IS THERE A BLACK HOLE IN NGC 4382?*
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ABSTRACT

We present Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph observations of the galaxy NGC 4382 (M85) and axisymmetric models of the galaxy to determine mass-to-light ratio ($\Upsilon_V$) and central black hole mass ($M_{\text{BH}}$). We find $\Upsilon_V = 3.74 \pm 0.1 M_\odot/L_\odot$ and $M_{\text{BH}} = 1.3^{+5.2}_{-1.2} \times 10^7 M_\odot$ at an assumed distance of 17.9 Mpc, consistent with no black hole. The upper limit, $M_{\text{BH}} < 9.6 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ (2σ) or $M_{\text{BH}} < 1.4 \times 10^8 (3\sigma)$, is consistent with the current $M-\sigma$ relation, which predicts $M_{\text{BH}} = 8.8 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ at $\sigma_v = 182$ km s$^{-1}$, but low for the current $M-L$ relation, which predicts $M_{\text{BH}} = 7.8 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ at $L_V = 8.9 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$. HST images show the nucleus to be double, suggesting the presence of a nuclear eccentric stellar disk, analogous to the Tremaine disk in M31. This conclusion is supported by the HST velocity dispersion profile. Despite the presence of this non-axisymmetric feature and evidence of a recent merger, we conclude that the reliability of our black hole mass determination is not hindered. The inferred low black hole mass may explain the lack of nuclear activity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Finding a black hole at the center of a galaxy is no longer a surprise. The prevalence of these black holes is well established (Richstone et al. 1998). Their importance has also been recognized, for example, as active galactic nuclei (AGNs) central engines (Rees 1984). The tight correlation of black hole masses with host galaxy properties strongly suggests an underlying link between galaxy and black hole evolution. The black hole mass has been found to be correlated with the stellar spheroid’s mass (Dressler 1989; Magorrian et al. 1998; Laor 2001; McLure & Dunlop 2002; Marconi & Hunt 2003; Häring & Rix 2004), luminosity (the $M-L$ relation, Kormendy 1993; Kormendy & Richstone 1995; Magorrian et al. 1998; Kormendy & Gebhardt 2001; Gültekin et al. 2009c), stellar velocity dispersion (the $M-\sigma$ relation, Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Tremaine et al. 2002; Gültekin et al. 2009c), galaxy core parameters (Milosavljević et al. 2002; Ravindranath et al. 2002; Graham 2004; Ferrarese et al. 2006; Merritt 2006; Lauer et al. 2007b; Kormendy & Bender 2009), and globular cluster system (Burkert & Tremaine 2010). Current theoretical work addressing these scaling relations focuses on feedback from outflows that are powered by accretion onto the central black hole. Thus, the consequences of black hole growth play a role in regulating star formation in the galaxy (e.g., Bower et al. 2006; Hopkins et al. 2006). Despite this progress, we do not have a complete understanding of the physics involved, and the pursuit of black hole masses remains important.

Masses found from primary, direct, dynamical measurements are the basis from which all other black hole masses are derived. Indirect mass indicators, such as AGN line widths, are calibrated to reverberation mapping, direct yet secondary measurements (Bentz et al. 2006), which are themselves normalized against the direct dynamical measurements (Onken et al. 2004; Woo et al. 2010). Currently, even the empirical scaling relations are incomplete. The $M-L$ and $M-\sigma$ relations make different predictions at the upper end (Lauer et al. 2007a). The possibility of increased intrinsic scatter at the low end remains untested (Volonteri 2007), and there is growing evidence that late-type galaxies as a whole and pseudo-bulges in particular do not lie on the same relation as early-type galaxies (Hu 2008; Gültekin et al. 2009c; Greene et al. 2010; Kormendy et al. 2010). There is also much interest in multi-parameter extensions to these relations (Aller & Richstone 2007; Hopkins et al. 2007).

It is this last open question, the existence and utility of multi-parameter scaling relations, for which the galaxy in this study, NGC 4382 (M85), was selected. NGC 4382 lies in a narrow range in velocity dispersion (180 km s$^{-1}$ < $\sigma$ < 220 km s$^{-1}$, for which $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 10^8 M_\odot$) based on HyperLEDA’s central velocity dispersion measures (Paturel et al. 2003). With enough galaxies from a narrow range in velocity dispersion, we may test for additional trends in black hole mass with other host galaxy parameters. This range was chosen because galaxies in this range may have either core or power-law surface brightness profiles and because both late-type and early-type galaxies lie in this range. The galaxies were also selected based on their

* Based on observations made with the Hubble Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. These observations are associated with GO proposals 5999, 6587, 6633, 7468, and 9107.

7 Available at http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr/.
where the velocity dispersion \( \sigma(R) \) is a function of projected distance from the center along the major axis and is evaluated at the radius of influence. Black hole masses were estimated from their central velocity dispersion measurement and the \( M-\sigma \) fit due to Tremaine et al. (2002).

