The Effect of Biofertilization in Fatty Acids Content for Many Flax Varieties
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Abstract

Field experiment was carried out in the fields in Al-Mawahel district of Babil Governorate during the agricultural season 2020-2021 to estimate the effect of biological fertilization on the content of some fatty acids in flax varieties seeds. A factorial experiment was applied according to a Randomized Complete Block Design (R.C.B.D) with three replications. The experiment included two factors, the first included eight varieties of flax (Indian, Giza11, Giza10, Sahka5, Sahka6, Giza8, Syrian Thorshansity72). The second factor, biological fertilization included four treatments: (control, bacterial fertilization, fungal fertilization and a bacterial-fungal mixture). The fatty acids (α-Linolenic acid, Oleic acid, Linoleic acid, Palmitic acid, Stearic acid) in seeds were determined using HPLC High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. The results showed that Sahka6 Variety was significantly superior on other varieties in seed content of (Oleic acid and Linoleic acid) amounted to (29.70, 28.39) %, respectively, while Indian Variety superiority in seed content of Palmitic acid and Stearic acid amounted to (21.28, 25.27)% respectively. Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza were significantly superior to the other fertilizer treatments in all indicators of study, and the interaction between a variety and biofertilization did not show any significant differences except for the seed content of (α-Linolenic acid Palmitic acid).
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1. Introduction

Flax seed (Linum usitatissimum L.) is one of the medicinal and industrial crops that belongs to the Linaceae family and ranks third in the world in the manufacture of fibers and fifth in the world in the manufacture of vegetable oils [1]. Flax seed contains 30-45% oil consisting of monounsaturated fatty acids such as oleic acid, which constitutes (19-20) % of the oil [1]. Flax oil also contains linoleic acid, which makes up (17-19) % of the oil, and it is one of the polyunsaturated fatty acids. Furthermore, flax oil contains linolenic acid, which constitutes (45-60) % of the oil, and it is one of the unsaturated fatty acids [3]. Many studies have shown that flax varieties differ in their fatty acid content according to varieties environmental conditions and crop service operations [4].

Recently reseaches have confirmed the medical importance of flaxseed and its positive effect in treating many different diseases and its use as drugs. It is used in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases and also helps in the treatment of atherosclerosis by reducing the level of cholesterol in the blood and reducing low-density fats and as a result of containing soluble fibers that work to reserve fats and cholesterol in the digestive system and prevent their access to the blood, which lowers the proportion of cholesterol in it [5,6]. As well as regulating blood pressure in people with high blood pressure and is also used in the treatment of nephritis caused by the presence of stones, in addition to many other medical and therapeutic uses [7,8].

Plant bio stimulants contain substance whose function when applied to plants or the rhizosphere is to stimulate natural processes to enhance/benefit nutrient uptake, nutrient efficiency, tolerance to abiotic stress and crop quality [9,10]. Bio stimulants are natural or synthetic substances that can be applied to seeds plants and soil [11,12]. These substances cause changes in vital and structural processes in order to influence plant growth through improved tolerance to abiotic stresses and increase seed or grain yield and quality [13].

Bio-fertilizers are considered one of the modern technologies that are safe to use depending on what is available in nature and limit or reduce chemical additives [14]. In addition to the production of natural compounds free of pollutants used in various industrial and pharmaceutical fields., Thus, it takes into account the indicators of sound environmental management that are compatible with the modern world trends and aim to return to clean agriculture [15,16].

