such chromatin remodellers in eukaryotes. Comprised of 17 subunits, the RSC complex is an ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling complex that has been demonstrated to slide or disassemble nucleosomes [1,2]. In addition to transcription, the RSC complex is also involved in many other aspects of chromatin metabolism such as the control of transcription. Whilst investigating the relationship between Dia2 and chromatin, we describe here a novel aspect of Dia2 function in transcription. This correlation is dependent on Dia2’s ability to modify assembly of the RSC complex, hence the ability to influence nucleosome distribution during transcription.

Results

Dia2 interacts genetically with the RSC complex

Dia2 interacts genetically with multiple chromatin modifiers [16,17]. Blake et al. in particular, demonstrated that Δdia2 was synthetically lethal with deletion in genes involved in maintaining chromatin structure such as Htz1, Hst4, Swr1, Sgf29 and Npt1. This opens the possibility that in addition to its role in replication [12,13,18], Dia2 may be involved in other aspects of chromatin metabolism such as the control of transcription. Whilst investigating proteins, which exhibit synthetic lethality with RSC complex, we noticed a strong genetic interaction between several subunits of the RSC complex and Dia2 (Fig. 1). Using an assay that made use of inducible degron mutants of RSC complex components [11]. Though the exact mechanism remains unclear, it is believed that Dia2 acts at stalled forks. Interestingly, the S phase damage checkpoint protects Dia2 from degradation [14,15].

In investigating the relationship between Dia2 and chromatin, we describe here a novel aspect of Dia2 function in transcription. This correlation is dependent on Dia2’s ability to modify assembly of the RSC complex, hence the ability to influence nucleosome distribution during transcription.
(see Figs 1A and 1B for experimental design), we found that deletion of \( \text{dia2} \) was synthetically lethal with \( \text{sth1}^{td} \), \( \text{rsc8}^{td} \) and \( \text{rsc4}^{td} \) subunits of the RSC complex upon induction of the Ubr1 ubiquitin ligase that targets the degron fusion proteins for destruction, at the semi-permissive temperature of 30 degrees (Fig. 1). Results of all other RSC subunits tested are shown in supplementary Fig. S1.

**Dia2 promotes RSC-mediated transcriptional regulation**

The RSC complex is involved in both transcription activation and repression [2,6]. We first examined transcriptional control at the histone gene locus \( \text{HTA1/HTB1} \) where the RSC complex has been shown to participate in repression of histone gene transcription [6]. Histone gene expression is restricted to S phase and is repressed by hydroxyurea, which inhibits DNA replication [19]. We found that \( \text{HTA1} \) expression in asynchronously growing cells was reduced in the \( \text{dia2} \) deletion mutant, \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) (Fig. 2A). In wild-type cells, hydroxyurea suppresses histone gene expression to 20% of the level observed during asynchronous growth. While at a somewhat lower levels to start with, reduction in response to HU was hardly observed. This suggests that Dia2 is required for both, full transcription of \( \text{HTA1/HTB1} \) in undisturbed conditions, as well as their transcriptional repression in response to HU. Because the RSC complex has not yet been shown to act in histone gene induction, and the inability to repress \( \text{HTA1} \) in response to HU might be masked by the defect in gene induction, we examined histone H3/H4 genes transcription. Histone H3 and H4 genes are expressed from two loci, \( \text{HHT1/HHF1} \) and \( \text{HHT2/HHF2} \) [20]. Both these loci have bidirectional promoters and are regulated in a similar fashion to the \( \text{HTA1/HTB1} \) locus in a cell cycle dependent manner [21]. Repression of histone H3 and H4 is RSC-dependent [6]. While \( \text{HHT1/HHF1} \) and \( \text{HHT2/HHF2} \) expression in exponentially growing cells was undisturbed in the \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) mutant, repression in response to HU was no longer observed (Fig. S2).

