Antioxidative Responses and Candidate Gene Expression in Prairie Junegrass under Drought Stress
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ABSTRACT. Prairie junegrass (Koeleria macrantha) is a native cool-season C3 grass that has shown potential as a low-input turfgrass. An increased understanding of the physiological and molecular responses of prairie junegrass to water-deficit conditions is important for developing cultivars with enhanced drought tolerance. The objective of this study was to characterize the antioxidative responses and candidate gene expression in prairie junegrass subjected to drought stress. Two drought-tolerant (TOL-1 and TOL-2) and two drought-susceptible (SUS-1 and SUS-2) genotypes of prairie junegrass were subjected to 7 days of drought stress. Leaf relative water content (RWC) of SUS-1 and SUS-2 was 72.1% and 73.8% and RWC of TOL-1 and TOL-2 was 90.1% and 85.4% in drought-stressed plants, respectively. Drought stress did not affect chlorophyll fluorescence, lipid peroxidation, and antioxidative enzyme activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase (APX), or glutathione reductase for tolerant or susceptible genotypes. The TOL-2 and SUS-2 genotypes were further examined for candidate gene expression. Drought stress did not alter expression levels of CAT and chloroplastic copper/zinc SOD (Cu/ZnSOD), but increased levels of APX in either genotype, compared with their relative controls. Expression of PSR encoding Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase and P5CR encoding Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase for proline biosynthesis were up-regulated under drought stress for both genotypes; however, expression of PSR was more strongly induced under drought stress for TOL-2, compared with its control. The expression of I-FFT encoding fructan:fructan 1-fructosyltransferase, which is involved in fructan biosynthesis, was strongly induced under drought stress for TOL-2 but not detected under either control or drought stress conditions for SUS-2. These results indicate that the genes involved in proline and fructan biosynthesis may play an important role in drought tolerance in prairie junegrass.

Drought is one of the greatest environmental stresses in agriculture (Boyer, 1982). Perennial grasses are among the most economically important species used for livestock, fiber products, soil and water improvement and conservation, biofuel production, habitats for wildlife populations, recreation, and beautification (Beard, 2002; Health and Kaiser, 1985). Millions of hectares of turfgrass improve our quality of life, but the expanding uses of turfgrass can create challenges to grass management and production when the water supply is limited. Under severe drought conditions, water restrictions may be imposed on turfgrass areas. Water deficit is a major factor limiting grass quality and persistence, particularly for cool-season turfgrasses that require a relatively large amount of water for maintaining growth. Therefore, the development of drought-tolerant turfgrasses that require less water is becoming more important.

Prairie junegrass is native to the Great Plains and is widely distributed throughout much of the western U.S., as well as Europe and Asia. This cool-season grass has potential for use as a low-input turf in the northern U.S. due to its tolerance to droughty and alkaline soils, its ability to grow in sandy areas, and its survival at extreme temperatures (Dixon, 2000; Milnes et al., 1998). Prairie junegrass is a relatively slow-growing species, and this slow-growth characteristic could be advantageous for turf use due to the potential reduction in mowing costs (Watkins and Clark, 2009). Therefore, development of drought-tolerant cultivars of prairie junegrass for use in northern climates would be a great benefit to turfgrass managers. A better understanding of physiological and molecular responses of prairie junegrass would be beneficial for germplasm improvement efforts with this species.

Antioxidant metabolism can play an important role in plant response to drought stress (Umezawa et al., 2006). Drought stress promotes accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), potentially causing oxidative injury to proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids. Plants have evolved enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense systems for scavenging and detoxifying ROS (Mittler, 2002). In enzymatic systems, superoxide dismutases (SOD) convert superoxide radicals to hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and molecular oxygen (Fridovich, 1995). Based on the metal cofactor used by enzymes, manganese SOD (MnSOD), iron SOD (FeSOD), and copper/zinc SOD (Cu/ZnSOD) have been identified at different cellular locations (Alschner et al., 2002). The H2O2 can be decomposed through cycles of ascorbate-glutathione, glutathione peroxidase, and catalase (CAT) (Mittler, 2002). The mechanisms of these antioxidative pathways suggest that maintenance of antioxidant
enzyme activity may contribute to drought tolerance by removal of ROS toxicity. The responses of antioxidant enzymes to drought stress depend on stress intensity, duration, and plant species of perennial grass (Bian and Jiang, 2009; DaCosta and Huang, 2007).

