Nitric oxide–soluble guanylyl cyclase–cyclic GMP signaling in the striatum: new targets for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease?
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NO SIGNALING IN THE STRIATUM
Nitric oxide (NO) is a gaseous neuromodulator and is implicated in the regulation of numerous physiological and pathophysiological processes in both the peripheral and central nervous system (Boehnner and Snyder, 2003; Bredt, 2003; Garthwaite, 2008). Since its discovery in 1987 as the “endothelial derived relaxation factor” (EDRF) in peripheral blood vessels (Palmer et al., 1987), three distinct isoforms of the NO-producing enzyme nitric oxide synthase (NOS; brain/neuronal NOS, inducible NOS, endothelial NOS) have been described (Alderton et al., 2001; Garthwaite, 2008). Of particular interest is the neuronal NOS (nNOS), which is ubiquitously distributed throughout the brain and relatively abundant in the dorsal striatum and nucleus accumbens (Bredt et al., 1990; Vincent, 1994). Striatal NO is synthesized primarily in nNOS-containing interneurons, which are readily revealed using NADPH-dihorase histochemical staining (Figures 1A,B) as well as nNOS immunohistochemical labeling (Hope et al., 1991; Kawaguchi, 1993; Gracy and Pickel, 1997). The remainder of this review will focus in detail on how NO synthesis is regulated by DA D1- and D2-like receptors and their interactions with glutamate inputs/receptors, and how nitricergic signaling then affects striatal output in normal and parkinsonian animals.

MODULATION OF STRIATAL NOS ACTIVITY BY Dopamine D1 AND D2 RECEPTOR ACTIVATION
Remarkably consistent outcomes have been reported in studies examining the impact of DA D1- and D2-like receptor agonists/antagonists on striatal NOS activity and cGMP production (Altar et al., 1990; Morris et al., 1997; Di Stefano et al., 2005; Sammut et al., 2006, 2007b; Siuciak et al., 2006; Park and West, 2009; Hoque et al., 2010). Early studies by Altar et al. (1990) were the first to show that D1-like receptor activation induced by SKF 38393 increases striatal tissue levels of cGMP, whereas antagonism of this receptor with SCH 23390 decreases similar measures of cGMP. In the same study these authors reported that the D2 receptor antagonists haloperidol and sulpiride robustly elevated striatal tissue levels of cGMP. These findings have been confirmed and extended in more recent studies (Di Stefano et al., 2005; Siuciak et al., 2006). Thus, consistent with the above work, D2-like receptor agonism was shown to decrease striatal tissue levels of cGMP (Di Stefano et al., 2005). Moreover, work by Schmidt and colleagues showed that the facilitatory effects of D1-like receptor agonist and D2 receptor antagonist on striatal tissue levels of cGMP are abolished in nNOS−/− (i.e., knockout) mice (Siuciak et al., 2006). Together, the above studies confirm that both D1- and D2-like receptor activation strongly regulates...
administration of D1-like receptor antagonist decreases enzyme activity, whereas D2-like receptor antagonists have the opposite effect (Morris et al., 1997; Hoque et al., 2010). Similar to our above studies using NO microsensor recordings, pretreatment with the D2 receptor agonist quinpirole abolished the facilitatory effect of SKF 81297 on NADPH-diaphorase staining/nNOS activity (Hoque et al., 2010). These studies indicate that D1- and D2-like receptor activation has opposing effects on striatal nNOS activity (reviewed in West, 2010).

**Impact of dopamine–glutamate interactions on neuronal nOS activity**

Reciprocal functional interactions between D1 and NMDA receptors are believed to occur in a variety of neurons in the CNS via direct physical interactions and following activation of second messengers (Cepeda and Levine, 2006). In the striatum, DA–glutamate interactions involved in the regulation of nNOS activity are likely to be complex as these transmitter systems converge both at the level of the NOS interneurons (Fujiyama and Masuko, 1996; Hidaka and Totterdell, 2001) and the principle medium-sized spiny neurons (MSNs; Morello et al., 1997; Sancesario et al., 2000; Hidaka and Totterdell, 2001). We have recently begun to study the interaction between DA and glutamate as it pertains to striatal NOS activity (reviewed in West, 2010).

