A Subset of Osteoblasts Expressing High Endogenous Levels of PPARγ Switches Fate to Adipocytes in the Rat Calvaria Cell Culture Model
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Abstract

Background: Understanding fate choice and fate switching between the osteoblast lineage (ObL) and adipocyte lineage (AdL) is important to understand both the developmental inter-relationships between osteoblasts and adipocytes and the impact of changes in fate allocation between the two lineages in normal aging and certain diseases. The goal of this study was to determine when during lineage progression Obl cells are susceptible to an AdL fate switch by activation of endogenous peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPARγ).

Methodology/Principal Findings: Multiple rat calvaria cells within the ObL developmental hierarchy were isolated by either fractionation on the basis of expression of alkaline phosphatase or retrospective identification of single cell-derived colonies, and treated with BRL-49653 (BRL), a synthetic ligand for PPARγ. About 30% of the total single cell-derived colonies expressed adipogenic potential (defined cytochemically) when BRL was present. Profiling of Obl and AdL markers by qRT-PCR on amplified cRNA from over 160 colonies revealed that BRL-dependent adipogenic potential correlated with endogenous PPARγ mRNA levels. Unexpectedly, a significant subset of relatively mature Obl cells exhibited osteo-adipogenic bipotentiality. Western blotting and immunocytochemistry confirmed that Obl cells co-expressed multiple mesenchymal lineage determinants (runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2), PPARγ). About 30% of the total single cell-derived colonies expressed adipogenic potential (defined cytochemically) when BRL was present. Profiling of Obl and AdL markers by qRT-PCR on amplified cRNA from over 160 colonies revealed that BRL-dependent adipogenic potential correlated with endogenous PPARγ mRNA levels. Unexpectedly, a significant subset of relatively mature Obl cells exhibited osteo-adipogenic bipotentiality. Western blotting and immunocytochemistry confirmed that Obl cells co-expressed multiple mesenchymal lineage determinants (runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2), PPARγ). About 30% of the total single cell-derived colonies expressed adipogenic potential (defined cytochemically) when BRL was present. Profiling of Obl and AdL markers by qRT-PCR on amplified cRNA from over 160 colonies revealed that BRL-dependent adipogenic potential correlated with endogenous PPARγ mRNA levels. Unexpectedly, a significant subset of relatively mature Obl cells exhibited osteo-adipogenic bipotentiality. Western blotting and immunocytochemistry confirmed that Obl cells co-expressed multiple mesenchymal lineage determinants (runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2), PPARγ). About 30% of the total single cell-derived colonies expressed adipogenic potential (defined cytochemically) when BRL was present. Profiling of Obl and AdL markers by qRT-PCR on amplified cRNA from over 160 colonies revealed that BRL-dependent adipogenic potential correlated with endogenous PPARγ mRNA levels. Unexpectedly, a significant subset of relatively mature Obl cells exhibited osteo-adipogenic bipotentiality. Western blotting and immunocytochemistry confirmed that Obl cells co-expressed multiple mesenchymal lineage determinants (runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2), PPARγ)

Conclusions/Significance: We conclude that not only immature but a subset of relatively mature Obl cells characterized by relatively high levels of endogenous PPARγ expression can be switched to the AdL. The fact that some Obl cells maintain capacity for adipogenic fate selection even at relatively mature developmental stages implies an unexpected plasticity with important implications in normal and pathological bone development.

Introduction

Multipotent mesenchymal stem cells differentiate into osteoblasts, adipocytes and other mesenchymal lineages, and key transcription factors underlie commitment and fate choices of cells to particular lineages with suppression of alternative lineages [1,2,3]. Considerable evidence supports the notion that osteoblasts and adipocytes are closely related through a common progenitor. For example, a decrease in bone volume in age-related and steroid-induced osteoporosis is accompanied by an increase in marrow adipose tissue [see for example, [4,5]]. A variety of experimental manipulations in primary bone marrow stromal cells and cell lines have contributed molecular and cellular insight into the mechanisms underlying the apparent reciprocal relationship between the two lineages (see for example, [1,6,7,8,9,10,11]). These studies have led to the suggestion that regulated lineage allocation of stem or multipotential progenitor cells or a fate switch from osteoblast lineage (ObL) to adipocyte lineage (AdL) occurs under certain conditions, including aging. However, it is unclear at what commitment or differentiation stage(s) fate changes occur.

Peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor (PPARγ), a ligand-activated transcription factor belonging to the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, is expressed principally in adipose tissue and heterodimerizes with a retinoid X receptor to bind the PPAR response elements within the promoters of target genes, including adipocyte-associated genes. Thus, PPARγ (PPARγ2, in particular)
downregulation of runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) in [15] and stimulate adipogenesis and inhibits osteoblastogenesis in cells.

Multiple cellular pathways lead to adipogenesis in ObL cells.

Results

To define whether adipogenic potential is restricted to a specific subset of ObL cells, we used a combination of single cell colony assays and replica plating [24,25]. ObL colonies were retrospectively identified by ALP/von Kossa staining of their corresponding replicas (Fig. 1H). Cells in individual colonies displayed homogenous morphology (Fig. 1I) and ALP activity, i.e., colonies comprised ~100% ALP+ or ALP− cells (Fig. 1J). Over 160 colonies from 12, 15, 17, and 21 days of culture were collected in each of two independent experiments; a portion of cells of each colony was re-plated at high cell density with BRL, while the remainder was collected for total RNA preparation. One hundred-fifteen and 132 colonies in each experiment were successfully adapted to subculture, and of these, 94 and 95 respectively were designated osteoblast lineages, as verified by replica dishes (Table 1).

Definitive ObL colonies subcultured and treated with BRL were classified into four categories based on ALP and oil red O staining: single ALP+ is defined as osteogenic (32/97 and 42/95 in experiment 1 and 2 respectively); oil red O− is adipogenic (19/97 and 11/95); double positive ALP+/oil red O+ are osteo-adipogenic (30/97 and 26/95), and double negative ALP−/oil red O− are neither osteo- nor adipogenic (16/97 and 16/95) (Table 1 and Fig. 1K).

Taken together, the results suggest that some ObL cells, including cells already partially differentiated/maturing, can adopt an adipogenic fate, but that mature osteoblasts have a much lower probability to do so, at least under the conditions tested.

Gene expression profiling of single cell-derived colonies and its relationship to osteo/adipogenic potential

We next used real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) to analyze expression of osteo-adipogenic markers and transcription factors necessary for mesenchymal lineage progression in representative colonies (97 ObL colonies subcultured in experiment 1, Table S1). Based on their osteoblast marker expression and the established osteoblast hierarchy [19], colonies were rearranged into an order from early (immature) to late stages of ObL progression, i.e., immature (negative for all of ALP, bone sialoprotein (BSP) and osteocalcin (OCN)), intermediate (negative for either ALP, BSP, or OCN) or mature (positive for all osteoblast markers) colonies (Fig. 2). It is important to note that all colonies listed were committed to the ObL, as evidenced by Runx2 gene expression and ALP/von Kossa staining outcomes in replica dishes as described above. About 20% of colonies in subcultures supplemented with BRL were double negative (Table 1) and had fibroblastic morphology (not shown). There was a clear developmental stage dependency in the frequency with which osteo-adipogenic mono- or bipotential colonies occurred; analysis by expression profiling was similar to, but more robust than, what was detected by cytology (Table S1 and Fig. 2). There were
significant differences in PPARα and PPARγ mRNA levels in colonies that gave rise to either ALP+ or oil red O+ and between ALP+ and oil red O+ colonies in BRL-treated matched subcultures (Table 2). Further, PPARα mRNA levels in colonies monopotent for adipsogenic fate (oil red O+) were significantly higher than those in colonies defined as osteo-adipogenic bipotential (Table 2). In contrast, C/EBPs expression levels and osteo-adipogenic activities were poorly or not correlated (Table 2). Taken together, the data suggest that the adipogenic potential of ObL cells may be defined by the relative levels of PPARα versus other marker mRNAs.

