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The maturation of 5S ribosomal RNA in low G+C Gram-positive bacteria is catalyzed by a highly conserved, ~190 residue, enzyme, called ribonuclease M5 (RNase M5). Sequence alignment had predicted that the N-terminal half of RNase M5 would consist of a Toprim domain, a protein fold found in type IA and type II topoisomerases, DnaG-like primases, OLD family nuclease and RecR proteins [L. Aravind, D. D. Leipe and E. V. Koonin (1998) Nucleic Acids Res., 26, 4205–4213]. Here, we present structural modeling data and a mutational analysis of RNase M5 that confirms this hypothesis. The N-terminal half of RNase M5 can be fitted to the Toprim domain of the DnaG catalytic core. Mutation of amino acid residues highly conserved among RNase M5 enzymes and members of the Toprim domain family showed that alteration of residues critical for topoisomerase and primase activity also had a dramatic effect on the cleavage of 5S rRNA precursor by RNase M5 both in vivo and in vitro. This suggests that the mechanisms of double-stranded RNA cleavage by RNase M5 and double-stranded DNA cleavage by members of the topoisomerase family are related.

INTRODUCTION

In Bacillus subtilis, and in other low G+C containing Gram-positive bacteria, 5S ribosomal RNA processing is performed by an enzyme known as ribonuclease M5 (RNase M5) (1,2). In the presence of ribosomal protein L18, RNase M5 cleaves 5S rRNA precursor on both sides of a double-stranded stem, to yield mature 5S rRNA in one step (3). L18 binds 5S rRNA and has been proposed to act as an RNA chaperone by allowing the 5S rRNA precursor to adopt the correct conformation for cleavage, rather than playing a direct role in the processing reaction itself (4). Ribosomal protein L5, which also binds 5S rRNA, inhibits the cleavage reaction at high concentrations (3). RNase M5 is encoded by the rnmV gene, which is not essential for B. subtilis viability, despite the fact that strains lacking a functional copy of this gene produce no mature 5S rRNA whatsoever (2). Instead, 5S rRNA is found as precursor species in both ribosomes and polysomes, differing in length depending on from which of the 10 ribosomal RNA operons (rrn) they originated. The ΔrnmV mutation has no major effect on growth rate; thus, 5S rRNA maturation is not essential for efficient ribosome function in B. subtilis. RNase M5 appears to have no other function in the cell apart from 5S rRNA maturation (5).

The B. subtilis RNase M5 protein (previously known as YabF) was predicted to contain a Toprim domain at its N-terminal end by sequence and secondary structure alignment (6). This ancient domain is found in type IA and type II topoisomerases, DnaG-type primases, nucleases of the P2 phage OLD family and RecR proteins. The crystal structures of four proteins containing a Toprim domain are known, those of Escherichia coli topoisomerase I (TopI) and DnaG primase, an archaeal reverse gyrase (r-gyrase) from Archeoglobus fulgidus and Deinococcus radiodurans RecR (7–10). This domain is characterized by a compact βαβαβαβ fold, with the four hydrophobic β-strands forming a central core, bounded on three sides by α-helices. The Toprim domain is also defined by three motifs, a glutamate residue in the loop between β1 and α1, a glycine residue in the loop between β2 and α2 and a DxDxxG sequence, comprising the beginning of helix α3 and the preceding loop (Figure 1).

The similarity to the topoisomerases is of particular interest, as these enzymes cleave double-stranded DNA to permit strand passage in the process of altering the extent and nature of DNA supercoils. Furthermore, two groups have shown that type I topoisomerases can cleave RNA under certain circumstances (11,12). The mechanism of DNA and RNA cleavage by type I topoisomerases is known. The hydroxyl group of an active site tyrosine residue attacks the scissile phosphodiester bond producing a covalently linked protein–RNA intermediate. This reaction is essentially reversed following strand passage to allow religation of the substrate. The DxD motif
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of the Toprim domain is involved in the co-ordination of magnesium ion, similar to its role in the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I (13,14). Indeed, all members of the Toprim family require Mg$^{2+}$ for activity. The conserved glutamate has been proposed to play a dual role as a general acid for cleavage, by donating a proton to the sugar hydroxyl, and as a general base for religation, by abstracting it (15). It may also be involved in Mg$^{2+}$ co-ordination (16).

