Minimally Invasive Chevron Surgery and Bosch Percutaneous Osteotomy in Hallux Valgus Treatment. Midterm Results. Comparative Radiological Study

Cirurgia minimamente invasiva de chevron e osteotomia percutânea de Bosch no tratamento de hálux valgo. Resultados em médio prazo. Estudo radiológico comparativo

Juan Manuel Yañez Arauz1,2, Nicolás Raimondi1, Andrés Eksarho1, Diego Lauritto1, Maria Eugenia Yañez Arauz1, Juan Martin Yañez Arauz2

1 Foot and Ankle Service, Hospital Universitario Austral, Buenos Aires, Argentina
2 Faculty of Medicine, Universidad Austral, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Address for correspondence Juan Manuel Yañez Arauz, MD, Gral. Urquiza 340. Acassuso. Buenos Aires, Argentina. PC:1641 (e-mail: juanyanezarauz@gmail.com).

Abstract

Objective The purpose of the present study is to compare the radiological results of angular correction and its maintenance in the medium term between two minimally invasive techniques for the treatment of hallux valgus (minimally invasive chevron surgery vs. Bosch technique).

Methods A comparative prospective analysis of patients undergoing surgery for symptomatic hallux valgus deformity was performed. We compared two minimally invasive techniques in homogeneous groups of population. Two groups of 62 and 63 feet respectively, were constituted. We compared first ray angular corrections and consolidation as well as the correction power of both osteotomies and their maintenance over time. The postoperative complications and surgical time in both study groups were also evaluated. The minimum follow-up was 2 years.

Results There were differences between both groups in the intermetatarsal angle at 24 months postsurgery. There were no differences between both groups regarding metatarsophalangeal angle, and distal metatarsal articular angle. There were no intraoperative complications in either group. The surgical time between both groups had statistically significant differences.

Conclusions Both screw-stabilized, Bosch surgery and minimally invasive chevron (hybrid when associated with percutaneous Akin osteotomy) present adequate...
Introduction

Hallux valgus is a frequent, complex, and progressive deformity of the forefoot, which produces multiple clinical manifestations. It has a multifactorial etiology. The deformity and the clinical manifestations of this pathology occur between the 3rd and 5th decade in 65% of the population. It is more frequent in women.

Multiple surgical techniques have been described for the treatment of hallux valgus, and most of them include a first metatarsal osteotomy. This osteotomy can be performed in different areas of the first metatarsal: (distal, mid-diaphyseal and/or proximal), associated or not with soft-tissue release.

The distal chevron osteotomy, popularized by Austin and Leventen, is accepted as a good option for the treatment of mild-to-moderate hallux valgus, obtaining good results in angular and symptomatic correction.

In the last decades, percutaneous techniques for the treatment of hallux valgus have been popularized, supported by the theory of shorter surgical time, less surgical trauma, less postoperative pain, and faster recovery. Peter Bosch modified the popular Kramer osteotomy and did it through a minimal incision. He used a K-wire to stabilize the osteotomy. In 1990 Bosch published his percutaneous osteotomy technique, in which he described a lateral cephalic displacement of ¼ of the metatarsal diameter minimum, obtaining a consolidation of 100%. Percutaneous techniques have been described in several publications.

Similar results have been demonstrated and were, sometimes, superior to those of the open techniques. However, despite the results described in these papers, the minimally invasive surgery’s follow-up time and the levels of evidence (III and IV) remain a subject of debate and controversy.

Some authors described combined procedures, using mini-open techniques associated with purely percutaneous techniques to treat a foot deformity. They called them “hybrid techniques.”

Correction of moderate hallux valgus. However, patients treated with Bosch percutaneous surgery had a greater correction power of the intermetatarsal angle in the medium term, as well as a shorter surgical time, when compared with those who were treated with chevron osteotomy. Both techniques had a similar evolution over time regarding loss of correction and postoperative complications.

