Abstract

Vasopressin (antidiuretic hormone) is emerging as a potentially major advance in the treatment of septic shock. Terlipressin (tricyclysine-vasopressin) is the synthetic, long-acting analogue of vasopressin, and has comparable pharmacodynamic but different pharmacokinetic properties. Vasopressin mediates vasoconstriction via V1 receptor activation on vascular smooth muscle. Septic shock first causes a transient early increase in blood vasopressin concentrations; these concentrations subsequently decrease to very low levels as compared with those observed with other causes of hypotension. Infusions of 0.01–0.04 U/min vasopressin in septic shock patients increase plasma vasopressin concentrations. This increase is associated with reduced need for other vasopressors. Vasopressin has been shown to result in greater blood flow diversion from nonvital to vital organ beds compared with adrenaline (epinephrine). Of concern is a constant decrease in cardiac output and oxygen delivery, the consequences of which in terms of development of multiple organ failure are not yet known. Terlipressin (one or two boluses of 1 mg) has similar effects, but this drug has been used in far fewer patients. Large randomized clinical trials should be conducted to establish the utility of these drugs as therapeutic agents in patients with septic shock.

Introduction

The neurohypophysis contains vasopressin and oxytocin, which have very similar structures. In humans vasopressin is present in the form of an octapeptide called arginine vasopressin (AVP). The nomenclature of neurohypophysic hormones can be confusing. The name ‘vasopressin’ made it possible to refer to a hormone that is capable of both increasing arterial pressure in animals and triggering capillary vasoconstriction in humans. Such effects are only observed at high doses. At a low doses it inhibits urine output with no effect on the circulation, earning it the name ‘antidiuretic hormone’.

The antidiuretic functions of vasopressin have been exploited clinically for many years for the treatment of diabetes insipidus. Its vasopressor properties are currently arousing interest and have been the subject of numerous studies [1–14]. These studies have suggested that vasopressin may have applications in several models of shock, particularly septic shock [1,3,6,8,9,15–19,21–26]. Septic shock is defined as circulatory failure and organ hypoperfusion resulting in systemic infection [27]. Despite improved knowledge of its pathophysiology and considerable advances in its treatment, mortality from septic shock exceeds 50% [28]. Most deaths are linked to refractory arterial hypotension and/or organ failure despite antibiotic therapy, fluid expansion, and vasopressor and positive inotropic treatment [29].

This general review analyzes data from the literature on the cardiovascular effects of vasopressin in septic shock so to define the position of this hormone for treatment of a pathological entity that remains one of the most preoccupying in the intensive care unit.

History

The vasopressor effect of an extract from the pituitary gland was first observed in 1895 [30], but the antidiuretic effect was not exploited in the treatment of diabetes insipidus until 1913 [31,32]. The neurohypophysic extracts administered to patients at that time reduced diuresis, increased urine density and intensified thirst. In the 1920s researchers demonstrated that local application of these extracts to animal capillaries...
provoked vasoconstriction [5]. In 1954 vasopressin was isolated and synthesized [33].

Recently, many teams have become interested in the endocrine response of the organism during cardiac arrest and cardiopulmonary resuscitation [21–25]. It has been shown that circulating endogenous vasopressin levels are elevated in such patients [21–25]. This is of prognostic value in extreme cases of cardiovascular failure [7].

Studies on septic shock began in 1997, when Landry and coworkers [3] observed that vasopressin plasma concentrations had collapsed in these patients. Hence, the effects of exogenous vasopressin in shock became a focus for numerous research projects.

**Biological characteristics**

**Structure and synthesis of vasopressin**

Vasopressin is a polypeptide with a disulphide bond between the two cysteine amino acids [34]. In humans AVP is encoded by the mRNA for prepronocrophycin II. After cleavage of the signal peptide, the resulting prohormone contains AVP (nine amino acids), neurophysin II (95 amino acids) and a glycopeptide (39 amino acids). The prohormone is synthesized in the parvocellular and magnocellular neurones of the supraoptic and paraventricular nuclei of the hypothalamus [35]. Cleavage of the prohormone yields the three components, including AVP. The final hormone is transported by the neurones of the hypothalamo–neuro–hypophyseal bundle of the pituitary gland to the secretion site, namely the posterior hypophysis. It is then stored in granule form. The whole process from synthesis to storage lasts from 1 to 2 hours (Fig. 1) [20].

