Theoretical Study of ClOO + NO Reaction: Mechanism and Kinetics
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Abstract: Theoretical investigations are performed on mechanism and kinetics of the reaction of halogen peroxy radical ClOO with NO radical. The electronic structure information for both of the singlet and triplet potential energy surfaces (PESs) is obtained at the MP2/6-311 + G(2df) level of theory, and the single-point energies are refined by the CCSD(T)/6-311 + G(2df) level. The rate constants for various product channels of the reaction in the pressure range of 1-7600 Torr are predicted. The main results are as follows: On the singlet surface, the addition-elimination mechanism is the most important. First, the N atom of the NO radical can attack the O atom of the ClOO radical to form an energy-riched intermediate IM1 ClOONOtp (21.3 kcal/mol) barrierlessly, then IM1 could isomerizes to IM2 ClOONOcp (22.1 kcal/mol) via a low energy barrier. Both IM1 and IM2 can dissociate to the primary product P1 ClNO + O2 and the secondary product P2 ClO + NO2. On the triplet surface, the direct Cl-abstraction reaction is the most feasible pathway. The Cl-abstraction can take place via a van der Waals complex, IM1 ONClOO (4.1 kcal/mol), then it fragments readily to give P1 ClNO + 1O2 with a small barrier. The kinetic calculations show that at low temperatures, the singlet bimolecular product P1 is the primary product, while at high temperatures, the triplet product P1' becomes the primary one; only at high pressures and low temperatures, the unimolecular products IM1 and IM2 can be found with quite small yields. At experimentally measured temperature 213 K, ClNO is the primary product in the whole pressure range, which is consistent with the previous experiment. The present study may be useful for further experimental studies for the title reaction.
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1. Introduction

Halogen oxide has attracted wide experimental and theoretical attentions for its important role in many chemical processes, such as stratospheric ozone depletion, water disinfection, pulp bleaching, food preservation, etc. [1–21]. In atmosphere, halogen oxide mainly exists in free radicals, such as XO, OXO and XOO. Asymmetrical XOO is the most stable structure of halogen oxide XO2 [22]. The free radical (ClOO) contains a very weak chlorine-oxygen bond (Cl-O) with a bond energy of smaller than 19.5 KJ·mol−1. ClOO is often described as a helium atom “accompanied with” an oxygen molecule [23].

In the stratosphere, there’s a reaction that will destroy the ozone:

\[ \text{Cl} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{ClO} + \text{O}_2 \]  

This reaction is accompanied with:

\[ \text{Cl} + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{ClOO} + \text{M} \]
And
\[ \text{ClO} + \text{ClO} \rightarrow \text{ClOO} + \text{C} \] (3)

In these reactions, ClOO is an important intermediate and is vital to circulation of ozone depletion [23]. Therefore, elimination reactions of ClOO by other chemical elements like NO, NO\(_2\) and hydrocarbon in the atmosphere will influence the circulation of such chlorine-induced ozone depletion significantly.

On the other hand, NO, one of the major oxynitrides with wide distributions in atmosphere, not only is closely related with atmospheric pollutions (e.g., acid rain and photochemical smog), but also will destroy the ozone sphere [24]. Experimental and theoretical research on the generation and elimination reaction mechanism of NO has been one of important topic in atmosphere and combustion chemistry [25–35]. As a result, the ClOO + NO reaction has important potential significance to eliminate atmospheric pollutants and reduce ozone depletion.

