High-throughput growth of HfO₂ films using temperature-gradient laser chemical vapor deposition†
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The use of hafnia (HfO₂) has facilitated recent advances in high-density microchips. However, the low deposition rate, poor controllability, and lack of systematic research on the growth mechanism limit the fabrication efficiency and further development of HfO₂ films. In this study, the high-throughput growth of HfO₂ films was realized via laser chemical vapor deposition using a laser spot with a large gradient temperature distribution (100 K mm⁻¹), in order to improve the experimental efficiency and controllability of the entire process. HfO₂ films fabricated by a single growth process could be divided into four regions with different morphologies, and discerned for deposition temperatures increasing from 1300 K to 1600 K. The maximum deposition rate reached 36 μm h⁻¹, which was 10² to 10⁴ times higher than that obtained using existing deposition methods. The dielectric constants of high-throughput HfO₂ films were in the range of 16–22, which satisfied the demand of replacing the traditional SiO₂ layer for a new generation of microchips.

However, HfO₂ films have disadvantages of the low growth rate, poor controllability, and high preparation cost, resulting in a lack of systematic research on the growth mechanism. Table 1 shows the deposition temperature (Tdep), deposition rate (Rdep), and thickness (d) measured for HfO₂ films grown using different methods, such as metal–organic CVD (MOCVD), 8–12 thermal CVD (TCVD), 13 atomic layer deposition (ALD), 14–18 sol-gel, 19–21 radio frequency magnetron sputtering (RFMS), 22 and pulsed laser deposition (PLD). 23 As a result of the low deposition rate of HfO₂ films, most scholars have studied the growth of films with thicknesses below 100 nm over the last decade, such that HfO₂ films have not been exploited at the micron scale. In order to significantly improve the CVD deposition rates, our group has been developing LCVD since 2012, 24 and we have been able to rapidly grow SiC, 25 SiOC, 26 AlN, 27 LiAlO₂, 28 BaTi₂O₅, 29 and SmBa₂Cu₃O₇ (ref. 30) films at deposition rates 10³ to 10⁴ times higher than those of conventional CVD methods. In addition, novel structures and growth mechanisms have been found using LCVD to grow films in previous studies. 25,36,31

Moreover, in order to further improve the efficiency and controllability of LCVD, the high-throughput growth was introduced for analyzing the evolution of growth mechanisms. Because the high-throughput growth offers a significant advantage in fabricating multiple specimens from only a single preparation. It is able to shorten the experimental period and fabricate samples under the continuous conditions. 32 However, various factors in CVD processes, such as the growth temperature, pressures, and gas flow rates, increase the difficulty of experiments in high-throughput HfO₂ films. 23 The presence of

1. Introduction

High-density microchips offer the advantages of a compact size, adaptive integration, and massive parallelization. Meanwhile, corresponding advances are required in dielectric materials to passivate exposed surfaces against destabilization related to ion transport and in barrier materials to protect core components against thermal shock or fluid erosion in harsh operating environments. 1,2 In 2007, Intel Inc. announced that HfO₂, a high-k inorganic material with an ultrahigh melting point (3085 K), a sufficient electrical breakdown field (3.9–6.7 MV cm⁻¹), low thermal conductivity (~2.55 W K⁻¹ m⁻¹), and four times the density (9.68 g cm⁻³) of SiO₂ (2.26 g cm⁻³), was the most promising dielectric layer material to replace the traditional SiO₂ layer for a new generation of microchips. 3–7
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multiple variables results in an extended experimental time and reduces the repeatability of the entire process; hence, little pertinent research has been performed on high-throughput CVD.

In this study, HfO$_2$ films were grown using a highly efficient HT-LCVD process, achieving a stable control of large gradient (100 K mm$^{-1}$) temperature fields. We significantly shortened the experimental time by modifying the high-throughput LCVD (HT-LCVD) method by using a temperature-gradient preparation with credible measurements and repeatability, resulting in $10^2$ to $10^4$ times higher growth rates than other methods and the fabrication of multiple specimens per unit time. And four novel gradient microstructures appeared simultaneously on a high-throughput sample. Their morphologies, deposition rates, dielectric constants, and growth mechanisms were determined.

