Density-functional study of oxygen adsorption on Mo(112)
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Atomic oxygen adsorption on the Mo(112) surface has been investigated by means of first-principles total energy calculations. Among the variety of possible adsorption sites it was found that the bridge sites between two Mo atoms of the topmost row are favored for O adsorption at low and medium coverages. At about one monolayer coverage oxygen atoms prefer to adsorb in a quasi-threefold hollow sites coordinated by two first-layer Mo atoms and one second layer atom. The stability of a structural model for an oxygen-induced \(p(2 \times 3)\) reconstruction of the missing-row type is examined.

PACS numbers: 68.43.Bc, 68.43.Fg, 73.20.At, 73.30.+y

I. INTRODUCTION

Molybdenum surfaces attract substantial current interest because of their important applications and fundamental properties. Molybdenum is one of the most interesting catalysts for its various oxidation states and a wide range of chemical reactivity. Oxidation of Mo strongly alters the dissociative adsorption of several molecules. Thus, oxygen adsorption on the low-index planes of \(bcc\) Mo has been widely studied using various experimental techniques. The preferred adsorption sites and ordered structures have been identified (see Ref. 3 and references therein).

The Mo(112) surface although open, is also very stable and is exploited as a substrate for the growth of ordered silica layers\(^\text{4,5}\) or epitaxial growth of \(\text{MoO}_2\). The furrowed structure of Mo(112) has been used to study anisotropy of interactions in adsorbed submonolayers of alkaline\(^\text{6,7}\) and alkaline-earth metal\(^\text{8}\) atoms. Recent experimental findings, reporting that a presence of small amount of oxygen adatoms promotes a longer periodicity of low-coverage linear-chain-structures\(^\text{9,10,11}\) formed in the Mo(112) furrows, and responsible for commensurate phase transition in adsorbed Sr layers\(^\text{12}\) motivated this theoretical examination of O adsorption on Mo(112).

According to experimental studies of low-coverage O adsorption\(^\text{9,10,11}\) at Mo(112), oxygen atoms are expected to adsorb in trough sites between close-packed Mo rows and the sequence of most stable atomic oxygen sites can be ordered as: quasi-threefold hollow, long bridge, and on-top.

Oxygen adsorption on the more open metal surfaces is known for long time to induce their reconstruction. One of the best known examples is the \((2 \times 1)\) added-row reconstruction of the fcc Cu(110) surface\(^\text{13,14}\) which has a similar trough-and-row structure to the bcc (112). Different possibilities for O and C induced reconstructions of Mo(112) and their effect on the surface band structure were discussed\(^\text{14}\) but it is only recently when Schroeder et al.\(^\text{15}\) reported results of a detailed experimental study of the transformation of the clean Mo(112) surface under oxygen exposure into an epitaxially grown MoO\(_2\) film.

They found that an oxygen-induced \(p(2 \times 3)\) reconstruction precedes the MoO\(_2\) formation. This phase is very stable and passivates the Mo(112) against further oxidation in its initial stages.

The principal goal of this investigation is the determination of the oxygen modification of a Mo(112) surface and identification of preferred adsorption sites during low-coverage atomic oxygen adsorption, varying from a small fraction of a monolayer (ML) up to one monolayer. The stability of different sites and configurations of O adatoms is explored including the observed \((1 \times 2)\) pairing-row overlayer structure which can be viewed as the one-dimensional MoO\(_2\) oxide structure. To the best of our knowledge such calculations have not been performed so far. We also investigate the stability of the structural model of the experimentally discovered oxygen induced \((2 \times 3)\) missing-row reconstruction of Mo(112)\(^\text{1,15}\).

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. Section II outlines the methodology of calculations. It is followed by a presentation and discussion of our results in Sec. III. The paper is summarized in a concluding section.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The calculations are based on density-functional theory\(^\text{16,17}\) in the generalized gradient approximation\(^\text{18}\) for the exchange-correlation energy functional. The electron-ion interaction is represented by the projector-augmented-wave potential\(^\text{18}\) as implemented\(^\text{20}\) in the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation package (VASP)\(^\text{21}\). The ground state is determined by solving the Kohn-Sham equations applying a plane waves basis set with energy cutoff of 300 eV. A clean Mo(112) surface is modeled by periodic slabs consisting of seven molybdenum layers separated by empty space of sufficient thickness (eight equivalent Mo layers). Oxygen atoms are adsorbed in various sites on one side of the slab. The positions of Mo atoms in the four topmost layers, and all O atoms are fully relaxed until the forces on atoms converge to less than 0.02 eV/\(\text{Å}\). A dipole correction\(^\text{22}\) was applied to compensate for
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. O adsorption on unreconstructed Mo(112)

In order to determine the preferred sites for O adsorption on a clean Mo(112) we consider first very low coverages of 1/8 ML corresponding to a single O atom in a $4 \times 2$ surface unit cell. We define the coverage $\Theta$ as the ratio of the number of adatoms to the number of substrate atoms in a surface unit cell. Following experimental findings, we explore several possible adsorption sites for O atoms: long-bridge, atop, quasi-threefold hollow, and short-bridge. The locations of these sites are shown schematically in Fig. 1.

