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ABSTRACT

A new method of analysis and classification of rock acoustic emission signals is proposed. It is based on symbol description of signals and involves the following processing. First, signal segments containing pulses are detected. Second, noise of the detected pulses is reduced by the wavelet filtration method. Fourth-order symlets and adaptive threshold scheme based on empirical Bayes method are used. Application of wavelet filtration allows us to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by 9 dB, on the condition that initial SNR is 8.9 dB. Each pulse is described by a descriptive matrix which represents a square binary matrix reflecting pulse amplitude-phase structure and characterizing the positions of pulse extrema relatively each other. Based on the similarity degree of descriptive matrices, classes are formed. The proposed algorithm classifies correctly 78% of pulses. Each class is considered as a symbol and a set of obtained symbols forms an alphabet. Then, changes of alphabet parameters on subsequent fragments of the signal are studied. The developed method was realized in the form of an application program with the help of which acoustic emission signals were analyzed. The signals were recorded at “Karymshina” site (IKIR FEB RAS), located in a seismically active region, Kamchatka peninsula, in 2016–2019. It was discovered during the analysis that in case of an acoustic emission anomaly, alphabet content redistributed in favor of the symbols described by larger-size descriptive matrices (up to 350 extrema). At the same time, the number of such symbols increased. Analysis of alphabet averaged dynamics discovered a well exhibiting tendency of alphabet cardinality decrease relatively an average 10–18 days before earthquakes and recovery of the value over the period from 2 to 8 days after them. The obtained estimates of the pre-seismic anomaly occurrence periods are consistent with the results of early studies. The proposed method updates the existing methodology of short-term earthquake precursor formation. Thus, applying the developed method for analysis, it is possible to identify pre-seismic anomalies of acoustic emission that is topical in the creation of strong earthquake preparation warning system operating in automatic mode.

1. Introduction

Acoustic emission (AE) in solid bodies is elastic oscillations occurring in the result of dislocation changes in a medium. In such a case, the characteristics of generated pulse emission are directly associated with plastic process features. That determines the interest in the emission investigation with the aim to develop methods for medium acoustic diagnostics.

AE is applied for different problems of diagnosis in seismology, geophysics as well as in non-destructive testing of objects and materials in industry. At the beginning of the XXI century it was shown that acoustic emission anomalies are observed before strong earthquakes and can be consider as their operative precursors [1-3]. In further investigations [4, 5] the nature of generation of pre-seismic acoustic anomalies and the features of their manifestations were considered. In the course of the research carried out at IKIR FEB RAS, it was shown that these anomalies are generated by the change of geomechanics stress field in earthquake preparation zone and appear as the result of the transformation, stress – near-surface rock deformation [6, 7]. The peculiarity of anomaly manifestation is geoaoustic emission intensity increase in the frequency range from hundreds of hertz to...
the first tens of kilohertz. It usually lasts for to several hours and occurs 1–3 days before an earthquake.

Statistics of pre-seismic acoustic anomaly observations in a seismically active region of Kamchatka peninsula, which is a part of the Pacific Ring of Fire, shows high efficiency and prospectivity of AE method to forecast catastrophic earthquakes [6]. Detailed analysis of AE signals shows that they are represented by a sequence of relaxation oscillation pulses with steep edge and smooth drop of different frequency filling [6]. In these circumstances, both separate pulses and their groups with significant overlapping are observed. In case of intensive emission, pulses merge into a continuous signal.

During a background (seismically calm) period, acoustic pulses with the repetition frequency within 0.1–0.5 pulses per a second are usually observed. During the increase of stress and of rock deformation velocity before an earthquake, both pulse amplitude and their number per a time unit grow. Pulse repetition frequency reaches the value of tens and even hundreds per a second [6].

At the present time one of the main and still unsolved problems is automation of search and operative detection of AE pre-seismic anomalies. Application of popular (widely spread in the world) methods for data analysis becomes complicated by several reasons, they are:

- wide dynamic range of recorded data is associated with great volumes of recorded information requiring special methods for storage, transfer, processing and analysis;
- strong irregularity of natural mediums and bad propagation of elastic oscillation causes signal significant distortion and attenuation which limits the capabilities of investigation methods;
- strong noisiness both by natural and industrial noises makes the analysis of inner (morphological) structure of AE pulses more complicated;
- wide variety of signal waveforms complicates the problem of classification and requires analysis methods adapting for a given signal.

