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ESCRT-III–mediated membrane fusion drives chromosome fragments through nuclear envelope channels

Brandt Warecki, Xi Ling, Ian Bast, and William Sullivan

Mitotic cells must form a single nucleus during telophase or exclude part of their genome as damage-prone micronuclei. While research has detailed how micronuclei arise from cells entering anaphase with lagging chromosomes, cellular mechanisms allowing late-segregating chromosomes to rejoin daughter nuclei remain underexplored. Here, we find that late-segregating acentric chromosome fragments that rejoin daughter nuclei are associated with nuclear membrane but devoid of lamin and nuclear pore complexes in Drosophila melanogaster. We show that acentrics pass through membrane-, lamin-, and nuclear pore–based channels in the nuclear envelope that extend and retract as acentrics enter nuclei. Membrane encompassing the acentrics fuses with the nuclear membrane, facilitating integration of the acentrics into newly formed nuclei. Fusion, mediated by the membrane fusion protein Comt/NSF and ESCRT-III components Shrub/CHMP4B and CHMP2B, facilitates reintegration of acentrics into nuclei. These results suggest a previously unsuspected role for membrane fusion, similar to nuclear repair, in the formation of a single nucleus during mitotic exit and the maintenance of genomic integrity.

Introduction

The goal of mitosis is to produce two genetically identical daughter cells. Failure to undergo accurate mitosis results in aneuploidy and the loss of key genetic information. To guarantee accurate production of daughters, cells have evolved mechanisms ensuring proper genome replication and segregation. However, simply segregating sister chromatids equally to each daughter cell is insufficient to maintain euploidy. Instead, the entire segregating chromosome complement must also be gathered into a single daughter nucleus (Zhang et al., 2015; Ly et al., 2017; Samwer et al., 2017). Failure to form a single nucleus results in the formation of one or more micronuclei, unstable structures that can undergo chromothripsis, a type of catastrophic DNA damage in which the micronuclear DNA is shattered, rearranged, and then reincorporated into the genome (Stephens et al., 2011; Crasta et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015; Ly et al., 2017). Chromothripsis results in aneuploidy (Zhang et al., 2015). Multiple studies link chromothripsis to the development and progression of cancer, and the presence of micronuclei has long been considered a hallmark of cancer cells (Stephens et al., 2011; Santos et al., 2010; Bonassi et al., 2011).

Lagging of whole or fragmented chromosomes during anaphase is perhaps the most common origin of micronuclei (Fenech et al., 2011). Lagging chromosomes can be “locked out” of daughter nuclei if they remain distinct from the main nuclei at the time when the nuclear envelope reassembles around the main chromosome complement. During nuclear envelope reassembly, nuclear membrane is recruited to chromatin, the nuclear lamina is reestablished, and nuclear pore complexes reform. Different domains of nuclear membrane are then sealed together via the action of conserved membrane fusion proteins such as NSF and the ESCRT (endosomal sorting complexes required for transport)-III complex (Baur et al., 2007; Vietri et al., 2015; Olmos et al., 2015). The reassembled nuclear envelope would be expected to act as a physical barrier that prevents lagging chromosome entry into the daughter nucleus and therefore contributes to the formation of a micronucleus.

While lagging chromosomes certainly pose a significant risk to the genetic integrity of a dividing cell, in actuality, not every lagging chromosome is destined to form a micronucleus. In some instances, lagging chromosomes enter telophase daughter nuclei, preserving euploidy. For example, lagging whole chromosomes can rejoin daughter nuclei in human colorectal cancer cells (Huang et al., 2012) and fission yeast (Pidoux et al., 2000; Sabatinos et al., 2015). In addition, late-segregating acentric
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fragments sometimes rejoin daughter nuclei in cultured mammalian cells (Liang et al., 1993) and several insect species, including Chortophaga viridifasciata (Carlson, 1938) and Drosophila melanogaster (Royou et al., 2010; Bretscher and Fox, 2016).

These examples suggest the presence of mechanisms that alter normal mitotic events to allow the inclusion of late-segregating chromatids into daughter nuclei, maintaining genome integrity. While many studies have documented how a micronucleus could contribute to genomic instability (Terradas et al., 2009, 2012; Crasta et al., 2012; Hatch et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015; Ly et al., 2017; de Castro et al., 2017; Maass et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018), the mechanisms that instead facilitate incorporation of lagging chromosomes into daughter nuclei to maintain euploidy remain underexplored. Here, we address this issue by studying the reintegration of late-segregating acentric chromosome fragments in Drosophila neuroblast divisions, which rejoin daughter nuclei with high fidelity (Royou et al., 2010; Kotadia et al., 2012; Karg et al., 2015, 2017; Warecki and Sullivan, 2018).

Acentric behavior in Drosophila can be studied with transgenic flies containing a heat shock-inducible I-CreI endonuclease (Rong et al., 2002). I-CreI generates double-stranded DNA breaks in the ribosomal DNA repeats at the base of the X chromosome and results in fragments with persistent γH2Av foci that are incapable of recruiting key kinetochore components and are therefore truly acentric (Royou et al., 2010). Despite lacking a centromere, acentrics undergo a delayed but successful poleward segregation, mediated by a protein-coated DNA tether that connects the acentrics to the main chromosome mass (Royou et al., 2010) and microtubules that enrich in bundles around the segregating acentric (Karg et al., 2017). Because sister separation of the acentrics is significantly delayed, by the time acentrics begin their initial segregation poleward, the nuclear envelope has already begun to reform on daughter nuclei (Karg et al., 2015). This nascent nuclear envelope should act as a barrier to prevent acentric entry into daughter nuclei. Instead, the presence of acentrics and their associated tether triggers the formation of highly localized channels in the nuclear envelope through which the acentrics pass to rejoin daughter nuclei (Karg et al., 2015). Because of the extreme delay in acentric segregation, channels persist for several minutes after they form to allow acentric entry (Karg et al., 2015). During this time the acentric and tether remain free of lamin and nuclear pore complexes (Karg et al., 2015).

Failure to undergo lamin reassembly on late-segregating acentrics could be explained by a spatiotemporal mechanism that blocks nuclear envelope reassembly on chromosomes near the midzone (Afonso et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2018). However, while these spatiotemporal models might justify the lack of lamin assembly on acentrics, which are near the midzone, they cannot account for the formation of nuclear envelope channels on daughter nuclei, which are near the poles. Instead, acentric segregation, channel formation, and incorporation into daughter nuclei rely on the tethers connecting acentrics to their centric partners (Royou et al., 2010). The tether is associated with Polo, BubR1, and the chromosome passenger complex proteins Aurora B and INCENP (Royou et al., 2010). Nuclear envelope channel formation is dependent on the Aurora B kinase activity associated with the acentric and DNA tether. When Aurora B activity is reduced, channel formation fails even though the tether remains intact (Karg et al., 2015). Consequently, acentrics are unable to enter daughter nuclei and instead form lamin-coated micronuclei. These data demonstrate that channel formation is mediated by localized Aurora B activity on the tether (Warecki and Sullivan, 2018) as opposed to a physical blockage of nuclear envelope assembly by the tether. We note that this model of channel formation on daughter nuclei is not mutually exclusive to the spatiotemporal models that could prevent lamin assembly on the lagging acentric chromatin.

These studies (Afonso et al., 2014; Karg et al., 2015; Warecki and Sullivan, 2018) suggest that nuclear envelope reassembly is inhibitory to acentric entry into daughter nuclei. This view is supported by evidence that inappropriate nuclear envelope reassembly can lead to chromosome segregation defects (Champion et al., 2019) and micronucleus formation (Samwer et al., 2017). While the formation of channels is clearly important to allow acentrics to bypass the physical barrier of the nuclear envelope, the extent to which nuclear envelope reassembly promotes acentric entry is unknown. Here, we show that processes involved in nuclear envelope reassembly promote acentric entry into nuclei. We find that the nuclear envelope channel is highly dynamic, extending outward to reach toward segregating acentrics and retracting back as acentrics enter channels. We find that acentrics reintegrating into daughter nuclei recruit nuclear envelope membrane despite lacking lamin and nuclear pore complexes (Afonso et al., 2014; Karg et al., 2015). In addition, we find that the conserved membrane fusion protein Comt/NSF and the ESCRT-III components Shrub/charged multivesicular body protein 4B (CHMP4B) and CHMP2B are required for efficient entry of acentrics into daughter nuclei. Taken together, these results suggest a novel mechanism for nuclear membrane fusion in maintaining genome integrity, in which fusion between membrane on acentrics and membrane on daughter nuclei guides poleward-segregating acentrics through nuclear envelope channels and into daughter nuclei.

Results
Late-segregating acentrics reach daughter nuclei after nuclear envelope reassembly
Despite lacking kinetochores, I-CreI–induced acentrics ultimately segregate and enter daughter nuclei (Royou et al., 2010). Acentric segregation is so severely delayed that components of the nuclear envelope already begin to localize to the main daughter nuclei while acentrics are still segregating poleward, which should act as a barrier to prevent acentric entry (Karg et al., 2015). We have previously shown that acentrics are able to bypass this barrier by entering through channels in the nascent nuclear envelope surrounding daughter nuclei (Karg et al., 2017).

To more precisely time acentric segregation with respect to nuclear envelope reassembly, we imaged live Drosophila neuronal stem cells (neuroblasts) from third-instar larvae expressing...
H2Av-RFP and GFP-NLS, with or without I-CreI expression. The presence of GFP-NLS in the nucleus indicates an assembled nuclear envelope (Anderson et al., 2009). We exclusively imaged female Drosophila larvae, which produce four acenric X chromosome fragments upon I-CreI induction (Rong et al., 2002). Control neuroblasts lacking acenrics began accumulating GFP-NLS in telophase when a functional envelope was rebuilt around daughter nuclei (Fig. 1 A). Neuroblasts with acenrics also recruited GFP-NLS around their daughter nuclei at times when acenrics were still segregating poleward (Fig. 1 B). Acentrics (arrows) did not recruit GFP-NLS.

