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Abstract. We make use of the Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones to prove existence of positive solutions to a non local \(p\)-Laplace boundary value problem on time scales arising in many applications.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence of positive solutions for the following non local \(p\)-Laplacian dynamic equation on a time scale \(\mathbb{T}\):

\[
-\left(\phi_p\left(u^{\Delta}(t)\right)\right)^\nabla = \frac{\lambda f(u(t))}{\left(\int_0^T f(u(\tau)) \nabla \tau\right)^k}, \quad \forall t \in (0, T_T = T),
\]

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
\phi_p(u^\nabla(0)) - \beta \phi_p(u^\nabla(\eta)) = 0, \quad 0 < \eta < T,
\]

\[
u(T) - \beta u(\eta) = 0,
\]

where \(\phi_p(\cdot)\) is the \(p\)-Laplacian operator defined by \(\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s, \ p > 1, \ (\phi_p)^{-1} = \phi_q\) with \(q\) the Holder conjugate of \(p\), i.e. \(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1\). Function \(f : (0, T)_T \to \mathbb{R}^+\) is assumed to be continuous \((\mathbb{R}^+\) denotes the positive real numbers); \(\lambda\) is a dimensionless parameter that can be identified with the square of the applied potential difference at the ends of a conductor; \(f(u)\) is the temperature dependent resistivity of the conductor; \(\beta\) is a transfer coefficient supposed to verify \(0 < \beta < 1\). Different values for \(p\) and \(k\) are connected with a variety of applications for both \(\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}\). When \(k > 1\), equation (1.1) represents the thermo-electric flow in a conductor [20]. In the particular case \(p = k = 2\), (1.1) has been used to describe the operation of thermistors, fuse wires, electric arcs and fluorescent lights [11, 12, 18, 19]. For \(k = 1\), equation (1.1) models the phenomena associated with the occurrence of shear bands (i) in metals being deformed under high strain rates [6, 7], (ii) in the theory of gravitational equilibrium of polytropic stars [17], (iii) in the investigation of the fully turbulent behavior of real flows, using invariant measures for the Euler equation [10], (iv) in modelling aggregation of cells via interaction with a chemical substance (chemotaxis) [22].

The theory of dynamic equations on time scales (or, more generally, measure chains) was introduced in 1988 by Stefan Hilger in his PhD thesis (see [14, 15]). The theory presents a structure where, once a result is established for a general time scale, then special cases include a result for differential equations (obtained by taking the time scale to be the real numbers) and a result for difference equations (obtained by taking the time scale to be the integers). A great deal of work has been done since 1988, unifying and extending the theories of differential and difference equations, and many results are
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now available in the general setting of time scales – see [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9] and references therein. We point out, however, that results concerning \( p \)-Laplacian problems on time scales are scarce [21]. In this paper we prove existence of positive solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) on a general time scale \( \mathbb{T} \).

2. Preliminaries

Our main tool to prove existence of positive solutions (Theorem 3.3) is the Guo-Krasnosel’skii fixed point theorem on cones.

**Theorem 2.1** (Guo-Krasnosel’skii fixed point theorem on cones [13, 16]). Let \( X \) be a Banach space and \( K \subset E \) be a cone in \( X \). Assume that \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) are bounded open subsets of \( K \) with \( 0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2 \) and that \( G : K \to K \) is a completely continuous operator such that

(i) either \( \|Gw\| \leq \|w\|, \ w \in \partial \Omega_1 \), and \( \|Gw\| \geq \|w\|, \ w \in \partial \Omega_2 \); or

(ii) \( \|Gw\| \geq \|w\|, \ w \in \partial \Omega_1 \), and \( \|Gw\| \leq \|w\|, \ w \in \partial \Omega_2 \).

Then, \( G \) has a fixed point in \( \overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1 \).

