Climate extremes, variability, and trade shape biogeographical patterns of alien species
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Abstract

Understanding how alien species assemble is crucial for predicting changes to community structure caused by biological invasions and for directing management strategies for alien species, but patterns and drivers of alien species assemblages remain poorly understood relative to native species. Climate has been suggested as a crucial filter of invasion-driven homogenization of biodiversity. However, it remains unclear which climatic factors drive the assemblage of alien species. Here, we compiled global data at both grid scale (2,653 native and 2,806 current grids with a resolution of $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$) and administrative scale (271 native and 297 current nations and sub-nations) on the distributions of 361 alien amphibians and reptiles (herpetofauna), the most threatened vertebrate group on the planet. We found that geographical distance, a proxy for natural dispersal barriers, was the dominant variable contributing to alien herpetofaunal assemblage in native ranges. In contrast, climatic factors explained more unique variation in alien herpetofaunal assemblage after than before invasions. This pattern was driven by extremely high temperatures and precipitation seasonality, 2 hallmarks of global climate change, and bilateral trade which can account for the alien assemblage after invasions. Our results indicated that human-assisted species introductions combined with climate change may accelerate the reorganization of global species distributions.
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Long-distance human-aided introductions have allowed alien species to overcome geographical dispersal barriers and limitations from biotic interaction in their native ranges (Capinha et al. 2015). This has resulted in a modification of classic biogeography and a modern-day homogenization of biodiversity (Rahel 2000; Olden et al. 2008; Villeger et al. 2011; Bernardo-Madrid et al. 2019). Understanding which factors influence the assemblages of invasive species is a particularly urgent scientific question facing the world today with the potential for the answer to direct management and policy (Pyšek et al. 2010; Redding et al. 2019). In addition to human-assisted dispersal factors, such as bilateral trade, climate has been documented as a crucial factor predicting global assemblage patterns of alien species (Capinha et al. 2015). Several climatic factors, including optimal climatic conditions (Hughes et al. 1996), the magnitude of
climatic variability (Stevens 1989), and extreme temperatures (Gaston and Chown 1999), have been proposed to dictate species distributions either directly, by exceeding critical thresholds of organisms (i.e., critical thermal minima and maxima), or indirectly, by determining the availability of key resources, such as water and food (Hurlbert and Haskell 2003). In addition, climatic extremes and variability tend to be more sensitive than climatic averages to food (Hurlbert and Haskell 2003). In addition, climatic extremes by determining the availability of key resources, such as water and

Materials and Methods

Datasets

Species distribution datasets

To capture all species’ distribution and occupied climatic niches, we followed previous studies by focusing on alien species with exact native and introduced range information, and conducted analyses comparing the native and current assemblages before and after their invasions (Capinha et al. 2015). The alien species list is based on a widely used database on global amphibian and reptile introductions compiled by Kraus (2009) and recent updates for their establishment during the last decade (Li et al. 2016a; Capinha et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2020; Supplementary Table S1). Following the criterion of the Kraus (2009) database, we excluded species reintroduced into a species’ native range, released within their native ranges, experimentally introduced into small islands, and that represented questionable introductions without robust evidence. Furthermore, we only used those successful invaders that have established feral populations in non-native ranges (Blackburn et al. 2011). The species native range is determined based on native-range maps of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN; https://www.iucnredlist.org/resources/spatial-data-download) and validated using other databases, references such as the recent distributional update of global reptiles (Roll et al. 2017), and regional field guides (Supplementary Table S1). The Kraus (2009) and Capinha et al. (2017) databases provided the species list and the national or sub-national information where the species established. However, it makes no sense to use the average climates calculated across coarse range maps, which does not provide any information on the conditions experienced by species living only in some specific areas of a country. Therefore, we collected occurrence data for each alien amphibian and reptile species in both their native and invaded ranges from various databases and an intensive review of published references (Supplementary Table S1). We removed duplicated records among data sources and species whose native ranges are unknown or without precise geographical location data (based on the expert opinion of Fred Kraus).

Analyses of species composition dissimilarity can be influenced by a study’s scale (e.g., grid level, landscape level, or administrative level) (Steinbauer et al. 2012). Therefore, to test whether our results were sensitive to spatial scale, we conducted our analyses at both grid and administrative level, respectively. In the main manuscript, we present analyses at the grid level because it can control the effect of area on results. We conducted the grid-level analyses at a resolution of $2^\times 2^\circ$, a resolution frequently used in global biogeography studies (e.g., Holt et al. 2013). We re-conducted all analyses at the administrative level at which the trade data are available (Capinha et al. 2015; Murray et al. 2015; Dawson et al. 2017).

