Corneal Effect of Air Bubble After Phacoemulsification
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of the study was to investigate the effect of air bubble on the cornea at the end of the cataract surgery with phacoemulsification.

Methods: This prospective and case–control study included 71 patients with air bubble injected into the anterior chamber at the end of the operation and 63 age-sex-matched control patients without air bubble. Endothelial cell density (ECD), coefficient of variation (CV), hexagonality rate (HEX), and central corneal thickness (CCT) measurements were taken using non-contact specular microscopy preoperatively and at 1-day, 1-week, and 1-month postoperatively.

Results: No significant difference was determined between the groups preoperatively in respect of mean visual acuity, anterior chamber depth, ECD, CV, HEX, and CCT values (p>0.05). The intraoperative average ultrasound power, effective phaco time, and ultrasound time values were similar (p>0.05). The CCT value was lower in the study group than in the control group on post-operative day 1 (p=0.012), but similar at 1 week and 1 month (p=0.102, p=0.330, respectively). No significant difference was determined between the groups in mean visual acuity, anterior chamber reaction, ECD, CV, and HEX values at 1-day, 1-week, and 1-month postoperatively (p>0.05).

Conclusion: Air bubble may be used as an alternative method to reduce corneal edema on the 1st post-operative day. According to the results, although air bubble has no detrimental effect, there is also seen to be no protective effect on the corneal endothelium.
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Introduction

Phacoemulsification is a cataract surgery technique with a low complication rate, which increases visual acuity in the early period due to a small incision and working in a closed system (1). During this surgery, air bubble may be applied to the anterior chamber for various reasons. Air bubble is left in the anterior chamber at the end of surgery as a tamponade to prevent volume from shallowing (2). It has also been demonstrated that air bubble tamponade for Descemet’s membrane detachment after phacoemulsification surgery is effective in relieving corneal clarity with descemet membrane reattachment (3,4). The addition of air bubbles to the anterior chamber at the end of sutureless cataract surgery has been shown to prevent inflow of wound leakage and ocular surface fluid with the positive and negative pressures caused by external forces (5). In addition, air bubble in the anterior...
chamber was considered to have protective effects against the development of experimental Staphylococcus epidermidis endophthalmitis by possible mechanisms mechanism other than the prevention of reflux. Possible mechanisms may be the bacteriostatic, anti-inflammatory effect of the air, and stimulation of antibody- and cytokine-mediated defense by increasing the antigen concentration near the vascularized iris tissue (6).

Anterior segment fluorophotometry has shown that cataract surgery can cause breakdown of the blood-aqueous barrier with protein leakage and cell reaction in the aqueous humor (7). In a rabbit eye study, air bubble remaining in the anterior chamber after phacoemulsification was found to decrease the anterior chamber reaction (ACR) and nitric oxide level, thus reducing inflammation (8).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of the air bubble on the corneal endothelium.

Methods

This prospective and case-control study was conducted on adult patients undergoing cataract surgery in an eye clinic of tertiary referral hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was approved for prospective data collection by the Local Ethics Committee (Health Sciences University Ankara Numune Training and Research Hospital, number: E-17-1453, date: 12.07.2017) and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients were of Caucasian origin.

Demographic Data

A record was made for each patient of the pre-operative findings of age, sex, systemic disease, routine ophthalmology examination findings (visual acuity measurement, biomicroscopic examination, fundus examination, and intraocular pressure measurement), anterior chamber depth (ACD-measured with optical low coherence reflectometry-LenStar LS 900, Haag-Streit AG, Switzerland), and specular microscopy measurements. Cataract hardness was assessed with the Lens Opacity Classification System III (9).

Exclusion criteria included prior history of corneal opacities, glaucoma, uveitis, preoperative endothelial cell count <1500 cells/mm², pre-operative ACD <2.5 mm, degenerative myopia, proliferative diabetic retinopathy, intraocular surgery, eye trauma, intraoperative complication (zonular dialysis, posterior capsular opening, vitreous loss, nucleus drop, etc.), and systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease.

