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Abstract
The paper presents a general theoretical framework and related Monte Carlo simulation of novel type of the X-ray sources based on relativistic Thomson scattering of powerful laser radiation. Special attention is paid to the linac X-ray generators by way of two examples: conceptual design for production of 12.4 keV photons and presently operating X-ray source of 29.4 keV photons. Our analysis shows that state-of-the-art laser and accelerator technologies enable to build up a compact linac-based Thomson source for the same X-ray imaging and diffraction experiments as in using of a large-scale X-ray radiation facility like a synchrotron or Thomson generator based on electron storage ring.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The idea that a laser beam Thomson scattering by relativistic electrons can be a route to bright X-ray generators for use in healthcare, science and industry appeared as early as in the 1990s (Carroll et al., 1990; Sprangle et al., 1992). To increase the X-ray output and efficiency the laser beam is stored in cavity and reused (Sprangle et al., 1992; Glotin et al., 1996), with the electrons being provided by a linac (Carroll et al., 1990; Sprangle et al., 1992) or cyclic accelerator (Sprangle et al., 1992; Huang & Ruth, 1998; Loewen, 2003; Bessonov et al., 2003). Since the first experimental studies of Thomson X-ray sources built on the basis of dedicated linacs (Carroll, 2002a; 2002b; 2003; Carroll et al., 2003; Anderson et al., 2004; Toyokawa et al., 2007) the interest to such kind of X-ray sources grew permanently. These sources are expected to fill the gap existing between conventional X-ray tubes and large accelerator-based facilities with respect to X-beam intensity, tunability, size, power supply, cost, etc. (Bessonov et al., 2007, 2009; Gorbunkov et al., 2009). For example, Lyncean Technologies, Inc. (Fremont, CA) offers a “Compact Light Source” – commercial Thomson X-ray generator (TXRG) for production of the photon energies of 10–20 keV. A shortage of compact bright X-ray sources with controllable beam parameters (Sakdinawat & Attwood, 2010; Jacquet & Suortti, 2015) has stimulated a number of projects and programs aimed at TXRG development provided by the advances in powerful lasers and high-current accelerators. Some efforts to build an efficient TXRG for various applications are reviewed in Kraft & Pribe (2010), Jacquet (2014), Serafini et al. (2016).

The importance of compact tens–hundreds keV X-ray sources for the society is well recognized by Scientific and High-Tech Community. It has stimulated a lot of R&Ds as well as a coordination activity (Assoufid et al., 2015). In response to this challenge, this paper presents a concise analysis needed to start the work on design and optimization of a TXRG. Our approach is based on the first principles and enables evaluation, design, and conceptual choice of main TXRG components, including linac, lasers, and photon storage device. The goal can be either a multi-purpose X-ray facility or X-ray source dedicated to a specific application. In the latter case, the X-ray source has to provide the required photon flux within the determined spectral and angular intervals on the illuminated sample area.

A Monte Carlo simulation is known to be a rather effective and accurate method for tracking of the photons toward the sample and recognizing, which of them are acceptable for the specific application. We use this approach to evaluate a potential of linac-based TXRGs for X-ray high-resolution imaging and material analysis of small samples.

Section 2 introduces basic notations and formulas of relativistic Thomson scattering that are required for estimations of the output X-ray flux. Section 3 presents the results of
the analysis of two TXRG projects published recently by collaborations in the USA and Japan. Appendix A deduces general formulas of the relativistic Thomson scattering from the first principles, which will be used in the Monte Carlo simulation. A brief description of the developed software is given in Appendix B.

One can find out that Section 2 and Appendixes A and B are sufficient to perform basic analysis, calculation, and design of the TXRG systems, with a tribute being paid to the textbooks Batygin et al. (1978), Landau and Lifshits (1975), Pauli (1933), Sobol (1994).