In view of the medicinal and industrial value of this crop, the study aimed to estimate the effect of biological fertilization on the content of some fatty acids in flax seeds.
2. Materials and Methods

The field experiment was carried out in the fields of one of the farms in the district of Al-Mahaweel in the Babil Governorate during the agricultural season 2020-2021. A factorial experiment was applied according to a Randomized Complete Block Design (R.C.B.D) with three replications. The experiment included two factors, the first eight varieties of flax (Indian Variety, Giza11, Giza10, Sahka5, Sahka6, Giza8, Syrian Variety, Thorshansity72), The second factor, biological fertilization includes four treatments as following:

- Control
- Bacterial Fertilization, included three types of bacteria:
  - Azotobacter chroococcum,
  - Bacillus mucilaginosus
  - Pseudomonas fluorescens
- Fungal Fertilization (Mycorrhiza)
- Bacterial-Fungal Mixture

The fatty acids (α-Linolenic acid, Oleic acid, Linoleic acid, Palmitic acid, Stearic acid) in seeds were determined using HPLC High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. The mixture of fatty acid was separated on m FLC (Fast Liquid Chromatographic) on reversed phase 3 μm particle size, (50 x 2.0 mm I.D) C-18DB column, separation occurred on liquid chromatography Shimadzu 10AV-LC equipped with binary delivery pump model LC-10A Shimadzu, the eluted peaks were monitored by Shimadzu SPD 10A vp Detector at 215 nm, the data were recorded on Shim pack C-R8A integrator (Shimadzu, koyota, Japan). The optimum separation condition as follow:

- Column: Flc (Fast Liquid Chromatographic) Column, 3 mm particle size, (50 X 2.0 Mm I.D) C- 8db Column
- Mobile phase was: Acetonitrile; Tetrahydrofuran (Thf):0.1 % Phosphoric acid in THF (50:4: 11.6: 38, V/V)
- Detection: Uv Set At 215 Nm
- Flow rate 1.5 MI/Min.
- Temperture : 40 C.

The sequences of the eluted fatty acids standard were as follow, each standard was 25ug/ml

| Seq | Subjects | Retention time minute | Area     | Concentration |
|-----|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------|
| 1   | Palmitic C16:1 | 2.67                  | 168523   | 25ug/ml       |
| 2   | Stearic acid C18:0 | 3.48               | 235444   | 25ug/ml       |
| 3   | Oleic C18:1    | 5.74                  | 210588   | 25ug/ml       |
| 4   | Linolenic C18:2 | 6.04                | 196344   | 25ug/ml       |
| 5   | α -Linolenic C18:2 | 8.0                 | 185612   | 25ug/ml       |

The HPLC separation profile revealed the presence of various chromatographic peaks in the studied flax seeds sample extract. The assayed of the separated compounds representing the major detected peaks and summarizing the obtained data for each of the detected chromatographic peak are discussed below. Quantitative determination of fatty acids was done by comparison the peak area of authentic standard with that of sample peaks under the same optimum separation condition, by using the following equation:

\[
\text{Concentration of sample µg/ml} = \frac{\text{Area of sample}}{\text{Area of standard}} \times \text{conc.of standard} \times \text{dilution factor}
\]

The results were statistically analyzed using the SAS statistical analysis program, and the averages were compared on the basis of the least significant difference L.S.D at 0.05 [17].
3. Results and Discussion

The results in Table (2) indicated that there are significant differences between flax seed cultivars in the content of their seeds of Oleic acid. Sahka6 variety showed significantly superior on other varieties and it gave the highest mean of (29.70) %, while Thorshansity72 gave the lowest mean of (18.18) %. Bio-fertilization had a significant differences on the seed content of Oleic acid by the superiority of the treatment (Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza) over other of the treatments and it gave the highest mean of (27.48%) compared to the non-fertilization treatment which gave the lowest mean of (19.22%). Whereas, The interaction between the varieties and the Biofertilization did not show any significant differences.

| Varieties       | Bio-fertilizer | Mean     |
|-----------------|----------------|----------|
|                 | Control        | Bacterial fertilizer | Mycorrhiza | Bacterial fertilizer+ Mycorrhiza |     |
| Indian Variety  | 16.62          | 18.95     | 21.07     | 25.44                           | 20.52 |
| Giza 11         | 18.70          | 22.89     | 25.74     | 28.03                           | 23.84 |
| Giza 10         | 19.11          | 20.43     | 23.72     | 27.37                           | 22.65 |
| Sahka 5         | 24.91          | 26.39     | 27.95     | 30.11                           | 27.34 |
| Sahka 6         | 25.21          | 29.80     | 30.77     | 33.05                           | 29.70 |
| Giza 8          | 17.32          | 20.91     | 22.45     | 26.87                           | 21.88 |
| Syrian variety  | 18.03          | 20.21     | 25.31     | 27.08                           | 22.65 |
| Thorshansity72  | 13.90          | 16.56     | 20.31     | 21.96                           | 18.18 |
| Mean            | 19.22          | 22.01     | 24.66     | 27.48                           |       |

