The determination of the supportive care needs of patients diagnosed with cancer*
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SUMMARY

Objective: This study was conducted to determine the supportive care needs of individuals diagnosed with cancer.
Method: The descriptive study included 39 patients who were hospitalized in the 14-bed oncology clinic of a state hospital between May and July 2019 and agreed to participate in the study. The study data were obtained by face to face interview technique using the “Personel Information Form” and “James Supportive Care Screening”.
Results: It was found that 30.7% of the patients were diagnosed with GIS cancers, 51.2% were in the 4th stage of the disease, 12.8% had additional physical or mental disorders as well as cancer. 43.6% of the patients stated that the most distressing conditions for them were “the concern about whether there will be improvement” and “the possibility of recurrence of the disease”. The mean score of supportive care needs of the patients was detected as 74. It was determined that the patients with the diagnosis of mental disorder had more social/practical problems and cognitive concerns, the total scale score and healthcare decision-making/communication subscale scores were higher, and the patients with the highest score in the spiritual concerns subscale were those in the 60-80 age group.
Conclusions: The supportive care needs of the patients with oncologic diagnosis are focused on lack of information, physical and emotional needs, and the patients experience distress most about the prognosis of the disease. In addition to the diagnosis of cancer, having mental health problems increases the supportive care needs of the patients. It is important for nurses to be aware of the supportive care needs of the patients diagnosed with cancer and to apply individual-specific and patient-centred care approach in terms of meeting the needs of the patients.
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ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışma kanser tanısı almış bireylerin destekleyici bakım gereksinimlerini belirlemek amacıyla yapıldı.
Yöntem: Tanımlayıcı özellikleri araştırılamaya, Mayıs-Temmuz 2019 tarihleri arasında, bir devlet hastanesinin 14 yataklı onkoloji kliniğinde yatmakta olan ve araştırmaya katılmaya kabul eden 39 hasta alındı. Araştırıma verileri “Hasta Tanıtım Formu” ve “James Destekleyici bakım Gereksinimlerini Belirleme Ölçeği” kullanılarak, yüz yüze görüşme tekniği ile elde edildi.
Bulgular: Hastaların % 30.7’inde GİS kanserleri tanısın olduğu, %51.2’sinin hastalığı 4. evresinde olduğu, %12.8’inin kanser tanışı yanı sıra ek bir fizyolojik ya da ruhsal hastalığı sahip olduğu saptandı. Hastaların %43.5’i kendilerinde en fazla distres/sikinti yaratran durumun “iyileşme olup olmayacağı endişesi” ve “hastalığın tekrarlanma olasılığı” olduğunu ifade etti. Hastaların destekleyici bakım gereksinimini ölçmek için kullanılan ölçek puanının daha yüksek olduğu, manevi-dini konularda ilgili alt boyutta en yüksek puanı sahip hastaların 60-80 yaş grubundaki hastalar olduğu belirlendi.

Sonuç: Onkolojik tanı yaşadıkları hastaların destekleyici bakım gereksinimleri bilgi eksikliği, fizyolojik ve duygusal gereksinimlerin farklı olması ve bu gereksinimlerin neden olduğunu, hastaların en fazla hastalığın prognozu hakkında distres yaşamaktadır. Kanser tanısı ek olarak ruhsal sağlık sorununa sahip olmak, hastaların destekleyici bakım gereksinimlerini arttırma eğilimindedir. Hemşirelerin, kanser tanışı olan hastaların destekleyici bakım gereksinimlerini farkında olması, bireye özgü ve hasta merkezi bakım anlayışını uygulamaları hasta gereksinimlerinin karşılanması açısından önemlidir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Bakım, hemşirelik, destekleyici bakım, destekleyici bakım gereksinimi, kanser

INTRODUCTION

Individuals diagnosed with cancer face many difficulties in psychological, physical, social and spiritual areas starting from the moment they are diagnosed with the disease. Pain, difficulties in performing daily life activities, lack of information, economic difficulties, change in family relations, deterioration in spiritual processes and changes in sexual life constitute an important part of the problems related to cancer diagnosis and affect the patient negatively throughout the process. In addition to causing a negative impact on the patient's quality of life, these problems experienced by the patients diagnosed with cancer also make it difficult for the patient to continue his/her life, can seriously prevent the continuation of the treatment, make it difficult to comply with the treatment and consequently affect the survival negatively.