Using properties of \( f \) on a bounded set \((0, T)_{\mathbb{T}}\), we construct an operator (an integral equation) whose fixed points are solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Now we introduce some basic concepts of time scales that are needed in the sequel. For deeper details the reader can see, for instance, [1, 5, 8]. A time scale \( \mathbb{T} \) is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of \( \mathbb{R} \). The forward jump operator \( \sigma \) and the backward jump operator \( \rho \), both from \( \mathbb{T} \) to \( \mathbb{T} \), are defined in [14]:

\[
\sigma(t) = \inf \{ \tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau > t \} \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \rho(t) = \sup \{ \tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau < t \} \in \mathbb{T}.
\]

A point \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, or right-scattered if \( \rho(t) = t, \rho(t) < t, \sigma(t) = t, \) or \( \sigma(t) > t \), respectively. If \( \mathbb{T} \) has a right scattered minimum \( m \), define \( \mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T} - \{m\} \); otherwise set \( \mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T} \). If \( \mathbb{T} \) has a left scattered maximum \( M \), define \( \mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} - \{M\} \); otherwise set \( \mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} \).

Let \( f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( t \in \mathbb{T}^k \) (assume \( t \) is not left-scattered if \( t = \sup \mathbb{T} \)), then the delta derivative of \( f \) at the point \( t \) is defined to be the number \( f^\Delta(t) \) (provided it exists) with the property that for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is a neighborhood \( U \) of \( t \) such that

\[
|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq |\sigma(t) - s|, \quad \text{for all } s \in U.
\]

Similarly, for \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) (assume \( t \) is not right-scattered if \( t = \inf \mathbb{T} \)), the nabla derivative of \( f \) at the point \( t \) is defined to be the number \( f^\nabla(t) \) (provided it exists) with the property that for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is a neighborhood \( U \) of \( t \) such that

\[
|f(\rho(t)) - f(s) - f^\nabla(t)(\rho(t) - s)| \leq |\rho(t) - s|, \quad \text{for all } s \in U.
\]

If \( \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \), then \( x^\Delta(t) = x^\nabla(t) = x'(t) \). If \( \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z} \), then \( x^\Delta(t) = x(t+1) - x(t) \) is the forward difference operator while \( x^\nabla(t) = x(t) - x(t-1) \) is the backward difference operator.

A function \( f \) is left-dense continuous (ld-continuous) if \( f \) is continuous at each left-dense point in \( \mathbb{T} \) and its right-sided limit exists at each right-dense point in \( \mathbb{T} \). Let \( f \) be ld-continuous. If \( F^\nabla(t) = f(t) \), then the nabla integral is defined by

\[
\int_a^b f(t)\nabla t = F(b) - F(a);
\]

if \( F^\Delta(t) = f(t) \), then the delta integral is defined by

\[
\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = F(b) - F(a).
\]
In the rest of this article \( \mathbb{T} \) is a closed subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 \in \mathbb{T}, T \in \mathbb{T}^k \); \( E = C_{id}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \), which is a Banach space with the maximum norm \( \|u\| = \max_{[0,T]} |u(t)| \).

3. Main Results

By a positive solution of (1.1)-(1.2) we understand a function \( u(t) \) which is positive on \( (0, T) \) and satisfies (1.1) and (1.2).

Lemma 3.1. Assume that hypothesis \((H1)\) is satisfied. Then, \( u(t) \) is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if \( u(t) \in E \) is solution of the integral equation

\[
    u(t) = -\int_0^t \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + B,
\]

where

\[
    g(s) = \int_0^s \lambda h(u(r))\nabla r - A,
\]

\[
    A = \phi_p(u^\Delta(0)) = -\frac{\lambda \beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^\eta h(u(r))\nabla r,
\]

\[
    h(u(t)) = \frac{\lambda f(u(t))}{(\int_0^T f(u(\tau))\nabla \tau)^k},
\]

\[
    B = u(0) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left\{ \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s - \beta \int_0^\eta \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \right\}.
\]

Proof. We begin by proving necessity. Integrating the equation (1.1) we have

\[
    \phi_p(u^\Delta(s)) = \phi_p(u^\Delta(0)) - \int_0^s \lambda h(u(r))\nabla r.
\]

On the other hand, by the boundary condition (1.2)

\[
    \phi_p(u^\Delta(0)) = \beta \phi_p(u^\Delta(\eta)) = \beta \left( \phi_p(u^\Delta(0)) - \int_0^\eta \lambda h(u(r))\nabla r \right).
\]

