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Abstract

Background: Due to COVID 19 pandemic, several universities have experienced a paradigm shift from classroom to online teaching/ learning. The digital transformation of learning management systems has become a necessity rather than a luxury. Especially baby boomers and generation x may struggle to cope with the challenging transformation; hence there is a dire need for a faculty development program to achieve the goal of that transformation and bridge the gaps in faculty's technology skills and competencies.

Objectives: The primary purpose of the virtual medical faculty development program (VFDP) is to equip educators with technology competencies shown to be conducive to remote online learning.

Methodology: An interventional prospective study was held in a university setting. A need analysis was conducted to prioritize the critical technological skills of for faculty members. Based on this analysis, only the indispensable skills that participating faculty members were expected to acquire were included in the program. From each department, 3-4 Faculty participants were recruited to join in the program with an overall 93 enrolled participants from 26 departments in the Medical School. The intervention comprised five sessions, a session every other day, and lasted for ten days. The Kirkpatrick model was utilized to evaluate the program.

Results: Almost 81% of faculty members completed the program and 80% of participants were satisfied with the content of the program. There was a statistically significant difference between the perceived ability of the participants to share and record video lectures before and after the VFDP (p value <0.001).

Conclusion: The virtual medical faculty development program (VFDP) has supported the participating faculty in developing their needed technological competencies required to bridge the gap of remote teaching/learning.

1. Introduction

Technology has changed society. People are no longer asking for more convenient services at more affordable prices; they are demanding them. While some within health and education sectors are reluctant to adapt to this newer, faster, more technologically engaged world order, others are embracing change by introducing innovations that may soon reshape the faces of both industries. More than 120 countries have closed their educational institutions and suspended physical classes in response to health authorities advise due to the viral spread of COVID-19 pandemic. Globally, theses closures impacted more than 60% of the students at different educational levels [1].

Since mid-March 2020, universities in Egypt have been going through a radical shift from traditional face-to-face, in-class teaching to remote online. Most universities have resorted to the remote online instruction to abide by the Egyptian government's decree of “nonstop teaching and learning” [2]. Online learning management systems (LMSs) have been actively employed. The university council in Menoufia
University in Egypt has been approved, emphasizing the use of “voice” in teaching and formative assessment. Since the faculty have never used any online LMSs before, necessitating an immediate faculty development program to ensure consistent implementation of the desired policy.

The Faculty development program (FDP) is a stand-alone educational program that aims to foster knowledge and professional skills of the university faculty. It hence ensures the faculty readiness, continued teaching skills and strategies, and the creation of a positive, self-directed, self-sustaining and collegial work environment [3]. Development of the educator’s technology competencies has set the stage for educator preparation programs to gauge current abilities and establish goals in order to meet the current needs [4]. This paper aims to describe a virtual faculty development program (VFDP) designed to equip educators with technology competencies shown to enhance educators’ remote teaching in response to COVID-19 pandemic.

2. Methodology

2.1. Type of the study: An interventional prospective study

2.2. Study sample: non-probability sampling based on the recruited number

2.3. Participants: Faculty members from 26 departments in the Medical School. Nearly 3-4 participant from each department.

2.4. Time of the study: Mid-March till Mid-June, 2020

2.5. Program details:

2.5.1. Program planning:

After an emergent transition of the entire curriculum to an online platform, an urgent virtual faculty development program was launched as no previous online teaching guidance was provided in the School of Medicine. A needs assessment was conducted via a short pre-designed online survey to assess the critical competencies that faculty members would need to enhance in that program. The quality assurance unit and the medical education center at the School of Medicine collaborated on designing the suggested program. A convenient, non-random sample was drawn from 26 teaching departments in the Medical School. Each participant was asked to highlight three needed competencies from his or her point of view to enhance the faculty’s online teaching. Analysis of the survey was performed, and the five highest-rated competencies were targeted in the program after adaptation with the medical education experts.

2.5.2 Program details:

A Centralized training program was proposed to ensure consistency in the online delivery of programs across the School of Medicine. The objective of the program was to equip educators with the fundamental technology competencies shown to promote remote learning during the time of COVID-19 pandemic. A learner-centered approach was adopted by the Medical Education and Human Resources Development Center in the Medical School to implement the program. The planned program comprised five virtual sessions conducted via Zoom meeting application, with each session lasting for 2 hours. To
maximize the benefits of the training time, participants were provided with key readings that included guides and handouts on basic principles of each domain before the sessions occurred. Thus, the sessions were hands-on experience to validate learning and provide feedback on the performance of participants. Each session integrated facilitated discussion and an assignment accompanied each discussion topic.

