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Abstract

Background A striking characteristic of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is the coexistence of clinically mild and severe cases. A comprehensive analysis of multiple risk factors predicting progression to severity is clinically meaningful.

Methods The patients were classified into moderate and severe groups. The univariate regression analysis was used to identify their epidemiological and clinical features related to severity, which were used as possible risk factors and were entered into a forward-stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis to develop a multiple factor prediction model for the severe cases.

Results 255 patients (mean age, 49.1±SD 14.6) were included, consisting of 184 (72.2%) moderate cases and 71 (27.8%) severe cases. The common symptoms were dry cough (78.0%), sputum (62.7%), and fever (59.2%). The less common symptoms were fatigue (29.4%), diarrhea (25.9%), and dyspnea (20.8%). The univariate regression analysis determined 23 possible risk factors. The multiple logistic regression analysis identified seven risk factors closely related to the severity of COVID-19, including dyspnea, exposure history in Wuhan, CRP (C-reactive protein), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), calcium, lymphocytes, and age. The probability model for predicting the severe COVID-19 was P=1/1+exp(-1.78+1.02×age+1.62×high-transmission-setting-exposure+1.77×dyspnea+1.54×CRP+1.03×lymphocyte+1.03×AST+1.76×calcium). Dyspnea (OR=5.91) and hypocalcemia (OR=5.79) were the leading risk factors, followed by exposure to a high-transmission setting (OR=5.04), CRP (OR=4.67), AST (OR=2.81), decreased lymphocyte count (OR=2.80), and age (OR=2.78).

Conclusions This quantitative prognosis prediction model can provide a theoretical basis for the early formulation of individualized diagnosis and treatment programs and prevention of severe diseases.

Introduction

Since the end of 2019, an emerging infectious Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has become a global pandemic. As of July 1, 2020, COVID-19 had resulted in more than 10 million cases and more than 500,000 deaths globally [1]. A striking characteristic of COVID-19 is the coexistence of clinically mild and severe cases [2]. A cohort of 44,672 cases proved that 81%, 14%, and 5% were mild or moderate, severe, and critically ill cases, respectively [3]. As the susceptible population covers nearly the whole population, the timely identification of risk factors for poor prognosis is critical for appropriate therapy and substantially influences the prognosis.

Previous studies have roughly delineated several risk factors of poor progression of COVID-19, such as age, diabetes, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, obesity, and dyspnea [4–8]. Nevertheless, these studies usually investigated the association between a single risk factor and a subjective classification of cases, which is not suitable for an early risk assessment of multiple risk factors among an affected population.
SARS-CoV-2 often affects several vital organs via the widely distributed virus receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) [9]. These vital organs often include the heart, liver, kidney, and lungs, leading to numerous clinically abnormal manifestations, such as elevated inflammatory biomarkers, elevated serum enzymes indicating liver and cardiac damage, and unbalanced electrolytes. As the previous studies indicated, demographic features like age also affect the progression of COVID-19. Clinically, it is not appropriate to assess the prognosis of COVID-19 cases using each of the risk factors. A comprehensive analysis of multiple risk factors is more representative of the condition of patients. Multiple logistic regression is used to analyze the relationship between several predictors (independent variables) and an outcome (a dependent variable) that is often dichotomous in nature (such as the presence or absence of the severe condition in the present study) [10]. We enrolled a cohort of patients in three hospitals in Wenzhou of China and collected patients’ clinical features from the electronic medical data. This study aimed to develop a prediction model of severe COVID-19 cases using the multiple-factor logistic regression analysis of risk factors in the form of abnormal manifestations.

**Methods**

**Study Patients**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Ding Li Clinical College of Wenzhou Medical University and Sixth People’s Hospital of Wenzhou and followed the Declaration of Helsinki. Written consent was obtained from the patients. The patients were diagnosed to have COVID-19 according to the guidelines issued by the National Health and Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China [11]. The study enrolled 255 patients with COVID-19 aged over 16 years from January 17 to March 10 of 2020 in three hospitals in Wenzhou. All patients were confirmed by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) on the upper nasopharynx swabs to test for SARS-CoV-2. The epidemiological investigation was focused on the transmission mode through the history of travel or residence in the high-epidemic areas and the close contact with confirmed patients within 14 past days.

