Complications of transoral endonasal-controlled combined endoscopic adenoidectomy
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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this study was to observe and evaluate the possible complications of Transoral Endonasal-Controlled Combined Endoscopic Adenoidectomy (TECCA) as a subtype of power-assisted endoscopic adenoidectomy (PAEA) in pediatric patients.

Methods: Sixty-seven children aged between 2 and 12 years who had been diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy and underwent TECCA from April 2013 to December 2016 as a single procedure (without myringotomy or any kind of tonsil surgery at the same time) were included. Preoperative risk assessment results and intraoperative and post-operative complications were evaluated.

Results: Intraoperative and early post-operative bleeding was observed in four (5.97%) patients. There was no post-operative complication except for bleeding and no patients were found to have residual adenoid tissue during the six-month post-operative follow-up period.

Conclusion: Endonasal endoscopic control during adenoidectomy helps to identify vascular structures that would normally be at risk of being injured with blind resection. Endoscopic viewing also enables complete removal of intranasal adenoid tissue without significant prolongation in operation time.
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Introduction

Adenoidectomy is one of the most common pediatric surgical procedures performed by the otolaryngology department. It can be performed with or without tonsillectomy and myringotomy. The most commonly used surgical technique is the removal of adenoid tissue with an adenoid curette.[1,2]

In the 1990s, advances in endoscopic sinus surgery popularized the use of power-assisted instruments in adenoidectomy operations with endoscopic assistance. Both transnasal and transoral routes may be used for insertion of the endoscope and microdebrider. Each technique has its advantages, disadvantages and complications, but a transnasally inserted endoscope with transoral microdebrider (Transoral Endonasal-Controlled Combined Adenoidectomy-TECCA) has been reported as the most ergonomic technique for power assisted endoscopic adenoidectomy (PAEA).[3]

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the complications of TECCA (a specific subtype of PAEA) in the treatment of children with obstructive adenoid hypertrophy.

Materials and Methods

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Turgut Özal University Faculty of Medicine in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (approval number: 99950669/125, date: 21 February 2014). This study was specifically aimed at determining the complications of TECCA in children who underwent treatment between April 2014 and December 2016. A total of 112 pediatric patients had undergone adenoidectomy with or without tonsillectomy/myringotomy at our center during this period. The exclusion of patients who underwent additional procedures resulted in a final total of 67 patients who had undergone TECCA without any additional interventions. The complications evaluated in this study were based on the possible complications of PAEA treatment (Table 1). Informed consent was obtained prospectively from all parents whose children were included in the study.

Patients and Preoperative Assessment

The diagnosis of adenoid hypertrophy was based on patient history (obstruction, sleep disturbance, hyponasality, presence of chronic nasal discharge, hearing problems), otorhinolaryngological examination and fiberoptic endoscopic nasal examination. The degree of obstruction necessitating surgery was defined as the presence of ≥50% posterior choanal obstruction with adenoid tissue in symptomatic patients. Adenoid size and obstruction were assessed via endoscopic examination.

We only included patients in which the adenoidectomy procedure was performed as a single procedure in order to be able to accurately assess complications that were a direct result of TECCA. Patients who underwent other additional or related surgeries (tonsillectomy or other tonsil volume reduction surgeries, myringotomy, ventilation tube insertion) at the same time were excluded from the study.

Detailed history of chronic disease, operations, allergies, bleeding disorders and medications were evaluated prior to surgery, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status Classification System (ASA) was used to determine predictors of operational risks.

