Wolbachia strain wPip yields a pattern of cytoplasmic incompatibility enhancing a Wolbachia-based suppression strategy against the disease vector Aedes albopictus
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Abstract

Background: Cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) is induced in nature by Wolbachia bacteria, resulting in conditional male sterility. Previous research demonstrated that the two Wolbachia strains (wAlbA and wAlbB) that naturally co-infect the disease vector mosquito Aedes albopictus (Asian tiger mosquito) can be replaced with the wPip Wolbachia strain from Culex pipiens. Since Wolbachia-based vector control strategies depend upon the strength and consistency of CI, a greater understanding is needed on the CI relationships between wPip, wAlbA and wAlbB Wolbachia strains in Aedes albopictus.

Methods: This work consisted of a collaborative series of crosses carried out in Italy and in US to study the CI relationships between the “wPip” infected Aedes albopictus strain (ARwP) and the superinfected SR strain. The A. albopictus strains used in Italian tests are the wPip infected ARwP strain (ARwP IT), the superinfected SR strain and the aposymbiotic AR strain. To understand the observed pattern of CI, crossing experiments carried out in USA focused on the study of the CI relationships between ARwP (ARwP US) and artificially-generated single infected lines, in specific HTA and HTB, harbouring only wAlbA and wAlbB Wolbachia in A. albopictus respectively.

Results: The paper reports an unusual pattern of CI observed in crossing experiments between ARwP and SR lines. Specifically, ARwP males are able to induce full sterility in wild type females throughout most of their lifetime, while crosses between SR males and ARwP females become partially fertile with male aging. We demonstrated that the observed decrease in CI penetrance with SR male age, is related to the previously described decrease in Wolbachia density, in particular of the wAlbA strain, occurring in aged superinfected males.

Conclusions: The results here reported support the use of the ARwP A. albopictus line as source of “ready-made sterile males”, as an alternative to gamma radiation sterilized males, for autodial suppression strategies against the Asian tiger mosquito. In addition, the age dependent CI weakening observed in the crosses between SR males and ARwP females simplifies the downstream efforts to preserve the genetic variability within the laboratory ARwP colonies, to date based on the antibiotic treatment of wild captured superinfected mosquitoes, also reducing the costs.
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Background

_Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus_ (Diptera: Culicidae) (Asian tiger mosquito) is known as a mosquito species with an invasive behavior and a competent vector of various dangerous viruses [1-3]. In a few years since its arrival in Italy, _Ae. albopictus_ has gained the position of the most important public health vector species and is at the top of the noxious species list [4,5]. The recent occurrence of autochthonous epidemics of Chikungunya and Dengue viruses in southern Europe [6,7] transmitted by _Ae. albopictus_ has afforded by _Wolbachia_ strain is not present in the egg to “rescue” the modification [21].

These attributes are now being studied by many research groups with the aim of developing new technologies and strategies to achieve significant improvements in pest and vector control. _Wolbachia_-mediated CI has been proposed as a strategy for insect control via two approaches: (1) using CI to cause sterility for a mass male release strategy analogous to sterile insect technique and consequently named “Incompatible Insect Technique” (IIT) [14,22-25], or (2) using the reproductive advantage afforded by _Wolbachia_-induced CI as a tool for a population replacement strategy, driving desired phenotypes (e.g., lower affinity for pathogens) into medically important mosquito populations [26-29]. Both of these approaches require a method to artificially transfer _Wolbachia_, generating new patterns of CI [30]. In 2010, a transinfected line (ARwP) of _Ae. albopictus_ was generated by removing the naturally occurring co-infection of _wAlbA_ plus _wAlbB_ and microinjecting in the aposymbiotic eggs the wPip _Wolbachia_ strain from _Culex pipiens molestus_ (Diptera: Culicidae) [31]. The new symbiosis was shown to be stable and efficiently transmitted from females to their offspring. Since _Wolbachia_-based vector control strategies rely on the strength and consistency of CI, a greater understanding is needed of the CI pattern resulting between the ARwP line and the naturally occurring infection types in _Ae. albopictus_. The main goal of this work was to characterize and explain the pattern of CI displayed in crosses between the ARwP and SR mosquito lines and evaluate its implications in the development of _Wolbachia_-based strategy against the Asian tiger mosquito.

