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Abstract

Two-particle momentum correlation functions as measured in heavy ion collisions or in high-energetic proton-proton collisions are studied. Special emphasis is put on systems like $\Lambda\Lambda$ or $K^-p$ where effects from the coupling to other channels could be relevant. In both cases other channels open at relatively low momenta or are already open at the reaction threshold. To have a solid basis, realistic coupled-channel interactions for $\Lambda\Lambda - \Xi N - \Lambda\Sigma - \Sigma\Sigma$ and $\pi\Lambda - \pi\Sigma - KN$ are utilized in the actual calculations. It is found that the opening of the $\Xi N$ channel leaves a trace in the $\Lambda\Lambda$ correlation function that could be detectable in experiments. Should the proposed $H$-dibaryon be located close to or below the $\Xi N$ it will have a very pronounced effect. The presence of open channels in systems like $\Xi^-p$ or $K^-p$ does influence the correlation functions significantly at low momenta and will certainly complicate any dedicated analysis.

1. Introduction

Traditionally, the determination of two-particle momentum correlations in relativistic heavy ion collisions has been viewed as a tool to explore properties like the size of the emitting source or the time dependence of the emission process [1, 2, 3, 4]. In recent times, however, another aspect has come more to the fore, namely the possibility to study and extract information on the interaction between the two particles [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. After all, those correlations do not only depend on quantum statistical effects and on the source but also reflect the final-state interaction (FSI) of the emitted particle pair. With that aim, measurements of the momentum correlations for baryon-baryon systems such as $\Lambda\Lambda$ [11, 12, 13, 14], $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ [11], and $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ [14, 15, 16] have been performed over the last few years, in heavy ion collisions but also in high-energetic proton-proton ($pp$) collisions, and analyzed theoretically [6, 7, 8, 9]. See also Ref. [17] for a recent review.

Among the studied systems the $\Lambda\Lambda$ channel is certainly the most interesting one, not least due to the fact that the famous $H$-dibaryon [18] was predicted to be present here. Its quantum numbers coincide with that of the $\Lambda\Lambda$ state in the $^1S_0$ partial wave. Given that direct scattering experiments are impossible and binding energies of observed double-$\Lambda$ hypernuclei like the so-called Nagara event $\Lambda^\Lambda$He [19] can provide only rough constraints, FSI effects [20] open an important and selective way to the strength of the $\Lambda\Lambda$ interaction [6, 21] and could have the potential to yield solid quantitative information. The same applies to baryon-baryon systems like $\Xi N$, $\Omega N$, or $\Omega\Omega$ where measurements of the corresponding correlation function are planned or already under way [22-23] and predictions can be found in the literature [10, 24-25].

Various aspects concerning the practical application of the pertinent formalism to systems like $\Lambda\Lambda$ have been investigated thoroughly in recent times and are well documented in published works [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. However, there is one specific feature which has not been dealt with in detail so far, namely possible effects from channel couplings. Specifically, in case of $\Lambda\Lambda$ the first inelastic channel, $\Xi N$, is only separated by about 25 MeV, and is likely to influence the results for the correlation function. Conversely, the open $\Lambda\Lambda$ channel should have an effect on the correlation function for $\Xi N$. A similar situation arises for the $K^-p$ system where measurements of the correlation function are likewise under way [26]. Also here there are open channels ($\pi\Lambda, \pi\Sigma$) and, as a peculiarity, a small but relevant splitting between the $K^-p$ and $K^0n$ thresholds due to the mass differences between $K^-$ and $K^0$ [27]. It should be said that the theoretical framework for dealing with multichannel systems has been already worked out in Ref. [5]. But, to the best of our knowledge, calculations for baryon-baryon and meson-baryon systems, where the channel coupling is taken into account explicitly, have not been performed yet.
In this paper we present an exemplary study of the influence of coupled-channel effects on the two-particle momentum correlation function. Systems like \( pp \) or \( K^+ p \) are ideal for testing and applying the femtoscopic tools developed in the past, because there is only a single \( S \)-wave state where strong correlations are expected to occur for low momenta and the thresholds of other channels are far away from the energy region of interest. However, already for \( \Lambda p \) the situation is different. There are two \( S \)-waves (the \(^1S_0\) and the \(^3S_1\)) owing to the fact that the two baryons can be in a spin singlet or triplet state, where correlations arise. Moreover, the \( \Lambda p \) system couples to the \( \Sigma N \) channel whose threshold lies only about 77 MeV away and that coupling plays an important role in understanding the \( \Lambda N \) and \( \Sigma N \) interaction within phenomenological potential models \([28, 29]\) but also in works based on modern frameworks like chiral effective field theory \([30]\). The significance of the coupling to \( \Sigma N \) is clearly visible in experiments where, in various reactions, a pronounced cusp-like structure has been detected in \( \Lambda p \) observables at the opening of the \( \Sigma N \) channel \([31]\). The conditions are more delicate for \( \Lambda \Lambda \) where the threshold of the \( \Xi N \) channel is separated only by around 25 MeV, as already mentioned above. Indeed, the opening of the \( \Xi N \) channel is well within the energy/momentum region where measurements of the \( \Lambda \Lambda \) correlation function have been performed \([14, 15]\). The opposite scenario is realized in the \( \Xi p \) system where the other channel (\( \Lambda \Lambda \)) opens already below the reaction threshold. Consequently, the pertinent scattering amplitudes no longer fulfill strict two-body unitarity constraints and a simple effective range expansion that forms the basis in a convenient and widely used approach for analyzing the correlation function \([2, 17]\) no longer works. Indeed, because of the mass splitting between \( \Xi^0 \) and \( \Xi^- \) \([27]\), the \( \Xi^0 n \) channel opens as well below the \( \Xi^- p \) threshold. A similar situation occurs for the \( K^- p \) system. Also here, some channels open already well below the \( K^- p \) threshold (\( \pi \Lambda, \pi \Sigma \)) while another one, namely \( K^0 n \), has its threshold just about 5 MeV above that of \( K^- p \).

