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Abstract: The spread of multidrug-resistant bacteria poses a significant threat to human health. Plasma activated liquids (PAL) could be a promising alternative for microbial decontamination, where different PAL can possess diverse antimicrobial efficacies and cytotoxic profiles, depending on the range and concentration of their reactive chemical species. In this research, the biological activity of plasma activated water (PAW) on different biological targets including both microbiological and mammalian cells was investigated in vitro. The aim was to further an understanding of the specific role of distinct plasma reactive species, which is required to tailor plasma activated liquids for use in applications where high antimicrobial activity is required without adversely affecting the biology of eukaryotic cells. PAW was generated by glow and spark discharges, which provide selective generation of hydrogen peroxide, nitrite and nitrate in the liquid. The PAW made by either spark or glow discharges showed similar antimicrobial efficacy and stability of activity, despite the very different reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species profiles (RNS). However, different trends were observed for cytotoxic activities and effects on enzyme function, which were translated through the selective chemical species generation. These findings indicate very distinct mechanisms of action which may be exploited when tailoring plasma activated liquids to various applications. A remarkable stability to heat and pressure was noted for PAW generated with this set up, which broadens the application potential. These features also suggest that post plasma modifications and post generation stability can be harnessed as a further means of modulating the chemistry, activity and mode of delivery of plasma functionalised liquids. Overall, these results further understanding on how PAL generation may be tuned to provide candidate disinfectant agents for biomedical application or for bio-decontamination in diverse areas.
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1. Introduction

Cold plasma affects biological targets not only directly but also indirectly through the medium, which has broadened the potential applications of cold plasma in medicine [1]. Based on discharge type, working gas, and the chemical composition of the surrounding environment, various chemical reactions induced by plasma can be initiated, with a number of resulting primary and secondary reactive chemical species penetrating or dissolving into the aqueous environment [2]. After plasma treatment, these plasma activated solutions are enriched with reactive oxygen and reactive nitrogen chemical species with lifetimes ranging...
from seconds to months, making them useful for potential biomedical applications [3]. These types of solutions are known as PAL, plasma-treated liquids or plasma-functionalised liquids. The solution obtained after the plasma treatment acts as a bioactive solution which can be delivered to a target for specific biomedical applications such as cancer treatment, disinfection, and bio-decontamination, including places where direct plasma generation is less feasible or undesirable, such as cavities or body organs [4].

In order for PAL to be efficient for biomedical applications, the delivery of chemical species through plasma needs to be sufficient and successful. In the liquid phase, reactive species with a relatively long lifetime, such as hydrogen peroxide, nitrites, and nitrates, are produced by plasma–liquid interactions. In addition to these species, other potentially less stable reactive species with concentrations that vary depending on the target liquid solution type, are also important for their biological effects. Different PAL such as nonbuffered and buffered solutions may carry different concentrations of reactive chemical species and maintain diverse cytotoxic and antimicrobial properties [5] which can be retained for up to 18 months of storage at low temperatures [6]. Differences in reactive species chemistry and biological effect between various PAL can derive from different composition of the liquids, or antioxidants within the solutions as well as the plasma device and discharge conditions.

The search for novel techniques for improved microbial decontamination of environmental objects and surfaces in hospital rooms and healthcare facilities is currently the subject of a considerable number of investigations (e.g., UV light, hydrogen peroxide systems, ozone) [7]. Plasma treated solutions have been demonstrated to inactivate different bacteria, fungi and viruses [8–11]. PAL have been proposed as an alternative method of disinfection for medical devices such as duodenoscope reprocessing after current-standard manual cleaning [12] and as a novel mouthwash against oral pathogens [13].

The efficacy of each PAL depends on the reactive species produced in the PAL, the type of microorganism and phenotype [14]. PAL generated at atmospheric pressure will generally contain aqueous reactive species such as hydrogen peroxide, nitrite and nitrate ions, acidic pH values and a high oxidation reduction potential which may play a crucial role in the microbial inactivation potential of plasma activated water (PAW) [3,15]. Both reactive oxygen and reactive nitrogen species (ROS and RNS) in an acidic environment are important for the bactericidal activity [3,16]. The important role of peroxynitrite for a strong bactericidal effect has also been reported [17]. In terms of mechanism of antimicrobial action, PAW treatments can disrupt the outer and cytoplasmic membranes of bacteria, and be accompanied by leakage of intracellular components from the cells [3].

