Transcription factor activating protein 4 is synthetically lethal and a master regulator of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma
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Abstract
Despite the identification of MYCN amplification as an adverse prognostic marker in neuroblastoma, MYCN inhibitors have yet to be developed. Here, by integrating evidence from a whole-genome shRNA library screen and the computational inference of master regulator proteins, we identify transcription factor activating protein 4 (TFAP4) as a critical effector of MYCN amplification in neuroblastoma, providing a novel synthetic lethal target. We demonstrate that TFAP4 is a direct target of MYCN in neuroblastoma cells, and that its expression and activity strongly negatively correlate with neuroblastoma patient survival. Silencing TFAP4 selectively inhibits MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma cell growth both in vitro and in vivo, in xenograft mouse models. Mechanistically, silencing TFAP4 induces neuroblastoma differentiation, as evidenced by increased neurite outgrowth and upregulation of neuronal markers. Taken together, our results demonstrate that TFAP4 is a key regulator of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma and may represent a valuable novel therapeutic target.

Introduction

Neuroblastoma is the most common extracranial solid tumor in childhood, accounting for 13% of all pediatric cancer mortality. Overall survival of high-risk patients remains < 50%, despite intensive therapy with high-dose chemotherapy, surgery, stem cell transplantation, and immunotherapy [1]. MYCN amplifications represent one
of the major genetic alterations that correlates with poor prognosis. *MYCN* was first identified in neuroblastoma as a *c-myc*-related oncogene [2, 3], and is amplified in ~25% of neuroblastoma cases [4]. Despite the knowledge that *MYCN* amplifications represent an adverse prognostic marker, no FDA-approved or late-stage investigational compound has been developed to target MYCN directly.

An alternative approach to treating *MYCN*-amplified neuroblastoma patients would be to target proteins whose essentiality is only manifested in *MYCN*-amplified tumors (*MYCN* amp synthetic lethal). Several synthetic lethal screens with *MYC/MYCN* overexpression have been reported across different cancers [5–8], leading to identification of the bromodomain protein, BRD4, as a common hit in these screens. The small molecule BRD4 inhibitor JQ1 has been shown to downregulate the MYC transcriptional network and inhibit tumor growth of MYC-driven multiple myeloma [9], lymphoma [10], and neuroblastoma [11]. This suggests that synthetic lethal screens can successfully identify novel drug targets for cancers.

A complementary approach to identifying novel drug targets is through computational analysis of gene regulatory networks [12]. Specifically, the Master Regulator Inference Algorithm (MARiNa) was developed to identify aberrantly activated/inactivated Master Regulator (MR) proteins, representing tumor drivers [13, 14]. Subsequently, the virtual inference of protein activity by enriched regulon analysis (VIPER) transforms the gene expression profile of samples into a protein activity profiles [15]. The MARiNa and VIPER analysis have helped elucidate novel mechanisms of tumorigenesis, progression and drug sensitivity in glioma [13], leukemia [16], lymphoma [17], prostate [18], breast cancer [19], and recently in neuroblastoma [20].

Following the reasoning in our earlier study on glucocorticoid resistance in T-ALL [16], we hypothesized that synthetic lethal genes would be identified as MRs that mechanistically regulate the transcriptional signature associated with *MYCN*-amplified neuroblastoma. Thus, we combined evidence from VIPER-based predictions of *MYCN*-amplified neuroblastoma MRs with a whole-genome shRNA screen. This analysis identified transcriptional factor activating protein 4 (TFAP4) as the top synthetic lethal candidate and *MYCN*-amplified neuroblastoma subtype MR. Mechanistically, we show that TFAP4 is a direct downstream transcriptional target and key effector of MYCN activity, and that silencing it inhibits *MYCN*-amplified cell growth both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. We demonstrate that TFAP4 functions to promote proliferation and inhibit differentiation in *MYCN*-amplified neuroblastoma.

**Results**

**TFAP4 is a synthetic lethal candidate and master regulator of *MYCN*-amplified neuroblastoma**

As a first step to identify novel synthetic lethal candidates to *MYCN* amplification, we performed a whole-genome shRNA screen (Fig. 1a). A pooled shRNA lentiviral library consisting 58,493 shRNA-mirs targeting 18,661 known human genes [21], was used to infect the neuroblastoma cell line SHEP-21 N [22]. SHEP-21 N is a *MYCN* single copy neuroblastoma cell line that expresses high levels of a *MYCN* transgene (Supplementary Fig. S1). *MYCN* expression can be switched off by adding tetracycline/doxycycline (Tet-off system) *MYCN* expression was decreased by >90%.

