Silicon avalanche photodiode with photon detection efficiency superior to 0.65 electrons/photon in the wavelength range of 114 to 170 nm
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Abstract. We have designed a silicon detector based on an avalanche photodiode for detecting vacuum ultraviolet radiation. It was demonstrated that the detector has a photon detection efficiency superior to 0.65 electrons/photon, in the wavelength range from 114 to 170 nm, with an external quantum yield from 49 to 7000 electrons/photon at a reverse-bias voltage from 190 to 315 V, respectively. The detector’s active area diameter is 1.5 mm. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.OE.60.7.077103]
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1 Introduction
Currently, the development of the detectors, which effectively detect vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) is relevant for solving a wide range of scientific problems in the physics of high-temperature plasma, detecting synchrotron radiation, and studying dark matter.1,2 This paper is mainly focused on the spectral parameter, which is the most difficult in terms of recording with solid-state detectors. This is the hydrogen Lyman-α emission line at 121.6 nm, having an absorption depth of around 10 nm for each metal, each semiconductor and more than each second dielectric.3

One of the main parameters, characterizing the detector, is a photon detection efficiency (Ε). For non-avalanche silicon photodiodes, the value of Ε was around 1.3 electrons/photon at a wavelength of 160 nm, as demonstrated previously.4 Recently, with regard to the same kind of photodiodes, the achieved value of Ε was reported to be at the level of 1.3 to 1.5 electrons/photon within the 206- to 168-nm spectral range, respectively.5

This paper considers a solid-state avalanche detector design and characteristics. The task of designing a detector with an internal gain and with a photon detection efficiency (Ε) superior to 0.5 electrons/photon in the full spectrum of VUV radiation, has yet to be achieved. Our previous paper6 presented an avalanche silicon precision detector (ASPD). This paper showed that Ε_{ASPD} (ASPD photon detection efficiency) in the 120- to 170-nm spectral range, was around 0.13 electrons/photon. This value is not a stellar result, compared to the corresponding values of the large area avalanche detectors (LAAPD) presented in Ref. 7. The improved ASPD, designed and studied to increase the Ε_{ASPD}, will be reported upon here.

2 Improved ASPD
As already established, there are two dominant loss factors responsible for the value of Ε in a semiconductor detector. These losses are due to the reflection of light, detected in the detector’s active area and the collection of losses of photoinduced carriers within the area.

It is notable that in the case of the full spectrum of VUV light, the dielectric, anti-reflection coatings method is unacceptable, since most dielectrics actively absorb VUV light at wavelengths of <125 nm,3,6 which will inevitably lead to a decrease in Ε. The previous ASPD6 was
This ASPD was made on a float zone, with [100] orientation from an 8 to 10 kohm-cm silicon material, with a thickness of π-region ∼320 μm. The VUV was detected in a back-illuminated mode at normal photon incidence on the flat surface of the isotype p++-π junction.

In the case of the ASPD presented, a well-known method of texturing the silicon detector’s active area was applied to reduce the reflection losses. Using this method, a pyramid, with a height of around 10 μm and apex angles of 54.7 deg could be formed on the silicon surface.

A special effort was made to minimize the depth of the isotype p++-π junction to reduce any losses associated with the efficiency of collecting photoinduced carriers in the near-surface ASPD active area. The dark current was reduced by halving the π-region of the improved ASPD, to a value of around 140 μm. To carry out further research, the metrological base and techniques described in Ref. 6 were used. Figure 1 shows the difference between the structures of the previous ASPD version and an improved version, ASPD irradiation modes, as well as the difference between the wavelengths used in Ref. 6 and in this paper. To exclude thermal influence while taking measurements, the ASPD’s TO-5 package was used, with a high thermal contact to an aluminum thermal capacitor of 1.4 kJ/K. Considering the amount of power saturation at the ASPD’s active area, this would give a stability rate better than 0.49 K per hour. Figure 2 shows the improved ASPD photographs.

