Elevated mRNA Level of Y-Box Binding Protein 1 Indicates Unfavorable Prognosis Correlated with Macrophage Infiltration and T Cell Exhaustion in Luminal Breast Cancer
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Purpose: The Y-box binding protein 1 (YBX1) gene encodes the multifunctional protein YB1 that is associated with the dysregulation of numerous cancer-related genes. However, the prognostic value of YBX1 and its correlation with immune cell infiltration in breast cancer (BRCA) remain unclear.

Methods: YBX1 expression data in various malignancies were obtained from Oncomine, Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER), Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia, UALCAN and cBio Cancer Genomics Portal databases. Survival data were analyzed with Kaplan–Meier plotter. Immune cell infiltration and its association with YBX1 expression level were assessed with TIMER and LinkedOmics. YB1 expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry and Western blotting, and changes in cancer cell viability and T cell activity following YBX1 knockdown were assessed with an immunocyte–tumor cell co-culture assay.

Results: YBX1 was downregulated in the BRCA cohort, which was closely associated with worse prognosis in the luminal A subtype (overall survival [OS]: hazard ratio [HR] 1.93, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.22–3.05, \( P = 0.0042 \); recurrence-free survival [RFS]: HR 1.85, 95% CI 1.51–2.28, \( P = 3.1e-9 \)) and luminal B subtype (OS: HR 1.08, 95% CI 0.68–1.70, \( P = 0.75 \); RFS: HR 1.29, 95% CI 1.02–1.62, \( P = 0.03 \)). YBX1 expression was positively correlated with the M2 macrophage infiltration and expression of T cell exhaustion markers such as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) (rs = 0.388, \( P = 4.93e-37 \)) and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA4) (rs = 0.321, \( P = 2.54e-25 \)) in luminal BRCA. Kaplan–Meier analysis revealed a correlation between YBX1 expression, M2 infiltration and survival outcome. Co-culture with macrophages or T cells enhanced the decrease in luminal BRCA cell viability induced by YBX1 knockdown.

Conclusion: High YBX1 mRNA levels predict a poor prognosis in luminal BRCA, which is correlated with M2 macrophage infiltration and T cell exhaustion in the tumor microenvironment. Combining classic therapeutics with immune checkpoint inhibitors and M1 polarization agents may be an effective treatment strategy for luminal BRCA with YBX1 overexpression.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BRCA) is the leading cause of new cancer diagnoses and the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women worldwide.1 In China, BRCA accounts for 12.2% of all new diagnoses and 9.6% of all deaths of tumor patients,
respectively. With early screening and improvements in treatment, the 5-year survival rate of BRCA is now over 85%. However, there are still some subtypes, such as triple negative BRCA (TNBC) and metastatic BRCA, carrying poor prognosis. To further improve treatment efficacy especially for the refractory subtypes above, one major step is to elucidating the genomic and molecular signatures of BRCA, which can help to identify high-risk patients thereby administering optimal therapies. Currently, public databases offer large amounts of data that can facilitate this endeavor.

Located at 1p34.2, the gene Y-box binding protein 1 (YBX1) encodes a 36kDa DNA/RNA binding protein, namely YB1, containing a highly conserved cold shock domain. More than 90% of YB1 distributes in the cytoplasm, where it regulates translation by directly binding to messenger RNA (mRNA); while in response to noxious stimuli including radiation and DNA damaging agents (eg, cisplatin and mitomycin C), cytoplasmic YB1 translocates into the nucleus, binding the target promoters with the so-called Y-box sequence (ie, an inverted CCAAT box) and regulating transcription. Clinically, YBX1 overexpression was shown to be an independent prognostic biomarker in BRCA and has been experimentally explained by the enhancement of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and the induction of chemoresistance in cancer cells. However, little is known about the impact of YBX1 on immune infiltrates in tumor microenvironment. Recent studies have demonstrated an association between YBX1 and immunologic processes such as inflammation and activation of innate immunity in non-neoplastic disorders. Therefore, we speculate that changes in YBX1 expression modulate the tumor microenvironment in BRCA.

To test this hypothesis, in the present study we evaluated the expression pattern of YBX1 and its relationship to prognosis and immune cell infiltration in BRCA through analysis of public datasets and in vitro experiments. Firstly, we observed the significantly distinct expression of YBX1 in different BRCA subtypes by mRNA level evaluation in the UALCAN database, the immunohistochemical staining in breast samples and the protein assays in multiple breast cell lines. Then, the Kaplan–Meier plots showed the significantly poorer survival outcomes in YBX1 high-expressing groups compared with the low ones in BRCA especially with luminal subtypes. Furthermore, we evaluated the infiltration levels of various immune cells with increasing expression of YBX1 via the databases such as LinkedOmics and Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER), finding the significant positive correlation of YBX1 with macrophage (M2) infiltration and T cell exhaustion markers such as IDO1 (indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1), CTLA4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4), in luminal BRCA, which was verified by the tumor inhibition after YBX1 knockdown in the co-culture system of cancer cells and immunocytes. The findings in this report pioneered the explanation for the poorer prognosis of BRCA with high YBX1 expression from the point of view of tumor microenvironment, and shed light on the better treatment outcomes of IDO1/CTLA4 inhibitors in YBX1 high-expressing luminal BRCA patients.

Materials and Methods

Oncomine Database Analysis

The mRNA expression of YBX1 in various cancer types was identified via the Oncomine database (https://www.oncomine.org). Oncomine is the largest oncogene database covering 715 datasets and 86,733 samples. We focused on the comparison of YBX1 expression between BRCA and normal tissues and set the thresholds as follows: P-value: 0.01; fold change: 1.5; gene rank: 10%.

Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) Analysis

CCLE is a large genomic and proteomic database of human cancer cell lines generated by the Broad Institute and Novartis. To verify the findings from the Oncomine database, the protein expression of YB1 in cell lines of various cancer types was identified by CCLE.

UALCAN Analysis

The relative expression of YBX1 across diverse BRCA subgroups was evaluated via UALCAN database (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu), a comprehensive interactive web-portal for analyzing tumor subgroup gene expression. The gene expression and clinical data of UALCAN are derived from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA).

