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Supporting note 1 – Ceramic synthesis approach of disordered rock salt oxyfluorides

Li$_2$VO$_2$F and similar DRS oxyfluorides have so far only been synthesized by using high energy ball milling techniques. The reason may originate from the metastability of the DRS phase and the inaccessibility of such phases with conventional synthesis techniques. We tried several approaches following a conventional ceramic synthesis approach to synthesize Li$_2$VO$_2$F, but these did no yield the DRS phase (Figure S1). However, when substituting 50% of the V$_2$O$_3$ precursor with the corresponding isovalent Ti$^{3+}$ or Fe$^{3+}$ oxide, the ceramic synthesis approach yields materials consisting partially of the DRS phase with residual LiF left (Figure S1). This indicates that the TM substitution increases the thermodynamic stability of the DRS phase, whcih enabling the accessibility of the phase (Li$_{1+x}$TM’$_y$TM’’$_{1-y}$O$_{2-x}$F$_x$; x < 1; y = 0.5; TM’ = V$^{3+}$; TM’’ = Fe$^{3+}$ or Ti$^{3+}$). In fact, both LiFeO$_2$ and LiTiO$_2$ with a DRS structure do exist.\textsuperscript{12}

For the ceramic synthesis approach the precursors Li$_2$O (Alfa Aesar, 99.7%), LiF (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%) and the corresponding TM$_2$O$_3$ precursor (V$_2$O$_3$ (Alfa Aesar, 99.7%), Ti$_2$O$_3$ (Alfa Aesar, 99.8%) and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%)) were thoroughly ground in stoichiometric amounts in a mortar inside an argon-filled glovebox with water and oxygen levels below 0.1 ppm. Pellets of 100 mg were pressed using a Specac mini lab press. A pressure of two tons was applied to the pellet and kept for one minute. The pellets were transferred into stainless steel reactors and sealed. The samples were annealed at 850°C in a furnace for 1 h. After cooling down, the reactors were transferred back into a glovebox. The annealed pellets were ground in a mortar for 15 minutes and the obtained powder was used without further purification.

\textsuperscript{1} T. A. Hewston, B. L. Chamberland, \textit{J. Phys. Chem. Solids} \textbf{1987}, \textit{48}, 97–108.

\textsuperscript{2} A. Urban, A. Abdellahi, S. Dacek, N. Artrith, G. Ceder, \textit{Phys. Rev. Lett.} \textbf{2017}, \textit{119}, 1–6.
Supporting Information Figure S1: Comparison of PXRD pattern (Mo Kα1) of synthesis attempts with a conventional ceramic synthesis using Li₂O, the corresponding TM₂O₃ and LiF in stoichiometric amounts. Black: resulting PXRD pattern of Li₂VO₂F synthesis approach, blue: resulting PXRD pattern of Li₂V₀.₅Ti₀.₅O₂F synthesis approach, red: resulting PXRD pattern of Li₂V₀.₅Fe₀.₅O₂F synthesis approach. Black dotted lines schematically indicate position of the DRS phase (Fm̅3m). Asterisk indicate LiF.

Supporting Information Table S1: Structural parameters of Li₂VO₂F, Li₂V₀.₅Ti₀.₅O₂F and Li₂V₀.₅Fe₀.₅O₂F obtained by the Rietveld refinements.

