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Abstract: Na-Cu carbonates are relatively rare secondary minerals in weathering zones of ore deposits. Hereby we describe mineral composition and crystal chemistry of the most important secondary (Na)Cu minerals and their Na- and Mg-bearing associates forming rich paragenesis in Rudna IX mine. A non-bulky Ca-rich dripstone-like paragenesis from Lubin Główny mine is also characterized, using Powder X-Ray Diffraction, Rietveld, and Electron Microprobe methods. Light blue juangodoyite (3rd occurrence worldwide) and darker chalconatronite are the most important members of the Rudna IX paragenesis, being associated with malachite, aragonite (intergrown with hydromagnesite and northupite), and probably cornwallite. Most of the minerals are chemically close to their ideal composition, with minor Mg substitution in malachite. Cu chlorides are mainly represented by clinoatacamite and probably herbertsmithite. Additional, minor phases include trace Cu minerals langite, wroewolfeite, and a lavendulan-group mineral, and monohydrocalcite. Separate halite-rich encrustations are shown to be filled with eriochalcite, ktenasite, and kröhnkite. The most likely to be confirmed coexisting species include paratacamite, wooldridgeite/nesquehonite, johillerite, melanothallite, and kipushite. The Lubin paragenesis mainly comprises aragonite, gypsum, rapidcreekite, and monohydrocalcite, with trace vaterite. Blue colouration is mainly provided by a yet unspecified Ni-, Co-, Mg-, and Mn-bearing Cu-Zn-Ca arsenate mineral close to parnauite.
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1. Introduction

Juangodoyite, \( \text{Na}_2\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2 \) (monoclinic, \( P_2_1/a \)), is an exceedingly rare mineral, known—prior to the current study—from a single locality in Chile: Santa Rosa mine, Iquique Province [1]. It is the natural equivalent of sodium di(carbonato)cuprate(II), named to honour Juan Godoy—the discoverer of the famous Chilean silver occurrence at Chañarcillo. The synthetic equivalent of juangodoyite is obtained as small pinacoids, with dimensions ~0.1 mm, slightly elongated along the \( c \) axis, and somewhat irregular [2]. The hydrated, or trihydrate, counterpart of juangodoyite—chalconatronite (monoclinic, \( P_2_1/n \))—is more common, with about 20 localities worldwide. Its structure was analyzed...
by Mosset et al. [3]. A second occurrence of juangodoyite, within drainage-related mine speleothems (minothems) of the Libiola Mine, Italy, was reported in Reference [4].

Chalconatronite occurrences are restricted to specific geochemical conditions. Its type locality is in Egypt, with genesis partially being related to saline groundwaters [5]. Further occurrences include the listed Chilean one [6]; Carr Boyd mine, Western Australia [7]; Zunderwand, Erlacher Bock area, Carinthia, Austria [8]; Geister vein of the Rovnost mine in the famous Czech uranium deposit at Jáchymov [9]; and salt mine at Bex, Vaud, Switzerland [10]. Few German localities are also known: Richeldsdorf area, Hersfeld-Rotenburg, Hesse [11]; Ludwig-Rudolf mine in Braulage [11] and Glücksrud mine, Oberschulen, Clausthal-Zellerfeld [12] of Goslar area, Lower Saxony; Braubach (e.g., [13]) and Friedrichssegen mine in Frücht [14] of Bad Ems area, Rhineland-Palatinate; Ramsbeck area, Meschede, Hochsauerlandkreis, Arnsberg, Nordrhein-Palatinate [11]; and in Saxony [15]. At least four USA sites are also known: Deremo mine, San Miguel County, Colorado [16]; Centennial mine, Centennial, Houghton Co. [17,18] and White Pine mine, Ontonagon County [19], Michigan; and Markey mine, San Juan County, Utah [20]. A recent Spanish discovery of chalconatronite at Eureka mine (Castell-está, La Vall Fosca, Lleida area, Catalonia) by Desor is also noteworthy [21]. Most of the above localities are polymetallic ore deposits or smelter slags related to them. Chalconatronite is, however, also known from alkaline magmatic rocks of the famous Mont Saint-Hilaire locality in Canada [22]. Interestingly, the holotype chalconatronite would not likely meet the current definition of a true mineral, as recommended by the International Mineralogical Association, as it was found as a patina on a bronze artifact, where it coexists with cuprite and atacamite [4].

Rapidcreekit is a mineral representing Cu-free, Ca-rich chemical system. With the Ca$_2$(SO$_4$)(CO$_3$)·4H$_2$O formula, this orthorhombic ($Pcnb$) is a mineral that may be treated as a chemical intermediate placed between aragonite and gypsum, though being more hydrated. Indeed, the Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD) pattern of rapidcreekit is similar to that of gypsum and the twinning of the latter coupled with carbonate substitution gives a rapidcreekit motif [23]. With about 10 currently confirmed occurrences worldwide, rapidcreekit is more scarce than chalconatronite. The intermediate character of rapidcreekit in relation to CaCO$_3$ polymorphs and gypsum crystallization is discussed, e.g., by Bots [24]. At the type locality, Rapid Creek (hence the name), located within Dawson mining district, Yukon, Canada, rapidcreekit coexists with gypsum and aragonite, and results from supergene weathering [25]. The mineral is also known from the nearby Big Fish River site, well known for its well-crystallized, rare phosphate minerals, and another nearby site at Crosscut Creek in the Kulan Camp area [26]. Two occurrences are known from Germany: Johann mine in Burgfelsen, Wittichen/Schenkenzell area, Baden-Württemberg [27], where it coexists with very rare arsenate camgasite, CaMg(AsO$_4$)(OH)·5H$_2$O, and also calcite, monohydrocalcite, gypsum, in the crust on a hydrothermally altered granite with Co and U mineralization; and Jeremias Glück mine in Garnsdorf, Saalfeld-Rudolstadt area, Thuringia [28]. At the latter site, Silurian alum shales were mined for the production of sulfuric acid and colored sulfate sinters were formed. Rapidcreekit is also known from other typical metal ore deposits, like that in the Esperanza mine, Lavorin area, Greece [29] and Mildigkeit mine of the Overberget Mining Field, Kongsberg, Buskerud, Norway [30]. At the latter site, it resides in calcite veins. It may also be a cave mineral, as is true for the hot spring-containing Diana Cave in Romania [31] where it forms in H$_2$S-rich environments in the course of H$_2$SO$_4$-driven weathering. Salama et al. report rapidcreekit, alongside gypsum, anhydrite, calcite, and nitratine (NaNO$_3$), in microbially mediated Egyptian ironstones [32]. The occurrence of rapidcreekit in the Lubin Główny mine is not unique for Poland. Warchulski et al. report its presence in pyrometallurgical slags of the Orzel Bialy smelting plant, Upper Silesia [33].

The primary mineralization of the Fore-Sudetic Monocline is related to the main four lithological facies of sedimentary rocks: Weissliegendes clastics, dolostones, clayey shales, and Zechstein limestones [34]. The sedimentary series is non-homogenous. The most common ore type is a disseminated one. Relatively common types also include veinlets, nests, lenses, and compact or laminated ones. The deposits are of a stratoidal type [35]. The crystallization of secondary minerals in
underground galleries of Cu mines of the Fore-Sudetic Monocline is connected with the oxidation of primary copper sulfides (mainly chalcopyrite, chalcocite and bornite). The released Cu$^{2+}$ ions react with mine waters rich in chlorides and sulphates or with carbonates contained in ore bearing rocks. This process leads to formation of numerous interesting mineral species. In total, about 30 supergene minerals were described from these mines [36,37]. The most common are hydroxyhalides (e.g., botallackite, atacamite, clinoatacamite, paratacamite) and Cu sulfates (e.g., chalcanthite). Secondary arsenates (annabergite, erythrite, and possibly geminite) and carbonates (cerussite, malachite, azurite, and chalconatronite) are much less common [38].

This preliminary paper approximates mineralogical composition and mineral chemistry of Na-Cu carbonates and their major associates derived from the Rudna IX mine. Attention is also paid to Ca-rich paragenesis from the Lubin Główny mine. Selected results of related to the current study were shown in a conference [39]. Further studies including field, geochemical, and a more detailed crystallochemical research are planned.

2. Materials and Methods

The locations of the Rudna IX and Lubin Główny mines against the Fore-Sudetic Monocline and Poland are shown in Figure 1. The sampling points of the Lubin minerals under scope are shown in Figure 2.

Samples of the Rudna IX mine come from mine field No. 23 at a site located at the depth of ca. 1100 m below ground level. Here, the chalcocite-rich mineralization under scope occurs at a point of contact between sandstones and Cu-bearing shale. In the Lubin Główny mine, mineral samples were collected from mining excavations located in a vicinity of Chamber C1B. Here, flowstones are developed in places where groundwaters outflow from laminated dolostone contacting with sandstone layers. The samples include thin blue encrustations (up to several centimeters in diameter) on sandstones and dolostones covered by gray (locally white) protrusions. The protrusions may reach ~3.8 mm in height and comprise intergrown, gray crystals. Single, colorless, clearly monoclinic crystals are also found disseminated within the blue portions.

Figure 1. Location of the Fore-Sudetic Monocline against Poland and the mines probed against the main surrounding cities.
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Figure 1. Location of the Fore-Sudetic Monocline against Poland and the mines probed against the main surrounding cities.