On average, control neuroblasts began accumulating GFP-NLS 216 s (n = 26) after anaphase onset (sister chromatid separation). Neuroblasts with acenrics began accumulating GFP-NLS 252 s (n = 13) after anaphase onset (Fig. 1 C). This 36-s delay, although slight, is significant (P = 0.008 by a two-sided Mann-Whitney—Wilcoxon test) and in accordance with previous studies (Karg et al., 2015; Montembault et al., 2017). Given that initiation of sister acenric separation is delayed by ∼200 s (Fig. 1 D), it is unlikely that this delay in nuclear envelope reassembly is sufficient to provide time for incorporation of the acenric. In fact, Fig. 1 D shows that at the time that GFP-NLS begins accumulating in nuclei due to assembled nuclear envelopes, acenrics remain at a significant distance from telophase nuclei. Taken together, these data indicate that additional mechanisms, such as nuclear envelope channels, are required for incorporation of late-segregating acenrics.

Velocity of poleward-segregating acenrics decreases as acenrics pass through nuclear envelope channels

To further understand the mechanism of how acenrics enter telophase daughter nuclei, we live-imaged neuroblasts expressing H2Av-RFP, Lamin-GFP, and I-CreI. As we had previously observed (Karg et al., 2015), we saw that acenrics bypass the physical barrier of the nuclear envelope by entering daughter nuclei through channels in the nuclear envelope (Fig. 1 E, arrowhead).

Before entering nuclei, acenrics first segregate equally to each daughter cell and move poleward through the combined action of protein-coated DNA tethers and bundled microtubules in Drosophila neuroblasts (Royou et al., 2010; Karg et al., 2017). Errors in initial poleward segregation often manifest in an unequal partitioning of sister acenrics to each daughter cell (Karg et al., 2017). To explore the functional link between the mechanisms that govern initial acenric segregation and acenric reintegration into daughter nuclei, we determined whether divisions in which acenrics were unequally partitioned had an increased rate of micronuclei formation. However, we found no correlation between unequal acenric segregation and micronuclei formation (Fig. 1 E). Aneuploid daughter cells that received one or three acenrics (arrows) were just as likely to reincorporate their acenrics into daughter nuclei through nuclear envelope channels (73%; n = 11) as euploid daughter cells that received the normal two acenrics (71%; n = 14). This suggests that initial poleward segregation and reintegration into daughter nuclei may be mechanistically uncoupled.

Additionally, we observed that acenrics (arrows) slowed as they passed through nuclear envelope channels (arrowheads) compared with their poleward movement from the metaphase plate (Fig. 1, F–H). In the division shown in Fig. 1 F (Video 1), the acenric moving toward the bottom nucleus moved at an average of roughly 12 nm/s as it segregated from the metaphase plate to the beginning of the nuclear envelope channel. As the acenric passed through the channel, its velocity decreased to ∼4 nm/s. To determine if this slowing is a consistent feature of acenric segregation, we measured the velocity changes in our live imaging of 30 acenrics that reentered daughter nuclei. On average, acenrics began to contact channels ∼155 s (n = 30; SD = 48 s) after they began poleward segregation. We deemed the period of acenric movement from their segregation off the metaphase plate to their first contact with nuclear envelope channels as their “initial poleward phase” and the period of acenric movement from when acenrics first contact nuclear envelope channels to when the nuclear envelope has completely surrounded acenrics as their “channel passage phase.” We calculated distance by measuring from the furthest tip of the acenric to the nearest point on the daughter nucleus (Fig. 1 G). Overall, we found that acenrics moved through the initial poleward phase with an average velocity of ∼10 nm/s (n = 30; SD = 4 nm/s), while they moved through the channel passage phase with an average velocity of ∼7 nm/s (n = 30; SD = 4 nm/s; Fig. 1 H). This decrease in acenric velocity while passing through channels was statistically different from acenric velocity during initial poleward segregation as determined by a two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test (P = 0.0003). Taken together, these results suggest that acenric poleward segregation before reaching the nuclear envelope and acenric passage through the nuclear envelope involve distinct forces and perhaps distinct mechanisms.

Nuclear envelope channels extend toward acenrics and retract as acenrics rejoin daughter nuclei

Because velocity decreases as acenrics pass through nuclear envelope channels, we hypothesized that the environment of the channel itself may contribute to the observed change in acenric velocity. We therefore imaged neuroblasts expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and Lamin-GFP and performed a detailed analysis of the dynamics of channels at the time when acenrics passed through them to reenter daughter nuclei (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2 A (Video 2) shows the typical dynamics of nuclear envelope channels as acenrics enter and pass through to rejoin daughter nuclei. As acenrics (arrows) segregated, we observed lamin assembly on the poleward side of daughter nuclei as has been previously reported (Katsani et al., 2008; Warecki and Sullivan, 2018). Nuclear envelope channels (arrowheads) were clearly visible in the lamin as acenrics approached daughter nuclei. We observed lamin extend outwards from channels (brackets) and toward acenrics. Lamin extensions did not completely envelop the acenric but extended only partway along the segregating acenric, leaving the midstone-facing tip of the acenric lamin-free. As the acenrics passed through channels, the lamin extensions retracted toward the daughter nuclei (Fig. 2, A and A’). After this retraction, lamin completed reassembly around the distal tips of the acenrics to ensure that the acenrics and daughter nuclei were completely surrounded by an intact nuclear envelope.
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We measured the longest length of the lamin extensions during acentric segregation and the length of the retracted extension at the time of completion of lamin reassembly for all divisions imaged (Fig. 2 B). On average, we found that lamin extended 1.9 µm (n = 18; SD = 0.5 µm) away from daughter nuclei at their longest extension. Upon complete lamin reassembly around the daughter nucleus, extensions were 1.5 µm (n = 18; SD = 0.7 µm) away from daughter nuclei. The retraction of lamin extensions was statically significant, as determined by a paired two-sided t test (P = 0.005). We hypothesized that the observed variation in the lamin extension length might be due to variation in the distance of each acentric from its daughter nucleus. Although one would expect thatacentrics farther from nuclei would have channels with longer lamin extensions, we observed only a weak positive correlation (r = 0.23) between the distances of the nearest point on the acentric to the daughter nucleus at the time when channels begin to form and of the longest lamin extension measured (Fig. 2 C). We observed a mild positive correlation (r = 0.32) between the distance the lamin extensions retracted and the distance acentrics traveled during the time of retraction (Fig. 2 D). This suggests that the extension and retraction of the lamin may be mechanistically linked to acentric passage through the channel.

Acentric entry through channels is associated with global disruptions in nuclear morphology

We subsequently determined how the lamin extensions from channels affected the overall morphology of daughter nuclei. We performed lattice light-sheet microscopy on neuroblasts expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and Lamin-GFP (Fig. 2 E). In this experiment, we observed neuroblasts that divided without acentrics, neuroblasts that divided with acentrics that entered daughter nuclei through nuclear envelope channels, and neuroblasts that divided with acentrics that formed micronuclei due to a lack of nuclear envelope channels on the daughter nuclei. We focused our attention on the phase when lamin reassembly had just completed encompassing the daughter nucleus. In general, we found that daughter nuclei from divisions with no acentrics were fairly smooth and spherical. In contrast, divisions with acentrics (arrows) that entered daughter nuclei through nuclear envelope channels often exhibited global disruptions in morphology: they were wrinkled and adopted an elongated shape. Surprisingly, we sometimes also observed nuclear envelope protrusions in regions of the daughter nucleus opposite to the acentric entry point (Fig. 2 E, asterisk). Daughter nuclei from divisions with acentrics that formed micronuclei due to a lack of channels exhibited an intermediate morphology and were somewhat elongated but not as wrinkled as nuclei from divisions with acentrics that had entered through channels.