Of the total stock of vasopressin, 10–20% can be rapidly released into the bloodstream [8]. Secretion diminishes if the stimulus continues. This kinetic action explains the biphasic course of vasopressin plasma concentrations during septic shock, with an early elevation followed by subsequent diminution [36].

**Vasopressin secretion**

Vasopressin secretion is complex and depends upon plasma osmolality and blood volume.

**Osmotic stimulus**

Plasma osmolality is maintained by behavioural (hunger and thirst) and physiological (vasopressin and natriuretic hormones) adaptations. The central osmoreceptors that regulate vasopressin secretion are located near to the supraoptic nucleus in the anterolateral hypothalamus in a region with no blood–brain barrier [20]. There are also peripheral osmoreceptors at the level of the hepatic portal vein that detect early the osmotic impact of ingestion of foods and fluids [20]. The afferent pathways reach the magnocellular neurones of the hypothalamus via the vagal nerve. These neurones are depolarized by hypertonic conditions and hyperpolarized by hypotonic conditions [37].

The osmotic threshold for vasopressin secretion corresponds to a mean extracellular osmolality of 280 mOsmol/kg H2O (Fig. 2). Below this threshold the circulating concentration is undetectable; above it the concentration increases in a linear relation to osmolality. If water restriction is prolonged then plasmatic hypertonia stimulates thirst, beginning at values of approximately 290 mOsmol/kg H2O [20].

**Volaemic stimulus**

In contrast to osmotic stimulation, arterial hypotension and hypovolaemia stimulate vasopressin exponentially [8,20]. This secretion does not disturb osmotic regulation because hypotension modifies the relationship between plasmatic osmolality and the concentration of vasopressin; the slope of
the curve is accentuated and the threshold lowered [38]. A greater concentration of vasopressin is therefore required to maintain normal osmolality (Fig. 2) [39–42].

Arterial hypotension is the principal stimulus for vasopressin secretion via arterial baroreceptors located in the aortic arch and the carotid sinus (Fig. 2) [6]. It is transported by the vagal and glossopharyngeal nerves toward the nucleus tractus solitarius and then toward the supraoptic and paraventricular nuclei. Inhibition of this secretion is principally linked to volume receptors located in the cardiac cavities [43]. In a physiological situation, inhibition is constant because of continuous discharge by these receptors. If stimulation diminishes then vasopressin secretion increases [44]. If central venous pressure diminishes, then these receptors first stimulate secretion of natriuretic factor, the sympathetic system, and renin secretion. Vasopressin is secreted when arterial pressure falls to the point that it can no longer be compensated for by the predominant action of the vascular baroreceptors [45–48].

Other stimuli
Other stimuli can favour secretion of vasopressin. These include hypercapnia, hypoxia, hyperthermia, pain, nausea, morphine and nicotine [49]. At the hormone level, numerous molecules are direct stimulators, including acetylcholine, histamine, nicotine, angiotensin II, prostaglandins, dopamine and, especially, the adrenergic system [36]. Noradrenaline (norepinephrine) has a complex effect on vasopressin secretion [49]. At low concentrations it increases activity. At high concentrations it inhibits the production of vasopressin [50]. Nitric oxide (NO), through cGMP, is a powerful neurohormonal inhibitor of vasopressin [8]. This pathway is of fundamental importance in the case of septic shock [6,8,20]. Opiates, alcohol, γ-aminobutyric acid, and auricular natriuretic factor are also inhibitors.

**Metabolism**
Vasopressin is rapidly metabolized by the aminopeptidases that are present in most peripheral tissues. Its half-life is approximately 10 min but can go up to 35 min in certain situations [51]. Its metabolic clearance greatly depends on renal and hepatic blood flows. In a physiological situation but without pregnancy, variations in metabolic clearance have little impact on the circulating concentration of vasopressin because of adaptation of neurosecretion [20].

**Plasma concentrations of vasopressin in shock**
In a healthy individual in a normal situation, the plasma concentration of vasopressin is less than 4 pg/ml. Blood hyperosmolality increases this concentration to up to 20 pg/ml, but maximum urinary density occurs at levels of 5–7 pg/ml.