At this coverage the distances between adatoms are large (10 Å across, and 11 Å along the rows), and the O–O interaction is negligible. The strongest binding for this coverage is found when the O atoms are adsorbed in short bridge sites in a topmost Mo row (Table I). Note that the binding (adsorption) energy, which is calculated with respect to the energy of one-half of the energy of a free O$_2$ molecule, from the total energy difference of the systems with and without adsorbate, is defined here as positive. A second stable site at low coverages is the quasi-threefold hollow site situated between two row atoms and one trough atom with the binding energy about 120 meV lower. In the following, for brevity, the former sites are referred to as bridge and the latter as hollow sites. The other sites appear either unstable (long-bridge in the trough, and atop Mo atom in the rows — the O atoms shift from there to hollow or to short-bridge sites, respectively) or show a distinctly weaker binding (atop is 0.6 eV weaker bound) compared to the strongest bound O in short-bridge sites. Interestingly, the in-trough located short-bridge sites are equally unfavored as on-top sites. Actually, they both end up in a shifted short-bridge site which could be called a hollow site of second type. This site is coordinated by one first-layer atom and two second layer Mo atoms. A preference for the bridge sites on the top Mo row is somewhat unexpected because oxygen atoms usually tend to occupy higher coordinated sites on transition metal surfaces. Therefore, it contradicts the experimental models which suggest a quasi-threefold hollows as most favored sites for low-coverage O-adsorption on Mo and W(112). It also differs from O adsorption on the furrowed Cu(110) surface where both experiment and theory predict high-coordinated hollow sites as most favored.

Table I presents the calculated binding energies. The O coverage was increased either by placing additional O atoms in the surface unit cell or by reducing cell size. Test calculations performed at the coverage $\Theta = 0.5$ for the bridge- and hollow-site $p(1 \times 2)$-O structures in $1 \times 2$ and $2 \times 2$ unit cells, show that the binding energies agree within 15 meV and 13 meV, respectively. Hence, the maximum error bar in the determination of the relative energies, with respect to different size of unit cells and different number of k-points applied, is estimated as $\pm 15$ meV. For a given coverage, we compare the energies of different patterns calculated in a unit cell of same size and the error is much below this limit. The binding en-

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Coverage [ML] & Structure & Binding energy [eV] \\
\hline
\hline
0.125 & $(4 \times 2)$ & 4.322 & 4.195 \\
0.25 & $(2 \times 2)$ & 4.305 & 4.148 \\
0.5 & $(1 \times 1)$ & 4.234 & 4.145 & 3.691 & 4.130 \\
\hline
1 & $(1 \times 1)$ & 3.907 & 3.906 \\
& $(1 \times 2)$ & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Binding energies of O atom adsorbed in short bridge positions at a topmost Mo row and quasi-threefold hollows of Mo(112) in different adsorbate structures. The last line entry corresponds to the bottom-right ($1 \times 2$) pairing-row structure displayed in Fig. 2.}
\end{table}

FIG. 1: Top view of the upper layers of the ideal furrowed Mo(112) surface and the possible locations of adsorption sites for O atom. From left to the right two possibilities for quasi threefold hollow (hol), short bridge (bri), long bridge (lb), and atop (top) sites are represented by black circles.
ergy differences for low-coverage structures amount to at least several tens of meV, thus they are well beyond the above error bars and different structures can be easily discriminated.

The results of Table I seem to agree with the scenario of an initial stage of O adsorption based on the low energy electron diffraction (LEED) experiment. According to that, beginning from lowest coverages, the oxygen atoms tend to form atomic rows along the $[\bar{1}10]$ direction with twice the spacing between atoms in the close-packed Mo rows. The distance and phase of adjacent O rows are initially random. At increased coverage O-rows tend to occupy in-phase every second row. This results in formation of a $(2 \times 2)$ pattern at 0.25 ML (Fig. 2). At 0.5 ML coverage, the sites along each Mo row are occupied and the $p(2 \times 1)$-O structure is developed. This pattern is more stable than the $c(2 \times 2)$ which is characterized by antiphase O-rows or the $p(1 \times 2)$ structure with O atoms in each site along every second row. It is also clear that for coverages $\Theta \leq 0.5$ ML the bridge sites remain most favored.

