Postnatal Arx transcriptional activity regulates functional properties of PV interneurons

| Arx; PVCreTdTom control | Arx; PVCreTdTom CKO |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| **Epilepsy-like network abnormalities** | **Anxiety** |
| Increased theta oscillations | Occasional seizures |

**Disrupted pathways for network abnormalities**
- Arx
- Transcriptional activator
- Transcriptional repressor
- RNA polymerase
- Operators
- Effector
- ECM genes
- Synaptic genes

**Dysregulated transcription**
- Dysregulated transcription

**Cellular basis for network abnormalities**
- sEPSC
- eEPSC
- PV

| PV | PV |
|---|---|
| Reduced intrinsic & synaptic excitability | |

**Highlights**
- Arx CKO mice displayed abnormal theta rhythms, occasional seizures, and anxiety
- Loss of Arx in PV interneurons broadly altered their gene expression profiles
- The perineuronal net around PV interneurons was altered in Arx CKO mice
- Loss of Arx in PV interneurons altered their intrinsic and synaptic properties
SUMMARY
The transcription factor Aristaless-related X-linked gene (Arx) is a monogenic factor in early onset epileptic encephalopathies (EOEEs) and a fundamental regulator of early stages of brain development. However, Arx expression persists in mature GABAergic neurons with an unknown role. To address this issue, we generated a conditional knockout (CKO) mouse in which postnatal Arx was ablated in parvalbumin interneurons (PVIs). Electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings in CKO mice revealed an increase in theta oscillations and the occurrence of occasional seizures. Behavioral analysis uncovered an increase in anxiety. Genome-wide sequencing of fluorescence activated cell sorted (FACS) PVIs revealed that Arx impinged on network excitability via genes primarily associated with synaptic and extracellular matrix pathways. Whole-cell recordings revealed prominent hypoexcitability of various intrinsic and synaptic properties. These results revealed important roles for postnatal Arx expression in PVIs in the control of neural circuits and that dysfunction in those roles alone can cause EOEE-like network abnormalities.

INTRODUCTION
Mutations in the Aristaless Related homeobox transcription factor (ARX) cause early onset epileptic encephalopathies (EOEEs), a wide spectrum of disorders characterized by pharmacoresistant seizures, persistent electroencephalography (EEG) abnormalities, and cognitive deficits (Shoubridge et al., 2010). ARX has multiple roles in development, including cell cycle regulation in dorsal excitatory precursors and migration/fate specification of ventral interneuron precursors. Interestingly, ARX is downregulated in dorsal precursors upon exiting the cell cycle but remains expressed in migrating and mature interneurons. This complex pattern of expression is highly suggestive of distinct roles for ARX in the different stages of brain development.

Arx mouse models generated from conditional embryonic gene deletion or mutations have generally recapitulated human EOEEs and exhibited a complex pattern of spontaneous seizures, motor dysfunction, and learning impairments (Colombo et al., 2007; Dubos et al., 2018; Kitamura et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2017; Marsh et al., 2009; Simonet et al., 2015). Although the exact mechanisms by which pathogenic variation of Arx results in the EOEEs is currently unclear, accumulating evidence has converged toward the concept of interneuropathy as a causative event (Kato and Dobyns, 2005). The underlying implication of this concept is that Arx-mediated loss of specific GABAergic interneurons leads to emergent hyperexcitable networks. However, it is currently unknown whether the phenotypes in ARX-linked EOEEs are exclusively due to ARX dysfunction in both interneuron and excitatory neuron progenitors or whether its persistent dysfunction in all or a subpopulation of mature interneurons plays a significant role in the evolution of seizures, EEG, and other phenotypes in EOEE in patients (Nordli, 2012). Therefore, investigations into the role of postnatal Arx expression are needed for a more comprehensive understanding of the underlying pathologic mechanisms of EOEEs and the contribution of sustained expression of this transcription factor (TF) to the evolution and/or manifestation of certain clinical phenotypes of EOEEs.

The loss of ARX function in postnatal interneurons could impair postnatal neural networks and consequently influence the developmental trajectories and the pleiotropic phenotypes of EOEEs. Indeed, three...
lines of evidence in the mouse literature suggest an important role for impaired postnatal Arx transcriptional activity in the pathogenic mechanisms of Arx-related disorders. First, mild and disparate loss of GABAergic neurons have been noted in various Arx knock-in mice carrying polyalanine insertion mutations (Beguin et al., 2013; Dubos et al., 2018; Kitamura et al., 2002, 2009; Lee et al., 2017; Price et al., 2009), leaving a significant number of interneurons with dysfunctional Arx signaling intact. Second, although Arx$^{+/-}$; Dlx5/6Cig mice exhibit a more robust interneuron loss phenotype, the male mice suffer from early postnatal lethality, whereas the females survive with variable Arx expression (Marsh et al., 2009). Finally, seizures noted in early postnatal Arx$^{+/+}$; Dlx5/6Cig mice evolved from no spasms to full-blown human-like infantile spasms in adult males (Marsh et al., 2009). Taken together, these observations suggest that postnatal Arx transcriptional activity in GABAergic neurons may be necessary for broad neural circuit functions in the adult brain and that dysfunction in this postnatal role could contribute to the manifestation and/or evolution of EEOE phenotypes.

Cortical GABAergic interneurons constitute just 20% of the neuronal population, but they influence virtually all stages of circuit maturation due in part to their extensive heterogeneity and extended maturation trajectory (Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008; Le Magueresse and Monyer, 2013). Despite their heterogeneity, recent studies have parsed out interneurons into three major classes (PV, SST, and 5HT3R) and have demonstrated that each subclass, for the most part, adopts a different maturation trajectory by virtue of their birth date (Le Magueresse and Monyer, 2013). The PV subclass has a particularly long window of maturation (Doischer et al., 2008) and their extended developmental trajectory is thought to provide several windows of vulnerability during which aberrant signaling factors can contribute to neurodevelopmental disorders (Morishita et al., 2015). Indeed, the function of PVIs is well known to be altered in several neurodevelopmental disorders, and emerging studies have now demonstrated that certain disease phenotypes can be replicated by selective ablation of a handful of genetic risk factors in these interneurons during postnatal development (Hu et al., 2014). Although Arx is highly expressed in PVIs compared with most other interneuron subtypes during early postnatal development (Fishell and Kepes, 2019), the functional significance for this high and sustained expression has not been addressed. Thus, an understanding of how ongoing transcriptional activity in PVIs is an important regulator of neural circuit function. We found a significant increase in hippocampal theta rhythms that was accompanied by occasional spontaneous seizures and anxiety-related behaviors. The disrupted genetic and molecular pathways underpinning these clinical phenotypes were primarily associated with a diverse array of extracellular-matrix-related genes. Finally, we found that the cellular basis for the hyperexcitable hippocampal networks in CKO mice was a profound reduction in the intrinsic and synaptic excitability of PVIs. Our data provide evidence that postnatal Arx transcriptional activity in PVIs is an important regulator of neural circuits and that loss of this function contributes to the pathologic mechanisms of EEOEs. Therefore, restoration of postnatal Arx transcriptional activity in PVIs in the postnatal brain following onset of EEOEs may be an effective strategy toward circuit-based therapies.

RESULTS

Conditional deletion of Arx in PVIs

To examine the contribution of postnatal Arx dysfunction in the pathogenic mechanisms of EEOEs, we generated mice lacking Arx only in PVIs by first crossing mice with Cre driven by the PV promoter (Hippenmeyer et al., 2005) to TetTomato (TdTom) (Madsen et al., 2010) reporter mice to generate Arx; PV$^{Cre}$; TdTom mice (hereafter termed control mice; Figure 1A). Mice with Cre recombinase and TdTom expression in PVIs (Arx; PV$^{Cre}$; TdTom mice) were then crossed with mice carrying Arx flanked LoxP sites (Arx$^{flx}$; Fulp et al., 2008; Marsh et al., 2009) alleles to generate Arx$^{flx}$/PV$^{Cre}$; TdTom KO mice (hereafter termed Arx KO mice; Figure 1A). Cre-combination and mouse genotypes were confirmed by RT-PCR analysis in P21 mice (Figure 1B). Because Arx is an X-linked gene, we restricted our studies to male mice in both genotypes. We noted PV$^{Cre}$-driven recombination as measured by the appearance of TdTom + neurons in both control and Arx KO mice as early as postnatal day 15 (P15) with increasing TdTom florescence at 35 days (P35) (Data not shown) and near-complete loss of Arx in TdTom-containing cells at 8 weeks (Figure 1C). Cell-type specificity of PV$^{Cre}$ mice has been previously described (Fuchs et al., 2007; Hippenmeyer et al., 2005), and our timeline for PV$^{Cre}$ recombination is consistent with a previous report by Carlen and colleagues (Carlen et al., 2012). We found very little overlap between TdTom and Arx immuno-histochemical signals from 6- to 8-week-old mice, suggesting that Arx was strictly ablated in PVIs (Figure 1C).
Immunohistochemical staining of ARX and PV labeling of the same cohorts of mice revealed co-labeling of ARX and PV in 82.7\% of cortical and hippocampal PVIs in control mice but only in 11.7\% of PVIs in Arx CKO mice (Figure 1D). All Arx CKO mice were viable, fertile, and displayed no obvious brain abnormalities (data not shown). As PVCre-driven recombination was not detectable before the second postnatal week, early developmental processes in our Arx CKO mice were not affected. Thus, our PVcre and Arx\textsuperscript{LoxP/LoxP} recombination approach resulted in a suitable Arx CKO mouse model to investigate the role of postnatal Arx transcriptional activity in the maturing brain.

**Loss of Arx led to increased theta oscillations and presence of occasional seizures**

As the major phenotype in Arx-linked EOEEs are seizures and an abnormal EEG, we first examined EEG oscillatory activity and noted that power spectra calculated from hippocampal and cortical electrodes either during night or during day recordings did not differ between control and Arx CKO mice (Figures 2A–2C). However, analysis of hippocampal theta rhythms in epochs of high-theta oscillatory activities in those recordings revealed changes in theta power spectra (Figure 2E). Specifically, Arx CKO mice showed an increase in normalized peak power spectra in the theta frequency bands (Figure 2F). We also examined the EEG recordings for the presence of seizures and observed spontaneous electrographic seizures in some Arx CKO mice (2/12) but not in control mice (0/8; Figure 2G). Typically, seizure onset was marked by fast and high-amplitude spikes (100µV/5–10Hz), lasting more than 60 s and followed by a prolonged post-ictal flattening period (Figure 2G). These results demonstrate that the loss of Arx in PVIs alone is sufficient for the induction of epilepsy-like network hyperexcitability.
**Figure 2. Loss of Arx in PVIs resulted in increased theta rhythms and occasional seizures**

(A and C) Representative traces of night (A) and day (C) time hippocampal and cortical EEG recordings.

(B and D) Grand average of normalized hippocampal (Left plots) and cortical (Right plots) power spectra from night (B) and day time (D) EEG recordings showed no differences between genotypes (p > 0.05; n ≥ 8 for both control and Arx CKO).

(E) Representative traces of EEG recordings dominated by theta oscillations.

(F) Power spectra of high theta epochs revealed a significant increase in theta rhythms in Arx CKO mice (**p < 0.01; Control, Arx CKO: n = 7, 8).

(G) Representative traces of two electrographic seizures noted in two different Arx CKO mice (2/12). Expanded view shows that a buildup of rhythmic spikes preceded seizure onset. Data analyzed by two-way ANOVA (C & D) and by the unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test (F). All data are given as mean ± SD.

**Increased anxiety-like behaviors in Arx CKO mice**

Arx-linked disorders are characterized by significant impairments in cognitive and adaptive behaviors (Dubos et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 2017; Kitamura et al., 2009; Price et al., 2009; Simonet et al., 2015). Therefore,
we investigated if motor coordination, spatial learning and memory, fear conditioning, novel object recognition, and anxiety were abnormal in the Arx CKO mice. Motor coordination and strength were tested using the rotarod and grip strength tests. Motor coordination and learning rate measured in the rotarod test were indistinguishable from control mice (Figures S1A and S1B). Forelimb and hindlimb grip strengths and pulled force were also similar in both genotypes (Figures S1C and S1D). Thus, neuromuscular strength and coordination in mice are independent of postnatal Arx transcriptional activity in PVIs.

Next, we measured hippocampus-dependent cognitive function using the forward and reverse spatial learning and memory paradigms of the Morris water maze (MWM) test (Simonet et al., 2015). Control and Arx CKO mice showed similar performance in the forward and reverse learning phases of the MWM (Figures S2A–S2E). This lack of difference in performance was also noted during the probe or memory test in the form of equal number of crosses for the previous location of the platform during both the recall and purging phases of the probe test (Figures S2F and S2G).

We further probed learning performance using the contextual fear conditioning paradigm (Phillips and LeDoux, 1992) and novel object recognition test (Ennaceur and Delacour, 1988). Control and Arx CKO mice showed very low but similar initial freezing ratio prior to the context or foot shock (Figure S2H). Total freezing ratio measured 24 h after the context was also similar in both genotypes (Figure S2I). Similarly, there was no difference in performance between the Arx CKO and control mice in the novel object recognition test (Figures S2J and S2K).

As a final measure of adaptive behaviors, we evaluated anxiety using the open field (OF) test (Simonet et al., 2015). Control and Arx CKO mice covered equal amount of distance in the OF arena (Figures 3A and 3B), but the Arx CKO mice spent significantly less time in the center (Figures 3A and 3C). This reduction in time spent in the center of the arena was noted only for locomotor activity during the second of three 5-min bins, which resulted in a significant reduction in the acclimatization ratio (Figure 3C). This enhancement in anxiety-like behavior was further indicated by a significant increase in fecal counts during the open field test (Figure 3D). To further test anxiety, we performed the elevated plus-maze (EPM) test (Dawson and Tricklebank, 1995) and noted that control and Arx CKO mice made similar number of entries into the open and closed arms of the EPM (Figures 3E and 3F). However, the Arx CKO mice spent significantly less time in the open arm of the test apparatus (Figures 3E and 3G). Taken together, these results suggest that loss of postnatal Arx in PVIs contributes to the expression of anxiety-like behaviors but not cognitive nor motor coordination in Arx-linked disorders.

