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Abstract
Transsexuality is explained and defined as a gender-identity disorder, characterized by very strong conviction of belonging to the opposite sex and has been associated with a distinct neuroanatomical pattern. We performed a structural analysis in search of possible differences in gray matter structures based on MRI scans of the brains of 26 individuals between 19 and 38 years of age. The participants were divided into two groups of 15 controls and 11 transgender individuals. The segmentation of subcortical gray matter was performed using the First, the model based segmentation/registration tool, from FSL software package. The results showed that the volume of the brain region called nucleus accumbens on the left side was significantly smaller in the group of transgender individuals compared to the control. It was the most important parameter which was shown to make distinction between two examined groups. The results also showed decreased volumes of the left thalamus, right hippocampus and right caudate nucleus.
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INTRODUCTION

Transsexualism is defined as a gender identity inconsistency with their biologically assigned sex with a great desire for a sex reassignment therapy. It is no longer classified as a mental disorder in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD 11), but as a sexual health condition.[1] Exact and defined etiology is still unknown, and sexual brain differentiation through embryonic development deviates from the sexual differentiation of the rest of the body. Neuroanatomy plays a crucial, highly important
role in determining gender identity and therefore the investigation and defining the anatomical supstrates and correlates can help us in identifying underlying mechanisms of transsexualism. Although public awareness is dramatically increased in past few decades, our scientific understanding and explanation of transsexualism is still very poor and pretty limited, as within every psychiatric condition where morphological substrate is not defined. It has been implicated that both environmental events and innate differences can influence and tribute to this condition. Sexual brain differentiation through embryonic development deviates from the sexual differentiation of the rest of the body [2]. This statement implicates to the fact that neuroanatomy plays a critical role in determining gender identity and therefore the investigation and defying the anatomical supstrates and correlates can help us in identifying underlying mechanisms of transsexualism.

There were a number of studies that had examined brain structures in male to female (MtF) and female to male transsexuals (FtM) and some of them are postmortem studies. They gave a great tribute to the already claimed fact that brain anatomy is associated with transsexualism. Some of them did not show any significant result but two post mortem studies revealed a lot: MtF transsexuals had a female like central subdivision of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis with respect to its size [2] and number of neurons [3]. Other post mortem study showed that MtF transsexuals had female like volumes and neuronal densities of the interstitial nucleus of the anterior hypothalamus [4]. All this findings were very crucial in defining in which direction further investigation will proceed because scientists are pretty limited by inherent pitfalls of postmortem studies and by small number of transsexual patients generally. In a voxel based morphometric study, a gray and white matter brain structures volumes were investigated in treatment naïve or hormone treated transgender women, and investigators concluded that differences existed in grey matter volumes in posterior superior frontal cortex in the cisgender women group.[5] Another MRI study showed regional grey matter structure differences in transsexuals compared to controls, independent from their biological gender in cerebellum, left angular gyrus, and in the left inferior aprietal lobule.[6]

In order of expanding the area of research, we investigated MRI neuroanatomy analysis and applied a very sophisticated computational image analysis approach to compare regional total volumes of different subcortical gray matter structures, caudate nucleus, putamen, globus pallidus, thalamus, hippocampus, amygdala, nucleus accumbens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**
Participants

This research was conducted in accordance with the latest version of Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the local ethics committee and all included individuals provided written informed consent. All individuals arriving from the transgender outpatient service of the psychiatry department Clinical Center Dragisa Misovic in Belgrade, Serbia, diagnosed with Gender identity disorder (GID) based on DSM-IV TR diagnostic criteria, were approached to enter a neuroimaging study. Both MtF and FtM individuals were eligible for the research. Healthy volunteers were recruited to serve as controls from among medical students, colleagues and friends of the research team who were free from any symptoms of GID or any psychiatric disorders. The presence of symptoms of GID was evaluated based on a free clinical interview asking simple questions targeting the symptoms of GID listed in DSM-IV TR, while the presence of psychiatric symptoms was assessed by SCL-90 [18]. Control subjects were selected to represent a population matched in age and gender identity to the patient group.

Only data from the structural imaging findings are presented in this paper, results of the functional imaging findings will be reported in upcoming publications.