In order to explore the effect of channel coupling on the correlation functions we utilize baryon-baryon and meson-baryon interactions where the relevant channels are explicitly included. This allows us to compute the wave functions for all those channels explicitly and to utilize them in the actual evaluation of the correlation function within the so-called Koonin-Pratt formulation \([1, 17]\). To be specific, we employ the hyperon-nucleon (YN) interaction derived in Ref. \([30]\) in the framework of SU(3) chiral effective field theory (EFT), where the coupling between \( \Lambda N \) and \( \Sigma N \) is taken into account. For the baryon-baryon interaction with strangeness \( S = -2 \) we take likewise an interaction based on chiral EFT \([32]\). In this case the coupling of the \( \Lambda \Lambda, \Xi N, \Lambda \Sigma, \) and \( \Sigma \Sigma \) systems has been taken into account. Finally, for the \( \Lambda N \) interaction we resort to a meson-exchange potential that has been constructed by the Jülich group \([33, 34]\). It includes the coupling to \( \pi \Lambda \) and \( \pi \Sigma \) among others. Since that model, published in 1992, is not in line with recently established information on the \( K^- p \) scattering length \([35]\), we consider also a refitted version where those constraints are incorporated. Furthermore, we employ one of the so-called chirally motivated \( K \nu \) potentials by Cieplýt and Smekal \([36]\), which implement results from unitarized chiral perturbation theory. All calculations are performed for physical masses so that subtle effects like the mentioned \( \Xi^0 n - \Xi^- p \) and \( K^- p - \Xi^0 n \) splittings can be taken into account appropriately.

The paper is structured in the following way: In the subsequent section we summarize the employed formalism. Since that formalism has been described in detail in various dedicated publications we will be very brief here. In Sect. III numerical results for the correlation function are presented. Thereby, the emphasis is on the \( \Lambda \Lambda \) and \( \Xi N \) interactions and on the \( K^- p \) system. However, results for \( \Sigma \Sigma^0 \) and \( \Lambda p \) will be also briefly discussed. The paper ends with some concluding remarks.

2. Formalism

The formalism for calculating the two-particle correlation function from a two-body interaction has been described in detail in various publications \([1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 17]\). Thus, in the following we will be very brief and provide only an overview of the employed formulae. Thereby, we follow very closely the presentation in the paper of Ohnishi et al. \([9]\).

The two-particle momentum correlation function is given by

\[
C(p_1, p_2) = \frac{\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 S_1(x_1, p_1) S_2(x_2, p_2) |\Psi^{-}\rangle(r, k)|^2}{\int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 S_1(x_1, p_1) S_2(x_2, p_2)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[
= \int dr S_{12}(r) |\Psi^{-}\rangle(r, k)|^2 .
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
Here the quantity \( S_i(x_i, p_i) \) \((i = 1, 2)\) is the single particle source function of hadron \( i \) with momentum \( p_i \), and \( k = (m_2 p_1 - m_1 p_2)/(m_1 + m_2) \). As already indicated by Eq. (2), we evaluate the quantity in question in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame where the wave function \( \Psi^{(p)} \) is then a function of the relative coordinate \( r \) and the c.m. momentum and \( S_{12}(r) \) is the normalized pair source function that depends likewise only on the relative coordinate. Furthermore, we consider only interactions in the \( S \)-wave, though part of the formalism below will be written down in a general way.

Assuming a static and spherical Gaussian source with radius \( R \), \( S(x, p) \approx \exp(-x^2/2R^2)\delta(t - t_0) \), a partial wave expansion can be performed straightforwardly and the correlation function can be written in a compact form \[7\]. In particular, for systems with two non-identical particles such as \( \Lambda p \), \( \Xi^{-} p \), or \( K^{-} p \) the correlation function amounts to

\[
C(k) = 1 + \int_0^\infty 4\pi r^2 \, dr \, S_{12}(r) \left[ |\psi(k, r)|^2 - |j_0(kr)|^2 \right],
\]

where the properly normalized source function is given by \( S_{12}(r) = \exp(-r^2/4R^2)/(2\sqrt{\pi}R)^3 \) and \( j_l(kr) \) is the spherical Bessel function for \( l = 0 \). \( \psi(k, r) \) is the scattering wave function that can be obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation for a given potential, but also from the Lippmann-Schwinger (LS) equation as we will discuss below. Since \( S \)-wave baryon-baryon states can be formed with total spin 0 or 1 (i.e., can be in the partial waves \( ^1S_0 \) and \( ^3S_1 \), respectively) an averaging over the spin has to be performed in Eq. (3). Thereby it is usually assumed that the weight is the same as for free scattering, namely 1/4 and 3/4, respectively. See, however, the discussion in Ref. \[3\].