Diagnosis of cancer causes serious tension, anxiety and fear in both individuals and their family members. The process that begins with the diagnosis does not end even if the cancer treatment is successful, and cancer patients experience emotional distress due to the side effects of the treatment or the possibility of recurrence of the disease. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) considered the concept of "distress" as a less stigmatizing definition of hardship experienced by cancer patients, and the concept is used to express feelings and emotions that prevent an individual's ability to cope with cancer and that create a negative response in the individual, their physical symptoms and the way they are handled. Distress is a multidimensional concept and the supportive care needs to prevent distress differ and vary depending on the individual. This diversity may be associated with the treatment of psychological and psychosocial conditions such as coping with the physical effects of cancer, anxiety, depression, ineffective coping with stress, feelings of isolation and spiritual distress. The need for a patient-centred and comprehensive nursing care of the cancer-diagnosed individual and his/her family also increases significantly within the framework of the patient's supportive care needs.

When there is a mismatch between the perceived needs of cancer patients and the suitability of the service provided, unmet needs arise. Although the identification and treatment of distress is critical in coping situation of the patient, healthcare professionals may fail to identify the patients experiencing distress. It is stated that nurses who work with cancer patients generally provide limited psychosocial care to the patients who express their distress and that the psychosocial needs of most patients are not met. There are study results showing that unmet needs of the patients have a negative impact on the patients’ well-being, welfare and coping with stress, reduce their compliance with the treatment, contribute to physical and psychological morbidity and distress, increase disability, reduce chances of survival, and impose financial burden on the national health system.

Patient-centred care is considered as an indicator of the provision of quality health care to the patients diagnosed with cancer. Supportive care is seen as an approach that contributes to patient-centred care model. Supportive care can be defined as comprehensive patient-oriented care that helps the individual diagnosed with cancer and his/her family to cope with the diagnosis and treatment of cancer and that should be provided in all processes from prediagnosis to definitive diagnosis and treatment process, recovery or terminal period and death. Some measures such as learning the
treatment and care plan, meeting with health care professionals, access to evidence-based information, providing assistance with situations such as emotion-oriented support and transport, home activities, procurement of supports and prostheses throughout the cancer experience are considered as part of the supportive care needs [2,16,19,20].

The care provided to the patients diagnosed with cancer should not be limited to the provision of anti-cancer treatment, but also nurses working in oncology services should be able to meet the supportive care needs of the patients 20. Planning and implementing patient-specific care in accordance with the identified needs will reduce the patient’s distress, poor quality of life, dissatisfaction with care, and prevent the increase in healthcare use and cost 12,20. Identifying the supportive care needs of the patients is stated to be able to provide impetus for redesigning services and developing resources for people with cancer 16,21,22. It is the responsibility of nursing services to identify and manage the supportive care needs in the care of cancer patients 11,23. There are a limited number of studies conducted in our country to identify these needs. In this study, it was aimed to determine the supportive care needs of the patients diagnosed with cancer and some related factors. It is thought that the data obtained will contribute to identify the problems and distress experienced by cancer patients with a holistic perspective and to raise awareness about supportive care needs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study included 39 adult patients hospitalized in the oncology clinic with a capacity of 14 beds in a state hospital between May and July 2019 and volunteered to participate in the study. The number of patients who accepted to participate in the study constituted 75% (39/52) of the total 52 patients who were hospitalized within the specified date range. Ethics committee approval was obtained for the study and written permission was obtained from the Provincial Health Directorate to which the hospital where the research would be conducted was affiliated. Permission was obtained from the author via e-mail for the James Supportive Care Screening used in the study. The purpose of the study was explained to all patients by the researcher and informed consent was obtained from the patients who agreed to participate in the study. The study data were collected with the Personel Information Form and James Supportive Care Screening. The participants were asked to answer the questions in the data collection forms completely and the forms were applied by face to face interview method. The data obtained from the study were recorded and analysed using SPSS 22.0 program. Since parametric test assumptions were fulfilled in the evaluation of the data, the significance test was used for the difference between two means in independent groups when comparing the measurements obtained with respect to one variable obtained by measurement; variance analysis was used when comparing the measurements obtained from more than two independent groups with respect to one variable obtained by measurement, and the error level was taken as p<0.05.

