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ABSTRACT

According to the operation characteristics of high clearance self-propelled sprayer, an independent vertical shaft air suspension system with auxiliary chamber was designed. On the basis of the damping characteristic analysis and experiment of the air suspension with auxiliary chamber, the sprayer suspension control strategy was developed. Aiming at strong non-linearity and hysteresis for air suspension with auxiliary chamber, and when the sprayer performed road transportation and spraying operation, there was a contradiction between ride comfort and road friendliness, a hybrid sliding mode control method for sprayer chassis suspension based on skyhook reference sliding mode and ground-hook reference sliding mode was proposed. Finally, Matlab/Simulink was used to analyse the effect of the control method in different mixing coefficients. The simulation results showed that according to the requirements of different working conditions of the sprayer, by properly selecting the mixing coefficient γ value, the hybrid sliding mode control could simultaneously take into account the sprayer ride comfort and road friendliness, which was important to improve the sprayer overall performance and operating efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Large scale high clearance self-propelled sprayer plays a very important role in promoting food security production. However, because of the complex working conditions and unique working characteristics of the spray machine, special suspension system is needed to meet its requirements of high ground clearance, large vibration reduction stroke, convenient for four-wheel steering and wheelbase adjustment. In terms of how to reduce the dynamic load of the sprayer tire, improve the its spraying quality and ride comfort, the sprayer designers have proposed a variety of beneficial measures. For example, the designed sprayer is equipped with low-pressure, large-ground-area vacuum meridian cultivator tires, and adopts an independent chassis air suspension or hydro pneumastic suspension with less unsprung mass and good nonlinearity (Wu X H et al., 2018). John Deere (Carlson B C et al., 2011; Wubben T M et al., 2007), AGCO (Slawson J, 2013; Steffensen C et al., 2012), HAGIE (Schaffer J A, 2002), AgriFac (Blauw D, 1999; Ehlen V and Voth R, 2011) and other sprayer companies have applied for patents on air suspension, and their newly produced sprayers are also equipped with independent air suspension system. However, due to structural and spatial limitations, the independent air suspension system has not been equipped with damper, and only relies on the damping of tires and springs to attenuate the vibration (Chen Y et al., 2016; 2020). When the sprayer is running at a high speed or on the rough road conditions, the suspension vibration reduction effect will be
greatly affected. Therefore, in order to better adapt to the sprayer complex working conditions, the design of the new sprayer chassis suspension system is imminent.

In recent years, air suspension with auxiliary chamber, which uses air damping to reduce vibration, has gradually gained the attention of scholars (Liu H and Lee J C, 2011). Compared with traditional air suspension, air suspension with auxiliary chamber has the advantages of less heat, low manufacturing and maintenance cost (Quaglia G et al., 2012), better vibration reduction effect for the most sensitive frequency segment of human body (4–8Hz) (Todkar R G, 2011), which has been widely used in vibration reduction of commercial vehicles (Kat C J and Elis P S, 2009; Porumamilla H et al., 2008) and rail vehicles (Docquier N et al., 2007). The introduction of auxiliary chamber into the sprayer's independent air suspension system can solve the problem that the suspension cannot be equipped with a damper due to structural and space constraints. Research scholars have carried out a lot of simulation and experimental research on the air suspension with auxiliary chamber structure (Quaglia G and Sorli M, 2001; Vogel J M and Kelkar A G, 2013), mathematical model (Wang J, 2012), dynamic characteristics (Nieto A J et al., 2008; Toyofuku K et al., 1999), control methods (Robinson W D et al., 2012; 2013) and so on.