NGC 4382 is an E2 galaxy (Kormendy et al. 2009) with diffuse stellar light surrounding it, which has led some to classify it as an S0 (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). We take the distance to NGC 4382 to be 17.9 Mpc (calculated assuming a Hubble constant of \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \)). The surface brightness profile as a function of radius reveals a core at the center (Lauer et al. 2005) and may be parameterized with a “Nuker law” given by

\[
I(r) = 2^{(\beta-\gamma)/\alpha} I_b \left( \frac{r_b}{r} \right)^\gamma \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_b} \right)^\alpha \right]^{-\beta/\alpha},
\]

which is a broken power-law profile with variable sharpness in the break (Lauer et al. 1995). NGC 4382 has \( r_b = 0.93 = 80.7 \text{ pc} \), \( I_b = 15.67 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2} \), \( \alpha = 1.13 \), \( \beta = 1.39 \), and \( \gamma = 0.00 \) (Lauer et al. 2005). The total luminosity of the galaxy is \( M_V = -22.54 \).

In Section 2 we describe the observations and data reduction, including new space spectroscopic observations (Section 2.1), ground-based spectra (Section 2.2), and imaging data (Section 2.3). The kinematic modeling and its results are presented in Section 3, and we discuss the caveats for and implications of our results in Section 4.

### 2. OBSERVATIONS

#### 2.1. STIS Observations and Data Reduction

Measuring black hole masses precisely requires spectra with high spatial resolution. Thus, most precise black hole mass measurements come from observations using the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), though adaptive optics techniques are showing promise (e.g., Krajnović et al. 2009; Nowak et al. 2010; Gebhardt et al. 2011) and mass measurements using maser observations are ramping up (Kuo et al. 2010). We observed Ca \( II \) triplet absorption from NGC 4382 with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) on HST set with the G750M grating and a 52′′ \( \times \) 0′′2 slit. The medium-dispersion grating, as opposed to the low-dispersion G750L grating, is necessary in order to get the spectral resolution high enough to recover line-of-sight velocity distributions (LOSVDs) with sufficient precision. The slit was set at a width of 0′′2 to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio as core ellipticals have relatively low central surface brightness and widening the slit as far as possible allows as short an observation as possible. The slit was positioned along a position angle of P.A. = 48° east of north close to the photometric major axis position angle of P.A. = 30° as determined from HST/Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) observations (see Section 2.3 below; Lauer et al. 2005; Kormendy et al. 2009). We obtained 16 exposures at five dither positions for a total of exposure of 18,794 s. The STIS CCD has a 1024×1024 pixel format, a readout noise of \( \sim 1 \text{ e}^{-} \text{ pixel}^{-1} \), and a gain of 1.0 without on-chip binning. The spectra spanned a wavelength range of 8257–8847 Å, and our wavelength solutions revealed a reciprocal dispersion of 0.554 Å pixel\(^{-1} \), and the spatial scale was 0′05071 pixel\(^{-1} \) for G750M at 8561 Å.

The STIS data reduction was done with routines developed for this purpose (Pence 1998; Pinkney et al. 2003) following the standard pipeline; raw spectra were extracted from the multi-dimensional FITS file, and then a constant fit to the overscan region was subtracted to remove the bias level. The STIS CCD has warm and hot pixels that change on timescales of about a day. These pixels require that the subtraction of dark current be accurate, which we accomplished by using the iterative self-dark technique (Pinkney et al. 2003). After flat fielding and dark subtraction, spectra were shifted vertically to a common dither, combined, and rotated. One-dimensional spectra were then extracted using a bi-weight combination of rows. Near the galaxy center, we adopted a 1 pixel wide binning for maximum spatial resolution. These data-reduction methods are similar to those in Pinkney et al. (2003), which the interested reader may consult for details.

LOSVDs were extracted from reduced spectra as described in Gültekin et al. (2009b). Each galaxy spectrum is a convolution of the intrinsic spectrum of stars observed in the aperture with the LOSVD of those stars. We deconvolved the observed galaxy spectrum using the template spectrum composed from standard stellar spectra using a maximum penalized-likelihood method (Gebhardt et al. 2003; Pinkney et al. 2003). We present Gauss–Hermite moments of the extracted velocity profiles in Table 1 and Figure 1. Although it is common practice to communicate velocity profiles with Gauss–Hermite moments, we use LOSVDs binned in velocity space for our modeling described below in Section 3.