Figure 2. Field images from the Lubin Główny mine collecting site. The white-blue dripstones are seen. Note the hammer for scale. (a) General view, (b) A close-up view.

The determination of the mineralogical nature of the Cu-bearing samples began with Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD) technique. Powdered sample was placed in a Bruker D8 ADVANCE equipped with superfast linear position-sensitive detector (LPSD) VANTEC-1 and kβ-filtered CoKα radiation. The apparatus is located in the Institute of Geological Sciences, Polish Academy of Sciences (Cracow section, Poland). The samples were scanned using 0.02 2θ increment and 1 s/step counting time (equivalent of 416 s in the zero-dimensional detector language), in the 3–80 2θ range. Some additional samples were analyzed using a Thermo Electron X’TRA diffractometer at the same location, using similar conditions but 0.3°/min rate. Unit cell parameters were calculated using the Rietveld method implemented in the TOPAS v. 3.0 software. The macroscopic features of the mineral assemblages and minerals themselves were studied using a camera-equipped binocular. The photo layering (stacking) technique was involved to obtain the best-focused images. The crystal chemistry and spatial relation of the particular minerals were analyzed using electron microprobe (EPMA), using a CAMECA SX100 apparatus operating in the WDS mode and located in the Inter-Institution Laboratory of Microanalysis of Minerals and Synthetic Substances, Institute of Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Petrology, Faculty of Geology, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland. The beam current and size were variable depending on the particular minerals analyzed (see tables for details); the acceleration voltage was 15 kV. Sodium, as a light element prone to fast escape during analyzing, was measured using time-zero intercept method. In this method, the sodium concentration is measured at various steps of the full analysis and then approximated to time of zero. The following standards were used: albite (Na), baryte (S), celestine (Sr), crocoite (Pb), CoO (Co), NiO (Ni), cuprite (Cu), diopside (Mg, Ca, Si), Fe₂O₃ (Fe), GaAs (As), InSb (Sb), orthoclase (Al, K), rhodonite (Mn), sphalerite (Zn), tugtupite (Cl), and YPO₄ (P).

3. Results

3.1. Mineral Composition and Habit

3.1.1. Rudna IX Mine Materials

Both dark dolomite samples and white sandstone samples from the Rudna IX mine are covered by rich accumulations (Figure 3) comprising:

- azurite-like, dark blue, clearly monoclinic crystals, reaching ~2 mm in length;
- dominant in terms of volume, light blue, platy/scaly encrustations, covering areas up to few cm², with single plates not larger than ~0.4 mm (usually up to ~0.2 mm);
- medium-green microbotryoidal, compact encrustations surrounding the blue zones;
- white to very pale greenish socket-like, thin, curved linings, up to few centimeters in length.
Figure 3. Microphotographic documentation of the Rudna IX mine secondary mineralization under scope. (a) Azure-colored chalconatronite crystals with minor light blue replacement juangodoyite and green malachite; (b) Juangodoyite-rich portion; (c) Aragonite-rich socket-like margin accumulations; (d) Second-type dioptase-like chalconatronite crystals; (a)–(c): 8 mm field of view; (d): 3 mm field of view.

The azure crystals are spear-like and commonly intergrown into more or less compact masses that may surpass 4 mm in diameter. In some samples, the interiors of the azure crystals are either partially or completely replaced by the light blue phase. Various stages of chalconatronite replacement are observed (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Microphotographic documentation of the chalconatronite-juangodoyite pseudomorphic relation. (a) Virtually intact chalconatronite crystals; (b) Initial stage of internal juangodoyite replacement; (c) Almost completely juangodoyite-replaced chalconatronite crystals; (d) Replaced and fresh chalconatronite crystals.
The dark green phase forms aggregates with single botryoids under 0.2 mm in diameter; no individual crystals were observed among them. This phase occurs between and beneath the two blue species (Figure 3a). Rare examples concern the coarse-botryoidal green phase associated with closely-packed azure crystals. The white socket-like aggregates also stand for an important matrix constituent, where they associate with the green phase. Their greenish parts are locally somewhat rounded (cauliflower-like in shape). In a few specimens, these sockets are stained bluish (Figure 3c). Close examination of a side part of a single specimen of dolomite allowed us to pinpoint an additional type of substance that occurs as intergrown pseudotrigonal crystals of teal colour, somewhat resembling diopside (Figure 3d).

The PXRD analysis of the Rudna IX minerals results are juxtaposed in the Table 1. As some of the samples comprise various types of aggregate, some minerals reported in the above quantitative data may represent random accumulations of particular species. This is especially the case of herbertsmithite, which was not yet detected during the EPMA sessions. The issue addressed reflects the large level of mineralogical variability in the material studied.

The light-blue phase is usually juangodoyite. The dark blue one and the diopside-like one is chalconatronite. However, at least a single case of the azure crystals (sample CuR4, which also bears orange baryte) were shown by the PXRD to be juangodoyite, too. As such, the precise identification of the mineralogical nature of both phases proves to be complex and blurred by the hydration-dehydration dependence of the two minerals. The green botryoidal mineral is usually malachite. However, the rare, coarser (up to ~0.20 mm) botryoids associated with azure juangodoyite are cornwallite, one of the natural polymorphs of Cu₅(AsO₄)₂(OH)₄. This phase may cover areas over 2 mm long.

The white socket-like aggregates are mainly composed of gypsum and aragonite. Hydromagnesite, Mg₅(CO₃)₄(OH)₂·4H₂O, and the rare mineral northupite, Na₃Mg(CO₃)₂Cl, are present, too. The greenish and bluish parts of the socket-like aggregates are colored by a Cu–Cl–O species—with clinoatacamite and herbertsmithite, respectively. Separate clinoatacamite–dominant zones surrounded by thin halite/gypsum linings on a sandstone matrix (e.g., sample CuR6) may be as large as 2 mm in diameter.

A second batch of two, small samples, representing halite encrustations filled with some further secondary Cu minerals most likely derived from another, currently unknown section of the mine, was also studied in a preliminary manner. These samples bear eriochalcite, CuCl₂·2H₂O, ktenasite, Zn(Cu,Zn)₄(SO₄)₂(OH)₆·6H₂O, and kröhnkite, Na₂Cu(SO₄)₂·2H₂O (sample RSR9-1); or are kröhnkite–dominant (RSR9-2). A single sample (RSR9-3) of a dolomitic shale covered by compact, light-blue material, proved to bear further interesting species associated with chalconatronite—a lavendulan-group species, with best PXRD fit for likely lavendulan sensu stricto, NaCaCu₅(AsO₄)₂Cl·5H₂O. The mixture of the two is covered by spray-forming needle-like aragonite intergrown with hydromagnesite (sample RSR9-4—a sub-sample of the RSR9-3 one). Results of a more detailed study of both these phases and cornwallite, lavendulan-group phase, kröhnkite, and eriochalcite will be published elsewhere.

A number of additional mineral phases were tentatively determined in the course of the whole PXRD data treatment, as reported in the Table 1. State of art allows us to mention those of the uncertain species which are most likely to occur in the material studied considering both the initial PXRD identification and local geochemical conditions. The list is opened by wooldridgeite (samples CuR1, CuR1A, and CuR6), a very unique Na–Cu pyrophosphate which may, however, be coincident with nesquehonite, MgCO₃·3H₂O. The latter phase conjures up the identification of chemically related hydromagnesite and northupite. The same is true, e.g., for wegscheiderite, Na₃H₃(CO₃)₄ (two other Na carbonates—trona and nahcolite—were, indeed, observed in some additional samples analyzed by E.S.). Possible coexisting Cu minerals include paratacamite, atacamite; melanothallite, Cu₂OCl₂, kipushite, (Cu,Zn)₋Zn(PO₄)₂(OH)₆·H₂O, and the langite- and wroewolfeite-related mineral posnjakite, Cu₆(SO₄)₂(OH)₆·H₂O. A likely phase is rhomboclase, (H₂O)Fe(SO₄)₂·2H₂O, is genetically related to melanterite (e.g., [40]).
Table 1. Results of the PXRD analysis of the samples from the Rudna IX mine.

