Rice Hypersensitive Induced Reaction Protein 1 (OsHIR1) associates with plasma membrane and triggers hypersensitive cell death
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Abstract

Background: In plants, HIR (Hypersensitive Induced Reaction) proteins, members of the PID (Proliferation, Ion and Death) superfamily, have been shown to play a part in the development of spontaneous hypersensitive response lesions in leaves, in reaction to pathogen attacks. The levels of HIR proteins were shown to correlate with localized host cell deaths and defense responses in maize and barley. However, not much was known about the HIR proteins in rice. Since rice is an important cereal crop consumed by more than 50% of the populations in Asia and Africa, it is crucial to understand the mechanisms of disease responses in this plant. We previously identified the rice HIR1 (OsHIR1) as an interacting partner of the OsLRR1 (rice Leucine-Rich Repeat protein 1). Here we show that OsHIR1 triggers hypersensitive cell death and its localization to the plasma membrane is enhanced by OsLRR1.

Result: Through electron microscopy studies using wild type rice plants, OsHIR1 was found to mainly localize to the plasma membrane, with a minor portion localized to the tonoplast. Moreover, the plasma membrane localization of OsHIR1 was enhanced in transgenic rice plants overexpressing its interacting protein partner, OsLRR1. Co-localization of OsHIR1 and OsLRR1 to the plasma membrane was confirmed by double-labeling electron microscopy. Pathogen inoculation studies using transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana expressing either OsHIR1 or OsLRR1 showed that both transgenic lines exhibited increased resistance toward the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000. However, OsHIR1 transgenic plants produced more extensive spontaneous hypersensitive response lesions and contained lower titers of the invading pathogen, when compared to OsLRR1 transgenic plants.

Conclusion: The OsHIR1 protein is mainly localized to the plasma membrane, and its subcellular localization in that compartment is enhanced by OsLRR1. The expression of OsHIR1 may sensitize the plant so that it is more prone to HR and hence can react more promptly to limit the invading pathogens’ spread from the infection sites.

Background

In plants, there are no immune cells against invading pathogens. Nonetheless, they have evolved different strategies for defense [1,2]. The current model depicts that plants can recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) to trigger an immune response. If such a defense mechanism is compromised by effectors produced by the pathogens, host plants that possess resistance proteins which can recognize the effectors will still be able to trigger an immune response. Both PAMP-triggered and effector-triggered immunities may result in hypersensitive response (HR), which is characterized by the rapid and localized responses that lead to the generation of reactive oxygen species, cell wall fortification and a special form of programmed cell death (PCD), also known as hypersensitive cell death [3-5]. PCD is an important mechanism of removing unwanted cells in order to remodel newly-forming organs [6-8]. Stress-induced PCD in both plant and animal cells may involve the endomembrane system [9].

HR involves the expression of genes and the de novo synthesis of proteins that are part of several defense response signaling pathways [4,10,11]. HR-like lesions can be induced in the absence of pathogens by overexpressing defense-related genes [4,12-14]. These genes can be
categorized into 4 classes: pathogen-derived genes, genes involved in defense signal transduction, killer genes, and general metabolism-perturbing genes [13]. Furthermore, plants exhibiting transgene-induced cell death are also resistant to pathogen infection by activating the defense signaling pathways [11,13].

Hypersensitive Induced Reaction (HIR) proteins are a group of proteins involved in HR. They belong to the PID (Proliferation, Ion and Death) superfamily, whose members function in cell proliferation, ion channel regulation and cell death [15]. HIR protein expression in maize and barley is associated with localized host cell death and disease resistance responses [15,16]. Their genes are up-regulated in plant leaves during the development of spontaneous HR lesions [15-17].

Rice is an important cereal that provides calories to more than 50% of the Asian and African populations. However, rice production has suffered from various pathogenic attacks [1]. While HIR proteins from other cereals have been shown to be involved in defense responses [15,16], the information on the HIR proteins in rice is very limited. We previously identified the rice HIR1 (OsHIR1) as the interacting partner of the rice Leucine-Rich Repeat protein 1 (OsLRR1) via yeast two-hybrid and in vitro pull-down experiments [18]. OsLRR1 enters the endosomal pathway and its ectopic expression in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana can enhance the host resistance toward the virulent pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Pst) DC3000 [18].