In sum, we used 361 species including 108 amphibians and 253 reptiles. These species occupied a total of 368,766 native and 414,374 current occurrences assigned to a total of 2,653 native and 2,806 current grids belonging to 271 native and 297 current (sub)national information based on global administrative area designations (www.gadm.org). We checked species synonyms from different taxonomic authorities for amphibians, squamates, crocodilians, serpents, and turtles (Supplementary Text S1).

Climatic average, variation, and extremes

We used a total of 8 climatic variables to describe temperature and precipitation averages, variation, and extremes, which were extracted based on the occurrence data for native and current ranges

Amphibians and reptiles provide an ideal opportunity to address this knowledge gap on role of specific climatic factors in the redistribution of alien species because both temperature and precipitation are considered to have marked effects on the distributions, range sizes, and species compositional patterns of ectotherms (Araújo et al. 2008). Second, there are relatively accurate distributional records of alien amphibians and reptiles in their native and invaded ranges (Kraus 2009; Li et al. 2016a; Capinha et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2019), allowing us to precisely quantify their occupied climatic niches before and after invasions. Finally, herpetofauna is arguably the most threatened of terrestrial vertebrates on the planet (Hoffmann et al. 2010), and their declines have been clearly linked to deadly pathogens they can carry (Fisher et al. 2012). Here, based on the distributional records of 361 alien amphibians and reptiles in their native and invaded ranges (Supplementary Table S1), we explore the unique contributions of different climatic factors to their global assemblage patterns before and after invasions after controlling for natural dispersal limitations (geographical distance) and anthropogenic dispersal-related factors (bilateral trade). We build on Capinha et al.’s (2015) seminal work on the biogeographical patterns of alien gastropods by adapting and advancing their analyses which involve hierarchical cluster analyses, ordination techniques, and generalized dissimilarity modeling (GDM), which were approaches widely used in biogeographical studies (e.g., Ferrier et al. 2007; Kreft and Jetz 2010; Blois et al. 2013a; Holt et al. 2013; Supplementary Figure S1).

We hypothesize that assemblages of alien herpetofauna were predominantly associated with dispersal limitations in their native ranges. That is, we postulate that, historically, there were suitable climatic conditions for many herpetofaunal species in other parts of the world but they could not successfully disperse to them. With the vast increase in the movement of people and products, we hypothesize that long-distance dispersal is less of a limitation now than it was historically and thus, the distribution of alien herpetofauna is more a function of appropriate climatic conditions than dispersal barriers in their current range. Given the narrow thermal breadths of many herpetofaunal species and the reliance of most amphibians on ample moisture (Li et al. 2013; Rohr et al. 2018), we hypothesize that temperature extremes and precipitation variability might be the predominant climatic factors associated with the modern-day assemblage of alien herpetofauna.
from the WorldClim database (version 1.4) at a resolution of 10 arcminute, and averaged each of 8 variables over each country (Capinha et al. 2015). This database was based on meteorological average monthly climate data recorded from 1950 to 2000 (Hijmans et al. 2005). These 8 bioclimatic variables represent annual trends (e.g., mean annual temperature, annual precipitation), seasonality (e.g., annual range in temperature and precipitation), and extreme or limiting climatic factors (e.g., maximum and minimum temperature of the coldest and warmest month, and precipitation of the wettest and driest month). Climate average refers to the average annual temperature ($T_{av}$, Bio1) and average annual precipitation ($P_{av}$, Bio12) across all 10-min grid cells encompassed by all species in that region. Climate seasonality refers to the temperature ($T_{max}$, Bio4, standard deviation) or precipitation seasonality ($P_{max}$, Bio15, coefficient of variation) calculated as the average value across occupied grid cells by all species in each region (Pither 2003). In the climate literature, climate extreme usually refers to extreme weather and climate events, such as heat waves, cold waves, droughts, and storms, exceeding climate thresholds on a particular occasion (Easterling et al. 2000). In the macroecological and biogeographical literature, “climate extreme” is usually classified as the maximum temperature, minimum temperature, maximum precipitation, and minimum precipitation at any grid cell within the geographic range of a focal species based on averaging monthly data from public climate database (Pither 2003; Li et al. 2016b). Here, we followed this latter classification of extremes. More specifically, as there are usually multiple species in each grid/nation or sub-nation, we first extracted the highest or the lowest value of the maximum or minimum temperature of the hottest ($T_{max}$, Bio5) or the coldest month ($T_{min}$, Bio6) and the highest or the lowest value of average precipitation of the wettest ($P_{max}$, Bio13) or the driest month ($P_{min}$, Bio14) encountered by each species in each grid/nation or sub-nation. We then averaged the extracted highest or lowest $T_{max}$, $T_{min}$, $P_{max}$ and $P_{min}$ values per species in the same grid/nation or sub-nation to represent the average climate extreme of the grid/nation or sub-nation. These 8 climatic variables were selected because they reflect climate average, variability, and extremes that can be important to species distributions (Janzen 1967; Li et al. 2016b). Moreover, pairwise Pearson rank correlation analyses (at which scale the cluster, ordination, and GDM analyses were performed) revealed that the correlation coefficients of these 8 climatic predictors were all <0.75 (Supplementary Table S2), a commonly used cutoff for evaluating climatic collinearity in modeling climate effects on species distributions (Capinha et al. 2015). Thus, these 8 variables were not highly collinear.