2. BASIC FORMULAE

The simplified scheme of the TXRG is shown in Figure 1. It is based on the very well-studied fundamental process – photon–electron scattering. However, this device deals with the collisions of not isolated particles but two bunches (pulses) consisting of up to 10^{10} electrons and 10^{17} laser photons, which are controlled to coincide in time and space at the scales of microns and picoseconds. The output X-ray flux, its spectral and angular widths, space structure, and focusability depend crucially on the space and time matching of the colliding bunches and distribution functions of the electrons and photons. Therefore, a design of TXRGs implies an elaboration of the corresponding realistic models for optimization of accelerator, laser, and synchronization systems to produce the required flux, spectral width, divergence, etc. of the X-ray beam on a sample.

The first point in these efforts is an accurate evaluation of the X rays produced by the Thomson scattering of laser pulses on relativistic electron bunches. The related theory can be found in a number of textbooks, original, and review articles. Some useful references are given in Appendix A.

The energies and momentums acquired by isolated particles in elastic collisions obey energy and momentum conservation laws. The energy of laser photon scattered by moving electron equals to (see Batygin et al., 1978):

\[
E(\vec{p}, \vec{k}_L) = \frac{1 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{k}_L}{1 - \vec{p} \cdot (E_L/E)(1 - \vec{k}_L \cdot \vec{k}_L)} E_L, \tag{1}
\]

where \(E_e\) and \(E_L = h \omega_L\) are the electron and laser photon energies, \(\vec{p} = m_v \vec{v}\) and \(h \vec{k}_L\) are the momentums of colliding electron and photon, \(\vec{k}\) and \(\vec{k}_L\) are the unit vectors defining directions of laser and scattered X-ray photons, \(\vec{v} = e \vec{p}\) is the incident electron velocity, and \(\gamma\) is the relativistic factor. The Thomson and Compton scatterings are distinguished by the value of the parameter \(4 \gamma^3 h \omega_L / mc^2 \ll 1\). Evidently, an effective conversion of laser radiation to the X rays is provided in the case of relativistic (\(\gamma \gg 1\)) counter collisions with \(\vec{p} \approx -\vec{k}_L\approx 1\). Then the energy of the scattered photon (1) can be rewritten as:

\[
E(\vec{p}, \vec{k}_L) = \frac{1 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{k}_L}{1 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{k}_1}(2\gamma^2(1 - \cos \theta_L) - E_L, 1 \ll \gamma \ll \frac{mc^2}{4h \omega_L}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(\theta_L\) and \(\theta\) are the angles of laser and scattered X-ray photons with respect to the electron velocity. One can see that formula (2) can be used for preliminary analysis of TXRG properties. Thus, the maximum X-ray photon energy \(E_m = 4 \gamma^2 E_L\) is achieved in the backscattering with head-on-collisions, when \(\cos \theta_L = -1\) and \(\gamma \theta^2\) \(\ll 1\).

In the case of fixed geometry of the collisions (i.e. \(\vec{p}\) and \(\vec{k}_L\) are fixed) the energy \(E\) of the scattered photon is defined by its direction \(\vec{k}\). This fact gives a possibility to obtain a monochromatization of the TXRG output spectra by using a properly positioned slit or pinhole. Formula (2) gives an angle \(\theta\) at which the X-ray photons of energy \(E\) can be filtered out in such a way:

\[
\theta = 1 - 2 \sqrt{\frac{E_m}{E} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2}{\gamma} - 1\right)}, \quad E_m = 4 \gamma^2 E_L, \quad \gamma \approx 1. \tag{3}
\]

Note that the effects related to the momentum spread in the colliding beams are rather important and they can be estimated with Eq. (2) as well as Jacquet (2014).

