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Abstract

Unsupervised deep learning methods for solving audio restoration problems extensively rely on carefully tailored neural architectures that carry strong inductive biases for defining priors in the time or spectral domain. In this context, lot of recent success has been achieved with sophisticated convolutional network constructions that recover audio signals in the spectral domain. However, in practice, audio priors require careful engineering of the convolutional kernels to be effective at solving ill-posed restoration tasks, while also being easy to train. To this end, in this paper, we propose a new U-Net based prior that does not impact either the network complexity or convergence behavior of existing convolutional architectures, yet leads to significantly improved restoration. In particular, we advocate the use of carefully designed dilation schedules and dense connections in the U-Net architecture to obtain powerful audio priors. Using empirical studies on standard benchmarks and a variety of ill-posed restoration tasks, such as audio denoising, in-painting and source separation, we demonstrate that our proposed approach consistently outperforms widely adopted audio prior architectures.

Index Terms: Deep audio priors, unsupervised audio restoration, denoising, inpainting, source separation.

1. Introduction

Deep convolutional neural networks have proven to be effective for recovering signals from noisy observations. Consequently, state-of-the-art solutions for challenging problems such as enhancement, inpainting and source separation are based on convolutional architectures [2, 3]. While majority of this success has been with supervised data, recent focus has shifted to unsupervised approaches that do not require expensive data collection and curation. Given the ill-posed nature of audio restoration, choice of suitable audio priors is critical to the success of unsupervised learning approaches.

The seminal work of Ulyanov et al. introduced the notion of deep image priors and showed that convolutional neural network architectures can provide powerful signal priors for solving image restoration problems. In contrast to unsupervised approaches that use data-driven priors based on pre-trained generative models (e.g., Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)) [6, 7] to solve inverse problems, these structural priors do not require any training data and the optimization can be carried out using a single observation. The flexibility and the effectiveness of this approach has motivated the design of suitable priors for audio restoration tasks. A number of recent studies [8, 9, 10, 12] have shown that different variants of convolutional architectures are highly effective choices. For example, Mishchashvili et al. [10] used the Wave-U-Net [11] architecture to denoise audio signals. Interestingly, convolutional network constructions that operate in the spectral domain have been found to be consistently superior. For example, Tian et al. [2] proposed Deep Audio Priors, that utilize separate randomly initialized U-Net models [12] to obtain time-frequency masks and audio source estimates respectively for source separation without any pre-training.

Deep audio priors can be characterized using a number of factors including recovery performance across different inversion tasks, ease of training, and computational efficiency. For example, replacing standard convolutional U-Nets with Wave-U-Net [11] solutions is known to improve recovery performance without any impact on the computational efficiency. More recently, Zhang et al. [8] explored the use of harmonic convolutions that carefully engineer the convolutional kernels to better capture multi-scale harmonic structure in audio. Takeuchi et al. [13] subsequently improved the computational efficiency of harmonic convolutions through harmonic lowering. However, audio priors based on harmonic convolutions require significantly larger number of training iterations compared to standard convolutions. While this challenge was addressed in [8] through the use of multiple anchors for harmonic convolutions, the resulting audio prior can be of significantly higher complexity.

In this paper, we revisit the design of audio priors (spectral domain) and propose a new U-Net based prior, that does not impact either the network complexity or the convergence behavior, but consistently leads to high-fidelity restoration. We find that unsupervised audio restoration can be improved by adopting dilated convolutions with an exponentially increasing dilation schedule and by introducing dense connections. Using empirical studies on audio denoising, inpainting and source separation experiments, we show that the proposed audio prior better extracts multi-scale features from time-frequency representations of audio signals, significantly outperforms widely adopted deep audio priors, and is computationally efficient when compared to harmonic convolutions [8, 13].

2. Unsupervised Audio Restoration

Audio restoration refers to the process of recovering an audio signal \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times c} \) from a corrupted observation \( \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times c} \) [14]. Here \( m \) and \( n \) denote the length of the observations while \( c \) denotes the number of channels. Without loss of generality, in this work, we assume \( m = n \) and the signals to be mono-channel i.e., \( c = 1 \). In this paper, we consider three popular audio restoration tasks, namely audio denoising, inpainting and source separation. Audio denoising refers to the task of removing noise from a corrupted audio while preserving the underlying characteristics. On the other hand, audio inpainting attempts to recover the original signal from observations that are spatio-temporally masked, and is typically utilized for audio editing and packet loss recovery in receiver systems. Finally, source
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We propose a new deep audio prior construction that is well suited for challenging unsupervised restoration tasks. Through the use of dilated convolutions with a carefully engineered dilation schedule and dense connections in a standard U-Net, we achieve significant performance gains over state-of-the-art approaches. The example in (c) corresponds to an audio denoising experiment.