| Compound                  | Li₂VO₂F | Li₂V₀.₅Ti₀.₅O₂F | Li₂V₀.₅Fe₀.₅O₂F |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Space group               | Fm̅3m   |                 |                 |
| GoF                       | 4.2583  | 3.9933          | 4.7164          |
| R_p                       | 1.2955  | 1.2758          | 1.6528          |
| R_wp                      | 1.6173  | 1.7917          | 2.1282          |
| R_Bragg                   | 1.1729  | 1.5000          | 1.4147          |
| 4a Wyckoff site x, y, z = (0, 0, 0) | Bₐo / Å² (cations) | 1.05(3) | 0.92(4) | 0.94(4) |
| 4b Wyckoff site x, y, z = (0.5, 0.5, 0.5) | Bₐo / Å² (anions) | 1.29(4) | 1.66(6) | 1.75(6) |
| 4a Wyckoff site x, y, z = (0, 0, 0) | Occupancy TM | 0.345(3) | 0.325(6) | 0.330(4) |
| 4a Wyckoff site x, y, z = (0, 0, 0) | Occupancy Li  | 0.655(3) | 0.675(6) | 0.670(4) |
| Size / nm                 | 14.15   | 12.35           | 12.68           |
| Strain / %                | 0.59    | 0.58            | 0.50            |
| a / Å                     | 4.1169(4) | 4.1342(6)       | 4.1388(6)       |
| Volume / Å                | 69.78(2) | 70.66(3)        | 70.90(3)        |
| Phase density / g cm⁻³   | 3.73(2)  | 3.55(3)         | 3.68(2)         |

*occupancy of anions was not refined and was set to 2/3 for O and 1/3 for F, respectively. The TM and Li occupancies were restrained to result in 1 and refined.

#Size and strain have been calculated with the Williamson-Hall Method using PowderPlot. ³

³ PowderPlot written by Kenny Ståhl: https://www.kemi.dtu.dk/english/research/physicalchemistry/protein_op_roentgenkrystallograf/-computer_programs
Supporting Information Figure S2: PXRD pattern of Li$_2$VO$_2$F with Rietveld refinement and Bragg positions of Li$_2$VO$_2$F, Si$_3$N$_4$ and V$_2$O$_5$. $\lambda = 0.21280$ Å.
Supporting Information Figure S3: Williamson-Hall-Plot of Li$_2$VO$_2$F, Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.5}$O$_2$F and Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_2$F.
Supporting Information Figure S4: TEM analysis of Li$_2$VO$_2$F. a) TEM image and b) SAED pattern. c) High-resolution STEM ADF image with corresponding FFT d). Bottom: STEM ADF image and EDX elemental mapping of Li$_2$VO$_2$F (the oxygen K$_\alpha$ map is not shown because of strong overlap with the vanadium L$_\alpha$ signal).

Supporting Information Figure S5: STEM ADF image and EDX elemental mapping of Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.5}$O$_2$F (the oxygen K$_\alpha$ map is not shown because of strong overlap with the vanadium L$_\alpha$ signal).
Supporting Information Figure S6: TEM analysis of Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_2$F. a) TEM image and b) SAED pattern. c) High-resolution STEM ADF image with corresponding FFT d). Bottom: STEM ADF image and EDX elemental mapping of Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_2$F (the oxygen K$_\alpha$ map is not shown because of strong overlap with the vanadium L$_\alpha$ signal).
Supporting Information Figure S7: Special Quasi-random Structures (SQS) of Li$_2$TM$_2$F (left) and Li$_2$TM$_{1.5}$TM$_{2.5}$O$_2$F (right) with the cells containing 60 atoms.

Supporting Information Figure F8: Ordered structures of Li$_2$TM$_{1.5}$TM$_{2.5}$O$_2$F derived from the $\alpha$-NaFeO$_2$ and $\gamma$-LiFeO$_2$ type oxide structures.

Supporting note 2 – relative stability of ordered and decomposed phase compared to disordered phase

The energy differences are computed using $\Delta E_{\text{ordered/decomposed}} = E_{\text{SQS}} - \min(E_{\text{ordered/decomposed}})$, where $\min(E_{\text{ordered/decomposed}})$ corresponds to the minimum energy found in the ordered or decomposed phase.
The SQS are shown in Figure S8, and the ordered structures include layered oxyfluoride structures and the structures derived from the $\alpha$-NaFeO$_2$ and $\gamma$-LiFeO$_2$ type structures as shown in Figure S9. $\Delta E_{\text{decomposed}}$ is calculated using the decomposed product LiF + LiTMO$_2$ for Li$_2$TMO$_2$F and LiF + 0.5 LiTMO$_2$ + 0.5 LiTM2O$_4$ for Li$_2$TM1$_0.5$TM2$_0.5$O$_2$F. The $\alpha$-NaFeO$_2$ and $\gamma$-LiFeO$_2$ type oxide structures are considered, and the structure oxide yielding the lowest energy is chosen. $\Delta E_{\text{ordered}}$ and $\Delta E_{\text{decomposed}}$ are listed for all three compounds in Table S3.

