Exploring Feasibility of mHealth to Manage Hypertension in Rural Black Older Adults: A Convergent Parallel Mixed Method Study
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Objective: The purpose of this study was to explore perceived ease of use, usability, and the feasibility of using mobile health applications to manage hypertension self-care in rural Black older adults with hypertension.

Methods: A convergent parallel mixed method design was used to study a purposeful sample of 30 Black older adults (29 females, 1 male) from rural East Texas. Quantitative data included demographic characteristics and measured blood pressure, height, and weight, along with questionnaires: the Hill-Bone Compliance to High Blood Pressure Therapy Scale, the Krousel-Wood Medication Adherence Scale-4, and the Technology Acceptance Model Questionnaire (adapted). Qualitative data were obtained from five focus groups and analyzed using thematic analysis.

Results: Mean age was 66.3 ± 9.6 years. Less than half of the participants (46.7%) had a systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure >130/80. Greater participant adherence was noted with the Hill-Bone Compliance scale (63.3%) than the Krousel-Wood scale (23.3%). With the Technology Acceptance Model, perceived ease of use was significantly correlated with behavioral intention (r = 0.654, p < 0.000) and perceived usefulness (r = 0.585, p < 0.001), while behavioral intention was negatively associated with age r = −0.047 (p = 0.009). Focus group data revealed five themes: 1) useful, 2) counterintuitive, 3) communication, 4) comfort with the status quo, and 5) educate/show me how.

Conclusion: Smartphone technology and other health-related computer technologies were not preferred by older adults in this study due to limited digital literacy. Simplicity and easy navigation in the design of mHealth apps are needed to improve treatment adherence and blood pressure control in rural older adults with hypertension.

Clinical Relevance: Mobile health applications have the potential to increase self-management of chronic hypertension if users are digitally literate. Health-care providers need to assess older patients for digital literacy and offer educational support and assistance.
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are more pronounced in Black than White older adults. Likewise, the risk of HTN-related cardiovascular disease in rural populations is higher, especially among women who have additional cardiovascular risk factors because they are more likely to be older, poorer, uninsured, less educated, socially isolated, lack access to health services, and have higher rates of chronic disease.

Effective methods to improve blood pressure (BP) control include adherence to antihypertensive medication along with lifestyle modifications (eg, increased physical activity, healthy diet, weight management, etc.). Modest changes in lifestyle modifications can lead to clinically significant reductions in BP. However, research supports that more intensive BP lowering with antihypertensive medication reduces major cardiovascular events by 11%, myocardial infarction by 13%, stroke by 24%, and end stage renal disease by 11%. Thus, BP control is paramount to prevent worsening of HTN-related diseases.

Research on antihypertensive medication adherence interventions was reported by Ruppar et al using a systematic review and meta-analyses of 37 studies with 45 interventions. Of note was one intervention that worked well with Black patients, and it included a medication packaging system (eg, blister packages, pillbox organizers, or specialized bottle labeling). The packaging enhanced adherence by allowing patients to organize their medications visually as a feedback mechanism. Interventions least effective for medication adherence were medication counseling, social support, computer-based intervention, interactive discussion, and provider training for skills to improve adherence. Therefore, research aimed at culturally responsive strategies to improve medication adherence in this population may be warranted.

Smartphones, Mobile Health Applications and Blood Pressure Control

Smartphone technology and mobile health applications (mHealth apps) have the potential to improve chronic conditions such as HTN by aiding disease self-management to promote health, increase quality of life, and reduce health-care costs. In a systematic review and meta-analyses of 23 studies, Li et al found that smartphone-based mHealth apps were effective in managing HTN and improving medication adherence. Results showed a greater reduction in both systolic and diastolic BP in the mHealth intervention groups compared to control groups. Similarly, another systematic review and meta-analyses of six studies using a smartphone intervention showed decreases in BP and increases in medication adherence in the intervention group. One study used a breathing awareness meditation via a smartphone app as a stress management strategy with significant systolic BP reductions. In contrast, another study using an automated text messaging system to improve medication adherence among Black adults with uncontrolled HTN and found nonsignificant yet clinically meaningful differences between groups for medication self-efficacy, BP, and adherence measures. An analysis of 107 mobile apps designed for smartphones and HTN management was conducted by Kumar et al. In this study, almost three-fourths of the apps facilitated health management tracking by recording and tracking BP, heart rate, caloric/sodium intake, and weight/BMI. It is evident that advances in mHealth app technology have the capacity to improve self-management of chronic diseases such as HTN.

