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Abstract
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1 Introduction

Recent progress in the understanding of perturbative gauge theory and gravity amplitudes has been fueled by the discovery of a color-kinematic duality of gauge theory amplitudes by Bern, Carrasco, and Johansson [1,2]. Any $L$-loop $n$-point gauge theory scattering amplitude where all particles are in the adjoint representation can be written as

$$A^{(L)}_n = i^L g^{n-2+2L} \sum \int \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{d^D p_l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{S_i} \frac{n_i c_i}{\prod_{\alpha_i} p_{\alpha_i}^2},$$

(1.1)

The sum runs over a set of $L$-loop $n$-point diagrams containing only cubic vertices, the integral is over a set of $L$ loop momenta, the product in the denominator contains all the propagators of the diagram, the $n_i$ are kinematic numerators depending on momenta, polarizations, etc., and the $S_i$ are symmetry factors. The $c_i$ are color factors associated with the diagrams; they are not all linearly independent, but satisfy various (Jacobi) relations. Because of this, the representation (1.1) of an amplitude is not unique; different choices of kinematic numerators yield the same amplitude. This freedom in the choice of $n_i$ is described as a generalized gauge transformation [3].

A given representation (1.1) is said to satisfy color-kinematic (or BCJ) duality if the kinematic numerators $n_i$ obey precisely the same set of algebraic relations observed by the color factors $c_i$. It was conjectured that all gauge theories possess such a representation [1,2], and this was verified through three loops for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM four-point [2,4] and five-point [5] amplitudes. It was further conjectured [2,3] that $L$-loop $n$-point gravity amplitudes can be constructed from a pair of gauge theory amplitudes as

$$\mathcal{M}^{(L)}_n = i^{L+1} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{n-2+2L} \sum \int \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{d^D p_l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{S_i} \frac{n_i \tilde{n_i}}{\prod_{\alpha_i} p_{\alpha_i}^2},$$

(1.2)

where $n_i$ and $\tilde{n_i}$ are the kinematic numerators of the gauge theory representation (1.1), provided that at least one of the two representations satisfies color-kinematic duality. The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity four-point [2,4] and five-point [5] amplitudes were obtained as double copies (1.2) of the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM amplitudes.

The double-copy property of gravity theories was further used [6,7] to obtain new expressions for $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ supergravity amplitudes by combining loop amplitudes from an $\mathcal{N} \leq 4$ SYM theory (which need not satisfy BCJ duality) with the kinematic numerators from an $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory (whose amplitudes do). Specifically, it was shown in refs. [6,7] that the supergravity amplitudes on the left side of the following equation

$$\mathcal{N} = 8\text{ supergravity : } (\mathcal{N} = 4\text{ SYM}) \times (\mathcal{N} = 4\text{ SYM})$$

$$\mathcal{N} = 6\text{ supergravity : } (\mathcal{N} = 4\text{ SYM}) \times (\mathcal{N} = 2\text{ SYM})$$

$$\mathcal{N} = 5\text{ supergravity : } (\mathcal{N} = 4\text{ SYM}) \times (\mathcal{N} = 1\text{ SYM})$$

$$\mathcal{N} = 4\text{ supergravity : } (\mathcal{N} = 4\text{ SYM}) \times (\mathcal{N} = 0\text{ SYM})$$

(1.3)

(where $\mathcal{N} = 0\text{ SYM}$ denotes pure YM theory) can be represented as double copies of the gauge theories on the right side, which have the same state multiplicities as the corresponding gravity theory.
Gravity amplitudes have IR divergences at loop level [8]; in dimensional regularization, the leading IR divergence of an $L$-loop amplitude goes as $O(1/\epsilon^L)$, where $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ [9]. Moreover, the IR-divergent terms of an $L$-loop gravity amplitude are given by the exponentiation of the one-loop divergence [8, 10–12]. This behavior has been verified at two loops for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity [13, 14] and also for $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ supergravity [7].

The expressions for various supergravity amplitudes obtained in refs. [5–7, 15] involve combinations of planar SYM amplitudes, whose leading IR divergence goes as $O(1/\epsilon^{2L})$ at $L$ loops. Therefore nontrivial cancellations among the SYM amplitudes are required to match the $O(1/\epsilon^L)$ leading IR behavior of the supergravity amplitude.

IR divergences of gauge theory amplitudes that are subleading in the $1/N$ expansion are less severe than those of planar amplitudes. In ref. [16, 17] it was shown that the leading IR divergence of $A^{(L,k)}$, which denotes the subleading-color $L$-loop amplitude suppressed by $N^k$ relative to the planar amplitude, is of $O(1/\epsilon^{2L-k})$ for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM four-point amplitudes. We will verify in sec. 4 that the same result also holds for subleading-color $\mathcal{N} < 4$ SYM amplitudes.

The leading IR divergence of $A^{(L,L)}$, the most-subleading-color $L$-loop amplitude, therefore, is $O(1/\epsilon^L)$, precisely the same as the leading divergence of the $L$-loop gravity amplitude. One therefore speculates that such amplitudes could provide a basis for expanding gravity amplitudes. In previous work, we showed that the one- and two-loop four-point functions [16] and the one-loop five-point function [18] of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity are linearly related to the most-subleading-color amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory.

In this paper, we show that analogous linear relations hold between certain amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} + 4$ supergravity on the one hand, and the most-subleading-color amplitudes of $\mathcal{N}$ SYM theory, with $0 \leq \mathcal{N} < 4$, where the SYM theory is one of the two gauge theories in the double copy representation (1.3). We establish these relations by demonstrating their equivalence to the expressions for $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ supergravity amplitudes recently obtained in refs. [6, 7].

We point out that the linear supergravity/SYM relations between integrated amplitudes found in this paper and in refs. [5–7, 15, 16, 18] involve amplitudes in which the kinematic numerators are independent of loop momenta, and so can be pulled outside the loop integrals. It remains an open question whether similar relations between integrated SYM and supergravity amplitudes can be obtained when the kinematic numerators depend on the loop momenta.

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we review the linear relations between $\mathcal{N} + 4$ supergravity amplitudes and planar $\mathcal{N}$ SYM amplitudes obtained in refs. [6, 7], partly to establish notation. In section 3, we derive new linear relations between $\mathcal{N} + 4$ supergravity amplitudes and subleading-color $\mathcal{N}$ SYM amplitudes, generalizing results previously obtained in refs. [16, 18]. In section 4, the leading IR divergences of subleading-color amplitudes for generic SU($N$) gauge theories are discussed, and sec. 5 contains some concluding remarks.
2 Review of relations between supergravity and planar SYM amplitudes

The color structure of a gauge theory amplitude may be expressed by decomposing the amplitude in either a trace basis [19] or a basis of color factors [20, 21]. The trace basis is more conducive to exhibiting the $1/N$ expansion of the gauge theory, while the color basis is more natural for exhibiting color-kinematic duality and for writing gravity amplitudes as double copy of gauge theory amplitudes. In this section, we will review the trace basis for loop-level amplitudes, and then summarize the results derived in refs. [6, 7] for one- and two-loop four-point functions and for one-loop five-point functions of $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ supergravity.

