Snail Consumption Pattern in Ibarapa Central Local Government of Oyo State, Nigeria
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ABSTRACT: Snails are very high in nutrients such as proteins, iron, and water, while being low in fat, therefore its consumption is popular in various countries around the globe and humans have been consuming snails for thousands of years. The objective of this paper is to evaluate the snail consumption pattern in Ibarapa Central Local Government Area of Oyo state Nigeria using standard structured questionnaire techniques and analyzing the data by descriptive statistics and multiple regression technique. Theresult showed majority of respondents (56.6%) were female, result showed that 44.4% of the respondents’ were between the age of 31-40 and 59.6% were married and 53.5% of the household have the largest size. Also the result obtained from this study shows that Christian was dominant (55.6%) that consumed snail meat, this indicate that there was no religion barrier on the consumption of the snail meat thereby making snail meat more demanded in the study area. The result shows that snail meat prevent blood pressure, also Regression result showed that Age and health condition increases the rate at which snail is consumed in the study area. Based on this result it is revealed that income, Taste, Price, Availability and Accessibility were the factor affecting snail consumption pattern in the study Area.
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Heliculture is the science and occupation of raising snails; and there is a growing interest in the rearing of snail for meat and for sale in Africa and in Nigeria in particular (Omole, 2001), (Kehinde, 2009). The commonest breed of African snails in the Archachatina marginata and Achatina achatina. Achatina achatina grows exceptionally large and the adult has been known to be consistently bigger than the average sized Archachatina fulica species. The very large snails are kept as pets in the Western world, where owners prize their large size, distinctive marking and rarity (Pet, 2007). It is considered a potentially serious pest, an invasive species. The snails have already established themselves in the wild in Florida, where they are considered a pest (Bahara, 2013). Snail meat is highly nutritious containing 37.5% protein (on DM basis); has high iron content (45 to 59mg/kg) and low sodium (2.32g/100g) and fat (0.05-0.08%) contents (Sogbesan and Ugwumba, 2008). The meat is low in cholesterol and a source of vital minerals required for normal tissue development and maintenance; and it is an ideal meat for diabetics and those with vascular disease such as heart attack, cardiac arrest, hypertension and stroke (Funmilayo, 2008). Some ethnic groups even have superstitious beliefs that discourage the eating of snail meat or eating certain species of snail to the detriment of others (Malik, et al., 2011). Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO 1989) has reported that the
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average animal protein intake in Nigeria is low, calling for concerted efforts towards alleviating this crisis of protein shortage. Unfortunately, the conventional and regular sources of animal protein in the country like beef, pork, goat meat, fish, poultry etc are getting out of the reach of common populace, due to their high price, as a result of the economic down-turn (Olayide, 2004). Also, Wufueke (2004) reported that the consumption of animal protein in Nigeria is 5.5g per head per day which is absolutely below the Food and Agriculture Organization recommendation of 35g per head per day. To bridge this gap, various non-conventional animal protein sources like snail, cricket, and winged termites are now being explored. Snail meat is reported to be high in protein, low in fat, and a good source of iron (Ademolu et al, 2004). Though snails are gathered from the forest, they are also produced through snail farming (heliculture) Snails as human food have been known since Roman times. In the middle ages, they were loved as some food rich in protein (Agbelusi and Ejide, 1992). Cobbinah (1993) reported that snails are gathered in the wild, packed into bags, wooden crates or basket and transported to main roads or to urban centres as a source of income. The edible portion (foot) of A. marginata, contained 17 – 18% crude protein (Odukoya, 1998, Omole, 1999), which compares to conventional livestock meat like Mutton, Duck and Chicken, which have crude protein content of 16.9, 18.6 and 20.5% respectively (FAO, 2013). The fat content of snail meat ranged between 0.96 – 1.36% (Odukoya, 1998; Bright, 1999), which is very low, when compared to 9.6, 21.4 and 23.0%, found in chicken egg, Mutton and Duck products respectively. The low fat content makes snail meat a good antidote for the hypertensive patient and those that have fat related diseases (Bright, 1999). The iron content ranges between 2.7 and 3.5 mg/100g (Imevbore and Ademosun, 1988), while chicken egg, mutton and duck have 1.6, 2.0 and 1.08 mg/100g respectively (FAO, 2013), hence it is good for curing anaemia.

