Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in Mainland China: A Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Background: Although diabetic retinopathy (DR) is considered to be a major cause of blindness, this is the first meta-analysis to investigate the pooled prevalence of DR in mainland China.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We conducted a search of all English reports on population-based studies for the prevalence of DR using Medline, EMBase, Web of Science, Google (scholar), and all Chinese reports were identified manually and on-line using CBMDisc, Chongqing VIP database, and CNKI database. A meta-analysis was carried out. The fixed effects model or random effects model was used as a statistical test for homogeneity. Nineteen studies were included. The prevalence of DR, non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) in the pooled general population was 1.3% (95%CI: 0.5%–3.2%), 1.1% (95%CI: 0.6%–2.1%), and 0.1% (95%CI: 0.1%–0.3%), respectively, but was 23% (95%CI: 17.8%–29.2%), 19.1% (95%CI: 13.6%–26.3%), and 2.8% (95%CI: 1.9%–4.2%) in the diabetic group. The prevalence rate of DR in the pooled rural population was higher than that in the urban population, 1.6% (95%CI: 1.3%–2%), and the diabetic population, 29.1% (95%CI: 20.9%–38.9%). The prevalence of DR was higher in the Northern region compared with the Southern region.

Conclusions/Significance: The prevalence of DR in mainland China appeared a little high, and varied according to area. NPDR was more common. This study highlights the necessity for DR screening in the rural areas of China.

Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the foremost causes of blindness in the working age population [1]. As the prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) increases globally and patients live longer, the development of DR as a microvascular complication of DM also rises. DR is a priority disease in the “VISION 2020” initiative for the global elimination of avoidable blindness. The World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended its member countries integrate a program approach for DR within their prevention of blindness programs. In contrast to studies in Western countries, age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and DR appear to play a minor role as a cause of visual impairment in elderly Chinese [2].

In mainland China, many previous reports have shown the prevalence of DR in population-based studies, however, the prevalence of DR varies in different samples, and the data remain limited and localized. To date, there is no national, population-based study of the prevalence of DR in mainland China, and it would seem that a national, pooled estimate based on the mainland population is necessary. Satisfactory and reasonable prevalence estimates will be useful for China to manage this problem. In this meta-analysis, we carried out a systematic review of previous population-based studies on DR prevalence in mainland China, and investigated any differences among areas. The results are presented here.

Methods

Search Strategy

We searched all English reports on population-based studies for the prevalence of DR using Medline, EMBase, Web of Science, Google (scholar), and all Chinese reports were searched manually and on-line using CBMDisc (Chinese Biochemical Literature on Disc), Chongqing VIP database, and CNKI (China National Knowledge Infrastructure) database. The search keywords were: diabetic retinopathy, prevalence, and Chinese population. A total of 196 reports published in the period from 1991 to 2012 were identified.
Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
Reports potentially eligible for inclusion in this meta-analysis had to meet the following criteria: they had to be population-based studies, subjects had to be in mainland China, and the studies needed to provide sufficient information to estimate the pooled prevalence of DR. If more than one study was based on the same population sample, the study of the highest quality was included. Reports were excluded for the following reasons: the study was on a duplicate population group but of lower quality, and the study did not satisfy one or more inclusion criteria. A total of 196 potentially relevant studies were identified and screened. After systematic review, only 19 of these were included in the meta-analysis. The progress for study inclusion is shown in Figure 1.

Data Extraction
The literature was searched independently by two researchers (Lei Liu and Jin Geng). Data were extracted from each article using a standardized form, designed in advance. The characteristics of the population-based studies included in this meta-analysis on the pooled prevalence of DR in mainland China are shown in Table 1.

Data Analysis
Meta-analyst statistical software offered by http://tuftscaes.org/meta_analyst/ was used to analyze the data. The pooled prevalence was used to estimate the prevalence of DR in China mainland. All meta-analyses were evaluated for heterogeneity using the Chi-square based Q test and $I^2$ test [3]. $I^2$ estimated the percentage of the total variance in all of the data under consideration that was related to heterogeneity. The authors suggested using 25%, 50%, and 75% to indicate low, moderate, or high level heterogeneity. If there was moderate or high level heterogeneity, a random-effects meta-analysis was performed by the DerSimonian and Laird method, unless using fixed-effects
### Table 1. Characteristics of population-based studies on the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy (DR) in mainland China.

