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INTRODUCTION

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) raised alerts in the global scientific and health communities since the first 27 cases were reported in December 2019 from Wuhan, China. SARS-CoV-2 spread readily and quickly around the world, and the first cases in Latin America were reported just two months after the original report (PAHO, 2021). Until March 2021, more than 51 million COVID-19 cases and more than 1 million deaths were reported in the Americas region; and more than 290,000 cases were reported in Ecuador since the arrival of the first case in March 2020 (PAHO, 2021). However, with limited SARS-CoV-2 testing capacity and a positivity rate over 30%, those numbers are far from the real ones.

During the first months of the pandemic, a wide variety of recommendations were endorsed by the World Health Organization (including social distancing, mass testing, and isolation of confirmed cases) to slow down the spread of the disease. However, those measures are difficult to meet in some specific settings, including: refugee shelters, housing for victims of gender-based violence, prisons or provisional detention centres, among others. Confinement and prevention of spread in those settings is nearly impossible due to the lack of adequate infrastructure and the high occupation of some shelters (Peate, 2020; Wood et al., 2020).
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Moreover, confinement represents harm for the economic needs of the people living in those spaces. Testing capacity is also lower in those settings when compared with middle-income or high-income environments (Wang et al., 2020), and even worse with weak healthcare systems (e.g., in developing countries) (Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC), 2020).

Many humanitarian shelters are generally occupied to their full capacity at times of economic crisis, like the current one, making hotspots for SARS-CoV-2 infection and contagion. During the highest peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ecuador, the need for mass testing throughout the country was urgent to complement the effort of the public health system and to reach sectors of the population for whom PCR tests were inaccessible (Ortiz-Prado et al., 2021a,b; Freire-Paspuel et al., 2020). In this context, “Universidad de Las Américas” (UDLA) and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) office in Ecuador coordinated efforts for granting access to SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests for the occupants of ten shelters for women victims of gender-based violence located across the country. By September 2020, when this study was finished, according to the official information from the Ecuadorian Ministry of Health (https://www.salud.gob.ec/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Boletin-196_Nacional_MSP.pdf), a total number of 116,451 SARS-CoV-2-positives cases were reported in the country. However, with a positivity rate of 40.03%, the need to increase SARS-CoV-2 testing is mandatory.

This study aimed to highlight the epidemiological situation of those women’s shelters in Ecuador, the importance of testing in such humanitarian settings, and to assess whether the occupancy level of each shelter is related to the positivity rate. Thus, a description of the study population is provided, as well as a comparison between the attack rates at the different shelters and the occupation levels.

Methods

Study design and setting

A study to evaluate the burden of SARS-CoV-2 infection among all the occupants (women victims of gender-based violence and their children) and staff working (total number of 411 individuals) in 11 shelters was performed in nine different Ecuadorian cities from July to September 2020. The UNHCR office in Ecuador provided logistics for sampling in the different cities and the samples transportation to the UDLA Laboratory located in Quito, Ecuador. Also, UNHCR coordinated with “Red Nacional de Casas de Acogida” to select the shelters to be included in the study. The shelters considered were: “Casa de Acogida Manos Unidas Tejiendo Progreso” (Tulcan), “Casa Mama Zoila Espinoza” (Ibarra), “Casa Tránsito Amagüana” (Cotacachi), “Casa Amiga” (Lago Agrio), “Casa Paula” (Francisco de Orellana), “Casa de la Mujer” and “Casa Matilde” (Quito), “Casa de Acogida Cotopaxi” (Salcedo), “Casa María Amor” (Cuenca), and “Hogar de Nazareth” (Guayaquil). Also, a group of 100 women refugees and their children who were migrating from Colombia and Venezuela were tested at a temporary shelter in Lago Agrio.