In this study, we provide evidence to show that OsLRR1 enhances the plasma membrane localization of OsHIR1. We also demonstrate the involvement of OsHIR1 in triggering hypersensitive cell death and plant defense response using transgenic A. thaliana.

**Results**

**OsHIR1 encodes a Band 7-domain protein which is up-regulated upon pathogen challenge**

OsHIR1 was identified as a putative interacting partner of OsLRR1 [18]. The OsHIR1 protein exhibits high similarity (from 84% to 96% identity) to homologues from dicots and monocots (Figure 1a), including maize (Zea mays) [15], barley (Hordeum vulgare) [16], wheat (Triticum aestivum) [19], pepper (Capsicum spp.) [20], and A. thaliana [21,22]. For all the close homologues of OsHIR1, computational analysis [23,24] reveals a putative N-myristoylation site at the N-terminus, followed by a transmembrane domain that is embedded within a Band 7-domain, which covers most of the OsHIR1 protein (Figure 1b). In an unrooted phylogenetic tree (Figure 1c), HIR proteins can be further divided into two branches: dicots and monocots. Among HIR homologues from monocots, the OsHIR1 shares the highest similarity with the maize ZmHIR1 (96% identity).

To show that OsHIR1 is related to the plant defense response, we investigated whether its gene expression is responsive to pathogen challenge. Northern and western blot analyses showed that both the mRNA and protein levels of OsHIR1 increased after the rice plant was inoculated with the pathogen Xoo LN44 (Figure 1d). On the other hand, no such change was observed after mock treatment (Figure 1d).

**Subcellular localization of OsHIR1 and the possible interaction with OsLRR1**

We previously reported that the OsHIR1 proteins were retained in the membrane-associated protein fraction and might be localized to the plasma membrane [18]. However, a more detailed electron microscopy analysis showed that a minor portion of OsHIR1 signals could also be found to the tonoplast (Figure 2a, lower left panel).

To study the possible effects of OsLRR1 on the subcellular localization of OsHIR1, we constructed transgenic rice lines overexpressing OsLRR1. A transgenic line that exhibited a high level of OsLRR1 gene expression was chosen for subsequent electron microscopy analysis (Figure 2b). Interestingly, in addition to the elevated level of OsLRR1 mRNA, the expression of the OsHIR1 gene in the OsLRR1 transgenic line was also enhanced (Figure 2b).

Immuno-gold electron microscopy studies showed that not only the signal density of the OsLRR1 proteins, but also that of the OsHIR1 proteins, in the plasma membrane, was increased in the OsLRR1 overexpressing line by at least two folds, when compared to the untransformed control (Figure 2c). On the other hand, there was no significant difference (Students t-test, p < 0.05) between the number of OsHIR1 signals in the tonoplast of the OsLRR1 overexpressing line and that in the untransformed control. These results indicated that OsLRR1 enhanced the plasma membrane localization of OsHIR1.

To further confirm the in vivo interaction between OsHIR1 and OsLRR1 in the plasma membrane, a double labeling experiment was performed using rabbit anti-OsLRR1 antibodies and mouse anti-OsHIR1 antibodies. Secondary antibodies conjugated with gold particles of different sizes (6 nm anti-rabbit IgG and 15 nm anti-mouse IgG) were employed to distinguish between the two target proteins. Proximal occurrences of large and small gold particles were detected in the plasma membrane (Figure 2d), supporting the notion that OsLRR1 and OsHIR1 co-localized and interacted in the plasma membrane.

