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Large-amplitude molecular motions which occur during isomerization can cause significant changes in electronic structure. These variations in electronic properties can be used to identify vibrationally-excited eigenstates which are localized along the potential energy surface. This work demonstrates that nuclear quadrupole hyperfine interactions can be used as a diagnostic marker of progress along the isomerization path in both the HC\textsuperscript{14}N/H\textsuperscript{14}NC and DC\textsuperscript{15}N/D\textsuperscript{15}NC chemical systems. \textit{Ab initio} calculations at the CCSD(T)/cc-pCVQZ level indicate that the hyperfine interaction is extremely sensitive to the chemical bonding of the quadrupolar \textsuperscript{14}N nucleus and can therefore be used to determine in which potential well the vibrational wavefunction is localized. A natural bonding orbital analysis along the isomerization path further demonstrates that hyperfine interactions arise from the asphericity of the electron density at the quadrupolar nucleus. Using the CCSD(T) potential surface, the quadrupole coupling constants of highly-excited vibrational states are computed from a one-dimensional internal coordinate path Hamiltonian. The excellent agreement between \textit{ab initio} calculations and recent measurements demonstrates that nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure can be used as a diagnostic tool for characterizing localized HCN and HNC vibrational states.

I. Introduction

The H–C \equiv N: \rightleftharpoons H\equiv N = C: isomerization on the ground electronic potential energy surface exemplifies one of the simplest bond-breaking processes in molecules. These high-barrier isomerization processes are of fundamental importance to many areas of chemistry since they involve the breaking and formation of bonds, a highly energetic process found in combustion and interstellar plasmas.\textsuperscript{1} In particular, investigations of the HCN \rightleftharpoons HNC isomerization system have an additional importance because they allow the computation of [HCN]/[HNC] abundance ratios, which provide further insights on the composition and chemistry of dark interstellar clouds.\textsuperscript{2,3} For these reasons, numerous spectroscopic studies have been carried out on HCN\textsuperscript{4–9}, with the intent to directly observe its isomerization to HNC and to confirm theoretical calculations.\textsuperscript{10–17}

A primary motivation for studying the HCN \rightleftharpoons HNC isomerization is to observe vibrationally-excited bending states which lie close to the energy barrier maximum. These highly-excited vibrational states are of particular interest because they have wavefunction amplitude localized along the minimum energy path and therefore reveal electronic features of the potential that control intramolecular dynamics. In the case of chemical isomerization, bonds are broken and new bonds are formed, and the electronic wavefunction becomes severely deformed from that of the equilibrium nuclear configuration. In light of this observation, the change in electronic structure along the HCN \rightleftharpoons HNC isomerization path can be used as an effective diagnostic for identifying delocalized vibrational states between the HCN and HNC regions of the potential. Indeed, Bowman et al. have already performed three-dimensional \textit{ab initio} calculations on the dipole moment and showed that isomerizing vibrational states possess significantly smaller dipole moments than localized HCN or HNC states.\textsuperscript{18} Fig. 1 depicts the HCN \rightleftharpoons HNC isomerization path as a function of the \angle HCN Jacobi angle. At the endpoints of this path, the HCN and HNC \textit{a}-axis dipoles are nearly equal in magnitude but opposite in sign, with the \textit{a}-axis dipole moment function changing sign near the transition state. Since delocalized vibrational eigenfunctions near the transition state sample both HCN and HNC configurations, their dipole-moment expectation values are

![Fig. 1 One-dimensional potential energy surface for the HCN \rightleftharpoons HNC isomerization as a function of the Jacobi angle. The \textit{a}-axis dipole moment changes sign from -3 Debye to +3 Debye along the HCN (0°) \rightarrow HNC (180°) isomerization path. The relaxed potential energy curve was obtained from the CCSD(T)/cc-pCVQZ level of theory.](image-url)
II. Quadrupole Coupling Constants of Nuclei

