When Love fades away: Modelling frequency-dependent tidal deformability for environmental black-hole mergers
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Motivated by events in which black holes can lose their environment due to tidal interactions in a binary system, we develop a waveform model in which the tidal deformability interpolates between a finite value (dressed black hole) at relatively low frequency and a zero value (naked black hole) at high frequency. We then apply this model to the example case of a black hole dressed with an ultralight scalar field and investigate the detectability of the tidal Love number with the Einstein Telescope. We show that the parameters of the tidal deformability model could be measured with high accuracy, providing a useful tool to understand dynamical environmental effects taking place during the inspiral of a binary system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Tidal interactions in close astrophysical systems carry golden information on the internal composition of compact objects. They affect the dynamics of binary sources, leaving a footprint within the emitted signals, both in the gravitational-wave (GW) and in the electromagnetic spectrum [1]. Pioneering calculations, performed at the beginning of the twentieth century, have paved the ground for a rigorous analytical description of tidal effects in terms of a set of quantities, the tidal Love numbers (TLNs), which encode the deformability properties of self-gravitating bodies [2]. Initially exploited to study the structure of planets in the Solar System within Newtonian gravity, TLNs have been generalised to a fully relativistic description [3–5], and have raised considerable attention in the context of binary neutron star (NS) mergers, with the tantalising possibility of constraining the equation of state of dense matter from GW observations [6–33] (see Refs. [34, 35] for some reviews).

More recently, measurements of the TLNs from compact binaries have been proposed as a new tool to infer the properties of the environment in which the systems evolve. This possibility arises from the remarkable result that, within General Relativity, the TLNs of naked black holes (BHs) (i.e., BHs in vacuum) vanish [4, 5, 36–43]. This property however is fragile, as it is broken for BH mimickers [44], in extended theories of gravity [44–46], in higher dimensions [47–49], or in nonvacuum environments [50–53]. In particular, during their cosmological evolution, environmental effects may provide an effective dress to BHs, resulting in nonzero TLNs. Such dresses may be formed around BHs due to secular effects like accretion1 or superradiant instabilities of ultralight bosonic fields [57], for which it has been shown that the TLNs would be proportional to inverse powers of the boson mass [50, 52].

Love numbers of dressed BHs could be sufficiently large to leave an observable signature within GW signals emitted by coalescing binaries and, if measured, they could provide a smoking gun for the existence of nonvacuum “structures” close to BHs. Unlike NS matter however, a low-density environmental dress may be unravelled during the last phases of the inspiral. In analogy with mass-shedding events occurring for stars with small compactness, disruption of the dress usually takes place when the binary semi-major axis is comparable with the Roche radius [58]. For smaller orbital radii, the environment progressively disappears and the coalescence proceeds with two naked BHs. This process can be modelled through time-dependent TLNs, that smoothly approach zero. A similar phenomenon may occur when, during the common envelope phase of a neutron star binary, at least one of the objects with a mass very close to the Chandrasekhar limit turns into a BH [59], changing its TLNs from a finite value to zero.

The scope of this work is to study the relevance of such effect on BH binaries potentially observable by third-generation (3G) detectors like the Einstein Telescope (ET) or Cosmic Explorer [60–65], and to assess their ability to constrain the features of time-varying TLNs. We embed the latter within a BH waveform template properly built to model a smooth transition of the TLNs to zero, showing how few GW observations could shed new light

---

1 Accretion-driven dresses may be particularly important for primordial BHs, which are expected to be surrounded by a dark matter halo if they do not comprise the totality of the dark matter in the universe [54, 55]. The detection of tidal effects can thus be used to distinguish primordial BHs (with their clouds) from other scenarios [56].
on the matter content of the binary environment, and on
the dynamical processes that lead to its tidal disruption.
Hereafter we use geometric units, $G = c = 1$.

II. SETUP

We model the GW signal emitted by the binary using
a modified version of the IMRPhenomD waveform
model, which takes into account the inspiral, merger
and ringdown phases of the coalescence [66, 67]. The
inspiral regime is further broken up into an early and a late
component, with the former being described by the post-
Newtonian (PN) expansion, in which tidal effects add
linearly to point-particle contributions and are fully en-
coded by the Love numbers. In Fourier space, the early
part of the signal is given by [68, 69],

$$\tilde{h}(f) = C_\Omega A_{\text{PN}} e^{i \psi_{\text{PP}}(f) + i \psi_{\text{Tidal}}(f)},$$

