Preparation of hollow magnetite microspheres and their applications as drugs carriers
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Abstract
Hollow magnetite microspheres have been synthesized by a simple process through a template-free hydrothermal approach. Hollow microspheres were surface modified by coating with a silica nanolayer. Pristine and modified hollow microparticles were characterized by field-emission electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, FT-IR and Raman spectroscopy, and VSM magnetometry. The potential application of the modified hollow magnetite microspheres as a drug carrier was evaluated by using Rhodamine B and methotrexate as model drugs. The loading and release kinetics of both molecules showed a clear pH and temperature dependent profile.

Graphical abstract: Hollow magnetite microspheres have been synthesized. Load-release experiments with Rhodamine-B as a model drug and with Methotrexate (chemotherapy drug used in treating certain types of cancer) demonstrated the potential applications of these nanostructures in biomedical applications.

Keywords: Fe3O4, Drug carrier, Rhodamine-B, Methotrexate

Background
During the last few years, magnetic oxides particles [1-3] have attracted a great deal of attention due to their interesting applications in different fields such as catalysis [4,5], information storage [6], optoelectronics [7,8], and biomedical applications that include magnetic bioseparation, magnetic resonance imaging contrast enhancement, and targeted drug [9-18]. Among these magnetic materials, magnetic hollow structures with dimensions ranging from the nanometer to micrometer scale are of potential use for controlled release and drug delivery [19,20]. Up to date, syntheses including the use of templates are well known as very effective approaches to achieve hollow structures [21-28]. Nevertheless, these procedures involve tedious synthesis steps and normally have economic drawbacks. Over the past recent years,
different template-free synthesis methods have been tested with very interesting results. Thus, Zhu et al. have synthesized monodisperse magnetite hollow microspheres with diameters ranging from 200 to 300 nm and shell thickness of ca. 50 nm by using a free-template solvothermal procedure [29]. In a recent paper, we synthesized monodisperse hollow magnetite microspheres by a one-step process through a template-free hydrothermal approach employing FeCl₃ and ferrocene as precursor and propylene glycol-isopropanol as solvent [20]. These materials are characterized by having a large surface area, a very low density, and also a strong magnetic response that make them interesting candidates to be used as drug carriers. The internal hollow spaces may be used as hosts for the encapsulation of guest molecules or specific drugs. However, the use of these nanostructured materials has some limitations arising from the tendency to aggregation because of their high specific area and strong interparticle interactions [30]. To overcome this drawback, different strategies for the chemical stabilization of the naked hollow magnetite have been tested, including the incorporation of polymer structures on the magnetite surface or the amine functionalization of the hollow magnetite surface [31,32].

In the present work, we have synthesized monodisperse hollow magnetite microspheres by using a one-step solvothermal procedure. To increase the solubility in polar solvents in avoiding aggregation, these microspheres were surface modified by growing SiO₂ nanolayers via sol-gel process. Primary (hollow magnetite microspheres) and modified microspheres (SiO₂@hollow magnetite microspheres) have been used to encapsulate and to study under different conditions the release of two test compounds (Rhodamine B (Rh-B) and methotrexate (MTX)), a chemotherapy drug used in treating certain types of cancer), see Figure 1. The release of both compounds has been shown to depend on the temperature and pH value of the environment.

**Methods**

All reagents used in the present investigation were of analytical grade. FeCl₃ anhydrous (99.98%), propylene glycol and diethyl amine were provided by Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and used as received. Rhodamine B and methotrexate were provided by Merck Chemicals (Beeston, Nottingham, UK) and used without further purification. Pure ethyl alcohol and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were provided by Aldrich Chemical Co. MilliQ (St. Louis, MO, USA)water (18.2 MΩ.cm at 25°C) was used for all experiments.

