Arabidopsis CCoAOMT1 Plays a Role in Drought Stress Response via ROS- and ABA-Dependent Manners
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Abstract: Plants possess adaptive reprogrammed modules to prolonged environmental stresses, including adjustment of metabolism and gene expression for physiological and morphological adaptation. CCoAOMT1 encodes a caffeoyl CoA-O-methyltransferase and is known to play an important role in adaptation of Arabidopsis plants to prolonged saline stress. In this study, we showed that the CCoAOMT1 gene plays a role in drought stress response. Transcript of CCoAOMT1 was induced by salt, dehydration (drought), and methyl viologen (MV), and loss of function mutants of CCoAOMT1, ccoaomt1-1, and ccoaomt1-2 exhibit hypersensitive phenotypes to drought and MV stresses. The ccoaomt1 mutants accumulated higher level of H2O in the leaves and expressed lower levels of drought-responsive genes including RD29B, RD20, RD29A, and ERD1, as well as ABA3 and NCED3 encoding ABA biosynthesis enzymes during drought stress compared to wild-type plants. A seed germination assay of ccoaomt1 mutants in the presence of ABA also revealed that CCoAOMT1 functions in ABA response. Our data suggests that CCoAOMT1 plays a positive role in response to drought stress response by regulating H2O accumulation and ABA signaling.
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1. Introduction

Sessile plants are continuously exposed to external environmental stresses that threaten their survival. Plants adapt or survive in extreme environmental conditions [1] by evolving mechanisms that arrest growth and development under stresses and resume coming back in favorable conditions [2]. These mechanisms are involved in the modulating of the various processes in the morphological, anatomical, cellular, and molecular levels while responding to stimuli and integrating internal and external signals [3].

Since plant growth requires concerted water uptake and irreversible cell wall expansion to enlarge cells at the cellular level [4], water deficiency as a type of environmental stress, including drought, dehydration, osmotic, and salinity, can result in the reduction of plant growth. The plant cell wall is considered a protective barrier with a complex structure composed of cellulose microfibrils, non-cellulose polysaccharides, and lignin, all of which determine cell size and shape through the mechanical control of cell expansion [4]. An increase in the elasticity of the cell wall can contribute to the maintenance of cell turgor in response to environmental stresses [5]. This causes the structure of the plant...
cell wall and its contents to change following biotic and abiotic stresses [6]. For example, the various enzymatic reactions caused by drought stress involved in modified plant cell walls include stiffening, increasing in lignin content, and decreasing cell expansion to prevent water loss [7]. It is evident that lignin triggers cell wall rigidification and growth arrest in the later stage of drought stress, which could lead to a loss of productivity [5,8].

Drought stress is one of the major abiotic stresses during plant cultivation [9] and consequently enhances reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, which activates a signal to trigger acclamatory/defense response by a specific signal transduction pathway, such as H$_2$O$_2$ [10]. H$_2$O$_2$ plays a role as a secondary messenger in an ROS signal transduction pathway, since H$_2$O$_2$ (1) is a very stable ROS with the longest half-life, (2) easily diffuses inside of the cell, and (3) can be readily metabolized by an efficient cellular antioxidant system [11]. ROS have a dual effect under abiotic stress conditions that depend on their overall cellular amount [10,12]. If ROS are kept at relatively low levels, they are likely to function as components of a stress-signaling pathway, triggering stress defenses/acclimation responses. However, reaching a high level up to uncontrolled ROS cascades, which damages the cellular membrane and other cellular components, results in oxidative stress and eventually cell death [12].

