Significance of the prostate central gland and total gland volume ratio in the diagnosis of prostate cancer patients in the prostate specific antigen grey zone
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Abstract
Objective: To explore the significance of the prostate central gland to total gland volume ratio (PVc/PV) in the diagnosis of prostate cancer (PCa) in patients with prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels in the grey zone (4–10 ng/ml).

Methods: This retrospective study enrolled patients that had undergone prostate biopsy. The volume of the prostate and the central prostate gland were measured. The differences in PSA, the ratio of free to total PSA (f/tPSA), PSA density (PSAD) and PVc/PV between the PCa and non-PCa groups were compared. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis for PCa and clinically significant PCa (csPCa) diagnosis were calculated according to PSA (reference), f/tPSA, PSAD and PVc/PV.

Results: This study enrolled 136 patients. There was no significant difference in PSA and f/tPSA between the PCa and non-PCa groups, while there were significant differences in PSAD and PVc/PV. The area under the curve values of PVc/PV for PCa or csPCa diagnosis were 0.876 and 0.933, respectively; and for PSAD, they were 0.705 and 0.790, respectively. These were significantly different compared with the PSA curve, whereas f/tPSA showed no significant difference from the PSA curve.

Conclusion: PVc/PV could be a predictor of PCa when PSA is between 4–10 ng/ml.
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Introduction

In recent years, the incidence and mortality of prostate cancer (PCa) in China has increased significantly.\(^1\) PCa was estimated to account for 21% of all new cancer cases in the US in 2020.\(^2\) The early diagnosis of PCa is very important. Prostate specific antigen (PSA) is the most important tumour marker of PCa, but when its value is between 4–10 ng/ml, namely the PSA grey zone, the incidence of PCa is only 25%.\(^3\) As a consequence, it is important to study the related factors that contribute to a PCa positive biopsy when the PSA level is in the grey zone. Currently, the ratio of free to total PSA (f/tPSA) and PSA density (PSAD) are the most commonly-used related factors affecting the positive rate of prostate biopsies.\(^4\) However, problems remain in terms of clinical prediction. It is commonly accepted that benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) lesions are mostly located in the central gland, while PCa usually occurs in the peripheral zone of the prostate.\(^5\) Using multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) alone is not sufficient in deciding when to perform a prostate biopsy.\(^6\)–\(^8\) Using a combination of biomarkers such as the 4Kscore (a blood-based test that combines the four prostate-specific biomarkers of PSA, free PSA, intact PSA and human glandular kallikrein 2 [hK2]) in patients with positive mpMRI results can predict the presence of cancer outside the index lesion.\(^9\) Patients with PCa and those with BPH have different values for the ratio of the central gland volume to total prostate volume (PVc/PV) as measured by mpMRI, so this is a potential new predictor of PCa and BPH.\(^10\) This retrospective study analysed patients with PSA levels in the grey zone that underwent prostate biopsy in order to determine if PVc/PV could contribute to the diagnosis of PCa.

Patients and methods

Patient population

This retrospective study enrolled consecutive patients with PSA levels of 4–10 ng/ml that underwent a prostate biopsy between July 2015 and December 2020 in the Department of Urology, Minhang Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) PSA of 4–10 ng/ml; (ii) no 5α-reductase inhibitor or other endocrine therapy drugs were taken within 1 year; (iii) patients and their families both provided consent and signed the consent form. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) they had a clear history of PCa; (ii) they had acute urinary retention or indwelling catheterization within the last 2 weeks; (iii) they had lower urinary tract surgery or related treatment within the recent 3 months; (iv) they had urinary tract infection, acute prostatitis or coagulation dysfunction; (v) they had a local skin infection, severe infection, abnormal blood coagulation or other diseases that would not be suitable for an invasive examination; (vi) they had severe anal disease or anal diversion; (vii) those that were unable to cooperate with the investigators. PSA,
f/tPSA, PSAD and PVc/PV values were collected from all patients.

Ethical approval was obtained from Ethics Committee of Minhang Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University (no. 2019-the certificate-006-01K). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for their anonymized information to be published in this article.

**Determination of f/tPSA and PSA**

Whole peripheral blood samples (5 ml) were obtained prior to any treatment. These samples were then left at room temperature for 2 h to coagulate, centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min using an Heraeus™ Labofuge™ 400 centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). The serum was removed and stored at −80°C until analysis. Immunofluorescence assay kits (Sorin, Milan, Italy) were used to measure PSA and f/tPSA in the blood samples.

