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The Impact of Bioactive Lipids on Cardiovascular Development
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1. Origin and Synthesis

1.1. Sphingosylphosphorylcholine: Origin and Synthesis. Lysosphingomyelin or sphingosylphosphorylcholine (SPC) has been initially identified in the brain of Niemann-Pick type A patients [1]. SPC acts as both an extracellular and intracellular signalling molecule. Although the origin of circulating SPC is not well characterized, activation of platelets during clotting is one likely mechanism since serum contains higher concentrations of SPC than plasma [2–4]. SPC is synthesized from either sphingomyelin or other so far unknown molecules by different metabolic pathways. In atopic dermatitis, sphingomyelin deacylase activity is enhanced generating SPC and resulting in sphingomyelin depletion. This, in turn, leads to decreased ceramide levels that may be the cause of barrier dysfunction in patients suffering from atopic dermatitis [5]. In addition, certain diseases, for example, Niemann-Pick type a that exhibit pathologic SPC accumulation in different organs are characterized by a lack of acid sphingomyelinasase activity [1]. One possible explanation for this effect might be that high levels of sphingomyelin lead to an enhanced degradation by N-deacylation [3].

1.2. Sphingosine-1-Phosphate: Origin and Synthesis. Sphin-gosine-1-phosphate (S1P) consists of the 18-carbon base sphingosine and a phosphate group at the C1 position. Sphingosine is phosphorylated to S1P by either sphingosine kinase 1 or 2. S1P can then be metabolized by the S1P lyase to phosphoethanolamine and hexadecenal, which are subsequently metabolized into glycerophospholipids and...
phosphatidylethanolamine, respectively. Conversely, S1P-phosphohydrolase, an ectoenzyme localized in the plasma membranes of cells, regenerates sphingosine by dephosphorylating S1P [6–9]. On the other hand, sphingosine can be rephosphorylated to S1P [10]. One major source of circulating S1P is activated platelets which contain a highly active sphingosine kinase but no S1P lyase [11]. Besides platelets, red blood cells have been identified to contain high amounts of S1P [12–14]. Further S1P sources are provided by direct cellular uptake [8, 15]. Clair and coworkers demonstrated that autotaxin hydrolyzes SPC to S1P. This exoenzyme potently induces tumor cell motility and enhances experimental (in vitro) metastasis as well as angiogenesis [16]. However, the physiological relevance of the conversion of SPC to S1P by this mechanism is as yet unclear, since there is no detectable S1P in mice lacking sphingosine kinase 1 and 2 [17].

1.3. Lysosphosphatic Acid: Origin and Synthesis. Lysosphosphatic acid (LPA) is a glycerophospholipid with a glycerol backbone. Variability in the satellite groups generates distinct subforms of LPA with different biological functions [18–21]. LPA can be de novo synthesized either by esterification of glycerol-3-phosphate via glycerol phosphate acyltransferase (GPAT) or by 4 other metabolic pathways: (i) reduction of acyl dihydroxy acetone phosphate, (ii) phosphorylation of monoacylglycerol (MAG) by the monoacylglycerol kinase, (iii) deacylation of phosphatidic acid (PA) by PLA1 or PLA2, or (iv) via autotaxin hydrolysis of SPC [22–24]. Degradation of LPA is mediated through either dephosphorylation to MAG by lipid phosphohydrolases of the PAP type 2 family (LPP1–3) or conversion to PA by LPA acyltransferase (LPAAT) or to glycerol-3-phosphate by lysophospholipase [9], respectively.

