A persistent quiet-Sun small-scale tornado

II. Oscillations
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ABSTRACT

Context. Recently, the appearance, characteristics, and dynamics of a persistent 1.7 h vortex flow, resembling a small-scale tornado, have been investigated with observations both from the ground and from space in a quiet-Sun region in several lines and channels and for the first time in the Hα line centre. The vortex flow showed significant substructure in the form of several intermittent chromospheric swirls.

Aims. We investigate the oscillatory behaviour of various physical parameters in the vortex area in an attempt to better understand the physics of the reported vortex flow. This is the first analysis of this extent.

Methods. We used the same data set of high spatial and temporal resolution CRisp Imaging SpectroPolarimeter (CRISP) observations in several wavelengths along the Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å line profiles, as well as Doppler velocities and full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) derived from the Hα line profiles. The spectral analysis of oscillations is based on a two-dimensional wavelet analysis performed within the vortex flow area and in a quiet-Sun region (used for comparison), as well as along line and circular slices.

Results. The vortex flow shows significant oscillatory power in the range of 3–5 min, peaking around 4 min. This power behaves differently than the reference quiet-Sun region. The derived oscillations reflect the cumulative action of different components such as swaying motions, rotation, and waves. The derived periods for swaying motions are in the range of 200–220 s, and the rotation periods are ∼270 s for Hα and ∼215 s for Ca ii 8542 Å. Periods increase with atmospheric height and seem to decrease with radial distance from the vortex centre, suggesting a deviation from a rigid rotation. The behaviour of power within the vortex flow as a function of period and height implies the existence of evanescent waves. Moreover, considerable power is obtained even for periods as long as 10 min, not only at photospheric but also at chromospheric heights, while the formation of vortexes is related to turbulent convection or to twisting motions exercised in the magnetic field concentrations. These imply that different types of waves may be excited, such as magnetoacoustic (e.g. kink) or Alfvén waves.

Conclusions. The vortex flow seems to be dominated by two motions: a transverse (swaying) motion, and a rotational motion. The obtained oscillations point to the propagation of waves within it. Nearby fibril-like flows could play an important role in the rotational modulation of the vortex flow. There also exists indirect evidence that the structure is magnetically supported, and one of the swirls, close to its centre, seems to act as a “central engine” to the vortex flow.
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1. Introduction

The highly turbulent nature of convective motions creates many complicated patterns that are visible in various spatial and temporal scales in the solar atmosphere. Observations of swirling motions that became possible thanks to modern instrumentation with high spatial, temporal, and spectral resolution have attracted increased interest in the past years. These motions, also known as vortex motions, have been predicted by theory (Stenflo 1975) and found in numerical simulations (e.g. Stein & Nordlund 2000; Moll et al. 2011a,b; Kitiashvili et al. 2012; Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. 2012). According to realistic 3D magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) numerical simulations, ubiquitous small-scale vortex tubes are generated by turbulent flows in the solar subsurface layers that are concentrated at the vertices of intergranular lanes as a direct consequence of the conservation of angular momentum. Their interaction with magnetic fields leads to various rotating structures that extend from the photosphere to the chromosphere and corona.

Observations have shown that vortex flows are found almost everywhere in the solar atmosphere. At the photospheric level, they manifest as small- and large-scale vortex-like motions (e.g. Brandt et al. 1988; Bonet et al. 2008, 2010; Attie et al. 2009) that are found in granular and supergranular junctions. Bonet et al. (2008) traced the motions of magnetic bright points (BPs) in G-band images obtained with the CRisp Imaging SpectroPolarimeter (CRISP; Scharmer et al. 2008) instrument mounted at the Swedish 1 m Solar Telescope (SST; Scharmer et al. 2003) and showed that some of them follow logarithmic spiral trajectories. Bonet and collaborators concluded that these trajectories represent convection-driven vortex flows created at the downdrafts where the hot plasma that rises from the solar interior returns to the solar surface after cooling down. Wedemeyer-Böhm & Rouppe van der Voort (2009) analysed observations of image sequences obtained with CRISP and showed structures with clear rotational motions in the core of the Ca ii 8542 Å line that maps the chromosphere. These events are
referred to as “chromospheric swirls”. Responses to such swirls have also been identified above the chromosphere in the transition region and up to the low corona through observations in several ultraviolet (UV) and extreme ultraviolet (EUV) channels of the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012) space mission (Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. 2012). Small-scale vortices have recently also been observed by Park et al. (2016) simultaneously in the wings of the Hα line and in the cores of the Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å lines, as well as in the strong UV Mg ii k and Mg ii subordinate lines observed by the space-based Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS; De Pontieu et al. 2014). Recently, Tziotziou et al. (2018; hereafter Paper I) used observations with high spatial and temporal resolution obtained with CRISP in several wavelengths along the Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å line profiles and simultaneous SDO/AIA observations in several UV and EUV channels and Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI; Scherrer et al. 2012) magnetograms to investigate the appearance, characteristics, and dynamics of a persistent vortex flow. The flow was best seen in the Hα line centre and close line-centre wavelengths, and its most important characteristic was its long duration (at least 1.7 h) and its large radius (∼3″). Its rotation period was roughly estimated to be in the range of 200–300 s. For an overview of the vortex flow characteristics presented in Paper I, see Sect. 3.

The vortex tubes can capture and amplify the magnetic field, penetrate chromospheric and coronal layers, affect the thermodynamic properties of their environment, and trigger various dynamical effects, such as spontaneous quasi-periodic (with periods 2–5 min) flow eruptions (Kitiashvili et al. 2013) that generate shocks in the atmosphere. Bonet et al. (2010) used observations obtained by the Imaging Magnetograph eXperiment (IMaX; Martínez Pillet et al. 2011) on board the Sunrise balloon (Barthol et al. 2011) to detect proper motions of individual vortices and reported rotation periods of 35 min. Moll et al. (2011a) have shown in near-surface solar convection simulations that the peak of the swirling period increases with height and attains a peak value of ∼220 s at a height z ∼ 90 km. Periodic motions at the vortex tube footpoints excite a wide variety of MHD wave modes and oscillations. For example, Fedun et al. (2011a) showed that the implementation of high-frequency twisting of an open flux tube by photospheric vortices (period of 30 s) generates a broadband frequency range of incompressible MHD waves (i.e. torsional Alfvén and transverse/kink waves). Fedun et al. (2011b) applied torsional drivers with periods in the range of 2–6 min and demonstrated how magnetic flux tubes can act as a spatial frequency filter transporting selective periods of torsional Alfvén waves.

