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**Abstract**

Due to the high mortality incident brought about by traumatic brain injury (TBI), methods that would enable one to better understand the underlying mechanisms involved in it are useful for treatment. There are both in vivo and in vitro methods available for this purpose. In vivo models can mimic actual head injury as it occurs during TBI. However, in vivo techniques may not be exploited for studies at the cell physiology level. Hence, in vitro methods are more advantageous for this purpose since they provide easier access to the cells and the extracellular environment for manipulation.

Our protocol presents an in vitro model of TBI using stretch injury in brain microvascular endothelial cells. It utilizes pressure applied to the cells cultured in flexible-bottomed wells. The pressure applied may easily be controlled and can produce injury that ranges from low to severe. The murine brain microvascular endothelial cells (cEND) generated in our laboratory is a well-suited model for the blood brain barrier (BBB) thus providing an advantage to other systems that employ a similar technique. In addition, due to the simplicity of the method, experimental set-ups are easily duplicated. Thus, this model can be used in studying the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in TBI at the BBB.

**Video Link**

The video component of this article can be found at http://www.jove.com/video/50928/

**Introduction**

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. About 10 million people are affected annually by TBI making it a major health and medical problem. Due to this, various in vivo and in vitro models of TBI have been established and developed to study its mechanisms. A better understanding of TBI can help improve patient treatment and decrease the associated mortality, morbidity, and cost.

Many models for brain injury which utilize both in vivo and in vitro methods exist. In vivo models could mimic the actual event of head injury. However, due to the complexity of the in vivo situation, accessibility to the tissue of interest becomes limited. In understanding the physiological response of individual cells as a result of the injury inflicted, it is important that the cells are isolated from the systemic effects which may inhibit or alter their individual response. For this reason, cellular models of trauma provide valuable advantages over animal models since the mechanical environment of the cells can be precisely controlled.

In vitro systems that employ the use of mechanical load to cells or tissues to determine alterations induced by such method of injury have been developed. For instance, a method for studying the effect of mechanical injury to cells has been established for astrocytes, neurons, glial cells and aortic endothelial cells. The in vitro trauma model established for the study of rodent and human astrocyte reactivity employed a pressure control device identical to what we use for our model. The same method was applied to induce injury through stretch in mouse brain microvessel endothelial cells (bEnd3) and cortical neurons as well as cerebral endothelial cells from newborn piglets. The device deforms the bottom of the culture well thereby producing mechanical stretch injury. It inflicts injury upon cultured cells by the application of air pressure above the cells. This pressure can deflect the membrane upon which the cells are growing, thereby stretching the cells. Various degrees of stretch (i.e. low, moderate, or severe) can be achieved by setting the air pressure pulse duration and intensity accordingly. This method of stretch-induced injury has been correlated with traumatic injury in vivo. Moreover, this method of injury allows for the precise control of the extracellular environment and can easily be reproduced.

Although a similar approach has been used for many other brain cell types including bEnd3, our model is of an advantage in that it makes use of the murine brain microvascular endothelial cells (cEND) generated in our laboratory. This cell line is a well-suited model of the blood brain barrier (BBB). In vitro cell cultures used as BBB models should possess characteristics that would enable them to serve as permeability screen. One important criterion for an in vitro cell model to be a predictor of BBB permeability is that it should possess physiologically realistic cell architecture. Even though bEnd3 cells display distinctive spindle-like squamous morphology in culture, they exhibit irregular morphogenetic behavior in vitro whereby they form cyst-like cavities rather than the regular tubular structures in fibrin gels. Moreover, when the cells were...
injected into embryonic and newborn mice, they induced rapidly growing tumors lethal to embryonic mice but not in newborn and young mice. It is thus suggested that one or more processes governing normal endothelial growth, migration, and differentiation have been altered or eliminated in this cell line. On the other hand, morphological, immunocytochemical evaluation of endothelial and BBB marker expression, bioelectric, and paracellular flux measurements demonstrate that our BBB model cEND is indeed a suitable model of the BBB.

Brain endothelium in vivo is characterized by an extremely tight permeability with trans-endothelial electrical resistance (TEER) ranging from 2,000-5,000 Ωcm². For studies of brain microvasculature barrier properties to pharmaceuticals, paracellular restrictiveness and tightness of the cells should be considered. In most brain capillary endothelial cells (BCEC), this is not preserved as the cells exhibit TEER ranging from 50-100 Ωcm². The immortalized brain endothelial cell line bEnd3 generates TEER values of no greater than 60 Ωcm². In contrast differentiation of cEND cells with containing reduced serum display TEER values ranging from 300-500 Ωcm².

