Linoleic Acids Overproducing Lactobacillus casei Limits Growth, Survival, and Virulence of Salmonella Typhimurium and Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli
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Probiotics, particularly lactic acid bacteria, are biologic agents which limit the growth, virulence, and survival/colonization of various enteric bacterial pathogens and serve as potential alternatives to antibiotics. Mechanisms that contribute to this antimicrobial effect include producing bioactive metabolites/acids, increasing nutrient and receptor-mediated competition, and modulating gut microbiome ecology. However, these functions of common probiotic strains are limited due to the finite quantity of metabolites they produce and their total number in the gut ecosystem. Conjugated linoleic acids (CLAs), critical metabolites of Lactobacillus, have multiple beneficial effects on human health including anti-carcinogenesis, anti-inflammation, anti-oxidation, and anti-pathogenicity. In this study, we aim to overexpress the myosin cross-reactive antigen gene (mcra) in Lactobacillus casei (LC) to enhance the production of CLA and investigate its effectiveness against enteric bacterial pathogens, specifically Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (ST) and enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC). By inserting mcra in L. casei, we generated LC-CLA and found the total linoleic acid production by an individual bacterial cell was raised by 21-fold. The adherence ability of LC-CLA on human epithelial cells increased significantly and LC-CLA competitively excluded both ST and EHEC in a mixed-culture condition. Furthermore, LC-CLA significantly altered the physicochemical properties, biofilm formation abilities, interactions with host cells of both ST and EHEC, and triggered anti-inflammatory activities of host cells. These findings offer insights on applying a genetically engineered probiotic to control gut intestinal infections caused by ST and EHEC and prevent foodborne enteric illness in human.
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INTRODUCTION

Human enteric microbial infections are principally characterized by diarrhea with or without other complications/consequences, which causes approximately 4–6 million deaths annually and possesses huge economic burden worldwide (Viswanathan et al., 2009; Christou, 2011). The dominant causative agents of enteric bacterial diseases include Salmonella, enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC), Campylobacter, Listeria monocytogenes, and Shigella (Viswanathan et al., 2009; Mor-Mur and Yuste, 2010; Forsythe, 2016; Huang et al., 2016). These enteric bacterial pathogens are typically acquired through contaminated foods and water; therefore, risk is always associated with these foodborne diseases for everyone living on this planet. The Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated that in the United States alone, 48 million illnesses (approximately 1 in 6 Americans), more than 128 thousand hospitalizations, and thousands of deaths are caused by foodborne infections each year (Hoffmann et al., 2012; Adams et al., 2015, 2016, 2017). The most predominant causative foodborne infectious agents, including Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (ST) and EHEC, commonly colonize in farm animals’ guts, and during normal food production or processing, these pathogens often cross-contaminate meat products (Peng et al., 2014, 2016, 2018b; Salaheen et al., 2016b, 2017).

Probiotics, as bio-agents, can be considered the priority in prevention and control of foodborne bacterial pathogen-induced enteric illness (Amalaradjou and Bhunia, 2012; Hayes and Vargas, 2016; Peng and Biswas, 2017; Peng et al., 2018a). Through colonizing the host’s gastrointestinal (GI) tract, these beneficial bacteria ferment or metabolize undigested dietary components; after reaching the small and large intestine, the probiotics generate/release a tremendous treasury of secondary metabolites (byproducts), most of which are associated with multiple health benefits (Flint et al., 2012; Marcobal et al., 2013). Functional metabolites from probiotics generally include bioactive polypeptides, with antimicrobial and immune-modulatory properties, as well as vitamin B, which is essential for mammalian cells in metabolism and reproduction (Stanton et al., 2005). The major byproducts of probiotics are lipid molecules, like fatty acids especially short chain fatty acids and poly-unsaturated fatty acids with various isomers (Serini et al., 2009; Louis et al., 2014). The mixed concentration of by-produced lipid molecules in human colon is approximately 50–150 mM, and these beneficial lipid molecules are active and help modulate the host’s immune responses (Louis et al., 2014).

Among these functional fatty acids, linoleic acid (LA) is one of the most crucial beneficial metabolites produced from microbial sources, including Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, and Lactococcus (Ríos et al., 2012). The mixture of positional and geometric isomers of LA (C18:2, c9, c12), as conjugated linoleic acids (CLA), distinguishes it from other fatty acids because of its wide range of benefits on host health, including anticarcinogenesis, anti-inflammation, and anti-pathogenicity (Lee et al., 2006; Benjamin and Spener, 2009; O’Shea et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2015). Bacteria that originate from dairy and human/animal intestines, specifically lactobacillus, including LA, L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, and L. rhamnosus, are known as predominant CLA producing strains (Van Nieuwenhove et al., 2011); however, their CLA productivity varies and is usually limited by multiple factors, including temperature, oxygen availability, substrate concentration, etc. (Pandit et al., 2012). A number of researchers, including our lab, are focusing on stimulating the productivities of LA and CLA from microbial sources especially probiotics both at the level of the human intestine and the industry production level (Peng and Biswas, 2017).

