INTRODUCTION

Determining how differences in gene expression affect and are affected by differences in behavior is essential to understanding the forces shaping organismal variation. The increasing availability of high-throughput sequencing methods has facilitated the study of transcriptomic variation in nonmodel organisms by allowing the quantification of differences in gene expression in relation to complex behavioral phenotypes in natural populations of animals (Gleason & Burton, 2015; Todd, Black, & Gemmell, 2016). Mating systems, due to both their diversity and their critical role in shaping genetic variation in natural populations (Bessa-Gomes, Legendre, & Clobert, 2004; Emlen & Oring, 1977; Selander, 1970; Wright, 1949), provide an ideal opportunity for exploring interactions between gene expression and evolutionarily important differences in behavior. Among mammals, mating systems range from monogamy to polygynandry, with numerous variants falling between these extremes (Clutton-Brock, 2016, 1989; Kleiman, 1977). Accordingly,
comparative studies of gene expression in closely related species exhibiting different patterns of mating behavior promise to yield important insights into the transcriptomic causes and consequences of differences in mammalian behavior (Bengston et al., 2018; Munshi-South & Richardson, 2017).

One component of the mammalian genotype that has been shown to be correlated with differences in mating systems is variability at major histocompatibility complex (MHC) genes, which code for proteins associated with the detection of and response to pathogens (Klein, 1986). Specifically, allelic diversity at MHC genes has been shown to be greater in polygynandrous compared to monogamous species (MacManes & Lacey, 2012; Sommer, Schwab, & Ganzhorn, 2002). This finding is thought to reflect increased exposure to sexually transmitted and other pathogens resulting from the greater number of reproductive partners per individual in polygynandrous species (Eames & Keeling, 2004). To date, efforts to examine correlations between mating systems and immunogenetic variation have focused primarily on nucleotide or allelic differences among individuals (MacManes & Lacey, 2012; Sommer et al., 2002). In contrast, relationships between mating systems and patterns of immunogene expression remain uncharacterized despite the expected importance of differences in gene activity to immune system function.

Mice of the genus Peromyscus provide an ideal system in which to explore relationships between mating system and expression of MHC and other immunogenes. Members of this genus are widely distributed in North America, occurring in habitats ranging from western coastal chaparral to eastern deciduous forest. Importantly, Peromyscus includes at least two evolutionarily independent origins of monogamy (Turner et al., 2010): the beach mouse (P. polionotus; Foltz, 1981) and the California mouse (P. californicus; Ribble, 1991). The latter species in particular has been shown to be both socially and genetically monogamous (Ribble, 1991; Ribble & Salvioni, 1990), meaning that individuals do not engage in extra-pair matings that result in the production of offspring. Paternal care is essential to survival of neonates (Gubernick & Teferi, 2000; Gubernick, Wright, & Brown, 1993), underscoring the importance of at least socially monogamous relationships among adults. Along the coast of California, P. californicus occurs sympatrically with several closely related but polygynandrous members of this genus, providing unique opportunities for comparative studies of the genetic correlates of differences in mating systems.

To examine differences in immunogene expression as a function of variation in mating system, we compared patterns of expression in P. californicus to those in two polygynandrous species of Peromyscus. Specifically, we compared gene expression profiles in liver—an immunologically active organ—for mice in co-occurring populations of P. californicus, P. maniculatus, and P. boylii. We predicted that patterns of gene expression would differ between our polygynandrous and monogamous study species, with expression of immunologically active genes typically being greater in the polygynandrous species due to greater pathogen exposure and associated immune system activity. To provide a more robust test of this hypothesis, we replicated this comparison using mice from four distinct localities in California. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore relationships between expression of immunologically active genes and the mating systems of natural populations of mammals. In addition to generating important insights into the role of mating behavior in shaping this aspect of genetic variation, our analyses reveal new information regarding differences in gene expression in relation to complex behavioral phenotypes.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study species

We examined expression profiles for immunologically active genes in three species of Peromyscus. Two of these species, P. maniculatus and P. boylii (Birdsall & Nash, 1973; Kalcounis-Rueppell & Spoon, 2009), are polygynandrous; the third, P. californicus, is both socially and genetically monogamous (Ribble, 1991). Peromyscus maniculatus is the most widespread and abundant Peromyscine in North America. This small-bodied (10–24 g) species is an ecological generalist that occurs in a variety of habitats in California, including deciduous woodlands, deserts, coastal scrub, chaparral, and grasslands (Jameson & Peeters, 2004; King, 1968). P. boylii is somewhat larger (22–36 g) and is more ecologically restricted, occurring only in chaparral and scrub forest (Jameson & Peeters, 2004; King, 1968). Peromyscus californicus, the largest of the species examined (32–54 g), is also found in chaparral and scrub forest habitats from the San Francisco area to northern Baja California (Jameson & Peeters, 2004; King, 1968). Because these taxa co-occur at multiple localities, immunogenetic expression can be compared for monogamous and polygynandrous species exposed to the same general suite of environmental conditions. Phylogenetically, P. maniculatus is more closely related to P. californicus than to P. boylii (Bradley et al., 2007). While this arrangement does not preclude possible impacts of phylogeny on our comparative analyses, inclusion of both P. maniculatus and P. boylii allows a more robust assessment of patterns of immunogenetic expression in polygynandrous species of mice.

2.2 | Field sites

Mice were sampled at four localities, two in the northern and two in the southern portion of the range of P. californicus. From north to south, the distribution of this species is characterized by a pronounced rainfall gradient, with southern populations generally experiencing more arid conditions (California precipitation maps: www.cnrfe.noaa.gov); inclusion of populations from both extremes of the range of P. californicus encompassed habitat variation associated with this decline in rainfall. Similarly, because habitats differ markedly between the western and eastern sides of the coastal mountains in California (1981–2010 Climate data: www.cnrfe.noaa.gov), at each end of the north–south gradient sampled we selected one coastal and one inland population for analysis, resulting in a total of four sampling localities (Figure 1). At both coastal locations, P. californicus...
co-occurs with *P. maniculatus*; at both inland locations, *P. californicus* co-occurs with *P. boylii*. Thus, this sampling scheme allowed us to compare patterns of gene expression between monogamous and polygynandrous species across two axes of environmental variation.