**Figure 1. Dia2 genetically interacts with the RSC complex.** 1A) Experimental design Each RSC degron strain harbours two inducible genes: a) One is a subunit of the RSC complex, fused to the N-end rule degron motif and driven by the \( \text{CUP1} \) promoter. Addition of copper sulfate to the media induces expression of the degron construct (denoted by the \( \text{td} \) suffix). b) The second is the N-end rule ubiquitin ligase, Ubr1, driven by the \( \text{GAL1} \) promoter. Addition of galactose causes Ubr1 expression and consequent ubiquitylation of the degron-tagged RSC subunit and causes its proteasome-dependent degradation. c) Degradation by this mechanism is optimal at 37°C and occurs at a reduced level at 30°C. Complete degradation of the RSC-degron subunits is not desired, as most RSC subunits are essential for cell survival. 1B) Genetic screen design Yeast strains harbouring degron-tagged RSC subunits were transformed with a \( \text{dia2} \) knock-out cassette and grown in either dextrose (degron-repressing) or galactose (degron-activating) media. In parallel, a control set of transformations was conducted in the same way, using an irrelevant knock-out cassette (\( \Delta \text{suc2} \) control). If there was synthetic lethality between the RSC degron mutant and \( \Delta \text{dia2} \), the number of viable colonies will be reduced in galactose but not in dextrose. 1C) Numerical analysis of the experiment described in 1B Synthetic lethality of \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) was observed with the \( \text{sth1}^{td} \), \( \text{rsc8}^{td} \) and \( \text{rsc4}^{td} \) mutants. The interaction is specific as viability was only reduced when \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) was transformed under degron-activating (‘Gal’/YPG) growth conditions. To normalise for the effects of carbon source on transformation efficiency, all colony counts were normalised against the value for the corresponding dextrose samples (relative viability = no. of colonies on YPG/ no. of colonies on YPD). Data presented represents three sets of technical replicates (\( n = 3 \)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021172.g001
Dia2 is required for regulation of transcription

Figure 2. Dia2 is required for regulation of transcription. 2A) Dia is required of basal expression and repression of histone H2A/H2B genes Total RNA was extracted from yeast cells grown in YPD either in the absence (asyn) or after addition of 200 mM of hydroxyurea (HU) for 40 minutes to induce repression. RNA was reverse transcribed and analysed by quantitative PCR. Samples were normalised against expression of the ACT1 gene. 2B) Efficient induction of GAL1 expression requires Dia2. Yeast cells grown exponentially in raffinose were induced for GAL1 expression by the addition of galactose. Samples were taken at various time intervals for RNA extraction followed by qPCR. Signals from triplicate samples were amplified using GAL1 primers and normalised against those obtained using ACT1 primers. Wild-type (WT) cells showed a rapid increase in GAL1 signal from 15 minutes. This peaked at around 60 minutes. In Δdia2 cells, GAL1 expression did not increase until 20 minutes, and the observed maximal level of induction was about half of that achieved in wild-type cells.

doap:10.1371/journal.pone.0021172.g002

Thus, Dia2 is required for regulation of the genes encoding all four core histones.

The Δdia2 mutant shows a delay in transiting through the S/G2 phases of the cell cycle due to accumulation of DNA damage [15]. This could impact indirectly on histone gene transcription. However, Rad53 phosphorylation is still induced by HU in the Δdia2 mutant [17]. Therefore, the inability of Δdia2 to suppress histone transcription in response to HU exposure suggests a checkpoint-independent role of Dia2 in transcriptional repression. To confirm a role of Dia2 in transcription, we examined the GAL1/GAL10 locus that is regulated independently of cell cycle progression and the DNA damage response.

The RSC complex has previously been demonstrated to be directly recruited to the bidirectional GAL1/GAL10 promoter and downstream open reading frames (ORFs) during gene induction [7,22,23]. In wild-type cells, the addition of galactose to cells growing in raffinose rapidly induced GAL1 transcription (Fig. 2B). This response was delayed and yielded only reduced GAL1 induction in the Δdia2 mutant, suggesting a role in transcriptional activation. Dia2 is therefore required for both effective transcriptional activation and repression of genes, which are known to require the RSC complex for regulation.

Dia2 is recruited to RSC-regulated promoter regions

To investigate whether Dia2 is directly involved in transcriptional regulation of RSC target genes, we carried out chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments. Genomically epitope-tagged Dia2 was recruited to the HTA1/HTB1 promoter. Recruitment occurred at equal levels in untreated and HU treated cells (Fig. 3A), consistent with both the reduced levels of expression in undisturbed conditions as well as defective repression we observed earlier in Δdia2 cells. These findings are consistent with the possibility that Dia2 is constitutively associated with the HTA1/HTB1 promoter.

We next tested Dia2 binding to the GAL1/GAL10 locus. Dia2 was specifically recruited to the GAL1 promoter during gene induction after galactose addition (Fig. 3B). We did not detect Dia2 at the GAL1 locus in cells grown in raffinose when transcription is not induced. This suggests that, at least at the GAL1 promoter, Dia2 is not a constitutive component. Rather, Dia2 is recruited to the promoter as part of the transcriptional induction process. Together, the results of our chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis demonstrate that Dia2 is present at promoters of genes whose regulation depends on Dia2.