This was done by analyzing the egg hatching in crossing experiments involving five laboratory mosquito lines: i) the transinfected ARwP (harbouring wPip); ii) the naturally superinfected SR strain (harbouring both the _wAlbA_ and _wAlbB_ infection types); iii) the artificially single-infected HTA strain (infected with _wAlbA_ only); iv) the artificially single-infected HTB strain (infected with _wAlbB_ only); and v) the AR strain that has had its _Wolbachia_ infection removed (i.e. aposymbiotic). In addition to examining young males, for some strains we evaluated also the effects of male aging on CI, through crosses involving old males.

Methods

Mosquito strains and rearing conditions

This work consisted of a collaborative series of crosses: one series (Series I) conducted at the Laboratory of Sustainable Management of the Agro-ecosystems of ENEA
(Rome, Italy); the other series (Series II) at the Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky (Lexington, Kentucky, USA). The *Ae. albopictus* strains used in Italian tests are the wPip infected ARwP strain (ARwPIT), the superinfected SR strain and the aposymbiotic AR strain, as defined in a previous work [31]. In order to avoid genetic depression, the three strains have been periodically outcrossed with wild superinfected males, following antibiotic treatment for Wolbachia removal [32,33]. The crossing experiments carried out in USA focused on the study of the CI relationships between ARwP (ARwPUS) and artificially-generated single infected lines, in specific HTA and HTB, harbouring only wAlbA and wAlbB Wolbachia respectively. ARwPUS colony originated from a stock of about 5,000 eggs produced by the ARwPIT strain and shipped to the University of Kentucky in 2010. All colonies, both in Italy and USA, were maintained as previously described [32]. Since temperature of water used for larval rearing may influence Wolbachia density and CI penetrance [34], care was used to keep the water temperature between 25 and 27°C.

When testing for CI, potential confounding effects influencing fertility of the crossing experiments, such as the nuclear background of the host, have to be limited by the experimental design [35]. Since ARwPUS and HTA-HTB had been generated from wild type mosquito strains having a different geographic origin, HTA and HTB lines (originated from US "Hou" strain) and ARwPUS were outcrossed for 5 consecutive generations with aposymbiotic AR males obtained from a stock of eggs also shipped to US from Italy.

The HTA line was generated using a previously described microinjection procedure [36]. In brief, aposymbiotic embryos (HT1 strain) [32] were microinjected with cytoplasm containing Wolbachia from wild type *Ae. albopictus* embryos (Hou strain, Texas 1986). Adult females developing from microinjected eggs were mated with aposymbiotic males (HT1 strain), blood fed, isolated and allowed to oviposit individually. Iso-female lines were generated from hatching egg broods that originated from females in which Wolbachia was detected. This procedure was repeated until maternal transmission rates of Wolbachia reached 100% for more than three generations. For the HTA strain, selection was repeated for eight generations. After the second generation, all iso-females tested were PCR positive for Wolbachia using the Wolbachia molecular diagnosis protocol described below. Additional tests with clade-specific primers demonstrated that infected females were positive for A-clade specific Wolbachia (328 F, 691R primer set). All tests using B-clade specific primers (183 F, 691R primer set) were negative, indicating the loss of one of the *Wolbachia* types. Following the eighth generation, iso-female selection was stopped and the line was maintained using a generation specific (non-overlapping) rearing scheme in which no selection was used. Periodic (every three generations) A-clade specific Wolbachia primers checks were performed to ensure the stability of Wolbachia infection levels. HTB line was obtained following a similar procedure, as described in a previous work [37].