Then,

\[
    A = \phi_p(u^\Delta(0)) = -\frac{\lambda \beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^\eta h(u(r))\nabla r.
\]

It follows that

\[
    u^\Delta(s) = \phi_q \left( -\lambda \int_0^s h(u(r))\nabla r + A \right) = -\phi_q(g(s)).
\]

Integrating the last equation we obtain

\[
    (3.1) \quad u(t) = u(0) - \int_0^t \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s.
\]

Moreover, by (3.1) and the boundary condition (1.2), we have

\[
    u(0) = u(T) + \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
    = \beta u(\eta) + \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
    = \beta \left( u(0) - \int_0^\eta \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \right) + \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s.
\]
Then,
\[
  u(0) = B = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left( -\beta \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \right).
\]

Sufficiency follows by a simple calculation, taking the delta derivative of \(u(t)\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose \((H1)\) holds. Then, a solution \(u\) of (1.1)-(1.2) satisfies \(u(t) \geq 0\) for all \(t \in (0, T)\).

**Proof.** We have \(A = \frac{-\lambda \beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T h(u(r)) \nabla r \leq 0\). Then, \(g(s) = \lambda \int_0^s h(u(r)) - A \geq 0\). It follows that \(\phi_p(g(s)) \geq 0\). Since \(0 < \beta < 1\), we also have
\[
  u(0) = B = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left\{ \beta \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s - \beta \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \right\}
\]
\[
\geq 0.
\]

and
\[
  u(T) = u(0) - \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
\]
\[
= \frac{-\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s - \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
\]
\[
= \frac{-\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
\]
\[
= \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \left\{ \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s - \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \right\}
\]
\[
\geq 0.
\]

If \(t \in (0, T)\),
\[
  u(t) = u(0) - \int_0^t \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
\]
\[
\geq -\int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + u(0) = u(T)
\]
\[
\geq 0.
\]

\(\square\)

**Lemma 3.3.** If \((H1)\) holds, then \(u(T) \geq \rho u(0)\), where \(\rho = \frac{\beta T - \eta}{T - \beta \eta} \geq 0\).

**Proof.** We have \(\phi_p(u^\Delta(s)) = \phi_p(u^\Delta(0)) - \int_0^s \lambda h(u(r)) \nabla r \leq 0\). Since \(A = \phi_p(u^\Delta(0)) \leq 0\), then \(u^\Delta \leq 0\). This means that \(\|u\| = u(0)\), \(\inf_{t \in (0, T)} u(t) = u(T)\). Moreover, \(\phi_p(u^\Delta(s))\) is non increasing which implies with the monotonicity of \(\phi_p\) that \(u^\Delta\) is a non increasing function on \((0, T)\). It follows from the concavity of \(u(t)\) that each point on the chord between \((0, u(0))\) and \((T, u(T))\) is below the graph of \(u(t)\). We have
\[
  u(T) \geq u(0) + T \frac{u(T) - u(\eta)}{T - \eta}.
\]

On other terms,
\[
  T u(\eta) - \eta u(T) \geq (T - \eta) u(0).
\]
Using the boundary condition (1.2), it follows that
\[
\left( \frac{T}{\beta} - \eta \right) u(T) \geq (T - \eta)u(0).
\]
Then,
\[
u(T) \geq \beta \frac{T - \eta}{T - \beta \eta} u(0).
\]

In order to apply Theorem 2.1, we define the cone \(K\) by
\[
K = \{ u \in E, u \text{ is concave on } (0, T) \text{ and } \inf_{t \in (0, T)} u(t) \geq \rho \| u \| \}.
\]
It is easy to see that (1.1)-(1.2) has a solution \(u = u(t)\) if and only if \(u\) is a fixed point of the operator \(G : K \rightarrow E\) defined by
\[
(3.2)\quad Gu(t) = -\int_0^t \phi_q (g(s)) \Delta s + B,
\]
where \(g\) and \(B\) are defined as in Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \(G\) be defined by (3.2). Then,

(i): \(G(K) \subseteq K\);

(ii): \(G : K \rightarrow K\) is completely continuous.