Technology Challenges and Digital Literacy

Current data revealed that 90% or more Americans under the age of 34 have owned a smartphone since 2015, while the ownership rate among those aged 50 and older has risen from 53% to 67% over the same period. While most Americans own a smartphone, Black, Hispanic, and other non-White adults lag behind in terms of digital literacy. National estimates report that 16% of Americans do not have sufficient competence with technology to operate a computer and are classified as digitally illiterate. Although the United States is considered a world leader in advanced internet services and technology, the benefits of this technology are not easily accessible to all Americans, especially those in rural areas and this furthers the digital divide. Kontos et al described a second-level digital divide wherein availability of smartphones (computers, tablets, and other technology-enabled devices) has increased. In contrast, Powe's study of health information seeking behaviors found internet usage has not increased among rural Caucasians, Hispanics, and Blacks with the lowest usage of 8% among older Black adults compared to 24% in both Caucasians and Hispanics.

Mobile health applications are increasing the ability of patients to self-manage chronic disease, promote wellness, and reduce the effects of chronic conditions. However, little is known about how mHealth apps may be used in rural
settings to assist Black older adults with HTN who may be further challenged by limited digital literacy, ease of use, costs, and low socioeconomic status.²⁴

No known studies have investigated the use of smartphones in rural older Black adults with HTN. Therefore, the purpose of this mixed method pilot study is twofold. First, the qualitative component explored the usability, acceptance, and feasibility of mHealth apps in rural Black older adults with HTN to better understand how participants viewed the use of mHealth apps for self-management of HTN and BP control. Secondly, the quantitative component addressed the relationship between behavioral intention to use mHealth apps, adherence, and BP control in rural older Black adults with HTN.

Theoretical Framework
Two (non-competing) frameworks guided this mixed method feasibility study. First, the Technology Acceptance model (TAM)²⁵ was adapted for mHealth apps²⁶ and is appropriate for the study purpose. This model’s major constructs are 1) perceived ease of use (PEU), the “degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would be free of effort”; and 2) perceived usefulness, “the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would enhance their job performance.”²⁵

Secondly, the multistage paradigm for integrative mixed method approach²⁷ was adapted to guide the underpinnings of this study (see Figure 1). This integrative process begins with conceptualizing the main constructs of usability, behavioral intention, and adherence as research evidence. Usability is defined as the quality or state of being usable.²⁸ Behavioral intention (from Fishbein & Azjen’s Theory of Reasoned Action)²⁹ is defined as, “the agent’s subjective probability that he/she will perform the behavior.” Adherence refers to the extent to which a person’s behavior corresponds with agreed recommendations from a health-care professional.³⁰ Core constructs were explored under each of the six stages (listed in Figure 1) within a parallel process that facilitates data conversions, to encode thematic categories into thematic variables.

Figure 1 Adapted Integrative Mixed Method Paradigm.
The greater the qualitative–quantitative parallelism in the study design and its implementation, the easier it is to compare and contrast textual and numeric forms of evidence in an integrative manner.\textsuperscript{27}

We examined research evidence in both forms concurrently to obtain more definitive conclusions and for a more complete understanding of mobile health technology, usability, behavioral intention, adherence, along with the potential self-management of HTN in Black older adults with HTN. In addition, we used a full integrative perspective, to examine research evidence gathered using both data forms, to generate “deep structure” conclusions\textsuperscript{31} that offer enhanced explanatory power above and beyond the sole use of a qualitative or quantitative approach.

**Methods**

**Research Design**

A convergent parallel mixed method design was used to answer the research questions in this pilot study. Data for the quantitative strand of the study were collected first. The constructs of mobile technology’s perceived ease of use, overall usefulness, and behavioral intention to use were explored using the TAM questionnaire to determine if data revealed corroboration, convergence, or divergence with adherence scores, and mean BP readings (an indication of HTN control). The operational definition of adherence is the cumulative scores on the Krousel-Wood Medication Adherence scale (Krousel-Wood MAS-4)\textsuperscript{32} and Hill Bone Compliance to High Blood Pressure Therapy scale (CHBPT).\textsuperscript{33} Blood Pressure control is defined as BP ≤ 130/80 mmHg. The qualitative strand consisted of collecting data from focus group interviews.

A convergent parallel mixed methods approach provides a more complete understanding to answer the research questions than a single approach.\textsuperscript{34}

**Sample Description and Recruitment**

A purposive sampling yielded 30 Black adults diagnosed with primary HTN. Eligible participants were women and men aged 50 years and older, self-identified as Black or African American, diagnosed with primary HTN, prescribed at least one antihypertensive medication, and living in rural counties of East Texas. Participants were recruited from Black churches and community centers, using church announcements, flyers, and word-of-mouth.

