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Abstract The vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) adaptation is an ideal model for investigating how the neurosteroid 17 beta-estradiol (E2) contributes to the modification of behavior by regulating synaptic activities. We hypothesized that E2 impacts VOR adaptation by affecting cerebellar synaptic plasticity at the parallel fiber–Purkinje cell (PF) synapse. To verify this hypothesis, we investigated the acute effect of blocking E2 synthesis on gain increases and decreases in adaptation of the VOR in male rats using an oral dose (2.5 mg/kg) of the aromatase inhibitor letrozole. We also assessed the effect of letrozole on synaptic plasticity at the PF synapse in vitro, using cerebellar slices from male rats. We found that letrozole acutely impaired both gain increases and decreases adaptation of the VOR without altering basal ocular-motor performance. Moreover, letrozole prevented long-term potentiation at the PF synapse (PF-LTP) without affecting long-term depression (PF-LTD). Thus, in male rats neurosteroid E2 has a relevant impact on VOR adaptation and affects exclusively PF-LTP. These findings suggest that E2 might regulate changes in VOR adaptation by acting locally on cerebellar and extra-cerebellar synaptic plasticity sites.
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Introduction

Neural networks rely on multiple mechanisms to make the diverse forms of plasticity that underlie adaptive behavior and learning possible. Mounting evidence suggests that neurosteroid 17 beta-estradiol (E2) might regulate behavioral processes by influencing spine density, synaptogenesis and long-term potentiation (LTP) (Foy et al. 1999; Woolley and McEwen 1994; Xu and Zhang 2006).

According to the classical view, E2 acts via slow genomic mechanisms to produce delayed effects on cellular activity and behavior (Farr et al. 1995; McEwen and Alves 1999). However, it is also well known that E2 may rapidly influence neuronal activity through fast nongenomic mechanisms involving specific E2 membrane receptors (ERs: ERFα, ERFβ and GPER) (Morissette et al. 2008; Mukai et al. 2007, 2010; Raz et al. 2008; Woolley 2007).

In addition to gonadal origin, E2 is locally synthesized in the central nervous system from cholesterol (Baulieu 1997; Compagnone and Mellon 2000), by an aromatase-dependent conversion of testosterone (Kimoto et al. 2001). Local E2 is a region-specific neurosteroid and can play an important role in modulating neuronal activity due to its ability to rapidly reach higher concentrations than the gonadal hormone (Hojo et al. 2009; Mukai et al. 2010).

The modulatory role of neurosteroid E2 on synaptic plasticity has been demonstrated in vestibular nuclei, basal ganglia and hippocampus by acute as well as long-lasting inhibition of the E2 pathway, which impairs LTP induction (Grassi et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2012, 2013; Pettorossi et al. 2013; Scarduzio et al. 2013; Vierk et al. 2012, 2014). Consistent with these findings, it has been shown that acute inhibition of E2 synthesis also exerts rapid effects on spatial learning, working memory and fear extinction (Alejandro-Gomez et al. 2007; Graham and Milad 2014; Moradpour et al. 2006).
These discoveries indicate that neurosteroid E2 may rapidly influence learning at the behavioral and synaptic levels. Nevertheless, the physiological significance of this rapid action on synaptic activities and its consequences for behavior has yet to be clarified.

A model well suited to this purpose is adaptive re-calibration of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR), a cerebellar-dependent form of motor learning induced by visuo-vestibular stimuli (Boyden and Raymond 2003; Ito et al. 1974; Lisberger and Miles 1980).

The VOR circuitry is mediated by a direct pathway through the vestibular nuclei and a more complex inhibitory loop in the cerebellar cortex that includes the flocculus and paraflocculus.

Although multiple plastic mechanisms might be distributed between cerebellar and vestibular sites to calibrate the VOR, the primary mechanism responsible for encoding gain increase and decrease adaptation are thought to be LTP at the parallel fiber–Purkinje cell synapses (PF-LTP) and long-term depression (PF-LTD) that occurs at the same or a different subset of synapses, respectively (Boyden et al. 2004; Boyden and Raymond 2003; Broussard et al. 2011; Coesmans et al. 2004; Hansel et al. 2001).

So far, evidence indicates that the cerebellum expresses estrogen receptors (α, β, GPER) and can produce key enzymes for E2 formation (Hazell et al. 2009; Hedges et al. 2012; Sakamoto et al. 2003; Tsutsui et al. 2011). Moreover, an effect of chronic E2 administration in ovariectomized mice on Purkinje cell (PC) plasticity and on VOR adaptation has been shown (Andreescu et al. 2007).

Thus, we hypothesized that neurosteroid E2 impacts the expression of VOR adaptation and regulates cerebellar synaptic plasticity.

To test this hypothesis, we acutely blocked E2 synthesis using systemic administration of letrozole (LTZ), an imidazole derivative inhibitor of the aromatase enzyme, and evaluated the effect on gain increases and decreases adaptation of the VOR in male rats. In addition, we investigated the acute effect of LTZ on PF-LTP and PF-LTD in male rat cerebellar slices.

Our findings contribute to delineating the physiological significance of local E2 synthesis in the brain and provide new insights about the function of the neurosteroid E2 on memory formation.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All experiments labeled in this paper were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Ethical Committees of the University of Perugia, in compliance with the guidelines of the Italian Ministry of Health, national laws on animal research (Legislative Decree 116/92) and The European Communities Council directive on animal research (N. 86/609/EEC). All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

Subjects

The experiments were performed on male Wistar rats (n = 36, Harlan, Italy) to avoid any possible influences of estrogenic fluctuation during estrous cycle on both electrophysiological recordings and behavioral tests (Pettorossi et al. 2011). Animals were maintained on a 12 h light–dark cycle with food and water available ad libitum. Twenty rats (P35–P60, weighting 140–290 g) were employed in behavioral tests and 16 (P28–P49, weighting 90–190 g) in electrophysiological recordings.