Rotational motions are very important because they can play a key role in energy, mass, and momentum transfer between the subsurface and the upper layers of the Sun; they may also provide an alternative mechanism for supplying energy to the corona. Their complicated dynamics can generate various types of oscillations that can be identified in physical parameters, such as intensity and velocity, that are related to them. Detections of oscillatory motions in vortexes from imaging observations can provide an important diagnostic tool for the propagation of waves and the exploration of their role in the energy flux that is carried upwards from the solar interior.

In this paper, we expand the analysis of the vortex flow presented in Paper I. Our aim is to investigate the oscillatory behaviour of various physical parameters in the vortex area and investigate their behaviour in several atmospheric heights in an attempt to better understand the physics of the reported vortex flow and answer related questions stemming from our previous work. To our knowledge, such an analysis has not been performed so far. The presence, signatures, and propagation of waves within the vortex area will be investigated in a follow-up paper.

2. Observations and methodology

2.1. Observations

For our analysis we used the same data sets as in Paper I, comprising two high-cadence (i.e. 4 s) high-spatial resolution, multi-wavelength imaging spectroscopy time series of a quiet-Sun region, obtained on June 7, 2014, between 07:32 UT–08:21 UT and 08:28 UT–09:16 UT with the CRISP at the SST. The spectral images are sampled at seven wavelength positions along two line profiles: the Hα 6562.81 Å line profile at the line centre, Hα ± 0.26 Å, Hα ± 0.77 Å, and Hα ± 1.03 Å and the Ca ii 8542 Å line profile at the line centre, Ca ii ± 0.055 Å, Ca ii ± 0.11 Å, and Ca ii ± 0.495 Å. The scale resolution is 0.059″ and 0.0576″ per pixel for the Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å lines, respectively, while there exists a small temporal offset of ∼2 s between the Ca ii and Hα time series. No UV, EUV, and magnetogram data obtained with the AIA and HMI instruments on board the SDO were used for this analysis because their spatial and temporal resolution is lower than that of the CRISP observations.

The region of interest (ROI), containing the analysed vortex flow (see Fig. 1 in this paper and Paper I), is located in the southwest solar hemisphere, almost at the centre of the acquired 60° × 60° CRISP FOV and at the centre of a supergranule, and covers an area of 17.3° × 19°. The ROI centre lies at a latitude −38° south of the solar equator and a longitude of −10° west of the central meridian. Figure 1 shows snapshots of the ROI in the observed seven wavelengths along the Hα and Ca ii line profiles, with the location of the analysed vortex flow clearly marked by a yellow circle. The approximate centre of the vortex flow is at (x, y) = (−124.8°, −592″), and it has a radius of ∼3″. Figure 1 further indicates the quiet-Sun region (QSR) that we used as a reference region for the analysis in this paper. Because the whole ROI is extremely dynamic, a small region that is void of large fibril-like structures or small vortex flows was carefully selected.

We refer to Paper I for further details concerning the observations, the processing of the datacubes, and their co-alignment.

2.2. Methodology

In addition to the intensities at different wavelengths in the two spectral lines, we here further derive Doppler velocities and the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the Hα profiles, and perform a spectral analysis to investigate their oscillatory behaviour. Below we briefly describe the methods we used to derive these physical quantities and determine their oscillatory behaviour.

Hα Doppler velocities. Hα Doppler velocities at each pixel were derived from the shape of the minimum of the cubic-spline interpolated Hα profiles with respect to the minimum of the spatial profile average over the whole ROI for each image of the time series. No Doppler velocities and FWHM could be derived from the Ca ii 8542 Å line profiles because the wavelength sampling coverage is only regular close to the line centre, and moreover, there is no wavelength sampling further than ±0.495 Å; thus, a cubic-spline interpolation of the Ca ii line profile would
profiles extend up to \( \pm \Delta \) wing average (see Fig. 2 of Cauzzi et al. 2009). This wavelength is rather arbitrary and fixed at 0.8 Å because the profile minimum and maximum are not reached in the line profile at these wavelengths along the \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) line profile. The striking characteristics of this vortex flow, which has been revealed for the first time in \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) observations at the line centre and near the line-centre wavelengths, are its long duration (\( \geq 3 \) h), its large radius (\( \sim 3 \)'), and the existence of significant substructure within it. It resembles a small-scale quiet-Sun tornado, in contrast to previously reported short-lived swirls and in analogy to persistent giant

not give reliable results. We refer to Paper I for further details concerning the derivation of \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) Doppler velocities and the zero-reference wavelength. We also recall that as discussed in Paper I, the acquired Doppler velocities are only a qualitative and not a quantitative representation of the actual LOS velocity as the wavelength coverage is quite coarse, the shape of the \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) line profile also reflects significant temperature and opacity contributions, and projection effects also exist because the ROI is located far away from the disc centre.

**FWHM of the \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) profiles.** The observed \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) line profiles do not reach the local continua. This prevents us from measuring the profile width at a fixed fractional depth from the continuum. We therefore used the method of Cauzzi et al. (2009) to derive the \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) FWHM, applied to the cubic-spline interpolated \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) profiles. For each such temporal and spatial \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) line profile, the wing intensities at a specified wavelength separation \( \Delta \lambda \) from the profile minimum were averaged, and the FWHM was defined as the wavelength separation of the profile samplings at half the intensity range between the profile minimum and this wing average (see Fig. 2 of Cauzzi et al. 2009). This wavelength separation \( \Delta \lambda \) is rather arbitrary and fixed at 0.8 Å because the profiles extend up to \( \pm 1.03 \) Å, and it therefore allows deriving the FWHM even for profiles that have a Doppler velocity amplitude of up to 8 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. 8 of Paper I).

**Spectral analysis.** To study the oscillatory behaviour of the different physical quantities, we used a wavelet analysis (Torrence & Compo 1998), which is a suitable technique for determining any present periodic signal and its variation with time. We used the Morlet wavelet function (sinusoid modulated by a Gaussian) because it is appropriate and most commonly used for harmonic-type oscillations. We refer to Tziotziou et al. (2004) for further details about the wavelet method and the determination of the significance levels. Fig. 2 shows indicative wavelet power spectra for \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) intensity, velocity, and FWHM variations at a certain location within the vortex area (marked in Fig. 1) that we discuss in Sect. 5.2 in more detail. In addition to the derived power spectra as a function of time and period, the global power spectrum, that is, the average of the wavelet power spectrum over time, was also derived (see the respective panels of Fig. 2) and used to determine the dominant oscillating periods (maxima of the global power spectrum). Any discussion hereafter about power spectra refers to these derived global power spectra unless specified otherwise.

