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Abstract

Background: The anatomical dimensions of the lumbar dural sac determine the sensory block level of spinal anesthesia; however, whether they show the same predictive value during continuous epidural anesthesia (CEA) remains undetermined. We designed the present study to verify the efficacy of the anatomical dimensions of the lumbar dural sac in predicting the sensory block level during labor analgesia.

Methods: A total of 122 parturients with singleton pregnancies requesting labor analgesia were included in this study. The lumbar dural sac diameter (DSD), lumbar dural sac length (DSL), lumbar dural sac surface area (DSA), and lumbar dural sac volume (DSV) were measured with an ultrasound color Doppler diagnostic apparatus. CEA was performed at the L2-L3 interspace. After epidural cannulation, an electronic infusion pump containing 0.08% ropivacaine and sufentanil 0.4 µg/ml was connected. The sensory block level was determined with alcohol-soaked cotton, a cotton swab, and a pinprick. The analgesic efficacy of CEA was determined with a visual analog scale (VAS). Divided the parturients into two groups: "ideal analgesia" and "non-ideal analgesia", and compared the groups by t test. Pearson's correlation was performed to evaluate the association between the anatomical dimensions of the lumbar dural sac and sensory block level. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to create a model for predicting the sensory block level.

Results: In the "ideal analgesia" group, the height, DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD were significantly smaller, and the BMI was significantly larger (P<0.05) (Table 1). In addition, the DSL demonstrated the strongest correlation with the peak level of pain
block ($r=-0.816, P<0.0001; \text{Figure 2A}$), temperature block ($r=-0.874, P<0.0001; \text{Figure 3A}$) and tactile block ($r=-0.727, P<0.0001; \text{Figure 4A}$). Finally, multiple linear regression analysis revealed that the DSL and BMI contributed to predicting the peak sensory block level.

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, our study shows that the sensory block level of CEA is higher when the DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD of puerpera are lower. The DSL and BMI can be treated as predictors of the peak sensory block level in CEA during labor analgesia.

**Keywords:** Dural sac; Ultrasound; Labor analgesia; Continuous epidural anesthesia
Background

Currently, continuous epidural anesthesia (CEA) is one of the preferred pain management methods for labor analgesia\cite{1}. With the increasing requirements for the comfort of childbirth, the precision of anesthesia is increasingly required. Predicting the sensory block level of epidural anesthesia can provide a reference index for accurate perinatal anesthesia.

At present, it is widely believed that the effect of epidural anesthesia comes from delayed spinal anesthesia produced by local anesthetics in the epidural space that penetrate through the dura mater and penetrate into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)\cite{2-4}. Fanning et al reported that the length of the lumbar vertebrae had value in predicting drug diffusion in continuous combined spinal-epidural anesthesia\cite{5}. In addition, the dural sac volume (DSV) affects the spread of local anesthetics in spinal anesthesia\cite{5-6}.

As an important channel, the influence of dura mater in epidural anesthesia is worthy of further study. Although ultrasound imaging of the lumbar spine cannot be used to determine the volume of CSF, it does allow the assessment of certain dimensions of the lumbar dural sac\cite{7}.

To verify whether these anatomical dimensions of the lumbar dural sac possess similar predictive value in determining the sensory block level in CEA during labor analgesia, we designed and performed this study using ultrasound.
Methods

Subjects

The study is conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and has been approved by the Chinese Registered Clinical Trial Ethics Committee (ChiECRCT20200295). The study was registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry on November 30th, 2019 (ChiCTR1900027830). This study was performed at the First Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College. The study was conducted without any funding sources. From November 2019 to August 2020, a total of 122 parturients aged between 18 and 45 with an ASA status of II who received CEA analgesia for vaginal delivery were included in this clinical observational research. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before participation. Patients with multiple pregnancies, or a history of spinal anesthesia were excluded, as were patients who failed in the epidural puncture or switched to cesarean section without completing the study after enrollment. The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article will be available upon request.