Through our previous research, we observed relatively intense antimicrobial activities of LA against enteric bacterial pathogens such as ST and EHEC (Peng et al., 2015c). However, the LA productivity (conversion ratio) of LC remains relatively low as 4.8%. In contrast, although L. rhamnosus possesses the highest CLA conversion rate among all active Lactobacillus species, it has a relatively low anti-pathogen activity (Van Nieuwenhove et al., 2011). In this study, we cloned and over-expressed the mcra (myoinos-cross-reactive antigen) gene, encoding linoleate isomerase, from L. rhamnosus GG into LA, and aimed to examine the role of this novel probiotic in limitation and control of enteric pathogenic bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strain and Their Growth Conditions

Probiotic strains, Lactobacillus casei ATCC 334 (LC-WT) and L. rhamnosus GG ATCC 53103, were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, VA, United States). Lactobacillus strains were grown on De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) (EMD Chemicals Inc., Gibbstown, NJ, United States) agar at 37°C for 24 h in the presence of 5% CO2 (FormaTM Scientific CO2 water jacketed incubator, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Enteric bacterial pathogens Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (ATCC 14028) (ST) and enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli EDL933 (ATCC 700927) (EHEC) were grown on LB agar (EMD Chemicals Inc., Gibbstown, NJ, United States) for 18 h at 37°C under aerobic conditions (Thermo Scientific, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States).

Cell Lines and Culture Conditions

Human epithelium cells (INT407, ATCC CCL-6) were purchased from ATCC and cultured at standard condition (37°C, 5% CO2, 95% humidity) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 μg/mL gentamicin (HyClone Laboratories Inc., Logan, UT, United States). The cultured cells were seeded at approximately 2 x 10^5 cells/mL/well into 24-well tissue culture plates (BD Falcon, Franklin Lakes, NJ, United States) to reach 80–90% confluence monolayer at standard condition for cell adhesion assay. The post-confluent INT-407 cell monolayers were rinsed with PBS and stabilized in antibiotic-free DMEM for 1 h prior to the invasion assay.
Human macrophage cell line (U937, ATCC CRL3253) was purchased from ATCC and grown at standard condition in RPMI-1640 Medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 µg/mL gentamicin. An aliquot of 6 mL cell suspension containing 1 × 10⁶ cells were transferred into 25 cm² flask (Greiner Bio-One, Monroe, NC, United States) and cultured at standard condition for 24–30 h. After time, the cell monolayer was washed for three times with RPMI for further bacterial infection.

Over-Expression of Myosin-Cross-Reactive Antigen Gene (mcra) in L. casei and LC-CLA Development

Plasmid pJET and E. coli DH5α were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, United States), pDS132 and E. coli β2155 were donated by Dr. Fidelma Boyd (Delaware University, Newark, DE, United States), and pMSP3535 were purchased from Addgene (Cambridge, MA, United States). LC-WT and L. rhamnosus GG (ATCC 53103) were harvested from overnight culture in MRS broth, followed by three times sub-culture on MRS agar plate at 37°C for 24 h in the presence of 5% CO₂ incubator.

The entire cloning design was summarized in Figure 1. Briefly, the 1750 bp mcra from L. rhamnosus GG was PCR amplified and ligated into pJET vector through blunt-end cloning. Aliquot of 250 μL E. coli DH5α bacterial suspension in cold 50 mM CaCl₂ was mixed with 10 μL ligated product (pJET-mcra) for 10 min incubation on ice, followed by 50 s incubation at 42°C in water bath. After further 2 min incubation on ice, 250 μL LB broth was added into bacteria-plasmid mixture for 10 min incubation at room temperature followed by selection on LB agar with 100 µg/mL ampicillin for transformation. The E. coli DH5α-expressed mcra was double-excised from pJET-mcra with BamHI and XbaI and then ligated into pMSP3535 vector at 16°C overnight. Following the same condition, pMSP3535-mcra was further transformed into E. coli DH5α and mixed with LC-WT at ratios of 1:1, 1:5, and 1:10 (donor cells: recipient cells) for bacterial mating. Aliquot of 1 mL of the mixed bacterial suspension was spread on MRS agar plate with 0.3 mM DAP, followed by 5 h incubation at 37°C under micro-aerophilic condition. The L. casei-pDS132 was harvested through sub-culture and selection on MRS agar with 30 µg/mL chloramphenicol. Individual bacterial colony was consecutively sub-cultured in fresh MRS broth and selected on MRS agar containing 100 µg/mL 5-fluourouracil (5-FU) for upp1-mcra-upp2 chromosomal homologous recombination. Finally, the mcra chromosomal recombinant L. casei mutant was harvested and named it as LC-CLA.

