The cytotoxicity study of praziquantel enantiomers
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Abstract: Praziquantel (PZQ) is prescribed as a racemic mixture (racemic-PZQ, rac-PZQ), which is composed of (R)-PZQ and (S)-PZQ. In this work, the cytotoxicity of rac-PZQ and its two enantiomers (R)-PZQ and (S)-PZQ on eight cell lines (L-02, HepG2, prf-plc-5, SH-SY5Y, HUVEC, A549, HCT-15, Raw264.7) was evaluated by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide and lactate dehydrogenase assays. The morphology of apoptotic cells was studied by fluorescence microscope using Hoechst 33342 staining, and the cytotoxicity of the compounds was also tested by lactate dehydrogenase assay. Results revealed that (R)-PZQ had negligible cytotoxicity against L-02, SH-SY5Y, HUVEC, A549, HCT-15, and Raw264.7 cells but selectively inhibited tumor cell lines (prf-plc-5 and HepG2). However, in contrast to (R)-PZQ, the (S)-isomer showed higher cytotoxicity against L-02 cells and lower inhibition on prf-plc-5 and HepG2 cells. Besides, (R)-PZQ showed lower cytotoxicity on SH-SY5Y cells than (S)-PZQ. Meanwhile, (R)-PZQ at <80 μM concentration could promote proliferation of macrophage cells (Raw264.7). Our research revealed that (R)-PZQ has lower cytotoxicity than (S)-PZQ and has similar cytotoxicity with rac-PZQ. (S)-PZQ is the principal enantiomer to cause side effects on human definitive hosts. These findings gave the reasonable reasons for World Health Organization to produce (R)-PZQ as a replacement for rac-PZQ for the treatment of schistosomiasis.
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Introduction

Schistosomiasis is still endemic in >70 countries and territories, especially in tropical and subtropical regions.3,12 Nowadays, ~200 million people are infected with schistosomiasis, with 650 million people at a risk of infection all over the world. Over the past 40 years, several drugs have been used for the treatment of schistosomiasis, but until today, the most important drug that is used in clinics to control all forms of schistosomiasis is only praziquantel (PZQ). Every year >300 metric tons of PZQ are produced for intensive mass treatment for all forms of schistosomiasis. The resistance and adverse reactions with the long-term use of PZQ have been reported since 1970s.9-10

PZQ is prescribed as a racemic mixture, which is composed of (R)-PZQ and (S)-PZQ (Figure 1). It is well known that (R)-PZQ possesses an antischistosoma effect; the tegumental damage and paralytic muscular contraction of parasites rely solely on the (R)-PZQ, whereas (S)-PZQ is a toxic enantiomer and ineffective against worms.11 The Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases Research has assigned the low-cost preparation of (R)-PZQ as a key priority for future research.12 Woellle et al13 had reported the preparation of chiral PZQ by resolution strategy. So far, research and development of chiral PZQ have been carried out in order to decrease the pill dose, reduce the side effects,14 and remove the bitter taste of pill mainly caused by (S)-PZQ.15 Recently, the metabolic profiling of chiral PZQ was studied by our group to reveal the in vivo, in vitro, and in vivo enantioselective metabolic differences of PZQ enantiomers,16 and the
toxicity study in vitro is also important to improve usage of the drug in effective, safe, and economic way.

Materials and methods

Synthesis of (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ

(R)-PZQ and (S)-PZQ were obtained with an improved method based on the reported procedure, with the enantiomeric excess value >99% by high-performance liquid chromatography.

Cell lines

All the eight cell lines were provided by Shanghai Institute of Cellular Biology of Chinese Academy of Sciences. This study was performed with the approval of the Institutional Ethics Committee of Shandong University.

Cell culture

HepG2, SH-SY5Y, and Raw264.7 cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin and streptomycin. The L-02, prf-plc-5, human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC), A549, and HCT-15 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin and streptomycin. The cells were grown at 37°C in an environment of 5% CO₂.

