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ABSTRACT

In this paper we present CCD spectrophotometry of the star clusters that were detected by Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford in the nearby spiral galaxy M33, using the images obtained with the Beijing Astronomical Observatory 60/90 cm Schmidt Telescope in 13 intermediate-band filters from 3800 to 10000 Å. The observations cover the whole area of M33 with a total integration of 32.75 hours from September 23, 1995 to August 28, 2000. This provides a multi-color map of M33 in pixels of 1′′ × 1′′. By aperture photometry, we obtain the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of these star clusters. Using theoretical stellar population synthesis models, we also obtain the distributions of age and metallicity of these star clusters. These clusters formed continuously from \( \sim 3 \times 10^6 \) – \( 10^{10} \) years, and have a large span of metallicity from \( Z = 0.0004 \) to \( Z = 0.05 \).

Subject headings: galaxies: individual (M33) – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: star clusters
1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of the study of star clusters is difficult to overstate, especially in Local Group galaxies. Star clusters, which represent, in distinct and luminous “packets”, single age and single abundance points, and encapsulate at least a partial history of the parent galaxy’s evolution, can provide a unique laboratory for studying the ongoing and past star formation in the parent galaxy. Determination of elemental abundances in cluster stars is crucial to our understanding of the chemical evolution and star formation histories of the galaxy. In addition, studies of star cluster populations could help our understanding of the relationships between cluster formation and the physical morphology of the parent galaxy.

M33 is a small Scd Local Group galaxy, about 15 times farther from us than the LMC (distance modulus is 24.64) (Freedman, Wilson, & Madore 1991; Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford 1999a). It is interesting and important because it represents a morphological type intermediate between the largest “early-type” spirals and the dwarf irregulars in the Local Group (Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford 1999a). Our collaboration, the Beijing-Arizona-Taiwan-Connecticut (BATC) Multicolor Sky Survey (Fan et al. 1997; Zheng et al. 1999), already had this spiral galaxy as part of its galaxy calibration program. The BATC program uses the 60/90 cm Schmidt telescope at the Xinglong Station of Beijing Astronomical Observatory, with its focal plane equipped with a 2048 \times 2048 Ford CCD, and has custom designed a set of 15 intermediate-band filters to do spectrophotometry for preselected 1 deg$^2$ regions of the northern sky with this CCD system.

For M33, a database of star clusters have been yielded from the ground-based work (Hiltner 1960; Kron & Mayall 1960; Christian & Schommer 1982, 1988; Melnick & D’Odorico 1978), and from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images (Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford 1999a). Especially, Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999a) presented the first unbiased, representative sample of star clusters, sampling a variety of environments from outer regions to spiral arms and central regions, and can be used to probe the global properties of M33.

Since the pioneering work of Tinsley (1972) and Searle et al. (1973), evolutionary population synthesis has become a standard technique to study the stellar populations of galaxies. This is a result of the improvement in the theory of the chemical evolution of galaxies, star formation, stellar evolution and atmospheres, and of the development of synthesis algorithms and the availability of various evolutionary synthesis models. A comprehensive compilation of such models was presented by Leitherer et al. (1996) and Kennicutt (1998). More widely used models are those from the Padova and Geneva group (e.g. Schae rer\textsuperscript{1997}, Schae rer & Vacca 1998, Bressan et al. 1996, Chiosi et al. 1998, GISSEL96 (Charlot & Bruzual 1991), Bruzual & Charlot 1993, Bruzual & Charlot 1996, PEGASE (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997) and STARBURST99 (Leitherer et al. 1998).

In this paper, we present the SEDs of the star clusters that were detected by Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999a) in M33, and study the distributions of age and metallicity of these clusters by using the theoretical
evolutionary population synthesis methods. The multi-color photometry is powerful to provide the accurate SEDs for these stellar clusters.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Details of observations and data reduction are given in section 2. In section 3, we provide a brief description of the stellar population synthesis models of Bruzual & Charlot (1996). The distributions of metallicity and age are given in section 4. The summary and discussion are presented in section 5.

2. SAMPLE OF STAR CLUSTERS, OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Sample of Star Clusters

The sample of star clusters in this paper is from Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999a), who used 20 multiband Hubble Space Telescope (HST) WFPC2 fields to search for star clusters much closer to the nucleus of M33 than previous studies. Since these star clusters populate the variety of environments from the outer regions to spiral arms and central regions, they can be used to probe the global properties of the parent galaxy. At the same time, the accurate positions are presented in Table 2 of Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999a). So, as a first step, we select these star clusters to be studied, and obtain their SEDs by aperture photometry. The distribution of age and metallicity for these star clusters are derived by using the theoretical evolutionary population synthesis methods. Clusters 17, 39, 41 and 42 are not included in our sample because of their low signal-to-noise ratio in the images of some BATC filters.

2.2. CCD Image Observation

The large field multi-color observations of the spiral galaxy M33 were obtained in the BATC photometric system. The telescope used is the 60/90 cm f/3 Schmidt Telescope of Beijing Astronomical Observatory (BAO), located at the Xinglong station. A Ford Aerospace 2048×2048 CCD camera with 15 µm pixel size is mounted at the Schmidt focus of the telescope. The field of view of the CCD is 58' × 58' with a pixel scale of 1''7.

The multi-color BATC filter system includes 15 intermediate-band filters, covering the total optical wavelength range from 3000 to 10000 Å (see Fan et al. 1996). The filters were specifically designed to avoid contamination from the brightest and most variable night sky emission lines. A full description of the BAO Schmidt telescope, CCD, data-taking system, and definition of the BATC filter systems are detailed elsewhere (Fan et al. 1996; Zheng et al. 1999). The images of M33 covering the whole optical body of M33 were accumulated in 13 intermediate band filters with a total exposure time of about 32.75 hours from September 23, 1995 to August 28, 2000. The CCD images are centered at RA = 01°33′50″58
and \( \text{DEC}=30^\circ39'08''.4 \) (J2000). The dome flat-field images were taken by using a diffuse plate in front of the correcting plate of the Schmidt telescope. For flux calibration, the Oke-Gunn primary flux standard stars HD19445, HD84937, BD+262606 and BD+174708 were observed during photometric nights. The parameters of the filters and the statistics of the observations are given in Table 1. Figure 1 is “True-color” estimate of M33 generated by using the BATC03 (4210Å) filter image for blue, BATC07 (5785Å) for green, and BATC10 (7010Å) for red.