The cBio Cancer Genomics Portal (cBioPortal) Database Analysis

The cBioPortal database (https://www.cbioportal.org) is an open-access web-based platform for interactive exploration of multidimensional cancer genomics data. We selected the largest dataset of BRCA in cBioPortal, Breast Cancer (METABRIC, Nature 2012 and Nat
Commun 2016) with 2509 primary BRCA samples, for the following analysis. The module of “OncoPrint” shows the overview of YBX1 alterations in BRCA cases, which we used to investigate the changes of clinical parameters including age, histologic grade, tumor stage etc with the increase of YBX1 expression in BRCA. The module of “Co-expression” evaluates the correlation between different genes in BRCA and we used it to verify the significant correlation of YBX1 with immunosuppression-associated genes including IDO1, PDCD1, CTLA4 and LAG3.

Kaplan–Meier Plotter Database Analysis
Aggregating data from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA), and TCGA, the Kaplan–Meier plotter database can assess the effect of more than 54,000 genes (mRNA, miRNA, protein) on survival especially in BRCA (n=6234). The correlation of YBX1 expression with survival in BRCA as well as in BRCA subgroups divided by various clinicopathological characteristics was analyzed by Kaplan–Meier plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis). Kaplan–Meier plots of overall survival (OS) and relapse-free survival (RFS) were drawn, respectively, and the hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and log-rank P-value were also calculated.

TIMER Database Analysis
TIMER is a comprehensive web-portal for analyzing immune infiltration systemically across various cancer types (http://timer.cistrome.org). We applied multiple modules in the TIMER database for the following evaluation: (a) the “Exploration-Gene_DE” module for evaluating the differential expression of YBX1 between tumor and adjacent normal tissues across all TCGA tumors; (b) the “Gene_Outcome” module for verifying the independent prognostic role of YBX1 expression in BRCA after adjusting potential confounding factors; (c) the “Immune-Gene” module for evaluating the correlation of YBX1 expression with the abundance of immune infiltrates, including B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, macrophages, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells; (d) the “Exploration-Gene_Corr” module for evaluating the correlation between YBX1 and gene markers of tumor-infiltrating immune cells; (e) the “Immune-Outcome” module for evaluating the clinical relevance of macrophage subsets, M1 and M2, along with different expression of YBX1 in BRCA; (f) the “Estimation” module for evaluating the relative infiltration levels of macrophage subsets from GSE1456_GPL96, a representative dataset for BRCA patients. The data of GSE1456_GPL96 were obtained from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo.

LinkedOmics Analysis
LinkedOmics database (http://www.linkedomics.org/login.php) is an open-access tool containing multi-omics data from all 32 TCGA cancer types. The module of “LinkFinder” in this database can be applied to searching for attributes associated with a query attribute including mRNA expression signatures of genomic alterations etc. We used it to find the immunosuppression-associated genes that have a significant positive correlation with YBX1 via the dataset of TCGA_BRCA (HiSeq RNA).

Cell Lines and Antibodies
Human breast epithelial MCF-10A cells and human BRCA cells (MCF-7, BT-474, SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231) were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Science (Shanghai, China), and human BRCA cells namely HCC1428, HCC1569 and HCC1143 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). All the cells were cultured at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100U/mL penicillin, and 100µg/mL streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

The antibodies used in this study include: YB1 (#ab76149; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), IDO1 (#13268-1-AP; Proteintech, Chicago, USA), CTLA4 (#ab237712; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), and β-actin (#ab8226; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA).

Immunohistochemistry
YB1, IDO1 and CTLA4 staining were conducted with formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded breast samples. Pathological sectioning was performed by Jujie Sun, Department of Pathology, Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shandong First Medical University and Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences. Five-micrometer-thick sections were deparaffinized within xylene, rehydrated in graded ethanol, and washed thrice with threefold-distilled water. For antigen retrieval, sections were incubated within Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 9.0), heated at 120°C for 10 min, and cooled down to room temperature (RT). After incubation with primary
antibodies (1:100 dilution for anti-IDO1 and anti-YB1 antibodies, 1:150 dilution for anti-CTLA4 antibody) overnight at 4°C, the samples were then rinsed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) thrice, incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies (A0208, 1:50 dilution; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China) for 50 minutes at RT. After washed with PBS again and stained with diaminobenzidine (DAB), the sections were then counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin, dehydrated, and mounted. The pathological images were obtained with a high-resolution scanner. The number of immunohistochemical positive cells and total cells were counted in three non-overlapping fields per section by two pathologists independently and percentage of positive cells was calculated. All the manipulations were approved by the Ethic Committee of Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute.

Protein Extraction and Western Blotting
Protein extraction and Western blotting assay were performed as previously described.24 Breast cells after different treatments were washed with ice-cold PBS thrice. RIPA lysis buffer (P0013B, Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China) with 1mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride solution (PMSF) was used for cell lysis and total protein extraction. Protein extracts were boiled at 100°C for 15 minutes, followed by separated with 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and then transferred into the nitrocellulose (NC) membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Membrane was blocked by 5% skimmed milk at RT for one hour. After the incubation with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, the blots were then incubated with corresponding goat anti-rabbit (A0208, 1:2000; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China) or goat anti-mouse (A0216, 1:2000; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China) IgG horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 45 minutes at RT. Then, the membrane was washed using Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 buffer (TBST) thrice for 7 minutes at each time. The signals were detected using electrochemiluminescence substrates (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

Isolation of Macrophages
Murine peritoneal macrophage cells were isolated from eight-week old female BALB/C mice (the Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China). Briefly, 3% Brewer thioglycollate medium (1mL per mouse daily, for 3 days) was injected into the peritoneal cavity 4 days before euthanization of the mice. After euthanized by rapid cervical dislocation, the mice were subjected to laparotomy by making an incision along the midline. Intraperitoneal injection with ice-cold PBS was performed by 10-mL syringe, and then the fluid was collected into a 50-mL centrifuge tube for further centrifugation at 400g for 10 minutes, at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended gently by ice-cold DMEM/F12 with 10% FBS and seeded into a 6-well cell culture plate (2×106 cells per well). After 24 hours, the non-adherent cells were removed by gently washing with PBS. The adherent macrophages were subjected to 3D co-culture assay.

