Coherent transfer of optical orbital angular momentum in multi-order Raman sideband generation
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Experimental results from the generation of Raman sidebands using optical vortices are presented. By generating two sets of sidebands originating from different locations in a Raman-active crystal, one set containing optical orbital angular momentum and the other serving as a reference, Young’s double slit experiment was simultaneously realized for each sideband. The interference between the two sets of sidebands was used to determine the helicity and topological charge in each order. Topological charges in all orders were found to be discrete and follow selection rules predicted by a cascaded Raman process. © 2012 Optical Society of America
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Laguerre–Gaussian LGℓp beams have generated considerable interest over a broad range of disciplines due to applications such as optical tweezers and spanners, super resolution imaging, and entangled quantum states [1]. These unique beams of light, known as optical vortices (OVs), are solutions to the paraxial wave equation (PWE) and carry a physical quantity known as optical angular momentum. The concept of angular momentum in optics is not unique to OVs; however, since these beams are eigensolutions of the PWE, they carry a sharply defined amount of optical orbital angular momentum (OAM) per photon. We are interested in investigating the interaction of OAM containing beams with matter to elucidate the role that this quantity plays in ultrafast intense-field processes, which still remains experimentally largely unexplored territory.

The generation of coherent radiation spanning a broad spectral range is currently an active field of research and is used in the production of ultrashort pulses of radiation. In addition to broader bandwidths, creating ultrashort pulses requires shorter wavelengths. Two methods have emerged in this endeavor: cascaded Raman sideband generation (often called molecular modulation) [2,3] and high-harmonic generation (HHG) [4]. In the UV/extreme ultraviolet radiation (XUV) spectral region, radiation from HHG has demonstrated the production of single isolated ∼150 as pulses using a now well-developed technique based on optical gating [5]. Compared to Raman sideband generation, HHG is inherently inefficient, having a conversion efficiency on the order of 10−5. In the visible—UV region, Raman sideband generation has taken center stage. Sideband generation is highly efficient compared to HHG, converting 1 out of 10 photons into higher energy photons [4]. An additional advantage of producing radiation in this spectral region is the availability of a plethora of optical devices that can be utilized to modify both the spectral and spatial content of the resulting radiation. For example, H. S. Chan et al. have synthesized various temporal waveforms by manipulating the phases and amplitudes of the individual sidebands [6].

Sideband generation from the parametric coupling between a pump (P) and Stokes (S) beam presents an attractive prospect for attosecond physics. Currently the shortest pulses that have been successfully realized in experiments are from a train of 1.4 fs pulses and single isolated 2.7 fs pulses using impulsive techniques [7]. In this Letter, results from the generation of Raman sidebands using OAM containing beams are presented. Manipulation of the transverse spatial profiles of the P and S beams offers an extra degree of freedom in which ultrashort OVs can be produced or converted to different wavelengths.

In nonlinear optical phenomena that are second order in the field, nonlinear polarizations give rise to radiation containing contributions from the second harmonic, the sum and difference frequencies, and optical rectification [8]. Using various radiation sources, nonlinear media,

![Energy level diagrams for (a) sum-frequency generation (SFG); (b) difference-frequency generation (DFG), and (c) cascaded Raman sideband generation.](image-url)
and experimental configurations, sum-frequency and second-harmonic generation [Fig. 1(a)] with OVs have been investigated by a number of authors [9–11]. In these experiments the net topological charges of the resulting beams have been found to follow basic arithmetical operations \( q_0 = q_1 + q_2 \), and these processes have been viewed by some authors as a prototypical optical processor [10]. Difference-frequency generation [Fig. 1(b)] with OAM containing beams has also been investigated under spontaneous and stimulated processes. On the microscopic scale, spontaneous downconversion experiments have demonstrated the production of entangled photon pairs that conserve OAM [11]. Conversion of topological charge has also been observed in stimulated downconversion experiments [12].

