Loss of the Sec1/Munc18-family proteins VPS-33.2 and VPS-33.1 bypasses a block in endosome maturation in Caenorhabditis elegans
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ABSTRACT
The end of the life of a transport vesicle requires a complex series of tethering, docking, and fusion events. Tethering complexes play a crucial role in the recognition of membrane entities and bringing them into close opposition, thereby coordinating and controlling cellular trafficking events. Here we provide a comprehensive RNA interference analysis of the CORVET and HOPS tethering complexes in metazoans. Knockdown of CORVET components promoted RAB-7 recruitment to subapical membranes, whereas in HOPS knockdowns, RAB-5 was found also on membrane structures close to the cell center, indicating the RAB conversion might be impaired in the absence of these tethering complexes. Unlike in yeast, metazoans have two VPS33 homologues, which are Sec1/Munc18 (SM)-family proteins involved in the regulation of membrane fusion. We assume that in wild type, each tethering complex contains a specific SM protein but that they may be able to substitute for each other in case of absence of the other. Of importance, knockdown of both SM proteins allowed bypass of the endosome maturation block in sand-1 mutants. We propose a model in which the SM proteins in tethering complexes are required for coordinated flux of material through the endosomal system.

INTRODUCTION
Extracellular components and fluids, as well as membrane-bound factors, including lipids, are internalized through a process collectively termed endocytosis. Endosomal pathways play a central role in membrane traffic in all eukaryotic cells. Endocytic carriers generated at the plasma membrane will fuse to form early endosomes, which are the crossroad of many trafficking pathways. A large fraction of endocytosed material will be recycled back to the plasma membrane (Besterman and Low, 1983; Steinman et al., 1983; Chen et al., 2006). Another subset of cargoes will reach the trans-Golgi network (TGN). A third group is sent to degradation. Sorting and selective sequestration of proteins and lipids will eventually lead to the maturation of early to late endosomes (reviewed in Huotari and Helenius, 2011). A hallmark of this maturation process is Rab conversion, in which the Rab5 GTPase present on early endosomes is replaced by the late endosomal Rab7 GTPase (Rink et al., 2005; Poteryaev et al., 2010). This event is regulated by the SAND1/MON1-CCZ1 complex, which interacts with the homotypic fusion and vacuole protein sorting (HOPS) tethering complex (Kinchen and Ravichandran, 2010; Poteryaev et al., 2010). Finally, the matured late endosomes will fuse with lysosomes to form an endolysosome (Wickner, 2010; Huotari and Helenius, 2011). Through degradation of its internal lipid and protein content, the endolysosome eventually matures into a lysosome.

Besides the HOPS complex, at least one other tethering complex acts in the endosomal pathway in yeast: the class C core vacuole/endoosome tethering (CORVET) complex is supposed to tether incoming endocytic vesicles at early endosomes (Balderhaar et al., 2013). During endosome maturation, the early endosomal tethering factor CORVET is replaced by the late endosomal/lysosomal HOPS complex (Nickerson et al., 2009; Balderhaar and Ungermann, 2013; Solinger and Spang, 2013). The HOPS complex in yeast has been characterized extensively and can be considered as prototype for endolysosomal tethers (Nickerson et al., 2009; Pryor and Luzio, 2009;
The HOPS complex is not involved in yolk granule biogenesis in oocytes. (A) Schematic depiction of HOPS complex with interactions between subunits with RAB-7, SAND-1/CCZ1, and SNAREs. CORVET complex is shown with described interactions between subunits, RAB-5, and SNAREs. VPS-33.1 localizes to late endosomes/lysosomes, and VPS-33.2 localizes to early endosomes near the apical side of gut cells. Localization of VPS-33.2-GFP and VPS-33.1-GFP fusion proteins expressed from extrachromosomal arrays under their own promoter. (C–F) Yolk uptake is not affected by HOPS subunit knockdown. YP170-GFP content of three oocytes show no defect in yolk uptake compared with wild type.

**RESULTS**

The HOPS complex contains the SM protein VPS-33.1

To gain a better understanding of endosomal transport, we sought to analyze tethering complexes along the endocytic pathway and identified homologues of subunits of yeast HOPS and CORVET in worms, flies, and humans through database searches (Solinger and Spang, 2013). We found homologues for each of the subunits of the HOPS/CORVET complexes in metazoans, including the fusion of endocytic vesicles and recycling at early endosomes (Gengyo-Ando et al., 2009; Rahajeng et al., 2010). Only individual components of the HOPS complex have been studied in higher eukaryotes (Huzing et al., 2001; Poupon et al., 2003; Sriram et al., 2003; Richardson et al., 2004; Gissen et al., 2005; Hermann et al., 2005; Pulipparacharuvil et al., 2005; Kinchen et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2009; Abenza et al., 2010), but CORVET and other complexes also should exist to ensure proper tethering in the increasingly complex pathways of higher eukaryotes.

In this study, we performed a comprehensive RNA interference (RNAi) analysis of all CORVET- and HOPS-like genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. We provide strong evidence for the existence of the CORVET complex in metazoans. Loss of CORVET function may cause premature endosome maturation, whereas reduced HOPS function conceivably causes a delay in the same process. HOPS is potentially required to efficiently displace RAB-5 from maturing endosomes. CORVET and HOPS each contains a specific SM protein, which may provide fusion specificity. Of importance, concomitant loss of both SM proteins VPS-33.1 and VPS-33.2 relieved the endosome maturation block of a sand-1 mutant, highlighting an important role of these tethering complex in controlling the flux through the endosomal pathway.

(E) Yolk uptake in vps-11(RNAi) worms is very similar to that in wild type (wild-type curve is shown in gray as a comparison). (F) vps-33.1(RNAi) oocytes show no defect in yolk uptake compared with wild type.
Wild-type worms

| Synthetic interaction with sand-1 | Yolk uptake defect | Enlarged endosomes with RAB-5 and RAB-7 | Apical RAB-5 accumulation | Peripheral yolk granules | Central yolk granules | Enlarged yolk granules | Yolk granule size* | Apical RAB-5 accumulation | Dispersed RAB-7 |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Mock RNAi                        |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Ob               |                         |                 |
| Core                             |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xc               |                         |                 |
| vps-11                           |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| vps-16                           |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| vps-18                           |                  |                                        |                           |                         | Oa                    | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| CORVET                           |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| vps-33.2                         |                  |                                        |                           |                         | X                     | O                    | Xc               |                         |                 |
| HOPS                             |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| vps-39                           |                  |                                        |                           |                         | X                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| vps-41                           |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| vps-33.1                         |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |
| vps-33.1+2                       |                  |                                        |                           |                         | O                     | X                    | Xd               |                         |                 |

*Granule size smaller than (<), equal to (=), or larger (>), or larger than in sand-1(ok1963).

**sand-1(ok1963)** worms have enlarged yolk granules compared with wild type.

Colocalization of RAB-5 and RAB-7 at the lumen.

Vesicle size smaller than in sand-1(ok1963).

vps-18(tm1125) is synthetic lethal with sand-1(ok1963).

Vesicle size larger than in sand-1(ok1963).

Not determined.

