Tantalum Oxide as an Efficient Alternative Electron Transporting Layer for Perovskite Solar Cells
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Abstract: Electron transporting layers facilitating electron extraction and suppressing hole recombination at the cathode are crucial components in any thin-film solar cell geometry, including that of metal–halide perovskite solar cells. Amorphous tantalum oxide (Ta2O5) deposited by spin coating was explored as an electron transport material for perovskite solar cells, achieving power conversion efficiency (PCE) up to ~14%. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements revealed that the extraction of photogenerated electrons is facilitated due to proper alignment of bandgap energies. Steady-state photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL) verified efficient charge transport from perovskite absorber film to thin Ta2O5 layer. Our findings suggest that tantalum oxide as an n-type semiconductor with a calculated carrier density of ~7 × 1018/cm3 in amorphous Ta2O5 films, is a potentially competitive candidate for an electron transport material in perovskite solar cells.
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1. Introduction

The emergence of organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite solar cells (PSCs) and the coherent efforts of researchers across the world have led to impressive progress in power conversion efficiency (PCE) from merely 3.8% to 25.5% within a short span of time [1–5]. This vertical growth is mostly attributed to the facile processing chemistry and exceptional optoelectronic properties of hybrid organic-inorganic perovskites (e.g., (Me2N)PbI3), such as their suitable bandgaps, high optical absorption coefficients, long carrier diffusion lengths and high carrier mobility [6,7]. Given their high PCEs and expanding market presence, PSCs are the optimal choice for the envisaged integrated self-charging power packs [8].

In a typical thin-film solar cell structure, the perovskite absorber layer is sandwiched between an electron transporting layer (ETL) and a hole transporting layer (HTL) [9,10]. Among the class of ETLs, TiO2 has been extensively studied together with a few other broad bandgap semiconductors (SnO2, ZnO and Zn2SnO4) applied in both pristine and doped compositions as mesoporous or compact layers [11–13]. Photovoltaic cells with PCE beyond 20% have been fabricated mostly using TiO2 ETL; however, they have some intrinsic limitations, including misalignment between the conduction band of TiO2 and the currently most studied triple-cation-based perovskite material, which leads to strong hysteresis that can be observed during the current (I)–voltage (V) measurements [14].
addition to this, the UV-sensitive TiO$_2$ not only generates trap and defect centers, but also degrades the perovskite layer [15–17]. Therefore, it is essential to explore alternative ETLs that combine high photostability and good electronic compatibility among functional components (ETL, HTL, photo absorber) with low defect densities at the ETL/perovskite interface. In this context, tantalum oxide is one of the yet unexplored but potentially promising materials owing to its high transparency, chemical stability and favorable band alignment with perovskite, as demonstrated in this study for a triple-cation mixed-halide perovskite $(\text{Cs}_0.05(\text{MA}_0.17\text{FA}_0.83)_{0.95}\text{Pb}(\text{I}_{0.83}\text{Br}_{0.17})_3)$.

Tantalum oxide (Ta$_2$O$_5$) is an important dielectric material for electronic devices due to its high dielectric constant (~25) [18]. Although the bandgap of Ta$_2$O$_5$ is reasonably wide (~3.9 eV), it has recently been explored for photocatalytic water splitting applications in composite form [19–24] and various nanostructures [25–32]. Owing to its large bandgap energy, Ta$_2$O$_5$ has been widely used as an anti-reflection coating—for example, on silicon solar cells [33–35] to increase light absorption and thus overall current density of the solar cell. Tantalum oxide nanowire [36] and composites of tantalum oxide with P25 [37] have also been successfully used as photoanode materials in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) and as electron-selective contact layers in indium phosphate (InP) solar cells [38]. Ta$_2$O$_5$-ZnO composite film has been used as a cathodic buffer layer for inverted polymer solar cells to get enhanced efficiency [39]. In an interesting work by Ying et al., a combination of ZrAcac/PEI/Ag/Ta$_2$O$_5$ was employed as the rear transparent electrode of semi-transparent PSCs, leading to enhanced transmittance [40]. It is suggested that materials with high dielectric constants could offer better charge separation and improve the photovoltaic efficiency by suppressing the carrier recombination across the interfaces [41–43]. This suggests that Ta$_2$O$_5$ will offer strong electronic charge separation and fewer defect sites for charge recombination. Additionally, the favorable band alignment would ensure efficient electron transport across the interfaces, which would make amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ a potential ETL for PSCs. Considering these factors, Liu and co-workers speculated on the potential of Ta$_2$O$_5$ as an ETL material in halide perovskite-based solar cells [44]. Chavan et al. [45,46] employed Ta$_2$O$_5$ as a passivation layer between mesoporous TiO$_2$ and perovskite layers and observed an increase in open circuit voltage, leading to better performances. Despite these efforts, Ta$_2$O$_5$ has not been explored in all dimensions, emphasizing both the potential and the necessity for further investigation in this field.

