The Association Between IGF-I and Insulin Resistance

A general population study in Danish adults
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OBJECTIVE—IGF-I has an almost 50% amino acid sequence homology with insulin and elicits nearly the same hypoglycemic response. Studies showed that low and high IGF-I levels are related to impaired glucose tolerance and to a higher risk of type 2 diabetes. The aim of the current study was to evaluate the association between IGF-I level and insulin resistance in a Danish general population.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Included were 3,354 adults, aged 19–72 years, from the cross-sectional Health2006 study. The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was used as the index to estimate insulin resistance. Serum IGF-I levels were determined by an immunoassay and grouped into quintiles (Q1–Q5). Linear or multinomial logistic regression analyses were performed.

RESULTS—In the study population, 520 subjects (15.5%) had increased HOMA-IR values above 2.5. After adjustment for age, sex, physical activity, and waist-to-height ratio, a U-shaped association between IGF-I and HOMA-IR was found. Low IGF-I (Q1: odds ratio [OR] 1.65 [95% CI 1.16–2.34], P < 0.01) as well as high IGF-I (Q5: 1.96 [1.38–2.79], P < 0.01) levels were related to a higher odds of increased HOMA-IR values compared with subjects with intermediate (Q3) IGF-I levels. These associations remained statistically significant after the exclusion of subjects with type 2 diabetes and by using the updated computer HOMA2-IR model.

CONCLUSIONS—Low- and high-normal IGF-I levels are both related to insulin resistance. The biological mechanism of this complex phenomenon has to be elucidated in more detail for future risk stratification.
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GF-I, predominantly synthesized in the liver upon stimulation by growth hormone (GH), is usually bound to IGF-binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3) in circulation (1). IGF-I has an almost 50% amino acid sequence homology with insulin and elicits nearly the same hypoglycemic response (2). Several studies have investigated the effect of IGF-I on insulin sensitivity and its relation to type 2 diabetes. Large longitudinal studies, including the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III, reported a higher risk of insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome (MetS), and type 2 diabetes in subjects with low IGF-I serum concentrations or low IGF-I–to–IGFBP-3 ratios (3,4). A recent German study in 7,665 subjects, however, showed that low and high baseline IGF-I serum concentrations were both related to a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes within 5 years (5). This U-shaped association seems to be likely in face of a higher prevalence of MetS or type 2 diabetes in patients with GH deficiency (6), a state of low IGF-I levels, as well as with acromegaly (7), a disease characterized by high IGF-I levels, although endogenous GH secretion may confound short-term glucose homeostasis in these patients. On the basis of these findings, we also hypothesize a U-shaped relation between IGF-I levels and insulin sensitivity as a precursor to manifest type 2 diabetes.

In general, the effects of IGF-I in the control of glucose homeostasis is well known. Animal models showed that a deletion of hepatic IGF-I production, resulting in 80% reduced IGF-I levels, led to hyperinsulinemia and abnormal glucose clearance (8,9). An epidemiological study reported a negative correlation between IGF-I levels and insulin resistance measured by the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (10). Confirming results were found in an Italian study that investigated subjects with and without type 2 diabetes as well as with impaired glucose tolerance (11). IGF-I levels were positively correlated with insulin sensitivity among all three groups. Unfortunately, both studies did not account for a possible U-shaped association and were relatively small, with study populations of 404 and 506 subjects. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to evaluate the possible U-shaped association between IGF-I serum concentration and insulin resistance assessed by HOMA-IR in a large Danish population of 3,354 adults aged 19–72 years.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Study population

The Health2006, a population-based cross-sectional study, was initiated at the Research Centre for Prevention and Health (RCPH) in June 2006 and was terminated in May 2008 (12). Participants were recruited through the Danish Civil Registration office as a random sample of men and women aged between 19 and 72 years and living in 11 municipalities of
the western part of the Capital Region of Denmark. Of 7,931 invited men and women, 3,471 participated, for a response rate of 43.8%. All participants gave written informed consent before taking part in the study, and the study was approved by the local ethics committee (KA20060011). Of the 3,471 participants, 50 with missing data for IGF-1, glucose levels, or HOMA-IR were excluded, as were 67 with missing information for used confounders. The final study population comprised 3,354 subjects (1,847 women, 1,507 men).

Measurements
Participants underwent an extensive health examination when visiting the RCPH, including measurement of height, weight, and waist circumference. Waist-to-height ratio and BMI were calculated. Information on sociodemographic variables, physical activity, and functional limitations was measured by self-report questionnaire.

Participants were asked to categorize their usual physical activity level during leisure time as 1) mainly sedentary, 2) lightly active, 3) moderately active, or 4) vigorously active (13). Categories 3 and 4 were combined for the analyses because of few participants in category 4. Smoking status was categorized as never, former, and current smoker. The definition of type 2 diabetes was based on self-reported physician’s diagnosis or self-reported diabetic medication.