#### 2.2. Ground-based Spectra

Ground-based velocity information was obtained from archival data using the Spectrographic Areal Unit for Research on Optical Nebulae (SAURON), an integral-field spectrograph unit mounted on the William Herschel Telescope in La Palma (Bacon et al. 2001). As the SAURON instrument and observations were designed to measure and characterize the internal kinematics of the selected galaxies (de Zeeuw et al. 2002), the data are excellent for our purposes. In its low-resolution mode, the instrument has a field of view of 33′′ \( \times \) 41′′ with 0′′94 pixels, each of which provides a spectrum with FWHM = 4.2 Å spectral resolution. This spectral resolution corresponds to \( \sigma_\text{inst} = 108 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) at 4950 Å, near the center of

### Table 1

| \( R \) \( ("') \) | Width \((\text{pixel}) \) | \( V \) \( (\text{km s}^{-1}) \) | \( \sigma \) \( (\text{km s}^{-1}) \) | \( h_3 \) | \( h_4 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.00 | 1 | 15 \pm 7 | 147 \pm 20 | -0.017 \pm 0.06 | -0.068 \pm 0.060 |
| 0.05 | 1 | 4 \pm 14 | 162 \pm 12 | -0.049 \pm 0.05 | -0.045 \pm 0.036 |
| 0.10 | 1 | 24 \pm 5 | 150 \pm 13 | -0.006 \pm 0.05 | -0.067 \pm 0.029 |
| 0.15 | 2 | 31 \pm 15 | 145 \pm 12 | -0.071 \pm 0.05 | -0.049 \pm 0.032 |
| 0.20 | 3 | 14 \pm 16 | 170 \pm 17 | 0.021 \pm 0.06 | -0.063 \pm 0.046 |
| 0.58 | 8 | 24 \pm 18 | 178 \pm 20 | -0.021 \pm 0.07 | -0.016 \pm 0.053 |
| 1.12 | 14 | 20 \pm 19 | 166 \pm 20 | -0.001 \pm 0.07 | 0.015 \pm 0.060 |

Notes. Gauss–Hermite moments for velocity profiles derived from STIS data. First and second moments are given in units of \( \text{km s}^{-1} \). Radii are given in arcsec and the second column gives the width of the radial bin in pixels, which are 0′051.
of the 4800–5380 Å wavelength range. The data were taken on 2001 March 14 in two pointings, each consisting of four exposures of 1800 s each under FWHM = 2''7 seeing (Emsellem et al. 2004).

The stellar kinematics data are provided as Gauss–Hermite moments for each lenslet position on the galaxy. The Gauss–Hermite moments from each lenslet were converted into LOSVDs. The data from each quadrant of the galaxy were combined into one, changing signs of the odd moments as necessary. We binned the data into four position angles (0°, 20°, 30°, and 70° east of the major axis) with six radial bins for a total of 34 LOSVDs from ground-based data.

To incorporate errors in the Gauss–Hermite moments in our data set we created $10^4$ Monte Carlo realizations of LOSVDs for each SAURON lenslet. As described in Gultekin et al. (2009b), Gauss–Hermite moments corresponding to unphysical negative values are assigned a value of zero with a conservative uncertainty. For each spatial bin, we took the median LOSVD of all realizations at a given velocity and the standard deviation as the error, which dominated the individual measurement errors. The Gauss–Hermite moments of the LOSVDs are presented in Figure 1 as a function of radius along with our STIS data. These measurements agree well with the ground-based kinematics from Fisher (1997).

The profiles were binned into 13 equal bins in velocity from $-500$ to $500$ km s$^{-1}$ about the systemic velocity covering the range of velocities measured. Using the ground kinematic data, we compute an effective stellar velocity dispersion, defined as

$$\sigma_e^2 \equiv \frac{\int_0^{R_e} (\sigma(r)^2 + V(r)^2)I(r)dr}{\int_0^{R_e} I(r)dr},$$

where $R_e$ is the effective radius, $I(r)$ is the surface brightness profile (see Section 2.3 below), and $V(r)$ and $\sigma(r)$ are the first and second Gauss–Hermite moments of the LOSVD. Using a non-parametric method of integrating the brightness and ellipticity profile, Kormendy et al. (2009) find $R_e = 102 \pm 6''$. From the ground-based velocity profile, we find an effective stellar velocity dispersion of $\sigma_e = 182 \pm 5$ km s$^{-1}$.

2.3. Imaging

The high-resolution photometry of the central regions of NGC 4382 comes from WFCPC2 observations on HST using filters F555W ($V$) and F814W ($I$). The observations, data reduction, and surface brightness profiles (including Nuker profile fits) are detailed by Lauer et al. (2005). Surface brightness profiles are also available at the Nuker web page. The wide-field data that we use are literature data from ground observations on the 1.2 m telescope of the Observatoire de Haute-Provence with point-spread function (PSF) FWHM = 3''12 originally obtained by Michard & Marchal (1994). The ground data are $B$-band data, which we have color-corrected with $B - V = 0.9$, determined

9 See http://www.noao.edu/noao/staff/lauer/wfpc2_profiles.
by requiring the space data and ground data to match where they overlap in the spatial direction. Figure 2 shows the surface brightness profiles of the space and ground data along with our adopted, combined surface brightness profile for our modeling.