Although the recent work by Chavan et al. [46] has highlighted the importance of Ta$_2$O$_5$ as a passivation layer in TiO$_2$-based perovskite solar cells, they deposited the Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer by atomic layer deposition (ALD). ALD offers controlled growth of the film, but it is an expensive technique compared to the solution processable methods. In the present work, we employed a simple spin coating method to deposit the tantalum oxide layer. We successfully replaced the widely used TiO$_2$ compact ETL with spin coated amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ in perovskite solar cells. Amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ layers were deposited on fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO)-coated glass via spin coating a tantalum ethoxide precursor solution (various concentrations), followed by annealing in air at 500 °C. With optimized Ta$_2$O$_5$ compact film (10 nm) as the ETL, the perovskite device showed a maximum PCE of 14%. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements revealed suitable band alignment of Ta$_2$O$_5$ with that of perovskite, leading to better charge transport. This was further corroborated by steady-state photoluminescence (PL) measurements demonstrating efficient electron injection from perovskite to the thin Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer.

2. Experimental

2.1. Deposition of Ta$_2$O$_5$ Films and Fabrication of Perovskite Solar Cells

Tantalum (V) ethoxide (99.98%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used for the solution preparation without further purification. The tantalum oxide layers were fabricated using tantalum (V) ethoxide solutions in ethanol that were diluted to achieve the desired solution concentrations (0.02, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 M) inside a dry nitrogen glovebox. These tantalum ethoxide precursor solutions were spin-coated on
the cleaned FTO substrates at 1000 rpm with 200 rpm/s acceleration for 30 s following and in a second step at 3000 rpm with 1500 rpm/s acceleration for 30 s. Meanwhile, the FTO contact area was covered with Kapton tape. The thin films were heated to 100 °C for 10 min prior to removing the Kapton tape, followed by annealing at 500 °C for 1 h in air. After the deposition of tantalum oxide films, a step-by-step perovskite solar cell fabrication procedure was followed—the one reported in our earlier work [47] and shown in Scheme 1. The detailed device fabrication and characterization procedure can also be found in Section S1 in the Supporting Information.

2.2. Characterization of Deposited Ta2O5

Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images were taken using a NEON40 (ZEISS, Jena, Germany) equipped with a Gemini-gun (InLens and secondary electron detector). The samples were sputtered with gold and touched with copper tape to prevent charging effects. The imaging and analysis of the surface morphology by atomic force microscopy (AFM) was measured with an XE-100 (Park Systems, Suwon, Korea) with a cantilever of the type PPP-NHCR-10 (Nanosensors, Neuchatel, Switzerland). The measurements were taken in a non-contact mode using a scan size of 5 µm × 5 µm and a speed of 1 Hz yielding images with 256 pixel × 256 pixel resolution and a tip radius of curvature <10 nm. The measurements were done under ambient conditions in air. The surface roughness ($R_q$) was determined by XEI 1.8.0 software. A NanoCalc-XR (Ocean Optics, Ostfildern, Germany) refractometer was
used to measure a reflection spectrum of the amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer on the silicon wafer and calculate the film thicknesses. The technique is based on the well-known interference of light with thin films. The X-ray diffractogram was measured in reflection geometry with a STOE-STADI MP device (Darmstadt, Germany) with a linear PSD detector using a Mo-K$_\alpha$ X-ray ($\lambda = 0.71$ nm) source. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed with an ESCA M-Probe (Surface Science Instruments) employing Al-K$_\alpha$ X-rays (1486.6 eV). No sputtering of the sample was performed prior to the measurements. Adventitious carbon (C 1s) at 284.8 eV was used as reference for the binding energy and the peaks were fitted using CasaXPS software. The transmittance was measured with a Lambda 950 spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Mott–Schottky measurements were measured in a three-electrode set-up with an Agilent E49801 Precision LCR Meter (Santa Clara, CA, USA) for measuring the capacitance and a 2400 series SourceMeter (Keithley instruments, Cleveland, OH, USA) for sweeping the potential. Platinum was used as a counter electrode, a saturated calomel electrode as reference electrode and coated FTO substrates as a working electrode. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) was performed in an ultra-high vacuum (UHV) on an EAC2000 SPHERA 547 spectrometer (Omicron Nanotechnology ESCA, Taunusstein, Germany) with an ARGUS Energy Analyser. He I discharge lamp (21.2 eV) was used for excitation with an energy resolution of <105 mV under a bias voltage of $-9.0$ V. The spectra were calibrated against the gold Fermi level to determine the work function and against vacuum level for the estimation of the valence band using the cut-off energy.