For ClOO + NO reaction, there are three feasible reaction channels in thermodynamics:

\[ \text{ClOO} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{ClO} + \text{NO}_2 \] (4)
\[ \text{ClOO} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{ClNO} + \text{O}_2 \] (5)
\[ \text{ClOO} + \text{NO} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{ClONO}_2 + \text{M} \] (6)

However, there has only been one experimental research project on kinetic of ClOO + NO reaction as far as we know. In 2006, Enami et al. [36] measured ClOO + NO reaction when temperature ranges from 205–243 K and pressure ranges from 50–150 Torr by using spectrographic technique under cavity environment. They discovered no obvious temperature dependence and concluded that the reaction rate remains a constant at 213 K, \( k (\text{ClOO} + \text{NO}) = (4.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \). They speculated that the major product of ClOO + NO reaction is ClNO whose branching ratio is about 0.8. But the observed product is NO\(_2\) whose branching ratio is 0.18 ± 0.02 at 213 K and 0.15 ± 0.02 at 223 K. Their experimental research failed to elaborate the mechanism of this complicated ClOO + NO reaction with multiple quantum well and multiple channels, pressure and temperature dependence of its product within a wider measuring range, and product distribution. According to our knowledge, Yang et al. [37] carried out a theoretical study on this reaction at CCSD (T)/6-311 + G(2d)//B3LYP/6-311 + G(2d) level of theory in 2012. Although they have got relative detailed singlet potential energy surface, they didn’t considered triplet potential energy surface, and they didn’t calculate the kinetics of the ClOO + NO reaction. Kinetics information within other temperature and pressure range of the reaction is still not clear. In addition, recently, we have investigated the mechanism for the reaction of radical FOO with NO [38]. The calculated results show that starting from the energy-riched intermediate FOONO, FNO is the exclusive product at room temperature 298 K and at 1 Torr. Similarly, we speculate that ClNO is the primary product of the ClOO + NO reaction at experimentally measured temperature 213 K. Considering the potential significance of ClOO + NO reaction in atmospheric chemistry, it is necessary to make comprehensive theoretical studies on its mechanism and kinetic. In this paper, potential energy surface of ClOO + NO reaction was explored based on quantum chemistry calculation. A kinetic calculation was conducted by using Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus (RRKM) unimolecular reaction rate theory of microcanonical ensemble [39], getting the reaction rate constants and branching ratios of many product channels under different temperatures and pressures.

2. Calculation Methods

The geometries of all of the reactants, products, intermediates, and transition states involved in the ClOO + NO reaction were optimized using the second order level of closed shell M\(\Phi\)ller-Plesset MP2 [40] perturbation theory in conjunction with the 6-311 + G(2df) basis set. Frequency calculations were performed at the same level to check whether the obtained species is an equilibrium species.
(with all real frequencies) or a transition state (with one and only one imaginary frequency). To confirm that the transition states connect designated intermediates, we also performed intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) [41–44] calculations at the MP2/6-311 + G(2df) level. To obtain more reliable energetic data, single-point energy calculations were performed at the CCSD (T)/6-311 + G(2df) level using the MP2/6-311 + G(2df) optimized geometries of all the species. Unless noted, the CCSD(T) energies with inclusion of MP2 zero-point vibrational energies (ZPE) are used throughout. All calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 03 program packages [45].

According to the variational transition-state and RRKM [39] theories, the kinetic calculations for this multi-channel and multi-well reaction were carried out via the MultiWell 2011 [46,47] program on the basis of the PES obtained above in order to identify the likely mechanism and the branching ratios of various product channels.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Potential Energy Surface and Reaction Mechanism

The optimized geometries of the reactants, products, intermediates and transition states for ClOO + NO reaction are shown in Figure 1, respectively, along with the available experimental data from the literature. It is found that when comparison is available, the agreement between theoretical and experimental results is good, with the largest discrepancy within a factor of 0.9%. The acronyms “cp” and “tp” are used to denote the cis-perp and trans-perp forms of the isomers. The schematic profile of the PESs is depicted in Figure 2. The total energy of the reactant R (ClOO + NO) is set to be zero for reference.

Figure 1. Cont.
Figure 1. MP2/6-311 + G(2df) optimized geometries for the reactants, products, intermediates (IM) and the corresponding transition states (TS) of ClOO + NO reaction. The values in parentheses are the pertinent experimental data from the literature [48–51] and (a–d) represent refs [48–51], respectively. Bond lengths are in Å and bond angles are in degree.

