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Abstract

Background: European species of the large genus Phyllodistomum Braun, 1899 had historically been erected based solely on morphological characters. Unfortunately, many of them are still poorly known and inadequately described. Molecular approaches are critical to delineate species which were impossible to differentiate based on morphology alone.

Methods: New samples of adult Phyllodistomum spp. were collected from the urinary bladder and/or ureters of European freshwater fishes and fixed to conduct a light and scanning electron microscopy study, and to obtain sequences of nuclear (ITS2 spacer and 28S rRNA gene), to be analysed in the context of a molecular phylogeny.

Results: Based on new findings, a new species of Phyllodistomum from the urinary bladder of the European perch, Perca fluviatilis, in Volga River basin, Russia, is described. Additionally, new data on the morphology and tegumental surface topography of P. macrocotyle (Lühe, 1909) Odhner, 1911 from ureters of the common rudd, Scardinius erythrophthalmus, is presented. The host range of P. folium, confirmed by DNA analysis, is extended to other cyprinid fish species.

Conclusions: The present study has again shown that species of the genus Phyllodistomum are in dire need of revision based on both molecular analysis and detailed morphological redescriptions of the forms attributed to the genus. Morphologically, P. kupermani n. sp. most closely resembles P. pseudofolium, a highly host-specific parasite of Gymnocephalus cernuus (L.), but molecular phylogenetic analyses based on ITS2 and 28S rDNA sequences showed that these species are distantly related. Phyllodistomum kupermani n. sp. was found to be phylogenetically most
closely related to the type-species of *Phyllodistomum, P. folium*. Phylogenetic analyses revealed that *Phyllodistomum kupermani* n. sp. and *P. folium* formed a clade with other freshwater species for which cystocercous cercariae develop in bivalves of the family Sphaeriidae. The micromorphology and tegumental surface topography of *P. macrocotyle* revealed in the present study provide a valuable taxonomic criterion for congeneric species differentiation.
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**Background**

*Phyllodistomum* Braun, 1899 is one of the most species-rich digenean genera, comprised of species parasitizing in the urinary bladder and/or ureters of freshwater and marine fish and, more rarely, amphibians throughout the world [1–6], and new species descriptions continue to be published on a regular basis [3, 4, 7, 8]. Species of *Phyllodistomum* infecting fishes of Europe have been studied for more than two centuries, starting with the description of *Phyllodistomum umbellae* (Fabricius, 1780) (as *Fasciola umbellae*) from the Arctic char, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.) in Norway. Despite the relatively common occurrence of *Phyllodistomum* spp. in European freshwater fishes, the species composition of the genus is under scrutiny and remains controversial. Our recent studies [5, 6, 9] have challenged previous data on species diversity and life-cycles in this presumably well-known group of trematodes. However, there are still serious gaps in our knowledge of the genus *Phyllodistomum* and a number of unanswered questions concerning the validity and specificity of the nominal species and the identity of unidentified genetic lineages are still awaiting clarification.

Previous molecular phylogenetic analysis revealed that specimens of *Phyllodistomum* sp. (preliminary identified as *P. pseudofolium* Nybelin (1926)) obtained from the urinary bladder of the European perch, *Perca fluviatilis* L., from Volga River basin, Russia, represent distinct genetic lineage (presumably an undescribed species), resolving between *P. folium* (Olfers, 1816) and *P. umbellae* clades in the ITS2 and 28S phylogenograms [6]. To validate the independent taxonomic status of this genetic lineage, a comparative morphological study of *Phyllodistomum* species from *P. fluviatilis* was required. New samples of *Phyllodistomum* spp. were also obtained from the urinary system of three species of cyprinids, the ide, *Leuciscus idus* (L.), the common rudd, *Scardinius erythrophthalmus* (L.) and the common roach, *Rutilus rutilus* (L.). Morphological characteristics along with sequence data allowed us to identify these samples to species. The present paper provides description of a new species of *Phyllodistomum* and a detailed redescriptions of the adult stage of *P. macrocotyle* (Lühe, 1909) Odhner, 1911 based on both light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). *Phyllodistomum macrocotyle* has a complex taxonomic history and this name has often not been used properly. The microcercous cercariae of *P. macrocotyle* develop in sporocysts localised the gills of the intermediate host *Dreissena polymorpha*. In the past, *Phyllodistomum* trematodes found in *D. polymorpha* were also referred to as *P. folium sensu* Sinitsin, 1905 or *P. dogieli* Pigulevsky, 1953 but recent molecular evidence has shown that *P. macrocotyle* is the only valid *Phyllodistomum* species thus far documented from *D. polymorpha* [5]. It is notable that Pigulevsky [10], without convincing reasons, named this species *P. dogieli*; hence, both *P. dogieli* and *P. folium sensu* Sinitsin, 1905 should be regarded as synonymous with *P. macrocotyle*. To avoid further confusion, morphological redescriptions of adults is required in combination with molecular data. It should be noted that the study of Peribañez et al. [11] used comparative analysis of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 sequence data in order to link digeneans (named as *P. folium*) from the urinary system of three cyprinid species, *S. erythrophthalmus*, *Cyprinus carpio* and *R. rutilus*, and the sporocysts found in zebra mussels, *D. polymorpha*, in the Ebro River, Spain. However, adult digeneans were not observed by microscopic examination and no morphological characteristics of these specimens are available from this study.