2.1 Trace basis

One-loop $n$-point amplitudes of particles in the adjoint representation of an $SU(N)$ gauge theory may be decomposed into a basis of single and double traces [19]

$$A^{(1)}(1, 2, ..., n) = g^n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n/S_{n;j}} A_{n;j}(\sigma(1)...\sigma(n)) Gr_{n;j}(\sigma)$$

where $Gr_{n;j}(\mathbb{I}) \equiv N \text{Tr}(T^{a_1}...T^{a_j})$

$$Gr_{n;j}(\mathbb{I}) \equiv \text{Tr}(T^{a_1}...T^{a_{j-1}}) \text{Tr}(T^{a_j}...T^{a_n})$$

(2.1)

whose coefficients are referred to as color-ordered amplitudes. Here $T^a$ are the generators in the defining representation of $SU(N)$, normalized according to $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \delta^{ab}$, and $S_{n;j}$ is the subgroup of $S_n$ that leaves the trace structure $Gr_{n;j}$ invariant. At higher loops (for $n > 5$), the color decomposition will include triple and higher traces as well, and at $L$ loops, the amplitudes can contain powers of $N$ up to $N^L$, and so have an expansion in $1/N$.

Since in this paper we focus primarily on four- and five-point functions in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories (with $\mathcal{N}$ supersymmetries), we specialize the notation for these cases. For five-point functions, we have

$$A^{(1)}_{\mathcal{N}}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = g^5 \left( \sum_{S_5/Z_5 \times Z_2} A^{(1,0)}_{12345, \mathcal{N}} N \left[ \text{Tr}(12345) - \text{Tr}(54321) \right] ight. + \left. \sum_{S_5/Z_2 \times Z_3} A^{(1,1)}_{12,345, \mathcal{N}} \text{Tr}(12) \left[ \text{Tr}(345) - \text{Tr}(543) \right] \right.$$  

(2.2)

where $A^{(1,0)}$ denotes the planar (leading-color) one-loop amplitudes, $A^{(1,1)}$ the subleading-color one-loop amplitudes, and $\text{Tr}(123\cdots) \equiv \text{Tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}\cdots)$. Only single and double traces occur at any number
of loops for four-point functions, so we write

\[
A^{(L)}_{N}(1, 2, 3, 4) = g^{2+2L} \left( A^{(L)}_{1234, N} [\text{Tr}(1234) + \text{Tr}(1432)] + A^{(L)}_{1342, N} [\text{Tr}(1243) + \text{Tr}(1342)] + A^{(L)}_{1423, N} [\text{Tr}(1423) + \text{Tr}(1324)] + A^{(L)}_{1234, N} [\text{Tr}(12) + \text{Tr}(34)] \right)
\]

(2.3)

The color-ordered amplitudes \( A^{(L)} \) may be further decomposed in a \( 1/N \) expansion of amplitudes \( A^{(L, k)} \), with \( k = 0, \cdots, L \). Specifically, the amplitudes suppressed by even powers of \( N \) relative to the leading-color (planar) amplitude contribute to the single-trace amplitudes, and those suppressed by odd powers of \( N \) contribute to the double-trace amplitudes

\[
\begin{align*}
A^{(L)}_{ijkl, N} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} & N L - 2 m \leq 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} & N L - 2 m - 1 \leq 1
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.4)

We will also find it useful to define ratios of loop-level to tree-level amplitudes for four-point functions as follows:

\[
M^{(L, 2m)}_{\text{SYM}, N}(s, t) \equiv \frac{(g^2 N)^L A^{(L, 2m)}_{1234, N}}{A^{(0)}_{1234}}, \quad M^{(L, 2m+1)}_{\text{SYM}, N}(s, t) \equiv -\frac{(g^2 N)^L A^{(L, 2m+1)}_{1342, N}}{\sqrt{2} A^{(0)}_{1234}}
\]

(2.5)

where \( s = (k_1 + k_2)^2 \), \( t = (k_1 + k_4)^2 \) and \( u = (k_1 + k_3)^2 \) are the usual Mandelstam invariants, with \( k_i \) the momenta of the external particles, and \( A^{(0)}_{1234} \) denotes the tree-level color-ordered amplitude, which is independent of the number \( N \) of supersymmetries.

2.2 Four-point amplitudes

Alternatively, amplitudes may be decomposed in a basis of color factors \([20, 21]\). One-loop four-point amplitudes of particles in the adjoint representation of a gauge theory may be decomposed in a basis of color factors of the box diagram as

\[
A^{(1)}_{N}(1, 2, 3, 4) = g^4 \left( c_{1234} A^{(1, 0)}_{1234, N} + c_{1342} A^{(1, 0)}_{1342, N} + c_{1423} A^{(1, 0)}_{1423, N} \right)
\]

(2.6)

where \( c_{1234} \) is obtained by inserting a factor of the SU\((N)\) structure constants at each vertex of the box diagram

\[
c_{1234} = \tilde{f}^{a_1 b} \tilde{f}^{b a_2 c} \tilde{f}^{c a_3 d} \tilde{f}^{d a_4 e}.
\]

(2.7)

By using

\[
\tilde{f}^{abc} = i \sqrt{2} f^{abc} = \text{Tr}([T^a, T^b]T^c)
\]

(2.8)
to express $c_{1234}$ in terms of the trace basis (2.3), one ascertains [21] that the coefficients in the one-loop color basis (2.6) are identical to the planar one-loop color-ordered amplitudes $A_{ijkl,N}^{(1)}$ defined in eq. (2.4).

