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ABSTRACT
The objective of this research paper is to compare various types of generator used in Wind Energy Conversion system topologies. Now a days there is fast growth of variable speed WECS due to rapid advances in power electronics technologies. In present scenario there are primarily two types of generators used in WECS namely Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) used for geared operation for variable speeds and fixed speeds and Permanent Magnet Synchronous generator (PMSG) can operate gearless. As there is higher demand of power, offshore installations are gaining popularity and hence new wind turbine designs are being expected in near future, as there will be development in generators and converter design.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In today’s world due to increase in demand of power and fast depleting resources, there is need of alternative power sources which not only meet existing power demand but also are environment friendly. There are many renewable sources such as Solar, Wind, Geothermal, Tidal etc. Out of all these sources Wind Energy is emerging as a mature technology and
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provides a bright, stable and limitless source of energy for maintaining the continuously growing energy requirements of humanity. At the end of 2017 the overall wind capacity worldwide reached to 539291 MW. Most of the countries have achieved high level of wind production by the end of 2017 such as China produces 18839235 MW of wind energy which is 35% of total worldwide production followed by USA and Germany which produces 89077MW and 56132 MW which is 17% and 10% of total worldwide production respectively. India is ranked fourth with 32848MW which is 6% of total worldwide production and is expected to add additional 2.5-3 GW in financial year 2019[8].Due to advancement in WECS technologies wind turbines can be installed at both on shore and offshore locations. By using new and different combinations and configurations of generators and convertors high and stable output power can be achieved. [5]. Now a days most of the wind turbines are installed in large wind farms which are located in the areas which are not connected to grid and have large storage system through batteries. Also there are WECS which are connected to grid and power is fed to grid. For generating power from wind turbines, there are two types of generators namely doubly Fed Induction generator (DFIG) and Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator (PMSG) which are gaining popularity now a days. A comparative study on performance of these two generators are depicted in this paper [9,16].

The paper is divided in to the following Sections. Section II describes the general configuration and different topologies of a wind turbine and its conversion equations. In Section III the two different types of generators commonly in use for WT systems will be listed Doubly Fed Induction Generators (DFIG) and Permanent Magnet Synchronous generators (PMSG). Section IV will highlight the performance and market trend of each generator and Section V describes conclusion.

2. WIND TURBINE CONFIGURATION AND TOPOLOGIES

Up to 90’s fixed speed squirrel cage induction generators were in use whose rating was less than 1KW. With the advancement in technology variable speed WT replaced fixed speed generators because of their shortcomings. The variable speed Wind Turbines are known to achieve maximum aerodynamic efficiency over a wide range of wind speeds [3]. There are two types of WTs namely Horizontal axis configuration WT (HAWT) and vertical axis configuration WT (VAWT) with latter being used in large scale, as they have blades which have diameter of 140 m and can rotate at a speed in range between 5 to 25 rpm[21]. The mechanical parts and the generator are mounted in a framework which is positioned high up on a tower. [11,12].Nowadays the configuration used widely is Wind Turbine with variable speed operation configuration and pitch control system is widely used in today’s time [6].

WTs are systems that have the ability to transform the kinetic energy of the wind into electricity as shown in fig1. This processes possess many stages and is characterized by the fo equations given below[4,10]:

The wind power which is developed by the turbine is given by equation (1)

\[ P = \frac{1}{2} C_p \rho AV^3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where \( C_p \) is the wind power coefficient, \( \rho \) is the air density (equal to 1.225kg/m\(^3\) at sea level at temperature T=288K), A is the area of the turbine blades in \( m^2 \) and V is the wind velocity in m/sec. The fraction of kinetic energy which is converted into mechanical energy by the wind turbine is given by the power coefficient \( C_p \). It is a function of blade pitch angle (\( \beta \)) and tip speed ratio (TSR) (\( \lambda \)). Tip speed ratio is the ratio of turbine blade linear speed and wind speed given by:
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\[ \lambda = \frac{R\omega}{V} \]  

Substituting (2) in (1), we have:

\[ P = \frac{1}{2} C_p(\lambda) \rho A \left( \frac{R}{\lambda} \right)^3 \omega^3 \]  

The corresponding output torque of the wind turbine is given by:

\[ T_{turbine} = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_p V / \lambda \]  

Where \( R \) is the radius of the wind turbine rotor (m).

Figure (1) shows common wind conversion system layout with gearbox, generator, power electronics converters connected to the grid.

![Wind Conversion System Layout](image)

**Figure 1** Wind Conversion System Layout.

Power coefficient is maximum at a specific value of tip speed ratio. Maximum power can be extracted by the wind turbine by operating the turbine at variable speed. The turbine \( C_p \) curves are displayed in Figure 2 which follows cubic relationship.

