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Abstract Large-scale industries do not operate in isolation, but have tangible impacts on human and planetary health. An often overlooked actor in the fight against noncommunicable diseases is the palm oil industry. The dominance of palm oil in the food processing industry makes it the world’s most widely produced vegetable oil. We applied the commercial determinants of health framework to analyse the palm oil industry. We went on to show how the industry’s mutually profitable relationship with the processed food industry and its impact on human and planetary health, including detrimental cultivation practices that are linked to respiratory illnesses, deforestation, loss of biodiversity and pollution. This analysis illustrates many parallels to the contested nature of practices adopted by the alcohol and tobacco industries. The article concludes with suggested actions for researchers, policy-makers and the global health community to address and mitigate the negative impacts of the palm oil industry on human and planetary health.

Introduction

Public health discourse increasingly focuses on the role of alcohol, tobacco and sugar in the growing burden of noncommunicable diseases. Increasingly this dialogue highlights how, in the pursuit of increased profits, the industries involved in these products aim to shape public and political opinion as well as influence research outcomes to influence policies that endanger public health.1,2 The palm oil industry is missing from this dialogue.

Palm oil is one of the world’s most commonly used vegetable oils, present in around half of frequently used food and consumer products, from snacks to cosmetics.3–4 Worldwide production of the oil has increased from 15 million tonnes in 1995 to 66 million tonnes in 2017. The rapid expansion in use is attributed to yields nearly four times other vegetable oil crops, with similar production costs; favourable characteristics for the food industry (its relatively high smoke point and being semisolid state at room temperature); and strategies aimed at ensuring government policies are supportive to the expansion of palm oil cultivation, production and use.5 While these factors associated with palm oil offer clear advantages for the processed food industry, the oil contains a much higher percentage of saturated fats compared to other vegetable oils.6 Although its negative health impacts are contested,7 a meta-analysis of increased palm oil consumption in 23 countries found a significant relationship with higher mortality from ischaemic heart disease.8 Another systematic review found that palm oil consumption increased blood levels of atherogenic low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.9 As early as 2003, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) described the evidence linking saturated fat consumption with increased risk of cardiovascular disease as convincing.7

The indirect health impacts of oil-palm cultivation are less contested; clearing land for plantations by slash-and-burn practices has led to recurring episodes of harmful haze in South-East Asia.10 The most recent occurrence, in 2015, led to an estimated 100 000 premature deaths in the region from pollutants and documented increases in respiratory, eye and skin diseases.11 The impact of the industry on planetary health, that is, “the health of human civilisation and the state of the natural systems on which it depends”,12 through the cultivation practices of oil-palm trees has also been well-documented. This entails large-scale deforestation, including loss of up to 50% of trees in some tropical forest areas; endangerment of at-risk species; increased greenhouse gas emissions (due to deforestation and drainage of peat bogs); water and soil pollution; and the rise of certain invasive species.13,14

Estimations suggest that more than two-thirds of the palm produced goes to food products, making the processed food industry’s relationship with the palm oil industry critical.15 With the United States Food and Drug Administration’s ban on trans-fatty acids (TFA) due to their potential adverse health impacts in 2015,16 and a similar recommendation by the WHO in 2018,17 an increase in the use of palm oil as a potential replacement for TFA in ultra-processed foods could be anticipated. This paper aims to describe the relationship between the palm oil and processed food industries and how these interconnect with public and planetary health. Box 1 lists the key terminology in the palm oil industry.

Approach

The commercial determinants of health are defined as “strategies and approaches used by the private sector to promote products and choices that are detrimental to health.”18 We adapted a 2016 framework on the commercial determinants of health (Fig. 1) and applied it to the palm oil industry to review the three domains: (i) drivers (internationalization of trade and capital, expanding outreach of corporations and demands of economic growth); (ii) channels (marketing, supply chains, lobbying and corporate citizenship); and (iii) outcomes (on the environment, consumers and health). The environment component was adapted from the initial framework to expand the scope beyond the social environment.

---

1 United Nations Children’s Fund, World Trade Centre Block 6 (10th Floor), Jalan Jenderal Sudirman Kav. 29-31, Jakarta 12920, Indonesia.

2 Department of Global Health and Development, The London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, England.

3 College of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, England.

Correspondence to Sowmya Kadandale (email: skadandale@unicef.org).

