Abstract We report two cases of acute infection of an uncemented femoral component in a hip prosthesis implanted after external fixation of a femoral fracture. In both cases, the surgical access did not cross over the pin scars. When the prosthesis was implanted the stem crossed one or more pin tracts. The preoperative clinical examination, laboratory tests and bone scintigraphy with marked granulocytosis did not show signs of local infection in either case. We suggest that every patient destined to receive a prosthesis after external fixation should be treated with a staged procedure, the first step being excision of the soft tissues around the pin tracts and curettage or drilling of the bony holes, followed by prosthesis implant.
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Introduction

According to the criteria of “damage control orthopaedics” (DCO), external fixation is a frequently adopted temporary treatment used in patients with multitrauma. However, due to the high complication rate related to the pin tracts, it is seldom used as a definitive treatment for femoral fractures. When not used as a definitive treatment, external fixation is commonly converted to intramedullary nailing. However, temporary external fixation increases the risk of infection in late definitive treatment, in 1.7%–10% of cases [1–3]. The infection risk, determined by a high grade of bacterial colonization of the pin tracts [4–7], is directly related to the duration of the external fixation. This observation leads to the hypothesis that the implant of a hip prosthesis after external fixation may pose a high risk of infection. We report two cases of acute infection of the uncemented femoral component of a hip prosthesis implanted after previous synthesis of a femoral fracture by external fixation.

Case reports

Case 1

A 35-year-old woman had a left acetabular and femoral fracture, pneumothorax and spleen rupture, with an injury severity score of 17, as the result of a car accident in November 1999. Urgent laparotomy and femoral stabilization with an external fixator (Hoffman II, Stryker Howmedica) were performed elsewhere (Fig. 1a). Two weeks later, the external fixator was converted into an intramedullary nail and acetabular synthesis was attempt-
ed. Twelve months after the trauma, the nail was removed and a monolateral external fixator (Orthofix) was applied due to the presence of pseudoarthrosis. The fracture healed in 5 months and the fixator was removed.

The patient came to our attention one year later due to severe left osteoarthritis (Fig. 1b). There was no sign of local inflammation at the pin tract sites. Laboratory tests performed at admission showed normal levels of inflammatory markers and normal neutrophil counts. Tc99 focal scintigraphy was performed to exclude osteitis, and gave no remarkable results except for accumulation of labeled white blood cells. An uncemented hip prosthesis was implanted using a posterolateral approach to avoid the pin tract scars (Fig. 1c). Routine antibiotic prophylaxis was administered. The post-operative course was unremarkable and the patient was discharged seven days after the procedure.

Two weeks later, the patient returned to our hospital complaining of fever and swelling of the surgical wound. Laboratory tests showed an erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) of 15 mm/h. Fibrinogen was 401 mg/dl (normal range, 150–450) and C-reactive protein was 3.2 mg/l (normal values, < 5). Neutrophil count was of 3.49 \times 10^9/l (normal range, 1.5–6.0 \times 10^9/l) and the percentage of neutrophils (53.6%) was elevated. Tc99 scintigraphy showed a focal captation near the greater trochanter around one of the pin tracts. Surgical debridement of the wound with en bloc excision of the pin tract and the surrounding bone was performed (Fig. 1d). Histological examination of the bone specimens revealed the presence of a small ring enclosed in fibrous tissue. Cultures grew *methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus*. Systemic antibiotic therapy was administered, with vancomycin (1 g twice daily) and rifampin (600 mg once daily), for three months. At the one-year follow-up, the patient was asymptomatic with normal laboratory test results.

Case 2

A 42-year-old man had a bilateral femoral fractures, liver rupture, right tibial pilon fracture, head injury and left hemothorax as the result of a motorcycle accident. The treatment adopted was external fixation for all the fractures, according to DCO criteria. The left femoral fracture was bifocal with midcervical involvement and was initially treated by implanting a monolateral external fixator (Orthofix) with proximal screws along the femoral neck. The severity of the patient’s general health did not allow for conversion of this treatment.

All but one of the fractures healed within five months, with a non-union in the midcervical fracture. The patient underwent hip replacement four months after removal of the external fixator through a posterolateral approach. The postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged after 6 days.

Twenty days later the patient returned to our observation complaining of swelling and the appearance of a fistula along the surgical wound. Cultures grew *methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus*. A two-step revision procedure was performed and antibiotic therapy was administered for four months between implant removal and revision (600 mg teicoplanin twice daily and 1 g ceftriaxone twice daily). Clinical evaluation and laboratory tests performed at 18 months, showed no evidence of persisting infection and, at the time of writing, the patient is considered healed.

Other cases in our experience

Total hip prosthesis was used in two other cases of osteoarthritis on femurs that had previously been treated with external fixators. The laboratory tests were within the normal ranges in both cases. The first step carried out,
two months before prosthesis implantation, was surgical
 cleansing of the bone pin-tracts and excision of the scars
 in both cases by drilling, using a larger bit than had pre-
 viously been used. The bacteriological examination was
 positive for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in one case and
 *Staphylococcus aureus* in the other. Therefore, a period
 of systemic antibiotic therapy was administered before hip
 replacement. The postoperative course was normal in nor-
 mal cases.

**Discussion**

External fixation significantly increases the risk of infec-
 tion of any subsequent surgical procedure. Pin-tract infec-
 tion occurs in 2%–30% of cases [4–7]. Indeed, the over-
 all percentage of bacterial colonization of the screw tips
 seems to be even higher. Mahan et al. [8] reported that
 75% of examined screw tips cultured positive at removal,
 with a higher rate of gram-positive, than gram-negative
 bacteria. In a recent study performed in our institute [6],
 56 screws were removed and 43% of the screw tips gave
 a positive result. *Staphylococcus aureus* was found in
 48.7% of the cases. These observations raise the question
 as to the possible infection of internal devices implanted
 after external fixation.

In the presented cases, the preoperative clinical exam,
 laboratory tests and bone scan with marked granulocytosis
 showed no signs of local infection. Although clinicians
 consider ESR a sensitive laboratory indicator of pyogenic
 infection, it may be negative in up to 10% of patients with
 bone infection and is, therefore, not specific for infection
 [10]. CRP may not be elevated in up to 10% of patients
 with bone infection, even if it may be more specific than
 ESR [10]. Therefore, it can be assumed that, although these
 markers serve both as good screening aids and surveillance
 tests in the diagnosis and treatment of bone infections, a
 negative result does not necessarily exclude the presence of
 infection. Indeed, some authors in recent reviews conclu-
 ded that the white blood cell count is not particularly useful
 alone for the diagnosis of a bone infection, but should
 rather be part of an infection-fever workup, as it may pro-
 vide some general guidance as to treatment response [9,
 10]. Although the most helpful examination is leukocyte
 scintigraphy, it has an 80% sensitivity and an 80% for the
detection of chronic osteomyelitis in the peripheral skele-
ton [10].

Therefore, we conclude that a subclinical infection
cannot be excluded with the adoption of these techniques.
We suggest that every patient destined to receive a pros-
thesis after external fixation undergo a surgical excision
of the pin tracts before implantation. To the best of our
knowledge, there are no reports of hip prostheses implanted
after external fixation of the femur. From our experi-
ence with these cases, it seems preferable to treat such
patients with a staged procedure, the first step being exci-
sion of the soft tissues around the pin tracts and curettage
and drilling of the bony holes.
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