SHEP-21 N cells infected with the shRNA-mir library were puromycin selected and split evenly into two populations: one without doxycycline (*MYCN* ON) and the other one with doxycycline (*MYCN* OFF). Total genomic DNA of these two populations was collected after ten cell doubling times, and the shRNA region was PCR amplified. We identified shRNA candidates using a customized microarray and statistical analysis methods as previously described [23]. We identified 396 shRNAs that were differentially expressed between the two populations (*P* < 0.01), of which 218 were significantly depleted in the *MYCN* ON population, and were therefore *MYCN* synthetic lethal candidates (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table 1).

We then proceeded to assess whether any of the genes identified by the pooled screen analysis could also be validated as MRs in *MYCN*-amplified patients. VIPER [15] was used to interrogate two neuroblastoma patient data sets: (1) the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Therapeutically applicable research to generate effective treatments (TARGET) data set, comprising 249 gene expression profiles from primary tumor samples; and (2) the European Neuroblastoma Research Consortium (NRC) data set, comprising an additional 283 profiles. Two independent transcriptional interaction networks (interactomes) were assembled by ARACNe analysis of each data set. We calculated differential expression signatures between *MYCN*-amplified tumors (TARGET *n* = 44; NRC *n* = 67) and Stage 1 tumors (TARGET *n* = 30; NRC *n* = 46). Proteins that were identified as statistically significantly aberrantly activated (*P* < 0.01) in both analyses were selected as high-confidence candidate MRs. Overall, there were 74 aberrantly activated MRs from the TARGET analysis and 80 from the NRC. There was a remarkable concordance between the two independent analyses, with 48 activated MRs in common (Odds ratio = 64.7; Fisher’s exact test, *P* = 6.56e-46, Fig. 1c).
Transcription factor activating protein 4 is synthetically lethal and a master regulator of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma.

**Fig. 1**

TFAP4 is a synthetic lethal candidate and master regulator of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma. a Schema of whole-genome shRNA screening in SHEP21N neuroblastoma cells. Abundance of an individual shRNA was determined by hybridization to a customized microarray. Synthetic lethal candidates are the shRNAs depleted in MYCN ON population only; b Heatmap of differentially expressed genes in MYCN ON vs. MYCN OFF conditions. Abundance of shRNAs against 396 genes significantly changed \( P < 0.01 \), with 218 genes depleted in the MYCN ON population. c Venn diagram of overlapping MRs activated in MYCN-amplified tumors \( P < 0.01 \), between NRC (yellow circle) and TARGET (orange circle) cohorts. There are 1041 genes tested in both data sets. d VIPER single sample activity heatmap for 48 MRs of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma from TARGET and NRC intersected results. Blue–red colors indicate z-score scaled enrichment scores from single sample analysis. The four MRs that are synthetic lethal with MYCN are highlighted in red rectangles. e Venn diagram of overlapping transcriptional regulators in the synthetic lethal screen and MRs in MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma. Twenty-five transcriptional regulators that were significantly depleted in the shRNA screen (green circle) and 48 activated MRs identified by MARINA algorithm (red circle). There are 1078 genes tested in both data sets. f Four candidate activated MYCN MRs (TFAP4, MRRF, PRKDC, ZNF77), were depleted in the shRNA screen. shRNA differential change was ranked by z-score with significantly depleted shRNAs having a z-score of \( -2.326 \) (one-tailed \( P < 0.01 \)) (red line). g 1344 transcriptional regulators were ranked by the significance of differential expression of its regulon in MYCN-amplified compared with Stage 1. The curve represents the distribution with the most repressed MRs at left tail end and the most activated MRs at right tail end. The candidate MYCN MRs (TFAP4, MRRF, PRKDC, ZNF77), were significantly activated (log10 \( P \) value, > 2, blue line). h 1344 transcriptional regulators were ranked by the significance of differential expression of its regulon in non-MYCN-amplified stage 4 compared with Stage 1. None of the candidate MYCN MRs (TFAP4, MRRF, PRKDC, ZNF77) were significantly activated (log10 \( P \) value, > 2, blue line).
Differential activity of these 48 MRs in MYCN-amplified patients compared with Stage 1 and Stage 4 non-MYCN-amplified patients is shown in Fig. 1c.