Diagnosis of gender identity disorder (GID)

All GID individuals underwent a detailed diagnostic interview with an expert psychiatrist in the field and also filled out a test battery assessing transgender identity disorder symptoms and associated behaviors and psychiatric comorbidity in order to confirm the diagnosis and exclude the presence of other mental disorder behind the symptoms of gender identity disorder. Sexual orientation of the patients was assessed by self-report. During the clinical interview basic demographic data, family history, psychiatric history and psychiatric status were also assessed.

MRI acquisition

MR examination of both transexual and control subjects was performed using Siemens Avanto 1.5 T MRI device (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) and eight-channel head coil. The imaging protocol consisted of T2 weighted spin echo (T2W, TR=4800 ms, TE=94 ms) and magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo T1 weighted sequence (MPRAGE, TR=1850 ms, TE=5 ms, flip angle=12°, matrix 512x512, isovoxel). T2W images were used to exclude presence of gross brain pathology in subjects. T1W images were
transferred to PC workstation (Intel i5, 8GB ram, OS Ubuntu 14.04LTS) and converted to NifTI-1 (Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative) using dcm2nii software. Orientation of images was checked/corrected using fslreorient2std script. The segmentation of subcortical gray matter was performed using the First, the model based segmentation/registration tool, from FSL software package [8,9]. The tool utilizes the principles of the Active Shape and Appearance Models placed within a Bayesian framework. The models are trained for 15 different subcortical structures using 336 manually segmented and labelled T1-weighted MR images and statistical analysis was performed by R software [10].

RESULTS

We performed a structural analysis and searched for possible differences in gray matter structures based on MRI scans of the brains of 26 participants between 19 and 38 years of age, 15 controls and 11 transgender individuals. For statistical analysis, Kolmogorov Smirnov test was used to test normal distribution of examined parameters. All parameters had a normal distribution (p>0.05). To test average values of the parameters Student t-test and Levene's test for Equality of Variances were done. Binomial Logistic Regression was used to apostrophe important parameters for group prediction. ROC analysis was done for important parameters to emphasise sensitivity and specificity of each parameter and to remark cutoff values (points). All analyses were done on a level of significance p<0.05. Analyses were done in SPSS 24 software package. The results showed that the volume of the brain region called nucleus accumbens on the left side was significantly smaller in the group of transgender people compared to the control. It was the most important parameter which was shown to make distinction between two examined groups. The results also showed decreased volumes of the left thalamus, right hippocampus and right caudate nucleus.

Age of the groups was similar (p=0.501)

Table 1. Group statistics shown in the table

T-Test

Table 2. Comparison of the groups for each parameter

Logistics Regression

Table 3. To find important parameters for group prediction we used binomial multivariate logistic regression. At the start, the overall prediction was 57.5%, after four steps using Forward Conditional method, overall prediction shows 100%.
Table 4. Parameters for group prediction were given in the table above. The most important parameter to distinct groups is left nucleus accumbens with HR=1.473.

ROC Analysis
To confirm binomial multivariate logistic regression, for each important variables ROC analysis were done. The results confirmed the obtained logistic regression solution. Most important parameters presented is Nucleus accumbens on the left (AUC=0.824).

Graph 1. ROC Curve for left thalamus and right caudatus
Graph 2. ROC Curve for left accumbens and right hippocampus

Cutoff Point
Table 5. Cutoff point and sensitivity and specificity were calculated for each given parameters.

Graph 3. Example of segmentation of inner gray matter structures performed on single subject performed using First (model based segmentation/registration tool, FSL software package)

DISCUSSION
Nucleus accumbens is anatomically spoken, round and dorsally flattened structure located anteriorly to the anterior commissure, its posterior part, and dorsomedially into the caudate nucleus. Strict delineation between these two structures is previously thought to be almost impossible, especially with MRI, but more recent studies have suggested that discerning the nucleus accumbens limits with the caudate nucleus is easier by T2-weighted MRIs due to the more intense signaling showing of nucleus accumbens than the caudate nucleus. Our study showed decreased left nucleus accumbens volume which is correlated with previous explanation. [12,13]

Many morphometric studies showed increased volume of nucleus accumbens on the left and right in males with and without gender or cerebral differences. [12-16] Another parameter that was included as very important was age, which was mentioned as a very important parameter when referring a decrease in specific brain structure volume correlated with age, while others donot pinpoint to age related volume decrease. [13,15,16] Our results showed decreased volume on the left side predominantly in FtM and in one MtF, and they were in their ages of 21 yrs, 23 yrs, and 39 yrs, which we cannot consider as age related atrophy.

