Coherent Radiation from Extensive Air Showers in the Ultra-High Frequency Band
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Using detailed Monte Carlo simulations we have characterized the features of the radio emission of inclined air showers in the Ultra-High Frequency band (300 MHz - 3 GHz). The Fourier-spectrum of the radiation is shown to have a sizable intensity well into the GHz frequency range. The emission is mainly due to transverse currents induced by the geomagnetic field and to the excess charge produced by the Askaryan effect. At these frequencies only a significantly reduced volume of the shower around the axis contributes coherently to the signal observed on the ground. The size of the coherently emitting volume depends on frequency, shower geometry and observer position, and is interpreted in terms of the relative time delays with respect to a plane shower front moving at the speed of light. At ground level, the maximum emission at high frequencies is concentrated in an elliptical ring-like region around the intersection of a Cherenkov cone with its vertex at shower maximum and the ground. The frequency spectrum of inclined showers when observed at positions that view shower maximum in the Cherenkov direction, is shown to be in broad agreement with the pulses detected by the Antarctic Impulsive Transient Antenna (ANITA) experiment, making the interpretation that they are due to Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Ray atmospheric showers consistent with our simulations. These results are also of great importance for experiments aiming to detect molecular bremsstrahlung radiation in the GHz range as they present an important background for its detection.

PACS numbers: 95.85.Bh, 95.85.Ry, 29.40.-n

I. INTRODUCTION

Radio pulses from ultra-high energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) were first observed in the 1960s and 1970s in coincidence with air shower arrays [1, 2] but severe difficulties were encountered to extract sufficiently precise information about the showers themselves using this technique alone [3]. Current advances in fast signal digitization and increased computational power have opened up new possibilities to exploit the technique and multiple efforts are being carried out to characterize these pulses in detail. Experiments such as AERA [4] in the context of the Pierre Auger Collaboration, LOPES [5] in the context of KASCADE, and LOFAR [6], are regularly detecting pulses. These experiments are typically operating in the ~30-80 MHz range since the emission is expected to be at least partially coherent at those frequencies. There are also efforts to detect GHz emission from EAS claimed to originate from a different and yet unconfirmed mechanism: molecular bremsstrahlung [7], such as AMBER [7], MIDAS [8], CHROME [9] and EASIER [10].

Recently several events in the 300-900 MHz frequency range were serendipitously observed with the ANITA balloon-borne antenna array which was flown over Antarctica. These events have been claimed to be consistent with emission from Extended Air Showers (EAS) with energies between $10^{18} - 5 	imes 10^{19}$ eV [11]. Earlier claims of detection of UHECRs in the ultra-high frequency (UHF) band exist [12-14]. Other experiments have also recently observed GHz radiation associated with EAS [8, 12] although at higher frequencies, typically above 3 GHz. These results motivate a careful study of the radio emission induced by UHECR showers paying particular attention to the UHF band in the 300 MHz - 3 GHz range.

The emission is due to both shower charges and currents: an excess of electrons accumulates because there are no positrons in the atmosphere, a mechanism predicted by Askaryan [18], and drift currents are induced through the separation of positively and negatively charged particles in the magnetic field of the Earth [19-22], with the latter mechanism dominating the emission.

When the induced currents and the net charge distributions move at a speed faster than the speed of light in the atmosphere, several features characteristic of Cherenkov emission appear, including an enhancement of the high frequency components of the field [16, 17]. Calculations of the emission from air showers have been performed using Monte Carlo simulations [16, 27, 29], and analytical and semi-analytical techniques [30, 31]
In this work we characterize the features of the UHF emission from EAS using ZHAireS [16], a full Monte Carlo simulation of the air shower and its associated radio emission. The simulation is based on the AIREs shower code [26], and the emission is calculated using a well-established algorithm [2] obtained from first principles [23–25] with which the electric field due to each individual charged particle track produced in the shower simulation is calculated. In this process interference between the emission from different tracks is carefully accounted for, including the variation of the refractive index $n$ with altitude. The algorithm does not assume any specific emission mechanism and correctly reproduces the radiation induced by the excess charge and the geomagnetic field [16].