3 ASPD Multiplication Factor

The $M_{\text{ASPD}}$ (ASPD multiplication factor) value was found to determine $\varepsilon_{\text{ASPD}}$. The first series of experiments were at determining the $M_{\text{ASPD}}$. To achieve this, we measured the external quantum yield ($\varepsilon$) of two improved ASPDs in a front-illuminated mode [Fig. 2(a)] at a wavelength of $\lambda = 900$ nm, therefore, the Si$_3$N$_4$ layer (Fig. 1) was irradiated. In relation to these measurements,
the front-illuminated mode was chosen because it provides more reliable information regarding the thicknesses and composition of the ASPD layers, from the side of the \( n^{++} \) layer. \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \) was chosen as it is convenient for estimating radiation absorption in the ASPD structure. There are two reasons for choosing \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \) to determine \( M_{\text{ASPD}} \) in the front-illuminated mode. The first is that estimating the reflection losses is possible at \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \), as the surface structure on the \( n^{++} \) layer is better known from the perspective of manufacturing technology. To estimate the reflection losses, we took into account the fact that the silicon nitride is 135-nm thick on the \( n^{++} \) layer surface. At normal-incidence radiation, the reflection losses amount to around 5% for \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \). The second reason is that the absorption depth \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \) in silicon is approximately 30 \( \mu \text{m} \). Given that 135-nm thick \( \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \) is transparent for \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \), it can be argued that the absorption losses in silicon nitride are zero. Considering that the \( n^{++} \) silicon layer is not thicker than 0.5 \( \mu \text{m} \), and \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \) radiation absorption depth is around 30 \( \mu \text{m} \), the radiation losses in the \( n^{++} \) layer can also be disregarded. As the base thickness of the studied ASPD is 140 \( \mu \text{m} \), it is correct to assert that all unreflected radiation for \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \) will be absorbed in the \( \pi \) region of the ASPD and then gained in the multiplication zone.

The \( \pi \) region width is much greater than the absorption depth \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \), therefore, we further assumed that 95% of the radiation at \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \), would be absorbed by the \( \pi \) region of the ASPDs being studied. This test was carried out using two ASPDs under a reverse-bias voltage of 220 V in the open air at 23°C. The measurements were carried out by comparing the photocurrents flowing through the studied ASPDs and through the silicon precision detector (SPD), calibrated in terms of sensitivity.

The external quantum yield of an ASPD was determined:

\[
\eta_{\text{ASPD}} = \eta_{\text{SPD}} \times I_{\text{ASPD}} / I_{\text{SPD}},
\]

where \( I_{\text{ASPD}} \) is the measured photocurrent through an ASPD; \( I_{\text{SPD}} \) is the measured photocurrent through the calibrated photodiode and \( \epsilon_{\text{SPD}} \) is the external quantum yield of the calibrated photodiode.

The multiplication factor of an ASPD was determined:

\[
M_{\text{ASPD}} = \eta_{\text{ASPD}} / 0.95,
\]

where \( \eta_{\text{ASPD}} \) is the calculated external quantum yield of an ASPD and 0.95 is the unreflected radiation factor for \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \).

Table 1 shows the results for both measuring the external quantum yield (\( \eta \)) for two ASPD samples and calculating the \( M_{\text{ASPD}} \) at \( \lambda = 900 \, \text{nm} \) under a reverse-bias voltage of 220 V.

### 3.1 Photon Detection Efficiency

The goal of this experiment was to determine \( \epsilon_{\text{ASPD}} \). The \( I_{\text{ASPD}} \) photocurrent was measured in the 114- to 170-nm spectral range in samples, with the \( M_{\text{ASPD}} \) determined for a reverse-bias voltage of 220 V, in the back-illuminated mode [Fig. 2(b), irradiated \( p^{++} \) layer] at 23°C.

The ASPD photon detection efficiency was determined:

\[
\epsilon_{\text{ASPD}} = (\eta_{\text{SPD}} \times I_{\text{ASPD}}) / (I_{\text{SPD}} \times M_{\text{ASPD}}),
\]

where \( \epsilon_{\text{SPD}} \) is the external quantum yield of the calibrated photodiode; \( I_{\text{ASPD}} \) is the measured photocurrent through the ASPD; \( I_{\text{SPD}} \) is the measured photocurrent through the calibrated photodiode.