2. Experimental section

Fig. S1† shows the HT-LCVD apparatus that was constructed to fabricate HfO$_2$ films. An InGaAlAs beam-by-diode laser (wavelength: 808 nm, LHTC-200, ZK-LASER, China) was introduced into the chamber through a quartz-glass window and diverged by an optical lens (around 18 mm in diameter) to irradiate the entire substrate surface. The diode laser beam created a temperature-gradient distribution over the substrate surface. Fig. 1 shows vertical and stereo views of the temperature distribution during the high-throughput growth. As $T_{\text{dep}}$ increased from 1300 K to 1600 K, the HfO$_2$ film with different novel microstructures could be observed in four discernible regions. The temperature distribution was measured by an infrared pyrometer (CHINO IR-AH) and regulated by an infrared temperature-measurement program (OMRON, Japan). The program was based on the LabVIEW 8.5 Virtual Instrument engineering platform (NI, USA), and used to measure the temperature distribution within the entire substrate surface, adjusting the laser power to stabilize the temperature field automatically. Fig. S2† shows the growth procedure. Si (100) single crystal wafers were cut into samples with dimensions of $10.0 \times 10.0 \times 0.5$ mm for use as substrates, which were placed

![Fig. 1](image)

(a) Top view of the temperature distribution and (b) stereo view in the four discernible regions.
on a heating stage in the chamber and preheated at 873 K for 5 min after evacuating the chamber to 5 Pa. The substrate holder was supported by an alumina plate with a K-type thermocouple, which was used to monitor the temperature of the heating stage. The precursor, Hf(acac)₄ (Aladdin Inc., Shanghai, China), was vaporized at 493 K and carried into the vacuum chamber by Ar gas (99.999%). Table 2 shows a summarization of deposition conditions used to prepare the temperature-gradient HfO₂ films via HT-LCVD.

| Table 2  | Deposition conditions |
|----------|-----------------------|
| Precursor | Hf(acac)₄             |
| Substrate | Si (100)              |
| Deposition temperature (T_{dep}) | 1250–1600 K           |
| Total pressure (P_{tot}) | 200 Pa                |
| Laser power (P_L) | 100 W                 |
| Precursor vaporization temperature | 493 K                 |
| Pipe/gas nozzle temperature (T_{pip}) | 573 K                 |
| Deposition time (t_{dep}) | 10 min                |
| Ar carrier gas flow rate | 1.67 × 10⁻⁶ m³ s⁻¹ (100 sccm) |
| Ar diluent gas flow rate | 1.67 × 10⁻⁶ m³ s⁻¹ (100 sccm) |
| Nozzle diameter | 6.0 mm                |
| Distance between nozzle and substrate | 15 mm                 |

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2(a)–(d) displays the µ-XRD patterns of a high-throughput HfO₂ film in four temperature regions. The (111) and (111) main peaks of m-HfO₂ at 2θ = 28.3° and 31.7°, respectively, were identified for all specimens. At T_{dep} = 1400 K, m-HfO₂ exhibited a strong (002) orientation. The two diffraction peaks at 2θ = 34.6° and 72.7° corresponded to the (002) and (004) planes of m-HfO₂, respectively. The patterns for the HfO₂ film in Regions III (1500 K) and IV (1600 K) exhibited two prominent peaks at 2θ = 30.1° and 35.1° corresponding to the (111) and (111) planes of t-HfO₂, respectively, indicating the coexistence of m- and t-HfO₂.

