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ABSTRACT

Background: Ectopic gestation is an obstetric emergency with high morbidity and mortality. Most common site for ectopic pregnancy is fallopian tube. Predisposing risk factors include pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) that is acute and chronic salpingitis, previous abortion, Salphingitis Isthmica Nodosa (SIN); and treatment for infertility. This study was done to identify the common risk factors.

Method: This was a retrospective study carried out for the period of 6 years (January 2013- December 2018) in M.G.M. Medical college, Aurangabad. 110 cases of tubal ectopic gestations were studied.

Results: Out of 110 ectopic gestations, commonestage of presentation was 25-35 years (90.9%), and weremultiparous (85.3%). Right sided tubewas mostly affected (70.2%), with the risk factors of PID(32%) and previous abortion(30%). Most of the patients presented with pain in abdomen(40.90%) andamenorrhea (31.81%). Predominant histopathological findings were chronic salphingitis with follicular salphingitis (40.90%), acute salphingitis (27.27%) andSIN (22.7%).

Conclusion: Pelvic inflammatory disease, Salphingitis Isthmica Nodosa, acute and chronic salphingitis are predisposing factors for tubal ectopic gestation. Early diagnosis, identification of risk factors and timely intervention will reduce the incidence of ectopic pregnancy and also will help in reducing morbidity and mortality associated with it.
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Introduction

Ectopic pregnancy is defined as any intra or extra uterine pregnancy in which the fertilized ovum implants at an aberrant site outside the uterus.[1] It is an obstetric emergency with high morbidity and mortality;[2] especially in developing countries where most of the patients present late with rupture or hemodynamic compromise. Along with foetal wastage, ectopic pregnancy is also associated with recurrence of ectopic gestation and impairment of subsequent fertility.[3]

The most common site for ectopic pregnancy is fallopian tubes (90-95%).[4] Amongst its multifactorial pathogenesis, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is one of the important predisposing factors followed by other causes like tubal defects, endometriosis, pervious surgery, use of intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD) and treatment for infertility. Whilst the incidence of ectopic pregnancies has a rising trend, the incidence of ruptured ectopic has declined. Early diagnosis reduces the risk and allows more conservative medical treatment.[5] This study was conducted to recognize the predisposing risk factors which will help in early diagnosis and also prevention of tubal ectopic gestation, thus reducing the morbidity and mortality.

Materials and Methods

This was a retrospective study carried out for a duration of six years (Jan 2013-Dec 2018) at M.G.M. Hospital, Aurangabad, Maharashtra. The case sheets of the patients with tubal ectopic pregnancy were traced through the data noted in the Pathology department, labour ward, OT registers and record section. Information regarding total number of deliveries in the study period was noted. A complete analysis regarding age, parity, gestational age, associated risk factors, clinical presentation, diagnostic tools used, treatment options and associated risk of morbidity and mortality was made. All the surgeries were partial/total salpingectomies done by open laparotomy; and spinal/general anaesthesia was used in all the cases. Histopathological correlation was considered as final diagnostic tool. Sections from fallopian tube were studied for presence of chorionic villi to confirm tubal gestation. Features of acute salpingitis, chronic salpingitis with follicular salpingitis, Salpingitis isthmica nodosa (SIN), tuberculosis and walthard nests were screened. The data was analyzed with simple descriptive statistics. The data was represented in the form of tables and charts. The study was approved by institutional ethical committee.
Results
During the study period of 6 years, there were 11,250 deliveries in our hospital and 110 cases of tubal pregnancies were operated giving the incidence of 9.7/1000 deliveries (0.97%). The majority patients were in the age group of 25-29 years (Table-1), followed by age group of 30-34 years. 14.7% patients were primipara and 85.3% were multipara. The incidence was more in 7-9 weeks of gestation (90%). There was a rising trend of incidence of tubal ectopic pregnancy (Fig-1).

Pelvic inflammatory disease was the most common risk factor (29%), followed by previous abortions (27.27%). 9% had history of previous tubal ectopic pregnancy, 10% had history of lower segment cesarean section (LSCS), while 4.5% used IUCD, had a history of tubal surgery and were treated for infertility. 10.9% of cases had unknown etiology. (Table-2). The incidence of right sided tubal ectopic (70.2%) was more than left side (29.8%). Ampulla of fallopian tube was the common site of tubal ectopic (55%), followed by fimbrial site (35%).