Based on prior work (see [12] for a comprehensive review), we expect candidate MRs to be highly enriched in MYCA> Amp synthetic lethals. We thus compared the 48 high-confidence MRs with the 25 transcriptional regulators emerging from the pooled shRNA screen (Fig. 1e), which led to identification of four common proteins: transcriptional factor AP4 (TFAP4); mitochondrial recycling factor (MRRF); protein kinase DNA-activated catalytic polypeptide (PRKDC); and zinc finger protein 77 (ZN77). The shRNAs barcodes for these four genes were significantly depleted in the whole-genome shRNA screen of MYCN ON population, with TFAP4, MRRF, PRKDC, and ZNF77, ranking 149, 95, 145, 218, respectively (Fig. 1f). Interestingly, these candidate synthetic lethal proteins are identified as significant MRs when comparing Stage 4 MYCN-amplified patients with Stage 1 patients (Fig. 1g), but not when comparing Stage 4 (high-risk) non-MYCN-amplified patients to the same set of Stage 1 patients (Fig. 1h), suggesting that their expression is MYCN amplification-dependent. Among the four candidates, TFAP4 was the highest ranked candidate in both databases, ranking 11th in the TARGET cohort, and 1st in the NRC cohort (Supplementary Fig. S2), and was thus selected for further experimental and computational validation studies.

**TFAP4 expression and activity correlates with survival**

We performed Cox proportional hazards analysis on the NRC cohort patient samples. We found that TFAP4 expression (Fig. 2a) and activity (Fig. 2b) are strong negative predictors of patient survival (Wald test, $P = 2.43 \cdot 10^{-10}$, HR = 6.2; Wald test $P = 2.78 \cdot 10^{-15}$, HR = 10.94, respectively). As shown in previous studies [15, 18], activity significantly outperformed expression as a predictor. Multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that TFAP4 expression represents an independent negative predictor of survival compared with other clinical and biological correlates for risk stratification [24], including stage ($P = 2.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$, HR = 3.28) and age ($P = 9.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$, HR = 3.53), but not MYCN amplification ($P = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-1}$, HR = 1.76) (Table 1).

Examining the TARGET and NRC data sets, we found that TFAP4 expression is significantly higher in Stage 4 MYCN-amplified patients (Fig. 2c) compared with both Stage 4 non-MYCN-amplified patients ($P = 1.05 \cdot 10^{-13}$, TARGET cohort; $P = 8.44 \cdot 10^{-13}$, NRC cohort) and Stage 1 low-risk patients ($P = 2.54 \cdot 10^{-12}$, TARGET cohort; $P = 6.01 \cdot 10^{-12}$, NRC cohort).

**TFAP4 is regulated by MYCN**

TFAP4 is a ubiquitously expressed transcription factor belonging to the basic helix-loop-helix leucine zipper group of proteins and has previously been shown to be a direct and conserved target of MYC [25]. Assessing the regulation of TFAP4 by MYCN/MYC, we found that high TFAP4 expression correlates with high MYCN or MYC expression in neuroblastoma cell lines and in the TARGET cohort of patients (Supplementary Fig. S3A, B, C). To demonstrate that TFAP4 is regulated by MYCN, we examined the SHEP21N cell line. When MYCN expression is switched off by doxycycline, TFAP4 expression is markedly decreased (Fig. 2d). Knockdown of MYCN in the MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma cell line SK-N-BE(2) also resulted in decreased expression of TFAP (Supplementary Fig. S4), supporting the notion that MYCN regulates TFAP4 expression.

Jung et al. [25] have previously identified four canonical MYC E-box-binding sites (CACGTG) in intron 1 of human TFAP4. We performed a ChIP-PCR assay with primers flanking the first putative MYC/MYCN E-box binding (+660 relative to the transcriptional start site), and an anti-MYC antibody. ChIP-PCR assay demonstrated that MYCN directly binds to the predicted binding site (Fig. 2e), indicating that TFAP4 is directly regulated by MYCN. Consistent with our results, Hsu et al. [26], performed ChIP-seq using an anti-MYC antibody in the MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma cell BE(2)C, demonstrated that TFAP4 is a direct transcriptional MYCN target.

**Silencing TFAP4 selectively inhibits growth of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma cell lines**

To validate TFAP4 synthetic lethality with MYCN amplification, we performed a multicolor competition assay with SHEP-21 N cell line (Fig. 3a). SHEP-21 N was infected with a TFAP4 shRNA-GFP vector or the empty vector pGIPZ-GFP. Silencing of TFAP4 was confirmed (Fig. 3b). An equal number of GFP(+) SHEP21N cells and uninfected GFP(-) SHEP-21 N cells were mixed together, and the percentage of GFP(+) cells determined by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) with (MYCN OFF) or without doxycycline (MYCN ON) (Fig. 3c). When MYCN was ON (+DOX), silencing of TFAP4 markedly decreased the percentage of GFP(+) cells, which demonstrate that silencing TFAP4 inhibits cell proliferation only when MYCN expression is high.

