Connotation of Otological Symptom in Tempro Mandibular Joint Disorder and Vise-Versa (Clinical Comparative Original Study)
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Abstract

Many articles have highlighted the association between otological symptoms and TMJD. Anatomic, neurologic and emotional relationships considered the causative factors of symptom association. According to multiple published literatures otalgia can be common sign for TMJD subjects with tenderness and pain on mandibular condyle. Aims of study: The temporomandibular joint disorder may be accompanied by a series of otological symptoms such as otalgia, investigators aims here in this clinical study multiple points such as analyzing the prevalence of different symptoms of TMJD, else more to estimate the importance of cooperation between two different specialties these are maxillofacial and ENT specialties. To verify the frequency of otologic signs and symptoms with TMJ disorder and vice versa; specifying to which limit the therapeutic intervention on the articular pathology may influence the manifestations. Furthermore; authors targeting to detect the influence of proper diagnosis and selection of proper treatment plane. Emphasize the different correlation between the two specialties. Material and Method: One hundred participants are sharing in this research divided equally into dual specialties origin, fifty patients attain private clinic of the maxillofacial surgeon and fifty participants attain private ear nose throat clinic. All of these patients are share to have temporomandibular joint disorders (TMJDS) and otological symptom (OS) but they attain different specialty. Result: One hundred patients shared in TMJDS and OS analyzed according to different age groups as well as gender difference. Descriptive analyses for cases in both specialties are cleared the highest incidences are highlighted. Thirty-one to forty forming the highest age group in both specialties (38%, 30%) consequently for maxillofacial and ENT patients. Most of the mutants are females (74%) equally for both branches. Comparison between patients attain maxillofacial clinic and patients attain ENT clinic are analyzed according to age and gender in respect to symptoms, investigations, site involved, question answer. Discussion: The management of patients with TMD is a challenge, and an approach that includes dentists and oto-rhino-laryngologists is necessary to rule out, for example, otological diseases. It is imperative to understand the need for interdisciplinary management between the doctor and the dental specialist in craniofacial pain. Also try for a conservative vision in the treatment of muscular tensions of these masticatory and auditory muscles. Specialists in a single discipline cannot always individually resolve the symptoms present in a patient, without the invaluable support of multidisciplinary management. Each specialty contributes in its specific knowledge to the differential diagnosis process that guides a correct treatment plan.
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INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular joint dysfunctions being considered the third stomatological disease in regard to inhabitant illness owing to its chronicity and widespread prevalence, E. Ferendiuk stated that "the disorders occur in more than 10% of population" [1].

Epidemiological data confirm that painful TMJ disease is increasing (to about 40%) while the age of patients are dropped; furthermore female are affected more than male [2]. In this circumstances; such problem can disturb individuals' capability causing restriction to achieve every day functions at home and work; this can make discussing and solving patients' compline are priorities [1].

There is no doubt that the functional relevance between stomatognathic system and the acoustic-vestibular apparatus are widely discussed in many...
points such as anatomical, physiological and symptomatic relation. Temporomandibular disorder (TMJD) is an idiom reflects different clinical problems involve mastication muscles and temporomandibular joint with its associated structures.

Etiology of TMJD is varied can be ranged from mild stress and emotional problems, muscle hyper or hypoactivity to more advanced causes as occlusion disturbances, degenerative disorder, traumatic causes and harmful habits that generate persistent [3].

Pain, clicking or crackling sounds in the TMJ, in addition limitation of mouth opening are utmost clinical symptoms of TMJD. Pain can spread to different regions of the head, including the pre-auricular and auricular regions [4].

Many articles have highlighted the association between otological symptoms and TMJD [5–7]. Anatomic, neurologic and emotional relationships considered the causative factors of symptom association.

According to multiple published literatures otalgia can be common sign for TMJD subjects with tenderness and pain on mandibular condyle palpation [8, 9]. In addition, tinnitus, vertigo and ear fullness show high prevalence association moreover [10, 11].