Dia2 controls RSC complex assembly

Histone gene repression involves recruitment of the HIR nucleosome assembly complex, which in turn directs association of the RSC complex [22,23]. To investigate the mechanism by which Dia2 contributes to regulation of the locus, we examined recruitment of the Rsc8 subunit of the RSC complex to the HTA1/HTB1 locus, which has previously been characterized as downstream event of HIR recruitment [6]. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis revealed that Rsc8 association with the HTA1/HTB1 promoter was unaffected in the Δdia2 mutant (Fig. 4). This suggests that the upstream HIR complex pathway was intact and recruitment at least of the Rsc8 subunit of the RSC complex to chromatin did not require Dia2.

Because Rsc8 recruitment to chromatin was intact in the Δdia2 mutant, to further investigate the relationship between Dia2 and the RSC complex, we analysed RSC complex assembly in the Δdia2 strain. We performed tandem-affinity purification of the RSC complex using the TAP-tagged Sfh1 subunit as bait. In the wild-type strain, all members of the RSC complex were co-purified (Fig. 5A, B). We applied a comparative proteomics approach using nano-UPLC-MS/MS to identify the RSC subunits and to gain a semi-quantitative readout of the protein complex composition. We found that in the Δdia2 mutant, several members of the RSC complex were less abundant or missing (Fig. 5B). These included Htl1, Rrt102 and Rsc3 (Fig. 5C, Table 1). This was not because of inefficient Sfh1 immunoprecipitation in the Δdia2 mutant (Fig. 5A, Fig. S4). These results suggest that Dia2 is required for assembly of the full RSC complex, containing all of its known subunits.

Given that Dia2 is part of an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, we postulated that its effect on the RSC complex might be dependent on its ubiquitylating properties. Our mass spectrometric data contained evidence that subunits from the RSC complex were ubiquitylated (data not shown). We surmised that if Dia2-mediated
ubiquitylation of RSC subunits had a direct impact on RSC complex assembly, the RSC ubiquitylation pattern would be altered in the Δdia2 mutant. To test this, we immunoprecipitated the RSC complex from wild-type or the Δdia2 mutant strain using TAP-tagged Sh1 and performed western blotting using an anti-ubiquitin antibody (Fig. 5D). Sh1 was adequately expressed and immunoprecipitated in both wild-type and Δdia2 samples. No obvious difference in the pattern of ubiquitylation was observed between wild-type and the Δdia2 sample. This suggests that ubiquitin ligases in addition to Dia2 are targeting the RSC complex. Dia2 might also promote ubiquitylation of RSC complex components, but the ubiquitylation events may have been masked by those other ubiquitin ligases in our Western blot analysis. Alternatively, we cannot exclude that RSC complex assembly is controlled by Dia2 via ubiquitylation of proteins different from RSC subunits, or in a manner independent of SCFΔdia2 ubiquitin ligase activity.

If transcriptional defects in the absence of Dia2 are indeed due to partial RSC complex assembly, then equivalent RSC complex mutants should display similar defects in gene regulation. The RSC complex in the Δdia2 strain lacked the two non-essential Htl1 and Rtt102 subunits (Rsc3 was an essential subunit). We therefore examined transcription of the GAL1 gene in Δhtl1 and Δrtt102 mutants (Fig. 6). Both Δhtl1 and Δrtt102 were impaired in their ability to induce GAL1 at 25 minutes. The magnitude of the defect was reminiscent of the Δdia2 mutant defect, which is consistent with the possibility that Dia2 acts in transcriptional regulation by promoting RSC complex assembly.