To confirm that adipogenic fate of ObL cells is defined by relative levels of PPARγ and PPARα, we co-treated rat calvaria cells with BRL plus rabbit serum (RS), the latter known to stimulate PPARα gene expression [26]; co-treatment resulted in an inverse relationship between the number of bone nodules (decrease) and adipocyte colonies (increase) that formed (Fig. 3A,B) with no effect on total colony number (Fig. 3C). Amongst PPAR and C/EBP family members, PPARα and C/EBPβ mRNA levels were increased by RS (Fig. 3D). However, fenofibrate, a synthetic PPARα ligand [27], combined with BRL, did not fully mimic the RS plus BRL effect (Fig. 3E,F), suggesting that RS may elicit other activities, including induction of C/EBPβ, to induce adipogenesis. In any case, our results indicate that committed ObL cells unambiguously defined by marker expression profile and functional endpoints exhibit diverse molecular phenotypes as characterized by expression of non-osteoblastic mesenchymal lineage markers. That the diversity extends beyond adipogenic regulatory genes was confirmed by profiling two transcription factors involved in myogenesis (MyoD) and chondrogenesis (Sox9); these were also expressed in 22 and 20% of osteogenic colonies in developmentally immature (stage 1) and intermediate (stage 2) stages respectively (one colony expressed both), but not in any colonies at mature stages (Fig. S3).
Individual ObL cells identical in osteoblast development stage are molecularly and functionally distinct

By assessing single cell-derived colonies, we showed that rat calvaria cells comprise a heterogeneous mixture of ObL cells with different gene expression profiles and different potential for fate switching. However, as is well-established [19], the rat calvaria cell population expresses a temporally reproducible sequence of osteoblast development (see description above and the sequential upregulation of oteopontin (OPN), ALP and OCN in Fig. S2A). We therefore also assessed mRNA expression of mesenchymal lineage-commitment transcription factors, such as Runx2, PPARc, Sox9 and MyoD in this model (Fig. S2A). In contrast to Runx2, which increased slightly during the differentiation time course, Sox9 and MyoD were highest early (day 3) and progressively decreased thereafter. Levels of PPARγ1 and γ2 peaked at day 6 and subsequently decreased. Western blot analysis confirmed that Runx2 and PPARγ protein expression paralleled that of their mRNAs (Fig. S2B).

Immunofluorescence staining of proliferating rat calvaria cells (day 3) with antibodies against the same transcription factors revealed that all were localized in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4A). All four factors were also present in cells in nodules, however the number of cells with detectable expression of PPARγ, Sox9 and MyoD in nodules was fewer than those expressing Runx2 (Fig. 4B). In subcultures of more mature cells from nodules (see above, ALP+ fractions), Runx2 was clearly located in the nucleus, but other

### Table 1. Summary of colony types and developmental fate of single cell-derived rat calvaria cell colonies.

| Colony types                        | Number of colonies |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
|                                     | Experiment 1 | Experiment 2 |
| (Days)                             | 12  | 15  | 17  | 21  | 12  | 15  | 17  | 21  |
| Total, recovered from master dishes| 51  | 34  | 42  | 41  | 55  | 39  | 38  | 40  |
| Total, subcultured successfully    | 26  | 29  | 34  | 28  | 33  | 30  | 38  | 36  |
| ALP positive                       | 1   | 3   | 7   | 21  | 3   | 5   | 7   | 28  |
| Oil red O positive                 | 16  | 3   | 2   | 0   | 11  | 3   | 6   | 0   |
| Double positive                    | 2   | 14  | 11  | 3   | 1   | 14  | 10  | 2   |
| Double negative                    | 7   | 9   | 14  | 4   | 8   | 9   | 7   | 8   |

Numbers in parentheses indicate definitive osteoblast-lineage colonies retrospectively-identified by replica plating.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.t001

