A Novel Arthroscopic Classification of Degenerative Medial Meniscus Posterior Root Tears Based on the Tear Gap
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Background: Degenerative medial meniscus posterior root tears (MMPRTs) are reportedly associated with medial compartment osteoarthritis and meniscal extrusion with a displaced gap from the root insertion. However, degenerative MMPRTs have not yet been clearly classified according to arthroscopic findings.

Purpose: To classify degenerative MMPRTs according to the tear gap and to investigate how the classification could reflect the joint condition properly.

Study Design: Cohort study; Level of evidence, 3.

Methods: Patients who underwent arthroscopic surgery, performed by a single orthopaedic surgeon, for degenerative MMPRTs between August 2006 and February 2017 were included. MMPRTs were classified according to tear patterns observed during arthroscopic surgery (type 1, incomplete root tear; types 2-5, complete root tears), with each type further divided by the size of the tear gap, defined as the degree of tear displacement from the root (type 2, no gap or overlapped; type 3, gap of 1-3 mm; type 4, gap of 4-6 mm; type 5, gap of ≥7 mm). We compared preoperative factors, including the Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) grade, absolute extrusion, relative percentage of extrusion (RPE), tear gap on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and mechanical alignment, as well as intraoperative factors, including chondral wear at surgery, between each MMPRT type.

Results: A total of 116 root tears were categorized according to this classification: type 1, 16.4% (19 knees); type 2, 9.5% (11 knees); type 3, 40.5% (47 knees); type 4, 25.0% (29 knees); and type 5, 8.6% (10 knees). Chondral wear of the medial femoral condyle (MFC) (P = .001), K-L grade (P = .001), meniscal extrusion (P = .001), and tear gap on MRI (P = .001) showed a tendency to increase with a higher tear type. Chondral wear (ρ for MFC = 0.388; ρ for MTP = 0.311), K-L grade (ρ = 0.390), and meniscal extrusion (ρ for absolute extrusion = 0.500; ρ for RPE = 0.451) showed a moderate correlation with tear type, whereas tear gap on MRI (ρ = 0.907) showed a strong correlation with tear type.

Conclusion: Our study introduces a new classification based on the tear gap that can concisely describe a degenerative MMPRT. The classification system demonstrated that a higher tear type (increasing displacement of the tear gap in arthroscopic surgery) is associated with higher meniscal extrusion, severe chondral wear, and greater severity of arthritis.
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anterior horn tears. Second, most meniscal tears were classified as radial tears, and the remaining types were rare. This raised the question of whether the system could classify root tears properly. Most MMPRTs are degenerative tears observed in middle-aged or older women\textsuperscript{10,12,17,24}; therefore, this classification might be a limited clinical indicator of degenerative MMPRTs for further treatment plans and later prognoses. Another study demonstrated that if physiological loading is applied for an extended period, the gap becomes widely displaced, with meniscal extrusion.\textsuperscript{2} The extruded meniscus can increase peak contact pressure in the medial compartment of the knee, similar to total meniscectomy, and can lead to arthritic changes.\textsuperscript{11,15} In the current study, we hypothesized that a displaced tear gap in degenerative MMPRTs would reflect the joint condition and thus could be used to classify MMPRTs. In addition, we analyzed how well this classification reflects the degree of meniscal extrusion and severity of arthritis.

METHODS

This study was undertaken with institutional review board approval. We retrospectively reviewed patients who underwent arthroscopic surgery for medial meniscal tears between August 2006 and February 2017, as performed by a single surgeon (S.-I.B.). Of the 827 knees with medial meniscal tears, 33 knees with accompanying anterior cruciate ligament injuries, 58 knees with a definite trauma history, and 620 knees that revealed nonroot tears (such as horizontal, flap, longitudinal, or complex tears) on arthroscopic examination were excluded. Consequently, 116 knees that were arthroscopically confirmed as having degenerative MMPRTs were enrolled in the present study. Indications for surgery were root tears diagnosed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and the persistence of mechanical symptoms despite at least 3 months of medication (including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) and muscle strengthening exercises. Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy was performed alone in 99 knees with neutral knee alignment (within $4^\circ$ of varus or valgus on the hip-knee-ankle angle).\textsuperscript{3,26} In patients with $\geq 5^\circ$ varus mechanical alignment, high tibial osteotomy was performed in addition to partial meniscectomy (17 knees) (Figure 1).

