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Abstract

Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer in women today, and it ranks second after lung cancer with a very high mortality rate. If it is detected late, the treatment of breast cancer becomes very difficult. Although there are various methods for the detection of breast cancer, there is still a need for auxiliary diagnosis and treatment methods. In this study, a hybrid method is proposed to investigate the development of basal-like breast tumors and classify basal-like breast cancer types using histopathological images. In the study, firstly, appropriate features that support the accurate classification between tumor and non-tumor regions are extracted from histopathological images. Then the dataset is created by combining the obtained features. In the last stage of the study, the classification of images is carried out by using bag of words (BoW) and deep neural networks (DNN) techniques in a hybrid manner. Generally, immunohistochemical markers are used for this classification, but the performance of these markers remains at 60%. The performance of the classification accuracy of the proposed system is increased with the proposed hybrid classifier based on feature fusion. As a result of the study, 94.5% classification accuracy is achieved on the training set, while 80.8% classification accuracy is succeed on the test set. As a result, it is verified that successful results are achieved in the classification of basal-like breast cancer on histopathological images using the proposed hybrid method based on feature fusion.
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Histopatolojik Görüntüler Kullanarak Göğüs Kanseri Sınıflandırması İçin Özellik Birleştirmeyle Dayalı Melez Bir Yöntem

Öz

Günümüzde kadınlarda en sık görülen kanser türü meme kanseridir ve ağiçer kanserinden sonra oldukça yüksek bir ölüm oranı sahiptir. Bu nedenle, meme kanserinin tedavisi oldukça zor bir duruma gelmektedir. Meme kanserinin tespiti için çeşitli yöntemler bulunmasına karşın, hala yardımcı tespit ve tedavi yöntemlerine olan ihtiyaç duyulmaktadır. Bu çalışmada, histopatolojik görüntüler kullanılarak bazal benzeri meme tümörlerinin sınıflandırılması için melez bir sistem önerilmiştir. Yapılan çalışmada, ilk önce tümörler ve tümör olmayan bölgeler arasında doğru sınıflandırmayı destekleyen işletimini çıkarılması sağlanmıştır. Daha sonra, elde edilen özelliklerin birleştirilmesi ve veriseti oluşturulmuştur. Çalışmanın son aşamasında ise, kelime çantası (bag of words) ve bag of words ve
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derin sinir ağları (deep neural networks) modelleri hibrit bir biçimde kullanarak görüntülerin sınıflandırma işlemi gerçekleştirilmiştir. Literatürde bu sınıflandırma için immunohistokimyasal belirteçler kullanılmaktadır, fakat bu belirteçlerin başarısları ise %60 seviyelerinde kalmaktadır. Bu çalışmada, histopatolojik görüntülenen elde edilen özellikler birleştirilerek, önerilen melez sınıflandırıcı ile sistemın sınıflandırma doğruluğunu artırılması sağlanmıştır. Gerçekleştirilen çalışma sonucunda, eğitim kümesi ile %94.5 sınıflandırma doğruluğuna ulaşılmış, test kümesi ile %80.8 sınıflandırma doğruluğu sağlanmıştır. Böylece, histopatolojik görüntüler üzerinde bazal benzeri göğüs kanserinin sınıflandırılmasında özellik birleştirmeye dayalı önerilen melez yöntem ile başarılı sonuçlara ulaşıldıగ doğrulanmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Göğüs kanseri, Sınıflandırma, Histopatolojik görüntüler, Derin sinir ağları, Kelime çantası, Özellik birleştirme.

1. Introduction

According to global cancer statistics in a study including 185 countries, cancer is the second-leading cause of death worldwide after heart diseases (Sung et al., 2021). In addition, according to the data of the World Health Organization (WHO), breast cancer is the most common cancer in women worldwide contributing to 25.4% of the total number of new cancer cases.

Moreover, breast cancer has the second mortality rate after lung cancer (Han et al., 2017). According to a research conducted by The American Cancer Society in 2021, it is estimated that approximately 281,550 new cases of invasive breast cancer will be diagnosed in women in the United States, 49,290 new cases of ductal carcinoma will be diagnosed, and 43,600 women will die because of breast cancer (ACS, 2021). Worldwide, a recent report shows that 2,261,419 new cases of breast cancer were diagnosed in one year and 684,996 people died from breast cancer (Sung et al., 2021). These numbers are expected to increase year by year.