**AIMS OF STUDY**

The TMJD may be accompanied by a series of otological symptoms such as otalgia, investigators aim from this clinical study multiple points such as analyzing the prevalence of different symptoms of TMJD, else more to estimate the importance of cooperation between two different specialties these are maxillofacial and ENT specialties. To verify the occurrence of otologic signs and symptoms with TMJ disorder and vice versa; specifying to which limit the therapeutic intervention on the articular pathology may influence the manifestations. Furthermore; authors targeting to detect the influence of proper diagnosis and selection of proper treatment plane. Emphasize the different correlation between the two specialties.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

One hundred participants are sharing in this research divided equally in both specialties origin, fifty patients attain private clinic of the maxillofacial surgeon and fifty participants attain private ear nose throat clinic. All of these patients are share to have temporomandibular joint disorders (TMJDS) and otological symptom (OS) but they attain different specialty.

**Inclusion Criteria**

- Patient with age from 14-70 y
- No sex predilection.

- Patients have Tempor Mandibular Joint Disorder
- Patients agree to share in research with predictable follow-up.

**Exclusion Criteria**

- Patients don’t have Tempor Mandibular Joint Disorder
- Systemically unfit patients
- Drugs allergies that affect postoperative healing.
- Patients rejected to share.
- Poor follow-up cases.

This study is approved ethically by the Authorized Ethical Scientific Committee in Nineveh Health Directorate for work and publishing with the license number 20 / 100. Study conducted in the period from (1/1/2019 – 30/12/2019). Informed consent, patient agreement for participations and for publishing is signed.

All clinical records routinely used for patients treated at these 2 private clinics for a six-month's period were initially selected, for a total 197 patients record. Ninety seven patients are excluded either no complete data or no fit the eligibility criteria. Final sample was 100 records.

Demographical patient information are recorded include identity details, history both medical and dental, physical and clinical examinations, which involve temporomandibular joint area and the ear region too. Muscles of mastication and muscles of the head included in examination, functional tests of the temporomandibular joint and occlusion details also recorded.

Clinical sign and symptom are recorded as appropriate to reach definite diagnosis in full details in accordance to branches. For maxillofacial surgeon; these are pain type, intensity, site extension, causes, elevating factors, reducing factors, period and number of pain attacks with previous treatment underwent. Tenderness as well as clicking in the site is documented. On the contrary; pain, hearing loss and tinnitus are chronicled by the ENT surgeon. Line of treatment provided and Follow up for both branches are estimated more over.

The specific shared question in both branches is "What is the first symptom making you seek treatment: ear or joint".

The data analyzed were gender (male and female), age (up to 20 years old, between 21 and 30, between 31 and 40, between 41 and 50, and 51 or older). Related symptoms such as dizziness, ear
fullness, and imbalance recorded but not included in the parameters.

Microsoft Excel used to record data which analyzed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software program IBM version 20. Primarily informative analyses were done

The correlation between age and the symptoms in different branches are estimated. Comparisons between age and symptoms in different branch were performed also. Same analysis carried out for gender as well. Analysis of the question's answer also valued. Moreover the correlation between otological symptoms and temporomandibular disorder are analyzed. Temporomandibular site involved analysis (Right, Left or Both). Estimation of difference in line of treatment and percentage of referral between the two specialties is analyzed. The significance level was 5%.

RESULT
Descriptive Analyses
One hundred patients shared in TMJDS and OS analyzed according to different age groups as well as gender difference. Descriptive analyses for cases in both specialties are cleared in Table-1. The highest incidences are highlighted. Thirty-one to forty forming the highest age group in both specialties (38%, 30%) consequently for maxillofacial and ENT patients. Most of the mutants are females (74%) equally for both branches.

Comparison between patients attain maxillofacial clinic and patients attain ENT clinic are analyzed according to age and gender in respect to symptoms, investigations, site involved, question answer and follow up as will be explained consequently.

Symptoms Comparison
Table 2 and 3 show the symptoms comparison in regards to age groups in both specialties were pain tenderness as well as clicking show significant difference between maxillofacial and ENT patients (0.034, 0.48, 0.049 consequently). Age group (31-40 years) shows the highest percent 38% in maxillofacial cases. On the contrary pain, hearing loss and tinnitus don't show significant difference in addition the age groups (21-30 and 31-40 years) show equal high percentage (26%).

Gender express no significant difference in both branches whether TMJD symptoms or otological one (Figures 1 and 2).

Investigations Comparison
Thirty patients are performing radiographical assessment added to clinical examinations for maxillofacial patients while zero patients investigated by radiograph in ENT patients, no significant difference illustrated (0.218) (Table-4).

