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ABSTRACT

This paper is the second part of free vibration and stability analysis of thick isotropic and orthotropic plates. The support condition CCCC and CCFC support was considered by applying the alternative II theory based on polynomial shape function. The work was based on the application of the formulation earlier derived using Ritz method. The frequency values of the first mode and critical loads obtained were compared with those obtained using first order shear deformation theory. For span to depth ratio of 10, the fundamental linear frequency for orthotropic CCFC plate corresponding to modulus of elasticity ratios (E₁/E₂) of 10, 25 and 40 were 0.00312Hz, 0.00373 Hz and 0.00398Hz.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rectangular thin or thick plates are the most important structural component in this present age, due to the advancement in technology which provides easy installation. The thin plate theory also known as classical plate theory (CPT) was well explained [1]. Additionally, the evolution of theories such as first shear deformation (FSDT) and higher order shear deformation used in the analysis of plate can be seen in [2] and [4], where three-dimensional elasticity theory (TDET) was mentioned [3]. Applied the double finite integral transform method to the buckling problems of rectangular Mindlin plates, with focus on typical non-Lévy-type fully clamped plates. Via the integral transform, the PDEs governing the bucking of a thick plate was reduced to a system of linear algebraic equations. The analytic buckling load solutions were then readily determined by the existence of nonzero solutions of the system of equations.

A limited body of literature exists for the free vibration of such thick plates, but most of it is based on theory of thin plate. Such literature may be found in Liew et al. [l6] excellent reviews. This extensive study of rectangular thick CCCC and CCFC plates was encouraged by the non-availability of design data. It is obviously a very complex job to include all research findings in a single paper. Hence, the Need to separate the findings into a three papers. The first part dealt with the comprehensive formulation for free vibration and stability of rectangular thin, thick, isotropic or orthotropic plates. The formulation was validated when applied to CCCC and CCFC plates [1]. The second part (present study) shows the application of the derived formula to CCCC and CCFC plates.

1.1 Application of fundamental natural frequency and stability formula to CCCC and CCFC plates

The formulation of fundamental natural frequency and stability of plate, either thin or thick, isotropic or orthotropic has been derived [1] as:

\[ \omega = \frac{1}{a \beta} \sqrt{\frac{E_0 \cdot (r_{11} + r_{22} T_2 + r_{13} T_3)}{12(1-\mu_{12}\mu_{21}) \rho \cdot k_h}} \]  

And for stability

\[ \frac{N_k a^2}{E_{at} k^3} = \frac{1}{12(1-\mu_{12}\mu_{21})} \left( \frac{r_{11} + r_{22} T_2 + r_{13} T_3}{k_{NR}} \right) \]  

Where:
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\( \beta = \frac{a}{t}; \mu_{12}\mu_{21} = \text{poisson ratio}; \rho = \text{density of plate material} \)

\[
k_3 = \int_{00}^{11} h^2dRdQ
\]

\[
T_2 = \frac{(r_{23}r_{31} - r_{21}r_{33})}{(r_{22}r_{33} - r_{23}r_{23})}
\]

\[
T_3 = \frac{(r_{23}r_{31} - r_{21}r_{33})}{(r_{22}r_{33} - r_{23}r_{23})}
\]

Equation (1) is for natural frequency while Equation (2) is for stability analyses of isotropic and orthotropic rectangular thin and thick plate.

2. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

It is desired to determine the critical buckling loads, fundamental natural and linear frequencies for CCCC and CCFC rectangular thick plates. The values of parameters used are given by Ghugal and Pawar [4]. For stability of orthotropic plate \( E_1/E_2 = 10.25 \text{ and } 40, \ G_{12}/E_2 = 0.5, \ G_{13}/E_2 = 0.5, \ G_{23}/E_2 = 0.2, \ v_{12} = 0.25, \rho = 7800 kg/m^3 \). The diagrams of CCCC and CCFC rectangular plates loaded with in-plane load along x direction are shown on Figures 1 and 2.