3. Proposed Approach

Figure 1 provides an overview of our approach. We propose a new U-Net based deep audio prior construction that we empirically find to be superior to existing convolutional architectures for unsupervised restoration. In this section, we describe the key steps of our approach: (i) designing an U-Net architecture; (ii) using dilated convolutions with a specific dilation schedule; and (iii) adding dense connections for improved gradient flow.

3.1. U-Net Architecture Design

We adopt the U-Net architecture as a structural prior to effectively regularize the ill-posed tasks of audio restoration. The architecture is comprised of two convolutional blocks in the downstream path where each block in turn contains two 2D convolution layers with filter sizes \{35, 70\} and \{70, 140\} respectively. Correspondingly, the upstream path is comprised of two convolutional blocks, wherein each block contains a bi-linear upsampling step followed by two convolution layers with filter sizes \{140, 70\} and \{70, 35\} respectively. The bottleneck block between downstream and upstream paths consists of two more convolutional layers with 70 filters each. The final output is obtained using another convolutional layer with the desired number of channels. In addition, skip connections are included between the convolutional blocks in the downstream and upstream paths, which combine the coarse and fine grained features from the respective paths to improve signal reconstruction.

3.2. Dilated Convolutions with an Exponential Schedule

The success of the audio prior relies heavily on the quality of the features extracted at different scales for signal reconstruction. Recovering audio signals can be challenging due to the inherent periodicities and complex spatio-temporal statistics, and this naturally motivates feature extraction strategies that can leverage information over wider receptive fields at increasing depths. To this end, we introduce dilations in all convolutional layers of the U-Net, wherein the dilation rates are exponentially increased for each subsequent convolution layer (in factors of 2). Specifically, starting with a dilation factor of 2 for the first convolution layer in the first block, the dilation rate grows up to 32 in the bottleneck block. The upstream is correspondingly designed to mirror the downstream architecture. The inherent downsampling operation in the downstream path (max-pooling) combined with the exponential dilation schedule effectively enables feature extraction across significantly large receptive fields (e.g., periodicities).

3.3. Adding Dense Connections

In addition to enabling multi-scale feature extraction via an exponential dilation schedule, we aim to enhance the U-Net architecture further by adding dense connections in order to encourage feature reuse and improve gradient flow even at increasing layer depths (see Fig 1(b)). More specifically, we include dense connections between convolutional layers within each convolutional block, i.e., the feature maps produced by each layer are concatenated to the subsequent layers in the block. In order to prevent the accumulation of a large number of feature maps at increasing depths, following Thiagarajan et al. [17], we include
SC09 dataset \cite{19, 20} is comprised of spoken digits (0-9) with a duration of \(L\). LJSpeech \cite{18} is an open source dataset containing audio clips of duration \(L\) at 22kHz of a speaker reading sentences. The drum sounds dataset \cite{20} contains samples of duration \(L\) at 48kHz. The piano sounds dataset \cite{20} contains audio clips of duration \(L\) at 16kHz.

We used the following datasets for our study:

- SC09
- LJSpeech
- Drum sounds
- Piano sounds

We begin by discussing the datasets used in our study.

**Comparison to Harmonic Convolutions.** Recent efforts \cite{8} have recommended harmonic convolutions as an effective choice over standard convolutions for designing audio priors. However, as illustrated in Figure 2 for an audio denoising example, the proposed audio prior construction requires significantly lower number of iterations (10x) to converge when compared to harmonic convolutions, while also providing non-trivial gains in the restoration performance.

### 4. Experiments

In this section, we present empirical studies to evaluate our proposed approach on three ill-posed audio restoration tasks, namely denoising, in-painting and source separation. We will begin by discussing the datasets used in our study.

**Datasets.** We used the following datasets for our study:

- LJSpeech
- SC09 Spoken Digit (SC09)
- Drum and piano sounds

LJSpeech \cite{18} is an open source dataset containing audio clips of duration \(\sim 8s\) at 22kHz of a speaker reading sentences. The SC09 dataset \cite{19, 20} is comprised of spoken digits (0-9) with duration \(\sim 1s\) at 16kHz. The drum sounds dataset \cite{20} contains single drum hit audio of duration \(\sim 1s\) at 16kHz, while the piano dataset \cite{20} contains clips of duration \(> 50s\) at 48kHz.