Currently, there are mainly three control forms for air suspension with auxiliary chamber. One is to adjust the stiffness of the suspension by switching the auxiliary chamber with different volumes. For example, Li Z X (2015) applied decision control method to control the adjustable volume of the air suspension with auxiliary chamber. In this way, the suspension needed to be equipped with an adjustable shock absorber so that the suspension relative damping coefficient could be adjusted when the system stiffness changed, so as to re-matched the suspension parameters. Another method is to change the damping ratio of the system by adjusting the opening diameter of the throttle valve. Such as the research of Porumamilla H. (2007) and Robinson W D et al. (2012). The sliding mode variable structure control and linear quadratic optimal control were used to control the air spring seat suspension with auxiliary chamber. This method needed to select a suitable variable throttle valve diameter to make the system air damping have good switching performance, and to ensure that the suspension stiffness and the natural frequency changed less during the change of the system damping ratio. Another control method is to adjust the auxiliary chamber volume and the variable throttle valve diameter. For example, Jerald M V et al. (2013) designed a device for continuous adjustment of auxiliary chamber volume and variable throttle valve diameter. Due to the complex structure and the large number of changing parameters of the suspension system, this control method is rarely studied.

Based on this, according to the special operating characteristics and requirements of the sprayer, an independent vertical axis air suspension system with auxiliary chamber was designed. On the basis of the damping characteristic analysis and experiment of the air suspension with auxiliary chamber, the sprayer suspension control strategy was developed. Aiming at strong non-linearity and hysteresis for air suspension with auxiliary chamber, and traditional passive suspension could not take into account both road friendliness and ride comfort, a hybrid sliding mode control method for sprayer chassis suspension based on skyhook reference sliding mode and ground-hook reference sliding mode was proposed. Finally, Matlab/Simulink was used to analyse the effect of the control method in different mixing coefficients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Suspension structure design and vibration reduction strategy formulation

- Suspension structure design

The sprayer operation condition is complicated, so it not only needs to complete the transportation operation on the ordinary road, but also needs to complete the plant protection operation in the field. Two different working conditions have different requirements for suspension vibration reduction. During sprayer transportation operation, the sprayer speed is high (generally 20–40 km/h), the road conditions are good. The driver's riding comfort and the sprayer operation stability are the performance indexes that should be given priority to. Meanwhile, due to the sprayer large mass, the road friendliness should be taken into account to reduce the impact and damage to the road surface. During sprayer plant protection operation, the tire’s dynamic load should be minimized to prevent soil compaction and damage. In addition, although the sprayer speed is low (less than 20 km/h) during plant protection operation, due to the complicated road conditions in the field and the wide width of the boom, the slight tilt and vibration of the sprayer body will cause the boom to vibrate, swing and rotate, which greatly reduces Spray effect. Therefore, the sprayer ride comfort is also an important index to be considered during the its plant protection operation. In accordance with the special operating characteristics and requirements of the sprayer, based on the existing suspension
system, an independent vertical axis air suspension system with auxiliary chamber was designed, and its structural assembly was shown in Fig. 1. In the process of suspension vibration reduction, the vibration caused by the road surface is first transmitted to wheel 1, and then transmitted to motor protection housing welding 3, and then reaches to vertical shaft 6. Guided by the internal shaft sleeve of Beam support column welding 7, the vibration through spring bottom support welding 21, air spring 10, spring top support welding 17, guide post 19, steering arm welding 15, positioning ring 14, and beam 9 in order. Finally, the vibration is transmitted to the sprayer body. Air spring 10, variable throttle valve 18, compressed air hose 11 and auxiliary chamber 12 form the suspension damping adjustment device. According to the driving conditions of the sprayer, by adjusting the opening degree of the variable throttle valve, the suspension damping is changed, and the impact load transmitted from the ground to the vehicle body is more effectively absorbed to ensure the ride comfort of the driver.