UV–Vis absorption spectra of the glass/perovskite, glass/c-TiO$_2$/perovskite and glass/Ta$_2$O$_5$/perovskite films were taken in a spectrometer (Shimadzu, UV-2450, Kyoto, Japan). Steady-state photoluminescence spectra of the thin films were measured between 400 and 800 nm using a PTI UV-Vis fluorometer (Photon Technology International, Inc., Birmingham, NJ, USA). A slit width of 1.0 mm (4.0 nm resolution) was used at room temperature. A photomultiplier was used for detection and corrected for the spectral response of a grating in the emission monochromator and the detector. Transient photoluminescence decay profiles of the thin films were obtained by a home-built transient emission spectrometer equipped with an N$_2$ laser (LTB Lasertechnik Berlin GmbH, MNL 202-C, Berlin, Germany), pumped dye laser (LTB Lasertechnik Berlin GmbH, ATM200, 700 ps pulse duration) as an excitation source, a monochromator (Princeton Instruments, Acton, MA, USA), a nanosecond detection system (Unisoku Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan, TSP-2000SN, with 1.2 ns time resolution (FWHM)) and a fast oscilloscope (Tektronix, Beaverton, OR, USA, TDS 3052C, Digital Phosphor Oscilloscope 500 MHz 5 GS/s) at 10 Hz excitation repetition rate. The emission decay profile was synchronized with the excitation pulse and the detection system using a laser trigger detector.

3. Results and Discussion

For simplicity, solar cells with spin-coated Ta$_2$O$_5$ layers on FTO substrates via various concentrations of tantalum ethoxide solutions are denoted as Ta1 (0.02 M), Ta2 (0.05 M), Ta3 (0.10 M) and Ta4 (0.20 M). Moreover, a reference device was fabricated without any blocking layer (Ta0) to show the beneficial effect of adding tantalum oxide to an ETL.

Figure 1a shows a top view scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of the film deposited using a 0.02 M solution (Ta1) on FTO. The low magnification SEM image revealed similar topography that compared well with the morphology of bare FTO (Figure S1a) however, a uniform film formation and seamless coverage on the FTO surface were visible at higher resolution (Figure 1b). These findings were similar for all tantalum ethoxide concentrations used in this work (Figure S2). The 3D topography using non-contact atomic force microscopy (NC-AFM), as shown in Figure 1c, showed a highly rough surface (16 nm). The roughness is mainly attributed to the FTO substrate exhibiting a roughness of 18 nm (Figure S1b). Further AFM images corresponding to all other Ta$_2$O$_5$ containing films (Ta2–Ta4) are shown in Figure S1, and the root mean square roughness ($R_q$) values obtained from AFM are listed in Table 1. The roughness values of Ta1 and Ta2 samples are
comparable to that of the bare FTO. It was observed that the roughness gradually reduced upon increasing the concentration (i.e., for Ta3 and Ta4) of the tantalum ethoxide solution, which indicated increased coverage of FTO surface voids. To evaluate the uniformity of the fabricated layer without the influence of the underlying FTO structure, the tantalum oxide films were deposited on silicon substrates. The films were found to be highly compact, without any pinholes or agglomerates, as shown in Figures 1d and S3a. The reference TiO$_2$ film deposited by spray pyrolysis displayed the formation of particulate agglomerates (Figure S3b), in contrast to the homogenous and smooth spin-coated tantalum oxide films. We used to determine the film thickness by optical reflectometry. Table 1 shows an increase in film thickness from 9 nm (Ta1) to 19 nm (Ta4). A linear increase in Ta$_2$O$_5$ film thickness with an increasing solution concentration of the tantalum ethoxide was expected from a spin coating process and was evident in the measured roughness values (Table 1).
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**Figure 1.** Morphology of Ta1 using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) at (a) low and (b) high magnifications, (c) 3D topography using AFM and (d) SEM of the Ta$_2$O$_5$ film on the silicon substrate.