Personel Information Form: This form prepared by the researchers by examining the related literature includes questions that assess the socio-demographic characteristics of the patients (age, gender, marital status, educational status, occupation, income level, the state of having child, living with whom), some basic characteristics related to their diseases (diagnosis, disease stage, presence of additional physical and mental disorders) and the most distressing conditions.

James Supportive Care Screening: The James Supportive Care Screening is a scale that can be used in every stage and treatment of cancer with its 6 subscales, developed by Wells-Di Gregorio et al. (2013) in order to determine the distress experienced by individuals diagnosed with cancer in the last week and in which area support is needed 17. The subscales of the scale are as follows: emotional concerns, spiritual concerns, healthcare decision-making/communication, social/practical problems, cognitive concerns, physical symptoms. The scale is scored as none (0), mild (1), moderate (2) and severe (3). Scale questions can be evaluated by scoring one by one or over the total score. The highest total score that can be obtained from the scale is 144 and the lowest score is 0 (zero). The total scale score and the score of the subscales reflect the level of supportive care needs. As the total score increases in the James Supportive Care Screening, the level of supportive care needs increases. In our country, the validity and reliability studies of the scale were conducted by Demirkol and Çam in 2016, and the Cronbach's alpha value was set as 0.9124. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated as 0.93 in our study.
RESULTS

It was found that 56.4% of the patients participated in the study were male, 53.8% were between the ages of 60-80, 64.1% were literate or primary school graduates, 82.0% were married, 43.5% were not working, 76.9% stated that their income and expense status are equivalent, 82.0% had child and lived with their families. It was determined that 30.7% of the patients were diagnosed with gastrointestinal system cancers (colorectal, pancreas, stomach, liver), 51.2% were in stage IV, and the rates of not having any physical or mental disorders other than cancer were 87.1%.

Of the patients who responded to questions aimed at identifying the concerns and problems experienced by cancer patients, 48.7% stated that they were concerned about their nutrition/diet, 30.7% stated that they were worried about how their family and friends would cope with this diagnosis, and 28.2% stated that they had difficulty in speaking/swallowing. 33.3% of the patients stated that they wanted to get information about nutrition and diet, 23.0% about how their family and friends would cope with this diagnosis, and 12.8% about difficulty in speaking and/or swallowing.

The expressions of the patients who participated in the study regarding the conditions that create the most emotional distress are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Distressing conditions in the patients

| Expressions | n | % |
|-------------|---|---|
| The fear of death | 4 | 10.3 |
| Longing for family members | 9 | 23.0 |
| Discomfort caused by interventional procedures (pain, hurt etc.) | 4 | 10.3 |
| Worry-uncertainty about whether the disease process will improve or repeat | 17 | 43.5 |
| Others * | 5 | 12.9 |

* Worrying about burdening others, Failure to worship

The patients expressed the state of concern-uncertainty about whether the disease process would improve or that the disease would recur (43.5%) and longing for family members due to hospitalization (23.0%) as the most distressing conditions for themselves.

The mean scores of the James Supportive Care Screening of the patients who participated in our study are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Mean Scores of the James Supportive Care Screening

| Supportive Care Needs Scale Sub-Dimensions | Scale Scores |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                           | Min - Max   | Median | S.d.  |
| Emotional Needs                           | 0 - 42      | 29     | 12.94 |
| Spiritual Needs                           | 0 - 12      | 8      | 4.82  |
| Healthcare Decision-Making/Communication Needs | 0-12      | 4      | 4.22  |
| Social/Practical Needs                    | 0-18        | 5      | 8.17  |
| Cognitive Needs                           | 0-9         | 3      | 3.38  |
| Physical Needs                            | 0-51        | 29     | 13.72 |
| The Total Score                           | 0-145       | 74     | 35.66 |
It was found that the total score of supportive care needs of the patients included in the study was 74 ± 35.66, and the mean scores of healthcare decision-making/communication and social/practical problems subscales were lower compared to other subscales.

The mean scores of the James Supportive Care Screening of the patients participating in the study were evaluated according to some socio-demographic and disease characteristics, and the results are given in Table 3.

In our study, it was determined that the total scores of supportive care needs of young patients were higher than other age groups, but there was no significant difference between the groups. It was observed that the health-related needs of female patients who participated in the study were higher than male patients and the difference was statistically significant (p=0.036). There was no significant difference in the total scores of supportive care needs of the patients according to the characteristics such as marital status, education level, occupation, income level and having child. Supportive care needs were found to be higher in the patients with other physical health problems accompanying the diagnosis of cancer, but the difference between the groups was not significant.