In terms of plant stress hormone, the de novo biosynthesis of abscisic acid (ABA) is induced during abiotic stresses, and increased ABA level plays an important role in conferring tolerance against the water-deficit conditions such as drought and osmotic stresses [13,14]. Under drought stress, ABA upregulates the expression of many stress-responsive genes [15], minimizes the water loss through limited transpiration [16], and involves synthesizing of osmoprotectants and antioxidant enzymes [17]. Although ABA is a critical component for drought stress tolerance, the mechanism of drought stress responses follows two distinct pathways, either ABA-dependent or ABA-independent pathway [18]. As molecular responses, transcription factors determine the activation or repression of response pathways including either ABA-dependent or ABA-independent stress response [19]. These responsive genes are well-identified for molecular markers and have been used as a molecular tool to understand ABA responsiveness; for instance, the expression of RD29B and RD20 is ABA/drought-responsive in an ABA-dependent pathway and that of RD29A and ERD1 is drought-responsive in an ABA-independent pathway [18,19].

CCoAOMT1 (At4g34050) encodes an enzyme synthesizing feruloyl CoA from caffeoyl CoA towards guaiacyl (G) and sinapyl (S) lignin biosynthesis [20]. The T-DNA inserted Arabidopsis mutants of CCoAOMT1, ccoaomt1-1 (SALK_034582), and ccoaomt1-2 (SALK_151507) exhibited a reduced amount of G monomer of lignin, but higher level of S and H (p-hydroxyphenyl) monomer, supporting that CCoAOMT1 plays a key role in lignin biosynthesis [20,21]. In addition, these ccoaomt1 mutants revealed hypersensitive phenotype upon salt stress by showing an inhibition of primary root elongation [22], suggesting that CCoAOMT1 plays a role in salt stress adaptation by altering lignin biosynthesis with plant cell wall.

Recently, accumulating information showed that CCoAOMT1 plays an important role for enhancing lignification and being tolerant to salt stress; however, a role of CCoAOMT1 in response to other abiotic stresses including drought, ROS, and stress hormone ABA is still elusive. Here, we demonstrated that CCoAOMT1 plays a role in responding to drought stress through both ROS and ABA signaling. Compared to wild-type (WT) plants, ccoaomt1 plants exhibited drought stress-sensitive phenotype with higher water-loss, higher level of H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation, and lower expression of drought/ABA stress-response genes, such as RD29B, RD20, RD29A, ERD1, NCED3, and ABA3, during drought stress. In addition, we confirmed that CCoAOMT1 plays a role in an ROS and ABA signaling by showing that ccoaomt1 mutants were hypersensitive to MV stress and exhibited less delayed seed germination in the presence of ABA compared to WT.
2. Results

2.1. Arabidopsis CCoAOMT1 Is Involved in the Abiotic Stress Response

To examine the potential role of CCoAOMT1 not only in salt stress, but other abiotic stresses, we analyzed expression of the CCoAOMT1 gene under various abiotic stress conditions. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using 10-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings, which were treated with 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM LiCl, 100 mM mannitol, or dehydration, respectively, during the indicated time. The gene expression of CCoAOMT1 was significantly induced by various abiotic stresses (Figure 1A–D). Furthermore, the CCoAOMT1 gene was also responsive to 100 μM ABA and 10 μM MV treatment (Figure 1E,F). Methyl viologen (MV; N,N’-dimethyl-4,4’-bipyridinium dichloride) induces ROS production and is used for an experimental tool to understand ROS signaling and processing [23]. In detail, the CCoAOMT1 expression increased and peaked at a 6 h time point (for NaCl, LiCl, mannitol, ABA, and MV) and tended to decrease during a later testing period. These results indicated that the CCoAOMT1 gene is involved in ABA and ROS signaling and abiotic stress responses, such as salt and drought stresses.