**Ectopic expression of the OsHIR1 can cause spontaneous hypersensitive response lesions in the leaves of transgenic A. thaliana**

To perform a rapid gain-of-function test of OsHIR1, transgenic A. thaliana plants ectopically expressing
Figure 1 Structural domains and phylogenetic relationships of OsHIR1 homologues and expression of OsHIR1 under pathogen inoculation. (a) Alignment of OsHIR1 homologues in plants. "*" represents conserved amino acid residues, "*" conserved substitutions, and "*" semi-conserved amino acid substitutions. (b) Schematic representation of the conserved structural domains in OsHIR1 and its homologues. (c) Phylogenetic analysis of OsHIR1 and its published plant homologues. (d) The mRNA and protein levels of OsHIR1 0, 2, 4 and 6 days after inoculation of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) race LN44 or mock treatment by a leaf-clipping method. Ten μg total RNA and 10 μg total protein were loaded onto each lane.
Figure 2 Regulation of the subcellular localization of OsHIR1 by OsLRR1. (a)Immuno-gold electron microscopy studies. Anti-OsLRR1 and anti-HIR1 antibodies were used to detect the subcellular localization of OsLRR1 and OsHIR1, respectively, in rice leaves. PM: Plasma membrane; TN: Tonoplast. (b) Expression of OsLRR1 and OsHIR1 in an OsLRR1 overexpressing rice line. Real-time RT-PCR analysis was performed to compare the relative gene expression (expression in untransformed control was set to 1). Error bars show the standard errors (N = 3). (c) Semi-quantitative analysis of OsHIR1 and OsLRR1 electron microscopy signals in the untransformed control and the OsLRR1 overexpressing rice line. The immuno-gold-labeled signal counting was described in Methods. Error bars show the standard errors (N = 10). * in (b) and (c) indicates that the difference is significant (p < 0.05, Student’s t-test) between the transformants and the untransformed wild type. (d) Double labeling of OsHIR1 and OsLRR1. Two independent photos were shown to illustrate the co-localization of OsHIR1 (15 nm gold particles) and OsLRR1 (6 nm gold particles) to the plasma membrane. PM: Plasma membrane; CW: Cell wall.
OsHIR1 were generated. Three weeks after germination, the leaves of about 20% of the OsHIR1 transgenic plants (Col-0/OsHIR1) exhibited white spontaneous HR lesions located randomly at the margins and tips (Figure 3a, red arrows). As negative controls, the untransformed wild type (Col-0) and transgenic plants with the empty vector (Col-0/V7) exhibited normal growth. Transgenic plants expressing OsLRR1 (Col-0/OsLRR1) did not exhibit visible differences in the size, shape, or color of the leaves, when compared to the negative controls (Figure 3a).

To further observe the effect of OsHIR1 on cell death, lactophenol-trypan blue staining was performed using the leaves of the transgenic A. thaliana. The expression of OsHIR1 caused extensive spontaneous cell death (Figure 3b, black arrows). On the other hand, the expression of OsLRR1 only resulted in very mild spontaneous cell death (Figure 3b). This explains the lack of visible lesions found in OsLRR1 transgenic plants (Figure 3a). No spontaneous cell death was observed in the untransformed control and transgenic plants containing the empty vector (Figure 3b).

**Ectopic expression of OsHIR1 in transgenic A. thaliana enhances resistance to P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000)**

Previous studies indicated that the ectopic expression of OsLRR1, the interacting protein partner of OsHIR1, can enhance resistance toward bacterial pathogens in transgenic A. thaliana [18]. Using a similar experimental approach, we tested the effects of OsHIR1 in A. thaliana on the Pst DC3000-induced disease. Since OsHIR1 transgenic plants exhibiting extensive spontaneous HR responses under normal growth conditions would eventually die, we chose those individual plants that exhibited the mildest spontaneous HR responses for the subsequent pathogen inoculation tests. The expression of OsHIR1 in these plants was confirmed by RT-PCR (data not shown).

When the untransformed wild type (Col-0) or A. thaliana transformed with the empty vector cassette (Col-0/V7) was inoculated with the pathogen Pst DC3000, disease symptoms (yellowing and necrosis) gradually appeared and the infected areas spread out from the original inoculation sites (Figure 4a). Such symptoms were alleviated in the transgenic line expressing OsLRR1, consistent with the results of our previous study [18]. The spread of pathogen infection was also suppressed by the ectopic expression of OsHIR1 (Figure 4a). Consistent with these visible symptoms, transgenic plants expressing either OsLRR1 or OsHIR1 exhibited a lower titer of pathogens when compared to Col-0 and the empty vector control (Figure 4b). However, the OsHIR1 transgenic lines showed a stronger effect on lowering the pathogen titer when compared to the OsLRR1 transgenic line (Figure 4b).

