Intracoronary levosimendan during ischemia prevents myocardial apoptosis
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INTRODUCTION
A successful myocardial protection during open heart surgery has an important clinical significance for overall short- and long-term outcome. Ischemia–reperfusion injury and concomitantly induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis (Gottlieb et al., 1994; Wu et al., 2003) continue to be unresolved issues in cardiac surgery and therefore better means for myocardial protection are needed.

Levosimendan is a novel calcium sensitizer, which is used to improve myocardial contractility by stabilizing troponin C, and enhancing calcium sensitivity of cardiac myofilaments in heart failure patients (Hasenfuss et al., 1998; Kivikko et al., 2002). Levosimendan has been successfully used in open heart surgery to treat post-operative heart failure and a recent randomized clinical trial showed, that peri-operative levosimendan infusion facilitates weaning from cardiopulmonary bypass at coronary bypass surgery in patients with impaired left ventricular (LV) function (Eriksson et al., 2009). Systemic hypotension may limit the intravenous use of levosimendan and therefore, intracoronary administration has been proposed as an alternative strategy to provide optimal distribution in the heart (Grossini et al., 2005, 2010; Caimmi et al., 2006).

Several in vitro and in vivo studies including models of acute coronary ischemia and cardiac dysfunction show, that levosimendan provides myocardial protection by inducing preconditioning of the myocardium against peri-operative ischemia–reperfusion injury and by involving apoptotic pathway (Maytin and Colucci, 2005; Louhelainen et al., 2007; du Toit et al., 2008; Meyer et al., 2008; Grossini et al., 2010). The direct effect of levosimendan on cardiomyocyte apoptosis is believed to act through ATP-sensitive potassium channels in cardiac mitochondria (Kopustinskiene et al., 2004; Maytin and Colucci, 2005). The anti-apoptotic mechanism of levosimendan is postulated to explain its cardioprotective
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effect at least in part (Louhelainen et al., 2007), although, it is not known whether levosimendan has protective effects against cardiomyocyte apoptosis during open heart surgery. The effect of intracoronary delivery of levosimendan during ischemia on myocardial protection has not been studied.

In order to further elucidate the therapeutic effects of levosimendan in ischemia–reperfusion injury, we used a pig model of open heart surgery to find out whether levosimendan is able to reduce cardiomyocyte apoptosis and preserve left ventricle function after cardiopulmonary bypass and cardioplegic cardiac arrest. We also aimed to determine whether timing and mode of administration of levosimendan has impact on the cardioprotection, using intravenous infusion before ischemia and intracoronary infusion at the inception and during ischemia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL

This study was performed using an experimental open heart surgery model of ischemia–reperfusion injury with pigs (n = 24, mean weight 30 ± 0.7 kg). In brief, after induction of anesthesia with ketamine and diazepam, all animals were intubated by tracheostomy and ventilated with 60% oxygen. Both external jugular veins and right common carotid artery were cannulated. Anesthesia was maintained with continuous infusion of ketamine and pancuronium. The heart was exposed by median sternotomy and the animals were connected to cardiopulmonary bypass by using a two-stage cannula in right atrium and an aortic cannula in the ascending aorta. Animals were kept in normothermia during the experiment. After the aorta was cross-clamped, all animals received 500 ml cold, crystalloid cardioplegia (modified St. Thomas II, +5°C) administered antegrade to the ascending aorta to achieve cardiac arrest. After 20 min of ischemia, an additional 500 ml dose of cardioplegia was given. Aortic cross-clamp was removed after 40 min of ischemia, defibrillation was performed in case of ventricular fibrillation and the animals were weaned from the cardiopulmonary bypass as soon as possible. Animals were sacrificed after 240 min of reperfusion at the end of experiment with an injection of potassium chloride. All animals received humane care in compliance with the European Convention on Animal Care. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments of the University of Turku.

