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Abstract

We argue that a field theory defined on noncommutative (NC) spacetime should be regarded as a theory of gravity, which we refer to as the emergent gravity. A whole point of the emergent gravity is essentially originated from the basic property: A NC spacetime is a (NC) phase space. This fact leads to two important consequences:
(I) A NC field theory can basically be identified with a matrix model or a large $N$ field theory where NC fields can be regarded as master fields of large $N$ matrices.
(II) NC fields essentially define vector (tetrad) fields. So they define a gravitational metric of some manifold as an emergent geometry from NC gauge fields.

Of course, the pictures (I) and (II) should refer to the same physics, which should be familiar with the large $N$ duality in string theory. The $1=N$ corrections in the picture (I) correspond to the derivative corrections in terms of the noncommutativity for the picture (II).

1 Noncommutative spacetime and large N duality

Quantum mechanics is the formulation of mechanics on noncommutative (NC) phase space

\[ [x^a, p_b] = i \varepsilon_{a}^{\ b} \]  

The noncommutativity of phase space leads to the Heisenberg's uncertainty relation, e.g., \( x \times p \sim 2 \) which indicates that we need a large energy to probe short distances. The large energy localized at short distances will deform a background geometry according to the equivalence principle. This kind of backreaction of the background spacetime will introduce a new kind of uncertainties. This new uncertainty appears as a NC spacetime [1]. In other words, quantum mechanics necessarily implies NC geometry at short distances.

A NC spacetime $M$ is obtained by introducing a symplectic structure $B = \frac{1}{2} B_{ab} dy^a \wedge dy^b$ and then by quantizing the spacetime with its Poisson structure $(B)$, treating it as a quantum phase space. That is, for $f, g \in C \ (M)$,

\[ f \cdot g = \langle f, g \rangle (y) = \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} \int_{y}^{z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y^b} B_{ab} dy^c \right) f(y) g(z) \]  

According to the Weyl-Moyal map [2], the NC algebra of operators is equivalent to the deformed algebra of functions defined by the Moyal $\cdot$-product, i.e.,

\[ \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g} (y \cdot z) = \frac{1}{z} \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g} \]  

Through the quantization rules (2) and (3), one can define NC $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by the following commutation relation

\[ y^a \cdot y^b = i \varepsilon_{a}^{\ b} \]  

For simplicity we will consider Euclidean NC spaces with constant $\varepsilon_{a}^{\ b}$. 
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A simple but crucial observation is that the NC spacetime (4) is actually a (NC) phase space where \[^{ab}\] defines its Poisson structure. This fact leads to two important consequences [3, 4].

(I) If we consider a NC \(\mathbb{R}^2\) for simplicity, any field \(b_2 A\) on the NC plane can be expanded in terms of the complete operator basis

\[
A = f \hbar n \in \mathbb{N}; m = 0; 1; \quad g; \quad (5)
\]

that is,

\[
b(x, y) = \sum_{m, n} M_{m n}^{ab} \hbar^{m n} j^m_{j n} \quad (6)
\]

One can regard \(M_{m n}\) in (6) as components of an \(N \times N\) matrix \(M\) in the \(N \to 1\) limit. We then get the following relation:

\[
\forall y \in \text{NC } \mathbb{R}^2 (\quad N \quad \text{matrix at } N \to 1 \quad : \quad (7)
\]

If \(b\) is a real field, then \(M\) should be a Hermitian matrix. The relation (7) means that NC fields can be regarded as master fields of large \(N\) matrices [5].

(II) An important fact is that translations in NC directions are an inner automorphism of NC C*-algebra \(A\), i.e.,

\[
e^{ik \cdot y} f(y) e^{ik \cdot y} = f(y + x) \quad \text{for any } f(y) \in A\text{ or, in its infinitesimal form,}
\]

\[
[y^a ; f] = i^{ab} \delta^b_c f; \quad (8)
\]

In the presence of gauge fields, the coordinates \(y^a\) should be promoted to the covariant coordinates defined by

\[
x^a(y) = y^a + \frac{ab}{\hbar} \partial^b_h(y) \quad (9)
\]

in order for star multiplications to preserve the gauge covariance [6]. The inner derivations (8) are accordingly covariantized too as follows

\[
ad_{x^a} [f] = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y} ; f(y) = - i \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y} f + \frac{\partial^b}{\partial y} \delta^b_c f + O(\hbar^3); \quad (10)
\]

It turns out that the vector fields \(V_a(y) \quad \nabla_a(y) \partial f\) form an orthonormal frame and hence define vielbeins of a gravitational metric [7].