Resumo

Objetivo O objetivo deste estudo é comparar os resultados radiológicos da correção angular e sua manutenção no médio prazo entre duas técnicas minimamente invasivas para o tratamento de hálux valgo (cirurgia minimamente invasiva em chevron vs. técnica de Bosch).

Métodos Foi realizada uma análise prospectiva comparativa de pacientes submetidos à cirurgia para deformidade sintomática de hálux valgo. Compomos duas técnicas minimamente invasivas em grupos homogêneos de população. Dois grupos de 62 e 63 pés, respectivamente, foram constituídos. Compomos correções angulares de primeiro raio e consolidação, bem como o poder de correção tanto das osteotomias quanto de sua manutenção ao longo do tempo. As complicações pós-operatórias e o tempo cirúrgico em ambos os grupos de estudo também foram avaliados. O seguimento mínimo foi de 2 anos.

Resultados Houve diferenças entre ambos os grupos no ângulo intermetatarsal aos 24 meses após a cirurgia. Não houve diferenças entre ambos os grupos em relação ao ângulo metatarsofalângico e ao ângulo articular metatarso-distal. Não houve complicações intraoperatorias em nenhum dos grupos. O tempo cirúrgico entre ambos os grupos apresentou diferenças estaticisticamente significativas.

Conclusões Sendo as duas técnicas estabilizadas por parafusos, tanto a osteotomia de Bosch quanto a cirurgia minimamente invasiva em chevron (hibrida quando associada à osteotomia percutânea de Akin) apresentam correção adequada de hálux valgo moderado. No entanto, os pacientes tratados com a osteotomia percutânea Bosch apresentaram maior poder de correção do ângulo intermetatarsal no médio prazo, bem como e menor tempo cirúrgico, em relação aos que foram tratados com osteotomia em chevron. Ambas as técnicas apresentaram evolução semelhante ao longo do tempo no que se refere à perda de correção e complicações pós-operatórias.
The main objective of the present study is to compare the radiological results of angular correction, and its maintenance in the medium term, between two minimally invasive techniques for the treatment of hallux valgus (minimally invasive chevron surgery vs. Bosch technique).

The secondary objective is to evaluate the postoperative complications and surgical time in both study groups.

The hypothesis was that the percutaneous technique of Bosch presents similar results regarding the correction of the deformity and its maintenance in time, with lower complication rates and shorter surgical time, compared to the minimally invasive chevron osteotomy.

Materials and Methods

A comparative prospective analysis of patients undergoing surgery for symptomatic hallux valgus deformity was performed. We compared two minimally invasive techniques in homogeneous groups of population, regarding their deformity of first ray, age group, and functional demand.

From January 2014 to January 2015, 125 feet from 96 patients were included in the present study, with a minimum follow-up of 2 years. The main reasons for surgery were pain and difficulty in wearing closed shoes.

The severity of the deformity, according to the preoperative metatarsophalangeal angle (MPA), was defined as normal (≤ 15 degrees), mild (16–20 degrees), moderate (21–39 degrees), or severe (≥ 40 degrees). Presence of a MPA greater than 20 degrees in the postoperative period was defined as a recurrence of deformity. Only patients with moderate deformities were included in this study.

Population

Two groups of patients were conformed according to the surgical technique:

Group 1: 62 feet of 48 patients. This group included 4 men (3 bilateral deformities) and 44 women (11 bilateral deformities). All feet had moderate hallux valgus. The operated feet included 28 left and 34 right feet. The surgical technique was a Bosch percutaneous osteotomy, stabilized with osteosynthesis with cannulated screw in addition to the endomedular K-wire. In all cases, lateral tendon release was performed through a percutaneous incision.

Group 2: 63 feet of 48 patients. This group included 3 men (one bilateral deformity) and 45 women (14 bilateral deformities). All feet had moderate hallux valgus. The operated feet included 33 right and 30 left feet. The surgical technique was a minimally invasive distal chevron osteotomy, stabilized with cannulated screw. Table 1 describes the groups.