**Ethical Considerations**

The Institutional Review Board at The University of Texas at Tyler approved this study. Prior to signing the informed consent and receiving a copy, all study details including audio recordings, research procedures to maintain confidentiality of data, and anonymity with pseudonyms as participant names when reporting data were addressed with questions answered. Participants were assured they could withdraw from the study or refuse to answer any survey or focus group questions. At the completion of data collection, study participants received a $25 gift card as a thank-you for their time, effort, and travel expenses.

**Data Collection and Measures**

Quantitative data were collected first beginning with demographics (e.g., age, education, income, etc.), and followed by BP, height, and weight measurements. Using an Omron digital BP device, a resting BP was taken three times with the mean of the second and third readings. Weight and height were measured on a HealthOMeter\textsuperscript{®} professional physician beam scale. The body mass index was calculated using weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared\textsuperscript{35} (see Table 1 for measurement tools). Next, three standardized instruments were used to collect data on technology acceptance and adherence to the treatment regimen, and to measure mediating, moderating, and dependent variables.

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) questionnaire (adapted)\textsuperscript{25} is a 10-item instrument that measures 3 constructs, perceived ease of use, behavioral intention, and perceived usefulness. This instrument has a 5-item Likert scale ranging from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree”. The TAM model was validated by several researchers across disciplines\textsuperscript{26,36,37} and has influenced the health information research that assesses technology usability. In this study, the TAM was used to predict usage of mHealth apps on the smartphone. This study adapted the original item (computer) with the word “smartphone” to determine the correlation between perceived usefulness, ease of use, and behavioral intention.
The Krousel-Wood Medication Adherence scale (Krousel-Wood MAS-4) captures four domains of adherence behavior: 1) medication-taking self-efficacy, 2) physical function quality of life, 3) intentional non-adherence, and 4) forgetfulness. This brief 4-item hybrid instrument has a Likert scale from 0 (none of the time) to 4 (all of the time) with higher scores indicating worse adherence. Thus, scores ≥1 indicate low adherence and <1 indicates high adherence.

The Hill-Bone Compliance to High Blood Pressure Therapy (Hill Bone CHBPT) scale is comprised of 14 items in three subscales: 1) reduced sodium intake; 2) appointment keeping; and 3) medication taking. This scale has a four-point Likert type scale from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree) with scores ranging from 14 to 56. Lower scores indicate perfect adherence. See Table 1 for the established reliability and validity for each of the study tools.

Lastly, for the qualitative component, semi-structured, open-ended interview questions (see Table 2) were used to collect data in a community setting using focus groups that consisted of 5–10 participants. Focus groups met for 60–90 minutes in a closed, private room at various locations agreeable to the participants in a rural setting. Using participant pseudonyms, data were recorded with an Olympus™ digital voice recorder (Model VN-702PC). Field notes were taken and compared to participant transcriptions and main themes. Member checks were conducted with a subset of focus group participants after interviews. An audit trail was done using MaxQDA memo feature. The framework created by Lincoln and Guba was used to establish trustworthiness and rigor (credibility, dependability, confirmability, and transferability) (see Table 1).

Data Analysis
Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, simple linear regression, correlation, and reliability. IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 24) was used to analyze data. A priori level of significance was 0.05. Initial tests for normality
were analyzed with Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests. Five qualitative focus group interviews were transcribed verbatim and thematic content analysis was conducted using constant-comparison method with MAXQDA 2020. Braun and Clark's thematic analysis process was used and included the following steps: 1) Familiarize with the data. 2) Generate initial codes, 3) Search for themes, 4) Review themes, 5) Define and name themes, and 6) Produce the report. Data integration/deep structure analyses were conducted using triangulation, constant comparison, and qualitative descriptive techniques. Analyses included confirmation and disconfirmation of qualitative and quantitative results to reveal “deep structure.” Deep structure analyses include exploring study findings in the context of Black participants’ core values, beliefs, norms, and worldviews to provide context and give saliency to the research questions and findings.

Results

Quantitative
Thirty Black older adults including 29 females and 1 male, ranging in age from 50 to 87 years (mean 66.3, SD±9.6) participated in the study. Age was normally distributed in this sample with a median age of 66.5 years and an interquartile range was 14.5. All but one participant (96.7%) reported having a family history of HBP and 56.7% (n = 17) reported being diagnosed with HBP for 10 years or longer (see Table 3). Almost all participants (96.7%, n = 29) had a BMI over 25 with a mean of 34.48 (SD ±6.46) and were taking one to three anti-hypertensive medications (83.3%, n = 25). Four participants (13.2%) reported taking folk remedies such as vinegar, lemon juice, and mustard to treat HBP (see Table 4).