| Sample | Macroscopic Description | Main Components ¹ | Rietveld Refinement Statistics ² |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| CuR1A  | shiny greenish-blue crystals + matrix, same sample | chalconatronite 62.5(7), illite 23.5(8), microcline 8.1(1), orthoclase 0.8(3), Mg-calcite 1.0(6), chinoatacamite 5.9(4), microcline 4.1(3), herbertsmithite 2.7(8), halite 1.9(1), albite 1.8(2), nophthite 0.07(4). | $R_{wp} = 20.54\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 2.84% |
| CuR1B  | white to greenish socket-like aggregates, same sample | quartz 47.1(2), halite 19.4(9), gypsum 8.2(4), clinoatacamite 9.9(7), herbertsmithite 5.3(6), illite 4.1(4), microcline 3.4(6), orthoclase 1.8(4), albite 0.8(5). | $R_{wp} = 11.48\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 0.97% |
| CuR2A  | white sandstone with disseminated green mineral and surface black dendritic specs | quartz 69.6(7), calcite 9.1(3), chalconatronite 5.0(3), halite 4.3(2), microcline 2.9(5), albite 2.7(5), malachite 2.5(3), orthoclase 1.8(3), juangodoyite 1.2(2), dolomite 0.5(1). | $R_{wp} = 19.37\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 2.102% |
| CuR2B  | colorless crystalline cover of the former | gypsum 61.9(5); quartz 19.6(3), aragonite 10.4(4), monohydrocalcite 3.9(3), baryte 2.8(4), calcite 2.7(3), dolomite 1.5(4). | $R_{wp} = 14.86\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 1.34% |
| CuR3A  | separate white accumulations, same sample | quartz 58.2(7), halite 15.2(6), herbertsmithite 9.1(2), gypsum 5.3(2), microcline 7.0(6), orthoclase 1.8(4), albite 3.5(4). | $R_{wp} = 14.01\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 1.26% |
| CuR3B  | separate white accumulations, same sample | albite 28.7(1), calcite 11.2(2), aragonite 17.7(2), quartz 5.8(8), juangodoyite 5.5(7), corwallite 4.4(6),chalococite 2.0(9). | $R_{wp} = 12.98\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 1.93% |
| CuR4 ³ | intergrown azure crystals + coarse green botryoids + cellular white infillings in a dolomitic shale | chalconatronite 37.5(2), quartz 36.1(2), illite 9.1(5), malachite 7.7(5), juangodoyite 4.3(3), orthoclase 1.7(3). | $R_{wp} = 9.67\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 1.37% |
| CuR6  | similar to CuR2 but with black material replaced by a limonite-like one | chalconatronite 62.5(7), illite 23.5(8), microcline 8.1(1), orthoclase 0.8(3). | $R_{wp} = 20.54\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 2.84% |
| RSR9-1 | small rounded bluish-green aggregates | eriochalcite 38.7(1), halite 36.7(1), ktenasite 12.4(4), krohnikite 9.7(1), chlorite group 2.4(1). | $R_{wp} = 11.23\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 0.96% |
| RSR9-2 | small bluish-green crust | krohnikite 86.2(2), halite 8.2(2), gypsum 2.6(3). | $R_{wp} = 15.63\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 1.76% |
| RSR9-3 | light to medium-blue compact masses on a dolomitic shale | chalconatronite 54.7(7), clinoatacamite 2.9(3), albite 5.1(4), eriochalcite 3.2(5), lavendulan group 1.8(1). | $R_{wp} = 22.60\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 1.76% |
| RSR9-4 | white spray-forming needles covering the above | chalconatronite 73.2(5), hydromagnesite 13.4(5), melanterite 7.7(2), lavendulan group 3.1(1), quartz 2.7(2). | $R_{wp} = 15.63\%$, GOF ($\chi^2$) = 0.75% |

¹ wt. % contents reported based on Rietveld quantitative phase analysis (QPA); slight deficiency is due to initial inclusion of minor and/or uncertain species in the refinements (see main text for details); ² for QPA model; ³ a mixed sample (various types of aggregates).
3.1.2. Lubin Główny Minerals

The microphotographs of the Lubin Główny paragenesis are juxtaposed in Figure 4. The Lubin Główny paragenesis is much less complex than the former one (Table 2).

### Table 2. Results of the PXRD analysis of the Lubin Główny mine secondary minerals.

| Sample  | Macroscopic Description          | Main Components                                                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MSCu-1  | thin light blue crusts (A)       | aragonite 40.4(4), quartz 20.9(3), gypsum 19.4(3), calcite 7.6(4), monohydrocalcite 7.2(2), vaterite 1.5(4), dolomite 1.2(2), dolomite 30.2(5), monohydrocalcite 15.5(4), aragonite 14.4(5), rapidcreekite 11.2(4), gypsum 7.6(4), quartz 7.4(3), calcite 6.8(3), vaterite 5.2(6) |
| Cu4     | thick greenish-blue dripstones (A) covered by gray/white protrusions (B) and colourless sprays (C) |                                                                                   |

As opposed to prior suggestions (e.g., [38]), the blue coloration of the thin encrustations (sample MSCu-1, Figure 5a) does not come from geminite, Cu(AsO$_3$OH)·H$_2$O. Instead, these aggregates are composed of monohydrocalcite, aragonite, gypsum, quartz, and minor calcite. Vaterite and dolomite are trace species here. The gray protrusions are mainly comprising gypsum. The sample Cu4 (Figure 5b) also bears sprays—up to ~2.4 mm in diameter—composed of colorless needles of rapidcreekite. Single needles are up to 1 mm long. Such spray-forming needle-like crystals of rapidcreekite were also mentioned in [38]. The coloring agent is mainly a not yet fully analyzed CuZnNiCoCa(MnMg) arsenate. Brushite, Ca(PO$_4$OH)·2H$_2$O, although suggested by the Rietveld method to be present at ~2 wt.% level, could not be found in the material separated for the EPMA study. A very small P impurity was only detected in a few EDS spectra of gypsum. The thick, blue to greenish-blue, bulky encrustations (sample Cu4) are also composed of aragonite, with gypsum, calcite, dolomite, and monohydrocalcite as coexisting or trace components. The identification of moolooite, Cu[(COO)$_2$]$_2$·0.4H$_2$O, needs further examination.

![Figure 5](image-url). Microphotographic documentation of the Lubin Główny mine secondary mineralization under scope. (a) Thin monohydrocalcite- and aragonite-rich encrustations with gypsum-rich grey protrusions; field of view is 8 mm; (b) Rapidcreekite needle-bearing sprays covering thick Ca-carbonate encrustations; field of view is 4 mm.
3.2. Spatial Relations, Crystal Chemistry and Unit Cell Parameters of the Minerals

The BSE images of both locality samples are juxtaposed in Figure 6. Both juangodoyite and chalconatronite bear malachite and chalcocite inclusions (Figure 6a,b). Aragonite and quartz may be included in chalconatronite (Figure 6b). Malachite either occurs as usually rounded to botryoidal, small inclusions, or larger, rounded, spherulitic aggregates are found outside the Na-Cu carbonates. The botryoids may reach 50 μm in diameter. Malachite may be interstitial (Figure 6a–c). Still larger, elongated malachite aggregates, reaching ~250 μm in length, were also observed. The socket-forming aragonite is a core species, overgrown by hydromagnesite and northupite (Figure 6d). The northupite and hydromagnesite zones alone can be as large as 200 μm in width, with aragonite cores >400 μm in diameter.

![Figure 6. BSE (Back-Scattered Electron) images from electron microprobe (EPMA) analytical sessions of Rudna IX mine (panels a–d) and Lubin Główny mine (panels e & f) mineral assemblages. (a) Juangodoyite (Ju) crystals among quartz (Qtz) with included clinoatacamite (Cl), covellite (Cv), malachite (Mal), and Cu-bearing mica-like phase (Mc); (b) Large chalconatronite (Chcn) crystal (azure-blue in normal light) with malachite inclusions, quartz, and covellite; (c) Enlarged view of a fragment of “(b)” aggregate showing pyrite (Py) inclusions in covellite and a Cu-Cl-O phase (Cl) included in aragonite (Ar); U—unnamed Cu-rich phase (see analysis no. 12 in Table 5); (d) A fragment of white socket-like aggregate with aragonitic core overgrown by hydromagnesite (Hdm) and northupite (Nth)-bearing mixture; (e) Large spray-forming crystals of rapidcreekite (Rpc) growing on aragonite/monohydrocalcite (Ar/Mhc) with very thin ribbons of CuZnNiCoCa(MnMg) arsenate (As); calcite (Cc) crystals also visible; (f) Dolomite-rich sample overgrown by aragonite dripstone covered by the mentioned arsenate (As).]
3.2.1. The Rudna IX Minerals Data

Juangodoyite and chalconatronite are chemically very pure and have very similar empirical formulas. The crystal chemistry of the light blue phase, juangodoyite (Table 3), is described as \( \text{Na}_{2.41}\text{Cu}_{1.00}(\text{CO}_3)_{2.21} \) \((n = 7, \text{i.e., first aggregate})\). The corresponding formula for a second aggregate \((n = 3)\) is \( \text{Na}_{2.55}\text{Cu}_{1.00}(\text{CO}_3)_{2.28} \). The two formulas bear average 0.48 surplus Na, in spite of the use of the time-zero intercept measurement method. After the stoichiometric normalization of wt.% Na\(_2\text{O}\) content to the ideal content, the formulas take the form of \( \text{Na}_{2.00}\text{Cu}_{1.00}(\text{CO}_3)_{2.00} \). Chalconatronite analyses are juxtaposed in Table 4. The corresponding formula for the azure, spear-like crystals of chalconatronite is \( \text{Na}_{2.00}\text{Cu}_{1.00}(\text{CO}_3)_{2.00} \) \((n = 10); \text{anhydrous part due to almost complete dehydration due to beam energy)\) after the normalization of wt.% Na\(_2\text{O}\) to the ideal composition of the species. Un-normalized analysis gives mean 0.33 apfu Na excess. The analysis of two greenish-blue dioptase-like crystals of chalconatronite gave the following empirical formula (using 3-cation-basis, i.e., \(\Sigma(\text{Na},\text{Cu}) = 3\), recasting basis): \( \text{Na}_{1.93-1.96}\text{Cu}_{1.07-1.04}(\text{CO}_3)_{2.03-2.00}(\text{SiO}_3)_{0.01-0.02} \) \((\text{anhydrous basis due to mineral destruction})\). Similar wt.% data for juangodoyite and chalconatronite have two sources: (1) the dehydration of chalconatronite under the electron beam, leading to an equivalent of juangodoyite, and (2) pseudomorphic relation of the two species, constricting macroscopic species distinction. The deviation of the listed wt.% data from comparative data of the Handbook of Mineralogy is, again, due to mineral’s instability in the microprobe column.

