Discrimination of p53 immunohistochemistry-positive tumors by its staining pattern in gastric cancer
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Abstract
Immunohistochemistry staining of p53 is a cheap and simple method to detect aberrant function of p53. However, there are some discrepancies between the result of immunohistochemistry staining and mutation analysis. This study attempted to find a new definition of p53 staining by its staining pattern. Immunohistochemistry staining of p53 and TP53 gene mutation analysis were performed in 148 gastric cancer patients. Also SNP-CGH array analysis was conducted to four cases. Positive staining of p53 was observed in 88 (59.5%) tumors. Tumors with positive p53 staining showed malignant features compared to negative tumors. Mutation of TP53 gene was observed in 29 (19.6%) tumors with higher age and differentiated type. In positive p53 tumors, two types could be distinguished; aberrant type and scattered type. With comparison to TP53 gene mutation analysis, all the scattered type had wild-type TP53 gene (P = 0.0003). SNP-CGH array showed that scattered-type tumors had no change in the structure of chromosome 17. P53-scattered-type staining tumors may reflect a functionally active nonmutated TP53 gene. In interpretation of p53 immunohistochemistry staining, distinguishing p53-positive tumors by their staining pattern may be important in gastric cancer.

Introduction
Gastric cancer still has the highest morbidity rate and the second highest mortality rate in Asian countries. Though many new remedy for gastric cancer have discovered, advanced gastric cancers are still difficult to treat. To conquer gastric cancer, understanding the gastric carcinogenesis may be important.

One of the causes for gastric cancer is Helicobacter pylori infection. It is reported that H. pylori counteracts the function of tumor suppressor p53 [1, 2]. Also, the infection causes TP53 gene mutation [3]. p53, the guardian of genome, is involved in many cellular function; cell cycle, apoptosis, restoration of DNA, aging, and angiogenesis [4, 5]. And breakdown of tumor suppressor p53 pathway results in the development of malignancies. Studying p53 is still important in gastric cancer.

To detect the aberrant p53, sequencing of the mutant TP53 gene is a general method. After the discovery of the first mutation in TP53 gene [6], the study on TP53 gene was done vastly. The results from these studies revealed that ~75% of the mutant TP53 gene had missense mutation [7]. This finding was well-characterized for TP53 gene mutation as other tumor suppressor genes such as APC or BRCA1/2 frequently have nonsense mutation or frameshift mutation. By investigating the impact of each mutation on p53 function, we may understand the role of TP53 gene mutation in carcinogenesis.

To find the aberration of TP53 gene in everyday clinic, a simple and cheap method is used. That is
immunohistochemistry staining of p53. In normal condition, p53 is unstable because its half-life is no longer than 20 min owing to cleavage through Mdm2 [8], which cannot be detected by staining. When p53 is aberrant, the nucleus of the cell is stained and aberrant p53 can be detected.

Many studies are done on immunohistochemistry staining of p53. In lung cancer, meta-analysis study revealed that positive immunohistochemistry staining of p53 is an independent prognostic factor [9, 10]. Immunohistochemistry staining of p53 is a useful tool to understand the biology of cancer.

However, the result of immunohistochemistry staining of p53 reflects the aberrant function of p53 or not is controversial. Some reports showed discrepancies between the results of immunohistochemistry and those of mutation analysis [11–13].

Here, we report a new discrimination on immunohistochemistry staining of p53 by its staining pattern. This discrimination may reflect the result of mutation analysis. Also, we introduced SNP-CGH array method to demonstrate the accuracy of this discrimination.

Material and Methods

Tissue samples

This study included 148 unselected Japanese patients with primary gastric cancer. All of the patients underwent gastrectomy between 1994 and 2006 at the Department of Surgery and Science, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan. Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and those who did not agree to the study were excluded. A thorough histological examination was carried out with hematoxylin- and eosin-stained tissue preparations, and classification was made according to the general rules established by the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association [14]. No patients who were treated preoperatively with cytotoxic drugs were included in this study.