For systems with two identical particles like \( \Lambda \Lambda \) the correlation function is given by

\[
C(k) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \exp(-4k^2R^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty 4\pi r^2 \, dr \, S_{12}(r) \left[ |\psi(k, r)|^2 - |j_0(kr)|^2 \right].
\]

Here, besides a contribution due to the actual interaction another term arises from a quantum statistical effect which suppresses the correlation due to the anti-symmetrization of the wave function \[9\].

The wave functions to be inserted in Eqs. (3) and (4) are normalized asymptotically according to \[9\]

\[
\psi(k, r) \rightarrow e^{-\delta r} \sin(kr + \delta) = \frac{1}{2ikr} \left[ e^{ikr} - e^{-2i\delta}e^{-ikr} \right] \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),
\]

where \( \delta = \delta(k) \) is the phase shift. This differs from the standard definition by an overall phase \( e^{-2i\delta} \), see e.g. Ref. \[37\], which, however, drops out anyway in the actual calculation because the absolute square has to be taken.

Simple and handy expressions can be derived when one assumes that the wave function is given everywhere by the asymptotic form. This is done in the Lednicky and Lyuboshitz (LL) model \[2,5\] where one arrives at

\[
\int_0^\infty 4\pi r^2 \, dr \, S_{12}(r) \left[ |\psi(k, r)|^2 - |j_0(kr)|^2 \right] \approx \frac{|f(k)|^2}{2R^2} F(r_0) + \frac{2Re f(k)}{\sqrt{\pi}R} F_1(x) - \frac{Im f(k)}{R} F_2(x).
\]

Here \( f(k) \) is the scattering amplitude which is related to the \( S \) matrix by \( f(k) = (S - 1)/2ik \), and in practical applications is often replaced by the effective range expansion, i.e., \( f(k) \approx 1/(1/a_0 + r_0k^2/2 - ik) \) with \( a_0 \) and \( r_0 \) being the scattering length and the effective range, respectively, where for the former the “baryon-baryon” sign convention is adopted. Furthermore, \( F_1(x) = \int_0^x dt e^{t-x^2} /x \) and \( F_2(x) = (1 - e^{-x^2})/x, \) with \( x = 2kr \). The factor \( F(r_0) \approx 1 - r_0/(2\sqrt{\pi}R) \) is a correction that accounts for the deviation of the true wave function from the asymptotic form \[7,9\].

Now we connect with our own formalism and conventions and describe how \( r \)-space wave functions can be evaluated from reaction amplitudes that are calculated in momentum space by solving the LS equation, as it is the case for our interaction potentials for \( \Lambda N \) \[30\], \( \Lambda \Lambda \), and \( \Xi N \) \[32\], and for \( KN \) scattering \[33,34\]. To begin with we rewrite the asymptotic form \[5\] in terms of Bessel and Hankel functions \[37\], for arbitrary angular momentum \( l \)

\[
\tilde{\psi}(k, r) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left[ h_l^{(2)}(kr) + e^{2i\delta}h_l^{(1)}(kr) \right] \rightarrow j_l(kr) = ip(k)T_l(k)h_l^{(1)}(kr),
\]
where the wave functions in Eqs. (5) and (7) are related by \( \psi(k, r) = e^{-2i\beta}\tilde{\psi}(k, r) \). The on-shell reaction amplitude \( T_\beta(k) \) introduced in Eq. (7) is related to the \( S \)-matrix via \( S = \exp(2i\delta) = 1 - 2i\rho(k) T_i \), where \( \rho(k) = k E_1(k) E_2(k)/(E_1(k) + E_2(k)) \) with \( E_i(k) = \sqrt{m_i^2 + k^2} \) being the energies of the particles 1 and 2. In the non-relativistic case this reduces to \( \rho(k) = k \mu_{12} \) with the reduced mass \( \mu_{12} = m_1 m_2/(m_1 + m_2) \). In order to compute the wave function away from the asymptotic region one needs the reaction amplitude \( T_i \) half-off-shell and one has to exploit the relations \( |\phi\rangle = |\phi\rangle + G_0 V|\psi\rangle \) and \( V|\psi\rangle = T|\psi\rangle \), cf. Refs. [27] or [28], where \( |\phi\rangle \) stands for the free wave and \( G_0 \) is the free two-body Green’s function. Explicitly this reads for the single-channel case and after a partial-wave expansion

\[
\psi(k, r) = j_1(kr) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int j_1(qr) dq q^2 \frac{1}{E - E_1(q) - E_2(q) + i\epsilon} T(q, k; E),
\]

where \( E \) is the total energy, i.e. \( E = E_1(k) + E_2(k) \). Obviously, this Fourier-Bessel transform can be performed for \( T \) matrices that result from any type of interaction, also for the ones of non-local potentials that typically arise in applications of chiral effective field theory [30, 32].