Fasting blood samples were drawn from the cubital vein with the subject supine. Plasma glucose concentration was determined by the hexokinase method (Hitachi 912, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Plasma insulin was measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAKO Diagnostic Ltd, Ely, Cambs, U.K.). Firstly, the HOMA1-IR was calculated as insulin (µU/mL) × glucose (mmol/L)/22.5 according to Matthews et al. (14), and results were categorized into two groups according to 2.5, as previously used cutoff indicating a high probability of insulin resistance (14), or to the 75th quartile (corresponding to 1.819) based on subjects without self-reported physician’s diagnosis or self-reported diabetic medication. Secondly, we alternatively used the updated computer HOMA2-IR model (http://www.dtu.ox.ac.uk/homacalculator/index.php) with nonlinear solution and categorized into two groups according to 1.4 (15) or to the 75th quartile (corresponding to 1.133) based on subjects without self-reported physician’s diagnosis or self-reported diabetic medication.

In 2009, IGF-1 serum concentrations were determined in serum that had been stored at −80°C by an immunoassay (Immulite 2000 IGF-1, Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Los Angeles, CA) on automated Immulite 2000 (Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics). The assay has been extensively validated in our laboratory, and in our hands, intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were less than 4 and 9%, respectively (16).

Statistical analysis
Continuous data are expressed as median (25th; 75th quartile), and nominal data are expressed as percentage. For bivariate analyses, the Kruskal-Wallis test (continuous data) or χ² test (nominal data) were used to compare women and men. In a first step, linear regression with restricted cubic splines (17) was used to detect a possible nonlinear dependency of HOMA values on IGF-1 serum concentration. Three knots were prespecified, located at the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile, as recommended by Stone and Koo (17), and HOMA values were log-transformed. On the basis of these results, IGF-1 serum concentrations were categorized into five groups according to age, adjusted quintiles of distribution (Q1–Q5), and in a second step, ANOVA or logistic regression analyses adjusted for age, sex, physical activity, and waist-to-height ratio were used to assess the association between IGF-1 serum concentration and HOMA1-IR or HOMA2-IR values. Adjusted means or odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CI were calculated. Sensitivity analyses were performed after the exclusion of the subjects with type 2 diabetes and separately for men and women with respect to increased HOMA-IR values. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.1 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS—Men were older, consumed more alcohol, had a higher waist-to-height ratio, and were less often “moderately physically active” but were more often “highly physically active” than women (Table 1). Furthermore, men had higher values of HOMA1-IR and HOMA2-IR and were more often affected by type 2 diabetes. IGF-1 serum concentrations were slightly but statistically significantly higher in men than in women. Regarding the age-dependency of the IGF-1 serum concentrations and the HOMA1-IR values, we observed a strong decline in IGF-1 with age (median 20–25 years: 250 ng/mL; 65–70 years: 121 ng/mL), whereas the HOMA1-IR did not change substantially with age (median 20–25 years: 1.26; 65–70 years: 1.28), even if the variance become larger (Supplementary Fig. 1).

In a first step, crude linear regression with restricted cubic splines detected a U-shaped association between IGF-1 serum concentrations and HOMA1-IR or HOMA2-IR, even after the exclusion of subjects with type 2 diabetes (Fig. 1, left). Multivariable ANOVA confirmed these associations and revealed also U-shaped associations between IGF-1 quintiles and both HOMA-IR estimates (Fig. 1, right). The estimated mean HOMA1-IR or HOMA2-IR values were up to 0.33 or 0.20 units higher in the 1st and 5th IGF-1 quintile compared with the middle IGF-1 quintile. The exclusion of 126 subjects with type 2 diabetes led to lower mean levels of HOMA1-IR and HOMA2-IR; however, the U-shaped association did not substantially change (Fig. 1).

Logistic regression analyses revealed that subjects within the lowest or highest IGF-1 quintiles had an up to twofold higher odds of having a HOMA1-IR >2.5 or HOMA2-IR >1.4 compared with subjects in the middle IGF-1 quintile (Tables 2 and 3). With respect to HOMA1-IR, the exclusion of individuals with type 2 diabetes did not substantially change the results, with the lowest and highest IGF-I quintiles both related to a higher odds of HOMA1-IR >2.5 (Table 2). With respect to HOMA2-IR, however, only high IGF-I serum concentrations were still related to a higher odds of HOMA1-IR >1.4 (Table 3).

