Trypanosomatid parasite dynamically changes the transcriptome during infection and modifies honey bee physiology
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It is still not understood how honey bee parasite changes the gene expression to adapt to the host environment and how the host simultaneously responds to the parasite infection by modifying its own gene expression. To address this question, we studied a trypanosomatid, Lotmaria passim, which can be cultured in medium and inhabit the honey bee hindgut. We found that L. passim decreases mRNAs associated with protein translation, glycolysis, detoxification of radical oxygen species, and kinetoplast respiratory chain to adapt to the anaerobic and nutritionally poor honey bee hindgut during the infection. After the long term infection, the host appears to be in poor nutritional status, indicated by the increase and decrease of take-out and vitellogenin mRNAs, respectively. Simultaneous gene expression profiling of L. passim and honey bee during infection by dual RNA-seq provided insight into how both parasite and host modify their gene expressions.
Honey bees (Apis mellifera) play a significant role in agricultural crop production and ecosystem maintenance. Nevertheless, the number of managed honey bee colonies has dramatically declined across North America and Europe since 2006\(^1\). Although there are many potential causes for the observed declines, pathogens/parasites are considered major threats to the health of honey bees\(^2-4\). There are different kinds of honey bee pathogens/parasites, such as viruses, bacteria, fungi, protozoans, and mites\(^5\). The honey bee host responses to infections have been previously characterized\(^5\). For instance, apoptosis seems to be an important response to microsporian infection and the Toll and Imd immune signaling pathways respond to viral infection. However, the consequences of eliciting and maintaining immune responses on honey bee physiology have not yet been fully understood. Furthermore, we poorly understand how parasites adapt to the honey bee environment when they start establishing the infection and how they react against the host responses during infection maintenance. Elucidating these processes will provide critical insight into understanding honey bee (host)–parasite interactions.

Two trypanosomatidae species, Lotmaria passim and Crithidia mellificae, were shown to infect honey bee. C. mellificae was first identified in Australia in 1967\(^6\). Recently, a novel trypanosomatid parasite was discovered and named L. passim\(^7\). L. passim seems to be more prevalent than C. mellificae\(^8-10\). Although the association of L. passim infection with winter mortality of honey bee colonies was suggested in several studies\(^11,12\), the effects of L. passim infection on honey bee health and colony survival remain poorly understood. L. passim can be cultured in medium and specifically infects the hindgut when orally introduced to the honey bee\(^7\). These characteristics are similar to those of Crithidia bombi, a major trypanosomatid parasite of bumble bee\(^21,22\). C. bombi infection dramatically reduces colony-funding success, male production and colony size\(^23\). Moreover, it has been shown that C. bombi infection impairs the ability of bumble bees to utilize floral information\(^24\). Several genes in immune signaling pathways, such as Spatsle, MyD88, Dorsal, Defensin, Hymenoptaecin, and Apidaecin are also upregulated during the early stage of C. bombi infection in bumble bee\(^22,25,26\). However, changes in C. bombi gene expression profile during the infection have never been studied. Since both L. passim and C. bombi are specifically present in hindguts of honey bee and bumble bee, respectively, they must interact with the gut microbiota. In fact, there is a negative correlation between the infection level of C. bombi and the relative abundance of Apiabacter, Lactobacillus Firm-5 and Gilliamella bacteria in the gut microbiota of bumble bees\(^27\). Yet, a recent study has shown that pretreatment with Snodgrassella alvi makes normal honey bees more susceptible to L. passim infection under natural condition\(^28\). A relatively simple honey bee gut microbiota shapes the gut microenvironment not only lowering the oxygen but also the pH level\(^29\). Furthermore, the microbiota utilizes the indigestible compounds of pollen to produce short-chain fatty acids and organic acids which help to maintain the nutritional status of the honey bee\(^29\). However, it is not known whether L. passim affects the functions of the honey bee gut microbiota.

