Targeting phospholipase D1 attenuates intestinal tumorigenesis by controlling β-catenin signaling in cancer-initiating cells
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Expression of the Wnt target gene phospholipase D1 (PLD1) is up-regulated in various carcinomas, including colorectal cancer (CRC). However, the mechanistic significance of its elevated expression in intestinal tumorigenesis remains unknown. In this study, we show that genetic and pharmacological targeting of PLD1 disrupts spontaneous and colitis–associated intestinal tumorigenesis in Apc−/− mice. Moreover, PLD1 inactivation suppressed the self-renewal capacity of colon cancer–initiating cells (CC-ICs) by decreasing expression of β-catenin via E2F1–induced microRNA (miR)–4496 up-regulation. Ultimately, low expression of PLD1 coupled with a low level of CC-IC markers was predictive of a good prognosis in CRC patients, suggesting in vivo relevance. Collectively, our data reveal that PLD1 has a crucial role in intestinal tumorigenesis via its modulation of the E2F1–miR–4496–β-catenin signaling pathway. Modulation of PLD1 expression and activity represents a promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of intestinal tumorigenesis.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the leading causes of cancer deaths. Most human CRC involves somatic mutations in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) tumor suppressor gene, which leads to activation of Wnt signaling via β-catenin stabilization. Accumulated β-catenin then translocates into the nucleus, where it binds and activates T cell factor (TCF) transcription factor (Fearon and Vogelstein, 1990). Aberrant trans-activation of a certain set of target genes by β-catenin and TCF4–LEF (lymphoid enhancer-factor) complexes is believed to be crucial to the initiation of intestinal carcinogenesis. However, it has become increasingly evident that the temporal- and cell-specific expression of known Wnt/β-catenin/TCF target genes does not explain the pervasiveness and complexity of Wnt/β-catenin biology in the context of CRC (Niehrs and Acebron, 2012), which raises the possibility that factors other than β-catenin/TCF contribute to Wnt-induced biological responses. Indeed, a variety of cancer–relevant molecules and signaling pathways interact with the Wnt pathway and may modulate it. Accordingly, identification of critical effectors regulating the multifaceted cross talk between signaling pathways will lead to nomination of new candidates for creation of targeted therapies useful to CRC management.

Some cancers are hierarchically organized and sustained by a relatively rare population of so-called cancer-initiating cells (C-ICs) or cancer stem cells (CSCs; Al-Hajj and Clarke, 2004). Although the capacity to initiate tumors upon serial transplantation is a hallmark of all
Figure 1. Loss of PLD1 attenuates intestinal tumorigenesis in \textit{Apc\textsuperscript{Min/+}} and AOM/DSS mice models. (A) IHC for PLD1 in normal epithelia and adenomas from \textit{Apc\textsuperscript{Min/+}} mice (left). q-RT-PCR (middle) and IB (right) analysis of PLD1 in normal SI of WT mice or adenomas of \textit{Apc\textsuperscript{Min/+}} mice. \textit{n} = 6 per group. Results are representative of at least three independent experiments and are shown as mean ± SEM. Student's \textit{t} test was used. (B and C) \textit{Apc\textsuperscript{Min/+}}...
C-ICs, little is known about the genes that control this process. The Wnt/β-catenin pathway is a major regulator of colon C-IC (C-IC) genesis, as well as the self-renewal of normal cells (Brabletz et al., 2009). We recently demonstrated that the genes encoding the lipid enzyme phospholipase D1/2 (PLD1/2) comprise a new transcriptional target of β-catenin/TCF4 (Kang et al., 2010, 2011a). Moreover, PLD1 promotes Wnt signaling by increasing the β-catenin–TCF4–interaction, revealing bidirectional cross talk between the PLD1 and Wnt/β-catenin pathways. PLD1 and its related isomorph PLD2 hydrolyze phosphatidylcholine to generate bioactive lipid phosphatidic acid (PA) and are elevated in various human cancers, including CRC (Selvy et al., 2011). Although PLD has been implicated in tumor growth and metastasis (Su et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2012; Henkels et al., 2013), the potential pathogenic role of PLD in CRC has yet to be explored. Specifically, the molecular mechanisms linking oncogenic Wnt signaling and the PLD1 pathway in CRC, as well as their pathophysiological significance, have not been addressed. In this study, we explore the role of PLD1 in spontaneous and colitis-associated intestinal tumorigenesis by generating gain-and loss-of-function mouse models with genetically manipulated PLD1 expression. We investigate the role and mechanism of action of PLD1 as a key modulator of the cancer-relevant signaling networks and C-IC self-renewal capacity that contributes to intestinal tumorigenesis.

RESULTS

PLD1 inactivation retards spontaneous and colitis-associated intestinal tumorigenesis

Because Wnt signaling is a well-known oncogenic pathway and PLD1 activates the Wnt signaling pathway, we assessed the function of PLD1 in intestinal tumorigenesis using the ApcMin/+ mouse model. ApcMin/+ mice contain a germline mutation at codon 850 of the Apc gene that results in activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and spontaneous development of numerous adenomatous polyps in the intestine (Kennell and Cadigan, 2009). Expression of PLD1 was dramatically increased in the intestinal adenomas of ApcMin/+ mice relative to normal intestinal tissues, in which the level of PLD1 was very low (Fig. 1 A and see Fig. 4 G). Therefore, we generated ApcMin/+ mice with WT, heterozygous, or homozygous PLD1 (Dall’Armi et al., 2010). The number of intestinal polyps in 16-wk-old ApcMin/+PLD1+/− or ApcMin/+PLD1+− mice was significantly lower than in ApcMin/+ control mice, and the polyps that were present at the proximal and distal small intestine (SI) were smaller than those present in age-matched ApcMin/+ mice (Fig. 1, B and C). In addition, the mortality of ApcMin/+PLD1−/− or ApcMin/+PLD1+− mice was significantly reduced relative to ApcMin/+ littermate controls (Fig. 1 D). Immunohistochemical staining (IHC) using antibodies to Ki67 revealed that tumors from ApcMin/+PLD1+− mice showed lower proportions of proliferating cells than those from control mice (Fig. 1 E). Ki67 in ApcMin/+ and ApcMin/+PLD1−/− mice was expressed at the bottom of the crypts in the normal intestinal area, and the number of Ki67+ cells in the normal crypts and tumors was quantified (Fig. 1 E). Thus, it seems that the animal would not succumb as a result of the intestinal loss. Moreover, tumors from ApcMin/+PLD1+− showed higher proportions of apoptotic cells than control mice, as analyzed by IHC using antibodies to active caspase-3 and TUNEL assay (Fig. 1 F). The levels of caspase-3– and TUNEL–positive cells were quantified (Fig. 1 F).