Although previous studies suggested that amphibians and reptiles usually have different climatic requirements, as reptiles are particularly rich in hot and dry climates, whereas amphibians are strongly associated with humid climates (Powney et al. 2010), we did not find significant differences in the climatic variables between distributional ranges occupied by amphibians and reptiles (Supplementary Figure S2). Although certain microhabitats might vary among taxa, our results were consistent with previous macroecological studies showing that climatic variables tend to be similar for amphibians and reptiles, reflecting their general physiological requirements for water and energy (Araújo and Pearson 2005).

Consequently, as the sample size of amphibians (108 species) is much smaller than reptiles (253 species, Supplementary Table S1), we combined the 2 taxa together to provide a more robust analysis based on a larger sample size.

Natural dispersal and trade data

We used geographical distance calculated based on the geographical coordinates of centroids of each pair of grids (nations or sub-nations at the administrative scale) as a comprehensive proxy of the natural dispersal barriers of species (Blois et al. 2013b; Capinha et al. 2015). It has been widely reported that range expansions of alien species can be associated with human-assisted dispersal factors, such as trade (Essl et al. 2015; Dyer et al. 2016). We, therefore, used average bilateral trade data for the sub-samples of current ranges (2,651 grids across 183 countries, in US dollars across the years of 1962–2010) from the UN trade database (https://comtrade.un.org) to test for the effect of bilateral trade on the species assemblage pattern after invasions (Essl et al. 2015). For countries (e.g., USA, Canada, Australia, and China) that are subdivided into multiple areas, we re-calculated the species compositional dissimilarity, and different climatic and dispersal-related variables for each country as a whole. To calculate bilateral trade, we first calculated all traded commodities (imports and exports) of country $i$ with $j$, and then divided by the total trade of country $i$ with the world (Capinha et al. 2015). For the grid-level analysis, those grids in the same country were assigned the same trade values.

Delineation of alien species assemblages

Species compositional dissimilarity

We quantified pairwise compositional dissimilarity among grids/nations or sub-nations using the turnover component of the Baselga’s dissimilarity $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ index (Baselga 2010), which is widely used as a standard index in biogeography and macroecology studies because it robust to differences in species richness among countries (Kreft and Jetz 2010), and to differences in sample size between grids/nations or sub-nations being compared (Barwell et al. 2015). The $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ was expressed as follows:

$$\beta_{\text{sim}} = 1 - \frac{a}{\min(b,c) + a}$$

(1) where $a$ refers to the number of shared species between 2 grids (nations or sub-nations) $i$ and $j$, $b$ refers to the number of species found in grid (nation or sub-nation) $i$ but not grid (nation or sub-nation) $j$, and $c$ is the number of species found in grid (nation or sub-nation) $j$ but not grid (nation or sub-nation) $i$. $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ varies from 0 to 1, with low $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ values indicating that grid (nation or sub-nation) $i$ and $j$ share many similar taxa (i.e., 0, complete similarity) and a high $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ values indicating that grid (nation or sub-nation) $i$ and $j$ share a small number of similar taxa (i.e., 1, complete dissimilarity).

The application of the $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ index resulted in 3,517,878 and 3,935,415 pairwise distance values at the grid scale, and 36,585 and 43,956 pairwise distance at the administrative scale for native and current ranges, respectively. The $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ index was calculated using the betapart package (Baselga and Orme 2012) in R (Core T 2016).