**Clinical typing**

Based on the guidelines mentioned above [11], the patients were assessed to give one of three clinical types, i.e., moderate, severe, and critically ill type. The criteria of moderate type include fever, respiratory symptoms, and with or without pneumonia suggested by radiographic presentations; the criteria of severe type include acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), respiratory rate of $\geq 30$ times/min, oxygen saturation of $\leq 93\%$ in a resting state, and arterial partial pressure of oxygen/inspired oxygen concentration (FiO2) of $\leq 300$mmHg; the criteria of critically ill type include the requirement of mechanic ventilation because of respiratory failure, shock, and organ failures that need monitoring in ICU. In this study for dichotomous outcome classification, the patients with a mild or moderate type were referred to as the moderate group, while the patients with severe or critically ill type were referred to as the severe group.

**Data Collection**
The patients’ data were collected by two trained physicians from the electric medical data in three hospitals, including demographic data, epidemiological data, laboratory examinations, and medical history of underlying diseases. The laboratory examinations consisted of routine etiological examinations, immunological biomarkers, as well as indices for monitoring the lungs, liver, myocardial, and renal functions. Chest CT scans were also retrieved for all patients.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were presented as mean±SD (standard deviation). Categorical variables were presented as counts and percentages. Means for continuous variables were compared using independent group t tests when values were normally distributed; otherwise, the Mann-Whitney test was used. Proportions for categorical variables between groups were compared using the Fisher exact test. Univariate and multiple logistic regression analysis was applied to identify risk factors of the severe COVID-19 cases. The variables that were identified by the univariate analysis with p-value <0.05 were used as possible risk factors and assigned a categorical value. These assigned values were entered into a backward-stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis. Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to evaluate the goodness of model fitting by the degree of agreement between the fitted value and the observed value. A 2-sided α of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

**Results**

**Demographic data and clinical features**

The study included 255 patients (mean age: 49.1; SD: 14.6; range: 16–93 years), consisting of 184 (72.2%) moderate cases and 71 (27.8%) severe cases (Table 1). The mean age of the moderate group was 45.8 (SD 13.8) years, significantly younger than that of the severe group, which was 57.7 (SD 14.3) years (P<0.001). Of 146 male patients, 52 (36.6%) were severe, which was significantly higher than the female patients (19/109, 17.5%) (P=0.002). Eighty (80/255, 31.4%) patients had a history of exposure to a high-transmission setting (Wuhan). The prevalence of exposure to the high-transmission setting in the severe patients (31/71, 43.6%) was significantly higher than that in the moderate patients (49/184, 26.6%) (P = 0.01). Of all, 56.1% (143/255) were related to familial clusters. A total of 102 (102/255, 40.0%) patients had underlying diseases, in which the common diseases were hypertension (63 [63/255, 24.7%]) and diabetes (30 [30/255, 11.8%]). The severe cases had a significantly higher prevalence of hypertension and diabetes than the moderate cases (both P<0.05). On admission, the common symptoms were dry cough (67.8%), fever (59.2%), and sputum (44.7%). The less common symptoms were fatigue (29.4%), diarrhea (25.9%), and dyspnea (20.8%). The occurrences of respiratory rate (>24 breaths per min), cough, expectoration (sputum), fatigue, and dyspnea in the severe cases were significantly higher than those in the moderate cases (all P<0.05).