Since our modeling efforts began, a superior ground-based photometric data set became available (Kormendy et al. 2009). The data come from several different instruments. Inside of 1′, it is the same WFPC2 F555W data that we use. Starting at 1′, the profile is an average of WFPC2 and Advanced Camera for Surveys data as well as two different cameras on the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope. At the largest radii, the data come from the McDonald 0.8 m telescope, which has a wide field of view. We plot it in Figure 2 for comparison. The agreement inside of R < 15″ is striking. At large radii, there is a small but systematic deviation from our adopted surface brightness profile. This is almost certainly due to the different colors used and is likely evidence of a slight color gradient starting outside of R > 100″. At these large radii, the smaller PSF of the Kormendy et al. (2009) data set does not have a large advantage, and the extremely wide coverage—out to R = 625″—is not used since our kinematic profiles only go out to R = 30″.

3. KINEMATIC MODELING

We use the three-integral, axisymmetric Schwarzschild method to make kinematic models of NGC 4382. The model is constructed in several steps. First the observed surface brightness profile, Σ(r), is deprojected into an axisymmetric luminosity density, j(r, θ). This deprojection assumes that surfaces of constant luminosity density are coaxial ellipsoids and depends on a chosen inclination, which we take to be i = 90°. Under the assumption of constant mass-to-light ratio of a chosen value, Γ, the mass density of stars is trivially obtained ρ(r, θ) = Γj. We can then calculate the stellar gravitational potential from Poisson’s equation. The potential from a point mass with a chosen mass, MBH, is then added at r = 0.

With the potential for the entire system in hand, we then calculate orbits of representative stars. The number of orbits is different for each mass model with more orbits needed to sample the phase space when either Γ or MBH is large, but the number ranged from 15,735 to 17,213 orbits. The number of orbits is increased by increasing the density of orbits in energy, angular momentum, and non-classical third integral space. We increase the number of orbits with sparsely grided parameter space (MBH and ΓV) until our results converge, and then we run a finer grid in parameter space, which we report here. The amount of time each representative star spends in a given bin in position and velocity space is monitored so as to identify the orbits’ possible contribution to the observed surface brightness and LOSVD. For each set of parameters, we find the non-negative χ2 contours for NGC 4382. Contours are for Δχ2 = 1.00, 2.71, 4.00, and 6.63, which bracket individual parameter confidence levels of 68.3%, 90.0%, 95.4%, and 99.0%, respectively. Contours have been smoothed for plotting, and each level is filled with a solid color. The square shows the best-fit model. Dots indicate parameters modeled. The best-fit model has MBH = 1.5 × 105 M⊙ and ΓV = 3.72 M⊙/L⊙,v. Marginalizing over the other parameter we find MBH = 1.3+3.9−2.5 × 105 M⊙ and ΓV = 3.74 ± 0.10 M⊙/L⊙,v.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
mass (medium gray). The values for the central part of the galaxy are plotted at a radius of 0′01. The dashed line shows the radial extent of the ground-based spectroscopic data. The orbits are isotropic until the very center, at which point they become tangentially biased. We indicate the radius of the sphere of influence of a black hole with mass predicted by the relation (light gray) or with our best-fit data, they find a constant 1σ/2 curve as a function of \( \Delta \chi^2 = 1, 4, \) and in the case of the top panel, 9, which correspond to the 1σ, 2σ, and 3σ confidence levels. There is a slight non-monotonicity in the top panel as one increases in \( M_{\text{BH}} \) away from the minimum, but it is small and entirely within the 1σ interval. Because the deviations from monotonicity are small (i.e., less than unity), we may be confident that they are not significant. In both cases there is a clear minimum near the best-fit value of each parameter, but in the case of \( M_{\text{BH}} \), the data are consistent with \( M_{\text{BH}} = 0 \) at about the 1σ level.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \chi^2 &< 1.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot, \text{ respectively. We plot marginalize } \Delta \chi^2 \text{ as a function of } M_{\text{BH}} \text{ and } \Upsilon_V \text{ in Figure 4.}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 5 shows the velocity dispersion tensor for the best-fit model orbit solution. The black line is along the major axis, and the other lines show skew angles with position angles relative to the major axis as given in the legend. The values for the central part of the galaxy are plotted at a radius of 0′01. The orbits are isotropic until the very center, at which point they become tangentially biased. We indicate the radius of the sphere of influence of a black hole with mass predicted by the \( M-\sigma \) relation (light gray) or with our best-fit mass (medium gray).

A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.