Submitted: 15 July 2018 – Revised version received: 4 November 2018 – Accepted: 5 November 2018 – Published online: 8 January 2018

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.18.220434
Drivers

Internationalization of trade and capital

Oil-palm plantations cover over 27 million hectares worldwide, an area approximately the size of New Zealand. The industry is estimated to be worth 60 billion United States dollars (US$) and employs 6 million people, with an additional 11 million people indirectly dependent on it, particularly in rural areas where jobs can be scarce. In 2014, Indonesia and Malaysia accounted for over 53.3 million (85%) of the 62.4 million tonnes of global palm oil production and have rapidly expanded their farming and exports. Indonesia, for example, increased production from 19.2 tonnes in 2008 to 32.0 tonnes in 2016. The largest importers of palm oil are India, China, the European Union countries, Malaysia and Pakistan. The palm oil and processed food industries have mutually benefited from increased sales and consumption of products through rapid internationalization and trade. This trend is likely to continue as low- and middle-income countries increasingly move from eating fresh, minimally processed foods to ultra-processed products. Sales by manufacturers of ultra-processed foods containing palm oil have been expanding.

Expanding outreach of corporations

Although many companies use palm oil, processing and refining is concentrated in a limited number of corporations. Companies source their supply from their own concessions, from a large number of third-party suppliers and smallholders, both independent and tied through partnership agreements. Increasingly, large corporations are expanding palm-oil refining capacity, expanding the scope of industry concentration. Indonesia and Malaysia have used government policies, including subsidies and land incentives, to assist industry expansion and facilitate greater investment.

More than half the plantations in Indonesia are industrial estates of > 6000 hectares owned by private companies, with 40% smallholders with plantations < 25 hectares and 7% state-owned. When attempts are made to regulate oil-palm cultivation, industry leaders have highlighted the threat to smallholders’ livelihoods, making palm oil production a controversial political issue.

Demands of growth

The palm oil industry is projected to reach a production value of US$ 88 billion by 2022. The increasing availability of palm oil, alongside increasing numbers of countries banning TFA in processed foods, means that palm oil will likely remain the food industry’s preferred vegetable oil in ultra-processed foods. With China and India continuing to import palm oil for consumption, the growth in its use is anticipated to continue.

Channels

Marketing

Marketing of palm oil does not occur in the traditional sense. Responding to a backlash against accusations of poor environmental and labour practices, the industry has sought to portray its products as sustainable, while highlighting the contribution to poverty alleviation. For example, in advance of the European Union’s 2020 ban on palm oil as a biofuel, the industry launched advertisements featuring smallholder farmers whose livelihoods would be lost. There is also a mutual benefit for the palm oil and processed food industry, with the latter targeting advertisements for ultra-processed foods towards children (similar to efforts by the tobacco and alcohol industries in targeting children and adolescents) and the palm oil refining industry benefiting from the corresponding increase in sales of foods containing palm oil.

Supply chain

The global palm oil supply chain has many businesses, systems and structures, making it difficult to draw a clear

### Box 1. Key terminology in the palm oil industry

- **Smoke point**: temperature at which oil produces a continuous, clearly visible smoke. Important indicator of the stability of oil, a higher smoke point allows more versatility in cooking.
- **Trans fatty acids**: type of unsaturated fat associated with raising low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol that is known to increase the risk for heart disease and stroke.
- **Ultra-processed foods**: processed substances extracted or refined from whole foods, (such as fruits, crops or grains) e.g. oils, hydrogenated oils and fats, flours and starches, variants of sugar, and cheap parts or remnants of animal foods usually with little nutritional value compared to the original whole food.

### Fig. 1. Commercial determinants of health

[Diagram showing the flow of factors affecting the palm oil industry: Drivers, Channels, and Outcomes.]

Source: Republished with permission from Kickbusch et al. 2016.
line between the different components and identify the impact of each actor.\textsuperscript{23} For example, a recent brief by the nongovernmental organization (NGO) Ceres, unpacks the key elements of the supply chain and the American industries and companies linked to them (Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{34} Unilever PLC, who claim to be the largest user of physically certified palm oil in the consumer goods industry,\textsuperscript{35} recently published details of its entire palm oil supply chain; this included 300 direct suppliers and 1400 mills used in its food, personal care and biofuel products.\textsuperscript{26,27} The scale of the supply chain is massive and, even by the company’s own admission, social and environmental issues persist.\textsuperscript{26} The supply chain demonstrates a strong association between the palm oil and processed food industries. Global food processing corporations are further venturing into palm oil refining, creating blurred lines across the supply chain, making it difficult to hold individual actors accountable for any adverse outcomes.