2.3. Image Data Reduction

The data were reduced with standard procedures, including bias subtraction and flat-fielding of the CCD images, with an automatic data reduction software named PIPELINE 1 developed for the BATC multi-color sky survey (Fan et al. 1996; Zheng et al. 1999). The flat-fielded images of each color were combined by integer pixel shifting. The cosmic rays and bad pixels were corrected by comparison of multiple images during combination. The images were re-centered and position-calibrated using the HST Guide Star Catalog. The absolute flux of intermediate-band filter images was calibrated using observations of standard stars. Fluxes as observed through the BATC filters for the Oke-Gunn stars were derived by convolving the SEDs of these stars with the measured BATC filter transmission functions (Fan et al. 1996). Column 6 in Table 1 gives the zero point error, in magnitude, for the standard stars in each filter. The formal errors we obtain for these stars in the 13 BATC filters are \(< 0.02 \text{ mag} \). This indicates that we can define the standard BATC system to an accuracy of \(< 0.02 \text{ mag} \).

2.4. Integrated Photometry

For each star cluster, aperture photometry was used to obtain magnitudes. For avoiding contamination from nearby objects, a smaller aperture of 6''8, which corresponds to a diameter of 4 pixels in Ford CCDs, was adopted. Considering the large seeing of the Xinglong station, aperture corrections were computed using isolated stars. Since these star clusters sample a variety of environments from outer regions to spiral arms and central regions, background subtraction is difficult. We determined the background in annulus of from 2 to 5 pixels from each star cluster. In this annulus, we fitted each row of the image by linear

Fig. 1.— “True-color” estimate of M33 generated by using the BATC03 (4210Å) filter image for blue, BATC07 (5785Å) for green, and BATC10 (7010Å) for red; the image is balanced by making the background old population orange and hot stars blue. The center (origin) of the image is located at RA = 01h33m50.58s, Dec=30°39'08''.4 (J2000.0). North is up and east is to the left.
median fitting to obtain a surface. Then, we repeat this process in the column direction of the surface that is obtained in the row of fitting. After this fit, we reject points higher and lower than 30 percent of the mean background. Finally, we obtained the final smoothed surface of background. Using this surface of background, we made the background subtraction for each cluster. The spectral energy distributions (SEDs) for the 56 star clusters were obtained. Table 2 contains the following information: Column 1 is cluster number which is taken from Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999a). Column 2 to Column 14 show the magnitudes of different bands. Second line of each star cluster is the uncertainties of magnitude of corresponding band. The uncertainties for each filter take into account the error from the object count rate, sky variance, and instrument gain.

### 2.5. Comparison with Previous Photometry

Using the Landolt standards, Zhou et al. (2001) presented the relationships between the BATC intermediate-band system and UBVRI broadband system by the catalogs of Landolt (1983, 1992) and Galadí-Enríquez et al. (2000). We show the coefficients of the fits in equations 1 and 2.

\[
m_B = m_{04} + (0.2218 \pm 0.033) \times (m_{03} - m_{05}) + 0.0741 \pm 0.033, \tag{1}
\]

\[
m_V = m_{07} + (0.3233 \pm 0.019) \times (m_{06} - m_{08}) + 0.0590 \pm 0.010. \tag{2}
\]

Using equations 1 and 2, we transformed the magnitudes of the star clusters in BATC03, BATC04 and BATC05 bands to ones in B band, and in BATC06, BATC07 and BATC08 bands to ones in V band. For clusters 1, 7, 37, 40 and 43, we change \( m_{05} \) to \( m_{04} \) because of the strong emission or absorption lines in BATC05 band. Figure 2 plots the comparison of \( V \) (BATC) and \( (B-V) \) (BATC) photometry with previously published measurements (Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford 1999a). Table 3 also shows this comparison. The mean \( V \) magnitude and color differences (this paper – the paper (of Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford 1999a)) are \( \Delta V = -0.009 \pm 0.025 \) and \( \Delta (B-V) = -0.179 \pm 0.039 \), respectively. The uncertainties in \( B \) (BATC) and \( V \) (BATC) have been added linearly, i.e. \( \sigma_B = \sigma_{04} + 0.2218 \times (\sigma_{03} + \sigma_{05}) \), and \( \sigma_V = \sigma_{07} + 0.3233 \times (\sigma_{06} + \sigma_{08}) \), to reflect the error in the three filter measurements. For the colors, we also added the errors linearly, i.e. \( \sigma_{(B-V)} = \sigma_B + \sigma_V \).

### 3. DATABASES OF SIMPLE STELLAR POPULATIONS

A simple stellar population (SSP) is defined as a single generation of coeval stars with fixed parameters such as metallicity, initial mass function, etc. (Buzzoni 1997). SSPs are the basic building blocks of synthetic spectra of galaxies that can be used to infer the formation and subsequent evolution of the parent galaxies (Jablonka et al. 1996). They are modeled by a collection of stellar evolutionary tracks with
Fig. 2.— Comparison of Cluster Photometry with Previous Measurements
different masses and initial chemical compositions, supplemented with a library of stellar spectra for stars at different evolutionary stages in evolution synthesis models. In order to study the integrated properties of star clusters in M33, as the first step, we use the SSPs of Galaxy Isochrone Synthesis Spectra Evolution Library (Bruzual & Charlot 1996 hereafter GSSP) because they are simple and reasonably well understood.

3.1. Spectral Energy Distribution of GSSPs

The Bruzual & Charlot (1996) study has extended the Bruzual & Charlot (1993) evolutionary population synthesis models. The updated version provides the evolution of the spectrophotometric properties for a wide range of stellar metallicity. They are based on the stellar evolution tracks computed by Bressan et al. (1993), Fagotto et al. (1994), and by Girardi et al. (1996), who use the radiative opacities of Iglesias et al. (1992). This library includes tracks for stars with metallicities $Z = 0.0004, 0.004, 0.008, 0.02, 0.05, \text{and } 0.1$, with the helium abundance given by $Y = 2.5Z + 0.23$ (The reference solar metallicity is $Z_{\odot} = 0.02$). The stellar spectra library is from Lejeune et al. (1997, 1998) for all the metallicities listed above, which in turn consists of Kurucz (1995) spectra for the hotter stars (O-K), Bessell et al. (1991) and Fluks et al. (1994) spectra for M giants, and Allard & Hauschildt (1995) spectra for M dwarfs. GSSP models assume an initial Salpeter (1955) mass function $\Phi(M) = A \times M^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 2.35$ and normalization constant $A = 1$, and a lower cutoff $M_l = 0.1M_{\odot}$ and an upper cutoff $M_u = 125M_{\odot}$ (Sawicki & Yee 1998).