Site Involvement Comparison
Both TMJ involvement display a significant difference between maxillofacial and ENT patients (0.012). Thirty one patients screening bilateral joint symptoms from these 38% in age group (21-30 and 31-40 years). Age groups (21-30 and 31-40) accountings about more than half of ENT patients collectively (28%) were left TMJ involved (Table-5).

Question Answer
All participants are asked one question about which symptom makes him seek treatment whether joint pain or ear pain? Maxillofacial patients exhibit a significant result 0.004, thirty nine patients answer clearly that pain in TMJ area make them pursues maxillofacial clinic, eleven patients are referred from ENTs to consultate maxillofacial surgeon. All patients attain ENT' clinic responds that ear pain is the priorities (Table-6).

Follow up Comparison
Two weeks follow up don’t illustrate significance differences quit the opposite four weeks follow up display significance result (0.019). Thirty seven maxillofacial patients were responding to treatment in the first two weeks in contrast to eight ENT patients (Table-7).

Gender Relations
Equivalent comparisons are also done in regards to gender with symptoms, investigation, site involved, question answer and follow up periods. No significant differences are exhibited in all in spite of that gender manifest significant relation in conformity to TMJ pain (0.001, 0.001), tenderness (0.001, 0.004), otological pain (0.001, 0.001) for both specialties and tinnitus (0.005) added to ENT's patients.

Concerning site involvement in maxillofacial patients; both site (right and left) also right side only esteems significant relation with gender (0.007, 0.020) on opposing left side and both sides express significance relation in ENT's patients (0.033, 0.035). All these are shown in table eight and Figure-3.
Table 1: Descriptive Analysis of Cases in Both Specialties

| Description     | Maxillofacial Clinic (MFC) | ENT Clinic (ENTC) |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Age Group       | N. | %   | N. | %   |
| Less than 20 y  | 4  | 8%  | 10 | 20% |
| 21-30 y         | 16 | 32% | 14 | 28% |
| 31-40 y         | 19 | 38% | 15 | 30% |
| 41-50 y         | 2  | 4%  | 8  | 16% |
| Over 51 y       | 9  | 18% | 3  | 6%  |
| Gender          |    |     |    |     |
| Male            | 13 | 26% | 13 | 26% |
| Female          | 37 | 74% | 37 | 74% |
| Symptom         |    |     |    |     |
| TMJ Pain        | 50 | 100%| 36 | 72% |
| Tenderness      | 50 | 100%| 43 | 86% |
| Clicking        | 50 | 100%| 12 | 24% |
| Ear Pain        | 16 | 32% | 44 | 88% |
| Hearing Loss    | 0  | 0%  | 15 | 30% |
| Tinnitus        | 7  | 14% | 32 | 64% |
| Investigation   |    |     |    |     |
| Yes             | 30 | 60% | 0  | 0%  |
| No              | 20 | 40% | 0  | 0%  |
| Site Involved   |    |     |    |     |
| Right side      | 9  | 18% | 17 | 34% |
| Left Side       | 10 | 20% | 22 | 44% |
| Both Sides      | 31 | 62% | 11 | 22% |
| Question Answers|    |     |    |     |
| TMJ             | 38 | 76% | 0  | 0%  |
| Ear             | 11 | 22% | 50 | 100%|

Table 2: TMJD Symptom Compares in Both Specialties in Relation to Age

| Age Group     | Pain     | Tenderness | Clicking |
|---------------|----------|------------|----------|
|               | MFC | ENTC | MFC | ENTC | MFC | ENTC |
| Less than -20 | 4   | 8%   | 8   | 16%  | 4   | 8%   | 8   | 16%  | 4   | 8%   | 0   | 0%   |
| 21-30         | 16  | 32%  | 12  | 24%  | 16  | 32%  | 10  | 20%  | 16  | 32%  | 2   | 4%   |
| 31-40         | 19  | 38%  | 10  | 20%  | 19  | 38%  | 14  | 28%  | 19  | 38%  | 3   | 6%   |
| 41-50         | 2   | 4%   | 5   | 10%  | 2   | 4%   | 8   | 16%  | 2   | 4%   | 4   | 8%   |
| Over -51      | 9   | 18%  | 1   | 2%   | 9   | 18%  | 3   | 6%   | 9   | 18%  | 3   | 6%   |
| Sig.          | 0.034*|       |     | 0.048*|   |       | 0.049*|     |

