Magnetic jam in the corona of the Sun
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The outer solar atmosphere, the corona, contains plasma at temperatures of more than a million kelvin—more than 100 times hotter than the solar surface1. How this gas is heated is a fundamental question tightly interwoven with the structure of the magnetic field2. Together this governs the evolution of coronal loops, the basic building block prominently seen in X-rays and extreme ultraviolet (EUV) images3. Here we present numerical experiments accounting for both the evolving three-dimensional structure of the magnetic field and its complex interaction with the plasma. Although the magnetic field continuously expands as new magnetic flux emerges through the solar surface, plasma on successive field lines is heated in succession, giving the illusion that an EUV loop remains roughly at the same place. For each snapshot the EUV images outline the magnetic field. However, in contrast to the traditional view, the temporal evolution of the magnetic field and the EUV loops can be quite different. This indicates that the thermal and the magnetic evolution in the outer atmosphere of a cool star should be treated together, and should not be simply separated as predominantly done so far.

The dominance of the magnetic field in the corona gives rise to the sharp appearance of coronal loops seen in EUV or X-ray images (see Fig. 1): if energy is deposited on a magnetic field line, heat conduction in the ionized gas will redistribute that energy efficiently along only that field line (but not across). Consequently, the plasma along that field line becomes visible in EUV and X-ray images: the coronal emission shows the magnetic field in a way similar to that in which iron filings are used in school to show the field lines of a magnet.

Because direct measurements of the coronal magnetic field are notoriously difficult4, mainly extrapolations of the observed magnetic field at the surface provide the magnetic information in the corona5. Stereoscopic observations can provide the three-dimensional (3D) structure of coronal loops6. Comparing EUV images and extrapolations reveals that loops seen in EUV images indeed outline field lines7. This paradigm underlies both one-dimensional modelling8,9, where the thermodynamics of the coronal plasma is often treated in detail along assumed static field lines, and magnetofrictional modelling10,11, where an instantaneous thermal equilibrium is often assumed along dynamic field lines. On the real Sun we will not find these extreme cases, but a changing magnetic field hosting plasma with an evolving thermal structure, as described by the full equations of magnetohydrodynamics (MHD; ref. 2).

Models accounting for this 3D structure and evolution of the solar corona point to a mismatch between magnetic and thermal structure12, which plays an important role in understanding the cross-section of coronal loops13. The thermal evolution—that is, when plasma gets heated and when a loop becomes visible in EUV images—is coupled in a much more subtle time-dependent way to field lines and heat input than often assumed. Thus, in general the appearance of coronal loops depends not just on the instantaneous position and shape of field lines but also on their evolution.

We show that such scenarios are realistic for situations on the Sun; thus, our understanding of the structure and evolution of the solar corona, and ultimately the heating processes, will have to fully acknowledge the intimate interaction of the thermal evolution of coronal loops and the changing magnetic structure. In a similar way, the thermal evolution of coronal loops (in flares) has long been recognized to be caused by an interaction with a time-dependent magnetic structure14.

To investigate the corona above a solar active region we conduct a 3D numerical experiment. For this we solve the problem of MHD in which the induction equation describing the magnetic field is coupled to the conservation of mass, momentum and energy of the plasma. In the energy balance we account for heat conduction along the magnetic field, optically thin radiative losses and heating through Ohmic dissipation. Our model follows the philosophy of previous studies where the magnetic field is driven at the surface of the Sun, which is the lower boundary of the model15–17. In contrast to earlier models, we drive our system by a separate model of an emerging sunspot pair18. This way coronal loops form in the emerging active region in response to the enhanced Poynting flux into the corona at locations where magnetic field is pushed around, similar to flux braiding19 or flux-tube tectonics20. This new study on the evolution of thermal and magnetic properties is based on the same simulation as used before to investigate the formation of active region loops21. Further details of these 3D models and their success in describing coronal observations is discussed in Supplementary Section 1. The comparison in Fig. 1 illustrates that 3D models capture essential observational signatures, which is a significant step forward in understanding the structure, dynamics and heating of the corona, one of the enigmatic problems in astrophysics.

To study the relation of the magnetic field to coronal loops seen in emission we have to follow the temporal evolution of both in the simulation. The procedure to follow (a bundle of) magnetic field lines as well as an EUV loop is described in Supplementary Section 2. From the output of the MHD simulation, we use the temperature and density at each grid point to evaluate the coronal emission. Integrating along a line-of-sight we then obtain synthentic observations that can be treated as real ones22. Here we synthesize the coronal emission as it would be seen by the atmospheric imaging assembly (AIA; ref. 23) onboard NASAs Solar Dynamics Observatory. For our analysis we concentrate on the 193 Å filter, which is dominated by emission from Fe xii forming at around 1.5 MK.

In Fig. 2 we show the synthesized 193 Å observation when integrating horizontally through the computational domain. This snapshot reveals a coronal loop hosting 1.5 MK hot plasma. Following the temporal evolution in the movie (available online;
Figure 1 | The upper atmosphere of the Sun seen in light emitted by a hot plasma at approximately 100,000 K. a, An observation from space using the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) taken in the 304 Å band, dominated by emission from singly ionized He. The limb of the Sun is indicated by the dashed line. Coronal loops are mostly seen edge-on, rising some 40,000 km above the limb. b, A numerical simulation as described in this paper. It shows the synthesized emission in the same 304 Å channel, integrated horizontally through the computational box of a numerical experiment. Similar to the real Sun, loops arch above the surface (dashed line).

