An Undergraduate Surgery Interest Group: Introducing Premedical Students to the Practice of Surgery
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Purpose: Early exposure and surgical mentorship can augment interest in surgery. We evaluate the effect and feasibility of offering education and mentorship opportunities in surgery to premedical students at our institution through an undergraduate surgery interest group (USIG).

Materials and Methods: We conducted a 1-year assessment of our USIG and reviewed its organizational structure, funding resources, media promotion, and educational activities. Our USIG hosted introductory-level surgical skills workshops, guest lectures by surgeons, and various facility tours. To assess participants’ interests, as well as the influences on them to pursue a surgical profession, we analyzed pre- and post-event questionnaires. Similar questionnaires were completed by medical students in our medical student surgery interest group to compare any differences in perception between premedical and medical students.

Results: Our USIG currently has 378 undergraduate student members, with a higher proportion of women than in our medical student surgery interest group ($P < 0.003$). Neurosurgery was the most popular career choice among undergraduate participants. Participants reported the highest satisfaction with suturing and high-fidelity trauma surgery skills workshops. Undergraduate participants indicated that their intrinsic interest in the sciences is the highest motivation to pursue a surgical profession. Resident lifestyle and social obligations of a surgical career were actually positive influences for undergraduate participants; in contrast, medical students viewed those variables as negative factors.

Conclusion: Our USIG was met with enthusiasm by premedical students and faculty alike. Participation strengthened premedical students’ interest in pursuing surgery and increased their understanding of the surgical profession. Early mentorship may positively influence premedical students’ perception of surgical careers. USIG is economically feasible and time-efficient; we encourage other academic institutions and educators to consider investing in similar interest groups.
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Introduction

A surgical career provides a unique opportunity to combine clinical knowledge and proficiency with procedural skill-based practice. Multiple studies have evaluated factors affecting medical student career choice; students who pursue surgical careers are more likely to be interested in procedural skill development and are more willing to sacrifice lifestyle to attain career goals. In 2018, despite a high overall match rate into a surgical residency, only 76% of surgical residency positions were occupied by
US senior medical students: a considerable drop from 87% more than 30 years ago. The percentage of US medical students ranking general surgery as their first choice fell from 10.7% in the mid-1980s to less than 5% in the early 2000s. The reasons have been attributed to gender and generational differences.

Current surgical trainees value protected personal time in their professional careers, which represents a considerable change from past generations. National efforts to improve matriculation rates now include improvements in resident well-being and the incorporation of the 80-hour resident workweek. Research to identify solutions to resident attrition and burnout are ongoing. Multiple studies acknowledge the positive impact of early surgical mentorship for medical students: lack of such surgical mentorship can reduce the probability of a surgical career. Moreover, a 2-decade-long focus by medical schools to actively promote primary care has also dissuaded many medical students from pursuing surgical careers. For example, about half of the medical students who initially express interest in general surgery change their minds during medical school. Innovative educational solutions that promote student interest, well-being, and student-surgeon interactions can augment interest in surgery.

Medical student surgery interest groups (MSIGs) at several institutions have demonstrated success in improving interest and rates of matriculation in surgery. For pre-clerkship medical students, such groups provide a realistic look at the clinical and academic attributes of surgical specialties in an informal, low-stress environment. Such groups and rotations allow medical students to develop professional relationships with surgical faculty and residents leading to a sustained interest in a surgical career.

In an attempt to evaluate the impact of early mentorship and the success of student interest groups, we explored the feasibility of extending surgical education to undergraduate premedical students through our undergraduate surgery interest group (USIG). To identify potential opportunities for educational reform, we evaluated and compared the perceptions of both our USIG and MSIG participants.

**Materials and Methods**

The USIG is a registered student group that provides a platform for over 35,000 undergraduate students to explore their career interests. The group facilitates communication between surgeons, surgical residents, and medical students. Instituted in 2015, it is an initiative led by our Department of Surgery faculty that offers introductory-level surgical skills workshops, focused group activities, along with high- and low-fidelity simulation-based exercises. Surgery laboratory tours, hospital and adjunct facility tours, surgeon-led research drives, guest lectures by surgeons, interactive panel discussions, and social gatherings are some of the various activities organized by the group. (Table 1)

The objectives of USIG are to: 1) bolster interest in surgery; 2) enable undergraduate students to evaluate their aptitude for a surgical career; 3) provide hands-on experiences; 4) facilitate mentorship by surgeons, residents, and medical students; and 5) discuss the ethics of patient autonomy. The group currently has 378 undergraduate student members. All activities are organized by a 5-member student leadership board, which meets every other week to coordinate monthly events. Department of Surgery faculty advisers oversee the activities and help the students secure resources, schedule events, and identify speakers. Since USIG’s inception in 2015, more than 50 surgeons and surgical residents have participated, including community and academic surgeons, research faculty, and residents from our institution as well as neighboring institutions. Activities have been supported by small grants and funds obtained from the University’s Student Union and Activities Office and from the Student Association.