![Figure 1](image-url)

Figure 1. Transcriptional responses of CCoAOMT1 toward various abiotic stresses. Relative transcript levels of CCoAOMT1 were analyzed via quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNAs were extracted from 10-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings grown on 1/2 MS medium treated with (A) 100 mM NaCl, (B) 10 mM LiCl, (C) 100 mM mannitol, (D) dehydration, (E) 100 μM abscisic acid (ABA), or (F) 10 μM methyl viologen (MV) at the indicated time points. Expression of TUBULIN2 was used for normalization. Bars represent mean ± SD of three biologic replicates with three technical replicates each. Asterisks represent significant differences from the control (0 h; ** p < 0.01, Student’s t-test).
2.2. Loss of CCoAOMT1 Function Exhibits a Sensitive Phenotype to Drought Stress by Accumulating a Higher Level of H$_2$O$_2$

Given that gene expression of Arabidopsis CCoAOMT1 is upregulated during dehydration stress, we tested if CCoAOMT1 affects drought stress response. To test this, we analyzed phenotypes of two-week-old WT (Col-0) plants and two ccoaomt1 mutant lines including ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 during dehydration by stopping watering for 11 days and rewatering (Figure 2A). In contrast to WT plants, the ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 plants did not recover after rewatering. Then the survival rates (%) showed that both ccoaomt1 mutants exhibited reduced values, 6.25 ± 4.17% for ccoaomt1-1 and 8.33 ± 6.80% for ccoaomt1-2, compared with 54.17 ± 10.76% of WT (Figure 2A). This result indicated that loss of CCoAOMT1 function shows a hypersensitive phenotype to drought stress, suggesting that CCoAOMT1 is required during drought stress response.

![Figure 2. Hypersensitive phenotypes of ccoaomt1 mutants to dehydration stress. (A) Morphological phenotype before and after dehydration stress treatment. Watering of soil-grown two-week-old wild-type (WT) and ccoaomt1 mutants (ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2) was stopped for 11 days and survival rate was calculated at 1 day after rewatering and photos were taken. (B) Water loss of WT and ccoaomt1 mutants during dehydration stress treatment. Water loss was calculated with percentage value (%) from the weight loss versus initial fresh weight from three-week-old plants. The quantitative values indicated means ± SD of three independent experiments. Asterisks represent significant differences from the WT (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; Student’s t-test).](image)

To further verify drought-sensitive phenotype of ccoaomt1 mutants, we examined the water loss during dehydration. To obtain water loss value (%), the aerial part of 3-week-old seedlings were detached and weighed at indicated time points, and the loss of fresh weight (%) was calculated (Figure 2B). As expected, both mutant plants exhibited significantly higher water loss value than WT. In addition, the slope of a water loss curve of mutant plants was higher than that of WT, indicating that ccoaomt1 plants exhibit higher water loss. This information suggested that CCoAOMT1 is involved in controlling water loss under certain environmental conditions. Drought-sensitive phenotype of ccoaomt1
Plants was consistent with our previous study showing that ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 are sensitive to salt stress with suppressed primary root growth under saline condition (125 mM NaCl) [22]. Salt stress possesses two different aspects, ionic stress and osmotic stress, both of which subsequently cause dehydration and water deficit in the cellular level [24]. Taken together, we suggested that CCoAOMT1 could be involved at least in dehydration stress-mediated water deficit response pathway.

To show that CCoAOMT1 is upregulated during MV stress (Figure 1F), we further tested MV stress response of ccoaomt1 mutants (Figure 3). First, 10-day-old seedlings were treated with 5 μM and 10 μM MV, which showed that ccoaomt1 mutant plants were hypersensitive to MV with lower level of chlorophyll than WT. This result suggests that CCoAOMT1 is involved in ROS-mediated plant responses to abiotic stresses.

**Figure 3.** Hypersensitive phenotype of ccoaomt1 mutants under MV stress conditions. (A) Morphological phenotype of WT and ccoaomt1 mutants (ccoamot1-1 and ccoamot1-2). Ten-day-old seedlings were grown on MS medium in the presence of indicated concentrations of MV for two days; 0 μM, 5 μM, and 10 μM MV. (B) Chlorophyll contents. The quantitative values indicated means ± SD with five seedlings from three independent experiments. Asterisks represent significant differences from the WT (*, p < 0.05; Student’s t-test).

