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Onodera's prognostic nutritional index correlates with tumor immune environment and survival in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma undergoing chemoradiotherapy
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ABSTRACT

Pretreatment nutritional and immunological status is useful for predicting survival outcomes for various types of malignant tumors. Our objective was to determine the impact of the pretreatment Onodera's prognostic nutritional index (OPNI) on outcomes of patients who underwent definitive chemoradiotherapy for advanced oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). We reviewed 47 patients treated for OSCC with definitive chemoradiotherapy (CRT) at our institution between January 2004 and December 2011. We determined the OPNI according to the following formula: 10 × serum albumin (g/dL) + 0.005 × total lymphocyte count (per μL). We determined the optimum OPNI cut-off through a receiver operating characteristic analysis. We analyzed the associations between OPNI status and various clinicopathological features and evaluated the effects of OPNI on the prognosis. We examined the relationships between OPNI and systemic inflammatory response parameters and analyzed intratumoral CD8+ T cells and their correlation with OPNI. The optimum OPNI cut-off was 42.7. A Kaplan–Meier curve analysis revealed that low OPNI was significantly associated with poor overall survival and cause-specific survival. The multivariate analysis revealed that low OPNI was independently correlated with poor 5 year overall survival and cause-specific survival. OPNI was significantly correlated with systemic inflammatory response parameters. Intratumoral CD8+ T cell counts in primary tumors were significantly lower for low OPNI than for high OPNI. The present data demonstrate that pretreatment OPNI is a valuable independent prognostic indicator of overall and cause-specific survival in advanced OSCC following definitive CRT. OPNI might reflect the tumor immune microenvironment characterisation in OSCC.

INTRODUCTION

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common malignant tumor in the oral cavity and can threaten quality of life and survival [1]. Advanced OSCC is typically treated with multimodal therapy including surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy [2]. Definitive chemoradiotherapy (CRT) is one of the most effective treatment options for patients with unresectable tumors [2]. We recently reported that CRT with S-1, an oral fluoropyrimidine anticancer agent, is an acceptable treatment option for advanced OSCC when compared with standard CRT in terms of prognosis and safety [3]. To further improve patient outcomes, however, it is imperative to identify useful prognostic markers that can predict the efficacy and outcomes of CRT among patients with advanced OSCC.

The Onodera prognostic nutritional index (OPNI) is an indicator calculated from serum albumin and total lymphocyte counts in peripheral blood, which can be utilized to evaluate patients' nutritional and immune status [4]. Although OPNI was initially regarded as an indicator of postoperative complications in patients with gastrointestinal cancer [4], recent studies
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have demonstrated a relationship between OPNI and outcomes for patients with cancer [5–7]. The clinical significance of OPNI for surgical cases with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) (including OSCC) has been reported by several authors [8,9]; however, the prognostic value of OPNI for patients with advanced OSCC who undergo definitive CRT has not been fully elucidated.

Increasing evidence has shown that cancer-related inflammation, in the form of local and systemic inflammatory response (SIR), is a key factor in disease progression and survival for several types of cancer [10]. Easily measurable parameters of SIR include the neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio (NLR), the platelet–lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and the lymphocyte–monocyte ratio (LMR) [11]. On the other hand, CD8+ cell infiltration in tumors plays an important role in the local antitumor immune response [12], and the status of tumor-infiltrating CD8+ cells is an important index of the immune response to cancer and has prognostic, pharmacodynamic, and predictive potential [13]. Although OPNI is considered to be closely related to SIR and tumor-infiltrating CD8+ cells in terms of the calculation method, there have been no reports analyzing these factors in detail in OSCC.