**Lobbying**

Apart from establishing a strong lobbying presence in the European Union,\textsuperscript{1} the palm oil industry has fostered partnerships with policy and research institutes providing policy recommendations against regulation.\textsuperscript{26} For example, the industry-backed World Growth Institute criticised the World Bank’s framework for palm-oil engagement – which seeks prioritisation of smallholders over large corporations and cultivation of plantations on degraded land instead of forested land – as ‘anti-poor’.\textsuperscript{37} The palm oil industry has also sought to influence global health policy-making. For example, during the drafting of the 2003 WHO/FAO report on Diet, Nutrition and Prevention of Chronic Diseases, the Malaysian Palm Oil Promotion Council questioned the palm oil-related health concerns raised by the report and suggested that any efforts to curb consumption would threaten several million peoples’ livelihoods.\textsuperscript{33} These tactics, establishing lobbying structures in political and economic hubs, fighting regulations, attempting to undermine reliable sources of information and using poverty alleviation arguments, are similar to those pursued by the tobacco and alcohol industries.\textsuperscript{36,37}

**Corporate citizenship**

Several major companies and countries have joined to create industry associations to showcase their sustainability efforts. These are membership organizations composed of oil-palm growers, palm oil producers, consumer goods manufacturers, retailers, investors and NGOs which certify sustainability and fair labour standards and include entities such as the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil and country-specific groups in Indonesia and Malaysia. In 2017, the Roundtable certified approximately 13.4 tonnes (approximately 20%) of the global production as sustainable. The Roundtable also has partnerships with the United Nations Economic and Social Council, United Nations Environment and United Nations Children’s Fund, aimed at improving its members’ business practices. Twelve of the 16 Roundtable board members are representatives of palm oil processors, manufacturers, retailers, banks, investors or international food processing companies. The sustainability certification effort has been linked to limited amounts of reduced deforestation, with a recent study finding little impact on forest loss and fire detection.\textsuperscript{40} Other studies have found that the Roundtable’s board members were still associated with companies involved in mass deforestation.\textsuperscript{41} Investigations by NGOs have found child labour and human rights violations at Roundtable members’ plantations.\textsuperscript{42}
Despite some positive initiatives by the palm oil and processed food industries to cultivate, produce and source palm oil through sustainable, ethical practices, challenges remain. Agencies entering partnerships with industry-led initiatives are at risk of becoming complicit in detrimental practices. Indeed, NGOs such as Palm Oil Investigations withdrew support for the Roundtable after evidence of harmful business practices emerged.43

Outcomes

Given the importance of assessing the outcomes of the palm oil industry, we conducted a rapid review of the literature to better understand the impact on the environment, consumers and health. We made a keyword search initially via the PubMed® online database to identify peer-reviewed articles and subsequently via Google search engine to identify other sources of information (Box 2). The review was conducted in June and July 2018 and updated in October 2018. Of 435 articles identified and scanned, we included 40 peer-reviewed articles and eight articles from the grey literature (Fig. 3; Table 1).

Environment

Forest, peatland and biodiversity losses, increased greenhouse gas emissions and habitat fragmentation as well as pollution are environmental concerns continually linked to the palm oil industry.5,16,17,20,25,32,33,34,63,75,77 In response, countries including Indonesia and Malaysia are increasing industry regulation, seeking to prevent slash-and-burn prac-
**Table 1. Articles included from a rapid review of the literature on palm oil and environment, consumer and health outcomes**