### 3. Overview of the vortex flow characteristics

The analysis in Paper I revealed the existence of a funnel-like vortex structure that expands with height, extends from the photosphere to the low corona, and rotates clockwise rigidly or quasi-rigidly. No clear evidence, however, was found for a magnetically driven flow because no associated magnetic BPs were observed in the photosphere. The striking characteristics of this vortex flow, which has been revealed for the first time in \( \mathrm{H\alpha} \) observations at the line centre and near the line-centre wavelengths, are its long duration (\( \geq 1.7 \) h), its large radius (\( \sim 3 \)'), and the existence of significant substructure within it. It resembles a small-scale quiet-Sun tornado, in contrast to previously reported short-lived swirls and in analogy to persistent giant
tornadoes. The observed substructure is visible as several individual intermittent chromospheric swirls that show typical sizes and durations and a wide range of morphological patterns mostly associated with upwards velocities up to 8 km s\(^{-1}\) and a mean value of \(\sim 3\) km s\(^{-1}\). The different dynamics of the vortex flow in the H\(\alpha\) and Ca\(\text{ii}\) 8542 Å lines reflects the different formation heights and mechanisms of the two lines in the solar atmosphere. It is consistent with the behaviour of a clockwise rigidly rotating logarithmic spiral flow with a swaying motion that is highly perturbed, however, by nearby flows associated with fibril-like structures. A radial expansion of the spiral flow has also been revealed with a mean velocity of \(\sim 3\) km s\(^{-1}\), and a first rough estimate of its rotational period in the range of 200–300 s has been provided. Concerning the long duration of the vortex flow, Tziotziou et al. (2018) were not able to conclusively infer from the analysed observations if it results from (a) the dynamics of a central swirl acting as an “engine” for the whole vortex flow, (b) the combined action of several individual smaller swirls that is further assisted by nearby flows, or (c) a hydrodynamically driven vortex flow that has never been considered in literature so far. For the substructure, they reported that it is unclear if it exists because of the physical presence of individual intermittent and recurring swirls or if it is a manifestation of wave-related instabilities within a large vortex flow.

4. Transverse and rotational motions

Two main components of the vortex flow dynamics were identified in Paper I: a rotational motion, and a superimposed swaying (transverse) motion. These two motions ideally occur on planes perpendicular to the main axis of a vortex structure that extends (nearly) vertically with height. Because the structure lies at \(\sim 38^\circ\) south and \(\sim 10^\circ\) west on the solar disc, some crosstalk between the two motions is expected as a result of projection effects. However, this crosstalk is expected to be very small because the two velocities related to these two motions are ideally almost perpendicular to each other.

The swaying (transverse) motion is clearly visible in the time-slice images of Fig. 9 in Paper I. In conjunction with the toy model of a swaying clockwise-rotating logarithmic spiral (see Fig. 11 of the same paper), this motion appears as sinusoidal evolving patterns, mostly within the vortex flow, but also in the ROI. Visual tracking of these patterns in both investigated directions suggests a period for this swaying motion of the order of 200–220 s (e.g. see the time difference between minima or maxima of sinusoidal structures in the top second panel of that figure). We tried to quantify this period by identifying two of these evolving structures that correspond to expanding spirals of the swirl found within the red circle in Fig. 1 (Fig. 3, red lines). A spectral analysis of the de-trended spatial position of these structures with time gives periods of 205 and 217 s for the upper and lower curve, respectively, which are close to the visually derived periods. Because the displacement amplitude is \(\sim 0.8''\), this results in a mean velocity for the swaying motion of the order of \(\sim 5.5\) km s\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, from the linear trend of the position evolution, we estimate radial expansion velocities for these swirl spirals of 0.25 and 0.66 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are both lower than the derived overall radial expansion velocity of 3 km s\(^{-1}\) reported in Paper I. This suggests an expansion within the vortex flow that is not homogeneous, and accordingly, a divergence from a rotating ideal logarithmic spiral.
The rotational motion within the vortex area is clearly visible in the time-angle slices of Figs. 12 and 13 in Paper I. In conjunction with the toy model of a swaying clockwise-rotating logarithmic spiral (see Fig. 11 of the same paper), this motion appears as periodically alternating black and white stripes, whose period corresponds to the rotation of the vortex flow. In Paper I we provided a first visual estimate of this rotation period and found it to lie within the range of 200–300 s. To quantify it, we performed a spectral analysis along horizontal cuts traced in Figs. 12 and 13 of Paper I, which correspond to Hα – 0.26 Å intensity, Hα Doppler velocity, and Ca ii – 0.055 Å intensity variations, as well as the corresponding line centre Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å intensity variations, along different angles and for circular slits in different radii from the vortex flow centre. Derived results are presented in Fig. 4 and Table 1. As discussed in Paper I, for radii $r \geq 1''$ complete rotation is disrupted by some persisting dark features (e.g. Hα Doppler velocity curve in Fig. 4a around 180° for $r = 1''$), the presence and dynamics of some fibril-like structures (mainly for angles larger than 200°), or some other internal or external forcing, therefore we restrict our analysis to angles $\leq 200°$. For Ca ii 8542 Å the analysis is limited within radii $r \leq 1''$ because the vortex flow is not visible further out (see Paper I). The acquired results suggest that for Hα Doppler velocity and intensity variations, the rotation periods are of the same order and to some extent qualitatively similar for angles that are not affected by the dynamics of different nearby flows (not grey-shaded areas in Fig. 4).

The similarity reflects the association of upwards velocities with dark, absorbing vortex-related structures and is more clearly visible when panels a and c of Fig. 4 are compared because the Hα – 0.26 Å intensity variations include a substantial upwards velocity contribution. For the smaller radius of $r = 0.2''$, the derived rotation period in both lines, although different, is rather constant regardless of the direction (angle) and equal to $\sim 270$ s for Hα and $\sim 215$ s for Ca ii. For larger radii, the range of the rotation periods with direction increases with distance from the vortex centre, while as noted above, clear deviations exist for angles larger than 200° (Fig. 4). These increasing ranges with radius also reflect deviations from a rotating ideal logarithmic spiral.