Table 3. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX mine juangodoyite (sample CuR1A, crystal 1: analyses 1–7; crystal 2: analyses 8–10).

| Analysis no.: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| CuO         | 33.12 | 32.43 | 33.80 | 33.18 | 32.87 | 33.31 | 32.91 | 33.63 | 33.86 | 32.80 | 33.77 |
| Na\(_2\text{O}\) | 29.18 | 30.46 | 30.42 | 29.04 | 33.38 | 31.89 | 32.65 | 33.44 | 33.88 | 32.35 | 28.27 |
| Total-1     | 62.30 | 62.88 | 64.23 | 62.22 | 66.26 | 65.20 | 65.55 | 67.08 | 67.75 | 65.15 |     |
| CO\(_3\)\(_2\) | 36.65 | 35.88 | 37.41 | 36.71 | 36.22 | 36.26 | 36.55 | 36.78 | 37.41 | 37.47 | 36.45 |
| Total-2     | 98.95 | 98.77 | 101.63 | 98.93 | 102.63 | 102.06 | 101.97 | 104.30 | 105.22 | 101.45 | 100.49 |
| Cu          | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |     |
| Na          | 2.26 | 2.41 | 2.31 | 2.25 | 2.61 | 2.46 | 2.55 | 2.55 | 2.57 | 2.57 |     |
| Na\(_2\)\(_3\)\(_2\) | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |     |
| (CO\(_3\)\(_2\))\(_2\) | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |     |
| beam amperage [nA] | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |     |
| spot size [µm] | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |     |

Unit Cell Parameters

| sample | \(a\) [Å] | \(b\) [Å] | \(c\) [Å] | \(\beta\) [°] | \(R_{wp}\) [%] | GOF [%] |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| CuR1   | 6.170(1) | 8.177(4) | 5.565(4) | 116.20(4) | 8.11         | 1.21    |
| CuR3   | 6.110(8) | 8.10(1)  | 5.655(3) | 116.47(11) | 17.09        | 2.14    |
| CuR4   | 6.110(9) | 8.25(2)  | 5.618(5) | 116.23(12) | 10.54        | 1.65    |

1 comparative composition from [41], Santa Rosa mine material; \(^2\) Si, Al, Fe, Mn, Zn, Mg, Ca, Sr, and K were analyzed but not detected; \(^3\) backward calculation with wt.% derived from stoichiometrically calculated, charge-balance amount of CO\(_3\)\(_2\); \(^4\) occupancy-normalized and ideal amount.
Table 4. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX mine chalconatronite (sample CuR4).

| Analysis no.: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| wt.%         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |
| SiO₂         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.48 |
| CuO          | 33.51 | 33.03 | 34.19 | 33.72 | 32.61 | 33.39 | 33.89 | 32.55 | 32.75 | 36.59 | 38.97 | 29.2 |
| CaO          | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Na₂O         | 30.49 | 30.23 | 31.89 | 31.63 | 29.72 | 30.27 | 29.27 | 30.83 | 29.43 | 25.76 | 28.75 | 19.7 |
| Total-1      | 64.60 | 63.48 | 66.08 | 65.61 | 62.60 | 63.65 | 63.16 | 63.41 | 62.39 | 62.59 | 68.20 |   |
| CO₂         | 37.85 | 36.89 | 37.83 | 37.71 | 36.51 | 36.94 | 37.50 | 36.07 | 36.56 | 38.36 | 41.62 | 30.5 |
| H₂O         | 0.89 | 0.52 | 1.05 | 19.0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Total-2      | 102.24 | 100.37 | 103.91 | 103.32 | 99.11 | 100.60 | 100.66 | 99.48 | 98.95 | 100.95 | 109.82 | 99.4 |
| apfu [Σ(Cu, Ca, Mg) = 1 basis (analyses 1–9); 3 cations (analyses 10 & 11)] | 0.01 | 0.02 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Si           | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.07 | 1.04 |   |
| Cu           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |   |
| Mg           | 2.29 | 2.33 | 2.39 | 2.38 | 2.31 | 2.33 | 2.22 | 2.43 | 2.29 | 1.93 | 1.96 |   |
| Na           | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |   |
| Na₂O         | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |   |

Unit Cell Parameters

| sample     | a [Å] | b [Å] | c [Å] | β [°] | R_{wp} [%] | GOF [%] |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|--------|
| CuR1       | 9.694(1) | 6.0937(7) | 13.7845(1) | 91.902(8) | 8.11 | 1.21 |
| CuR3       | 9.61(1) | 6.099(5) | 13.788(6) | 91.77(7) | 17.09 | 2.14 |
| RSR9-3     | 9.686(2) | 6.096(2) | 13.786(3) | 91.88(21) | 19.53 | 1.48 |

¹ Two analyses of dioptase-like crystals from side part of the sample; ² comparative composition from [41]. Egyptian patina material; ³ S, Al, Fe, Mn, Zn, Mg, Sr, and K were analyzed but not detected; ⁴ backward calculation from amount of CO₃²⁻ set as ideal content; ⁵ by difference (100-Total-wt.% CO₂); ⁶ occupancy-normalized and ideal amount.

The Na-Cu carbonates are closely associated with slightly magnesian malachite (Table 5). Large chalconatronite crystals were observed to be intergrown with quartz (which may include the latter) and bearing inclusions of chalcocite (“type 1”, Figure 6b). Some chalcocites bear numerous, tiny inclusions of chemically pure pyrite or marcasite. Chalcocite may also enclose strontian aragonite with single inclusions of a Cu–O–Cl phase (non-analytical). Malachite is either found to be directly overgrowing chalconatronite, including it, or residing between quartz and chalcocite inclusions in chalconatronite (Figure 6c). The first type bears less Mg (analyses 1–5, Table 5); its empirical formula based on a larger analytical representation (n = 9) is (Cu₁.₉₂Mg₀.₄₁Na₀.₀₁)₉CO₃OH. This, when omitting Na, corresponds to the mean end-member representation of Mal₉₈Mgc₂ where Mal stands for malachite and Mgc for mcguinnessite. Second-type malachite, forming much larger, ovoid-shaped but also typical, rounded aggregates (“type 2”, Figure 6b), is more magnesian: (Cu₁.₈₅Mg₀.₁₀Na₀.₀₅)₉CO₃OH. This formula corresponds to normalized (Na-devoid) representation of Mal₉₅Mgc₅. An additional, very minor phase was observed as thin (up to ca. 9 μm thick and ca. 45 μm in length), lobate, curved accumulation at a malachite-chalcocite interface (Figure 6c, phase “U”). Only a single analysis could be obtained. Its chemical composition (analysis no. 12 in Table 5) does not fit to any known mineral species.
Table 5. Results of representative EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX mine malachite (sample CuR4; analyses 1–11) and an unnamed inclusion phase (analysis 12).

| Analysis no.: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| wt.% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SO$_3$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SiO$_2$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.71 |
| FeO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.00 |
| CuO | 67.34 | 67.02 | 67.72 | 70.04 | 66.34 | 67.92 | 65.01 | 66.48 | 68.08 | 67.17 | 67.31 | 81.86 |
| MgO | 0.76 | 0.70 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.00 |
| CaO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| Na$_2$O | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.00 |
| Total-1 | 68.60 | 68.38 | 68.69 | 71.42 | 66.98 | 70.95 | 68.26 | 69.43 | 70.73 | 69.29 | 69.55 | 84.45 |
| CO$_2$ | 19.04 | 18.93 | 18.92 | 19.85 | 18.56 | 20.01 | 19.31 | 19.51 | 19.94 | 19.40 | 19.48 | |
| H$_2$O | 12.36 | 12.69 | 12.38 | 8.73 | 14.46 | 9.04 | 12.42 | 11.06 | 9.33 | 11.34 | 10.97 | |
| Total-2 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

apfu [2 cations basis]

| | SO$_4^{2-}$ | Cu | Mg | Na | (CO$_3^{2-})$ | (OH)$^-$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.01 | 1.92 | 1.91 | 1.93 | 1.92 | 1.96 | 1.83 | 1.81 | 1.84 | 1.85 | 1.87 | 1.87 |
| 0.00 | 1.91 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| 10.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 5.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.97 | 1.97 | 1.99 |

End-Members [%] 7

| Mal | 98 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 97 | 99 | 93 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 95 | 94 |
| Mgg | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 |

beam amperage (nA) 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
spot size (µm) 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Unit Cell Parameters

| sample | a [Å] | b [Å] | c [Å] | β [°] | R$_{wp}$ [%] | GOF [%] |
| CuR1 | 9.499(3) | 11.952(2) | 3.243(1) | 98.72(4) | 8.11 | 1.21 |
| CuR3 | 9.50(2) | 11.95(2) | 3.238(4) | 98.61(1) | 17.09 | 2.14 |

