Correlation analyses of CpG island methylation of cluster of differentiation 4 protein with gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits
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Objective: Cluster of differentiation 4 protein (CD4) gene is an important immune related gene which plays a significant role in T cell development and host resistance during viral infection.

Methods: In order to unravel the relationship of CpG island methylation level of CD4 gene with its gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits, we used one typical Chinese indigenous breed (Dapulian, DP) and one commercial breed (Landrace), then predicted the CpG island of CD4 gene, determined the methylation status of CpG sites by bisulfite sequencing polymerase chain reaction (BSP), and carried out the correlation analyses of methylation frequencies of CpG sites with mRNA expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits.

Results: There was one CpG island predicted in the upstream –2 kb region and exon one of porcine CD4 gene, which located 333 bp upstream from the start site of gene and contained nine CpG sites. The correlation analysis results indicated that the methylation frequency of CpG_2 significantly correlated with CD4 mRNA expression in the DP and Landrace combined population, though it did not reach significance level in DP and Landrace separately. Additionally, 15 potential binding transcription factors (TFs) were predicted within the CpG island, and one of them (Jumonji) contained CpG_2 site, suggesting that it may influence the CD4 gene expression through the potential binding TFs. We also found methylation frequency of CpG_2 negatively correlated with T lymphocyte subpopulation traits CD4+CD8−CD3−, CD4−CD8+CD3− and CD4+/CD8+, and positively correlated with CD4−CD8+CD3+ and CD4+CD8+CD3+ (for all correlation, p<0.01) in DP and Landrace combined population. Thus, the CpG_2 was a critical methylation site for porcine CD4 gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits.

Conclusion: We speculated that increased methylation frequency of CpG_2 may lead to the decreased expression of CD4, which may have some kind of influence on T lymphocyte subpopulation traits and the immunity of DP population.

Keywords: Cluster of Differentiation 4 (CD4); Methylation; T Lymphocyte Subpopulations; Correlation Analyses; Dapulian Piglets

INTRODUCTION

T lymphocytes are central elements of immune system which play a critical role in cell-mediated immunity [1]. During the T lymphocytes development, glycoprotein cluster of differentiation 4 (CD4) plays an important role in development of helper T (Th) cells and activates the Th cell maturation process [2,3]. CD4 molecule is expressed not only in T lymphocytes, but also in B cells, macrophages, and granulocytes. CD4 transcription is under the control of several cis-acting elements including enhancers, silencers and DNA methylation...
Zou et al [6] found a silencer element within first intron of CD4 gene was sufficient for CD4 transcriptional repression in cells of the cytotoxic lineage, as well as in thymocytes at earlier stages of differentiation. In pigs, the CD4 can be taken as a candidate gene due to its important function in porcine immunity, especially T lymphocyte subpopulation traits. Xu et al [7] detected the association between polymorphisms of the CD4 gene and T lymphocyte subpopulations and found the CD4 gene may influence T lymphocyte subpopulations.

DNA methylation modification is of great importance for genome reprogramming and gene expression which control animal development [8]. In general, DNA methylation occurs most commonly in CpG islands, which are often associated with gene promoters [9,10]. Methylation within CpG islands is involved in repression of transcription, by altering chromatin structure [11,12], DNA conformation [13,14] and regulating transcription factors (TFs) activity [15]. So far, there are a number of methods that have been developed recently to quantify DNA methylation. Bisulfite sequencing polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (BSP) has become one of the most frequently used techniques in this field [16,17]. Target DNA fragment from numerous bacterial clones is sequenced to determine the extent of methylation at each CpG site. Altering CD4 gene methylation status, the expression was changed which related to resistance to virus infection [18] and inflammatory diseases [19]. In chicken, promoter methylation of CD4 gene was deemed to be down-regulated after Marek’s disease virus infection. By virus-like double-stranded RNA and DNA infection, promoter methylation status of porcine CD4 gene was changed in kidney epithelial cells [20]. However, the methylation status of porcine CD4 CpG island in peripheral blood between different breeds with different disease resistance are still unclear.