Study protocol

After parturients entered the operating room, routine monitoring were established. Before performing CEA, lactated Ringer's solution was instilled for prehydration. Ultrasound scanning was performed before the administration of epidural analgesia via a portable ultrasound color Doppler diagnostic apparatus system equipped with a 2-5 MHz convex array probe (SonoScape Medical Corp, Shenzhen, China). Ultrasound imaging was performed with the patient lying on her left side, and the
same position was used for epidural needle placement. In brief, an ultrasound probe was placed on the paramedian sagittal oblique plain to identify the L5-S1 interspace by identifying the continuous hyperechoic line of the sacrum. Then, the probe was slowly moved cephalad along the paramedian sagittal oblique plain to capture a view of the intervertebral space\cite{8-9}. Next, the L4-5, L3-4, L2-3, and L1-2 interspaces were determined in the same manner. The acoustic window included the vertebral body, ligamentum flavum, and dorsal and ventral dura mater (Figure 1A). The distance from the dorsal dura mater to the ventral dura mater (lumbar dural sac diameter, DSD) was measured with a built-in caliper. The lumbar dural sac length (DSL) was defined as the sum of the each dural sac length between L1-2 and L5-S1 (Figure 1B). The lumbar DSV and lumbar dural sac surface area (DSA) were obtained by adding the DSV and DSA, respectively, between each lumbar intervertebral space.
Figure 1. Measurement of the anatomical dimensions of the dural sac by ultrasound. A. LF=ligamentum flavum, DDM=dorsal dura mater, VDM=ventral dura mater, ES=epidural space, DS=dural sac. B. DSD=dural sac diameter, DSL=dural sac length.

In the past, the lumbar dural sac was assumed to be cylindrical\[^5\]. In view of the fact that some scholars have found that the diameter of the dural sac is different in different intervertebral spaces\[^10\], the formula for a circular truncated cone was applied to calculate the volume and surface area of the lumbar dural sac in our study.

The volume of each dural sac was calculated according to the formula for the volume of a circular truncated cone: 
\[
V = \frac{\pi}{3} h (R^2 + r^2 + R r)
\]

The surface area of each dural sac was calculated according to the formula for the surface area of a circular truncated cone: 
\[
S = \pi R l + \pi r l
\]

where the radius is half of the dural sac diameter, h is the dural sac length, and l is calculated from r and h by 
\[
l = \sqrt{(R - r)^2 + h^2}
\]

Cross-sectional imaging was carried out in the L2-3 intervertebral space to determine the ideal puncture point at the midpoint of L2-3 intervertebral space\[^11\]. We
need to measure the depth of the epidural space and the distance between the midpoint of the intervertebral space between L1-2 and L2-3. The sum of the two lengths determines the depth of epidural catheter placement. This is done to ensure that the opening of the catheter tip is located in the midpoint of L1-2 intervertebral space.

When the parturient turned to the supine position, 3 ml of 1.5% lidocaine was infused as an experimental dose to rule out the risk of spinal anesthesia. Then, an electronic infusion pump (APON Corporation, Nantong, China) containing sufentanil 0.4 μg/ml and 0.08% ropivacaine was connected to the epidural catheter. The initial dose was 8 ml administered at a rate of 1 ml/s, and the rate of continuous infusion was 8 ml/h. During the whole procedure, 6 mg ephedrine was given intravenously when the post-anesthesia systolic blood pressure decreased by more than 20%, 0.2mg atropine was injected when the heart rate was below 55 beats per minute. Patients at risk of spinal anesthesia should stop using epidural analgesia and be rescued in time.

The efficacy of labor analgesia was evaluated with a visual analog scale (VAS) at time zero, which was just after epidural cannulation. The level of pain, temperature and tactile sensory block was tested with a pinprick, alcohol-soaked cotton and a cotton swab, respectively. Evaluations of the sensory block level and VAS score were performed every minute within the first 3 minutes and every 5 minutes after administration of the initial dose. After three consecutive evaluation values remained unchanged, the sensory block level and VAS score were tested every 30 minutes until the end of labor.

"Ideal analgesia" was defined as "a VAS score decline to the 3 points within 30
minutes" \cite{12-13}. If the maternal VAS score does not reach 3 points within 30 minutes, it was regarded as "non-ideal analgesia". If her sensory block level is fixed (i.e., the same value for three consecutive assessments), an additional 8ml of the drug will be added using an electronic infusion pump.

**Statistical analysis**

G-power 3.1.9.2 was used to calculate the sample size. In this study, multiple linear regression analysis included five predictors of maternal BMI, DSD, DSL, DSA and DSV. The expected effect value was 0.15, the test level was 0.05, and the test power was 0.9, so the minimum sample size was 118.

The following software was used for analysis: Excel 2010, GraphPad Prism 8.0.1, and IBM SPSS Statistics 25. The results are presented as the mean±SD, and comparisons between groups were performed by unpaired Student's $t$ test or Welch's $t$ test. Sensory block level is expressed in median and range. The correlation between the patient characteristics and sensory block level was analyzed via Pearson's correlation. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to analyze the five explanatory variables of the BMI, DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD and sensory block level, respectively. The prediction model with the highest adjusted $R^2$ value was selected by a stepwise method. Because of collinearity (the DSA and DSV were calculated using the DSL as part of the formula), the DSA and DSV could not appear in the same model when constructing predictive models. Statistical significance was defined as $P<0.05$ (two-sided).
Results