In this study, we have probed the structural relationship between the proposed Toprim domain of RNase M5 and other members of this family. We show that we can thread the N-terminal half of RNase M5 onto the Toprim domain structure of the catalytic core of E.coli DnaG primase. We also show that amino acids important for topoisomerase and primase cleavage activity are also critical for RNase M5 activity both in vivo and in vitro. These data confirm that RNase M5 is related to the ancient Toprim domain family of enzymes involved in DNA replication and repair.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Plasmid construction and mutagenesis

All oligonucleotides used in this study are given in Supplementary Table I. The *B.subtilis rnmV* gene was cloned as a PCR-amplified fragment between the EcoRI and HindIII sites of pBluescript KS+. The oligonucleotides used for amplification, HP419 and HP420, had integrated restriction sites and an in-frame hexahistidine coding C-terminal tag. The resulting plasmid, pHMM9, was confirmed by sequencing (MWG). Mutations were introduced into the *rnmV* gene of pHMM9 by the Kunkel (17) or QuikChange™ site-directed mutagenesis methods (Stratagene). Plasmids were named pHMM9-353, pHMM9-359, etc. according to the name of the oligo used to introduce the mutation. Mutants were confirmed by sequencing, and then transformed into *E.coli* strain BL21 CodonPlus (Stratagene) for overexpression by T7 RNA polymerase. The Y152A mutant was subcloned in pET28a (Novagen) for overproduction and purification.

Modelling of the RNase M5 Toprim domain

We searched for potential structural homologues of RNase M5 using PSI-BLAST (18) against the non-redundant database (BLOSUM 62 matrix). After the sixth iteration, we found an *E*-value of 7·10$^{-33}$ and 23% identity with the catalytic core of E.coli DNA primase, DnaG (PDB entry 1DD9) (8). This is the well-known fold known as the Toprim domain (6).

The compatibility of the amino acid sequence of RNase M5 and the 3D structure of DnaG Toprim domain was evaluated by TITO (Tool for Incrementation Threading Optimisation), used for low identity (<20%) scores (26). TITO alignment was

---

**Figure 1.** The Toprim domain. (A) Alignment of the Toprim domains of RNase M5, DnaG primase, Topoisomerase I and reverse gyrase from different bacteria. BACSU, Bacillus subtilis; BACST, Bacillus steathermophorium; CLOAC, Clostridium acetobutylicum; STAAY, Staphylococcus aureus; BORBU, Borrelia burgdorferi; AQUAE, Aquifex aeolicus; ECOLI, Escherichia coli; NEIME, Neisseria meningitidis; LACLA, Lactococcus lactis; ARCFU, Archeoglobus fulgidus; METJA, Methanococcus jannaschii; SULSO, Sulfolobus sulfataricus; THEMA, Thermotoga maritima. The colour codes are as follows: turquoise for hydrophobic (A, C, F, I, L, M, V, W, Y), mauve for negatively charged (E, D), green for small (G, S, A), yellow for charged (E, D, R, K, H) and red for positively charged (R, K). Conserved amino acids chosen for mutagenesis are marked with an asterisk. (B) Alignment of the C-terminal domain of RNase M5. Colour codes are the same as in part (A).
manually modified to respect the HCA profile and submitted to
Modeller 3D (27) on the CBS-Metaserver (http://bioserv.cbs.
cnr.fr/HTML_BIO/frame_meta.html). The model proposed
by Modeller 3D was validated by PROSAII (28). The resulting
domain could be superimposed on the Toprim domain of
the DnaG structure with a root-mean-square deviation of
4.8 Å between the α-carbons of Leu6–Pro81 of RNase M5
and Leu261–Phe337 of DnaG.