**Synthesis of hollow magnetic Fe₃O₄ microspheres**

For a typical synthesis, 2 mmol of FeCl₃ were dissolved in 10 mL of propylene glycol; the solution was magnetically stirred at room temperature for 10 min followed by soft ultrasonic treatment for 5 min. Next, 1 mmol of ferrocene was added, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Finally, 3 mL of diethyl amine were added to the mixture. The solution was placed into the Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave of 30 mL capacity and maintained at 180°C for 24 h. Lower synthesis temperatures (100 to 150°C) were tested although the synthesized hollow magnetite microspheres were not stable, and the degradation was produced in a few days, generating
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Figure 1 Structure of Rh-B (a) and MTX (b)
compact magnetite nanoparticles of no more than 10 nm diameter. The use of FeCl₃/ferrocene as precursor is required to induce a faster self-assembling of nanoparticles into hollow microspheres.

After cooling to room temperature, the black sediment is collected and washed in water by five centrifugation (6,000 rpm, 10 min)-redispersion cycles. Next, the black sediment was resuspended in ethanol and washed by two centrifugation (6,000 rpm, 5 min)-redispersion cycles. Finally, the microspheres were suspended in ethanol and dried overnight at 60°C. Hollow Fe₃O₄ microspheres were maintained in sealed containers before characterization.

**Preparation of magnetic SiO₂@Fe₃O₄ microspheres**

The schematic procedure used to synthesize SiO₂@Fe₃O₄ hybrid hollow microspheres is illustrated in Figure 2, and the detailed synthesis is described as follows.

The silica shell has been prepared by using a modified Stobe-Fink-Bohn method [33,34], which consists of two steps: (i) the hydrolysis of TEOS (Si(C₂H₅O)₄) in ethanol, in presence of ammonium hydroxide as catalyst, and (ii) the polymerization phase, where the siloxane (Si-O-Si) bonds are formed and anchored on the hollow magnetite surface. In a typical synthesis, 50 mg of hollow Fe₃O₄ microspheres were added to 20 mL of ethanol. The mixture was homogenized in an ultrasound bath for 10 min. Next, 0.5 mL of deionized water and 0.5 mL of ammonium hydroxide (36%) were added into the flask under vigorous mechanical stirring to prevent particles from settling. Temperature was termostatized at 20°C for at least 20 min, and after this period, 0.5 mL of TEOS was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over the course of 5 min under constant stirring in fumehood. After addition, reaction mixture was vigorously stirred for 30 min. Next, the solvent of the reaction mixture is evaporated at 60°C overnight. The residue was washed twice in distilled water and finally in ethanol and allowed to dry in vacuum at room temperature.

**Encapsulation efficacy within hollow microparticles**

To evaluate the potential application of these hollow spheres as drug carriers, three different infiltrations of an organic compound were tested. Rh-B was chosen as test molecule for different reasons. First of all, the size of this molecule is not too small (as occurs with the most of drugs used for therapeutic treatments). On the other hand, this compound is soluble in polar solvents and shows a very high fluorescence quantum yield that can be useful to evidence the presence of very low amounts of this compound, even at trace levels. In this way, Rh-B at three different concentrations (0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 mg/mL) in ethanol were prepared. The infiltration consisted in adding the appropriate amount of Rh-B solution into an erlenmeyer flask containing 5 mg of hollow particles. This mix was mechanically stirred, and the result for each Rh-B concentration was evaluated at different time period, namely 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, and 12 h. These infiltrations were developed at 20°C and 40°C. After infiltration, the particles were centrifuged (1,000 rpm, 5 min) and washed three times with MilliQ water. The particles loads with Rh-B were dried overnight at 60°C. To determine the amount of Rh-B storage in the hollow microspheres, thermogravimetry (TG) was employed to directly measure the weight loss of as-prepared product. The release kinetics was studied in aqueous solution by controlling the pH and temperature of the solvent by the dialysis bag method. The dialysis bag was soaked in water for 3 h before use. The dialysis bag retained the magnetite microspheres allowing free Rh-B to diffuse.
into the solution of study. To monitor the Rh-B release by pH and temperature effect, solutions at different times were analyzed by fluorescence spectroscopy. The pH of solution was adjusted using acetate 0.01 M (pH = 3.7) and phosphate 0.01 M (pH = 7.4) buffers. All load and release tests developed on Rh-B were also tested on MTX, a drug used in some cancer treatments.