Immunohistochemical staining of p53

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue specimens were used for immunohistochemical staining. A paraffin block contained both cancerous and adjacent noncancerous tissue, and cancerous tissue that invaded the deepest area of the stomach wall was selected in all cases. Sections 5 μm thick from paraffin-embedded blocks were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in a graded series of ethanol. Procedures for immunohistochemical staining have been described previously [15]. The sections were pretreated with autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min in 0.01 mol/L citrate-buffered saline (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation with 3% H2O2 for 30 min at room temperature. The sections were incubated with 10% normal goat serum for 1 h to block nonspecific binding of the immunological reagents. After incubation with mouse monoclonal antibodies against p53 (Clone DO-7; Dako Cytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) at 4°C overnight, streptavidin–biotin complex and horseradish peroxidase were applied, and reaction products were visualized using the Histofine SAB-PO (M) immunohistochemical staining kit (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The peroxidase labeling was developed by incubation of the sections in diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride for 1 min. Finally, nuclear counterstaining was done using Mayer’s hematoxylin solution. Two blinded observers (K. A. and Y. Z.) independently examined the immunostained sections. When >10% of nuclear-stained cancer cells were included in the section, the tumor was considered to be p53 positive [16, 17].

DNA preparation

DNA was extracted as described previously [18, 19]. Briefly, the frozen samples were incubated in a lysis buffer (0.01 mol/L Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 0.1 mol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), pH 8.0; 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate) containing proteinase K (100 mg/mL) at 37°C for 2 h. The samples were extracted twice in phenol, then once in phenol/chloroform and once in chloroform. Following ethanol precipitation, the samples were diluted in TE (0.01 mol/L Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 0.01 mol/L EDTA, pH 8.0) buffer.

The TP53 gene mutation analysis

The TP53 gene, exon 5 to exon 9 including exon–intron junctions, were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using “p53 primers” (Nippon Gene) and Ex Taq DNA polymerase with 3’ exonuclease activity (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The PCR products were purified and used as templates for cycle sequencing reactions with Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit Ver.1.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Mutations found in a PCR product were verified by reverse sequencing and confirmed in two independently amplified PCR products.

SNP-CGH analysis

Four surgically resected gastric cancer specimens and their corresponding noncancerous tissues were genotyped by using 1,140,419 autosomal SNPs (HumanOmnimel-Quad BeadChip; Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Copy number variation was analyzed with GenomeStudio V2009.1 (Illumina Inc.) as described previously [20].
Two transformed parameters, the log-normalized intensity ratio (log \( R \) ratio) and B allele frequency, were plotted along the entire genome for all SNPs on the array in the single sample analysis mode.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed by using JMP 9.0 software (SAS institute, Cary, NC). The \( \chi^2 \) test, Fisher’s exact test, and one-way ANOVA were used as appropriate. A \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

**Results**

**Patients and tumors**

Of the 148 patients, 65.5% (\( n = 97 \)) were male and 34.5% (\( n = 51 \)) were female. The mean age was 63.4 ± 11.6, ranging from 29 to 86.

**p53 staining in gastric cancer**

It is widely recognized that, tumors with aberrant function of p53, p53 can be stained immunohistochemically [21]. Consistent with previous studies, the staining of positive p53 was seen in the nuclei of tumor cells (Fig. 1A) [16, 22]. On the other hand, negative p53 staining tumors showed no signal of p53 (Fig. 1B). Of 148 tumors, 88 (59.5%) had positive staining of p53. With clinicopathological analysis (Table 1), p53-positive tumors had more lymph node and liver metastases compared with tumors with negative p53 staining (\( P = 0.02, P = 0.02 \), respectively). Also, more vascular involvement was seen in aberrant p53-positive tumors (\( P = 0.03 \)). p53-positive tumors tended to have deeper invasion (\( P = 0.06 \)). Tumors with positive staining of p53 had malignant features.

**TP53 gene mutation analysis in gastric cancer**

Of 11 exons that exist in \( TP53 \) gene, exon5 to exon8 is a major spots for mutation; R175H, G245S, R248Q, R248W, R249S, R273C, R273H, and R282H [23]. In this study we investigated the mutations of \( TP53 \) gene in exon5 to exon9. As shown in Table 2, of 148 tumors, 29 (19.6%) had mutant \( TP53 \) gene. Of those, six tumors (20.7%) showed nonsense mutation and other 23 tumors (79.3%) showed missense mutation. \( TP53 \) gene mutations were found more frequently in differentiated-type tumors than in undifferentiated type (\( P = 0.009 \)). This result was consistent to previous report [24]. Relation with other clinicopathological factors showed that, \( TP53 \) gene mutations were found in higher age and positive vascular involvement tumors (\( P = 0.02, P = 0.01 \), respectively).

**Relation between p53 immunohistochemistry and \( TP53 \) gene mutation**

Then relation between p53 immunohistochemistry staining and \( TP53 \) gene mutation analysis was investigated. Twenty percent of p53-negative tumors had mutant \( TP53 \) gene (12/60 tumors) and 19% of p53-positive tumors had mutant \( TP53 \) gene (17/88 tumors) (\( P = 0.91 \)). This result showed that there is no significant relation between p53 immunohistochemistry staining and \( TP53 \) gene mutation.

