Statistical guarantee of timeliness in networks of IoT devices
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Abstract
The Internet of Things (IoT) paradigm, has opened up the possibility of using the ubiquity of small devices to route information without the necessity of being connected to a Wide Area Network (WAN). Use cases of IoT devices sending updates that are routed and delivered by other IoT devices have been proposed in the literature. In this paper we focus on receivers only interested in the freshest updates from the sending device. In particular, the dynamic network created by routing/gossiping through small devices creates the possibility of delivering updates out of order. Thus, the entire process can be studied well through a queueing system with infinitely many servers, all serving updates with a random service time. Age of Information (AoI) was proposed as the main metric to measure information freshness. We study the amount of time that the AoI is over a certain threshold at the receiver end as a Quality of Service (QoS) measure, called update outage probability. Particularly, given the recent interest in the literature for time domain analysis of the AoI, we obtain the exact expressions for the AoI, peak AoI (pAoI), effective service time and effective departure time distributions for an M/M/∞ queueing system from a time domain perspective, and study the interdependence between the various parameters involved in order to satisfy a given statistical constraint on timeliness.
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1 Introduction

The ubiquitous nature of the Internet of Things (IoT), creates a vast array of benefits, as well as a rich set of challenges. The vast number of deployed devices – as well as their mobility potential – creates the possibility of using them to convey and route information among themselves without having to be connected to the Wide Area Network (WAN) all the time. The possibility of gossiping and routing updates in IoT dynamical based networks, and in general, small device networks was explored in the literature for many use cases [4,14,15,17,37,40].

We consider a receiver (that we call “sink”) interested only in the most recent update sent by an IoT monitor; the monitor routes the pieces of information via other IoT devices. Given the highly dynamic and unpredictable topology of an IoT network, updates bear the possibility of coming out of order, resulting in a number of those being discarded by the receiver. Fig. 1 gives an example of the previously introduced scenario. The variability in the order of arrival of updates at the receiver end can be approximated well using a queueing system with an infinite number of servers, all serving updates with a random service time drawn from a random distribution.

The best metric to address timeliness is the Age of Information metric [27]. It is the age of the last received update by the sink, measured from the generation time of said update by the sender. Most of the early literature focused on the average AoI in different queueing systems (for a thorough review of the initial literature we point the reader to the excellent work in [28]). The recent trend has been to instead study the entire distribution of the AoI at the receiver end, since its Survival Function (i.e. one minus its Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)) gives the probability of the AoI going over a certain threshold, thus allowing a stricter control over Quality of Service (QoS). Particularly, formulas for the Laplace Transform of the AoI distribution were calculated for the G/G/1 First Come First Served (FCFS) system [23]; exact expressions for the AoI distribution for GI/GI/1/1 and GI/GI/1/2* Systems with preemption were calculated in
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Age aware protocols have been introduced in the literature. Freshness aware Medium Access Control (MAC) protocols were introduced in [18,24], while IoT latency/age aware protocols were introduced in [7,10,11,26,29,36,39,41]. Additionally, in [3], a complete real life implementation of an IoT network was carried out and AoI measurements taken. In this work we instead study the performances in terms of timeliness of a protocol-independent multi path IoT network.

In this work, the main contribution is the derivation of closed form expressions for the AoI, peak AoI (pAoI) [8], timeliness of an effective service time and effective departure time distributions for an M/M/∞ queuing system, based on an alternative proof in the time domain. We then proceed to numerically study its performance based on these metrics. As recently argued in [20], the AoI being a time domain measure, it is important to have expressions in this domain. These expressions that we derive give more immediate intuitions on how QoS constraints can be applied by varying the different parameters involved (e.g. the update generation rate). In previous work [22], the authors provided a formula for the Laplace transform of the AoI in a network of parallel infinite servers, but expressions in the time domain were not presented.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 the scenario is described in detail. In Sect. 3 an expression for update outage probability is derived. In Sect. 4 the expression of the outage probability for the effective departure rate is computed. In Sect. 5 the previous expressions are compared with simulations and numerical results analyzed. Finally in Sect. 6 conclusions are discussed.

