Temporal variations and potential sources of organophosphate esters in PM$_{2.5}$ in Xinxiang, North China
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**HIGHLIGHTS**

- OPE concentrations in most samples showed slight differences at a stable level.
- Large differences of OPEs/PM$_{2.5}$ ratios in few samples may result from air mass source.
- The major source areas of OPEs and PM$_{2.5}$ were discrepant according to PSCF results.
- The major source areas of ten OPEs were diverse following PCA and PSCF results.

**ABSTRACT**

We monitored the concentrations of 10 organophosphate esters (OPEs) in 52 fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) samples in Xinxiang, Henan Province, North China, in 2015. During the sampling period, the OPE concentrations in most samples (n = 47) differed minimally and were relatively stable (mean: 2.02 $\pm$ 0.93 ng m$^{-3}$), although several samples (n = 5) had high total OPE ($\Sigma$OPE) concentrations (mean: 9.99 $\pm$ 5.69 ng m$^{-3}$), which may have been influenced by high PM$_{2.5}$ levels. Meanwhile, some samples had high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations but low $\Sigma$OPE concentrations (i.e. low OPE/PM$_{2.5}$ ratios) or low PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations but high $\Sigma$OPE concentrations, which might have been influenced by air mass sources. Therefore, we assessed air mass sources using the Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model and wind direction frequency data, and subsequently analysed PM$_{2.5}$ and OPE sources using a potential source contribution function (PSCF) model. The results revealed that air mass sources couldn't represent the source of specific pollutants, including PM$_{2.5}$ and OPEs. Generally, both PM$_{2.5}$ and OPEs were from Henan and Shandong Provinces; however, the major source areas differed, which may have resulted from diverse pollution characteristics in various source areas. The principal component analysis and PSCF results revealed that the 10 OPEs could be segmented into three groups, which were associated with different source areas. These results suggested that pollution characteristics of contaminants in source areas should be considered in source apportionment.

$^{*}$ Corresponding author.
$^{**}$ Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: lqlblue@hotmail.com (Q. Li), sunjhhj@163.com (J. Sun).

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
1. Introduction

Since the restriction and banning of brominated flame retardants, organophosphate esters (OPEs) are being increasingly used worldwide as substitutes (Covaci et al., 2011; Pivnenko et al., 2017; Shaw et al., 2010). For example, the global OPE consumption in 2011 was 500,000 t, which reached 680,000 t in 2015 (Ou, 2011; van der Veen and de Boer, 2012). In China, 70,000 t of OPEs were produced in 2007, increasing to an estimated 100,000 t in 2011 (Li et al., 2015), and demand is expected to increase further by 15% annually (Deng et al., 2018; Li et al., 2015). OPEs are primarily used as additives in various industrial and commercial products, which inevitably leads to their release into the environment via volatilisation, abrasion, and dissolution (Cao et al., 2012; Regnery and Puttmann, 2010b). Therefore, OPEs have been detected in almost all environmental media, including surface water (Aznar-Alemany et al., 2018; Regnery and Puttmann, 2010a), sediment (Gulivio et al., 2017; He et al., 2017), soil (Iqbal et al., 2017; Yadav et al., 2018), air (Iqbal et al., 2017), and even human samples (Fronme et al., 2016; Xiang et al., 2017). Furthermore, several OPEs, such as tris-(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP) and tris-[1-chloro-2-propyl] phosphate (TCPHP), have been found, or are suspected, to have adverse health effects (Ni et al., 2007; WHO, 1998). Given the frequent occurrence of OPEs in various samples and the study of their toxicity, OPEs have attracted considerable scientific interest.