To date, in vitro models of stretch injury in cultured brain endothelial cells are scarce. Hence, an in vitro model for trauma through stretch injury using cultured brain endothelial cells that act as model of the BBB may prove to be useful. In this protocol, we present an in vitro model that could mimic the actual impact to brain cells, specifically brain microvascular endothelial cells of the BBB, receive during TBI. The main advantage of this model is that the amount of injury applied to the cells as well as the extracellular environment can be easily controlled in a precise manner enabling easy reproducibility of experimental set-up.

| Protocol |
| --- |

### 1. Seeding of Endothelial Cells into Well Plates

1. Cultivate murine brain microvascular endothelial cells (cEND) in T75 culture flask, changing the medium (DMEM containing 10% FCS, 50 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 1% L-glutamine) twice a week, until confluence is reached. (For the generation and immortalization of brain microvascular endothelial cells, please see Burek et al., 2012; Förster et al., 2005).
2. Wash the cells with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Remove the PBS and trypsinize the cells with 2 ml warm trypsin-EDTA solution.
3. Incubate the cells at 37 °C for 5 min or until the cell layer is dispersed.
4. Add 8 ml culture medium into the cells. Tap the flask several times to detach the cells.
5. View cells under the microscope to ensure complete detachment from flask.
6. Pipette medium with detached cells up and down. Swirl the flask to mix the cell suspension.
7. Take 20 µl of the cell suspension, put into a hemocytometer and count the number of cells.
8. Determine the cell density and seed 20,000 cells/cm² into the well.
9. Transfer the cell suspension into collagen1 precoated 6-well flexible-bottomed culture plates (57.75 cm²) in a total volume of 3 ml/ well. Each well has an area of 9.62 cm².
10. Grow the cells at 37 °C for one week until confluent. Change the culture medium twice per week.

### 2. Cell Differentiation Prior to Stretch-induced Injury

1. Change the culture medium of the cells with differentiation medium (DMEM containing 1% serum-stripped fetal calf serum (ssFCS), 50 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin).
2. Incubate the cells at 37 °C for 24 hr.

### 3. Stretch-induced Injury of Endothelial Cells

1. Turn on the cell injury controller device.
2. Set the delay to 50 msec.
3. Set the regulator pressure to 15 psi and press the trigger a couple of times until the registered peak pressure becomes stable.
4. Set the regulator pressure to the desired value. Use Table 1 as a guide for generating various degrees of stretch injury.
5. Place the 6-well flexible-bottomed culture plate (57.75 cm²) into the tray holder. Make sure that the well selector is set to the correct well size (i.e. large well).
6. Place the adapter plug firmly over the well. Hold the plug firmly into place with one hand while the other hand pushes the trigger.
7. Record the peak pressure generated.
8. Immediately put the plate back into the 37 °C incubator for the desired length of time or use immediately for succeeding experiments or evaluation.

### 4. Assessment of Stretch Injury by Dye Uptake Assay

1. Immediately after the cells were stretched (step 3.7), add 30 µl of a 1 mg/ml solution of the viability stain that acts as a cytotoxicity marker (please see supplemental table of materials and equipment) to the cell culture medium (Note: 10 µl of the dye solution is to be used for every ml of cell culture medium).
2. Upon addition of the dye to the cells, immediately view under a fluorescence microscope.

### 5. Assessment of Stretch Injury by Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) Release

1. Immediately after stretching the cells (step 3.7), remove 200 µl of cell culture medium from the well. Do the same for every time point post-injury you would like to include in your investigation of LDH release (i.e. e.g. 30 min, 1 hr, 2 hr, etc.)
2. Centrifuge the cell culture medium at the highest setting of a microcentrifuge for 5 min to remove any cell debris. Remove the supernatant and use this for the succeeding steps.
3. Once you have finished step 5.1 and have taken the necessary samples you would like to have from the various time points you would like to investigate, lyse the cells using the lysis solution included in the LDH assay kit (please see supplemental table of materials and equipment).
4. Put 100 µl of the assay medium included in the assay kit into every well of a 96-wells plate provided in the kit.
5. Put 100 µl of the cell-free cell culture medium into two parallel wells of a 96-wells plate included in the assay kit.
6. Incubate the plate at 37 °C for 30 min.
7. Read the absorbance at 492 nm.

**Representative Results**

Cells cultured on collagen I precoated 6-well flexible bottomed culture plates (57.75 cm\(^2\)) were subjected to various degrees of stretch injury using the cell stretcher device. After subjecting the cells to injury, they were examined under the microscope for the effects of stretch-induced injury to cell morphology. It was observed that as greater degree of stretch was applied to the cells a greater degree of cell distortion could also be observed (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 1A, control cells which were not subjected to injury appear as regularly shaped cerebrovascular endothelial cells (cEND) without any indication of cell swelling or distortion. When stretch injury was applied (Figures 1B-D), deformation could be observed under the light microscope. After stretching the cells severely with a peak pressure between 3.5-4.5 psi, the cEND cells appeared markedly retracted, swollen and deformed with notable intercellular spaces. In addition, uptake of viability stain (100 nM final concentration) also increased as the degree of stretch injury was increased (Figure 2). The viability stain used is a dye impermeant to healthy cells that becomes permeant when the plasma membrane integrity of cells is compromised. The dye was excluded from most of the control cells, hence, only a few of the cells were stained (Figure 2A) as compared to stretched cells (Figures 2B-D). More cells fluoresced green with an increased degree of stretch injury.

As a biochemical marker of injury, release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) enzyme was also examined according to manufacturer's instructions. Figure 3 shows that an increasing cell stretch injury caused increasing LDH release.