| First Author     | Publication year | Area         | Region | Rural/Urban | Examination methodologies for DR | Diagnosis standard for DR | Diagnosis standard for DM | Age (year) | Survey day | Sample (n) | DM (n) | DR (n) |
|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| Yinghua HU [17]  | 1991             | Daqing       | Northern | Urban       | MDOC+fundus photography          | NA                        | WHO                       | 25–74      | 1986       | 110660    | 423    | 129    | 119    | 10     |
| Shouzhi He [18]  | 1997             | Beijing      | Northern | Urban       | MDOC+FFA                         | Staging of DR for Chinese* | WHO                       | >30        | NA         | 29938     | 534    | 90     | 88     | 2      |
| Shouling Li [19] | 1998             | Anhui        | Southern | Rural+Urban | MDOC                            | Staging of DR for Chinese* | WHO                       | >15        | 1994–1995  | 11618     | 216    | 67     | NA     | NA     |
| Guanglu Wang [20]| 2001             | Beijing      | Northern | Rural+Urban | MDOC                            | NA                        | WHO                       | >25        | 1994–1995  | 1483      | 326    | 37     | 30     | 7      |
| Haidong Zhou [21]| 2006             | Shanghai     | Southern | Urban       | NMFP for 45 degree field         | Staging of DR for Chinese* | NA                        | 44–87      | Jul 2003–Apr 2004 | 43762  | 535    | 146    | 123    | 23     |
| Xianjun Liang [22]| 2006             | Foshan       | Southern | Urban       | MDOC                            | NA                        | WHO                       | >18        | 2003–2005  | 10723     | 356    | 38     | NA     | NA     |
| Xiwei Xie [23]   | 2009             | Beijing      | Northern | Rural+Urban | MFP for 50 degree field          | ETDRS                      | Self-reported history     | >40        | 2001       | 4391      | 434    | 285    | 273    | 12     |
| Lei Liu [24]     | 2009             | Shenyang     | Northern | Urban       | NMFP for 45 degree field         | ETDRS                      | WHO                       | 14–82      | Oct-Dec 2007 | 1534     | 137    | 17     | 17     | NA     |
| Xiangwen Shu [25]| 2010             | Shandong     | Northern | Rural       | MDOC                            | ETDRS                      | WHO                       | >25        | 2007–2008  | 16330     | 689    | 181    | 107    | 74     |
| Zhong Xin [26]   | 2010             | Beijing      | Northern | Rural       | MFP for seven field              | Proposed international severity scales** | WHO                       | >35        | May-Jul 2008 | 1293     | 114    | 27     | 25     | 2      |
| Yan Teng [27]    | 2010             | Shuangcheng | Northern | Rural       | MFP for 45 degree field          | Staging of DR for Chinese* | WHO                       | >50        | Nov 2006–Feb 2007 | 5053     | NA     | 56     | 49     | 7      |
| Hailian Dong [28]| 2010             | Shunyi       | Northern | Rural       | NMFP for three field             | NA                        | WHO                       | >18        | Jan-Dec 2009 | 5734     | 554    | 94     | 76     | 18     |
| Minghui Yang [29]| 2010             | Shijiazhuang | Northern | Urban       | MDOC                            | Staging of DR for Chinese* | WHO                       | 35–80      | Sep 2006-Sep 2008 | 3318     | 381    | 87     | 69     | 18     |
| Hongbo Wang [30] | 2010             | Changzhi     | Northern | Rural       | NMFP for 45 degree field         | Staging of DR for Chinese* | WHO                       | >15        | Oct 2007-Dec 2008 | 57500    | 2632   | 986    | NA     | NA     |
| Xiuqun Ye [31]   | 2010             | Huizhou      | Southern | Urban       | MDOC+fundus photography+FFA      | ETDRS                      | WHO                       | >20        | 2003–2005  | 11723     | 1046   | 101    | 71     | 30     |
| Fenghua Wang [32]| 2011             | Handan       | Northern | Rural       | MFP for two field                | ETDRS                      | self-reported history and FPG | >30        | 2006–2007  | 6830      | 368    | 165    | 145    | 20     |
| Bingzhen Li [33] | 2011             | Beijing      | Northern | Urban       | NMFP for one field               | ETDRS                      | WHO                       | >40        | May-Oct 2005 | 4167     | 445    | 130    | 124    | 6      |
| Can Pang [34]    | 2011             | Shanghai     | Southern | Urban       | NMFP for 45 degree field         | Diabetic Retinopathy Disease Severity Scale | OGGT                      | >15        | 1996–2007  | 3259      | 799    | 75     | 74     | 1      |
| Jie Xu [35]      | 2012             | Beijing      | Northern | Urban       | NMFP for 45 degree field         | ETDRS                      | WHO                       | 20–80      | August 2008–July 2009 | NA       | 2007   | 496    | 329    | 67     |