The genome of L. passim was sequenced and contains ~9000 protein-coding genes which are transcribed as polycistronic mRNAs, like in other trypanosomatid species\(^30\). This demonstrates that the amount of mRNA is primarily controlled by post-transcriptional mechanisms such as trans-splicing and polyadenylation\(^31\). It is thus possible to profile the gene expression of L. passim during its infection in honey bee by transcriptome analysis. In this study, we characterized host–parasite interactions using L. passim and A. mellifera as a model system. Cultured L. passim cells were orally introduced to newly emerged honey bees and the gene expression profiles of L. passim (parasite) and the honey bee (host) were simultaneously analyzed at the early, middle, and late stages of infection. We will discuss how honey bees respond to L. passim infection, how their physiological states are modified, and how L. passim changes its gene expression to establish and maintain the infection in the host environment.

**Results**

**Infection of honey bees by L. passim.** We infected newly emerged honey bees with 10\(^5\) L. passim by ingestion and maintained them with 50% (w/v) sucrose under laboratory condition. As shown in Fig. 1a, the number of parasites remained constant up to eight days and then there was dramatic increase at 15 and 22 days after the infection. However, there was large variation in the number of parasites among the infected individual honey bees. These results demonstrate that L. passim starts to actively proliferate in the honey bee hindgut between 8 and 15 days after the infection.

We also tested the survival rates of honey bees infected by L. passim and fed with 50% (w/v) sucrose at 33 °C under laboratory condition. The infected honey bees survived 26–42 days after infection and this time period was slightly shorter than that of control uninfected honey bees (Fig. 1b). These results indicate that the accumulation of parasites in the honey bee hindgut does not induce rapid death of the host under laboratory condition.

**Effects of L. passim infection on honey bee gut microbiota.** Because L. passim and the majority of gut microbiota co-exist in the honey bee hindgut, they are likely to interact. To test for the potential interactions, we infected two days old honey bees with L. passim and fed them with a mixture of sucrose and pollen under laboratory condition. There were no significant differences in the abundance of universal bacteria and Firmicutes (Lactobacillus Firm-4 and Firm-5) with the whole guts of both parasite-infected and uninfected control honey bees at 7 and 15 days after infection (Fig. 1c, d). These results suggest that L. passim does not dramatically affect the general landscape of honey bee gut microbiota.

**Changes in the gene expression profile of L. passim.** We infected newly emerged honey bees with L. passim, returned them to their original hive, and then collected the parasite-infected honey bees at 7, 12, 20, and 27 days after infection (post-infection (PI) 7, 12, 20, and 27). The RNA expression profiles of L. passim were analyzed together with those of cultured parasites by RNA-seq using six replicates for each sample. The mapping rates of clean reads to L. passim genome were 0.05–52.49% with the lowest and highest average rates of 4.52% and 46.7% at PI 7 and PI 27, respectively. The mapping rate of clean reads derived from the cultured L. passim samples was over 87% (Supplementary Data 1). These results were consistent with Fig. 1a showing that parasite population increases 8 days after the infection under laboratory condition. Pairwise comparisons between cultured parasites and honey bee-infecting parasites indicated that 863, 2603, 2531, 2365 genes were upregulated and 1457, 2483, 2322, 2242 genes were downregulated at PI 7, 12, 20, and 27, respectively (Supplementary Data 2). The downregulated genes at each stage of the infection were enriched with many common gene ontology (GO) terms (Supplementary Data 2), suggesting that these samples can be used to identify the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) even though there is heterogeneity in overall transcriptomes of PI 2 and PI 20 samples (Supplementary Fig. 1a). The upregulated genes also shared the same enriched GO terms at each stage of the infection (Supplementary Data 2). Among the upregulated genes, the ones upregulated from the middle to late stage of infection (PI 12–27) represent the highest fraction (1206, 35.8%) without enrichment of the specific GO terms (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Data 5). The second highest fraction corresponded to the genes continuously
upregulated throughout the parasite infection (575, 17.1%) with enrichment of GO terms, for example, “rRNA processing” and “proteolysis” (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Data 3). They included many genes encoding RNA processing/export-associated proteins and peptidases as well as *L. passim* homolog of glycoprotein (GP) 63 (leishmanolysin) (Supplementary Data 3). In the downregulated genes, the ones continuously downregulated throughout the parasite infection represent the highest fraction (948, 30.1%) and were enriched with many GO terms including “structural constituent of ribosome”, “axoneme”, “oxidoreductase complex”, “glycolytic process”, and “entry into host”. In fact, many genes encoding ribosomal proteins, paraglagger rod proteins, tryparedoxin, tryparedoxin peroxidase, glycolytic enzymes were present (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Data 4). Multiple *Amastin* genes should represent the GO term “entry into host”. They are cell-surface proteins abundantly expressed in the intracellular amastigote stages of *Trypanosoma* spp. and *Leishmania* spp. The second highest fraction (759, 24.1%) corresponded to the genes downregulated from the middle to late stage of infection (PI 12–27) and many of them encoded proteins in the kinetoplast respiratory chain with enriched GO terms related to “ATP synthesis coupled proton transport” (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Data 6). These results demonstrated that *L. passim* dynamically changes the transcriptome to establish and maintain hindgut infection. Identification of DEGs followed by the GO term enrichment analysis between the sequential stages of *L. passim* infection demonstrated that proteolytic activity and GP63 may further increase from PI 7 to PI 12 (Supplementary Data 7).