Hierarchical cluster and ordination analyses

Both hierarchical clustering and ordination techniques were used to calculate and visualize the spatial patterns of compositional similarity based on the $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ index. We conducted cluster analyses using an unweighted pair-group method based on arithmetic averages (UPGMA), which is frequently used in large-scale biogeographical studies (Kreft and Jetz 2010). UPGMA is a hierarchical clustering technique that classifies different grids/nations or sub-nations with similar species composition into clusters within a dendrogram. We also analyzed the species compositional similarity using 6 other clustering techniques including the weighted pair-group method based on arithmetic averages (McQuitty’s method), Ward’s minimum
Predictors of alien species assemblages in native and current ranges

We applied GDM (Ferrier et al. 2007) to investigate the drivers of assemblage patterns of alien species in native and current ranges. GDM has been widely used to identify the independent contributions of different factors explaining species compositional dissimilarity (e.g., Blois et al. 2013a; Fitzpatrick et al. 2013; Capinha et al. 2015). Compared with traditional matrix regression approaches, GDM can accommodate nonlinearities of ecological predictors with observed compositional dissimilarity (i.e., $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ measure) (Ferrier et al. 2007). GDM uses maximum-likelihood estimation and flexible I-splines to explore the relationship between environmental/geographical distance and species compositional dissimilarity (Ferrier et al. 2007). For the administrative-level analysis, to account for the potential influence of country size in representing centroid-based distance, we set weights for each nations or sub-nations according to their ranking in the size distribution. This method is regarded as more robust than weights proportional to country area, which can result in overweighting small island countries. For the grid-level analysis, we set equal weights to each grid. We used the default 3 I-splines basis functions per predictor variable. These 3 coefficients of the I-splines provide the final transformation function representing the best-supported relationship between pairwise distances of predictor variables and observed species compositional dissimilarity (Fitzpatrick et al. 2013). Spatial autocorrelation was accounted for by including the geographical distance between pairs of grids/nations or sub-nations as a predictor variable (Ferrier et al. 2007). Disentangling the relative influence of climatic factors, geographical distance, and human dispersal is challenging as different climatic components may be correlated, and climate might also co-vary with dispersal factors. To achieve this, we followed previous studies using the total height of the transformation function curve, which serves as the relative importance of each variable to species compositional change holding all other variables constant (e.g., “partial ecological distance”), and the shape of the curve reflects the rate of species compositional change along the gradient of the independent variable (Ferrier et al. 2007; Fitzpatrick et al. 2013). The significance of each predictor was tested using Monte Carlo permutation procedures as implemented in the gdm.varImp function (Ferrier et al. 2007). The permutation process was implemented by first calculating the difference in deviance between the models with and without the predictor. Then, this observed deviance difference was compared with a null distribution of deviance differences obtained by fitting the 2 models using random permutations of the order of sites in the compositional dissimilarity matrix. A predictor was considered as nonsignificant if no significant difference was found in deviance between the 2 models (Ferrier et al. 2007; Fitzpatrick et al. 2013; Capinha et al. 2015). We performed 1,000 permutations until all nonsignificant variables were removed. Permutation analyses can test the significance of predictor variables, but cannot quantify parameter uncertainty through confidence interval estimations. In GDM, an estimate of parameter uncertainty, or the variance in fitted I-splines of each predictor, was achieved by a bootstrapping approach using the plotUncertainty function in the gdm package (Shryock et al. 2015). However, evaluation of the uncertainty of overall model fit is unfortunately not available for GDM yet. Consequently, we used the multifaceted biodiversity modelling (MBM) approach based on Gaussian processes to generate the 95% confidence intervals of the overall model fit and qualitatively compared it to the model fit with GDM (Talluto et al. 2018). We then evaluated model fits for MBM and GDM using a root mean square prediction error (RMSE) test with smaller values indicating better performance (Talluto et al. 2018). The MBM analyses were conducted using the mbm package (Talluto et al. 2018) in R. MBM analyses showed similar trends with GDM for changes in species compositional dissimilarity with environmental distance (Supplementary Figure S4). RMSE values for both the GDM and MBM models were very small (0.051–0.070), suggesting a good fit to the data (Supplementary Table S5).

