Effect of Different Processing Methods on Anti-Nutrients Content and Protein Quality of Improved Lupin (Lupinus Albus L.) Cultivar Seeds
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ABSTRACT

Lupin seeds of genetically improved cultivar (Golo) were subjected to different processing methods and investigated according to anti-nutritional factors content and protein quality. Results showed that tannin content of raw seeds was significantly increased in sprouted and debittered seeds before and after boiling but in fermented seeds it declined significantly. Phytate content was significantly decreased in all processed seeds with a significant reduction observed in germinated seeds. The reduction in Phytate as a result of processing was accompanied by a significant improvement in protein digestibility. The protein content of lupin seeds decreased in sprouted seeds and increased in fermented and debittered ones. Boiling of the seeds even the sprouted ones significantly increased the protein content compared to raw lupin seeds. In raw lupin seeds, globulins comprised the major fraction followed by glutelin. Debittered seeds characterized by high glutelin, fermented are characterized by high globulin while germinated characterized by both fractions. Most of the amino acids level was increased after processing of the seeds.

Introduction

Interest in lupin production is increasing due to its potential as a source of protein, pharmaceutical purposes, green manure and as a natural component of plant pesticides due to the high alkaloid content (James et al., 2004; Lampart-Szczapa et al., 2003). Lupin is a legume rich in protein, essential amino acids, dietary lipids, fiber, minerals and vitamins (KohaJoVá et al., 2011). Lupin is considered as an excellent source of protein for both human and animal nutrition (Martınez et al., 2010; Mohamed Nour et al., 2010; Osman et al., 2010; Sokrab et al., 2012). Germination of seed legumes is practiced in many countries and reported to be associated with improvements in the nutritive value of seeds and their quality (Mohamed Nour et al., 2010; Sokrab et al., 2012). It has also been reported that germination and fermentation are effective methods of reducing polyphenols, phytic acid and thereby increasing the protein digestibility and improving sensory properties. On the other hand, fermentation is a common practice in African and Southeast Asian countries, is believed to enhance the nutritional quality of foods in addition to increasing shelf life. Breakdown of food components by

...
microbial enzymes may explain the enrichment for such properties during fermentation (Kim et al., 2004; Wong and Mine, 2004). Fermentation, however, offers potential for extensive applications, particularly with respect to the preservation of legumes, cereals, and root crops and the provision of safe foods for developing countries (Deshpande et al., 2000). In Sudan, lupin seeds are processed in several ways including boiling in water in the presence or absence of table salt, germination followed by boiling or fermentation for a short period of time (2 days) followed by boiling. The main aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different processing methods on antinutrients contents and protein quality of lupin seeds.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Lupin seeds (Lupinus albus L.) of cultivar Golo (white lupin) which was improved by conventional breeding were obtained from Crop Research Station, Shambat, Sudan. The seeds were carefully cleaned and freed from foreign materials and stored in polyethylene bags at 4°C. Chemicals used in the present study were of reagent grade.

Processing Methods

Home debittering process: The lupin seeds were steeped overnight in water containing 0.5% NaCl. Then, soaking water was decanted, and the seeds were boiled in fresh water until the color changed from white to light yellow (3-5 h). Boiling of the seeds was repeated, and the seeds were steeped twice as above mentioned. Then the boiled and untreated (control) seeds were freeze-dried (Virtilis Unipot 600SL, New York), milled (KENWOOD Model CG510, China) to pass a 0.25 mm sieve and kept in polyethylene bags at 4°C for further analysis.

Germination process: Germination was carried out in an incubator at 20°C for 6 days according to Mohamed Nour et al. (2010). Samples were periodically taken at 2, 4, and 6 days intervals. Part of the sprouted seeds was boiled in water for 30 minutes. Both raw and boiled samples were freeze-dried, milled to pass a 0.25 mm sieve and kept in polyethylene bags at 4°C for further analysis.

Fermentation process: About 100 grams of lupin seeds were washed and suspended in sterile distilled water (333 mL) using a 500 mL Erlenmeyer flask protected from the daylight with aluminum foil. The suspension was left to ferment naturally in an orbital shaker incubator (Unitrin, Inforas AG, Switzerland) at 220 rpm for 2 days at 37°C. Part of the fermented seeds was boiled in water for 30 minutes. Then both raw and boiled fermented seeds were freeze-dried and milled and then stored at 4°C for further analysis.