4. DISCUSSION

Before discussing the implications of our results, several potential difficulties in interpretation should be mentioned for this galaxy. Our kinematic modeling requires three assumptions: (1) a constant stellar mass-to-light ratio over the range of interest of the galaxy with the exception of the central black hole, (2) axisymmetric mass distribution, and (3) that the system is in dynamical equilibrium. We discuss each of these in turn.

4.1. Constant \( \Upsilon \)?

The first of these assumptions, constant mass-to-light ratio, is unlikely to be far from reality. Using XMM-Newton and Chandra data, Nagino & Matsushita (2009) study the gravitational potential as revealed by X-ray emission from the interstellar medium, assumed to be in hydrostatic equilibrium and spherically symmetric. Within \( \sim 2 \) kpc, about the outer extent of our data, they find a constant B-band mass-to-light ratio consistent with a potential dominated by stellar mass. Over the range of \( 0′2 < r < 10″ \) \( (\approx 0.2–1 \) kpc) NGC 4382 has a shallow color gradient \( d(V-I)/d \log (r) = +0.006 \pm 0.003, \) i.e., growing bluer with decreasing radius, with \( V-I = 1.108 \pm 0.001 \) at \( r = 1″ \) (Lauer et al. 2005). While the sign of the color gradient is unusual, the magnitude is small enough to dismiss worries about changing stellar population in the galaxy.

To quantify the effect of deviations from constant mass-to-light ratio on estimates of black hole mass, we note that when the black hole kinematic influence is barely resolved, \( M_{\text{BH}} \) and \( \Upsilon \) are anti-correlated (Gebhardt & Thomas 2009; Schulze & Gebhardt 2011). Thus, if there were unaccounted systematic effects such as a radial gradient in \( \Upsilon \), it would increase the uncertainty in \( M_{\text{BH}} \). We can easily estimate the magnitude of the effect since it is linear with \( \Upsilon \). That is, a 30% change in \( \Upsilon \) will result in at most a 30% change in \( M_{\text{BH}} \) inference. Based on the radial color gradient analysis above, the magnitude of any radial \( \Upsilon \) gradient must be small, a couple of percent at most. Thus, the total systematic uncertainty in \( M_{\text{BH}} \) is less than a couple of percent.

4.2. Axisymmetric or Eccentric Disk?

The second of these assumptions, axisymmetric mass distribution, is potentially violated. Note that the counter-rotating
“kinematically decoupled core” (KDC) found in the OASIS data does not necessarily imply deviations from axisymmetry (McDermid et al. 2004). As can be seen from the images of the galaxy (Figures 6 and 7), the change in ellipticity near the center ($e = 0.6$ at $r \approx 0^\prime2$) to the outer regions ($e = 0.2$ for $r > 1^\prime$) may demonstrate that this system is, overall, triaxial (Chakrabarty 2010). Modeling a truly triaxial system requires a triaxial code (van den Bosch & de Zeeuw 2010), but the case for triaxiality is not straightforward because inside of $0^\prime2$, the ellipticity decreases again (Lauer et al. 2005).

An alternative interpretation of the two-dimensional photometry is that there are two peaks in surface brightness at the center of the galaxy with projected separation $0^\prime25$ (Lauer et al. 2005). Such a double nucleus appears to be similar to the double nucleus in M31 (NGC 0224; Lauer et al. 1993), which Tremaine (1995) explained as the result of a projection of a central eccentric disk of stars that is stable only in the presence of a massive dark object, such as a black hole.

This interpretation is born out in the unsymmetrized STIS spectroscopy (Figure 8). There is a prominent increase in the velocity dispersion at the location of the secondary surface brightness peak $R \approx 0^\prime4$. This would be expected for an eccentric stellar disk.

An eccentric disk cannot be modeled by our orbit superposition code, which forces axisymmetry. In order to estimate the error introduced by forcing axisymmetry, we compared the mass that would be obtained by treating an eccentric disk as though it were a circular orbit. We use the mass estimator $(v_x^2 y)$ averaged over the orbit, where $v_x$ is the line-of-sight velocity and $y$ is the distance from the center of light of the orbit on the sky. This is a suitable surrogate for our modeling. We then compare the value of the estimated mass assuming an elliptical orbit to the mass inferred when assuming a circular orbit with the same semi-major axis. Treating an elliptical orbit as though it were a circular orbit produces an error in the black hole mass that depends on the orbit’s eccentricity and orientation. For an eccentricity of $0.60$, the error in the black hole mass estimate caused by ignoring the eccentricity varies from $-15\%$ to $+7\%$. Orbits with smaller eccentricity produce smaller errors. Given the statistical uncertainties in our $M_{\text{BH}}$ estimate, we can safely ignore this systematic uncertainty.

A consequence of our assumption of axisymmetry is that we are only sensitive to the presence of a black hole at the center of the galaxy. There are two potential issues. The first is whether an existing black hole is located at the center; the second is whether the STIS slit was positioned to allow measurement of any central black hole. We consider both of these.