In recent years, extensive investigations on OPEs in air including particle and gas phases, have been conducted (Möller et al., 2011; Suhring et al., 2016; Wolelsche et al., 2016), but most studies have focused on OPEs in total suspended particulate matter (TSP) (Carlsson et al., 1997; Ou, 2011; Salamova et al., 2013). Fine particulate matter of aerodynamic diameter <2.5 µm (PM2.5), has the capacity to remain for 7–30 days and can undergo long-range atmospheric transport (Yang, 2010). Therefore, PM2.5 may carry or concentrate atmospheric OPEs during transport from urban areas to remote areas, or deposit them onto the surface of water and soil (Clark et al., 2017; Lai et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2016). The data related to OPEs in PM2.5 are limited (Faiz et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2015), particularly data on the atmospheric transport of OPEs in PM2.5. Nonetheless, existing reports indicated that OPEs may undergo long-range migration (Möller et al., 2011; Salamova et al., 2014, 2016), the OPEs pollution may be propagated via remote sources (Okonski et al., 2014), which requires more attention.

Xinxiang, Henan Province, China, is a city on the channel of air pollution transmission to the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, which experiences serious air pollution (Feng et al., 2016). For example, the mean PM2.5 concentration in Xinxiang was 94.4 μg m−3 in 2015, ranking tenth among 367 cities across China (data from the China National Environmental Monitoring Centre). Moreover, the area borders Shandong Province, which is a large manufacturer of flame retardants, and Hebei Province, an area with high PM2.5 emissions (Chen et al., 2017; Jin et al., 2008, 2010); therefore, pollution is easily distributed via ambient air masses. In this study, we collected PM2.5 samples in Xinxiang using a high-volume sampler once weekly from Jan. to Dec. 2015. All 10 targeted OPEs were quantified to investigate their occurrence and temporal variations. Based on meteorological data, statistical analysis, and air transport model (HYSPLIT model and PSCF model), we analysed the potential source regions of PM2.5 and OPEs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling

The sampling campaign was conducted at Henan Normal University (35°19′29″N, 113°54′27″E) in Muay District, Xinxiang City, Henan Province, North China. The sampling site was on the roof of the School of Environment (altitude: ~100 m), which was clear of surrounding. A high-volume active sampler (Multistage Versatile Air Pollutant Sampler, PM2.5-PUF-300, China) was deployed to collect PM2.5 samples (n = 52) at a flow rate of 300 L min−1 for 24 h from Jan. 7 to Dec. 30, 2015. Detailed sampling information is presented in Table S1. All PM2.5 samples were collected using quartz filters (Tissuquartz 2500 QAT-UP, Pallex, USA), which was calcined in a muffle furnace at 450 °C for 8 h. The filters were weighed before sampling at a temperature of 20–23 °C and relative humidity of 40 ± 1% using a Sartorius BS-124S electronic microbalance (±1 µg sensitivity; Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). After sampling, the filters experienced freeze-drying were weighted to calculate the PM2.5 mass. All filters were weighed in triplicate to account for errors. Then, the membrane filters were wrapped in clean aluminium foil and stored at −20 °C until chemical analysis.

2.2. Extraction

Each sample was spiked with 20 ng of deuterated surrogate standards (d15-TCEP, d27-TnBP, and d15-TPhP). Then, the filter was extracted with 120 mL dichloromethane/n-hexane (1:1, v/v) for 36 h using Soxhlet extraction. The extract was condensed using a concentration evaporator and solvent-exchanged in n-hexane (1 mL). Next, the extract was cleaned in a silica gel column, which was packed with 4 g of silica gel (3% deactivated) and 1 g of anhydrous sodium sulphate. The F1 fraction was eluted with 20 mL of dichloromethane/n-hexane (1:1, v/v), and the F2 fraction containing OPEs was eluted with 20 mL of ethyl acetate. The F2 fraction was solvent-exchanged to n-hexane and concentrated to approximately 100 µL under a gentle nitrogen stream. Finally, 200 ng of hexamethyldibenzene was added as an internal standard, and the samples were stored at −20 °C until injection.