The results in Table (3) show that the varieties showed significant differences in the content of flax seeds from Linolenic acid. Sahka6 variety showed significantly differences on other varieties and provided the highest mean of (28.39) %, while the Thorshansity72 variety gave the lowest mean of (15.90) %. The bio-fertilization exhibited significant differences in the characteristics of the seed content of Linolenic acid with the superiority of the treatment (Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza) over on other treatments and it passed the highest mean of (28.39) %, while control treatment recorded the lowest mean of (19.67) %. The interaction between the varieties and the Biofertilization did not show any significant differences.

| Varieties       | Bio-fertilizer | Mean     |
|-----------------|----------------|----------|
|                 | Control        | Bacterial fertilizer | Mycorrhiza | Bacterial fertilizer+ Mycorrhiza |     |
| Indian Variety  | 17.20          | 18.90     | 22.40     | 25.60                           | 21.02 |
| Giza 11         | 22.40          | 24.50     | 28.10     | 28.90                           | 25.97 |
| Giza 10         | 19.70          | 20.80     | 22.50     | 26.70                           | 22.42 |
| Sahka 5         | 24.10          | 25.26     | 29.40     | 30.20                           | 27.24 |
| Sahka 6         | 25.80          | 26.99     | 28.10     | 32.70                           | 28.39 |
| Giza 8          | 17.60          | 18.90     | 22.70     | 25.30                           | 21.12 |
| Syrian variety  | 18.23          | 20.30     | 23.90     | 26.10                           | 22.13 |
| Thorshansity72  | 13.10          | 15.30     | 16.80     | 18.40                           | 15.90 |
| Mean            | 19.76          | 21.36     | 24.23     | 26.73                           |       |

The results in Table (4) showed that there were significant differences between flaxseed varieties in the content of their seeds of α-linolenic acid. The Sahka 5 variety presented significantly differences on other varieties and gave the highest mean of (26.91) %, while Giza10 provided the lowest mean of (21.74) %. Bio-fertilization had a significant effect on the seed content of α-linolenic acid with the superiority of the treatment (Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza) over other treatments and it provided the highest mean (47.98) % compared to the non-fertilization treatment which gave the lowest mean (37.37%). The interaction between the cultivar and the biofertilization exhibited significant differences, with the superiority of the combination (Sahka 5 + Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza) on other combinations and gave the highest mean of (30.09) %, while the combination (Giza 10 + Control) gave the lowest mean of (18.37) %.
Table 4. Effect of Bio fertilizer and Variety on Flaxseed Content of α-linolenic acid (%).

| Varieties       | Control | Bacterial fertilizer | Mycorrhiza | Bacterial fertilizer+ Mycorrhiza | Mean  |
|-----------------|---------|----------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Indian Variety  | 21.30   | 22.56                | 24.82      | 25.55                            | 23.55 |
| Giza11          | 20.23   | 23.64                | 25.76      | 28.35                            | 24.49 |
| Giza10          | 18.37   | 20.89                | 22.83      | 24.87                            | 21.74 |
| Sahka5          | 23.65   | 25.56                | 28.35      | 30.09                            | 26.91 |
| Sahka6          | 21.14   | 22.09                | 24.75      | 26.54                            | 23.63 |
| Giza8           | 20.33   | 23.54                | 24.99      | 26.01                            | 23.71 |
| Syrian Variety  | 23.01   | 24.99                | 26.32      | 28.54                            | 25.71 |
| Thorshansity72  | 20.14   | 22.07                | 24.14      | 25.99                            | 23.08 |
| Mean            | 37.37   | 41.18                | 44.88      | 47.98                            |       |