Recent progress shows again that species delimitation will require the use of molecular markers in combination with morphological description to discriminate species and verify validity of problematic nominal species. Continuing with our efforts to survey *Phyllodistomum* species diversity in European freshwater fishes, we describe the new species with sequences identical to *P. macrocotyle* sp. previously reported by Stunžėnas et al. [6] from Russia. Also, we provide a detailed morphological description of *P. macrocotyle*, including microphotographs of the body surface though SEM, which is for the first time linked to ITS2 and 28S rDNA sequences.

**Methods**

Specimens of *Phyllodistomum* were recovered from the ureters and urinary bladder of the European perch, *Perca fluviatilis*, the ide, *Leuciscus idus*, the common rudd,
**Scardinius erythrophthalmus**, the common roach, *Rutilus rutilus* from Volga River basin, Russia (Table 1). Adult trematodes were isolated from the urinary system of naturally infected fish, placed in saline solution (0.65%) and identified in vivo. Specimens selected for DNA extraction were washed in saline and preserved in 96% ethanol. Voucher specimens from the same collecting event used for morphological examination were washed in saline, fixed without pressure in hot 10% formalin. Morphological samples were stained with alum carmine, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, cleared in clove oil and mounted as permanent slides using Canada balsam. Voucher specimens are deposited in the helminthological collection of I. D. Papanin Institute of Biology of Inland Waters, Russia. Extracted total DNA is deposited in the P. B. Šivickis Laboratory of Parasitology of Nature Research Centre, Vilnius, Lithuania.

For SEM, 10 live specimens of *P. macrocotyle* from *S. erythrophthalmus* were fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 m sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) for 20 days at 5 °C and then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, with a final change to absolute acetone. They were then critical-point dried with liquid CO₂ mounted on stubs, sputter-coated with gold-palladium and examined using a JEOL JMS 6510LV scanning electron microscope operating at 30 kV. Genomic DNA was extracted from ethanol-fixed specimens according to the protocol of Stunženas et al. [12] with slight modifications [13]. The internal transcribed spacer 2 region (ITS2) was amplified using the forward primer 3S (5′-CGG TGG ATC ACT CGG CTC GTG-3′) [14] and the reverse primer ITS2.2 (5′-CCT GGT TAG TTT CTT TTC CTC CGC-3′) [15]. A new primer pair, GoJe-F and GoJe-R, was designed for species of the Gorgoderidae. Part of the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1), the complete 5.8S rDNA and ITS2, also a small section at the 5′-end of the 28S gene were amplified using forward primer GoJe-F (5′-CTT GCA ATT GTT CCC CGT GA-3′) and the reverse primer GoJe-R (5′-CTG TTC ACT CGC CGT TAC TG-3′). A fragment at the 5′-end of the 28S rRNA gene was amplified using forward primers Digl2 (5′-AAG CAT ATC ACT AAG CGG-3′) or ZX-1 (5′-ACC CGC TGA ATT TAA GCA TAT-3′) [16] and reverse primers L0 (5′-GCT ATC CTG AG (AG) GAA ACT TCG-3′) [17] or 1500R (5′-GCT ATC CTG AGG GAA ACT TCG-3′) [18]. The new primer pair, GoJe-F and GoJe-R, were utilised under the following conditions: initial denaturation for 3 min at 96 °C, 38 cycles of 28 s at 95 °C, 38 s at 52 °C, 38 s at 72 °C, and a final extension step for 8 min at 72 °C. The amplification protocols for other primers are as described in our previous study [13]. PCR products were sequenced in both directions at BaseClear B.V. (Leiden, the Netherlands) using PCR primers. Contiguous sequences were assembled using Sequencher 4.7 software (Gene Codes Corporation). The newly generated sequences of *P. macrocotyle*, *P. folium* and the new *Phyllodistomum* species, were deposited on GenBank (see accession numbers in Table 1).