INTERVENTIONS

In this study, the animals were openly randomized in to three groups, Figure 1: (1) L-IV group received levosimendan (65 μg/kg; 10 min intravenous infusion 35 μg/kg + 30 min infusion 1 μg/kg/min) 40 min before cold, cardioplegic ischemia, (2) L-IC group received an equal dose of levosimendan (65 μg/kg) mixed with the cardioplegia solution, (3) Control group did not receive levosimendan during the experiment. In the L-IV group the levosimendan was mixed with 98 ml of 5% glucose solution. The L-IC group received levosimendan during cardioplegia administration, mixed with 1000 ml of cardioplegia solution, given in two doses: after cross-clamping the aorta (500 ml) and after 20 min of ischemia (500 ml). Both in the L-IC and in the Control groups the animals received 10 min intravenous bolus followed by 30 min infusion of 5% glucose solution (45 ml) before cross-clamping the aorta. The levosimendan doses were determined according to an earlier pilot study (n = 7).

ASSESSMENT OF MYOCARDIAL AND BLOOD LEVOSIMENDAN CONCENTRATION

The concentrations of levosimendan and its metabolites OR-1855 and OR-1896 (Kivikko et al., 2002) were assessed from the frozen myocardial samples at the end of the experiment, and from the frozen plasma samples taken 110, 170, 260, and 320 min after starting the levosimendan by a validated liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometric method. Levosimendan, OR-1855 and OR-1896 were extracted from plasma or heart homogenate with a mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane. The organic layer was separated and the solvent was evaporated into dryness. The residue was dissolved into the mobile phase. The analytes were isolated with isocratic elution in an Atlantis™dC18, 2.1 mm × 100 mm (5 μm) column followed by an electrospray ionization with a TurboIonSpray interface and detected using the selected reaction monitoring.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**FIGURE 1** | The timing of the levosimendan doses during the experiment. L-IV group = levosimendan (65 μg/kg) given intravenously before ischemia, L-IC group = levosimendan (65 μg/kg) given intracoronary during ischemia in two doses (I and II). During ischemia, all animal were connected to the cardiopulmonary bypass and ischemia was induced using aortic cross-clamp and cold, crystalloid cardioplegia. The reperfusion period was 240 min. i.v., Intravenous, i.c., intracoronary.
DETECTION OF CARDIOMYOCYTE APOPTOSIS
Transmyocardial samples were obtained from the left ventricle in order to detect cardiomyocyte apoptosis. Samples were taken before ischemia with a needle (Tru-Cut®, Cardinal Health, McGaw Park, IL 60605, USA) and after ischemia–reperfusion period at the end of the experiment by removing the heart. Samples were fixed in neutral buffered formalin over night, embedded in paraffin, and cut at 4 μm sections for analysis of apoptosis. Cardiomyocyte apoptosis was detected using terminal transferase mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay and immunohistochemistry of cleaved caspase-3 as previously described (Vahasilta et al., 2005; Malmberg et al., 2006).

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY AND HEMODYNAMIC MONITORING
Echocardiography was performed epicardially to all animals at the beginning of the experiment after median sternotomy before manipulating the heart and was repeated at the end of the experiment. The animals were studied in supine position. Measurements were performed using Acuson Sequoia C512 (Acuson Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) instrument with 4V1c 4 MHz and 15L8 transducers and recorded in digital mode. Results are the averages of three measurements. Longitudinal contraction of the left ventricle was measured as displacement of the lateral annulus in M-mode using a transducer position equivalent to transthoracic apical four-chamber view. Also left ventricle ejection fraction, early (E) and atrial (A) mitral inflow velocities were recorded. Coronary flow was calculated by multiplying heart rate with velocity time integral from the mitral valve annulus, was comparable in all groups before ischemia. Detailed echocardiography data is presented in Figure 2. Systolic function as assessed by the longitudinal motion of the lateral mitral annulus was significantly reduced in the L-IV and the control groups when compared with the L-IV group (p = 0.03) and L-IC group (p = 0.03), but the amounts of apoptosis were comparable in the groups that received levosimendan (p = 1.00).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Power analysis indicated eight animals per group based on the observed variability in apoptosis (0.014% after injury) and longitudinal contraction (0.10 mm) in our preliminary work. Calculation was based on following details and assumptions (power of 0.8, two-sided test, alpha 0.05, differences of 50% in apoptosis and 20% in longitudinal contraction between the groups). In order to determine whether the data was normally distributed, the Shapiro–Wilk test was applied. Normally distributed, continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD. Non-parametric variables are expresses as median and interquartile range (IQR). The differences between the groups were tested with one-way ANOVA with Tukey HSD post hoc-tests or Kruskal–Wallis-test, and the latter tests were continued with Mann–Whitney U test when needed. The differences within a group were tested with Two-tailed paired T-test or Mann–Whitney U test. Differences were considered significant if the p-value was <0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS software package (Version 16.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS
All animals survived the study protocol. The intravenous infusion as well as intracoronary administration of levosimendan was well tolerated. There were no significant hypotension or other hemodynamic problems.