The paper proposes a new approach to analyze and classify rock acoustic emission signals in order to identify AE pre-seismic anomalies. The approach is based on the description of acoustic pulses from which a signal alphabet is formed. Then the changes of alphabet parameters of signal subsequent fragments are studied.

2. AE signal model

In a general case, acoustic emission signals can be represented by a classical adaptive model, i.e. as a sum of noise $\epsilon(t)$ and some function $s(t)$, the analytical expression of which is unknown,

$$x(t) = s(t) + \epsilon(t),$$

where $\epsilon(t)$ describes nonstationary background noise containing noises of natural and artificial origin and $s(t)$ is a “useful” AE signal. It is a flux of pulses of different waveform, amplitude and frequency. Moreover, we accept the following constraint $||s(t)|| > ||\epsilon(t)||$. Figure 1 illustrates an example of AE signal.

Within the framework of the proposed approach, pulses of a “useful” signal $s(t)$ can be described by the means of their singular points. As it is known from the functional analysis theory, when there are no fractures of the first and of the second kind, these points coincide with local extrema and local inflections. It is assumed that signal function intermediate values lying between the singular points are less informative and may be neglected in the course of the analysis.

In order to understand the essence of further transformations, we consider an acoustic emission pulse signal (its graph is illustrated in Figure 2). We fix the values pulse local extreme amplitudes $a_i = a_0, a_1, ..., a_N$ and the time intervals between neighboring extrema $\tau_i = \tau_0, \tau_1, ..., \tau_{N-1}$. On the basis of these values we create a matrix of mutual relation of the fixed values (2).

Matrices of $A$ and $T$ relation reflect pulse amplitude-phase structure. They characterize the positions of local extrema relatively each other and can be considered as a pulse model.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 / a_0 & a_0 / a_1 & \cdots & a_0 / a_N \\ a_1 / a_0 & a_1 / a_1 & \cdots & a_1 / a_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_N / a_0 & a_N / a_1 & \cdots & a_N / a_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_0 / \tau_0 & \tau_0 / \tau_1 & \cdots & \tau_0 / \tau_{N-1} \\ \tau_1 / \tau_0 & \tau_1 / \tau_1 & \cdots & \tau_1 / \tau_{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau_{N-1} / \tau_0 & \tau_{N-1} / \tau_1 & \cdots & \tau_{N-1} / \tau_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We should note that if one knows any one value $a_i$, any one value $\tau_i$ and the relational matrix (2), it is possible to recover the initial waveform of a pulse more or less without loss.

To compose signal symbol description, the obtained pulse diversity is classified by comparing the relational matrices (2), i.e. based on the pulse waveform similarity criterion. However, such selection should take into account two key points: firstly, amplitude attenuation and phase distortions of acoustic pulses occurring during the propagation from a source to a receiver point; secondly, the distortions caused by the interference of additive noise on pulse waveforms at a receiver point. These problems inevitably result in significant increase of the number of pulse classes (redundant classification). The following transformations and computing operations allow us to solve, to a large extent, the problems arising during the classification.

When analyzing a pulse waveform, signal function numerical values at singular points often do not play the major role. In a
greater degree, to detect a pulse waveform, mutual position of these points is important [8]. In the framework of the applied approach, search for the differences in the observed pulse waveforms is of the main interest. Thus, relational matrices can be reduced to matrices of rank relation. In order to do that, it would be enough to transform matrices (2) into binary ones according to the following rule:

\[ r_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & a_i > a_j \\ 0, & a_i \leq a_j \end{cases}, \quad \omega_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \tau_i > \tau_j \\ 0, & \tau_i \leq \tau_j \end{cases} \]  

(3)

where \( r_{ij} \) is the result of comparison of the \( i \)-th and \( j \)-th values of extreme amplitudes; \( \omega_{ij} \) is the result of comparison of the \( i \)-th and \( j \)-th values of the intervals between the extrema. We create binary matrices of the comparison (3) results for amplitudes \( R \) and time intervals \( W \) separately.