3.2. Integrated Colors of GSSPs

Kong et al. (2000) have obtained the age, metallicity, and interstellar-medium reddening distribution for M81. They found the best match between the intrinsic colors and the predictions of GSSP for each cell of M81. To determine the distributions of age and metallicity of the star clusters in M33, we follow the method of Kong et al. (2000). Since the observational data are integrated luminosity, we need to convolve the SED of GSSP with BATC filter profiles to obtain the optical and near-infrared integrated luminosity for comparisons (Kong et al. 2000). The integrated luminosity $L_{\lambda_i}(t, Z)$ of the $i$th BATC filter can be calculated with

$$L_{\lambda_i}(t, Z) = \frac{\int F_{\lambda}(t, Z)\varphi_i(\lambda)d\lambda}{\int \varphi_i(\lambda)d\lambda},$$

where $F_{\lambda}(t, Z)$ is the spectral energy distribution of the GSSP of metallicity $Z$ at age $t$, $\varphi_i(\lambda)$ is the response functions of the $i$th filter of the BATC filter system ($i = 3, 4, \cdots, 15$), respectively.

The absolute luminosity can be obtained if we know the distance to M33 and the extinction along the line of sight. However, we do not know these parameters exactly. So, we should work with the colors because of their independence of the distance. We calculate the integrated colors of a GSSP relative to the BATC filter BATC08 ($\lambda = 6075\text{Å}$):
\[ C_{\lambda_i}(t, Z) = L_{\lambda_i}(t, Z)/L_{6075}(t, Z). \] (4)

As a result, we obtain intermediate-band colors for 6 metallicities from \( Z = 0.0004 \) to \( Z = 0.1 \).

4. REDDENING CORRECTION AND DISTRIBUTIONS OF METALLICITY, AGE

In general, the SED of a stellar system depends on age, metallicity and reddening along the line of sight. The effects of age, metallicity and reddening are difficult to separate (e.g. Calzetti 1997, Origlia et al. 1999, Vazdekis et al. 1997). Older age, higher metallicity or larger reddening all lead to redder SEDs of stellar systems in the optical (Mollà et al. 1997, Bressan et al. 1996). In order to obtain intrinsic colors for these star clusters, we must correct for reddening.

4.1. Reddening Correction

In order to obtain intrinsic colors of 56 clusters and hence accurate ages, the photometric measurements must be dereddened. The observed colors are affected by two sources of reddening: (1) the foreground extinction in our Milky Way and (2) internal reddening due to varying optical paths through the disk of the parent galaxy. McClure & Racine (1969) has measured the foreground color excess, \( 0.03 \pm 0.02 \) for M33. As Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b) did, we also adopted this value. For internal reddening of the star clusters, we adopted the values in the third column of Table 3 of Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b). Besides, we adopted the extinction curve presented by Zombeck (1990). An extinction correction \( A_\lambda = R_\lambda E(B-V) \) was applied, here \( R_\lambda \) is obtained by interpolating using the data of Zombeck (1990).

4.2. Age and Metallicity Distribution

Since we model the stellar populations of the star clusters by SSPs, the intrinsic colors for each star cluster are determined by two parameters: age, and metallicity. In this section, we will determine these two parameters for these star clusters simultaneously by a least square method. The best fit age and metallicity are found by minimizing the difference between the intrinsic and integrated colors of GSSP:

\[ R^2(n, t, Z) = \sum_{i=3}^{15} [C_{\lambda_i}^{\text{intr}}(n) - C_{\lambda_i}^{\text{esp}}(t, Z)]^2, \] (5)

where \( C_{\lambda_i}^{\text{esp}}(t, Z) \) represents the integrated color in the \( i \)th filter of a SSP with age \( t \) and metallicity \( Z \), and \( C_{\lambda_i}^{\text{intr}}(n) \) is the intrinsic integrated color for \( n \)th star cluster. From Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b), the distribution of metallicity of these star clusters is from \( \sim 0.0002 \) to \( 0.03 \). So, we only select the modles of
three metallicities, 0.0004, 0.004 and 0.02 of GSSP.

Figure 3 shows the map of the best fit of the integrated color of a SSP with the intrinsic integrated color for 56 star clusters, and Table 4 presents the ages and metallicities of these 56 star clusters. In Figure 3, the thick line represents the integrated color of a SSP of GSSP, and filled circle represents the intrinsic integrated color of a star cluster. From this figure, we also see that clusters 1, 7, 8, 40 and 43 have strong emission lines. For cluster 2, since the fitting is not good in the above models of the three metallicities of GSSP (showing by dashed line in Figure 3), we again select a model of a higher metallicity, 0.05 (showing by the thick line in Figure 3). We find that this model of metallicity of 0.05 can be fitted much better for cluster 2.

Figure 4 presents a histogram of cluster ages. The results show that, in general, M33 clusters have been forming continuously, with ages of $\sim 3 \times 10^6 - 10^{10}$ years. This conclusion is consistent with that found by Chandar, Bianchi, & Fort (1999b). There exist three groups of clusters that formed with three metallicities, $Z = 0.02, 0.004, \text{ and } 0.0004$. With different metallicities, the distribution of cluster ages is a little different, too. With $Z = 0.02$ metallicity, the ages of clusters are younger than $\sim 4 \times 10^9$ years. With $Z = 0.004$, the clusters formed from $\sim 3 \times 10^6 - 10^{10}$ years. With $Z = 0.0004$, the clusters formed from $\sim 10^8 - 10^{10}$ years except of cluster 8. In this model, there are 15 young clusters ($< 10^9$ years). Except of cluster 26, which is near the center of the host galaxy ($\sim 17$ far from the center), the other clusters are farther than 4′0 from the center (nearer than 12′6). This population of young, metal-poor clusters appears to not be in the outskirts of the parent galaxy. Clusters 11 and 57 have derived ages consistent with that of the globular clusters of the Milky Way, $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{10}$ years. This result is also consistent with that found by Chandar, Bianchi, & Fort (1999b), who presented clusters 28 and 29 to be as old as $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{10}$ years. From our small sample clusters, we cannot see any evidence for an abundance gradient. The main reason may be that, integrated colors of star clusters depend mostly on age, with a secondary dependence on chemical composition. So, we can estimate ages of clusters, but cannot determine metallicities of clusters exactly. As Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b, 1999c) did, we also estimated the ages of our sample clusters by comparing the photometry of each object with models for different values of metallicity. Although we presented the metallicity of each cluster in Table 4, we only mean that, in this model of metallicity, the intrinsic integrated color of each cluster can do the best fit with the integrated color of a SSP.