Additional rDNA sequences of gorgoderid species and outgroup taxa (Table 1) were downloaded from GenBank and included in pairwise sequence comparisons and phylogenetic analyses. For the phylogenetic analyses, both the ITS2 and 28S datasets were aligned using ClustalW [19] with an open gap penalty of 15 and gap extension penalty of 6.66. The best-fit model for phylogenetic analysis was estimated using jModeltest v. 0.1.1 software [20]. Ambiguously aligned positions were excluded from phylogenetic analysis. Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were obtained and analyzed using MEGA v6 [21]. Branch support was estimated by bootstrap analyses with 1000 pseudoreplicates. The ML trees were obtained using the general time reversible model with a gamma distribution rate and a proportion of invariant sites (GTR + G + I) for both the ITS2 and the 28S gene datasets. Gamma shape and the number of invariant sites were estimated from the data. Parsimony analysis based on subtree pruning and regrafting (SPR) was used with default parsimony settings. If two or more sequences belong to one species, they were collapsed into one branch, except those of the new species and *P. macrocotyle*. Estimates of mean evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs within and between groups were calculated using the MEGA v6 programme.

**Results**

**General results**

*Perca fluviatilis* was rarely infected with *Phyllodistomum* in the water bodies studied; only nine gravid specimens were recovered from 25 fish examined in 2018. The molecular studies demonstrated that these specimens belong to a new species. Of the 30 individuals of *S. erythrophthalmus* studied, 30% were infected with 1–7 phyllodistomes per fish. Trematodes recovered from the ureters of the host fishes have been shown using molecular methods to be *P. macrocotyle*, while specimens inhabiting the urinary bladder were identified as *P. folium*. Trematodes from the ureters of *L. idus* and *R. rutilus*, were identified as *P. macrocotyle* and *P. folium*, respectively. It is noteworthy that all specimens inhabiting ureters of the studied cyprinid fishes morphologically closely resembled *P. elongatum* Nybelin, 1926.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Sequence data for two regions of rDNA, the 5.8S-ITS2-28S and 5′-end of the 28S gene were used for species-level
Table 1  Species subjected to molecular phylogenetic analysis with information of their host, locality and GenBank accession numbers

| Species               | Host                      | Locality                                | GenBank ID [References] |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Cercaria duplicata    | Anodonta anatina          | Lake Saravesi, Finland                  | KJ729516 [5]            |
| C. duplicata          | A. anatina                | Kaunas water Reservoir, Lithuania       | KJ729515 [5]            |
| C. duplicata          | A. anatina                | River Sluch, Ukraine                    | KJ729517 [5]            |
| Phyllodistomum folium | Esox lucius               | River Ild, Russia                       | KJ729542 [5]            |
| P. folium             | Rutilus rutilus           | Rybinsk water Reservoir on the Volga River, Russia | KJ729536 [5] |
| P. folium             | Gymnocephalus cernuus     | River Chesnava near Rybinsk water Reservoir on the Volga River, Russia | KX957728 [6] |
| P. folium             | Cotus gobio               | River Neis, Lithuania                   | KJ729550 [5]            |
| P. folium             | Gasterosteus aculeatus    | River Vilnele, Lithuania                | AY277707 [42]           |
| P. folium             | Scardinius erythropthalmus| Rybinsk water reservoir on the Volga river, Russia | MT872646               |
| P. folium             | Rutilus rutilus           | River Sunoga, Russia                    | MT872645                |
| P. folium             | Sphaerium carneum         | River Shumorovka, Russia                | KJ729546 [5]            |
| P. folium             | S. carneum                | River Hegga, Norway                     | KJ729551 [5]            |
| P. folium             | Pseudidium supinum        | River Ola, Lithuania                    | KJ729544 [5]            |
| P. folium             | Pseudidium amnicum        | River Ild, Russia                       | KJ729535 [5]            |
| P. umblae             | Coregonus albula          | Lake Syamozero, Karelia, Russia         | KJ729528 [5]            |
| P. umblae             | Sander lucioperca         | River Chesnava, Russia                  | KJ740511, KJ740512 [5]  |
| P. angulatum          | S. lucioperca             | Rybinsk water reservoir on the Volga river, Russia | KX957734 [5] |
| P. pseudofolium       | G. cernuus                | River Chesnava near Rybinsk water reservoir on the Volga river, Russia | KX957732 [6] |
| P. pseudofolium       | P. amnicum                | River Chesnava, Russia                  | KJ740513 [5]            |
| P. pseudofolium (syn. Phyllodistomum sp. of Ginetzinskaya (1959)) | P. amnicum | Lithuania | AY281126 [42] |
| P. macrocotyle        | Perca fluviatilis         | Rybinsk water reservoir on the Volga river, Russia | KY307869 [6] |
| P. macrocotyle        | P. fluviatilis            | Rybinsk water reservoir on the Volga river, Russia | MT875008, MT875009 |
| P. macrocotyle        | Dreissena polymorpha      | Lake Vilkoštnis, Lithuania; Lake Kretuonas, Lithuania | KJ729518 [5] |
| P. macrocotyle (syn. P. folium sensu Sinitsin, 1905) | D. polymorpha | Lake Lepelskoe, Belarus | AY288828 [43] |
| P. macrocotyle (syn. P. folium sensu Sinitsin, 1905) | D. polymorpha | Lake Lukomskoe, Belarus | AY281127 [43] |
| P. macrocotyle        | Scardinius erythropthalmus| Rybinsk water reservoir on the Volga river, Russia | MT872664 |
| P. macrocotyle        | Leuciscus idus            | Rybinsk water reservoir on the Volga river, Russia | MT872663 |
| Phyllodistomum magnificum | Tandanus tandanus      | Moggill Creek, Queensland, Australia   | KFO13189 [34]           |
| Phyllodistomum inecoli | Heterandria bimaculata   | Agua Bendita, Xico, Veracruz, Mexico   | KFO13153, KFO13156 [34]|
| Phyllodistomum lacustri | Noturus flavus           | Canada                                  | HQ325010 [44]           |
| Phyllodistomum parasiluri | Silurus asatus           | Japan                                   | LC002522 [35]           |
comparison among samples of *Phyllodistomum* spp. and to determine phylogenetic affinities of the different morphotypes from different host species.

