RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT WITH THE CENTRAL THIRD OF THE QUADRICEPS MUSCLE TENDON: ANALYSIS OF 10-YEAR RESULTS
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Assess clinical results using two different protocols, 10 years after ACL reconstruction surgery with the central third of quadriceps muscle tendon (QT). Method: Between November 1997 and April 1998, 25 patients were submitted to 25 ACL reconstructions with QT by transfibial technique. The bone portion of the graft was fixated on femoral tunnel with interference screw and the tendinous portion of tibial tunnel with screw with washer. Two patients injured the new when playing soccer. Six patients were not available for follow-up (24%). Seventeen patients were evaluated, 15 men and two women, with mean age at surgery time of 28.53 ± 6.64 years. All patients were examined at six months, one year, and ten years after surgery. Clinical evaluation was made by the Lysholm scale, and the knee evaluation, with the Hospital for Special Surgery scale. Results: The patients had their injuries operated after 9.87 ± 14.42 months of the accident. According to Lysholm scale, the results at the end of the first year were 98.71 ± 2.47 and, after 10 years, 97.35 ± 3.12. Using the Hospital for Special Surgery scale, the mean score was 95.07 ± 5.23 in one year, and 94.87 ± 4.16 in 10 years. All patients returned to their professional activities with the same previous status. Fifteen (88.24%) patients were able to return to their sports activities, one by modifying the practice, while another one switched to another sport. No patient complained of pain on the donor area in the medium and long term. The sports return rate was excellent, and no changes were found on the femoropatellar joint.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade, ACL reconstructive surgery has become one of the most common in orthopedics. Its long-term success rate is confirmed by good and excellent results, ranging from 75% to 95%, considering stability, relief of symptoms, and return to sports¹.

Sachs et al.² showed that loss of quadriceps strength, extension deficit, and pain in the anterior knee are the most frequent complications of ACL reconstruction. The incidence of these problems was greater when using the patellar tendon (PT), considered the gold standard for ACL reconstruction. Quadriceps strength deficits occurred in 60.8% of cases one year after ACL reconstruction with PT. Although rare, complications such as patellar fracture and PT rupture, crepitation, tendinitis, and decreased patellar motion were reported, findings confirmed by other authors³,⁴. In 1975, Cho⁵ described ACL reconstruction using the intra-articular semimembranous tendon. The gracilis (FT) was later added. Proponents of this technique have reported a lower incidence of pain in the anterior knee, lower frequency of patellar tendinitis, less quadriceps weakness with decreased risk of an impaired range of motion (ROM) of the knee². Concerns about the strength and greater elasticity of tendons in relation to the ACL, combined with the difficulty
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of fixation and decreased strength of knee flexion, have not been verified over the years\(^6\). The use of the quadriceps tendon (QT) has been popularized by Marshall et al.\(^7\), but with some not very promising initial results. Fulkerson and Langeland\(^8\), Howe et al.\(^9\) and Staubli et al.\(^10\), and Staubli and Jakob\(^11\), with more consistent studies, showed that the QT has the adequate size and strength to replace the ACL with less morbidity at the donor site. Today, the QT is becoming more popular\(^12\).

The objective of this study is to evaluate the clinical results of patients who underwent ACL reconstruction with QT using two different protocols 10 years after surgery.

**METHODOLOGY**

Between November 1997 and April 1998, 25 patients underwent 25 ACL reconstructions with the QT plus a patellar bone fragment using the transtibial technique. The bone portion of the graft was fixed in the femoral tunnel with a metal interference screw and the tendon portion was fixed in the tibial tunnel with two Ethibond #2 sutures and a cancellous screw (post) with a washer. The surgical technique and rehabilitation have been previously described\(^13\).

Six patients were lost during follow-up. We excluded two patients who broke the QT graft in sprains during soccer practice three and six years after surgery and were reoperated. The study group had 17 patients. All were examined at six months, one year and 10 years after surgery. Clinical evaluation was performed with the Lysholm scale and the Hospital for Special Surgery scale for the knee.

Of the 17 patients, there were 15 men and two women (Table 1) with a mean age of 28.53 ± 6.64 years at the time of surgery. The lesions were operated 9.87 ± 14.42 months after the injury. Two injuries were caused by falls from motorcycles, one from a fall from standing height, and 13 from sprains while playing soccer. One patient had a prior arthroscopic resection of the ACL stump. Six lesions of the medial meniscus and a medial femoral chondral lesion with 1 cm were found perioperatively\(^2\). There were no injuries to the patellofemoral joint. We conducted one full and five partial meniscectomies. Later, osteosynthesis was performed as treatment for a patellar fracture that occurred in a fall six months after surgery, arthroscopic release was performed as treatment of knee arthrofibrosis, there were four meniscectomies, two of which were full and two partial, and one removal of the tibial post screw.