Plants can adapt to drought stress by altering gene expression. Genes with diverse functions in drought tolerance have been identified in Arabidopsis thaliana (Seki et al., 2002) and other crop species (Guo et al., 2009; Rabello et al., 2008). Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki (2007) classified drought-induced genes into two groups based on their products: functional proteins and regulatory proteins. These genes can be abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent or -independent (Valliyodan and Nguyen, 2006). Some of these genes have been transferred into crop species, and transgenic plants have shown increased drought tolerance (Bhatnagar-Mathur et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009; Umezawa et al., 2006). Identifying expression of candidate genes is essential for elucidating molecular adaptations of grass plants to drought stress. Furthermore, key candidate genes associated with drought tolerance can be used for improving drought tolerance of turfgrass through marker-assisted selection. The objective of this study was to characterize antioxidative responses and candidate gene expression of prairie junegrass subjected to drought stress.

Materials and Methods

INITIAL SCREENING. The plant material used in this study originated from germplasm collections made in 2005 (five sites in northeastern Colorado and northwestern Nebraska) and in 2006 (a single site in southeastern Minnesota). The collected seed was planted in July in the greenhouse at the University of Minnesota, and after ≈6 weeks, the plants were transferred to a breeding nursery field at the University of Minnesota in St. Paul. The following spring, just before anthesis, plants that originated from the same collection area were transferred to individual crossing blocks (polycrosses) where the plants were allowed to intercross. A total of 11 polycrosses were established based on the original collection locations. Due to the small area of the original collection locations (typically less than 2 ha), it can be assumed that accessions from a single accession site have a high degree of genetic similarity. The seed was harvested individually from each plant in each polycross.

The collected seeds were planted in the greenhouse at the University of Minnesota, and the seedlings were maintained under greenhouse conditions (16-h photoperiod, 24 °C, fertilized weekly, watered as needed). Once the plants had been growing for ≈8 weeks, individual genotypes were clonally propagated. A total of 143 genotypes that were successfully propagated were then used for initial drought tolerance screening. The selected genotypes represented all three collection regions (Colorado = 67 genotypes; Nebraska = 52 genotypes; Minnesota = 24 genotypes). Drought screening was done in a growth chamber maintained at 24/15 °C (day/night) temperatures with a 16-h photoperiod (350 μmol·m−2·s−1). The trial consisted of three replications in time, which were each separated by 28 d. Water was withheld from each replication starting on day 0. Each replication was rated for drought tolerance (a 1–9 scale where 1 = no green tissue, 9 = no visible effects of drought stress) at 14, 21, and 28 d. Using data from the 28-d rating, analysis of variance and means separation were done using PROC GLM of SAS (release 9.1 for Windows; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). We then identified two genotypes that were in the top statistical group for drought tolerance (TOL-1 and TOL-2) and two genotypes that were in the lowest statistical group for drought tolerance (SUS-1 and SUS-2).

GROWTH AND STRESS TREATMENT. These four genotypes were propagated to yield sufficient plant material for further testing. After several weeks of growth in the greenhouse, the similar number of plants of each genotype was transferred to pots (15 cm in diameter and 14.5 cm deep) containing the same amount of sandy-loam soil (coarse-loamy, mixed, superactive, Mesic Typic Endoaquolls) with a pH of 6.2 in a greenhouse at Purdue University. The plants were fertilized weekly with a complete soluble fertilizer (24N–3.5P–13.3K; Scotts, Marysville, OH) to provide ≈146 kg·ha−1 N per growing season. The plants were maintained in a greenhouse for 45 d and were then moved to a growth chamber for 7 d under temperatures of 20 ± 0.1/15 ± 0.1 °C (day/night), a relative humidity of 60%, a 12-h photoperiod, and a photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 500 μmol·m−2·s−1 (fluorescent lamps) before drought stress. The plants were watered daily or were subjected to drought stress. After 7 d of treatment, drought-stressed leaf was slightly wilted or no wilting for TOL-1 and TOL-2 but was moderately to severely wilted for SUS-1 and SUS-2, respectively.