**TABLE 1:** Summary of CORVET/HOPS phenotypes.
Because in yeast the CORVET complex is involved in fusion with the early endosome (Balderhaar et al., 2013), we expect that in C. elegans, the knockdown of potential CORVET components would have a different phenotype than the sand-1(ok1963) deletion allele, which strongly delays maturation from early to late endosomes but has no defects in early endocytosis events (Poteryaev et al., 2007, 2010). In contrast, a subset of HOPS complex members interact with SAND-1 (Poteryaev et al., 2010), and hence their knockdown may enhance the sand-1 phenotype because the transport down to the lysosome may be more severely blocked. To this end, we performed a genetic interaction analysis of all potential HOPS and CORVET components with sand-1(ok1963) using RNAi. The knockdown of components of the HOPS complex and potential CORVET-specific proteins was very efficient (Supplemental Figure S1A). Worms bearing a sand-1(ok1963) deletion allele show various defects at 20°C, including partial sterility (Poteryaev et al., 2007), vps-33.1(RNAi) in a sand-1(ok1963) background caused a synthetic lethal phenotype, similar to the knockdown of the HOPS-specific subunits VPS-39 and VPS-41 and the core subunits VPS-11, VPS-16, and VPS-18 in the same background. In contrast, knockdown of neither the assumed CORVET component VPS-8 nor VPS-33.2 changed the sand-1(ok1963) phenotype in terms of viability (Table 1). Synthetic lethality was determined by the lack of viable offspring from the hermaphro- dite that was subjected to double-stranded RNA treatment. Thus VPS-33.1 behaves similar to HOPS-specific subunits.

To extend these results, we determined the subcellular localization of both VPS-33 homologues by expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusions under their own promoter in the intestine. Because the HOPS complex acts on late endosomes and lysosomes, we expect VPS-33.1 to be localized to those compartments. Indeed, VPS-33.1 localized mainly to lysosome-related gut granules and lysosomes and to a lesser extent to the apical surface of the intestinal epithelial cells (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure S1B). In contrast, VPS-33.2::GFP lined the gut lumen and was also present in puncta, which might correspond to early endosomes (Figure 2B).

Finally, we determined the change in localization of the ESCRT-0 subunit HGRS-1 when either VPS-33.1 or VPS-33.2 level was reduced. HGRS-1 is present on endocytic structures (Roudier et al., 2005), and according to its role in cargo sorting into intralumenal vesicles, HGRS-1 should be present on early and maturing endosomes. If VPS-33.1 is indeed a HOPS-specific component, HGRS-1 localization should be largely unaffected on early endosomes, but maturing endosomes might be altered by vps-33.1(RNAi). In wild type, GFP::HGRS-1 was localized at the apical cortex and on endosomes (Supplemental Figure S1C). Knockdown of vps-33.1 left only the apical pool of HGRS-1 intact, which was somewhat reduced. These data suggest that VPS-33.1 represents the SM protein of the HOPS complex in C. elegans. It is noteworthy that vps-33.2(RNAi) caused an accumulation of GFP::HGRS-1 at the apical cortex, and distinct bright foci were detected, indicating that HGRS-1 was trapped on endosomes close to endocytosis sites.

Taking the subcellular localization and the genetic interactions together, our data are in support of VPS-33.1 being a component of HOPS and suggest that a CORVET complex exists also in C. elegans, which may contain VPS-33.2. Consistent with our data, Drosophila carnation, which is the closest homologue of VPS-33.1, is part of the HOPS complex (Akbar et al., 2009). The HOPS complex is not involved in yolk granule biogenesis in oocytes

To gain more direct insight into endocytic and endosomal functions of the HOPS complex in C. elegans oocytes, we used the well-established yolk-GFP system (Grant and Hirsh, 1999). The yolk protein YP170 is produced in intestinal cells, whence it is secreted into the body cavity. Maturing oocytes internalize yolk-GFP (YP170::GFP) through receptor-mediated endocytosis and transport it to yolk granules, which are supposed to be specialized lysosomes. YP170::GFP uptake can be readily detected in the most-proximal three oocytes near the spermatheca (Figure 1C). Yolk-GFP content of each cell was quantified and normalized to total yolk content in wild-type oocytes, yielding quantitative yolk uptake curves (Figure 1D). As previously reported, sand-1(ok1963) led to a mild defect in yolk uptake initially (Figure 1D, cells 2 and 3), which is compensated at later stages (cell 1; Poteryaev et al., 2007). Of greater importance, the yolk granules were abnormally large. In contrast, no significant yolk uptake defects could be observed upon RNAi of HOPS and core subunits (Figure 1, E and F; Supplemental Figure S2, A and B). These data suggest that the HOPS complex is not essential for yolk uptake.

The HOPS complex acts on late endosomes and lysosomes in intestinal epithelial cells

Different cell types regulate transport distinctively to their needs. The great advantage of C. elegans is that we can assess transport readily in a variety of tissues in live animals (Solinger et al., 2014). To this end, we generated a strain expressing integrated GFP::RAB-5 and mCherry::RAB-7 GTPases in intestinal epithelial cells (Chen et al., 2006; Ackema et al., 2013). This system allows the simultaneous observation of early endosomes associated with RAB-5 and of late endosomes/lysosomes bearing RAB-7 on their surface within the same cells (Figure 2, A–F). In wild-type worms, the RAB-5 compartment consists of small vesicles, which localize mostly in close proximity to the apical plasma membrane, which faces the gut lumen (Figures 1B and 2, A and G). Some small vesicles can also be observed throughout the cell and near the basolateral membrane (Figure 2A). The RAB-7 compartment consists of larger vesicles and sometimes interconnected structures that are not in direct contact with the plasma membrane and reside more centrally in the cell (Figure 2, B and G). The RAB-5 endosomes have no and the RAB-7 compartment only a slight overlap with autofluorescent gut granules, validating the use of this system (Supplemental Figure S2, D and E). In sand-1(ok1963) worms, the typical RAB-5–positive enlarged endosomal structures are apparent (Figure 2C), consistent with a defect in RAB conversion (Poteryaev et al., 2010). Coherently, RAB-7 recruitment to membranes was impaired, and RAB-7 aggre- gates were observed (Figure 2D). The precise composition of these aggregates is unknown. Loss of the specific localization of both RAB-5 and RAB-7 compartments can be appreciated in profile plots across the gut (Figure 2G). This sand-1 phenotype is similar to the one observed in coelomocytes (Poteryaev et al., 2007). Knockdown of the HOPS-specific subunits vps-33.1, vps-39, and vps-41 caused colocalization of large RAB-5– and RAB-7–positive vesicles on the basal side of the cells (Figure 2, E and F, and Supplemental Figure S2C). During the maturation process, endosomes are transported by motor proteins (Huotari and Helenius, 2011), and a notable fraction of lysosomes and lysosome-related organelles is localized close to the basal membrane in C. elegans intestinal cells (Nicot et al., 2006; Ruaud et al., 2009; Chotard et al., 2010). Thus completion of Rab conversion may require the action of the HOPS complex in intestinal cells because RAB-5 cannot be efficiently displaced from endosomes. These data suggest two functions for the HOPS complex: one required for efficient displacement of RAB-5 from endo- somes and hence at the same level as SAND-1, and a second one, downstream of SAND-1, since some RAB-7 was still recruited to
RAB-7 colocalize in HOPS-specific knockdown worms. Colocalization coefficients were measured and RAB-5–positive vesicles are found in median and whiskers indicating minimal and maximal values, respectively. Significantly larger specific subunits cells, reflecting a loss of normal localization. (H) Vesicle size increase in knockdowns of HOPS-distant to the gut lumen. In apical membrane and decreases to the basal side, whereas mCherry::RAB-7 shows a peak more of the graph, where the gut lumen lies. In wild type, GFP::RAB-5 signal is brightest near the background inside the cell is indicated by a hatched line and is similar to the value in the center normalized to minimal (background outside the cell) and maximal values. The average and basal membranes are on the left and right). Curves from five worms were averaged and 200 pixels in these plots, apical membranes are directly adjacent to the lumen on both sides, the width of the gut (indicated as distance on the x-axis; note that the gut lumen is centered at x=0). Pearson and Mander coefficients were obtained using the JACoP plug-in of ImageJ after background subtraction (the JACoP plug-in of ImageJ after background subtraction (the JACoP plug-in of ImageJ after background subtraction).