2. Bioactive Lipid Receptors and Signalling

Bioactive lipid receptors belong to the family of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and are termed LPAR1–5, S1PR1–5, OGR1, GPR4, and GPR12, respectively [25–27]. Recently, the LPA receptor family has been extended to the GPCRs P2Y5, GPR87, and P2Y10 which have been shown to bind both S1P and LPA [28–32]. Especially, OGR1 and GPR4 are believed to have a dual function in both lipid signalling and proton sensing [33]. All GPCRs are proteins containing seven transmembrane domains with an extracellular N-terminus and an intracellular C-terminus [34, 35]. There are two arginines in the extracellular loops one and three of the S1P and LPA receptors, forming an ion pair with the phosphates of LPA and S1P. SPC receptors must have a basic and acidic residue in order to interact with the ammonium and the phosphate residues of SPC. An additional acidic residue may be required to interact with the choline base. till now, three high-affinity receptors have been identified: OGR1, GPR4, and GPR12. Most likely, a ligand-binding pocket is formed by basic and acidic amino acids in the second and third extracellular loop similar to the S1P and LPA receptors [36]. In addition, lipid GPCRs also form heterodimers with each other [37]. For further review of lipid receptor function please refer to [25]. Downstream signalling of these receptors is linked to all types of G-proteins Gs, Gi, Go, and Gi12/13, respectively [38]. Distinct G-proteins activate a variety of signalling cascades, predominantly, the MEK-ERK signalling cascade [39–41] but also crosstalk with other pathways that are ascribed with respect to bioactive lipid signalling [42].

3. Lysosphospholipids and Their Impact on Cardiovascular Development

Little is known about bioactive lipids and their functions in cardiogenesis despite the fact that several lipid receptors are expressed in the cardiac derivates. Most of the so far published data regarding this link focus on acute effects mediated by the lipids. Several studies have addressed the effect of bioactive lipids on cardiac function at the signal transduction level, for example, by modulating cAMP signalling [43] and ion conduction followed by changes in intracellular ion concentrations in the heart. However, the effects of ion conduction on the sarcoplasmatic reticulum and cell membrane differ between bioactive lipids such as S1P and SPC [44]. A study by Nofer et al. has shown that lysophospholipids stimulate endothelial nitric oxide production thereby regulating the vascular tone [45]. Nitric oxide also plays a crucial role in the mobilization and function of endothelial progenitor cells, an important bone marrow-derived cell type responsible for endothelial damage repair, neovascularization and generation of collaterals [46–48]. Formation of new blood vessels is crucial for both embryonic development and homeostasis in the adult organism. Regarding the expression pattern of lipid receptors and further development of receptor knockout models, it has been revealed that bioactive lipids play an important role during blood vessel formation [49]. Therefore the following passage will focus on the effects of SPC, S1P, and LPA on mesodermal development and differentiation, predominantly cardiogenesis and angiogenesis.

3.1. Sphingosylphosphorylcholine

3.1.1. Lipid Receptors in Pluripotent Embryonic Stem Cells of Mice and Men. With respect to stem cells in particular pluripotent cells the expression of each particular receptor type varies in distinct cell lines. Our own data show expression of all S1P and SPC receptors including OGR1 and GPR4 in R1 ES cells. S1PR1–4 and GPR4 are expressed at high levels. There were no major differences in receptor expression between undifferentiated ES cells, different EB stages, or different stages of ES cell outgrowths apart from a slight decrease in the S1PR5 expression level at EB day 2. However, Rodgers and colleagues did not detect S1PR4 in CGR8 and D3 ES cells [40, 41]. To clarify this issue, we analysed several sets of published transcriptome sets for the expression of several bioactive lipid receptors in ES and iPS cells derived from mice and men. As shown in Figures 1 and 2 virtually all bioactive lipid receptors are expressed in both iPS and ES cells from both species. There is no relevant difference
Figure 1: Lysosphospholipid receptor expression in murine pluripotent stem cells. Heatmap of microarray gene expression of a list of mouse bioactive lipid receptors. Gene expression color key is shown in log2 scale. Microarray data were downloaded from the GEO database; accession numbers GSE10806.

Figure 2: Lysosphospholipid receptor expression in human pluripotent stem cells. Heatmap of microarray gene expression of a list of human bioactive lipid receptors. Gene expression color key is shown in log2 scale. Microarray data were downloaded from the GEO database; accession numbers GSE151716.

between the ES and iPS cells pointing to the identity of both pluripotent cell types. However, the distinct expression levels of the particular subtypes differ in pluripotent cells of either murine or human origin. Summarized, bioactive lipid receptors are widely expressed throughout pluripotent cells of mice and men. Nevertheless, the precise function of each subtype remains unclear so far.