Plasma activated liquids have shown toxic effects not only on microorganisms, but also on the cells of higher organisms. Plasma generated reactive chemical species can cause mitochondrial dysfunction, caspase activation, cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, senescence or necrosis in mammalian cells [18]. Measuring the toxicity of these solutions in a model dependent manner, can provide helpful information as to whether or not PAL could be further used for antimicrobial activity on abiotic and biotic surfaces. A dominant function for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in the cytotoxic effects of PAL has been established [19] but, importantly, this compound is not the only chemical species that causes the cytotoxic effects. Girard et al. reported that hydrogen peroxide could not account alone for the toxicity in cell lines, but nitrites and hydrogen peroxide synergistically triggered cell death [20]. Peroxynitrous acid/peroxynitrite can be generated by the interaction of nitrites and hydrogen peroxide [21,22] and can subsequently induce cell death by both cellular apoptosis and necrosis depending on the production rates and endogenous antioxidant levels [23].

The aim of this study is to assist the development of PAL as novel decontamination agents (for abiotic surfaces), and/or as antiseptic agents (for biotic surfaces, such as skin) which could be used as wound disinfectants, hand sanitisers or for washing at surgical sites. This study helps to build up an understanding of how plasma reactive species in liquid translate to different biological effects by employing two types of plasma activated water with very distinct ROS- and RNS-rich chemistries. Ideally, PAL should retain high level antimicrobial activity, but cause minimal or acceptable cytotoxicity in surrounding
or exposed tissues. Plasma activated water, as the simplest form of a plasma activated liquid, generated using a spark (SD) and a glow (GD) cold atmospheric plasma discharge, respectively, was investigated for its antimicrobial properties on Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The PAW was characterised in terms of its chemical composition and stability of the chemistry and antibacterial effect to a high temperature and pressure. The effect in other biological systems, namely the impact on mammalian cell growth and activity of the enzyme lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) were also determined. A significant finding of this work is the bactericidal stability of plasma activated water at different elevated temperatures and pressure, making heated PAW a novel disinfectant with a broad range of potential applications.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plasma System Setup and Sample Treatment

Two different types of electrical discharge configuration have been set up for this plasma system [24]. In both setups, a stainless-steel needle served as the high voltage electrode and it was fixed perpendicular to the solution’s surface. The distance between the high voltage needle tip and the liquid’s surface was fixed to 5 mm for all experiments.

To realise two different discharge modes, the ground electrode connection was adjusted in two setups (Figure 1). In order to obtain the spark setup, the plastic petri dish was placed on a stainless-steel plate which was connected to the ground; in order to obtain the glow setup, a thin ground electrode rod was submerged into the water contained in the small petri dish. Both types of discharges were operated in atmospheric air. The maximum output voltage was 20 kV with a variable frequency of 20–65 kHz depending on the plasma load capacitance. In this study a fixed frequency of 25 kHz was used. Working power used was 16 Watt for glow discharge and 19 Watt for spark discharge. For the generation of PAW, 10 mL volume sterile deionised water was added into a plastic petri dish (55 mm inner diameter), which corresponded to a water layer of about 4.2 mm depth. The power supply used for driving plasma discharges was a HV half bridge resonant inverter circuit (PVM500, INFORMATION UNLIMITED). The plasma activation times used to generate PAW were 5, 10, and 15 min. PAW samples were analysed directly after generation and stored at 4°C in 15 mL tubes for analyses performed after 1 week. The temperature in both setups increased to a maximum 55°C. After plasma treatment there was 1, 1.9, and 2.5 mL loss for SD5, SD10, and SD15 respectively and 1.3, 2.4, and 3.7 mL loss for GD5, GD10, GD15, respectively.

Figure 1. Schematic of air discharge in contact with water, (A) spark discharge; (B) glow discharge.

2.2. Antimicrobial Efficacy

2.2.1. Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

The bacterial strains Escherichia coli (E. coli) NCTC 12900 and Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) NCTC 1803 were obtained from the microbiology stock culture of the School of
Food Science and Environmental Health of the Technological University Dublin, Ireland, and were maintained at $-80^\circ\text{C}$ using protective beads (Technical Services Consultants Ltd., Heywood, UK). One protective bead of the selected strain was streaked onto tryptic soy agar (TSA, Biokar, France), incubated at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h and further maintained at 4 $^\circ\text{C}$. A single colony of the culture was used to inoculate tryptic soy broth (TSB, ScharlauChemie, Spain) and incubated at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 18 h.

2.2.2. Preparation of Cell Suspensions

The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min, washed three times in PBS and finally resuspended in PBS. A total of 30 $\mu$L of *E. coli* or 50 $\mu$L of *S. aureus* suspension was diluted in 970 and 950 $\mu$L of PBS, respectively, and these were the bacterial working inoculum. To determine each PAW’s antimicrobial effect, 10% bacterial suspension was added to 90% PAW and incubated at room temperature for 15, 30, 60 min or 24 h (PAW contact time). Untreated deionised water was used as control in all experiments and is shown as 0 min plasma activation time in the figures.