3D Co-Culture of BRCA Cells and Macrophages
To establish a 3D co-culture system, 50% Matrigel (Matrigel: DMEM=1:1) was added into 96-well plates (45μL per well) and incubated for 100 minutes at 37°C in a humified atmosphere of 5% CO2. MCF-7 (7000 cells per well), BT-474 (11,000 cells per well) or MDA-MB-231 (7000 cells per well) after transfected with YBX1 small interfering RNA (siRNA) or scrambled siRNA for 24 hours were mixed with peritoneal macrophage cells (7000 cells per well) in the pre-coated 96-well plate, and co-cultured using DMEM with 10% FBS and 2% matrigel for another 48 hours.

CCK-8 Assay
A cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8; CK04-500T, Dojindo Laboratories Co. Ltd, Kumamoto, Japan) was applied to assess cell viability. 5% CCK-8 solution (CCK-8: DMEM=5:95) was added into 96-well plates (100mL per well), and the absorbance at 450nm was assessed by a multi-label counter after 15–60 minutes of incubation in dark at 37°C.

Isolation of CD8+ T Cells
Firstly, mononuclear cells were isolated from peripheral blood samples of healthy adult donors by Ficoll-Paque PLUS (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA, USA) density gradient centrifugation. Cell extracts were incubated with anti-CD8 antibody-coated magnetic microbeads (#110-045-201, Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) for 15 min at 4°C, and then loaded onto a magnetic activated cell sorter (MACS) column that was placed in the magnetic field of the OctoMACS separator (#110-024-109, Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). After
washes with PBS, the column was removed from the magnetic field and CD8+ T cells were eluted.

**Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for Co-Culture Cytokines**

To evaluate the interaction between BRCA cells and CD8+ T cells, the co-culture systems were established. Firstly, the CD8+ T cells were stimulated with 3ng/mL of phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) and 1μg/mL of ionomycin, and the cancer cells were transfected by siRNA. Then, the activated CD8+ T cells and the transfected cancer cells were cocultured in 35mm cell culture dishes at a ratio of 5:1 for 48 hours. The culture medium was collected after centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 20 minutes, and the secreted interleukin-2 (IL-2) and interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) in the medium were measured by ELISA kits (Mbio, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Assay for CD8+ T Cell Cytotoxicity to BRCA Cells**

MCF-7, BT-474, or MDA-MB-231 cells after siRNA transfection were co-cultured with activated CD8+ T cells for 48 hours (cancer cells:T cells = 5:1). The cytotoxicity of CD8+ T cells was determined by measuring lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release from damaged cells using LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (C0016; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). According to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the co-culture plate was centrifuged at 400×g for 5 minutes, and 120μL of supernatant from each well was aspirated and transferred to a new well and mixed with 60μL of LDH detection reagent. After incubation at RT for 30 minutes, the absorbance at 490nm and 600nm was measured with a multi-label counter and the amount of released LDH was calculated.

**siRNA Interference and Transfections**

YBX1-siRNA was purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). The targeting sequences were as follows (gene sense, antisense). YBX1 (#1): 5′-CGUAACCAUUA UAGACGCUTT-3′, 5′-AGCGUCUAUAUGGUUACG TT-3′; YBX1 (#2): 5′-GGAUAUGGUUUAUACATT -3′, 5′-UGUUGAUGAAACCAUACCTT-3′; negative control: 5′-UUUCUCGAAACGGUCACGTT-3′, 5′-ACUGACAGGUGAGGAATT-3′. BRCA cells were seeded into six-well plates generally with a confluence of 30%. Transfections without serum were conducted with 80nM YBX1 siRNA or scrambled siRNAs using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 6 hours. After additional 24-hour culture with serum, the cells were subjected to the above-mentioned assays.

**Statistical Analysis**

The results generated in Oncomine are displayed with P-values and median rank. The Kaplan–Meier survival curves are displayed with HR and P-values from a Log rank test. The correlation between different genes was evaluated by the Spearman correlation test. In cellular experiments, data in bar graphs are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) from at least three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was conducted with the Student’s unpaired t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Decreased Expression of YBX1 in BRCA**

We examined YBX1 mRNA levels in various cancers in the Oncomine database. The mRNA expression of YBX1 was lower in bladder, esophageal, and lung cancers, leukemia, myeloma, sarcoma, and especially in BRCA compared with the corresponding normal tissues; while higher expression of YBX1 was observed in bladder, brain, cervical, esophageal, head and neck, kidney, and ovarian cancers, myeloma, sarcoma and lymphoma (Figure 1A and B). RNA sequencing data from TIMER verified the findings by showing the significantly lower levels of YBX1 in BRCA, and the significantly higher ones in ESCA (esophageal carcinoma), HNSC (head and neck squamous cell carcinoma), KICH (kidney chromophobe cell carcinoma), LIHC (liver hepatocellular carcinoma), and STAD (stomach adenocarcinoma) (Figure 1C). Additionally, the protein array from CCLE database revealed a mean YB1 protein level below the baseline in BRCA cells, which also indicated the decreased expression of YBX1 in BRCA (Figure 1D).

**YBX1 Level is Higher in BRCA Subtypes with Worse Prognosis**

To further understand the expression pattern of YBX1, BRCA samples were divided into subgroups according to clinicopathological features (Figure 2A–H). The UALCAN analysis showed that YBX1 expression decreased along with patient age (Figure 2B). Moreover, despite the decreased levels in the overall BRCA patient population, YBX1 was overexpressed particularly in the
genomic subtype of TP53-mutant BRCA (Figure 2E), and in the molecular subtype of TNBC (Figure 2F and G) which were known to carrying worse prognosis.\(^{35}\) However, there was no exact relationship between \(YBX1\) expression and tumor stage or nodal metastasis status (Figure 2D and H). To verify these findings, the clustering of several clinicopathological parameters was performed according to the \(YBX1\) mRNA level using cBioPortal database, and the BRCA with high histologic grade, as well as the TNBC, were clustered to the side of high \(YBX1\) expression (Figure 3A). Besides, immunohistochemical and Western blot analyses of YB1 in different type of cancer cell lines. \(**P<0.01, ***P< 0.001\) compared with corresponding normal tissues.