The aim of this study was to determine the impact of pretreatment OPNI on the outcomes of patients who have undergone CRT for advanced OSCC and elucidate the correlation between OPNI and the local and systemic inflammatory response, with a special focus on parameters related to SIR and tumor-infiltrating CD8+ cells.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue specimens

The study included 47 patients with advanced OSCC treated with definitive CRT (total radiation dose of 60 or 70 Gy) at the Kumamoto University Hospital between January 2004 and December 2011. The patients were not initially treated surgically due to technically and/or medically unresectable disease. We excluded patients with factors that could affect the OPNI and SIR parameters, such as concurrent infection, chronic inflammatory disease, recent steroid therapy. The clinical stage (according to the Union for International Cancer Control and American Joint Committee on Cancer criteria) was identified at a meeting of oral
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**Fig. 1.** OPNI changes at pretreatment and post-treatment. (A) OPNI changes at pretreatment and post-treatment in each case. The red line indicates “increased” OPNI at post-treatment. The blue line indicates “decreased” OPNI at post-treatment. The black line indicates “no change” at post-treatment. (B) Box plot showing the OPNI at pretreatment and post-treatment. Differences in mean values between the groups were statistically analyzed using Mann–Whitney’s U test. **, p < .01.
surgeons, radiologists, and radiation oncologists who interpreted the imaging data. The radiological diagnosis of nodal involvement was based on widely accepted morphological criteria [14]. All tumors were staged according to the TNM classification of the Union for International Cancer Control (2002), and the degree of differentiation was determined based on the World Health Organization’s classification. All patients underwent definitive CRT, with a total dose of 60–70 Gy delivered in 2 Gy fractions using two to four fields. S-1 (Taiho Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) was concurrently administered (80 mg/m²/day) for 14 consecutive days followed by a 1 week drug-free period or on the days of irradiation (65 mg/m²/day) [4–6]. Elective nodal irradiation included the tumor extension and levels I and II, even in cN0 necks; clinically positive as well as equivocal node levels were added in the fields. Thereafter, boost irradiation was delivered to the primary tumor and clinically positive nodes. The maximum dose to the spinal cord did not exceed 40 Gy during the two courses of CRT. This study was performed with the approval of the Ethics Committee of Kumamoto University (approval number 174 and RINRI1427) and in accordance with the Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines. The current study followed the guidelines of the Ethics Committee of Kumamoto University. As the present study is a retrospective analysis, individual written consent is not required; however, the opportunity to refuse participation is guaranteed in an opt-out format (RINRI1427).

Follow-up

Patients underwent hematologic tests and symptom assessments every 2 weeks. The presence of recurrence was determined by means of imaging modalities, including computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, and positron emission tomography–computed tomography. The patients underwent at least one type of imaging examination at 3–4 month intervals for the first 2 years and at 4–6 month intervals thereafter until 5 years after CRT.

Nutritional assessment

Serum albumin levels and total lymphocyte counts measured at pretreatment and post-treatment were used to calculate OPNI using the following equation: 10 × serum albumin (g/dL) + 0.005 × total lymphocyte count (per µL). Pretreatment OPNI was calculated using laboratory data before administering CRT, whereas post-treatment OPNI was calculated at 1–1.5 months after the end of treatment. We generated receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for the multiple logistic regression analysis, using 5 year overall survival (OS) as the endpoint, thereby determining an optimal OPNI cut-off. Patients were then assigned to either a high OPNI or a low OPNI group.

Assessment of SIR parameters

Before administering CRT, blood samples were collected for routine laboratory analysis of full blood count, neutrophil count, platelet count, monocyte count, and lymphocyte count. We determined NLR by dividing the absolute neutrophil count by the absolute lymphocyte count, the PLR by dividing the absolute platelet count by the absolute lymphocyte count, and the LMR by dividing the absolute lymphocyte count by the absolute monocyte count.

Double-immunohistochemical staining and histopathological evaluation

We utilized paraffin-embedded tumor tissue samples to analyze intratumoral CD8+ T cell tumor infiltration, along with two mouse monoclonal antibodies, CD8 (C8/144B; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and cytokeratin (AE1/AE3; Dako). Two observers blinded to all information regarding the samples evaluated the CD8+ cell infiltration and averaged the results. For double immunostaining, sections were first reacted with anti-CD8 antibodies and visualized using the DAB system (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan). The resulting antibodies were washed in glycine buffer (pH 2.2); sections were then reacted with anticytokeratin antibody and visualized with HistoGreen solution (Linaris Biologische Produkte, Wertheim-Bettingen, Germany).