All surgeries were performed in the same hospital by 3 specialists in foot and ankle surgery. Although the choice of the technique was not random, surgeon “one” always performed the Bosch technique for his patients with moderate hallux valgus. The other two surgeons performed the minimally invasive chevron technique.

Inclusion criteria:

1- Symptomatic moderate hallux valgus

Table 1 Characteristics of both groups

| Technique          | Chevron MIS | Bosch |
|--------------------|-------------|-------|
| Patients           | 48          | 48    |
| Average age        | 47          | 51    |
| Sex                | 45 female, 3 male | 44 female, 4 male |
| Side               | 30 left, 33 right | 28 left, 34 right |
| MPA                | 63 moderate | 62 moderate |
| Bilaterality       | 15          | 14    |
| Number of feet     | 63          | 62    |

Radiographic Evaluation.

In both groups, radiographs were taken in weight-bearing position, in two incidences: anteroposterior and lateral X-ray views. We analyzed the X-ray in the preoperative period, at 3 months postoperatively, and in the distant postoperative period, after 24 months. Angular corrections were compared in the short term, and the maintenance or not of these corrections over more than 24 months, which was considered the distant postoperative period.

All images were digital, measured with the MB Ruler software version 4.0 (MB Software Solutions, LLC, Owings Mills, MD, USA).

The authors measured the following angles:

A) Metatarsophalangeal angle (MPA), measured between the axis of the first phalanx and the first metatarsal;
B) Intermetatarsal angle (IMA), measured by the Miller measurement technique;
C) Distal metatarsal articular angle (DMAA), measured as the angle between the longitudinal axis of the first metatarsal and the perpendicular to the line drawn between the most medial and lateral extension of the metatarsal joint surface.

Vicious consolidation of the osteotomy was determined according with Jones et al. Status and type of consolidation of the osteotomy was determined according with Jones et al. Vicious consolidation was considered in those cases in which, in the lateral projections, the metatarsal osteotomy consolidated with an angular deviation, taking the longitudinal axis of the first metatarsal as reference.

Statistical Analysis

The data obtained were included in an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). The loss or not of the
angular correction in the immediate postoperative period was analyzed in both study groups.

The authors measured the angles of the preoperative radiographs, as well as those corrected, at 3 and 24 months postoperatively.

In each group, the average angles and the standard deviations of the samples were registered.

The Pearson chi-squared test was used for the analysis of differences in the distribution of one variable in both groups, considering a p-value < 0.05 statistically significant.

In order to minimize any possible effect of a statistically significant difference in the measurement of the angles of both groups, we used the percentage of variation of the angles, expressed by the angular recovery rates (RRs), which is defined by the following equation: the difference between the preoperative and postoperative angle, divided by the preoperative angle multiplied by 100. 19

In each independent group, the preoperative and 3 months postoperative angles were compared using the Mann-Whitney test. Subsequently, the evolutions of each angle were compared in the same group at 3 and 24 months postoperatively, also analyzed by the Mann-Whitney test.

In order to measure significant changes in the same angle at 3 and 24 months postoperatively, we used the McNemar test.

To evaluate if there were differences in the evolution of the three angles (IMA, MPA, and DMAA) between both study groups (Bosch technique vs. minimally invasive chevron technique), the Student t-test was used.

As the two techniques were performed for the treatment of the same pathology, in populations with similar and homogeneous characteristics, we attempted to minimize the influences of uncontrolled variables.