Furthermore, we investigated whether PLD1 inactivation inhibits colitis–associated cancer using an azoxymethane (AOM)/dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)–induced mouse colon cancer model (Neufert et al., 2007). For the AOM/DSS model, mice were given a single i.p. injection of the mutagen AOM, after which they received drinking water containing 2–3% DSS in several 5-d periods that were interspersed with periods in which they received normal water (Fig. 1 G). The number of intestinal polyps and the mortality in PLD1-deficient AOM/DSS mice was reduced significantly relative to control mice, suggesting that PLD1 loss also suppresses colitis–associated intestinal tumorigenesis (Fig. 1, H–J). Considering that PLD2 has a close expression pattern to PLD1, we wondered whether the PLD2 level is altered via compensation in the setting of PLD1 loss. PLD2 expression was increased in the intestinal adenomas of ApcMin/+ mice relative to normal intestinal tissues (Fig. 2 A). Moreover, PLD2 expression was somewhat decreased in ApcMin/+PLD1−/− compared with ApcMin/+ (Fig. 2 B).
Thus, to examine whether PLD2 loss plays a role in intestinal tumorigenesis, we generated ApcMin/+ mice with WT, heterozygous, or homozygous Pld2. PLD2 expression was decreased or ablated in ApcMin/+ Pld2+/+ or ApcMin/+ Pld2−/− mice, respectively (Fig. 2 C). Contrary to PLD1-deleted ApcMin/+ mice, ApcMin/+ mice with heterozygous or homozygous Pld2 showed a marginal difference in the number and size of intestinal polyps, suggesting that PLD2 deletion does not retard intestinal tumorigenesis (Fig. 2, D and E). Thus, PLD1-dependent signaling can contribute to the regulation of intestinal tumorigenesis. Moreover, it is possible that the biological effects may be through other means such as nonenzymatic protein–protein interactions. We further examined whether a PLD1 inhibitor (VU0155069) known to selectively inhibit PLD1 (Scott et al., 2009) affects intestinal tumorigenesis. Moreover, PLD1 inhibitor–treated ApcMin/+ mice (10 mg/kg, three times a week for 4 wk) also significantly suppressed the number and size of intestinal polyps and increased the mortality relative to vehicle-treated ApcMin/+ mice, which are results comparable to those of ApcMin/+ Pld1−/− mice (Fig. 3, A–C). The tumors from PLD1 inhibitor–treated ApcMin/+ mice showed lower proportions of proliferating cells as analyzed by IHC using antibodies to Ki67 (Fig. 3 D). As an internal control, Ki67 in both PLD1 inhibitor– and vehicle-treated ApcMin/+ mice was expressed at the bottoms of the crypts in the normal intestinal area (Fig. 3 D). The number of Ki67+ cells in both PLD1 inhibitor– and vehicle-treated ApcMin/+ mice increased higher proportions of apoptotic cells (Fig. 3 E). The levels of caspase-3– and TUNEL-positive cells were quantified (Fig. 3 E). These findings indicate that PLD1-dependent signaling contributes substantially to the severe mortality and tumor growth caused by inactivation of APC. Furthermore, PLD1 inhibitor–treated AOM/DSS mice also generated results comparable to those observed in response to PLD1 ablation (Fig. 3, F–H). These data indicate that PLD1 is closely associated with colitis-associated cancer. Collectively, our findings reveal that PLD1 is a crucial mediator of intestinal tumorigenesis that may represent a therapeutic target in intestinal tumors.

PLD1 inactivation down-regulates expression of β-catenin

Surprisingly, loss of PLD1 in ApcMin/+ mice greatly decreased the level of nuclear β-catenin in adenoma at 16 wk of age relative to control mice (Fig. 4 A). Membrane-associated β-catenin was detected in both in the nontransformed areas of the ApcMin/+ intestines and in the normal areas of ApcMin/+Pld1−/− mice (Fig. 4 B). Additionally, PLD1 inhibition in ApcMin/+ mice increased higher proportions of apoptotic cells (Fig. 4 E). The levels of caspase-3– and TUNEL-positive cells were quantified (Fig. 4 E). These findings indicate that PLD1-dependent signaling contributes substantially to the severe mortality and tumor growth caused by inactivation of APC. Furthermore, PLD1 inhibitor–treated AOM/DSS mice also generated results comparable to those observed in response to PLD1 ablation (Fig. 3, F–H). These data indicate that PLD1 is closely associated with colitis-associated cancer. Collectively, our findings reveal that PLD1 is a crucial mediator of intestinal tumorigenesis that may represent a therapeutic target in intestinal tumors.

**Figure 2.** PLD2 ablation does not reduce intestinal tumorigenesis in ApcMin/+ mice. (A) q-RT-PCR analysis of PLD2 in normal SI of WT mice or adenomas of ApcMin/+ mice. Results are representative of three independent experiments and are shown as mean ± SEM. n = 8 per group. Student's t test was used. (B) q-RT-PCR analysis of PLD2 in tumor tissues of ApcMin/+ [n = 7] and ApcMin/+ Pld1−/− [n = 12] mice, which were sacrificed at 16 wk. Results are representative of three independent experiments and are shown as mean ± SEM. Student's t test was used. (C) q-RT-PCR analysis of PLD2 in normal SI tissues of ApcMin/+ ApcMin/+ Pld2−/−, and ApcMin/+ Pld2−/− mice, which were sacrificed at 12 wk (mean ± SEM). n = 7 per group. Student's t test was used. (D and E) ApcMin/+ [n = 10], ApcMin/+ Pld2−/− [n = 13], and ApcMin/+ Pld2−/− [n = 11] mice were sacrificed at 16 wk. (D) Arrows indicate polyps. H&E staining of the entire SI Swiss roll (top). The number of visible polyps in the SI and colon intestine (bottom). n.s., not significant. (E) Size distribution of polyps in the SI of the indicated mice. Results are representative of at least two independent experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. a.u., arbitrary units.
Figure 3. PLD1 inhibition attenuates intestinal tumorigenesis in the ApcMin/+ and AOM/DSS mice model. (A and B) 12-wk-old male ApcMin/+ mice were treated i.p. with either vehicle or 10 mg/kg PLD1 inhibitor three times a week for 4 wk. ApcMin/+/vehicle (n = 11) and ApcMin/+/PLD1-Inh (n = 11) mice were sacrificed at 16 wk. (A) Arrows indicate polyps. H& E staining of representative whole SI Swiss roll (left). The number of visible polyps in the SI and colon intestine (right). Student’s t test was used. (B) Size distribution of polyps in the SI of the indicated mice. Results are representative of at least two independent experiments. ANOVA F-test was used. (C) The indicated mice were followed for long-term survival. Survival probability was analyzed using Kaplan-Meier, and differences were evaluated using the log-rank test. (D) IHC for Ki67 in tumor tissues (top) and normal mucosa (middle) of vehicle or PLD1 inhibitor–treated ApcMin/+ mice. Representative images were selected from at least six different fields. The expression of Ki67 in the tumor was quantified (bottom). Results are representative of three independent experiments and are shown as mean ± SEM. n = 6 per group; five tumors per mouse. IHC staining results were analyzed using the Chi-square test. (E) IHC for active caspase-3 (left) and TUNEL assay (middle) in tumor tissues of ApcMin/+ and ApcMin/+Pld1−/− mice. The levels of caspase-3– and TUNEL–positive cells were quantified (right). Results are representative of at least two independent experiments and are shown as mean ± SEM. n = 6 per group; five tumors per mouse. IHC staining results were analyzed using the Chi-square test. (F) AOM/DSS-induced mice were treated i.p. with either vehicle or 10 mg/kg PLD1 inhibitor three times a week for 6 wk and AOM/DSS–vehicle (n = 12), or AOM/DSS–PLD1-Inh (n = 12) mice were sacrificed at 110 d. (G) The number of visible polyps in the SI and colon intestine. Student’s t test was used. (H) The indicated mice were followed for long-term survival. Survival probability was analyzed using Kaplan-Meier, and differences were evaluated using the log-rank test. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Bars, 100 µm.
Figure 4. PLD1 inactivation down-regulates expression of β-catenin. (A–D) IHC for β-catenin in tumor tissues (A) and normal mucosa (B) of ApcMin/+ and ApcMin/+Pld1−/− mice and in tumor tissues (C) and normal mucosa (D) of ApcMin/+vehicle and ApcMin/+PLD1-Inh mice. The nuclear β-catenin in the tumor was quantified (A and C, right). n = 21 per group; five tumors per mouse. (E) IHC for PLD1 (top) and β-catenin (bottom) in normal colon mucosa of non-AOM/DSS, AOM/DSS-Pld1−/−, and AOM/DSS-Pld1−/−. n = 21 per group; five tumors per mouse.
comparable to those of PLD1 ablation (Fig. 4 C). As an internal control, membrane–associated β-catenin was detected in both in the nontransformed areas of the vehicle- or PLD1 inhibitor–treated \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) intestines (Fig. 4 D). Moreover, the levels of nuclear β-catenin and PLD1 were increased in the AOM/DSS-induced tumors but decreased in PLD1-depleted AOM/DSS mice (Fig. 4 E). These results support the idea that the antitumoral effect of PLD1 deletion in this system is mechanistically equivalent. The level of nuclear β-catenin was quantified (Fig. 4, A, C, and E). To date, it has been believed that β-catenin is mainly regulated at the protein level. However, ablation and inhibition of PLD1 in \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) and AOM/DSS mice led to a significant decrease in the mRNA levels of β-catenin and its target genes (Fig. 4, F and G). We further examined whether chronic suppression of PLD1 using two types of shPLD1 (short hairpin to PLD1)–transfected stable CRC cells affected expression of β-catenin. Chronic depletion of PLD1 dramatically decreased the mRNA level of β-catenin in HCT116 and DLD1 cells (Fig. 4 H). However, PLD1 inhibition did not affect the protein stability of β-catenin (Fig. 4 I). Collectively, PLD1 inactivation down-regulates β-catenin at the mRNA level. Interestingly, the mRNA level of β-catenin is correlated with a poorer prognosis of CRC patients on the basis of The Cancer Genome Atlas database (http://www.cbioportal.org/public-portal; Fig. 4 J), suggesting physiological relevance.