To handle the particle momentums distribution rigorously we will use the following expression for the average flux \(\Phi\) of the specified X-ray photons (e.g. within the determined
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ranges of the energy and angle):

\[
\Phi = n c \varepsilon N = n c \varepsilon N e N_l W = \frac{1}{\epsilon} I \eta N_l W, \quad N = N e N_l W. \tag{4}
\]

As was earlier considered in Bessonov et al. (2007), formula (4) implies that electrons entering the TXRG (see Fig. 1) are grouped in the trains of \( n_e \) bunches containing \( N_e \) particles each. These bunch trains are produced by the RF gun with a rate of \( v_e \), an average current of \( I \), and accelerated up to tens of MeV energy by linear accelerator (linac). Then every \( e \)-bunch collides with a stored (or accumulated) pulse of \( N_l^e = \eta N_l \) laser photons within the photon storage device, where \( \eta \) is the coupling factor and \( N_l \) is the amount of photons in the incoming laser pulse. The X rays are generated in a sequence of similar trains of \( e \)-bunches and laser pulses as well as the differential scattering cross-section of the collagen particles. Evidently the RY value \( W \) depends on the particle distribution functions of \( e \)-bunches and laser pulses as well as the differential scattering cross-section of the collagen particles. Appendixes A and B present the explicit formulas and Monte Carlo numerical approach, correspondingly, for a calculation of the value of \( W \). It should be noted that RY does not depend on the number of particles \( N_e \) and \( N_l \) in the colliding beams.

The coupling factor \( \eta \) in Eq. (4) is determined by the optical storage device used. In this paper, we consider a coherent power enhancement cavity (Sprangle et al., 1992; Carstens et al., 2014) or a circulator with intracavity second-harmonic generation (Bessonov et al., 2007; Jovanovic et al., 2007), which have different ratios of the photon numbers inside the storage device and the pump laser: typically \( \eta \approx 10^3 \) for power enhancement cavity and \( \eta \approx 0.25 \) for second-harmonic circulator.

The output X-ray flux (4) can be easily evaluated by introducing the average linac current \( I = e N_e n_e v_e \) and pump laser pulse energy \( E_L = N_l h \omega_L \):

\[
\Phi = \Lambda \cdot [\mu A] [eV] N \cdot [\mu J] W, \quad \Lambda = \frac{1}{e \epsilon [\mu C] h \omega_L [mJ]} = 3.3 \times 10^{28} [\mu C \cdot mJ]^{-1}, \tag{5}
\]

where the value of \( \Lambda \) is determined by the laser wavelength \( \lambda_L \) (for Yb:YAG laser \( \lambda_L = 1.03 \mu m, h\omega_L = 1.2 \text{ eV} \)).

Two parameters – the repetition rates \( v_e \) and \( v_L \) of linac and laser – are absent in Eq. (5) but still important for overall system design analysis because they specify the required power of the driving laser and RF gun. The photon storage resolution is limited by \( v_e = \tau_e^{-1} \leq 150 \text{ MHz} \) and the RF gun by \( v_L \leq 1 \text{ kHz} \) (without superconductive technologies).

In the next section, we will apply the described above approach and formulas to review the prospects of linac-based TXRGs.

### 3. ANALYSIS OF TXRG DESIGN PARAMETERS

As was mentioned in Section 1, the main goal of a TXRG development is to fill up the empty space between large synchrotron radiation facilities and conventional X-ray sources from the viewpoint of construction and operational costs, compactness and flux. Keeping this in mind, we will consider examples of an implementation of two recently reported compact accelerators Shimizu et al. (2015) and Graves et al. (2014) in the TXRGs for X-ray imaging or microanalysis. This review can show how far we are from the stated objective and indicate main directions and bottlenecks of the TXRG development.

Table 1 presents the parameters and simulation results (in last four columns) for two versions of linac-based laser–electron X-ray generators marked as TXRG1 and TXRG2, with the RF gun and accelerator being taken from Shimizu et al. (2015) and Graves et al. (2014), correspondingly. Characteristics of the driving laser and photon storage device were obtained on the basis of the state-of-the-art and emerging laser and optical technologies.