**Dia2 is required for correct nucleosomal patterning**

If the abnormal composition of the RSC complex in the absence of Dia2 had functional significance, given the known function of the RSC complex as a chromatin remodeler, the observed transcriptional defects in the Δdia2 mutant could be due to a disturbance in nucleosome positioning. To address this, we mapped nucleosome positions using an assay based on protection from micrococcal nuclease by nucleosomes [24,25] at the bi-directional GAL1/GAL10 promoter (Fig. 7A). When cells were induced with galactose, the GAL1 upstream promoter nucleosome (marked Nuc 0, Fig. 7B) was evicted in the wild-type strain as expected [25]. Eviction of this nucleosome was markedly reduced in the Δdia2 mutant. This was in keeping with, and could explain, the observed transcriptional defect (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, nucleosome boundaries were less sharply defined in the Δdia2 mutant along the GAL1 open reading frame (+2 and +3 nucleosomes) under both uninduced (raffinose) and induced (galactose) conditions. Furthermore, the reduction in nucleosome occupancy at these positions observed in wild-type cells in response to GAL1 induction was no longer detectable in the absence of Dia2. It has recently been reported [7] that RSC mutants showed alterations to a nucleosome hypersensitive area (HS) flanking the UASg site (Fig. 7A). In our analysis, we did not observe changes to the nucleosome occupancy around UASg in the Δdia2 mutant. This could be due to the fact that a RSC core complex, comprising its essential subunits, was assembled independently of Dia2, so that the Δdia2 mutant may cause a distinct defect due to the missing RSC sub-module.
We demonstrated that Dia2 was recruited to transcription units and transcriptional repression at the respective loci. We initially examined HU-mediated repression at histone gene loci. We found that Dia2 was required for repression of histone genes (Fig. 2A and Fig. S2). Because of the known role of RSC in histone gene repression we suggest that the failure of repression in the \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) mutant was due to the defects in RSC complex that we have identified. In addition, basal expression levels at the \( \text{HTA1}/\text{HTB1} \) locus, but not at the \( \text{HHT1}/\text{HHFI} \) and \( \text{HHT2}/\text{HHF2} \) loci, depended on Dia2. We do not currently know the reason for this difference. It could be due to a RSC requirement for active \( \text{HTA1}/\text{HTB1} \) transcription that is not shared at the \( \text{HHT1}/\text{HHFI} \) and \( \text{HHT2}/\text{HHF2} \) loci where another chromatin remodelling complex might be able to take on a role in activation of gene expression. Alternatively, there might be an unknown Dia2-dependent substrate that is required to transcribe the \( \text{H2AH2B} \) but not the H3H4 genes (hence the induction defect was only seen at \( \text{HTA1} \) and \( \text{HTA2} \)). Further experiments are required to investigate these possibilities.

Given the requirement for Dia2 in maintaining replicative fidelity and that the Rad53 checkpoint is active in \( \text{Dia2} \) cells [17], it is difficult to entirely disentangle transcriptional effects from the known replicative defects. We therefore also examined the \( \text{GAL1}/\text{GAL10} \) locus, which is known to come under control of the RSC complex, but whose regulation is cell cycle independent. We observed a delay and an overall inefficiency during gene induction in \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) cells. The RSC complex is known to bind the \( \text{GAL1}/\text{GAL10} \) promoter [7,22]. Using a temperature-sensitive RSC mutant, \( \text{rsc3-1ts} \), it has been previously suggested that RSC is required for efficient \( \text{GAL1}/\text{GAL10} \) gene induction only when cells were shifted from a repressive condition (glucose) but not from non-induced conditions (raffinose) to galactose [7]. In \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) cells, however, we observed a delay in \( \text{GAL1} \) induction when we added galactose to cultures grown in raffinose. Rsc3 constitutes one of RSC’s DNA binding subunits. Although we observed a reduction in the amount of Rsc3 present in the RSC complex (Fig. 5), it was not absent and recruitment of the core RSC subunits to chromatin was largely independent of Dia2. Therefore, the defect observed in the absence of Dia2 is likely due to the qualitative difference in RSC complex composition rather than its quantitative absence. This may account for the differences between published studies using mutations in essential RSC core components and our observations using \( \Delta \text{dia2} \) cells. In addition, we cannot exclude that Dia2 targets chromatin remodelers or transcriptional regulators in addition to the RSC complex.

Dia2 forms part of the SCF\( ^{\text{Dia2}} \) complex but its substrates have proven elusive. Work on replication [10] has identified Mrc1 as a candidate substrate responsible for the role of Dia2 in replication. In the fission yeast \( S. \text{pombe} \), deletion of the Dia2 homologue, PoF3, demonstrates a variety of functions, which closely resemble those of Dia2 including accumulation of DNA damage and checkpoint activation [27,28]. Recently, PoF3 has been shown to control histone gene transcription by degradation of a \( \text{pombe} \)-specific histone gene transcription activator Ams2 [29,30]. While the evolutionary conservation of Ams2 is uncertain, it is intriguing that Dia2 in \( S. \text{cerevisiae} \) is similarly involved in controlling histone gene transcription. The ubiquitylation target of Dia2 responsible for RSC complex assembly is as yet unknown. Our mass spectrometric data is consistent with the possibility that RSC subunits themselves are targeted by Dia2. Confirmation of this possibility, and the question of how ubiquitylation aids RSC complex assembly, will be interesting topics for further investigations.