To quantify the unique explained deviations of climatic predictors ($D_{\text{clim}}$), dispersal predictors ($D_{\text{disp}}$), and their shared deviations ($D_{\text{shared}}$) to species compositional dissimilarity, we fit 3 GDMs: (1) only climatic variables; (2) only dispersal predictors including geographical distances in combination with bilateral trade variables; and (3) full models containing all predictors (Blois et al. 2013a; Fitzpatrick et al., 2013; Capinha et al. 2015). $D_{\text{clim}}$ was calculated as the deviance explained by all predictors minus the deviance
explained by the model with only dispersal predictors, $D_{\text{dis}}$ as the deviance explained by all predictors minus the deviance explained by the model with only climate, and $D_{\text{brand}}$ as $1 - D_{\text{dis}} - D_{\text{dis}}$. The relationship between environmental or geographical predictors and compositional dissimilarity is achieved by reformulating traditional matrix regression as a generalized linear model in terms of a link function in inverse form (Ferrier et al. 2007):

$$
\mu = 1 - e^{-\eta}
$$

where $\mu$ is the predicted response variable (i.e., species compositional dissimilarity among sites here), and $\eta$ is the environmental or geographical distances. To account for the nonlinearity of the rate of species compositional dissimilarity along environmental or geographical gradients, $\eta$ is then formulated as:

$$
\eta = x + \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j(x_j) - f_j(x_j)
$$

where $n$ is environmental or geographical variables ($x_i$ to $x_n$) from grid/region $i$ to $j$, $f_j(x_j)$ is the fitting function between predicted and observed compositional dissimilarity as a linear combination of I-spline basis functions:

$$
f_j(x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{pk} l_{pk}(x_j)
$$

where $a_{pk}$ ($\geq 0$) is a constraint, $m_p$ is the number of I-spline employed, and $l_{pk}$ is the kth I-spline for variable $x_p$ and $a_{pk}$ is the fitted coefficient for $l_{pk}$.

**Sensitivity analyses for data uncertainties**

A potential issue in exploring the importance of extreme high temperatures and precipitation seasonality was that our results may be dependent on differences in species introduction opportunities to high versus low-temperature extremes or precipitation seasonality. Although there was a higher proportion of pole-wards (i.e., higher absolute latitude, 65.4%) than equator-wards introductions (i.e., lower absolute latitude, 34.6%) of herpetofaunal species, which was coincident with previous findings on introduction directions of alien mammals, birds, and plants (Guo et al. 2012), we did not detect significant differences in high temperatures (Kruskal–Wallis test: $\chi^2 = 2.95$, df. = 1, $P = 0.204$) or precipitation seasonality ($\chi^2 = 2.46$, df. = 1, $P = 0.216$) of occupied grids between pole-ward and equator-ward introduction events. This demonstrated that our results were not an artifact of differences in species introduction opportunities along this latitudinal gradient.

**Results**

**Alien species assemblages in native and current ranges**

The 361 alien amphibians and reptiles have established populations worldwide (mean ± SE of alien richness: 0.97 ± 0.045 across grids) after 3,516 introduction events in 297 regions (mean ± SE: 12 ± 2.61). There are 17.8% of regions with >50% amphibians and reptiles considered alien species (Supplementary Figure S5). The Atlantic and (sub)tropical Pacific Islands, Florida, and western states of the USA, such as California, western Europe, and southeastern Asia represent hotspots of alien herpetofauna incursions (Supplementary Figure S5). These invasions have resulted in an increase of compositional similarity of the alien herpetofauna in current ranges relative to their native ranges (2-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test, $Z = -19.80$, $P < 0.001$).

These increases in species compositional similarity have further simplified the global biogeography of alien herpetofauna with significant decreases in the number of assemblages along different levels of UPGMA cluster depths (grid level: native ranges, 27.56 ± 3.79, current ranges, 15.86 ± 3.62, $Z = -6.03$, $P < 0.001$; administrative level: native ranges, 22.18 ± 1.24, current ranges, 14.32 ± 1.37, $Z = -6.17$, $P < 0.001$, Supplementary Figure S6). According to the KGS penalty function that aims to objectively identify the optimal number of clusters (Supplementary Figure S7), we found that the assemblages have been simplified from 10 distinct groups in native range to only 4 distinct arrangements in their current range (Figure 1). The native assemblages were generally consistent with the classic zoogeographical classifications, such as Nearctic, Neotropics, Afrotopric, Indo-Mala, Paleartic, and Australia with some Caribbean countries as unique zones (Figure 1). However, after invasions, some distant or isolated islands were no longer distant regions, and some areas were clustered into similar groupings, such as Atlantic islands, Florida U.S., Madagascar, Asia, Australia, and the America (Figure 1). The results of NMDS analyses were highly concordant with the cluster analyses, showing a change from greater dispersion in native ranges to increased similarity among current ranges after invasions (Supplementary Figure S8). The stress values both for native distributions and for current distributions were relatively low (0.011 and 0.021, respectively), supporting a good projection of the species compositional dissimilarity matrix into the 2-dimensional ordination space.