In vitro antiproliferative assay (MTT assay)

The in vitro cytotoxicity of the enantiomers and racemic mixtures of PZQ against HepG2, SH-SY5Y, Raw264.7, L-02, prf-plc-5, HUVEC, A549, and HCT-15 cell lines was measured by an assay based on the cleavage of the yellow tetrazolium salt 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyldihydropyrazole bromide (MTT) (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) to form purple formazan crystals in viable cells. The compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted with culture medium. The cells cultured in the exponential growth phase were treated with different concentrations (2.5 μM, 5 μM, 10 μM, 20 μM, 40 μM, 80 μM, 160 μM) of PZQ enantiomers at a density of 5×10⁴ cells/100 μL per well and incubated for 48 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂ incubator. The cells were then treated with 10% volume ratio (v/v) MTT dye solution (5 mg/mL) for 4 hours. The medium with MTT solution was replaced with DMSO (100 μL). The 96-well culture plates were then gently shaken in the dark for 30 minutes, and absorbance at 570 nm and 630 nm (background) was measured with a microtiter plate reader. The negative (cells and solvent) and positive (cells treated with rac-PZQ) controls were run in parallel. All assays were carried out in triplicate. The results were expressed in terms of IC₅₀ (μM) and are summarized in Table 1, and the inhibition rates under different drug concentrations on the eight cell lines are shown in Figure 2.

Hoechst 33342 staining

Four cell lines (L-02, HepG2, prf-plc-5, and SH-SY5Y) were chosen for Hoechst 33342 staining. After the incubation period, these four cell lines were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with 5 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) in 1 mL culture medium at 37°C under 5% CO₂ for 20 minutes, and then the cell cultures were rinsed with PBS again. Cells were examined under a fluorescent microscope (Nikon eclipse, TE2000-U; Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The number of apoptotic cells was calculated by counting Hoechst 33342-stained cells with shrunken nuclei in five random fields in each well with three wells per group. The morphological changes of apoptotic cells in nuclei and nuclear chromatin and the inhibition rates under different drug concentrations on the four cell lines (bar graph) are displayed in Figure 3.

Lactate dehydrogenase assay

To further confirm the cytotoxicity of compounds (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ against the eight cell lines, cell death
Table 1 In vitro cytotoxicity of (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ against eight cancer cell lines

| Compounds | IC_{50} (μM) | L-02 | HepG2 | prf-plc-5 | SH-SYSY | HUVEC | A549 | HCT-15 | Raw264.7 |
|-----------|-------------|------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-------|----------|
| rac-PZQ   | 106±2.0b    | 165±3.7 | >200  | >200      | >200    | >200  | >200 | >200  | >200     |
| (R)-PZQ   | >200**      | 64.2±1.8** | >200  | >200      | >200    | >200  | >200 | >200  | >200     |
| (S)-PZQ   | 80.4±1.9**  | 123.4±3.9 | >200  | >200      | >200    | >200  | >200 | >200  | >200     |

Notes: *The cells were continuously treated with different concentrations (5 μM, 10 μM, 20 μM, 40 μM, 80 μM, 160 μM) of PZQ enantiomers for 48 hours; PZQ samples dissolved in DMSO. Cell viability was then determined by MTT assay. **All data are expressed as means from three separate determinations. IC_{50} values (mean ± SEM) were given only if they were <200 μM. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: rac, racemic; PZQ, praziquantel; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; SEM, standard error of the mean.

Figure 2 The proliferation inhibition of (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ assayed by MTT.

Notes: These eight cell lines were continuously treated with different concentrations (2.5 μM, 5 μM, 10 μM, 20 μM, 40 μM, 80 μM, 160 μM) of PZQ enantiomers for 48 hours. (A) L-02 cell line, (B) prf-plc-5 cell line, (C) HepG2 cell line, (D) SH-SYSY cell line, (E) HUVEC cell line, (F) A549 cell line, (G) HCT-15 cell line, and (H) Raw264.7 cell line. Cell viability was then determined by MTT assay. P<0.05 at all compound concentrations.