Characterization

X-ray powder diffraction patterns (XRD) were collected using an X’Pert PRO X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical, The Netherlands) in Bragg-Brentano goniometer configuration. The X-ray radiation source was a ceramic X-ray diffraction Cu anode tube type Empyrean of 2.2 kW.

Raman spectra were collected using a micro-Raman Renishaw RM2000 single grating spectrograph, equipped with 532 and 785 nm excitation sources. Raman spectra were acquired in the spectral range of 3,200-100 cm\(^{-1}\). The acquisition time for each measurement was 20 s and a defocused laser power level in the range of 10 to 60 mW was used to prevent the possible thermal effects on the samples. Infrared spectra were obtained in the 600-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) region by using a Bruker Optics IFS 66 series FT-IR spectrometer (Bruker Optik Gmbh, Ettlingen, Germany). The variation in magnetization and coercivity of the hollow magnetite samples was determined by using a Lake Shore-7400 vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) (Lake Shore Cryotronics Inc, Westerville, OH, USA) at room-temperature.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images were obtained using a JEOL JM-6400 microscope. High Resolution Transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were recorded on a JEOL 3000 with an acceleration voltage of 300 kV.

X-ray photoelectron microscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on an ESCALAB 220i-XL spectrometer (VG-Scientific, East Grinstead, UK) by using the non-monochromated Mg Ka (1,253.6 eV) radiation of a twin-anode, operating at 20 mA and 12 kV in the constant analyzer energy mode, with a PE of 50 eV. In order to remove charging shifts and deal with Fermi edge coupling problems, binding energies were corrected using the peak of the C - (C, H) component coming from contamination carbon (set to 284.6 eV). The samples were pressed on to a molybdenum support in an argon-filled glove box and then were put into the preparation chamber to pump for approximately 24 h at 60 °C under a pressure of about 10\(^{-7}\) Pa to minimize surface contamination. Small amounts of activated carbon fine powder were added to the samples to improve their conductivity. The vacuum during spectra acquisition was better than 5 \times 10^{-9} mbar.

TG analysis data were obtained with a TGA Q-500 instrument (TA Instruments) under inert atmosphere of nitrogen at a heating rate of 20 °C min\(^{-1}\), from 100 to 600°C. The specific surface area, the pore volume, and the pore size distribution of the hollow magnetite microspheres, were measured using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020. The micropore volume, WMP [cm\(^3\)/g], was measured using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) approach [35].

Results and discussion

Characterization of magnetite microspheres

Figure 3a,d gives the representative FE-SEM micrographs of as-synthesized magnetite microspheres. As can be seen there, these microparticles are very regular in size with diameters of ca. 300 nm and high sphericity. Figure 3c,d shows some broken spheres confirming that these particles have a hollow interior. In all cases, magnetite microspheres show a mean inner hole of around 160 nm and wall thicknesses of ca. 80 nm. As stated in a previous work, these dimensions are directly related to the synthesis temperature and time of growth [20]. In our research, it was found that the hydrothermal synthesis at 180°C and 24 h provided the best results. Additionally, magnetite microspheres obtained under these reaction conditions showed high stability even in water solution.

These structures have been further investigated by TEM. Figure 4 shows the morphological characterization of magnetite microspheres obtained after different growth steps. As can be seen, the size of the spheres increases from diameters around 200 nm in initial growth steps (Figure 4a) to ca. 300 nm (after 24 h). Figure 4a,b shows that these spheres are built from smaller building blocks of no more than 5-8 nm assembled together to form the shell wall. The final structures, synthesized after a reaction time of 24 h, show a homogeneous surface with constant dimensions. The contrast between the black margin and the clear center of the microparticles confirms the existence of hollow spheres, according to the FE-SEM observations. Additionally, the corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) (Figure 4e) taken from an individual hollow microsphere, as shown in Figure 4d (marked by a rectangle), reveals the crystalline nature of the material.