where $\psi_{\text{PP}}$ contains terms up to the 3.5PN order [26, 69–
71], and depends on the binary chirp mass $M = (m_1 m_2)^{3/5} / (m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}$ and the symmetric mass ratio
$\eta = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)^2$, where $m_{1,2}$ are the compo-
nent masses. The phase $\psi_{\text{PP}}$ also includes linear spin terms
up to 2PN order through the (anti)symmetric combina-
tions of the individual spin components $\chi_s = (\chi_1 + \chi_2)/2$ and
$\chi_a = (\chi_1 - \chi_2)/2$, and quadratic spin corrections
entering at 2PN order, which include the spin-induced
quadrupole moments. For sake of simplicity we assume
that the latter correspond to their (naked) Kerr values,
and that the effect of the dress is subdominant. An ad-
tional contribution to the GW phase comes from tidal
heating, which depends on the energy absorbed at the
horizon and is proportional to the BH cross section.
This effect introduces a higher-order PN correction [72, 73],
which is typically small and negligible for our analysis,
and hence we assume that is the same as for naked BHs [52].

The waveform amplitude $A_\text{PN}$ also expanded up to the 3PN
order, depends on $(M, \eta, \chi_s, \chi_a)$, with the leading term reading

$$A_{\text{PN}} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{24}} \frac{M^{5/6} f^{-7/6}}{\pi^{2/3} d_L} \left(1 + \text{PN corrections}\right),$$

where $d_L$ is the luminosity distance. Finally the geometric
factor $C_\Omega = \left[F_0^2 (1 + \cos^2 \iota)^2 + 4 F_0^2 \cos \iota\right]^{1/2}$ depends on
the inclination $\iota$ which identifies the angle between the
binary line of sight and its orbital angular momentum, and
on the detector antenna pattern functions $F_{\alpha \psi}(\theta, \varphi, \psi)$
which are functions of the source position in the sky $(\theta, \varphi)$
and on the polarization angle $\psi$.

The dominant tidal correction enters the waveform at
the 5PN order as $\psi_{\text{Tidal}}(f) = -\frac{\sigma}{6} \tilde{\Lambda} \iota (\pi M f)^{5/3}$, in terms of
the total mass of the binary $M = m_1 + m_2$ and of the
effective tidal deformability parameter $\tilde{\Lambda}$, which depends
on the masses and TLNs of each binary component [8, 13].
We neglect higher-order PN contributions (starting at
6PN order) since they are hardly measurable and increase
the dimensionality of the parameter space.

We assume that the halo surroundings the BHs is dy-
namically disrupted during the coalescence, i.e. that
tidal effects disappear progressively from a characteris-
tic cut-off frequency $f_{\text{cut}}$. To this aim we introduce a frequency-dependent tidal deformability

$$\tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow S(f) \cdot \tilde{\Lambda} = \left[1 + e^{-f_{\text{cut}}/f_{\text{slope}}} \right]^{-1},$$

which is cast in terms of a smoothing function $S(f)$
that approaches zero at frequencies larger than the
cut-off with a characteristic slope $f_{\text{slope}}$. A representa-
tive example of the smoothing function is shown in
Fig. 1. In our analysis tidal effects are therefore fully described by three quantities, $(\tilde{\Lambda}, f_{\text{cut}}, f_{\text{slope}})$, while
the overall waveform model depends on 14 param-
eters $\hat{\theta} = \{M, \eta, \chi_s, \chi_a, \varphi_c, \varphi_\phi, d_L, \iota, \phi, \psi, t, \tilde{\Lambda}, f_{\text{cut}}, f_{\text{slope}}\}$, where $(\varphi_c, \varphi_\phi)$ are the time and phase at the coalescence.

We study the detectability of the tidal parameters by
using a Fisher-matrix approach [44, 74, 75]. For signals
with large signal-to-noise ratio (snr), as those expected
for 3G detectors, the posterior distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ can be
described by a multivariate Gaussian distribution centered
around the true values $\hat{\theta}$, with covariance $\Sigma = \Gamma^{-1}$, where

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial \theta_j} \right\rangle_{\theta = \hat{\theta}}$$

is the Fisher information matrix, and statistical error on
the $i$-th parameter is given by $\sigma_i = \Sigma_{ii}^{1/2}$. In the previous
expression we have introduced the scalar product over
the detector noise spectral density $S_n(f)$ between two
waveform templates $h_{1,2}$:

$$\langle h_1 | h_2 \rangle = 4 \Re \int_{f_{\text{min}}}^{f_{\text{max}}} \frac{h_1(f) h_2^*(f)}{S_n(f)} df,$$

where $\ast$ denotes complex conjugation. The snr of a
given signal is $\text{snr} = \langle h | h \rangle^{1/2}$. In our analysis we fix

FIG. 1. Smoothing function $S(f)$ as a function of the frequency for different values of the slope parameter.
the minimum and maximum frequency of integration to $f_{\text{min}} = 1\text{Hz}$ and $f_{\text{max}} = 1.2f_{\text{RD}}$, where $f_{\text{RD}}$ is the remnant’s ringdown frequency [67].