Mean values ± SD (error bars) are shown. **P < 0.01. **Fig. 1** The effects of *L. passim* infection on honey bee survival and gut microbiota. a The abundance of *L. passim* in individual honey bees (n = 10) at 1, 3, 8, 15, and 22 days after the infection (PI 1–22). In order to compare the relative abundance of *L. passim*, one honey bee sample on day 1 was set as 1 and thus nine samples were statistically analyzed for day 1. Steel-Dwass method was used for the statistical analysis between the different time points. Mean values ± SD (error bars) are shown. b Survival of honey bees infected by *L. passim* at 33 °C under laboratory condition. Newly emerged honey bees (n = 96–110) were either infected by 10⁵ *L. passim* (Infected, red) or fed with sucrose solution (Control, blue) at day 0, and then the survival rates were recorded every day. The experiment was repeated three times and the average values are shown. The data were statistically analyzed by Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (P = 0.0002). Relative abundance of Firmicutes (c) and universal bacteria (d) in *L. passim*-infected (Infected) and the uninfected (Control) honey bees at PI 7 and PI 15 (n = 8 for each time point). Mean values ± SD (error bars) are shown. Unpaired t test (two-tailed) was used for the statistical analysis.

Changes in the gene expression profile of honey bee hindgut. We next compared the gene expression profiles between *L. passim*-infected and uninfected control honey bee hindguts at the same time points (PI 7–27) by RNA-seq using six replicates for each sample. The mapping rates of clean reads derived from 24 control honey bee to honey bee genome were over 93% except two samples (48.55% for C-20-B and 70.33% for C-27-E) and those derived from 24 *L. passim*-infected honey bee were 37–96% (Supplementary Data 1). The mapping rates were highest at PI 7, the time point with the lowest level of *L. passim* infection. Clustering analysis revealed that the overall transcriptomes of the control honey bee hindguts were similar to those of the *L. passim*-infected ones irrespective of...
the stage of parasite infection (Supplementary Fig. 1b). These results suggest that gene expression of the honey bee hindgut does not change dramatically upon *L. passim* infection. Pair-wise comparisons between the age-matched control and infected honey bees indicated that 21, 112, 70, and 137 genes were upregulated and 15, 146, 134, and 26 genes were downregulated at PI 7, 12, 20, and 27, respectively. Among them, only 146 genes downregulated at PI 12 showed the enrichment of GO terms related to “nucleosome” (Supplementary Data 8). Very few genes were shared between different infection time points (Fig. 3a, b); however, ten genes upregulated at both PI 20 and PI 27 contained nutrition and starvation-related *take-out-like carrier protein (TO)* and facilitated *trehalose transporter Tret1-like (Tret1)* genes (Table 1). These results suggest that *L. passim*-infected honey bees are likely to be starved and that the gut epithelial cells are in poor nutritional status at the late stage of infection.

