Case report

Endothelial keratoplasty for posterior polymorphous corneal dystrophy in a 4-month-old infant
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1. Introduction

Posterior polymorphous corneal dystrophy (PPCD) is one of the corneal endothelial dystrophies transmitted in an autosomal dominantly manner.1 The clinical presentation of PPCD varies from asymptomatic corneal endothelial changes to congenital corneal edema, peripheral iridocorneal adhesions and glaucoma.2 Corneal transplant is required in 20–25% of patients with PPCD who developed corneal edema.3 Corneal edema caused by PPCD can have a huge impact on the visual development of children due to amblyopia. Therefore surgical interventions such as corneal transplants may be considered to restore corneal clarity and prevent amblyopia.

The classic surgical treatment of PPCD has been penetrating keratoplasty (PKP).1 However, if the corneal clarity allows, full thickness transplantation has been superseded by partial thickness transplants. The procedure most widely applied now for endothelial dystrophies is Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK). DSAEK involves transplanting a thin layer of stroma, Descemet's membrane and endothelium and has been successfully performed in children.4–8 In recent years, the technique of Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK) has been developed, which involves transplanting only Descemet's membrane and endothelium. This technique has shown potential for faster and improved visual outcomes compared with DSAEK.9

We report the case of an infant with PPCD treated initially with DMEK which was unsuccessful followed by successful bilateral DSAEK. To the best of our knowledge this is the youngest case report of endothelial keratoplasty (EK) in the literature.

2. Case report

A 3 month old girl was referred for bilateral cloudy corneas since birth. The pregnancy was normal without any signs of infection and birth was spontaneous vaginal delivery at 41 weeks gestational age. Her family history was positive for PPCD in paternal grandfather necessitating a DSAEK procedure at age 62 years after persistent corneal edema post-cataract surgery. An examination of the...
patient’s asymptomatic father revealed the characteristic endothelial changes of PPCD.

The patient’s examination at presentation and under anesthesia revealed diffuse corneal epithelial edema, deep speckled opacities at the level of the endothelium and Descemet’s membrane folds in both eyes (Fig. 1). Intraocular pressure (IOP), central cornea thickness (CCT) measured using hand-held spectral domain optical coherence tomography (Bioptigen Inc., Morrisville, NC, USA), the horizontal corneal diameters and axial length measured with immersion A-scan at presentation are shown in Table 1. The central cornea thickness was high at presentation, 958 μm in the right eye and 884 μm in the left eye. The dilated fundus examination permitted a hazy view of both fundi with no abnormality or disc cupping.

A detailed conversation with parents regarding the amblyogenic risk from her corneal edema was undertaken and management options discussed. Parents chose to proceed with DMEK in the left eye at 4 months of age. After instilling 4% Pilocarpine, the left eye was prepped and draped in a sterile manner. Four paracenteses were created at the 11, 1, 4 and 7 o’clock positions. Acetylcholine chloride (Miochol, Bausch and Lomb, USA) was injected into the anterior chamber and sodium hyaluronate (Healon OVD - Abbott Medical Optics Inc., USA) was used to fill the eye. An inferior periphery iridectomy was performed using Vannas scissors to avoid pupillary block from air bubble in the anterior chamber in the post operative care. The stripping of the endothelium was done with a reverse Sinskey hook in a circle of diameter 8 mm. The viscoelastic was thoroughly washed out of the eye. The donor cornea was placed on a punch block with endothelial side up and Descemet membrane was stripped using the Melles technique. The membrane was then cut with 8mm trephine, the Descemet membrane stripped from the cornea and stained with trypan blue and injected into the anterior chamber as a double scroll. All wounds were sutured tightly and the scroll was unrolled in the anterior chamber, using the Yourek tapping technique followed by air bubble. A full air fill was left in place for at least 30 minutes with the patient in the supine position whilst under general anesthesia to facilitate