**Table 1.** Roughness and thickness values of tantalum oxide films deposited on FTO and silicon, respectively.

| Sample Name | Precursor Concentration | Roughness ($R_q$ from AFM) | Average Thickness (nm) |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Ta0         | 0 M                     | 18 nm                      | -                      |
| Ta1         | 0.02 M                  | 16 nm                      | 9                      |
| Ta2         | 0.05 M                  | 16 nm                      | 12                     |
| Ta3         | 0.10 M                  | 14 nm                      | 15                     |
| Ta4         | 0.20 M                  | 11 nm                      | 19                     |
To evaluate the crystallinity and phase of the tantalum oxide film, X-ray diffraction (XRD) of Ta4—viz., the sample with the highest thickness, deposited using 0.2 M tantalum ethoxide solution on bare glass followed by annealing at 500 °C for 1 h in air—was recorded. The XRD (Figure 2a) confirmed the amorphous nature of the film. Interestingly, the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of tantalum ethoxide, measured in air and reported in the literature, shows major mass loss with the evolution of CO2 and H2O, leading to conversion of the gel to tantalum oxide at a lower temperature (~305 °C) [48] However, Ta2O5 crystallizes at temperatures above 650 °C, which is impractical for solar cell fabrication on FTO substrates. Hence, a rather moderate temperature of 500 °C was chosen in this work to ensure the formation of a stoichiometric oxide necessary for the subsequent fabrication of perovskite solar cells.

The electronic states and compositions of the tantalum oxide films were determined using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The XPS survey spectrum is shown in Figure S4. As seen in Figure 2b, two peaks observed at 28.7 and 26.8 eV were assignable to 4f5/2 and 4f7/2, respectively, corresponding to the Ta5+ oxidation state, which confirmed the formation of stoichiometric Ta2O5 after annealing of the film, since the Ta5+ component was the only phase detected in Ta 4f region [49]. The O 1s region (Figure 2c) exhibited the main peak at 531.1 eV attributed to the lattice oxide, confirming the presence of an O2− state in Ta2O5. The higher binding energy peak centered in the region 531.6–533.1 eV can be assigned to chemisorbed oxygen, defect oxygen atoms or hydroxyl groups and possibly to surface-adsorbed water molecules or organic oxygen (residual ethoxide groups) [49]. The peaks in the C1s spectrum at 284.8 and 286.4 eV in Figure 2d correspond to C–C, C–H and

![Figure 2.](image-url)
C–OH or C–O–C components, respectively. This spectrum matches well with the presence of adventitious carbon indicating the adsorption of impurities on the film surface after exposing it to air.

The flat band potential and carrier density of the Ta$_2$O$_5$ film were derived from capacitance–voltage (C–V) characteristics in the electrochemical setup to obtain the Mott–Schottky plot, in which $1/C^2$ is plotted against the applied potential. The Mott–Schottky Equation (1) is given as

$$\frac{1}{C^2} = \frac{2}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_0 A^2 N_d} \left( V - V_{fb} - \frac{k_B T}{e} \right)$$

where $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space; $\varepsilon$ is the relative permittivity of amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$, which is ~20 [12]; $e$ is the electronic charge; $N_d$ is the charge carrier density; $A$ is the area of the film in contact with the electrolyte; and $V_{fb}$ is the flat band potential. We can get the value of the flat band potential and the charge density through the intercept of linear portion of $1/C^2$ on the voltage axis as:

$$N_d = \frac{2}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_0 A^2} \left[ \frac{d\left(\frac{1}{C^2}\right)}{dV} \right]^{-1}$$

The value of flat band potential obtained from Mott–Schottky plot shown in Figure S5 is ~0.8 V vs. NHE. The positive slope of the Mott–Schottky plot confirmed the amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ to be an n-type semiconductor with a calculated carrier density of ~7 $\times$ 10$^{18}$/cm$^3$ in the amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ films deposited on FTO. In comparison, from Mott–Schottky measurements of compact TiO$_2$ thin films, a carrier density of ~8.3 $\times$ 10$^{16}$/cm$^3$ was reported [50].