Surgical Technique

All eyes were dilated using topical cyclopentolate hydrochloride 1% (Sikloplejin®) and tropicamide 1% (Tropamid®). After topical anesthesia (Proparakain HCl 0.5%), two-side ports were opened and anterior chamber stabilization was achieved with injected viscoelastic material (Healon GV®, AMO) and the anterior chamber was entered with a transparent 2.75 mm corneal incision by the same surgeon (SKK) in all cases. Following capsulorhexis with a diameter of approximately 5.5 mm, the nucleus was emulsified with bimanual phaco chop and divide conquer techniques. After cortex cleaning, a foldable hydrophobic acrylic intraocular lens (Acrysof SA60AT®, Alcon) was implanted into the capsular bag. After viscoelastic clearance in the anterior chamber, 0.5%/0.1 mL moxifloxacin (Vigamox®) was injected into the anterior chamber following stromal hydration with no sutures. At the end of the operation, 0.15 cc air bubble was administered to the anterior chamber in patients of the study group and was not applied to the patients in the control group. After surgery, ofloxacin drops (Exocin® 5 × 1) and prednisolone acetate (Predforte® 4 × 1) were used for 4 weeks.

Average ultrasound power (AVG, %), effective phaco time using Ellips™ FX (EFX, seconds), and ultrasound time (UST, seconds) values of the phacoemulsification performed during the operation were noted using the AMOWhite-Star Signature® Ellips™ FX phaco system for all patients. The EFX is roughly the effective phaco time with a specific coefficient for the transversal movement expressed in seconds. Endothelial cell loss (ECL) was evaluated as follows:

$$ECL = \frac{([pre-operative cell count-post-operative cell count])}{pre-operative cell count} \times 100\%.$$ 

Main Outcome Measures

The cases were evaluated postoperatively on day 1, then at the end of the 1st week, and the 1st month with a slit lamp biomicroscope (Topcon SL-3G, Japan) for ACR and the amount of air bubble in the anterior chamber. The corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) was examined on decimal charts preoperatively and on the 1st-day, 1st-week, and 1st-month postoperatively. Decimal visual acuity was converted to the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution for statistical analysis.

Endothelial cell density (ECD, cell/mm²), the coefficient of variation (CV, polymyagism, %), hexagonality rate (HEX, pleomorphism, %), and central corneal thickness (CCT, μm) were investigated using a reproducible and reliable non-contact specular microscopy (Tomey EM-4000, Tomey Corp., Nagoya, Japan) preoperatively and day 1, week 1, and month 1 postoperatively (10). All measurements were performed at least 3 times using the “center” method by the same clinician. Subjects were asked to look at the central fixation target, and the automatic alignment function was used. All corneal endothelial cells were manually marked. More than 60 cells per eye were included in each assay.
Statistical Analysis
Data obtained in the study were analyzed statistically using SPSS version 22.0 software (Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics were stated as mean±standard deviation values. The normal distribution of the variables was tested using visual (histogram and probability graphs) and analytical methods (Kolmogorov–Smirnov/Shapiro–Wilk test). The pre-operative, intraoperative, and post-operative measurements of two groups were compared using the Independent Samples t-test. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Patients who underwent cataract surgery by phacoemulsification were separated into two groups. In the study group of 71 patients, air bubble was applied to the anterior chamber at the end of the operation, and in the control group of 63 patients, no air bubble was applied. None of the patients had any complications related to air injection, such as pupillary block glaucoma or escape of air behind the iris. Air bubble was completely reabsorbed on post-operative day 4 or 5.

Figures 1a-d demonstrate the resorption of the air bubble given at the end of surgery within days (Haag-Streit BQ 900 imaging, Koeniz, Switzerland).

The demographic and pre-operative clinical features of participants according to groups and intraoperative parameters are presented in Table 1. The mean age was 65.90±11.05 years in the study group and 65.02±10.38 years in the control group (p=0.635). The male to female ratio was similar in both groups (p=0.672). The best corrected visual acuity on Snellen chart, mean ACD value and cataract hardness of the two groups were similar (p>0.05). No statistically significant difference was found between the two groups in respect of the preoperative ECD, CV, HEX, and CCT values (p>0.05). There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of AVG, EFX, and UST values (p>0.05), (Table 1).

On post-operative day 1, seven patients in the study group (9.8%) and seven patients in the control group (11.1%) could not be measured due to corneal edema (p>0.05). The mean CCT value on post-operative day 1 was lower in the study group compared to the control group (p=0.012), but the mean CCT values were similar at the end of the post-operative 1st week and 1st month (p=0.102, p=0.330, respectively). There was no significant difference between the groups in respect of mean CDVA, ACR, ECD, CV, and HEX values at postoperative day 1, week 1, and month 1 (p>0.05 for all, Table 2).