A biphasic response to a vasopressin concentration is observed in septic shock [3,10,12,14,19]. In the early phase elevated concentrations (sometimes >500 pg/ml) are detected. Subsequently, vasopressin secretion that is paradoxically insufficient with respect to the level of hypovolaemia has been observed [3,10,12,14,19]. In two cohorts of 44 and 18 patients, Sharshar and coworkers [52] evaluated the prevalence of vasopressin deficiency in septic shock. They found that plasma vasopressin levels are increased at the initial phase of septic shock in almost all cases, which could contribute to the maintenance of arterial blood pressure, and that the levels decreased afterward. A relative vasopressin deficiency (defined as a normal plasma vasopressin level in the presence of a systolic blood pressure <100 mmHg or in the presence of hypernatraemia) was more likely to occur after 36 hours from the onset of shock in approximately one-third of late septic shock patients [52].

Plasma concentrations are close to physiological concentrations in the late phase of septic shock. The reasons for this phenomenon are not very clear. Recent studies have suggested that depletion of neurohypophyisis stocks of vasopressin occurs after intense and permanent stimulation of the baroreceptors [8,20,54]. Some authors have attributed...

---

**Influence of plasma osmolality and hypotension on vasopressin secretion.**
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this to a failure of the autonomous nervous system [55]. The auricular mechanoreceptors, which may be stimulated by cardiac volume variations caused by mechanical ventilation, could slow down vasopressin secretion in a tonic manner [49]. An inhibitory effect of noradrenaline and NO in patients with septic shock is probable [50]. Moreover, a study conducted in rats with endotoxic shock demonstrated a reduction in the sensitivity of vasopressin receptors, which was probably linked to the actions of proinflammatory cytokines [56]. In humans, Sharshar and coworkers [52] concluded that the relative vasopressin deficiency probably results from a decreased secretion rate rather than from increased clearance from plasma.

**Effects of vasopressin**

Vasopressin acts through several receptors, the properties of which are summarized in Table 1. These receptors are different from those of catecholamines. Vasopressin has a direct vasoconstrictor effect on systemic vascular smooth muscle via V1 receptors [8]. The same type of receptor was found on platelets, which are another storage location for vasopressin [57,58]. The V2 receptors in the renal collecting tubule are responsible for regulating osmolarity and blood volume [8]. At certain concentrations, vasopressin provokes vasodilatation in some vascular regions. Vasopressin also acts as a neurotransmitter.

**Vasoconstrictor effect**

The vasoconstrictor activity of vasopressin, which is mediated by the V1 receptors, is intense in vitro. There is also a probable indirect action on vascular smooth muscle cells by local inhibition of NO production [59]. However, under physiological conditions, vasopressin has only a minor effect on arterial pressure [26,60]. One experimental hypothesis is that the vasopressor effect of vasopressin is secondary to its capacity to inhibit smooth muscle cell K+-ATP channels [61].

This moderate effect observed in vivo can be explained by the indirect bradycardic effect resulting from vasopressin's action on baroreflexes [62]. This effect on baroreflexes is mediated by the cerebral V1 receptors [63]. It requires integrity of the cardiac baroreflexes because it disappears after administration of a ganglioplegic agent [63]. Vasopressin concentrations of approximately 50 pg/ml are required before any significant modification becomes apparent [64,65].

In shock the haemodynamic response to vasopressin becomes important in maintaining arterial pressure and tissue perfusion. Administration of V1 receptor antagonists in animals in haemorrhagic shock increases hypotension [5,66]. Vasopressin concentrations increase during the initial phase of shock [41]. Thus, contrary to what is observed under physiological conditions, when the autonomous nervous system is deficient and baroreflexes altered the vasopressor effect becomes predominant and prevents severe hypotension [67]. However, its trigger differs from that of catecholamines on several levels. Vasopressin provokes a reduction in cardiac output and its vasoconstrictor activity is heterogeneous on a topographical level [5,6,8,68]. Its administration provokes vasoconstriction in skin, skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, pancreas and thyroid [5]. This vasoconstriction is less apparent in the mesenteric, coronary and cerebral territories under physiological conditions [68–70]. Its impact on digestive perfusion is under debate. Two studies conducted in patients with septic shock [18,19] demonstrated absence of impact of vasopressin on splanchic circulation. In contrast, in a recent study conducted in animals in a state of endotoxaemic shock [71], a reduction in digestive perfusion with vasopressin administration was observed. Finally, contrary to catecholamines, whose effect can only be additive, vasopressin potentiates the contractile effect of other vasopressor agents [72].