In a molecule, the electric field gradient \( q_I \) at the site of a nucleus \( I \) is given by the second derivatives of the potential \( V \) with respect to the Cartesian coordinates. Accordingly, \( q_I \) is a symmetric second rank tensor with Cartesian components \( q_{i,j} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \), \( q_{i,j} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \), etc. Given the electronic wavefunction, \( \psi \), the electric field gradient component at a particular nucleus \( J \), \( q_{i,j,J} \), is given by a sum of nuclear and electronic terms:

\[
q_{i,j,J} = q_{i,j,J}^{\text{nuclear}} + q_{i,j,J}^{\text{electronic}} = e \sum_{\nu} Z_I \left( \frac{3r_{i\nu}r_{j\nu} - r_{i\nu}^3}{r_{i\nu}^5} \right) \chi_{i,j} - e \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}_J} \right) \int \psi^* \sum_{\nu} \frac{3r_{i\nu}r_{j\nu} - r_{i\nu}^3}{r_{i\nu}^5} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3r.
\]

where \( e \) is the charge of an electron, \( Z_I \) is the charge on nucleus \( I \), and \( \nu \) labels the electrons. The coordinate system in which the Cartesian form of the tensor is diagonal is the principal axis system. From eqn (1), the Cartesian tensor is traceless for any nucleus with \( I \geq 1 \) and \( J \geq 1 \) due to the electronic wavefunction. Therefore, only two components are independent in the principal axis system. Accordingly, hyperfine structure originates from the nuclear quadrupole moment of a spin \( I \geq 1 \) nucleus interacting with the electric field gradient due to the electronic wavefunction.

For both HC\(^{14}\)N and H\(^{14}\)NC, only the \(^{14}\)N nitrogen nucleus possesses a nuclear quadrupole moment, and the nuclear quadrupole coupling constants are highly sensitive to which isomer is measured. Qualitatively, the change in nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure for HC\(^{15}\)N/H\(^{15}\)NC is due to variations in the distances and angles between atoms bonded with the \(^{14}\)N nucleus. Consequently, hyperfine structure measurements provide another probe to identify the onset of delocalization.

In this work, the concept of using nuclear quadrupole couplings for identifying vibrational states is explained in detail to complement and extend the experimental data reported in a recent communication. A description of nuclear quadrupole structure is presented, and a detailed account of the computational steps involved in obtaining the current results is provided. Other diagnostic methods such as Weinhold’s natural bond orbital analysis are also computed to determine the various interactions which cause changes in hyperfine structure. In addition, new hyperfine calculations applied to the isotopically-substituted species DC\(^{15}\)N and D\(^{15}\)NC are also analyzed. These calculations allow a useful comparison to experimental data and reveal mechanistic details for the evolution of vibrational character.

III. Ab Initio Calculations

From the second term in eqn (2), the calculation of nuclear quadrupole coupling constants involves an expectation value of the electric field gradient at the nucleus. Due to the dependence on the electronic environment close to the nucleus of interest, an accurate calculation of quadrupole coupling constants puts strict demands on the basis set and also the level of electron correlation. In particular, other researchers have already shown that \emph{ab initio} calculations using the correlation consistent basis sets of Dunning and coworkers are not well-suited for accurate calculations of quadrupole coupling constants. As it is the region close to the nucleus of interest that needs to be accurately described, the use of large core-valence basis sets is necessary.

For the HCN \( \rightarrow \) HNC isomerization, all calculations were performed at the coupled cluster level of theory by including single and double excitations together with a perturbative treatment of triple excitations (CCSD(T)). The relaxed geometry parameters were obtained with the core-valence cc-pCVTZ basis set, and all core electrons were correlated at the CCSD(T) level to account for core correlation effects. CCSD(T) single-point energies and electric field gradients were subsequently performed with a larger cc-pCVQZ basis.
set at the CCSD(T)/cc-pCVTZ optimized geometries. The HCN $\rightleftharpoons$ HNC quadrupole coupling constants on the one-dimensional isomerization path were calculated by choosing a grid of 24 values of the Jacobi angle between the HCN ($\theta = 0^\circ$) and HNC ($\theta = 180^\circ$) isomers and optimizing all other internal coordinates to minimize the total energy. The Jacobi angle used in the present work is defined as the angle between the two Jacobi vectors $r$ and $R$, where $r$ is the N–C displacement vector, and $R$ is the displacement vector between the C–N center of mass and the H atom. All CCSD(T) calculations were performed using the ACES II (Mainz-Austin-Budapest Version) set of programs\textsuperscript{25} with analytic gradients for both geometry and electric field gradient calculations.