Introduction of rnmV mutants on the B. subtilis chromosome

The rnmV gene of B. subtilis strain W168 was first interrupted
by an erythromycin resistance antibiotic cassette (ery), by
transformation with pBS-M5-Ery, creating strain CCB038
(ΔrnmV::ery). Plasmid pBS-M5-Ery consists of the ery casset-
sette, bounded by ~500 bp regions upstream and downstream of
rnmV, cloned in pBluescript KS+. The upstream and down-
stream fragments were amplified from B. subtilis W168 chromosomal DNA using the oligo pairs CC005/CC006 and
CC007/CC008, respectively. The ery cassette was amplified
from plasmid pDG641 (29) using oligos CC009 and CC010.
Plasmid pBS-M5-Kan was then constructed by replacing the
ery cassette of pBS-M5-Ery with a kanamycin resistance cassette (kan) amplified from pDG780 (29), using oligos CC011 and CC012. EcoRI–HindIII fragments, containing either the wild-type or mutant rnmV genes fused in frame to a histidine tag, were then excised from the pHMM9-series of plasmids (above) and cloned between EcoRI and
HindIII sites located between the rnmV upstream fragment and
the kanamycin cassette. The resulting plasmids were
called pBS-M5-WT, pBS-M5-353, etc. using the same nomen-
clature as the pHMM9-series.

By transforming CCB038 with the pBS-M5-mutant series, selecting for kanamycin resistance and screening for
erythromycin sensitivity, we were able to integrate both wild-
type and mutant rnmV genes onto the chromosome in place of
ΔrnmV::ery.

Northern blot analysis of 5S rRNA maturation

Northern blots of 5S rRNA maturation were carried out as
described previously (2).

Purification of RNase M5 mutants

Wild-type (WT) and mutant RNase M5 proteins were first
applied to His-Trap columns (all columns used in the follow-
ing purification protocols were from Amersham Biosciences)
in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 250 mM NaCl,
10 mM MgCl2, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mM β-mercapto-ethanol and eluted with a gradient of 5–500 mM imidazole in the same
buffer. For the WT and G11A, G61A, I64A, R65A mutants,
samples were deemed sufficiently pure after this first column.
For the E10A, D56A, D58A and L107A mutants, samples were
dialysed against 20 mM Tris, pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2 and 1 mM DTT and eluted with a MonoS 5/5 column and eluted with a linear gradient of 10 mM–1 M NaCl.
For the ΔRRE140-142 mutant, the second purification step was
performed on an SP-Sepharose 16/10 column in the same
buffer and gradient conditions. For the D56A and
ΔRRE140-142 mutants, a third purification step was deemed
necessary. The D56A mutant was applied to a Q Fast-Flow
column in 20 mM Tris, pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2,
1 mM DTT and eluted in a 10 mM–1 M NaCl gradient, while
the ΔRRE140-142 mutant was applied to a Superdex 75 HR
16/10 column in SBII buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM
MgCl2, 10 mM NH4Cl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA and
15% glycerol). All proteins were stored in SBII buffer at
1 mg/ml at ~80°C.

RNase M5 assays

Unless otherwise stated, RNase M5 activity was assayed in
5 μl reactions in 10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl2, 50 mM
NH4Cl and 2 mM DTT, containing 250 fmol of labelled 5S
pre-curser, 2.5 μg of yeast competitor RNA (Sigma) and 1 ng of
B. subtilis ribosomal protein L18 (2). B. subtilis L18 was puri-
fied according to (30), replacing the phosphocellulose columns
with MonoS HR (Pharmacia) columns. Reactions in the
absence of L18 were performed in the presence of 30%
dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Reaction mixes were incubated
for 15 min at 37°C, stopped by addition of 5 μl of 95% for-
mamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue, 0.05%
xylene cyanol and loaded directly on 5% polyacrylamide/7 M urea gels.