Co-culturing of Lactobacillus Strains With ST and EHEC

The survival and growth conditions of either ST or EHEC in the mixed culture with wild-type L. casei (LC-WT) or and mutant (LC-CLA) strains were investigated based on our previously described approach (Peng et al., 2015b). Briefly, bacterial cells from overnight agar plates were collected in 10 mL PBS using 10 μL sterile disposable loops. Each concentrated bacterial suspension was adjusted using PBS and measured by LAMBDA BIO/BIO spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, Beaconsfield, United Kingdom) to adjust the bacterial concentration to approximately 7 log CFU/mL. Aliquots of 400 μL adjusted bacterial suspension were added to sterilized test tubes containing 3.2 mL DMEM with 10% FBS and then incubated at 37°C for different time points (0, 2, 4, 8, 24, 48, and 72 h). After incubation, serial dilutions were performed in PBS, and then plated on agar plates (MRS agar for L. casei, LB agar for S. Typhimurium and EHEC) in triplicate, followed by incubation for 18 h at 37°C for growth. Bacterial CFUs were counted afterwards and results were expressed in units of bacterial log CFU/mL as the average number from triplicate assays.

Evaluation of Physicochemical Properties and Biofilm Formation of ST and EHEC

Both ST and EHEC were cultured at 37°C for 18 h and the cell surface hydrophobicity of both pathogens was determined following method previously described by Peng et al. (2015c). The interactions between bacteria cell surfaces were determined by the auto-aggregation assay according to Ahn et al. (2014) in triplicate using Multiskan microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), and the enteric bacterial cell injury induced by Lactobacillus strains was evaluated according to the overlay method previously described by Ahn et al. (2014) in triplicate using Trypticase soy (TSA) agar and XLD- or MacConkey-overlaid TSA agar.
The bacterial biofilm formation was determined according to Salaheen et al., (2016a) with brief modifications. Both ST and EHEC were inoculated at approximately $5 \times 10^5$ CFU/mL in 6-well plates (Corning, NY, United States) containing 22 mm $\times$ 22 mm glass slides and LB broth at 37°C without shaking. At 24, 48, and 72 h point, the glass slides were rinsed with PBS for five times, and bacterial cells were scrapped from glass slides followed by serially diluted for plating on LB agar.

**Scanning Electron Microscopic Analysis of Bacterial Cell Morphology**

The ST and EHEC bacteria cells were harvested from overnight cultures and collected through 0.22 µm filter membranes. The bacteria cells were then fixed by submerging in 0.25% glutaraldehyde for 1 h (Kihm et al., 1994). The filter membranes were washed three times in sterile DI water followed by dehydration through sequential immersing the membranes in 10, 20, 50, 75, 90, and 100% (v/v) aqueous solutions of absolute ethanol. Filter membranes were then stored under anhydrous calcium sulfate overnight. To observe the morphology of the cells under SEM, the bacterial cells were sputter-coated with gold for Hitachi SU-70 FEG Scanning Electron Microscope (Hitachi Ltd., Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV.

**Adhesion and Invasion Assay**

The cultured mammalian cell adhesion and invasion assays were carried out in triplicates following the method described previously by Peng et al. (2015b) with some modification. We used MOI = 1:100 of host cell and bacterial CFU for both ST and EHEC on INT407 cells in triplicate wells ex vivo. The INT407 cells grown in 24-well plate with 800 μL DMEM were pretreated with 100 μL DMEM (control), L. casei CFCSs, or $2 \times 10^8$ CFUs L. casei bacterial cells, separately for 1 h, with each treatment in triplicate. A 100 μL aliquot of S. Typhimurium or EHEC PBS bacterial suspension with MOI = 100 ($2 \times 10^8$ CFUs) was inoculated into triplicate wells. Afterwards, the infected cells were incubated at standard condition for another 2 h, and then followed by three times washing with DMEM. The cell monolayers were lysed with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min, serial diluted, and plated on agar plates (MRS agar for L. casei, LB agar for S. Typhimurium and EHEC) to estimate the adhesive bacterial CFU. To measure bacterial cell invasive activity, DMEM washed cell monolayers after 2 h bacterial infection was incubated in DMEM containing 10% FBS supplemented with 250 μg/mL gentamicin for 1 h, then followed by three times DMEM washing, Triton X-100 lysis, serial dilution, and eventually plating on agar plates mentioned above.

