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**Abstract**

We describe a new species of the *Dendropsophus leucophyllatus* Group from the Atlantic Forest of the southern region of State of Bahia, Brazil. It can be distinguished from all species of the *D. leucophyllatus* Group on the basis of morphological characters (especially its unique dorsal pattern and snout in dorsal view), advertisement calls and divergence in mitochondrial DNA gene sequences. The inclusion of *D. aniceps* on the group remains controversial but our phylogenetic analyses do not recover the new species as sister to syntopic species of the *D. leucophyllatus* Group (with or without *D. aniceps*). These results also highlight the palimpsest that is past relation between the Atlantic and Amazon forests.

**Introduction**

The southern portion of the State of Bahia, Brazil (the “Hiléia Bahiana”, see [1]) harbors a unique and diverse amphibian fauna [2,3] and recently, many new species have been described from localities therein (e.g. [4,5]). Several of these are phylogenetically closer to Amazonian species than to other species of the Atlantic Forest (e.g. [6,7,8]). Although *Dendropsophus* is speciose not many species have been described lately (see [9,10–12]), especially for the Atlantic Forest [13,14].

The genus includes today 99 species [14,15], divided in nine groups according to Faivovich et al. [16]. One of these groups—the *Dendropsophus leucophyllatus* Group, or “leaf-gluing tree-frogs” (see [17])—has been receiving much taxonomic and systematic attention, including the description of three new species in recent years [10,11,17]. The monophyly of this group is disputed and the placement of *D. aniceps* in the group is controversial (see [10], and [11] for a discussion). If the monophyly of the group including *D. aniceps*, is corroborated, the presence of two glandular pectoral patches (see [16,18,19]) seems the best candidate morphological synapomorphy for the group [10]. Nevertheless, only male *D. aniceps* present such patches while...
both sexes of other species of the group present them—therefore, the putative synapomorphy should be presence of those patches in males (see [10]).

Today, 11 species are assigned to the *D. leucophyllatus* Group, but only two (*D. elegans* and *D. anceps* considering the latter as a member of the group) occur in the Atlantic Forest [10,15]. One species (*D. ebraccatus*) dwells in Central America and the remaining species occur through the Amazon biome [11,15,20,21].

In this paper, on the basis of morphology, bioacoustics and molecular data, we describe a new species of the *D. leucophyllatus* Group from southern State Bahia, Brazil. This is the third species of the group associated with the Atlantic rainforest and—at the moment—the 100th valid species assigned to the genus.

**Materials and methods**

**Ethics statement**

This study was conducted with appropriate permissions and guidelines from the responsible authority—licence #13708 from “Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade” (ICMBio) that also evaluates protocols for our collection and research. Muscle samples were taken from thighs and stored in absolute ethanol for subsequent DNA extraction and sequencing. Collected specimens were not recognized as belonging to threatened species and they are not listed in IUCN Redlist or by CITES.

The specimens were collected by hand at the municipality of Almadina, State of Bahia, northeastern Brazil (Fig 1) in two localities—Almadina city cemetery (14˚ 42’ 0.51” S, 39˚ 37’ 48” W; 303 m a.s.l.) and “Fazenda Rovil” (14˚ 41’ 37” S, 39˚ 37’ 54” W; 311 m a.s.l.). Specimens were euthanized with 5% lidocaine (acting as the primary method of euthanasia), fixed in 10% formaldehyde, and subsequently preserved in 70% ethanol.

**Taxa and specimens**

Specimens used in the description or examined for comparisons are listed on S1 Appendix and are housed in the following collections: Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil (MZUESC); Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil (MZUSP); Célio F. B. Haddad Collection, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rio Claro, SP, Brazil (CFBH); MCNAM (Museu de Ciências Naturais, Pontificia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais, Brazil); MHUA-A (Museo de Herpetología Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia); QCAZ (Museo de Zoología, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador); USNM (National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, USA).