\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & r_{0,1} & \cdots & r_{0,N} \\
r_{1,0} & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
r_{N,0} & r_{N,1} & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4)

\[
W = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \omega_{0,1} & \cdots & \omega_{0,N-1} \\
\omega_{1,0} & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\omega_{N-1,0} & \omega_{N-1,1} & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(5)

We reduce the matrix \( R_A \) size to the value \( N \) by removing zero elements of the lower line and the left column. We make transposition operation of the matrix \( W_A \) and as the result obtain the matrix \( W_A' \). After summing up the matrices \( R_A \) and \( W_A' \), we obtain a square binary matrix \( D \) of size \( N \) (7). It can be used as a model of pulse amplitude-phase structure.

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & r_{0,1} & \cdots & r_{0,N-1} & r_{0,N} \\
\omega_{0,1} & r_{1,2} & \cdots & r_{1,N-1} & r_{1,N} \\
\omega_{1,2} & \omega_{2,3} & \cdots & r_{2,N-1} & r_{2,N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\omega_{N-1,2} & \omega_{N-1,3} & \cdots & 0 & r_{N-1,N}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(6)

We call (7) a pulse descriptive matrix. An example of a pulse and its descriptive matrix are illustrated in Figure 3.

\[
W_A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & a_{0,1} & \cdots & a_{0,N-1} \\
0 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,N-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(7)

Descriptive matrices have invariant character under time and amplitude transformations that is proved by the following properties:

- invariance under time compression or expansion (if \( k > 0 \) and \( \tau_i > \tau_j \), then \( \tau_i \cdot k > \tau_j \cdot k \));
- invariance under amplitude compression or expansion (if \( k > 0 \) and \( a_i > a_j \), then \( a_i \cdot k > a_j \cdot k \)).

The invariance is retained, if the coefficient \( k \) is substituted by any monotone positive function \( f(x) > 0 \). Attenuation processes
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and nonlinear amplitude-phase distortions, changing AE pulse signal waveforms during its propagation in heterogeneous anisotropic mediums from a source to a receiver, have the character of monotone functions in the majority of practical cases. Thus, descriptive matrix invariance is of great importance when creating algorithms for identification and classification of acoustic emission pulses.

3. Model adequacy

To verify the proposed model adequacy, we generated two artificial AE signals.

It was shown in the paper [9] that AE typical pulses are described by modulated Berlage functions with a reasonable degree of accuracy

\[ u(t) = A \cdot t^{n(p_{\text{max}})} \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{n(p_{\text{max}}) \Delta}{p_{\text{max}}} t \right) \cdot \sin(2\pi f t + \varphi_0), \]

where \( A \) is the pulse amplitude; \( t \) is the time, \( 0 \leq t \leq t_{\text{end}} \); \( p_{\text{max}} \) is the pulse envelope maximum position defined relating to \( t_{\text{end}} \); \( n(p_{\text{max}}) \) is the minimum value of the parameter affecting pulse envelope slope which is chosen so that \( u(t_{\text{end}}) = n(p_{\text{max}}) \); \( \Delta \) is the coefficient of pulse envelope slope variation, the more \( \Delta \) value is, the steeper the envelope is, \( \Delta > 1 \); \( f \) is the pulse modulation frequency; \( \varphi_0 \) is the initial phase. To obtain different pulse waveforms, the parameter values \( p_{\text{max}}, \Delta, t_{\text{end}}, f, \varphi_0 \) vary.

The first artificial signal (Figure 4a) contains five pulses of similar waveform and the second one contains five pulses of different waveform (Figure 4b). As long as the model should be invariant under amplitude and time transformations of the signal, the length and the amplitude of each pulse were drawn at random. Instead of the frequency \( f \), the number of periods \( k \) on the time interval from 0 to \( t_{\text{end}} \) was defined. Parameters of the generated pulses are listed in Table 1.