2.2.3. Microbiological Analysis

After each contact time, 30 $\mu$L of a concentrated PBS (4.5 × PBS concentration) solution were added to the bacterial solution to neutralise the pH and precisely control contact times by stopping inactivation processes and cells were then diluted in Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD; Merck, Ireland). Three decimal dilutions were made and 10 $\mu$L aliquots were placed on TSA plates in triplicate. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h, after which colonies were counted to determine the number of viable cells. In order to detect any subsequent increase in visible colonies, the plates were further incubated for up to 2 days. Results obtained are represented as the surviving bacterial population in log$_{10}$ colony forming unit (CFU/mL) with error bars representing standard deviation.

Antimicrobial efficacy testing of PAW was performed on day 0 and 1 week post generation to determine the stability of the PAW.

2.3. Investigation of the Chemical Composition of PAW

2.3.1. Quantification of Hydrogen Peroxide Using Titanium Oxysulfate

Hydrogen peroxide concentrations were quantified employing the titanium oxysulfate (TiOSO$_4$, Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) colorimetric method by incubating 10 $\mu$L TiOSO$_4$ to 100 $\mu$L of PAW in the dark for 10 min producing the yellow pertitanic acid. This absorbance was measured at 405 nm using a spectrophotometric microplate reader (MultiSkan GO, ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.3.2. Quantification of Peroxides Using Potassium Iodide

Peroxide concentrations in PAW were determined by oxidation of potassium iodide to iodine and spectrophotometric measurement. In total, 50 $\mu$L of PAL or standard curve samples were added to 50 $\mu$L of phosphate buffer (PB) solution (10 mM) (buffered KI method) or deionised water (nonbuffered KI method) and 100 $\mu$L 1 M potassium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) in a 96-well microtiter plate at room temperature, incubated for 20 min and the absorbance was read at 390 nm [19].

2.3.3. Determination of Nitrite and Nitrate

Concentration of nitrites was determined using Griess reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland). A total of 50 $\mu$L of Griess reagent was added to 50 $\mu$L of PAW/standard curve sample and absorbance was read at 548 nm, after 30 min of incubation. Nitrate concentrations were determined photometrically by using the Spectroquant® nitrate assay kit (Merck Chemicals, Darmstadt, Germany) adapted to a 96-well plate format, as described in [6].
2.3.4. pH and Conductivity Measurements

The pH of PAW was measured by an Orion pH meter (model 420A, Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA, USA) and conductivity measurements after plasma treatment of the solutions by a Jenway conductivity meter (model 4520, Jenway, UK).

2.4. Effect of Elevated Temperature on PAW Stability

PAW Heated to Supra-Ambient Temperatures

PAW samples were heated to 100 °C in a boiling water bath over a Bunsen burner for 5, 10, or 15 min. PAW samples of 2.5 mL were placed in 15 mL sterile tubes and heated while the tubes were closed, to avoid loss of liquid due to high temperature. After each heating time, the samples were placed on ice for 5 min to halt any thermal effects.

PAW samples were autoclaved for 20 min at 121 °C/15 pounds per square inch (psi) in an autoclave (MP25, Rodwell Scientific Instruments, Basildon, UK). A control sample was stored at room temperature. After cooling, all samples were stored at room temperature prior to chemical and antimicrobial assays.

2.5. Mammalian Cell Culture

2.5.1. Eukaryotic Cell Lines

The cytotoxicity of PAW was examined using the hamster ovarian cell line (CHO-K1) and the immortal human keratinocyte (HaCaT) cell line, which were cultured according to [25]. For cytotoxicity assays, 20 µL of cells were supplemented with 60 µL of DMEM-F12 (D6421, Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland), containing 2 mM L-glutamine + 10% FBS (both Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland), and 20 µL of PAW or 20 µL of untreated sterile deionised water (control) with a final cell concentration of $2.5 \times 10^4$ cells/mL. Cells were incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$ in a humidified incubator for 2 days and cell growth was assessed.

2.5.2. Analysis of Cytotoxicity

Cell growth was assessed by crystal violet colorimetric growth assay in a 96-well plate. The culture supernatant was aspirated, and adherent cells were fixed with 70% methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) for 1 min. The methanol solution was then removed and cells were stained with 0.2% crystal violet solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) for 10 min. Excess stain was rinsed off with tap water, plates were air-dried, and the dye bound to the adherent cells was resolubilised with 10% acetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) and absorbance was measured at 600 nm using a spectrophotometric microplate reader (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Cell growth was expressed as percentage of control cells [19].