CARDIOMYOCYTE APOPTOSIS
In the beginning of the experiment, before (pre) the ischemia–reperfusion, there were only few apoptotic cardiomyocytes and no significant differences between the groups. Intense positive TUNEL staining in the nucleus and positive immunostaining for active caspase-3 in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm were seen in the tissue samples taken after (post) the ischemia–reperfusion period, Figure 2. Apoptosis induction was confirmed by TUNEL assay in all three (pre L-IV 0.002 ± 0.004% vs. post L-IV 0.020 ± 0.017% p = 0.02, pre L-IC 0.001 ± 0.004% vs. post L-IC 0.02 ± 0.017% p < 0.001, pre control 0.007 ± 0.013% vs. post control 0.062 ± 0.044% p = 0.01), Figure 3. At the end of the experiment, there were three times more apoptotic cardiomyocytes in the control group when compared with the L-IV group (p = 0.03) and L-IC group (p = 0.03), but the amounts of apoptosis were comparable in the groups that received levosimendan (p = 1.00).

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY
Detailed echocardiography data is presented in Table 1. Systolic LV function as assessed by the longitudinal motion of the lateral mitral valve annulus, was comparable in all groups before ischemia (adjusted p = 0.77). However, longitudinal systolic motion of the lateral mitral annulus was significantly reduced in the L-IC and the control groups when compared to the L-IV group (L-IV vs. L-IC p = 0.003, L-IV vs. control p = 0.01). The difference between the L-IC and control groups was not significant (p = 0.97). Consistent
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with these findings, ejection fraction was significantly reduced at the end of the experiment in the L-IC (p = 0.04) and the control (p = 0.02) groups, but not in the L-IV (p = 0.07) group as compared with pre-ischemic values. However, no significant differences were detected in ejection fraction between the groups at the end of the experiment.

The coronary flow was comparable in L-IV, L-IC, and control groups at the beginning of the experiment. However, after the ischemia–reperfusion injury, there was a tendency toward higher coronary flow in both levosimendan treatment groups compared to the control group (L-IV 9.33 ± 3.55 m/s vs. control 7.03 ± 2.43 m/s p = 0.40, L-IC 8.77 ± 3.83 m/s vs. control p = 0.58).

Early diastolic left ventricle filling velocity, i.e., mitral inflow E-wave velocity, was significantly reduced after the ischemia–reperfusion injury in all three groups. At the beginning of the experiment, there were no differences between the groups (adjusted p = 0.56). At the end of the experiment, there were no significant differences between control and L-IV (p = 0.85) or between control and L-IC (p = 0.06) groups, but we found a significant difference between L-IV and L-IC groups (p = 0.01). In the other echocardiography variables no significant differences between were detected in phase of the study.

**HEMODYNAMIC MEASUREMENTS**

Detailed hemodynamic data is presented in Table 2. Compared with preoperative values, cardiac out-put was significantly decreased in all groups at the end of the experiment. At this time, cardiac out-put was significantly lower in L-IC group compared to control group (p = 0.04), but it was comparable between L-IV and L-IC groups (p = 0.08) as well as L-IV and control groups (p = 0.87). There was already at the beginning of the experiment a significant difference between the L-IV and control groups (p = 0.04), but not between the L-IV and L-IC (p = 0.09) or between L-IC and control groups (p = 0.81). Thus, the absolute decrease between pre-and post-ischemic cardiac out-put was significantly lower in L-IV than control group (p = 0.03), whereas it was comparable between L-IV and L-IC (p = 0.93) as well as L-IC and control groups (p = 0.06). Mean arterial pressure was significantly higher at the end of the experiment only in the L-IV group when compared to the values measured at the beginning of the experiment (pre vs. post p = 0.01). Calculated systemic vascular resistance [SVR = (mean arterial pressure − central venous pressure)/cardiac out-put] was significantly increased in all three groups during the experiment, but there were no significant differences between the groups. The absolute increase in SVR (post-pre) was significantly higher in L-IC than control group (p = 0.04), whereas it was comparable between L-IV and control (p = 0.18) as well as L-IV and L-IC groups (p = 0.74). There were no other significant differences between the groups or between pre- and post-experimental values.