**Wolbachia** molecular diagnosis

PCR assays were performed to check that all males and females mosquitoes used in the experiments had the expected infection type. Molecular discrimination of uninfected from infected males was performed by the diagnostic wsp primers (81 F-691R) that amplify a region of the gene encoding the Wolbachia outer surface protein (wsp) and allow for a broad identification of Wolbachia strains [38]. Wolbachia strains wPip and wAlbB can be easily identified by the same specific set of primers (183 F, 691R) [39] when they live separated in their natural hosts (Cx. pipiens and *Ae. albopictus* respectively). Here we were faced with the need to discriminate wPip from wAlbB infected individuals to ascertain the absence of contaminations. For this purpose we designed the following specific set of primers: wPF (5′- CGACGTTAGTGGTGCAA CATT TA-3′) and wPR (5′- AATAACGAGCACCA GCAA AGA GT-3′) by which we were able to specifically amplify the wsp region of the wPip Wolbachia strain.

DNA was extracted from individual mosquitoes by dissecting and homogenizing ovaries or testis of adults in 100 μl STE with 0.4 mg/ml proteinase K [4]. The PCR cycling procedure used was: 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C (54°C for the wsp primers) for 30 s, 72°C for 40 s and a single final step at 72°C for 10 min. Amplified fragments were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide (1 μg/ml) and visualized under ultraviolet light. DNA template quality was assessed by amplifying a fragment of the insect mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) DNA, using the primers CI-J-1751 and CI-N-2191 [40].

**Crossing experiments**

The following series of 7 crosses (female x male) were set up in Italy: 1) SR x SR, 2) ARwPIT x ARwPIT, 3) AR x AR, 4) AR x SR, 5) ARwPIT x SR, 6) AR x ARwPIT, 7) SR x ARwPIT.

CI relationships between ARwP, SR and AR mosquito strains had been previously observed and shown to result in a pattern of bidirectional incompatibility for crosses between ARwP and SR mosquito lines and unidirectional CI by crossing ARwP with AR and SR with AR [31-33]. In this work, we examined the variable “male age” for crosses of SR and ARwP males with virgin 2–4 d old SR, ARwP and AR females. For the objectives of this work, female age was kept constant in all crosses (2–4 d).
For Series I experiments male age was assessed from the emergence (= Day 0). Groups of males were aged 3, 11, 19 and 27 days (± 1). For each cross type, 20 females and 20 males were kept together in mating cages (40 × 40 × 40 cm) over a 24 h period. Subsequently, female groups were allowed to feed on anesthetized mice, in accordance with the Bioethics Committee for Animal Experimentation in Biomedical Research and following procedures approved by the ENEA Bioethical Committee. Gravid females were then removed from the mating cages and transferred to a new cage (oviposition cage) and provided with oviposition devices as previously described [31]. Eggs were counted and stored for 5 d before allowing them to hatch, by the immersion in a nutrient broth stimulating hatching [9]. In crosses with no egg hatch, females were dissected to check for the presence of spermatoozoa. Males were kept in the original cages. After removing the first groups of females, a new cohort of virgin females was added to the cages containing males at 1:1 female: male ratio. Following this procedure, the CI relationship between the different Wolbachia infections was investigated under conditions similar to those common to a release of young incompatible males, getting older, more experienced and consuming their sperms in the field. This cycle was repeated for the four male age-classes.

The general protocol described above was used also for Series II experiments set up to study the CI relationships between the \textit{AR}wP line and the two single-infected strains HTA and HTB. The following 7 crosses (female x male) were set up in US: 1) \textit{AR}wP\textit{US} x HTA, 2) HTA x \textit{AR}wP\textit{US}, 3) \textit{AR}wP\textit{US} x HTB, 4) HTB x \textit{AR}wP\textit{US}, 5) \textit{AR}wP\textit{US} x \textit{AR}wP\textit{US}, 6) HTA x HTA, 7) HTB x HTB. The design of the latter crosses did not include male age and all crosses consisted of young (2–4 d old) males. Four cage replications were set up for each crossing type.