Note that estimation of the X-ray photon flux and parameter RY in Eq. (5) supposes the definition of the spectra according to a specific application. Thus, X-ray imaging technologies such as radiography or tomography deals with the wide X-ray spectra and beam divergence, whereas a microanalysis study such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) does with monochromatic and collimated beams. We calculated two values: total photon flux \( \Phi_T \), which is important for X-ray imaging and photon flux \( \Phi_M \) limited by the narrow spectral interval \( dE/E = 10^{-3} \), which is important for

| \( E \) (keV) | \( E_e \) (MeV) | \( I \) (\( \mu A \)) | \( \varepsilon_n \) (\( \mu m \)) | \( \sigma_e \) (\( \mu m \)) | \( \lambda_L \) (\( \mu m \)) | \( \sigma_L \) (\( \mu m \)) | \( E_L \) (mJ) | \( W_T \) | \( W_M \) | \( \Phi_T \) (1/s) | \( \Phi_M \) (1/s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TXRG1 (experiment) | 29.4 | 40 | 61 | 0.5 | 10 | 1047 | 10 | 0.01 | 1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} | 2.4 \cdot 10^{-22} | 4.8 \cdot 10^{-12} | 0.7 \cdot 10^{10} |
| TXRG2 (design) | 12.4 | 18 | 10 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 315 | 2.5 | 100 | 2.0 \cdot 10^{-18} | 3.0 \cdot 10^{-21} | 1.6 \cdot 10^{-13} | 2.4 \cdot 10^{10} |
microanalysis applications. According to Eq. (5) the fluxes \( \Phi_{T1} \) and \( \Phi_{M} \) are proportional to \( R_{Ys} W_{P} \) and \( W_{M} \). The corresponding values were calculated in here with the help of Monte Carlo simulations described in Appendix B.

There is an essential difference between TXRG1 and TXRG2. First of all, the IR-driving lasers operate in different modes: continuous (162.5 MHz) in TXRG1 and pulsed (1 kHz) in TXRG2. Then, they use different types of the photon storage device: TXRG1 incorporates a power enhancement cavity with the coupling factor \( \eta = 1.5 \times 10^{3} \), TXRG2 does an optical circulator with the coupling factor \( \eta = 0.25 \) in TXRG2. The average laser power values are estimated to be about 1.5 kW (CW) for TXRG1 and 100 W (pulsed) for TXRG2, that is, both laser systems are within the reach of modern laser technology (Carstens et al., 2014; Fattahi et al., 2014; Graves et al., 2014; Reagan et al., 2014).

The predicted total flux \( \Phi_{T} \) (see Table 1) exceeds by 20–80 times the requirements of phase-contrast tomography experiments with a TXRG-type source (Eggl et al., 2015). It is necessary to note here that TXRG used in the cited work Eggl et al. (2015) was a more complicated system of a greater size, since it included a tens MeV storage ring in addition to the linac. The high-flux value is attributable to a smaller size of the electron–photon interaction region.

The technical applicability of the TXRG for XRD measurements is determined by the divergence of its output X-ray beam. This parameter can be obtained from the electron and photon distribution functions with the help of the theoretical approach presented in Appendix A, but here we will confine ourselves with a more simple estimation on the base of Eq. (3). This equation allows one to take into account the given angular and energy spread of the electron and laser beams. Then the X-ray divergence \( \delta \theta \) resulted from the e-beam energy spread \( \delta E / \gamma \) can be estimated as:

\[
\delta \theta = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{2 \delta E}{\gamma} \right)^{1/2}
\]

In further calculations, we will adopt \( \delta E / \gamma = 1\% \) for both examples: TXRG1 (\( \gamma = 78 \)) and TXRG2 (\( \gamma = 35 \)).