High BP Characteristics
The mean systolic BP was 129 (± 18.7) mm Hg and diastolic BP was 73 (± 13.2) mm Hg. However, 46.7% of the sample had a systolic >130 mm Hg and 30% had a diastolic >80 mmHg indicating poor BP control. Only 46.7% (n = 14) had both systolic and diastolic BP controlled (see Table 4).

Adherence
Results of the Hill Bone CHBPT scale resulted in a mean scale score of 21.7 (± 4.98). A cut score of 22 or below indicates greater adherence (80% or more), thus 63.3% (n = 19) of participants were below 22 and 36.7% (n = 11) were above 22 indicating poorer adherence scores. Results of the Krousel-Wood MAS-4 questionnaire showed a mean score 1.63 (±1.35) with 23.3% (n = 7) of participants with good adherence and 76.7 (n = 23) with poorer adherence (See Table 4).

Smartphone Use, Acceptance, and Behavioral Intention
Of the study participants, 76.3% (n = 23) reported owning a smartphone, were familiar with its use, and were able to text message (see Table 5). Whereas, slightly over half (53.3%, n = 16) of the participants reported the ability to use a personal computer along with email use (60%, n = 18). When asked if they needed help to use technology, 36.7% (n = 11) replied yes. After stratified by age, data revealed younger participants aged 50–66 years used technology to the fullest. However, older participants (67–87 years) did not use technology, had no intention to use technology (email, text messaging, or a computer), and replied “no” when asked if they needed help using technology. Perceived Ease of Use was significantly correlated with Behavioral Intention (r = 0.654, p < 0.000) and Perceived Usefulness (r = 0.585, p < 0.001), indicating

| Table 2 Focus Group Semi-Structured Question Examples |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Primary Questions                | Themes        |
| 1. Do you own/use a smartphone?  | Useful        |
| Why or why not?                  | Counterintuitive |
| 2. Tell me how you use your     | Comfortable with status quo |
| smartphone.                      | Show me how   |
| 3. Tell me your opinion about    | Communication |
| how easy a cell phone/            |               |
| smartphone is to use.            |               |
| 4. Tell me how you feel about    |               |
| using mobile applications on     |               |
| your phone.                      |               |
| 5. Tell me what barriers you     |               |
| have with using a smartphone.    |               |
| 6. Tell me how you feel about    |               |
| health apps for smartphones.     |               |
| 7. How do you feel about sharing |               |
| your health information with your |               |
| doctor?                          |               |
| 8. What app would you like to see |               |
| on your smartphone to assist you |               |
| with your health?                |               |
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Table 3 Sociodemographic Characteristics (N = 30)

| Variable                  | n (%)   | Mean (SD) | Range    |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Sex                       |         |           |          |
| Male                      | 1 (3.3) |           |          |
| Female                    | 29 (96.7) |         |          |
| Age (years)               |         | 66.3 (9.6) | 37 (50-87) |
| Education                 |         |           |          |
| High school and less      | 15 (50.0) |         |          |
| Post-high school          | 15 (50.0) |         |          |
| Income (annual)           |         |           |          |
| 29,999 or less            | 21 (70.0) |         |          |
| 30,000 or above           | 9 (30.0) |           |          |
| Marital status            |         |           |          |
| Married                   | 6 (20.0) |           |          |
| Separated/Divorced        | 10 (33.3) |         |          |
| Widow                     | 11 (36.7) |         |          |
| Close Friends (Support)   |         | 10 (10)   | 49 (1-50) |
| Length of HBP             |         |           |          |
| 10 years or more          | 17 (56.7) |         |          |
| Family History            |         |           |          |
| Yes                       | 29 (96.7) |         |          |
| Insurance                 |         |           |          |
| Yes                       | 28 (93.3) |         |          |
| Insurance to buy medication |   | 27 (90.0) |          |

*Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.*

Table 4 Physiological, Adherence Scales, and Blood Pressure-Related Participant Characteristics (N = 30)