Three parturients transferred to cesarean section, and the remaining 119 parturients completed the study and were included in the analysis. With appellate definition, we classified parturients who underwent epidural analgesia into two groups: "ideal analgesia" \[^{14}\] and "non-ideal analgesia" \[^{15}\]. In the "ideal analgesia" group, the height, DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD were significantly smaller, and the BMI was significantly larger ($P<0.05$) (Table 1).

| Patient characteristics | "ideal analgesia" (n=87) | "non-ideal analgesia" (n=32) | $P$     |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Height, cm              | 160.58±4.45              | 164.94±3.56                 | <0.0001 |
| Weight, kg              | 69.52±8.45               | 66.56±8.58                  | 0.094   |
| BMI, kg/m\(^2\)         | 26.98±2.89               | 24.36±2.69                  | <0.0001 |
| DSL, cm                 | 11.31±1.17               | 13.34±1.17                  | <0.0001 |
| DSA, cm\(^2\)          | 49.01±7.40               | 62.20±10.96                 | <0.0001 |
| DSV, cm\(^3\)          | 17.12±3.95               | 23.65±7.37                  | <0.0001 |
| DSD, cm                 | 1.36±0.12                | 1.45±0.20                   | 0.016   |

Pearson's correlation demonstrated that there is a correlation between the DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD and the level of pain, temperature and tactile sensory block (Figures 2-4). The DSL demonstrated the strongest correlation with the peak level of pain block ($r=-0.816, P<0.0001; \text{Figure 2A}$), temperature block ($r=-0.874, P<0.0001; \text{Figure 3A}$) and tactile block ($r=-0.727, P<0.0001; \text{Figure 4A}$).
Figure 2. A. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac length (DSL) and peak pain block level ($r=-0.816$, $p<0.0001$). B. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac surface area (DSA) and peak pain block level ($r=-0.808$, $p<0.0001$). C. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac volume (DSV) and peak pain block level ($r=-0.722$, $p<0.0001$). D. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac diameter (DSD) and peak pain block level ($r=-0.451$, $p<0.001$). Although correlation coefficients ($r$) and P values were calculated using Pearson’s correlation, the linear regression lines are presented in these graphs.
Figure 3. A. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac length (DSL) and peak temperature block level \( (r=-0.774, p<0.0001) \). B. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac surface area (DSA) and peak temperature block level \( (r=-0.660) \). C. Correlation between the dural sac volume (DSV) and peak temperature block level \( (r=-0.322) \).
peak temperature block level ($r=0.774$, $p<0.0001$). C. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac volume (DSV) and peak temperature block level ($r=-0.66$, $p<0.0001$). D. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac diameter (DSD) and peak temperature block level ($r=-0.322$, $p<0.0001$). Although correlation coefficients ($r$) and P values were calculated using Pearson’s correlation, the linear regression lines are presented in these graphs.
Figure 4. A. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac length (DSL) and peak tactile block level ($r=-0.727$, $p<0.0001$). B. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac surface area (DSA) and peak tactile block level ($r=-0.633$, $p<0.0001$). C. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac volume (DSV) and peak tactile block level ($r=-0.534$, $p<0.0001$). D. Correlation between the lumbar dural sac diameter (DSD) and peak tactile block level ($r=-0.238$, $p<0.0001$). Although correlation coefficients ($r$) and P values were calculated using Pearson’s correlation, the linear regression lines are presented in these graphs.

The DSL and BMI were important predictors of the peak sensory block level. The
multiple linear regression analysis revealed the following (Table 2):

Peak pain block level \(= 4.7 + 0.452 \times DSL - 0.093 \times BMI\)

Peak temperature block level \(= 3.409 + 0.461 \times DSL - 0.069 \times BMI\)

Peak tactile block level \(= 9.505 + 0.220 \times DSL - 0.052 \times BMI\)

| Response Variable | \(R^2\) | Adjusted \(R^2\) | Intercept | \(P\) | Explanatory Variables | Regression Coefficient | Standard Regression Coefficient |
|-------------------|--------|------------------|-----------|------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Peak pain block level | 0.771  | 0.767 | 4.7 | <0.0001 | DSL | 0.452 | 0.769 |
|                    |        |       |     | <0.000 | BMI | -0.093 | -0.328 |
| Peak temperature block level | 0.829  | 0.826 | 3.409 | <0.0001 | DSL | 0.461 | 0.836 |
|                    |        |       |     | <0.0001 | BMI | -0.069 | -0.260 |
| Peak tactile block level | 0.638  | 0.632 | 9.505 | <0.0001 | DSL | 0.220 | 0.679 |
|                    |        |       |     | <0.0001 | BMI | -0.052 | -0.334 |
Discussion

In this study, we first observed a smaller DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD, in patients with "effective analgesia". In addition, our results suggested that there is a negative correlation between the peak sensory block level (pain, temperature and tactile) and the anatomical dimensions of the lumbar dural sac (DSL, DSA and DSV). Finally, multiple linear regression analysis revealed that the DSL and BMI contributed to predicting the peak sensory block level.