II. CHARACTERIZATION OF RADIO EMISSION IN THE UHF BAND

For the emission in the UHF band, inclined showers are addressed in more detail for two reasons: as they have the shower maximum high up in the atmosphere, the observation distance is usually quite far away and the conditions for coherent emission from different stages of shower evolution are strengthened [33]. In addition it is inclined showers that have been observed in the GHz regime by ANITA. EAS have been simulated over Antarctica (altitude $\sim 2800$ m above sea level and atmospheric density profile for the South Pole) with zenith angles between $\theta_z = 50^\circ$ and $\theta_z = 90^\circ$, and radiation patterns at different positions on the ground have been obtained. A 55 $\mu$T magnetic field pointing towards North with an inclination of $-72.42^\circ$ has been assumed. Antennas have been positioned on the ground along East-West (E-W) and North-South (N-S) lines intersecting at the shower impact point, as shown in Fig. 1.

Our simulations of inclined showers predict strong pulses in the nano-second scale for antennas that view the depth of maximum shower development ($X_{\text{max}}$) at angles very close to the Cherenkov angle, i.e. antennas placed on an elliptical “ring” shown in Fig. 1 defined by the intersection of a Cherenkov cone centered at $X_{\text{max}}$ and the ground. This is in agreement with the results reported in [16, 17]. As the observation point moves away from this region, to the inner or outer regions of the Cherenkov cone, there is a significant broadening in time of the pulse, which is equivalent to a relative lowering of the spectrum in the UHF band. In Fig. 2 we show the frequency spectra for antennas lying on the ground along a W-E line that intercepts shower axis. The label “Cherenkov” refers to antennas that lay on the elliptical ring shown in Fig. 1 while numerical labels refer to the distance in meters from the ring to the antenna, either towards shower axis (in) or away from it (out). The spectra clearly become steeper as the observation points get further away from the Cherenkov ring. Only antennas located very close to the ring contain a significant signal in the UHF band.

In Fig. 4 we show the spectral component of the electric field at 300 MHz as a function of distance to the shower core for antennas along the S-N and W-E axes. The shower comes from the North towards the South. The projection of the Cherenkov cone on ground makes an approximate ellipse with its major axis along the N-S direction as expected.

Figure 1. Shower geometry: $\theta_z$ is the azimuthal angle of the shower, coming from the North. Antennas are placed along the E-W and N-S lines (dotted lines). The magnetic field $\vec{B}$ used in the simulations points towards North and has an inclination of $-72.42^\circ$. Also drawn is the Cherenkov cone centered at the depth of maximum shower development $X_{\text{max}}$ and the ellipse of its intersection with the ground, where the UHF signal is very close to its maximum value. This cone is present in any simulation with $n > 1$ [16, 17, 32].

Figure 2. Frequency spectra at ground for antennas at several distances along the W-E line that intersects shower axis, inside and outside the Cherenkov cone for a $10^{19}$ eV proton shower with a zenith angle of $\theta_z = 80^\circ$ coming from North. The antenna that sees $X_{\text{max}}$ at the Cherenkov angle (solid red line) has a spectrum that extends well into the GHz frequency range.
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Figure 3. Fourier component at 300 MHz as a function of distance to the shower core for a 10^{19} eV proton shower coming from the North with θ_z = 70°. The antennas were placed along the N-S and E-W lines that intersect at the shower core. Negative coordinates are South (West) of the core for antennas along the N-S (E-W) line.
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Figure 4. Fourier component at 300 MHz as a function of distance to the core for a 10^{19} eV proton shower coming from the North with zenith angles θ_z = 60°, 70° and 80°. The antennas were placed along an E-W line passing through the shower core (negative coordinates are West of the core). Also shown as points is the emission calculated using a simple one-dimensional toy model [16], normalized to the fully simulated emission (see Sec. IV A for details). The peaks West of the core are typically higher than to the East due to interference between the geomagnetic and Askaryan components [16, 27, 30, 34].

In Fig. 4 we show the spectral components of the electric field for a 10^{19} eV proton shower with three different zenith angles. The scaling of the major axis of the ring with sec θ_z is illustrated. The axes and area of the ring increase as the zenith angle rises because the shower maximum is more distant from the ground. This increase dominates the drop induced because the opening angle of the Cherenkov cone (i.e. the Cherenkov angle) decreases as n decreases with altitude. In Fig. 5 the Fourier components of the field as a function of distance to the core are plotted for fixed zenith angle. As the frequency drops the angular width of the Cherenkov ring broadens (an effect already visible in Fig. 2), and eventually it becomes broader than the Cherenkov angle itself making a “plateau” in the radial coordinate on ground. This can be mostly appreciated in the 50 MHz frequency line shown in Fig. 5. There is evidence of this behaviour in the flattening of the lateral distribution of the signal close to the shower core in showers detected by the LOPES [35] and LOFAR experiments [6] as pointed out in [17, 32].