Topics from the MSIG workshop served as a template for our USIG events. Our educational framework followed Kolb’s theory of experiential learning which included creating concrete experiences, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Most events focused on active skills acquisition where students were shown how to perform a particular task such as suturing, knot tying, trauma resuscitation etc., by faculty or residents. They would then attempt each task under supervision, rotate through various stations and return to practice. This gave them time to reflect on and conceptualize the learned task, and then reattempt these tasks to augment skill and technique.

The surgical skills workshops were popular events that provided hands-on experiences in basic procedural skills, emphasizing dexterity and encouraging students to evaluate their aptitude for a career in surgery. All workshops were held at either American College of Surgeons (ACS)-accredited simulation center, that provided patient simulators...
SimMan®, or at the University's Historical Library of Biology and Medicine.

On the pre-event surveys, premedical students indicated a strong interest in trauma surgery; as a result, many of the workshops focused on basic aspects of trauma resuscitation. Several low-fidelity amputation workshops, using easy-to-assemble training models, taught students the relevant surface anatomy of the lower limbs. Surgical instructors guided students through a rapid trauma assessment and highlighted the core concepts of trauma resuscitation. Simulated gunshot wounds introduced students to the management of penetrating trauma using SimMan®. High-fidelity simulation-based workshops provided realistic trauma simulations and encouraged critical thinking and teamwork. Instructors provided brief clinical vignettes and assigned students clinical roles, such as a trauma surgeon, an assistant surgeon, and a second assistant. Students were taught how to glove and gown, taught trauma assessment protocols, and were given access to standard-of-care technology, such as ventilation equipment, vital signs monitoring devices, and mock intravenous medications. To elicit student assessments and encourage interventions, administrative staff and technicians modified the mock vital signs and simulated physical findings using SimMan® to adapt to student assessments and interventions. These simulated trauma scenarios promoted dialogue between instructors, staff, and students and permitted evaluation of mock clinical handoffs.

In addition to hands-on workshops and simulation-based exercises, our USIG has emphasized the historical foundations of surgery, in order to facilitate a broader understanding of the surgical field. Curator- and faculty-guided seminars were held at the University’s Historical Library of Biology and Medicine, which houses a nationally recognized collection of more than 80,000 rare books, surgical manuscripts, and artifacts. Facebook and Twitter were used to promote events and member engagement. These networks provided pre-event advertisements, invitations, reminder notifications, and post-event reviews. Our USIG website, which is entirely student-run, provides access to preparatory surgical training resources, including basic online skills-training modules and links to current journal articles. The website also provides information on how to obtain clinical observership opportunities in surgery.

Lastly, we also observed a high level of interest for research among USIG participants. The USIG provides its members with ways to engage in surgical research and table 1 event information for the undergraduate surgery interest group

| Type of Activity | Event                                    | Description                                                                 |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tours (25% of all activities) | Medical Device Center | Applications of innovative surgical technology                              |
|                   | Visible Heart Lab Facility               | Development of cardiac devices and 3D printing                               |
|                   | Visible Heart Lab Surgery Observations  | Organ Care Systems and preserving lungs for transplant                      |
| Workshops (35% of all activities) | Experimental Surgical Services Dissection | Observations of surgical research                                             |
|                   | Wangensteen Historical Library Surgical Skills | Suturing, knot-tying, ultrasound, and surgical history                      |
|                   | SimPORTAL Trauma Surgical Simulation    | Gunshot wound, airway management, ultrasound, suturing, and knot-tying     |
| Seminars (30% of all activities) | Vascular Surgery                        | Use of imaging techniques and abdominal aortic aneurysm repairs             |
|                   | Neurosurgery                             | Role of deep brain stimulation in treating neurological disorders           |
|                   | Transplant Surgery                       | Liver transplantation                                                       |
|                   | General and Critical Care Surgery        | Critical care standards for islet cell transplant                            |
|                   | Pediatric Surgery                        | Informative seminar on pediatric surgery                                   |
|                   | Urologic Surgery                         | Personal experiences in urology                                             |
| Socials (10% of all activities) | Research Associates (RA) Program Kickoff Meeting | Introduction of RA program                                                  |
|                   | Collaborative Movie Event                | Watched movie The White Helmets                                             |
Data Collection