**Proof.** Condition (i) holds from previous lemmas. We now prove (ii). Suppose that \(D \subseteq K\) is a bounded set. Let \(u \in D\). We have:
\[
|Gu(t)| = \left| -\int_0^t \phi_q (g(s)) \Delta s + B \right|
\leq \int_0^T \phi_q \left( \int_0^s \frac{\lambda f(u(r))}{(\int_0^T f(u(\tau)) \Delta \tau)^k} \nabla r - A \right) \Delta s + |B|,
\]
\[
|A| = \left| \frac{\lambda \beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{\eta} h(u(r)) \nabla r \right|
\leq \frac{\lambda \beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{\eta} \frac{f(u(r))}{(\int_0^T f(u(\tau)) \Delta \tau)^k} \nabla r \right|.
\]

In the same way, we have
\[
|B| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q (g(s)) \Delta s
\leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda \sup_{u \in D} f(u)}{(\inf_{u \in D} f(u))^k} \left( s + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right) \Delta s.
\]

It follows that
\[
|Gu(t)| \leq \int_0^T \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda \sup_{u \in D} f(u)}{(\inf_{u \in D} f(u))^k} \left( s + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right) \Delta s + |B|.
\]
As a consequence, we get
\[ \|Gu\| \leq \frac{2 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda \sup_{u \in D} f(u)}{(T \inf_{u \in D})^k} \left( s + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{2}{1 - \beta} \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda \sup_{u \in D} f(u)}{(T \inf_{u \in D})^k} \right) \int_0^T \phi_q \left( s + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \Delta s. \]

We conclude that \( G(D) \) is bounded. Item (ii) follows by a standard application of Arzela-Ascoli and Lebesgue dominated theorems.

**Theorem 3.5 (Existence result on cones).** Suppose that (H1) holds. Assume furthermore that there exist two positive numbers \( a \) and \( b \) such that

(H2): \( \max_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u) \leq \phi_p(aA_1) \),

(H3): \( \min_{0 \leq u \leq b} f(u) \geq \phi_p(bB_1) \),

where
\[ A_1 = \frac{1 - \beta}{T(2 - \beta)} \phi_p \left( \frac{1}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right) \]
and
\[ B_1 = \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta(T - \eta)} \phi_p(\eta) \phi_p \left( \frac{\lambda}{(T \sup_{0 \leq u \leq b} f(u))^k} \right). \]

Then, there exists \( 0 < \lambda_* < 1 \) such that the non local \( p \)-Laplacian problem \((1.1)-(1.2)\) has at least one positive solution \( \bar{u} \), \( a \leq \bar{u} \leq b \), for any \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_*) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \Omega_r = \{ u \in K, \|u\| \leq r \} \), \( \partial \Omega_r = \{ u \in K, \|u\| = r \} \). If \( u \in \partial \Omega_a \), then \( 0 \leq u \leq a \), \( t \in (0, T) \). This implies \( f(u(t)) \leq \max_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u) \leq \phi_p(aA) \). We can write that
\[ \|Gu\| \leq \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + B \]
\[ \leq \int_0^T \phi_q \left( \int_0^s \frac{\lambda f(u(r))}{\left( \int_0^T f(u(\tau)) \right)^k} \Delta r - A \right) \Delta s + B, \]
\[ |A| = \frac{\lambda \beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^\eta \frac{f(u(r))}{\left( \int_0^T f(u(\tau)) \right)^k} \Delta r \leq \frac{\lambda \beta}{1 - \beta} \left( \frac{\lambda(aA_1)^{p-1}}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \right) \eta, \]
\[ g(s) \leq \frac{\lambda(aA_1)^{p-1}}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right). \]

Then,
\[ \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \leq \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda(aA_1)^{p-1}}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right) T \]
\[ = aA_1 T \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right). \]

