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1. Introduction

As severe global problems nowadays, environmental pollutions are seriously threatening human beings’ health and economic development. The contaminations in air, water, and soil, etc., cause many health problems such as allergy, cardiovascular disorder, and infant mortality. According to the investigation published in 2017 by The Lancet Commission on pollution and health, about nine million premature deaths in the whole world were considered to associate with environmental pollutions only in 2015. Notably, about 6.5 million deaths were considered to result from air pollution, and 1.8 million deaths were linked to water pollution. As is known, air pollution usually occurs when excessive amounts of noxious substances enter the earth’s atmosphere [1]. Air pollution sources include gases (such as ammonia [2-4] and carbon monoxide [5,6]), suspending organic/inorganic particulate matters (PM) [7-9], biological molecules, etc., all of which can cause direct damage to the atmosphere. Besides, water pollution is another severe problem that human beings are facing nowadays. The industrial effluents have already caused severe water pollution due to the heavy metal ions in the water supplies or toxic waste [10]. For example, poor water qualities were reported in Evanston in the U. S. since the toxic chromium (Cr (VI)) was found in the drinking water supplies in 2011.

Tremendous efforts have been made to protect natural environments and to reduce air and water pollution, such as setting up more strict industrial emission regulations, developing electric vehicles, and producing more sustainable power supplies. Among all the efforts to protect natural environments, removing pollutants directly from the air emits or polluted water is undoubtedly one of the most effective methods. Numerous novel techniques are developed based on such purpose, and some of them have already been successfully applied in our daily life or industrial fields. The most common and widely-used devices in daily life are portable air filters and active carbon-based in-house water purifiers. Conventional materials or devices used for filtration or purification usually have some shortages, such as low adsorption efficiency, small capacity, limited durability, and lack of reusability. In contrast, functional nano-fiber membranes draw considerable attention in recent years due to their excellent properties to overcome the conventional limitations mentioned earlier. There are many ways to fabricate functional nano-fiber mats, such as self-assembly [11-13], melt-blowing [14-16], ultrasonically-blowing [17,18], solution blowing [19-21], electrospinning [22,23], and direct blow-spinning [24,25]. Among all the above methods for producing nanofibers, electrospinning is considered as a very effective one and has been widely adopted for both academic and industrial applications. Electrospun nanofibers show many advantages for filtration and purification because of their tiny fiber diameters, large specific areas, strong adhesion towards PM particles, abundant pores, tunable structures, simple chemical modifications, and low costs, etc. Therefore, functional
Electrospun nanofibers have already been applied in biomedical and environmental applications, such as tissue engineering [26–28], biomaterials [29–31], energy storage [32–34], drug delivery & releasing [35–37], and environmental protection [38–40], etc.

In this work, we review the experimental setup, mechanisms, structural design, effecting parameters of the basic electrospinning method, which is used to fabricate solid nanofibers. In the meanwhile, three types of nanofibers with advanced structures (core–shell, alignment, and hollow) and the corresponding fabrication methods are introduced. Then, we summarize the environmental applications of electrospun nanofibers in air filtration, heavy metal ions adsorption process for water purification purposes, and self-cleaning applications. We review the applying mechanism and recent experimental approaches for the development of each application. Finally, we give our conclusions and outlooks in this field.

2. Fabrication of electrospun nanofibers

As a promising and effective procedure to continuously produce nanofibers, Formhals issued the first electrospinning US patent in 1934 [41]. Electrospinning can be classified into two categories based on the types of spinning substances, i.e., solvent electrospinning and melt electrospinning. Here, in this review, the spinning materials and experimental progress are focused on solvent electrospinning unless melt electrospinning process is specified.

2.1. Polymeric materials and solvents

A wide range of polymers can be used for electrospinning and forming various nanofibers with tunable sizes for different applications. The polymers used for fabricating electrospun nanofibers can be classified into two genres, i.e., natural polymers, and synthetic polymers. Natural polymers indicate the polymeric materials that occur in nature or derivations from natural origins. For instance, collagen [23,42,43], gelatin [44–46], soy protein [47,48], silk [29], cellulose [49], and chitosan [50] belong to natural polymers. Natural polymers are found to be environmentally benign and beneficial for the development of tissue engineering [51], biodiesels, and chemical industry, etc. Synthetic polymers refer to human-made polymers derived from petroleum products, which include common polymers such as polyester, Teflon, nylon, polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and polyacrylonitrile (PAN) [52]. Synthetic polymers are widely used in industries and households. The typical applications include Teflon served in non-stick cookware,nylons in textiles, and PVC in pipes, etc. The polymers are usually dissolved in proper solvents to form solutions for electrospinning. Common solvents include water, ethanol, chloroform, dimethylformamide (DMF), and tetrahydrofuran (THF), etc. The polymers used for electrospinning method need to be soluble in solvents and their molecular weight need to be large enough to allow polymer chains to entangle during the electrospinning process. Generally, the selected polymeric materials will be mixed with proper solvents and stirred at the desired operating temperature for a sufficient time to completely dissolve the polymers. Different combinations of polymers and solvents serve for different purposes. For example, PAN and DMF solutions are applied for fabricating PAN nanofibers and enhancing the heat transfer rate in pool boiling [17]. Polystyrene (PS) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) solutions are utilized for the research of ion exchange [53]. PLGA, a copolymer of poly (glycolic acid) (PGA) and polyactic acid (PLA), was mixed with chloroform and DMF solvents and used to investigate the interactions between cells and nanofibers for bone regeneration [54]. Chitosan and TiO2 nanoparticles are mixed in acetic acid to test the nanofiber adsorbability of heavy metal ions [55].

2.2. Basic electrospinning setup and fabrication process

Generally, electrospinning is conducted at room temperature and under atmospheric pressure. The basic electrospinning setup depicted in Fig. 1a usually consists of four parts, i.e., spinneret, fiber collector, high-voltage power supply and flow control pump. A plastic syringe with a metallic needle is used as a spinneret. A metallic needle is attached to the syringe and act as an electrode. A collector connects to the applied voltage and acts as the counter electrode. The distance between the collector (the counter electrode) and the spinneret tip (primary electrode) varies according to experimental parameters. In the basic electrospinning setup, the collector is usually placed 10–25 cm away from the needle tip and has a variety of configurations. The high-voltage power supply provides an electrostatic field between two electrodes. The provided power usually ranges from 10 kV to 50 kV. The plastic syringe, which is filled up with prepared polymer solutions, is installed on the flow control pump. The pump adjusts the flow rate of polymer solutions, which varies from microliters per hour to milliliters per hour, depending on the solution viscosity and the spinneret size.

When a polymer solution is charged with high voltage, the electrostatic field between the electrodes immediately imposes an electric
Maxwell stress onto the solution droplet. The imposed stress deforms the droplet into a cone shape, which is known as Taylor cone, and initiates an electrospinning process [56]. Once a solution jet is initiated, it will be immediately stretched into a long and thin filament due to the drag force. After a short straight part, the jet experiences bending instability, splitting process, and fast solvent evaporation process. Finally, the jet forms into one or many long and thin filaments, which depends on the properties of polymer solutions and other parameters. The resulting fiber size ranges from nanometers to several microns. Randomly orientated fibers are collected on a grounded collector. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of solid electrospun nanofibers produced from different combinations of polymer and solvent are shown in Figs. 1b-1e.

2.3. Effecting parameters

Fiber formations and morphologies are largely affected by experimental parameters, including the applied voltage [61,62], distance between the two electrodes [63], and the solution flow rate [64], etc. Furthermore, the solution conductivity [65,66], solution viscosity [67], humidity [68–70], and temperature [71–73], etc., are also important factors. The impact of these parameters on electrospinning is briefly summarized in Table 1.