2.3 | Trapping and tissue sampling

All collection of tissue samples was completed between February and April 2016. At each sampling locality, animals were captured using Sherman live-traps baited with rolled oats and containing a small ball of synthetic batting that the animals used as nesting material. A total of 180 traps per locality were set, with traps placed in pairs at 10 m intervals to create a grid measuring 150 m × 60 m and containing 90 trap stations (pairs of traps). At each sampling locality, traps were opened at 1600 hr and closed 0000 hr for 20 consecutive nights. Individuals captured were identified to species using standard pelage and body size characters (Jameson & Peeters, 2004). In addition, each animal was weighed, and its sex and reproductive status determined based on the appearance of the external genitalia.

To obtain liver tissue for use in transcriptomic analyses of gene expression (see below), at each sampling locality we collected four adult males and four adult females per species, resulting in liver tissue from a total of 64 individuals. Animals were euthanized via overdose with isoflurane followed by cervical dislocation. Immediately postmortem, samples (0.5 × 0.5 cm) of liver tissue were collected and placed in 1.5 ml of RNAlater. After soaking in RNAlater for 24 hr at 4°C, samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen until they could be transported to the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology on the UC Berkeley campus; once on campus, the samples were stored in a −80°C freezer until analysis. Additionally, we collected a sliver of skin (~5 × 2 mm) from the right pinna of each animal; mitochondrial sequences obtained from these samples were used to confirm species identifications based on external phenotypic traits.

All field work involving live mice was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of California, Berkeley, and was consistent with the Guidelines for the Use of Wild Mammals in Research published by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes, 2016).

2.4 | Genetic confirmation of species identity

Because the study species can be difficult to distinguish based solely on external pelage characteristics, we confirmed all species assignments made in the field using sequences from the mitochondrial cytochrome b (cyt-b) locus. Genomic DNA was obtained from ear pinna samples from the 64 individuals sacrificed using a salt extraction protocol (Aljanabi & Martinez, 1997). PCR amplification of the entire 1140-bp cyt-b locus was performed for each individual using primers MVZ 05 and MVZ 16 (Smith & Patton, 1993). Our PCR master mix consisted of 25 μL containing the following: 14.58 μL of ddH₂O, 2.75 μL of 10× buffer (with MgCl₂), 2.2 μL of MgCl₂, 2 μL of Betaine, 1 μL of BSA (bovine serum albumin), 0.44 μL of dNTPs, 0.44 μL of each of the primers (forward and reverse), 0.15 μL of Taq polymerase (New England Bio Labs), and 1 μL of the DNA template. Amplification...
conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 4:00 min and 35 cycles of the following: denaturation at 95°C for 0:35 min, annealing at 49°C for 0:40 min, and extension at 72°C for 0:50 min. Our cycle sequencing mix consisted of 9 μl reactions containing the following: 5.94 μl of ddH2O, 1.43 μl of the forward primer (MVZ 05), 1.98 μl of the 5x Big Dye Buffer, 0.5 μl of Big Dye, and 1 μl of the PCR template. Sequences were edited and aligned using Geneious 7.1.7 (Kearse et al., 2012), after which each sequence was identified to species using the nucleotide BLAST feature in Genbank. For each sequence, species identity was based on the top BLAST sequence match (sequence identity > 98%) obtained for that sample.

2.5 | Isolation of RNA

To quantify patterns of gene expression, we first isolated RNA from the liver samples collected during this study. A 15mg portion of each sample was homogenized using a PowerLyzer® 24 with ceramic beads (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc.), after which RNA was extracted from the homogenized sample using the UltraClean® Tissue and Cells RNA isolation kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc.). The concentration of each extract was determined using a NanoDrop (ThermoFisher); typically, extractions yielded ~200ng/μL of RNA. The quality of each extract was assessed using RNA 6000 Pico BioAnalyzer chips (Agilent); the mean RNA integrity number (RIN) score for our samples was 8 (range = 7.1–8.9). RNA extractions were then further purified using the DNase Max® kit (MO BIO) or, for samples requiring additional concentration, RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen). Finally, samples were diluted to a standard concentration of 80 ng/μl in 25 μl of RNAase-free water (total = 2000 ng RNA) for library preparation.

2.6 | Library preparation and transcriptomic sequencing

cDNA libraries were prepared using the Illumina® platform KAPA Stranded mRNA-Seq Kit (KAPA Biosystems), with the manufacturer’s protocol modified to accommodate half reactions. For each sample, a total of 2μg of high-quality RNA (RIN > 7) was suspended in 25 μl of RNase-free water. Samples were fragmented at 94°C for 4 min in order to achieve a typical library insert size of 250 bp. We modified the manufacturer’s protocol as follows: (a) First, to minimize artifacts resulting from over-amplification and to maximize the number of unique fragments amplified, we divided the cleaned, adaptor-ligated cDNA samples (step 10, KAPA technical data sheet) into two amplification reactions. Each of these sub-reactions was amplified for 10 cycles, after which the reactions were pooled to produce a single final library per individual. (b) Second, the final, uniquely barcoded libraries were cleaned using low ratio SeraMag beads (Sigma-Aldrich) instead of KAPA pure beads.

Initially, the size and concentration of each library were assessed visually by running samples on a 1% agarose gel that was then stained with ethidium bromide. More precise measures of library sizes were obtained using a BioAnalyzer DNA 1000 chip; mean library size (without primer sequences) was 264 (±13 bp). More precise measures of library concentrations were obtained using a Qubit (ThermoFisher) high-sensitivity assay. Libraries measuring < 10 ng/μl were further concentrated using a 2x solid phase reversible immobilization (SPRI) bead cleanup procedure. A 10 μl aliquot of each final library was submitted to the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory at the University of California, Berkeley, for sequencing. The 64 samples submitted were pooled equimolarly and then distributed across four lanes of Illumina HiSeq4000, 100PE sequencing.