Analytical Methods

Tannin determination: The modified vanillin-HCl method was used for tannin determination as described by Price et al. (1978). A 200 mg sample was extracted with 10 mL of 1% HCl in methanol (v/v) for 20 min in capped rotating test tubes. Then, 5 mL of 0.5% Vanillin reagent was added to 1 mL of the extract. Thereafter, the mixture was incubated at 30°C for 20 min and then the absorbance was read at 500 nm. A standard curve was prepared to express the results as catechin equivalents, i.e., amount of catechin (mg per mL) that gives a color intensity equal to that given by tannins after correcting for blank.

Phytate determination: The phytic acid content was determined as described by Wheeler and Ferrel (1971) using 2.0 g of dried sample. A standard curve of various concentrations of Fe(NO₃)₂ was plotted to calculate the ferric ion concentration. The phytate phosphorus was calculated from the standard curve assuming 4:6 iron to phosphorus molar ratio.

In vitro protein digestibility determination: The in vitro digestibility of the protein was determined as described by Monjula and John (1991). Triplicate samples with a known weight containing 16 mg nitrogen were digested with pepsin (1 mg) in 15 mL of 0.1 M HCl at 37°C for 3 h. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 15 mL of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Then, the mixture was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The TCA soluble fraction was assayed for nitrogen using the micro-Kjeldahl’s method. Digestibility was calculated by using the following equation:

\[
PD(\%) = \frac{N \text{ in supernatant} - N \text{ in blank}}{N \text{ in sample}} \times 100
\]

PD: Protein digestibility

Determination of protein content and fractionation: The protein content was determined according to AOAC method (1990). For protein fractionation, the stepwise extraction of nitrogen using various solvents was applied according to the method of Landry and Moureaux (1970). Firstly, the salt-soluble globulin was obtained by adding 0.5 M NaCl to the sample, and the mixture was then stirred three times for 0.5, 1, and 30 min at 4°C. Second, the water-soluble albumin was obtained by twice extraction of the residue with an equal volume of distilled water for 15 min at 4°C. Third, the alcohol-soluble prolamin was obtained by twice extraction of the residue with 60% ethanol for 30 min at 20°C and then at 60°C for 30 min, followed by extraction with 55% isopropanol (Pr-OH) at 20°C. Fourth, G1-glutelin was extracted from the residue using 60% ethanol plus 0.6% of 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME) and stirred twice for 30 min at 20°C, then extracted with 55% Pr-OH containing 2-ME (0.6%) at 20°C (twice) for 30 min. Lastly, G2- and G3-glutelins were achieved following treatment of the remaining residue with 0.6% 2-ME and 0.5 M NaCl in 0.0125 M borate buffer (pH 10), and with 0.6% 2-ME and 0.5 M sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in 0.0125 M borate buffer (pH 10), respectively. The nitrogen of each of these fractions was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl method.

Amino acids composition: The amino acids composition was estimated according to the standard methods using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Sykam system Model S7130, Sykam GmbH,
Eresing, Germany). About 200 mg of the sample was taken in a hydrolysis tube, and 5 ml of 6 N HCl was added. The tube was tightly closed and incubated at 110°C for 24 h. After incubation the solution was filtered, and 200 ml of the filtrate was evaporated at 140°C for 1 h. The hydrolysate after drying was diluted with 1.0 ml of 0.12 N citrate buffer (pH 2.2). Aliquot (150 µl) of the sample hydrolysates was injected into an action separation column at 130°C. Elution buffers (solvent A, pH 3.45 and solvent B, pH 10.85) and ninhydrin solution were simultaneously delivered to a high-temperature reactor coil (16 m) at 0.7 ml/min flow rate. The buffer/ninhydrin mixture was heated in the reactor at 130°C for 2 min, and then the formed products were detected at wavelengths of 570 and 440 nm on a dual channel photometer. The amino acids composition was calculated from the area under the curve of the standards and expressed as mg/100gm. The sulphur-containing amino acids were oxidized using performic acid before the acid hydrolysis.

Statistical Analysis
Each determination was carried out on three separate samples and then triplicates samples were analyzed and figures were then averaged. Data were measured by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Snedecor and Cochran, 1987) while mean values were compared by Duncan's test (P≤0.05).

