Biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in Fushun amber reveal further biotic links between Asia and Europe during the Eocene
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ABSTRACT

Investigation of fossils in lower Eocene Fushun amber from China keeps proving to be of major importance for understanding Eocene Euro-Asian insect diversity and distribution. Three new species of predatory biting midges in the fossil genera Mantohelea and Gedanohelea are described. Mantohelea sinica n. sp., Gedanohelea fushunensis n. sp. and Gedanohelea liaoningensis n. sp. from Eocene Fushun amber have their closest relatives in European Eocene Baltic amber. This not only expands generic distribution range from Europe to Asia but also strengthens the hypothesis that dispersal between both regions took place even though the Euro-Asian landmasses were separated by the Turgai Strait during the Eocene. In addition, the fossils supply evidence for a lower Eocene age of Baltic amber.

INTRODUCTION

Fushun amber is collected in mining districts in the south of Fushun City, Liaoning Province, China. It is dated as lower Eocene (53 Ma) (e.g., Wang et al., 2014), at the beginning of the Early Eocene Climatic Optimum (EECO) (e.g., Zachos et al., 2008). Although Fushun amber has been known for over a century it has not been matter of scientific research until recently. A comprehensive study of inclusions from Fushun amber has revealed faunal links to Baltic amber, proven by a genus of scuttle flies (Eosciadocera Hong) (Wang et al., 2014).
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Biting midges (Ceratopogonidae) are a large, relatively well-studied family of Diptera. The recent world fauna comprises 6267 extant species grouped in 111 genera and four subfamilies (Borkent, 2016). The family has a rich fossil record from the Lower Cretaceous to the Miocene with 283 species (Borkent, 2016). With 109 named species in 26 (seven fossil, 19 extant) genera recorded (Szadziewski, 1988; Sontag and Szadziewski, 2011), the Eocene Baltic amber fauna is the best studied one.

Until now, only two fossil biting midges have been formally described from Fushun amber: one in the extant genus *Forcipomyia* Meigen, 1818 (Hong, 1981, Szadziewski, in press) and one in the fossil genus *Eopalpomyitis* Hong et al., 2000 (Hong 1981, 2002). It is worth mentioning that *Sinopogonites eocenicus* Hong, 2002 is an invalid name because it was proposed for a biting midge after 1999 without a specified type depository (Evenhuis, 2014).

The purpose of this work is to describe three new species of biting midges from Fushun amber, to provide keys to fossil species of the genera *Mantohelea* and *Gedanohelea* and to discuss biogeographic implications as well as the relevance of Fushun amber inclusions for age determination of Baltic amber.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Amber specimens are deposited at the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology (NIGP), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, China. Samples have been ground and polished to pieces of the minimum size possible using a Buehler Phoenix Beta grinding machine.

For taxonomic identification and descriptions, a Leica MZ 12.5 Stereomicroscope and a MZ 16 Stereomicroscope were used. Drawings were prepared with the aid of a drawing tube and performed with Adobe Illustrator CS5.1. Measurements are given in millimeters. Photographs of the amber inclusions were made with a Leica MZ 16 Stereomicroscope with a JVC ky-F70B Digital Camera. Compound photographs merging different focal levels to a single image were created by using Discus software equipped with a stacking function.

The special morphological terms and abbreviations used in the paper follow Szadziewski (1988, 1996). The following abbreviations are used: AR = antennal ratio, obtained by dividing the combined length of the elongate distal flagellomeres by the combined length of the proximal flagellomeres; TR = tarsal ratio, obtained by dividing the length of the basitarsus by the length of the second tarsomere; CR = costal ratio, obtained by dividing the length of the costa by the wing length. The ratio of divergence between $M_1$ and $M_2$ has been calculated for species of *Gedanohelea* by dividing the distance between the end of $M_1$ and $M_2$ by the total wing length.

For generic identification, the determination key of Szadziewski (1988) was used. The tribal placement of both genera expanded in the current paper follows that of Borkent (2016).

**RESULTS**

**Systematic Palaeontology**

Family CERATOPOGONIDAE Newman, 1834
Subfamily CERATOPOGONINAE Newman, 1834
Tribe CERATOPOGONINI Newman, 1834
Genus *MANTOHELEA* Szadziewski, 1988

**Type Species.** *Ceratopogon lacus* Meunier, 1904, by original designation.

**Diagnosis.** Medium-sized predatory biting midges characteristic in having a single first radial cell, median veins petiolate, and fore femur swollen and armed with strong ventral spines.

