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ABSTRACT

Pain management in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) undergoing posterior spinal fusion can be challenging. Various analgesic techniques are currently used, including enhanced recovery after surgery principles, spinal opioids or continuous epidural infusion, intravenous methadone, or surgical site infiltration of local anesthetic. Another recently developed technique, ultrasound-guided erector spinae plane blockade (ESPB), has been used successfully in spine surgery and may offer advantages because of its ease of placement, excellent safety profile, and opioid sparing qualities. Liposomal bupivacaine is a long-acting local anesthetic that was recently approved for infiltration and fascial plane blocks in pediatric patients of ages 6 years and older. This medication may prove to be beneficial when administered through ESPB in patients with AIS undergoing posterior spinal fusion because it can provide prolonged analgesia after a single injection. Here, we present a case report of two such patients, and we compare outcomes with a retrospective cohort of 13 patients with AIS who received IV methadone instead of ESPB. ESPB patients seemed to have less opioid use and shorter length of stay but higher pain scores, although the sample size is too small for meaningful statistical analysis. Future prospective trials are needed to see if differences in outcomes truly exist.

Pain management for adolescent patients with idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) undergoing posterior spinal fusion (PSF) can be challenging. Inadequately controlled pain and adverse effects from opioids continue to be common barriers to discharge.1,2

Neuraxial analgesia through spinal opioids or continuous epidural infusion can provide excellent pain control, but these techniques have drawbacks. They can lead to rare but serious complications, such as infection, hematoma, or nerve injury, often cause severe pruritus, nausea, vomiting, and urinary retention, and may cause hypotension in the postoperative period.3,5

Another analgesic strategy that has gained in popularity in the past few years in this patient population is intravenous methadone. Using methadone avoids the risks associated with neuraxial techniques, and a single dose can
provide analgesia for up to 72 hours after complex spine surgery and was not associated with increased adverse events when compared with intravenous narcotics. However, a large initial dose is required to achieve long-lasting effects, potentially leading to adverse effects common to all opioids, such as sedation, urinary retention, and constipation. Ultrasound-guided erector spinae plane blockade (ESPB) was first developed by Forero in 2016 as an easy, safe way of managing thoracic neuropathic pain. Since that time, this block has gained in popularity and has been used to effectively provide analgesia for a variety of surgeries, including spine surgery. ESPB may also have a better safety profile when compared with neuraxial analgesia, perhaps because of direct visualization of the needle under ultrasonography guidance and the ease of placement, although this has not been decisively proven. One disadvantage of this block is the short duration of action from a single injection. Continuous ESPB catheters have been described, although placement before incision would not be possible in PSF, and nerve block catheters are prone to complications and failure. Liposomal bupivacaine (LB) is a long-acting local anesthetic that has recently been approved for infiltration and fascial plane blocks in pediatric patients of ages 6 years and older. Pharmacokinetic studies in this population have shown that, when administered at the recommended maximum dose of 4 mg/kg, plasma levels of bupivacaine are well below toxic levels, confirming the safety of this medication in children. After being injected, the liposomes are slowly metabolized over multiple days, leading to a controlled, sustained release of bupivacaine. Surgical site infiltration of LB has been effective in controlling pain in pediatric patients undergoing spine surgery, but its use in ESPB in this population has not been evaluated to our knowledge. In an attempt to achieve long-lasting analgesia while minimizing perioperative opioids, we modified our existing enhanced recovery after spine surgery (ERAS) protocol to include preoperative four-point ESPB with LB instead of IV methadone (Table 1). Here, we describe the courses of two such patients. To put the outcomes into context, we also reviewed the charts of 13 consecutive patients with AIS undergoing PSF who received IV methadone during the 6 months before converting to ESPB (Table 2).
Case Reports

Case 1: A 16-year-old, 60 kg female adolescent with a history notable only for AIS presented for PSF from T5 to L3. On preoperative imaging, she was noted to have thoracic dextroconvex curvature measuring 39° and thoracolumbar levoconvex curvature measuring 44° (Figure 1). She received perioperative multimodal management per our standardized ERAS protocol (Table 1). Before incision, normal baseline somatosensory-evoked potentials (SSEPs) and motor-evoked potentials (MEPs) were obtained. Before incision, four-point bilevel ESPBs were done with an admixture of LB and bupivacaine hydrochloride. Bupivacaine hydrochloride was added to provide immediate analgesia because there is a delay in peak efficacy of LB. Cranial-femoral traction was initiated; this is standard practice at our institution, even for nonsevere curves. Lumbar pedicle screws were placed uneventfully, and SSEPs and MEPs remained stable until thoracic pedicle screws were placed, at which point a 90% reduction in MEPs was noted only on the left side. The mean arterial pressure was increased to 85 using a phenylephrine infusion, and cranial-femoral traction was reduced. MEPs and SSEPs quickly came back to baseline values.

