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Abstract

Background: Intussusception is the most frequent cause of bowel obstruction in infants and toddlers; idiopathic intussusception occurs predominantly under the age of 3 and is rare after the age of 6 years; the highest incidence occurs in infants between 4 and 9 months; the gold standard for treatment of intussusception is non-operative reduction. This research will tackle the problem of pediatric intussusception in our center which is the largest tertiary center in our region. The primary outcome is to study the profile of intussusception; the secondary outcome is to assess the success rate of pneumatic reduction in the center's pediatric population as well as to study the seasonal variation if present.

Results: During the study period, eighty-six (N=86) cases were identified, from which 10 cases were recurrent intussusception. Seventy-six (N=76) cases were included from the study period. N=68 (89%) were less than 3 years of age, and only N=2 (3%) were above 6 years. Seasonal variation was not significant; N=69 (91%) patients had successful pneumatic reduction under fluoroscopy while thirteen patients N=13 (17%) needed operative intervention.

Conclusion: Ileocolic intussusception is one of the most common pediatric surgical emergencies that can be successfully managed non-operatively in our institute; 89% of the cases were below 3 years of age, and no seasonal variation was demonstrated. Operative intervention was required in 13 cases with the main reason being lead point. The fact that the pediatric surgeon performs the reduction might have contributed to a high success rate reaching 91% in our center. This study provides a valuable opportunity for future regional data comparisons and pooled data analyses.
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Background

Intussusception is the most frequent cause of bowel obstruction in infants and toddlers. It is an acquired invagination of the proximal bowel (intussusceptum) into the distal bowel (intussuscipiens). It was first described in 1674 by Paul Barbette of Amsterdam, defined by Treves in 1899, and operated on successfully in 1873 by John Hutchinson.

Idiopathic intussusception occurs predominantly under the age of 3 and is rare after the age of 6 years. Most patients are well-nourished, healthy infants, and approximately two-thirds are boys. The highest incidence occurs in infants between 4 and 9 months, and it is also the most common cause of small bowel obstruction in this age group. Intussusception is uncommon below 3 months and above 3 years of age.

This topic is especially important in the pediatric surgery field as it is a primary differential for all patients in this group that attend the emergency department with...
complaint of abdominal pain or vomiting; the complications are well documented and can be fatal as well.

This research will tackle the problem of pediatric intussusception in our center which is a tertiary health care institute. Our primary outcome is to study the profile of intussusception, and the secondary outcome is to assess the rate of pneumatic reduction in the centers’ pediatric population as well as to study the seasonal variation if present.

Methods
This is a retrospective chart review study. Patients’ data were collected from the files and electronic health record of our center from first of May 2015 till the 31st of May 2020. The study subjects included all patients that were diagnosed with intussusception between the neonatal period to 14 years of age, as this is considered as the pediatric age group.

There were no exclusion criteria. All the recurrent cases were counted as one entry. The study design is a retrospective cross-sectional study; the sample size was 86 cases reduced to 76 as 10 cases were recurrent. The sampling technique used was all pediatric patients with intussusception registered in the pediatric ward registry compared with the list from the electronic health records under the ICD10 code (K56.1).

A data collection sheet was constructed on Excel, and a form was made where the data was entered immediately during collection. Basic demographic data including date of birth, gender, age, and diagnosis were added to the excel sheet. More specific data like month of admission, season, symptoms during presentation, radiological findings, type of intussusception, number of reduction attempts, failure of reduction, and surgical outcome if applicable were all entered on the form. IBM SPSS V.26 was used to perform the statistical analysis.

Ethical approval
This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of Helsinki Declaration. It was ethically approved by the secondary care medical research subcommittee, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Bahrain.

Results
During the study period, N=86 cases were identified, of which 10 cases were recurrent. N=76 cases were included from the study period. N=68 (89%) were less than 3 years of age, 6 patients (8%) were between 3 and 6 years, and only 2 patients (3%) were above 6 years (Fig.1).