2.6. LDH Activity Assay

LDH activity was analysed using a commercial LDH activity kit (MAK066, Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) and L-lactic dehydrogenase from rabbit muscle (L2500, Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) dissolved in PBS. In brief, 10 µL of LDH solution were mixed with 890 µL of the respective PAW and 100 µL 10× PBS to achieve neutralisation of the pH. In total, 50 µL of PAW mixture were incubated with 50 µL of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) substrate mix and reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) standards were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Time courses of NADH generation were taken every 5 min at 450 nm on a microplate reader with incubation at 37 °C, LDH activity was calculated according to

$$ B \times \frac{\text{sample dilution factor}}{(\text{Reaction time})} \times V $$

where $B$ was the amount of NADH generated between $t_{\text{initial}}$ and $t_{\text{final}}$ as determined using the NADH standard curve; reaction time was the time between $t_{\text{initial}}$ and $t_{\text{final}}$ (minutes) and $V$ was the sample volume added to well (mL).
2.7. Statistical Analysis

Results are presented as means of three independent experiments with standard deviations using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) or presented as individual experiments. Comparisons between different groups were analysed by one way or two way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Chemical Composition of PAW

Water samples were plasma treated for 5, 10, or 15 min in atmospheric air to generate a range of PAW. The PAW were chemically characterised immediately after plasma treatment (Day 0) and 7 days (week 1) post generation. The pH of both types of PAW decreased, from neutral to acidic, to levels of 3.03 and 2.7 after 5 min exposure to plasma for spark discharge (SD) PAW and glow discharge (GD) PAW, respectively, and remained stable after 1 week of storage. There was little further decrease with additional treatment time up to 15 min (Figure 2A). The conductivity of SD and GD PAW increased with plasma treatment time, up to 2800 $\mu$S for both types of PAW and remained stable after 1 week (Figure 2B).

Hydrogen peroxide was only detectable for SD PAW and not for GD PAW. Measurements on the day of PAW generation showed that $H_2O_2$ for SD PAW plasma treated for 10 min increased up to approximately 1500 $\mu$M and after 15 min plasma treatment, the concentration plot seemed to approach a plateau (Figure 2C). Similar concentrations of peroxides (measured by KI with phosphate buffer or water) for the same samples of SD PAW were measured on Day 0, indicating that the peroxide was almost exclusively hydrogen peroxide (Figure 2D,E). After 1 week, hydrogen peroxide and overall peroxide concentrations remained at comparable levels for most SD PAW samples. As previously reported for this plasma set-up, no hydrogen peroxide was detectable in GD PAW by TiOSO$_4$, but reaction with potassium iodide indicated the presence of other peroxides/oxidative species. After treatment for 5 min no detectable concentration of peroxides was observed, whereas 938 $\mu$M of peroxides were detected after 15 min exposure to plasma (Figure 2D). After 1 week of storage, GD PAW 10 and 15 had lost more than half of the peroxide concentration compared to Day 0 (Figure 2D). Concentrations of peroxides were also measured by KI with addition of water instead of phosphate buffer solution in order to measure peroxides in nonbuffered environment (Figure 2E). Comparison between Figure 2D,E shows that SD PAW concentrations of peroxides in buffered and nonbuffered conditions were similar, whereas GD PAW measured by KI with water had about three times higher concentration of peroxides than KI with phosphate buffer solution. This indicates that there is a higher amount of oxidative species in nonbuffered GD PAW and suggests that neutralisation of the pH by phosphate buffer leads to loss of some plasma reactive species. After 1 week of storage, both measurements showed substantial peroxide loss for GD PAW.

Nitrites were only detected for GD PAW with concentrations up to 254 $\mu$M for GD PAW 15 min (Day 0) (Figure 2F). Measurements after 1 week showed that nitrite had decreased for all GD PAW samples (50 $\mu$M). Nitrate concentrations increased in both SD PAW and GD PAW with plasma activation time up to 5.9 and 6.7 mM, respectively, and after 1 week, no significant change in concentration of nitrates was observed for all PAW (Figure 2G).
Figure 2. Chemical composition of plasma activated water (PAW) made by spark and glow discharges on the day of generation (Day 0) and after 1 week storage. (A) pH; (B) conductivity measurements; (C) hydrogen peroxide measured by TiOSO₄; (D) peroxides measured by KI buffered with PB; (E) peroxides measured by KI and water; (F) nitrite concentration; (G) nitrate concentration (data shown as mean ± SD, n = 3 experiments with measurements performed in triplicate).
3.2. Bactericidal Efficacy

The bactericidal effects of PAW were tested against Gram-negative *E. coli* and Gram-positive *S. aureus* strains using four different contact times: 15, 30, 60 min and 24 h (Figure 3). Generally, by increasing contact time, higher bactericidal activity was observed. Specifically, 15 min contact time was able to reduce *E. coli* concentrations by approximately 4 log when incubated with GD PAW plasma treated for 15 min but showed very little effect on *S. aureus* (Figure 3A,B). *S. aureus* was less susceptible, even after 30 min of contact time, whereas *E. coli* was inactivated below the limit of detection (log 2 CFU/mL), when incubated with SD PAW 15 min, GD PAW 5, 10, and 15 min at 30 min contact time (Figure 3C,D). Increasing contact time to 60 min increased inactivation efficacy. *E. coli* was reduced by 6 log by all PAW generated for longer than 10 min, whereas only GD PAW generated for 15 min was able to cause 6 log reduction for *S. aureus* (Figure 3E,F), and only on prolonged contact. The 24 h contact time reduced bacteria below the detection limit (approximately 6 log reduction) for all PAW generation times (Figure 3G,H; SD (red lines) not distinguishable due to overlay of GD (blue lines)), whereas untreated control water (plasma activation time 0 min) showed no effect on microbial survival even after 24 h.