**Intestinal epithelial cell (IEC)–specific PLD1 overexpression accelerates tumorigenesis in \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) mice with increased proliferation and β-catenin expression**

To further study the role of PLD1 in intestinal tumorigenesis, we produced PLD1-Villin transgenic (Tg) mice that overexpress PLD1 specifically in IECs. The Tg mice, but not WT mice, exhibited high PLD1 expression in the duodenum, SI, colon, and cecum, but not in other organs (Fig. 5 A). PLD1 mRNA expression was increased in three Tg lines compared with WT mice (Fig. 5 B). Although the intestinal phenotype in Pld1Tg mice showed no significant lesion (Fig. 5 C), IEC–specific PLD1 overexpression greatly increased the level of β-catenin in SI at 12 wk of age relative to WT control (Fig. 5 D). The expression of PLD1 in sequential sections was mainly detected in the areas where β-catenin is supposed to be overexpressed (Fig. 5 D). β-catenin in SI from Pld1Tg mice was mainly localized in the membrane and cytoplasm, but not in the nucleus. To explore the impact of PLD1 overexpression within an environment that predisposes to neoplasia, we crossed the Pld1Tg mice with the \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) mice, generating \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice. \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice increased the number and incidence of tumor formation (Fig. 5, E and F). IEC–specific PLD1 overexpression in \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) mice also increased nuclear β-catenin levels and proportions of Ki67-positive cells with proliferation compared with \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) mice (Fig. 5, G and H). \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice showed increased levels of PLD1, β-catenin, and its target genes (cyclin D1 and c-Myc) compared with \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) or Pld1Tg mice (Fig. 5, I and J). The difference in β-catenin target gene expression between \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) and Pld1Tg mice might be a result of differential localization of β-catenin in SI from two strains of mice. Because PLD1 is very highly expressed in the intestine from Pld1Tg, \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \), and \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice compared with that of WT mice, it seems that PLD1 is not expressed in the intestinal tissues from WT mice, although PLD1 is expressed at a very low level in normal epithelial cells (Fig. 1 A and Fig. 5 I). \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice showed increased intestinal PA generation, and \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice showed decreased intestinal PA formation, which was recovered by overexpression of IEC–specific PLD1 in Pld1Tg-depleted mice (\( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice; Fig. 5 K). Moreover, PLD1 ablation in \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice induced apoptosis, which was protected in \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+}\text{-}\text{Pld1Tg} \) mice, as analyzed by caspase-3 activity assay (Fig. 5 L). Furthermore, treatment of Wnt3a or LiCl (GSK3β inhibitor) in PLD1 overexpressed cells significantly increased TCF trans-activation and cyclin D1 promoter activity in HCT116 cells relative to either PLD1 overexpression or Wnt (LiCl) treatment (Fig. 5 M). Collectively, these results suggest that IEC-specific PLD1 overexpression promotes tumor development in \( Ap^{\text{Min}+/+} \) mice, probably through increased IEC proliferation and up-regulation of β-catenin and its target genes.