**CONCENTRATIONS OF LEVOSIMENDAN, OR-1855 AND OR-1896**

We detected effective doses of either levosimendan or its active metabolite OR-1896 in the myocardium or plasma samples after both intracoronary and intravenous administration. The levosimendan concentration was significantly higher in the L-IC group.

---

**Table 2 | Echocardiography data at the beginning (pre) and at the end of the experiment (post).**

|                      | L-IV          | L-IC          | Control       |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| E (m/s)              | 0.67 ± 0.09*  | 0.63 ± 0.12   | 0.71 ± 0.1    |
| Post                 | 0.46 ± 0.07*  | 0.35 ± 0.06*  | 0.44 ± 0.04*  |
| A (m/s)              | 0.67 ± 0.14   | 0.57 ± 0.12   | 0.71 ± 0.19   |
| Pre                  | 0.61 ± 0.16   | 0.48 ± 0.11   | 0.49 ± 0.11*  |
| Post                 | 0.72(0.28)    | 0.78 ± 0.22*  | 0.93 ± 0.17   |
| E/A                  | 1.05 ± 0.29   | 1.11[0.11]    | 1.03 ± 0.08   |
| Pre                  | 0.97 ± 0.37   | 0.79 ± 0.97   | 1.08 ± 0.3    |
| Post                 | 0.76 ± 0.17   | 0.81 ± 0.35   | 0.92 ± 0.29   |
| EF (%)               | 71.07 ± 2.89  | 69.12 ± 6.37  | 68.13 ± 6.64  |
| Pre                  | 2.43 ± 0.06   | 6.64 ± 6.64*  | 60.07 ± 4.47* |
| Post                 | 0.07           | 0.02           | 2.89           |
| Coronary flow (m/s)  | 9.06 ± 5.32   | 7.32 ± 1.52   | 5.1[0.69]     |
| Pre                  | 9.33 ± 3.55   | 8.78 ± 3.84   | 7.03 ± 2.43   |
| Post                 | 0.25 ± 0.08   | 0.26 ± 0.12   | 0.23 ± 0.06   |
| MVD (m/s)            | 0.25[0.09]    | 0.25 ± 0.05   | 0.21 ± 0.06   |
| Pre                  | 0.28[0.09]    | 0.25 ± 0.05   | 0.21 ± 0.06   |
| Post                 | 0.28 ± 0.08   | 0.26 ± 0.12   | 0.23 ± 0.06   |

Data presented as mean ± SE of mean or median and (interquartile range). L-IV, levosimendan infusion-group; L-IC, intracoronary levosimendan–group. **Post L-IV vs. post control p = 0.03, post L-IC vs. post control p = 0.03.**
Table 2 | Results of the hemodynamic measurements at the beginning (pre) and at the end of the experiment (post).

|            | L-IV  | L-IC  | Control |
|------------|-------|-------|---------|
| CO (l/min) | 4.07 ± 0.70 | 3.38 ± 0.37 | 3.23 ± 0.37 |
| Pre        | 2.28 ± 0.61* | 1.57 ± 0.23* | 2.00 ± 0.35* |
| MAP (mmHg) | 61.88 ± 8.71 | 65.88 ± 14.5 | 61.00 ± 8.11 |
| Pre        | 83.5 ± 17.71* | 85.38 ± 21.82 | 80.25 ± 17.90 |
| CVP (mmHg) | 105.13 ± 16.39 | 111 ± 9.99 | 106.13 ± 20.1 |
| Pre        | 105.5 ± 23.13 | 108.63 ± 12.97 | 105.86 ± 12.9 |
| PCWP (mmHg)| 3.75 ± 3.77 | 4.25 ± 1.67 | 4.38 ± 2.2 |
| Pre        | 3.13 ± 3.18 | 5.5 ± 4.44 | 3.75 ± 1.16 |
| SVR        | 14.51 ± 1.48 | 18.67 ± 4.11 | 18.28 ± 3.88 |
| Pre        | 38.63 ± 9.90* | 51.42 ± 9.38* | 38.95 ± 11.2* |
| Calculated SW | 2.49 ± 0.92 | 2.02 ± 0.59 | 1.93 ± 0.52 |
| Pre        | 1.86 ± 0.93 | 1.25 ± 0.43 | 1.54 ± 0.49 |

