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Abstract

Background: Cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR) refers to the responsiveness of cerebral vasculature to vasoactive stimuli. CVR is an indicator of brain health and can be assessed using vasodilatory techniques and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Using such approaches, some researchers have explored the relationship between CVR and cognition; here we systematically review this work.

Results: We extracted information pertaining to: (1) study location and design, participant characteristics, sample sizes, (2) design of vascular challenge, end-tidal $\text{CO}_2$ (etCO$_2$) concentrations (if applicable), (3) MRI protocol, (4) cognitive assessment, (5) CVR values, and outcomes of statistical analyses with cognitive tests. Five studies assessed participants with cognitive impairment compared to controls, one studied patients with multiple sclerosis with or without cognitive impairment compared to controls, one examined patients with moyamoya disease with or without cognitive impairment, two investigated patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and one was a cross-sectional study with younger and older healthy adults. Cognition was typically probed using the MMSE and tests of executive function, while a number of vasodilatory techniques were employed.

Conclusion: CVR was associated with cognition in six of ten studies, but heterogeneity of study samples, designs and vasodilatory methods may have a role in the inconsistent findings. We make recommendations for future research that includes use of a multi-domain cognitive assessment and standardised hypercapnic challenge with MRI.
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contribute to mild cognitive impairment in the non-clinical general population [16].

This potential link between CVR and cognitive impairment is interesting as it suggests that optimal functioning of the cerebral circulatory system is important for maintaining cognitive functions. The relationship between cognitive decline and numerous vascular anomalies, including stiffness of the peripheral arteries and aorta [17, 18], hypoperfusion [19, 20], cerebrovascular disease [21], and pathology of the carotid arteries [22, 23] has been well established in the literature. To date however, the relationship between CVR and cognitive functions has been poorly understood.

CVR is generally measured as a change in some index of blood flow (e.g., blood flow velocity measured with ultrasound or blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signal change measured with fMRI) in response to a vasoactive stimulus. Hypercapnia (increased blood carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration) is the most often used stimulus to elicit increased blood flow via vasodilation. Hypercapnia can be induced in several ways including inhalation of CO₂-enriched air, breath-holding, and rebreathing. While there are numerous vasoactive challenges that can elicit a change in blood flow required for the assessment of CVR, inhalation of CO₂-enriched air is most suitable due to the practicality of its use and the ease with which it can be standardized [24]. Acetazolamide, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, has the same capacity to dilate the cerebral microvasculature via increasing carbonic acid in the arterial blood, and is often used to elicit vasodilation in studies of CVR [25, 26].

Likewise, various tools can be employed to measure the change in blood flow. Most frequently used is the transcranial Doppler ultrasound (TCD). This method is inexpensive, easy to use, non-invasive, is viable for use with large cerebral vessels and has high temporal resolution. However, TCD has low spatial resolution; hence precise regional investigations cannot be performed. Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), positron emission tomography (PET) and other computed tomography (CT)-based technologies also exhibit poor spatial resolution, but are further complicated by the necessity of exposing participants to ionizing radiation. Advances with MRI-based imaging have overcome these limitations whereby CVR assessments can be performed without the use of exogenous contrast agents, and with high spatial resolution so that the responsiveness of blood vessels within discrete brain areas may be studied independently.

Research investigating the relationship between vascular reactivity and cognitive performance has commonly used CT or TCD technology, demonstrating reduced CVR in cognitively impaired patients [12, 14, 27, 28]. Studies using TCD have shown significant relationships between CVR and cognitive status assessed with the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) [28], and with tests of executive function, attention and memory [29]. However, the lack of regional specificity of TCD does not enable an examination of region-specific relationships between CVR and cognitive abilities. To address this apparent gap in the literature, the current work aims to systematically review all research articles investigating the association between cognitive performance and cerebrovascular reactivity to a vasoactive stimulus measured using MRI.

**Methods**

**Search criteria**

Searches were conducted using Pubmed and Scopus from earliest record until 15th July 2017. Search terms were entered as follows: Pubmed (cognition OR cognitive OR memory OR attention) AND ("cerebral vascular reactivity" OR "cerebrovascular reactivity" OR "cerebral vasoreactivity" OR cvr OR "cerebral vasomotor reactivity" OR "vasomotor responsiveness" OR "cerebrovascular responsiveness") AND (Humans [Mesh]); and Scopus (TITLE-ABS-KEY (cognition OR cognitive OR memory OR attention) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("cerebral vascular reactivity" OR "cerebrovascular reactivity" OR "cerebral vasoreactivity" OR cvr OR "cerebral vasomotor reactivity" OR "vasomotor responsiveness" OR "cerebrovascular responsiveness").) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar")).

Only studies published in English using MRI-based CVR assessments and conducted with adult (> 18 years) humans were included. Exclusion criteria included animal studies, CVR assessed with imaging modalities other than MRI, not performing a cognitive/neuropsychological assessment, or not analysing the associations between CVR and cognition. The reference lists of the included studies were also searched.

**Quality assessment and extracted information**

Studies deemed eligible were checked for quality using the NIH Quality Assessment Tool for Case-Control Studies and the Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies and the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. Information extracted from the studies related to the country, year of publication, MRI technique and analysis, vasodilatory challenge, CVR values, cognitive/neuropsychological assessment, and participant demographics including age, gender, years of education, cognitive profile and other health status information where available.
**Results**

10 studies were included in the final review [10, 13, 14, 30–36]. All studies were of a fair to good quality as assessed by two independent researchers (SC and HM; See Fig. 1).

**Study demographics and details**

Research was conducted in four countries (USA n = 4, Canada n = 2, Switzerland n = 1, France n = 3). Participants in 7 of the studies had an average age of mid-to-late 60's to early 70's [10, 13, 30–32, 35, 37], one study investigated adults aged 30–50 years (patients mean age 39 ± 5.91 years, controls mean age 41 ± 6.38 years) [36], one study recruited adults with moyamoya disease aged over 18 years (range 29–73, mean age 40.4 years) [34], while the remaining study involved a cohort of older (mean age 63 ± 5 years) and younger adults (mean age 24 ± 3 years) [33].

Two studies investigated the differences in CVR and cognition between patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) versus healthy controls [10, 32]. Metzger et al. [36] assessed cerebral vasoreactivity and cognitive status in multiple sclerosis patients versus healthy controls. Two studies included patient samples with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) matched with healthy controls [13, 31], while two examined only MCI and healthy controls [35, 37] and one paper examined only AD versus healthy controls [30]. Calviere et al. [34] investigated CVR and cognitive impairment in patients with moyamoya disease. The work by Gauthier et al. [33] was a cross-sectional study assessing differences between groups of healthy younger and older adults.

![Fig. 1 Flowchart of study selection](image-url)
All studies were single-visit examinations, with the exception of Chung et al. [32] which was longitudinal; participants were assessed at baseline and 2-year follow-up. Table 1 displays patient characteristics.

### Vascular challenge paradigm

Vascular challenges varied between studies. Five elicited hypercapnia via fixed-level CO₂-enriched gas inhalation. Concentrations varied between 5% CO₂ in medical air [30, 35], 7% CO₂ in medical air [31], 7% CO₂ in 93% oxygen (carbogen) [13] and 8% CO₂-enriched gas (BACTAL®) [36]. Two studies used CO₂ rebreathing as the hypercapnic manipulation, though both failed to report the length of the rebreathing period, and the size of the reservoir used [32, 37]. Tchistiakova et al. [10] used the breath-hold technique, wherein participants performed a series of 6 × 15 s breath holds following 3 s of exhalation with 30 s of intermittent regular breathing. Gauthier et al. [33] used a computer-controlled gas delivery system which prospectively targeted the partial pressure of expired CO₂ (etCO₂) to 40 mmHg for normocapnia, and 45 mmHg for the hypercapnia period, whilst maintaining the expired O₂ (etO₂) at 100 mmHg throughout the procedure. The duration of gas delivery also varied across these studies, see Table 2 for details. The remaining study elicited vasodilation via injection of 15 mg/kg acetazolamide [34].