Comparison of PAW generated with the different discharge modes, showed that overall GD PAW was more efficient than SD PAW in reducing microbial counts for *E. coli* but showed no difference for *S. aureus* at shorter contact times, and increased antimicrobial efficacy of GD10 and GD15 was observed with extended contact time only.

In Figure 4, the bactericidal stability of PAW after 1 week is presented. For these experiments only 30 and 60 min contact times were investigated. After 1 week of storage, the bactericidal activity of PAW had decreased and none of the PAW samples were able to reduce *S. aureus* to undetectable levels (Figure 4B,D). Only the solutions plasma treated for 15 min retained the functionality to cause 4 log and 6 log reductions for *E. coli*, respectively, after 30 min contact time. Prolonged contact time to 60 min did enhance antimicrobial efficacy, indicating that the bactericidal activity of the liquids was reduced but not completely lost after the storage time.

3.3. Heat Stability of Plasma Activated Water

High stability of the bactericidal activity of PAW at supra-ambient temperatures was recorded. Both SD15 and GD15 PAW heated to between 50 and 100 °C for 5 min retained the ability to reduce bacterial concentrations below the limit of detection (data not shown). Similar peroxide concentrations were recorded between the heated and nonheated PAW. There were no adverse effects of heating SD15 and GD15 PAW at 100 °C for different time periods (5, 10, or 15 min) on the retention of antimicrobial efficacy (Figure 5A). Hydrogen peroxide concentration of SD PAW was observed to be relatively stable, for the different heating times (Figure 5B). Peroxide concentration was similar to that of hydrogen peroxide for SD PAW, indicating that the peroxides present are almost exclusively hydrogen peroxide (Figure 5B). Regarding GD PAW, hydrogen peroxide was not detected, but the concentration of peroxides indicated similar amounts as measured in SD PAW, which decreased slightly as the heating times increased. However, in nonbuffered conditions, an increase in peroxides was found (Figure 5B).
Figure 3. Bactericidal effects of PAW after 5, 10, or 15 min plasma activation, made by spark and glow discharges, on *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) with contact times of 15, 30, 60 min and 24 h on the day of PAW generation. (A) *E. coli*—15 min; (B) *S. aureus*—15 min; (C) *E. coli*—30 min; (D) *S. aureus*—30 min; (E) *E. coli*—60 min; (F) *S. aureus*—60 min; (G) *E. coli*—24 h; (H) *S. aureus* 24 h (data shown as mean ± SD, average of three experiments). Limit of detection: 2 log₁₀ CFU/mL.
Figure 4. Bactericidal stability of PAW after 5, 10, or 15 min plasma activation, made by spark and glow discharges on *E. coli* and *S. aureus* with 30 and 60 min contact time a week after PAW generation. (A) *E. coli*—30 min; (B) *S. aureus*—30 min; (C) *E. coli*—60 min; (D) *S. aureus*—60 min (data shown as mean ± SD, average of three experiments). Limit of detection: 2 log_{10} CFU/mL.

Figure 5. Effect of heating at 100 °C and heating time duration on retention of antimicrobial efficacy of PAW (SD15, GD15). (A) Bactericidal effects after 60 min contact time. (B) Chemical composition of hydrogen peroxide measured by TiOSO₄₄, peroxides measured by buffered KI and nonbuffered KI. Data shown as mean ± SD; nd = no colonies detected. Limit of detection: 2 log_{10} CFU/mL. Controls (CTL) consisted of bacterial suspension exposed to untreated deionised water under the same conditions.
Based on the high temperature stability observed at 100 °C, PAW samples were further heat treated by autoclaving at 121 °C, 15 psi for 20 min and their chemical composition and antibacterial effects analysed. The liquids showed remarkable stability to high temperature and pressure in terms of their bactericidal activity. Autoclaved samples of SD15 showed comparable or even enhanced antibacterial activity to the original PAW for all contact times (Figure 6). Autoclaved PAW GD15 on the other hand retained a similar ability to reduce *E. coli* and *S. aureus* in some cases, but demonstrated reduced antibacterial efficacy in others. Analysis of hydrogen peroxide and other peroxides in these samples indicated a strong reduction in the concentrations of these species but the extent of reduction varied significantly between the 3 sets of PAW analysed (Table 1). The stark differences in concentrations of hydrogen peroxides and other peroxides between all three sets after autoclaving (despite similar initial concentrations in sets 1 and 2) cannot be explained at present. However, while the autoclave run itself was fixed at 20 min, the heating and cooling phase were not precisely controlled in these experiments and may have varied depending on the initial temperature of the autoclave and the overall load. It is perceivable that extended holding times at elevated temperatures may allow chemical reactions/degradation processes to progress and therefore cause a greater reduction in peroxides and H$_2$O$_2$ than in cases where cooling proceeded more quickly.