Statistical analysis

We utilized the chi-squared test to determine the association between pretreatment OPNI and the clinical and pathological variables. We defined OS, progression-free survival (PFS), and cause-specific survival (CSS) as the time from treatment initiation (CRT) to the date of death from any cause, the date of tumor recurrence and the date of death from OSCC, respectively. We utilized the Kaplan–Meier method to estimate the probability of OS, PFS, and CSS as a function of time and compared the statistical differences in survival for the patient groups using the log-rank test. We performed a multivariate survival analysis using the Cox regression model to study the effects of pretreatment OPNI on OS and CSS. We utilized scatter plots to observe the associations between pretreatment OPNI and the SIR parameters or tumor-infiltrating cells and investigated the relationships between these parameters with Pearson’s correlation coefficient test. All p-values were based on two-tailed statistical analyses, and p-values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant (* p < .05 and ** p < .01). The statistical analyses were completed using JMP 9 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

OPNI changes at pretreatment and post-treatment

To elucidate OPNI changes in patients with OSCC who underwent CRT, pretreatment and post-treatment OPNI values were compared. As shown in Fig. 1, OPNI values decreased in 33 (70.2%), increased in 12 (25.5%), and were stable in two patients (4.3%; Fig. 1A). Therefore, the post-treatment OPNI values were significantly lower than the pretreatment ones (Fig. 1B, p < .01).
The mean OPNI was 45.2 (range, 31.8–58.0). To determine the OPNI cut-off for further study, we investigated the cut-off by using ROC. The OPNI ranged from 31.8 to 58.0 (mean of 44.1), and the area under the ROC curve in the multiple logistic regression analysis (with 5 year OS as the endpoint) was 0.692. For an OPNI of 42.685, the projected 5 year survival was optimal (sensitivity 0.533; specificity 0.824) (Fig. 1). This value was therefore adopted as the cut-off, stratifying the patients as low OPNI (OPNI ≤ 42.685) or high OPNI (OPNI > 42.685). (See Fig 2.)

Relationship between the pretreatment OPNI and clinicopathological characteristics

To determine the clinical significance of the pretreatment OPNI of patients with OSCC treated with 5-FU-based CRT, we examined the correlations between OPNI and the clinicopathological variables. Table 1 shows the distribution of the clinical background characteristics of the study patients divided into the two groups (low OPNI and high OPNI). There were no differences in OPNI according to age, sex, primary tumor site, T stage, N stage, clinical stage, differentiation, Worst pattern of invasion, or the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST).

Relationship between the pretreatment OPNI and survival time

To assess the relationship between pretreatment OPNI and survival time, we analyzed the OS, PFS, and CSS of the 47 patients with OSCC using the Kaplan–Meier method. The 5-year OS rates were significantly lower in patients with low OPNI values than in those with high OPNI values (p = .006; Fig. 3A). The 5-year CSS rates were also significantly lower in patients with low OPNI values than in those with high OPNI values (p = .015; Fig. 3B). Although the 5-year PFS rate tended to be lower in patients with low OPNI values, the difference was not statistically significant (p = .073; Fig. 3C). Conversely, whether post-treatment OPNI values could be considered as a predictor of patient prognosis was also examined, no significant difference was observed (Supplementary Figs. S2 and S3). Collectively, our data indicated that pretreatment OPNI values could be a potential prognostic factor for patients with OSCC undergoing CRT.

Table 1
Correlation between the OPNI status and clinicopathological factors in 47 patients with OSCC.