At the end of the crossing experiments, the infection status of the males was checked (by PCR assays) to verify that all had the expected infection type (to avoid an incorrect interpretation of the results possibly due to the rare presence of aposymbiotic individuals among males of an infected line). Molecular analysis was also performed on any males found dead in the cages to determine their symbiotic status.

**CI computation and statistics**

Calculation of CI expression was based upon the mean egg hatch rate found in incompatible crosses in comparison with the results from the compatible crosses (e.g. \textit{AR}wP x \textit{AR}wP, SR x SR, HTB x HTB, HTA x HTA), using the \textit{Cl}_{corr} index [41]. This index allows the exclusion of embryonic mortality observed in compatible crosses and male age effects that are not due to CI expression.

Within the different crossing types egg-hatching data and CI values were compared in relation to male ages. Normality of egg-hatching data was examined by D’Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test using Prism 5 (Graphpad software). Significant differences among mean egg hatch rates were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) on arcsin sqrt transformed data. A statistical comparison was then performed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison Test (\(\alpha = 0.05\)). Paired \(t\) test was also used to analyze differences in mean egg hatching rates between two different groups.

**Results**

**Male age effects on hatch rate and CI expression**

In all crosses between males and females harbouring the same \textit{Wolbachia} infection type, the mean hatch rate was initially high (80.0 ± 6.0% to 86.2 ± 4.7% hatch) (Table 1). However, starting from the 3rd male age class, we observed a significant decline in egg hatch within each of the compatible crosses. In the 19 ± 1 d male age class, egg hatch had significantly fallen to 46.4 ± 10.3% in the \textit{AR}wP\textit{IT} (ANOVA: \(F = 16.65, \text{d.f.} = 12, P < 0.001\)), to 47.7 ± 7.5% in \textit{AR} (ANOVA: \(F = 10.69, \text{d.f.} = 12, P = 0.001\)) and to 63.2 ± 10.2% in \textit{SR} mosquito line (ANOVA: \(F = 23.01, \text{d.f.} = 12, P < 0.001\)). A further decrease was observed with the males of the fourth age class. This general trend agrees with a gradual decrease of the insemination capacity as males get older already reported in previous works [33-42].

As shown in Table 1, all the crosses involving males of the first age class and females with a different infection status were characterized by a complete egg hatch failure. This result did not significantly change with male aging in three out of four incompatible crosses. Only in the cross between \textit{AR}wP\textit{IT} females and \textit{SR} males the percentage of eggs hatching increased significantly starting from the second age class (ANOVA: \(F = 150.00, \text{d.f.} = 12, P < 0.001\)) and reaching values close to 20% with the oldest males. Consequently in this cross type \textit{Cl}_{corr} decreased to about 50% of that observed with 3 days old males (100%) (Figure 1).

**CI in crosses between single-infected lines**

The mean egg hatch values observed in the \textit{AR}wP\textit{US} compatible crosses of Series II were lower than that observed in the Series I crosses (45.0 ± 22.2 and 84.3 ± 7.9% respectively at the first age class) (Table 2). A low hatch rate (44.7 ± 13.7%) was also observed in compatible crosses of the HTA line while HTB was the single infected line showing the highest egg fertility (66.0 ± 12.4%).

As shown in Table 2, crosses between the \textit{AR}wP\textit{US} strain and the single infected lines showed low egg hatch levels and high \textit{Cl}_{corr} values (close to 100%) with one exception, in the cross between \textit{AR}wP\textit{US} Females and males with the \(w\)AlB infection only (i.e. HTB line) \textit{Cl}_{corr} weakened to 55.8% (Student’s \(t\) test, \(a = 0.05; t = 2.03\)), corresponding to a 19.9 ± 5.5 percentage of eggs hatching. Reciprocal crosses
between individuals with the wPip (ARwPIT) and wAlbA (HTA) infections were bidirectionally incompatible. In contrast, reciprocal crosses between individuals with the wPip (ARwPIT) and wAlbB (HTB) infections showed full incompatibility in one direction only.

