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Abstract

Aim: Bovine ovarian cysts are a common cause of economic loss in modern dairy herds. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the reproductive responses to three protocols using hCG, GnRH and cloprostenol when the definite diagnosis of the type of ovarian cyst is/is not possible in dairy cows.

Materials and Methods: A total of 144 lactating dairy cows with ovarian cysts were divided into three groups. At diagnosis (Day 0), cows in Group 1 (the conventional method, n=47) were injected with 0.02 mg of a GnRH analogue i.m. (Buserelin); cows in Group 2 (n=47) were intramuscularly treated with 0.02 mg Buserelin plus 500 µg cloprostenol; and cows in Group 3 (n=50) were intramuscularly treated with 1500 IU hCG plus 500 µg cloprostenol. All cows received 500 µg cloprostenol intramuscularly on Day 10.

Results: No statistically significant differences were found in the recovery time, interval to conception, conception rate at first AI, and pregnancy rates by Days 70 and 100 after treatment among the three groups.

Conclusions: Simultaneous treatment of ovarian cysts with hCG or GnRH and cloprostenol appeared to have no advantage over the conventional method, GnRH alone, in dairy cows. Furthermore, hCG and GnRH have an equal therapeutic effect in cows with ovarian cysts.
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Introduction

Ovarian cysts are defined as follicular structures with a diameter of at least 25 mm that fail to ovulate, and remain on one or both ovaries of the cow in the absence of any active luteal tissue for an extended period of time in which they interfere with normal ovarian cyclicity [1,2]. Ovarian cysts are one of the most important causes of infertility in dairy cows and are associated with considerable economic loss through their high incidence, increase in days to first service, and increase in days open [3,4]. The incidence of ovarian cysts in dairy cows ranges from 2.7% to 15.1% [5-8]. The exact cause of ovarian cysts is not presently known, but it appears that disruption of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, by endogenous and/or exogenous factors, causes cyst formation [1,9].

Different approaches including manual rupture [10], cystic fluid aspiration [11,12], and hormonal applications have been used in the treatment of ovarian cysts with the hormonal treatment being the most common approach [13-15]. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and its analogues have frequently been used for the treatment of luteal or follicular ovarian cysts and proved to be successful [7,16-18]. Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) has also been demonstrated to be a successful treatment for ovarian cysts [19]. It was reported that hCG and GnRH have an equal therapeutic effect in cystic dairy cows [19,20]. Prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α) or its analogues are the treatment of choice for luteal cysts [16,21] and has also been used as the initial treatment of bovine ovarian cysts [22].

Differentiation between follicular and luteal cysts by rectal palpation has not been very accurate [23,24]. Accuracy with ultrasonography is much greater but is not absolute [24]. The only accurate way to differentiate between luteal and follicular cysts is the use of on-farm progesterone kits in which their use has not been widely adapted in many countries. Therefore, a treatment protocol which works well for both luteal and follicular cysts may be more valuable than a specific treatment for each type of cyst. Because differentiation between luteal and follicular cysts is difficult, combining PGF2α with a luteotrophic agent (GnRH or hCG) may be more beneficial compared to GnRH/hCG or PGF2α alone at the time of cyst diagnosis. In this regard, it was shown that
administration of a GnRH analogue (buserelin) simultaneously at the time of cloprostenol injection did not interrupt the luteolytic effect of cloprostenol on the normal corpus luteum or luteal cysts [25,26]. On the other hand, there is no evidence indicating the inhibitory effect of cloprostenol on the luteinizing effect of GnRH or its analogues. Contradicting information is available on the effectiveness of the simultaneous application of GnRH with PGF$_{2a}$ at the commencement of the conventional ovarian cysts treatment in dairy cows [13,26,27].

Furthermore, there is no report about the simultaneous application of hCG with PGF$_{2a}$ in the treatment of ovarian cysts. Our hypothesis was that simultaneous administration of hCG or GnRH with cloprostenol could treat the undiagnosed type of ovarian cysts similarly to the conventional treatment (using GnRH and 10 days later cloprostenol administration). Therefore, we designed the following experiment to compare the response of cows with ovarian cysts given simultaneous treatment with GnRH or hCG and cloprostenol, and cloprostenol alone 10 days later to the response of those treated with the conventional treatment in Holstein dairy cows.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical approval**

This study was approved by the Center of Excellence for Studying Reproduction in High Producing Dairy Cows, Shiraz University, Iran.