The results showed that the volume of the left nucleus accumbens was smaller in transgender group consisted of both FtM and MtF than in healthy ones. Beside nucleus
accumbes, we found decreased volumes of left thalamus and right hippocampus and caudate nucleus, but statistically it was shown that left nucleus accumbens was the most significant parameter. Nucleus accumbens is very important structure of ventral striatum and one of the major subcortical structures involved in emotional processes, anxiety disorders, bipolar disorder, many other neurological and psychiatric disorders as well as in addictions. It was known to be one of the key structures in addiction and drug reward circle. It would be easy to directly connect to the transgender individuals, but we can say that verification of a different volume or simply a difference in left nucleus accumbens is relevant since transgender individuals have many problems related to be accepted from their family and society, they have that strong feeling of being trapped into their own body and consequently their perception is different. Due to the fact mentioned previously, transgender people go through discrimination and persecution and often suffer from different anxiety problems, depression, addiction issues, bipolar disorder and many other.

It is worth mentioning that an important diagnostic criterion for gender dysphoria is the distress that accompanies the incongruity between the body and gender identity, as the secondary sexual characteristics do not belong to the gender with which one identifies. [17]

As for the decreased volumes of right thalamic and caudate structures, Nota et al., showed that in within the right working memory network, cisgender males showed significantly greater functional connectivity in the right caudate nucleus than cisgender females. [18]

More, later research has shown very similar delay of active neurons also in the posterior parietal cortex, the thalamus, the caudate, and the globus pallidus. [19]

In addition to the reported regional volumetric characteristics, it is very significant to take into account human brain network connectome and morphological substrates included, which enables an investigation of interactions across brain regions and hence have provided valuable insights in fundamental human brain function. In a study investigating structural connectome of FtM and MtF, transsexuals before hormonal treatment showed differences specifically for connections between subcortical, limbic and cortical regions. [20,21] Subcortical brain segmentation and volumetry findings put on complementary information as the evaluation on a network level between subcortical structures and cortex revealed specific characteristics for transsexuals. [21]

CONCLUSIONS
Our findings support the theory that structural differences exist between transsexual individuals and controls from the same biological gender. We found that the volume of the brain region called nucleus accumbens on the left side was significantly smaller in the group of transgenders compared to the control. It was the most important parameter which was shown to make distinction between two examined groups. The results also showed decreased volumes of the left thalamus, right hippocampus and right caudate nucleus.

Disadvantage of this investigation is the fact of sample sizes which is modest and results are therefore yet inconclusive in details, but still significant structural differences were found between transsexuals and controls. These initial results, the results of our study, need to be further replicated and refined in future studies on larger samples, as well as followed by functional imaging studies that might clarify how these structural differences impact the process of the evolution of gender identity and its affection on specific brain structures as well as their emotional regulation, cognitive ability and brain lateralization.
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| Group Statistics | Group | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | p |
|------------------|-------|---|------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| Age1 Trans       | 11    | 26.91 | 7.503 | 2.262 | 0.501 |
| Control          | 15    | 29.07 | 8.259 | 2.132 |          |
Table 1. Group statistics shown in the table