Radiological examination of three planes was conducted, with the operated knee in a support, after 10 years follow-up to assess the presence or absence of osteoarthritis (Figures 1, 2, and 3).

**RESULTS**

According to the Lysholm scale (Table 2), the results after the first year were 98.71 ± 2.47 and 97.35 ± 3.12 after ten years. Using the Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS) scale (Table 3), the score was 95.07 ± 5.23 after one year and 94.87 ± 4.16 after 10 years (Table 4). The complaints that led to the largest loss of points on the Lysholm scale at the end of ten years were inconsistent and mild pain during sports (17.65% – three patients), swelling after intense sports (11.76% – two patients), mild difficulty climbing stairs (17.65% – three patients), and mild and occasional difficulty in squatting (23.53% – four patients).

**Table 1 – Patient characteristics.**

| Number of patients | Gender | Side of injury | Associated injury | Type of trauma | Surgery pre-reconstruction | Surgery post-reconstruction |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Male   | Right          | Chondral lesion   | Fall while (snow) skiing | ACL stump resection       | Arthroscopic retinaculum release |
|                     | Female | Left           | Medial meniscus   | Fall from standing height |                         | Partial lateral meniscectomy |
|                     |        |                | Medial Collateral Ligament (not addressed) | Fall from a motorcycle at a slow speed |                         | Patellar osteosynthesis     |
|                     |        |                | Lateral meniscus  |               |                          | Medial meniscectomy         |
|                     |        |                | Sprain            |               |                          | Source: Belo Horizonte Center for Orthopedics and Traumatology. |

Source: Belo Horizonte Center for Orthopedics and Traumatology.
In the HSS evaluation, all patients returned to work maintaining the same conditions as before the trauma. Fifteen (88.24%) patients returned to the same sport, one modifying the way it was practiced and another changed sports. Two patients who underwent total medial meniscectomy developed medial femorotibial osteoarthritis and a limited ROM. Among the patients, 16 had a thigh diameter 2 cm lower than the other leg. The Lachman test was negative in 13 patients (76.47%) and +++ in four. The anterior drawer test was negative in 12 patients (70.59%) and +++ in five. The pivot test was negative in 13 patients (76.47%) and light without movement in four.

No patient complained of pain at the graft donor site from four weeks after surgery until the final evaluation. Four patients had a contralateral ACL rupture during sports activities, three sprains while playing soccer (which occurred three, four, and ten years after surgery), and one while dancing three years after the procedure.

Three patients had some reduction of the medial femorotibial joint space in the radiological examination. These patients underwent meniscectomy. There were no decreases in the patellofemoral joint space, with the exception of one patient who suffered a fractured patella six months after surgery after falling from a ladder (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

ACL reconstruction aims to stabilize the knee. The restoration of kinematics minimizes the abnormal forces on the femorotibial interface. Recurrent episodes of instability after ACL rupture are often associated with meniscal lesions and premature osteoarthritis. Arthroscopies performed in patients with old and
Table 2 – Lysholm scale results.

| Item                  | Response                         | Patients | %     |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Limp (5 points)       | No (5)                           | 16       | 94.12 |
|                       | Mildly or periodically (3)       | 1        | 5.88  |
|                       | Intensely or constantly (0)      | 0        | 0.00  |
| Support (5 points)    | No (5)                           | 17       | 100.00|
|                       | Crutch or cane (2)               | 0        | 0.00  |
|                       | Weight-bearing impossible (0)    | 0        | 0.00  |
| Locking (15 points)   | No (15)                          | 16       | 94.12 |
|                       | Catching sensation but no locking (10) | 1   | 5.88  |
|                       | Occasional locking (6)           | 0        | 0.00  |
|                       | Frequent locking (2)             | 0        | 0.00  |
|                       | Knee locked during exam (0)      | 0        | 0.00  |
| Instability (25 points)| Never (25)                      | 17       | 100.00|
|                       | Rarely during severe exertion (20)| 0       | 0.00  |
|                       | Frequently during severe exertion (15)| 0   | 0.00  |
|                       | Occasionally during daily activities (10)| 0 | 0.00  |
|                       | Frequently during daily activities (5)| 0  | 0.00  |
|                       | All the time (0)                 | 0        | 0.00  |
| Pain (25 points)      | No (25)                          | 14       | 82.35 |
|                       | Intermittent or mild during severe exertion (20)| 3 | 17.65 |
|                       | Strong during severe exertion (15)| 0       | 0.00  |
|                       | Strong after walking more than 2 km (10)| 0  | 0.00  |
|                       | Strong after walking less than 2 km (5)| 0  | 0.00  |
|                       | Constant (0)                     | 0        | 0.00  |
| Swelling (10 points)  | No (10)                          | 15       | 88.24 |
|                       | After severe exertion (6)        | 2        | 11.76 |
|                       | After ordinary activities (2)    | 0        | 0.00  |
|                       | Constant (0)                     | 0        | 0.00  |
| Stair climbing (10 points)| No problems (10)          | 14       | 82.35 |
|                       | Slight problems (6)              | 3        | 17.65 |
|                       | One step at a time (2)           | 0        | 0.00  |
|                       | Impossible (0)                   | 0        | 0.00  |
| Squatting (5 points)  | No problems (5)                  | 13       | 76.47 |
|                       | Slight problems (4)              | 4        | 23.53 |
|                       | Not past 90 degrees (2)          | 0        | 0.00  |
|                       | Impossible (0)                   | 0        | 0.00  |

