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Abstract—The development of fast and efficient training algorithms for Deep Neural Networks has been a subject of interest over the past few years because the biggest drawback of Deep Neural Networks is enormous cost in computation and large time is consumed to train the parameters of Deep Neural Networks. This aspect motivated several researchers to focus on recent advancements of hardware architectures and parallel programming models and paradigms for accelerating the training of Deep Neural Networks. We revisited the concepts and mechanisms of typical Deep Neural Network training algorithms such as Backpropagation Algorithm and Boltzmann Machine Algorithm and observed that the matrix multiplication constitutes major portion of the work-load for the Deep Neural Network training process because it is carried out for a huge number of times during the training of Deep Neural Networks. With the advent of many-core GPU technologies, a matrix multiplication can be done very efficiently in parallel and this helps a lot training a Deep Neural Network not consuming time as it used to be a few years ago. CUDA is one of the high performance parallel programming models to exploit the capabilities of modern many-core GPU systems. In this paper, we propose to modify Backpropagation Algorithm and Boltzmann Machine Algorithm with CUDA parallel matrix multiplication and test on many-core GPU system. Finally we discover that the planned strategies achieve very quick training of Deep Neural Networks than classic strategies.

Index Terms—Deep Neural Networks, Matrix multiplication, CUDA, Many-core GPU systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, Deep Neural Networks (DNN) are widely used in many domains such as Handwriting recognition, Image identification, Object identification, Data classification, Pattern recognition, Speech and Natural Language processing etc. In any case, one drawback of DNN is that training stays terribly slow, particularly in compute intensive algorithms involving plenty of complex data sets [1]. Hence DNN needs a huge computational power for significant speedup of the training of DNN which were not available a few years ago. Researchers worked out the way to train DNN practically. The reason DNN has turned out to be extremely well known is training it effectively became possible and researchers used them to dominate the state of the art approaches [2].

As the need to train computationally intensive DNN algorithms is on rise, the convergence time involved in training these algorithms must reduce. This is accomplished with the upcoming many-core GPU technologies and high performance parallel programming models such as NVIDIA CUDA, AMD Brook+, OpenGL, and DirectX [3]. GPU (Graphics Processing Unit) is seen as compute device that is a coprocessor/accelerator to Central Processing Unit or host machine with its own memory and runs several parallel threads. The GPU is particular for large data compute-intensive parallel applications [4]. Significantly more circuitry is dedicated to data processing instead of data storage and flow management. CUDA is a development platform intended for composing and executing general purpose programs on the NVIDIA GPU. Similar to graphics and animation algorithms and applications, CUDA algorithms and applications can also be quickened by data parallel computation of more number of parallel threads [5]. An instance of kernel is called thread, namely a program executing on the GPU. A collection of threads running physically in parallel is called Warp. A collection of threads that execute together by sharing memory on a GPU is called Thread Block. A collection of thread blocks that execute a single CUDA program in parallel is called a Grid [6].

A computing system comprises of a conventional CPU (Host) and at least one GPU (Device). The GPUs are massively parallel coprocessors/accelerators furnished with an extensive number of arithmetic execution units [7]. A CUDA source code comprises of various stages that are executed either on the CPU (Host) or a GPU (device). The stages that show almost no data parallelism
are executed on the CPU. The stages with rich amount of data parallelism are executed on the GPU [8]. CUDA threads are significantly lighter weight than the CPU threads. It implies that the generation cost, resource utilization, and switching cost of GPU threads is much smaller than CPU threads. Due to efficient hardware support, CUDA threads take only a few cycles to create and schedule of threads where as CPU threads need thousands of clock cycles. When kernel function is invoked or launched, all the threads that are created take advantage of data parallelism [9].

In the modern DNN algorithms, matrix multiplication is an essential building block and constitutes 70-80% of the work-load for the DNN training process because it is carried out for more number of times during the training of DNN. As the matrix multiplication supports data parallelism, it can be done very efficiently by implementing it parallel using CUDA and executing on GPU [10]. In our previous work, we have used fast Winograd’s matrix multiplication, fast parallel Winograd’s matrix multiplication, and parallel blocked matrix multiplication with collapse clause for efficient training of DNN algorithms. This paper aims to prove experimentally that the matrix multiplication on GPU via CUDA is dawn of computing among various Standard (Sequential), CBLAS library subroutine on CPU, and CUBLAS library subroutine on GPU for matrix multiplication. The same paper proposes to modify Backpropagation Algorithm (BPA) and Boltzmann Machine Algorithm (BMA) by using CUDA parallel matrix multiplication to accelerate the learning of DNN on many-core GPU system.