3.1.2. SPC Induces Cardiac Differentiation of Murine Embryonic Stem Cells. To date, there are very limited data linking SPC to mesodermal or cardiac differentiation. Besides our own study using the embryonic stem cell differentiation model to characterize the role of SPC in cardiac development [40], there are few further studies showing that SPC differentiates pluripotent and multipotent stem cells [50, 51]. SPC similar to S1P stimulates cardiac ES cell differentiation at the level of both morphology and gene expression. However, there was no obvious difference regarding cardiac subtype formation since SPC increased cardiogenesis during all IVD stages [40]. Skeletal muscle cells and cardiac cells arise from the same germ layer [52]. Consequently whole germ layer induction by SPC is unlikely since otherwise both myogenic and cardiac cells would have been increased, suggesting a defined role in later stages of cardiac development, for
example, on progenitor cells. A possible candidate is the recently identified multipotent cardiovascular progenitor which gives rise to cardiomyocytes, endothelial, and vascular smooth muscle cells [52]. These cells are defined by the expression of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2/FLK1) which was recently shown to crosstalk with SPC signalling especially during tube formation [42]. This assumption is supported by the finding that SPC supports vessel formation in an EB sprouting assay and furthermore induces expression of endothelial markers (A. Kleger, unpublished observations). SPC also displays proliferation inducing properties by influencing ERK-signalling [53, 54]. Also, in ES cells, SPC leads to ERK-phosphorylation, suggesting that the increased number of cardiac cells could be caused by progenitor cell proliferation (Figure 3). This is unlikely as the SPC-induced gene expression profiles were both atrial (alpha-myosin heavy chain) and ventricular (myosin light chain, MLC-2v). Realtime PCR analysis of both transcripts exhibited similar expression profiles supporting the progenitor cell hypothesis. Simply increased proliferation would not increase specialized cardiac cells marked by increased MLC-2v expression. However, there are data that both SPC and S1P share a common cardiac phenotype.

Similarly, as discussed in detail below, S1P treatment of EBs increases cardiac differentiation [55]. In addition, a developmental study using the zebra fish model revealed a S1P receptor as a relevant candidate during cardiogenesis (see also S1P and cardiac development). This implicates a kind of “family affair” with respect to cardiac differentiation.

3.1.3. SPC Plays an Important Role in Angiogenesis. Further evidence linking SPC to mesodermal development and differentiation is given by the effect of SPC on angiogenesis. SPC induces differentiation of endothelial cells into capillary-like structures in vitro in addition to migration and chemotaxis of vascular smooth muscle cells [56, 57]. Supporting evidence for a crucial role of SPC in angiogenesis arises from studies investigating its receptor GPR4. SPC induces GPR4-dependent vessel formation in vitro from HUVEC cells [42]. GPR4 deficiency leads to leaky blood vessels (e.g., spontaneous hemorrhages, dilated, tortuous subcutaneous blood vessels, and defective vascular smooth muscle cell coverage) during development and the receptor functions in blood vessels as a pH sensor [49]. S1P and SPC activate distinct MAP kinase isoforms and increase \([Ca^{2+}]\); via different mechanisms in rat cerebral arteries. This does not affect the ability of both compounds to activate CREB, although this occurs via different pathways [58]. For further information please refer to [59] where the involved signalling cascades are discussed in more detail. Piao and colleagues used a rat aortic ring assay. SPC significantly stimulated the sprouting of endothelial cells from the aortic ring and markedly enhanced the chemotactic migration and capillary-like tube formation. This effect was dependent on a urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA), an important regulator of angiogenesis [60].