2.7 | Raw data processing

Raw sequence data were cleaned following the protocols of Singhal (2013) and Bi et al. (2012). In brief, adaptor contamination was removed from our fastq reads using cutadapt (Martin, 2011), after which Trimomatic (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014) was used to remove low-quality reads (PHRED < 20). We then removed duplicate reads using Super-Deduper (https://github.com/dstreett/Super-Deduper). Finally, bacterial contaminants were identified by aligning sequences in our data set to the Escherichia coli genome; bacterial sequences were then removed using Bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012).

2.8 | Construction of species-specific reference transcriptome assemblies

A reference genome for P. manilalus was available from the NCBI database (GCF_000500345.1); the GFF assembly for this reference was downloaded and converted to GTF format using the gffread module in Cufflinks (Trapnell et al., 2010). In contrast, reference genomes were not available for P. californicus or P. boylii. Accordingly, to increase the efficiency and accuracy of mapping of reads in our data set and to reduce bias caused by mapping reads to a divergent genome, we used the LAST aligner (http://last.cbrc.jp/) to construct species-specific reference transcriptome assemblies for these taxa. We selected one male and one female per species per study population and then concatenated the reads for all conspecifics. We indexed the P. manilalus reference genome using the LAST aligner lastdb command, after which we used the LAST aligner last2seq command to merge the concatenated sequences for P. californicus and P. boylii (separate merge functions performed for each species) and to align the resulting clean reads for each species with the indexed P. manilalus reference genome. Each aligned sequence was converted to sorted-BAM format using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). Alignments were sorted using samtools sort and indexed using samtools index. The samtools mpileup and seqtk seq functions (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) were then used to convert alignments to FASTA format reference assemblies for each species. These reference transcriptomes were evaluated for completeness by comparing them to the P. manilalus reference genome. If detected, missing data were replaced with the corresponding portion of the P. manilalus genome. Ambiguous sites annotated with IUPAC ambiguity codes were replaced by randomly selecting one of the nucleotide bases (e.g., for Y, either C or T).
2.9 | Read alignment, assembly, and quantification

Sequence reads for all 64 of our study animals were analyzed following the protocol of Pertea, Kim, Pertea, Leek, and Salzberg (2016). In brief, raw reads generated by our RNAseq analyses were mapped to the reference transcriptome for the associated species using HISAT2 (Kim, Langmead, & Salzberg, 2015). Mapped reads were then assembled into transcripts, and the expression level for each gene was estimated using StringTie (Pertea et al., 2016, 2015). While we identified novel transcripts as part of the Pertea et al. (2016) workflow, we only considered gene-level abundance estimates during downstream analyses. Raw gene expression counts for each species were filtered to include only those loci annotated in all three study species. Raw counts were then imported to R and normalized using the “edgeR” trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) method (Robinson, McCarthy, & Smyth, 2010). TMM-normalized counts were filtered using counts per million (CPM); only genes with CPM > 1 in at least two individuals were retained for further analysis.

2.10 | Weighted gene correlation network analyses

To examine relationships between patterns of gene expression (TMM-normalized counts) and selected biological attributes (e.g., mating system), we first used a weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA) approach (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008) to reveal subsets of loci that were characterized by similar expression profiles. Clusters of genes with similar expression profiles were identified by calculating Pearson correlation coefficients between gene expression counts and then raising these coefficients to a power of $\beta$. The value of $\beta$ was determined by applying the approximate scale-free topology criterion to all genes (Barabási & Bonabeau, 2003); network construction was conducted using $\beta = 14$ (scale-free topology model fit, $R^2 = 0.71$). To determine whether the gene modules identified by this analysis were associated with selected biological attributes, we calculated Pearson correlation coefficients between module eigengenes (MEs; the first principle component axis of a given module) and each biological variable; the biological attributes considered were sex, habitat (coastal or inland), geographic region (north of south), and mating system (polygynandrous or monogamous). We then selected the module with the strongest positive correlation to mating system and plotted individual correlation coefficients between module eigengenes (MEs; the first principal component axis of a given module) and each biological variable. Strongest positive correlation to mating system and plotted individual correlation coefficients between module eigengenes (MEs; the first principal component axis of a given module) and each biological variable.

2.11 | Differential expression and gene ontology enrichment

To examine expression profiles for individual loci, we performed a constrained correspondence analysis (CCA) on TMM-normalized gene expression counts using the vegan package for R (Dixon, 2003). For each locus, differences in expression were assessed in relation to mating system, habitat, geographic region, and sex using the R package “edgeR” (Robinson et al., 2010). To examine the potential functional significance of genes that were differentially expressed in relation to these variables, we generated functional annotations (GO terms) for the *P. maniculatus* genome with “Blast2GO” (Gotz et al., 2008) using the full NCBI database. Prior to assessing whether loci that were differentially expressed tended to correspond to particular functional categories of genes (i.e., displayed GO category enrichment), we corrected for potential biases in gene length by calculating a probability weighting function (PWF) for the locus examined. We then used the R package “goseq” (Young, Wakefield, Smyth, & Oshlack, 2010) to assess potential GO category enrichment in our data set; the software package “GO.db” (Carlson, 2017) was used to summarize the results of these analyses. P values for these analyses were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) procedure.