The derived rotation periods given in Table 1 are much shorter than the 35 min period reported by Bonet et al. (2010) for proper motions of individual vortices. If they described a circular motion, they would correspond to horizontal tangential velocities of 3–45 km s$^{-1}$ (with a mean of 18 km s$^{-1}$) that increase with radial distance from the vortex centre at a particular height corresponding to the wavelength of the line.

We note that the results of Table 1 and Fig. 4 suggest, at least for non-perturbed flows (angles $\leq 200°$) and for the common range of radii up to 1'', an increase of rotational period with height as the investigated line centre and near line-centre Ca ii wavelengths form lower than the Hα ones. Moreover, for directions that are not disrupted by nearby flows (e.g. angles $\leq 200°$), at least for Hα – 0.26 Å and more clearly for Hα Doppler velocity, the rotational period seems to decrease with radial distance from the vortex flow centre (despite the respective errors, which increase with radius, reported in Table 1). These two findings are further analysed and discussed in Sect. 6.

We also checked the oscillatory behaviour of the variations in the mean upwards velocity of vortex-related structures and the area they occupy (shown in Fig. 8 of Paper I). Both quantities should be affected by the rotational motion of the vortex flow and not by transverse motions that move the vortex structure as a whole. A spectral analysis for the second observing interval (08:28 UT–09:16 UT) indeed yields a period of $\sim 280$ s for both quantities; this is close to the derived rotation periods for Hα line-centre intensities and Doppler velocities.

5. Oscillatory behaviour at different heights

Below we present an analysis of the oscillatory behaviour of the intensities within the ROI and the vortex flow under study at different wavelengths along the two observed line profiles, that is, at different heights of the solar atmosphere. We furthermore investigate oscillations in Hα Doppler velocity and also oscillations in Hα FWHM because the latter have been linked with the presence of torsional Alfvén waves (Jess et al. 2009). Derived global power spectra, resulting from a wavelet analysis (see Sect. 2.2) at each pixel within the ROI were used to construct two-dimensional (2D) power maps and determine the dominant oscillating period(s) and their behaviour.

We note that in order to minimise the effect of Doppler velocities on intensities taken on either wing of the Hα or Ca ii 8542 Å profiles at a wavelength position $\Delta \lambda$ from the line centre, for the analysis hereafter (unless stated otherwise), we used their wavelength average (e.g. Hα $\pm 0.26$ Å is the average intensity at wavelengths $-0.26$ Å and $+0.26$ Å).
Table 1. Derived mean rotation period and respective error (standard deviation) as a function of radii from the vortex flow centre, corresponding to the spectral analysis panels of Fig. 4 for angles ranging from 0° to 200°.

| Radius | Hα line centre | Hα − 0.26 Å | Hα Doppler velocity | Ca ii 8542 Å | Ca ii − 0.055 Å |
|--------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 0.2"   | 274.6 ± 3.2    | 271.1 ± 8.5  | 264.4 ± 8.1         | 210.9 ± 8.2  | 217.7 ± 3.2     |
| 0.5"   | 237.5 ± 28.7   | 264.6 ± 12.6 | 257.9 ± 14.8        | 240.4 ± 42.0 | 233.5 ± 14.3    |
| 1"     | 251.3 ± 13.8   | 254.5 ± 20.0 | 271.2 ± 46.7        | 234.1 ± 12.3 | 220.9 ± 25.0    |
| 1.5"   | 241.2 ± 28.9   | 237.9 ± 16.8 | 224.6 ± 34.8        | –            | –               |
| 2"     | 246.6 ± 28.4   | 213.4 ± 30.5 | 203.7 ± 37.2        | –            | –               |

![Fig. 5. 2D power maps at the 4 and 5 min period bands (top and bottom row, respectively) in the Hα ± 1.03 Å, Hα ± 0.77 Å, Hα ± 0.26 Å, Hα line centre, Hα Doppler velocity, and FWHM for the second observing period (08:28 UT–09:16 UT). The two period bands of 1 min width are centred around the respective periods, while the greyscaling in each panel is within ±2σ from the respective mean power value of the whole image, with σ corresponding to the respective standard deviation. The overplotted yellow, red, green, and cyan circles indicate the location of the analysed conspicuous vortex flow and of the smaller swirls indicated in Fig. 1.](image)

5.1. Power maps

We constructed 2D power maps in two period bands for the second observing period (08:28 UT–09:16 UT). These power maps represent the sum of the power over all periods in the period bands centred at 4 and 5 min with a width of 1 min and are shown in Figs. 5 and 6 for the Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å lines, respectively. Different wavelengths in these lines represent in principle different heights of formation within the solar atmosphere, therefore these maps provide an insight into the vertical distribution of power and consequently, into oscillations at different heights. We recall that as discussed in Paper I, the Hα line centre has a wide formation height range that is centred slightly above ~1.5 Mm (Leenaarts et al. 2012, see their Fig. 4), with some significant contributions also from lower heights close to 0.4 Mm. In contrast, the Ca ii line centre is formed at a narrower height range that is centred at ~1 Mm above the photosphere (Leenaarts et al. 2009, see their Fig. 4). Hα ± 0.26 Å is mainly formed somewhere between ~1.2 Mm (the formation height of Hα ± 0.35 Å) and the Hα line-centre formation height (see Leenaarts et al. 2006, their Fig. 6), while Ca ii near line-centre wavelengths are formed very close to the respective line-centre formation height within ~200 km below. The wing wavelengths of the Hα and Ca ii line profiles are formed far lower at low chromospheric and photospheric heights.

![Fig. 6. Similar to Fig. 5 2D power maps for Ca ii ± 0.495 Å, Ca ii ± 0.11 Å, Ca ii ± 0.055 Å, and the Ca ii 8542 Å line centre. For details concerning the period bands, overplotted colour circles, and greyscaling, see the caption of Fig. 5.](image)

The Hα intensity power maps at line centre and close to line-centre wavelengths in Fig. 5 show enhanced power in both bands within the vortex flow area, which seems to be higher in the 4 min band. Clear enhancements are also visible in the Hα Doppler velocity and FWHM power maps. The Ca ii intensity power maps of Fig. 6 exhibit a similar behaviour to the Hα ones:
enhanced power in the 4 to 5 min range (higher in the 4 min band) that is visually lower close to the photosphere ($\pm 0.495 \, \text{Å}$) than in nearby quiet-Sun regions and becomes more enhanced farther up in the chromosphere (i.e. at near line centre and line-centre wavelengths).