Alignment of the ITS2 rDNA and 28S rDNA regions for gorgoderid species yielded 495 and 836 characters for phylogenetic analysis, respectively. New sequences of *P. folium* were identical to those previously reported from other fish hosts. New rDNA sequences for adult *P. macrocotyle* and sequences of larval stages from *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas, 1771) from a previous study.
[5] formed a strongly supported subclade nested in a well-supported monophyletic clade including *P. pseudo-folium* and *P. angulatum* Linstow, 1907. The sequences of the new species were identical to *Phyllodistomum* sp. ex *P. fluviatilis* from our previous study [6] and formed a sister clade with sequences of *P. folium* in both 28S and ITS2 phylograms (Figs. 1, 2). Notably, in the 28S-based phylogeny, *P. parasiliuri* Yamaguti, 1934 was sister to the clade constituted by *P. folium* and *P. kupermani* n. sp. The genetic distance values from the 28S and ITS2 datasets of the new species, when compared with the most closely related species *P. folium*, were 1.1–1.3% and 1.2–1.4%, respectively. The new species differed from *P. umblae* respectively. The new species differed from *P. macrocotyle* S. erythrophthalmus. New sequences of adult *P. macrocotyle* SN: 13 (2–6). Semi-oval, between ovary and ventral sucker; right vitelline mass 84–156 (104 ± 33) long, 48–132 (81 ± 23) wide. Uterus extensively coiled, occupying entire hindbody, inter- and extracaecal. Eggs oval, 29–35 × 20–25 (33 × 22). Excretory vesicle I-shaped, extending to level of caeca end. Excretory pore terminal.

**Remarks**

The present material most closely resembles *P. pseudo-folium*, a species described from ureters of the Eurasian ruffe, *Gymnocephalus cernuus*, and strictly specific to its definitive host [6, 10]. However, the new species differs from *P. pseudo-folium* in its hindbody shape (has no body fold or other demarcation), and in having a narrower oral...
sucker and a larger ventral sucker, deeply lobed testes and a short distance between the posterior testis and ovary.

Our specimens can be distinguished from the other apparently valid European *Phyllodistomum* spp. (*P. folium*, *P. angulatum*, *P. umblae*, *P. macrocotyle* and *P. elongatum*). *Phyllodistomum kupermani* n. sp. differs from *P. folium* in the oval shape of the vitelline masses and the closely packed gonads and vitelline masses. The present material differs from *P. angulatum* by having a smaller body size (*P. angulatum* is almost 2 times larger), a short forebody and the absence of mid-ventral lateral muscular flaps (a typical diagnostic character for *P. angulatum* when alive). The new species differs from *P. umblae* in the body shape and size, caeca length and size of eggs. *Phyllodistomum*