**Simulation of Enteric Bacterial Inflammation in Human Macrophage Cells**

Enteric bacterial pathogen ST that provoke inflammation in human gut intestine was cultured on LB agar plate for 18 h and collected in PBS to be adjusted in approximately $1 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL. A 100 μL aliquot of bacterial suspension, containing approximately $1 \times 10^8$ CFU was inoculated into triplicate 25 cm$^2$ flasks containing U937 cell monolayer (approximately $1 \times 10^6$ host cells/flask). In the test flasks, 500 μL overnight (18 h) cell-free cultural supernatants (CFCSs) from L. casei (LC-WT and LC-CLA) strains in DMEM with 10% FBS were added during ST infection period. The infected monolayers were incubated for 24 h at standard condition, followed three times washing with ice-cold PBS for RNA extraction.

**Quantitative RT-PCR for Evaluation of Gene Expressions**

Extraction of RNA from bacterial cells and human macrophage cell line, the cDNA synthesis, and the qRT-PCR were performed in triplicate according to the method described...
TABLE 1 | Primers used for RT-qPCR analysis of EHEC and S. Typhimurium.

| Bacteria     | Gene     | Primer Sequence (5′-3′)                      | Function                      |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| EHEC         | gapA     | F: ACTTCGACAAATATGCTGGGC                    | Housekeeping gene             |
|              |          | R: CGG3ATGATGG3TCTGGGAA                     |                               |
|              | eaeA     | F: CCGGAAATGCAGCAAGGCATTAAAGC               | Attaching and effacing        |
|              |          | R: CCGGAAATCGCTCAGCGAGTATCG                 |                               |
|              | espA     | F: GTTTTCAGCTGCGATGCTTCT                   | Type III secretion protein    |
|              |          | R: AGTTTGCAGTTCCGATTTCT                   |                               |
|              | espB     | F: GCCCGTTTTCGAACGAAACTGC                   | Type III secretion protein    |
|              |          | R: AAAAGAAGCGGGAGAAAGAAGCA                 |                               |
|              | espD     | F: AAAAGAAGCGGGAGAAAGAAGCA                 | Type III secretion protein    |
|              |          | R: CCAATGGCAAAACAGCAGCAGA                 |                               |
|              | ler      | F: ACTTCGAGCGGCTTCCAGA                     | Locus of Enterocyte           |
|              |          | R: TTTGCAAGCTTCCATCTCGT                   | Effacement regulator         |
|              | tir      | F: GTTTCAGCTGATCATTGCTTCT                  | Translocated intimin receptor |
|              |          | R: GGGCTTCAGTGATATCTGGA                    |                               |
| S. Typhimurium| invG     | F: CAGAATTGAGCGAGCTGTTCC                   | Invasion protein              |
|              |          | R: TGCGCTAGCGCTCGTCAAGAA                   |                               |
|              | invH     | F: GGTGCCCTCCCTCCTCT                      | Invasion lipoprotein          |
|              |          | R: TGCGCTAGCGAGCTGTT                      |                               |
|              | orgA     | F: AGCGAAGGGGAGCTTCTGCC                   | Oxygen- regulated invasion protein |
|              |          | R: CCGCTAGCTGCTGCGGAGAAGAAGA              |                               |
|              | orgB     | F: ACCATCCGGGAGCTTCTCTCA                   | Oxygen- regulated invasion protein |
|              |          | R: TTGCGGCTGCTGTACGTG                     |                               |
|              | prgH     | F: TGACAGCTGCTGCTGCTG                      | Type III secretion protein    |
|              |          | R: GGCACATCGTCTGACCTCAAC                   |                               |
|              | prgI     | F: GCTTATGGAAAGCCGAGCATTG                 | Type III secretion protein    |
|              |          | R: GCGGCGAGGAGGACGAGATG                   |                               |
|              | prgK     | F: GGCGTGGGAAAGCCGAGCATTG                 | Type III secretion protein    |
|              |          | R: TCAGCCTCGCGGAGACGATA                   |                               |
|              | sipA     | F: CCGTTCAGCGGTACCAGAAT                   | Cell invasion protein         |
|              |          | R: TGCGCGGTCTTCGTT                        |                               |

(Peng et al., 2017). The PCR reaction mixture containing 10 µL PerfeCTa SYBR Green Fast Mix (Quanta Biosciences, Beverly, MA, United States), 2 µL of each 100 nM primer (listed in Tables 1, 2), 2 µL of cDNA (10 ng), and 4 µL of RNase-free water was amplified using an Eco Real-Time PCR system with 30 s denaturation at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 10 s. All the relative transcription levels of target genes were estimated by comparative fold change. The C_T values of genes were normalized to the housekeeping/reference gene (listed in Tables 1, 2), and the relative expression levels of target genes were compared between control and treatment. The fold change in terms of expression of each individual target gene was calculated as ΔΔC_T = [C_T(target mRNA)-C_T(reference mRNA)]_treatment − [C_T(target mRNA)-C_T(reference mRNA)]_control (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Quantitative RT-PCR was carried out in triplicate.
TABLE 2 | Primers used for RT-qPCR analysis of U937 cells cytokine genes.