| Author, Year | Type of article | Title |
|--------------|-----------------|-------|
| Edem, 2002<sup>44</sup> | Review | Palm oil: biochemical, physiological, nutritional, hematological, and toxicological aspects: a review |
| Sundram et al., 2003<sup>45</sup> | Review<sup>a</sup> | Palm fruit chemistry and nutrition |
| World Health Organization-Food & Agriculture Organization, 2003<sup>9</sup> | Report | Joint WHO–FAO expert consultation on diet, nutrition, and the prevention of chronic diseases<sup>46</sup> |
| Fitzherbert et al., 2008<sup>48</sup> | Research | How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? |
| Mukherjee & Mitra, 2009<sup>47</sup> | Review | Health effects of palm oil |
| Oguntibeju et al., 2009 <sup>49</sup> | Review | Red palm oil: nutritional, physiological and therapeutic roles in improving human wellbeing and quality of life |
| Bester et al., 2010<sup>50</sup> | Research | Cardiovascular effects of edible oils: a comparison between four popular edible oils |
| Hayes et al., 2010<sup>51</sup> | Review | Replacing trans fat: the argument for palm oil with a cautionary note on interesterification |
| Loganathan et al., 2010<sup>52</sup> | Review | Health promoting effects of phytosterols found in palm oil |
| Oyewole et al., 2010<sup>53</sup> | Review | Public health nutrition concerns on consumption of red palm-oil (RPO): the scientific facts from literature |
| Persson et al., 2010<sup>54</sup> | Research | Preserving the world’s tropical forests – a price on carbon may not do |
| Chen et al., 2011<sup>55</sup> | Systematic review | Multi-country analysis of palm oil consumption and cardiovascular disease mortality for countries at different stages of economic development: 1980–1997 |
| Anonymous, 2012<sup>56</sup> | Commentary | The other oil problem. The world’s growing appetite for cheap palm oil is destroying rain forests and amplifying climate change |
| Downs et al., 2013<sup>57</sup> | Research | Reformulating partially hydrogenated vegetable oils to maximise health gains in India: is it feasible and will it meet consumer demand? |
| Fattore et al., 2014<sup>58</sup> | Systematic review | Palm oil and blood lipid–related markers of cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of dietary intervention trials |
| Ho et al., 2014<sup>59</sup> | Research | Impact of 2013 South Asian haze crisis: study of physical and psychological symptoms and perceived dangerousness of pollution level |
| May et al., 2014<sup>60</sup> | Review<sup>a</sup> | Research advancements in palm oil nutrition: recent advancements in palm oil nutrition |
| Downs et al., 2015<sup>61</sup> | Research | The need for multisectoral food chain approaches to reduce trans fat consumption in India |
| Hosseini et al., 2015<sup>62</sup> | Research | Pollutant in palm oil production process |
| Mancini et al., 2015<sup>63</sup> | Review | Biological and nutritional properties of palm oil and palmitic acid: effects on health |
| Odia et al., 2015<sup>64</sup> | Review | Palm oil and the heart: a review |
| Sun et al., 2015<sup>65</sup> | Meta-analysis | Palm oil consumption increases LDL cholesterol compared with vegetable oils low in saturated fat in a meta-analysis of clinical trials |
| Whitmee et al., 2015<sup>66</sup> | Research | Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: report of The Rockefeller Foundation–Lancet Commission on planetary health |
| Boateng et al., 2016<sup>67</sup> | Review | Coconut oil and palm oil’s role in nutrition, health and national development: a review |
| Crippa et al., 2016<sup>68</sup> | Research | Population exposure to hazardous air quality due to the 2015 fires in Equatorial Asia |
| Koplitz et al., 2016<sup>69</sup> | Research | Public health impacts of the severe haze in Equatorial Asia in September–October 2015: demonstration of a new framework for informing fire management strategies to reduce downwind smoke exposure |
| UNICEF Indonesia, 2016<sup>70</sup> | Report | Palm oil and children in Indonesia: exploring the sector’s impact on children’s rights<sup>71</sup> |
| Vijay et al., 2016<sup>72</sup> | Research | The impacts of oil palm on recent deforestation and biodiversity loss |
| World Bank, 2016<sup>73</sup> | Report | The cost of fire: an economic analysis of Indonesia’s 2015 fire crisis<sup>74</sup> |
| Hermes, 2017<sup>75</sup> | Online media article | Lack of consumer demand for sustainable palm oil<sup>76</sup> |
| Karthik et al., 2017<sup>77</sup> | Research | Understanding the Southeast Asian haze |
| Lebbie et al., 2017<sup>78</sup> | Research | Predictors of hypertension in a population of undergraduate students in Sierra Leone |
| Loganathan et al., 2017<sup>79</sup> | Review<sup>a</sup> | Health-promoting effects of red palm oil: evidence from animal and human studies |
| Paddison, 2017<sup>80</sup> | Online media article | 70% of brands in Malaysia and Singapore don’t disclose palm oil use<sup>81</sup> |

(continues . . )
The World Wildlife Fund lists more palm oil products. They are eating or its safety.

Consumers may be unaware of what they are eating or its safety.