| Characteristics | Total | OPNI status | p-Value |
|-----------------|-------|-------------|---------|
|                 |       | Low (%)     | High (%)|
| Age(years)      | 79    | 72.8        | 76.9    |
| Median          | 45–90 | 45–86       | 53–90   |
| ≤65             | 10    | 5 (50.0)    | 5 (50.0) |
| >65             | 37    | 13 (35.1)   | 24 (64.9)|
| Gender          |       |             |         |
| Male            | 23    | 9 (39.1)    | 14 (60.9)|
| Female          | 24    | 9 (37.5)    | 15 (62.5)|
| Primary site    |       |             |         |
| Tongue          | 16    | 8 (50.0)    | 8 (50.0) |
| Mandible        | 12    | 3 (25.0)    | 9 (75.0) |
| Maxilla         | 7     | 3 (42.9)    | 4 (57.1) |
| Oral floor      | 4     | 2 (50.0)    | 2 (50.0) |
| Buccal mucosa   | 4     | 1 (25.0)    | 3 (75.0) |
| Palate          | 4     | 1 (25.0)    | 3 (75.0) |
| cT-stage        |       |             |         |
| T1, T2          | 6     | 3 (50.0)    | 3 (50.0) |
| T3              | 13    | 5 (38.5)    | 8 (61.5) |
| T4              | 28    | 10 (35.7)   | 18 (64.3)|
| cN-stage        |       |             |         |
| N0              | 13    | 7 (53.8)    | 6 (46.2) |
| N1, 2b          | 20    | 6 (30.0)    | 14 (70.0)|
| N2c             | 14    | 5 (35.7)    | 9 (64.3) |
| cStage          |       |             |         |
| III             | 8     | 4 (50.0)    | 4 (50.0) |
| IV              | 39    | 14 (35.9)   | 25 (64.1)|
| Differentiation |       |             |         |
| Poor, Moderate  | 33    | 10 (30.3)   | 23 (69.7)|
| Well            | 14    | 8 (57.1)    | 6 (42.9) |
| WPOI            |       |             |         |
| 1, 2            | 7     | 2 (28.6)    | 5 (71.4) |
| 3               | 36    | 12 (33.3)   | 24 (66.7)|
| 4, 5            | 4     | 2 (50.0)    | 2 (50.0) |
| RECISTa         |       |             |         |
| NC              | 14    | 4 (28.6)    | 10 (71.4)|
| PR              | 18    | 8 (44.4)    | 10 (55.6)|
| CR              | 8     | 3 (37.5)    | 5 (62.5) |

Abbreviation: OSCC: Oral squamous cell carcinoma. OPNI: Onodera’s prognostic nutritional index. WPOI: Worst pattern of invasion. RECIST: Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors. CR: Complete response. PR: Partial response. NC: No change.

* Seven patients could not evaluate the response to CRT. Chi-square test was used to examine the relationships between OPNI status and clinicopathologic factors.
Univariate and multivariate analysis of prognostic factors

To determine the independent prognostic value of pretreatment OPNI for OS and CSS, we performed a univariate and multivariate analysis using a Cox proportional hazards regression model. After adjusting for age, sex, primary site, T stage, N stage, poorest pattern of invasion, and RECIST, the influence of OPNI on OS (hazard ratio, 3.567; 95% CI 1.329–9.965; \( p = .004 \)) remained. RECIST was also a significant prognostic factor in OS (hazard ratio, 8.617; 95% CI 1.630–5.684; \( p = .011 \)) and CSS (hazard ratio, 17.410; 95% CI 2.502–145.69; \( p = .004 \)) (Tables 2 and 3).

Relationship between the pretreatment OPNI and the SIR parameter

To determine the relationship between pretreatment OPNI and SIR parameters, we examined the correlations between OPNI and the major parameters of SIR: NLR, PLR, and LMR. In the Pearson correlation coefficient test, pretreatment OPNI was significantly associated with NLR \( (r = 0.301, \ p = .039; \text{Fig. 4A}) \) and PLR \( (r = 0.507, \ p < .001; \text{Fig. 4B}) \) but not with LMR \( (r = 0.204, \ p = .169; \text{Fig. 4C}) \).

Relationship between intratumoral CD8+ T cells and the pretreatment OPNI

To explore the potential relationships between OPNI and tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) counts in patients with OSCC, we measured the intratumoral CD8+ T cell count in pretreatment OSCC tissues using immunohistochemistry and examined the correlation between the TIL counts and OPNI. In the Pearson correlation coefficient test, TIL count was significantly associated with OPNI \((r = 0.32, \ p = .028; \text{Fig. 5A})\). As shown in Fig. 5B and C, TIL counts were higher in patients with high OPNI values than in those with low OPNI values.

