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In this minireview article, the transverse momentum spectra of final-state particles produced in high-energy hadron-hadron, hadron-nucleus, and nucleus-nucleus collisions described by the multisource thermal model at the quark or parton level are summarized. In the model, the participant or contributor quarks or partons are considered to contribute together to the transverse momentum distribution of final-state particles with different modes of contributions. The concrete mode of contribution is generally determined by the difference of azimuthal angles of contributor partons in their emissions.

1. Introduction

In high-energy hadron-hadron, hadron-nucleus, and nucleus-nucleus collisions, abundant data measured in experiments reflect colorful mechanisms of particle production and system evolution [1–3]. As an important issue, the transverse momentum spectra contain the information of the excitation degree of emission source and show the similarity, commonality, and universality in particle productions [4–11]. The multisource thermal model [12–16] proposed by us is successful in describing the distributions of some quantities such as multiplicities, isotopic cross-sections, pseudorapidities, transverse energies, azimuthal angles, and transverse momenta. In the model, the nucleons or nucleon clusters were regarded as the multisource and the Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics was used in describing a given source.

The multisource thermal model was proposed according to the single-, two-, and three-fireball models [17–24], as well as the multisource ideal-gas model or the cylinder model [25–28]. Recently, the Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics used in the model was replaced by the Tsallis statistics, and the multisource of fireballs (nucleons or nucleon clusters) was replaced by the multisource of participant or contributor quarks or partons [29–31]. Here, the single-component distribution from the Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics is not enough to fit the transverse momentum spectra. Two- or three-component distribution is needed, which results in the temperature fluctuations and is covered by the Tsallis distribution with less parameters [32].

It should be noted that in the multisource thermal model, the sources changed from fireballs to participant partons means that the smaller contributor units at the deeper level are used. This is an important progress or improvement in the viewpoint of the model. A fireball may contain lots of partons, and the partons are the underlying units of collisions. The latest version of the model was tested firstly by the transverse momentum spectra of final-state particles [29–31]. Some quantities such as the temperature of parton source and the average transverse flow velocity of partons can be extracted from describing the transverse momentum spectra. In view of the latest progress of the model, it is necessary to review and summarize it in some way.

The multisource thermal model is a static thermodynamical and statistical model. Although the dynamical evolution process of the interacting system cannot be described by the model, some useful quantities can be extracted from the comparisons of the model with experimental data. The dependences of the concerned quantities
on collision energy, event centrality, system size, particle rapidity, particle mass, and quark mass can be obtained. The sudden changes of these dependencies are expected to relate to the formation of quark-gluon plasma (QGP) or quark matter [31, 33–38]. It is believed that QGP was produced in a hot and dense environment formed in the experiments at the relativistic heavy ion collider (RHIC) [39–44] and the large hadron collider (LHC) [45–48]. As the strongly interacting partonic medium formed at the RHIC and LHC, QGP was predicted by the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) theory which describes the strong interactions [49–53].

This minireview article will summarize the method for describing the transverse momentum spectra of final-state particles produced in high-energy collisions in the framework of multisource thermal model at the parton level. The contributions of the contributor partons are considered in different ways where different azimuthal differences are used. The azimuthal difference may be various values in $0, \pi /2$ in general or in particular 0 or $\pi$ if the contributions of two partons are parallel or $\pi /2$ if the contributions of two partons are perpendicular. Although the azimuthal difference may be particular value, the azimuthal angles are independent and there is no sorting for them.

The rest of this article is structured as follows. The physics picture and formalism expression of the multisource thermal model at the parton level are described in Section 2. Implementation and discussion are given in Section 3. In Section 4, the summary and conclusion of this article are given.

2. Picture and Formalism

In high-energy hadron-hadron, hadron-nucleus, and nucleus-nucleus collisions, many final-state particles are produced in collision process and measured in experiments. Meanwhile, a few fragments which are nucleons or nucleon clusters from the spectator fragmentation are produced in the final state in hadron-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions. In collisions at very high energies, a few jets are produced, which consists of many particles. In most cases, final-state particles are main products in high-energy collisions.

To describe the production of final-state particles, it is natural that a single-fireball is assumed to form in the collisions of projectile and target hadrons (or nuclei) at a few GeV which is not too high energy. In the rest frame of the fireball, one may assume that the particles are emitted isotropically, as discussed in the multisource thermal model [12–16]. However, the particles are anisotropic in experiments. Then, the single-fireball is needed to extend to a two-fireball [17, 18] in which one is from the projectile hadron (or nucleus) and the other one is from the target hadron (or nucleus) or a three-fireball [19–24] which consists of the projectile, central, and target fireballs. Although the particles are isotropic in the rest frame of each fireball, the experimental spectra can be anisotropic due to the motion of the fireball.

Further, the three-fireball is extended to a thermalized cylinder or fire-cylinder [54–57] which is formed due to the penetrations of projectile and target hadrons (or nuclei) in the collisions at higher energy (dozens of GeV and TeV). The single-cylinder can be extended to a two-cylinder [26, 27] in which one is from the projectile hadron (or nucleus) and the other one is from the target hadron (or nucleus). The two cylinders may overlap or separate each other. In the rapidity space, the emission points with the same rapidity in the cylinder(s) consist of a large emission source. In the rest frame of the considered large emission source, the particles are assumed to emit isotropically.

Generally, a given particle is produced from the interactions of two or three contributor partons. Concretely, a meson (baryon) is produced from the interactions of two (three) constituent or contributor quarks, while a lepton is produced from the interactions of two contributor quarks or gluons. Here, the additive quark model [58–62] is considered for part case, in which the meson (baryon) consists of two (three) constituent quarks within the model, but these are not numbers of quarks producing meson (baryon) via their (quarks) interactions which are additionally considered in the multisource thermal model [12–16]. In most cases, more partons may take part in the interactions. However, only two or three partons take part in the main role in the production of a given particle. Of course, for a tetraquark or pentaquark state, one naturally considers four or five constituent quarks. As an approximate treatment, two contributor heavy quarks may also produce a multiquark state or an arbitrary jet.

Let $p_T^x$ and $p_{Tz}(p_{Tz})$ denote the transverse momentum of given particle and the contribution amount of the first (second) parton to $p_T$, respectively. The probability density function obeyed by $p_T^x$ and $p_{Tz}(p_{Tz})$ are $f(p_T^x)$ and $f_1(p_{Tz})$ ($f_2(p_{Tz})$), respectively, where the variables such as the temperature parameter $T$ and entropy index $q$ in the Tsallis statistics are not listed in the functions for convenience. One may study the relation between $p_T^x$ and $p_{Tz}(p_{Tz})$ as well as $f(p_T^x)$ and $f_1(p_{Tz})$ ($f_2(p_{Tz})$) according to the difference between the azimuthal angle $\phi_1$ of the first parton and the azimuthal angle $\phi_2$ of the second parton in the emission.

We would like to explain the azimuthal angle in the right-handed Cartesian coordinate system $O-x'y'z'$ in detail. For clarity, Figure 1 shows the scheme of kinematic variables in the transverse plane $x'O'y'$ where the beam direction which is along the $Oz$ axis points from the inside to the outside and the reaction plane is $xOz$. Here, $\phi_1(\phi_2)$ is the angle of vector $p_{Tz}(p_{Tz})$ measured with respect to the $Ox$ axis in the transverse plane $xOy$ which is perpendicular to the beam direction $Oz$ axis.

In the following text, a general case and two particular cases are discussed in Sections 2.1–2.3 successively. Then, the connection of $p_T$ to the rapidity $y$ and pseudorapidity $\eta$ is discussed in Section 2.4. For each issue, the basic method and formalism are presented.

2.1. General Case: Various Azimuths. For any difference between $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$, the analytic relation between $f(p_T)$ and
be noted that both $\phi$ expressions of Equations (3) and (4) are natural. It should be noted that the beam direction which is along the $Oz$ axis points from the inside to the outside. The reaction plane is the plane $xOz$, and the transverse plane is the plane $xOy$ which is perpendicular to the beam direction. In the figure, $\phi_{1}(\phi_{2})$ is the angle of vector $p_{11}(p_{12})$ measured with respect to the $Ox$ axis in the plane $xOy$.

Because isotropic azimuth obeys the uniform distribution, $f_{\phi}(\phi) = 1/(2\pi)$, in $[0,2\pi]$ in the transverse plane, the expressions of Equations (3) and (4) are natural. It should be noted that both $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are independent random numbers distributed evenly in $[0, 2\pi]$ due to the fact that they come from the independent random numbers $r_{3}$ and $r_{4}$ distributed evenly in $[0, 1]$, respectively. There is no sorting for $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ when they are performed through Equations (3) and (4), respectively. Different lower footmarks are used for the two azimuths due to different values. In fact, Equations (3) and (4) indeed describe isotropic azimuth, respectively, if $\int_{0}^{2\pi} f_{\phi}(\phi) d\phi' = r_{3}$ and $\int_{0}^{2\pi} f_{\phi}(\phi) d\phi' = r_{4}$ are solved. In the Monte Carlo calculations in the multisource thermal model [12–16], $\phi$, but not $\cos \phi$, is used because both $\cos \phi$ and $\sin \phi$ can be used more easily.

The two components $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$, as well as $p_{T}$ itself, can be given by

$$p_{x} = p_{11} \cos \phi_{1} + p_{12} \cos \phi_{2}, \quad (5)$$

$$p_{y} = p_{11} \sin \phi_{1} + p_{12} \sin \phi_{2}, \quad (6)$$

$$p_{T} = \sqrt{p_{x}^2 + p_{y}^2} = \sqrt{p_{11}^2 + p_{12}^2 + 2p_{11}p_{12} \cos |\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}|}. \quad (7)$$

Then, the probability density function, $(1/N) dN/dp_{T}$, of $p_{T}$ can be obtained by the statistics, where $N$ denotes the number of particles. For the frequently used experimental spectrum, $(1/2\pi p_{T}) d^{2}N/dp_{T} dy$, and other forms, the statistical method is also available to obtain the forms correspondingly.

The above method can be easily extended to the case of three contributor partons. The quantities $f_{4}(p_{13})$, $f_{5}(p_{13})$, and $\phi_{3}$ related to the third component can be obtained by the same method. For the case of more partons, the method is also applicable. What one does is considering the third or more components in the expression of $p_{x}$ and $p_{T}$. In fact, including the third parton, one has more equations

$$\int_{0}^{r_{0}} f_{3}(p_{13}) dp_{13} < r_{5} \leq \int_{0}^{r_{0}} f_{5}(p_{13}) dp_{13}, \quad (8)$$

$$\phi_{3} = 2\pi r_{6}, \quad (9)$$

where $r_{5,6}$ are random numbers distributed evenly in $[0, 1]$ due to the requirement in the Monte Carlo method. The two components $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ are improved by

$$p_{x} = p_{11} \cos \phi_{1} + p_{12} \cos \phi_{2} + p_{13} \cos \phi_{3}, \quad (10)$$

$$p_{y} = p_{11} \sin \phi_{1} + p_{12} \sin \phi_{2} + p_{13} \sin \phi_{3}, \quad (11)$$

in which one more item is added. One has

$$p_{T} = \sqrt{p_{x}^2 + p_{y}^2} = (p_{11}^2 + p_{12}^2 + p_{13}^2 + 2p_{11}p_{12} \cos |\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}|) ^{1/2} + 2p_{11}p_{13} \cos |\phi_{1} - \phi_{3}| + 2p_{12}p_{13} \cos |\phi_{2} - \phi_{3}|. \quad (12)$$

The case of more partons can be conveniently considered by the frequently used method of vector synthesis.

2.2. Particular Case: Parallel Transverse Momenta. For a particular case of $\phi_{1} - \phi_{2} = 0$, one has $p_{T} = p_{11} + p_{12}$. The Monte Carlo method discussed above is naturally applicable. In addition, the analytic relation between $f(p_{T})$ and $f_{1}(p_{11})$ ($f_{2}(p_{12})$) is easy to obtain [29, 30]. In fact, $f(p_{T})$ is the convolution of $f_{1}(p_{11})$ and $f_{2}(p_{12})$. One has

$$f(p_{T}) = \int_{0}^{p_{T}} f_{1}(p_{11}) f_{2}(p_{T} - p_{11}) dp_{11} \quad (13)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{p_{T}} f_{2}(p_{12}) f_{1}(p_{T} - p_{12}) dp_{12}.$$

If the case of three contributor partons with the same azimuthal angle is considered, one has $p_{T} = p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{13}$. Except the Monte Carlo method, the convolution method...
is also useable. One has the convolution of \( f_1(p_{t1}) \) and \( f_2(p_{t2}) \) to be

\[
f_{12}(p_{t12}) = \int_0^{p_{t1}\text{max}} f_1(p_{t1}) f_2(p_{t12} - p_{t1}) dp_{t1} = \int_0^{p_{t2}\text{max}} f_2(p_{t2}) f_1(p_{t12} - p_{t2}) dp_{t2}.
\] (14)

The convolution of \( f_{12}(p_{t12}) \) and the third function \( f_3(p_{t3}) \) is

\[
f(p_{tT}) = \int_0^{p_{tT}\text{max}} f_{12}(p_{t12}) f_3(p_{tT} - p_{t12}) dp_{t12} = \int_0^{p_{tT}\text{max}} f_3(p_{t3}) f_{12}(p_{tT} - p_{t3}) dp_{t3}.
\] (15)

The case of more partons can be considered by the convolution method step by step [29, 30].

2.3. Particular Case: Vertical Transverse Momenta. For a particular case of \( |\phi_1 - \phi_2| = \pi/2 \), one has \( p_{T} = \sqrt{p_{t1}^2 + p_{t2}^2} \). The Monte Carlo method discussed above is naturally applicable. In addition, the analytic relation between \( f(p_{tT}) \) and \( f_1(p_{t1}) (f_3(p_{t3})) \) is easy to obtain [31, 63, 64]. Let \( \phi \) (or \( \pi/2 - \phi \)) denote the azimuthal angle of the vector \( p_{tT} \) relative to the vector \( p_{t1} \) (or the vector \( p_{t2} \)). One has the united probability density function of \( p_{T} \) and \( \phi \) to be

\[
f_{p_{T},\phi}(p_{T}, \phi) = p_{T} f_{1,2}(p_{t1}, p_{t2}) = p_{T} f_1(p_{t1}) f_2(p_{t2}) = p_{T} f_1(p_{T} \cos \phi) f_2(p_{T} \sin \phi),
\] (16)

where \( f_{1,2}(p_{t1}, p_{t2}) \) is the united probability density function of \( p_{t1} \) and \( p_{t2} \). By integrating \( \phi \), one has

\[
f(p_{T}) = \int_0^{2\pi} f_{p_{T},\phi}(p_{T}, \phi) d\phi = p_{T} \int_0^{2\pi} f_1(p_{T} \cos \phi) f_2(p_{T} \sin \phi) d\phi.
\] (17)

For the case of three partons, if the synthesis of \( p_{t1} \) and \( p_{t2} \) is coincidentally perpendicular to the vector \( p_{t13} \), the method of united probability density function is still applicable. Let the vector \( p_{t13} \) denote the synthesis of \( p_{t1} \) and \( p_{t2} \), \( \phi_{12} \) denote the azimuthal angle of \( p_{t12} \) relative to \( p_{t1} \), and \( \phi' \) denote the azimuthal angle of \( p_{T} \) relative to \( p_{t12} \). The united probability density function of \( p_{t12} \) and \( \phi_{12} \) is

\[
f_{p_{t12},\phi_{12}}(p_{t12}, \phi_{12}) = n_{t12} f_{1,2}(p_{t1}, p_{t2}) = n_{t12} f_1(p_{t1}) f_2(p_{t2}) = n_{t12} f_1(p_{T} \cos \phi_{12}) f_2(p_{T} \sin \phi_{12}).
\] (18)

By integrating \( \phi_{12} \), one has

\[
f_{12}(p_{t12}) = \int_0^{2\pi} f_{p_{t12},\phi_{12}}(p_{t12}, \phi_{12}) d\phi_{12} = \int_0^{2\pi} f_1(p_{t12} \cos \phi_{12}) f_2(p_{t12} \sin \phi_{12}) d\phi_{12}.
\] (19)

The united probability density function of \( p_{T} \) and \( \phi' \) is

\[
f_{p_{T},\phi'}(p_{T}, \phi') = p_{T} f_{12}(p_{t12}, p_{t13}) = p_{T} f_{12}(p_{t12}) f_3(p_{t13}) = p_{T} f_{12}(p_{T} \cos \phi') f_3(p_{T} \sin \phi'),
\] (20)

where \( f_{12}(p_{t12}, p_{t13}) \) is the united probability density function of \( p_{t12} \) and \( p_{t13} \). By integrating \( \phi' \), one has

\[
f(p_{T}) = \int_0^{2\pi} f_{p_{T},\phi'}(p_{T}, \phi') d\phi' = p_{T} \int_0^{2\pi} f_3(p_{T} \cos \phi') f_3(p_{T} \sin \phi') d\phi'.
\] (21)

The case of more partons can be considered by the method of united probability density function step by step [31, 63, 64].

2.4. Connection of \( p_{T} \) to Pseudorapidity. In the rapidity space, the projectile cylinder is assumed to stay in the rapidity range \( [y_{T\text{min}}, y_{T\text{max}}] \), and the target cylinder stays in the rapidity range \( [y_{P\text{min}}, y_{P\text{max}}] \). Figure 2 gives the relative positions of the four rapidities. It assumes that the projectile (target) comes from the left (right) side and the projectile (target) cylinder appears on the right (left) side. If \( y_{P\text{min}} < y_{T\text{max}} \), the two cylinders overlap. If \( y_{P\text{min}} > y_{T\text{max}} \), there is a gap between the two cylinders. If \( y_{P\text{min}} = y_{T\text{max}} \), the two cylinders are connected into one. For symmetrical collisions, one has the following relations: \( y_{P\text{max}} - y_{P\text{min}} = y_{T\text{max}} - y_{T\text{min}} \), \( y_{P\text{min}} = -y_{T\text{max}} \), and \( y_{P\text{max}} = -y_{T\text{min}} \). These relations reduce the number of parameters.

In the Monte Carlo method, let \( R_{1,2} \) denote random numbers distributed evenly in \( [0,1] \). One has the rapidity \( y_x \) of the emission source distributed evenly in \( [y_{P\text{min}}, y_{P\text{max}}] \) and \( [y_{T\text{min}}, y_{T\text{max}}] \) to be

\[
y_x = y_{P\text{min}} + (y_{P\text{max}} - y_{P\text{min}}) R_1, \quad \text{or} \quad y_x = y_{T\text{min}} + (y_{T\text{max}} - y_{T\text{min}}) R_2, \quad \text{or} \quad y_x = y_{T\text{min}} + (y_{T\text{max}} - y_{T\text{min}}) R_2,
\] (22)

respectively.

In the source rest frame, in the case of isotropic emission, the probability density function, \( f_{\theta'}(\theta') \), of the emission angle, \( \theta' \), of the considered particle obeys the half sine function, \( (1/2) \sin \theta' \), which results in

\[
\theta' = 2 \arcsin \sqrt{R_3},
\] (24)
where $R_j$ denotes random number distributed evenly in [0, 1]. Then, one has the momentum $p’$, longitudinal momentum $p'_z$, and energy $E'$ to be

\begin{align}
 p' &= p_T \csc \theta', \\
p'_z &= p_T \cot \theta', \\
 E' &= \sqrt{p'^2 + m_0^2},
\end{align}

where $m_0$ is the rest mass of the considered particle.

The rapidity $y'$ in the source rest frame is

\begin{equation}
 y' = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{E' + p'_z}{E' - p'_z} \right).
\end{equation}

The rapidity $y$ measured in experiments is

\begin{equation}
 y = y' + y_x.
\end{equation}

The rapidity distribution, $(1/N)dN/dy$, can be obtained by the statistics.

In terms of the pseudorapidity, $\eta$, measured in experiments, one needs the longitudinal momentum

\begin{equation}
 p_z = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_0^2} \sinh y.
\end{equation}

Then, the emission angle is

\begin{equation}
 \theta = \arctan \frac{p_T}{p_z},
\end{equation}

and the pseudorapidity is

\begin{equation}
 \eta = -\ln \tan \frac{\theta}{2}.
\end{equation}

The pseudorapidity distribution, $(1/N)dN/d\eta$, can be obtained by the statistics. Here, $\eta$ and $y$ and their distributions are obtained, respectively.

In particular, if the analytical expression, $f_{y'}(y')$, of the probability density function for $y'$ in the source rest frame is available, one has the analytical expression, $f_y(y)$, of the probability density function for $y$ in experiments to be

\begin{equation}
 f_y(y) = \frac{k}{y_{p_{\text{max}}} - y_{p_{\text{min}}} - y_{y'_{\text{max}}} + y_{y'_{\text{min}}}} \int_{y_{y'_{\text{min}}} - y_{y'_{\text{max}}}}^{y_{y'_{\text{max}}} + y_{y'_{\text{min}}}} f_{y'}(y - y_x)dy_x.
\end{equation}

where $k(1 - k)$ denotes the contribution fraction of the projectile (target) cylinder.

### 3. Implementation and Discussion

In the general case and the two particular cases discussed above, one needs firstly to choose $f_1(p_{i1}), f_2(p_{i2}),$ and $f_3(p_{i3})$ for contributor partons. The three functions should be the same in form with the same or different parameter values. To find a suitable function, one has tried many attempts. Finally, one finds that the Tsallis function is a possible candidate, though it has different forms in the literature, including in high-energy physics [65–69]. In particular, the revised Tsallis-like function is a suitable choice according to our recent attempts [29, 30]. Then, one has

\begin{equation}
 f_i(p_{ii}) = C_i p_{ii}^{a_i} \left[ 1 - \frac{1 - q}{T} (m_i - m_{0i}) \right]^{q(1-q)},
\end{equation}

where the subscript $i$ is for the $i$-th contributor parton, $m_i = \sqrt{p_{ii}^2 + m_{0i}^2}$ is the transverse mass, $m_{0i}$ is the empirical constituent mass, $T$ is the effective temperature, $q$ is the entropy index, $a_i$ is the revised index, and $C_i$ is the normalization constant. The power index $q/(1 - q)$ is used to cater to the consistency of thermodynamics from the probabilities of microstates and the maximum entropy principle.

In Equation (34), the entropy index $q$ describes the departure degree of the system from the equilibrium or the degree of nonequilibrium of the system. Generally, $q = 1$ corresponds to the equilibrium, and $1 < q < 1.25$ means an approximate equilibrium. As an insensitive quantity, $q$ is not too large even at very high energy, which means the approximate equilibrium of the system. Empirically, for both the quarks and gluons, $m_{0i}$ is regarded as the constituent masses of quarks, but not other mass such as the bare or the effective quark mass. For light (heavy) particles, $m_{0i}$ is taken to be the constituent masses of light (heavy) quarks. For various jets, $m_{0i}$ is taken to be the constituent masses of heavy quarks. The specific quarks (with different $m_{0i}$) depend on the types of particles and jets, which is used in our recent work [29–31, 70].

The above revised Tsallis-like function is possibly to be revised again for different cases. For example, for the particular case of parallel transverse momenta, it is suitable. For the particular case of vertical transverse momenta [31, 63], one has another revision.

\begin{equation}
 f_i(p_{ii}) = C_i m_{ii}^{a_i} \left[ 1 - \frac{1 - q}{m_i - m_{0i}} \right]^{q(1-q)}.
\end{equation}
The two revisions are empirical expressions. The first revision can be used in the second particular case approximately. Meanwhile, the second revision can be used in the first particular case approximately.

The above concrete expressions of \( f_i(p_{t_i}) \) are for midrapidity (mid-\( \gamma_i = 0 \)) only. Meanwhile, the chemical potential (\( \mu_i \)) is not included. To include nonmid-\( \gamma_i \) and nonzero \( \mu_i \), one may use \( m_{t_i} \cosh y_i - \mu_i - m_{0i} \) to replace \( m_{t_i} - m_{0i} \) expeditiously and perform an integral for \( y_i \) from the minimum to maximum \( y_i \). If the range from the minimum to maximum \( y_i \) does not cover the mid-\( y_i \), one may shift the range to cover the mid-\( y_i \) simply. This performance is to exclude the contribution of directed and longitudinal motion of the emission source from the temperature parameter.

The parameter \( T \) is called the effective temperature, but not the (physical) temperature, due to the fact that the contribution of flow effect is not excluded. To dissociate the contributions of thermal motion and flow effect related to \( i \)-th contributor parton, one may use an alternative method in which the intercept in the linear relation of \( T \) versus \( m_{t_i} \) is regarded as the kinetic freeze-out temperature, and the slope in the linear relation of average \( p_{t_i}((p_{t_i})) \) versus average moving mass (\( m_{t_i} \)) or average energy (\( E_i \)) in the source rest frame is regarded as the average transverse flow velocity [71–76].

The above alternative method of intercept-slope works well at the particle level. It does not work well at the parton level due to limited type and undefined mass of partons. For example, in many cases, one has only one or two types of partons available in the analysis, and the masses of up and down quarks are almost the same. In addition, the mass of gluon has no strict definition in the analysis, though one may regard it as the constituent mass of light quarks approximately if needed. These limited type and undefined mass of partons result in the application of the alternative method of intercept-slope not to be on the right way.

To obtain the kinetic freeze-out temperature \( T_0 \) and the average transverse flow velocity (\( \beta_t \)) at the parton level, one may perform a Lorentz-like transformation for \( p_{t_i} \) and \( m_{t_i} \) in the concrete expressions of \( f_i(p_{t_i}) \). For clarity, \( p_{t_i}, m_{t_i}, \) and \( f_i(p_{t_i}) \) in Equations (34) and (35) are substituted by \( p'_{t_i}, m'_{t_i}, \) and \( f'(p'_{t_i}) \), respectively. One has the transformations.

\[
|p'_{t_i}| = \langle \gamma_i \rangle |p_{t_i} - m_{t_i}(\beta_i)|, \tag{36}
\]

\[
m'_{t_i} = \langle \gamma_i \rangle (m_{t_i} - p_{t_i}(\beta_i)), \tag{37}
\]

where \( \langle \gamma_i \rangle = 1/\sqrt{1 - (\beta_i)^2} \) [77–80] is the Lorentz-like factor. It should be noted that the Lorentz-like, but not the Lorentz, transformation or factor is called due to the fact that \( (\beta_i) \) is used, but not \( \beta_i \). The absolute value \( |p_{t_i} - m_{t_i}(\beta_i)| \) is used due to \( p'_{t_i} \) being positive and \( p_{t_i} - m_{t_i}(\beta_i) \) being possibly negative in low-\( p_{t_i} \) region. After the conversion, one has

\[
f_i(p_{t_i}) = f_i'(p'_{t_i}) \left| \frac{dp'_{t_i}}{dp_{t_i}} \right| = f_i'((\gamma_i) |p_{t_i} - m_{t_i}(\beta_i)|) \frac{m_{t_i} - p_{t_i}(\beta_i)}{m_{t_i}}, \tag{38}
\]

because of the probability conservation, where \( f_i'(p'_{t_i}) \) is given by Equations (34) and (35) due to the substitution before the conversion. After the conversion, the parameter \( T \) in the concrete expressions of \( f_i(p_{t_i}) \) is the kinetic freeze-out temperature \( T_0 \).

The Monte Carlo method is suitable to the general case where the differences between azimuthal angles are various. However, the calculated results have no value or have large fluctuations in high-\( p_T \) region, even the total number of simulated particles is very large. One needs to improve the method of simulated calculation, for example, using the piecewise simulation for the low- and high-\( p_T \) regions, respectively. By contrary, the analytical method suited to the parallel or perpendicular situation describes the spectra in whole \( p_T \) region smoothly.

Our recent studies [29–31, 70] show that the convolution method for the parallel case is easier to fit the wide \( p_T \) spectra. A two-component function is needed for the wide \( p_T \) spectra if the method of united probability density function for the perpendicular case is used. The Monte Carlo method for the general case seems more reasonable, though more computing resources are needed. According to the fitting experience, to fit the spectra in wide \( p_T \) range, the convolution method for the parallel case is more convenient.

As an example of the application of the multisource thermal model, the \( p_T \) spectra (the invariant cross-section), \( E \, d^3\sigma/dp^3 \), of various hadrons with given combinations and decay channels produced in proton-proton (p+p) collisions at center-of-mass energy of 200 GeV are displayed in Figure 3 which is cited from ref. [29]. In panel (a), the symbols represent the experimental data measured by the PHENIX Collaboration [71], and the dotted and dashed curves are the fitted results by using the revised Tsallis distribution (with the power index 1/(1 – \( q \)) which has a slight difference from Equation (34)) and the convolution, respectively, where \( \langle \beta_t \rangle \) has not yet been introduced [29]. In panels (b) and (c), the ratios of data to fit are presented corresponding to the dotted and dashed curves, respectively. Detailed information on Figure 3 and the related parameters can be found in ref. [29]. More figures can be found in refs. [29–31, 70], which study various particles and jets produced in different collisions over an energy range from a few GeV to above 10 TeV.

In the above discussions, the particles, collisions, and energies are not distinguished deliberately. In fact, not only for baryons but also for leptons, one may use the same idea and formalism to fit their \( p_T \) spectra in wide range in different collisions at different energies [29–31, 70]. In particular, in most cases, the number of participant partons is defined by two. This is due to one projectile parton and one target parton being main participants. Even for the \( p_T \) spectra of...
Figure 3: (a) The invariant cross-sections of various hadrons with given combinations and decay channels produced in p+p collisions at 200 GeV. The symbols represent the experimental data measured by the PHENIX Collaboration [81], and the dotted and dashed curves are the fitted results by using the revised Tsallis distribution (with the power index $1/(1-q)$ in Equation (34)) and the convolution, respectively [29]. (b) The ratio of data to fit obtained from the dotted curves. (c) The ratio of data to fit obtained from the dashed curves. Detailed information and related parameters can be found in ref. [29] from where the figure is cited.
various jets, one may use the convolution of two revised Tsallis-like functions to fit them [70]. The third participant parton maybe is needed to revise the result of two participant partons. From small system such as hadron-hadron and hadron-nucleus collisions to large system such as nucleus-nucleus collisions, the idea and formalism are the same. This sameness is a reflection of the similarity, commonality, and universality existed in high-energy collisions [4–11]. This enlightens that the contributions of contributor partons for different particles in different collisions are considered.

In addition, not only for the spectra in low-$p_T$ region which is contributed by the soft excitation process, but also for the spectra in high-$p_T$ region which is contributed by the hard scattering process, one has uniformly used the same idea and formalism. In the framework of multisource thermal model at the parton level, both the processes are considered due to the contributions of contributor partons. There is no obvious difference for the two processes, but the violent degree. This sameness is also a reflection of the similarity, commonality, and universality existed in high-energy collisions [4–11].

Before summary and conclusion, it should be emphasized that the parameter $\langle \beta_i \rangle$ is the average transverse flow velocity at the parton level. Although both $p_T$ and $y$ can be deformed by the presence of collective flow, a suitable description for the spectrum of particles naturally includes the influence of collective flow. As a constant value for given spectrum, $\langle \beta_i \rangle$ is independent of $p_T$. However, $\langle \beta_i \rangle$ depends on $y$ due to the fact that the spectrum depends on $y$. The introduction of $\langle \beta_i \rangle$ in Equations (36)–(38) is based on the Lorentz-like transformation, in which $\langle \beta_i \rangle$ is also the average transverse velocity of the motion reference system which reflects the collective flow. This treatment is different from the blast-wave model [82–85], though the results are not contradictory.

Although there are many works already done on analysis and on the interpretation of the Tsallis statistics role in high-energy collisions [65–69], the improvement of the present work is significant. (1) The revised index $a_0$ which flexibly describes the winding degree of the spectra in low-$p_T$ region is introduced, in which the contribution of resonance generation is significant. (2) The revised Tsallis-like function is used to describe the transverse momenta of the participant partons which contribute to $p_T$ of particles, where various possible azimuths in the transverse plane are discussed. (3) The average transverse flow velocity is introduced, and the kinetic freeze-out temperature and average transverse flow velocity are conveniently obtained at the parton level.

4. Summary and Conclusion

To see the method for describing the transverse momentum spectra of final-state particles produced in high-energy collisions, the physics picture and formalism expression treated in the framework of multisource thermal model at the parton level have been reviewed. Generally, two or three partons contribute to the transverse momentum spectra of mesons or baryons, while two partons contribute to the transverse momentum spectra of leptons or jets.

In general case, the difference between the parton azimuths is variant in $[0, 2\pi]$. The Monte Carlo method may be used to perform the calculations. If the difference is $0$ or $\pi$, one may obtain a convolution of two or three probability density functions, which is an analytical expression. If the difference is $\pi/2$, one may also obtain an analytical expression if one integrates azimuthal variable over the united probability density function of transverse momentum and azimuth.

The fitting experience shows that the convolution of two or three probability density functions is more suitable in describing the particle transverse momentum spectra, though the various differences between the parton azimuths sound more reasonable. The transverse momentum spectra in different collisions are uniformly described at the parton level. The same contributor partons reflect the origin of the similarity, commonality, and universality existed in high-energy collisions.
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