2.1 Solution to the example

The particular deflection function that satisfies the boundary conditions for CCCC plate[5] is:

\[
w = A(S_2R^2 + T_1R^2 + R^4)(S_1Q^2 + T_1Q^2 + Q^4); \ \text{Where} S_2 = 1 \text{ and } T_1 = -2
\]

The particular deflection function that satisfies the boundary conditions for CCFC plate [5] is:

\[
w = A(R^2 - 2R^2 + R^4)(S_1Q^2 + T_1Q^2 + Q^4)
\]

Where:

\[
S_2 = \frac{(n_{13}n_{22} - n_{23}n_{12})}{(n_{11}n_{22} - n_{21}n_{12})} \text{ and } T_2 = \frac{(n_{13}n_{21} - n_{23}n_{11})}{(n_{21}n_{12} - n_{11}n_{22})}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
n_{11} & = 0.125P^2 - 3\mu_{21}; & n_{12} & = 0.375P^2 - 3\mu_{21}; & n_{13} & = 3\mu_{21} - 0.75P^2 \\
n_{21} & = 4 - 2\mu_{21}; & n_{22} & = 3\mu_{21} - 6 + 0.375P^2; & n_{23} & = 8 - 1.5P^2 - 4\mu
\end{align*}
\]

The stiffness coefficients for CCCC and CCFC plates [5] are presented in Table 1.

| Parameters | CCCC | CCFC |
|------------|------|------|
| \( K_R \)  | 0.0012698 | \[0.25^2 + 0.33333T + 0.2857S + 0.14297T^2 + 0.25T + 0.11\]0.8 |
| \( K_RQ \) | 0.0003628 | \[1.3333S^2 + 3ST + 3.25 + 1.8T^2 + 4T + 2.288]0.01905 |
| \( K_Q \)  | 0.0012698 | \[4S^2 + 12ST + 16S + 9T^2 + 36T + 28.80]0.001587 |
| \( K_NR \) | 0.0000302 | \[0.25^2 + 0.33333ST + 0.2857S + 0.14297T^2 + 0.25T + 0.11\]0.001587 |
| \( K_NQ \) | 0.0000302 | \[1.3333S^2 + 3ST + 3.25 + 1.8T^2 + 4T + 2.288]0.001587 |
| \( K_\lambda \) | 0.0000025 | \[0.25^2 + 0.33333ST + 0.2857S + 0.14297T^2 + 0.25T + 0.11\]0.03333 |
Note that, \( E_0 = E_2 = 1 = e_{22} \). Thus, 
\[
e_{11} = E_1; \quad e_{12} = \mu_{21} E_1; \quad e_{33} = (1 - \mu_{12}\mu_{21}) G_{12}
\]
\[
e_{44} = (1 - \mu_{12}\mu_{21}) G_{13}; \quad e_{55} = (1 - \mu_{12}\mu_{21}) G_{23}; \quad D_0 = \frac{E_0 t^3}{12(1 - \mu_{12}\mu_{21})}; \quad D_0 = \frac{E_2}{12(1 - \mu_{12}\mu_{21})}
\]

The example problem was also solved using HPSDT, HSDT, TSDT, FSDT and CPT.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of the various analysis using the various plate theories are presented in Table 2 to Table 5. Table 2 shows the non-dimensional natural frequency for CCCC plate obtained from the present study (using Equation (1)) and value obtained using three-dimensional elasticity theory. Table 3 shows the non-dimensional buckling load factors for CCCC isotropic plates under uniaxial compression. The non-dimensional buckling load factors for simply supported orthotropic plates under uniaxial compression for \( v = 0.25 \) are shown in Table 4 while Table 5 shows the fundamental (linear) frequencies of vibration for CCCC and CCFC orthotropic plates.

| Theory          | 0.05 | 0.1  | 0.15 | 0.2  |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
| TDET [3]        | 3.3215 | 3.4335 | 3.26 |
| Present study (Alternative II) | 3.4335 | 3.26 | 3.26 |