Different mechanisms have been proposed for the synthesis of hollow magnetite microspheres [3,36-39]. During the hydrothermal synthesis, the propylene glycol can act as both high-boiling point solvent and reducing agent, producing the partial reduction of Fe\(^{3+}\) to Fe\(^{2+}\). The diethyl amine provides the pH required for the hydroxylation of iron species as Fe(OH)\(_2\) and Fe(OH)\(_3\) and after that, a dehydration process of both species is probably the mechanism that finally generates the formation of small particles of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). This process is produced forming small aggregates of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles that experience effects driven by a minimization of the surface energy arising finally to the formation of microspheres with internal
voids. Nevertheless, additional research is still required to understand the precise mechanism of transformation of small aggregates of Fe₃O₄ particles into hollow structures. Figure 5a,b shows the Fe2p and O1s regions, corresponding to the as-synthesized magnetite microspheres. As can be seen in Figure 5a, Fe2p has binding energy (BE) values at 710.9 eV (Fe2p₃/2) and 724.5 eV (Fe2p₁/2). The BE values along with the absence of charge transfer satellite near to the Fe2p₃/2 peak are consistent with the presence of mixed oxidation states of iron such as Fe₃O₄ [40,41]. Additionally, the XPS profile corresponding to the O1s region (Figure 5b) is characterized by only one peak at ca. 531.1 eV that can be attributed to O-Fe in magnetite phase. These assignations agree with the literature and evidence that the only phase present in the samples is Fe₃O₄.

The Fe/O atomic ratio (as determined by XPS) was 0.71 being in agreement with the expected value for magnetite (0.75). To increase the solubility in polar solvents in avoiding aggregation, magnetite microspheres have been surface modified by growing SiO₂ nanolayers via sol-gel process. As shown in Figure 5c, only one peak (103.1 eV) could be observed in the Si2p region that was assigned to SiO₂. As can be derived by comparing the FE-SEM images of Figure 3, corresponding to hollow magnetite microspheres, with that obtained after depositing a silica layer on the surface (Figure 6), the surface texture is apparently more homogeneous showing diameters slightly increased (ca. 20 nm).

Figure 7 shows the XRD patterns of as-synthesized hollow magnetite microspheres (Figure 7b) and SiO₂@hollow
magnetite microspheres (Figure 7c), along with XRD standard pattern for magnetite (Figure 7a), for comparison purposes. The (220), (311), (400), (511), and (440) reflections have been indexed to the inverse cubic spinel structure of Fe₃O₄ (JCPDS 85-1436). The broad diffraction band observed in the range of 2θ < 32 (Figure 7b) corresponds to the amorphous or nanocrystalline silica of the microspheres surface layer.

The structural phase of hollow and SiO₂@hollow magnetites has also been studied by Raman spectroscopy. Figure 8 shows the Raman spectra of both types of materials. As can be seen there, hollow magnetite microspheres (Figure 8a) show three Raman peaks at ca. 669 cm⁻¹, 540 cm⁻¹, and 300 cm⁻¹ that have been assigned to the active modes $A_{1g}$, $T_{2g}$, and $E_g$ [42-44]. Once the hollow magnetites have been coated with a silica layer, a

The structural phase of hollow and SiO₂@hollow magnetites has also been studied by Raman spectroscopy. Figure 8 shows the Raman spectra of both types of materials. As can be seen there, hollow magnetite microspheres (Figure 8a) show three Raman peaks at ca. 669 cm⁻¹, 540 cm⁻¹, and 300 cm⁻¹ that have been assigned to the active modes $A_{1g}$, $T_{2g}$, and $E_g$ [42-44]. Once the hollow magnetites have been coated with a silica layer, a
new Raman peak at ca. 496 cm\(^{-1}\) appears. This vibration has been assigned to the \(A_1\) active mode, characteristic of Si-O bonds.

The FT-IR spectra of as-synthesized hollow magnetite microspheres (Figure 9a) and SiO\(_2\)@hollow magnetite microspheres (Figure 9b) are characterized by a strong absorption band at ca. 560 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to the typical band of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), corresponding to the stretching vibration modes of Fe-O [42,45]. After coating by a silica layer, a new band appeared at about 1,064 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 9b) being assigned to stretching of Si-O-Si bands at the surface of the SiO\(_2\)@hollow magnetite microspheres.