Abbreviation: ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; DR, diabetic retinopathy; NPDR, non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy. DM, diabetes mellitus; MDOC, mydriasis direct ophthalmoscope check; FFA, fundus fluorescein angiography; NMFP, non-mydriatic fundus photography; MFP, mydriatic fundus photography. NA, not available.

*Staging of DR by Chinese academy of ocular fundus disease.

**Proposed international clinical diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema disease severity scales.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045264.t001
Publication bias was assessed by visually inspecting a funnel plot. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant [4,5]. The results were analyzed statistically using the $\chi^2$ (Chi-square) test with the SPSS 13.0 program (SPSS Software, Chicago, USA) to compare the difference between two groups with different prevalence rates.

**Results**

The prevalence of DR, NPDR and PDR in the pooled general population was 1.3% (95%CI: 0.5%–3.2%), 1.1% (95%CI: 0.6%–2.1%), and 0.1% (95%CI: 0.1%–0.3%), respectively, but was 23% (95%CI: 17.8%–29.2%), 19.1% (95%CI: 13.6%–26.3%), and 2.8% (95%CI: 1.9%–4.2%) in the diabetic group. The pooled prevalence rates of DR were higher in the rural population, 1.6% (95%CI: 1.3%–2%), compared with the urban population, 0.8% (95%CI: 0.3%–1.7%), ($\chi^2 = 771.35$, $p < 0.001$), and higher in the rural diabetic group, 29.1% (95%CI: 20.9%–38.9%) compared with the urban diabetic group, 18.1% (95%CI: 13.6%–23.7%) ($\chi^2 = 263.37$, $p < 0.001$). In the general population, the pooled prevalence of DR was higher in the Northern region of China, 1.4% (95%CI: 0.8%–2.5%), compared with the Southern region, 0.7% (95%CI: 0.3%–1.4%), ($\chi^2 = 115.91$, $p < 0.001$). In the diabetic group, the pooled prevalence of DR in the Northern region of China, 26.5% (95%CI: 20.6%–33.3%), was also higher compared with the Southern region, 15.7% (95%CI: 8.9%–26.3%) ($\chi^2 = 281.61$, $p < 0.001$). The data are shown in Figure 2, 3, and 4, and Table 2.

All comparisons passed the test of heterogeneity, as previously defined Random-effects models were used for meta-analyses. There was no significant publication bias in this meta-analysis. The funnel plot of prevalence of DR in diabetes is shown in Figure 5.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis of DR prevalence in mainland China. In this meta-analysis, a total of
19 studies with 329,316 samples were included. We showed that the pooled prevalence rate of DR was 1.3% in the general population, and 23% (95%CI: 17.9%–29.2%) in the diabetic group. In mainland China there are 31 provinces (including 22 provinces, four municipalities, five autonomous regions) totally. The eligible studies covered 12/31 Chinese provinces. China mainland’s population has increased to 1.3 billion, including 92.4 million diabetics [6], inferring (from our results) 16.9 million with DR, and 21.2 million diabetics with DR, respectively. This indicates a strong requirement for prevention and treatment strategies to control DR.

A previous study suggested that the overall, age-standardized prevalence of DR was 20.1% in Chinese diabetics living in Singapore [7]. The prevalence was lower than that of this meta-analysis (23%). However, in a US population of Chinese people with diabetes, the prevalence of DR was even higher (25.7%) [8]. In Taiwan, the prevalence of DR in diabetes was shown to be 35% [9], but 18.2% in Hong Kong [10]. Thus, the prevalence of DR in Chinese diabetics living abroad appeared to be a little lower than in mainland China, except for those living in the U.S. and Taiwan.