2.3. Instrumental analysis

All 10 selected target compounds were measured in the samples: TCEP, TCPHP, tri(di-chloroisopropyl) phosphate (TDICP), tri-iso-butyl phosphate (TfBP), tri-n-butyl phosphate (TfBP), trihexyl phosphate (THP), tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate (TEHP), triphenyl phosphate (TPhP), tricresyl phosphate (TCP), and triphenylphosphate oxide (TPO). The samples were analysed using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) system (7890A-7010; Agilent) equipped with a programmed temperature vaporizer injector. The MS/MS system was operated in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. Meanwhile, GC separation was performed with an HP-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d.; 0.25 µm film thickness; J&W Scientific). The MS transfer line and high-sensitivity electron impact ionisation source (HSEI) were maintained at 280 °C and 230 °C respectively. Each sample was injected in pulsed splitless mode under the following temperature program: 50 °C for 0.2 min, 300 °C min−1 to 300 °C for 20 min. The GC oven temperature was as follows: 20 °C min−1 to 80 °C, 5 °C min−1 to 250 °C, 15 °C min−1 to 300 °C, and then held for 10 min.

2.4. Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC)

We included a field blank and a procedural blank for every 10 samples to check for potential sample contamination. All targeted compounds were detected in field blanks (n = 5), in the range of from 0.16 ± 0.06 ng (TPO) to 0.59 ± 0.32 ng (TCEP) (Table S2). The method detection limits (MDLs) were assigned as the average values of the field blanks plus three times the standard deviation of
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the field blank values. For the present study, the average air sampling volume was 432 m$^3$, and the MDL were 0.77–4.34 pg m$^{-3}$. The recoveries of the surrogate standards were 91.3 ± 13.5% for d$_{12}$-TnBP, 76.3 ± 11.8% for d$_{11}$-TCEP, and 92.4 ± 14.3% for d$_{13}$-TPHP. All reported values were corrected based on the blanks and the recoveries.

2.5. Air mass back trajectories

The HYSPLIT model is a complete system developed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and Australia’s Bureau of Meteorology that can compute the simple air trajectories, complex dispersion, and deposition of atmospheric pollutants (Draxler and Hess, 1997). This study used 3-day (72-h) air mass back trajectories at 100 m every 3 h during each sampling period (n = 52). The trajectories during different periods were clustered to reveal the mean air mass trajectories, with the required meteorological data for the calculation obtained from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis Project (CDAS).

2.6. PSCF analysis

PSCF is defined as a conditional probability that an air parcel back trajectory will pass through a given site, which indicates that material from the source could be collected and transported along the trajectory to the receptor site (Pekney et al., 2006; Xie and Berkowitz, 2007). In this study, PSCF was used to assess the contribution of potential source areas to PM$_{2.5}$ and OPEs (Wang Berkowitz, 2007). In this study, PSCF was used to assess the contribution of potential source areas to PM$_{2.5}$ and OPEs (Wang et al., 2009). The study area was segmented into grid cells, after which PSCF values were calculated for each grid cell (i, j). The equation is as follows (Jeong et al., 2011):

$$PSCF_{ij} = \frac{m_{ij}}{n_{ij}}$$

where $n_{ij}$ is the total number of end points that fall in the $ij$th cell, and $m_{ij}$ is the number of endpoints for the $ij$th cell, with arrival times at the sampling site that correspond to each type of aerosol concentration higher than an arbitrarily set criterion. Here, the 75th percentile of OPEs in PM$_{2.5}$, TCEP, TCPP, TDCPP, TnBP, TnBP, TnBP, THP, TEP, TEP, TEP, TEP, TEP, TEP, TEP, and TEP (TPhP, TPhP, TPhP, and TEP) (partition values: 67.2 μg m$^{-3}$, 0.33 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.19 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.14 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.06 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.08 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.045 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.023 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.055 ng m$^{-3}$, 0.035 ng m$^{-3}$, and 0.023 ng m$^{-3}$, respectively) were used for the PSCF calculation to identify the potential source areas.

