Transdermal Fentanyl for Chronic Low Back Pain
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Purpose: Chronic low back pain is a common clinical problem. As medication, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are generally used; however, they are sometimes non-effective. Recently, opioids have been used for the treatment of chronic low back pain, and since 2010, transdermal fentanyl has been used to treat chronic non-cancer pain in Japan. The purpose of the current study was to examine the efficacy of transdermal fentanyl in the treatment of chronic low back pain.

Materials and Methods: This study included patients (n=62) that suffered from chronic low back pain and were non-responsive to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Their conditions consisted of non-specific low back pain, multiple back operations, and specific low back pain awaiting surgery. Patients were given transdermal fentanyl for chronic low back pain. Scores of the visual analogue scale and the Oswestry Disability Index, as well as adverse events were evaluated before and after therapy.

Results: Overall, visual analogue scale scores and Oswestry Disability Index scores improved significantly after treatment. Transdermal fentanyl (12.5 to 50 μg/h) was effective in reducing low back pain in 45 of 62 patients; however, it was not effective in 17 patients. Patients who experienced the most improvement were those with specific low back pain awaiting surgery. Adverse events were seen in 40% of patients (constipation, 29%; nausea, 24%; itching, 24%). Conclusion: Transdermal fentanyl significantly improved visual analog scale scores and Oswestry Disability Index scores in 73% of patients, especially those with specific low back pain awaiting surgery; however, it did not decrease pain in 27% of patients, including patients with non-specific low back pain or multiple back operations.
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INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is a common clinical problem and is of major socioeconomic importance. Although any of the spinal structures (intervertebral discs, facet joints, vertebral bodies, ligaments, and muscles) may be a source of LBP, the most likely cause is unclear.

Treatment for chronic LBP includes conservative therapy (exercise), intradiscal electrothermal therapy, spinal fusion, and artificial disc replacement. Several ran-
Demographic characteristics
Table 1 shows patient demographic characteristics. The pain score was severe in all patients. All patients used NSAIDs; however, NSAIDs were not effective for LBP. The diagnosis was non-specific chronic LBP in 20 patients, LBP after single lumbar surgery in 15 patients, and multiple back operations in 15 patients. There were 12 patients who were awaiting lumbar surgery, including lumbar disc herniation and spinal canal stenosis, and had severe specific LBP. The patients were enrolled consecutively and were opioid-naïve.

Medication
A transdermal fentanyl patch (Janssen, Tokyo, Japan) was applied to all patients for the treatment of LBP. The patch was changed every three days. The starting dose was 12.5 μg/h. If this dose was not effective, it was increased to 25, 37.5, and 50 μg/h, thereafter. If one dose was non-effective for 6 days, the next highest dose patch was applied for 6 days. The maximum dose was 50 μg/h. If the patient’s visual analogue scale (VAS) score did not decrease by 20%, we defined the medication as “non-effective”.

Conservative treatment included exercise (walking, walking in a pool, muscle training, and muscle stretching). Walking and walking in a pool were performed by the patients on their own. Muscle training and stretching was performed for the abdomen and lower extremities by physical therapists. Medications, except for transdermal fentanyl, were allowed. Medications included NSAIDs, vitamins, muscle relaxants, and prostaglandin E1. A physician decided the type of medication for each patient.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics

|                          | 62 |
|--------------------------|----|
| Number of patients       |    |
| Sex                      |    |
| Male: 30, Female: 32     |    |
| Age mean range (range), yrs | 62±8.0 (24-80) |
| Symptom duration, mean (range), yrs | 5.5 (1-10) |
| Pain score before treatment |    |
| Low back pain            |    |
| Visual analogue scale    | 8.4±1.5 |
| Oswestry Disability Index| 54±10 |
| Use of NSAIDs            | 62  |
| Diagnosis                |    |
| Non specific chronic low back pain | 20 (32%) |
| Low back pain after single lumbar surgery | 15 (24%) |
| Multiple back operations | 15 (24%) |
| Specific LBP awaiting surgery (e.g., lumbar disc herniation or spinal stenosis) | 12 (20%) |

NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
RESULTS

Dosage of transdermal fentanyl
Table 2 shows the dosage of transdermal fentanyl administered. The patients were administered doses from 12.5 to 50 \( \mu g/h \). Most patients were administered 12.5 \( \mu g/h \) of transdermal fentanyl. The average dosage was 19.6±1.8 \( \mu g/h \) (mean±S.E.M.).