Breed is one of the most crucial factors that has a direct effect on resistance or susceptibility to various infectious diseases [21,22]. Most of indigenous pig breeds in China are generally better at disease resistance and immunity than modern commercial breeds [23]. Dapulian (DP), an indigenous pig breed distributed in Shandong province of China, exhibits stronger resistance to diseases [23,24]. In previous studies, we found that there were significant differences between DP and Landrace in routine blood parameters, T lymphocyte subpopulation traits and cytokines and receptor mRNA expression in peripheral blood [25,26]. In this study, we explored the methylation status of CD4 gene in peripheral blood of DP and Landrace piglets, and elucidated the correlation of that with CD4 mRNA expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits. Our study will provide crucial information to help understanding molecular mechanisms of indigenous and western pig breeds with different disease resistance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Blood preparation**

We employed 124 DP piglets and 187 Landrace piglets as experimental individuals which were from two stock farms in Jining of China. All piglets born from 13 DP sows and 28 Landrace sows were 35 days old. The whole procedure for collection of blood was performed in strict accordance with guideline (IACC20060101, 1 January 2006) of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Institute of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences. About 5 mL peripheral blood was collected per piglet via venipuncture into a vacutainer tube using EDTAK2 as anticoagulant.

**T lymphocyte subpopulation traits measurement**

Three-color flow cytometry analyses were performed on blood samples within 24 hours collected to distinguish T cell subpopulations. The three monoclonal antibodies used in the study were purchased from SouthernBiotech (Birmingham, AL, USA). Monoclonal antibodies were labelled three-color surface immunofluorescence, fluorescein isothiocyanate, R-phycoerythrin, and spectral red, for the simultaneous detection of three antigens, CD4, CD8, and CD3 on individual lymphoid cells. The lymphocytes were distinguished into the following subpopulation traits including CD4-CD8-CD3-, CD4+CD8-CD3-, CD4-CD8+CD3-, CD4+CD8+CD3-, CD4-CD8+CD3+, CD4+CD8-CD3+, CD4+CD8+CD3+ and CD4+CD8-CD3+. We summed CD4-CD8-CD3+, CD4+CD8-CD3+, CD4-CD8+CD3+, CD4+CD8+CD3+ as CD3+, and recorded CD4+CD8-CD3+/CD4-CD8+CD3+ as CD4+/CD8+.

**Bioinformatic analyses**

Analysis of CpG islands in the upstream ~2 kb region and exon one (104 bp) of porcine CD4 gene transcript (XM_013987331.1) was performed by the online tools Li Lab MethPrimer (http://www.urogen.org/methprimer/). Parameter setting was as follows: Island size >100, guanine-cytosine percent >50.0, Obs/Exp >0.6. MatInspector [27] was used to recognize putative transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) within the CpG island using the following conditions: core similarity was set 1.00; matrix similarity was set 0.90.

**Bisulfite modification and BSP-sequencing analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood samples using phenol-chloroform method, and its quality was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). DNA from 45 DP and 48 Landrace were modified with sodium bisulfite according to manufacturer’s instructions of EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (ZYMO RESEARCH, Orange County, CA, USA). This procedure converts unmethylated cytosine resi-
due to uracil that is recognized as thymine by Taq polymerase, whereas the methylated cytosine remains unchanged. The modified DNA was either used immediately as a template for following PCR or stored at −20°C.