To further validate synthetic lethality of TFAP4 to MYCN amplification, we examined three MYCN-amplified human neuroblastoma cell lines (BE(2)C, NGP and SK-N-DZ) and three non-MYCN-amplified human neuroblastoma cell lines (CHLA-255, CHLA-20, and SH-SY5Y). Four
different constructs of siRNAs were used to silence TFAP4 and compared with scrambled siRNA (Supplementary Fig. S5). After 96 h of siRNA transfection, silencing TFAP4 significantly reduced survival of MYCN-amplified cell lines by an average of 49% in NGP, 37% in SK-N-DZ, and 32% in BE(2)C, whereas cell survival of non-MYCN-amplified lines CHLA-255, CHLA-20, and SH-SY5Y, was unaffected (Fig. 3d).

To evaluate the long-term effects of silencing TFAP4, we generated stable cell lines with doxycycline-inducible shRNAs against TFAP4. Silencing TFAP4 significantly reduced growth of MYCN-amplified NGP and SK-N-DZ cells by 25% and 35%, respectively, at 6 days after shRNA addition.

Table 1  Cox proportional hazards analysis on the NRC cohort patient samples

|        | Hazard ratio | CI05  | CI95    | P value |
|--------|--------------|-------|---------|---------|
| TFAP4  | 6.22         | 3.53  | 10.94   | 2.43E-10|
| TFAP4 + stage | 3.29   | 1.89  | 5.73    | 2.67E-05|
| TFAP4 + age  | 3.54   | 2.02  | 6.19    | 9.79E-06|
| TFAP4 + MYCN | 1.76   | 0.82  | 3.78    | 1.45E-01|
| TFAP4 + stage + age + MYCN | 1.72  | 0.79  | 3.74    | 1.68E-01|

CI05 = Confidence Interval 0.05
CI95 = Confidence Interval 0.95

**Fig. 2** TFAP4 expression is upregulated by MYCN and is strongly correlated with patient survival. a Kaplan–Meier curve depicting corresponding increase in poor outcome with increasing expression of TFAP4. P value was calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model after removing stage 1 patient samples. b Kaplan–Meier curve depicting corresponding increase in poor outcome with increasing TFAP4 activity. P value was calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model after removing stage one patient samples. c Box plot of TFAP4 expression in stage 4 MYCN-amplified patients (red), stage 4 non-MYCN-amplified patients (yellow) and stage 1 low-risk patients (green). Expression data are from NCI TARGET (left) and NRC (right). d TFAP4 gene expression in SHEP21N cells with or without doxycycline. Gene expression was analyzed by qPCR and immunoblotting 72 h after doxycycline (1 μg/ml) addition. e ChIP assay showing that MYCN binds to the predicted binding site in the first intron of TFAP4, but not at a non-binding site in TFAP4. Chromatin was cross-linked and subjected to ChIP analysis with a MYCN specific antibody and, as a control, rabbit IgG. PCR analysis was performed with primers flanking the first canonical E-box in the first intron of TFAP4 or a control non-binding primer pair localized in the last intron of TFAP4. Primers flanking a canonical E-box in the known MYCN/c-MYC target gene prothymosin α (PTMA) [22], was used as a positive control.
induction, whereas growth of non-MYCN-amplified CHLA-255 and SH-SY5Y cells was not affected (Fig. 3e). We further validated the results by the soft agar colony formation assay. Silencing of TFAP4 significantly reduced colony formation in NGP by 47% and SK-N-DZ by 43% after 3 weeks, whereas there was no difference in CHLA-
Silencing TFAP4 selectively inhibits growth of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma xenograft tumors

We next validated TFAP4 synthetic lethality with MYCN amplification in a xenograft model. In total, 10⁶ luciferase-expressing NGP shTFAP4 cells were implanted intrarennally in nude mice. Mice were randomized after 7 days, and half the mice were given drinking water with doxycycline to induce silencing of TFAP4. From day 21 onwards, we observed significantly reduced tumor growth in the TFAP4-silenced group (+ Dox) compared with the control (−Dox) (Fig. 5a, b). Survival was defined as the day that the primary tumor luciferase flux reached 6 × 10⁹ photons/sec. Silencing of TFAP4 significantly increased median survival by 12.5 days (Fig. 5c; 66.0 vs. 53.5 days, + Dox vs. −Dox, respectively, P = 0.0058). There was no difference in tumor weight between the two groups, suggesting that the primary tumor luciferase flux was correlated with the tumor weight (Supplementary Fig. S11). There was also no difference in bioluminescence reading in circulating tumor cells, bone marrow, or liver between the two groups (Supplementary Fig. S12A–C), indicating that silencing TFAP4 did not affect metastasis. Decreased TFAP4 expression in +Dox tumors was verified by western blot (Fig. 5d). Western blot analysis also demonstrated an increase in markers of neuronal differentiation, that tumor progression was not due to escape from silencing of TFAP4. Tumors in which TFAP4 was silenced demonstrated an increase in markers of neuronal differentiation, with increased GAP43 by western blot (Fig. 5d), 2.4-fold by qPCR (Fig. 5e), and increased TH 1.6-fold by qPCR (Fig. 5f). We also validated the effect of silencing TFAP4 in MYCN-amplified SK-N-DZ tumors, using the same intrarennenal xenograft model. We also observed significantly reduced tumor growth in the TFAP4-silenced group (+Dox) compared with the control (−Dox), from day 31 onwards (Supplementary Fig. S13).