**Discussion**

Data obtained by studying the egg hatch rate and thus computing the level of CI in crosses between ARwPIT and SR lines have provided interesting insights that suggest an unusual pattern of bidirectional CI, changing partially to unidirectional as superinfected SR males get older. We observed that males harbouring the wPip *Wolbachia* strain remain strong CI inducers, despite their age and regardless of whether they mate with naturally superinfected or uninfected females. In contrast, in crosses of naturally superinfected males (SR line) with ARwPIT females, CI drops so that egg hatching increases to approximately 20% as males reach the fourth age class (Table 1).

A number of studies have documented that the strength of *Wolbachia*-mediated CI can decrease as males get older. For example, in *Drosophila melanogaster* Meigen (Diptera: Drosophilidae) this occurs with values ranging from 70-100% of CI expression, when the males are very young (1–2 days), to extremely low levels (4-5% of CI) after males age 15 days [43]. The underlying mechanistic hypothesis is that *Wolbachia* density decreases with male aging [44,45]. In *Cx. pipiens*, CI strength was not found to decrease with male age [46], while an increasing bacterial density was observed in the testes of older males [47], in disagreement with the model according to which the CI penetrance tends to decrease in old males directly proportional to the density of *Wolbachia* in the testes or sperm cysts in general [48].

![Figure 1](http://www.parasitesandvectors.com/content/5/1/254)

**Table 1** Percent egg hatch from crosses between *Ae. albopictus* lines, in dependence of male aging

| Cross type (♀ X ♂) | Percent egg hatch (mean ± SD) at different male ages (days ± 1) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                   | 3 d               | 11 d             | 19 d             | 27 d             |
| SR x SR           | 86.2 ± 4.7 (2758) | 79.1 ± 7.9 (3001) | 63.2 ± 10.0° (2476) | 45.8 ± 9.0° (2238) |
| ARwPIT x ARwPIT   | 84.3 ± 7.9 (2646) | 69.9 ± 8.0 (2960) | 46.4 ± 10.0° (2253) | 38.0 ± 15.8° (1944) |
| AR x AR           | 80.0 ± 6.0 (2344) | 70.0 ± 12.3 (1999) | 47.7 ± 7.5° (2843) | 43.0 ± 15.4° (1822) |
| AR x SR           | 0.0 ± 0.0 (2730) | 0.0 ± 0.0 (2730) | 0.0 ± 0.0 (2730) | 0.0 ± 0.0 (2730) |
| ARwPIT x SR       | 0.0 (3142) | 4.0 ± 0.8 (2754) | 18.0 ± 2.4° (2456) | 19.2 ± 8.1° (2678) |
| AR x ARwPIT       | 0.0 (2669) | 0.3 ± 0.5 (3453) | 0.2 ± 0.2 (2113) | 0.0 (214) |
| SR x ARwPIT       | 0.0 (3681) | 0.0 (2905) | 1.3 ± 1.9 (2882) | 0.0 (225) |

Presented data show the results of the Series I experiments, carried out in Italy. SR= wAlbA + wAlbB superinfected; ARwPIT =wPip infected; AR = aposymbiotic. Number of total scored eggs are in parenthesis. Within a row, letters following the data indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) (Anova-Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison Test).
Table 2 Percent egg hatch and CIcorr level from crosses between *Ae. albopictus* lines different by their *Wolbachia* infection status

| Cross type (♀ X ♂) | Percent egg hatch (mean ± SD) | CIcorr level (mean ± SDCP) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ARwPUS x ARwPUS    | 45.0 ± 2.22 (3210)            | 0                        |
| HTA x HTA           | 44.7 ± 13.7 (2976)            | 0                        |
| HTB x HTB           | 66.0 ± 12.4 (2165)            | 0                        |
| ARwPUS x HTA       | 0.9 ± 0.6 (3266)              | 98.0 ± 1.5               |
| HTA x ARwPUS       | 0.2 ± 1315                   | 100                      |
| ARwPUS x HTB       | 19.9 ± 5.5 (2076)             | 55.8 ± 8.4               |
| HTB x ARwPUS       | 0.0 ± 3241                   | 100                      |

Presented data show the results of the Series II experiments, carried out in USA, using 3 ± 1 days old males. ARwPUS = wPip infected; HTA = wAlbA single infected, HTB = wAlbB single infected. Number of total scored eggs are in parenthesis. Within a column, letters following the data indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) (ANOVA-Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison Test).