Measurement of Tear Gap and Classification of MMPRTs

The tear gap, which is the distance between the torn meniscus and the midportion of the corresponding edge of the root attachment, was measured using a probe scale at $10^\circ$ of knee flexion and valgus stress. Root tears were classified into 5 types according to the presence of a complete tear and the measured value of the tear gap: type 1, incomplete root tear; type 2, complete root tear with no gap or overlapped; type 3, complete root tear with gap measuring 1-3 mm; type 4, complete root tear with gap measuring 4-6 mm; and type 5, complete root tear with gap measuring $\geq 7$ mm (Figure 2). A single experienced knee surgeon (S.-I.B.) measured and recorded the tear gap during surgery, while 2 other surgeons (J.-Y.K., S.-M.O.) retrospectively reviewed the captured images and medical records, and there were no differences of opinion on the classification.

Chondral Wear and Osteoarthritis Radiographic Assessment

The cartilage status of both the medial femoral condyle (MFC) and medial tibial plateau (MTP) were assessed during arthroscopic surgery by a single surgeon. The worst area of cartilage at each compartment was assessed using the Outerbridge classification and used as a representation for analysis.\textsuperscript{25} It was documented in the electronic medical record system just after surgery by the surgeon. Mechanical alignment was assessed by measuring the HKA angle on preoperative radiographs with a true long-standing anteroposterior view.\textsuperscript{20} The radiographic assessment of
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medial compartment osteoarthritis was conducted using the Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) grading system.\textsuperscript{13}

Meniscal Extrusion and Tear Gap on MRI

Extrusion was evaluated on the midcoronal plane of preoperative MRI by measuring the absolute extrusion and relative percentage of extrusion (RPE). Absolute extrusion was defined as the distance between 2 lines drawn perpendicular to the articular surface: one on the outer margin of the MTP and one on the outer edge of the meniscus. RPE was defined as the percentage of the meniscus that extruded from the width of the entire meniscus (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{22} Absolute extrusion exceeding 3 mm was categorized as major extrusion, and all lesser values were categorized as minor extrusion.\textsuperscript{7}

To measure the tear gap on MRI, the coronal plane image with the most prominently visible medial meniscal posterior root insertion was selected. The medial joint line was drawn while considering the obliquity of the MTP. A line perpendicular to the medial joint line was drawn on the intercondylar fossa (or in applicable cases, the location of the remaining insertional ligament). A second line perpendicular to the medial joint line was drawn on the medial edge of the displaced meniscus. The distance between these 2 lines was defined as the tear gap (Figure 4).

Figure 2. Arthroscopic image from a 69-year-old female patient showing a tear gap measuring 0 mm with a complete tear, corresponding to a type 2 root tear (arrow). The tear gap was measured using a probe scale at 10\degree of knee flexion and valgus stress.

Figure 3. Example of extrusion measurement. The relative percentage of extrusion was defined as the width of the extruded meniscus divided by the width of the entire meniscus (%): $a/b \times 100$, where $a$ is the absolute extrusion (mm) and $b$ is the width of the entire meniscus (mm).

Figure 4. Tear gap measurements were made on coronal magnetic resonance imaging with the most prominently visible medial meniscal posterior horn root insertion (cleft sign). The tear gap was defined as the distance between the vertical line from the intercondylar fossa or remnant insertional ligament to the medial joint line, $a$, and to the medially displaced meniscal edge, $b$.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 18.0 for Windows (IBM). Overall significance and differences in covariates among the 5 tear types were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The Mann-Whitney $U$ test was used to
Preoperative HKA angle, deg 2.79 ± 2.95 were 0.92/0.86 for absolute extrusion, 0.90/0.82 for RPE, and MRI was evaluated using intraclass correlation coefficients to determine the intensity of association between each tear type. Spearman correlation analysis was performed to determine the intensity of association between each tear type and chondral wear, meniscal extrusion, and severity of arthritis. Two orthopaedic surgeons (J.-Y.K., S.-M.O.) measured the absolute extrusion, RPE, and tear gap on MRI. The reliability for absolute extrusion, RPE, and tear gap on MRI was evaluated using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs); the ICCs for intraobserver/interobserver reliability were 0.92/0.86 for absolute extrusion, 0.90/0.82 for RPE, and 0.89/0.80 for tear gap (P = .001 for all).

RESULTS

The demographics of patients with degenerative MMPRTs are reported in Table 1, and the incidence of each tear type, according to our proposed classification, is presented in Table 2.