A weighting function $W(n_{ij})$, applied to minimise the uncertainties caused by small $n_{ij}$ values, was described as follows:

$$W(n_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 
1.00 & n \leq 80 \\
0.75 & 80 < n \leq 80 \\
0.42 & 80 < n \leq 20 \\
0.05 & n < 10 
\end{cases}$$

The source regions of OPEs were identified via PSCF analysis based on the 72-h backward trajectories.

2.7. Data analysis

All data were standardised before the statistical analysis to eliminate the potential influence of different units and give equal weight to each determined variable. Multiple regression, correlation analysis, and PCA were performed using SPSS software (ver. 22; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Meanwhile, the air-mass back trajectories were determined using HYSPLIT_4, and PSCF results were performed by MeteoInfo-TrajStat. Finally, the meteorological data, including temperature, humidity, wind speed and direction, and precipitation, were obtained from the Zhenqi website (www.zjq12369.com).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Concentrations and profiles

During the sampling period, high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were measured in Xinhui (mean: 94.0 ± 91.2 μg m$^{-3}$; range: 34.5–668 μg m$^{-3}$). A total of 98.1% and 50.0% of PM$_{2.5}$ levels exceeded the First Grade National Standard (35 μg m$^{-3}$, 24 h) and Second Grade National Standard (75 μg m$^{-3}$, 24 h) of China, respectively, indicative of severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution in Xinhui. The $\sum_{10}$OPE concentrations in PM$_{2.5}$ ranged from 0.54 ng m$^{-3}$ to 20.0 ng m$^{-3}$ (mean: 2.78 ± 3.00 ng m$^{-3}$), which were lower than the concentrations in PM$_{2.5}$ in other developed areas of China, including Nanjing (7.25 ng m$^{-3}$) and Chengdu (6.46 ng m$^{-3}$) (Faiz et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2015). The mean concentration (1.82 ± 2.45 ng m$^{-3}$) of three OPEs (TCEP, TCP, and TDCPP) were much higher than those in a previous study in Xinhui in 2014 (600 pg m$^{-3}$) (Liu et al., 2016), suggesting worsening OPE pollution. Among the targeted OPEs, TCEP was the most abundant component in most samples (n = 42), with a mean concentration of 1.07 ± 0.20 ng m$^{-3}$ and range of 0.12–13.7 ng m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 1), accounting for an average of 38.7% of $\sum_{10}$OPEs (Table S3). This was more similar to an urban site in Shanghai (37.7%) (Ren et al., 2016). TCEP was followed by TCPP (mean: 0.32 ± 0.17 ng m$^{-3}$; range: 0.04–0.78 ng m$^{-3}$) and TDCPP (mean: 0.42 ± 0.68 ng m$^{-3}$; range: 0.02–3.97 ng m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 1), which accounted for 15.3% and 14.1% of $\sum_{10}$OPEs, respectively (Table S3). The results were similar to those of a previous study (TCEP > TCP > TDCPP) in Xinhui (Liu et al., 2016). The other OPEs each accounted for less than 10% of $\sum_{10}$OPEs (Table S3), including TPhP (6.82%), TnBP (6.18%), TiBP (6.01%), TCrP (5.94%), TPPO (3.21%), and TEP (2.93%).