Data presented as mean ± SEM. L-IV, levosimendan infusion-group; L-IC, intracoronary levosimendan-group. PCWP, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; HR, heart rate; CVP, central venous pressure; SVR, systemic vascular resistance; Calculated SW = left ventricular stroke work = (CO/HR) × MAP. *p < 0.05 pre vs. post, p < 0.05 between groups (values in bold).

than in the L-IV group in the myocardial biopsies taken at the end of the experiment, Figure 4. In the myocardial samples no traces of metabolites OR-1855 or OR-1896 were found. In the plasma samples taken during the experiment, metabolite OR-1896, but no traces of levosimendan or metabolite OR-1855 was detected, Figure 5. The concentrations of OR-1896 were significantly higher in the L-IC group than in the L-IV group 170 min (p = 0.001) and 260 min (p = 0.004) after levosimendan. At 110 min (p = 0.08) and 320 min (p = 0.28) there were no significant differences.

**DISCUSSION**

The main result of this study with an *in vivo* pig model of open heart surgery is that levosimendan administered intracoronary during ischemia provides cardioprotective effect, demonstrated by reduced myocardial apoptosis at acute phase of ischemia–reperfusion injury after cardiopulmonary bypass and cardioplegic cardiac arrest. Although both administration modes of levosimendan prevented similarly apoptosis, preoperatively started intravenous administration was superior to intracoronary started at the time of ischemia–reperfusion, assessed by echocardiography-derived systolic cardiac function. This suggests that intravenous preoperative administration may be more effective in preventing the post-operative myocardial stunning, as compared to intracoronary administration at the beginning and during ischemia.

Levosimendan protects cardiomyocytes from apoptosis in experimental models of cardiac pressure overload (Louhelainen et al., 2007) and heart failure induced by viral myocarditis (Latva-Hirvela et al., 2009). Moreover, levosimendan induces preconditioning and decreases myocardial infarct size in an isolated perfused rat heart model of acute ischemia–reperfusion injury (Ozturk et al., 2010). This is the first study to evaluate the effects of levosimendan on cardiomyocyte apoptosis in an *in vivo* experimental model of global, cardioplegic, myocardial ischemia that closely resembles human cardiac surgery. This study is also the first to compare peri-ischemic intracoronary administered levosimendan to pre-ischemic intravenous levosimendan infusion.

Thus, this study reveals a novel finding that levosimendan prevents cardiomyocyte apoptosis after global ischemia–reperfusion injury *in vivo* and that this anti-apoptotic effect is conferred by both pre-ischemic intravenous administration and peri-ischemic intracoronary administration. Intracoronary levosimendan has
been successfully used after global or regional ischemia in previous studies in order to prevent post-ischemic myocardial dysfunction and cardiomyocyte apoptosis (Jamali et al., 1997; Grossini et al., 2005, 2010; Caimmi et al., 2006). Our results confirm that levosimendan prevents ischemia–reperfusion induced apoptosis. Moreover, it is a novel finding that levosimendan given before cold, global ischemia as an infusion, reduces cardiomyocyte apoptosis equally to levosimendan given during ischemia when the doses are comparable.