WATER STATUS AND WHOLE-PLANT MEASUREMENTS. Samples were collected at 7 d of drought stress. Soil samples were taken at 0 to 14 cm depth at 7 d of treatments, and soil moisture was measured by drying soils in an oven at 85 °C for 3 d and recording the weight loss. The relative water content (RWC) was determined according to the following equation: RWC = (FW – DW)/(SW – DW) × 100, where FW is leaf fresh weight, DW is dry weight of leaves after drying at 85 °C for 3 d, and SW is the turgid weight of leaves after soaking in water for 4 h at room temperature (≈20 °C). Leaf photochemical efficiency was determined by measuring chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm) on five randomly selected leaves in each pot using a fluorescence meter (OS-30P; OPTI-Sciences, Hudson, NH). Leaf chlorophyll was extracted by soaking 150 mg samples in 20 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in the dark for 48 h. The absorbance was read at 665 and 649 nm according to the method of Wellburn (1994). Additional leaves were taken and stored at −80 °C for use in enzyme activity and gene expression studies.

ANTIOXIDANT ENZYME ACTIVITY AND LIPID PEROXIDATION. The collected leaf tissue was ground in powder in liquid N. Antioxidative enzymes were then extracted by mixing 0.1 g of leaf tissue power with 1.0 mL of extraction buffer [50 mM potassium phosphate, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), pH 7.8]. The extractions were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C, and supernatant was collected for enzyme assay. The protein content was determined using Bradford’s method (Bradford, 1976). The activity of SOD, ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione reductase (GR), CAT, and peroxidase (POD) was assayed by using methods of Zhang and Kirkham (1996) with minor modifications (Wang and Jiang, 2007).

The SOD activity was measured by recording the rate of p-nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) reduction in absorbance at 560 nm. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 13 mM methionine, 75 mM NBT, 2 mM riboflavin, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 20 to 30 μL of enzyme extract and was illuminated under 80 to 90 μmol·m−2·s−1 for 10 min. The reaction mixture lacking of enzyme developed maximum color as maximum reduction of NBT. The additional reaction mixture serving as the control was placed in the dark. One unit of SOD...
activity was defined as the amount of enzymes that caused 50% inhibition in the rate of NBT reduction. The activity of APX was assayed by recording the decrease in absorbance at 290 nm for 1 min and was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 2.8 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. The 1.5-mL reaction medium contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM ascorbic acid, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM H₂O₂, and 0.15 mL of enzyme extract. The reaction was started with the addition of 0.1 mL H₂O₂. The activity of GR was assayed by measuring the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm for 1 min and was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 6.2 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. The reaction mixture contained 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM oxidized glutathione (GSSG), 0.2 mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), and 0.15 mL of enzyme extract. The reaction was started by adding GSSG. The activity of CAT was determined by the decline in absorbance at 240 nm for 1 min and was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 39.4 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. The assay contained 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 15 mM H₂O₂, and 0.1 mL of enzyme extract. The reaction was initiated by adding enzyme extract. The activity of POD was determined by an increase in absorbance at 470 nm for 1 min and was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 26.6 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

The reaction medium contained 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol (MDA) content, with some modifications. A 0.5-mL assay was heated at 95 °C for 30 min, quickly cooled, and was then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min. The absorbance was read at 532 and 600 nm (Health and Packer, 1968). The concentration of MDA was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 155 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

**Gene expression [reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)].** Plants responded to drought stress similarly between the two tolerant (TOL-1 and TOL-2) and between the two susceptible genotypes (SUS-1 and SUS-2). In addition, levels of soil moisture were similar for TOL-1 and SUS-2 after drought stress. Therefore, TOL-2 and SUS-2 were selected to examine gene expression. The selection of candidate genes used for this study was based on: 1) gene encoding functional proteins or regulatory proteins; and 2) transformation of these genes has shown increased drought tolerance in different plant species.