Surgical technique

After necessary preparations, orotracheal intubation was
performed under general anesthesia, a shoulder roll was placed and the head was extended. A Davis-Meyer mouth gag was used to retract the jaw and a mouth gag was fixed to an arm attached to the operation table. Decongestant (Oxymethazoline hydrochloride) soaked cotton packs were placed into the nasal cavity for five minutes to increase space for manipulations and to reduce possible mucosal bleeding. The endoscope (rigid, 0 degree, 18 cm and 2.7 mm diameter, Storz, Tuttlingen, Germany) was connected to a high definition camera system and transnasal insertion was performed. The powered microdebrider (Medtronic Xomed, Inc., Jacksonville, FL) was inserted transorally to enable simple manipulation of its tip. The shaver console was set at 3000 revolutions per minute (RPM) in the oscillating mode and the resection was started from the caudal portion of the adenoid tissue (Figure 1). In cases where the adenoid tissue obstructed the choana, thus preventing visualization of the caudal portion of the adenoid tissue, the bulky part of the adenoid tissue was shaved with the microdebrider. The depth of resection was determined by observing the posterior oropharyngeal wall plane and the remaining tissues were checked by palpation of the soft tissue on the vertebral bodies with the tip of the microdebrider. Adenoid tissue proximal to the torus tubarius was resected carefully to avoid damage to the eustachian tube orifice, and any adenoid tissue obstructing the Rosenmüller fossa was also resected in all patients. In the presence of choanal or intranasal adenoids, resection was performed cautiously to avoid damaging the posterior septal branch of the sphenopalatine artery (Figure 2). Due to its regular course above the choana, resection had to be limited to the junction of the choanal mucosa and the adenoid tissue.

Having a clear view of the field is vital and blind resection is contraindicated during all steps of the operation. Continuous suction provided by the microdebrider is essential in removing blood that could limit continuous visual assessment during the operation. After completing all necessary resections, decongestant-soaked sponges were inserted to the nasopharynx for 5 minutes. Suction cautery (Storz Insulated Suction Canula 10 cm length –

**Figure 1.** Resection is started from inferior to superior by moving the tip of the microdebrider from one side to another (white arrows).

**Figure 2.** Choanal adenoid tissue extending to the nasal cavity (A: Adenoid hypertrophy; S: Nasal Septum; IT: Inferior turbinate; * Anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus has rich vascular supply from the posterior septal branches of the sphenopalatine artery).
3 mm diameter, Tuttlingen, Germany) or bipolar cautery (Storz Stammberger Bipolar Suction Forceps 15 or 45 degree, Tuttlingen, Germany) were also utilized to control bleeding and proved to be useful in our experience. Blood loss was calculated as the difference between the amount of irrigation fluid used and the amount of collected fluid in the vacuum container. Blood in cotton packs was often negligible, and was not measured.

**Post-operative Follow-Up**

Patients were given antibiotics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for pain during the first 24 hours after surgery. After adenoidectomy, children recover rather rapidly in the postoperative period, and thus discharge on the same day of surgery is acceptable and is often considered to be normal practice. However, in this study, patients were observed in the hospital for bleeding, respiratory distress, pain, fever and other possible complications during the first 24 hours, and were routinely discharged the following morning.

After discharge from the hospital, patients were scheduled for follow-up at the end of the first week and questioned for admission to any hospital due to dehydration, fever, bleeding or pain during the last week. In addition to normal post-operative investigations, velopharyngeal valve movement was also assessed in this visit with a flexible fiberoptic nasopharyngeal endoscope. Two other follow-up studies were scheduled at the third and sixth month after surgery, in which intranasal synechiae, nasopharyngeal stenosis, velopharyngeal insufficiency, eustachian tube stenosis and completeness of resection were checked by endoscopic examination.

**Results**

The TECCA procedure was performed as a single procedure in 67 children aged between 2 and 12 years old. Indications for adenoidectomy were nasal obstruction, mouth breathing, snoring and recurrent rhinosinusitis (Table 2). Only one patient (a 10-year-old) had a history of previous curettage adenoidectomy when he was at the age of 4. All 67 patients were classified as ASA 1 according to preoperative anesthesiological examination.

| Symptoms                  | Number of children |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Nasal obstruction         | 67                 |
| Mouth Breathing           | 48                 |
| Snoring                   | 65                 |
| Recurrent sinusitis       | 23                 |

The patients’ adenoid tissue sizes, as determined by endoscopic examination, are given in Table 3. The majority of patients had 75–90% obstruction of the posterior choana (n = 32). Total choanal obstruction with extension of adenoid tissue to the nasal cavity was observed in 17 patients (Figure 2).

| Degree of obstruction | Number of children |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Between 50% - 75%     | 22                 |
| Between 75% - 90%     | 32                 |
| >90%                  | 13                 |

The mean resection time was 8 minutes and the average amount of blood loss was about 10 ml. Intraoperative bleeding was observed in two patients. One of these was the 10-year-old patient who had a history of previous adenoidectomy. Bipolar cautery was used to control the bleeding but it was not effective. Hemostasis was achieved with the application of decongestant-soaked cotton packs to the area of bleeding for 5 minutes. The overall blood loss of this patient was calculated as 20 ml. The second patient had intraoperative pulsatile arterial bleeding because of deep resection. Bleeding was controlled with bipolar cautery and blood loss was 25 ml.