**Morphological and bioacoustic measurements and analyses**

Measurements are in millimeters and follow Duellman [19,21] of Napoli and Caramaschi [22] —when landmarks differ between these two contributions, we explain which were used. Abbreviations are SVL (snout—vent length); HL (head length); HW (head width); ED (horizontal eye diameter); TYD (horizontal tympanum diameter); IND (internarial distance); IOD (interorbital distance); EN (eye—nostril distance); NSD (nostril—tip of snout distance); THL (thigh length); TL (shank length); FL (foot length, from the proximal edge of the inner metatarsal tubercle to the tip of Toe IV); TAL (tarsus length, from the heel to the proximal edge of the inner metatarsal tubercle); 4TD (fourth toe disc diameter); DF3 (width of disc on third finger); HAL (hand length, from the proximal edge of the thenar tubercle to the tip of Finger III); and FOL (forearm length, from the tip of the elbow to the proximal edge of the thenar tubercle). We measured SVL, HL, HW, THL, TL and FL with digital callipers to the nearest 0.1 mm.
The remaining measurements were taken under a stereomicroscope equipped with an ocular micrometer. Webbing formula follows the standards of Savage and Heyer [23,24] with the modifications of Myers and Duellman [25].

We recorded the advertisement calls of two males (MZUESC 10175; SVL = 26.6 mm and MZUESC 10176; SVL = 28.5 mm) of the new species with a Sennheiser ME45 unidirectional
microphone attached to a Tascam DR1 digital recorder. In all cases, microphone was placed at a distance of 20 cm from the recorded specimen. Calls were analyzed using the Raven Pro 1.4 at 44.1 kHz with 16 bit resolution. Waveforms and audiospectrograms were produced with the following parameters: 256 points FFT, hann window, with 50% overlap. Advertisement call terminology follows Duellman and Trueb [26]. Exceptions are advertisement call type terminology that follows Heyer et al. [27] for “Type I” and “Type II” notes or Wells [28] for “primary” and “secondary” notes. Notice that “Type I” is synonym of “primary” and “Type II” of “secondary” for *Dendropsophus* advertisement calls [29]. Monophasic calls have a single type of note while biphasic calls, two (see [12]). We measured number of notes, call duration (s), number of pulses per call per note, duration (s) of Type I and Type II notes, number of pulses per Type I and per Type II note, Type I and Type II note pulse rate, Call pulse repetition rate, Call repetition rate per minute, and dominant frequency (Hz).

**Sequencing and phylogenetic analyses**

To corroborate our new species as a member of the *D. leucophyllatus* Group, we sequenced the complete 12S rRNA gene, a fragment of the 16S rRNA gene, and the intervening valine-tRNA (totalizing 2633 bp) of two specimens of the new species (MZUESC 9979 and 10177; GenBank accession number: KY552470-KY552471) using the same primers and protocols for extraction, amplification, purification, sequencing, and sequence edition of Faivovich et al. [16]. We gathered all comparable mitochondrial sequences of *Dendropsophus* and selected closely related genera for outgroups from GenBank (see S2 Appendix) based on Faivovich et al. [16]. We excluded unnecessary duplicates (e.g. many *D. minutus* specimens) keeping the longest available sequences. In addition, we sequenced the same fragments from a syntopic individual of *D. elegans* (MZUESC 9968; GenBank accession number:KY552469) to confirm their distinctiveness.

Alignment was performed by MAFFT v7 [30] under the Q-INS-i strategy that is the most appropriate to our dataset according to program documentation. We avoided gathering nuclear data because our aim was solely to confirm our species as a member of the genus. Given that mitochondrial DNA is more variable than nuclear DNA, it seems a better choice to assess the relationships with closely related species. The resulting matrix was analyzed through Maximum Parsimony (MP) and Bayesian inference (BI) criteria; input files are available on S1 File (MP) and S2 File (BI).

The resulting matrix was analyzed with Maximum Parsimony (MP) under a New Tech approach as implemented in TNT [31], under equal weighting, using all settings, algorithms, and nodal support evaluation as employed by Rivera-Correa and Orrico [10]. Random seed was set to 0 (aleatory) and minimum length was found 100 times.

Bayesian inference was implemented in MrBayes 3.2.6 [32] and run on the CIPRES Science Gateway v3.3 [33]. The evolutionary model most appropriate for each gene was chosen using the Akaiake information criteria, implemented in PartitionFinder 1.1.1 [34]. The GTR + I + G substitution model was selected as the optimal nucleotide substitution model for the data set. We then used a Bayesian inference search in a Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis, run in two independent runs, each with four chains and sampling every 1000 generations for 80 million generations. An adequate burn-in (the first 20% trees were excluded) was determined by examining a plot of the likelihood scores of the heated chain for convergence and stationarity. Tracer 1.6 [35] was used to confirm the quality of the parameters of the analysis.
Nomenclatural acts

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix “http://zoobank.org/”. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:71D82C22-2D2B-4A79-B610-7941FBD0391B. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN, and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central and LOCKSS.