Discussion

OPNI was originally intended for assessing the perioperative nutritional and immunological status and postoperative complications of patients with colorectal cancer [15]. OPNI changes during treatment are generally considered to decrease with nutritional status and laboratory data deterioration due to treatment. Recently, Arribas et al. [16] reported that OPNI changes were observed in almost all patients who received chemo- or radiation therapy for HNSCC. The present data are in line with that of a previous report, suggesting the importance of monitoring the immunonutritional status during chemoradiotherapy in patients with OSCC. Originally, the optimal cut-off for OPNI was 40 for predicting a high risk of postoperative complications [15]. However, OPNI has been shown to be a prognostic marker in various malignancies, including colon [6], stomach [5], and pancreatic cancers [7]. Previous studies have generally set the cut-off OPNI for various types of malignancies at 45, because an OPNI <45 has been regarded as malnutrition and correlated to the risk of postoperative complications [15]. In surgical cases with head and neck cancer including OSCC, Wu et al. reported that the optimal OPNI cut-off was 47.4 [8]. In a large-scale prospective study, Bao et al. reported a cut-off of 49.3, which

| Table 2 | The results of a univariate regression analysis for predicting the survival of 47 patients with OSCC. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | OS | CSS | PFS |
|          | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | p-Value | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | p-Value | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | p-Value |
| Age, years | | | | | | |
| ≤65 | 1.534 (0.605–3.429) | 0.532 | 2.086 (0.800–4.903) | 0.608 | 1.014 (0.32–3.69) | 1.262 (0.36–4.08) | 0.592 (0.17–1.82) | 3.621 (1.08–11.6) | <0.001 |
| >65 | 1.113 (0.539–2.301) | 0.795 | 0.592 (0.17–1.82) | 3.308 (1.08–11.6) | 0.004** | 5.844 (1.24–36.1) | 0.024* |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 0.777 (0.220–3.308) | 0.715 | 7.003 (1.84–32.5) | 0.004** | 7.003 (1.84–32.5) | 0.004** |
| Female | | | | | | | | | |
| T stage | | | | | | | | | |
| T1 | 0.108 (0.020–0.444) | 0.001** | 3.135 (0.61–17.4) | 0.169 | 3.135 (0.61–17.4) | 0.169 |
| T2 | | | | | | | | | |
| T3 | | | | | | | | | |
| T4 | | | | | | | | | |
| N stage | | | | | | | | | |
| N0 | 1.350 (0.533–3.621) | 0.532 | 5.844 (1.24–36.1) | 0.024* | 5.844 (1.24–36.1) | 0.024* |
| N1 | | | | | | | | | |
| N2b | | | | | | | | | |
| N2c | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary site | | | | | | | | | |
| Tongue | | | | | | | | | |
| Mandible | | | | | | | | | |
| Maxilla | | | | | | | | | |
| Buccal mucosa | | | | | | | | | |
| Patate | | | | | | | | | |
| WPOI | 0.608 (0.128–3.469) | 0.561 | 1.262 (0.36–4.08) | 0.707 | 1.262 (0.36–4.08) | 0.707 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| RECIST | | | | | | | | | |
| CR | 5.702 (1.329–27.079) | 0.019* | 0.101 (0.02–0.38) | <0.001** | 0.101 (0.02–0.38) | <0.001** |
| PR | | | | | | | | | |
| NC | | | | | | | | | |
| OPNI status | | | | | | | | | |
| High | 2.825 (1.327–6.060) | 0.007** | 3.308 (1.08–11.6) | 0.035* | 3.308 (1.08–11.6) | 0.035* |
| Low | | | | | | | | | |

Abbreviation: OSCC: Oral squamous cell carcinoma. WPOI: Worst pattern of invasion. RECIST: Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors. CR: Complete response. PR: Partial response. NC: No change. OPNI: Onodera’s prognostic nutritional index. CI: confidence interval. OS: overall survival. CSS: cause-specific survival. PFS: progression-free survival. ✤ p < .05. ✤✩ p < .01.
correlates with poor OS [9]. In the present study, the ROC analysis resulted in an OPNI cut-off of 42.7, which is relatively low compared with previous data. Generally, patients with advanced OSCC often experience odynophagia and dysphagia and can experience chronic fatigue, which increases the risk of malnutrition [17,18]. The present cohort consisted of patients with advanced disease treated with definitive CRT, for whom it was difficult to apply curative surgery because of their general condition and postoperative dysfunction. Our preliminary study showed that the mean OPNI for the patients with benign disease was 51.7 (Supplementary Fig. S1). Collectively, the differences in patient characteristics in the individual studies might have led to this discrepancy.