To determine whether silencing TFAP4 could inhibit the growth of established tumors, NGP tumors were allowed to grow for 24 days, before treating with doxycycline to silence TFAP4. Mice were killed when the primary tumor flux reached 6 × 10⁹ photons/sec. Although there was no difference in tumor flux for the initial days following addition of doxycycline (Supplementary Fig. S14A), silencing of TFAP4 in NGP tumors significantly prolonged overall survival by 13 days (Supplementary Fig. S14B);...
median survival 86 vs. 73 days, + Dox vs. – Dox, respectively \( P = 0.03 \).

We also determined whether silencing of TFAP4 would affect the growth of a non-MYCN-amplified xenograft. CHLA-255 shTFAP4 cells were implanted intrarenally, and doxycycline treatment started 7 days later (Fig. 5g, h). Silencing of TFAP4 had no effect on tumor growth as assessed by bioluminescence (Fig. 5g) or on survival (Fig. 5i). TFAP4 silencing in tumors was confirmed by western blot (Supplementary Fig. S15). We repeated the experiment with established CHLA-255 tumors and found that silencing of TFAP4 did not affect CHLA-255 growth or overall survival (Supplementary Fig. S14 C, D).

**Identification of genes regulated by TFAP4 in MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma**

To identify genes that are regulated by TFAP4 in MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma, we profiled genome-wide transcriptional changes by RNAseq 40 h after shRNA against TFAP4 was induced. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) analysis demonstrated that the expected TFAP4 targets were downregulated upon TFAP4 knockdown (Gene set:
CAGCTG_VSAP4_Q5, FDR 6.70E-08), validating the experiment. The rest of the analysis, however, identified only a few pathways (Supplemental Fig. S16), that did not appear related to TFAP4 function. We, therefore, opted to examine the expression of individual genes that were altered with silencing of TFAP4.

Silencing TFAP4 downregulated the expression of 457 genes (e.g., TFAP4-activated genes), and upregulated 415 genes (e.g., TFAP4-suppressed genes). The most significantly differentially expressed genes ($P < 0.001$), are shown in Fig. 6a, b. We validated TFAP4-activated genes by qPCR: Cyclin E2 ($CCNE2$; ranked 34th of 14893 genes analyzed in RNA sequencing profiling) involved in G1/S cell cycle progression; PAK4 (ranked 25th) and Rho-associated coiled-coil containing kinase 2 ($ROCK2$; ranked 16th) involved in focal adhesion and axon guidance. Silencing TFAP4 reduced $CCNE2$, $ROCK2$, and PAK4 expression in both NGP and SK-N-DZ (Fig. 6c). We also observed a significant positive correlation between the TFAP4 single sample VIPER
activity and CCNE2, ROCK2, and PAK4 expression (Fig. 6e).

We also validated TFAP4-suppressed genes by qPCR: DnaJ Heat Shock Protein Family (Hsp40) Member B2 (DNAJB2, ranked 5th) a co-chaperone regulator of Hsp70 that is expressed principally in the nervous system, Solute Carrier Family 12 Member 6 (SLC12A6, ranked 8th) a member of the K-Cl cotransporter (KCC) family, and Zinc Finger AN1-Type Containing 3 (ZFAND3, ranked 7th), which is expressed preferentially in post-meiotic cells in the testis during spermatogenesis. Silencing TFAP4 increased DNAJB2, SLC12A6, and ZFAND3 expression in both NGP and SK-N-DZ (Fig. 6d). We also observed a significant negative correlation between the TFAP4 single sample VIPER activity and DNAJB2, SLC12A6, and ZFAND3 expression (Fig. 6f).