Specializing to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, we write the coefficients in eq. (2.6) in the form of eq. (1.1)

$$ A_{1234,\mathcal{N}=4}^{(1)} = i n_{1234} T_{1234}^{(1)}, \quad T_{1234}^{(1)} = \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D p^2} \frac{1}{(p - k_1)^2 (p - k_1 - k_2)^2 (p + k_2)^2} \quad (2.9) $$

where the kinematic numerators $n_{1234}$ (which in this case are independent of the loop momentum and hence come outside the integral) satisfy

$$ n_{1234} = n_{1342} = n_{1423} = st A_{1234}^{(0)}. \quad (2.10) $$

Because the numerator factors (2.10) for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM amplitude satisfy color-kinematic duality, they can be used in a double-copy representation (1.2) of the one-loop four-point amplitude of $\mathcal{N} + 4$ supergravity [6]

$$ M_{\mathcal{N}+4}^{(1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) = i \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^4 \left( n_{1234} A_{1234,N}^{(1)} + n_{1342} A_{1342,N}^{(1)} + n_{1423} A_{1423,N}^{(1)} \right) = \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^4 ist A_{1234}^{(0)} \left( A_{1234,N}^{(1)} + A_{1342,N}^{(1)} + A_{1423,N}^{(1)} \right) \quad (2.11) $$

by replacing $g^4$ with $i(\kappa/2)^4$ and the color factors $c_{ijkl}$ with $n_{ijkl}$ in eq. (2.6). The $1/\epsilon^2$ poles of the planar amplitudes in eq. (2.11) cancel to give the expected $1/\epsilon$ leading IR divergence of one-loop supergravity amplitudes.

Similarly, two-loop four-point amplitudes of particles in the adjoint representation may be expressed as

$$ A_{\mathcal{N}}^{(2)}(1, 2, 3, 4) = g^6 \left( c_{1234}^{(2P)} A_{1234,N}^{(2P)} + c_{1342}^{(2P)} A_{1342,N}^{(2P)} + c_{1423}^{(2P)} A_{1423,N}^{(2P)} + c_{2341}^{(2P)} A_{2341,N}^{(2P)} + c_{2431}^{(2P)} A_{2431,N}^{(2P)} \right) + \left( c_{1234}^{(2NP)} A_{1234,N}^{(2NP)} + c_{1342}^{(2NP)} A_{1342,N}^{(2NP)} + c_{1423}^{(2NP)} A_{1423,N}^{(2NP)} + c_{2341}^{(2NP)} A_{2341,N}^{(2NP)} \right) \quad (2.12) $$

where the basis of color factors is given by the two-loop planar $(2P)$ and nonplanar $(2NP)$ diagrams shown in fig. 1, namely,

$$ c_{1234}^{(2P)} = f e a b f e a c f d g f d e f g a b f h a f \quad c_{1234}^{(2NP)} = f e a b f e a c f d g f e a b f d a f \quad (2.13) $$

The manifest symmetries $c_{1234} = c_{4321} = c_{2143} = c_{3142}$ of both planar and nonplanar color factors have been used to reduce the number of terms in eq. (2.12). Even taking these symmetries into account, this

---

1Our convention for $A^{(2P)}$ and $A^{(2NP)}$ differs from ref. [7] by a sign.
and the kinematic numerators (which are again independent of loop momenta) are

\[
\begin{align*}
n^{(2P)}_{1234} &= n^{(2P)}_{3421} = n^{(2NP)}_{1234} = n^{(2NP)}_{3421} = s(stA^{(0)}_{1234}) \\
n^{(2P)}_{1342} &= n^{(2P)}_{1231} = n^{(2NP)}_{1342} = n^{(2NP)}_{1231} = u(stA^{(0)}_{1234}) \\
n^{(2P)}_{1423} &= n^{(2P)}_{2341} = n^{(2NP)}_{1423} = n^{(2NP)}_{2341} = t(stA^{(0)}_{1234})
\end{align*}
\]  

The numerators (2.18) satisfy color-kinematic duality (see e.g. the discussion in ref. [7]), and so they can be used in a double-copy representation of the two-loop four-point amplitude of $\mathcal{N} + 4$ supergravity [7]

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{N}+4}(1, 2, 3, 4) = i\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^6 stA^{(0)}_{1234} \left[ s \left( A^{(2P)}_{1234, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2P)}_{3421, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2NP)}_{1234, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2NP)}_{3421, \mathcal{N}} \right) + u \left( A^{(2P)}_{1342, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2P)}_{1231, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2NP)}_{1342, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2NP)}_{1231, \mathcal{N}} \right) + t \left( A^{(2P)}_{1423, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2P)}_{2341, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2NP)}_{1423, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(2NP)}_{2341, \mathcal{N}} \right) \right]
\]  

where the two-loop scalar integrals associated with the diagrams in fig. 1 are

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}^{(2P)}_{1234} &= \int \frac{d^dp}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^dq}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{p^2 (p-k_1)^2 (p-k_1-k_2)^2 (p+q)^2 (q-k_1)^2 (q-k_3-k_4)^2}, \\
\mathcal{I}^{(2NP)}_{1234} &= \int \frac{d^dp}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^dq}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{p^2 (p-k_2)^2 (p+q)^2 (p+q+k_1)^2 (q-k_3)^2 (q-k_3-k_4)^2},
\end{align*}
\]  

Figure 1: Two-loop planar and nonplanar diagrams
obtained by replacing \( g^6 \) with \( i(\kappa/2)^6 \) and the color factors \( c_{ijkl}^{(2P,2NP)} \) with \( n_{ijkl}^{(2P,2NP)} \) in eq. (2.12). The \( 1/\epsilon^4 \) and \( 1/\epsilon^3 \) divergences of the gauge theory amplitudes on the r. h. s. cancel to give the expected \( 1/\epsilon^2 \) leading IR divergence for two-loop gravity amplitudes [7]. Moreover, it was verified in ref. [7] that the IR divergent part of eq. (2.19) is given by one-half of (the divergent part of) the square of the one-loop supergravity amplitude eq. (2.11).

### 2.3 Five-point amplitudes

One-loop five-point amplitudes of particles in the adjoint representation of a gauge theory may be decomposed in a basis of color factors of the pentagon diagram [21] as

\[
A_N^{(1)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = g^5 \sum_{S_5/\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} c_{12345}^{(P)} A_{12345,N}^{(1,0)}
\]

where

\[
c_{12345}^{(P)} = \tilde{f}_{gab} \tilde{f}_{bac} \tilde{f}_{ca3d} \tilde{f}_{da4e} \tilde{f}_{ea5g}
\]

is symmetric under cyclic permutations of 12345 and antisymmetric under 12345 \( \rightarrow \) 54321. By expressing \( c_{12345}^{(P)} \) in terms of the trace basis (2.2), one ascertains [18,21] that the coefficients in the decomposition (2.20) are identical to the planar one-loop color-ordered amplitudes.