The magnetic properties of the synthesized materials were also investigated by VSM magnetometry under room-temperature conditions. The corresponding hysteresis loops in the -30 + 30 kOe range are shown in Figure 10. The saturation magnetization (\(M_s\)) values were 69.6 emu g\(^{-1}\) (hollow magnetite) and 13.7 emu g\(^{-1}\) (SiO\(_2\)@hollow magnetite). The observed saturation of the magnetization profiles and the lack of coercivity and remanence were expected for ferrimagnetic magnetite particles [46]. The difference in \(M_s\) observed when hollow spheres are coated by silica can be attributed to the non-magnetic contribution of diamagnetic silica onto the magnetite surface. However, specific interactions between the silica surface layer and the magnetite nanoparticles forming the hollow structure could not be ruled out at present. More detailed investigations concerning this deleterious effect of silica on the magnetization of the hollow structures are currently in progress. The absence of coercivity in the hollow magnetite structures could also suggest a superparamagnetic behavior that could be ascribed to the fact that magnetite microspheres
are built by many small nanoparticles, as stated by TEM, which show oriented aggregation into a hollow structure [47]. Mossbauer analyses could provide additional insights about the probable coexistence of superparamagnetic particles in as-synthesized hollow structures.

Hollow magnetite microspheres can easily be dispersed in water even though the sedimentation is produced in a short time (typically no more than 15 min). Silica-coated hollow magnetite microspheres previously dispersed in water form a permanent suspension that can be separated from the solvent by applying an external magnetic field. The magnetic particles can be brought back into the original solution by removing the external magnetic field and gently shaking the solution.

The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface area and pore parameters of the synthesized samples were determined by N2 adsorption-desorption isotherm measurement at 77 K. Pristine hollow microspheres have a surface area of ca. 50 m² g⁻¹, while silica-coated hollow microspheres show a slightly lower 46 m² g⁻¹. The pore size distributions were determined using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda calculations [35] on the desorption portion of the isotherm at 77 K revealing a narrow distribution centered at 3.2 and 2.4 nm for pristine and coated microspheres, respectively. This result agrees with the fact that microspheres are composed by smaller nanoparticles organized to form hollow spheres allowing the existence of pores with nanometric dimensions.

Loading and release of test molecules
To investigate hollow magnetite microspheres as a candidate of drug carriers for delivery, we selected Rh-B and MTX as models. MTX is a well known chemotherapy drug used in treating certain types of cancer. Figure 11 shows the loading of Rh-B in SiO₂@hollow magnetite microspheres at different Rh-B concentrations (0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 mg/mL) and temperatures (20°C and 40°C). As expected, the microspheres show distinctly different loading capacity toward Rh-B at different temperatures. As can be seen, the highest loading capacity is observed at higher temperature (40°C) and clearly depends on the initial Rh-B concentration. As determined by TG, the loading of Rh-B in SiO₂@hollow magnetite microspheres is ca. 0.413 mgRh-B/mgMP at 40°C and after contact times of 9 h.

The release behavior of Rh-B from SiO₂@hollow magnetite microspheres was examined in buffered solutions at pH = 3.7 and 7.4, and the results are shown in Figure 12. As can be seen there, the higher release values are observed near to physiological conditions in the human body (pH = 7.4 and 37°C). Releasing of Rh-B from the host is produced in a short period of time, typically during the first hour and clearly depends on pH and temperature.