Figure 1. Images of the right eye of a patient with air bubble given at the end of surgery (a). One hour after surgery (b). One day after surgery (c). Two days after surgery (d). On postoperative day 5, the air bubble was completely reabsorbed.
### Table 1. Comparison of Patients’ Preoperative Characteristics and Intraoperative Parameters

|                      | Air bubble (n=71) (Mean±SD) | No air bubble (n=63) (Mean±SD) | p     |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Age, years           | 65.90±11.05                | 65.02±10.38                   | 0.635 |
| Gender (Male/Female) | 46/25                      | 43/20                         | 0.672 |
| Preop CDVA (logMAR)  | 1.02±0.69                  | 0.97±0.64                     | 0.655 |
| ACD (mm)             | 3.42±0.40                  | 3.40±0.35                     | 0.769 |
| Cataract Hardness    | 2.77±0.81                  | 2.70±0.85                     | 0.598 |
| Preop ECD (cell/mm²) | 2427.01±319.05             | 2453.24±278.52                | 0.615 |
| Preop CV (%)         | 40.46±5.12                 | 40.94±7.38                    | 0.665 |
| Preop HEX (%)        | 44.92±6.80                 | 44.32±5.98                    | 0.592 |
| Preop CCT (μm)       | 526.08±31.69               | 532.54±35.29                  | 0.267 |
| AVG (%)              | 6.41±3.22                  | 6.65±4.47                     | 0.717 |
| EFX (s)              | 37.15±25.61                | 30.10±23.18                   | 0.098 |
| UST (s)              | 99.77±56.96                | 88.75±56.17                   | 0.262 |

CDVA: Corrected distance visual acuity; logMAR: logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; ACD: Anterior chamber depth; ECD: Endothelial cell density; CV: Coefficient of variation; HEX: Hexagonality rate; CCT: Central corneal thickness; AVG: Average ultrasound power; EFX: Effective phaco time; UST: Ultrasound time.

### Table 2. Comparison of Postoperative Parameters Between Groups

|                      | Air bubble (n=71) (Mean±SD) | No air bubble (n=63) (Mean±SD) | p     |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Postop 1.day CDVA (logMAR) | 0.17±0.17                  | 0.25±0.32                     | 0.054 |
| Postop 1.day ACR      | 0.85±0.53                  | 0.84±0.65                     | 0.970 |
| Postop 1.day ECD (cell/mm²) | 2129.14±420.80            | 2196.79±388.75                | 0.365 |
| Postop 1.day CV (%)   | 46.42±10.28                | 44.86±9.33                    | 0.387 |
| Postop 1.day HEX (%)  | 38.28±8.17                 | 39.82±8.44                    | 0.313 |
| Postop 1.day CCT (μm) | 555.64±37.97               | 577.44±55.30                  | 0.012 |
| Postop 1.day Misalignment (+/-) | 7/64      | 7/56                          | 0.813 |
| Postop 7.day CDVA (logMAR) | 0.09±0.14                  | 0.12±0.25                     | 0.398 |
| Postop 7.day ACR      | 0.11±0.32                  | 0.13±0.34                     | 0.801 |
| Postop 7.day ECD (cell/mm²) | 2094.03±441.96            | 2136.90±468.27                | 0.587 |
| Postop 7.day CV (%)   | 43.99±5.85                 | 44.19±6.90                    | 0.853 |
| Postop 7.day HEX (%)  | 37.0±7.04                  | 39.17±6.12                    | 0.060 |
| Postop 7.day CCT (μm) | 542.69±41.53               | 555.98±51.82                  | 0.102 |
| Postop 30.day CDVA (logMAR) | 0.04±0.06                  | 0.04±0.12                     | 0.813 |
| Postop 30.day ACR     | 0±0                        | 0±0                           | -     |
| Postop 30.day ECD (cell/mm²) | 2073.25±429.72           | 2093.94±460.57                | 0.788 |
| Postop 30.day CV (%)  | 42.06±5.54                 | 43.95±6.59                    | 0.073 |
| Postop 30.day HEX (%) | 38.69±6.08                 | 39.54±6.23                    | 0.426 |
| Postop 30.day CCT (μm) | 527.53±33.98               | 533.21±33.02                  | 0.330 |
| ECL (%) 1st day       | 12.65±13.73                | 11.1±12.71                    | 0.526 |
| ECL (%) 1st week      | 13.54±14.75                | 13.11±15.34                   | 0.867 |
| ECL (%) 1st month     | 14.51±13.72                | 14.89±14.92                   | 0.878 |