The expression levels of PR1 and PR2, two defense marker genes in the salicylic acid pathway related to the defense against biotrophic pathogens such as Pst DC3000 [25], were monitored in both mock- (Figure 4c)
and pathogen-inoculated (Figure 4d) plants. In both mock-treated and pathogen-inoculated plants, the expression levels of PR1 and PR2 were elevated in both OsHIR1 and OsLRR1 transgenic plants when compared to Col-0 and transgenic plants containing the empty vector cassette. However, the OsHIR1 transgenic plants exhibited significantly higher levels of PR1 and PR2 gene induction than the OsLRR1 transgenic plants ($p < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

OsHIR1 is a member of the Band 7-domain-containing proteins (Figure 1). Many of these proteins are lipid raft-associated and may cluster to form membrane micro-domains, and in turn recruit multi-protein complexes functioning in membrane trafficking and signal transduction [26]. Signaling components found in plasma membrane lipid rafts may play important roles in defense responses. For example, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, RING1, is induced by pathogen infection, localizes to plasma membrane lipid rafts, and can trigger programmed cell death in *A. thaliana* [27].

Here the membrane localization of OsHIR1 was confirmed with electron microscopy studies (Figure 2). We also showed that OsHIR1 and OsLRR1 co-localized to...
the plasma membrane (Figure 2), possibly via lipid rafts. This result further confirms the tight interaction between OsHIR1 and OsLRR1 previously shown by yeast two-hybrid and in vitro pull-down assays [18]. Overexpressing OsLRR1 can induce the expression of OsHIR1 gene and can increase the portion of OsHIR1 localized to the plasma membrane (Figure 2). Therefore, it is likely that the function of OsHIR1 is regulated by its interacting partner OsLRR1.

It is an interesting observation that a minor portion of OsHIR1 is localized to the tonoplast (Figure 2). Although it has not been explicitly discussed in previous researches, proteomics studies have identified rice and Arabidopsis HIR1 homologues in both the plasma membrane and vacuole protein fractions [21,22,28-31]. A recent report showed that the vacuolar contents discharged and accumulated in the extracellular space could induce hypersensitive cell death [32]. However, the biological significance of the tonoplast localization of OsHIR1 remains unclear at this point.

OsLRR1 is a positive signaling component of plant defense responses [18]. The regulatory actions of OsLRR1 on the expression and localization of OsHIR1 suggest that OsHIR1 may be downstream of OsLRR1 in a defense response pathway. Previous studies of HIR1 homologues from maize, barley, and pepper indicated that they are associated with HR and disease resistance [15,16,20].

In transgenic A. thaliana ectopically expressing OsHIR1, a portion of plants underwent uncontrolled spontaneous HR (Figure 3) and eventually died. OsHIR1 transgenic plants with the mildest spontaneous HR phenotype could survive and were more resistant to the bacterial pathogen Pst DC3000. The protective effects of OsHIR1 included the alleviation of disease symptoms, the lowering of pathogen titers, and the increased expression of defense marker genes. Similar effects could be obtained by expressing OsLRR1, the interacting protein partner of OsHIR1 [18] (Figure 4). In general, OsHIR1 showed a stronger enhancing effect on disease resistance when compared to OsLRR1. In the native system, OsLRR1, which is trafficked in the endosomal pathway, may participate in the surveillance of pathogen-related signals and then induce the production and regulate the plasma membrane localization of OsHIR1. It is likely that the protective function of OsLRR1 is at least in part mediated through OsHIR1.

**Conclusion**

The OsHIR1 protein identified in rice is mainly localized to the plasma membrane where it may co-localize and interact with the OsLRR1 protein. The overexpression of OsLRR1 can enhance the plasma membrane localization of OsHIR1. Ectopic expression of either OsHIR1 or OsLRR1 can cause spontaneous hypersensitive cell death and increased resistance toward bacterial pathogens, with OsHIR1 demonstrating a more pronounced effect than OsLRR1. We speculate that the expression of OsHIR1 may sensitize the plant so that it is more prone to HR and hence can react more promptly to restrict the spread of the invading pathogens from the infection sites. OsLRR1 may act as a regulator for the functions of OsHIR1.