The target fragment containing the CpG island of CD4 gene was amplified by PCR. Primers were also designed by Li Lab MethPrimer [28], and the forward and reverse primers were 5'-GGTTGATTGAGTATAGTT3' and 5'-TGAAGACCTGCCAATG3', respectively. The amplified fragment length was 347 bp. The 50 μL PCR reaction mixture included 100 ng bisulftite-treated DNA, 1×EpiTaq PCR Buffer (Mg²⁺ free, TaKaRa, Dalian, China), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.3 mM dNTP mixture, 0.4 μM forward and reverse primers, 1.25 U TaKaRa EpiTaq HS. The following reaction conditions were used: 35 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min. The PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis on agarose gels, excised, purified and inserted into the Peasy-T5 Zero Cloning vector (Transgene, Beijing, China). The recombinant clones were used to transform Escherichia coli Trans1-T1 cells (Transgene, Beijing, China). Positive recombinant clones were selected on LB agar plates containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin (Tangen, Beijing, China), and confirmed by PCR. Finally, 11-20 positive recombinant clones per individual were selected and sequenced using an ABI3700XL DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis
Blood samples (0.3 mL) were homogenized in 0.7 mL RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa, Beijing, China) and RNA was extracted for each sample according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentrations were measured on Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer, and RNA integrity was verified by 0.8% gel electrophoresis. cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of total RNA (from 104 DP and 171 Landrace) as a template by PrimerScript RT reagent with gDNA Eraser (Takara, China). The detailed information of the primers was presented in Figure 1. Moreover, there were 9 CpG sites found in the CpG island. The target fragment containing the CpG island is presented in Figure 1. Methylation sequencing results were processed by BIQ Analyzer software [29] for analysis. An individual's methylation frequency for a CpG site was average percentage of methylated cytosines for CpG dinucleotides of sequenced positive clones in this CpG, and population methylation frequency for a CpG site in DP or Landrace population was average of methylation frequencies of each piglet in this CpG. The real-time PCR results of CD4 gene were calculated by the 2⁻ΔΔCt method. The relative expression was represented by ΔCt (CtCD4–CtB2M). Mean value, standard deviation, variable coefficient, maximum and minimum of expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits data were calculated within Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA, USA). Least square mean analyses of CD4 gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation and correlation analyses between CpG sites methylation frequencies of CD4 gene with mRNA expression level and T lymphocyte subpopulations traits were all carried out with R 45 DP, 48 Landrace and 93 combined population of these two breeds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
DNA methylation profile of porcine CD4 gene
CpG island analyses by Li Lab MethPrimer showed that there was one CpG island (122 bp) predicted in the upstream –2 kb region and exon one of porcine CD4 gene, which located 333 bp upstream from the start site of gene. The predicted location of this CpG island in our study was the same as that identified in Wang et al's study [20]. The detailed information of the CpG island is presented in Figure 1. To determine the methylation status of CpG sites, a total of 1,716 clones of CpG island-containing fragments were obtained and sequenced using BSP method. And the average clone number for each individual was 15.3 (ranging from 11 to 20), which will ensure the accuracy of methylation status of CpG sites. All sequences were analyzed using the BIQ Analyzer software for quality control and visualization of methylation status. The detailed methylation status for the two breeds is presented in Figure 2A, 2B. Overall, the CpG island population methylation levels were 89.51%±6.17% and 85.73%±9.94% in DP and Landrace piglets, respectively. Except CpG_2 site, all the other CpG sites of CD4 gene were hypermethylated (Figure 2C). Compared between the two breeds, population methylation frequency of CpG_2 in DP piglets was significantly higher than that in Landrace piglets at 0.01 level (37.20%±4.03% in DP vs 1.71%±0.60% in Landrace, p<0.01), and no significant difference were found for the other eight CpG sites (Figure 2C).
CD4 gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulations traits

The statistical description of CD4 expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that CD4 gene was expressed at a low level with the ΔCt values ranging between 3.88 and 8.17. Compared between the two breeds, ΔCt value of CD4 was significantly higher in DP piglets than that in Landrace piglets (p<0.01), suggesting that the expression of CD4 was significantly lower in DP piglets than that in Landrace piglets at 0.01 level. So far, though it is unclear the relationship between CD4 expression and disease resistance, some studies revealed that overexpression of CD4 gene may lead to decrease of receptor signaling competence [30] and affect T lymphocytes development [4]. On the other hand, for the T lymphocyte subpopulation traits, except CD4+CD8−CD3−, CD4+CD8+CD3−, and CD3+, the other seven traits were significantly different at 0.05 or 0.01 level between the two breeds.

Additionally, comparing the variation within-population, the variation coefficients in DP (the average is 63.32%) were larger than that in Landrace (the average is 55.96%) for CD4 expression and most of the T lymphocyte subpopulations traits, which is consistent with the fact that DP is one indigenous breed with less selection pressure compared with Landrace. Finally, we analyzed the sow effects on all the traits detected. The piglets used in the study were sampled from 13 DP sows and 28 Landrace sows. And the results indicated that the sow effects were significant at 0.01 level on all the T lymphocyte traits as well as CD4 expression.

