Towards the Synthesis of Graphene Azide from Graphene Oxide
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Abstract: In the last decades, organic azides have proven to be very useful precursors in organic chemistry, for example in 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions (click-chemistry). Likewise, azides can be introduced into graphene oxide with an almost intact carbon framework, namely oxo-functionalized graphene (oxo-G$_1$), which is a highly oxidized graphene derivative and a powerful precursor for graphene that is suitable for electronic devices. The synthesis of a graphene derivative with exclusively azide groups (graphene azide) is however still a challenge. In comparison also hydrogenated graphene, called graphene or halogenated graphene remain challenging to synthesize. A route to graphene azide would be the desoxygenation of azide functionalized oxo-G$_1$. Here we show how treatment of azide functionalized oxo-G$_1$ with HCl enlarges the $\pi$-system and removes strongly adsorbed water and some oxo-functional groups. This development reflects one step towards graphene azide.
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1. Introduction

Graphene is a 2D nanomaterial consisting out of exclusively sp$^2$ hybridized carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice. Intriguing properties like a high flexibility, transparency of 97.7% (visible regime) [1–3], charge carrier mobility values ranging from $10^3$ up to $10^6$ cm$^2$/Vs [4,5] and a superior mechanical strength were discovered that originate from the honeycomb lattice [6–9]. In addition to pure graphene it can also be expected that novel properties can be discovered or engineered by the development of the chemistry on graphene. Therefore, the chemical modification is very promising for future medical and technical applications. Up to now, chemistry on the surface of graphene, edges and defect sites is just emerging. However, a complex task for the controlled chemistry of graphene results due to the inhomogeneity of natural graphite and related graphene [10–15]. The oxidation of graphite is known to yield graphene oxide in high yield, however lattice defects due to over-oxidation hamper the development of its controlled chemistry. In contrast, oxo-functionalized graphene (oxo-G$_1$) bears defined amounts of lattice defects and they play therefore a minor role and surface chemistry is enabled. A typical density of lattice defects of about 0.3%–0.8% is achieved [14]. C-O bonds dominate the chemical motives in oxo-G$_1$, but recently we succeeded in converting C-O bonds to C-N bonds by introducing the azide group [13]. We could show that organosulfate is substituted in solids by treatment of oxo-G$_1$ with sodium azide and the major chemical functional groups of that derivative are hydroxyl and azide groups. Consequently, hydroxyl and azide functionalized graphene was produced with hydroxyl groups in majority. While graphene...
oxide is known for a long time, graphene decorated with exclusively azide groups is completely unknown. Also the synthesis of other “pure” derivatives, like graphane (fully hydrogenated graphene) [16,17] and graphene halides are still challenging [18,19]. Such structures, except graphane, would only bear an sp²-carbon lattice with only a single type of functional group attached to a sp³-carbon atom. It can be expected that graphene azide could act as a precursor for nitrogen doped graphene or functional graphene derivatives by introducing further addends.

Here we show how to functionalize single layers of oxo-G1 with azide groups (oxo-G1-N3) followed by HCl treatment. We observe an increased amount of aromatic C=C bonds and a significant removal of adsorbed water leading to a hydrophobic derivative of oxo-G1-N3. The product is analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis coupled with mass spectrometry (TGA-MS), UV-Vis, FTIR, Raman and elemental analysis (EA). Although hydroxyl groups are still present in HCl treated oxo-G1-N3, the expanded π-system is one further step towards the synthesis of graphene azide (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1. Proposed reaction leading to graphene azide with some additional hydroxyl groups.](image)