--

**Figure 2. Gene expression profiling of osteoblast/adipocyte markers in single cell-derived ObL colonies and their osteo-adipogenic potential.** Numbers in each column denote relative mRNA levels of osteoblast- and adipocyte-related markers by qRT-PCR. Light blue, blue and dark blue denote categories of expression levels, and are relatively low (1.0–1.9), intermediate (2.0–2.9) and high (>3.0), respectively. Blank space, Undetectable. S, Stage-1, immature; 2, intermediate; 3, mature, according to expression profiling of osteoblast markers. IC, Individual colonies. Colors in IC imply colonies derived from the same culture days (light green, day 12; green, day 15; dark green, day 17; olive, day 21). Run, Runx2; OC, OCN; Py and Pa, PPARγ and PPARα, respectively; Adip, Adipsin. Subc, Colonies subcultured with BRL. Red, Oil red O positive; Gray, ALP positive; Yellow, Oil red O/ALP double positive.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.g002
factors remained cytoplasmic (Fig. 4C). Western blotting of subcultures of the ALP+ fraction revealed that the subcellular localization of Runx2 did not differ between cells treated or not with BRL for 12 h, whereas PPARγ was primarily localized in the nucleus in the presence but not absence of BRL (Fig. 5A). Consistent with this, some cells within the ALP+ fraction and positive for nuclear Runx2 were also positive for nuclear PPARγ but not for Sox9 (Fig. 5B). In parallel cultures, BRL increased PPARγ and adipin, but did not alter mRNA levels of other transcription factors or OCN by 24 h post-induction (Fig. 5C-H). These results suggest that some ObL cells express PPARγ that remains in the cytoplasm, while others can mobilize PPARγ to the nucleus; it is presumably these latter cells that convert into adipocytes under the stimulus of PPARγ-specific ligands.

Discussion

A comprehensive in vitro analysis of the effects of BRL on osteo-adipogenic potential in the ALP+ fraction of rat calvaria cells and in retrospectively identified single cell-derived definitive ObL colonies from rat calvaria cells suggests that developmentally-regulated endogenous levels of PPARs contribute to the potential of ObL cells to convert to adipocytes in the presence of BRL. We also suggest that ObL cells are heterogeneous with respect to expression of non-osteoblastic phenotypic traits, and capacity for alternative fate choices with at least some maintaining capacity for fate switches even at relatively late osteoblast differentiation stages.

| Table 2. Expression levels of PPAR and C/EBP mRNAs in ObL colonies correlates with their osteo-adipogenic potential when subcultured in the presence of BRL. |
| --- |
| Number of colonies | 19 | 30 | 32 |
| Staining pattern in subcultures | ORO | ORO/ALP | ALP |
| Relative mRNA levels | PPARγ | 2.22±1.18a | 1.82±0.95a | 0.24±0.58 |
| PPARα | 2.18±1.22a | 1.01±1.22b | 0.35±0.53 |
| C/EBPα | 0.71±0.78 | 1.12±1.06 | 1.12±0.84 |
| C/EBPδ | 0.21±0.42 | 0.47±0.71 | 0.44±0.98 |

ORO, Oil red O positive; ALP, ALP positive; ORO/ALP, Oil red O/ALP double positive in subcultures with BRL.

*p<0.001 and **p<0.01, compared to matched ALP.

*p<0.05, compared to matched.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.t002

Figure 3. RS modifies the BRL effects in RC cell population cultures. Cells in osteogenic medium were chronically treated with or without BRL in combination with or without RS or fenofibrate for 14 days. (A and B) A combination of 100 nM BRL and 1–2.5% RS elicits a reciprocal increase in adipocytes (A) and decrease in bone nodule (B). Cells were double stained with ALP and oil red O. (C) RS does not change the total colony number in BRL/RS-treated cultures. Cells were plated at very low density as described in Fig. 1 and maintained for 21 days with or without 100 nM BRL plus 2.5% RS. (D) RS increases the mRNA levels of PPARα and C/EBPδ but not PPARγ and PPARδ. Total RNA was isolated from cultures on day 14, and qRT-PCR was performed. (E and F) Fenofibrate (Fen, 100 nM) does not substitute for RS in BRL-treated cells. Fenofibrate (100 nM) did not completely mimic the RS effect on adipocyte colony (E) and bone nodule (F) formation. ***p<0.001, **p<0.01 and *p<0.05, compared to BRL alone.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.g003

