Genetic Variability in the microRNA Binding Sites of BMPRIB, TGFBRI, IQGAPI, KRAS, SETD8 and RYR3 and Risk of Breast Cancer in Colombian Women
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Background: Genetic variants in microRNA (miR) binding sites affect the regulation of miR-dependent gene expression and have been linked to the risk of a variety of cancers including breast cancer (BC). Most BC risk variants had been identified in women of European and Asian ancestry, but genetic data for Hispanic women are scarce. Here, we investigate the association between six variants in miR binding sites and BC risk in Colombian women.

Methods: We genotyped miR binding site variants in the BMPRIB, TGFBRI, IQGAPI, KRAS, SETD8 and RYR3 genes in 1022 BC cases and 1023 controls from the Colombian breast cancer case-control (Col-BCCC) study using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). Multiple logistic regression and permutation techniques were applied to assess the association between genetic variants and BC risk.

Results: We found no evidence of association between any of the six miR binding site variants and overall or estrogen receptor subtype-specific BC risk in Colombian women.

Conclusion: Our findings may point to ethnic differences in the association between genetic variability in miR binding sites and breast cancer risk.
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Introduction
Breast cancer (BC) is a major health burden in Colombia. It is the leading cancer and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in women with age-standardized incidence and mortality rates of 44.1 and 11.9 per 100,000 women, respectively.1 Identification and improved clinical management of patients at high risk of BC is important to reduce morbidity and mortality caused by this disease.2

The genetic component of BC is reflected on a tendency to cluster in families. Approximately 15–20% of all BCs are familial and 5–10% are hereditary.3 About 45% of the genetic component of BC risk is explained by rare mutations in known high (ie, BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2) and moderate penetrance susceptibility genes (ie, ATM, CHEK2) and common variants in low-penetrance genes.4–7 The unexplained risk may be in part due to other common BC susceptibility variants. Attractive candidates are genetic variants in regulatory gene regions including microRNA (miR) binding sites.
miRs are a class of highly conserved short (21–23 nucleotides) noncoding RNAs, which play an important role in the regulation of gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. They bind to the complementary sequences of the 3’ untranslated region (3’ UTR) of target messenger RNAs, leading to repression of translation and consequently down-regulation of the expression of the proteins.8,9 Multiple miR binding site variants dysregulating important target genes involved in various biological pathways, such as DNA damage repair, signal transduction, and others as well variant in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes have been identified as biomarkers for cancer susceptibility and prognosis.10–12

Most association studies of miR binding sites variants with risk of various cancer types including BC have been conducted in European and Asian populations.10 For BC, variants in genes involved in the TGF-β signalling pathway (rs1434536 in bone morphogenetic protein receptor type-1B, BMPR1B and rs334348 in transforming growth factor beta receptor 1, TGFBR1) and the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway (rs1042538 in IQ motif-containing GTPase-activating protein 1, IQGAP1 and rs61764370 in Ryr3) were observed in the calcium channel ryanodine receptor 3 in Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog, KRAS) were associated with disease risk, with the latter, however, yielding conflicting results.13–19 Further, associations were observed for variant rs16917496 in the SET domain containing protein 8 (SEDT8) gene, which encodes a lysine methyltransferase that methylates various proteins, such as TP53, the androgen receptor and the estrogen receptor (ER) and variant rs1044129 in the calcium channel ryanodine receptor 3 (Ryr3).20,21

Given the scarcity of this type of data for Hispanic women, we report for the first time on the frequency and association effects of six known European/Asian miR binding site risk variants in the BMPR1B, TGFBR1, IQGAP1, KRAS, SETD8 and Ryr3 genes for female participants in the Colombian breast cancer case–control study Col-BCCC.