In all the cases salpingectomy was done; unilateral salpingectomy being more common (90.2%). The morbidity included anemia (40.4%), blood transfusion (55.5%) and wound infection (4.1%). Most of the cases presented with abdominal pain (40.9%). The second common symptom was amenorrhea (31.8%). 16.36% patients presented with bleeding per vagina, 9.09% with shock and 1.8% with adnexal mass (Table-3). The most common associated pathologic finding was chronic follicular salpingitis (40.90%), followed by acute salpingitis (27.27%) and SIN (22.7%). 9.09% showed presence of walthard nests. There was no case of tuberculosis presenting with tubal ectopic pregnancy (Table-4).

Table 1: Distribution of cases according to age.

| Age(years) | Number | Percentage |
|-----------|--------|------------|
| <20       | 2      | 1.8        |
| 20-24     | 10     | 9          |
| 25-29     | 56     | 50.9       |
| 30-34     | 54     | 40         |
| 35-40     | 08     | 7.2        |

Table 2: Risk factors for ectopic pregnancy

| Risk factors     | Number | Percentage |
|------------------|--------|------------|
| Unknown          | 12     | 10.9       |
| Previous ectopic | 10     | 9          |
| PID              | 32     | 29.0       |
| Previous abortion| 30     | 27.27      |
| Infertility treatment | 05   | 4.5        |
| Tubal surgery   | 05     | 4.5        |
| IUCD            | 05     | 4.5        |
| H/o LSCS        | 11     | 10         |

PID: Pelvic inflammatory disease
IUCD: Intrauterine contraceptive device
LSCS: Lower segment cesarean section

Table 3: Distribution according to clinical presentation

| Clinical presentation | Number | Percentage |
|-----------------------|--------|------------|
| Pain in abdomen       | 35     | 40.9       |
| Amenorrhea            | 45     | 31.81      |
| Bleeding per vagina   | 18     | 16.36      |
| Shock                 | 10     | 9.09       |
| Adnexal mass          | 02     | 1.8        |
Table 4: Pathological findings of the patients with ectopic gestation

| Associated abnormalities                  | Number | Percentage |
|------------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Acute salpingitis                        | 30     | 27.27      |
| Chronic salpingitis + follicular salpingitis | 45     | 40.90      |
| SIN                                      | 25     | 22.7       |
| Tuberculosis                             | NIL    | -          |
| Walthards nest                           | 10     | 9.09       |

*SIN: Salpingitis Isthmica Nodosa*

*Statement of informed consent:* Not required as it was a retrospective study.

*Statement of human and animal rights:* Not applicable for this study

**Discussion**

Ectopic pregnancy continues to be a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in women of reproductive age group throughout the world. Ruptured tubal ectopic pregnancy is also implicated in maternal death during the first trimester of pregnancy.

The incidence of ectopic pregnancies (0.97%) in our study is compatible with study done by Arupkumar et al. [3,10] (0.6%) and ICMR multicenter study (0.4%) [3,11]. However, this incidence is lower as compared to studies done by Olarewaju et. al. (1.7%). [3,9]

The most frequent gestational age group in our study was 7-9 weeks which is compatible with study carried out by Khaleque et. al. (6-8weeks). [5,12]

Also right sided tubal ectopic was more common (70.2%) than left sided in present study, which is again compatible with studies done by Khaleque et. al (60%). Our study shows the most common age group of 25-34 yrs, which is compatible with study done by Smita Kumari et. al. (25-35 yrs). [8]

A significant rise in the incidence of tubal ectopic pregnancies from 9/1000 to almost 30/1000 pregnancies in the span of 6 years was observed in our study and is compatible with studies done by Dahiya et. al. [6] This rise has been attributed to pelvic inflammatory disease (salpingitis) and abortions [6,8] and availability of better diagnostic facilities. Though the incidence of tubal ectopic due to IUCD was high (11%) in studies done by Smita Kumari et. al. [8]; our study showed a low incidence of 4.5%. It has been studied that IUCD has no effect on ovulation; it prevents intrauterine pregnancy but not tubal or ovarian. [8,13]

Our study depicts the most common clinical presentation of abdominal pain which is compatible with studies done.
by Rajendra Wakankar et al.[5,9] This was attributed to the presentation of ruptured ectopics. In our study 40.90% patients had chronic salpingitis; 27.27% had acute salpingitis; 22.7% had salpingitis isthmica nodosa and 9% had Walthards nests. This was compatible with studies done by Savitri Ravinda et al.[4], Dahiya et al.[6] and Mohd. Irshad Ahmed et al.[7] Studies in Sweden have shown that reduction in PID is associated with a decline in the incidence of ectopic pregnancy.[4,16] Hence appropriate treatment with antibiotics will lower the incidence of PID and thus tubal ectopic pregnancy.