**Vitelloigenin (Vg) mRNA in *L. passim*-infected honey bees.** Vg mRNA level was shown to be correlated with the nutritional status of worker honey bee. Enhanced expression of *TO* and *Tret1* mRNAs in the hindguts of *L. passim*-infected honey bees led us to test Vg mRNA levels in their fat bodies. As shown in Fig. 4, Vg mRNA levels in the fat bodies of honey bees at 20 days after *L. passim* infection were significantly lower than those of uninfected controls. These results suggest that *L. passim*-infected honey bees are in poor nutritional status.

**Discussion**

We found that ingested *L. passim* reaches the honey bee hindgut and colonizes it as previously reported. The number of parasites dramatically increases around 7–12 days and then reaches plateau at 20 days after infection with 10^5 cells under both laboratory and hive conditions. Accumulation of the parasites in the hindgut slightly decreases the honey bee survival but never results in rapid death. This appears to be the case under hive condition as well, since we recovered *L. passim*-infected honey bees at 37 days after infection. Many parasites share these infection characteristics in order to increase dissemination to other individuals through feces.

We did not find evidence for interactions between the honey bee gut microbiota (Firmicutes and universal bacteria) and *L. passim*. The number of gut bacteria was not affected by *L. passim* infection and similar observation had been previously made. Interestingly, higher level of *L. passim* infection increased the number of *Gilliamella apicola* and universal bacteria in honey bee hindgut under hive but not under laboratory condition. This may suggest that a small number of *L. passim* modifies the honey bee hindgut environment and stimulates colonization of gut microbiota but high degree of modification by a large number of parasites does not support further colonization. Lack of interaction between *L. passim* and honey bee gut microbiota is also consistent with the recent studies that show bumble bee microbiota is unaffected by *C. bombi* infection. Nevertheless, whether *L. passim* affects the number of other bacterial species that were not examined in this study and/or the functions of the gut microbiota - rather than just the number - remains to be tested.

We found that *L. passim* dramatically changes its gene expression profile throughout the different stages of infection in honey bee hindgut. Many ribosomal protein-coding genes are downregulated throughout the infection period. The nutritional status of the honey bee hindgut must be poor compared to that of culture medium because most of the nutrients derived from pollen and honey (food) are absorbed in the midgut and only residual compounds of food and metabolites from the gut microbiota are available. Thus, limited supply of nutrients may down-regulate the ribosomal protein-coding genes to suppress protein translation. Similarly, lack of sufficient glucose in the hindgut may also result in decreasing mRNAs for glycolytic enzymes.

Interestingly, many genes associated with RNA processing/export were continuously upregulated in the parasites during the infection of hindgut particularly at the early stage (PI 7). Stimulating, for example, *trans*-splicing and polyadenylation of polysynthetic mRNAs would be necessary to maintain the proliferation of parasites in the honey bee hindgut even though ribosome biogenesis and energy (ATP) synthesis are reduced. Increase of the transcripts for various proteases is also noteworthy. Some of these proteases could be secreted and may play roles in modifying the tissue to facilitate growth in the honey bee hindgut.

Anaerobic environment of the honey bee hindgut appears to have dramatic effect on *L. passim* gene expression and energy metabolism as well. *L. passim* was initially cultured under normoxia and migrated into the anaerobic honey bee hindgut, causing it to down-regulate the genes involved in the kinetoplast respiratory chain during middle to late stages of the infection (PI 12–27). Similar shifts in energy metabolism are also observed in...
The parasites actively swim in the cultured medium using the flagellum and ATP; however, the loss of flagellum (see below) makes them immobile in the honey bee hindgut. Thus, the reduced demand for ATP may also be involved in down-regulating glycolysis and kinetoplast respiratory chain. Furthermore, the continuous down-regulation of tryparedoxin 1, tryparedoxin-like, and tryparedoxin peroxidase genes throughout the infection cycle is also consistent with the reduced production of radical oxygen species in anaerobic environment. Thus, *L. passim* appears to shift its growth and energy metabolism depending on the poor nutritional and anaerobic conditions of the honey bee hindgut.

In terms of morphology, *L. passim* grown in culture medium contains a flagellum. However, the flagellum is absent when the parasites accumulate in the honey bee hindgut. This is also supported by the decrease of mRNAs for proteins involved in flagellar formation such as paraflagellar rod proteins. *L. passim* appears to change its cell surface proteins during the infection cycle. Similar to *C. bombi* and *Crithidia expoeki*, multiple Amastin genes appear to exist in *L. passim* genome and some are upregulated at PI 12–27 (Supplementary Data 1); however, many are downregulated and GP63 is instead upregulated throughout the infection cycle. *Leishmania* GP63 was proposed to be essential for the promastigotes to attach to the insect gut wall. *Leptomonas* GP63 was suggested to have the same function, which may also apply to *L. passim* GP63. Thus, switching Amastin to GP63 on the cell surface could be critical to establish and maintain the infection of *L. passim* in the honey bee hindgut.

The honey bee hindgut response to *L. passim* infection is characterized by changes in gene expression. However, the number of DEGs is relatively small and very few of them are shared at different infection time points. This could be due to the large heterogeneity in individual worker’s gene expression as well as physiological state associated with performing different task even at the same age in the colony. Previous studies showed *C. bombi* infection induces immune responses in bumble bees, for example, increase of transcripts for antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). We found that only one AMP, Apidaecin type 14, was upregulated by *L. passim* infection in the honey bee hindgut at PI 12 (Supplementary Data 8). Based on the metabolic pathways identified by genome sequencing, *L. passim* should be able to synthesize β-1,3-glucan on its cell surface.

---

**Table 1 List of *A. mellifera* genes upregulated at both PI 20 and PI 27.**

| Gene ID       | Annotation                                               |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| NP_001011640.1| Take-out-like carrier protein precursor                  |
| XP_623950.2   | Facilitated trehalose transporter Tret1                   |
| XP_623221.2   | Elongation of very-long-chain fatty acids protein AAEI008004|
| XP_394861.4   | O-acetyltransferase like protein isoform X1               |
| XP_001120006.2| Protein lethal (2) essential for life                     |
| XP_395671.3   | Probable cytochrome P450 6a17                            |
| XP_397283.1   | ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member S               |
| XP_001120450.1| E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase DCST1 isoform X1              |
| XP_026299733.1| Uncharacterized protein LOC409465                         |
| XP_001119879.1| Uncharacterized protein LOC724580                         |

**Fig. 3 Global transcriptomic profiles of honey bee hindguts at the different time points of *L. passim* infection.** Venn diagram to indicate honey bee genes upregulated (a) or downregulated (b) in response to *L. passim* infection at four different time points (PI 7–27).

**Fig. 4 Relative amounts of Vg mRNA in the fat bodies of *L. passim*-infected and uninfected honey bees.** Relative amounts of Vg mRNA in the fat bodies of *L. passim*-infected (Infected, *n* = 13) and uninfected honey bees (Control, *n* = 14) were measured at 20 days after the infection under hive condition. The samples were obtained by two independent experiments. Mean values ± SD (error bars) are shown. Unpaired t test (two-tailed) was used for the statistical analysis (*P* < 0.05).
surface, and beta-1,3-glucan binding protein 1, which was upregulated in the honey bee hindgut at PI 27 (Supplementary Data 8), could bind to L. passim through glucan moiety53. This would result in the activation of prophenoloxidase (pro-PO) (for melanin deposit) or Toll signaling pathway54–55 to defend against the parasite infection. Nevertheless, honey bee does not appear to elicit strong immune responses against L. passim infection as previously reported56. TO was shown to be involved in controlling the feeding behavior and nutritional status of fruit fly by binding juvenile hormone and the mRNA expression is highly stimulated in the head and gut tissues by starvation56,58. Thus, up-regulation of TO in the hindgut at PI 20–27 suggests that L. passim-infected honey bees were under starvation. Trehalose level in the hemolymph is reduced in the fruit fly under starvation59 and this is consistent with the simultaneous increase of Tret1 mRNA in the hindgut of L. passim-infected honey bees. The decrease in hemolymph trehalose level is caused by its reduced release from fat body and increased uptake by various tissues. Accordingly, we also found Vg mRNA is reduced in the fat body of L. passim-infected honey bees compared to the uninfected controls. Honey bee gut microbiota was shown to produce various metabolites such as organic acids, some of which would be absorbed by the host and affect weight gain as well as appetite behavior59. L. passim may suppress this process and lead the honey bee into poor nutritional status. Furthermore, increase of transcripts for elongation of very long-chain fatty acids protein AAEI0008004 and ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 5 suggests that phospholipid biosynthesis and sterol transport in the hindgut epithelial cells may be stimulated. Up-regulation of protein lethal (2) essential for life (a member of HSP20 family) gene may also help to refold denatured proteins in the cells stressed by L. passim infection (Table 1).

Our results obtained by dual RNA-seq show how L. passim modifies its gene expression to adapt to the honey bee hindgut environment and, at the same time, how the honey bee modifies its gene expression against the L. passim infection. Further study on the roles of these genes is necessary. Honey bee-L. passim should represent a good model system to understand host–parasite interactions at three different layers, cell, organism, and population (colony).

Methods

Culture of L. passim and the oral infection of honey bee. L. passim was grown in modified FFPB medium62 supplemented with 10 kU/mL penicillin (Beyotime), 10 mg/mL streptomycin (Beyotime), and 50 mg/mL gentamycin (Noble Ryder) at 25 °C. The cells were collected during logarithmic growth phase and washed once with PBS followed by resuspension in sterile 10% sucrose/PBS at 20,000 viable cells/μL. Newly emerged honey bee workers were collected and then starved for 2–3 h. Honey bees were divided into two groups: One group was fed with 5 μL of 50% sucrose solution (Control). The other group was fed with 5 μL of 50% sucrose solution containing L. passim (100,000 cells in total). After the oral infection, the honey bees were marked with oil paint on the thorax and returned to the hive (natural condition). To test under laboratory condition, the control and infected honey bees were maintained in metal cages at 33 °C.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) to measure L. passim. We sampled 10 honey bees at 1, 3, 8, 15, and 22 days after the infection under the laboratory condition and extracted the genomic DNAs from the whole abdomens of individual bees using DNAzol® reagent (Thermo Fisher). To quantify L. passim, the part of internal transcribed spacer region 2 (ITS2) in the mitochondrial RNA (rRNA) gene was amplified for qPCR (Forward primer: GGTTGTGGTGTGGCAGCG; Reverse primer: CAAAATAAGTGGTTGTGGCAGCG; Reverse primer: CAAAATAAGTGGTTGTGGCAGCG; Reverse primer: CAAAATAAGTGGTTGTGGCAGCG). The relative abundances of L. passim in the individual honey bees were calculated by ΔCt method. Steel-Dwass method was used for the statistical analysis.

Survival rates of L. passim-infected honey bees. To test the effect of L. passim infection on the survival of honey bee, we maintained 100 L. passim-infected and 100 control honey bees in the separate metal cages and fed them with 50% sucrose solution at 33 °C. The dead bees were removed from the cages and counted every day. The experiment was repeated three times. The results were statistically analyzed by Chi-square test (Mantel–Cox). In order to confirm L. passim infection, we sampled the dead bees (one from the control group and six from the infected group) at 15 days after the infection. Genomic DNA was extracted from the individual bees and the parasite was detected by PCR targeting to the part of ITS1 in rDNA gene (Forward primer: TGGGTGGTGGTGTGGCAGCG; Reverse primer: CAAAATAAGTGGTTGTGGCAGCG). H3 gene was used as the reference (Forward primer: TGGGTGGTGGTGTGGCAGCG; Reverse primer: CAAAATAAGTGGTTGTGGCAGCG) and the statistical analysis was carried out by unpaired t-test (two-tailed).