| Gene    | Primer   | Sequence (5′–3′)      | Function                        |
|---------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 18srRNA | Forward  | ATCCCTGAAAAAGTTCCAGCA | Housekeeping gene               |
|         | Reverse  | CCCTCTTGAGTGTAGCTGAATG|                                  |
| IL-1β   | Forward  | GCCATGAGAACTGAGGAAG   | Inflammatory cytokine gene      |
|         | Reverse  | GTGCTAGTACCAAGTGGG    |                                  |
| IL-6    | Forward  | GAATCCCTTTCCACAAAGCG  | Pro-/Anti-inflammatory cytokine  |
|         | Reverse  | TTCTGGCAAGTGCTCTTT    | gene                             |
| IL-10   | Forward  | AGCAAGTGAAGACTTCTTTC  | Anti-inflammatory cytokine gene  |
|         | Reverse  | CATTCAAGAGCGCTGGG     |                                  |
| IL-12   | Forward  | AATGTTCCATGCTTCACC    | Pro-inflammatory cytokine gene   |
|         | Reverse  | CAATCTTGTTGAAGTGGG    |                                  |
| IL-23   | Forward  | GACACATGAGATCTAAGAGA  | Inflammatory cytokine gene       |
|         | Reverse  | AATGACTGGTTGCTCCTGGAG|                                  |
| TGF-β   | Forward  | CTTGCTGTCCTCCTCCTCA  | Anti-inflammatory cytokine gene  |
|         | Reverse  | TCACCTGGGTGTCAAGCAAG  |                                  |
| TNFα    | Forward  | CAGAGGGAAGAAGTTCCCAAC| Inflammatory cytokine gene       |
|         | Reverse  | CCTGCTGCTGTTGAGAAGC  |                                  |
| CXCL-8  | Forward  | CTCGCCCAACACACAGAATA  | Inflammatory chemokine gene      |
|         | Reverse  | ATGGCATCTGGCAACCTCAC |                                  |

**Statistical Analysis**

All the data were analyzed by the Statistical Analysis System software. The one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s test was applied to determine the significant differences of bacterial counts, physicochemical values, and virulent gene expression levels among the control and treatments based on a significant level of 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Phenotypical Characterization of LC-CLA**

In comparison with LC-WT, LC-CLA maintained their in vivo growth/survival rate during exponential, stationary and death phases up to 96 h (**Figure 2A**) and remarkably ($p < 0.05$) improved their host cell adhesion ability onto human epithelial (INT-407) cells ex vivo (**Figure 2B**). The INT-407 cell-attached amount of LC-CLA was found to be significantly higher at 4 and 24 h of incubation comparing with LC-WT. In addition, the genetically engineered probiotic strain LC-CLA induced significant ($p < 0.05$) up-regulation on mcra (linoleate isomerase gene) mRNA level expression identified by qPCR; with HPLC-MS/MS analysis, we also detected fold increment in relative total linoleic acids per 1 mL overnight cultural supernatant as well as even higher fold boost in relative total linoleic acids per bacterial cell (**Table 3**).

**Competitive Exclusion of Enteric Bacterial Pathogens, ST and EHEC**

Probiotic *Lactobacillus* (LC-WT or LC-CLA) strains and enteric bacterial pathogens (ST or EHEC) were grown in mixed-cultured condition in vitro to investigate their competitive survival ability through competition between them in both short (4 and 8 h) and long (up to 72 h) period of time. The competitive inhibitory abilities of both LC-WT and LC-CLA against ST or EHEC were shown in **Figure 3**. Specifically, LC-CLA rapidly started to phase out both enteric bacterial pathogens with significantly ($p < 0.01$) higher loads of ST and EHEC reduction during the first 8 h incubation comparing with LC-WT. Overall, LC-CLA competitively exclude ST at 72 h and EHEC at 48 h.

**Metabolites From LC-CLA in Combating Against Enteric Bacterial Pathogens**

Overnight CFCSs from both LC-WT (CFCS1) and LC-CLA (CFCS2), in terms of initial inoculum of $10^6$ CFU/mL
overnight probiotic culture, were collected for examination the antimicrobial activities of their secreted byproducts. Comparing with negative control (only medium), both CFCSs from LC-WT and LC-CLA strains inhibited the growth of both pathogens, ST and EHEC, however, CFCS2 from LC-CLA showed more intensive effects (Figure 4). To be specific, CFCS2 reduced notably ($p < 0.01$) higher loads of ST and EHEC in the early stage at 4 and 8 h compared with CFCS1. The inhibitory activity of CFCS1 was attenuated after 24 h, whereas metabolites from LC-CLA exhibited a stable antimicrobial activity after 24 h, which ruled out all survival ST at 72 h and EHEC at 48 h.