Table 3: Otological Symptom Compares in Both Specialties in relation to Age

| Age Group     | Pain     | Hearing loss | Tinnitus |
|---------------|----------|--------------|----------|
|               | MFC | ENTC | MFC | ENTC | MFC | ENTC |
| Less than -20 | 2   | 4%   | 9   | 18%  | 0   | 0%   | 6   | 12%  | 0   | 0%   | 4   | 8%   |
| 21-30         | 4   | 8%   | 13  | 26%  | 0   | 0%   | 2   | 4%   | 1   | 2%   | 10  | 20%  |
| 31-40         | 6   | 12%  | 13  | 26%  | 0   | 0%   | 1   | 2%   | 4   | 8%   | 10  | 20%  |
| 41-50         | 1   | 2%   | 6   | 12%  | 0   | 0%   | 2   | 4%   | 0   | 0%   | 6   | 12%  |
| Over -51      | 2   | 4%   | 3   | 6%   | 0   | 0%   | 3   | 6%   | 2   | 4%   | 2   | 4%   |
| Sig.          | 0.784 |       | 0.150|       | 0.503|      |

Table 4: Investigation Compares in Both Specialties in Relation to Age and Gender

| Age Group     | Description     | Investigation |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
|               | MFC | ENTC | MFC | ENTC |
| Less than -20 | 3   | 6%   | 0   | 0%   |
| 21-30         | 9   | 18%  | 0   | 0%   |
| 31-40         | 11  | 22%  | 0   | 0%   |
| 41-50         | 1   | 2%   | 0   | 0%   |
| 51 - Above    | 6   | 12%  | 0   | 0%   |
| Sig.          | 0.218|       |
| Gender        | Male           | 4   | 8%   | 0   | 0%   |
|               | Female         | 26  | 52%  | 0   | 0%   |
| Sig.          | 0.624|       |
Table 5: Site Involvement Compares in Both Specialties According to Age Groups

| Age Groups | Rt TMJ | Lt TMJ | B TMJ | MFC   | ENTC   |
|------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
|            | N. %   | N. %   | N. %  | N. %  | N. %   | N. %  |
| Less than -20 | 0 6%  | 1 2%   | 1 6%  | 4 8%  | 3 6%   | 5 10%|
| 21-30      | 4 18%  | 7 14%  | 3 18% | 6 12% | 9 18%  | 1 2% |
| 31-40      | 4 8%   | 5 10%  | 5 10% | 8 16% | 10 20% | 2 4% |
| 41-50      | 0 0%   | 2 4%   | 0 0%  | 3 6%  | 2 4%   | 3 6% |
| Over -51   | 1 2%   | 2 4%   | 1 2%  | 1 2%  | 7 14%  | 0 0% |
| Sig.       | 0.989  | 0.318  | 0.012*|

Table 6: Question Answer for Both Specialties in relation to Age and Gender

| Age Group | Description | Maxillofacial | ENT | Maxillofacial | ENT |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----|---------------|-----|
|           | Joint       | ear           | N. % | N. % | Joint       | ear           | N. % | N. % |
| Less than -20 | 3 6% | 1 2% | 0 0% | 10 20%|
| 21-30      | 12 24% | 4 8% | 0 0% | 14 28%|
| 31-40      | 16 32% | 3 6% | 0 0% | 15 30%|
| 41-50      | 1 2%   | 1 2% | 0 0% | 8 16% |
| 51 - Above | 7 14%  | 2 4% | 0 0% | 3 6% |
| Sig.       | 0.004*  | 0.543 | 1.000 | 0.052 |
| Gender     | Male      | 8 16% | 4 8% | 0 0% | 13 26%|
|           | Female    | 30 60% | 7 14% | 0 0% | 37 74%|
| Sig.       | 0.000*  | 0.366 | 1.000 | 0.001*|

Table 7: Follow up Comparison for Both Specialties in Relation to Age

| Age Groups | 2weeks | 4 weeks |
|------------|--------|---------|
|            | MFC    | ENTC    | MFC    | ENTC    |
|            | N. %   | N. %   | N. %   | N. %   |
| Less than -20 | 2 4% | 3 6% | 1 2% | 6 12%|
| 21-30      | 14 28% | 2 4% | 11 22% | 10 20%|
| 31-40      | 15 30% | 3 6% | 12 24% | 11 22%|
| 41-50      | 1 2%   | 0 0%   | 0 0%   | 7 14%  |
| Over -51   | 5 10%  | 0 0%   | 4 8%   | 1 2%   |