**IV. Results and Discussion**

**A. Quadrupole Coupling Constants**

The principal component of the quadrupole coupling constant tensor, $(eQq)_N$, along the optimized isomerization path from HCN$^{-}$ to HNC$^{+}$ is shown in Fig. 2. For HCN$^{-}$, the quadrupole coupling constant is large and negative, $(eQq)_N = -4.62$ MHz, whereas for HNC$^{+}$, it is small and positive $(eQq)_N = 0.353$ MHz. It should be noted that the most recent ab initio calculations by Pd and Chandra\textsuperscript{26} on HNC$^{+}$ also predict a small nuclear quadrupole coupling constant $-313$ kHz $\leq (eQq)_N \leq -288$ kHz, but of the wrong sign. Interestingly, Fig. 2 shows that the $(eQq)_N$ values do not follow a simple linear trend along the isomerization path. Instead, the magnitude of the coupling constant, $|(eQq)_N|$, initially increases as a function of the Jacobi angle up to $\theta = 76^\circ$, which corresponds to the transition state geometry. Once the Jacobi angle is increased past the transition state structure, $|(eQq)_N|$ decreases until it is approximately zero.

In order to explain these trends, a natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis\textsuperscript{20} was utilized to calculate electron populations of the C and N atoms during the isomerization process. Using the density matrix of the CCSD wavefunction, the NBO procedure yields a set of localized orbitals which give the most accurate Lewis-like description of the total electron density. The NBO electron populations of the N and C atoms as a function of the Jacobi angle are plotted in Figs. 3 (a) and (b). According to the model of Townes and Dailey\textsuperscript{27}, the primary contribution to the electric field gradient, $q$, is due to an unequal distribution of electrons among the $p_x$, $p_y$, and $p_z$ orbitals of the quadrupolar nucleus. In the HCN isomer ($\theta = 0^\circ$), the N nucleus has 1.47 electrons in a $p_z$ orbital which are used to form both a C–N $\sigma$ bond and a portion of the N: lone pair. The $p_x$ and $p_y$ orbitals on the N nucleus are equally filled with 1.08 electrons which contribute to the two $\pi$ bonds with the C nucleus. The excess of electrons in the $p_z$ orbital creates a molecular electric field which is highly anisotropic and results in a large quadrupole coupling constant. As the Jacobi angle is increased, the NBO analysis indicates the initially-symmetric electron density in the $xy$-plane is broken as the $p_z$ and $p_y$ orbitals also become unequally filled. This further
unequal distribution of electrons creates an even larger asphericity of electron density and causes \( |(\epsilon Q q)_{\text{e}}| \) to increase. However, as the transition state geometry is approached (\( \theta = 76^\circ \)), the difference between the \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) electron populations is maximal, and \( |(\epsilon Q q)_{\text{e}}| \) is largest in this region. In the post-transition-state region (\( \theta > 76^\circ \)), the \( p_2 \) electron population decreases as the lone pair becomes shared to form the N–H bond. Finally once HNC is formed (\( \theta = 180^\circ \)), the NBO analysis shows that the \( p_1 \), \( p_2 \), and \( p_3 \) orbitals are all equally filled (~1.43 electrons), and the quadrupole coupling constant is approximately zero.