Behavioral

Surgical preparation and eye recording

To restrain the head of the rats during the tests, a small dental acrylic socket was implanted surgically in the skull under general anesthesia using ketamine (50 mg/kg) and medetomidine (0.5 mg/kg).

We used an infrared light projection technique (Fig. 1b) to measure right eye movements (Barmack and Nelson 1987). Before each recording, we anesthetized the right eye with 0.4% oxybuprocaine and glued (Histoacryl®, Aesculap AG, Tuttingen, Germany) a small silicone rubber cylinder (diameter 1 mm, height 3 mm) bearing an infrared light-emitting diode (IrLED) aligned with the visual axis. The narrow beam of infrared light was detected by a photosensitive X–Y position detector (SC-50, United Detector Technology, Hawthorne, CA, USA), fixed relative to the head. The circular photosensitive X–Y position detector had a 50 mm diameter and gave a continuous X–Y voltage proportional to the position of the incident centroid of infrared light. The eye movement recording system was calibrated by moving the IrLED using a model mimicking rat eye through a known angular displacement. The system had a sensitivity of 0.2 min of arc and was linear for eye deviations of ±15° within 4% and for eye deviations of ±30° within 7%. Data were digitized with a sampling frequency of 500 Hz.

Equipment, stimuli and drug administration

During each behavioral experiment, the animal body was restrained on a servo-controlled turntable and the head was immobilized at the center of the rotation (Fig. 1a). All rats were placed with the head tilted 35° nose down to position
the lateral semicircular canals approximately earth horizontally. We used sinusoidal oscillation on the vertical axis (yaw stimulation) to apply vestibular stimuli. Optokinetic experiments were performed by placing the animal, immobilized on the turntable, inside a servo-controlled optokinetic drum (diameter 1.15 m; height 1.20 m) (Fig. 1a). The drum interior wall was painted with vertical black and white stripes (width 6 cm; angle 6°). The drum rotated on the vertical axis and was backlit by two 9-W LED lamps placed 50 cm outside the drum. A black disk was placed under the rat to prevent it from seeing the turntable and floor.

The VOR was evoked by trials of turntable oscillation in the dark (peak-to-peak amplitude of 30° and frequencies 0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 Hz), which were composed of four cycles of sinusoidal rotation. The OKR was evoked by delivering 5 min of constant velocity (5–30 deg/s) from the turntable (gray solid arrows). B Eye movement recording technique. On the rat cornea was attached a small plastic stem bearing an infrared light-emitting diode (LED). The LED projected a narrow beam of infrared light (IR) onto a dual axis infrared X–Y photodiode (sensor) that encoded the horizontal and vertical eye position. C Representative VOR and turntable velocity traces recorded in the dark at 0.2 Hz before (left) and after (right) gain conditioning in vehicle and letrozole (LTZ) treated rats for gain increase (top) and decrease (bottom) adaptation. LTZ treatment induced a decrease rather than an increase in gain-up conditioning and prevented gain decrease in gain-down conditioning
frequency of 0.2 Hz). During the conditioning period, we presented an attention-normalizing stimulus every 60 s (usually a sharp noise like a clap).

Before the recordings, awake rats were fed with an oral dose of vehicle (0.1 g of wet cereals) or LTZ (2.5 mg/kg of body weight). Oral doses of LTZ were freshly prepared by mixing wet cereal with the drug.

Protocol

Behavioral testing began when recovery was complete, usually 1 week after surgery (van Alphen et al. 2001). A few days before performing the first conditioning session the rats were acclimatized to the head restraint for 30-min trials and the visuo-motor ability was evaluated by estimating the VOR and OKR. VOR adaptation was studied in 16 rats divided into two groups (8 animals for each group) that were tested in two separate conditioning sessions. In each group, four animals were alternatively treated with the oral dose of vehicle in the first session and the oral dose of LTZ in the second session, whereas the other four rats underwent the opposite sequence of treatment. The sessions were separated by 8 days because our preliminary data (three rats) indicated that in animals that were allowed to be freely moving during the normal light–dark cycle the VOR returned to normal 3 days after the conditioning stimulus pre- and post-conditioning were observed for both LTZ and vehicle treatment (Fig. 1c).

In addition, basal VOR and OKR were evaluated in four other rats at different time points (160, 190, 210 min) after a single oral dose of LTZ.

Electrophysiology

Slice preparation

Rats were anesthetized and perfused intracardially with ice-cold modified artificial CSF containing the following (in mM): 110 choline chloride, 26 D-glucose, 2.5 MgCl₂, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 Na₃PO₄, 0.5 CaCl₂, 1.3 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, and 25 NaHCO₃, bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂, and then transferred to room temperature in the same solution.

Patch pipettes were filled with the following (mM): 150 K-glutonate, 1 MgCl₂, 1.1 EGTA, 5 HEPES and 10 phosphocreatine, pH 7.2 and 300 mOsm.

Whole cell patch clamp recordings and drugs

Synaptic responses from Purkinje cells (PCs) were evoked using one patch pipette filled with extracellular solution placed in the molecular layer to stimulate the PFs (duration 100 µs; intensity 10–50 µA). Paired pulse facilitation (PPF) was assessed by applying two pulses with an interval of 50 ms at the PFs.