Materials and Methods
Study Population
The study population comprised 1022 female BC patients unselected for family history and age at BC diagnosis and 1023 female healthy controls who participated in the Colombian breast cancer case–control (Col-BCCC) study. Cases were mainly enrolled in the study at hospitals in Bogota, Neiva, and Villavicencio, which are located in the geographic center of Colombia, from 03/2007 to 02/2011. Cases were diagnosed with BC after January 1, 2004. Controls were healthy and unrelated women, who reported no family history of breast or any other type of cancer in two generations and who participated in the Colombian National Pap Smear Program.22 Controls were recruited from 06/2007 to 06/2011 and were matched to cases according to age (± 2 years). Cases and controls were only eligible if they were of Colombian origin and resided in the study region.23,24

Data on established and potential BC risk factors were collected from all study participants including age at diagnosis (cases)/age at interview (controls), proportion of European, Native American and African genetic ancestry, family history of BC in first-degree female relatives (yes, no), oral contraceptive (OC) use (yes, no), menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone therapy (HT) use (premenopausal and postmenopausal women who never used HT, postmenopausal women who who ever used HT), body mass index (BMI) (<25, 25–29.9, ≥30 kg/m²), smoking status (never, former, current), parity (0, 1–2, ≥3), age at first full-term pregnancy (<20, 20–29, ≥30 years), age at menarche (≥14, 12–13, <12 years), and breastfeeding (never, ≤12, >12 months). Clinical and histopathological parameters were also collected from all cases (Table 1).

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (2006/75). All participants provided informed consent in writing previous to recruitment.

DNA Isolation and Genotyping Analyses
Genomic DNA was extracted from nine millilitre of peripheral blood collected into an EDTA tube using the salting out extraction method.25 We investigated six miR binding-site SNPs: rs1434536 (A>G in BMPR1B), rs334348 (A>G in TGFBR1), rs1042538 (A>T in IQGAP1), rs61764370 (T>G in KRAS), rs16917496 (T>C in SETD8), and rs1044129 (G>A in Ryr3); the minor alleles in Colombians are underlined. The investigated SNPs were selected because i. they have a functional impact in modulating the expression of the target gene and ii. have been previously associated with BC risk in European or Asian populations. A description of the miR binding site SNPs under investigation is provided in Table 2.

Genotyping was performed in 1022 BC patients and 1023 healthy controls using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry.
Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population

| Variables                                    | Level                              | Cases (N=1021) | Controls (N=1023) | P value* |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------|
| Age, mean (years) ± SE                      |                                    | 50.24 ± 0.37   | 49.95 ± 0.37      | 0.96     |
| African Ancestry (%)                        | Continuous                         | 7.60           | 7.15              | 0.32     |
| European Ancestry (%)                       | Continuous                         | 57.69          | 52.24             | <0.0001  |
| Native American Ancestry (%)                | Continuous                         | 34.71          | 40.61             | <0.0001  |
| Family History of Breast Cancer in First-Degree Female Relatives | No                                | 770 (75.34)    | 921 (90.03)       | <0.0001  |
|                                              | Yes                                | 246 (24.07)    | 79 (7.72)         |          |
| Oral Contraceptive Use                      | No                                | 687 (67.22)    | 742 (72.53)       | 0.03     |
|                                              | Yes                                | 310 (30.33)    | 270 (26.39)       |          |
| Menopausal Status and Postmenopausal Hormone Therapy Use | Premenopausal and postmenopausal women who never used hormone therapy | 894 (87.48) | 972 (95.01) | <0.0001 |
|                                              | Postmenopausal women who ever used hormone therapy | 104 (10.18) | 43 (4.20) |          |
| Body Mass index (kg/m²)                     | <25                                | 494 (48.34)    | 444 (43.40)       | 0.13     |
|                                              | 25–29.9                            | 366 (35.81)    | 388 (37.93)       |          |
|                                              | ≥30                                | 113 (11.06)    | 127 (12.41)       |          |
| Smoking Status                               | Never                              | 684 (66.93)    | 775 (75.76)       | 0.0004   |
|                                              | Former                             | 251 (24.56)    | 186 (18.18)       |          |
|                                              | Current                            | 65 (6.36)      | 57 (5.57)         |          |
| Parity                                       | 0                                 | 158 (15.46)    | 118 (11.53)       | 0.002    |
|                                              | 1–2                               | 478 (46.77)    | 439 (42.91)       |          |
|                                              | ≥3                                | 377 (36.89)    | 440 (43.01)       |          |
| Age at First Full-Term Pregnancy (years)    | <20                               | 176 (17.22)    | 208 (20.33)       | 0.0002   |
|                                              | 20–29                             | 490 (47.95)    | 545 (53.27)       |          |
|                                              | ≥30                               | 178 (17.42)    | 119 (11.63)       |          |
| Age at Menarche (years)                     | ≥14                               | 379 (37.08)    | 406 (39.69)       | 0.45     |
|                                              | 12–13                             | 463 (45.30)    | 447 (43.70)       |          |
|                                              | <12                               | 155 (15.17)    | 144 (14.08)       |          |
| Breastfeeding (months)                      | Never                             | 219 (21.43)    | 158 (15.44)       | 0.0002   |
|                                              | ≤12                               | 296 (28.96)    | 264 (25.81)       |          |
|                                              | >12                               | 468 (45.79)    | 546 (53.37)       |          |