Because NGC 4382 is a recent merger (see Section 4.3), a relevant question is whether any black hole in the galaxy is located at the center, a requirement for detection with our method. The timescale for a black hole to sink to the center of a galaxy depends on where it starts its descent and in what orbital configuration. In a purely tangential orbit at $\sim 20$ kpc, it would take longer than a Hubble time to rest at the center, but a radial orbit at $\sim 100$ pc would take less than $\sim 50$ Myr. In the most likely case, before the merger, there would be a black hole in the primary galaxy. Following the merger, it is unlikely that it would have traveled farther than $\sim 100$ pc, and we can expect any such black hole to be at the center now.

Since the center of NGC 4382 is morphologically complex and we have not found strong evidence for a black hole at its center, it is important to determine that the slit was positioned in such a way that the central kinematics could be determined. From the STIS acquisition camera image (Figure 6), it can be clearly ascertained that it does so. This image comes from the STIS acquisition image taken just before the slit and dispersive element were added. We have subtracted a symmetric model for NGC 4382 in order to show the non-symmetric features. The red box shows the location of the slit, and the red cross shows the center position of the galaxy.

4.3. Dynamical Equilibrium?

The third of these assumptions, dynamical equilibrium, is the most important. Our analysis, like any dynamical analysis of this kind, does not handle large deviations from dynamical equilibrium. Schweizer & Seitzer (1992) found a high fine structure index in NGC 4382 of $\Sigma = 6.85$, defined as

$$\Sigma = S + \log(1 + n) + J + B + X,$$

where $S$ is a visual estimate of the strength of the most prominent ripples with range $S = 0–3$, $n$ is the number of detected ripples, $J$ is the number of jets, $B$ is a visual estimate of the maximum boxiness of isophotes, with range $B = 0–3$, and $X = 0$ or 1 indicates the absence or presence of an $X$-structure, respectively (Schweizer et al. 1990).

Lauer et al. (2005) consider NGC 4382 to be an excellent candidate for a recent merger based on its high value of $\Sigma$, one of the three-highest non-merging in the Schweizer & Seitzer (1992) sample, the KDC mentioned above, and the galaxy’s blue average $V - I$ color. Kormendy et al. (2009) note that the strong fine-structure features are also seen in the gri Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) image and interpret this as evidence that the galaxy has recently merged but has not fully relaxed.

The fine structure index, however, is sensitive to gas-rich minor mergers that are unlikely to disturb the entire galaxy or the central parts of the galaxy from an equilibrium condition. Additionally, fine structure may be a poor measure of recent gas-poor major mergers (van Dokkum 2005; Tal et al. 2009). In the case of NGC 4382, the fine structure appears to be “Malin shells,” which can be the result of gas-poor, primarily stellar, mergers (Malin & Carter 1980; Quinn 1984). As a core elliptical...
Figure 7. Images of NGC 4382. The left panel shows the Kormendy et al. (2009) wide-field image from SDSS gri with a high-pass filter applied to bring out the fine structure, oriented such that north is up and east is left. The center panel is from F555W HST/WFPC image and shows the position of the slit with regards to the major axis. The right panel is the Lauer et al. (2005) contour of the same F555W HST/WFPC data zoomed in to show the double nucleus. The fine structure and double nucleus are evidence of a possible recent merger in this system. The double nucleus is likely a stellar eccentric nuclear disk in analogy to M31.

Figure 8. Velocity and velocity dispersion measurements from STIS from both sides of the center. The black line shows the best-fit axisymmetric model. There is a noticeable increase in $\sigma$ at $R = 40\arcsec$, which corresponds to the location of the secondary surface brightness peak, indicative of an eccentric stellar disk or torus. We indicate the radius of the sphere of influence of a black hole with mass predicted by the $M-\sigma$ relation (light gray) or with our best-fit mass (medium gray).

4.4. Resolution of the Sphere of Influence

Resolving the sphere of influence of the black hole is desireable but not necessary for measuring the mass (Gültekin et al. 2009c). As the sphere of influence is more and more poorly resolved, the uncertainties in mass increase until the estimate of black hole mass is consistent with zero or even negative (e.g., NGC 3945, Gültekin et al. 2009b). Using our measured effective velocity dispersion of $\sigma_e = 182 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$, the black hole mass predicted from the $M-\sigma$ relation is $M_{\text{BH}} = 8.8 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$. From Equation (1) the predicted sphere of influence for this object at a distance of 17.9 Mpc has radius $0\arcsec13$ or diameter $0\arcsec26$. The central resolution element of our STIS spectroscopy is $0\arcsec2$ across the slit and $0\arcsec05$ along the slit. Thus, the projection of the predicted sphere of influence fits entirely inside of the central resolution element. Based on our best-fit mass estimate of $M_{\text{BH}} = 1.3 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$, the radius of the sphere of influence is $0\arcsec03$ (diameter $0\arcsec06$), where the velocity dispersion is $145 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$. The diameter of the actual sphere of influence is thus about the size of the pixel but 3.3 times smaller than the slit width. At such low resolution, it is typical to find an upper limit to the mass of the black hole, as we have here. The $1\sigma$ upper bound to the black hole mass is $M_{\text{BH}} = 6.5 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$, which has a sphere of influence radius $0\arcsec13$, the same as the predicted sphere of influence.