All the recordings were performed in the presence of gabazine (SR95531) 10 µM.

Pairing stimulations of PF–CF were performed placing a theta glass pipette filled with ACSF near the PC layer to stimulate the CF (intensity 1–20 µA, duration 100 µs). The pipette was repositioned, and the stimulus intensity was adjusted until the voltage required to elicit an all-or-none response was minimized to eliminate PF activation.

Tetanization was delivered in current-clamp mode for 5 min at 1 Hz stimulating PFs either alone (LTP protocol) or in combination with CFs (LTD protocol). All recordings were made at a holding potential of −60 mV to prevent spontaneous neuronal discharge. Series and input resistances (Rs and Rin) were monitored throughout the experiments by applying hyperpolarizing voltage steps (−10 mV) at the beginning of each sweep. Recordings were excluded if Rs or Rin varied by >20% over the course of the experiments.

Voltages were not corrected for junction potentials and currents were filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz (MultiClamp 700A; Molecular Devices).

We used letrozole (LTZ) to block E2 synthesis. Stock solution of LTZ (10 mM) was dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and diluted to the final concentration (LTZ 100 nM, DMSO <0.01%) in recording solution.

Drugs and chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Tocris Bioscience, or Ascent Scientific.

Statistical evaluations

All data were expressed as mean ± SD. To minimize type I error, we set the alpha level at 0.05 and accepted significant results with p < 0.05 for all statistical tests. The sample size was estimated prior to achieving an analysis power of 0.80 or higher in post hoc evaluation (Gpower). Normality was assayed using the Shapiro–Wilks test. All data sets satisfied normality criteria and two-tailed Student’s t test or ANOVA designs were used to evaluate differences among...
two or multiple samples, respectively (Statistica, StatSoft and OriginPro, Origin Lab Corporation). Post hoc analyses, if required for multiple comparisons, were made by Tukey’s tests. The homogeneity of the variance between populations was verified by Levene’s test.

Fittings were made by single exponential and sinusoidal functions for electrophysiological and behavioral evaluations, respectively, with the goodness of the fit estimated by calculating the Chi-square (OriginPro software). The best fit was obtained by minimizing the mean square error between the data and the curve (Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm).

Behavioral evaluation

Eye and table or drum position traces were analyzed using OriginPro software. For the VOR evaluation, eye position signals (four response cycles for each delivered trial) were differentiated and any quick phase was removed (LabView, National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA). The differentiated traces were fitted with a sine wave and the ratio between fitted peak velocity and table peak velocity was used to calculate the VOR gain at each frequency tested. The VOR phase was assessed by the difference between eye fitted velocity trace phases and table velocity phases, considering zero phase when fitted eye peak velocity appeared at the same time but in the opposite direction with respect to the peak table velocity. Phase lead was indicated as positive and phase lag as negative.

The OKR gain was calculated by dividing eye peak velocity by drum peak velocity.

Traces containing anomalous eye movements or motion artifacts were excluded from the analysis.

We evaluated with two-way repeated measures ANOVA the effect of LTZ (comparing LTZ and vehicle) on gain and phase across frequencies and velocities for the basal VOR and OKR, respectively. Moreover, two-way repeated measures ANOVA was employed to evaluate the effect of LTZ at different time points after LTZ treatment (160, 190, 210 min) on gain and phase across frequencies and velocities for the VOR and OKR, respectively. A three-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze the influence of the conditioning training (comparing responses before and after conditioning) and LTZ (comparing LTZ and vehicle) on VOR gain and phase across the frequencies. The F values expressed the significant differences concerning the main factors (LTZ × vehicle, time, conditioning training, frequency and velocities) and their interaction.

Electrophysiological evaluation

Recordings were acquired by pClamp10 (Molecular Devices) and evaluated using Axograph X (AxoGraph Software and OriginPro software.

EPSC rise time (20–80%) was calculated from EPSC onset. The decay time constant was measured by best fitting the single exponential to the EPSC decay. The average of EPSC amplitudes recorded at the beginning (t = 5–10 min) of each experiment was considered as baseline. The influence of LTZ on rise time, decay time and R_m was evaluated comparing baseline (t = 5–10 min) and LTZ (t = 50–55 min) by a two-tailed paired t test and on the EPSC amplitudes comparing baseline (t = 5–10 min) and LTZ at two different time points (t = 15–20 min and t = 50–55 min) by a one-way repeated measures ANOVA. Changes in the EPSC amplitude induced by LTD and LTP protocols were expressed as a percentage of the baseline. Long-term potentiation and depression were established when the EPSC amplitude, measured at the interval between 25 and 30 min after tetanization (t = 40–45 min), was significantly increased or decreased compared to baseline (two-tailed paired t test). LTZ influence on LTP and LTD frequency occurrence was statistically assessed by the Fisher’s exact test. The effect of LTZ on LTP and LTD induction was evaluated comparing the EPSC amplitude before (t = 5–10 min) and after tetanization (t = 40–45 min) in control and in LTZ by a two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

The F value indicated a significant difference concerning the main factors (LTZ × control, induction of long-term plasticity) and their interaction.

Results

VOR adaptation requires neurosteroid E2 synthesis

To verify whether neurosteroid E2 can influence the VOR adaptation, we acutely blocked the synthesis of E2 with LTZ in 16 male rats and investigated the effect on the induction of VOR adaptive changes.