Correlation analyses between CD4 methylation status and mRNA expression

To investigate the correlation between CD4 gene expression and CpG island methylation level, Pearson correlation analyses were calculated for DP, Landrace and combined population of these two breeds respectively. The results showed that the methylation frequencies of CpG_2, CpG_3, and CpG_7 correlated negatively with CD4 mRNA expression in all three groups (Figure 3). Besides, the correlation coefficient of CpG_2 reached statistical significance in DP and Landrace combined population (r = –0.28, p = 3.1×10^{-3}, Figure 4), while it did not reach significant level (p>0.05) in DP or Landrace piglets separately. It may be due to the large sample size after combining the two breed samples together. Previous studies demonstrated that, in each CpG island, only a few CpG sites may be critical for gene expression regulation [31,32]. These results suggested that CpG_2 was a critical methylation site influencing CD4 gene expression.

Methylation within CpG islands regulates gene transcription though a variety of mechanisms, and TFs is essential one of them. In humans and mouse, the TFs of the CD4 gene, including Myb, Elf, and Ikaros, have been found [4]. To our knowledge, only TF nuclear factor-kappa B has been detected in the promoter region of porcine CD4 gene in the previous studies [20,33], which indicated that maybe other TFs binding CD4 promoter region are still not be found. Therefore, we applied TFBS prediction program MatInspector to infer the potential binding TFs. The elaborate results for TFBS are provided in Table 2. Totally, there were 15 putative TFBS identified,
Table 1. Statistical description and least square mean analyses of CD4 gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits in piglets of Dapulian and Landrace

| Traits                  | Dapulian | Landrace |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| CD4 Expression (ΔCt)    |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 104      | 171      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 5.93     | 5.58     |
| Std Dev                 | 0.81     | 0.67     |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 13.65    | 11.98    |
| Maximum                 | 8.17     | 7.21     |
| Minimum                 | 3.88     | 3.96     |
| CD4+CD8-CD3-            |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 25.92    | 24.48    |
| Std Dev                 | 8.40     | 6.95     |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 32.42    | 28.40    |
| Maximum                 | 61.35    | 46.02    |
| Minimum                 | 8.60     | 9.97     |
| CD4+CD8+CD3+            |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 0.35     | 0.38     |
| Std Dev                 | 0.64     | 0.44     |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 184.05   | 117.35   |
| Maximum                 | 5.91     | 3.40     |
| Minimum                 | 0.00     | 0.00     |
| CD3+                    |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 66.84    | 29.85    |
| Std Dev                 | 8.38     | 5.05     |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 12.53    | 58.28    |
| Maximum                 | 82.13    | 28.44    |
| Minimum                 | 35.09    | 1.32     |
| CD4-CD8-CD3+            |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 12.48    | 18.86    |
| Std Dev                 | 4.85     | 18.86    |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 7.20     | 5.94     |
| Maximum                 | 29.04    | 31.49    |
| Minimum                 | 0.70     | 3.30     |
| **CD4+CD8-CD3+**        |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 25.69    | 29.85    |
| Std Dev                 | 6.25     | 29.85    |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 24.34    | 59.96    |
| Maximum                 | 40.20    | 19.98    |
| Minimum                 | 12.51    | 13.51    |
| CD4-CD8+CD3+            |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 25.05    | 29.85    |
| Std Dev                 | 7.46     | 29.85    |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 29.77    | 59.96    |
| Maximum                 | 51.70    | 19.98    |
| Minimum                 | 10.81    | 13.51    |
| CD3+                    |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 2.52     | 0.53     |
| Std Dev                 | 0.38     | 0.47     |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 29.77    | 81.52    |
| Maximum                 | 30.59    | 89.27    |
| Minimum                 | 7.94     | 2.83     |
| CD4+CD8-CD3+            |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 66.84    | 67.32    |
| Std Dev                 | 8.38     | 3.56     |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 12.53    | 7.56     |
| Maximum                 | 82.13    | 42.95    |
| Minimum                 | 35.09    | 5.01     |
| CD4+CD8+CD3+            |          |          |
| No. of sample           | 124      | 187      |
| No. of sow              | 13       | 28       |
| Mean                    | 1.20     | 1.95     |
| Std Dev                 | 0.98     | 0.84     |
| Coeff. of Variation     | 81.52    | 41.32    |
| Maximum                 | 7.54     | 2.36     |
| Minimum                 | 0.20     | 0.29     |

CD4, cluster of differentiation 4 protein.
and 11 of them contained CpG sites (Figure 1). Especially, one TF, Jumonji, contained the CpG_2, suggesting that it may influence the CD4 gene expression through the potential binding of the predicted TFs. These identified TFBS will provide reference information for further digging out more TFs binding porcine CD4 promoter.