### Cognitive/neuropsychological assessment

While the majority of the studies reported more than one cognitive assessment, we were primarily interested in the tests that were analysed in connection with CVR. All but three studies [10, 34, 36] reported mini-mental state examination (MMSE) [38] scores. Of the seven studies that reported MMSE, four investigated the relationship between CVR and MMSE score [13, 30, 31, 37]. Results are reviewed in the discussion section.

Assessments of executive function in connection with CVR were included in five studies. Chung et al. [32] composed a composite measure of the average of verbal fluency and Trail Making Tests A & B scores, Gauthier et al. [33] used a modified Stroop task to measure executive function, and Tchistiakova et al. [10] employed the Wisconsin Card Sorting test (WCST). Calviere et al. [34] used a battery of tests examining executive function (letter and category fluency tests, Trail Making Test B, Stroop interference, Brixton test and a modified version of the WSCT that included both number of categories and number of preservations), and attention (Trail Making Test A, and colored dots and words of the Stroop test) to categorize patients as being cognitively impaired or not. Patients scoring below 5th percentile of the normative mean on 3 or more subtests were considered to have dysexecutive cognitive syndrome (DCS), which defined the cognitively impaired sample in this cohort. Metzger et al. [36] used a similar battery, the BCCog-SEP [39] designed to evaluate cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Tasks included assessments of verbal short-term memory, visual perception, digit spans, working memory, processing speed, go-no-go test and verbal fluency. Cognitive status was defined by this evaluation, patients were classified as cognitively impaired if they scored below the 5th percentile of the normative mean of the BCCOG SEP on at least 4 subtests. Other cognitive tasks that did not overlap between studies are outlined in Table 1.

### MRI data acquisition

BOLD fMRI was used in six of the studies [10, 13, 30, 31, 35, 36]. Three papers employed the arterial spin labeling (ASL) MRI technique to measure changes in brain perfusion. Of these, one used pulsed ASL (PASL) [37], one used continuous ASL (CASL) [32] and the final employed pseudo-continuous ASL (pCASL) [33]. However, in the work of Gauthier et al. the images acquired with pCASL sequence were separated into BOLD and CBF time-series data, of which only the BOLD information was used in CVR analysis. Therefore, this work is considered to be a BOLD imaging study. The remaining study used dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced (DSC) MRI [34]. All but two studies [13, 34] used an MR scanner with magnetic field strength of 3T. MR protocol information is displayed in Table 2.

### Summary of regional CVR findings

The studies that employed BOLD imaging assessed CVR in various regions-of-interest (ROIs) with some contrastings findings. Results are shown in Table 2. Cantin et al. [13] observed regional impairment in CVR between healthy controls and patients with cognitive impairment, particularly in posterior brain areas, whereas Yezhuvath et al. [30] reported CVR deficits in more rostral regions in patients with AD compared to healthy controls. Cantin et al. [13] investigated CVR in several regions: frontal, parietal, temporal and occipital lobes, the cingulum, the insula, the striatum and the thalamus. Yezhuvath et al. performed a voxel-wise regression and region-of-interest (ROI) analysis using 6 regions: the occipital lobe, temporal lobe, frontal lobe, parietal lobe, insular cortex and subcortical grey matter. This is in contrast to the work by Thomas et al. [35], who found no differences in reactivity between adults with amnestic mild cognitive impairment compared to controls using a voxel-wise comparison of whole-brain grey matter CVR maps. Metzger et al. [36] calculated CVR in 8 regions of interest (ROIs): occipital, parietal, temporal frontal, insula, cingulum, thalamus...
Table 1 Patient characteristics, cognitive assessment and relationship with CVR

| Study                   | Sample (age, mean ± SD) | Cognitive assessment (score ± SD) | CVR in participants | CVR and cognition                                      |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Calviere et al. [34]    | 10 MMD (40.4, 8 female), 6 with DCS | Executive function (TMT B)       | CVR < DCS than no DCS | Frontal CVR reduced in cognitively impaired patients, temporoparietal CVR was not different between DCS and no DCS |
|                         |                          | Letter and category fluency      |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | Stroop interference              |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | Brixton test                     |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | WISC-C                           |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | WISC-P                           |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | Attention and processing speed   |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | TMT A                            |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | Coloured dots and words Stroop   |                     |                                                        |
| Cantin et al. [13]      | 7 MCI (64.1 ± 9.0, 2 female) | MMSE                             | HC > MCI = AD       | CVR correlated with MMSE score in all regions examined |
|                         | 9 AD (71.1 ± 6.7, 5 female) | MCI 27.4 ± 1.8                   |                     |                                                        |
|                         | 11 HC (65.4 ± 9.3, 6 female) | AD 21.7 ± 2.2                    |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | HC 295 ± 0.5                     |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | CDR                              |                     |                                                        |
| Chung et al. [32]       | 35 T2DM (65.1 ± 8.0)     | MMSE (not analysed with CVR)     | No significant CVR differences between T2DM and HC at baseline or 2-year follow up | Controls: no significant association between CVR and executive function in T2DM. Regional CVR associated with executive function in frontal and parietal lobes in T2DM |
|                         | 30 HC (67.1 ± 10.4)      | HVLT-R (verbal learning and memory function) |                     |                                                        |
|                         | 33 female whole sample   | ROCF (visual–spatial ability and visual memory function) |                     |                                                        |
|                         | 2-year follow-up: 40ppts, 19 T2DM | TMT A & B (executive function)   |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | VF (executive function)          |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | IADL scale                       |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | Composite learning and memory T score (average of HVLT-R and ROCF) |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | Composite executive function (average of VF and TMT) |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | T2DM Baseline: 47.5 ± 8.3        |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | 2-year follow up: 44.6 ± 10.5    |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | HC baseline: 52.1 ± 7.6          |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | 2-year follow up: 56.5 ± 9.9     |                     |                                                        |
| Gauthier et al. [33]    | 31 younger (24 ± 3, 10 female) | MMSE (values not reported)      | Frontal CVR lower in older group, but not significant | Frontal BOLD CVR not associated with Stroop performance |
|                         | 54 older (63 ± 5, 37 female) | Modified Stroop task (executive function) |                     |                                                        |
| Glodzik et al. [37]     | 7 MCI (73.4 ± 8.2, 10 female) | MMSE                             | HC > MCI           | CVR not related to MMSE, age, or regional brain volumes, in either the entire sample or in HC and MCI subgroups |
|                         | 17 HC (69.8 ± 6.9, 32 female) | MCI 27.5 ± 2.4                   |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | HC 292 ± 1.0                     |                     |                                                        |
|                         |                          | Brief Cognitive Rating Scale GDS |                     |                                                        |
| Metzger et al. [36]     | 33 MS patients, 12 CI (41 ± 6.27, 7 female), 21 CN (39 ± 5.9114 female), 22 HC (41 ± 6.48, 13 female) | BC cogSEP (short term memory, visual memory, digit spans, working memory, processing speed, go-no-go test, executive function) | Overall MS = HC CI < CN | CVR lower in cognitively impaired patients in whole brain and all regions examined |
Table 1 continued