The HOPS complex acts downstream of the SAND-1–induced Rab conversion

To corroborate the foregoing findings, we decided to analyze the effects of RNAi of HOPS-specific subunits in a sand-1(ok1963) background. Loss of HOPS complex members slightly affected the uptake defects in sand-1(ok1963) (compare Figure 1D to Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure S3A), and yolk granules were often more clustered in a central position in cell 1. These data indicate a role for the HOPS complex in the transport or localization of endosomal structures and corroborate a function downstream of SAND-1.

HOPS and CORVET complexes are known to be involved in tethering of membranes and play a crucial role in subsequent membrane fusion (Stroupe et al., 2006; Starai et al., 2008; Balderhaar et al., 2013). We reasoned that knocking down HOPS subunits should have an effect on the size of endosomes or yolk granules. Measurements of yolk granule size in vps-16(RNAi), vps-33.2(RNAi), vps-8(RNAi), and vps-33.1(RNAi) worms showed no significant differences from wild type (Supplemental Figure S3B). This lack of an effect is potentially due to fast and efficient transport along the endocytic pathway. Because the maturation from early to late endosomes is strongly delayed in sand-1 mutant animals, we checked yolk granule size in the sensitized sand-1 background (Figure 3B). Knockdown of HOPS subunits had no effect on the yolk granule size in sand-1(ok1963) oocytes (Figure 3B). Finally, knockdown of the HOPS-specific subunits VPS-41 and VPS-39 did not change the sand-1(ok1963) phenotype in gut cells (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure S3, C–F). We showed previously that SAND-1 physically interacts with components of the HOPS complex (Poteryaev et al., 2010), similar to what was observed in yeast (Wang et al., 2003; Solinger and Spang, 2013). Taken together, these data are consistent with a function of the HOPS complex downstream of SAND-1, but HOPS might in addition have a distinct function at the level of SAND-1.

sand-1(ok1963)

sand-1(ok1963)

sand-1(ok1963)

GFP::RAB-5 and mCherry::RAB-7 simultaneously expressed in gut cells. Analysis of GFP::RAB-5 and mCherry::RAB-7 signal in small vesicles along the lumen and dispersed throughout the rest of the cell. (B) mCherry::RAB-7 signal in larger structures more centrally in the cell. (C) In sand-1(ok1963) gut cells, GFP::RAB-5 forms enlarged endosomes and aggregations of vesicles in large, grape-like structures. (D) mCherry::RAB-7 is dispersed and forms bright, dot-like aggregates. (E, F) vps-41(RNAi) causes formation of late endosomes/lysosomes with colocalization of GFP::RAB-5 and mCherry::RAB-7 signal in 60% of worms (indicated by arrows). (G) Profile plots across intestine. Brightness intensities were measured in a 200-pixel-wide stripe across gut pictures as shown in A–F. The length of the graphs is 400 pixels and corresponds to the width of the gut (indicated as distance on the x-axis; note that the gut lumen is centered at x=0). Curves from five worms were averaged and normalized to minimal (background outside the cell) and maximal values. The average background inside the cell is indicated by a hatched line and is similar to the value in the center of the graph, where the gut lumen lies. In wild type, GFP::RAB-5 signal is brightest near the apical membrane and decreases to the basal side, whereas mCherry::RAB-7 shows a peak more distant to the gut lumen. In sand-1(ok1963), both signals are equally distributed across the gut cells, reflecting a loss of normal localization. (H) Vesicle size increase in knockdowns of HOPS-specific subunits vps-33.1, vps-39, and vps-41. Plots show 25th–75th percentile box, with median and whiskers indicating minimal and maximal values, respectively. Significantly larger RAB-5–positive vesicles are found in vps-33.1(RNAi) (p = 8.2E-15), vps-39(RNAi) (p = 8.2E-16), and vps-41(RNAi) (p = 5.9E-18) compared with wild-type (N = 6). (I) GFP::RAB-5 and mCherry::RAB-7 colocalize in HOPS-specific knockdown worms. Colocalization coefficients were measured in the gut (the region of interest was a 400-pixel square across the whole width of gut pictures as shown in A and E). Pearson and Mander coefficients were obtained using the JACoP plug-in of ImageJ after background subtraction (N = 5).
The CORVET complex exists in *C. elegans* and affects early endosomal transport

The data presented so far would be consistent with a role of the HOPS complex in the late endocytic pathway, presumably at late endosomes and lysosomes, similar to what has been reported in yeast, *Drosophila*, and mammalian cells (Seals et al., 2000; Akbar et al., 2009; Swetha et al., 2011; Pols et al., 2013a). In addition, our initial analysis (Figure 1) hinted at the presence of CORVET in *C. elegans*. We wanted to corroborate these results and also test whether CORVET function would be conserved from yeast to metazoans. So far, CORVET has been studied only in yeast, where it is believed to promote fusion with early endosomes (Balderhaar et al., 2013). We knocked down the CORVET-specific subunits VPS-8 and the SM protein VPS-33.2 (Figure 4A). Depletion of either subunit caused a slight yolk uptake defect in oocytes (Supplemental Figure S1D). Of greater importance, yolk-positive endocytic structures appeared to be tethered to large membranous organelles, which could represent enlarged endolysosomes. vps-33.2(RNAi) and vps-8(RNAi) resulted in a similar phenotype in oocytes, further suggesting that they might be indeed part of the same complex.

To extend these results, we analyzed the localization of RAB-5 and RAB-7 in intestinal cells upon knockdown of vps-33.2 and vps-8 (Figure 4B). In either case, GFP::RAB-5 was strongly enriched just underneath the apical plasma membrane when compared with wild type (Figures 4B and 2A). This finding is consistent with results suggesting a role of RAB-5 at clathrin-coated pits in *C. elegans* (Sato et al., 2005). Thus initial uptake may only be slightly affected upon RNAi, but tethering and fusion of endocytic vesicles may be impaired. In contrast, the RAB-7–positive late endosomal compartment appeared largely unaffected by the knockdowns (Figures 4B and 2B). However, we noticed that a fraction of mCherry::RAB-7 was overlapping with the GFP::RAB-5 signal just underneath the apical plasma membrane, a localization we never observed in the wild-type background (Figures 4B [arrowheads] and D, and 5C). An attractive explanation for this phenotype would be that RAB-7 was prematurely recruited onto