We found that CCoAOMT1 is involved in plant responses to drought and MV stresses. To understand ROS regulation during drought stress, we measured H₂O₂ accumulation by drought stress (Figure 4). DAB staining, which forms an irreversible red-brown product with reacting H₂O₂, showed that ccoaomt1 mutants accumulated higher level of H₂O₂ than WT during drought stress. This result indicates that CCoAOMT1 is involved in regulation of H₂O₂ accumulation during drought stress, and further suggests that CCoAOMT1 functions in drought stress through ROS signaling in drought stress response.
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2.3. CCoAOMT1 Functions in Drought Response by Modulating Both ABA-Dependent and ABA-Independent Pathways

Based on our observation that CCoAOMT1 is responsive to ABA and drought stresses, we performed qRT-PCR to understand the role of CCoAOMT1 in ABA signaling during drought stress responses. We initially exploited the expression patterns of drought stress-responsive genes involved in both ABA-dependent and ABA-independent pathways in ccoaomt1 mutants. All tested responsive genes functioning not only in ABA-dependent pathway, such as RD29B, RD20, and ABA biosynthesis pathway (including ABA3 and NCED3), but also in ABA-independent pathway, such as RD29A and ERD1, were highly upregulated in WT plants under dehydration (Figure 5) [19]. Not surprisingly, both ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 plants showed downregulated expressions of six representative responsive genes upon dehydration compared to WT in a significant way (Figure 5). This result indicates that both ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 mutants were less tolerant than WT upon dehydration stress. The ABA-dependent pathway is involved in the de novo biosynthesis of ABA, which can increase ABA levels in abiotic stresses [14,25]. NCED3 encodes 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase, which plays a rate-limiting role, and ABA3 encodes molybdenum cofactor sulfurate, which catalyzes the last step for ABA biosynthesis. Both genes are highly induced by osmotic stress and contribute to increasing ABA level and tolerance to drought stress [26,27]. The reduced expression of NCED3 and ABA3 in ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 plants (Figure 5E,F) further revealed that ccoaomt1 mutants were not able to induce ABA biosynthesis upon dehydration compared with WT, resulting in a lower level of ABA than WT.
Figure 5. The mutation in CCoAOMT1 gene affected the expression of ABA biosynthesis genes during drought stress. The relative transcript levels of RD29B (A), RD20 (B), RD29A (C), ERD1 (D), ABA3 (E), and NCED3 (F) were analyzed in WT and ccoaomt1 mutants (ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2) using qRT-PCR. Total RNAs were extracted from 10-day-old seedlings treated with drought stress for 0, 30, 60, and 90 min, respectively. Expression of genes was normalized to that of TUBULIN2. Bars represent mean ± SD of three biological replicates with three technical replicates each. Asterisks represent significant differences from the WT (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; Student’s t-test).

2.4. CCoAOMT1 Gene Is Responsive to ABA Stress

ABA acts in plant growth and development such as seed germination and dormancy in addition to adaptation to environmental stresses [25]. Seed germination is the physiological process that begins with water uptake by the seed and ends in showing an opening of green cotyledons by following decreased ABA levels [28,29]. Given that CCoAOMT1 acts in the ABA signaling during drought stress response by regulating the expression of ABA responsive genes, including RD29B and RD20 (Figure 5), as well as the fact that CCoAOMT1 is highly expressed during seed germination (Figure S1), we further examined the seed germination in the presence of ABA to understand ABA sensitivity of ccoaomt1 mutants. Before testing an ABA-mediated seed germination assay, we confirmed that all tested seeds were well-germinated and the seed defectiveness in ccoaomt1 mutants were not observed compared to WT during seed germination in the absence of ABA (Figure 6, 0 μM ABA). Next, we analyzed seed germination on day 5 in the presence of ABA, which revealed that the number of opened cotyledons of ccoaomt1 mutants were more than those of WT (Figure 6). The expression levels of NCED3 and ABA3 genes were not different between WT and ccoaomt1 mutant plants (Figure 5E,F, 0 min). As ABA arrests seed germination [28], the WT seeds showed a delayed germination by increasing ABA
Consistent to lower expression of both ABA responsive genes and biosynthetic genes, both ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 mutant seeds exhibit a less-delayed seed germination phenotype on higher concentration than 0.75 μM ABA compared with WT (Figure 6B). This result indicates that CCoAOMT1 plays a role in plant response to ABA.

