Papular dermatitis due to *Leishmania infantum* infection in seventeen dogs: diagnostic features, extent of the infection and treatment outcome
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**Background:** This study describes immunological responses, diagnostic features, follow up and treatment outcomes from seventeen dogs with papular dermatitis due to *Leishmania* infection diagnosed by cytology or real-time-PCR.

**Methods:** Specific *Leishmania* humoral and cellular immune responses were evaluated by means of an immunofluorescence antibody test in all cases and a delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction to leishmanin in eight cases. The extent of infection was studied in several tissues including blood, lymph node, conjunctival and oral swabs, by means of PCR, at the time of diagnosis and during follow-up. Culture was performed on nine dogs from cutaneous lesions and lymph node aspirates and molecular typing was carried out on isolates based on ITS-1, ITS-2 and *Haspb* gene sequencing analysis.

**Results:** Cytological and molecular results from fine needle aspirates of papules were diagnostic in 8 out of 13 (61.5%) cases and in 14 out of 15 dogs (93.3%), respectively. In all dogs, specific anti-*Leishmania* antibody levels were low or absent. Blood and lymph node PCRs and lymph node culture were negative in all dogs. Three out of the nine dogs (33%) were positive by culture from cutaneous lesions. The three isolates were identified as ITS type A, however, polymorphism was observed in the *Haspb* gene (PCR products of 626 bp, 962 bp and 371 bp). DTH response was positive in all tested dogs at the time of diagnosis. The majority of dogs were successfully treated with only N-methylglucamine antimoniate, after which cutaneous lesions disappeared or were reduced to depigmented, flattened scars. All dogs remained seronegative and the majority of dogs were negative by PCR in several tissues during follow-up.

**Conclusions:** This study points out that papular dermatitis due to *L. infantum* is probably an underestimated benign cutaneous problem, associated with a parasite specific cell mediated immunity and a poor humoral immune response. Papular dermatitis is seen in young dogs, and appears to be a mild disease with restricted parasite dissemination and a good prognosis. PCR can be used as a non-invasive method to routinely evaluate papules if *Leishmania* infection is suspected in cases in which parasites are not visualized by cytology.
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Background

*Leishmania* parasites are the causal agents of leishmaniosis, a group of vector-borne protozoan diseases transmitted by phlebotomine sandflies to mammals, including humans. In humans, the disease manifests in different forms, which are usually divided into localized or disseminated cutaneous leishmaniosis (CL), mucocutaneous leishmaniosis and disseminated visceral leishmaniosis (VL) [1].

Canine leishmaniosis (CanL) due to *Leishmania infantum* is a major zoonotic disease endemic in more than 70 countries in the world. It is present in regions of southern Europe, Africa, Asia, South and Central America [1,2] and the USA [3,4]. Dogs are the main reservoir for leishmaniosis caused by *L. infantum*.

The clinical manifestation of *L. infantum* infection in dogs varies widely as a consequence of the numerous pathogenic mechanisms of the disease process, which organs are affected and the diversity of immune responses mounted by individual hosts [5]. The opposite extremes of the broad spectrum of immune responses are characterized by protective immunity that is T cell mediated, or disease susceptibility associated with a marked humoral non-protective immune response and reduced cell-mediated immunity [5]. In addition, it is well known that a high proportion of dogs living in endemic areas are subclinically infected with *Leishmania* parasites, while a small proportion of dogs will develop severe disease [5,6]. Therefore, *L. infantum* infection in dogs can manifest as a subclinical infection, as a self-limiting or as severe disease [7].

The main clinical findings based on physical examination in classical CanL includes skin lesions, generalized lymphadenomegaly, progressive weight loss, muscular atrophy, exercise intolerance, decreased appetite, lethargy, splenomegaly, polyuria and polydypsia, ocular lesions, epistaxis, onychogryphosis, lameness, vomiting and diarrhea [8]. Skin lesions are a very common manifestation of the disease [9]. Various cutaneous forms such as alopecia, exfoliative, ulcerative, nodular or sterile cutaneous dermatitis, nasal or footpad hyperkeratosis, onychogryphosis, paronychia, mucosal or mucocutaneous ulcerations, nodules or masses have been described [9-12]. A distinctive form of papular dermatitis due to *L. infantum* infection was described in dogs living in endemic areas [13-16]. This cutaneous manifestation of *L. infantum* infection is suggestive of a benign form because of the lack of systemic signs and laboratory abnormalities, a good response to therapy with no clinical relapse and on some occasions self-healing of the lesions [13-16]. In addition, papular dermatitis is associated with a *Leishmania*-specific immunocompetence characterized by a predominant parasite-specific cellular immunity and low humoral immune response [13-15]. However, information about diagnostic parameters, extent of infection, treatment outcome and prognosis of this distinctive form of papular dermatitis due to *L. infantum* is limited. Therefore, the aim of this study was to further characterize papular dermatitis due to *L. infantum* infection in dogs at time of diagnosis and during follow-up after treatment by: (1) describing clinicopathological findings in a series of clinical cases of papular dermatitis due to *L. infantum* in dogs from Sicily; (2) evaluating the cellular and humoral immunological status in these dogs and the dissemination of infection by sampling several tissue samples such as blood, lymph node, conjunctival and oral swabs and testing using molecular techniques. Culture and molecular typing of isolates were also performed in some cases.

Methods

Clinical examination and sampling

Seventeen dogs (16 from Catania and one from Palermo, Italy) were enrolled in this study from September 2008 to September 2012. They were examined for the presence of chronic non-pruritic cutaneous lesions in 7 out of 17 cases (cases 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 16 and 17) or for routine control in the other cases (cases 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15). A physical examination was performed on each dog. Fine needle aspirates were taken aseptically from one to three cutaneous lesions per case (23 total cutaneous lesions sampled from all cases studied) and from lymph nodes from all dogs. Cutaneous lesions were analyzed by means of cytological examination and real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in 13 and 15 dogs, respectively. Distant or regional lymph nodes were analyzed by means of cytology in 9 dogs and RT-PCR in all dogs. Cytological direct smears were stained with MayGrünwald-Giems and evaluated by microscopy. Samples were taken for diagnostic purposes and, therefore, ethical approval was not needed.

