MAGIC discovery and multiwavelength observations of the BL Lac 1ES 1727+502
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Abstract: Blazars, active galactic nuclei whose jet axis is pointed towards the observer, constitute the most numerous class of extragalactic very high energy (VHE, E>100 GeV) γ-ray emitters. The MAGIC experiment, a system of two Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes located in the Canary Island of La Palma (Northern hemisphere), with an energy threshold of 50 GeV, is a well suited experiment for observations of such objects. Here we present the discovery of the BL Lac 1ES 1727+502 (z = 0.055) as VHE source. This object was identified as a promising TeV candidate based on archival data and the observation that lead to this detection was not triggered by any high state alert in other wavebands. The MAGIC observations are complemented by other observations are lower frequencies: optical data from the KVA telescope, UV, optical and X-ray archival data taken with the instruments on board the Swift satellite and high energy (HE, 300 MeV< E < 100 GeV) data from the Fermi-LAT instrument. We studied the spectral energy distribution of 1ES 1727+502 and interpreted it with a one-zone synchrotron self-Compton model with parameters that are typical for this class of sources.
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1 Introduction

The BL Lac 1ES 1727+502 is a blazar, an active galactic nuclei (AGN) with a relativistic jet pointing towards the observer. Currently there are about 50 very high energy (VHE, E>100 GeV) γ-ray emitting blazars, constituting the most numerous class of extragalactic sources in this energy range.

1ES 1727+502, located at a redshift z = 0.055 [1], was observed with the Whipple 10-m γ-ray telescope [2] and with the MAGIC-I telescope [3], without leading to a significant detection. It is also a source of high energy (HE, 300 MeV< E < 100 GeV) γ rays. The spectra observed by the Fermi-LAT experiment is hard (with spectral index 2.0 in the Fermi-LAT first source catalogue [4] and 1.8 in the second Fermi-LAT catalogue [5]). This, combined with the better sensitivity of the MAGIC experiment achieved after the installation of the second telescope, motivated the MAGIC observations which led to the first detection of this source in the VHE band [6,7].

2 MAGIC discovery

The VHE γ-ray observations were performed with the MAGIC experiment, a system of two Cherenkov telescopes located in the Canary Island of La Palma [8].

1ES 1727+502 was observed during 14 nights between May 6th and June 20th 2011. A quality selection based on the rates has been performed, removing runs taken during adverse atmospheric conditions or with technical problems. The effective time of the final data sample, corrected for the dead time of the triggers and the readout, is 12.6 h. Part of the data were taken under moderate moonlight and twilight conditions and were analyzed together with the dark night data [9]. The source was observed at zenith angles between 22° and 50°, in false-source tracking mode [10] alternating every 20 minutes between two sky positions at 0.4° offset from the source.

We have analysed the data with the standard MAGIC analysis framework [11] with adaptations incorporating stereoscopic observations [12]. As Fig.1 shows, we have detected a signal with a significance of 5.5 σ (calculated with the formula 17 of [13]). The integral flux above 150 GeV is (2.1 ± 0.4)% of the Crab Nebula flux and the fitted position of the excess is consistent with the catalogue coordinates (RA: 17h47m18.4s, Dec: 52°19′56″) [14] within (0.032±0.015σ)1σ and (0.025±0.012σ)2σ, and thus compatible within the expected statistical and systematic errors [15]. Comparing the extension of the excess to the point spread function of MAGIC (∼0.1° [16]), the source appears to be point-like. The spectrum was unfolded, in order to take the finite energy resolution of the instrument into account. In the same procedure the flux was corrected for the absorption due to the interaction with low energy photons of the extragalactic background light using the model described in [17]. The obtained differential flux can be described by a power law function dF/dE = f0(E/300 GeV)^-2 with the following values of the parameters: flux normalization f0 = (9.6 ± 2.5)×10^{-12} cm^{-2} s^{-1} TeV^{-1} and spectral index Γ = (2.7 ± 0.5). We estimate a 10% additional systematic uncertainty in the measured flux compared to [15] due to the interaction with low energy photons of the extragalactic background light using the model described in [17]. The obtained differential flux can be described by a power law function dF/dE = f0(E/300 GeV)^-2 with the following values of the parameters: flux normalization f0 = (9.6 ± 2.5)×10^{-12} cm^{-2} s^{-1} TeV^{-1} and spectral index Γ = (2.7 ± 0.5). We estimate a 10% additional systematic uncertainty in the measured flux compared to [15] due to...
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of the SED. It should be noticed that these archival Swift/UVOT data were taken on 2010 April 5 when the optical flux was already increasing but before it reached the highest value, on 2010 May 31. Unfortunately there were no simultaneous observation with the KVA telescope but the R-band SED point has a value of the flux, \(4.93 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-12}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), comparable to the spectral points obtained from Swift/UVOT data. Consequently, the archival Swift/UVOT can be regarded as representative of the baseline optical-UV flux and be included in the compilation of the multiwavelength SED.