| Variables                              | n (%)   | Mean (SD) | Range    |
|----------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Blood Pressure Constructs              |         |           |          |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²)                | 34.48 (6.46) | 22.5 (24.4-46.9) |
| Under 25                               | 1 (3.3) |           |          |
| Overweight (25-29.9)                   | 9 (30.0) |           |          |
| Obese (30 or greater)                  | 20 (66.7) |         |          |
| Number of HBP Medication(s)            |         |           |          |
| One                                    | 10 (33.33) |         |          |
| Two to Three                           | 15 (50) |           |          |
| Five to Seven                          | 5 (16.67) |           |          |
| Blood Pressure                         |         |           |          |
| Systolic                               | 129 (18.7) | 70 (90-160) |
| Diastolic                              | 73 (13.2) | 48 (50-98) |
| Uncontrolled Blood Pressure            |         |           |          |
| Systolic > 130 mmHg                    | 14 (46.7) |         |          |
| Diastolic > 80 mmHg                    | 9 (30.0) |           |          |
| Both Systolic/Diastolic BP <130/80     | 14 (46.7) |         |          |
| Those Taking Folk Remedies             | 4 (13.3) |           |          |
| Adherence Constructs                   |         |           |          |
| HBCHBPT Scale (Score Range: 14-56)    | 21 (4.98) | 21 (15-36) |
| Low adherers < 22                      | 19 (63.3) |         |          |
| High adherers ≥ 22                     | 11 (36.7) |           |          |
| K-Wood-MAS-4 (Score Range: 0-4)       | 1 (1) | 4 (0-4) |
| Low adherers ≥ 1                       | 7 (23.0) |           |          |
| High adherers < 1                      | 23 (76.0) |           |          |

*Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; HBP, High blood pressure; HBCHBPT, Hill-Bone Compliance to High Blood Pressure Therapy; K-Wood-MAS-4, Krousel-Wood Medication Adherence Scale-4.*
a moderate positive relationship between the variables. Behavioral Intention was negatively associated with age $r = -0.047$ ($p = 0.009$, 1-tailed).

After testing for assumptions, we calculated a simple linear regression to predict behavioral intention based on age. A significant regression equation ($F (1, 28) = 6.23, p < 0.019$) with an $R^2$ of 0.182 found that as age increased, behavioral intention to use mHealth apps decreased.

We also sought to determine if there was a relationship between intent to use mHealth apps, adherence scores, and BP control in older Black adults with HTN. Study variables were constructed to answer this research question. The variables were: Krousel-Wood MAS-4 adherers (high [$n = 7$] and low [$n = 23$]), BP control (yes [$n = 14$] or no, [$n = 16$]), and the dependent variable Behavioral Intention (yes [$n = 13$] or no [$n = 17$]). Bivariate correlational analyses showed non-significant low correlations between these variables. The correlation between BP control and Behavioral Intention was $r = 0.261$ ($p = 0.164$). Inverse relationships were found between BP control and Krousel-Wood MAS-4 adherers $r = -0.274$ ($p = 0.143$), and Behavioral Intention and Krousel-Wood MAS-4 adherers, $r = -0.154$ ($p = 0.417$).

### Qualitative Results

During the focus group interviews, participants were asked semi-structured questions (see Table 2). Five themes were identified from data analyses: 1) Useful, 2) Counterintuitive, 3) Communication, 4) Comfortable with the Status Quo, and 5) Educate/Show Me How. Exemplars of participant interviews were used to establish authenticity\(^39\) (See Table 6).

#### Useful

Participants described their mobiles phone as useful for communication, text messaging, telephone communication and using other mobile applications such as the alarm clock, calendar, games for entertainment, and various activities such as browsing the Internet, using Google, looking up medications, and social networking sites such as Facebook.
Most participants described their smartphones as being “easy to use” but further discussions elicited that navigating, understanding, and using a smartphone device was counterintuitive. Redundant textual descriptions described how participants own a smartphone but struggle with trying to figure out how to best use the device. When asked, “How easy is a smartphone to use?”

### Table 6 Integration of Quantitative and Qualitative Findings (Constructs-Usability, Behavioral Intention, and Adherence)