In traditional African medicine, snail meat is used in the preparation of concoctions for the treatment of various cases such as reduction of labour pains and blood loss in pregnant women during delivery. Snail farming is becoming a very popular vocation, due to its embrace as an empowerment and job creation avenue by the Federal Government of Nigeria (Oropo et al, 2019), (Akinmusi et al 2018) It has been adjudged as a self-sustaining business, requiring small capital, land and other logistics, with resultant job creation potentials, along its value chain; for collectors, farmers, marketers, and research scientists (Akinmusi et al, 2018 and Adeniyi et al, 2013). The nutritional benefit of snail meat cannot be overemphasized because it offers all the amino acids required by man (Adeyeye, 1996). Snail meat is high in protein, iron, and low in fat (Agbogidi et al., 2008). Snail consumption has increased in Africa due to more people avoiding red meat for meat for health reasons (omole et. al., 2006). (Kehinde et al. 2019) Unlike other extinction-prone wild animals that attract public or government intervention, the Giant African Snail (GAS) has received little to no intervention; if measure like snail farming are not promoted, the GAS may disappear from our forest. The objective of this paper is to evaluate the snail consumption pattern in Ibarapa Central Local Government Area of Oyo state Nigeria the socio-economic activities of snail rearing and its relative consumption pattern among people of Ibarapa local government, to provide reliable statistics and to stimulate further studies on it.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Area of study: The study was conducted in Ibarapa Central Local Government area of Oyo state. Ibarapa Central Local Government is made up of two major towns which are; Igboora and Idere. Igboora consists of seven quarters (Igbole, Pako, Iberekodo, Sagan-un, isale-oba, Okeshin and Idofin) while Idere consists of three quarters which are; Koso, Malete, and Okeoba. The study area has human population of about 102,979 according to 2006 population census (NPC 2006). The major occupation of the people in this area is mainly agriculturally based (most of them are farmers) while other secondary income generating activities in the area include: Trading, Hunting, Blacksmithing, Teaching, weaving, Tailoring, Carpentry, etc. There are two main planting season in the local government and these are the dry and wet season. The dry season usually occurs between the month of November to March while the wet season occurs between the month of April and October. (Kirschhoff, etal, 2007).

Fig1: Map of the study area.
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The major crop being cultivated in the local government are yam, maize, cassava, cashew, cocoa, citrus and so on. The largest percentage of people in this part of the country are Yorubas though there exists other tribes like the Fulanis and the Hausas. (Hackett, et al 1988) The study area shares boundary relative with Ibarapa North Local Government Area in the North, Abeokuta Ogun State in the South and Ibarapa East and Benin Republic in the West and East respectively. The rainfall pattern of the area follows a tropical type with an average annual rainfall ranging from 1000mm to 1430mm and fairly high temperature. This also gives the area the opportunity to have two farming planting season. The vegetation of the area is largely rainforest and savannah and this makes it possible to cultivate a wide array of crops ranging from tree crops to arable crops and rearing of different livestock.

**Sampling Size:** A multistage sampling was used in this study to sampled One hundred (100) respondents that formed the sample used.

**Sample procedure:** Firstly, a systematic random sampling were used to select five (5) residential house at interval of twenty (20) from each ward (igo, irapa, iberekedo, koso and malete) respectively in the study area. Secondly, twenty respondents were randomly selected in the village, one household from each residential house; hence a total number of One hundred (100) respondents to which structured questionnaire were administered.

**Types of Data and Instrument of Data Collection:** Primary and secondary data were used for the study. The questionnaires were used to obtain data on socio-economic characteristics of the sampled household as well as household expenditure on snail meat.

**Method of Data Analysis:** Data obtained was analyzed using descriptive statistics and multiple regression technique.

Five (5) Point Likert Scale Rating Technique.

\[ X_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(5i)}{n} \]

Where \( X_w \) = Weighted Mean Score; \( n \) = Number of respondents.

**Note:** The scale was in the following range of means; a. below: 2.95 = Not Serious (NS); b. between: 2.95 – 3.05 = Serious (S); c. above: 3.05 = Very Serious (VS)

Within the context of this study, a snail consumption model is implicitly stated as:

\[ C = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, e) \]

Where \( C \) = Rate of snail meat consumption; \( X_1 \) = Family size; \( X_2 \) = Level of education; \( X_3 \) = Religion; \( X_4 \) = Age; \( X_5 \) = Price of substitutes; \( e \) = Error term.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

From the result obtained, it was revealed that 56.6% of the respondents were female while 43.4% were male which implies that snail consumers were dominated by female in the study area. This result also shows that 44.4% of the snail consumers fall between 31-40 years of age, implies that, in the study area, snail consuming is done by active and energetic people in the middle ages.