The differences in covariates according to tear type classification are presented in Table 3, and the associations between the covariates and the classifications are plotted in Figure 5. There were no significant differences in mechanical alignment, body mass index, sex, age, and MTP grade among the 5 types. However, there were significant differences in the Outerbridge grade of the MFC, K-L grade, absolute extrusion, RPE, and tear gap on MRI among the 5 types (Table 3). When the tear type was higher, the Outerbridge grade of the MFC (P = .001), K-L grade (P = .001), absolute extrusion (P = .001), RPE (P = .001), and tear gap on MRI (P = .001) increased. In addition, there was a trend of covariates showing that the intra-articular status of the knee gradually worsened as the tear gap increased. This correlation was confirmed through Spearman correlation analysis (Figure 5). As shown in Figure 5C, most patients with type 3 root tears were assessed as having K-L grade 2; thus, a box plot was omitted and the graph was marked with a median value of grade 2.

DISCUSSION

This study presents an arthroscopic classification system for degenerative MMPRTs based on the tear gap. The most important finding is that a higher tear type was correlated with a higher severity of arthritis. Arthritic changes visible on radiographs and arthroscopic surgery as well as meniscal extrusion visible on MRI were correlated with an advanced tear type. Spearman correlation analysis showed that chondral wear of the MFC and MTP, K-L grade, absolute extrusion, and RPE had a moderate correlation with tear type, and tear gap on MRI had a strong correlation with tear type. These findings suggest that in degenerative MMPRTs, as the transected meniscus becomes displaced under long-standing physiological loading, meniscal extrusion increases and chondral wear accelerates; that is, an MMPRT could be a precursor to osteoarthritis, or alternatively, the loss of cartilage and joint space causes increased loading, which in turn produces the MMPRT or widening and extrusion of an existing MMPRT.

LaPrade et al21 presented a classification system of meniscal root tears and divided the tears into 5 types according to morphological features. Although this system details the chronology and treatment of various tears according to morphological type and is a comprehensive classification system for meniscal root tears, the LaPrade classification is based on the distance of the tear from the root, whereas our classification is based on the gap of the tear. One of the major shortcomings of their classification is that it contained both traumatic and degenerative MMPRTs and did not reveal the association between the cartilage status of the joint and the type, thus limiting its use in the clinical setting.

Furumatsu et al9 reported that meniscal extrusion in MMPRTs increased with time after a painful popping event. In our study, as the tear gap and meniscal extrusion showed a positive correlation, it could be deduced that as the duration of the tear increases, the tear gap and meniscal extrusion increase. A similar study by Bin et al12 classified degenerative MMPRTs into nondisplaced, overlapped, and widely displaced groups through an arthroscopic examination and compared the results with radiographic and MRI findings. The widely displaced group had a greater amount of meniscal extrusion, greater joint space narrowing, and greater varus alignment than the nondisplaced and overlapped groups. These findings are similar to our findings of a worsening joint status with greater meniscal displacement. However, the criterion for a “widely displaced” root tear is somewhat vague; hence, we based our classification on...
objective measurements, which makes it more accurate than the classification of Bin et al.2 MMPRTs have the highest incidence among root tears, and our classification is comparatively more concise and specific and has correlation with the articular status. In patients who underwent surgical repair for MMPRTs, factors such as Outerbridge grade 3 or 4 chondral lesions, pre-existing osteoarthritis with K-L grade >3, definite meniscal degeneration, and higher body mass index (>30 kg/m²) were associated with poor clinical outcomes.16,17,23,27,29 When considering