Microanalysis (e.g. XRD) applications determine the flux \( \Phi_{T1} \) and beam divergence \( \delta \theta_{1} \) on a sample of small size (diameter) \( \sigma_{1} \). The energy and brightness conservation laws establish the following relation between the final flux \( \Phi_{T1} \) incident onto sample and the initial flux \( \Phi_{M} \), given the ideal optics with 100% throughput:

\[
\Phi_{T1} = \Phi_{M} \left( \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{M}} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{\delta \theta_{1}}{\delta \theta} \right)^{2},
\]

where we use \( \Phi_{M} \) instead of \( \Phi_{T} \) as the flux under consideration is limited by the narrow spectral interval \( dE / E \approx 10^{-3} \), and the source size is \( \sigma \approx \sigma_{M} \approx \sigma_{1} \). With using formulas (6) and (7) and Table 1 one can calculate the X-ray flux produced by either TXRG1 or TXRG2 on a sample of given size \( \sigma_{1} \) with the divergence \( \delta \theta_{1} \). For \( \sigma_{1} = 10 \mu m \) and \( \delta \theta_{1} \approx 10^{-3} \), the calculations result in \( \Phi_{T1} = 2 \times 10^{9} \) ph/s for TXRG1 and \( \Phi_{T1} = 2.4 \times 10^{10} \) ph/s for TXRG2. For comparison, the X-ray beamline for high pressure research at Advanced Photon Source (Shen et al., 2005) provides \( \Phi_{T1} = 5 \times 10^{5} \sim 5 \times 10^{11} \) ph/s with the same experimental parameters, with the diffraction collecting time being from a few seconds to minutes. We expect that a proper application of X-ray optics can reduce the gap between the flux values of synchrotron and TXRG to enable an operative recording of XRD data in homelabs, at least, with \( \sim 1 \) h exposure.

4. SUMMARY

For general analysis of linac-based Thomson scattering X-ray generators (TXRG) we put together the first principles formulas for the rates of elementary processes in collisions of relativistic particles (Landau & Lifshits, 1975) and Monte Carlo method in the standard version of statistical tests (Sobol, 1994). The photon flux of TXRG in a certain phase volume can be easily estimated by using formula (5) in terms of the average linac current \( I \), driving laser pulse energy \( E_{L} \), and reduced photon yield \( W \) (per one electron–photon pair). We introduced the reduced photon yield \( W \) parameter which has been proven to accumulate the influence of all (ten or more, see Appendices A and B) the parameters characterizing the colliding electron and photon beams: emittance, the Raleigh length, beam sizes in the interaction point, angular and energy spread, etc. The version of Thomson scattering theory presented is complete and concise due to direct footing on the basic principles of relativistic mechanics (Landau & Lifshits, 1975).

The results of the study show that existing front edge and projected kW-scale picosecond lasers and 30–60 MeV accelerators allow for construction of high X-ray flux “homelab” sources for the experiments, which currently can be conducted only in big X-ray science centers based on electron storage rings, for example, synchrotrons. The presented approach can be used for designing, further elaboration and optimization of TXRGs as new equipment easily accessible for many applications in science, healthcare, and education (Assoufid et al., 2015).
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The number of events in a volume $d\Omega$ for the period $dt$ caused by electron–photon collisions with the momentum $p = mv\gamma = mc\gamma \vec{β}$ and k-vector $\vec{k}_L = \vec{k}_l \vec{κ}_L$ (see Fig. 2) is:

$$dN = d\Omega dt \cdot n_e(\vec{r}, t)n_L(\vec{r}, t)(c - \vec{k}_L \vec{v}) [d\Omega],$$

(A1)

$n_e(\vec{r}, t)$ and $n_L(\vec{r}, t)$ are the electron and laser photon densities, $\vec{v}$ is the laboratory frame electron velocity, and $[d\Omega]$ is the cross-section of given event in the electron rest frame $S'$. Then the act of a laser photon scattering in the direction $\vec{κ}$ in a solid angle of $d\Omega$ can be described as:

$$[d\Omega]' = \frac{d\sigma(\vec{κ}')}{d\Omega} d\Omega'.$$

(A2)

with $\vec{κ}'$, $d\Omega'$, $\vec{p}'$, $\vec{k}_L'$, $\vec{κ}'$ are the scattered X-ray photon angles $\vec{κ}$, $d\Omega$, electron momentum $\vec{p}$, laser photon k-vector $\vec{k}_L$ and scattered X-ray photon k-vector $\vec{k}$ written in the moving reference frame $S'$. Taking into account (A2) the number of events (A1), that is the number of X-ray photons generated in the direction $\vec{κ}$ and solid angle $d\Omega$, takes the form:

$$dN(\vec{κ}, d\Omega) = d\Omega dt \cdot n_e(\vec{r}, t)n_L(\vec{r}, t)(c - \vec{k}_L \vec{v}) \frac{d\sigma(\vec{κ})}{d\Omega} \frac{d\Omega'}{d\Omega} d\Omega,$$

