Diaphragm paralysis is a known cause of dyspnea. Diaphragm plication operation is a recognized treatment of diaphragm paralysis. Traditionally, this operation was performed through an open thoracotomy. In modern times, advances in minimally invasive surgical technologies have allowed diaphragm plication to be performed with much less surgical trauma to allow for quicker recovery.

Several different minimally invasive approaches for diaphragm plication have been described in literature. While there are many reports on outcome and techniques on thoracoscopic or laparoscopic diaphragm plication, few reports on robotic assisted approaches to diaphragm plication. In 2017, Roy et al. (1) published their experience with robot assisted transabdominal diaphragm plication over 3.5 years. A small defect was first made in the center of the paralyzed diaphragm, creating capnothorax that equalizes the chest and abdomen pressure, allowing the paralyzed diaphragm to become loose and floppy. Plication of the diaphragm was then done medially to laterally, with series suture passes from posterior diaphragm to anterior diaphragm. Usually eight passes of suture were required to complete the diaphragm repair. In retrospective review of 22 patients who received the procedure, Roy et al. reported improvement in breathing in 91% of the patients per patient’s report.

Thoracoscopic diaphragm plication has been reported with good functional results in the literature as well. In a 10-year review of their own institutional experience, Taberham et al. (2) reported results on 35 diaphragm plication performed, 22 of which were 3-port thoracoscopic surgeries, the rest were limited thoracotomies. The authors reported 3 conversions to thoracotomy from thoracoscopic approach. Mean length of stay was 4.5 days. The operations resulted in improvement of symptoms in 86% of patients with no death, 30-day readmissions or chronic pain. The authors therefore concluded that thoracoscopic plication of diaphragm is feasible and safe.

In addition, after review of 13 articles comparing thoracoscopic approach to open thoracotomies for diaphragm plication, Gazala et al. (3) found that the thoracoscopic approach was able to achieve similar results as thoracotomies in terms of postoperative improvements in pulmonary function tests and symptoms of dyspnea. There was also less complications with the thoracoscopic approach with less mortality, shorter length of stay and less postop chronic pain.

There are some reports on robotic assisted thoracoscopic diaphragm (4,5), which is the technique we use at our institution. Patients are intubated with double lumen left sided endotracheal tube and placed in the left or right lateral decubitus, semi-flexed position. The case usually starts with placing an 8 mm robotic port along the 5th or the 6th intercostal space just anterior to the scapular tip. This will serve as the camera port. Two additional working ports are then placed, one anterior to the camera port and one posterior about 8–10 cm apart. The arms can be positioned closer to 5 cm apart in a more narrow thorax. Finally, a 4th assistant port is placed anteriorly between the camera port and the most anterior arm (arm 1) along the same intercostal space or one interspace above depending on spacing available (Figure 1). The robot boom is turned 180° to face the feet and then docked. Interrupted horizontal mattress plicating stitches are then placed along medial aspect of the diaphragm progressing laterally. Plegits are sometimes used to reinforce the knots. Additional rows of plication sutures running anteriorly to posteriorly are sometimes used for further reinforcement to ensure the diaphragm is tightened and flat (Figure 2).
of plication, a small chest tube drain or Blake drain is placed along the diaphragm below the lung before instruments are removed and skin is closed. Chest tube usually goes to waterseal at midnight on post-op day 0 and is removed when drainage is adequately low, usually post-op day 1.

14 patients have undergone diaphragm plication at our institution from 2014 to 2018. Causes of paralysis were variable including prior cardiac surgeries, neck surgeries, radiations, thymoma resections, and idiopathic. All patients had ECOG status of 0 or 1 at preoperative evaluation. Average BMI was 33. Average case length was 183 minutes, ranging from 138 to 273 minutes. No cases were converted to open with except of one case that was converted to laparoscopic abdominal approach due to adhesion in left chest from multiple prior cardiac surgeries. With the exception of one patient, 13 of 14 patients had no major complications with average length of stay of 2 days. One patient's postoperative course was complicated by pneumonia. We did not follow these patients with postoperative pulmonary function tests. However, most were able to return to their baseline ADL and all had improvement in their symptoms at their postoperative visit. Minimal pain was reported at postop visits. Few patients required narcotics medications beyond a few days after surgery.

In summary, diaphragm plication can be safely and effectively performed by minimally invasive surgery, including usage of robotic-assisted technology. We believe the wrist action of the robotic instruments, as well as CO\textsubscript{2} insufflation, allowed us to perform these cases minimally invasively in obese patients for which thoracoscopic surgery would have been difficult. Our operating time may be longer because we are a teaching facility, which lengthens the case due to involvement of resident and fellow training. As robotic-assisted surgery become more and more readily available, thoracic surgeons should be aware and familiar with these surgical options. More in depth research is needed to further investigate outcomes and cost-effectiveness of robotic-assisted diaphragmatic plication.
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