Results and Discussion

Effect of Processing Methods on Antinutrients and Protein Digestibility of Lupin Seeds

Figures 1 and 2 show the effect of different processing methods on anti-nutritional factors and in vitro protein digestibility (IVPD) of lupin seeds, respectively. Tannin content of raw lupin seeds was 100.02 mg/100gm. Boiling of lupin seeds significantly (P≤0.05) increased tannin content. Germination of the seeds alone significantly (P≤0.05) increased tannin content, and further significant increment in tannin was observed when germination was accompanied by boiling process, with a maximum value (134.56 mg/100g) obtained for 6 days germinated and boiled seeds. This could be a result of solubilization of tannin when the seeds were soaked in water and migration of tannin to the outer layer as a result of germination, as indicated by the browning of the germinated seeds (Ahmed et al., 1996). However, fermentation alone and when accompanied by boiling significantly (P≤0.05) decreased the level of tannin of lupin seeds. The reduction in the degree of tannin during fermentation may be attributed to the action of the enzyme tannase released during fermentation. Moreover, boiling significantly (P≤0.05) increased tannin content of fermented seeds but still lower than that of lupin seeds tannin. Debittering significantly (P≤0.05) increased the level of tannin of lupin seeds. This could be due to the fact that boiling of the seeds in the presence of salt may solubilize the tannin of the seeds as well as the migration of the soluble tannin to the inner layers of the seeds. The phytate content of lupin seeds was 280.53 mg/100g. The seeds are rich in protein (38.52%). Therefore, they had high phytate levels. It has been reported that in legumes, phytates are associated with protein bodies (Sulieman et al., 2007) and therefore, phytate levels should increase with increasing protein content. All processing methods significantly reduced (P≤0.05) phytate content of lupin seeds and further reduction was observed when the process was accompanied by boiling. Ordinary boiling of lupin seeds brought about a significant decrease in phytic acid content when compared to raw. The loss of phytic acid during boiling could probably be explained on the basis that phytase activity at a temperature of 40-55°C may degrade inositol hexaphosphate to the pentaphosphate or lower molecular weight forms (ElMaki et al., 2007). Further, they observed that phytic acid content decreased while boiling because insoluble complexes between phytate and other components were formed and, accordingly, the amount of free phytate was reduced (ElMaki et al., 2007). The loss in phytate during debittering might be due to discharge of phytate ions into the soaking water under the effect of a concentration gradient, which governs the rate of diffusion. Moreover, low level of phytate in germinated and fermented seeds is likely due to the action of the enzyme phytase on phytate. Yorgancilar and Bilgiçi (2014) reported that bulgur processing of bitter and sweet lupin seeds (Lupinus albus L) caused a significant reduction (P≤0.05) in phytic acid content. The IVPD of lupin seeds was 68.26%. Boiling of lupin seeds significantly (P≤0.05) increased the IVPD of the seeds (Fig. 2). Each of sprouting, fermentation and debittering significantly (P≤0.05) improved the IVPD of the seeds and further improvement in IVPD was observed after boiling of sprouted, fermented and debittered seeds. Boiling of the seeds had been reported to reduce the IVPD but when preceded by germination or fermentation the effect of boiling was alleviated. Germination, among other technological processes, has been widely used for its ability to decrease the level of antinutritional factors existing in legume seeds in the meanwhile improving the concentration and bioavailability of their nutrients (Urbano et al., 2005). The comprehensive degradation of seed storage proteins that occurs during this process improves the protein digestibility and the essential amino acid content, consequently enhancing the nutritional value of legumes (Kuo et al., 2004). It has been reported that fermentation and boiling of Sicklepod-fermented flour significantly (P≤0.05) increased the protein digestibility compared to that of the raw sample (Osman et al., 2010). However, contradictory results showed that the protein digestibility of fermented Uji flour (Onyango et al., 2004) and maize (Yousif and El Tinay, 2000) was decreased after boiling. The decline in IVPD after boiling was possible because of the formation of protein–antinutrient complexes which are resistant to pepsin attack as explained by Onyango et al. (2004) or may be as a result of the formation of cross-linkages within and between protein chains such as lysinoalanine and lanthionine, and partly because of the loss of certain attacking sites of the digestive enzyme and thus affected the protein quality.
However, in the present study the improvement in IVPD of sprouted, fermented or debittered lupin seeds before and after boiling may be attributed not only to the removal/reduction of antinutrients (Idris et al., 2007; Mohiedeen et al., 2010, Mohamed Nour et al., 2010; Osman et al., 2010; Sokrab et al., 2012), but also to the structural disintegration of the native protein, including enzyme inhibitors and lectins, phytase activity to break down phytic acid (Sulieman et al., 2007) in the seeds. Moreover, the study revealed that the phytate had a stronger impact on IVPD than tannin as indicated by the direct relation between tannins and IVPD (as the tannins increases, the rate of digestion increases) and reverse relation between phytate and IVPD.