Source: Belo Horizonte Center for Orthopedics and Traumatology.

Table 3 – HSS Scale Results.

| Item                  | Response                         | Absolute value | %     |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Symptoms (5 points)   | Edema No = 2                     | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | Yes = 0                          | 0.00            |       |
|                       | Locking No = 3                   | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | Yes = 0                          | 0.00            |       |
| Popping or snapping (20 points) | Intensity None = 10               | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | Transient = 8                    | 0.00            |       |
|                       | Recurs < 1 day = 6               | 0.00            |       |
|                       | Recurs < 1 week = 2              | 0.00            |       |
|                       | Recurs > 1 week = 0              | 0.00            |       |
|                       | Frequency None = 10              | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | 1 a year = 8                     | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 2-6 a year = 6                   | 0.00            |       |
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| Item                  | Response                         | Absolute value | %     |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Function (20 points)  | Work Complete resumption = 4     | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | Limited or change of work = 2    | 0.00            |       |
|                       | Unable due to knee = 0           | 0.00            |       |
| Sports                | Complete return = 4              | 15              | 88.24 |
|                       | Resumption with modification = 3 | 1               | 5.88  |
|                       | Changed sport = 2                | 1               | 5.88  |
|                       | Did not return = 0               | 0.00            |       |
| Ability to            | Sit down = 4                     | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | Run from one side to the other = 4| 17            | 100.00|
|                       | Jump = 4                         | 17              | 100.00|
| Examination (45 points)| ROM Normal = 3                   | 15              | 88.24 |
|                       | Limited flexion or extension = 1 | 2               | 11.76 |
|                       | Both = 0                         | 0.00            |       |
| Swelling              | No = 4                           | 16              | 94.12 |
|                       | Yes = 0                          | 1               | 5.88  |
| Thigh circumference    | Equal or discrepancy < 1 cm = 2 | 1               | 5.88  |
|                       | Discrepancy > 1 cm = 0           | 16              | 94.12 |
| Lachman (end point)   | Negative = 4                     | 13              | 76.47 |
|                       | 1+ = 3                           | 4               | 23.53 |
|                       | 2+ = 2                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 3+ = 0                           | 0.00            |       |
| Anterior drawer test  | Negative = 2                     | 12              | 70.59 |
|                       | 1+ = 2                           | 5               | 29.41 |
|                       | 2+ = 0                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 3+ = 0                           | 0.00            |       |
| Posterior drawer test | Negative = 5                     | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | 1+ = 3                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 2+ = 2                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 3+ = 0                           | 0.00            |       |
| Pivot Shift           | Negative or symmetric = 10       | 13              | 76.47 |
|                       | Light, without movement = 8      | 4               | 23.53 |
|                       | 1+, slight movement = 4          | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 2+, definite movement = 2        | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 3+, movement and locking = 0     | 0.00            |       |
| MCL                   | Normal = 5                       | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | 1+ = 3                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 2+ = 2                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 3+ = 0                           | 0.00            |       |
| LCL                   | Normal = 5                       | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | 1+ = 3                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 2+ = 2                           | 0.00            |       |
|                       | 3+ = 0                           | 0.00            |       |
| Reverse Pivot Shift   | Negative = 5                     | 17              | 100.00|
|                       | Positive = 0                     | 0.00            |       |

Functional exam (10 points)

| Jump on one feet – difference between the lower limbs | 90 – 100% = 10 | 14 | 82.35 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------|
| 75 – 90% = 7                                         | 3              | 17.65 |
| 50 – 75% = 5                                         | 0.00           |    |
| 50% = 0                                              | 0.00           |    |

Deductions

| External support | Due to insecurity = 2 | 0.00 |
|------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Pain             | Due to instability = 4 | 0.00 |
|                  | Occasional = 2         | 2    |
|                  | After severe exertion = 5 | 2 | 11.76 |
|                  | After daily activities = 8 | 0.00 |
|                  | Continual = 10         | 0.00 |

Source: Belo Horizonte Center for Orthopedics and Traumatology.
untreated ACL injuries show a large number of meniscal and chondral injuries. These joints have a high incidence of osteoarthritis, even after reconstruction\(^\text{14,15}\).