N=46 (61%) of the subjects were male, and N=30 (39%) were female. N=57 (75%) were Bahraini, and N=13 (25%) were non-Bahraini; no statistical significance was found in rate of intussusception or failure of reduction according to nationality.

March, May, and August marked the highest rate of admissions of pediatric intussusception at our institute (12% March and May, 11% August) (Fig. 2), N=44 (58%) were admitted in summer, and N=32 (42%) were admitted in winter (Table 1). N=33 (43%) presented on the first day of symptoms, and N=17 (22%) presented on the third day or after (Fig. 3).

The main presenting symptom was inconsolable crying with episodes of drowsiness in between. N=73 (96%) had confirmed intussusception on ultrasound, 2 patients had ileoileocolic intussusception, and one patient was reported to have jejuno-ileal intussusception, 2 patients had enteric duplication cyst as a lead point that was confirmed by CT scan of the abdomen, one patient had Meckel’s diverticulum, and another had intussusception with a mass as a lead point that was confirmed to be a lymphoma later.

N=69 (91%) patients had successful pneumatic reduction under fluoroscopy; on the other hand, N=7 (9%) had unsuccessful attempts. N=52 (68%) patients had
successful reduction from the first attempt whereas N=12 (16%) needed 3 attempts to achieve reduction (Fig. 4).
N=10 (13%) had recurrent intussusception within the same year or same presentation. Thirteen patients needed operative intervention, 3 due to failed reduction and 2 due to a lead point confirmed by CT; another 2 patients were operated due to perforation during reduction and an unfortunate case of a tumor (Burkitt’s lymphoma) acting as a lead point in a 9-year-old girl that was excised successfully. One of the patients operated was found to have gangrenous appendicitis and not intussusception. There were no mortalities in this study, and all the subjects recovered well.

Discussion
This study focuses on intussusception in the largest tertiary care center in our country. The aim of this study is to describe the profile of intussusception in our country including the percentage of cases that required surgery and the indication for the surgical intervention knowing that pneumatic reduction is the gold standard and has a high success rate [3].

The higher incidence of intussusception in our study was in children less than 3 years of age (89%) which is in the range of the known age for idiopathic intussusception, that is between 6 months and 3 years of age; most of the studies were focused on intussusception in children aged less than 2 years. It has been noticed that the gender of the patients was approximately the same with no statistical difference, and slight male predominance was seen. In our review of the literature, there were no differences between male and female as well [4].

The main nationality of the patients was for sure the Bahraini nationality; however, it was observed that the foreign population had a tendency towards failure of pneumatic reduction mainly due to delayed presentation, but it was not statistically significant [5].

In this study, we tried to identify the seasonal variation in the pattern of admission. It was noticed that the admission rate of intussusception increased in the months of April/May and August/September which can be explained by the period of change in weather in Bahrain as it correlates with the transition between summer and winter. It has been postulated that in this period the rate of viral infections whether upper respiratory or gastrointestinal is higher [6] as it may correlate with the increase in the intussusception suspicion rate and helps in diagnosing more cases of intussusception. There was no statistical difference between the number of patients in both seasons specifically as stated in other countries [7].

Most of our patients presented on the first day of symptoms with the history of inconsolable episodic crying. Not many patients presented with the classical triad of abdominal pain, vomiting, and red currant jelly stools [8] and quite a few presented with a picture of intestinal obstruction due to delayed presentation.

| Table 1 Summer vs winter |
|--------------------------|
| **U/S findings** | **Intussusception** | **Total** |
| **No.** | **%** | **No.** | **%** | **No.** | **%** |
| **Season of admission** | | | | | |
| Winter | 31 | 96.9% | 32 | 100.0% |
| Summer | 43 | 97.7% | 44 | 100.0% |
| **Total** | 74 | 97.4% | 76 | 100.0% |
Ultrasound imaging is widely accepted as the gold standard for diagnosing intussusception in the pediatric population. It is the primary imaging modality for initial diagnosis with sensitivity of 98%. It also helps to determine whether the involved bowel should be reduced or surgically resected [9]. In our review of patients, it has been found that nearly 94% of the patients were diagnosed with intussusception using ultrasonography, mainly done by a junior radiologist followed by the confirmation of a senior radiologist. Only 2 patients needed CT to rule out lead point as the age of the patient was above 3 years which is beyond the age of idiopathic intussusception; both were found to have an enteric duplication cyst (Figs. 5 and 6).