High Expression of \(YBX1\) Predicts Shorter Survival in BRCA Patients

Survival data of BRCA from GEO, EGA, and TCGA were meta-analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier plotter. For the entire BRCA population, the OS and RFS in \(YBX1\) high-expressing...
Figure 2. Subgroup analysis of YBX1 mRNA level in BRCA by UALCAN database.

Notes: Boxplot for relative mRNA levels of YBX1 in normal tissues and BRCA samples with different (A) genders; (B) age stages; (C) histologic subtypes; (D) cancer stages; (E) TP53 mutation status; (F) molecular subtypes; (G) triple-negative BRCA subtypes; (H) nodal metastasis status. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 compared with normal tissues.

Abbreviations: BRCA, breast cancer; IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; ILC, invasive lobular carcinoma; INOS, infiltrating—not otherwise specified; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; TP53, tumor protein 53; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.
group were significantly lower than those in YBX1 low-expressing one (OS: HR = 1.67, 95% CI 1.27–2.18, \(P=0.0017\); RFS: HR = 1.83, 95% CI 1.61–2.09, \(P=1\times10^{-6}\); Figure 4A and B). The difference in survival outcomes remained significant after adjustment for potential confounds including patient age, sex, ethnicity and tumor stage using the TIMER tool (Supplementary Figure 1). To determine whether the worse outcomes of the YBX1 high-expressing group was due to the poorer prognosis of the TNBC subtype, we evaluated the OS and RFS in the four molecular subtypes of BRCA (luminal A, luminal B, TNBC, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 [HER2]-enriched).

Interestingly, the significantly lower survival rates of YBX1 high-expressing groups were demonstrated not in the HER2-enriched or TNBC subtypes, but in the two luminal subtypes (luminal A: OS: HR = 1.93, 95% CI 1.22–3.05, \(P=0.0042\); RFS: HR = 1.85, 95% CI 1.51–2.28, \(P=3.1e^{-9}\); luminal B: OS: HR = 1.08, 95% CI 0.68–1.70, \(P=0.75\); RFS: HR = 1.29, 95% CI 1.02–1.62, \(P=0.03\); HER2-enriched: OS: HR = 0.90, 95% CI 0.43–1.86, \(P=0.77\); RFS: HR = 0.90, 95% CI 0.57–1.43, \(P=0.65\); TNBC: OS: HR = 1.44, 95% CI 0.80–2.58, \(P=0.22\); RFS: HR = 1.10, 95% CI 0.81–1.50, \(P=0.53\); Table 1, Figure 4A and B). Taken together, these results suggest the independent prognostic value of YBX1 in BRCA rather than the impact of confounding factors or the overexpression of YBX1 in TNBC.

To better understand potential mechanisms of the poorer prognosis in YBX1 high-expressing BRCA, we analyzed the correlation between YBX1 level and patients’ clinicopathological characteristics based on data in the Kaplan–Meier plotter database. High expression of YBX1 was associated with shorter RFS in estrogen receptor (ER)-positive patients (HR = 1.84, 95% CI 1.41–2.41, \(P=6.4e^{-6}\); Table 1), justifying the worse prognosis of luminal BRCA with high YBX1 expression. In particular, a stronger correlation was observed between YBX1 overexpression and negative lymph node status (OS: HR = 1.79, 95% CI: 1.22–2.62, \(P=0.0025\)) as compared to positive lymph node status (OS: HR = 1.05, 95% CI 0.71–1.55, \(P=0.80\); Table 1). This finding suggests that YBX1 expression level has a greater impact on the prognosis of BRCA patients without lymph node metastasis.

**YBX1 is Correlated with Macrophage Polarization from M1 to M2 in BRCA**

Immune infiltrates play an important role in predicting clinical outcomes in various cancers including BRCA.\(^{36,37}\) Here, we evaluated the association between YBX1 and immune cell infiltration in BRCA via the TIMER database. For the entire BRCA population as well as the four molecular subtypes except for TNBC, YBX1 was significantly negative correlated with tumor purity (BRCA: \(r_s=-0.155, P=8.27e-7\); BRCA-luminal A: \(r_s=-0.225, P=3.85e-9\); BRCA-luminal B: \(r_s=-0.195, P=6.48e-3\); BRCA-HER2: \(r_s=-0.241, P=3.98e-2\); BRCA-TNBC: \(r_s=0.167, P=2.69e-2\); Figure 5A–E), suggesting the increasing immune cell infiltration along with increasing expression of YBX1. When we examined individual infiltrating cell types, we found a significant positive correlation between YBX1 and macrophages in the entire BRCA population and in patients with the luminal subtypes but not in HER2-enriched and TNBC patients (BRCA: \(r_s=0.222, P=1.50e-12\); BRCA-luminal A: \(r_s=0.224, P=2.81e-7\); BRCA-luminal B: \(r_s=0.257, P=3.29e-4\); BRCA-HER2: \(r_s=-0.040, P=7.36e-1\); BRCA-TNBC: \(r_s=0.024, P=7.56e-1\)). As macrophages in the tumor microenvironment can differentiate into M1 and M2 which possess opposite effects on tumor progression, we examined the relationship between the expression of M1 and M2 macrophage markers and YBX1 in the TIMER database. The results showed that the gene markers of M2 macrophages, namely CD163 (\(r_s=0.289, P=1.54e-20\)), VSIG4 (\(r_s=0.184, P=4.69e-9\)), and MS4A4A (\(r_s=0.220, P=2.54e-12\)) had stronger positive correlations with YBX1 expression than the M1 macrophage markers (iNOS: \(r_s=0.198, P=2.75e-10\); IRF5: \(r_s=0.061, P=5.62e-2\); COX2: \(r_s=0.243, P=8.91e-15\)) in BRCA (Table 2). Moreover, the tendency was more obvious in BRCA with luminal subtypes (luminal A: CD163: \(r_s=0.271, P=1.45e-4\); VSIG4: \(r_s=0.159, P=2.78e-2\); MS4A4A: \(r_s=0.189, P=8.82e-3\); iNOS: \(r_s=0.060, P=1.74e-1\); IRF5: \(r_s=0.046, P=2.93e-1\); COX2: \(r_s=-0.060, P=4.10e-1\); luminal B: CD163: \(r_s=0.271, P=1.45e-4\); VSIG4: \(r_s=0.159, P=2.78e-2\); MS4A4A: \(r_s=0.189, P=8.82e-3\); iNOS: \(r_s=0.084, P=2.47e-1\); IRF5: \(r_s=-0.039, P=5.90e-1\); COX2: \(r_s=-0.060, P=4.10e-1\); Table 2).