**Distribution and Age.** Fossil genus including *Mantohelea lacca* (Meunier, 1904) and *Mantohelea gedanica* Szadziewski, 1988 described from Eocene Baltic amber. Reported from Eocene Asia for the first time herein.

*Mantohelea sinica* n. sp.
Figures 1.1, 1.2, 2.1-8
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**Material.** Holotype female NIGP156996. Deposited at the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is derived from Latin name of China – Sina.

**Description.** Female. Dark brown in colour. Total body length (including head) 1.3 mm.

**Head.** Eye separation not visible. Flagellum length 0.50 mm. AR 1.26. Antenna with 13 flagellomeres, flagellomeres 2–8 gradually increasing in length, distal 5 flagellomeres distinctly elongate (Figure 2.1). Proboscis long. Palpus slender; palpal segment 4 is 0.75 the length of palpal segment 3 (Figure 2.3); sensory pit absent.
Thorax. Antepronotum well developed, collar like. Forelegs with femur (Figure 2.5) slightly swollen (shape similar for both legs, no evidence for distortion - so this character state is regarded to be not an artefact or taphonomic result), armed with seven long and slender ventral spines; lateral spines not visible. Fore tibia (Figure 2.4) somewhat arcuate with singular apical spur; apical prolongation absent. Tarsomere 5 of foreleg with basal enlargement (Figure 2.6). Mid leg slender, basitarsus with four spine-like setae (Figure 2.7). Hind femur and tibia slightly enlarged, tibial comb composed of at least five strong spines, hind basitarsus with complete row of palisade setae (Figure 2.8). Tarsomeres 4 short, cordiform. Claws slightly unequal, simple; claws of foreleg distinctly longer than those of mid and hind legs. TR(I) 2.18, TR(II) 3.21, TR(III) 2.38. Wing length 0.8 mm, CR 0.63. Radial cell single, media with short stem (Figure 2.2). Membrane without macrotrichia, microtrichia not visible.

Abdomen. Cerci short, oval. Seminal capsules not visible.

Remarks. The species resembles Mantohelea gedanica in the proportions of palpal segments 4 and 3 and in the absence of spine-like setae on the hind femur. Both species differ however in the fore femur, which is less swollen in Mantohelea sinica (Table 1).

Genus GEDANOHELEA Szadziewski, 1988

Type Species. Gedanohelea loewi Szadziewski, 1988, by original designation.

Diagnosis. Very small predatory biting midges characteristic in having a broad wing with large anal lobe, single small radial cell, media petiolate, with M₁ bowed upward distally and reaching wing margin distinctly anterad of wing tip, tarsi short, and female claws long and single.

Distribution and Age. Fossil genus including Gedanohelea wirthi, Gedanohelea succinea, and Gedanohelea loewi described from Eocene Baltic

FIGURE 1. Photograph of Mantohelea sinica n. sp. from lower Eocene Fushun amber. 1. Mantohelea sinica n. sp., Holotype female NIGP156996. 2. Mantohelea sinica n. sp., head.
amber (Szadziewski, 1988). Reported from Eocene Asia for the first time herein.

**Gedohelea fushunensis** n. sp.
Figures 3.2, 3.4, 4.1-6

**Material.** Holotype female NIGP156997. Deposited at the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet refers to the city of Fushun near where the amber is collected.

**Diagnosis.** The species is characteristic in having cylindrical palpal segments 3 and 4 and wing veins M1 and M2 strongly divergent. Males unknown.

**Description.** Female. Dark brown in colour, partially distorted, wing venation only poorly visible. Total body length (including head): 1.2 mm.

**Head.** Flagellum length 0.37 mm. AR 0.95. Antenna with 13 cylindrical flagellomeres, distal 5 flagellomeres distinctly elongate, last flagellomere longest (Figure 4.1). Flagellomere 12 appears enlarged but this most probably an artefact. Palpus (Figure 4.3) short, with five segments, only terminal three palpal segments clearly visible, about 0.072 mm in length, palpal segments 3 and 4 cylindrical, palpal segment 3 with distinct sensory pit.