| Theme                              | Qualitative Exemplars                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Quantitative Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | (Integration, Corroboration, Convergence-Divergence of Results) |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Useful                          | “Yes, I have a smartphone and I use it every day” Susie-Yes, I own a smartphone and I use it for personal reasons and business reasons.  
If it’s just making calls, then it’s pretty easy. But when I get into messages and apps and all, … now sometimes it gets complicated. Yeah, hard as hell.”  
“‘It’s easy to me. I’m not familiar with pulling up apps and doing a lots of things. Sometimes I make a mistake and turn my camera on, and it’ll be taking pictures of things around me. I’ve taken pictures of my feet.” | TAM questionnaire  
Perceived Usefulness  
Behavioral Intention  
Survey of smartphone use:  
- 60% able to use email  
- 76.3% able to text message and engage in personal computing use  
- 63.3% replied “no” they did not need help with technology.  
- Behavioral Intention shows a negative association with age ($r = -0.047 \ (p=0.009, 1$-tailed).  
- Adherence Constructs  
- HBCHBPT mean score 21 (SD± 4.98)  
- K-Wood MAS Low adherers 76%  
- Share health information via technology 23% ($n = 7$)  
- App preferences to assist with managing health 23% ($n = 7$) compared to 76% ($n = 23$) who did not prefer technology to communicate with health-care provider  
- 23.7% replied they did not use a smartphone.  
- or text.  
- 46.7% did not use a personal computer.  
- 40% did not use email.  
- 36.7% replied they did need help with technology. | Corroboration |
| 2. Counterintuitive                | “all I use it for is calling”  
“it gives me updated information continuously”  
“I want to talk to her face-to-face. You know, I don’t want to communicate what issue is going on with my body over no phone.”  
“ … I guess it’s just all new. I spent most of my life without having to use all this electronic stuff, so it’s just hard getting used to.”  
“I have a computer phone and it’s giving me the blues. I’m 85 years old. I like the old methods; I can’t write and stuff like that.”  
“My pastor says he doesn’t even know why I have a cell phone because I never answer it. But, I’m not married to it, you know, like some people.” | Perceived Ease of Use  
Behavioral Intention  
Survey of smartphone use:  
- 60% able to use email  
- 76.3% able to text message and engage in personal computing use  
- 63.3% replied “no” they did not need help with technology.  
- Behavioral Intention shows a negative association with age ($r = -0.047 \ (p=0.009, 1$-tailed).  
- Adherence Constructs  
- HBCHBPT mean score 21 (SD± 4.98)  
- K-Wood MAS Low adherers 76%  
- Share health information via technology 23% ($n = 7$)  
- App preferences to assist with managing health 23% ($n = 7$) compared to 76% ($n = 23$) who did not prefer technology to communicate with health-care provider  
- 23.7% replied they did not use a smartphone.  
- or text.  
- 46.7% did not use a personal computer.  
- 40% did not use email.  
- 36.7% replied they did need help with technology. | Divergence |
| 3. Communication                   | “all I use it for is calling”  
“it gives me updated information continuously”  
“I want to talk to her face-to-face. You know, I don’t want to communicate what issue is going on with my body over no phone.”  
“ … I guess it’s just all new. I spent most of my life without having to use all this electronic stuff, so it’s just hard getting used to.”  
“I have a computer phone and it’s giving me the blues. I’m 85 years old. I like the old methods; I can’t write and stuff like that.”  
“My pastor says he doesn’t even know why I have a cell phone because I never answer it. But, I’m not married to it, you know, like some people.” | TAM questionnaire  
Perceived Usefulness  
Behavioral Intention  
Survey of smartphone use:  
- 60% able to use email  
- 76.3% able to text message and engage in personal computing use  
- 63.3% replied “no” they did not need help with technology.  
- Behavioral Intention shows a negative association with age ($r = -0.047 \ (p=0.009, 1$-tailed).  
- Adherence Constructs  
- HBCHBPT mean score 21 (SD± 4.98)  
- K-Wood MAS Low adherers 76%  
- Share health information via technology 23% ($n = 7$)  
- App preferences to assist with managing health 23% ($n = 7$) compared to 76% ($n = 23$) who did not prefer technology to communicate with health-care provider  
- 23.7% replied they did not use a smartphone.  
- or text.  
- 46.7% did not use a personal computer.  
- 40% did not use email.  
- 36.7% replied they did need help with technology. | Corroboration |
| 4. Comfortable with the Status Quo  | “all I use it for is calling”  
“it gives me updated information continuously”  
“I want to talk to her face-to-face. You know, I don’t want to communicate what issue is going on with my body over no phone.”  
“ … I guess it’s just all new. I spent most of my life without having to use all this electronic stuff, so it’s just hard getting used to.”  
“I have a computer phone and it’s giving me the blues. I’m 85 years old. I like the old methods; I can’t write and stuff like that.”  
“My pastor says he doesn’t even know why I have a cell phone because I never answer it. But, I’m not married to it, you know, like some people.” | TAM questionnaire  
Perceived Usefulness  
Behavioral Intention  
Survey of smartphone use:  
- 60% able to use email  
- 76.3% able to text message and engage in personal computing use  
- 63.3% replied “no” they did not need help with technology.  
- Behavioral Intention shows a negative association with age ($r = -0.047 \ (p=0.009, 1$-tailed).  
- Adherence Constructs  
- HBCHBPT mean score 21 (SD± 4.98)  
- K-Wood MAS Low adherers 76%  
- Share health information via technology 23% ($n = 7$)  
- App preferences to assist with managing health 23% ($n = 7$) compared to 76% ($n = 23$) who did not prefer technology to communicate with health-care provider  
- 23.7% replied they did not use a smartphone.  
- or text.  
- 46.7% did not use a personal computer.  
- 40% did not use email.  
- 36.7% replied they did need help with technology. | Corroboration and Divergence |
| 5. Educate/Show me How             | Yes, my grandchild helps me out with mine, with everything from my medication to his homework, to everything. If we get lost, … we use it.”  
Well they are easy to use (health apps) if somebody show me how to pull them up. I do not know how to pull them up. | TAM questionnaire  
Perceived Usefulness  
Behavioral Intention  
Survey of smartphone use:  
- 60% able to use email  
- 76.3% able to text message and engage in personal computing use  
- 63.3% replied “no” they did not need help with technology.  
- Behavioral Intention shows a negative association with age ($r = -0.047 \ (p=0.009, 1$-tailed).  
- Adherence Constructs  
- HBCHBPT mean score 21 (SD± 4.98)  
- K-Wood MAS Low adherers 76%  
- Share health information via technology 23% ($n = 7$)  
- App preferences to assist with managing health 23% ($n = 7$) compared to 76% ($n = 23$) who did not prefer technology to communicate with health-care provider  
- 23.7% replied they did not use a smartphone.  
- or text.  
- 46.7% did not use a personal computer.  
- 40% did not use email.  
- 36.7% replied they did need help with technology. | Corroboration |