### Alterations in Physicochemical and Morphological Properties of ST and EHEC

The produced metabolites from both LC-WT and LC-CLA in CFCSs alter multiple physicochemical properties of both pathogens, ST and EHEC (Table 4). For example, CFCS1 decreased bacterial surface hydrophobicity of ST and EHEC, whereas CFCS2 exhibited more profound effectiveness in significantly lowering hydrophobicity of both pathogens (Table 4). Following the same trend, metabolites produced by LC-CLA in CFCS2 significantly reduced bacterial auto-aggregation activities of both ST and EHEC compared with metabolites from LC-WT. Similarly, we found that CFCS2 could intensify the effect of bacterial cell wall disruption of both ST and EHEC.

The bacterial cell morphology of ST/EHEC treated with CFCSs collected from LC-WT (CFCS1) or LC-CLA (CFCS2) was examined by scanning electron microscopy (Figure 5). Comparable ST and EHEC cells were observed for morphological changes including elongation, shrinkage, and swelling during the treatment with CFCS1 (Figures 5A2, A3, B2, B3). Much more pronounced alterations in the bacterial cell morphology were also observed when the cells were treated with CFCS2, for example, enormous outer membrane disruption and immense bacterial perforation (Figures 5A4, A5, B4, B5).

### Effect on Biofilm Formation by ST and EHEC

The biofilm formation abilities of ST and EHEC in absence or presence of CFCSs from both LC-WT and LC-CLA are showed in Figure 6. At 24, 48, and 72 h incubation under the inhibitory pressure of LC-CLA secreted metabolites in CFCS2, the biofilm formation of ST was significantly ($p < 0.05$) suppressed. Whereas CFCS1 from LC-WT exhibited less inhibitory effects and failed to decrease the ability of ST to form a biofilm significantly after 72 h of incubation. The biofilm formation ability of EHEC was also significantly ($p < 0.05$) restrained at 24 h treatment with CFCS2 from LC-CLA. At 48 and 72 h, both CFCS1 and CFCS2 exhibited significant reduction on EHEC biofilm formation.

### Disruption on Host Cells-ST/EHEC Interactions

The host cell-ST or -EHEC interactions were evaluated based on their adhesion to and invasion into human epithelial (INT-407) cells (Figure 7). With pre-treated of LC-WT, the cell adhesive and invasive abilities of ST were significantly ($p < 0.05$) reduced. In the same investigation, host cells pretreated with LC-WT also decreased the adherence abilities of EHEC, but more effective performance was observed when INT-407 cells were allowed to pre-colonize with LC-CLA. The adhesive and invasive activities of ST were suppressed by 99.58 and 99.34% separately, by LC-CLA. Similarly, the pre-colonized LC-CLA also reduced EHEC host cell adhesion capabilities by 99.10.

Correspondingly, the pre-treatments of ST and EHEC with CFCSs collected from both LC-WT and LC-CLA displayed

| Strain   | Genotype       | mcra mRNA expression | RTLA² per mL supernatant | RTLA² per bacterial cell |
|----------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| LC-WT    | Wild type      | 1.00                  | 1.00                     | 1.00                     |
| LC-CLA   | mcra over-expressed | 7.15 ± 1.76           | 4.48 ± 0.59              | 21.06 ± 1.33             |

* Gene expression level and metabolite production rate is standardized with LC-WT.
1 mcra expression fold change was calculated based on 16S rRNA as reference gene.
2 Relative Total Linoleic Acids based on HPLC-MS/MS analysis.
significant effects on their interactions/infections with INT-407 cells. Specifically, metabolites in CFCS collected from LC-WT, CFCS1 restricted the adherence activities of both ST and EHEC as well as invasive activity of ST on INT-407 cells. Whereas, CFCS2, collected from LC-CLA, altered the interaction between INT-407 cells and ST/EHEC intensively ($p < 0.01$) by decreasing 99.66% ST and 98.53 EHEC adhesion, respectively. In the same experiment, CFCS2 reduced the invasion ability of ST by 99.15% into INT-407 cells, respectively.

### Down-Regulation on Expression of Bacterial Virulence Genes by CFCSs

The relative expression levels of multiple ST/EHEC virulence genes were found to be significantly ($p < 0.05$) down-regulated with CFCSs from both LC-WT and LC-CLA based on qPCR analysis, among which, the suppressive effects from CFCS2 were detected to be more intensive than CFCS1 (Figure 8). For ST, CFCS2 collected from LC-CLA notably ($p < 0.01$) down-regulated the expression of transcriptional regulator genes hilA, hilC, hilD, and invF by various fold. Similarly, the expression levels of effector genes invA, invG, invH, and prgK were also significantly ($p < 0.01$) suppressed by CFCS2. Whereas, insignificant fold changes were detected in relative expression levels of invC, prgH, prgI, and sipA when the cells were treated with either CFCS1 or CFCS2. For EHEC, eight virulence genes were investigated in this study, among which only effector gene tir kept conservative under the pressure of both CFCSs treatment. CFCS2 effectively ($p < 0.01$) down-regulated the expression levels of regulator gene ler as well as other effector genes including eaeA, espA, espB, and espD.