We obtained similar species assemblage patterns when we excluded American bullfrog (Lithobates catesbeianus = Rana cates-beiana), blind snake Ramphotyphlops bairmani, and slider turtle Trachemys scripta (Supplementary Figure S9), which we hypothesized might have significant effects on the biogeographical patterns because of their more widespread introductions than other species. We also obtained similar patterns for remaining regions (i.e., 6 main groups for native ranges and 4 groups for current ranges) after excluding the Atlantic islands where considerable homogenization occurred (Supplementary Figure S10).

**Important predictors of alien species assemblages**

Overall, the compositional similarity significantly decreased with increasing geographical distance in both native ranges (Spearman correlation coefficient $r = -0.427$, $P < 0.001$) and current ranges after invasions ($r = -0.365$, $P < 0.001$). However, highly similar species compositions occurred more frequently with increasing geographical distance in current ranges (Supplementary Figure S11). Monte Carlo permutation analyses based on GDMs revealed that geographical distance, precipitation seasonality, and high-temperature extremes were significantly ($P < 0.001$) correlated with compositional dissimilarity both of native and current ranges. However, the unique variance explained by climate and geographical distance changed greatly after invasions (Figure 2). In native ranges, the unique deviance of geographical distance and climate in explaining compositional dissimilarity was 46.2% and 18.4%, respectively (Figure 2). After invasions, the unique deviance explained by geographical distance decreased to 25.4%, whereas climate explained 35.8% unique deviances of species compositional dissimilarity. When we conducted analyses using subsamples controlling for available bilateral trade data, we obtained a similar result—the unique deviance explained by geographical distance decreased, whereas bilateral trade and climate explained more unique
deviances after invasions (Figure 2). When we included bilateral trade in the analysis of native ranges, we found that it was not a significant variable ($P = 0.60$) in explaining native assemblages of alien species, and it explained much less unique deviance (9.2%) of species compositional dissimilarity in native ranges than current ranges (20.4%) (Figure 2).

GDM-fitted I-splines further supported that geographical distance was a dominant predictor with a high relative importance in explaining species compositional dissimilarity in native ranges (Figure 3A-1). In contrast, in current ranges, the relative importance values of climatic factors in explaining species compositional dissimilarity remarkably increased especially for the high-temperature extremes (native: 1.02, current: 2.53, Figure 3A-2 and 3B-2), followed by precipitation seasonality (native: 1.04, current: 1.27, Figure 3A-3 and 3B-3). These 2 climatic variables were also the only 2 significant ($P < 0.001$) and most important climatic predictors of species compositional dissimilarity (Figure 3A-2, 3, 3B-2, 3, 3C-2 and 3). The nonlinear shapes of the GDM curves showed an increasing rate of species compositional change with increasing geographical distance (Figure 3A-1, 3B-1 and 3C-1), high-temperature extremes (Figure 3A-2, 3B-2 and 3C-2), precipitation seasonality (Figure 3A-3, 3B-3 and 3C-3), and bilateral trade (Figure 3C-4), but the species composition tended to be stable when geographical distance and high temperatures reached a threshold (Figure 3A-1, 3B-1 and 3C-1). The performance of each predictor variable was stable according to the 95% confidence bands generated by 100 bootstrapping tests (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

Our study suggests that assemblages of alien amphibians and reptiles have been simplified from 10 distinct zones before their widespread introductions to only 4 distinct zones in their modern-day ranges after invasions (Figure 2). When we included bilateral trade in the analysis of native ranges, we found that it was not a significant variable ($P = 0.60$) in explaining native assemblages of alien species, and it explained much less unique deviance (9.2%) of species compositional dissimilarity in native ranges than current ranges (20.4%) (Figure 2).

