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UBE2C Induces Cisplatin Resistance via ZEB1/2-Dependent Upregulation of ABCG2 and ERCC1 in NSCLC Cells
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Objectives. Cisplatin (DDP) is one of the most commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs for several cancers, including non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, resistance to DDP eventually develops, limiting its further application. New therapy targets are urgently needed to reverse DDP resistance. Methods. The mRNA expression of UBE2C, ZEB1/2, ABCG2, and ERCC1 was analyzed by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. The protein levels of these molecules were analyzed by Western blotting and immunofluorescent staining. Cell proliferation was detected by CCK8 and MTT assays. Cell migration and invasion were analyzed by wound healing assay and Transwell assays. Promoter activities and gene transcription were analyzed by luciferase reporter assay. Results. In this study, we examined the effect of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 expression levels in DDP-resistant cells of NSCLC. We confirmed that aberrant expression of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 plays a critical role in repressing the DDP sensitivity to NSCLC cells. Additionally, knockdown of UBE2C significantly sensitized resistant cells to DDP by repressing the expression of ZEB1/2. Mechanistic investigations indicated that UBE2C transcriptionally regulated ZEB1/2 by accelerating promoter activity. This study revealed that ZEB1/2 promotes the epithelial mesenchymal transition and expression of ABCG2 and ERCC1 to participate in UBE2C-mediated NSCLC DDP-resistant cell progression, metastasis, and invasion. Conclusion. UBE2C may be a novel therapy target for NSCLC for sensitizing cells to the chemotherapeutic agent DDP.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is very common and one of the leading causes of cancer mortality worldwide [1, 2]. Lung cancer is divided into two histopathological groups: small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). NSCLC accounts for 80–85% of all lung cancer cases and is often diagnosed at locally advanced stages which are not amenable to surgical resection [3, 4]. Cisplatin (DDP)-based chemotherapy has been widely applied to treat many type cancers in the clinic, including NSCLC. In NSCLC patients, cisplatin generally shows good therapeutic effects in the early stage of chemotherapy, but drug resistance seriously limits the further application of cisplatin [5–8]. Therefore, new therapeutic targets to reverse DDP-resistance are urgently needed.

UBE2C, also known as UBCH10, is an important member of the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme family. UBE2C specifically interacts with the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C). There are more than 55 substrates degraded by APC/C, including 37 substrates involved in cell cycle phase S and M (cyclin A, cyclin B, p21, and securin), 11 substrates that are proteins related to the cell cycle (E2-C, E2F1, JNK, Skp2), and two substrates which are APC/C co-activated factors (CDC20 and Cdh1) [9–12]. UBE2C plays a principle role in cell cycle progression and was recently found to be aberrantly expressed in various cancers including lung cancer, ovarian cancer, bladder cancer, and lymphoma [13–16]. Moreover, a recent study showed that UBE2C, as a regulatory factor of its target genes, promotes tumor occurrence and development in many human cancers.
Furthermore, decreased UBE2C expression enhances the chemosensitivity of dual drug-resistant breast cancer cells to epirubicin and docetaxel [17], suggesting that UBE2C plays an important role in drug resistance.

The zinc-finger E-box binding homeobox (ZEB) family comprises sequence specific DNA-binding transcription factors and two members: ZEB1 and ZEB2 [18]. The lix-loop-helix motif of ZEB1 and ZEB2 has high specific binding activity with bipartite E-boxes in the E-cadherin promoter region [19]. In NSCLC, ZEB1 expression is upregulated by cyclooxygenase-2, which decreases E-cadherin gene transcription [20]. It is clear till the expression level of E-cadherin and ZEB1 were significantly correlated with sensitivity of gefitinib, suggesting that they are useful for predicting to the sensitivity to epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy in lung cancer [21]. Furthermore, ZEB1 plays an important role in the resistance to chemotherapy drugs, such as paclitaxel [22], gefitinib [23], and tamoxifen [24]. Abnormal expression of E-cadherin and ZEB1/2 results in epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT), stem-like cell character, resistance to therapeutic agents, and cancer progression [25]. However, the relationship between ZEB1/2 and DDP resistance in NSCLC remains unclear.

Various genes have been suggested as biomarkers of the resistance to chemotherapeutic agents, such as ERCC1 [26, 27] and ABCG2 [28, 29]. Classic chemotherapeutic drugs, such as platinum salts, are known to kill tumor cells by directly reducing DNA integrity [30]. Excision repair cross-complementary gene 1 (ERCC1) is an important member of the DNA repair-related gene system and counteracts the DNA damaging effects of chemotherapy and therefore is associated with drug resistance. ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 2 (ABCG2) was first cloned from multidrug-resistant breast cancer cell lines and confirmed to be involved in the resistance to many chemotherapeutic agents, such as mitoxantrone, topotecan, and SN-38 [31–34]. ABCG2 was reported to play an important role in stem cell biology [35]. In this study, we aimed to examine the expression of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 in DDP-resistant NSCLC cell lines and the role of UBE2C in mediating the resistance of A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells to DDP.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Lines and Culture. HBEC, A549, HI299, Calu6, and H460 cell lines were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA) and maintained in RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone, USA), 10 mM of glutamic acid, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (normal media). The cisplatin-resistant subline, A549/DDP, was a gift from the Research Cell Line Collection (RCCCLother.html). Another cisplatin-resistant subline, H1299/DDP, had been established in our laboratory in 2016 by adapting the growth of H1299 cells in the presence of increasing concentrations of cisplatin until a final concentration of 12 μg/ml, followed by cultivation in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS additionally contained 2 μg/ml cisplatin.

2.2. Cell Transfection. For cell transfection, recombinant pcDNA3.1 plasmid contained UBE2C, ZEB1 or ZEB2, UBE2C small interframe RNA (siUBE2C, GenePharma Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), ZEB1 small interframe RNA (siZEB1, GenePharma Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), ZEB2 small interframe RNA (siZEB2, GenePharma Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), and negative control oligonucleotides (NCO, GenePharma Co. Ltd.) were introduced into the A549, HI299, A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells by lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The selected sequences for knockdown as follows:

- siUBE2C were 5’-CCUGCAAGAGACCUACUCUA-3’
- siZEB1 were 5’-GGAUCAACCACAAUGGUU-3’
- siZEB2 were 5’-CGAGAUAUGUAACUAAGGA-3’.

2.3. Immunofluorescent Staining. For analysis the protein levels of UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2, A549 and A549/DDP cells were grown on coverslips in a 24-well plate overnight and after 24 h, transected with plasmid or siRNA and treated with or without DDP. After 48 h, cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 30 min and permeabilized by incubation in 3% BSA in PBS for 30 min. The coverslips were subsequently incubated with UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 antibody at 1:1000 dilution in PBS containing 3% BSA. Secondary fluorescence antibodies at 1:1000 dilution in PBS are containing 3% BSA. DAPI (3 µg/ml) was used for nuclear staining. Images were obtained with Zeiss Axio Imager Z1 Fluorescent Microscope. The detailed experiment process was described in Guo et al. [4].

2.4. RNA Isolation and RT-PCR. We used Trizol reagent (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) to isolate total RNA from the samples and cells. RNA was reverse transcribed into first-strand cDNA using a Transcript All-in-One First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TransGen Biotech). cDNAs were used for RT-PCR and qPCR assay with the human GAPDH gene as an internal control. The final qPCR reaction mix contained 10 µl Bestar SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix. Amplification was performed as follows: a denaturation step at 94°C for 5 mins, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 94°C for 30 sec, 58°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec. The reaction was stopped at 25°C for 5 mins. The relative expression levels were detected and analyzed by ABI Prism 7900HT/FAST (Applied Biosystems, USA) based on the formula of $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. We got the images of RT-PCR by Image Lab™ Software (ChemidocTM XRS+). Bio-RAD, and these images were TIF with reversal color format. The RT-PCR primers were as follows. The reverse PCR primers are as follows:

- UBE2C forward primer: 5’-GGATTTCTGCCTTCC- CTGAA-3’
- UBE2C reverse primer: 5’-GATAGCAGGGCGTGAA- GCC-3’
- ZEB1 forward primer: 5’-GATGATGAATGCGAG- TCAGATGC-3’
- ZEB1 reverse primer: 5’-CTGCTCCTCTTCAGG- TGCC-3’
Cells were seeded in 96-well plates.

2.6. CCK-8 Analysis. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a microplate reader (Infinite F50; Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland).

2.7. Luciferase Reporter Assay. UBE2C, ZEB1, ZEB2, vimentin, E-cadherin, and cleaved caspase-3 were detected using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay Kit (Promega). The detailed experiment process was described in Guo et al. [37].

2.8. SA-β-Gal Staining. SA-β-gal was detected using the Senescence β-Galactosidase Staining kit (C0602; Beyotime) following the manufacturer’s instructions: In brief, the cells were washed twice with PBS and then fixed with PBS containing 2% formaldehyde and 0.2% glacial acetic acid for 10 min. The cells were then incubated at 37°C for 12 h with staining solution. After being washed twice with PBS, the SA-β-gal-positive cells were observed under an optical microscope (IX53, Olympus) and assessed using the ImageJ software. The detailed experiment process was described in Jin et al. [36].

2.9. Wound-Healing Assay. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates with 5000 cells/well and incubated for 24 h and then treated with DDP or/and transfected plasmid and siRNA mimics for indicated time. Add 10 μl of CCK-8 (C0037, Beyotime) solution to each well of the plate and then incubate the plate for 4 hours in the incubator. Measure the absorbance at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Infinite® F50; Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland).

2.10. Transwell Assay. The cells (1x10^5) were seeded into 300 μl serum-free DMEM medium in the upper chamber (with 8-μm pore size Transwell inserts (Corning, USA)) which was coated with Matrigel™. 10% FBS DMEM was added to the lower chamber. The cells were cultured for 48 h. The cells remaining on the upper surface of the membrane were washed and the chambers were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. The chambers were stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution for 20 min (cat. no. E607309; Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and washed with PBS and then photographed by Olympus light microscope (IX53, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The detailed experiment process was described in Guo et al. [37].

2.11. Clonal Formation Assay. Cells were seeded into 12-well plates with 300 cells per well and cultured for 24 hours followed by being exposed to drugs for another 24 hours. Cells were then cultured in drug-free medium for another 10 to 15 days until clones of around 50 cells were formed. Cell clones were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 0.5 hour and stained with crystal violet (Sangon) for 15 min before optical imaging.
2.12. Human Lung Cancer Specimen Collection. A total of 50 human lung cancer (NSCLC) with their corresponding normal lung specimens and a total of 40 NSCLC subjects received anthracyclines-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy were collected in Affiliated Hospital of Binzhou Medical College with written consent of patients and the approval from the Institute Research Ethics Committee.

2.13. Immunohistochemical Analysis. Tumor tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and then embedded in paraffin wax. Four-micrometer thick sections were and stained using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histological analysis.

2.14. Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate. The experimental protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Binzhou Medical University, China (No. 2017-016-01 for human lung cancer specimen and No. 2017-009-09 for mouse experiments in vivo) and the written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. All patients were staged based on the criteria of the 7th Edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Stomach (2010 edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual: Stomach (2010)

2.15. Spearman’s Rank Correlation Analysis. To examine the correlation between the relative expression levels between ZEB1/2 and ABCG2/ERCC1, we first performed a normality test, which indicated that there was a correlation between them and that the data were nonparametric. The correlation of the relative expression levels between ZEB1/2 and ABCG2/ERCC1 was then assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient based on the results of western blot analysis.

2.16. Statistical Analysis. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) and are presented as the means ± SD. The statistical analyses of the experiment data were performed by using a two-tailed Student’s pair’s t-test and one-way ANOVA. Statistical significance was assessed at least three independent experiments and significance was considered at either p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant and highlighted an asterisk in the figures, while p-values < 0.01 were highlighted using two asterisks and p-values < 0.001 highlighted using three asterisks in the figures.

3. Results

3.1. UBE2C and ZEB1/2 Were Abnormally Activated in Lung Cancer Cells and Were Downregulated by Treatment with DDP. To investigate the roles of UBE2C and ZEB1/2, we first examined the endogenous mRNA and protein expression of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 in human lung cancer cells by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), western blotting, and qPCR analysis. We found that UBE2C mRNA and protein levels were higher in human lung cancer cells than in normal control human bronchial epithelial cells (Figure 1(a)). To further examine the effect of DDP on A549 cell proliferation, we detected cell growth by CCK8 assay. Our results indicated that the IC50 values of DDP were 12.8, 8.5, and 4.9 μg/mL in A549 cells and 13.2, 10.1, and 5.4 μg/mL in H1299 cells after incubation for 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively (Figures 1(b) and S1A). Similarly, the cellular growth was dose-dependently inhibited by DDP in A549 and H1299 cells (Figures 1(b) and S1B). Moreover, DDP dose- and time-dependent (Figures 1(c)–1(e)) reduced UBE2C and ZEB1/2 mRNA and protein levels in A549 and H1299 cells according to the RT-PCR, western blotting, and qPCR assay results. Furthermore, the cellular survival rate of DDP-resistant cells (A549/DDP and H1299/DDP) was significantly higher than that of their parent cells (A549 and H1299) (Figure 1(f)) following DDP treatment. The RT-PCR, western blotting, and qPCR results revealed that the mRNA and protein levels of ERCC1 and ABCG2 were significantly increased in DDP-resistant cells compared to in their parent cells (Figures 1(f)–1(l)). These results indicate that the expression of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 was higher in lung cancer cells and downregulated by treatment with DDP.

3.2. UBE2C and ZEB1/2 Are Involved in DDP Resistance in Lung Cancer Cells. To further explore the underlying role of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells, the transcriptional activity of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 was analyzed by luciferase reporter assay. Our results showed that their transcriptional activities were higher in A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells than in their parent cells (Figure 2(a)). The mRNA and protein levels of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 were also higher in A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells than in DDP-sensitive cells by RT-PCR, immunoblotting, and immunofluorescent assays (Figures 2(b)–2(e)). We also observed that the cell morphology was dramatically altered, with shape appearing from flat to shuttle type with apiciform pseudopodium, in A549/DDP cells and H1299/DDP cells compared to their parent cells, A549 and H1299 cells, which contribute to cell drug resistance, migration, and invasion (Figure 2(f)). Moreover, the immunohistochemistry assay indicated that the protein levels of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 were obviously increased in human DDP-resistant lung cancer tissues compared to in nonresistant lung cancer tissues (Figures 2(g) and 2(h)). Together, these results suggest that UBE2C and ZEB1/2 were overexpressed in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells and tissues.

3.3. UBE2C Upregulates the Expression of ZEB1/2 in DDP-Resistant NSCLC Cells. After demonstrating that both of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 expression levels were downregulated by DDP treatment, we examined the relationship between UBE2C and ZEB1/2 using specific siRNAs or plasmids to silence or overexpress UBE2C (Figure 3(a)), ZEB1 (Figure 3(b)), or ZEB2 (Figure 3(c)) in A549/DDP cells. For UBE2C silencing, cells were transfected with siRNA (siUBE2C-1 and siUBE2C-2) and UBE2C was overexpressed using pcDNA-UBE2C. We found that more UBE2C was knocked down using siUBE2C-2 (Figures S2A, S2D, and S2G), and thus this siRNA was used in these experiments. Similar results were obtained when siZEB1-1 or siZEB2-2 were used to knock down ZEB1 or ZEB2, respectively (Figures S2B,
Aberrant activation of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 in lung cancer cells and downregulated by treatment with DDP. (a) Gel-based RT-PCR, immunoblotting with densitometric quantitation and qPCR demonstrating elevated mRNA and protein expression of UBE2C in human lung cancer cells compared with their normal control cell HBEC. (b) A549 cells were incubated with DDP at various concentrations for 24, 48, and 72 h (left panel) and various times at 3, 6 and 9 μg/ml (right panel). Then cell viability was assessed (%). (c–e) DDP dose-dependently repressed UBE2C and ZEB1/2 in mRNA and protein level in A549 and H1299 cells analyzed by RT-PCR (c), Western blot (d) and qPCR (e) assay. (f–h) DDP time-dependently repressed UBE2C and ZEB1/2 in mRNA and protein level in A549 and H1299 cells analyzed by RT-PCR (f), Western blot (g) and qPCR (h) assay. (i) Survival rates of A549/DDP, H1299/DDP and their control cells treated with DDP at 6 μg/ml for 48 h. (j–l) The mRNA and protein expression levels were analyzed by RT-PCR (j), Western blot (k) and qPCR (l) assay. Results were presented as mean ± SD, and the error bars represent the SD of three independent experiments. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 versus control group.

S2E, and S2H and Figures S2C, S2F, and S2I). To determine whether the ZEB1/2 expression level was regulated through UBE2C, we used a control (cotransfection of pcDNA vector and si control), siUBE2C (cotransfection of siRNA of UBE2C and pcDNA vector), and Flag-UBE2C (cotransfection of pcDNA Flag-UBE2C and si control) in the same experiment (Figures 3(d) and 3(e)). These approaches were also used for ZEB1 and ZEB2. Knockdown of UBE2C decreased the mRNA and protein levels of ZEB1/2, while overexpression of UBE2C increased these levels, which were detected by RT-PCR, western blotting, and qPCR assay (Figures 3(d) and 3(e)) and immunofluorescent staining (Figures 3(f) and 3(g)). To further explore whether ZEB1 and ZEB2 are the direct targets of UBE2C, we performed a luciferase reporter assay in A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells. We cloned the promoter of ZEB1 or ZEB2 into the dual luciferase reporter vector and then transfected these vectors into cells. ZEB1/2 promoter activity was obviously reduced in the UBE2C knockdown

![Figure 2: UBE2C and ZEB1/2 were involved in DDP resistance in lung cancer cells.](image)

(a) The luciferase reporter assay indicated that the transcriptional activity of UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 was higher in A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells than their parent cells. (b, c) RT-PCR (b) and qPCR (c) demonstrating elevated mRNA level of UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 in DDP NSCLC resistant cell lines, A549/DDP and H1299/DDP, compared with their parent cells. (d, e) Immunoblotting (d) and immunofluorescent staining (e) assay demonstrating increased protein level of UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 in DDP NSCLC resistant cell lines, A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells. (f) Cellular morphology of DDP NSCLC resistant cell lines and their parent cells was analyzed by phase contrast microscope assay. (g) Immunohistochemical staining shows that the protein levels of UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 were higher in human DDP resistance lung cancer tissues than in nonresistance cancer tissues with anthracyclines-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy. (h) Statistical analysis of the protein level of UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 in DDP non/resistance lung cancer tissues (n=20). Results were presented as mean ± SD, and the error bars represent the SD of three independent experiments. **p<0.01 versus control group.
3.4. Knockdown of UBE2C Sensitizes DDP-Resistant NSCLC Cells to Cisplatin via Decreasing the Expression of ZEB1/2. To confirm whether UBE2C promotes cell proliferation by targeting ZEB1/2, we cotransfected UBE2C and siZEB1 or siUBE2C and ZEB1 into A549/DDP cells. We also separately transfected UBE2C or siUBE2C into A549/DDP cells.
and conducted RT-PCR, western blotting, and qPCR to detect UBE2C and ZEB1. The ZEB1 level was increased by UBE2C transfection and decreased by siUBE2C. However, the opposite effects were observed for each of these factors in A549/DDP cells ectopically transfected with siZEB1 or overexpression of ZEB1 (Figure 4(a)). The protein expression of ZEB2 showed a similar result as ZEB1 (Figure 4(b)). To further investigate the role of UBE2C and ZEB1/2 in DDP-resistant cell proliferation, A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells were cotransfected with UBE2C and siZEB1/2 or siUBE2C and ZEB1/2. As shown in Figures 4(c) and 4(e), the CCK-8 assay revealed that the cell proliferation capacity was markedly increased in A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells by overexpression of UBE2C and ZEB1/2, but drastically decreased by introduction of siUBE2C or siZEB1/2. As ZEB1/2 was regulated by UBE2C, we explored whether knockdown of UBE2C inhibited cell proliferation in DDP-resistant cells following treatment with DDP. A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells were cotransfected with UBE2C and siZEB1 or siUBE2C and ZEB1 and then treated with DDP. Our data showed that resistance to DDP induced by UBE2C overexpression was remarkably decreased when ZEB1 or ZEB2 was knocked down in A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells (Figures 4(d) and 4(f)). In contrast, overexpression of ZEB1 or ZEB2 rescued the cell growth inhibited by knockdown of UBE2C in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells treated with DDP. These results were confirmed by Ki67 immunohistochemistry staining in A549/DDP cells treated with DDP (Figure 4(g)). The protein level of active caspase-3 determined by western blot assay showed that treatment with DDP significantly induced apoptosis in A549/DDP cells transfected with siUBE2C, and this process was reversed by overexpression of ZEB1/2 (Figure 4(h)). Analysis of protein expression of Annexin V showed similar results for active caspase-3 by immunofluorescent staining assay (Figure 4(i)). These data indicate that knockdown of UBE2C sensitizes DDP-resistant NSCLC cells to cisplatin by decreasing the expression of ZEB1/2.

3.5. Knockdown of ZEB1/2 Inhibits UBE2C-Dependent Cellular Growth, Invasiveness, and EMT in DDP-Resistant NSCLC Cells. Recent studies showed that UBE2C not only promotes cell proliferation, but also is positively correlated with metastasis in many types of cancer cells [38, 39]. We showed that UBE2C regulates ZEB1/2 expression to promote NSCLC cell resistance to DDP. To further investigate whether UBE2C-regulated ZEB1/2 expression affects DDP-resistant cell senescence, invasiveness, and EMT in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells, we cotransfected UBE2C and siZEB1 or siUBE2C and ZEB1 into A549/DDP cells and then treated the cells with DDP and conducted colony formation (Figure 5(a)), SA-β-gal staining (Figure 5(b)), wound-healing (Figure 5(c)), and Matrigel invasion assays (Figure 5(d)). We found that overexpression of UBE2C dramatically increased colony formation ability (Figure 5(a)), inhibited cell senescence (Figure 5(b)), and promoted cell migration (Figure 5(c)) and invasion (Figure 5(d)), which were partially inhibited by knockdown of ZEB1. Cotransfection of UBE2C and siZEB2 or siUBE2C and ZEB2 into A549/DDP cells showed similar results as cotransfection of UBE2C and ZEB1 (data not shown). Because knockdown of ZEB1/2 inhibited UBE2C-mediated cell migration and invasive growth of DDP-resistant NSCLC cells, we examined whether UBE2C regulates EMT marker proteins via ZEB1/2.

3.6. UBE2C Increases ABCG2 and ERCC1 Expression via Regulating ZEB1/2 in DDP-Resistant NSCLC Cells. To determine the molecular mechanism by which UBE2C and ZEB1/2 reverses DDP resistance in lung cancer cells, we conducted RT-PCR to confirm whether ZEB1/2 regulates the drug resistance genes HER2, MRPI, KRAS, BRCA1, and MDR1 in A549/DDP cells. However, ZEB1/2 did not regulate the expression of these genes in A549/DDP cells according to our RT-PCR and qPCR assays (Figures S4A and S4B). We conducted RT-PCR, western blotting, and qPCR assays to further investigate whether UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 regulate the drug resistance genes ABCG2 and ERCC1. The mRNA and protein levels of ABCG2 and ERCC1 were measured at 48 h after UBE2C and ZEB1/2 transfection. The results showed that the mRNA and protein levels of ABCG2 and ERCC1 were significantly upregulated after UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 overexpression. These inhibitory effects were suppressed by downregulation of UBE2C, ZEB1, and ZEB2 expression (Figures 6(a)–6(c) and S3C–S3E). The immunoblotting assay indicated that ZEB1 and ZEB2 increased ABCG2 and ERCC1 protein levels in a dose-and time-dependent manner in A549/DDP cells (Figures 6(d) and 6(e)). Similar results were obtained by western blot analysis of the H1299/DDP cell lines (data not shown). Moreover, the ABCG2/ERCC1 promoter and ZEB1 cotransfection into A549/DDP cells resulted in significantly increased luciferase activity compared to cotransfection with the control vector. Compared to the control group, luciferase activity was decreased following cotransfection with siZEB1. Similar results were obtained after cotransfection with the ABCG2/ERCC1 promoter and ZEB2 or siZEB2 (Figure 6(f)). Furthermore, Spearman’s rank correlation analysis revealed significant positive correlations between ZEB1/2 and ABCG2/ERCC1 protein levels based on western blot assays (Figure 6(g)). siUBE2C inhibited DDP-resistant NSCLC cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and EMT, which contributed to reversing the DDP resistance...
Figure 4: Knockdown of UBE2C sensitizes DDP-resistant NSCLC cells to cisplatin via decreasing the expression of ZEB1/2. A549/DDP or H1299/DDP cells were transfected with UBE2C or siUBE2C. ZEB1 or ZEB2 were used for upregulated the protein level of UBE2C target genes and then treated with DDP 6 μg/ml for 48 h, respectively. (a, b) The mRNA and protein expression levels of UBE2C and ZEB1 (a) or UBE2C and ZEB2 (b) were analyzed by RT-PCR and immunoblotting assay. (c-f) The cellular growth was analyzed by CCK8 assay with or without treatment of DDP at 6 μg/ml for 48 h in A549/DDP (c, d) or H1299/DDP (e, f) cells. (g) The protein of Ki67 was analyzed by immunofluorescent staining. (h) The protein of active caspase-3 was analyzed by Western blot. (i) The protein of Annexin V was analyzed by immunofluorescent staining. Results were presented as mean ± SD, and the error bars represent the SD of three independent experiments. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 versus control group.
Figure 5: Knockdown of ZEB1/2 inhibits UBE2C-dependent cellular growth, invasiveness, and EMT in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells. (a) Colony formation assay demonstrating that ectopic expression of UBE2C significantly enhanced colony formation density, which was blocked by knockdown ZEB1 in A549/DDP cells with treatment of DDP at 6 μg/ml for 48 h. (b) SA-β-Gal assay was performed to detect the cell senescence of A549/DDP cells treated with DDP at 6 μg/ml for 48 h and transected with UBE2C, siUBE2C alone, or the combination of siZEB1/2 and ZEB1/2 plasmid for 48 h. (c, d) Scratch assay (c) and Matrigel invasion assay (d) indicated that UBE2C promote cell migration and invasion via regulating ZEB1 in A549/DDP cells with treatment of DDP at 6 μg/ml for 36 h. (e, f) UBE2C significantly decreased E-cadherin and increased vimentin in mRNA (e) and protein (f) levels by regulating ZEB1/2 in the A549/DDP cells with treatment of DDP at 6 μg/ml for 48 h. Results were presented as mean ± SD, and the error bars represent the SD of three independent experiments. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 versus control group.
Figure 6: Continued.
by regulating ABCG2 and ERCC1 (Figures 4–6). siZEB1/2 played a similar important role in reversing DDP resistance in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells (Figure 5). Moreover, UBE2C upregulated the expression of ZEB1/2 (Figure 3) and ZEB1/2 directly targeted ABCG2 and ERCC1 (Figure 6). Therefore, we predicted that siUBE2C reverses DDP resistance by regulating ABCG2 and ERCC1 by directly targeting ZEB1/2.

To evaluate this hypothesis, we separately transfected ZEB1 or siZEB1 into the A549/DDP cell line. The ABCG2 or ERCC1 mRNA and protein levels were increased by ZEB1 and reduced by siZEB1 transfection. However, the opposite effects were observed for each factor in A549/DDP cells ectopically transfected with siUBE2C to ZEB1 or UBE2C to siZEB1 by RT-PCR, western blotting, and qPCR assays (Figures 6(h) and S3F). Similar results were obtained by RT-PCR and western blot analysis of ZEB2 in A549/DDP cell lines (Figures 6(i) and S3G). These data indicate that ZEB1/2-mediated siUBE2C reverses DDP resistance by regulating ABCG2 and ERCC1 in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells.

4. Discussion

The emergence of drug resistance is unavoidable and severely limits the curative effect of chemotherapeutic drug [5, 40, 41], including cisplatin. Thus, useful biomarkers are needed to predict and overcome DDP resistance to treat patients with NSCLC. UBE2C is highly expressed in many types of human carcinomas including NSCLCs and strongly associated with tumor grade/poor prognosis [40–42]. The UBE2C protein plays a critical role in activating the M-phase checkpoint by specifically binding to APC/C [43, 44]. Knockdown of UBE2C enhances the chemosensitivity of epirubicin and docetaxel to dual drug-resistant breast cancer cells [17]. The UBE2C expression level can indicate the sensitivity to irinotecan treatment in patients with colorectal cancer [45].