With regard to *Ae. albopictus*, the data obtained in the present work confirm that the naturally superinfected males express a strong level of unidirectional CI towards the aposymbiotic AR line which does not undergo decreases with male aging, at least up to 26–28 days. In contrast, the same males show age dependent weakening of induced CI when crossed with ARwPIT females (Figure 1). Data on CI relationships between SR and AR lines are consistent with previous reports [49] in which a strong CI expression was observed in all crosses between wild superinfected males and laboratory-reared uninfected or wAlbA (KOH line) infected young females. In addition, the same authors also reported a pronounced weakening of CI in old KOH males mated with aposymbiotic females. The KOH line is a natural single-infected line harbouring the wAlbA Wolbachia strain, similar to the artificial HTA described here. While the wAlbB density in *Ae. albopictus* remains constant over the mosquito life-time, the density of the wAlbA infection decreases gradually as the males get older [50,51].

In this work, we associated the decrease of CI observed in crosses between ARwPIT females and SR aged males to the above described wAlbA strain-dependent changes in *Wolbachia* density. However, to support this hypothesis, we needed to ascertain that males single-infected by the wAlbB *Wolbachia* were only partially incompatible when crossed with wPip infected females. The change in *Wolbachia* density may explain the observed CI pattern if (A)B ≈ B and if the wAlbB infection alone causes partial incompatibility.

As an initial test to validate this model, we conducted crosses of single infected *Ae. albopictus*, artificially generated through microinjection, such that individuals carried either the wAlbA or wAlbB infection, with the ARwPIT line (Series II crosses). Consistently with model prediction, the wAlbA infected males were observed to cause strong CI in crosses with wPip infected females, while the wAlbB infection caused partial CI in crosses with wPip infected females. In the reciprocal crosses, males infected with wPip induced strong incompatibility when mated with females that were single infected with either the wAlbA or wAlbB *Wolbachia* strains. According to the hypothesized model, mean CIcorr values found when crossing ARwPIT females x old SR males (Figure 1) and ARwPUS females x young HTB males (Table 2) were quite similar (49.5 ± 14.2% vs 55.8 ± 12.8%).

The differences between Series I and II in egg hatch of the ARwP line compatible crosses may be explained by a bottleneck resulting from the shipment between laboratories, by the different size of the colonies and by small variations in environmental factors, application of the rearing procedures and handlers. This discrepancy may also reflect an improvement in the ENEA strain quality (mainly occurred in the last two years) resulting from selection, outcrossing practices and enhancement of the rearing methods that will be the topic of a further article. In fact, egg hatching rates of ARwPUS compatible crosses resemble that reported in a previous work [31] for the ARwPIT strain. However, these differences, even if significant, can not invalidate the scientific findings of the whole experimental plan, since the measurement of the CIcorr allowed us to take into account the misleading effects of the background mortality while interpreting the results coming from the two Series of experiments. Thus, we thought it was not necessary to wait for the establishment in the USA of ARwP colonies showing levels of fertility similar to the Italian colony, since this process could take more than 1 year. Based on our preliminary results, the findings of this work will allow us to set up new high fitness ARwP colonies, within 2–3 generations of establishment (Moretti and Calvitti, unpublished data). A lower hatch rate was observed in compatible crosses of the HTA line if compared to egg hatching data reported for other single-infected (wAlbA) strains established in other laboratories (i.e. KOH in the Islands of Koh Samui and Mauritius) [32-50]. Since HTA has been recently established in the laboratory, hypotheses to explain this observation include inbreeding effects associated with the establishment of isofemale lines (i.e. increased homozygosis of deleterious loci) and high mortality associated with the artificially generated single wAlbB infection type. Introduction with males of uninfected mosquito lines could attenuate potential inbreeding effects as successfully demonstrated with HTB [34].