By using the 75th quartile as a cutoff to define high HOMA1-IR or HOMA2-IR values, only high but not low IGF-I levels were related to a higher odds of having high HOMA-IR in the whole population as well as in subjects without type 2 diabetes. A possible explanation might be that the 75th quartile (HOMA1-IR: 1.819; HOMA2-IR: 1.133) is less sensitive than the cutoffs of 2.5 or 1.4. Therefore, the specificity of the cutoffs might be less to detect an association to low IGF-1 serum concentrations.

Sensitivity analyses were performed with triglycerides and BMI instead of waist-to-height ratio as confounders to investigate the potential effect of anthropometry or lipid metabolism on the association
of IGF-1 serum concentration and insulin resistance. These analyses confirmed the main results: no substantial changes in estimates of increased HOMA-IR were found.

Furthermore, linear and logistic regression analyses were repeated separately for men and women. Linear regression with restricted cubic splines still showed a U-shaped association for both sexes (data not shown). Logistic regression analyses revealed differences between men and women for low IGF-I (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). In women, low and high IGF-I serum concentrations were still related to higher odds of being insulin resistant in the whole population without GH-related disorders. A closer view on possible sex-specific difference showed that the relation with low IGF-I serum concentrations was pronounced in women but not in men. These findings implicate sex differences in the association between IGF-I serum concentration and insulin resistance.

In general, few studies have addressed possible sex differences in relation to the GH/IGF system. Yet a sexual dimorphism with respect to GH secretion is widely accepted, with women who are fertile having higher serum GH levels than men (18). GH secretion seems to exerted by the differential effects of androgens and estrogens at the hypothalamic level (19). Moreover, sex-specific effects of GH replacement therapy have been reported and support the sex-related differences (20).

Previous studies have reported controversial results with respect to sex differences and glucose homeostasis (21). In some studies, men had reduced or increased insulin sensitivity than women; whereas, other researchers found comparable insulin sensitivity between the sexes (21). However, data indicate that men exhibit lower postprandial glucose concentrations but are more often affected by impaired fasting glucose. Possible explanations include differences in fat distribution, physical activity, or the use of oral contraceptives. Abdominal obesity is one of the major risk factors of insulin sensitivity, and it is well documented that men more often suffer from visceral fat accumulation. Further, men with higher physical activity were even more often affected by impaired fasting glucose. Possible explanations include differences in fat distribution, physical activity, or the use of oral contraceptives.

CONCLUSIONS—In the present population-based study of 3,354 Danish subjects, we detected a U-shaped association between IGF-I serum concentrations and insulin resistance. Low and high IGF-I serum concentrations within the normal range were both related to higher odds of being insulin resistant in the whole population without GH-related disorders. A closer view on possible sex-specific difference showed that the relation with low IGF-I serum concentrations was pronounced in women but not in men. These findings implicate sex differences in the association between IGF-I serum concentration and insulin resistance.
Table 2—Association between levels of IGF-I and HOMA1-IR

| IGF-I quintiles | HOMA1-IR ≥2.5 | Without type 2 diabetes | HOMA1-IR ≥75th quartile* | Without type 2 diabetes |
|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|                 | OR (95% CI)†  | P                      | OR (95% CI)†            | P                      |
| N (cases)       | 3,354 (520)   | 3,228 (458)            | 3,354 (887)             | 3,228 (804)            |

IGF-I quintiles:

- **<20th**
  - Whole population: 1.65 (1.16–2.34), **<0.01**
  - OR (95% CI): 1.48 (1.02–2.14), **0.04**
  - Without type 2 diabetes: 1.26 (0.94–1.69), 0.12

- **20–40th**
  - Reference
  - OR (95% CI): 1.14 (0.78–1.67), 0.49

- **40–60th**
  - Reference
  - OR (95% CI): 1.04 (0.77–1.39), 0.80

- **60–80th**
  - Reference
  - OR (95% CI): 1.49 (1.11–1.98), **<0.01**

- **>80th**
  - Reference
  - OR (95% CI): 1.96 (1.47–2.60), **<0.01**

*The 75th quartile based on subjects without self-reported physician’s diagnosis or self-reported diabetic medication. †Multinomial logistic regression models were adjusted for age, sex, physical activity, and waist-to-height ratio.

Figure 1—Association between IGF-I serum concentration and level of HOMA1-IR (upper) and updated computer HOMA2-IR (lower) for the whole study population and after exclusion of subjects with diabetes. Left side: Crude linear regression with restricted cubic splines. Right side: Estimated mean level of HOMA-IR with 95% CI by levels of IGF-I. ANOVA was adjusted for age, sex, physical activity, and waist-to-height ratio. Tests for differences between the middle IGF-I quintiles (40–60th) and the remaining groups were performed. *P < 0.05. P values were adjusted for multiple comparison.
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IGF-I quintiles

| N (cases) | 3,354 (520) | 3,228 (458) |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| IGF-I quintiles | | |
| <20th     | 1.65 (1.16–2.34), **<0.01** | 1.48 (1.02–2.14), **0.04** |
| 20–40th   | 1.11 (0.77–1.60), 0.56 | 1.14 (0.78–1.67), 0.49 |
| 40–60th   | Reference | Reference |
| 60–80th   | 1.51 (1.05–2.17), 0.03 | 1.61 (1.11–2.35), 0.01 |
| >80th     | 1.96 (1.38–2.79), <0.01 | 2.02 (1.40–2.91), <0.01 |

*The 75th quartile based on subjects without self-reported physician’s diagnosis or self-reported diabetic medication. †Multinomial logistic regression models were adjusted for age, sex, physical activity, and waist-to-height ratio.