With increased coverage ($\Theta = 0.5$), when the O atoms begin to occupy neighboring sites along the rows $p(1 \times 2)$ structure], quasi-threefold hollow sites become more preferred than bridge sites (Table I). Note, however, that for this coverage the $p(2 \times 1)$ and $c(2 \times 2)$ structures with a more homogeneous distribution of O atoms still remain energetically most favored. These results suggest that O–O repulsion is stronger for bridge than for hollow adsorption. In the latter positions the O adatoms are immersed in the electron charge distribution of the Mo substrate which screens the dipole–dipole repulsion. For a higher coverage our results predict a structural phase transition, from a $p(1 \times 1)$ phase with adatoms preferentially occupying the bridge sites, to a $p(1 \times 1)$ structure where adatoms occupy hollow sites. The energetic differences for O adsorbed in hollow sites at different coverages and structures are smaller than for O in bridge-top sites.

For both types of energetically favorable sites a decrease in the binding energy with increasing coverage is clearly seen (Table I). While for hollow sites the changes in the binding energy do not exceed 190 meV, for the whole range of coverages considered they are four times larger for bridge sites. In the latter case, they increase to 0.7 eV for $\Theta \geq 0.5$ in the cases when the nearest neighbor sites parallel to the troughs are occupied. For bridge sites the stability of their structures enhances with aligning adatoms normal to the furrows whereas for hollow sites the opposite can be observed.

Our results show (Table I) that the $(1 \times 2)$-O pairing-row reconstruction, illustrated in the bottom-right panel in Fig. 2 where O atoms occupy hollow sites on both sides of the Mo row is equally favored as the $(1 \times 1)$ structure with O atoms homogeneously distributed in hollow sites on one side of the Mo rows. In LEED experiments one originally observes $(1 \times 1)$ patterns, and a $(1 \times 2)$ pattern is formed only after annealing to ca. 500–600 K. The calculated barrier (0.63 eV) for O diffusion from a hollow site on one side of the trough to the one located on the other side (Fig. 1) confirms that this configuration can be reached only during activated adsorption process. The stability of this structure means that atoms of a Mo row screen very effectively the repulsive interaction between O atoms of the pairing rows.

The changes in the average geometry of Mo(112) layers with O coverage are presented in Table II. It is seen that O adatoms very differently influence the geometry of Mo(112). The O atoms adsorbed in bridge sites have a stabilizing effect on the Mo(112) surface which is
manifested in a diminished contraction (derelaxation) of the interlayer distance between the topmost Mo layers with increasing O coverage. In contrast, oxygen adsorbed in the quasi-threefold hollow sites enhances relaxation of the topmost layers. For higher coverages the vertical shifts of the atoms of the particular planes relative to the center-of-mass position (surface rumpling) are much smaller or negligible. Interestingly, the pairing-row \( p(1 \times 2) \)-O structure involves some parallel shifts of top Mo rows. Every second Mo row decorated by O atoms from both sides, shifts in the \([\bar{1}11]\) direction by about 0.3 Å, whereas the other, “bare” Mo-row, not coordinated directly by oxygen, shifts in an opposite direction by approximately 0.1 Å. Furthermore, the bare Mo-rows are shifted down (by \( \sim 0.09 \) Å), while the other with coordinating O-rows, shift up by the same amount with respect to the center-of-mass position. A single O atom adsorbed in a bridge site in a \( 4 \times 2 \) cell induces substantial vertical shifts of the two Mo atoms closest to oxygen (0.1 Å up). The remaining atoms of the same Mo row do not change their positions. For an O atom adsorbed in a quasi-threefold hollow, one of the two coordinating Mo atoms of the upper row moves down (0.1 Å) while the other shifts 0.1 Å upwards, and the O atom shifts laterally (by 0.08 Å) in the direction of the latter. All these adjustments enhance the coordination of the oxygen atom. No change in the vertical alignment of the Mo rows is observed. The decrease in the binding energy with coverage is, in general, accompanied by a small, though not regular, decrease in the vertical distance of the O adatom to the topmost Mo plane.

The distance \( h_2 \) to the second Mo layer increases with coverage for bridge sites and decreases for hollow sites. For the bond length \( l \) an opposite trend is observed. Variations of \( l \) correlate with a reduced contraction of the topmost Mo-layers spacing.