A Fate Switch in Osteoblasts
As described [1,6,7,8,9,11], bone marrow cell models in vivo and in vitro including ours [18], all support a model in which adipocytes form at the expense of osteoblasts, which may be processed at least in part by the PPARγ-mediated downregulation of Runx2 [16,28]. Only a few studies have addressed adipogenic potential in the calvaria cell model. Runx2-deficient calvaria cells show adipo-chondrogenic bipotentiality [29], and the mouse calvaria-derived cell line MC3T3-E1 displays increased adipogenesis and decreased osteoblastogenesis with retroviral overexpression of PPARγ [30]. However, because the cells in both these latter models had lost the typical osteoblastic features before analysis, it is difficult to define unambiguously which particular ObL cells undergo transdifferentiation into adipocytes. Sorting ObL cells based on expression of ALP, a well-established osteoblast marker, allows enrichment for immature versus more mature osteoblastic precursors [23]. Thus, BRL-dependent adipogenesis in the ALP+ fraction of ObL cells suggests that not only immature but also maturing osteoblastic cells exhibit adipogenic potential. A combination of limiting dilution single colony assays and replica plating is a useful technique to trap cells at definable developmental stages [24,25]. In spite of the possibility of a gradient in the proliferation-differentiation sequence from the periphery to the center of developing bone colonies [31], the uniform ALP staining we saw in colonies selected here and the lack of a relationship between the colony size (not shown) and their developmental stage suggest that a development gradient, if present under our culture conditions, was restricted to a narrow range. Using this approach, we found a statistically significant difference in the adipogenic potential amongst colonies defined as being at three development stages: immature, intermediate and mature, but adipocytes were found in all three.

Ectopic overexpression of PPARγ [30] or treatment with high doses of PPARγ ligands [8,9,10,11,16] in several models elicits reciprocal up- and downregulation of adipogenesis and osteoblastogenesis, respectively. On the other hand, BRL at relatively low concentrations has no pro-adipogenic effect in MC3T3-E1 cells [32]. Because high concentrations of PPARγ and/or their ligands may abrogate their specificity on downstream target genes [33], we used BRL at a maximum concentration of 100 nM. Indeed, we found that BRL (≤100 nM) does not induce adipocytes in MC3T3-E1 cells without PPARγ overexpression (data not shown), in agreement with previous data [32]. We also showed previously a clear difference in the capacity of BRL to alter the fate choice of precursor cells in stromal (bone marrow) versus calvaria-derived cell populations [18], and now characterize the distinct subset of ObL cells having adipogenic potential.

Fatty acid-rich RS increases PPARγ and PPARα mRNA expression in various osteoblastic cell lines (MB1.8, ROS17/2.8 and SaOS-2/B10) with a resultant decrease in ALP activity and increase in adipocyte number [26]. Likewise, we found that RS in combination with BRL mimicked the reciprocal effect of BRL on osteogenesis versus adipogenesis in bone marrow cells. However,
Figure 5. BRL promotes PPARγ actions in osteoblast-lineage cells. ALP+ fractions isolated from developing RC cells (day 10) were subcultured with or without 100 nM BRL as described in Fig. 1E-G. (A) BRL increases relative abundance of PPARγ in the nucleus versus the cytoplasm. Cells at confluence were treated with or without 100 nM BRL for 12 h, and subcellular fractionation was performed. The nuclear and cytosolic fractions were subjected to Western blotting for PPARγ and Runx2. (B) Double PPARγ and Runx2 nuclear positive cells are present in cells cultured with BRL. After 2 days of plating, cells were treated with or without 100 nM BRL for 12 h, fixed and double stained with αRunx2 (green) and either αPPARγ or αSox9 (red). (C) PPARγ and adipin mRNA levels are selectively increased by BRL. Cells at confluence were treated with or without 100 nM BRL for 24 h. Total RNA was extracted, and qRT-PCR for genes tested was carried out as shown in Fig. 3D. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.g005