Sample collection, RNA extraction, and RT-qPCR for SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis using the CDC protocol

Nasopharyngeal swabs were collected on 0.5 mL TE pH 8 buffer for SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis by RT-qPCR following an adapted version of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) protocol by using “AccuPrep Viral RNA extraction kit” (Bioneer, South Korea) as an alternate RNA extraction method and CFX96 BioRad instrument (Freire-Paspuel and Garcia-Bereguaiin, 2020; Freire-Paspuel et al., 2020b,c,d; Freire-Paspuel et al., 2021; Freire-Paspuel and García-Bereguaiin, 2021; CDC, 2021; Lu et al., 2020). Briefly, the CDC designed RT-qPCR FDA EUA 2019-nCoV CDC kit (IDT, USA) is based on N1 and N2 probes to detect SARS-CoV-2 and RNase P as an RNA extraction quality control (CDC, 2021; Lu et al., 2020). Negative controls (TE pH 8 buffer) were included as control for carryover contamination, one for each set of RNA extractions, to guarantee that only true positives were reported. For viral loads calculation, the 2019-nCoV N positive control (IDT, USA) was used, provided at 200,000 genome equivalents/µL, and a factor of 200 was applied to convert the viral loads to genome equivalents/mL and then converted to logarithmic scale.

Statistical analysis

For the statistical analysis of data, positivity rates were calculated for each shelter and occupancy rates were provided by UNHCR. To assess differences in the positivity rates among shelters, Chi-squared for comparison of proportions was applied, and a Pearson’s correlation test was performed to evaluate correlation between positivity rates and occupancy levels. All statistical analysis was carried out using R software.

Results

Overall SARS-CoV-2 attack rates and viral loads

A total of 411 people from refugee shelters were tested for SARS-CoV-2 using nasopharyngeal swabs. The shelters were distributed along the different geographical regions of Ecuador in nine cities: Cotacachi, Cuenca, Ibarra, Latacunga, Quito, and Tulcan in the Andean Region; Guayaquil in the Coastal Region; and Francisco de Orellana and Lago Agrio in the Amazon Region (Figure 1A). Most of the samples were taken from females (283/411, 68.86%) aged between 30–40 years (mean 31.55 ± 0.73 years) inhabiting those shelters (Figure 1B,C). The overall attack rate of SARS-CoV-2 in all the shelters was 52/411 (12.65%) (Figure 1D). SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks were found in nine of the 11 shelters visited.

The subjects who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 were distributed as shown in Figure 2, with a lower positivity ratio in females (33/283, 11.66%) than in males (19/128, 14.84%). Furthermore, more cases were distributed among the younger population (aged < 40 years) with an average age of 36.02 ± 2.11 years.

Data for viral loads (VL) were available for 32 of the 52 positive individuals in this study; thus, 32 individuals were considered for differences in VL between age groups and sex. There were no significant differences in the average VL among different sex or age groups (p > 0.05). However, higher VL were distributed within young adults (aged 15–39 years) and in females (Figure 3). The latter could be attributable to the fact that most of the occupants of different shelters were women and their children.

SARS-CoV-2 attack rates at different locations and shelters

The location of each shelter and its SARS-CoV-2 attack rate are detailed as follows and in Table 1:

- Lago Agrio city shelters (Sucumbios province, Amazonian Region): Two shelters were tested at Lago Agrio. First, a temporary shelter with 100 women refugees and their children coming from Colombia and Venezuela. In this sample, 100 RT-qPCR tests were performed on 56 females and 44 males. The SARS-CoV-2 attack rate was 20.0%. No occupation rate information was available for this refugee shelter. Second, the foster home “Casa Amiga” in Lago Agrio, with 72% capacity occupation was tested. Thirty-six individuals were sampled in this location and no SARS-CoV-2 positive cases were found.
- Francisco de Orellana shelter (Orellana province, Amazonian Region). In the capital city of Orellana province, “Casa Paula” shelter was considered for this study. Thirty-one people (27 females and four males) were tested, and seven had positive results, resulting in a SARS-CoV-2 attack rate of 22.6%. This shelter was occupied at 100% of its capacity.

- Tulcán city shelter (Carchi province, Andean Region). Twenty-one samples were taken in a shelter in Tulcán, distributed between 16 females and five males, with one male individual being positive, giving a SARS-CoV-2 attack rate of 4.8%. The shelter “Casa Manos Unidas Tejendo Progreso” was occupied at 30% of its capacity.