**Fig. 1** Phylogenetic tree based on Maximum Likelihood analysis of partial sequences of the 28S nuclear rDNA gene. Bootstrap support values lower than 70% are not shown. The species sequenced in this study are indicated in bold. GenBank accession numbers of the collapsed clades are provided in Table 1.
Phyllodistomum umblae has an elongated shape with the longer foliate hindbody, long caeca, which terminate close to the posterior extremity. Phyllodistomum umblae is a large worm (2990 vs 1515 μm) and has larger eggs (37 × 27 vs 33 × 22 μm). In addition, P. umblae is a parasite of salmonid fishes. The new species differs from Phyllodistomum macrocotyle in its body shape and the arrangement of the genital pore. Phyllodistomum macrocotyle has an elongated form and can be distinguished from P. kupermani n. sp. by its lanceolate hindbody, longer cylindrical forebody representing 36% of total body length, genital pore midway between caecal bifurcation and ventral sucker margin. Phyllodistomum kupermani n. sp. can be clearly distinguished from P. elongatum by its body shape, the arrangement of the genital pore and the shape of vitelline masses. Phyllodistomum elongatum has a more elongated body shape with lanceolate hindbody, a genital pore situated pore more posteriorly (near to ventral sucker margin) and lobed vitelline masses. In addition, P. elongatum and P. macrocotyle are located only in the ureters of the cyprinid fish.

Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree based on Maximum Likelihood analysis of the ITS2 nuclear rDNA region. Bootstrap support values lower than 70% are not shown. The species sequenced in this study are indicated in bold. GenBank accession numbers of the collapsed clades are provided in Table 1.
Phyllodistomum macrocotyle (Lühe, 1909) Odhner, 1911
Syns Catoptroides macrocotyle Lühe, 1909; Phyllodistomum folium sensu Sinitsin, 1905 nec Olfers, 1817; Phyllodistomum dogieli Pigulevsky, 1953.

**Type-host:** Scardinius erythrophthalmus (L.) (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae).

**Other host:** Leuciscus idus (L.) (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) (present study).

**Type-locality:** Rybinsk Reservoir (58°02′28″N, 38°15′18″E), Yaroslavl Province, Russia.

**Voucher material:** Eleven voucher specimens ex *S. erythrophthalmus* on 8 slides [No. 1/12(1–8)] were deposited in the Parasite Collection of the Institute for Biology of Inland Waters RAS, Russia.

**Site in host:** Ureters.

**Representative DNA sequences:** 28S rDNA (MT872663-MT872664); ITS2 rDNA (MT875010-MT875011) (see also Table 1).

**Redescription**
[Based on 11 gravid specimens; Fig. 4]. Body elongate, with smooth lateral margins, 1764–2277 (1943 ± 150) long, 180–720 (442 ± 141) wide. Forebody cylindrical, 621–7773 (707 ± 75) long, 33–41% (36%) of total body length. Hindbody lanceolate, 1026–1404 (1227 ± 126) long, 56–67% (63%) of total body length. Oral sucker sub-terminal, round or sometimes oval, 176–258 (201 ± 28) long, 138–234 (178 ± 25) wide. Ventral sucker round or oval, 150–306 (189 ± 44) long, 150–306 (191 ± 43) wide. Oral sucker length to width ratio 1:0.78–1.25 (1:1.1). Ventral sucker length to width ratio 1:0.61–1.08 (1:0.95). Oral sucker to ventral sucker distance 462–654 (543 ± 60).

Pharynx absent. Oesophagus long, straight, 90–240 (160 ± 44) long. Intestinal bifurcation 258–510 (363 ± 60).

Pharynx absent. Oesophagus long, straight, 90–240 (160 ± 44) long. Intestinal bifurcation 258–510 (363 ± 64) from anterior end. Caeca terminating close to the posterior extremity, 42–252 (144 ± 53).

Testes 2, slightly lobed, oblique. Anterior testis 84–162 (132 ± 26) long, 51–132 (106 ± 23) wide; posterior testis 120–204 (164 ± 33) long, 64–156 (116 ± 64) wide. Posterior testis to ovary distance 252–366 (303 ± 33). Seminal vesicle saccular, comparatively short, 37–99 (71 ± 16) long, 29–66 (46 ± 11) wide. Pars prostatica not observed.

Genital pore median, midway between caecal bifurcation and ventral sucker margin, 66–180 (127 ± 32) from anterior margin of ventral sucker.

Ovary irregular, faintly lobed, sinistral in 8 specimens and dextral in 3; 117–150 (134 ± 11) long, 75–162 (107 ± 28) wide.
the posterior extremity of the body (Fig. 5c). Between the ventral sucker and the posterior extremity is located on the ventral surface, about 2/3 of the distance aggregations (Fig. 5a). The slit-like pore of Laurer to ventral sucker margin).

Remarks

Phyllodistomum macrocotyle morphologically closely resembles P. elongatum. This species can be differentiated from P. elongatum by the lobed nature of the vitelline masses, together with a shorter oesophagus, intercaecal uterus and a more posteriorly situated genital pore (near to ventral sucker margin).