![Figure 1. Light microscopy examination of normal vs. injured cells. (A) Normal unstretched confluent cell monolayer is tightly packed and elongated. When cells were stretched by applying a peak pressure pulse of 1.8-2.0 psi (i.e. low stretch) they appear less compact, spaces indicated by arrows (B). When the cells were moderately injured with a 2.5-3.0 peak pressure pulse, some of them appeared swollen and deformed (C). The cells become retracted with severe stretch of 3.5-4.5 psi, as indicated by arrow (D). 100X magnification. Click here to view larger image.](image-url)
Figure 2. Fluorescence microscopic examination of normal vs. injured cells. Cells treated with viability stain 2hr after injury. A: control unstretched cells. B-D: stretched cells (B - low, C - moderate, D - severe). 100X magnification. Click here to view larger image.

Figure 3. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) enzyme release into the supernatant after stretch injury. LDH released into the culture medium was measured at various time intervals after stretch induced injury. LDH was expressed as a percent of the total releasable LDH (LDH in media plus cells). Values are ± SEM. The n for every time point is 5, except for the 0 hr value subjected to severe stretch where n = 3. LDH release from cells that were subjected to low and moderate stretch did not differ significantly from that of unstretched controls and from each other. Cells that were severely stretched released a significantly greater amount of LDH as compared to all other samples, except for the moderately stretched sample at 1 hr. (p < 0.05, One factor ANOVA, Holm-Sidak method). Click here to view larger image.

Table 1. Guide for generating various degrees of stretch injury.

| Regulator Pressure | Peak Pressure | Degree of Injury |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 15 psi             | 1.2-1.5 psi   | < Low           |
| 20-25 psi          | 1.8-2.0 psi   | Low             |
**Discussion**

The effects of mechanical injury in vitro have been studied and methods have been established for astrocytes, neurons, glial cells and aortic endothelial cells.\(^6\),\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^22\). There is, however, to date still no known in vitro model of stretch injury in cultured brain endothelial cells. Cellular models of trauma provide valuable advantages over animal models since the mechanical environment of the cells can be precisely controlled. Hence, an in vitro model for trauma through stretch injury using cultured endothelial brain cells that act as model of the blood brain barrier (BBB) such as what our protocol presents may prove to be useful.

This protocol makes use of cEND cells, an established BBB model in our laboratory. Since BBB breakdown is often documented in TBI patients and TBI is often linked to the disruption of the BBB which can result to edema formation\(^23\),\(^24\), the method presented here may specifically be used in conducting BBB studies in relation to TBI.

In this model, it is important to take care of how much degree of stretch injury is applied to the cells. In as much as the cells are injured in any case, and with whatever amount of pressure is applied, the degree of injury that can impact endothelial cells differ much greatly from other cells types. Aortic endothelial cells are more resistant to stretch injury than astrocytes or mixed glial cells.\(^9\) In addition, they repair more rapidly after injury as compared to the other cell types. Therefore, for brain endothelial cells, particularly cEND cells, greater amount of stretch injury is needed to produce a high degree of injury. One could attain the desired degree of injury by applying the corresponding pressure indicated in Table 1. For cEND cells, however, severe injury is preferred due to their resistance to strain. The LDH assays conducted showed that as the degree of stretch increases, more LDH is secreted into the supernatant. In contrast, the cells which were given a low amount of stretch injury produced LDH in an amount similar to control cells. As mentioned in the protocol, one must take care that the appropriate amount of medium is used since an increase or decrease in the amount of medium may result to differences in the peak pressure applied to the wells. For example, a well containing 5 ml of fluid registers a peak pressure in the average of 4.0 psi while an empty well registers an average of 3.8 psi when 45 psi pressure is applied. Therefore, it is best to push the trigger several times over a control well to ensure that the peak pressure which will be generated corresponds to the desired amount.

In our experiments we used a viability stain to determine the effect of stretch to the permeability of the cell membrane. The optics of the flexible-bottomed culture plates we used enables us to view the stained cells directly under the microscope. However, when one wants to conduct immunolabelling studies directly after stretch-injury, difficulties may arise. First, the size and thickness of the plate may pose a problem with some microscope viewing platforms. Second, the optics of the flexible membrane of the well may be a hindrance to clear viewing.

Despite the aforementioned limitations, however, the described procedure can be used as a model of in vitro mechanical injury of the BBB. Traumatic brain injury (TBI) involves two components, namely, ischemia and trauma. Ischemia can occur as a secondary injury following TBI in instances when there is serious blood loss resulting in low blood pressure or as a result of brain swelling restricting oxygen supply to the brain. It is considered as a delayed, nonmechanical damage representing consecutive pathological processes initiated at the moment of injury.\(^25\) The occurrence of hypoxia after severe traumatic brain injury is common.\(^26\) Oxygen glucose deprivation (OGD) is the method currently being used to model ischemia in vitro. Thus, subjecting cells to OGD as a secondary insult to the cells after stretch can mimic the incidence of TBI followed by ischemia. Hence, to improve our current in vitro model of TBI and pattern it as close as possible to an actual TBI as it occurs in vivo, in the future we will also employ OGD in combination with stretch injury.
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