Carrasco and Johansson [5] showed that a representation of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM five-point amplitude satisfying color-kinematic duality requires the use of an overcomplete basis of color factors, which includes the box-plus-line color diagram

\[
c_{12345}^{(B)} = \tilde{f}_{a1ab2} \tilde{f}_{bcg} \tilde{f}_{ca3d} \tilde{f}_{da4e} \tilde{f}_{ea5g}
\]

in addition to the pentagon color factors \( c_{12345}^{(P)} \). Then

\[
A_{\mathcal{N}=4}^{(1)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = g^5 \sum_{S_5} \left( \frac{1}{10} i \beta_{12345} c_{12345}^{(P)} T_{12345}^{(P)} + \frac{1}{4} i \gamma_{12,345} c_{12,345}^{(B)} T_{12,345}^{(B)} \right)
\]

where explicit expressions for the numerators \( \beta_{12345} \) and \( \gamma_{12,345} \) as well as the integrals \( T_{12345}^{(P)} \) and \( T_{12,345}^{(B)} \) are found in ref. [5]. For the purposes of sec. 3, we only need that \( \beta_{12345} \) is symmetric under cyclic permutations of its indices and antisymmetric under reversal of the indices, and additionally satisfies the property [5]

\[
\beta_{ijklm} - \beta_{jiklm} - \beta_{ijklm} + \beta_{jilk} = 0.
\]

Because the kinematic numerators satisfy color-kinematic duality, one may use them to obtain a double-copy representation of the two-loop five-point amplitude of \( \mathcal{N} + 4 \) supergravity [6]

\[
M_{\mathcal{N}+4}^{(1)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = (\frac{\kappa}{2})^5 \sum_{S_5/\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} i \beta_{12345} A_{12345,N}^{(1,0)}
\]

by replacing \( g^5 \) with \( i(\kappa/2)^5 \) and the color factors \( c_{ijklm}^{(P)} \) with \( \beta_{ijklm} \) in eq. (2.20).
3 Relations between supergravity and most-subleading-color SYM amplitudes

In the previous section, we reviewed various expressions for $N \geq 4$ supergravity amplitudes in which the expected leading $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^L)$ IR divergence emerges after cancellation of the higher-order IR divergences of planar gauge theory amplitudes. The IR divergences of gauge theory amplitudes that are subleading in the $1/N$ expansion are less severe than those of planar amplitudes [16, 17, 23], as we will review in sec. 4, with the most-subleading-color amplitude having a leading divergence that is only $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^L)$. This suggests the possibility of linear relations between supergravity amplitudes and the most-subleading-color amplitudes of gauge theories used in the double copy representation. In this section, we will exhibit such relations for one- and two-loop four-point functions and the one-loop five-point function of $N \geq 4$ supergravity. In all these cases, the kinematic numerators of $N = 4$ SYM theory are independent of the loop momenta. Whether such relations exist more generally remains an open question.

3.1 Four-point amplitudes

By expressing the four-point one-loop color factor (2.7) in terms of the trace basis (2.3), one shows that the one-loop subleading-color amplitudes are given by

$$A_{13;42}^{(1,1), N} = A_{14;23}^{(1,1), N} = A_{12;34}^{(1,1), N} = 2\left(A_{1234}^{(1,0)} + A_{1342}^{(1,0), N} + A_{1423}^{(1,0), N}\right)$$

(3.1)

which is just the one-loop U(1) decoupling relation [19]. The relation (3.1) may be used to recast the one-loop $N + 4$ supergravity amplitude (2.11) as

$$M_{N + 4}^{(1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_L}\right)^4 \, ist \, A_{1234}^{(0)} A_{12;34}^{(1,1), N}$$

(3.2)

in terms of the one-loop subleading-color amplitude of $N$ SYM theory; both sides have a leading $1/\epsilon$ IR divergence. This generalizes the relation for the one-loop $N = 8$ supergravity amplitude in ref. [16].

Similarly, one may expand the two-loop color factors (2.13) and (2.14) in eq. (2.12) in terms of the trace basis (2.3), (2.4) to obtain [15]

$$A_{1234}^{(2,0)} = A_{1234}^{(2P), N} + A_{2341}^{(2P), N}$$

(3.3)

$$A_{12;34}^{(2,1)} = 2\left(3A_{1234}^{(2P), N} + 3A_{3421}^{(2P), N} + 2A_{1234}^{(2NP), N} + 2A_{3421}^{(2NP), N} - A_{1342}^{(2NP), N} - A_{2341}^{(2NP), N} - A_{1423}^{(2NP), N} - A_{1243}^{(2NP), N}\right)$$

(3.4)

$$A_{1234}^{(2,2)} = 2\left(A_{1234}^{(2P), N} + A_{3421}^{(2P), N} + A_{1234}^{(2NP), N} + A_{3421}^{(2NP), N} - 2A_{1342}^{(2NP), N} - 2A_{1423}^{(2NP), N} - 2A_{2341}^{(2NP), N} - 2A_{1342}^{(2NP), N} - 2A_{1423}^{(2NP), N} + A_{1423}^{(2P), N} + A_{2341}^{(2P), N} + A_{1234}^{(2NP), N} + A_{3421}^{(2NP), N}\right).$$

(3.5)

As we show in sec. 4, the leading IR pole of the amplitude $A^{(L,k)}$ goes as $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^{2L-k})$, and so the leading IR divergence of $A^{(2,2)}$ matches that of the two-loop supergravity amplitude. This is reflected in the
following expression for the two-loop $\mathcal{N} + 4$ supergravity amplitude

$$
\mathcal{M}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{N} + 4}(1, 2, 3, 4) = -\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^6 ist A^{(0)}_{1234} \left[ u A^{(2, 2)}_{1234, \mathcal{N}} + t A^{(2, 2)}_{1342, \mathcal{N}} + s A^{(2, 2)}_{1423, \mathcal{N}} \right]
$$

(3.6)

which is easily verified by substituting eq. (3.5) into eq. (3.6) and comparing with eq. (2.19). Equation (3.6) generalizes the result in ref. [16] expressing the two-loop $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity amplitude in terms of subleading-color $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM amplitudes.

Both one-loop (3.2) and two-loop (3.6) relations may be written in a uniform way

$$
\left(\sqrt{2} g^2 N\right)^{L} M^{(L)}_{SG, \mathcal{N} + 4}(s, t) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^2 u \right]^{L} M^{(L, L)}_{SYM, \mathcal{N}}(s, t) + \text{cyclic perms of } s, t, u
$$

(3.7)

valid for $L = 0, 1, 2$ and for $\mathcal{N} = 0, 1, 2, 4$, in terms of the ratios (2.5) of $\mathcal{N}$ SYM amplitudes and the ratio of loop-level to tree-level $\mathcal{N} + 4$ supergravity amplitudes

$$
M^{(L)}_{SG, \mathcal{N} + 4}(s, t) = \frac{\mathcal{M}^{(L)}_{\mathcal{N} + 4}(1, 2, 3, 4)}{\mathcal{M}^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4)}
$$

(3.8)

where the tree-level supergravity amplitude is given by the KLT relation

$$
\mathcal{M}^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4) = -\frac{ist}{u} [A^{(0)}_{1234}]^2
$$

(3.9)

independent of the number of supersymmetries. This form emphasizes that the most subleading SYM ratio $M^{(L, L)}_{SYM}$ is replaced by the supergravity ratio $M^{(L)}_{SG}$, and the dimensionless coupling of SYM $g^2 N$ is replaced by the effective dimensionless supergravity coupling $\left(\kappa/2\right)^2 u$ (where the kinematic variable $u$ must appear for dimensional reasons). Note that the simple form (3.7) is not valid for $L > 2$ (though perhaps some modification thereof could be).