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4: Modeling results for two signals. Pulses described by the same descriptive matrix are of the same color: (a) – signal containing five pulses of the same waveform; (b) – signal containing five pulses of different waveform; (c) – Wigner-Ville transformations of the first signal pulses; (d) – Wigner-Ville transformations of the second signal pulses.

![Figure 5](image)

Figure 5: Modeling results for real AE signal. Pulses described by similar descriptive matrices are of the same color.

Table 1: Parameters of test pulses

| Waveform | Number of periods, \( k \) | Maximum position, \( p_{\text{max}} \) | Steepness, \( \Delta \) | Initial phase, \( \varphi_0 \) |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| I        | 10                       | 0.2                             | 1.5             | 0               |
| II       | 5                        | 0.1                             | 1.2             | 0               |
| III      | 15                       | 0.25                            | 2               | 0               |
| IV       | 11                       | 0.01                            | 1               | \( \pi/3 \)     |
| V        | 20                       | 0.4                             | 1.5             | 0               |

Signal graphs and modeling results are illustrated in Figure 4a–b. Pulses having similar descriptive matrices are shown by the same color. Thus, application of the proposed model allowed us to determine correctly the similarity/difference of pulse waveforms. We should note that the pulses of the first signal have different frequency-time structures (Figure 4c), and would be attributed to different classes when applying frequency-time methods. Pulses of the second signal have the same frequency (Figure 4d), but different waveforms and would be attributed to the same class when applying frequency-time methods.

Figure 5 shows the real AE signal containing five pulses. Three pulse waveforms are distinguished visually. The suggested model describes real signals adequately. Pulses of the same waveform have similar descriptive matrices.

In the considered examples we analyze the signals in which noises are either absent or insignificant. Real AE signals often contain noises of artificial and natural origin, thus distorting a signal. Distortion may result in a gap, false detection or amplitude change of some extrema. Then the size and some elements of pulse descriptive matrices differ (Figure 6).

4. Classification of pulse diversity

We define initial conditions for classification. We put bounds on the size of descriptive matrices detected during the analysis by the values \( N_{\text{min}} \) and \( N_{\text{max}} \) from the bottom and from the top, respectively. Analysis epoch is the length of the time window in which an AE signal is observed. In practice, this index should provide formation of stable classes. \( N_{\text{min}} \) is determined by the minimum number of oscillations during pulse duration and cannot be set less than 3, \( N_{\text{max}} \) is defined according to the capabilities of a computing device and the maximum run-time.

Pulses are classified according to the results of calculation of descriptive matrix similarity coefficient. First, we restrict ourselves to descriptive matrices of the same size. In order to do that we divide descriptive matrices into groups \( D^{(2)} \) of matrices of the same size \( Z, N_{\text{min}} < Z < N_{\text{max}} \).

For the two descriptive matrices of the same size \( D^{(2)}_1 \) and \( D^{(2)}_2 \) the similarity coefficient \( g(D^{(2)}_1, D^{(2)}_2) \) is determined as a ratio of elements, coinciding in value and taking the same positions, to the
total number of elements in the matrix. In case of exact identity, the similarity coefficient is 1.

\[ g(D_i^{(Z)}, D_j^{(Z)}) = \frac{\#(d_{ij} = d_{ji})}{Z^2}, \quad (9) \]

where \( \#(condition) \) is the operator for calculation of the number of elements satisfying the condition, \( d_{ij} \) are the elements of the matrix \( D_i^{(Z)} \), and \( d_{ji} \) are the elements of the matrix \( D_j^{(Z)} \).

The calculated similarity coefficient \( g(D_i^{(Z)}, D_j^{(Z)}) \) is compared with the selected threshold value \( G_0 \) (it is chosen empirically). If

\[ g(D_i^{(Z)}, D_j^{(Z)}) > G_0, \]

then the pulses described by \( D_i^{(Z)} \) and \( D_j^{(Z)} \) belong to the same class.

Classification is the comparison of descriptive matrices of the same size and selection of the empirical threshold \( G_0 (0 < G_0 \leq 1) \) with which the similarity coefficient (9) is compared.