The discussion topics comprised the following: lecture recording using different applications such as PowerPoint presentations, the use of the available online meeting applications, online quiz generation, game-based learning, and the employment of the available LMS and discussions (As shown in Table 1).

2.5.3 Program implementation

The VFDP was conducted via Zoom meetings application during the lockdown time. It had five training sessions, each lasting for two hours. It was usually scheduled early in the morning to ensure better internet connection. Each training session included 15 to 20 participants for the sake of better interaction and engagement. The virtual training program was repeated five times to meet the faculty needs. VFDP provided faculty with the opportunity to digitize the content. For example, they created slides and videos for their online classes. production resources for digitizing content (for example, slides and video). Each time, the program lasted for ten days. 75 out of 93 faculty members completed the program resembling 81%

2.5.4 Program monitoring:

In terms of perceived educational gains, the participants' satisfaction was gauged at the end of the program by the trainers and by the participants (e.g. trainer observation for the achieved tasks and participants’ pre- and post-training questionnaire. In addition, learning management systems were monitored to follow up on the implementation of the competencies. Application of the learning to practice was measured by the percentage of the departments applying the program content through weekly follow-ups on the LMS using the checklist provided in Appendix 1.

Statistical analysis

The data were collected, tabulated, and statistically analyzed using an IBM personal computer with Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 23. Qualitative data were expressed in the form of percentages and analyzed using a Chi-squared test (X2) to detect the relation between different qualitative variables. A probability value (P-value) less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant and was considered highly statistically significant if (P< 0.01).

3. Results

3.1. The total available faculty at the time of this study March, 2020 were 446. The response rate was 57%(235 respondents). The five most needed competencies as assessed by the need's assessment were lecture recording, conducting synchronous virtual sessions, using LMS, online student engagement,
and using forms for surveys and quizzes (See Table 2).

3.2. Regarding participants' satisfaction, 80% of the participants were satisfied with the content of the program as highlighted in figure 1. More than 75% of the participants deemed the production resources beneficial (figure 2).

3.3. Table 3 shows that there was a statistically significant difference between the perceived ability of the participants to share and record video lectures before and after the VFDP (p value <0.001).

3.4. The percentage of the departments that applied the program components showed that 96% of them were able to record lectures. In addition, 80% of them were able to develop online quizzes (Figure 3).

4. Discussion

In the wake of coronavirus pandemic, the universities worldwide have experienced a paradigm shift to online teaching. The VFDP has proven effective in enhancing the faculty's skills and in developing the required competencies as indicated by the in-training evaluation and post-training monitoring.

However, the most significant challenge to the success of any VFDP is the participants' underestimation of its value and the overconfidence in their skills [5]. The rapid shift to an online platform has led to feelings of inadequacy and frustration among faculty. This entailed that faculty sought to gain new knowledge and to enhance their skills in this new teaching environment. The perceived faculty needs from the need's assessment was an integral factor of the success of the program. Beside that some of these needs were described in other studies as an emerging faculty needs for enhancing student engagement on a virtual platform [6] with a primary focus on teaching improvement [7].

A virtual faculty development can be a cost-effective method to train the faculty on the use of new technologies and teaching modalities [8]. In our study, 80% of the participants were satisfied with the content of the program, and there was a statistically significant difference between the participants’ perceived ability of recording video lectures and sharing them before and after VFDP.

Designed after the Kirkpatrick model for planning and implementing an effective training program [9], our program began with a needs assessment of the faculty. The five top-ranked competencies were lecture recording, conducting synchronous virtual sessions, using LMS, online student engagement and using forms for surveys and quizzes. Adjustments were made to the content to fit the context of online teaching setting and the nature of generation Z. Being familial with social media and having a shorter attention span required a more technological engagement tool. To this end, the most useful tools are audience response systems such as Kahoot. Besides, both synchronous and asynchronous discussion forums on the LMS, and quizzes enhance the learners” engagement. Finally, automatically graded quizzes may be used frequently during the teaching sessions to improve the learners’ engagement and offer a formative way to assess the learning process [10].