Postnatal loss of Arx in PVIs altered their transcriptomic profiles

Loss of function of Arx during early embryonic development disrupts genes expression of molecular and cellular processes such as cell migration, axonal guidance, neurogenesis, and regulation of transcription (Fulp et al., 2008). Given the developmental tilt of these pathways, we reasoned that the disrupted pathways underpinning the network and behavioral abnormalities in adult Arx CKO mice might be different than the previously described developmentally expressed genes. Therefore, we performed genome-wide expression profiling of FACS-sorted PVIs (PND 35–40). RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) from cortical and hippocampal TdTom + PVIs from five control and four Arx CKO mice resulted in an extensive library of paired reads, which were then mapped to 25K + genes and normalized in DEseq2 (Love et al., 2014). We first assessed the quality of the sequencing data using hierarchical clustering and principal component analysis (PCA) analyses and found a modest separation between control and Arx CKO samples (Figures 4A and 5A), reflective of their distinct transcriptomic profiles. Using a modified Bland-Altman (MA) plot, we noted that ablation of Arx in PVIs changed the expression of many genes, but only 144 were significantly dysregulated (Figure 4B). Among the differentially expressed genes (DEGs), 28 were upregulated and 116 genes were downregulated (Figure 4B). The epilepsy-related genes LAMB1, COL18A1, Nid1, and DOCK6 (Pisciotta et al., 2018; Radmanesh et al., 2013; Suzuki et al., 2002; Vasudevan et al., 2010) were among the downregulated DEGs (See Table S1 for the full list of DEGs). As hypothesized, we found virtually no overlap between our DEGs and those from embryonic Arx deletion (Fulp et al., 2008), proving that the postnatal Arx transcriptional regulatory program is distinct from the embryonic brain. To validate the RNA-Seq data, we performed quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis on Arx and 4 DEGs (Gria3, Olmfl, Cck, and Nid1) using different biological replicates from the RNAseq analysis. Arx was chosen for validation, as it was expected to be reduced in expression in spite of not being a DEG, an observation that is likely due to its low abundance (mean normalized read count = 188) or differential expression of its
intronic and coding sequences as previously shown (Fulp et al., 2008). Nonetheless, we observed a statistically significant increase in Gria3 and Cck and a decrease in Arx as well as Nid1 (Figure S3B). Although not statistically significant, Olfm1 mRNA expression also increased more than 2-fold in Arx CKO tissues (Figure S3B).

Given the multiplicity of disrupted genes, we choose a biological pathway-based analytical approach to identify the most important molecular and cellular processes underlying the network and behavioral abnormalities in Arx CKO mice. To that end, we applied gene ontology (GO) analysis using the Database for Biological ...
Figure 4. Loss of Arx in PVIs altered their transcriptomic profiles
(A) PCA plot showing separation between control and Arx CKO biological replicates.
(B) MA plot of DEGs and Venn diagram with the number of up- and downregulated DEGs. Red dots indicate up- and downregulated genes, whereas the black dots indicate non-significant genes based on cut-off criteria (|log2FC| ≥ 1, FDR < 0.05).
(C and D) Functional networks formed by (C) upregulated and downregulated (D) DEGs. Black nodes represent the queried DEGs, and the gray nodes are other genes related to the DEGs.
(E) Percent weight table for each of the functional association networks made by the up- and downregulated DEGs.
(F) Top 3 significantly enriched Gene ontology [−log10(FDR)] terms associated with functional networks made by DEGs.
(G and H) Open chromatin regions with Arx-binding sites were more likely to be found in up- (G) and downregulated (H) DEGs than randomly generated sites (****p < 0.0001).
(I and J) Upregulated (I) and downregulated (J) DEGs binding motifs were mostly found in distal regions relative to the TSSs. Values on bar graphs represent number of genes associated with peaks in each genomic bin. Transcriptomic data were from 5 control and 4 Arx CKO mice. Data analyzed by Benjamini-Hochberg FDR method (B & F) and Chi-square test (G & H).
was a clear tendency for this PV-ATAC sites/ure 4I) and downregulated (Figure 4J) DEGs occurred within 5 Kb of the annotated TSSs. By contrast, there were the top three significant cellular component GO terms associated with the upregulated DEGs (Figure S3C with full table pathways). By contrast, proteinaceous extracellular matrix, extracellular matrix, and collagen fibril organization were the three most significant cellular component GO terms associated with the downregulated genes (Figure S3D). Hence, the prominent biological pathways underpinning network and behavioral abnormalities in Arx CKO mice are distinct from those dysregulated following embryonic loss of Arx.

Functional gene networks were constructed to identify dysregulated pathways based on co-expression, co-localization, physical interaction, predicted interaction, shared protein domain, and other functional relations using the GeneMANIA cytoscape app (Montojo et al., 2014). In the generated networks, genes/proteins are depicted as dark (Query genes) and gray (Predicted genes) circles and the detected functional relationships between genes/proteins as connecting lines or edges (Figure 4C). Eight genes (2 unregulated, 6 downregulated) among the DEGs were omitted from the constructed networks because they were not recognized by the GeneMANIA-Cytoscape app. Among the rest, the upregulated genes were connected primarily via co-expression networks (69.68%) (Figure 4E) and to a lesser extent via co-localization (18.73%), interaction with predicted genes/proteins (2.28%), shared protein domains (0.09%), and other functional relations (9.21%) (Figure 4E). The downregulated DEGs were also primarily co-expressed together, yielding a co-expression network weight of 76.47% (Figure 4E). The remaining downregulated DEGs were connected via co-localization (9.65%), interaction with predicted genes/proteins (6.86%), physical interactions (0.94%), shared protein domains (0.24%), and other functional relations (5.83%) (Figure 4E).

GO analysis of the generated networks that were clustered around synaptic and ECM processes are noted in DAVID (Figures 4F and Tables S2 and S3). These results indicate that postnatal Arx expression in PVIs regulates a network of genes widely connected by co-expression and that the upregulated and downregulated genes within its regulatory controls differ in functional network weight, physical interactions, and function. More importantly, the level of significance for the top three GO categories in the network analysis was more profound for the downregulated DEGs compared with the upregulated genes, highlighting the importance of dysregulation of ECM-related gene networks in the production of network and behavioral abnormalities in Arx CKO mice.

Gene expression changes identified in our RNAseq could be a consequence, rather than a cause, of the noted network and behavioral abnormalities. To determine whether the expression changes were directly due to loss of Arx, we utilized in silico analysis. This approach was chosen, as the low Arx transcript levels limit direct assessment of binding through cell-specific chromatin immunoprecipitation. Using previously published open chromatin sites in PVIs derived by assay of transposase-accessible chromatin (ATAC), we performed transcription-factor-binding motif (TFBM) enrichment analysis in the 100 kb upstream and downstream of the transcription start site (TSS) of DEGs (Mo et al., 2015). ATAC sequencing is a validated method for identifying the open chromatin signature (Buenrostro et al., 2013). Using Cistrome in combination with the UCSC Table Browser (Karolchik et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2011), we identified a total of 23 of 28 (82.1%) upregulated DEGs (Figure S3E) and 95 of 116 (81.9%) downregulated DEGs (Figure S3F) with at least one overlapping PV-ATAC site and Arx-binding motif. A list of DEGs with at least one overlapping PV-ATAC site and Arx-binding motif is given in Table S4 (FDR <0.05). The synapse-associated genes Gria3 and Svt2b were among the upregulated DEGs with overlapping PV-ATAC sites and Arx-binding motifs (Table S4). The downregulated DEGs with overlapping PV-ATAC sites and Arx-binding motifs included the extracellular matrix gene Col18a1 and Nid1 (Table S4). To determine if Arx-binding motifs were more likely to be present in PV-ATAC sites near DEGs, we first compared the probability of finding an Arx-binding motif in PV-ATAC sites in such DEG with randomly generated genomic regions matched for length and percent GC content in RSAT (Nguyen et al., 2018). Our results showed the presence of Arx-binding sites in PV-ATAC sites were more likely in the upregulated DEGs than in randomly generated PV-ATAC sites (Figure 4G). Arx-binding motifs were also more likely to be found in PV-ATAC sites from downregulated DEGs than in randomly generated matched sites (Figure 4H). We also measured the region-to-gene associations of overlapped PV-ATAC sites and Arx-binding motifs using the Genomics Region of Enrichment Analysis Tool (GREAT) (McLean et al., 2010) and noted that less than 10% of such association for upregulated (Figure 4I) and downregulated (Figure 4J) DEGs occurred within 5 Kb of the annotated TSSs. By contrast, there was a clear tendency for this PV-ATAC sites/Arx motifs association for upregulated and downregulated
DEGs to be confined to regions distant from the annotated TSSs (Figures 4I and 4J). These results indicate that Arx-binding motifs in the postnatal brain are enriched at putative enhancers of both up- and downregulated DEGs. Thus, Arx regulatory roles in postnatal gene regulation might be as a secondary modulator of gene expression through binding at distal enhancers instead of a primary and necessary driver of transcription mediated through binding at proximal enhancers (Lenhard et al., 2012).

**Arx ablation disrupted the perineuronal nets around PVIs**

ECM-related genes are important in the development and maturation of neural circuits (Stranahan et al., 2013). Therefore, we asked whether the profound downregulation of ECM-regulated genes in PVIs resulted in structural alterations. We first assessed the density of PVIs using conventional immunolabeling techniques. We found that the density of PVIs was not altered in Arx CKO mice (Figures 5A and 5B). To rule out non-cell autonomous effects on the other GABAergic interneurons, we measured the density of hippocampal SST, CB, and CR GABAergic interneuron subtypes and found no differences (Figure 5B). To further assess structural alterations, we investigated whether the loss of Arx in PVIs led to molecular changes in the perineuronal nets (PNNs), an ECM specialization that consists of proteoglycans such as aggrecan (ACAN) and generally wraps PVIs (Giamanco and Matthews, 2012). Using immunolabeling techniques, we observed a marked reduction in the intensity of the aggregating proteoglycans marker ACAN (Figures 5C and 5E) and a substantial decrease in the number of the glycosylated ACAN marker Cat-315 (Figures 5D and 5F) throughout the hippocampus of Arx CKO mice. As expected, co-labeling of TdTom and Cat-315 showed that PVIs are preferentially wrapped by the PNNs in control mice, but this structural relationship appears to be disrupted in Arx CKO mice as evidenced by the profound reduction in the number of TdTom + cells surrounded by Cat-315 (Figures 5D and 5F). These results further confirm the RNA-Seq GO analysis and establish an important role for postnatal Arx signaling in the structural maintenance of PVIs in neural circuits.

**Reduced membrane excitability of PVIs in Arx CKO mice**

The PNN has been shown to be a potent regulator of neuronal excitability (Balmer, 2016; Dityatev et al., 2007; Favuzzi et al., 2017; Lensjo et al., 2017), raising the possibility that the loss of PNN around PVIs from Arx CKO mice may have altered the intrinsic excitability of these interneurons. To test this possibility, we recorded intrinsic membrane properties of PVIs from the first Cornu Ammonis (CA1) region of the hippocampus in whole-cell current clamp mode. Passive intrinsic membrane properties were not significantly affected by the loss of Arx (see Table S5 for values of resting membrane potential, capacitance, input resistance, and membrane time constant). Similarly, action potential properties measured from the initial spike evoked by the rheobase current (Figure 6A) were indistinguishable between genotypes with regard to amplitude, duration at half amplitude, and fast afterhyperpolarization potential (AHP) (Table S5). However, the rheobase current amplitude required to evoke this initial action potential (AP) was significantly higher in PVIs from Arx CKO mice (Figure 6B). In addition, the membrane threshold for the initial AP firing was more depolarized in these PVIs (Figure 6C). These hypo-excitability measures were associated with much slower onset latencies for the initial APs (Figure 6D). Trains of APs triggered by 500 ms depolarizing current steps in both Arx CKO and control mice were relatively brief in duration and occurred at high frequency with very low adaptation ratio (Figure 6E), suggesting that PVIs that underwent Cre-recombination in both genotypes still exhibited major physiological hallmarks of wild-type fast-spiking PVIs (Kuhiman and Huang, 2008; Rudy and McBain, 2001). However, the number of APs generated by a given 500 ms current step was significantly reduced in PVIs from Arx CKO mice (Figure 6F), indicating that ablation of Arx in PVIs alters their steady state firing properties. These results suggest that postnatal Arx transcriptional activity is an important regulator of intrinsic membrane properties of PVIs.

**Dendritic complexity of PVIs is intact in Arx CKO mice**

The hypo-excitability measures in PVIs from Arx CKO mice could depend on the types and density of voltage-gated ion channels over the neuronal membrane (Migliore and Shepherd, 2005) or altered complexity of their dendrites (van der Velden et al., 2012). Given that our RNA-Seq data revealed a lack of prominent dysregulation of voltage-gated ion channels, we measured dendritic complexity using Sholl analysis (Sholl, 1953). Quantitative analysis of biotin-labeled PVIs in the hippocampal CA1 region did not reveal any significant differences in dendritic complexity as measured by number of intersections, branch number, and maximum branch length in Sholl concentric circles near or distant from the soma (Figures S4A–S4D). Thus, these results suggest that dendritic morphology did not substantially contribute to PVI or overall CA1 network excitability.
Reduced spontaneous synaptic excitability in PVIs

Brain oscillations are thought to emerge through an interactive interplay between intrinsic membrane and synaptic properties (Benayoun et al., 2010). Thus, we reasoned that the hypo-excitability of PVIs in Arx CKO mice could be accompanied by alterations in synaptic excitability. To measure synaptic excitability, we

Figure 5. Perineuronal nets are disrupted around PVIs in Arx CKO mice without altering the density of GABAergic interneurons

(A) Representative images of PV immunolabeling in the hippocampus of control (Top) and Arx CKO (Bottom) mice. (B) Density of PV, CB, CR, and SST GABAergic interneurons per mm² in the CA1 hippocampus was comparable in both genotypes (p > 0.05; two-way ANOVA; control, Arx CKO: N = 3). (C and D) Representative images of aggrecan (C, ACAN) and Cat-315/TdTomato co-labeling in the hippocampus of control (Left) and Arx CKO mice (Right) (D).

(E–G) ACAN intensity was reduced in Arx CKO mice (E, *p < 0.05, unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test; control = 5 and Arx CKO = 7). Quantitative analysis of Cat-315 + neurons per mm² revealed a significant reduction in the density (F, **p < 0.01; unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test; control = 6 and Arx CKO = 4) and in the number of neurons with overlapping expression of this marker with TdTom (G, ****p < 0.0001; unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test; Control = 6 and Arx CKO = 4). Data are given as mean ± SEM (B). Scale bars: (A) 25 μm, (C) 50 μm, (D) 100 μm.