Figure 2 | Snapshot of the coronal loop in the numerical simulation. The plots show synthesized emission as seen in a wavelength band at 193 Å dominated by Fe XII forming near 1.5 MK. a, View of loop from the side with the emission integrated through the computational box at time 130 s. The emission pattern remains more or less at the same place (see Supplementary Fig. 4 in Supplementary Section 3). In contrast, the field lines expand, here indicated by the same field line shown at three different times (0 s, 130 s and 600 s). For comparison the blue line shows the field line through the centre of the emission structure at 130 s (see Supplementary Section 2 for a more precise definition of the red and blue lines). b, A better impression of the 3D structure is obtained by showing the middle part of the loop integrated along the loop (from x = 70 Mm to 77 Mm, as indicated by the dotted lines in a). Here the image again shows the 193 Å channel emission, the blue diamond is the centre of the EUV loop and the red triangles the positions of the field line in the x = 74 Mm plane at the same three times as shown in a. These plots cover only part of the computational domain (≈150 × 75 × 50 Mm³ in the x, y and z directions). Supplementary Movie 1 shows the temporal evolution.

Further snapshots in Supplementary Fig. 4 in Supplementary Section 3) it is evident that the EUV loop forms, becomes bright and then starts fading over the course of a good fraction of an hour. Most importantly, the EUV loop—that is, the pattern visible in the 193 Å channel—remains at more or less the same place. In particular the EUV loop is not expanding upwards.

This is in contrast to the evolution of the magnetic field. Also in Fig. 2, we overplot one single field line at different times. This field line moves upwards while the active region is emerging. In Fig. 2 (and the movie) we also show the coronal emission in a vertical slab in the middle of the loop (and perpendicular to the loop) to emphasize how differently the pattern of the EUV emission evolves compared to the magnetic structure. There is no mass flow across field lines. We emphasize that at each snapshot the EUV loop is roughly following a field line, but at each time it is a different field line that is aligned with the EUV loop.

To understand this behaviour we investigate the heat input and the resulting temperature, density and emission structure along individual field lines (for details see Supplementary Section 3). Each individual field line undergoes increased Ohmic heating for about 100 s and through evaporation of gas from the lower atmosphere the density of the loop increases. Because the EUV passbands are sensitive to a limited range of temperatures only, the plasma along each individual expanding field line is brightening up for some 50 s to 100 s. The field lines get heated in succession, one after the other while moving upwards. This creates a more or less stationary pattern of increased emission, although the structure of the magnetic field is constantly moving upwards. This might be compared to a traffic jam triggered by a construction site on a highway. Here all cars (defining the structure) are moving forward, but the construction site (heating up not the cars but the temps) and the pile-up of cars (defining the pattern) remain at the same location.
The cause for the transient enhancement of the heating of individual field lines is found at their roots. The coalescent flow that forms the sunspot drives magnetic patches towards the strong magnetic field of the sunspot\textsuperscript{20,24}. This is illustrated by the arrows in Fig. 3 that indicate the horizontal flows in the photosphere. At the outer edge of the spot there will be a region of enhanced (vertical) Poynting flux—that is, of upward directed flux of magnetic energy. This is similar to the flux-tube-tectonics model\textsuperscript{20}, where (horizontal) shuffling of magnetic patches leads to an upward directed flux of magnetic energy, which is then available to heat the coronal plasma. Because each field line is pushed into the spot and thus transverses the region of the enhanced Poynting flux, the heat input into the corona along individual field lines is transient (see detailed discussion in Supplementary Section 3 and movie attached to Supplementary Fig. 2). Thus, EUV loops will show up wherever strong (horizontal) gradients of the magnetic field are present at the footpoints, similar to the tectonics model\textsuperscript{20}.

This mechanism is illustrated by the cartoon in Fig. 4. During the emergence of magnetic flux forming a sunspot pair, the field is pushed upwards and to the sides. In sunspots the magnetic field is very strong and convection is suppressed. Thus the flow driving the coalescence of the magnetic field comes to a halt. Whenever a field line crosses the region of enhanced Poynting flux, energy is deposited along that field line and the plasma on it is heated. Consequently this field line becomes visible in EUV for a short time. With successive field lines passing the hot spot of Poynting flux, they all brighten roughly at the same place, creating the illusion of a static emission pattern forming a loop, while the magnetic field is moving. Future work will have to show to what extent this scenario also holds for X-ray emission, which typically forms over a broader range of temperatures than the EUV bands (see Supplementary Section 3).

In our 3D numerical experiment we find that the temporal evolution of the magnetic field in the corona can be radically different from that of the patterns seen in the coronal emission. This implies that modelling the temporal evolution of EUV loops as 1D structures following a static field line is a problematic concept in regions where the magnetic field is evolving; namely, whenever the Sun gets dynamic—and interesting. Thus many of the time-dependent 1D loop models that have been used as the workhorse in coronal studies over the past two decades need to be reconsidered. In cases where one can reasonably assume a static magnetic structure confining the plasma, a 1D model might describe the loop sufficiently well. In principle, a model combining several 1D models could account for changes in loop length\textsuperscript{25} or magnetic connectivity\textsuperscript{26} to mimic the emergence process, but such a multiple-1D-model would suffer from the lack of self-consistency. Only if treated in (2D or) 3D will the photospheric motions that drive the magnetic changes lead to a self-consistent interaction.
of the neighbouring field lines that result in a (variable) spatial pattern of heat input. Still, at any given snapshot the coronal EUV loops in our 3D model outline magnetic field lines. Therefore, EUV observations should provide useful information when implemented into procedures to recover a snapshot of the coronal magnetic field through extrapolation methods. In summary, the magnetic and thermal evolution of the corona should be treated as a coupled system in a single problem — this requires one to have a more holistic view of the magnetic and thermal properties of the corona when addressing the question of the structure, dynamics and heating of the corona.
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