Evaluation of LBP after treatment
Table 3 shows the results of the evaluation of LBP after treatment in all 62 patients. VAS and ODI one month after treatment were 5.0±0.3 (mean±S.E.M.) and 32±6, respectively, and were significantly less than that before treatment (\( p<0.01 \)). Mean VAS score and ODI score at final follow-up were 4.6±0.3 and 28±6, respectively, and were significantly lower than values obtained before treatment (\( p<0.01 \)).

Transdermal fentanyl was very effective, especially in all patients with specific LBP awaiting surgery, so we divided the patients into the following three groups: 1) transdermal fentanyl was effective (responders), \( n=32 \); 2) transdermal fentanyl was effective in patients awaiting surgery (responders), \( n=12 \); and 3) transdermal fentanyl was not effective (non-responders), \( n=18 \) (Table 4). VAS scores and ODI scores were significantly lower after treatment than before treatment in the two responder groups (\( p<0.05 \)). Also, VAS scores and ODI scores after treatment in the two responder groups were significantly lower than those of the non-responder group (\( p<0.05 \)). However, pain scores one month after treatment and at final follow-up were not significantly lower than those of the non-responder group before treatment (\( p<0.05 \)). On the other hand, transdermal fentanyl was more effective in the responders awaiting surgery compared with the other responders (\( p<0.05 \) (Fig. 2).

The average duration of treatment was significantly shorter in the non-responder group compared with the responder groups, because non-responders did want to continue with the transdermal fentanyl therapy (\( p<0.05 \) (Table 4). The

Table 2. Dosage of Transdermal Fentanyl

| Dosage (\( \mu g/h \)) | Number of patients |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| 12.5                   | 41 (66%)          |
| 25                     | 14 (23%)          |
| 37.5                   | 2 (3%)            |
| 50                     | 5 (8%)            |
| >50                    | 0                 |
| Average dosage         | 19.64±1.8 \( \mu g/h \) |

Table 3. Pain after Treatment

| Pain score before treatment | Pain score 1month after treatment | Pain score after treatment (final) | \( p \) value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Low back pain               |                                   |                                   |              |
| Visual analogue scale       | 8.4±1.5*                          | 5.0±0.3\(^1\)                    | *\(^1\) \( p<0.01 \) |
| Oswestry Disability Index   | 54±10*                            | 32±6\(^1\)                      | *\(^2\) \( p<0.01 \) |
|                             |                                   | 28±6\(^3\)                      | *\(^2\) \( p<0.01 \), *\(^3\) \( p<0.01 \) |

Evaluation of LBP before treatment
We evaluated LBP before treatment, one month after treatment, and at final follow-up. Pain scores in patients with specific LBP awaiting surgery was evaluated at seven days before their surgery, which was considered as their final follow-up. If a patient stopped the medication (e.g., non-responder), the pain evaluation from seven days before the last dose was administered was recorded. For the evaluation of pain in all patients, scores from the VAS score (0, no pain; 10, worst pain) and the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) score (0, no pain; 100, worst pain) were recorded and compared.

Adverse events
All adverse events were reported together with an assessment of their severity (mild, moderate, severe) and the investigator’s opinion of their relationship to treatment with transdermal fentanyl (none, unlikely, possible, or probable). Antiemetics were used in all patients. Laxatives were prescribed for constipation. Addiction was measured according to previous reports.\(^9\)

Statistical analysis
Data were compared with the Mann-Whitney U-test. \( p<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Subjective outcomes
At four months after treatment, patients were asked to choose one of the following responses regarding their satisfaction with the treatment: 1) the medication met my expectations; 2) I did not improve as much as I had hoped, but I would undergo the same medication for the same outcome; 3) the medication helped, but I would not undergo the same medication for the same outcome; or 4) I am the same as or worse than I was before the medication.

Evaluation of pain score
We evaluated LBP before treatment, one month after treatment, and at final follow-up. Pain scores in patients with specific LBP awaiting surgery was evaluated at seven days before their surgery, which was considered as their final follow-up. If a patient stopped the medication (e.g., non-responder), the pain evaluation from seven days before the last dose was administered was recorded. For the evaluation of pain in all patients, scores from the VAS score (0, no pain; 10, worst pain) and the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) score (0, no pain; 100, worst pain) were recorded and compared.