Before the recordings, we treated the animals with an oral dose of vehicle in one experimental session and with an oral dose of LTZ (2.5 mg/kg) in a second session performed 8 days later. Alternatively, we used a reverse treatment, treating the animals first with LTZ and 8 days after with vehicle. At the beginning of each recording session we tested the basal VOR and OKR. Thereafter, the animals were trained with gain-up or gain-down conditioning (eight rats for each conditioning training) and at the end of each session we again recorded the VOR.
Acute E2 synthesis inhibition does not affect basal VOR and OKR

We evaluated the VOR gain and phase by horizontally rotating the head at several sinusoidal oscillation frequencies (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 Hz) and the OKR using a striped rotating drum at velocities of 1, 5, 10, 30 deg/s (Figs. 1, 2). In vehicle rats, the VOR gain at 0.05 Hz was 0.36 ± 0.07 and progressively increased reaching 0.78 ± 0.1 at 0.4 Hz (n = 16, Fig. 2a). Yet, the VOR phase, at 0.05 Hz was 56° ± 13° and decreased to a value close to zero at 0.4 Hz (n = 16, Fig. 2a). The OKR gain (monocularly analyzed during temporo-nasal stimuli) at 1 deg/s was 0.59 ± 0.07 and decreased to 0.31 ± 0.08 at 30 deg/s (n = 16, Fig. 2b).

The general dynamic characteristics of VOR and OKR were in line with previous findings in the literature (Collewijn et al. 1980; Sirkin et al. 1985).

No significant differences were found comparing the VOR gain and phase in vehicle- and LTZ-treated rats (Fig. 2a; gain: F_{LTZ \times vehicle} (1, 14) = 0.016, p = 0.899; F_{frequency} (3, 42) = 114.72, p < 0.001, F_{interaction} (3, 42) = 8.713, p < 0.001; phase: F_{LTZ \times vehicle} (1, 14) = 1.01, p = 0.32, F_{frequency} (3, 42) = 1399.64, p < 0.001, F_{interaction} (3, 42) = 315, p < 0.001, two-way repeated measures ANOVA). Similarly, no LTZ effect was detected on the OKR analysis (Fig. 2b; gain: F_{LTZ \times vehicle} (1, 14) = 0.119, p = 0.732; F_{velocity} (3, 42) = 366.1, p < 0.001; F_{interaction} (3, 42) = 301, p < 0.001, two-way repeated measures ANOVA).

Moreover, to exclude LTZ influences on basal reflexes over time, we tested the VOR and OKR in four additional rats at different time points (160, 190, 210 min) after LTZ administration. No changes were found for either reflex across the observed timeframe (VOR gain: F_{time \times (160 \times 190 \times 210)} (2, 9) = 0.67, p = 0.53, F_{frequency} (3, 27) = 8.7, p < 0.01, F_{interaction} (3, 27) = 0.3, p = 0.88; OKN gain: F_{time \times (160 \times 190 \times 210)} (2, 9) = 0.75, p = 0.42, F_{velocity} (3, 27) = 5.6, p < 0.05, F_{interaction} (3, 27) = 0.41, p = 0.83; two-way repeated measures ANOVA).

Thus, neither the VOR nor OKR were affected by acute E2 depletion, indicating that neurosteroid E2 was not required for basal reflexes.

E2 synthesis inhibition acutely impairs gain decrease and increase adaptation of the VOR

To adaptively modify the VOR, we paired the horizontal rotations of the head with a striped optokinetic drum rotation. Moving sinusoidally the head and the drum in the same direction with identical velocity (gain-down conditioning) caused an adaptive decrease in VOR gain, whereas moving the drum in the opposite direction (gain-up conditioning) caused an increase in VOR gain (Figs. 1, 2, 3).

Effect of acute E2 synthesis inhibition by LTZ on adaptive decrease in VOR gain The influence of LTZ on gain decrease adaptation of the VOR was investigated in eight randomly designated rats. The VOR gain and phase were assessed at several frequencies (0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 Hz) before and after 45 min of conditioning at 0.2 Hz, in vehicle- and LTZ-treated animals (Figs. 1, 2, 3a, b).

The overall analysis of the gain showed a significant difference between pre- and post-conditioning, between LTZ and vehicle-treated animals and between frequencies

![Fig. 2](image-url)
Fig. 3 LTZ affects VOR adaptation. a In vehicle-treated rats, gain-up (eight rats) and gain-down (eight rats) conditioning at 0.2 Hz induced adaptive VOR gain increase and decrease, respectively, while phase changes were significant only after gain-up conditioning. Comparison between changes in VOR gain and phase induced by gain-down (b) and gain-up (c) conditioning in LTZ and vehicle rats. b In LTZ rats conditioning prevented gain decrease compared to vehicle (three-way repeated measures ANOVA). No differences were detected on phase. In e, in LTZ rats conditioning induced gain decrease instead of an increase and a reduction in phase delay compared to vehicle (three-way repeated measures ANOVA). In b, c, the gain is expressed in percentage (increase or decrease) as post-conditioning change respect pre-conditioning values normalized to 0 (dotted line). The phase is expressed as difference between post-conditioning and pre-conditioning values normalized to 0 (dotted line). Each rat was used either in gain-up or gain-down conditioning. Values are mean ± SD. Asterisk indicates a significant variation in VOR gain and phase (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005; post hoc analysis by Tukey’s tests).