The remainder of the paper is structured as outlined below. The relevant related work is reviewed in section 2. Section 3 illustrates the implementation of matrix multiplication using Standard algorithm, ATLAS CBLAS library subroutine for CPU and NVIDIA CUBLAS library subroutine, CUDA algorithm for GPU. Section 4 explains our implementation of BPA and BMA with parallel matrix multiplication using CUDA for NVIDIA GPU. Experimental observations are presented in section 5. Finally, section 6 summarizes the conclusions and directions for future enhancement of the paper.

II. RELATED WORK

To enhance the performance of compute intensive algorithms and to exploit the capabilities of modern many-core processors, recently many researchers started implementing them using CUDA and executing on GPU. Sivakumar Selevarasu et al. have implemented MMDBM classifier with quick sort and radix sort techniques in both CPU and GPU computing and tested on medical database [11]. In this paper, the authors have compared the results of GPU to the CPU computing and showed that GPU quick sort and radix sort algorithms provide rapid and exact results with minimum execution time than same CPU algorithms for the MMDBM classifier. Shunlu Zhang et al. proposed a parallel Neural Network (NN) training technique using CUDA on GPUs [12]. Their results showed that the proposed technique achieves higher efficiency than traditional CPU implementation of Backpropagation NN training. Teng Li et al. have described an efficient GPU implemented Deep Belief Network (DBN) with Pre-training and Fine-tuning processes [13]. The authors have showed in their results that GPU implemented methods achieves significant speedup in both processes. Moreover they have proved that these results are superior to that of the OpenBLAS on the CPU and CUBLAS on the GPU. A.S. Al-Hamoudi and A.A. Biyalani have parallelized two kinds of data mining algorithms (KNN and Decision tree) on two different platforms (CUDA on GPU & OpenMP on Dual-Core) [14]. Authors have used UCI Machine Learning datasets for testing of the KNN and Decision tree algorithms. They reported average performance with OpenMP and remarkable performance with CUDA.

Nowadays due to exponential growth of data to be sorted, the applications are demanding fast information processing. Some authors modified known sorting algorithms such as Bubble sort, Quick sort, and Shell sort for effective use on CUDA platform [15, 16]. They have developed parallel programs for sorting the data and compared the performance with the sequential implementation and found that the reduced execution time with GPU implementation. T. Kalaiselvi et al. have proposed Per-Pixel Threading (PPT) and Per-Slice Threading (PST) and implemented some of the advanced image pre-processing algorithms for accelerating the computer aided diagnosis (CAD) systems in MRI volume analysis [17]. Authors have collected the image dataset from Whole Brain Atlas (WBA) maintained by Harvard Medical School and used for testing purpose. Their experiments showed that the GPU-based implementation achieved speedup of 3-338 times for PPT model and up to 30 times for PST model compared to conventional CPU process. Teja U. Naik and Nitesh Guinde have implemented the Gauss Seidel algorithm for solving Eigen values of symmetric matrices with CUDA on GPU and noticed that the speedup of GPU is better than CPU [18]. Keh Kok Yong et al. have compared the performance of three compression techniques (Huffman coding, LZSS, and Block-sorting) experimentally by implementing them using CUDA on GPU [19]. Among the above three algorithms, authors have proved that CUDA implemented Huffman coding has given the best performance in terms of compression ratio and compression speed.

III. MATRIX MULTIPLICATION

The product of two square matrices A and B is a square matrix C whose elements are commonly defined as

\[ c_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i,k} b_{k,j}. \]  

(1)
Where $a_{i,j}$, $b_{i,j}$, and $c_{i,j}$ are the elements in the $i^{th}$ row and $j^{th}$ column, for the matrices A, B, and C, respectively and $n$ is the size of the square matrix.