**PLD1 is highly expressed in the CC-IC population and regulates in vitro sphere-forming capacity**

To identify potential effectors responsible for antitumorigenesis by targeting PLD1, we performed a microarray in DLD1 and HCT116 cells and then analyzed the transcriptional profile of genes that were differentially expressed by inhibition and depletion of PLD1 under serum-deprived conditions. The genes commonly up- or down-regulated in PLD1 inhibitor–treated or PLD1-depleted CRC cells were subsequently classified according to gene ontology–based functional categories.
Figure 5. IEC-specific PLD1 overexpression accelerates tumorigenesis in ApcMin/ mice. (A) PLD1 expression in various tissues from IEC-specific PLD1Tg mice. Results are representative of at least four independent experiments. (B) q-RT-PCR analysis in SI from WT and Pld1Tg mice. n = 5 per group. (C and D) WT (n = 5) and Pld1Tg (n = 6) mice were sacrificed at 12 weeks for histology analysis. H&E staining (C) and IHC for PLD1 and β-catenin (D). (E) The histology of representative tumors (left) and incidence of tumor formation (right; n = 7 per group). (B–D) A Student’s t test was used. (F) Size distribution of polyps in the SI of the indicated mice. n = 7 per group. ANOVA F-test was used. (G and H) IHC for β-catenin (G) and Ki67 (H). Representative images were selected from at least three different fields. The expressions of nuclear β-catenin (G) and Ki67 (H) were quantified in size distribution of polyps. n = 5 per group; 10 tumors per mouse.
(Fig. 6, A and B). PLD1 inactivation up-regulated expression of genes involved in apoptosis, lipid metabolism, oxidation/reduction, ER stress, and chromatin assembly, but a large portion of up-regulated genes was associated with apoptosis. The positive regulators of apoptosis (Bim, NOXA, p27KIP1, p73, p14ARF, CHOP, Sox2, GCLC, and DR5) increased significantly in response to inhibition and depletion of PLD1 (Tables S1 and S6). Genes down-regulated by targeting PLD1 were primarily associated with signaling, cell cycle, antiapoptosis, anti-differentiation, and cytoskeleton/cell adhesion (Fig. 6 B). We further analyzed the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis signaling pathway to determine whether targeting of PLD1 regulates the main pathways associated with colorectal tumorigenesis. The results revealed that targeting PLD1 significantly represses the β-catenin signaling pathway (P < 10^{-9}), but not other pathways (Fig. 6 C). Moreover, targeting of PLD1 down-regulated expression of β-catenin and its target genes (LEFI1, ID3, ENC1, c-Myc, cyclin D1, CDC25A, and MET) with C-IC markers (CD44, CD133, EpCAM, CD24, ID1, and CD166; Tables S2 and S7). A rare subpopulation of cells with special surface markers within CRC has the potential to initiate and sustain tumor growth. These C-ICS, associated with tumor relapse and progression, are considered to be responsible for the poor outcome of CRC. The subpopulation of stem or progenitor cells in heterogeneous CRC cells is correlated with their sphere-forming abilities under serum-free culture conditions (Moon et al., 2011). Thus, we examined whether PLD1 is involved in the self-renewal of C-ICS. Xenografted shcontrol or shPLD1-transfected stable CRC cells were cultured under adherent or sphere conditions. Expression of β-catenin, PLD1, and C-IC markers was significantly increased, especially under sphere culture conditions, when compared with adherent conditions (Fig. 6 D). Inhibition and deletion of PLD1 dramatically abolished expression of β-catenin and the C-IC markers under both adherent and sphere culture conditions (Fig. 6 D). To determine whether PLD1 affected the capacity of serial sphere formation, experiments were conducted in which clonally derived primary cells were replated at limited dilution into secondary sphere-forming assays. Targeting PLD1 significantly decreased the sphere-forming capacity of several CRC cells (Fig. 6, E and F). The CD133\(^{+}\)CD44\(^{+}\) population sorted from xenografted CRC cells by flow cytometry showed dramatically increased expression and activity of PLD1 when compared with the CD133\(^{-}\)CD44\(^{-}\) population (Fig. 6, G–I). Additionally, a high level of PLD1 expression was detected in the population of CD133\(^{+}\)CD44\(^{+}\) CRC cells (Fig. 6 J). ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter participates in tumor resistance by actively transporting drugs across the cell membrane, which protects cells from chemotherapeutic agents (Donnenberg and Donnenberg, 2005). Stem cells are frequently identified as the side population (SP) by flow cytometry based on ABC transporter–mediated efflux of Hoechst dye (Goodell et al., 1996). As most cells accumulate Hoechst 33342, SP cells can be isolated by dual-wavelength flow cytometry based on their ability to efflux this dye. We identified an SP among HCT116, SW480, and DLD1 cells that was generally small, and depletion of PLD1 greatly reduced the SP from 1.87, 3.64, or 1.62% to 0.22, 0.56, or 0.31%, respectively (Fig. 6 K). Moreover, ablation and inhibition of PLD1 in Apc\(^{Min/+}\) mice significantly decreased the expression of C-IC markers (Fig. 6, L and M). These phenomena were also observed in AOM/DSS mice (unpublished data). IEC-specific PLD1 overexpression in Apc\(^{Min/+}\) mice significantly increased expression of the C-IC markers relative to Apc\(^{Min/+}\) mice (Fig. 6 N). The chemoresistance of C-ICs has emerged as an important cellular property that enables tumors to regrow after initial cytoreductive therapy. Although treatment of 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin, clinically used for CRC therapy, induced apoptosis of DLD1 cells under sphere culture, the drugs did not affect the sphere-replicating capacity (Fig. 6, O and P). However, treatment of the drug in PLD1–depleted cells resulted in enhanced chemosensitivity and significantly decreased sphere-replicating capacity relative to either PLD1 depletion or drug treatment. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that PLD1 is highly up-regulated in the CC-IC population and involved in the self-renewal capacity of C-ICS.

PLD1 inhibition down-regulates β-catenin expression through E2F1-induced microRNA (miR)-4496 up-regulation Because PLD1 inactivation decreases β-catenin expression, we examined how PLD1 regulates expression of β-catenin; however, depletion and inhibition of PLD1 did not affect the promoter activity of β-catenin (unpublished data). miRs silence gene expression by binding to the 3’ untranslated regions (UTRs) of target miR, inhibiting their translation or marking them for degradation (Pauli et al., 2011). Thus, it is assumed that PLD1 inhibition down-regulates β-catenin via miR. We next attempted to identify relevant miR targeting a 3’ UTR of β-catenin using an miR array conducted in PLD1 inhibitor–treated DLD1 cells (Table S8). Based on the bioinformatic approach, we found that PLD1 inhibition enhanced the expression of miR-4496, which has a putative target site in the 3’ UTR of β-catenin that is conserved in various species (Fig. 7 A). The luciferase activity of the β-catenin 3’ UTR reporter was decreased remarkably in response to suppression of PLD1 in CRC cells (Fig. 7 A). The deletion of the putative binding sites of miR-4496 and anti–miR-4496 led to significant recovery of the luciferase activity of the β-catenin.
Figure 6. PLD1 is highly up-regulated in the CC-IC population and in vitro sphere-forming capacity. (A and B) Venn diagram for transcripts up- (A) and down-regulated (B) by PLD1 inhibition in DLD1 and HCT116 cells. Summary of the functional categories of genes significantly enriched upon treatment with PLD1 inhibitor. Gene ontology groups demonstrated enhanced statistical representation (P < 0.01). (C) Targeting PLD1 significantly represses the...
3′ UTR that had decreased in response to PLD1 suppression (Fig. 7, A and B), suggesting that β-catenin is a target of miR-4496. It has been reported that E2F1 down-regulates β-catenin in both GSK3β- and caspase-independent manners (Morris et al., 2008). However, it is unknown how E2F1 regulates β-catenin expression in transcriptional or posttranscriptional levels. Thus, we examined whether PLD1 is involved in the regulation of E2F1-mediated β-catenin and miR-4496 expression. Depletion of E2F1 significantly recovered the decrease in the activity of β-catenin 3′ UTR reporter in response to PLD1 depletion, which was suppressed by precursor (pre)miR-4496 and recovered by anti–miR-4496 (Fig. 7 B). Expression of β-catenin protein was also comparable to the results of β-catenin 3′ UTR (Fig. 7 B). Moreover, suppression of PLD1 enhanced expression of miR-4496, which was reduced by E2F1 depletion, whereas E2F1 increased expression of miR-4496 (Fig. 7 C). The expression of miR-4496 was inversely correlated with the expression of β-catenin and PLD1 in 55 CRC tissues (Fig. 7 D), indicating biological relevance. These results demonstrate that PLD1 inhibition down-regulates expression of β-catenin at the miR-4496–mediated posttranscriptional level and that E2F1 might negatively regulate β-catenin levels via up-regulation of miR-4496. Interestingly, we identified putative E2F1 binding sites in the promoter of miR-4496 (Fig. 7 E). Depletion and inhibition of PLD1 significantly increased the promoter activity of miR-4496 and binding of E2F1 to the promoters, which was reduced by E2F1 depletion, suggesting miR-4496 as a new target gene of E2F1 (Fig. 7, E and F). Collectively, these results suggest that PLD1 inhibition down-regulates β-catenin expression via E2F1–induced miR-4496 up-regulation.