We previously reported that UBE2C selectively represses autophagy in NSCLC, and disruption of UBE2C-mediated autophagy repression attenuates cell proliferation, clonogenicity, and invasive growth of NSCLC [46]. Furthermore, our previous research showed that the miR-495-UBE2C-ABCG2/ERCC1 axis reverses cisplatin resistance by down-regulating drug resistance genes in cisplatin-resistant non-small-cell lung cancer cells, highlighting the mechanism of how microRNA 495 downregulates UBE2C [37]. In this study, we examined the expression of UBE2C in DDP-sensitive and DDP-resistant cells and the role of UBE2C in mediating the resistance of A549/DDP and H1299/DDP cells to DDP. The results showed that, compared to wild-type cells (A549 and H1299), the mRNA and protein expression of UBE2C were significantly increased in DDP-resistant cells (A549/DDP and H1299) and UBE2C was significantly decreased following DDP treatment in A549 and H1299 cells (Figures 1(c–d)). UBE2C or ZEB1/2 deficiency was found to significantly increase sensitivity to DDP, prevent cell proliferation and colony formation ability, and promote cell senescence in DDP-resistant cells. Reintroduction of ZEB1/2 notably rescued the phenotypes induced by UBE2C knockdown.

Moreover, our results showed that ZEB1/2 regulated the expression of the drug-resistant genes ABCG2 and ERCC1 at the transcriptional level. We also found that knockdown of UBE2C significantly sensitized lung cancer cells to the chemotherapeutic agent DDP by repressing ABCG2/ERCC1 expression through downregulation of ZEB1/2 in vitro. Based on these results, we demonstrated that knockdown of UBE2C is a potential strategy for reversing DDP resistance in NSCLC cells.

EMT plays a critical role in accelerating cisplatin resistance, and mesenchymal-like cancers are more prone to
developing drug resistance [47]. The hallmark event of EMT is downregulation of E-cadherin protein. At the transcriptional level, E-cadherin gene expression is repressed by many factors, such as ZEB1, ZEB2, Snail, and slug [48–50]. EMT promotes cancer metastasis and invasion and thus accelerates the emergence of drug resistance. We previously reported that UBE2C promoted EMT by regulating E-cadherin and vimentin. ZEB1 promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis, and its expression is correlated with poor outcomes in cancer, including resistance to chemotherapy [51, 52]. In the current study, we found that the mRNA and protein levels of ZEB1/ZEB2 were significantly downregulated in NSCLC cells following treatment with DDP (Figures 1(c)-1(d)).

We also found that ZEB1/2 mediates UBE2C regulation of EMT, cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in DDP-resistant cells (Figures 5(a)-5(d)). Importantly, ZEB1/2 upregulated the expression of ABCG2 and ERCC1 (Figures 6(b)-6(c)) and repressed E-cadherin gene transcription in DPP-resistant NSCLC cells. Accordingly, UBE2C upregulated the expression of ZEB1/2 by increasing their promoter activity in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells (Figure 3(h)). siUBE2C downregulated ABCG2 and ERCC1 by suppressing ZEB1/2 expression and thus enhancing cisplatin sensitivity in DDP-resistant NSCLC cells. These results demonstrate that UBE2C expression levels are useful for predicting the response or resistance to DDP in NSCLC cells. However, the underlying mechanisms regulating UBE2C have not been well-characterized in NSCLC or other chemotherapeutic agent-resistant cancers. Thus, our future studies will focus on these mechanisms. In summary, UBE2C plays a critical role in decreasing the sensitivity to cisplatin, inhibiting cell senescence, and promoting cell proliferation, migration, and invasion via promoting the promoter activity of ZEB1/2; ZEB1/2 upregulates the expression of antigen genes, ABCG2 and ERCC1, to induce DDP resistance in NSCLC cells (Figure 6(j)). Collectively, our results indicate that UBE2C-ZEB1/2-ABCG2/ERCC1 reverses DDP resistance by down-regulating antigen genes and reducing EMT in cisplatin-resistant NSCLC cells.
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