**Vasodilator effect**

The vasodilatation of certain vascular regions with vasopressin is an further major difference from catecholamines.
This effect occurs at very low concentrations [2]. The literature is limited on this subject. Animal studies have been reported, but they were not conducted in the context of sepsis. Some authors reported vasodilatation at a cerebral level in response to vasopressin, with more marked sensitivity to vasopressin in the circle of Willis [2,73]. The mechanism of this vasodilatation can be explained by production of NO at the level of the endothelial cells [74,75]. The receptors involved have not been clearly identified.

It has been shown that vasopressin provokes vasodilatation of the pulmonary artery both under physiological and hypoxic conditions [77–79]. The V₁ receptors are involved and cause endothelial liberation of NO [80–82].

Renal effect
The renal effect of vasopressin is complex. In response to blood hyperosmolarity it reduces urine output through its action on the V₂ receptors, which induce reabsorption of water. Inversely, it has diuretic properties in case of septic shock [3,15,16,19] and congestive heart failure [83]. The mechanisms involved in the re-establishment of diuresis are poorly understood. The principal hypothetical mechanisms are a counter-regulation of the V₂ receptors [84] and selective vasodilatation of the afferent arteriole (under the action of NO) in contrast to vasoconstriction of the efferent arteriole [76,85].

Patel and coworkers [19] recently reported a randomized study in which there were significant improvements in diuresis and creatinine clearance in patients with septic shock under vasopressin treatment as compared with patients treated with noradrenaline. It has been shown in nonseptic rats that elevated concentrations of this hormone provoked a dose-dependent fall in renal blood output, glomerular filtration, and natriuresis [86,87]. All of the investigators who found a beneficial effect following treatment with vasopressin for septic shock used minimal doses, allowing for readjustment to achieve physiological concentrations [3,6,10,15–19].

Corticotrophic regulator effect
Vasopressin acts on the corticotrophic axis by potentiating the effect of the corticotrophin-releasing hormone on the hypophyseal production of adrenocorticotrophic hormone [88,89]. The ultimate effect is an elevation in cortisol levels, which is of interest in the case of septic shock because cortisol levels can be lowered.

Effect on platelet aggregation
At a supraphysiological dose, vasopressin acts as a platelet-aggregating agent [91,92]. The coagulation problems in septic shock make this effect undesirable. However, the doses used are unlikely to provoke a significant aggregation effect [8].

The position of vasopressin in treatment of septic shock
The use of vasopressin in septic shock is based on the concept of relatively deficient plasma levels of AVP, but how robust is this concept? As discussed above, plasma AVP levels are low in septic shock—a phenomenon that does not occur in cardiogenic shock and not to such an extent in haemorrhagic shock. Are these low levels of AVP inappropriate? Applying the upper limit of AVP that is maintained in normotensive and normo-osmolar healthy individuals (3.6 pg/ml), Sharshar and coworkers [52] found that one-third of septic shock patients had levels of AVP that were inappropriate for the degree of osmolality of the volume of blood pressure. Because the upper limit changes with the level of blood pressure or osmolality, the incidence of vasopressin insufficiency would have been dramatically changed had the upper limit been based on expected vasopressin values for a given level of osmolality or blood pressure, or both. One way to overcome this problem would perhaps be to determine which AVP levels correlate with outcome, particularly survival.

Current treatments with a favourable haemodynamic effect, in increasing order of therapeutic use, can be listed as follows: catecholamines (dopamine at a dose >5 µg/kg per min, noradrenaline, then adrenaline) and corticosteroids (hydrocortisone 200 mg/day). Catecholamines have a vasopressor action that provokes local ischaemic phenomena [93–96]. The state of prolonged hyperkinetic shock is characterized by deficit and hypersensitivity to vasopressin [1]. Clinical trials of vasopressin in human septic shock are summarized in Table 2.

