M2-Polarized Macrophages Determine Human Cutaneous Lesions in Lacaziosis
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Abstract  Lacaziosis is a cutaneous chronic mycosis caused by Lacazia loboi. Macrophages are important cells in the host immune response in fungal infections. The macrophage population exhibits strong plasticity that varies according to the stimuli in the microenvironment of lesions. M1 profile promotes a Th1 pattern of cytokines and microbicidal function and M2 is related to Th2 cytokines and immunomodulatory response. We investigated the population of M1 and M2 polarized macrophages in human cutaneous lesions. A total of 27 biopsies from human lesions were submitted to an immunohistochemistry protocol using antibodies to detect M1 and M2 macrophages (Arginase-1, CD163, iNOS, RBP-J and cMAF). We could observe high number of cells expressing Arginase1, CD163 and c-MAF that correspond to elements of the M2 profile of macrophage, over iNOS and RBP-J (elements of the M1 profile). The results suggest a predominant phenotype of M2 macrophages, which have an immunomodulatory role and probably contributing to chronicity of Lacaziosis.

Keywords  Lacaziosis · M1 macrophages · M2 macrophages · Immunopathogenesis

Introduction

Lacaziosis is a chronic, cutaneous and/or subcutaneous mycosis, caused by the fungus Lacazia loboi. It mainly affects rural workers since they are in constant contact with the vegetation and soil, characteristic habitat of the fungus. The Amazon region is an important epidemiological site, where there is a high number of patients among the rubber tappers [1–4]. Lacazia loboi has a rounded form in the host (yeast), a refringent wall and homogeneous or granular cytoplasm. It is suggested that it occurs in nature in the filamentous form [5]. The lesions may present in...
different aspects: keloidiform, infiltrative, ulcerated or verrucous [6]. They may also be localized, multifocal or disseminated [7].

The pathogenesis of lacaziosis is still not well understood, since there is no culture of the agent in laboratory and the animal experiments do not yet present consistent results [8]. The individual response of the patient to the aggressions caused by *Lacazia loboii* varies greatly. There are individuals in which, in a short period, the lesions evolve to a more extensive and disseminated form and others that for many years persist with the localized form of the disease [9]. After infection, the incubation period is uncertain [10]. Many patients relate the appearance of lesions, especially those located in the auricle, with the arthropod bite, ticks of the *Ixodidae* family, which could be a type of vector [11]. *Lacazia loboii* seems to remain viable in diverse materials such as vegetables, soil and water.

Lesion polymorphism is the most commonly found in the clinical examination, especially in cases of long evolution. There may be black pits on the surface of the lesions, corresponding to the trans-epithelial elimination of the fungus, as occurs in other deep mycoses, such as chromoblastomycosis [12–14].

Previous studies on lacaziosis report macrophages with cytoplasm of foamy or granular aspect, similar to Gaucher cells, possibly resulting from the accumulation of fragments of the fungus, which after being engulfed, undergoes the action of the lysosomal enzymes of the macrophages. Asteroid bodies were reported present in the granulomatous infiltrate in Langhans-type multinucleated cells [8, 15].

The macrophage population exhibits strong plasticity that varies according to the stimuli in the microenvironment of lesions and can be classified as M1 or M2 type. M1 macrophages are considered to be pro-inflammatory and M2-type macrophages have an immunomodulatory profile and appear to be related to the evolution to the chronicity of infectious diseases [16].

The characterization of macrophage polarization was recently performed. The transcription factors related to this polarization were analyzed by the immunohistochemical method of double-labeling [17].

Understanding the mechanisms of lesions and immune response of the host in lacaziosis is important, because it is a disease whose progression can lead to a state of chronicity that makes treatment difficult. Despite the innumerable advances in understanding the fungal–host interaction in such disease, data from the literature show that much effort is still needed to increase our knowledge about the role of the innate and adaptive immune response in this mycosis of great importance in Brazil and other regions.

Considering the previous data and the tissue response in lacaziosis, we aim to characterize the macrophage populations present in lesions in order to contribute to better understanding of the phagocytic process and establishment of the disease.

Materials and Methods

Biopsies

Twenty-seven skin biopsies were selected from the files of Nucleo de Medicina Tropical – Universidade Federal do Para, Brazil, from patients with clinical and histological diagnosis of lacaziosis.