A nearly identical chemical system which exhibits completely different nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure is the \( \text{D–C} = ^{15}\text{N} \): \( \text{D} = ^{15}\text{N} = \text{C} \): isomerization. In these isotopomers, only the deuteron has a quadrupole moment (\( I_D = 1 \)) while both the \( ^{15}\text{N} \) and \( ^{12}\text{C} \) nuclei do not \( I_{^{15}\text{N}} = I_{^{12}\text{C}} = 1/2 \). As a result, the \( ^{15}\text{DC15N} \) \( \cong \) \( ^{15}\text{DN}^{12}\text{C} \) isomerization provides a complementary description of quadrupole hyperfine structure from the alternate viewpoint of only the deuteron nucleus. Fig. 4 shows the quadrupole coupling constant (\( \epsilon Q q \)) along the relaxed isomerization path from \( ^{15}\text{DC15N} \) to \( ^{15}\text{DN}^{12}\text{C} \). In contrast to the \( ^{15}\text{HC14N} \) \( \cong \) \( ^{15}\text{HC}^{14}\text{N} \) isomerization, the quadrupole coupling constants for both \( ^{15}\text{DC15N} \) and \( ^{15}\text{DN}^{12}\text{C} \) are small, positive, and nearly equal: \( (\epsilon Q q)_{^{15}\text{DC15N}} = 0.212 \text{ MHz} \) and \( (\epsilon Q q)_{^{15}\text{DN}^{12}\text{C}} = 0.279 \text{ MHz} \). The striking differences between Figs. 2 and 4 can also be explained in terms of the chemical environment experienced by the quadrupolar nucleus. Since the quadrupole coupling, \( (\epsilon Q q)_D \), arises from the interaction with the nuclei to which the deuteron is bound, it reflects the anisotropy of the molecular electric field at the position of the probe nucleus. Therefore, if the nucleus is located in a highly symmetric chemical environment, the electric field gradient is small. Near the transition state, the deuteron is positioned close to the center of the C–\( ^{15}\text{N} \) bond and experiences a fairly symmetrical field (the electronegativities of both C and N are fairly equal), and the electric field gradient is nearly zero as shown in Fig. 4. Consequently, a plot of \( (\epsilon Q q)_D \) as a function of Jacobi angle is nearly symmetrical about the transition state point.

**B. Hamiltonian**

In order to make quantitative comparisons with experimental data, the quadrupole coupling constants in Figs. 2 and 4 must be quantum-mechanically averaged over vibrational wavefunctions obtained from the one-dimensional isomerization potential. In the present work, an internal-coordinate Hamiltonian is used to determine the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions corresponding to the large-amplitude hydrogen motion. A detailed description of the internal coordinate path Hamiltonian and its derivation has been given by Tew et al.\(^ {28} \) Their parametrization of a large-amplitude motion with a single internal coordinate is based on the work of Hougen, Bunker, and Johns who were among the first workers to include large-amplitude motion in their semi-rigid bender model.\(^ {29} \) Other workers, such as Szalay and Nesbitt, have extended this approach to account for nonrigid effects of large-amplitude internal motion in other molecules.\(^ {30,31} \) The formulation of Tew et al. is closely related to the reaction path Hamiltonian by Miller, Handy, and Adams\(^ {32} \) with the exception that the internal coordinate path need not be exactly parallel to the minimum energy path. As reported previously\(^ {33} \), the following approximations allow for the computation to be manageable: (1) the inertia tensor depends weakly on the small-amplitude coordinates and their contribution to the kinetic energy is neglected; (2) the Coriolis terms are linear in the small-amplitude coordinates \( Q_k \), and their contribution to the kinetic energy is neglected; (3) numerically enforcing the Eckart conditions minimizes many of the couplings between the large-amplitude motion and the overall rotation of the molecule. It follows from these approximations that the kinetic energy operator for the large-amplitude motion with total angular momentum \( J = 0 \) can be written in the following form (cf. eqn (10) of ref. 33)

\[
\hat{T} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_s I_{0s}^{-1} \hat{p}_s + \frac{1}{2} \mu^s (\hat{p}_s I_{ss}^{-1} (\hat{p}_s \mu^s)),
\]

where \( \hat{p}_s = -i\hbar \partial/\partial s \) is the momentum conjugate to the large-amplitude coordinate \( s \). Since the isomerization process corresponds to a bend-like motion, the most appropriate choice for the large-amplitude parameter \( s \) is the Jacobi angle \( \theta \). The scalar terms \( I_{ss} \) and \( \mu \) are given by

\[
I_{ss}^{-1}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^t(s) \cdot a^i_t(s)^{-1},
\]

\[
\mu(s) = I_{ss}^{-1} \det(I_0),
\]