The extension to coupled channels or (angular-momentum) coupled partial waves is straightforward. First we note that the relation between the \( S \) and \( T \) matrices is now

\[
S_{\beta\alpha} = \delta_{\beta\alpha} - 2i \sqrt{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta} T_{\beta\alpha}
\]

where \( \rho_\alpha \) and \( \rho_\beta \) are the corresponding phase-space factors in the incoming and outgoing channels and \( S \) and \( T \) are now matrices in the channel space. The asymptotic form Eq. (7) goes over into \[39\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\beta\alpha}(r) \rightarrow \sum \frac{P_\beta}{\rho_\alpha} \left[ \delta_{\beta\alpha} j_1(k_\alpha r) - \frac{iI_1^{(1)}(k_\alpha r)}{\sqrt{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta}} T_{\beta\alpha} \right] \left( \delta_{\beta\alpha} I_1^{(2)}(k_\alpha r) + I_1^{(1)}(k_\beta r) \right) \left( \delta_{\beta\alpha} - 2i \sqrt{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta} T_{\beta\alpha} \right)
\]

where again the index \( \alpha \) stands for the incoming channel and \( \beta \) for the outgoing channel. The normalization used for the correlation functions can be obtained by multiplying the wave function with \( S^\dagger \) from the right, exploiting that the \( S \) matrix in Eq. (9) is unitary.

For arbitrary \( r \) the wave functions for the different channels are calculated from an equation analogous to Eq. (8),

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\beta\alpha}(r) = \delta_{\beta\alpha} j_1(k_\alpha r) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int j_1(qr) dq q^2 \frac{1}{E - E_1(q) - E_2(q) + i\epsilon} T_{\beta\alpha},(q, k_\alpha; E),
\]

where \( T_{\beta\alpha} \) is the half-off-shell transition amplitude. Note that the integral contains the propagator (Green’s function) for the final state which does not have a singularity for channels that are closed, i.e. for \( E < m_1^p + m_2^p \).

The calculation of correlation functions for multi-channel systems has been discussed thoroughly by Lednicky et al. in Ref. [5]. According to that work the wave functions in Eqs. (3) and (4) have to be substituted by those that describe the scattering of the particles in question in the coupled-channel context, i.e.,

\[
|\phi(k, r)|^2 \rightarrow \sum_{\beta} \omega_\beta |\phi_{\beta\alpha}(r)|^2
\]

where the sum \( \beta \) runs over all two-body channels that couple to the state \( \alpha \) and that can occur as intermediate states. The quantity \( \omega_\beta \) is the corresponding weight. Indeed, in principle, the source function could be different as well for the different channels [5], but that the sum should be outside of the integral in Eq. (5). However, in the present exploratory calculation we avoid to introduce additional parameters and we assume that the source is the same for all channels and, moreover, we assume that the weights are all the same and equal to 1.

For simplicity reasons we ignore the Coulomb interaction in this exemplary work. However, in principle, it is possible to include the Coulomb force in the momentum-space calculation by the Vincent-Phatak method [40], and to obtain the wave functions following the steps described in detail in Appendix D of Ref. [41].
3. Results

3.1. $\Lambda\Lambda$, $\Xi N$ and $\Sigma\Sigma$ interactions

To begin with we present results for baryon-baryon interactions with strangeness $S = -2$. That sector is rather rich as far as channel couplings are concerned. Even when only octet baryons are considered, as we do here, the $\Lambda\Lambda$ system can couple to $\Xi N$, whose thresholds lie only about 23 MeV ($\Xi^0 n$) and 28 MeV ($\Xi^- p$) higher (at a $\Lambda\Lambda$ c.m. momentum of $k \approx 161$ MeV/c and 178 MeV/c, respectively), and also to $\Sigma\Sigma$. The $\Xi N$ itself can couple again to $\Sigma\Sigma$, but also to $\Lambda\Sigma$. The $S = -2$ sector is also interesting for two other aspects. First, for the $\Lambda\Lambda$ channel actual data for the correlation function can be found in the literature [14, 15]. Furthermore, the famous $H$-dibaryon [18] is predicted to be found in the $\Lambda\Lambda \, ^1S_0$ partial wave.

Exemplary results for the $\Lambda\Lambda$ channel based on the chiral interaction in Ref. [32] are shown in Fig. 1 utilizing the next-to-leading order (NLO) potential with cutoff $\Lambda = 600$ MeV. There is only a single $s$-wave, the $^1S_0$, due to the Pauli principle. The predicted effective range parameters are: $a_0 = -0.66$ fm, $r_0 = 5.05$ fm, cf. Table 2 in [32].