### 3.2 Five-point amplitudes

By evaluating the pentagon diagram color factor (2.21) in terms of the trace basis (2.2), one shows that the subleading-color amplitudes satisfy

$$
A^{(1, 1)}_{12;345, \mathcal{N}} = A^{(1, 0)}_{12345, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{23415, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{34215, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{32415, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{34215, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{32415, \mathcal{N}}
$$

+ $A^{(1, 0)}_{21345, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{23145, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{31425, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{34125, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{32145, \mathcal{N}} + A^{(1, 0)}_{31245, \mathcal{N}}
$$

(3.10)

the relations written long ago in refs. [19, 24]. In sec. 4 we verify that the $1/\epsilon^2$ poles on the r. h. s. of this equation cancel, leaving only an $O(1/\epsilon)$ pole for $A^{(1, 1)}$.

Next, we will show that the following SYM-supergravity relation

$$
\mathcal{M}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{N} + 4}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^5 \sum_{S_5} ist A^{(1, 1)}_{12345, \mathcal{N}}
$$

(3.11)
which was proven in ref. [18] for \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \), remains valid for \( \mathcal{N} \leq 4 \). First, we use eq. (3.10) to rewrite eq. (3.11) as

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(1)}_{N+4}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = \frac{1}{20} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^5 \sum_{S_5} i\delta_{12345} A^{(1,0)}_{12345, \mathcal{N}}
\]

where

\[
\delta_{12345} = \beta_{12345} + \beta_{41235} + \beta_{14235} + \beta_{42135} + \beta_{13245} + \beta_{43125} + \beta_{21345} + \beta_{23145} + \beta_{34125} \tag{3.13}
\]

Here we have relabeled the sums over \( S_5 \) to give \( A^{(1,0)}_{12345, \mathcal{N}} \) as a common factor rather than \( \beta_{12345} \). We use the properties of \( \beta_{12345} \) under cyclic permutation and reversal of indices to rewrite \( \delta_{12345} \) as

\[
\delta_{12345} = \beta_{12345} - \beta_{21435} + \beta_{14235} - \beta_{41325} + \beta_{13245} - \beta_{31425} \tag{3.14}
\]

Then we apply the property (2.24) which holds for the kinematic numerator of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) five-point amplitude to obtain

\[
\delta_{12345} = 2\beta_{12345} \tag{3.15}
\]

Substituting this into eq. (3.12), we see that eq. (3.11) is equivalent to eq. (2.25). Each of the terms on the r.h.s. of eq. (3.11) has a leading \( O(1/\epsilon) \) divergence.

Therefore we again find a relation between supergravity and the most-subleading-color SYM amplitude. Note that its derivation depends not only on the group theory relation (3.10), but also on properties (2.24) that are valid because \( \beta_{12345} \) are the kinematic numerators of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory, which satisfy BCJ duality.

\section{4 \: IR divergences of subleading-color amplitudes}

In refs. [16, 17, 23] the structure of IR divergences of subleading-color amplitudes of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) theory was explored. In this section, we will see that some of these properties carry over to \( \mathcal{N} < 4 \) SYM theory.

\subsection{4.1 \: Four-point amplitudes}

We wish to show that the \textit{leading} IR singularities of the subleading-color amplitudes for four-point functions of particles in the adjoint representation are the same in a generic SU(\( N \)) gauge theory as in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory [16, 17]. In particular, the color-ordered amplitude \( A^{(L,k)} \) has leading pole of \( O(1/\epsilon^{2L-k}) \), whose coefficient we will specify below. Subleading IR poles, however, will differ for different gauge theories due to the running of the coupling constant and differing anomalous dimensions.

It is convenient [25, 26] to organize color-ordered amplitudes into a vector\footnote{We adopt here the basis used in ref. [27], which differs slightly from than that used in refs. [17, 28]. Also, note that the overall factor of \( g^2 \) for a four-point function \( A(1, 2, 3, 4) \) has been stripped off.}

\[
|A\rangle = (A_{1234}, A_{1342}, A_{1423}, A_{13;42}, A_{14;23}, A_{12;34}) . \tag{4.1}
\]
We follow refs. [29,30] by organizing the IR divergences as

\[
\left| A \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right| = J \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) S \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{Q^2}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) H \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{Q^2}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right)
\]

(4.2)

where the prefactors \( J \) (“jet function”) and \( S \) (“soft function”) characterize the long-distance IR-divergent behavior, while the short-distance behavior of the amplitude is characterized by \( |H| \) (“hard function”), and is finite as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). (Quantities in boldface act as matrices on the color space vectors.) In eq. (4.2), \( s_{ij} = (k_i + k_j)^2 \), \( \mu \) is the renormalization scale,

\[
a(\mu^2) = \frac{g^2(\mu^2)N}{8\pi^2} (4\pi e^{-\gamma})^\epsilon
\]

(4.3)

and \( Q \) is an arbitrary factorization scale. The amplitude is independent of the factorization scale, but its split into \( J, S, \) and \( H \) depends on \( Q \).

For \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory, which has \( \beta_0 = 0 \), \( \log J \) has only \( 1/\epsilon^2 \) and \( 1/\epsilon \) poles, whose coefficients are given by anomalous dimensions. For generic gauge theories, the coupling constant runs, and so \( \log J \) will also have higher-order poles, starting at two-loop order in \( a \). These poles, whose coefficients depend on \( \beta_0 \), go up through \( 1/\epsilon \) at \( L \)-loop order [30]. The one-loop \( \mathcal{O}(a/\epsilon^2) \) contribution to \( \log J \), however, produces the leading \( L \)-loop IR divergence of \( \mathcal{O}(aL^2/\epsilon^L) \) in \( J \), because the \( \beta_0 \)-dependent contributions of \( \mathcal{O}(aL^2/\epsilon^{L+1}) \) are subleading in the \( 1/\epsilon \) expansion.