Fig. 3 shows the Raman scattering spectra for the HfO₂ film in the four regions with deposition temperatures of 1300–1600 K. For Raman shifts from 100 to 800 cm⁻¹, the two characteristic peaks at ~129 cm⁻¹ and ~144 cm⁻¹ were ascribed to two acoustic phonon modes for transverse acoustic (T_A) and longitudinal acoustic (L_A) phonons of m-HfO₂ films, respectively.²⁴ The intensity of the acoustic-phonon peaks increased remarkably with the film thickness. Two characteristic bands appeared at approximately 494 cm⁻¹ and 572 cm⁻¹ for all samples that were ascribed to transverse optical (T_O) and
longitudinal optical ($L_o$) phonons, respectively. Fig. 4(b) shows the intensity ratio between $T_o$ and $L_o$ bands, $\eta$, that was used to evaluate the crystallinity of HfO$_2$ films. When $T_{\text{dep}}$ increased from 1300 K to 1600 K, the lowest $\eta$ was observed at 1400 K (Region II). A similar trend is observed for the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the m-HfO$_2$ (111) peaks in $\mu$-XRD patterns shown in Fig. 4(a). Tkachev et al. analyzed the XRD patterns and Raman spectra of polycrystalline HfO$_2$ films with various grain sizes, and found that $\eta$ for the Raman spectra decreased as the grain size increased. Hence, we inferred that the increase in the crystallinity of HfO$_2$ films in different regions resulted from an increase in the proportion of large grains. For $T_{\text{dep}}$ above 1500 K (Regions III and IV), the four broad peaks (B$_1$–B$_4$) at ~237, ~251, ~336, and ~382 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectra were ascribed to the existence of the tetragonal phase. The peaks at 519 cm$^{-1}$ were assigned to the Si (100) substrates.

Fig. 5 shows SEM micrographs of the cross-section and surface of the HfO$_2$ film in Regions I to IV as $T_{\text{dep}}$ increased from 1300 K to 1600 K. Measurements taken from the cross-sectional SEM micrographs (Fig. 5(a)–(d)) show that the thickness increased from 7.8 µm to 60.3 µm, corresponding to calculated

![Fig. 4](image_url)  (a) FWHM of the m-HfO$_2$ (111) XRD peak, and (b) intensity ratio $\eta = \frac{I_T}{I_L}$ obtained from Raman spectra of the HfO$_2$ film in the four regions, with $T_{\text{dep}}$ ranging from 1300 K to 1600 K.

![Fig. 5](image_url)  SEM images of cross-sections and surfaces of HfO$_2$ films in the four regions, obtained using the high-throughput growth process. (a), (e), (i), (m) Region I at $T_{\text{dep}} = 1300$ K, (b), (f), (j), (n) Region II at $T_{\text{dep}} = 1400$ K, (c), (g), (k), (o) Region III at $T_{\text{dep}} = 1500$ K, (d), (h), (l), (p) Region IV at $T_{\text{dep}} = 1600$ K, respectively.
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growth rates of 47–362 μm h⁻¹. The surface SEM images show four morphologies in the as-deposited film. The cross-sectional micrographs show that the HfO₂ film in Region I, formed at 1300 K, has a columnar microstructure. Aggregates of fine grains are arrayed in random lines on the film surface. The HfO₂ film in Region II, formed at 1400 K, is composed of (002)-oriented columnar grains, corresponding to μ-XRD patterns in Fig. 2(b). Fig. 5(n) shows a pyramidal faceted morphology of m-HfO₂, with a parallel texture at the surface of (002)-oriented m-HfO₂ grains. Fig. S3(a)† shows the atomic nodes with a twofold rotational symmetry, which is the typical morphology of the $P_2\bar{1}/c$ space group. Javier Sanz et al. calculated the surface energies for the m-HfO₂ (111) and (111) planes, lower than that for the (100) and (010) planes. The calculation was based on the Born–Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD) simulations. And lower surface energies usually correspond to exposed surfaces, as shown in Fig. S3(b).† The HfO₂ film in Region III (1500 K) consists of crystal clusters. At the surface, the crystal clusters are aggregates of vertical bundles that are decorated allower with fine grains, presumably resulting from the coexistence of m- and t-HfO₂. The HfO₂ film in Region IV (1600 K) exhibits a cluster-like microstructure. The surface morphology in Region IV is similar to that in Region III, except that the grain size increases from ~200 nm to ~500 nm with increasing temperature, and a wavy texture observed at the surface in Region IV. The morphologies correspond to XRD patterns ranging from random (Region I) to highly oriented (Region II) and back to random (Regions III and IV) with increasing temperature.