In a similar way, we examined the loading and release kinetics of MTX in SiO₂@hollow magnetite microspheres. As shown in Figure 13, the loading of MTX depends on the temperature, and the maximum value is observed after 9 h of contact time (0.343 mgMTX/mgMP at 40°C). The decrease of MTX loading in SiO₂@hollow magnetite microspheres, as compared with loadings of Rh-B, can be ascribed to the reduced solubility of MTX in water. Nevertheless, the loadings of MTX were surprisingly higher than those expected, exceeding our expectations. The release kinetic of MTX was studied after incubating in phosphate (pH = 7.4) and acetate (pH = 3.7) buffer solutions at two different

![Figure 10 The magnetization curves obtained at 25°C of hollow magnetite microspheres](image)

![Figure 11 Loading kinetics of Rh-B in SiO₂@hollow magnetite microspheres at different Rh-B concentrations and temperatures](image)
temperatures (20°C and 37°C). As shown in Figure 14, MTX was released more rapidly (typically during the first 60 min) at pH = 7.4 and 37°C. These results show that within the first hour almost 70% of MTX was released from the microparticles, and the remaining drug was slowly released in a sustained fashion over a period of 5 h (not shown). Such long stability and delay of MTX could be due to favorable ionic interactions between amino and carboxylic groups of MTX and the surface of the magnetite nanoparticles forming the hollow microparticles. Additional work is currently in progress to provide answers to these outstanding issues.

Conclusions
In the present work, we have synthesized hollow magnetite microspheres by a simple one-step hydrothermal procedure. With the aim to increase the solubility in polar solvents, these microspheres were subsequently surface modified by growing a silica nanolayer via sol-gel process. The potential application of the modified hollow magnetite microspheres as a drug carrier was evaluated by using Rh-B and MTX as model drugs. The loading and release kinetics of both molecules experienced a pH and temperature dependent profile. It is expected that this dependency could be modified and selected for specific functions, opening up promising applications in biomedical fields.

Acknowledgements
The authors gratefully recognize the financial support provided by the Department of Energy through the Massey Chair project at University of Turabo and from the National Science Foundation through the contract CHE-0959334. Financial support from MICINN (Spain) through the grant MAT2010-19804 is also acknowledged. The “Servicio Interdepartamental de Investigación (SidI)” from Universidad Autónoma de Madrid and “Centro de Microscopía Luis Bru” from Universidad Complutense de Madrid are acknowledged for the use of the FE-SEM and HRTEM facilities. OPP also acknowledges the support from The National Science Foundation under Grant No. HRD 0833112 (CREST program).

Authors’ contributions
FM, GMH, MC, JD, synthesized different samples. FM, CM, TC, JMS, OP, and EE characterized the synthesized samples by Raman, XPS, FE-SEM, VSM, and TEM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 12 December 2011 Accepted: 10 April 2012
Published: 10 April 2012

References

1. Yu D, Sun X, Zou J, Wang Z, Wang F, Tang K. Oriented assembly of Fe3O4 nanoparticles into monodisperse hollow single-crystal microspheres. J Phys Chem B 2008, 112:2166-2171.

2. Deng H, Li X, Peng G, Wang X, Chen J, Li Y. Monodisperse magnetic single-crystal ferrite microspheres. Angewandte Chemie Int Ed 2005, 44:2782-2785.

3. Lu AH, Salabas EL, Schuth F. Magnetic nanoparticles: synthesis, protection, functionalization, and application. Angewandte Chemie Int Ed 2007, 46:1222-1244.

4. Escobar GP, Beroy AQ, Iritia MPP, Huerta JH. Magnetic-fluorescent hybrid nanoparticles for cell labeling. J Biomed Nanotechnol 2010, 6:1073-1077.

5. Werner W, Wolfgang R. “Labeling of macrophage cell using biocompatible magnetic nanoparticles.” J Appl Phys 2011, 109:083901-1-083903.

6. Lou L, Yu K, Zhang Z, Li B, Zhu J, Wang Y, Huang R, Zhu Z. Functionalized magnetic-ﬂuorescent hybrid nanoparticles for cell labeling. Nanoscale 2011, 3:2315-2323.

7. Simon AM, Houten K, Luijten K, Delcroix F, Vliegenthart J, Schipper B. “Magnetic relaxation switch and colorimetric detection of thrombin using aptamer-functionalized gold-coated iron oxide nanoparticles.” Anal Chem 2011, 83:2492-2498.