The high optical transmission of the ETL is essential in order to enable maximum light availability for perovskite absorption. Figure 3a shows the transmission spectra of Ta$_2$O$_5$ films deposited on FTO by using various concentrations of tantalum ethoxide. With increasing precursor concentration there was a concomitant increase in film thickness (Table 1), which reduced the transmission of the film in the visible region.
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Figure 3. (a) Transmission spectra measured by UV–Vis spectrometry of Ta$_2$O$_5$ films of thicknesses ~9 to ~19 nm; inset shows Tauc plot of Ta1 film. (b) UV photoelectron spectroscopy of Ta$_2$O$_5$ film; inset shows the UPS spectrum in the valence band region.

Ta1 was found to be highly transparent. Transmission reached ~99% at 450 nm. Transmission was ~90% for Ta4 due to increased film thickness. The decrease in %T with increasing thickness can be assigned to the increasing carbon content resulting from the unreacted precursor, as tantalum ethoxide does not get completely decomposed at
were reduced, and TRPL measurements showed a slightly faster decrease in PL compared to that of a TiO$_2$ compact layer, which is favorable for efficient electron injection from the perovskite conduction band to that of the ETL, photoluminescence (PL) quenching measurements were conducted by replicating the stack layers on thin glass substrates and employing glass/c-TiO$_2$/perovskite, glass/Ta1/perovskite (thinnest Ta$_2$O$_5$) and glass/perovskite configurations. Figure S6 shows absorption spectra of the above perovskite thin films. The absorption amplitude was similar at all wavelengths, suggesting that the optical thickness of the perovskite layer was similar in all films. Steady-state PL spectra (Figure S7) and transient PL (TRPL) decays (Figure S8) were measured for the above perovskite films. Since glass is an insulator, no charge transfer process was expected, and accordingly, the glass/perovskite sample showed strong photoluminescence and slow quenching. The PL amplitudes of both c-TiO$_2$/perovskite and Ta1/perovskite were reduced, and TRPL measurements showed a slightly faster decrease in PL compared to the glass/perovskite reference. This suggests that the electron injection occurs from the perovskite conduction band to the compact ETL (TiO$_2$ or Ta$_2$O$_5$) conduction band, followed by charge accumulation and non-radiative recombination at the ETL/perovskite interface [53].
The J–V curves (forward-biased) recorded for the typical devices are displayed in Figure 5a to compare the influence of the addition of Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer on the photovoltaic performance of the devices. Figure 5a shows the PCE increment from 8.7% for Ta0 to 12.6% through addition of a thin tantalum oxide blocking layer (Ta1). To further analyze the photovoltaic performance of the new solar cells employing Ta$_2$O$_5$-based ETLs, fill factor (FF) was calculated (the ratio of maximum obtainable power to the product of the open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current). The standard method for the determination of fill factor for Ta$_2$O$_5$-ETL solar cells operated in reverse bias is illustrated in Figure S9 in the Supplementary Information. Devices without a blocking layer exhibited a fill factor of 47%, a short-circuit current density ($J_{sc}$) of 14.4 mA/cm$^2$ and an open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 0.92 V. Employing Ta$_2$O$_5$ as the ETL substantially increased overall efficiency by an enhanced FF of 65%, $J_{sc}$ of 16.4 mA/cm$^2$ and $V_{oc}$ of 0.99 V. Figure 5b shows J–V curves of the Ta1 champion device, which exhibited $V_{oc}$ exceeding 1 V, FF ≈ 73% and a PCE of 14% in a reverse sweep. The significant improvement in FF substantiates the improved PV properties of the solar cell employing Ta$_2$O$_5$ as an ETL. The forward and reverse biased curves also reveal low hysteresis behavior. Although the overall photovoltaic performance of the devices was not very good compared to the performance of the perovskite solar cells reported by other groups recently, the efficiency after employing Ta$_2$O$_5$ as ETL was quite good compared to our reference device, as shown in Figure S10. For our reference devices using standard compact and mesoporous TiO$_2$ layers, we obtained an average PCE of ~10%. The averaged photovoltaic parameters, such as PCE, FF, $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$ and $R_s$, which were extracted from the J–V curves and the number of measured cells, are summarized in Table 2.
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**Figure 5.** Comparison of (a) J–V curves (forward sweep). (b) Champion cell of perovskite solar cells employing Ta$_2$O$_5$ as ETL (Ta1). (c) Steady-state power conversion efficiency at maximum power point (0.78 V applied bias); inset shows a schematic of the layered stack used for the devices. (d) Box plots displaying the distribution of device efficiencies fabricated on Ta0, Ta1, Ta2, Ta3 and Ta4 films (forward and reverse sweep).
Table 2. Photovoltaic parameters of PSCs, using amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ layers of different thicknesses (Ta0–Ta4) as their ETLs.