Kong et al. (2000) found a relation between flux ratio of $I_{8510} \equiv L_{8510}/L_{9170}$ and metallicity for stellar populations older than 1 Gyr. Using this relation, we can provide an independent estimate of metallicity for our older clusters without an assumption of reddening. But, this method can be only used when the signal-to-noise ratio is high enough. In our sample clusters of M33, the signal-to-noise ratios are not high enough because of the strong background (These clusters distribute mainly in the spiral arms and central regions.). So, the metallicities of the old clusters derived via the technique of Kong et al. (2000) are also
Fig. 3.— Map of the best fit of the integrated color of a SSP with intrinsic integrated color for 56 star clusters. Thick line represents the integrated color of a SSP, and filled circle represents the intrinsic integrated color of a star cluster.
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Fig. 4.— Histogram of M33 cluster ages
somewhat uncertain. In our paper, there are 10 clusters, the ages of which are 1 Gyr or older than 1 Gyr. We calculated their metallicities using the method of Kong et al. (2000) except of clusters 31, 52 and 57, since the magnitude uncertainties of these three clusters are too large. Then we obtained their reddenings and ages. The results are listed in Table 5. From this table and Table 4, we can see that the metallicities of clusters 11 and 54 are not consistent by two methods. We also see that, except of clusters 20 and 59, the cluster ages derived by two methods, are consistent. The values of reddening obtained by the method of Kong et al. (2000), are not consistent with ones of Chandar, Bianchi, & Fort (1999b) except of clusters 20, 22 and 28. But, as we know the reddening is difficult to estimate.

### 5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have, for the first time, obtained the SEDs of 56 star clusters of M33 in 13 intermediate colors with the BAO 60/90 cm Schmidt telescope. Below, we summarize our main conclusions.

1. Using the images obtained with the Beijing Astronomical Observatory 60/90 cm Schmidt Telescope in 13 intermediate-band filters from 3800 to 10000 Å, we obtained the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of the star clusters that were detected by Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999a).

2. Using theoretical stellar population synthesis models, we obtained the distributions of age and metallicity of these star clusters. These clusters formed continuously from $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ – $10^{10}$ years, and have a large span of metallicity from $Z = 0.0004$ to $Z = 0.05$.

With $\sim 10$ Å resolution spectrophotometry ($\lambda\lambda 3700 – 5500$ Å), Christian & Schommer (1983) presented that M33 star clusters have a range of ages ($\sim 10^7 – 10^{10}$ years). Using the integrated $UBV$ photometry and IUE $\lambda\lambda 1200 – 3000$ Å spectra, Ciani, D’Odorico, & Benvenuti (1984) studied the minuscule “bulge” population of M33 and found that, a multigeneration model, where a young component (age $\sim 10^7$ years) and an old, metal-poor one (age $\sim 5 \times 10^9$ years) are superposed, gives the best fit to the observed data. Schmidt, Bica, & Alloin (1990) applied a population synthesis method which uses a star cluster spectral library and a grid of the star cluster spectral properties as a function of age and metallicity (Bica & Alloin 1986a, b; 1987), to the blueish nucleus of M33, and gave an age of less than $5 \times 10^8$ years for the dominant blue bulge population. From the histogram of ages in this paper, we can see that some old clusters in our sample appear to be coeval with the old population of the bulge. Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b, 1999c) also estimated ages for the star clusters of this paper by comparing the $UBV$ and far-UV photometric measurements (Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford 1999a, 1999c) to integrated colors from theoretical models by Bertelli et al. (1994), and found that these clusters formed continuously from $\sim 4 \times 10^6 – 10^{10}$ years. Our results are consistent with the conclusions of Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b, 1999c).

To disentangle age, metallicity and reddening in SSPs, we adopted the $E(B – V)$ values presented
in Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b). These values may be somewhat uncertain. In order to see clearly how the uncertainties of \( E(B - V) \) affect the derived age and metallicity, we adopt an uncertainty of 0.03 magnitudes of the \( E(B - V) \) values given in Chandar, Bianchi, & Ford (1999b). The results appear that an uncertainty of 0.03 magnitudes in \( E(B - V) \) hardly affects the derived ages and metallicities on the average, but have a somewhat larger affect on the older clusters.
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| No. | Name   | cw (Å) | Exp. (hr) | N.img | rms  |
|-----|--------|--------|----------|-------|------|
| 1   | BATC03 | 4210   | 00:55    | 04    | 0.024|
| 2   | BATC04 | 4546   | 01:05    | 04    | 0.023|
| 3   | BATC05 | 4872   | 03:55    | 19    | 0.017|
| 4   | BATC06 | 5250   | 03:19    | 15    | 0.006|
| 5   | BATC07 | 5785   | 04:38    | 17    | 0.011|
| 6   | BATC08 | 6075   | 01:26    | 08    | 0.016|
| 7   | BATC09 | 6710   | 01:09    | 08    | 0.006|
| 8   | BATC10 | 7010   | 01:41    | 08    | 0.005|
| 9   | BATC11 | 7530   | 02:07    | 10    | 0.017|
| 10  | BATC12 | 8000   | 03:00    | 11    | 0.003|
| 11  | BATC13 | 8510   | 03:15    | 11    | 0.005|
| 12  | BATC14 | 9170   | 01:15    | 05    | 0.011|
| 13  | BATC15 | 9720   | 05:00    | 26    | 0.009|

\( ^a \text{Central wavelength for each BATC filter} \)

\( ^b \text{Image numbers for each BATC filter} \)