Tegumental topography of Phyllodistomum macrocotyle

SEM analysis shows that there is a distinct irregular transverse folding of the body surface; this is especially clear in unrelaxed worms (Fig. 5a, b). Numerous small, irregular and shallow elevations were apparent on the tegumental surface at a greater magnification (Fig. 5f). A large number of papillae are scattered on the ventral surface of both the forebody and hindbody (Fig. 5a, c, d). These button-like papillae are rounded in shape, unciliate, possess a smooth surface and are 7.5–8.0 µm in diameter. They are distributed randomly on the surface of the forebody and are accumulated mainly on the ventro-lateral surface, with only solitary papillae being observed ventro-medially (Fig. 5a). Large number of these papillae is randomly distributed throughout the surface of the ventral hindbody (Fig. 5c, d).

The genital pore is situated ventro-medially in the forebody closer to the ventral sucker than to the oral sucker (Fig. 5a). The surrounding tegument is devoid of papillary aggregations (Fig. 5a). The slit-like pore of Laufer’s canal is located on the ventral surface, about 2/3 of the distance between the ventral sucker and the posterior extremity (Fig. 5c). The excretory pore opens on a notch situated at the posterior extremity of the body (Fig. 5c).

The oral sucker is directed antero-ventrally (Fig. 5a, d). There are two superficial rings of tegument surrounding its aperture, both of which are characterised by radially directed surface corrugations of differing lengths and widths (Fig. 5b). The inner ring (rim) of the oral sucker is about 14 µm in width and possesses tighter corrugations when compared with the outer wider ring (~25 µm) which has larger corrugations. Twenty-one distinct, button-like regular papillae occur on the rings of the oral sucker and within its cavity. Within the sucker cavity there are two papillae (cp) localised antero-dorsally (Fig. 5b). Four papillae (mrp) (two on each side of the rim) are distributed laterally on the inner margin of the rim (Fig. 5b); 11 papillae occur close to the border between the inner and outer rings, two (abp) of which are localised apically juxtaposed to the papillae adjacent to the frontal tubercle (see below), six (lpb) are situated laterally (three on each side) and three (pbp) occur posteriorly (Fig. 5b); and four (por) are present posteriorly on the surface of the outer ring (Fig. 5b). Slightly antero-dorsal to the outer ring of the oral sucker is a distinct frontal tubercle with a single lateral papilla on each side (Fig. 5b).

The ventral sucker is also surrounded by two rings characterised by morphologically distinct surface layers (Fig. 5e). The inner ring (rim) (~10–12 µm in width) borders the sucker cavity and bears radially oriented corrugations, whereas the surface of the outer ring (~28–35 µm in width) has a densely packed, cuboidal structure (Fig. 5e). This sucker bears 14 papillae aggregated in a definite pattern (Fig. 5e): six uniformly distributed papillae (rp) are radially arranged and situated on the outer border of the rim (Fig. 5e); four of the same morphology and size (plp) occur on the outer ring arranged in two symmetrical pairs postero-laterally (Fig. 5e); and four similar papillae are randomly distributed within the sucker cavity (Fig. 5g).

Discussion

Molecular comparison of specimens of Phyllodistomum, parasitizing P. fluviatilis, with respect to other congeners revealed significant genetic differences and reinforces the establishment of the new species. The parasite fauna of perch in Europe is relatively well studied, but Phyllodistomum spp. are rarely reported from this fish. As far as we know, the European perch hosts P. pseudofolium, P. folium and P. angulatum (see [23–26]). Unfortunately, identification of species of Phyllodistomum based solely on morphological characteristics has been unreliable and many of the records of these species from other fish hosts are questionable. The validity of five European Phyllodistomum spp., i.e. P. folium, P. umblae, P. angulatum, P. pseudofolium and P. macrocotyle, has been confirmed based on molecular markers. According to comparative molecular data P. simile Nybelin, 1926, a parasite of bull-head, showed no differences from P. folium, and P. megalorchis is to be regarded as a synonym of P. angulatum. Most species of Phyllodistomum have their own characteristic host associations and the levels of their host specificity are distinct. The type-species of the genus Phyllodistomum, P. folium, is euryxenous and its host specificity appeared the lowest among the known Phyllodistomum species [6]. This low host specificity was confirmed on the basis of molecular markers for P. folium specimens obtained from several fish hosts. This species was detected in Esox lucius (Esociformes,
Esociformes), Rutilus rutilus, Aspius aspius, Abramis ballerus, A. brama (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae), Gymnocephalus cernuus (Perciformes, Percidae), Cottus gobio (Scorpaeniformes, Cottidae), Gasterosteus aculeatus (Gasterosteiformes, Gasterosteiidae) [5, 6]. Our results expand the host range of this species to another cyprinid fish, S. erythrophthalmus.