Two remarks are in order. We may notice that the pictures (I) and (II) should refer to the same physics, which is essentially an equivalent statement with the large \(N\) duality in string theory. The other remark is that the corrections in the picture (I) correspond to NC deformations in terms of the picture (II) since \(A\) is a unit area and \(N\) is a number of states on the plane of area \(A\).

2 DBI action as a generalized geometry

In order to understand the origin of the emergent gravity, one has to identify the origin of diffeomorphism symmetry, which is the underlying local symmetry of gravity. It turned out [8] that the emergent gravity is deeply related to symplectic geometry. In particular, the Darboux theorem in symplectic geometry plays the same role as the equivalence principle in general relativity. The Darboux theorem states that for \(MB\) such that

\[
\frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y^a} \delta^b_c f; \quad (11)
\]

where the coordinate transformation is given by Eq.(9). The local equivalence (11) between symplectic structures leads to a remarkable identity between DBI actions [9]:

\[
Z \int_{d^p x} \det(g + (\nabla^a + F(x))) \quad Z \int_{d^p y} \det(g + (\nabla^a + h(y))) = \quad (12)
\]
Note that fluctuations of gauge fields now appear as an induced metric on the brane given by

$$h_{ab}(y) = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial y^b} g$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

Let us consider the triple \((\mathcal{M} ; J; B)\) as the data of D-branes, that is a derived category in mathematics, where \(\mathcal{M}\) is a smooth manifold equipped with a metric \(g\) and a symplectic structure \(B\). The DBI action \((12)\) shows that the triple comes into the combination \((\mathcal{M} ; J; B) = (\mathcal{M} ; J + B)\). Thus the ‘D-manifold’ defined by the triple \((\mathcal{M} ; J; B)\) describes a generalized geometry \([10]\) which continuously interpolates between a symplectic geometry \((J^0 \perp J \perp 1)\) and a Riemannian geometry \((J^\perp \perp J \perp 1)\). The decoupling limit considered in \([11]\) corresponds to the former.

More closely, if \(\mathcal{M}\) is a complex manifold whose complex structure is given by \(J\), we see that dynamical fields in the LHS of Eq.\((12)\) act only as the deformation of symplectic structure \((x) = B + F(x)\) in the triple \((\mathcal{M} ; J; J)\), while those in the RHS of Eq.\((12)\) appear only as the deformation of complex structure \(J^0(y)\) in the triple \((\mathcal{M} ; 0; J^0; B)\) through the metric \((13)\). In this notation, the identity \((12)\) can thus be written as follows

\((\mathcal{M} ; J; J) = (\mathcal{M} ; 0; J^0; B)\); \hspace{1cm} (14)

The equivalence \((14)\) is very reminiscent of the homological mirror symmetry \([12]\), stating the equivalence between the category of A-branes (derived Fukaya category corresponding to the triple \((\mathcal{M} ; J; J)\)) and the category of B-branes (derived category of coherent sheaves corresponding to the triple \((\mathcal{M} ; 0; J^0; B)\)).

3 Emergent gravity from noncommutative field theory

The correspondence between NC field theory and gravity outlined in Sections 1 and 2 can be concretely confirmed, at least, for the self-dual sectors of NC gauge theories. Recently we showed in \([7]\) that self-dual electromagnetism in NC spacetime is equivalent to self-dual Einstein gravity. For example, \(U(1)\) instantons in NC spacetime are actually gravitational instantons \([13]\).

The emergent gravity from NC gauge theories can be more clarified by systematically applying to the NC gauge theories the pictures (I) and (II) in Section 1. Let us briefly summarize the construction in \([4]\). We refer to \([4]\) for more details. Here we will assume the Minkowski signature for commutative space parts.