All specimens with a diameter of 1 mm and a length of 10–15 mm taken from each patient were fixed with 4% neutral formaldehyde and then embedded in paraffin. Sections (3 μm) were cut and processed through xylene dewaxing and a graded series of ethanol. Sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Sections also underwent immunohistochemical labelling to detect the protein levels of P504S, CK5/6, CK14 and P63 using mouse anti-human monoclonal antibodies and an S-P immunohistochemical detection kit (Maixin Biotech, Fuzhou, China) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The detection time was 48 h after digital rectal examination, cystoscopy and catheterization and 1 week after prostate massage.

**Determination of PVc/PV**

All patients underwent a routine clinical prostate mpMRI (GE 1.5T Signa Excite HD; GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA). The anteroposterior diameter (cm), left and right diameter (cm) and upper and lower diameter (cm) of the prostate and central gland were measured. The prostate mpMRI acquisition protocol consisted of axial, sagittal and coronal T2-weighted imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging and dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging. The most commonly-used diffusion-weighted imaging was carried out using five b-values in the range of b50–b2000, while gadodiamide (Omniscan; GE Healthcare) was used as an intravenous contrast agent. Image interpretation was carried out by one of the three fellowship trained radiologists according to PI-RADS-V2.0 recommendations.11 The volume of the anterior column gland and the central gland was calculated as π/6 × anteroposterior diameter × left and right diameter × upper and lower diameter (ml). PSAD was determined as follows: PSAD = PSA/PV.

**Standard biopsy methods**

Twelve standard biopsy cores + X biopsy (one extra biopsy from a suspicious part) were collected using an 18G TSK biopsy gun (TSK Laboratory China, Shanghai, China) guided by a B-ultrasound (MyLab™ 60 ultrasound system; Esaote, Genoa, Italy; transrectal biplane probe, frequency 3-9MHz) in the lithotomy position, including one needle at the apex, middle and bottom of the median sagittal section; and one needle at the tip, middle and bottom of the bilateral peripheral zone. For any suspicious parts, one more needle was inserted. Pathological specimens were fixed with 10% formaldehyde for biopsy and a senior pathologist reviewed all prostate biopsy specimens, reporting the International Society of Urological–Gleason grading according to the latest recommendations.12
**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS® statistical package, version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows® and Stata Statistical Software (Release 14; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Categorical data and continuous data were compared between groups using $\chi^2$-test and Student’s $t$-test, respectively. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to compare the accuracy of PSA, f/tPSA, PSAD and PVc/PV in predicting the prostate biopsy results. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was compared using the Z-test. A $P$-value $< 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

This retrospective study enrolled 136 patients with PSA levels of 4–10 ng/ml that underwent prostate biopsies. All biopsies were completed successfully. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. Of these 136 patients, 39 were diagnosed with PCa. There were significant differences in PSAD and PVc/PV between the PCa group and the non-PCa group ($P < 0.05$ for both comparisons) (Table 2), but there were no significant differences in PSA and f/tPSA between the two groups.

The ROC curve analysis of PSA, f/tPSA, PSAD and PVc/PV in the diagnosis of all patients with PCa (Figure 1) and clinically significant PCa (csPCa) (Figure 2) are presented. The AUC values of the ROC curve for each parameter are shown in Table 3 and Table 4.

**Discussion**

There were approximately 1.27 million new cases of PCa worldwide in 2018 and PCa accounted for the world’s second highest incidence of all cancers for men. Many laboratory and imaging methods are widely used in the early screening of PCa, but the gold standard for diagnosis is prostate biopsy. PSA is not a specific marker of PCa because prostatitis, BPH, prostate compression and related endoscopic operations via the prostate also increase levels of PSA. PCa antigen 3 (PCA3), prostate health index (phi) and sarcosine are predictors of PCa characteristics during final pathology. Published data show that phi has a good diagnostic performance in identifying csPCa. Currently, there remains great controversy regarding the performance of a biopsy on patients with PSA levels in the grey zone. Therefore, some other PSA-based indicators such as f/tPSA and PSAD can also be used as biomarkers.

