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Abstract—In this paper we present a new criterion for bounded component analysis, a quite new approach for the Blind Source Separation problem. For the determined case, we show that the \( \ell_\infty \)-norm of the estimated sources can be used as a contrast for the problem. We present a blind algorithm for the source separation of independents sources or mixtures of correlated sources by only a rotation matrix. We also present a variety of simulations assessing the performance of the proposed approach.

Index Terms—Bounded Component Analysis, Givens Rotations, Infinity Norm, Blind Source Separation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of Blind Source Separation (BSS) [1], [2] consists in recovering a set of signals, called sources, which have been combined by a mixing system. In the case of linear and instantaneous mixing, with determined matrices, \( \mathbf{H} \), \( \mathbf{S} \) consists in recovering a set of signals, called sources, \( \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N\} \), from their linear mixture \( \mathbf{X} \), given by:

\[
\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{S},
\]

where \( \mathbf{S} = [s_1 \ s_2 \ \cdots \ s_N] \), \( \mathbf{s}_i = [s_i(0) \ s_i(1) \ \cdots \ s_i(T - 1)]^T \), \( \forall \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), is the matrix containing all the \( T \) available samples of the \( N \) sources; \( \mathbf{X} \) is defined in the same manner as \( \mathbf{S} \); and \( \mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) is the mixing matrix.

For statistical independent signals, source separation is usually performed in two steps: a whitening pre-processing, multiplying the mixtures \( \mathbf{X} \) with a whitening matrix, followed by a single rotation matrix.

The Independent Component Analysis (ICA) related techniques, usually find the separation matrix with the optimization of a statistical independence related criteria, such as mutual information, high-order statistics, nonlinear decorrelation and information theoretic learning criteria [3], [2]. In the last two decades several approaches were presented for the BSS problem, exploring alternative priors, such as source sparsity in Sparse Component Analysis (SCA) [4], [5], source disjointness in Disjoint Component Analysis (DCA) [6], [7], and the magnitude boundness of sources in Bounded Component Analysis (BCA) [8], [9], [10].

In this work, we present a new criteria for BCA, based on the sum of the \( \ell_\infty \)-norm of the estimated sources and show that it can be seen as a contrast function for bounded sources; we organized the paper as follows: in Section II we formalize the definition of bounded sources and present a theorem stating the sufficient conditions to recover them. In Section III, we present the \( \ell_\infty \) norm as a contrast function alongside a suitable algorithm to recover bounded sources; from the theoretical results and the proposed algorithm, we performed numerical simulations and we present the results in Section IV. Finally, in Section V we state out the main conclusions of this work and its perspectives.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The BCA criterion assumes that the sources present a limited amplitude range, alongside the condition that in some time instants all of them assume their maximum magnitude values. We formalize the notion of bounded sources in Definition 1.

Definition 1: We define a set of \( N \) bounded sources, \( s(n) = [s_1(n) \ s_2(n) \ \cdots \ s_N(n)]^T \), as a set composed by signals with finite amplitude, \( s_i(n) \in [-A, A], \forall i, n \), and for which all the sources assume all of the \( 2^N \) extreme values. For example, in the case of having two sources, there are \( 2^4 \) time instants that the sources \( \{s_1, s_2\} \) assume the values \( \{A, A\}, \{A, -A\}, \{-A, A\}, \) and \( \{-A, -A\} \).

In other words, Definition 1 proposes that the sources are inside an hypercube with edges of length \( 2A \), taking values in all of the \( 2^N \) vertices. Relying on the observation that the signals after the mixing process will no longer fit an hypercube, Theorem 1 suggests that, by fitting the data into an hypercube, it will recover the sources.