- Quito city shelters (Pichincha province; Andean Region). Two shelters from the Ecuadorian capital city were considered in the study: “Casa de la Mujer” and “Casa Matilde”. “Casa de la Mujer” had an occupancy percentage of 55%, and 27 samples from 26 females and one male were considered: no SARS-CoV-2-positive individuals were found. In contrast, “Casa Matilde” was occupied at 73% of its capacity, and seven individuals of 28 living in the shelter (22 females and six males) had positive tests, giving a SARS-CoV-2 attack rate of 25.0%.

- Latacunga city shelter (Cotopaxi province, Andean Region). From “Casa de Acogida Cotopaxi” in Latacunga, 15 women and three men were tested for SARS-CoV-2, with three positive individuals, yielding an attack rate of 16.7%. This shelter was at 110% of its capacity.

- Ibarra city shelter (Imbabura province, Andean Region). Twenty-seven individuals were tested with four being positive, giving a SARS-CoV-2 attack rate of 14.8%. No shelter occupancy information was available.

- Cotacachi city shelter (Imbabura province, Andean Region). Thirteen individuals were tested with four being positive, giving a SARS-CoV-2 attack rate of 30.8%. No shelter occupancy information was available.

- Cuenca city shelter (Azuay province; Andean region). “Casa María Amor” was overcrowded at 118% of its full capacity. Forty-nine women and 23 men were tested in this shelter, and three individuals were positive, giving an attack rate of 4.17% for this centre.
- Guayaquil city shelter (Guayas province, Coastal Region). From the 38 individuals sampled in “Casa Hogar de Nazareth” in Guayaquil, 26 were females and 12 were males, with three individuals being positive for SARS-CoV-2. The attack rate for this shelter was 7.89% and it was occupied at 37%.

SARS-CoV-2 infection attack and shelter occupation rates

The occupation rates for the different shelters with the available information are detailed in Table 1. Although there are significant differences (p < 0.05) in the SARS-CoV-2 attack rates for different shelters, no significant linear correlation was found with the number of individuals at the shelter or the occupation rate (R = 0.36, p = 0.39, 95% CI –0.466, 0.848).

Discussion

Since the early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ecuador, the strategy for prevention or mitigation of the impact of COVID-19 displayed by the Ministry of Health was limited to test symptomatic patients attending hospital facilities. Moreover, despite huge efforts from the National Reference Laboratories for SARS-CoV-2 surveillance from “Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública e Investigación” to keep up the diagnosis, a limited daily testing capacity below 200 PCR tests per million habitants was installed across the country (Ortiz-Prado et al., 2021c; Torres and Sacoto, 2020). However, the few reports about the epidemiological situation of SARS-CoV-2 among vulnerable populations suggest that community transmission has been happening since the population lockdown was lifted in June 2020 in Ecuador (Ortiz-Prado et al., 2021a,b,d; Freire-Paspuel et al., 2020a; Del Brutto et al., 2020). Under this scenario, in collaboration with UNHCR, this surveillance program was implemented at shelters for women victims of gender-based violence, and COVID-19 outbreaks were reported at nine out of 11 shelters visited. It is believed that this is the first publication to date addressing SARS-CoV-2 surveillance at shelters for women victims of gender-based violence.

After the arrival of the SARS-CoV-2 infection to Ecuador, a study revealed that women were less prone to acquire the infection compared with men (Ortiz-Prado et al., 2021c). This is in accordance with the findings in the overall SARS-CoV-2 attack rates for males and females in the current study. The overall high SARS-CoV-2 attack rate of 12.65% is also in agreement with other reports showing SARS-CoV-2 community transmission among community-dwelling individuals in Ecuador (Ortiz-Prado et al., 2021a,b,d; Freire-Paspuel et al., 2020a; Del Brutto et al., 2020).