Table 8: ENTC Symptom in Relation to Gender

| Gender | TMJ Symptom | Otological Symptom |
|--------|-------------|--------------------|
|        | Pain | Tenderness | Clicking | Pain | Hearing loss | Tinnitus |
|        | N. % | N. % | N. % | N. % | N. % | N. % |
| M      | 8 16% | 12 24% | 5 10% | 11 22% | 4 8% | 8 16% |
| F      | 28 56% | 31 62% | 7 14% | 33 66% | 11 22% | 24 48% |
| Sig.   | 0.001* | 0.004* | 0.564 | 0.001* | 0.071 | 0.005* |

Fig 1: TMJD Symptom Compares in Both Specialties
DISCUSSION

The academic and clinical knowledge of anatomy gathered for years represent an indisputable proof of the connection that exists between the both entities, but there is a continuing argument nowadays about the TMJ pathology extension that can generate otological symptoms.

Fig-4: Proposed management pathway for suspected temporomandibular disorder by Stephan [32]
Temporomandibular joint disorder is common disorder display multiple symptoms and varied terminology are screened in the literature such as “painful myofascial syndrome” or “occlusal-articular algodyssfunctional syndrome”. In some instances, it can affect patients’ capability even causing psychological disturbances as it can manifest symptom with teeth, muscle or joint which can extend affecting and influence the diminution of the manifestations of the acoustic-vestibular system [12].

About 60-70% of the general population has at least one sign of temporomandibular joint dysfunction (TMD), but only one out of four individuals is aware of these symptoms and reports them to a specialist [13]. Many etiological factors are coincident to cause TMJD; simply these are highlighted with details in a review article published by Chisnoiu 2015. Occlusion conflicts, psychology disorders as well as hormones disturbances can affect the disease occurrences. In some cases hereditary factors also have a role [14].

Pain is the most common symptom alarming the patients and encourages them to looking for treatment. Severity with extensions of pain are diverse, may radiate to the preauricular, nasal, orbital, occipital, mastoid, suprachlavicular level. Gabriela Musat 2017 an ENT surgeon focuses on importance of TMJ pain differential diagnosis [12]. This is in the line with the result of this study as pain forming the highest symptom for both specialties.

Musat also conclude that TMJD predominantly unilateral beside the connotation of otic phenomena; on the contrary of the result of this study which show significance result in bilateral site involvement (0.012*) for maxillofacial patients. This can be related to different hypotheses as proposed by Ren and Isberg show a “significant correlation between the unilateral presence of tinnitus and the movement of the condyle from the TMJ” [13]; mechanical transmission forces basically are possibility for such tinnitus from the TMJ transmission to the middle ear, via the disco-malleolar ligament [15]. Likewise, auriculotemporal nerve irritation by condylar part of the joint can be another hypothesis explains unilateral pain of otic area [16].

Most common otic symptom associated with the TMJD is otalgia. Kasnisci et al., have demonstrated an incidence of otalgia of 66.7%, Lam et al., of 32%, while Tuz et al., of 57.2% [17-19]. Fifty nine patients are complaining from otalgia collectively in both specialties forming more the half of all patients 59% are the result of this study agreed with the previous mentioned articles.

Hearing loss occur in 14 patients; six out of them are below 20 years of age in this study; Decker described cases series of hearing impairment manifest in patients with deep occlusion or posterior localization of the mandibular condyle in the glenoid fossa [16]. Furthermore, Goodfriend 1933, noted the link between the incidence of tinnitus and the stomatognathic system dysfunction, argument also approached by Costen in 1934. Other author considers there is an increase in tinnitus intensity with up to 75% during voluntary movements of the temporo mandibular joint [12].

Le Resche L. climax the age prevalence with TMJD: he describe it as" it follow an inverted U curve" [20]. Age incidences are controversial. Age from 45- 64 years olds express the peak prevalence [21, 22] while prevalence peaked in women of child bearing ages (20–40 year-olds) in older studies [23]. Despite the relatively high prevalence of TMD in the elderly population, there are no current review articles that focus on this specific age group [24].

Conventionally, TMD thought to trouble women. This also approved in recent prospective study discuss orofacial pain conclude same evidence that only the chronic form of TMD disease predominantly afflicted women. Moreover various cross sectional studies confirm that increased prevalence of TMD found in women [25, 26]. This is equivalent to this study, as females can affect more with psychological factors and hormonal factors.