Fig. 1 – The structure of sprayer chassis independent vertical axis air suspension system with auxiliary chamber
1. Wheel; 2. Brake disc; 3. Motor protection housing welding; 4. Hydraulic motor; 5. Brake caliper installation welding; 6. Vertical shaft; 7. Beam support column welding; 8. Steering cylinder support arm welding; 9. Beam; 10. Air spring; 11. Compressed air hose; 12. Auxiliary chamber; 13. Steering cylinder; 14. Positioning ring; 15. Steering arm welding; 16. Rubber limit block; 17. Spring top support welding; 18. Variable throttle valve; 19. Guide post; 20. Lubrication mouth; 21. Spring bottom support welding

- Vibration reduction strategy formulation

By abstracting air spring 10, compressed air hose 11, auxiliary chamber 12 and variable throttle valve 18 in Fig. 1, the vibration damping mechanism of the sprayer chassis air suspension is shown in Fig. 2. Where 1 is sprung mass, 2 is air spring, 3 is variable throttle valve, 4 is auxiliary chamber, and G is an air mass flow rate. \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are the absolute pressures of the air spring and the auxiliary chamber, respectively. \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) are the volumes of the air spring and the auxiliary chamber, respectively. \( m_b \) is sprung mass, \( x_b \) and \( w \) are displacements of the sprung mass and excitation, respectively. When the suspension vibrates, the air mass is exchanged between the air spring and the auxiliary chamber under the action of the excitation force. The pressure difference between the two ends of the variable throttle valve makes the air flowing through the variable throttle valve to produce damping and dissipates vibration energy.

Fig. 2 - Schematic diagram of air suspension with auxiliary chamber
According to the author’s previous analysis and experimental results on the damping characteristics of the sprayer chassis air suspension with auxiliary chamber (Li W et al., 2018), the variation relationship between the damping coefficient $\zeta$ of the air suspension with auxiliary chamber and the natural frequency $\omega_n$ and the throttle valve orifice diameter $d$ is obtained as shown in Fig.3.

![Fig. 3 - the variation relationship between $\zeta$ and $\omega_n$, $d$](image)

In Fig.3(a), in the area to the left of the maximum value point of relative damping coefficient, although the relative damping coefficient changes continuously with the throttle valve orifice opening, the change process is very drastic. Moreover, the spring natural frequency has a step change in this area (see Fig.3(b)), the system shows a strong nonlinearity. On the contrary, in the area to the right of the maximum value point of the relative damping coefficient, the relative damping coefficient changes continuously with the throttle valve orifice opening, and finally reaches the same value (0.16). At this point, the spring natural frequency basically remains unchanged. Therefore, when damping control is carried out on the air suspension with auxiliary chamber, the sprayer road conditions can be divided into flat road surface, uneven road surface and bad road surface. These road conditions can be simulated with step excitation amplitude of 0.02m, 0.05m and 0.08m respectively. Adjust the throttle valve opening according to different road conditions to ensure that the suspension damping ratio $\zeta$ changes between 0.39 and 0.16. Therefore, the specific control strategy of the air suspension with auxiliary chamber is as follows: when the sprayer is running on the flat road, make the throttle valve orifice opening diameter $d$ switch between 3.5 mm and full open; When the sprayer is running on uneven road, make the throttle valve orifice opening diameter $d$ switch between 4.5mm and full open. Switch throttle valve orifice opening diameter $d$ between 5.0 mm and full open when the sprayer is running on bad road. During the switching process of the throttle valve orifice opening, the suspension system natural frequency $\omega_n$ remains basically unchanged. This strategy will reduce the impact of changes in the parameters (such as static stiffness, natural frequency, et al.) of the air suspension system with auxiliary chamber on the system control effect.

Due to the contradiction between the ride comfort and road friendliness of the sprayer during its transportation condition and spraying condition, a trade-off must be made between the two performances. By developing appropriate suspension control strategy, the sprayer can be manually controlled or automatically controlled between ride comfort and road friendliness according to different driving conditions, so as to take into account the performance requirements of both. Meanwhile, the air suspension with auxiliary chamber has strong nonlinear and hysteresis, while the sliding mode control has high robustness to the uncertainty of model parameters and external disturbances (Zirkohi M M et al., 2014; Assadsangabi B et al., 2009). In order to ensure that the sprayer has good ride comfort and road friendliness under complex working conditions (Mulla A et al., 2014). In this paper, based on the basic control strategy of air suspension with auxiliary chamber, a hybrid control strategy combining skyhook reference sliding mode and ground-hook reference sliding mode is proposed to control the sprayer chassis air suspension.