The power enhancement within the vortex area is not homogeneous. All higher chromospheric diagnostics wavelengths (line centre and near line-centre $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ and $\text{Ca}$ II intensities, $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ Doppler velocity, and FWHM) show that it is mainly enhanced within the swirl denoted with a red circle, while no obvious enhancement is visible in lower chromospheric or photospheric diagnostics wing wavelengths ($\text{H}_{\alpha} \pm 0.77 \, \text{Å}$, $\text{H}_{\alpha} \pm 1.03 \, \text{Å}$, and $\text{Ca}$ II $\pm 0.495 \, \text{Å}$). Enhancement is also visible within the swirl that is denoted with a cyan circle, especially in the $\text{Ca}$ II higher chromospheric diagnostics. The extremely dynamic and intermittent nature of the vortex flow results in a distribution of the enhanced power within the vortex area that does not show any particularly pronounced morphology. However, some hints of vortex-like structures are faintly visible within the swirl corresponding to the red circle, while a persistent structure, already noted in Paper I, towards the left border of the vortex flow area, appears as a dark feature because of lack of power in that location.

It is worth noting that power enhancement, especially in the 5 min band, is also seen within the fibril-like structures (top right area of the ROI) in all $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ wavelengths. In $\text{Ca}$ II no particular pattern of such power is visible as related to these structures because the formation mechanism and height of this line are different from those of the $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ line and the height location of these structures (see Paper I). The power enhancement in fibril-like structures is related to the magnetic field topology (Kontogiannis et al. 2010a,b) and the decrease in cut-off frequency (see below) caused by the presence of inclined magnetic fields (Michalitsanos 1973; Suematsu 1990). Hence, the similar power behaviour within the vortex area and the area of fibril-like structures could imply that the vortex area likewise is a magnetically supported structure.

We note that the respective power maps in both lines for the first observing period (07:32 UT–08:21 UT) indicate a similar behaviour to the description above. The power enhancement is weaker, however, and agrees with the previously reported differences in the dynamics of the vortex flow during the two observing intervals.

Figure 7 shows the mean power per period within the vortex area for different wavelengths along the $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ and $\text{Ca}$ II 8542 Å line profiles (upper and lower panels), as well as the mean powers per period of the $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ Doppler velocity and FWHM (middle panel). In the 200–300 s range, all power curves have a peak at $\sim 220$–250 s. It is interesting to note that although the vortex flow is not visually discernible in the $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ wing wavelengths or in the $\text{Ca}$ II 8542 Å line, there is considerable power in the vortex area in this period range in all atmospheric heights. For periods higher than 340 s, the power is higher in the wing wavelengths than in the line centre or near line centre ones and increases linearly as a function of period for all wing wavelengths in both lines. The power in $\text{H}_{\alpha} \pm 0.77 \, \text{Å}$ and $\text{Ca}$ II $\pm 0.495 \, \text{Å}$, mapping the upper photosphere, is higher than the power in all other wavelengths mapping the lower photosphere or the chromosphere for all periods. We note that $\text{Ca}$ II near centre and line-centre wavelengths show an identical power distribution that mainly reflects the quite small height difference at which they form. The $\text{H}_{\alpha} \pm 1.03 \, \text{Å}$ power clearly deviates from the apparent decrease in power from lower to higher atmospheric layers. However, we note that there only exist very faint but highly intermittent signatures of the vortex flow in $\text{H}_{\alpha} \pm 1.03 \, \text{Å}$ (see Paper I), while the errors associated with this power and the power of $\text{H}_{\alpha} \pm 0.77 \, \text{Å}$ (see caption of Fig. 7) are very large. The derived power decrease with height in both lines is consistent with the expected behaviour for upwards-travelling evanescent waves.

To better quantify the differences in the power behaviour at different periods within the vortex area compared to nearby regions in the ROI, we examined ratios between average power within vortex-related areas and average power within the selected QSR. The obtained ratios are shown in Fig. 8 for $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ and in Fig. 9 for $\text{Ca}$ II. The two figures show that for both lines, the power is generally more enhanced in the QSR than in the vortex area for periods shorter than $\sim 200$ s (ratio below one). For periods $>200$ s and within the range 200–350 s, the power is more enhanced within the vortex area than in the QSR (ratio above one) not only at photospheric, but also at chromospheric heights. We note that the picture is clearer for $\text{Ca}$ II ratios at different wavelengths than the $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ ones, reflecting the more intricate formation physics of the $\text{H}_{\alpha}$ profile, which receives contributions from different heights at certain wavelengths (e.g. $\text{H}_{\alpha} \pm 0.26 \, \text{Å}$, see Fig. 6 of Leenaarts et al. 2006). We further discuss Figs. 7–9 and how the power behaviour relates to the presence of waves in Sect. 6.1.

The power within the vortex area is mostly dominated by the swirl denoted by a red circle in Fig. 1. This is clearly visible in the 2D power maps (Figs. 5 and 6) and also in the identical behaviour of the respective ratios of the average power.
Fig. 8. Ratio between average power within vortex-related areas and average power within the selected QSR (see Fig. 1) for different Hα wavelengths, Hα Doppler velocity, and Hα FWHM. The black line corresponds to the ratio for the whole vortex area, and the colour lines correspond to ratios within swirls that are denoted with the same colours in Fig. 1.

(Figs. 8 and 9). We recall that the vortex area comprises the swirl within the red circle and only partly the swirls within the cyan and green circles marked in Fig. 1. The latter two swirls do follow the general trend, with some differences mostly in the complex Hα ± 0.26 Å and Hα ± 0.77 Å wavelengths that also stem from their behaviour, which is more intermittent and erratic than the behaviour of the swirl within the red circle (see Paper I). These differences and similarities between the behaviours of the three swirls and the vortex flow as a whole seem to imply that the swirl within the red circle might be the core of the vortex flow, and the other two might mostly be caused by the dynamics of the vortex flow.