| Study                        | Sample (age, mean ± SD)                          | Cognitive assessment (score ± SD) | CVR in participants       | CVR and cognition                                      |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Richardi et al. [31]         | 15 MCI (71 ± 10, 9 female) 20 AD (76 ± 7, 10 female) 28 HC (73 ± 7, 18 female) | MMSE MCI 28 ± 2 AD 25 ± 3 HC 29 ± 1 | AD and MCI slower CVR velocity                         | CVR correlated with MMSE in 10 regions of the DMN      |
| Tchistiakova et al. [10]     | 18 HTN + T2DM (71.8 ± 5.6, 7 female) 22 HTN only (73.4 ± 6.2, 12 female) | TMT A (processing speed) CVLT (memory) WCST (executive function) | Compared to HTN, HTN + T2DM had decreased CVR in frontal and parietal areas | No significant associations between CVR and cognitive function |
| Thomas et al. [35]           | 44 MCI (64 ± 6, 25 female) 28 HC (65.6 ± 6.8, 15 female) | MMSE MCI 28.9 ± 1.4 HC 29 ± 1.0 LM (immediate and delayed recall) TMT A & B (executive function) CVLT (memory) | MCI = HC                                                  | No significant differences in whole brain grey matter CVR between MCI and HC |
| Yezhuvath et al. [30]        | 12 AD (68.7 ± 8.4, 10 female) 13 HC (70.5 ± 8.3, 4 female) | MMSE AD 22.8 ± 4.1 HC 29.6 ± 0.7 CERAD battery CDR BNT (language ability) | HC > AD Compared with controls, AD patients had reduced CVR in rostral brain | CVR in frontal lobe and insula (the primary CVR deficit regions) not related to global cognitive function Significant correlation between CVR and Boston Naming Test score in the frontal and insula regions in AD patients |

Mean age and SD in brackets

MMD moyamoya disease, DCS, dysexecutive cognitive syndrome, CI cognitive impairment, CN cognitively normal, HC healthy controls, AD Alzheimer’s disease, MCI mild cognitive impairment, HTN hypertension, T2DM type 2 diabetes mellitus, CDR Clinical Dementia Rating, BCogSEP French language test to evaluate cognitive performance in multiple sclerosis, IADL instrumental activities of daily living, ROCF Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure, HVLT-R Hopkins Verbal Learning Test–Revised, GDS Global Deterioration Scale, LM logical memory, TMT A & B Trail Making Test Parts A and B; VF verbal fluency, CVLT California Verbal Learning Test, WCST Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (-C: categories; -P: perseverations), CERAD Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease, BNT Boston Naming Test.
| Study               | MR method                  | Vascular challenge                  | Stimulus effect—CVR values | Stimulus effect—etCO₂ values | Brain regions analysed |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Calviere et al. [34]| DSC EPI                   | 15 mg/kg injection of acetazolamide | Frontal CVR DCS            | N/A                         | ROIs: Frontal, temporoparietal |
|                     | 1.5T TE: 30 ms             |                                     | −13.5 ± 13.2% BOLD/mmHg    |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | No DCS                     |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | 20.2 ± 21.3% BOLD/mmHg     |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | Temporoparietal CVR DCS    |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | −7.2 ± 22.2% BOLD/mmHg     |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | No DCS                     |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | 12.7 ± 25.1% BOLD/mmHg     |                             |                       |
| Cantin et al. [13]  | BOLD EPI 1.5T TR: 3000 ms  | Carbogen (7% CO₂ in 93% O₂)         | MCI 0.36 ± 0.12% BOLD/mmHg | Baseline                    | ROIs: frontal, parietal, temporal and occipital lobes, cingulum, insula, striatum and thalamus |
|                     | TE: 45 ms                  | Air (1 min) — carbogen (2 min) — air | AD 0.36 ± 0.13% BOLD/mmHg  |                             |                       |
|                     | voxel size                 | (1 min) x 3, Duration 12 min        | HC 0.62 ± 0.20% BOLD/mmHg  |                             |                       |
|                     | 4 x 4 x 4 mm³             |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
|                     | 32 axial slices            |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
| Chung et al. [32]   | CASL w FAIR 3T            | Vasodilation with CO₂ rebreathing   | T2DM Baseline              | Not reported                | ROIs: Global, frontal, temporal, parietal, occipital and insula |
|                     | Scanning parameters not   | reactivity slope from rebreathing   | 1.1 ± 0.7 ml/100 g/min     |                             |                       |
|                     | reported                   | to hyperventilation CVR values      | 2-year follow up           |                             |                       |
|                     |                            | reported are from the              | 1.0 ± 1.0 ml/100 g/min     |                             |                       |
|                     |                            | vasodilation measure               | HC                          |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | Baseline                   |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | 0.69 ± 0.43 ml/100 g/min    |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | 2-year follow up            |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | 0.39 ± 1.50 ml/100 g/min    |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | etCO₂ targeted to 40 mmHg at baseline |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | etO₂ targeted to 100 mmHg  |                             |                       |
|                     |                            |                                     | throughout                 |                             |                       |
| Gauthier et al. [33]| pCASL w FAIR 3T           | Computer controlled gas delivery    | Older adults 0.25 ± 0.08% BOLD/mmHg | etCO₂ targeted to 40 mmHg at baseline | ROI: frontal lobe |
|                     | simultaneous CBF and BOLD | system 2 x 2 min blocks of hypercapnia with 2 min of air before and after each block. | Younger adults 0.25 ± 0.06% BOLD/mmHg | etO₂ targeted to 100 mmHg throughout |                       |
|                     | BOLD CVR data reported     |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
|                     | TR: 3000 ms                |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
|                     | TE1: 10 ms                 |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
|                     | TE2: 30 ms                 |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
|                     | voxel size                 |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
|                     | 4 x 4 mm³                  |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
|                     | 11 slices of 7 mm (1 mm slice gap) |                                     |                            |                             |                       |
Table 2 continued

| Study                  | MR method                  | Vascular challenge | Stimulus effect—CVR values (mmHg) | Stimulus effect—etCO₂ values (mmHg) | Brain regions analysed |
|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Glodzik et al. [37]    | PASL w FAIR 3T TR 3400 ms TE 17 ms TI 1200 ms voxel size 1.2 x 1.2 x 6 mm³ | CO₂ rebreathing | MCI Global cortical 0.31 ± 1.2 ml/100 g/min Averaged hippocampus 0.05 ± 1.7 ml/100 g/min | Baseline MCI 38.7 ± 5.9 HC 39.7 ± 3.1 | ROIs: Global cortical, hippocampus |
| Metzger et al. [36]    | BOLD EPI 3T TR 3000 ms TE 20 ms voxel size 3 x 3 x 3.2 mm³ 44 slices | 8% CO₂ enriched-gas (BACTAL®) Air (1 min)—BACTAL® (2 min)—air (2 min)—BACTAL® (2 min)—air (2 min)—BACTAL® (2 min)—air (1 min) | Global median CVR Patients CI 0.151 ± 0.06% BOLD/mmHg Patients CN 0.212 ± 0.06% BOLD/mmHg HC 0.207 ± 0.06% BOLD/mmHg | Not monitored, mean etCO₂ obtained from a standard population | Global median grey matter ROIs: frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal, insula, striatum, thalamus, cingulum |
| Richiardi et al. [31]  | BOLD EPI 3T TR 2970 ms TE 12.3, 29.5, 46.8, and 64 ms voxel size 3.44 x 3.44 x 3.5 mm³ 34 axial slices | 7% CO₂ in medical air administered via a nasal cannula Air (1 min)—CO₂ (2 min)—air (2 min)—CO₂ (2 min)—air (2 min)—CO₂ (2 min)—air (2 min)—air (2 min) Duration 9 min | Not reported | Not monitored | Lobe level (7 lobes) and regional level analysis (88 regions) |
| Tchistiakova et al. [10] | BOLD 3T TR 2000 ms TE 30 ms 32 axial slices | Successive breath holds 6 breath holds lasting 15 s each following 3 s expiration period with intermittent 30 s periods of normal breathing CVR calculated as %change in BOLD signal, not corrected for etCO₂ | Not reported | Not monitored | Voxel-wise CVR map Only ROIs that differed between groups specified: left pericalcarine cortex, right inferior parietal, lateral occipital and precuneus, and bilateral cuneus, lingual gyri and superior parietal lobes |
| Thomas et al. [35]     | BOLD 3T Scanning parameters not reported | 5% CO₂ in medical air administered through a mouthpiece, nose-clip fitted CO₂ (1 min)—air (1 min) repeated three times | MCI 0.174 ± 0.04% BOLD/mmHg HC 0.170 ± 0.03% BOLD/mmHg | Not reported | Whole brain grey matter |
| Yezhuvath et al. [30]  | BOLD EPI 3T TR 3000 ms TE 30 ms Voxel size 1.7 x 1.7 mm³ 25 axial slices | 5% CO₂ in medical air alternating between gas and room air every minute Duration 7 min | Not reported | Baseline AD 33.9 ± 3.5 HC 33.7 ± 5.3 | Voxel-wise map and ROI analysis ROIs: occipital lobe, temporal lobe, frontal lobe, parietal lobe, insular cortex and subcortical grey matter |