**Mathematical modelling**

- **Mathematical model of suspension**
  The 3D model of the sprayer independent vertical axis air suspension in Fig. 1 could be represented by the structural model of Fig. 4. The structure model in Fig. 4(a) was simplified as follows: According to the Taylor series theory, the small deviation linearization method was used to linearize the suspension stiffness $k_s$. The damping of air spring rubber, the damping caused by suspension element friction and other
unlabelled damping were expressed by \( C_s \). The damping force adjusted by switching the throttle valve orifice opening between the air spring and the auxiliary chamber was represented by the suspension equivalent control force \( F_d \). The suspension equivalent model obtained by simplification was shown in Fig. 4(b).

![Suspension structure and equivalent model](image)

**Fig. 4 - 2-DOF quarter-car suspension dynamic model**

In Fig. 4: \( k_t \) – tire stiffness, N/m; \( c_t \) – tire damping, N·s/m;

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_b &= -k_t (x_b - x_t) - c_t (\ddot{x}_b - \ddot{x}_t) - F_d \\
\dot{x}_s &= k_t (x_b - x_t) + c_t (\dddot{x}_b - \dddot{x}_t) + F_d - k_s (x_t - w) - c (\dddot{x}_t - \dddot{w}) \\
k &= a m P_{in} A/(V_1 + V_2)
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

In equation (1): \( a \) – the rate at which the volume of an air spring changes with height;

\( V_{10} \) – the volume of the air spring at the initial equilibrium position, and \( V_{10} = V_2/3 \);

\( V_2 \) – auxiliary chamber volume, m³;

\( \ddot{x}_b \) – sprung mass acceleration, m/s²;

\( \dot{x}_b \) – sprung mass speed, m/s;

\( \ddot{x}_t \) – unsprung mass acceleration, m/s²;

\( \dot{x}_t \) – unsprung mass speed, m/s;

\( \ddot{w} \) – road excitation speed, m/s.

**Reference model**

The ideal skyhook control mainly aims at ride comfort (Ahmadian M et al., 2004; Poussot-Vassal C et al., 2006; Priyandoko G et al., 2009). When the dynamic load of tires deteriorates, the vehicle’s handling stability becomes worse, and the large dynamic load of tires will destroy the road surface. The ideal groundhook control can reduce the dynamic load of tires by sacrificing ride comfort (Valášek M et al., 1997; Viet L D et al., 2014) and reduce the impact and damage of vehicles on the road surface. The ideal skyhook control model and groundhook control model are shown in Fig. 5.

![Skyhook and groundhook control models](image)

**Fig. 5 - The ideal skyhook control model and groundhook control model**
The vibration differential equation of skyhook and groundhook reference control model could be expressed by equations (2) and (3). When subscript $i = s$, the equation is skyhook control.

When subscript $i = g$, the equation is groundhook control.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{m}_s \ddot{x}_{sb} &= -k_s (x_{sb} - x_s) - c_s (\dot{x}_{sb} - \dot{x}_s) - c_{sky} \dot{x}_s \\
\mathbf{m}_s \ddot{x}_s &= k_s (x_{sb} - x_s) + c_s (\dot{x}_{sb} - \dot{x}_s) - k (x_s - w) - c_i (\dot{x}_s - \dot{w}) \\
\mathbf{m}_g \ddot{x}_{gb} &= -k_g (x_{gb} - x_g) - c_i (\dot{x}_s - \dot{x}_g) \\
\mathbf{m}_g \ddot{x}_g &= k_g (x_{gb} - x_g) + c_i (\dot{x}_s - \dot{x}_g) - k (x_g - w) - c_{ground} \dot{x}_g
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