Traditional methods such as mammography, ultrasound and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging are used in the diagnosis of breast cancer (Dandil & Serin, 2020). Although these auxiliary imaging methods are used in the diagnosis of breast cancer, histopathological images are preferred for accurate diagnosis. Pathologists make definitive diagnosis of the disease with biopsy, but detailed examination with the help of a microscope can take a longer time. In addition, sometimes the accurate result cannot be achieved in cases due to the expert-based reasons such as fatigue and lack of experience. For these reasons, technologies such as machine learning, deep learning and image processing have been frequently used in the histopathological examination of tissue samples in recent years (Wang et al., 2016). For the diagnosis of breast cancer, experts examine the textual features, detect the differences in the normal breast structure, and assess the tissues stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) with a microscope. Technologies that can perform computer-aided automatic diagnosis have started to be used frequently since they can be used as a faster and helpful tool in cancer detection. Classification accuracy can be increased and differences of opinion among experts can be reduced by these methods (Kumar et al., 2020).

Breast cancer is divided into five different subtypes as Luminal A, Luminal B, normal-like, HER-2 overexpression and basal-like (Badowska-Kozakiewicz & Budzik, 2016; Dai et al., 2015). Basal-like tumors are known as a subtype of breast cancer defined by gene and protein expression. Basal-like tumors are seen at a high rate among all types of breast cancer. Basal-like breast cancer is prevalent among younger women. These tumors show aggressive behavior and have a poor prognosis (Çevik et al., 2021). Although basal-like tumors are characterized by using many distinctive features, there is not yet a fully proposed system for both defining subtypes of breast cancer at the clinical level and systematically classifying them. Although immunohistochemical markers are used in the classification of basal-like breast cancer, the performance of these markers is not very high (Badowska-Kozakiewicz & Budzik, 2016).

In the previous studies proposed for the diagnosis of breast cancer, early detection and treatment have been shown to significantly improve survival rates of the patient (Jones et al., 2015). It is often preferred to use microscopic images for the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer (Ibrahim et al., 2015). Pathologists assess the clinical findings of the disease as well as the microscopic examination at the diagnosis stage (Badve et al., 2011). In this process, the classification of the type of breast cancer and the accurate determination of its stage may also vary according to the professional experience of the physicians. For this reason, it is very important to develop and propose computer-aided automatic secondary auxiliary tools that physicians can use in their decision-making processes.

Computer-aided automatic diagnosis systems have an important place in the assessment of medical images (Öztürk & Akdemir, 2018). Especially in recent years, with the increase in cancer cases, automatic analysis of histopathological images has become prominent (Sertel et al., 2010). In order to achieve higher accuracy in automatic detection/diagnosis systems, it has become widespread to combine different methods and therefore to use hybrid methods. These methods facilitate the process by helping the expert in the decision-making process (Mikhaylov & Bakhshiev, 2017). A brief review of some of the studies previously proposed for the diagnosis of breast cancer based on the analysis of histopathological images is presented in Table 1.

In this study, a hybrid system is proposed for the classification of basal-like breast cancer types using histopathological images. Texton features, network features, morphometric features and gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) features were extracted from histopathological images, similar to the previous studies proposed for the detection of breast cancer. Then the features were combined using feature fusion. Unlike previous studies, in the final stage of the study, the classification process of basal-like breast cancer images was carried out using a hybrid model obtained by combining bag of words (BoW) and deep neural networks (DNN) models. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section II, the material and method contents are detailed within the scope of the study, and sub-sections such as the general block diagram of the model, the used methods, feature extraction techniques, and the used dataset are mentioned. In Section III, experimental research results and discussion are presented. In this section, the findings are presented in detail, the results are evaluated graphically. In the last section, the results obtained from the study and the future studies are presented.
Table 1. A brief review of some of the previous studies proposed for the diagnosis of breast cancer