Total RNA was isolated in leaf tissues using a TRI Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH) and was treated with DNase (TURBO DNA-Free Kit; Ambion, Austin, TX) to remove contaminating genomic DNA. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg of DNase-treated RNA using an iscript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The amplification profile was one cycle at 95 °C for 4 min, followed by 26 to 30 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 53 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 40 s, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. The conserved regions of gene sequences were obtained from other plant species and were used to design primers for detecting gene expression in prairie junegrass (Table 1). The RT-PCR was repeated three times, the PCR products were resolved by 1.0% agarose gel, and the bands were determined with the gel image system (UVP, Upland, CA).

**Experimental design and data analysis.** The experiment was a completely randomized design with four replicates (four pots) for each genotype. The well-watered and drought-stressed pots were arranged randomly within the growth chamber. Treatment effects were determined by the analysis of variance. Significance of parameters between treatments and genotypes were analyzed using PROC GLM of SAS. Treatment and genotype means were separated using the least significant difference (LSD) test at P < 0.05.

**Results and Discussion**

**SOIL MOISTURE AND WHOLE-PLANT RESPONSES.** Drought stress significantly decreased soil moisture content (SMC) for all grasses (Table 2). At the end of 7 d, SMC was 3.7% and 5.3% for SUS-1 and SUS-2 and 6.2% and 5.9% for TOL-1 and TOL-2, respectively. Under these soil moisture conditions, RWC was 72.1% and 73.8% for SUS-1 and SUS-2, and RWC was 90.1% and 85.4% for TOL-1 and TOL-2, respectively. TOL-1, TOL-2, and SUS-2 showed similar SMC at 7 d, but TOL-1 and TOL-2 had higher RWC than SUS-1 and SUS-2 (Table 2). Milnes et al. (1998) found that Koeleria macrantha plants from the United Kingdom, when grown under drought stress, could maintain tiller base RWC similar to a well-watered control until soil moisture content fell to 9%. The low soil moisture content that.
Table 2. Effects of 7 d of drought stress on soil moisture content (SMC), leaf relative water content (RWC), chlorophyll concentration (Chl), and chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm) of prairie junegrass genotypes. C and D represent the well-watered control and drought stress, respectively.

| Accession | SMC (%) | RWC (%) | Chl (μg·mg⁻¹ dry wt) | Fv/Fm |
|-----------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------|
| Sus-1     | 19.6 abA | 78.0 aA | 7.81 aA              | 0.82 aA |
| TOL-1     | 19.8 aA  | 78.0 aA | 7.75 aA              | 0.80 aA |
| Sus-2     | 18.2 aB  | 78.0 aA | 7.35 aB              | 0.81 aA |
| TOL-2     | 18.7 aB  | 78.0 aA | 6.66 aB              | 0.81 aA |

Table 3. Effects of 7 d of drought stress on activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione reductase (GR), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and malondialdehyde (MDA) content of prairie junegrass genotypes. C and D represent well-watered control and drought stress, respectively.

| Accession | SOD (unit/mg protein) | APX (μmol·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹ protein) | GR (nmol·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹ protein) | CAT (μmol·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹ protein) | POD (nmol·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹ protein) | MDA (nmol·g⁻¹ fresh wt) |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Sus-1     | 50.4 bA⁻     | 64.0 aA                         | 45.7 bA                       | 94.2 bA                       | 49.0 abA                      | 34.9 aA                 |
| TOL-1     | 62.7 abA     | 69.4 aA                         | 77.6 aA                       | 109.7 aA                      | 49.0 abA                      | 35.1 aA                 |
| Sus-2     | 68.5 aA     | 74.3 aA                         | 29.0 aA                       | 113.1 aA                      | 43.4 aA                       | 27.6 aA                 |
| TOL-2     | 66.4 aA    | 74.0 aA                         | 61.2 aA                       | 118.2 aA                      | 49.0 abA                      | 39.8 aA                 |

*Means followed by the same lower case letter within a column for a given measurement were not significantly different at P < 0.05; means followed by the same capital case letter within a row for a given genotype were not significantly different at P < 0.05.
Cu/ZnSOD, APX, and MnSOD increased dehydration and drought tolerance in potato (Solanum tuberosum) (Kwak et al., 2009) and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) (Li et al., 2009). Taken together with our results, this indicates that the stable or increased expression of these antioxidant genes may confer drought tolerance on plants, including prairie junegrass.