The present material permits comparisons between P. elongatum-like specimens recovered from different fish species. In our previous molecularly-based study, trematodes from ureters of A. bramae identified as P. elongatum, showed no differences from P. folium [5]. Here, based on sequence data we show that specimens of Phyllophistomum spp. recovered from ureters and urinary bladder of S. erythrophthalmus represents two different species, P. macrocotyle and P. folium, respectively. Phyllophistomum from ureters of L. idus proved to be P.
Phyllodistomum spp. are known for their considerable variation in features, such as egg size, sucker ratio, and body shape, features considered as diagnostic in other genera. Many of these features are severely affected by fixation techniques, flattening, and the condition of the worm at fixation (see [27]). According to Kudinova [1] the body shape of the Phyllodistomum species depends on the fish host species and on the morphology of its excretory system (form and size of the urinary bladder and ureters). Based on morphometric analysis of abundant material from different fish hosts Kudinova [1] concluded that the validity of only three Phyllodistomum species can be confirmed, namely, P. conostomum (syn. P. umbilae), P. folium and P. angulatum, while P. pseudofolium, P. simile, P. macrocotyle (usually localised in ureters, rarely in the urinary bladder) and P. dogieli are just morphotypes of P. folium. The study of Namuleno & Scholz [28] revealed a great intraspecific variability of P. folium obtained from the urinary bladder of its type-host Esox lucius; only the diameter of suckers and their ratio and egg size appeared to be relatively stable characteristics. The shape of the body of P. folium differed markedly and quite different morphological types were found, from relatively slender, elongate or lanceolate flukes to broadly pyriform, with distinctly separated fore- and hindbody. Bakke [27] reported polymorphism in P. umbilae from coregonid fish and recognized three different basic types of body shape.

The main consequence of the intraspecific phenotypic variation is an unclear view of the Phyllodistomum diversity in the European populations of fish. Earlier keys to European species of Phyllodistomum are uncritical and the authors approach to the diversity and validity of species is very different. Dawes [29] has stated that in the ultimate analysis all the European species of Phyllodistomum may become synonyms of P. folium. On the contrary, Pigulevsky [10] distinguished the nominal species, many of which are poorly known and inadequately described, by features that tend to be susceptible to treatment during or prior to fixation or to ontogenetic variation. He divided the genus Phyllodistomum into a number of subgenera; however, none of the subgenera proposed have received general acceptance [2]. Evaluating more than 1500 specimens of P. folium-like specimens, he concluded that the nominal species P. folium (Olfers, 1816) comprises four independent species, P. folium, P. dogieli Pigulevsky, 1953, P. bychowskii Pigulevsky, 1953 and P. pseudofolium; flukes from perciform fishes he attributed to P. pseudofolium. The validity of the new taxa erected by Pigulevsky [10] has been questioned by various authors. According to Bykhovskaya & Kulakova [23] descriptions of P. dogieli, P. bychowskii, P. baueri Pigulevski, 1953, P. massino Pigulevski, 1953 and P. zachwatkin Pigulevsky, 1953 (these species are listed in the database of Fauna Europaea [30]) are incomplete and their validity is doubtful.

Species of Phyllodistomum are relatively unusual among trematodes in having different types of cercariae which utilize highly diverse bivalve families, indicating that host extensions have featured in their histories [31–33]. However, there is no obvious morphological basis for distinguishing adults of Phyllodistomum spp. which develop from different cercariae, but it was presumed that these cercarial groups reflect phylogenetic distinctions [33]. Phylogenetic analyses of the family showed the genus Phyllodistomum to be paraphyletic and distinct clades correspond variously to the identity of the first intermediate host and the type of cercariae [34]. Recently Phyllodistomum sp. from unionids and common carp were recorded in Japan, the first record of rhopalocercariae (with comparatively short, club-shaped tail and absence of stylet and pharynx) in this country. Interestingly, it was noted that the morphology of the adult specimens resembles that of P. elongatum recorded in Europe [35]. It is worth noting that experimental studies on the life-cycle of European cercaria of rhopalocercous type Cercaria duplicata von Baer, 1827 from freshwater mussels yielded conflicting results; the study of Orechia et al. [36] has demonstrated that it is the larval form of P. elongatum, while Ivantsiv & Kurandina [37] showed that it is P. angulatum. However,
molecular data revealed no match between *C. duplicata* and any species of *Phyllodistomum* [5].