3.1.4. SPC Modulates Differentiation of Several Stem Cell Populations. Besides end-differentiation of already committed endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells, SPC also modulates proliferation and differentiation of multipotent adult stem cells. Jeon and colleagues investigated SPC effects in a series of manuscripts on human adipose-tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hATSCs). In the first study Jeon et al. showed induction of apoptosis under involvement of the mitochondrial death pathway by SPC in a concentration-dependent manner (>10 \(\mu\)M SPC). This effect was critically dependent on ERK activity [61]. In lower concentrations the compound induced proliferation of the same cell type in a JNK-dependent manner without any involvement of the ERK pathway [51]. A further study revealed SPC as a differentiation-inducing agent for hATSCs to smooth muscle cells. The authors discovered a new signalling pathway for SPC through Gi/o-ERK-dependent autocrine secretion of TGF-beta, which activates a Smad2-SRF/myocardin-dependent pathway (Figure 3). Despite the fact that hATSCs do not express any known SPC receptors, in all studies SPC effects seemed to be receptor dependent. This suggests either a crosstalk with further signalling cascades (e.g., TGF-beta or VEGF signalling) or involvement of so far unknown SPC receptors. Another possible explanation would be an SPC-stimulated release of other bioactive lipids like S1P or LPA via, for example, autotoxin [50]. The most recent study of the group identified fibronectin (FN) as an agent produced by hATSC after SPC treatment via the pathway described above. Since fibronectin is essential for cell recruitment and adhesion during wound healing and angiogenesis, the authors concluded that SPC-induced FN expression plays a pivotal role in wound healing by stimulating adhesion and recruitment of leukocytes [62]. Furthermore, SPC-mediated TGF-beta release seems not to be restricted to hATSCs, as also endothelial cells react with release of the anti-inflammatory cytokine after HDL treatment including SPC and S1P as active compounds [63]. Therefore different local SPC concentrations in distinct microenvironments and niches seem to regulate the effect of the bioactive lipid.

In summary there are many studies suggesting that SPC plays a pivotal role in mesodermal development with respect to cardiogenesis and angiogenesis. Furthermore the key role of SPC in angiogenesis is defined by coordinated migration of both endothelial cells and vascular smooth muscle cells in response to the changing gradients of this bioactive lipid messenger. SPC effects on both cardiac and vascular development could be caused by modulation of a common progenitor as described in [52].

3.2. Sphingosine-1-Phosphate

3.2.1. Cardiovascular Receptor Expression. Expression studies of the S1P receptor family suggest the particular importance of S1P in the cardiovascular system. Lung and heart show the highest overall expression of S1P1, S1P2, and S1P3 [64, 65]. S1P2 and S1P3 receptors expression is generally lower than that of S1P1 receptors in the heart, although distribution within cardiac subregions (e.g., ventricle, septum and atrium) is similar [66, 67]. Beyond that, S1P receptors are expressed with a distinct expression pattern in the different aortic cell types. High expression levels of S1P
receptors seem to be a general characteristic of endothelial cells [67–69]. Most of the work was done to clarify acute effects of S1P and other bioactive lipids on the cardiovascular system, for example, the role of S1P during protection of heart ischemia has been evaluated in several studies [70–72]. Besides developmental functions and ion channel modulation, S1P induces cardiomyocyte hypertrophy mainly via the S1PR1 receptor and subsequently via a G protein through the ERK pathway and via the Rho pathway [73].