2 Scenario description

The source generates pieces of information (i.e. updates) with an average rate of $\lambda$ updates per second; the servers all serve updates with an average rate of $\mu$ updates per second. All the updates arrive to a sink, that is interested only in the freshest update generated by the source, thus from the perspective of the sink, an update generated before the freshest update received is without informative value; from the AoI perspective, an outdated update does not contribute to its value.

Specifically, our system consists of an M/M/∞ queuing system sending updates to a sink. We will call an update that is not discarded an informative update, while an update that is discarded, an obsolete update. As previously stated, the sink is interested only in the freshest updates, thus discarding obsolete updates (i.e. updates generated before the generation time of the last update received). The timestamping part can be included in the payload of the packet, at the application level at the source side (e.g. by using a format similar to an NTP timestamp [30], or a more precise one, depending on the QoS requirements); then it is simply passed transparently to the sink by the other nodes. The sink can then read the timestamp by the same means as the source. Both the inter generation times and the service times follow an exponential distribution i.e. their respective Probability Density Functions (PDFs) are:

$$f_X(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} H(t),$$

and

$$f_S(t) = \mu e^{-\mu t} H(t),$$

where $H(t)$ is the Heaviside step function defined as:

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \geq 0 \\ 0, & t < 0 \end{cases}.$$
and its outcome as \( x^b \). Finally, unless stated otherwise, all the random variables have non negative support.

In Fig. 2 a typical time period is shown, along with the AoI function \( \Delta(t) \). Generation times are marked as \( t_i \), while the corresponding departure times (i.e. the times when the sink receives the update) are marked as \( \tau_i \). Update 1 is generated at \( t_1 \), and arrives at the sink after a time \( S_1 \), at the instant \( \tau_1 \). The AoI will then jump to the service time experienced by update 1. Then it will continue to grow with slope 1, until update 2 arrives at the sink, where it again jumps to its service time \( S_2 \). Notice that, since update 3 is generated before update 4, but arrives after the latter, it is discarded, i.e., is an obsolete update. The time between two informative updates we call the effective inter-generation time, described by the random variable \( B \). Also, the service time experienced by an informative update we call the effective service time, \( \tau \), where the two random variables are not statistically independent, as we will see in Sect. 3.2.

Notice that, since both the inter-arrival process and the inter-departure process for informative updates are two identical distributed Poisson point processes subject to the same thinning, they are statistically equivalent, and can be used interchangeably for the purpose of calculating the AoI process. Also, since our system is ergodic, and we are considering the steady state distributions, we can calculate the CDF of the AoI (represented by the random variable \( \Delta \)) by using [23, Lemma 1]:

\[
F_{\Delta}(t) = \lambda e \int_0^t F_Z(y) - F_T(y)dy,
\]

where \( \lambda e \) is the effective departure rate, expressed in updates per second.

### 3 Distribution of the age of information

In order to find the update outage probability, we need to find the survival function \( G_{\Delta}(t) \) of the AoI \( \Delta \). In order to do that, we need to find the relevant statistics for the service times for informative updates \( Z \). Also, since we need the pAoI \( \gamma \), being the sum of the inter-arrival times for informative updates \( B \), and the service times for informative updates \( Z \), we need their joint distribution. Once found, they can be used in order to find the CDF of the AoI \( \Delta \) via (3), to finally find the update outage probability.

#### 3.1 Distribution of the service times for informative updates

First, we notice that the joint PDF of \( n \) inter-arrivals \( f_{X_1}(t) \) is just the product of the PDFs of \( n \) independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables distributed as (1), i.e.:

\[
f_{X_1}(x^n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_X(x_k) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k}.
\]

The random variable describing the inter-arrival times after update \( i \), is a vector, with non negative support, indicated as \( X \). Notice that, as found in [25, Appendix A] it is independent of the update number \( i \). Further, we notice that the random variable \( Z = S_1 | E_1(i) \) describing service time experienced by informative updates could be expressed as:

\[
f_{Z}(t) = \Pr \{ S_1 = t | E_1(i) \}
= \frac{f_{X}(t)}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \times \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pr \{ E_1(i) | S_1 = t, X_{i+1}^\infty = x_{i+1}^\infty \} \times f_{X_{i+1}^\infty}(x_{i+1}^\infty) dx_{i+1}^\infty.
\]
where $|x|$ represents the cardinality of the (possibly infinite) set $x$ and \cite[Section III-D]{25}:

\[
\Pr \{ E_1(i) \} = \frac{1}{\rho + 1} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\rho^r}{(r+1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{r} (\rho + k) \right] (1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho + r + 1})
\]

\[
= \frac{\rho}{\rho + 1} F_2 \left( 1, 1; 2, \rho + 1; \rho \right) - \frac{\rho}{\rho + 1} F_2 \left( 1, 1; 2, \rho + 2; \rho \right),
\]

where we used the definition of the hyper-geometric function $F_2(a, b; c, d; e)$ \cite{31} to solve the series and $\rho = \lambda / \mu$ is the load per server. From \cite[Eq. (5)]{25} we know:

\[
\Pr \{ E_1(i) | S_i = t, X_i^{\infty} = x_i^{\infty} \} = \mathbb{1} \{ t < x_{i+1} \} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( e^{-\mu (t - \sum_{k=1}^{r} (r-k+1) x_{i+k})} \right)
\]

\[
\times \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} x_{i+k} < t < \sum_{k=1}^{r} x_{i+k} \right\},
\]

where $\mathbb{1} \{ E \}$ is the indicator function defined as:

\[
\mathbb{1} \{ E \} = \begin{cases} 1, & E \text{ is true} \\ 0, & E \text{ is false}. \end{cases}
\]

By using (6) in (4), after some algebraic manipulations, we obtain:

\[
f_Z(t) = \frac{\mu e^{-\lambda + \mu t}}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-\mu t} \right)^r \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\mu e^{-\lambda + \mu t}}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} e^{-\lambda - \mu t},
\]

where we used the fact that the future arrivals are all i.i.d.; the associated CDF is then:

\[
F_Z(t) = \frac{\mu e^{t}}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda + \mu t'} - e^{-\mu t'} dt'
\]

\[
= \frac{\rho^{-\rho + 1} e^{t}}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \int_{e^{-\mu t}}^1 q^{\rho - 1} e^{-q} dq
\]

\[
= \frac{\rho^{-\rho + 1} e^{t}}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \left[ \gamma(\rho + 1, \rho) - \gamma(\rho + 1, e^{-\mu t}) \right],
\]

where $\gamma(s, x)$ is the lower incomplete gamma function defined as:

\[
\gamma(s, x) = \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt.
\]

### 3.2 Distribution of the peak age of information

We first need the joint distribution of the inter-arrival times of the previous $n$ updates, and the service time of update $i$, given that the update $i$ is informative and has rendered the previous $n$ updates obsolete i.e.

\[
f_{X_i^{\infty}, S_i | \mathcal{E}(n)} \left( x_i^{\infty}, s_i \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{f_S(s_i) f_{X_i^{\infty}, s_i | \mathcal{E}(n)} \left( x_i^{\infty}, s_i \right)}{\Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(n) \}}
\]

\[
\times \Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(n) | S_i = s_i, X_i^{\infty} = x_i^{\infty} \}
\]

\[
= \frac{f_S(s_i) f_{X_i^{\infty}, s_i | \mathcal{E}(n)} \left( x_i^{\infty}, s_i \right)}{\Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(n) \}} \int_0^{\infty} \cdots \int_0^{\infty} f_{X_i^{\infty}} \left( x_i^{\infty} \right) dx_i^{\infty},
\]

where $\Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(n) \}$ is given in \cite[Eq. (9)]{25}:

\[
\Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(n) \} = \frac{\lambda^n \mu}{\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (\lambda + k \mu)} = \rho^n \frac{\Gamma(\rho + 1)}{\Gamma(\rho + n + 2)}.
\]

where we used the recurrence relation of the Gamma function:

\[
\Gamma(z + 1) = z \Gamma(z)
\]

to solve the product; By using conditional independence given $S_i = s_i$ \cite{25}, we can write:

\[
\Pr \{ \mathcal{E}(n) | S_i = s_i, X_i^{\infty} = x_i^{\infty} \}
\]

\[
= \Pr \{ E_1(i) | S_i = s_i, X_i^{\infty} = x_i^{\infty} \}
\]

\[
\times \Pr \{ E_2(n) | S_i = s_i, X_i^{\infty} = x_i^{\infty} \}.
\]