---

**FIGURE 3:** HOPS complex has a role together with SAND-1 and/or downstream of SAND-1 in late endosomes/lysosomes. (A) YP170-GFP uptake in sand-1(ok1963); vps-33.1(RNAi) and sand-1(ok1963); vps-41(RNAi) oocytes (for details see legend to Figure 1); wild-type and sand-1(ok1963) images are shown for comparison. (B) Yolk granule size in HOPS-subunit knockdown cells does not change in the sand-1(ok1963) background. Granule size was measured in cell 1 using particle analysis from ImageJ on a single plane from the z-stack. Right, representative images used for the measurements. Cells contained a minimum of ~40 vesicles each for large yolk granules (e.g., sand-1(ok1963)) and ~90 vesicles for small yolk granules (e.g., wild type). Box plots show median value in the center; each box represents 50% of all values (25% quartile to 75% quartile), and whiskers indicate minimal and maximal values, respectively (N = 6). Values for knockdowns are not significantly different from sand-1 alone (p = 0.16 for vps-33.1, p = 0.14 for vps-39, and p = 0.37 for vps-41). (C) GFP::RAB-5 and mCherry::RAB-7 localization is unchanged in sand-1(ok1963); vps-41(RNAi) compared with wild-type and sand-1 alone (wild-type and sand-1 gut pictures are shown for comparison).
FIGURE 4: CORVET has an early role in endosome maturation. RNAi of CORVET subunits causes yolk uptake defects in oocytes and apical RAB-5 vesicle accumulation in gut cells. (A) YP170-GFP analyses in vps-33.2(RNAi) and vps-8(RNAi) oocytes (see legend to Figure 1 for details). Seventy percent of vps-33.2(RNAi) and vps-8(RNAi) worms show circular accumulations in cell 1. (B) vps-8(RNAi) causes GFP::RAB-5 vesicles to accumulate in two bright stripes along the lumen near the apical cell membrane (bright GFP-RAB-5 stripes in 85% of worms). RAB-7 vesicles are not affected by vps-8(RNAi). vps-33.2(RNAi) (all worms analyzed) and vps-11(RNAi) (bright stripes in 90% of worms) cause a similar phenotype as vps-8(RNAi). (C) The size of RAB-5–positive vesicles is unchanged in CORVET-subunit knockdowns. Box plots as in Figure 2H show no significant difference between vps-16(RNAi) (p = 0.31), vps-33.2(RNAi) (p = 0.78), vps-8(RNAi) (p = 0.58), and wild type (N = 6). (D) Colocalization of RAB-5 and RAB-7 at the gut lumen of CORVET and core subunit knockdown worms. Pearson and Mander coefficients were measured as in Figure 2I using a region of interest encompassing only a 30-pixel-wide (and 350-pixel-long) stripe directly at the gut apical membrane (N = 5).

The core components of the HOPS and CORVET complexes are shared in yeast (Ostrowicz et al., 2010). Therefore we would expect that the knockdown of the core components results in a similar phenotype to that of CORVET-specific subunits because in yeast, CORVET acts upstream of HOPS. RNAi of the core components vps-11, vps-16, and vps-18 also caused concentration of RAB-5 and RAB-7 at the apical membrane (Figure 4, B and D), whereas the RAB-7–positive late endosomal compartment remained unaffected (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S4, A and B). This accumulation at the apical cortex was specific, as there was no significant overlap of the RAB-5 and RAB-7 signals elsewhere in the cell (Supplemental Figure S4B). Our data are consistent with the existence of the CORVET complex in C. elegans and its functioning upstream of the HOPS complex. Thus our data point toward a conserved role from yeast to metazoans of the two tethering complexes. As in yeast, VPS-8 would be part of the CORVET complex, and VPS-33.2 would be a good candidate to fulfill the SM function of the complex. Furthermore, our results may suggest that the CORVET complex plays a role in preventing premature Rab conversion.

The CORVET complex acts upstream of SAND-1

In yeast, CORVET interacts with Rab5 (Vps21) to promote fusion of Rab5-positive membranes (Balderhaar et al., 2013), which would be a process upstream of Rab conversion. Therefore we asked whether CORVET would act also upstream of SAND-1 in C. elegans. The uptake defect of yolk protein into oocytes was stronger when either core components or the CORVET-specific subunits were down-regulated in sand-1(ok1963) compared with wild type (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S5A; compare to Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure S3A; summarized in Supplemental Figure S3G). Unlike in the wild-type background, yolk protein–positive structures did not cluster centrally but remained close to the plasma membrane. This localization of yolk granules near the cell periphery is indicative of a role of CORVET upstream of SAND-1.

To substantiate these results, we again checked the GFP::RAB-5and mCherry::RAB-7 localization in sand-1(ok1963) gut cells. Loss of the CORVET-specific VPS-8 or the core components prevented the formation of endocytic structures under these conditions. Alternatively, movement of maturing endosomes from the apical surface could be affected.

The core components of the HOPS and CORVET complexes are shared in yeast (Ostrowicz et al., 2010). Therefore we would expect that the knockdown of the core components results in a similar phenotype to that of CORVET-specific subunits because in yeast, CORVET acts upstream of HOPS. RNAi of the core components vps-11, vps-16, and vps-18 also caused concentration of RAB-5 and RAB-7 at the apical membrane (Figure 4, B and D), whereas the RAB-7–positive late endosomal compartment remained unaffected (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S4, A and B). This accumulation at the apical cortex was specific, as there was no significant overlap of the RAB-5 and RAB-7 signals elsewhere in the cell (Supplemental Figure S4B). Our data are consistent with the existence of the CORVET complex in C. elegans and its functioning upstream of the HOPS complex. Thus our data point toward a conserved role from yeast to metazoans of the two tethering complexes. As in yeast, VPS-8 would be part of the CORVET complex, and VPS-33.2 would be a good candidate to fulfill the SM function of the complex. Furthermore, our results may suggest that the CORVET complex plays a role in preventing premature Rab conversion.
enlarged endosomes in sand-1(ok1963) intestinal cells (Figure 5, B and C, and Supplemental Figure S5B), whereas RAB-7 was still not recruited to endosomes (Supplemental Figure S6C). These data support CORVET acting upstream of SAND-1 along the endocytosis pathway.

vps-33.2(RNAi) causes the accumulation of “supersize” endosomes in sand-1(ok1963)

In contrast to the other CORVET or core components, loss of the SM subunit VPS-33.2 appeared to cause large endosomes in sand-1(ok1963) oocytes and intestinal cells (Figures 5, A and B, and 6, A–C, and Supplemental Figure S6, A and B). sand-1 mutants already accumulate large endosomes in oocytes and gut epithelium (Poteryaev et al., 2007; Figures 1D and 5A). To get a better measure of these phenotypes, we measured the size of the yolk-positive structures in the periphery of oocytes (Figure 6, A and B). Consistent with a role of the CORVET upstream of SAND-1 function, the size of yolk-positive structures was reduced when either the core components or the RAB-5 interacting subunit VPS-8 were silenced. vps-33.2(RNAi) in sand-1(ok1963) produced even bigger yolk-positive granules than sand-1(ok1963) itself (Figure 6B). Similarly, the RAB-5 positive endosomes in sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.2(RNAi) intestinal cells were larger than sand-1(ok1963) endosomes (Figure 6C and Supplemental Figure S6, A and B). Furthermore, these “supersize” endosomes often had an irregular shape, as if they were stalled during the fusion process (Figures 5B and 6C), sometimes with membranes still visible between the different entities. These results would be consistent with a delay of membrane fusion or fusion pore opening, as suggested for the yeast Vps33 (Pieren et al., 2010). A possible explanation for the observed phenotype might be that one SM protein can substitute for the other in its absence. Hence, under these conditions, VPS-33.1 may be incorporated into

vps-8(RNAi) guts (see legend to Figure 2G for description). Accumulation of GFP::RAB-5 near apical membrane results in two sharp peaks on both sides of the lumen. These peaks also appear in mCherry::RAB-7 curves, in addition to the normal localization more centrally in the cell (compare to wild-type RAB-7 graph in Figure 2G). In the sand-1 background, the GFP::RAB-5 peaks move away from the apical membrane, and mCherry::RAB-7 is mislocalized (similar to the situation in sand-1 alone in Figure 2G).