Results

Description of new species

*Dendropsophus nekronastes* sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2EFB97FF-AFF3-4C73-80BE-900A4F46E211

**Holotype.** MZUESC 10178, adult male (Figs 2 and 3), collected in a pond near the Alma-dina city cemetery (14˚ 42' 0.51" S, 39˚ 37' 48" W; 303 m a.s.l.), municipality of Almadina, State of Bahia, Brazil, on 04 February 2012, by Iuri R. Dias.

**Paratypes.** MZUESC 10174–10177 (adult males); 10179–10184 (adult males), MZUESC 10185 (adult female), collected with the holotype; MZUESC 9979 (adult female), MZUESC 9980–9989 (adult males), collected in the same collection site of the holotype, on 17 December 2011, by Antônio J.S. Argólo; MZUESC 10221–10223 (adult males) collected in the same municipality, on other pond, on a farm known as “Fazenda Rovil” (14˚ 41' 37" S, 39˚ 37' 54" W; 311 m a.s.l.), on 05 February 2012, by Iuri R. Dias.
Diagnosis. We assign *Dendropsophus nekronastes* to the *D. leucophyllatus* Group based on the presence of the glandular pectoral patches—the putative synapomorphy of the group—and the results of the phylogenetic analysis indicate that new species is nested in the group. The species can be diagnosed by the following combination of character states: (I) SVL 24.1–28.9 mm in males (n = 24) and 31.8–35.4 mm in females (n = 2); (II) short snout (approximately 30% of HL), truncated in lateral view and mucronate in dorsal view; (III) tympanum rounded, tympanic ring distinct, except for the dorsal margin; (IV) *canthus rostralis* straight and loreal region nearly vertical; (V) dorsum dark brown with white markings consisting of a triangular head blotch connected to dorsolateral stripes that cover the eyelid and extend to the posterior third of body (composing an inverted U-shape, see Fig 2); (VI) a medial white line that extends from the interorbital area to the sacral region; (VII) wide white suborbital marking, as long as eye diameter; (VIII) two nearly transverse white bars in the forelimbs, one in the arm, from the arm insertion point to arm midpoint, and the other from the elbow to the wrist; (IX) shank with a regular dorsal white stripe covering nearly all its surface, from the
knee to heel; (X) nuptial pads present, glandular, small, covering only the medial area above the prepollex; (XI) red iris, pupil black, elliptical, and horizontal; (XII) axillary membrane extending to the half of the arm (when positioned transversally to the body); (XIII) pectoral glands present, well-marked, oval, present in both males and females; (XIV) vomerine teeth present; and (XV) advertisement call composed of a series of 1–3 pulsed notes, average duration of 0.305 s and dominant frequency ranging between 4478.0–4823.4 Hz.

**Holotype description.** Adult male, SVL = 28.5 mm; head slightly wider then long (HW/HL = 1.02; HW 33.3% of SVL; HL 32.6% of SVL); snout short (EN/HL = 0.279; NSD/HL = 0.150), mucronate in dorsal view and truncated in lateral view; nares terminal and slightly protruberant, elliptical, opening anterolaterally; eyes large (ED/HL = 0.376) and prominent; tympanum nearly circular, being slightly larger than high; its diameter slightly smaller than width of discs on third finger (TYD/DF3 = 0.89) and of fourth toe (TYD/4TD = 0.94), being 2.18 times smaller than eye diameter; tympanic ring distinct, except for its upper quarter that is covered by the supratympanic fold; supratympanic fold extending from posterior corner of the eye to anterior insertion of arm. Forearm diameter slightly larger than arm diameter; axillary membrane present and reaching the middle of the arm; finger discs large and transversally elliptic; relative length of fingers II < III < V < IV; subarticular tubercles rounded; distal tubercle of Finger V bifid; supernumerary tubercles present, especially at palmar area; external metacarpal tubercle slightly visible and divided; no visible nuptial excrescences at prepollical area; glandular nuptial pads present, arranged in a thin line; internal metacarpal tubercle oblong; palmar webbing formula I2 — 2II1 — 1 1/2III2 — 2 IV. Hindlimbs long, shank longer than thigh and their sum larger than SVL (TL/SVL = 0.564; THL/SVL = 0.519); toes relative length I < II < III < V < IV; plantar subarticular tubercles present and round; supernumerary plantar tubercles present, especially at plantar area; internal metatarsal tubercle oblong; external metatarsal tubercle slightly visible and divided; plantar webbing formula 10–2-110 — 2III1 — 2IV2 — 0V. Cloacal opening covered by a cloacal sheath that reaches only the thighs dorsal third; skin smooth, except for belly and ventral proximal half of thighs that are rugose; two glandular patches visible on chest, separated from each other by about half their width). Vocal slits present, slit-shaped (see [29]). Vomerine teeth present, but not arranged in series; dentigerous processes not touching each other, located between choanae; tongue cordiform; vocal sac single, subgular, well developed, extending to the proximal half of arm.