(3)

In equation (2) and equation (3):
- $\ddot{x}_{sb}$ – sprung mass acceleration of reference model, m/s$^2$;
- $x_{sb}$ – sprung mass displacement of reference model, m;
- $\ddot{x}_s$ – unsprung mass acceleration of reference model, m/s$^2$;
- $x_s$ – unsprung mass displacement of reference model, m;
- $c_{sky}$ – damping coefficient of ideal skyhook control;
- $c_{ground}$ – damping coefficient of ideal groundhook control.

$c_{sky}$ and $c_{ground}$ can be expressed by the relation (4).

\[
c_{sky} = \begin{cases} 
2\zeta_{\text{max}} m \omega_n^2 & \text{if } \dot{x}_s (\dot{x}_{sb} - \dot{x}_s) \geq 0 \\
2\zeta_{\text{max}} m \omega_n^2 & \text{if } \dot{x}_s (\dot{x}_{sb} - \dot{x}_s) < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
c_{ground} = \begin{cases} 
2\zeta_{\text{min}} m \omega_n^2 & \text{if } \dot{x}_g (\dot{x}_{gb} - \dot{x}_g) \geq 0 \\
2\zeta_{\text{min}} m \omega_n^2 & \text{if } \dot{x}_g (\dot{x}_{gb} - \dot{x}_g) < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

(4)

In relation (4):
- $\zeta_{\text{max}}$ – the maximum damping ratio that the suspension system can achieve when switching the variable throttle valve orifice;
- $\zeta_{\text{min}}$ – the minimum damping ratio that the suspension system can achieve when switching the variable throttle valve orifice;
- $\omega_n$ – Natural frequency of air suspension system with auxiliary chamber, rad/s.

**Design of hybrid sliding mode controller**

- **Controller design**
  The air suspension with auxiliary chamber has strong nonlinearity and hysteresis. Sliding mode control is highly robust to the uncertainty of model parameters and external disturbances. In order to ensure that the sprayer has good ride comfort and road friendliness under complex working conditions, a hybrid control algorithm for the sprayer chassis suspension that combined the skyhook reference sliding mode and groundhook reference sliding mode was proposed, as shown in Fig. 6. A mixing coefficient $\gamma$ was introduced into the controller to adjust the mixing degree of the two control strategies. The value of $\gamma$ was [0, 1]. When $\gamma = 0$, the controller was the skyhook reference sliding mode control. When $\gamma = 1$, the controller was the groundhook reference sliding mode control. The value of $\gamma$ was between 0 and 1 to synthesize the characteristics of skyhook reference sliding mode control and groundhook reference sliding mode control.

The hybrid sliding mode real-time control force $F_d$ can be expressed as:

\[
F_d = \gamma F_{ssmc} + (1 - \gamma) F_{gsmc}
\]

(5)

Where: $F_{ssmc}$ - skyhook reference sliding mode control force, N;
$F_{gsmc}$ - groundhook reference sliding mode control force, N.
**Error dynamics model**

Because the design process of the two references sliding mode control algorithms were similar, this article took the groundhook reference sliding mode control design as an example. In order to reduce the steady-state error of the system, the integral error of unsprung mass displacement was introduced. Unsprung mass displacement integral error, unsprung mass displacement error and unsprung mass velocity error together formed the groundhook reference sliding mode control model tracking error vector $e_s$. The error dynamics equation of groundhook reference sliding mode control was:

$$
\dot{e}_s = A_s e_s + B_s u_s + G_s X + H_s X_t
$$

(6)