Gel retardation assays

An aliquot containing 500 fmol of 32P-labelled 5S rRNA pre-
cursor was pre-incubated with 1 pmol B. subtilis L18 in 5 μl
binding buffer (30 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1
mg/ml BSA, 0.05% Triton X-100 and 0.5 mg/ml yeast tRNA)
on ice for 10 min. Aliquots containing 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5 and 10
pmol RNase M5 mutant proteins in 5 μl binding buffer were
added to the preformed pre-5S-L18 complex and incubated
for a further 15 min on ice. Samples were run on 6% polyacry-
lamide gels (19:1) in TBE buffer at 20 V/cm for 2.25 h at 4°C.
The % RNA bound was quantified from the disappearance of
the pre-5S-L18 band.

Purification of Bacillus stearothermophilus RNase M5
and trypsin proteolysis

The B. stearothermophilus rnmV gene was PCR amplified from
cromosomal DNA of strain CCM2184 (a gift from Libor
Krsay) using oligos HP373 and HP374 and cloned between
EcoRI and HindIII sites of pET21a. The resulting plasmid,
pHMM8, was then used as a PCR template to reclone the gene
in pET28a using oligos HP461 and HP374, which permitted
changing of the Shine-Dalgarno sequence to optimize
expression in E. coli. The resulting plasmid, pHMM10, was
transformed into E. coli strain BL21 (λDE3) CodonPlus.
B. stearothermophilus RNase M5 was purified by applying to
an SP-Sepharose HP 16/10 column in 50 mM Tris–HCl,
pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM NH4Cl, 1 mM DTT and elution
in a gradient of 10 mM to 1 M NH4Cl. The eluate was applied
to a Heparin FF 16/10 column equilibrated in the same buffer
and eluted with the same gradient. The protein was concen-
trated by precipitation with 70% ammonium sulfate and
dialysed against SBII buffer for storage at ~80°C.

Trypsin digestion was performed at a 1:500 (w/w) ratio of
trypsin to RNase M5 (70 μg) in SBII buffer supplemented
with 5 mM CaCl2 at 37°C in a 60 μl reaction volume. Five
microlitre aliquots were withdrawn at the times indicated, and
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reactions were stopped by the addition of 0.3 μl of 100 mM PMSF and removal to a dry ice/ethanol bath.

RESULTS

The N-terminal half of RNase M5 is related to the Toprim domain

The 5S rRNA processing enzyme, RNase M5, is essentially confined to the low G+C Gram-positive bacteria and the spirochaete Borrelia burgdorferi (2). BLAST searches also revealed a low level of similarity with the archaeal reverse gyrases, bacterial primases and some topoisomerases. These proteins share a fold known as the Toprim domain (6). An alignment of RNase M5 with the Toprim domain of some archaeal reverse gyrases and bacterial topoisomerases and primases is shown in Figure 1. The most highly conserved amino acids cluster around the signature glutamate residue of the N-terminus and the DxD motif of the active site of the Toprim domain.

To determine whether the sequence homology would translate to a related structural fold, we performed a PSI-BLAST against the non-redundant database. Six iterations were required before candidates of known structure were identified. The best candidate was the catalytic core of E.coli DNA primase, DnaG [PDB entry 1DD9 (8)], with an E-value of $7 \times 10^{-33}$ and 23% identity with B.subtilis RNase M5. A model of amino acids Leu6–Pro81 of RNase M5 was built using the structural coordinates of Leu261 to Phe337 of DnaG, as described in Materials and Methods. A comparison of the Toprim domains of E.coli DnaG, Afulgidus reverse gyrase, E.coli topoisomerase I and the proposed structure of the N-terminal half of RNase M5 is shown in Figure 2.