HC healthy controls, AD Alzheimer's disease, MCI mild cognitive impairment, MMSE Mini-mental State Examination, BOLD EPI blood oxygen-level dependent echo-planar imaging, DSC EPI dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced echo-planar imaging, DCS dysexecutive cognitive syndrome, PASL pulsed arterial spin labeling, pCASL pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling, T2DM Type 2 diabetes mellitus, CI cognitively impaired, CN cognitively normal, etCO₂ end-tidal partial pressure of CO₂, etO₂ end-tidal partial pressure of O₂.
and striatum, as well as a global median. CVR in all ROIs was significantly reduced in MS patients with cognitive impairment compared to those who were not cognitively impaired.

Another study [31] analysed the BOLD data at the level of overall CVR effect, differences between lobes (7 lobes were delineated as per previous work), brain regions (88 cortical and subcortical regions included), and finally the associations between CVR velocity and the cognitive assessment scores. CVR velocity refers to the temporal dynamics of the CVR response, representing the rate of the vasodilation. It was observed that the largest differences in CVR between AD and healthy controls were seen in the frontal and occipital lobes.

Calviere et al. [34] used 22 ROIs manually drawn on the bilateral frontal and temporoparietal areas of the cerebral cortex, and reference areas in the cerebellum. The mean transit time (MTT) and cerebral blood volume (CBV) values from each area were estimated from perfusion weighted image analysis, and averaged to give one measure from each region. Ratios of CBV in the frontal and temporoparietal areas were calculated relative to the cerebellar CBV, which was used as a control region. The CVR values for each region were estimated from the CBV values relative to the cerebellum. Frontal CVR was lower in cognitively impaired patients with moyamoya disease than those without cognitive impairment.

Gauthier et al. examined CVR using a pseudo-continuous ASL (pcASL) sequence. BOLD data was acquired and intersected with areas of significant signal change in response to the vasoactive stimulus observed with ASL data using cluster analysis to define one fronto ROI [33]. This region was found to be slightly lower in reactivity in older adults compared to younger, yet this difference was not significant, nor was CVR in this region associated with cognitive function.

Chung et al. [32] investigated CVR in the frontal, temporal, parietal, occipital and insula lobes of the brain, as well as calculating a global CVR index. The frontal and parietal lobes were associated with change in executive function in patients with T2DM, but not in healthy controls.

Tchistiakova et al. [10] performed a functional ROI analysis, and reported that there was reduced CVR in several regions in those with both hypertension and T2DM compared to hypertension alone in the left hemisphere (pericalcarine cortex), right hemisphere (inferior parietal, lateral occipital and precuneus) and the cuneus, lingual gyri and superior parietal lobes bilaterally.

CVR and neuroimaging correlates of cognitive dysfunction

Seven studies explicitly mentioned correcting for partial volume effects, grey matter atrophy or white matter hyper-intensities (WMH) in their image analyses [10, 13, 30, 31, 33, 36, 37]. In one remaining paper the authors made mention of normalising the perfusion signal for tissue volume, yet did not give further information on the specifics of this procedure [32].

Several studies examined the relationship of CVR to WMH, with some mixed results. Gauthier et al. [33] showed that age, gender and volume of WMH accounted for a significant amount of variance in frontal CVR. Similarly, Yezhuvath et al. [30] found that lower CVR was associated with greater volume of WMH in their cohort of AD and healthy controls. Yet another study investigating the association of grey matter CVR, cardiovascular risk factors and periventricular WMH found that these parameters were intercorrelated [37]. In contrast, Richiardi et al. [31] reported that there was no significant association between severity of WMH and CVR velocity in their cohort of AD, aMCI and healthy controls. Similarly Metzger et al. [36] found that there was no association between CVR and WMH in MS patients, healthy controls or the cohort as a whole.

Of the reviewed papers, only one investigated hippocampal atrophy in relation to reactivity, and it was found to negatively correlate with CVR in the occipital, parietal, striatum and temporal ROIs [13].

Calculation of CVR

Metzger et al. [36] did not monitor end tidal-CO₂ (etCO₂) throughout their experiments, thus they were unable to use this trace as a regressor in their modelling of CVR. This study used mean etCO₂ obtained from a standard population as a regressor in their general linear model (GLM). Richiardi et al. [31] did not monitor etCO₂ either. In this work two CO₂ regression coefficients were calculated analytically to reflect the CVR amplitude and velocity separately, though the authors only report velocity in this paper. These regression coefficients were calculated from mathematical models of nominal and slow etCO₂ responses to a CO₂ challenge, to represent the expected responses in healthy subjects and those with slower vessel dilation respectively. CVR velocity was defined in this paper as the rate of vasodilation. While the method of CVR estimation here is acceptable, the unavailability of etCO₂ data potentially limits the strength of these findings. Similarly, Tchistiakova et al. [10] did not record etCO₂ throughout the hypercapnic procedure. These researchers calculated CVR as the % change in BOLD signal during 6 × 15 s breath-holds.

Calviere et al. [34] used the regional cerebral blood volume (rCBV) ratio from the regions of interest (ROIs) that was relative to the CBV of the cerebellum (control region). No etCO₂ was recorded in this study as vasodilation was elicited via injection of acetazolamide, thus the
calculation used in this study was: \( \text{CVR} = \left( \frac{\text{rCBV ratio before acetazolamide} - \text{rCBV ratio after acetazolamide}}{\text{rCBV ratio before acetazolamide}} \right) \times 100. \)

Chung [32] used a rebreathing paradigm to assess vasodilation, vasoconstriction and vasoreactivity separately. Vasodilation was measured as the perfusion increase from baseline during \( \text{CO}_2 \) rebreathing normalised to the change in \( \text{etCO}_2 \) between baseline and rebreathing. Vasoreactivity was defined as the best-fitting slope between normal breathing, vasodilation and vasoconstriction. It should be noted that the ‘gold standard’ for CVR measurement is more likely the whole vasodilatory range of hypocapnia (elicited by hyperventilation) to hypercapnia [40]. However, CVR is most commonly calculated as the difference in CBF (or surrogate) between baseline and during a vascular challenge divided by the change in \( \text{etCO}_2 \) between these conditions, thus the vasodilation measure is taken as CVR, not the vasoreactivity measure in this instance.

The remainder of the studies estimated CVR using the standard calculation:

\[
\text{CVR} = \left( \frac{\text{MRI}_{\text{parameter}_\text{dil}} - \text{MRI}_{\text{parameter}_\text{rest}}}{\text{MRI}_{\text{parameter}_\text{rest}}} \right) \times 100 / \Delta \text{etCO}_2
\]

where \( \text{MRI}_{\text{parameter}_\text{dil}} \) is the CBF or BOLD signal measured during the vasodilated period; \( \text{MRI}_{\text{parameter}_\text{rest}} \) indicates the CBF or BOLD signal measured at baseline; and \( \Delta \text{etCO}_2 \) is the difference in end-tidal \( \text{CO}_2 \) in mmHg between the two conditions.