The quadriceps tendon is part of the knee extensor apparatus. It covers the femoral trochlea as the knee is bent. It consists of multiple layers of collagen that vary in shape and size. These layers overlap obliquely and longitudinally, forming a complex tension band. The most anterior part of the rectus femoris muscle creates an aponeurosis in front of the patella, which is called the prepatellar retinaculum\(^\text{14,16}\). The most posterior part of the QT merges with the most anterior fibers of the PT. In these cuts, the same authors showed that the myotendinous junction of the QT is located 85 mm proximal to the base of the patella. In PT cuts, the authors drew attention to the small area of insertion of the PT at the patella in relation to the QT. According to Staubli and Jakob\(^\text{11}\), the analysis of the tensile strength of grafts 10-mm thick taken from the central part of the QTs and PTs of young adults (mean age 24.9 years, ranging from 19 to 32 years) revealed that the breaking point for tendons without previous preparation is 2,173N (± 618N) for the QT and 1,953N (± 325N) for the PT. In studies of the cycles of resistance, when subjected to 200 cycles from 50 to 800N with a frequency of 0.5 Hz, the point of failure for the QT is 2,353N (± 495N) and 2,376N (± 152N) for the PT. Despite the size, thickness, shape, and area of the PT and QT being different at the beginning of testing, the breaking points and the energy required for failure were not significantly different. The 200N difference between the results has no clinical significance. Harris \textit{et al.}\(^\text{17}\), in their study, showed that, on average, the QT is 1.8 times thicker than the PT. At a distance of 7 to 10 mm between the tendon and articular cartilage, it creates a safe area for graft removal without trauma to the articular surface of the patella. The QT is sufficiently wide (averaging 27 mm) for the withdrawal of grafts of 10 mm in diameter. It also presents asymmetric insertion with a slight lateral deviation of its fibers. It is suggested that removal of the graft should follow this direction\(^\text{17}\).

### Table 4 – Patient evolution according to scales used for evaluation.

| Patients | Year 1 Lysholm | Year 1 HSS | Year 10 Lysholm | Year 10 HSS |
|----------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| 1        | 100            | 98         | 100            | 98         |
| 2        | 100            | 96         | 100            | 96         |
| 3        | 100            | 98         | 100            | 98         |
| 4        | 100            | 98         | 100            | 98         |
| 5        | 96             | 94         | 96             | 94         |
| 6        | 96             | 93         | 91             | 93         |
| 7        | 100            | 92         | 95             | 92         |
| 8        | 99             | 98         | 99             | 98         |
| 9        | 99             | 93         | 95             | 87         |
| 10       | 91             | 78         | 86             | 87         |
| 11       | 100            | 98         | 100            | 98         |
| 12       | 100            | 100        | 100            | 100        |
| 13       | 99             | 97         | 95             | 97         |
| 14       | 100            | 98         | 100            | 98         |
| 15       | 100            | 98         | 100            | 98         |
| 16       | 100            | 98         | 100            | 98         |
| 17       | 100            | 95         | 100            | 95         |

**Mean**: 98.71, 95.41, 97.35, 95.24  
**Standard deviation**: 2.57, 4.99, 4.11, 4.02  
**Variance**: 6.48, 24.87, 16.40, 16.19

Source: Belo Horizonte Center for Orthopedics and Traumatology.

Figure 4 – Axial radiograph of the patella in 30° of knee flexion after ACL reconstruction in a patient who suffered a fractured patella six months after surgery and underwent internal fixation with a tension band that has already been removed.
work on the strength of the QT showed that the failure of the suture occurred at 692N (± 181N) and the failure of the tendon occurred at 1,075N (± 449N). This breaking point was 1.36 times higher for the QT compared with the PT.