A. Standard Matrix Multiplication Algorithm

The standard (sequential) matrix multiplication algorithm has the structure of triple nested loops $(i$ - $i$ loop, $j$ - $j$ loop, and $k$ - $k$ loop) with cubic complexity. It is well known, well researched, and well understood algorithm. Listing 1 below shows the source code for the direct implementation of (1).

```c
void seqMatMult(double* A, double* B, double* C, int width)
{
    for (int il = 0; il < width; il++)
        for (int jl = 0; jl < width; jl++)
            for (int kl = 0; kl < width; kl++)
                double Csum = 0;
                double x = A[il * width + kl];
                double y = B[kl * width + jl];
                Csum += x * y;
                C[il * width + jl] = Csum;
}
```

Listing 1. Source code for Standard Matrix multiplication

B. BLAS Matrix Multiplication Algorithm

BLAS is a collection of low level matrix and vector arithmetic operations such as multiply a vector by a scalar, multiply two matrices etc. LAPACK is built on top of the BLAS. LAPACK is a collection of high level linear algebra operations such as matrix factorizations that are used to find Eigen values of a matrix, singular value of a matrix, or to solve a linear system of equations etc. A portable high performance BLAS library with a CBLAS interface for the CPU is being provided by ATLAS Package. At present, it provides FORTRAN 77 and C interfaces to a portably efficient BLAS implementation as well as a few routines from LAPACK. Listing 2 shows how matrix multiplication is implemented using CBLAS.

```c
void cblasMatMult(double *A, double *B, double *C, int width)
{
    double Cvalue = 0;
    int ty = threadIdx.y + blockDim.y * blockIdx.y;
    int tx = threadIdx.x + blockDim.x * blockIdx.x;
    for (int kl = 0; kl < width; kl++)
        Cvalue += Adelement * Bdelement;
    C[ty * width + tx] = Cvalue;
}
```

Listing 2. Source code for Matrix multiplication using CUBLAS

C. CUBLAS Matrix Multiplication Algorithm

BLAS library consists of set of implementations for matrix multiplication such as GEMM with single precision and double precision. While the reference BLAS implementation is not especially quick, there are number of third party optimized implementations like MKL from Intel, ACML from AMD, and CUBLAS from NVIDIA for the GPU [20]. The source code for multiplication of two matrices on GPU device with CUBLAS is shown in Listing 3.

```c
void cublasMatMult(double *A, double *B, double *C, int width)
{
    //create a handle for CUBLAS
    cublasHandle_t handle;
    cublasCreate(&handle);
    // Do the actual multiplication
    cublasDgemm(handle,CUBLAS_OP_N, CUBLAS_OP_N, width,
                width, width, alpha, A, da, B, db, beta, C, dc);
    // Destroy the handle
    cublasDestroy(handle);
}
```

Listing 3. Source code for Matrix multiplication using CUBLAS

D. CUDA Matrix Multiplication Algorithm

Data parallelism refers to the program property whereby many arithmetic operations are carried out perfectly on required data structure simultaneously. The concept of data parallelism is applied to typical matrix multiplication. As shown CUDA Matrix multiplication in Listing 4, the output matrix C is produced by performing a dot product between the rows of first matrix A and the columns of second matrix B. These dot product operations for finding different elements of output matrix C can be performed on the GPU in a simultaneous manner without affecting results of each other.

```c
__global__ void kernelMatMult(double* A, double* B, double* C, int width)
{
    int ty = threadIdx.y + blockDim.y * blockIdx.y;
    int tx = threadIdx.x + blockDim.x * blockIdx.x; 
    double Cvalue = 0;
    for (int kl = 0; kl < width; kl++)
        Cvalue += Adelement * Bdelement;
    C[ty * width + tx] = Cvalue;
}
```

Listing 4. Source code for Matrix multiplication using CUDA

IV. CUDA IMPLEMENTATION OF DNN ALGORITHMS

Accelerating time consuming DNN training algorithms such as BPA and BMA is still an open problem that is being investigated widely. To achieve significant acceleration of DNN training algorithms, one has to use the remarkable computing power provided by modern many-core GPU devices by implementing algorithms using CUDA [21].