To validate the above findings, we analyzed data in the TIMER database and performed a macrophage-tumor cell co-culture assay. In the TIMER analysis, the immune infiltration estimation with GSE1456 dataset reviewed remarkable increases of M0 and M2, rather than M1, macrophage infiltration in YBX1 high-expressing group (Figure 6A). Moreover, when analyzing survival outcomes in relation to macrophage infiltration and YBX1 expression in BRCA

---

**Table 1**

| Marker     | Luminal A (OS: HR) | Luminal B (OS: HR) | HER2-enriched (OS: HR) | TNBC (OS: HR) |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| CD163      | 1.08, 95% CI 0.68–1.70 | 1.93, 95% CI 1.22–3.05 | 1.79, 95% CI 1.22–2.62 | 1.36, 95% CI 0.68–2.74 |
| VSIG4      | 1.08, 95% CI 0.68–1.70 | 1.93, 95% CI 1.22–3.05 | 1.79, 95% CI 1.22–2.62 | 1.36, 95% CI 0.68–2.74 |
| MS4A4A     | 1.08, 95% CI 0.68–1.70 | 1.93, 95% CI 1.22–3.05 | 1.79, 95% CI 1.22–2.62 | 1.36, 95% CI 0.68–2.74 |

**Figure 4A**

Comparison of immune cell infiltration between YBX1 low-expressing and high-expressing groups over time. The difference in survival outcomes remained significant after adjustment for potential confounds including patient age, sex, ethnicity and tumor stage using the TIMER tool (Supplementary Figure 1). To determine whether the worse outcomes of the YBX1 high-expressing group was due to the poorer prognosis of the TNBC subtype, we evaluated the OS and RFS in the four molecular subtypes of BRCA (luminal A, luminal B, TNBC, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 [HER2]-enriched).

**Figure 5A**

Comparison of immune cell infiltration between YBX1 low-expressing and high-expressing groups over time. The difference in survival outcomes remained significant after adjustment for potential confounds including patient age, sex, ethnicity and tumor stage using the TIMER tool (Supplementary Figure 1). To determine whether the worse outcomes of the YBX1 high-expressing group was due to the poorer prognosis of the TNBC subtype, we evaluated the OS and RFS in the four molecular subtypes of BRCA (luminal A, luminal B, TNBC, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 [HER2]-enriched).

**Figure 6A**

Comparison of immune cell infiltration between YBX1 low-expressing and high-expressing groups over time. The difference in survival outcomes remained significant after adjustment for potential confounds including patient age, sex, ethnicity and tumor stage using the TIMER tool (Supplementary Figure 1). To determine whether the worse outcomes of the YBX1 high-expressing group was due to the poorer prognosis of the TNBC subtype, we evaluated the OS and RFS in the four molecular subtypes of BRCA (luminal A, luminal B, TNBC, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 [HER2]-enriched).
Figure 3 The correlation between YBX1 expression and clinicopathological parameters in BRCA.

Notes: (A) The clustering of clinicopathological parameters according to the YBX1 mRNA level using cBioPortal database. (B) Immunohistochemical staining of YB1 in BRCA samples with different molecular subtypes. (C) The different protein expression of YB1 in human breast epithelial cells and a series of BRCA cell lines. *P<0.05 and ***P<0.001 vs control group.

Abbreviations: BRCA, breast cancer; cBioPortal, the eBio Cancer Genomics Portal database; ns, not significant; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.
Figure 4 Survival curves comparing the high and low expression of YBX1 in BRCA by Kaplan–Meier plotter database.

Notes: (A) Survival curves of OS in the entire BRCA cohort and different subtypes; (B) Survival curves of RFS in the entire BRCA cohort and different subtypes.

Abbreviations: BRCA, breast cancer; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; RFS, relapse-free survival; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.
Table 1 Correlation of YBX1 Expression and Clinical Prognosis in Breast Cancer with Different Clinicopathological Parameters by Kaplan–Meier Plotter

| Clinicopathological Characteristics | Overall Survival (n=1402) | Relapse-Free Survival (n=3955) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                     | N. | Hazard Ratio | P-value | N. | Hazard Ratio | P-value |
| ER STATUS                           |    |              |         |    |              |         |
| Positive                            | 548 | 1.27(0.88–1.81) | 0.20 | 2061 | 1.24(1.06–1.47) | 0.0088 |
| Negative                            | 251 | 1.11(0.7–1.75) | 0.66 | 801  | 0.85(0.68–1.07) | 0.17  |
| HER2 STATUS                         |    |              |         |    |              |         |
| Positive                            | 129 | 0.73(0.36–1.49) | 0.39 | 252  | 0.99(0.64–1.52) | 0.95  |
| Negative                            | 130 | 1.34(0.55–3.26) | 0.52 | 800  | 1.84(1.41–2.41) | 6.4e-6 |
| INTRINSIC SUBTYPE                   |    |              |         |    |              |         |
| Luminal A                           | 410 | 1.93(1.22–3.05) | 0.0042 | 1295 | 1.85(1.51–2.28) | 3.1e-9 |
| Luminal B                           | 290 | 1.08(0.68–1.70) | 0.75 | 770  | 1.29(1.02–1.62) | 0.03  |
| HER2-enriched                       | 79  | 0.9(0.43–1.86) | 0.77 | 168  | 0.90(0.57–1.43) | 0.65  |
| Basal-like                          | 162 | 1.44(0.80–2.58) | 0.22 | 414  | 1.10(0.81–1.50) | 0.53  |
| LYMPH NODE STATUS                   |    |              |         |    |              |         |
| Positive                            | 313 | 1.05(0.71–1.55) | 0.80 | 1133 | 1.49(1.23–1.82) | 6.1e-5 |
| Negative                            | 594 | 1.79(1.22–2.62) | 0.0025 | 2020 | 1.44(1.21–1.70) | 2.4e-5 |