**Relationship between CVR and cognition**

Of the four papers that analysed the association of CVR to MMSE score, two reported significant positive correlations [13, 31], and two reported no relationship [30, 37]. Metzger’s work found that CVR was lower in MS patients with cognitive impairment compared to non-impaired patients [36], supporting the findings of Calviere et al. [34], who reported that CVR was significantly reduced in patients with moyamoya disease and dysexecutive cognitive syndrome (DCS) compared to patients without DCS. This is in contrast to the results of Thomas’s study, which concluded that whole-brain grey matter CVR was not significantly different between MCI and healthy control groups [35].

Tchistiakova et al.’s [10] research involved three measures of cognitive function, none of which were found to correlate with CVR. These measures were tests of memory, processing speed and executive function. A second study [33] also reported no significant association between executive function as measured by a Stroop task, yet the work by Chung et al. [32] found that CVR decline was linked to a decrease in executive function in T2DM at 2-year follow-up. These results are further discussed below.

**Discussion**

This paper systematically reviewed research articles that examined the association between cognition and cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR) using MRI. Six out of ten studies described significant relationships between CVR and cognition, including a longitudinal study which reported that lower CVR was predictive of cognitive decline over a 2-year period. The association of CVR to cognition is more established in individuals with cognitive dysfunctions, while this link is less well-known in cognitively normal adults. There was an over-reliance on imprecise measures of cognition, and the vascular challenges used to measure CVR varied widely.

**CVR is reduced in adults with cognitive dysfunction**

CVR was consistently lower in cognitively impaired adults versus healthy controls, or patients without cognitive impairment in the reviewed research (6 of 10 studies). Two studies reported significant correlations between cognition measured by MMSE and CVR in multiple brain regions [13, 31]. These investigations also observed that CVR was significantly reduced in AD and MCI patients, and that AD patients had significantly slower responses to hypercapnia (i.e. CVR velocity was reduced), compared to healthy controls. In contrast, Glodzik et al. and Yezhuvath et al. [30, 37] reported that CVR was not directly related to cognition measured using the MMSE. However, in both of these studies patients with cognitive impairment had lower reactivity than matched healthy controls, seen in the hippocampus in Glodzik et al. [37] and in the prefrontal, anterior cingulate and insular cortices in the study by Yezhuvath et al. [30]. Two other studies reported that CVR was significantly reduced in participants with cognitive impairment compared to those who were cognitively normal [34, 36].

These findings are supported by evidence using other modalities linking dementia severity with cerebrovascular responsiveness [27, 28]. Transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasound is often used to measure changes in CBF velocity in investigations of CVR. This method, while temporally precise, lacks spatial resolution, thus its practicality in regional CVR examinations is limited. Nonetheless, research conducted into the relationship between CVR and cognition with TCD has shown interesting results. Silvestrini et al. [28] reported that CVR as measured using the breath-hold index and TCD was the sole predictor of cognitive decline in patients with AD. Moreover, breath-hold index has been found to be associated with early cognitive impairment [41], as well
as an increased risk of conversion from MCI to AD [27].
A systematic review of TCD analyses found that CVR to
hypercapnia was a good differentiator of dementia sub-
types across multiple studies [42]. Overall, the results of
the reviewed studies lend support to the hypothesis that
CVR and cognitive functioning are linked, evidenced by
findings of reduced reactivity in patients with cognitive
impairment compared to cognitively healthy controls.

While the data reviewed is suggestive of reduced vascu-
lar reactivity in individuals with cognitive impairment, a
definitive relationship between CVR and cognition in cog-
nitively healthy adults was not identified. Only one study
focused exclusively on cognitively normal adults without
chronic health conditions [33], whilst five studies included
healthy controls as compared to patients with cognition
impairment, and examined CVR and cognition within
these participants [13, 30–32, 35]. Two investigations com-
pared patients with cognitive impairment to those with-
out. Metzger studied MS in relation to healthy controls
[36], whilst Thomas et al. [34] investigated only individu-
als with moyamoya disease (MMD). The remaining study
investigated CVR and cognition in cognitively normal
individuals with hypertension with or without co-morbid
T2DM [10]. Within the reviewed studies, imprecise meth-
ods were used for evaluation of cognitive function and
CVR. Reliance on the mini-mental state exam (MMSE)
as the main assessment of cognition in several studies [13,
30, 31, 37] necessitates some caution, as this measure may
not be sufficiently sensitive to variation in cognitive capa-
bility, nor does it allow for distinction between different
cognitive domains [38]. This is evidenced by the findings of
Richiardi et al. [30] in which no evidence of a relationship
between CVR and cognitive performance was found using
measures of global cognitive function, yet a significant cor-
relation was observed with language ability. The MMSE is
specifically designed as a screening tool for distinguishing
between individuals with and without gross cognitive
impairment [38], and as such its usefulness for precise
cognitive assessment is not ideal.

Among research that assessed cognitively healthy
cohorts and those assessing the cognitive capabilities of
individuals with MS or MMD, tests of executive func-
tion were used. However the specific tests used to define
this construct varied between the five studies, including
tasks of inhibitory control, task-switching, verbal fluency,
and processing speed, among others [10, 32–34, 36] (see
Table 1 for details). Of the two studies assessing patients
[34, 36] batteries of neuropsychological tests examing
executive function (amongst others) were used to
determine cognitive status. While results of two studies
showed that executive function was not directly corre-
lated with CVR in either frontal cortex [33] or averaged
across the whole brain [10], the two patient studies both
reported that CVR was significantly lower in individuals
with cognitive impairment compared to those without.
This was observed in the frontal region in MMD [34],
and in the whole brain grey matter, as well as in a region-
of-interest analysis comprising multiple brain areas in
MS [36]. Similarly, Chung et al. [32] observed that global
CVR was positively associated with executive function
in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). In T2DM
patients, decreased global, frontal and parietal vasodila-
tion at 2-year follow up was linked to accelerated declines
in executive function. The executive function task was
composed of separate tasks of verbal fluency and Trail
Making Task A, which assesses task-switching and visual
attention. The studies that failed to observe any associa-
tion between CVR and executive function used tasks that
assessed interference and flexibility in thinking (Stroop
and the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task, respectively),
whilst the patient studies that did observe an association
defined cognitive status on the basis of multiple execu-
tive function tasks. Thus it could be that some aspects of
executive function are more related to CVR than others.
Notably, it is thought that there are from 3 to as many as
7 distinguishable executive abilities [43], hence a more
comprehensive approach to assessment would be neces-
sary to draw definitive conclusions.

Relationship between CVR and cognition may be mediated
by cardiovascular risk factors in cognitively healthy adults
There is evidence that CVR is related to executive func-
tion in populations with cardiovascular risk [10, 32]. One
study [10] reported that CVR was significantly lower in
those with comorbid hypertension and T2DM versus
participants with hypertension alone. Similarly, Chung
et al. [32] reported that higher inflammatory markers in
T2DM were linked to greater reductions in CVR, which
resulted in accelerated cognitive decline over a 2-year
period. Gauthier et al. [33] reported an association
between cognitive performance and aortic pulse wave
velocity (PWV) in their healthy cohort, yet no direct link
between CVR and cognition was observed. This find-
ing was interpreted as indicating that declining vascular
health, even in primary stages, negatively impacts cogni-
tion. Due to the above-average health of the cohort only
minor differences in cerebrovascular properties were
seen, as compared to larger changes seen in aortic elas-
ticity between younger and older adults. Small blood
vessel changes, coupled with the known low signal to
noise ratio (SNR) present in BOLD imaging was posited
to explain the unexpected lack of relationship observed
between CVR and cognition in this study.