The present phylogenetic analyses demonstrated that the new species, *P. kupermani* n. sp., is a member of a clade containing freshwater species with cystocercous cercariae developing in bivalves of the family Sphaeridae. Morphologically, the new species most closely resembles *P. pseudofolium*, a highly host-specific parasite.
of Gymnocephalus cernuus. Our phylogenetic analyses showed that that P. kupermani n. sp. is genetically distantly related to P. pseudofolium, which produces macrocercous (but not cystocercous) cercariae developing in sphaeriid bivalve Pisidium amnicum. It should be noted that P. pseudofolium, P. angulatum and P. macrocotyle formed a highly supported clade despite the fact that these species appear to be associated with distinct patterns of first intermediate host identity and cercarial morphology [6].

Comparative analysis of the available data on the detailed tegumental topography of Phyllodistomum spp. clearly indicates that the SEM is a powerful tool in the discrimination and identification of closely related species. The surface topography of P. macrocotyle revealed in the present study differs from that described for congeneric species in the number and arrangement of papillae on both of the suckers and the surface of the body. Only one papillary type, button-like unciliated papillae, was observed. Large numbers of such papillae are randomly scattered along ventro-lateral regions of the forebody and on the entire ventral hindbody with no tendency to be concentrated in longitudinal rows or form other regular patterns. This differs from most other species of Phyllodistomum studied using SEM, i.e. P. umblae, P. folium, P. inecoli Razo-Mendivil, Pérez-Ponce de León, Rubio-Godoy, 2013, P. spinopapillatum Pérez-Ponce de León, Pinacho-Pinacho, Mendoza-Garfias & García-Varela, 2015, P. pseudofolium and P. angulatum, where one or two paired longitudinal rows of regular papillae are arranged on the surface of the forebody between the suckers [6, 8, 38–41]. However, as in P. macrocotyle, randomly distributed ventro-lateral papillae have been recorded for a recently described species, P. wallacei Pérez-Ponce de León, Martínez-Aquino & Mendoza-Garfias, 2015, a parasite of cyprinodontiform freshwater fish in central Mexico [4], although other papillary patterns in these two species differ. It is worth noting that irregularly arranged papillae have been described on the hindbody of all Phyllodistomum species, but their number varies significantly among the different species, from a few in P. umblae and P. folium (see [38, 40]), to a large number in P. macrocotyle and P. wallacei (see [4]; present study).

A great diversity in the patterns of papillae associated with the oral sucker has been described in species of Phyllodistomum. In the present SEM investigation of P. macrocotyle, 21 regular papillae were revealed in two rings around the oral sucker, which is a different papillary topography to that found around the oral sucker in all other species of Phyllodistomum examined to date. For example, a total of 16 papillae occur on the inner, upper, middle and lower parts of the oral sucker in P. spinopapillatum (see [8]); a consistent, bilaterally symmetrical arrangement of 18 papillae was noted on the rim and within the oral sucker of P. umblae (see [38]); in P. wallacei seven pairs of papillae have been described on the oral sucker (see [4]), in P. angulatum this figure was 20 papillae and in P. pseudofolium 16 [6].

There is a diversity in the location and number of papillae found on the surface of the ventral sucker of different Phyllodistomum species. In the present study, 14 papillae are aggregated in a definite pattern in P. macrocotyle, whereas in P. folium, P. umblae, P. angulatum there are 10 regular papillae [6, 38–40], in P. cribbi Pérez-Ponce de León, Martínez-Aquino & Mendoza-Garfias, 2015 and P. wallacei six papillae [4] and in P. spinopapillatum 18 regular papillae are associated with this sucker [8]. Nevertheless, despite differences in the overall number of papillae, in most species of this genus, there are six papillae on the rim of the ventral sucker and four papillae within the sucker [6, 8, 38–40].

Conclusions

The present study illustrates the challenge of identifying closely related parasites that have poor morphological distinguishing features and had historically been described based solely on morphological characters, and emphasising the need to use molecular tools for accurate species identification and to provide insights into the evolution and radiation of such parasites. The molecular markers showed that the European perch, P. fluvialis, hosts a new species of Phyllodistomum, P. kupermani n. sp., morphologically closely resembling P. pseudofolium. However, phylogenetic analysis shows that the new species is most closely related to the type-species of the genus, P. folium. The identity of other Phyllodistomum spp., reported in this fish, should be confirmed on the basis of molecular markers. Comparative molecular studies have also revealed that P. elongatum-like trematodes, recovered from ureters S. erythrophthalmus and L. idus represents P. macrocotyle, while Phyllodistomum specimens from ureters of R. rutilus were P. folium.
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