Theorem 1: Consider the set of \( N \) bounded sources, \( s(n) = [s_1(n) \ s_2(n) \ \cdots \ s_N(n)]^T \), as proposed in Definition 1. Then, any linear combination \( y(n) = \)
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i s_i(n), \text{ with } \|g_i\|_2 = 1, \forall i, \text{ will have its infinity norm equalized, } \|y(n)\|_\infty = A, \text{ if, and only if, } g_i = \pm \delta_{i,j}, \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}. \]

**Proof.** Consider the estimate \( y(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i s_i(n) \) and its absolute value

\[ |y(n)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i s_i(n) \right|. \tag{2} \]

Using the fact that the absolute value of a sum is upper limited by the sum of its absolute values, we have

\[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i s_i(n) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} |g_i s_i(n)| \leq A \sum_{i=1}^{N} |g_i|. \tag{3} \]

where the second inequality holds from the finite support of the sources. Since there are time instants that allow the sources to assume their extreme values, we have

\[ \|y(n)\|_\infty = A \sum_{i=1}^{N} |g_i| = A \|g_i\|_1. \tag{4} \]

Since \( \|g_i\|_2 = 1 \), from norm inequalities \([11]\), it follows:

\[ \|g_i\|_1 \geq \|g_i\|_2 \tag{5} \]

and we have the equality if, and only if, \( g_i = \pm \delta_{i,j} \).

From (5), we have \( \|y(n)\|_\infty \geq A \) and, once again, the equality occurs only for \( g_i = \pm \delta_{i,j} \). So, the \( \ell_\infty \) norm of the estimates will attain the minimum value only with separated sources, with a possible permutation and sign ambiguity.

**Theorem 1** presents a very interesting result: if we have recovered the sources up to an orthogonal matrix, then the amplitude match is a sufficient condition to source separation, and the \( \ell_\infty \) norm is a suitable contrast function. This case occurs when a) we have independent sources, pre-processed with a PCA-related technique. As the result, we have a set of decorrelated signals that corresponds to the sources, up to a rotation matrix; b) we have correlated sources, mixed by a rotation system.

In the next section we present an algorithm able to recover bounded sources for the two conditions presented above.

### III. Recovering Bounded Sources

As stated in the previous section, **Theorem 1** presents sufficient conditions to recover independent sources after a PCA step, or correlated ones, combined by a rotation system. Now, we are going to present a suitable algorithm to perform the separation.

From **Theorem 1**, the sum of the \( \ell_\infty \)-norm of the estimated sources, \( Y = WX \), leads to the following inequality:

\[ J(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |y_i|_\infty = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |w_i X|_\infty \geq NA. \tag{6} \]

The equality holds if, and only if, there is exactly one unitary element in each row and column of the global matrix \( G = WH \), i.e., when the sources are separated.

Since \( W \) is an \( N \)-dimensional rotation matrix, we can decompose it as the multiplication of \( N(N-1)/2 \) two-dimensional ones, one for each \( s_i \)-\( s_j \) plane, \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N, j = i + 1, \ldots, N \). Such procedure can be achieved by means of the Givens rotations \([12],[13]\) and is detailed in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1** Givens Rotations

**Input:** \( N, X, MAX \_ITER, \mu_0 \) (Number of Sources, Mixtures Data, Maximum of Iterations, Initial Grid Step)

**Output:** \( W^* \) (Optimal Rotation Matrix)

1: \( \mu \leftarrow \mu_0 \)
2: \( W \leftarrow I_N, J_{\text{min}} \leftarrow \infty \)
3: for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \( MAX \_ITER \), with grid step \( \mu \) do
4: \( \text{for } m \leftarrow 1 \) to \( N - 1 \) do
5: \( n \leftarrow m + 1 \) to \( N \) do
6: \( T \leftarrow I_N \)
7: \( \text{for } \theta \leftarrow 0 \) to \( \pi \) do
8: \( T[m,m] = T[n,n] = \cos(\theta), T[m,n] = -T[n,m] = -\sin(\theta) \)
9: \( J \leftarrow \text{calculate cost } J(TW) \)
10: if \( J < J_{\text{min}} \) then
11: \( J_{\text{min}} \leftarrow J, T^* \leftarrow T \)
12: end if
13: end for
14: \( W \leftarrow T^* W \)
15: end for
16: end for
17: \( \mu \leftarrow \mu/1.5 \)
18: end for
19: return \( W \)

Since in Algorithm 1 we perform a grid search in a closed set, it will always converge to the minimum of (6). With the contrast function established and a suitable algorithm to optimize it, in the next section we present our simulation results.

### IV. Simulation Results

We applied Algorithm 1 in three classes of signals: Digital Communications, Gray Scale Images and Correlated Sources. In Table I we present all the performance metrics used in the numerical simulations.