III. ANITA OBSERVATIONS

The ANITA collaboration has recently reported observations of UHECRs in the UHF band [11]. Most of these events are “reflected” events, meaning that the radiation from the shower is reflected on the Antarctic ice sheet towards the ANITA payload. The ANITA observations exhibit power spectra (i.e. electric field squared) falling exponentially with frequency as exp(−ν/ν_0) between 300-900 MHz, with an average exponential constant ν_0 ∼ 190 MHz for reflected events. The results shown in Fig. 2 for the electric field amplitude, when squared, fit well to an

\[ ν_0/2 \] is the constant of the exponential fall off of the electric field power (amplitude) spectrum.
exponential fall-off in the UHF band with an exponential constant of $\nu_0 \sim 263$ MHz for an antenna located precisely in the Cherenkov ring, decreasing to $\nu_0 \sim 169$ MHz (84 MHz) for the antenna 100 m away towards the outside (inside) of the Cherenkov ring. The interpretation that the radiation detected with the ANITA instrument was due to extensive air showers induced by UHECRs [11], is consistent with our simulations. The geometry must be such that the antenna is pointing in the direction of the reflected radiation emitted at the Cherenkov cone at shower maximum.

The ability of ANITA to observe events reflected from regions away from the Cherenkov ring on the ground is trigger limited. The ANITA trigger requires coincidences between several frequency bands registered by neighboring feeds as expected from impulsive signals. As a result the detector selects only those pulses with significant power over multiple bands of width ranging from 130 to 415 MHz centered at frequencies 265, 435, 650, and 990 MHz [22]. A steeply falling cosmic-ray energy spectrum favors triggers on events with flatter frequency spectra such as those expected near the Cherenkov ring.

Although we have only discussed the features of the spectrum on ground, we expect the reflection on the ice sheet as well as the larger distance from the shower to the ANITA payload when compared to the distance to ground, to reduce the strength of the spectrum but not to modify significantly the spectral features.

**IV. INTERPRETATION: TIME DELAYS**

In order to interpret the features of the frequency spectrum on the ground as obtained in the full Monte Carlo simulations, regions of the shower that emit coherently at a given frequency have been studied. For this purpose, we have calculated for a given observer, the delays between the arrival time of the emission originated at different positions of the shower, with respect to the arrival of the earliest signal. For an observer in the Cherenkov ring, the earliest part of the signal originates at the shower axis, close to shower maximum. In order to contribute coherently at a given frequency the magnitude of the delays must be below a fraction of the period $T$ of that frequency. For the purposes of discussion we fix that fraction to one half of the period.

In the limit of very large distances to the shower, the Cherenkov condition guarantees that all points along the shower axis emit in phase for observation at the Cherenkov angle. However, this is not the case in a real situation with the observer at ground, since the shower as a whole is not observed in the far-field. In fact, as we move along the shower axis the angle of the line of sight to the observation point changes introducing time delays which induce destructive interference between different stages in the longitudinal development of the shower [33].

It is convenient to separate the time delays into geometrical delays associated to the position from which the radiation originates, and intrinsic time delays which are due to the fact that the shower front is lagging behind with respect to a plane front that moves at the speed of light because of both curvature and time spread. Geometrical delays can be calculated analytically. The time delay of the shower, as obtained in simulations with AIRES and measured in experiments [27], is approximately proportional to the radius near shower axis with a constant 0.2 ns/m. In the calculation of the time delays we accounted for an index of refraction that varies with altitude in the same way as that in the full Monte Carlo simulation.

The total delays as a function of the position in the shower ($r$ distance to shower axis, $L$ distance to $X_{\text{max}}$) from which the radio emission originates are displayed in Fig. 4 for a $10^{19}$ eV shower with 70$^\circ$ of zenith angle. The shower is coming from the North and the observer is located on ground at the South and at a position such that $X_{\text{max}}$ is viewed at the Cherenkov angle (see Fig. 1). The coordinate $r$ is measured in the plane perpendicular to the shower axis along the North-South direction. A similar picture is obtained in the East-West direction. Clearly for this geometry it is first the lateral distribution that is responsible for the loss of coherence as the frequency increases. It can be appreciated that for the bulk of the shower - contained within the Molière radius ($\sim 250 - 300$ m for such a shower at $X_{\text{max}}$) - the time delays are below $\sim 100$ ns so that for frequencies well below 10 MHz the emission from all the shower is coherent.