Hereafter we consider optimally-oriented binaries, removing the four angles from the Fisher analysis, thus reducing $\Gamma$ to a $10 \times 10$ square matrix. We also fix $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = 0$ and $\phi_c = 0$. We assume that dressed binary BHs are observed by ET adopting the design ET-D sensitivity curve [61].

III. RESULTS

A. General framework

We apply the framework discussed above to investigate the detectability of the tidal parameters. The results of the Fisher analysis are shown in Fig. 2, for different choices of $\Lambda = (10^2, 10^3, 10^4)$. Larger values would break the convergence of the post-Newtonian expansion, leading the tidal term to dominate over the lower PN orders. For simplicity we focus on binaries with mass ratio $m_2/m_1 = 1/2$, although both masses are included as waveform parameters of the Fisher analysis. Different choices provide similar results.

The top panels of Fig. 2 assume $f_{\text{cut}} = f_{\text{isco}}/10$ and $f_{\text{slope}} = f_{\text{cut}}/5$ for the cut-off and slope frequency, respectively. Note that for all binary configurations analysed we have checked that the cut-off frequency is (well) below the frequency describing the transition between the early and the late inspiral, $f_{\text{isco}} \simeq 0.018/M_{\text{BH}}$ [66, 67]. The panels of Fig. 2 show that all the tidal parameters can be measured with high accuracy. In particular, considering a dressed BH system with primary mass $m_1 = 50M_\odot$ and mass ratio $m_2 = m_1/2$ at a distance $d_L = 1\text{Gpc}$, ET will be able to measure its tidal deformability with a relative accuracy of a few percent and an snr of a few hundred. A similar precision can be reached for the cut-off and the slope frequency of the smoothing function, showing the potential of ET in measuring the transition point where the TLN vanishes. These results slightly improve if we assume $f_{\text{cut}} = f_{\text{isco}}/5$ and $f_{\text{slope}} = f_{\text{cut}}/5$, as shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 2. This is expected since larger value of the cut-off frequency translates into a longer inspiral phase in which tidal effects are active. Changing the slope frequency does not significantly modify the results of our analysis. For example, we have checked that a decrease in $f_{\text{slope}}$, which results into a sharper cut-off, does not strongly impact on the estimated errors.
FIG. 3. (Left): Relative percentage error on the scalar field mass $m_b$ for a binary system with primary mass $m_1$ and mass ratio $m_2 = m_1/2$, detected by ET at a luminosity distance of $d_L = 1$ Gpc. Upper and lateral bars show the values of the ISCO and of the Roche frequency, respectively. Errors are computed assuming $f_{\text{isco}} = f_{\text{Roche}}/5$. (Right) Maximum luminosity distance for which the scalar field mass $m_b$, assuming $\alpha_1 = m_1 m_b = 0.2$, can be constrained by ET with a relative percentage accuracy of 10% (green) and 50% (yellow).

B. First-principle model: BHs dressed by ultralight bosons

We can now focus on a specific example of a dress sourced by an ultralight bosonic scalar field with mass $m_b$ undertaking a phase of accretion or superradiant instability [57] onto a BH. In this case it was shown that the TLN of each body is proportional to the inverse of the gravitational coupling $\alpha_i = m_i m_b$, namely [52]

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \frac{32}{39} \left( \frac{12}{\eta_1} - 11 \right) \eta_1^2 k_2^{(1)} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2),$$

(6)

where $k_2^{(i)} \propto 1/\alpha_i^8$. For this model, the effective tidal deformability vanishes when the clouds surrounding each binary component are tidally disrupted, that is when the binary semi-major axis is comparable to the Roche radius. For different binary components this results into different cut-off frequencies $f_{\text{slopes}}$ for each body. We therefore introduce an effective frequency-dependent TLN as $f_2^{(i)} \rightarrow S_i(f) k_2^{(i)}$, where each smoothing function $S_i(f)$ is determined by the same slope frequency $f_{\text{slopes}}$, but by different cut-off frequencies [52]

$$f_{\text{Roche}}(\alpha_i, m_i) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\pi \gamma^{3/2} \alpha_i^3} \left( \frac{M_i}{m_i} \right)^{1/2} f_{\text{isco}},$$

(7)

in terms of a numerical coefficient $\gamma \sim O(2)$ which takes values from 1.26 for rigid bodies to 2.44 for fluid ones, and of the total mass enclosed in the scalar cloud $M_s$. We fix the latter to the upper bound $M_s = 0.1 m_i$, which saturates the regime of validity of the perturbative expansion. Note that, in a first-principle model such as this one, the number of waveform parameters to be constrained is smaller than in the general model, since the gravitational couplings $\alpha_i$ dictate both the TLNs and the cut-off frequencies.