and \( I_0 \) is the normal \( 3 \times 3 \) Cartesian inertia tensor along the isomerization path. The vectors \( a_i = m_i^{1/2} \mathbf{r}_i \) are the mass-weighted Cartesian coordinates of the \( i \)th atom at a point on the path \( s \) with respect to the Eckart axis system, and \( a^i_t = \left[ \frac{d a_i}{ds} \right] \). Finally, the operator \( \hat{p}_s \) operates only within the parentheses in eqn 4; that is, the next to last term in eqn 4...
is a scalar term. Like the acetylene $\rightarrow$ vinylidene isomerization system, which has been the subject of many theoretical studies\(^{34-40}\), the HCN $\rightarrow$ HNC isomerization involves a periodic potential. Therefore, the complete periodic isomerization path can be constructed with only the information about the path from HCN to HNC by using permutation group operations in a local frame. Each resulting geometry was translated to a center of mass frame, and all Cartesian components as a function of the Jacobi angle were fit to a Fourier series. Finite differences were then used on the fitted geometries to align the molecule along an Eckart frame. Finally, the kinetic energy, potential energy, and quadrupole matrix elements were obtained from their Fourier series expansion coefficients, and the one-dimensional Hamiltonian in eqn (4) was diagonalized in a basis of complex exponentials

$$ \begin{align*}
\left\{ \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \frac{e^{2i\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \frac{e^{3i\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \ldots \right. \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \frac{e^{2i\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \ldots \left. \right\}
\end{align*} \quad (7)$$

where $m$ is a positive integer.

20 C. Progression of the Quadrupole Coupling Constant

The lowest 50 eigenenergies obtained from the internal coordinate Hamiltonian are presented in Fig. 5 (a) as horizontal lines superimposed on the HC\(^{14}\)N $\rightarrow$ H\(^{14}\)NC isomerization potential. Fig. 5 (b) illustrates the variation of the vibrationally-averaged $\langle eQq \rangle_\nu$ coupling constants, $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$, which are associated with each of the energy levels in Fig. 5 (a). Vibrational wavefunctions that are localized in the HC\(^{14}\)N and H\(^{14}\)NC potential wells are denoted by triangular and square symbols respectively. All assignments were based on the expectation values of $\cos(\theta)$ until this metric became indeterminate at energies far above the transition state. For $n < 8$, $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$ varies linearly since the vibrational wavefunction is localized in the HC\(^{14}\)N global minimum. After the HC\(^{14}\)N bending energy exceeds 5,378 cm\(^{-1}\) ($8 < n < 52$), $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$ varies rapidly between two limits since the vibrational wavefunction alternates its localization between the local H\(^{14}\)NC minimum and the global HC\(^{14}\)N minimum. Once the bending energy surpasses 16,879 ($n > 52$), the hydrogen migration becomes nearly a free rotation and $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$ is approximately constant with a limiting value of approximately -4.3 MHz.

In contrast, the variation of vibrationally-averaged $\langle eQq \rangle_\nu$ constants for the DC\(^{15}\)N $\rightarrow$ D\(^{15}\)NC isomerization is considerably different. Fig. 5 (c) shows the progression of $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$ with assignments of DC\(^{15}\)N (triangles) and D\(^{15}\)NC (squares) vibrational energy levels. For low bending quanta, $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$ is positive and follows a linear trend in both the DC\(^{15}\)N and D\(^{15}\)NC isomers. However, as the bending energy is increased, the $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$ values for DC\(^{15}\)N and D\(^{15}\)NC intersect near $n = 34$ due to increased vibrational sampling of the $\langle eQq \rangle_\nu = 0$ transition state. Above this energy, $\{\langle eQq \rangle_\nu\}$ oscillates between the DC\(^{15}\)N and D\(^{15}\)NC localized states before approaching a single constant value of 0.03 MHz at high excitation.