### Table 1: Socio economic characteristics of the respondents

| Variable             | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender               |           |            |
| Male                 | 43        | 43.4%      |
| Female               | 56        | 56.6%      |
| Total                | 99        | 100%       |
| Age                  |           |            |
| 11-20                | 7         | 7.1%       |
| 21-30                | 30        | 30.3%      |
| 31-40                | 44        | 44.4%      |
| 40 above             | 18        | 18.2%      |
| Total                | 99        | 100%       |
| Marital Status       |           |            |
| Single               | 20        | 20.2%      |
| Married              | 59        | 59.6%      |
| Divorce              | 16        | 16.2%      |
| Widow/widower        | 4         | 4.0%       |
| Total                | 99        | 100%       |
| Household size       |           |            |
| 1                    | 1         | 1.0%       |
| 2-3                  | 53        | 53.5%      |
| 4-7                  | 42        | 42.4%      |
| Above 8              | 3         | 3.0%       |
| Total                | 99        | 100%       |
| Educational qualification |     |            |
| No formal education  | 18        | 18.2%      |
| Primary education    | 32        | 32.3%      |
| Secondary education  | 34        | 34.3%      |
| National diploma     | 15        | 15.2%      |
| Total                | 99        | 100%       |
| Occupation           |           |            |
| Farming              | 28        | 28.3%      |
| Civil servant        | 20        | 20.2%      |
| Business             | 30        | 30.3%      |
| Others               | 21        | 21.2%      |
| Total                | 99        | 100%       |
| Religion             |           |            |
| Christian            | 55        | 55.6%      |
| Muslim               | 44        | 44.4%      |
| Total                | 99        | 100%       |
| Snail you prefer eating |       |            |
| *Archatina marginata* | 55    | 55.6%      |
| *Archatina achatina*  | 44       | 44.4%      |

Field survey, 2019
The result further shows that 59.6% of the respondents were married, this implies that majority of the respondents could have a larger number of the family which could be useful for consuming activities. It also shows that 53.5% had household size 1-3, 42.4% had 4-7, 1.0% had 0 while remaining 3.1% had above 8 respectively. This implies that the more number in the household the more the consumption level with the corresponding increase in income. It also shows that 34.3% of the respondents attended secondary school education, 18.2% has no formal education, 32.3% and 15.2% attended primary school and national diploma respectively in the study area, which implies literacy in the study area is still relatively low. Moreover, the result also shows that both Christian and Muslim were 56.6% and 44.4% while 11.1% were Tradition in the household of the study area. Lastly, it also shows that 55.6% of the respondents preferred eating Archachatina marginata while the remaining 44.4% preferred eating Archachatina archatina. The table shows that 43.4% of the respondents agree that snail consumption reduce obesity while 1.0%, 6.1%, 13.1% and 36.4% were strongly disagree, disagree, undecided and strongly agree respectively in the study area. Furthermore in Table 2, also indicate that 35.4% of the respondents were strongly agree that snail consumption helps in curing cancer while 31.3%, 29.3% and 4.0% of the respondents agree, undecided and disagree respectively in the study area. It also revealed that 57.6% of the respondents were strongly agree that the snail consumption is good to prevent blood pressure while 31.3% and 11.1% where disagree and undecided. Lastly, the result also shows that 53.5% of the respondents agree the snail consumption have nutritional value while the other 4.0%, 12.1% and 30.3% of the respondents were disagree, undecided and strongly disagree.

| Table 2: The health benefits of snail consumption in the study area |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Variable         | SA    | A     | UN    | D     | SD    | Mean  | Rank  | Remark |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Snail meat reduce obesity | 13(13.1) | 43(43.4) | 37(36.7) | 6(6.1) | 1(1.0) | 2.39  | 2      | NS     |
| Snail meat cure cancer      | 35(35.4) | 31(31.3) | 19(19.2) | 11(11.1) | 6(6.1) | 2.39  | 2      | NS     |
| It prevent blood pressure  | 37(37.6) | 11(11.1) | 31(31.3) | 0(0.0) | 0.0(0) | 2.02  | 3      | NS     |
| It helps in nutritional value | 0(0.0) | 54(53.5) | 12(12.1) | 4(4.0) | 30(30.3) | 3.10  | 3      | NS     |

Field survey, 2019: Percentage in parenthesis; Not Serious (NS), Very Serious (VS)
Key: SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, UN = Undecided, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree

| Table 3: The attitude and interest toward snail consumption in the study area |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Variable         | SA    | A     | UN    | D     | SD    | Mean  | Rank  | Remark |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Prefer eating than rearing | 0(0.0) | 31(31.3) | 45(45.5) | 16(16.2) | 7(7.1) | 3.89  | 2      | VS     |
| Rearing than eating(snail) | 0(0.0) | 22(22.2) | 45(45.5) | 16(16.2) | 7(7.1) | 3.89  | 2      | VS     |
| Prefer eating and rearing | 0(0.0) | 39(39.9) | 42(42.4) | 14(14.1) | 4(4.0) | 2.83  | 3      | NS     |
| Not eating and not rearing | 0(0.0) | 11(11.1) | 13(13.1) | 30(30.3) | 45(45.5) | 4.10  | 2      | VS     |
| Eating snail as whole meat | 0(0.0) | 11(11.1) | 11(11.1) | 50(50.5) | 25(25.3) | 3.92  | 3      | VS     |