\( ^c \text{Zero point error, in magnitude, for each filter as obtained from the standard stars} \)
Table 2: SEDs of 56 Star Clusters

| No. | 03    | 04    | 05    | 06    | 07    | 08    | 09    | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14    | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18    |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1.  | 18.617| 18.650| 18.017| 18.819| 18.647| 18.769| 17.066| 18.620| 18.520| 18.282| 18.835| 17.801| 18.528| 0.073 | 0.078 | 0.039 |
| 2.  | 16.684| 16.623| 16.760| 16.653| 16.635| 16.648| 16.492| 16.392| 16.189| 16.125| 15.954| 15.702| 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.018 |
| 3.  | 17.611| 17.552| 17.672| 17.589| 17.660| 17.727| 17.753| 17.836| 17.841| 17.868| 17.976| 17.923| 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.032 | 0.039 |
| 4.  | 17.879| 17.816| 17.853| 17.815| 17.824| 17.799| 17.782| 17.723| 17.864| 17.898| 18.029| 17.975| 0.037 | 0.036 | 0.038 | 0.042 |
| 5.  | 18.864| 18.685| 18.687| 18.415| 18.706| 18.537| 18.517| 18.612| 18.518| 18.800| 19.165| 18.925| 0.085 | 0.079 | 0.082 | 0.086 |
| 6.  | 17.611| 17.552| 17.672| 17.589| 17.660| 17.727| 17.753| 17.836| 17.841| 17.868| 17.976| 17.923| 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.032 | 0.039 |
| 7.  | 16.990| 16.874| 16.660| 17.116| 17.211| 17.338| 16.375| 17.337| 17.570| 17.668| 17.697| 17.187| 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.013 | 0.022 |
| 8.  | 19.042| 18.912| 18.910| 18.915| 18.896| 18.941| 18.179| 18.664| 18.759| 18.852| 18.688| 18.646| 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.078 |
| 9.  | 20.062| 20.574| 20.157| 20.084| 19.921| 20.203| 20.005| 20.255| 19.745| 19.620| 20.314| 19.683| 0.106 | 0.108 | 0.098 | 0.094 |
| 10. | 18.649| 18.452| 18.515| 18.578| 18.522| 18.536| 18.618| 18.536| 18.603| 18.552| 18.400| 18.516| 0.066 | 0.056 | 0.051 | 0.066 |
| 11. | 19.561| 19.051| 18.994| 18.823| 18.500| 18.520| 18.186| 18.114| 17.985| 17.948| 17.673| 17.618| 0.153 | 0.098 | 0.080 | 0.085 |
| 12. | 19.485| 19.382| 19.468| 19.233| 19.302| 19.182| 19.115| 19.037| 18.911| 18.796| 18.902| 18.617| 0.092 | 0.091 | 0.092 | 0.096 |
| 13. | 19.226| 18.983| 19.020| 19.092| 18.906| 19.128| 19.545| 19.113| 19.407| 18.900| 19.446| 18.868| 0.106 | 0.087 | 0.101 | 0.084 |
| 14. | 17.411| 17.287| 17.291| 17.287| 17.252| 17.209| 17.275| 17.181| 17.089| 17.179| 17.087| 17.134| 0.024 | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.023 |
| No. | 03  | 04  | 05  | 06  | 07  | 08  | 09  | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 19..| 18.973 | 18.775 | 18.757 | 18.681 | 18.655 | 18.523 | 18.497 | 18.320 | 18.297 | 18.237 |          |          |
|     | 0.088 | 0.074 | 0.063 | 0.072 | 0.065 | 0.083 | 0.068 | 0.072 | 0.076 | 0.093 |          |          |
| 20..| 19.110 | 18.755 | 18.704 | 18.453 | 18.307 | 18.254 | 18.050 | 17.831 | 17.698 | 17.706 | 17.522 | 17.392 |
|     | 0.102 | 0.077 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.054 | 0.061 | 0.048 | 0.043 | 0.040 | 0.055 |          |          |
| 21..| 17.265 | 17.317 | 17.354 | 17.469 | 17.426 | 17.630 | 17.702 | 17.929 | 17.869 | 18.319 | 18.026 |          |
|     | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.036 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.060 |          |          |
| 22..| 18.655 | 18.231 | 18.126 | 18.008 | 17.760 | 17.794 | 17.794 | 18.634 | 17.492 | 17.561 | 17.490 |          |
|     | 0.065 | 0.046 | 0.037 | 0.040 | 0.032 | 0.038 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.035 | 0.043 |          |          |
| 23..| 18.988 | 18.756 | 18.676 | 18.581 | 18.335 | 18.407 | 18.389 | 18.355 | 18.282 | 18.206 | 18.341 | 18.107 |
|     | 0.085 | 0.071 | 0.057 | 0.065 | 0.051 | 0.064 | 0.059 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.079 |          |          |
| 24..| 18.886 | 18.877 | 18.871 | 18.996 | 18.950 | 19.111 | 19.204 | 19.400 | 19.688 | 19.831 | 20.399 | 20.540 |
|     | 0.081 | 0.082 | 0.071 | 0.097 | 0.093 | 0.127 | 0.129 | 0.166 | 0.228 | 0.372 |          |          |
| 25..| 19.193 | 19.220 | 19.285 | 18.817 | 18.833 | 18.871 | 18.880 | 19.007 | 18.573 | 18.792 | 18.779 | 19.118 |
|     | 0.079 | 0.068 | 0.073 | 0.061 | 0.071 | 0.074 | 0.079 | 0.072 | 0.070 | 0.092 | 0.188 |          |
| 26..| 17.465 | 17.372 | 17.481 | 17.517 | 17.407 | 17.557 | 17.567 | 17.558 | 17.514 | 17.426 | 17.391 | 17.389 |
|     | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.035 | 0.028 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.040 | 0.041 | 0.045 |          |          |
| 27..| 18.789 | 18.497 | 18.494 | 18.400 | 18.209 | 18.202 | 18.145 | 18.109 | 17.984 | 17.902 | 17.762 | 17.543 |
|     | 0.088 | 0.071 | 0.061 | 0.072 | 0.055 | 0.064 | 0.059 | 0.062 | 0.059 | 0.065 |          |          |
| 28..| 17.258 | 16.754 | 16.600 | 16.458 | 16.163 | 16.149 | 15.967 | 15.914 | 15.866 | 15.777 | 15.722 | 15.689 |
|     | 0.024 | 0.017 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.015 |          |