**Counterintuitive**
Most participants described their smartphones as being “easy to use” but further discussions elicited that navigating, understanding, and using a smartphone device was counterintuitive. Redundant textual descriptions described how participants own a smartphone but struggle with trying to figure out how to best use the device. When asked, “How easy is a smartphone to use?”
• “Charles stated: “If it’s just making calls, then it’s pretty easy. But when I get into messages and apps and all, that kind of thing, now sometimes it gets complicated. Yeah, hard as h*ll.”

• Rosie stated, “It’s easy to me, yes. I’m not familiar with pulling up apps and doing a lots of things [sic]. Sometimes I make a mistake and turn my camera on, and it’ll be taking pictures of things around me. I’ve taken pictures of my feet” … “I have a lot. Some of the things, the problems I have is answering my phone. When it say [sic], people say tap it up and I lose my call because I’m not familiar with doing, rubbing it up. The swiping, you got it.”

• Alexandra: Another statement, “Yeah, I guess, I’m just not used to it, you know. Um-hum. Or like the next steps, where to go from here? Yeah, yeah, it’s kinda confusing.”

Communication
A majority of participants expressed using their smartphones for means of communication either via telephone calls, or text messaging for those who are able to text. Only seven participants (ages 50–63, M = 57) stated they used their smartphones to communicate with health-care providers whereas all others preferred face-to-face interaction.

Comfortable with the Status Quo
From this theme, repeated excerpts showed participants being comfortable with the way things have always been with respect to telecommuting.

• Charles stated, “It’s just, I guess it’s just all new. I spent most of my life without having all this electronic stuff, so it’s just hard getting used to.”

• Sam stated, “It’s very easy. But I have found that it’s harder for probably older people to use it. Younger people, maybe it’s because the young people, their mind is fresher and the older people are kinda, do not like change, maybe. I think it’s easier for the younger people to get in there and go different places than it is for the older people.”

Educate/Show Me How
Participants expressed different levels of smartphone use and voiced their feelings and anxieties about their device. A recurrent theme that participants mentioned was needing someone to “show them how”. Whether it was a child or grandchild, this theme was identified from several participants.

• Rosie described her experience with smartphones as, “But when it come to the smartphone, it’s a lot that I would love to learn, and I probably could learn more about my health on the phone. But mainly information, getting information, but I am dumb when it come to that. I have children and they haven't attempt [sic] to help me.”

• Tutti stated: “I never had no one to show me how.”

• Sally stated, “Yes, my grandchild helps me out with mine, with everything from my medication to his homework, to everything. If we get lost, you know, we use it.”

Data Integration
The quantitative and qualitative data were compared and contrasted for evidence of convergence, corroboration, and divergence. Table 6 is a “joint display” that shows evidence of the integration, corroboration, and the convergence-divergence of results and draws out new insights beyond the information from separate quantitative and qualitative results. We believe the results indicate Perceived Usefulness was confirmed by the qualitative evidence, however, Perceived Ease of Use was both confirmed by younger participants and disconfirmed by older participants. Behavioral Intention was present in younger participants and absent in older participants, thus data divergence was apparent when stratifying results by age (50–66 and 67–87). Through triangulation, integration of the construct adherence both converges and diverges with qualitative results that revealed new knowledge. Further exploration of these results is interpreted as a mean HBCHBPt scale score of 21 which is below the 22-cut score indicating at least 80% adherence with their HTN treatment regimen. However, the data diverges when participants were asked what app they would you like to see on their smartphone to assist them with their health. Only seven participants (23%) responded affirmatively,
whereas most participants (76%) did not prefer to use an app to assist with their healthcare management. Adherence scores for these seven participants were between 15 and 22 (mean 18.7). The qualitative theme “comfortable with the status quo” aligns with adherence.