Discomfort in the anterior knee after ACL reconstruction remains unresolved. Many causes have been proposed, including limitations of the ROM after surgery, chondromalacia, an inadequate rehabilitation program, pain at the graft donor site, presence of fixed contracture, a reduction in the size of the TP, low patella, changes in glutamate and substance-P(18-21). Deehan et al.(16) found a low incidence (8%) of crepitation in the patellofemoral joint after ACL reconstruction with patellar tendon. McDaniel and Dameron(22) found 45%. Deehan et al.(16) also report that after five years of follow-up, 91% of their patients had no or mild pain in the patellar tendon. Pain was a persistent problem during squatting, with 44% of patients describing discomfort when kneeling five years after surgery. There was no pain in the graft donor site for the patients in this study. Patellar tendinitis was rare in the first six months postoperatively and showed rapid resolution with stretching exercises.

Because it is a technique that has been rarely used in the recent past, there are few studies reporting on long-term evaluation. Chen et al.(23) evaluated 34 patients with follow-up ranging from four to seven years, with an average of 62 months. There were 94% good and excellent results based on the Lysholm scale. The return to moderate or extreme sports occurred in 76% of patients. Ligament laxity of less than 2 mm was observed in 88 patients. Using the IKDC scale, 91% of patients had a normal or nearly normal knee. The authors conclude that the QT is a good choice for ACL reconstruction.

Lee et al.(24) clinically evaluated 67 patients with a mean follow-up of 41 months. Based on the Lysholm scale, patients progressed from 71 points preoperatively to 90 postoperatively (P < 0.05). In the IKDC scale, 94% of patients were grade A and B. Isokinetic peak torque in extension was 82% and 89% compared with the other knee at one and two years postoperatively, respectively. There were no changes in the Insall-Salvati index or in patellar congruence.

Joseph et al.(25) compared three different grafts for ACL reconstruction. They reported that patients in the QT group needed fewer analgesics and recovered active extension faster than the group in which the patellar ligament was used.

In our country, Coelho et al.(26), Cortellazo et al.(27), and Guimarães et al.(13,28,29) have published studies on the use of the QT graft in ACL reconstruction.

The QT has been successfully used for revision surgery for ACL reconstruction and dual-band reconstruction of the ACL(30-32). Antonogiannakis et al.(33) studied the QT in ACL reconstructive surgery without the patellar bone block, with good results.

Pigozzi et al.(34) compared the isokinetic evolution of patients operated with the PL and the QT. They concluded that the strength deficit in the QT group was smaller and that good recovery of strength in this group after six months postoperatively should encourage the use of the QT in ACL reconstructions.

One indicator of successful ACL reconstruction is the rate of return to sports. Such an event may be influenced by the preoperative status of the individual, expectations, patient safety, rehabilitation, and the type of sport. Gobbi and Francisco(35) evaluated 100 athletes who underwent ACL reconstruction with the PT (n = 50) and flexor tendons (n = 50). They observed that 64% returned to the same sport at the same level, 24% changed their sport, and 11% stopped sports activity. There was no difference between the two groups of patients. Patients in this study showed a greater return to sport compared with the findings of Gobbi and Francisco(35).

The importance of preserving the meniscus for patients with ligament injury requires our attention. Gillquist and Messner(36) reported that concomitant injuries of the ACL and meniscus increase the incidence of degenerative changes in the knee. Cohen et al.(37) showed the negative association between meniscectomy, patients with ACL injury who have undergone surgery, and osteoarthritis in the long term. Carvalho Junior et al.(38) showed the effects of meniscectomy on the results of ACL reconstruction. In this study, the three patients with the worst scores underwent total meniscectomy, one during the primary surgery and the other two, years later.

It was observed that, even with stable knees, many patients required further interventions to correct a meniscus and/or chondral injury. The authors believe that secondary lesions associated with ACL injury continue to deteriorate the knee over time, although stability has been restored. Fithian et al.(39) showed...
a high incidence of delayed degeneration in patients undergoing ACL reconstruction. It would be desirable that patients with major meniscal injuries be discouraged from returning to certain sports.

Another important factor was the high rate of contralateral ACL injury observed (17.65%). There are several theories to explain this finding. One of them links this observation to the deficit in isokinetic evaluation of the contralateral (non-operated) limb, a fact already observed by Guimarães et al.

This article has limitations. The principal author has the use of the QT as his first option for ACL reconstruction. This made a comparative study between two different types of grafts unfeasible. The number of patients studied is small. Annual assessments would be important to provide details of the patients’ evolution. Preoperative clinical patient data were missing, lost in the files.

CONCLUSION

The QT graft is a good choice for ACL reconstruction, even 10 years after surgery. There was no pain at the donor graft site in the medium and long term. The rate of return to sport was excellent and there were no changes in the patellofemoral joint.
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