### Anti-inflammatory Effects of LC-CLA

Metabolites secreted by both Lactobacillus (LC-WT and LC-CLA) strains managed to induce anti-inflammatory effects on ST-induced human macrophage (U937) cells by down-regulating pro-inflammatory cytokine genes and up-regulating
anti-inflammatory cytokine genes (Figure 9). In detail, CFCS1 collected from LC-WT suppressed the expression levels of IL-1β, CXCL-8 (IL-8), IL-12, and TNF-α genes by 3.3-, 3.0-, 3.0-, and 4.8-fold, respectively, and at the same experiment, it raised the expression levels of IL-10 and TGF-β genes by 4.4- and 2.5-fold, respectively. Whereas, negligible differences in fold change were observed on IL-6 and IL-23 genes expression. On the other side, CFCS2 containing metabolites released from LC-CLA impressively amplified the anti-inflammatory activities, by which relative expression levels of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1β, IL-8, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α genes were all significantly ($p < 0.01$) down-regulated by 7.7-, 5.2-, 6.0-, 1.6-, and 6.7-fold, respectively; whereas relative expression levels of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 and TGF-β genes were significantly ($p < 0.01$) up-regulated by 8.0- and 5.9-fold.

**DISCUSSION**

Probiotics, prebiotics, or a combination of the two, referred to as synbiotics, have emerged as a promising alternative treatment for enteric bacterial infections (Vyas and Ranganathan, 2012; Hardy et al., 2013; Pandey et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015c; Salaheen et al., 2015). To improve and maintain the host’s gut health,
FIGURE 7 | Effect of LC-CLA in interfering with enteric bacterial pathogen-cell interactions. Human epithelial cell adhesive and invasive activities of ST (A) and EHEC (B) with pre-treatment of either L. casei or CFCSs from L. casei strains were examined in triplicate. A constant MOI = 100 was applied in each sub-figure. Bars indicate average ± standard deviation from parallel trials. Different letters ‘A’–‘C’ and ‘a’–‘c’ within each bacterial pathogen are significantly different among control and treatments for cell bacterial adhesion and invasion separately over three biological repetitions at p < 0.05.

the beneficial effects of probiotics depend largely upon the total quantity and type of functional metabolites they can produce. In our recent studies, we found several prebiotic-like components in cocoa and peanuts facilitated L. casei in producing more linoleic acids and outcompeting major foodborne bacterial pathogens, including ST and EHEC (Salaheen et al., 2014; Peng et al., 2015a,b). Based on these findings, we have overexpressed the mhra encoding Linoleate isomerase in LC-WT to verify the ability of the genetically modified strain, LC-CLA, in combating enteric bacterial infection ex vivo based on the cell culture model.

As discussed in previous studies, the myosin-cross-reactive antigens, which are present across a wide range of taxa, including Lactobacillus, not only take responsibility in linoleic acid construction and isomerization (Kishino et al., 2011; O’connell et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014), but also have been revealed to contribute in bacterial stress-tolerance, blood-survival, and host cell interactions (O’Flaherty and Klaenhammer, 2010; Volkov et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2016). In this study, accordingly, in comparison to LC-WT, the mhra overexpressed LC-CLA was found with prominently higher production of total linoleic acids, fitter growth patterns, though not statistically significant, and remarkably improved epithelial adhesion ex vivo especially on INT-407 cells.

Though they assist in the development of healthy gut microbiota and the maintenance of cardiovascular health, prebiotic or prebiotic-like components, contain functional foods such as peanuts and cocoa. Therefore these symbiotic combinations are not entirely ideal for antimicrobial use in long term application or in specific populations due the cost of these foods, their potential to induce allergic reactions, the ability of beneficial and pathogenic microbes to use them as an uncontrolled source of nutrients, and their limited bio-availability (Hasler, 2002; Badrie et al., 2015; Feeney et al., 2016). Therefore, the genetically engineered probiotic in our research, being self-sufficient, stands out in supply of increased bio-active byproducts devoid of any prebiotic.

