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Abstract: Cordic, which is an iterative vector rotation calculation for different coordination systems, has been proposed in this paper that has low latency and low area utilization. The main limitation is that in comparison to standard CORDIC, the number of micro-rotations necessary increases with the input angle bit-width which leads to additional stages of micro-rotation. In order to overcome this, the most area utilizing stages are recorded using the two bits of the input angle simultaneously such that our suggested technique can achieve a smaller micro-rotation for bigger bit width applications. In this article, using parallel and pipelined CORDIC architecture a Digital sine and cosine generator is intended and applied which utilizes optimized Micro-rotation Angle Recoding algorithms to achieve low latency and reduces area of the design. The proposed work reduces the delay by 34%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

CORDIC algorithm is a sort of computerized cycle strategy used for evaluating the numerous mathematical functions both in rotational mode and circular coordinate system for sine/cosine computation. A wide variety of real time and high speed applications have been implemented using sine/cosine function evaluated using CORDIC algorithm [1] such as adaptive filters [2] in digital signal processing (DSP), generating sinusoidal waveforms [3] in communication, robot control [4], and geometric computations [5] in graphics. In this paper, CORDIC algorithm is proposed in a manner that it provides an ease in the complexity of computing of determining the direction of rotation. All micro-rotations can be pipelined and applied for the realization of high-performance CORDIC rotations. When such an application is adopted, the actual limitation in performance lies in sequentially defining of rotation direction value given as the function of $\sigma_i$. If the determination of the rotation direction in all stages can be parallelized, then the respective CORDIC rotations can be performed simultaneously in the micro-rotation phase. A major characteristic is that for a specified N-bit entry angle CORDIC rotation $2^{-m} \neq \tan^{-1} 2^{-m}, where m < \frac{N-\log_2 2}{2}$. In methods already recorded, CORDIC rotations are speeded up using this property: Wang et al. [6] obtained the rotation path from the point z following the first iteration corresponding to a rotation of partly parallel CORDIC (PARA-CORDIC). In one phase, two rotations leading to a more difficult z-data path are conducted in which Phatak et al. [7] have been examining several most significant digits. A standard CORDIC core has 16 phases with 16-bit fixed-point input and 20-bit fixed-point output outcomes in [8] and has very low processing speed. The non-recursive CORDIC [9] utilizes the conventional method of CORDIC algorithm and operates at the frequency of 100MHz, which gives its low processing. The computation of the atan2 function based on a CORDIC algorithm [9], this decreases z-way costs by adjusting its structure to FPGA device assets and continuing to benefit from rapid spread logic. It doesn’t control the number of iterations and increases the computational complexity. Adaptive Recoding algorithms [10] utilizing the greedy algorithm and require rigorous computation to determine the rotation angle and direction, thus leading to increase in hardware complexity. In the PP-CORDIC design [11], parallel architecture, pipeline processing together with an angle recoding technique is used to accomplish small latency and floating point accuracy but operates at very small frequencies. Thus for the optimization of the CORDIC, the huge amount of iterations are needed to be put into effect, which becomes the main constriction in the optimization. The main data depends on the determination of the rotating direction by repetition of z route outcomes. The secondary data dependency depicts that the $(i+1)^{th}$ iteration can only be evaluated after the commencement of the $i^{th}$ iteration. This is due to the fact that the micro-rotations are conducted on the intermediate vectors which are determined using previous iterations. Thus the dependence of these two data becomes hindrance in optimization of the critical path. Accordingly, the high precision requirement can only be fulfilled when the width of the input data and carry propagation delay decreases. The aim of this paper is therefore to develop, analysis and evaluation of CORDIC development optimisation. In addition, CORDIC latency is reduced by using sign prediction scheme. Moreover, low latency CORDIC is proposed by combining sign prediction, Binary to Bipolar Representation method, Microrotation Angle Recoding and pipelined processing techniques. The following document is arranged as follows: Analysis of traditional and sophisticated CORDIC algorithms is presented in the Section 2; last algorithm partly eliminates the fundamental CORDIC algorithm's iterative essence; Section 3 offers the primary input of this paper, a fully pipelined and parallelized optimized CORDIC algorithm. Section 4 offers a detailed evaluation of the hardware system suggested. Section 5 contains the conclusion.
II. REVIEW

A. Traditional CORDIC Algorithm

In rotation and circular motion, the sine/cosine function is calculated.