3.2. Temporal variations

The overall OPE concentrations in most samples (n = 47) showed minimal variations and were stable (2.02 ± 0.33 ng m$^{-3}$) (Fig. 2). However, several samples had high OPE concentrations, particularly on Dec. 23 (19.9 ng m$^{-3}$). Among the targeted OPEs, TCEP was the most abundant component in most samples (n = 42), with a mean concentration of 1.07 ± 0.20 ng m$^{-3}$ and range of 0.12–13.7 ng m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 1), accounting for an average of 38.7% of $\sum_{10}$OPEs (Table S3). This was more similar to an urban site in Shanghai (37.7%) (Ren et al., 2016). TCEP was followed by TCPP (mean: 0.32 ± 0.17 ng m$^{-3}$; range: 0.04–0.78 ng m$^{-3}$) and TDCPP (mean: 0.42 ± 0.68 ng m$^{-3}$; range: 0.02–3.97 ng m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 1), which accounted for 15.3% and 14.1% of $\sum_{10}$OPEs, respectively (Table S3). The results were similar to those of a previous study (TCEP > TCP > TDCPP) in Xinhui (Liu et al., 2016). The other OPEs each accounted for less than 10% of $\sum_{10}$OPEs (Table S3), including TPhP (6.82%), TnBP (6.18%), TiBP (6.01%), TCrP (5.94%), TPPO (3.21%), and TEP (2.93%).

**Fig. 1.** The concentrations of 10 OPEs in PM$_{2.5}$ samples.
between OPE and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (Table S4). To reduce random errors, we further investigated the correlation between the $d$-values (i.e. differences in concentrations in two close samples) of PM$_{2.5}$ and $d$-values of OPEs, which exhibited a significant correlation ($r = 0.87$, $P < 0.01$), suggesting that high OPE concentrations were related to high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. In addition, the OPE profiles revealed several seasonal variations (Table S5). TCEP accounted for a significantly higher mean proportion (54%) in winter, whereas TDCCP and TCP accounted for relatively higher proportions (26% and 14%, respectively) in summer. Since various meteorological factors (PM$_{2.5}$, temperature, humidity, and wind speed) could influence the occurrence of pollutants, we performed multiple regression of these factors associated with the concentrations of the 10 OPEs. The results indicated PM$_{2.5}$ had a significant influence on TCEP, TDCCP, THP, TEHP, TPhP, and TPPO ($r = 0.89$, 0.56, 0.51, 0.60, 0.57, and 0.72, respectively; $P < 0.01$), indicating that these OPE concentrations were likely primarily affected by PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (Table S6). In addition, temperature was found having a significant influence on TCPP concentrations ($r = 0.37$, $P < 0.01$). Liu et al. (2016) and Li et al. (2018) found relatively higher levels in summer than in winter (Li et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2016), possibly because higher temperature promoted the release of TCPP from various products or influenced the particle/gas phase distribution. In addition, TPPO concentrations were negatively correlated with temperature, possibly the result of extremely low vapor pressure ($2.6 \times 10^{-9}$Pa) and a strong association with PM$_{2.5}$ ($r = 0.72$, $P < 0.01$).

Most of OPE concentrations were significantly correlated with PM$_{2.5}$ levels; however, several samples contradicted this trend. In particular, several samples had significantly low PM$_{2.5}$ levels but high $\Sigma_{10}$OPE concentrations (i.e. high OPE/PM$_{2.5}$ ratios), such as those from Jan. 14 (67.3 ng g$^{-1}$), Jul. 1 (48.4 ng g$^{-1}$), and Dec. 9 (68.1 ng g$^{-1}$), or high PM$_{2.5}$ levels but low $\Sigma_{10}$OPE concentrations (i.e. low OPE/PM$_{2.5}$ ratios), such as Feb. 25 (12.3 ng g$^{-1}$), Oct. 15 (11.2 ng g$^{-1}$), and Oct. 22 (13.5 ng g$^{-1}$) (Fig. 2). We suspected that this may have been influenced by air-mass or PM$_{2.5}$ sources; therefore, we calculated the mean mass trajectories using the HYSPLIT model for these dates. During the periods with low PM$_{2.5}$ levels but high $\Sigma_{10}$OPE concentrations, most of the air masses originated from northern, central, and southwestern of Henan Province, northern and central Anhui Province, south of Jiangsu Province (accounting for 71% of all trajectories), which may experience severe OPE pollution (Fig. 3a). For instance, Jiangsu Province has been reported to be a major producer of OPEs (Research of Flame Retardant Market in China). Furthermore, Henan Province is home to one of the top three plasticiser manufacturers in China (Qing’an Chemical Industry Company, capacity: 100,000 t/a, http://www.pvc123.com/b-henanqingan/). Therefore, significant emissions in these areas may have contributed to the high $\Sigma_{10}$OPE levels in PM$_{2.5}$ in Xinxiang. By contrast, during periods with high PM$_{2.5}$ levels but low $\Sigma_{10}$OPE concentrations, most of the air masses were from northwest of Xinxiang, including the Shanxi-Shanxi-Gansu area (52%), Mongolia (15%), and southern Shandong province (33%) (Fig. 3b). These areas may have low OPE emissions due to lower production and use, resulting in lower $\Sigma_{10}$OPE levels in PM$_{2.5}$. Overall, the above results indicated the local sources of OPEs were stable, as most samples (n = 47) exhibited relatively small differences. Since air mass source areas could influence the OPE levels, we further analysed the external sources of OPEs.