Reduced spontaneous synaptic excitability in PVIs

Brain oscillations are thought to emerge through an interactive interplay between intrinsic membrane and synaptic properties (Benayoun et al., 2010). Thus, we reasoned that the hypo-excitability of PVIs in Arx CKO mice could be accompanied by alterations in synaptic excitability. To measure synaptic excitability, we
simultaneously recorded spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) on PVIs at a holding potential of $-40\text{mV}$ in aCSF free of glutamate and GABA receptor blockers (Zhou et al., 2009). The inward sEPSC onto PVIs appeared as rapidly rising and slowly decaying currents, whereas the rise and decay kinetics of the outward IPSCs currents were slower as previously described (Figure 7A) (Wuarin and Dudek, 1993). There was a reduction in the mean frequency of spontaneous EPSCs onto Arx CKO PVIs (Figure 7B) as well as a rightward shift of the cumulative probability curve for inter-event intervals (Figure 7B). Although the mean sEPSC amplitude was similar in both genotypes, there was a shift toward larger sEPSC events in their cumulative distribution in Arx CKO mice (Figure 7C).

Analysis of sIPSCs also revealed a significant rightward shift in the cumulative probability of inhibitory inter-event intervals, reflecting a reduction in the frequency of sIPSCs (Figure 7D). However, the mean frequency of these sIPSC did not differ between genotypes (Figure 7D). Analysis of sIPSCs also showed no significant differences in mean events amplitude nor in their cumulative distribution in Arx CKO and control mice (Figure 7E). As expected from the lack of changes in EPSC and IPSC amplitudes, their mean amplitude ratio was not altered in Arx CKO mice (Figure 7F). These results support a role for transcriptional activity of postnatal Arx in the regulation of some spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory synaptic properties in PVIs.

**Alterations in miniature synaptic transmission onto PVIs**

To measure the contribution of network activity to the changes in spontaneous synaptic transmission onto PVIs in the CA1 hippocampal region, we recorded miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) and IPSCs (mIPSCs) in the
Figure 7. Impaired spontaneous synaptic transmission
(A) Example traces of sEPSCs and sIPSC recorded on PVIs in the CA1 hippocampus of control and Arx CKO mice.
(B) Reduced sEPSC frequency onto PVIs in Arx CKO mice (Cumulative and box plots: ****p < 0.0001, *p < 0.05; control: n = 16/10, Arx CKO: n = 20/12, cells/mice).
(C) Cumulative amplitude shifted toward larger events without affecting the mean (cumulative and box plots: ****p < 0.0001, p > 0.05; control: n = 16/10, Arx CKO: n = 20/12, cells/mice).
(D) The cumulative frequency of sIPSCs shifted toward less events without any effects on their mean frequency (cumulative and box plots: ****p < 0.0001, p > 0.05; control: n = 17/11, Arx CKO: n = 21/12, cells/mice).
(E) Cumulative distribution and mean of sIPSC amplitude are normal in Arx CKO mice (cumulative and box plots: p > 0.05 for both graphs; control: n = 14/10, Arx CKO: n = 19/12, cells/mice).
(F) Ratio of excitatory to inhibitory amplitudes was not altered (p > 0.05; Control: n = 17/10, Arx CKO: n = 18/12, cells/mice).
(G) A shift toward less excitatory events (mEPSCs) was still evident in presence of TTX with no effects on the mean frequency (cumulative and box plots: ****p < 0.0001, p > 0.05; control: n = 20/12, Arx CKO: n = 17/12, cells/mice).
(H) Cumulative distribution of mEPSC amplitudes shifted toward smaller events as reflected in the mean amplitude (cumulative and box plots: ****p < 0.0001, *p < 0.05; Control & Arx CKO: n = 17/12, cells/mice).
(I) Biphasic cumulative distribution mIPSC frequency with no effects on the mean (cumulative and box plots: ****p < 0.0001, p > 0.05; Control: n = 15/10, Arx CKO: n = 11/10, cells/mice).
(J) Cumulative distribution of mIPSC amplitudes shifted toward larger events with no effects on the mean amplitude (cumulative and box plots: ****p < 0.0001, *p < 0.05; control: n = 19/12, Arx CKO: n = 17/12, cells/mice). Data are given as mean ± SEM and analyzed by the K-S (cumulative plots) or the unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t (Box plots) tests.
presence of 0.5µM tetrodotoxin (TTX). In contrast to sEPSCs, quantitative analysis of mEPSCs showed no significant differences in mean frequency of excitatory events between Arx CKO and control mice (Figure 7G). However, similar to the sEPSCs, the cumulative probability curve of mEPSCs inter-event intervals shifted toward less frequent events in Arx CKO mice compared with controls (Figure 7G). In contrast to sEPSCs, the mean amplitude of mEPSCs recorded on PVIs from Arx CKO mice was significantly reduced compared with controls, and this observation was consistent with a leftward shift toward smaller events in their cumulative distribution (Figure 7H).

The mean frequency of the mIPSCs in Arx CKO mice was not statistically different from controls (Figure 7I). Analysis of the cumulative distribution of mIPSCs inter-event intervals showed a biphasic curve, with a cluster of events shifted leftward toward more frequent events and another shifted rightward toward less frequent events (Figure 7I). The mean amplitude of mIPSC events revealed that Arx CKO mice were indistinguishable from control mice, but the cumulative distribution of those events shifted toward larger mIPSCs (Figure 7J). These results suggest that loss of Arx in PVIs was primarily associated with changes to excitatory synaptic properties, including a reduction in EPSC mean frequency as well as significant changes in the cumulative distribution of sEPSC/sIPSC IEI and amplitude. Many of these changes were either blunted or reversed by the addition of TTX, suggesting Arx-mediated alterations in synaptic drives onto PVIs might be influenced by intrinsic properties of presynaptic cells and/or network excitability.

**Loss of Arx reduced evoked excitatory drive onto PVIs**

The quantitative analysis of mEPSCs and mIPSCs on PVIs are mostly consistent with a reduction in synaptic excitability. To further strengthen this conclusion, we measured the input-output (I-O) relationship of whole-cell evoked EPSCs (eEPSCs) and IPSCs (eIPSCs) on PVIs in response to Shaffer collateral (SC) stimulation (Figure 8A). We found that the I-O relationship of the eEPSC amplitude was reduced in Arx CKO mice (Figure 8B). This reduction in synaptic transmission was further manifested in a reduction in charge transfer and decay kinetics (Figures 8C and 8D). By contrast, eIPSC I/O relationship for amplitude, charge transfer, and decay kinetics revealed no differences between genotypes (Figures 8E–8G). However, the ratio of eEPSC/eIPSC amplitudes onto PVIs in Arx CKO mice was reduced (Figure 8H), indicating a shift toward less excitation.

The reduction in synaptic excitability of CA1 PVIs following the postnatal loss of Arx could arise from alterations in the pre-synaptic machinery. Therefore, we recorded whole-cell patch paired pulse responses (PPR) in PVIs at SC-CA1 synapses (Figures 8I and 8J). We found that the ratio of eEPSC PPR recorded on Arx null PVIs was unchanged relative to controls (Figure 8I), suggesting that Arx-mediated impairments in evoked excitatory transmission were independent of presynaptic defects. The eIPSC PPR in Arx CKO was indistinguishable from control mice (Figure 8J), a consistent observation with the lack of change in inhibitory synaptic drive onto in PVIs. Taken together, these results indicate that network hyperexcitability in Arx CKO mice was driven primarily by a reduction in SC drive onto PVIs that was independent of the presynaptic release machinery.

**Postsynaptic glutamate receptors hypofunction contributes to reduced synaptic excitability**

Given that PPR of eEPSCs and dendritic complexity were unaltered in PVIs from Arx CKO mice, we reasoned that the reduction in synaptic excitability could arise from alterations in the function of postsynaptic glutamate receptors (GluRs). We measured mixed AMPA and N-Methyl-D-aspartic acid or N-Methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) eEPSCs in response to SC stimulation and characterized the AMPA and NMDA components of those EPSCs with respect to their conductance, rectification patterns, and functional ratio. Examination of the I-V relationship for both AMPA- and NMDA-receptor-mediated currents at different holding potentials revealed considerable inward rectification in both genotypes, but this rectification behavior appears to be more moderate in Arx CKO mice (Figures 9A–9C). Accordingly, the rectification index (RI), defined as the ratio of current amplitude at +50 and −50 mV, for both AMPA and NMDA receptor currents was significantly reduced in PVIs from Arx CKO mice (Figures 9D and 9E). This suggests that excitatory synapses on PVIs were more likely to be activated via Ca²⁺ impermeable GluRs. A caveat, however, is that the recorded reversal potentials of AMPA and NMDA receptor currents were different from their expected 0 mV value (Suyama et al., 2017). Although several factors could account for this discrepancy, poor space clamp error may have largely contributed to this error in voltage. Because this error could potentially affect the accuracy of the RI of AMPA and NMDA receptors, we limited our analysis to cells that did not substantially differ from their reversal potentials to ensure that space clamp errors were evenly distributed.
across genotypes. Of note, the RI values were consistently lower in Arx CKO PVIs compared with controls irrespective of differences in reversal potentials, confirming the accuracy of our RI data.

To further elucidate the synaptic mechanisms of reduced excitatory drive to PVIs, we measured the ratio of AMPA/NMDA receptors. As expected, based on the RI measurements, the AMPAR/NMDA receptor current ratio was significantly reduced in PVIs from Arx CKO mice compared with controls (Figures 9F and 9G), further supporting the postsynaptic basis of eEPSC impairments noted in PVIs following the loss of Arx. Taken together, these results suggest that the synaptic basis of network and behavioral abnormalities...
in Arx CKO mice can be attributed primarily to a reduction in excitatory synaptic drive onto PVIs as a result of dysregulation of postsynaptic GluRs.

**DISCUSSION**

Loss of function of Arx during embryonic development leads to defects in migration of interneurons, epilepsy, and cognitive deficits. ARX remains expressed in mature interneurons, with an unknown function and relationship to disease progression. We addressed this important question by ablating postnatal Arx expression exclusively in the PVIs and noted an increase in EEG theta oscillations, occasional spontaneous seizures, and behavioral abnormalities. RNAseq analysis suggests that these network effects were likely due to dysregulation of coherent set of genes, with those related to the ECM as the central hub of dysregulated pathways underlying the network abnormalities. The cellular and synaptic mechanisms mediating these network effects appear to involve a profound reduction in excitability of intrinsic and synaptic properties onto PVIs. Therefore, our results place Arx in PVIs at nexus of a broad set of genes essential for sculpting as well as maintaining mature neural networks and dysfunction in those roles contributes to the pathologic mechanisms of Arx-linked EEOEs.

Seizures and other network abnormalities are important clinical manifestations of EEOEs (Nordli, 2012; Shoubridge et al., 2010). We found a selected increase in theta oscillations and rare electrographic seizures following the postnatal loss of Arx in PVIs. An alteration in theta oscillations was expected, given previous reports of tight coupling of PVI excitability with both theta and gamma oscillations (Amilhon et al., 2015;
provoking environments (Gordon et al., 2005). Although the expression of anxiety-like behaviors was... in the cognitive, motor, and anxiety domains. Interestingly, we only found a behavioral phenotype within the anxiety domain in the Arx CKO mice. More specifically, the mutant mice exhibited an increase in anxiety-related behaviors, an observation that is well correlated with the enhanced theta oscillations in these mice, given the direct relationship between the magnitude of these rhythms and anxiety-... in the open arm of the EPM but not in the number of entries into the open and closed arms, suggesting that Arx-dependent activity in PVIs mediates the expression of anxiety-like behaviors as opposed to a general effect on activity levels. Indeed, the anxiety phenotype in the OF was noted without any discernible differences in activity levels of control and Arx CKO mice. Although control and Arx CKO mice display similar levels of spontaneous activity in the OF, our quantification of spontaneous activity levels are lower than others have reported (Bolivar et al., 2000; Bothe et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2010; Moy et al., 2007; Sik et al., 2003). Similarly, both genotypes displayed low exploration activity in the NOR test (Bolivar et al., 2000; Bothe et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2010; Moy et al., 2007; Sik et al., 2003). Although we cannot fully explain these differences, environmental factors such as noise, temperature changes, and housing are known to impact levels of exploration and spontaneous activity in mice (Van Meer and Raber, 2005). Alternatively, the difference in these behaviors may be explained by the 129P2/OlaHsd × 129S6/SvEvTac × C57BL/6J hybrid background of our mice. Genetic variability across inbred strains exists in most behavioral tests, including those for cognitive and emotional disorders (Van Meer and Raber, 2005). In particular, the 129S1 strain, an important contributor to the hybrid background strain in this study, has consistently been shown to display low levels of spontaneous and exploratory activity in OF and NOR tests, respectively (Bolivar et al., 2000; Bothe et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2010; Moy et al., 2007; Sik et al., 2003).

Previous reports have stated that anxiety or emotional stress can impair spatial learning and memory (Goodman and McIntyre, 2017; Packard and Wingard, 2004). Thus, we were surprised by the lack of effects on spatial learning and memory in the Arx CKO mice in light of the increased anxiety-like behaviors in these mice. This
is particularly interesting given the association of spatial performance in the early stages of the MWM to anxiety or thigmotaxic behavior in mice. Although increase in thigmotaxic behavior in Arx CKO mice was evident in the OF test, analysis of path efficiency and distance traveled to the escape platform did not reveal any differences in the expression of such behavior at any point during the MWM test. These differences in the two paradigms are likely due to context-dependent expression of fear (Van Meer and Raber, 2005).