Consider a NC \(U(1)\) gauge theory on \(\mathbb{R}^D = \mathbb{R}^c_1 \times \mathbb{R}^c_2\), where \(D\)-dimensional coordinates \(x^M (M = 1; \ldots ; D)\) are decomposed into \(c\)-dimensional commutative ones, denoted as \((z = 1; \ldots ; c)\) and \(2n\)-dimensional NC ones, denoted as \(y^a (a = 1; \ldots ; 2n)\), satisfying the relation \(x^M = (z^c ; y^a)\). Likewise we decompose \(D\)-dimensional gauge fields as follows: \(A_M (z; y) = (A^c (z; y) \quad a(z; y))\) where \(a(z; y)\) \(x^a (z; y)\) are adjoint Higgs fields defined by the covariant coordinates \((9)\). One can show that, adopting the matrix representation \((6)\), the NC \(U(1)\) gauge theory on \(\mathbb{R}^c_1 \times \mathbb{R}^c_2\) is exactly mapped to the \(U(N!1)\) Yang-Mills theory on \(c\)-dimensional commutative space \(\mathbb{R}^c_2\). For example, the 10-dimensional NC \(U(1)\) gauge theory on \(\mathbb{R}^{24}_c\) is equivalent to the bosonic part of 4-dimensional \(N = 4\) Yang-Mills theory.

According to the map \((10)\), the \(D\)-dimensional NC \(U(1)\) gauge fields \(A_M (z; y) = (A^c (z; y) \quad a(z; y))\) can be regarded as gauge fields on \(\mathbb{R}^c_2\) taking values in the Lie algebra of volume-preserving vector fields on a \(2n\)-dimensional manifold \(X\), i.e., the gauge group \(G = SDiff(X)\):

\[ A^c (z) = A^c (z; y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}; \quad a(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}; \hspace{1cm} (15) \]

It turns out \([14]\) that \(f^1 (D; z; y) \quad a(z; y) \quad 2n)\) with \(D = \theta, \quad \delta A^c (z)\) forms an orthonormal frame and hence defines a metric on \(\mathbb{R}^c_2\) with a volume form \(\mathcal{F}^2 = dz \wedge \ldots \wedge dz\). where \(f^2 = 1\) \((1; 2n)\)

\[ ds^2 = f^2 c^2 dz \wedge dz + f^2 ab V^a_c V^b_d (dy^c A^c) (dy^d A^d) \hspace{1cm} (16) \]

where \(A^a = A^a dz\) and \(V^a_c \frac{\partial}{\partial y^b} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^b} \).
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Note that the emergent gravity specifies only a conformal class of metrics whose specific form depends on the choice of the volume form $\Omega$, determined by a particular background. For example, for the above 10-dimensional case, the vacuum geometry emerging from $\left( n ; a \right) = ( 0 ; y^a )= 0$, is a flat $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$ while it is $\Omega_S$ for $\Omega = dy^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy^6$ where $\Omega_S = 2 \sum_{a=1}^{6} y^a y^a$. When turning on the fluctuations $\left( n ; a \right)$, the vacuum geometry, $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$ or $\Omega_S$, will be deformed and its resulting metric will be given by Eq.(16). In this sense, the Ward metric (16) describes a kind of bubbling geometry [4].

4 Conclusion and outlook

Emergent gravity from NC field theories reveals several remarkable pictures. It portends that gravity may be not a fundamental force but a collective phenomenon emerging from NC (or non-Abelian) gauge fields. (Although we are here confined to NC $\text{U}(1)$ gauge theories, it was recently suggested [15] that a NC $\text{U}(n)$ gauge theory should be interpreted as an $\text{SU}(n)$ gauge theory coupled to gravity.)

If so, the followings are just corollaries: Spacetime is also emergent from gauge field interactions [16]. Especially a flat spacetime emerges from vacuum energy, previously identified with the cosmological constant. This fact may resolve the long standing cosmological constant problem [17]. As a consequence, Lorentz symmetry is also emergent.

A natural question is then why spacetime at large scales is four dimensions. If gravity emerges from gauge theories, we may notice that electromagnetism is only a long range force in Nature so it should determine the large scale structure of spacetime. Then, for entertainment, let us compare the number of physical polarizations of photons and gravitons in $D$-dimensions: $A = D = 2 = \frac{D(D-1)}{2} = g$ or $D = 1$ or $D = 4$. Is there a deep meaning or just an incident?
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