Fig. 6 shows a dependence of deposition rates ($R_{\text{dep}}$) on deposition temperatures ($T_{\text{dep}}$) of HfO₂ films grown using HT-LCVD, with the presence of crystal phases (monoclinic, tetragonal or amorphous) and precursors, and the results are compared against those in the literature. In order to improve the measurement accuracy, the HfO₂ film was divided into eight specimens, which were fabricated at 1250–1600 K using a single growth process. The $R_{\text{dep}}$ of the HfO₂ film prepared by HT-LCVD reached a maximum of 362 μm h⁻¹ at $T_{\text{dep}} = 1600$ K, which was 10² to 10⁴ times higher than that obtained using existing methods, such as MOCVD,⁸⁻¹² TCVD,¹³ and ALD.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ Using the infrared temperature-measurement program, the microstructure of the HfO₂ film was found to change from columnar to cluster-like at approximately 1420 K.

The Arrhenius equation was used to calculate the activation energies ($E_a$) of the specimens as 100–220 kJ mol⁻¹ in the curved regions over the range of 1250–1400 K (Regions I and II).

![Fig. 6 Effect of $T_{\text{dep}}$ on $R_{\text{dep}}$ and crystal phase of HfO₂ films prepared using HT-LCVD, MOCVD, TCVD and ALD.](image-url)
and 80 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) in the linear part at \(T_{\text{dep}} = 1400–1600\) K (Regions III and IV). The deposition rates in the curved regions were correlated with controlling kinetic mechanisms of deposition processes \(i.e.,\) the chemical reaction regime (CRR) or the mass transfer regime (MTR), where the transition from CRR to MTR is normally induced by an increase in temperature.\(^{38,39}\) In Regions I and II, the slope of the Arrhenius equation decreased from 220 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) to 100 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), indicating a transition from the CRR to the MTR domain.

Fig. 7 shows the cross-section TEM images of specimens in Regions I and II. The bright-field (BF) image of the HfO\(_2\) film in Region I shows columnar grains with a variety of orientations. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern, which was exhibited in Fig. 7(b), was indexed to a set of well-defined (002) sites along the zone axis of [110]. Application of Vander Drift’s evolutionary selection model\(^{38}\) to the high-resolution (HR) TEM image revealed exposed surfaces along the [111] or [002] directions, which explained why the fastest growing crystallographic plane overlaid the other growing planes. Nishioka et al.\(^{40}\) developed a model for the controlling mechanisms of the CVD processes by assuming Langmuir-type adsorption of the reactants. The HfO\(_2\) film in Region I, formed at 1300 K, was associated with the CRR, and \(R_{\text{dep}}\) was expressed as given in eqn (1):

\[
R_{\text{dep}} = k_rN_{\text{hkil}}V
\]  

where \(k_r\), \(N_{\text{hkil}}\), and \(V\) represent the reaction-limited rate coefficient, atomic density of the \((hkil)\) plane, and molar volume of HfO\(_2\), respectively. In a finite region, \(R_{\text{dep}} \propto N_{\text{hkil}}\). The natural stacking sequence of the m-HfO\(_2\) unit cell with a \(P2_1/c\) symmetry lies along the [111] direction, in agreement with the XRD patterns. As there was insufficient energy for the system to reach the equilibrium state at a low temperature, supersaturation of m-HfO\(_2\) grains led to lattice distortion of the (111) planes and a variety of orientations in Region I. Fig. S4† shows three common growth models, named Frank–van der Merwe (2D), Volmer–Weber (3D), and Stranski–Krastanov (2D + 3D) models. The overall growth process of the HfO\(_2\) film in Region I could be considered an extension of the Stranski–Krastanov (SK) growth mode for a two-dimensional thin film to a finite 3D case, as shown in Fig. S4(c).†