**Measurements of holotype (in mm).** SVL = 28.5; HL = 9.3; HW = 9.5; ED = 3.5; TYD = 1.6; IND = 2.5; IOD = 4.2; EN = 2.6; NSD = 1.4; THL = 14.8; TL = 16.1; FL = 13.8; TAL = 8.0; 4TD = 1.7; DF3 = 1.8; HAL = 9.3; FOL = 4.8

**Color in life.** *Dendropsophus nekronastes* specimens present small variation between day and night colorations. During day and night, the inverted U-shape, medial sacral line, suborbital bar, and limb stripes are yellowish-white; iris is dark red; the mental region and vocal sac are bright-yellow; the belly and chest are of an metallic white; the ventral surfaces of limbs are dark yellowish-orange. During the day, the dorsolimb background is dark brown, yellowish-white blotches are surrounded by dark caramel brown stripes, thighs are deep orange, and groin is bright yellow. At night, the dorsolimb background lightens to a dark yellow and dark caramel brown stripes surrounding the yellowish-white blotches become less evident; otherwise, similar to the day pattern (Fig 4).

**Variation among paratypes.** Overall, the type series is morphologically congruent with the holotype, although females are larger than males. Variation in measurements is presented in Table 1. The two females (MZUESC 9979 and 10185) present a small longitudinal stripe in the flanks not found in male specimens. The dorsolateral striped pattern is similar among all individuals; the width of the dorsolateral stripes is variable. In six specimens (MZUESC 10175, 10176, 9983, 9986, 10181 and 10155), dorsal coloration is lighter (more similar to night
The medial sacral line is continuous in all individuals (except for MZUESC 9980 that presents a small interruption) and its anterior edge reaches anteriorly between the intertypanic to the interorbital levels. The forearm stripe varies in shape and length but always restricted to the forearm. Snout in lateral view varies between truncate (80%, N = 20) or slightly rounded (20%, N = 5) and in dorsal view, between mucronate (80.7%, N = 21) and truncated (19.3%, N = 5; MZUESC 9982; 9986; 10175; 10221; 10223).

Fig 4. *Dendropsophus nekronastes* sp. nov. in life. (A, C) Color variation between day and (B, D, E) night. Vouchers: A) not identified; C) MZUESC 9979; D) MZUESC 9983; B) and E) not collected. Photos A) and C) Iuri R. Dias; B), D) and E) Juliana A. de Jesus.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171678.g004
Etymology. The specific name is by the two Greek words nekro- (death) + -nastes (inhabitant; dweller). The name is given in allusion to the collection site of the specimens in a pond near a cemetery.

Advertisement call. The advertisement call of *Dendropsophus nekronastes* sp. nov. is composed by one (27%), two (41%) or three (32%) multipulsed notes (Fig 5). Call duration ranges between 0.052–0.501 s (0.305 ± 0.13) with 11–51 (35.5 ± 10.4) pulses per call. Pulse repetition rate is of 131.1 ± 29.7 pulses/s (90.5–211.5). Call rate is of 8.7 calls/min. Dominant frequency is also the fundamental frequency and ranges between 4478.9–4823.4 Hz (4598.9 ± 103). Two secondary harmonics bands can be discerned having a frequency between 8780–9150 Hz and 11385–13900 Hz, respectively. The first note has different duration, number of pulses and pulse repetition rate than the other two notes (Fig 5 and Table 2); the first can be interpreted as a "Type I" note, while the second and third as "Type II" notes due to their similitude. The "Type I" note may have a discrete ascending frequency modulation in its initial region, followed by a discrete descending modulation toward its final portion. The "Type II" note has a slight descending modulation in final region. The dominant frequency is similar between the two note types. In eight of the 56 analyzed calls, the pulses of the first note were not well individualized; in these cases, the related variables were not measured. Numeric data is summarized in Table 2.