3.1.1. Addition Reaction Channels

It can be seen from Figure 2 that on singlet potential energy surface, the ClOO + NO reaction could be added onto O atom of ClOO• through the N-atom of NO• to form entrance intermediate with rich energies IM1 ClOONOtp (−21.3 kcal/mol). Such addition reaction will release abundant heats, making IM1 gain high chemical activity and easy to be further isomerized and dissociated. This is a typical free radical-free radical reaction mechanism. We should note that IM1 can be converted into its cis-perp conformer IM2 ClOONOcp (−22.1 kcal/mol) only by overcoming a small energy barrier 8.5 kcal/mol and passing through a transition state TS_{IM1-IM2} of −NO group rotation.
Five available dissociation and isomerization reaction channels were found from IM1 ClOONOtp (Figure 2): (1) generation channel of P1 ClINO + O2 (−13.9 kcal/mol) through a four-membered ring transition state TS\textsubscript{IM1-P1} (5.5 kcal/mol) of 1, 3 Cl-atom transfer; (2) generation channel of P2 ClO + NO\textsubscript{2} (−15.0 kcal/mol) through the transition state TS\textsubscript{IM1-P2} (−2.1 kcal/mol) of direct O–O bond breakage; (3) generation channel of P6 OClNO\textsubscript{2} (−15.2 kcal/mol) through a four-membered ring transition state TS\textsubscript{IM1-P6} (−3.6 kcal/mol) of synergetic O–O bond breakage and N–Cl bond formation. P\textsubscript{6} could make secondary dissociation reaction and produce P\textsubscript{2} through direct N–Cl bond pyrolysis; (4) generation channel of IM3 (1.1 kcal/mol) through the transition state TS\textsubscript{IM1-IM3} (1.7 kcal/mol) of synergetic N–O and O–Cl bond breakages. IM3 is a complex with loose structure, which passes through the transition state TS\textsubscript{IM3-P1} and produces P\textsubscript{1} after overcoming a 3.7 kcal/mol energy barrier. (5) Based on structure optimization on MP2 theoretical level combined with 6-311G(d) basis set and single-point energy correction on CCSD(T)/6-311 + G(2df) level, another reaction channel could be found. Based on O–Cl transfer, IM1 passes through a three-membered ring transition state TS\textsubscript{IM1-P3} (70.1 kcal/mol) and produces P\textsubscript{3} ClONO\textsubscript{2} (−46.9 kcal/mol). P\textsubscript{2} and P\textsubscript{3} could convert mutually. P\textsubscript{3} could be further converted to produce IM5 OIONOtp (−6.8 kcal/mol) (through TS\textsubscript{P3-IM5} (2.4 kcal/mol)), P\textsubscript{7} Cl• + NO\textsubscript{3} (−5.0 kcal/mol) (through generation of loose energy barrier-free variational transition state) and P\textsubscript{3}′ (through TS\textsubscript{P3-P3}′ (1.0 kcal/mol) or TS\textsubscript{P3-P3}′ (−40.3 kcal/mol)). Obviously, since energies of TS\textsubscript{IM1-P1}, TS\textsubscript{IM1-IM3} and TS\textsubscript{IM1-P3} of rate-limiting step in channel (1), (4) and (5) are all positive and far higher than those of TS\textsubscript{IM1-P3and TS\textsubscript{IM1-P6} in channel (2) and (3), channel (1), (4) and (5) couldn’t compete with channel (2) and (3). Therefore, they are not involved in the following kinetic calculation.