We then sought for a new definition for p53 immunohistochemistry staining pattern.

**TP53 gene mutation in p53-negative tumors reflect both wild-type and nonsense mutation**

First we examined the \( TP53 \) gene mutation status in 60 tumors with p53-negative staining. Of 60 tumors, 48

---

**Figure 1.** Staining pattern of p53 in gastric cancer. (A) Positive case. Staining of p53 is seen in the nuclei (magnification, 400×). (B) Negative case. No staining of p53 is seen in the nuclei (magnification, 400×).
(80%) tumors had wild-type TP53 gene. And as shown above, 6 (10%) tumors had nonsense mutation. The rest six tumors had missense mutation. Negative staining of p53 may reflect wild-type TP53 gene or nonsense mutation which needs sequence analysis.

### Two staining pattern of p53-positive tumors; scattered type and aberrant type

In this study, 88 tumors had positive staining of p53. In these tumors, 10% or more nuclei were stained with p53. According to previous report [25], we also found that in these positive tumors, two subtypes could be distinguished by its staining pattern and percentage of stained nuclei; “aberrant type” and “scattered type.” Aberrant type (Fig. 2A) had widespread staining of 70% or more nuclei in the sample. Of 88 tumors, this type was seen in 63 (71.6%) tumors. On the other hand, “scattered type” (Fig. 2B) had staining of single cells throughout the tumor sample. In these tumors 20–50% of nuclei were stained. Of 88 tumors, 25 (28.4%) tumors were this type. Compared to p53-negative tumors, “scattered type” tumors had deeper invasion and tend to have more lymph node metastases (Table 3). This result showed that p53-negative tumors and “scattered type” tumors have different features. There was no relation with clinicopathological factors between scattered type and aberrant type (data not shown). However, a significant relation was observed with TP53 gene mutations (Table 4). Interestingly, all scattered-type tumors were wild-type TP53 gene. And all mutant TP53 gene tumors, which were missense, had aberrant-type staining of p53 (P = 0.0003). It seemed that “scattered type” reflects wild-type p53.
SNP-CGH array analysis revealed a different pattern of chromosome 17 between “aberrant” and “scattered” type

For further analysis on p53, we performed SNP-CGH array analysis in four gastric cancer samples. Two “aberrant” type and two “scattered type” were analyzed. For aberrant type, one TP53 gene wild-type tumor (Fig. 3A left) and one mutant-type tumor (Fig. 3A right) were analyzed. With regard to chromosome 17, data from two “aberrant type” specimen showed that there was a deflection in the log R ratio (Fig. 3A). In these two specimens, including the TP53 gene wild-type tumor (Fig. 3A left), the heterozygous state split into two clusters in the B allele frequency was also observed, especially in the short arm containing the p53 locus. These results showed p53 was aberrant from the chromosomal state in “aberrant type.”

On the contrary, in “scattered type” no deflection in the log R ratio was observed. And, the heterozygotes were clustered around 0.5 in the B allele frequency (Fig. 3B), which was also observed in the noncancerous tissue (data not shown). As previously shown, TP53 gene is wild type in these two tumors.

These results suggested that “scattered type” staining had normal structure of p53 from the chromosomal state.

Discussion

To date, p53-positive tumors have been considered to have aberrant p53 function. However, there was some discrepancy between the result from immunohistochemistry and sequence analysis. In this study, we reevaluated the
p53-positive tumors to two groups by its staining patterns. This evaluation showed us that even in p53-positive tumors, there were tumors with normal p53 function. Staining pattern may be important in interpreting the result of p53 immunohistochemistry.

Immunohistochemistry of p53 is said to be a cheap and rapid method to detect aberrant p53 function. In general, tumors with more than 10% positively stained cancer cells nuclei were defined as positive [16, 17, 26]. In this study, 59.5% tumors had positive staining of p53. With clinicopathological analysis, p53-positive tumors had malignant features compared to negative tumors; more lymph node metastases and more liver metastases, which were consistent with previous reports [15–17, 27]. Immunohistochemistry of p53 might interpret the biology of the tumor.