The applied voltage is a critical parameter for fiber formation. The charged jets ejected from the Taylor cone only occur when the applied voltage exceeds the threshold voltage. Otherwise, the solution droplet will suspend on the spinneret if the provided voltage is inadequate. The properties of the electrospinning solution determine the values of the threshold voltage. The effects of the applied voltage on the fiber morphologies are complicated. For example, it had been reported by Doshi and Reneker that the radius of polyethylene oxide (PEO) nanofibers did not show clear responses to the changing applied voltage [74], while Bakar et al. reported that the diameter of PAN nanofibers increased with the voltage increase [75]. Thus, it is still in debate regarding the general effects of the applied voltage to fiber morphologies. The flow rate determines the solution feedstock to the spinneret. A slow flow rate may result in polymer solidification inside the spinneret tip, which thus cannot form into a Taylor cone. In contrast, the solutions will drip from the spinneret tip at a fast pumping rate. Within an appropriate range, the impact of the solution flow rate on the fiber morphologies is evident. Any increase of feeding rate leads to the enlarged diameter of the electrospun fibers [76,77]. The distance between spinneret and collector is another crucial parameter to determine the fiber morphologies. Increasing the distance will contribute to the increased jets traveling time and solvent evaporation time. Therefore, thinner fibers can be collected if the distance between electrodes is increased. However, it should be noticed that such phenomena will only occur when the working distance does not exceed an appropriate range [75,78].

### Table 1

The solution and surroundings effects on the formation and morphologies of electrospun nanofibers.

| Parameters       | Impact on electrospinning                                                                 | Reference |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Conductivity     | Increasing solution conductivity can facilitate the jet stretching, reducing the bead forming possibilities and fiber diameter, resulting in the improvement of fiber quality | [66,66,79] |
| Viscosity        | High solution viscosity leads to insufficient strength to stretch jets into fibers; jets will break into droplets directly, and no fibers will form if the viscosity is too low | [67]      |
| Surface tension  | Reducing surface tension contributes to smooth fiber morphologies                          | [80]      |
| Solvent volatility| Generally, the volatile solvent can evaporate rapidly and facilitate the jet solidification; too high volatile solvent may lead to the quick block of the needle tip. | [80]      |
| Humidity         | High surrounding humidity may prevent the solvent evaporation during the jet solidification process and lead to undesirable fiber morphologies; such effect depends on the employed polymer. | [68–70]   |
| Temperature      | Increasing the surrounding temperature will increase the solvent evaporation rate and reduce the solution viscosity simultaneously, and result in the decreasing fiber diameter. | [71–73]   |

2.4. Electrospun nanofibers with unique structures

Solid nanofibers can be fabricated via primary electrospinning processes and have been applied in many areas. However, solid nanofibers and basic fabrication processes cannot fulfill the growing requests for advanced nanofibers. It is always in great demand that nanofibers have more wanted properties such as higher qualities, more functionalities, larger surface areas, high permeability, and porosity. Thus, several modifications have been made to the basic setup, and advanced fiber structures have been developed. The improvement mainly focuses on fiber deposited orientations and fiber morphologies. Three types of specially-designed nanofibers, including aligned nanofibers, core–shell nanofibers, and hollow nanofibers, are summarized below. These fiber morphologies are closely related to the environmental applications of electrospun nanofibers.

2.4.1. Aligned nanofibers

Electrospun nanofibers usually deposit randomly on collectors and form nonwoven mats. The first design to fabricate electrospun nanofibers with uniaxial orientation was reported in 2001 [81]. This experimental setup still employed some necessary facilities: a single-compartment spinneret, a syringe pump, and a high voltage supply. Here, a rotating disc with a sharpened edge of 53.2° was utilized as the fiber collector. Controlling the fiber orientations on collectors and arranging them into desired alignments are of great significance and extensive application potential. Since well-aligned or highly ordered nanofibers exhibit enhanced performance. For instance, aligned polyactic acid (PLLA) electrospun nanofibers facilitated the phosphorylation of mesenchymal stem cells [82] and contributed 8.11% efficiency enhancement for capturing cancer cells [60]. Therefore, fiber orientation improvement has attracted worldwide attention. The specific expectations are found in fields such as biomedicine, tissue engineering, and composite reinforcement. Fabricating aligned electrospun nanofibers is challenging due to unstable and intervened polymer jets. So far, the efforts regarding fiber alignments include modifying collectors, changing experimental processes, and adding extra forces.

Collectors used in the basic electrospinning process are grounded conductive plates. It is feasible to reduce the jet inventions and enhance the alignment degree by using modified collectors. So far, the collectors used to collect aligned fibers can be categorized into two types: rotating and parallel. A typical rotating collector is a copper wire-framed drum, which is composed of two circular nonconducting disks and several connecting PVC pipes (Fig. 2a) [83]. The fiber orientations on this configuration were driven by electrostatic interactions, which include i) the electrostatic force generated by the applied electric field, and ii) the forces between the charged incoming fibers and the ones attached to the collector. The degree of fiber alignment depends on the rotating speed of the drum. Moreover, Zhao et al. utilized parallel electrodes to collect polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) nanofibers [84]. The gap between two parallel electrodes served as a fiber collector. This configuration could form a positively charged ring between the spinneret and two parallel
electrospinning. Among these approaches, coaxial electrospinning is considered as a versatile method to fabricate core–shell electrospun nanofibers. Therefore, core–shell electrospinnable materials. Therefore, core–shell nanofibers could be fabricated highly aligned polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) nanofibers via CES. They also reported that the alignment degree of nanofibers could be improved by increasing the spinneret rotating speed [91]. Furthermore, Erickson et al. employed CES to fabricate chitosan and polycaprolactone (PCL) co-polymeric nanofibers, which possessed highly aligned pattern and relatively large surface area [92]. Moreover, an extra magnetic field can assist the fabrication of highly aligned nanofibers. A magnetic-field-assisted electrospinning (MFAES) system still employs a vertical stationary spinneret, while two magnets are added onto the planar collector. By utilizing this simple modification, Mei et al. prepared highly aligned PAN/polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)/multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) nanofibers. Meanwhile, it had been found that higher concentration of MWCNTs and lower applied voltage could contribute to higher fiber alignments [93].

### 2.4.2. Core–shell nanofibers

Electrospun nanofibers fabricated via basic setup have been demonstrated to be effective in many fields. However, the solid structure of nanofiber and spinning material requirements have limited their applications in areas such as drug-releasing and tissue engineering. Special additives may lose activities during the fabrication processes of solid nanofibers in normal electrospinning processes. In contrast, core–shell nanofibers can encapsulate functional agents inside the core and sustain their activities in the meanwhile. Besides, the core–shell structure allows specific components to be imparted onto the fiber shell and does not weaken the core functionalities. Furthermore, core–shell nanofibers enable many unsippable polymers to be utilized as electrospinnable materials. Therefore, core–shell electrospun nanofibers have attracted wide attention and been studied with various materials and purposes. For instance, Lee et al. employed self-healing transparent core–shell nanofibers for anti-corrosive protections. They used PAN as the shell and dimethyl-methyl hydrogen-siloxane as the core [94]. Mickova et al. reported a type of core–shell nanofibers with embedded liposomes. These fibers utilized polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) as the core and poly-e-caprolactone as the shell. The inside enzymatic activities were well preserved [95].