A very interesting, though relatively subordinate, Cu-bearing K-Na-Ca-Mg(Fe) aluminosilicate phase is occasionally found as an inclusion in juangodoyite (Figure 6a). The results of the EPMA analyses of this phase are reported in Table 6. This phase is somewhat reminiscent of the Cu-bearing glauconite of [42] which, however, has >7 wt.% MgO, >6 wt.% Na$_2$O, and just up to 2.02 wt.% CuO. Recasting the first analysis to muscovite stoichiometry gives the empirical formula of (K$_0.67$Cu$_0.30$Na$_0.03$Ca$_0.03$)$_{1.00}$(Al$_{1.57}$Mg$_{0.26}$Cu$_{0.10}$Fe$_{0.07}$)$_{12.00}$(Al$_{0.42}$Si$_{3.58}$O$_{10}$)(OH)$_{1.45}$O$_{0.55}$. The second analysis listed gives the (K$_0.61$Cu$_0.16$Na$_0.06$Ca$_0.06$)$_{0.98}$(Al$_{1.56}$Mg$_{0.26}$Cu$_{0.15}$Fe$_{0.03}$)$_{12.00}$(Al$_{0.51}$Si$_{3.49}$O$_{10}$)(OH)$_{1.30}$O$_{0.62}$S$_{0.08}$. However, our species do not recast to such stoichiometry.
Table 6. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX Cu-bearing aluminosilicates.

| Analysis no.: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|
| SO\(_3\)     | 0.00 | 1.45 | 0.26 | 0.00 |
| SiO\(_2\)    | 51.33 | 50.29 | 15.29 | 31.25 |
| TiO\(_2\)    | n.a. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 24.41 | 25.35 | 7.08 | 13.93 |
| FeO          | 1.15 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MnO          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CuO          | 7.65 | 5.98 | 4.58 | 7.31 |
| ZnO          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MgO          | 2.49 | 2.49 | 0.71 | 1.45 |
| CaO          | 0.41 | 0.82 | 33.60 | 15.65 |
| Na\(_2\)O    | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.31 | 0.42 |
| SrO          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| K\(_2\)O     | 7.57 | 6.94 | 1.78 | 3.82 |
| Total-1      | 94.81 | 95.06 | 63.62 | 73.84 |
| H\(_2\)O\(^3\) | 5.19 | 4.94 | 36.39 | 26.16 |
| Total-2      | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

apfu (7 cations basis)

| Element | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| Si      | 3.58 | 3.49 |   |   |
| Al      | 1.99 | 2.07 |   |   |
| Fe\(^{3+}\) | 0.07 | 0.03 |   |   |
| Cu      | 0.40 | 0.31 |   |   |
| Mg      | 0.26 | 0.26 |   |   |
| Ca      | 0.03 | 0.06 |   |   |
| Na      |   | 0.15 |   |   |
| K       | 0.67 | 0.61 |   |   |
| OH\(^-\) | 1.45 | 0.62 |   |   |
| O\(^2-\) | 0.55 | 1.30 |   |   |
| S\(^2-\) | 0.55 | 0.08 |   |   |
| VI\(^{\text{al}}\) | 1.57 | 1.56 |   |   |
| IV\(^{\text{al}}\) | 0.42 | 0.51 |   |   |
| beam amperage (nA) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| spot size (µm) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

1 analyses 1 & 2: a Cu-mica-like material; analyses 3 & 4—a Ca-rich Cu-bearing aluminosilicate; \(^{+}\) not analyzed; \(^{\text{by difference.}}\)

Another interesting element of the Rudna IX samples are white aggregates of carbonate minerals. Their cores are made of strontian aragonite, \((\text{Ca}_{0.95}\text{Na}_{0.03}\text{Mg}_{0.02}\text{Sr}_{0.01})\Sigma_{1.01}(\text{CO}_3)_{1.00}\) \((n = 5; \text{Table 7})\) corresponding to \(\text{Arg}_{0.95}\text{Ntr}_{0.3}\text{Str}_{1}\text{Mgs}_{1}\) where Arg is aragonite, Str is strontianite, Mgs is magnesite end-members, and Ntr is natrite \((\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3)\) equivalent.

The occurrence of Na admixture in the aragonite seems somewhat aberrant, but the areas and aragonite individuals analyzed are large and devoid of visible inclusions. Also, Na\(^+\) distribution in calcites and aragonites is mentioned by [44]. Some separate, relatively large grains of core-forming aragonite (Figure 6d) are locally intergrown with BSE-dark phase—hydromagnesite, Mg\(_5\)(CO\(_3\))\(_4\)(OH)\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O—and a medium-dark external (rim-forming) phase of a composition suggesting northupite, Na\(_3\)Mg(CO\(_3\))\(_2\)Cl. The latter is probably a mixture or northupite and magnesite. The crystal chemistry of hydromagnesite \((n = 3; \text{derived from data in Table 8})\) may be expressed as \((\text{Mg}_{4.95}\text{Cu}_{0.05}\text{Ca}_{0.02})\Sigma_{5.00}(\text{CO}_3)_{4.00}(\text{OH})_{2.00}·4.80\text{H}_2\text{O}\). This leads to 98% of the hydromagnesite member content, the remainder being attributed to unknown Cu- and Ca-dominant analogues.
Table 7. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX strontian aragonite (CuR1B sample).

| Analysis no.: | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| wt.%         |     |     |     |     |     |
| SO₃          | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.00 |
| MgO          | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| CaO          | 52.45 | 53.96 | 53.65 | 54.08 | 52.71 |
| SrO          | 1.12 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.96 |
| Na₂O         | 1.50 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.79 | 1.34 |
| Total-1      | 56.17 | 55.81 | 55.63 | 56.17 | 55.58 |
| CO₂²⁻        | 44.70 | 43.66 | 43.40 | 43.91 | 44.30 |
| Total-2      | 100.87 | 99.47 | 99.02 | 100.08 | 99.87 |

| apfu [1 cation basis] |     |     |     |     |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| SO₄²⁻                 | 0.01 | 0.01 |     |     |
| Mg                    | 0.02 |     |     |     |
| Ca                    | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Sr                    | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Na                    | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| (CO₃²⁻)³              | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 |

| End-Members [%]        |     |     |     |     |
|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Ar                     | 92  | 97  | 97  | 97  |
| Mgs                    | 2   |     |     |     |
| Str                    | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| Ntr                    | 5   | 2   | 2   | 3   |
| beam amperage (nA)      | 3   | 3   | 3   | 3   |
| spot size (µm)          | 15  | 15  | 15  | 15  |

| Unit Cell Parameters   |     |     |     |     |
|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| sample                 |     |     |     |     |
| CuR1b                  | 4.9645(4) | 7.9692(7) | 5.7542(4) | 11.79 | 1.04 |
| CuR3b                  | 4.963(1)  | 7.974(3)  | 5.760(1)  | 17.75 | 1.35 |
| CuR4                   | 5.00(1)   | 8.08(3)   | 5.80(2)   | 10.54 | 1.65 |
| RSR9-4                 | 4.9610(5) | 7.9788(8) | 5.7611(8) | 14.34 | 0.68 |

1 Si, Ti, Al, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, and K were analyzed but not detected; 2 backward calculation from amount of CO₃²⁻ set as ideal content; 3 occupancy-normalized ideal amounts; 4 see main text for details.

The water content deviation from the ideal content may be related to both material destruction under the electron beam and the porous nature of the aggregates. The latter is also confirmed by analyses no. 4–6 in the same table, with apfu data calculated the same way as for hydromagnesite. The identity of the phase related to the latter three analyses is, however, unclear, especially as no higher-hydrate counterparts of hydromagnesite, like giorgiosite, were found in course of the PXRD analysis.

The following ratios were calculated and compared for the northupite-like substance under study (n = 8; Table 9) and northupite data from [41]: Na₂O/(Mg,Cu, Ca)O, metal oxides/(CO₂ + SO₃), metal oxides/Cl, and Cl/(CO₂ + SO₃). The corresponding values are 1.09, 1.42, 4.97, and 0.29; 2.30, 1.50, 3.76, and 0.40, respectively. After excluding the first analysis used for the correct beam set, recasting the remaining analyses (nos. 2–8) with factor based on five cations gives the empirical formula of Na₃.₀₆(Mg₁.₈₇Cu₀.₀₅Ca₀.₀₂)Σ₁.₉₄(OCO₃)₂.₉₀(SO₄)₀.₀₂Σ₂.₉₂Cl₁.₀₆ which may later be burst to Na₃.₀₆(Mg₀.₇₉Cu₀.₀₅Ca₀.₀₂)Σ₂.₀₆((CO₃)₁.₈₂(SO₄)₀.₀₂Σ₁.₈₂Cl₁.₀₆ + Mg₁.₀₈(CO₃)₁.₀₈). The latter procedure is preceded by the calculation of the positive charge, the subtraction of the Cl⁻ charge, the balancing of the M²⁺ site to occupancy of 1.₀₀ (1 – apfu(Cu) – apfu(Ca) = M₈northupite), the balancing of the remaining charge by the CO₃²⁻ groups, and finally relocating the remaining CO₃²⁻ and Mg²⁺ to MgCO₃. This is equal to Mg distribution derived from ideal Na/M ratio for northupite, calculated by proportion. The carbonate ion inclusion in the above formulas is argued by close association with aragonite. The only
other anion that could exist in place and would explain large wt.% deficiency is OH$^-$, which is unlikely (Na-Mg-OH-Cl phase is unknown). Halite is also unlikely to exists as a part of the mixture studied due to non-congruent Na/Cl ratio. The northupite-related analyses were also recast with one (Mg + Cu + Ca) cation basis, which does not give good results. The final, normalized empirical formula of northupite is Na$_{3.06}$ (Mg$_{0.93}$ Cu$_{0.05}$ Ca$_{0.02}$)$_{\Sigma 1.00}$ [(CO$_3$)$_{1.97}$ (SO$_4$)$_{0.02}$]$_{\Sigma 1.99}$ Cl$_{1.06}$, with an average northupite end-member representation of 93%.