| Covariate                  | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | P     |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| MFC Outerbridge grade      | 2.32 ± 1.03<sup>a</sup> | 2.82 ± 0.98 | 3.04 ± 0.86 | 3.38 ± 0.76<sup>b</sup> | 3.50 ± 0.97 | .001  |
| MTP Outerbridge grade      | 2.84 ± 0.76 | 3.00 ± 0.89 | 3.40 ± 0.58 | 3.38 ± 0.77 | 3.70 ± 0.48 | .009  |
| K-L grade                  | 1.53 ± 0.61<sup>a</sup> | 1.64 ± 0.67 | 2.04 ± 0.51<sup>b</sup> | 2.21 ± 0.62<sup>b</sup> | 2.40 ± 0.97 | .001  |
| Absolute extrusion, mm     | 2.89 ± 1.45<sup>a</sup> | 3.68 ± 0.90<sup>b</sup> | 4.15 ± 0.96<sup>b</sup> | 4.66 ± 1.25<sup>b</sup> | 5.41 ± 0.75<sup>b</sup> | .001  |
| RPE, %                     | 32.44 ± 15.24<sup>a</sup> | 41.03 ± 9.06<sup>b</sup> | 47.04 ± 11.27<sup>b</sup> | 49.95 ± 12.06<sup>b</sup> | 57.39 ± 11.29<sup>b</sup> | .001  |
| Tear gap on MRI, mm        | 0.06 ± 0.09<sup>a</sup> | 0.17 ± 0.56<sup>b</sup> | 2.07 ± 1.03<sup>b</sup> | 4.57 ± 1.38<sup>b</sup> | 8.10 ± 3.21<sup>b</sup> | .001  |
| Age, y                     | 54.05 ± 13.16 | 54.73 ± 7.61 | 60.83 ± 7.53 | 59.21 ± 7.37 | 59.79 ± 7.24 | .190  |
| HKA angle, deg             | 3.11 ± 3.14 | 1.64 ± 3.33 | 2.94 ± 2.78 | 2.83 ± 3.08 | 2.70 ± 2.87 | .561  |
| Body mass index, kg/m²     | 25.40 ± 2.87 | 25.20 ± 3.14 | 26.46 ± 2.86 | 26.08 ± 3.08 | 25.38 ± 2.25 | .424  |
| Sex, male/female, n        | 7/12    | 2/9    | 8/39    | 7/22    | 2/8    | .483  |

<sup>a</sup>Data with the exception of sex are shown as mean ± SD. Pairs with P < .005 (according to the Bonferroni correction) on the Mann-Whitney U test indicate a significant difference from the following tear types: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. HKA, hip-knee-ankle (with positive and negative values representing varus and valgus mechanical alignment, respectively); K-L, Kellgren-Lawrence; MFC, medial femoral condyle; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MTP, medial tibial plateau; RPE, relative percentage of extrusion.

Figure 5. Covariates according to the novel arthroscopic tear type classification: (A) medial femoral condyle (MFC) Outerbridge grade, (B) medial tibial plateau (MTP) Outerbridge grade, (C) Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) grade, (D) absolute extrusion, (E) relative percentage of extrusion (RPE), and (F) tear gap on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Correlations between each covariate and the classifications were calculated using Spearman correlation analysis and were defined as weak (0.1 ≤ ρ < 0.3), moderate (0.3 ≤ ρ < 0.7), or strong (ρ ≥ 0.7). *Most patients with type 3 tears were assessed as having K-L grade 2; thus, a box plot was omitted and the graph was instead marked with a median value.
treatment options for MMPRTs in reference to our classification, patients with tear type ≥3 typically had chondral wear exceeding Outerbridge grade 3 and arthritic changes exceeding K-L grade 2 and are thus more likely to have poor clinical outcomes after surgical repair. These worse outcomes may be related to higher forces on the repair site for increased gapping or may be a result of pre-existing degenerative changes.

This study has several limitations. First, it had a small sample size, and as with any retrospective study, there is a possibility of recall bias. However, all the data (such as tear gap and cartilage status) had been collected prospectively and were recorded by a single experienced knee surgeon immediately after surgery into the electronic medical record system, thus minimizing possible errors due to recall bias. Second, as the sample consisted only of patients with symptomatic degenerative MMPRTs who had undergone partial meniscectomy, selection bias was unavoidable. Therefore, the results should not be compared with or applied to asymptomatic patients or patients with traumatic avulsion MMPRTs. Third, this was a cross-sectional study of the knee status before and during surgery and thus does not provide postoperative clinical and radiological outcomes for each tear type. Finally, the surgeon measuring the gap arthroscopically was not blinded to the articular cartilage findings, although there was generally good consistency when the other 2 orthopaedic surgeons reviewed the arthroscopic results. In future studies, clinical and radiological outcomes after meniscectomy or root repair for each tear type should be investigated.

CONCLUSION

Our study introduces a new classification based on the tear gap that can concisely describe a degenerative MMPRT. The classification system demonstrated that a higher tear type (increasing displacement of the tear gap in arthroscopic surgery) is associated with higher meniscal extrusion, severe chondral wear, and greater severity of arthritis.
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