Abbreviations: PZQ, praziquantel; rac, racemic; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide.
Figure 3 Fluorescent microscopic analysis of nuclei fragmentation by Hoechst 33342 staining.
Notes: Representative photomicrographs of four cell lines (L-02, HepG2, prf-plc-5, and SH-SY5Y) stained with Hoechst 33342 fluorescent dye after exposure to rac-PZQ, (R)-PZQ, and (S)-PZQ (drug concentrations were 0 μM and 160 μM) for 48 hours, respectively. The normal cells exhibited pale blue fluorescence and the apoptotic cells exhibited strong blue fluorescence. Magnification ×200, scale bars =50 μm. (A) Representative photomicrographs; (a) control (0 μM); (b) rac-PZQ (160 μM); (c) (R)-PZQ (160 μM); and (d) (S)-PZQ (160 μM). (B) The cell viability quantified by hoechst 33342 staining. **P<0.01 in all treatment groups.
Abbreviations: PZQ, praziquantel; rac, racemic.
The cytotoxicity study of praziquantel enantiomers was evaluated by the quantification of plasma membrane damage that resulted in the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). These compounds were dissolved in DMSO and diluted with culture medium. The cells cultured in the exponential growth phase were treated with different concentrations (2.5 μM, 5 μM, 10 μM, 20 μM, 40 μM, 80 μM, and 160 μM) of PZQ enantiomers at a density of 5×10^4 cells/100 μL per well and incubated for 48 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂ incubator. Then, the level of LDH released in the cell culture supernatant was detected by LDH cytotoxicity assay detection kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The negative (cells and solvent) and positive (cells treated with rac-PZQ) controls were run in parallel. All assays were carried out in triplicate. The results were expressed in the cell viability rates under different drug concentrations on the four cell lines and are described in Figure 4.

**Results and discussion**

Though rac-PZQ is reported to be safe and has been used for the treatment of schistosomiasis for more than 4 decades, some studies report severe adverse effects. In order to reveal which enantiomer possesses low toxicity to human definitive hosts compared with rac-PZQ, suitable cell lines are selected to study the cytotoxicity of PZQ enantiomers.

Schistosomiasis is transmitted through skin contacted with infected water. After penetrating the skin, schistosomiasis migrates to the lung, goes to the liver, and then stays there for ~20 days, where the worms grow rapidly to attain sexual maturity. Therefore, the lung and liver are the focal points of pathogenic insult and subsequent pathological damage in schistosomiasis. Therefore, human normal liver cells (L-02), human hepatocellular carcinomas (HepG2, prf-plc-5), and human lung cancer cells (A549) were chosen for evaluating the cytotoxicity of (R)- and (S)-PZQ.

![Figure 4](image-url) The cell viability rate of (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ assayed by LDH.

**Notes:** These four cell lines (the results of other four cell lines are not shown) are continuously treated with different concentrations (2.5 μM, 5 μM, 10 μM, 20 μM, 40 μM, 80 μM, 160 μM) of PZQ enantiomers for 48 hours. (A) L-02 cell line, (B) prf-plc-5 cell line, (C) HepG2 cell line, and (D) SH-SY5Y cell line. Cell viability was then determined by LDH assay. *P*<0.05 at all concentrations.

**Abbreviations:** PZQ, praziquantel; rac, racemic; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.
Furthermore, schistosomiasis is a complex syndrome affecting several organs, such as the central nervous system (CNS), and functions to different degrees and causes many side effects to host. Besides abdominal discomfort, particularly pain and nausea, headache, dizziness, and drowsiness may occur shortly after administering PZQ; these direct effects have close relationship with the nervous and digestive systems. Therefore, human neuroblastoma cell line (SH-SY5Y), the well-defined developmental model that has been widely used in experimental neurological diseases studies, and the human colorectal carcinoma cell line (HCT-15) were selected for the valuation of cytotoxicity study.