Figure 6. ABA-responsive phenotype of ccoaomt1 mutants during seed germination in the presence of ABA. (A) Morphological phenotype of WT and ccoaomt1 mutants (ccoamot1-1 and ccoamot1-2). Seeds were germinated on an MS medium without (0 μM ABA) or with 0.5 μM, 0.75 μM, and 1 μM ABA, respectively. (B) Quantitative value of green cotyledons (%) of WT and ccoamot1 mutants. Opened green cotyledons were counted from indicated concentrations of ABA (0, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 μM) at 5 days after treatment. The values indicated means ± SD of 36 seeds from three independent experiments. Asterisks represent significant differences from the WT (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; Student’s t-test).

3. Discussion

It is known that CCoAOMT1 is a critical enzyme in lignin biosynthesis and its expression is regulated in response to various environmental stresses [4]. Therefore, we hypothesized that CCoAOMT1 is involved in environmental stress responses, such as drought. We confirmed that the expression of CCoAOMT1 was strongly induced during salt, osmotic, and dehydration stresses (Figure 1). Connected to transcriptional regulation during dehydration treatment (Figure 1), we observed that ccoaomt1-1 and ccoaomt1-2 mutants exhibited drought-sensitive phenotype with higher water loss (Figure 2). Proteome analysis of Yoshimura et al. (2008) showed that CCoAOMT was increased during both early and late stages of drought stress in wild watermelon (Citrullus lanatus sp.) [30]. No-
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...tably, we previously observed that the transcription of CCoAOMT1 was significantly upregulated in salt-adapted cells [22]. Taken together, these results support that CCoAOMT1 functions positively during drought and salt stresses. Drought stress inevitably results in oxidative damage due to the overproduction of ROS. ROS are the result of the partial reduction of atmospheric O₂, such as superoxide radical (O₂⁻) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and possess oxidizing potential, with which unrestricted oxidation of the cellular components ultimately cause to cell death [31]. As inducing CCoAOMT1 transcription by treatment of MV, CCoAOMT1 null mutants exhibited less detoxification activity of MV-mediated ROS (Figure 3), as well as higher H₂O₂ accumulation in the leaves under drought stress conditions (Figure 4). These results suggest that CCoAOMT1 is involved in ROS detoxification and the ROS signaling induced by drought stress.

Considered as a stress phytohormone, ABA in plants is dramatically induced by abiotic stresses such as salt and drought, contributing to being tolerant against these stresses [25]. Moreover, as drought-induced ABA influences ROS production and increases antioxidants, its positive ABA–ROS feedback loop results in higher ROS and ABA levels to control gene expression and mediates cellular responses to drought stress. More specifically, increased ABA levels stimulate the antioxidant system to protect cells from oxidative damage by over-accumulated ROS [32,33] and induce the expressions of ABA/drought-responsive genes [19,34].

Based on the observation about drought-sensitive phenotype of ccoaomt1 mutant plants (Figure 2), we investigated that well-known dehydration responsive genes, including RD20, RD29A, RD29B, and ERD1 were downregulated in ccoaomt1 mutants (Figure 5A–D), and suggested that CCoAOMT1 is involved in response to drought stress. In addition, we figured out that the expression of ABA biosynthetic genes, NCED3 and ABA3, were also downregulated under the drought conditions in ccoaomt1 mutants (Figure 3E,F), suggesting that ccoaomt1 mutants may have lower amounts of ABA during drought stress than WT.