After the program objectives were determined, and the course content was designed, each department nominated three to four faculty members to participate in the program. The program dates were decided in correspondence to the faculty's busy schedule. Due to the limited resources, free applications and tools...
were chosen (e.g. zoom meeting applications and Microsoft forms). The incorporation of technological resources such as virtual platforms and hypermedia resources, combined with other innovative, methodological techniques, have enriched the training and learning process [11]. Most importantly, skilled instructors who have experience with online teaching were chosen to implement the program. Following was the preparation of the training videos. An external coordinator was responsible for managing the program and addressing the trainers and trainees inquiries and needs.

The last step was the evaluation; participants’ satisfaction in terms of perceived educational gain was the first level of Kirkpatrick Model (reaction) [9]. Demonstration of the participants’ knowledge and skills, as evaluated by Trainers and trainees was considered the second level of Kirkpatrick Model (learning) [9]. Application of the program into practice was the third level of the model and was measured by the percentage of the departments that applied the program content as measured by a structured checklist.

**Limitations of the study:**

The current study requires an extended period to evaluate the impact of the program on students’ learning. Though, we expected that it will promote an immediate organizational needed change. Besides, the methodological intervention was in one university.

**Conclusion**

The VFDP is likely to have enhanced the teaching skills of the faculty skills and developed their required technological competencies. The designed VFDP can be implemented in other Egyptian universities to help the Egyptian faculty members cope with the switch to the online teaching amid Covid 19 pandemic. Future studies addressing the long-term impact of this educational program should include a longitudinal follow up to assess the retention of knowledge and application of the skills. The current generation of health professional educators has a unique opportunity to shape the future of health professional education and the impact that it will have on the world’s emerging innovators.
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Tables

Table 1.

The List of Key Competencies in the Program
### Table 2.

**Needs Assessment of the Participants**

| Competency           | Acquired skill                                      |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Learning /teaching   | Lecture recording using different applications       |
|                      | Using the LMS and Discussions                        |
| Student              | Using virtual platforms                              |
| E- Engagement        | Game based learning                                  |
| Assessment           | Developing an online quiz                            |

**By the end of this program, faculty members will be able to:**

1. Identify relevant key features of LMS, Forms, Audience response systems and applications used for lecture recordings
2. Prepare and record the lecture using two applications
3. Use LMS for posting and holding discussions
4. Initiate a virtual synchronous meeting using two applications
5. Maintain student E-engagement during the course
6. Develop an automated grading online quiz
7. Act as advocate for self-learning and students learning
| Topic                                             | No (n = 235) | %   |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----|
| lecture recording                                | 94           | 13.33% |
| Conducting synchronous virtual session           | 86           | 12.20% |
| Using LMS                                        | 80           | 11.35% |
| Student e-engagement                             | 67           | 9.50% |
| Using forms                                      | 66           | 9.36% |
| Management of e-classrooms                       | 57           | 8.09% |
| Electronic constructive feedback                  | 56           | 7.94% |
| Interactive lecture                              | 52           | 7.38% |
| E- communication                                 | 45           | 6.38% |
| Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB)                     | 43           | 6.10% |
| Effective online presentation skills              | 22           | 3.12% |
| Educational alignment                            | 20           | 2.84% |
| Developing online course specifications/reports   | 14           | 1.99% |
| Clinical study on simulator                      | 1            | 0.14% |
| Blueprinting of exams                            | 1            | 0.14% |
| Developing MCQ items                             | 1            | 0.14% |
Table 3. Comparison of participants responses before and after training

| Ability to record video lectures | Pre-survey n=93 n (%) | Post-Survey n=75 n (%) | P Value | X2* |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|-----|
| Did not record lectures         | 10 (10.8)             | 9 (12.3)               | <0.001  | 56.4|
| Cannot record lectures          | 4 (4.3)               | 3 (4.1)                |         |     |
| Can record with difficulty      | 48 (51.6)             | 0 (0.0)                |         |     |
| Can do with ease                | 31 (33.3)             | 61 (83.6)              |         |     |

| Ability to share/upload video lectures | Pre-survey n=93 n (%) | Post-Survey n=75 n (%) | P Value | X2* |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|-----|
| Did not upload lectures               | 17 (18.3)             | 14 (19.2)              | <0.001  | 53.8|
| Cannot upload                         | 9 (9.7)               | 4 (5.5)                |         |     |
| Can upload with difficulty            | 42 (45.2)             | 0 (0.0)                |         |     |
| Can Upload with ease                  | 25 (26.9)             | 55 (75.4)              |         |     |

*Chi-Square test

Figures

Figure 1

Degree of participants' satisfactions toward the program content
Figure 2

Ratings of the usefulness of the production resources.

Figure 3

Percentage of departments application of the program components
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