To quantify these observations, we measured the sphericity of each daughter nucleus (Fig. 2 F; also see Materials and methods). Perfect spheres have a sphericity of 1. Daughter nuclei from divisions with no acentrics had an average sphericity of 0.823 (SD = 0.010; n = 6). The average sphericity of daughter nuclei from divisions with acentrics that entered nuclei through channels decreased to 0.731 (SD = 0.059; n = 7), a statistically significant decrease (P = 0.003 by a two-sided Mann–Whitney test). Daughter nuclei from divisions with acentrics that formed micronuclei due to the absence of channels had an average sphericity of 0.804 (SD = 0.039; n = 3), with a range of values corresponding to both outcomes. Taken together, these data suggest that lamin reassembly around
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Figure 1. Velocities of poleward-segregating acentrics decrease as acentrics pass through nuclear envelope channels. (A and B) Stills from videos of mitotic neuroblasts expressing H2Av-RFP (magenta) and GFP-NLS (green; A) or H2Av-RFP, GFP-NLS, and I-CreI (B). Nuclear envelope reassembly initiation (arrowheads) began later in the division with late-segregating acentrics (arrows). Despite this delay, the delay in acentric segregation is much more severe, and thus acentrics were still far from the daughter nuclei when the nuclear envelope initiated reassembly. Time is written in seconds after anaphase onset. Scale bars are 2 µm. (C) GFP-NLS intensity on daughter nuclei from control neuroblasts (black line; n = 26) and neuroblasts expressing I-CreI (purple line; n = 13). Lines represent averages. Dark-shaded regions represent ± the standard error. Light-shaded regions represent ± twice the standard error. Dashed line indicates time of nuclear envelope reassembly initiation. On average, nuclear envelope reassembly initiated later in neuroblasts expressing I-CreI (difference in the times of GFP-NLS accumulation on nuclei between control and I-CreI neuroblasts measured as significant by a two-sided Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test: P = 0.008). (D) Distance of acentrics from control daughter nuclei (black line; n = 13) and GFP-NLS intensity on daughter nuclei from neuroblasts expressing I-CreI (purple line; n = 13). Lines represent averages. Dark-shaded regions represent ± the standard error. Light-shaded regions represent ± twice the standard error. Dashed line indicates the distance of acentrics from daughter nuclei at the time of nuclear envelope reassembly initiation. On average, acentrics were >2.5 µm away from daughter nuclei when the nuclear envelope initiated reassembly. (E) Percentage of neuroblast divisions in which acentrics formed micronuclei when segregating equally to each daughter cell (left; n = 14) and when segregating unequally to each daughter cell (right; n = 11). Additionally, stills from videos of mitotic neuroblasts expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Lamin-GFP (green) when acentrics (arrows) segregated equally (left) and unequally (right) and entered daughter nuclei through channels in the nuclear envelope (arrowheads). Scale bar is 2 µm. (F) Stills from a video (Video 1) of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Lamin-GFP (green). Acentrics (arrows) moved off the metaphase plate and toward daughter nuclei during the initial poleward phase (0–270 s) before entering nuclear envelope channels (arrowheads) and being surrounded by a complete nuclear envelope (270–396 s) during the channel passage phase. Time is written in seconds after initial acentric poleward movement. Scale bar is 2 µm. Yellow dashed boxes indicate magnified regions. (G) Diagram illustrating how acentric velocities were measured. The time between when acentrics first move poleward and when they enter nuclear envelope channels is deemed the initial poleward phase. The time between when acentrics first enter channels and when the nuclear envelope reassembles completely around them is termed the channel passage phase. Distance was measured between the furthest point on the acentric and the closest point on the daughter nucleus (red brackets). Velocity was calculated as the difference in measured distances at the beginning and ending of each phase divided by the time it took for acentrics to complete each phase. (H) Velocities of acentrics (n = 30) during their initial poleward phase (left) and during their channel passage phase (right). Each dot represents one acentric. Lines connect the measured initial poleward and channel passage velocities of the same acentric. The velocities of 24 of 30 acentrics decreased from their initial poleward phase to their channel passage phase (blue dots). The velocities of 6 of 30 acentrics increased from their initial poleward phase to their channel passage phase (red dots). Boxes represent interquartile ranges and lines represent medians of the measured data. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (P = 0.0003) determined by a two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
Figure 2. Lamin extends from nuclear envelope channels and retracts as acentrics rejoin daughter nuclei. (A and A') Stills from videos (Video 2) of two mitotic neuroblasts expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Lamin-GFP (green). As acentrics (arrows) approached nuclear envelope channels (arrowheads), lamin extended outward from the channels toward the acentric (brackets). As the acentrics passed through channels to rejoin nuclei, these lamin extensions retracted back. Time is written in seconds after initial acentric poleward movement. Scale bars is 2 µm. Yellow dashed boxes indicate magnified regions. (B) Lengths of lamin extensions ($n = 18$) at their longest point during acentric segregation (left) and at the time the nuclear envelope has completed reassembly (right). Each dot represents the length of a lamin extension from one nucleus. Lines connect the extension lengths at their longest value and at completed nuclear envelope reassembly for the same nucleus. The lengths of 16 of 18 lamin extensions decreased from their longest value during acentric segregation to complete nuclear envelope reassembly (blue dots). The lengths of 2 of 18 lamin extensions increased from their longest value during acentric segregation to complete nuclear envelope reassembly (red dots). Boxes represent interquartile ranges and lines represent medians of the measured data. Asterisks indicate statistical significance ($P = 0.005$) determined by a paired two-sided $t$ test. (C) Correlation between the distance of the nearest point on the acentric to the nucleus at the time of channel formation (x axis) and to the longest length of the lamin extension during acentric segregation (y axis). Each dot represents one acentric/nucleus pair ($n = 18$). Blue dots represent acentric/nucleus pairs whose lamin extensions decreased by the time of complete nuclear envelope reassembly. Red dots represent acentric/nucleus pairs whose lamin extensions increased by the time of complete nuclear envelope reassembly. R = correlation coefficient. The black line is the regression line for all data points. (D) Starting at the time of maximum lamin extension, the correlation between the distance an acentric travels (x axis) and the distance the lamin extension retracts during the same time period (y axis). Each dot represents one acentric/nucleus pair ($n = 18$). Blue dots represent acentric/nucleus pairs whose lamin extensions decreased by the time of complete nuclear envelope reassembly. Red dots represent acentric/nucleus pairs whose lamin extensions increased by the time of complete nuclear envelope reassembly. The black line is the regression line for all data points. (E) 3D reconstructions from videos of mitotic neuroblasts expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Lamin-GFP (green) imaged on a lattice light-sheet microscope (left). Constructed surface models of the nuclear envelope are shown to the right of each image. Images are representative of I-CreI–expressing neuroblasts that had no acentrics (left), had acentrics and nuclear envelope channels (middle), or had acentrics (arrows) and no channels (right). While divisions with no acentrics and divisions with acentrics but no channels formed smooth daughter nuclei, divisions with acentrics and channels had daughter nuclei that were wrinkled and protruded, including at locations far from where the acentric had entered (asterisk). Scale bars are 2 µm. (F) Measurement of the sphericity of the nuclear envelopes of daughter nuclei from neuroblasts expressing I-CreI that had no acentrics (left; $n = 6$), acentrics and channels (middle; $n = 7$), or acentrics but no channels (right; $n = 3$). Divisions with both acentrics and channels had daughter nuclei with relatively lower sphericities than those from divisions with no acentrics and divisions with acentrics but no channels. Boxes represent interquartile ranges and lines represent medians of the measured data. Asterisks indicate statistical significance ($P = 0.003$) by a two-sided Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test. The difference between divisions with channels and divisions with micronuclei was not statistically different ($P = 0.07$) as was the difference between divisions without acentrics and divisions with micronuclei ($P = 0.5$), determined by two-sided Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon tests.
daughter nuclei is globally altered when nuclear envelope
cannels form in response to the presence of acentrics.

**Late-segregating acentrics are associated with nuclear
envelope membrane but not lamina or nuclear pore complexes**

We next examined whether the segregating acentrics were asso-
ciated with certain components of the nuclear envelope and
whether the reassembling nuclear envelope mediates acentric
reintegration into daughter nuclei. The nuclear envelope con-
sists of the lamina, a double phospholipid bilayer, nuclear pore
complexes, and a subset of proteins that are embedded in the
inner nuclear membrane, termed INM proteins (Schooley et al.,
2012). At the beginning of mitosis, these structures disassemble
and remodel as the nuclear envelope breaks down. We and
others have shown that while lamin and nuclear pore complexes
can reassemble on telophase daughter nuclei, lagging chromatin
remains free of these two components (Afonso et al., 2014; Karg
et al., 2015; de Castro et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018). Interestingly,
lagging whole chromosomes that form micronuclei recruit a
nuclear membrane despite initially lacking lamin or nuclear
pore complexes (Liu et al., 2018; de Castro et al., 2017; Maass
et al., 2018). However, it is unknown whether lagging acentrics
that rejoin daughter nuclei also recruit a nuclear membrane. To
explore the behavior of different components of the nuclear
envelope during acentric segregation and reintegration into
daughter nuclei, we live imaged dividing neuroblasts expressing
I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and either Lamin-GFP or GFP-nucleoporin
107 (Nup107). We also performed superresolution imaging on
fixed neuroblasts stained with antibodies recognizing the inte-
gral membrane protein Otefin (Fig. 3). Otefin is a member of the
LEM (LAP2, Emerin, MAN1) domain family (Barton et al., 2013), a core component of the nuclear
envelope. Its appearance indicates the presence of nuclear
membrane (Ashery-Padan et al., 1997). In neuroblasts fixed in
anaphase, we did not observe notable Otefin localization to acentrics (arrows) or to segregating undamaged chromosomes,
consistent with the nuclear envelope being broken down at this
time. During telophase, we observed Otefin begin to localize to
the periphery of daughter nuclei. In addition, we also detected
strong Otefin signal on acentrics. Compared with the Otefin
signal on daughter nuclei, which was faint and interrupted, we
observed bright Otefin staining on acentrics that persisted into
late telophase as acentrics entered daughter nuclei. Otefin first
localized surrounding the acentric and then as a channel when
acentrics began to enter daughter nuclei. Finally, Otefin signal
was brightest at the midzone-facing tip of acentrics as the
acentrics completed their entry into nuclei. In interphase, we
detected a strong Otefin signal around the periphery of daughter
teleosomes. We were unable to detect any Otefin signal on the tether
connecting acentrics to daughter nuclei. We interpret these re-
results as indicating that Otefin and nuclear membrane are en-
riched on late-segregating acentrics that enter daughter nuclei.

**Barrier-to-autointegration factor (BAF) localizes to acentrics,
and membrane on acentrics fuses to membrane on daughter
nuclei as acentrics pass through channels**

In telophase, the ER restructures to provide membrane for the
reforming nuclear envelope (Ellenberg et al., 1997). The lumen of
the ER is continuous with the perinuclear space between the
outer nuclear membrane and the INM, and so marking luminal ER proteins is a strategy to visualize nuclear membrane dy-
namics (Bobinnec et al., 2003; Bergman et al., 2015; Yao et al.,
2018). To further investigate the dynamics of the nuclear mem-
brane on late-segregating acentrics, we live-imaged neuroblasts
expressing I-CreI and the luminal ER protein protein disulfide
isomerase (PDI) fused to GFP.

In contrast to Lamin-GFP and GFP-Nup107, which were not
recruited to late-segregating acentrics, we observed PDI-GFP
signals on daughter nuclei and on acentrics (Fig. 4 A, arrows; and
Video 5). This was expected given the Otefin localization we
observed when we fixed neuroblasts. Late-segregating acentrics
first recruited PDI-GFP soon after they moved off the metaphase
plate. We quantified the fluorescence intensities of PDI-GFP
signals on acentrics and main nuclei for 342 s from when
acentrics began poleward movement for all divisions imaged.
Unlike with the signal intensities for Lamin-GFP and GFP-Nup107,
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PDI-GFP signal intensity around the main nuclei decreased as cells progressed from anaphase to telophase (Fig. 4 A’). Presumably, the observed decrease in PDI-GFP signal intensity around main nuclei was due to the fluorophore photobleaching, as it has been well established that nuclear membrane is recruited to reforming nuclei (Chaudhary and Courvalin, 1993; Ellenberg et al., 1997). In contrast to the Lamin-GFP and GFP-Nup107 signal intensities on segregating acentrics, which were lower than those on the main nuclei and remained constant while signal intensity around the main nuclei increased, we found that PDI-GFP signal intensity around acentrics was higher than that of main nuclei and stayed constant while the signal intensity around the main nuclei decreased (Fig. 4 A’). Given the observed decrease in PDI-GFP signal intensity on the main nuclei during the time period of nuclear envelope reassembly, we interpret the high, constant PDI-GFP signal intensity on acentrics as indicative of strong PDI-GFP recruitment to acentrics.