PLD1 regulates tumor-initiating capacity through the E2F1–miR-4496–β-catenin signaling axis

β-Catenin depletion and premiR-4496 significantly decreased expression of the C-IC markers (Fig. 8 A), whereas anti–miR-4496 recovered expression of the β-catenin/TCF target genes and the C-IC markers that had decreased in response to PLD1 inhibition (Fig. 8 B). Furthermore, we identified a TCF binding site or sites in the promoter of the C-IC markers. Depletion of PLD1 under sphere conditions decreased the binding of β-catenin to the promoter of the C-IC markers (CD133, CD44, CD166, and EpCAM), which was recovered by E2F1 depletion and anti–miR-4496 (Fig. 8 C). Depletion of E2F1 recovered the decrease in the expression of β-catenin and the C-IC markers in response to PLD1 knockdown (Table S9). Moreover, depletion of PLD1 greatly reduced the CD44<sup>high</sup>–CD133<sup>high</sup> population (Fig. 8 D), sphere-forming capacity (Fig. 8 E), and proliferation (Fig. 8 F), which were recovered by E2F1 depletion and anti–miR-4496 (Fig. 8, D–F). To further determine whether the PLD1–E2F1–miR-4496 signaling pathway affects the capacity for serial tumor initiation of C-ICs at the clonal level, in vivo secondary and tertiary transplantation studies were performed in nonobese diabetic (NOD)/severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice (Fig. 7 G). To assess the frequency of tumor-initiating cells in mice, limiting dilution assays (LDAs) were performed by diluting sphere-cultured cells and injecting defined cell doses subcutaneously. Six different groups of DLD1 cells were prepared and injected subcutaneously in the mice: control, E2F1 deletion, anti–miR-4496 overexpression, PLD1 depletion, both E2F1 and PLD1 depletion, and anti–miR-4496 overexpression with PLD1 depletion. To assess for C-IC self-renewal capacity, cells were isolated from xenografts, and secondary LDAs were performed at serial dilution (10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, or 10<sup>5</sup>); a minimum of five sites were tested. For all experiments, the number of injection sites containing tumors was counted and analysis was performed. The LDAs were calculated using the limiting dilution function of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute Extreme Limiting Dilution Analysis (http://bioinfo.wehi.edu.au/software/elda/index.html). The PLD1-depleted group showed a 200-fold decrease in tumor reinitiation capacity, whereas the capacity was recovered with depletion of E2F1 or miR-4496 (Fig. 8 G). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that PLD1 regulates the in vivo self-renewal capacity of C-ICs through the E2F1–miR-4496–β-catenin axis.

Low PLD1 levels coupled with low levels of C-IC markers is associated with increased survival of CRC patients