Consistent with the above studies of striatal cGMP synthesis, our laboratory has reported that electrical and chemical stimulation of the substantia nigra and systemic administration of the D1 receptor agonist SKF 81297 all robustly increase amperometric measures of striatal NO efflux via nNOS and D1-like receptor-dependent mechanisms (Sammut et al., 2006, 2007a; Park and West, 2009). Interestingly, the facilitatory effects of nigrostriatal DA cell activation and SKF 81297 on striatal NO efflux were both attenuated by systemic administration of the D2-like receptor agonist quinpirole, whereas administration of the D2-like receptor antagonist eticlopride augmented evoked NO efflux (Sammut et al., 2007a).

Other work has examined the impact of D1 and D2 receptor interactions using histochemical measures of striatal NOS activity (NADPH-d staining). Reports from leading laboratories have demonstrated that the catalytic activity of the nNOS enzyme is responsible for producing NADPH-d staining (Dawson et al., 1991; Hope et al., 1991) and that measurements of staining in striatal interneurons accurately reflect enzyme activity (Morris et al., 1997; Sancesario et al., 2004). Moreover, quantification of NADPH-d staining using optical density is a valuable index of striatal nNOS activity which can be observed in identified interneurons across striatal subregions (Kuo et al., 1994; Morris et al., 1997; Sancesario et al., 2004; Hoque et al., 2010). Studies using NADPH-diaphorase staining as an indirect measure of striatal nNOS activity have also shown that administration of D1-like receptor antagonist decreases enzyme activity, whereas D2-like receptor antagonists have the opposite effect (Morris et al., 1997; Hoque et al., 2010). Similar to our above studies using NO microsensor recordings, pretreatment with the D2 receptor agonist quinpirole abolished the facilitatory effect of SKF 81297 on NADPH-diaphorase staining/nNOS activity (Hoque et al., 2010). These studies indicate that D1- and D2-like receptor activation has opposing effects on striatal nNOS activity (reviewed in West, 2010).
infusion, suggesting that D1 receptor co-activation is required for NOS stimulation by cortical inputs (Park and West, 2009). Further studies revealed that the increase in striatal NO efflux elicited by systemic administration of D1 agonist is blocked by intrastriatal infusion of the selective nNOS inhibitor 7-nitroindazole, the DA D1 receptor antagonist SCH 23390, and NMDA receptor antagonists (CPP and kynurenic acid), indicating that D1 receptor-mediated NO efflux is dependent on concurrent D1 and NMDA receptor activation (see Figure 2). Our studies using NADPH-diaphorase staining as a complementary measure of striatal nNOS activity have also shown that systemic administration of the NMDA receptor antagonist CPP attenuated staining in the dorsal striatum (Hoque et al., 2010). As expected NOS activity stimulated by systemic administration of D1 receptor agonist or D2 receptor antagonist was attenuated by D1 antagonism and D2 agonism, respectively. Moreover, pretreatment with an NMDA receptor antagonist blocked the facilitatory effects of D1 receptor agonist and D2 receptor agonist antagonist on NOS activity. Importantly, in all studies statistical interactions were observed between drug pretreatments and D1 agonist/D2 antagonist treatments, indicating that the drug pretreatments were acting to block the effect of the DA modulation, and not by simply lowering overall basal levels of NOS activity in a manner independent of a DA receptor-mediated mechanism (Hoque et al., 2010).

Taken together, these findings are consistent with the multitude of studies reporting that D1 receptor activation potentiates NMDA-induced responses in cortical and striatal neurons (Cepeda and Levine, 1998). Moreover, these novel observations indicate that reciprocal D1–NMDA and D2–NMDA receptor interactions play critical and opposing roles in regulating striatal NOS activity. Future studies will need to determine which specific modes of DA transmission favor D1–NMDA facilitation and which lead to D2–NMDA suppression of NOS activity. However, based on the above discussion of the effects of D1 and D2 antagonism on nNOS activity, we predict that tonic levels of DA act to suppress NMDA receptor-mediated nNOS stimulation by activation of D2-like receptors. During robust phasic activation of DA transmission occurring during burst firing (mimicked by stimulation of the substantia nigra and D1 receptor agonist treatment), D1–NMDA receptor interactions would facilitate nNOS activity and NO transmission. In the DA-depleted parkinsonian striatum or following D1 receptor blockade, we predict that loss of D1 tone would prohibit activation of nNOS and suppress NO signaling (Park and West, 2009). As discussed below in our summary of work performed in animal models of Parkinson’s disease (PD), currently there is evidence in support of and against this model.