| (Reference, Year) | Method                                                                 | Evaluation                                                                 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (Azar & El-Said, 2013) | PNN (probabilistic neural networks), MLP (multi-layer perceptron) and RBF (radial basis function) | PNN method produced better results                                         |
| (Abdel-Zaher & Eldeib, 2016) | Liebenberg Marquardt learning function, DBN-NN (deep belief network path) | High accuracy was achieved                                                 |
| (Öztürk & Akdemir, 2018) | SVM (support vector machine), k-NN (k-nearest neighbors), LDA (linear discriminant analysis) and boosted tree | The most successful results are in the combination of SFTA (Segmentation-based Fractal Texture Analysis) and Boosted Tree |
| (Nahid et al., 2018) | CNN (convolutional neural network) and LSTM (long short term memory) combination | Using BreakHis dataset, high accuracy, precision and F-measure scores were achieved |
| (Mohammed et al., 2018) | Multi-fractal dimension features                                         | A high level of sensitivity was achieved                                   |
| (Khameneh et al., 2019) | CNN and SVM                                                             | The proposed method was shown to outperform when comparing other approaches |
| (Budak et al., 2019) | FCN (fully convolutional network), Bi-LSTM (bidirectional long short term memory) | The performance on BreakHis database was found to be better than other methods |
| (Öztürk & Akdemir, 2019) | CNN based HIC-Net                                                       | High sensitivity, specificity and accuracy scores were obtained             |
| (Sudharshan et al., 2019) | MIL (multi instance learning)-CNN based on APR, Diverse Density, MI (multi instance)-SVM, citation k-NN | They stated that the proposed method had the best overall results          |
| (Yan et al., 2020) | Hybrid convolutional and recurrent DNN                                   | High performance with average accuracy highlighted                         |
| (Kumar et al., 2020) | VGGNet-16 based CNN                                                     | For CMTHis and BreakHis databases, high average accuracy achieved          |
| (Dandil & Serin, 2020) | DNN                                                                     | The results were compared using four different pre-trained backbones such as DenseNet201, Inception V3, ResNet50 and Xception |
| (Çevik et al., 2021) | DNN with transfer learning                                              | High accuracy was achieved                                                |
| (Zewdie et al., 2021) | CNN-based ResNet50 pre-trained network                                   | High accuracy was achieved                                                |

2. Material and Method

In this proposed study, a hybrid system based on feature fusion was designed for the classification of basal-like breast tumors on histopathological images. Firstly, useful features that support the accurate classification of tumor and non-tumor regions were extracted. The dataset was created by combining the obtained features. In the last stage of the study, the classification of breast cancer was provided by using BoW and DNN models in a hybrid manner. In order to test the developed system, publicly-available datasets were used. The block diagram of the stages carried out in the study is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Block diagram of the proposed system for the classification of breast cancer on histopathological images](image)

2.1. Dataset

In this study, experimental studies conducted for breast cancer classification were carried out on breast cancer histopathology images presented in publicly available Breast Histopathology Images dataset (Cruz-Roa et al., 2014; Janowczyk & Madabhushi, 2016). The images in the original e-ISSN: 2148-2683
dataset consist of 277,524 50×50 pixel patches extracted from 281 slide images of 281 breast cancer (BCa) specimens that were scanned at 40X. Of the images obtained, 198,738 were diagnosed as invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) negative, and 78,786 were diagnosed as IDC positive. Figure 2 denotes the IDC negative and positive sample images in the Breast Histopathology Images dataset.

2.2. Feature Extraction and Feature Fusion

In this stage of the study, texton features, network features, morphometric features and gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) features were extracted from the positive and negative histopathological images in the Breast Histopathology Images dataset and feature fusion was used for classification with BoW and DNN methods.

2.2.1. Texton Features

Khur et al. (Khur et al., 2010) proposed a texton classification system for the staging of prostate cancer. This feature extraction was called texton since a clustering-based filtering was applied to determine the basic level texton elements. An unchanged filter bank was used at each pixel level to extract texton features. For this feature extraction, the properties of the Maximum Response (MR) filter bank presented by Warma and Zisserman were obtained (Chekkoury et al., 2012). These filter banks are the MR8 filter, the Gaussian filter, and the Laplacian of Gaussian filter.

2.2.2. Morphometric Features

Within the scope of the study, the morphometric features determined for the histopathological images capture the variation in the size and shape of the cell nuclei on the image in accordance with the parameters determined by the pathologists (Bloom & Richardson, 1957). By this feature extraction method, three different types of morphometric features were investigated such as information extracted from the Hessian matrix, information provided by the Fourier shape descriptors, and a special designed feature extracted by encoding the spatial arrangement of nuclei surrounding a ductal structure (Chekkoury et al., 2012).

2.2.3. Network Features

As in pattern recognition problems, edge connection patterns between pairs of points that make up objects on an image are very important. The network features used in this study were obtained from Urquhart graphs based on the relative neighborhood graph of cell nuclei detected from histopathological images (Andrade & de Figueiredo, 2001). In the study, network cycles were used based on network statistics to capture special signals using Urquhart graphs and extra cellular matrices. In the experimental studies, related features were used depending on the weighted and unweighted lengths of different cycles.