Students attending USIG surgical skills workshops were asked to complete voluntary pre- and post-event questionnaires, which addressed not only their interest in surgery but also their confidence in performing surgical skills. Subsequently, we assessed the influence of various academic, social, and lifestyle factors on their interest in surgery. Responses were ranked on a 5-point Likert-type scale (1 = very negative influence, 2 = negative influence, 3 = neutral, 4 = positive influence, 5 = very positive influence).

The MSIG leadership coordinated the administration of similar questionnaires to their medical student members, so that we could compare and contrast any differences in opinion between our USIG and MSIG participants. Our Institutional Review Board deemed this education-based study exempt from review (study number: 1210E2318).

Statistical Analysis

We used nonparametric statistical tests to compare the results of our USIG and MSIG questionnaires. Continuous and ordinal variables were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U and Kruskal–Wallis H-tests. To analyze categorical data, we used a chi-square test while correlations between variables were measured using a Spearman’s rho. Independent proportions z tests were used to compare proportions. Descriptive statistics include the total number and median (interquartile range [IQR]), where appropriate. A 2-tailed $P$ value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For all our data analysis, we used IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows software, version 21.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

Results

Since its inception in 2015, our USIG has grown. The popularity of online social networks served to effectively facilitate communication within our USIG; membership spiked after each event (approximately 50 members per new event), complemented by an increase in social media activity.

Each event hosted an average of 40 participants. Most USIG participants were women (75%, $n = 30$). Most USIG participants were enrolled in a biological sciences program (Figure 1, 68.6%, $n = 24$); participation did not vary by undergraduate year of enrollment ($P = 0.303$). More than one quarter of participants ($27.5%, n = 11$) wanted to pursue neurosurgery; other popular surgical subspecialties included trauma and cardiothoracic surgery (Figure 2). More than one quarter of participants ($26.2%, n = 11$) had already decided to pursue a surgical profession; another one third indicated (33.3%, $n = 14$) they were highly interested in surgery but were undecided about whether they wanted to pursue it as a career (Figure 3A).

The post-event USIG questionnaires indicated that the high-fidelity surgical skills workshops were more effective than the low-fidelity workshops (median score, 5; IQR, 4.5 to 5). Premedical students thought that the use of high-fidelity medical equipment, isolation gear, and standardized surgical protocols simulated realistic medical trauma experiences (median score, 4; IQR, 3 to 5). They also noted that the
guidance by surgeons and residents during these exercises improved their overall experience (median score, 5; IQR, 5 to 5).

The post-event questionnaires among USIG participants demonstrated that the very high pre-event levels of interest in surgery were sustained (median score, 5; IQR, 4 to 5; \( P = 0.696 \)). Participants felt that the USIG had a high positive impact on their interest in surgery (median score, 5; IQR, 4 to 5) and that they were highly likely to attend another USIG event (median score, 5; IQR, 4 to 5). Their knowledge and degree of confidence in performing basic clinical and surgical skills significantly increased \( (P < 0.001) \) (Figure 3B).

Post-event comments on the questionnaires further substantiated student satisfaction. Sample comments included the following:

“1 left the event feeling empowered and excited about what the future holds for my medical career. Thank you for this wonderful opportunity.”

“I think tonight’s event was an eye-opening experience. As a premed student, such a quick and realistic exposure to the surgical field isn’t always available. . . Thank you for extending your hand to students and making this a learning process.”

**Influential Factors and Motivations**

USIG participants were asked to evaluate the influence of various factors on their interest in surgery. They rated their intrinsic interest in the basic sciences as the highest positive factor. Other positive factors included surgeons’ opportunities to help patients on a personal level, the nobility of the profession, research opportunities in the surgical field, the influence of a surgical mentor, and resident lifestyle. Somewhat surprisingly, variables such as length of training, financial indebtedness, surgeon workload, and lifestyle and social obligations had no effect on their interest in surgery (Figure 4A, Total n = 40). Print and electronic media had a negative influence on their interest in surgery (median score, 2; IQR, 1 to 3).