The possibility that two pulses of the same source will have exactly the same number of local extrema is very low. So, the obtained classification is too restrictive. Thus, we developed a procedure to compare descriptive matrices of different sizes. It takes into account false extrema or gaps of some extrema and allows us to reduce the number of detected classes. However, when descriptive matrix sizes are widely scattered, they are compared in an erratic manner that may cause random merging of classes described by descriptive matrices of smaller sizes. We put bounds on the possibility for merging of descriptive matrices of smaller sizes by the threshold coefficient \( S_0 \):

\[ N_L / N_M \geq S_0, \quad 0 < S_0 \leq 1, \]

where \( N_L \) and \( N_M \) are the sizes of smaller and larger descriptive matrices, respectively, \( S_0 \) is the empirically defined threshold.

Assume that there are two descriptive matrices \( D_L \) and \( D_M \) of the sizes \( N_L \) and \( N_M \), respectively (\( N_L \geq N_M \)). If \( N_M / N_L \geq S_0 \) (\( N = S_0 \cdot N_L \)), then the matrices are comparable. For the meaningful comparison, the matrices \( D_L \) and \( D_M \) are matched so that the latest \( N \) elements of the main diagonal \( D_M \) are overlapped on the first \( N \) elements of the main diagonal \( D_L \). Then we calculate the similarity coefficient (9) of matrices of size \( N \) in the domain bounded by the intersection. Elements are further compared after \( D_M \) shift along the main diagonal \( D_L \). The similarity coefficient (9) is calculated for each subsequent shift so far as the matrices in the domain bounded by the intersection have the size of not less than \( N \) (Figure 7). The comparison result is the maximum value of the similarity coefficient.

If the maximum similarity coefficient (9) exceeds the empirically defined threshold \( G_0 \) or is equal to it, the decision on sufficient closeness of descriptive matrices of compared pulses is made. In this case, the pulse with descriptive matrix of the smaller size is included into the class described by larger-size descriptive matrix (larger object absorbs a smaller one).

In the result of classification many classes are formed. Each of them unites the pulses close in amplitude-phase structure with the accuracy up to the values determined by the coefficients \( G_0 \) and \( S_0 \). Each class can be considered as some symbol and the whole set of obtained symbols composes a signal alphabet.

In order to estimate the classification algorithm noise immunity for different values of the thresholds \( G_0 \) and \( S_0 \), we realized a simulation experiment. We processed the data containing 100 pulses of similar waveform (the alphabet cardinality is 1 symbol) with signal/noise ratio (SNR) from \( \infty \) to 2.7 dB.

At the first stage of the experiment we compared the descriptive matrices with sizes differing by less than 30\% (\( S_0 = 0.7 \)). Similarity coefficient threshold was chosen to be \( G_0 = 1 \) (case when a matrix of smaller size is the sub-matrix of a larger-size matrix).

Figure 8 shows the dependences of alphabet cardinality on SNR for different values of the coefficient \( S_0 \) (0.7–1). Insignificant difference of the graphs proves that descriptive matrix element values significantly depend on local influence of noise on pulse waveform and are weakly sensitive to changes in the threshold \( S_0 \) at a high value \( G_0 \). When SNR values are less than 30 dB, the
classification does not work since matrices do not match with 100% agreement ($G_0 = 1$).

![Graph](image_url)

**Figure 8**: Dependence of alphabet cardinality on SNR for different values of the threshold $S_0$ (0.7–1) and for a fixed value of the threshold $G_0 = 1$.

At the next stage of the computational experiment, we investigated class formation during threshold $G_0$ changes (from 0.6 to 0.9). In this case, matrices of the same size ($S_0 = 1$) were compared. The results of the second stage of the computational experiment are illustrated in Figure 9. The graphs show that decrease of the threshold $G_0$ causes significant reduction of alphabet cardinality. Moreover, the number of detected classes reduces with $G_0$ decrease.

![Graph](image_url)

**Figure 9**: Dependences of alphabet cardinality on SNR for different values of the threshold $G_0$ (0.6–0.9) and for a fixed value of the threshold $S_0 = 1$.