Correlation analyses between CD4 methylation status and T lymphocyte subpopulations

To investigate whether CpG sites methylation status affecting T lymphocyte subpopulations, we implemented correlation analyses between CpG sites methylation frequencies and T lymphocyte subpopulation in DP, Landrace and combined population of these two breeds. The detailed correlation analyses results are provided in Table 3. The results showed that each CpG site methylation frequency was significant correlation with one or more traits of T lymphocyte subpopulations, except CpG_6 and CpG_9. Among these CpG sites, we found CpG_2 methylation frequency was significantly positive or negative correlation with T lymphocytes subpopulations at CD4+CD8−CD3− (r = –0.29), CD4−CD8+CD3− (r = –0.27), CD4−CD8+CD3+ (r = 0.36), CD4+CD8+CD3+ (r = 0.46),

Table 2. Information of transcription factor binding sites

| Matrix family 1) | Detailed matrix information                                                                 | Start position 2) | End position 2) | Matrix similarity 3) |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| V$ZF08           | KRAB-zinc finger protein synten (KID3)                                                      | 2                 | 12              | 0.941               |
| V$ZFHX           | AREB6 (Atp1a1 regulatory element binding factor 6)                                          | 20                | 32              | 0.974               |
| V$IRFF           | Interferon regulatory factor 4                                                              | 19                | 43              | 0.936               |
| V$IKRS           | Ikaros 2, potential regulator of lymphocyte differentiation                                  | 33                | 45              | 0.921               |
| V$MIZ1           | Myc-interacting Zn finger protein 1, zinc finger and BTB domain containing 17 (ZBTB17)    | 40                | 50              | 0.975               |
| V$NKXH           | Homeodomain factor Nkx-2.5/Csx                                                              | 40                | 58              | 0.919               |
| O$INRE           | Drosophila initiator motifs                                                                 | 49                | 59              | 0.906               |
| V$NKXH           | Homeodomain protein Nkx3.2 (BAPX1, Nkx3B, Bagpipe homolog)                                 | 46                | 64              | 0.948               |
| V$KLF5           | Krueppel-like factor 12 (AP-2rep)                                                           | 47                | 65              | 0.922               |
| V$MOKF           | Ribonucleoprotein associated zinc finger protein MOK-2 (human)                              | 57                | 77              | 0.97                |
| V$VHNF           | Winged helix protein, involved in hair keratinization and thymus epithelium differentiation | 66                | 76              | 0.907               |
| V$NKXH           | Homeodomain protein Nkx3.2 (BAPX1, Nkx3B, Bagpipe homolog)                                 | 70                | 88              | 0.903               |
| V$VBP2           | Jumonji, AT rich interactive domain 1B                                                       | 83                | 91              | 0.935               |
| V$ZF35           | Human zinc finger protein ZNF35                                                             | 92                | 104             | 0.922               |
| V$VHNF           | Winged helix protein, involved in hair keratinization and thymus epithelium differentiation | 98                | 108             | 0.941               |

1) Similar and/or functionally related transcription factor binding sites are grouped into so-called matrix families.
2) Start and end position were the positions where TFBS located at in CD4 CpG island.
3) The matrix similarity is calculated as described in the MatInspector papers, a perfect match to the matrix gets a score of 1.00, a “good” match to the matrix usually has a similarity of >0.80.