Key residues of the Toprim domain are also critical for RNase M5 activity in vivo

Many of the key residues required for DNA primase and topoisomerase activity are known from previous studies. They are glutamate 9 and the aspartate residues of the DxD motif (D111 and D113) in E.coli TopoI (15,16,31), and glutamate 214 in phage T4 primase (32,33), the equivalent of E265 in DnaG. We hoped to obtain experimental evidence in support of our model by mutation of the equivalent amino acids in RNase M5 and showing they were also important for 5S rRNA maturation. Thirteen of the most highly conserved residues of B.subtilis RNase M5 (10 of them located in the proposed Toprim domain) were mutated and the mutations were introduced onto the chromosome in place of the wild-type rnmV gene. We also deleted residues R140–E142 which are part of an arginine-rich motif in the C-terminal domain that we suspected might be involved in RNA binding. We then determined the effect of these mutations on 5S rRNA maturation in vivo by northern blot (Figure 3A). In the parental strain, only mature 5S rRNA was detected, whereas in a ΔrnmV mutant, all 5S rRNAs were in precursor form, a different precursor for each of the 10 rrn operons of B.subtilis, due...
to the heterogeneity of their 3' sequences. The amount of mature 5S rRNA produced varied dramatically with the different rnmV mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases. All but three of the mutations introduced and there were obvious qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in some cases.

Assay of RNase M5 mutants in vitro

To distinguish between effects on enzyme activity, substrate binding or possible contacts with its co-factor, ribosomal protein L18, histidine-tagged RNase M5 mutants were expressed in E.coli, purified and subjected to 5S rRNA maturation assays in vitro. Four of the mutants (D14A, G31A, I52A and L162A) proved to be unstable, or were not overexpressed in E.coli, and could thus not be purified. The other mutants were purified as described in Methods and Methods and diluted to 1 mg/ml. The specific activity of the different mutants was first compared in 5S rRNA processing assays in which the enzyme was serially diluted 10-fold to \(10^{-3}\) (Figure 4A). The specific activities relative to wild-type his-tagged RNase M5 are shown in the histogram in Figure 4C. His-tagged RNase M5 has very similar activity to the native protein (data not shown). A very good correlation between the in vivo and in vitro activities was evident for the mutant proteins tested. Mutation of residues E10, D56 and D58, the equivalent of the key active site residues in topoisomerase and DNA primase resulted in a complete (>1000-fold) loss in activity, while the G11A, G61A and R65A mutants were 30- to 100-fold less active than wild-type RNase M5.

The mutant proteins were then subjected to RNA-binding assays to determine whether they were effected in their ability to bind 5S rRNA precursor. Although RNase M5 can bind 5S rRNA precursor by itself with a \(K_{\text{D}}\) of \(\sim 2 \mu\text{M}\), its affinity is increased by an order of magnitude in the presence of L18 (data not shown). L18 is thought to permit the folding of the 5S rRNA precursor into the correct conformation for cleavage, rather than playing a direct role in the cleavage reaction itself (3). We thus performed gel shift assays of 5S rRNA precursor pre-bound to L18 to determine whether the mutants were affected in their ability to recognize RNA. A gel shift assay showing the most (D58A) and least (\(\Delta\)140-142) efficient mutants at substrate binding is presented in Figure 5A. Most of the RNase M5 Toprim domain mutants showed at most a 2-fold reduced ability to bind the pre-5S:L18 complex compared with the wild-type protein (Figure 5B), suggesting that a defect in substrate recognition does not account for the dramatic effect of some of these mutations on enzyme activity. In particular, the D56A and D58A mutations in the DxD motif had no effect on RNA-binding capacity, while the E10A mutant retained 50% of the wild-type enzyme’s ability to bind RNA.