We observed acentrics reenter daughter nuclei through highly localized gaps in all three major components of the nuclear envelope surrounding daughter nuclei, including in the PDI-GFP signal (Fig. 4 B, arrows). While the main nuclei were almost completely coated with a layer of PDI-GFP, the acentrics exhibited highly variable association with PDI-GFP, with some acentrics almost completely surrounded by PDI-GFP while others had only one or two sides coated (compare acentrics in Fig. 4, A–C). In addition, the PDI-GFP signal associated with acentrics was dynamic and could dissociate and reassociate with acentrics as they moved toward daughter nuclei (Fig. 4 C). As acentrics began to pass through the channels formed in the nuclear membrane around main nuclei, we observed the PDI-GFP signal on acentrics (Fig. 4 C, top arrow) and the PDI-GFP signal on main nuclei (Fig. 4 C, bottom arrow) merge (Fig. 4 C, asterisk). Taken together, these results suggest that late-segregating acentrics rejoining daughter nuclei recruit a nuclear membrane that fuses with the membrane on the daughter nuclei as acentrics pass through channels in the nuclear envelope to rejoin undamaged chromosomes.

Because we observed both nuclear membrane and the LEM-domain protein Otefin enrich on late-segregating acentrics, we hypothesized that there might be factors specifically recruiting them to acentrics. A good candidate protein is BAF. BAF cross-bridges DNA during mitosis with undamaged chromosomes to ensure the nuclear envelope surrounds all chromosomes in a single nucleus (Samwer et al., 2017). BAF recruits LEM-domain proteins to telophase chromatin (Shumaker et al., 2001; Haraguchi et al., 2001). In addition, BAF localizes at and recruits LEM-domain proteins and membrane to the sites of nuclear envelope rupture in interphase cells to stimulate repair (Halfmann et al., 2019).

To test if BAF localizes to acentrics, we live-imaged neuroblasts expressing H2Av-RFP, GFP-BAF, and I-CreI (Fig. 4 D). We observed GFP-BAF localizing to both daughter nuclei (Fig. 4 D, arrowheads) and acentrics (Fig. 4 D, arrows) during telophase. At first, GFP-BAF accumulated equally to acentrics and daughter nuclei. However, later in telophase, GFP-BAF accumulation was much stronger on acentrics than on daughter nuclei. As with Otefin, we observed GFP-BAF initially localize all around acentrics and then concentrate at the midzone-facing tips of acentrics as they completed their entry into daughter nuclei. Additionally, we observed GFP-BAF localizing to the tethers that connect acentrics to daughter nuclei. We also detected GFP-BAF along threads that apparently connect acentrics segregating to opposing daughter cells. In interphase, we observed even GFP-BAF localization around the entire daughter nuclei.

We quantified this observation for all imaged divisions (Fig. S1) and found that GFP-BAF signal intensity increased at the same rate around the main nuclei and acentrics as nuclear envelope reassembly began (Fig. 4 D’). Then, GFP-BAF intensity dramatically increased on acentrics around the time that acentrics begin entering nuclear envelope channels. These results indicate that BAF is enriched on late-segregating acentrics that enter daughter nuclei. Enriched BAF on acentrics might recruit LEM-domain proteins, such as Otefin, and nuclear membrane, analogous to repair of nuclear envelope ruptures in interphase cells (Halfmann et al., 2019).

Membrane fusion protein Comt/NSF and ESCRT-III components Shrub/CHMP4B and CHMP2B are required for efficient acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei

Because of the apparent fusion between nuclear membrane on late-segregating acentrics and nuclear membrane surrounding main nuclei as acentrics pass through nuclear envelope channels, we hypothesized that membrane fusion genes may play an important role in acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei. The time required for fusion events between membrane on acentrics and membrane on nuclei may also explain the marked decrease in the velocity of acentrics as they pass through nuclear envelope channels. During nuclear envelope reassembly in divisions lacking lagging chromatin, the ER restructures to extend membrane...
Figure 4. **BAF is enriched on late-segregating acentrics, and membrane between acentrics and daughter nuclei fuses.** (A) Stills from a video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and PDI-GFP (Video 5; yellow). Scale bars are 2 µm. Yellow dashed boxes indicate magnified regions. (**A′**) Fluorescence intensity of PDI-GFP measured on the nuclei (black line; n = 19) and on the acentrics (purple line; n = 19). Lines represent averages. Dark-shaded regions represent ± the standard error. Light-shaded regions represent ± twice the standard error. Dashed green lines represent the previously measured average time when acentrics began passing through channels (155 s after acentric segregation). PDI-GFP fluorescence became much stronger on acentrics than on daughter nuclei as cells progressed through anaphase to telophase. See also Fig. S1. a.b.u., arbitrary brightness unit. (B) Stills from videos of mitotic neuroblasts expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and Lamin-GFP (left), GFP-Nup107 (middle), or PDI-GFP (right). Each image is a single Z-slice of one daughter nucleus. Channels in the nuclear envelope (arrows) formed in all three major components of the nuclear envelope, allowing acentrics to enter daughter nuclei. Scale bars are 2 µm. (C) Stills from a video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and PDI-GFP. As the acentric approached the daughter nucleus, nuclear membrane on the acentric and daughter nucleus (arrows) fused (asterisk). Time is written in seconds after initial acentric poleward movement. Each image is a single Z-slice. Scale bar is 2 µm. (D) Stills from a video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and GFP-BAF (green). During telophase, BAF accumulated at the nuclear rim of daughter nuclei and strongly on the late-segregating acentrics (arrows). Time is written in seconds after acentric segregation. Scale bars are 2 µm. Yellow dashed boxes indicate magnified regions. (**D′**) GFP-BAF intensity on daughter nuclei (black line; n = 19) and acentrics (purple line; n = 19). Lines represent averages. Dark-shaded regions represent ± the standard error. Light-shaded regions represent ± twice the
that first contacts and then spreads over decondensing chromatin to form the nuclear membrane (Ellenberg et al., 1999). Canonical membrane fusion genes, including NSF and SNARE proteins, mediate fusion of distinct nuclear membrane sections to form a continuous membrane sheet around daughter nuclei (Baur et al., 2007). Nuclear membrane fusion also requires the ESCRT-III complex (Vietri et al., 2015; Olmos et al., 2015). We hypothesized that membrane fusion events between nuclear membrane on acentrics and nuclear membrane on the main nuclei would require a similar set of proteins.

To determine if membrane fusion is required for efficient acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei, we performed a candidate-based RNAi screen where we depleted single membrane fusion proteins and used live imaging to monitor if the rate of acentrics forming micronuclei increased compared with control divisions. We also included several proteins involved in ER organization and function in our screen. In total, we depleted 13 different proteins. We classified acentrics as forming micronuclei if they visibly failed to rejoin daughter nuclei, either as existing physically separate from daughter nuclei or moving separately from daughter nuclei and in a different condensation state. We considered hits from this screen to be proteins that, when knocked down, resulted in a greater than twofold increase in the measured rate of micronuclei formation for control divisions. The results of this screen are summarized in Table 1. In control divisions, acentrics segregated equally in 89% of divisions (n = 27). We observed acentrics forming micronuclei in only ~17% (n = 24) of control divisions. Fig. 5 A (Video 6) shows a typical control division in which the acentrics (arrows) successfully rejoined daughter nuclei. Of note, we observed significant increases in the rate of acentrics forming micronuclei when the levels of Comt/NSF (46%), reticulon 2 (Rtnl2/RTN2; 53%), or Shrub/CHMP4B (51%) were decreased with RNAi compared with control divisions (Table 1).

Fig. 5 B (Video 7) shows a typical Comt-depleted neuroblast division in which an acentric (arrows) formed a micronucleus (arrowhead). Comt is one of two Drosophila homologues of NSF, a protein that disassembles trans-SNARE complexes and allows repeated cycles of membrane fusion (Ordway et al., 1994). In Comt-depleted neuroblasts, sister acentrics were equally segregated in 89% (n = 44) of divisions imaged (compare to control divisions; 89%), suggesting that Comt depletion may not affect the initial poleward segregation of acentrics. However, RNAi depletion of Comt resulted in a dramatic increase in acentrics failing to reintegrate into daughter nuclei, leading to the formation of micronuclei (micronuclei formed in 46% of divisions, n = 46; compared with 17% of control divisions). We confirmed this result by using a second RNAi line against Comt and again observed a high rate of micronuclei formation (43%, n = 40; control, 17%). Additionally, we used the well-characterized comt6 temperature-sensitive mutant (Krishnan et al., 1996; Sanyal and Krishnan, 2012) and likewise observed acentrics form micronuclei at a high rate in heat shocked comt6/+ heterozygotes (62%, n = 13; control, 17%; Table 1).

Fig. 5 C (Video 8) shows a typical Rtnl2-depleted neuroblast division in which an acentric (arrows) formed a micronucleus (arrowhead). Rtnl2 is likely the Drosophila orthologue of human RTN2 (Thurmond et al., 2019), a reticulon-family protein involved in the restructuring of the ER (Voeltz et al., 2006; Montenegro et al., 2012). In Rtnl2-depleted neuroblasts, acentrics were also equally segregated 89% (n = 35) of the time (compared with 89% of control divisions), indicating that Rtnl2 is not involved in initial acentric segregation. However, upon RNAi-depletion of Rtnl2, acentrics failed to enter daughter nuclei and instead formed micronuclei in 53% (n = 32) of divisions filmed (compared with 17% control divisions).