In the current work, experiments were performed using a 1 kHz Ti:sapphire (Spectra-Physics Spitfire) laser system emitting radiation with a central wavelength of 800 nm, a pulse duration of \(~50\) fs, and an output power of 1 mJ per pulse. This radiation was sent into a four-port Michelson interferometer (not shown) so that the two output beams could be used to generate two independent sets of sidebands. Optical vortices of topological charge \( |\ell| = 1 \) were produced in one of the output ports by positioning a spiral phase plate (SPP) [HoloOr VL-209-M-Y-A] directly after the first beam splitter. Because the SPP was constructed using 16 different step heights, and due to a mismatch between the final step height and radiation other than 800 nm, all radiation is not expected to be in the desired mode \( \text{LG}_{01} \) [13]. Immediately following the SPP only the spatial phase of the beam is modified, leaving the transverse amplitude distribution the same as the incoming radiation. For a well-designed SPP, it is expected that most of the radiation resides in the \( |\ell| = 1 \) and \( p = 0 \) mode, with the remainder distributed among beams having different radial mode numbers. Ultimately, visual inspection of the OVs-reference interferogram on a white screen following the interferometer showed a single bifurcation having sharp contrast, as expected from a pure \( \text{LG}_{01} \) mode.

The output of the four-port interferometer was sent into the beam crossing setup shown in Fig. 2. Input radiation was split by a beam splitter (BS), which directs radiation into each arm of the setup. The variable arm consisted of a translational stage (T) and three mirrors M1, M2, and M3; the fixed arm had a total of four mirrors (M4—M7). By adjusting M4 and M6, radiation could be reflected from M5 (dotted line in Fig. 2) to introduce an extra reflection. In the absence of M5, the beam crossing setup is symmetric with respect to linearly polarized radiation having \( \ell = 0 \) but not with respect to an LG beam with \( \ell = 0 \) or a circularly polarized beam; an asymmetry is caused by the BS, which introduces an extra reflection in the fixed arm. A 23 cm focal length lens focused radiation into a 1 mm thick lead tungstate crystal PbWO4 at a crossing angle of \( \sim 3^\circ \). The strong narrow Raman line at 901 cm\(^{-1}\) lies outside of the bandwidth of our radiation; however, the relatively weaker Raman mode at 320 cm\(^{-1}\) was accessible. To generate Raman sidebands, time-delayed linear chirped pulses having sufficient chirp (experimentally found) together with a loose focusing geometry were employed (details can be found in [14]). For a fixed chirp parameter \( b \), a delay between the pump and Stokes can be found such that the periodicity of the resulting pulse train can be matched to the period of the Raman mode \( \Delta \omega = \omega_p = b \ell P \), permitting selective excitation. This configuration has the advantage that a single radiation source can be used, and chirped pulses lessen contributions from unwanted nonlinear phenomena such as self-focusing self-phase modulation and four-wave mixing. Additionally, the noncollinear setup eliminates the need for dispersive optical elements to separate the different sidebands [14].

CCD (Spiricon SP620U) images of the experimental results are shown in Fig. 2. Over 20 sidebands were observed, and spectral measurements of the first 4 anti-Stokes (AS) orders verified selective excitation of the weaker Raman modes at 320 cm\(^{-1}\). With mirror M5 in place, the reflections in both arms are balanced in the sense that an odd number of reflections occur. The first and second rows show the OVs and interferograms produced in sidebands AS2 through S2 with M5 in place.

Fig. 2. (Color online) Beam crossing setup. BS, beam splitter; M, mirrors; T, translation stage; L, lens; PbWO4, lead tungstate crystal; S, Stokes beams; P, pump; AS, anti-Stokes orders. The upper right photograph is the PbWO4 crystal showing two white spots where the two sets of sidebands are generated. Second harmonic radiation is being scattered from the edges of the crystal.

Fig. 3. (Color online) Raman sideband generation with optical vortices (OV). AS, anti-Stokes orders; P, pump beam; S, Stokes beam and orders. Rows 1 and 2 (with M5 in place; see text) are OVs and interferograms from sideband generation with P and S having \( \ell_P = \ell_S = \ell \ (\ell = \pm 1) \), with rows 3 and 4 (without M5) having \( \ell_P = -\ell_S = \ell \ (\ell = \pm 1) \). The order and orientation of the multifurcations give the helicities and topological charges of the resulting OVs.
Rows 3 and 4 are those with mirror M5 removed. Relative to the P and S beams, a difference in vortex size can be observed. All OVs in row 1 have comparable sizes, while those in row 3 appear to increase in size with increasing S or AS order. The similarity (difference) in size suggests identical (different) topological charges. This can be deduced because an OV’s ring size increases with topological charge as \( r \propto |t|^1/2 \). However, this increase alone is not a sufficient indicator of topological charge.