FIGURE 5: CORVET and core subunits have functions on early endosomes. (A) CORVET- subunit RNAi leads to peripheral yolk granule accumulation in a sand-1(ok1963) background. sand-1(ok1963); vps-16(RNAi) worms show YP170-GFP granules at cell periphery and yolk uptake defects. sand-1(ok1963); vps-33.2(RNAi) oocytes show a similar yolk uptake defect and localization of yolk granules at cell periphery as in vps-16(RNAi). Wild-type and sand-1 oocytes are shown for comparison. Schematic representation shows the central plane of pictures used to visualize peripheral yolk granules. (B) CORVET and core subunits function upstream of sand-1 in the intestine. sand-1(ok1963); vps-16(RNAi) worms show accumulation of GFP::RAB-5 signal near the lumen at the apical side of cells (all worms analyzed). sand-1(ok1963); vps-16(RNAi) worms have a disorganized and dispersed mCherry::RAB-7 compartment, similar to sand-1(ok1963) alone, indicating an upstream function of vps-16. sand-1(ok1963); vps-8(RNAi) (in 80% of worms) look similar to sand-1(ok1963) worms. sand-1(ok1963); vps-33.2(RNAi) worms contain extra large RAB-5 compartments (indicated by arrows, quantification in Figure 6C). (C) Profile plots across

vps-8(RNAi) guts (see legend to Figure 2G for description). Accumulation of GFP::RAB-5 near apical membrane results in two sharp peaks on both sides of the lumen. These peaks also appear in mCherry::RAB-7 curves, in addition to the normal localization more centrally in the cell (compare to wild-type RAB-7 graph in Figure 2G). In the sand-1 background, the GFP::RAB-5 peaks move away from the apical membrane, and mCherry::RAB-7 is mislocalized (similar to the situation in sand-1 alone in Figure 2G).
the CORVET and regulate the fusion process. The SM protein–interacting subunits are shared between HOPS and CORVET. In yeast, HOPS and CORVET exist in a dynamic equilibrium in which the RAB-interacting subunits can exchange and hence convert a HOPS complex into a CORVET complex and vice versa (Peplowska et al., 2007). Whereas there is only one Vps33 in yeast, C. elegans has Vps-33.1 and Vps-33.2. Thus we envisage the existence of complexes that have CORVET-specific RAB-5 interactors and a HOPS-specific SM protein and vice versa. The fusion process might be stalled because of the wrong SNARE-binding partners, therefore even enhancing the sand-1(ok1963) phenotype. This hypothesis seems plausible, given that Drosophila VPS33a (carnation) binds specifically to dynaxin16 (Golgi and lysosomes), whereas VSP33B binds to the early endosomal avalanche (dynaxin7; Akbar et al., 2009). These findings from Drosophila suggest that fusion specificity could be impaired also in C. elegans.

The analysis of the inverse experiment in which VPS-33.1 was missing in sand-1(ok1963) is complicated by the HOPS requirement to interact with RAB-7, which is not activated in sand-1 mutants. Thus incorporation of VPS-33.2 into the HOPS complex would lead to a nonfunctional complex in the context of the sand-1 mutant. We analyzed the phenotype of sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.1(RNAi) worms. Of interest, the phenotype in the gut, as judged by vesicle size, resembled more the knockdown of a core component or VPS-8 than other HOPS-specific components (Figure 6D and Supplemental Figures S3D and S6B). Given the dynamic equilibrium between HOPS and CORVET, VPS-33.2 concentration might become limiting, and the amount of functional CORVET complexes would be reduced. It is also conceivable that yet another protein could be recruited to the position of the SM protein in either tethering complex. Taken together, our data suggest that the SM protein in the tethering complexes is involved in the regulation of fusion of endocytic compartments.

Simultaneous loss of the SM proteins VPS-33.1 and VPS-33.2 bypasses the sand-1(ok1963) endocytosis block

The foregoing results suggest that both VPS-33 proteins could replace each other in the respective tethering complex in the absence of the bona fide subunit. Alternatively, the SM component position in the tethering complexes would remain vacant. The latter possibility suggests that simultaneous knockdown of both SM subunits would cause a strong delay in transport through the endosomal system and may have even additive effects. However, we observed quite the opposite effect, as concomitant knockdown of VPS-33.1 and VPS-33.2 in sand-1(ok1963) animals drastically reduced the size of the yolk-positive structures, approximating the size of wild-type yolk granules (Figure 7, A and B, and Table 2). Thus vps-33.1+2(RNAi) appears to at least partially rescue the large-early-endosome phenotype of sand-1(ok1963) worms. Moreover, the subcellular distribution of GFP::RAB-5 and mCherry::RAB-7–positive endosomes in sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.1+2(RNAi) was very similar to what we observed in wild-type intestinal cells (Figure 7C). RAB-7 was recruited to membranes again, presumably endosomes (Figure 7, C and D, Supplemental Figure S7A and S7B, and Table 2). Similarly, the size of RAB-5–positive structures was reduced to almost wild-type levels (Figure 7E and Table 2). These results indicate both SM proteins may be involved in the regulation of the endocytic flux down to the lysosome. Moreover, they suggest that loss of both VPS-33 variants allows bypass of the sand-1(ok1963) block in endosome maturation. Although the endosome morphology was rescued, the sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.1+2(RNAi) worms still died, and loss of VPS-33.1+2 was synthetic lethal with sand-1(ok1963) (Table 1).

Cargo reaches the lysosomes in sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.1+2(RNAi) animals

If the transport through the endocytic pathway was restored in sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.1+2(RNAi), cargo should reach the lysosomes efficiently under these conditions. To test this prediction, we turned to coelomocytes in C. elegans. Coelomocytes are scavenger cells in the body cavity and have been used to analyze transport from plasma membrane to lysosome (Fares and Greenwald, 2001a; Treusch et al., 2004; Poteryaev et al., 2007, 2010). Bovine serum albumin–Texas red (BSA-TRA) is injected into the body cavity, and the coelomocytes internalize the dye and transport it to the lysosomes. Starting 30 min after injection of BSA-TRA, the dye accumulates in lysosomes (Poteryaev et al., 2007). In a sand-1 mutant, the transport to lysosomes is strongly impaired, and even 60 min after injection, only a little BSA-TRA reaches the lysosomes marked by LMP-1::GFP (Poteryaev et al., 2007; Figure 8A). This transport defect was not alleviated by the single knockdown of VPS-33.1 or VPS-33.2. However, similar to what we observed in intestinal cells, the BSA-TRA–positive compartments were either smaller (vps-33.1(RNAi)) or larger (vps-33.2(RNAi)) than in sand-1(ok1963). Of importance, knockdown of both VPS-33 proteins rescued the transport of BSA-TRA to the lysosomes in the sand-1 mutant, as after 30 min, almost all BSA-TRA had reached the lysosome (Figure 8A). Thus loss of VPS-33.1+2 appears to bypass the block in the sand-1 mutant.