| Sample | No. of Cells | PCE (%) | FF (%) | V$_{oc}$ (V) | J$_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | Rs (Ω cm$^2$) |
|--------|--------------|---------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Ta0    | 12           | 6.4     | 47     | 0.91        | 14.4                  | 6            |
| Ta1    | 18           | 10.8    | 66     | 0.99        | 16.5                  | 8            |
| Ta2    | 26           | 8.9     | 61     | 0.94        | 15.6                  | 8            |
| Ta3    | 24           | 3.0     | 36     | 0.87        | 10.2                  | 23           |
| Ta4    | 20           | 2.8     | 55     | 0.79        | 7.1                   | 119          |

A distribution of PCE is summarized in Figure 5d as a box plot diagram. Comparing the averaged photovoltaic parameters using Ta$_2$O$_5$, we can conclude that the incorporation of the new ETL is beneficial for the overall performance enhancement in perovskite devices. The advantageous effect reached a threshold value at the Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer thickness of 12 nm (Ta2), after which the dielectric properties of the tantalum oxide blocking layer inhibited electron transport due to increasing series resistance that hiked from 8 Ω cm$^2$ for Ta1 and Ta2 to 119 Ω cm$^2$ for the 19 nm Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer. The high series resistance resulted in a decreased FF of 55% and a J$_{sc}$ of 7.1 mA/cm$^2$. Maximum power point (MPP) tracking measurements (Figure 5c) were conducted to show the device efficiency of Ta0, Ta1 and Ta2 devices under steady-state conditions. The MPP conversion efficiencies were calculated based on the chronoamperometry measurement over 300 s (Figure S11). Estimated power conversion efficiencies of 12.6% (Ta1), 11.9% (Ta2) and 8.1% (Ta0) match precisely to the efficiencies extracted from the J–V curves. MPP tracking plots for Ta3 and Ta4 solar cells are given in Figure S12, which show an expectedly inferior performance.

External quantum efficiencies (EQE) were measured to evaluate the effect of a tantalum oxide blocking layer on the photon-to-current conversion efficiency depending on the wavelength (Figure S13). The integrated photocurrent density values have also been calculated from EQE. The general trend of decreasing photocurrent density with increasing tantalum oxide layer thickness was also confirmed by EQE measurements. The exemplary Ta1 device showed an integrated current density of 15.8 mA/cm$^2$; juxtapose that with 10.7 mA/cm$^2$ for a Ta4 solar cell. Besides the overall decrease in current density, the Ta4 solar cell displayed atypical curve progression in the region between 350 and 500 nm in comparison to the other devices. Light absorption or weak charge transfer of the thick Ta4 blocking layer in this wavelength range could prevent efficient photon-to-current conversion.