We next attempted to demonstrate the physiological relevance of the relationship of the PLD1 level with the expression of β-catenin and C-IC markers. The mRNA expression in 55 CRC tissues exhibited elevated expression of CD133, CD44, EpCAM, and miR-4496 (Fig. 9 A) when compared with that of normal tissues. Moreover, expression of PLD1 showed a positive correlation with expression of the C-IC markers in CRC tissues as analyzed by quantitative (q)-RT-PCR (Fig. 9 B). IHC analysis using CRC tissue shows the pathological correlation comparable to the results of q-RT-PCR (Fig. 9 C).
Figure 7. PLD1 inhibition down-regulates β-catenin expression through E2F1-induced miR-4496 up-regulation. (A) Schematic representation of luciferase constructs of β-catenin 3′ UTR. Luciferase vectors (psiCHECK) were inserted with full-length β-catenin 3′ UTR (930 bp). ΔmiR-4496 (914 bp) were generated by deletions of highly conserved and predicted binding sites for the seed sequences of the miR. Effect of depletion or inhibition of PLD1 on the luciferase activity of β-catenin 3′ UTR reporters. A Student’s t test was used. The pink and peach boxes represent conserved seed pairing. (B) Effect
analysis of the prognostic value of combining PLD1 and C-IC marker expression in CRC was conducted. A low level of PLD1 with low levels of C-IC markers (PLD1+/CD133−, PLD1+/CD44+, and PLD1−/EpCAM−) was significantly correlated with higher overall survival of CRC patients, and vice versa (Fig. 9D). These data support an intimate association of PLD1 expression with levels of the C-IC markers in survival of CRC patients and suggest a potential value of PLD1 and C-IC markers as prognostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, the role of PLD in intestinal tumorigenesis has not previously been investigated. Here, we show that genetic and pharmacological inhibition of PLD1 disrupts spontaneous and colitis-associated intestinal tumorigenesis in ApcMin/+ and AOM/DSS mice models. Haploinsufficiency with deletion of only a single allele of PLD1 also showed decreased tumorigenesis in ApcMin/+ mice, despite the presence of an enzyme corresponding to a single allele of PLD1. Haploinsufficiency of PLD1 might reduce tumorigenesis because of the relatively decreased enzymatic activity of PLD1. IEC-specific PLD1 overexpression in ApcMin/+ mice accelerated tumorigenesis with increased IEC proliferation and nuclear β-catenin levels compared with ApcMin/+ mice. We recently demonstrated a direct connection between PLD and Wnt signaling pathways (Kang et al., 2010). Genetic and pharmacological targeting of PLD1 in ApcMin/+ and AOM/DSS mice decreased the expression of β-catenin and its target genes but increased the expression of proapoptotic E2F1 target genes, which ultimately attenuated intestinal tumorigenesis (unpublished data). We have identified miR-4496 as a new target of E2F1 that has a crucial node responsible for mediation of the cross talk between E2F1 and Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Our results differ from a previous study in which E2F1 induces the posttranslational level via miR-4496; accordingly, our results demonstrate a new regulatory mechanism for cross talk between Wnt/β-catenin and E2F1 signaling pathways regulated by PLD1. Therapeutic approaches that are not capable of eradicating the C-IC subset are unlikely to be successful because although they might be able to destroy the majority of tumor cells and induce regression of tumor lesions, they fail to prevent disease relapse and metastatic dissemination (Dalerba et al., 2007). Recently, it has been reported that ID1 and ID3 promote the self-renewal capacity of CC-ICs with chemoresistance (O’Brien et al., 2012). Interestingly, the results from the mRNA microarray showed reduced expression of ID1 and ID3 genes in response to PLD1 inhibition. Here, we demonstrate that PLD1 governs self-renewal of CC-ICs through the E2F1–miR-4496–β-catenin axis. PLD1 inactivation decreases the expression of β-catenin–TCF activity, which E2F1 represses β-catenin–TCF activity. We identified miR-4496 as a new miR targeting β-catenin. E2F1 can function as both a tumor suppressor or as an oncogene under different conditions (Trimarchi and Lee, 2002). Unlike other human cancers, in most CRCs, whereas Wnt/β-catenin signaling is activated, E2F1 activity is kept at a low level because there are no mutations in retinoblastoma 1 (RB1; Nevins, 2001). E2F1 in CRC may function as a tumor suppressor (Bramis et al., 2004). It has long been known that RB1 in colon carcinoma is not mutated, but overexpressed, and even amplified (Lai et al., 2006; Firestein et al., 2008). Thus, in the context of CRC, RB is more likely to act as an oncoprotein than a tumor suppressor. In this regard, strategies focused on the control of E2F1 proteins hold particular promise because activation of E2F activity is the ultimate consequence of deregulation of the RB pathway. E2F1 activity is negatively regulated by PLD1; accordingly, retaining RB1 and amplifying PLD1 may enable CRC cells to select for mechanisms that limit the activity of E2F1 and tip the balance toward β-catenin–driven proliferation conditions that suppress E2F1 and enhance the activity of β-catenin. Interestingly, we found that PLD1 inactivation reduced the expression of RB1 as analyzed by a microarray (Tables S2 and S7); thus, down-regulation of RB1 by targeting PLD1 may release active E2F1 and induce E2F1 trans-activation. This is the first evidence that PLD1 regulates the expression of β-catenin at the posttranscriptional level via miR-4496; accordingly, our results demonstrate a new regulatory mechanism for cross talk between Wnt/β-catenin and E2F1 signaling pathways regulated by PLD1. Therapeutic approaches that are not capable of eradicating the C-IC subset are unlikely to be successful because although they might be able to destroy the majority of tumor cells and induce regression of tumor lesions, they fail to prevent disease relapse and metastatic dissemination (Dalerba et al., 2007). Recently, it has been reported that ID1 and ID3 promote the self-renewal capacity of CC-ICs with chemoresistance (O’Brien et al., 2012). Interestingly, the results from the mRNA microarray showed reduced expression of ID1 and ID3 genes in response to PLD1 inhibition. Here, we demonstrate that PLD1 governs self-renewal of CC-ICs through the E2F1–miR-4496–β-catenin axis. PLD1 inactivation decreases the expression of C-IC markers, self-renewal capacity of CC-ICs for serial tumor initiation, and chemoresistance. IEC-specific PLD1 overexpression in the ApcMin/+ mice greatly increased the expression of β-catenin and C-IC markers compared with ApcMin/+ mice. The expression of PLD1 coupled with the levels of C-IC markers is associated with survival in patients
Figure 8. Targeting PLD1 attenuates tumor-initiating capacity through the E2F1–miR-4496–β-catenin axis. (A) Effect of β-catenin depletion or premiR-4496 on the expression of the indicated genes. (B) Effect of anti-miR-4496 on the expression of the indicated proteins (left). The levels of anti-miR are shown as a control (right). (C) Effect of E2F1 depletion or miR–4496 on the binding of β-catenin/TCF to the promoter of C-IC marker genes. (D) Representative flow cytometric profiles of CD44 and CD133 expression under the indicated conditions (left). IB analysis of PLD1, E2F1, and β-catenin was shown as a control (right). (E) Frequency of secondary SFUs by transduction with anti-miR-4496 or shE2F1 in PLD1-depleted CRC cells, as determined by in vitro LDAs. (F) BrdU incorporation analysis in the indicated cells. (G) Photographs of excised tumors (left) and in vivo serial transplantation assays (right) from NOD/SCID mice (n = 5 per group) injected with DLD1 cells derived from the indicated xenografts. Results are representative of at least three independent experiments and are shown as mean ± SEM. A Student’s t test was used. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. n.s., not significant; MW, molecular weight; a.u., arbitrary units. Bars: (E) 50 µm; (G) 1 cm.
Figure 9. Expression of PLD1 and C-IC markers is associated with survival of CRC patients. (A) Relative expression of the indicated genes and miR-4496 in 55 pairs of tumor and adjacent normal tissues from CRC patients was measured by q-RT-PCR. Data were analyzed using the paired t test. Results are representative of at least two independent experiments and are shown as mean ± SEM. (B) In 55 tumor tissues from CRC patients, there was a positive correlation of PLD1 with β-catenin, CD133, CD44, and EpCAM mRNA expression. Spearman's correlation coefficient (r) is provided with its statistical significance. The red lines represent the best-fit curves. (C) IHC in tumor and adjacent normal tissues from CRC patients. (D) Correlation with survival when patient specimens are segregated according to immunoreactivity of PLD1 and CC-IC surface markers (CD133, CD44, or EpCAM). Kaplan-Meier survival curves of CRC patients (n = 153) are presented. Results are representative of at least two independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. a.u., arbitrary units. Bars, 100 µm.
with CRC and can thus be considered predictors of patient prognosis. C-ICs interplay closely with the tumor microenvironment, and disrupting this niche microenvironment impairs CSC self-renewal and thereby significantly inhibits the growth of tumors (Boral and Nie, 2012). Metastasis to the lung after introduction of tumor cells intravenously was reduced in Pld1−/− mice, and this finding reflects aberrant interaction of the tumor cells with the Pld1−/− platelets (Chen et al., 2012). Moreover, impairment of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 signaling in the absence of PLD1, which leads to reduced vascular permeability, could potentially normalize tumor blood vessels and improve chemotherapeutic delivery to preestablished and vascularized tumors (Chen et al., 2012). PLD1 may trigger a signal from the platelets to the C-ICs to promote tumor progression, epithelial-mesenchymal transformation/metastasis, and angiogenesis. Collectively, our findings demonstrate the central roles that PLD1 plays in regulating the cross talk among E2F1–miR–4496 and Wnt/β-catenin pathways and the tumor-initiating program of CC-ICs. These results provide a missing link between the networks mediating cancer and may fill the gap in current knowledge regarding how the complexity of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway is delicately regulated in cancer. Considering the highly complex interactions among cancer-relevant pathways, PLD1 might be an attractive target for the development of promising therapeutic drugs for treatment of CRC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. Gender- and age-matched Pld1−/− (Dall’Armi et al., 2010) and Pld2−/− (Oliveira et al., 2010) mice have been backcrossed seven times onto the C57BL/6j backgrounds. Apclox−/− mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Apclox−/−Pld1−/− and Apclox−/−Pld2−/− mice were generated by interbreeding mice carrying Pld1−/−, Pld2−/−, and Apclox−/−. For all in vivo and ex vivo experiments, Apclox−/−Pld1−/−, Apclox−/−Pld2−/−, Apclox−/−Pld2−/−, and Apclox−/−Pld2−/− mice and age-matched Apclox−/− or WT littermate controls were used in this study. Apclox−/− or AOM/DSS-induced mice were i.p. injected with either vehicle or 10 mg/kg PLD1 inhibitor (VU0155069; Cayman Chemical) three times a week for 4 wk. For the AOM/DSS model, mice were given a single i.p. injection of the mutagen AOM at 12.5 mg/kg, after which they received drinking water containing 2–3% DSS in several 5-d periods that were interspersed with periods in which they received normal water (Fig. 1 G and Fig. 3 F). The cDNA of the human PLD1 gene was cloned into a pBS-Villin vector that contains the mouse villin promoter (Pinto et al., 2006), and the resulting plasmids were transfected into a pBS-Villin vector that contains the mouse villin promoter (Pinto et al., 2006), and the resulting plasmids were transfected into human colon cancer cell lines. The resulting plasmids were transfected into human colon cancer cell lines. Finally, denatured labeled probes were pipetted onto an assembled human miR microarray (Human miRNA Microarray Release 19.0, 8 × 60 K; Agilent Technologies) and hybridized for 20 h at 55°C with 20 rpm rotating in a Biobank of Korea, which is supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare. All samples derived from the National Biobank of Korea were obtained with informed consent under institutional review board-approved protocols. For IHC analysis, tissue microarray slides were purchased from SuperBioChips and US-Biomax. Each array includes >174 cases of normal, reactive, premalignant, and malignant tissues of the colon (various grades and stages). Overall survival was calculated from the date of treatment start to the date of death or date of sacrifice. Survival curves were constructed using Kaplan-Meier methodology. Log-rank tests were used to assess differences in tumor characteristics.