GDM-fitted I-splines further supported that geographical distance was a dominant predictor with a high relative importance in explaining species compositional dissimilarity in native ranges (Figure 3A-1). In contrast, in current ranges, the relative importance values of climatic factors in explaining species compositional dissimilarity remarkably increased especially for the high-temperature extremes (native: 1.02, current: 2.53, Figure 3A-2 and 3B-2), followed by precipitation seasonality (native: 1.04, current: 1.27, Figure 3A-3 and 3B-3). These 2 climatic variables were also the only 2 significant ($P < 0.001$) and most important climatic predictors of species compositional dissimilarity (Figure 3A-2, 3, 3B-2, 3, 3C-2 and 3). The nonlinear shapes of the GDM curves showed an increasing rate of species compositional change with increasing geographical distance (Figure 3A-1, 3B-1 and 3C-1), high-temperature extremes (Figure 3A-2, 3B-2 and 3C-2), precipitation seasonality (Figure 3A-3, 3B-3 and 3C-3), and bilateral trade (Figure 3C-4), but the species composition tended to be stable when geographical distance and high temperatures reached a threshold (Figure 3A-1, 3B-1 and 3C-1). The performance of each predictor variable was stable according to the 95% confidence bands generated by 100 bootstrapping tests (Figure 3).

**Figure 1.** Dendrograms and global maps of compositional similarities for 361 alien amphibians and reptiles at a grid level with a resolution of $2' \times 2'$ for native ranges (A, $n = 2,653$) and current ranges (B, $n = 2,806$). Colors represent main groups from the cluster analyses using a UPGMA approach based on the $b_{sim}$ index. The number of assemblage groups is determined based on the KGS penalty function (Supplementary Figure S7). Caribbean islands included in this study are magnified in the inset.

**Figure 2.** Proportion of unique and shared deviance by climatic and dispersal factors (geographical distance and bilateral trade) for species compositional dissimilarity of 361 alien amphibians and reptiles at a grid level with a resolution of $2' \times 2'$ for native ranges ($n = 2,653$), current ranges ($n = 2,806$), and sub-samples of native ($n = 2,473$) and current ranges ($n = 2,651$) where bilateral trade data are available.
ranges: 1) Southeast Asia and Australia; 2) Europe, Asia, and Northern Africa; 3) the USA and Canada; and 4) Latin America, Florida, and Sub-Saharan Africa. The changes are particularly marked by Atlantic islands, such as Caribbean and Canary islands, which supports previous syntheses that islands are hotspots of alien species invasions (Helmus et al. 2014; Bellard et al. 2017; Dawson et al. 2017; Moser et al. 2018). These results are also robust to a few potentially influential species and outlier regions (Supplementary Figures S9 and S10). Although our study cannot quantify changes to overall biogeographical patterns caused by species invasions because we did not include data on native species, our results suggest that human-mediated species invasions in the Anthropocene are homogenizing biodiversity resulting in the dissolution of historical biogeographical patterns (Rahel 2000; Olden et al. 2008; Villeger et al. 2011; Bernardo-Madrid et al. 2019). We also revealed that the dissimilarity of alien herpetofaunal communities in their native ranges is mainly determined by geographical distance and climate, whereas climate is more important than geographical distance in shaping current assemblage patterns after invasions. These patterns mirror those shown for gastropods (Capinha et al. 2015), indicating that the discipline is rapidly approaching generality. However, unlike these past studies, our study covered many more species and regions of the world, focused on one of the most threatened vertebrate groups on the planet, and demonstrated that the results were robust to spatial scale (i.e., grid cells versus administrative units). Also unlike these past studies, we identified the specific climatic

Figure 3. GDM-fitted I-splines for significant variables associated with species compositional similarity for alien amphibians and reptiles at a grid level with a resolution of 2° × 2° for native ranges (A, n = 2,653), current ranges (B, n = 2,806), and sub-samples of current ranges where bilateral trade data are available (C, n = 2,651). The maximum height reached by each curve indicates the relative importance of each predictor variable quantified by summing the coefficients of the I-splines from GDM (e.g., “partial ecological distance” holding all other variables constant). The shape of each function provides an indication of how the rate of compositional similarity varies along the environmental gradient. The gray color shows the confidence bands permuting 100 times of bootstrapping using 70% subsampling of sites from the full site-pair sample to estimate uncertainty in the fitted I-splines. Only significant predictors based on Monte Carlo permutation analyses are included and those nonsignificant predictors were shown in Supplementary Figure S12.
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factors—high-temperature extremes and precipitation seasonality—that seem to contribute most to alien species assemblages.

Extreme high temperature was an important climatic factor explaining herpetofaunal compositional dissimilarity, and the relationship between compositional dissimilarity and high temperatures tended to asymptote at ~32°C (Figure 3). We anticipated that high temperatures might be important to the current distribution of herpetofauna. One potential explanation for the important role of high temperatures might be important to the current distribution of herpetofauna. Precipitation can affect the fitness of many organisms, as rainfall is a strong selective force influencing resource evenness and habitat suitability (Bonebrake and Mastrandrea 2010). Our study suggests that the seasonality of precipitation plays a more significant role in determining herpetofaunal composition than mean annual precipitation.