### 3.4. Cytotoxic Effects of PAW

Incubation of CHO-K1 and HaCaT cells with 20% PAW, demonstrated that both types of PAW possessed cytotoxic effects, with SD PAW exhibiting higher cytotoxicity than GD PAW for both cell lines under the same conditions. Specifically, SD 5 PAW resulted in approximately 90% cell inhibition for both cell lines tested and extending PAW generation time to 10 and 15 min led to complete cell growth inhibition (Figure 7A,B). In contrast, for GD PAW there was no direct correlation with plasma activation time observed and a minimum of 66–74% cell growth was maintained for both cell lines.

| Table 1. Chemical composition of SD15 and GD15 PAW, before and after autoclaving. ND = not detected. Sets 1 and 2 correspond to sets 1 and 2 in Figure 6, set 4 is an additional set (data shown as mean ± SD). |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | H$_2$O$_2$ ($\mu$M) | Peroxides (Buffered) ($\mu$M) | Peroxides (Nonbuffered) ($\mu$M) |
|                 | SD15            | SD15 autoclaved | SD15            | SD15 autoclaved | SD15            | SD15 autoclaved |
| Set 1           | 1150 ± 12       | 78 ± 2          | 1235 ± 3        | 92 ± 2          | 1269 ± 56       | 99 ± 6          |
| Set 2           | 1064 ± 16       | 774 ± 6         | 1121 ± 10       | 850 ± 2         | 1136 ± 18       | 868 ± 2         |
| Set 4           | 770 ± 22        | 494 ± 74        | 891 ± 3         | 550 ± 8         | 929 ± 4         | 550 ± 4         |
|                 | GD15            | GD15 autoclaved | GD15            | GD15 autoclaved | GD15            | GD15 autoclaved |
| Set 1           | ND              | ND              | 1444 ± 11       | 357 ± 17        | 1836 ± 9        | 386 ± 15        |
| Set 2           | ND              | ND              | 985 ± 90        | 286 ± 21        | 1592 ± 30       | 334 ± 4         |
| Set 4           | ND              | ND              | 785 ± 3         | 339 ± 3         | 1349 ± 15       | 450 ± 7         |
Figure 6. Effect of autoclaving on retention of antimicrobial activity of SD15 and GD15 PAW over 15–60 min contact time (CT). (A) *E. coli*—15 min; (B) *S. aureus*—15 min; (C) *E. coli*—30 min; (D) *S. aureus*—30 min; (E) *E. coli*—60 min; (F) *S. aureus*—60 min (data shown as mean ± SD). Limit of detection: 2 log_{10} CFU/mL.
3.5. Effect on Enzyme Activity

The biological targets for plasma reactive species are diverse and it has been shown both in a cellular context and in isolation that exposure to these species can lead to lipid peroxidation, protein modification, and changes to enzymatic activity. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is found in most mammalian and bacterial cells and has been used as a model to study the effects of plasma treatment on enzyme function.

The same samples of plasma activated water studied above were tested for their effect on the enzyme LDH. All three sets tested showed a strong reduction of enzymatic activity by SD PAW for all plasma activation times. However, incubation with GD PAW resulted in similar or slightly enhanced enzyme activity compared to the control as observed in the time course of enzymatic NADH generation (Figure 8A–C) and the calculated LDH activity (Figure 8D). The three experimental sets were tested simultaneously and indicated similar effects of specific PAW activation times on LDH activities, even though the sets were of different age between 1 day and 2 weeks after generation.

Figure 7. Cell growth of CHO-K1 (A) and HaCaT (B) cell lines exposed to PAW generated by SD and GD discharge at 20% (v/v) as plasma activation time of PAW increases (data shown as mean ± SD, n = 3).

Figure 8. Effect of SD and GD PAW and their activation times on the enzymatic activity of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH); absorbance at A450 indicates reduction of NAD to NADH. Graphs show the results of three sets of PAW, generated at different time points but tested simultaneously. (A) Set A 2 weeks after generation; (B) set B 1 week after generation; (C) set C 1 day after PAW generation; (D) average LDH activity for all sets (data shown as mean ± SD).
4. Discussion

Different discharge modes above liquids can realise reactive chemical species specificity. The impact of PAW on the reduction of bacterial and mammalian growth depends on its chemical composition. The bactericidal effects of PAW generated by SD and GD revealed that both of these PAW were more effective against *E. coli* than *S. aureus*. Gram-positive bacteria have been reported to be more resistant than Gram-negative bacteria when exposed directly to plasma discharges [26,27]. Comparing SD and GD PAW, for the same plasma activation times, GD PAW caused higher microbial inactivation, with spark discharge resulting in generation of hydrogen peroxide and nitrate in PAW, whereas glow discharge contained nitrite, nitrate, and other oxidative species. In the present work, the bactericidal effects are attributed to nitrites, nitrates, ROS, and acidic pH for PAW made by glow discharge. Regarding SD PAW, high concentrations of hydrogen peroxide and nitrates, and acidic pH are the main chemical species to inactivate bacteria. The existence of other chemical species, both short and long lived, in PAW and their role in bactericidal effects should not be excluded.