Although L18 is thought to only play a role of RNA chaperone in the recognition of pre-5S rRNA by RNase M5, the proximity of L18 to the cleavage site (see Discussion) does not preclude the possibility of a direct interaction between RNase M5 and L18. Pace et al. (4) had previously shown that L18 could be functionally replaced in the 5S rRNA processing assay by high concentrations of DMSO and proposed that DMSO facilitated the correct folding of the 5S rRNA precursor. However, effects of DMSO on protein structure have also been demonstrated (34,35). Thus, it is also possible that, in addition to effects on substrate folding, DMSO has an effect on RNase M5 conformation that contributes to its substrate binding or catalytic activity, replacing a similar function of L18. To eliminate the possibility that some of the mutants were affected in an ability to interact directly with L18, we performed 5S rRNA maturation assays where L18 was replaced by 30%
DMSO. Under these conditions, wild-type RNase M5 shows equivalent levels of activity to those in the presence of L18 (Figure 4), indicating that, whether L18 affects RNA conformation or RNase M5 conformation (or both), this function can be fully replaced by DMSO. Since the effects of DMSO are likely to be non-specific, i.e. due to global constraints on protein structure, rather acting through specific amino acids characteristic of protein–protein interactions, we reasoned that DMSO should still be able to bypass the requirement for L18 in RNase M5 mutants affected in their ability to interact with it. We thus expected that mutants affected in an interaction with L18 would show near wild-type levels of enzyme activity in the presence of DMSO, whereas mutants affected in catalysis would show similar, decreased levels of RNase M5 activity in the presence of L18 or DMSO. The specific activities of the different mutants in the presence of DMSO corresponded very well with those measured in the presence of L18.

Figure 4. (A) Assay of RNase M5 mutant activities in the presence of ribosomal protein L18. The migration position of the precursor species (p5S), mature 5S RNA (5S), 3' processed fragment (3') and 5' processed fragment (5') are indicated to the left of the autoradiogram. Mutants were assayed at 200, 20, 2 and 0.2 ng/ml and in the presence of 0.2 ng/ml B. subtilis L18. The direction of the enzyme concentration gradient is indicated by the right-angled triangles at the top of the gel. At the highest enzyme concentration, the precursor band was shifted towards the top of the gel. (B) Assay of RNase M5 mutant activities in the presence of DMSO. Assay conditions were as in (A) except that L18 was replaced by 30% DMSO. (C) Histogram of specific activities of RNase M5 mutants relative to wild-type RNase M5. Wild-type specific activity was 5.17 pmol 5S rRNA generated per μg RNase M5 over the 15 min assay period and was set at 100%. Specific activities were calculated at the lowest dilution of the enzyme to give visible 5S rRNA processing on polyacrylamide gels and are the average of three independent assays.

Figure 5. Gel retardation assay of RNase M5 mutants. (A) Gel retardation of the 5S rRNA precursor by the D58A and Δ140-142 mutants. The direction of the RNase M5 concentration gradient is indicated by the right-angled triangles. The migration positions of free 5S rRNA precursor (F), the binary complex with ribosomal protein L18 (B) and the ternary complex with L18 and RNase M5 (T) are shown to the left of the autoradiogram. (B) Graph of RNA-binding capacities of RNase M5 mutants and wild-type (WT). Data are the average of three independent experiments.
while L162A, which was only studied in vivo, had no effect on 5S rRNA maturation (Figures 3 and 4). A deletion at residue after the C-terminal trypsin cleavage site, had a drastic effect on RNase M5 activity both in vivo and in vitro, suggesting that the mutations indeed affect enzyme activity rather than a potential interaction with L18.

The Toprim domain of RNase M5 is sensitive to trypsin proteolysis in vitro

To get further insight into the domain structure of RNase M5, we performed limited trypsin proteolysis of the purified native protein from B. stearothermophilus and ran the products on a 12% polyacrylamide/SDS gel. The B. stearothermophilus protein was used in these experiments with a view to doing subsequent crystallographic studies. After 90 min of incubation, only one proteolysis product was visible (Figure 6). Mass-spectroscopy and N-terminal sequencing identified this product as the C-terminal 83 amino acids of RNase M5 beginning with the sequence QALAN, suggesting this domain of the protein is in red. The positions of the red and black triangles show the migration of the protein due to their charge or structure. No new proteolytic bands were observed, showing that the 17 additional amino acids cause aberrant migration of the protein due to their charge or structure. No obvious relatives of the C-terminal domain could be identified in the various protein databases.