The soft function [29,30]

\[
S \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{Q^2}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = P \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \Gamma \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{Q^2}, \bar{a} \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right) \right]
\]

(4.4)

depends on the anomalous dimension matrix

\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{Q^2}, a(\mu^2) \right) = \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty a(\mu^2)^\ell \Gamma^{(\ell)} \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{Q^2} \right),
\]

(4.5)

where the leading form of the running coupling is given by [29,30]

\[
\bar{a} \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = a(\mu^2) \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2} \right)^\epsilon \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\beta_0}{4\pi\epsilon} \left( \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2} \right)^\epsilon - 1 \right) a(\mu^2)^n
\]

(4.6)

If the matrices \( \Gamma^{(\ell)} \) all commute with one another (which occurs if they are proportional to \( \Gamma^{(1)} \), which is true through at least two loops [30]) we can eliminate the path ordering of the exponential and integrate the terms to obtain

\[
S \left( \frac{s_{ij}}{Q^2}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, a(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty a(\mu^2)^\ell \left( \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right)^{\ell/\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^{(\ell)}(1 + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{a(\mu^2)}{\epsilon} \right))} \right].
\]

(4.7)

The omitted terms, which depend on \( \beta_0 \), will be subleading in the \( 1/\epsilon \) expansion relative to the \( \Gamma^{(\ell)}/\epsilon \) terms in \( \log S \). Finally, when we exponentiate to obtain \( S \), we see that the contribution of \( \Gamma^{(\ell)}/\epsilon \) will
be subleading in the $1/\epsilon$ expansion relative to the one-loop contribution $\Gamma^{(1)}/\epsilon$, as in the case of the jet function.

To summarize, we find, as in the case of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory [17], that the leading IR poles of the amplitude arise from the exponentiation of the one-loop divergences:

$$|A\rangle\bigg|_{\text{most divergent}} = \exp\left(-\frac{g^2 N}{4\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \Gamma^{(1)}\right]\right) |A^{(0)}\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

We now decompose $|A\rangle$ in a loop and $1/N$ expansion

$$|A\rangle = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{L} g^{2L} N^{L-k} |A^{(L,k)}\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

From eq. (4.8), the leading IR pole of the planar amplitude is simply

$$|A^{(L,0)}\rangle = \frac{1}{L!} \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\right)^L \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2L}} |A^{(0)}\rangle + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2L-1}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

The one-loop anomalous dimension matrix is given by

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j \neq i}^{4} T_i \cdot T_j \log \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-s_{ij}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

where $T_i$ are the SU($N$) generators in the adjoint representation. In the basis defined in eq. (4.1), the anomalous dimension matrix takes the form

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

with

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Y & X \\ Z & 0 & -X \\ -Z & Y & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2X & 2Y \\ 2X & 0 & -2Z \\ -2Y & 2Z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

and

$$X = \log \left(\frac{t}{u}\right), \quad Y = \log \left(\frac{u}{s}\right), \quad Z = \log \left(\frac{s}{t}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

and the matrices $a$ and $d$ will not be needed. From eq. (4.8), we can read off the coefficient of the leading IR pole for the subleading-color amplitudes

$$|A^{(L,k)}\rangle = \frac{1}{k!(L-k)! \left(-4\pi^2\right)^L \left(-2\right)^k \epsilon^{2L-k}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k |A^{(0)}\rangle + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2L-k-1}}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

\(^3\)At this point, to simplify the formulas, we set the factorization scale $Q$ equal to the renormalization scale $\mu$.\)
We can write this explicitly as

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{12;345}^{(L,2m+1)} &= \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{L-1} (X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2)^m \left(Y A_{1423}^{(0)} - X A_{1342}^{(0)}\right)}{(2m + 1)! (L - 2m - 1)! (4\pi^2)^L 2^m} \right\} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2L - 2m - 1}} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2L - 2m - 2}}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{1234}^{(L,2m+2)} &= \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{L} (X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2)^m \left(Y A_{1423}^{(0)} - X A_{1342}^{(0)}\right)}{(2m + 2)! (L - 2m - 2)! (4\pi^2)^L 2^{m+1}} \right\} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2L - 2m - 3}} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2L - 2m - 4}}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
\]

where we observe that the term in the numerator is invariant under cyclic permutations of \(s\), \(t\), and \(u\):

\[
Y A_{1423}^{(0)} - X A_{1342}^{(0)} = Z A_{1342}^{(0)} - Y A_{1234}^{(0)} = X A_{1234}^{(0)} - Z A_{1423}^{(0)} \tag{4.18}
\]

as a consequence of the tree-level BCJ relations [1]

\[
t A_{1423}^{(0)} = s A_{1342}^{(0)}, \quad u A_{1342}^{(0)} = t A_{1234}^{(0)}, \quad s A_{1234}^{(0)} = u A_{1423}^{(0)}. \tag{4.19}
\]

As expected, eqs. (4.16) and (4.17) are consistent with the group theory constraints on color-ordered four-point amplitudes derived in ref. [27].

### 4.2 Five-point amplitudes and generalization to all \(A_{n;j}\)

In ref. [23], it was shown that the leading IR divergence of the subleading-color one-loop \(n\)-point amplitude is of \(\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)\) for \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM theory. Here we show that the same result applies to generic SU(\(N\)) gauge theories.

First consider five-point functions. We recall from eq. (3.10) that the one-loop subleading-color five-point amplitude obeys the relation

\[
A_{12;345}^{(1,1)} = A_{12345}^{(1,0)} + A_{23415}^{(1,0)} + A_{13425}^{(1,0)} + A_{34215}^{(1,0)} + A_{32415}^{(1,0)} + A_{21345}^{(1,0)} + A_{23145}^{(1,0)} + A_{31425}^{(1,0)} + A_{34125}^{(1,0)} + A_{31245}^{(1,0)} \tag{4.20}
\]

purely as a result of group theory. The planar one-loop five-point amplitude can be written

\[
A_{12345}^{(1,0)} = A_{12345}^{(0)} M_{12345} \tag{4.21}
\]

For \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM theory, \(M_{12345}\) is helicity-independent. For generic SU(\(N\)) gauge theories, this is no longer the case, except for the IR-divergent contribution [31]. In particular

\[
M_{12345} = -\left(\frac{5}{16\pi^2}\right) \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \tag{4.22}
\]
Furthermore there are six relations among the twelve tree-level five-point amplitudes \( A^{(0)}_{12345} \), known as Kleiss-Kuijf relations \([32]\), here shown for \( n \)-point tree amplitudes

\[
A^{(0)}(1, \{\alpha\}, n, \{\beta\}) = (-1)^{n_{\beta}} \sum_{\{\sigma\} \in OP(\{\alpha\}, \{\beta\})} A^{(0)}(1, \{\sigma\}i, n)
\]

(4.23)

It was shown in ref. \([23]\) that these can be used to rewrite eqs. (4.20) and (4.21) as

\[
A^{(1,1)}_{45,123} = A^{(1,0)}_{12345}[(M_{12345} - M_{41235}) + (M_{34125} - M_{31245})] \\
+ A^{(1,0)}_{12435}[(M_{12435} - M_{31245}) + (M_{34125} - M_{31425})] \\
+ A^{(1,0)}_{14235}[(M_{14235} - M_{31425}) + (M_{34125} - M_{34125})] \\
+ A^{(1,0)}_{13245}[(M_{13245} - M_{31425}) + (M_{34125} - M_{31245})] \\
+ A^{(1,0)}_{13425}[(M_{13425} - M_{31425}) + (M_{34125} - M_{34125})] \\
+ A^{(1,0)}_{14325}[(M_{14325} - M_{31425}) + (M_{34125} - M_{34125})]
\]

(4.24)

Due to the alternating signs in eq. (4.24), the leading \( \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^2) \) pole cancels out, and \( A^{(1,1)}_{12,345} \) goes as \( \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon) \).

