Diurnal and seasonal variability of CO₂ and CH₄ concentration in a semi-urban environment of western India
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Amongst all the anthropogenically produced greenhouse gases (GHGs), carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) are the most important, owing to their maximum contribution to the net radiative forcing of the Earth. India is undergoing rapid economic development, where fossil fuel emissions have increased drastically in the last three decades. Apart from the anthropogenic activities, the GHGs dynamics in India are governed by the biospheric process and monsoon circulation; however, these aspects are not well addressed yet. Towards this, we have measured CO₂ and CH₄ concentration at Sinhagad, located on the Western Ghats in peninsular India. The average concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ observed during the study period are 406.05 ± 6.36 and 1.97 ± 0.07 ppm (µ ± 1σ), respectively. They also exhibit significant seasonal variabilities at this site. CH₄ (CO₂) attains its minimum concentration during monsoon (post-monsoon), whereas CO₂ (CH₄) reaches its maximum concentration during pre-monsoon (post-monsoon). CO₂ poses significant diurnal variations in monsoon and post-monsoon. However, CH₄ exhibits a dual-peak like pattern in pre-monsoon. The study suggests that the GHG dynamics in the western region of India are significantly influenced by monsoon circulation, especially during the summer season.

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is one of the minor (~ 0.4% of all gaseous species) constituents of the atmosphere. Still, it plays the most significant role in the radiation balance of the planet among the species produced anthropogenically: CO₂ contributes 73% of all positive radiative forcing of the Earth's environment since the pre-industrial era, circa 1750s. CO₂ is continuously being exchanged between the terrestrial biosphere, ocean, and the atmosphere and maintained a more or less steady-state, until about 1750. The balance in CO₂ is being perturbed due to the anthropogenic emission of CO₂ and its feedback with the global climate change since the industrialisation. The atmospheric concentration of CO₂ has progressively increased since the beginning of the industrialisation, from 280 ppm (in 1700) to a current level of more than 410 ppm. About one-fourth of the CO₂ emissions from the anthropogenic activities (fossil-fuel consumption, cement production, and land cover land-use changes) have been absorbed by the ocean and another one fourth by the terrestrial biosphere during the 2000s. The exchange of carbon among the various reservoirs is controlled by complex biogeochemical processes and takes place on various timescales. A better understanding of the carbon exchange process, especially on a short temporal and spatial timescale, is necessary to have a better estimate of the carbon budget. This requires a robust network of continuous monitoring of CO₂ concentration and determining its fluxes from different reservoirs.

Methane (CH₄) is the second-largest contributor, among the anthropogenically produced species, to global warming with a positive radiative forcing of about 0.48 ± 0.05 W m⁻². The pre-industrial CH₄ concentration was estimated to be 700 ppb, but increased anthropogenic activities have resulted in a steady increase of atmospheric CH₄, up to 1803 ppb in 2011. Apart from being a potent greenhouse gas, CH₄ plays an active role in tropospheric chemistry. CH₄ is the main contributor to the increase in stratospheric water vapour, following the loss by reaction with OH radicals. The water vapour variation in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere is highly significant due to its impact on global warming. CH₄ emissions from anthropogenic sources in India have increased from 18.85 to 20.56 Tg year⁻¹ from 1985 to 2008. Unlike CO₂, methane has a relatively short lifetime of approximately 10 years. Thus, in comparison with CO₂, CH₄ can attain a steady-state condition and start to
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decrease reasonably fast if emissions are stabilised or reduced. However, increased emission of CH₄, mainly due to human activities, could perturb the equilibrium state. The source and sink mechanism of CH₄ is complex, and their pathways remain poorly constrained. Apart from a few mid-to-upper tropospheric observations of CH₄ by satellite remote sensing, its surface monitoring over the Indian subcontinent is sparse. Hence, the key drivers for its diurnal or seasonal scale variability are not well understood. The seasonal variation of CH₄ over different parts of India can be attributed to the complex interaction between surface emissions and convective transport during monsoon as well as monsoon circulation. For example, the eastern Himalayan station Darjeeling captures episodes of higher CH₄ concentrations throughout the year. A north-western Himalayan station Hanle experiences high values during the summer monsoon season, while Pondicherry, located on the eastern coast of India, and Port Blair, situated on an island in the Bay of Bengal, show comparatively lower values. During June–September, CH₄ maxima at Hanle is likely due to enhanced biogenic emission from wetlands and rice paddies. Also, deep convection associated with monsoon mixes surface emission to mid-to-upper troposphere enhances the CH₄ concentration at Hanle. Moreover, the elevated CH₄ is also found in 8–12 km over a vast region 50°–80° E and south of 40° N during the CARIBIC (Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the Atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container) aircraft observations. Minimum CH₄ at Pondicherry and Port Blair during the monsoon season is associated with transportation of southern hemispheric CH₄-depleted air at low altitudes and high rates of OH oxidation. The large scale observational network is required for understanding the spatial and temporal variations in CH₄ over India.

India is one of the largest and fastest-growing economies in South Asia and is a significant contributor to CO₂ emissions in this region. Observations of diurnal and seasonal variations in the contribution of anthropogenic and biogenic sources of CO₂ and CH₄ are well documented from many urban stations in Europe and the USA, but only a few cases are documented in the Asian region. Tiwari et al. have shown that CO₂ variability during winter months (seasonal amplitude) is higher (approx. double) than the summer months at a surface observational site in India. The monitoring was based on flask samples at the weekly interval, but no data is available yet at higher temporal resolution. The short-term variations, such as on diurnal scale, capture the signature of the photosynthesis process, respiration, and anthropogenic emission on the observed variability of atmospheric CO₂. Hence, it is interesting to identify the time-varying characteristics of CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations and the probable causes, which characterise the variability in different time scales over peninsular India.

In view of the above, continuous measurement of CO₂ and CH₄ have been carried out from July-2014 to November-2015 from Sinhagad, a Western Ghat site, using a highly sensitive laser-based technique. These measurements are utilised for studying the temporal variations (diurnal and seasonal) of both the gases and identifying the key drivers of such variations, especially the effect of monsoon circulation. The effects of meteorology as well as vegetation dynamics on CO₂ and CH₄ concentration on different time scales are also investigated.

**Study area**

The study area (Sinhagad; denoted as sng: 18° 21′ N, 73° 45′ E, 1600 m above msl) is a semi-urban location in the Western Ghats, India. This region is positioned at a distance of 30 km south-west from the city of Pune and 200 km east from the coastline of the Arabian Sea in Maharashtra, India. The basic climatology is presented in Fig. 1. The outgoing longwave radiations (OLR), on a monthly scale for the summer and winter seasons, are shown in Fig. 1a,b, respectively. The corresponding circulations are depicted by arrows. The windrose diagrams for CO₂ and CH₄ are also shown (Fig. 1c,d).

**Results**

**Seasonal variation of CO₂ and CH₄.** Figure 2a,c shows the monthly mean and standard deviation (SD; shaded region) of CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations, respectively. The annual mean concentration of CO₂ is 406.05 ± 6.36 (µ ± 1σ) ppm. CO₂ is maximum (427.2) in May-2015 and a minimum (399) in September-2014. This leads to a seasonal amplitude of ~ 28 ppm. A comparison of the seasonal amplitude of other sites, global (Seychelles-sey, Mauna Loa-mlo), and Indian sites (Kaziranga-knp, Ahmedabad-amd, Shadnagar-sad, Cabo de Rama, cbr), are shown in Fig. 2b. sgy and mlo data are taken from ESRL-NOAA, knp data is obtained under Metflux India project. amd and sad seasonality are taken from Chandra et al. and Sreenivas et al. The annual mean value of CH₄ over the study region is 1.97 ± 0.07 (µ ± 1σ) ppm. CH₄ concentration is minimum (1.863 ppm) in July-2014 and maximum (2.037 ppm) in October-2014. CH₄ increases about 7.34 ppm from winter to pre-monsoon.

The annual mean value of CH₄ over the study region is 1.97 ± 0.07 (µ ± 1σ) ppm. CH₄ concentration is minimum (1.863 ppm) in July-2014 and maximum (2.037 ppm) in October-2014. CH₄ increases about 7.34 ppm from winter to pre-monsoon.
Influence of large scale circulation. To understand the large-scale meteorological circulation, we have used Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT), developed by NOAA’s Air Resources Laboratory. We computed 10-day back-trajectory starting from sng from June-2014 to November-2015 using NCEP/NCAR reanalysis dataset. The reanalysis data is available from the year 1948 up to present-time with 6-h temporal resolution and 2.5° × 2.5° spatial resolution. The dataset is produced jointly by the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). Trajectories were created in each 6-h interval from sng. Then we separated the trajectories into clusters for separate seasons. These clusters are mean trajectories of the air mass. Their percentage contribution to the total, calculated for different seasons over the study period at surface level, is presented in Fig. 3a–d. Figure 3a reveals that sng receives almost 84% of wind from the Arabian sea due to south-west monsoon flow. During the post-monsoon season, the wind blows from the Indian sub-continent. Therefore, the post-monsoon wind carries the contaminated air from the continental region to the sng site. During pre-monsoon time, sng receives 50% air mass from the Arabian Sea and 50% from the Indian continent. So, the observed maximum CO2 concentration during pre-monsoon may be a local phenomenon, not a large scale transport.

Influence of vegetation. The normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI) is widely used as an index of vegetation cover of a given region. We have plotted CO2 and CH4 as well as NDVI to investigate their relationship. The monthly climatology of CO2, CH4, and NDVI are shown in Fig. 4a,b. Additionally, monthly climatology (2000–2010) of sector-wise CH4 emission from Carbon-Tracker (CT) is plotted in Supplementary Fig. 3. It is quite clear that agriculture and waste management practices are the dominant sector of CH4 over the study region. Hence, the monthly-climatology of CH4 emission from agriculture and waste is also shown in Fig. 4b. Moreover, fossil fuel and biospheric emission of CO2 and their residual is also plotted in Fig. 4a.

An inverse correlation is found between CO2 and NDVI (Fig. 4a). NDVI time series reveals the growth of vegetation starts from the monsoon. Also, the growth rate is higher during the monsoon season than the non-monsoon season. The NDVI data clearly shows an enhanced vegetation cover from August and a concurrent decrease of CO2 in our study region. Increased vegetation cover increases the rate of photosynthesis, which helps in decreasing CO2 concentration. Further, NDVI reduces from post-monsoon to winter and pre-monsoon months, and CO2 concentration consequently rises. This result is also supported by Sreenivas et al., who found a negative correlation between NDVI and CO2 concentration at sad for 2014. Moreover, residual flux (biosphere + fossil) is
high positive (positive sign denotes CO₂ added to the atmosphere) during June–July–August, though atmospheric CO₂ concentration is low (Fig. 4a). CH₄ emission from the agriculture and waste (AW) sector of CT consists of enteric fermentation, animal waste management, wastewater and landfills, and rice agriculture. Emission from the AW sector is high during monsoon. The co-occurrence of high NDVI and AW sector emission suggest that rice agriculture is a dominant part of AW sector emission. In comparison, low surface CH₄ concentration is observed in monsoon.

Influence of planetary boundary layer (PBL). The planetary boundary layer (PBL) is the lowermost layer of the troposphere, where temperature and wind speed plays an essential role in its height variation. The boundary layer can mix the GHG emitted at the ground level up to a certain height and reduce its concentration near the ground. So, seasonal changes in the boundary layer may affect the ground concentration of GHGs. Monthly PBLH is computed by averaging the hourly data and compared with CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations. Monthly PBLH is observed to be minimum (maximum) during the monsoon (pre-monsoon). Seasonal PBLH during monsoon, post-monsoon, winter, and pre-monsoon is 754.8, 1136.45, 1213.72, and 1420.08 m, respectively. The influence of PBLH on CO₂ and CH₄ is shown in Supplementary Fig. 2a,b, respectively, for the seasonal transitions, i.e., monsoon to post-monsoon (M-PM), post-monsoon to winter (PM-W), and winter to pre-monsoon (W-PreM). Here ΔPBLH and ΔGHG’s are calculated from the (later season value − previous season value), i.e., ΔPBLH for M-PM means ([PBLH during post-monsoon] − [PBLH during monsoon]).

We find two cases:

1. [ΔPBLH_{M,PM} > ΔPBLH_{PM,W}] leads to [ΔCO₂_{M,PM} < ΔCO₂_{PM,W}] and [ΔCH₄_{M,PM} > ΔCH₄_{PM,W}]
2. [ΔPBLH_{W,PreM} > ΔPBLH_{PM,W}] leads to [ΔCO₂_{W,PreM} > ΔCO₂_{PM,W}] and [ΔCH₄_{W,PreM} < ΔCH₄_{PM,W}]

Effect of meteorology in different seasons. A biplot analysis is carried out for each season to identify the interdependency of several meteorological parameters such as wind speed (WIND), wind direction (dir),
outgoing longwave radiation (olr), planetary boundary layer (PBL), 2 m-air temperature (t2m), soil temperature in layer 0–7 cm (stl1) and soil temperature in layer 7–28 cm (stl2) with GHGs (Fig. 5a–d). In the two-dimensional space of two leading principal components, we used the biplot technique\textsuperscript{29} to describe the PCA result. The two axes in the biplot represent the first two principal components, and the arrow vectors describe the variables in this space. Supplementary Fig. 8a–d shows the scree plot for monsoon, post-monsoon, winter, and pre-monsoon, respectively. Scree plot is the plot of eigenvalues organised from largest to smallest. Here scree plot is shown in terms of the percentage of explained variance. It is to be noted that in each season, the first two PCs (PC1 and PC2) are dominant components; hence, biplot analysis is carried out for each season to identify the interdependency of several meteorological parameters and GHGs. The length of an arrow represents the variance, and the cosine between two arrows represents the linear correlation between the two variables. All the variables are scaled to unit variance before performing PCA. The variables that are better explained by the two principal components will be longer and closer to the unit circle. Acute and obtuse angle represents positive and negative correlation, respectively, while a right angle implies a lack of correlation.

An anti-correlation between CH\textsubscript{4} and wind speed (Fig. 5a) is found in monsoon. The wind rose diagram (Fig. 1d) also supports this finding. The prevailing south-westerly wind in monsoon is associated with low CH\textsubscript{4} values in the wind rose diagram. A positive correlation between CO\textsubscript{2} and wind speed is found. This interplay between CO\textsubscript{2} and wind is discussed further in the following section. The association of CO\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4} with wind is reduced in post-monsoon (Fig. 5b). While a positive correlation between CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} is evident in the winter months (Fig. 5c). The correlation coefficient value between CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} in winter is 0.52 (n = 7108). The close association of CH\textsubscript{4}-PBL and CO\textsubscript{2}-soil temperature (both layers 1 and 2) is the dominant feature in pre-monsoon (Fig. 5d).

**Influence of prevailing meteorology.** Correlation coefficients (R) between wind speed and CO\textsubscript{2} (R\textsubscript{C02}) during monsoon, post-monsoon, winter and pre-monsoon are 0.51 (n = 118), 0.15, −0.02 and −0.28, while for CH\textsubscript{4} are (R\textsubscript{CH4}) −0.57 (n = 118), −0.3, −0.02 and −0.27 respectively. A good inverse correlation between GHG and wind speed suggests that with an increase in wind speeds, GHG concentrations would decrease. In contrast, a weaker correlation would suggest regional/local transport plays some role\textsuperscript{30,31}. Strong wind, especially during the monsoon season (Supplementary Fig. 1c) is likely to dilute the GHG concentration. This is validated for...
the case of CH$_4$ in which the wind and CH$_4$ concentration are negatively correlated ($R_{CH4} = -0.57$). But, CO$_2$ is positively related to wind speed ($R_{CO2} = 0.51$). To have further insight into the effect of wind on CO$_2$, we take the average wind speed integrated over a larger area (up to 11.5° × 4.5°, covering the central to mid-Arabian sea) and re-calculate the correlation coefficient. The results (summarised below) show that in the case of CO$_2$, the R-value practically remains the same, but for CH$_4$ it is improved significantly.

a) For area—(18–18.5° N) and (69.5–74° E) gives $R_{CO2} = 0.53$, $R_{CH4} = (-0.62)$

b) For area—(14–18.5° N) and (62.5–74° E) gives $R_{CO2} = 0.54$, $R_{CH4} = (-0.71)$

A strong negative correlation between CH$_4$ and wind presents dilution of CH$_4$ due to intrusion of southern hemispheric clean air with a strong south-westerly wind of monsoon, schematically shown in Fig. 1a.

**Anthropogenic signature on GHG’s probability distribution.** To investigate the anthropogenic and biospheric signature on GHGs, we have partitioned the CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentration for the day (07:00–18:00 LT) and night hours (20:00–06:00 LT) for the entire study period. Supplementary Fig. 4a,b shows the probability distribution (PD) of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ concentrations during the daytime and nighttime, respectively. Supplementary Fig. 4b shows that the PD of CO$_2$ is narrow (broad) during the night (day) time. Mean daytime and nighttime CO$_2$ concentrations are 404.6 ± 7.8 ppm ($\mu \pm 1\sigma$) and 407.42 ± 5.93 ppm, respectively. On the other hand, the CH$_4$ concentration in the daytime and nighttime are practically the same. The respective mean values are 1.974 ± 0.078 ppm and 1.968 ± 0.07 ppm. We have also calculated the skewness (S) and kurtosis (K) of these distributions. The lower skewness ($S_{CO2} = 0.04$) for nighttime distributions than that of the daytime distribution ($S_{CO2} = 0.16$) implies that the nighttime distribution is more symmetric. The same is the case for CH$_4$, for which the values are 0.37 and 0.97, respectively. This means the nighttime distributions are more constrained. For CO$_2$, the kurtosis values for both day (0.52) and nighttime (1.20) are much lower than those obtained for a normally distributed curve, which is 3. This may imply that the extreme values are less relative to the normally distributed curve, but compared to daytime, the nighttime emissions are characterised by a slightly more number of extreme values. However, CH$_4$ shows the opposite behaviour, since the kurtosis value for daytime (2.7) is higher than that of the nighttime ($-0.24$).

The probability distribution of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ of day and nighttime data has also been carried out for different seasons. Supplementary Figs. 5a–d and 6a–d show the results. As found earlier, the monsoon season daytime PD
is characterised by a broad peak, but the nighttime PD is relatively narrow. The nighttime mean (Supplementary Fig. 5a) is right-shifted, as there is practically no sink of CO₂. The post-monsoon season shows a broader spectrum for both the period (Supplementary Fig. 5b), indicating an increase in the nighttime source of CO₂. The PDs for the winter (DJF) and the pre-monsoon season (MAM) are quite broad, and they show similar characteristics (Supplementary Fig. 5c,d). Another feature of these distributions is the range of daytime CO₂: the monsoon season has a range of 385–410 ppm, and the post-monsoon season 385–415 ppm. At the same time, the winter season shows a range of 402–425 ppm and the pre-monsoon season 405–435 ppm. Throughout the monsoon, the mean CO₂ concentration is 400.22 ± 5.48 ppm during the day, whereas an elevated CO₂ level, 406.57 (~ 6.35 ppm more than daytime) with low SD, is a vital feature of the nighttime variability (Table 1). This difference is also noticeable through the post-monsoon (ON), but the difference of mean day and night CO₂ concentration gets decreased. During the winter and pre-monsoon (DJF and MAM) the difference during the day and night CO₂ concentration almost vanishes.

| Monsoon          | Post-monsoon | Winter        | Pre-monsoon          |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Day              | Night        | Day           | Night                | Day                  | Night                         |
| CO₂              | 400.22 ± 5.48| 406.57 ± 3.96 | 401.845 ± 6.27       | 404.05 ± 5.55        | 409.877 ± 4.51              | 416.953 ± 5.43                 | 417.01 ± 4.6                    |
| CH₄              | 1.903 ± 0.0415| 1.904 ± 0.0406| 2.028 ± 0.0596       | 2.0204 ± 0.053       | 2.002 ± 0.0722              | 1.987 ± 0.0503                 | 1.963 ± 0.0444                  | 1.967 ± 0.0451                  |

**Figure 5.** Biplot in PC1 and PC2 space showing the association of individual variables and their phase relationship for (a) monsoon (July–August–September), (b) post-monsoon (October–November), (c) winter (December–January–February), and (d) pre-monsoon (March–April–May).
In comparison, CH$_4$ does not show any significant daytime and nighttime variation in most seasons except winter. Figure 4b and Table 1 reveal a significant seasonal variation of CH$_4$, but day–night variation in intra-seasonal timescale existed only in winter. Wintertime day and night mean CH$_4$ concentration differs by 15 ppb. High mean CH$_4$ in daytime indicates the source, and higher SD represents diversity in source processes of CH$_4$ than night. This is also reflected in the S and K values of methane; the daytime values are high (S = 2.58, K = 11.33) for the winter season (DJF). Similarly, the pre-monsoon season (MAM) also shows relatively higher values (S = 2.28, K = 9.90). This means that methane concentrations in this region remain high from November through March due to enhanced emission and/or reduced loss due to the reduction in the OH radical$^{32}$.

Diurnal variation of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$. Figure 6a–d show the diurnal cycle of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ over the sng site averaged over a seasonal cycle. During the monsoon season (Fig. 6a), the diurnal pattern of CO$_2$ remains high in the early morning and then steadily decreases due to increased photosynthetic activity and becomes minimum around 13:00 LT. In the post-monsoon season (Fig. 6b), the minimum value is shifted to 10:00 LT. For the winter season and pre-monsoon (Fig. 6c,d), the patterns are very different; the maximum and minimum values are not well defined, and the diurnal pattern is somewhat linear. A large deviation from the monsoonal-pattern during the winter and pre-monsoon strongly indicates a weakening biospheric role and increased anthropogenic activities driving the diurnal behaviour of CO$_2$ concentration in these seasons. On the other hand, the diurnal pattern of CH$_4$ during the monsoon is not well defined. The patterns, however, are quite different for the other seasons, as illustrated in Fig. 6b–d; the minimum in the early hours and the maxima around 10:00 LT. The pre-monsoon season also gets second maxima around 19:00 LT.

Figure 6a–d shows the seasonal variation of the diurnally averaged Planetary Boundary Layer Height (PBLH) in association with CH$_4$ and CO$_2$, respectively. Table 2 shows the amplitude, i.e., the difference between the diurnal minima and the maxima for different seasons. The table indicates that the diurnal variation of CO$_2$ is low during the winter or pre-monsoon time (1.98 and 2.75 ppm, respectively). The variability is increased during post-monsoon (4.1 ppm) and obtains maximum amplitude (10.01 ppm) during the monsoon. Moreover, it is noted that PBL height attains its maximum value around 14:00–15:00 LT for almost every season while the time of lowest CO$_2$ is different for different seasons. CO$_2$ reaches a minimum of around 10:00 LT during post-monsoon (Fig. 6a,b), shifted to 12:00–13:00 LT during monsoon. This shifting may be related to the amount of vegetation.

| JAS (monsoon) | ON (post-monsoon) | DJF (winter) | MAM (pre-monsoon) |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|
| CO$_2$ (ppm) | 10.01           | 4.133       | 1.98              | 2.75              |
| CH$_4$ (ppb) | 5.3             | 28.79       | 62.05             | 40.96             |

Table 2. Amplitude (maximum − minimum) of diurnal variation for the different seasons.
around the site. Figure 4a suggests that NDVI (a proxy of vegetation) is high during October–November, which may lead to enhance photosynthesis during the noon hours (11:00–12:00 LT).

Some interesting features are observed during the period 00:00–06:00 LT. CO₂ levels remain somewhat constant for the monsoon and post-monsoon periods. Constant levels at night during monsoon and post-monsoon give evidence of continuous but weak sources such as plant and soil respiration. CH₄ shows a maximum (minimum) diurnal amplitude (Table 2) of 62.05 (5.3) ppb during winter (monsoon). The monsoon to post-monsoon transition phase experiences the maximum increase in CH₄ amplitude (around 443%). On the other hand, the pre-monsoon to monsoon transition registers a modest decrease (~ 87%) in CH₄ diurnal amplitude.

Discussion and conclusions

The seasonal amplitude of CO₂ is high over snge as compared to knpg (forest site), armd (urban site) and sad (semi-urban site) of India. The seasonality of knpg-CO₂ is mostly driven by the biosphere. Pre-monsoon rainfall in knpg enhances Leaf Area Index (LAI), which in turn increases CO₂ assimilation during daytime hence reducing the atmospheric CO₂ concentration. While, during monsoon, though LAI is high, occasional overcast conditions reduce photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) from reaching the canopy, reducing the CO₂ uptake. Simultaneously, sad shows enhanced CO₂ concentration in pre-monsoon months due to higher temperature and solar radiation and minimum in monsoon mostly driven by enhanced photosynthesis with the availability of higher soil moisture. CO₂ mixing ratio over sad is highest in February–March, due to increased heterotrophic respiration and anthropogenic activity in northern India. The high seasonal amplitude of snge is characterised by low CO₂ in monsoon and post-monsoon and elevated CO₂ during pre-monsoon season. The steady growth of CO₂ during the dry season (November to May) indicates a decreasing trend of vegetation uptake in the neighbouring regions (Fig. 4a). A sharp increase in mean value (410–417 ppm) during the pre-monsoon period could be attributed to enhanced solar radiation. Higher temperature enhances CO₂ photosynthesis during daytime and respiration during the nighttime. In that case, the diurnal amplitude (maximum–minimum) of CO₂ should be high, but during pre-monsoon, this amplitude becomes negligible (discussed in diurnal variation of GHG section). Soil respiration and biomass burning also act as a source of CO₂ into the atmosphere. With the advancement of monsoon season, the CO₂ concentration steadily reduces mainly due to the CO₂ uptake by the biosphere. Additionally, the reduction in temperature further decreases the leaf and soil respiration. Moreover, NDVI (a proxy of vegetation) is increasing (Fig. 4a) during monsoon months.

CH₄ concentrations over monsoon Asia (including China) show higher values during the wet seasons (JAS and ON) and low values during dry periods (DJF and MAM) driven by agricultural practices, i.e., paddy fields as well as large scale transport and chemistry. Like the ‘background’ region, mlo in the Pacific Ocean, we have also observed low methane concentrations during the summer months (JAS, Fig. 2c) though the mechanism is not the same as that of mlo. In our case, low concentration is controlled by strong monsoon circulation though surface emission (from AW sector, Fig. 4b) is high. Low surface CH₄ concentration instead of high local emission is also found by Guha et al. They suggest the intrusion of southern hemispheric clean air with monsoonal south-westerly wind is responsible for low surface CH₄ concentration. Therefore, maximum CH₄ concentration is found during post-monsoon when south-westerly current is decreased.

In comparison, the second maximum of CH₄ emission is observed in February–March–April with very low NDVI. Hence, emission from wastewater and landfills, enteric fermentation, and animal waste management plays a dominant role in CH₄ emission during February–March–April. It is found that boundary layer dynamics is not sufficient for the seasonal change of CO₂ and CH₄ levels. In a nutshell, the tropospheric CH₄ concentration in this region is determined by the following processes: a balance between the local to regional scale surface emission, destruction by the OH radicals at the hemispheric scale, and the regional monsoon circulation. Meanwhile, a low concentration of CO₂ (instead of high positive residual flux) indicates that monsoon flow brings cleaner air, which lowers the average concentration of atmospheric CO₂ over snge as observed for CH₄. Hence, we found a strong negative correlation between wind speed and CH₄. But interestingly, a positive correlation is evident between CO₂ and wind speed in monsoon.

Monsoon rainfall frequently comprises wet and dry spells of precipitation over a period of 10–90 days, widely known as monsoon intraseasonal oscillation (ISO). Monsoon season is determined by the following processes: a balance between the local to regional scale surface emission, destruction by the OH radicals at the hemispheric scale, and the regional monsoon circulation. Meanwhile, a low concentration of CO₂ (instead of high positive residual flux) indicates that monsoon flow brings cleaner air, which lowers the average concentration of atmospheric CO₂ over snge as observed for CH₄. Hence, we found a strong negative correlation between wind speed and CH₄. But interestingly, a positive correlation is evident between CO₂ and wind speed in monsoon.

In contrast, CO₂ shows a strong positive correlation with the wind, with wind lagging 1–2 days. Hence, the positive correlation between CO₂ and wind may arise due to the response of monsoon intraseasonal oscillation. A strong monsoon circulation brings cleaner air, which reduces the CO₂ and CH₄ both, but CO₂ is modulated by biospheric uptake. The biospheric uptake is further modulated by monsoon intraseasonal oscillation. Consequently, we found a positive relation between CO₂ and wind as a response to monsoon.

A higher SD of CO₂ histogram during the daytime indicates a broader spread with respect to the nighttime distribution, which is characterised by a lower SD. So, the broadness of the CO₂ distribution function during the daytime is caused by a diverse source/sink of CO₂. With the development of the boundary layer, CO₂ gets mixed vertically. As the day progresses, the photosynthetic CO₂ sink reduces the CO₂ concentration, which is moderated by the increase in PBLH. While anthropogenic sources of CO₂ and plant respiration are also active during the day, a broader CO₂ distribution spectrum is yielded during different hours of the day. The narrow PD for CO₂
in the nighttime is suggesting the dominating role of CO$_2$ release by respiration and anthropogenic activity. The difference between daytime and nighttime CO$_2$ distribution is evident in monsoon and post-monsoon only. Moreover, the diurnal variation of CO$_2$ is also most prominent in these seasons. Daytime CO$_2$ minima (around noon), a constant value of CO$_2$ during the night (06:00–06:00 LT), different daytime and nighttime CO$_2$ histogram are the key features in monsoon and post-monsoon season. In contrast, the diurnal variation of CO$_2$ in winter and pre-monsoon season is more (> 2000 and > 2500 m) during winter and pre-monsoon (Fig. 6c,d), which indicates a strong mixing. This clearly shows that the monsoon and post-monsoon season get their CO$_2$ share mainly from the active biosphere. In contrast, the other two seasons get from the degradation of the biosphere and anthropogenic activities. Boundary layer dynamics are ineffective when vegetation is less. Moreover, the close association of soil temperature at level 1 and 2 with CO$_2$ (Fig. 5d) implies that soil respiration is a dominant part of pre-monsoon CO$_2$.

A similar pattern in CH$_4$ histogram during daytime and night time implies that the source and transport processes of CH$_4$ remain more or less invariant (note that the CH$_4$ sink by OH is a slow process, with a time scale of 1 year or longer in summer over the tropics; Patra et al.$^{15}$). Diurnal variation of CH$_4$ (Fig. 6a–d) shows morning CH$_4$ develops with the advent of PBLH other than monsoon. Such a pattern suggests, trapped CH$_4$ in the neighbouring valley due to a stable boundary layer of the previous night becomes available at our site (top of a hill) with the rise in the boundary layer in the morning hours. So, we get a morning peak in CH$_4$ concentration. As PBLH grows beyond the site elevation, CH$_4$ drops due to mixing with a larger area. Winter is characterised by a small peak in CH$_4$ levels (Fig. 6c) at the evening (around 19:00 LT), which further develops and emerges as a dominant peak in pre-monsoon. Hence, a close association of PBL and CH$_4$ is observed in biplot in pre-monsoon (Fig. 5d).

Data and methodology.  Climatology of the study area.  The mean monthly variation of relative humidity (RH in %) and temperature (°C) from NCEP-FNL reanalysis dataset over sng is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1a,b during the period 2014–2015. Temperature over sng varies from ~ 25 to ~ 31 °C. Relative humidity (RH) was maximum during south-west monsoon (June–July–August–September, JJAS) season of > 75%, and the minimum occurred during winter (December–January–February, DJF) of about < 50%. At sng, the wind speed at 850 hPa (data source: ERA-Interim) varies between 1 and 12 ms$^{-1}$. Maximum wind speed occurred mainly from the south-west direction during the Indian summer monsoon (ISM) months, JJAS, which originated from the Arabian Sea. In winter, the winds are mostly from the northeast direction, originated from the Indian subcontinent (Supplementary Fig. 1c,d). Figure 1b shows the location of the study area with the mean outgoing long-wave radiation (shaded) and mean wind (1000 hPa) flow in vector form. Figure 1a depicts the south-westerly monsoon flow from the ocean to land with enhancing convection (low OLR) over the Indian sub-continent. Figure 1b illustrates an opposite flow pattern during the winter associated with suppressing convection (high OLR). So, it is evident that our study area experiences a strong seasonally reversing of the wind flow from summer to winter. The wind rose diagram shows south-westerly wind is associated with low CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentration (Fig. 1c,d). The interplay between wind and GHG concentration is discussed further in “Influence of prevailing meteorology” section.

GHG analyser.  Continuous air sampling was done through a fast greenhouse gas analyser (model: LGR-FGGA-24r-EP) from a 10 m meteorological tower. It is based on enhanced off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy (OA-ICOS) technology$^{46}$. This instrument is able to provide CH$_4$, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O concentration simultaneously with high temporal resolution (up to 1 Hz). The sensor was calibrated using a zero air cylinder having known CO$_2$, CH$_4$ concentrations. The dry values of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ mixing ratios, corrected for water vapour, are reported in this paper. The CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ data integrated for 100-s intervals are presented here. The analyser has 0.3 ppb, 0.05 ppm, and 5 ppm precision of CO$_2$, CH$_4$ mixing ratios, corrected for water vapour, are reported in this paper. The CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ data integrated for 100-s intervals are presented here. The analyser has 0.3 ppb, 0.05 ppm, and 5 ppm precision of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and H$_2$O when operating in the 0.01 Hz frequency. Moreover, we take 15-min average CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ measurements for further analysis. The site has been operational from July–2014 to November–2015. There were several data gaps in between, with an opening from 3-May–2015 to 9-July 2015 (longest gap), due to instrument maintenance. This gap is filled with weekly flask samples data obtained from the same site. CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentration data have been plotted on diurnal and monthly time scales. The year was divided into four different seasons, i.e., monsoon (July–August–September), post-monsoon (October–November), winter (December–January–February), and pre-monsoon (March–April–May).

Due to the unavailability of AWS in the study area, no in-situ meteorological data were available; instead, we use different kinds of reanalysis data as mentioned later.

Kaziranga (knp) CO$_2$ data.  The Metflux India flux observational site Kaziranga National Park (knp) is a semi-evergreen forest located in the north-eastern state of Assam. The CO$_2$ concentration over the forest is measured at the height of 37 m using an enclosed path CO$_2$–H$_2$O infrared gas analyser (LI-7200, LI-COR, USA) at frequency of 10 Hz. The high-frequency data are processed using the EddyPro software and averaged in the time interval of 15 min. The details of the study area and instruments can be found in$^{15}$.

Moderate-resolution imaging spectrometer (MODIS).  The MODIS was launched in December 1999 on the polar-orbiting NASA-EOS Terra platform$^{50,51}$. It has 36 spectral channels covering visible, near-infrared, shortwave infrared, and thermal infrared bands. In the present study, we have used 5-km spatial resolution having 16-day temporal resolution NDVI (Normalized difference vegetation index) data. We got the dataset from MODIS (Product-MOD13C1) official website (https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/dataprod/mod13.php). The NDVI is a normalised transform of the near-infrared (NIR) to red reflectance ratio (RED) and calculated using the following equation

$$
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{RED}}{\text{NIR} + \text{RED}}
$$
NDVI values range from −1.0 to +1.0. Higher positive values are associated with increased vegetation coverage. The NDVI is averaged over the region 18–18.5° N and 73.5–74° E.

Outgoing longwave radiation. Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) is the radiative flux leaving the earth-atmosphere in the infrared region. OLR has a broad wavelength ranging from 4 to 100 μm. In the present study, we have been using OLR data from a very high-resolution radiometer (VHRR), onboard Kalpana-1 satellite. VHRR measures OLR in infrared (10.5–12.5 μm) and water vapour (5.7–7.1 μm) wavelength band. Retrieval algorithm of OLR from the VHRR images, archived at the National Satellite Data Centre of the India Meteorological Department, New Delhi, is available in52. The OLR data is available at three-hour intervals (i.e. 00, 03, …, 18 and 21 UTC) starting from May-2004 over the Indian region (40° S–40° N, 25° E–125° E). It has 0.25° x 0.25° spatial resolution. In the present study, we used daily data. The yearly data files are available on the official site of IITM, Pune (https://www.tropmet.res.in/~mahakur/Public_Data/index.php?dir=K1OLR/DlyAvg). Usually, low OLR values (< 200 W m⁻²) denote convection, whereas high values indicate clear sky. OLR is averaged over the region 18.12–18.62° N and 73.62–74° E.

Modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications (MERRA). The MERRA-2 is a NASA atmospheric reanalysis project that began in 1980. It replaced the original MERRA35 reanalysis product using an upgraded version of the Goddard Earth Observing System Model, Version 5 (GEOS-5) data assimilation system. MERRA-2 includes updates to the model34 and Global Statistical Interpolation (GSI) analysis scheme of Wu et al.35. MERRA-2 has a spatial resolution of 0.625° x 0.5°. In the present study, we used MERRA-2 dataset to determine the Planetary Boundary Layer Height on an hourly timescale. We take planetary boundary later height (PBLH) averaged over 18–18.5° N and 73.13–73.48° E for our study region.

European re-analysis-interim (ERA-Interim). Era-Interim is a reanalysis product of the global atmosphere produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) available from 197946. The Era-Interim atmospheric model and reanalysis system uses cycle 31r2 of ECMWF’s Integrated Forecast System (IFS). The system includes 4-dimensional variational analysis (4D-Var) with a 12-h analysis window. In each window, available observations are combined with prior information from a forecast model to estimate the evolving state of the global atmosphere and its underlying surface. Meridional and zonal wind components at 850 hPa at a spatial resolution of 0.25° x 0.25° (grid dimension: 18–18.5° N and 73.5–74° E) were used.

ERA5. ERA5 is the latest version of reanalysis produced by ECMWF. ERA5 is produced using 4D-Var data assimilation in ECMWF’s Integrated Forecast System. A temporal resolution of 1 h and a vertical resolution of 137 hybrid sigma model levels. The 37 pressure levels of ERA5 are identical to ERA-Interim57. ERA5 assimilates improved input data that better reflects observed changes in climate forcing and many new or reprocessed observations that were not available during the production of ERA-Interim.

ERA5-Land provides the land component of the model without coupling to the atmospheric models. It uses the Tiled ECMWF Scheme for Surface Exchanges over Land with revised land-surface hydrology (HTESSEL, CY45R1). It is delivered at the same temporal resolution as ERA5 and with a higher spatial resolution of 0.1° x 0.1°. 2 m air temperature, soil temperature level 1 (0–7 cm), and soil temperature at level 2 (7–28 cm) is used.

NCEP FNL re-analysis. The NCEP FNL (final) operational global analysis data are on 1° x 1° grid prepared operationally every six hour. This product comes from the Global Data Assimilation System, which continually gathers observational data. The time series of the archive is continually extended to a near-current date but not preserved in real-time (http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.2/). The key aim of these re-analysis data is to provide compatible, high-resolution, and high-quality historical global atmospheric datasets for use in weather research communities58,59. Air temperature and RH are averaged over the area 18–19° N and 73–74° E.
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