Four mutations were introduced into the C-terminal region of B. subtilis RNase M5 on the basis of their high level of conservation among RNase M5 enzymes. L107A, the third residue after the C-terminal trypsin cleavage site, had a dramatic effect on RNase M5 activity both in vivo and in vitro, while L162A, which was only studied in vivo, had a smaller effect on 5S rRNA maturation (Figures 3 and 4). A deletion of residues Δ140–142 (RRE), which we suspected might play a role in RNA binding due to the similarity of this region with the RNA-binding motif of lambda N protein and HIV Tat, indeed abolished both binding of the L18:pre-5S complex (Figure 5) and enzyme activity (Figures 3 and 4). Thus, these residues are likely to constitute part of the RNA recognition domain. A tyrosine residue plays a key role in the cleavage/eligation reaction of E.coli topoisomerase I, performing a nucleophilic attack on the phosphodiester backbone and forming a transient covalent bond with the 5' end of the downstream cleavage product. Mutation of the only tyrosine residue (Y152A) in B. subtilis RNase M5, however, had no effect on enzyme activity either in vivo or in vitro.

**DISCUSSION**

In this paper, we show that the N-terminal half of the 5S rRNA maturase can be folded into a Toprim domain structure, characteristic of topoisomerases, primases and reverse gyrase.

Mutations of key amino acids for the activity of this family of enzymes also affect RNase M5 activity both in vivo and in vitro. We predict that the mechanism of RNA cleavage by RNase M5 resembles that of DNA cleavage by the topoisomerases, with the catalytic hydroxyl group most likely being provided by water rather than a tyrosine residue. The relationship between the Toprim domains of RNase M5 and topoisomerases supports the notion of a common origin between enzymes acting on RNA and DNA.

The key motifs of the Toprim domain are the DxD motif, thought to coordinate Mg$^{2+}$ ion, and the glutamate residue (E10 in RNase M5), which has been proposed to play both a role in Mg$^{2+}$ binding and as a proton donor and in the cleavage reaction by topoisomerases. Mutation of any of these three amino acids completely inactivated B. subtilis RNase M5 both in vivo and in vitro. Mutation of either of the two conserved aspartate residues, D56 and D58, had no effect on RNA binding, while in the case of the E10A mutation, substrate binding was reduced by ~50%. Interestingly, the equivalent mutation in E.coli topoisomerase I, E9A, also resulted in a 50% reduction in DNA-binding capacity, while the D113A mutation had a more severe effect on substrate binding (75% reduction) than the D58A change in RNase M5 (16). In E.coli topoisomerase I, two Mg$^{2+}$ ions are thought to be coordinated by an acidic triad consisting of two aspartate residues (D111 and D113) and glutamate 115 (31). Single mutations of any of these three residues had only a limited effect on topoisomerase relaxation activity, while pairwise mutations or the triple mutation had much more dramatic effects. RNase M5 does not have an equivalent of E115 and thus has one less potential source of Mg$^{2+}$ co-ordination. This may explain the more dramatic effect of the single mutations in the DxD motif in RNase M5 compared with E.coli Topol.

Although the effect of pairwise mutations in the acidic triad of topoisomerase I could be partially rescued by increased Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations (31), increased Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations had no effect on the activity of the D56A, D58A or E10A single mutants of RNase M5 (data not shown). Mg$^{2+}$ is required for a conformational change of E.coli Topol during the relaxation reaction and is not required for DNA cleavage (36).
However, many RNases use Mg$^{2+}$ as direct participants in the cleavage reaction. For example, in RNase III, the role of Mg$^{2+}$ is to activate the water nucleophile (37). Although also required for the maturation of 5S rRNA, it is not yet known what role Mg$^{2+}$ plays in the cleavage by RNase M5—whether it is involved in the cleavage reaction per se, or whether it has a structural function for either the protein or the RNA.