When we compared our USIG (n = 40) and MSIG (n = 58) questionnaire results, we found several statistically significant differences (Figure 4B). Our USIG had a much higher proportion of female participants (75%, n = 30) than our MSIG (41.4%, n = 24; \( P = 0.0003 \)). USIG participants rated variables such as resident lifestyle, social obligations, and research opportunities in the surgical field to have a positive influence on their interest in surgery \( (P < 0.01) \), whereas MSIG participants rated those factors as having a negative influence \( (P < 0.01) \). However, the influence of financial indebtedness, surgeon workload, length of training, a surgical mentor, and family opinions (all rated as either neutral or positively influential) were not significantly different between USIG and MSIG participants.

**Discussion**

Our USIG represents one of the first attempts to extend surgical education to undergraduate premedical students. Most educational endeavors have sought to increase enrollment into surgical training programs through interventions directed to either medical students or residents;\(^{13,25}\) USIG’s success is substantiated by the increase in its membership, and the positive feedback we have received from members and faculty alike. Our USIG participants reported a high level of interest in surgery pre- and post-event, with a significant increase in post-event confidence and knowledge in performing various surgical skills. We demonstrate how a simple educational model, implemented well before surgical training, can stimulate interest in the field. The funding required to run 8 workshops during a 1-year period was just over $2500. More than 40 surgeons and residents participated over the year, translating to a 2-hour commitment per faculty member, per year.

Multiple studies\(^{1–7,9,41}\) have evaluated the factors that affect medical students’ career choices, yet considerable debate continues on the best formula for choosing a surgical specialty. Passion, personality fit, quality of life, future
income, program competitiveness, and mentorship all contribute to medical students’ choices. Studies evaluating temporal changes in attitudes concede that this process is dynamic: with certain factors being transitory, and other factors being permanent with a progressive impact.11 Our attempt to evaluate those factors during the undergraduate premedical years of training yielded valuable insights. For example, we found that the gender composition of our USIG and MSIG participants differed significantly, with a larger proportion of female participants in our USIG. Although 50% of all medical students in the United States are now women, only 24% of newly matriculating surgical residents are women.14 Female gender has been shown to be an independent negative predictor for pursuing a career in general surgery.14 Some authors partly
attribute the declining interest in general surgery to the increased number of women enrolling in medical school. Increasing female surgical matriculation may mandate intervening at an earlier stage of education. Some studies have shown that students’ interactions early in medical school with at least 1 strong, positive role model, especially of the same gender, increase the propensity to choose the role model’s specialty. These dynamics are important to address as considerations of equity and diversity are made when intervening earlier. Neumayer et al noted that medical schools with a higher percentage of female surgeons have higher proportions of female medical students choosing surgery. Our USIG provides ample opportunity for students to interact with female surgical mentors and female surgical

Figure 4 (A) Bar graph of effect of variables on interest in surgery among our USIG participants (n = 40): rating of 1 = highly negative influence; 3 = neutral; 5 = highly positive influence; error bars = IQR of each dataset. (B) Bar graph of effect of variables on interest in surgery among our USIG participants (n = 40) vs our MSIG participants (n = 58) on post-event questionnaires: rating of 1 = highly negative influence; 3 = neutral; 5 = highly positive influence. Gray bars = USIG; White bars = MSIG. Notes: *statistically significant difference. 
Abbreviations: USIG, undergraduate surgery interest group; MSIG, medical student surgery interest group; IQR, interquartile range.
residents; our “meet-the-surgeon” sessions particularly focus on work-life balance and the challenges and rewards of a surgical career.