**Thorax.** Legs slender, unarmed. Hind basitarsus with row of palisade setae. Tarsomeres 2–4 short, tarsomere 4 cordiform, tarsomere 5 longer than preceding ones but shorter than combined length of tarsomeres 2–4. Tibial comb composed of six spines. Claws (Figure 4.4-6) single on all legs, claw of foreleg as long as tarsomere 5, claws of mid- and hind leg shorter. TR(I) 2.86, TR(II) 3.50, TR(III) 3.57. Wing length: 0.65 mm, CR 0.47. Ratio of divergence between M1 and M2: 0.29. Veins poorly visible, M1 and M2 (Figure 4.2) strongly divergent, M1 meeting wing margin at right angle. Membrane without macrotrichia, microtrichia present.

**Gedohelea liaoningensis** n. sp.
Figures 3.1, 3.3, 5.1-6

---

**FIGURE 2. Mantohelea sinica** n. sp., Holotype female NIGP156996. 1. Antenna. 2. Wing. 3. Palpus. 4. Fore tibia. 5. Fore femur. 6. Tarsus of foreleg. 7. Tarsus of mid leg. 8. Tarsus of hind leg.
Material. Holotype female NIGP156998. Deposited at the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Etymology. The specific epithet refers to the province of Liaoning, from where the amber is collected.

Diagnosis. The species can be distinguished by having wing veins $M_1$ and $M_2$ slightly divergent, vein $M_1$ reaching wing margin at acute angle, and palpal segments 3–4 cylindrical. Males unknown.

Description. Female. Dark brown to black in colour, both antennae broken. Total body length (including head) 0.91 mm.

Head. Flagellum length 0.39 mm, AR 0.92. Antenna with 13 cylindrical flagellomeres, both antennae broken beyond flagellomere 8 but distal 5 flagellomeres of left antenna preserved and dis-

### TABLE 1. Key to the species of *Mantohelea*.

1. Fore femur slightly swollen (Figure 2.5). Apex of fore tibia without prolongation  
   - Fore femur greatly swollen. Apex of fore tibia with distinct prolongation  
2. Palpal segment 4 slightly shorter than palpal segment 3  
   - Palpal segment 4 almost 2 times shorter than palpal segment 3  

   $M.\ sinica$ n. sp  
   2  
   $M.\ laca$ (Meunier, 1904)  
   $M.\ gedanica$ Szadziewski, 1988

### FIGURE 3. Photograph of *Gedanohelea fushunensis* n. sp. and *Gedanohelea liaoningensis* n. sp. from lower Eocene Fushun amber.  
1. *Gedanohelea liaoningensis* n. sp., Holotype female NIGP156998.  
2. *Gedanohelea fushunensis* n. sp., Holotype female NIGP156997.  
3. Detail of wing of *Gedanohelea liaoningensis* n. sp. with tips of veins $M_1$ and $M_2$ marked.  
4. Detail of wing of *Gedanohelea fushunensis* n. sp. with tips of veins $M_1$ and $M_2$ marked.
distinctly elongate, last flagellomere longest (Figure 5.1). Palpus (Figure 5.3) short, most probably with five segments but only terminal three segments clearly visible and about 0.054 mm in length, palpal segment three cylindrical, apparently not distinctly broader than following segments.

**Thorax.** Hind basitarsus with row of palisade setae. Tarsomeres 2–4 short, tarsomere 4 cordiform, tarsomere 5 longer than preceding one but shorter than combined length of tarsomeres 2–4. Tibial comb composed of at least six spines. Claws single on all legs; claw of foreleg (Figure 5.4) as long as tarsomere 5, claw of mid- and hind legs shorter (Figure 5.5-6). TR(I) 2.67, TR(II) ?, TR(III) 3.57. Wing length 0.65 mm, CR 0.51. Ratio of divergence between M1 and M2: 0.25. Veins M1 and M2 slightly divergent, M1 reaching wing margin at acute angle (Figure 5.2).

**Remarks.** *Gedanohelea liaoningensis* n. sp. and *Gedanohelea fushunensis* n. sp. closely resemble each other. The only character that separates both species is the shape of the M veins, which are less divergent in *G. liaoningensis*. In *G. fushunensis* M1 meets the wing margin at right angle, while M1 reaches the wing margin at acute angle in *G. liaoningensis*.

Having tarsomere 5 of foreleg distinctly shorter than combined length of tarsomeres 2–4, and the claw of foreleg almost as long as tarsomere 5 *Gedanohelea liaoningensis* and *G. fushunensis* resemble the Baltic amber species *G. loewi*. The species differ from each other in the shape of palpal segment 3, which is almost spherical and bearing a small round sensory pit in *Gedanohelea loewi* but cylindrical in the two Fushun amber species and with a broad oval sensory pit in *G. fushunensis*. Furthermore, in *G. fushunensis* vein M1 meets the wing margin exactly at 90° instead of an acute angle as in *G. loewi*.