Phylogenetic relationships. Maximum Parsimony searches retrieved 18 equally most parsimonious trees with 7,828 steps. The consensus tree is shown in Fig 6. Our results recover *Dendropsophus* as the monophyletic sister taxon of *Xenohyla truncata*. The monophyly of the *D. leucophyllatus* Group (sensu [10]) is corroborated by our dataset. Regarding the new taxon, our phylogenetic analyses firmly places it within the *D. leucophyllatus* Group as sister species to a clade composed of *D. ebraccatus* + Amazonian species of the group (Fig 6). The mitochondrial sequence (16S rRNA gene) divergence (i.e. uncorrected pairwise distances) between *D. nekronastes* and the remaining species of the Group varies between 11.7–14.5% and is presented in Table 3. Conflict is widespread through the tree and, of the testable groups, only the...
D. labialis, D. leucophyllatus and the D. marmoratus Groups are monophyletic—a result similar to previous studies using similar databases and not relevant to our discussion given the position of our new taxon. We also retrieve D. leucophyllatus and D. triangulum as paraphyletic in respect to each other but this may be due to a number of issues and lays outside the scope of the present contribution (see [11]). Supports for more basal relationships are low (as in previous contributions).

Bayesian Inference retrieves a similar result (Fig 7) and although slightly better resolved. The D. labialis, D. marmoratus and the D. minutus Groups are monophyletic while the remainders are not. Overall posterior probabilities are low (i.e. under 0.9) for most basal relationships within Dendropsophus. Most species of the D. leucophyllatus Group are recovered as a clade that is nested in a poorly supported polytomy (0.52) with D. anceps and a clade composed of D. myiatai + the D. minutus Group.

**Comparison with other species of the D. leucophyllatus Group.** *Dendropsophus nekronastes* differs from all other species of the Group by its unique dorsal pattern with a regular white frame resembling an inverted U-shape and a long white medial line (the sacral stripe) that starts posteriorly at the sacrum and extends anteriorly to the head (absent in all other species of the group); presence of two nearly transversal white bars on forelimbs (absent in all other species of the group); mucronate snout in dorsal view (truncate to rounded in all other species); advertisement call with the highest dominant frequency for the group—4478.0–4823.4 Hz (ranging 1453.1–3910 Hz in other species, see Table 2). Furthermore, *D. nekronastes* differs from all other species of the group (except *D. ebraccatus*) by the presence of a wide white suborbital stripe (when present, small, not as long as orbit diameter).

*Dendropsophus nekronastes* males are similar in size to most species of the group, with the exception of being larger than *D. rossalleni* and smaller than *D. anceps* and *D. leucophyllatus.*
Table 2. Means ± standard deviation and ranges (in parentheses) of acoustical parameters of advertisement call of dendropsophus leucophyllatus Group. n = number of individuals.

| Species and recorded notes | n of Calls | Call Duration (s) | Intensity (dB) | note Duration | Calls/min. Dominant (s)–II | Note Duration (s) | Call Pulse rate | Call Pulse repetition rate | Reference |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| D. blumenstocki (n = 9)   | 1 + 0      | 0.09 ± 0.005     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.14 ± 0.005  | 0.13 ± 0.005                | 0.09 ± 0.005     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [37]       |
| D. salinii (n = 8)        | 1 + 1      | 0.14 ± 0.006     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.16 ± 0.006  | 0.16 ± 0.006                | 0.12 ± 0.005     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [38]       |
| D. triquetrum (n = 6)     | 1 + 0      | 0.19 ± 0.001     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.20 ± 0.001  | 0.19 ± 0.001                | 0.14 ± 0.001     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [37]       |
| D. elegans (n = 6)        | 1 + 0      | 0.18 ± 0.000     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.20 ± 0.000  | 0.19 ± 0.000                | 0.14 ± 0.000     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [37]       |
| D. leucophyllatus (n = 8) | 1 + 2      | 0.18 ± 0.000     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.20 ± 0.000  | 0.18 ± 0.000                | 0.14 ± 0.000     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [37]       |
| D. albineus (n = 7)       | 1 + 2      | 0.18 ± 0.000     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.20 ± 0.000  | 0.18 ± 0.000                | 0.14 ± 0.000     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [37]       |
| D. leucophyllatus (n = 6) | 1 + 0      | 0.19 ± 0.001     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.20 ± 0.001  | 0.19 ± 0.001                | 0.14 ± 0.001     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [37]       |
| D. albineus (n = 7)       | 1 + 2      | 0.19 ± 0.000     | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 0.20 ± 0.000  | 0.19 ± 0.000                | 0.14 ± 0.000     | 1.66 ± 0.16     | 1.1 ± 0.16     | [37]       |