8. Martina MS, Fortin JP, Menager C, Clement D, Barrant G, Grabelle-Madelmont C, Gazeau F, Caball V, Lesieur S. “Generation of superparamagnetic liposomes revealed as highly efficient MRI contrast agents for in vivo imaging.” J Am Chem Soc 2005, 127:10676-10685.

9. Frey NA, Peng C, Cheng K, Sun S. Magnetic nanoparticles: synthesis, functionalization, and applications in bioimaging and magnetic energy storage. Chem Soc Rev 2009, 38:2532-2542.

10. Liu S, Xing R, Lu F, Rana RK, Zhu JJ. “One-Pot template-free fabrication of hollow magnetic nanoparticles and their application as potential drug carriers.” J Phys Chem B 2009, 113:21042-21047.

11. Márquez F, Campa T, Cotto M, Polanco R, Roque R, Fierro P, Sanz JM, Elizalde E, Morant C. Synthesis and characterization of monodisperse magnetite hollow microspheres. Soft Nano Lett 2011, 1:25-32.

12. Fan HJ, Gosele U, Zacharias M. Formation of nanotubes and hollow nanoparticles based on kinked and diffusion processes: a review. Small 2007, 3:1660-1671.

13. Kim D, Park J, An K, Yang NK, Park JG, Hyeon T. Synthesis of hollow iron oxide nanoframes. J Am Chem Soc 2007, 129:5812-5813.

14. Hu P, Yu L, Zuo A, Guo C, Yuan F. Fabrication of monodisperse magnetite hollow spheres. J Phys Chem B 2009, 113:900-906.

15. Wang X, Yuan FL, Hu P, Yu LJ, Bai L. Self-assembled growth of hollow spheres with octahedron-like Co nanocrystals via one-pot solution fabrication. J Phys Chem B 2008, 112:8773-8778.

16. Yu DB, Sun XQ, Zou JW, Wang ZR, Wang F, Tang K. Oriented assembly of Fe3O4 nanoparticles into monodisperse hollow single-crystal microspheres. J Phys Chem B 2006, 110:21667-21671.

17. Lee D, Cohen RE, Rubner MF. Heterostructured magnetic nanotubes. Langmuir 2007, 23:123-129.

18. Ye QL, Kosaka Y, Yoshikawa H, Awaga K, Bandow S, Iijima S. Effects of the unique shape of submicron magnetite hollow spheres on magnetic properties and domain states. Phys Rev B 2007, 75:224404-224408.

19. Deng YH, Wang CC, Hu JH, Yang WL, Fu SK. Investigation of formation of silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles via Sol-Gel approach. Col Surf A Physicochem Eng Aspects 2005, 262:87-93.

20. Márquez F, Roque R. Synthesis and characterization of large specific surface area nanstructured amorphous silica materials. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 2010, 11:215013-125109.

21. Yu W, He Q, Jiang C. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis and surface functionalization strategies. Nanoscale Rev Lett 2008, 3:397-415.

22. Stobe W, Fink A, Bohn E. Controlled growth of monodisperse silica spheres in the micron size range. J Colloid Sci 1968, 26:62.

23. Márquez F, Roque R. Synthesis and characterization of large specific surface area nanstructured amorphous silica materials. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 2006, 6:1114-1118.

24. Barrett EP, Joyner LG, Halenda PP. The determination of pore volume and area distributions in porous substances. I. Computations from nitrogen isotherms. J Am Chem Soc 1951, 73:373-380.

25. Laurens S, Forge D, Port M, Roch A, Robic C, Vander Elst L, Muller RN. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, stabilization, vectorization, physicochemical characterizations, and biological applications. Chem Rev 2008, 108:2064-2110.

26. Guan N, Wang Y, Sun D, Yu J. A simple one-pot synthesis of single-crystalline magnetite hollow spheres from a single iron precursor. Nanonano 2009, 20:056083-8pp.

27. Xie GC, Wang C, Wang X. The oriented self-assembly of magnetic Fe3O4 nanoparticles into monodisperse microspheres and their use as substrates in the formation of Fe3O4 nanorods. Eur J Inorg Chem 2008, 3:425-431.