Matrix form of equation set (2-3) may be represented as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_{i+1} \\
Y_{i+1}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \alpha_i & -\sin \alpha_i \\
\sin \alpha_i & \cos \alpha_i
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_i \\
Y_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

After factoring out cosine term, we get:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_{i+1} \\
Y_{i+1}
\end{bmatrix} = K
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -\tan \alpha_i \\
\tan \alpha_i & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_i \\
Y_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The scaling factor after n iterations is given by

\[
K = \prod_{i=0}^{n} K_i = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \left(1 + 2^{-2l}\right)
\]

(4)

The fundamental iterative equations for this computation are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{i+1} &= K_i (X_i - \sigma_i \cdot 2^{-l} \cdot Y_i) \\
Y_{i+1} &= K_i (Y_i + \sigma_i \cdot 2^{-l} \cdot X_i) \\
z_{i+1} &= z_i - \sigma_i \alpha_i 
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

where \( \sigma_i = \{1\} \) sign of \( z_i \), for rotation mode and
micro-rotation angle is \( \alpha_i = \tan^{-1} 2^{-l} \).

B. Partially Parallel CORDIC Algorithm

The primary limitation in the efficiency is the sequential calculation of \( \theta \) shown by Eq. (5). Only after the respective step has chosen the right path of rotation can the activities be done in each stage. When there is requirement at each stage of finding the direction of rotation which can be predetermined and parallelized, the respective CORDIC rotations can also be carried out concurrently in the micro-rotation stage.

Different options for simultaneous application of \( \sigma_i \) by \( \theta \)-path have been suggested in technical literature [12-16]. Para-CORDIC [17] is one the technique that is used to parallelizes the rotation direction generation i.e., the rotation angle \( \theta \) orientation of entry is discovered with regard to \( \sigma_i \) by binary to bipolar depiction (BBR), micro-rotation angle recoding (MAR) methods are also used in this field.

The angle \( \theta \) of input is supposed to be within the range \( |\theta| \leq \pi/4 \) in N+1 bits in binary formats with two’s complement and is given by \( (b_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_j 2^{-j} \), where \( b_j \in \{0,1\} \). The higher part \( \theta^H \) and the lower part \( \theta^L \) of the input angle \( \theta \) is given by:

\[
\theta = \theta^H + \theta^L
\]

\[
= (b_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_j 2^{-j} + \sum_{j=k}^{N} b_j 2^{-j}
\]

(6)

In Eq. (6), \( l \) is the smallest index value such that \( 2^{-l} - \tan^{-1} 2^{-l} < 2^{-N} \). In [15] it was demonstrated that \( l = [(N - \log_2 3)/3] \). Next, short BBR and MAR therapy is given, alternatively for the prediction of \( \sigma_i \) for \( \theta^L \) and \( \theta^H \).

C. Binary to Bipolar Representation (BBR)

In the BBR method, rotation directions \( (\sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma_f) \) are evaluated for the first \( l-1 \) bits of the input angle (i.e., \( \theta^L \)). The bipolar representation \( r_k \in \{-1,1\} \) is used to represent the binary value \( b_j \in \{0,1\} \) and this BBR format can be represented as follows:

\[
\theta^L = (b_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} b_j 2^{-j} = (-b_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (2^{-j}-1) + (2 b_j - 1) 2^{-j-1}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i 2^{-i} - 2^{-l}
\]

(7)

where \( r_1 = 1 - 2 b_0 \), \( r_i = 2 b_i - 1 \), \( i = 2,3,...,l \)

The starting values for the evaluating the rotation direction \( (\sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma_f) \) from equation (7) are directly established and the \( r_1 \) to \( r_l \) bipolar values are also evaluated. Overall expression for \( \theta^L \) is:

\[
\theta^L = \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i 2^{-i} - 2^{-l}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_i (\sum_{j=1}^{i} \tan^{-1} (2^{-j}) + e_i) - 2^{-l}
\]

(8)

In the Eq. (8), \( 2^{-l} \) is expanded and represented as the combination of the error component and arc tangent value [17].