**Methods**

**Plant materials, chemicals, reagents and primers.** A. thaliana wild-type Col-0 and Oryza sativa cultivar SN1033 are laboratory stocks. The Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000) was a gift from Dr. C. Lo (HKU). Enzymes and reagents for molecular studies were from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA), Clontech Laboratories, Inc. (Palo Alto, CA), Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA), Promega Biosciences (San Luis Obispo, CA), and Roche Diagnostik Ltd (Basel, Switzerland). DNA oligos were from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, IA), Invitrogen Corp. (Carlsbad, CA), and Tech Dragon Ltd. (Hong Kong). Chemicals for plant growth and tissue cultures were from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO). The soil for A. thaliana cultivation was from Florgard Vertriebs GmbH (Gerhard-Stalling, Germany).

**RNA extraction, cDNA preparation, real-time PCR and northern blot analysis.** RNA extraction, cDNA preparation, and real-time PCR were performed as previously described [18,33-35]. For real-time PCR, at least two biological repeats were performed. All experiments were done with at least four technical replicates and at least three sets of consistent data were used for analysis. The expression levels of the A. thaliana UBQ10 gene (AtUBQ10; GenBank accession number AY139999; [36]) with the primer set 5’-GGCCTTGTATAATCCCTGATGAATAAG-3’ and 5’-AAAGAGATAACAGGAACGGAAACATAGT-3’; the O. sativa UBQ5 gene (OsUBQ5; GenBank accession number AK061988; [37]) with the primer set 5’-ACCACTTTGCAGCCGCACTACT-3’ and 5’-AGGCC-TAAGCTGCTGGTT-3’ were used for normalization in A. thaliana and O. sativa respectively. The relative gene expression was calculated using the 2^(-ΔΔCT) method [38].

Other primer sets for real-time PCR studies include AtPRI: 5’-AATCAACACTACGCTGCGAACAC-3’ and 5’-CTTCCTGCAGATTAATCCCAAC-3’; AtPR2: 5’-CGCCAGTCCGCTGTTGATA-3’ and 5’-ACCCAGGTATCCACGC-3’; OsHIR1: 5’-CCCTGGTGCATAGGGAAGCA-3’ and 5’-CGTCTG ATGCTT CTCAGCAA-3’.
Northern blot analyses were performed as described [33,35] using antisense single-stranded DNA probes labeled with digoxigenin (DIG) (Roche, Germany) [39].

**Plant growth and pathogen inoculation**

Rice lines were grown on soil in a greenhouse under natural sunlight for 4 to 5 weeks. Pathogen inoculations were performed using *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) race LN44 by a leaf-clipping method [34,40,41]. The same procedure was used for mock treatment except that the pathogen was replaced with water. The day 0 sample was collected before treatment. Other samples were collected at 2, 4, and 6 days after treatment at around the same time of day (between 08:00 and 10:00 am).

For pathogen inoculation tests in *A. thaliana*, seedlings were first grown on Murashige & Skoog salt mixture agar plates for 2 weeks before being transferred to Floragard potting soil and cultivated in a growth chamber (22-24°C; relative humidity 70-80%; light intensity 80-120 μE on a 16 h light-8 h dark cycle). Preparation of the *Pst* DC3000 culture, inoculation (by syringe infiltration) of 0.1 ml inoculums at a concentration of 10^6 colony-forming unit/ml in 10 mM MgSO_4_ supplemented with 0.02% (v/v) Silwet L-77, and subsequent pathogen titer determination at 5 days post-inoculation were performed as previously described [42]. For the pathogen titer measurement, leaf discs were macerated and extracted with 10 mM MgSO_4_, and the results were obtained from plate counting [42]. Error bars are standard errors of the pathogen titer calculated from samples collected from 3 individual plants each consists of 3 leaf discs.