For orientation we include also available data from the STAR [15] and ALICE [14] collaborations in the figure, where the former are from a measurement of Au + Au collisions at 200 GeV and the latter from $pp$ collisions at 7 TeV. However, we refrain from performing an actual fit to those data. Indeed, this has been done already by others, cf. Refs. [9, 14], and it is re-assuring to see that the effective range parameters deduced by Ohnishi et al. [9] are in line with those predicted by our NLO interaction. Note that the analysis of those data requires the introduction of additional parameters such as the so-called pair purity probability $\lambda$, normalization factors and possible corrections from residual correlations [6, 7, 8], though, in principle, the value of $\lambda$ can be deduced from a detailed analysis of the experiment as outlined in the appendix of Ref. [14]. The calculation presented here does not involve any parameters, once the source radius $R$ is fixed. For it we use $R = 1.2$ fm throughout this work, a value which is close or even identical to the one found in the analyses published in Refs. [9, 14]. Anyway, it should not be concealed here that the actual results for the correlation functions are quite sensitive to the value of $R$ [9, 10].
Figure 2: Correlation function for $\Lambda\Lambda$ evaluated for the NLO (600) interaction [32] based on the Koo nin-Pratt formula and using isospin-averaged masses. The source radius is put to $R = 1.2$ fm. The dash-dotted line shows results when only the $\Lambda\Lambda$ wave function is taken into account while the solid line is the full calculation. The dash-double-dotted (dashed) line are corresponding results for a refitted $YY$ interaction that produces a $H$-dibaryon at a $\Lambda\Lambda$ kinetic c.m. energy of 20 MeV. The vertical line indicates the $\Xi N$ threshold. Data are from the STAR [15] (squares) and ALICE [14] (circles) Collaborations.

In Fig. 1 the prediction based on the $\Lambda\Lambda$ wave function alone is indicated by the dash-dotted line while the solid line is the full results that includes also the wave function for the $\Lambda\Lambda - \Xi N$ transitions. The calculation is performed with physical masses and one can clearly see the opening of the $\Xi^0 n$ as well as of the $\Xi^- p$ channel in the correlation function. The inclusion of the $\Xi N$ components clearly enhances the signal around the corresponding thresholds. It will be interesting to see whether future experiments with better resolution will be able to resolve the details here. The ALICE data [14] included in Fig. 1 and also earlier measurements of this collaboration [16] suggest that there could be indeed an anomaly at the $\Xi N$ threshold.

An important observation with regard to the analysis of pertinent measurements is that those $\Xi N$ components do not modify the $\Lambda\Lambda$ results for small momenta. This is not surprising because for energies below the $\Xi N$ threshold the corresponding wave functions drop exponentially so that their contributions should be suppressed. The same behavior emerges for the contribution of the $\Sigma$ component because in this case the corresponding threshold is much further away. In view of that, and in line with the arguments given in the work of Lednicky et al. [5], we omit the $\Sigma$ wave function in the results shown in Fig. 1. Another interesting aspect is that the approximation via the LL model (6), utilizing the effective range parameterization for the evaluation of the scattering amplitude $f(k)$, works very well for the $\Lambda\Lambda$ case – despite the fact that the effective range is with $r_0 = 5.05$ fm significantly larger than the assumed source radius. In this context let us mention that we did also exploratory calculations for the strangeness $S = -1$ sector, and specifically for $\Lambda p$ scattering utilizing our corresponding NLO interactions [30]. Also there we found a very good agreement between the full calculations and the one based on Eq. (6), for the $^1S_0$ as well as the $^3S_1$ partial waves. The opening of the $\Sigma N$ threshold has very little effect on the $\Lambda p$ correlation function – which is not surprising in view of the three times larger separation energy as compared to $\Lambda\Lambda - \Xi N$.

In order to shed more light on the effect of the channel coupling we present here also illustrative results for isospin-averaged masses. In this case there is only a single $\Xi N$ threshold and then a very pronounced cusp effect arises as can be seen in the corresponding results of the EFT interaction for the $\Lambda\Lambda$ $^1S_0$ phase shift, cf. Fig. 6 (left side)
Figure 3: Correlation function for $\Xi^- p$ evaluated for the NLO (600) interaction \[32\] based on the Koonin-Pratt formula. The source radius is put to $R = 1.2$ fm. The dash-dotted line shows results when only the $\Xi^- p$ wave function is taken into account, the dashed line includes the $\Xi^- p - \Lambda \Lambda$ component, while the solid line includes also the $\Xi^- p - \Xi^0 n$ component.

Ref. \[32\]. This cusp is a remnant of the $H$-dibaryon which is predicted by the EFT potential as an inelastic virtual state \[42\], and which lies very close to the $\Xi N$ threshold in the calculation for averaged masses -- see the pertinent discussion in \[32\]. Obviously, as visible in Fig. 2 also in case of the correlation function the effect is much more drastic – already when only the $\Lambda \Lambda$ wave function alone is used but even more so in the full calculation.

Recent lattice QCD calculations based on quark masses close to the physical point \[43, 44\] but also extrapolations of older lattice results \[45, 46, 47, 48, 49\] suggest that a structure associated with the $H$-dibaryon could be present close to and somewhat below the $\Xi N$ threshold. Because of that we re-adjusted slightly one of the low-energy constants of our chiral potential (the one corresponding to the SU(3) flavor singlet, $C_1$, cf. Ref. \[32\]) to turn the virtual state into a bound state, in order to explore its effect on the correlation function. We fixed the energy of the (unstable) bound state somewhat arbitrarily to be at about 5 MeV below the $\Xi N$ threshold (i.e. 20 MeV above the $\Lambda \Lambda$ threshold).

