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Abstract

Several previous studies related to mathematical connection abilities and GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning models, but this research focuses on improving students' mathematical connection ability in the Integral Calculus course. This study examines the improvement of mathematical connection abilities through a project-based learning model assisted by GeoGebra. The research method used was a quasi-experimental design with a pretest-posttest nonequivalent multiple group design. The population is students of the Mathematics Education Study Program at the University in West Java, Indonesia. 1A and 1B students, which are used as samples. The technique of taking the research subject uses purposive sampling. The instrument consisted of a mathematical connection ability test with three essay questions. The test in this study used a pretest and posttest students' mathematical connection ability and the Group Embedded Figure Test (GEFT). The data analysis technique used an independent sample t-test and a two-way ANOVA test. The results showed that the improvement of students' mathematical connection ability who obtained the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model was better than students who obtained the project-based learning model. There is no interaction effect of learning models and cognitive styles on the achievement and improvement of students' mathematical connection abilities. The implication of this research is to provide significant changes in student learning habits in integral calculus courses to use technology and foster high self-regulated learning. This research has implications for universities implementing project-based learning models combined with other technology applications in other subjects.
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Introduction

The connection ability of students in connecting concepts that have been studied previously is a significant problem (Islam et al., 2020; Tasni & Susanti, 2017). Some students still have difficulty in relating one concept to another. For example, in determining integrals, students must first understand the concept of algebra and the concept of integral calculus (Borji et al., 2018). Thus, the work process in answering questions becomes smooth and makes calculations easier. Findings also occurred in previous research, namely having difficulty linking concepts between mathematical topics (Rachmani, 2018; Song, 2018). Other findings in previous research said that students' mathematical connection abilities were still low in linking or connecting mathematical topics with other disciplines (Amalia et al., 2019; Dirckinck-Holmfeld, 2016).

Several studies on mathematical connection abilities at the university level have also been carried out. For example, research on mathematical connection abilities by Abidin and Jupri (2017); Ariawan and Nufus (2018) focus on the profile of mathematical connection abilities in students' cognitive styles in the Differential Calculus course. Research by Yolanda and Wahyuni (2020) focuses on improving mathematical connection ability through the application of Accelerated Learning Cycle Learning in Algebra and Trigonometry courses. Research by Rachmani (2018) focuses on comparing Improvement students' mathematical connection ability through web-assisted brain-based learning and ordinary learning in the Integral Calculus course. Research by Noto, Hartono, and Sundawan (2016) focuses on analyzing students' mathematical connection abilities in the Spatial Analytical Geometry course. Research by Hotipah and Pujiastuti (2020) focuses on analyzing mathematical connection abilities in the Spatial Analytical Geometry course.

In several previous studies, the improvement in mathematical connections was caused by student center learning, including using a project-based learning model (Dirckinck-Holmfeld, 2016; Maskur et al., 2020; Suherman et al., 2020). The project-based learning model has several advantages that make mathematics learning more exciting and make learning independent. Some of the advantages of project-based learning include: (1) Improvement motivation; (2) improve problem-solving skills; (3) enhance collaboration; (4) improve resource management skills; (5) improve activity; (6) improve skills in finding information; (7) encourage to develop communication skills; (8) providing experience in project organization; (9) providing experience in making time allocations to complete tasks; (10) providing real-world learning experiences; (11) make the learning atmosphere fun (Grant, 2002; Ratnasari et al., 2018). Combining learning models with the use of media and multimedia with Macromedia Flash and GeoGebra offline applications on some mathematical materials can improve mathematical connection ability (Alfian et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2016; Purwanti et al., 2016; Septian & Komala, 2019). The previous description hopes that project-based learning assisted by GeoGebra can improve mathematical connection abilities.

Based on several previous studies related to mathematical connection abilities and GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning models, this research focuses on improving students' mathematical connection ability in the Integral Calculus course. The GeoGebra-assisted
project-based learning model has not been widely used to become a novelty, or there is an element of novelty in this research. GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning models to improve mathematical connection ability in Integral Calculus courses is still rare. The urgency of existing research has not led to its relevance to achieving and improving mathematical connection ability. Therefore, this research is focused on filling the part of knowledge that has not been studied. This study examines the improvement of mathematical connection abilities through a project-based learning model assisted by GeoGebra.

Methods

The type of research used was a quantitative method. The research method used in this research is quasi-experimental. The quasi-experimental research design used in this study was in the form of "Pretest Postest Nonequivalent Multiple Group Design," which involved two groups of students, namely experimental group 1 and experimental group 2 (Johnson, & Christensen, 2003). Choosing the Pretest Posttest, Nonequivalent Multiple Group Design because it uses existing groups according to analysis needs. It was comparing several groups in determining which one is significantly better to measure the effectiveness of the learning model used and students' cognitive style. The population in this study were students of the Mathematics Education Study Program level 1 semester 2 for the 2018-2019 academic year. Two classes, namely experimental class 1 (Level 1A) and experimental class 2 (Level 1B), are used as samples.