**Histology and immunohistochemistry.** Anti-Ki67 (Abcam), anti-β-catenin (BD), anti-active caspase-3 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-CD133 (MyBioSource), anti-CD44 (Proteintech), anti-cyclin D1, and anti-EpCAM antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) were used as the primary antibodies. IHC analyses of dianemobenzidine stained were performed using an HRP kit (UltraTek; Scytek). The diaminobenzidine-stained specimens were visualized using a general optical microscope with a camera (AxioCam ICC5; Carl Zeiss). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of the entire intestinal Swiss roll was conducted using a MIRAX scan (Carl Zeiss). Images were processed with equivalent parameters using ZEN Light Edition software (Carl Zeiss).

**In vitro LDAs.** To determine the number of sphere-forming units (SFUs), cells were cultured in serum-free medium with epidermal growth factor and basic fibroblast growth factor. We plated a defined number of cells per well in a 96-well plate. The highest dose was 100,000, and the lowest dose was 10 cells per well, all plated in a fixed volume of 200 µl per well. There were a minimum of 6 wells per cell concentration. Every sample and each condition was tested by plating cells in this dilution series, down to one cell per well. At the end of the experiment, we scored a binary output: the wells were read as positive or negative. A positive well was defined as having at least one sphere, and the negative wells had no spheres, based on visual inspection. Using the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute Extreme Limiting Dilution Analysis (http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/software/elda/index.html), we were able to calculate a sphere-initiating cell frequency. The methods were such that we never tried to count multiple spheres in one well because this method is inaccurate based on the fact that spheres aggregate, making it impossible to establish the sphere as clonal in bulk culture (Pastrana et al., 2011). Sphere-replating frequency was obtained from repeating the in vitro LDA with 10 individual spheres. The mean number of SFUs counted upon replating of 10 LDAs derived from single spheres constituted the in vitro self-renewal assay.

**In vivo LDAs.** To assess the frequency of tumor-initiating cells in mice, LDAs were performed by diluting cells and injecting defined cell doses subcutaneously. To assess for C-IC self-renewal capacity, cells were isolated from xenografts, and secondary LDAs were performed at serial dilution (102, 103, 104, or 105); a minimum of five sites were tested. For all experiments, the number of injection sites containing tumors was counted, and analysis was performed. The LDAs were calculated using the limiting dilution function of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute Extreme Limiting Dilution Analysis.

**miR microarray data analysis.** For control and test RNAs, synthesis of target miRNAs and hybridization were performed using an miR Labeling Reagent and Hybridization kit (Agilent Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, each 100 ng of total RNA was dephosphorylated with ~15 U of calf intestine alkaline phosphatase, followed by RNA denaturation with ~40% DMSO and 10-min incubation at 100°C. Dephosphorylated RNA was ligated with pCP-Cy3 mononucleotide and purified with MicroBioSpin 6 columns (Bio–Rad Laboratories). After purification, labeled samples were resuspended with Gene Expression Blocking Agent (Agilent Technologies) and Hi-RPM Hybridization Buffer (Agilent Technologies) followed by boiling for 5 min at 100°C and chilling on ice for 5 min. Finally, denatured labeled probes were pipetted onto an assembled human miR microarray (Human miRNA Microarray Release 19.0, 8 × 60 K; Agilent Technologies) and hybridized for 20 h at 55°C with 20 rpm rotating in a...
hybridization oven (Agilent Technologies). The hybridized microarrays were washed according to the manufacturer’s washing protocol. All data normalization and selection of fold-changed probes were performed using GeneSpringGX 7.3 (Agilent Technologies). We performed data transformation (set measurements ±0.01) and per chip (normalized to the 75th percentile) normalization. Probes that changed >2-fold, 1.25-fold, and 2-fold of ratio in PLD1 inhibitor/vehicle, shPLD1/shCTRL, and shPLD1-shE2F1/shPLD1 groups, respectively, were selected and considered as differentially expressed probes.

Microarrays are available in the GEO database under accession no. GSE55724.

mRNA microarray analysis. Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen) and purified using RNeasy columns (QiAGEN) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Microarray analysis was performed by Macrogen. In brief, biotinylated complementary RNAs were amplified and purified using an RNA amplification kit (Illumina; Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Labeled cRNA samples were hybridized to each human HT12 expression version 4 bead array for 16–18 h at 58°C, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina). Detection of array signal was performed using streptavidin-Cy3 (FluoroLink; GE Healthcare). Arrays were scanned with a bead array reader confocal scanner (Illumina), and the scanned images were analyzed using BeadStudio version 3.1.3 software (Gene Expression Module version 3.3.8; Illumina). Probe signal value was transformed by logarithm and normalized by quintile method. Gene enrichment and functional annotation analysis for a significant probe list was performed using DAVID (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov) and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (http://www.broadinstitute.org/cell Lines/collections.jsp). Microarrays are available in the GEO database under accession no. GSE55771.

Apcmin/+; Pld1Tg transgenic mice were then added to the DMEM/Lipofectamine 2000 mixture. The mixture was harvested 48 and 72 h after transfection, filtered, and added to the recipient microcultures. After 20 min incubation, the cells were centrifuged at 1,500 g for 10 min at 4°C. The lower chloroform phase was washed twice with 2 ml pre-equilibrated upper phase and centrifuged at 1,500 g for 10 min at 4°C. The lower chloroform phase was transferred to a 12 × 75-mm glass tube, dried under N2, and resuspended in 500 μl of 1% Triton X-100. To obtain the PA standard curve, PA from egg yolk lecithin was used. The extracted lipids were used to determine PA content using the total PA assay kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Promoter reporter constructs. For measurement of TCF activity, TOPflash (eight WT TCF binding sites) and FOPflash (eight mutant-type TCF binding sites) luciferase plasmids were purchased from Addgene (plasmids #12457 and #12457). For construction of the cyclin D1 (+1,748 to +1,133), β-catenin (–2,645 to +93), CD133 (–612 to +52), CD44 (–1,171 to +17), CD166 (–2,392 to –60), EpCAM (–60 to +52), and hsa-miR-4496 (–743 to +9), promoter reporter plasmids (relative to transcription start site or first nucleotide of human miRNA stem loop) were amplified from human normal genomic DNA (Invitrogen) and cloned downstream of the Firefly luciferase gene in pGL4.14b (Promega). The listed primer sets were used in promoter cloning of the indicated genes (Table S3).

3’ UTR reporter constructs and site-directed mutagenesis. For construction of the human β-catenin (+1 to +930), 3’ UTR reporter plasmids (relative to translation last nucleotide) were amplified from cDNA synthesized from the human normal colon RNA (Invitrogen) and cloned into the Xhol or NotI sites downstream of the Renilla luciferase gene in psiCHECK-2 (Promega). The indicated miRNA seed sequence binding sites in the β-catenin 3’ UTR were deleted completely (miR-4496) using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit II (Agilent Technologies). The primers for 3’ UTR cloning of the indicated genes are β-catenin forward, 5’-AACTCTGGCA-ATACGCCTGGCGTTGTTGATAC-3’ and reverse, 5’-CGCGCGCGCAATTGTTTTGTGATCAGAAATGATAATAGC-3’.

Plasmids. The shRNA lentiviral constructs against PLD1 (two types) or E2F1 in the pLKO vector were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. premiR-4496 lentiviral constructs in the pLenti-III-miR-GFP were purchased from ABE. Anti-miR-4496 lentiviral constructs in the pEZK-mcherry were purchased from GeneCopeia.