A. CUDA Backpropagation Algorithm (CUBPA)

Backpropagation is an algorithm that has been broadly used for training shallow neural networks with single hidden layer for its simplicity of implementation and...
efficiency. However, the efficiency of Backpropagation Algorithm (BPA) decreases greatly when it is used to train DNN with multiple hidden layers [22]. As mentioned in introduction, matrix multiplication task is carried out for a huge number of times and thus it constitutes major portion of the work-load of BPA training process. CUDA programming makes it possible to accelerate the training of BPA by dividing the entire matrix multiplication task into the smaller tasks and running them simultaneously on a large number of cores available on the GPU. So as to decrease the high convergence time, the classic BPA gets adjusted by using CUDA kernel matrix multiplication algorithm as summarized in algorithm 1. A DNN consists of eight layers (Input, Output, and Six hidden) for experimentation is shown in Fig. 1.

We use the following notations in order to describe the CUDA implementation of Backpropagation Algorithm (BPA). Here

D is a set of training patterns with target values.
X is a training pattern.
$T_j$ is target output at neuron $j$ in the output layer.
$A_j$ is the actual output at neuron $j$.
$w_{i,j}$ is the connecting weight between neuron $j$ of the current layer from neuron $i$ of the previous layer.
$E_j$ is the error of neuron $j$ in the output/hidden layer.
$\eta$ is the learning rate.

The BPA works as given under. The small random values are assigned to weights and biases to initialize the network. The input pattern is then applied and the net input, $I_j$, is calculated as sum of weighted input according to (2).

$$ I_j = \sum w_{ij}A_i + \theta_j $$

(2)

The actual output at each neuron, $A_j$, for the obtained net input, $I_j$, is calculated by using the following sigmoid function (3).

$$ A_j = \frac{1}{1+e^{-I_j}} $$

(3)

The error, $E_j$, of the neuron in the output layer is computed by subtracting the actual output value from the target value and this difference is multiplied by the derivative of sigmoid function as shown in (4).

$$ E_j = A_j(1-A_j)(T_j-A_j) $$

(4)

Similarly the error of the neuron in the hidden layer is calculated as the product of weighted sum of the propagated errors and derivative of sigmoid function as shown in (5).

$$ E_j = A_j(1-A_j) \sum E_k w_{jk} $$

(5)

Having obtained the error for hidden layer units, the weights are updated according to (6) and (7), where $\Delta w_{i,j}$ is the change in weight $w_{i,j}$.

$$ \Delta w_{ij} = (\eta)E_j A_i $$

(6)

$$ w_{ij} = w_{ij} + \Delta w_{ij} $$

(7)

Similarly biases are changed according to (8) and (9), where $\Delta \theta_j$ is the change in bias $\theta_j$.

$$ \Delta \theta_j = (\eta)E_j $$

(8)

$$ \theta_j = \theta_j + \Delta \theta_j $$

(9)

The training process stops when the error is below some specified threshold or a pre-specified number of iterations have expired.

Algorithm 1: CUDA implementation of BPA
1. Allocate CPU memory for weights (w) and biases ($\theta$);
2. Allocate GPU memory for weights (d_w) and output (d_A);
3. InitializeWeights and InitializeBiases;
4. for itr=1 to numIterations do
5. for ptrn=1 to numPatterns do
6. for each inputLayerUnit $j$ do
7. $A_j = I_j$;
8. for each hidden or outputLayerUnit $j$ do
9. cudaMemcpy (d_w=1...n,l,j,w=1...n);
10. cudaMemcpy (d_A=1...n,l,j,A=1...n);

Fig.1. A multilayer Deep Neural Network
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11. \( Net_j = \text{kernelMatMul} \ll numThreads \gg \)
\( (d_{-}w_{i,j} d_{-}A_{j=1...n}); \)
12. \( I_j = Net_j + \theta_j; \)
13. \( A_j = \frac{1}{1+e^{-I_j}}; \)  
14. \( \text{for each unit } j \text{ in the outputLayer} \)
15. \( E_j = A_j (1-A_j) (T_j - A_j); \)
16. \( \text{for each unit } j \text{ in the hiddenLayers, from the last to first hiddenLayer} \)
17. \( \text{cudaMemcpy} (d_{-}E_{k=1...n}, E_{k=1...n}); \)
18. \( \text{cudaMemcpy} (d_{-}w_{j,k=1...n}, w_{j,k=1...n}); \)
19. \( Net_k = \text{kernelMatMul} \ll numThreads \gg \)
\( (d_{-}E_{k=1...n} d_{-}w_{j,k=1...n}); \)
20. \( E_j = A_j (1-A_j) Net_k; \}
21. \( \text{for each weight } w_{ij} \text{ in network} \}
22. \( \Delta w_{ij} = (\eta) E_j A_j; \)
23. \( w_{ij} = w_{ij} + \Delta w_{ij}; \}
24. \( \text{for each bias } \theta_j \text{ in the network} \}
25. \( \Delta \theta_j = (\eta) E_j; \)
26. \( \theta_j = \theta_j + \Delta \theta_j; \)  
27. \( \} \)
28. \( \} \)
29. \( \} \)