In order compare patterns of expression at MHC genes across the study species, we filtered the TMM-normalized counts for the MHC loci in our data set and then conducted a generalized linear model (GLM) DE analysis in “edgeR” with species as a treatment. To facilitate visual comparisons of expression profiles, we generated heatmaps of the TMM-normalized count data using the R “gplots” heatmap.2 function (Warnes et al., 2016). Counts of gene expression were clustered on both the y-axis (genes) and the x-axis (individuals) based on similarity of expression profiles. Finally, we used the R package “pvclust” (Suzuki & Shimodaira, 2006) to assess the confidence of the hierarchical clusters using bootstrap resampling (10,000 iterations) and approximately unbiased (AU) p-value estimations.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Molecular confirmation of species IDs

Analyses of *cyt-b* sequences confirmed that all animals identified in the field as *P. californicus* ($n = 32$) and *P. boylii* ($n = 16$) were correctly assigned to these species. Of the 16 animals identified in the field as *P. maniculatus*, two males and two females from the Big Creek Reserve population (Figure 1) were identified genetically as *P. boylii*. As a result, the final sample size for each species was 32 for *P. californicus*, 20 for *P. boylii*, and 12 for *P. maniculatus*; the final data set for the Big Creek Reserve included transcriptomic data from all three study species.

3.2 | RNA sequencing analyses

Each of our four Illumina HiSeq4000 lanes yielded ~390 M reads, resulting in a total of ~1,545 M reads in our data set. No samples failed our quality control thresholds, and thus, all reads were retained for subsequent analyses. Individuals aligned to their respective reference genomes with a mean of 84.4 ± 1.6% accuracy (range = 75%–87%). Across all four lanes of Illumina sequence, ~ 93% of nucleotides had...
Q scores > 30 (range = 87%–95%), indicating that the error rate for reading nucleotides was < 0.1%. The raw numbers of genes for which expression count data were available were as follows: 48,724 for *P. maniculatus*, 46,135 for *P. boylii*, and 58,605 for *P. californicus*. After filtering these data to include only genes detected in all three study species, a total of 20,625 loci were retained for analysis. Further filtering based on CPM resulted in a final count of 14,624 genes for analyses of gene expression profiles in relation to mating system.

### 3.3 | WGCNA analyses

Weighted gene correlation analyses (WGCNA) revealed 12 gene modules (loci sharing similar expression profiles) ranging in size from 43 to 6,659 loci per module; an additional 4,276 genes could not be assigned to a module, and these were placed in their own module (gray) and were not included in subsequent analyses (Figure 2). Pearson correlations between module eigengenes (MEs) and our target behavioral and environmental variables revealed a single module (brown) that was significantly positively associated with mating system (Figure 3). Hierarchical clustering of MEs also suggested that expression patterns within the brown module were strongly associated with mating system, as indicated by the magnitudes of correlation coefficients for this module (Figure 3) and the presence of a distinct cluster containing only the brown gene module and the mating system variable (Figure 4). Further, the significant positive correlation between gene significance (correlation between gene expression and mating system) and membership in the brown module (correlation between individual gene expression and the brown module) suggests a relationship between these loci and reproductive behavior (Figure 5).

Visualization of interrelationships among the top 30 co-expressed genes in the brown module revealed three highly connected genes with known immunological functions (Figure S1 in Appendix S1). Thus, multiple lines of evidence suggest that expression of genes in the brown module was associated with differences in mating systems.

Across all gene modules, the largest Pearson correlation coefficients detected were associated with mating system suggesting that, of the natural history parameters considered, patterns of gene expression were most strongly related to reproductive behavior. In contrast to the brown module, we identified six modules that were significantly negatively correlated with mating system (Figure 3). With regard to habitat (coastal vs. inland), three significant positive correlations and two significant negative correlations were identified; one significant positive correlation was identified for geographic region (north vs. south; Figure 3). No significant correlations were detected between gene modules and sex of the individuals sequenced (Figure 3). Two of the gene modules that
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were negatively associated with mating system (turquoise, black) and were also significantly positively correlated with habitat; a third module (blue) was negatively associated with both mating system and habitat (Figure 3). In contrast, none of the gene modules that were statistically overrepresented, while the categories “sensory perception,” “sensory perception of chemical stimulus,” and “sensory perception of smell” were underrepresented relative to a Mus musculus reference (Table 1). With regard to molecular function, the GO categories “catalytic activity” and “ion binding” were overrepresented relative to Mus, with no categories being underrepresented relative to this reference (Table 1).

3.4 | Differential expression (DE) and gene ontology (GO) enrichment analyses

Constrained correspondence (CCA) analyses revealed that patterns of gene expression clearly differed between the monogamous and the polygynandrous species examined (ANOVA permutation test; 999 permutations, p value = 0.001) (Figure 6). Of the 14,624 genes considered, 6,274 (42.9%) were differentially expressed between monogamous and polygynandrous species, 2,591 (17.7%) were differentially expressed between coastal and inland populations, 1,804 (12.33%) were differentially expressed between geographic regions, and 720 (4.9%) were differentially expressed between the sexes.

Examination of the individual genes assigned to the brown module (the only module that was positively correlated with mating system) revealed that of the 687 genes in this module, 164 (24%) could not be reliably identified with regard to function; these loci were excluded from further consideration. Functional classification of the remaining 523 genes in this module revealed that “binding” (31%) and “catalytic activity” (40%) were the most common molecular function GO categories associated with these loci, with “metabolic process” (23%) and “cellular process” (30%) as the most common biological process GO categories (Figure S2 in Appendix S1). Statistical overrepresentation tests indicated that the biological process GO categories “single-organism metabolic process,” “cellular metabolic process,” and “metabolic process” were statistically overrepresented, while the categories “sensory perception,” “sensory perception of chemical stimulus,” and “sensory perception of smell” were underrepresented relative to a Mus musculus reference (Table 1). With regard to molecular function, the GO categories “catalytic activity” and “ion binding” were overrepresented relative to Mus, with no categories being underrepresented relative to this reference (Table 1).
FIGURE 4  Relationships between gene expression and mating system. In (a), gene modules (MEs) are hierarchically clustered based on similarities in patterns of expression for the genes in each module; for the mating system variable, gene expression was assessed for the monogamous versus the polygynandrous study species. The y-axis depicts the network distance between modules, with values closer to 0 indicating greater similarity between expression patterns in modules. In (b), a matrix of pairwise comparisons of module eigengene adjacency (connection strength), including the trait mating system (MS), is shown. Red cells denote high adjacency (positive Pearson correlations) between modules while blue cells denote low adjacency (negative correlations); white cells denote no significant correlation between modules. Color intensity denotes the relative strength of the correlation, as indicated in the color scale bar to the right.