It was determined that the patients with mental health problems accompanying the diagnosis of cancer had more supportive care needs and the difference between the groups was significant (p=0.044).

When the patients' scores obtained from the subscales were evaluated, it was observed that the scores of university-level educated patients were higher in the emotional concerns subscale, the scores of the patients between the ages of 60-80 were higher in the spiritual concerns subscale, the scores of the patients stating that they had mental health problems accompanying cancer were higher in the healthcare decision-making/communication subscale, the scores of female patients were higher in the social/practical problems subscale, the scores of the patients stating that they were living alone were higher in the cognitive concerns subscale, the scores of the patients stating that they had mental health problems accompanying cancer were higher in the physical symptoms subscale; supportive care needs of these patients were higher, but this difference was not statistically significant.
Table 3. Comparison of Supportive Care Needs According to Some Characteristics of Patients

|                  | n  | Supportive Care Needs Total Scores | Emotional Needs Score | Spiritual Needs Score | Health Needs Score | Social Needs Score | Cognitive Needs Score | Physical Needs Score |
|------------------|----|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                  |    | X ± S.d.                           | X ± S.d.              | X ± S.d.             | X ± S.d.          | X ± S.d.            | X ± S.d.              | X ± S.d.              |
| **Age**          |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |
| 18-38            | 5  | 82                                 | 43.77                 | 28.2                 | 14.77             | 8.8                 | 2.28                  | 4.54                 | 7.6                  | 5.17                | 4.2                 | 3.7                 | 27                   | 16.92                |
| 39-59            | 13 | 77.3                               | 35.23                 | 25.23                | 10.19             | 7.53                | 4.19                  | 5                    | 4                    | 7.15                | 6.09                | 4.46                | 3.28                 | 27.92                | 15.4                 |
| 60-80            | 21 | 75.71                              | 35.79                 | 24.85                | 14.49             | 10.19               | 5.46                  | 4.22                 | 6.14                 | 9.92                | 3.76                | 3.52                | 27.47                | 12.57                |
|                  |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| **Sex**          |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| Female           | 17 | 84.88                             | 31.9                  | 29.41                | 10.48             | 8.47                | 2.71                  | 5.82                 | 4.12                 | 9.17                | 10.36               | 4.05                | 3.03                 | 27.94                | 12.72                |
| Male             | 22 | 71                                 | 37.92                 | 22.31                | 14.01             | 9.63                | 5.99                  | 3.95                 | 4.72                 | 5.47                | 4.04                | 3.7                 | 27.27                | 14.74                |
|                  |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| **Marital status**|   |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| Married          | 32 | 78.5                               | 36.5                  | 25.71                | 12.89             | 9.25                | 5.09                  | 4.53                 | 4.05                 | 7.28                | 8.64                | 3.96                | 3.2                  | 27.75                | 14.08                |
| Single           | 7  | 70.42                              | 33.58                 | 24                   | 14.14             | 8.57                | 3.64                  | 2.85                 | 5.01                 | 3.85                | 5.08                | 4.42                | 4.39                 | 26.71                | 12.94                |
|                  |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| **Education status** | |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| Literate/ Primary School | 25 | 72.84                             | 35.32                 | 24.28                | 12.59             | 9.44                | 5.73                  | 3.84                 | 4.2                  | 6.4                 | 9.26                | 3.88                | 3.43                 | 25                   | 13.26                |
| Middle- high school | 10 | 81                                 | 42.68                 | 25                   | 15.52             | 8.8                 | 2.97                  | 5                    | 4.92                 | 7.3                 | 6.73                | 4.2                 | 3.91                 | 30.7                 | 15.46                |
| University       | 4  | 93.5                               | 10.24                 | 33.5                 | 5.8               | 8                   | 1.63                  | 4.75                 | 2.87                 | 6.75                | 4.57                | 4.75                | 2.06                 | 35.75                | 9.17                 |
|                  |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
|                  |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
|                  |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
|                  |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| **Job**          |    |                                    |                       |                      |                   |                     |                       |                      |                      |                     |                     |                     |                      |                       |                      |
| Retired          | 11 | 62.09                              | 34.66                 | 19.18                | 15.28             | 8.72                | 3.58                  | 2.18                 | 3.54                 | 3.45                | 5.73                | 2.63                | 3.32                 | 25.9                 | 15.68                |
| Officer | 6  | 86.5 | 15.13 | 28.66 | 9.43 | 8  | 1.09 | 5  | 2.96 | 7.66 | 4.67 | 5  | 3.4  | 32.16 | 9.36 |
|---------|----|------|-------|-------|------|----|------|----|------|------|------|----|------|-------|------|
| Worker  | 5  | 69.6 | 46.2  | 25.4  | 13.86| 10 | 12.18| 2.8 | 3.89 | 4.4  | 3.04 | 4  | 3.53 | 23    | 15.01|
| Not working | 17 | 85.58| 37.18 | 28.29 | 11.69| 9.52| 2.98 | 5.7 | 4.67 | 9.05 | 10.66| 4.64| 3.39 | 28.35 | 13.89|