The numerical values of the bending frequency and $\langle eQq \rangle$ constants computed from the one-dimensional Hamiltonian are given in Tables 1 and 2 for HC\(^{14}\)N/H\(^{14}\)NC and DC\(^{15}\)N/D\(^{15}\)NC respectively. The data compiled in Table 1 is...
Fig. 6 Comparison with experiment. Fig. 6 (a) depicts calculated and experimental \((l = 0)\) vibrational frequencies for HC\(^{14}\)N and H\(^{14}\)NC. Fig. 6 (b) shows calculated and experimental \((l = 0)\) nuclear quadrupole coupling constants for HC\(^{14}\)N and H\(^{14}\)NC.

| Vibrational Level \((v_1, v_2, v_3)\) | \textit{Ab initio} Frequency \((\text{cm}^{-1})\) | Experimental Frequency\(^a\) \((\text{cm}^{-1})\) | \textit{Ab initio} \((eQq)_N\) \((\text{MHz})\) | Experimental \((eQq)_N\) \((\text{MHz})\) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| HC\(^{14}\)N \((0, 0, 0)\) | 0 | 0 | -4.6764 | -4.7084 |
| \(0, 1, 0\) | 723 | — | -4.7796 | — |
| \(0, 2, 0\) | 1445 | 1411 | -4.8771 | -4.8966 |
| \(0, 3, 0\) | 2165 | — | -4.9691 | — |
| \(0, 4, 0\) | 2882 | 2803 | -5.0561 | -5.0699 |
| \(0, 5, 0\) | 3596 | — | -5.1382 | — |
| \(0, 6, 0\) | 4308 | 4175 | -5.2157 | -5.2175 |
| \(0, 7, 0\) | 5016 | — | -5.2888 | — |
| \(0, 8, 0\) | 5720 | 5526 | -5.3578 | -5.3485 |
| \(0, 9, 0\) | 6420 | — | -5.4229 | — |
| \(0, 10, 0\) | 7116 | 6856 | -5.4843 | -5.4579 |
| \(0, 11, 0\) | 7807 | — | -5.5423 | — |
| \(0, 12, 0\) | 8493 | — | -5.5971 | — |
| \(0, 13, 0\) | 9174 | — | -5.6490 | — |
| \(0, 14, 0\) | 9848 | — | -5.6983 | — |
| \(0, 15, 0\) | 10515 | — | -5.7452 | — |
| H\(^{14}\)NC \((0, 0, 0)\) | 0 | 0 | 0.2961 | 0.2641 |
| \(0, 1, 0\) | 479 | — | 0.1806 | — |
| \(0, 2, 0\) | 966 | 927 | 0.0611 | 0.0451 |
| \(0, 3, 0\) | 1458 | — | -0.0629 | — |
| \(0, 4, 0\) | 1954 | 1874 | -0.1915 | -0.2066 |
| \(0, 5, 0\) | 2452 | — | -0.3256 | — |
| \(0, 6, 0\) | 2951 | — | -0.4651 | — |
| \(0, 7, 0\) | 3450 | — | -0.6100 | — |
| \(0, 8, 0\) | 3947 | — | -0.7608 | — |
| \(0, 9, 0\) | 4441 | — | -0.9178 | — |
| \(0, 10, 0\) | 4931 | — | -1.0812 | — |
| \(0, 11, 0\) | 5417 | — | -1.2509 | — |
| \(0, 12, 0\) | 5896 | — | -1.4266 | — |
| \(0, 13, 0\) | 6367 | — | -1.6082 | — |
| \(0, 14, 0\) | 6831 | — | -1.7948 | — |
| \(0, 15, 0\) | 7284 | — | -1.9852 | — |