| Group Statistics | Group   | N  | Mean     | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | p     |
|------------------|---------|----|----------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| Thalamus. Vol L  | Trans   | 11 | 8212.13  | 623.92         | 188.12         | 0.085 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 7673.41  | 838.33         | 216.46         |       |
| Thalamus. Vol R  | Trans   | 11 | 7992.21  | 587.19         | 177.05         | 0.025 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 7370.11  | 702.82         | 181.47         |       |
| Caudatus.Vol L   | Trans   | 11 | 3695.84  | 544.84         | 164.27         | 0.185 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 3279.72  | 302.52         | 78.11          |       |
| Caudatus.Vol R   | Trans   | 11 | 3653.07  | 574.97         | 173.36         | 0.185 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 3419.11  | 290.17         | 74.92          |       |
| Putamen.Vol L    | Trans   | 11 | 4924.09  | 363.45         | 109.58         | 0.525 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 4821.70  | 423.46         | 109.34         |       |
| Putamen.Vol R    | Trans   | 11 | 3921.13  | 859.81         | 259.24         | 0.005 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 4726.78  | 456.64         | 117.90         |       |
| Pallidum.Vol L   | Trans   | 11 | 1764.45  | 192.83         | 58.14          | 0.571 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 1711.09  | 259.64         | 67.04          |       |
| Pallidum.Vol R   | Trans   | 11 | 1817.34  | 185.10         | 55.81          | 0.746 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 1783.14  | 306.78         | 79.21          |       |
| Hippoc.Vol L     | Trans   | 11 | 3562.27  | 461.83         | 139.25         | 0.155 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 3825.74  | 445.38         | 115.00         |       |
| Hippoc.Vol R     | Trans   | 11 | 3611.82  | 342.21         | 103.18         | 0.010 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 3973.05  | 313.83         | 81.03          |       |
| Amyg.Vol L       | Trans   | 11 | 1248.97  | 225.57         | 68.01          | 0.456 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 1185.74  | 198.32         | 51.20          |       |
| Amyg.Vol R       | Trans   | 11 | 1338.66  | 402.57         | 121.38         | 0.445 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 1239.34  | 249.81         | 64.50          |       |
| Acumb.Vol L      | Trans   | 11 | 514.07   | 68.60          | 20.68          | 0.020 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 605.47   | 105.74         | 27.30          |       |
| Acumb.Vol R      | Trans   | 11 | 382.42   | 70.86          | 21.37          | 0.066 |
|                  | Control | 15 | 439.62   | 77.46          | 20.00          |       |

Table 2. Comparison of the groups for each examined subcortical structure

| Observed Group | Predicted Group | Percentage Correct |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|               | Trans           | Control            |
| Step 0 Group  | Trans           | 11                 | 0.0                |
|               | Control         | 15                 | 100.0              |
| Overall       |                 |                    | 57.7               |
| Step 4 Group  | Trans           | 11                 | 100.0              |
Table 3. In order to find important volumetric values for group prediction we used binomial multivariate logistic regression. At the start, the overall prediction was 57.5%, after four steps using Forward Conditional method, overall prediction shows 100%.

| Step 4 | Thalamus. Vol L | -0.097 | 13.623 | 0.908 |
|--------|----------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Caudatus.Vol R | 0.067 | 13.337 | 1.070 |
| Hippoc.Vol R | 0.054 | 24.948 | 1.055 |
| Acumb.Vol L | 0.387 | 60.312 | 1.473 |

Table 4. Parameters for group prediction were given in the table above. The most important parameter to distinct groups is Acumb vol L with HR=1.473.

Area Under the Curve

| Test Result Variable(s) | Area | Std. Error$^a$ | Asymptotic Sig.$^b$ | Asymptotic 95% CI Lower Bound | Asymptotic 95% CI Upper Bound |
|-------------------------|------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Thalamus. Vol L         | 0.764| 0.097          | 0.024               | 0.573                          | 0.954                       |
| Caudatus.Vol R          | 0.739| 0.106          | 0.040               | 0.531                          | 0.948                       |
| Hippoc.Vol R            | 0.794| 0.093          | 0.012               | 0.612                          | 0.976                       |
| Acumb.Vol L             | 0.824| 0.085          | 0.005               | 0.658                          | 0.991                       |

$^a$ Under the nonparametric assumption

$^b$ Null hypothesis: true area = 0.5

Table 5. Cutoff point and sensitivity and specificity were calculated for each given parameters

| Parameter     | Cutoff Point | Sensitivity | Specificity | To Trans group |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Thalamus. Vol L | 7729.97      | 0.818       | 0.733       | Bigger value than Cutoff Point |
| Caudatus.Vol R  | 3533.62      | 0.636       | 0.667       | Bigger value than Cutoff Point |
| Hippoc.Vol R    | 3798.06      | 0.818       | 0.800       | Smaller value than Cutoff Point |
| Acumb.Vol L     | 561.13       | 0.818       | 0.733       | Smaller value than Cutoff Point |
Graph 1. ROC Curve for left thalamus and right caudatus

Graph 2. ROC Curve for left accumbens and right hippocampus

Graph 3. Example of segmentation of inner gray matter structures performed on single subject performed with FIRST