3.2.2. S1P and Cardiac Development In Vivo. A developing action field of bioactive lipids is their role in promoting cardiomyocyte survival and their contribution to ischemic preconditioning. There are several lines of evidence suggesting that in vivo levels of bioactive lipids influence cardiomyocyte survival and regeneration. For example, the stress-activated enzyme S1P lyase (SPL) which metabolizes S1P has been identified as therapeutic target for ischemia/reperfusion injury of the heart [74, 75]. Inhibition of SPL results in elevated levels of S1P [74]. In aging hearts S1P exhibits cardioprotective effects during cardiac injury in a pre- and postconditioning experimental setup [75]. Indeed, local S1P levels seem to regulate not only acute but also developmental aspects of S1P. The first clue for a link between S1P and cardiac development/differentiation was given by a study from Kupperman et al. in the zebrafish model. The authors
investigated the zebrafish analogon of S1PR2, miles apart (mil), and found that mil specifically affects the migration of heart precursors to the midline resulting in cardiabifida. Cross-transplantation studies suggest that S1P provides clues for the fusion of the bilateral heart progenitors by generating an environment permissive for migration [76]. This was further investigated by Kawahara et al. who found that a mutant of the sphingolipid transporter 2 (spsn2) leads to a similar cardiac defect as the mil mutant. They also could show that spsn2 is involved in the secretion of S1P and thereby regulating myocardial precursor migration (Figure 4, [77]). Further evidence is given by means of a primary culture system of embryonic zebrafish cells. The interaction of cardiac precursors with fibronectin, that is, a major component of the extracellular matrix, seems to be critical for precursor migration [78]. Recently, a report using a mouse ex vivo culture system underlined inalienability of S1P for cardiac development in a mammalian system. Wendler and Rivkees showed that both increased and decreased S1P levels alter cardiac development by reduced mesenchyme formation. While decreased S1P levels induce cell death, increased S1P levels inhibit cellular migration, both resulting in a reduced amount of mesenchymal transformed cells. S1PR2 was found to be the most likely candidate for mediating these effects [79]. Interestingly, Osborne et al. detected another player involved in the signalling and trafficking of S1P, namely, two of hearts (toh), a spinster homologue. They found that the mutations are causative of a cardiac phenotype comparable to that of S1P dysfunction [80]. In general, developmental acquisition of tolerance to environmental stress may be contingent upon acquisition of protective biochemical mechanisms (e.g., S1P-mediated cellular survival) or simply due to increased cell numbers (e.g., S1P-induced cellular proliferation) [81]. Both issues are eventually targeted by S1P during development [44, 82–84].

Regarding intracellular signalling VEGF could be a possible target of S1P. VEGF activates the sphingosine kinase and increases S1P levels in human umbilical vein endothelial cells [85]. Chang and colleagues showed that a distinct regulation pattern of VEGF is essential for regular valve formation and morphogenesis [86]. These data indicate that S1P could be a possible candidate for indirect mediation of VEGF signalling by induction of sphingosine kinase. Therefore, lipid signalling and especially accurate regulation of local concentration in this particular niche are indispensable for proper function during mammalian heart development and cellular differentiation into cardiac tissue.

### 3.2.4. S1P Receptors Decide on the Angiogenic Phenotype In Vivo
S1P seems to function on all levels of vascular development. Lee and colleagues showed S1P induced tube formation in umbilical vein endothelial cells by means of a matrigel assay [91]. In several further studies this effect has been proven in different model systems and with distinct endothelial cell types. Tube formation was critically dependent on ERK signalling [44, 92, 93]. Recently, HDL proteins have been implicated in serving as a platform for the delivery of S1P. Using reconstituted high-density lipoprotein (rHDL), Matsuo and colleagues investigated the same effects as induced by direct incubation with S1P [94].