**Abbreviations:** ER, estrogen receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; N, number of patients; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.

In patients, we found a synergy of high YBX1 expression with high M2 infiltration which yielded the lowest survival outcome (Figure 6B). In the 3D macrophage-tumor cell co-culture system, although YBX1 knockdown could cause the inhibition of cell viability when BRCA cells were cultured alone, the addition of macrophages remarkably aggravated the inhibitory effect (Figure 7A and B). However, the phenomenon exist only in the luminal BRCA cells MCF-7 and BT-474, but not in TNBC cells MDA-MB-231 (Figure 7B). All these results indicate that YBX1 overexpression accelerates the luminal BRCA progression by promoting macrophage polarization from M1 to M2.

The Positive Correlation Between YBX1 and T Cell Exhaustion in BRCA

In addition to markers of tumor associated macrophages, gene markers of T cell exhaustion were demonstrated to correlate significantly with YBX1 expression as well (BRCA: IDO1: rs=0.388, P=4.93e-37; PDCD1: rs=0.249, P=1.52e-15; CTLA4: rs=0.321, P=2.54e-25; LAG3: rs=0.294, P=2.55e-21; TIM3: rs=0.169, P=8.62e-8; luminal A subtype: IDO1: rs=0.193, P=1.02e-5; PDCD1: rs=0.147, P=7.89e-4; CTLA4: rs=0.146, P=8.99e-4; LAG3: rs=0.070, P=1.10e-1; TIM3: rs=0.275, P=1.99e-10; luminal B subtype: IDO1: rs=0.224, P=1.78e-3; PDCD1: rs=0.187, P=9.51e-3; CTLA4: rs=0.163, P=2.37e-2; LAG3: rs=0.158, P=2.84e-2; TIM3: rs=0.186, P=9.73e-3; Table 2). Analysis from cBioPortal gave the similar correlation of YBX1 with IDO1, PDCD1, CTLA4 and LAG3 (Figure 6D). Since IDO1 and CTLA4 showed the strongest correlations with YBX1, immunohistochemical staining of IDO1, CTLA4 and YB1 was performed in luminal BRCA samples, and significant decreases of IDO1 and CTLA4 expression were demonstrated along with the downregulation of YB1 expression (Figure 6E). Additionally, scatter plot from LinkedOmics showed the significant positive association between YBX1 and some other immunosuppression-related genes such as CTPS, LYAR etc (Figure 6C).

To confirm the positive correlation between YBX1 and T cell suppression, a co-culture system was used to test the effect of YBX1 knockdown in BRCA cells on the functions of activated CD8+ T cells. YBX1 depletion resulted in significant increases in LDH release and secretion of IL-2 and IFN-γ in co-cultures of T cells with MCF-7 or BT-474 luminal BRCA cells but not MDA-MB-231 TNBC cells (Figure 7C–E). These results indicate that YBX1 overexpression in luminal BRCA induces T cell exhaustion thereby accelerating tumor progression.
**Discussion**

YBX1 is known as an oncogene that facilitates malignant progression and induces chemoresistance in many cancers.\(^{40}\) In this study, on the basis of studying the expression pattern of YBX1 in different BRCA subtypes, we further investigated the impact of immune cell infiltration along with YBX1 overexpression on the prognosis of BRCA. We found that high YBX1 expression predicted significantly shorter survival in BRCA, especially the luminal subtypes. Moreover, this correlation was

---

**Figure 5** Correlation of YBX1 expression with immune cell infiltration level in (A) the entire BRCA cohort; (B) the luminal A subtype; (C) the luminal B subtype; (D) the HER2-enriched subtype; and (E) the triple-negative subtype by TIMER database.

Abbreviations: BRCA, breast cancer; TIMER, the Tumor Immune Estimation Resource database; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.
Table 2 Correlation Analysis Between YBX1 and Markers of Immune Infiltrates via TIMER