**Abstract of the Bosch Technique**

Patient supine, feet on the edge of the surgical table, or slightly protruding from it. This allows us to position ourselves comfortably in front the foot to be operated on, and to handle the instruments while working and use the fluoroscopy.

a) Release of the adductor hallucis tendon (with percutaneous technique) (Fig. 1).

b) Medial paraungual incision of the first toe, and progression of a retrograde 2.0-mm K-wire to the distal metaphysis of the first metatarsal.

c) Transversal distal metatarsal osteotomy is done using a burr (long Shannon 44), through a medial portal of the metatarsal neck, with a perpendicular cut to the axis of the first metatarsal bone. Afterwards, the metatarsal head may be displaced more than 75% of the diameter of the first metatarsal if necessary, allowing corrections of severe IMAs. It is a complete osteotomy, and must be stabilized with intramedullary K-wire. Control the position with fluoroscope in lateral and anteroposterior views.

d) Stabilization using a cannulated screw (Fig. 2).

**Abstract of the Minimally Invasive Chevron Technique.**

a) Patient supine, feet on the edge of the surgical table or slightly protruding from it.

b) 30 mm medial approach on the medial first metatarsal head.

c) “V” or longitudinal capsulotomy.

d) Medial metatarsal head bunionectomy.

e) Lateral release by the same approach.

f) Osteotomy in inverted “L” (chevron modified by Johnson). Lateral displacement. Osteosynthesis using a cannulated screw.

g) Capsuloplasty and skin synthesis.

Postoperative management and return to activities were similar in both groups. The only difference was that in the Bosch technique, the K-wire was removed at 3 weeks, and...
Results

The average age of the patients was 49.3 (range, 77–19) years. Seven patients were men and 89 were women.

There were 58 left and 67 right feet. Twenty-nine patients presented bilateral surgical pathology.

In group 1 (Bosch), the mean correction for the MPA, IMA, and DMAA was 20.19°, 8.66°, and 9.03° at 3 months; and 19.62°, 8.14°, and 9.66° at 24 months.

In group 2 (chevron), the average correction for the MPA, IMA, and DMAA were 20.49°, 6.47°, and 10.63° respectively at 3 months; and 19.47°, 5.9°, and 9.5° at 24 months. The results regarding angular corrections in both groups were similar (Table 2).

Preoperative Measurements

1. The distribution of preoperative congruence by technique can be seen in Table 3. Differences in the congruence distribution between both techniques were statistically significant (p-value: 0.008).

2. A significant difference (p-value: 0.012) was observed only in the preoperative IMA of both groups. The other preoperative angles in both groups did not present significant differences (Table 4).

Measurement at 3 months Postoperative and Comparison with Preoperative.

1. Significant differences were found between the preoperative and postoperative metatarsophalangeal congruence at 3 months in patients treated with the Bosch method: p-value = 0.00225 Mc Nemar test. No differences registered in the chevron technique.

2. Regarding angular correction, we compared the evolution of each angle by group (Bosch and chevron) between preoperative and 3 months and did not find statistically significant differences. However, the RR for the 3-month IMA was 63% in the Bosch technique, whereas in chevron-treated patients it was 55% (p = 0.0668). Although not statistically significant, it shows a greater IMA potential correction with the Bosch technique.

Complications: There were no intraoperative complications in either group.

There were 10 cases of postoperative complications in the Bosch group and 7 in the chevron group. However, the incidence of complications between the two techniques was similar (chi-squared test, p-value = 0.41). From the analysis of complications, in group 1 (Bosch), 5 cases of cellulitis were found on K-wire insertion, 1 implant rupture, 1 neuritis, 1 osteomyelitis, 1 hematoma, and a case of deep venous thrombosis. In group 2 (chevron), there were 3 cases of cellulitis, 2 neuritis, 1 relapse, and 1 hallux varus.

Surgical time: surgical time was measured from when the patient entered the operating room until he/she left the operating room. Average of the chevron group: 107 minutes; Bosch group: 50 minutes (p-value < 0.0001).

Discussion

Minimally invasive surgery applied to the foot became popular in the 1980s and has been growing in the last decade based on the theory of obtaining better results in those patients who did not recover well from traditional open surgeries.

Portaluri,18 in 2000, and then Magnan et al.,20 in 2008, published a series of cases with 118 feet treated with the Bosch technique without screw, with an average follow-up of 35.9 months, and they mention that clinical results are comparable to those obtained through conventional open surgery.