Supporting information Table S2: Energy difference (in meV/atom) between ordered/decomposed state and disordered state.

| Compound                  | $\Delta E_{\text{ordered}}$ | $\Delta E_{\text{decomposed}}$ |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Li$_2$VO$_2$F             | 179                         | 183                           |
| Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.5}$O$_2$F | 144                         | 147                           |
| Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_2$F | 147                         | 151                           |

Supporting information Table S3: Oxidation states of TMs.

| Compound                  | Li$_2$VO$_2$F | Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.5}$O$_2$F | Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_2$F |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $\Delta E_{\text{ordered}}$ | $\Delta E_{\text{decomposed}}$ | $\Delta E_{\text{ordered}}$ | $\Delta E_{\text{decomposed}}$ |
| ordered                   | ordered       | ordered                       | ordered                       |
| V = {2+, 2+, 3+, 3+, 3+, 3+, 3+, 3+, 4+, 4+} | V = {3+, 3+, 3+, 2+, 2+} | V = {3+, 3+, 3+, 4+, 4+} | Fe = {3+, 3+, 3+, 2+, 2+} |
| 3+ for all V               | 3+ for all V and Ti        | 3+ for all V and Fe            |                                |

Supporting Information Figure S9. Voltage profiles of a) Li$_2$VO$_2$F, b) Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.5}$O$_2$F and c) Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_2$F half-cells cycled within a potential range of 1.3 – 4.1 V vs. Li/Li$^+$ with C/5-rate at 25 °C.
Supporting Information Figure S10: Ex-situ XRD pattern (Mo-Kα1) of the electrodes cycled after 100 cycles within a voltage range of 1.3-4.1 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at a rate of C/5. Reflections of the DRS phase is hidden by the reflections of the Al-foil current collector. However, no new reflections indicating new phases are present.

Supporting note 3 – Fe 2p peak fitting

Due to an overlap with the F 1s plasmon the Fe 2p contribution to the spectra is hard to distinguish. By comparing a survey of Fe-substituted and unsubstituted pristine material, it is seen that there is clearly an iron contribution, but that the Fe 2p₃/₂ contribution is hidden under the fluorine plasmon, see Figure S9. The Fe 2p₁/₂ on the other hand (~725 eV) lies above the fluorine plasmon and are therefore utilized for the fitting. The distances, peak widths and intensity ratios between the 2p₃/₂, 2p₁/₂ and satellite are locked according to reference values for Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺.⁴

⁴ Lin, T.-C., Seshadri, G. & Kelber, J. A. A consistent method for quantitative XPS peak analysis of thin oxide films on clean polycrystalline iron surfaces. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **119**, 83–92 (1997).
Supporting information Figure S11: Survey of Li$_2$V$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_2$F and Li$_2$VO$_2$F as well as the difference between them. In the difference curve (blue) it is clearly seen that there is an iron signal. In the inset a zoom in of the Fe 2p region is shown. It can be seen that the fluorine plasmon overlaps with the Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ peak but that the Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ is at higher binding energies.

Supporting information Figure S12: Core level photoelectron spectra of Fe 2p and Ti 2p for the iron- and titanium substituted material, respectively. Dotted lines indicate reference values for different oxidation states.
Supporting Information Figure S13: Cycling performance (filled symbols: charge capacity, hollow symbols: discharge capacity of \( Li_2VO_2F \) (black), \( Li_2V_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_2F \) (blue) and \( Li_2V_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O_2F \) (red) as a function of cycle number for half-cells cycled within a potential range of 1.3 – 4.5 V vs. Li/Li\(^+\) with C/5-rate at 25 °C.