The effect appears not to be mediated solely by PPARα and γ, because the PPARα activator fenofibrate was unable to substitute completely for RS. It is also worth noting that different PPARγ ligands have differential effects on osteo-adipogenesis in vitro and in vivo and that the adipogenic and anti-osteogenic processes can be regulated independently [9,34,35]. For example, additional factors such as the basic-leucine zipper class of transcription factors, C/EBPα, β and δ, are known to participate in adipogenesis [14]. Ectopic co-overexpression of C/EBPα and PPARγ induces adipogenesis in the G8 myoblast cell line [36] as well as in MC3T3-E1 cells [30]. Although it was fairly widely expressed in diverse colony types, we did not find a significant correlation between levels of C/EBPα and adipogenic potential in the single cell-derived colony assay. Results with viral-mediated dual expression of C/EBPα and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP2) in C2C12 myoblasts suggest that C/EBPα may bias BMP2-induced osteoblasts towards adipogenesis [37]. In contrast, it is known that C/EBPβ and Runx2 interact to enhance OCN transcription [30]. Taken together, the data indicate that these multiple transcription factors act together within carefully regulated levels to determine osteo-adipogenic lineage progression.

PPARs and their downstream targets (e.g., adipin, [39]) are expressed during osteoblast development in our rat calvaria ObL model as well as two other independent in vitro osteoblastic models [17,26]. However, mouse calvaria cells were reported to be more developmentally restricted than those multipotential mesenchymal cells expressing myoblast markers in addition to osteogenic or adipogenic markers, and were reported not to express PPARγ during osteogenic differentiation [17]. While our data are in general agreement, with detectable expression of several mesenchymal lineage determinants in rat calvaria ObL cells, we also detected PPARγ at both RNA and protein levels. However, our data suggest that the majority of the PPARγ, as well as Sox9 and MyoD, are transcriptionally inactive and have no functional consequences since they are abundant in the cytoplasm but not the nucleus throughout osteoblast differentiation. It is known that the PPARγ natural ligand 15-deoxy-A12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15d-PGJ2) induces nuclear translocation of PPARγ in mouse bone marrow stromal cells, whereas the reagent inhibits nuclear binding of Runx2 to DNA in the MC3T3-E1 and ST2 cells, in association with its adipogenic and anti-osteogenic activities [40]. Although the dynamics of nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of PPARγ in the presence of BRL are poorly understood, we found that BRL promoted the nuclear translocation of PPARγ in only a discrete subset of ObL cells and, indeed, Runx2/PPARγ-double nuclear-positive cells were occasionally observed. Likewise, Sox9, when activated, over-rides Runx2 function in ObL cells as shown by the phenotypes seen in transgenic mice in which Sox9 is driven by the type I collagen promoter [41]. Thus, activation of PPARγ (mostly γ2; see also below) may over-ride Runx2 function in collaboration with other adipogenic transcription factors, such as PPARα and C/EBPs under specific conditions, resulting in conversion of particular ObL cells into adipocytes, as shown here. PPARγ has two major isoforms (γ1 and γ2), resulting from different promoter usage and alternative splicing. PPARγ2 is restricted predominantly to adipose tissue where it is crucial for adipogenesis, but the adipogenesis seen in PPARγ2-null fibroblast cell lines suggests functional similarity between the isoforms [42]. Both PPARγ1 and γ2 are expressed in primary osteoblastic cells from human cancellous bone [43], while the mouse MC3T3-E1 cell line does not express PPARγ2 which led to the suggestion that PPARγ1 positively regulates osteoblastogenesis in this model [32]. Consistent with this view, osteogenic differentiation is correlated with upregulation of PPARγ1 (and the alternative transcripts γ3 and γ4) in two human osteoblast cell lines, SV-HFO and NHOST, and human mesenchymal stem cells [44]. Our observation that BRL enhanced nuclear translocation of PPARγ in ObL cells and increased CFU-ALP colonies begs the question of why bone nodule formation is maintained despite increased adipogenesis in ObL cultures treated with BRL. Our data suggest that PPARγ2 may have adipogenic and anti-osteogenic potential, while PPARγ1 may stimulate osteoblastogenesis, a possibility supported by results from microarray analysis of the mouse bone marrow cell line U-33 ectopically overexpressing PPARγ. In this genetically-engineered line, rosiglitazone up- and downregulates a large number of genes involved in multiple
signaling pathways before the downregulation of the ObL determinants Runx2, Dlx5, Osterix [45]. Thus, PPARγ may be able to modulate osteoblast differentiation both dependently and independently of its negative effect on ObL determinants, and at multiple developmental stages.