2. Results and Discussion

First, oxo-G1 was prepared by our previously introduced method [20]. Therefore, natural graphite crystals were oxidized in concentrated sulfuric acid with potassium permanganate at temperatures below 5 °C, followed by purification through repetitive centrifugation and redispersion in water. Delamination by tip sonication yielded single layers of oxo-G1 with hydroxyl-, epoxy- and organosulfate groups on both sides of the π-system. Carbonyl-, carboxyl- and ether groups are introduced at edges and in plane defect sites, but play a minor role due to the large flake size and the almost intact carbon lattice as determined by statistical Raman microscopy (SRM, defects < 0.3%–0.8%). Oxo-G1 was subsequently treated with sodium azide to obtain azide-functionalized oxo-G1. A nitrogen content of 3.3% was determined by EA and due to the carbon content of about 45% it can be calculated that one azide group is bound to around every 50th carbon atom (2% of N3, Table 1). Accompanied with introducing azide, organosulfate is cleaved and thus, the sulfur content decreases from 4.3% in oxo-G1 to 1.1% for oxo-G1-N3. Moreover the C-N3 bond formation can be directly probed by FTIR spectroscopy and the stretching vibration is detected at 2121 cm⁻¹ (Figure 1). Also, TGA-MS supports that organosulfate was substituted by azide ions. As shown in Figure 2A the weight-loss step of organosulfate between 200 and 300 °C is only detectable for oxo-G1. This weight-loss step is accompanied with SO2 formation (m/z 64, Figure 2B) that originates from the reaction of organosulfate with the carbon grid. However, oxo-G1-N3 also bears hydroxyl groups and adsorbed water molecules. TGA-MS in Figure 2C reveals that water is released between room temperature and about 120 °C and 150 °C, detected by m/z 18 and a weight-loss of about 3%. Assuming that the elemental composition of oxo-G1-N3 contains in first approximation only C, H, N, S and O one can calculate a chemical formula of C₄₇.₅(OH)₂₈.₈(O)₅.₇N₃(OH₂)₂.₁(OSO₃)₀.₅. Labelling of azide by ¹⁵N enables the detection of ¹⁵N¹⁴N by TGA-MS at m/z 29 (Figure 2C) [12,21]. While oxo-G1 forms a yellow-brownish dispersion in water oxo-G1-N3 is darker. This darkening comes along with an increased absorbance over the whole visible range spectrum and a shift of the absorption maximum from 234 nm to 237 nm.
Table 1. Elemental analysis of oxo-G$_{1}$-$^{14}$N$_3$ and HCl treated derivatives.

| Sample Name     | C (%) | N (%) | H (%) | S (%) | O * (%) |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| oxo-G$_{1}$     | 45.9  | 0.0   | 2.4   | 4.3   | 47.4    |
| oxo-G$_{1}$-N$_3$ | 44.8  | 3.3   | 2.6   | 1.1   | 48.2    |
| oxo-G$_{1}$-N$_3$-(2 M HCl) | 54.8  | 4.5   | 2.6   | 0.8   | 37.3    |
| oxo-G$_{1}$-N$_3$-(12 M HCl) | 57.7  | 4.1   | 2.4   | 0.4   | 35.4    |

* O content calculated to meet 100%.

Figure 1. FTIR spectra of oxo-G$_{1}$-$^{14}$N$_3$ and differently HCl-treated, annealed (140 °C) and sodium boron hydride reduced samples of oxo-G$_{1}$-$^{14}$N$_3$.

Figure 2. (A) Comparison of thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results of oxo-G$_{1}$ (black), oxo-G$_{1}$-$^{15}$N$_3$ (green) and oxo-G$_{1}$-$^{15}$N$_3$-(HCl) (blue); (B) TGA results of oxo-G$_{1}$ with m/z traces of the most important peaks (m/z 18: H$_2$O; m/z 28: CO$_2$; m/z 29: $^{15}$N$_{14}$N; m/z 44: CO$_2$; m/z 45: H$_3$CCOH$^+$-fragment of 2-propanol and m/z 64: SO$_2$-fragment from organosulfate); (C) TGA results of oxo-G$_{1}$-$^{15}$N$_3$; (D) TGA results of oxo-G$_{1}$-$^{15}$N$_3$-(HCl).
As depicted in Figure 3A the intensity ratio of the D and G peak is roughly 1.1 for GO and the G peak at about 1580 cm\(^{-1}\) is another indication for the restoration of graphene patches. FTIR spectroscopy on aqueous HCl treated oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) is depicted in Figure 1. For oxo-G\(_1\) FTIR features have been investigated since many years [22–28]. Regarding to the literature, peaks of oxo-G\(_1\) and oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) can be related to the following functional groups: 3600–3000 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-OH); 2360* cm\(^{-1}\) (CO\(_2\)); 2123/2112 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(^{14}\)N\(_3\)/\(^{15}\)N\(_3\)) 1730 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=O); 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) (adsorbed H\(_2\)O); 1575 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=C); 1433 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-OH or carboxylate); 1254 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-O-C), 1060 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-O or C-C) [22–28]. After addition of diluted HCl the oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) dispersion began to precipitate within minutes. A precipitate was immediately formed upon treatment with concentrated HCl. FTIR spectra were recorded on drop casted samples on ZnSe-windows (Figure 1). Interestingly, the peak at 1575 cm\(^{-1}\) develops with increasing reaction time and acid concentration, whereas the peak at 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) declines and completely disappears (Figure 1). Since the signal at 1575 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to C=C bonds it can be assumed that the sp\(^2\) carbon network is growing. By the treatment of oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) with HCl for 60 h, the FTIR spectra become less complex in the region between 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2250 cm\(^{-1}\) and the spectra are very similar to NaBH\(_4\) treated oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) and temperature annealed oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) (140 °C), respectively. Moreover the absorption at 1215 cm\(^{-1}\) of reduced oxo-G\(_1\) is different compared to 1254 cm\(^{-1}\) for HCl treated oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) (oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\)-HCl). Importantly, the azide-peak (\(^{14}\)N\(_3\): 2123 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^{15}\)N\(_3\): 2112 cm\(^{-1}\)) is preserved upon treatment with diluted HCl (diluted 0.5 M–2 M for 60 h) and concentrated HCl treatment for very short reaction times (minutes to few hours). Long reaction times and higher acid concentration result both in cleavage of the azide group, e.g., 7 days treatment with 1 M HCl. Moreover the azide group is not stable upon treatment with a reducing agent, such as NaBH\(_4\), as well as temperature annealing [21].