**Transgenic plant construction**

To construct transgenic rice lines overexpressing OsLRR1, the full-length coding region of OsLRR1 was subcloned into the binary vector pSB130 [43], using the primer set 5’-CCGAATTCATGGGGCGGGGCGCATG-3’ and 5’-CAGGTCGACGCTAGCAGTTGGTGTCATATACAG-3’. Constitutive expression was driven by the *Zea mays* ubiquitin promoter [44]. The recombinant construct was introduced into the japonica rice SN1033 via an *Agrobacterium*-mediated protocol [45,46] using the *A. tumefaciens* strain EHA105.

Transgenic *A. thaliana* expressing OsLRR1 was from our previous work [18]. To construct transgenic *A. thaliana* expressing OsHIR1, a cDNA clone containing the full-length coding region was inserted into a binary vector (V7; [47]) and placed under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter using the primer set 5’-AGTTCTAGATGGGTCAACGCATCGGTTCGGTAC-3’ and 5’-AAAAATCTAAGTATCTAAGCTGTTTTGGCCTGGAGCTG-3’. *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *A. thaliana* was done as described previously [48]. T3 homozygous lines carrying a single insertion locus were used in this study.

**Electron microscopy studies**

For single labeling experiments, the embedding, sectioning, and immunolabeling steps were performed as described [18,49] using mouse anti-OsHIR1 serum or rabbit anti-OsLRR1 serum [18]. All the sections were captured by formvar-coated 100 mesh nickel grid (Cat. No. G100H-Ni, Electron Microscopy Sciences). The subcellular localization of targeted proteins were subsequently detected by gold-labeled secondary antibodies (1:50 in 1% PBS-BSA) against mouse (EMS25173) or rabbit (EMS25109) IgGs. Aqueous uranyl acetate/lead citrate post-stained sections were examined with the Hitachi H-7650 transmission electron microscope operating at 80 kV. Background signals were monitored by negative control experiments without the application of the primary antibodies [18]. All images were captured at regions showing clear plasma membrane and tonoplast, with the magnification between 50,000× to 80,000×. At least ten randomly selected areas (1-2 μm^2) per section were used for counting the density of immuno-gold-labeled dots (number of dots per μm^2) for statistical analysis.

For double labeling experiments, tissues were collected from the untransformed control. Sample preparation, labeling, post-staining, and detection procedures were the same as in single labeling experiments, except that rabbit anti-OsLRR1 serum and mouse anti-OsHIR1 serum (both 1:50 in 1% PBS-BSA) were applied simultaneously to the sample grid to detect the target proteins. Goat anti-rabbit IgG (6 nm gold particle: EMS25104) and goat anti-mouse IgG + IgM (15 nm gold particle: EMS 25173) were applied simultaneously to detect the primary antibodies.

**Western blot analysis**

Total proteins were extracted [49] and electrophoretically separated on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel (4% stacking; 12.5% resolving) before being transferred to an activated polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane pre-treated with absolute methanol for 5 min followed by protein transfer buffer for another 5 min, using the Bio-Rad Mini Trans-Blot™ Electrophoretic Transfer Cell (170-3930; Bio-Rad). The blotting, blocking (with Western Breeze™ blocking solution), and detection (using the Western Breeze™ Immunodetection Kit; WB7106, Invitrogen) procedures were performed according to the manufacturer’s manuals.

Primary antibodies against the OsHIR1 protein [18] were used. Anti-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to an alkaline phosphatase (provided in the Western
Breeze™ Immunodetection Kit) were used for primary antibody recognition.

Lactophenol-trypan blue staining
Spontaneous cell death was detected using lactophenol-trypan blue staining as previously described [50].

Bioinformatics analysis
Alignment of amino acid sequences was done using the ClustalW2 program http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/. The GenBank accession numbers of HIR1 homologues in this work are: rice OsHIR1 (accession no. NM_001068279), barley HvHIR1 (accession no. AY137511), wheat TaHIR1 (accession no. EFS14209), maize ZmHIR1 (accession no. NM_001112153), pepper CaHIR1 (accession no. AY529867), and Arabidopsis AtHIR1 (accession no. NM_125669). The putative N-myristoylation site was predicted by ScanProsite [23] and CSS-Palm 2.0 [24]. The putative transmembrane domain was predicted by TopPred [51].

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences v. 15.0.
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