The sensory block level in CEA determines the efficacy of analgesia, which is the most concerned issue for parturients during labor. However, the sensory block level is affected by a wide variety of factors, such as the operating proficiency, insertion depth, injection rate, and drug concentration. Previous studies have used many anatomical variables to explain the diffusion of local anesthetics in CSF, such as height, weight\textsuperscript{13}, spine length\textsuperscript{16}, and abdominal girth\textsuperscript{17}.

The epidural space between the dura mater and vertebral canal wall is used as a route for administering local anesthetics. The spreading of local anesthetics into the epidural space after injection involves two steps\textsuperscript{2}. First, local anesthetics spread within the epidural space itself. This is dependent on those conditions that have been discussed before, such as the dose, volume and infusion rate of local anesthetics. Second, local anesthetics can penetrate into the subperineural space by spreading around the capillary and lymphatic channels of the vasa nervorum at the dura mater\textsuperscript{2-3}. Previous experiments have shown that the dose of drugs that reach the subpial spaces around the spinal cord and can diffuse along the nerve axis will be
proportional to the dose that can spread through the dura mater into the subperineural space\textsuperscript{[2]}. Ultimately, most studies have indicated that local anesthetics penetrate through the dura mater and spread in the CSF after epidural injection, which produces delayed spinal anesthesia\textsuperscript{[2-4]}. Carpenter and colleagues described that a smaller volume of CSF leads to a greater sensory block level in spinal anesthesia\textsuperscript{[18]}. Thus, the volume of CSF is an important anatomical factor affecting the sensory block level of epidural anesthesia.

Previous studies have shown that obese patients under spinal anesthesia have a higher level of sensory block\textsuperscript{[19]}, which may be due to the narrowing of the epidural space and increased epidural pressure caused by dilated epidural veins and accumulated epidural fat in obese patients\textsuperscript{[20]}. Compression of the lumbar dural sac causes a reduction in the volume of cerebrospinal fluid in the waist, reducing dilution of local anesthetics\textsuperscript{[21]}. Parturients are a special type of abdominal obesity patients\textsuperscript{[22]}. Given that maternal epidural fat is difficult to accurately display under ultrasound, BMI was included as an indicator of obesity in our study.

Based on previous studies have shown that the anatomical dimensions of the lumbar dural sac can be measured to assess the volume of CSF\textsuperscript{[5-6]}, we selected DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD as the independent variables. In our study, the DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD were significantly lower in patients with "ideal analgesia". To identify the factor with the strongest correlation on the sensory block level, we performed Pearson's correlation analysis. The DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD displayed the negatively correlation with the level of pain, temperature and tactile sensory block. In
our study, the correlation between height and sensory block level is relatively small, while the correlation between DSL and sensory block level is higher. Because the height differences between most adults are determined by the length of the long bones in the lower limbs rather than the length of the spine, the measurement of DSL has more clinical application value. Our DSA measured by ultrasound has a similar correlation with the DSA measured by Higuchi et al using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)\(^{[23]}\). In addition, our results break through the non-correlation between the DSD and the sensory block level in spinal anesthesia\(^{[24]}\), and prove that there is a negative correlation between the DSD and the sensory block level in epidural anesthesia, which provides a new idea for the clinical study of intraspinal anesthesia.

There are several limitations to this study. The volume of fluid injected into the epidural space compresses the dural sac and reduces the volume of CSF\(^{[25]}\). Although we have limited the volume of the experimental dose of lidocaine to 3 ml, we still cannot ignore the effect on the sensory block level. In addition, ultrasound imaging cannot display soft tissue, such as fat\(^{[26]}\) or vascular tissue, in the epidural space clearly and accurately\(^{[27]}\); thus, we should not rule out their influence on the current results. In addition, although our dural sac model was based on the formula for a circular truncated cone, the values were approximated.

Our study provides a convenient and noninvasive method to predict the efficacy of labor analgesia in parturients. In addition, with this method, we can screen patients with a high risk of “nonideal analgesia” to adjust the dose, volume and infusion rate of local anesthetics. Further studies at multiple centers with larger populations are
necessary to explore the suitable drug dose, volume and infusion rate, and provide a reference index for accurate perinatal anesthesia.
Conclusions

In conclusion, our study shows that the sensory block level of CEA is higher when the DSL, DSA, DSV and DSD of puerpera are lower. The DSL and BMI can be treated as predictors of the peak sensory block level in CEA during labor analgesia.
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