CDVA: Corrected distance visual acuity; logMAR: logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; ACR: Anterior chamber reaction; ECD: Endothelial cell density; CV: Coefficient of variation; HEX: Hexagonality rate; CCT: Central corneal thickness; ECL: Endotelial cell loss.
Discussion

The corneal endothelium is a mechanical barrier against fluid movement into the cornea and is an energy-dependent active pump to move fluid out of the cornea. The endothelium plays a critical role in maintaining corneal transparency by pumping fluid from the stroma into the aqueous humor. Disruption of the endothelial function brings about stromal swelling and corneal edema (11,12). Corneal endothelial cells cannot regenerate, yet stretch to compensate for dead cells that decline the overall cell density of the endothelium and ultimately fluid regulation is affected. Therefore, to compensate for corneal damage, other healthy corneal cells migrate to the damaged area, which results in a reduction in their hexagonality (13,14). In the present study, the effects on corneal endothelial count and morphology were examined of air bubble applied to the anterior chamber at the end of the phacoemulsification.

Olson (15) and Van Horn et al. (16) reported that air bubble has a toxic effect on the corneal endothelium. However, Norn (17) applied air bubble to the anterior chamber in 86 of 135 patients who underwent cataract extraction, and concluded that air bubbles in the anterior chamber did not have any adverse effects on the corneal endothelium, as well as protected the endothelium from post-operative damage. Yuksel et al. (18) showed that the rate of Descemet’s membrane detachment and of endothelial and epithelial gap decreased during the early post-operative period in patients who had been given with air bubble after phacoemulsification surgery compared to the control group. The ECD of the two groups was found to be similar preoperatively and at 3-month post-operatively (18). Likewise, Alsmman et al. (19) studied air bubble versus balanced salt solution for anterior chamber reformation at the end of phacoemulsification and found similar endothelial cell count-morphology in both groups at 1- and 3-month follow-up visits. In contrast, Matsafsi et al. (20) compared intrastromal wound hydration with anterior chamber air tamponade to ensure waterproof closure of corneal incisions at the end of the phacoemulsification surgery and reported that air has a detrimental effect on endothelial cell count compared to balanced salt solution. In that study with an average age of patient age of 70 years, compared to a former study of patients aged 50–60 years, they interpreted that younger corneas may have a greater tolerance to the toxic effect of air on endothelial cells, and thus a lesser effect on the final number and density (20). In the present study, in which the mean age of the patients was approximately 67 years, there was no difference according to the specular microscopy analysis at the 1-month follow-up examination.

On the post-operative 1st day, air bubble seemed to have no favorable influence on anterior chamber reaction, suggesting that air bubble is not clinically related to inflammation. A limitation of this study was that the quantitative ACR and flare values could not be measured due to the lack of anterior segment fluorophotometry.

Corneal edema formation has been used as an indirect tool to assess surgically induced ECL (21). In the previous studies, corneal endothelial loss was found to be correlated with increase in CCT on the 1st post-operative day (22). A significantly less increase was observed in CCT data on the post-operative 1st day in the study group applied with air bubble compared to the control group. However, endothelial loss was similar between groups. The lesser increase in pachymetry in the study group is not explained by endothelial loss. There was a significant difference in CCT at 1 day, but similar at 1 week and 1 month, suggesting that this situation is related to the air bubble left in the anterior chamber. Because the effect of the air bubble is maximum on the 1st day and it is completely resorbed within 1 week. Less increase in pachymetry in the study group may be associated with one or both of the following mechanisms as possible cause: First, reduced fluid passage into the stroma due to better endothelial pump function due to the relatively anti-inflammatory environment provided by the air bubble. Second, the reduction of fluid passage into the corneal stroma by the mechanical barrier effect of the air bubble.

Conclusion

There may be less corneal edema on the post-operative 1st day of cases terminated by leaving air bubble in surgery. This procedure can be considered as an inexpensive and easy alternative method increasing patient satisfaction from the post-operative 1st day. As there was no significant difference between ECD and other parameters in the 1-month follow-up results, it was concluded that air bubbles in the anterior chamber have not any side-effects on the corneal endothelium, on the other hand, there is no clinically protective effect against surgery-induced endothelial injury by reducing inflammation sufficiently. There is a need for further comprehensive studies with large patient populations subdivided by air resorption time to determine the exact effect of air bubble.
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