The calculated work function variation due to adsorption of electronegative O exhibits a strong increase with coverage which is consistent with a general picture of oxygen chemisorption on transition metals. Note that this increase is almost three times larger for O atoms adsorbed in short-bridge positions than for those in the hollow sites. This is presumably due to a much stronger polarization of protruding O adatoms in bridge than hollow sites. The work function variation seems to be consistent with experiment which reports a rapid increase of work function followed by a slower decrease for higher oxygen exposures. Our binding energy data (Table I) show that for \( 0.5 < \) coverages \( < 1 \) ML a site exchange transition from bridge to hollow sites occurs, therefore a work function decrease marked schematically in Fig. 3 by a dashed line should accompany this transition.

Figures 4 and 5 display the local partial density of electronic states (LDOS) at the O atom and the neighboring atoms of the two topmost layers, for a low and a full monolayer coverage with O atoms in bridge and hollow sites. The main contribution to the bonding comes from the O 2p orbitals. For \( 1/4 \) ML coverage, with O in bridge sites this contribution consists of two partly overlapping peaks, with the higher of them located at \( -4.5 \) eV. For O in hollow sites the region of 2p states bonding is narrower (\( \sim 1 \) eV wide) with only one peak centered...
at $-5.5$ eV. As is seen from Fig. 4, the O $2p$ orbitals hybridize strongly with the Mo $4d$ states. For oxygen adsorbed in the bridge site the bonding is limited only to the Mo atom of the topmost row while for O adsorbed in hollow sites both the Mo atom of the upper row and the one of the trough contribute to the bonding. The density of states of the Mo atom of the trough resembles very much that of Mo bulk, dominated by a conduction band formed of localized $4d$ orbitals.

For a 1 ML coverage (Fig. 5), the regions of bonding widens both for bridge and hollow sites adsorption. It extends from $-5.8$ to $-3.5$ eV with two peaks at about $-5.5$ and $-4.2$ eV, for the bridge site adsorption. Again, the O $2p$ orbitals hybridization with the Mo $4d$ orbitals contributes mostly to the bonding. For O adsorption in hollow sites, the single peak due to O $2p$ orbitals is split into three distinct components and the range of bonding has a similar width to that for adsorption in bridge sites. The hybridization of the $5s$ states of the upper Mo row with O $2p$ orbitals is also increased.

**B. Missing-row reconstruction of Mo(112)**

It has been recently reported that O adsorption induces a $p(2 \times 3)$ surface reconstruction of the missing row type. A structure model of reconstruction was proposed [Fig. 6(a)], resulting from a combined scanning tunneling microscopy and LEED study. In order to check stability of this structure, which assumes oxygen placed both in hollow and on-top Mo-atom positions, we have used it as an input model for the total energy calculations. The structure optimization leads to the O-pattern [Fig. 6(b)] which differs from the one suggested by experiment. In the optimized structure, the oxygen atom placed originally atop the Mo atom of the second Mo layer is shifted to the quasi-threefold hollow, thus aligning the O atoms with a Mo row. This is not too surprising in view of our findings, discussed in Sec. IIIA, that on-top sites belong to the least favored ones for O adsorption at Mo(112) and are 0.6 eV less stable than hollow sites. It means that an O atom sitting in a hollow site provides a more effective coordination to the Mo atoms of second layer than atop configuration.

The calculated $p(2 \times 3)$ structure belongs to the most stable ones among several considered configurations of O atoms in the unit cell, but even limited search for the total energy minimum, within a class of different O assignments, allows to find other, more stable structures, involving oxygen atoms of only hollow sites. An example of such a structure (prefered by 446 meV per unit cell) is given in Fig. 6(c). Thus, though the optimized structure of Fig. 6(b) corresponds best to the one suggested by the experimental studies, it does not provide a global energy minimum and should be considered as one of the most likely but metastable configurations.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The systematic total-energy calculations of atomic oxygen adsorption on the relaxed Mo(112) surface are presented and discussed. In contrast to experimental assignments our calculations identify the short-bridge sites of the uppermost Mo row as the most stable for O adsorption at lower coverages. They are by about 120–150 meV per atom more stable than the quasi-threefold hollows. The binding energy of O atoms adsorbed in the stable bridge and quasi-threefold hollow sites decreases with increasing coverage. Our results show that O atoms very differently modify the spacing between the topmost two Mo(112) layers. Thus, measurements of lattice relaxation can provide a method for identification of the adsorbed oxygen sites. At one monolayer coverage a site
exchange transition from bridge to quasi-threefold hollow sites occurs. The calculated work function variation with O adatom coverage is found to be consistent with recent measurements. The stability of the $p(1 \times 2)$ pairing-row reconstructed O pattern predicted by LEED experiment is confirmed. The $p(2 \times 3)$ missing-row reconstruction is investigated. The stability of the configuration suggested by experiment requires slightly modified positions of O atoms.
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