Introduction

Despite continuing advances in intensive care medicine, severe sepsis and septic shock are currently among the most common causes of morbidity and mortality in intensive care. Moreover, the incidence of severe sepsis and septic shock has increased with ageing of the population over the past decade [1-3]. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign advocates early identification and intervention worldwide to improve overall management of sepsis and to reduce sepsis-related death [4,5].

Clinical trials conducted over more than half a century aimed at reducing the high acute morbidity and mortality rates associated with severe sepsis have shown that recombinant human activated protein C (rhAPC), or drotrecogin alfa (activated), is a treatment that reduces the overall mortality rate in patients with severe sepsis. However, this knowledge has been slow to spread, in part because of the complexity and heterogeneity of this condition, but also because rhAPC treatment is not without risks. Nevertheless, rhAPC is clearly a life-saving treatment, and the reduction in mortality far outweighs the risks involved.

As outlined by the US Food and Drug Administration, rhAPC should be considered for patients with severe sepsis resulting in multiple organ failure who are at low risk for bleeding complications and high risk for death, as indicated by initial Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation or other measurement. Determining who to treat with rhAPC may be the biggest problem facing intensive care physicians.

Since the publication of the positive results from the PROWESS (Recombinant Human Activated Protein C Worldwide Evaluation in Severe Sepsis) trial, research into the protein C pathway has expanded significantly and additional clinical information about the uses of rhAPC and its specific activity have been reported. In this supplement to Critical Care, leading experts describe new insights into the role of the protein C pathway, along with clinical use of rhAPC and its results.

The supplement begins with an article by Claessens and Dhainaut [6]. They review the main proposals of the Surviving Sepsis Campaign and focus on the difficulties in reaching the correct diagnosis and in providing appropriate treatment to septic patients at the right time.

The next two articles focus on the protein C pathway and its clinical relevance. Levi and van der Poll [7] analyze the various mechanisms of action of rhAPC in sepsis. Given the central role played by inadequate functioning of the protein C pathway in the pathogenesis of sepsis, restoring the function of this pathway in patients with sepsis appears to be a rational approach; the beneficial effects of rhAPC in experimental sepsis models and clinical studies seem to support this. Although the relative importance of the anticoagulant relative to the inflammation-modulating effects is unclear, it is tempting to hypothesize that a combined effect is responsible for the benefits derived from rhAPC. Recent studies also suggest that rhAPC has direct anti-inflammatory properties brought about by its binding to neutrophils and decreasing chemotaxis [8]. In addition, recent in vitro studies have shown that rhAPC can modulate the endothelium by binding to various receptors that are important for endothelial cell integrity [9].

Vangerow and coworkers [10] describe the predictive value of plasma protein C levels in sepsis. They also describe the usefulness of this biomarker for identifying those patients with severe sepsis who are most likely to benefit from rhAPC and for helping the clinician to measure the response to rhAPC. This hypothesis is currently being tested in RESPOND (Research Evaluation Serial Protein C levels in severe sepsis patients On Drotrecogin alfa [activated]) a worldwide phase II study.

The supplement concludes with three practical clinical articles. In the first of these, Laterre [11] reviews all large clinical trials of rhAPC. Continued safety assessment is

rhAPC = recombinant human activated protein C.
essential for any newly approved therapy; in the next article, Fumagalli and Mignini [12] review the safety profile of rhAPC in all published studies, which altogether include approximately 8,000 patients. Using a uniform definition of serious bleeding to analyze the findings of the various studies, they demonstrate a consistent rate of serious bleeding of 2.4% but conclude that this adverse effect is outweighed by the drug’s benefits in patients with severe sepsis who are at high risk for death. In the final article, Camporota and Wyncoll [13] define the patients who are most likely to benefit from rhAPC and describe when to start and how to manage infusion to ensure effective treatment while minimizing the risk for bleeding.

We hope that this supplement will provide readers with an up-to-date summary of the protein C pathway from bench to bedside, along with practical guidelines for the early identification of the patients who will benefit from rhAPC and general recommendations for the appropriate use of rhAPC in severe sepsis.

We thank all of the authors for their valuable contributions toward clarifying the controversy about the efficacy and use of rhAPC in critically ill patients with severe sepsis. Given the advances made in sepsis care and various scientific questions surrounding the drug, this is an opportune time to gather additional clinical evidence on the efficacy, safety and the benefit-to-risk relationship accompanying rhAPC use and initiate the new, often requested, phase III placebo-controlled study PROWESS-SHOCK, scheduled to begin in 2008.
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