Field survey, 2019: Percentage in parenthesis; Not Serious (NS), Serious (S), Very Serious (VS)

| Table 4: The constraints facing snail consumers in the study area |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Variable         | SA    | A     | UN    | D     | SD    | Mean  | Rank  | Remark |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Income determinant | 17(17) | 45(45.5) | 33(33.3) | 25(25.3) | 6(6.1) | 2.89  | 4      | NS     |
| Unable to afford the price | 13(13.1) | 22(22.2) | 33(33.3) | 25(25.3) | 6(6.1) | 2.89  | 4      | NS     |
| Taste affection   | 14(14.1) | 24(24.2) | 19(19.2) | 21(21.2) | 21(21.2) | 3.11  | 3      | VS     |
| Is not readily available | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 6(6.1) | 36(36.4) | 57(57.6) | 4.52  | 4      | NS     |
| Religion permit   | 5(5.1) | 1(1.0) | 5(5.1) | 36(36.4) | 52(52.5) | 4.30  | 2      | NS     |

Field survey, 2019: Percentage in parenthesis; Not Serious (NS), Very Serious (VS)
Key: SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, UN = Undecided, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree

It revealed that 45.5% of the respondents in the study area undecided that they prefer eating snail than rearing snail, the result further shows that 45.5% of the respondents also undecided that they prefer rearing than eating snail due to it size while 12.1, 20.2 & 22.2 strongly disagree, disagree and agree respectively in the study area. The result also revealed that 42.4% of the respondent undecided that they prefer both eating and rearing of snail in the study area. Furthermore, 45.5% of the respondents strongly disagree that they do not prefer both rearing and eating of snail. Lastly, the result shows that 50.5% of the respondents disagree that they eat snail as whole meat while 25.3%, 11.1% & 11.1% strongly disagree, undecided and agree respectively in the study area. Table 4 shows that 45.5% of the respondents agree that income determine the level of consuming snail while 7.1%, 11.1%, 19.2% and 17.2% were strongly disagree, disagree, undecided and strongly agree. From the result obtained it was also revealed that 33.3% of the respondents were undecided that they can afford the price to buy snail followed by 25.3% of disagree while
22.2%, 23.1% and 6.1% were agree, strongly agree and strongly disagree respectively. The result also shows the taste of the snail affect the rate of consumption of the respondents were mostly agree by 24.2% while 14.1%, 21.2%, 19.2% and 21.2% were strongly disagree, disagree, undecided and strongly disagree respectively. The result further shows that 57.6% of respondents strongly disagree that snail are not readily available while 36.4% and 6.1% were disagree and undecided respectively in the study area. Lastly, the study also shows that that 52.5% of respondents strongly disagree that their religion does not permit snail consumption while the other 36.4%, 5.1%, 5.1% and 1.0% were disagree, undecided, strongly agree and agree respectively in the study area. The regression on consumption of snail meat revealed that Age and Health of the respondents were significant at 5% and 10% level, though has a positive relationship to the rate at which the household consume snail in the study area, this implies that as the Health and Age of the respondents increases there is a probability that the rate at which the snail is consumed will increased.

**Table 5:** Factors that determine snail meat consumption

| Model  | Coefficient | Std error | T-value |
|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|
|Constant| 5.149       | 0.603     | 8.541   |
|X1 Family size| 0.034      | 0.160     | 0.210   |
|X2 Education| 0.024      | 0.080     | 0.295   |
|X3 Religion| -0.178     | 0.116     | -1.530  |
|X4 Age| 0.195       | 0.093     | 2.101   |
|X5 Price| 0.019       | 0.089     | 0.235   |
|X6 Health| 0.368*      | 0.175     | 1.75    |

*Author computation, 2019. Note: (*)= 10% and (**) = 5% level of significant*

From the research, it is concluded that 43.4% of the respondents were male while 56.6% were female which implies that female were more than male, it also indicate that 44.4% of the respondents fall between the age of 31-40 and 59.6% were married and 53.5% of the household have the largest size, it also implies that 34.3% had secondary education, snail meat are not affected in consumption by religion belief. Based on the research 43.4% of the respondents agree that snail meat reduce obesity of the body and 35.4% strongly agree that snail meat can cure cancer. Lastly it was concluded that income, price, taste, Availability and Accessibility are the factor affecting snail activities and consumption in Ibarapa Central Local government, Oyo-state).

**Conclusion:** Based on the findings and results obtained from this work, it is recommended that snail meat should be introduced to the household diet because of its medicinal value. Awareness should be created among dwellers about the nutritional and medicinal values derivable in the consumption of snail meat. Farm settlements for heliculture may be established through public and private partnerships means of creating meaningful employment.
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