To clear up a potential loss of moieties, we further analyzed washed and freeze-dried oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\)-(HCl) by EA (Table 1) and and TGA-MS (Figure 2D). EA indicates an increased carbon content for oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\)-(HCl) (2M HCl treatment) of 54.8%, a decreased sulfur content to 0.4% and 4.5% nitrogen. Interestingly, the hydrogen content remained constant with 2.6%. TGA-MS reveals that the m/z 18 peak up to a temperature of 120 °C is smaller compared to oxo-G\(_1\) or oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\). This detection is in line with the observation that oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) is almost not dispersible in water but in a mixture 1/1 mixture of 2-propanol. Also for purification, by means of centrifugation and redispersion, 2-propanol was necessary as an additional solvent. Consequently, the powder of isolated oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\)-(HCl) (freeze-fried) still contains some 2-propanol, as indicated by the m/z 45 signal, that relates to the H\(_2\)COH\(^+\) mass fragment of 2-propanol, with cleaved methyl radical (Figure 2D, green). Therefore, it is difficult to assign the 3% weight-loss up to 120–150 °C to a single solvent, despite water can also be cleaved from 2-propanol. Anyhow, since the hydrogen content in oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\)-(HCl) is quite high, it seems likely that some 2-propanol is still present that consist of 1 oxygen and 8 hydrogen atoms. With that in mind we can calculate a molecular formula of C\(_{42}\)(OH)\(_{20}\)N\(_3\)(OSO\(_3\))\(_{0.2}\) (2-propanol)\(_{0.5}\). Within the experimental error, one can conclude that at least epoxy- and some hydroxyl groups were removed from the carbon lattice of oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\), leaving azide bound to the graphene lattice. A growing π-system upon HCl treatment of oxo-G\(_1\)-N\(_3\) is also apparent by comparing UV-Vis spectra. As depicted in Figure 3B the absorption maximum shifts to 242 nm, what is another indication for the restoration of graphene patches.

Raman spectroscopy is a tool that developed to the major characterization technique for graphene materials and the ratio of the intensity of the defect induced D peak at about 1340 cm\(^{-1}\) to the G peak at about 1580 cm\(^{-1}\) can be used to determine the size of intact graphene patches [29–31]. As depicted in Figure 3A the intensity ratio of the D and G peak is roughly 1.1 for GO and the
peaks appear very broad typical for oxo-G\textsubscript{1} and GO in general. For comparison, oxo-G\textsubscript{1} was chemically reduced by vapor of hydriodic acid and trifluoroacetic acid and the spectrum indicated graphene with a density of defects between roughly 0.3\%–0.8\% (I\textsubscript{D}/I\textsubscript{G} ratio of 3.0) \cite{29,30,32}. Raman spectra of oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3}-(HCl), treated with 12M HCl, indicate the growth of graphene like patches by the increased I\textsubscript{D}/I\textsubscript{G} from 1.1 to 1.4. By measuring unreduced and so highly functionalized oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3}-(HCl) an increase of I\textsubscript{D}/I\textsubscript{G} ratio indicates a reduction of defect sites as we are in the high defect regime \cite{29,32}.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** (A) UV-Vis spectra of oxo-G\textsubscript{1} in water, oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3} and oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3}-(HCl) in a 1/1 mixture of water/2-propanol; (B) Mean Raman spectra of films (on SiO\textsubscript{2}/Si wafer) of oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3}, compared to oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3} treated with HCl and HI/TFA, respectively (measurement of the same area before and after treatment of oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3}).