**ROLE OF NOS INTERNEURONS IN THE REGULATION OF CORTICOSTRIATAL TRANSMISSION**

Corticostriatal afferents target two functionally distinct groups of MSNs that form the “direct” (striatonigral MSNs) and the “indirect” (striatopallidal MSNs) pathways (Albin et al., 1989; Alexander and Crutcher, 1990; Parent, 1990; DeLong and Wichmann, 2007; Figure 1C). Corticostriatal projections also provide excitatory input to striatal interneurons (Kawaguchi, 1993) which are involved in the feed-forward regulation of MSNs by GABA (Tepper et al., 2004; Mallet et al., 2005) and NO (Sammut et al., 2007a, 2010; Ondracek et al., 2008). While GABA binds to receptors on the surface of the plasma membrane, newly synthesized NO diffuses past the plasma membrane into the dendrites of striatal MSNs, which contain high levels of NO receptors called soluble guanylyl cyclases (sGC; Figure 3; Ariano, 1983; Ding et al., 2004). In fact, sGC expression and activity are reportedly higher in the striatum than in any other brain region (Hofmann et al., 1977; Matsuoka et al., 1992). Once generated, NO has been reported to induce or modulate various forms of short and long-term corticostriatal synaptic plasticity (Calabresi et al., 1999, 2000; Doreelee et al., 2003; West and Grace, 2004; Ondracek et al., 2008; Sammut et al., 2010), and alter synchrony within neuronal networks (O’Donnell and Grace, 1997; Sammut et al., 2007a; Chepkova et al., 2009). Most of these studies indicate that this NO signal is derived from nNOS localized to striatal interneurons. However, evidence that LTP of corticostriatal transmission is blocked in slices following administration of a non-selective NOS inhibitor and in mutant mice lacking the endothelial NOS gene (Doreelee et al., 2003) indicates that NO derived from the vasculature is also capable of modulating corticostriatal transmission.

Our studies have shown that in both chloral hydrate and urethane anesthetized rats, tonic, and phasic NO–sGC–cGMP signaling acts to promote short-term excitatory influences on corticostriatal synaptic activity recorded in identified MSNs (Figure 3; West and Grace, 2004; Ondracek et al., 2008; Sammut et al., 2010). Our initial studies examined the impact of tonic NO signaling on short-term plasticity induced across corticostriatal synapses during
These studies demonstrated that systemic administration of a non-specific NOS and sGC inhibitor (methylene blue) simultaneously decreased: (1) NO efflux evoked via cortical stimulation, and (2) the peak oscillation frequency (observed within the delta band) of local striatal field potential oscillations. These observations are consistent with studies using local application of NO–sGC inhibitors which were found to decrease the amplitude of spontaneous glutamate-driven up states (West and Grace, 2004). Additionally, stimulation of corticostriatal pathways facilitates electrotonic coupling between MSNs in rat striatal slices in a manner which is blocked by NOS inhibitors and mimicked by bath application of an NO generator (O'Donnell and Grace, 1997). NO signaling may therefore induce a functional coupling between MSNs and act to synchronize the oscillatory activity of related neuronal ensembles. When examined in vivo, disruption of nNOS activity increases the magnitude of D2 receptor-mediated STD of cortically evoked spike activity induced during phasic stimulation of frontal cortical afferents (Ondracek et al., 2008). Thus, in the intact striatum, corticostriatal transmission may be preferentially detected and amplified by nNOS interneurons in a feed-forward manner which may facilitate the synchronization of local network activity with glutamate-driven events. Interruption of NO neuromodulation, therefore, is likely to disrupt the integration of corticostriatal transmission, short-term plasticity, and functional coupling of MSNs in striatal networks (West and Grace, 2004; Sammut et al., 2007b; Ondracek et al., 2008). Consistent with this, it is
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clear that pharmacological or genetic downregulation of striatal NOS activity has profound effects on striatal output as measured in electrophysiological (West and Grace, 2000; West et al., 2002) and behavioral studies (Del Bel et al., 2005).