In the present study, we demonstrated that low OPNI was associated with poor OS and CSS and identified OPNI as an independent prognostic factor for patients with advanced OSCC who undergo definitive CRT. Recently, growing evidence has shown that low OPNI is related to poorer prognoses in various types of cancers [5–7]. In OSCC, Wu et al. evaluated the predictive performance of OPNI and reported that low preoperative OPNI was significantly related to a poor prognosis and serves as a novel prognostic biomarker [8]. Similarly, Bao et al. reported the predictive value of OPNI, along with other nutritional indicators such as body mass index, serum albumin, and the nutritional risk index [9]. In terms of radiotherapy and chemoradiotherapy, the clinical significance of OPNI for patients undergoing chemotherapy and surgical resection has been reported in esophageal, breast, urinary bladder, and cervical cancers [19–22]. However, there have been few studies that have described the prognostic significance of OPNI for patients with head and neck cancer who undergo radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy [23]. Although Bruixola et al. reported that OPNI is an independent prognostic factor in locoregionally advanced squamous cell head and neck cancer who undergo chemoradiotherapy following induction chemotherapy [24]; to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to report the prognostic value of OPNI in patients with OSCC who undergo definitive CRT. The results of the present study are also in line with current evidence, suggesting that OPNI could be useful for guiding treatment decisions for patients with OSCC undergoing chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy.

Our present findings show that OPNI is correlated with inflammatory response parameters. Studies have shown that the local inflammatory response and SIR in tumors suppress the antitumor immunity and contribute to tumor progression [25,26]. The pre-existing state of the tumor microenvironment established by SIR might determine the response to anticancer therapy in several types of cancer [27]. Indeed, studies have reported that various SIR parameters are correlated with treatment response in various malignant tumors [28–30]. Our previous study on OSCC also demonstrated that the pretreatment NLR status was correlated to poor prognoses and the pathological response to preoperative CRT [31]. The present data and current evidence suggest that OPNI could reflect the SIR status, which affects the treatment response and prognosis for OSCC, as well as for other malignancies. As Bruixola et al. pointed out in their study [24], OPNI is considered to be more reproducible, inexpensive, and universally available compared with other inflammation-based biomarkers, which are susceptible to external factors such as comorbidity, medication, and infection. OPNI could be a robust biomarker, with good internal and external validity, thereby providing reliable information regarding host antitumor immunity.

We found that OPNI was significantly correlated with intratumoral CD8+ cell counts in primary tumors. Recently, several researchers have demonstrated the significance of TILs as a prognostic factor in malignant tumors such as breast, colon, esophagus, stomach, and head and neck cancers.
Studies have reported that pretreatment intratumoral CD8+ cells in primary tumors have a favorable therapeutic effect in chemoradiotherapy, even in preoperative settings [38,39]. In HNSCC carcinoma, Balermpas et al. reported that CD8+ TILs have antitumor activity and a prognostic value for patients who undergo postoperative chemoradiotherapy [40]. Several reports have suggested that SIR reflects the local tumor immunity of patients with cancer [41,42]. In particular, various inflammatory cytokines from cancer cells activate the neutrophil proliferation and activity, suppress lymphocytes, and increase the degradation of proteins including albumin [43]. These phenomena are

Fig. 5. Relationship between the OPNI and intratumoral CD8+ cell counts in the 47 patients with OSCC. (A) Box plot showing the number of intratumoral CD8+ cells according to OPNI status. The differences in mean values between the two groups were statistically analyzed using the Mann–Whitney’s U test. **, p < .01. (B) Representative photographs of the results of double-immunohistochemical staining of CD8 (brown) and cytokeratin (green) in OPNI-high tumor. Original magnification: ×400, scale bar = 50 μm. (C) Representative photographs of the results of double-immunohistochemical staining of CD8 (brown) and cytokeratin (green) in OPNI-low tumor. Original magnification: ×400, scale bar = 50 μm.
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