### Table 8. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX hydromagnesite (analyses 1–3) and a related species (CuR1B sample).

| Analysis no.: | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  |
|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| CuO         | 1.13 | 1.29 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 |
| MgO         | 40.53 | 40.84 | 40.71 | 30.64 | 24.65 | 29.17 |
| CaO         | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| Total-1     | 41.81 | 42.36 | 42.52 | 30.79 | 24.65 | 30.25 |
| CO$_2$      | 36.00 | 36.39 | 36.41 | 26.86 | 21.53 | 25.99 |
| H$_2$O      | 22.19 | 21.25 | 21.07 | 42.35 | 53.81 | 43.76 |
| Total-2     | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

#### apfu or mpfu [5 cations basis]

| Mg          | 4.92 | 4.90 | 4.88 |
| Cu          | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| Ca          | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| (CO$_3^{2-}$) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| (OH$^-$)    | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| (H$_2$O)    | 5.02 | 4.71 | 4.66 |

Mg-dominant member content (%) 98 98 98 98 100 98

Table 8: Results of the EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX hydromagnesite (analyses 1–3) and a related species (CuR1B sample).

The generally white accumulations are locally greenish due to inclusions of either single microcrystals or veinlets of a Cu–Cl–O phase. According to the PXRD data, this phase is represented by herbertsmithite (or an isostructural substance). However, no Zn- or Mg-bearing Cu–Cl–O species were found in the material portions selected for the EPMA study. Instead, the EPMA analyses (Table 10) recast to clinoatacamite stoichiometry, which is in compliance to the PXRD data for other portions of the material. The recasted EPMA data, indeed, give the OH:Cl ratio of 2.99:0.99. Clinoatacamites analysed in two separate samples, CuR1B and CuR3, are chemically very similar and their chemistry may be expressed as (Cu$_{1.95}$ Mg$_{0.05}$)$_{\Sigma 2.00}$ (OH)$_{3.00}$ Cl$_{1.00}$ ($n = 11$), and (Cu$_{1.97}$ Mg$_{0.03}$)$_{\Sigma 2.00}$ (OH)$_{3.03}$ Cl$_{0.97}$ ($n = 6$), respectively. The formulas suggest a respective 2% and 1% mean part of “anhydrous korshunovskite counterpart”, Mg$_2$(OH)$_3$Cl.
The admixing in the sample CuR3a langite is characterized by the parameters calculated for herbertsmithite of the samples CuR1A, CuR1B, and CuR6, which are very similar to those available in the literature ([40]). Although pure (as shown below), clinoatacamite and \( \beta \) respectively. The corresponding melanterite data are: \( a = 10.572(2) \), \( b = 6.883(2) \), \( c = 14.203(9) \), and \( \beta = 109.75(2) \). The unit cell parameters of juangodoyite from different samples vary only by up to 1%. Just in a single case—also in terms of the whole unit cell parameters calculated within the current study—the difference reaches 7%. The unit cell edges calculated for the different samples of chalconatronite are both similar to each other and to the synthetic material data. A maximum difference for the \( \beta \) angle is 0.14% (inter-sample comparison) or 0.57% (sample–literature data comparison). The malachite crystallography data also do not stand out. The unit cell parameters of aragonite show very low to low differences in both the intra-sample and sample–literature comparisons—the variations are expected due to the below-described substitutions. The data obtained for hydromagnesite and northupite are also very similar to those available in the literature ([40]). Although pure (as shown below), clinoatacamite shows slightly higher intra-sample variation ranges, being 1.1% for the parameter \( \beta \). The unit cell parameters calculated for herbertsmithite of the samples CuR1A, CuR1B, and CuR6, are: \( a = 6.792(5) \) and \( c = 14.15(4) \) Å; \( a = 6.829(3) \) and \( c = 14.08(1) \) Å; and \( a = 6.821(2) \) and \( c = 14.063(7) \) Å, respectively. The crystallographical description of cornwallite is: \( a = 4.593(9) \), \( b = 5.703(2) \), \( c = 17.52(4) \), \( \beta = 92.72(16) \). The admixing in the sample CuR3a langite is characterized by the parameters \( a = 7.158(2) \), \( b = 6.08(2) \), and \( c = 11.33(12) \) Å, and \( \beta = 89.45(32) \). The eriochalcite unit cell is characterized by the unit cell parameters \( a = 7.44(4) \), \( b = 8.07(5) \), \( c = 3.74(4) \) Å (sample RSR9-1) and \( a = 7.490(9) \), \( b = 8.010(8) \), \( c = 3.725(3) \) Å (sample RSR9-3). The data for kröhnkite are: \( a = 5.795(5) \), \( b = 12.59(2) \), \( c = 5.54(8) \) Å, and \( \beta = 108.28(8) \). The corresponding melanterite data are: \( a = 14.121(9) \), \( b = 6.475(4) \), \( c = 11.089(3) \) Å, and \( \beta = 105.76(33) \). Halite associating with aragonite has the unit cell parameter \( a = 5.64(8) \).
The value derived for halite present—together with possible traces of eriochalcite—in the matrix of chalconatronite and juangodoyite is \(a = 5.9429(7) \, \text{Å}\); and that for the material of the sample CuR6 is 5.6416(2) \, \text{Å}. The second-batch halite unit cell parameter \(a\) is equal to 5.6400(2) (sample RSR9-1) and 5.637(2) \, \text{Å} (sample RSR9-2).

**Table 10.** Results of the EPMA analyses of the Rudna IX clinoatacamite (analyses 1–6: CuR1B sample; analyses 7–12: CuR3 sample).

| Analysis no.: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| wt.%        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |
| CuO         | 70.25 | 69.17 | 70.26 | 69.27 | 66.62 | 67.26 | 67.06 | 69.46 | 66.80 | 68.11 | 69.13 | 66.90 |
| MgO         | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 1.23 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 0.21 | 1.19 | 0.20 | 0.82 | 0.49 |
| K₂O         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cl           | 16.14 | 16.06 | 15.29 | 13.83 | 13.36 | 16.10 | 15.99 | 15.60 | 14.79 | 15.92 | 15.13 | 15.56 |
| Total-1      | 86.39 | 85.43 | 85.84 | 84.33 | 81.64 | 83.37 | 84.36 | 84.36 | 82.90 | 84.30 | 85.07 | 82.95 |
| H₂O₂         | 13.61 | 14.57 | 14.16 | 15.67 | 18.37 | 16.63 | 15.56 | 14.73 | 17.10 | 15.70 | 14.93 | 17.05 |
| **Total-2**  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

| apfu [2 cations basis] |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Cu                      | 2.00 | 1.99 | 1.98 | 1.93 | 1.91 | 2.00 | 1.93 | 1.99 | 1.93 | 1.99 | 1.95 | 1.97 |
| Mg                      | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| K                       | 0.01 |
| Cl                      | 1.03 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 1.07 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 0.96 | 1.03 |
| OH⁻³                    | 3.39 | 3.67 | 3.47 | 3.74 | 4.52 | 4.30 | 3.97 | 3.72 | 4.27 | 3.99 | 3.71 | 4.38 |
| OH⁻⁴                    | 2.97 | 2.96 | 3.03 | 3.13 | 3.14 | 2.93 | 2.97 | 3.00 | 3.05 | 2.96 | 3.04 | 2.97 |

| beam amperage (nA) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| spot size (µm)     | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  |

| Unit Cell Parameters |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| sample               |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| CuR1A               | 6.148(3) | 6.830(4) | 9.153(5) | 99.55(7) | 11.79 | 1.04 |
| CuR1B               | 6.18(5) | 6.750(8) | 9.06(2) | 100.65(69) | 12.14 | 1.09 |
| RSR9-3              | 6.17(4) | 6.80(3) | 9.21(2) | 100.27(49) | 19.53 | 1.48 |

| ₁Fe, Co, Ni, Zn, Pb, and Ca were analyzed but not detected; ² by difference (100–Total-1); ³ by direct calculation from wt.% H₂O; ⁴ normalized content.

3.2.2. The Lubin Główny Minerals

The Lubin Główny rapidcreekite is chemically very pure (Table 11). Its empirical formula, based on 16 datapoints, is \(\text{Ca}_{2.00}(\text{SO}_4)_{0.97}(\text{CO}_3)_{1.03}·4.23\text{H}_2\text{O}\). The main component of the Lubin Główny assemblage—monohydrocalcite—is, as opposed to rapidcreekite, enriched in Cu, Zn, and possibly As. (Table 12). Most of the analyses were derived from a profile of datapoints, and the profile line was set in a microarea free of visible inclusions of the mentioned CuZnNiCoCa(MnMg) arsenate. However, juxtaposing \(\text{As}_2\text{O}_5\)-CuO, \(\text{As}_2\text{O}_5\)-ZnO, and CuO-ZnO (but not \(\text{As}_2\text{O}_5\)-\(\text{SO}_3\)) in a Pearson-correlation manner suggests strong positive trends, although not that evident for the \(\text{As}_2\text{O}_5\)-ZnO pair. As such, we suspect the monohydrocalcite itself of being devoid of As, and bearing small amounts of Zn, Cu, and S—as shown in Table 12. The analyses listed here concern spots with either lacking or minor As amounts.