**Pre-processing.** In all our experiments, we resample the audio samples to 16kHz and use clips of duration 2s for LJSpeech and 1s for other datasets. We carry then compute the spectrograms for the audio clips, using window length 1022 and hop length 64. Following Zhang \cite{8} et al., we utilize both the real and imaginary parts of the spectrogram as a 2-channel input.

**Baselines.** We compare the performance of our audio prior to the widely adopted U-Net priors based on regular convolutions and dilated convolutions (constant dilation factor). For ablation, we also considered a variation where we use the exponential dilation schedule without dense connections. Though harmonic convolution \cite{8} is another choice for implementing the audio prior, due to its significantly slower convergence (see Figure 2), we did not include it as a baseline approach. However, from our experiments, we found that our proposed approach consistently outperformed U-Nets with harmonic convolutions.

#### 4.1. Audio Denoising

In this task, using single corrupted observation \(\hat{x}\), we use deep audio priors to recover the underlying clean signal \(x\):

\[
\min_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(f_{\Theta}(z), \hat{x}),
\]

where \(f_{\Theta}(z)\) is the restored output from the audio prior parameterized by \(\Theta\), and \(\mathcal{L}\) is implemented as the \(\ell_2\) loss. We evaluate the proposed audio prior under two different noise scenarios

- (i) **Gaussian Noise:** We add Gaussian noise with standard deviation 0.1 to clean audio.
- (ii) **Environmental Noise:** We use Living Room and Traffic Noise samples from the DEMAND database \cite{21} and synthesize observations by adding them with the clean audio at SNRs chosen randomly between 5 and 9dB.

We performed the optimization on each observation for 2000 iterations using the ADAM optimizer and learning rate 0.001.

**Metrics.** Follow standard practice, we used the PESQ (Perceptual Evaluation of Speech Quality) and the PSNR (Peak-Signal to Noise Ratio) metrics.

**Findings.** Tables \cite{11} and \cite{2} show the performance of our approach against the baseline audio prior constructions on both noise settings. We report the performance metrics obtained on 50 random samples from each of the datasets. We find that our proposed approach provides a significantly superior performance over standard convolutions even under challenging environmental noise conditions. Note that, while dilated convolutions with a constant dilation factor are better than regular convolutions, the exponential dilation schedule improves by a bigger margin.

#### 4.2. Audio In-painting

In this task, we use deep audio priors to fill masked regions in the observation \(\hat{x}\) that is spatio-temporally masked with a known mask \(m\):

\[
\min_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(\hat{m} \odot f_{\Theta}(z), m \odot \hat{x})
\]

Similar to the denoising experiment, we used the \(\ell_2\) loss for \(\mathcal{L}\) and performed the optimization for 2000 iterations. We construct masked observations by randomly introducing zero masks of duration varying between 0.1s to 0.25s, such that all frequency components within the mask are zeroed out.

**Metrics.** For evaluation, we used the Spectral-SNR \cite{22, 23}, a measure of the quality of spectrogram reconstruction, and the RMS Envelope distance \cite{24}.

**Findings.** Table \cite{3} compares the proposed approach against the baselines, using results from 50 examples in each dataset. It can be observed that, our approach consistently outperforms existing methods (2.5dB improvement in SNR on average) and introduces statistically meaningful patterns into the masked regions. This clearly demonstrates the efficacy of the proposed audio prior.

#### 4.3. Source Separation

We address the task of two source separation by adopting a formulation similar to \cite{25} - We use two audio priors aim to reconstruct the constituent sources \(\{\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2\}\) and another prior to synthesize a mask \(m\), that can be used to mix the constituent sources to create the mixture audio, \(m \odot \hat{s}_1 + (1 - m)\hat{s}_2\). Similar to other previous restoration tasks, we use only a single observation (underdetermined setting) to estimate the sources. We synthesized 50 mixtures by randomly sampling and combining

![Figure 2: Comparing the convergence of the proposed audio prior to U-Nets based on harmonic convolutions. Our prior achieves both significantly faster convergence and marginal performance gains over the latter, while convincingly outperforming other widely adopted deep audio prior constructions.](image)
Table 1: Audio denoising performance of deep audio priors under the presence of Gaussian noise.