| 29..| 18.982 | 18.598 | 18.614 | 18.313 | 18.267 | 18.184 | 18.170 | 18.163 | 18.229 | 18.134 | 18.173 | 18.077 |
|     | 0.056 | 0.049 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.036 | 0.038 | 0.039 | 0.043 | 0.052 | 0.051 |          |          |
| 30..| 18.703 | 18.186 | 18.486 | 18.273 | 18.108 | 18.176 | 18.888 | 18.329 | 18.378 | 18.404 | 18.616 | 18.651 |
|     | 0.070 | 0.046 | 0.052 | 0.053 | 0.043 | 0.053 | 0.101 | 0.062 | 0.069 | 0.093 | 0.173 |          |
| 31..| 19.396 | 18.951 | 18.873 | 18.770 | 18.528 | 18.464 | 18.438 | 18.375 | 18.271 | 18.212 | 18.033 | 18.474 |
|     | 0.129 | 0.089 | 0.071 | 0.081 | 0.064 | 0.071 | 0.065 | 0.067 | 0.065 | 0.083 | 0.109 |          |
| 32..| 16.614 | 16.507 | 16.573 | 16.644 | 16.643 | 16.689 | 16.666 | 16.651 | 16.601 | 16.538 | 16.542 | 16.488 |
|     | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.021 | 0.031 |          | 0.018 |
| No. | 03  | 04  | 05  | 06  | 07  | 08  | 09  | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| (1) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 36..| 18.771 | 18.303 | 18.563 | 18.257 | 18.420 | 18.363 | 18.111 | 18.163 | 18.921 | 19.179 | 18.687 |
|      | 0.071   | 0.048   | 0.051   | 0.048   | 0.057   | 0.064   | 0.056   | 0.048   | 0.054   | 0.154   | 0.308   | 0.112   |
| 37..| 18.779 | 19.215 | 18.490 | 18.541 | 18.821 | 18.276 | 18.167 | 18.190 | 18.124 | 18.023 |
|      | 0.079   | 0.110   | 0.065   | 0.079   | 0.112   | 0.063   | 0.061   | 0.087   | 0.128   | 0.070   |
| 38..| 19.003 | 18.737 | 18.742 | 18.641 | 18.767 | 18.783 | 18.636 | 18.545 |
|      | 0.093   | 0.069   | 0.034   | 0.035   | 0.034   | 0.038   | 0.046   | 0.120   |
| 40..| 17.711 | 17.540 | 17.919 | 18.069 | 18.182 | 17.369 | 18.494 | 18.309 | 18.707 |
|      | 0.024   | 0.019   | 0.028   | 0.031   | 0.020   | 0.039   | 0.046   | 0.120   | 0.063   |
| 43..| 18.437 | 18.236 | 18.387 | 18.531 | 17.687 | 18.481 | 18.450 | 18.346 | 18.555 |
|      | 0.044   | 0.034   | 0.034   | 0.035   | 0.018   | 0.038   | 0.032   | 0.052   | 0.032   |
| 44..| 17.629 | 17.642 | 17.679 | 17.669 | 17.727 | 17.688 | 17.634 | 17.576 |
|      | 0.030   | 0.032   | 0.037   | 0.035   | 0.038   | 0.042   | 0.054   | 0.045   |
| 45..| 19.634 | 19.133 | 19.111 | 18.995 | 19.099 | 19.196 | 19.203 | 18.917 | 18.928 |
|      | 0.150   | 0.084   | 0.102   | 0.089   | 0.115   | 0.119   | 0.128   | 0.145   | 0.222   |
| 46..| 19.400 | 18.827 | 18.727 | 18.499 | 18.617 | 18.559 | 18.487 | 18.643 | 18.505 |
|      | 0.133   | 0.071   | 0.080   | 0.063   | 0.082   | 0.074   | 0.090   | 0.101   | 0.169   |
| 47..| 17.547 | 17.357 | 17.414 | 17.382 | 17.214 | 17.227 | 17.183 | 17.165 | 17.209 |
|      | 0.025   | 0.023   | 0.021   | 0.025   | 0.023   | 0.027   | 0.025   | 0.039   | 0.059   |
| 48..| 18.878 | 18.853 | 18.967 | 18.862 | 18.691 | 18.790 | 19.521 | 18.639 | 18.805 |
|      | 0.088   | 0.084   | 0.095   | 0.075   | 0.096   | 0.185   | 0.087   | 0.136   | 0.172   |
| 49..| 19.374 | 18.537 | 18.556 | 18.518 | 18.081 | 18.068 | 18.762 | 17.928 | 17.797 |
|      | 0.151   | 0.065   | 0.078   | 0.049   | 0.057   | 0.046   | 0.050   | 0.054   | 0.094   |
| 50..| 18.633 | 18.272 | 18.411 | 18.227 | 18.282 | 18.205 | 18.457 | 18.367 | 18.246 |
|      | 0.065   | 0.049   | 0.059   | 0.048   | 0.059   | 0.052   | 0.071   | 0.083   | 0.120   |
| 51..| 18.626 | 18.513 | 18.519 | 18.446 | 18.375 | 18.508 | 18.317 | 18.475 | 18.506 |
|      | 0.080   | 0.073   | 0.077   | 0.102   | 0.098   | 0.092   | 0.100   | 0.082   | 0.109   |
| 52..| 19.742 | 19.233 | 19.102 | 19.202 | 18.741 | 18.901 | 18.707 | 18.744 | 18.805 |
|      | 0.161   | 0.104   | 0.080   | 0.109   | 0.072   | 0.098   | 0.077   | 0.086   | 0.111   |
| No. | 03  | 04  | 05  | 06  | 07  | 08  | 09  | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 56. | 18.662 | 18.454 | 18.469 | 18.414 | 18.308 | 18.342 | 18.362 | 18.343 | 18.471 | 18.437 | 18.508 | 18.196 |
|     | 0.068 | 0.058 | 0.051 | 0.060 | 0.054 | 0.065 | 0.061 | 0.065 | 0.078 | 0.105 | 0.175 | 0.078 |
| 57. | 19.939 | 19.766 | 19.506 | 19.471 | 18.933 | 19.041 | 18.786 | 18.848 | 18.532 | 18.321 | 18.256 | 18.157 |
|     | 0.206 | 0.177 | 0.120 | 0.144 | 0.091 | 0.118 | 0.086 | 0.099 | 0.080 | 0.095 | 0.142 | 0.075 |
| 58. | 18.762 | 18.537 | 18.585 | 18.546 | 18.387 | 18.478 | 18.388 | 18.534 | 18.572 | 18.430 | 18.127 | 18.359 |
|     | 0.074 | 0.061 | 0.055 | 0.065 | 0.057 | 0.072 | 0.061 | 0.076 | 0.083 | 0.105 | 0.126 | 0.090 |
| 59. | 18.467 | 18.294 | 18.284 | 18.194 | 17.923 | 17.976 | 17.872 | 17.689 | 17.484 | 17.382 | 17.338 | 17.155 |
|     | 0.046 | 0.038 | 0.034 | 0.037 | 0.033 | 0.036 | 0.031 | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.034 | 0.050 | 0.028 |
| 60. | 18.798 | 18.714 | 18.664 | 18.648 | 18.676 | 18.703 | 18.689 | 18.783 | 18.806 | 18.713 | 19.077 | 18.649 |
|     | 0.074 | 0.070 | 0.057 | 0.068 | 0.071 | 0.085 | 0.077 | 0.091 | 0.099 | 0.131 | 0.291 | 0.113 |
Table 3: Comparison of Cluster Photometry with Previous Measurements