Blood EDTA tubes, syringes with fine needle used for aspiration of lymph nodes and cutaneous lesions, tubes with serum and swabs were stored at 4°C and sent to the laboratory (National Reference Centre for Leishmaniosis – Italy) up to 72 hours after sampling for *Leishmania* RT-PCR and in some cases for parasite culture. Real-time polymerase chain reaction for detecting *Leishmania* DNA [17,18] was performed on the following specimens: one or more cutaneous lesions in 15 dogs (20 total lesions tested), peripheral blood and lymph-node aspirates in all dogs, conjunctival swabs in nine cases and oral swabs in eight cases. Sera were tested for IgG antibodies to *L. infantum* antigen by the immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT) [19].

IFAT

The IFAT was performed according to Duxbury (1964) [20] and Badaro (1983) [21] with some modifications as...
previously described [17]. The cut off value was established at 1:80 [19]. Seropositive dogs were ranked in three categories based on range of the IFAT antibody titer as follows: low (1:80–1:320), intermediate (1:640–1:1280) and high positive antibody titers (> 1:1280).

**DNA extraction and Leishmania real time PCR on clinical samples**

DNA extraction of cutaneous lesions, blood, lymph node, conjunctival and oral swabs and Leishmania real time PCR (RT-PCR) were performed as previously described [17].

**Leishmanin skin test**

Leishmanin skin test (LST) for the evaluation of a delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction was carried out in five cases upon clinical presentation and in three cases during the follow up evaluation (8 to 10 months post treatment). Briefly, 100 µl solution of inactivated suspension of 3×10⁷ L. infantum promastigotes/mL in 0.4% phenol-saline (kindly provided by Carmen Cañavate Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain) were intradermally injected in the skin of the groin. Skin reactions were recorded after 48 and 72 h and an induration or erythematous area > 0.5 cm in diameter was considered positive [22,23].

**Culture and molecular typing of isolates**

**Culture**

*In-vitro* culture was performed on 9 dogs. Culture was carried out on 12 out of 23 cutaneous lesions sampled and on 9 out of 17 lymph-node fine needle aspirates. Parasites were grown in Tobie agar medium modified by Evans with 15% rabbit blood, 5% fetal bovine serum, 250 µg of gentamicin/mL, and 500 µg of 5-fluorocytosine/mL. The cultures were incubated at 25°C for 7 days. In samples that were negative after 7 days of incubation, 1 mL of the culture sample was subcultured in the medium for a further 4 days.

**Molecular typing of isolates**

DNA was obtained from Leishmania cultures using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (QIAGEN).

For molecular typing analysis, the polymorphism of two different target genes was studied: ITS1 and ITS2 (ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacers) and haspb (k26) gene (hydrophilic acylated surface protein B).

ITS1 and ITS2 were amplified as described by Kuhls et al. (2005) [24]. Direct sequencing of the ITS1 and ITS2 PCR products and the analysis of the data sequences were performed following the protocol described by Chicharro et al. (2013) [25].

Haspb (k26) gene was amplified according to the protocol previously described by Haralambous et al. (2008) [26]. PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel stained with PronaSafe (CONDA) and visualized under ultraviolet light. Product size was estimated with comparison to a 100-bp DNA ladder, and adjusted according to the gene size variability attributed to the number of 42 nucleotide repeated motifs [27,28].

**Treatment and follow up**

The treatment protocol that was instituted for patients with papular dermatitis was a non-standard protocol of one dose of N-methylglucamine antimoniate (100 mg/kg SC q24 h) for 25–30 days due to mild disease [7]. Fifteen dogs were treated with N-methylglucamine antimoniate (100 mg/kg SC q24 h) for 25–30 days. Unfortunately, two owners did not agree to perform the treatment protocol mentioned above. In case 11, the treatment offered for the dog was refused by the owner and the dog was rechecked after four months. In case 12, the owner refused antimonial treatment for the dog and the dog was treated with allopurinol (20 mg/kg q24h) for six months.

All dogs were re-visited at the end of therapy (approximately one month after first visit). Unfortunately, monitoring of the dogs after treatment was not so well standardized. Dogs were presented for control visits at different times when owners decided to do a check up at a private veterinary clinic.

Thus, we obtained a long term follow-up (range 6–24 months after clinical presentation) in seven cases based on physical examination and in five of them *Leishmania* infection was assessed by means of IFAT and *Leishmania* real-time PCR on blood, lymph node, conjunctival and oral swabs and in three cases also by means of DTH.

**Results**

**Time of diagnosis**

Signalment of seventeen dogs studied is listed in Table 1. Half of them were mongrels and their ages ranged from 3.5 to 36 months (mean ± SD 10.3 ± 7.8.months). Eleven out of 17 dogs lived outdoors, 2 indoors and 4 both indoors and outdoors.

On physical examination, solitary or multiple coalescent erythematous, firm and umbilicate non-pruritic papules (diameter 0.2 to 0.5 mm) were observed at various locations on sparsely haired skin areas (Table 1; Figures 1, 2, and 3). In fifteen dogs (88%), papules appeared from September to January and in the two other cases in May and June. In seven cases, cutaneous lesions were chronic from three weeks to six months of duration and, in the other ten cases, lesions were observed as an incidental finding during routine examination. No other abnormalities were found on physical examination, except for two cases where a mild solitary lymphadenomegaly of regional
popliteal lymph nodes were noted (cases 8 and 11). PCR was negative in both cases. Cytology of the lymph node revealed reactive hyperplasia (case 8) and no abnormalities (case 11).