| Table.1 Demographic data and clinical features |
### Feature

| Feature                                | All patients | Moderate | Severe | \( P \) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|
| Number                                 | 255          | 184      | 71     | -      |
| Female                                 | 109 (42.7)   | 90 (82.5)| 19 (17.5) | 0.002  |
| Male                                   | 146 (57.3)   | 94 (64.4)| 52 (36.6) | 0.002  |
| Age (years)                            | 49.1±14.6    | 45.8±13.8| 57.7±14.3 | <0.001 |
| Age groups:                            |              |          |        |        |
| \(<20\)                                | 5 (2)        | 5 (2.7)  | 0(0)   | 0.3    |
| 21–40                                  | 63 (24.7)    | 56 (30.4)| 7 (9.9) | <0.001 |
| 41–65                                  | 153 (60.0)   | 111 (60.3)| 42 (59.2)| 0.9    |
| \(\geq65\)                             | 34 (13.3)    | 12 (6.2) | 22 (31.0)| <0.001 |
| Exposure to a high-transmission setting| 80 (31.4)    | 49 (26.6)| 31 (43.6)| 0.008  |
| Familial cluster                       | 143 (56.1)   | 104 (56.5)| 39 (54.9)| 0.9    |
| Comorbidities:                         |              |          |        |        |
| Any                                    | 102 (40.0)   | 59 (32.1)| 43 (60.6)| <0.001 |
| Hypertension                           | 63 (24.7)    | 32 (17.4)| 31 (43.7)| <0.001 |
| Diabetes                               | 30 (11.8)    | 17 (9.2) | 13 (18.3)| 0.044  |
| Renal diseases                         | 3 (1.2)      | 2 (1.1)  | 1 (1.4) | >0.99  |
| Liver disease                          | 17 (6.7)     | 9 (4.9)  | 8 (11.3)| 0.067  |
| Cardiovascular disease                 | 8 (3.1)      | 5 (2.7)  | 3 (4.2) | 0.7    |
| Pulmonary disease                      | 10 (3.9)     | 6 (3.3)  | 4 (5.6) | 0.5    |
| Tumor                                  | 3 (1.2)      | 3 (1.6)  | 0 (0)   | 0.6    |
| Signs and symptoms:                    |              |          |        |        |
| Fever                                  | 151 (59.2)   | 104 (56.5)| 47 (66.2)| 0.16   |
| Respiratory rate >24 breaths per min   | 18(7.1)      | 6 (3.3)  | 12 (16.9)| <0.001 |
| Cough                                  | 173 (67.8)   | 110 (60.8)| 63 (88.7)| <0.001 |
| Sputum                                 | 114 (44.7)   | 70 (38.0)| 44 (62.0)| <0.001 |
| Nasal congestion                       | 22 (8.6)     | 20 (10.9)| 2 (2.8) | 0.05   |
| Pharyngalgia                           | 36 (14.1)    | 29 (15.8)| 7 (9.9) | 0.23   |
| Fatigue                                | 75 (29.4)    | 45 (24.5)| 30 (42.3)| 0.005  |
| Myalgia                                | 24 (9.4)     | 20 (10.9)| 4 (5.6) | 0.2    |
| Headache                               | 30 (11.8)    | 23 (12.5)| 7 (9.9) | 0.56   |
| Nausea or vomiting                     | 29 (11.4)    | 20 (10.9)| 9 (12.7)| 0.68   |
| Abdominalgia                           | 13 (5.1)     | 11 (6.0) | 2 (2.8) | 0.5    |
| Diarrhoea                              | 66 (25.9)    | 53 (28.8)| 13 (18.3)| 0.086  |
| Dyspnea                                | 53 (20.8)    | 25 (13.6)| 28 (39.4)| <0.001 |

Note: Data are number (%), unless otherwise indicated as mean ± SD.

### Laboratory and pulmonary CT findings

Compared with the moderate group, the severe group exhibited more abnormal results in white blood cell counts, lymphocyte counts, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), serum potassium, calcium, albumin, and C-reactive protein (CRP) (all \( P < 0.01 \)) (Table 2). On admission, 98.4% of patients had abnormal lung lesions on CT scans, and 72.2% had bilateral lung lobe involvement. The severe cases had a higher prevalence of bilateral lung lobe involvement than the moderate cases (\( P = 0.009 \)).