| Description        | Gene markers | BRCA |       |       | BRCA-Luminal A |       |       | BRCA-Luminal B |       |
|--------------------|--------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|
|                    |              | None | Purity |       | None | Purity |       | None | Purity |       |
|                    |              | Cor  | P-value |     | Cor  | P-value |     | Cor  | P-value |     |
| B cell             | CD19         | 0.24 | 3.23e-16 | 0.18 | 2.62e-8 | 0.224 | 6.14e-8 | 0.0136 | 1.94e-3 | 0.185 |
|                    | CD79A        | 0.27 | 2.33e-19 | 0.200 | 1.84e-10 | 0.252 | 1.07e-9 | 0.151 | 5.67e-4 | 0.263 |
|                    |              |       |         |     |       |         |     |       |         |     |
| T cell (general)   | CD3D         | 0.292 | 5.46e-23 | 0.229 | 2.47e-13 | 0.308 | 6.05e-14 | 0.206 | 2.22e-6 | 0.295 |
|                    | CD3E         | 0.285 | 5.62e-22 | 0.223 | 1.13e-12 | 0.333 | 3.27e-16 | 0.240 | 3.47e-8 | 0.286 |
|                    | CD2          | 0.285 | 5.47e-22 | 0.226 | 6.27e-13 | 0.314 | 1.89e-14 | 0.223 | 3.00e-7 | 0.292 |
|                    |              |       |         |     |       |         |     |       |         |     |
| TAM                | CCL2         | 0.301 | 1.79e-24 | 0.245 | 5.12e-15 | 0.237 | 1.08e-8 | 0.113 | 1.20e-1 | 0.259 |
|                    | CD68         | 0.263 | 8.53e-19 | 0.216 | 5.30e-12 | 0.343 | 3.57e-17 | 0.294 | 8.37e-12 | 0.353 |
|                    | IL10         | 0.272 | 4.63e-20 | 0.216 | 5.29e-12 | 0.289 | 2.18e-12 | 0.212 | 1.21e-6 | 0.313 |
|                    |              |       |         |     |       |         |     |       |         |     |
| M1 Macrophage      | iNOS         | 0.202 | 1.30e-11 | 0.198 | 2.75e-10 | 0.054 | 1.95e-1 | 0.060 | 1.74e-1 | 0.103 |
|                    | IRF5         | 0.086 | 4.28e-3 | 0.061 | 5.62e-2 | 0.086 | 4.08e-2 | 0.046 | 2.93e-1 | 0.029 |
|                    | COX2         | 0.278 | 5.55e-21 | 0.243 | 8.91e-15 | 0.250 | 1.20e-9 | -0.060 | 4.10e-1 | 0.024 |
|                    |              |       |         |     |       |         |     |       |         |     |
| M2 Macrophage      | CD163        | 0.323 | 3.83e-28 | 0.289 | 1.54e-20 | 0.330 | 8.03e-16 | 0.270 | 1.45e-4 | 0.338 |
|                    | VSIG4        | 0.219 | 2.07e-13 | 0.184 | 4.69e-9 | 0.313 | 9.34e-14 | 0.160 | 2.78e-2 | 0.229 |
|                    | MS4A4A       | 0.274 | 2.46e-20 | 0.220 | 2.54e-12 | 0.370 | 7.58e-20 | 0.190 | 8.82e-3 | 0.303 |
|                    |              |       |         |     |       |         |     |       |         |     |
| T cell exhaustion  | IDO1         | 0.419 | 6.00e-48 | 0.388 | 4.93e-37 | 0.275 | 2.49e-11 | 0.193 | 1.02e-5 | 0.292 |
|                    | PDCD1        | 0.302 | 1.09e-24 | 0.249 | 1.52e-15 | 0.251 | 1.38e-9 | 0.147 | 7.89e-4 | 0.286 |
|                    | CTLA4        | 0.367 | 2.28e-36 | 0.321 | 2.54e-25 | 0.252 | 1.16e-9 | 0.146 | 8.99e-4 | 0.265 |
|                    | LAG3         | 0.336 | 3.86e-30 | 0.294 | 2.55e-21 | 0.142 | 6.66e-4 | 0.070 | 1.10e-1 | 0.256 |
|                    | TIM3         | 0.222 | 1.06e-13 | 0.169 | 8.62e-8 | 0.333 | 3.90e-16 | 0.275 | 1.99e-10 | 0.301 |

Abbreviations: BRCA, breast cancer; TAM, tumor-associated macrophage; TIMER, the Tumor Immune Estimation Resource database; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.
Figure 6 Verification of the correlation between YBX1 and immune cell infiltration in BRCA.

Notes: (A) Infiltration levels of different type of macrophages in samples from GSE1456 dataset with different YBX1 expression level. (B) Kaplan–Meier curves from the TIMER database showing the impacts of YBX1 expression and M1/M2 infiltration on survival of BRCA patients. (C) A scatter plot of correlation between YBX1 and various genes especially those associated with immunosuppression (annotated in red) in BRCA. (D) Correlation of YBX1 with IDO1, PDCD1, CTLA4 and LAG3 in BRCA verified by cBioPortal database. (E) Immunohistochemical staining of IDO1 and CTLA4 in luminal BRCA samples with different YB1 expression levels.

Abbreviations: BRCA, breast cancer; cBioPortal, the cBio Cancer Genomics Portal database; CTLA4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4; IDO1, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1; LAG3, lymphocyte activating 3; NES, normalized enrichment score; HR, hazard ratio; PDCD1, programmed cell death 1; TIMER, Tumor Immune Estimation Resource; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.
Figure 7: Effect of YBX1 knockdown on the cytotoxicity of macrophages and CD8+ T cells in co-culture system of BRCA cells and immunocytes.

Notes: (A) YBX1 was effectively knocked down by siRNAs in MCF-7, BT-474, and MDA-MB-231 cells. (B) BRCA cells with or without knockdown of YBX1 were cultured alone or co-cultured with peritoneal macrophages, and cell viability was evaluated. For luminal BRCA cells, namely MCF-7 and BT-474, the decrease of cell viability after YBX1 knockdown were more dramatic in co-cultures compared with the single culture system. However, no difference was observed for MDA-MB-231 TNBC cells. (C) IL-2 production by activated CD8+ T cells co-cultured with BRCA cells transfected with YBX1 siRNA or scrambled negative control siRNA. YBX1 knockdown significantly increased IL-2 secretion in co-cultures of CD8+ T cells with MCF-7 or BT-474 cells but not in the co-culture with MDA-MB-231 cells. (D) IFN-γ production by activated CD8+ T cells co-cultured with BRCA cells transfected with YBX1 or scrambled negative control siRNA. YBX1 knockdown significantly increased IFN-γ secretion in the co-cultures of CD8+ T cells and MCF-7 or BT-474 cells but not in the co-culture with MDA-MB-231 cells. (E) The relative survival of BRCA cells represented by the release of LDH in co-cultures of CD8+ T cells and BRCA cells with or without YBX1 knockdown. YBX1 depletion markedly reduced the survival of MCF-7 and BT-474 cells but not MDA-MB-231 cells. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 compared with corresponding negative control groups.