The corresponding results are indicated by the dashed and dash–double-dotted lines in Fig. 2. It is obvious that the presence of such a bound state has an extremely strong effect on the correlation function and one would really exclude the existence of such a state based on the presently available data. Assuming that the bound state is located somewhat closer to the $\Lambda \Lambda$ threshold even increases the discrepancy between the predicted correlation function and the measurements. Indeed, even a strong cusp effect as produced by the published NLO interaction \[32\] (in the illustrative calculation with averaged masses) is practically ruled out by the presently available data.

Possible effects from an $H$-dibaryon located between the $\Lambda \Lambda$ and $\Xi N$ threshold have been also considered in Ref. \[8\]. The effects reported in that work are quite different from ours and much more modest. It should be said, however, that in \[8\] the $H$-dibaryon is added phenomenologically, namely in form of a Breit-Wigner distribution. In such a case, two-body unitarity of the $\Lambda \Lambda$ amplitude is no longer fulfilled. In our coupled-channel approach \[32\], but also for the potential deduced from LQCD simulations \[44\], the resulting amplitudes fulfil two-body unitarity. Then the presence of such a (unstable) bound state has unavoidably drastic consequences for the $\Lambda \Lambda$ phase shifts and, in turn, for the wave functions and the correlation functions evaluated from them.

Results for the $\Xi^- p$ channel are shown in Fig. 3. There are two $S$-wave states, the $^1S_0$ and the $^3S_1$, and we took the spin average in our calculation. Again we present predictions based on the $\Xi^- p$ wave function alone (dash-dotted
Figure 4: Correlation function for Ξ−p evaluated for an alternative NLO (600) interaction discussed in Ref. [32], which produces a ΞN bound state in the isospin I = 0 3S1 − 3D1 partial wave. Same description of curves as in Fig. 3.

curve) and including the other wave functions, which in the present case are the ones for Ξ−p − Ξ0n and Ξ−p − ΛΛ. In accordance with the Pauli principle, the latter contributes only to the 1S0 partial wave. Furthermore, it turned out that its contribution is rather small (dashed line). The difference between the dash-dotted and solid lines in Fig. 3 is basically due to the Ξ−p − Ξ0n component alone.

Obviously, and not unexpectedly, the contribution of the Ξ−p − Ξ0n component is sizable. Therefore, unlike the situation for ΛΛ discussed above, a direct determination of the Ξ−p amplitude or scattering length from an empirical Ξ−p correlation function is not really feasible. The empirical Ξ−p correlation function will always involve contributions from both components, and, in principle, even with unknown weights, cf. Eq. (12). Actually, the basic drawback is well illustrated by the consideration of the reactions ππ → ππ and ππ → πn n within the LL model in Ref. [5], where one can see that different combinations of the elementary ππ amplitudes (assumed in that work to have well-defined isospin) determine the scattering amplitude and the correlation functions, and they cannot be disentangled. The very same applies to the ΞN system.

The contributions of channels with higher thresholds (ΛΣ which opens at k ≈ 230 MeV/c, and ΣΣ) are not included in the results shown in Fig. 3. Their effect is fairly small, cf. the arguments given above. Note that, besides the hadronic interaction, in the Ξ−p channel there will be effects from the attractive Coulomb interaction. As already said above we ignore the Coulomb force in this exploratory study. We expect that the Coulomb interaction will modify the correlation function for momenta below k ≈ 50 MeV/c, based on results presented in Ref. [4], and it should lead to a significant enhancement for decreasing k values.

The NLO potential used for the calculation shown in Fig. 3 was constructed in order to meet all available experimental constraints on the ΞN cross sections [32]. As a result the interaction is, in general, fairly weak and specifically only weakly attractive in both (I = 0 and 1) 3S1 partial waves. Indeed, its properties are very similar to the ΞN results reported from recent lattice QCD simulations close to the physical point [43, 44]. In contrast, potentials derived within the meson-exchange framework are usually more strongly attractive and often lead to ΞN bound states, in one or even more of the S-wave states [29]. A similar tendency emerges within chiral EFT if one implements SU(3) flavor symmetry strictly, see the discussions in Refs. [32, 50]. It should be said that such more strongly attractive ΞN interactions seem to be favored by studies of the observed spectrum of the (K−, K+) reaction on a 12C target [51],

8
Figure 5: Correlation function for $\Sigma^+\Sigma^+$ evaluated for the NLO (600) interaction [32] based on the Koo nin-Pratt formula (solid line) and on the LL formula (dotted line), Eq. (6). The source radius is put to $R = 1.2$ fm.

which point to an attractive $\Xi$ single-particle potential of $U_\Xi \approx -14$ MeV [52]. Further support comes from evidence for the existence of deeply bound state in systems like $\Xi^- + ^{14}\text{N}$ [53] or $\Xi^- + ^{11}\text{B}$ [54], reported within the last few years.