The selective impairments of anxiety in the Arx CKO mice among the three behavioral domains tested in this study is consistent with the previously described relationship between alterations in the functional properties of PVIs and manifestation of cognitive and motor deficits relevant to neurodevelopmental disorders (Hu et al., 2014). Notably, alterations in the neurotransmitter release properties of PVIs by deletion of the Glutamic acid decarboxylase 67 gene or in their excitability by genetic deletion of ion channels such as NMDARs, GluR1, metabotropic GluR5, and the sodium voltage-gated channel alpha subunit 1 (SCN1A) have led to variable cognitive and spontaneous activity phenotypes from significantly affected to indistinguishable from controls (Billingslea et al., 2014; Carlen et al., 2012; Fuchs et al., 2007; Korotkova et al., 2010; Saunders et al., 2013). Our results suggest that anxiety-like behaviors are among the emergent behavioral phenotypes from mice with dysfunctional PVIs and that their manifestation may be primarily related to postnatal alterations in the functional properties of these cells. Although this selective anxiety phenotype in our postnatal Arx CKO model is interesting, it is in contrast to the diverse adaptive behavioral deficits in various Arx mutant mice (Dubos et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 2017; Kitamura et al., 2009; Price et al., 2009; Simonet et al., 2015). These discrepant observations are likely due to differences in prenatal and postnatal Arx roles and/or the number/type of interneuron subtypes where Arx is dysregulated. Nonetheless, our present results demonstrate that loss of Arx transcriptional activity in maturing PVIs alone can lead to anxiety-related behaviors commonly noted in EOEs.

To understand the molecular determinants of the network and behavioral abnormalities in Arx CKO mice, we assessed the transcriptomic profiles of PVIs and found dysregulation of a diverse and broad set of genes. Except for Calb1, these deregulated genes did not overlap with DEGs following embryonic deletion of Arx (Fulp et al., 2008), suggesting that the postnatal Arx transcriptional program mostly diverged from its embryonic counterpart. Interestingly, Arx was not among our DEGs, but qPCR analysis of its expression revealed a significant reduction as expected. The basis for the incoherence between the DEG and qPCR analyses with respect to Arx could be due to a number of factors. First, low Arx read counts in the RNAseq could have diminished the accuracy of quantifying Arx expression (Lozoya et al., 2018). Second, P35-40 total RNA from FACS sorted Arx null PVIs used for genome-wide expression profiling may have been contaminated with slowly degrading mRNA transcribed prior to recombination at ~P15. Thus, it may be advantageous in the future to isolate RNA from Arx CKO models in older mice to mitigate the possible impact of slowly degrading Arx mRNA on transcriptomic profiling studies. Third, high GC content of Arx could have severely limited the efficiency of Arx sequencing (Dohm et al., 2008). Fourth, our flox/flox excision approach to generate nonfunctional ARX could have excluded and/or included regulatory elements that differentially affected the stability of the generated Arx RNA. In that context, it is worth noting that conditional ablation of Arx in the embryonic brain resulted in both upregulated and downregulated Arx expression in microarray analysis (Fulp et al., 2008). Interestingly, the upregulated signal was associated with the coding sequence or cDNA, whereas the downregulated signal was associated with a small segment of Intron 1 of the Arx genome rather than spliced RNA. Future studies are needed to sort out the regulatory mechanism that ultimately controls Arx RNA stability. Nonetheless, our qPCR results generally support the RNA-seq analysis and demonstrated a clear reduction in Arx expression in PVIs.

Given the diversity and number of altered DEGs in Arx CKO mice, we used bioinformatics approaches to identify the biological pathways that DEGs converged upon and those most essential for the production of Arx CKO network abnormalities. The low Arx expression in the mature brain necessitated this approach, as its low abundance would be a major obstacle to ChIP-Seq and other biochemical functional analyses. We found that upregulated DEGs were particularly involved in synaptic pathways, whereas the downregulated DEGs were mostly involved ECM-related pathways. More importantly, both the up- and downregulated DEGs were primarily connected via co-expression networks with many of these DEGs having putative Arx-binding motifs. These motifs were found in an overwhelming number of ECM-related genes in the downregulated DEGs, suggesting that Arx preferentially binds to ECM genes, making them the likely locus of the hub pathways that led to network abnormalities in Arx CKO mice. Generation of epitope-tagged Arx will enable the production of supporting empirical evidence to validate this conclusion. Nevertheless, it is
worth noting that our analyses revealed that the postnatal loss of Arx in PVIs led to the downregulation of proven epilepsy-related genes such as LAMB1, COL18A1, Nid1, and DOCK6 (Pisciotta et al., 2018; Radmanesh et al., 2013; Suzuki et al., 2002; Vasudevan et al., 2010). Thus, these ECM-related DEGs are likely to be causally relevant to the noted network abnormalities in Arx CKO mice.

Central to our RNAseq observations is the preponderance of ECM-associated genes in the DEGs and the identification of several epilepsy causal genes within that group. Consistent with the profound dysregulation of ECM components, we demonstrated a marked reduction in ACAN and a significant loss of Cat-315, suggesting a disruption in the molecular integrity of the PNN. In contrast, the density of PVIs and other GABAergic interneurons was not affected by the loss of Arx in PVIs. Similarly, PVI dendritic complexity was indistinguishable from control and Arx CKO mice. Overall, our results validate the RNAseq data and provide strong evidence that ECM-related biological pathways are central to network abnormalities in Arx CKO mice. Indeed, this conclusion is supported by previous reports of epileptogenesis in mice deficient in ECM molecules (Dityatev, 2010; Vasudevan et al., 2010) and reports of seizures leading to remodeling of the ECM (Dityatev, 2010).

PVIs are connected to pyramidal cells in cortical regions via feedback and feedforward microcircuits (Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008), endowing the intrinsic properties of these interneurons with the power to influence the output of pyramidal cells. Intrinsic membrane active properties were found to be reduced in PVIs of the Arx CKO mice. Notably, the rheobase and spike frequency properties were among the most profoundly affected intrinsic properties. Interestingly, these observations were not correlated with changes in the expression voltage-gated ion channels in our RNAseq dataset. We surmise that they are likely linked to the downregulation of ECM genes in PVIs and subsequent breakdown of the PNNs around these cells. Indeed, several studies have demonstrated direct intrinsic membrane impairments in line with our observations following enzymatic breakdown of the PNNs (Balmer, 2016; Lensjo et al., 2017).

How does the dysregulation of ECM and subsequent breakdown of the PNNs lead to hypo-excitability of PVIs in the absence of dysregulated ion channels? One hypothesis to explain this would be altered dendritic complexity. We were not able to demonstrate a dendritic change, despite the previously reported relationship between dendritic complexity and neuronal excitability (Mason and Larkman, 1990). Another possibility, that our data support, is that dysregulated ECM and changes to the PNNs alters the density of voltage-dependent ion channels at the membrane. Indeed, it has been previously shown that the PNN component tenascin-R interacts with NaV1.2 (Srinivasan et al., 1998), suggesting that clusters of NaV1.2 bound by tenascin-R could have diffused after degradation of the PNNs around PVIs, leading to hypoexcitability. In addition, breakdown of the PNN could lead to the loss of negative charge with decrease in extracellular protein (Morawski et al., 2015) ultimately impacting local electric field sensing by ion channels and subsequent neutralization of membrane surface charge akin to the effect of high extracellular [Ca$^{++}$] on membrane properties (Frankenhaeuser and Hodgkin, 1957). This neutralizing effect by diffused PNN could have then shifted the voltage-dependence of ion channels toward more depolarized membrane potentials (Frankenhaeuser and Hodgkin, 1957), leading to higher rheobase current and reduced spiking as noted in Arx null PVIs. Although our RNAseq data support an ECM-dependent mechanism in the alterations of PVI membrane properties, we cannot exclude the possibility that the excitability properties of neurons, which can be influenced by localization or phosphorylation, may not always align to the transcriptomic level of a particular ion channel. Although RNA-Seq transcriptomic profiling provides a comprehensive analysis of actively regulated genes, many studies have found no correlation or seemingly inverse relationships between the expression of various membrane (Adelman et al., 2019; Bomkamp et al., 2019; Foldy et al., 2016; Larson et al., 2016; Tripathy et al., 2017) and synaptic (Fazel Darbandi et al., 2018; Harrington et al., 2016; Yook et al., 2019) genes with their electrophysiological properties. Thus, the relationship between gene expression and cellular/behavioral phenotypes is complex, and this complexity must be taken into account in future studies into the ion channel mechanisms mediating hypoexcitability of PVIs in Arx CKO mice.

In addition to impaired intrinsic properties, disruption in the balance of excitation and inhibition likely contributes to network hyper-excitability in Arx CKO mice (He and Cline, 2019). Thus, we recorded both spontaneous EPSC and IPSC currents on PVIs and noted a constellation of changes to the properties of these spontaneous events in presence or absence of TTX that suggests an overall reduction in basal excitatory drives onto PVIs. A reduction in sEPSC frequency and mEPSC amplitude was most prominent among those changes. There are many possible explanations for those prominent changes. For example, fewer excitatory synapses, altered probability of vesicle release, or reduced network activity could all be altered via...
cell autonomous and non-cell autonomous mechanisms. However, the lack of changes in mini EPSCs frequency and preservation of normal dendritic elaboration suggest that change in synaptic density on PVIs is not a likely contributing factor.

To further probe the contribution of impaired synaptic drive to network hyper-excitability in Arx CKO mice, we measured I-O relationship of evoked EPSCs and IPSCs on PVIs and found a decreased excitability of PVIs primarily driven by a reduction in eEPSCs. The locus of this reduction in synaptic excitability appeared to be the postsynaptic side as evidenced by changes in conduction and ratio of AMPA and NMDA receptors. The reduction in postsynaptic GluR current at PVI synapses is inconsistent with the upregulation of DEGs associated with excitatory transmission. We surmise that this may be part of an ongoing compensatory response to restore synaptic excitability at PVI synapses following the loss of Arx. The reduction in excitatory transmission in the face of increased expression of glutamate receptor signaling genes can be explained by reduction in lateral diffusion of AMPA and NMDA receptors from extracellular to synaptic compartments (Groc et al., 2006). Previous studies have shown that the ECM/PNN components do indeed operate as passive diffusion barrier that control the lateral diffusion exchanges of glutamate receptors between synaptic and extrasynaptic compartments (Shi and Ethell, 2006). Interpretation of the RI of the AMPA and NMDA receptors herein may be complicated by their reversal potentials, which were considerably different from 0 mV, an observation previously noted in another study (Suyama et al., 2017). This error in voltage could be due to series resistance, activation of K+ channels at positive potential, liquid junction potential, and poor space clamp among others. We limited the scope of this error by employing a cesium-based internal solution to block K+ leak currents and compensated for liquid junction potential as well as series resistance, albeit up to 80%. We suppose that this error in voltage might then be due to poor space clamp, a serious limitation in slice recordings that is currently difficult to overcome. To mitigate its impact on our analysis, we excluded cells whose reversal potentials were substantially far from 0 mV and made sure the reversal potentials in the remaining cells from both genotypes were comparable. Nonetheless, these findings strengthen our conclusion that the reduction in PVI synaptic excitability was primarily associated with impaired excitatory drives with a postsynaptic locus.

Taken together, our findings demonstrate that continued expression of Arx has an important role in the functional maintenance of PVIs and that alterations in Arx expression in these cells specifically during early postnatal brain development contributes to the pathologic mechanisms of ARX-related EOEEs. Although the precise molecular mechanisms mediating this postnatal role remain to be elucidated, our results establish a role for Arx transcriptional activity in the functional properties of PVIs and in the proper maintenance of ECM integrity around these cells. Loss of this postnatal transcriptomic regulation of PVIs resulted in a profound reduction in intrinsic and synaptic excitability, leading to behavioral and network abnormalities. Our results suggest that restoration of cellular properties and network functioning in adult mice may be a viable therapeutic approach toward ARX-related EOEEs. Notably, functional restoration of circuit activity in mature mice by Cre-mediated re-expression of certain genes (Cisse et al., 2017; Guy et al., 2007; Rotaru et al., 2018; Silva-Santos et al., 2015; Ure et al., 2016) or by cell transplantation (Casalia et al., 2017; Cunnigham et al., 2014; Howard et al., 2014) has shown great promise in a number of NDDs including Rett syndrome, Dravet syndrome, and Angelman syndrome. Similar approaches could be applied to the Arx-linked EEOE mouse models in the near future to test whether reintroduction of Arx or downstream effectors, including epigenetic and epitranscriptomic regulators, could provide more precise and enduring restorative therapeutics in Arx-linked EOEEs.

Limitations of the study
We aimed to determine the role of an early acting developmental TF Arx in neural circuits and its contribution to the progression of EOEEs by using cre-lox technology. Although our new PVI CKO model allowed dissection of the functional consequence of Arx ablation in PVIs, this model does not mimic the normal disease process, which involves both developmental and ongoing dysfunction in Arx signaling in all interneurons. Another limitation is that although we focused our investigations on the hippocampus, a region vital for learning and seizure generation, Arx expression was also ablated in PVIs from other brain regions in our Arx CKO model. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of Arx-fulfilling distinct functions at other brain regions nor the possibility of the noted effects in CA1 hippocampus being mediated by connective or interactive defects between those regions. Despite these limitations, cell-type-restricted gene knockout models represent necessary models to understand the biological underpinnings of neurological disorders including the EOEEs. This is particularly relevant to Arx-linked EOEEs, given the pleiotropic phenotypes,
strong genotype-phenotype relationship, and the numerous symptoms associated with ARX disorders are probably related to cell-specific alterations in the function of Arx. This study has now defined important roles for postnatal Arx in PVIs in the specific control of neural circuit function in the hippocampus. Further studies are needed, however, to establish the specific roles of this TF in PVIs from other brain regions as well as in other interneuron subtypes throughout the brain. Insights from those studies along with those from this study should provide a more comprehensive view of the full range of control exerted by postnatal Arx transcriptional activity in the complex regulation of neural circuits and facilitate the development of targeted circuit-based therapies in EOEEs.
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Supplemental Figure 1. Basal motor functions are normal in Arx CKO mice. (A, B) Normal motor coordination (A) and learning rate (B) by Arx CKO mice in the accelerated rotarod (P>0.05; Control, Arx CKO: n=23, 19). (C & D) Control and Arx CKO mice displayed similar level of muscle strength in the forelimb (C) and hindlimb (D) grip strength tests (P>0.05; Control, Arx CKO: n=23, 19). Data in all the graphs are given as mean±SEM and analyzed by Two-way ANOVA (A & B) or Mann Whitney U test (C & D). Related to figure 3.
Supplemental Figure 2. Cognitive ability is preserved in Arx CKO mice. (A) Graphical representation of search patterns during learning in the MWM test. The hidden platform is displayed as a square in each graph. (B, C) Control and Arx CKO mice performed equally well during the forward (B-D) and reversal (E) learning phases of the MWM test (P>0.05; Control, Arx CKO: n=23, 19). (F & G) Memory recall measured by platform crossing during the forward (F) and reverse (G) phases of the MWM probe test was similar in both genotypes (P>0.05; Control, Arx CKO: n=23, 19). (H & I) Control and Arx CKO displayed similar amount of freezing episodes during (H) and post (I) training in the contextual fear-conditioning paradigm (P>0.05; Control, Arx CKO: n=21, 19). (J & K) Exploration time of a novel object (J) and discrimination index (K) did not differ between control and Arx CKO mice during the novel object test (P>0.05; Control, Arx CKO: n=23, 19). The lower Q1 and upper Q3 quartiles of box plots (H) represent data outside the 5-95 percentile and dots outside this range denote outliers. Data are given as mean±SEM and analyzed by Two-way ANOVA (A-C) or Mann Whitney U test (D-H). Related to figure 3.
Supplemental Figure 3. Validation of RNAseq and bioinformatics analyses of DEGs. (A) Separation of control and Arx CKO mice in a heat map of normalized gene expression for the top 100 DEGs by FDR values (FDR<0.05). Rows correspond to DEGs and columns to samples. (B) Selected RNAseq genes validated by quantitative real-time PCR normalized to 18S RNA (Adjusted P<0.0001). (C, D) Functional GO enrichment analysis for upregulated (C) and downregulated (D) DEGs mostly revealed synaptic and ECM related associations (FDR<0.05). (E, F) Venn diagrams show that both upregulated (E) and downregulated (F) DEGs contained high proportion open chromatin regions with overlapping Arx binding motif. Transcriptomic data were from 5 control and 4 Arx CKO mice. Data analyzed by Benjamini-Hochberg FDR method (A, C, & D) and Two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak post hoc tests (B). *Symbols in B denote post hoc test significance levels: **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001. Related to figure 4.
Supplemental Figure 4. Unaltered PVI dendritic complexity in Arx CKO. (A) Representative photomicrographs of neurobiotin filled PVIs from control (Right) and Arx CKO (Left) mice. (B) The number of intersections along Sholl concentric circles did not differ between control and Arx CKO mice (P>0.05). (C, D) The number branches (C) and maximum branch length (D) in apical and basal dendrites were similar in control and Arx CKO mice (P>0.05). Data were acquired from 10 mice in both control (n=10 cells) and Arx CKO (n=17 cells) mice and given as mean±SEM. Data analyzed by Two-way ANOVA (B) and by the unpaired, 2-tailed Student's t test (C & D). Scale bar: 100µm. Related to figure 6.
### Up-regulated DEGs