The last step of angiogenesis is the recruitment of mural cells, for example, pericytes in capillaries and vascular smooth muscle cells of arteries [95]. Distinct functions for S1P in both smooth muscle cells and mural cells have been reported: S1P modulates and increases smooth muscle cell migration and differentiation by Rho Kinase [96] and by RhoA-mediated activation of serum response factor and involvement of the SRF cofactor, myocardin-related transcription factor A (MRTF-A). S1P also moderately stimulated SMC proliferation, a process that was dependent upon ERK and involved activation of another SRF cofactor, Elk-1 [97]. S1PR1 null mice exhibited embryonic haemorrhage leading to intrauterine death around embryonic day 13 and incomplete limb development. Interestingly, these animals displayed normal vasculogenesis and angiogenesis but failed to recruit both vascular smooth muscle cells to arteries and pericytes to capillaries [98]. In the limbs, lack of S1PR1 results in aberrant chondrocyte condensation and irregular digit morphogenesis. The authors concluded that defective vascular development induced by lack of S1PR1 caused hypoxia. Secondary to hypoxia, HIF1 alpha and VEGF are induced and lead to hypervascularisation, ultimately disturbing limb morphogenesis [90]. In a later study, Allende and colleagues using a conditional S1PR1 knockout mouse model targeting endothelial cells found that S1PR1 null endothelium is defective in mural cell recruitment [99].
S1P signalling on endothelial cells and bone marrow-derived stromal cells in vitro results in differentiation, proliferation, migration, and tube formation. Different studies revealed that especially S1PR1 but also S1PR2 and -3 to be crucial for these effects [94, 100]. Since S1PR1 null animals displayed normal vasculogenesis and angiogenesis, it is likely that there is functional redundancy among members of the S1P receptor. This hypothesis is underlined by the fact that S1PR2 and -3 null mice reveal no apparent anatomical or physiological defects [64, 101]. Kono and colleagues faced this issue and created subsequently different combinations of S1PR1–3 double null mice and triple null mice. They found that S1PR1 S1PR2 double null and S1PR1 S1PR2 S1PR3 triple null embryos displayed a substantially more severe vascular phenotype than embryos lacking only S1PR1. All these embryos completed vasculogenesis regularly but displayed immature vessels in the brain indicating that the S1PR1 and S1PR2 receptors are required for some aspects of vessel development during angiogenesis. In addition, the researchers found partial embryonic lethality and vascular abnormalities in S1PR2 S1PR3 double null embryos. Ultrastructural analysis of microvessels in the S1PR2 S1PR3 double null mice showed abnormal endothelial cells with thin cell bodies, suggesting that these vessels may be fragile and prone to rupture [102]. However, despite no obvious anatomical defects in either S1PR2 or S1PR3 null mice, S1PR2 null mice exhibit early life deafness which is associated with pathologic changes within the cochlea. Most likely this phenotype is caused by vascular disturbance within the stria vascularis. Additionally, it was reported, that S1PR2 knockout mice display a vascular dysfunction affecting the renal, mesenterial, and, furthermore, aortic hemodynamics [103]. S1PR2 S1PR3 double null mice display stronger defects even leading to head tilt while S1PR3 null mice do not share this phenotype [104]. These data further support the hypothesis of overlapping S1P receptor function with both partial redundancy and unique receptor properties. Apart from that there is growing evidence that S1PR2 also participates in pathological neovascularisation. Skoura et al. [105] found that S1PR2 null mice display reduced neovascularisation in response to ischemia-driven retinopathy. Furthermore, the inflammatory reaction that is usually concomitant to ischemic retinopathy was suppressed in mice lacking S1PR2. Therefore S1PR2 antagonism could be a potential target for treatment of pathologic neovascularisation [105].

3.2.5. S1P and the Recruitment of Stem/Progenitor Cells. Homing is the first process in which circulating hematopoietic cells actively cross the blood-bone-marrow-endothelium barrier and stay at least temporarily in the bone marrow compartment to undergo self-renewal. There is overwhelming evidence that circulating progenitor cells are responsible for vascular healing and remodelling under physiological and pathological conditions [106]. Usually stem/progenitor cells persist in a quiescent state in their niche. In case of angiogenesis or neovascularisation specific signals initiate differentiation, further mobilization, and homing of vascular progenitor cells [107]. Seitz et al. could already show...
that S1P is acting on hematopoietic progenitor cells as chemoattractant via S1PR1. Given the fact that activated platelets represent a major source of extracellular S1P, stem cell homing may occur at sites of tissue injury in addition to the bone marrow [108]. Walter et al. found that incubation of patient-derived endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) with S1P or its synthetic analogue FTY720 improved blood flow recovery in ischemic hind limbs. This phenomenon was critically dependent on S1PR3 activity since it was abolished in S1P3 null mice [109]. In a recent study from Donati and colleagues, S1P was found to be essential for mesoangioblast proliferation and survival [110]. In summarize, S1P is likely to act on different immature cell types regarding the mesendodermal germ layer.

3.3. Lysophosphatidic Acid. Similar to the other bioactive lipids LPA seems to be involved in modulation of cardiomyocyte signalling. Recently, elevated serum LPA concentrations in patients with myocardial infarction have been reported, indicating a role of LPA in cardiac pathophysiology [111]. In cultured neonatal cardiomyocytes, LPA induces via LPA1/LPA3 hypertrophy under involvement of AKT and NFκB signalling [112, 113]. Furthermore, it prevents hypoxia-induced apoptosis in cardiomyocytes similar to S1P and SPC [82]. Hence, LPA is likely to play a role in left ventricular remodelling. Nevertheless, differentiation inducing effects of LPA in terms of cardiac development remain to be established. So far, a developmental role of LPA is restricted to the nervous system where LPA plays an elementary function during neurogenesis.