| Income rate |
|-------------|
| Income less | 6  | 94.5 | 37.27 | 30.5  | 12.5 | 9.5 | 3.2  | 5.16 | 4.66 | 12.16| 15.74| 4  | 2.09 | 33.16 | 10.06|
| Income equivalent | 30 | 76.5 | 34.43 | 25.45 | 12.03| 9.1 | 5.3  | 4.43 | 4.21 | 6.2  | 5.83 | 4.3 | 3.61 | 27    | 14.09|
| Income surplus | 3  | 47.66| 36    | 14.66 | 21.07| 8.66| 3.05 | 0.33 | 0.57 | 0.33 | 0.57 | 1.66| 2.88 | 22    | 17.32|

| Having a child |
|---------------|
| Yes | 32 | 78.5 | 35.41 | 25.71 | 13.32| 9.25| 4.89 | 4.53 | 4.17 | 7.28 | 8.67 | 3.96| 3.33 | 27.75 | 13.51|
| No  | 7  | 70.42| 42.02 | 24    | 12.97| 8.57| 4.18 | 2.85 | 4.8  | 3.85 | 5.49 | 4.42| 3.55 | 26.71 | 16.57|

| Living with whom |
|-------------------|
| Single | 2  | 92   | 36.76 | 31    | 5.65 | 12  | 0    | 5.5  | 7.77 | 7.5  | 9.19 | 6  | 4.24 | 30    | 18.38|
| With family | 37 | 76.24| 35.94 | 25.1  | 13.19| 8.97| 4.91 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 6.62 | 8.25 | 3.94| 3.37 | 27.43 | 13.75|

| Presence of physical illness |
|-------------------------------|
| Yes  | 5  | 81.8 | 17.18 | 29.4  | 3.2  | 7.8 | 3.19 | 2.8  | 3.89 | 3.4  | 5.07 | 5  | 4.06 | 33.4  | 11.41|
| No   | 34 | 77.84| 37.3  | 25.12 | 13.84| 9.24| 5.08 | 4.57 | 4.27 | 7.36 | 8.51 | 4.03| 3.3  | 27.51 | 13.35|

| Presence of mental disorders |
|-------------------------------|
| Yes  | 5  | 90.68| 36.64 | 31.4  | 6.5  | 9.4 | 3.97 | 6.4  | 6.06 | 14.8 | 17.48| 7.8 | 1.78 | 37    | 13.05|
| No   | 34 | 72.67| 33.88 | 24.52 | 13.47| 9.08| 4.99 | 3.91 | 3.9  | 5.47 | 5.32 | 3.5 | 3.22 | 26.17 | 13.44|
DISCUSSION

The supportive care need scores of the patients, and thus their need levels were found to be high in the study conducted to determine the supportive care needs of individuals diagnosed with cancer. Miniotti et al. found that the supportive care need scores of the patients diagnosed with cancer were high 35. Cancer is a growing health problem all over the world and it has been stated that 18.1 million people were added to new cancer cases in 2018, 9.6 million people died due to cancer 26, and 50% of all cancer cases affected individuals over 65 years of age 42. Of the patients participated in the study, 56.2% were male, 53.8% were in the 60-80 age group and these values are consistent with current data on cancer epidemiology.