Where: $X = [x_b, \dot{x}_b, \dot{\dot{x}}_b, \dot{x}_g, \dot{\dot{x}}_g, \dot{x}_b, \dot{\dot{x}}_g]^T$, $X_t = [x_b, \dot{x}_b, \dot{\dot{x}}_b, x_g, \dot{x}_g, \dot{x}_b, \dot{\dot{x}}_g]^T$, $u_s = F_{ds}$, $e_s = [e_{g1}, e_{g2}, e_{g3}]^T = \dot{x}_s - x_s$.

$$
\hat{e}_s = [\hat{e}_{g1}, \hat{e}_{g2}, \hat{e}_{g3}]^T = (\dot{x}_s - x_s)(\dot{\dot{x}}_s - \dot{x}_s)
$$

$$
A_s = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k_b}{m_b} & c_{g1} + c_{ground} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k_g}{m_g} & 0 & \frac{c_{g2} + c_{ground}}{m_g} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k_s}{m_s} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_s}{m_s} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
B_s = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
G_s = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
H_s = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.

Integral sliding mode variable structure control was performed on the error dynamic system, and the integral sliding mode surface was obtained as:

$$
s = \lambda_1 I \int_0^t e_s \, dt + \lambda_2 \dot{e}_s + \dot{\hat{e}}_s = Fe_s
$$

(7)

Where: $I$ was a coefficient matrix, and $I = [\lambda_{g1}, \lambda_{g2}, 1]$, $\lambda_{g1}, \lambda_{g2} > 0$.

Using the pole placement method to select the coefficient matrix $I$, the system could quickly reach the sliding mode. Assuming the system dynamic error was in the sliding mode plane, we got $s = \dot{s} = 0$. Bring $\hat{e}_g$ into $\dot{s} = 0$, when the system entered the sliding mode, the equivalent control force $F_{geq}$ could be gotten as:

$$
F_{geq} = (c_s + c_{g1} + c_{ground} - \lambda_{g1} m_s) \dot{e}_{g3} + (k_s + k_b - \lambda_{g2} m_b) \dot{e}_{g2} - c_{ground} \dot{x}_s - k_b (x_b - \dot{x}_b) - c_s (\dot{x}_s - \dot{x}_g)
$$

(8)

According to equation (8), the equivalent control was essentially the feedback control of partial states. $F_{geq}$ was closely related to the system state. Due to the strong non-linearity of the air suspension with auxiliary chamber, in order to satisfy the sliding condition and enhance the robustness of the system, when the control system reached the sliding mode surface $s$, a discontinuous term $\varepsilon \text{sgn}(s)$ was added on $F_{geq}$. The actual system control $F_{gsmc}$ was:

$$
F_{gsmc} = F_{geq} + (\varepsilon \text{sgn}(s)) \quad \varepsilon > 0
$$

(9)

In formula (9), $\varepsilon$ represented the velocity of the system's motion point approaching the switching plane $s=0$. Reasonable selection of $\varepsilon$ value could satisfy the system's good dynamic quality under large interference conditions.
System stability analysis

Selecting Lyapunov function $V(s) = s^2 / 2$ and deriving it, inequality (10) could be obtained.

$$\dot{V}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} s^2 = s \dot{s} \leq -\eta |s|$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

In inequality (10), $\eta > 0$. According to the stability theory of Lyapunov, if the inequality (11) was true, the system converged. Assuming the system was stable, we could get equation (11) by introducing equations (6), (7), and (8) into equation (10).

$$s \dot{s} = \Gamma(\Lambda_s e_s + G_s X + H_s X + B_s F_{pwm}) s - \Gamma B_s e \text{sgn}(s) s \leq -\eta |s|$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Since $\varepsilon \text{sgn}(s) s = c|s|$, and the first term of equation (11) was 0 when the system moved in the sliding mode surface, equation (11) could be rewritten as

$$-\Gamma B_s \varepsilon |s| \leq -\eta |s|$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

namely

$$\varepsilon \geq \eta m_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The inequality (13) showed that the control system was stable. By substituting (13) into (9), $F_{gsmc}$ could be obtained as

$$F_{gsmc} = F_{pwm} + (\eta m_s) \text{sgn}(s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