Herpetofaunal introductions were significantly greater in the pole-ward than equator-ward direction, but this directional bias in introductions could not account for our assemblage patterns or climatic driver results. Importantly, a pole-ward bias in introductions is consistent with the hypothesis that climate change should cause greater pole-ward than equator-ward species range expansions or shifts (Coristine and Kerr 2015). However, this consistency should not be interpreted as an indication that climate change was a factor in the current herpetofaunal assemblage patterns. Our analyses included mean climatic factors, not the change in these factors through time, and thus we did not test for a climate change signal. We encourage future studies to evaluate the interactive role of species introductions and climate change on the recent reorganization of global biogeographical patterns.

Our study detected similar assemblage patterns at the administrative and grid levels, the latter of which is a much finer spatial resolution than has been considered previously, indicating that our results are robust to the spatial scale at which the analyses were conducted (Supplementary Figures S13–S16). This is important because several studies demonstrated that the relative importance of biotic and abiotic variables to species compositional dissimilarity can depend on the spatial scale of the analysis (Steinbauer et al. 2012; Cohen et al. 2016). Our results suggest that analyses at 2 spatial levels may have their own specific advantages. For instance, the grid level analysis might reflect finer changes in species’ geographical ranges. For example, in Florida and Mexico, biogeographical changes only occurred in certain areas, but the administrative analyses might overstate such changes to the whole jurisdiction (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S13). However, the administrative level may provide more coherent species assemblage patterns than grid-level analyses because the latter might be more sensitive to outliers (e.g., a small number of grids were located in unique biogeographical zones compared with its neighbors when the cluster analyses were conducted at the grid level, Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S13). Nevertheless, our main conclusions regarding the relative importance of maximum temperature and precipitation seasonality were consistent across the 2 levels.

Although our analyses were robust to variation in spatial scales, we acknowledge that there might be other uncertainties associated with our analyses. For instance, despite not detecting an obvious signal of variable collinearity based on a 0.75 cutoff following previous studies (Capinha et al. 2015) (Supplementary Table S2), we cannot completely rule out multi-collinearity among our climate variables. There might also be uncertainties in the definition of native ranges of alien species, which were mainly based on the IUCN’s native map polygons (Supplementary Table S1). IUCN polygons can only reflect the limits of species distributions based on current known expert knowledge, and thus some species could occur outside these polygons. Third, amphibians experience climate at the microhabitat level that can differ from the coarser grid-level climate data used in our study and similar global-scale studies (De Frenne et al. 2019).

Finally, our results could be influenced by biases in data availability, such as the poor coverage of species distribution data from Africa and China. We cannot rule out that the coalescence of herpetofaunal diversity of the Americas with Africa is not at least partially a product of the lack of knowledge on African species invasions. Despite these potential limitations, we believe that our analyses are currently the most comprehensive of those in the literature. Nevertheless, they certainly could be improved, especially as additional data are gathered.

Amphibian and reptile population declines have been linked to the introduction of pathogenic fungi such as Batrachoctyrium dendrobatidis, B. salamandrivorans, and Ophidiomyces ophiodicola (Martel et al. 2014; Lorch et al. 2016; Scheele et al. 2019). Additionally, both amphibian and reptile populations have experienced die-offs from introduced iridoviruses (Chinchar et al. 2017). The emergence of all of these pathogens has coincided with the global expansion of largely unrestricted commercial trade (Fisher et al. 2012). Indeed, the pet trade of alien amphibians has recently been shown to contribute to the intercontinental transmission of B. dendrobatidis, a primary driver of worldwide amphibian declines (Liu et al. 2013). Now, all lineages of B. dendrobatidis occur in traded amphibians (O’Hanlon et al. 2018). The recent invasion of Madagascar by Asian common toads hidden in mining equipment (Kolby 2014), exemplifies how the movement of products can unintentionally disperse herpetofauna and likely contributed to the worldwide spread of pathogens responsible for their declines. In summary, our work suggests that ancient patterns of herpetofaunal assemblage have been redrawn by unrestricted global trade, homogenizing alien herpetofaunal diversity globally. This, in turn, likely translocated pathogens into new regions, triggering several panzootics of emerging diseases of herpetofauna that are contributing to their worldwide declines. To curb future losses of herpetofaunal biodiversity, we encourage the continued strengthening of transcontinental biosecurity.
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