Cytological examination of the papules was diagnostic in 8 out of 13 (61.5%) cases. Variable numbers of intracellular and/or extracellular *Leishmania* amastigotes associated with neutrophilic-macrophagic and/or lymphoplasmocellular inflammation were observed (Figure 4). In the cutaneous lesions sampled, cytology revealed the presence of *Leishmania* amastigotes in 14 out of 19 lesions (68.4%) (Table 2). RT-PCR performed on cutaneous lesions was positive in 14 out of 15 dogs (93.3%) with positive results in 19 out of 20 lesions (95%) sampled and a parasite load ranging from 20 to 60000 parasite/sample. The diagnosis of *Leishmania* infection was confirmed based on cytology or RT-PCR in all cases. Parasite isolation from cutaneous lesions was obtained only in 3 out of 9 dogs (33.3%) and 5 out of 13 cutaneous lesions sampled (38.4%).

Results of IFAT, RT-PCR and culture on other tissues are displayed in Table 3. The majority of dogs were seronegative (12 out of 17, 70.6%) and the remaining were low positive with antibody titers ranging from 1:80 to 1:320. Lymph-node cytology revealed reactive hyperplasia in two dogs (case 1 and 8), no abnormalities in five cases (case 3, 4, 6, 10 and 11) and it was non-diagnostic in the remaining two cases (case 2 and 9). Lymph-node culture was negative in all nine dogs.

LST was positive in all 5 tested cases at 48 h reading with a mean ± SD diameter of 1.50 ± 0.46 cm (range 1 – 2.25 cm) and was positive in 4/5 of the cases at 72 h

Table 1 Signalment of dogs, number, distribution of papules and time of duration of lesions

| Case number | Breed                  | Age (months) | Sex | Number | Distribution       | Time of duration of lesions |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------|-----|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1           | Mongrel                | 6            | M   | Multiple | Nose               | Unknown                     |
| 2           | Boxer                  | 6            | M   | Multiple | Abdomen            | Unknown                     |
| 3           | Mongrel                | 12           | F   | 2       | Nose               | 6 months                    |
| 4           | Labrador retriever     | 11           | F   | 4       | Bridge of the nose | 1 month                     |
| 5           | Mongrel                | 8            | M   | 4       | Inner surface of the pinna | Unknown                     |
| 6           | Mongrel                | 8            | M   | 3       | Inner surface of the pinna | Unknown                     |
| 7           | Mongrel                | 3.5          | M   | Multiple | Eyelid – Abdomen   | Unknown                     |
| 8           | Mongrel                | 4            | F   | Multiple | Abdomen            | 3 weeks                     |
| 9           | Mongrel                | 4            | M   | Multiple | Abdomen            | 3 weeks                     |
| 10          | German Shepherd        | 6            | F   | Multiple | Inner surface of pinna - nose | 1 month                     |
| 11          | Cirneco dell’Etna      | 17           | M   | 4       | Medial aspect of the tibia | Unknown                     |
| 12          | Cirneco dell’Etna      | 36           | M   | 1       | Inner surface of the pinna | Unknown                     |
| 13          | Cane Corso             | 18           | M   | 1       | Abdomen            | Unknown                     |
| 14          | Mongrel                | 7            | F   | 1       | Abdomen            | Unknown                     |
| 15          | Mongrel                | 7            | M   | 1       | Abdomen            | Unknown                     |
| 16          | Newfoundland           | 12           | M   | 2-3     | Inner surface of the pinna | 1 month                     |
| 17          | Rottweiler             | 10           | M   | Multiple | Eyelid             | 1 month                     |

Figure 1 Multiple papular lesions on the nose (case 4).
reading with a mean ± SD diameter of 1.54 ± 0.40 (range 1.15 – 2 cm).

Molecular characterization was performed in five *L. infantum* strains isolated from three dogs with skin lesions: case 2 (two samples), case 9 (one sample) and case 10 (two samples) (Figure 5). ITS-1 and ITS-2 were sequenced and all *L. infantum* strains were identified as ITS type A. On the other hand, the polymorphism of the *haspb* (*k26*) sequence was determined by analysis of the size of the PCR products and adjusted according to the *haspb* (*k26*) gene present repeated motifs of 42 nucleotides. Strains isolated from cases 2, 9 and 10 revealed *k26* PCR products of 626 bp, 962 bp and 371 bp, respectively. No differences were observed between strains isolated from the same dog.

Follow up

The dog that was not treated (case 11) was evaluated four months later. On physical examination, the cutaneous lesion was still present but slightly flattened. In addition, antibody levels decreased from a low positive antibody titer of 1:160 at time of diagnosis to a negative antibody titer of 1:40 at recheck (4 months later). Blood, lymph node and conjunctival swab RT-PCRs were negative 4 months later as well. The dog (case 12), treated only with allopurinol for six months (January - August 2010), remained seronegative and blood, lymph-node and conjunctival swabs were also negative at three and eight months post diagnosis. The dog seroconverted at 10 months (November 2010) (IFAT 1:160) and clinical examination and full CBC and biochemistry profile showed no clinicopathological abnormalities. A treatment with allopurinol was repeated for eight more months (until August 2011). On July 2011, serology was negative. After the second course of therapy, this dog was regularly monitored every six months by IFAT and remained seronegative and clinically healthy in the following year. The last IFAT, performed on March 2012, was still negative.

All dogs treated with meglumine antimoniate were clinically evaluated after 25 days of therapy and the cutaneous lesions had disappeared or changed to a depigmented, flattened scar.

Six out of 17 dogs were only revisited after 25 days of therapy with meglumine antimoniate and then, these dogs were lost to follow up (cases 2, 5, 6, 8, 9 and 13). Five dogs were also assessed for *Leishmania* infection between two and five months post therapy (cases 10, 12, 14 and 16). They remained seronegative (cases 10, 12, 16) or showed a decrease in antibody titers (cases 14 and 15: a diagnostic antibody titer of 1:320 for both cases and post therapy antibody titers of 1:40 and 1:80, respectively). Moreover, blood, lymph node and conjunctival RT-PCRs
were also negative. One dog was only clinically evaluated five months post therapy and the dog was in good health with a normal physical examination (case 17).