The first clinical study of the use of vasopressin in septic shock was that reported by Landry and coworkers in 1977 [3]. The patients studied had abnormally low concentrations of vasopressin in the constitutive period of shock. Administration of exogenous vasopressin at a low dose (0.01 U/min) to two of the patients caused a significant increase in these concentrations, suggesting a secretion defect. For the first time, that team observed a hypersensitivity to vasopressin in five patients whose plasma concentrations reached 100 pg/ml (infusion at 0.04 U/min) [1]. Systolic arterial pressure and systemic vascular resistance were significantly increased (P<0.001) and cardiac output was slightly reduced (P<0.01). A reduction of 0.01 U/min in vasopressin infusion rate caused the plasma concentration to fall to 30 pg/ml. Discontinuation of vasopressin triggered a collapse in arterial pressure. The hypersensitivity to vasopressin noted in these cases of vaso nibitory shock is secondary to the dysautonomia that suppresses the bradycardic effect [97]. Although it has been demonstrated that suppression of the baroreflex increases considerably the vasoconstrictor power of vasopressin, this phenomenon is probably multifactorial [67,97]. A randomized placebo-controlled study was conducted in 10 patients with
hypokinetic septic shock [9]. The patients who received low-

dose vasopressin (0.04 U/min) had a significant increase in

systolic arterial pressure (from 98 to 125 mmHg; \( P < 0.05 \))

and catecholamine weaning was performed. No variation in

arterial pressure was noted in the placebo group, in which

two patients died, whereas there were no deaths in the

treated group. The cardiac index did not differ between the

two groups.

Tsuneyoshi and coworkers [15] treated 16 patients with

severe refractory catecholamine septic shock for 16 hours

with 0.04 U/min vasopressin. In 14 of these patients

haemodynamic status remained stable under vasopressin.

Mean arterial pressure (MAP) increased from 49 to 63 mmHg

and systemic vascular resistance from 1132 to

1482 dynes·s/cm² per m² (\( P < 0.05 \)) 2 hours after the

beginning of treatment. Cardiac index, pulmonary arterial

pressures, cardiac frequency, and central venous pressure

were not modified. ECG analysis of the ST segment showed

no variation. Finally, diuresis was significantly increased in 10

patients (\( P < 0.01 \)); the six others were in anuria from the

beginning of the study.

Another study analyzed data from 50 patients in severe septic

shock who had received a continuous vasopressin infusion for

48 hours [16]. MAP increased by 18% in the 4 hours after the

beginning of the infusion, an effect which was maintained at 24

and 48 hours (\( P = 0.06 \) and \( P = 0.08 \), respectively). The

coprescribed doses of catecholamines were reduced by 33%

at hour 4 (\( P = 0.01 \)) and by 50% at hour 48. It is of interest that
ive of the six patients who presented with cardiac arrest during

the study had received vasopressin infusions greater than

0.05 U/min. The authors concluded that vasopressin

administered during septic shock increased MAP and diuresis,

and accelerated weaning from catecholamines. They also

estimated that infusions greater than 0.04 U/min were

accompanied by deleterious effects, without any gain in efficacy.

The first double-blind, randomized study comparing the

effects of noradrenaline with those of vasopressin in severe

septic shock was reported in 2002 [19]. Patients were

receiving noradrenaline before the study (open-label phase).

They were randomized to receive, in a double-blind fashion,
either noradrenaline or vasopressin. The main objective of

that study was to keep MAP constant. In the vasopressin

group noradrenaline doses were significantly reduced at hour

4 (from 25 to 5 \( \mu \)g/min; \( P < 0.001 \)). Vasopressin doses

varied between 0.01 and 0.08 U/min. In the noradrenaline

group, doses of noradrenaline were not significantly modified.

MAP and cardiac index were not modified. Diuresis and

creatinine clearance did not vary in the noradrenaline group

but they were significantly increased in the vasopressin

group. This observation is of great importance because

diuresis increased in patients whose MAP was constant,

which supports an intrarenal effect of vasopressin. The

gastric carbon dioxide gradient and the ECG ST segment

were unchanged in both groups. The authors concluded that

administration of vasopressin made it possible to spare other

vasopressor agents and significantly improve renal function in

these patients with septic shock.

Another prospective, randomized controlled study was

conducted in 48 patients with advanced vasodilatory shock
[18]. Patients were treated with a combined infusion of AVP

(4 U/hour) and noradrenaline or noradrenaline alone. AVP

patients had significantly lower heart rate, noradrenaline

requirement, and incidence of new onset tachyarrhythmia.

MAP, cardiac index and stroke volume index were
significant higher in AVP patients. Total bilirubin concentrations increased significantly in patients receiving vasopressin [18]. A significant increase in total bilirubin has been reported in patients treated with vasopressin [17]. However, direct AVP-induced hepatic dysfunction has not previously been described. Possible mechanisms for the increase in bilirubin may be an AVP-mediated reduction in hepatic blood flow [98] or a direct impairment in hepatocellular function. The authors concluded that AVP plus noradrenaline was superior to noradrenaline alone in treating cardiocirculatory failure in vasodilatory shock [18].