Viral production and infection. The shCTRL, shPLD1-a, shPLD1-b, FLAG-PLD1, shE2F1, HA-E2F1, and all pre- and anti-miR lentivirus were produced by using lentivirus packaging mix (Invitrogen). 293FT cells were seeded at 100 cells per 35-mm dish in DMEM/10% FBS. After 18 h, cells were transfected as follows: 10 μl Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) was added to 100 μl DMEM and incubated for 20 min. 1 μg of viral vector along with 0.9 μg of the appropriate gag/pol expression vector and 0.1 μg VSVG expression vector were then added to the DMEM/Lipofectamine 2000 mixture. The mixture was incubated for 30 min and then added to 293FT cells overnight. The next day, fresh media were added to the transfected 293FT cells. Viral supernatant was harvested 48 and 72 h after transfection, filtered, and added to the recipient...
cell lines with 6 µg/ml Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) for 12-h infection. Human PLD1 were cloned into the pAdeno-IREs-GFP vector. PLD1 adenovirus was produced by using the Fast-Trap adenovirus purification kit (EMD Millipore). After 24 h of incubation in the presence of viral particles, the medium was changed, and cells were cultured for an additional 24 h.

Reagents. Recombinant Wnt3a (R&D Systems), LiCl (Sigma-Aldrich), oxalaplatin (Oxal; Sigma-Aldrich), 5-fluorouracil (Sigma-Aldrich), and cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich) were commercially obtained. PLD1 inhibitor was purchased from Cayman Chemical. Dual-luciferase assay kits were purchased from Promega.

Transient transfection and reporter gene assay. Following the manufacturer’s instructions, luciferase reporter plasmids, expression plasmids, or shRNAs were transiently transfected into cells with Lipofectamine 2000, Lipofectamine Plus (Invitrogen), Fugene 6 (Roche), or Polyethylenimine (Sigma-Aldrich) reagents. Transfection and luciferase assays were performed as previously described (Kang et al., 2008, 2011b). Relative luciferase activity was obtained by normalization of firefly and Renilla luciferase activity.

Cell lines. HEK293 and human CRC cells (HCT116, DLD1, SW480, and LS174T) were obtained from ATCC. Adherent cells were grown in DMEM/F12, McCoy’s, or RPMI 1640 (Gibco) containing 1–10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C. Xenografted tumors of HCT116, SW480, DLD1, and LS174T cell lines were minced and enzymatically dissociated with 1 mg/ml collagenase D (Roche) and 1 mg/ml DNase I (Roche) for 1 h at 37°C and then sequentially filtered through 100- and 70-µm cell strainers (BD). After the lysis of RBCs with Red Blood Cell Lysis Solution (Miltenyi Biotec), the filtered cells were grown in B27 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 20 µg/ml of basic fibroblast growth factor and 20 µg/ml epidermal growth factor (Sigma-Aldrich) and penicillin/streptomycin on ultra-low attachment culture dishes (Corning) as a sphere culture condition. For serial passage, spheres were dissociated into single cells with Accutase (Invitrogen) once for 4–7 d and incubated under the previously described culture conditions.

Establishment of stable cell lines. The PLD1 shRNA vectors were generated by ligation of vector pCMV-RFP. To establish shRFP, shPLD1-a, and shPLD1-b stable cell lines, xenografted HCT116, SW480, and DLD1 cell lines were transfected using control or two types of shRNA for PLD1 for 72 h under sphere culture conditions, and then cells were selected with 500 µg/ml neomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 14 d. To avoid clonal variation, the stable cell lines were established from the mixed population of multiple neomycin-resistant clones. RFP-positive cells were sorted by using flow cytometry (FACS). shPLD1-a plus shE2F1, anti-EV, or anti-miR-4496 stable cell lines were established by transduction of their lentiviruses with 6 µg/ml Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) and 500 µg/ml neomycin/4 µg/ml puromycin double selection.

Real-time q-PCR. Total RNA was extracted by using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). 3 µg RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the Reverse Transcription Master kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time q-PCR reactions were performed in triplicate, and the final results were found by using a relative standard curve. The primer sets used in q-RT-PCR for measuring gene expression relative to β-actin or 18S are listed in Table S4.

Quantification of mature miR. A TaqMan MicroRNA RT kit (Applied Biosystems) was used in combination with the miR-4496-specific reverse transcription primers followed by PCR using the indicated TaqMan-specific primers and TaqMan 2X Universal PCR Master Mix, No AmpErase UNG (Applied Biosystems). RNU6B was used as a normalization control.

Chromatin IP (ChIP) assay. ChIP assay was performed as previously described (Kang et al., 2013). The cells were used for cross-linking with 2.5% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min. Cells were scraped and collected by centrifugation. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Heps, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% deoxycholate, and 1.0 mM protease inhibitor cocktail) and sonicated for 20 s three times. IP reaction was performed at 4°C overnight. Immunocomplexes were extracted three times with 1% SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO3, and cross-linking was reversed by incubation at 65°C overnight. The saved chromatin input fraction was also processed in the same manner. Samples were then digested with DNase and RNase-free proteinase K at 30°C for 4 h, followed by extraction with phenol-chloroform-isooamyl alcohol. About 1/20 of the immunoprecipitated DNA was used in q-RT-PCR. For the sequences of the promoter-specific primers used in q-RT-PCR, see Table S5.

Mice genotype. The following primer sets were used in PCR. Ap(x) forward, 5'-GCCATCCCTTCAACGTT-3', Ap(x) reverse, 5'-CTCACAAGATACGTT-3', and Shp1 (WT/Mut) reverse, 5'-GGAGATCAAGGGTCTGTC-3', and Pld1 (WT/Min) forward, 5'-GGCAGGCGCCTCCACATC-3', Pld1 (WT/Mut) forward, 5'-GGGAATCTGTAGCTTAAGACTG-3', and Pld2 (WT/MUT) reverse, 5'-GCTGTTGTTTTTGGAGGTCT-3'.

Statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using the paired t-test, and correlation coefficients were calculated using Spearman’s r. CRC patient survival probability, defined as the time from colon resection to death or date of last follow-up, was analyzed using Kaplan-Meier, and differences were evaluated using the log-rank test. IHC results were analyzed using a Chi-square test. Statistical analysis was performed using Origin 8.0 and Prism 5.0 (GraphPad).

Accession numbers. The GEO accession nos. for the mRNA and miR microarray data reported in this paper are GSE55724 and GSE55771, respectively.

Online supplemental material. Table S1 shows gene counts for all genes commonly up-regulated by shPLD1 in CRC cells. Table S2 shows gene counts for all genes commonly down-regulated by shPLD1 in CRC cells. Tables S3, S4, and S5 provide primer sets for promoter cloning, gene expression, and ChIP assay used in this study, respectively. Table S6 shows gene counts for all genes commonly up-regulated by PLD1 inhibitor in CRC cells. Table S7 shows gene counts for all genes commonly down-regulated by PLD1 inhibitor in CRC cells. Table S8 shows gene counts for all miR up-regulated or down-regulated by PLD1 inhibitor in CRC cells. Table S9 shows gene counts for some genes up-regulated by shE2F1 among all the genes down-regulated by shPLD1 in CRC cells. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20141254/DC1.
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