Health

Reports of the health impacts of palm oil consumption in foods are mixed.4,44,49,51,53,59,60,62,66,74,76 Some studies link consumption of palm oil to increased ischaemic heart disease mortality, raised low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, increased risk of cardiovascular disease and other adverse effects.8,9 Other studies show no negative effects2 or even favourable health outcomes from palm oil consumption.7,12,43,67,68,69,70,71,72,73 Four of the nine studies in our literature search showing overwhelmingly positive health associations were authored by the Malaysian Palm Oil Board, again drawing parallels with the tobacco and alcohol industries38,39 and calling into question the credibility of claims in favour of increased palm oil consumption. The contested nature of the evidence suggests the need for independent, comprehensive studies of the health impact of palm oil consumption. Countries such as Fiji, India and Thailand have initiated policy dialogues and analyses aimed at better understanding the role of palm oil in diets and best approaches to reducing saturated fats in the food chain, but these discussions are far from conclusive.4,52,58,64,67,70,73

More unequivocally, land-clearing practices for oil palm cultivation have major public health consequences. Since the 1990s, air pollution from slash-and-burn practices have affected the health of populations in South-East Asia, especially the most vulnerable groups of the population, such as infants and children.11,56 Haze episodes, even across country borders, have been linked to premature deaths and increased respiratory illness as well as cardiovascular diseases.52,71 Of major concern is the effect of exposure to particulate matter on fetal, infant and child mortality, as well as children’s cognitive, educational and economic attainment.51,52 The direct and indirect impact of the palm oil cultivation industry on children, including child labour practices, is especially concerning. In Indonesia, around half of 4 million people employed in the industry are estimated to be women. Even when they are not directly employed, children dependent on palm oil workers are adversely affected by inadequate maternity protection, low breastfeeding rates, lack of child-care opportunities, poor maternal health and nutrition, and difficulty in accessing education.44

Discussion

This paper illustrates how the palm oil industry, in close connection with the processed food industry, impacts human and planetary health. The impact also cuts across other sectors, such as education, child protection, as well as having implications for gender-related...
Box 3. Suggested actions to address the palm oil industry’s impact

Address impact on health

Researchers
- Investigate the health impact of ultra-processed foods, including specific ingredients such as palm oil;
- study the long-term consequences of daily consumption of unhealthy, ultra-processed foods and their ingredients, including the effects on children; and
- research the effect of combinations of ingredients in ultra-processed foods.

Policy-makers
- Identify and address industries that adversely impact noncommunicable diseases and the broader human and planetary health agenda;
- develop and enforce stricter labelling requirements for ultra-processed foods, including listing of ingredients and their potential harmful effects;
- regulate the palm oil supply chains across sectors such as health, environment, labour, and child protection, including needed gender-related policies and practices; and
- consider measures to reduce the production and consumption of unhealthy, ultra-processed foods.

Global health community
- Tackle the issue of unhealthy mass-produced and processed foods and beverages synergistically instead of discretely by ingredient (e.g. palm oil, sugar, fats); and
- facilitate consumer awareness and action on the negative impacts of palm oil cultivation, production and consumption.

Mitigate industry influences

Researchers
- Drawing on experience with the tobacco and alcohol industries, understand and mitigate the influence of industries involved in palm oil production and manufactured foods; and
- exercise caution when engaging in research activities using funding from the palm oil and related industries.

Policy-makers
- Avoid the influence of lobbying by food industries whose practices adversely impact human and planetary health;
- develop and enforce strict regulations that avoid political patronage or related practices (i.e. elected officials sitting on industry boards); and
- introduce measures to reduce the population’s consumption of unhealthy, ultra-processed foods (e.g. by taxation, restricting advertising) and to increase the consumption of healthier, whole foods.

Global health community
- When considering partnerships with the palm oil industry or their related entities, ensure public health priorities are not co-opted by private sector agendas; and
- avoid the risk of perceived or real complicity, including avoiding funding or partnership opportunities for health that might come at the expense of other sectors such as environment or labour.

Work across SDGs

Researchers
- Study interlinkages across complex systems of the palm oil and related industries aimed at identifying cross-sectoral solutions.

Policy-makers
- Design policies that do not sacrifice longer-term health, environmental and social concerns for immediate economic gains and profits.

Global health community
- Identify allies across sectors such as environment, child protection, labour and gender that can join in evidence generation and advocacy around the detrimental impacts of palm oil on human and planetary health; and
- reform global health governance structures and funding mechanisms with the aim of promoting intersectoral action instead of narrow disease-specific programmes.

SDG: sustainable development goal.
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mand for oils more specifically and for unhealthy ultra-processed foods more broadly. Such actions would benefit not only the noncommunicable disease agenda, but also human and planetary health as part of the sustainable development goals (SDGs).