Figure 1 Changes in tannins (lined bars) and phytate (dotted bars) during processing of lupin seeds. For each parameter bars not sharing a common superscript letter are significantly different at P≤0.05.

Figure 2 Changes in in vitro protein digestibility (IVPD) during processing of lupin seeds. Bars not sharing a common superscript letter are significantly different at P≤0.05.
Effect of Processing Methods on Protein Content and Fractions of Lupin Seeds

Table 1 shows the effect of different processing methods on protein content and Osborne fractions of raw and processed lupin seeds. The protein content (38.52%) of lupin cultivar examined was the major nutrient exceeding the values obtained for carbohydrates (37.62%). The results obtained confirm that lupin seeds are protein-rich and agree with the data reported by many researchers for different lupin seeds (Fernandez and Batterham, 1995; Martinez-Villaluenga et al., 2007). Boiling of lupin seeds significantly (P≤0.05) increased the protein to 42.12%, but germination alone slightly decreased the protein content of the seeds. However, boiling of germinated seeds significantly (P≤0.05) increased the protein content. Fermentation before and after boiling and debittering process significantly (P≤0.05) increased the protein content of lupin seeds. The increment in protein content after boiling of germinated and fermented seeds could be attributed to solubilization and concentration of the protein. Moreover, the extensive breakdown of seed-storage proteins that takes place during this process enhanced the nutritional value of legumes (Kuo et al., 2004). The predominant fraction of lupin seed before and after boiling was globulins, which was 45% and 57.24%, respectively of the total protein followed by albumin, prolamin, glutelin and the insoluble protein fractions. Boiling of lupin seeds significantly (P≤0.05) increased all protein fractions due to an increase in protein content of the seeds. Most of the data in the literature coincide with high values for the globulin fraction in legume seeds (Duranti et al., 1990; Gulewicz et al., 2008). Each of germination and fermentation before and after boiling significantly (P≤0.05) reduced the nitrogen content of albumin fraction. The reduction in the nitrogen content of albumin, with significant amounts, continued during the germination period prolonged, reaching a minimum value of 11.14% at the end of germination period and further reduction was observed after boiling (9.35%). The reduction in albumin is likely to be due to the fact that albumin is a water soluble protein and may be extracted in soaking water prior and after germination and fermentation. A decrease in the content of albumin and globulin fractions after germination was reported by Gulewicz et al. (2008) in some lupin cultivars. Debittering of the seeds significantly (P≤0.05) decreased the level of all fractions except glutelin that was significant (P≤0.05) increased to 34.94% compared to that of raw lupin seeds (15.50%). Fermentation alone resulted in a slight rise in the level of prolamin (5.74%) but decreased significantly (P≤0.05) to 2.88% after boiling. Glutelin content was significantly (P≤0.05) increased for all processing methods with higher value observed after boiling of raw seeds (51.90%). Insoluble protein fraction was significantly (P≤0.05) increased after germination and natural sweetening but significantly (P≤0.05) decreased after fermentation. However, boiling of fermented seeds significantly (P≤0.05) increased the level of insoluble protein. This variation in insoluble protein between processing methods is likely to be attributed to the fact that during fermentation the enzymes of the fermenting media may act on the protein fraction and lower its level. Mohiedeen et al. (2010) proved the effect of heat treatment on the solubility of the protein fractions. The observed variations in the globulin fractions after germination, fermentation, and boiling are the consequences of the changes in the molecular mass of different proteins. The dissociation, denaturation or hydrolysis of the proteins may modify their solubility, therefore; they are extracted in other conditions (Yagoub et al., 2004). Chilomer et al. (2010) reported an increase in the residual protein fraction after germination of lupin seeds.

Table 1 Effect of different processing methods on protein content and fractions (%) of lupin seeds.

| Protein/fraction | Raw seeds | Boiled | Raw | Boiled | Raw | Boiled | Fermented seeds | Home bittering |
|------------------|-----------|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----------------|---------------|
| Protein          | 38.52     | ±0.97  | 42.12| ±0.85  | 36.51| 40.42  | 37.96           |               |
| Albinin          | 17.55     | ±0.13  | 14.26| ±0.23  | 17.23| 15.27  | 13.83           |               |
| Globulin         | 45.00     | ±0.47  | 57.24| ±0.17  | 27.70| 39.34  | 26.55           |               |
| Prolamin         | 5.00      | ±0.10  | 5.86 | ±0.10  | 6.15 | 6.25   | 4.83            |               |
| Glutelin         | 15.50     | ±0.20  | 9.50 | ±0.09  | 25.85| 19.67  | 32.83           |               |
| Insoluble protein| 18.75     | ±0.09  | 14.25| ±0.09  | 20.92| 20.13  | 22.69           |               |
| Recovery         | 101.80    | ±0.09  | 101.11| ±0.09 | 97.84| 100.66 | 100.72          |               |