4.5. Is the Black Hole Mass Anomalously Low?

Our modeling reveals that the kinematic observations are consistent with no black hole in NGC 4382 at about the $1\sigma$ level. If there were, in fact, no black hole in NGC 4382, it would be
one of only two early-type galaxies with upper limits on their black hole mass below that predicted by the scaling relations. The other is NGC 3945, but the double bars in that galaxy may prevent reliable black hole mass estimation ( Gültekin et al. 2009c). Given that our mass measurement does not completely rule out the presence of a black hole, we consider whether NGC 4382 is a unique object or merely lies along the low-end tail of the distribution of black hole masses for a given host galaxy property.

Based on the $M$–$σ$ relation ($M_{BH}/M_\odot = 10^{4.12}$ ($σ_v/200$ km s$^{-1}$)$^{1.24}$; Gültekin et al. 2009c), the mean logarithmic black hole mass for a galaxy with $σ_v = 182$ km s$^{-1}$ is log ($M_{BH}/M_\odot$) = 7.95, corresponding to $M_{BH} = 8.8 × 10^8 M_\odot$. The scatter in the $M$–$σ$ relation is lognormal with standard deviation $σ_0 = 0.31 ± 0.06$ for the population of ellipticals. Taking the scatter into account, the 68% confidence interval of black hole mass is $M_{BH} = 4.0 × 10^7$ to $1.8 × 10^8 M_\odot$, which is consistent within our $σ$ mass estimate.

To calculate the mass expected from the $M$–$L$ relation ($M_{BH}/M_\odot = 10^{8.95}(L_V/10^{11} L_\odot,V)^{1.11}$; Gültekin et al. 2009c), we must first find the luminosity of the bulge. The total luminosity of the galaxy may be obtained from $M_V = -22.54 ± 0.05$ (Kormendy et al. 2009) using log($L_V/L_\odot,V$) = 0.4(4.83$ - M_V$) (cf. Verbunt 2008). Given that we are adopting the more modern classification of E2, this galaxy is “all bulge,” and so the luminosity is $L_V = 8.9 × 10^{10} L_\odot,V$. The mean logarithmic mass for such a galaxy is log ($M_{BH}/M_\odot$) = 8.89 or $M_{BH} = 7.8 × 10^8 M_\odot$. Taking the $σ_0 = 0.38 ± 0.09$ scatter in the relation into account, the 68% confidence interval in mass is $M_{BH} = 3.0 × 10^8$ to $2.1 × 10^9 M_\odot$. The lower limit of this range is more than a factor of 2 larger than our 3$σ$ upper limit for the black hole mass. In this sense, the black hole mass is anomalously low.

In order to be consistent with the $M$–$σ$ relation but low according to the $M$–$L$ relation, NGC 4382 cannot lie on the mean Faber & Jackson (1976) $L$–$σ$ relation. In fact, core galaxies with $M_V = -22.54$ have a mean logarithmic velocity dispersion corresponding to $σ_v = 253$ km s$^{-1}$, compared to our measured value of $σ_v = 182$ km s$^{-1}$.

The stellar mass of the bulge is $M_* = L_V Y_V = 3.3 × 10^{10} M_\odot$. This is consistent with $g$- and $z$-band model magnitudes, which give $M_* = 4.0 × 10^{10} M_\odot$ (Bell et al. 2003; Gallo et al. 2010). Thus, $M_{BH}/M_* = 3.9 × 10^{-5}$ compared to the standard $1.3 × 10^{-3}$ value (Kormendy & Gebhardt 2001). Using the 3$σ$ upper mass bound, the ratio is $M_{BH}/M_* = 4.2 × 10^{-4}$, still a factor of 3 lower. In Section 4.6, we discuss how our value of $Y_V$ may be wrong because we do not include a dark matter halo in our modeling, but this is only likely to result in a small (5%–10%) decrease in $Y_V$ (Gebhardt & Thomas 2009; Schulze & Gebhardt 2010).