In order to ensure that the variable damping force dissipated energy in real time under sliding mode control and prevented vibration deterioration, $F_{gsmc}$ was switched by reference to groundhook logic switch control. In order to reduce the system chattering and improve the control quality, a continuous saturation function $\text{sat}(s / \psi)$ was used instead of the discontinuous symbol function $\text{sgn}(s)$. The real-time variable damping force $F_{gsmc}$ of the groundhook reference sliding mode control was obtained as

$$F_{gsmc} = \begin{cases} \left[ F_{pwm} - (\eta m_s) \text{sat}(s / \psi) \right] (\dot{x}_b - \dot{x}_i) \leq 0 \\ 0, \left[ F_{pwm} - (\eta m_s) \text{sat}(s / \psi) \right] (\dot{x}_b - \dot{x}_i) > 0 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Where: $\psi$ - boundary layer thickness, the value was 0.1.

Similarly, the real-time control force $F_{srmc}$ of the skyhook reference sliding mode was obtained as shown in equation (16).

$$F_{srmc} = \begin{cases} \left[ F_{seq} + (\eta m_s) \text{sat}(s_i / \psi) \right] (\dot{x}_b - \dot{x}_i) \geq 0 \\ 0, \left[ F_{seq} + (\eta m_s) \text{sat}(s_i / \psi) \right] (\dot{x}_b - \dot{x}_i) < 0 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

In equation (16), $F_{seq}$ was skyhook reference sliding mode equivalent control force. $F_{seq}$ could be calculated by formula (17).

$$F_{seq} = (\lambda_s m_b - k_1) (x_b - x_{sb}) + (\lambda_s m_b - c_{sky} - c_1) (\dot{x}_b - \dot{x}_{sb}) + c_{sky} \dot{x}_b + k_1 (x_i - x_{sa}) + c_1 (\dot{x}_i - \dot{x}_{sa})$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

RESULTS

Matlab/Simulink was applied to establish the control system simulation model. The simulation time interval was set as 0.005s, the simulation duration was set as 100s. Applied filtering white noise to generate a typical road excitation signal (Popp K and Schiehlen W, 2010). The sprayer crossed the c-class road surface at a speed of 40 km/h. The low-frequency cut-off frequency of road signal was 0.1 Hz. The road roughness coefficient was $256 \times 10^6 \text{m}^3$. Other simulation parameters were set as follows: $m_s = 3000 \text{ kg}$, $m_t = 300 \text{ kg}$, $c_s = 2400 \text{ N} / \text{m/s}$, $k_t = 560 \text{ KN/m}$, $c_t = 5700 \text{ N} / \text{m/s}$, $\lambda_{s1} = \dot{\lambda}_{s1} = 3.96$, $\lambda_{g2} = \dot{\lambda}_{g2} = 36.08$, $\eta_s = 3$, $\eta_g = 30$, $\zeta_{max} = 0.39$, $\zeta_{min} = 0.16$, $\omega_n = 6 \text{ rad/s}$, $\alpha = 0.0564$, $V_2 = 0.0507 \text{m}^3$. Sprayer body acceleration and tire dynamic load root mean square value were selected as the evaluation indexes of ride comfort and road friendliness respectively. The influence of sliding mode control on suspension performance was analysed by changing the value of mixing coefficient $\gamma$.