*G. fushunensis* can be separated from *Gedanohelea wirthi* by the length of the claws, which are short on all legs in *G. wirthi* whereas the claw of the foreleg is long in *G. fushunensis*, and by the lower ratio of divergence of wing veins M1 and M2, which is 0.29 in *G. fushunensis* and 0.34 in *G. wirthi* (Table 2).
DISCUSSION

Specimens studied in the present work are partially distorted and dark brown to black in colour, which is characteristic for Fushun amber inclusions and most probably caused by heating of the amber during diagenesis (Wang et al., 2014). The two fossil genera *Mantohelea* and *Gedanohelea* recorded here from Fushun amber have previously been known only from two and three species, respectively, from Baltic amber (Szadziewski, 1988; Szadziewski et al., 2007) and confirm recently reported faunal affinities between both deposits (Wang et al., 2014). Our findings imply that these genera had a broader distribution in the Eocene than so far known, covering Europe and north-eastern Asia. The Euro-Asian landmass (Europe and Asia) was divided from the Cretaceous to the early Paleogene by the epicontinental Turgai Strait (Figure 6) resulting in low intercontinental dispersal rates of non-marine taxa, including insects, between the eastern and western lands, which increased only after the closing of the Turgai Strait at about 30 Ma (Rögl, 1999; Sanmartin et al., 2001). Even so, distribution patterns of *Mantohelea* and *Gedanohelea* imply that faunal exchange between the eastern and western margins of the Eurasian landmass

TABLE 2. Key to the species of *Gedanohelea*.

1. Veins M₁ and M₂ strongly divergent (Figure 4.2)  
   G. succinea Szadziewski, 1988  
2. Vein M₁ and M₂ slightly divergent (Figure 5.2)  
   G. liaoningensis n. sp  
3. Vein M₁ reaching wing margin at acute angle, palpal segment 3 spherical, claws of all legs short  
   G. wirthi Szadziewski, 1988  
   G. fushunensis n. sp  
4. Vein M₁ reaching wing margin exactly at right angle (Figure 4.2), palpal segment 3 cylindrical, claw of foreleg long  
5. Tarsomere 5 of foreleg as long as combined length of tarsomeres 2–4  
   G. succinea Szadziewski, 1988  
6. Tarsomere 5 of foreleg distinctly shorter than combined length of tarsomeres 2–4  
   G. loewi Szadziewski, 1988  
7. Palpal segment 3 spherical  
   G. liaoningensis n. sp.
occurred during the Eocene, probably either by trans-oceanic dispersal or via temporary land bridge connections caused by sea level falls (Iakovleva et al., 2001). The latter scenario is supported by land vertebrate dispersal (Godinot and Lapparent de Broin, 2003; Smith et al., 2006). Fushun amber contains the first insect indicators that the Turgai region allowed dispersal, and further studies are likely to keep revealing even more evidence.

Detailed studies of Fushun amber inclusions also are of relevance for age determination of Baltic amber, which still is matter of debate. Difficulties in age determination are due to repeatedly re-deposition of the amber, the broad range of the ancient forest and its existence for probably several million years. Palynological studies date the Prussian Formation of Baltic amber as upper Eocene in age (ca. 39–33.9 Ma) (Aleksandrova and Zaporozhets, 2008) whereas absolute radiometric dating analyses of glauconites suggest a lower to middle Eocene age (48.5–43 Ma) for this formation (Ritzkowski, 1997).

Further evidence for a middle Eocene age of Baltic amber comes from correlations with limnic sediments within Eckfeld maar, which are dated 44.3 ± 0.4 Ma (Mertz et al., 2000) and contain insect groups that are otherwise known only from the geographically near Baltic amber (Wappler, 2003; Wappler and Engel, 2003; Wappler and Andersen, 2004).

Further contribution to the controversial discussion about the age of the amber deposits from the Baltic region is now provided by Fushun Ceratopogonidae whose close relationships to Baltic amber species imply a lower Eocene age for the Baltic amber. Considering that the amber forests in the Baltic region persisted for several million years, up to the end of the Eocene (e.g., Weitschat and Wichard, 2010), this finding does not contradict the faunal similarities between Baltic amber and the Eckfeld maar. Nevertheless, further studies on insect taxa from Fushun amber as well as other contemporaneous European and Asian ambers, such as Oise amber from France and Cambay amber from India, are needed to confirm our conclusions.
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