5.2. Dominant oscillation periods

From the derived global power spectra at each pixel of the ROI we found the dominant period below 1000 s and constructed respective 2D maps (Fig. 10) and the corresponding histogram distributions (Figs. 11 and 12) for intensity variations at certain wavelengths along the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å profiles, as well as for Hα Doppler velocity and Hα FWHM temporal variations. The threshold of 1000 s was chosen to avoid high power and consequently, periods stemming from edge-effects due to our finite time-series. We recall that the dominant period is the peak of a usually wider period distribution (e.g. see Fig. 2), therefore it is an indicative period, while derived global power spectra in Ca II 8542 Å are generally broader around the dominant period than those of Hα.

The Hα dominant-period maps of Fig. 10 indicate that the vortex area generally oscillates differently than the rest of the ROI. Oscillations at locations outside the borders of the vortex show either lower periods (e.g. QSR of Fig. 1 and area around) that coincide with the expected 3 min chromospheric oscillations, or higher periods (e.g. areas with fibril-like structures at the top and top right of the vortex area) that coincide with the dynamics and lifetimes of such structures (Tsiropoula et al. 2012; Kontogiannis et al. 2014). However, within the vortex area, the oscillatory pattern is not homogeneous. Although the larger part of the vortex area does not seem to differ substantially from the quiet-Sun regions as it oscillates at only slightly higher periods, the locations of the three individual swirls, and mostly that of the swirl within the red circle, exhibit somewhat higher periods than the rest of the vortex area (see also the respective histograms of Fig. 11 and related discussion).

As first indicated by Fig. 2 and now further suggested by Fig. 10, both intensity (especially at Hα ± 0.26 Å) and Doppler velocity dominant-period maps and to some degree the FWHM maps exhibit a similar oscillatory behaviour, at least within the individual swirls and to a large extent of the rest of the vortex area, especially its south-eastern part. This similarity between intensity and Doppler-velocity oscillations probably results from the correlation between dark absorbing features that dominate the intensity within the vortex and upwards velocities (see Paper I).

Ca II 8542 Å line centre and near line centre dominant-period maps are almost identical, as was suggested by the respective power behaviour in Figs. 7 and 9 before. The maps of Fig. 10 show that some differences exist in the observed oscillatory pattern when compared to those of Hα (see also the respective histogram distributions of Fig. 12 and related discussion). The oscillations both in the vortex area and the locations of individual swirls seem to be more homogeneous and coherent in this line than in the respective Hα oscillations, while high dominant oscillation periods seem to be absent. This probably reflects (a) the less violent dynamics in the Ca II 8542 Å line (due to its lower formation heights) where the swirl substructure is hardly visible, with the exception of the central swirl within the red circle, (b) the funnel-like expansion of the vortex flow itself with height, and (c) the absence of interference with the dynamics of nearby to the vortex flow area fibril-like structures that seem to lie mostly higher than the formation heights of the Ca II 8542 Å line, as indicated in Paper I.

We note that some differences exist between the oscillatory behaviour during the two observing intervals for the Hα line, as mostly Fig. 11 clearly indicates, which are not seen or are less pronounced in the Ca II 8542 Å line, with the exception again of the area of the swirl within the red circle. These differences arise from the characteristics of the vortex flow itself, which is mostly visible after the second half of the first observing period (see Paper I).
We quantified the oscillatory behaviour shown in the dominant-period maps above with the corresponding histograms of the period distribution shown in Fig. 11 for Hα and Fig. 12 for Ca II 8542 Å. For both lines, the period distributions within the vortex flow area reveal dominant periods in the range of 3–5 min that mostly peak around 4 min. This agrees with the behaviour observed and discussed in the power maps and figures of Sect. 5.1. Furthermore, most of the distributions, especially during the second observing interval of 08:28 UT–09:16 UT, seem to have two peaks around 220 and 270 s (the latter period is also clearly visible at least in the global power spectra of intensity and velocity in Fig. 2). These peaks are also evident in the distributions of the second dominant period, especially for Hα, further indicating their significance and suggesting that the two dominant periods alternate most of the times between these two values. Of the three identified individual swirls, it is mainly the swirl within the red circle that follows the distribution of periods of the whole vortex area, in particular during the less erratic second observing interval. This is more evident in the Ca II 8542 Å line distributions, where it is the only clearly observed chromospheric swirl, further supporting the argument presented in Paper I and above, that this swirl probably serves as a “central engine” for the entire vortex flow. Although the other swirls clearly show periods within the 3–5 min range, they exhibit a more erratic period distribution that reflects their highly intermittent and far more complex dynamics.

Fig. 10. Maps of the dominant period derived from the global power spectrum within the ROI during both observing intervals (upper and lower rows, respectively) for Ca ii ± 0.11 Å, Ca ii 8542 Å line centre, Hα ± 0.26 Å, and Hα line centre intensity variations, and for the Hα Doppler velocity and Hα FWHM variations. The overplotted yellow, red, green, and cyan circles indicate the location of the analysed conspicuous vortex flow and of the smaller swirls indicated in Fig. 1.

Fig. 11. Histograms of the dominant periods (solid lines) of the global power spectrum below 1000 s (normalised to their maximum) within the vortex area (black line, area within the yellow circle in Fig. 10), the swirls within the red, green, and cyan circles in Fig. 10 (red, green, and cyan lines, respectively) and the QSR (orange line, white rectangle in Fig. 1) for the maps in Fig. 10. The dotted lines denote the distributions of the second dominant period of the global power spectrum below 1000 s within the vortex area. We recall that the vortex area distribution contains the entire distribution corresponding to the swirl denoted by a red circle, and parts of the distributions corresponding to swirls denoted by the cyan and green circles in Fig. 10.
6. Discussion of the oscillatory behaviour

The derived oscillations in such a complex and dynamic vortex flow reflect the cumulative action of different components, such as rotation, radial expansion, swaying (transverse) motions, perturbations from nearby flows, and propagating waves. The rotation of the structure, however, may have the greater influence. In the following subsections we discuss the main characteristics of the derived oscillatory behaviour and try to gain insight into the dynamics of the vortex flow.