The same conclusion can be drawn for arbitrary \( n \)-point functions of particles in the adjoint representation. Moreover, in that case, as we saw in (2.1) we have a sum over double trace structures, multiplying color-ordered amplitudes \( A_{n;j} \), and the same conclusion applies for all the \( A_{n;j} \). Since the ingredients of the proof rely only on group theory, together with the fact that the leading IR divergence of the planar one-loop amplitude is proportional to \( 1/\epsilon^2 \) times the tree-level amplitude, the alternating signs \([23]\) in the one-loop decoupling relation guarantee that the leading \( 1/\epsilon^2 \) pole cancels out of all the \( A_{n;j} \) amplitudes of a generic SU(\( N \)) gauge theory, generalizing the result of ref. \([23]\).

### 4.3 Consistency of IR divergences for the five-point amplitude

In this section we study the consequences of the relations in sec. 3 for the IR divergences of \( \mathcal{N} \) SYM, and the consistency conditions associated with them.

In previous work by two of the authors \([33]\), it was shown that in order to have a linear relation between the subleading-color five-point amplitude of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM and the five-point amplitude of \( \mathcal{N} = 8 \) supergravity

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{N} = 8}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = \sum_{(ij)} \beta_{(ij)} A^{(1,1)}_{ij;fg,h,\mathcal{N}}
\]

(4.25)

where \((ij)\) are pairs of (different) indices, and \((ijfg,h)\) is a permutation of \((12345)\), the IR divergences must be the same, which gives

\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{M}^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \sum_{i<j} s_{ij}(-s_{ij})^{-\epsilon} = \frac{r_T}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{(fg)\neq lmn} \beta_{(fg)} \sum_{i<j} (-s_{ij})^{-\epsilon} \sum_{abc \in S_3} \epsilon_{lmn} [A^{(0)}_{ij;abc}],
\]

(4.26)
where the sum is over pairs \((fg)\) such that \((fglmn)\) is a permutation of \((12345)\), and the tree supergravity and SYM amplitudes are the same for all \(N\), and \(\epsilon_{lmn}[A_{ijabc}^{(0)}]\) means we multiply the amplitude by the sign of the permutation \(l, m, n\) inside \(i, j, a, b, c\). In turn, for this relation to be true, the coefficients \(\beta_{(ij)}\) must satisfy the relation

\[
\sum_{(fg)} N_{(ij),(fg)} \beta_{(fg)} = \mathcal{M}^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) s_{ij} \tag{4.27}
\]

where

\[
N_{(ij),(fg)} = \sum_{abc \in S_3} \epsilon_{lmn}[A_{ijabc}^{(0)}] \tag{4.28}
\]

is a matrix of rank at most 9, since \(\sum_{(ij)} N_{(ij),(fg)} = 0\). Since the notation is a bit dense, we write a few examples of components for clarity

\[
N_{(12),(12)} = \sum_{\text{perm.of}(345)} \epsilon_{345}[A_{12(345)}^{(0)}] = A_{12345}^{(0)} - A_{12543}^{(0)} + A_{12435}^{(0)} - A_{12534}^{(0)} + A_{12354}^{(0)}
\]

\[
N_{(12),(13)} = \sum_{\text{perm.of}(345)} \epsilon_{245}[A_{13(245)}^{(0)}] = A_{13245}^{(0)} - A_{13542}^{(0)} + A_{13425}^{(0)} - A_{13524}^{(0)} + A_{13254}^{(0)}
\]

\[
N_{(13),(13)} = \sum_{\text{perm.of}(245)} \epsilon_{245}[A_{13(245)}^{(0)}] = A_{13245}^{(0)} - A_{13542}^{(0)} + A_{13425}^{(0)} - A_{13524}^{(0)} + A_{13254}^{(0)}
\]

Since by symmetry we only need to prove (4.27) for a single set of \((ij)\), the matching of the IR divergences implies

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) s_{12} = \sum_{(fg)} N_{(12),(fg)} \beta_{(fg)} = \sum_{(fg)} \sum_{abc \in S_3} \epsilon_{lmn}[A_{ijabc}^{(0)}] \beta_{(fg)} \tag{4.30}
\]

In ref. [33], the explicit solution for \(\beta_{(fg)}\) was not known.

We now show that the coefficients \(\beta_{(fg)}\) arising from the relation of the previous section do satisfy this relation. As shown in [18], we can rewrite eq. (3.11) as

\[
\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}=8}^{(1)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = i \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)^5 \sum_{S_3/Z_{2} \times S_3} \gamma_{34} + \gamma_{45} + \gamma_{53} \frac{10}{10} A_{12345, \mathcal{N}=4}^{(1,1)} \tag{4.31}
\]

where

\[
\gamma_{ij} = \beta_{ijklm} - \beta_{jiklm} \tag{4.32}
\]

are independent of the order of \(k, l, m\), are antisymmetric, \(\gamma_{ij} = -\gamma_{ji}\) and satisfy

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{5} \gamma_{ij} = 0 \tag{4.33}
\]

which means we have a basis of only 6 independent \(\gamma_{ij}\)’s, which we can take to be \(\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{24}, \gamma_{34}\). We then obtain, by identifying with (4.25) that the \(\beta_{(ij)}\) coefficients are given by

\[
\beta_{(12)} = \frac{i}{10}(\gamma_{34} + \gamma_{45} + \gamma_{53}) \tag{4.34}
\]
and permutations. In the permutations, we have to be careful of the order in the sum, since $\gamma_{ij} = -\gamma_{ji}$. We take it to be the cyclic order of the remainder of the indices in the set $1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, since this is also the convention taken for the matrix $N_{(ij),(fg)}$.