**Animals and study farm**

The study was conducted from March to October 2013 on a commercial dairy farm, milking approximately 1500 Holstein cows, in Shahrrekan, Iran. The cows were milked 3 times a day with a herd average annual milk yield of 9500 kg/cow. Cows were housed in free-stall barns and fed a total mixed ration. The voluntary waiting period was 45 days and 55 days for multiparous and primiparous cows, respectively. Body condition score was evaluated on a 5-point scale at parturition and at peak lactation. The parity of cows ranged from 1 to 6. Cows included in the study had not already been treated for cysts in the current lactation. Cows were randomly allocated to one of the three treatment groups at the time of reproductive system examination in the manner that the total number of cows, the number of follicular cysts, and the number of luteal cysts in three groups be nearly similar. Treatment groups were not significantly different in the mean DIM (45.2, 48.6, and 50.2 for Groups 1, 2, and 3, respectively; p=0.75). At diagnosis (day 0), cows in Group 1 (n=47) were injected intramuscularly with 0.02 mg of a GnRH analogue (conventional treatment, Buserelin); cows in Group 2 (n=47) were simultaneously treated with 0.02 mg buserelin (i.m.) and 500 µg of a PGF$_{2a}$ analogue (cloprostenol, i.m.); and cows in Group 3 (n=50) were simultaneously treated with 1500 IU hCG (corulon, i.m.) and 500 µg cloprostenol (i.m.). All cows received 500 µg cloprostenol (i.m.) on day 10 after GnRH or hCG administration. Cows which exhibit estrus during or after treatment were then artificially inseminated using the semen of high-fertility proved bull 12 h after estrus. The proposal of the present research was approved by the Committee of Scientific Research and Animal Ethics of School of Veterinary, the Islamic Azad University of Shahrrekan.

**Pregnancy diagnosis**

All cows were examined for pregnancy by transrectal ultrasonography via a 5 MHz linear array transducer (CTS-900V, SIUI, Japan) on day 35±3 after artificial insemination (AI). Conception rate was calculated as the proportion of inseminated cows pregnant at the time of pregnancy diagnosis.

**Recording reproductive indices**

Recorded data included parity, recovery time (interval to first estrus), interval to conception, conception rate at first AI after treatment, and pregnancy rates by days 70 and 100 after treatment.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using SAS (version 9.2, SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). The one-way ANOVA procedure was used to test the hypothesis that the means of the considered factor between the three treatment groups are not significantly different. Using the one-way ANOVA procedure, normality and homogeneity of variance were checked by Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene’s
homogeneity-of-variance tests, respectively. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to determine whether or not the values of a particular variable differ between the three treatment groups when the assumption of ANOVA (normality) was not met. The measures of association such as Pearson Chi-square and Fisher exact tests were used for two-way tables (The three treatment groups versus another nominal factor). When more than 30% of table cells had expected counts <5, then the Fisher exact test was calculated. For recovery time and interval to conception, values are expressed as the mean ± standard error. In all tests, a p≤0.05 was set as the significance level and the confidence interval was set at 95%.

Results

The descriptive statistics of cows with luteal and follicular cysts and their distribution to treatment groups are shown in Table-1.

The recovery time, interval to conception, conception rate at first AI, and pregnancy rates by days 70 and 100 after treatment in cows with luteal or follicular cysts are shown in Table-2.

There were no significant differences among groups in the recovery time, interval to conception, conception rate at first AI, and pregnancy rates by days 70 and 100 after treatment (p>0.05).

The recovery time, interval to conception, conception rate at first AI, and pregnancy rates by days 70 and 100 after treatment in cows with luteal cysts and follicular cysts are presented in Tables-3 and 4, respectively.

Table-1: The descriptive statistics of cows with luteal and follicular cysts and their distribution to treatment groups.

| Cyst type | Treatment group | Total |
|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| Luteal    | 14              | 18    | 14   |
| Luteal    | 18              | 29    | 36   |
| Luteal    | 46              |       |      |
| Follicular| 33              | 29    | 36   |
| Follicular| 98              |       |      |
| Total     | 47              | 47    | 50   |
| Total     | 144             |       |      |

Table-2: Response to treatment in cows with luteal or follicular cysts.

| Parameters                          | Treatment group | p value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                     | 1               | 2       | 3       | Total   |
| Recovery time                       | 17±1.2          | 16.1±1  | 14.9±1.2| 15.9±0.7| 0.35    |
| Interval to conception              | 57±7            | 66.4±9.2| 53.4±6.2| 58.8±4.3| 0.81    |
| Conception rate at first AI, % (n/n)| 40.4 (19/47)    | 42.5 (20/47)| 46 (23/50)| 43.1 (62/144)| 0.85 |
| Pregnant by 70 days after treatment, % (n/n) | 76.6 (36/47) | 66 (31/47) | 74 (37/50) | 72.2 (104/144) | 0.48   |
| Pregnant by 100 days after treatment, % (n/n) | 80.9 (38/47) | 78.7 (37/47) | 78 (39/50) | 79.2 (114/144) | 0.94   |