Long term follow up (6–24 months after therapy) was obtained in seven dogs (cases 1, 3, 4, 7, 12, 14 and 15). Two of them (cases 14 and 15) were assessed only by physical examination and there were no clinical abnormalities. Five dogs were also rechecked for *Leishmania* infection. Three dogs (cases 1, 3, 7) remained negative for both IFAT and RT-PCR performed on blood, lymph-node, conjunctival and oral swabs. One dog (case 4) showed a reactive lymph-node with positive RT-PCR results (n. 300 *Leishmania*/sample) and culture after 9 months but the dog was negative 4 months later by lymph node RT-PCR. A DTH test was performed in three dogs (cases 1, 3, 4) during the follow up period. A positive result was observed in all three cases with a mean ± SD diameter of 2.36 ± 0.71 cm (range 1.6-2.5 cm) at 48 h and a mean ± SD diameter of 2.25 ± 0.86 (range 1.75-3.25 cm) at 72 h.

**Discussion**

This study describes seventeen cases of papular dermatitis due to *Leishmania* infection in dogs living in a highly endemic area for canine leishmaniosis (Sicily) and is the largest published case series concerning this cutaneous clinical manifestation of *Leishmania* infection. Nodular lesions caused by *Leishmania* infection were previously described to include lesions of variable size from a few millimetres to 10 cm in diameter [10]. More recently, papular and nodular dermatitis were differentiated into two distinct dermatological forms of cutaneous lesions caused by *L. infantum* as described in the literature [13-15]. In fact, the lack of progression of these persistent papules to nodules characterizes clinically this benign form of dermatitis due to *Leishmania* infection. The young age, the distribution and evolution of papular lesions, and the clinical findings of these dogs are similar to those reported in the previous studies [13-16]. It is noteworthy that in 58% of this case series the papular lesions were not noticed by the owner and were incidentally found during the physical examination and, therefore, these cutaneous lesions might be underdiagnosed. Persistent, solitary or multiple coalescent erythematous and firm umbilicate non-pruritic papular lesions were located on sparsely haired skin areas and the majority of dogs (82%) were one year of age or less. The presence of papular lesions in young dogs might be the direct consequence of the first contact of an immunocompetent host with the parasite inoculated by sandflies in the skin. This occurred mainly from September to January potentially some months after the end of the classical sandfly season previously reported by Noli *et al.*, (2006) [14]. We hypothesize that the onset of cutaneous lesions at the end of or after the sandfly season might occur after a silent phase of parasite amplification, as described in mice experimentally infected by intradermal inoculation with a low dose of *Leishmania major* promastigotes [29]. However, a prolonged duration of *P.*
papular dermatitis associated with no other clinical signs (excluded two cases of mild regional lymph node enlargement), absence of or low levels of humoral immunity and a predominant parasite specific cellular immunity in all dogs studied as previously reported [15]. In addition, all dogs treated with only meglumine antimoniate that were followed-up in the long term promptly cured with antimonial therapy, did not develop any other clinical manifestations, did not seroconvert and they probably contained the parasite infection, suggesting that papular dermatitis is associated with competent specific immunity and has an excellent prognosis. The only dog treated with a six month course of therapy with allopurinol seroconverted with a low antibody level which required a second course of therapy but remained clinically healthy and reverted to a negative persistent antibody status after the second course of therapy. Thus, papular dermatitis is considered a mild clinical manifestation of this infection (stage I) when accompanied with negative or low antibody levels and no laboratory abnormalities [7]. Similar benign forms of cutaneous leishmaniosis have been described in human beings and horses [33-35]. In human beings, this is the most common form of disease caused by \textit{L. infantum} in Europe. Patients present one or more localized and ulcerated skin lesions, which may also self-cure and are mainly associated with a positive delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) response [1] as reported previously in dogs with papular dermatitis [13] and as described in the present study in one dog which self-cured. \textit{Leishmania infantum} has also been reported as a causative agent of cutaneous leishmaniosis in the horse in various European countries, including Spain, Portugal and Germany [33-35]. The skin lesions reported were solitary or multiple papules or nodules, most commonly present in the head, pinnae, scrotum, legs and neck. Even in horses self-healing from the cutaneous lesions after a

**Table 3 IFAT, \textit{Leishmania} RT-PCR and culture results in other tissues at the time of diagnosis and DTH test results at the time of diagnosis and/or during the follow-up**

| Case number | IFAT | Blood PCR \((\text{Leishmania/mL})\) | Lymph-node PCR \((\text{Leishmania/sample})\) | Lymph-node in-vitro culture | Conjunctival swab PCR \((\text{Leishmania/sample})\) | Oral swab PCR \((\text{Leishmania/sample})\) | DTH \(48\ h\) \((\text{cm})\) | DTH \(72\ h\) \((\text{cm})\) |
|-------------|------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1           | -    | -                               | -                               | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | 2,50*           | 1,75*           |
| 2           | -    | -                               | -                               | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | 2,25*           | 1,57*           |
| 3           | -    | -                               | -                               | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | 3,00*           | 3,25*           |
| 4           | -    | -                               | -                               | NP                       | -                        | NP                       | 1,60*           | 1,75*           |
| 5           | 1:80 | -                               | -                               | + (5)                    | -                        | 2,25*                    | 1,57*           |
| 6           | -    | -                               | -                               | + (10)                   | -                        | 1,25*                    | 2,00*           |
| 7           | -    | -                               | -                               | -                        | -                        | NP                       | NP              |
| 8           | -    | -                               | -                               | + (70)                   | + (3)                    | 1,50*                    | 1,25*           |
| 9           | -    | -                               | -                               | + (20)                   | -                        | 1,00*                    | 0,00*           |
| 10          | -    | -                               | -                               | -                        | -                        | 1,50*                    | 1,75*           |
| 11          | 1:160| -                               | -                               | -                        | -                        | NP                       | NP              |
| 12          | -    | -                               | -                               | NP                       | -                        | NP                       | NP              |
| 13          | 1:80 | -                               | -                               | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | NP              |
| 14          | 1:320| -                               | -                               | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | NP              |
| 15          | 1:320| -                               | -                               | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | NP              |
| 16          | -    | -                               | + (6)                           | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | NP              |
| 17          | -    | -                               | -                               | NP                       | NP                       | NP                       | NP              |

Total number of positive results/total number of dogs studied (%) = 5/17 (29.4%) / 0/17 (0%) / 1/17 (5.8%) / 0/9 (0%) / 4/9 (44.4%) / 1/8 (12.5%)

+ positive; -- negative; NP: not performed; l/sample: \text{Leishmania/sample}; *DTH results upon clinical presentation and in some cases # at follow up.
short period of time there is an association with a strong *Leishmania*-specific cellular immunity and a low humoral response [33,34].