The LMP-1::GFP–positive compartment in sand-1(ok1963) coelomocytes appeared to be affected by silencing either VPS-33 proteins but was restored in the vps-33.1+2(RNAi) (Figure 8A). Therefore we decided to analyze the LMP-1::GFP localization in intestinal cells. Most of the LMP-1::GFP was trapped in late endosome–like structures in sand-1(ok1963) animals (Figure 8B). As observed earlier, vps-33.2(RNAi) had a phenotype similar to sand-1, and vps-33.1(RNAi) caused entrapment of LMP-1::GFP in earlier compartments. The double knockdown partially rescued the transport block of sand-1, allowing LMP-1::GFP to move on to lysosomes (Figure 8B, arrow).

To corroborate these results, we expressed human transferrin receptor (hTFR) fused to GFP in the gut and analyzed its transport. This marker has been used to study endocytic traffic in C. elegans (Chen et al., 2006; Parker et al., 2009). It seems a reasonable assumption that part of the hTFR is degraded in lysosomes, and hence hTFR::GFP marks the entire endocytic pathway in gut cells (Supplemental Figure S7C). Our data presented so far are consistent with loss of VPS-33 SM proteins negatively influencing fusion specificity along the endosomal pathway. In support of this suggestion, hTFR-positive structures were dispersed throughout the cell in vps-33.1+2(RNAi) animals (Supplemental Figure S8).

In sand-1(ok1963) cells, hTFR was trapped in enlarged endosomes (Supplemental Figure S9). As observed earlier, vps-33.2(RNAi) had a sand-1–like phenotype, whereas vps-33.1(RNAi) confined the cargo in an earlier compartment. vps-33.1+2(RNAi) allowed a fraction of hTFR to proceed to lysosomal compartments (Supplemental Figure S8), alleviating the sand-1(ok1963) endosomal transport block.

Another way to check for restoration of transport to lysosomes in sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.1+2(RNAi) animals is via degradation of GFP in the lysosomes of coelomocytes. This assay measures at the same time the functionality of the lysosomes. Soluble secreted GFP is expressed in intestinal cells after heat shock, released into the body cavity, taken up by coelomocytes, and degraded in lysosomes (Fares and Greenwald, 2001b). In a sand-1 mutant, this degradation is strongly delayed (Poteryaev et al., 2007). Combining vps-33.1+2(RNAi) with the sand-1(ok1963) mutation restored
FIGURE 6: CORVET is responsible for the enlarged endosomes found in sand-1 worms, and its VPS-33.2 subunit ensures fusion specificity. (A, B) Yolk granule size in the indicated worms was measured in the oocyte most proximal to the spermatheca (see Materials and Methods for a detailed description). Schematic view in B shows peripheral plane used for yolk granule size measurements. (A) Knockdown of core subunits \textit{vps-11}, \textit{vps-16}, and \textit{vps-18} causes reduction of \textit{sand-1(ok1963)} yolk granule size ($p = 1.3E-5$ for \textit{vps-11}, $p = 2.4E-21$ for \textit{vps-16}, $p = 4.2E-16$ for \textit{vps-18} compared with \textit{sand-1}). Representative cell pictures show a top view of the cells in which the peripheral localization of granules (Figure 5A) cannot be seen. (B) RNAi of CORVET subunit \textit{vps-8} leads to smaller vesicles ($p = 9.8E-9$ compared with...
degradation of soluble secreted GFP (Figure 8C) to a similar time frame that we had reported for wild type (Poteryaev et al., 2007).

Taken together, our data suggest a role of the SM proteins VPS-33.1 and VPS-33.2 in fusion specificity along the endosomal pathway. In their absence, fusion may happen in a less restricted manner, allowing the bypass of the endosomal transport block in sand-1 mutants.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we reveal the existence of HOPS and CORVET tethering complexes in metazoans. Although the presence of a metazoan HOPS complex had been suggested by a number of groups (Akbar et al., 2011; Balderhaar and Ungermann, 2013; Graham et al., 2013; Klinger et al., 2013; Tornieri et al., 2013; Pols et al., 2013a), we provide the first systematic study on these two tethering complexes. This type of analysis is important because at least hVps41 also appears to have a function independent of the HOPS complex (Pols et al., 2013b). Thus other members of these tethering complexes also may have a different function in the cell. We found homologues of all the HOPS components, but we did not identify a Vps3 homologue in C. elegans, which is a CORVET-specific subunit (Solinger and Spang, 2013). In contrast, C. elegans and other metazoans contain two homologues of the SM protein Vps33. VPS-33.1 is present in the HOPS complex, and VPS-33.2 is the CORVET-specific subunit in C. elegans. This complex organization is likely also maintained in mammals, but this remains to be determined. Metazoans also contain two homologues of the core component Vps16, VPS-16 and SPE-39. Of importance, VPS-16 is the direct interaction partner of the SM subunit (Lobinger and Merz, 2012; Baker et al., 2013; Balderhaar and Ungermann, 2013; Graham et al., 2013). Mammalian Vps33b appears to interact with the second Vps16 subunit (Tornieri et al., 2013). Our data indicate VPS-33.2, which would be the orthologue of Vps33b, as a CORVET-specific subunit. However, more CORVET/HOPS-type tethering complexes may exist. For example vps-8(RNAi) and vps33-2(RNAi) have a yolk uptake defect, which is not observed after silencing of the core subunits. It is conceivable that SPE-39 would replace VPS-33.2 in this complex. Another possibility is that subcomplexes of the tethers are sufficient to promote tethering functions. This possibility seems unlikely, given the requirement of the entire HOPS complex for homotypic vacuolar fusion (Ostrowicz et al., 2010). We also cannot exclude moonlighting functions of VPS-8 and VPS-33.2 in yolk uptake. However, populations of mixed CORVET/HOPS complexes were observed in yeast (Peplowska et al., 2007). Thus it is plausible that VPS-16 and its homologue SPE-39 can individually interact with several SM proteins on one side and with different core components on the other. Therefore multiple HOPS/CORVET-like tethering complexes might exist in the endosomal system that could assemble in a Lego-like organization, depending on which membranes they would have to tether.

Our results indicate that the CORVET complex acts upstream and the HOPS downstream or at the same level of Rab conversion from RAB-5– to RAB-7–positive endosomes (Figure 9A). These findings are consistent with the proposed roles for both tethering complexes in yeast (Wickner, 2010; Balderhaar et al., 2013; Balderhaar and Ungermann, 2013; Epp and Ungermann, 2013; Zick and Wickner, 2013). Of interest, knockdown of CORVET components resulted in accumulation of RAB-5 at the apical cortex and recruitment of RAB-7 onto endosomes in gut epithelial cells, indicating that the CORVET complex may play a role in stabilizing RAB-5 on endosomes (Figure 9B). Alternatively, in the absence of the CORVET complex, the HOPS complex could be recruited prematurely, driving Rab conversion. We consider the latter possibility less likely because knockdown of the core components, which are shared between the two complexes, resulted in the same phenotype as silencing of the CORVET-specific subunits. Consistent with CORVET acting upstream of Rab conversion, RAB-5–positive endosomes remained small and were still more apically localized in sand-1(ok1963) vps-8(RNAi).