SIN is the microscopic presence of tubal epithelium in the myosalphinx or beneath the tubal mucosa. The most serious clinical and pathological complications of SIN are infertility and strong association with ectopic pregnancy.[4,15,16] The incidence of SIN in our study was 22.7% which is higher as compared to Dahiya et al. (8%); hence explaining the rising trend of tubal ectopic pregnancies in our study.

There is no significant association of Walthard nests with ectopic pregnancies as it was an incidental finding.

**Conclusion**

Awareness of possible risk factors; early recognition of signs and symptoms of pelvic inflammatory disease will help in adequate antibiotic treatment in acute phase of the disease. Timely intervention by medical and conservative surgical measures can help in reducing the morbidity and mortality associated with tubal ectopic pregnancy.

**Abbreviations**

PID: Pelvic inflammatory disease

IUCD: Intrauterine contraceptive device

SIN: Salphingitis Isthmica Nodosa

LSCS: Lower segment cesarean section

**Acknowledgement**

Thanks to obstetric department, radiology department and record section of MGM medical college, Aurangabad.

**References**

1. Swetha D, Amitha K. A clinical study of ectopic pregnancy in a tertiary care center in Hubli. International journal of reproduction, contraception, obstetrics and gynaecology. 2017 Apr; 6(4): 1566-1569.

2. Mridula S, Hemlata P, Jyoti N M. A clinical study of ectopic pregnancy in a tertiary care center in central India. International journal of reproduction, contraception, obstetrics and gynaecology. 2017 Jun; 6(6): 2485-2490.

3. Vandana B, Smiti N, Menakshi C, Vani M. A retrospective analysis of ectopic pregnancy at a tertiary care center: one year study. International journal of reproduction, contraception, obstetrics and gynaecology. 2016 Jul; 5(7): 2224-2227.

4. Savithri R, Sruithi P, Belur V S. Histomorphology of fallopian tubes in ectopic pregnancy. Arch Med Health Sci 2016; 4:201-204.

5. Rajendra W, Kshama K. Ectopic pregnancy- A rising trend. International journal of scientific study, 2015 Aug; 3 (5): 18-22.

6. Dahiya, S. Singh, R. Kalra, R. Sen and S. Kumar. Histopathological changes associated with ectopic tubal pregnancy. International journal of pharmaceutical sciences and research. 2011 Apr; 26: 929-933.

7. Mohd I A, Zeenath B. A study on histopathological findings in ectopic tubal pregnancies and evaluation of associated risk factors. Indian journal of Pathology: Research and practice. 2018 Aug; 7(8): 928-933.

8. Smita K, Dipali P, Archana S, Sadia P. Retrospective study of ectopic pregnancy in a tertiary care center. International archives of integrated medicine. 2018 Jan; 5(1): 95-99.

9. Shradhha S K., Anil S K. A clinical study of ectopic pregnancies in a tertiary care hospital of Mangalore, India. Innovative journal of medical and health science. 2014 Jan; 4(1): 305-309.

10. Arup KM, Niloptal R, Kakali SK, Pradip KB. Ectopic pregnancy- an analysis of 180 cases. Journal of the Indian Med Assoc. 2007;105:308-14.

11. ICMR: ICMR task force project: Multicenter case control study of ectopic pregnancy in India. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 1990;40:425-30.

12. Khaleeqe F, Siddiqui RI, Jafarye SN. Ectopic pregnancies: A three year study. J Pak Med Assoc 2001;51:240-3.

13. Shrestha J, Saha R. Comparison of laparoscopy and laprotomy in the surgical management of ectopic pregnancy. J col Physicians Surgpak. 2012; 22(12): 760-764.

14. Kamwendo F, Forslin L, Bodin L, Danielsson D. Epidemiology of ectopic pregnancy during a 28 year period and the role of pelvic inflammatory disease. Sex Transm Infect 2000; 79:28-32.

15. Green LK, Kott ML. histopathologic findings in ectopic tubal pregnancy. Int J Gynaecol Pathol 1989;8:255-62.

16. Persaud V. etiology of tubal ectopic pregnancy. Radioloic pathologic studies. Obstet Gynaecol 1970; 36:257-63.

*Corresponding author:*

Dr. Suparna M Bindu, Full postal address: Twin bungalow no.5, Pratapnagar, lane 2, Darga road, Osmanpura. Aurangabad, Maharashtra. 431005. India

Phone: +91 9860151002.

Email: camsuparna@gmail.com

Financial or other Competing Interests: None.