| LAMP5 | CCK | TMEM59L | RPRML | CHGB |
|-------|-----|---------|-------|------|
| DUSP14 | SV2B | MLIP | ZDHHC2 | NRGN |
| GRIA3 | LHFP1 | Gm11549 | RALYL | LIN7B |
| BDNF | CACNG3 | SLC7A3 | HPCA | OLFM1 |
| A930003O13Rik | NRN1 | MAL2 | CALB1 | RTN1 |
| ST6GAL2 | NEUROD6 | CPLX3 | |

### Down-regulated DEGs

| Duxf3 | ACOX2 | GJB2 | ADCY3 | ACE |
|-------|-------|------|-------|-----|
| COL8A1 | Car12 | FAP | ABCC6 | SEC24B |
| SULF1 | Pcdhb22 | CLDN2 | VAT1L | COL6A1 |
| FZD6 | GLB1L2 | DSG2 | 2610507I01Rik | F5 |
| SPAG16 | mycbpap | DMRT3 | PIFO | SH3RF2 |
| SLC2A12 | CALML4 | ANKEF1 | PLEKHH2 | IL31RA |
| SLC22A2 | KRT18 | SCIN | PCOLCE2 | AQP1 |
| DDR2 | H2-Q1 | TNS1 | TMEM27 | Slc6a20a |
| rsph1 | LRRN4 | SNAI3 | FOXC1 | 4932438H23Rik |
| PDE6G | Olf1030 | 4933429O19Rik | LEPR | TMEM217 |
| CDH5 | SLC22A12 | COL1A2 | HEYL | 4930483J18Rik |
| ADAMTS14 | TERT | Vmn1r13 | Gm16548 | CORO2B |
| RECK | DNAH11 | COL1A1 | EPN3 | TTLL6 |
| Smok2a | Glt28d2 | SCARA5 | PERP | A730043L09Rik |
| Olfr1406 | Prl2c5 | GUCY2C | IL1R1 | KIF14 |
| KRT8 | PKD1L2 | COL3A1 | HYDIN | COL18A1 |
| STEAP4 | LAMB1 | OTOG | Gm8096 | WDR72 |
| PTGIS | 1700028P14Rik | MFAP2 | FAM160A1 | MYH11 |
| SEMA3G | OBSCN | ARHGEF5 | AEBP1 | RD3 |
| Slco1a5 | CPN1 | Ces2g | EPHX3 | SLC22A6 |
| Zlip185 | MUC5B | iagap1 | THBS2 | TGFB2 |
| Trp73 | PLB1 | CPED1 | DOCK6 | SCN10A |
| NID1 | Tas2r138 | FN1 | MFSD9 | S1PR2 |
| SLC39A4 | | | | |

---

### Notes

- DEGs: Differentially Expressed Genes
- LAMP5, CCK, etc.: Examples of up-regulated genes
- ACOX2, GJB2, etc.: Examples of down-regulated genes
- The table includes gene symbols and abbreviations for easier identification.
**Supplemental Table 1.** List of up- and downregulated DEGs in PVIs with P values of <0.05 and fold change ≥2. Related to figure 4.
| Function                                                   | FDR            | Genes in network | Genes in genome |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Regulation of synaptic transmission                       | 8.52E-07       | 12               | 235             |
| Glutamatergic receptor signaling pathway                  | 2.75E-05       | 7                | 63              |
| Ionotropiglutamatergic receptor complex                   | 0.000113       | 6                | 47              |
| AMPA selective glutamatergic receptor complex              | 0.000246       | 5                | 28              |
| Axon development                                           | 0.000298       | 10               | 286             |
| Neurotransmitter transport                                | 0.000382       | 7                | 107             |
| Regulation of neurotransmitter levels                     | 0.000529       | 7                | 118             |
| Regulation of synaptic plasticity                         | 0.000529       | 7                | 119             |
| Neuron-neuron synaptic transmission                       | 0.000529       | 7                | 117             |
| Postsynaptic density                                      | 0.000742       | 7                | 127             |
| Neurotransmitter secretion                                | 0.001055       | 6                | 84              |
| Regulation of neuron projection development                | 0.00142        | 9                | 286             |
| Ion channel complex                                       | 0.002278       | 7                | 156             |
| Ionotropic glutamatergic receptor signaling pathway       | 0.002278       | 4                | 24              |
| Glutamatergic receptor activity                           | 0.002975       | 4                | 26              |
| Transmembrane transporter complex                          | 0.003052       | 7                | 168             |
| PDZ domain binding                                        | 0.004556       | 6                | 116             |
| Ephrin receptor                                           | 0.004678       | 4                | 31              |
| Synaptic transmission, glutamatergic                      | 0.004678       | 5                | 68              |
| Perikaryon                                                 | 0.004678       | 5                | 68              |
| Ephrin receptor signaling pathway                         | 0.005074       | 4                | 32              |
| Synaptic membrane                                         | 0.005681       | 6                | 126             |
| Postsynaptic membrane                                     | 0.01293        | 5                | 86              |
| Regulation of dendrite development                         | 0.018875       | 5                | 94              |
| Dendrite development                                      | 0.018875       | 6                | 159             |
| Axon guidance                                              | 0.019421       | 9                | 162             |
| Neuron projection guidance                                | 0.019421       | 6                | 162             |
| Synaptic vesicle                                          | 0.021042       | 5                | 99              |
| Extracellular-glutamate-gated-ion channel activity         | 0.024239       | 3                | 18              |
| Regulation of neurotransmitter transport                  | 0.024306       | 4                | 52              |
| Calmodulin binding                                        | 0.027358       | 4                | 54              |
| Dendritic spine                                           | 0.030039       | 5                | 111             |
| Regulation of dendritic spine morphogenesis               | 0.030039       | 3                | 20              |
| Neuron spine                                              | 0.031782       | 5                | 113             |
| Regulation of dendrite morphogenesis                      | 0.036896       | 4                | 60              |
| Neuron recognition                                        | 0.048003       | 3                | 24              |
| Dendritic spine morphogenesis                             | 0.059734       | 3                | 26              |
| Regulation of cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation| 0.085075     | 6                | 226             |
| Dendritic spine organization                              | 0.087711       | 3                | 30              |
Supplemental Table 2. Biological functions identified in GeneMANIA functional networks of upregulated DEGs (28 genes, FDR<0.05). Related to figure 4.
| Function                                      | FDR     | Genes in network | Genes in genome |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|
| Extracellular matrix                          | 2.05E-17| 25               | 292             |
| Extracellular matrix organization             | 3.79E-15| 19               | 167             |
| Extracellular structure organization          | 3.79E-15| 19               | 168             |
| Collagen fibril organization                  | 7.99E-11| 10               | 37              |
| Extracellular matrix part                     | 1.75E-10| 14               | 127             |
| Proteinaceous extracellular matrix            | 1.03E-10| 15               | 179             |
| Fibrillar collagen                            | 2.30E-07| 6                | 13              |
| Extracellular matrix structural constituent   | 1.42E-06| 6                | 17              |
| Platelet-derived growth factor binding        | 1.41E-05| 5                | 12              |
| Collagen                                      | 2.02E-05| 6                | 26              |
| Basement membrane                             | 0.000138| 8                | 89              |
| Protein heteromerization                      | 0.001114| 4                | 12              |
| Brush border membrane                         | 0.001517| 6                | 54              |
| Apical plasma membrane                        | 0.003347| 10               | 240             |
| Growth factor binding                         | 0.003517| 7                | 99              |
| Protein trimerization                         | 0.005741| 5                | 40              |
| Cellular response to amino acid stimulus      | 0.006917| 5                | 42              |
| Collagen binding                              | 0.007356| 5                | 43              |
| Brush border                                  | 0.007876| 6                | 76              |
| Response to amino acid                        | 0.017109| 5                | 52              |
| Cellular response to acid                     | 0.018931| 6                | 90              |
| Fibril organization                           | 0.034106| 3                | 11              |
| Cell-substrate adhesion                       | 0.042909| 8                | 211             |
| Structural molecule activity                  | 0.051755| 8                | 218             |
| Wound healing                                 | 0.068008| 8                | 228             |
| Skin development                              | 0.073807| 8                | 232             |
Supplemental Table 3. Biological functions identified in GeneMANIA functional networks of down-regulated DEGs (144 genes, FDR<0.05). Related to figure 4.
### Up-regulated DEGs with Arx binding sites near THS

| Gene       | Gene       | Gene       | Gene       | Gene       |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| LAMP5      | CCK        | TMEM59L    | RPRML      | CHGB       |
| RTN1       | SV2B       | MLIP       | ZDHHC2     | NRGN       |
| GRIA3      | CACNG3     | SLC7A3     | RALYL      | LIN7B      |
| BDNF       | NRN1       | MAL2       | HPCA       | OLFM1      |
| DUSP14     | NEUROD6    | CALB1      |            |            |

### Down-regulated DEGs with Arx binding sites near THS

| Gene      | Gene      | Gene      | Gene      | Gene      |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| COL8A1    | ACOX2     | GJB2      | ADCY3     | ACE       |
| SULF1     | Car12     | FAP       | ABCC6     | SEC24B    |
| FZD6      | GLB1L2    | DSG2      | VAT1L     | COL6A1    |
| SPAG16    | mycbpap   | DMRT3     | PIFO      | F5        |
| SLC2A12   | CALML4    | ANKEF1    | PLEKHH2   | SH3RF2    |
| SLC22A2   | KRT18     | SCIN      | PCOLCE2   | IL31RA    |
| DDR2      | LRRN4     | TNS1      | TMEM27    | AQP1      |
| rsph1     | SLC22A12  | COL1A2    | FOXC1     | Slec6a20a |
| PDE6G     | TERT      | COL1A1    | LEPR      | MFSD9     |
| CDH5      | Glit28d2  | SCARA5    | HEYL      | TMEM217   |
| ADAMTS14  | Prl2c5    | GUCY2C    | EPN3      | CORO2B    |
| RECK      | PKD1L2    | COL3A1    | PERP      | TTL6      |
| Smok2a    | NID1      | MFAP2     | IL1R1     | 4932438H23Rik |
| KRT8      | 1700028P14Rik | ARHGEF5 | HYDIN     | COL18A1   |
| STEAP4    | OBSCN     | Ces2g     | FAM160A1  | WDR72     |
| PTGIS     | CPN1      | iqgap1    | AEBP1     | MYH11     |
| SEMA3G    | MUC5B     | CPED1     | EPHX3     | RD3       |
| Zfp185    | PLB1      | FN1       | THBS2     | TGFB2     |
| Trp73     | Tas2r138  | LAMB1     | DOCK6     | SCN10A    |
Supplemental Table 4. List of up- and downregulated DEGs in PVIs with putative open chromatin regions with at least one overlapping Arx binding motif. Related to figure 4.
|                                  | Control   | Arx CKO  | \( p \)-Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|
| **Passive properties**           |           |          |               |
| RMP (mV)                         | -57.4±1.92 | -60.9±1.6 | 0.1654        |
| Capacitance (pF)                 | 24.4±2.0  | 37.8±6.6 | 0.0595        |
| Time constant (ms)               | 6.6±0.86  | 6.84±0.95| 0.8670        |
| Input resistance (MΩ)            | 162.9±22.8| 128.9±11.1| 0.1892        |
| **Active properties**            |           |          |               |
| Spike Amplitude (mV)             | 56.1±2.8  | 54.64±2.35| 0.6861        |
| Spike half width (ms)            | 0.65±0.05 | 0.63±0.09 | 0.8884        |
| fAHP Amplitude (mV)              | 18.9±1.41 | 18.4±1.58 | 0.8205        |
| \( n \)                          | 20        | 20       |               |
Supplemental Table 5. Summary of passive and active intrinsic membrane properties of PVIs. Values are given as mean±SEM and analyzed with the unpaired, two tailed Student’s t test. Related to figure 6.
TRANSPARENT METHODS

Experimental animals and conditional knockout of Arx in PV interneurons

All animals were treated in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations outlined in the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Since Arx is an X-linked gene, we only used male mice in our studies. Mice were group-housed with up to five mice per cage after weaning at P21 and maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle, at a temperature of 21±1°C, and controlled humidity (50-60%) with food and water provided ad libitum. To minimize suffering during the experiments, we limited the number of mice used in the study and the cohorts used were kept in normal thermal and enriched environments. As a result, all animal experiments were completed without the need to remove any subject due to obvious pain or discomfort.

