Pes anserine detachment in posteromedial approach to the tibial plateau fracture provides better intraoperative exposure without compromising the flexor muscle strength
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Abstract
Purpose During the posteromedial approach to the tibial plateau fracture (TPF), pes anserine is generally retracted. However, pes anserine detachment could provide a better fracture site exposure. Even so, the general conception is that the latter could negatively affect flexor muscle strength. We aimed to evaluate the effect of pes anserine detachment on the flexion force and functional outcomes of TPF with posteromedial involvement.

Methods In this retrospective-prospective cohort study, 22 TPF patients with Schatzker type IV who were managed with posteromedial approach and pes anserine detachment were included. The knee flexion force was measured 12 months after the surgery at several angles of flexion (30°, 60°, and 90°) and rotations (internal and external). The International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) and the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) were used to assess knee function. A visual analog scale (VAS) was used to measure knee pain.

Results The mean strength of the knee flexor muscle was not statistically different between the involved and non-involved sides at 30°, 60°, and 90° knee flexion, and also at the internal and external rotation. The mean IKDC score of the patients was 81.6 ± 7.8. The mean KOOS score of the patients was 82.2 ± 9.1. The mean VAS for pain was 2.4 ± 1.8. The mean knee range of motion was 124 ± 10.5°.

Conclusion Pes anserine release and re-attachment in the posteromedial approach to the TPF has no detrimental effect on the flexion muscle strength and knee function.

Level of Evidence Therapeutic Level IV.
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Introduction
Tibial plateau fracture (TPF) is associated with a complication rate as high as 54% [1,2]. Therefore, optimization of the TPF surgery is of considerable importance.

For TPF involving the posteromedial portion of the tibial plateau, a posteromedial approach is generally implemented to minimize intraoperative soft-tissue injury [3,4]. In this approach, the pes is usually retracted to expose the fracture site [5]. This retraction does not generally provide a complete exposure. Pes release may allow for improved fracture site exposure and fixation, therefore enhancing TPF treatment outcomes [5]. Many surgeons, however, do not undertake this procedure due to worries regarding the potential negative effects of this release on flexor muscle strength [6,7].
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In this study, we compared the flexor muscle strength between the involved and non-involved knee following the treatment of TPF with a posteromedial approach and pes release. We hypothesized that if pes release in the posteromedial approach of TPF surgery does not cause a significant reduction in flexor muscle strength of the involved knee, it could be implemented instead of pes retraction to ensure better fracture site exposure, thereby leading to a superior fixation and more favorable surgical outcomes.

**Patients and methods**

This retrospective-prospective study was approved by the review board of our institute under the code IR.IUMS.REC.1400.1252. Medical profiles of 62 TPF patients who were treated with a posteromedial approach and pes release between 2016 and 2020 were retrospectively reviewed. The inclusion criteria were Schatzker type IV [8] and a minimum follow-up of 1 year. Exclusion criteria for the study included patients with an associated knee injury requiring extra-intervention, lateral plateau involvement, involvement of lateral leg muscles, a history of surgical treatment in the ipsilateral limb, pre-injury malunion, or non-union in the ipsilateral limb, severely limited range of motion in the involved knee, and history of the neurologic or rheumatologic disorder. Patients whose medical records were insufficient or who were lost to follow-up were also excluded from the research. Finally, 34 patients were identified as eligible for this study. Twenty-two patients were available for final follow-up and were included in the final analysis.

**Surgical technique**

The patient was placed in the supine position while the patient’s knee was rotated to the outside (figure of four), and a tourniquet was inflated. Then, a longitudinal incision was performed, beginning roughly 3 cm from the proximal joint portion and finishing at the desired distal joint portion. The nerve of the Saphenous was retracted. During the incision, the fascia was also cut. Pes anserine and the medial head of gastrocnemius were approached by a posteromedial approach (Fig. 1). Pes anserine was released from its distal part (tibial attachment) with a one-centimeter stump. To avoid retraction of the detached pes anserine, it was kept with a suture Vicryl; polyglactin; Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson) during the procedure (Fig. 2). Then, the fracture was exposed through the space between the medial collateral ligament and medial head of the gastrocnemius. The medial collateral ligament was preserved. Then, the fracture was fixed by a plate and screw system (3.5-mm T-plate; Mork-Med, Germany, Fig. 3). The tendon and muscles are re-attached to their previous insertion site using Vicryl-coated absorbable suture (2 Vicryl; polyglactin; Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson).