Moreover,
\[ B = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left( \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s - \beta \int_0^\eta \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left( \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s \right) \]
\[ \leq aA_1 \frac{T}{1 - \beta} \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right). \]
For $A_1$ as in the statement of the theorem, it follows that
\[
\|Gu\| \leq a A_1 T \frac{2 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda}{(T \sup_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \phi_q(\lambda) a A_1 T \frac{2 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \phi_q \left( \frac{1}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \phi_q(\lambda) a A_1 T \frac{2 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \phi_q \left( \frac{1}{(T \inf_{0 \leq u \leq a} f(u))^k} \left( T + \frac{\beta \eta}{1 - \beta} \right) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \phi_q(\lambda) a
\]
\[
\leq a = \|u\|.
\]

If $u \in \partial \Omega_b$, we have
\[
\|Gu\| \geq - \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + B
\]
\[
\geq - \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s + \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^\eta \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
\]
\[
\geq \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^\eta \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s
\]
\[
\geq \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^T \phi_q(g(s)) \Delta s.
\]

Since $A \leq 0$, we have
\[
g(s) = \lambda \int_0^s h(u(r)) \nabla r - A \geq \lambda \int_0^s h(u(r)) \nabla r
\]
\[
\geq \lambda \int_0^s \frac{f(u)}{(T \sup_{0 \leq u \leq b} f(u))^k}
\]
\[
\geq \lambda \frac{(B_1)_{p - 1}}{(T \sup_{0 \leq u \leq b})^k} s.
\]

Using the fact that $\phi_q$ is nondecreasing we get
\[
\phi_q(g(s)) \geq \phi_q \left( \lambda \frac{(B_1)_{p - 1}}{(T \sup_{0 \leq u \leq b})^k} s \right)
\]
\[
\geq b B_1 \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda}{(T \sup f(u))^k} \right) \phi_q(s).
\]

Then, using the expression of $B_1$,
\[
\|Gu\| \geq \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} b B_1 \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda}{(T \sup f(u))^k} \right) \int_0^\eta \phi_q(s) \Delta s
\]
\[
\geq b B_1 \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \phi_q \left( \frac{\lambda}{(T \sup f(u))^k} \right) \phi_q(\eta)(T - \eta)
\]
\[
\geq b = \|u\|.
\]

As a consequence of Lemma \[3.4\] and Theorem \[2.1\] $G$ has a fixed point theorem $\varpi$ such that $a \leq \varpi \leq b$. \qed
4. An Example

We consider a function \( f \) which arises with the negative coefficient thermistor (NTC-thermistor). For this example the electrical resistivity decreases with the temperature.

**Corollary 4.1.** Assume \((H1)\) holds. If

\[
    f_0 = \lim_{u \to 0} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_p(u)} = 0, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_p(u)} = +\infty,
\]

or

\[
    f_0 = +\infty, \quad f_\infty = 0,
\]

then problem \((1.1)-(1.2)\) has at least a positive solution.

**Proof.** If \( f_0 = 0 \) then \( \forall A_1 > 0 \exists a \) such that \( f(u) \leq (A_1 u)^{p-1}, \) \( 0 \leq u \leq a. \) Similarly as above, we can prove that \( \|Gu\| \leq \|u\|, \) \( \forall u \in \partial\Omega_a. \) On the other hand, if \( f_\infty = +\infty, \) then \( \forall B_1 > 0, \exists b > 0 \) such that \( f(u) \geq (B_1 u)^{p-1}, u \geq b. \) The same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have \( \|Gu\| \geq \|u\|, \) \( \forall u \in \partial\Omega_b. \) By Theorem 2.1 \( G \) has a fixed point. \( \square \)

For the NTC-thermistor the dependence of the resistivity with the temperature can be expressed by

\[
    f(s) = \frac{1}{(1 + s)^k}, \quad k \geq 2.
\]

For \( p = 2 \) we have

\[
    f_0 = \lim_{u \to 0} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_p(u)} = +\infty, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{f(u)}{\phi_p(u)} = 0.
\]

It follows from Corollary 4.1 that the boundary value problem \((1.1)-(1.2)\) with \( p = 2 \) and \( f \) as in \((4.1)\) has at least one positive solution.
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