2.2.4. Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) Features

The GLCM (gray-level co-occurrence matrix) features proposed by Haralick for the first time describe the statistical characteristics of a gray-level tissue (Clausi, 2002; Haralick et al., 1973). Relationships between pixels with different gray levels can be represented by GLCM features. If the image is two-dimensional (2D), features can be extracted from different GLCM direction angles. In this study, GLCM features extracted from histopathological H&E images were obtained with 0°, 45°, 90° and 135° angle directions and with a distance (d=2). GLCM features extracted from histopathological images for the classification of breast cancer are Angular Second Moment, Entropy, Dissimilarity, Contrast, Inverse Difference, Correlation, Homogeneity, Autocorrelation, Cluster Shade, Maximum probability, Cluster Prominence, Sum Average, Sum Entropy, Sum of Squares, Sum Variance, Difference Variance, Difference Entropy, Information measures of correlation-1, Information measures of correlation-2, Maximal correlation co-efficient, Inverse difference normalized, Inverse difference moment normalized, respectively.

2.2.5. Feature Fusion

In this study, feature fusion was performed for texton features, network features, morphometric features and GLCM features extracted from histopathological images, as seen in Figure 3. The feature set obtained from basal-like breast cancer images was classified by using the proposed hybrid method by combining with the BoW and DNN methods after the feature selection process.

2.2.6. Feature Selection

In the study, since the total number of texton features, network features, morphometric features and GLCM features extracted from histopathological images was very high, it was seen that the use of all of them together reduced the detection performance. For this reason, the most appropriate features were selected among the combined features. In the experimental studies, selection of appropriate features extracted from histopathological images was performed by Sequential Floating Forward Selection (SFFS) method via KNIME software (KNIME, 2021). SFFS is a general feature extraction method and is used to select the most effective features from large feature matrices according to the level of importance of features (Pudil et al., 1994). For feature selection, the architecture of block diagram shown in Figure 4 was designed by artificial neural networks in KNIME software.

![Figure 2. IDC (A1, A2, A3, A4, A5) positive and IDC (B1, B2, B3, B4, B5) negative histopathological image samples in the breast histopathology dataset](image)

![Figure 3. Feature fusion for the texton features, network features, morphometric features and GLCM features](image)
As a result of feature selection, the rank of each of the features was presented according to their importance in Figure 5. Therefore, the most appropriate features for the proposed hybrid method were selected and histopathological images were classified.

2.3. Classification

In the study, basal-like breast cancer classification based on feature fusion was performed by BoW and DNN methods, using texton features, network features, morphometric features and GLCM features extracted from histopathological images.

DNN is a different application of CNN architecture and is widely preferred in classification problems. DNN architecture also has similar features as 1D-CNN structure (Eren et al., 2019). In DNN architecture, the convolution layer used in CNN architectures is generally not used. Instead of this, dense layer is preferred. In this study, the DNN architecture was designed in the Python programming language using TensorFlow environment for the classification of the extracted features on the histopathological images, which is shown in Figure 6. The “adam” function was preferred as the optimizer in the designed DNN architecture.

Another method used in the study to create hybrid architecture is BoW, known as bag of words. The BoW model is a frequently used model in classification problems, especially in natural processing. The structure of BoW is derived from natural language processing and Information retrieval (Zhang et al., 2010). Today, BoW is widely used in the field of image processing. BoW represents an image as a set of features since the features on the image consist of key points and descriptors. In BoW, classification is performed by extracting the frequency histogram of the features in an image (Ali et al., 2015). Basically, BoW extracts the main feature of the images of the learned classes and converts them into a codebook to be used to compare the features of the images of the unknown classes to find the best class to represent the images of these unknown classes (Li et al., 2010). The block diagram showing the structure of the BoW algorithm in a classification problem is presented in Figure 7.

Figure 4. Block diagram designed in KNIME environment for feature selection

Figure 5. Ranking the features of importance

Figure 6. DNN architecture designed for classification of features extracted from histopathological images
3. Experimental Analysis

In this study, many experimental studies were conducted to verify the performance of the proposed DNN and BoW-based hybrid method for the classification of breast cancer from histopathological images. Experimental analyses were carried out by verifying the results and findings obtained as a result of experimental studies using measurement metrics. Experimental analyzes in this study were carried out using a desktop workstation computer with Asus Z390 motherboard, Intel Core i9-9900K 5 GHz processor, 32GB RAM memory, NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080Ti GPU, 256GB SSD hardware.