In our study, we found that resident lifestyle, traditionally viewed as long and demanding,14 was a positive influence among premedical students. Among medical students, however, resident lifestyle had a negative influence. Both our USIG and MSIG participants stated that family and surgical mentors were a positive influence. Many studies14,17,18,45 have stated the importance of a controllable lifestyle on residency choice, showing that both male and female students desire protected time. Sanfey et al14 observed that both male and female medical students were concerned with the work–life balance of their attending surgeons and surgical residents—and that both male and female students were equally discouraged from a career in surgery by the opinions of a spouse, family member, or significant other. The long hours and rigors of surgical residency often predispose trainees to depression.46 Many investigators,18,26,42,47–49 have recommended surgical program and clerkship directors modify medical students’ surgery curricula to minimize exposure to the most rigorous aspects of resident life. Other program directors are highly skeptical, arguing that such an approach would be unethical and misleading since lifestyle concerns extend well beyond residency.50

Many educators agree that intervention strategies should optimally involve effective mentorship that starts early in medical school.13 Our study demonstrates a disparity in opinions between undergraduates and medical students. Reviewing this data, especially those concerning surgeon and resident lifestyle and social obligations, it may suggest that undergraduate premedical students may be naïve about the challenges of a surgical career. Even though our USIG provides an excellent opportunity for early mentorship, it aims to convey realistic insights into a surgeon’s life. During our workshops and discussions, faculty and residents have highlighted both the highs and lows of a surgical career. In our view, building a passionate, enthusiastic, and resilient workforce entails attracting the brightest, most appropriate students even in their undergraduate education. Erzurum et al26 have observed that students likely to pursue surgery were more resilient and willing to oversee the hardships of residency, and that such students were encouraged by those surgeons who have rewarding careers. However, students unlikely to pursue surgery were most discouraged by the lack of work–life balance among surgeons and surgical residents.5,14,50

Achievements in surgery can often be traced back to early educational experiences and effective mentorship. Effective mentorship fosters a sense of belonging, social support, well-being in the work place, and a sense of personal transformation.51 Today, ample opportunities exist for medical students to be dissuaded from pursuing surgery. Surgery, perhaps more so than other specialties, stands to lose substantially in terms of accruing and retaining resident applicants. Attrition rates for general surgery residents are nearly 20%.21,52 To address the attrition rate in general surgery and the decreased matriculation rates, the Blue Ribbon Committee on Surgical Education convened in 2004 and produced recommendations for surgical program directors across the nation.13 The committee’s report called for rapid innovations to surgical education and medical student curriculum development. This included training surgical mentors who are involved with medical students early, possibly from the first year of medical school, to ensure medical students’ early exposure to surgical experiences.13 We believe that the objectives of our USIG fall well within the recommendations made by the committee. Several studies have confirmed that earlier exposure to surgical experiences improves the likelihood of surgical matriculation.28,32–37 Several studies35,53 show that early interventions such as a summer program consisting of academic research, clinical observership, and mentorship could favorably influence the choice of surgery as a career.

We acknowledge several limitations of our study. First, the administration of our questionnaires and the collection of our data were limited to 2 skills workshops. Because of space constraints, not all registered members of our USIG could attend each workshop. Second, we designed our questionnaires to subjectively assess skills, confidence, and interest, but those metrics were not objectively assessed by an independent observer; consequently, we did not ascertain congruence between actual and perceived performance. This was deemed acceptable as the rationale behind our workshops was to foster interest in surgery and to encourage faculty and mentor interactions, rather than to definitively impart and measure knowledge and know-how. Third, we concede our questionnaires, particularly questions regarding influential factors, may be susceptible to interpretation bias as many premedical students can be unaware of the various nuances of a surgical residency and lived-experiences of residents. Fourth, our study was a 1-year review; in the future, we hope to collect long-term, follow-up data to assess whether or not participants’ interest, knowledge, and skills were sustained. Furthermore, evaluating if USIG participants entered medical school or a surgical residency was beyond the scope of our study. Even if this were the case, proving causality
would be onerous and arguably inaccurate. Our aim with USIG was to provide educational exposure to undergraduate premedical students to help them make an informed career choice, which may or may not be general surgery.

Conclusion
Our USIG provides unique opportunities for undergraduate premedical students to gain exposure to the field of surgery. Instituted at the request of premedical students interested in surgery and led by Department of Surgery faculty, it has garnered immense enthusiasm and robust participation by undergraduates and faculty alike. Participants’ post-event evaluations indicate a strong interest in pursuing surgery and an increased confidence in surgical knowledge and skills. Our data supports the merit of extending such educational opportunities to undergraduate premedical students and we lay a framework for other universities and educators to follow. At present, surgical education in the United States begins late in medical school training and continues through residency and fellowship training; we believe that implementing early educational initiatives, like ours, that provide hands-on experiences and realistic insights into surgery, can be immensely valuable.
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