Abbreviations: BRCA, breast cancer; IFN-γ, interferon-γ; IL-2, interleukin-2; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; NC, negative control; YBX1, Y-box binding protein 1.
demonstrated to be associated with M2 macrophage infiltration and T cell exhaustion.

Several studies have reported an overall decrease in \( \text{YBX1} \) expression in BRCA.\(^{41-43} \) Our study confirms it and further reveals the differential expression of \( \text{YBX1} \) in the four molecular subtypes. \( \text{YBX1} \) was downregulated in the luminal BRCA subtypes but upregulated in TNBC compared to normal tissues. A possible explanation for the opposite patterns is that \( \text{YBX1} \) is negatively correlated with ER and progesterone receptor (PR) levels.\(^{46-47} \) Kuwano et al summarized seventy independent studies involving \( \text{YBX1} \) expression in cancers and demonstrated positive correlation of \( \text{YBX1} \) with common oncogenes such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), androgen receptor (AR), and ATP binding cassette subfamily B member 1 (\( \text{ABCB1} \)), but a strong negative correlation with ER\( \alpha \) in BRCA.\(^{48} \) Bansal et al assessed the expression of ER, PR and YB1 by immunohistochemistry in the specimens of seventy-four BRCA patients, finding significantly negative correlation of YB1 with ER and PR expression.\(^{49} \) Since hormone receptors, ER and PR, signify luminal BRCA rather than TNBC, \( \text{YBX1} \) is more prone to be expressed highly in TNBC rather than luminal BRCA.

As for prognosis, the present study found that high expression of \( \text{YBX1} \) predicted worse clinical outcomes in BRCA in general but especially in the luminal subtypes. However, a retrospective study that analyzed the clinico-pathologic characteristics of 4049 BRCA cases showed that \( \text{YBX1} \) overexpression was linked to shorter RFS and disease-specific survival across all BRCA subtypes including HER2-positive BRCA and TNBC.\(^{50} \) This inconsistency may be explained by methodological differences in the detection of \( \text{YBX1} \) expression. Our study detected the mRNA level of \( \text{YBX1} \) from GEO, EGA, and TCGA using the JetSet best probe set 208628_s_at, whereas the previous study assessed the protein level of \( \text{YBX1} \) from a large tumor tissue microarray by immunohistochemistry. The incomplete correlation between the expression profiles of \( \text{YBX1} \) mRNA and protein could underlie the observed discrepancy in clinical outcomes.\(^{49,50} \) Nonetheless, the conclusion can be drawn that, for luminal BRCA, the subtypes with positive expression of hormone receptors and less frequency of \( \text{YBX1} \) expression, high \( \text{YBX1} \) expression can be identified as a predictive biomarker of poor survival.

Given the downregulation and the prognostic value of \( \text{YBX1} \) expression in luminal BRCA, we can infer that even if not participating in tumorigenesis, \( \text{YBX1} \) overexpression is involved in the progression of luminal BRCA. As tumor microenvironment alteration is a vital factor in tumor progression,\(^{51} \) we explored whether the worse prognosis of \( \text{YBX1} \) high-expressing groups resulted from immune cell infiltration into the tumor microenvironment. Our analysis of public datasets along with results from the immunocyte–tumor cell co-culture assay revealed the positive correlation between \( \text{YBX1} \) and M2 macrophage infiltration and T cell exhaustion in BRCA. M2 macrophages exhibit a protumoral phenotype and T cell exhaustion leads to immune escape of cancer cells, which together facilitate tumor progression including relapse in situ and distant metastasis.\(^{52,53} \) Interestingly, consistent with the prognostic results, the significant correlation of \( \text{YBX1} \) with M2 infiltration and T cell exhaustion was only observed in luminal BRCA rather than other subtypes, implying that these immune mechanisms contributed to the reduced survival of patients with high \( \text{YBX1} \) expression. Recent investigations have provided some potential mechanisms about the impact of \( \text{YBX1} \) on macrophage activities.\(^{54,55} \) For example, Hessman et al evaluated the effect of YB1 on the tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF\( \alpha \))-TNF receptor interaction in macrophages with the flow cytometric TNF\( \alpha \) binding assay, demonstrating that YB1 competed with TNF\( \alpha \) for receptor binding and thereby inhibited the TNF\( \alpha \) signaling cascade that induces macrophage polarization from M2 to M1.\(^{54} \) This was supported by our finding that \( \text{YBX1} \) promoted macrophage polarization from M2 to M2. For another thing since luminal BRCA with high \( \text{YBX1} \) expression suffered from greater T cell exhaustion and presented higher levels of \( \text{IDO1} \) and \( \text{CTLA4} \), \( \text{IDO1}/\text{CTLA4} \) inhibitors, namely ipilimumab and indoximod, may bring more clinical benefits for this subgroup. Moreover, the addition of M1 polarization agents, namely IFN-\( \gamma \) and lipopolysaccharide, was suggested to augment the treatment efficacy. Thus, a promising therapeutic strategy for \( \text{YBX1} \) high-expressing luminal BRCA may be hidden in the combination of surgery, chemotherapy drugs, antiestrogens, \( \text{IDO1}/\text{CTLA4} \) inhibitors and M1 polarization agents, which warrants evaluation in clinical trials.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, most of the data analyzed in this study were obtained from the online databases. As for our own clinical cases, the lack of systematic follow-up made it difficult to accurately infer prognosis. Secondly, although statistically significant, the correlation between \( \text{YBX1} \) and the markers of T cell
exhaustion were not strong; therefore, large-sample studies with the expression data for \textit{YBX1}, \textit{IDO1} and \textit{CTLA4} are needed to verify the practical significance of this finding. Finally, our study lacked in vivo experimental data. Even a 3D co-culture system with immunocytes cannot replace animal models, which should be included in any future investigations.

In conclusion, high mRNA level of \textit{YBX1} predicts worse prognosis in BRCA especially with luminal subtypes, which may be associated with M2 macrophage infiltration and T cell exhaustion. These findings enlighten the combination of classic therapeutics with immune checkpoint inhibitors and M1 polarization agents for \textit{YBX1} high-expressing luminal BRCA patients in the future trials.
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