(A3)

with the well-known expressions for the Jacobian and Thomson cross-section (Batyg in et al., 1978):

$$\frac{d\Omega'}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2(1 - \beta \vec{k}_L \vec{k})},$$

$$\frac{d\sigma(\vec{κ})}{d\Omega} = \sigma_{th}(\vec{κ}, \vec{k}_L, \vec{κ}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\vec{κ}' \vec{κ}_L)^2}{r_0},$$

$$r_0 = \frac{e^2}{mc^2}, \quad \vec{k}_L \vec{k} = 1 - \frac{1 - \vec{k}_L \vec{κ}}{\gamma^2(1 - \beta \vec{k})(1 - \beta \vec{k}_L)}.$$  

(A4)

Formulas (A3) and (A4) provide a theoretical basis for calculation and characterization of X-ray radiation produced by a scattering of laser pulse on electron bunch. For example, total number of the events in one e-bunch – laser pulse collision can be found by integrating of (A3) over space and time to produce:

$$dN(\vec{κ}, d\Omega) = N_e N_l W,$$

(A5)

where $W$ is the RY, defined as the number of events under consideration per one pair of the colliding particles:

$$W = \int d\vec{r} d\vec{p} d\vec{k}_L dN_e(\vec{r}, t)n_L(\vec{r}, t)\Phi_e(\vec{p})\Phi_l(\vec{k}_L)(c - \vec{k}_L \vec{v})$$

$$\times \frac{d\sigma(\vec{κ}')}{d\Omega} \frac{d\Omega'}{d\Omega} d\Omega.$$  

(A6)
with using the normalized distribution functions:

\[ n_\epsilon(\vec{r}, t), \quad n_\lambda(\vec{r}, t), \quad \phi_\epsilon(\vec{p}), \quad \phi_\lambda(\vec{k}_L); \]

\[ \int d\vec{r} n_\epsilon(\vec{r}, t) = \int d\vec{p} \phi_\epsilon(\vec{p}) = \int d\vec{r} n_\lambda(\vec{r}, t) = \int d\vec{k}_L \phi_\lambda(\vec{k}_L) = 1. \]

(A7)

Note that (A6) includes an averaging over the momentums of colliding particles unlike Eq. (A3). The energy and angular spread of the momentums, especially of electron momentums, is very important due to their strong effect on the spectral and angular properties of the output X-ray radiation. One can see also the dominant role of the electrons from formula (2) in Section 2.

Formula (A6) is the example of explicit expression for the formula of the output X-ray flux. The value of \( W \) contains full information about the structure of the colliding beams and interparticle interaction, that is, kinematics and dynamics of the explored reaction in the colliding beams. The usage of \( W \) proves to be very convenient for design and review purposes in a study of electron and IR photon X-ray sources and evaluation of the output X-ray flux.

In the subsection below the electron and laser photon distribution functions will be taken as Gaussian to produce a convenient form of the equations for Monte Carlo simulation of the output X-ray flux.