![Figure 2. Cont.](image-url)
Four available dissociation and isomerization reaction channels were determined from IM2 ClOOONOcp (Figure 2). Firstly, IM2 could make 1,3 Cl-atom transfer through a four-membered ring transition state TS$_{IM2-P1}$ (−9.2 kcal/mol) and produce P$_1$. Secondly, IM2 also could make direct O-O bond breakage through the transition state TS$_{IM2-P2}$ (−4.3 kcal/mol) to produce P$_2$. Moreover, IM2 can be converted into isomer IM4 ClONOO (11.3 kcal/mol) through a transition state TS$_{IM2-IM4}$ (27.6 kcal/mol) of 1,4 Cl-transfer. IM4 can be decomposed directly into P$_5$ ClON + ¹O$_2$ (36.0 kcal/mol) without energy barrier. Additionally, we also discovered a reaction channel of IM2 on CCSD(T)/6-311 + G(2df) theoretical level, which produces P3 through 1,2-OCl transfer after passing through a three-membered ring transition state TS$_{IM2-P3}$ (23.8 kcal/mol). It is easy to know that channel (3) and (4) have to overcome big energy barriers, which are impractical in energy. As a result, they are neglected in the following kinetic calculation.

3.1.2. Abstraction Reaction Channels

In Figure 2, ClOO + NO reaction has another two abstraction reaction channels on singlet and triplet potential energy surfaces. On the singlet potential energy surface, both N atom and O atom in NO• can abstract Cl atom in ClOO• directly to generate P$_1$ and P$_4$ ClON + ³O$_2$ (1.3 kcal/mol), respectively. Their transition states are TS$_{R-P1}$ (6.5 kcal/mol), forming TS$_{R-P4}$ (24.3 kcal/mol). On the
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triplet potential energy surface, N atom in NO• can either abstract O atom in ClOO• directly and produces P2 through a high-energy transition state \(3^1\text{TS}_{R-P2}\) (38.8 kcal/mol), or abstract Cl atoms and produces P1′ CINO + 3O2 (−44.0 kcal/mol) after passing through a triplet van der Waals complex \(3^1\text{IM1} 3^1\text{ONClOO}\) (−4.1 kcal/mol) and then the transition state \(3^1\text{TS}_{3\text{IM2}-P1′}\) (−3.4 kcal/mol). Since previous three abstraction reaction channels contain very high reaction energy barrier, the last abstraction reaction channel that produces P1′ is the only one feasible channel, which is considered in the following kinetic calculation.

To sum up, available channels for ClOO + NO reaction are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R (ClOO + NO)} & \rightarrow \text{IM1}^* \rightarrow \text{IM1} \\
& \rightarrow P_2\text{ClO} + \text{NO}_2 \\
& \rightarrow P_2\text{OCINO}_2 \rightarrow P_2\text{ClO} + \text{NO}_2 \\
& \rightarrow \text{IM2} \rightarrow P_1\text{ClINO} + 1\text{O}_2 \\
& \rightarrow P_2\text{ClO} + \text{NO}_2 \\
\text{R (ClOO + NO)} & \rightarrow \text{IM1}^* \rightarrow \text{IM1}^* \rightarrow \text{ONClOO} \rightarrow P_1′\text{ClINO} + 3\text{O}_2
\end{align*}
\]

On singlet potential energy surface, generation of IM1-2, P6, P1 and P2 as well as direction Cl-abstraction product P1′ through a triplet potential energy surface is the most feasible in view of energy (Figure 2). However, since the rate-limiting steps in their generation channels only have slight energy differences, it is difficult to determine possible reaction channels and feasible products under different temperature and pressure ranges only from the perspective of energy. Hence, the following text uses RRKM calculation to calculate rate constants and branching ratio of these competitive channels.