Expression of other candidate genes. Drought-induced candidate genes have been identified in several different plant species, and transformation of some of these genes to crop plants has increased drought tolerance (Bhatnagar-Mathur et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2009; Mahdieh et al., 2008). In this study, 11 of these candidate genes with diverse functions were selected to study expression patterns in prairie junegrass under drought stress (Fig. 2). 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (NCED) and aldehyde oxidase 3 (AAO3) are key enzymes in the biosynthesis of ABA (Seo et al., 2000; Seo and Koshiba, 2002). Expression of NCED was not detected in the control plants but was found in the drought-stressed plants of TOL-2. Overexpression of NCED3 in A. thaliana has improved drought tolerance by increasing the endogenous ABA level and enhancing the transcription of ABA-inducible or ABA-responsive genes (Iuchi et al., 2001). The upregulation of NCED but not AAO3 in TOL-2 might facilitate plant tolerance to drought stress. Similar trends were also found in expressions of BADH and ACC. BADH is involved in synthesis of glycine betaine (GB), an important compatible solute that accumulates and protects plants against abiotic stresses (Khan et al., 2009). The gene encoding acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), a key enzyme of lipid biosynthesis, increased in relative abundance along with a corresponding increase in epicuticular wax content in the tolerant genotype of peanut (Arachis hypogaeae) (Kottapalli et al., 2009), suggesting its role in drought stress tolerance. Other drought-inducible genes encoding functional proteins include aquaporin (e.g., PIP1:4), which facilitates water transport (Mahdieh et al., 2008), wax/cuticle biosynthesis (e.g., WAX2) (Chen et al., 2003), and MYB, an ABA-dependent transcription factor and an activator of the expression of other genes (Cominelli et al., 2005; Mattana et al., 2005). But there were no differences in expression of PIP1:4, WAX2, and MYB for either genotype of prairie junegrass under both treatments.

Proline is highly accumulated in the stress-tolerant species under drought stress (Türkan et al., 2005). Both P5CS and P5CR are important enzymes in the proline biosynthesis pathway (De laune and Verma, 1993). Gene expression of P5CS and P5CR were upregulated under drought stress for both genotypes; P5CR, in particular, was strongly induced under drought stress in TOL-2. The results indicate that upregulation of P5CR may increase drought tolerance of prairie junegrass. Transgenic plants of soybean (Glycine max) (De Ronde et al., 2004), petunia (Petunia hybrida) (Yamada et al., 2005), and chickpea (Cicer arietinum) (Bhatnagar-Mathur et al., 2009) carrying P5CS or P5CR exhibit higher leaf RWC and proline concentration and are more drought tolerant than the control plants.
Expression of 1-FFT encoding fructan:fructan 1-fructosyl-transferase was strongly induced under drought stress for TOL-2 but was not shown for SUS-2 under control or drought stress conditions. 1-FFT is one of the key enzymes in fructan biosynthesis (Kawakami and Yoshida, 2005). Fructan has been recognized as a protective agent against abiotic stresses, including cold and drought (Valluru and Van den Ende, 2008). The expression of 1-FFT in TOL-2 but not in SUS-2 suggests its role in promoting drought tolerance. Under drought stress, the expression of SUSY, which encodes sucrose synthase, did not change in TOL-2, but slightly decreased in SUS-2. Sucrose synthase is a key enzyme in plant sucrose catabolism, mobilizing sucrose into multiple pathways involved in metabolic, structural, and storage functions (Subbiah et al., 2007).

Expression of SUSY was upregulated in drought-tolerant cultivars of maize (Zea mays) exposed to drought stress (Hayano-Kanashiro et al., 2009). Our results indicate that SUSY has a positive impact on drought tolerance of prairie junegrass.

In summary, prairie junegrass genotypes exhibited varied leaf water status in response to drought stress. Moderate drought stress did not change antioxidant enzyme activities or lipid peroxidation in this species. Genes involved in proline (P5CR) and fructan (1-FFT) biosynthesis could play important roles in drought tolerance in prairie junegrass. Further research is needed to identify important candidate genes and markers linked to drought tolerance that can be applied to the genetic improvement of prairie junegrass for enhanced drought tolerance.
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