Preparation of RNA-seq samples. We sampled L. passim-infected and the control honey bees from the hive at 7, 12, 20, and 27 days after the infection. Total RNA samples were extracted from the hindguts of 12 individual honey bees for each time point. The level of L. passim infection was determined by quantifying the 18S rRNA by qRT-PCR (Forward primer: GAAAGGAAACACTCTCGGT; Reverse primer: GTTCGGTCTCACTGTTG) and honey bee 18S rRNA was used as the reference (Forward primer: ACCACATCGAACCTCGTGC; Reverse primer: ATGCACTTGATGTTG). Six samples with similar levels of L. passim infection were analyzed by RNA-seq at each time point. We also prepared six samples of total RNA from cultured L. passim at the logarithmic growth phase. All samples were sequenced by BGISEQ-500 platform at the Beijing Genomics Institute. At least 6 GB of clean data were obtained from each sample and thus analysis of very rare transcripts may not be reliable. There are 6 samples of cultured L. passim, 24 samples of the parasite-infected honey bees, and 24 samples of the control uninfected honey bees.

Annotation of L. passim protein-coding genes. Since genome sequence of L. passim (strain SF, GenBank number: AHIJ0000000.1) in NCBI does not contain information for the gene annotation, we annotated L. passim genes first. The clean reads of RNA-seq mapped to L. passim genome by TopHat V2.1.066 were assembled using Cufflinks v2.2.171. We then collected the assembled transcripts from all RNA-seq samples using Cuffmerge67. Gene annotation for the collected L. passim transcript and genome sequences was conducted by parasite genome annotation pipeline companion with Leishmania major Friedlin as the reference organism72. The gene-annotated GFF3 file and the protein-coding sequences were used for the bioinformatic analyses.

Mapping of RNA-seq reads to honey bee and L. passim genomes. Honey bee reference genome, annotation GFF, and protein fasta files were downloaded from NCBI. We used a gffread program (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/stringtie/gff.shtml) to convert the honey bee GFF to GTF file and then extracted the splice junctions and exon positions from the converted GTF file using HISAT2 (Version: 2.1.0)73. We then collected the assembled transcripts from all RNA-seq samples using Cuffmerge71. Gene annotation for the collected L. passim transcript and genome sequences was conducted by parasite genome annotation pipeline companion with Leishmania major Friedlin as the reference organism72. The gene-annotated GFF3 file and the protein-coding sequences were used for the bioinformatic analyses.

Identification of DEGs for both L. passim and honey bee. We analyzed raw read counts from both L. passim and honey bee in RStudio (Version 1.1.383) using the generalized linear model-based method of edgeR package (Version 3.24.2) from Bioconductor74. Normalization was performed by Trimmed Mean of M-values implemented in the edgeR package75. We set up a filter to remove any reads with less than one count per million mapped reads. After testing, the Benjamini–Hochberg method was applied to control the false discovery rate (FDR) across the detected loci. For L. passim, we corrected the raw P value for each gene and identified the DEGs between cultured parasites and honey bee-infecting parasites at PI 7, 12, 20, and 27 days after the threshold of FDR < 0.01. For honey bee, the DEGs between L. passim-infected and the control honey bee hindguts at the same time points (PI 7–27) were identified by the threshold of FDR < 0.05. After identifying the DEGs,
we used Venny (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html) to build the Venn diagrams to summarize the relationships between the DEGs.

**GO term enrichment analysis (Fisher’s exact test).** We first constructed the local blast databases using OmcisBox 1.2.4 software with the taxonomy IDs (https://www.biobam.com/omcisbox/). In total, 464,143 and 6,225,195 protein sequences of Kinetoplastida (Taxonomy ID: 5653) and Hexapoda (Taxonomy ID: 6960) were downloaded respectively from NCBI with the GI numbers. We then built a local BLAST database using two FASTA files with above protein sequences in the OmcisBox. The lists of DEGs for L. passim and honey bee identified above were individually analyzed. We run BLASTP (parameters: E-value hit 7).

**Quantification of Vg mRNA by qRT-PCR.** We sampled L. passim-infected and the control honey bees from the hive at 20 days after the infection. Fat bodies were dissected from the individual bees, and then total RNA was extracted as described above. We measured the relative amount of Vg mRNA to 18S rRNA by qRT-PCR (Forward primer: TGGTGGAGGACACATGGCACA; Reverse primer: TGCATTGAGGATGTCAGTG) using ΔCt method20. The results were statistically analyzed by unpaired t test (two-tailed).

**Data availability**

The RNA-seq data are available at the SRA database with accession number PRINAS57465. All other data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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