To investigate the topological charges of the OVs in each sideband more definitively, Young’s double slit experiment was realized for each order simultaneously by allowing the reference beam from the four-port interferometer to propagate through the beam crossing setup along with the OV beam. The reference beam was shifted slightly in the vertical direction to allow the two sets of P and S beams to cross at different locations within the crystal (inset shown in Fig. 2), producing two sets of Raman sidebands. The interferograms are shown in rows 2 and 4 of Fig. 3. The contrast of the interferograms was maximized by adjusting the variable arm of the Michelson interferometer. The direction of the multifurcations gives the helicity of the OVs once the sign of the angle between the interfering beams is known. For our analysis and interpretation of the data, it is sufficient to know only the relative helicity of the OVs.

The experimental results can be described by a cascaded Raman process Fig. 1(c) [2–4]. Since the angular dependence of OVs appears in the phase along with the frequency, the topological charges in the S and AS orders can be determined in a similar fashion to the way one would determine the frequency of the radiation in each order. The phases of the P and S beams can be written as \( \varphi_P = -\omega_P t + \ell_P \theta \) and \( \varphi_S = -\omega_S t + \ell_S \theta \), respectively. From the energy level diagram in Fig. 1(c), the phase of AS1 is found by subtracting the phase of AS1, \( \varphi_{AS1} = 2\omega_P - \varphi_S \), and for AS2 the phase of the Stokes will be subtracted from the sum of the phases of the pump and AS1, viz., \( \varphi_{AS2} = \varphi_P + \varphi_{AS1} - \varphi_S \). In the nth AS order, this prescription leads to a general rule for the frequencies \( \omega_{AS} = (n + 1)\omega_P - n\omega_S \) and mode numbers \( \ell^n = (n + 1)\ell_P - n\ell_S \). Using similar arguments, those in the S orders are found to be \( \omega^n_S = (n + 1)\omega_S - n\omega_P \) and \( \ell^n_S = (n + 1)\ell_S - n\ell_P \). Adapting these two results, we find the conservation relation \( \ell^n_S + \ell^n_{AS} = \ell_S + \ell_P \). Similar selection rules have also been found in the case of degenerate two-wave mixing in a Kerr-like nonlinear medium [15]. As a note, by labeling the applied pump (Stokes) beam as \( n = 0 \) (\( n = -1 \)), the frequency and orbital angular momentum algebras can be written as single equations \( \omega_n = \omega_0 + n(\omega_P - \omega_S) \) and \( \ell_n = \ell_0 + n(\ell_S - \ell_P) \). In this frequently used notation, the AS and S orders take on positive and negative integer values of \( n \), respectively [3].

When both the S and P beams have the same value of \( t \), the topological charge in all orders is \( \ell^n = \ell^n_{AS} = \ell \), which is independent of the order. The interferograms in row 1 are consistent with this result, showing bifurcations \( |t| = 1 \) situated in the same direction. This result may be of particular interest in synthesizing custom broadband ultrashort pulses in a pure transversal mode, since each chromatic order has the same value of \( t \) [16]. When the S and P beams have the same magnitude of \( t \) but differ in sign, the bifurcations of the P and S beams face in opposite directions. The topological charge and helicities in the nth order consist of odd integer values \( \ell^n_{AS} = -\ell^n_S = (2n + 1)t \). Careful fringe counting analysis of the multifurcations in each order verified the predicted topological charges for the measured S and AS orders (i.e., \( \pm 5, \pm 3, \pm 1, \mp 1, \mp 3, \mp 5 \)).

In conclusion, we have generated broadband Raman sidebands in a Raman-active crystal with the future goal of synthesizing ultrashort pulses of radiation having spatially modified waveforms. More precisely, we have realized the coherent transfer of OAM in the selectively excited Raman transitions in a PbWO4 crystal by using a pair of time-delayed linearly chirped pulses.
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