6.1. Variations in oscillatory power within the vortex flow, comparison to the QSR power, and relationship to waves

A wavelet spectral analysis permeated the construction of 2D power maps in several wavelengths along the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å profiles, as well as of Hα Doppler velocity and FWHM. The 2D power maps show no particular substructure because the vortex flow is extremely dynamic, but they indicate enhanced power and a faint vortex-like signature around the location of the swirl within the red circle marked in Fig. 1. The analysis of the power behaviour with period, on the other hand, revealed that considerable oscillating power is found within the vortex flow in the range of 3–5 min that peaks around 4 min with at least qualitatively similar characteristics in intensities of the two investigated lines and in Hα FWHM and Doppler velocity. Enhanced power is, however, also visible at higher periods up to 10 min, mostly at lower, but to some extent also at higher atmospheric heights (i.e. from wing to line-centre wavelengths). The power seems to decrease in both lines from upper photospheric to chromospheric layers for periods mainly in the range of 3–5 min but even up to 10 min, suggesting the presence of evanescent waves.

The analysis of the power behaviour with period within the vortex flow compared to the power in the QSR reported in Sect. 5.1 together with the dominant period analysis reported in Sect. 5.2 provides evidence for a different oscillatory behaviour in these two regions. In quiet-Sun regions, the power at photospheric heights (e.g. Hα ±1.03 Å and further into the Hα wings) is dominated by the acoustic global p-mode waves with a period distribution that peaks at ~5 min. p-modes are normally evanescent and can only propagate upwards if they have frequencies higher than the acoustic cut-off frequency \( f_0 = 5.2 \text{ mHz} \). The acoustic cut-off frequency generally depends on the local plasma \( \beta \) and the magnetic field inclination angle \( \theta \) with respect to the direction of gravity that follows the solar normal. In the presence of strong (low-\( \beta \) regime) inclined magnetic fields it is modified as \( \sim f_0 \cos \theta \), permitting the otherwise evanescent p-modes to propagate upwards and reach higher atmospheric layers. In the case of low magnetic fields, the lowering of the acoustic cut-off frequency may be due to a different mechanism such as radiative damping in an isothermal atmosphere (e.g. Worrall 2002).

The QSR generally oscillates and shows more power at shorter periods closer to the 3 min chromospheric period. For periods >200 s, the power within the vortex area is greater than in the QSR (see Figs. 8 and 9), and particularly in the range of 200–350 s. This enhanced power could also partly be attributed to either the presence of inclined fields or radiative damping that permit the upwards channeling of acoustic power. However, as power enhancement is clearly visible within the vortex area compared to the QSR for periods up to 10 min (see also the period distributions of Fig. 11), not only at low but also at high atmospheric heights (see Figs. 8 and 9), it may suggest that this enhanced power is mainly due to other types of oscillations, including different types of waves such as magnetocoustic (e.g. kink) or Alfvén waves. Many works have indeed demonstrated that processes related to vortex formation, like turbulent convection or twisting motions exercised in the magnetic field concentrations, can excite different types of waves in the solar atmosphere. It has been reported that power at long periods is probably not related to acoustic oscillations, but might for instance be attributed to Alfvénic disturbances (McAteer et al. 2002, 2003; Jess et al. 2009) or kink waves that have a much lower cut-off frequency than the acoustic one (Spruit 1981; Stangalini et al. 2015). Oscillations and enhanced power of Hα FWHM have been associated with torsional Alfvén waves (Jess et al. 2009). Kink waves, on the other hand, lead to periodic transverse displacement of flux tubes and thus to periodic Doppler velocities in waveguides. Because these waves also have substantial azimuthal velocities they can contribute to periodic variations of the non-thermal line widths (Goossens et al. 2014). We also recall that in Paper I we suggested that waves might be related to the substructure within the vortex flow. This substructure appearing as individual, intermittent swirling patches could well be a manifestation of instabilities driven by the presence of torsional Alfvén waves, as indicated by simulations (Fedun et al. 2011b). Further analysis of the obtained results will help to gain more insight into the wave propagation within vortexes.

The presence and signatures of waves, their identification, propagation properties, and their influence in the vortex flow dynamics will be meticulously investigated in a future work where a detailed phase difference analysis will be used.

6.2. Characteristic oscillation periods and their relation to the vortex dynamics

It is clear from the analysis presented here and in Paper I that among the different oscillatory components reflected in the
dominant period maps, two are the most predominant related to the vortex dynamics: rotation, and a superimposed swaying motion. Although both swaying and rotation are horizontal motions that occur on planes perpendicular to the main axis of the structure, they do have significant components and therefore affect oscillations along the line of sight (LOS) because the vortex flow is situated at location S38E10. Transverse motions analysed in evolving spiral structures within the vortex flow reveal a characteristic period between 200–220 s and a mean swaying velocity of \( \sim 5.5 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \), as well as variable expanding velocities lower than 1 km s\(^{-1}\), suggesting a non-homogeneous expansion diverging from a rotating ideal logarithmic spiral. The rotation of the vortex flow analysed along circular slits of increasing radii from its centre reveal rotation periods close to \( \sim 270 \, \text{s} \) for H\(_\alpha\). For the Ca \( \text{ii} \) 8542 Å line, the respective periods are slightly shorter (see Sects. 4 and 6.3). The derived rotation periods are shorter than previous estimates reported from observations (35 min from Bonet et al. 2010). Rotation also seems to modulate the mean upwards H\(_\alpha\) velocity variations of vortex-related structures and the area they occupy because both yield a similar period of \( \sim 280 \, \text{s} \). The two acquired periods that correspond to swaying and rotational motions appear as significant peaks in the acquired dominant-period maps and respective period distributions within the vortex area, showing the significance of the two motions in the vortex dynamics.

### 6.3. Variation in oscillation periods with height

Oscillations in the different wavelengths of the Ca \( \text{ii} \) 8542 Å and H\(_\alpha\) line profiles represent oscillations at different heights of the atmosphere, with the Ca \( \text{ii} \) 8542 Å line centre and near line-centre wavelengths being formed lower than the corresponding H\(_\alpha\) wavelengths. The period distributions in Ca \( \text{ii} \) shown in Fig. 12 seem to shift towards shorter periods when compared to the respective H\(_\alpha\) period distributions shown in Fig. 11. This shift is even clearer when comparing in Fig. 4 and Table 1 the respective average periods in the two lines that were derived along circular slits of similar radii. We may conclude that these findings suggest an increase in oscillation period with height. This result is in agreement with simulations by Moll et al. (2011a, see their Fig. 4b), although for very low (close to the solar surface) atmospheric heights that indicate a move of the peak of the swirling period \( T_{\text{sw}} \) towards higher values with increasing height.