The unit cell parameters calculated for rapidcreekite resemble those found in the literature (both References [31] and [40]). The same is true for monohydrocalcite. Slight difference in the latter case may be related to minor substitutions, as shown below. The relatively BSE-bright aragonite has the following unit cell parameters: \(a = 4.9671(4), b = 7.9763(6), \) and \(c = 5.7534(5) \, \text{Å}\) (sample MS-Cu1); \(a = 4.9616(8), b = 7.969(2), \) and \(c = 5.7466(8) \, \text{Å}\) (sample Cu4). The corresponding values for vaterite are: \(a = 4.14(1), \) and \(c = 8.41(1); a = 4.089(3), \) and \(c = 8.501(2) \, \text{Å}\). The unit cell parameters of the minor, associated Cu hydrous sulfates of the sample MS-Cu1 are as follows: \(a = 7.166(7), b = 6.000(7), \) and \(c = 11.151(7) \, \text{Å}, \) \(\beta = 87.73(9)^\circ\) (langite); \(a = 6.088(6), b = 5.645(5), \) and \(c = 14.36(1) \, \text{Å}, \) \(\beta = 117.59(7)^\circ\) (wroewolfeite).
### Table 11. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Lubin Główny rapidcreektite (sample Cu4).

| Analysis no.: | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11  |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| SO$_3$ wt.%   | 26.60 | 25.47 | 25.40 | 24.45 | 24.90 | 24.23 | 24.83 | 24.75 | 24.83 | 24.25 | 26.1 |
| CuO           | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CaO           | 38.51 | 36.35 | 36.48 | 35.86 | 36.07 | 36.11 | 36.04 | 36.48 | 36.31 | 36.61 | 36.3 |
| Total-1       | 65.10 | 61.82 | 62.12 | 60.40 | 60.97 | 60.87 | 61.24 | 61.04 | 60.86 | 61.14 | 60.86 |
| CO$_2$        | 15.60 | 14.53 | 14.81 | 14.66 | 15.02 | 14.64 | 14.85 | 15.03 | 14.85 | 14.50 | 14.0 |
| H$_2$O         | 19.30 | 23.66 | 23.05 | 24.94 | 24.40 | 24.64 | 24.49 | 24.74 | 24.02 | 23.74 | 23.6 |
| Total-2       | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| apfu [2 cations basis]               |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| SO$_4^{2-}$   | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.93 |
| Cu            | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Ca            | 2.00 | 1.99 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| (CO$_3^{2-}$)$_5$ | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.07 |
| H$_2$O         | 3.11 | 4.04 | 3.91 | 4.32 | 4.20 | 4.24 | 4.22 | 4.04 | 4.11 | 4.03 | 4.03 |

#### Unit Cell Parameters

| Sample | $a$ [Å] | $b$ [Å] | $c$ [Å] | $R_{wp}$ [%] | GOF [%] |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|--------|
| Cu4    | 15.505(3) | 19.22(2) | 6.165(2) | 16.46 | 1.37 |

1 Comparative composition from [23]; type-locality material; 2 only the non-zero records reported; 3 backward calculation after setting amount of CO$_3^{2-}$ by charge balance and stoichiometry; 4 by difference (100–Total-1-wt.% CO$_2$); 5 by charge balance and stoichiometry; 6 by direct calculation from wt.% H$_2$O.

### Table 12. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Lubin Główny cuprian-zincian monohydrocalcite (sample Cu4).

| Analysis no.: | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11  |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| As$_2$O$_5$   | 0.80 | 0.56 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| P$_2$O$_5$    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SO$_3$       | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.62 |
| SiO$_2$      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Al$_2$O$_3$  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FeO          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MnO          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CuO          | 3.53 | 3.01 | 2.37 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 |
| ZnO          | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MgO          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CaO          | 41.77 | 42.50 | 41.83 | 45.93 | 43.59 | 43.59 | 43.59 | 43.59 | 43.59 | 43.59 | 43.59 |
| SrO          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Na$_2$O      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| K$_2$O       | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total-1      | 46.75 | 46.96 | 45.60 | 46.73 | 45.96 | 45.76 | 46.29 | 45.81 | 45.44 | 44.36 | 44.76 |
| CO$_2$       | 34.50 | 34.94 | 34.07 | 36.08 | 35.07 | 35.29 | 35.47 | 35.11 | 34.77 | 33.43 | 33.95 |
| Total-2      | 81.26 | 81.90 | 79.68 | 82.81 | 81.02 | 81.06 | 81.76 | 80.93 | 80.20 | 78.19 | 78.70 |

#### apfu [1 cation basis]

| Sample | $a$ [Å] | $c$ [Å] | $R_{wp}$ [%] | GOF [%] |
|--------|---------|---------|-------------|--------|
| MS-Cu1 | 10.564(2) | 7.548(3) | 16.00 | 1.33 |
| Cu4    | 10.8512(9) | 7.5483(1) | 16.46 | 1.37 |

1 Not analyzed; 2 backward calculation from amount of CO$_3^{2-}$ set as ideal content.
The arsenate phase either forms very thin, ribbon-like, curved veinlets within monohydrocalcite-aragonite aggregates, or tiny (up to ~60 µm in length) crystals included in rapidcreekite (Figure 6e). However, compact aggregates, up to 20 µm thick, covering aragonite (Figure 6f) are more frequent. The analyses of the arsenate phase are to be found in Table 13. The manipulation of the measurement conditions, both the beam current and size, does not impact any larger changes in the wt.% results. Two slightly different crystallochemical types of the species were identified, coming from two separate fragments of the dripstone material. The first one (analyses 1–5) is slightly more cuprian (31.59 wt.% versus 26.13 wt.% CuO) and arsenian (20.23 wt.% versus 18.59 wt.% As₂O₅), but less calcian (4.02 versus 6.58 wt.% CaO) than the second variety. The latter, coming from a dolomite-rich sample, is also characterized by a slightly elevated average SiO₂ content—0.38 wt.% as compared to 0.25—and may bear more Na. It is also slightly more magesian (mean 0.59 wt.% MgO against 0.44) and clearly more calcian (mean 6.58 wt.% CaO against 4.02). Both varieties show similar SO₃ concentration: ~0.26 wt.% SO₃. The ZnO, NiO, CoO, MgO, and SiO₂ concentrations are also close, being 16.02 and 17.64; 1.22 and 1.26; 1.25 and 1.34; 0.44 and 0.59; and 0.25 and 0.38, respectively. The first variety seems to be more mangani- and stibiferous. Phosphorus is an occasional guest in the structure.

Table 13. Results of the EPMA analyses of the Lubin Główny CuZnNiCoCa(MnMg) arsenate phase (analyses 1–5: first microarea; analyses 6–9; second microarea).

| Analysis no.: | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| wt.%         |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| As₂O₅        | 19.78 | 20.41 | 19.75 | 20.53 | 20.67 | 16.90 | 19.66 | 17.60 | 20.22 |
| Sb₂O₅        | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| P₂O₅         | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SO₃          | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 0.11 |
| SiO₂         | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.39 |
| MnO          | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CuO          | 29.70 | 33.60 | 30.71 | 32.24 | 31.68 | 24.48 | 26.18 | 25.35 | 28.51 |
| ZnO          | 16.01 | 15.59 | 16.57 | 15.86 | 16.05 | 16.05 | 19.60 | 17.08 | 16.90 |
| CoO          | 4.36 | 3.86 | 4.33 | 3.77 | 3.76 | 5.59 | 5.70 | 8.55 | 6.47 |
| NiO          | 1.31 | 1.12 | 1.35 | 1.11 | 1.34 | 1.48 | 1.32 | 1.35 | 1.22 |
| MgO          | 1.17 | 1.08 | 1.47 | 1.01 | 1.37 | 1.41 | 1.10 | 1.14 | 1.39 |
| MnO          | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.64 |
| CuO          | 4.56 | 3.86 | 4.33 | 3.77 | 3.76 | 5.59 | 5.70 | 8.55 | 6.47 |
| Na₂O         | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| Total-1      | 73.41 | 77.27 | 75.68 | 76.46 | 76.99 | 71.87 | 73.16 | 72.81 | 76.09 |
| H₂O²         | 26.59 | 22.73 | 24.32 | 23.54 | 23.01 | 28.13 | 26.85 | 27.19 | 23.91 |
| Total-2      | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| apfu [9 cations basis] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| AsO₄³⁻       | 2.23 | 2.20 | 2.15 | 2.21 | 2.22 | 1.83 | 2.18 | 1.84 | 2.16 |
| SiO₄⁴⁻       | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| SbO₄³⁻       | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| PO₄³⁻        | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| SO₄²⁻        | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Mn            | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 |    |    |
| Cu            | 4.85 | 5.23 | 4.83 | 5.01 | 4.91 | 3.82 | 4.20 | 3.82 | 4.40 |
| Zn            | 2.55 | 2.37 | 2.55 | 2.41 | 2.43 | 2.99 | 2.68 | 2.49 | 2.56 |
| Co            | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| Ni            | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.23 |
| Mg            | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| Ca            | 1.01 | 0.85 | 0.97 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 1.24 | 1.30 | 1.83 | 1.42 |
| Na            | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.29 |    |    |
| H             | 38.26 | 31.16 | 33.72 | 32.22 | 31.47 | 38.71 | 37.96 | 36.13 | 32.52 |
| beam amperage (nA) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| spot size (µm)  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 5  | 0 |