The pooled prevalence rate of DR in the rural population was higher than that in the urban population. This was similar to a study conducted in India [11]. The reasons for this discrepancy in a developing country may be: a lower socioeconomic life, a poorer health care system, and fewer social activities for Chinese rural residents [12].

The pooled prevalence of DR was higher in the Northern region compared with the Southern region, both for the general population (1.4% compared with 0.7%), and the diabetic population (26.5% compared with 15.7%). A different life style and socioeconomic status may play an important role in the discrepancy of the pooled prevalence of DR between the Northern and Southern regions. The per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is a little higher in Northern than that in Southern regions (http://tieba.baidu.com/f?kz = 1064355963). In addition, urbanization is associated with changes in a number of lifestyle factors, such as physical inactivity, unhealthy diet, and obesity, which are implicated in the aetiology of diabetes especially in Northern of China. As the apparent epidemic of diabetes occurrence with socioeconomic and lifestyle changes, diabetic complications, such as DR are also prevalent in developed societies. Previous studies...
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Figure 4. A forest plot displaying the pooled prevalence rates of NPDR and PDR (A, NPDR in general mainland China population; B, NPDR in diabetic population; C, PDR in general mainland China population; D, PDR in diabetic population).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045264.g004

Table 2. The pooled prevalence of diabetic retinopathy (DR) in mainland China with comparisons between different regions (North vs. South and rural vs. urban).

| Region                      | No. of articles | Case/Total | Pooled Estimate (%) | 95%CI(%) | Heterogeneity I² (%) | Q value | p value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| General population Urban    | 9               | 1309/219084| 0.8                 | 0.3–1.7  | 49.80                | 0.99    | <0.001  |
| Rural                       | 6               | 1509/92740 | 1.6                 | 1.3–2    | 48.00                | 0.98    | <0.001  |
| Diabetics Urban             | 10              | 1309/6663  | 18.1                | 13.6–23.7| 49.00                | 0.99    | <0.001  |
| Rural                       | 5               | 1453/4357  | 29.1                | 20.9–38.9| 49.20                | 0.99    | <0.001  |
| General population Northern | 13              | 2284/248231| 1.4                 | 0.8–2.5  | 49.80                | 0.99    | <0.001  |
| Southern                    | 5               | 427/81085  | 0.7                 | 0.3–1.4  | 49.50                | 0.99    | <0.001  |
| Diabetics Northern          | 13              | 2724/9044  | 26.5                | 20.6–33.3| 49.40                | 0.99    | <0.001  |
| Southern                    | 5               | 427/2952   | 15.7                | 8.9–26.3 | 49.30                | 0.99    | <0.001  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045264.t002
also showed us that the prevalence of diabetes was higher in residents of Northern compared to Southern in China. As the prevalence of DM rising, we can conclude the prevalence of DR is a little higher in population or diabetic population of Northern compared to Southern in mainland China [13–16]. In addition, a difference in the number of studies conducted in the two regions may also explain this discrepancy. Thirteen of the studies on DR prevalence were conducted in the Northern region, but only five were from the Southern region.

As shown in the results (Table 2), there were moderate heterogeneity among included studies. In this meta-analysis, the different prevalence rates of DR might have also impacted by the heterogeneity of studies with different examination methodologies and ophthalmologic definitions. For example, between populations of different regions, the prevalence rates of DR were reported 26.27% in Shandong rural diabetes but 16.97% in Beijing Shunyi county rural diabetes. Similarly, between different diabetes of the same region the prevalence rates of DR were varied from 24.71% in Beijing Shunyi to 16.85% in other Beijing samples. According to the different population characteristics and data pooled from studies at different time points, the prevalence rates of DR might also be varied. However, the pooled prevalence rates of DR were also estimated by Random-effects meta-analysis model.

Although we have estimated the pooled prevalence of DR in mainland China, which is very important for preventative public health, there were some limitations in this meta analysis. First, we used pooled prevalence data using meta-analysis, rather than the prevalence in a single national population-based study. Second, as we cannot have access to unpublished results, a publication bias cannot be excluded. Third, there were limited studies in the Southern areas in China.

In view of the results of this meta-analysis, and given that the prevalence rates of DR appeared to vary in different regions and population groups, it would seem that we need to prioritize the treatment of DR in the rural population.
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