The central region of the shower is separated in the right panel of Fig. 5 to indicate the delay structure in the region relevant for UHF emission. The coherent region can be seen to extend to several km along the shower axis to either side of shower maximum. As points separated from the axis are considered, the intrinsic time delay of the shower front dominates for observers that see $X_{\text{max}}$ close to the Cherenkov angle. This limits the coherent volume to lateral distances smaller than $r \sim 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (1 GHz) m with $\nu$ the frequency of observation. As a consequence, the region contributing in phase is a long and thin volume along shower axis.

This is confirmed in full Monte Carlo simulations in which we obtained the Fourier spectrum for a $10^{19}$ eV proton shower with $\theta_0 = 70^\circ$ and compared it to that obtained accounting only for particles occupying a fractional volume of the shower. For frequencies above $\sim 300$ MHz the spectrum of the whole shower is mainly due to particles with $r < 10 - 20$ m as shown in Fig. 4.

This picture resembles the simple model described in [10] which neglects the lateral spread of the shower. In that model the high frequency signal was taken to be proportional to the value of the longitudinal distribution at the point that “views” the antenna in the Cherenkov direction. For inclined showers as is the focus of this work, this point must be replaced by an integral over the longitudinal distance along shower axis from which the bulk of the radiation is produced coherently at a given frequency in the UHF band. As the zenith angle decreases,
Figure 6. Time delays between the arrival time of the emission originated at different positions of the shower - measured in the longitudinal $L$ and lateral $r$ directions - with respect to the arrival of the earliest signal. The delays were calculated for the geometry of a proton shower of energy $10^{19}$ eV with zenith angle $\theta_z = 70^\circ$ simulated over Antarctica coming from the North, and for an observation point on the surface of the ice sheet at the South on the Cherenkov ring. The coordinate $r$ measures the distance to the axis in the plane perpendicular to the shower incoming direction, and it runs from North (negative values of $r$) to South (positive values of $r$). At the observer position, the depth of maximum at the shower axis corresponding to the $r = 0$ m, $L = 0$ m position in the plot, is viewed at the Cherenkov angle. The longitudinal shower development extracted from the shower simulation is superimposed (solid line). Note that for this particular case the shower starts at $\sim 17$ km measured along shower axis above $X_{\text{max}}$. Left panel: Delays for the region where the bulk of the shower is contained which are below 300 ns. Right panel: Blow-up of the transverse region to illustrate the delays relevant for the spectrum in the UHF band. Note the different scales of the longitudinal and transverse axes in both panels.

the range of integration in the longitudinal dimension becomes smaller and the picture approaches again the model in [16].

**A. Lateral distribution of the field at ground level**

For the observer viewing shower maximum at the Cherenkov angle, the volume contributing coherently occupies a region of the shower around $X_{\text{max}}$ where the number of particles is largest. This is illustrated in Fig. 4 where the longitudinal shower profile is depicted on top of the spatial distribution of the delays. As a consequence, for this observer the electric field is largest. If the observer moves along the radial direction in such a way that the shower maximum ceases to be in the Cherenkov direction, the volume contributing coherently moves upwards or downwards with respect to $X_{\text{max}}$ into regions in the atmosphere where the number of charges contributing to the emission is typically smaller, and the electric field is reduced. This leads to the lateral distribution of the Fourier components of the field shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Using the simple model in [16] accounting for the proper longitudinal region and the depth development of the number of charged particles in the cascade, the lateral distribution can be reproduced as shown in Fig. 4. This indicates that the measurement of the spectral components in the UHF band at different distances to the impact point can be related to the longitudinal development of the shower.

**B. Frequency spectrum at ground level**

The approach of studying the time delays in the shower, allows us to interpret the frequency spectrum not only in the UHF band but at all frequencies, and all shower zenith angles including vertical showers.