[Fig. 3] LTZ affects VOR adaptation. a In vehicle-treated rats, gain-up (eight rats) and gain-down (eight rats) conditioning at 0.2 Hz induced adaptive VOR gain increase and decrease, respectively, while phase changes were significant only after gain-up conditioning. Comparison between changes in VOR gain and phase induced by gain-down (b) and gain-up (c) conditioning in LTZ and vehicle rats. b In LTZ rats conditioning prevented gain decrease compared to vehicle (three-way repeated measures ANOVA). No differences were detected on phase. In e, in LTZ rats conditioning induced gain decrease instead of an increase and a reduction in phase delay compared to vehicle (three-way repeated measures ANOVA). In b, c, the gain is expressed in percentage (increase or decrease) as post-conditioning change respect pre-conditioning values normalized to 0 (dotted line). The phase is expressed as difference between post-conditioning and pre-conditioning values normalized to 0 (dotted line). Each rat was used either in gain-up or gain-down conditioning. Values are mean ± SD. Asterisk indicates a significant variation in VOR gain and phase (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005; post hoc analysis by Tukey’s tests).

Thus, the conditioning did not induce significant change in VOR gain after LTZ treatment.

Effect of acute E2 synthesis inhibition by LTZ on adaptive increase in VOR gain In another group of male rats (n = 8), we studied the influence of LTZ on gain increase adaptation of the VOR assessing the gain and phase as we previously did for the gain decrease. The LTZ influence on the gain adaptation was largely significant compared to the vehicle (Figs. 1, 2, 3c). Also significant were the differences in gain between pre- and post-conditioning and between frequencies [Fig. 3a, c; gain: F_{LTZ×vehicle} (1, 28) = 34.39, p < 0.001; F_{conditioning training} (1, 28) = 4.6, p < 0.05; F_{frequencies} (3, 74) = 214.7, p < 0.001; F_{LTZ×vehicle×frequencies} (3, 74) = 13.18, p = 0.001; three-way repeated measures ANOVA]. The LTZ influence was so
Remarkably notable is that the gain-up conditioning induced a gain increase rather than an increase (Figs. 1, 2, 3c; gain remarkably notable that the gain-up conditioning induced a gain increase, on average, by 43 ± 9.3% (Figs. 1, 2, 3a, c; gain before and after conditioning, p < 0.05; post hoc Tukey’s tests) and the largest variation was observed at the frequency of 0.2 Hz. On the other hand, in vehicle animals the conditioning caused a significant and large gain increase, reaching a maximum at the frequency of 0.2 Hz. Furthermore, we found that, in LTZ the change of VOR phase was precluded (Fig. 3c; phase before and after conditioning, p < 0.001; post hoc Tukey’s tests) whereas in vehicle the phase was significantly delayed (Fig. 3c; phase before and after conditioning, p < 0.005; post hoc Tukey’s tests).

In summary, in gain increase adaptation LTZ converted the increase into decrease and prevented VOR phase changes.

**The protocol does not affect VOR adaptive changes**

No significant influences were found on basal and VOR gain-adaptive changes when we compared the gain values in the first and second sessions (Table 1). These results indicated that the sequence used to alternately treat the animals by vehicle and LTZ in two sessions separated by 8 days did not influence the results and no bias was added by our protocol.

### Table 1 VOR gain assessed in the first (Ist) and second (IInd) experimental session before and after conditioning in male rats alternately treated with vehicle and LTZ

| Conditioning       | Treatment | VOR gain Before | VOR gain After | IInd Before | IInd After |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Gain-up conditioning| Vehicle   | 0.68 ± 0.12†   | 1.05 ± 0.15*  | 0.67 ± 0.14†| 1.01 ± 0.23#|
|                    | LTZ       | 0.72 ± 0.13†   | 0.63 ± 0.07†  | 0.71 ± 0.11†| 0.67 ± 0.09†|
| Gain-down conditioning| Vehicle  | 0.70 ± 0.10†   | 0.42 ± 0.14*  | 0.72 ± 0.11†| 0.44 ± 0.15#|
|                    | LTZ       | 0.69 ± 0.10†   | 0.67 ± 0.09†  | 0.70 ± 0.12†| 0.68 ± 0.13†|

The reported gain was obtained at 0.2 Hz. Values are mean ± SD from eight male rats for gain up and eight male rats for gain down. Significant differences in the gain were found between LTZ and vehicle and before and after conditioning while no differences were detected between the Ist and the IInd session [gain increase: F_LTZ×Vehicle (1, 12) = 14.6, p < 0.005; F_conditioning (1, 12) = 10.1, p < 0.01; F_Ist×IInd session (1, 12) = 0.07, p = 0.8; gain down: F_LTZ×Vehicle (1, 12) = 12.5, p < 0.005; F_conditioning (1, 12) = 19.9, p < 0.001; F_Ist×IInd session (1, 12) = 0.08, p = 0.78; repeated measures ANOVA]. The achieved power of the analysis was 0.95.  
† No significant difference in the gain measured before conditioning while  
*† No significant difference in the gain measured after conditioning in the Ist and in the IInd session (p > 0.8, post hoc Tukey’s tests)

E2 synthesis is necessary for PF-LTP but not for PF-LTD

To assess whether the neurosteroid E2 impacts rapid regulation of cerebellar synaptic plasticity, we blocked E2 synthesis by perfusing LTZ in male rat acute cerebellar slices and investigated the effect on LTP and LTD induction at the parallel fiber to Purkinje cell synapse (PF-LTP and PF-LTD).

