Kidney Matrix Stone in a Solitary Kidney
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Abstract

A six-year-old boy with kidney matrix stones in solitary kidney is presented. Kidney matrix stones present in adults with atypical calcification on X-ray and CT, in the setting of recurrent urinary tract infections and instrumentation. These stones are not amenable to ESWL due to low calcium content. Complete stone clearance and prompt treatment of UTI are essential.
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Introduction

Kidney matrix stones are soft, pliable and amorphous calculi, reported only in adults [1-4]. We herein report a child with solitary kidney and recurrent renal calculi with atypical calcification on imaging identified as kidney matrix stones. This case is presented for its rarity and to create awareness about the entity.

Case Report

A six-year-old male child presented with acute renal failure and obstructive uropathy, elevated blood urea and serum creatinine, hyperkalemia, acidosis and urosepsis (elevated c-reactive protein and total count). The patient had undergone right nephrectomy and left pyelolithotomy two years earlier for bilateral staghorn calculi elsewhere. An X-ray abdomen showed multiple large calculi of varying size in left renal fossa with eggshell type calcification (Figure 1A).

Figure 1:

A. Plain X-ray abdomen showing, radiolucent calculus with peripheral rim enhancement in the left renal pelvis and a radiopaque calculus in lower pole calyx
B. CT KUB showing heterogeneous incomplete staghorn calculus with denser calcification at the center and atypically low Hounsfield units (+120HU)
C. RGP showing a tight stricture at ureter pelvic junction.

Ultrasonogram (USG) of the abdomen revealed gross pyonephrosis of the solitary left kidney filled with multiple calculi. Patient was stabilised with a period of hydration, intravenous antibiotics and catheter drainage. Under general anesthesia a cystoscopic retrograde left Double-J (DJ) stent placement was performed; negotiation was difficult but succeeded with
Renal matrix calculi are a rare subtype of kidney stones which were first reported 100 years ago by Gage and Beal and have also been called fibrinomas, colloid calculi, or albumin calculi. While more frequently encountered calcigerous stones tend to be brittle, matrix stones by contrast are soft, amorphous, and pliable. On average in this subtype of calculus, the noncrystalline, mucoprotein matrix accounts for 65% of its dry weight, compared to just 2.5% in more conventional stones. Analysis by Boyce and Garvey found the matrix to consist of mucopolysaccharide (one-third) and protein (two-thirds). They have predominantly been reported in adult women. The main risk factors for this type of stones are previous surgery for stone disease and/or recurrent UTIs, especially due to Proteus mirabilis or Escherichia-coli. Proteinuric patients with glomerulonephritis on dialysis are also at high risk of developing matrix calculi. Patients can also rarely develop emphysematous Pyelonephritis.

The clinical presentation of patients with matrix stones is similar to those with calcigerous stones. Because of the soft consistency of matrix stones, they may conform to the shape of the renal pelvis and ureter thereby causing gradual urinary obstruction and renal failure. Singh H et al. [6] & Matthews LA et al. [7] described cases of bilateral ureteric obstruction and acute renal failure secondary to bilateral matrix calculi. Patients can also rarely develop emphysematous Pyelonephritis. Imaging appearances vary depending on the mineral composition and the pattern of distribution within the collecting system. Plain X-ray in the present child showed a predominantly radiolucent stone with rim enhancement in the left pelvis, which on ultrasound showed a solid structure without the normal hyperechogenicity of stones and lacked post-acoustic shadowing. Unenhanced CT may identify these calculi which are described as having a mineral rim and soft tissue centre. In our case, CT showed heterogeneous calculi filling renal pelvis with low Hounsfield units (+120HU), which was very atypical for a calculus on CT imaging. Diagnostic uncertainty is common, especially where differential diagnoses for filling defects in adults include tumors. On MRI, the matrix stones have hypointense signal in T1-weighted images, with no contrast enhancement following Gadolinium administration; T2-weighted images show a slight hyperintense signal. However, no specific radiological modality can definitively diagnose matrix stones and surgical intervention is frequently needed. RGP can be used intra operatively as diagnostic tool. Though we avoided RGP at the initial presentation in view of active infection and renal failure, RGP done after the acute episode showed tight stricture at PUJ and filling defects in the pelvicalyceal system. Endourological management is usually advocated; the choice lying between retrograde treatment and Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy (PCNL) depending on stone burden and location. In our case we opted for an open procedure as there was associated PUJ stricture, which was dealt with open pyelolithotomy and pyeloplasty. Retrograde ureterorenoscopy can be diagnostic in complex presentation as well as therapeutic for stone retrieval. In our case the recurrent stone was retrieved using rigid ureteroscope.

Discussion

Renal matrix calculi are a rare subtype of kidney stones which were first reported 100 years ago by Gage and Beal. They have predominantly been reported in adult women. The main risk factors for this type of stones are previous surgery for stone disease and/or recurrent UTIs, especially due to Proteus mirabilis or Escherichia-coli. Proteinuric patients with glomerulonephritis on dialysis are also at high risk of developing matrix calculi. Patients can also rarely develop emphysematous Pyelonephritis. Imaging appearances vary depending on the mineral composition and the pattern of distribution within the collecting system. Plain X-ray in the present child showed a predominantly radiolucent stone with rim enhancement in the left pelvis, which on ultrasound showed a solid structure without the normal hyperechogenicity of stones and lacked post-acoustic shadowing. Unenhanced CT may identify these calculi which are described as having a mineral rim and soft tissue centre. In our case, CT showed heterogeneous calculi filling renal pelvis with low Hounsfield units (+120HU), which was very atypical for a calculus on CT imaging. Diagnostic uncertainty is common, especially where differential diagnoses for filling defects in adults include tumors. On MRI, the matrix stones have hypointense signal in T1-weighted images, with no contrast enhancement following Gadolinium administration; T2-weighted images show a slight hyperintense signal. However, no specific radiological modality can definitively diagnose matrix stones and surgical intervention is frequently needed. RGP can be used intra operatively as diagnostic tool. Though we avoided RGP at the initial presentation in view of active infection and renal failure, RGP done after the acute episode showed tight stricture at PUJ and filling defects in the pelvicalyceal system. Endourological management is usually advocated; the choice lying between retrograde treatment and Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy (PCNL) depending on stone burden and location. In our case we opted for an open procedure as there was associated PUJ stricture, which was dealt with open pyelolithotomy and pyeloplasty. Retrograde ureterorenoscopy can be diagnostic in complex presentation as well as therapeutic for stone retrieval. In our case the recurrent stone was retrieved using rigid ureteroscope.
For complete clearance of larger stones, PCNL is preferred as it has been shown to achieve excellent results with low recurrence rates. Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) is usually ineffective due to the stone’s gelatinous nature and a lack of breakable mineral content [10]. Fortunately, these stones have a very low recurrence rate once the stone is cleared completely [10]. Shah HN et al. [8] in his study stated that antibiotics for 4–6 weeks after PCNL, combined with RGP confirmation of stone clearance at the time of ureteric stent removal, accounts for the virtual absence of recurrent stones. To summarize kidney matrix calculi can pose diagnostic and therapeutic challenges and our case illustrates one such situation.
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