Expanding the right hand side of (4.30) and substituting the coefficients from (4.34), and writing only in terms of the 6 independent $\gamma_{ij}$ basis members, we obtain after some algebra

\[
\frac{i}{3!} \left\{ 5A_{12345}^{(0)}(\gamma_{12} + \gamma_{13} + \gamma_{14} + \gamma_{23} + \gamma_{24} + \gamma_{34}) \\
+ 5A_{12435}^{(0)}(\gamma_{12} + \gamma_{13} + \gamma_{14} + \gamma_{23} + \gamma_{24} - \gamma_{34}) \\
+ 5A_{12543}^{(0)}(\gamma_{12} - \gamma_{13} + \gamma_{14} - \gamma_{23} + \gamma_{24} + \gamma_{34}) \\
+ 5A_{12534}^{(0)}(\gamma_{12} - \gamma_{13} - \gamma_{14} - \gamma_{23} - \gamma_{24} + \gamma_{34}) \\
+ 5A_{12453}^{(0)}(\gamma_{12} + \gamma_{13} - \gamma_{14} + \gamma_{23} - \gamma_{24} - \gamma_{34}) \right\} 
\] (4.35)

which can then be finally written as

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)_{s_{12}} = i \left[ A_{12345}^{(0)}\beta_{12345} + A_{12435}^{(0)}\beta_{12435} + A_{12543}^{(0)}\beta_{12543} \\
+ A_{12534}^{(0)}\beta_{12534} + A_{12453}^{(0)}\beta_{12453} + A_{12354}^{(0)}\beta_{12354} \right] 
\] (4.36)

or more generally

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(0)}(i, j, a, b, c)_{s_{ij}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} i \beta_{ij}\sigma(a)\sigma(b)\sigma(c) A_{ij}^{(0)}\sigma(a)\sigma(b)\sigma(c) 
\] (4.37)

This is a kind of tree level version of the BCJ relation (color-kinematic duality). However, note that the numerators are for one-loop amplitudes, yet they are used to multiply tree amplitudes. This relation can be proven as follows.

We take a specific helicity configuration, namely $(1^- 2^- 3^+ 4^+ 5^+)$. Then we have

\[
\beta_{12345} = \langle 12 \rangle^4 \frac{[12][23][34][45][51]}{\epsilon(1234)}, \\
A_{12345}^{(0)} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 51 \rangle}, \\
\mathcal{M}^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = i \langle 12 \rangle^6 \epsilon(1234) N(5), \\
N(5) = \prod_{i<j} \langle ij \rangle 
\] (4.38)

and where the symbol $\epsilon(1234)$ satisfies

\[
\epsilon(1234) = 4i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k_i^\mu k_j^\nu k_k^\rho k_l^\sigma = [12]\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle - [12]\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle, \]

is totally antisymmetric, and satisfies $\epsilon(1234) = -\epsilon(1235)$, etc., as can be easily checked.
We can then expand the right hand side of (4.36), and using the properties and form of $\epsilon(1234)$ we get after some algebra

$$i \frac{(12)^8[12]}{N(5)} \left[ (14)(24)(35)[34][45] + (13)(23)(45)[34][35] + (15)(25)(34)[35][45] \right]$$

(4.40)

Using the helicity spinor properties

$$\langle ij \rangle \langle kl \rangle = \langle ik \rangle \langle jl \rangle + \langle il \rangle \langle kj \rangle, \quad \sum_{i \neq j, k} \langle ji \rangle[ik] = 0$$

(4.41)

and $s_{12} = [12]\langle 21 \rangle$, we finally find

$$i \frac{(12)^8 s_{12} \epsilon(1234)}{N(5)}$$

(4.42)

which is the same as the left hand side of (4.36), therefore proving the relation.

We now review what we have done. We have explicitly proven equation (4.36), as a relation between $N = 4$ SYM and $N = 8$ supergravity, but since the objects involved are independent of $N$, the relation is also true for SYM with $N$ supersymmetries and supergravity with $N + 4$ supersymmetries. We can trace that backwards however, to equation (4.30), since all the subsequent steps were independent of $N$ (we only used group theory and the properties of the numerator coefficients $\beta_{ijklm}$, which are still those of $N = 4$ SYM). In turn, (4.30) came about from the consistency condition of matching the IR behaviors of (4.25), (4.26). Since the left hand side of (4.25) is the known IR divergence of gravity amplitudes, still valid for $N + 4$ supergravity, we can consider it as a check that the IR divergence of $A^{(1,1)}_{fg;lmn,N}$ is still given by

$$\frac{r}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{i<j} (-s_{ij})^{-\epsilon} \sum_{abc \neq i,j} \epsilon_{lmn}[A^{(0)}_{ijkabc}]$$

(4.43)

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown that various linear relations between amplitudes of $N = 8$ supergravity and the most-subleading-color amplitudes of $N = 4$ SYM that were proven in refs. [16,18] remain valid for the analogous amplitudes of $N + 4$ supergravity and $N$ SYM theory, for any $N \leq 4$. Specifically, the one- and two-loop four-point amplitudes of $N + 4$ supergravity obey the relations

$$M^{(1)}_{N+4}(1,2,3,4) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^4 ist A^{(0)}_{1234} A^{(1,1)}_{12,34,N}$$

(5.1)

$$M^{(2)}_{N+4}(1,2,3,4) = -\frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^6 ist A^{(0)}_{1234} \left[ uA^{(2,2)}_{1234,N} + tA^{(2,2)}_{1342,N} + sA^{(2,2)}_{1423,N} \right]$$

(5.2)

which together can be rewritten as

$$\left( \sqrt{2} g^2 N \right)^L M^{(L)}_{SG,N+4}(s,t) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^2 u \right]^L M^{(L,L)}_{SYM,N}(s,t) + \text{cyclic perms of } s, t, u$$

(5.3)
valid for $L = 0, 1, 2$. The one-loop five-point amplitudes satisfy

$$\mathcal{M}_{N+4}^{(1)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^5 \sum_{S_5} i\beta_{12345} A_{12;345;N}^{(1,1)}$$

(5.4)

We have also shown that the leading IR divergences of the subleading-color amplitudes $A^{(L,k)}$ for generic SU($N$) gauge theories are identical to those found for $N = 4$ SYM theory [16, 17].

We note that the results in this paper are valid for $N = 0$ YM, that is, pure glue theory, and therefore of possible interest for real world calculations. In particular, some of the results for IR divergences will generalize to QCD; this was already known to happen in some cases.

A central theme of this paper and our previous work on this subject is the matching of IR divergences of supergravity to those of the most-subleading-color amplitudes of SYM theory. In detail, the BCJ color-kinematic duality has provided an essential tool in proving some of these relations. It remains a challenge to exploit this point of view in cases when the BCJ numerators functions do not come outside of the integrals. Further generalizations to higher loops and/or $n$-points are difficult, but we can hope they are still possible.
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