Our biochemical analysis demonstrated that Dia2 is required specifically for association of a sub-module of the RSC complex, including Hdt1, Rtt102 and partially Rsc3, with the core chromatin remodelling complex. Most likely as a consequence, defects in nucleosome remodelling were observed at two model...
loci investigated. Other reported functions of the RSC complex at these loci remained intact. This opens the possibility that sub-modules within the RSC complex convey specific functions of the complex in the remodelling of nucleosomes during transcriptional activation and repression. Given that SCF Dia2 participates in controlling genome stability at several levels, it will be interesting to investigate in how far this is related to its role in controlling RSC assembly, as also the RSC complex participates in mediating DNA repair and chromosome stability in several ways [11,31]. Further work is required to investigate whether SCF Dia2 also controls assembly of other chromatin remodellers.

Materials and Methods

Yeast Strains

*S. cerevisiae* strains used are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental data. Standard protocols were used for yeast culture and propagation [32]. The Dia2-HA construct was created using a PCR-based gene targeting method [33].

Genetic interaction with RSC complex

RSC degron strains were constructed and provided by the Logie lab [11]. Briefly, wild-type or the degron-containing strains were transformed with Δsu2 (control strain) or Δdia2. Transformants were split onto plates containing either YPD (2% dextrose), in which the degron remains inactive, and YPG (2% galactose), in which the degron is activated by virtue of induced Ubr1 expression from *pGAL1*. G418 (for selection of knockout transformants) and 0.1 mM copper sulphate (to drive expression of the degron tagged RSC subunit) were also included in all media used. Plates were then incubated for 2 days at 30°C to allow colonies to develop. Colony counts were taken as a measure of viability following each transformation. Relative viability = No. of colonies on test sample on YPG / No. colonies on YPD in the respective backgrounds. In galactose, where the degron was active, relative viability denoted the effect of growth inhibition in combination with the Δdia2 background. The control Δsu2 did not result in reduced relative viability demonstrating that degron activation alone could not account for the results observed in the Δdia2 background.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

Yeast cells were harvested at O.D.₆₀₀ = 1.0 and treated with 1% formaldehyde for 30 minutes at room temperature for crosslinking. Cross-linking was halted by addition of 125 mM glycine for 5 minutes. Lysate preparation and immunoprecipitation of HA-tagged proteins was carried out in accordance with Ren *et al.* [34] using the 12CA5 anti-HA monoclonal antibody. Lysate preparation

---

**Figure 5. Dia2 is required for proper assembly of the RSC complex.** Tandem affinity purification (TAP) and subsequent analysis by tandem mass spectrometry was performed using an Sfh1-TAP expression strain in both the wild-type and Δdia2 backgrounds. 5A) Sfh1 pull-down of the RSC complex (TAP) and subsequent analysis by tandem mass spectrometry was performed using an Sfh1-TAP expression strain in both the wild-type and Δdia2 backgrounds. 5A) Sfh1 pull-down of the RSC complex. Silver stained gel showing proteins purified following TAP purification in the wild-type and Δdia2 strains (Δdia2 showed quantitative differences in immunoprecipitated proteins compared to wildtype). 5B) RSC complex purified from the Δdia2 mutant lacked subunits Semi-quantitative plot of differential abundance of protein complex components obtained by evaluating their exponentially modified protein abundance index (EmPAI) following analysis with tandem mass spectrometry. Error bars represent standard error of the mean from two biological replicates. 5D) Pattern of ubiquitylation did not differ between wild-type and Δdia2 RSC complexes Western blotting of Sfh1 immunopurified RSC complexes using an anti-ubiquitin antibody. 

Multiple bands potentially representing ubiquitylated subunits of the RSC complex are present equally in wild-type and Δdia2 samples. 

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021172.g005
and immunoprecipitation of TAP-tagged proteins was carried out in accordance with standard protocols [35]. Eluants after crosslink reversal were analysed by qPCR using a Biorad MiniOpticon system. PCR oligo sequences are available upon request.