E2 synthesis inhibition does not affect intrinsic and synaptic properties of PCs

First, we determined whether LTZ per se, could affect the intrinsic and synaptic properties of PCs by evaluating input resistance (R_in), rise time, decay time and the excitatory post-synaptic current (EPSC) amplitude evoked by focal stimulation of PF. The intrinsic and synaptic properties were measured at different time points during control and LTZ (n = 6). No significant differences were found in the rise time, decay time and R_in comparing control with LTZ (Table 2; control period was measured at t = 5–10 min and LTZ at t = 50–55 min; p = 0.81, p = 0.80, p = 0.65, respectively, two-tailed t test), as well as in the EPSC amplitudes [Table 2; control period was measured at t = 5–10 min and LTZ at t = 50–55 min; F(2, 118) = 0.78, p = 0.37, one-way repeated measures ANOVA]. Additionally, to verify whether LTZ affected the release probability (Pr) we compared the paired pulse ratio (PPR) before and after LTZ and no significant changes were detected (Table 2; p = 0.74, two-tailed t test). Thus, the presence of LTZ per se in the bath did not modify the passive and synaptic properties of PCs.
E2 synthesis inhibition prevents PF-LTP without altering PF-LTD

Next, we investigated LTZ influence on cerebellar PF-LTD and PF-LTP, which are two plastic mechanisms thought to be responsible for encoding the VOR gain increase and decrease adaptation, respectively (Broussard et al. 2011; Hansel et al. 2001; Kano et al. 2008; Titley et al. 2010).

E2 synthesis inhibition prevents PF-LTP  To induce PF-LTP we stimulated the parallel fibers in current-clamp mode at 1 Hz for 5 min (Coelsmans et al. 2004; Lev-Ram et al. 2002). After tetanization, in the control condition, the EPSC amplitudes were significantly increased to 154 ± 7.9% of baseline (Fig. 4a; n = 8; t = 40–45 min after; p < 0.001, two-tailed paired t test) while in LTZ, we detected a 97.6 ± 7.4% reduction of baseline that was not significant (Fig. 4a; n = 8; t = 40–45 min after; p = 0.16, two-tailed paired t test). As expected, we found a large significant difference when we compared the EPSC amplitudes in control and LTZ \[F_{\text{LTZ x control}}(1, 14) = 15.81, p = 0.0016; F_{\text{induction}}(1, 14) = 51.2, p = 0.0001; F_{\text{interaction}}(1, 14) = 80.3, p < 0.0001;\] two-way repeated measures ANOVA. Moreover, in LTZ, LTP was induced only in one case and in another case, LTD was induced instead of LTP, suggesting that the main effect of E2 synthesis inhibition is to prevent long-term synaptic changes (Fig. 4c; LTP occurrence: LTZ × control, p = 0.01; LTD occurrence: LTZ × control, p = 1; no effect occurrence: LTZ × control, p = 0.04; two-tailed Fisher’s exact test).

Likewise during PF-LTP the PPR (Fig. 4b) changed in neither the control condition (pre-value 1.84 ± 0.25, post-value 1.80 ± 0.27, p = 0.75; two-tailed paired t test) nor the LTZ condition (pre-value: 1.86 ± 0.09, post-value: 1.90 ± 0.21, p = 0.52; two-tailed paired t test) indicating a post-synaptic PF-LTP expression (Coelsmans et al. 2004; Lev-Ram et al. 2002) with no effect on the Pr.

Together, these data suggest that E2 is involved in cerebellar LTP induction likely acting post-synaptically.

Table 2  Basal synaptic propriety of cerebellar Purkinje cells from male rats

| Condition | PPR | EPSC amplitude (pA) | Rise time (20–80%, ms) | Decay time constant (ms) | $R_{\text{in}}$ (MΩ) |
|-----------|-----|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Control   | 1.04 ± 0.185 | 254.4 ± 14.3 | 2.02 ± 0.43 | 18.73 ± 2.41 | 164.7 ± 46.2 |
| Letrozole  | 1.03 ± 0.169 | 247.6 ± 12.4 | 1.97 ± 0.39 | 19.39 ± 1.95 | 156.3 ± 44.8 |

Values are mean ± SD from cerebellar slices of six rats

E2 synthesis inhibition does not affect PF-LTD  After LTD induction in current-clamp mode by paired PF and climbing fiber (CF) stimulation at 1 Hz for 5 min (Coelsmans et al. 2004), the EPSC amplitudes, recorded in voltage-clamp mode, decreased significantly to 77 ± 7.3% of baseline (Fig. 4d; n = 8; t = 40–45 min after; p < 0.001, two-tailed paired t test). The EPSC amplitudes were also reduced by the paired stimulation in the presence of LTZ to 81 ± 6.5% of baseline (Fig. 4d; n = 8; t = 35–40 min after; p < 0.05, two-tailed paired t test). However, when we compared the EPSC reductions in LTZ and control conditions we found no significant differences \[F_{\text{LTZ x control}}(1, 14) = 0.71, p = 0.36; F_{\text{induction}}(1, 14) = 31.1, p = 0.0001; F_{\text{interaction}}(1, 14) = 3.2, p = 0.05;\] two-way repeated measure ANOVA. In addition, LTZ did not even change the frequency of LTD occurrence (Fig. 4f; LTZ × control, p = 0.5; two-tailed Fisher’s exact test). These data suggest that neurosteroid E2 is not involved in cerebellar LTD induction.

Moreover, since long-term plasticity can involve different synaptic changes such as Pr and post-synaptic modifications, we measured the paired pulse ratio (PPR). After tetanization, the PPR (Fig. 4e) did not change in either control condition (pre-value 1.74 ± 0.19, post-value 1.85 ± 0.26, p = 0.21; two-tailed paired t test) or LTZ (pre-value 1.73 ± 0.37 post-value 1.81 ± 0.39, p = 0.27; two-tailed paired t test), consistent with a post-synaptic PF-LTD expression (Coelsmans et al. 2004; Wang and Linden 2000) and no effect of LTZ on Pr.