Discussion

The convergent parallel mixed method research design increases our knowledge of smartphone usage in rural older Black adults with HTN. In this pilot study, we sought to determine the feasibility of using mobile health application technology to manage high BP in rural Black older adults with HTN. During recruitment efforts, only one older Black male was recruited for the study. The primary reason for the underrepresentation of Black men was due to the heavy populace of Black women in the churches and community centers of this rural setting.

From the quantitative measures it is evident adherence to HTN treatment regimen and maintaining BP within normal limits is difficult. Almost half of participants had BP higher than the recommended BP of less than 130/80 mm Hg despite taking between one and five medications. Additionally, we believe the high BMI for 96.7% of participants contributes to sustained HTN. From the adherence measures the mean score of 21 for the HBCHBPT scale indicates the average number of participants are one point below the cut score of 22 indicating 80% compliance. However, this score has a SD of 4.98 with participants are above and below the 80% threshold within 5 points. From the K-Wood-MAS-4 the results showed only seven participants (23%) are highly adherent while 23 (76%) of participants are low adherers. Further research is needed to determine the accuracy of adherence in these two scales.

The five qualitative themes identified in this study were useful, counterintuitive, communication, comfortable with the status quo, and educate/show me how. While research studies of Blacks and technology-related research are lacking, our results are consistent with the results of Harmon Still et al in terms of nonacceptance of technology and using smartphones for social interactions such as communication and connecting with family and friends. Moreover, similar findings were found in the study by Harmon Still et al in being comfortable with the status quo. While there is a paucity of research with rural African Americans with hypertension and smartphone use, Senteio study of Community-Based Health Education Program to Promote African American Elders’ Use of Technology Designed to Support Chronic Disease Self-Management demonstrated commonalities with this study regarding younger adults assisting elders to use technology that parallels our study finding (theme) of educate/show me how. What is evident is the reality that older participants were used to analog technology in the digital age.

In terms of managing health, a smartphone is utilized by younger participants who can navigate the technology but rejected in older participants for a variety of reasons (limited knowledge, poor digital literacy and numeracy, concerns regarding lack of privacy and mistrust). Heiney et al successfully developed a smartphone app for managing heart failure in older African Americans. Thus, self-management of chronic conditions is possible if participants are willing and digitally literate.

Additional concerns were the loss of privacy/confidentiality. This is a known barrier to adoption of information technology for older adults. However, the use of a smartphone device is particularly important as declining mobility with age makes communication more important. Other benefits include game-based interventions that are known to improve cognitive abilities and preliminary evidence indicates that digital gameplay is associated with increased well-being in older adults.

Implications

The findings of this pilot study revealed that in order for mobile app developers to create mobile apps that will aid in the management of chronic illness such as HTN for rural Black older adults with limited digital literacy, the user interface must be developed with simplicity. Rural older Black participants will require extensive education and training to be able to use smartphone technology unaided. Because cultural values and practices necessitate having eye contact with their health-care provider, developers may need to incorporate video chat features into mHealth apps. Importantly, while smartphones’ use is ubiquitous there are still persons who cannot use these devices with mastery and health-care providers need to assess for this knowledge deficit.
Additionally, these results provide data that will inform researchers of the potential use of mHealth apps to foster patient-provider communication, and self-management of HTN in older Black adults. Increasing the use of technology to foster self-management of chronic disease has implications for healthcare cost savings, improved patient engagement in health and well-being, and positive health outcomes.

As a major strength, this study underscores the need to simplify smartphone technology for older adults with limited digital literacy and numeracy. Limitations include the small sample size, geographical location, and inability to generalize to older adults in other settings. In addition, there was no comparison group to determine if findings were specific to age or rural location. Also, the overrepresentation of older women revealed self-selection bias of men who did not choose to participate in the study. Thus, the sample is not representative of all rural adults who may use smartphone technology to manage their HTN. Further research is needed to explore mHealth apps and smartphone technology in diverse older adult populations and settings.

**Conclusion**

Smartphone technology and other health-related computer technologies were not preferred by older adults in this study due to limited digital literacy. The steep learning curve associated with smartphone apps was difficult for older adults to master. Simplicity and easy navigation in the design of mHealth apps for smartphones are needed to improve treatment adherence and BP control in rural older adults with HTN.
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