B. CUDA Boltzmann Machine Algorithm (CUBMA)

Structurally, a Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM) is a shallow neural network that consists of a set of visible units, \( v \), and a set of hidden units, \( h \), and connections between units are symmetrically weighted and bidirectional [23] as depicted in Fig. 2.

![Fig.2. Model of RBM](image)

Training a Deep Boltzmann Machine (DBM) is a computationally time consuming task that involves training a stack of several RBMs as shown in Fig. 3 and requires certain high amount of training time. Moreover, as the dimensionality and quantity of data increases, the computing load of training a DBM increases rapidly [24].

So as to decrease the long training time, the classic BMA gets adjusted by using CUDA kernel matrix multiplication algorithm as explained in algorithm 2. We use the following notations in order to describe CUDA implementation of BMA. Here

- \( v_j \) is set of visible units where \( i = 1, ..., n \).
- \( h_j \) is set of hidden units where \( j = 1, ..., n \).
- \( vb \) is visible bias unit.
- \( hb \) is hidden bias unit.
- \( w_{hidden} \) is the hidden node \( h_j \) weight vector.
- \( w_{visible} \) is the visible node \( v_j \) weight vector.

All the visible units are assumed to be conditionally independent of hidden vector \( H \) for an RBM network i.e.

\[
P(V \mid H) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(v_i \mid H). \quad (10)
\]

Similarly all the hidden units are assumed to be conditionally independent of visible vector \( V \) i.e.

\[
P(H \mid V) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(h_i \mid V). \quad (11)
\]

The conditional distribution of hidden and visible unit \( j \) is given by (12) and (13).

\[
p(h_j = 1 \mid V) = \sigma(hb_j + (w_{hidden}^j V)) \quad (12)
\]

\[
p(v_j = 1 \mid H) = \sigma(vb_j + (w_{visible}^j H)) \quad (13)
\]
Where $\sigma$ is the logistic or sigmoid function as shown in (14).

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \quad (14)$$

Algorithm 2: CUDA implementation of BMA

1. Allocate CPU memory for visible vector (V), hidden vector (H), weights (w) and biases (vb, hb);
2. Allocate GPU memory for visible vector (d_V), Hidden vector (d_H) and weights (d_w);
3. Initialize Weights and initialize Biases;
4. Initialize the visible units states to the training data;
5. Positive phase: Reconstruction of hidden units using positive statistics ($E_j$) is given by

   $\text{cudaMemcpy}(d_{w^1}^{\text{hidden}}, w^{\text{hidden}});$

   $\text{sum} = \text{kernelMatMult}<<<\text{numThreads}>>>(d_{w^1}^{\text{hidden}}, d_{V});$

   $$P(h_j = 1/V) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\text{vb} + \text{sum})}}$$

6. Negative phase: Reconstruction of visible units using negative statistics ($E_j$) is given by

   $\text{cudaMemcpy} (d_{w^1}^{\text{visible}}, w^{\text{visible}});$

   $\text{cudaMemcpy}(d_{H}, H);$

   $\text{sum} = \text{kernelMatMult}<<<\text{numThreads}>>>(d_{w^1}^{\text{hidden}}, d_{H});$

   $$P(v_j = 1/H) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\text{hb} + \text{sum})}}$$

7. Update phase:

   $$w_{ij} = w_{ij}^{\text{old}} + \eta(\text{positive}(E_j) - \text{negative}(E_j));$$

   Iterate with all training vectors till the error is below some specified threshold.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The performance of proposed methods was compared against classic methods by measuring the convergence time consumption of DNN training process. All the programs were developed using C/C++/CUDA, then tested on many-core GPU system and the execution time was measured. So as to assess the performance of our implementations, testing was conducted on a computer (HP Compaq, Intel Core i7-2600 3.40 GHz Processor, 4 Cores, 8 Threads, 64 Bit, 8 MB Cache, 4GB RAM with a GPU accelerator NVIDIA Quadro K620, 384 CUDA cores, 2GB RAM) running Ubuntu operating system (Linux 4.4.0-57) with software version gcc/g++ 5.4.0., and nvcc V7.5.17. A similar domain was used for all examinations that were completed for this paper. All the programs were executed for five times and the mean execution time was computed.