Synergistic effects of ROS and RNS are important for bactericidal activity [16] and the chemical composition of PAW influences its antimicrobial efficacy in different ways. Studies on the bactericidal effects of PAW on Gram-negative cells showed that nitrates, nitrites, and H$_2$O$_2$ in acidic solution can lead to lethal activity [3]. PAW caused damage to the outer and cytoplasmic membrane of *Pseudomonas*, which was accompanied by leakage of intracellular components such as nucleic acids and proteins. Plasma-induced chemical species can cause bacterial death through inhibition of the antioxidant machinery, which disrupts the membrane protein repair chaperone mechanism, and also DNA repair cascade [28]. Interaction between nitrite, nitrate, and hydrogen peroxide generated in PAL, showed strong antibacterial effects against *E. coli* [29]. In our study, *E. coli* was reduced by up to 6 log which was attributed to effects of both ROS and RNS and/or potential synergies between reactive species, by using either of the setups. Therefore, the plasma-induced effects on living organisms are likely to be caused by several agents with multiple targets which possibly lead to synergistic inactivation effects. Further studies are required to better understand the bactericidal inactivation mechanisms of PAW.

Peroxynitrite concentration in PAW was reported to have a crucial role in the microbial inactivation of *E. coli*, where despite the short half-life, this was sufficient to diffuse into cells and lead to bactericidal effects [17,30]. In our study this conclusion could correlate with PAW made by glow discharge, which was more efficient than SD PAW and lacked hydrogen peroxide, whereas measurements with potassium iodide indicated high concentrations of ROS which could be peroxynitrite. According to Oehmigen et al. peroxynitrite or peroxynitrous acid as transient products could be formed at some stage of the chemical reactions in the liquid phase [31]. With regards to Gram-positive bacteria, simulation studies have shown that plasma generated chemical species such as hydrogen peroxide, atomic oxygen, and hydroxyl radicals can interact with the bacterial peptidoglycan of *S. aureus* and lead to breakage of bonds of peptidoglycan of the cell wall, and result in its destruction [32].

Bactericidal solutions to be used as antiseptics should possess a potent activity and a long-lasting effect. According to Traylor et al., 20 min PAW, generated by a surface micro-discharge plasma system in air, retained its antibacterial activity for 7 days [33]. Our study is in accordance with these results as we reported that SD PAW and GD PAW plasma treated for 15 min, retained their antimicrobial activity after 1 week of storage at 4 °C, thus making PAW a candidate for biomedical use. Plasma treatment of a liquid can cause acidification of solution [31,33]. Decrease of pH can occur due to presence of nitrogen oxides, which can be produced from atmospheric air by the plasma and dissolve in liquids [31]. In our study, pH decreased with increasing plasma exposure time of deionised water and this result is in accordance with other reports [5,6,31] and remained stable for at least a week. Concentrations of some of the chemical species were altered in the same storage period, indicating that the chemical reactive species in PAW may have
reacted to form other secondary products, with pH and conductivity values remaining the same. Antimicrobial effects of PAW can persist for days but artificially prepared solutions mimicking chemistry of PAW were shown to be less effective [33]. According to Lukes et al., post-discharge reactions between nitrites and hydrogen peroxide can occur in PAW and result in formation of peroxynitrite [34] and nitrate [35].

PAW has the potential to be formulated for use in a variety of clinical applications, such as disinfection, blood coagulation, oncotherapy, or wound healing. Formulations used in clinical settings or as carriers for therapeutics normally undergo terminal sterilisation, which may be mediated by heat and pressure. The PAW generated here has the potential to be used in a setting requiring sterilisation but might undergo chemical decomposition through a number of pathways at the elevated temperatures used for its sterilisation. A widely used method for sterilisation is moist heat in the form of saturated steam under pressure. The basic principle of steam sterilisation, as accomplished in an autoclave, is to expose each item to direct steam contact at high temperature and pressure for a specific time. Thus, there are four parameters of steam sterilisation: steam, pressure, temperature, and time.