Core–shell nanofibers can be fabricated via several methods, such as multistep template synthesis, chemical deposition, and coaxial electrospinning. Among these approaches, coaxial electrospinning is considered as a versatile method to fabricate core–shell nanofibers. Coaxial
Electrospinning was firstly developed in 2003 [96]. Such development was inspired by traditional fields, like the melt spinning of core–shell structured fibers [56]. The setup of coaxial electrospinning still employs a high-voltage power supply, a flow control pump, and a nanofiber collector. Instead of using a single-compartment spinneret, two separate syringes or a double-compartment syringe are utilized. This unique design allows two solutions simultaneously following in the same nozzle (Fig. 3a) [97]. The mechanism of co-electrospinning is similar to the common electrospinning. When a direct current (DC) electric field is applied between the spinneret and the ground collector, a core–shell droplet transforms into a cone shape. It also contains processes such as jet thinning, solvent evaporating, and jet stretching. Finally, the core–shell nanofibers are formed and collected by a grounded collector (Fig. 3b) [56,98]. Polymers used for coaxial electrospinning require similar dielectric properties. The further modification of coaxial electrospinning is triaxial electrospinning, in which three polymer solutions are provided to the spinneret. Currently, two main types of spinnerets are used for triaxial electrospinning: (i) a triple-compartment spinneret and (ii) a concentric spinneret using three separate syringes. Nanofibers with three independent channels can be obtained by the former spinneret (Fig. 3c and 3d), while the latter case will result in nanofibers with a core–shell–shell structure (Fig. 3e and 3f) [99,100].

The nanofiber morphologies and mechanical properties can be adjusted by changing experimental parameters in coaxial electrospinning. For example, Chen et al. reported that changing the feeding ratio of two polymers could modify the core–shell structure. This work fabricated heparin/poly(l-lactic acid-co-ε-caprolactone) [P(LLA-CL)] core–shell nanofibers via coaxial electrospinning method. By increasing the feeding ratio of core and shell solution from 1:3 to 1:2, the diameter of the fiber core was increased from ~90 nm to ~180 nm. As the feeding ratio was increased to 1:1, strings with beads formed [101]. Besides, the miscibility of the core and shell solution is another factor that tremendously influences the coaxial electrospinning process. It was reported that two immiscible core and shell components could fabricate well-defined core/shell structure. Partially miscible solutions will lead to the blending products formed on the fiber surface [99].

2.4.3. Hollow nanofibers

Hollow nanofibers (Fig. 4a) are considered to be excellent candidates for novel applications, especially in energy storage and sensors. Chemical deposition and coaxial electrospinning techniques are mainly employed to prepare hollow nanofibers [102]. The former technique requires a precursor polymeric nanofiber to be used as a sacrificial template. Targeting materials are coated onto the outer surface of the precursor nanofibers in proper thicknesses. Then, hollow nanofibers can be obtained after removing the templates. For instance, a thin layer of TiO2 sol was coated on PAN nanofibers (template) to fabricated 135 nm ultrafine TiO2 hollow nanofibers, which was only about 12.6% of the pristine PAN nanofibers (~1 µm) in size [103].

The process of preparing hollow nanofibers via coaxial electrospinning is similar to chemical vapor deposition (CVD) methods. Proper substances are selected as cores and shells separately, and hollow nanofibers are obtained after removing the core materials from the core–shell structures. Compared with the previous method, fabricating hollow nanofibers via coaxial electrospinning is more preferred. Since utilizing coaxial electrospinning only concerns the proper post-treatments, which is relatively more straightforward than CVD methods. For instance, Ce(NO3)3/PVP core–shell nanofibers were prepared via coaxial electrospinning method [104]. The core PVP (melting point 130 °C) was easily removed by heating the core–shell nanofibers at a temperature of over 300 °C [104]. Li and Xia fabricated core–shell fibers with PVP/Ti(OiPr)4 solution and mineral oil. The hollow TiO2...
nano fibers were obtained after hydrolyzing Ti(OiPr)4 in the air for 1 h and immersing the nonwovens in octane for overnight [105]. In addition to the simple post-treatment process, manipulating the hollow (core) dimensions via changing pinning parameters is relatively easy. Hollow nano fibers have attracted extensive attention from both academic and industrial communities because of the increased specific surface areas, higher porosity, and permeability, etc. Such advantages make the hollow nanofiber into a good purifier, energy capacitor, and sensor, etc. For instance, Gao et al. tested the absorbability of hollow α-Fe2O3 fibers with dye [106]. Electrospun PVA nanofiber mat was immersed into degassed FeCl3·6H2O/FeCl2·4H2O mixtures for 1 h. The formed PVA-Fe3O4 composite was heated at 600 °C to remove the PVA precursor (Fig. 4b). An SEM image of a hollow nanofiber was shown in Fig. 4c. The hollow α-Fe2O3 fibers exhibited an absorption capacity of methyl orange (MO) of around 93% in 10 min and 100% in 15 min. This work demonstrated that hollow nanofibers could serve as an excellent adsorbent. Recently, the sensitivity of Pr doped BiFeO3 hollow nanofiber for formaldehyde was tested [107]. Compared with BiFeO3 nanoparticles and BiFeO3 walnut-shaped microspheres, the response rate of hollow BiFeO3 nanofibers to formaldehyde was enhanced by 4.8 and 3.6 times, respectively. Furthermore, the obtained sensors required relatively low operation temperature, which demonstrated the enormous potential of hollow nanofibers being used as a highly sensitive gas sensor. Moreover, the advanced structure of the hollow nanofiber makes it available to cooperate with different materials. For example, Chang et al. filled the silver (Ag) nanoparticles into TiO2 hollow nanofibers via coaxial electrospinning. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) images of Ag nanoparticles filled TiO2 hollow nanofibers were shown in Fig. 4d and 4e. Ag nanoparticles filled in hollow nanofibers were found to possess a better degradation ability other than TiO2 powders and Ag-TiO2 fibers. The mechanism of photoactive charge injection and charge separation in Ag nanoparticles was depicted in Fig. 4f [108].

3. Environmental applications of electrospun nanofibers

3.1. Particulate filtration

3.1.1. Mechanism of particulate filtration via fibrous filters

Particulate filtration is a method used to remove PM particles from
the air streams (Fig. 5a). As stated, the source and types of particles in the air are different. The mechanisms of particulates removal can be classified into two categories: steady-state and unsteady-state. For the unsteady state, the filter adsorption capacity and pressure drop will change as the filtration process continues. The mechanism of air filtration at the unsteady state is complicated and still underdeveloped. The steady-state mechanism [109,110] of air filtration includes straining, interception, diffusion, inertial impaction, electrostatic effect, and gravity effect (Fig. 5b).

1. Straining/Sieving. Sieving occurs when the pore size of a membrane is smaller than the sizes of captured particles. A filter works just like a sieve. This effect is closely related to the pore sizes and density of filters.

2. Inertial impaction. Inertial impaction is based on the sudden change of the airflow direction. Some large particulates (size range 0.3–1 µm) tend to maintain the original moving velocity/path due to the inertia. Therefore, large particles will be separated from the air stream and captured by the filter. The inertial impaction mechanism is applicable when a high concentration of coarse particles exists.

3. Interception. Interception involves physical contact between particles and fibers. As particles transfer through the fibrous matrix, they will move closely to fibers and be captured by van der Waals forces. The intercepted particles are smaller than the particles captured by the inertia impaction. It should be noted that sieving, inertia impaction, and interception are dominant filtration mechanisms for the particle size larger than 0.2 µm.

4. Diffusion. The diffusion mechanism is applicable for gas molecules and small particles (sizes range smaller than 0.2 µm). Small particles and molecules collide with each other and move in random directions. Such phenomena are widely known as Brownian motion. Thus, the more intensive the random movement, the more likely the fibers are to catch small particles. Diffusion mainly occurs when air stream passes through a filter in low velocities.