Fig. 5 D (Video 9) shows a typical Shrub-depleted neuroblast division in which an acentric (arrows) formed a micronucleus (arrowhead). Shrub is the Drosophila orthologue of CHMP4B, a major component of the ESCRT-III complex (Sweeney et al., 2006). In Shrub-depleted neuroblasts, we observed decreased

| Genotype           | Stock number | Micronuclei % (N) | Equal segregation % (N) |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| yJv1               | 1509         | 17 (24)           | 89 (27)                 |
| Rtnl2 RNAi         | 58208        | 53 (32)           | 89 (35)                 |
| Shrub RNAi         | 38305        | 51 (37)           | 69 (32)                 |
| Comt RNAi          | 31666        | 46 (46)           | 89 (44)                 |
| Deficiency RNAi    | 31470        | 43 (40)           | 73 (40)                 |
| comt6/+            | 26708        | 62 (13)           | 64 (11)                 |
| CHMP4B RNAi        | 28531        | 44 (18)           | 50 (16)                 |
| Snap24 RNAi        | 28719        | 38 (8)            | 83 (6)                  |
| Membnr RNAi        | 50515        | 33 (12)           | 86 (7)                  |
| Snap RNAi          | 29587        | 33 (18)           | 56 (18)                 |
| Spastin RNAi       | 53331        | 33 (24)           | 70 (20)                 |
| Atlastin RNAi      | 36736        | 33 (18)           | 75 (20)                 |
| Usnp RNAi          | 25862        | 31 (16)           | 67 (9)                  |
| Rtnl2/+            | 12425        | 31 (13)           | 75 (8)                  |
| Syb RNAi           | 38234        | 27 (20)           | 80 (20)                 |
| Snap 25 RNAi       | 34377        | 23 (13)           | 67 (9)                  |

Micronucleation and equal segregation rates for lines used in the candidate-based RNAi screen for genes involved in acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei. Stock numbers are based off the BDSC. Mutant lines (comt6 and Rtnl2) were screened as heterozygotes (+ indicates wild-type chromosome). All other lines expressed RNAi against the indicated gene product. See also Fig. 5.
Figure 5. **Comt/NSF, Rtnl2/RTN2, and Shrub/CHMP4B are required for efficient acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei.** (A–D) Still images from videos of mitotic neuroblasts expressing I-CreI and H2Av-RFP (gray) only (A; Video 6), or expressing I-CreI and H2Av-RFP in combination with RNAi against Comt (B; Video 7), Rtnl2 (C; Video 8), or Shrub (D; Video 9). Each panel is composed of a row of maximum projections (top row) above their corresponding sum projections that are pseudo-colored to illustrate differences in Z-position (bottom row; red, upper Z-planes; blue, lower Z-planes). Acentrics (arrows) segregated poleward and reentered daughter nuclei in control videos. However, acentrics in dividing neuroblasts expressing RNAi against Comt, Rtnl2, or Shrub initially segregated but failed to reintegrate into daughter nuclei and instead formed micronuclei (arrowheads). Time is written in seconds after initial acentrical poleward movement. Scale bars are 2 µm. Yellow dashed boxes indicate magnified regions. Asterisks indicate statistical significance to control as determined by $\chi^2$ tests (B; P = 0.016; C, P = 0.005; D, P = 0.006). (A'–D') Distance of acentrics from main nuclei in control (A'–D'; black line; n = 29), Comt-depleted
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equal acentric segregation (69%; n = 32) compared with controls (89%), suggesting that Shrub may be involved in the initial segregation of acentrics. RNAi depletion of Shrub also resulted in acentrics forming micronuclei in 51% (n = 37) of divisions imaged (compared with 17% of control divisions). However, equivalent high rates of micronuclei formation were observed in divisions in which acentrics were partitioned equally (10 of 18) and in which acentrics were partitioned unequally (five of nine). This suggests that the increased rate of micronuclei formation was not due to any defect in initial acentric poleward segregation. We additionally observed a high rate of micronuclei formation (44%; n = 18) in divisions when another ESCRT-III component, CHMP2B, was depleted with RNAi.

We next determined whether the increased micronucleation observed upon depletion of Comt, Rtnl2, and Shrub was due to differences in the initial distances of acentrics from daughter nuclei or differences in timing of acentric segregation (Fig. S2). While acentrics in Shrub-depleted neuroblasts were initially slightly farther from daughter nuclei, we found no statistically significant differences in the initial distances of acentrics from daughter nuclei between control neuroblasts and Comt-depleted (P = 0.9), Rtnl2-depleted (P = 0.7), or Shrub-depleted neuroblasts (P = 0.3; Fig. S2 A), as determined by two-sided Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon tests. Moreover, for each condition, acentrics that formed micronuclei were not initially farther away from daughter nuclei than acentrics that entered nuclei. We also found no statistically significant differences in the time from anaphase onset to acentric segregation between all the conditions (Comt RNAi, P = 0.3; Rtnl2 RNAi, P = 0.07; Shrub RNAi, P = 0.6) as determined by independent two-sided t tests, although acentrics from Rtnl2-depleted divisions segregated slightly later than controls (Fig. S2 B). In general, we observed no definitive correlation that linked the time between anaphase onset and acentric segregation to the ability of an acentric to enter the nucleus. Table 2 summarizes these data. Taken together, these results indicate that the increased micronucleation we observed upon RNAi depletion of Comt, Rtnl2, and Shrub was not due to defective initial behavior of segregating acentrics.

To more thoroughly understand when acentric reintegraction was affected in Comt-, Rtnl2-, and Shrub-depleted neuroblasts, we measured the distance of the lagging end of the acentric to the nearest point on the main nucleus every 10 s for 400 s after anaphase onset to acentric segregation (Fig. 5B). We then compared the trajectory of acentrics in Comt-, Rtnl2-, and Shrub-depleted divisions to the trajectory of acentrics in control divisions (Fig. 5A, black line). We reasoned that acentrics failing to enter daughter nuclei should exhibit a measurable increase in distance from main nuclei than those that reenter nuclei (Fig. S3). In Comt-depleted divisions, the trajectory of acentrics (Fig. 5 B’, purple line) initially matched the trajectory of acentrics from control divisions. However, at the time when acentrics in control divisions begin to enter into nuclear envelope channels (previously measured at 155 s after acentrics began their poleward movement, dashed green line), acentrics from Comt-depleted neuroblasts began to become measurably farther away from daughter nuclei. This pattern was also seen for acentrics from Rtnl2-depleted divisions (Fig. 5 C’, purple line): acentrics from Rtnl2-depleted neuroblasts closely matched the trajectory of acentrics from control divisions until the point when acentrics from control divisions enter channels (155 s, dashed green line), at which point acentrics from Rtnl2-depleted neuroblasts became even farther away from the trajectory of control acentrics than during the initial segregation period.

Taken together, these results indicate that Comt, Rtnl2, and Shrub are required for efficient acentric entry during the final stage of acentric integration, suggesting that nuclear membrane fusion and ER restructuring events are important mechanisms that ensure late-segregating acentrics pass through nuclear envelope channels.

Failed acentric entry into nuclei in Comt-depleted neuroblasts is not due to gross structural or functional defects in the nuclei

Comt/NSF and ESCRT-III are required to seal nuclear membrane domains during mitosis with undamaged chromosomes (Baur et al., 2007; Vietri et al., 2015; Olmos et al., 2018). To investigate if the failure of acentrics to enter daughter nuclei when Comt, Shrub, and CHMP2B are depleted is due to an inability to properly seal nuclear membranes, we examined if micronucleus formation was correlated with deficient nuclear envelope reassembly.

We live-imaged neuroblasts expressing H2Av-RFP, GFP-NLS, and I-CreI with and without RNAi depletion of Comt (Fig. S4). In control divisions with wild-type levels of Comt (Fig. S4 A), we observed GFP-NLS accumulation to daughter nuclei (arrowheads) soon after acentrics (arrows) began segregating poleward. As telophase progressed, GFP-NLS signal grew brighter on nuclei, indicating membrane sealing. Segregating acentrics did not accumulate GFP-NLS. Acentrics entered daughter nuclei. In divisions with depleted Comt (Fig. S4 B), we also observed GFP-NLS accumulation to daughter nuclei (arrowheads). In contrast, the trajectory of acentrics in Shrub-depleted neuroblasts, which likewise remained farther apart from daughter nuclei at 400 s after initial acentric segregation than those in control divisions, diverged from acentrics in control neuroblasts soon after initial acentric segregation. See also Fig. S3.

Table 2 summarizes these data.
accumulate on daughter nuclei (arrowheads) soon afteracentrics (arrows) began segregating poleward. Segregatingacentrics did not accumulate GFP-NLS. Acentrics failed to enterdaughter nuclei, forming micronuclei (Fig. S4 B,arrowheads) instead. One of the micronuclei accumulated GFP-NLS while the other did not,representative of a variable capacity of micronuclei to recruitGFP-NLS that we observed throughout this experiment.

We quantified GFP-NLS accumulation on daughter nuclei forall divisions imaged for 40 time points after acentric segregation(Fig. S4, C and C’). GFP-NLS accumulation rates were similarbetween divisions with and without Comt depletion (Fig. S4 C).Weneextested whether GFP-NLS accumulation on all divisions imagedwhether Comt depletion was associated with more than oneShrub-GFP puncta at consecutive time points. The average numberof GFP-puncta on acentrics and daughter nuclei at the time whenacentrics began moving poleward was 0 (n = 15; SD = 0) and 0.33 (n = 15; SD = 0.47), respectively.

In contrast, we observed increased Shrub-GFP localization toboth acentrics and daughter nuclei in the time period between90 s before and 90 s after acentrics began their poleward movement. During this time period, 12 of 15acentrics were associated with more than one Shrub-GFP puncta at any given time, and 14 of 15 acentrics wereassociated with Shrub-GFP puncta at consecutive time points. The average number of GFP-puncta onacentrics and daughter nuclei at the time when acentrics beganmoving poleward was 0 (n = 15; SD = 0) and 0.33 (n = 15; SD = 0.47), respectively.