In view of that controversial situation we present here also correlation functions for an alternative NLO interaction, discussed in Ref. [32] but finally dismissed because it does not meet the empirical constraints on the $\Xi^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- p$ and $\Xi^- p \rightarrow \Xi^0 n$ cross sections. The corresponding results are displayed in Fig. 4. This potential produces a $\Xi N$ bound state in the $I = 0^3S_1 - 3D_1$ partial wave with a binding energy comparable to that of the deuteron [32]. There is a clear difference between the correlation functions predicted by an only weakly attractive $\Xi N$ interaction and those that follow from a strongly attractive force, cf. Figs. 3 and 4. Thus, measurements of that correlation function [23] could allow one to distinguish between these two scenarios.

For completeness we provide also predictions for the $\Sigma^+\Sigma^+$ channel, see Fig. 5. This channel is interesting because, like $\Lambda\Lambda$, there is only a single $S$-wave, namely again the $1S_0$. Moreover, for that system with total charge $Q = 2$ there is no channel coupling so that the application of the formalism for femtoscopy [9] is straightforward. Still our results indicate that it could be problematic to use the LL model. Obviously, for fairly large effective range parameters as predicted by our interaction [32] ($a_0 = -1.83$ fm, $r_0 = 6.05$ fm) a reliance on the effective range expansion in the application of Eq. (6) is problematic. Finally, note that the repulsive Coulomb interaction will suppress the correlation function for small $k$ values, see, e.g., the situation for the $pp$ correlations in Ref. [14].

3.2. $\bar{K}N$ interaction

Let us now come to the $\bar{K}^- p$ system. There are already two channels open at the $\bar{K}N$ threshold, namely $\pi\Lambda$ and $\pi\Sigma$. Moreover, there is a relatively large mass splitting between the $K^-$ and $K^0$ so that the $K^0n$ channels opens at about 5 MeV above the $K^- p$ threshold, or in terms of the $K^- p$ c.m. momentum at $k \approx 58$ MeV/c. Naturally, this mass splitting induces a sizable isospin breaking in the threshold region. Further channels that couple are $\eta\Lambda$, $\eta\Sigma$, etc., but their thresholds are at significantly higher energies and we do not consider their effect explicitly in the present study.

We exemplify the influence of the various channels on the $K^- p$ correlation function for wave functions generated from the Jülich meson-exchange potential [33, 34]. Pertinent results are summarized in Fig. 6. First, we performed a calculation with isospin-averaged masses (dotted line) where then the $K^- p$ and $K^0 n$ thresholds coincide and the wave
Figure 6: Correlation function for $K^-p$ evaluated for the original Jülich $\bar{K}N$ model [33] based on the Koonin-Pratt formula. The source radius is put to $R = 1.2$ fm. The dotted line is a calculation based on the $K^-p$ wave function alone and with isospin averaged masses, while the dash-dotted line is with physical masses. The dashed, dash-double-dotted and solid curves show results where contributions from the $K^-p-K^0n$, $K^-p-\pi^0\Lambda$ and $K^-p-\pi\Sigma$ components are added consecutively.

The experimental determination of the level shift and width of kaonic hydrogen by the Siddharta Collaboration in 2011 [35] has put very tight constraints on the $K^-p$ scattering length. Pertinent studies based on unitarized chiral perturbation theory [55, 56] together with an improved Deser formula [57] quantify the latter now to $a_{K^-p} = (-0.68 \pm 0.18 + i0.90 \pm 0.13)$ fm [56]. The Jülich model on the other hand, being from 1992, predicts $a_{K^-p} = (-0.36 + i1.15)$ fm [34]. Because of that we consider here also an up-to-date interaction where the result for the $K^-p$ scattering length is in line with the value given above, namely the chirally motivated $\bar{K}N$ potential NLO30 by Cieplý and Smejkal [56]. It yields $a_{K^-p} = (-0.75 + i0.89)$ fm. The wave functions for this potential, which is given in separable form in momentum space, can be calculated again via Eq. (11). Furthermore, we performed a rough refit of the Jülich $\bar{K}N$ model with the aim to bring the $K^-p$ results more in line with the value extracted from the level shifts. In this case a value of $a_{K^-p} = (-0.70 + i1.13)$ fm has been achieved. Results for these two interactions are presented in Fig. 7 in comparison to the original Jülich model. (Note that the scattering lengths given above conform now to the standard meson-baryon sign convention!)

The difference in the properties (predicted $K^-p$ scattering length) of the original Jülich model [33] and the refit are clearly reflected in the correlation functions. In particular, for the interaction with a realistic value for $a_{K^-p}$ the predicted $C(k)$ is significantly smaller at low $k$ values, in the calculation where only the $K^-p$ wave function is used (cf. the lower dashed and solid lines in Fig. 7) as well as for the full calculation (upper dashed and solid lines). The result
based on the chirally motivated NLO30 potential including only the contribution from the $K^-p$ wave function agrees remarkably well with that of the refitted J"ulich interaction for momenta above the $\bar{K}0n$ threshold, cf. the lower dash-dotted and dashed lines in Fig. 7. The differences at very low momenta could reflect the noticeable variation in the corresponding scattering lengths, cf. above. With regard to the full calculation (upper solid, dashed and dash-dotted lines) the same trend is visible. Specifically, again the predictions for the refitted J"ulich interaction and the NLO30 potential lie fairly close together.