According to the mod-resc model [20], wPip is able to partially rescue the wAlbB mod function, while it is not able to rescue the wAlbA mod. Differently, wAlbB is a weak CI inducer towards wPip and cannot rescue the wPip mod function (asymmetrical CI). Such asymmetrical CI relationships had been previously reported in the *C. pipiens*–*Wolbachia* system [52,53] as well as for wMel and wRi *Wolbachia* strains [41]. The *Wolbachia* strain wAlbA...
is a strong CI inducer towards wPip and cannot rescue the wPip mod function (Figure 2).

**Conclusions**

The reported CI features are consistent with the traits desired for promising use of the ARwP line as a suppression tool against *Ae. albopictus*. First, a persistent full CI in older males enforces the efficacy of any CI-based mosquito control strategy. This becomes more relevant given the report that *Ae. albopictus* males in La Réunion show an unexpectedly high mean life expectancy, ranging from 16.2 to 24.5 days [54]. Currently, the optimal radiation doses for a SIT programs against *Ae. albopictus* is chosen in such a way (30 rather than 40 Gy) that it balances induced sterility with the preservation of male competitiveness [15-55]. However, the more the irradiation dose is lowered, the more it is reasonable to assume that a potential recover of fertility could occur when males are still potentially competitive [56]. No recovery of fertility has been observed in ARwP males tested up to 26 days.

Secondly, we know that the colonies of insects reared in the laboratory for subsequent field applications need to be periodically outbred to offset the effects of genetic adaptation to captivity and inbreeding depression [57-59]. For colonies of mosquitoes whose males are destined to be irradiated this problem is less relevant because there is no reproductive barrier between the insects of the colony and the wild types. Also for *Wolbachia* transinfected lines displaying a unidirectional CI pattern with uninfected wild populations [26-60] this problem does not arise because the males in nature are uninfected and therefore can be used to fertilize transinfected females.

In the case of ARwP or other transinfected mosquito lines displaying bidirectional CI towards wild populations (wild males are incompatible with females of the colony), the outbreeding procedures require the treatment of wild males with antibiotics for *Wolbachia* infection removal [32] and “compatibility” restore. The use of antibiotics, very useful at laboratory scale, may be rather laborious, time consuming and not cost-effective to produce large amounts of “antibiotic cured males” under mass rearing conditions. The discovery that ARwP females are partially fertile when mating with old wild *Ae. albopictus* males may simplify significantly the downstream efforts to preserve the genetic variability within the laboratory ARwP colonies; in fact, it would be sufficient to release periodically in the colony ARwP females mated with wild males aged at least 15 days.

In one hand the interest for the ARwP line in the IIT strategy against the Asian tiger mosquito has been increasing, in the other, new artificially generated infection types like *Ae. albopictus* harboring "wMel" [29], showing anti-viral (Chikungunya and Dengue) properties associated to limited fitness costs, are promoting the application of "population replacement" strategies. Recent trends in the application of a population replacement program suggest that a phase of population suppression should be performed to support a following male-biased release of the avirulent invading mosquito strain (i.e. wMel infected) minimizing any transient increase in disease risk or biting nuisance [61]. ARwP males, strong and persistent CI effectors, could be considered in the preliminary suppression phase of a population replacement program or for the application of suppression strategies in areas where there are not risks of pathogen transmission and consequently no need to replace a mosquito population. Although the ARwP mosquito line appears to be relatively robust and suitable for mass rearing, research is in progress to achieve a further attenuation of the negative effects of the new *Wolbachia* infection on female reproductive parameters (fecundity and fertility), as well as mating competitiveness of males, which is being evaluated not only in the laboratory (Moretti & Calvitti, unpublished data) but also in semi-natural (confined greenhouses) and field conditions.
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