At the third stage of the computational experiment we investigated the dependence of alphabet cardinality on SNR for $S_0 = 0.7$ and different values of $G_0$. The results are shown in Figure 10.

The experiment shows that the classification method is nonlinearly sensitive to the choice of threshold functions of $G_0$ and $S_0$. Decrease of $S_0$ causes pulse redistribution into classes with larger-size descriptive matrices and decrease of $G_0$ makes it possible to compact classes. Based on the experiment results, the recommended values of the thresholds $S_0$ (from 0.6 to 0.9) and $G_0$ (from 0.7 to 0.9) were selected.

As long as the developed method of classification is expected sensitive to the performance quality of the algorithms for pulse detection and noise reduction, one of the important tasks in AE signal investigation is the improvement of methods for signal preprocessing.

Classical digital filtration, empirical mode decomposition (EMD), and wavelet filtration were considered as methods of noise reduction and detection of signal useful component. Digital filtration was not used because the AE pulses have short duration (100–400 samples per pulse). Problem of mode functions selection complicated the usage of EMD for signal denoising (for example, in [10]), since rejected modes are different for different pulses. So, the authors chose wavelet filtration technology with adaptive threshold scheme. Wavelets are widely used in various signal processing tasks [11], in particular in noise reduction tasks [12, 13]. Denoising has three stages: direct wavelet transforms, application of a selected threshold scheme to every detailing component, inverse wavelet transforms. In order to choose a wavelet family, a threshold scheme and an algorithm for calculating threshold value, a computational experiment was carried out on model and real AE signals. The best results were obtained applying fourth-order symlets (maximum possible level of decomposition), median threshold scheme and empirical Bayes rule to calculate the threshold value [14, 15]. The selected wavelet filtration method allows us to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by about 9 dB, on the condition that initial SNR is 8.9 dB.

![Graph](image_url)

**Figure 10**: Dependences of alphabet cardinality on SNR for different values of the threshold $G_0$ (0.6–0.9) and for a fixed value of the threshold $S_0 = 0.7$.

Figure 11a–b illustrates the process of detection of local extrema in a noised pulse and in a pulse with additive noise. Figure 11c shows how the wavelet filtering algorithm recovers the waveform of a perturbed signal.

![Graph](image_url)

**Figure 11**: Noise effect on detection of local extrema: (a) – initial pulse; (b) – pulse with overlapped white noise, SNR = 12.4 dB; (c) – pulse denoised by wavelet filtration, SNR = 22.7 dB.

Application of wavelet filtering with adaptive threshold schemes allows us to apply the proposed methods of analysis to perturbed and noisy signals.

Figure 12 illustrates the results of classification of model signal pulses with the signal/noise ratio of 8.9 dB. The signal contains 50 Berlage pulses described by three waveforms the parameters of which are listed in Table 3. Before being classified, each detected pulse was denoised by the selected method of wavelet filtering (after wavelet filtration, the SNR of a single pulse is about 20 dB).
When constructing descriptive matrices, we did not take into account the extrema the amplitudes of which were less than 15% from the maximum one. Classification algorithm thresholds were selected as \( S_0 = 0.6 \) and \( G_0 = 0.7 \). For a noisy signal (Figure 12a) we succeeded to define correctly the number of classes and to structure the pulses into classes (Figure 12b). In the course of multiple repetition of the experiment it was discovered that the obtained structuring into the classes is stable to the changes of initial conditions of white noise generator.

![Figure 12: Classification results: (a) – signal with overlapped white noise and initial structuring into classes; (b) – classification of \( S_0 = 0.6 \), \( G_0 = 0.7 \); 3 classes were defined.](image)

Table 2: Waveform parameters

| Class | Number of periods, \( k \) | Maximum position, \( p_{\text{max}} \) | Steepness, \( \Delta \) | Initial phase, \( \phi_0 \) |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| I     | 7                       | 0.05                          | 1.1             | 0               |
| II    | 20                      | 0.35                          | 3               | \( \pi/3 \)     |
| III   | 40                      | 0.2                           | 2               | \( \pi/2 \)     |

The proposed algorithm classifies correctly 78% of pulses, on the conditions that thresholds are selected as \( S_0 = 0.6 \), \( G_0 = 0.7 \) and SNR is 8.9 dB before wavelet denoising. This estimate is based on the results of 100 experiments.