Levosimendan has been shown to prevent myocardial stunning and heart failure after cardiac surgery (Eriksson et al., 2009; Landoni et al., 2010). Our results indicate, that levosimendan administered with cardioplegia in the beginning and during global ischemia, is not as effective as pre-ischemic intravenous infusion in preserving systolic and diastolic LV function after 40 min of ischemia and 240 min of reperfusion, although levosimendan concentration was higher in myocardial samples and there were no traces of metabolites. This result might be explained by the fact that pre-ischemic levosimendan has more time to effect on cardiomyocytes and might have better access into the myocardium when the infusion is normothermic, although the differences in the plasma concentrations indicate exceptionally fast metabolism of levosimendan and its metabolites OR-1855 and OR-1896 in pig. On the other hand, fast metabolism does not explain why there was only levosimendan and no metabolites to be found in the myocardial samples. However, the equal amounts of apoptotic cardiomyocytes after both intracoronary and intravenous levosimendan administration support the idea of comparable direct effects on the level of myocardium. With longer reperfusion period there might be a greater difference in myocardial apoptosis between the treatment groups. The beneficial action of intravenous levosimendan might be partly mediated by systemic effects, whereas intracoronary administration might be limited to local cardiac effects. Higher intracellular levosimendan concentration supports our hypothesis of increasing the concentration of levosimendan in the myocardium without systemic hypotension. The levosimendan doses used in this study were higher than in normal clinical practise (Eriksson et al., 2009). However, the OR-1896 plasma concentrations found in this study closely resembles concentrations of human studies (Lehtonen and Pöder, 2007), and it has been shown before, that higher doses of levosimendan are needed with pig model to see possible hemodynamic changes (Busk et al., 2006).

The favorable effects of levosimendan on systemic hemodynamics include marked reduction of afterload caused by vasodilatation (Kivikko et al., 2002). Consistent with this explanation, cardiac out-put was higher in the L-IV than L-IC group after ischemia–reperfusion. These findings also suggest that at least after short reperfusion period, cardiomyocyte apoptosis does not have direct effect on post-operative myocardial stunning. In contrast to the expected hypotension, mean arterial pressure was actually higher in animals with levosimendan treatment than without.

In conclusion, intracoronary levosimendan during ischemia prevents cardiomyocytes from apoptosis in experimental animal pig model of ischemia–reperfusion injury with cardiopulmonary bypass and cardioplegic cardiac arrest. Intracoronary administration of levosimendan at the onset and during ischemia reduces apoptosis equally as pre-ischemic intravenous levosimendan infusion, when the doses are comparable. However, pre-ischemic intravenous infusion is associated with better preservation of LV systolic and diastolic function when compared with peri-ischemic intracoronary administration. With intracoronary administered levosimendan there were higher concentrations of levosimendan in the left ventricle muscle biopsies and active metabolite OR-1896 in the plasma samples.

LIMITATIONS

We recognize the limitations of simulating human cardiac surgery induced ischemia–reperfusion injury using an animal model with healthy young pigs. However, this animal model is reproducible and well-established (Vähäätäli et al., 2005; Malmberg et al., 2006). To minimize the loss of animals during the experiment, the follow-up time was limited to 240 min to allow successful completion. Our results can not be directly applied to clinical setting and whether the detected acute positive effects will translate to better cardiac function and even lower mortality at long-term, remains to be studied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

There are conflict of interest. We thank Jouko Levijoki MSc (Pharm.) from Orion Pharma, and also Jan Kiss MD, PhD and Jarmo Gunn MD for assistance in this study. This study was supported by the Aarno Koskela foundation and by the Clinical Research funding (EVO) of Turku University Hospital. Orion Pharma (Espoo, Finland) supported this study by providing the levosimendan (Simdax®; Orion Pharma, Espoo, Finland) and measuring the levosimendan, OR-1855 and OR-1896 concentrations.

REFERENCES

Busk, M., Maeng, M., Kristensen, J., Berg, J. S., Mortensen, U. M., Nielsen, T. T., and Nielsen-Kudsk, J. E. (2006). Effects of levosimendan on myocardial infarct size and hemodynamics in a closed-chest porcine ischemia-reperfusion model. Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther. 20, 335–342.

Caimmi, P. P., Grossini, E., Molinari, C., Vacca, G., and Teodori, G. (2006). Intracoronary infusion of levosimendan to treat postpericardiotomy heart failure. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 82, e33–e34.

du Toit, E. F., Genis, A., Opie, L. H., Pollesello, P., and Lochner, A. (2008). A role for the RISK pathway and K(ATP) channels in pre- and post-conditioning induced by levosimendan in the isolated guinea pig heart. Br. J. Pharmacol. 154, 41–50.