**Postoperative protocol**

Postoperative protocols were included active rehabilitation with isometric knee exercises that were started 1 day after the operation and range of motion as tolerated, aiming to achieve full active extension and 90° of knee flexion by the end of the 4 weeks. The patient was allowed partial weight bearing with crutch. Crutches were weaned off progressively from the 8 to 10 weeks after the operation, when sign of union was observed in the radiographic evaluation. Physiotherapy was implemented to strengthen the limb and improve muscles strength, proprioception, and range of motion in patient with poor compliance during follow up.

**Outcome measures**

All the evaluations were done by one orthopedic surgeon who was not involved in the patients’ care. The outcome of interest was postoperative complication, knee range of motion, knee pain, knee function, and flexor muscle strength. Knee range of motion (ROM) was evaluated with a goniometer. Knee pain was measured using a visual analog scale (VAS) for pain. Accordingly, a score between 0 and
was given to each patient, with zero signifying no pain and 10 indicating extreme suffering. The knee function was assessed using the Persian translation of the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) and the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). Both IKDC and KOOS were rated between 0 and 100, whereas a higher score was indicative of greater function. The validity and reliability of the questionnaires in the Persian language were approved in earlier studies [9, 10].

A manual dynamometer (Manual Muscle Tester, M-202) evaluated the flexor muscle strength of the injured and non-injured knees. First, the patients were asked to ride a stationary bike for 5 min and perform hamstrings and quadriceps stretching exercises. Then, the flexor muscle strength was assessed at three angles of 30°, 60°, and 90° of knee flexion in both internal and external knee rotation, making six numbers for each limb and 12 numbers for each patient. Each evaluation was performed three times, and the average of three evaluations was regarded as the patient’s flexor muscle strength (Fig. 4).

Flexor muscle strength was evaluated two times for each limb and the largest value was considered in the analysis. The inter- and intra-observer reliability of the muscle strength evaluation was checked in a pilot study using intra-class correlation coefficient test and showed to be 0.89 and 0.91, respectively.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done with SPSS for Windows, version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Descriptive data were presented by mean ± standard deviation for quantitative variables and with number and percentage for qualitative variables. Using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, the distributions’ normality was examined. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the mean values between the two limbs. A $P$ value less than 0.05 was determined to be statistically significant.
Results

Twenty-two TPF patients were included in the analysis. The study population consisted of 20 males and two females. The mean age of the patients was $41.1 \pm 12.5$ years (range 23–61). The mean follow-up of the patients was $16.5 \pm 7.2$ months (range 6–22) (Table 1).

The mean strength of knee flexor muscle at 30°, 60°, and 90° knee flexion was not significantly different between the involved and non-involved sides, both at internal rotation and external rotation status. The detailed flexor muscle strengths of the involved and non-involved knees are demonstrated in Table 2.

The mean IKDC score of the patients was $81.6 \pm 7.8$ (range 71–90). The mean KOOS score of the patients was $82.2 \pm 9.1$ (range 69–89). The mean VAS for pain was $2.4 \pm 1.8$ (range 1–4). The mean knee ROM was $124 \pm 10.5°$ (range 100–135). All patients achieved bone union (bridging callus in 3 of 4 cortices) within an average of $11.2 \pm 2.6$ weeks (range 9–12). One varus deformity of $5°$ was the only postoperative complication in this series. No intervention was done for varus deformity because the patient had no complaints. No patient had pain in the incision site of over the pes anserine.

### Table 1  Characteristic features of TPF patients

| Variable                      | Mean ± SD or number (%) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)                  | $41.1 \pm 12.5$         |
| Sex                           |                         |
| Male                          | 20 (91)                 |
| Female                        | 2 (9)                   |
| Involved side                 |                         |
| Dominant                      | 11 (50)                 |
| Non-dominant                  | 11 (50)                 |
| Mean tourniquet time (min)    | $79.5 \pm 12.8$         |
| Mean operation time (min)     | $105 \pm 15.6$          |
| Mean surgical bleeding (ml)   | $389.5 \pm 76.3$        |
| Follow-up (months)            | $16.5 \pm 7.2$          |

### Table 2  Comparison of knee flexor strength between the involved and non-involved knee

| Knee position                  | Side               | Mean ± SD (lb) | P value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| 30° flexion-internal rotation | Involved knee      | $7.5 \pm 1.8$  | 0.44    |
|                               | Non-involved knee  | $7.3 \pm 1.3$  |         |
| 60° flexion-internal rotation | Involved knee      | $7.1 \pm 1.9$  | 0.6     |
|                               | Non-involved knee  | $7.2 \pm 1.3$  |         |
| 90° flexion-internal rotation | Involved knee      | $6.7 \pm 2.3$  | 0.39    |
|                               | Non-involved knee  | $7 \pm 1.6$    |         |
| 30° flexion-external rotation | Involved knee      | $7.4 \pm 2.1$  | 0.11    |
|                               | Non-involved knee  | $7 \pm 1.7$    |         |
| 60° flexion-external rotation | Involved knee      | $7.5 \pm 2$    | 0.89    |
|                               | Non-involved knee  | $7.4 \pm 2.1$  |         |
| 90° flexion-external rotation | Involved knee      | $7 \pm 1.8$    | 0.79    |
|                               | Non-involved knee  | $7.1 \pm 2$    |         |