A. Matrix multiplication on GPU via CUDA: The Dawn of Computing

For simple understanding, we have used the square matrices with a size that is a power of 2. With cautious implementation, the investigations can also be conducted to non square matrices. The elements of matrices are generated by a random function and type casted into desired type of data.

The execution times of all four algorithms for matrix multiplication are furnished in Table 1. From the Table, it was observed that the Standard algorithm performs more slowly mainly due to its sequential nature. Among the four Algorithms, CUDA algorithm is showing the tremendous performance than other three algorithms. It is clearly appeared in Fig. 4 that the execution time taken by CUDA algorithm is almost negligible compared to all other algorithms, thus the matrix multiplication on GPU using CUDA is the dawn of computing. Fig. 5 shows the speedup of CUDA over Standard, CBLAS, and CUBLAS implementations for matrix multiplication.

| Matrix Dimension | Elapsed time (Secs) | Speedup of CUDA over |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                   | Standard | CBLAS | CUBLAS | Standard | CBLAS | CUBLAS |
| 4                 | 0.000001 | 0.000002 | 0.000267 | 0.000013 | 0.08  | 0.15  | 20.54  |
| 8                 | 0.000011 | 0.000002 | 0.000269 | 0.000013 | 0.85  | 0.15  | 20.69  |
| 16                | 0.000071 | 0.000005 | 0.000437 | 0.000013 | 5.46  | 0.38  | 33.62  |
| 32                | 0.000560 | 0.000026 | 0.000430 | 0.000014 | 40.00 | 1.86  | 30.71  |
| 64                | 0.002971 | 0.000210 | 0.000303 | 0.000003 | 399.33 | 70.00 | 101.00 |
| 128               | 0.017985 | 0.000887 | 0.000648 | 0.000005 | 3957.00 | 177.40 | 239.60 |
| 256               | 0.123994 | 0.003768 | 0.002033 | 0.000004 | 30898.50 | 942.00 | 508.25 |
| 512               | 0.995183 | 0.021060 | 0.011976 | 0.000008 | 124397.88 | 2632.50 | 1497.00 |
| 1024              | 10.885084 | 0.160893 | 0.093565 | 0.000010 | 1088508.40 | 1608.30 | 9356.50 |

Table 1. Execution time comparison of four matrix multiplication algorithms
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B. Performance Comparison of BPA and CUBPA

The classification of odd and even numbers was chosen for the performance analysis of Backpropagation training algorithm as it is simple problem to implement. The number of units in the input layer is equal to the size of training pattern (binary form of given number). Number of units is equal in input and all hidden layers except output layer. One output unit is employed to represent two different classes where the output 1 represents odd class, and the output 0 represents even class. The training patterns are produced using random function. The small random values varying from -1.0 to 1.0 are assigned to weights and biases. The observations are recorded after training the Deep Neural Network (DNN) for pre-specified 30,000 epochs.

Table 2 exhibits that proposed CUDA implementation (CUBPA) shows significant improvement in reducing DNN convergence time compared to direct implementation (BPA). Moreover, from the Table, it is evident that our GPU-based CUDA implementation (CUBPA) achieved a significant performance speedup and in addition the speedup achieved increases further with increasing the size of training pattern. From the Fig. 6, it is crystal clear that the training time for CUBPA has reached lowest and almost stable there from the pattern size 40 even though the size of training pattern is increasing where as the training time for BPA is increasing as the size of the training pattern is increasing.