Fig. 1. A, B, C and D. Slit-lamp examination of the right eye (A) and left eye (B) at presentation showing diffuse corneal epithelial edema, and deep speckled opacity at the level of corneal endothelium. E and F. Spectral domain optical coherence tomography shows thick corneas and deep speckled opacity at the level of corneal endothelium in the right eye (E) and left eye (F).
At presentation after DSAEK

|            | Right eye | Left eye | Right eye | Left eye |
|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Corneal diameter (mm) | 11.5      | 11.5     | 12.25     | 12.25    |
| CCT (µm)   | 958       | 884      | 735 (donor tissue – 141) | 706 (donor tissue – 129) |
| Anterior chamber depth (mm) | 3.65     | 3.7      | 3.57      | 3.61     |
| Axial length (mm) | 18.7      | 18.85    | 20.40     | 20.60    |
| IOP (mmHg) | 15        | 15       | 15        | 15       |
| Refraction | -1.50/+1.50 × 90 | -0.75/+0.75 × 90 | -2.75/+2.25 × 90 | -2.25 |

Abbreviations: CCT-central corneal thickness; DSAEK- Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty; IOP-intraocular pressure.

3. Discussion

This case report describes DMEK in a 4-month-old patient with PSCP that was unsuccessful due to detachment of the graft, followed by uncomplicated DSAEK in both eyes with good outcome. To our knowledge, this is the only DMEK case reported in an infant, the youngest DSAEK treated patient with PSCP in the literature with long term follow up on visual acuity and ECC and only the second report of DSAEK in PSCP in an infant.4

DMEK is emerging as the keratoplasty of choice for the diseases which affect the endothelium. Maier et al. conducted a review looking at the advantages and disadvantages of DMEK versus DSAEK. DMEK had a better visual acuity, achieved more quickly and with a better patient satisfaction than DSAEK.13 Moreover, the rejection rate is lower in DMEK than DSAEK.13 However, DMEK is a more demanding surgical technique with higher rate of graft dislocation and re-bubbling as well as with more endothelial cell loss compared with DSAEK in early reports,13 though more recent papers are showing better endothelial cell counts with DMEK.14

Endothelial keratoplasty is more challenging in children than adults because of smaller cornea size, shallower anterior chamber, phakic status, soft sclera and difficulties maintaining post-operative supine positioning. Furthermore, any attempt at re-bubbling or even examination is difficult and may necessitate the use of general anesthesia. Gonnermann J et al15 reported the first case of successful DMEK in a 12 year old with Kearns-Sayre syndrome and corneal edema. In this report the transplant remained clear 6 months after the surgery with a best-corrected vision of 20/100. Our case is the second report of DMEK in children and the first reported in an infant. Although our patient had a good cornea size, deep anterior chamber and a technically uncomplicated DMEK procedure, graft dislocation and unsuccessful re-bubbling resulted in surgical failure. Graft displacement in our patient is less likely to be due to inadequate wash of viscoelastic circulating in the anterior chamber because extensive wash of cohesive viscoelastic was performed with confidence that it was all removed. A clear cornea and good outcome with subsequent DSAEK was achieved in our patient even though the overall postoperative corneal thickness (see Table 1) was still relatively high. The use of ultrathin DSAEK could be potentially better and should be considered. There are only five reports10-12 including one series published in the literature of DSAEK in infants for CHED and PPCD with a follow-up from 3 to 24 months showing good graft survival without cataract formation. Lenhart et al.1 reported vision of 20/40 at two years follow-up after DSAEK performed in a 8 month old patient with CHED.

Posterior polymorphous corneal dystrophy is one of the corneal endothelial dystrophies with wide range of clinical presentation. PPCD is usually an asymptomatic disease with normal vision, unless there is asymmetric disease, corneal edema, visual axis opacity or refractive error causing amblyopia at early age.16,17 Surgical management such as corneal transplant is required when the risk of amblyopia is high.

4. Conclusions

Our single case does not allow any conclusions to be drawn regarding the DMEK technique as a viable option for infants. The potential for better visual acuity and lower rejection rate in children would be a major advantage of DMEK over DSAEK. It may be that future refinements and increasing expertise in DMEK leads to better outcome for children. It would be advantageous to have
ready access to hand held anterior SD-OCT and exam under anesthesia to better assess DMEK adhesion and perform re-bubbling procedure in infants. It is encouraging however, that good visual outcomes are achievable with subsequent DSAEK, even if DMEK is unsuccessful in infants.
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Fig. 2. A and B Slit-lamp examination of patient’s right eye (A) and left eye (B) one year post Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) surgery showing clear cornea. C and D. Hand-held spectral domain optical coherence tomography of the right (C) and left eyes (D) shows attached grafts one year after DSAEK surgery.