The research subject's technique uses purposive sampling, a sampling method whose selection refers to a specific purpose. The sample selection was based on student characteristics, including students who had almost identical scores in differential calculus and basic mathematics, could use GeoGebra, had adequate facilities, and a level of participation. Data collection techniques by conducting pretest and posttest mathematical connection ability and Group Embedded Figure Test (GEFT). The instrument in this study consisted of a mathematical connection ability test and the GEFT. The data analysis technique used an independent sample t-test and a two-way ANOVA test.

Results

The two samples from experimental group 1 and experimental group 2 came from normally distributed populations and had the same or homogeneous variance. Then the two mean differences were then tested with independent samples t-test with SPSS 24. Based on Table 1, the results of the independent sample t-test obtained a significance value of 0.000 <0.05. Thus, it can be concluded that there is a difference between the average improvement in mathematical connection ability of experimental group 1 and experimental group 2. Table 1 shows that descriptively, experimental group 1 and experimental group 2 have different averages, namely 0.63 and 0.25. Thus, it can be concluded that the improvement in mathematical connection ability achieved by students who applied the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model was better than the students who only applied the project-based learning model.
Table 1. Results of independent samples t test data gain index mathematical connection ability

| t  | df  | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----|-----|----------------|
| 5.650 | 43 | 0.000 |

In analyzing the effect of the interaction of learning models and cognitive styles on Improvement students' mathematical connection ability, they will be tested through a two-way ANOVA with the assumption that the gain index data with four different categories come from a normally distributed population. The results of the normality test are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Normality test results for improvement mathematical connection ability between learning models and cognitive styles

| Group          | Cognitive Style       | Kolmogorov-Smirnov |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|                |                       | Statistics | df | Sig. |
| Experiment 1   | Field independent     | 0.259       | 10 | 0.057 |
|                | Field dependent       | 0.143       | 12 | 0.200 |
| Experiment 2   | Field independent     | 0.164       | 8  | 0.200 |
|                | Field dependent       | 0.132       | 15 | 0.200 |

Based on the normality test results contained in Table 2, the significance value for all groups > 0.05 was obtained, then H0 was accepted. Thus, it can be concluded that the entire group sample came from a normally distributed population. The homogeneity test results using Levene's test are to obtain a value of F = 1.991 and a value of sig. = 0.130. Thus, it can be concluded that the data is homogeneous or has the same variance. Furthermore, it will be tested using Anova Two Paths. The hypothesis being tested is an interaction effect of learning models and students' cognitive styles on Improvement students' mathematical connection abilities.

In Table 3, it is found that: (1) on the learning model factor; the significance value is <0.05, then H0 is rejected. It shows a significant direct influence of learning model factors on Improvement students' mathematical connection abilities; (2) on the cognitive style factor, obtained a significance value > 0.05, then H0 is accepted. It shows no significant direct effect of cognitive style factors on Improvement students' mathematical connection abilities, and (3) the effect of the interaction obtained a significance value > 0.05 so that H0 is accepted. Thus, it can be concluded that there is no interaction effect of learning models and cognitive styles on Improvement students' mathematical connection abilities.

Table 3. Interaction test results between learning models and cognitive styles on improvement mathematical connection ability

| Source             | Sum of Squares | df | Average Square | F         | Sig. |
|--------------------|----------------|----|----------------|-----------|------|
| Learning model     | 1.598          | 1  | 1.598          | 33.949    | 0.000|
| Cognitive style    | 0.023          | 1  | 0.023          | 0.493     | 0.487|
| Interaction        | 0.125          | 1  | 0.125          | 2.654     | 0.111|

Adjusted R Square x 100 % = 43 %
Discussion

The achievement and improvement of students' mathematical connection ability who apply the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model are because of the GeoGebra-assisted Project-based learning model. It is a learning model that provides opportunities for students to find information according to phenomena related to Integral Calculus, plan, implement, display project results in GeoGebra form, and evaluate the project results (Septian et al., 2020). In addition, student knowledge improves due to experience when learning, interaction, and communication occur between students and between students and lecturers (Kennedy et al., 2016).

The group activities in the implementation of project-based learning assisted by GeoGebra occur dynamically and can elaborate on the results of their work. According to the theory from Creighton (1916), Dirckinck-Holmfeld (2016) states that project-based learning provides experiences, activities, interactions between students, interactions between students and teachers/lecturers. Several learning theories are applied to the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model, namely the cognitive development theory of Piaget and Bruner. According to Piaget (McLeod, 2015), cognitive development is largely determined by children's manipulation and active interaction with the environment. In line with that, Conway (2007) argues that knowledge is obtained through children's active participation in learning, learning experiences, and experimenting with problems. In the end, the achievement and improvement of students' mathematical connection ability who applied the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model were better than students who only applied the project-based learning model.