A1.2. Parameterization of the colliding beams

In practice the TXRG spectrum can be adequately described with a replacement of the particle densities in Eq. (A6) with the standard Gaussian functions:

\[ n(\vec{r}) = n_\epsilon(z) n_\lambda(\vec{p}, z); \quad n_\epsilon(z) = \frac{\exp(-(z^2/2\sigma_\epsilon^2))}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \]

\[ n_\lambda(\vec{p}, z) = \frac{\exp[-(\vec{p}^2/2\sigma_\lambda^2(z))]}{2\pi\sigma_\lambda^2(z)}, \quad \vec{p} = (x, y), \]

where for the electrons:

\[ \sigma_\epsilon(z) = \sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{\beta^2}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\epsilon} \text{ is the emittance}, \]

(A8)

and for the photons:

\[ \sigma_\lambda(z) = \sigma_\lambda \sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{z_R^2}}, \quad z_R = \frac{4\pi\sigma_\lambda^2}{\lambda} \text{ is the Raleigh length}. \]

(A9)

Note that according to (A8) the intervals \([-\sigma/4, -\sigma/4]\) and \([-\sigma/2, -\sigma/2]\) contain only 1/8 and 2/5 of the total number of particles, respectively. In electron momentum distribution function \( \phi_\epsilon(\vec{p}) \) we use the relativistic factor \( \gamma \) instead of \( p \), so that:

\[ \varphi_\epsilon(\vec{p}) = \begin{cases} (\gamma\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-1}, & \text{if } \gamma \in [\gamma - \delta\gamma/2, \gamma + \delta\gamma/2], \quad 0 < \gamma < \gamma_e, \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma \text{ and } \theta \text{ are outside the above area}. \end{cases} \]

(A11)

The photon distribution in \( \vec{k}_L \) space can be rewritten in the similar form as well.

Parameterization (A8)–(A11) is useful for both numerical and analytical calculations of the RY \( W \) [see (A6)]. In a number of simple or special cases, the corresponding analytical formula can be derived, that provides an effective tool for analysis of TXRG design, device scaling, accelerator and laser parameters and so on. Thus, a head-on collision of ideally synchronized beams presents an example of simple geometry of the colliding beams. In this case the electron and photon densities (A8) can be written in the form (Brown & Hartemann, 2004):

\[ n_\epsilon(\vec{r}, t) = n_\epsilon(z - vt) n_\epsilon^2(\vec{p}, z) \quad \text{for the electrons}, \]

(A12)

\[ n_\lambda(\vec{r}, t) = n_\lambda(z + ct) n_\lambda^2(\vec{p}, z) \quad \text{for the photons}. \]

(A13)

APPENDIX B

B1. THE MONTE CARLO SIMULATION OF THE THOMSON SCATTERING

The Monte Carlo method is an effective numerical method for solving complex mathematical problems with the help of random variables. A simulation of the electron-photon collision processes, for example, Compton or Thomson scattering, is a typical example with a 50-years long story of successful applications in space and laboratory physics (Pozdnyakov et al., 1983; Sobol, 1994; Krivosheev et al., 2009). Below we will present the Monte Carlo basics (Sobol, 1994) as well as specific details of our computer implementation of the Thomson scattering simulation.

The trajectory of each electron in the laser–electron interaction zone is divided into intervals \([\vec{v}_i \cdot t_i, \vec{v}_i \cdot (t_i + \Delta t)],\)

![Fig. 3. Scheme of the Thomson scattering in the Monte Carlo simulation.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms)
where the laser photon density and unit vector are considered to be \( n_0(\vec{r}_i, t_i) \) and \( \hat{\vec{r}}_{i,r} \), respectively (see Fig. 3). Then, the probability \( dP_i \) of the Thomson scattering on \( i \)th segment can be written as:

\[
dP_i = \sigma_T \left( 1 - \frac{\hat{\vec{r}}_{i,r} \vec{v}_i}{c} \right) n_0(\vec{r}_i, t_i) d\vec{r},
\]

(B1)

where \( \sigma_T \) is the total cross-section of the Thomson scattering, \( \vec{v}_i \) is the electron velocity, and \( c \) is the light speed.

According to the random sampling approach, the event of electron–photon scattering will be counted only, if \( dP_i > r_1 \), with \( r_1 \) being a random number distributed uniformly in the range \([0, 1]\). Due to low cross-sectional values and, consequently, low probability \( dP_i \) of the Thomson scattering we check this condition for the given electron only once after this condition met. Then the computation of the electron trajectory stops, and we determine the properties of the scattered X-ray photon.