| No. | $V$ (Chandar et al.) | $V$ (BATC) | $B - V$ (Chandar et al.) | $B - V$ (BATC) |
|-----|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 1   | 18.594 ± 0.018      | 18.722 ± 0.148 | 0.028 ± 0.020          | -0.005 ± 0.275 |
| 2   | 16.756 ± 0.006      | 16.696 ± 0.032 | 0.214 ± 0.006          | -0.015 ± 0.060 |
| 3   | 17.416 ± 0.010      | 17.674 ± 0.054 | 0.294 ± 0.023          | -0.062 ± 0.097 |
| 4   | 17.851 ± 0.006      | 17.888 ± 0.063 | 0.176 ± 0.011          | 0.008 ± 0.114  |
| 5   | 18.621 ± 0.013      | 18.726 ± 0.139 | 0.068 ± 0.019          | 0.073 ± 0.254  |
| 6   | 17.862 ± 0.008      | 17.674 ± 0.054 | -0.186 ± 0.013         | -0.062 ± 0.097 |
| 7   | 17.384 ± 0.006      | 17.198 ± 0.039 | -0.131 ± 0.006         | -0.224 ± 0.069 |
| 8   | 18.856 ± 0.019      | 18.947 ± 0.131 | 0.352 ± 0.030          | 0.069 ± 0.250  |
| 9   | 19.670 ± 0.032      | 19.942 ± 0.161 | 0.686 ± 0.314          |               |
| 10  | 18.774 ± 0.016      | 18.595 ± 0.108 | -0.039 ± 0.190         |               |
| 11  | 18.808 ± 0.016      | 18.657 ± 0.115 | 0.594 ± 0.265          |               |
| 12  | 19.008 ± 0.021      | 19.377 ± 0.155 | 0.297 ± 0.034          | 0.082 ± 0.288 |
| 13  | 18.667 ± 0.019      | 18.953 ± 0.156 | 0.208 ± 0.016          | 0.149 ± 0.283 |
| 14  | 17.339 ± 0.005      | 17.336 ± 0.036 | 0.170 ± 0.008          | 0.051 ± 0.067 |
| 15  | 19.008 ± 0.023      | 19.058 ± 0.159 | 0.409 ± 0.028          | 0.340 ± 0.316 |
| 16  | 17.449 ± 0.005      | 17.271 ± 0.036 | 0.267 ± 0.009          | -0.039 ± 0.064|
| 18  | 19.870 ± 0.046      | 19.666 ± 0.250 | 0.007 ± 0.038          | -0.004 ± 0.437|
| 19  | 18.752 ± 0.016      | 18.637 ± 0.116 | 0.260 ± 0.223          |               |
| 20  | 18.302 ± 0.005      | 18.430 ± 0.094 | 0.489 ± 0.208          |               |
| 21  | 17.713 ± 0.008      | 17.433 ± 0.046 | -0.190 ± 0.008         | -0.062 ± 0.080|
| 22  | 17.935 ± 0.007      | 17.888 ± 0.058 | 0.513 ± 0.016          | 0.534 ± 0.126 |
| 23  | 18.264 ± 0.010      | 18.450 ± 0.093 | 0.548 ± 0.017          | 0.449 ± 0.195 |
| 24  | 18.904 ± 0.017      | 18.972 ± 0.166 | -0.044 ± 0.016         | -0.017 ± 0.281|
| 25  | 18.840 ± 0.018      | 18.875 ± 0.114 | 0.692 ± 0.039          | 0.399 ± 0.216 |
| 26  | 17.535 ± 0.022      | 17.453 ± 0.052 | 0.172 ± 0.027          | -0.011 ± 0.093|
| 27  | 18.077 ± 0.022      | 18.332 ± 0.098 | 0.273 ± 0.035          | 0.305 ± 0.203 |
| 28  | 16.368 ± 0.015      | 16.322 ± 0.019 | 0.794 ± 0.014          | 0.652 ± 0.044 |
| 29  | 18.437 ± 0.035      | 18.368 ± 0.062 | 0.985 ± 0.059          | 0.386 ± 0.133 |
| 30  | 18.124 ± 0.041      | 18.198 ± 0.077 | 0.273 ± 0.037          | 0.110 ± 0.150 |
| 31  | 18.508 ± 0.031      | 18.686 ± 0.113 | 0.428 ± 0.040          | 0.455 ± 0.247 |
| 32  | 16.703 ± 0.016      | 16.687 ± 0.025 | 0.079 ± 0.013          | -0.097 ± 0.045|
Table 3: Continued