Other mutations in conserved residues of the Toprim domain have effects ranging from a 70% reduction to a 100-fold loss of RNase M5 activity in vitro. Unfortunately, none of the equivalent residues has been studied in other members of the Toprim domain family and thus their function remains unclear. Since most are clustered around the active site it seems likely that they play a role in the correct positioning of the key catalytic residues or of the substrate. Indeed, a complex hydrogen-bond network of residues is involved in the structuring of the catalytic site of E.coli topoisomerase (38). The position of the conserved glycine 31 in the loop between β2 and α2 suggests a role in structure flexibility. Mutation of isoleucines 52 and 64 to alanine had only minor effects on enzyme activity. Our model suggests that these amino acids are involved in hydrophobic interactions within the core of the Toprim domain and this likely explains why they can be replaced by alanine without major deleterious effect. Consistent with this idea, mutation of I52 to arginine had more dramatic effects on RNase M5 activity when compared with the wild-type enzyme in E.coli extracts in vitro (data not shown).

There were qualitative differences in the precursors that accumulated in the different RNase M5 mutants in vivo. This was particularly evident in mutants that were less severely affected in 5S rRNA maturation, e.g. L162A, I64A and I52A. One possible explanation is that the 20 RNase M5 cleavage sites generated from the 10 B.subtilis rrn operons are not all equivalent and that a subset of precursors whose cleavage sites are less well recognized by RNase M5 accumulates in these strains.

DNA cleavage by topoisomerases and reverse gyrase occurs via a nucleophilic attack of the phosphodiester backbone by the hydroxyl group of a highly conserved tyrosine residue. Although a reasonably well-conserved tyrosine residue (Y152) is present in RNase M5, its mutation to alanine had no effect on enzyme activity either in vivo or in vitro. Thus, although the function provided by the Toprim domain is common to the cleavage mechanisms of RNase M5 and topoisomerase I, the nucleophile is different. There appears to be no other residue in the C-terminal half of the protein that is sufficiently highly conserved to provide a hydroxyl function. It is thus likely that nucleophilic attack is performed by a conveniently located water molecule in RNase M5, rather than by the hydroxyl group from an amino acid side chain. Indeed, it has been suggested that residual amounts of activity in the Y319A mutant of E.coli TopoI might be explained by the replacement of nucleophilic function of the tyrosine residue by a solvent water molecule (15).

Ribosomal protein L18 is a co-factor in the 5S rRNA maturation reaction in vitro. As shown in Figure 4B, it can be fully replaced by high concentrations of DMSO. It has been suggested that sole function of L18 is to put the 5S rRNA precursor in the correct conformation for cleavage (4). However, the structure of 5S rRNA bound to L18 is known from structural studies of the ribosome (39), and the proximity of ribosomal protein L18 to the mature ends of 5S rRNA (Figure 7) would also permit a direct interaction between RNase M5 and L18. None of the mutants in either the Toprim or C-terminal domain, however, recovered significant levels of activity in the presence of DMSO, suggesting that none of the highly conserved residues at least is involved in such an interaction (or if they are, this does not contribute significantly to enzyme activity). This negative result neither confirms nor excludes the possibility of an interaction between RNase M5 and L18 and it remains possible that other less well-conserved amino acids could be involved in such a role.

It is difficult to make predictions about the role of residues in the C-terminal half of RNase M5 due to the lack of homology with other proteins. No relationship with the well-known arginine-rich motif (ARM) of lambda N and HIV Tat proteins involved in RNA binding could be seen. The arginine-rich region around residue 140 of RNase M5 is reminiscent of the ARM of lambda N and HIV Tat proteins involved in RNA binding (40). Deletion of three amino acids from 140–142 (RRE) of B.subtilis RNase M5 completely abolished RNA-binding activity and enzyme activity both in vivo and in vitro, suggesting that this region indeed constitutes an integral part of the substrate binding domain. Confirmation awaits structural studies on the C-terminal domain, as attempts to crystallize the intact protein have so far been unsuccessful.
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