D. Micro-rotation Angle Recoding (MAR)

When the term \( 2^{-l} \) is broken into the sum of the arc tangent and error value, it gives the following expression:

\[
2^{-l} = \tan^{-1} 2^{-l} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\tan^{-1} (2^{-i}) + e_i)
\]

\[
s_i^f = i, i = 1,2,...,l - 1
\]

(9)

This equation is commonly referred to as MAR [17]. In order to recode the value \( 2^{-l} \) using MAR recoding, \( n(i) \) is the number of micro-rotations required, and \( s_i^f \) is the shift sequences for \( j = 1,2,...,n(i) \) with the first shift \( s_1^f = i, i = 1,2,...l - 1 \). [14] Suggest the algorithm of MAR recoding in detail. In combination with Eq. (6) and Eq. (8-9), corrected rotational angle \( \theta^H \) is indicated by

\[
\tilde{\theta}^H = \theta^H + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_i e_i 2^{-l}
\]

(10)

The initial binary positional weightings are followed by BBR for \( \theta^L \) and MAR, for binary depiction of the adjusted \( \tilde{\theta}^H \); another BBR is implemented as follows:

\[
\tilde{\theta}^H = (-\tilde{b}_{l-1}) 2^{l-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{b}_k 2^{-k}
\]

\[
= (-\tilde{b}_{l-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} ((2\tilde{b}_k - 1) 2^{-k+2^{-l}} + 2^{-N-1})
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \tilde{r}_i 2^{-i} - 2^{-l-1}
\]

(11)

where \( \tilde{r}_i = 1 - 2 \tilde{b}_{l-1} \),

\[
\tilde{r}_i = 2 \tilde{b}_{l-1} - 1, i = l + 1,...,N + 1
\]

The last N+2 curve \( (\tilde{\theta}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_{i+1}) \) direction is indicated by equation (11) and is straight discovered from the bipolar significance of \( \tilde{r}_i \) to \( \tilde{r}_{N+1} \).
E. Para-CORDIC architecture

Fig. 1 shows the Para-CORDIC architecture for rotation. Para-CORDIC architecture consists of two BBR components. BBR_L and BBR_H are responsible for evaluating the computations given in the Eq. (7) and Eq. (11). Thus providing the proper direction of rotation related to \( \sigma_i \) to \( \delta_j \) for phase 1 and \( \delta_j \) to \( \delta_{N+1} \) in phase 2. Add_{prediction} block serves the operations related to Eq. (10) and the memory block is used to store the error \( e_j \) which are already evaluated.

![Para-CORDIC Architecture](image)

**Figure 1** Para CORDIC Architecture [17]

III. PROPOSED PIPELINED CORDIC

Table I demonstrates that the most arctangents terms are required for the first two iterations (i.e., \( 2^{-1} \) and \( 2^{-2} \)). We aim therefore to suggest a technique that in the first two iterations can decrease the amount of recoded arctangent terms. Table I indicates the decomposition for one part of the input angle of the arctangent conditions. Since the respective polarity of the direction is known by the BBR method, we can decompose arctangent terms more effectively by taking two bits of the input angle into account simultaneously, rather than one at a time. Compared to the initial recoding techniques for para-CORDIC rotator applications, our suggested technique may attain low latency.