In the experimental studies, approximately 10% of the images in the Breast Histopathology Images dataset, which were diagnosed as 198,738 IDC negative (class 0), 78,786 IDC positive (class 1), were selected to be used in the study. A total of 12,423 images were randomly selected with a balanced class distribution. The selected dataset was divided into two subgroups as 80% (9938 images) for the training set and 20% (2485 images) for the test set. Experimental studies were carried out on these training and test sets.

In the experimental studies, feature fusion was performed for texton features, network features, morphometric features and GLCM features extracted from histopathological images. Basal-like breast cancer classification was performed by a hybrid approach based on BoW and DNN methods. Experimental studies were first performed using the BoW method. When the most appropriate features selected by SFFS among the features combined with feature fusion were classified using BoW, an average accuracy rate of 67% was achieved depending on the IDC negative (class 0) and IDC positive (class 1) classes. In the second phase of the experimental studies, only the DNN method was applied using the appropriate features selected from the combined features for the classification of breast cancer from histopathological images. For IDC negative (class 0) and IDC positive (class 1) classes, an average accuracy rate of 74.16% was achieved using the DNN method.

In order to achieve higher classification accuracy than the accuracy scores achieved by using DNN-only and BoW-only methods, a hybrid (hybrid) approach based on BoW and DNN methods was used to classify selected features. Some parameter values achieved for the training phase conducted using the BoW and DNN hybrid method after feature fusion and selection are shown in Figure 8. In addition, the board screen showing the changes in the accuracy and loss values of the proposed hybrid network during training is presented in Figure 9. As can be seen from both figures, the average accuracy score for the proposed hybrid network tends to fall above 90% and the average loss value tends to fall to 0.1. Therefore, it can be concluded that the training phase of the proposed network was successful.
The confusion matrices showing the classification results obtained on the training and test sets using the proposed hybrid method after the training phase is completed are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. As can be seen from these confusion matrices, 94.5% classification accuracy was achieved with the training dataset, while 80.8% classification accuracy was succeed with the test set.

Table 2. The confusion matrix showing the classification results obtained on the training set by the proposed hybrid method

| Output Class | Target Class | Accuracy | Error  |
|--------------|--------------|----------|--------|
| Class 0      | Class 0      | 93.8%    | 6.2%   |
| Class 1      | Class 0      | 97.2%    | 2.8%   |
| Accuracy     |              | 99.2%    | 0.8%   |
| Error        |              | 18.4%    | 5.5%   |

Table 3. The complexity matrix showing the classification results obtained on the test set by the proposed hybrid method

| Output Class | Target Class | Accuracy | Error  |
|--------------|--------------|----------|--------|
| Class 0      | Class 0      | 84.3%    | 15.7%  |
| Class 1      | Class 0      | 67.0%    | 33.0%  |
| Accuracy     |              | 90.8%    | 9.2%   |
| Error        |              | 47.4%    | 19.2%  |

4. Conclusion

The diagnosis of diseases in medical scans has some drawbacks as manual selection of the region of interest by experts, being open to human error, mostly being subjective/depending on experience, and causing unnecessary waste of time. In order to eliminate these problems, especially in recent years, the use of computer-aided secondary tools that help physicians in the decision-making process based on medical images for the diagnosis of many diseases, especially cancer has increased significantly. In this study, a hybrid method based on BoW and DNN methods is proposed to study the development...
of basal-like breast tumors and classify basal-like breast cancer types using histopathological images. In the study, first of all, useful texton features, network features, morphometric features and GLCM features that support accurate classification between tumor and non-tumor regions were extracted. Then after feature fusion was applied, the most appropriate features among all features were selected using SFFS. In the experimental studies performed using the proposed hybrid method based on the BoW and DNN methods, 94.5% classification accuracy was achieved for the training dataset, while 80.8% classification accuracy score was obtained for the test set. The proposed method is presented with a secondary tool structure as a computer aided decision support system that can assist physicians in the classification of breast cancer. In future studies, it is planned to create a original dataset from histopathological images for the classification of breast cancer and to achieve a high accuracy score in the studies to be performed on this dataset.
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