Discussion

Although MYCN amplification has been identified as a marker of poor prognosis for neuroblastoma, no therapeutic drug has been developed to target MYCN directly. Here we combined whole-genome shRNA library screening and computational master regulator inference analysis to identify novel drug targets for MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma. Compared with previous MYC/MYCN driven synthetic lethal screens, our approach has several advantages. (1) We used a whole-genome shRNA library rather than a subset of shRNAs. This unbiased selection of library allowed us to discover novel synthetic lethal interactors whose function was previous not well studied. (2) MARINa analysis is an algorithm that interrogates patient expression databases and predicts a short list of master regulators that drives
development of a certain disease phenotype. Correlating the functional shRNA screen results with analysis of master regulators of MYCN amplification neuroblastoma allowed us to overcome the limitations of in vitro shRNA screening and provided a robust short list of synthetic lethal master regulators. We identified four MRs: TFAP4, MRRF, PRKDC, and ZNF77. Among them, PRKDC was previously validated as a synthetic lethal gene discovered in a screen with kinase shRNA pool in MYC-overexpressed cells [8], a finding that supports the robustness of our approach.

We selected TFAP4 as our top candidate. Reports have shown that TFAP4 regulates a number of biological processes including cell cycle [25, 28], senescence [29], immune response [30], epithelial to mesenchymal transition [31], and maintenance of stemness [29, 32]. Here, we demonstrate that TFAP4 is a direct target of MYCN, and that its expression is significantly upregulated in stage 4 MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma. We further validated synthetic lethality of TFAP4 with MYCN amplification in vitro and in vivo in a range of neuroblastoma cell lines. Our results are consistent with those of Xue et al. [33], who reported that silencing of TFAP4 inhibited proliferation of MYCN-expressing cell lines in vitro.

Mechanistically, we observed that in MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma cells, silencing of TFAP4 induces neurite outgrowth and upregulation of the neuronal marker GAP43. Consistent with this observation, TFAP4 has been reported to inhibit differentiation, as TFAP4 upregulated the expression of two colorectal cancer stem cell markers, CD44 and LGR5 [29]. TFAP4 levels were found to gradually decline during development, from embryonic to adult brain [32]. In nonneuronal cells, TFAP4 forms a repressor complex with Geminin and HDAC3 to downregulate the neuronal gene PAHX-AP1 [32]. TFAP4 has also been shown to represses the neuronal DBH genes by interaction with GATA-3 and Sp1 [34].

Cells undergoing differentiation frequently display slower cell cycle progression. TFAP4 has been shown to repress the cell cycle checkpoint gene CDKNIA in a breast cancer cell line MCF7 [25]. Our cell cycle profiling results show that silencing TFAP4 inhibited G1 cell cycle progression. We validated that one of the top TFAP4 targets CCNE2, encoding cyclin E2 protein, is activated by TFAP4. Cyclin E2 partners with CDK2 at the G1/S checkpoint, and overexpression accelerates G1 cell cycle progression [35]. Thus, TFAP4 may contribute to accelerating cell cycle progression via upregulation of CCNE2.

Genes involved in blocking differentiation frequently function in epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). Pathways involved in EMT promote the loss of cell adhesion and gain migratory abilities [36]. A genome-wide expression study showed that numerous genes implicated in EMT were differentially regulated by TFAP4 [37]. Among them, the regulation of the EMT-associated genes CDH1 and SNAIL were further validated in colorectal cancer cell lines DLD-1 and HT29. We showed that TFAP4 upregulated the top two genes involved in epithelial to mesenchymal transition, PAK4 and ROCK2. Both ROCK2 and PAK4 are signaling molecules that function in cytoskeleton rearrangement and cell migration [38, 39]. Their expression is frequently upregulated in metastatic cancers [40–42]. Moreover, silencing of PAK4 has been shown to reduce neuronal progenitor cell proliferation and self-renewal ability [43]. ROCK2 has also been shown to inhibit neuronal differentiation in neuroblastoma, as both knockdown of ROCK2 [44], and a novel ROCK2 inhibitor [45] increases neurite outgrowth. Although SNAIL and CDH1 were not identified in our RNAseq analysis, it is possible that TFAP4 regulates a different set of EMT effectors and may restrict differentiation of MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma by this mechanism as well.

Taken together, we propose a model that posits that, in MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma, high levels of MYCN upregulate the master regulator TFAP4, which in turn activates downstream oncogenic signaling pathways to promote cell cycle progression and inhibit neuroblastoma differentiation. TFAP4 and MYCN may regulate different sets of genes involved in these processes. As a result, TFAP4 may play additional oncogenic roles in MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma tumorigenesis. As TFAP4-null mice are normal and fertile, TFAP4 is not essential for development or normal physiological function. Thus, TFAP4 is an attractive therapeutic target, as it is both synthetically lethal and a master regulator for MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture**