Table 2. Characteristics and Outcomes: ESPB with LB Versus IV Methadone

| Surgery Duration (min) | No. of Levels Fused | Total Inpatient OMEs mg/kg Through POD 3 | Average VAS on POD 1 | Average VAS on POD 2 | Average VAS on POD 3 | LOS (min) |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Case 1                 | 297                 | 10                                     | 1.67                 | 4.4                  | 2.6                  | 6.4       | 3630     |
| Case 2                 | 242                 | 9                                      | 1.85                 | 4.7                  | 5.4                  | 5.1       | 3830     |
| Methadone group (N = 13, means) | 283 | 9                                      | 3.5                  | 3.4                  | 3.4                  | 3.4       | 5490     |

Mean IV methadone dose 0.27 mg/kg. OMEs = oral morphine equivalents, POD = postoperative day, LOS = length of stay, VAS = visual analog pain scale, ESPB = erector spinae plane blockade, LB = liposomal bupivacaine A conservative 1:2 ratio was used to convert intravenous methadone to intravenous morphine.²⁰

Figure 1

Preoperative radiograph of a patient who received erector spinae plane block with liposomal bupivacaine.

Figure 2

Postoperative radiograph of a patient who received erector spinae plane block with liposomal bupivacaine.
and remained normal throughout the rest of the surgery. Postoperative radiographs showed excellent correction of the spinal deformity (Figure 2). The patient was admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) overnight for blood pressure management with a goal mean arterial pressure of 85 to 95 to optimize spinal cord perfusion. Her pain was well-controlled (Table 2). She was transferred to the floor on postoperative day (POD) 1. She was discharged on POD 3. At her 4-week follow-up appointment, the patient’s pain was well-controlled with acetaminophen and ibuprofen.

Case 2: A 16-year-old, 48 kg female adolescent with a history of AIS, anxiety, and depression presented for PSF from T5 to L2. Preoperative imaging showed thoracic dextroscoliosis measuring 46° and mild lumbar scoliosis of 17°. She received standard perioperative multimodal management (Table 1). Normal MEPs and SSEPs were obtained before ESPB with LB/bupivacaine hydrochloride; they remained at baseline throughout the duration of the surgery. Cranial-femoral traction was applied; her surgery was uneventful, and she was admitted to the floor postoperatively where her pain was fairly well-controlled (Table 2). She was discharged on POD 3. At her 4-week follow-up visit, she was only taking acetaminophen and ibuprofen; she had not used any opioids for 3 weeks.

Erector Spinae Plane Block Technique

After turning prone onto the operating room table, baseline MEPs and SSEPs are obtained. The surgeon then marks the length of the anticipated incision, and this is divided into thirds. A timeout is done confirming the patient’s identity and planned regional anesthesia technique. The back is prepped and draped. A linear ultrasonography probe is then used to identify bilateral transverse processes at the upper and lower thirds of the incision (Figure 3). To minimize the risk of contaminating the injection sites (standing on the patient’s right side, holding the ultrasonography probe in the left hand, and directing the needle with the right hand), we prefer to perform the blocks in the following order: lower right, lower left, upper right, and upper left. A needle is advanced in plane toward the transverse process until the bone is encountered; the needle is then withdrawn slightly. Saline is injected to confirm adequate spread in the erector spinae muscle group plane, and then the local anesthetic mixture is injected (Figure 4). The recommended dose of LB for pediatric patients is 4 mg/kg with a maximum dose of 266 mg. We mix the LB with up to 2 mg/kg of bupivacaine hydrochloride to achieve immediate analgesia in addition to long-lasting analgesia. We also add epinephrine 5 mcg/mL as a marker for inadvertent vascular injection. Fifteen milliliters is injected at each of the four sites; if needed, saline or lactated ringers can be added to the mixture for volume expansion.

Discussion

Currently, no consensus is observed regarding the optimal analgesic strategy for patients with AIS undergoing...
PSF, yet providing adequate postoperative pain control while minimizing opioids, is an imperative part of ERAS. Achieving these goals allows for early mobilization and decreases medication adverse effects, including nausea, vomiting, and constipation, which can all contribute to delayed discharge.21 Decreasing perioperative opioid consumption by combining safe, effective regional anesthesia techniques with multimodal analgesia should be prioritized in this patient population.

The mechanism of action of ESPB is still controversial because some studies show that local anesthetic may spread to the epidural and/or paravertebral space while other studies have shown only fascial spread.22 Regardless of the degree of spread, there is general agreement that ESPB does block spinal dorsal rami and does result in somatic and visceral analgesia.23 Some have voiced concern about the potential for ESPB to interfere with MEPs and/or SSEPs during spine surgery, yet this concern has not been validated in the literature.10,11,24 In the first case report we described, the patient did experience a transient substantial decrease in MEPs, but this was unilateral, it was well after ESPB was done, and it occurred in conjunction with pedicle screw placement during heavy traction. It was also quickly reversed after increasing the blood pressure and decreasing the traction. No issues were observed with MEPs or SSEPs in the second case.

LB is approved for infiltration and fascial plane blocks in pediatric patients of ages 6 years and older at a dose of 4 mg/kg up to 266 mg. Peak analgesia after injection is delayed because of its slow release, which is why we mix it with bupivacaine hydrochloride (and saline or lactated ringers if more volume is needed). Studies have shown LB to be effective at providing long-lasting analgesia in pediatric patients undergoing spine surgery but, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first description of its use in ESPB for patients with AIS undergoing PSF.19 Compared with our prior standard analgesic technique of IV methadone, the two patients presented here who received ESPB with LB had shorter LOS and less opioid consumption but seemed to have higher average pain scores. Because the sample size of the ESPB patients is so small, it is not appropriate to evaluate these outcomes for statistical significance, but it does show that this technique may be a reasonable alternative to other currently used analgesic strategies. Prospective, randomized trials will be necessary to elucidate whether there truly are differences in outcomes.
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