### 3.3 KVA optical observations

1ES 1727+502 has been observed continually in the optical R-band as part of the Tuorla blazar monitoring program\(^2\) for more than ten years, starting from 2002. The observations were carried out with the 1 m Tuorla telescope and 35 cm KVA telescope in La Palma. The brightness of the object was inferred from calibration stars in the same CCD-frames as 1ES 1727+502 using differential photometry and comparison star magnitudes from\(^{23}\). The magnitudes are converted to fluxes using the standard formula and values from\(^{24}\).

1ES 1727+502 has a bright host galaxy, contributing >50% to the flux in the optical R-band\(^{25}\). To derive the \(vF_v\), in the optical band, this contribution is subtracted from the measured flux and in addition the brightness was corrected for galactic absorption by \(R=0.079\) mag\(^{26}\). The average \(vF_v\) during the MAGIC observations corresponds to \((4.93 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-12}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\).

Overall, the source showed mainly quiescent behaviour (as shown in Fig.4 with the exception of an increased R-band flux starting in March-April 2010, with a peak value of \(2.85 \pm 0.05\) mJy on 2010 May 31, which exceed the trigger criteria (>50% above the long-term average) for MAGIC observations. However, the adverse atmospheric conditions forced us to discard the MAGIC data. The source had almost returned to its quiescent flux, 2.0–2.2 mJy, in September 2010 and remained in this state also during the MAGIC observations performed in 2011.

### 3.4 Multiwavelength spectral energy distribution

The quasi-simultaneous multiwavelength data described in the previous sections have been used for the compilation of the SED, which has been modelled with a one-zone SSC model\(^{27}\). In this scenario, a blob of radius \(R\) populated by relativistic electrons and filled with a tangled magnetic field of intensity \(B\), is moving down the jet with a Doppler factor \(\delta\). The electrons emit synchrotron radiation, producing the low-energy peak in the SED. The \(\gamma\)-rays are produced by the same electron population up-scattering the synchrotron photons, resulting in the second peak in the SED.

The electron spectrum is assumed to be described by \(N(\gamma) = K\gamma^{-n}\left(1 + \gamma/\gamma_p\right)^{n-2}\). The parameter values that give a good match between the SSC model and the SED data are: the Lorentz factors \(\gamma_\text{min} = 100, \gamma_p = 3 \times 10^4, \gamma_\text{max} = 6 \times 10^2\), the slopes \(n_1 = 2, n_2 = 3.5\); and the electron density \(K = 8 \times 10^3\) cm\(^{-3}\). The parameters that describe the astrophysical environment are the magnetic field \(B = 0.1\) G, the radius \(R = 7 \times 10^{15}\) cm and the Doppler factor \(\delta = 15\) of the emitting region. These values are compatible with the values obtained with the sample analyzed in\(^{28}\).

### 4 Conclusions

The high frequency peaked BL Lac (HBL) 1ES 1727+502 shows little variability in the optical R-band, is bright in the X-ray band, has a hard spectrum in the HE \(\gamma\)-ray band and, as shown in this paper, is visible in the VHE \(\gamma\)-ray range. The discovery of this source as VHE \(\gamma\)-ray emitter demonstrates the importance of combining data at different wavelengths, namely radio, optical, X-ray, and the recently opened Fermi-LAT energy range, to help identify potential VHE \(\gamma\)-ray emitters. The MAGIC detection indeed confirms the prediction made by\(^{29, 30}\) more than ten years ago, using X-ray, optical and radio data. Of the 33 sources in the list they compiled, 21 have been already detected. They predicted a flux of \(0.7 \times 10^{-12}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) above 300 GeV and we observed a flux a factor of two higher \((1.6 \times 10^{-12}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\))

Furthermore it is also interesting to compare this result with the excess seen in the stacked AGN sample observed by MAGIC in mono mode\(^{21}\). The spectral index measured for 1ES 1727+502 in the MAGIC energy range is compatible with the average spectral index of the stacked AGN sample: \((2.7 \pm 0.5)\) compared to \((3.2 \pm 0.5)\). Finally, when compared to the sample of all blazars detected in VHE \(\gamma\)-rays, its spectral index has the value of a typical BL

---

2. http://users.utu.fi/kani/
Fig. 5: Multiwavelength spectral energy distribution fitted with a one-zone synchrotron self-Compton model \cite{17}. MAGIC observations, (red butterfly) have been corrected for the extragalactic background light absorption using the model \cite{17}. The data used for the fit (red triangles) are: optical from KVA, archival UV and optical from \textit{Swift}/UVOT, archival X-ray from \textit{Swift}/XRT, HE $\gamma$ rays from \textit{Fermi}-LAT (triangles, three months centred around the MAGIC observing period) and VHE $\gamma$ rays from MAGIC. We also show the 3-year LAT data (light blue triangles) and archival data (grey) from the ASI/ASDC archive (http://tools.asdc.asi.it/).
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