| DAP Design          | LJ-Speech | Digits | Piano |
|---------------------|-----------|--------|-------|
|                     | PESQ      | PSNR   |       |
|                     |           |        |       |
| Convol.             | 1.73 ± 0.17 | 6.85 ± 1.47 | 9.54 ± 2.96 |
| Dilated Conv.       | 1.91 ± 2.21 | 1.99 ± 4.47 | 9.17 ± 1.34 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 2.31 ± 4.36 | 2.08 ± 7.30 | 10.85 ± 2.72 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 2.31 ± 6.40 | 2.20 ± 10.58 | 11.52 ± 2.89 |

Table 2: Audio denoising performance of deep audio priors under the presence of environmental noise.

| DAP Design          | LJ-Speech | Digits | Piano |
|---------------------|-----------|--------|-------|
|                     | PESQ      | PSNR   |       |
|                     |           |        |       |
| Convol.             | 1.91 ± 0.26 | 4.36 ± 1.29 | 6.39 ± 1.81 |
| Dilated Conv.       | 2.04 ± 0.24 | 5.03 ± 1.27 | 6.95 ± 1.92 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 2.31 ± 0.22 | 2.31 ± 0.69 | 6.40 ± 1.12 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 2.31 ± 2.16 | 5.58 ± 1.34 | 7.19 ± 1.82 |

Table 3: Audio inpainting performance of deep audio priors under random spatio-temporal masking.

| DAP Design          | LJ-Speech | Digits | Piano |
|---------------------|-----------|--------|-------|
|                     | Spec. SNR | Env. Dist. | Spec. SNR | Env. Dist. |
|                     |           |        |       |
| Convol.             | 7.27 ± 1.43 | 0.08 ± 0.02 | 7.34 ± 1.91 | 0.12 ± 0.03 |
| Dilated Conv.       | 7.18 ± 1.06 | 0.08 ± 0.02 | 7.78 ± 1.88 | 0.11 ± 0.03 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 7.95 ± 1.16 | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 9.02 ± 1.96 | 0.10 ± 0.03 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 10.01 ± 1.78 | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 10.80 ± 2.52 | 0.09 ± 0.03 |

Table 4: Unsupervised source separation performance of deep audio priors.

| DAP Design          | SDR (dB) | SIR (dB) | Spec. SNR (dB) | Env. Dist. |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------------|------------|
|                     | Piano | Drums | Piano | Drums | Piano | Drums | Piano | Drums |
|                     |       |        |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Convol.             | 2.56 ± 1.71 | -0.28 ± 1.45 | 13.17 ± 6.62 | -6.41 ± 8.18 | 2.75 ± 1.59 | 0.01 ± 0.97 | 0.28 ± 0.09 | 0.18 ± 0.07 |
| Dilated Conv.       | 2.54 ± 1.63 | 0.02 ± 1.47 | 13.09 ± 7.47 | -3.41 ± 9.09 | 2.75 ± 1.39 | 0.04 ± 0.74 | 0.26 ± 0.08 | 0.15 ± 0.05 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 3.67 ± 1.38 | 0.15 ± 1.87 | 11.84 ± 6.84 | 1.12 ± 5.25 | 3.26 ± 1.64 | 0.17 ± 1.65 | 0.25 ± 0.06 | 0.13 ± 0.05 |
| Dilated Conv. (exp) | 4.84 ± 2.61 | 0.61 ± 3.09 | 12.57 ± 7.62 | 1.93 ± 5.64 | 5.43 ± 2.04 | 0.54 ± 1.77 | 0.21 ± 0.08 | 0.14 ± 0.04 |

audio clips from the drums and the piano datasets and adopted loss functions from [9].

Metrics. We used the signal-to-distortion ratio (SDR), signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) [25]. Spectral SNR and the RMS envelope distance metrics for evaluation.

Findings. Table 4 illustrates the performance of the DAP design choices. We find that, without increasing the complexity of the audio prior construction, the performance of U-Net architectures can be significantly improved through the proposed strategies. From our results, the effectiveness of the proposed audio prior design even with challenging inverse problems is clearly evident.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a new deep audio prior construction that employs a carefully engineered convolutional architecture to produce significant performance gains in unsupervised audio restoration problems. In particular, we found that audio priors can be vastly improved through dilated convolutions with an exponential dilation schedule and dense connections. While the former strategy effectively increased the receptive fields for feature extraction, the latter supported a more principled learning of multi-scale features. As demonstrated by our experiments a suite of ill-posed audio restoration problems, the proposed approach provided meaningful signal priors to regularize this optimization process.
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