In this era, technology has become one of the requirements in work. Educators are required to master teaching materials, learning models, and technology-based multimedia (Hallal et al., 2016; Mohd et al., 2020). The importance of technology-based multimedia has a positive impact on the learning process and student learning outcomes (Septian et al., 2021; Song, 2018). GeoGebra is a software that can be used for free and easily and is complete in algebra, geometry, statistics, and others (Velázquez & Méndez, 2021; Poon & Wong, 2017; Yildiz, 2018). GeoGebra can also be used in integral calculus material; students feel helped by this GeoGebra. The problem of making graphs that became the background of the problem when found in observations was resolved well. For example, students can create a graph of a mathematical equation or data (Caligaris et al., 2015).

The research findings show no interaction effect of learning models and cognitive styles on Improvement students' mathematical connection abilities. It is in line with the findings from the research of Firdausi, Inganah, and Rosyadi (2018) state that the improvement in mathematical connection ability is not influenced by the interaction of learning model variables and cognitive styles. Based on this explanation, it can be concluded that the learning model factors and cognitive style factors together have no direct effect on improving students' mathematical connection abilities. It means that there is no difference in the average improvement in students' mathematical connection abilities in terms of interaction factors between learning models and cognitive styles.

The difference in students' mathematical connection abilities can be explained by the variability of the learning model factors, cognitive style factors, and other factors that influence the rest. No interaction effect of learning models and cognitive styles on improving students' mathematical connection ability is because students with field-independent cognitive styles are
either given treatment with the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model or those treated with project-based learning models already have the habits they do. Some of them can analyze to separate objects from the surrounding environment so that their perceptions are not affected when the environment changes. In addition, the majority of the group of students with a field-independent cognitive style improved students' mathematical connection abilities with good criteria.

The findings in this study also show the influence of the learning model on Improvement students' mathematical connection abilities. The application of the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model or those that do not use GeoGebra positively impacts motivation, activity, independent learning, and social interaction. In following Dewey (2012), student center learning motivates students in the learning process. In learning, the project-based learning model provides experience, and interactions occur between students. In another theory, according to Piaget (Alamolhodaei, 2009; McLeod, 2015), cognitive development is largely determined by the manipulation and active interaction of children with the environment. In line with that, he argues that knowledge is obtained through children's active participation in learning, learning experiences, and experimenting with problems that occur (Barnard et al., 2018). In the end, students' mathematical connection ability experienced a significant improvement, both applying the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model or only applying the project-based learning model.

The following finding is that cognitive style improves students' mathematical connection abilities. Students with field-independent cognitive styles have better scores than field-dependent ones. It is in line with Ariawan and Nufus's (2018) findings, which concluded that students with field-independent cognitive styles were better than field-dependent cognitive styles. Starting from the learning process based on projects that each group or individual independently carries out, it is an improvement distinguishing the ability of mathematical connections in different cognitive styles. Students with field-independent cognitive styles tend to be able to solve problems independently without waiting for others so that their knowledge improves and leads to the achievement of better mathematical connection abilities. According to the theory of Witkin in Udiyono and Yuwono (2018), the influence of student cognitive style is more on the habit of learning independently or depending on others. The characteristic of field-independent is that students do not depend on the learning environment in the classroom and do not depend on other students when learning. Meanwhile, the characteristic of field-dependent causes students to be very and always dependent on the learning environment and other people (Ma’rufi et al., 2018; Vendiagrys & Junaedi, 2015).

The other factors that influence the improvement of mathematical connection ability are students' prerequisite skills such as differential calculus, basic mathematics, two-variable algebraic equations, and geometry (Korenova, 2017; Poon & Wong, 2017). The ability of mathematical connections is influenced by other factors, such as the ability of prerequisites that are still not qualified. The previous material must be mastered well to make working on the questions more effortless. Another factor determining the ability of mathematical connections is the mastery of geometry and the ability to solve everyday problems (Amaliyah & Mahmud, 2018; Kennedy et al., 2016).
Conclusion

The improvement of students' mathematical connection ability who received the GeoGebra-assisted project-based learning model was better than the students who received the project-based learning model. There was no interaction effect between the learning model and cognitive style (field-independent and field-dependent) on improving students' mathematical connection ability. The limitation of this research is that it has not specifically examined the prerequisite factors that determine the improvement of students' mathematical connection abilities. In addition, the problem of time and facilities in the implementation of project-based learning is an obstacle, so there need to be improvements in the future that are somewhat more planned.
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