Values are means ±SD, n = 3. Within a row, means not sharing a common superscript letter are significantly different at P≤0.05.
**Effect of Processing Methods on Amino Acids Contents of Lupin Seeds**

Table 2 shows the amino acids composition of raw and processed lupin seeds. Glutamic acid, arginine, leucine and aspartic acid are the major amino acids in lupin seed with values of 4.64, 2.99, 2.15 and 2.08 mg/100gm protein, respectively. Relative to the FAO reference protein pattern (FAO/WHO, 1991), methionine was the limiting amino acid. Lupin seed was found to have low sulfur-containing amino acids as reported by Martinez-Villaluenga et al. (2007) and Guewicz et al. (2008). Lysine content of the seed was 1.14 mg/100gm protein, which is significantly (P<0.05) lower than that of the FAO reference protein. Loe et al. (2003) reported higher lysine content in lupin seed than the value of the present study. Other essential amino acids (Histidine, valine, isoleucine, leucine and phenylalanine plus tyrosine) are comparable to the reference protein (FAO/WHO, 1991). Non-essential amino acids such as serine, glycine, alanine, and proline are found to be 0.50, 0.76, 1.31 and 1.43 mg/100gm protein, respectively. The amino acids composition was changed with varied patterns during processing. Boiling of lupin seeds and debittering increased the level of most amino acids. Germinated and fermented seeds before and after boiling had a variable impact on amino acids composition but most of the amino acids content was increased especially after boiling of the treated seeds. Mubarak (2005) reported a decrease in some essential amino acids after germination of mung bean seeds for 3 days. Transamination and deamination reactions that may occur during fermentation could be responsible for the changes observed in the amino acids profile of the seeds. Boiling in water had been reported to increase the essential amino acids in some legume seeds (Khattab et al., 2009). Yorgancilar and Bilgici (2014) declared that bulgur processing of bitter and sweet lupin seeds (*Lupinus albus*) caused a significant increase (P<0.05) in protein and amino acid content. The results obtained showed that processing of lupin seeds improved the level of some amino acids such as lysine.

| Amino acid | Lupin seeds | Germination time (days) | Fermented seeds | DS |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----|
|            | Raw | Boiled | Raw | Boiled | Raw | Boiled | Raw | Boiled | Raw | Boiled |
| Threonine  | 0.83 ±0.02 | 1.23 ±0.06 | 1.07 ±0.05 | 1.27 ±0.07 | 0.78 ±0.02 | 1.20 ±0.01 | 0.82 ±0.12 | 1.20 ±0.03 | 1.03 ±0.04 | 1.30 ±0.02 |
| Cystine    | 0.02 ±0.02 | 0.22 ±0.02 | 0.32 ±0.25 | 0.22 ±0.26 | 0.22 ±0.25 | 0.40 ±0.46 | 0.16 ±0.24 | 0.17 ±0.13 | 0.78 ±0.10 | 1.64 ±0.24 |
| Valine     | 0.14 ±0.08 | 1.72 ±0.16 | 1.58 ±0.12 | 1.64 ±0.22 | 1.47 ±0.21 | 1.77 ±0.22 | 1.53 ±0.13 | 1.82 ±0.21 | 1.76 ±0.22 | 1.64 ±0.14 |
| Methionine | 0.08 ±0.08 | 0.09 ±0.06 | 0.07 ±0.06 | 0.07 ±0.05 | 0.05 ±0.03 | 0.05 ±0.09 | 0.07 ±0.03 | 0.09 ±0.07 | 1.18 ±0.01 | 1.07 ±0.03 |
| Isoleucine | 1.57 ±0.01 | 1.74 ±0.22 | 1.57 ±0.01 | 1.83 ±0.02 | 1.52 ±0.01 | 1.82 ±0.01 | 1.53 ±0.01 | 1.70 ±0.01 | 1.98 ±0.01 | 2.00 ±0.16 |
| Leucine    | 2.15 ±0.02 | 2.36 ±0.25 | 2.27 ±0.04 | 2.56 ±0.02 | 2.09 ±0.02 | 2.57 ±0.02 | 2.10 ±0.02 | 2.43 ±0.02 | 2.16 ±0.02 | 2.93 ±0.21 |
| Tyrosine   | 0.07 ±0.01 | 0.21 ±0.02 | 0.17 ±0.02 | 0.23 ±0.02 | 0.19 ±0.02 | 0.27 ±0.02 | 0.22 ±0.02 | 0.27 ±0.02 | 0.70 ±0.02 | 1.13 ±0.02 |
| Phenylalanine | 1.11 ±0.06 | 1.21 ±0.13 | 1.17 ±0.11 | 1.51 ±0.22 | 1.03 ±0.22 | 1.18 ±0.22 | 1.16 ±0.22 | 1.50 ±0.22 | 1.56 ±0.22 | 1.30 ±0.08 |
| Histidine  | 0.61 ±0.01 | 0.80 ±0.06 | 0.75 ±0.12 | 0.86 ±0.22 | 0.62 ±0.22 | 0.78 ±0.22 | 0.67 ±0.22 | 0.78 ±0.22 | 0.81 ±0.22 | 0.66 ±0.06 |
| Lysine     | 1.14 ±0.06 | 1.41 ±0.14 | 1.50 ±0.12 | 1.78 ±0.22 | 1.18 ±0.12 | 1.70 ±0.22 | 1.22 ±0.12 | 1.58 ±0.22 | 1.51 ±0.22 | 1.17 ±0.02 |