---

**Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population ($n = 136$) of patients with prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels of 4–10 ng/ml that underwent prostate biopsies.

| Characteristic     | Study cohort $n = 136$ |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| Age, years        | 70.6 ± 8.7             |
| PSA, ng/ml        | 6.6 ± 2.0              |
| DRE               |                        |
| Negative          | 105 (77.2%)            |
| Suspicious        | 31 (22.8%)             |
| Biopsy history    |                        |
| Biopsy naive      | 127 (93.4%)            |
| Previous negative | 9 (6.6%)               |
| PI-RADS           |                        |
| 1–2               | 42 (30.9%)             |
| 3                 | 65 (47.8%)             |
| 4–5               | 29 (21.3%)             |
| Biopsy results    |                        |
| Negative          | 97 (71.3%)             |
| GG 1              | 25 (18.4%)             |
| csPCa             | 14 (10.3%)             |

Data presented as mean ± SD or $n$ of patients (%). DRE, digital rectal examination; PI-RADS, Prostate Imaging-Reporting and Data System; GG 1, Gleason group 1 (3 + 3); csPCa, clinically significant prostate cancer Gleason group $\geq 2$ (3 + 3).
This current study demonstrated that there was no significant difference in the level of PSA between the PCa group and the non-PCa group, indicating that the specificity of PSA was low when its level is within the grey zone. Previous studies had confirmed the value of f/tPSA in the diagnosis of PCa in patients with PSA levels in the grey zone, in which a low f/tPSA predicted a high risk of PCa. However, the value of f/tPSA in the diagnosis of PCa in patients with a PSA level in the grey zone in East Asia remains controversial. For example, a previous study found that the predictive value of f/tPSA in grey zone PCa diagnosis in Chinese

### Table 2. Prostate-related characteristics of patients with prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels of 4–10 ng/ml that underwent prostate biopsies stratified according to a diagnosis of prostate cancer (PCa).

| Characteristic | PCa group n = 39 | Non-PCa group n = 97 | Statistical analysis^a |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| PSA, ng/ml    | 6.64 ± 1.57      | 6.54 ± 2.01          | NS                     |
| f/tPSA        | 0.15 ± 0.07      | 0.17 ± 0.06          | NS                     |
| PSAD, ng/ml/cm³ | 0.25 ± 0.12     | 0.16 ± 0.08          | P = 0.0004             |
| PVC/PV        | 0.41 ± 0.14      | 0.63 ± 0.15          | P < 0.0001             |

Data presented as mean ± SD.
^a Student’s t-test; NS, no significant between-group difference (P ≥ 0.05).

f/tPSA, ratio of free to total PSA; PSAD, PSA density; PVC/PV, ratio of the central gland volume to total prostate volume.

### Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis compared the predictive value of the ratio of the central gland volume to total prostate volume (PVC/PV) and other parameters in the diagnosis of all patients with prostate cancer. tPSA, total prostate specific antigen; AUC, area under the curve; f/tPSA, ratio of free to total prostate specific antigen; PSAD, prostate specific antigen density. The colour version of this figure is available at: http://imr.sagepub.com.
Another study reported that f/tPSA did not improve the diagnostic accuracy of PSA grey zone PCa for Korean men aged 50–65 years in a prospective multicentre study. A meta-analysis showed that the reasons for heterogeneity regarding the predictive value of f/tPSA included race, age, detection reagents and standards.

In this current study, there was no significant difference in f/tPSA between the PCa group and the non-PCa group. However, considering the small number of patients in this current study and the existence of age heterogeneity factors, it will be necessary to expand the sample and stratify the age to further verify the diagnostic

**Figure 2.** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis compared the predictive value of the ratio of the central gland volume to total prostate volume (PVc/PV) and other parameters in the diagnosis of all patients with clinically significant prostate cancer (Gleason group ≥ 2 [≥ 3 + 4]). tPSA, total prostate specific antigen; AUC, area under the curve; f/tPSA, ratio of free to total prostate specific antigen; PSAD, prostate specific antigen density. The colour version of this figure is available at: http://imr.sagepub.com.

**Table 3.** Comparison of area under the curve (AUC) values of the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of each characteristic for the diagnosis of all patients with prostate cancer.

| Characteristic       | AUC  | Σ     | 95% CI     | Statistical analysis |
|----------------------|------|-------|------------|----------------------|
| PSA, ng/ml           | 0.529| 0.0645| 0.426, 0.631| –                    |
| f/tPSA               | 0.589| 0.0662| 0.486, 0.688| NS                   |
| PSAD, ng/ml/cm³      | 0.705| 0.0595| 0.605, 0.793| P = 0.0138           |
| PVc/PV               | 0.876| 0.0416| 0.794, 0.934| P < 0.0001           |

PSA was used as the reference control; Z-test; NS, no significant association (P ≥ 0.05).