Mouse strains used in this study included: PV\textsuperscript{Cre} mice [B6;129P2-Pvalbtm1(cre)Arbr/J; RRID:IMSR_JAX:008069; on a 129P2/OlaHsd background], the congenic TdTomato reporter Ai14 mice (Rosa-CAG-LSL-TdTomato; RRID:IMSR_JAX:007914), and the congenic strain Arx\textsuperscript{LoxP/LoxP} generated for targeted deletion of exon 2 of the Arx gene following Cre recombination (Fulp et al. 2008). Both Ai14 and Arx\textsuperscript{LoxP/LoxP} congenic lines were developed using ES cells derived from the 129S6/SvEvTac mouse strain and C57BL/6J blastocysts. All three strains were maintained on the C57BL/6J background. To generate Arx CKO mice, heterozygous PV\textsuperscript{Cre} mice were first crossed to homozygous Ai14 mice to generate PV\textsuperscript{Cre};TdTomato mice. Female PV\textsuperscript{Cre};TdTomato double heterozygotes were then crossed to male Arx\textsuperscript{LoxP/LoxP} mice to generate Arx\textsuperscript{loxP/loxP};PV\textsuperscript{Cre};TdTomato (CKO) mice and Arx\textsuperscript{loxP/loxP};PV\textsuperscript{Cre};TdTomato littermate controls. Thus, both control and CKO mice used in this study were from the same 129P2/OlaHsd x 129S6/SvEvTac x C57BL/6J hybrid background, with the C57BL/6J being the predominant background strain. Genotypes of wild-type, floxed, TdTom, and cre-positive alleles were performed using standard end-point PCR using primers listed in key resources table (Fulp et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2000): Cre primers resulted in gene products of ~350 bp in both genotypes, whereas the TdTom primers resulted in gene products of ~350 bp and ~270 bp in both control and CKO mice. However, the Arx primer set resulted in gene products of ~594 bp and ~637 bp in control and Arx CKO male mice, respectively.

EEG Recordings

EEG recordings from 8-10 weeks old control and Arx CKO male mice were carried out using standard techniques (Marsh et al., 2009). Mice were first anesthetized with a xylazine-ketamine solution (10 mg/kg xylazine and 100 mg/kg ketamine; administered i.p., Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) and maintained with isoflurane (1-3%). Once adequate depth of anesthesia was achieved as demonstrated by lateral recumbences and absence of a withdrawal reflex to a toe pinch, the head of the mouse was secured in a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). Fur from the dorsal surface of the skull was removed using a depilatory cream (Nair Church & Dwight, Ewing, NJ) and the periosteum was exposed following a midline skin incision. The periosteum was scraped back from the surface of the skull to expose the underlying lambda and bregma sutures. Four custom electrodes were built for cortical and hippocampal EEG recordings. The cortical electrodes (Three) were constructed by attaching 0.005 inch stainless steel wire (A-M systems, Carlsborg, WA) to self-tap screws (#0 x 1/8", Small Parts Inc.). A mono-polar (0.005 inch) stainless steel wire (125μm; A-M systems, Carlsborg, WA) was used as the fourth or hippocampal electrode. The electrodes were then connected to Omnetics connectors (Omnetics connector corporation, Minneapolis, MN) and then drilled into the skull over the primary somatosensory cortex (left and right frontal, right
parietal) or durally inserted into the hippocampus through 2 mm diameter holes using the following stereotaxic coordinates: [from Bregma—Cortical: 1.0mm Anterior–Posterior (A–P) and 1.5 mm medial–lateral (M–L)] or [From Bregma–Hippocampus: −2.2 mm A–P, 2.0 mm M–L and 1.2 mm ventral]. Ground and reference electrodes were placed directly behind Lambda on either side of the midline. The electrodes were held in place with cold curing dental cement (Pro Base cold, Oral B Laboratories Pty Ltd, Maukau City, NZ) placed on the surface of the skull. Following the surgery, mice were allowed to recover in their home cage for 48 h prior to recordings. EEG signals and behavioral activity were simultaneously recorded using the Harmonie EEG system (Stellate, Montreal, Canada) for at least 72 hrs. The signals were filtered at a bandwidth of 0.1 KHz to 2 kHz and the sampling rate was set at 2 kHz with an anti-aliasing filter. Mice were allowed to acclimate to the recording environment for 30 min and had free access to water and food in the recording cages during the duration of the recordings.

Raw EEG traces from night and day recordings went through an automated amplitude-based detector after band-pass filtering within the 1–300 Hz range followed by a denoising step with a smart notch (SN) filter to eliminate minor background activities on the time-frequency map. The resultant EEG traces were manually inspected by an investigator blinded to the genotype of the mice and each file was screened to exclude high voltage artifacts from further analysis. Background signals from day and night recordings were analyzed in order to characterize the oscillatory features of background activity in the motor cortex and hippocampus. Power spectra for the whole EEG record or for epochs with high theta activities in the hippocampus was calculated using the spectral analysis function in MATLAB (MATLAB 2015b, Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA). The analyzed spectra for the whole EEG record were quantified by calculating the power in selected bandwidths (Delta: 0.5–4Hz, Theta: 4–8Hz, Alpha: 8–12 Hz, Beta: 12–30Hz, and Gamma 30–50Hz dividing by total FFT power. To analyze high theta epochs in the hippocampus, we first identified the time points with high theta activity by calculating the theta ratio each time point. Specifically, the theta ratio was calculated by dividing the envelope amplitude of the theta-filtered signal by the sum of the envelope amplitude of the beta and delta signals. Using that approach, high theta epochs were identified using a 30ms moving window, if 20 out of the 30ms window had a theta z-score >2. For the 10 sec surrounding each high theta period, the power spectral density was calculated over the frequency range of 1-300Hz using a rectangular window. The data from all high theta epochs was used to calculate a mean power spectrum for each animal. In addition, each file was screened in order to detect seizures. Seizure segments were carefully reviewed to ascertain that the noted electrographic changes were associated with behavioral seizures rather than with movement artifacts. Electrographic seizures were identified according to the following set of criteria: rhythmic and repetitive spikes of amplitude of 1.5x baseline, frequency of 5-10 Hz, and lasting more than 5 sec (Marsh et al., 2009). In addition, periods of high voltage transient spikes followed by short periods of reduced EEG amplitude or paroxysmal background attenuation were considered as electrographic seizures (Marsh et al., 2009).

Behavioral Studies

Behavioral testing started when the mice were 8 weeks old and by the end of the test battery they were approximately 11 weeks old. The behavioral test battery consisted of eight tests, which were conducted in normal room illumination. To minimize potential carry-on effects, the order of the tests and inter-test interval (3 days) were kept constant. All testing was conducted during the light-phase between 0900 and 1400 h to eliminate variability in performance due to time of day. Three different cohorts of mice were used, with each cohort consisting of approximately an equal mix of control and Arx CKO mice from at least two different littermates. The mice were handled for 5 min each day for 3 days prior to the initial test battery. Additionally, they were habituated to the testing environment for at least 30 min before commencing each behavioral test. The apparatus used for each test battery was cleaned with
germicidal disposable cloth (Sani-Cloth Plus) between each mouse to ensure identical experimental conditions for each test mouse. All behavioral tests, except the grip strength and rota-rod tests, were video-recorded and automatically scored using the ANY-maze software (Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL). Experimenters were blind to the genotype of the mice during behavioral testing and quantification. The numbers of mice per genotype in each test are indicated in the figure legends.

**Rotarod:** Motor coordination and learning were measured by the accelerating rotarod test (Hirata et al., 2016; Simonet et al., 2015). Mice were first given a test trial on the rotarod apparatus (Ugo Basile, Model 47600, Comerio, Italy) at a constant low rotation speed (4 rpm) in order to learn how to walk on the rod. Once they were comfortable with walking on the rod, the test began by accelerating the apparatus from 4-60 RPM over a 300 sec period. Mice were tested over 4 consecutive days, with each daily session consisting of 5 trials separated by approximately 30 min. The latency to fall from the rod was automatically recorded for each test mouse. Learning rate for each daily session was calculated using the following formula: \( \text{learning rate} = \frac{\text{mean latency to fall}_{trials \ 4 \ and \ 5} - \text{mean latency to fall}_{trials \ 1 \ and \ 2}}{\text{number of intertrial intervals}} \).

**Grip Strength:** Forelimb and hindlimb grip strength of control and Arx CKO mice were tested using a grip strength meter (080312-3 Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA) (Simonet et al., 2015). For forelimb testing, mice were held at the proximal part of the tail and allowed to grasp a horizontal metal bar with both paws. The mice were then steadily pulled away and the pull force recorded once the mice unclasped the metal bar. For the hindlimb grip strength measurements, mice were allowed to grab the horizontal bar with their hindlimb paws while facing away from the meter and the tails were steadily pulled directly toward the meter until their grasps broke. Measurements were discarded if the mice failed to use both fore- and hindpaws, turns backwards during the pull, or broke their grasps without resistance. Six consecutive trials within 2 min each were performed for each mouse, with the first three trials for the forelimb strength and the last three trials for the hindlimb paws. The averaged values for the fore- and hindlimbs were taken for further analysis (Simonet et al., 2015).

**Morris Water Maze (MWM):** Testing of spatial learning and memory was performed in the MWM with standard protocols and paradigms (Simonet et al., 2015). The water maze consisted of a circular swimming pool (128 cm in diameter) made of green plastic. The pool was filled with water (24-25°C) to within 15-20 cm from the top and an elevated escape platform (15-cm² Plexiglas square) was submerged 0.5-1.0 cm under the water level. To prevent the mice from seeing the submerged escape platform, the water was made opaque by the addition of non-toxic white tempera paint. We first assessed the performance of the control and Arx CKO in reference or forward learning to determine their ability to learn the spatial relationship between distant cues and the escape platform. Several pictures of black and white geometrical shapes were placed around the pool as spatial cues and these pictures remained in the same position throughout the testing periods. To facilitate acquisition and analysis, the pool was divided into 4 quadrants: east (E), west (W), north (N), and south (S). The escape platform was then placed in the center (16 cm from the wall) of the southwest (SW) quadrant of the pool and remained there throughout learning sessions. Four distinct mouse drop locations (N, SE, NW, and E) were used for each daily trials. Reference testing was done over 5 consecutive days with each daily session consisting of four 60 sec trials, but the order of the start locations was changed from day to day to prevent mice from developing fixed motor patterns. However, the ultimate start location patterns used in the first reference learning cohort were used in all other cohorts. Mice that failed to locate the platform during reference trials were gently guided to it. Once on the platform, each mouse was given a 10 s of post-trial time to re-orient to the visual cues around the pool before returning to their cage. The day after the completion of the last daily learning
sessions, we assessed spatial memory using the probe test of the MWM. During this probe test, the escape platform was removed and the mice were allowed to freely swim for 60 seconds from the NE start location. After 1 day of rest following completion of the probe test, mice were subjected to reversal learning over 5 consecutive days to test their ability to purge and learn new strategies. In contrast to forward learning, the escape platform was placed in the northwest quadrant (16 cm from the wall) of the pool for the reversal learning tests, but the start locations and patterns were exactly the same as in the forward learning tests. A reversal probe test was performed a day after the completion of the last daily reversal learning sessions and the start location was NE as in the forward probe trial. To minimize bias and mitigate stress in all testing paradigms, mice were gently placed in the water facing the wall of the pool. In addition, upon removal from the pool, mice were always placed in warming cage with dry paper towel under a heat lamp for at least 5 min before returning to their home cage. All mice within a cohort completed one trial before the second trial was conducted. Given the size of our cohorts, the inter-trial interval was approximately 30 min in all multi trials testing. The swim path of each mouse during all the MWM tests was recorded using a camera connected to the ANY-maze video tracking software. Learning ability during both reversal and forward learning was measured by the daily mean of escape latency (in sec). Spatial memory for the platform location during probe testing was evaluated by the number of swims or crosses over the platform site in the target quadrant.

Contextual Fear Conditioning: Fear conditioning test was performed over a 2-day period (Simonet et al., 2015). On the first day, mice were placed individually in the fear conditioning chamber (Model ENV-307W, Med Associates, Inc., Georgia, VT, USA) and allowed to freely explore the novel environment for 3 min before a 2-s foot shock (1.12 mA) was given. The mice remained in the chamber afterward for another minute before returning to their home cage. On the second day, the mice were placed back in the fear conditioning chamber and video-recorded for 5 min using the ANY-Maze software (Stoelting Co. Wood Dale, IL). Freezing episodes were automatically recorded and given as total episodes during the duration of testing or as freezing episodes per 1 min bins. Fear learning was measured by the initial freezing ratio (Post shock freezing episodes/total pre shock freezing episodes) and by total freezing ratio (Sum of 5 normalized 1 min segments) on day 2 of testing. For normalization of each 1 min segment, freezing episodes in each 1 min segment of day 2 were divided by the mean freezing episodes of three 1 min segments recorded prior to delivery of the 2-s foot shock. This approach to normalization was necessary because of the difference in duration between pre-shock (3 min) and day 2 post-shock (5 min) recordings.

Novel object recognition: The novel object recognition test was performed in an open field box (53cm wide x 53cm long x 22 cm high walls) made of opaque Plexiglass in order to obscure spatial clues around the room. To minimize stress, we used low and diffuse lighting, with the center of test apparatus illuminated around 20 lux. The test consisted of a training and a novel recognition session for each mouse with intersessions interval of 24hr, during which the mice were returned to their home cages in the holding room. During the training session, each mouse was presented with two identical objects placed near the center of the open field apparatus and the mouse was allowed to explore for 5 min. The following day during the novel recognition session, one of the identical or familiar objects was replaced with a novel object and each mouse was allowed to freely explore for 5 min. Both novel and familiar objects were of similar structure and size, but the novel object had a distinctive shape and color. To avoid the use of odor cues, the presented objects were thoroughly cleaned prior to each test animal. The time spent exploring the objects was recorded with a camera mounted above the open field apparatus. Recognition memory was measured by the ratio of time spent exploring the novel to the familiar object.
**Open field:** The open field test was conducted in a clear Plexiglas chamber (53 cm wide x 53 cm long x 22 cm high walls) in a standard lit room with constant illumination of about 300 lux at the level of the test apparatus (Simonet et al., 2015). Mice were placed in the center of the chamber and allowed to freely explore for 15 min. Activity in the open field was recorded and scored using ANY-Maze. Parameters measured by ANY-Maze included total distance travelled (m) or time (Sec) in the center zone in 5 min bins. ANY-Maze defines the peripheral zone as a 13 cm wide corridor along the wall of the open field chamber. The acclimatization ratio (Bin 2/Bin 1, Bin 3/Bin 1, and Bin3/Bin 2) of center zone distance to total distance travelled was used as an index of anxiety. To further measure anxiety, fecal boli left in the chamber were removed and quantified after the test period.