Interestingly, studies performed in brain slice preparations from both rats and mice have frequently reported inhibitory effects (i.e., LTD) of NO–sGC–cGMP signaling on excitatory corticostriatal transmission (reviewed in Calabresi et al., 2007). A parsimonious explanation for this apparent flip flop of the impact of NO on corticostriatal plasticity observed between in vivo and in vitro preparations is that corticostriatal pathway stimulation can be processed differently in the intact versus reduced striatum, and that in both preparations, NO may promote this differential processing. Indeed, similar mechanisms are implicated in studies using in vivo and in vitro preparations (e.g., sGC and PDEs play a key role in NO-mediated effects in all of these studies). Furthermore, the former tenet is supported by studies showing that stimulation protocols known to produce LTD of corticostriatal neurotransmission in vivo, produce LTP in vivo (Charpier and Deniau, 1997). A similar switch from LTD to LTP is observed in vitro following removal of magnesium from the bath perfusate (Calabresi et al., 1992). Thus, it is likely that with most common protocols cortical stimulation delivered in vivo results in greater activation of glutamatergic drive onto postsynaptic AMPA receptors and more effective removal of the voltage-dependent magnesium block of NMDA receptors, leading to a state that generally favors LTP. In contrast, similar stimulation of corticostriatal signaling in vitro favors LTD in the absence of the removal of magnesium block of NMDA receptors. In support of this, most studies show that LTD-induction in the mature striatum is not NMDA receptor dependent, whereas LTP requires activation of these channels (Reviewed in Surmeier et al., 2009). Like LTP, stimulation of striatal NO activity also requires NMDA receptor activation in both in vivo and in vitro preparations (Nishi et al., 2005; Sammut et al., 2007a; Park and West, 2009). Given this, it is more readily understandable how corticostriatal pathway activation could lead to NO-dependent facilitation of synaptic efficacy (i.e., an LTP-like phenomenon). However, studies by Calabresi et al. (2007) have produced compelling evidence that facilitation of signaling at any number of key sites in the NO–sGC–cGMP–PKG cascade mediates LTD and occludes further LTD induced via corticostriatal stimulation. Because these studies stimulated cortical areas close to the recording electrode or white matter between cortex and striatum (Calabresi et al., 1999), it is possible that NMDA receptor stimulation was not required for NOS activation in this preparation as these interneurons may have been activated by direct current spread within striatum. In any event, the information available at this time suggests that, in addition to promoting short-term increases in excitatory synaptic transmission, NO signaling may act to facilitate and stabilize the dominant state of long-term synaptic plasticity occurring across corticostriatal synapses (i.e., primarily potentiation when postsynaptic NMDA receptors are activated, or depression in the absence of this activation). Because NO is a potent vasodilator, it may also function to couple changes in synaptic plasticity and blood flow in striatal microcircuits. Future studies using new genetic and optical approaches will have to determine the role of NO in LTP and LTD of synaptic transmission (i.e., bidirectional plasticity) at both excitatory and inhibitory synapses onto identified striatonigral and striatopallidal MSNs.

STRIATAL PATHOPHYSIOLOGY IN PARKINSON’S DISEASE: INVOLVEMENT OF NO–sGC–cGMP SIGNALING PATHWAYS

Parkinson’s disease is associated with a preferential degeneration of the nigrostriatal DA pathway (Hornykiewicz, 1975). The loss of DA modulation triggers a complex series of neurochemical, anatomical, and electrophysiological alterations that lead to persistent changes in striatal neurons and their signaling pathways. For instance, striatonigral MSNs develop D1 receptor supersensitivity and reduced expression of D1 receptors (Gerfen et al., 1990).

Elevations in D2 receptor protein and mRNA are also observed together with increases in enkephalin expression in striatopallidal MSNs (Gerfen et al., 1990). A substantial population of corticostriatal terminals also expresses D2 receptors (Wang and Pickel, 2002) which become hypersensitive in the absence of DA innervation (Calabresi et al., 1993; Bamford et al., 2004; Picconi et al., 2004). The loss of DA tone on these D2 heteroreceptors is likely to result in enhanced glutamatergic transmission and altered NMDA receptor function (Meshul et al., 1999; Nash et al., 1999; Betarbet et al., 2004). Alterations in dendritic spine morphology and complexity have also been described in both DA-depleted rats and patients with PD (Ingham et al., 1989; Stephens et al., 2005) which may occur preferentially in striatopallidal MSNs (Day et al., 2006).