Conversely, loss of the HOPS-specific subunits may bring about delayed Rab conversion and hence endosome maturation, as RAB-5 stayed on RAB-7–positive endosomes that had migrated toward the cell center and the basal side of the epithelial cells (Figure 9B), consistent with the notion of CORVET being involved in stabilization of RAB-5 on endosomes. In the absence of HOPS, RAB-7 could be recruited by SAND-1/CCZ-1 but may not be able to displace RAB-5. The HOPS complex may be actively involved in RAB-5 displacement from endosomes. Thus, in the way in which CORVET would participate in the stabilization of RAB-5 on endosomes, HOPS could stabilize RAB-7.

In addition to this collaboration of CORVET and HOPS with the Rab switch complex SAND-1/CCZ-1, we also observed instances of mislocalization or mistrafficking of endosomes, suggesting involvement of these complexes in cytoskeleton interactions (Figures 2G, 3A, and 5C). CORVET and HOPS subunits interact with microtubules and actin to affect the localization of endosomal compartments in mammals (Poupon et al., 2003; Richardson et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2008). Thus our observation suggests coordination between membrane tethering/fusion, Rab conversion, and transport/localization during endosome maturation.

When we silenced the CORVET-specific SM protein VPS-33.2 in sand-1(ok1963) oocytes, we observed an increase in the size of yolk-positive internal structures. Similarly, in vps-33.2(RNAi) sand-1(ok1963) coelomocytes, BSA-TR accumulated in bigger internal structures than observed under the same conditions in sand-1(ok1963) alone. However, because it is a CORVET-specific SM protein, fusion should be inhibited and only small endocytic structures should be observed in both organs, as for vps-8(RNAi). We propose that in the absence of VPS-33.2, VPS-33.1 could be incorporated into the CORVET complex, promoting fusion with a wrong compartment, or, since it is a noncognate SM protein, fusion may be stalled after fusion pore opening. Similarly, silencing of the HOPS-specific VPS-33.1 might allow VPS-33.2 to fill in as part of the HOPS complex, again compromising fusion specificity. We consider the existence of tethering complexes that would contain the RAB-5-
FIGURE 7: Loss of specificity by concomitant knockdown of vps-33.1 and vps-33.2 leads to suppression of the sand-1 phenotype. (A) YP170-GFP uptake in sand-1(ok1963); vps-33.1+2(RNAi) oocytes (see legend to Figure 1 for detailed description). (B) Yolk granule size is reduced in sand-1(ok1963); vps-33.1+2(RNAi) worms ($p = 7.6 \times 10^{-9}$ compared with sand-1; for detailed description, see Materials and Methods). (C) sand-1(ok1963); vps-33.1+2(RNAi) worms show a partial suppression of sand-1 defects (compared with wild-type and sand-1 shown above). (D) Profile plot across vps-33.1+2(RNAi) guts (see Figure 2G for description and comparison to wild-type and sand-1 worms). (E) RAB-5
TABLE 2: Differences between knockdowns of core subunits and vps-33.1+2.

| Localization of vesicles/granules | sand-1 (Peripheral), enlarged | sand-1 (Peripheral), small | sand-1 (Peripheral), small |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Oocytes                          | Yolk                        | Peripheral, small        | Peripheral, small        |
| Gut                              | RAB-5                       | Apical, small            | Wild type, small         |
| Wild-type                       | hTIR                        | Dispersed                | Organized, (compartment) |
| sand-1                           | hTIR                        | Apical + basal accumulation | Disorganized          |

Localization of vesicles/granules is described, and size is indicated in those cases in which it was measured. The descriptions in parentheses indicate a weak phenotype.

Interacting component of the CORVET and the SM protein of the HOPS or vice versa a rather plausible scenario. In yeast, CORVET and HOPS appear to exist in a dynamic equilibrium in which the Rab-interacting members of the complexes are interchangeable (Peplowska et al., 2007). In the sand-1 mutant, loss of the HOPS-specific SM protein would result in a complex that contains the Rab-7–interacting subunits and the CORVET SM protein. This complex would be nonfunctional, as Rab-7 was not recruited onto late endosomes. As a consequence, VPS-33.2 levels present incorporated in a bona fide CORVET complex may be too low and hence give the same phenotype as knockout of the CORVET subunit VPS-8. This scenario is rather probable, as mutations in yeast VPS33 that reduced the ability of Vps33 to assemble into the HOPS complex also showed mislocalization of vacuolar proteins and displayed vacuolar morphology defects (Lobingier and Merz, 2012).

Of interest, concomitant loss of both SM proteins partially rescued transport down to the lysosome in sand-1(-/-). Thus the requirement for SAND-1 in lyosomal transport was bypassed (Figure 9C). Although we recover in part the endosomal organization, cellular functions are still compromised, and the survival rate of sand-1(ok1963) worms was not improved by silencing both VPS-33 forms.

We propose a model in which the SM proteins VPS-33.1 and VPS-33.2, together with the Rab interactors of the tethering complexes, ensure vectorial transport through the endosomal system. In the absence of both SM proteins, control of SNARE pairing would be reduced and fusion specificity negatively affected. Fusion pore opening depends on Vps33 in contact with cognate target-SNARE (Pieren et al., 2010). In yeast, there is only one Vps33 protein, which might be more promiscuous. In higher eukaryotes, which have two Vps33 isoforms, interaction with the SNAREs might be more promiscuous. In Drosophila, such specificity has been reported for the Vps33 isoforms (Akbar et al., 2009). In support of this notion, the human ARC syndrome is specifically caused by mutations in VPS33B (C. elegans VPS-33.2; Smith et al., 2012). Similarly, mutations in VPS33A (C. elegans VPS-33.1) results in Hermansky–Pudlak syndrome (Guo et al., 2009), in which fusion of multivesicular bodies with lysosomes is impaired. It is possible that CORVET and HOPS complexes under those conditions would lack any SM protein. The yeast HOPS complex lacking Vps33 is inactive and shows a strong vacuole phenotype (type C, which is the complete lack of a vacuole; Raymond et al., 1992). Even a reduction of Vps33 interaction with the complex already causes perturbations in trafficking (Lobingier and Merz, 2012). It is therefore unlikely that HOPS can work without an SM subunit. The most likely candidate to fill the empty SM protein position is the closely related VPS-45 protein. It shares significant sequence homology with both VPS-33.1 and VPS-33.2 and has been implicated in tethering events in the endosomal pathway (VPS-33.1 and VPS-33.2 share 37% similarity, and VPS-45 has 36–37% similarity with the two SM proteins; see also Solinger and Spang, 2013). It is conceivable that VPS-45 could replace the missing VPS-33 protein in the single-knockdown experiments. Of interest, the mutated residues in yeast Vps33, which caused dissociation from the complex (Lobingier and Merz, 2012), are located mostly in highly conserved regions between the VPS-33 and VPS-45 proteins. This might indicate that the interaction surfaces between the SM proteins and the CORVET or HOPS complex are similar enough to accommodate different combinations of tethering and specificity factors.

Mon1/Ccz1 was shown to act as a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) for Ypt7/Rab7 in yeast (Nordmann et al., 2010). Surprisingly, Rab-7 is recruited on membranes in sand-1(ok1963) vps-33.1+2(RNAi), suggesting the presence of another RAB-7 GEF besides SAND-1/CCZ-1 in C. elegans.