The distribution of sample efficiency is reasonable and can be explained by general deviations in sample preparation. Although the distribution is imperfect, the general improvement caused by the addition of thin tantalum oxide layers is unambiguously evident. Details on the scattering of photovoltaic parameters, such as V$_{oc}$, J$_{sc}$ and FF, are given in the box plots in Figure S14. From the abovementioned results, we can see that unexplored amorphous tantalum oxide can function as an electron transport material (ETM) in the perovskite solar cell. Although the efficiency is not high as compared to the traditional TiO$_2$-based perovskite devices, which usually show efficiency ≥20%, we believe that further optimization can help to improve the performance of the tantalum oxide-based perovskite devices. The high performance of TiO$_2$-based devices is not always compatible with stability, mainly because of the UV-sensitive nature of the TiO$_2$ compact layer. Researchers have also shown that interface modification of TiO$_2$ compact layer and/or completely replacing the TiO$_2$ compact layer, and even compact-layer-free perovskite devices, deliver similar V$_{oc}$ and have improved stability [16,54,55]. Thus, exploring amorphous tantalum oxide as an ETM is just a small step toward replacing TiO$_2$ in order to achieve stable perovskite solar cells.
4. Conclusions

We have demonstrated the incorporation of thin amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ interfacial layers as alternative ETLs in perovskite solar cells to replace the commonly used TiO$_2$ blocking layer. We evaluated the beneficial influence on photovoltaic performance, achieving champion solar cell performance of ~14%. We have explained the improvement in device performance by favorable valence band alignment of Ta$_2$O$_5$, as determined by UPS and Tauc plots. The Ta$_2$O$_5$ thickness was found to be crucial for the series resistance, short-circuit current and PCE because of the highly dielectric behavior of tantalum oxide. This could prevent efficient current flow after a certain film thickness threshold. Steady-state PL measurements also indicate efficient electron injection from excited perovskite to the Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer. As per our knowledge, this is the first report of the employment of amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ in PSCs, and there are several opportunities for improving the tantalum oxide film’s properties—e.g., by doping or by depositing Ta$_2$O$_5$ via ALD for a conformal coating on FTO. Therefore, it is likely that fabrication optimization will result in highly efficient solar cells that could inhibit photo-corrosion, which is a persisting challenge for devices exposed to long-term solar illumination.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nano12050780/s1, Figure S1: (a) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and (b) Atomic force microscopy (AFM) and (d) scanning electron microscopy images of bare FTO, i.e., Ta0; AFM of the films (c) Ta1, (d) Ta2, (e) Ta3 and (f) Ta4, Figure S2: Morphologies of the films Ta1, Ta2, Ta3 and Ta4 by SEM with low and high magnifications, Figure S3: Surface morphologies of the films: (a) Ta$_2$O$_5$ by spin coating and (b) TiO$_2$ by spray pyrolysis prepared on silicon substrate, Figure S4: XPS survey spectrum of tantalum oxide thin film, Figure S5: Mott–Schottky plot of Ta3 film dipped in 0.1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ as an electrolyte recorded at 1 kHz, Figure S6: Absorption spectra of glass/perovskite, glass/c-TiO$_2$/perovskite and glass/Ta$_2$O$_5$/perovskite with ~9 nm Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer thickness (Ta1), Figure S7: Normalized steady state photoluminescence spectra of glass/perovskite, glass/c-TiO$_2$/perovskite and glass/Ta$_2$O$_5$/perovskite with ~9 nm Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer (Ta1) at 625 nm excitation, Figure S8: Transient photoluminescence spectra of glass/perovskite, glass/c-TiO$_2$/perovskite and glass/Ta$_2$O$_5$/perovskite with ~9 nm Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer (Ta1) at 625 nm excitation, Figure S9: Illustration of the determination of fill factor for Ta1 champion device in reverse bias, Figure S10: Reference cell J–V curves of perovskite solar cells employing compact and mesoporous TiO$_2$ as ETL, Figure S11: Measured photocurrent density of PSCs at maximum power (0.78 V applied bias) with varying tantalum oxide thickness, Figure S12: Calculated device efficiency of Ta3 and Ta4 PSCs from maximum power (0.78 V applied bias) tracking over 300 s, Figure S13: External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra and corresponding integrated photocurrent densities of typical perovskite solar cells varying in Ta$_2$O$_5$ layer thickness, Figure S14: Box plot diagrams for the distribution of FF, Voc, Jsc and Rsc (only reverse sweep) extracted from the J–V curves. References [56–58] are cited in the supplementary materials.
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