Table 2. Laboratory and chest radiography findings on admission
White blood cells (×10^9 cells/L) & 5.5±2.5 & 4.9±1.9 & 6.7±3.3 & 0.0001 \\
Lymphocytes (×10^9 cells/L) & 1.24±0.89 & 1.38±0.80 & 0.87±1.01 & <0.0001 \\
C-reactive protein (mg/L) & 25.7±28.8 & 16.7±21.4 & 49.2±32.4 & <0.0001 \\
Alanine aminotransferase (U/L) & 33.9±30.1 & 29.8±25.6 & 44.5±37.7 & 0.003 \\
Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L) & 35.1±21.7 & 30.8±16.8 & 46.1±28.2 & <0.0001 \\
Albumin (g/L) & 41.8±3.1 & 42.4±2.8 & 40.2±3.3 & <0.0001 \\
Lactate dehydrogenase (U/L) & 253±100 & 229±90 & 313±101 & <0.0001 \\
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L) & 4.0±1.7 & 3.9±1.6 & 4.2±1.9 & 0.15 \\
Creatinine (µmol/L) & 63±31 & 61±29 & 68±35 & 0.10 \\
Na^+ (mmol/L) & 138±4 & 138±4 & 136±3 & 0.06 \\
K^+ (mmol/L) & 3.7±0.5 & 3.8±0.4 & 3.6±0.5 & 0.0001 \\
Cl^- (mmol/L) & 102±4 & 102±4 & 101±3 & 0.06 \\
Ca^{++} (mmol/L) & 2.17±0.17 & 2.22±0.14 & 2.05±0.17 & <0.0001 \\
Bilateral involvement on chest radiographs (n) & 184(72.2) & 123(66.8) & 61(85.9) & 0.009 \\
Pneumonia (n) & 251(98.4) & 181(98.4) & 70(98.6) & 0.898 \\

### Assignment of variable

We selected 23 possible risk factors that displayed a significant association with the severity of COVID-19 (P<0.05). These variables were assigned a categorical value and used as the independent variables for the logistic regression (Table supplement). The outcome was referred to as the dependent variable, which was specified as 1 for severe COVID-19 and 0 for moderate COVID-19.

### Univariate and multiple logistic regression analysis

The univariate logistic regression analysis of 23 variables showed that 21 variables exhibited P<0.05 (Figure 1). Eleven variables with OR value >3 were selected as the powerful risk factors and were entered into the backward-stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis. Finally, seven statistically significant variables were determined to fit the regression model (Table 3). These significantly associated risk factors were dyspnea (odds ratio [OR]=5.91), decreased calcium (OR=5.79) (Figure 2), exposure history to a high-transmission (Wuhan) (OR=5.04), elevated CRP (OR=4.67), elevated AST (OR=2.81), decreased lymphocytes (OR=2.80), and age (OR=2.78). The association between hypocalcemia and the severe COVID-19 cases was first identified (Figure 2).

### Table 3. Results of multiple logistic regression analysis.

| Variable                                | β     | Standard error | P      | OR(95%CI)         |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|-------------------|
| Age                                     | 1.02  | 0.39           | 0.09  | 2.78(1.29-5.98)   |
| Exposure to a high-transmission setting | 1.62  | 0.49           | 0.001 | 5.04(1.93-13.20)  |
| Dyspnoea                                | 1.77  | 0.51           | 0.001 | 5.91(2.16-16.16)  |
| C-reactive protein                      | 1.54  | 0.37           | <0.0001 | 4.67(2.27-9.59)   |
| Lymphocytes                             | 1.03  | 0.44           | 0.046 | 2.81(1.02-7.77)   |
| Aspartate aminotransferase              | 1.03  | 0.52           |       | 2.81(1.02-7.77)   |
| Calcium                                 | 1.76  | 0.45           | 0.0001 | 5.79(2.39-14.06)  |
**Prediction model**

Based on the regression coefficients of seven risk factors, the regression equation was determined as:
(see Formula in the Supplementary Files)

The probability model for predicting the severe COVID-19 was: (see Formula in the Supplementary Files)

Hosmer-Lemeshow $\chi^2$ test for the model ($\chi^2 = 0.088, P > 0.05$) accepted the zero hypothesis, showing the model could fit the data well. Among 184 moderate cases, 167 were correctly predicted with an accuracy of 90.8%, while among 71 severe cases, 49 were correctly predicted with an accuracy of 69.0%. The overall accuracy was 84.7%, which was higher than the observational classification. The model had better prediction accuracy for non-severe COVID-19 cases. The area under the ROC curve of the prediction model was 0.94 (95% CI, 0.91–0.96), and the sensitivity and specificity were 94.4% and 78.3%, respectively, indicating the high predictive value.