Like many other early-type galaxies, NGC 4382 has a flat luminosity core. It has been argued that the cores are scoured out by the inspiral of black holes during galaxy mergers (Begelman et al. 1980; Ebisuzaki & Makino 1995; Faber et al. 1997; Volonteri et al. 2003a, 2003b; Milosavljević & Merritt 2003; Lauer et al. 2007b; Kormendy & Bender 2009). This process ejects stars on elongated orbits and leads to tangentially biased stellar distribution functions (Figure 5). The galaxy’s core can be described by its stellar mass “deficit,” the mass in stars ejected from what was previously a power-law profile. The most recent numerical simulations on black hole mergers find that for nearly equal masses, the mass deficit should scale with the total mass of the binary (Merritt 2006), but for mass ratios far from unity, the mass deficit should scale with the mass of the secondary (Sesana et al. 2008).

Kormendy & Bender (2009) included NGC 4382 in their study of the correlations between black hole mass and mass deficits. They estimated $M_{BH} = 1.0 × 10^8 M_\odot$ by assuming that it follows the Tremaine et al. (2002) $M$–$σ$ relation, and they estimated $Y_V = 8.3$ by assuming that it follows $Y_V ∝ L_V^{0.36}$ due to Cappellari et al. (2006). Using the photometry from Kormendy et al. (2009), they measured a luminosity deficit of $1.6 × 10^8 L_\odot,V$. For their assumed values of $M_{BH}$ and $Y_V$, NGC 4382 lies along the same correlation between $M_{BH}$ and mass deficit as the rest of their sample (roughly $M_{deficit} = 10 M_{BH}$). Using the values for $M_{BH}$ and $Y_V$ we measure here, NGC 4382 appears to have a small black hole mass for its core mass deficit, but is consistent at the 1$σ$ level. For our best estimate of the mass, $M_{deficit}/M_{BH} = 45.6$, and for the 1$σ$ upper bound of the mass, $M_{deficit}/M_{BH} = 9.1$. Kormendy & Bender (2009) also found that the ratio of light deficit to total bulge luminosity ($L_{V,deficit}/L_V = 1.8 × 10^{-3}$ for NGC 4382) scales with the ratio of black hole mass to bulge stellar mass. Using their empirical correlation and our measured value of $M_{BH}/M_*$ predicts a ratio of $L_{V,deficit}/L_V = 2.0 × 10^{-4}$. With our 1$σ$ upper bound on $M_{BH}$, it predicts $L_{V,deficit}/L_V = 1.7 × 10^{-3}$, consistent with the observed value within the total scatter of the relation. So, it appears that, in sum, the mass of any black hole in NGC 4382 is consistent with $M$–$σ$ and core scaling properties but low based on $M$–$L$.

The low black hole mass does, however, clear up an apparent disparity in nuclear activity. The nuclear X-ray luminosity between 0.3 and 10 keV is less than $L_X < 2.7 × 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Sivakoff et al. 2003; Gallo et al. 2010). The core was also not detected in radio, typically considered a proxy for jet activity from an accreting black hole. With an X-ray limit and a radio detection, a black hole mass limit can be estimated ( Gültekin et al. 2009a). Very Large Array observations at 8.4 GHz, however, did not detect any core radio emission with a 3$σ$ upper limit of $F_{core} < 0.11$ mJy (Capetti et al. 2009). Capetti et al. (2009) note that it is concerning that a galaxy as large as NGC 4382 shows no sign of nuclear activity. Their argument is that, under the assumption that NGC 4382 hosts a $M_{BH} ∼ 10^8 M_\odot$ supermassive black hole as would be expected from galaxy properties, the absence of nuclear activity in X-ray and radio bands indicates a failure of AGN activity to trace black holes. Even a small amount of ambient gas could produce enough radio emission to be visible for a large black hole. Our black hole mass estimate, however, indicates that it is more likely that NGC 4382 either has no black hole or a black hole that is far undermassive for its host galaxy properties. Therefore, the lack of any nuclear activity accurately traces the small or non-existent black hole in line with predictions for and existing observations of the AGN fraction in Virgo cluster galaxies (Volonteri et al. 2008; Gallo et al. 2008).

### 4.6. Mass-to-light Ratio

Although this work does not unambiguously detect a black hole, the mass-to-light ratio was determined precisely, $Y_V = 3.74 ± 0.10 M_\odot/L_\odot,V$, owing to the good coverage and high quality of the SAURON data. Unfortunately, NGC 4382 was not one of the galaxies modeled by Cappellari et al. (2006) so no direct comparison can be made. Note that we do not explicitly include a dark matter halo in our modeling. This is unlikely to affect our black hole mass results on account of the high spatial resolution provided by HST/STIS (Gebhardt et al. 2011).
stellar mass-to-light ratio, however, is likely to be affected by not including this component. While the inferred stellar mass-to-light ratio changed by a factor of 2 when including or omitting a dark matter component in the modeling for M87 (Gebhardt & Thomas 2003), the high spatial resolution in our data sets is likely to result in only a small (5%–10%) decrease in \( \Upsilon_v \). Gehrels, K., Bender, R. D., Bower, G., et al. 2000, ApJ, 539, L13
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