In summary, we report that ObL cells co-express Runx2 and either PPARγ, Sox9, MyoD or a combination of regulatory factors for multiple mesenchymal lineages but while Runx2 translocates to the nucleus during osteogenic differentiation, the latter do not, rendering them inactive under osteogenic differentiation conditions. However, activation of PPARγ by treatment with its synthetic ligand, BRL, promotes nuclear translocation of PPARγ and induces an adipogenic fate switch in a discrete subset of ObL cells characterized by relatively high levels of endogenous PPARs. The molecular basis by which this subset of osteogenic cells acquires high endogenous expression of adipogenic transcription factors, whether regulated or occurring stochastically, remains to be determined.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

Animal use and procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Central Institute for Experimental Animals and the Committee of Animal Experimentation at Hiroshima University (♯A09-36) and by the University of Toronto Animal Care Committee (♯20008196).

ObL cell culture

Cells were isolated from 21-day-old fetal Wistar rat calvariae by sequential collagenase (Type I, Sigma-Aldrich) digestion as described [46]. Cells from the last four fractions were separately grown in 2MEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Biological Industries) and antibiotics for 24 h. The cells were then trypsinized, pooled and grown at 0.35 × 10⁴ cells/cm² in the same medium supplemented additionally with 50 μg/ml ascorbic acid (osseogenetic medium). In particular experiments, cells were treated with or without BRL (≤100 nM) either in combination with or without RS (≤2.5%) or in combination with fenofibrate (≤100 nM), a synthetic ligand for PPARα.

CFU assay

Cells were plated at 150 cells per well in 96-well plates in osteogenic medium with or without 1–100 nM BRL for 10 days and double-stained with the dazio method (CFU-ALP) and oil red O (CFU-adipsocyte) (see below).

Replica plating of single cell-derived colonies

Cells were plated at limiting dilution (≤15 cells/cm² in 100-mm dishes) in osteogenic medium plus 10 nM dexamethasone (Dex), a stimulator of osteoblast differentiation in this model [47]. A few days later, polyester clothes (1 mm pore size) were placed over developing colonies for 24 h, then transferred upside down into new dishes with fresh osteogenic medium plus 10 nM Dex and 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (βGP) (replica dishes) [18,24,25]. Replica dishes were terminated at day 25 and subjected to ALP/von Kossa staining (see below). On days 12, 15, 17, and 21, colonies in master dishes were gently scraped from the dishes by using forceps and digested with trypsin and collagenase. The resulting cell suspension from each colony was split in half; one half was subjected to total RNA extraction and the other half was subcultured (~2 × 10⁶/cm²) in osteogenic medium with 100 nM BRL. All cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ and medium was changed every second or third day.

Magnetic cell sorting

Differentiating cells in osteoid-like nodules (~day10 of culture) were digested with collagenase and trypsin, and the resultant cell suspension was incubated with biotinylated anti-ALP antibody (40 μl/5 × 10⁶ cells; R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN) for 20 min at 4°C. After washing, the cells were labeled with anti-biotin magnetic microbeads (5 ml/5 × 10⁶ cells; Miltenyi Biotec) for 20 minutes at 4°C and applied onto a magnetic column (Miltenyi Biotec). After collection of the ALP pass-through fraction (ALP⁺), the column was removed from the magnetic field and the ALP⁺ fraction was flushed out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Control cells were prepared through the same process but with normal mouse IgG in place of anti-ALP antibody. An aliquot of each cell fraction (~2.5 × 10⁴ cells/cm²) was replated and incubated in osteogenic medium with or without 100 nM BRL; the remainder of each fraction was used to measure ALP activity.