5. Electrostatic attraction. Electrostatic attraction involves the charged particles and charged fibrous collectors. Fibers attract particles if they are oppositely charged. Otherwise, particles will be repelled by the filters with the same charges. Electrostatic attraction is utilized for removing fine particulates, such as PM 2.5 (the dynamic diameters of PM particles are smaller than 2.5 µm) and smoke.

6. Gravity effects. Gravity effect means particulates will precipitate due to the gravitational force. This mechanism is only applicable when the particle size is larger than 0.5 µm.

3.1.2. The advantages of electrospun nanofibrous particulate filters

The conventional particulate filters include fabrics, porous ceramics, glass fibers, papers, and active carbon, etc. A fabric filter is considered as a stable, economical material to remove particles in the airflow. The fabric filters are efficient on the removal of particles with sizes in microscale and larger than filter openings. As the airflow passes through a fabric filter, the particles will be intercepted on the fabric surface. Only when a certain thickness of dust is built on the surface, will the fabric filters be effective for the removal of fine particulates. Once the particulate layer is damaged or removed, the filtration efficiency of fabric filters will be tremendously reduced. Therefore, the current fabric filters cannot fully satisfy the rising standards of living qualities due to rapidly worsening PM pollution.

The filter performance is highly affected by fiber sizes [111,112], specific surface areas [113], mat base weight [114], and packing densities [115], etc. Since the 1980s, electrospun nanofibers have been used as particle filters to remove air pollutants [116]. Electrospun nanofibrous membranes have advantages such as higher porosity (80–90%), smaller fiber size (nanoscale), and larger specific surface area, which are considered to be valid promotions compared with the microscale filters. Therefore, electrospun nanofibers have shown a promising future of being used as high-efficiency air filtration media.

3.1.3. Performance evaluations

In most cases, the filtration process is strongly related to air pressure drop, airflow velocities, and filtration areas, etc. The proper filter selection is usually characterized by filtration efficiency and airflow resistance.

The particle collecting efficiency refers to the ability of filter capturing dusts or pollutants and is evaluated as [110]

$$\eta = \left(1 - \frac{C_2}{C_1}\right) \times 100\%$$

where $\eta$ is the collection efficiency, $C_1$ and $C_2$ represent the concentrations of contaminants in the airflow before and after filtration, respectively.

Air filter inevitably forms resistance to the airflow passing through,
and filtration resistance continues adding as the filter keeps collecting dusts. When the resistance increases to a certain value, the filter will be scrapped and should be replaced. Thus, the final resistance of the filter $R_f$ is directly linked to the service life of the filter, and the selection and design of the filter must be determined at a given airflow velocity. This parameter can be evaluated by the following equation [110]

$$R_f = \frac{\Delta P}{v} \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta P$ is the pressure drop, and $v$ is the air velocity passing through the filter.

The quality factor (QF) needs to be considered for the filtration performance evaluations. Larger value of QF indicates a better filtration performance. The corresponding formula of QF reads [110]

$$QF = -\frac{\ln(1 - \eta)}{\Delta P} \tag{3}$$

3.1.4. Electrospun particulate filters

3.1.4.1. Single-component nanofibrous particulate filters. Nanofibrous filters prepared via synthetic polymers have the advantages of high mechanical properties and high filtration efficiency. Kim et al. investigated the filtration performance of a uniform nylon-6 nanofibrous filter for 0.02–1.0 µm particles. This filter was electrospun via nylon-6 and formic acid (FA) solution. The filtration efficiency of this single-component filter was measured as 99.98% (264.8 Pa pressure drop) [117]. Similarly, Matulevicius et al. obtained 535 nm diameter of PAN nanofiber mats and demonstrated that this uniform filter could remove 100 nm and 300 nm polystyrene latex (PSL) particles with 98.01% and 95.83% filtration efficiency (90.37 Pa pressure drop), respectively [118]. Meanwhile, Matulevicius et al. reported that 399 nm diameter of polyvinyl acetate (PVAc) nanofibers, which processed beaded structure (Fig. 6a), exhibited 99.57% and 97.38% filtration efficiency for 100 nm and 300 nm PSL particles (132.83 Pa pressure drop), respectively [118]. Xu et al. reported the filtration performance of transparent nylon-6 electrospun nanofibers [119]. The removal efficiency for PM 2.5 was measured as 99.56% (270 Pa pressure drop), and the quality factor was calculated as 0.020. This filter performed much better than the commercial high-efficiency air filters. SEM images of PI nanofibers before and after filtration were shown in Fig. 6b and 6c. Furthermore, they developed a faster and larger-scale film transferring method, which could realize the roll-to-roll production of transparent membranes and be beneficial for further commercialization of the electrospinning process (Fig. 6c) [119].

Currently, natural polymers like chitosan and cellulose have attracted great interests due to their green, renewable, and degradable properties. However, some natural polymers are sensitive to the working temperature and the surrounding pH value. High working temperature may decrease the solution viscosity and diameters of nanofibers [71–73]. In some of electrospinning processes, increasing surrounding temperature by heaters to reduce the working humidity are required [17,18]. However, the changes of working temperature can affect mechanical properties of naturally polymeric nanofibers and reduce their applicability of being used as high-performance particulate filters. The changes in pH value can cause partial ionization and greatly affect activities of some natural polymers [120]. Meanwhile, pH value may influence the viscosity of prepared polymeric solution and result in the undesirable fiber morphologies. Therefore, attention should be drawn when preparing naturally polymeric solutions in electrospinning process. A mixture contained 62 wt% acetone, 31 wt% dimethyl sulfoxide, and 7 wt% acetic acid (AA) was prepared to dissolve CA in the work of Nicosia et al. [121]. They controlled the operating temperature and the pH value of solvent to form a stable CA suspension. These components also ensured that CA nanofibers process beads-free structure and leave no residues in the final CA nanofibers. Fabricated samples could remove only 80% particles (diameter larger than 0.4 µm) in the air streams [121]. Thus, utilizing natural polymers as particulate filters are limited comparing with synthetic polymers.

3.1.4.2. Multi-component nanofibrous particulate filters. Compared with single-component nanofibers discussed above, adding additives to electrospinning solutions or combining synthetic and natural polymers can change the solution properties and improve the filtration performance of electrospun filters.

First, adding metal oxides to synthetically polymeric solutions can decrease the solution viscosity and conductivity, and increase the fiber surface roughness by introducing nanoscale protrusions. Commonly used metal oxides are titanium dioxide (TiO2), silicon dioxide (SiO2), and aluminum oxide (Al2O3). Cho et al. measured the filtration efficiency of PAN/TiO2 hybrid nanofibers for PM particles. SEM images of PAN/TiO2 hybrid nanofibers before and after the filtration process were shown in Fig. 7a and 7b. These nanofibers were prepared via mixing 5–10 nm TiO2 nanoparticles into PAN/DMF solutions. Compared with pristine PAN nanofibers, the average diameter of hybrid nanofibers was found to be slightly reduced, and the corresponding filtration efficiency was 4–6% higher than pure PAN nanofibers [49]. Yu et al. fabricated flexible PAN composite nanofiber with SiO2 aerogel [122]. Compared with pure PAN membrane, SiO2 aerogels could increase the filtration capacity of the hybrid PAN membrane by over 2.5 times. Moreover,
adding metal oxides can make nanofibers gain extra functionalities. Wan et al. developed air filtration media with polysulfone (PSU)/TiO2 nanofibers [123]. Hierarchical nanostructure, which was introduced by the added nanoparticles, resulted in the superhydrophobic properties. Morphological comparisons of intrinsic PSU and PSU/TiO2 hybrid nanofibers were shown in Fig. 7c and 7d. The filtration efficiency of this multi-component filter was boosted up to 99.997% (45.3 Pa pressure drop), and a promising superhydrophobicity (the water contact angle (WCA) up to 152°) was exhibited [123].