The spectrum at ground from frequencies below 1 MHz up to GHz for a $10^{19}$ eV proton induced shower with $\theta_z = 70^\circ$, and for an observer viewing shower maximum at the Cherenkov angle is shown in Fig. 8. Qualitatively, at very low frequencies the delays for any region in the shower are smaller than half the period of the corresponding frequency, and the coherent volume spans the whole shower. There is no loss in coherence from the volume of the shower contributing most to the emission as the frequency increases because it is constant with frequency. Since the electric field contributed by each particle track is proportional to frequency [24], the total field increases in the same fashion for frequencies below $\sim 1 \sim 2$ MHz as can be seen in Fig. 8. As the frequency increases, the coherent volume starts to shrink but only in the lateral dimension. In the longitudinal direction and close to the shower axis, the delays are still small compared to half the period of the frequency because the observer is close to the Cherenkov angle for the bulk of the inclined shower. This can be seen in the left panel of Fig. 6. At $X_{\text{max}}$ and far from the shower axis the delays are $\sim 300$ ns. This translates into a frequency $\sim 2$ MHz at which the coherent volume starts to shrink laterally. The slope of the spectrum begins changing at that point due to the decrease in the number of particles contribut-
ing coherently. The change of slope is mild around 2 MHz because only particles outside the Molière radius of the shower stop contributing coherently, and those constitute a small fraction of the shower, typically < 20%. At some frequency the lateral dimension of the shrinking volume of the coherent region reaches the Molière radius ($r_m \sim 250 - 300$ m at $X_{\text{max}}$ for a 70° shower). Since the typical delay at the Molière radius for a 70° shower around $X_{\text{max}}$ is $\sim 50$ ns, this occurs at a frequency of $\sim 10$ MHz. For $r < r_m$ the lateral distribution is flatter than when $r > r_m$, and the decrease in the number of particles contributing coherently is more important in relative terms as the frequency increases. As a consequence the spectrum flattens. This behavior is clearly seen in the spectrum obtained in full Monte Carlo simulations shown in Fig. 8. Near the lower edge of the UHF band, at $\sim 300$ MHz, only the volume inside which the delays are smaller than $\sim 1.5$ ns contributes coherently. This volume is depicted in the right panel of Fig. 8 and it is of order 10 m lateral width. As the frequency increases above $\sim 300$ MHz, the coherent volume shrinks in both the lateral and longitudinal dimensions, with the consequence that the spectrum starts dropping exponentially with frequency, as obtained with our full Monte Carlo simulations shown in Figs. 2 and 8.

In essence, this is very similar for more vertical showers. The main difference is that the drop in the spectrum begins at a lower frequency as can be seen in Fig. 8, where we show the spectrum for a $\theta_z = 30^\circ$ proton shower of energy $10^{19}$ eV. The reason for this is that in a more vertical shower the geometrical delays due to the longitudinal shower dimensions are typically larger than in the inclined case over the whole region in space occupied by the shower, and they start to become of the order of the lateral and intrinsic delays at a smaller frequency. As a result the time structure of the pulse has a broader time scale for vertical showers and the high frequency components, although present, appear as a small modulation of the pulses.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

The radio signal emitted from UHECR air showers has been shown to have a sizable intensity well into the GHz frequency range. In relative terms the UHF components are more important for inclined showers than for vertical ones. When projecting on the ground, the peak of the UHF signal is located at a narrow elliptical ring defined by the intersection of the Cherenkov cone at shower maximum and the ground. The width of the ring becomes narrower as the frequency is increased. The lateral distance to shower axis at which the UHF signal is received at highest amplitude depends on geometry, increasing with zenith angle, and reaching hundreds of meters for showers of 70°.

We have shown that the lateral distribution for the low frequency components of the radio pulses, typically below $\sim 100$ MHz, displays a rather flat behavior with distance to the shower core until a position is reached at which shower maximum is viewed at the Cherenkov angle (No cut) and for particles with distances to the core $r < r_{\text{cut}}$ for values of $r_{\text{cut}} = 1, 5, 10, 20$ and 40 m.

Figure 7. Frequency spectra at ground for the antenna that views $X_{\text{max}}$ at the Cherenkov angle for a $10^{19}$ eV proton shower with a zenith angle of $\theta_z = 80^\circ$ coming from North in Antarctica. The spectrum was obtained for the whole shower (“No cut”) and for particles with distances to the core $r < r_{\text{cut}}$ for values of $r_{\text{cut}} = 1, 5, 10, 20$ and 40 m.
attributed to radio pulses from UHECR [11]. The results of the simulations made in this work further support this hypothesis. An event by event comparison of ANITA pulses and realistic predictions, followed by a simulation of the experiment would further strengthen this claim. The third flight of ANITA, scheduled for 2013-2014, is expected to detect hundreds of cosmic rays [11], and could provide a highly significant test of the expected UHECR pulse properties. The EVA (Exa-Volt Antenna) project [38] could increase the event rate by a factor of 10.

Figure 8. Left panel: frequency spectra at ground for a \( \theta_z = 30^\circ \) (top line) and inclined \( \theta_z = 70^\circ \) (bottom line) proton shower of energy \( 10^{19} \) eV in Antarctica. In both cases the observer is viewing shower maximum at the Cherenkov angle. Right panel: pulses in the time domain corresponding to the frequency spectra shown in the left panel.
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