On the other hand, about 20% of the tumor had mutant TP53 gene in this study. This result was consistent to previous reports [19, 24]. With clinicopathological analysis, tumors with mutant TP53 gene were frequently observed in higher age and differentiated cases. Differentiated gastric cancers are more frequently observed in older patients and follows multifocal atrophic gastritis, which is accompanied by intestinal metaplasia or dysplasia. In fact, multistep gastric carcinogenesis including a sequence of events that begins with H. pylori-induced superficial gastritis, progressing toward chronic atrophic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia, and finally cancer [28]. It is reported that H. pylori infection induces point mutations of TP53 in 52% of gastritis [29]. Also, Wei et al. reported that reported that H. pylori accelerates ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of p53 in gastric epithelial cells [30]. H. pylori infection and p53 may act in a synergistic fashion in gastric carcinogenesis [31]. All these reports suggest that TP53 gene mutation has an important role in gastric carcinogenesis.

Immunohistochemistry staining of p53 has been proposed as an alternative to gene analytical method. However, in our study, only distinguishing positive or negative staining of p53 had no effect in detecting the mutation status of TP53 gene, similar to previous reports [11, 13].

Kaserer et al. reported a study on staining patterns of p53 in colorectal cancer [25]. They found that in positive p53 tumors, there were two types in staining pattern of p53; wide spread staining of all nuclei of the tumor cells and staining of single cells scattered throughout the tumor sample. In this study, we also classified p53-positive tumors to two groups by its staining patterns. This evaluation showed us that even in p53-positive tumors, there were tumors with normal p53 function. Staining pattern may be important in interpreting the result of p53 immunohistochemistry.
tumors in two groups according to their report; aberrant type and scattered type. “Scattered type” had staining of single cells throughout the tumor sample. In these tumors 20–50% of nuclei were stained. These tumors had deeper invasion and more lymph node metastases compared to p53-negative tumors which showed that “scattered type” tumors are different to p53-negative tumors. Also, we found that the entire “scattered type” staining group had wild-type TP53 gene. Even in p53-positive tumors, there were tumors with p53 normal function. Yemelyanova et al. reported an immunohistochemical and nucleotide sequence analysis of p53 in ovarian carcinomas [32]. They found that combining two immunohistochemical labeling patterns associated with TP53 mutations (0% and 60–100% positive cells), correctly identified a mutation in 94% of cases (P < 0.001). They concluded that immunohistochemical analysis can be used as a robust method for inferring the presence of a TP53 mutation in ovarian carcinomas. In our study, we focused on “scattered type” and wild-type TP53. In Yemelyanova’s report there were two cases with 20–50% positive cells, which is same to our “scattered type,” and these cases had wild-type TP53 gene. This result may reflect our results; “scattered type” tumor has wild-type TP53 gene.

From SNP-CGH array analysis, this finding was much clearer. The development of high-density SNP genotyping technology for genomic profiling represents a further advance, because simultaneous measurement of both signal intensity variations and changes in allelic composition makes it possible to detect chromosomal events [33]. Data from two “scattered type” p53 staining specimens (Fig. 3B) and all normal samples (data not shown) indicated no chromosomal alterations for the entire chromosome 17, especially on the p53 locus. This result also demonstrated that “scattered type” had normal p53 function.

Then why normal p53 can be stained; normal p53 should have short half-life that cannot be stained. Hall and Lane reported that the reason for normal p53 expression might be that wild-type p53 protein accumulates in response to spontaneous genetic errors occurring at a higher frequency in the tumor than in normal surrounding tissue [12]. This “scattered type” staining of p53 may rather reflect an accumulation of wild-type p53 protein as a result of either a response to DNA damage, alterations in the normal degradation process, or the stabilization of the gene product by an interaction with viral or cellular proteins [34, 35].

However, a question remains; why are there still wild-type TP53 gene tumors in “aberrant type?” In this study we found 46 tumors with wild-type TP53 gene in 63 “aberrant type” tumors, which is a quite ratio. The staining pattern does not match with “scattered type.” We think that “aberrant type” represents a clonal expansion of cells accumulating p53. Clonal expansion of p53 accumulating cells in the presence of a wild-type TP53 gene has been observed previously [36]. This was shown to represent stabilization of the protein by mechanisms other than inactivating mutations [36, 37]. The wild-type tumors in “aberrant type” may reflect this mechanism. From SNP-CGH array analysis in TP53 gene wild type of “aberrant type” tumor (Fig. 3A left) may support this discussion. Even though this tumor had wild-type TP53 gene, its chromosome 17 had aberrant structure. In “scattered type,” chromosome 17 did not have aberrant structure. A further study is required to clarify the “aberrant type.”

Our study showed that positive staining of p53 has two types by its staining patterns in gastric cancer. “Scattered type” may reflect normal p53. In interpretation of p53 immunohistochemistry staining, discriminating p53-positive tumors by their staining pattern is important in gastric cancer.
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