Table 2. Dosage of Transdermal Fentanyl

| Dosage (\( \mu g/h \)) | Number of patients |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| 12.5                   | 41 (66%)          |
| 25                     | 14 (23%)          |
| 37.5                   | 2 (3%)            |
| 50                     | 5 (8%)            |
| >50                    | 0                 |
| Average dosage         | 19.64±1.8 \( \mu g/h \) |

Table 3. Pain after Treatment

| All patients (\( n=62 \)) | Pain score before treatment | Pain score 1month after treatment | Pain score after treatment (final) | \( p \) value |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Low back pain             |                           |                                   |                                   |              |
| Visual analogue scale     | 8.4±1.5*                  | 5.0±0.3\(^1\)                    | 4.6±0.3\(^1\)                     | *\(^1\) \( p<0.01 \) |
| Oswestry Disability Index | 54±10*                    | 32±6\(^1\)                       | 28±6\(^3\)                       | *\(^2\) \( p<0.01 \), *\(^3\) \( p<0.01 \) |

Statistical analysis
Data were compared with the Mann-Whitney U-test. \( p<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.
The most common adverse events were constipation, nausea, itching, and somnolence. Adverse events were mild in all patients. Itching was seen as a local reaction to the transdermal fentanyl patch. One patient (2%) showed withdrawal symptoms (loss of appetite and motivation) after stopping the transdermal fentanyl patch. However, addiction was not seen in any patient.

DISCUSSION

This is first study to evaluate the efficacy of transdermal fentanyl for the treatment of chronic LBP in a Japanese population. In general, transdermal fentanyl significantly improved average dosage of transdermal fentanyl in the non-responders group was significantly higher than that in each of the responders groups, because of the insufficiency of the drug ($p<0.05$) (Table 4).

### Table 4. Pain after Treatment

|                          | Responder (effective) | Responder (awaiting surgery) | Non-responder (non-effective) | $p$ value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Number of patients       | 32                    | 12                          | 18                            |           |
| Pain before treatment    |                       |                             |                               |           |
| Visual analogue scale    | 8.4±0.2               | 8.8±0.4                     | 8.2±0.2                       | N.S.      |
| Oswestry Disability Index| 50±10                 | 58±12                       | 52±8                          | N.S.      |
| Pain 1 month after treatment |               |                             |                               |           |
| Visual analogue scale    | 4.0±0.2*              | 2.6±0.4*                    | 6.5±0.5*                      | *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.05$, $^\dagger$p<0.01$ |
| Oswestry Disability Index| 28±7*                 | 18±6*                       | 50±10*                        | *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.05$, $^\dagger$p<0.01$ |
| Pain after treatment (final) |                         |                             |                               |           |
| Visual analogue scale    | 3.9±0.2*              | 2.5±0.3*                    | 6.9±0.4*                      | *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.05$, $^\dagger$p<0.01$ |
| Oswestry Disability Index| 25±7*                 | 16±4*                       | 46±8*                         | *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.05$, $^\dagger$p<0.01$ |
| Period of treatment (months) | 7.1±0.9*             | 3.1±0.3*                    | 2.5±0.7*                      | *$p<0.01$, **$p<0.01$, $^\dagger$p<0.05$ |
| Dosage of transdermal fentanyl (µg/hrs) | 19.64±1.8*   | 14.88±1.2*                   | 23.81±3.6*                     | *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.05$, $^\dagger$p<0.05$ |

The most common adverse events were constipation, nausea, itching, and somnolence. Adverse events were mild in all patients. Itching was seen as a local reaction to the transdermal fentanyl patch. One patient (2%) showed withdrawal symptoms (loss of appetite and motivation) after stopping the transdermal fentanyl patch. However, addiction was not seen in any patient.
VAS scores and ODI scores in patients with chronic LBP, especially in patients with specific LBP awaiting surgery; however, it did not decrease pain in 27% of the patients with non-specific LBP or multiple back operations. Adverse events were seen in 40% of patients; however, no addiction was seen.

It has been reported that both weak and strong opioids are effective in the treatment of chronic LBP. Three double-blind RCTs compared opioids to an inactive placebo in the management of chronic LBP. In one US trial, 380 outpatients with chronic LBP were enrolled in an open-label phase study and treated with tramadol, followed by enrollment of those who tolerated tramadol into a double-blind, placebo-controlled phase study. Patients treated with tramadol scored significantly better on the VAS, the McGill Pain Questionnaire and the Roland Disability Questionnaire. In another US trial, patients with LBP were randomized to receive either tramadol/acetaminophen or a placebo for 91 days. Tramadol/acetaminophen significantly improved the final scores for VAS, the Roland Disability Questionnaire, and a 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey from baseline levels. In the third trial, 333 patients with chronic LBP were randomized to receive tramadol/acetaminophen or a placebo in Canada. The tramadol/acetaminophen combination showed efficacy in pain reduction, measures of physical functioning and quality of life.

Several authors have reported the effectiveness of transdermal fentanyl for the treatment of chronic LBP. Allan, et al. compared the efficacy and safety of transdermal fentanyl and sustained-release morphine in strong-opioid-naive patients with chronic LBP. Transdermal fentanyl was effective in the treatment of chronic LBP and was associated with less constipation compared with sustained-release morphine. Kosinski, et al. reported the efficacy of transdermal fentanyl in patients with chronic LBP and compared pain relief and patient’s health-related quality of life score improvement. Health-related quality of life score improvement was greatest among patients experiencing the greatest pain relief from transdermal fentanyl. In the current study, transdermal fentanyl significantly improved VAS scores and ODI scores in Japanese patients with chronic LBP, and these findings are similar to those of other studies.

In the current study, transdermal fentanyl was more effective in patients who were awaiting surgery and had specific LBP due to apparent disc herniation or spinal stenosis, compared with the other responders or non-responders. Non-responders included patients with non-specific LBP and LBP after surgery, but did not include patients with specific LBP. Transdermal fentanyl was not effective at one month and final follow-up in non-responders. Furthermore, the average dosage of transdermal fentanyl was significantly higher in the non-responder group than in the responder groups because of the insufficiency of the drug. In a previous study, it was reported that there were no differences in age, sex, and type or duration of pain between responders and non-responders after the application of transdermal fentanyl. The difference in response to treatment between responders and non-responders could be detected at three weeks. Lack of response after one month had a stronger negative predictive value than the presence of response after one month. The most influential factors for predicting a response were employment status and use of high doses of opioids. Considering previous reports and the results of the current study, a lack of response after one month and use of a high dosage of opioids may have a negative predictive value for response to opioids.

Kalso, et al. analyzed available randomized, placebo-controlled trials of opioids for efficacy and safety in patients with chronic non-cancer pain. About 80% of patients experienced at least one adverse event, with constipation (41%), nausea (32%) and somnolence (29%) being the most common. As most of the studies analyzed were short-term fol-

### Table 5. Subjective Outcomes (Number of Patients)

| Treatment met my expectations | Number of patients (%) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|
| I did not improve as much as I hoped, but I would undergo the same treatment for the same outcome | 27 (44) |
| Treatment helped, but I would not undergo the same treatment for the same outcome | 15 (24) |
| I am the same as or worse than I was before the treatment | 12 (19) |

### Table 6. Adverse Events

| Adverse Events | Number of patients (%) |
|----------------|------------------------|
| Constipation   | 18 (29) |
| Nausea         | 15 (25) |
| Itching        | 15 (25) |
| Somnolence     | 11 (18) |
| Withdrawal     | 1 (2) |
| Addiction      | 0 (0) |

ed with less constipation compared with sustained-release morphine.
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low-up studies, they did not allow for conclusions to be made concerning problems with tolerance and addiction. A systematic review revealed that opioids are commonly prescribed for chronic LBP and may be efficacious for short-term pain relief, but their long-term efficacy is unclear. Substance use disorders are common in patients taking opioids for back pain, and aberrant medication-taking behaviors occur in 5 to 24% of cases. In the current study, the most common adverse events reported were constipation, nausea, itching, and somnolence; however, addiction was not documented in any patient. The current results may be due to the short-term follow-up period of the study.

In conclusion, we evaluated the efficacy of transdermal fentanyl for severe chronic LBP in a Japanese population. Transdermal fentanyl significantly improved pain scores in 73% of patients with LBP; however, about 27% of patients demonstrated a non-response. Non-responders included patients with non-specific LBP and pain after lumbar surgery. Treatment was most effective in patients with specific LBP awaiting surgery, and pain relief was seen in all patients in that group. Adverse events were reported in 40% of all of the patients. In patients with chronic LBP resistant to NSAIDs, transdermal fentanyl may be a good therapeutic agent to reduce pain.
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