The criterion for selection of the cases was the representativeness of the sample, whose size was enough to perform all the immunohistochemical procedures.

Ten fragments of normal skin obtained from heart surgery incision and five fragments of normal skin obtained from orthopedic knee surgery without inflammatory or infectious activity at the time of surgery constituted the control group.

Lesions were isolated, located in the lower limbs. Patients were all rural workers, generally healthy, except for the injury confined to the skin, without systemic manifestations. The control group, constituted by normal skin is from the archives of Clinical Hospital, Medical School, São Paulo.

The histopathological study of the lesions was made through the staining of Hematoxylin–Eosin (HE).

The use of the material that constituted the casuistic was approved by the ethics committee of Clinical Hospital, Faculty of Medicine of University of São Paulo, under the number 191/12.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed as follow: deparaffinization and rehydration in xylene
followed by a decreasing series of ethanol solutions; blockage of endogenous peroxidase in 3% hydrogen peroxide and antigen retrieval in TRIS–EDTA buffer pH9.0 (S2368, Dako Corporation) or citrate Buffer pH6.0 according to previous tests for 25 min at 95 °C. The specimens were incubated for 30 min in a 10% solution of skim milk followed by the incubation with the primary antibodies diluted in 1% bovine albumin solution. The anti-Arginase-1 (HPA003595, Sigma), anti-CD163 (NCL-L-CD163, Novocastra), anti-iNOS (482728 Calbiochem), anti-RBP-J (14613-1-AP, Proteintech) and anti-cMAF (sc-7866, Santa Cruz) antibodies were incubated over-night at 4 °C. The Reveal Biotin-Free Polyvalent-HRP system (SPB-999, SPRING BIOSCIENCE Corp,CA,USA) was used to develop the reactions and diaminobenzidine was used as the chromogen. All reactions were performed with positive and negative controls. The second ones were constituted by the use of isotype controls and the omission of the primary antibody.

Quantitative and Statistical Analysis

Immunolabeled cells were quantified in nine randomized fields in the dermis using a × 10 ocular lens with a square grid and a × 40 objective. The sum of the number of immunostained cells was divided by the number of fields (nine) and the result was divided by 0.0625 (area of the grid), providing the number of cells/mm².

The comparison of the number of positive cells/mm² was statistically analyzed by using the Mann–Whitney test with the level for significance set at 95% (p < 0.05).

Results

Histological sections of biopsies of Lacaziosis showed epidermis with hyperplastic or ulcerated appearance. In the stratum corneum, there was a frequent presence of fungal forms. The dermal changes were characterized by a clear band (Grenz), of variable thickness separating the epidermis from the dermal infiltrate.

The dermis of the skin lesions presented diffuse inflammatory infiltrate comprising macrophages, some asteroid corpuscles, lymphocytes, multinucleated giant cells and a large number of fungi.

The immunohistochemistry method allowed characterizing polarized macrophages of M1 profile by the expression of iNOS and RBP-J. M2 macrophages were visualized through the expression of Arginase-1, CD163 and c-Maf (Fig. 1).

There was a statistically significant difference between the number of cells expressing cMAF (M2) over RBP-J (M1) (p = 0.0015) in the group of lesions. Cells expressing cMAF were also increased in the group of lesions over the control group (p = 0.0035). The expression of RBP-J was similar between lesions and normal skin (p = 0.1015) (Fig. 2).

When we compared cells expressing Arginase 1, CD163 and iNOS, the number of positive cells did not differ (p > 0.05) (Fig. 2). However, cells expressing CD163 were increased in the control group when compared to the group of lesions.

Discussion

Macrophages are key cells of the innate and adaptive immune response, important in the course of infection. Its polarization may result in cells of the M1 or M2 type [18–20].

M1 macrophages play a role as microbicidal, pro-inflammatory and anti-tumor with the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, HLA-DR, iNOS and the transcription factors pStat-1 and RBP-J [17, 21, 22].

M2 macrophages are immunomodulatory and characterized by the presence of c-MAF, Arginase-1, CD14, CD163, mannose receptor (CD 206), IL-10 and TGF-β1 [23, 24]. Also, such cells are associated to chronic infectious diseases.