Efficiency of the proposed classification method can be estimated by a contraction coefficient

\[
b = \frac{|A_{\text{input}}|}{|A_{\text{output}}|},
\]

where \( |A_{\text{input}}| \) is the alphabet cardinality (number of pulses) before classification, \( |A_{\text{output}}| \) is the cardinality of the alphabet obtained as the result of classification.

Table 3 illustrates the classification results for 12 files containing 15-minute records of AE signals from IKIR FEB RAS archive data for January 1, 2018. The classification was carried out with the coefficients \( G_0 = 0.8 \), \( S_0 = 0.8 \).

As the result of further processing of AE data for the period 2016-2019, it was determined that contraction coefficient (10) varies from 50 to 200.

The developed methods of pulse description and classification were realized in the form of software. Preprocessing procedures such as centering, normalization, and noise reduction were performed by MATLAB system.

Table 3: Classification results

| File number | Alphabet cardinality, \( |A_{\text{input}}| \) | Alphabet cardinality, \( |A_{\text{output}}| \) | Contraction coefficient, \( b \) |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1           | 2864                            | 37                              | 77.4                |
| 2           | 3233                            | 36                              | 89.8                |
| 3           | 3581                            | 46                              | 77.8                |
| 4           | 3986                            | 43                              | 92.6                |
| 5           | 4593                            | 45                              | 102.0               |
| 6           | 3132                            | 46                              | 68.0                |
| 7           | 3778                            | 43                              | 87.9                |
| 8           | 4424                            | 38                              | 116.4               |
| 9           | 4836                            | 36                              | 134.3               |
| 10          | 3968                            | 39                              | 107.7               |
| 11          | 4734                            | 36                              | 131.5               |
| 12          | 3475                            | 44                              | 78.9                |

5. Examples of identification of pre-seismic AE anomalies

The developed method of classification was realized in the form of an application program. It was used to process and to analyze acoustic emission signals recorded in 2016–2019 at “Karymshina” site of IKIR FEB RAS (52.83º N, 158.13º E). AE signals are stored in the database in the form of 15-minute sound files (WAVE format). In the course of processing of each file, signal alphabet was constructed. Then alphabet symbols were ranked according to the size of their descriptive matrices and frequency (number of pulses described by the symbols).

Based on the conception of the relation of AE signal parameters with the state of a system generating a signal, we should analyze quantitative and qualitative parameters of symbol description of a signal for different time periods (from a day to a year) and to compare the defined anomalies with occurred earthquakes according to the data of regional seismic catalogue (Kamchatka Branch of the FRC GS RAS, Earthquake Catalogue for Kamchatka and Kuril Islands (1962 – present time), http://sdis.emsd.ru/info/earthquakes/catalogue.php).
In order to make the analysis, alphabets of each 15-minute AE signal were formed. Then three-dimensional images of a special type were drawn. Descriptive matrix size was measured on the vertical axis. Time (with 15-minute step) was measured on the horizontal axis. Color denotes symbol frequency.

Examples of anomalies observed 1–12 days before earthquakes and 1–6 days after earthquakes are illustrated in Figures 13–15.

Anomaly peaks in the Figures are marked by black arrows. Green lines indicate earthquake times. These anomalies are alphabet content redistribution in favor of the symbols described by larger-size descriptive matrices (up to 350 extrema). Simultaneously with that the number of such symbols increases. Alphabet cardinality also grows from 20 to 150. Assumed areas of anomalies associated with earthquakes are marked by rounded rectangles. Short-term changes of alphabet content (less than 305 peaks) were not taken into consideration.

We should note that not all the analyzed AE anomalies in the form of redistribution of alphabet symbol frequency are associated with earthquake preparation processes. In the experiment the acoustic emission is recorded in sedimentary rocks and is a sensitive indicator of their stress-deformed state. Both global tectonic processes (for example, earthquake preparation) and local deformations, associated with the impact of different natural factors, may influence that state. Thus, not all the recorded AE anomalies are pre-seismic.