Suggestions for action

Addressing the palm oil industry’s impact goes beyond a single industry, product or sector. Taking a multifaceted approach, we suggest three sets of actions for researchers, policy-makers and the global health community (NGOs and international organizations; Box 3).

Understand impact on health

We need to better understand and address the content, health impact and supply chains of palm oil products. The evidence on health remains mixed. Furthermore, the so-called cocktail effect remains unknown; individual ingredients of ultra-processed foods may be harmless alone, but consumed in combination, daily, could be damaging.83 This also includes understanding the associated supply chains and the needed accountability measures aimed at addressing potential determinantal actions from the palm oil and related industries.

Mitigate industry influences

We need to mitigate the influence of the palm oil and related industries on public health policies and programmes. The relationship between the palm oil and processed food industries, and the tactics they employ, resembles practices adopted by the tobacco and alcohol industries. However, the palm oil industry receives comparatively little scrutiny. Palm oil use will likely continue, given the relatively low production costs of palm oil, high profit margins of ultra-processed foods, abundant use of palm oil in processed foods and prevalence of palm oil use in several industries (without a current viable alternative). As seen with recent examples, the public health community, whether multilateral agencies46 or research institutes45, is not immune to industry influence. Political ties to industries merit further exploration.86

Work across the SDGs

Palm oil use in ultra-processed foods follows a long, complex chain. Even as the direct health impact remains unclear, cultivation and production and related practices contribute to environmental pollution, respiratory illnesses and loss of biodiversity. Furthermore, with documented forced and child labour and human rights abuses, as well as gender-related issues, such as inadequate maternity protections in palm oil plantations, understanding and addressing the influence of the palm oil industry cuts across different sectors and different SDGs. Therefore, narrow, health-specific measures cannot be implemented in isolation.

Conclusions

As the most prevalent vegetable oil in food manufacturing, palm oil is an integral component of the food supply chain. While the direct health effects of palm oil remain contested, the indirect health impacts of cultivating this product are many. Commercial determinants play a vital role in a complex system that leads to the production and consumption of foods detrimental to human health. The discourse on noncommunicable diseases and human health can no longer be separated from the dialogue on planetary health.

Competing interests: None declared.
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Résumé
L’industrie de l’huile de palme et les maladies non transmissibles
Les grandes industries ne fonctionnent pas en vase clos; elles ont des effets tangibles sur la santé des individus et de la planète. Un acteur souvent négligé dans la lutte contre les maladies non transmissibles est l’industrie de l’huile de palme. Étant donné sa prédominance dans l’industrie alimentaire, l’huile de palme est l’huile végétale la plus largement produite au monde. Nous avons appliqué les déterminants commerciaux de la santé pour analyser l’industrie de l’huile de palme. Nous mettons en évidence la relation mutuellement profitable entre cette industrie et l’industrie des aliments transformés ainsi que ses effets sur la santé des individus et de la planète, et notamment des pratiques culturelles néfastes liées à des maladies respiratoires, la déforestation, la diminution de la diversité biologique et la pollution. Cette analyse établit de nombreux parallèles avec la nature contestée de pratiques adoptées par les industries de l’alcool et du tabac. L’article se conclut par des propositions d’action pour que les chercheurs, les responsables politiques et la communauté mondiale de la santé examinent et atténuent les effets négatifs de l’industrie de l’huile de palme sur la santé des individus et de la planète.

Resumen
La industria del aceite de palma y las enfermedades no contagiosas
Las industrias a gran escala no operan de forma aislada, sino que tienen un impacto tangible en la salud humana y del planeta. Un agente que a menudo se ignora en la lucha contra las enfermedades no contagiosas es la industria del aceite de palma. El predominio del aceite de palma en la industria del procesamiento de alimentos lo convierte en el aceite vegetal más producido del mundo. Se han aplicado los determinantes comerciales del marco de la salud para analizar la industria del aceite de palma. Cabe destacar la relación mutuamente beneficiosa de la industria con la industria de los alimentos procesados y su impacto en la salud humana y del planeta, incluidas las prácticas de cultivo perjudiciales que están relacionadas con las enfermedades respiratorias, la deforestación, la pérdida de biodiversidad y la contaminación. Este análisis ilustra muchos paralelismos con la naturaleza controvertida de las prácticas adoptadas por las industrias del alcohol y el tabaco. El artículo concluye con varias sugerencias de posibles medidas para que los investigadores, los responsables de la formulación de políticas y la comunidad mundial de la salud aborden y mitiguen los impactos negativos de la industria del aceite de palma en la salud humana y del planeta.
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