L.S.D0.05 Varieties Bio-fertilizer Bacterial fertilizer+ Mycorrhiza 1.88 1.24 3.57

The results of Table (5) indicated that the varieties showed significant differences in the content of flaxseed from Palmitic acid. The Indian variety showed significantly differences on other varieties and gave the highest mean of (28.21) %, while Sahka6 provided the lowest mean of (20.01) %. The biofertilization showed significant differences in the characteristics of the seed content of Palmitic acid with the superiority of the treatment (Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza) on other treatments and it gave the highest mean of (27.30) %, while the control treatment gave the lowest mean of (18.12) %. The interaction between the variety and the biofertilization showed significant differences with the superiority of the combination (Indian + Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza) on other combinations and gave the highest mean of (30.56) %, while the combination (Sahka 5 + Control) gave the lowest mean (14.33) %.

Table 5. Effect of Bio fertilizer and Variety on Flaxseed Content of Palmitic acid (%).

| Varieties       | Control | Bacterial fertilizer | Mycorrhiza | Bacterial fertilizer+ Mycorrhiza | Mean  |
|-----------------|---------|----------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Indian Variety  | 25.32   | 27.34                | 29.64      | 30.56                            | 28.21 |
| Giza11          | 18.54   | 21.54                | 24.37      | 29.66                            | 23.52 |
| Giza10          | 17.23   | 21.86                | 25.43      | 29.01                            | 23.38 |
| Sahka5          | 14.33   | 18.44                | 22.03      | 26.33                            | 20.28 |
| Sahka6          | 15.89   | 18.32                | 20.99      | 24.85                            | 20.01 |
| Giza8           | 16.45   | 19.42                | 22.09      | 25.99                            | 20.98 |
| Syrian Variety  | 17.03   | 19.32                | 21.74      | 23.54                            | 20.40 |
| Thorshansity72  | 20.22   | 24.06                | 26.38      | 28.53                            | 24.79 |
| Mean            | 18.12   | 21.28                | 24.08      | 27.30                            |       |

L.S.D0.05 Varieties Bio-fertilizer Bacterial fertilizer+ Mycorrhiza 1.72 1.19 3.44

The results in Table (6) indicated that there were significant differences between flaxseed Varieties in their stearic acid content, as the Indian Variety significantly on other Varieties and gave the highest mean of (25.27) %, while the Sahka 5 variety gave the lowest mean of (20.01) %.

The biofertilization had a significant effect on the seed content of stearic acid by the superiority of the treatment (Bacterial fertilizer + Mycorrhiza) on other treatments and it gave the highest mean of (27.64%) compared to the non-fertilization treatment which gave the lowest mean of (17.45) %.

The interaction between the varieties and the Biofertilization did not show any significant differences.
Table 6. Effect of Bio fertilizer and Variety on Flaxseed Content of Stearic acid (%).

| Varieties         | Control | Bacterial fertilizer | Mycorrhiza | Bacterial fertilizer+ Mycorrhiza | Mean    |
|-------------------|---------|----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Indian variety    | 22.36   | 23.57                | 26.53      | 28.63                           | 25.27   |
| Giza11            | 17.45   | 20.03                | 24.54      | 29.59                           | 22.90   |
| Giza10            | 16.54   | 19.53                | 25.58      | 30.00                           | 22.91   |
| Sahka5            | 15.45   | 18.00                | 21.96      | 24.65                           | 20.01   |
| Sahka6            | 16.23   | 19.03                | 21.00      | 23.99                           | 20.06   |
| Giza8             | 18.43   | 20.36                | 23.75      | 26.64                           | 22.29   |
| Syrian variety    | 15.11   | 18.04                | 22.78      | 29.01                           | 21.23   |
| Thorshansity72    | 18.09   | 19.99                | 22.76      | 28.65                           | 22.37   |
| Mean              | 17.45   | 19.81                | 23.61      | 27.64                           |         |
| L.S.D.0.05        | 1.93    | 1.40                 | N.S        |                                 |         |

The difference between the flax varieties in the fatty acid content of the seeds may be due to the genetic differences between the varieties and the extent of the genetic ability of the variety and its response to the environmental conditions in the area of its cultivation. This difference agreed with their findings [18-23], who confirmed in their study the difference of varieties in their content of oil content (fatty acids).