### Table 1  External quantum yield and multiplication factor for the two ASPDs.

| Sample | \( \epsilon_{\text{ASPD}}, \) electrons/photon | \( M_{\text{ASPD}} \) |
|--------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
| No. 9  | 70.6                                        | 74.3             |
| No. 10 | 120                                         | 126              |
photodiode and $M_{\text{ASPD}}$ is the multiplication factor of an ASPD, calculated as above. Figure 3 shows our $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ASPD}}$ calculation results and the results from Refs. 6 and 7.

### 3.2 Dark Current and External Quantum Yield at 116 nm

Throughout the final stage, we determined the prospects for using the improved ASPD. The dark current and $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ASPD}}$ for sample 9 at 23°C are shown in Fig. 4.
4 Discussion

We investigated experimentally the silicon VUV avalanche detector properties. The improved ASPD proposed, is a combination of a textured surface with a $p^{++}$-$\pi$ isotype junction on the reverse side of the silicon avalanche photodiode base, with an active area diameter of 1.5 nm. The reduction in reflection losses, due to texturing, provided an increase in $\epsilon_{\text{ASPD}}$, without the use of dielectric coatings. This made it possible to obtain a quasi-constant $\epsilon_{\text{ASPD}}$ value in the 114- to 170-nm spectral range, which was an improvement on the detectors presented in Refs. 6 and 7, and $\epsilon_{\text{ASPD}}$ was more than five times higher than that recorded using the first ASPD.6 A set of quasi-constant spectral dependences and $\epsilon_{\text{ASPD}}$ superior to 0.65 electrons/photon, is very convenient from a metrological point of view. $\epsilon_{\text{ASPD}} < 1$ electrons/photon may indicate that increasing the effective detector dead layer is essential, due to an abnormal VUV incidence angle in the case of a textured active area surface.

ASPDs have an active region structure formed by the same method as in SPDs, i.e., with a dead layer thickness of around 8 nm.10 Hence, the possibility of using an ASPD in the full spectrum of VUV radiation should be expected, and not only when measuring its studied element in the 114–170-nm spectral range, which is the most difficult from a VUV detection point of view.6 This expectation is based on the spectral dependence of $\epsilon$ on such a calibrated SPD $p^{++}$-$n$ photodiode$^{8,11,12}$ [Fig. 5(a)], which has a textured active region with a $p^{++}$ layer. As can be seen from Fig. 5(a), in the case of a calibrated SPD and an improved ASPD, we can consider the correlation of their spectral dependences, i.e., the $\epsilon$, with the spectral dependences of the absorption depths of ultraviolet photons in boron$^{13}$ and silicon.3 An increase in the $\epsilon$ values of the calibrated SPD for wavelengths <125 nm, is associated with a significant increase in the absorption depth in silicon, as well as an increase in the contribution of the impact ionization effect in silicon, due to an increase in the energy of the quanta being detected.14 It should be noted that the active region of the $p^{++}$ layer, used in these detectors, can be characterized as a solid solution of boron and silicon, coated with an amorphous boron silicide layer $\sim$8-nm thick.10 The lower $\epsilon$ value in relation to the calibrated SPD (relative to the improved ASPD) is associated with the increased collection losses of photoinduced carriers within the active area. These losses are due to the greater $p^{++}$-$n$ junction depth and the higher dead layer thickness in the case of the calibrated SPD, than in the isotype $p^{++}$-$\pi$ junction of the improved ASPD structure.

In the case of LAAPD,7 as the wavelength decreases from 175 to 128 nm, a twofold decrease in the value of $\epsilon$ is observed [Fig. 5(b)]. This decrease is most likely associated with an increase in absorption in the dielectric coating of the active region. The interference factor should not be ruled out, as can be seen in Ref. 4 using SiO$_2$ as an example. In the case of improved ASPD, interference is not a first-order factor, due to the limited thickness of the amorphous layer (no more than 8 nm). In addition, the spectral dependences of the ultraviolet quanta absorption depth in silicon and boron [Fig. 5(a)], do not demonstrate sharp decreases in values in the range of 50 to 250 nm, by contrast with the similar characteristics of dielectrics [Fig. 5(b)]. We could not find any data on the ultraviolet quanta absorption depth for boron silicides in the referenced literature.