Bagis 2012; publish a retrospective study on 243 consecutive patients; giving similar evidences that females are affected more than males (171 / 72) [27].

Dentist or maxillofacial surgeons when dealing with TMJD patients usually try to look for the etiology of such conditions before passing to management as clinical examinations only can be vague and indistinct; radiographs are highly important as an investigation protocol before. ENTs usually can discover source of patients complain by use of otoscope device to examine the ear for such reason radiographs might have no role. Nicholas L. 2020 publish a ENT book on otoscope examination emphasize that most of clinician perform this type of examination for assessment of different ENT disease as the external auditory canal (EAC), tympanic membrane (TM), and the middle ear [28].

Daniel et al., 2018 declare that not all patients need radiographical assessment, many cases can be treated by clinical examinations solely; which is the most important step in the diagnosis of TMJ pathology, "special imaging techniques are needed due to the complex anatomy and pathology" [29]. Epstein et al., [30] consider the "clinical findings of greater relevance than panoramic images for patients with TMD”. Nevertheless, some authors have suggested panoramic radiography as a good imaging modality for TMJ visualization [31]. Joint function interpretation can be estimated through imaging TMJ which can be accomplished by comparing the condyle in the closed and opened mouth position so individual selection
criteria will make clinician properly decide which patients would need special imaging techniques. In this study; ENT ‘s surgeon depends on clinical examinations only to manage the patients. Thirty maxillofacial patients are treated depends on clinical and radiographical assessment.

There is no conflict by choosing patients for the private clinic to treat their problems this settled through analyzing patients’ answers about the first symptom making them seek treatment: ear or joint. Otalgia pursue patients going to ENT clinics whereas 39 patients attain maxillofacial clinic complain from TMJ pain, rest of participants (11) attain the same clinic although of presence of ear pain not TMJ pain. This can be attributed to connotation of the symptoms and their severities disturb the patients’ decisions.

One month medical treatment is enough periods to cure simple to moderate TMJDs; in severe cases other advanced management protocols can be used. Stephan et al., 2017 [32]; publish in his systematic review article a special proposed management pathway for suspected temporomandibular disorder with otological symptoms (Figure 4) as well as V. Santosh 2020; focus on special management considerations cited for both otolaryngologists and dentists concluding that “since the symptoms of TMD are overlaid with otological symptoms, such patients may commonly visit otolaryngologists. A comprehensive evaluation as suggested in this article from the literature would be a good tool for the otolaryngologists as well as dentists to follow for better management of TMD patients” [33].

Recognizing and diagnosing the TMJ disorder patients with otalgia need intimate teamwork support between dental specialist in craniofacial pain and ENT’s. Otorhinolaryngologist should "confirm the absence of any significant or detectable auditory, genetic, drug-related, or trauma-related causes of otalgia” [34]. Then, referral to experienced dentist in treating TMJD for suspected TMJ disorder cases.

This study requires further studies to detect the influence of proper diagnosis and selection of proper treatment in cooperation with TMJ centers.

The management of patients with TMD is a challenge, and should approach through multidisciplinary team work to rule out, for example, otological diseases. Clinical evaluation is essential and should explore signs and symptoms, assessment of ergonomic posture, and visual and physical examination of the extraoral and intraoral regions (including palpation of the head and neck muscles) and the TMJ [35].

It is imperative to understand the need for interdisciplinary management between the doctor and the dental specialist in craniofacial pain. Also try for a conservative vision in the treatment of muscular tensions of these masticatory and auditory muscles. Specialists in a single discipline cannot always individually resolve the symptoms present in a patient, without the invaluable support of multidisciplinary management. Each specialty contributes in its specific knowledge to the differential diagnosis process that guides a correct treatment plan. Clinical success therefore depends on the ability of each specialist to analyze different aspects of the same problem [36].

CONCLUSION

TMJD is a common performance can be seen in general practice settings. Primary care setting for TMJD includes assessment clinically and radiographically if need ending with diagnosis of TMJD. Conservative approaches in most instances are the best management way. In the majority of instances a trial of conservative therapy should be offered prior to referral to specialist care.

Patients' quality life can be affected through TMJD symptoms specifically pain, psychological discomfort. Identifying etiological factors as fast as possible should approach through multidisciplinary team work are highly crucial to provide appropriate treatments plan to improve and eliminate the debilitating symptoms of TMD.
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