| Combined binary weights | \( n(i) \) | \( s_{i,j} \) | \( |e^i| \) |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| \( 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} \)   | 2      | 1,2    |        |
| \( -2^{-1} - 2^{-2} \)  |        | 1,8*   |        |
| \( 2^{-1} - 2^{-2} \)   |        | 2,8    |        |
| \( -2^{-1} + 2^{-2} \)  |        | 2*,8*  |        |

*: negative arctangent terms

Table II: Results of our proposed work for B=24

In our technique, two binary weights can be decomposed into their arctangent configurations and then a minimum respective amount of micro-rotations can be achieved for each coding. We will then decide to recode for all possible recoding results with the least number of micro-rotations. Table II demonstrates in the two initial iterations the outcomes of our suggested 24 bit para-CORDIC rotation techniques.

Table II also sums up the error terms to meet the value of \( |\hat{\theta}^H| \) which is shown in Fig. 1(a) meet inequality \( |\hat{\theta}^H| < 2^{-i} \) which is the convergence criteria in the rotation of CORDIC [17]. Table II shows that in the suggested technique, there are two phases of micro-rotation, which are less than the MAR technique earlier mentioned. Figure 2 is the architecture of microrotation required for our method in the first two iterations. The cascaded phases of the first two iterations are combined into one phase (i.e. R'(1)) rather than two different phases. The first and second digits are used and displayed in the total weights of Table II, e.g., \( \sigma_0 = 1 \) and \( \sigma_0 = 2 \).
The respective \( s_i \) values for each sum total of binary weight of the first and second rows is given by the corresponding values of \( a \) and \( \beta \) as shown in Figure 2. For each micro-rotation stage, a 4:2 adder/subtractor is used to provide a result for each of the two outputs at any stage. Furthermore, the polarity of \( \sigma_u \) can regulate the procedure of addition / subtraction and \( \sigma_u \oplus \sigma_v \) (excluded factor of the two polarities) defines the right conditions of shifting. The architecture remained identical to the previous para-CORDIC rotation for the remainder of the micro-rotations. Because of the application of the BBR technique, our proposed method could attain the convergence properties as in [17]. In addition, the number of microrotations is fixed, and hence the scaling factor is constant and can be computed in advance (similar to [17]). In order to increase the efficiency of the para-cordic rotation in every bit width, we can use our suggested technique of low latency angles recalling together with pipeline handling.

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

VHDL modelling was provided and analysed using Xilinx ISE for the suggested CORDIC framework. In comparison with the earlier suggested CORDIC architectures, equipment usage, speed and latency of a suggested work is contrasted. Table III shows the use of resources (Lookup tables (LUTs), Registers), the frequency and latency which are utilized in Optimized CORDIC core and other designs.

| Algorithm       | [8]   | [9]   | [10]  | Proposed |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| Method          | Conventional | Conventional | ARC  | MAR      |
| Input bit width | 20    | 24    | 24    | 24       |
| Area (LUT+Registers) | 2200  | 2891  | 103000 | 990      |
| Max Frequency (MHz) | 35    | 462   | 442.4 | 709.572  |
| Delay (ns)      | 28.57 | 2.16  | 2.26  | 1.409    |

Comparison of the experimental results of the suggested Optimized CORDIC with some previous work is performed and shown in Table III. The [8-10] model used standard CORDIC algorithms, while the [11] model used the optimised CORDIC algorithm for recoding angles. Our model proposed the use of BBR and MAR to optimize CORDIC algorithms. The working frequencies of previous models are higher when we use the pipeline and fixed point data formats, but after utilising the parallel BBR approach in the CORDIC design, the frequency of the design increases and the chip area of our design also reduces than the other proposed works. Our layout delivers a stronger performance in precision than other designs.
V. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed a method for Optimization of CORDIC design by implementing slight modifications in the Para-CORDIC architecture. This leads to the fully optimised parallel and pipelined CORDIC scheme. The parallel architecture, pipelined processing collectively with BBR and MAR for recoding the direction of rotation and angle recoding technique are applied on this layout to achieve the low area and occasional latency. This leads to 34% delay reduction compared to previously reported 24 bit CORDIC architectures.
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