28. Zeng SY, Tang KB, Li TW, Liang ZH, Wang D, Wang YK, Zhou W. Hematite hollow spindles and microspheres: selective synthesis, growth, mechanisms, and applications in Liion battery and water treatment. J Phys Chem C 2007, 111:10217-10225.

29. Wang LY, Luo J, Fan Q, Suzuki M, Engelhard MH, Lin Y, Kim N, Wang JQ, Zhong C. Monodisperse Core/Shell Fe3O4@Au nanoparticles. J Phys Chem B 2005, 109:21593-21603.

30. Teng X, Black D, Watkins N, Gao Y, Yang H. Platinum-maghemite core/shell nanoparticles using a sequential synthesis. Nano Lett 2003, 3:261-264.

31. Schwertmann U, Cornell RM. “The iron oxides.” New York: VCH; 1996.

32. Shebanova ON, Lazor PJ. “Applications of magnetite in the formation of Fe3O4 nanorods.” Eur J Inorg Chem 2008, 3:425-431.

33. Barrett EP, Joyner LG, Halenda PP. The determination of pore volume and area distributions in porous substances. I. Computations from nitrogen isotherms. J Am Chem Soc 1951, 73:373-380.

34. Laurens S, Forge D, Port M, Roch A, Robic C, Vander Elst L, Muller RN. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, stabilization, vectorization, physicochemical characterizations, and biological applications. Chem Rev 2008, 108:2064-2110.

35. Guan N, Wang Y, Sun D, Yu J. A simple one-pot synthesis of single-crystalline magnetite hollow spheres from a single iron precursor. Nanonano 2009, 20:056083-8pp.

36. Xiao GC, Wang C, Wang X. The oriented self-assembly of magnetic Fe3O4 nanoparticles into monodisperse microspheres and their use as substrates in the formation of Fe3O4 nanorods. Eur J Inorg Chem 2008, 3:425-431.

37. Zeng SY, Tang KB, Li TW, Liang ZH, Wang D, Wang YK, Zhou W. Hematite hollow spindles and microspheres: selective synthesis, growth, mechanisms, and applications in Li-ion battery and water treatment. J Phys Chem C 2007, 111:10217-10225.

38. Wang LY, Luo J, Fan Q, Suzuki M, Engelhard MH, Lin Y, Kim N, Wang JQ, Zhong C. Monodisperse Core/Shell Fe3O4@Au nanoparticles. J Phys Chem B 2005, 109:21593-21603.

39. Teng X, Black D, Watkins N, Gao Y, Yang H. Platinum-maghemite core/shell nanoparticles using a sequential synthesis. Nano Lett 2003, 3:261-264.

40. Schwertmann U, Cornell RM. “The iron oxides.” New York: VCH; 1996.

41. Shebanova ON, Lazor PJ. “Applications of magnetite in the formation of Fe3O4 nanorods.” Eur J Inorg Chem 2008, 3:425-431.

42. Schwertmann U, Cornell RM. “The iron oxides.” New York: VCH; 1996.

43. Shebanova ON, Lazor PJ. “Applications of magnetite in the formation of Fe3O4 nanorods.” Eur J Inorg Chem 2008, 3:425-431.

44. De Faria DLA, Silva SV, De Oliveira MT. Fabrication of monodisperse magnetite hollow microspheres. Angewandte Chemie Int Ed 2005, 44:2782-2785.
46. Nakata N, Hu Y, Uzun O, Bakr O, Stellacci F: Chains of superparamagnetic nanoparticles. Adv Mater 2008, 20:4294.

47. Nagao D, Yokoyama M, Yamauchi N, Matsumoto H, Kobayashi Y, Konno M: Synthesis of highly monodisperse particles composed of a magnetic core and fluorescent shell. Langmuir 2008, 24:9804-9808.

doi:10.1186/1556-276X-7-210
Cite this article as: Márquez et al.: Preparation of hollow magnetite microspheres and their applications as drugs carriers. Nanoscale Research Letters 2012 7:210.