FIGURE 5  Relationships between gene significance for mating system and module membership for each of the 687 loci included in the brown gene module. Module membership (x-axis) is a measure of how correlated the level of expression of each gene is with the module to which that gene was assigned; in this case the brown module. Gene significance (y-axis) is a measure of how correlated each gene is with mating system; a gene significance of 0 would indicate that the gene is not significant with regard to mating system. Together, these measurements indicate that genes in the brown module are also correlated with mating system.
that 7,256 genes were differentially expressed between *P. californicus* and *P. boylii*, 6,688 were differentially expressed between *P. californicus* and *P. maniculatus*, and 6,435 were differentially expressed between *P. maniculatus* and *P. boylii*. Differential expression analyses of the 30 most interconnected genes in the brown module revealed that all of these loci were upregulated in the monogamous species (Table S1 in Appendix S1). For all analyses of differential expression, the false discovery rate (FDR) was < 0.05.

Gene ontology enrichment analyses revealed that the GO cellular categories “cellular component” and “MHC class I protein complex” were enriched in the polygynandrous study species (Table 3). Similarly, the GO process category “biological process” and the molecular function categories “organelle membrane,” “heme binding,” “molecular function,” and “calcium ion binding” were enriched in these species (Table 3). In contrast, the cellular GO categories “cell surface” and “extracellular region” and the biological process categories “proteolysis” and “G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway” were enriched for the monogamous *P. californicus* (Table 3). Thus, there were clear differences in the functional subsets of genes that were differentially expressed in relation to mating system. In contrast, the “MHC class I protein complex” category of genes was not differentially expressed between the two polygynandrous species examined (Table S3 in Appendix S1).

### Table 1

Results of overrepresentation tests of gene ontology (GO) category assignments for 523 genes in the brown expression module. For each GO category, both the expected and observed number of associated genes are indicated. Also indicated is whether observed values reflect under- or overrepresentation of that category, the associated enrichment values, and the Bonferroni correct p-value for the comparison between observed and expected values (reference: *Mus musculus*). Results for GO biological processes are shown in (A); results for GO molecular processes are shown in (B).

| GO term | No. of genes identified | Expected | Over/under | Fold enrichment | p value |
|---------|-------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| **GO: Biological Process** | | | | | |
| Single-organism metabolic process (GO:0044710) | 101 | 63.49 | + | 1.59 | 1.36E-02 |
| Cellular metabolic process (GO:0044237) | 209 | 159.32 | + | 1.31 | 1.59E-02 |
| Metabolic process (GO:0008152) | 237 | 182.85 | + | 1.3 | 3.82E-03 |
| Sensory perception (GO:0007600) | 14 | 39.51 | - | 0.35 | 1.13E-02 |
| Sensory perception of chemical stimulus (GO:0007606) | 2 | 31.22 | - | < 0.2 | 4.95E-08 |
| Sensory perception of smell (GO:0007608) | 1 | 24.79 | - | < 0.2 | 2.08E-06 |
| Unclassified | 9 | 37.72 | - | 0.24 | 0.00E+00 |
| **GO: Molecular function** | | | | | |
| Catalytic activity (GO:0003824) | 187 | 126.2 | + | 1.48 | 3.84E-06 |
| Ion binding (GO:0043167) | 162 | 118.17 | + | 1.37 | 1.57E-02 |
| Unclassified | 17 | 41.71 | - | 0.41 | 0.00E+00 |

### Figure 6

Differentiation of the study taxa based on patterns of gene expression. Data are from constrained correspondence analysis of normalized values of gene expression for each species with mating system included as the constraint. Each point represents an individual mouse (N = 64). The x-axis shows the total amount of variation we can explain with mating system.
TABLE 2 Twenty genes displaying the greatest difference in expression as a function of mating system. Negative logFC (log fold change) changes (shaded cells) indicate genes that were upregulated in the monogamous species; positive logFC changes indicate genes that were upregulated in the two polygynandrous species. Expression levels for each gene are provided as logCPM (log counts per million). p values were calculated using a Fisher’s exact test and adjusted using the Benjamini–Hochberg “BH” procedure.

| Transcript ID   | Gene ID     | logFC       | logCPM        | p Value     | FDR          |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| XM_006978573.2  | Ascl3       | -12.51415017| 4.163502689   | 4.10E−186   | 6.66E−183    |
| XM_015999403.1  | trichosurin-like | -11.97155247| 3.62193051    | 1.51E−185   | 2.21E−182    |
| XM_016003647.1  | Gmnc        | -11.21877995| 3.926310364   | 2.25E−178   | 2.06E−175    |
| XM_006985706.2  | Acsn4       | -10.77462688| 5.93853192    | 2.51E−200   | 1.22E−196    |
| XM_006973109.2  | Rdh12       | -9.892254422| 6.771920813   | 9.86E−189   | 2.06E−185    |
| XM_016006175.1  | Ccdc57      | -9.55750474 | 8.031417925   | 3.61E−190   | 1.06E−186    |
| XM_006985611.2  | Stk32b      | -9.49602698 | 7.55194064    | 9.80E−183   | 1.02E−179    |
| XM_016006887.1  | Cndp1       | -9.380107299| 5.714159564   | 5.11E−175   | 4.40E−172    |
| XM_006983531.2  | Car15-like  | 10.21728467 | 4.942431264   | 2.26E−174   | 1.84E−171    |
| XM_006972591.2  | ST2A1-like  | 10.26160713 | 5.329011684   | 8.87E−179   | 8.65E−176    |
| XM_00699847.2   | STD2-like   | 10.3095931  | 5.88062064    | 6.37E−188   | 1.16E−184    |
| XM_015990843.1  | CYP3A5-like | 10.55658689 | 5.765298083   | 4.59E−189   | 1.12E−185    |
| XM_015989344.1  | CYP3A6-like | 10.69646589 | 5.024975905   | 4.42E−183   | 4.97E−180    |
| XM_016008782.1  | Serum albumin-like | 11.08331147| 5.62396081    | 2.88E−194   | 1.05E−190    |
| XM_006997575.2  | SULT2A1-like| 11.41561584 | 4.233025924   | 2.88E−172   | 2.11E−169    |
| XM_006972604.2  | SULT2A1-like| 13.60854338 | 6.190396867   | 5.35E−224   | 3.91E−220    |
| XM_006977680.2  | Spink1      | 15.03855013 | 6.683616419   | 1.97E−240   | 2.89E−236    |