**Discussion**

The present study identified seven risk factors that were highly associated with the severity of COVID-19, which were dyspnea, age, decreased lymphocytes, elevated CRP, exposure to a high-transmission setting, elevated AST, and decreased calcium. Severe cases usually had dyspnea or hypoxemia one week after the onset of symptoms and progressed to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), septic shock, and multiple organ failures. Judging from the cases currently being treated, most patients had a good prognosis, and a few patients were critically ill. Considering the rapid progression of severe COVID-19, it was critical to predict and prevent the severe condition.

The univariate regression analysis illustrated the severity was associated with age, sex, comorbidities (hypertension and diabetes), dyspnea, respiratory rate, white blood cell, lymphocyte, CRP, hypokalemia, and LDH, which is consistent with the findings in several previous studies (Table 1, Table 2 and Figure 1) [12, 13]. As mentioned already, most of the previous studies individually looked into the possible risk factors, which is disadvantageous in ranking the risk factors according to OR values, as compared with the current multiple logistic regression model that investigated the interactive effects by the possible risk factors. The observed independent variables in this study could simultaneously reflect the possible injuries of multiple organs caused by COVID-19. The injuries contributed to the outcomes in the form of moderate or severe condition. Therefore, this model could control confounding factors and screen out the significant risk factors that might have an impact on the severity of COVID-19.

The present study firstly observed a higher prevalence of hypocalcemia in the severe COVID-19 cases. This association (OR = 5.79) was among the two most powerful risk factors, besides dyspnea (OR=5.91). The finding suggested that hypocalcemia might be the early warning factor for the trend of critical illness in patients with COVID-19. A recent review about Ca$^{2+}$ and virus infection explained that during the process of virus infection, the virus uses the host cell’s environment to replicate and induce host cell
dysfunction by capturing the calcium signal system in the host cell [14]. Due to the hijack of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} system of the host’s cells, the virus can inhibit T-cell reactivity, anti-apoptosis, and other functions and affect the occurrence and progression of the disease. Notably, this study also found that the severity was associated with the exposure to a high-transmission setting (OR=5.04) due to the repeat exposure to multiple points of transmission sources that might result in a stronger immune response, as previously reported [15].

The final prediction model included seven risk factors that were very comprehensive in terms of patients’ systemic responses to SARS-CoV-2. Age was widely reported as a major demographic feature that is highly related to severe COVID-19 [16]. The high transmission setting exposure was representative of transmission [15]. Dyspnea represented the affected respiratory function. CRP and reduced lymphocytes represented the inflammatory response. AST represented the liver and cardiovascular tissues that often attacked by the virus. Calcium represented the unbalanced electrolytes. The comprehensive representation was an important advantage of the multiple logistic regression over the univariate association. Although sex, cough, sputum, fatigue, hypertension, and diabetes were also associated with COVID-19 severity, as illustrated by univariate analysis. However, these variables were not finally included in the multiple regression model, which might be due to the small number of cases and the relatively low prevalence of these risk factors concerning these variables in moderate cases. Anyway, several risk factors, such as hypertension and diabetes, are well-accepted risk factors of bad progression of COVID-19 and should be taken into consideration in predicting the prognosis of COVID-19.

The major shortcoming in this study was the limited number of samples. Other possible risk factors, such as obesity, diabetes, and hypertension, were not included due to the low prevalence of these comorbidities. The seven risk factors included in the prediction model were indicative of the systemic responses to the SARS-CoV-2 infection. The combination of seven risk factors could partly represent comorbidities with low prevalence.

To summarize, the present study has identified that age, dyspnea, exposure to a high-transmission setting, reduced lymphocyte, elevated CRP, elevated AST, and decreased calcium were highly associated with the severity of COVID-19. Based on the multiple analysis of the risk factors, we developed a multiple logistic regression prediction modeling for severe COVID-19 cases. This quantitative prognosis prediction model can provide a theoretical basis for the early formulation of individualized diagnosis, treatment programs, and prevention of severe conditions.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Distribution of odds ratio of risk factors for COVID-19 severity analyzed by univariate logistic regression.
Figure 2

Distribution of serum calcium among the moderate and severe COVID-19 cases.
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