The SAED in Fig. 7(e) for the HfO\(_2\) film in Region II is indexed to a set of (002) sites along the zone axis of [110]. The HRTEM image shows exposed surfaces corresponding to the (111) and (111) planes of m-HfO\(_2\). The HfO\(_2\) film in Region II, formed at 1400 K, was associated with the MTR, and \(R_{\text{dep}}\) was expressed using eqn (2):

\[
\text{Fig. 7} \quad \text{Cross-section TEM images of the HfO}_2 \text{ film in (a–c) Region I and (d–f) Region II.}
\]
where $k_s$ and $\eta_{hkl}$ represent the adsorption-limited rate coefficient and sticking probability for the $(hkl)$ plane, respectively.\textsuperscript{39} For Langmuir-type adsorption, the stacking sequence transforms into the multiple-layer inclusions of other polytypes. The cluster-like structure was formed by the Volmer–Weber (VW) growth mode, as shown in Fig. S4(b)\textsuperscript{†} and provided many adsorption sites, making [002] the fastest growing direction.\textsuperscript{38} The faster-growing grains covered the slower-growing grains, such that the grains in the fastest [002] growing direction survived and dominated the entire thickness.

Fig. 8 shows the cross-section TEM images of specimens in Regions III and IV composed of monoclinic (yellow) and tetragonal (bright green) grains. When the deposition temperature exceeded 1500 K, the growth deviated from the equilibrium state again via the Volmer–Weber (VW) growth mode, and the increase in the concentration of activated molecules resulted in an increase in the supersaturation of the grains.\textsuperscript{38,42} The HRTEM images showed the in-plane boundary for $m$-HfO$_2$(110) \{111\}/$t$-HfO$_2$(111) \{111\}. The self-vanishing defects at the interface induced the migration of \{111\} planes, such that no distinct interface between the two phases of HfO$_2$ could be observed.

Fig. S5\textsuperscript{†} shows the dielectric measurements of the HfO$_2$ films in different regions. The real parts of the complex permittivity of samples in four regions indicate the real-time storage capacity of the dielectric material to the applied high-frequency electric field. And the dielectric loss ($\tan \delta$), the ratio of the imaginary-part to the real-part value, indicates the energy-loss degree in the use of dielectric materials. Fig. S5(d)\textsuperscript{†} shows the curves of the real-part value of complex permittivity and dielectric loss in different regions. With the increase of $T_{\text{dep}}$, both of the permittivity and dielectric-loss values increase first and then decrease. In Region II (1400 K), the real-part value of complex permittivity reached the maximum value, 22, but the dielectric loss was also up to 0.60. When $T_{\text{dep}}$ increased to 1500 K, the permittivity decreased slightly to 21, while the dielectric loss correspondingly decreased to 0.43. At 1600 K, the permittivity decreased to the minimum value, 16, but still higher than that of traditional SiO$_2$ layers (3.9).\textsuperscript{43}

### 4. Conclusion

In this study, high-throughput HfO$_2$ films were deposited on Si (100) substrates via HT-LCVD using Hf(acac)$_4$ as a precursor. Two typical lattice stacking structures (SK and VW) were observed by TEM. The evolutions of microstructures and growth
mechanisms of HfO2 films in different regions were studied by a continuous temperature field, which was controlled steadily with a large gradient (100 K mm⁻¹). The HfO2 film obtained using a single growth process could be divided into four regions corresponding to increasing $T_{\text{dep}}$ from 1300 K to 1600 K, with orientations ranging from random to highly oriented and back to random. The maximum deposition rate of 362 μm h⁻¹ was $10^2$ to $10^4$ times higher than that obtained using existing CVD methods. The permittivity of the high-throughput HfO2 films is in the range of 16–22, and the permittivity reached the maximum value, 22, in Region II at 1400 K.

**Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51861145306, 51872212 and 51972244), and the 111 Project (B13035). It was also supported by the International Science & Technology Cooperation Program of China (2018YFE0103600), the Technological Innovation of Hubei Province, China (2019AA030). It was also supported by the Key Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (2019B121204001, 2020B010181001), the Chaozhou Science and Technology Project (2019PT01), the Self-innovation Research Funding Project of Hanjiang Laboratory (HJL202012A001, HJL202012A002, HJL202012A003) and the Major Science and Technology Project in Zhongshan City, Guangdong Province (2019AG029).

**References**

1. Y. Huang and C. Wan, *J. Adv. Ceram.*, 2020, 9, 271–291.
2. L. Chen and J. Feng, *J. Adv. Ceram.*, 2019, 8, 537–544.
3. J. H. Choi, Y. Mao and J. P. Chang, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, R, 2011, 72, 97–136.
4. K. Matsumoto, Y. Itoh and T. Kameda, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2003, 4, 153–158.
5. I. K. Oh, J. Tanskanen, H. Jung, K. Kim, M. J. Lee, Z. Lee, S. K. Lee, J. H. Ahn, C. W. Lee, K. Kim and H. B. R. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 5868–5877.
6. J. C. Brewer, R. J. Walters, L. D. Bell, D. B. Farmer, R. G. Gordon and H. A. Atwater, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, 85, 4133–4135.
7. C. Zhang, F. Yun, J. L. Zhao, Y. Guan, H. F. Chen, L. M. Zhang, Y. F. Gao and B. Liu, *J. Adv. Ceram.*, 2021, 10, 520–528.
8. G. Carta, N. El Habra, G. Rossetto, G. Torzo, L. Crociani, M. Natali, P. Zanella, G. Cavinato, V. Matterello, V. Rigato, S. Kaciuulis and A. Mezzi, *Chem. Vap. Deposition*, 2007, 13, 626–632.
9. K. Black, H. C. Aspinall, A. C. Jones, K. Przybylak, J. Bacska, P. R. Chalker, S. Taylor, C. Z. Zhao, S. D. Elliott, A. Zydzor and P. N. Heys, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, 18, 4561–4571.
10. T. J. Park, J. H. Kim, J. H. Jang, U. K. Kim, S. Y. Lee, J. Lee, H. S. Jung and C. S. Hwang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 1654–1658.
11. T. S. Yang, K. S. An, E. J. Lee, W. Cho, H. S. Jang, S. K. Park, Y. K. Lee, T. M. Chung, C. G. Kim, S. Kim, J. H. Hwang, C. Lee, N. S. Lee and Y. Kim, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, 17, 6713–6718.
12. A. R. Teren, R. Thomas, J. He and P. Ehrhart, *Thin Solid Films*, 2005, 478, 206–217.
13. J. Chung, Y. J. Tak, W. G. Kim, J. W. Park, T. S. Kim, J. H. Lim and H. J. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2018, 6, 4928–4935.
14. E. Jud, M. Tang and Y. M. Chiang, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2008, 103, 114108.
15. M. Seo, Y. S. Min, S. K. Kim, T. J. Park, J. H. Kim, K. D. Na and C. S. Hwang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, 18, 4324–4331.
16. S. Y. Lee, H. K. Kim, J. H. Lee, I. H. Yu, J. H. Lee and C. S. Hwang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, 2, 2558–2568.
17. I. K. Oh, B. E. Park, S. Seo, B. C. Yeo, J. Tanskanen, H. B. R. Lee, W. H. Kim and H. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, 6, 7367–7376.
18. S. Park, B. E. Park, H. Yoon, S. Lee, T. Nam, T. Cheon, S. H. Kim, H. Cheon, S. Im, T. Seong and H. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2020, 8, 1344–1352.