Eriksson, H. I., Jalonen, J. R., Heikkinen, L. O., Kivikko, M. O., Laine, M., Leino, K. A., Kuitunen, A. H., Kuttila, K. T., Peräkylä, T. K., Sarapuhja, T., Suojaranta-Ylinen, R. T., Valtonen, M., and Salmenperä, M. T. (2009). Levosimendan facilitates weaning from cardiopulmonary bypass in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with impaired left ventricular function. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 87, 448–454.

Gottlieb, R. A., Burleson, K. O., Kloner, R. A., Babior, B. M., and Engler, R. L. (1994). Reperfusion injury induces apoptosis in rabbit cardiomyocytes. J. Clin. Invest. 94, 1621–1628.

Grossini, E., Caimmi, P. P., Molinari, C., Teodori, G., and Vacca, G. (2005). Hemodynamic effect of intracoronary administration of levosimendan in the anesthetized pig. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 46, 333–342.

Grossini, E., Caimmi, P. P., Platini, F., Molinari, C., Uberti, F., Cattaneo, M., Vaenta, G., Mary, D. A., Vagga, G., and Tessitore, L. (2010). Modulation of programmed forms of cell death by intracoronary levosimendan during regional myocardial ischemia in anesthetized pigs. Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther. 24, 5–15.
Kopustinskiene, D. M., Pollesello, P., Kiviniemi, T. O., Toikka, J. O., Kosken-Kivikko, M., Antila, S., Eha, J., Lehto-Jamali, I. N., Kersten, J. R., Pagel, P. (1998). Intracoronary levosimendan enhances contractile function of stunned myocardium. Anesth. Analg. 85, 23–29.

Kivikko, M., Antila, S., Eha, I., Lehtonen, L., and Pentikainen, P. J. (2002). Pharmacodynamics and safety of a new calcium sensitizer, levosimendan, and its metabolites during an extended infusion in patients with severe heart failure. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 42, 43–51.

Kiviniemi, T. O., Toikka, J. O., Koskenvuo, J. W., Saraste, A., Saraste, M., Parkka, J. P., Raitakari, O. T., and Hartiala, J. J. (2007). Vasodilation of epicardial coronary artery can be measured with transthoracic echocardiography. Ultrasound Med. Biol. 33, 362–370.

Kopustinskiene, D. M., Pollesello, P., and Saris, N. E. (2004). Potassium-specific effects of levosimendan on heart mitochondria. Biochem. Pharmacol. 68, 807–812.

Landoni, G., Mizzi, A., Biondi-Zoccai, G., Bruno, G., Bignami, E., Corno, L., Zambon, M., Gerli, G., and Zangrillo, A. (2010). Levosimendan reduces mortality in critically ill patients. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. Minerva Anestesiol. 76, 276–286.

Lata-Wirvela, J., Kyto, V., Saraste, A., Vuorinen, T., Levijoki, J., and Savukko, P. (2009). Effects of levosimendan in experimental acute coxsackievirus myocarditis. Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 39, 876–882.

Lehtonen, L., and Pöder, P. (2007). The utility of levosimendan in the treatment of heart failure. Ann. Med. 39, 2–17.

Louhelainen, M., Vahtila, E., Kahrineen, P., Leskinen, H., Merasto, S., Kyto, V., Finckenberg, M., Colucci, W. S., Levijoki, J., Pollosello, P., Haikala, H., and Mervaala, E. M. A. (2007). Effects of levosimendan on cardiac remodeling and cardiomyocyte apoptosis in hypertensive Dahl/Rapp rats. Br. J. Pharmacol. 150, 851–861.

Malmberg, M., Vahasilta, T., Saraste, A., Koskenvuo, J. W., Parkka, J. P., Leino, K., Laitio, T., Stark, C., Heikkilä, A., Saukko, P., and Savunen, T. (2012). Intracoronary levosimendan during ischemia prevents myocardial apoptosis. Front. Physiol. 3:17. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00017

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Clinical and Translational Physiology, a specialty of Frontiers in Physiology. Copyright © 2012 Malmberg, Vahasilta, Saraste, Koskenvuo, Parkka, Leino, Laitio, Stark, Heikkilä, Saukko and Savunen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License, which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited.