Discovery of a Very Hot Phase of the Milky Way Circumgalactic Medium with Non-solar Abundance Ratios
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Abstract

We present the discovery of a very hot gas phase of the Milky Way circumgalactic medium (CGM) at $T \approx 10^7$ K, using deep XMM-Newton Reflection Grating Spectrometer observations of the blazar 1ES 1553+113. The hot gas, coexisting with a warm-hot phase at $T \approx 10^6$ K, is $\alpha$-enhanced, with [O/Fe] = 0.9$^{+0.7}_{-0.3}$, indicating core-collapse supernovae enrichment. Additionally, we find [Ne/O] and [N/O] = 0.7$^{+1.0}_{-0.2}$, such that N/Ne is consistent with solar. Along with the enrichment by asymptotic giant branch stars and core-collapse supernovae, this indicates that some oxygen has depleted onto dust and/or transited to cooler gas phase(s). These results may affect previous baryonic and metallic mass estimations of the warm-hot and hot CGM from the observations of oxygen emission and absorption. Our results provide insights on the heating, mixing, and chemical enrichment of the Milky Way CGM, and provide inputs to theoretical models of galaxy evolution.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Circumgalactic medium (1879); Diffuse x-ray background (384); X-ray astronomy (1810); Chemical abundances (224); Chemical enrichment (225); Warm ionized medium (1788); Quasar absorption line spectroscopy (1317)

1. Introduction

The circumgalactic medium (CGM) is the halo of multiphase gas and dust surrounding the stellar component and interstellar medium (ISM) of galaxies, inside their virial radii (Tumlinson et al. 2017). It is a very important component of a galaxy harboring a large fraction of its missing baryons and missing metals (Gupta et al. 2012; Peebles et al. 2014). Numerical simulations show that the properties of the CGM are governed by halo mass, and are affected by accretion from the intergalactic medium and feedback from the galactic disk (Ford et al. 2014; Suresh et al. 2017; Oppenheimer 2018). Precipitation from the CGM in turn may help sustain the next generation of star formation in a galaxy (Voit et al. 2015). Thus, the CGM plays an instrumental role in the evolution of a galaxy.

The CGM is multiphase in its ionization states, spanning two orders of magnitude of temperature: $T \approx 10^{4-6}$ K (Ford et al. 2014; Suresh et al. 2017). In this Letter, we focus on the hot ($\geq 10^6$ K) CGM of Milky Way. The hot ($T \approx 10^6$ K) gaseous Galactic corona at the virial temperature is a long-standing prediction (Spitzer 1956). For halos $\geq 10^12$ $M_\odot$, cold rarefied and metal-poor infalling gas is shock-heated to the virial temperature as it enters the halo. On the other hand, dense metal-enriched galactic outflow driven by the winds of massive stars, supernovae, and active galactic nuclei feedback contribute to the hot CGM as well. The quasi-static galactic corona is in general a mix of both, and is not necessarily mono-thermal and of solar-like chemical composition. Therefore, studying the abundances in the highly ionized CGM and its different thermal components, if any, are extremely important in order to understand the thermodynamics, mixing, and chemical evolution of the CGM. Deep X-ray absorption spectroscopy, where the hot CGM can be probed by He-like and H-like ionized metals, is a great tool for this.

Because of our special vantage point, the warm-hot CGM of Milky Way has been studied via emission and absorption in much greater detail compared to other galaxies. It has been observed to be diffuse, extended, massive, and anisotropic (Henley et al. 2010; Gupta et al. 2012; Henley & Shelton 2013; Nicastro et al. 2016b; Nakashima et al. 2018). Absorption studies show that there is a range of O VII column densities along different sightlines across the sky, but the temperature is similar, about $10^6$ K (e.g., Gupta et al. 2012). Similarly, emission studies show that while the emission measure varies by an order of magnitude across the sky, the temperature of the CGM is practically constant (Henley & Shelton 2013). Thus, from both the emission and absorption studies, the diffuse warm-hot CGM was believed to be of a single temperature. There were hints of hotter components in previous emission studies, but these were questionable due to confusion with the foreground components (Henley & Shelton 2013; Nakashima et al. 2018). In this Letter, we present a deep 1.85 Ms XMM-Newton Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS) observation of blazar 1ES 1553+113; the sightline probes the Milky Way CGM in absorption with unprecedented sensitivity. Detailed analysis and spectral modeling of the data has led to the discovery of the hottest component of Milky Way CGM at $T \approx 10^7$ K, coexisting with a warm-hot phase at $T \approx 10^6$ K. We also find that the hot gas is $\alpha$-enhanced, indicating core-collapse supernovae enrichment. Additionally, we find non-solar abundance ratios of N, O, and Ne in the warm-hot phase.

Our Letter is structured as follows. We discuss data analysis in Section 2, and results in Section 3. We interpret our results and discuss their implications in Section 4. Finally, we summarize our results and outline the future aim in Section 5.
Figure 1. Data (top panel) and unfolded spectra (middle panel), in bins with an S/N per bin $\geq 25$ of 1ES 1553+113 and the best-fitting model with two PHASE components (blue). The residuals are plotted in the bottom panel.

### 2. Observation and Analysis

Our target, 1ES 1553+113, was observed by *XMM-Newton* for 1.85 Ms.\(^6\) This deep observation yielded high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) per resolution element (S/NRE $\approx 43$) X-ray grating spectra, with RGS1 and RGS2, presented in Figure 1. The details of the observations and data reduction are presented in Nicastro et al. (2018), discussing the intervening warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM). Here we focus on the $z = 0$ absorption lines in the 8–29 Å range, probing the CGM of the Milky Way. Strong lines of highly ionized metals at $z = 0$ are clearly seen at the expected wavelengths (Table 1). All the spectral analysis is performed using *XSPEC*.\(^7\) Because a O VII He$/\beta$ WHIM line was detected around 26.5 Å (Nicastro et al. 2018), we remove the channels in the 26–27 Å range while fitting the data. Also, there are absorption-like features around 10, 13, 16.5, 17.1, 17.9, 20.5, and 28 Å (Figure 1), which are likely the instrumental features due to cool pixels in the detectors. We have fit the data twice by keeping and removing the channels around these wavelengths; the continuum is similar either way. However, the $\chi^2$ improves significantly in the absence of these channels.

#### 2.1. $z = 0$ Lines and Model-independent Results

To detect and characterize the absorption lines, we need to determine the source continuum spectrum, which we model as a power law plus blackbody spectrum, absorbed by the cold and warm ISM of the Milky Way (model ISMabs*(powerlaw +bbbody) in *XSPEC*). ISMabs accounts for the neutral, singly, and doubly ionized metal lines and takes care of the oxygen and neon edges (Gatuzz et al. 2016). We allowed the column densities of H, O (O I, O II, O III), Ne (Ne I, Ne II, Ne III), and Fe to vary; other elements do not affect the spectra significantly, so we fix their column densities at the relative solar abundances. This resulted in good fit of the continuum, but absorption lines from highly ionized elements were clearly seen in the residuals. We modeled these lines with Gaussian profiles with central wavelengths fixed at the known $z = 0$ values of respective ions. We detect the N VI He$\alpha$ and He$/\beta$, N VII Ly$\alpha$, O VII He$\alpha$, and He$/\beta$, O VIII Ly$\alpha$, Ne IX He$\alpha$, and Ne X Ly$\alpha$ lines at 3.4$\sigma$, 2.6$\sigma$, 2.3$\sigma$, 3.9$\sigma$, 1.5$\sigma$, 2.4$\sigma$, 2.4$\sigma$, and 3.4$\sigma$ significance, respectively.\(^8\) We calculate the column density of these lines from their respective equivalent widths assuming that they are in the linear regime of the curve of growth (Table 1). Also, we repeated the analysis by removing the channels at and around the wavelengths of expected transitions to obtain the continuum, and add the channels back to calculate the equivalent widths. The equivalent width values are similar to those obtained earlier. This confirms that the continuum is not incorrectly estimated due to the presence of absorption lines, and the equivalent widths are not over/underestimated.