As mentioned previously, papular dermatitis due to *Leishmania* in young dogs resembles the typical form of localized cutaneous leishmaniosis, mainly seen in children between 10 and 15 years of age. The lesion begins as a single, asymptomatic, pink or red papule, which is 3–5 mm in diameter, found at the site of the sandfly bite. However, unlike the canine species, the papule slowly evolves to a firm, inflamed, smooth nodule that enlarges progressively and eventually ulcerates, four to twelve weeks after its appearance. Five to twelve months after the initial appearance, the nodulo-ulcerative lesions begin to regress from the center and resolve completely, leaving a scar [36]. In addition, a previous study suggested the use of PCR on conjunctival swabs as a non-invasive alternative diagnostic method to lymph node PCR [17]. These findings suggest that *Leishmania* infection in some dogs is probably not limited to the site of inoculation even in dogs that might restrict the infection and, therefore, there are other tissues where parasite infection might be present.

Only one dog was positive on oral swab PCR. The *Leishmania* parasites in skin lesions were investigated using cytological examination, in vitro culture or immunohistochemistry on skin biopsy samples. The low number of amastigotes in some samples can make cytological and histopathological diagnosis difficult [14,44,45]. In some of our cases, the application of immunohistochemical techniques has given better results in the diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniosis despite the limitations of this technique due to the presence of false negative cases [45]. In this study, RT-PCR has been tested as a diagnostic tool to detect *Leishmania* infection in fine needle aspiration of cutaneous lesions and was found more sensitive than cytological evaluation. In the past, the presence of *Leishmania* parasites in skin lesions was investigated using cytological examination, in vitro culture or immunohistochemical techniques on skin biopsy samples. The low number of amastigotes in some samples can make cytological and histopathological diagnosis difficult [14,44,45]. The application of immunohistochemical techniques has given better results in the diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniosis.
cytological evaluation was not diagnostic probably due to either the small size of the lesions or the hemodilution of the sample. Recently, PCR on paraffin-embedded, frozen skin biopsy specimens or dermal scraping for detection of *Leishmania* amastigotes in cutaneous lesions has been described in both veterinary and medical literature. PCR sensitivity ranged from 81 to 100%, when compared to the presence of *Leishmania* parasites in culture, cytological and histopathological examination [46-49]. In a recent study, a PCR performed on serous material collected by puncture from cutaneous lesions in human patients with CL demonstrated good concordance with parasitological results [50]. It is worthy to mention that although a positive PCR result from a papule from a dog living in an endemic area does not necessarily mean that *Leishmania* is the cause of the papule, due to the high frequency of positive PCR in the skin of infected dogs [6]. However, the list of differential diagnoses of papules in dogs is low and, therefore, when the clinical picture and cytological or histological findings are consistent with *Leishmania* infection, the most likely cause of papules is *Leishmania* infection, even if diagnosed by PCR.

There is limited information on the use of *in vitro* culture for the diagnosis of *Leishmania* infection in dogs in a clinical setting. The majority of studies have evaluated the use of culture from lymph node, bone marrow and spleen samples [51-54], while investigations on the use of culture from skin or blood samples are less common [55,56]. Although culture is a highly specific diagnostic test, this technique is less frequently used at present for various reasons including delay in results, susceptibility to microbiological contamination and in general poor sensitivity compared to molecular techniques. In addition, molecular and/or isoenzymatic techniques are required for species identification [51]. In the present study, only 33% of cultured cutaneous lesions were positive, confirming the low sensitivity of this technique. It is important to highlight that a positive skin culture is most likely in dogs with clinical illness [55], even with mild disease, as shown from results of the dogs evaluated in the present study. A positive skin culture is less likely in subclinically infected dogs [57].

Although *in vitro* culture appears not to be useful for diagnostic purposes, molecular typing of *L. infantum* isolates is an extremely useful taxonomic tool that contributes to better understanding of the epidemiological and pathogenetic aspects of leishmanioses. Molecular genotyping of *L. infantum* strains isolated from papular dermatitis showed that all five samples analysed were *L. infantum* ITS type A, which is a very common ITS sequence type mainly described in human isolates with VL and CL from the Mediterranean basin, including Italy [24,25]. To the best knowledge of the authors, only two previous canine isolates (MON-108 from France and MON-77 from Spain) have undergone molecular typing by ITS sequence analysis resulting in ITS type A and type B/A, respectively [24]. *Haspb* characterization revealed polymorphism in the present study with three different gene sizes (626, 962 and 371 bp) for three different dogs. The 626 bp *haspb* gene size is commonly described in the Mediterranean basin both in humans and dogs [25,26]. The 962 bp *haspb* gene size is also reported in the Mediterranean basin in humans mainly with VL [25]. The 385 bp *haspb* gene size is encountered in non MON-1 canine isolates from the Mediterranean basin including Sicily [26] and it is probably identical to the same fragment as the 371 bp *haspb* gene size described in the present study due to the fact that, in the previous study, adjustment according to the gene size variability attributed to the number of 42 nucleotide repeated motifs was not performed after electrophoresis [26]. Multilocus enzyme electrophoresis, the classical reference method for *Leishmania* typing [58] was not performed due to the fact that it is laborious and expensive and, therefore, in the present study, it was not possible to match the MON system with the molecular typing results. Unfortunately, the number of analysed strains was very low and it was not possible to establish any type of correlation between the genotypes identified and this distinctive form of papular dermatitis in dogs.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, papular dermatitis due to *L. infantum* is an underestimated clinical occurrence associated with a predominant parasite specific cellular immunity and low humoral response, seen in young dogs in endemic areas. This clinical entity is confirmed to be a mild cutaneous clinical manifestation of *Leishmania* infection with restricted parasite dissemination and excellent prognosis when treated for one month with antimonials. Cytological evaluation of papules in dogs living in endemic areas is a useful technique to evaluate inflammation and presence or absence of *Leishmania* amastigotes. However, due to the higher sensitivity of RT-PCR technique in cutaneous aspirates, RT-PCR can be used as a non-invasive method to routinely evaluate persistent papules, if *Leishmania* infection is suspected, in cases in which parasites are not visualized by cytology.