A wide variety of studies sustain the idea that crowded household settings constitute an important site for COVID-19 outbreaks (Del Brutto et al., 2020; Leclerc et al., 2020; Ortiz-Prado et al., 2020; Rotman and Byrareddy, 2020; Madewell et al., 2020; Karb et al., 2020; Ly et al., 2021). The current study showed that nine of 11 shelters had active COVID-19 outbreaks, confirming that these kind of facilities are at high risk for SARS-CoV-2 transmission. However, a clear relationship between the occupancy level of each shelter and the attack rates was not found; those rates varied from

Table 1
SARS-CoV-2 attack rates, number of individuals tested, and occupation rates from the shelters for women victims of gender-based violence included in the study.

| Shelter                    | SARS-CoV-2 attack rate (%) | Sample size | Occupation (%) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Casa Maria Amor           | 4.2                        | 72          | 118            |
| Casa de Acogida Cotopaxi  | 16.7                       | 18          | 110            |
| Casa Paula                | 22.6                       | 31          | 100            |
| Casa Matilde              | 25                         | 28          | 73             |
| Casa Amiga                | 0                          | 36          | 72             |
| Casa de la Mujer          | 0                          | 27          | 55             |
| Casa Hogar de Nazareth    | 7.9                        | 38          | 37             |
| Casa Manos Unidas         | 4.8                        | 23          | 30             |
| Ibarra Shelter            | 14.8                       | 27          | NA             |
| Cotacachi Shelter         | 30.8                       | 13          | NA             |
| Refugio Lago Agrio        | 20                         | 100         | NA             |

Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 viral load distribution according to sex (A) and age ranges (0–14: children, 15–39: young adults, 40–50: adults); (B) for the positive individuals included on the study (viral load is expressed as log_{10} copies/ml).
0 to 30.8%. The characteristics of the shelters could explain such variations in attack rates, as the majority of shelters participating in the screening were “long-stay shelters” and the rotation of housed people was low, the exposure of each resident or working-staff being lower in comparison with “short-stay shelters”. In fact, one study performed in Rhode Island (USA) found that homeless shelters in densely populated areas with more transient resident populations had more SARS-CV-2 incidences than shelters with stable residents. The same study recommended resident stability to reduce COVID-19 cases (Karb et al., 2020). Nevertheless, another study carried out at shelters in France also suggested the risk of collective housing for SARS-CoV-2 transmission and found a SARS-CoV-2 attack rate of 7% (ly et al., 2021). Interestingly, the current study described how the occupation of some included shelters reached or even surpassed the shelter capacity, according to data provided by UNHCR. Considering that COVID-19 outbreaks were found at most of the shelters, recurrent screening for SARS-CoV-2 infection should be implemented.

Regarding the gender approach of this study, most of women included in this study were victims of gender-based violence, which increased during the strict lockdown implemented in the country. In addition to this, gender inequalities have deepened during COVID-19, which is reflected not only in the increase in gender-based violence, but also in worst posttraumatic stress effects after lockdown, or a deeper aftermath from SARS-CoV-2 infection (Silveira Campos et al., 2020; Ayittey et al., 2021). Studies like the current one have been recommended to prevent a deeper impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and guarantee health rights for women victims of gender-based violence (Ayittey et al., 2021).

The main limitation of this study is the fact that sampling took place only at one time point during the highest peak of the pandemic in Ecuador. Additional screening months after the first one would be useful to assess the dynamics of the infection in such humanitarian settings and implement control strategies to prevent the spread; however, a lack of funds did not allow this project to continue. Further prevention strategies in shelters for women and children could include: opportune case reports, mass testing for control of existing cases, proper isolation of affected residents wherever the infrastructure allows it as new residents are accepted, and testing for routine control of staff who are highly exposed (PAHO et al., 2020).

In conclusion, this study constitutes an important report to show the epidemiological situation of COVID-19 in humanitarian shelters in Latin America. Moreover, the findings endorse the importance of mass testing in the prevention of COVID-19 outbreaks, and the importance of closing the breach in SARS-CoV-2 testing, making them accessible to disadvantaged sectors of society.
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