**Elevated plus-maze:** The elevated plus-maze apparatus consisted of four arms (50 x 12 cm) extending from a central junction (12 x 6 cm). Two of the four arms were enclosed by 40 cm high walls and the remaining two or open arms were surrounded by 0.3 cm high rims to prevent the mice from falling. The maze was elevated 40 cm above the ground and testing was performed in a standard lit room with light conditions around the open and closed arms about 300 and 30 lux, respectively. Mice were placed individually in the center junction facing an open arm and allowed to freely explore the maze for 5 min. The percentage of time spent in the open arms was taken as an index of anxiety-related behaviors.

**Genome-wide Sequencing**

**Tissue dissociation:** Juvenile Arx CKO and control male mice (postnatal day 35-40) were anesthetized with isoflurane and the whole brain from each genotype was extracted and quickly transferred to an iced cold (0–4 °C) sucrose aCSF containing in (mM): sucrose 204, KCl 2.5, CaCl₂ (Anhydrous) 0.5, MgSO₄ (Anhydrous) 10, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25, NaHCO₃ 26 and glucose 11, Na-Ascorbate 5, Na-Pyruvate 3, and Thiourea 2. The sucrose ACSF was maintained at 315 mΩ and oxygenated with a mixture of 95% O₂/5% CO₂ to maintain a pH close to 7.4. After bilateral removal of the olfactory bulbs as well as the cerebellum transverse slices (350µm) were prepared in the same ice-slushy sucrose ACSF using a Leica vibratome (VT1200, Leica, Germany). To collect sufficient number of TdTom+ cells for RNA seq screens, cortical and hippocampal brain regions were micro-dissected in a recovery aCSF containing in (mM): NaCl 128, KCl 2.5, CaCl₂ (Anhydrous) 0.5, MgSO₄ (Anhydrous) 10, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25, NaHCO₃ 26 and glucose 11. Hippocampal and cortical tissue was then dissociated into a single cell suspension using the Papain dissociation system (Worthington) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Each solution in the kit was supplemented with trehalose (50 mg/ml), a disaccharide that has been shown to maintain cell viability during heat stress and cryopreservation (Saxena et al., 2012). All solutions were oxygenated for at least 30 minutes with a mixture of 95% O₂/5% CO₂ prior to use. After dissociation, cell pellets were resuspended in 2 ml of neural basal-BSA and kept on ice prior to FACS analysis. After dissociation of hippocampal/cortical tissue and confirmation of cell viability under a fluorescence microscope, TdTom+ cells were FACS sorted using the Beckman Coulter MoFlo Astrios Cell Sorter using a 100μm nozzle in the single-cell sorting mode. We collected an average of 120,842 TdTom+ cells (n = 4 control & 5 Arx CKO mice) from the micro-dissected cortical/hippocampal tissues for RNA extraction. To mitigate RNA degradation, sorted cells were collected in neural basal-BSA and directly lysed in Trizol LS (25:75 ratio, manufacturer’s instruction). RNA extraction was the carried out using the chloroform method according to the extraction kit instructions (TRizol Reagent, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). In addition, 0.3 M sodium acetate (1/10th of the reaction volume) was used to increase RNA yield. Isolated RNA had an average integrity (RIN) score of 7.9 as measured by the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA USA).
Transcriptome library construction and sequencing: Total RNA extracted from control and Arx CKO male mice cells were submitted to the Next-Generation Sequencing Core of the University of Pennsylvania for cDNA amplification, library preparation, and sequencing using the Ovation RNA-seq v2 and Rapid DR Multiplexing kits (NuGEN). Whole mRNAseq libraries were prepared from 9 mice (5 control and 4 Arx CKO mice) using the SMARTer Ultra Low RNA Kit for Illumina Sequencing (Clontech, Cat#634936) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Standard methods to assess sample quality (Agilent Bioanalyzer, Kappa Biosystems Library Quantification kit, Qubit® Fluorometer) and measure cDNA concentration were used. A total of 1ng of amplified cDNA for each sample was used as input to build the sequencing libraries. Whole mRNAseq libraries from control and Arx CKO mice were quantified using Agilent Bioanalyzer DNA 7500 chips. Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 2 × 100 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to generate 150bp paired end reads, yielding approximately 20-40 million reads per sample (~80 million reads per lane).

Read Alignment, Quantification, Differential Expression, and GO Analysis: Raw sequence reads were aligned to the mouse genome and initially analyzed by the Next-Generation Sequencing Core of the University of Pennsylvania using the standard Illumina sequence analysis pipeline. Some mRNA samples were sequenced twice, but their resulting libraries were aligned separately before merging into a single mean read count matrix of mapped genes for all the samples (Marioni et al., 2008; McIntyre et al., 2011). Initial analyses included determinations of RNA-seq data quality control (QC) of results and expression levels for all mapped genes. After filtering genes with no read counts, an average count matrix of over 25K genes was generated and analyzed for differential expression. For evidence of differential expression between control and Arx CKO mice, we applied standard approaches to analysis and normalization of the filtered count data using the Bioconductor DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) package [https://www.bioconductor.org] in the R environment. DEseq2 uses a shrinkage estimation algorithm for dispersions and fold changes, allowing for improved stability and interpretability of read counts. Differential gene expression was then analyzed using the standard parameters in DESeq2 and a threshold of false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (FDR) was used as the default option in DESeq2 for the adjustment test for P-value. A gene was considered differentially expressed when the log2 fold enriched was ≥ 1 and an adjusted P-value or FDR<0.05. To identify the cellular processes regulated by postnatal Arx in PVIs, we applied gene ontology (GO) analysis to examine the functional attributes of DEGs using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (Huang da et al., 2009a, b). Separate GO analyses for functional annotations of the upregulated and downregulated DEGs were performed (FDR < 0.05).

Network analysis: To construct gene-gene interaction networks for the DEGs, we used the GeneMANIA-cytoscape app [http://apps.cytoscape.org/apps/genemania] (Montojo et al., 2014). GeneMANIA is a web-based prediction server for the prediction and prioritization of gene/protein function on the basis of multiple networks derived from published proteomics and genomic data (Warde-Farley et al., 2010), whereas cytoscape provides a platform for visualizing and integrating these networks with gene expression profiles (Shannon et al., 2003). DEGs were imported into the GeneMania database of previously discovered networks and screened for gene-gene interactions. Functional gene-gene associations such as constructed based on co-expression, co-localization, physical interaction, predicted interaction, shared protein domain, and other functional relations were assessed. The strength and significance of gene networks between DEGs and associated genes was determined by a GO term (biological process)-based weighting algorithm, which was then was filtered to include only gene-gene interaction networks above a significance FDR threshold of 0.05.
Arx binding Motif analysis: The occurrence of Arx binding motifs in open chromatin regions identified in DEGs was performed with Cistrome Analysis Pipeline (v 3.4.0) [http://cistrome.org/ap/] in combination with UCSC Genome Table browser [https://genome.ucsc.edu/] (Karolchik et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2011). Analysis was conducted using the mouse database and the up- and down-regulated DEGs were analyzed separately. Briefly, PVI specific ATAC motifs near DEGs were defined as areas of open chromatin within ±100Kb flanking regions of each DEG TSS. Screen motif tool in Cistrome was used to scan these regions for Arx binding motifs using the positional weight matrix in TRANSFAC for Arx (Motif ID: M01423). Analysis of Arx binding motifs in identified ATAC-seq regions was performed using GREAT (v3.0.0) algorithm (McLean et al., 2010) with standard parameters [http://great.stanford.edu] to determine distance of motifs sites from the TSS. For a more unbiased view of the occurrence of Arx binding motifs in DEG ATAC-seq regions, we conducted overlapping analysis using randomly matched genomic regions generated in RSAT. These genome-wide and computationally generated matched regions were used as control for prevalence of Arx binding motifs in DEG ATAC-seq regions.

Validation of RNA-Seq results with RTqPCR
To verify the RNA-Seq results, we conducted RTqPCR analysis using new RNA samples as opposed to those used in the RNAseq experiments. RNA was isolated using the Trizol LS/Chloroform method (TRizol Reagent, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as in the case of the samples used for RNAseq. First strand cDNA was prepared using the Invitrogen superscript kit (Invitrogen, life technologies, USA) with random primers according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative analysis of real-time PCR was conducted for Arx and the following DEGs: Gria3, Olfm1, Cck, and Nid1. All RTqPCR reactions were performed with the TaqMan assay RTqPCR System according to manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems, USA) using the following probes: Mm01237524_m1 (Arx), Mm00497506_m1 (Gria3), Mm00444666_m1 (Olfm1), Mm0044170_m1 (Cck), Mm00477827_m1 (Nid1), and Mm03928990_g1 (18S ribosomal RNA). The real time cycler (Applied Biosystems; 7500 fast) was employed for all PCR reactions using the following standard conditions: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec and annealing and extension at 60°C for 1 min. Efficiency and specificity of amplification with the Taqman probes were confirmed by analysis of standard and melting curves, respectively. Transcript levels for the analyzed genes were normalized to the stably expressed housekeeping gene 18S ribosomal RNA (RRN18S) and relative expression levels were calculated by the 2^-ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Histology
Fixed brains from 8-10 weeks old Arx; PVCreTom mice and Arx; PVCreTom CKO mice were analyzed by immunohistochemistry (Marsh et al., 2009). Mice were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine (100mg/kg) and xylazine (10mg/kg) administered intraperitoneally (ip) followed by isoflurane (1-3%). Anesthetized mice were then perfused transcardially with PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), pH 7.4. The brains were removed and post-fixed in 4% phosphate-buffered paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight and incubated in 30% sucrose until the brains sink to the bottom. Brains were then embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT, Tissue-Tek; Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and immediately frozen on dry ice (78.5°C). Coronal sections (14μm) were cut using a cryostat (Leica HM 500; Heidelberg, Germany) and stored at -80°C until immunostaining. To assess Cre-recombination efficiency, the integrity of the PNN, and the density of interneuron subtypes following loss of Arx, sections containing the hippocampus were processed for immunolabeling with antibodies using a two-day protocol. Briefly, cryosections were rinsed twice in PBS and nonspecific binding was blocked by incubation in 10% normal
goat serum in 0.5% Triton X-100 10% normal donkey serum 0/1% Triton-100X for 1h at RT. Sections were then incubated with antibodies against ARX (1:100, Neuromab, Davis, CA), Cat-315 (A gift from Rick Matthews of Syracuse University, 1:10), Aggre (ACAN, Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA), parvalbumin (PV, 1:100, Millipore Sigma), calbindin D28k (CB, 1:500, Swant, Marly, Switzerland), Somatostatin (SST; 1:50, ABCAM, Cambridge, MA), and calretinin (CR, 1:100; Swant, Marly, Switzerland) overnight at 4⁰C. For antibodies that required antigen retrieval, slides were placed in citric acid buffer and autoclaved at 105⁰C for 20 min. The mouse on mouse (M.O.M) kit was used for staining with antibodies raised in mouse in order to minimize nonspecific binding between primary antibodies and mouse tissue. To detect primary antibodies, sections were then incubated with secondary IgM or IgG antibody conjugated to fluorescein and/or biotinylated secondary followed by Cy3 streptavidin (1:50; Jackson Immuno Research Lab, West Grove, PA) for 30min at RT. Sections were then washed with PBS, air-dried, and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

**Cell counting and pixel analysis**

Immunofluorescence images containing the hippocampus were acquired using a Leica DMR microscope coupled with a CoolSNAP camera (Leica Microsystems, Bannockburn, IL). All images were analyzed using Image J (NIH). Double fluorescent Images were captured from both hemispheres using a 10X or 20X objective without altering the focal plane when switching filter cubes. Co-localization was determined by examining ARX- or TdTom+ interneurons for PV, SST, CB, NPY, or CR. Cell counts were performed on 10X images stitched into a montage containing all brain structures. The perimeter of the counting area of interest was drawn and the density of cells (Cells per mm²) for each animal was calculated by dividing the number of positive cells by the total area sampled. Cell density for matched slices was calculated in 3-7 mice for each genotype and the median value for each mouse was compared across genotypes. Cell counts were performed by three individuals blinded to the genotype of the mice. Pixel counts were obtained for ACAN using the immunohistochemistry profiler (IHC) plugins for Image J.