Most pathophysiological models and metabolic studies of PD predict that the net effect of these alterations is an imbalance in striatal output in which the indirect pathway becomes functionally hyperactive and the direct pathway is hypoactive (Marsden, 1982; Albin et al., 1989; Alexander et al., 1990; Hirsch et al., 2000; see Figure 1C). Recent studies using optogenetic control of striatonigral and striatopallidal MSNs have provided substantial evidence supporting the validity of this pioneering basal ganglia model (Kravitz et al., 2010). These studies by Kravitz and colleagues showed that bilateral activation of striatopallidal MSNs elicits a parkinsonian state characterized by freezing, bradykinesia, and decreased locomotion. Stimulation of striatonigral MSNs reduced freezing and facilitated locomotion. Additionally, stimulation of striatonigral MSNs reversed motor deficits observed in parkinsonian mice (Kravitz et al., 2010).

The above model has also served as the framework for recent studies aimed at understanding how changes in striatal DA transmission impact the temporal dynamics and plasticity of cortico-basal ganglia transmission. For instance, recent studies have suggested that striatopallidal neurons in DA-depleted animals become more responsive to corticostriatal inputs and as a result, exhibit bursts of spike activity which correlates with cortical oscillations (Tseng et al., 2001; Mallet et al., 2006; Walters et al., 2007). Thus, loss of D2 receptor-mediated inhibition of striatopallidal neurons and their corticostriatal inputs results in the unfiltered spreading of cortical rhythms to the GP and other components of the basal ganglia and some of the modifications in neuron activity that may underlie the pathophysiology of PD (Murer et al., 2002). In addition to increased spike activity (Mallet et al., 2006), striatopallidal neurons recorded in DA-depleted mice also exhibit a selective loss of endocannabinoid-dependent LTD (Kreitzer and Malenka, 2007). Further studies by Surmeier et al. (2009) have shown that following DA depletion, the pairing of presynaptic and postsynaptic activity, in any order, induced LTD in D1 receptor-expressing MSNs and LTP in D2 receptor-expressing MSNs in an
exclusive manner which prohibited bidirectional plasticity (Shen et al., 2008). These observations indicate that, following striatal DA depletion, activity-dependent changes in the strength of corticostriatal synaptic transmission occur in parallel with alterations in intrinsic excitability (Surmeier et al., 2009).

While the role of NO–sGC–cGMP signaling in the above pathological changes induced in the parkinsonian striatum remains to be fully characterized, it is clear that disruption of striatal NO–sGC–cGMP signaling cascades results in profound changes in behavioral, electrophysiological, and molecular responses to pharmacological manipulations of DA and glutamate transmission (Morris, 1995; Greengard, 2001; West et al., 2002; Del Bel et al., 2005; Ondracek et al., 2008; Threlfell et al., 2009; Sammut et al., 2010; West et al., 2009). For example, studies by Del Bel et al. (2005) have shown that striatal nNOS interneurons play a critical role in the generation of motor behavior. Systemic and intrastratal exposure tocNos and sGC inhibitors has been shown to depress basal locomotion and induce catalepsy (Stewart et al., 1994; Del Bel et al., 2004; Echeverry et al., 2007). Pharmacological disruption of NO function also potentiates catalepsy induced via D2-class receptor antagonists (Del Bel and Guimaraes, 2000; Cavas and Navarro, 2002). Furthermore, motor activation stimulated by substance P (Mancuso et al., 1994), NMDA receptor antagonists (Deutsch et al., 1996), and DA receptor agonists (Starr and Starr, 1995) is suppressed following systemic administration of NOS inhibitors.