Notes: *Probability value from two-sided chi-square test. P values smaller than 0.05 are marked in bold.
Abbreviation: SE, standard error.

Table 2 Description of the SNPs Under Investigation

| SNP ID  | Locus | Gene*       | Binding Site for | Reference | Ethnicity     |
|---------|-------|-------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| rs1434536 | 4q22  | BMPR1B      | miR-125b         | [1]       | European      |
| rs334348  | 9q22  | TGFBR1      | miR-628-5p       | [2]       | European      |
| rs1042538 | 15q26 | IQGAP1      | miR-124          | [3]       | Asian         |
| rs61764370| 12p12 | KRAS        | let-7            | [4–7]    | European      |
| rs16917496| 12q24.31 | SETD8       | mir-502          | [8]*      | Asian         |
| rs1044129 | 15q14 | RYR3        | mir-367          | [9]       | Asian         |

Notes: *Genome assembly GRCh37, †Inconsistent data. ‡In premenopausal women only.
(MALDI-TOF MS) (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) as previously described by us. All primers were synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Steinheim, Germany. Primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Cluster plots and genotypes were inspected with the Typer 4.0.20 (TyperAnalyzer) software (Sequenom). The overall call rates for the six SNPs were ≥98% after removal of samples that consistently failed for >2 SNPs. The concordance rate of 135 duplicates (6.6%) was 99%, and the distribution of all genotypes met Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in the control group.

Thirty Ancestry Informative Markers (AIMs) were also genotyped to estimate the individual proportions of European, Native American, and African ancestry. Genotyping of 1757 native DNA samples and 288 Whole Genome Amplified (WGA) DNA samples was performed using the KASP allelic discrimination method (LGC Genomics, Teddington TW11 0LY, UK) as previously described. Cluster plots and genotypes were inspected with the SNPviewer software version 4.0.0 (http://www.lgcgroup.com/products/genotyping-software/snpviewer/#-V1aqCEYppXg, LGC Genomics, Teddington TW11 0LY, UK). The genotype concordance between WGA amplified DNA and native DNA was >99%. AIM call rates were ≥98.9% after removal of samples that consistently failed for more than 20% of the SNPs. The concordance rate of 122 DNA duplicates (6.0%) was 100%. Eight AIMS deviated from HWE in controls but corresponding intensity plots revealed clear genotype clusters.

Statistical Analyses

The individual proportions of European, Native American, and African ancestry were estimated based on the 30 genotyped AIMS using the ADMIXTURE software as described previously. The association between the investigated genetic variants and BC risk was tested using logistic regression. An additive model was assumed for individual genotypes and the regression analyses were adjusted for the individual proportions of genetic ancestry, family history of BC in first-degree female relatives, OC use, menopausal status and postmenopausal use of HT, BMI, smoking status, parity, age at first full-term pregnancy, age at menarche, and breastfeeding (Table 1). Genotype-specific risks were quantified as per-allele odds ratios (ORs) with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Separate statistical analyses were conducted for any type of BC, ER-positive and ER-negative BC. Probability values were corrected for multiplicity using the permutation-based Westfall–Young method (10,000 permutations). Considering the average minor allele frequency of the six investigated variants (MAF=0.29), a Bonferroni-corrected significance level (α=0.0083) and an additive penetrance model, our study had 80% statistical power to detect an OR higher than 1.58. Statistical analyses were performed using the R software environment for statistical computing and SAS version 9.4. Phenotype and genotype data of all study participants are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Results