Polarized macrophages, as previously observed, express different markers such as iNOS and arginase, which are not specific for the identification of M1 or M2 macrophages, and once they can be detected in other cells. This could explain that in lacaziosis we did not find statistical difference among those markers in the lesions. Considering that, we evaluated the population of macrophages through the presence of iNOS and RBP-J to detect the M1 pattern and Arginase1, CD163 and cMAF to detect M2 macrophages in skin specimens. Also, bearing in mind that the expression of cMAF and RBP-J can be observed in different cells, we quantified only cells with nuclear positivity and macrophage morphology.
The transcription factor cMAF is related to the Th2 pattern of cytokines [17], and we detected an increased number of cells expressing this molecule when compared to RBP-J, which is related to the Th1 pattern of cytokines.

It is described that RBP-J promotes polarization of M1 macrophages in anti-tumor and anti-intracellular bacteria response [25, 26].

Based on previews reports, both CD68 and CD163 are able to identify macrophages [17]. CD163 is a specific macrophage marker, while CD68 may also identify dendritic cell subsets. That is why we added the immunostaining of the transcription factor cMAF. This factor is related to the regulation of IL10 gene expression in macrophages and so, represents M2 macrophages. The above report used double immunostaining to support these data. On the contrary, RBP-J is related to M1 macrophages and we could observe a statistical difference when compared both markers, with predominance of cMAF in the lesions.

It seems that lacaziosis develops from a partial deficiency in the cellular immune response. The inflammatory infiltrate is composed by macrophages.

Fig. 1 Lacaziosis, skin lesions. a Inflammatory infiltrate constituted by macrophages, lymphocytes, epithelioid cells and intense number of fungal cells (Hematoxylin–Eosin); b Arginase-1 expression in the cytoplasm of macrophages; c Macrophagic cells expressing CD163; d Nuclear expression of c-MAF in macrophages; e iNOS expression in giant cells of Langhans type; f RBP-J expression in the nucleus of macrophages (B-F immunohistochemistry reaction visualized in brown) (× 200)
NK cells, plasma cells, B and T lymphocytes. The increased expression of TGF-β and reduced expression of TNF-α and iNOS contribute to the pathogenesis of lacaziosis once it induces fibrosis and an impaired immune response [27].

Such result was also previously observed in peripheral blood mononuclear cells culture supernatant and skin biopsies, with a discrete number of cells expressing iNOS [28].

Taking into consideration the expression of CD163 in the control group, some of the specimens presented signs of remodeling. Considering that these cells are involved in the repair process, it could be a factor that contributed to a greater number of them. Also, according to Zaba et al. [29] CD163 identifies tissue resident macrophages and is a marker that is uniformly coexpressed by FXIIIa⁺ cells. Cells that express Factor XIIIa are constitutive of the normal dermis, found in perivascular regions. Although lacaziosis lesions also present such cells, as we previously described [30], they were not detected in all specimens (only 65%) as a result of the tissue alterations in the presence of high number of fungal cells.

The polarization of macrophages was previously observed both in viral and bacterial infections such as Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella typhimurium, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Mycobacterium ulcerans, and Chlamydia infections. Some bacteria interfere in M1 polarization in order to reduce inflammation and microbicidal function, for example inhibiting NF-kB activity or secreting factors that inhibit M1 activation. M. tuberculosis subverts the inflammatory response and stimulates M2 polarization [16, 31–35].

Some viruses take advantage on the polarization of macrophages as an escape mechanism, but contrary to bacterial infections, they commonly activate M1 macrophages. For example, hepatitis C virus (HCV) promotes a chronic inflammatory infection, often leading to fibrotic cirrhosis and hepatocellular
carcinoma [36]; however, during infection by coronavirus (SARS-CoV), lung damage resulting from viral infection and dysregulation of the host immune response progresses to diffuse alveolar damage, resulting in acute respiratory distress syndrome and pulmonary fibrosis [37]. Cytomegalovirus polarizes monocytes to a M2 phenotype, with high expression of CD163 [38]. Finally, it is described that the receptor DC-SIGN from M2 macrophages facilitates HIV-1 entry, DNA synthesis and transmission to CD4+ T cells [39].

Our results, corroborated by other authors, suggest that both M1 and M2 macrophages are present in the microenvironment of lesions of lacaziosis, with a predominance of M2 population. As described in the interaction with different pathogens, the unbalance between M1 and M2 macrophages results in severe damage to the host, where the prevalence of M2 macrophages stimulates severe immunomodulation and support the maintenance of fungal forms in the lesions.
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