The reason for the superiority of the biological mixture (bacterial + fungal) over other biofertilization treatments in increasing the content of flaxseed of fatty acids may be due to its efficiency due to its content of beneficial microorganisms that fix nitrogen and solvent for phosphorus and potassium, and this is reflected positively on the ability of the plant to absorb, transport and accumulate nutrients inside the plant tissues, thus increasing the yield and increasing the percentage of oil in the seeds, in addition to the increase in the number of microorganisms in the soil increases their ability to secrete growth-stimulating substances such as cytokines and gibberellins, and this is in agreement with what was obtained by [24-26].

Conclusion

From obtained results, it can be concluded that the variety and biofertilization, especially bacterial and fungal fertilization, have an effect on increasing the flaxseed content of fatty acids with an important medical and therapeutic effect.

References

[1] Abdelfarag, B. A. and A. M. Ali (2016). Effect of Sowing Date and SeedRate on Growth and Seed Yield of Linseed (Linum usitatissimum L.). U. of K. J. Agric. Sci. 24(2): 158-172.
[2] Loreau, O.; A. Maret; D. Poullain; J. M. Chardigny; J. L. Sebedio; B. Beaufreure and J. P. Noel. (2000). Large-scale preparation of 9Z,12E-1-13C-octadeca-9,12-dienoic acid, 9Z,12Z,15E-1-13C-octadeca-9,12,15-trienoic acid and their 1-13C all-cis isomers. Chem., phys. lipids. 106(1): 65-78.
[3] Storlien, L.; A. J. Hulbert and P. L. Else. (1998). Polyunsaturated fatty acids, membrane function and metabolic diseases such as diabetes and obesity. Curr. Opin. Clinical Nut. Metabol. Care. 1: 559-563.
[4] Kumar, S.; J. K. Singh and A. Vishwakarma. (2016). Effect of NPK levels and bio-fertilizers on quality parameters and seed yield of linseed (Linum usitatissimum L.) varieties under irrigated condition. Intl. Quart. J. Life Sci. 11(2): 1339-1343.
[5] Dupasquier, C. M.; E. Dibrov; A. L. Kneesh; P. K. Cheung; K. G. Lee and A. M. Ali. (2016). Effect of Sowing Date and SeedRate on Growth and Seed Yield of Linseed (Linum usitatissimum L.). U. of K. J. Agric. Sci. 24(2): 158-172.
[6] Simopoulos, A. P. (2002). The importance of the ratio of omega-6/ omega-3 essential fatty acids. Biomed. Pharmacoth. 56: 365-379.
[7] Rodriguez-Leyva, D.; C. M. Dupasquier; R. McCullough; G. N. Pierce. (2010). The cardiovascular effects of flaxseed and its omega-3 fatty acid, alpha-linolenic acid. Can J Cardiol. 26: 489-496.
[8] Clark, W. F.; C. Kortas; A. P. Heidenheim; J. Garland; E. Spanner and A. Parbtani. (2001). Flaxseed in lupus nephritis: A two-year placebo-controlled crossover study. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 20: 143-148.
[9] AL-Taey, D.K.A., I.J.C. Al-Naely and B.H. Khash. (2019). A study on the effects of water quality, cultivars, organic and chemical fertilizers on potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) growth and yield to calculate the economic feasibility. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 25 (6), 1239–1245.
[10] Mohammed, M.A., Salman, S.R., Abdulridha, W.M., (2020), Structural, optical, electrical and gas sensor properties of zro2 thin films prepared by sol-gel technique, NeuroQuantology, 18(3), pp. 22–27.
[11] Toman S.S., D. K. A. AL-Taey, A. R. Al-Tawaha, S. N. Sirajuddin, I. Rasyid and A. A. Hassan. (2020). Effect of foliar application and mineral fertilizer on growth parameters and content auxins, GA and CK in cucumber leaves. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. (492) 012009, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/492/1/012009.