Neuroblastoma cell lines NGP and SHEY-21 N (gift of Dr. Garrett Brodeur), SH-SY5Y (ATCC) were cultured in Rosewell Park Memorial Institute medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen). Neuroblastoma cell lines SK-N-SH, BE(2)C, SK-N-DZ (ATCC), CHLA-20, and CHLA-255 (gift of Dr. C. Patrick Reynolds) were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco) with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cell lines were incubated humidified at 37°C and 5% CO₂. All cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling. Cells were checked routinely for the absence of mycoplasma.
Whole-genome shRNA screen

SHEP-21 N cells were infected with a whole-genome pGIPZ lentiviral shRNA-mir library (gift of Dr. Jose Silva) in independent duplicates. Antibiotic selection was initiated 3 days after infection with 1 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma). After selection, each replicate was divided into two populations and cultured in the absence or presence of 1 µg/ml doxycycline for 10 doubling times. Genomic DNA was extracted from the cell populations, and the shRNA regions were PCR amplified. The PCR products were hybridized to a customized microarray (Agilent). Statistical analysis method of the microarray data is described in ref. [23].

Master regulator inference analysis

The two databases used in this study are the NCI TARGET database (http://target.nci.nih.gov), and the European Neuroblastoma Research Network database (https://www.siopen-r-net.org/). Patient-derived gene expression profiles were analyzed using ARACNe (Algorithm for the Reconstruction of Accurate Cellular Networks) algorithm to infer a neuroblastoma-specific transcriptional interaction network [46]. Differential expression signatures were then calculated by comparing MYCN-amplified samples against Stage 1 patients. The VIPER algorithm was used to compute the normalized enrichment score (NES) and the master regulators were then ranked according to their NES [15].

Cell competition assay

SHEP21N cells were infected with pGIPZ-shTFAP4 (Dharmacon V3LHS_301156) or pGIPZ control plasmid. The GFP + pGIPZ-shTFAP4 or the pGIPZ control SHEP21N cells were mixed in equal amounts with the parental SHEP21N cells (GFP −) and plated in 10 cm tissue culture plates. Cells were collected at various time points and percentage of GFP in the population was analyzed by FACS analyzer (LSRII Flow cytometer, BD).

Cell proliferation assays

Neuroblastoma cells were transiently transfected with 50 nM siRNA (Dharmacon LQ-009504-00-0002) packed in DharmaFECT reagents (Dharmacon #T-2001-01). The transfected cells were then seeded into 96-well plates. Cells were stained with 10 µg/ml fluorescein diacetate and 0.1% Eosin Y (Sigma) 96 h after transfection. Fluorescence from stained live cells was measured by Dimscan, as described in ref. [47].

Colony formation assays

Neuroblastoma cells with shRNAs (Dharmacon V3THS_301154, V3THS_301158) were plated in semisolid media as follows in six-well plates: 0.6% agar (Fisher Scientific), neuroblastoma cells infected with pTRIPZ-shRNA in 0.3% agar, and appropriate media with or without doxycycline (1 µg/ml). Cells were re-fed with 2 ml medium every 2 days until colonies were macroscopic. The colonies were stained with 1 mg/ml Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide (Sigma). Photos of the stained colonies were taken and pixels of colonies were quantified using Adobe Photoshop software.

TUNEL assay

Neuroblastoma cells with pTRIPZ-shRNA were plated on 96-wells plate. Apoptosis was evaluated by TUNEL three days after doxycycline induction, using the HT TiterTACS colorimetric apoptosis detection kit (Trevigen #4822-96-K).

Western blot

Cells were lysed in protein lysis buffer (× 10 RIPA buffer (Sigma), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and protease

### Table 2 Primer sequences for real-time PCR

| Oligonucleotides | Forward | Reverse |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| ACTB-For         | ATTGGCAATGAGCGGTTC |         |
| ACTB-Rev         | CTGGGATGCCACAGAAGCT |         |
| CCNE2-For        | GGGAAACATTITATCTTGCA |         |
| CCNE2-Rev        | TCTGCAAGGACACCAGGAC |         |
| PAK4-For         | CACCCGGGACATCAAGAG |         |
| PAK4-Rev         | CAGAACCCCAAGCTCGACAGC |         |
| ROCK2-For        | CGGTGATCGCAGACCT |         |
| ROCK2-Rev        | TTGTTTITCTCCTAAGAG |         |
| MYCN-For         | GACACAGACTGTAGCGATCCG |         |
| MYCN-Rev         | TTTAATACCGGGGTTGCTTCC |         |
| TFAP4-For        | AGGTCTCCGTTGCTTCTG |         |
| TFAP4-Rev        | GGAGAOTGGCGAATTCTAGTG |         |