Discussion

The experiments presented in this study show that in male rats the neurosteroid E2 has a relevant impact on the expression of VOR adaptation and regulates cerebellar synaptic plasticity affecting PF-LTP. These findings are consistent with the idea that the cerebellum of young adult rats might be a possible structure where the central nervous system can rapidly modulate E2 bioavailability to influence neuronal activity.

Neurosteroid E2 is necessary for VOR adaptation

Evidence that E2 impacts the expression of VOR adaptation is that acute block of E2 synthesis by the aromatase inhibitor LTZ prevented VOR gain changes in gain-down...
conditioning (Figs. 1, 2, 3b) and converted the gain increase into a decrease in gain-up conditioning (Figs. 1, 2, 3c). Furthermore, the change of the VOR adaptive phase was averted after LTZ administration (Fig. 3). We assume that these effects were related to the inhibition of neurosteroid synthesis rather than the inhibition of gonadal E2 synthesis because in male rats the blood levels of E2 are very low and do not influence, per se, neuronal activity (Gu and Moss 1996; Woolley 2007).

Moreover, the effect of LTZ appears to be due to the impact of E2 synthesis inhibition on the plasticity of the visuo-vestibular network since no changes were found in basal optokinetic and vestibular reflexes after LTZ administration. In support of these data, it has been shown that inhibiting E2 synthesis using a dose of aromatase imidazole derivative inhibitors similar to the one used in this study, can rapidly affect the adaptive behavior of rodents (Alejandre-Gomez et al. 2007; Graham and Milad 2014; Taziaux et al. 2007). In addition, the effect of the LTZ timescale observed in our behavioral experiments is supported by pharmacokinetic results showing that in male rat brain tissue, LTZ reaches high concentration in less than 3 h after a single oral dose (2–3.6 mg/kg) with apparent terminal half-life around 12 h and is completely eliminated from the blood within 36 h (Liu et al. 2000; Wempe et al. 2007). Yet, we exclude the possibility that the VOR could be influenced by the sequences used to administer the vehicle and LTZ (or the reverse treatment) in the two conditioning sessions that were 8 days apart, since the basal and VOR gain-adaptive changes were not significantly different in the first and the second sessions (Table 1).

The results presented here are also consistent with findings showing rapid effects of exogenous E2 as well as the influence of high circulating E2 levels on memory tasks and learning (Andreeescu et al. 2007; Leuner et al. 2004). Indeed, in light of the data, the circulating and local E2 might interact to determine behavioral changes via multiple...
modes and time courses. In line with this idea, the effect of LTZ timescale detected on VOR adaptation could indicate a rapid E2 impact on the molecular pathways leading to synaptic plasticity underlying motor memory. This is supported by data demonstrating an effect of LTZ on LTP induction preceding structural remodeling at the synaptic level (Vierk et al. 2012, 2014).

**Neurosteroid E2 regulates LTP in acute cerebellar slices**

Evidence that neurosteroid E2 regulates cerebellar synaptic plasticity by acting on LTP, is that acute application of the aromatase inhibitor LTZ in cerebellar slices prevents LTP at the PF–PC synapse without significantly affecting LTD (Fig. 4). Furthermore, we did not find any effects of LTZ on intrinsic and synaptic properties of PCs (Table 2; Fig. 4b, e) in all the conditions tested here. Yet, consistent with the notion that this form of PF-LTP is post-synaptically expressed and E2 exerts an effect as the post-synaptic level (Andreescu et al. 2007; Coesmans et al. 2004; Lev-Ram et al. 2002), we did not find any modification in the PPR in either the control condition or LTZ condition during PF-LTP (Fig. 4b, e).

Since we did not detect a significant effect of LTZ on basal activity and knowing that the perfusion of E2 changes the efficacy of glutamatergic transmission (Hedges et al. 2012; Smith 1989), we suppose that the E2 effect on LTP could be due to either a transient or an acute increase of E2 synthesis during PF-LTP induction. These results are supported by previous data showing the rapid effects of inhibition of aromatase on synaptic LTP in other systems. Indeed, in hippocampus and striatum the same LTZ dose we used here prevented or remarkably reduced LTP, while in vestibular nuclei LTP was inverted in LTD without affecting the basal synaptic responses and LTD (Grassi et al. 2009a, b, 2010, 2012, 2013; Pettorossi et al. 2013).

Conversely, in vivo studies performed in adult rats under anesthesia and using a less specific blocker of E2 synthesis (fadrozole) reported an acute effect of E2 synthesis inhibition on basal glutamatergic transmission at PF synapses (Hedges et al. 2012). A possible explanation to these contrasting results might be the use of diverse techniques that could differently affect network activity and presumably E2 synthesis (Charlier et al. 2015).

We suggest that local concentrations of E2 might fluctuate on demand, rapidly modulating neural transmission when necessary. This idea is in line with evidence showing that aromatase activity can be rapidly modified by glutamate agonists (Balthazart et al. 2006) and phosphorylation processes (Charlier et al. 2011) as well as after an increase in presynaptic or post-synaptic Ca$^{2+}$ levels (Balthazart et al. 2006; Hojo et al. 2008).