The relationship between cardiovascular risk and CVR
was more clearly demonstrated by Glodzik et al. [37],
who reported moderate negative correlations between
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the two in the hippocampus \((r = -0.41)\) and cortical grey matter \((r = -0.46)\) in both patients and healthy controls. Likewise, there is evidence of a link between reduced CVR and increased vascular risk in previous studies using MRI [44] and TCD [45]. Together, these findings may indicate that decreased reactivity may be the result of poor vascular health in general, and this is the primary factor triggering neurocognitive decline. Extensive evidence indicates that risk factors for cardiovascular disease precede and facilitate cognitive deterioration in aging [46–48].

Cardiovascular factors can result in dysfunctional reactivity in specific brain regions, leading to hypoperfusion which may pertain to cognitive impairment. The discrepancies between these three studies are multifaceted including use of different: executive tasks; methods of inducing hypercapnia; and, different imaging techniques [10, 32, 33]. These discrepancies’ limit the generalisability of the findings to a wider cohort; however, it can be seen that there is a possible association between cardiovascular risk factors and CVR which may mediate the relationship between CVR and executive function in cognitively healthy individuals. Further studies are needed to confirm these associations.

Similarly, there is the possibility that the observed relationships between CVR and cognition could be mediated by the presence of other cerebral pathologies known to disrupt cognition, such as white matter hyper-intensities (WHM) and hippocampal atrophy. It is understood that severity of WMH corresponds to cognitive decline [49, 50], and evidence has shown that normal-appearing white matter that progresses to WMH has lower CVR than areas that do not progress [51]. Within the reviewed articles, the relationship of CVR to WMH was mixed, with three [30, 33, 37] of five studies reported a significant correlation. Interestingly, all three of these papers observed significant relationships between cognition and CVR, thus it is apparent that continued research investigating these associations is necessary.

**Methodological considerations**
While the results of the reviewed studies are inconsistent, this is likely influenced by heterogeneous samples, imprecise cognitive testing instruments (as outlined above), varying procedures for inducing vasodilation and differences in imaging protocols.

**Differences in vascular challenge**
All studies induced an increase in cerebral blood flow; however, not all manipulations are equal in their capacity to elicit vasodilation. Whilst the breath-hold method is used widely, is inexpensive and efficient in inducing CBF changes, this technique may produce less reproducible stimuli and/or data due to participant compliance, as well as individual differences in breath hold capacity. Breath-hold and re-breathing procedures during MR imaging also present potential risk of motion artifacts, which may result in undesirable signal differences [52]. It is well established that the strength and duration of the stimulus effects the cerebrovascular response [53]. Inhalation of CO2-enriched gas mixture has been shown to be a more highly reliable means to induce hypercapnia and stimulate the cerebral vasculature [54–56].

Prospective targeting of etCO2 has been deemed the most standardisable stimuli for measuring CVR in a recent review paper [24], yet only one study included in the current work employed this technique [33]. It should be noted however, that the literature is far from a consensus on which vascular challenge is most appropriate for assessment of CVR.

Five studies used the more traditional method of inhalation of fixed-level CO2-enriched gas. Differences may appear somewhat minor—a discrepancy of 2% CO2 concentration between the 7% used by Richiardi et al. [31] and 5% by both Thomas [35] and Yezhuvath et al. [30]; while Richiardi et al. and Cantin et al. [13] used the same concentration of CO2 (7%), the latter study mixed the gas with 93% O2, a substance known as carbogen, rather than medical air, which is balanced with N2. The gas concentration utilised by Metzger [36] was slightly higher again (8% BACTAL®), and it should be noted that the composition of this gas mixture was unreported, and unable to be identified from an internet search.

While these differences in CO2 concentration may seem trivial, the evidence suggests that the relationship between BOLD signal and PaCO2 is non-linear, thus CVR results may be dependent on the CO2 concentration used, as well as baseline PaCO2 [57]. The use of carbogen (and potentially, BACTAL®) as the vasoactive stimulus [13], rather than standard medical air, has implications for the measurement of CVR, particularly when combined with BOLD imaging [54]. The percentage of oxygen present in carbogen is greater than that in the atmosphere, which will result in an increase in arterial partial pressure of O2 (PaO2), and possible vasconstriction, confounding the vasodilatory response intended for CVR measurement. By nature, BOLD imaging relies on the ratio of oxygenated to deoxygenated hemoglobin in the blood, and any increase in PaO2 in the brain will elicit unwanted changes in the BOLD signal. BOLD is also sensitive to changes in blood flow, volume and oxygen metabolism, whilst ASL measures flow only, and is not affected by changes in blood oxygenation.

Two studies employed CO2 rebreathing [32, 37]. Both of these papers lacked information regarding the volume of the respiration reservoirs used and length of
rebreathing period in the paradigms, hampering comparability. Chung et al. [52] also failed to report the end-tidal CO₂ values. The speed at which partial pressure of CO₂ (PaCO₂) rises will be affected by respiration rates and the volume of the rebreathing reservoir, ultimately influencing the measured CVR value. Likewise, breath-holding may produce confounding variables, as the rise in PaCO₂ during breath-holding varies between individuals due to differences in lung size and metabolic rate [24]. This method also relies heavily on participant compliance and may be difficult or uncomfortable for some to perform [58].

An acetazolamide challenge was used in one study [34]. Whilst this method is safe, does not rely on subject cooperation and is widely used in clinical settings, administration via injection is invasive, and a standardized dose may not produce the replicable stimulus necessary for CVR estimation due to individual variability [24]. For the purposes of participant comfort, less invasive stimuli would be preferable for measurement of CVR.

There are multiple options for inducing an increase in cerebral blood flow, however future research in this area would benefit from a more standardized and reproducible approach, particularly if the purpose is a simple measure of cerebrovascular response amplitude. Inhalation of CO₂-enriched gas is an easily implemented and standardizable method, with fewer contraindications than rebreathing and breath-holding. While computer-controlled etCO₂ prospective targeting is the most clinically standardizable technique, it requires expensive equipment which is not readily available in most research facilities. At a minimum, researchers should take care to provide sufficient information regarding vascular challenges so that comparisons may be made between studies.

Variations in imaging protocol
ASL, BOLD and DSC imaging methods are all considered valid for measurement of CVR, yet the results from these are not directly comparable. While MR imaging has a clear advantage of spatial specificity over ultrasound and CT-based methods, all three methods present possible drawbacks in regard to measuring CVR. BOLD, the most commonly used method, acquires images via the complex combination of blood volume, flow and oxygenation metabolism in the brain and thus is affected by subtle variations in any of these parameters, despite not directly measuring blood flow per se. BOLD is also known to be more sensitive to the baseline level of vascular tension than perfusion MRI [59]. ASL, while being more physiologically precise, has limited spatial coverage, lower signal to noise ratio, and is generally considered less sensitive for measures of CVR [33]. As BOLD is more commonly used and also more accessible on conventional MRI scanners, it is the currently preferred sequence for CVR measurements, although rapid development of new ASL pulse sequences enabling global brain coverage may render it the favored method in the future. Both ASL and BOLD MRI have been widely used in studies of hemodynamic function and cognitive performance in both healthy [60, 61] and patient samples [62, 63]. DSC is less commonly employed in CVR measurement studies, most likely due to the necessity of an injection of an exogenous contrast agent. Both BOLD and ASL are non-invasive, well tolerated and easily repeatable, thus either of these methods are considered preferable over DSC MRI for measurement of CVR in research studies.