If periods were only associated with acoustic waves travelling in a magnetically supported vortex structure, their increase with height could be attributed to a lowering of the cutoff frequency, for example, due to the presence of more inclined fields with height, as in the simulations of swirling magnetic fields within a funnel-like expanding vortex flow (Wedemeyer & Steiner 2014, see Figs. 1 and 2). However, as the results of Sect. 5.1 and discussion in Sect. 6.1 indicate, other types of waves and oscillations may be related to the derived periods. The period increase with height might be explained in terms of preservation of angular momentum and mass. Assuming that the upwards mass \( m \) flow is constant and the vortex structure expands with height (funnel-like structure), as the findings of Paper I suggest, this would dictate that its radius \( R \) increases as we move upwards. Preservation of angular momentum \( (\sim m R^2 / T_{\text{rot}}) \) with height would then lead to an increase in swirling period \( T_{\text{sw}} \), as observed. However, this is a crude assumption as (a) the increase in period is clearly not of the expected order, and (b) it would contradict a rigidly or quasi-rigidly rotating structure as suggested in Paper I and in the literature (Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. 2012). Preservation of angular momentum, as would be expected in a purely hydrodynamic case, is not the main driver behind a vortex flow of this type because the oscillation characteristics we find (see previous Sect. 6.2) require the presence of a guiding magnetic field. This is mostly a magneto-hydrodynamic case where the rotation of the supporting magnetic field and its subsequent dynamics together with the action of the Lorentz force in the moving plasma (e.g. Luna et al. 2015, but for giant tornadoes) provide the necessary set-up that defines the trajectories along which the vortex-associated plasma moves.

### 6.4. Variation in oscillation periods with radius at a certain height

Oscillations in the different wavelengths of Ca \( \text{ii} \) 8542 Å and H\(_\alpha\) line profiles along circular slits, like those presented in Fig. 4 and Table 1, represent mostly rotational motions, as discussed in Sect. 4. As we noted in Sect. 4, the results suggest that for directions where the vortex flow is not disrupted by the presence of other structures, such as the fibril-like structures, the oscillation period generally seems to decrease, at least for H\(_\alpha\), with increasing distance from the vortex centre. Although the errors of derived periods increase significantly with distance (see Table 1), this behaviour would imply a deviation of the vortex structure from a rigid rotation to a quasi-rigid rotation as we move outwards.

Simulations (Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. 2012, see their Fig. 3f) suggest that for a certain height at the atmosphere, the horizontal velocity, which is associated with flows along spiral trajectories, increases with distance from the centre of the vortex flow up to a cut-off radius that represents the radial extent of the vortex flow; this cut-off radius increases with height and produces a funnel-like expanding structure. If this simulated horizontal velocity were to correspond to circular motions of homogeneously distributed matter \( (v \sim 2 \pi R / T_{\text{rot}}) \), its clear deviation from a linear increase with \( R \) would imply an increase in period \( T_{\text{rot}} \) with distance from the vortex centre. This is opposite to the behaviour observed, as discussed above. It is unclear if interaction with nearby tangentially parallel flows at the right (west) borders of this clockwise vortex flow (see online movie of Paper I) could further assist its rotation at larger radii, leading to a decrease in period as observed.

### 7. Conclusions

In Paper I we analysed the characteristics and dynamics of a 1.7 h persistent vortex flow that resembles a small-scale quiet-Sun tornado. The analysis revealed a rigidly or quasi-rigidly rotating funnel-like expanding structure with a rich substructure that manifested itself in the form of a number of recurring, intermittent smaller chromospheric swirls. The vortex flow appears to also display signatures at atmospheric heights up to the low corona. Signatures of swaying (transverse motions) and rotational motions with periods in the range of 200–300 s were found but not examined in detail. In this study we took our analysis a step further by investigating both the transverse and rotational oscillatory behaviour at one particular height, the oscillatory behaviour at different radii from the centre of the vortex flow, and at different heights in the solar atmosphere within this vortex flow. Our findings indicate significant oscillatory power within the vortex flow related to motions and waves mainly in the range of 3–5 min that peaks at 4 min but extends even up to 10 min at all heights. We also found periods of
200–220 s for swaying motions and rotation periods that seem to increase with height (∼270 s for Hα and ∼215 for Ca II 8542 Å) and decrease with radius from the vortex centre. Furthermore, they indicate the presence of different types of evanescent waves, in addition to acoustic, such as magnetoacoustic (e.g. kink) or Alfvén waves. An analysis like this has to our knowledge not been done so far, at least not in this detail.

The results we presented show that the investigation of the oscillatory behaviour and of the power spectra in different wavelengths of spectral lines is a powerful tool for providing important information regarding the nature of vortex flows. Unfortunately, our study cannot provide any explanation for the long duration (i.e. at 1.7 h) of the vortex flow. However, it furnishes further evidence to some pertinent open questions, following the analysis of Paper I, that we address below.

Is the vortex structure magnetically supported? Our results still do not provide any direct evidence that could imply that the vortex structure is magnetically supported. However, as the vortex area and the area of fibril-like structures show similar power enhancements within the 5 min band that in fibril-like structures has been clearly related to the magnetic field topology (Kontogiannis et al. 2010a,b), this could indirectly imply that the vortex flow is also a magnetically supported structure. Furthermore, the dominant oscillation periods and the power behaviour imply the presence of magnetoacoustic and/or Alfvén waves. These in turn require the presence of a magnetic structure.

Is there a central swirl that powers the vortex flow? The 2D power maps indicate, especially at higher chromospheric diagnostics wavelengths (e.g. Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line centre and near line centre), clear enhancements within the swirl denoted with a red circle in Fig. 1. Comparison of the power within the vortex area and individual swirls (Figs. 5 and 6) clearly shows a dominance of the same swirl over the vortex area. Furthermore, during the second observing interval, mainly the period distribution of the swirl within the red circle resembles and dominates the distribution of periods of the whole vortex area (Figs. 11 and 12). These findings suggest that this swirl probably serves as a “central engine” for the entire vortex flow.

The different oscillations revealed in this study point to the existence of various modes of MHD waves within the vortex flow. Their generation and propagation are of particular interest because they are considered to provide a potential mechanism for the channelling of energy from the lower to the upper layers of the solar atmosphere. Further studies based on the co-spatial and simultaneous CRISP observations including a phase-difference analysis will be presented in a future work.
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