As previously reported by Peng et al. (2015c), Lactobacillus, by releasing antimicrobial components like organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, and poly-peptides, outcompete pathogenic bacteria in a time-dependent manner. In this study, LC-CLA exhibited even stronger effects against ST and EHEC than by LC-WT in mix-culture competitive exclusion, and the CFCS2 collected from LC-CLA also showed an extensive growth inhibition effect on both pathogens, through inducing bacterial cell membrane damage. The outcomes are also supported by the previous findings on anti-pathogenic activities in CLA (Hontecillas et al., 2002; Bhattacharya et al., 2006; Meraz-Torres and Hernandez-Sanchez, 2012). Furthermore, we also surprisingly observed that due to over-expression of mhra in LC, LC-CLA induced significant alterations on several physiochemical properties of ST/EHEC, including surface hydrophobicity, auto-aggregation, bacterial cell morphology, and biofilm formation. The over-produced LA in LC might have induced these changes since they were suggested to interact with cytoplasmic membrane of bacterial pathogens and further disrupt phospholipid or extracellular polysaccharides (Peng and Biswas, 2017), both of which are crucial factors for bacterial physicochemical properties as well as biofilm formation (Vu et al., 2009; Renner and Weibel, 2011).
Specific virulence genes of ST/EHEC involved in Type-3 secretion (T3SS) were significantly down-regulated in the presence of the secreted metabolites in CFCS2 collected from LC-CLA. These genes include invasion regulator genes and effector genes, especially eaeA, that functions in EHEC A/E and invH encoding ST invasion lipoprotein. In fact, several research groups have also previously reported the dose-dependent activities of poly-unsaturated fatty acids in regulation of Salmonella and E. coli (Cardenal-Muñoz and Ramos-Morales, 2011; Nakamura et al., 2012); however, the conclusion remains to be ambiguous and bears little correlation with bacterial infections (Peng and Biswas, 2017). The repressed virulence genes and the disrupted bacterial physicochemical properties of ST and EHEC by LC-CLA served as identical indicators for the attachment of pathogens on host cells. It further supported the ex vivo reduction of ST/EHEC-host cell interactions excluding the negligible toxic effect of gentamycin on bacteria (Peng et al., 2015c). Through competitively occupying INT-407 cell surface receptor-like molecules (Bernet et al., 1994; Matsuo et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2015c) and enhancing the regulation of these two bacterial pathogens via the increased production of linoleic acids (Belury, 2002; Hontecillas et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2017), LC-CLA stands out with strong inhibitory actions against enteric bacterial pathogens. Though 1 h probiotic pre-occupation and 2 h pathogenic infection was investigated in this study, further research targeting up to 72 h ST/EHEC infections could be favorable in revealing the long-term preventive effects of LC-CLA.

Finally, extensive anti-inflammatory effects of LC-CLA were presented ex vivo on human macrophage cells. In accordance with previous studies on linoleic acids (Albers et al., 2003; Akahoshi et al., 2004; Tricon et al., 2004), we also detected a reduction in levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines including TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, CXCL-8, and IL-12 in this study. Moreover, we identified the up-regulation of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 and TGF-β genes as well, the two cytokines of which were believed to induce inhibition on Th cells activation (Gorelik and Flavell, 2002; Gorelik et al., 2002;
Hsieh et al., 2012). The activated macrophage cells bearing bacterial pathogen challenges normally produce and release IL-12 for activation of T_h1 cells and further induces INF-γ, TNF-α, and IL-12 production (Romagnani, 1999; Dong and Flavell, 2001; Bassaganya-Riera et al., 2003; Kidd, 2003), which explained the significantly elevated expressions of TNF-α and IL-12 genes with ST infections. LC-CLA in secreting auxiliary amounts of CLA, ameliorated the ST infection-induced gut inflammatory responses by suppressing T_h1 cells through reducing IL-12 and pathogenic T_h17 cells through reducing IL-1β (Acosta-Rodriguez et al., 2007; Monteleone et al., 2009; Cosmi et al., 2014). Most importantly, the anti-inflammatory activities of linoleic acids have not been documented to impair any gut immunity against enteric bacterial pathogen infections (Turnock et al., 2001; Peng and Biswas, 2017).

CONCLUSION

Findings from this study herald a new era, wherein non-traditional preventive strategies through using functional probiotics could become applicable in defense against enteric bacterial pathogens specifically Salmonella and pathogenic E. coli, regardless of altering the normal gut microbiota. LC-CLA with mcra gene over-expression managed to adhere efficiently on human epithelial cells and secret larger amounts of linoleic acids. By this pathway for combating ST and EHEC infections, the effective probiotic strain competitively excluded their growth in vitro, altered their physicochemical properties, as well as biofilm formation abilities, reduced their interactions to host cells ex vivo, and attenuated the host cell inflammatory process induced by enteric bacterial pathogens. The development and implementation of such novel, cost-effective, and simple-to-use genetically engineered probiotics, independent of prebiotics or probiotic-like functional food ingredients, is promising to open a new avenue in prevention and treatment of Salmonella and pathogenic E. coli provoked GI infections and in improving gut health where antibiotic therapy could be limited, and helpful in avoiding negative consequences of antibiotic therapy.
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