On the other hand, the combination of natural and synthetic polymers can decrease fiber size, enhance mechanical properties, and improve the filtration performance (not only limited to particulate removal) of electrospun nanofibers. For instance, cyclodextrins (CD) was added to enhance the viscosity and conductivity of the low concentration polyethylene terephthalate (PET) solutions and led to the beads-free PET/CD nanofibers [124]. In this case, the aniline vapor filtration performance of intrinsic PET nanofibers was enhanced by about 1.8 times through adding CD in the mixture [124]. In another case, poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) nanofibers with 10% β-CD were capable of trapping styrene molecules after 3 h exposure in the vapor, while pure PMMA electrospun nano-webs did not show any capturing capabilities. The capturing performance increased as the increasing amounts of β-CD contained in PMMA nano-webs [125]. Moreover, chitosan and PEO dissolved in AA together in the ratio of 9:1 were utilized to make beadless nanofibers. The fiber diameter and maximum pore size were measured as 92 nm and 1.562 μm, respectively. In this case, a 1 GSM (gram per square meter) beads-free chitosan/PEO nanofiber sample could achieve ~50% filtration efficiency of removing 200 ppm PS particles in airflow, and ~70% filtration efficiency was exhibited by 3 GSM sample [50].

It should be noticed that the working temperature of polymeric media such as PAN and nylon-6 was lower than 150 °C. Since single-component filters that were made from such polymers could not sustain their filtration performance at high temperature and fulfill the severely industrial requirements. Zhang et al. developed high-temperature resistant PI nanofiber mats that could be used as an air filter for PM2.5 at high temperature. The filtration efficiency of this PI filter was measured as 99.5%, and the corresponding filtration performance was still maintained at 370 °C [57]. Optical microscopy (OM) and SEM images of this PI air filter after the filtration process were shown in Fig. 8a and 8b, respectively. PM particles were found to be caught as soon as they got in touch with fibrous matrices. The capturing process was studied by the experimental apparatus shown in Fig. 8c. During the experiments, solid particulates were captured by fibers or attracted by those captured particles. Eventually, large numbers of dendrite-like structures were formed (Fig. 8d and 8e). In this work, the filtration processes of water droplets and oil droplets generated by cigarette smoke were also studied. Different from coalescent behavior of liquid droplets, the captured particles were noticed to be separable by the strong gas flow [126]. This phenomenon was caused by the weak adhesion of particulates with nanofibers and would result in the reduction of filtration efficiency.

3.2. Heavy metal ion adsorptions

3.2.1. Common heavy metal ions found in the environment

Heavy metal refers to metal and metalloid elements whose density ranging from 3.5 to 7 g/cm³ [127]. Currently, heavy metal elements found in the hydrological system include mercury (Hg), copper (Cu), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), thallium (Tl), zinc (Zn), nickel (Ni), and lead (Pb), etc. The release of heavy metal ions into natural environments comes from two sources, natural process and anthropogenic activities. Natural discharging sources of heavy metal include volcanic activity, forest fire, and seepage in rocks, etc. Most anthropogenic dis-regards that contain high concentrations of heavy metals come from industrial activities, such as electropolating and batteries [128]. Regular amounts of organic pollutions can be biodegraded, while the heavy metal contaminants are not consumable by the natural system and will progressively be accumulated by the living organisms. A few heavy metal ions are essential supplements for the human body's health. However, excess doses will be poisonous and lethal. Therefore, it is necessary to pre-treat the contaminated wastewater before it is discharged into the environment.

3.2.2. Conventional heavy metal absorbents and mechanisms

Traditional heavy metal removal methods include chemical precipitation, solvent extraction, membrane filtration, ion exchange, electrochemical removal, coagulation, flotation, irradiation, and ozonation [129], etc. However, these treatment processes have many
disadvantages. For instance, chemical precipitation process requires a vast amount of chemicals to remove metal ions and post-treatments to adjust pH value of discharges. The solvent extraction method consumes a considerable amount of energy and requires highly selective extractant, which tremendously increases the handling cost.

In recent years, numerous studies have focused on the development of more effective and economical removal techniques. Adsorption is considered as an alternative method. Since absorbents are relatively cheap, and the adsorption process will not generate secondary pollutants. Adsorption processes can be classified into physisorption (physical adsorption) and chemisorption (chemical adsorption). During the physical adsorption process, molecules transferring through the porous media will be physically adsorbed by the porous skeleton due to the van der Waals forces, and the adsorbed molecules will be desorbed at the same time. Physisorption progress is highly dependent on the surrounding temperature and pressure. Chemisorption involves electron transfer between adsorbents and adsorbates [130]. In the process of chemisorption, including covalent bond and ionic bond, strong interaction occurs. The chemical adsorption, which is usually irreversible and highly selective, require certain energy for activation. Both chemisorption and physisorption performance are proportional to the surface area of absorbents.

Several substances can be used as heavy metal absorbents. Zeolites, active carbons, bentonite, metal oxides are conventional absorbents. For example, active carbons are widely applied as absorbents due to their large specific areas and excellent absorption capacities [131–133]. Zeolites process excellent ion exchange properties since it is composed of hydrated aluminosilicate minerals [134]. However, conventional absorbents are limited by the disadvantages of high production cost, low reusability, and low selectivity for the targeting absorbates. Although some of adsorbents can be treated to be reusable, the processing progress is not very eco-friendly and may cause economic loss. Thus,
3.2.3. Electrospun nanofibers used as adsorbents for heavy metal ions

The nanofibrous membrane has attracted significant attention due to its high porosity, large specific surface area, and high liquid permeability. The performance of nanofibrous filters is affected by solution pH values, contact time of ions with absorbents, solution temperature, and initial concentration of metal ions. In this subsection, we summarized some functional fibers used as absorbents for the removal of heavy metal ions [135].

3.2.3.1. Single-component absorbents for heavy metal removal. Single-component nanofibers are fabricated for the removal of heavy metal ions removal from wastewater. Some polymeric nanofibers do not exhibit any capabilities in adsorbing heavy metal ions. For instance, PAN nanofibers exhibit superior mechanical properties and filtration performance in air filtration. However, pristine PAN nano-membranes are not capable of removing heavy metal ions in wastewater [136]. In contrary, some pristine polymeric nanofibers do exhibit the heavy metal absorbability. In one case, the PVA electrosynthetic fiber mat was placed in pH 5–6 solutions for 60 min to remove Cu (II) ions, and the adsorption capacity was obtained as 124.34 mg/g [137]. Haidar and Park reported that the equilibrium adsorption capacity of chitosan nanofibers for Cu (II) and Pb (II) were 485.44 and 263.15 mg/g, respectively, after placing in the 400 ppm model solutions for 24 h [138]. However, the removal performance of some pristine electrosynthetic nanofibers is not comparable to the modified membranes. Thus, single-component electrosynthetic nanofibers are not very good options to be employed directly to remove the heavy metal ions.