Table 2. Training time comparison of BPA and CUBPA

| Pattern Size | Elapsed time (Secs) BPA | Elapsed time (Secs) CUBPA | Speedup |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 10           | 0.87249                | 1.26188                   | 0.69    |
| 20           | 6.48486                | 1.59640                   | 4.06    |
| 30           | 20.38150               | 2.47829                   | 8.22    |
| 40           | 50.48880               | 0.10349                   | 487.85  |
| 50           | 93.12040               | 0.10333                   | 901.24  |
| 60           | 160.77000              | 0.10344                   | 1554.18 |
| 70           | 256.47700              | 0.10331                   | 2482.57 |
| 80           | 392.08200              | 0.10333                   | 3793.99 |
| 90           | 544.53800              | 0.10331                   | 5270.79 |
| 100          | 758.84900              | 0.10242                   | 7409.44 |

Table 3. Training time comparison of BMA and CUBMA

| Pattern Size | Elapsed time (Secs) BMA | Elapsed time (Secs) CUBMA | Speedup |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 10           | 1.3897                  | 2.15814                   | 0.64    |
| 20           | 11.0734                 | 2.73395                   | 4.05    |
| 30           | 34.9284                 | 4.26819                   | 8.18    |
| 40           | 85.4838                 | 0.17219                   | 496.46  |
| 50           | 159.8400                | 0.17219                   | 928.26  |
| 60           | 275.7080                | 0.17201                   | 1602.88 |
| 70           | 440.4230                | 0.17195                   | 2561.32 |
| 80           | 672.0940                | 0.17201                   | 3907.34 |
| 90           | 934.8770                | 0.17209                   | 5432.61 |
| 100          | 1302.9900               | 0.17209                   | 7571.66 |

Fig.4. Performance of CUDA matrix multiplication vs Standard, CBLAS subroutine on CPU and CUBLAS subroutine on GPU

Fig.5. Speedup trends of CUDA matrix multiplication over Standard, CBLAS subroutine on CPU and CUBLAS subroutine on GPU

Table 3. Training time comparison of BMA and CUBMA

| Pattern Size | Elapsed time (Secs) BMA | Elapsed time (Secs) CUBMA | Speedup |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 10           | 1.3897                  | 2.15814                   | 0.64    |
| 20           | 11.0734                 | 2.73395                   | 4.05    |
| 30           | 34.9284                 | 4.26819                   | 8.18    |
| 40           | 85.4838                 | 0.17219                   | 496.46  |
| 50           | 159.8400                | 0.17219                   | 928.26  |
| 60           | 275.7080                | 0.17201                   | 1602.88 |
| 70           | 440.4230                | 0.17195                   | 2561.32 |
| 80           | 672.0940                | 0.17201                   | 3907.34 |
| 90           | 934.8770                | 0.17209                   | 5432.61 |
| 100          | 1302.9900               | 0.17209                   | 7571.66 |

Fig.6. Performance of BPA vs CUBPA

Fig.7. Performance of BMA vs CUBMA
C. Performance Comparison of BMA and CUBMA

A DBM with six RBM layers is constructed to generate the original binary pattern for a given incorrect pattern as input. The observations are recorded after learning the Deep Neural Network (DNN) for pre-specified number of epochs (30,000) in both forward and backward directions for each layer. Table 3 exhibits that proposed CUDA implementation (CUBMA) indicates significant improvement in reducing DNN learning time in comparison with direct BMA implementation. Moreover, from the Table, it is evident that our GPU-based CUDA implementation (CUBMA) achieved a significant performance speedup and in addition the speedup achieved increases further with increasing the size of training pattern. From the Fig. 7, it is totally evident that the training time for CUBMA has reached lowest and almost stable there from the pattern size 40 though the size of training pattern is increasing where as the training time for BMA is increasing as the size of the training pattern is increasing.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we examined and implemented Standard subroutine, CPU-based ATLAS-optimized CBLAS library subroutine, GPU-based NVIDIA-optimized CUBLAS library subroutine, and CUDA subroutine for computing matrix multiplication. By the evidence of results, it is crystal clear that CUDA matrix multiplication performs very much faster than other three implementations. The reason for using the state-of-the-art highly optimized CBLAS library and CUBLAS library matrix multiplication subroutines in addition to Standard matrix multiplication is for fair comparison. Then it has been proposed to use CUDA matrix multiplication to modify BPA and BMA. After our experiments, we found that the proposed methods (CUBPA and CUBMA) have achieved exponential speedup in training Deep Neural Networks than existing standard methods.

For the future work, the existing algorithms will be revisited and examined in the areas of Cryptography, Image Processing, video Frames, Bio-Informatics, and Weather Forecasting for possible implementation and thus performance improvement by exploiting the capabilities of modern multi-core CPU-based and many-core GPU-based systems via CUDA and other parallel programming models and paradigms.
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