**Electrophysiology**

**Hippocampal slice preparation:** Juvenile Arx CKO and control male mice (PND 35-40) were anesthetized with isoflurane and then transcardially perfused with ice cold (0–4 ºC) sucrose aCSF containing in (mM): sucrose 204, KCl 2.5, CaCl₂ (Anhydrous) 0.5, MgSO₄ (Anhydrous) 10, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25, NaHCO₃ 26 and glucose 11, Na-Ascorbate 5, Na-Pyruvate 3, and Thiourea 2. The sucrose ACSF was maintained at 315mΩ and oxygenated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂ to maintain a pH close to 7.4. The whole brain was rapidly removed and immersed in ice-slushy sucrose artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) and allowed to cool for 2-3 min to reduce anoxia and promote cell survival. Transverse hippocampal-entorhinal cortex slices (350µm) were prepared in the same ice-slushy sucrose ACSF using a Leica vibratome (VT1200, Leica, Germany). Slices were allowed to recover in a chamber with recovery aCSF (115mM NaCl, 2.5mM KCl, 1mM MgSO₄, 2.5mM CaCl₂, 1.4mM NaH₂PO₄, 30.8mM NaHCO₃, 15.5mM glucose, 5mM NaAscorbate, 3mM NaPyruvate, and 2mM Thiourea) equilibrated with 95% O₂/5% CO₂ for 45 min at 32ºC and then for 1h at RT prior to recordings. Following recovery, dorsal hippocampal slices were transferred to a submerged chamber (RC-27, Warner Instruments) and were continuously perfused with oxygenated recording aCSF (128mM NaCl, 2.5mM KCl, 1mM MgSO₄, 2.5mM CaCl₂, 1.4mM NaH₂PO₄, 30mM NaHCO₃, 15.5mM glucose) with a flow rate of 2mL/min using a gravity-driven perfusion system. Slices were visualized on a screen via a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera system (Rolera, Qimaging) attached to the Olympus BX51WI microscope (Olympus, USA). All recordings were performed at 32ºC.
**Intrinsic and basal synaptic properties:** Whole-cell recordings were made on visually identified tdTom+ PVIs from Juvenile Arx CKO and control male mice (PND 35-40) using a 40× water immersion objective and near-infrared differential interference contrast (IR-DIC) video microscopy. CA1 TdTom+ PVIs were first identified under fluorescence then patched using IR-DIC (BX-51WI, Olympus, USA). Patch pipettes (4–6 MΩ) were pulled from thick-walled borosilicate glass (ID: 1.1 mm, OD: 1.5; WPI, Sarasota, FL) using a horizontal puller (Sutter Instruments P-97) and filled with (in mM) 5 KCl, 135 K-glucuronate, 2 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 4 EGTA, 4 MgATP, and 0.3 Na2GTP (pH ≈ 7.2; 285 mΩ). Neurobiotin (0.5%; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was added to the solution for later morphological identification of the recorded neurons. The liquid junction potential between the recording ACSF and internal solution was effectively cancelled out using the bridge balance circuit of the amplifier before sealing onto cell membrane. All voltage- or current-clamp recordings were performed with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, USA) connected to a Digidata 1440A analog-digital converter (Molecular Devices, USA). Signals were sampled at 20 kHz and filtered with a 5 kHz low-pass Bessel filter. To reduce or eliminate artifacts in the recordings, series resistance and capacitance compensation (70–80%) were performed online to decrease the transient observed under a rectangular test pulse. Patch quality was continuously monitored throughout the experiments and only recordings obtained from patches with stable holding current (<100pA) and access resistance (<30 MΩ) were analyzed. Passive intrinsic membrane properties (Input resistance (Rin), RMP, membrane tau) and active (AP threshold, AP amplitude, and half-width duration, sag, firing frequency) were measured upon establishment of whole mode. Intrinsic membrane properties of TdTom+ PVIs were subsequently characterized in response to hyper- and depolarizing rectangular current steps applied for 500 ms in 10 to 20pA increments at 0.5 Hz in the current-clamp recording mode. The membrane time constant was determined by single-exponential fitting to the average voltage responses activated by hyperpolarizing current steps (10-20 pA). All AP measures were taken from the first AP of the first sweep that reached the spike threshold. Peak amplitudes of the AP and the fast after hyperpolarization (fAHP) amplitude were measured relative to the AP threshold. Duration of the AP was measured at its half-amplitude.

Synaptic recordings of spontaneous or miniature excitatory/inhibitory currents were simultaneously recorded for 5 min by clamping the membrane at +40mV (Zhou et al., 2009) following the acquisition of intrinsic membrane data. Miniature excitatory synaptic currents (mEPSCs) and inhibitory synaptic currents (mIPSCs) were recorded by the addition of 0.5µM tetrodotoxin (TTX) to the ACSF. The peak amplitude and frequency were determined for excitatory and inhibitory spontaneous or miniature events. All data were recorded using Clampex 10.7 (Molecular Devices, USA) and analyzed using Clampfit 10.7 (Molecular Devices, USA) or Minianalysis 6.0.3 (Jaejin software, Leonia, NJ, USA).

**Evoked synaptic transmission:** CA1 evoked synaptic responses were recorded from on visually identified tdTom+ PVIs from Juvenile Arx CKO and control male mice in the whole-cell patch clamp mode as described for the intrinsic and synaptic recordings above. Patch pipettes were filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): CsMeSO4 125, QX314.Cl 5, HEPES 10, NaCl 4, EGTA 1, MgATP 4, Na2GTP 0.3, phosphocreatine 10. Synaptic responses were evoked by stimulation of the Schaffer collateral fibers in the SR with a tungsten concentric bipolar electrode as described in the field recording section above. For recording glutamateurc and GABAergic currents in the same cell, synaptic currents were recorded at 0 mV (glutamatergic reversal potential) and − 60 mV (GABAergic reversal potential). For construction of input-output curves for synaptic strength and kinetics, synaptic events (6-10) were evoked 0.1 Hz to minimize synaptic failures. To measure short-term plasticity, a form of facilitation or depression of synaptic response, the half-maximal stimulus intensity was used to assess paired-pulse facilitation and inhibition at intervals of 50, 100, 150, and 200ms. Mixed NMDA/AMPA EPSCs
were evoked in 1mM Mg\(^{2+}\) ACSF with bicuculline (50μM) and glycine (50μM) at membrane voltages ranging from -90 to +50 mV (10 mV steps) at 0.1Hz. We confirmed that this stimulation paradigm did not induce LTP by comparing EPSC amplitudes from the beginning to the end of each recording session. To differentiate AMPA and NMDA receptor currents, the time of the peak current at -90 mV, considered to be fully AMPAR-mediated glutamate current, was used to establish the time window for measuring the peak AMPAR currents evoked while cells were clamped at the aforementioned membrane potentials (Myme et al., 2003). The decay to baseline portion of the waveform at -90mV was used to establish a 15ms window for the measurement of NMDAR-mediated glutamate current at those membrane potentials (Myme et al., 2003). Values obtained from this approach were used to construct the I-V relationship curves for both AMPA and NMDA currents. The currents measured at -50 and +50mV were used to calculate the ratio of NMDA/AMPA (\(I_{\text{NMDA at +50mV}} / I_{\text{AMPA at -50mV}}\)) currents as well as their rectification indices (Matta et al., 2013). All evoked recordings were analyzed in Clampfit 10.7 and the paired pulse ratio was calculated by dividing the mean amplitude of eEPSC or eIPSC of the second pulse by the mean amplitude of the first pulse (Pulse 2/pulse 1).

**Neurobiotin filling and Sholl analysis**

Following the intrinsic and basal synaptic recordings, TdTom+ PVls were filled with neurobiotin (0.5%, in intracellular solution) through a combination of diffusive and current-induced (300pA current step at 10Hz for 5 min) loading via the patch pipette. The patch pipette was then slowly retracted from the cell soma and the slice was transferred to a chamber with bubbling ACSF at 32°C for about an hour to allow for complete diffusion of neurobiotin. To prevent overlapping of processes with neurobiotin labeling, only one to three cells were filled per slice. Slices were subsequently fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde containing 0.3% picric acid. To begin recovery of neurobiotin labeling, slices were washed 3 times for 10 min each in 100mM Tris buffer and treated with 1% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) in 0.1 M Tris buffer for 30 min. After 3 washes of 5 min each, slices were permeabilized in 0.5% Triton-X-100 overnight at 4°C. The next day, the slices were briefly rinsed and then incubated in avidin–biotin complex (ABC; one drop of each reagent to 5 ml of 0.1M TBS containing 5% Triton-X-100, ABC Elite kits, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) at 2-3 days a 4°C. To visualize neurobiotin labeling, slices were washed 3 times in 0.1M TBS for 10 min each and incubated in 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB; One drop to 1 ml of 0.1M TBS, ImmPACT DAB kit, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) until they turned into a darkish brown color. The DAB reaction was then terminated with 3 washes in water and sections were mounted on glass slides using Fluoromount G (Southern Biotech).

Images of neurobiotin labeled TdTom+ PVls were acquired on a Leica TCS SP5 confocal laser scanning microscope with a Leica PL APO 10x/1.4 air lens in the transmission mode. Z-stacks of optical sections were captured at 0.25-0.4µm intervals, using the following settings (2048 x 2048 pixel, 8 speed, 4 averaging, a pinhole setting of 1.2 Airy units). To sharpen the pictures, the Z-stacks were processed for 2D deconvolution with the Leica Blind Deconvolution module using up to 25 iterations of the Classic Maximum Likelihood Estimation algorithm with a theoretical point spread function (PSF). Selected deconvolved Z-stacks were average projected to provide accurate view of all the neurobiotin labeled structures and the resultant projections were exported in TIFF format for Sholl analysis (Sholl, 1953) in Image J 1.51i (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA; https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) . To perform semi-automated Sholl analysis, 8-bit images of projected neurobiotin filled cells were traced using the Simple neurite tracer plugin (Longair et al., 2011) for image J (NIH, Bethesda, MD) to generate tagged skeleton traces. The tagged skeleton traces were then converted to 8-bit and branch complexity was analyzed using the Sholl analysis plugin for image J (Ferreira et al., 2014). The initial Sholl analysis window was set at 10μm from the center of the cell soma and the radius of subsequent circles increased by 2μm increments. The number of intersections made by each branch with each circle was plotted against the distance from the soma.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using excel and Graph Pad Prism software (San Diego, CA, USA). In most cases, the unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test was used for normally distributed data and the Mann-Whitney U test was used for data not normally distributed, with genotype as the variable in both cases. Statistical significance for PPR and some behavioral experiments was determined by single-factor ANOVA from a two-tailed test, followed by Bonferroni post hoc test. For the Rotarod test, reference learning test of the MWM, novel object recognition test, RNAseq validation, power spectral analysis, and contextual fear conditioning test, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures was performed using genotype and trials or time as variables. These tests were followed by Tukey, Sidak, or Bonferroni post hoc analysis. The Kolmogorov-Smirnoff (K-S) test was used to analyze the cumulative distribution of TTX-dependent and independent miniature postsynaptic currents. Data are given as means (±SEM) and differences in means were considered statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05. Significance levels [p<0.05 (*), p<0.01(**), p<0.001(***) or p<0.0001(****)] are indicated in the text and figures. Sample sizes were not predetermined by power analysis, but they conformed to those reported in similar studies.

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Rabbit anti-ARX     | Neuromab | RRID:AB_2491188 |
| Mouse anti-PV       | Millipore Sigma | RRID:AB_2313804 |
| Mouse anti-CB       | Swant   | RRID:AB_2314070 |
| Rabbit anti-CR      | Swant   | RRID:AB_2619710 |
| Rabbit anti-SST     | ABCAM   | RRID:AB_2286681 |
| Rabbit anti-ACAN    | Millipore Sigma | RRID:AB_90460 |
| Mouse anti-Cat-315  | Millipore Sigma | RRID:AB_94270 |
| FITC Goat-anti rabbit IgG | Jackson Immunoresearch | RRID:AB_10015288 |
| Cy3 Goat anti-mouse IgG | Jackson Immunoresearch | RRID:AB_2338680 |
| **Chemicals, Peptides and Recombinant proteins** | | |
| Tetrodotoxin citrate | Tocris  | Cat# 1069 |
| Picrotoxin          | Tocris  | Cat# 1128 |
| Bicuculline methiodide | Tocris  | Cat# 2503 |
| Glycine             | Millipore Sigma | Cat# 410225 |
| **Oligonucleotides** |        |            |
| Arx (LoxP Forw: AAG AAC CCC AAA AGCTAA GGA ATG) | Invitrogen | N/A |
| LoxP Rev: TGG AGC GGG GAC AGG GTG GAG GTT | Invitrogen | N/A |
| Wildtype Rev: GGC CGGTCT CTT TCT TCC TACTCA | Invitrogen | N/A |
| Cre (Forw: GGC GCG CGG TCT GGC AGT AAA AAC) | Invitrogen | N/A |
| Primer Sequence | Manufacturer | Part Number |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Rev: CCC TGA TCC TGG CAA TTT CGG CTA TA | Invitrogen | N/A |
| TdTom (Forw: CTC TGC TGC CTC CTG GCT TCT) | Invitrogen | N/A |
| Rev: CGA GGC GGA TCA CAA GCA ATA | Invitrogen | N/A |
| Mutant Rev: TCA ATG GGC GGG GGT CGT T | Invitrogen | N/A |
| Taqman-Mm01237524_m1 (Arx) | Applied Biosystems | N/A |
| Taqman-Mm00497506_m1 (Gria3) | Applied Biosystems | N/A |
| Taqman-Mm00444666_m1 (Olfm1) | Applied Biosystems | N/A |
| Taqman-Mm0044170_m1 (Cck) | Applied Biosystems | N/A |
| Taqman-Mm00477827_m1 (Nid1) | Applied Biosystems | N/A |
| Taqman-Mm03928990_g1 (18S ribosomal RNA) | Applied Biosystems | N/A |

**Deposited data**

**Bulk RNA sequencing**

This paper

GEO: GSE157689

**Experimental models**

Mouse: Rosa-CAG-LSL-tdTomato-WPRE

The Jackson Laboratory

RRID:IMSR_JAX:007914

Mouse: B6; 129P2-Pvalbtm1 (cre)Arbr/J

The Jackson Laboratory

RRID:IMSR_JAX:008069

Mouse: Lox P flanked Arx

Jeff Golden (Fulp et al., 2008; Marsh et al. 2009)

N/A

**Software and Algorithms**

| Software | Manufacturer | Part Number |
|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Minianalysis 6.0.3 | Jaejin software | N/A |
| pClamp 10.7 | Molecular devices | N/A |
| Simple neurite tracer plugin | Longair et al., 2011, [https://imagej.net/Simple_Neurite_Tracer](https://imagej.net/Simple_Neurite_Tracer) |
| Image J | NIH | [https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/](https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) |
| Sholl analysis plugin | Ferreira et al., 2014 | [https://imagej.net/Sholl_Analysis](https://imagej.net/Sholl_Analysis) |
| ANY-maze software | Stoelting | N/A |
| MATLAB 2015b | Mathworks | N/A |
| Harmonie | Stellate systems | N/A |
| UCSC Genome Table browser | Karolchik et al., 2004 | [https://genome.ucsc.edu/](https://genome.ucsc.edu/) |
| Cistrome (v 3.4.0) | Liu et al., 2011 | [http://cistrome.org/ap/](http://cistrome.org/ap/) |
| Prism 8.2 | GraphPad | [https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/](https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/)
| GREAT (v3.0.0) | McLean et al., 2010 | [http://great.stanford.edu](http://great.stanford.edu) |
| Bioconductor DESeq2 | Love et al., 2014 | [https://www.bioconductor.org](https://www.bioconductor.org) |

**Other**

| Other | Manufacturer | Part Number |
|-------|--------------|-------------|
| Avidin–Biotin Complex | Vector Laboratories | Cat# PK6200 |
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