TABLE 3 Enrichment of gene ontology (GO) categories of genes differentially expressed in relation to mating system. Data are from 6,274 genes that were differentially expressed between the monogamous and the two polygynandrous study species. GO categories examined were cellular component, biological process, and molecular function. In all cases, enrichment was significant at p < 0.05 after correction with the Benjamini–Hochberg “BH” procedure. Shaded entries denoted GO categories containing genes that were enriched in both mating systems.

| GO ID          | Term                      | GO ID          | Term                      |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| GO:0.005,578   | Proteinaceous extracellular matrix | GO:0.005,578   | Proteinaceous extracellular matrix |
| GO:0.005,887   | Integral component of plasma membrane | GO:0.005,887   | Integral component of plasma membrane |
| GO:0.005,615   | Extracellular space       | GO:0.005,615   | Extracellular space       |
| GO:0.016,021   | Integral component of membrane | GO:0.016,021   | integral component of membrane |
| GO:0.005,575   | Cellular component        | GO:0.009,986   | Cell surface              |
| GO:0.042,612   | MHC class I protein complex | GO:0.005,576   | Extracellular region       |
| GO:0.008,150   | Biological process        | GO:0.006,508   | Proteolysis               |
| GO:0.007,186   | G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway |
| GO:0.031,090   | Organelle membrane        |
| GO:0.020,037   | Heme binding              |
| GO:0.003,674   | Molecular function        |
| GO:0.005,509   | Calcium ion binding       |
3.5 | Differential expression of MHC genes

After filtering TMM-normalized count expression data to identify MHC Class I and Class II genes, a total of 46 MHC loci were retained for analysis. Of these, 39 were differentially expressed among the three study species (FDR < 0.05). Hierarchical clustering of individuals revealed a pronounced difference in MHC expression profiles between mating systems, with the monogamous *P. californicus* appearing distinct from either of the polygynandrous study species (Figure 7a). Assessment of this clustering via bootstrapping and approximately unbiased (AU) p-value estimation generated two main clusters of animals that corresponded closely to mating system type; although visually distinct, these clusters were not statistically different (AU p-value < 0.95) (Figure 8a). In general, while the “MHC class I protein complex” was upregulated in the polygynandrous species (Table 3), expression levels for individual MHC genes did not follow the predicted pattern of greater expression in the polygynandrous species examined. Three MHC genes, however, displayed particularly pronounced differences as a function of mating system (where polygynandrous > monogamous): one H2 Class I histocompatibility D37α chain coding gene and two Class I histocompatibility A antigen α chains (Figures 7 and 9). Interestingly, we did not find differences in expression at the DQα locus—a common target in previous MHC studies (see gene 04 in Figure 7a; gene ID XM_006997389.2 in Table S2 of supporting information Appendix S1).

The tendency for MHC gene expression profiles to cluster by mating system did not, however, extend to more inclusive, transcriptome-level measures of gene expression (Figure 7b). Clustering analyses of our transcriptome data (immune and nonimmune genes) revealed strong support (AU p-value > 0.95) for a single large cluster of individuals that included members of all three study species (Figure 8b), with only a single *P. californicus* falling outside of this cluster. Thus, the association between gene expression and mating system appeared to be more salient for MHC loci, although

**FIGURE 7** Comparisons of gene expression levels for (a) MHC loci (*N* = 39) and (b) all genes examined (*N* = 14,624). Measures of expression are TMM-normalized logCPM (log counts per million); the scale for expression counts is shown in the upper left corner. In both panels, the x-axis is a dendrogram that clusters expression data by similarity between individuals; color coding for species is shown in the upper left. The y-axis clusters genes by similarities in expression profiles. The three loci showing increased expression in the polygynandrous species—relative to monogamous species—are indicated with asterisks at the bottom left of panel A. Gene descriptions for the numbered genes in panel A can be found in Table S2 of the supporting information Appendix S1.
increased sample sizes would improve our ability to evaluate these relationships statistically.

4 | DISCUSSION

Our analyses indicate that gene expression profiles in liver tissue differed significantly as a function of mating system, with distinct functional categories of genes being upregulated in the monogamous *P. californicus* compared to the polygynandrous *P. maniculatus* and *P. boylii*. This association with mating system was more pronounced than associations between gene expression and either habitat type (inland vs. coastal) or geographic region (northern vs. southern populations), suggesting that mating system was the best predictor of differences in liver gene expression in our study species. In particular, MHC genes tended to be upregulated in the two polygynandrous study species, as expected if members of these species are exposed to a greater number of pathogens due to their greater contact with conspecifics. These analyses differ from previous efforts to explore relationships between mating systems and MHC variation in that they (a) consider expression profiles rather than nucleotide or allelic variation, (b) include numerous expressed MHC loci, and (c) encompass replicate pairs of co-occurring monogamous and polygynandrous populations of *Peromyscus*. Accordingly, these analyses provide the strongest evidence to date that mating systems impact patterns of immunogenetic variation in natural populations of mammals.

Although our analyses revealed multiple lines of evidence indicating that mating system is an important determinant of gene expression profiles, the potential effects of other factors cannot be excluded. In particular, our data set does not allow us to distinguish fully between the effects of mating system and species identity. Future studies will benefit from including analyses of the monogamous *P. polionotus* and one or more of its sympatric, polygynandrous congeners. Given that *P. polionotus* and *P. californicus* appear to represent independent origins of monogamy within this genus (Turner et al., 2010), inclusion of these additional species will generate an important replicate data set regarding relationships between mating system and gene expression. Thus, while additional studies are needed to confirm the contribution of mating system to patterns of gene expression, our findings provide compelling evidence that expression of multiple genes, including functionally important immunogenes, differs between monogamous and polygynandrous species.

4.1 | Comparisons with previous studies

Previous analyses of MHC variation in *Peromyscus* have typically examined allelic- or nucleotide-level variation at one to a few MHC genes. These studies report high levels of DNA and protein-level diversity for MHC Class II loci in *P. maniculatus* (Richman, Herrera,
The apparent role of mating system in shaping the expression profiles of the study taxa. Future studies that explore this relationship in greater detail should generate important insights into interactions between mating system and immunogenetic variability in the genus *Peromyscus*.

Our analyses also differ from previous studies by focusing on patterns of gene expression: to the best of our knowledge, ours is one of the first studies to assess differences in MHC expression as a function of mating system in natural populations of animals. Expression profiles at MHC genes clearly differed between our monogamous and polygynandrous study species. In contrast, the distinctions between expression profiles for the two polygynandrous species were less pronounced. This outcome is intriguing given that *P. californicus* and *P. maniculatus* are more closely related to each other than to *P. boylii* (Bradley et al., 2007) and given that *P. maniculatus* and *P. boylii* tend to occupy coastal versus inland habitats, respectively. Accordingly, the absence of pronounced differences in MHC gene expression between the latter two species underscores the apparent role of mating system in shaping the expression profiles of the study taxa. Future studies that explore this relationship in greater detail should generate important insights into interactions among behavioral phenotypes and gene function (Bengston et al., 2018).

### 4.2 Functional implications of differences in immunogene expression

Genes identified here as being highly correlated with mating system included a variety of immune-related loci. For example, MHC Class I genes that code for proteins responsible for the binding and presentation of foreign antigens to the immune system (Paulsson, 2004) were upregulated in the two polygynandrous species examined. Further, the cellular component GO category “MHC class I protein complex” and the molecular function GO categories “calcium ion binding” and “heme binding” were enriched for genes upregulated in the polygynandrous species. Both calcium and heme play a variety of interesting and essential roles in immune system processes; Ca²⁺ is involved in controlling antibody formation (Diamantstein & Odenwald, 1974), and heme in the activation of innate immune receptors (Dutra & Bozza, 2014) and the starving of microbes (Nairz et al., 2018). In contrast, all of the most interconnected genes in the brown module were upregulated in the monogamous species, including genes associated with removal of foreign biotic materials from cells (mdr1 locus; Ho, Moodie, & Satsangi, 2003; Hoffmann & Kroemer, 2004) and metabolizing toxic compounds (P450 2C11 or CYP2C11 locus; Nebert, & Gonzalez, 1987). This difference in the functional gene categories that were upregulated in the polygynandrous versus the monogamous study species raises the intriguing possibility that how animals respond to immune challenges differs with mating system. Studies that explore the specific functional roles of genes that are up- versus downregulated in relation to mating system should generate new insights into the selective pressures acting on immunologically active genes.

### 4.3 Factors affecting immunogenetic diversity

The data presented here suggest that mating systems can impact patterns of gene expression in free-living populations of mammals. Although our analyses identified mating system as the most important predictor of differences in gene expression among our study animals, we cannot exclude the possibility that other behavioral, ecological, and demographic traits are also important. For example, population density may impact pathogen exposure, with subsequent effects on immune system genetics. In European bison, higher population density is associated with increased nematode infection (Radwan et al., 2010) and it is likely that this increase in active infections leads to increased expression, selection, and diversity of MHC genes over time. As such, studies of behaviorally similar species of *Peromyscus* that differ with regard to key demographic, ecological, or life history traits (e.g., population density, mesic vs. desert habitats, litter size) can be used to explore the effects of the latter parameters on gene expression. By combining comparative field data from phylogenetically appropriate subsets of congeners with analyses of transcriptomic variability, it should be possible to generate a considerably more comprehensive understanding of how different aspects of the biology of natural populations of vertebrates contribute to differences in immunogenetic variation at the nucleotide, allelic, and gene expression levels.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a National Science Foundation Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant (NSF-DDIG: IOS-1502063), a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (NSF-GRFP), a National Science Foundation Bridge to Doctorate Fellowship (NSF-LSAMP B2D), a UC Berkeley Chancellor’s Fellowship, and a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Research Fellowship in Biology (NSF-PRFB: DBI-1811008) to Jesyka Meléndez-Rosa. The work was further supported by the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology at UC Berkeley, the American Society of Mammalogists, and the University of California Natural Reserve System. This work used the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory at UC Berkeley, supported by NIH S10 OD018174 Instrumentation Grant.
AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
Meléndez-Rosa designed and performed the research; Bi contributed analytical tools; Meléndez-Rosa and Bi analyzed the data; and Meléndez-Rosa and Lacey wrote the paper.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
BAM files and associated references are available on the NIH SRA data repository (BioProject: PRJNA508392). P. maniculatus functional GO annotations and additional metadata are available on the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9883b80.