**Abbreviations**

CanL: Canine leishmaniosis; CL: Cutaneous leishmaniosis; DTH: Delayed-type hypersensitivity; *haspb*: Hydrophilic acylated surface protein B; IFAT: Immunofluorescence antibody test; ITS: Ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacers; LST: Leishmanin skin test; RT-PCR: Real-time polymerase chain reaction; VL: Disseminated visceral leishmaniosis.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Authors’ contributions
GL designed the study, collected the cases, contributed with data analysis and interpretation and wrote the manuscript. TL performed serological, molecular analysis and culture. CC performed molecular typing of isolates and interpretation and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Dr. Carmen Cañavate (Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain) for kindly providing L. infantum promastigotes for leishmanin skin test; Laura Perillo for her collaboration in cytopathology; Antonino Lombardo (Studio Veterinario Lombardo, Mascalucia, CT, Italy) for his collaboration in collecting the clinical cases. The authors are grateful to Francesca Soutter (Studio Veterinario Lombardo, Mascalucia, CT, Italy) for his collaboration in the study. The authors also thank to technicians of the CReNaL laboratory, IZS, Sicily, Italy for their technical help. The authors also thank the reviewers for the constructive critical revision of the manuscript. Laia Solano-Gallego holds a Ramón y Cajal senior researcher contract awarded by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and the European Social Fund. Publication of the CVBD9 thematic series has been sponsored by Bayer HealthCare - Animal Health division.

Author details
1 Studio Veterinario Lombardo, via Cavòlo 20, Mascalucia, Catania 95030, Italy. 2 Dipartimento di Scienze Veterinarie, Università di Messina, Polo Universitario Annunziata, Messina 98168, Italy. 3 Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Sicilia – Centro di Referenza Nazionale per le Leishmaniosi, via G. Marinuzzi 3, Palermo 90120, Italy. 4 WHO Collaborating Centre for Leishmaniasis, Servicio de Parasitología, Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain. 5 Departament de Medicina i Cirurgia Animal, Facultat de Veterinària, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Cerdanyola 08193, Barcelona, Spain.