Description of the Study Participants

The distribution of selected baseline characteristics between BC patients and controls is shown in Table 1. BC patients and controls showed similar age, BMI, age at menarche, and African ancestry proportions, while differences were noticed in the European and Native American ancestry proportions, family history of BC in first-degree female relatives, OC use, menopausal status and postmenopausal HT use, smoking status, parity, age at first full-term pregnancy, and breastfeeding.

Associations Between Recently Identified Common Risk Variants and Breast Cancer Risk in Colombian Women

No association between the six investigated miR binding site variants and overall BC risk was observed in Colombian women after correction for potential confounders and multiple testing (Table 3). In the stratified analyses by ER status of the diagnosed breast tumours, rs334348 (TGFBR1) and rs61764370 (KRAS) were associated with ER-positive BC risk in multiple logistic regression analyses (OR=1.24, 95% CI: 1.02–1.52; P=0.03 and OR=1.45, 95% CI: 1.02–2.07; P=0.04, respectively). The associations, however, did not reach statistical significance after correction for multiple testing.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated for the first time whether genetic variants located in miR binding sites at the 3’ UTR of the BMPR1B, TGFBR1, IQGAP1, KRAS, SETD8 and RYR3 genes, known to be associated with BC risk in women of European or Asian ancestry, affect BC risk in Colombian women. None of the miR-binding site variants was associated with the risk of overall or ER subtype-
Table 3 Association Between miR Binding Site Variants and Breast Cancer Risk in Colombian Women

| SNP ID       | MAF Cases (N=1123) | MAF Controls (N=1023) | OR   | 95% CI | P-value* | OR   | 95% CI | P-value* |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|------|--------|----------|
| rs1044129    | 0.35               | 0.25                  | 1.05 | 0.87   | 0.04     | 1.05 | 0.90   | 0.04     |
| rs16917496   | 0.21               | 0.23                  | 0.87 | 0.66   | 0.04     | 0.87 | 0.70   | 0.04     |
| rs334348     | 0.34               | 0.32                  | 1.13 | 0.95   | 0.12     | 1.13 | 1.04   | 0.09     |
| rs334349     | 0.14               | 0.16                  | 1.35 | 1.15   | 0.05     | 1.35 | 1.15   | 0.05     |
| rs334350     | 0.14               | 0.16                  | 0.90 | 0.07   | 0.04     | 0.90 | 0.07   | 0.04     |
| rs334351     | 0.14               | 0.16                  | 1.55 | 1.31   | 0.04     | 1.55 | 1.31   | 0.04     |
| rs334352     | 0.14               | 0.16                  | 0.87 | 0.12   | 0.04     | 0.87 | 0.12   | 0.04     |

Notes: P-values smaller than 0.05 are marked in bold. P-values smaller than 0.05 after multiple correction using multiple permutation are underlined. OR adjusted for age, European and Native American ancestry proportions, family history of breast cancer, cigarette smoking, parity at full-term pregnancy, menopausal status, breastfeeding, parity at first-degree relative, hormone replacement therapy, body mass index, alcohol consumption, body fat content, and body mass index. A, AG; T, TG; C, TC; A, AG; C, CG; G, GG.

Conclusion

Our data show no evidence of association between genetic variants in miR binding sites of the genes BMPR1B, TGFBRI, IQGAP1, KRAS, SETD8 and RYR3 and the risk of BC in Colombian women. Larger studies are needed to confirm the potential ethnic differences in the association between genetic variability in miR binding sites and breast cancer risk.
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