![Fig. 5 Spectral dependences of the ultraviolet quanta absorption depth and the photon detection efficiency.](image-url)
Figure 5(b) shows the spectral dependences of $\mathcal{E}$ for non-avalanche silicon photodiodes, made using black-silicon (b-Si) technology and well-known, non-avalanche AXUV photodiodes. The data for b-Si and AXUV photodiodes were taken from Ref. 5. As one can see from the referenced literature, the active region of b-Si photodiodes is covered with a 20-nm thick $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ layer and the AXUV active region is covered with a 4- to 8-nm thick nitrided SiO$_2$ layer. Figure 5(b) also demonstrates the spectral dependences of the ultraviolet quanta absorption depth for SiO$_2$ and for Al$_2$O$_3$. As can be seen from Fig. 5(b), the spectral dependence of $\mathcal{E}$ in the case of AXUV exhibits a pronounced dip at a wavelength of $\sim$121 nm, which correlates with the local minimum of the absorption depth of VUV quanta for SiO$_2$. It follows from this that the nitrided SiO$_2$, used in AXUV, is similar in terms of its optical properties to SiO$_2$. There is every reason to believe that the decrease in AXUV by $\sim$25% in the region of 121 nm, is associated with a sharp increase in losses in the active region of AXUV, due to VUV absorption in the nitrided SiO$_2$. A similar effect should be expected in the case of b-Si photodiodes. A decrease in the value of $\mathcal{E}$ for b-Si photodiodes by tens of percent, will be due to an increase in the loss of VUV quanta as a result of the absorption in the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ layer in relation to wavelengths less than 130 nm. Moreover, b-Si photodiodes will exhibit higher losses due to the greater thickness of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ than that of nitrided SiO$_2$, in the case of AXUV. The presence of nanotexture will be an additional negative factor that increases the loss of VUV radiation in $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ for b-Si photodiodes. Nanotexture forms an angle of radiation incidence on the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ surface of $\sim$20 deg. The effective thickness of the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ layer will be more than 20 nm for the radiation incidence, usually associated with the active region of the b-Si photodiodes.

It should be noted that in addition to the aforementioned significant parameter, $\mathcal{E}$, there are other equally important properties of photodiodes, for example, the resistance of their active region to ozone cleaning of the surface from carbon contamination, as well as the resistance of the photodiodes’ active region to VUV radiation. VUV radiation induces an additional positive charge in the irradiated zone of the photodiode active region, changing the initial surface charge. A change in the surface charge of the active region of the detecting zone of the photodiode, leads to a change in the losses associated with the collection of minority charge carriers. As a result, changing these losses decreases or increases the value of $\mathcal{E}$. A change in $\mathcal{E}$ at the primary stage can lead to an increase in its value, but ultimately leads to its decrease and degradation of the photodiode. In light of the above, it would be extremely interesting to obtain the results of the radiation hardness tests for b-Si photodiodes at wavelengths of 121.6 and 193 nm.

The measurements were carried out by direct comparison with an absolutely calibrated photodiode using a gas-discharge lamp and a monochromator. This resulted in fewer errors than in Ref. 7. The measurement error was determined by the calibration accuracy of the reference SPD photodiode (3% to 6%) at all wavelengths, except for $\lambda = 130$ nm, where a low flux density from a gas-discharge lamp was detected by a calibrated photodiode, with an accuracy of 10%.

It should be noted that despite the high ASPD external quantum yield, AXUV detectors or SPDs are more suitable for VUV absolute measurements. In these detectors, there is no temperature-dependent avalanche multiplication of photoinduced carriers. In addition, the silicon detectors, based on avalanche multiplication, are limited by the maximum photocurrent of $\sim$0.2 mA (for $M_{\text{ASPD}} \sim 100$), due to the danger of irreversible thermal breakdown.

There is no such limitation for detectors without avalanche multiplication. Nevertheless, detectors with an internal gain, make it possible to solve the problems of recording the dynamics of VUV radiation, with a flux density 100 or more times lower than detectors without an internal gain.

5 Conclusion

This paper has presented a new silicon detector for VUV radiation detection, with a photon detection efficiency superior to 0.65 electrons/photon in the full 114- to 170-nm spectral range; this detector operates at modes with an external quantum yield between 49 and 7000 electrons/photon at a reverse-bias voltage from 190 to 315 V, respectively. Further investigations will be aimed at studying the temperature dependences of the photon detection efficiency in the VUV range, as well as the noise characteristics of the presented avalanche photodiode.
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