Our detected absorption line strengths suggest several interesting aspects of the observed system. In collision ionization equilibrium (CIE),\(^9\) the fractional ionization of O VII peaks ($f_{\text{O VII}} > 0.9$) in the temperature range $10^5.6$–$6.2$ K, and that of O VIII peaks at $\approx 10^6.4$ K. Similarly, the fractional ionization of N VI peaks ($f_{\text{N VI}} > 0.9$) at $10^5.4$–$6.9$ K and of N VII at $\approx 10^6.2$ K, the fractional ionization of Ne IX peaks ($f_{\text{Ne IX}} > 0.9$) at $10^6.1$–$6.3$ K and of Ne X at $\approx 10^6.0$ K. The column density ratio of the same element’s two ions can uniquely determine the temperature. The N VI to N VII ratio implies $T = 10^5.98$–$6.09$ K, O VII to O VIII ratio implies $T = 10^6.14$–$6.26$ K, while the Ne IX to Ne X ratio implies $T = 10^6.50$–$6.71$ K. Clearly, the temperature windows do not overlap with each other. This already suggests that a single-temperature model may be inadequate to characterize the observed spectra. Second, the column density of neon and nitrogen are as large as that of oxygen: $N(\text{N VII}) + N(\text{N VIII}) = 0.8 \pm 0.4$, $N(\text{Ne IX}) + N(\text{Ne X}) = 1.4 \pm 0.6$, even though oxygen is far more abundant than neon and nitrogen in solar composition ($A_{\text{O VII}} = (4.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$, $A_{\text{Ne IX}} = (0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$, $A_{\text{Ne X}} = (0.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$; Asplund et al. 2009).\(^9\) This suggests that the neon and nitrogen are super-Solar relative to oxygen in the observed phase. Fe XVII–Fe XXIV lines and the Fe unresolved transition array (UTA) of Fe XVI–Fe XVIII are not detected at better than $1\sigma$ significance. This suggests $\alpha$-enhancement relative to iron.

#### 2.2. PHASE Modeling of $z = 0$ Absorbers

To confirm and quantitatively determine the suggestive results obtained in Section 2.1, we now model the data in detail. We use the hybrid-ionization model (models of collisionally ionized gas perturbed by photoionization by the meta-galactic radiation field, at a given redshift) PHASE (Nicastro et al. 2018) to fit the data and

---

\(^6\) *XMM-Newton* ObsIds: 0094380801, 0656990101, 0727780101, 0727780201, 0727780301, 0761100101, 0761100201, 0761100301, 0761100401, 0761100701, 0761110101, 0793802501, 079380601, 079380801, 079380901, 079381001, 079381401, 079381501

\(^7\) https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/manual/XspecManual.html

\(^8\) We define the single-line statistical significance as $\text{EW}/\Delta(\text{EW})$. The effective-area corrections add $\approx 1.2$ mA systematic uncertainty to the statistical uncertainty (Nevalainen et al. 2017).

\(^9\) We assume the gas to be in CIE. The cosmological hydrodynamic simulations (Davé et al. 2010) and the high-resolution simulations focused on individual galaxies (Stinson et al. 2012) show that the CGM is in CIE. Previous X-ray observations (Henley et al. 2010; Gupta et al. 2012; Henley & Shelton 2013; Gatuzz & Churazov 2018) are also consistent with the plasma being in CIE, validating our assumption.
The equivalent widths and corresponding column densities obtained from Gaussian line fitting are in the fourth and the last column, respectively. The column densities of the α and β transitions of N VI and O VII have been separated in the last column.

calculate the temperature, equivalent H column density, and the relative abundance of the metals in the Galactic warm-hot/hot absorbers. The model assumes relative abundances and absolute metallicities to be solar by default, but allows them to vary between 0.01 and 100 times solar, independently for each element from He to Ni (He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ar, Ca, Fe, and Ni).

As N, O, and Ne have prominent absorption features (Figure 1), and Fe lines (primarily, Fe XVII at 12.123 Å and Fe XXI at 12.165 Å) can contaminate the Ne lines through blending, we vary the abundance of N, O, Ne, and Fe only. The spectrum is insensitive to the abundance of other elements, so we fix their abundance with respect to oxygen at solar. As noted above, the CGM is assumed to be in CIE; therefore, we fix the photoionization parameter\(^*\) to the lowest possible value allowed by PHASE, at \(U = 10^{-4}\). This ensures that photoionization is negligible and that the gas is collisionally ionized. To begin with, we fit the spectra with a single-temperature PHASE model with solar composition: ISMabs*(powerlaw+bbbody)+PHASE. The fit is not very good (\(\chi^2/dof = 2563.54/2479\)), showing that the abundance ratios are likely non-solar. Non-solar, therefore, we fit the spectra with a single-temperature model, but with non-solar composition; this improves the fit (\(\chi^2/dof = 2548.07/2476\)), but it cannot reproduce all the detected lines in the spectra; in particular, it does not account for the Fe lines and it underestimates the O VIII absorption. Therefore, we fit the spectra with two PHASE models: ISMabs*(powerlaw+bbbody)+PHASE1+PHASE2. We allow the H column density and the temperatures in two phases to be different, but do not force them to be different. However, we force the relative abundances (N/O, Ne/O, O/Fe) to be same in both phases, which implies similar chemical composition, and hence similar sources of metal enrichment. The best-fitted model (\(\chi^2 = 1.02714; dof=2471\), \(P(\chi^2, dof) = 0.622 \times P_{\text{max}}\)) reproduces the lines found in the previous section well (Figure 1, Table 1).\(^{11}\) The best-fitted values of the parameters in PHASE models are quoted in Table 2; the errors are quoted at 1σ intervals, i.e., at 99.73% confidence.

The column densities of the detected ions from the two-temperature PHASE model are presented in Table 1 (fifth and sixth columns). The sum of these column densities is given in the seventh column. Comparing these with the column densities estimated from the curve-of-growth analysis (last column), we find that the two are in excellent agreement for O VII and the H-like ions. N VI and Ne IX columns are consistent within 2σ. It should be clarified that we do not use the results of Gaussian line-fitting as a prior in the PHASE modeling. Therefore, fitting the data using PHASE is completely independent of the curve-of-growth analysis in the previous section. Because PHASE takes into account line saturation by Voigt profile fitting, the agreement between the two shows that the effect of saturation in the absorption lines, if any, is negligible.

### 3. Results

The best-fitted PHASE model has yielded three interesting results. We have found a very hot gas phase detected with Ne X absorption line, coexisting with warm-hot CGM; we have found super-solar O/Fe and super-solar N/O and Ne/O. The parameters of the best-fitted model are presented in Table 2. We quote all uncertainties in 1σ error bars, unless explicitly mentioned otherwise.

---

1. Ionization parameter \(U\) is the flux of ionizing photons per unit density of gas: \(U = \frac{\dot{Q}(\text{H})}{4\pi r^2 m_{\text{H}} c}\) where \(\dot{Q}(\text{H})\) is the number of hydrogen ionizing photons s\(^{-1}\), \(r\) is the distance to the source, and \(m_{\text{H}}\) is the hydrogen density.

2. The two-temperature solar composition model provides a better fit (\(\chi^2/dof = 2557.75/2476\)) than the single-temperature solar composition model, showing that multiple temperatures are necessary. The two-temperature non-solar composition provides an even better fit (\(\chi^2/dof = 2538.06/2471\)) than the two-temperature solar composition, indicating that the observed system has multiple temperature components with non-solar abundance ratios.

---

### Table 1

Absorption Line Parameters

| Ion | Transition | \(\lambda\) (Å) | EW (mÅ) | \(N_{\text{H}}\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(N_{\alpha}\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(\sigma_{\text{tot}}\) \((\times10^{15} \text{cm}^{-2})\) | \(N\) (from EW) \((\times10^{15} \text{cm}^{-2})\) |
|-----|------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| N VI | He\(^{i}\) | 12.12 | 4.9 \(^{+1.5}_{-1.3}\) \(\times 10^{15}\) | \(4.8 \times 10^{13}\) | \(4.4 \times 10^{15}\) | 9.2 \(\pm 2.8\) |
| N VI | He\(^{ii}\) | 12.12 | 4.9 \(^{+1.5}_{-1.3}\) \(\times 10^{15}\) | \(4.8 \times 10^{13}\) | \(4.4 \times 10^{15}\) | 9.2 \(\pm 2.8\) |
| N VII | Ly\(\alpha\) | 24.78 | 2.3 \(^{+0.1}_{-0.0}\) \(\times 10^{15}\) | \(6.8 \times 10^{14}\) | \(3.0 \times 10^{14}\) | 2.5 \(\pm 1.1\) |
| O VII | Ly\(\alpha\) | 24.78 | 2.3 \(^{+0.1}_{-0.0}\) \(\times 10^{15}\) | \(6.8 \times 10^{14}\) | \(3.0 \times 10^{14}\) | 2.5 \(\pm 1.1\) |
| Ne IX | Ly\(\alpha\) | 24.78 | 2.3 \(^{+0.1}_{-0.0}\) \(\times 10^{15}\) | \(6.8 \times 10^{14}\) | \(3.0 \times 10^{14}\) | 2.5 \(\pm 1.1\) |
| Ne X | Ly\(\alpha\) | 24.78 | 2.3 \(^{+0.1}_{-0.0}\) \(\times 10^{15}\) | \(6.8 \times 10^{14}\) | \(3.0 \times 10^{14}\) | 2.5 \(\pm 1.1\) |

**Note.** Uncertainties are represented as 1σ errors. The second last column is the summation of the fifth and sixth columns, which are the results of PHASE modeling. The equivalent widths and corresponding column densities obtained from Gaussian line fitting are in the fourth and the last column, respectively. The column densities of the α and β transitions of N VI and O VII have been separated in the last column.

### Table 2

Parameters of the Best-fitted PHASE Model (Uncertainties are Represented as 99.73% Confidence Intervals, and Abundances are in log10 with Respect to Solar Composition, According to the Prescription of Asplund et al. 2009)

| Parameters | Values |
|------------|--------|
| log \(T_1\)(K) | 6.11\(^{+0.09}_{-0.19}\) |
| log \(T_2\)(K) | 7.06\(^{+0.06}_{-0.12}\) |
| [Ne/O] | 0.72\(^{+0.13}_{-0.23}\) |
| [N/O] | 0.75\(^{+0.13}_{-0.23}\) |
| [O/Fe] | 0.86\(^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\) |
| [Ne/Fe] | 1.59\(^{+0.16}_{-0.38}\) |
| [N/Fe] | 1.62\(^{+0.06}_{-0.06}\) |
We find that the temperatures of the two phases are well constrained and they differ by about an order of magnitude. N VI is present only at the lower temperature (log \(T_i/K\) = \(6.1 \pm 0.3\) (3σ errors), the warm-hot phase); Ne X is present only at the higher temperature (log \(T_j/K\) = \(7.1 \pm 0.5\) (3σ errors), the hot phase); N VII, O VII, and Ne IX contribute to both temperature phases, but are predominant at the lower temperature; and O VIII also contributes to both the phases, but is dominant at the higher temperature (Figure 2). Fe XVI–Fe XVII UTA and Fe XVII to Fe XXIV lines are expected to be present at \(T_1\) and \(T_2\), respectively, but are not detected at a significance of better than 1σ (Figure 3), resulting a 1σ upper limit of \(N(Fe) < 9.1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}\).

As the observed spectral wavelength range contains no lines or edges of hydrogen, we cannot calculate the absolute abundances of metals with respect to hydrogen, or the metallicity. However, we can determine the relative metal abundances. We find that both Ne/O and N/O are super-solar (Table 2) with [Ne/O] as well as [N/O] = \(0.7^{+1.0}_{-0.2}\) (3σ errors, Figure 3). N/Ne is consistent with solar. As noted above, we do not detect absorption lines of iron at better than 1σ significance, but using the best-fit value and upper limit of iron column density, we can determine the abundance ratios of detected elements relative to Iron: [O/Fe] = \(0.9^{+0.7}_{-0.3}\), [Ne/Fe] = \(1.6^{+1.2}_{-0.4}\), [N/Fe] = \(1.6^{+0.6}_{-0.3}\) (3σ errors, Table 2).

The equivalent H column density (modulo metallicity) \(N_H^*\) is uncertain due to the non-solar composition of the gas. Assuming the absolute metallicity of individual elements to be same in both phases, we find that \(N_H^* / N_H^{1}\) is \(22^{+16}_{-12}\). For solar metallicity of oxygen, \(N_H^* = 13.3^{+1.0}_{-0.5} \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), \(N_H^{12} = 2.9^{+2.5}_{-1.5} \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\).

4. Discussion

The hot gas phase along with the warm-hot component has not been observed earlier in emission or absorption, so this is the first of its kind. The non-solar abundance ratios in warm-hot CGM is new as well. Below, we discuss the possible origin(s) of the newly discovered hot gas phase. Also, we qualitatively interpret the chemical composition in terms of metal enrichment, mixing, and depletion. These provide interesting insights on the galactic thermal and chemical evolution, and may affect the mass calculation of metals and baryons.

4.1. Temperature

The presence of a warm-hot phase of the Milky Way CGM with log \(T(K) \approx 6\) has been known from X-ray absorption line studies (Gupta et al. 2012; Nicastro et al. 2016a, 2016b; Gupta et al. 2017; Nevalainen et al. 2017; Gatuzz & Churazov 2018). Focusing on the sightline toward 1ES 1553+113, our measurement of the temperature (and hydrogen column density) of the warm-hot phase is consistent with that of Gatuzz & Churazov (2018), who assumed a solar metallicity and solar chemical composition. Their three-temperature model is equivalent with ISMabs and PHASE1 in our model, containing the cold/warm ISM and the warm-hot CGM.

We used the high S/N spectra allowed us to discover the hotter \(T_2\) component. Our observations by themselves cannot determine if it resides in the Galactic ISM, CGM, or in the Local Group medium. From the knowledge of the different ISM phases studied so far, we know that the Galactic ISM is dominated by neutral and mildly ionized gas, and the distribution of the warm-hot phase indicates a significant contribution from the CGM (Nicastro et al. 2016a, 2016b; Gatuzz & Churazov 2018). Extrapolating this idea to the higher temperature, the hot gas likely resides in the extended region. Nonetheless, we investigated whether dense structures such as supernovae remnants (SNRs) or superbubbles made by expanding and merging SNRs are responsible for the observed hot gas phase.

(a) There is no evidence of an individual SNR along our sightline, but the local hot bubble (LHB) is present. With a density of \(\approx(3.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) and path length of \(\approx100 \text{ pc}\) (Snowden et al. 2014), the LHB column density is \(1.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), smaller than the column density of the hot gas by order(s) of magnitude. Thus, the LHB contribution to the observed column density is negligible.

(b) The sightline to 1ES 1553+113 \((l = 21^\circ91, b = 43^\circ96)\) passes close to the Fermi Bubble (FB; \(|l| \leq 20^\circ, |b| < 50^\circ\), therefore we investigated the possibility that our observed hot gas is from the structures in or around the FB (Su et al. 2010; Kataoka et al. 2018). The temperature inside the FB is believed to be hot \((\geq10^4 \text{ K}, \text{ Su et al. 2010); however, our sightline does not pass through the FB. The sightline to 1ES 1553+113 passes through the North Polar Spur (NPS). The temperature of NPS is 0.25–0.29 keV (Kataoka et al. 2018), which is significantly lower than the temperature of the hot phase. Second, using the emission measure \((0.02–0.07 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc})\) and the line-of-sight width (≈5 kpc) of the NPS (Kataoka et al. 2018), the column density is \((3–5.6) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), which is lower than the column density of the hot gas by a factor of ≈5–10. Assuming the density of the X-ray shell around FB to be \(n_{FB} \approx 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) as derived by Miller & Bregman (2016), the implied path length for the hot component is \(L = N_{H_2}/n_{FB} = 100^{+80}_{-67} \text{ kpc}\). This is much larger than the spatial extent of the X-ray shell around the FB. While the NPS and the X-ray shell must contribute to the observed column density, they are unlikely to be a primary contributor unless the hot gas is much denser than that obtained by Miller & Bregman (2016).

Next, we investigated whether the hot gas is from the Local Group. Using the \(T_X-M\) relation for galaxy clusters: \(T_X \propto M^{2/3}\),
The temperature of the Local Group is $\approx 10^6.69^{-6.91} K$, assuming the Local Group mass $= 6.4 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$ (Peebles 1990). This is consistent with $T_2$. However, our sightline $(21.591, 43.96)$ is away from M31 and the center of the Local Group. Therefore, the contribution of the Local Group to the hot phase, if any, might not be significant. Oppenheimer (2018) found that the thermal feedback can buoyantly rise to the outer CGM of Milky-Way–like halos of $M_{200} \leq 10^{12} M_\odot$, moving baryons beyond the virial radius ($R_{200}$) and extending the CGM out to at least $2 \times R_{200}$. Therefore, if the observed hot gas is extended beyond $R_{200}$, it is just a matter of nomenclature whether it should be called the CGM or the Local Group gas.

With the above considerations, we argue that the newly detected hot phase is primarily from the CGM of the Galaxy. Hydrodynamic simulations that take into account multistage stellar feedback from the bulges of Milky-Way–type galaxies predict that the temperature in the CGM can be as hot as $T_2$ (Tang et al. 2009). Analytic models predict that the CGM can significantly deviate from thermal equilibrium due to mechanical feedback (ejection of low angular momentum material) or thermal feedback (heating of the central regions), resulting in super-virial temperature as high as $T_2$ in the inner $50 \text{ kpc}$ of the halo (Pezzulli et al. 2017). The absence of a strong Ne X line in a deep $\sim 3 \text{ Ms}$ absorption study toward PKS 2155-304 (Nevalainen et al. 2017) indicates that this hot phase might not be ubiquitous, and is likely anisotropic. On the other hand, there have been hints of such hot gas in the halo of Milky Way in emission (Henley & Shelton 2013; Nakashima et al. 2018) away from the Galactic center, showing that the hot gas may not necessarily be related to the nuclear activity. However, emission is dominated by denser regions, so the hot gas detected in emission is perhaps located closer to the disk of the Galaxy. The presence of the hot gas in absorption with a high H column density of $\approx 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ indicates that the hot gas can in fact be present in a low-density extended medium. Based on combined emission and absorption measurements toward the Galactic bulge, Hagihara et al. (2011) found that a two-T hot ISM was necessary to reproduce the observed spectra. However, the two temperatures that they obtain ($T_1 = (1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{6} K$ and $T_2 = 3.9^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \times 10^{6} K$) differ by only a factor of 2, and their hotter component is significantly cooler than the hot gas that we find. Thus, the $\approx 10^7 K$ hot gas that we have discovered was not observed before either from the ISM or from the CGM of the Milky Way.

4.2. Abundances

We have measured two sets of abundance ratios: (1) oxygen, neon, and nitrogen relative to iron; and (2) neon and nitrogen relative to oxygen (with three out of five of these being independent measurements). We find super-solar [Ne/Fe] and [O/Fe]; this shows that the CGM is $\alpha$-enhanced, indicating core-collapse supernovae enrichment (Nomoto et al. 2006). We also find super-solar [Ne/O] and similar [N/O]. The super-solar [Ne/O] is, at least partially, a result of the core-collapse supernovae enrichment (Nomoto et al. 2006). The large amount of nitrogen relative to neon suggests that there is an additional contribution to nitrogen, such as from dying asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars (Herwig 2005). However, it is highly unlikely that the two different sources of enrichment have contributed N and Ne to yield similar abundance ratios relative to oxygen. It is more likely that oxygen is sub-solar with respect to N and Ne. The lower oxygen abundance can be a result of different processes. Dust of silicates and other forms containing oxygen can be formed 300–600 days after core-collapse supernovae explosions (Todini & Ferrara 2001). Thus, oxygen can be depleted onto dust in the ISM (as found by Pinto et al. 2013 in the high-resolution X-ray absorption spectra of Galactic low-mass X-ray binaries) before the outflows from the stellar feedback/supernovae reach the halo and enrich it with metals. Alternatively, if the CGM is inhomogeneously mixed as suggested by simulations (Ford et al. 2013, 2014) and observed in cooler phases of the CGM (Schaye et al. 2007), oxygen can cool to lower temperatures without affecting the temperature of the gas at which other elements are abundant.
This is reasonable to expect because the emissivity of oxygen at the CGM temperatures (\(>10^6\) K) is \(\sim 3-10\) times higher than the emissivity of neon and neon (Bertone et al. 2013). Thus, it is possible that nitrogen and neon remain in the hot/warm-hot phases while oxygen "prefers" to transit to the warm or cooler phases. A similar transition of oxygen has already been found in cosmological simulations (Ford et al. 2013) and observations (Nevalainen et al. 2017). If cooled sufficiently, oxygen may eventually get depleted onto circumgalactic dust. It requires more than \(10^7\) yr to evaporate in a low-density environment with \(n = 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), so a significant amount of metals may be held in circumgalactic dust (Tielens et al. 1994). This is consistent with observations that a larger fraction of metals may be held in circumgalactic dust with \(n\)\(\approx\)\(10^2\) cm\(^{-3}\).

Wang 2006; Yao et al. 2006, 2009a

\[ M(Z) \propto N(Z) = \frac{N(O \text{ VII})}{f_{O\text{ VII}}} \text{ or } \frac{N(O \text{ VIII})}{f_{O\text{ VIII}}} \]

(2)

here \(M(Z)\) and \(M(O)\) are, respectively, the total mass of metals and oxygen in the X-ray traced CGM and \(f_0\) is oxygen-to-metal ratio. The temperature in the warm-hot (\(T \approx 10^6\) K) phase was determined using the O VIII/O VII ratio. If a good fraction of O VIII arises in the hot (\(T \approx 10^7\) K) phase, in a single-temperature model the O VIII ionization fraction would be overestimated, and hence the warm-hot phase temperature (\(T_h\)) would be overestimated. Depending on whether O VII or O VIII is used to calculate the total mass of oxygen, the overestimation of temperature will lead to an over-/under-estimation of oxygen mass in the warm-hot phase, because \(f_{O\text{ VII}}\) decreases and \(f_{O\text{ VIII}}\) increases with temperature above \(10^6\) K. Inclusion of the hot component not only resolves this issue, it also traces an extra component of metals. Second, sub-solar gas-phase oxygen, with the \(f_0\) range of \(\sim 9\%\) to \(28\%\), compared to \(f_0 \approx 44\%\) for solar oxygen, may affect \(M(Z)\) by a factor of \(\approx 2-5\). This shows how the census of other metals, along with oxygen, in the X-ray traced CGM is so vital.

The calculation of total baryonic mass

\[ M_b = \frac{M(Z)}{0.02} \left( \frac{Z}{Z_\odot} \right)^{-1} \]

(3)

follows directly from the total metallic mass. For an assumed metallicity, if the oxygen is sub-solar as we find here, the total baryonic mass of the warm-hot CGM would be underestimated by the same factor (2–5 in our case) as the total metallic mass. Additionally, the hot CGM was not included in the previous works, so the mass in the X-ray traced CGM was underestimated. Once again, the contribution of the hot component to the baryonic budget would depend on its path length, density, covering factor, and volume-filling factor.