In this study different temperatures and heating times were applied to PAW, and results showed that this PAW retains antimicrobial efficacy. In some cases, autoclaving actually increased the antimicrobial efficacy by comparison with unheated PAW. From a reactive species point of view, hydrogen peroxide and peroxides of SD15 PAW were strongly reduced post autoclaving. Reduction of peroxides post autoclaving was also observed for GD15 PAW, which also retained antimicrobial effects at longer contact times. This suggests that other chemical species were primarily responsible for the bactericidal effects of PAW. The differences in the concentrations and/or structure of chemical species measured in this study could be caused by autoclave sterilisation because of the high pressure and steam. Moreover, stability of hydrogen peroxide can be altered by increase of temperature [36] and result in its decomposition to yield oxygen and water. In conclusion, these results show that PAW can be generated to provide strong disinfectant efficacy and retain its stability in different conditions, including high temperatures and pressure. In addition, the possibility of post-plasma modifications of plasma activated liquids to alter their chemical composition and biological effects arises and presents an exciting avenue for further investigations.

Antimicrobial stability of PAW to heat and pressure broadens the application potential of this technology. Autoclaved PAW can provide a valuable decontamination tool for the removal of bacteria in many settings, including hospitals and other health care institutions. It can be used for example as a “no-touch” (automated) decontamination method using vaporised plasma activated water for reduction of microbial contamination of surfaces. Another example for autoclaved PAW application is thermal fogging. Thermal fogging disinfection can be used in livestock industry and medical setting, for decontamination of surfaces and aerosols in the air. In both examples, PAW could be added as a disinfectant in these devices, heated at desired temperature in order to generate fog/mist and then used in a contaminated area. Clean-in-place and steam-in-place processes are furthermore commonly used in pharmaceutical and food processing industries, where plasma activated liquids could find use for sanitisation applications.

Cytotoxicity results demonstrated that GD PAW had a better safety profile than SD PAW; using the same plasma activation times, a significantly higher cytotoxicity was observed by SD PAW for both cell lines. Hydrogen peroxide in SD PAW seems to be a central player in this process, but a role of nitrite and nitrate concentration or other reactive species (GD PAW) in cytotoxicity cannot be excluded as GD PAW showed growth inhibition in the absence of hydrogen peroxide. Several publications have reported hydrogen peroxide as a principle cytotoxic reactive species in PAL. Reduction of cell growth and viability of CHO-K1 and HaCaT cells showed a linear correlation to the concentration of peroxide in PAL in previous studies [19,37]. Moreover, Lu et al. investigated the cytotoxic effects of SD PAW combined with GD PAW and observed that cytotoxicity was reduced by GD
treatment in line with the reductions of hydrogen peroxide concentrations [37]. In the same study, PAW containing only nitrates of approximately 1.5 mM showed minor cytotoxicity, suggesting that nitrite could play a role in cell death attributed to GD PAW, even though the addition of nitrite and nitrate concentrations up to 1.2 mM showed no cytotoxic effects on HeLa cells in another investigation [25].

Other chemical reactive species, including less stable transient species, may also be responsible for inhibition of cell growth. Peroxynitrous acid can be formed by the interaction of nitrites and hydrogen peroxide, in weakly acidic to acid solutions [21]. The plasma treatment of water led to a decrease of pH, generating an acidic environment, which can promote peroxynitrous acid formation. Peroxynitrile/peroxynitrous acid can cause cell death by inducing both cellular apoptosis and necrosis [23].

Similar to the effects on mammalian cells, the impact of PAW on enzyme activity of lactate dehydrogenase appears to be dominated by hydrogen peroxide. Studies by Xu et al. on plasma treated LDH suggested a role for long-lived species such as hydrogen peroxide and nitrate in changing the protein’s secondary structure and activity [38]. Plasma reactive species can lead to modifications of protein secondary and tertiary structure and induce changes to amino acids through reactions such as hydroxylation, nitration, or oxidation [40]. Solutions containing only nitrate and nitrite in our investigations not only retained enzymatic activity but even led to slight increases, suggesting that PAW could induce conformational changes which may not be detrimental to enzyme functionality. Studies conducted with the same plasma set-up on milk proteins found an increased affinity of β-lactoglobulin to an anti-β-lactoglobulin antibody after direct treatment with both SD and GD plasma discharge while antibody affinity of other milk proteins was reduced [41], indicating that plasma reactive species can affect different proteins in diverse ways.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the biological effects and the influence of heating temperature on the bactericidal effects of PAW generated by two different discharges were investigated. We established that PAW solutions may be suitable disinfectant solutions in terms of potent and stable bactericidal efficacy as well as biological safety to nontarget cells. Investigation of the chemical composition of two types of PAW, showed that PAW consisted of different long-lived chemical species. PAW were able to retain their bactericidal effects against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria post generation for up to 1 week at refrigerated temperature. PAW heated for varying times showed that PAW could retain its bactericidal activity on both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* even when it had been autoclaved. A better understanding of the mechanisms of the biological activity of PAW will lead to an improved classification and more targeted applications and may provide post-plasma modifications as further means of modulating the chemistry and biological effects of these liquids.
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