![Wind Turbine Cp Characteristic](image)

**Figure 2** turbine \( C_p \) curves

3. GENERATOR TYPES

In this section Induction generator and synchronous generator categories and their mathematical models will be presented and also power quality, reliability and performance of above said generators will also be discussed.
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(i) Induction Generator Modeling:

The stator and rotor voltage equations are:

\[
V_{ds} = R_r i_{ds} + \frac{d\psi_{ds}}{dt} - s\omega_s \psi_{qs} \tag{5}
\]

\[
V_{qr} = R_r i_{qr} + \frac{d\psi_{qr}}{dt} - s\omega_s \psi_{dr} \tag{6}
\]

\[
V_{dr} = R_r i_{dr} + \frac{d\psi_{dr}}{dt} - s\omega_s \psi_{qr} \tag{7}
\]

\[
V_{qs} = R_r i_{qs} + \frac{d\psi_{qs}}{dt} - s\omega_s \psi_{ds} \tag{8}
\]

The electromagnetic torque equations and flux linkages equations are represented below:

\[
T_e = \psi_{qr} i_{dr} - \psi_{dr} i_{qr} \tag{9}
\]

\[
\psi_{ds} = L_m i_{dr} - L_s i_{ds} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\psi_{qs} = L_m i_{qr} - L_s i_{qs} \tag{11}
\]

\[
\psi_{dr} = L_m i_{ds} - L_r i_{dr} \tag{12}
\]

\[
\psi_{qr} = L_m i_{dq} - L_r i_{qr} \tag{13}
\]

Where, \(v_r, i_r \) and \(\psi_r\) represent the rotor voltage, current and flux respectively.

\(\omega_s\) represent angular velocity; d and q are the direct and quadrature axis components respectively. \(L_m\) represents mutual inductance ; \(L_s\) and \(L_r\) are the stator and rotor inductance.

(ii) Synchronous Generator Mathematical Model.

The PMSG is expressed by the following equations:

\[
V_{ds} = -R_s i_{ds} - L_s di_{ds}/dt + L_s \omega_r i_{qs} \tag{14}
\]

\[
V_{qr} = -R_s i_{qr} - L_s di_{qr}/dt + L_s \omega_r i_{ds} + \omega_r \psi \tag{15}
\]

Where \(L_s\) and \(R_s\) represent the generator inductance and stator resistance respectively, \(\omega_r\) represents generator rotor speed and \(\psi\) is the magnetic flux, below is the equation of electromagnetic torque

\[
T_e = 3/2p\psi i_{qs} \tag{16}
\]

4. DIFFERENT TYPES OF GENERATORS

4.1. Doubly Fed Induction Generator

DFIG is the most commonly used wound-rotor induction generator used in WECS as the stator of the DFIG can be directly connected to the grid and rotor across a partially rated converter [14]. Due to difference of speed between stator and rotor a gearbox is needed to couple the rotor to the generator. Most commonly used configuration includes variable frequency and back to back AC/DC/AC and AC/AC voltage source type . Rotor side and grid side convertors are used made up of power electronics devices such as IGBT, MOSFETs etc. [10]. The rotor voltage is applied from the power converters. Active and reactive powers as well as harmonics can be controlled from the Rotor Side Convertor (RSC), while power factor is controlled by Grid Side Convertor (GSC) and ensures that keeps it in optimum value . A schematic of a DFIG is presented in Fig.3.
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Advantages of DFIG:
- Limited speed range-30% to 30% around synchronous speed
- Small capacity PWM inverter
- Rugged and brushless,
- Active and reactive powers can be controlled completely
- Full speed range
- High efficiency and energy yield.

Limitations of DFIG:
- There is need for gearbox.
- There is need of slip rings.
- Medium reliability and reduced longevity due to bearings and gear faults.

4.2. PMSG (Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator)

By the use of PMSG it allows direct –drives systems by which the use of gearbox can be avoided and hence helps in low maintenance of the system. By using PMSG there is use of voltage source full power converter (AC/DC/AC) which helps in decoupling of generator from the grid. A schematic of a PMSG is presented in Fig.4.

Advantages of PMSG:
- Full speed range,
- There is no need of gear systems.
- Active and reactive powers can be controlled completely
- Brushless and have low maintenance.
- No power converter for field is required
Limitations of PMSG:

- Permanent Magnet needed
- Multipole generator is required in case of direct driven topology
- Full scale power converter.

5. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON AND CONCLUSION:
This paper has reviewed the technology for generators used in WECS. The efficiency of permanent magnet synchronous generator is higher than doubly fed induction generator because of the fact that excitation can be provided without energy supply. The other advantage of permanent Magnet Synchronous generator is that according to conditions power can be generated at any speed. The stator of the latter is wound and rotor consists of permanent magnet pole system. On the other side the materials used for manufacturing permanent magnets are expensive and also are sensitive to temperature therefore they require cooling systems. Another issue with this type of generator is problem encountered during start up due to its synchronous nature and hence there will be difficulty in getting constant voltage. Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) on the other hand has good control over generating reactive power which can be delivered to the stator by grid side converter. Due to presence of gearbox in DFIG there is additional maintenance cost and hence less reliable than PMSG. Variable speed operation is attractive in today’s world because of the fact that machine with this technology exhibits more power capture and less mechanical stress. A short introduction followed by different wind turbine topologies and wind turbines from electrical point of view is presented in this paper. Analysis of Induction and synchronous generator is presented whose performances are improving due to new advancement in power electronic devices which provide solution in wind power installations by improving power system stability and control.
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