**A. Digital Communication Signals**

We first considered a 4-PAM modulation scheme \([14]\), with symbols \([\pm 3], [\pm 1]\), \( N = 2, 5, 10 \) sources, with \( T = 1000 \) samples each. For the symbols distribution, we have considered a multi-modal one, where the symbols
Monte Carlo simulations, in Table II.

We present the mean of these three metrics, taken in 10 and the Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PNSR) (10) [16].

\[
\sigma_j = \frac{\left| \bar{\sigma}_j - \bar{\sigma}_j \right|}{\sigma_j^2 + \bar{\sigma}_j^2}
\]

\[
\text{ISI}(G) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \text{ISI}(g_i)(11)
\]

\[
\text{PSNR}(s_i) = \max_j \left( 10 \log \left( \frac{\max_n s_i(n)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} |g_i|}^2 \right) \right) (10)
\]

\[
\text{ISI}(g_i) = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} |g_i|^2}{\max_n |g_i|}^2 \right) (12)
\]

TABLE I: Performance Metrics.

| Metric          | GR        | VM        |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| SIR [dB]        | 107.38 ± 10.68 | 107.29 ± 30.55 |
| Q Index [0-1]   | 1.00 ± 10^-1  | 1.00 ± 10^-x |
| PSNR [dB]       | 113.12 ± 9.76 | 113.09 ± 30.37 |

TABLE II: Mean Performance of the 3 Metrics: SIR [dB], Q Index [0-1] and PSNR [dB].

It is interesting to note that the Q Index obtained by both methods reached the highest level, but it does not mean a complete image recovery, since this index takes only into account second-order statistics. On the other hand, the SIR and PSNR are measures that consider more structural characteristics of the sources and the higher they are, the better the estimates. From both the qualitative and quantitative measures, one can infer that the proposed method performs closely to the one presented in [10], with a smaller dispersion around the mean value. The proposed method, therefore, is suitable to recover gray scale images, a class of bounded signals with a broad range of applications.

C. Correlated Sources

Theorem 1 also states sufficient conditions to recover correlated sources, provided they were combined by a rotation system. To generate correlated sources, we first generated independent uniform ones, taking values in the interval [-1, 1], and then we applied the Copula-t technique, with 4 degrees of freedom, and a Toeplitz cross-correlation matrix whose first row is \([1 \rho \cdots \rho^{N-1}]\) [10, 17]. Once again, we performed the separation for \(N = 2, 5\) and 10 sources, with \(T = 1000\) samples, varying the correlation index \(\rho\) from 0.0 to 0.9, and SNR of 15 dB. To satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 we added the extreme points \((-1,1)^N\).

We used the Intersymbol Interference (ISI) of the global matrix \(G\) as the performance measure (11) and (12) where \(g_{i} \) denotes the \(i\)-th row of \(G\). When one performs a perfect source separation, \(g_{i} = \delta_{i,j}\), and the ISI(G) → \(-\infty\); therefore, the lower the ISI(G), the better the source separation. We present our results, taken from 100 Monte Carlo Simulations, in Fig. 3.

For an SNR of 15 dB, we notice that for 2 sources, both methods present a very close mean performance, and the VM approach is the less dispersed. For \(N =\)
5, 10, we observe again that the proposed method attained a better performance with less dispersion. Regarding the correlation level $\rho$, we note that this parameter hardly affects the ISI level obtained by 2 sources, but for $N = 5, 10$, we note lower performances for higher $\rho$. The performance robustness with respect to the correlation level occurs because the separation matrix structure, i.e., a rotation matrix, is not strongly affected by this parameter.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work we presented a BCA. We stated sufficient conditions to source recovery and we presented the $\ell_\infty$ norm as a contrast function for bounded signals. We evaluated the quality of our approach in a broad range of signals (digital communication, gray scale images and correlated sources with continuous amplitudes), considering different performance metrics. In all of the scenarios considered, the proposed method performed very well, with a performance as good as, or even better, than that obtained with the algorithm proposed in [10].

Since the proposed method led to a very interesting result for correlated sources, in future works we will investigate the development of a more general framework to handle dependent sources, considering general mixing systems.
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