Table 2. Key characteristics of hits from the candidate-based RNAi screen

| Genotype | Stock number | Micronuclei % (N) | Equal segregation % (N) | Distance of acentric to nucleus (μm) | Time of acentric segregation (s) |
|----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| y1w1     | 1509         | 17 (24)           | 89 (27)                 | 3.6 (SD = 1.5; N = 15)              | 200 (SD = 60; N = 7)          |
| Comt RNAi| 31666        | 46 (46)           | 89 (44)                 | 3.5 (SD = 1.2; N = 32)              | 180 (SD = 50; N = 12)         |
| Rtn2 RNAi| 58208        | 53 (32)           | 89 (35)                 | 3.2 (SD = 1.2; N = 23)              | 300 (SD = 110; N = 7)         |
| Shrub RNAi| 38305       | 51 (37)           | 69 (32)                 | 3.8 (SD = 0.7; N = 19)              | 225 (SD = 88; N = 13)         |

Micronucleation and equal segregation rates, the average distance of acentrics from daughter nuclei at the time of acentric segregation, and the time from anaphase onset to acentric segregation are shown for each hit from our screen. See also Fig. 5.
between membrane on acentrics and membrane on daughter nuclei that allows acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei.

Discussion
Chromosome fragments enter telophase nuclei through channels that lack all major components of the nuclear envelope
Cells dividing with lagging whole or broken chromosomes risk losing or damaging a significant part of their genome if these wayward chromosomes are not included in daughter nuclei (Zhang et al., 2015; Ly et al., 2017). While lagging chromosomes are rare in healthy cells due to the evolution of safeguards such as the spindle assembly checkpoint (Sacristan and Kops, 2015) and the DNA damage response (Mikhailov et al., 2002), they are much more frequent in cancer cells, in which these safeguards are often compromised (Thompson and Compton, 2008). For example, colorectal cancer cells frequently bypass these checkpoints to divide with lagging chromosomes (Stewénius et al., 2005; Green and Kaplan, 2003). Intriguingly, not every lagging chromosome forms a micronucleus: some reincorporate into daughter nuclei to maintain euploidy (Huang et al., 2012; Pidoux et al., 2000; Sabatinos et al., 2015; Liang et al., 1993; Carlson, 1938; Royou et al., 2010; Bretsch and Fox, 2016).

In spite of the fact that incorporation of late-segregating chromosomes and chromosome fragments into daughter nuclei has been documented in multiple systems, the mechanisms that facilitate entry remain elusive. Broken chromosome segregation is often delayed to the point that nuclear envelope reassembly has begun on daughter nuclei before acentrics have rejoined (Fig. 1). Previous work has established that late-segregating acentric fragments remain connected to daughter nuclei through DNA tethers in Drosophila neuroblasts (Royou et al., 2010). We have previously observed that acentrics enter daughter telophase nuclei by passing through highly localized channels in the layers of lamin and nuclear pore complexes (Karg et al., 2015). We have now additionally demonstrated that channels form in the nuclear envelope on acentrics as they reintegrate into daughter nuclei.
membrane as well (Figs. 3 and 4). Therefore, channels are devoid of all major components of the nuclear envelope. This provides a clear entryway into daughter nuclei through which acentrics pass. Aurora B kinase, a well-established inhibitor of nuclear envelope formation, localizes to the tether, where its activity is integral to the formation of these channels (Karg et al., 2015; Warecki and Sullivan, 2018). Failure to form channels results in daughter nuclei completely surrounded by a nuclear envelope and the inability of acentrics to enter nuclei, forming micronuclei (Karg et al., 2015). Taken together, these results indicate that the highly localized inhibition of all components of nuclear envelope reassembly at the site of the channel is required for a neuroblast dividing with late-segregating acentrics to maintain euploidy.

Acentric incorporation involves localized extension and retraction of the nuclear lamina

These results and previous studies (Afonso et al., 2014; Karg et al., 2015; Warecki and Sullivan, 2018) have led to the idea that nuclear envelope reassembly is antagonistic to acentric entry into daughter nuclei. The data presented here demonstrate that while the physical barrier of the nuclear envelope must be bypassed through local inhibition of nuclear envelope assembly, the reassembling nuclear envelope is actively involved in incorporating acentrics into daughter nuclei as well.

We observed lamin extend outward from nuclear envelope channels and toward the poleward-segregating acentrics (Fig. 2). Lamin extensions then retracted back toward nuclei as acentrics passed through channels. This coordinated movement suggests a mechanistic link between the lamina extensions and the acentric passage. While poleward movement occurs through the action of microtubules that bundle around the acentrics (Karg et al., 2017), the forces that drive acentrics through channels remain unknown.

During the time that acentrics pass through channels, we observed a decrease in acentric velocity (Fig. 1). Acentrics initially moved off the metaphase plate at a measured velocity of 10 nm/s, comparable to previously reported segregation rates of undamaged chromosomes in Drosophila S2 cells of 9.8 nm/s (de Lartigue et al., 2011). As acentrics passed through channels, their velocities slowed, indicating different and/or additional forces imposed on the acentrics as they entered nuclei. It is tempting to speculate that retracting lamin extensions “take over” from microtubules to drive acentrics into nuclei. However, the mild correlation we measured between lamin retraction and acentric movement suggests that factors in addition to lamin extension and retraction drive acentrics through nuclear envelope channels.

Acentric entry into daughter nuclei results in global changes to nuclear morphology

As the acentric entered the telophase nucleus, we often observed global distortions in the organization of the nuclear envelope. While the elongated shape of nuclei was expected due to lamin extensions emanating from the channels, we were surprised by the presence of distortions and blebs at regions distant from the channel and acentric entry. As a result of these global distortions, the nuclei exhibited an overall wrinkled appearance. We do not believe that the lamin blebs we observe are nuclear herniations caused by malfunctioning nuclear pore complexes sealed behind a nuclear membrane (Webster et al., 2016). That is, at sites other than the channel, nuclear pore complex assembly appeared normal: GFP-Nup107 clearly localized along the rim of the nucleus, and GFP-NLS accumulated in the daughter nuclei. An explanation for the global distortions in the nuclear envelope upon acentric entry is that restructuring the entire nuclear envelope is required to provide sufficient membrane, lamin, and other components for the channel extensions.

Misshapen nuclei have long been observed in diseased and cancerous cells, including both primary tumors and cultured cell lines (Zink et al., 2004; Goldman et al., 2004; Helfand et al., 2012). Intriguingly, some of the cell lines in which nuclear blebbing has been observed often divide with lagging chromosomes (Wang and Kung, 2012; Cosenza et al., 2017). Abnormal nuclear blebs in these cells were only detected following cell division (Helfand et al., 2012). It would be interesting to see if these cancer cells undergo similar nuclear envelope reassembly modifications to facilitate the incorporation and repair of lagging and broken chromosomes, resulting in blebs.

Acentrics are encompassed by a membrane distinct from the nuclear envelope

In contrast to the composition of the nuclear envelope components at the channel sites, in which all three major components were excluded from the sites of acentric entry to form nuclear envelope channels, we observed nuclear membrane localize to late-segregating acentrics despite the absence of lamin and nuclear pore complexes (Fig. 3). We demonstrated the presence of nuclear membrane on acentrics by using superresolution microscopy to show that the INM protein Otefin is enriched on late-segregating acentrics (Fig. 3) and by using the luminal ER marker PDI-GFP (Fig. 4). We also found that acentrics were enriched with BAF, a protein that can recruit INM proteins and nuclear membrane to the sites of nuclear envelope ruptures in interphase (Helfmann et al., 2019). The difference in the ability of core and noncore components of the nuclear envelope to localize to lagging chromosomes was previously observed in cancer cells in which lagging whole chromosomes subsequently formed micronuclei (Liu et al., 2018). A key difference between this finding and ours is that the membrane-coated acentrics in our study remain capable of reentering daughter nuclei, whereas the lagging whole chromosomes do not.

Membrane fusion drives the final stage of acentric incorporation into daughter nuclei

At the time when acentrics enter daughter nuclei, there appears to be fusion between the membrane on the acentrics and the membrane on the daughter nuclei (Fig. 4). Membrane fusion between the acentric and the daughter nucleus could explain how membrane-encapsulated acentrics remain capable of entering daughter nuclei. We hypothesized that membrane fusion between membrane on acentrics and membrane on daughter nuclei would be required for acentric integration into daughter nuclei.
In accord with this hypothesis, we observed decreased acentric entry into daughter nuclei when cells were depleted of the conserved membrane fusion proteins Comt/NSF and Shrub/CHMP4B (Fig. 5). In the canonical model of membrane fusion, the assembly of SNAREs on opposing membranes into a trans-SNARE complex mediates fusion of the membranes. NSF uses ATP hydrolysis to disassemble the trans-SNARE complex, freeing SNAREs for additional rounds of membrane fusion (Ryu et al., 2016). SNARE and NSF membrane fusion activity are essential for proper nuclear envelope reassembly: mutants result in membrane targeting to, but not sealing around, daughter nuclei (Baur et al., 2007). We believe the failure of acentric entry into daughter nuclei in Comt-depleted neuroblasts is due to an inability of these cells to undergo repeated rounds of SNARE-mediated membrane fusion necessary to fuse the membrane on acentrics to the membrane on nuclei.

Like Comt/NSF, Shrub/CHMP4B has conserved membrane fusion functions. CHMP4B is a major component of the ESCRT-III complex, which mediates membrane fusion in many processes, including cytokinesis (Carlton and Martin-Serrano, 2007; Morita et al., 2007), viral budding (Arri et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2018), and plasma membrane repair (Jimenez et al., 2014). Recent work has shown that ESCRT-III also mediates membrane sealing during nuclear envelope reassembly (Vietri et al., 2015; Olmos et al., 2015) and nuclear envelope repair (Denais et al., 2016; Raab et al., 2016). Previous studies have shown that ESCRT-III localizes to lagging chromosomes (Liu et al., 2018) and to micronuclei in interphase (Sagona et al., 2014; Willan et al., 2019). In these cells, ESCRT-III seals the nuclear membrane around the lagging chromosome and prevents proper recruitment of nuclear pore complexes, which can subsequently lead to increased chromothripsis (Liu et al., 2018). In addition, ESCRT-III activity on micronuclei in interphase may also lead to micronuclei degradation through autophagy (Sagona et al., 2014).