3.2.3.2. Modified heavy metal ions absorbents. Modifications to well-fabricated electrosynthetic nanofibers can be realized via chemical reactions or post-coatings. The membrane modifications aim at enhancing the heavy metal removal performance of nanofibers from the polluted water, increasing the fiber adaptabilities to maintain the performance under different testing conditions, enhancing the membrane selectivity, and improving the fibrous membrane reusability. So far, there are two methods considered as effective modification methods, grafting functional groups to electrosynthetic nanofibers and developing nanofibers with unique functions. Grafting functional groups (like -COOH, -SH, and -NH₂) to polymeric materials is an effective method to improve the performance of absorbents [39]. The functional groups in the added components can promote the reactions between primary groups in polymers and heavy metal ions, thus improving the removal efficiency. For instance, the -OH group in cellulose could facilitate the chelation of heavy metal ions with -NH₂ and -OH groups in chitosan. Choi et al. reported a larger surface area of titanium-zirconium oxide nanofibrous mat through introducing the phosphate and amine groups to nanofibers [139]. The specific surface area was enhanced to 248 m²/g, and the cadmium adsorption capacity was tremendously increased comparing with the non-modified nanofibers [139]. Stephen et al. prepared the electrospinning solutions with 16% CA dissolved in acetone/N, N-dimethylacetamide mixtures [140]. The fabricated nanofibrous mats were immersed in oxolane-2,5-dione mixtures to functionalize cellulose-g-oxolane-2,5-dione (Fig. 9a and 9b). Such modifications resulted in 384.86 nm diameter of nanofibers and 13.68 m²/g specific surface area of the membrane. The maximum adsorption capacity of Cd (II) and Pb (II) ions were obtained as 2.91 and 1.0 mmol/g, respectively. The modified cellulose nanofibers possessed excellent reusability and similar adsorption capacities with commercial products [140]. Feng et al. obtained amidoxime polyacrylonitrile/regenerate cellulose (AOPAN/RC) nanofiber membrane by immersing PAN/CA electrosynthetic nanofiber in NaOH solutions for 24 h and then hydroxylamine hydrochloride/Na₂CO₃ for an additional 2 h. The schematic of the synthesis process was shown in Fig. 9c. Such modification converted -C≡N group into -C(NH₂) = NOH group, which tremendously enhanced the capacities of heavy metal removal. Fe (III), Cu (II), and Cd (II) maximum adsorption capacity of AOPAN/RC nanofibers was detected as 7.47, 4.26, and 1.13 mmol/g, respectively (Fig. 9d) [141]. Moreover, the modified thiol-functionalized cellulose nanofibers were produced via esterification reaction with DTTDA (3,3-dithiodipropionic acid) by CDI (1,1-carbonyldimidazole) coupling and 3 h immersion in Am7GT (ammonium thioglycolate) aqueous solutions (Fig. 9e). The maximum adsorption capacity of Cu (II), Cd (II), and Pb (II) ions at pH = 4 were obtained as 49.0, 45.9, and 22.0 mg/g, respectively [59].

In addition to the above methods, specialized functional nanofibers also contribute to heavy metal removal. Introducing functionalized metal oxide compounds to nanofibers can fabricate magnetic fibers. Zhao et al. produced magnetic iron oxide/polyacrylonitrile electrosynthetic (b-PEI-FePAN) fibers (Fig. 10a). The maximum Cr (VI) adsorption capacity of prepared magnetic electrosynthetic fibers was measured as 684.93 mg/g [58]. SEM images of b-PEI-FePAN fibers were shown in Fig. 10b and 10c, respectively. Shi et al. prepared the magnetic fibers by the novel sol-gel method [142]. The synthesis process of the sol-gel method was shown in Fig. 10d. The BET surface area of fiber mats was obtained as 51.03 m²/g, and the Pb (II) adsorption capacities at room temperature were measured as 16.78 mg/g [142]. SEM images of Fe₃O₄ electrosynthetic fibers were shown in Fig. 10e and 10f. These novel approaches have attracted much attention due to their advantages of non-agglomeration of absorbates and easy separation of absorbents. Such developments can contribute to the reusability enhancement of the nonwoven mats. Furthermore, Bornillo et al. reported that the stimuli-responsive polymers also could be used as an alternative way to enhance the adsorption efficiency since this polymer could self-adjust based on the environment. This work used dual-responsive polyethersulfone-poly (dimethyl amino) ethyl methacrylate (PES-PDMAEMA) nonwoven mats as absorbents. The maximum adsorption amount of Cu (II) obtained at pH 6.5 at 55 °C and the corresponding quantity was 161.3 mg/g [143]. This filtration material had strong adaptability. Therefore, it can be used under severe working conditions and has extended serving life.

3.3. Self-cleaning electrosynthetic nanofibers

Some applications are sensitive to their surface contamination. For instance, water vapors deposited on the protective glass will block the view. Particulate matters suspended in the atmosphere will inevitably pollute solar panels and reduce the output power. The regular maintain process of these applications is either expensive or not timely. Thus, further cost-effective developments are high requested.

3.3.1. Brief introduction of self-cleaning surface

Dust, pollutants, or bacteria attached to the self-cleaning surface can be degraded or removed only via natural aids, including gravity, raindrops, and sunlight, etc. These functionalities can sustain the surface clean and save maintenance costs. The self-cleaning surface can be classified into two categories based on its wettability: hydrophobic and hydrophilic. The contact angle and sliding angle of a water droplet on the surface characterize the surface ability to cleanliness. On the hydrophilic surface, WCA will be larger than 90° (larger than 150° will be considered as superhydrophilic), and the sliding angle is less than 10° [144]. Larger WCA indicates a better surface hydrophobicity. WCA on the hydrophilic surface will be smaller than 10° (less than 5° will be considered as superhydrophobic) [145].

The self-cleaning hydrophobic property was inspired by the surface features of lotus leaves, i.e., the lotus effect (Fig. 11a). The hierarchical structures of lotus surfaces, which are formed by the characteristic epidermis and the covering wax, are responsible for the lotus self-cleaning property (Fig. 11b and 11c). Such microscale structures result in spherical water droplets and minimize the adhesion energies and contact areas of water droplets to the leaf surfaces [146]. Thus, dirt
particles on the lotus leaves can be easily picked up and removed with rolling water droplets (Fig. 11d).

On the contrary, water in contact with self-cleaning hydrophilic surface will spread rapidly with a minimal contact angle, forming a uniform membrane. This water film will spread beneath the dirt particles and make contaminates loose. The contaminates will be washed away when the water film is running off (Fig. 12a). Self-cleaning hydrophilic surface using metal oxides can degrade or decompose the organic pollutants. Such self-purification functionalities are realized by adding photocatalyst to the targeting surface. Sunlight is required to activate this chemical reaction process [148]. Here we take TiO₂, the most common photocatalyst, as an example to briefly introduce the photocatalytic oxidation mechanism. First, the catalyst electrons will stay in the valance band if the energy of light irradiation is lower than the bandgap of the photocatalyst. Then, electrons ($e^-$) will move to the conduction band if higher energy is provided and leave the holes ($h^+$)

Fig. 9. a)-b) SEM images of cellulose nanofibers and cellulose-g-oxolane-2,5-dione nanofibers [140]. Reproduced with permission from [140], Copyright 2011, Elsevier. c) Schematic of the preparation process for AOPAN/RC nanofibers [141]. d) Schematic of the heavy metal removal process by using AOPAN/RC nanofibers [141]. Reproduced with permission from [141], Copyright 2018, Elsevier. e) Schematic of the fabrication process for thiol-functionalized cellulose nanofibers [59]. Reproduced with permission from [59], Copyright 2020, Elsevier. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
in the valance band. Generated electrons (e\textsuperscript{−}) and holes (h\textsuperscript{+}) may be consumed in three possible ways: (i) electrons (e\textsuperscript{−}) and holes (h\textsuperscript{+}) recombine with each other and release heat; (ii) electrons (e\textsuperscript{−}) diffuse to the catalyst surface and initiate the redox reactions with the pollutants; (iii) holes (h\textsuperscript{+}) react with water or hydroxide ions to generate hydroxyl radicals (-OH), which contain tremendous power to oxidize the contaminants and decompose the attached pollutions\[149–152]. The schematic of the stated photocatalytic oxidation mechanism was drawn in Fig. 12b and 12c, respectively.