Schistosomiasis is characterized by hepatic granuloma formation. It has been reported that eggs spawned by an adult schistosome can promote proliferation of HUVECs in vitro. Hence, it is necessary to investigate the effects of PZQ on HUVECs.

In addition, it was reported that rac-PZQ had an immune-dependent action against schistosomiasis. Rac-PZQ showed reduced efficacy in immune-suppressed animals, and the efficacy of PZQ in immune-compromised animals could be enhanced by passive transfer of either homologous immune serum or immunoglobulins purified from the immune serum. For this reason, we decided to investigate the influences of (R)- and (S)-PZQ on murine macrophage cells (Raw264.7).

As presented in Table 1, (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ exhibited different activities against the eight cell lines. (R)-PZQ had negligible cytotoxicity against L-02, SH-SY5Y, HUVEC, A549, HCT-15, and Raw264.7 cells with IC_{50} > 200. Regarding the human hepatocellular carcinomas (prf-ple-5 and HepG2), (R)-PZQ exhibited higher cytotoxicity than rac-PZQ and (S)-PZQ. However, (R)-PZQ did not show toxicity on normal liver cells (IC_{50} > 200 μM), whereas rac-PZQ and (S)-PZQ showed higher toxicity against L-02 cell line (IC_{50} = 80.4±1.9 μM and 106±2.0 μM, respectively) than (R)-PZQ. Since (R)-PZQ negligibly affected the human normal liver cells (L-02) but selectively inhibited tumor cell lines (prf-ple-5 and HepG2), we can speculate that (R)-PZQ has no liver toxicity, and it could contribute to the preventive treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma that is induced by schistosomiasis. Regarding the cytotoxicity on cells belonging to the nervous system, both (R)-PZQ and rac-PZQ exhibited inappreciable cytotoxicity on SH-SYSY cells (IC_{50} > 200), while (S)-PZQ showed some degree of toxicity with IC_{50} = 196.8±4.1 μM. This indicated that (S)-PZQ is possibly the enantiomer in rac-PZQ which causes the side effect on CNS, and this point deserves further investigation.

**Cytotoxicity by (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ on hepatocytes (L-02, prf-ple-5, and HepG2)**

When the concentrations were <40 μM, (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ showed no inhibition on L-02 cell viability (Figure 2A), whereas rac-PZQ and (S)-PZQ showed obvious inhibition at ≥40 μM in a concentration-dependent manner. When the concentrations were achieved to 160 μM, (S)-PZQ and rac-PZQ exhibited significant cytotoxicity on L-02 cells and (R)-PZQ still showed negligible toxic activity. Regarding the tumor cell lines, (R)-PZQ showed obvious inhibition against prf-ple-5 cell line even at a low concentration of 10 μM (Figure 2B) compared with (S)-PZQ and rac-PZQ, and the inhibitory effect of (R)-PZQ on HepG2 cells was slightly higher than rac-PZQ and (S)-PZQ from 0 μM to 160 μM (Figure 2C). These data indicate that (R)-PZQ selectively inhibited tumor cell lines (prf-ple-5 and HepG2) but with no toxicity on the human normal liver cells (L-02), and these results are similar with LDH assay, which reveal that (R)-PZQ not only does not cause damage for normal liver but also benefits the treatment of liver cancer, which might be induced by schistosomiasis.

**Cytotoxicity by (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ on SH-SY5Y cells**

It has been reported that PZQ can cause side effects on the nervous system. As shown in Figure 2D, (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ showed no obvious cytotoxicity on SH-SY5Y cells when the concentration was <40 μM. However, after the concentration was reached to 80 μM, (S)-PZQ showed obvious cytotoxicity on SH-SY5Y cells with lower cell viability percentage than (R)-PZQ and rac-PZQ. These results demonstrate that (S)-PZQ should be the enantiomer in rac-PZQ which causes side effects on the CNS, especially when the high dose is administered. By this point, we can deduce that it is meaningful to clinically use (R)-PZQ in order to reduce the side effects caused by (S)-PZQ on the CNS.