1 Al, Fe, Sr, Pb, K, and Cl were analyzed but not detected; 2 by difference (100–Total-1).
The mineral studied could not be recast to any of the 36 currently known, accepted or questionable Cu arsenate minerals. The chemical composition and, possibly, stoichiometry resembles that of sabelliite, \((\text{Cu},\text{Zn})_2\text{Zn(AsO}_4\text{)}_2(\text{OH})_3\), or parnauite, \(\text{Cu}_9(\text{AsO}_4)_2(\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{OH})_{10}^\cdot7\text{H}_2\text{O}\). Recasting the mineral to sabelliite (3 cations factor basis) requires an assumption of \(\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) substitution for balancing both the charge and tetrahedral (T) site occupancy (as, e.g., in the apatite supergroup; e.g., [45]). The T-site occupancy balance cannot be assured by \(\text{OH}^-\) substitution, i.e., protonation of the \(\text{AsO}_4^{3-}\) ion, as it would not allow for maintaining neutral charge. Nominally unknown in sabelliite carbonate, substitution is possible in the structure of parnauite [46]. Recasting to parnauite (nine cations basis) gives the following, corresponding formulas:

\[
\text{Cu}_9(\text{AsO}_4)_2(\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{OH})_{10}^\cdot7\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Cu}_9(\text{AsO}_4)_2(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{OH})_{10}^\cdot7\text{H}_2\text{O}.
\]

The holotype (i.e., type-locality) juangodoyite, as described by [1], was found to be “bright ultramarine”, fine pseudomorphs after chalconatronite laths, with individuals as small as up to 5 µm in diameter. The Chilean mineral is also associated with sanrománite, \(\text{Na}_2\text{CaPb(CO}_3\text{)}_3\text{Si}^\text{2+}\) malachite, calcite, anhydrite, trona, \(\text{Na}_3(\text{CO}_3)(\text{HCO}_3)^\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\), and nahcolite, \(\text{NaHCO}_3\). Although we do observe pseudomorphisation in the juangodoyite-chalconatronite system, non-pseudomorphosed chalconatronite crystals are also observed. This relates to both the azure-coloured, spear-like crystals and the teal-coloured, diopside-like crystals. The Chilean juangodoyite is said to readily hydrate to chalconatronite—a process lasting several hours. We do not observe such changes—in a macroscopic view—in our material. Such changes may, however, be hidden due to their possible microscale character. Also, the very dark, azure-like colour of our chalconatronite crystals is somewhat remarkable and is related to some difficulties in distinguishing the particular Na-Cu carbonate phase. A planned single-crystal study is expected to resolve such issues.

As in the case of the Rudna material, the holotype juangodoyite is very pure, with 28.27 wt.% \(\text{Na}_2\text{O}\), 33.77 wt.% \(\text{CuO}\), and 38.45 wt.% \(\text{CO}_3\) corresponding to \(\text{Na}_2\text{Cu}_9\text{O}_{10}(\text{C}_1\text{O}_9\text{H})_6\) empirical formula. The chalconatronite holotype has [in wt%]: 20.0 \(\text{Na}_2\text{O}\), 26.8 \(\text{CuO}\), 29.5 \(\text{CO}_3\), 20.4 \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), and 2.8 PbO (impurity from Cu-Sn bronze), 0.5 SiO\(_2\), and 0.6 R\(_2\)O\(_3\) (\(R = \text{Al}, \text{Fe, etc.}\) ) [4]. The Jáchymov material of [9] associated with pirsonite, \(\text{Na}_2\text{Ca(AsO}_4\text{)}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\), or gaylussite, \(\text{Na}_2\text{Ca(AsO}_4\text{)}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\), is slightly impure, as shown by the empirical formula: \(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3(\text{CuO})_9(\text{HCO}_3)_{1.95}(\text{PO}_4)_{0.02}(\text{AsO}_4)_{0.01}(\text{SiO}_2)_{0.01}\). 2.09.3\text{H}_2\text{O}.

According to [48], chalconatronite (or rather its synthetic equivalent) is formed at the expense of copper alloy artefacts exposed to \(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\) solutions in air, or via the interaction of the artefacts with...
soda glass. The Rudna IX Na-Cu carbonates were likely formed via an interaction of saline, CO$_2$-rich (and thus possibly also Na$_2$CO$_3$-saturated) mine waters with chalcocite, tennantite, and other Cu ore minerals undergoing weathering.

The holotype rapidcreekite is not the only product of surface weathering. The other minerals of this genesis include aragonite, gypsum, hydromagnesite, nesquehonite, and jarosite-group species [25]. The Diana Cave rapidcreekite occurs in a fault line with a hot spring (51 °C on average). The spring waters are almost pH-neutral and rich in SO$_4^{2-}$, Cl$^-$, Na$^+$, and Ca$^{2+}$ ions [31]. Such a description in reminiscent of the seepage phenomenon observed in the Lubin Główny mine. The Diana Cave rapidcreekite is slightly impure, with an empirical formula given as $(Ca_{1.98}Na_{0.03}Mg_{0.01})_2(SO_{0.97}O_4)(C(0.97)O_3)$·4H$_2$O and additional Al admixture of 0.07 wt.% oxide basis. The authors mentioned that their rapidcreekite analysis is second to date, only. The following reactions, starting from H$_2$SO$_4$, were suggested by them to lead to rapidcreekite crystallization:

$$2H^+ + SO_4^{2-} + 2CaCO_3 + 2H_2O \rightarrow Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^- + CaSO_4$$ (1)

$$Ca_{x}Na_{y-1}(CO_3)y(SO_4)_{1-y} ↔ xCa^{2+} + (1-x)Na^+ + yCO_3^{2-} + (1-y)SO_4^{2-}$$ (2)

$$3Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^- + SO_4^{2-} + 3H_2O \rightarrow Ca_2(SO_4)(CO_3)\cdot 4H_2O + "CaCO_3"$$ (3)

The second reaction concerns equilibrium between CO$_3^{2-}$-saturated, SO$_4^{2-}$-saturated and CO$_3$-SO$_4$-bearing solid(s), derived from [44]. The [44] team also cited [49,50] who dealt with rapidcreekite crystallization conditions, e.g., during nanofiltration of saturated CaSO$_4$ solution in the presence of CO$_3^{2-}$ ions. According to these authors, rapidcreekite, as a phase more soluble than calcite, needs lower aCO$_3$/$2$- to precipitate. Example activity conditions favouring rapidcreekite crystallization were described with a CO$_3^{2-}$/SO$_4^{2-}$ ratio of 4.5 × 10$^{-3}$. Rapidcreekite crystallization at the Diana Cave is suggested to take place at pH > 6.4 (i.e., above gypsum precipitation conditions) and CO$_3^{2-}$/SO$_4^{2-}$ ratio of 0.06–0.46. As such, the stability range of rapidcreekite is narrow, as also confirmed by the abundance of gypsum and calcite in the Lubin samples, suggestive of variations in the local conditions. The rapidcreekite crystallization window is located between the preceding gypsum and postdating CaCO$_3$ crystallization stages. Interestingly, the “CaCO$_3$” phase of the third reaction was interpreted by Onac’s team [44] as aragonite or vaterite—both also present in Lubin. Indeed, these two CaCO$_3$ polymorphs are stabilized by Mg$^{2+}$ ions contained in solutions. Such ions may have easily been mobilized from the Lubin dolostones.

The Na-Cu carbonate paragenesis from the Rudna IX mine most likely formed due to an interaction of saline and/or soda and carbonateaceous mine waters with the copper ores. The rapidcreekite- and CaCO$_3$-bearing dripstones of the Lubin Główny mine undoubtedly resulted from an interaction of the local, warm mine water seepage, with wall-forming rocks. Such outflows’ waters were also studied by [51] who reported them as weakly mineralized, SO$_4^{2-}$-CO$_3^{2-}$ ones, with dissolved NaCl content increasing with depth. Calcium and carbonate ions may have been derived from the seepage or from dolostone, while sulfate ions may have been derived from seepage or Cu sulfide ore weathering. Copper, nickel, cobalt, and arsenic were mobilized fromarsenide and sulfarsenide ores disseminated within the dolostone. The most probable source of Mn is both the dolostone and local calcite fillings. We have confirmed the Mn enrichment of this calcite.

Although the stochiometry and structural nature of the rapidcreekite-associated arsenate species remain unknown, the proposed CO$_3^{2-}$ substitution has an important argument: the simultaneous Na enrichment of the mineral. The inclusion of Na$^+$ induces a drop in positive charge. The sole occupancy of the T site by AsO$_4^{3-}$ and SiO$_4^{4-}$ group would cause surplus negative charge. The presented microchemical data for malachite are similar, as the Na presence reported is repetitive. The charge may be balanced by the CO$_3^{2-}$. Coupled Na$^+$ and CO$_3^{2-}$ substitution in the mentioned apatite group is reported by [45]. This, however, concerns the easily substituted Na$^+$-Ca$^{2+}$ pair. As opposed to that, Na-Cu diadochy is almost unknown among minerals, with exceptions in channel sites in piyite (e.g., [52]) and to some extent in barahonaitie-group minerals (e.g., [53]). The same is true for K-Cu
pair, which is only confirmed in channels of aleutite [54]. A (Zn,Na,Cu,Mg) diadochy is also known in majzlanite [55]. Nevertheless, possible Na-Cu and K-Cu substitution systems in our minerals need further studies to be confirmed or disproved.
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