4.4. Assumptions and Caveats

We calculated relative abundances of metals using the solar model of Asplund et al. (2009). If we use other values of relative solar abundances, the results remain the same: [O/Fe] = \(0.8^{+0.7}_{-0.3}\), [Ne/O] = [N/O] = \(0.7^{+1.6}_{-0.2}\) for Wilms et al. (2000) abundances, [O/Fe] = \(0.8^{+0.7}_{-0.3}\), [Ne/O] = \(0.8^{+1.6}_{-0.2}\), and [N/O] = \(0.7^{+1.6}_{-0.2}\) for Lodders (2003) abundances. This shows that our abundance measurement is not affected by the composition prescription.

Our temperature estimates are subject to the assumption that the gas is in CIE. However, the gas at \(T_h\) is not at the virial temperature of the Milky Way, so it might not be in equilibrium. If the hot gas is stretched across a large length scale (\(\sim\) few 100 kpc), the average density will be low enough to have the post-shock electron-ion relaxation timescale comparable with or longer than the Hubble time (Yoshida et al. 2005). In that case, the electron temperature will be overestimated and the abundance of metals will be over-/underestimated. Similarly, if the gas in our sightline is photoionized (for reasons unknown) instead of being in CIE, then the abundance ratios would be over-/underestimated.

As the cooling efficiency and dust depletion rate of N, O, and Ne are different, the chemical composition in two temperature phases are not necessarily the same. However,
we do not have any diagnostics of nitrogen lines or O VII lines in the hotter phase, thus we cannot determine the abundance ratios in the two temperature phases independently, and we have assumed the ratios to be the same. The strongest constraints on iron abundance are for the hot phase, so in the least, our observed super-solar [Ne/Fe] and [O/Fe] are for the hot component. Similarly, the observed super-solar [N/O] and [Ne/O] are, in the least, for the warm-hot component. The same abundance ratios are implicitly assumed in the derivation of the total column density of the hot phase \( N_{\text{H}2} \), which may be under-/overestimated. Also, the calculation of \( N_{\text{H}2} \) involves the ionization fraction of individual lines. If the hot component is not at ionization equilibrium, the ionization fractions of H-like ions can be different from those at CIE (Oppenheimer & Schaye 2013). This will affect the derivation of \( N_{\text{H}2} \).

In the Discussions section, we have commented on how sub-solar oxygen may affect the calculations of metals and baryons in the CGM. A more complete calculation will have to involve the non-solar abundance ratios with respect to iron, and the abundance ratio of other \( \alpha \)-elements (C, Mg, Si) as well.

5. Conclusion

In this Letter we have studied the \( z = 0 \) absorber(s) in a deep X-ray grating spectra toward 1ES 1553+113. Our work presents three interesting results.

1. A hot \( 10^7 \) K gas phase coexists with the warm-hot \( 10^6 \) K CGM, along this sightline
2. The hot CGM shows significant \( \alpha \)-enhancement, likely due to core-collapse supernovae enrichment
3. The abundance ratio of nitrogen, oxygen, and neon in the warm-hot/CGM is significantly non-solar. Nitrogen and neon are in the solar mixture, and oxygen is in deficit with respect to nitrogen and neon. Along with the enrichment by AGB stars and core-collapse supernovae, it has possible hints of oxygen depletion.

These results provide insights on the thermal history, chemical enrichment, and mixing in the CGM, and provide important inputs to theoretical models of galaxy formation and evolution.

It is necessary to extend such deep X-ray absorption analysis to many other sightlines to search for and characterize the temperature and chemical composition of the highly ionized CGM, using multiple tracer elements like carbon, nitrogen, neon, magnesium, and silicon, along with oxygen. At present, the archival data of Chandra and XMM-Newton can be very useful in this regard. On a longer timescale, planned missions like XRISM, Athena, and Lynx in the next decade and beyond will offer an outstanding opportunity to observe the highly ionized diffused medium in unprecedented detail. This will bring us closer to understanding the co-evolution of the galaxy and its CGM.

This work is based on observations obtained with XMM-Newton, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and NASA. S.M. acknowledges NASA grant NNX16AF49G. F.N. acknowledges funding from the INAF PRIN-SKA 2017 program 1.05.01.88.04. Y.K. acknowledges support from DGAPA-PAPIIT grant IN106518.

Facility: XMM-Newton.
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