[12] AL-Taey, D.K.A. and R.F. Al-Shmary. (2021). The Impact of Bio-Organic and N, P, K Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Potato. Chapter: 1-8. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.98484.

[13] AL-Taey D.K.A. and Burhan, A.K. (2021). The effect of water quality, cultivar, and organic fertilizer on growth and yield of volatile oil carvone and limonene in Dill. International Journal of Vegetable Science., 27(5):1-7: DOI: 10.1080/19315260.2021.1970075.

[14] Al-Taey, D. K. A., Z. Z. Majid. 2018. Study Effect of Kinetin, Bio-fertilizers and Organic Matter Application in Lettuce under Salt Stress. Journal of Global Phama Technology.10(1):148-164. DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.32297.95847.

[15] Al-Sudani, Elaf Khudhur.(2018). Effect of Bio Fertilization on Line Seed Yield and Oil Qualities. Master thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Agriculture.Eng. Sci, Iraq.

[16] Al-Khafajy R.A., D.K.A. AL-Taey and M.H.S. AL-Mohammed. (2020). The impact of Water Quality, Bio fertilizers and Selenium Spraying on some Vegetative and Flowering Growth Parameters of Calendula Officinalis L. under Salinity Stress. Int. J. Agricult. Stat. Sci., 16, Supplement 1: 1175-1180. https://connectjournals.com/03899.2020. 16.1175

[17] SAS, Institute. (2009). The SAS System for Windows v.9.9 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

[18] Alnuaimi, J. J. J., Hassoon, A. S., & Almyali, A. A. H. (2019). Evaluation of the performance of four genotypes of Corn (Zea mays L.) and path coefficient analysis by Bacterial biofertilizers effects. Eco. Env. & Cons. 26 (1): 2020; pp. (262-270).

[19] Abbas, S.H. (2012). The half diallel crosses of quantitative characters in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). Euphrates Journal of Agriculture Science, 4(3): 71-80 (in Arabic).

[20] Kadhim, H. M. and Abbas, S. H. (2020). Gene action for grain yield and some of its components in sunflower. J. Plant Archives. 20(2): 7511–7518.

[21] Mohammed, A. A.; J. M. Abbas and M. H. K. Al-Baldawi. (2020). Effect of Plants Source Organic Fertilizers on Yield and its Components of Linseed Cultivars. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 51(Special Issue): 86-95.

[22] Shakya, L. and Sh. Barwa. (2017). Effect of Reduced Doses of Chemical Fertilizers with Dual Inoculation of Bio-fertilizers on Linseed Varieties. Intl. J. Innovative Res. Sci., Engineering and Technol. 6(7): 14123-14129.

[23] Matter, Mohammed Rahman. (2021). Evaluation of Flax Varieties and Two Types of Sprinklers under Solid-Set of Sprinkler Irrigation System. Master thesis, University of Kirkuk, College of Agriculture, Iraq.

[24] El-Refaey, R. A.; E. H. El-Seidy; T. A. Abou-Zaied U. A. Abd El-Razek and E. A. Rashwan.( 2015). Effect of different mineral and biological nitrogenous fertilizers combinations on straw yield and fiber quality of some flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) genotypes. Glob. J. Agric. Food Safety Sci. 2: 346-364.

[25] Hussain, M. H., Jader, J. J., & HASSOON, A. (2020). Effect of Bio-Fertilization and Foliar Spraying in the Mustard Seed Content Brassica Alba L. from Some Fatty Acids. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 11(4).

[26] Hussien, Ghousoon Fadil. (2019). Effect of the combination of Biofertilizer,vermicompost and chemical fertilization on Growth and yield of flax (Linum usitatissimum L.). Master thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Agriculture.Eng. Sci, Iraq.