Fig. 4  Evaluation of flexor muscle strength using a dynamometer
Discussion

We evaluated the effect of pes anserine release instead of retraction in the posteromedial approach of TPF surgery to provide better intraoperative exposure. The knee function, as measured by the IKDC score and KOOS, was satisfactory after this change. The average VAS for postoperative pain was 2.4. Knee range of motion averaged 124°. The flexor muscle strength was not significantly different between the involved and non-involved knees at different flexion degrees and knee rotations. No major postoperative complication was observed in our series.

Pes anserine is a critical factor in knee flexion force. In a biomechanical analysis, Di Stefano et al. [7] evaluated the impact of pes anserine transfer on the knee flexion force in 15 patients. The mean torque value of the quadriceps and hamstring in the involved knee was significantly reduced compared to the contralateral knee (192.2 ft-Ib vs. 221.3 ft-Ib and 116.1 ft-Ib vs. 129.1 ft-Ib, respectively). The mean internal rotation torque values at 90° were also significantly reduced in the involved knee (19.3 ft-Ib vs. 22.8 ft-Ib). Murakami et al. observed the same decrease in flexion force [6]. Although the influence of pes anserine muscles on knee flexion force is well-established, no previous research has examined the effect of pes anserine detachment and re-attachment on knee flexion force. The same reduction in flexion force was reported by Murakami et al. While the effect of pes anserine muscles on the knee flexion force is accepted, no earlier study is available regarding the effect of pes anserine detachment and re-attachment on the knee flexion force. We hypothesized that temporary detachment of pes anserine from its tibial attachment for better exposure of TPF during the posteromedial approach and its re-attachment after fracture reduction and fixation does not affect the knee flexion force. This was confirmed by the comparable knee flexion force of the involved and non-involved knees at different flexion degrees and rotations.

The pes is often retracted during the posteromedial approach for surgical treatment of TPF with posteromedial involvement. Several past studies have shown the results of this method. Weil et al. [11] evaluated the outcomes of the posteromedial approach for the reduction and fixation of the medial \((n=10)\) and bicondylar \((n=17)\) TPF. After a mean follow-up of 3.5 years, 75% of patients had a good reduction. The average Oxford knee score was 19.9/48. The articular malreduction rate was 4%. No wound complications occurred. Manikandan and Saravanakumar [12] prospectively investigated the functional and radiological results of the posteromedial surgical approach to the knee in the treatment of twenty patients with complicated TPF and a posteromedial column fracture. Fractures were Schatzker type IV or more. All fractures were healed without complication. The postoperative anatomic reduction was achieved in 16 patients. The median knee range of flexion was 135°. The mean Oxford knee score was 29.3 (range 25–33). In comparison with earlier studies with pes retraction, we obtained a similar Average knee ROM. Likewise, we had no serious postoperative complications. While different functional measures were used (Oxford knee score vs. IKDC and KOOS), the knee function seems to be superior. This superiority could be attributed to the better fracture exposure achieved by the pes anserine detachment instead of its retraction. However, the patient’s and fracture’s characteristics are determining factors in the surgical outcomes and potentially could be the underlying cause of these inconsistent functional outcomes.

To the best of our knowledge, the present cohort was the first study reporting the outcomes of pes anserine release instead of retraction in the posteromedial approach of TPF surgery. Similar to any other study, this study was not without limitations. The main weakness of the research was the lack of a control group treated using a conventional posteromedial technique (pes anserine retraction). Manual evaluation of muscle strength is prone to bias. The small number of patients could be regarded as the other limitation of this study, which was posed by the concurrent COVID-19 pandemic and lack of patients’ cooperation to attend the final follow-up evaluation.

Conclusion

Pes anserine detachment in the posteromedial surgical approach to the knee in the treatment complex TPF with a posteromedial involvement provides a better fracture exposure. This modification seems to have no adverse effect on the functional outcomes of the patients. It does not affect the knee flexion strength. Besides, it is not associated with a particular postoperative complication. Future controlled studies with larger patients are required for better evaluation of the effect of the pes anserine detachment in TPF treatment.
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