Transport through the endosomal system is strongly regulated by the tethering complexes CORVET and HOPS, and our data indicate the presence of more tethering complexes of this type. The reason for this expansion of the complexes might be the increase in complexity from yeast to metazoans. Different types of early and late endosomes have been described in mammalian cells (Grant and Donaldson, 2009; Marks et al., 2013). Employing a Lego-like system makes these tethering complexes uniquely versatile and allows quick adaptation to the amount and type of endocytic flux in the cell.
RNAi by feeding was performed for 3 d starting from L1 larvae and was carried out using sequenced and confirmed clones from the Ahringer library (Kamath et al., 2003).

**GFP tagging of vps-33.1 and vps-33.2**

Promoter, open reading frame (ORF), and terminator sequences of vps-33.1 and vps-33.2 genes were cloned into the pCFJ151 plasmid (Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008). ORF and 5' upstream intergenic regions of vps-33.1 and vps-33.2 were cloned into AvrII-SbfI. GFP was obtained from pJA257 plasmid (from Addgene, Cambridge, MA; Julie Ahringer lab) and cloned into XhoI-BsiWI. The 3' downstream intergenic region with putative terminator was cloned into BsiWI-BshHII. Worm lines were obtained according to MosSCI protocol (Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008). Putative single-copy integrated lines showed no visible GFP signal, probably because of low expression from the vps-33.1 and vps-33.2 promoters. Lines bearing extrachromosomal arrays were analyzed and showed mosaic expression in different cell types, including intestine (Figure 1B), coelomocytes, neurons, muscles, and seam cells.

**Microscopy**

Live worms, immobilized with 20 mM levamisole in M9, were mounted on agarose pads cast on microscopy slides. The worms were imaged with a spinning-disk confocal system Andor Revolution (Andor Technologies, Belfast, Northern Ireland) mounted onto an IX-81 inverted microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) equipped with an iXon EM+ electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera (Andor Technologies). Specimens were imaged using a 63×/1.42 numerical aperture oil objective. Each pixel represents 0.107 μm. Excitation was achieved using solid-state 488- and 560-nm lasers. Exposure time was 100 ms. Each image was a result of four averaged frames for oocytes and 32 averaged frames for intestine and coelomocytes. Images were all processed in the same way for corresponding experiments.

For YP170::GFP quantification, z-stacks were taken with identical settings (laser 488 nm at 10% output, 0.1 s exposure. and four averaged frames/picture). Wild-type and sand-1(ok1963) worms were quantified for each series of experiments to ensure the proper performance of the imaging system, and the results were very reproducible. The curves shown in all figures with oocytes are paired with the corresponding wild-type and sand-1 controls taken in the same series of experiments.

**Granule size measurements**

Oocytes with nicely separated granules were chosen for the analysis in ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Pictures were transformed into binary by using the threshold function. Close of the cells and absence of large basal lysosomes. Knockdown of vps-33.1 causes formation of small vesicles near the gut lumen. Phenotype similar to sand-1(ok1963) is seen in vps-33.2(RNAi) intestine. Double knockdown of vps-33.1+2 allows formation of large late endosomes/lysosomes located on the basal side of the cells (indicated by arrows). (C) Soluble secreted GFP (ssGFP) is degraded in sand-1; vps-33.1+2 double-knockdown coelomocytes. GFP fluorescence was measured in whole worms and coelomocytes 3.5 and 24 h after heat shock (30 min at 33°C). The arrow and dashed line indicate the background fluorescence in the whole worms. Representative coelomocytes are shown at the bottom. After 24 h, ssGFP fluorescence was significantly lower in sand-1; vps-33.1+2 compared with sand-1 alone in the whole worms (p = 0.00018) and coelomocytes (p = 0.00013; N = 10).

**FIGURE 8:** Bypass of sand-1(ok1963) block by knockdown of vps-33.1 and vps-33.2. (A) Localization of BSA-TR 30 min after injection compared with the lysosomal marker LMP-1. Shown are representative coelomocytes for the indicated strains. Colocalization in sand-1 alone and single knockdowns was usually in only one vesicle. The large arrow indicates an enlarged compartment in vps-33.2(RNAi); small arrows point to lysosomes (positive for LMP-1::GFP) containing BSA-TR in the vps-33.1+2(RNAi) coelomocyte. (B) Lysosomal compartments are partially re-formed in sand-1(ok1963) worms with a vps-33.1+2 knockdown. Abnormal lysosomal compartment in sand-1(ok1963) intestine with large vesicle aggregations in the center.

**Microscopy**

Live worms, immobilized with 20 mM levamisole in M9, were mounted on agarose pads cast on microscopy slides. The worms were imaged with a spinning-disk confocal system Andor Revolution (Andor Technologies, Belfast, Northern Ireland) mounted onto an IX-81 inverted microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) equipped with an iXon EM+ electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera (Andor Technologies). Specimens were imaged using a 63×/1.42 numerical aperture oil objective. Each pixel represents 0.107 μm. Excitation was achieved using solid-state 488- and 560-nm lasers. Exposure time was 100 ms. Each image was a result of four averaged frames for oocytes and 32 averaged frames for intestine and coelomocytes. Images were all processed in the same way for corresponding experiments.

For YP170::GFP quantification, z-stacks were taken with identical settings (laser 488 nm at 10% output, 0.1 s exposure. and four averaged frames/picture). Wild-type and sand-1(ok1963) worms were quantified for each series of experiments to ensure the proper performance of the imaging system, and the results were very reproducible. The curves shown in all figures with oocytes are paired with the corresponding wild-type and sand-1 controls taken in the same series of experiments.

**Granule size measurements**

Oocytes with nicely separated granules were chosen for the analysis in ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Pictures were transformed into binary by using the threshold function. Close of the cells and absence of large basal lysosomes. Knockdown of vps-33.1 causes formation of small vesicles near the gut lumen. Phenotype similar to sand-1(ok1963) is seen in vps-33.2(RNAi) intestine. Double knockdown of vps-33.1+2 allows formation of large late endosomes/lysosomes located on the basal side of the cells (indicated by arrows). (C) Soluble secreted GFP (ssGFP) is degraded in sand-1; vps-33.1+2 double-knockdown coelomocytes. GFP fluorescence was measured in whole worms and coelomocytes 3.5 and 24 h after heat shock (30 min at 33°C). The arrow and dashed line indicate the background fluorescence in the whole worms. Representative coelomocytes are shown at the bottom. After 24 h, ssGFP fluorescence was significantly lower in sand-1; vps-33.1+2 compared with sand-1 alone in the whole worms (p = 0.00018) and coelomocytes (p = 0.00013; N = 10).
and watershed functions were applied to ensure that all granules were separated (this also eliminates single-pixel noise). Granule sizes were measured in a region of interest containing cell 1 using analyze particle (circularity settings, 0.0–0.8). Outlines were checked to ensure that only proper vesicles were measured. Wild-type cells contained ∼80–100 vesicles/cell and sand-1 cells ∼30–50 vesicles. Vesicle sizes in the gut were measured in the same way.

**Pulse chase**

The traffic of BSA-TR in coelomocytes was monitored as described previously (Poteryaev et al., 2007). Bypass of the sand-1 block was monitored at 20°C. For each condition, ≥20 different worms were analyzed. Traffic of BSA-TR in wild-type worms was as described (Poteryaev et al., 2007).
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