In contrast to these reports, in which ESCRT-III activity results in the damage to or loss of key genetic information, we believe ESCRT-III activity on late-segregating acentrics in Drosophila neuroblasts promotes maintenance of euploidy. We observed a failure of acentrics to enter into daughter nuclei in Shrub/CHMP4B-depleted neuroblasts. We also observed failed acentric entry when neuroblasts were depleted of a second ESCRT-III component, CHMP2B. Additionally, we found that Shrub localized to acentrics during acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei but not during acentric initial poleward movement (Fig. 5), suggesting that the main activity of ESCRT-III on acentrics is during reintegration. We hypothesize that the failure of acentrics to enter daughter nuclei when ESCRT-III components are depleted is likely due to the same inability to fuse membrane on acentrics to membrane on daughter nuclei as we believe occurs in Comt-depleted cells. This could mechanistically explain the previously reported increase in the frequency of cells with micronuclei observed when ESCRT-III components are depleted (Willan et al., 2019).

Finally, we found that efficient acentric reintegration was dependent on the ER protein Rtnl2/RTN2 (Fig. 5). Rtnl2 is a member of the reticulon family, a group of proteins that play crucial roles in restructuring the ER throughout the cell cycle, including during mitosis (Voeltz et al., 2006). Reticulons stabilize areas of high membrane curvature (Voeltz et al., 2006), and altering the levels of different reticulons in the cell can affect the dynamics of nuclear envelope reassembly (Anderson and Hetzer, 2008). It is possible that Rtnl2 is required to form or stabilize curved nuclear membranes that may manifest as acentrics enter daughter nuclei. Failure to form these curved membranes in Rtnl2 knockdowns may prevent fusion between acentric-associated and daughter nuclei-associated membrane, ultimately resulting in micronucleus formation.

Nuclear envelope channels may be recognized as ruptured nuclear envelopes

We believe membrane fusion-mediated acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei is most analogous to the repair of nuclear envelope ruptures. Mechanical stress can cause nuclear envelope rupture in interphase cells (Denais et al., 2016; Raab et al., 2016). Ruptures create lamin- and nuclear pore complex-free membrane blebs through which chromatin protrudes, followed by membrane breakage (Denais et al., 2016). BAF is enriched at the site of the rupture (Halfmann et al., 2019). BAF in turn recruits LEM-domain proteins and membrane (Halfmann et al., 2019). Finally, ESCRT-III activity restores nuclear envelope integrity (Raab et al., 2016; Denais et al., 2016). ESCRT-III can similarly repair ruptured membranes that occur on interphase micronuclei (Willan et al., 2019), indicating that repair is not limited to primary nuclei.

We observe strikingly similar events in cells with acentrics entering daughter nuclei through nuclear envelope channels. There are lamin- and nuclear pore complex-free gaps in the nuclear envelope at the site of channels with membrane-coated chromatin “protruding” (acentrics). We observed enriched BAF on late-segregating acentrics as well as enriched Otefin (Fig. 4). Canonical membrane fusion proteins and ESCRT-III are required to bring the acentric through the channel and into the nucleus, after which lamin and nuclear pore complexes are recruited over the channel opening, thus “repairing” the channel. Because the channel is not a feature of normal nuclear envelope reassembly (Karg et al., 2015), it is possible that the cell recognizes channels as ruptured nuclei, even though channels and ruptures result from separate mechanisms. If this were the case, it would be interesting to understand how the cell establishes that the segregating acentric belongs with the daughter nucleus. It seems likely this is due to the tether connecting the acentric to the nucleus (Royou et al., 2010). BAF, which we observed strongly accumulating on acentrics (Fig. 4), cross-bridges DNA in anaphase/telophase to ensure that a nuclear envelope surrounds chromosomes in normal divisions (Samwer et al., 2017). We also observed BAF on the tether where it perhaps performs a similar role.

The work presented here focuses on the final steps in which late-segregating chromatids enter daughter nuclei. In summary, our results support a model in which fusion of the reassembling nuclear membrane drives entry of chromosome fragments into daughter nuclei (Fig. 7). To our knowledge, this represents a novel mechanism by which cells dividing with lagging chromosomes are able to maintain their genome integrity.
Materials and methods

Drosophila stocks

All Drosophila stocks were raised on brown food (Sullivan et al., 2000). Crosses were performed at 25°C with the exception of crosses involving Shrub-GFP (Fig. 6), which were performed at 18°C. Chromosome behavior was monitored using H2Av-RFP (Lin and Goodman, 1994), elav-Gal4 (Lin and Goodman, 1994) was used to drive expression (stock #23561; Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center [BDSC]). 18°C. Chromosome behavior was monitored using H2Av-RFP (585 nm) and GFP (508 nm) fluorophores were imaged. Samples were incubated with goat anti-Otefin (Barton et al., 2014), provided by Dr. Pamela Geyer, at a 1:500 dilution overnight at 4°C. Samples were washed three times in PBST and stained with donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen #A-11055) at a 1:300 dilution for 1 h at room temperature. Samples were again washed three times in PBST and counterstained with DAPI in Vectashield (Vector H-1200).

Live neuroblast cytology

For experiments involving live imaging of acentrics, crawling female third-instar larvae were heat shocked for 1 h at 37°C. After larvae recovered for 1–6 h at room temperature, brains were dissected in PBS and squashed in 17 µl of PBS between a slide and coverslip. Excess PBS was wicked away (Buffin et al., 2005). Coverslips were outlined with halocarbon oil, and imaging was performed immediately. We filmed neuroblasts along the periphery of the squashed brain. Slides were imaged for up to 1 h.

Microscopy and image acquisition

Wide-field microscopy

Time-lapse imaging for the RNAi screen was performed using a Leica DMi6000B wide-field inverted microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu electron-multiplying charge coupled device camera (ORCA 9100-02) with a binning of 1 and a 100× Plan-Apochromat objective with NA 1.4, as well as with a spinning-disk confocal microscope (described below). Successive time points were filmed at 20 s on the wide-field microscope. RFP (585 nm) and GFP (508 nm) fluorophores were imaged. Samples were imaged in PBS. Microscopy was performed at room temperature (20–22°C). Wide-field images were acquired with Leica.

Figure 7. Model for membrane fusion-mediated acentric reintegration into daughter nuclei. Acentrics (magenta arrow) lag behind on the metaphase plate while the undamaged sister chromatids segregate. Acentrics remain connected to daughter nuclei by a previously described DNA tether (Royou et al., 2010). In late anaphase, acentrics begin segregating poleward via the action of microtubules (not depicted). In telophase, all three major components of the nuclear envelope (dark green arrow, lamin; blue arrow, nuclear pore complexes; yellow arrow, membrane) assemble on daughter nuclei, but only membrane assembles on acentrics. Nuclear envelope channels form in the lamin, nuclear pore complex, and membrane on daughter nuclei to provide a pasageway through which acentrics can enter nuclei. BAF (cyan) localizes to channels, tethers, and acentrics. Membrane fusion proteins such as NSF and ESCRT-III (light green arrow) localize to acentrics and daughter nuclei at the sites where acentrics first contact nuclei and mediate fusion between the membrane that is on acentrics and the membrane that is on daughter nuclei. These membrane fusion events guide acentrics through channels and into nuclei, allowing the dividing cell to maintain euploidy.
Successive time points for experiments presented in Figs. 1, 5A, and 6A were filmed at 10 s.

Quantitative measurements of GFP-NLS on nuclei (Fig. 1, A–D; and Fig. S4) were made in Fiji using sum projections. Nucleus/cytoplasm ratios were determined by dividing the background-subtracted average pixel intensities of the nuclei by the background-subtracted average pixel intensities of a region in the cytoplasm.

Spinning-disk microscopy

Time-lapse imaging for the RNAi screen was performed using an inverted Eclipse TE2000-E spinning-disk (CSLI-Xi; Nikon) confocal microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu electron-multiplying charge coupled device camera (ImageEM X2) with a 100× 1.4-NA oil-immersion objective and a wide-field microscope (described above). Samples were imaged in PBS. 488- and 561-nm lasers were used to excite GFP and RFP fluorophores. Spinning-disk microscopy was performed at room temperature (20–22°C). Successive time points were filmed at 10–18 s on the spinning-disk microscope. Spinning-disk images were acquired with MicroManager 1.4 software.

All imaging experiments involving distance and fluorescence quantification (Figs. 1, A–D and F–H; 2, A–D; 3, A and B; 4, A, A’, D, and D’; 5 A’–D’; 6, and S4) were performed exclusively using the Nikon Eclipse TE2000-E spinning-disk microscope. Successive time points for experiments presented in Figs. 1, A–D; 2, A–D; 3, A and B; 4; and 6 were filmed at 18 s. Successive time points for experiments presented in Fig. 5 A’–D’, were filmed at 10 s.

The distances ofacentrics to daughter nuclei were calculated either as the distance of the farthest point on the acentric to the nearest point on the daughter nucleus (Figs. 1 H, 2 D, and 5 A’–D’) or as the distance of the nearest point on the acentric to the nearest point on the daughter nucleus (Figs. 1 D, 2 C, and S2 A). Distances were calculated at the time points indicated in the sections describing each figure. The lengths of lamin extensions were measured from the nearest point on the nucleus to the farthest point of the extension (Fig. 2, B–D) at the time points indicated in descriptions of each panel. All distances were measured in 3D space (z-spacing = 360 nm) from Z-stacks taken during spinning-disk microscopy and were performed in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012).