The industrial and academic communities have shown great enthusiasm for self-cleaning techniques, especially the development of the self-cleaning hydrophobic surface. In recent years, many technologies have been developed to prepare the self-cleaning hydrophobic surface, such as dip-coating technique\[153,154], spray coating\[155,156], spin coating\[153], and electrospray/electrospinning coating method\[157]. Currently, the practical applications of self-cleaning surfaces include anti-fogging, anti-corrosion, UV protection clothing, photovoltaic devices, and anti-reflective coating, etc. However, difficulties always exist...
in the developing process of self-cleaning surfaces. Improving the durability and stability under harsh environmental conditions are the biggest challenges for hydrophobic surface fabrication [158], while enhancing the utilization rate of the UV portion of the sunlight with less complexity and costs are the most significant practical problem for the development of self-cleaning hydrophilic surfaces [148].

3.3.2. Self-cleaning hydrophobic electrospun nanofibers

Self-cleaning hydrophobic surfaces require large surface roughness and low surface free energy. Electrospun nanofibers have advantages to satisfy the above-mentioned requirements. Several approaches were made for the development of the self-cleaning hydrophobic electrospun nanofibers, (i) utilizing hydrophobic materials, (ii) biomimeticizing hydrophobic structure, and (iii) post-treating electrospun nanofibers.

3.3.2.1. Self-cleaning hydrophobic nanofibers via utilizing hydrophobic substance. Hydrophobic nanofibers are successfully fabricated via single-component electrospinning solutions. The conventional hydrophobic polymers include PS, PCL, and polyethylene (PE), etc. Lee et al. prepared smooth PS nanofibers via electrospinning method and applied the obtained membrane for the oil–water separation experiments. The pristine PS nanofibers inherited the water-repellency from PS material. The contact angle of a water droplet on the PS nanofiber surface was 155°, which indicated its good hydrophobicity [159]. In another case, 17 wt% PCL dissolved in DMF/methylene chloride (MC) solvent was used as the electrospinning materials. The obtained fiber size in the range of 400 nm ~ 1.6 μm. The water contact angle was around 128.5°[160]. In the same work, 1 wt% PCL in DMF/MC solution was fabricated through the electrostatic process and resulted in the nanofiber-droplets.
structure. The binary system did not exhibit hydrophobicity since the water contact angle was measured as 85° on this surface (Fig. 13a and 13b) [160]. The comparisons demonstrated the superiority of electrosprun nanofiber being used as a self-cleaning hydrophobic surface.

More works preferred to fabricate nanofibers with mixtures since multi-component nanofibers can process better hydrophobicity and stronger mechanical properties. Besides, some unspinnable materials with good hydrophobicity can be mixed with spinnable components for electrosprinning. For instance, Ma et al. obtained the superhydrophobic nanofiber mats by electrosprinning the co-mixtures of poly(styrene-b-dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) blended with PS (Fig. 13c). The PDMS used in the solutions contributed the low surface tension and surface roughness to the pristine PS electrosprun fibers. The WCA measured on PS-PDMS/PS nanofibrous surface was 163°. Compared with the pristine PS nanofiber hydrophobicity [159], the contact angle was enhanced by about 5.2% by hybrid nanofibers (Fig. 13d) [161]. Liu et al. prepared 300–400 nm diameter PI/N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) nanofibers [162], and the contact angle measured on the 20 wt% PI/NMP nanotextured surface was 140.7°. This value was much larger than that obtained from PI powders (73° WCA) or PI/NMP film (92° WCA). Besides the electrosprinning technique, the coaxial electrosprinning method is also used for fabricating hydrophobic surfaces. Sun et al. fabricated the core–shell fibers with octadecane as the core and hydrophobic polyvinyl butyral (PVB) as the shell via coaxial electrosprinning [163]. Han et al. developed superhydrophobic and oleophobic core–shell PCL/Teflon AF (amorphous fluoropolymer) nanofibers, and the corresponding contact angle was measured as 153° [164]. Adding additives, such as nanoparticles, is also an effective way to enhance the surface hydrophobicity. Sun et al. chose poly (vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) mixed with silane coupling agent modified SiO2 nanoparticles. The surface roughness and superhydrophobic property of PVDF membrane were improved by adding modified SiO2 nanoparticles [165].

3.3.2.2. Biomimetic hydrophobic structures. The research works listed above employed the intrinsically hydrophobic mixtures as the experimental substance. Introducing natural micro- or nano-scale functional structure to the artificial materials was considered as an alternative way to gain hydrophobic properties. Some plants and insects have displayed remarkable hydrophobicity. Mimicking functional structures have been realized by several techniques. For example, Gao et al. developed a compound with eye-like surface structure, which was inspired by the mosquito eye structure, via the soft-lithography method [166]. Karaman et al. fabricated a self-supporting surface via initiated chemical vapor deposition (iCVD), which was inspired by the rose petal structure [167]. Among all methods, using the electrosprining process to manipulate fiber morphology is relatively simple and effective. So far, several bio-inspired structures have already been realized by employing the electrosprining method.

The most popular imitated object is lotus leaf (Fig. 11a). As stated, the lotus effect is caused by the hierarchical structures of the lotus surface (Fig. 11b). Developing lotus-leaf-like structures on nanofibers can enhance the fiber roughness and result in water-repellency. For example, Zhu et al. fabricated conducting polyaniline (PANI) and PS via the electrosprining method. The obtained PANI/PS composite nanofibers processed a lotus-leaf-like structure (Fig. 14a and 14b) and over 160° WCA. The functional electrosprun nanofibers exhibited great superhydrophobicity in the wide pH range of 0.25 to 13.68 [168]. Similarly, Yoon et al. electro-sprayed PCL solutions for 2 h and obtained a fiber-droplet layer, which was usually considered as an undesirable electrosprining product. 2 h spaying resulted in lotus-leaf-like protrusions in layers. The corresponding 172° WCA was remarkably higher than 128.5° WCA of PCL nanofiber mats [160]. Kang et al. reported a hierarchical protuberant structure on the PS/DMF nanofibers and resulted in a higher 154.2° WCA compared with smooth PS/THF (138.1° WCA) or PS/chloroform (138.8° WCA) nanofibers [169].

The hydrophobic functional structure can also be observed on silver ragworts, honeycombs, polar bear furs, and rice leaves, etc. For instance, the WCA on the surface of silver ragwort leaves was 150°. Since silver ragwort leaves are covered by fibrous trichomes and numerous grooves, which is the vital structure for the hydrophobicity of artificial materials. Several works are developed based on these natural structures. Electrosprun PS mats with wrinkled fiber surfaces were found to
present an excellent superhydrophobic property, which was inspired by the silver ragwort leaf surface structures [170]. Wong et al. fabricated a 3D nano-mesh via electrospinning PS solutions. They developed electrospun fibers with rose petal-like structures (Fig. 14c), which resulted in superhydrophobic properties (152° WCA) [171]. Zaaour et al. reported cactus-like nanofibers by electrospinning 22 wt% PVDF/DMF/acetone solutions. The cactus-like structure (Fig. 14d) displayed high surface superhydrophobicity (156° WCA) at 62% relative humidity (RH) [172].