In the study in which approximately one third of the patients were diagnosed with GIS cancers and half of them were in the fourth stage, the fact that the patients reported that they were most concerned about nutrition / diet and that they wanted to get information about this subject can be thought to be related to the patients' being predominantly diagnosed with GIS cancers and to disease stage. Nausea-vomiting, anorexia, oral / gastrointestinal mucositis, dysphagia, diarrhea, constipation, taste-smell changes, weight gain / loss, malaise, fatigue, and malnutrition often develop in the patients receiving anti-cancer treatment 27. The European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) recommends frequent assessment of nutritional status in cancer patients and early initiation of nutritional support to the patients in need 28.

Although very few (2.5%) of the patients participated in the study stated that they had sexual problems, all of the patients stated that they did not want to get information about sexuality. It is reported that patients diagnosed with cancer experience varying degrees of sexual problems 29. 72.1% of men receiving cancer treatment and 53.1% of women do not receive any help for their sexual problems 30. Within the patient-centered care approach, the nurse has important responsibilities in identifying physical and psychological problems caused by cancer diagnosis and treatment and helping individuals with sexual problems 31. When evaluating individuals with cancer, the approach to sexual dysfunction problems should be based on cultural characteristics, and supportive care interventions should be planned without disregarding sexuality, even if signs of sexual dysfunction are not detected.

In the study, "the uncertainty of the disease process, whether it would improve or not, the possibility of recurrence" were expressed as the most distressing conditions by the patients. Bernardes et al. determined that 46% of the individuals needed supportive care in physical and psychological dimensions and 23% stated lack of information about the process in their study including cancer patients predominantly in the 60-80 age range 32. It was determined that the rate of unmet needs of the patients was high and the most commonly stated need among the unmet needs by the patients was the need "to get information about the disease" in other studies conducted with patients undergoing cancer treatment 33,34,35. The results obtained in this study are similar to previous study results.

It was found that the scores of female patients participated in the study were significantly higher in healthcare decision-making/communication subscale (p <0.05), and that women experienced more distress than men. The results of similar studies also show that the distress level experienced by women is higher than that of men 36,37. In a similar study by Boyes et al., distress was found to be higher in women diagnosed with cancer 38.

The total score of the James Supportive Care Screening was found to be higher in patients who stated that they had low income. Cancer may have caused the person to lose his/her job or the individual may be experiencing economic difficulties due to the costs incurred by the cancer treatment and care process. The area where the patients have needs most was reported to be in connection with experiencing economic difficulties in the study by Bernardes et al. with individuals diagnosed with cancer 32 while unmet psychosocial needs of cancer patients with low income were reported to be higher in the study by Yi 39. In a study conducted by Foster et al. with individuals diagnosed with cancer for 5 years or longer, it was stated that cancer patients experienced intense economic difficulties 40.

It was determined that the support needs of the patients who stated that they had mental health problems besides the diagnosis of cancer were significantly higher in the social/practical problems and cognitive concerns subscales. In another study, it was determined that the scores of the patients who stated that they had mental health problems in addition to cancer were higher in the physical symptoms subscale 41. After the diagnosis of cancer, the possibility of any depressive disorder in the patient was described as 40 percent and the
most common mental disorder in cancer patients was reported to be depression 42. In the study of Braamse et al., anxiety and depression levels of individuals diagnosed with cancer were found to be high 43.

In this study, it was found that married individuals diagnosed with cancer had higher scores in all subscales except cognitive concerns and the total scores of supportive care needs, compared to single individuals diagnosed with cancer, and their need levels were higher in the mentioned areas. It can be concluded for this study that being married is more ineffective than expected in meeting the supportive care needs of individuals with cancer diagnosis. In a study conducted in the literature, the supportive care needs of married cancer patients were found to be at high levels 35.

CONCLUSION
In our study in which the majority of the participants were male and individuals between the ages of 60-80, the supportive care needs of the patients were found to be high. Considering that cancer affects the individual in all its aspects, having a disorder in addition to cancer also increased the need level. The cancer patients may experience forced changes in their lives depending on the disease or processes as well as experiencing uncertainty, fear, anxiety and worry about staying in the hospital throughout the disease process. Lack of information about these conditions and educational needs to be met may arise. As nurses aiming at using holistic perspective in the provision of the care to be given to patients, knowing the care needs of cancer patients, identifying the risky and disadvantaged groups and meeting the educational needs are important in terms of putting the individual-specific and patient-based care approach into practice.
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