Figures 13–15 illustrate examples of AE anomalies observed during the periods when earthquakes occurred quite rarely, from one to four strong events per month. Such a choice allows us to demonstrate the relation of detected anomalies with earthquakes. When earthquakes repeat quite frequently, the anomalous behavior is not so clear that may be explained by overlapping of fragments of evoked anomalous effects. Example of such behavior of alphabet content dynamics is shown in Figure 16.

Further analysis of the dynamics of AE signal alphabet parameters allowed us to find some regularities associated with the anomalies of the envelope of day-averaged alphabet cardinality. For the averaging we applied a sliding window of the width of 96 values of alphabet current cardinality. As an example, Figures 17–19 illustrate alphabet cardinality dynamics for one month, September 2017, December 2018 and September 2019. Thin gray line shows the result of alphabet averaging and the thick black line shows the result of approximation of the least square method. The graphs clearly show an oscillating process with small uncertain deviations of phase and amplitude the average period of which is from 2 to 3 days. The nature of this oscillating process was not determined and is under the study now. Blue dots on the graph are local maxima of detected oscillations. Red dots are their
minimums. Vertical green lines show the earthquake times. Red arrows indicate alphabet transformation tendencies before a series of earthquakes. Green arrows indicate transformation tendencies after a series of earthquakes. We can clearly distinguish the tendency of decrease of alphabet cardinality relatively the average 10–18 days before earthquakes and recovery of the value over the period from 2 to 8 days after them. This decrease is evidently determined by the fact that acoustic process before an earthquake becomes significantly more nonstationary. More pulses of similar waveforms appear and, consequently, alphabet set gets narrow.

Note that at all stages of the investigation, we had to face known nonlinear manifestations of the natural system under the study. These manifestations reflected in the chaotic character of AE signal dynamic characteristics. As it had been expected, the attempt of application of traditional methods for signal statistical processing, such as calculation of probability density function, ensemble averaging, estimation of statistic parameters of different orders, to nonstationary AE signals did not provide stable results. Thus, the attention was focused on the search for invariant transformations which would be applied to AE chaotic character properly. On the whole, we think that we are at the beginning of a perspective survey which, owing to the chosen approach and the developed computer tools for analysis, will allow us to investigate deeper and to extend general and personal knowledge on seismic process behavior and, consequently, to predict catastrophic effects of earthquakes at different stages and with more confidence.

6. Conclusions

A new method for analysis and classification of rock acoustic emission signals has been proposed. It is based on their symbol description. First of all, signal sections containing pulses are determined. Each of the sections is described by a descriptive matrix. Based on the similarity degree of the descriptive matrices, pulses are structured into classes. Each class is considered as a symbol and a set of obtained symbols composes an alphabet. Then we study the changes of alphabet parameters on signal subsequent fragments.

The developed method allows us to:

- distinguish informative features in AE signals based on their qualitative peculiarities;
- solve the problem of processing of a large volume of data by unifying pulse description and systematization;
- identify anomalies in AE signals distinguishing pre-seismic ones.

The method was implemented as an application program by the means of which we analyzed acoustic emission signals which were recorded at “Karymshina” site of IKIR FEB RAS in the seismically active region, Kamchatka peninsula, in 2016-2019. It was discovered during the analysis that in case of acoustic emission anomaly occurrence, alphabet content is redistributed in favor of the symbols described by larger-size descriptive matrices (up to 350 extrema). Simultaneously with that the number of symbols increases. Analysis of alphabet averaged dynamics detected a clear tendency of alphabet cardinality decrease relatively the average 10–18 days before earthquakes and recovery of the value over the period from 2 to 8 days after them.

Thus, a new approach to the analysis of acoustic emission signals has been developed. The approach updates the existing methodology of short-term earthquake precursor formation. On the basis of it, a system for automatic identification of pre-seismic AE anomalies can be created. It is topical for prediction of strong earthquakes which are catastrophic natural phenomena.
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