Recently, RD20 was identified as AtCLO3, belonging to a small multigenic family of proteins, i.e., calenins, that are thought to play roles in lipid degradation during seed germination [35]. RD20 plays a role in drought tolerance through control of stomatal opening under water deficit conditions rather than seed germination, as reported using a good ABA/drought-responsive marker [35,36]. As similar observations, such as induced gene expression of CCoAOMT1 by ABA treatment (Figure 1E) and increased during seed germination (Figure S1), the ccoaomt1 mutants showed reduced ABA sensitivity during germination compared to WT (Figure 6) [37]. Furthermore, rd20 null mutants exhibit faster germination and more expanded green cotyledons during post-germination growth than WT in the presence of ABA, suggesting that RD20 is involved in enhancing sensitivity to endogenous ABA [36]. Paraquat treated rd20 leaves exhibit higher degrees of cell death and 5–7-fold higher amounts of H₂O₂ compared to WT [36]. On the other hand, RD20-overexpressing plants exhibit counter phenotypes to respond on sensitivity to ABA and ROS compared to WT [35,36]. Overall, it is suggested that RD20 plays a protective role against drought stress by responding to ABA and regulating ROS accumulation, although the role of RD20 related to the relationship and/or interconnection pathways between ABA and ROS remains to be solved. In phenotypical views, ccoaomt1 mutants exhibited similar physiological phenotype to that of rd20 mutant, such as ABA sensitivity during seed germination and ROS accumulation during drought stress. These results indicate that CCoAOMT1 shows the same biological function as RD20 against drought stress and suggest that CCoAOMT1 functions as a positive regulator to respond to drought stress in ABA/ROS-mediated signaling pathways, although both proteins have different biochemical properties, molecular targets, and cellular functions.

We additionally observed the downregulated expression of dehydration responsive genes in ABA-independent pathway, such as RD29A and ERD1 during dehydration in ccoaomt1 mutants (Figure 5E,F) [19]. This indicates that the CCoAOMT1 gene also follows the ABA-independent pathway in response to drought stress.
It is well-known that plant cells change cell wall composition to adapt to abiotic stresses, such as salt and drought stress [38]. The study using bermudagrass Tifton-85 showed that the lignin content was increased under drought conditions [39], and greater lignin content in the xylem improves resistance to drought stress due to cell wall deposition [38,40]. Although the molecular mechanism for changes in cell wall dynamics by salt or drought stresses is unclear, the chemical reaction requires ROS to crosslink with phenolics [38]. The structure of xylem cell walls is modified in response to different environmental stimuli and influences xylem transport patterns, which controls water flow dynamics and contributes to systemic and fine-tuned regulation of plant growth under environmental stress conditions [41,42]. The dominant expression of 

\[ CCoAOMT1 \]

in xylem tissues based on the analysis using an Arabidopsis plant expressing the GUS report gene driven by 

\[ CCoAOMT1 \]

promoter and the reduced lignin content in 

\[ ccoaomt1 \]

mutant [21] suggested that 

\[ CCoAOMT1 \]

may influence secondary cell wall formation of xylem. We speculate that the loss of 

\[ CCoAOMT1 \]

function affects lignin biosynthesis in the secondary cell wall of the xylem and may further result in alterations in the physical properties of the xylem transport system.