CI, confidence interval; PSA, prostate specific antigen; f/tPSA, ratio of free to total PSA; PSAD, PSA density; PVc/PV, ratio of the central gland volume to total prostate volume.
value of f/tPSA in men with a PSA level in
the grey zone.

Destruction of the barrier between the
acinar epithelium, ductal epithelium and
capillaries in the prostate can also lead to
an increase in PSA and per unit volume of
PSA.24 PSAD has been used to distinguish
PCa from BPH since 1992.25 A previous
study demonstrated that the accuracy of
PSA in predicting PCa was inferior to that
of PSAD.26 The results of this current study
also demonstrated that the value of PSAD
in the diagnosis of PCa for patients with a
PSA level in the grey zone was better than
that of PSA.

The increase of PSA in patients with
BPF is mainly caused by hyperplasia in the
transitional zone of the central
gland,27 while PSA produced by the peri-
pheral zone is relatively stable.28 Enlargement
of an aging prostate due to BPH is typically
contributed to by the transition zone, while
the peripheral zone is typically considered
as age-irrelevant.29,30 Research shows that
the PSAD in the prostate transitional zone
of a PCa patient is significantly higher than
that in a BPH patient, which means when
presented with the same level of PSA, the
ratio of transitional zone volume to total
volume in patients with PCa was smaller
than those with BPH.31,32 Since the transi-
tional zone is the main component of the
prostate’s central gland, this also means
that the ratio of central gland volume to
total volume is smaller in PCa patients.33
The central gland volume is also related to
bladder outlet obstruction parameters and
prostatic inflammation; and is consistently
used in predictive models and risk calcula-
tors for PCa.34,35 Although transrectal
ultrasounds are widely used in the measure-
ment of prostate volume, there are obvious
limitations such as measurement error and
incomplete image preservation.36 There are
obvious advantages in the measurement of
prostate volume when using mpMRI, espe-
cially the T2 sequence, which can readily
distinguish the different zones of the pros-
tate.37,38 In order to ensure the stability and
accuracy of data used in the study, prostate
volume was measured by the same clinician.

The PVc/PV value in the PCa group was
significantly lower than that of the non-
PCa group, which confirmed the correla-
tion between PVc/PV value and PCa risk
in the PSA grey zone. The AUC value of
the ROC curve corresponding to PVc/PV in
the PCa and csPCa patients was 0.876 and
0.933, respectively, which were the highest
two values of all parameters tested. In com-
parison with the AUC values of PSA and f/
tPSA, the AUC value of PVc/PV was sta-
tistically significant ($P < 0.001$). This find-
ing suggests that PVc/PV could be used as
a predictive parameter for the diagnosis of
both PCa and csPCa in patients with a PSA
level in the grey zone. Novel prostate MRI
protocols such as biparametric MRI are

### Table 4. Comparison of area under the curve (AUC) values of the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of each characteristic for the diagnosis of all patients with clinically significant prostate cancer (Gleason group $\geq 2$ [$\geq 3 + 4$]).

| Characteristic | AUC   | $\Sigma$ | 95% CI       | Statistical analysis$^a$ |
|---------------|-------|----------|--------------|--------------------------|
| PSA, ng/ml    | 0.559 | 0.111    | 0.444, 0.670 | –                        |
| f/tPSA        | 0.692 | 0.0793   | 0.579, 0.790 | NS                       |
| PSAD, ng/ml/cm$^3$ | 0.790 | 0.0632 | 0.685, 0.873 | $P = 0.0259$ |
| PVc/PV        | 0.933 | 0.0310   | 0.854, 0.977 | $P = 0.0004$ |

$^a$PSA was used as the reference control; Z-test; NS, no significant association ($P \geq 0.05$).
CI, confidence interval; PSA, prostate specific antigen; f/tPSA, ratio of free to total PSA; PSAD, PSA density; PVc/PV, ratio of the central gland volume to total prostate volume.
promising because they could make the acquisition time much shorter.\textsuperscript{39} In this context, PVc/PV could be used as a quantitative parameter added to the MRI report. Furthermore, mpMRI also demonstrated similar accuracies irrespective of race when undergoing radical prostatectomy.\textsuperscript{40,41}

In conclusion, this current study suggests that PVc/PV could be a predictor of PCa when PSA is in the grey zone of 4–10 ng/ml. This could provide several clinical benefits such as improving the accuracy of PCa and csPCa diagnosis when PSA is in the grey zone and reducing unnecessary prostate biopsies. This diagnostic measure is worthy of further clinical investigation in larger, racially diverse populations in order to externally validate this preliminary finding.
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