3.3.1. LPA Functions Similarly But Not Equally as S1P during Vasculogenesis. Regarding vessel formation LPA seems to induce similar but not equal effects compared to S1P. For example, LPA has been shown to induce expression of MMPs and migration of endothelial cells, both steps known to be critical for vessel formation [114, 115]. However, LPA affects MMP induction and migration to a much lower extent than S1P though it most likely uses the same signalling pathways. Given the fact that LPA is a low-affinity ligand of, for example, the S1P receptor [116], it could be possible that LPA effects on endothelial cells are driven by S1P receptors [100, 114, 115, 117]. In mesenchymal stem cells LPA fails to induce tube formation but promotes vascular network formation in murine E8.5 allantois explants, still, in both studies less efficaciously than S1P [100, 118]. Despite controversial in vitro data, in vivo studies of receptor or enzyme knockouts affecting LPA signalling showed gross abnormalities including in angiogenesis. Homozygous LPAR1 null mice showed 50% neonatal lethality and reduced size caused by impaired suckling behaviour. Beside the neuronal phenotype LPAR1 null mice also suffered from craniofacial dysmorphism, uniformly notable by short snouts and widely spaced eyes. This phenotype is most likely due to loss of LPAR1 during development of facial bone tissue, where expression is usually strong [119]. In addition, a small group of the knock out animals displayed frontal hematoa. This could be explained by improper vasculogenesis, similar to the phenotype of S1P-receptor knock out studies showing abnormal limb/bone development [90]. However, given the small number of LPAR1 null pups developing frontal hematoma, functional angiogenic redundancy is likely also true for LPA receptors. This issue is underlined by data achieved from LPAR1 and LPAR2 double null animals showing a more severe phenotype. Therefore LPAR1 and LPAR2 are not essential for proper development but most likely involved in mediation of LPA-induced angiogenic signals [120]. In contrast, a recent study identified LPAR4 as a critical regulator of the structure and function of blood and lymphatic vessels [121]. The overall not severe phenotype of all single LPA receptor null animals stands in striking contrast with autotaxin null animals [122]. Lack of autotaxin leads to embryonic lethality around E9.5 with profound vascular defects in yolk sac and the embryos resemble the phenotype of animals lacking both small G-protein G_{12} and G_{13}. These two G-proteins are major mediators of LPA receptor signalling [123]. Furthermore, ATX-deficient embryos showed allantois malformation, neural tube defects, and asymmetric headfolds [122]. However, developmental dysfunction is not only due to lack of LPA but also to excess shown by knock out studies of lipid phosphate phosphatases (LPPs), a group of enzymes involved in lipid phosphate biosynthesis. Escalante-Alcalde and colleagues disrupted the LPP3 gene leading to increased LPA levels. LPPR3 null mice failed to form a chorioallantoic placenta and yolk sac vasculature. Furthermore, some embryos exhibited shortening of the anterior-posterior axis and frequent duplication of axial structures [124]. To summarize this section, LPA and its distinct receptors are most likely, similar to the S1P-S1P receptor axis, functionally redundant and therefore only triple or quadruple knock outs would resemble the phenotype of ATX null animals and mirror, as major LPA synthesizer, an LPA-deficient phenotype. Hence, it seems crystal clear that developmental importance of LPA is not restricted to the neuronal systems but rather widely affects mammalian development.

4. Conclusion

There are several lines of evidence pointing to lysophospholipids or bioactive lipids as important regulators of stem cell differentiation in vitro and cardiovascular development in vivo. This has been established by a variety of studies using either knock out mouse models or embryonic stem cells as tools to recapitulate cardiovascular development. In particular S1P seems to be crucial for this particular system while LPA seems to be more pronounced in the nervous system. However, the SPC role in this process seems to be underdeveloped so far and needs further studies to dissect its developmental impact more precisely.

Abbreviations

SPC: Sphingosylphosphorylcholine
LPA: Lysophosphatidic acid
S1P: Sphingosine 1-phosphate
S1PR: Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor
GPCRs: G-protein coupled receptors
MAG: Monoacylglycerol
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