**Possible E2 functions on PF plasticity in adult cerebellum**

Taken together, our behavioral and electrophysiological findings suggest a cerebellar E2 synthesis in young adult rats that might be adequate to regulate synaptic plasticity at the PF synapse, despite the fact that high aromatase expression has been shown only in developmental cerebellum. Nevertheless, different studies have shown large variability in aromatase levels in some brain regions (Shimotakahara et al. 2004; Tabatazde et al. 2014) and one study has provided indirect evidence of the efficacy of E2 synthesis in the adult cerebellum of rodents (Hedges et al. 2012). In addition, no clear information is available as to the significance of low aromatase expression in regions of the adult brain like the PCs of the cerebellum (Sakamoto et al. 2003). It is accepted that estrogen, when produced in large amounts, as it is in the amygdala, might influence neuronal functions. Our findings, in contrast, are consistent with the idea that even minute and extremely localized estrogen production may be adequate for modulating local plasticity functions as may be the case in cerebellar PF synapse plasticity.

Thus, local E2 could contribute to synaptic plasticity through pathways associated with rapid and moderate increases in calcium concentration that have been shown to be responsible for LTP in PCs (Coesmans et al. 2004; Jorntell and Hansel 2006; Nilsen et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2014). Consistent with this idea, E2 may facilitate LTP induction as well as prevent inappropriate calcium increments that would switch the LTP into LTD (Fig. 4a, c). On the other hand, E2 might have less importance inducing LTD because CF recruitment is able, per se, to cause a large and prolonged calcium increase in PC dendritic spines related to LTD (Coesmans et al. 2004; Tempia et al. 2001; Vogt and Canepari 2010; Wang et al. 2000).

**Cerebellar and possible extra-cerebellar plastic sites for VOR adaptation**

Although the plastic sites for VOR adaptation are thought to be in the flocculus (Boyden and Raymond 2003; Broussard et al. 2011), we conducted our study in the vermis due to technical problems of access to the flocculus. We assumed that the results obtained in the vermis can be generalized to other regions of the cerebellar cortex because of the homogeneity of synaptic plasticity in this brain region.

Since the encoding of adaptive VOR decrease is related to LTP at PF–PC synapses (Boyden and Raymond 2003; Broussard et al. 2011; Coesmans et al. 2004; Hansel et al. 2001), it is possible that acute disruption of E2 synthesis impairs the gain decrease because in the cerebellum, as we
demonstrated, local E2 is required to induce LTP at the PF–PCs. On the other hand, the finding that LTZ also impairs the gain increase was unexpected because it should depend on LTD at PF–PC synapses (Boyd and Raymond 2003; Coesmans and Alphen 2004; Hansel et al. 2006) and this impairs the gain increase was unexpected because it should depend on LTD at PF–PC synapses (Boyd and Raymond 2003; Coesmans and Alphen 2004; Hansel et al. 2006) and this.

In this schema, the gain increase is mediated by Pf-LTD and Vn-E2 LTP (gray shading-black writing) and the gain decrease by Pf-E2 LTP and Vn-LTD (gray shading-white writing). Closed triangle, glutamatergic synapses. Open triangle, GABA or glycinergic synapses. Cf, climbing fiber; Em, extra-ocular muscles; Gc, granule cell layer; Io, inferior olive; Md, moving drum; Om, ocular motoneurons; Pc, Purkinje cell; Pf, parallel fiber; PC, Purkinje cell; Pf-LTD, LTD at the parallel fiber to Purkinje cell; Pf-LTP, LTP at the parallel fiber of Purkinje cell; Vg, vestibular ganglion; Vn, vestibular nuclei; Vn-E2 LTP, E2 dependent LTP in the vestibular nuclei; Vn-LTD, LTD in the vestibular nuclei.

We speculate that cerebellar LTP and vestibular LTD might mediate the expression of the VOR adaptive decrease, whereas cerebellar LTD and vestibular LTP might take part in the VOR increase. In this schema, LTZ would prevent the decrease in gain-down conditioning, mainly inhibiting LTP induction in the cerebellum while in gain-up conditioning, the gain increase is reversed into gain decrease causing the inversion of vestibular LTP into LTD (Fig. 5).

Our explanation is supported by evidence based on different approaches showing that the neural networks mediating the VOR contain different potential plastic sites (Blazquez et al. 2004; Boyd and Raymond 2004; De Zeeuw and Yeo 2005; Hansel et al. 2001; van Alphen and De Zeeuw 2002). It has been also suggested that in long-lasting visuo-vestibular training, motor memory is stored at different cerebellar and vestibular nuclei loci, resulting in memory encoding first in the cerebellum that is then “transferred” to vestibular nuclei (Broussard et al. 2011; Galiana 1986; Kassardjian et al. 2005; Raymond et al. 1996). In addition, a recent model (Menzies et al. 2010) indicates that the “transfer” of motor memory may occur immediately after memory encoding, which is detectable within minutes after the start of the conditioning training. Finally, our previous investigations in vestibular nuclei have demonstrated that locally synthesized E2 is essential in vestibular LTP and more importantly, that LTZ inverts LTP into LTD (Grassi et al. 2009b; Scarduzio et al. 2013).

**Conclusion**

In summary, the data presented here show that in male rats the neurosteroid E2 has a relevant impact on the expression of VOR adaptation. Moreover, we demonstrated that neurosteroid E2 regulates cerebellar synaptic plasticity by acting on PF-LTP but not PF-LTD. These findings suggest that very low but extremely localized estrogen production, as could be the case of PCs, might modulate synaptic plasticity. Finally, our results also imply that E2 might regulate VOR adaptation by acting locally on cerebellar and extra-cerebellar synaptic plastic sites.
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