**Cytotoxicity by (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ on HUVECs**

Since schistosomiasis is characterized by hepatic granuloma formation, the endothelial cell activation may contribute to the development of granuloma and vascularization in the granuloma periphery. When schistosome matures, it spawns eggs, which stimulate the proliferation of HUVECs in vitro.
Regarding HUVECs (Figure 2E), (R)-PZQ exhibited higher inhibition rate than rac-PZQ and (S)-PZQ in a concentration-dependent manner when the concentration reached > 2.5 μM. Since high inhibitory activity against HUVECs can help to prevent the hepatic granuloma formation to some degree, (R)-PZQ might be the main active enantiomer to hinder the hepatic granuloma formation.28,31,32

Cytotoxicity by (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ on A549 and HCT-15 cells

As shown in Figure 2F and G, the compounds (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ showed negligible cytotoxicity against A549 and HCT-15 cells with low inhibition rates with the concentrations ranging from 0 μM to 160 μM in concentration-dependent manner. (R)-PZQ displayed mild cytotoxicity only at high concentrations. The side effects of (R)-PZQ to lungs and colons can be ignored unless very high dosage is administered in clinical therapy.

Effects of (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ on Raw264.7 cells proliferation

Since rac-PZQ had an immune-dependent action against schistosomiasis,31 the efficacy of PZQ in immunocompromised animals could be enhanced by passive transfer of either homologous immune serum or immunoglobulins purified from the immune serum. The study of the activity of these three compounds on Raw264.7 cells showed that both (R)-PZQ and rac-PZQ could promote the growth of Raw264.7 cells with the concentrations ranging from 2.5 μM to 40 μM (Figure 2H) and had no toxicity on Raw264.7 cells even when the concentration reached 160 μM. On the contrary, (S)-PZQ could inhibit proliferation of Raw264.7 cells from 20 μM to 160 μM with moderate toxicity. This result proved that (R)-PZQ should be the isomer in rac-PZQ to cause immune-dependent action.

Fluorescent microscopic analysis of cell apoptosis by Hoechst 33342 staining

Based on the MTT assay, the compounds (R)-PZQ, (S)-PZQ, and rac-PZQ displayed considerably different cytotoxicity against L-02, prf-plc-5, HepG2, and SH-SY5Y cells. These four cell lines were selected for cell morphological assessment by Hoechst 33342 staining. The normal cells showed pale blue fluorescence, and the apoptotic cells showed strong blue fluorescence. As illustrated in Figure 3, almost all the cells in group a (control) showed round and homogeneous pale blue fluorescence, whereas a large number of cells exhibited brilliant blue staining in groups b, c, and d (treated with rac-PZQ, (R)-PZQ, and (S)-PZQ, respectively). On L-02 cells, the number of apoptotic cells with strong staining in group c was far less than those in groups b and d. On the contrary, in terms of prf-plc-5 and HepG2 cell lines, large amounts of apoptotic cells could be observed in group c. In the case of SH-SY5Y cells, the number of cells that exhibited strong blue staining was much more in group d than those in groups c and b. These results are consistent with those from LDH test (Figure 4) and MTT assay.

Conclusion

Our study clearly demonstrated that (R)-PZQ has ignorable toxicities on many kinds of human cell lines related with schistosomiasis, while (S)-PZQ is the undesirable isomer in rac-PZQ. Combining the previous studies about the metabolism differences of PZQ enantiomers,16 the taste of (R)-PZQ and (S)-PZQ,15 and the therapeutic efficacy and side effects of (R)-PZQ and rac-PZQ,14 exclusion of (S)-PZQ from rac-PZQ is necessary and the use of pure (R)-PZQ in clinical therapy is highly imperative.
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