The “rare events collisions” is the main assumption validating the described Monte Carlo approach and formula (B1). It results from the fact that a laser photon pathlength is much longer than the electron bunch length in all known linac designs. Multiple collisions and the corresponding spectrum of relativistic Thomson and Compton scattering is studied in Potylitsyn and Kolchuzhkin (2014).

In the rest frame of the particular electron, the differential cross-section of the Thomson scattering is:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d(-\cos\theta')} = \frac{3}{8} \sigma_T (1 + \cos^2 \theta'),
\]

(B2)

where \( \theta' \) is the scattering angle of the X-ray photon (see Fig. 3). The corresponding probability function \( F \) is:

\[
F(-\cos\theta') = \frac{-\cos\theta'}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + x^2} dx = -\cos^3\theta' - \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{3}{2} \cos \theta' + \frac{1}{2} \right].
\]

(B3)

Then the scattering angles \( \varphi' \) and \( \theta' \) of the scattered photon can be modeled as:

\[
\varphi' = 2\pi r_2,
\]

(B4)

\[
\theta' = -\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2 + 4r_3 + \sqrt{5 - 16r_3 + 16r_3^2}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2 + 4r_3 + \sqrt{5 - 16r_3 + 16r_3^2}}} \right)
\]

(B5)

where \( r_2 \) and \( r_3 \) are uniformly distributed random numbers in the range \([0, 1]\). Finally, the calculated vector \( \vec{k}' \) of scattered X-ray photon is converted to the laboratory frame.

If the condition \( dP_i > r_1 \) is failed, we have to continue the simulation of the electron trajectory and laser photon properties over all the following segments until either Thomson scattering act or leaving the interaction zone.

Our program for TXRG Monte Carlo simulation has got two options: (1) the calculations are made with a given dataset \( \{\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i\} \) containing needed kinetic parameters of the electron ensemble; or (2) the program generates the input dataset \( \{\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i\} \) itself.

In the presented review, we use the second option: the electron distribution is calculated with the help of a special subroutine:

\[
(x - x_0)^2/(\varepsilon_x \beta_x) + (y - y_0)^2/(\varepsilon_y \beta_y) + (z - z_0)^2/l_n^2 \leq 1
\]

\[
(p_x - p_{x0})^2/(\varepsilon_x \beta_x) + (p_y - p_{y0})^2/(\varepsilon_y \beta_y) + (p_z - p_{z0})^2/\Delta \gamma \leq p_{\beta0}^2
\]

\[
(x - x_0)^2/\beta_x + ((p_x - p_{x0})/p_{\beta0})^2 \beta_x \leq \varepsilon_x
\]

\[
(y - y_0)^2/\beta_y + ((p_y - p_{y0})/p_{\beta0})^2 \beta_y \leq \varepsilon_y
\]

\[
(z - z_0)^2/\Delta \gamma/\epsilon_c + (\gamma - \gamma_0)^2/l_c/\Delta \gamma \leq l_c/\Delta \gamma,
\]

(B6)

where \( \{x_0, y_0, z_0, p_{x0}, p_{y0}, p_{z0}\} \) is the center of the electron distribution, \( \beta_x = \beta_y = \beta = \beta \) is the beta function, \( \varepsilon_x = \varepsilon_y = \varepsilon \) is the electron emittance, \( l_n \) is semi-length of the bunch, \( \gamma \) is the relativistic factor of the bunch, \( \Delta \gamma \) is a half width of the \( \gamma \) spectra.

The Monte Carlo program is implemented with using C++, C#, and LUA languages. For a user’s convenience the program can be controlled through a www on-line interface: http://93.81.242.254:55000/fiber_web/lexg.aspx. The on-line form opens all input fields for the electron and laser beams parameters: bunch and laser pulse lengths, radii, energy, emittance, and so on. The results of the Monte Carlo? calculation for the specified TXRG parameters are to be sent to an indicated e-mail address.