By inserting the previous in (8):

\[
\int_0^{\infty} \cdots \int_0^{\infty} \Pr \{ E_1(i) | S_i = s_i, X_i^{\infty} = x_i^{\infty} \}
\]

\[
\times \int_{X_i^{\infty}}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{X_i^{\infty}}^{\infty} f_{X_i^{\infty}} \left( x_i^{\infty} \right) dx_i^{\infty},
\]

We notice that the integral in the previous is the same as the integral in (4), so, together with (6) and \cite[Eq. (6)]{25} we obtain:
\[ f_{X_{i-n}, S_i|E(n)}(x_{i-n}, s_i) \]
\[ = \lambda \mu (\rho + n + 2) e^{\rho - \rho e^{-\mu s} - [\lambda + (n+1)\mu]s_i} \]
\[ \times \left[ e^{-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\lambda + k\mu) x_{i-n-k}} - e^{-\mu s_i - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\lambda + k\mu) x_{i-n-k-1}} \right]. \]

We call \( B'(i, n) \) the random variable describing the sum of the previous \( n \) inter-arrivals with respect to update \( i \), and \( B(n) \) the sum of the inter-arrival times of the previous \( n \) updates given that the update \( i \) is informative and has rendered the previous \( n \) updates obsolete. We notice that:

\[ B(n) = B'(i, n) | E(n) = \sum_{k=i-n}^{i} X_k | E(n), \]

so we use the previous in order to find the joint PDF of \( B(n) \) and \( Z(n) = S_i | E(n) \) as:

\[ f_{B(n), Z(n)}(t, s) = f_{B'(i, n), S_i | E(n)}(t, s) \]
\[ = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{X_{i-n}, S_i | E(n)}(x_{i-n+1}, t - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{i-k}, s_i) \]
\[ \times e^{-\lambda t} \left( 1 - e^{-\mu t} \right)^{n} \left( 1 - e^{-\mu (s+t)} \right). \]

Notice that, in the above, the dependency between the two random variables rests on the last term, i.e. it tends to disappear as \( \mu \) increases. The effective inter-arrival time \( B \) is the sum of the inter-arrivals between two informative updates, i.e. \( B = \sum_{k=i-N}^{i-N} X_k | E_1(i) = B(N) | E_1(i) \), where \( N \) is the random variable describing the number of previous updates rendered obsolete by the informative update \( i \). Reasoning the same way, the effective service time will be \( Z = Z(N) | E_1(i) \). We notice:

\[ f_{B, Z}(t) = f_{B(N), Z(N) | E_1(i)}(t) \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda \mu}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda t'} \left( 1 - e^{-\mu t'} \right)^{n} e^{-\rho e^{-\mu t'}} dt' \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda t'} \left( 1 - e^{-\mu t'} \right)^{n} e^{-\rho e^{-\mu t'}} \rho^{n-1} e^{-q} dq \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{\Pr \{ E_1(i) \}} \left[ (\chi(\rho) - \chi(\rho e^{-\mu t})) \right], \]

where:

\[ \chi(x) = \rho^2 \gamma(\rho, x) - 2 \rho \gamma(\rho + 1, x) + \gamma(\rho + 2, x). \]

### 3.3 Distribution of the age of information

The effective rate is simply the arrival rate \( \lambda \), multiplied by the probability of an update being informative (5). By using the previous observation, and substituting (7) and (12) in (3), we obtain:

\[ G_{\Delta t} = 1 - \lambda e^{\rho} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(1+2\rho) \gamma(\rho + 1, \rho)} \]
\[ - \rho^2 \gamma(\rho, \rho) - (1 + 2\rho) \gamma(\rho + 1, e^{-\mu t'}) + \rho^2 \gamma(\rho, e^{-\mu t'}) + \gamma(\rho + 2, e^{-\mu t'}) \]
\[ - \gamma(\rho + 2, \rho) \rho^{n-1} e^{-q} dq \]
\[ - \gamma(\rho + 2, \rho) \rho^{n-1} e^{-q} dq \]
\[ = 1 - \lambda e^{\rho} \rho^{n-1} e^{-q} \left[ [(1+2\rho) \gamma(\rho + 1, \rho) - \rho^2 \gamma(\rho, \rho) \right], \]