** = number of primary/introductory or Type I or Type II notes; *** = number of secondary or Type II notes.
Females follow the same pattern but are also smaller than *D. triangulum* females. See Table 4 for values.

**Geographic distribution.** *Dendropsophus nekronastes* sp. nov. is known only from two ponds at its type-locality, municipality of Almadina, southern State of Bahia, Brazil (Fig 1).

**Natural history.** *Dendropsophus nekronastes* call in temporary ponds, usually in the surrounding shrubs between 30 and 150 cm of height. Syntopical congeners are *D. branneri*, *D. elegans* (MZUESC 10186–10194) and *D. anceps* (MZUESC 9960–9964). Other species calling at the sites were *Hypsiboas albomarginatus*, *H. crepitans*, *H. faber*, *Phyllomedusa burmeisteri*, *P. rohdei*, *Physalaemus erikae*, *Scinax junca*, *Sphaenorhynchus pauloalvini* and *S. prasinus*.

**Discussion**

*Dendropsophus nekronastes* was found in ponds located at anthropogenically-disturbed areas; like the city cemetery. The surroundings are cacao plantations and open pasturelands. The region (Almadina and surrounding municipalities) does not have any protected areas. 

---

**Fig 6.** Phylogenetic relationship of *Dendropsophus nekronastes* sp. nov inferred by Maximum Parsimony. Strict consensus of the 18 most parsimonious trees (7,828 steps) obtained for the maximum parsimony analysis depicting the phylogenetic relationships among analyzed species (see Methods for analysis details). Numbers at nodes are Jackknife (JK) values based on 1000 pseudoreplicates.

*doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171678.g006*
Although known only from its type locality, *D. nekronastes* does not seem to require a pristine habitat. Many *Dendropsophus* species are widespread [50–53] and most of those are commonly found on slightly disturbed areas (see [54])—apparently, *D. nekronastes* fits this pattern. Nevertheless, our lack of knowledge about its ecological requirements precludes any evaluation about the species tolerance to anthropization or if it occurs in this—restricted—disturbed area due to the lack of more pristine habitats. Another option is that *D. nekronastes* is a canopy dweller (see below).

For the Bahia southern sector, only *D. nekronastes* and 10 other anuran species are known only from their type-localities [8,55–63]. Most seem explosive breeders (sensu [64]) and/or canopy dwellers, which are less likely to be collected [65]; if *D. nekronastes* present such life patterns is unknown. Nevertheless, the last years witnessed many amphibian surveys in the region [2,3,66], including one that sampled Almadina [67], and because *Dendropsophus* species usually breed in ponds (e.g., [46]), an environment that herpetologists usually highly prioritize as collecting sites due to their accessibility, it is remarkable that *D. nekronastes* has not been found before. *Dendropsophus* is highly speciose and new species have been found in well-collected sites before (e.g., [9]). Within nine field trips to Almadina, *D. nekronastes* was found only in four in different densities. In the first two, when the type series was collected, there were many individuals calling. In the latter two, only a few individuals were calling (and none was collected). Therefore it is possible that *D. nekronastes* is an explosive breeders and/or canopy dweller with larger geographic distribution. However, given that it is only known from a single population, it becomes susceptible to urban expansion and farming.