AI=Artificial insemination

Table-3: Response to treatment in cows with luteal cysts.

| Parameters                          | Treatment group | p value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                     | 1               | 2       | 3       | 4       |
| Recovery time                       | 14.3±1.7        | 15±1.4  | 13.4±2.1| 14.3±1  | 0.4     |
| Interval to conception              | 59.7±13.8       | 50.7±10.3| 44.2±11.3| 51.5±6.7| 0.67    |
| Conception rate at first AI, % (n/n)| 28.6 (4/14)     | 55.6 (10/18)| 50 (7/14)| 45.6 (21/46)| 0.29   |
| Pregnant by 70 days after treatment, % (n/n) | 71.4 (10/14) | 61.1 (11/18) | 85.7 (12/14) | 71.7 (33/46) | 0.33* |
| Pregnant by 100 days after treatment, % (n/n) | 78.6 (11/14) | 83.3 (15/18) | 85.7 (12/14) | 82.6 (38/46) | 0.99*   |

*Fisher’s exact test was used. AI=Artificial insemination

Discussion

According to the results of the present study, the recovery time, interval to conception, conception rate at first AI and pregnancy rates by days 70 and 100 after treatment were not different between GnRH/hCG plus cloprostenol groups and GnRH alone group. In contrast to our results, it was reported that simultaneous treatment of ovarian cysts with GnRH and cloprostenol resulted in better response to treatment compared to treatment with GnRH alone at the time of cyst diagnosis [27]. The difference between our findings and the above mentioned article could be due to the fact they used cows with a DIM beyond 57 days postpartum compared to the mean DIM of 48 (35-120 days) days postpartum in our study. It was reported that approximately 50% of cows developing ovarian cysts within 45 days of calving recovered spontaneously before 60 days postpartum [28]. In the present study, 36.1% (n=52) of ovarian cysts diagnoses were made before 40 days postpartum consisted of 40.4% (n=19) of cows in Group 1, 29.8% (n=14) of cows in Group 2, and 38% (n=19) of cows in Group 3, which was not significantly different between groups (p=0.53). Therefore, the rate of spontaneous recovery does not seem to be different between groups. Unfortunately, it was not practicable not to treat a group of cows with ovarian cysts to serve as control group because of farm limitations, and therefore we were not able to determine spontaneous recovery rate of cystic conditions. Another explanation for similar response to treatment of groups in this study might be the predominance of follicular cysts in three groups (70%, 62% and 72% in Groups 1, 2, and 3, respectively) which could have resulted in poor response to first PGF_{2α} treatment in

No difference (p>0.05) was also found in all reproductive indices examined among groups of cows with luteal or follicular cysts in the present study.
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Groups 2 and 3 and subsequently a response similar to that observed in Group 1. A poor response to PGF$_{2\alpha}$ treatment has previously been reported in cows with follicular cysts [21].

There were no significant differences among groups in the recovery time and interval to conception in cows with luteal cysts in the present study. Similarly, it was reported that when cows with ovarian cysts were simultaneously treated with GnRH and cloprostenol, there were no significant differences between groups in treatment to estrus interval or treatment to conception interval compared to those treated with GnRH alone [26]. We expected to observe a more effective response to simultaneous treatment with hCG or GnRH and cloprostenol than GnRH treatment alone in cows with luteal cyst in the present study. The pretreatment mean serum concentration of progesterone and in fact the presence of any active luteal tissue is a significant factor influencing the response to GnRH treatment [29,30]. On the other hand, it has been shown that the presence of inadequate luteal tissue in luteal cysts may elicit a poor response to the luteolytic effect of cloprostenol at the time of ovarian cyst diagnosis [21,31].

According to our results, the response of luteal cysts to the conventional treatment is not significantly different from that of the follicular cysts. Our reports are similar to Probo et al. [7] and in contrast to Sprecher et al. [16]. The similar response to GnRH treatment between luteal and follicular cysts could be explained by the fact that follicular cysts may luteinize in response to GnRH treatment and cows with luteal cysts may not respond to GnRH treatment, and then cows with either luteal or follicular cysts will subsequently respond to PGF$_{2\alpha}$ administered 10 days after GnRH treatment and this will result in a similar response to treatment.

**Conclusion**

The results of the present study show that simultaneous treatment of ovarian cysts with hCG or GnRH and cloprostenol appeared to have no advantage over the conventional treatment, GnRH alone, in dairy cows. Furthermore, hCG and GnRH have an equal therapeutic effect in the treatment of bovine ovarian cysts. Therefore, the preferred treatment of ovarian cysts would be GnRH followed by PGF$_{2\alpha}$ 10 days later.
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