Conclusion
The connections observed between hemodynamic dysfunction and cognitive impairment observed in the majority of these studies warrants further investigation. Those affected by cognitive impairment were more likely to exhibit decreased CVR compared to healthy controls, as were individuals with greater cardiovascular risk factors. Previous research using alternative methods have given strong indication of the causal relationship between dysfunctional CVR and cognitive deterioration. Given that vascular risk factors are often modifiable, development of vaso-protective therapies may prevent or slow the progression of cognitive decline.

Due to the fact that there is still so much to investigate regarding which type of vasoactive modulation and imaging protocol provides the richest set of data to assess vascular function, recommendations for measurement of CVR response amplitude include the inhalation of a set concentration of CO₂-enriched gas, in combination with either ASL or BOLD MRI, provided that the whole brain is imaged. Future research should also employ more comprehensive neuropsychological examination to further unravel the nature of the association between cerebrovascular reactivity and cognition.

Authors’ contributions
SJC came up with the idea, contributed to the design, performed part of the article inclusion process, and drafted the manuscript. HM contributed to the design, performed part of the article inclusion process and revised the manuscript. AP and MEH contributed to the design and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
1 Centre for Human Psychopharmacology, Swinburne University, Hawthorn, Australia. 2 Centre for Mental Health, Swinburne University, Hawthorn, Australia. 3 Australian National Imaging Facility, St. Lucia, Australia. 4 Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia.

Acknowledgements
Research was supported by a grant from the Barbara Dicker Brain Sciences Association. ME Hughes is supported by the Australian National Imaging Facility.
Competing interests
The authors state that they have no competing interests to report.

Availability of data and materials
Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Consent for publication
Not applicable, all reviewed studies provided appropriate information regarding participant consent.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable, all reviewed studies provided appropriate information on obtaining ethical approval in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Funding
This work was supported by a grant from the Barbara Dicker Brain Sciences Foundation. The funding body had no role in the design, collection or interpretation of the study data, nor in the writing of the manuscript.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 11 January 2018 Accepted: 27 March 2018
Published online: 12 April 2018