| No. | \( V \) (Chandar et al.) | \( V \) (BATC) | \( B - V \) (Chandar et al.) | \( B - V \) (BATC) |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 33   | 17.141 ± 0.020           | 17.141 ± 0.031 | 0.218 ± 0.018               | 0.118 ± 0.060    |
| 34   | 17.722 ± 0.021           | 17.641 ± 0.051 | 0.137 ± 0.020               | 0.005 ± 0.094    |
| 35   | 17.145 ± 0.017           | 17.135 ± 0.031 | 0.150 ± 0.015               | 0.012 ± 0.056    |
| 36   | 18.553 ± 0.034           | 18.474 ± 0.093 | 0.222 ± 0.033               | -0.051 ± 0.168   |
| 37   | 18.636 ± 0.033           | 18.561 ± 0.113 | 0.244 ± 0.033               | 0.163 ± 0.230    |
| 38   | 18.655 ± 0.032           | 18.793 ± 0.135 | 0.202 ± 0.040               | 0.195 ± 0.253    |
| 40   | 18.505 ± 0.010           | 18.043 ± 0.052 | -0.338 ± 0.010              | -0.301 ± 0.125   |
| 43   | 18.575 ± 0.018           | 18.436 ± 0.057 | 0.755 ± 0.039               | 0.012 ± 0.115    |
| 44   | 17.735 ± 0.007           | 17.661 ± 0.052 | 0.288 ± 0.009               | -0.030 ± 0.093   |
| 45   | 19.074 ± 0.024           | 19.058 ± 0.159 | 0.535 ± 0.026               | 0.340 ± 0.316    |
| 46   | 18.474 ± 0.013           | 18.594 ± 0.116 | 0.764 ± 0.017               | 0.436 ± 0.243    |
| 47   | 17.264 ± 0.005           | 17.323 ± 0.040 | 0.497 ± 0.007               | 0.137 ± 0.072    |
| 48   | 18.794 ± 0.100           | 18.773 ± 0.137 | 0.417 ± 0.026               | 0.134 ± 0.264    |
| 49   | 18.079 ± 0.011           | 18.285 ± 0.092 | 0.824 ± 0.017               | 0.507 ± 0.213    |
| 50   | 18.132 ± 0.006           | 18.328 ± 0.087 | 0.360 ± 0.014               | 0.104 ± 0.159    |
| 51   | 18.838 ± 0.022           | 18.552 ± 0.164 | 0.459 ± 0.026               | 0.052 ± 0.272    |
| 52   | 18.618 ± 0.016           | 18.897 ± 0.139 | 0.810 ± 0.027               | 0.552 ± 0.296    |
| 53   | 19.563 ± 0.027           | 19.359 ± 0.187 | 0.583 ± 0.043               | 0.583 ± 0.434    |
| 54   | 18.407 ± 0.010           | 18.383 ± 0.076 | 1.075 ± 0.020               | 0.598 ± 0.176    |
| 55   | 18.104 ± 0.006           | 18.112 ± 0.068 | 0.295 ± 0.135               | 0.295 ± 0.135    |
| 56   | 18.408 ± 0.008           | 18.390 ± 0.094 | 0.181 ± 0.178               | 0.181 ± 0.178    |
| 57   | 19.375 ± 0.015           | 19.131 ± 0.176 | 0.805 ± 0.425               | 0.805 ± 0.425    |
| 58   | 18.391 ± 0.006           | 18.468 ± 0.101 | 0.182 ± 0.190               | 0.182 ± 0.190    |
| 59   | 18.649 ± 0.009           | 18.052 ± 0.056 | 0.356 ± 0.112               | 0.356 ± 0.112    |
| 60   | 18.679 ± 0.008           | 18.717 ± 0.121 | 0.101 ± 0.220               | 0.101 ± 0.220    |
Table 4: Age and Metallicity Distribution of 56 Star Clusters

| No. | Metallicity (Z) | Age ([log yr]) | No. | Metallicity (Z) | Age ([log yr]) |
|-----|----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|----------------|
|     | (1)            | (2)            |     | (1)            | (2)            |
| 1....| 0.02000        | 7.260          | 30....| 0.00400        | 6.620          |
| 2....| 0.05000        | 6.860          | 31....| 0.00040        | 9.207          |
| 3....| 0.00400        | 6.620          | 32....| 0.02000        | 6.880          |
| 4....| 0.00040        | 8.009          | 33....| 0.00400        | 8.009          |
| 5....| 0.00400        | 8.009          | 34....| 0.00040        | 7.806          |
| 6....| 0.00400        | 6.820          | 35....| 0.00040        | 7.806          |
| 7....| 0.00400        | 6.439          | 36....| 0.00040        | 7.806          |
| 8....| 0.00400        | 6.620          | 37....| 0.02000        | 6.940          |
| 9....| 0.02000        | 7.477          | 38....| 0.02000        | 8.255          |
| 10....| 0.00400       | 7.320          | 40....| 0.00400        | 6.420          |
| 11....| 0.00400       | 10.301         | 43....| 0.02000        | 7.121          |
| 12....| 0.02000       | 6.940          | 44....| 0.00400        | 7.220          |
| 13....| 0.00400       | 7.806          | 45....| 0.00040        | 8.009          |
| 14....| 0.00400       | 6.980          | 46....| 0.00040        | 8.957          |
| 15....| 0.00400       | 8.009          | 47....| 0.02000        | 8.057          |
| 16....| 0.00400       | 7.079          | 48....| 0.00040        | 8.009          |
| 18....| 0.02000       | 7.279          | 49....| 0.00040        | 9.342          |
| 19....| 0.00400       | 6.940          | 50....| 0.02000        | 8.057          |
| 20....| 0.02000       | 9.544          | 51....| 0.00040        | 7.806          |
| 21....| 0.02000       | 6.580          | 52....| 0.00040        | 9.107          |
| 22....| 0.00040       | 9.255          | 53....| 0.00040        | 8.957          |
| 23....| 0.00040       | 8.957          | 54....| 0.00040        | 9.009          |
| 24....| 0.02000       | 6.520          | 55....| 0.00040        | 8.957          |
| 25....| 0.00400       | 8.009          | 56....| 0.00040        | 8.009          |
| 26....| 0.00400       | 7.241          | 57....| 0.00040        | 10.279         |
| 27....| 0.02000       | 8.857          | 58....| 0.00040        | 6.960          |
| 28....| 0.00040       | 9.796          | 59....| 0.02000        | 9.107          |
| 29....| 0.00400       | 8.009          | 60....| 0.00040        | 7.806          |
Table 5: Metallicity, Reddening and Age Distribution of 7 Star Clusters

| No.  | Metallicity ($Z$) | $E(B - V)$ | Age ([log yr]) |
|------|-------------------|------------|----------------|
| 11....| 0.00099           | 0.200      | 10.30          |
| 20....| 0.00719           | 0.110      | 10.30          |
| 22....| 0.00186           | 0.140      | 9.23           |
| 28....| 0.00023           | 0.050      | 10.30          |
| 49....| 0.00040           | 0.010      | 9.92           |
| 54....| 0.00890           | 0.010      | 9.40           |
| 59....| 0.01447           | 0.010      | 10.28          |
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