Despite its favourable effects on global haemodynamics and renal function (Table 2), little is known about possible adverse effects of AVP on organ function; in particular, gastrointestinal hypoperfusion — a common complication of septic shock — may be aggravated by this drug. Conflicting conclusions have been reported in humans. In a case series of 11 catecholamine-dependent septic shock patients, van Haren and coworkers [99] showed that vasopressin (0.04 U/min) was responsible for a significant increase in gastric–arterial partial carbon dioxide tension (P\(CO_2\)) gap from 5 mmHg at baseline to 19 mmHg after 4 hours. There was a strong correlation between plasma levels of vasopressin and gastric–arterial P\(CO_2\) gap. The authors concluded that vasopressin may elicit gastrointestinal hypoperfusion. Because all patients received high-dose noradrenaline in addition to AVP, an interaction between these two vasoconstrictive agents could not be excluded. In another study conducted in patients with advanced vasodilatory shock [18], a totally different conclusion was drawn. In the study patients, gastrointestinal perfusion was assessed by gastric tonometry and was better preserved in AVP-treated patients (who also received noradrenaline) than in patients treated with noradrenaline only; after 24 hours, gastric–arterial P\(CO_2\) gap increased from 9 ± 15 to 17 ± 17 mmHg in the former group and from 12 ± 17 to 26 ± 21 mmHg in the latter group.

Similar discrepancies were reported in two studies reported in abstract form. In seven patients receiving 50 mU/kg per hour, ΔP\(CO_2\) increased from 8 ± 6 to 48 ± 56 mmHg [100]. In another study conducted in 12 patients treated with noradrenaline, no change in pH\(i\) was observed when supplemental AVP was given [101].

At present it is difficult to draw firm conclusion on the effects of AVP on the gastrointestinal circulation in humans. Used in humans to replace noradrenaline (with MAP kept constant), vasopressin had mixed effects on hepatosplanchnic haemodynamics. Hepatopancreatic blood flow was preserved, but a dramatic increase in gastric P\(CO_2\) gap suggested that gut blood flow could have been redistributed to the detriment of the mucosa [102]. Similar confusion also exists in the experimental literature. In endotoxaemic pigs, vasopressin decreased superior mesentric artery and portal vein blood flow, whereas noradrenaline did not [103]. Mesenteric oxygen consumption and delivery decreased and oxygen extraction increased. Vasopressin increased mucosal–arterial P\(CO_2\) gradient in the stomach, jejunum and colon, whereas noradrenaline did not [103]. In septic rats AVP infusion was accompanied by a marked decrease in gut mucosal blood flow, followed by a subsequent severe inflammatory response to the septic injury. The sepsis-associated increase in interleukin-6 levels was further increased by AVP infusion [104]. In an abstract reporting on the use of AVP in animals (not specified), a selective reduction in superior mesenteric artery flow was observed, associated with increased blood flow in the coeliac trunk and hepatic artery [71]. Future clinical trials with AVP should investigate the possibility of adverse effects on the splanchnic circulation.

No clinical study of sufficient size has demonstrated a positive effect of vasopressin on survival in patients with septic shock. This treatment enables restoration of sufficient arterial pressure in cases in which it is impossible to achieve this goal using catecholamines or corticosteroids. The effect on organs requires further evaluation in a larger group of patients. In this context the results of large, prospective, randomized controlled studies are required before the routine use of vasopressin be can considered for symptomatic treatment of septic shock.

In an ideal world several concerns should be addressed before carrying out such a (probably huge) trial. The important questions to be addressed are as follows. Which type of septic shock should be considered — early or late (refractory)? Should only patients with documented inappropriate vasopressin levels be included? Which is the best comparator for AVP (dopamine, noradrenaline, phenylephrine)? Should a group of patients receive terlipressin (see below)? What should be the duration of AVP perfusion? Should the infusion rate be titrated against MAP or AVP levels? In addition to these questions, the following should be evaluated: the effect on oxygen metabolism (oxygen consumption being measured independent from oxygen delivery) and the oxygen delivery–consumption relationship; gastric mucosal perfusion and splanchnic and hepatic blood flows; renal function; and survival, which should be the primary end-point.

The potential side effects of vasopressin should be kept in mind, which include abdominal pain, headache, acrocyanosis, diarrhoea, bradycardia, myocardial ischaemia and ischaemic skin lesions.