\(^a\) Ref. 19

Also compared with recent experimental values taken from millimeter-wave absorption measurements by Bechtel et al.\(^{19}\) The agreement between the \textit{ab initio} and experimental \(\langle eQq \rangle_N\) values is excellent with only a 35 kHz deviation within the data set. Figs. 6 (a) and (b) present bending frequencies and \(\langle eQq \rangle_N\) values as a function of vibrational excitation. Both the HC\(^{14}\)N and H\(^{14}\)NC frequencies obey a linear trend at low quanta with 710.2 cm\(^{-1}\)/(HC\(^{14}\)N bend quantum) and 494.4 cm\(^{-1}\)/(H\(^{14}\)NC bend quantum) respectively (the experimental data yields smaller slopes of 685.6 cm\(^{-1}\)/(HC\(^{14}\)N bend quantum) and 468.4 cm\(^{-1}\)/(H\(^{14}\)NC bend quantum)). Similarly, the vibrationally-averaged quadrupole coupling constants also obey a nearly linear trend with -0.078 MHz/(HC\(^{14}\)N bend quantum) and -0.140 MHz/(H\(^{14}\)NC bend quantum).
describe the electric field gradients at the nuclei of interest. Using these methods for the HCN/HNC isomerization, it was found that the \(^{14}\text{N}\) quadrupole coupling constant is large in the vicinity of the HCN potential well, making it an ideal system for millimeter wave spectroscopic studies. On the other hand, for the DC\(^{15}\text{N}\) and D\(^{15}\text{NC}\) isotopomers, small coupling constant constants were calculated, which arise from the nearly symmetrical chemical environment experienced by the deuteron nucleus during the isomerization process. In addition, the NBO population analysis for the HC\(^{14}\text{N}\)/H\(^{14}\text{NC}\) system supports the simple model of Townes and Dailey which maintains that hyperfine structure arises from the unequal electron distribution among the \(p_x\), \(p_y\), and \(p_z\) orbitals of the quadrupolar \(^{14}\text{N}\) nucleus.

Furthermore, since hyperfine structure is sensitive to minor changes in the geometry, it is not sufficient to study only the HCN/HNC minima, and vibrational averaging of the entire isomerization path is necessary. The direct comparison between the vibrationally averaged \((eQq)_h\) values with experimental data demonstrates that the progression of hyperfine structure can be accurately predicted by a one-dimensional, internal coordinate Hamiltonian. Currently, the hyperfine structure of vibrationally-excited states of DC\(^{15}\text{N}/\text{D}\(^{15}\text{NC}\) have not been studied, and experimental measurements of these \((eQq)_h\) values would be extremely valuable as a check on the accuracy of the theoretical calculations. Finally, nuclear quadrupole hyperfine interactions provide another useful electronic property to detect the onset of isomerization. Unlike the dipole moment, \(\mu\), where the experimental observable is the magnitude, \(|\mu|\), and not its sign (\(|\mu| \sim 3\) Debye for both HCN and HNC), a hyperfine splitting measurement can determine in which potential well the vibrational wavefunction is localized.
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**Table 2 Ab initio energies and nuclear quadrupole coupling constants of DC\(^{15}\text{N}\) and D\(^{15}\text{NC}\) vibrational eigenstates. Vibrational assignments were based on expectation values of \(\cos(\theta)\).**

| Vibrational Level \((v_1, v_2, v_3)\) | Ab initio \((eQq)_h\) (MHz) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| DC\(^{15}\text{N}\) \((0, 0, 0)\)       | 0.2089             |
| \((0, 1, 0)\)                         | 0.2021             |
| \((0, 2, 0)\)                         | 0.1952             |
| \((0, 3, 0)\)                         | 0.1883             |
| \((0, 4, 0)\)                         | 0.1814             |
| \((0, 5, 0)\)                         | 0.1745             |
| \((0, 6, 0)\)                         | 0.1676             |
| \((0, 7, 0)\)                         | 0.1607             |
| \((0, 8, 0)\)                         | 0.1539             |
| \((0, 9, 0)\)                         | 0.1470             |
| \((0, 10, 0)\)                        | 0.1402             |
| \((0, 11, 0)\)                        | 0.1334             |
| \((0, 12, 0)\)                        | 0.1266             |
| \((0, 13, 0)\)                        | 0.1198             |
| \((0, 14, 0)\)                        | 0.1131             |
| \((0, 15, 0)\)                        | 0.1064             |
| D\(^{15}\text{NC}\) \((0, 0, 0)\)     | 0.2704             |
| \((0, 1, 0)\)                         | 0.2534             |
| \((0, 2, 0)\)                         | 0.2372             |
| \((0, 3, 0)\)                         | 0.2216             |
| \((0, 4, 0)\)                         | 0.2066             |
| \((0, 5, 0)\)                         | 0.1920             |
| \((0, 6, 0)\)                         | 0.1777             |
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