**RNA Extraction and Analysis**

Total RNA was extracted using the ‘RNeasy’ kit (Qiagen) and the corresponding RNase-free DNase (Qiagen). Equal amounts of total RNA were used as template for RT-qPCR to quantify *GAL1*, *HTA1*, *HTA2*, *HHF1* or *HHF2* expression, using primers specific to these transcripts. Primers specific to the *ACT1* or *TCM1* transcripts were used for normalization. Expression levels relative to the control were obtained using the following formula:

\[ y = \frac{2^{2\Delta C(t)/2\Delta C(\text{control})} \times 100}{\Delta C(t)} \]

**Tandem Affinity Purification and Analysis by Tandem Mass Spectrometry**

Tandem affinity purification of TAP-tagged proteins, Sfh1 and Htl1, was carried out as described in Puig et al. [36]. Eluates were prepared for tandem mass spectrometry using methanol/chloroform precipitation and in-solution trypsin digestion as described previously [37,38]. In brief, proteins were desalted and concentrated by methanol/chloroform precipitation, resuspended in 6 M urea/100 mM Tris pH 7.8, reduced using 20 mM dithiothreitol, alkylated using 20 mM iodoacetamide, reduced again with excess dithiothreitol to neutralize unreacted iodoacetamide, diluted five-fold with 100 mM Tris pH 7.8, digested with trypsin (Promega) and purified using Sep-Pak C18 columns (Waters). Samples were concentrated in vacuo, resuspended in 2% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid and stored at −20°C until analysis. Analysis by tandem mass spectrometry was conducted using a nano-Acquity-QToF tandem mass spectrometer (nano-UPLC-MS, Waters) essentially as reported previously [39]. MS/MS spectra were searched against the Swissprot database using Mascot. Semiquantitative information about differential abundance of protein complex components was obtained by evaluating their exponentially modified protein abundance index (EmPAI) as described previously [40].

**Immunoblotting Assays**

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and fixed overnight in 50% methanol for silver staining. For western blotting, SDS-
**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 Dia2 shows specific genetic interaction with some but not all RSC subunits. Dataset for all rsc^del subunits tested in combination with Δdia2 or the control KO cassette (Δsu2) that has been demonstrated by the Logie group to not interact genetically with the RSC complex. Relative viability is shown during degron-inducing (dextrose) conditions. The rsc^del mutation conferred reduced viability relative to wild-type in both the Δdia2 and the control strain under inducing conditions. As such, it was impossible to deduce whether the potential interaction observed was genuine.

Figure S2 Transcription of histone genes in the Δdia2 mutant. Total RNA was extracted from yeast cells grown in YPD either in the absence (asyn) or after addition of 200 mM of hydroxyurea (HU) for 40 minutes to induce repression. RNA was reverse transcribed and analysed by quantitative PCR. Samples were normalised against expression of the ACT1 gene. Gene expression at the HTA1, HTA2 (representative of transcription of the histone H2A and H2B genes), HHF1 and HHF2 (representative of transcription of the histone H3 and H4 genes) loci were shown.

Figure S3 Dia2 is required for correct nucleosome positioning at the HTA1/HTB1 promoter. S3A) Diagrammatic representation of predicted nucleosome and primer locations on the HTA1/HTB1 promoter. S3B) Nucleosome mapping by micrococcal nuclease protection assays in wild-type or Δdia2 cells grown in YPD (‘asyn’: triangles) or in the presence of 200 mM hydroxyurea (HU; circles). Error bars represent standard error of the mean from three biological replicates. Statistical significance is <0.0001 when comparing entire data sets-determined by 2-way ANOVA. During hydroxyurea-mediated repression, there is an expected increase in nucleosome deposition (top panel). This is particularly evident between primer positions 12–18 in the wild-type strain. In the Δdia2 strain however, nucleosome boundaries were generally less sharply defined (broader peaks, bottom panel). There is also a lack of protection to micrococcal nuclease digestion during repression suggestive of more open chromatin structure and less nucleosome deposition.

Figure S4 Htl1 is expressed in the Δdia2 mutant though it no longer associates with the RSC complex. Tandem affinity
purification was performed using TAP-tagged Htl1 in the wild-type (WT) or the Δdia2 backgrounds. Left panel: Anti-caldesmon-binding protein (Anti-CBP) blot to indicate that immunoprecipitation was equally efficient in both samples and that Htl1 is adequately expressed in the absence of Dia2. Right panel: Western blotting using an anti-ubiquitin antibody (Anti-Ubc). Multiple ubiquitylated proteins were observed in the wild-type sample and some of these are absent in Δdia2 cells, indicated by *. This reflected that the RSC complex was not efficiently pulled down in Δdia2 cells.

Table S1 List of yeast strains employed in this study.
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