\[ + \rho^2 \gamma(\rho, e^{-\mu t'}) + \gamma(\rho + 2, e^{-\mu t'}) - \gamma(\rho + 2, \rho) \rho^{n-1} e^{-q} dq \]
where, by using [34, Eq. (2.10.1.1)] in order to simplify the integral:

\[
\zeta(a, b) = \mu^{-1} \int_0^b q^{-1} \gamma(\rho + a, \rho q) dq = \mu^{-1}(\rho b)^{\rho+a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-b)^k}{k!(\rho + a + k)^2},
\]

and:

\[
\omega(a) = \zeta(a, 1) - \zeta(a, e^{-\mu t}).
\]

### 4 Distribution of the inter-arrival times for informative updates

The random variable for the inter-arrival times for informative updates is statistically identical to the random variable for the inter-departure times for informative updates. It allows to compute not only the average rate – already known in the literature (9), but also all the statistics relative to the effective rate departing from the system.

We make use of the distribution of the inter-arrival times of the previous \( n \) updates given that the update \( i \) is informative and has rendered the previous \( n \) updates obsolete in [25, Appendix C]. The random variable describing the previous \( n \) inter-arrival times is a vector, with non negative support, indicated as \( \mathbf{X}_{i-n} = \{X_{i-n}, \ldots, X_i\} \). After some algebraic modifications we have:

\[
f_{\mathbf{X}_{i-n} \mid \mathcal{E}(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{i-n}) = \lambda \mu^n \frac{\Gamma(n + \rho + 2)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\rho + n + 1} \right) \sigma(n) \times e^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}[\rho + \mu(n-k)]X_{i-k}} e^{-\lambda \mu x_{i-n}} - \lambda \mu^n \frac{(\rho + n + 1)\Gamma(n + \rho + 2)}{\rho \Gamma(\rho + 1)} \sigma(n) \times e^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n}[\rho + \mu(n-k+1)]X_{i-k}} e^{-(\rho + \mu)X_{i-n}}.
\]

Also:

\[
\sigma(n) = \Gamma(\rho + n + 1) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\rho^r}{\Gamma(r + \rho + n + 2)} \right)
\]

\[
= e^\rho \frac{\rho^{\rho + n + 1}}{\rho + n + 1} \gamma(\rho + n + 2, \rho),
\]

where we used [33, Eq. (5.2.7.20)] to solve the sum.

Using the same reasoning as in Sect. 3.2, we use (14) in order to find the PDF of \( B(n) \) as:

\[
f_{B(n)}(t) = f_{B(i,n) \mid \mathcal{E}(n)}(t)
\]

\[
= \frac{f_{B(N) \cap E_1(i)}(t)}{Pr\{E_1(i)\}} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{B(N) \cap E_1(i) \cap E_2(n)}(t)}{Pr\{E_1(i)\}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{B(N) \cap E_1(i)}(t) Pr\{E_1(n)\}}{Pr\{E_1(i)\}}
\]

As we did in Sect. 3.2. We notice:

\[
f_B(t) = f_{B(N) \cap E_1(i)}(t)
\]

\[
= \frac{f_{B(N) \cap E_1(i)}(t)}{Pr\{E_1(i)\}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{B(I,n) \cap E_1(i)}(t) Pr\{E_1(n)\}}{Pr\{E_1(i)\}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{B(n)}(t) Pr\{E_1(n)\}}{Pr\{E_1(i)\}}.
\]

By combining (15) and (9), after some algebraic manipulations we obtain:

\[
f_{B(N) \cap E_1(i)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{B(n)}(t) Pr\{E_1(n)\}
\]

\[
= \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\rho(1-e^{-\mu t})}{n(n + \rho + 1)} \right)^n + \lambda e^{\rho t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t})}{n!} (\rho n + 2, \rho) - \lambda e^{\rho t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t})}{n!} (\rho n + 2, \rho) + \lambda e^{\rho t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t})}{n!} (\rho n + 2, \rho) - \lambda e^{\rho t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t})}{n!} (\rho n + 2, \rho) + \lambda e^{\rho t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t})}{n!} (\rho n + 2, \rho) - \lambda e^{\rho t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t})}{n!} (\rho n + 2, \rho)
\]