The results for the Fisher analysis for this case are shown in Fig. 3. The left panel shows the relative percentage error on the gravitational coupling as a function of the primary BH mass, assuming a luminosity distance of $d_L = 1$ Gpc and mass ratio $m_2/m_1 = 1/2$. The upper and lateral bars identify the corresponding ISCO and Roche frequencies, respectively. One can appreciate that in the mass range $m_1 \in (2 \pm 200) M_\odot$ ET could measure the coupling with an accuracy of a few percent. This result improves the one discussed in [52] where the integration in Eq. (5) was cut at the ISCO frequency, also assuming the signal amplitude to vanish afterward. Frequencies after the cut-off, which can be consistently taken into account within the PhenomD waveform model, provide here a significant boost to the parameter’s reconstruction, which in turn translates into an improvement on the errors of the tidal parameters.2 In the right panel of Fig. 3 we show the maximum luminosity distance for which ET would be able to constrain the scalar field mass $m_b$ with a relative percentage error of 10% (green line) and 50% (yellow line), assuming a gravitational coupling $\alpha_1 = 0.2$. The result shows that ET could measure the scalar field mass with high accuracy within distances of a few Gpc.

Finally, let us stress that, as tidal interactions take place and lead to the disruption of the clouds, the effective mass of the BH+halo system decreases, probably resulting into a naked binary system coalescing within an unbound and more diluted halo. As a proof of concept, in order to estimate the effect of this mass decrease in the waveforms, we have introduced a similar suppression

---

2 Note that, within our general model, the results of [52] can be recovered in the $f_{\text{slopes}}/f_{\text{cut}} \ll 1$ limit, where effectively the tidal part of the signal vanishes for $f > f_{\text{cut}}$. 

---
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2 Note that, within our general model, the results of [52] can be recovered in the $f_{\text{slopes}}/f_{\text{cut}} \ll 1$ limit, where effectively the tidal part of the signal vanishes for $f > f_{\text{cut}}$. 

---
factor $S(f)$ as the one discussed above to the BH masses, i.e. $m_i \rightarrow S(f)m_i$, which interpolates between unity and 0.9, as the cloud’s mass accounts at most for about 10% of the BH masses in relevant scenario like superradiance. By introducing this frequency-dependent factor for the masses through all PN orders, we found that the error on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ increases by only a factor of 2, while the errors on $f_{\text{cut}}$ and $f_{\text{logeq}}$ become much smaller, as these parameters appear also at lower PN orders and are therefore better constrained. Another interesting extension is to account for the orbital energy loss associated with the halo disruption [76, 77]. We leave a better investigation of these interesting effects to future work.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Tidal interactions active during the inspiral phase of binary coalescences carry unique information on the internal structure of compact objects and on the properties of the surroundings in which the systems evolve. BHs dressed by a halo formed by dark matter, by a phase of accretion, or by the superradiant instability of a ultralight boson, provide some astrophysical scenarios in which the external environments leave a footprint on the emitted GW signal [78], encoded in particular in nonvanishing TLNs [50–53]. In these cases, however, the gravitational interaction with the BH companion may destroy the halo, leading to tidal effects that fade away in the waveform at higher frequency.

Motivated by such examples, in this paper we have investigated the potential of 3G detectors like ET to measure the variation of the Love number once the binary inspiral crosses a given cut-off frequency. To this aim we have augmented the standard IMRphenomD waveform with the inclusion of an effective tidal deformability which interpolates the transition between a finite effective Love number $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and the full vacuum regime. We have carried out a statistical analysis on a wide range of sources observable by ET, finding that, together with $\tilde{\Lambda}$, both the cut-off frequency and the slope of the effective deformability are potentially measurable, with an accuracy of a few percent for stellar mass binaries. The simultaneous measurements of all tidal parameters would provide key information on the composition of the BH environment, and on the mechanisms responsible for the vanishing of the Love number.

These results also pave the avenue for multiband analyses between ET and LISA [79], for sources in a specific mass range [80, 81]. For example, one can envisage a scenario in which a binary system of two dressed BHs with masses $m_1 \sim m_2 \sim 10^2 M_{\odot}$ inspirals within the frequency band of LISA, with a cut-off frequency falling into the ET bandwidth. A statistical analysis for LISA only would suggest that all the parameters of the effective tidal deformability are unmeasurable. However, a joint analysis which takes into account that the binary keeps evolving in ET with fading Love number, would dramatically improve the parameter reconstruction. For a $(10^2 - 10^3)M_{\odot}$ binary, and assuming $\tilde{\Lambda} = 10^3$, the multiband analysis would lead to a stringent constraint on the environment Love number at the level of $\sigma_{\tilde{\Lambda}}/\tilde{\Lambda} \approx 1%$.
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