The distances ofacentrics from daughter nuclei in our RNAi screen (Fig. S2 A) were measured as described above. Distances were measured at the point when acentrics began their poleward movement. The time of acentric segregation (Fig. S2 B) was determined by measuring the time between anaphase onset and when acentrics first began their poleward movement. Distance measurements were made only on videos acquired using spinning-disk confocal microscopy, while time measurements were made on videos filmed both with the wide-field and spinning-disk microscopes.

Quantitative measurements of nuclear envelope components on acentrics (Fig. 3, A’ and B’; and Fig. 4, A’ and D’) were made in Fiji. We used sum projections for all fluorescence quantification measurements. We created a region of interest around the acentric or nucleus at each time point and measured the background-subtracted fluorescence intensity of the GFP signal for the drawn region (Fig. S1). Intensities were divided by the areas of the regions of interest. Each acentric was paired with the nucleus to which it was segregating. All values in an acentric/nucleus pair were then normalized to the highest value in the acentric/nucleus pair.

Statistical analyses

All statistical tests were performed in R (R Core Team). We used the following tests: a two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test (Fig. 1 H), a paired two-sided t test (Fig. 2 B), independent two-sided t tests (Fig. S2 B), two-sided Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon tests (Figs. 1 C, 2 F, and S2 A), χ² tests (Fig. 5), and a Schierer–Ray–Hare test (Fig. S4 C). For the parametric tests performed, normality was first determined visually and then by running Shapiro–Wilk normality tests (Fig. 2 B: longest extension, P = 0.9;
reassembly completed, \( P = 0.5 \); Fig. S2 B: control, \( P = 0.4 \); Comt RNAi, \( P = 0.5 \); Rtnl2 RNAi, \( P = 0.06 \); and Shrub RNAi, \( P = 0.9 \). For all other cases, in which data were not normally distributed, nonparametric tests were used. Additionally, we calculated the Pearson’s correlations for data presented in Fig. 2, C and D, in R.

**Figure and video preparation**

Figures were assembled in Adobe Illustrator. Imaging data were processed in Fiji. In all cases, unless otherwise noted, maximum projections are shown. Selected images were adjusted for brightness and contrast to improve clarity when appropriate. For videos only, a rigid body registration (fiji plugin StackReg) was used to correct for cell movement, if necessary, to reduce file size. Images for experiments involving lattice light-sheet microscopy were processed and analyzed using Imaris. Graphs were created in R using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2016).

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows a diagram for how fluorescence intensities were calculated on acentrics and daughter nuclei for experiments in Figs. 3 A’ and B’, and 4 A’ and D’. Fig. S2 shows the distance of acentrics from nuclei at the time of acentric segregation and the time from anaphase onset to acentric segregation for control, Comt-, Rtnl2-, and Shrub-depleted neuroblasts, and corresponds with Fig. 5, A–D. Fig. S3 shows a diagram illustrating how the distance between acentrics and daughter nuclei in divisions in which acentrics that reenter daughter nuclei approaches 0 and that of divisions in which acentrics that form daughter nuclei will be >0, corresponding with Fig. 5, A’–D’. Fig. S4 shows that Comt-depleted neuroblasts have acentrics that form micronuclei despite GFP-NLS recruitment to daughter nuclei. Video 1 is from Fig. 1 F. Video 2 is from Fig. 2 A. Video 3 is from Fig. 3 A. Video 4 is from Fig. 3 B. Video 5 is from Fig. 4 A. Video 6 is from Fig. 5 A. Video 7 is from Fig. 5 B. Video 8 is from Fig. 5 C. Video 9 is from Fig. 5 D. Video 10 is from Fig. 6 A.
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Supplemental material

Figure S1. **Schematic of fluorescence intensity quantification.** (A) Diagram illustrating where fluorescence intensity quantifications were measured. A region of interest was drawn around the nucleus (dashed black line) and each acentric (dashed purple lines). The fluorescence intensity of the nuclear envelope marker was then measured. Values were normalized (see Materials and methods). (B) Theoretical graph depicting the normalized fluorescence intensity on nuclei (black line) and acentrics (purple line) over time. The red box represents the hypothetical values measured from A. See also Figs. 3 and 4.

Figure S2. **Micronuclei formation is not correlated to changes in distance of acentrics from nuclei or time of acentric segregation.** (A and B) Comparison of the distances of acentrics from daughter nuclei (A; control, n = 15; Comt RNAi, n = 32; Rtnl2 RNAi, n = 23; Shrub RNAi, n = 19) and the times between anaphase onset and acentric segregation (B; control, n = 7; Comt RNAi, n = 12; Rtnl2, n = 7; Shrub RNAi, n = 13). Each dot represents one acentric. Blue dots represent acentrics that rejoin daughter nuclei. Red dots represent acentrics that form micronuclei. For each condition, there is no strong correlation between acentrics that form micronuclei and how far acentrics are from main nuclei at the time of acentric segregation. For each condition, there is no strong correlation between acentrics that form micronuclei and how long after anaphase acentrics began to segregate. Boxes represent interquartile ranges and lines represent medians of the measured data. There were no statistically significant differences between either the distance of acentrics from nuclei (Comt RNAi, P = 0.9; Rtnl2 RNAi, P = 0.7; Shrub RNAi, P = 0.3; two-sided Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon tests) or the time of acentric segregation (Comt RNAi, P = 0.3; Rtnl2 RNAi, P = 0.07; Shrub RNAi, P = 0.6; independent two-sided t tests) between control and RNAi depleted neuroblasts. See also Fig. 5.
Figure S3. Schematic detailing the measured distance between acentrics and daughter nuclei as acentrics segregate toward nuclei. (A) Diagram illustrating that acentrics rejoining daughter nuclei will have a decreasing distance between the tail of the acentric and the closest point on the daughter nucleus. As time progresses, and the acentric completely reenters the daughter nucleus, the distance between the tail of the acentric and the daughter nucleus will approach 0. (B) Diagram illustrating that acentrics forming micronuclei will have a decreasing distance between the tail of the acentric and the closest point on the daughter nucleus until the acentric reaches the location where it is incapable of entering the daughter nucleus. As time progresses, the acentric will remain distinct from the main nucleus, and the distance between the tail of the acentric and the daughter nucleus will be measured as >0. See also Fig. 5.
Figure S4. **Comt depletion results in acentric failure to enter nuclei and nuclear envelope reassembly defects.** (A and B) Stills from time-lapse videos of mitotic neuroblasts expressing H2Av-RFP (magenta), GFP-NLS (green), I-CreI with wild-type levels of Comt (A), or with RNAi-depleted levels of Comt (B). In control neuroblasts (A), acentrics (arrows) segregate poleward and enter daughter nuclei. Nuclei recruit GFP-NLS (arrowheads) while acentrics are still separate from nuclei. In Comt-depleted neuroblasts (B), acentrics segregate but fail to enter daughter nuclei, forming micronuclei (arrowheads). Nuclei recruit GFP-NLS while acentrics are still separate from nuclei, although GFP-NLS signal is not as strong or evenly distributed as it is in nuclei from control neuroblasts. Time is written in seconds after initial acentric poleward movement. Scale bars are 2 µm. (C) GFP-NLS intensity on daughter nuclei from control neuroblasts (black line; n = 22) and neuroblasts expressing RNAi against Comt (purple line; n = 30). Lines represent averages. Dark-shaded regions represent ± the standard error. Light-shaded regions represent ± twice the standard error. Dashed lines indicate time of nuclear envelope reassembly initiation. On average, nuclear envelope reassembly initiated at a comparable rate in control neuroblasts and neuroblasts with Comt RNAi. However, GFP-NLS intensity plateaued on nuclei from Comt-depleted divisions compared with control divisions, resulting in overall less signal intensity. Dashed green line represents average time that acentrics began to enter channels (155 s). (C′) GFP-NLS intensity on neuroblasts expressing RNAi against Comt where acentrics reentered daughter nuclei (blue line; n = 15) or where acentrics formed micronuclei (pink line; n = 15). GFP-NLS signal intensity was lower on daughter nuclei from Comt-depleted neuroblasts when acentrics formed micronuclei than from Comt-depleted neuroblasts when acentrics reentered nuclei. Dashed green line represents average time that acentrics began to enter channels (155 s). Difference was determined to not be statistically significant (P = 0.17) by a Scheirer–Ray–Hare test. See also Fig. 5.

Video 1. **Acentric velocity decreases as acentrics pass through nuclear envelope channels.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Lamin-GFP (green). Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 18 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 1 F.

Video 2. **Lamin extends from nuclear envelope channels and retracts as acentrics enter daughter nuclei.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Lamin-GFP (green). Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 18 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 2 A.
Video 3. **Late-segregating acentrics are largely free of lamin.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Lamin-GFP (green). Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 18 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 3 A.

Video 4. **Late-segregating acentrics are largely free of nuclear pore complexes.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and GFP-Nup107 (blue). Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 18 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 3 B.

Video 5. **Late-segregating acentrics are encompassed by nuclear membrane.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and PDI-GFP (yellow). Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 18 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 4 A.

Video 6. **Late-segregating acentrics enter daughter nuclei in control neuroblast divisions.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI and H2Av-RFP. Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 10 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 5 A.

Video 7. **Late-segregating acentrics fail to enter nuclei in Comt-depleted neuroblasts.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and RNAi against Comt. Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 10 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 5 B.

Video 8. **Late-segregating acentrics fail to enter nuclei in Rtnl2-depleted neuroblasts.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and RNAi against Rtnl2. Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 10 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 5 C.

Video 9. **Late-segregating acentrics fail to enter nuclei in Shrub-depleted neuroblasts.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP, and RNAi against Shrub. Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 10 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 5 D.

Video 10. **Shrub localizes to late-segregating acentrics as they reintegrate into daughter nuclei.** Maximum projection of a spinning-disk confocal video of a mitotic neuroblast expressing I-CreI, H2Av-RFP (magenta), and Shrub-GFP (green). Scale bar is 2 µm. Time is written as seconds after initial acentric segregation. Frames were collected every 18 s. Frame rate is 15 frames/s. Video corresponds to Fig. 6 A.