*Pre-designed TaqMan® Assay Primer/Probe Sets*

| Gene   | Applied Biosystems          |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| CYC    | 4326316E                    |
| DNAJB2 | Hs01047948_m1               |
| GAP43  | Hs00967138_m1               |
| HPRT1  | 4326321E                    |
| MYC    | Hs00153408_m1               |
| MYCN   | Hs00232074_m1               |
| SLC12A6| Hs00994548_m1               |
| TFAP4  | Hs01558245_m1               |
| TH     | Hs00165941_m1               |
| ZFAND3 | Hs00938278_m1               |
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inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Tumor tissue was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen then homogenized in the same protein lysis buffer. The following antibodies were used for western blotting: anti-MYCN (1:200; Santa-Cruz Biotechnology #sc-53993), anti-TFAP4 (1:200; Santa-Cruz Biotechnology #sc-18593), anti-MYC (1:200, Santa-Cruz Biotechnology #sc-40), anti-GAP43 (1:20000; Novus Biologicals #NB300-143), and anti-β-actin (1:5000; Cell Signaling #4967 S).

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cell lines or snap-frozen xenograft tissue using the RNEASY mini kit (Qiagen #74106). The Verso cDNA Kit (Thermo Scientific #AB1453A) was used to transcribe cDNAs. Relative gene expression was measured on ABI 7300 real-time PCR System. Sequence of the primers or catalog number of the commercial primers are listed in Table 2.

**Neurite quantification**

Neuroblastoma cells lines with pTRIPZ-shRNA were grown in culture for 8 days. Images at × 20 were obtained and individual neurites were visually identified and traced using Adobe Photoshop software. Neurite length was quantified, with those less than a cell body diameter excluded.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

NGP cells were processed following manufacturer’s instruction using truChIP chromatin shearing kit (Covaris #520154). Chromatin was sheared by sonication (Covaris, S-220 series). Pre-clearing, incubating with antibodies, and reversal of cross-linking was performed with EZ-ChIP immunoprecipitation kit (Millipore #17-371). Anti-MYCN antibody (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology #sc-53993) and rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Sigma #M7023) were used.

Primer sequences (5′–3′) used for PCR amplification (TFAP4 primers from Jung et al., [25]) are listed in Table 3.

**Animal experiments**

NGP and CHLA-255 cells were infected with pTRIPZ-shRNA and fuv-luc plasmid, which constitutively expresses luciferase. Athymic mice, 4–6 weeks of age (Taconic) were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine, an incision made at the left flank, and 10^6 cells injected into the renal parenchyma [48]. Seven days or 24 days after implantation, mice were randomized and half of the mice were giving drinking water with 2 mg/ml doxycycline. No blinding was done. Luminescence of tumor cells was measured twice a week using a bioluminescence imaging system (Xenogen) until luminescence reaches threshold of 6 × 10^9 photons/sec. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Columbia University (Protocol number AC-AAAM5603). Sample sizes were chosen based on our previous experience and in compliance with the protocol.

**Immunohistochemistry staining**

Tissue serial section of 5 µm were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and heat-mediated antigen retrieval was performed using DAKO solution. Tissue was stained with anti-TFAP4 primary antibody (1:100; Thermo Scientific #PA5-40702) and appropriate secondary antibodies (Vector).

**RNA sequencing**

Cells were treated with or without doxycycline (1 µg/ml) for 40 h. Quality of mRNA was analyzed by bioanalyzer (Agilent). RNA sequencing was conducted at the Columbia Genome Center (http://genomecenter.columbia.edu) using the Illumina platform, and performed at 30 million reads per sample. RNAseq libraries were aligned to hg19 human genome using TopHat. Raw counts of each gene were generated using GenomicFeatures R-system package (Bioconductor). Data were transformed/adapted for linear modeling using voom and differential expression calculated using the limma Bioconductor R package as previously
described [49]. The data are available at Gene Expression Omnibus with accession code GSE84389.

We used GSEA in order to calculate differentially active pathways and gene sets. Gene set collections where contained in the database with an accession code GSE84389. This database provided a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicated if material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was performed at least three times. Values are expressed as mean ± SD. Means for the in vitro experiments were compared using two-tailed t test or one-way analysis of variance. For each experiment, the exact sample size, the number of replicates, and the statistical results were stated in the result section. Sample sizes and statistical analysis were chosen based on our previous experience and recommendation of biostatistician. Statistical analysis for the in vitro and in vivo experiments were performed using Prism5 software (GraphPad). Survival of neuroblastoma xenograft models was determined by Log-rank (Mantel–Cox). Survival analysis in the NRC cohort was performed using the survival R package.

Code availability

The VIPER and msVIPER algorithms is available as an R package in Bioconductor (https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/viper.html)
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