### 3.3.2.3. Hydrophobic post-treatments to well-fabricated electrospun nanofibers

Applying post-treatments to the fabricated electrospun nanofibers is also considered as a valid option to improve hydrophobicity. Coating hydrophobic substances can effectively improve the hydrophobicity of materials. For example, electrospun CA nanofibers is a hydrophilic surface since the hydroxyl group in its formula is a hydrophilic group. The water droplets placed on the non-modified CA surface will be absorbed immediately. Ogawa et al. dip-coated CA membrane by immersing it into TiO2 colloid solutions and poly (acrylic acid) (PAA) solution successively for multiple times until the layer-by-layer (LBL) films formed [173]. 10 times LBL coating introduced silver ragwort-leaf-like structures to hydrophilic nanofibers, and resulted in superhydrophobic 162° WCA on the modified surface [173]. Furthermore, Ma et al. produced PCL fibers (ranging from 600 nm to 2.2 μm) and coated them with hydrophobic polymerized perfluoroalkyl ethyl methacrylate (PFPEMA) via an iCVD method. The modification process allowed the nanofiber mats to sustain the original hierarchical surface roughness and induced advantages of low surface energy resulting from PFPEMA coating. This modification resulted in the stable surface superhydrophobicity with 175° WCA [174].

### 3.3.3. Self-cleaning hydrophilic electrospun nanofibers

A self-cleaning hydrophilic surface is produced mainly through spinning photocatalytic substances or post-treatments to well-fabricated nanofibers. These handling methods can introduce hydrophilic properties to fibers while the fiber themselves do not possess any functional properties. For example, cotton fibers could be dip-coated with titanium dioxide nano-colloid to gain functionalities such as self-cleaning, anti-bacteria, and decomposition of attached contaminants [175]. However, the durability of the photocatalytic coatings for some fibers is inadequate and leads to short durability and non-reusability. Thus, fabricating fibers with intrinsically hydrophilic property is quite essential.

The study of self-cleaning hydrophilic electrospun nanofibers is still in progress. Currently, fabricating hydrophilic nanofibers is mainly in two ways. One way is using hydrophilic polymers as spinning materials. Li et al. fabricated pristine PVDF and PVDF/PVA blended nanofibers through electrospinning technique (Fig. 15a) [176]. The average fiber diameter and porosity of the pristine PVDF membrane were measured as 1.13 μm and 85%, respectively. These pure fibers exhibited good hydrophobicity since WCA on this surface was measured as 121.1°. The hydrophilicity of this hydrophobic membrane was introduced through blending hydrophilic PVA polymers. 15 wt% PVA content in the electrospun membrane resulted in 74.5° WCA, which was tremendously reduced compared with pristine fibers [176]. Another way to improve the surface hydrophilicity is adding photocatalytic substances. Bedford and Steckl created self-cleaning photocatalytic nanofibers using a coaxial electrospinning method [177]. The obtained nanofibers (Fig. 15c and 15d) had CA as the core and TiO2 nanoparticles as the shell. Even tested only under the room lighting condition for 24 h, the fiber mat self-cleaning progress could still be observed (Fig. 15b). Most importantly, the obtained fibers mats still sustained self-cleaning properties after several washing steps [177]. According to the photocatalytic mechanism, such phenomenon was caused by the hydroxyl radicals generated by photocatalysts during the photocatalytic progress. Therefore, this case also demonstrated that electruspn nanofibers prepared with photocatalytic additives could effectively oxidize contaminates and decompose the attached stains. Very recently, Jeong and Lee fabricated uniform PVA nanofibers blended with TiO2 nanoparticles via an electrospinning method. The self-cleaning performance was tested by decomposition of methylene blue and red wine stains. Their work demonstrated that TiO2/PVA nanofiber mats could exhibit self-
4. Conclusions and outlooks

The current work focuses on the fabrication of electrospun nanofibers and their environmental applications. First, we summarized the fundamental electrospinning process in three aspects including experimental setup, mechanism, and effecting parameters. Solid electrospun nanofibers have been demonstrated to be applicable in many areas, such as absorption, heat transfer, and tissue engineering. Second, three widely studied structures (core–shell, alignment, and hollow nanofibers) were summarized in the current review. The corresponding modified electrospinning process, as well as the applications, were described in detail. Finally, three environmental applications (particulate filtration, heavy metal ion removal, and self-cleaning technique) of electrospun nanofibers were discussed.

It has been widely accepted that electrospun nanofibers are promising materials used for environmental applications. However, there are still several unsolved problems of electrospun nanofibers used as filters or absorbents. First, most of the works regarding filtration or absorption were focused on using various polymers/solvents to fabricate different nanofibers and testing the corresponding filtration/absorption performance. Although experimental results obtained from these works have shown high filtration/absorption performance of electrospun nanofibers, very few lab-scale filters are applicable for large-scale industrial production. Second, the pores of electrospun nanofibers can be easily blocked by contaminants. This drawback constrains nanofibers from being used as sustainable filters or absorbents. Moreover, we have noticed that minimal amounts of researches have studied such issues. Third, poor mechanical properties and ununiformed textures make electrospun nanofibers challenging to be fully commercialized.

Furthermore, several drawbacks are found from the current researches about the self-cleaning electrospun nanofibers. First, most of the works in this area are focused on the enhancement of hydrophobic or hydrophilic properties. A limited amount of works has tested additional properties of self-cleaning nanofibers such as absorbability and permeability. Second, the current fabrication method of self-cleaning electrospun nanofibers, either hydrophobic or hydrophilic, is relatively complicated, and the self-cleaning property is not long-lasting. Longer serving life and more straightforward operating progress to obtain self-cleaning nanofibers are still highly requested.

In our opinion, the future research direction of electrospun nanofibers can be developed as follows. (i) Exploring more possibilities of using natural polymers as filtration system in the field of environmental applications. Contrary to the abundant species of additive being added to synthetic polymeric solutions and studied for the electrospinning process, more combinations of natural polymers and additives need to be tested and evaluated in the future. Besides, some natural polymers (such as chitosan) intrinsically exhibit antibacterial activities. Thus, studying air filters made from naturally polymeric electrospun nanofibers can significantly contribute to the further development of filtration system, such as odor remover and air purifier. (ii) Developing high-efficiency ultrafine particulates (UFPs) nanofibrous filters. From the perspective of urban environment, UFPs are considered as more aggressive health implications than larger PM in urban areas and the current developed air filters cannot efficiently remove such ultrafine particles. Currently, there are very few commercial products can effectively filter UFPs. Thus, studying electrospun nanofibers with smaller size of pore/fiber may contribute to the future development of UFPs filters. (iii) Exploring more possibilities of functional electrospun nanofibers used as biomedical nanomaterials. The field of biomedical nanomaterials is still underdeveloped. During the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, markets are highly requesting biomaterials with better performance to cater to the increasing desires, such as materials that can...
effectively remove bacteria or virus, antibacterial personal protective equipment (PPE), and wound dressings that can accelerate healing process. Thus, exploring the possibilities of electrospun nanofibers being used as biomaterials, such as new type of antibacterial PPE, absorbable surgical sutures with antibacterial functionality and novel carriers of wound healing agents, can play an essential role in the field of medicine and become the next possible research path. (iv) Exploring more applications of self-cleaning electrospun nanofibers. Since particles captured by self-cleaning hydrophobic surface can be removed easily via natural powers, using self-cleaning hydrophobic nanofibers as a PM filter may provide a possible method to enhance the current drawbacks of low sustainability and reusability. (v) Exploring electrospun nanofibers with enhanced mechanical properties. Poor mechanical performance is one of the most important factors that restricting electrospun nanofibers used for large-scale applications. However, the current developed enhancement methods are relatively complicated, and the mechanical performance of treated nanofibers are undesirable. Thus, developing better mechanical performance of electrospun nanofibers is still highly demanded until now. Finally, we hope this review will shed light on the future development of electrospun nanofibers and their more applications.
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