3.2. Kinetic Calculations

Based on acquired potential energy surfaces of ClOO + NO reaction, rate constants of the overall reaction and multiple reaction channels as well as branching ratio of various products under the temperature range 200–293 K and pressure range 1~7600 Torr were calculated with MultiWell 2011 program [46,47]. Energies and molecular parameters (reaction energy barrier, rotational inertia and vibration frequency) of reactants, products, intermediates and transition states which were calculated from ab initio were used in kinetic calculation. bottleneck of energy barrier-free reaction channels, for example, entrance channel of IM1 ClOONOtp with chemical activity, was identified using variational transition state theory (VTST) [52,53]. Therefore, we carried out restricted optimization calculation under fixed length of N-O bond in IM1 ClOONOtp and multiple reference states CASSCF(8,6)/aug-cc-pvdz. Single-point total energy usage along the reaction coordinates was corrected by using CASPT2(8,6)/aug-cc-pvdz. CASPT2//CASSCF computation was accomplished by using MOLPRO 2006 program [54,55]. Other necessary information about potential energy surfaces in the kinetic calculation were acquired on CCSD(T)/6-311 + G(2df)//MP2/6-311 + G(2df) theoretical levels. The total reaction rate constant \(k_{tot}\) is the sum of rate constants of corresponding reaction channels. Table 1 shows that the RRKM theoretical values agree well with experimental values of Enami et al. [36], within the studying temperature range. The total reaction rate constant \(k_{tot}\) at various pressures of 1, 50, 150, 760 and 7600 Torr in a temperature range of 200–293 K is presented in Figure 3. It is seen that under different pressures, \(k_{tot}\) decreases slightly with the increase of temperature.

| T(K)/\(k_{tot}\) (×10\(^{-11}\) cm\(^3\) mol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | 205 | 213 | 223 | 233 | 243 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Experimental data \(^a\) | 4.3 ± 0.8 | 4.5 ± 0.9 | 4.2 ± 0.8 | 4.9 ± 1.0 | 5.5 ± 1.2 |
| Theoretical calculation results (RRKM) | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 |

\(^a\) represent refs. [36].
Intermediate at 1 Torr branching ratios are about 0.02. No associated experimental data is available yet.

When pressure <77 Torr, showing increasing yield from 0.52 at 1 Torr to 0.49 at 77 Torr. P1 is the major product and the branching ratio order of products is: P1 is ClNO, which echoes with the theoretical result. However, the theoretical yield of ClNO is about 0.98–0.96, higher than the experimental estimation (0.80). The theoretical branching ratio of secondary product NO is inversely proportional to temperature, reducing gradually from 0.02 at 200 K to 0.01 at 293 K. On the contrary, branching ratio of P1 is also inversely proportional to temperature, reducing gradually from 0.52 at 200 K to 0.46 at 293 K. Branching ratio of P2 is about 0.98–0.96, higher than the experimental estimation (0.80). The theoretical branching ratio of secondary product NO2 is lower than the observed 0.18 ± 0.02. Moreover, the kinetic calculation also revealed that yields of ClONO2p and ClONO2cp under 7600 Torr are very small and their branching ratios are about 0.02. No associated experimental data is available yet.

Reaction branching ratios of reaction channels at 1 Torr when temperature changes between 200–293 K are shown in Figure 5. Obviously, the impact stabilization effect of intermediate at 1 Torr can be neglected completely. IM1 and IM2 transformed into P1 and P2 completely. In Figure 5, branching ratio of P1 is inversely proportional to temperature, reducing gradually from 0.52 at 200 K to 0.29 at 293 K. Branching ratio of P2 is also inversely proportional to temperature, reducing gradually from 0.02 at 200 K to about 0.01 at 293 K. On the contrary, branching ratio of P1 is proportional to temperature, increasing continuously from 0.46 at 200 K to 0.70 at 293 K. When temperature <212 K, P1 is the major product and the branching ratio order of products is: P1 > P1’ > P2. When temperature >212 K, P1’ is the major product and the branching ratio order of products is: P1’ > P1 > P2.