ORCID
Jesyka Meléndez-Rosa https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6858-2508

REFERENCES
Aljanabi, S. M., & Martinez, I. (1997). Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 25(22), 4692–4693. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.22.4692
Barabási, A.-L., & Bonabeau, E. (2003). Scale-free networks. *Science*, 284(5436), 163–171. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064977
Bengston, S. E., Dahan, R. A., Donaldson, Z., Phelps, S. M., van Oers, K., Mammal societies. *Evolutionary Biology*, 7(3), 236–246. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12264-014-0151-z
Birdsall, D. A., & Nash, D. (1973). Occurrence of successful multiple insemination of females in natural populations of deer mice (Peromyscus maniculatus). *Evolution*, 27(1), 106. https://doi.org/10.2307/2407124
Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
Bradley, R. D., Durish, N. D., Rogers, D. S., Miller, J. R., Engstrom, M. D., & Kilpatrick, C. W. (2007). Toward a molecular phylogeny for Peromyscus: Evidence from mitochondrial cytochrome-b sequences. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 88(5), 1146–1159. https://doi.org/10.1644/06-MAMM-A-342R.1
Carlson, M. (2017). GO.db: A set of annotation maps describing the entire Gene Ontology (Version 3.5.0) [R package].
Clutton-Brock, T. H. (1989). Mammalian mating systems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 236(1285), 339–372.
Clutton-Brock, T. H. (2016). *Mammal societies*. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
Diamantstein, T., & Odenwald, M. V. (1974). Control of the immune response in vitro by calcium ions: I. The antagonistic action of calcium ions on cell proliferation and on cell differentiation. *Immunology*, 27(4), 531.
Dixon, P. (2003). VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 14(6), 927. https://doi.org/10.1658/1100-9233(2003)014[0927:VAPORF]2.0.CO;2
Dutra, F. F., & Bozza, M. T. (2014). Heme on innate immunity and inflammation. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 5, 115. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2014.00115
Eames, K. T. D., & Keeling, M. J. (2004). Monogamous networks and the spread of sexually transmitted diseases. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 189(2), 115–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2004.02.003
Emlen, S. T., & Oring, L. W. (1977). Ecology, sexual selection, and the evolution of mating systems. *Science*, 197(4300), 215–223.
Foltz, D. W. (1981). Genetic evidence for long-term monogamy in a small rodent, *Peromyscus polionotus*. *The American Naturalist*, 117(5), 665–675. https://doi.org/10.1086/283751
Gleason, L. U., & Burton, R. S. (2015). RNA-seq reveals regional differences in transcriptome response to heat stress in the marine snail *Chlorostoma funebralis*. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(3), 610–627. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13047
Gott, S., Garcia-Gomez, J. M., Terol, J., Williams, T. D., Nagaraj, S. H., Nueda, M. J., ... Conesa, A. (2008). High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 36(10), 3420–3435. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn176
Gubernick, D. J., & Teferi, T. (2000). Adaptive significance of male parental care in a monogamous mammal. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 267(1439), 147–150.
Gubernick, D. J., Wright, S. L., & Brown, R. E. (1993). The significance of father’s presence for offspring survival in the monogamous California mouse, *Peromyscus californicus*. *Animal Behaviour*, 46(3), 539–546. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1993.1221
Ho, G. T., Moodie, F. M., & Satsangi, J. (2003). Multidrug resistance 1 gene (P-glycoprotein 170): an important determinant in gastrointestinal disease? *Gut*, 52(5), 759–766.
Hoffmann, U., & Kroemer, H. K. (2004). The ABC Transporters MDR1 and MRP2: Multiple Functions in Disposition of Xenobiotics and Drug Resistance. *Drug Metabolism Reviews*, 36(3–4), 669–701. https://doi.org/10.1081/DRM-200033473
Hu, Z., Mellor, J., Wu, J., Yamada, T., Holloway, D., & DeLisi, C. (2005). VisANT: Data-integrating visual framework for biological networks and modules. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33(Web Server), W352–W357. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki431
Jameson, E. W. Jr, & Peeters, H. J. (2004). *Mammals of California* (Revised Edition). Berkeley and Los Angeles, CA: University of California Press.
Kalcounis-Rueppell, M. C., & Spoon, T. R. (2009). *Gut* (2002), 2114–2116. https://doi.org/10.1093/gut/gjr236
Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., ... Drummond, A. (2012). Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(12), 1647–1649. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
Kim, D., Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2015). HISAT: A fast spliced alignment with low memory requirements. *Nature Methods*, 12(4), 357–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3317
King, J. A. (1968). *Biological of Peromyscus (Rodentia)* (Special Publication No. 2). Stillwater, OK: The American Society of Mammalogists.
Kleinman, D. (1977). Monogamy in Mammals. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 52(1), 39–69. https://doi.org/10.1086/409721
Klein, J. (1986). *Natural history of the major histocompatibility complex*. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.
Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9(1), 559. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559
Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, 9(4), 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, 9(4), 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, 9(4), 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., .... 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics, 25(16), 2078-2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

MacManes, M. D. (2011). Promiscuity in mice is associated with increased vaginal bacterial diversity. Naturewissenschaften, 98(11), 951-960. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-011-0848-2

Munshi-South, J., & Richardson, J. L. (2017). Peromyscus transcriptomics: Understanding adaptation and gene expression plasticity within and between species of deer mice. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 61, 131-139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.08.011

Nairz, M., Dichtl, S., Schroll, A., Haschka, D., Tymoszuk, P., Theurl, I., & Weiss, G. (2018). Iron and innate antimicrobial immunity—Depriving the pathogen, defending the host. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 48, 118-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2018.03.007

Nebert, D. W., & Gonzalez, F. J. (1987). P450 Genes: Structure, evolution, and regulation. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 56, 945–993.

Pertea, M., Kim, D., Pertea, G. M., Leek, J. T., & Salzberg, S. L. (2016). Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. Nature Protocols, 11(9), 1650–1667. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.095

Sikes, R. S. & the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists (2016). 2016 Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research and education. Journal of Mammalogy, 97(3), 663–688. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyw078

Warnes, G. R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Liaw, A., … Venables, B. (2016). gplots: Various R programming tools for plotting data (Version 3.0.1). Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplotS

Wright, S. (1949). The genetical structure of populations. Annals of Human Genetics, 15(1), 323–354.

Young, M. D., Wakefield, M. J., Smyth, G. K., & Oshlack, A. (2010). Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: Accounting for selection bias. Genome Biology, 11(2), R14. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-2-r14

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.