The simulation results were shown in Tab. 1, Fig. 7, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. In Tab. 1, the passive suspension referred to the simulation results when the variable throttle valve was in the optimal opening and remained unchanged, and the suspension damping coefficient $\zeta = 0.39$. 
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Comparison with simulation results

| Performance indicators (RMS) | Sprung mass acceleration (m·s⁻²) | Tire dynamic load (N) | S_{sky} | S_{ground} |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------|
| Passive suspension          | 1.56444                          | 12430.9              |         |            |
| γ=0                         | 1.69968                          | 4834.4               |         | 0.0081     |
| γ=0.2                       | 1.57673                          | 5995.3               | 0.1731  | 0.0313     |
| γ=0.4                       | 1.31196                          | 7668.8               | 0.07109 | 0.0589     |
| γ=0.6                       | 1.14915                          | 9459.8               | 0.0436  | 0.09542    |
| γ=0.8                       | 0.87060                          | 11990.6              | 0.0224  | 0.2146     |
| γ=1                         | 0.58458                          | 13060.1              | 0.0065  |            |

It could be seen from Tab. 1 that when the mixing coefficient γ value changed, the root mean square values of the sliding surface S_{ground} and S_{sky} were both small. It showed that the control system could track the ideal skyhook reference model and ideal groundhook reference model well. When γ = 0, the hybrid sliding mode control was equivalent to the groundhook reference sliding mode control. At this time, the tire dynamic load was small and the sprayer had good road friendliness. When γ = 1, the hybrid sliding mode control was equivalent to the skyhook reference sliding mode control. At this time, the sprung mass acceleration was
small and the sprayer had good ride comfort. The \( \gamma \) value was larger, the hybrid sliding mode control was closer to skyhook reference sliding mode control, and the sprayer ride comfort was better. The \( \gamma \) value was smaller, the hybrid sliding mode control was closer to groundhook reference sliding mode control, and the sprayer road friendliness was better.

When the values of \( \gamma \) were 0, 0.5 and 1, the corresponding responses in time and frequency domain of the sprung mass acceleration and the tire dynamic load were obtained, as shown in Fig. 7, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. In Fig. 7, when \( \gamma = 0.5 \), the corresponding peak - peak of sprung mass acceleration increased compared with \( \gamma = 1 \), and decreased compared with \( \gamma = 0 \). The sprayer ride comfort could be improved by increasing \( \gamma \) value. In Fig. 8, when \( \gamma \) value was reduced, the tire dynamic load was also reduced and the sprayer road friendliness was effectively improved.

The Fig. 9 showed that in the vicinity of 1.2 Hz and 9 Hz, the sprung mass acceleration power spectrum density corresponding to \( \gamma = 0.5 \) was smaller than that when \( \gamma = 0 \) and bigger than that when \( \gamma = 1 \). The tire dynamic load power spectral density corresponding to \( \gamma = 0.5 \) was bigger than that when \( \gamma = 0 \), and smaller than that when \( \gamma = 1 \). It showed that the hybrid sliding mode control could combine the advantages of skyhook sliding mode control and groundhook sliding mode control, and had good comprehensive performance.

As could be seen from Tab. 1, Fig. 7, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, although the hybrid sliding mode control could not achieve the effect of groundhook reference sliding mode control in terms of road friendliness, and could not achieve the effect of the skyhook reference sliding mode control in terms of ride comfort, but according to the sprayer different requirements, the mixing coefficient \( \gamma \) was reasonably selected, and the hybrid sliding mode control could take into account both ride comfort and road friendliness.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. According to the special operation characteristics and requirements of sprayer, an independent vertical shaft air suspension system with auxiliary chamber was designed.

2. A damping control strategy for the sprayer suspension was presented. According to the different road surface, the variable throttle valve orifice opening was adjusted, the designed suspension system damping ratio \( \zeta \) changed between 0.39 and 0.16. During the whole valve orifice being adjusted process, the system natural frequency \( \omega_n \) basically remained unchanged.

3. A hybrid sliding mode control method which combined skyhook reference sliding mode and groundhook reference sliding mode was proposed to control the sprayer suspension. When the mixing coefficient \( \gamma \) value was changed, the root mean square values of \( S_{\text{ground}} \) and \( S_{\text{sky}} \) were both small, the established control system could track the ideal skyhook reference model and groundhook reference model well.
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