The above behavioral studies demonstrate that in animals with an intact DA system, striatal NO–sGC–cGMP transmission is likely to play an important role in facilitating locomotor activity. It is less clear how this role for NO–sGC–cGMP signaling may change in the parkinsonian striatum. Various measures of striatal NOS activity have indicated that NO signaling may be disrupted in patients with PD (Bockelmann et al., 1994; Eve et al., 1998) and DA-depleted rats (De Vente et al., 2000; Sahach et al., 2000; Barthwal et al., 2001; Sancesario et al., 2004). With regard to NOS activity measured in DA-depleted rodents, however, conflicting outcomes have been reported between the above studies performed in rats and studies of mouse models (see Chalimoniuk and Langfort, 2007; Chalimoniuk et al., 2004). Moreover, conflicting results have been reported in studies measuring cGMP levels in striatal tissue from DA-depleted rats (De Vente et al., 2000; Chalimoniuk et al., 2004; Sancesario et al., 2004; Chalimoniuk and Langfort, 2007; Giorgi et al., 2008). Interestingly, PDE mRNA, protein, and activity are elevated in DA-depleted rats (Sancesario et al., 2004; Giorgi et al., 2008), indicating that cyclic nucleotide metabolism is elevated in PD. While speculative, this may be a compensatory homeostatic change induced in MSNs in response to overactivity resulting from striatal DA depletion (i.e., an attempt by the MSNs to reverse cyclic nucleotide over-production). In support of this, a subpopulation of MSNs (40%) has been reported to be hyper-responsive to NO generators (Galati et al., 2008). Therefore, upregulation of PDE activity would be expected to compensate for this abnormal responsivity of these MSNs to NO. A parallel down-regulation of nNOS activity and NO signaling would also facilitate the normalization of cyclic nucleotide levels following DA depletion. As indicated above, there is strong evidence for this in both DA-depleted rats (De Vente et al., 2000; Sahach et al., 2000; Barthwal et al., 2001; Sancesario et al., 2004) and post-mortem tissue from patients with PD (Bockelmann et al., 1994; Eve et al., 1998). However, studies examining how parallel measures of nNOS, sGC, cGMP, PKG, and various PDEs change across time following DA depletion are needed to clarify the complex pathophysiological and homeostatic changes in this signaling pathway in PD.

Given the above findings, it is likely that striatal DA denervation results in transient and dynamic alterations in the synthesis (NOS and sGC dependent) and degradation (PDE dependent) of striatal cyclic nucleotides. These complex changes are likely to be complicated further by l-DOPA treatment. Indeed, in patients with PD, serum levels of cGMP are reportedly increased following l-DOPA therapy (Chalimoniuk and Stepien, 2004). However, studies in DA-depleted rats have shown that l-DOPA-induced dyskinesias are associated with decreased striatal cyclic nucleotide levels (Giorgi et al., 2008; Picconi et al., 2011). Moreover, the non-selective PDE inhibitor zaprinast was shown to reverse decreases in striatal cyclic nucleotide levels and abnormal involuntary movements induced by l-DOPA administration (Giorgi et al., 2008; Picconi et al., 2011). Calabresi and colleagues also showed that PDE inhibition can rescue abnormal synaptic plasticity observed in dyskinetic rats (Picconi et al., 2011). On the other hand, studies aimed at decreasing NO signaling (and presumably cGMP levels) have shown that co-administration of NOS inhibitors with l-DOPA attenuates l-DOPA-induced dyskinesias (Padovan-Neto et al., 2009). NOS inhibition also improved the motor performance of the same animals on a rotorod test (Padovan-Neto et al., 2009). Taken together, the above studies suggest that under some circumstances, drugs with opposite pharmacological profiles (PDE inhibitors increase cGMP, nNOS inhibitors decrease cGMP) may both be beneficial for reversing l-DOPA-induced dyskinesias. Further studies determining the time course of l-DOPA-induced changes in cyclic nucleotide levels in the absence and presence of these inhibitors should open new avenues which will be essential for understanding and treating PD and side effects associated with l-DOPA therapy.

CONCLUSION

Studies reported to date indicate that it will be important to clarify how NO–sGC–cGMP signaling is dysregulated in hypo- and hyperdopaminergic states and how this can be normalized to restore function within striatal output pathways. Taken together, the above studies indicate that cGMP synthesis and catabolism, as well as the temporal and spatial patterning of NO–sGC–cGMP signaling may be perturbed in MSNs in the parkinsonian striatum. Moreover, these signaling abnormalities are likely to be a reflection of functional disturbances in DA and glutamate interactions and iatrogenic effects of chronic l-DOPA treatment. Definitive characterization of the impact of NO–sGC–cGMP signaling and downstream targets in animal models of parkinsonism and l-DOPA-induced dyskinesia should provide key information on how cyclic nucleotide signaling cascades can be modulated as an approach for treating motor symptoms in PD and other neurological disorders.
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