In 2007, Migues et al.21 published their experience in an evaluated series of 242 feet treated with the Bosch technique, basing the measurement in millimeters of head displacement.

Table 2 Average correction in degrees of the angles in both groups at 3 and 24 months

| Angle   | Bosch 3 months | Chevron 3 months | Bosch 24 months | Chevron 24 months |
|---------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| MPA     | 20.19          | 20.49            | 19.62           | 19.47             |
| IMA     | 8.66           | 6.47             | 8.14            | 5.9               |
| DMAA    | 9.03           | 10.63            | 9.66            | 9.5               |

Table 3 Distribution of preoperative joint congruence in both groups

|        | no congruence | congruence | Total |
|--------|---------------|------------|-------|
| Bosch  | 20            | 42         | 62    |
| Chevron| 8             | 55         | 63    |
| Total  | 28            | 97         | 125   |
By analyzing the international bibliography, we found many series of cases of minimally invasive surgery, and very few comparisons of this technique with the conventional one.\textsuperscript{22–24} The average correction reported in minimally invasive surgery for MPA and IMA is 26.7° to 33.3° and 11.8° to 13°, respectively.\textsuperscript{25–27}

The results obtained in group 1, treated in a minimally invasive manner, hallux valgus and IMA of 29.66° and 13.29°, respectively, are comparable to those published.

Giannini et al.\textsuperscript{22} performed a randomized study comparing the clinical-radiological results of 40 patients treated with bilateral hallux valgus. On one foot they did a scarf osteotomy, and on the other foot a traditional percutaneous Bosch surgery without screw. They found no statistically significant difference in postoperative angular measurements. However, percutaneous surgery yields shorter surgical times. They concluded that both techniques are effective in correcting the deformity. But they believe that the shorter surgical time, less aggressiveness, and simplicity in stabilization of percutaneous surgery improves clinical outcomes. Agreeing with the results of other publications, in our study, we obtained similar angular corrections in both groups, but the surgical time in the percutaneous Bosch surgery was shorter.

Brogan\textsuperscript{28} compares percutaneous versus conventional chevron osteotomy. The postoperative clinical and radiological scores of all angles were substantially improved in both groups (p < 0.001), but there was no statistically significant difference in angular improvement between the open group and the minimally invasive surgery group (p > 0.05). He also found no significant difference in complications (p > 0.5). Following the author, in our study, there were no statistically significant differences between the groups regarding angular corrections and complications (p-value = 0.41).

One weakness of our study is that we did not correlate the radiological results with the clinical ones, although the aim was to do a radiological analysis. However, we performed a complete statistical analysis comparing two techniques, which have not been analyzed in other studies, and evaluating radiographic angular corrections over time (medium term), as well as possible loss of correction. For this reason, we do not agree that both techniques are similar in the correction of all angles, since we have found a potential greater medium-term correction of the intermetatarsal angle in the Bosch technique group. However, both techniques present a similar behavior in the evolution over time and do not present statistically significant differences in correction loss.

Ianno et al.\textsuperscript{19} published a case series of percutaneous surgery, using a Bosch technique without osteosynthesis, and reported a high incidence of complications; among them, 4 cases of vicious consolidation, osteonecrosis, and 16 cases of recurrences. Our study, with a statistically significant number of Bosch techniques, did not present recurrences, osteonecrosis, or hallux varus.

### Conclusions

Both screw-stabilized, Bosch surgery and minimally invasive chevron (hybrid when associated with percutaneous Akin osteotomy) present adequate correction of moderate hallux valgus. However, patients treated with Bosch percutaneous surgery had a greater correction power of the intermetatarsal angle in the medium term, as well as a shorter surgical time, when compared to those treated with chevron osteotomy. Both techniques progressed similarly in the evolution over time, regarding loss of correction and postoperative complications.
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