| Non-essential amino acids (NEAA): |
|-----------------------------------|
| Arginine  | 2.99 ±0.27 | 3.16 ±0.30 | 3.37 ±0.31 | 3.85 ±0.31 | 2.92 ±0.31 | 3.42 ±0.31 | 2.88 ±0.24 | 2.87 ±0.24 | 3.67 ±0.24 | 4.37 ±0.24 |
| Proline   | 1.43 ±0.14 | 1.61 ±0.31 | 1.39 ±0.23 | 1.84 ±0.23 | 1.65 ±0.23 | 1.65 ±0.23 | 1.37 ±0.23 | 1.69 ±0.23 | 1.95 ±0.23 | 1.90 ±0.23 |
| Aspartic acid | 0.09 ±0.07 | 0.14 ±0.07 | 0.13 ±0.07 | 0.31 ±0.07 | 0.23 ±0.07 | 0.11 ±0.07 | 0.32 ±0.07 | 0.21 ±0.07 | 0.13 ±0.07 | 0.50 ±0.23 |
| Serine    | 0.50 ±0.08 | 0.64 ±0.08 | 1.32 ±0.08 | 1.03 ±0.08 | 1.65 ±0.08 | 1.52 ±0.08 | 1.66 ±0.08 | 1.50 ±0.08 | 1.68 ±0.08 | 1.36 ±0.08 |
| Glutamic acid | 4.64 ±0.31 | 5.24 ±0.40 | 7.10 ±0.40 | 8.33 ±0.40 | 3.63 ±0.40 | 7.85 ±0.40 | 3.49 ±0.40 | 6.99 ±0.40 | 5.52 ±0.40 | 7.99 ±0.40 |
| Glycine   | 0.76 ±0.04 | 0.87 ±0.12 | 1.44 ±0.22 | 0.77 ±0.22 | 1.40 ±0.22 | 0.79 ±0.22 | 1.35 ±0.22 | 1.14 ±0.22 | 1.45 ±0.22 | 0.88 ±0.08 |
| Alanine   | 1.31 ±0.24 | 1.19 ±0.24 | 1.13 ±0.24 | 1.23 ±0.24 | 1.39 ±0.24 | 1.22 ±0.24 | 1.45 ±0.24 | 1.30 ±0.24 | 1.60 ±0.24 | 1.38 ±0.15 |
| Ammonia   | 1.83 ±0.33 | 1.90 ±0.33 | 1.94 ±0.33 | 1.88 ±0.33 | 2.00 ±0.33 | 2.05 ±0.33 | 2.08 ±0.33 | 1.73 ±0.33 | 2.08 ±0.33 | 1.78 ±0.33 |

*Mean ± SD, n = 3. Within a row, means not sharing a common letter are significantly different according to DMRT; DS: Debittered seeds.*
Conclusion
In conclusion, the present study revealed that Golo cultivar had a considerable amount of protein and EAA. The seeds contained an appreciable amount of antinutritional factors (tannin and phytate). However, processing of the seeds alleviated the effect of such factors and improved the protein quality.
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