In this examination, the matrix similarity was set at 0.90.
CD4+/CD8+ (r = –0.28) (for all correlation, p<0.01) in DP and Landrace combined population (Figure 5). In peripheral blood, CD4+CD8−CD3− cells represent double positive cells lacking CD8. CD4+CD8−CD3− should develop with comparable kinetics as the CD4+CD8+ double positive cells [34]. CD4−CD8+CD3− cells represent NK cells, which protect the body against infections by killing target cells and secreting inflammatory cytokine [35]. The CD4+/CD8+ ratio is the most useful marker of disease. CpG_2 methylation frequency was significantly negative correlation with CD4+CD8−CD3−, CD4−CD8+CD3−, and CD4+/CD8+. The results revealed that hypomethylation of CpG_2 site may lead to the increase proportion of CD4+CD8−CD3−, CD4−CD8+CD3−, and CD4+/CD8+. Meanwhile, CD4−CD8+CD3+ and CD4+CD8+CD3+ Table 3. CpG sites significantly correlated with T lymphocyte subpopulation traits in Dapulian and Landrace piglets

| CpG sites | Breed | CD3− | CD3+ | CD3+/CD8+ |
|-----------|-------|------|------|-----------|
| CpG_1     | L     | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06      |
|           | DP+L  | –0.05| –0.27*| –0.33*    |
|           | DP    | –0.09| –0.14| –0.07     |
|           | L     | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.28*     |
| CpG_2     | DP+L  | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.12      |
|           | DP    | 0.21 | 0.01 | –0.40**   |
| CpG_3     | DP+L  | 0.07 | 0.04 | –0.17     |
|           | DP    | 0.13 | 0.02 | –0.33*    |
|           | L     | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.02      |
| CpG_4     | DP+L  | –0.20* | 0.13 | 0.03      |
|           | DP    | –0.34*| 0.14 | 0.09      |
|           | L     | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.02      |
| CpG_5     | DP+L  | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06      |
|           | DP    | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.09      |
|           | L     | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06      |
| CpG_6     | L     | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06      |

L, Landrace; DP, Dapulian; DP+L, combined population of Dapulian and Landrace.

* represents p value of correlation coefficient reaches 0.05; ** represents p value of correlation coefficient reaches 0.01.

Figure 5. CpG_2 methylation significantly correlated with T lymphocyte subpopulation traits in Dapulian and Landrace combined piglets. Pearson’s r correlation coefficient with corresponding p values for the correlation between methylation frequency of CpG_2 (x axis) and CD4+CD8−CD3− (y axis, A), CD4−CD8+CD3− (y axis, B), CD4+CD8+CD3+ (y axis, C), CD4+CD8+CD3+ (y axis, D), and CD4+/CD8+ (y axis, E) in Dapulian and Landrace combined population. Decreased of T-lymphocyte subpopulation traits CD4+CD8−CD3−, CD4−CD8+CD3−, and CD4+/CD8+ significantly correlated with methylation frequency of CpG_2 (p<0.01). Increase of traits CD4+CD8−CD3+ and CD4+CD8+CD3+ significantly correlated with methylation frequency of CpG_2 (p<0.01). Correlation coefficient trend line is shown in broken line. Gray dots represent Dapulian piglets. Black ones represent Landrace piglets.
represent cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) and helper T lymphocytes (Th), respectively. CTLs are responsible for killing antigen-bearing target cells, such as virus-infected cells, which are often dependent on ‘help’ from Th cells [36]. The significantly positively correlation between CpG_2 methylation frequency with CD4-CD8+CD3+ and CD4+CD8+CD3+ implied that CpG_2 methylation may lead to the decrease number of CD4-CD8+CD3+ and CD4+CD8+CD3+.

The negative correlation of CpG_5 methylation frequency with CD4+CD8-CD3- were consistent in the three groups, DP (p<0.05), Landrace (p<0.01) and combined population of these two breeds (p<0.01) (Table 3). Although the methylation difference at CpG_5 sites did not reach significant level (p>0.05), CpG_5 site can be used as a methylation marker for CD4+CD8-CD3- of T lymphocyte subpopulations.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we determined porcine CD4 gene CpG island methylation level and conducted correlation analyses of CpG sites methylation frequencies with the gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulations. We found that CpG_2 site correlated negatively with CD4 mRNA expression, which may influence the CD4 gene expression through the potential binding predicted TFs. We also found that CpG_2 methylation frequency was significantly positive or negative correlated with several T lymphocytes subpopulation traits. Thus, the CpG_2 was a critical methylation site for porcine CD4 gene expression and T lymphocyte subpopulation traits. We speculated that increased methylation frequency of CpG_2 may lead to the decreased expression of CD4, which may have some kind of influence on T lymphocyte subpopulation traits and the immunity of DP population.
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