References
1. World Health Organization. World report on ageing and health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
2. Toth P, Tarantini S, Csiszar A, Ungvari Z. Functional vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: mechanisms and consequences of cerebral autoregulatory dysfunction, endothelial impairment, and neurovascular uncoupling in aging. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2017;312(1):H1–20.
3. Tarantini S, Tran CHT, Gordon GR, Ungvari Z, Csiszar A. Impaired neurovascular coupling in aging and Alzheimer’s disease: contribution of astrocyte dysfunction and endothelial impairment to cognitive decline. Exp Gerontol. 2016;94:52–8.
4. Markus H, Culliney M. Severely impaired cerebrovascular reactivity predicts stroke and TIA risk in patients with carotid artery stenosis and occlusion. Brain. 2001;124(3):457–67.
5. Vernieri F, Pasqualetti P, Mattes M, Passarelli F, Troisi E, Rossini PM, Caltagirone C, Silvestrini M. Effect of collateral blood flow and cerebral vasomotor reaction on the outcome of carotid artery occlusion. Stroke. 2001;32(7):1552–8.
6. Webster MW, Makaroun MS, Steed DL, Smith HA, Johnson DW, Yonas H. Compromised cerebral blood flow reactivity is a predictor of stroke in patients with symptomatic carotid artery occlusive disease. J Vasc Surg. 1995;21(2):338–45.
7. Marshall O, Lu H, Brissett JC, Xu F, Liu P, Herbert J, Grossman RI, Ge Y. Impaired cerebrovascular reactivity in multiple sclerosis. JAMA Neurol. 2014;71(10):1275–81.
8. Ficarra E, Valkovics A, Fülesdi B, Juhasz A, Czuriga I, Csiba L. Cerebrovascular reactivity in hypertensive patients: a transcranial Doppler study. J Clin Ultrasound. 1997;25(7):383–9.
9. Brundel M, van den Berg E, Reijmer JD, de Bresser J, Kappelle LJ, Biessels GJ. Cerebral haemodynamics, cognition and brain volumes in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complic. 2012;26(3):205–9.
10. Tchistjakova E, Anderson ND, Greenwood CE, Macintosh BJ. Combined effects of type 2 diabetes and hypertension associated with cortical thinning and impaired cerebrovascular reactivity relative to hypertension alone in older adults. Neurimage Clin. 2014;6:36–41.
11. Georgiadis D, Steyer M, Cencetti S, Uhlfmann F, Krivova M, Zierer S, Werdan K. Cerebrovascular reactivity is impaired in patients with cardiac failure. Eur Heart J. 2000;21(5):407–13.
12. Vincenzini R, Ricardi MC, Altemi M, Puccinelli F, Bonaffini N, Di Piero V, Lenz G. Cerebrovascular reactivity in degenerative and vascular dementia: a transcranial Doppler study. Eur Neurol. 2007;58(2):84–9.
13. Cantin S, Villien M, Moreaud O, Tropea J, Keirght S, Chipon E, Le Bas JF, Warnking J, Krainik A. Impaired cerebral vasoreactivity to CO2 in Alzheimer’s disease using BOLD fMRI. Neurimage. 2011;58(2):579–87.
14. Gloczik L, Randall C, Rusinek H, de Leon MJ. Cerebrovascular reactivity to carbon dioxide in Alzheimer’s disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2013;35(3):427–40.
15. Stefani A, Sanchesaro G, Perantaomo M, Leone G, Galati S, Hainsworth AH, Diomed M. CSF biomarkers, impairment of cerebral hemodynamics and degree of cognitive decline in Alzheimer’s and mixed dementia. J Neurol Sci. 2009;283(1–2):109–15.
16. Ruitenberg A, den Heijer T, Bakker SL, van Swieten JC, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Breteler MM. Cerebral hyperperfusion and clinical onset of dementia: the Rotterdam Study. Ann Neurol. 2005;57(6):789–94.
17. Singer T, Trollor JN, Baune BT, Sachdev PS, Smith E. Arterial stiffness, the brain and cognition: a systematic review. Ageing Res Rev. 2014;15:16–27.
18. Pase MP, Herbert A, Grima NA, Pipingas A, O’Rourke MF. Arterial stiffness as a cause of cognitive decline and dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intern Med J. 2012;42(7):808–15.
19. Meyer JS, Rauch G, Rauch RA, Haque A. Risk factors for cerebral hyperperfusion, mild cognitive impairment, and dementia. Neurobiol Aging. 2000;21(2):161–9.
20. De la Torre JC. Cardiovascular risk factors promote brain hyperperfusion leading to cognitive decline and dementia. Cardiovasc Psychiatry Neurol. 2012;2012:367516.
21. O’Brien JT, Erkinjuntti T, Reisberg B, Roman G, Sawada T, Pantoni L, Bowler JV, Ballard C, DeCarli C, Gorelick PB. Vascular cognitive impairment. Lancet Neurol. 2003;2(2):89–98.
22. Balucani C, Viticchi G, Falsetti L, Silvestrini M. Cerebral hemodynamics and cognitive performance in bilateral asymptomatic carotid stenosis. Neurology. 2012;79(17):1788–95.
23. Chmurrayan M, Festa JR, Marshall RG. Chronic ischemia and neurocognition. Neuroimaging Clin. 2007;17(3):313–24.
24. Fierstra J, Sobczak O, Battisti-Charbonney A, Mandell DM, Poublanc J, Crawford AP, Mikulis DJ, Duffin J, Fisher JA. Measuring cerebrovascular reactivity: what stimulus to use? J Physiol. 2013;591:1809–21.
25. Vagil A, Leach J, Fernandez-Ullola M, Zuccarello M. The acetazolamide challenge: techniques and applications in the evaluation of chronic cerebral ischemia. Am J Neuroradiol. 2009;30(5):876–84.
26. Ringelstein EB, Van Eyck S, Mertens I. Evaluation of cerebral vasomotor reactivity by various vasodilating stimuli: comparison of CO2 to acetazolamide. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 1992;12(1):162–8.
27. Viticchi G, Falsetti L, Vernieri F, Altamura C, Bartoloni M, Luzzi S, Provinciali L, Silvestrini M. Vascular predictors of cognitive decline in patients with mild cognitive impairment. Neurobiol Aging. 2012;33(6):1127e1131–9.
28. Silvestrini M, Pasqualetti P, Baruffaldi R, Bartolini M, Handouk Y, Mattes M, Moffa F, Provinciali L, Vernieri F. Cerebrovascular reactivity and cognitive decline in patients with Alzheimer disease. Stroke. 2006;37(4):1010–5.
29. Haratz S, Weinstein G, Molshazi N, Bieen MS, Ravona-Springer R, Marzeliaq O, Goldbout U, Tanne D. Impaired cerebral hemodynamics and cognitive performance in patients with atherothrombotic disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2015;46(1):137–44.
30. Yevushav LS, Ush J, Cheng Y, Martin-Cook K, Weiner M, Diaz-Arrastia R, van Osch M, Lu H. Forebrain-dominant deficit in cerebrovascular reactivity in Alzheimer’s disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2012;33(3):755–72.
31. Richardi J, Monsch AU, Haas T, Barkhof F, Van de Ville D, Radu EW, Kressig RW, Halle R. Altered cerebrovascular reactivity velocity in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2015;36(1):33–41.
32. Chung CC, Pimentel D, Jordan AD, Hao Y, Millberg W, Novak V. Inflammation-associated declines in cerebral vasoactivity and cognition in type 2 diabetes. Nutrition. 2015;85(5):450–8.
33. Gauthier CJ, Lefort M, Mekary S, Desjardins-Crepeau L, Skimming A, Iversen P, Madjar C, Desjardins M, Lesage F, Garde E, et al. Hearts and minds: linking vascular rigidity and aerobic fitness with cognitive aging. Neurobiol Aging. 2015;36(1):304–14.
34. Calvire L, Català I, Marfats F, Viguier A, Bonneville F, Cognard C, Larue V. Correlation between cognitive impairment and cerebral and cerebrovascular disturbances on perfusion magnetic resonance imaging in European adults with moyamoya disease. J Neurosurg. 2010;113(4):753–9.
35. Thomas BP, Sheng BY, Tarumi T, Martin-Cook K, Womack KB, Cullum MC, Levine BD, Zhang R, Lu H. Reduced global brain metabolism but maintained vascular function in amnestic mild cognitive impairment. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2017;37(4):1508–16.
36. Metzger A, Le Bars E, Deverdun J, Molino F, Marichal B, Picot MC, Ayirganac X, Carra C, Bauchet L, Knaïn A, et al. Is impaired cerebral vasoactivity an early marker of cognitive decline in multiple sclerosis patients? Eur Radiol. 2018;28(3):1204–14.
37. Glogdzik L, Rusinek H, Brys M, Tsui WH, Switalski R, Mosconi L, Mistur R, Pifariglia E, de Santi S, Li Y, et al. Framingham cardiovascular risk profile correlates with impaired hippocampal and cortical vasoactivity to hypercapnia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2011;31(2):671–9.
38. Foltisek MF, Foltisek SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12(3):189–98.
39. Dujardin K, Sockeif P, Cabaret M, De Seze J, Vermeersch P. BCoogSEP: a French test battery evaluating cognitive functions in multiple sclerosis. Rev Neurol (Paris). 2004;160(1):51–62.
40. Markus H, Harrison M. Estimation of cerebrovascular reactivity using transcranial Doppler, including the use of breath-holding as the vasodilatory stimulus. Stroke. 1992;23(5):668–73.
41. Zavoreo I, Kes YB, Morovic S, Senc V, Demarin V. Breath holding index in detection of early cognitive decline. J Neurol Sci. 2010;299(1–2):116–9.
42. Keage HAD, Churches OF, Kohler M, Pomeroy D, Luppino R, Bartolo ML, Elliott S. Cerebrovascular function in aging and dementia: a systematic review of transcranial Doppler studies. Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra. 2012;2(1):258–70.
43. Miyake A, Friedman NP, Emerson MJ, Witzki AH, Howerter A, Wagner TD. The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex “frontal lobe” tasks: a latent variable analysis. Cogn Psychol. 2000;41(1):49–100.
44. Last D, Alsop DC, Abduljalil AM, Marquis RP, de Bazelaire C, Hu K, Cavallaro N, Novak V. Global and regional effects of type 2 diabetes on brain tissue volumes and cerebral vasoactivity. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(5):1193–9.
45. Groschel K, Terborg C, Schnaukdigl S, Ringer T, Biecker A, Witte OW, Kasstrup A. Effects of physiological aging and cerebrovascular risk factors on the hemodynamic response to brain activation: a functional transcranial Doppler study. Eur J Neurol. 2007;14(2):125–31.
46. Iadecola C, Park L, Knaïn A, Krainik A, et al. Is impaired cerebral vasoactivity an early marker of cognitive decline in multiple sclerosis patients? Eur Radiol. 2018;28(3):1204–14.
47. Glogdzik L, Rusinek H, Brys M, Tsui WH, Switalski R, Mosconi L, Mistur R, Pifariglia E, de Santi S, Li Y, et al. Framingham cardiovascular risk profile correlates with impaired hippocampal and cortical vasoactivity to hypercapnia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2011;31(2):671–9.
48. Foltisek MF, Foltisek SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12(3):189–98.
49. Dujardin K, Sockeif P, Cabaret M, De Seze J, Vermeersch P. BCoogSEP: a French test battery evaluating cognitive functions in multiple sclerosis. Rev Neurol (Paris). 2004;160(1):51–62.
50. Markus H, Harrison M. Estimation of cerebrovascular reactivity using transcranial Doppler, including the use of breath-holding as the vasodilatory stimulus. Stroke. 1992;23(5):668–73.
51. Zavoreo I, Kes YB, Morovic S, Senc V, Demarin V. Breath holding index in detection of early cognitive decline. J Neurol Sci. 2010;299(1–2):116–9.
52. Keage HAD, Churches OF, Kohler M, Pomeroy D, Luppino R, Bartolo ML, Elliott S. Cerebrovascular function in aging and dementia: a systematic review of transcranial Doppler studies. Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra. 2012;2(1):258–70.
53. Miyake A, Friedman NP, Emerson MJ, Witzki AH, Howerter A, Wagner TD. The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex “frontal lobe” tasks: a latent variable analysis. Cogn Psychol. 2000;41(1):49–100.
54. Last D, Alsop DC, Abduljalil AM, Marquis RP, de Bazelaire C, Hu K, Cavallaro N, Novak V. Global and regional effects of type 2 diabetes on brain tissue volumes and cerebral vasoactivity. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(5):1193–9.
55. Groschel K, Terborg C, Schnaukdigl S, Ringer T, Biecker A, Witte OW, Kasstrup A. Effects of physiological aging and cerebrovascular risk factors on the hemodynamic response to brain activation: a functional transcranial Doppler study. Eur J Neurol. 2007;14(2):125–31.
56. Iadecola C, Park L, Knaïn A, Krainik A, et al. Is impaired cerebral vasoactivity an early marker of cognitive decline in multiple sclerosis patients? Eur Radiol. 2018;28(3):1204–14.
57. Glogdzik L, Rusinek H, Brys M, Tsui WH, Switalski R, Mosconi L, Mistur R, Pifariglia E, de Santi S, Li Y, et al. Framingham cardiovascular risk profile correlates with impaired hippocampal and cortical vasoactivity to hypercapnia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2011;31(2):671–9.