ALP activity

Cells were washed with PBS and lysed by freeze-thawing (two times) in 0.05% TritonX 100. After centrifugation, ALP activity in cell lysates was measured with a LabAssay™ ALP assay kit (Wako Chemical) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

ALP/von Kossa/oil red O staining

Cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed by freeze-thawing (two times) in 0.05% TritonX 100. After centrifugation, ALP activity in cell lysates was measured with a LabAssay™ ALP assay kit (Wako Chemical) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Two micrograms of total RNA was reverse-transcribed by ReverTra Ace (Toyobo) at 50°C for 40 min. The sequence of primer sets for rat C/EBPα and δ [49,50], OPN, ALP, BSP, OCN, and ribosomal protein L32 (internal control) were described elsewhere [47]: rat Runx2, PPARγ (directed to sequences in the 3’ end of the common region of γ1 and γ2), PPARγ1, PPARγ2, PPARα, MyoD, Sox9, lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and adipin were designed using Primer Picking (primer 3) (Table S2). qRT-PCR was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions (LightCycler; Roche Diagnostics) by using a SYBR Green 1 kit.

Adaptor ligation-mediated PCR

To determine gene expression in single cell-derived colonies with limited cell number, high-fidelity global mRNA amplification was performed (TALPAT, T7 RNA polymerase promoter-attached, adaptor ligation-mediated, and PCR amplification followed by in vitro T7-transcription) [31]. Amplified cRNA was then reverse-transcribed, and qPCR was performed as above.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Cells on coverslips were washed with PBS, fixed with ice-cold acetone and air-dried. Cells were then pretreated with Dako® Protein Block at room temperature (RT) for 1 h, followed by incubation with primary antibodies (Runx2, PPARγ, Sox9, and...
MyoD; 1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight. Cy3- and/or Cy2-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:100; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) were used at RT for 1 h. Each incubation step was followed by two washes with PBS (5 minutes each). As negative control, normal goat or rabbit IgG (Vector) replaced primary antibodies.

Western blotting

Cells were lysed with 100 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride and complete protease inhibitor (Roche Diagnostics) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). Subcellular fractionation was carried out with a Qproteome Cell Compartment kit (Qiagen). Aliquots of samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE on 10–15% gels under reducing conditions and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were treated with primary antibodies as above (1:300) at 4°C overnight. The membranes were then incubated with hors eradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:2,000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), followed by chemiluminescence detection. Anti-β-actin antibody (1:1,000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used as control.

Statistical Analysis

Unless otherwise specified, data from triplicate samples are expressed as the mean ± SD, and a minimum of two independent experiments were performed. Statistical differences were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Student’s t-test.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Morphological changes in RC cell population cultures in osteogenic medium with or without BRL. Cells were chronically treated with or without 100 nM BRL. Phase-contrast microscopy shows images at multiple development stages. Upper panels, because there is no morphological difference between cells with and without BRL until cell condensation, typical images of cells at day 3 (d3) and d6 in the presence of BRL are shown. Middle and bottom panels, cells at d8 and d10, respectively, in the presence (+) and absence (−) of BRL. Bottom panels are three times higher magnifications of the upper and middle panels. Arrows indicate adipocytes.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.s001 (3.32 MB TIF)

Table S1

Osteo-adipogenic potential of individual colonies in the presence of BRL. Repl, Colony types identified by replica (Repl) plating, i.e., osteoblast (+) or non-osteoblast lineage (−). Subc, Staining patterns/developmental outcome in colonies subcultured (Subc) in the presence of BRL. ID, Colony ID. O, Oil red O positive; A, ALP positive; O/A, Oil red O/ALP double positive in subcultures with BRL.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.s003 (2.62 MB TIF)

Table S2 Primer sequences for qRT-PCR.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011782.s005 (0.04 MB DOC)
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