Post-operative bleeding was observed in two patients. There were no intraoperative problems in patients with bleeding. Bleeding had started at post-operative 2 hours
and 3 hours in these patients. Both of them were classified as ASA 1 in preoperative assessment and had no identified risk factor for bleeding. Bipolar cautery was used to obtain hemostasis in both of these children. No other post-operative complications were recorded in any patients throughout follow-up studies extending to the sixth month.

Discussion
In recent years, various studies have been conducted to evaluate an endoscope-assisted approach in the treatment of adenoidectomy. This approach has been shown to be superior to conventional curettage, by enabling almost-complete elimination of adenoid mass within a shorter surgical duration.[4-6] Also, previous studies demonstrate that the endoscopic approach decreases the frequency of post-operative complications compared to conventional curettage.[5,7,8] Our study was in support of these conclusions.

Although the use of endoscopy allows good vision and provides removal of adenoid tissue with minimal risk of damage to surrounding structures, the endoscopic approach is not risk-free in terms of complications—even though they are mild at most and are easily managed.[9] Primary and secondary hemorrhages are the major complications for all patients undergoing adenoidectomy. Similarly, in the present study, bleeding complications occurred in only 4 (5.97%) patients, including intraoperative bleeding in 2 patients and early post-operative bleeding in 2 patients. None of the patients developed complications other than bleeding and no residual adenoid tissue was observed during the six months of post-operative follow-up. In a meta-analysis study comparing the endoscopic approach and traditional curettage, it was reported that the complication rate was lower with endoscopic treatment (8.26%) compared to traditional curettage (30.18%).[5] In a recent study utilizing the endoscopic adenoidectomy method, bleeding complications were reported in only 2.02% of patients.[10] Even though the rate of bleeding seems to be lower than our study, the aforementioned study only assessed post-operative bleeding. However, in our study, we evaluated both intraoperative and post-operative complications.

In studies performed with an endoscopic approach, it was reported that the greatest advantages were reduced operation time and intraoperative blood loss compared to traditional methods.[10-12] Somani et al. [11] reported precisely calculated average intraoperative blood loss as 30 ml (range 24-42 ml) in 44 children in which they had performed different techniques of PAEA treatment. These low values are evidently associated with the fact that endoscopic assistance and continuous blood suction facilitate visual assessment and accurate identification of the vascular plane in the nasopharynx. The latter feature enables prompt evaluation of the source of bleeding, while the prior feature reduces treatment time and blood loss. In relation, the duration of treatment also seems to be positively influenced by these advantages, as Rodriguez et al. [14] have reported that power-assisted partial adenoidectomy (PAPA) resulted in a 59% reduction in total operating time compared with curettage. Resection time was significantly shorter and time required for hemostasis was also reduced with PAPA. In the present study, average resection time was 8 minutes and intraoperative blood loss was minimal (14 ml). In this regard, our study was compatible with the literature in terms of operation time and intraoperative blood loss.

In general, studies have reported that there are fewer complications with the endoscopic approach; however, studies focused on efficacy are not so unanimous, as several reports have indicated no difference in efficacy between the two methods, while those suggesting that conventional adenoidectomy is better than endoscopic assisted adenoidectomy in terms of blood loss and complications also exist. [15,16] Therefore, it is apparent to us that there is still some virtue in suggesting randomized controlled studies with various treatment options to determine whether the different approaches to endoscopic treatment have varying degrees of success both in terms of treatment outcome and complications.

It should be noted as a limitation of our study that there was no control group in which the endoscopic TECCA approach was compared with other methods. The relatively low number of patients may also be seen as a limitation. However, these were associated with the design of the
study, as we aimed to evaluate the frequency of complications in TECCA application without any additional/related interventions.

**Conclusion**

The TECCA method was found to be a reasonable and safe technique for adenoidectomy in terms of complications and treatment outcome with a follow-up duration of six months. In addition, our experience shows that this method can remove tissue quickly and precisely with minimal blood loss.
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