3.3. Potential sources

3.3.1. Air mass sources

We analysed the source of air masses during the full sampling period using HYSPLIT model. The mean air mass trajectories were primarily from four directions: Hebi-Luoyang in Henan Province (35.3%), the Shanxi-Mongolia areas (26.6%), the Jiangsu Province (23.6%), and Shandong Province (14.5%), indicating that these sites may represent PM$_{2.5}$ source areas (Fig. 4). However, according to the wind direction distribution (Fig. S1a), the dominant winds originated from the east (36.5%) and northeast (25%) during the full sampling period, which differed from the HYSPLIT results. These contradictory results suggested uncertainty of air mass sources, which could be influenced by various factors such as terrain, since Xinxiang is situated south of the Taihang Mountains. Meanwhile, the air mass sources represented the source direction of air rather than sources of specific pollutants, in that the levels of pollutants in various areas were diverse. Therefore, we further analysed the potential source contribution function using the PSCF model and wind direction frequency data to clarify the PM$_{2.5}$ sources.

3.3.2. Potential source areas

According to the PSCF results for PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 4a), the grids of potential source contributions were in Henan Province and Shandong Province, indicating that these two provinces may be potential source areas of PM$_{2.5}$. The wind direction frequency during the heavy PM$_{2.5}$ pollution period (n = 20, Fig. S1b) revealed that the contributions of the easterly (45.0%) and southerly (S, 15.0%) winds

![Fig. 2. The temporal variations of $\Sigma_{10}$OPEs and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations.](image-url)
great during the full sampling period (east: 36.5%; south: 7.69%), indicating that the primary sources of PM$_{2.5}$ may be located to the east and south of Xinxiang. This was consistent with the PSCF results, which indicated that Shandong Province (easterly winds) and Henan Province (southerly wind) were potential source areas. Meanwhile, the contribution from the southwest (SW) is significantly higher (25%) during the slight PM$_{2.5}$ ($n = 20$) pollution period (Fig. S1c) than that during full sampling period (11.5%), indicating that this wind direction may be associated with slight PM$_{2.5}$ pollution and have a weak contribution on PM$_{2.5}$ in Xinxiang.

These results differed from the air mass source results. For instance, the primary mass trajectories (two industrial cities in Henan Province: Hebi and Luoyang) had relatively low weighted PSCF values (WPSCF) scores, indicating these areas contributed minimally to PM$_{2.5}$. This finding supported the conclusion that the air mass sources couldn’t represent the origins of specific pollutants and that differences in the pollution characteristics of source areas need to be considered. Thus, we suspected that the source areas of PM$_{2.5}$ and OPEs may have differed, therefore, we analysed the potential source contribution function of OPEs during the sampling period. The results showed that the general OPE source areas were similar to those of PM$_{2.5}$ (i.e. Henan Province and Shandong Province); however, the major contribution areas exhibited. In particular, PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 4a) had relatively high WPSCF scores ($>0.3$) in central and southwest Henan Province and in northwest Anhui Province, suggesting that they may be major sources of PM$_{2.5}$. Meanwhile, OPEs (Fig. 4b) had relatively high WPSCF scores in central Henan Province, southern Hebei Province, and northwest Shandong Province, indicating these areas may be major sources of OPEs. Based on this analysis, we found several differences of sources between PM$_{2.5}$ and OPEs, thereby we speculated that the source areas of the 10 OPEs may have differed. In next section, we analysed the differences in source areas among the individual OPE congeners.