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. Chemicals and Instrumentation

Graphite grade 3061 was purchased from Asbury carbon mills (Asbury, NJ, USA). Potassium permanganate, sulfuric acid, hydrogen peroxide, sodium azide and hydrogen chloride solution were purchased from Sigma Aldrich\textsuperscript{\textregistered} (St. Louis, MO, USA) and double distilled water from Carl Roth\textsuperscript{\textregistered} (Karlsruhe, Germany). A Sigma 4K15 centrifuge was used with 200 mL plastic beakers. Transmission FTIR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor27 (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) and ZnSe-windows had been used. TGA-MS was performed on a Netzsch Skimmer STA 409 CD coupled with mass spectroscopy (NETZSCH-Gruppe, Selb, Germany). Raman spectra were recorded with the confocal Raman spectrometer LabRAM Aramis (Horiba, Kyoto-Shi, Japan) equipped with a second harmonic 532 nm Nd-YAG laser for excitation. The acquisition was set to 0.2 s. The absorbance of our samples was recorded using quartz cuvettes and a Lambda 1050 (Perking-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) in the wavelength from 200 to 600 nm.

#### 3.2. Synthesis of Oxo-G\textsubscript{1} and Oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3}

Dry oxo-G\textsubscript{1} and oxo-G\textsubscript{1}-N\textsubscript{3} powder were synthesized as described in the literature \cite{20}. Graphite crystals (1 g) were mixed with 24 mL of sulfuric acid (98\%) for 1 h followed by the slowly addition of potassium permanganate (2 g) over 4 h. The mixture was further stirred for 16 h. After the stepwise dilution of the reaction mixture with diluted sulfuric acid (20\%, 20 mL, 4 h) and pure water (100 mL, 16 h) we added aqueous hydrogen peroxide (5\%, 40 mL, 40 min) and centrifuged for 6 times (1500 RCF, 10 min). This mixture was delaminated with by tip sonication for 4 min at 20 W with a flat titan tip. The obtained dispersion was again centrifuged for a few times to remove non-monolayer particles (RCF < 1500, 30 min) and smallest nano-particles (13,000 RCF, 45 min). We obtained a stable,
yellow-brownish oxo-G₁ dispersion with main flake sizes of 1 µm to 20 µm. The concentration of 0.488 mg/mL was calculated by freeze-drying a small amount of the dispersion.

To obtain oxo-G₁-N₃, the dispersion containing 100 mg oxo-G₁ was stirred with 80 mg of Na¹⁴N₃ for 1 h followed by freeze-drying. Purification was accomplished by repetitive centrifugation (3 times, 13,000 RCF, 45 min). The yield after lyophilization was 77.6 mg [13]. Oxo-G₁-¹⁵N₃ was produced similarly, but on half scale (yield: 38.8 mg).

3.3. Preparation of Oxo-G₁-N₃(HCl)

All dried samples had been dispersed in pure water to obtain dispersions with a concentration of 1 mg/mL (10 mg, 10 mL) before the treatment with HCl(aq). To this, 10 mL of differently concentrated HCl solutions (0.5 M; 1.0 M; 2.0 M; 12 M) were added. The samples were centrifuged for purification (3 times, 13,000 RCF, 45 min) and redispersed with water or 50:50 water/isopropanol.

To obtain a temperature annealed oxo-G₁-N₃ samples, few drops of the dispersion had been dropped onto a ZnSe window and put onto a heated magnetic stirrer to obtain a temperature annealed sample (140 °C, 10 s). For a chemical reduced reference, a few mL of the dispersion (10 mg oxo-G₁-N₃) were treated with a small excess of sodium borhydride (16 mg), purified by repetitive centrifugation and dropped on ZnSe windows after redispersion.

4. Conclusions

We observed changes of the physical properties of HCl treated oxo-G₁-N₃. FTIR spectroscopy confirmed an enlarging of aromatic areas due to assumed rearrangement and reduction. A reduction is plausible if chloride can be oxidized by protonated oxo-G₁-N₃. Regular reduction protocols of oxo-G₁-N₃ samples as for examples NaBH₄ or HI/TFA lead to an unselective cleavage of all surface moieties resulting in graphene [14,33]. Therefore, the here presented investigation preserves most of azide groups on the carbon lattice, while oxo-functional groups are removed. However, the HCl treatment of oxo-G₁-N₃ does not lead to a complete cleavage of oxygen containing moieties, but at least the π-system enlarged as evidenced by TGA-MS, EA, Raman, FTIR and UV/Vis. The regiochemistry of hydroxyl groups and azide groups remains, however, speculative. Thus, the work presented here represents one step towards the synthesis of graphene azide, a derivative of graphene that stands in line with graphene or halogenated graphene.
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