Conclusively, our results, including the gene expression patterns of 

\[ CCoAOMT1 \]

as well as phenotypic characteristics of 

\[ ccoaomt1 \]

mutants, suggest that 

\[ CCoAOMT1 \]

requires to be tolerant against drought stress by regulating cell wall lignification as well as by modulating both ABA and ROS signaling pathways.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Growth and Stress Conditions

In our experiments, Arabidopsis thaliana (ecotype Col-0) was used for wild-type and T-DNA inserted 

\[ ccoaomt1 \]

mutants, 

\[ ccoaomt1-1 \]

and 

\[ ccoaomt1-2 \]

, which were used as described by Chun et al. [22]. Plants were grown in a 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (1.0% (w/v) sucrose, 0.6% (w/v) agar, pH 5.7) in a growth chamber (23 °C, 60% RH, 150 μE/M2/s fluorescent illumination) in a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle. For the qRT-PCR analysis, 10-day-old wild-type (Col-0) seedlings were treated with various stresses (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM LiCl, 100 mM mannitol, drought, 100 μM ABA, and 10 μM MV) at indicated time points. For the drought stress treatments, we used a growth chamber. At least 36 plants withheld water from two-week-old grown plants in soil pots for 11 days, and the survival ratio (%) was calculated by counting differential morphological plants one day after re-watering (% = greened plants/n/total plants (n) × 100). For MV treatment, at least 10-day-old seedlings were transferred into 1/2 MS medium in the presence of indicated concentration of MV (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA). Photographs were taken 2 days after transfer.

For the germination assay, 36 seeds were sown on a 1/2 MS agar medium supplemented with different concentrations of ABA, and the numbers of opened green cotyledons were counted on the 5th day, wherein we calculated the germination rate (%).

4.2. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR) Analyses

Total RNAs were extracted from 10-day-old seedlings using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. After treating DNase I for eliminating contaminated gDNA, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using a cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The QuantiSpeed SYBR No-Rox Mix (PhileKorea, Seoul, Korea) was used for the qRT-PCR reactions as follows: 50 °C for 10 min, 95 °C for 10 min, and 50 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 15 s. The relative expression of genes was normalized with the expression of 

\[ TUBULIN2 \]

and calculated through the CFX Manager software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The specific primers of genes used for the qRT-PCR analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S1.
4.3. Water Loss Assay

The leaves of 3-week-old plants grown in soil were detached and weighed immediately. The detached leaves were placed on a plate at room temperature and weighed at various time points. The loss of fresh weight was calculated as a percentage of the initial weight of the plant.

4.4. Chlorophyll Measurement

The chlorophyll contents in leaves were measured according to the protocol of Faragó et al. [43]. The 10–20 mg of tissues were pulverized in 1 mL of 80% acetone. The absorbance of supernatant solution was measured at \( A_{664} \) nm and \( A_{647} \) nm. The total chlorophyll content in leaves was calculated using the following formula: Amount of chlorophyll (µg mg\(^{-1}\)) = \((7.17 \times A_{664}) + (17.67 \times A_{647})/\text{dilution factor/(mg, fresh weight of leaves)}\). The values of total chlorophyll indicated means ± SD of five seedlings from three independent experiments.

4.5. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \): Staining and Its Content Measurement

The 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) staining was detected to accumulate hydrogen peroxide (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)) in plant tissues as a dark brown precipitate [44]. The DAB solution (1 mg/mL) was dissolved in 10 mM NaHPO\(_4\) and reduced pH 3.0 with 0.2 M HCl. The 10-day-old seedlings were immersed in DAB solution and shaken for 8 h or more in the dark. Chlorophyll was removed with a bleaching solution (ethanol:acetic acid:glycerol = 3:1:1) and then boiled for 15 min.

The \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was measured from at least five seedlings using the Amplex Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence was determined by excitation at 530 nm and emission at 590 nm using the SpectraMax GEMINI XPS spectrofluorometer (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) contents were analyzed as described previously [45].

4.6. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses, including Student’s \( t \)-test, were performed using Excel 2010. The qRT-PCR analysis was performed in three independent experiments and the average values of \( 2^{ΔΔCT} \) were used to determine the differences. Data are indicated as means ± SD. Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD).

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/10/5/831/s1, Table S1: Primers used for qRT-PCR, Figure S1: Expression patterns of \( \text{CCoAOMT1} \) during seed germination.
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