We believe that the large contribution from the $\pi\Sigma$ component is due to the presence of the $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance in the isospin $I = 0$ channel. In potentials that incorporate chiral SU(3) dynamics of QCD like NLO30 but also in meson-exchange interactions, the $\Lambda(1405)$ is generated dynamically by the strong attractive forces between the antikaon and the nucleon. Actually, as a characteristic feature of chiral approaches even two poles are predicted in the region below the $\bar{K}N$ threshold [58, 59, 60]. The pole commonly identified with the $\Lambda(1405)$ is located close to the $\bar{K}N$ threshold and leads to an enhancement not only in the $\bar{K}N$ amplitude itself but also in the $\bar{K}N - \pi\Sigma$ transition. This feature is reflected in the resulting correlation function shown in Figs. 6 and 7. Thus, via the correlation function it might be possible to have access to information complementary to that of $K^-p$ elastic scattering, as argued in Ref. [9]. Still, in practice it will be a challenge to disentangle the impact that comes from the $\bar{K}N - \pi\Sigma$ coupling from the one that is caused by the transition $K^-p - \bar{K}0n$, where the latter is moreover distorted by isospin-breaking effects. Clearly, both of those channel couplings affect the correlation function for small momenta.

Note that wave functions from additional channels in the potentials that open at higher energies ($\bar{K}\Lambda$, $\bar{K}^*N$, and $\bar{K}^*\Delta$ in case of the J"ulich potential [33] and $\eta\Lambda$, $\eta\Sigma$, and $K\Xi$ in case of NLO30 [36]) have been neglected.

4. Conclusions

In this work we have presented an exemplary study of two-particle momentum correlation functions as measured in heavy ion collisions or in high-energetic proton-proton collisions. Thereby, special emphasis has been put on systems like $\Lambda\Lambda$ or $K^-p$ where effects from the coupling to other channels could be of relevance. Indeed, in both
cases other channels open already at relatively low momenta or are already open at the reaction threshold. To have a solid basis, realistic coupled-channel interactions for $\Lambda\Lambda - \Xi N - \Lambda \Sigma - \Sigma \Sigma$ and $\pi \Lambda - \pi \Sigma - K N$ have been utilized. The pertinent wave functions for all relevant channels have been calculated explicitly and then employed in the actual evaluation of the correlation function within the Koonin-Pratt formulation \[1,17\].

Our study indicates that the opening of the $\Xi N$ channel should leave a trace in the $\Lambda \Lambda$ correlation function. Thus, experiments with improved statistics could be able to resolve it and to shed light on the behavior of the $\Lambda \Lambda$ amplitude for momenta around the $\Xi N$ threshold. Specifically, if the proposed $H$-dibaryon is located close to or below the $\Xi N$ threshold, as indicated by the latest lattice QCD simulations near the physical point \[44\] and by extrapolations of older lattice results \[45, 46, 47, 48, 49\], there should be a pronounced effect in the $\Lambda \Lambda$ correlation function. It should be said, however, that presently available data \[14, 15, 16\] do not support the existence of a (bound) $H$-dibaryon in that energy region but provide indications for a virtual state close to the $\Xi N$ threshold.

With regard to the $\Lambda \Lambda$ correlation function at low center-of-mass momenta we observe that its behavior is determined practically by the $\Lambda \Lambda$ component of the wave function alone. The contribution from the $\Lambda \Lambda - \Xi N$ component is strongly suppressed. This facilitates the extraction of the $\Lambda \Lambda$ amplitude (effective range parameters) from pertinent measurements, as has been already demonstrated in the literature \[3\]. It is interesting to see that even the Lednicky-Lyuboshitz model \[2, 5\] which utilizes only the asymptotic form of the wave function works very well in this case. These findings are promising for application of the same formalism to other two-body interactions were similar kinematical conditions are realized like for $\Omega \Omega$ or systems with charmed baryons ($\Lambda, p, \Lambda_c, \ldots$) once data become available.

As far as systems like $\Xi^- p$ or $K^- p$ are concerned, our study reveals that the presence of open channels influences the correlation functions significantly for low momenta. This will certainly complicate any dedicated analysis. For example, it will be difficult to draw quantitative and detailed conclusions on the $\Xi^- p$ amplitude itself from pertinent experiments. However, issues like whether the $\Xi N$ interaction is only weakly \[32, 44\] or more strongly \[51, 53, 54\] attractive and, in particular, whether there are even bound states \[29\] could be still resolved by analyzing empirical $\Xi^- p$ correlation functions. In case of the $K^- p$ system the measured level shift and width of Kaonic hydrogen provide strong constraints on the $K^- p \rightarrow K^- p$ amplitude (wave function) and that knowledge can be utilized in the analysis of measured correlation functions. However, since the coupling $\bar{K}N - \pi \Sigma$ as well as the coupling $K^- p \rightarrow K^0 n$ strongly influence the actual correlations for small momenta it will be a challenge to disentangle these effects in practice.
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