---

In contrast, one of the suggested benefits of GH replacement on body composition includes a decrease in abdominal fat mass (28), which should theoretically reduce insulin resistance, the features of MetS, and incident type 2 diabetes. The available data of observational studies regarding this issue showed no clear picture, and controlled end point studies are scarce. A further issue arises because GH is indeed the major stimulus for IGF-I production in the liver, but both hormones can have opposing metabolic effects. As mentioned, IGF-I increases peripheral glucose uptake, whereas GH shows diabetic actions and increases glucose production (23).

Further differences are apparent for free fatty acid homeostasis. Although IGF-I may reduce serum free fatty acid levels, GH promotes lipolysis and ketogenesis (29,23). The effect of IGF-I in reducing serum free fatty acid levels may be important in improving insulin sensitivity relative to the “lipotoxic” effects of free fatty acids (30–32). In our study population, between decreased IGF-I and insulin resistance. IGF-I leads to an increase in peripheral glucose uptake and a decreased production of hepatic glucose causing better insulin sensitivity (2,23). Furthermore, low IGF-I serum concentrations were related to a higher anthropometric status, which in turn is related to insulin resistance. On the other side, adult patients with GH replacement therapy revealed a higher prevalence of insulin resistance and MetS (24,25). However, whether this is an IGF-I effect or rather a free fatty acid–mediated GH effect is questionable. Therefore, some have argued that GH replacement therapy might be associated with the development of MetS or an acceleration of the manifestation of type 2 diabetes in patients at risk (26,27,24).

---

A cross-sectional analysis of the NHANES showed twofold higher odds of insulin resistance in subjects with the lowest IGF-I–to–IGFBP-3 ratio (4). A further study found a 50% reduced risk of impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or type 2 diabetes during a 4.5-year follow-up period in individuals with IGF-I levels above the study-specific median (3). The latter study found no evidence for a nonlinear association between IGF-I and IGT or type 2 diabetes. Nonetheless, the interpretation of these findings is somewhat limited by the small number of incident cases (IGT, n = 44; type 2 diabetes, n = 7). A further reason for the lack of a relation between high IGF-I serum concentrations and the investigated end point might be that both studies (3,4) used age-independent IGF-I categorization and therefore included mainly older subjects with a higher predetermined risk of type 2 diabetes or IGT in the group with low IGF-I serum concentrations.

IGF-I has structural homology with insulin, and several studies supported a positive influence of IGF-I on glucose homeostasis, which strengthened the relation of decreased IGF-I to insulin resistance. IGF-I has been found to have structural homology with insulin, and several studies supported a positive influence of IGF-I on glucose homeostasis, which strengthened the relation of decreased IGF-I to insulin resistance.
IGF-I and insulin resistance

Table 3—Association between levels of IGF-I and HOMA2-IR

|                        | HOMA2-IR ≥1.4 |                       | HOMA2-IR ≥75th quartile* |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                        | Whole population | Without type 2 diabetes | Whole population | Without type 2 diabetes |
| N (cases)              | OR (95% CI)†   | P                     | OR (95% CI)†   | P                     |
| IGF-I quintiles        |              |                       |                          |
| <20th                  | 1.43 (1.03–1.99) | 0.04                  | 1.14 (0.86–1.53) | 0.36                  |
| 20–40th                | 1.07 (0.76–1.52) | 0.69                  | 0.87 (0.65–1.17) | 0.36                  |
| >40–60th               | Reference     | Reference             | Reference              | Reference             |
| 60–80th                | 1.58 (1.13–2.22) | <0.01                 | 1.43 (1.08–1.91) | 0.01                  |
| >80th                  | 2.09 (1.51–2.90) | <0.01                 | 1.74 (1.32–2.31) | <0.01                 |

*The 75th quartile based on subjects without self-reported physician’s diagnosis or self-reported diabetic medication. †Multinomial logistic regression models were adjusted for age, sex, physical activity, and waist-to-height ratio.
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IGF-I regulation in both directions are related to insulin resistance. The biologic mechanism of this complex phenomenon has to be elucidated in more detail for future risk stratification.
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