where we used the recurrence relation for the incomplete gamma function:

\[
\gamma(a + 1, x) = a \gamma(a, x) - x^a e^{-x},
\]

and, subsequently, [34, Eq. (5.2.3.1)] for the two surviving sums. Then, by using the previous in (16) we obtain:
\[ f_B(t) = \frac{\lambda e^{\rho+\mu t} \rho^{-\rho-1}}{\Pr\{E_1(t)\}} \left[ \gamma (\rho + 1, \rho e^{-\mu t}) - \frac{1}{\rho} \gamma (\rho + 2, \rho e^{-\mu t}) \right]. \]

Finally, its survival function is:

\[ G_B(t) = 1 - \frac{\lambda e^{\rho} \rho^{-\rho-1}}{\Pr\{E_1(t)\}} \left[ \int_0^t e^{\mu t'} \gamma (\rho + 1, \rho e^{-\mu t'}) \, dt' - \rho^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\mu t'} \gamma (\rho + 2, \rho e^{-\mu t'}) \, dt' \right] \]
\[ = 1 - \frac{\lambda e^{\rho} \rho^{-\rho-1}}{\Pr\{E_1(t)\}} \left[ \alpha(1, 1) - \alpha(1, e^{-\mu t}) - \rho^{-1} \alpha(2, 1) + \rho^{-1} \alpha(2, e^{-\mu t}) \right], \tag{17} \]

where, by using \([34, \text{Eq. (2.10.1.1)}]\) in order to simplify the integral:

\[ \alpha(a, b) = \mu^{-1} \int_0^b q^{-2} e^{(\rho + a, \rho q)} dq = \mu^{-1} \rho^{-\rho+a} b^{-\rho+a-1} \]
\[ \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( -b \rho \right)^k \frac{k!}{(\rho + a + k)(\rho + a + k - 1)}. \]

5 Numerical results

We conducted simulation studies using OMNeT++ [38]. We fixed \(\lambda = 100\) updates/sec and let \(\mu\) vary between 50 and 200 updates per second. All plots involving simulations are presented with 95% confidence intervals, allowing for a sufficient warm-up period before taking measurements; in some points the intervals are too tight to show at 95% confidence. All the plots make use of a black and white printer-friendly and accessible color scheme [9]. As we can see in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, the analytical findings all agree with the simulations.

We then investigated the effects of the update generation rate \(\lambda\) for threshold varying from 10 to 100 ms for different service rates \(\mu\) (Fig. 5); the boundaries for the threshold are chosen to be between the reaction time for haptic internet [16] and the update time needed in Personal Area Networks of energy harvesting sensors for medical applications [2, 21]. Given the large span of values on the Z axis, we chose to apply a logarithmic scale on the latter. As we can see we have an exponential effect on the update outage probability, with respect to all the involved parameters. Finally, as an example, we plotted the outage probability vs both the average generation rate \(\lambda\) and the the threshold (Fig. 6), with \(\mu\) fixed at 100 updates per second. It is a contour plot, where there are isolevels lines for the updated outage probability for constraints of interest. If a designer finds itself with a constrained average service time, Fig. 6 is a useful tool for choosing an average update generation rate for a given QoS.

6 Conclusions

In this work, we studied the update violation probability in an IoT routing scenario in the time domain. We highlighted that in such a scenario, the dynamical nature of the network can very well result in updates sent by an IoT monitor arriving out of order at a receiver. Thus we argued the importance of having the expression of the update outage probability in the time domain, to better understand the interplay between the various parameters involved and in order to ensure a sufficient QoE.

Particularly, we obtained the exact expressions for the AoI, peak AoI (pAoI), effective service time and effective departure time distributions for an M/M/\(\infty\) queueing system, and from their survival functions, derived the corresponding violation probabilities. Numerical results were obtained,
Effects of the update generation rate on the Update outage probability (13); notice the logarithmic scale on the Z axis.

Contour plot for varying $\lambda$ and threshold; $\mu$ is fixed at 100 updates per second providing the designer of IoT update systems with a tool to estimate QoS parameters given a statistical constraint.
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