Table 3: Non-dimensional buckling load factors for CCCC plates under uniaxial compression, \( a/b = 1 \)

| \( t/a \) | FEA [3] | Present study (Alternative II) |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|
| 0.05     | 9.5595  | 10.6019                       |
| 0.1      | 8.2921  | 9.69605                       |
| 0.15     | 6.7597  | 8.48740                       |
| 0.2      | 5.3157  | 7.22630                       |

Table 4: Non-dimensional buckling load factors for CCCC orthotropic plates under uniaxial compression \( v = 0.25 \)

| \( a/t \) | Theory | \( \bar{N}_R \) | \( \bar{N}_R \) | \( \bar{N}_R \) |
|----------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|          |        | 10             | 25             | 40             |
| 10       | Alternative II | 24.9015 | 35.6988 | 40.5530 |
| 20       | Alternative II | 35.3441 | 66.0213 | 87.2509 |
| 100      | Alternative II | 40.9698 | 92.1624 | 142.3210 |
### Table 5: Comparison of fundamental (linear) frequencies of vibration for CCCC and CCFC orthotropic plates

| a/t  | Source   | CCCC |                       |                       |                       | CCFC |                       |                       |                       |
|------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|      |          | E₁/E₂ = 10 | E₁/E₂ = 25 | E₁/E₂ = 40 |                       | E₁/E₂ = 10 | E₁/E₂ = 25 | E₁/E₂ = 40 |                       |
| 10   | Present (ω) | 0.0196       | 0.0234       | 0.0250       | 0.0183          | 0.0224       | 0.0241       |                       |                       |
|      | [6] (λ)       | 0.00312       | 0.00373       | 0.00398       | 0.00292       | 0.00357       | 0.00383       |                       |                       |
|      | Present (ω) | 0.00286       | 0.00330       | 0.00346       | 0.00264       | 0.00312       | 0.00330       |                       |                       |
| 20   | Present (ω) | 0.0117       | 0.0159       | 0.0183       | 0.0110          | 0.0155       | 0.0179       |                       |                       |
|      | [6] (λ)       | 0.00186       | 0.00254       | 0.00292       | 0.00175       | 0.00246       | 0.00285       |                       |                       |
|      | Present (ω) | 0.0025       | 0.0038       | 0.0047       | 0.0024         | 0.0037       | 0.0046         |                       |                       |
| 100  | Present (ω) | 0.00040       | 0.00060       | 0.00074       | 0.00038       | 0.00059       | 0.00073       |                       |                       |
|      | [6] (λ)       | 0.00040       | 0.00060       | 0.00074       | 0.00037       | 0.00058       | 0.00073       |                       |                       |

#### 4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Table 2 shows the comparison of non-dimensional fundamental frequencies of vibration for simply supported isotropic plate with aspect ratio a/b =1 and t/a = 0.1. The percentage difference with [2] value is 3.26%. The difference in the results can be attributed to the non-inclusion of shear deformation parameters and following CPT method by previous researchers. Table 3 shows the comparison between the present study and FEA [3] for non-dimensional buckling load factors for CCCC isotropic plates under uniaxial compression. The value of non-dimensional buckling load factors for CCCC orthotropic plates under uniaxial compression is shown on Table 4. The result, which is obtained using, \( \bar{N}_R = \frac{N_{cr}}{E_2 t^2} \) shows values for various span/thickness (a/t) ratio and E₁/E₂. As at the time of this study, there is no literature to compare the results. Finally, Table 5 shows the results of fundamental linear frequencies of vibration of orthotropic plates (CCCC and CCFC). The validity of numeric values from Abaqus[6] which is based on Mindlin – Reissner theory with approximate global size of 0.05. At span to depth ratio of 100 (thin plate), both CCCC and CCFC plates have the same result for [6] and present study. However, the results differ for span to depth ratio of 10 and 20 due to non-inclusion of shear deformation parameters as explained earlier.

In conclusion, the study has shown significant difference in percentage with respect to thick rectangular plates. This might lead to instability or noticeable vibration of structure, for example early cracks, settlement and buckling of structural elements. This method is simply, non-rigorous and straight forward when compared with the methods used in previous researches.
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