Received: 9 January 2014 Accepted: 10 March 2014 Published: 24 March 2014

References
1. Reithinger R, Dujardin JC, Louzir H, Pirmez C, Alexander B, Brooker S: Canine leishmaniasis endemicity using PCR on several tissues and serology. Leishmania infantum species. J Immunol 1994, 153:364–44.
2. Baneth G, Solano-Gallego L: Global aspects of leishmaniosis: canine leishmaniasis. In Infectious disease of the dog and cat. 4th edition. Edited by Greene CE. St. Louis: Elsevier saunders; 2012:734–480.
3. Selkon RJ, Menard MM, Meuten DJ, Lengerich EJ, Steuer FJ, Breitschwerdt EB: Endemic visceral leishmaniasis in a dog from Texas. J Vet Intern Med 1993, 7:16–19.
4. Gaskin AA, Schantz P, Jackson J, Birkenheuer A, Tomlinson L, Gramiccia M, Levy M, Steuer F, Kollmar E, Hegarty BC, Ahn A, Breitschwerdt EB: Visceral leishmaniasis in a New York foxhound kennel. J Vet Intern Med 2002, 16:34–44.
5. Baneth G, Koutinas AF, Solano-Gallego L, Bourdeau P, Ferrer L: Canine leishmaniosis - new concepts and insights on an expanding zoonosis: part one. Trends Parasitol 2008, 24:324–330.
6. Solano-Gallego L, Morell P, Arbós M, Alberola J, Ferrer L: Prevalence of Leishmania infantum infection in dogs living in an area of canine leishmaniasis endemicity using PCR on several tissues and serology. J Clin Microbiol 2001, 39:560–563.
7. Solano-Gallego L, Koutinas A, Miro G, Cardoso L, Pennisi MG, Ferrer L, Bourdeau P, Oliva G, Baneth G: Directions for the diagnosis, clinical staging, treatment and prevention of canine leishmaniasis. Vet Parasitol 2009, 165:1–18.
8. Ciaramella P, Oliva G, Lura RD, Gradoni L, Ambrosio R, Cortese L, Scalone A, Persechino A: A retrospective clinical study of canine leishmaniasis in 150 dogs naturally infected by Leishmania infantum. J Vet Rec 1997, 141:539–54.
9. Ferrer L, Rabanal R, Fondevila D, Ramos JA, Domingo M: Skin lesions in canine leishmaniasis. J Small Anim Pract 1998, 39:381–388.
10. Bavier A, Keroxack S, Denerolle P, Goy-Thollot L, Chabanne L, Cadore JL, Bourdoisleau G: Atypical forms of canine leishmaniasis. Vet J 2001, 162:108–120.
11. Font A, Roure X, Fondevila D, Closa JM, Mascott J, Ferrer L: Canine mucosal leishmaniasis. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 1996, 32:131–137.
12. Koutinas AF, Scott DW, Kanellopoulos K, Leskas S: Skin lesions and canine leishmaniasis (Kala-Azar): a clinical and histopathological study on 22 spontaneous cases in Greece. Vet Dermatol 1992, 3:121–130.
13. Bottero E, Poggi M, Vigilone M: Leishmaniosi papulare indotte da Leishmania spp. in 8 cani giovani. Veterinaria 2006, 1:33–6.
14. Noli C, Corregiani L: Leishmaniosi bottomforme. Descrizione di cinque casi italiani e confronto con la letteratura. Quaderni di dermatologia 2006, 11:23–26.
15. Ordeix L, Solano-Gallego L, Fondevila D, Ferrer L, Font A: Poplar dermatitis due to Leishmania sp. infection in dogs with parasite-specific cellular immune responses. Vet Dermatol 2005, 16:187–191.
16. Catarsi O, Pugliese A, Nuotta PP, Pantano V, Domina F, Pennisi MG, Lombardo A: Canine leishmaniasis: description of unusual lesions. In Atti della società italiana di scienze veterinarie vol. 45; 1991:1371–1374.
17. Lombardo G, Pennisi MG, Lupo T, Migliazzi A, Capri A, Solano-Gallego L: Detection of Leishmania infantum DNA by real-time PCR in canine oral and conjunctival swabs and comparison with other diagnostic techniques. Vet Parasitol 2012, 184:10–17.
18. Vitale F, Reale S, Vitale M, Petretta E, Torina A, Caracappa S: TaqMan-based detection of Leishmania infantum DNA using canine samples. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2004, 1028:139–143.
19. Reale S, Maiya L, Vitale F, Giorgetti NS, Caracappa S, Vesco G: Detection of Leishmania infantum in dogs by PCR with lymph node aspirates and blood. J Clin Microbiol 1999, 37:2931–2935.
20. Dubudy RE, Sadun EH: Fluorescent antibody test for the serodiagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1964, 135:225–229.
21. Badaro R, Reed SG, Canvallo EN: Immunofluorescent antibody test in American visceral leishmaniasis: sensitivity and specificity of different morphological forms of two Leishmania species. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1983, 32:480–484.
22. Pinelli E, Klick-Kendrick R, Wagenaar J, Bernadina W, del Real G, Ruitenberg J: Cellular and humoral immune responses in dogs experimentally and naturally infected with Leishmania infantum. Infect Immun 1994, 62:229–235.
23. Solano-Gallego L, Llull J, Ramos G, Riera C, Arboix M, Alberola J, Ferrer L: The Ibizian hound presents a predominantly cellular immune response against natural Leishmania infection. Vet Parasitol 2000, 90:37–45.
24. Kuhi L, Mauricio IL, Prattong F, Presber W, Schonian G: Analysis of ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer sequences of the Leishmania donovani complex. Microbes Infect 2005, 7:1224–1234.
25. Chicharro C, Llanes-Acevedo IP, Garcia E, Nieto J, Moreno J, Cruz I: Molecular typing of Leishmania infantum isolates from a leishmaniasis outbreak in Madrid, Spain, 2009 to 2012. Euro Surveill 2013, 18:20545.
26. Haralambous C, Antoniou M, Prattong F, Deted JP, Soteriou K: Development of a molecular assay specific for the Leishmania donovani complex that discriminates L. donovani/Leishmania infantum zymodemes: a useful tool for typing MON-1. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2008, 62:33–42.
27. Zachay A, Nasereddin A, Takele Y, Tadesse D, Halilu W, Hurissa Y, Yifru S, Weldegebrelit T, Diro E, Kassahun A, Halilu A, Jaffe CL: Polymorphism in the HASPB repeat region of East African Leishmania donovani strains. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013, 7:e2031.
28. Bhatacharya Y, Boelaert M, Miles MA: Comparison of visceral leishmaniasis diagnostic antigens in African and Asian Leishmania donovani reveals extensive diversity and region-specific polymorphisms. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013, 7:e2057.
29. Belgaid Y, Mendez S, Lira R, Kadambi N, Milan G, Sacks D: A natural model of Leishmania major infection reveals a prolonged “silent” phase of parasite amplification in the skin before the onset of lesion formation and immunity. J Immunol 2000, 165:969–977.
30. Rossì E, Borsigoni G, Colli E, Di Muccio T, Scaloni A, Gramiccia M, Gradoni L, Maroli M: Seasonal phylogeography, host-blood feeding preferences and natural Leishmania infection of Phlebotomus perniciosus (Diptera, Psychodidae) in a high-endemic focus of canine leishmaniasis in Rome province, Italy. Acta Trop 2008, 105:158–165.