### 3.3.3. Differences in OPE congeners

We analysed the sources of the 10 OPEs and PM$_{2.5}$ using PCA. All components could be divided into three groups (Fig. 5). PM$_{2.5}$, TCEP, TPPO, TEHP, and TPhP had high scores under PC1 (42.9%), TDCPP, TCrP, and THP had high scores under PC2 (18.1%), and TCPP, TnBP,
and TiBP have high scores under PC3 (13.5%). Components with close scores indicated that they may have been derived from similar sources. By contrast, the OPEs grouped in PC1, PC2, and PC3 may have originated different sources.

Based on these results, we explored the potential source areas of the 10 OPEs using a PSCF model. The overall potential source areas of all 10 OPEs were consistent with those of PM$_{2.5}$, primarily including Shandong Province and Henan Province (Figs. S2, S3, and S4). However, the major contribution areas showed several variations among the groups and patterns within a given group. For the first group (Fig. S2), the potential source areas of TCEP, TEP, and TPhP were similar to those of PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 4a), consistent with the PCA results, indicating they may have originated from the same sources. Meanwhile, TPPO had significantly high WPSCF scores (>0.2) in a large area, mainly over Shandong Province (Fig. S2), differing from the other components. In the second group, relatively high WPSCF values (0.2–0.5) were found in southeast and northeast Xinxiang, particularly for TDCPP and TCrP (Fig. S3). The results concurred with the higher PC2 scores of TDCPP and TCrP, indicating that OPEs may have originated from these two directions. Finally, the components of the third group (Fig. S4) had high WPSCF values around the border of Shandong Province bounded by Henan, Anhui, and Jiangsu Provinces, which differed in their potential PM$_{2.5}$ source areas, indicating these areas may be the primary sources of TCPP, TnBP, and TBP. The consistency of PCA and PSCF results indicated OPEs congeners in the same group might be from similar sources.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we analysed the concentrations of 10 targeted OPEs in PM$_{2.5}$ in Xinxiang, North China. The results (mean $\Sigma_{10}$OPE concentration: 2.78 ± 3.00 ng m$^{-3}$) revealed lower than those in developed areas in China, such as Nanjing (7.25 ng m$^{-3}$) and Chengdu (6.46 ng m$^{-3}$). In addition, the $\Sigma_{10}$OPE concentrations displayed only slight temporal variations (mean: 2.02 ± 0.93 ng m$^{-3}$, n = 47), excluding several dates with high concentrations, which may have been influenced by high PM$_{2.5}$ levels. Further, we observed several samples with highly varying OPE/PM$_{2.5}$ ratios, which, according to the HYSPLIT results, may have been influenced by different air mass source areas. To further clarify this, we analysed the source areas of air masses, PM$_{2.5}$, and OPEs based on the HYSPLIT model, PSCF model, and wind frequency data. The results of the sources of air mass, PM$_{2.5}$, and OPEs exhibited several differences, revealing that air masses may not represent the actual pollutant sources. The PCA and PSCF results of the 10 OPEs showed that they could be divided into three groups sharing similar sources: TCEP, TEP, TPhP, and TPPO; TDCPP, TCrP, and TPhP; and TCPP, TiBP, and TnBP. These results highlight the importance of using a combination of methods and pollution characteristics when analysing the sources of atmospheric pollutants.
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