19. O. Acton, G. Ting, H. Ma, J. W. Ka, H. L. Yip, N. M. Tucker and A. K. Y. Jen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, 20, 3697–3701.
20. O. Acton, M. Dubey, T. Weidner, K. M. O’Malley, T. W. Kim, G. G. Ting, D. Hutchins, J. E. Baio, T. C. Lovejoy, A. H. Gage, D. G. Castner, H. Ma and A. K. Y. Jen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, 21, 1476–1488.
21. M. B. Zakaria, T. Nagata and T. Chikyow, *ACS Omega*, 2019, 4, 14680–14687.
22. E. Verrelli and D. Tsoukalas, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2013, 113, 114103.
23. Y. Wang, H. Wang, C. Ye, J. Zhang, H. Wang and Y. Jiang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, 3, 3813–3818.
24. S. Zhang, R. Tu, T. Goto and W. Petuskey, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2012, 95, 2782–2784.
25. S. Zhang, Q. Xu, R. Tu, T. Goto, L. Zhang and T. Besmann, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, 97, 952–958.
26. S. Yu, R. Tu and T. Goto, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 2016, 36, 403–409.
27. Y. You, A. Ito, R. Tu and T. Goto, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2013, 232, 1–5.
28. C. Chi, H. Katsui, R. Tu and T. Goto, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, 143, 1338–1343.
29. D. Guo, Y. Ju, A. Ito, T. Goto, C. Wang, Q. Shen, R. Tu, Z. Huang and L. Zhang, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, 42, 11464–11467.
30. T. Wang, K. Wang, R. Tu, S. Zhang, M. Yang, Q. Li, J. Shi, H. Li, T. Goto and L. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 56166–56172.
31. S. Zhang, Q. Xu, R. Tu, T. Goto, L. Zhang and G. Brennecka, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, 98, 236–241.
32. P. J. McGinn, *ACS Comb. Sci.*, 2019, 21, 501–515.
33. Q. Xu, R. Tu, Q. Sun, M. Yang, Q. Li, S. Zhang, L. Zhang, T. Goto, H. Ohmori, J. Shi, H. Li, M. Kosinova and B. Bikramjit, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 2426–2430.
34. T. Torchynska, L. G. V. Macotela, L. Khomenkova and F. Gourbilleau, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2020, 49, 3441–3449.
35 S. N. Tkachev, M. H. Manghnani, A. Niilisk, J. Aarik and H. Mandar, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2005, **40**, 4293–4298.
36 A. B. Mukhopadhyay, C. B. Musgrave and J. F. Sanz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 11996–12006.
37 K. Xu, A. P. Milanov, M. Winter, D. Barreca, A. Gasparotto, H. W. Becker and A. Devi, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **11**, 1679–1688.
38 R. Tu, D. Zheng, Q. Sun, M. Han, S. Zhang, Z. Hu, T. Goto, L. Zhang and T. Besmann, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2016, **99**, 84–88.
39 F. Loumagne, F. Langlais, R. Naslain, S. Schamm, D. Dorignac and J. Sévely, *Thin Solid Films*, 1995, **254**, 75–82.
40 K. Nishioka, N. Mizutani and H. Komiyama, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2000, **147**, 1440–1442.
41 M. H. Oh, M. G. Cho, D. Y. Chung, I. Park, Y. P. Kwon, C. Ophus, D. Kim, M. G. Kim, B. Jeong, X. W. Gu, J. Jo, J. M. Yoo, J. Hong, S. McMains, K. Kang, Y. E. Sung, A. P. Alivisatos and T. Hyeon, *Nature*, 2020, **577**, 359–363.
42 B. J. Kooi and M. Wuttig, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1908302.
43 K. Nakamura, K. Doi, K. Fujitani and A. Tachibana, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **71**, 045332.