Most species of the *D. leucophyllatus* Group have known calls—exceptions are *D. mapinguari*, *D. manonegra* and *D. rossalleni*—but measured parameters (even for the same species in different contributions) vary among different authors. The only comparable variables are: number and type of notes, call or note duration, and dominant frequency. All species of the *D. leucophyllatus* Group have biphasic calls [17,37,40,41] with one note primary (also called “main”, “introductory” or, “Type I”) that is usually longer and with more pulses and is followed by one to ten shorter secondary (or “Type II”) notes [28,41].

**Table 3.** Genetic divergence (%) among species of the *Dendropsophus leucophyllatus* Group. Values are estimated from a dataset constructed from 808 bp of the 16S rRNA gene.

| Species     | GenBank     | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   |
|-------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1 D. anceps | AY843597    | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 2 D. bilurcus | AY362975    | 13.5 | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 3 D. ebraccatus | AY843624    | 13.0 | 13.0 | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 4 D. elegans  | AF308103    | 12.7 | 13.3 | 13.2 | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 5 D. elegans  | KY552469    | 13.5 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 4.1  | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 6 D. leucophyllatus | AF308096    | 13.1 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 13.6 | 13.5 | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 7 D. leucophyllatus | AF308088    | 11.3 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 9.2  | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 8 D. manonegra | KF009943    | 13.6 | 1.8  | 12.3 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 11.1 | 11.0 | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 9 D. mapinguari | KX018317    | 13.7 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 14.1 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 12.3 | -    |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 10 D. nekronastes | KY552471    | 11.9 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 14.5 | -    |      |      |      |      |      |
| 11 D. nekronastes | KY552470    | 11.7 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 0.3  | -    |      |      |      |      |
| 12 D. salli   | AY362976    | 14.3 | 12.5 | 14.6 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 14.2 | -    |      |      |      |
| 13 D. sarayacuensis | AY364664    | 13.2 | 10.4 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.9 | -    |      |      |
| 14 D. triangulum | AY843680    | 13.0 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 0.8  | 9.0  | 11.0 | 10.8 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.9 | 11.3 | -    |      |
| 15 D. triangulum | AF308107    | 12.3 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 8.5  | 6.8  | 10.9 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 13.9 | 11.6 | 8.4  | -    |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171678.t003
Secondary notes are not always produced but it is known that in amphibians these are more frequent in chorus situation [28,41]. Nevertheless, it has been demonstrated that some populations of *D. elegans* never produce them [39]; other *Dendropsophus* species are also known to have plastic calls and isolated and chorusing males produce different calls [68,69].

*Dendropsophus* internal topology is still unstable [11]. Different optimality criteria and alignment methods result in radically different topologies but most internal nodes have low support—exactly what we have also found. There are a series of reasons why this may happen and for a specific discussion on *Dendropsophus* topologies, see Peloso et al. [11].

Nevertheless, the presence of a biphasic call may be a synapomorphy for some groups or internal clades of the genus. *Dendropsophus nekronastes* and *D. elegans* are somewhat similar—although their calls are quite different. In fact, the distinct advertisement calls of *D. nekronastes* and *D. elegans* were the first clue that they would be different species. Overall, although similar to the calls of other species of the Group, *D. nekronastes* call has a higher dominant frequency.

Our results suggest an interesting biogeographic history of the species in the *D. leucophyllatus* Group. For the moment it is impossible to undoubtedly understand their distributions in a historical perspective—especially given the highly unstable phylogeny of the genus. However, *D. salli* is an Amazon dweller and may represent an independent colonization of this biome when compared to the other species of the group.
Notwithstanding, both MP and BI analyses imply that *D. nekronastes* is not directly related (i.e., sister species) to *D. anceps* and *D. elegans* that are syntopic with the new species. This scenario may be another example of the past relationship between Northern Espírito Santo–Southern Bahia (Híleia Bahiana) and the Amazon. Within MP this relationship is marginally supported (71% JK; see Fig 6) however. This past connection has been suggested before [6–8,70–72] and two possible connections have been hypothesized [7,51,73]. Additional studies with other taxa may confirm and date such connections.

MP recovers *D. anceps* as sister species of the remainder species of the *Dendropsophus leucophyllatus* Group with low support while BI does not provide an indubitable solution for this matter. As previously reported, the allocation of *D. anceps* is a matter of ongoing discussion [11]. It seems clear that this issue will be only adequately dealt within a comprehensive analysis of *Dendropsophus*.
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