The ultrasound finding of ileocolic intussusception was found to be 97% which correlates with the literature as it is known that in the pediatric population ileocolic intussusception is the most common type [10].
Regarding the success rate of intussusception in our institute, it has been found to be approximately 91%. In other countries, the success rate of pneumatic reduction was 61% [11], and in another study, it was reported that successful reduction was achieved in 74% of the patients [12].

We found that the main possible reason for failure was delayed presentation, and in two cases, the reason for failure was perforation during reduction. In another case, it was reported that the patient had ileoileocolic intussusception where the ileocolic part was successfully reduced; however, the patient remained to have small bowel intussusception.

Successful reduction from the first attempt was nearly 70% as the radiologists of our department always report the number of attempts done during the procedure. Sixteen percent of the patients needed 3 attempts to achieve a successful pneumatic reduction. Our institute does not practice hydrostatic reduction as it needs to be done by a trained radiologist or pediatric surgeon in both detecting intussusception by ultrasonography and reducing it using hydrostatic method at the same time.

The fact that the pediatric surgeons are the ones performing the reduction in our institute is a possible cause of the high success rate; they tend to go for constant higher pressure and perform more attempts if needed. A rectal tube is inserted into the rectum of the child, fixed with tape to close the buttocks tightly, and under fluoroscopic guidance, air is instilled into the large bowel with sustained pressure not exceeding 120 mmHg till a gush of air is seen in the small bowel. This is a tertiary care center; therefore, an emergency theater and a pediatric surgeon are always available in case of perforation during reduction.

The literature agrees on a maximum of 3 attempts in one setting with a 3-min interval in between each attempt; nevertheless, the maximum number of attempts to be done in a single setting while trying for pneumatic or hydrostatic reduction is decided according to the patient’s clinical condition [12].

The recurrence rate was not studied in this paper as the 10 patients that had recurrence in the same admission or within the first year were counted as one patient. Recurrent intussusception is relatively common. Delays in the diagnosis of intussusception and reduction can lead to serious complications, including bowel ischemia, perforation, and peritonitis. However, the early diagnosis and management of recurrent intussusception is challenging to pediatricians, radiologists, and pediatric surgeons. Previous studies have noted that the rate of recurrence of intussusception ranges from 8 to 15%, a possible reason for this difference is the high rate of surgical reduction in their studies [13].

We had several cases that required surgical intervention after unsuccessful pneumatic reduction or after recurrence of intussusception in the same admission due to a lead point diagnosed by CT scan of the abdomen. The success of conservative management however was noticed to be higher, and less rate of operative intervention was needed especially comparing to the late 1980s where the surgical intervention was up to 67% in Bahrain [14].

Pathologic lead points such as a tumor, polyp, or Meckel’s diverticulum are more common in neonates and children over 5 years old or in those whose intussusceptions are restricted to the small intestine; 40% of the lead points in this study were Meckel’s diverticulum [15].

**Conclusion**

Ileocolic intussusception is one of the most common pediatric surgical emergencies that can be successfully managed non-operatively in our institute, 89% of the cases were below 3 years of age, and no seasonal variation was demonstrated. Operative intervention was required in 13 cases (17%) with the main reason being lead point. The fact that the pediatric surgeon performs the reduction might have contributed to a high success rate reaching 91% in our center. This study provides a valuable opportunity for future regional data comparisons and pooled data analyses.
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