Variations of reaction branching ratios of the overall reaction channel and reaction channels against pressure (1~7600 Torr) under 213 K are shown in Figure 4. At 213 K, P1 is the major product when pressure <77 Torr, showing increasing yield from 0.52 at 1 Torr to 0.49 at 77 Torr. P1’ is the secondary major product and its yield increases continuously from 0.46 at 1 Torr to 0.49 at 77 Torr. When pressure >77 Torr, P1 become the secondary major product, having 0.46 yield at 7600 Torr, while P1’ become the major product, having 0.50 yield at 7600 Torr. The yield of P2 reduces gradually with the increase of pressure. It reduces from 0.02 at 1 Torr to 0.01 at 7600 Torr. Under 213 K, the stabilization effect of intermediate could be neglected, and yields of IM1 and IM2 are smaller than 0.02. Theoretical values were compared with previous experimental data. The experiment speculated that the major product is ClNO, which echoes with the theoretical result. However, the theoretical yield of ClNO from 1~7600 Torr is about 0.98–0.96, higher than the experimental estimation (0.80). The theoretical branching ratio of secondary product NO2 is lower than the observed 0.18 ± 0.02. Moreover, the kinetic calculation also revealed that yields of ClONO2p and ClONO2cp under 7600 Torr are very small and their branching ratios are about 0.02. No associated experimental data is available yet.

Figure 3. The total reaction rate constant (k_{tot}) at various pressures of 1, 50, 150, 760 and 7600 Torr in a temperature range of 200–293 K.
The ratio of unimolecular product IM2 remains smaller than 0.001 within this temperature variation range, which could be neglected.

**Figure 4.** Branching ratios of various reaction channels at 213 K in a pressure range from 1 Torr to 7600 Torr.

**Figure 5.** Branching ratios of various reaction channels at 1 Torr in a temperature range from 200 K to 293 K.
Variations of the reaction branching ratio of reaction channels at 7600 Torr when temperature varies between 200–293 K are shown in Figure 7. When temperature >220 K, \( P_1' \) is the major product and its yield increases continuously from 0.43 at 200 K to the peak (about 0.64) at 293 K. As temperature increases from 200 K to 293 K, branching ratios of both \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) decrease, from 0.53 to 0.34 and from 0.02 to 0.01, respectively. \( IM_1 \) has some yield and its branching ratio decreases from 0.02 to 0.01. The yield of \( IM_2 \) ≤ 0.01 and can be neglected within this temperature range. When temperature <220 K, the branching ratio order of products is: \( P_1 > P_1' > IM_1 > P_2 \approx IM_2 \). Branching ratios of products at 200 K are: 0.53 > 0.43 > 0.02 > 0.01 ≈ 0.01.

**Figure 6.** Branching ratios of various reaction channels at 760 Torr in a temperature range from 200 K to 293 K.

**Figure 7.** Branching ratios of various reaction channels at 7600 Torr in a temperature range from 200 K to 293 K.
4. Conclusions

On singlet potential energy surface, addition-dissociation is main mechanism of ClOO + NO reaction. Firstly, N atom in NO can attack Cl atom in ClOO to generate entrance intermediate IM1ClOONOtp with rich energy, influenced by no energy barrier. IM1 can transform into its cis isomer IM2 ClOONOcp easily. Viewed from IM1 and IM2, P1ClNO + 1O2 is the major product and P2 ClO + NO2 is the secondary product. On triplet potential energy surface, the channel that forms loose Van Der Waals complex 3IM1 3ONClOO and then overcome a small energy barrier to make Cl-abstraction reaction which produces P1'CINO + 3O2 is the most important. The further kinetic calculation demonstrates that the total reaction rate constant (k_tot) seems independent from pressure, but has a small negative temperature dependence. Within the studying pressure range, singlet biomolecular product P1 is the major product under low temperature, and triplet product fragment P1' is the major product under high temperature. Monomolecular products IM1 and IM2 only have very small yields under low temperature and high pressure. The stabilization effect of intermediate at 213 K could be neglected. The yields of IM1 and IM2 remain smaller than 0.02. Within the studying pressure range, CINO is the major product, which agrees with the experimental expectation.
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