31. Gomez-Saladin E, Doud CW, Maroli M: Short report: surveillance of Leishmania sp. among sand flies in Sicily (Italy) using a fluorogenic real-time polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005, 72:138–141.
32. Torina A, Sole M, Reale S, Vitale F, Caracappa S: Use of phlebotomine sand flies as indicator of Leishmania prevalence in an endemic area. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2008, 1149:355–357.
33. Solano-Gallego L, Fernandez-Bellon H, Serra R, Gallego M, Ramos A, Fondevilla D, Ferrer L: Cutaneous leishmaniasis in three horses in Spain. Equine Vet J 2003, 35:320–323.
34. Fernandez-Bellon H, Solano-Gallego L, Bardag M, Alberola J, Ramos A, Ferrer L: Immune response to Leishmania infantum in healthy horses in Spain. Vet Parasitol 2006, 135:181–185.
35. Koehler K, Stechel M, Hetzel U, Domingo M, Schonian G, Zahnier H, Burkhardt E: Cutaneous leishmaniasis in a horse in southern Germany caused by Leishmania infantum. Vet Parasitol 2002, 109:9–17.
36. Alkova OE, Khachemoune A, Hasan T: Clinical manifestations and classification of Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis. Int J Dermatol 2007, 46(2):132–142.
37. Jara M, Adial V, Valencia BM, Martinez D, Alba M, Castrillon C, Cruz M, Cruz I, Van der Auwera G, Llanos-Cuentas A, Djurdjan JC, Arevalo J: Real-time PCR assay for detection and quantification of Leishmania (Viannia) organisms in skin and mucosal lesions: exploratory study of parasite load and clinical parameters. J Clin Microbiol 2013, 51(6):1826–1833.
38. Pilatti MM, Ferreira Sde A, de Melo MN, de Andrade AS: Comparison of PCR methods for diagnosis of canine visceral leishmaniasis in conjunctival swab samples. Res Vet Sci 2009, 87:255–257.
39. Strausi-Ayali D, Jaffe CL, Burstrain O, Gonen L, Baneth G: Polymerase chain reaction using noninvasively obtained samples, for the detection of Leishmania infantum DNA in dogs. J Infect Dis 2004, 189:1729–1733.
40. Di Muccio T, Veronesi F, Antonogori MT, Orsoli A, Piergili Fioretti D, Gramiccia M: Diagnostic value of conjunctival swab sampling associated with nested PCR for different categories of dogs naturally exposed to Leishmania infantum infection. J Clin Microbiol 2012, 50:2651–2659.
41. Vaish M, Mehrotra S, Chakravarty J, Sundar S: Noninvasive molecular diagnosis of human visceral leishmaniasis. J Clin Microbiol 2011, 49:2003–2005.
42. Solano-Gallego L, Kyriacou C, Yasur D, Villanueva-Saz S, Eyal O, Baneth G: A cross-sectional study on Leishmania infantum infection by serology and non-invasive PCR in sick and clinically healthy dogs from Cyprus. In 8th CVBD world forum proceedings.; 2013:42.
43. Ferreira Sde A, Almeida GG, Silva Sde O, Voegas GP, Fujiwara RT, de Andrade AS, Melo MN: Nasal, oral and ear swabs for canine visceral leishmaniasis diagnosis: new practical approaches for detection of Leishmania infantum DNA. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013, 7:e2150.
44. Ferrer L, Rabanal RM, Domingo M, Ramos JA, Fondevilla D: Identification of Leishmania donovani amastigotes in canine tissues by immunoperoxidase staining. Res Vet Sci 1988, 44:194–196.
45. Roua X, Fondevilla D, Sanchez A, Ferrer L: Detection of Leishmania infection in paraffin-embedded skin biopsies of dogs using polymerase chain reaction. J Vet Diagn Invest 1999, 11:385–387.
46. Medeiros AC, Rodrigues SS, Roselino AM: Comparison of the specificity of PCR and the histopathological detection of Leishmania for the diagnosis of American cutaneous leishmaniasis. Braz J Med Biol Res 2002, 35:421–424.
47. Amspuro J, Roas AP, Carranza-Tamayo CO, Romero GA: Genus-specific kinetoplast-DNA PCR and parasite culture for the diagnosis of localised cutaneous leishmaniasis: applications for clinical trials under field conditions in Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2009, 104:992–997.
48. Belli A, Rodriguez B, Aviles H, Harris E: Simplified polymerase chain reaction detection of new world Leishmania in clinical specimens of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1998, 58:102–109.
49. Lemann M, Hamdi S, Laamari A, Hassar M: PCR detection of Leishmania in skin biopsies. J Infect Dis 2000, 3:115–122.
50. Hajjaran H, Vasighheh F, Mohebali M, Rezaei S, Marnishi S, Charedar S: Direct diagnosis of Leishmania species on seroscopy materials punctured from cutaneous leishmaniasis patients using PCR-RFLP. J Clin Lab Anal 2011, 25:20–24.
51. Mai A, Ramada J, Cristovao JM, Goncalves L, Campino J: Diagnosis of canine leishmaniasis: conventional and molecular techniques using different tissues. Vet J 2009, 179:142–144.
52. de Fatima Madeira M, de O Schubach A, Schubach TM, Pereira SA, Figueiredo FB, Baptista C, Leal CA, Melo CX, Confort EM, Marzochi MC: Post mortem parasitological evaluation of dogs seroreactive for Leishmania from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Vet Parasitol 2006, 138:366–370.
53. Rosypal AC, Troy GC, Duncan RB, Zajac AM, Lindsay DS: Utility of diagnostic tests used in diagnosis of infection in dogs experimentally inoculated with a North American isolate of Leishmania infantum. J Vet Intern Med 2005, 19:802–809.
54. Reis LE, Coura-Vital W, Roat BM, Bouillet LE, Ker HG, de Britto RC F, Resende Dde M, Camero M, Giuninetti RC, Marques MJ, Camargo CM, Reis AB: Molecular diagnosis of canine visceral leishmaniasis: a comparative study of three methods using skin and spleen from dogs with natural Leishmania infantum infection. Vet Parasitol 2013, 197:498–503.
55. de Almeida AB, Sousa VR, Sone Eda C, Figueiredo FB, de Paula DA, Pimentel MF, Dutra V, Madeira Mde F: Use of parasitological culture to detect Leishmania (Leishmania) chagasi in naturally infected dogs. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2011, 11:1555–1560.
56. Chargiu N, Haouas N, Gorci M, Lahmar S, Guesmi M, Ben Abdelhafid A, Mezhoud H, Babha H: Use of PCR, IFAT and in vitro culture in the detection of Leishmania infantum infection in dogs and evaluation of the prevalence of canine leishmaniasis in a low endemic area in Tunisia. Parasite 2009, 16:65–69.
57. Fernandez-Bellon H, Solano-Gallego L, Rodriguez-Cortes A, Ferrer L, Gallego M, Alberola J, Ramos A: Little evidence of seasonal variation of natural infection by Leishmania in dogs in Spain. Vet Parasitol 2008, 155:32–36.
58. Roux JA, Lanotte G, Serres E, Pralong F, Bastien P, Peireiras J: Taxonomy of Leishmania. Use of isoenzymes. Suggestions for a new classification. Ann Parassitol Hum Comp 1990, 65:111–125.

Cite this article as: Lombardo et al.: Papular dermatitis due to Leishmania infantum in seventeen dogs: diagnostic features, extent of the infection and treatment outcome. Parasites & Vectors 2014, 7:120.