The position of terlipressin in treatment of septic shock

All of the previously cited studies used arginine vasopressin, or antidiuretic hormone, which is the vasopressin that is naturally present in humans. This form is not available in all countries, and some hospital pharmacies have lysine vasopressin, or terlipressin (Glypressine®; Ferring Company,
Berlin, Germany), which is the form of vasopressin that is present in pig. The latter treatment is less manageable than the former because of its half-life and duration of action. Terlipressin (tricyl-lysine vasopressin) is a synthetic analogue of vasopressin. As a compound it is rapidly metabolized by endopeptidases to form the vasoactive lysine vasopressin. The half-life of terlipressin is 6 hours whereas that of vasopressin is only 6 min. In clinical practice the drug is administered as an intermittent bolus infusion to stop acute bleeding from oesophageal and gastric varices.

The first clinical trial of the efficacy of terlipressin in septic shock was performed in a small case series of eight patients [105]. Terlipressin was administered as a single bolus of 1 mg (the dosage used in gastroenterological practice) in patients with septic shock refractory to catecholamine–hydrocortisone–methylene blue. A significant improvement in blood pressure was obtained in these patients during the first 5 hours. Cardiac output was reduced, which might have impaired oxygen delivery. Partial or total weaning from catecholamines was possible. No other side effect was observed.

Another study was conducted in 15 patients with catecholamine-dependent septic shock (noradrenaline ≥ 0.6 µg/kg per min). An intravenous bolus of 1 mg terlipressin was followed by an increase in MAP and a significant decrease in cardiac index. Oxygen delivery and consumption were significantly decreased [106]. Gastric mucosal perfusion was evaluated by laser Doppler flowmetry and was increased after terlipressin injection. The ratio between gastric mucosal perfusion and systematic oxygen delivery was also significantly improved after terlipressin injection. These findings could be related to a positive redistribution effect of cardiac output on hepatosplanchnic circulation, with an increase in blood flow to the mucosa.

The adverse effects of terlipressin on oxygen metabolism were also emphasized in an experimental study conducted in sheep [107]. Terlipressin was given by continuous infusion (10–40 mg/kg per hour) and was responsible for a significant decrease in cardiac index and oxygen delivery. Oxygen consumption decreased whereas oxygen extraction increased. These modifications may carry a risk for tissue hypoxia, especially in septic states in which oxygen demand is typically increased. Terlipressin was also used in children [108] in a short case series of four patients with catecholamine-resistant shock. MAP increased, allowing reduction or withdrawal of noradrenaline. Two children died.

**Conclusion**

At present the use of vasopressin (and terlipressin) may be considered in patients with refractory septic shock despite adequate fluid resuscitation and high-dose conventional vasopressors [109]. Pending the outcome of ongoing trials, it is not recommended as a replacement for norepinephrine or dopamine as a first-line agent. If used in adults, it [vasopressin] should be administered at an infusion rate of 0.01–0.04 units/min' [109].

In accordance with current knowledge, the mechanism proposed to explain the efficacy of vasopressin (and probably that of terlipressin) is twofold. First, circulating vasopressin concentrations are inadequate in patients with septic shock; in this context exogenous vasopressin may be used to supplement the circulating levels of this hormone. Second, vasoconstriction is induced by vasopressin through receptors that are different from those acted upon by catecholamines, but the latter are desensitized in septic shock.

According to recent data reported the literature, the recommended dose of AVP should not exceed 0.04 UI/min. This dosing is for individuals who weigh 50–70 kg and should be scaled up or down for those who are outside this weight range. Injection of 1 mg terlipressin makes it possible to increase arterial pressure for 5 hours. For patients who weigh more than 70 kg, 1.5–2 mg should be injected. Cardiac output is decreased with vasopressin and terlipressin. Vasopressin potentiates the vasopressor efficacy of catecholamines. However, it has the further advantage of eliciting less pronounced vasoconstriction in the coronary and cerebral vascular regions. It benefits renal function, although these data should be confirmed. The effects on other regional circulations remain to be determined in humans.

Vasopressin and terlipressin are thus last resort therapies in septic shock states that are refractory to fluid expansion and catecholamines. However, current data in humans remain modest, and properly powered, randomized controlled trials with survival as the primary end-point are required before these drugs can be recommended for more widespread use.
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