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We propose a version of functional renormalization-group (fRG) approach, which is, due to including Litim-type cutoff and switching off (or reducing) the magnetic field during fRG flow, capable describing singular Fermi liquid (SFL) phase, formed due to presence of local moments in quantum dot structures. The proposed scheme allows to describe the first-order quantum phase transition from “singular” to the “regular” paramagnetic phase with applied gate voltage to parallel quantum dots, symmetrically coupled to leads, and shows sizable spin splitting of electronic states in the SFL phase in the limit of vanishing magnetic field $H \rightarrow 0$; the calculated conductance shows good agreement with the results of the numerical renormalization group. Using the proposed fRG approach with the counterterm, we also show that for asymmetric coupling of the leads to the dots the SFL behavior similar to that for the symmetric case persists, but with occupation numbers, effective energy levels and conductance changing continuously through the quantum phase transition into SFL phase.

I. INTRODUCTION

During last decades electronic and transport properties of quantum dots have attracted considerable attention due to possibility of realizing non-trivial quantum phenomena and promising applications in different nanodevices [1, 2], in particular quantum computation systems [3–8]. The electron-electron interaction may have significant effect on electronic properties and transport in quantum dot systems and is responsible for many interesting physical phenomena.

While relatively strong Coulomb interaction leads to Kondo effect [9–12], for some special geometries of quantum dot systems even relatively weak interaction plays important role. This concerns in particular a system of parallel quantum dots, connected symmetrically to common leads, where the formation of the so called singular Fermi liquid (SFL) state [13, 14], which possesses a local moment, decoupled from the leads, and almost unitary conductance, is possible near half filling.

To date, a wide variety of analytical and numerical methods have been developed to describe the effect of the Coulomb interaction in quantum dot structures. The numerical renormalization group (NRG) method provides a straightforward approach to describe spectral functions and conductivity of quantum dots in the presence of an interaction [15–18]. However, being very successful, this method requires significant computational effort, which grows exponentially with the number of interacting degrees of freedom. This circumstance does not allow one to directly apply these methods to fairly large systems.

The recently proposed nanoscopic dynamic vertex approximation (nano-DΓA) [19, 20], allows one to treat effects of interaction in nanostructures, and has a potential of describing non-local correlations beyond nano-DMFT [21–25]. In the most complete, parquette, formulation nano-DΓA approach however also requires substantial computational resources.

In this regard, the recently proposed functional renormalization-group (fRG) approach [26–28] is very promising because it allows to reformulate a many particle problem in terms of coupled differential equations for irreducible vertex functions, which, after several approximations, can be easily integrated even for complex systems. This method has already been successfully applied to systems consisting of a small number of quantum dots arranged in different geometries [29–32]. In these studies it was shown that the results obtained with the sharp frequency cutoff are in good agreement with the Bethe ansatz and NRG data [30]. At the same time, the fRG approach with the sharp cutoff scheme is not applicable to describe the SFL state, since, e.g., for parallel quantum dots it yields an artificially low conductance at low magnetic fields for gate voltages $V_g < V_g'$, which contradicts the NRG results [13].

To describe physical properties in the local moment SFL state, we propose in the present paper an fRG scheme with continuously switching off (or decreasing) the external magnetic field with decreasing cutoff. This scheme is similar to a counterterm technique, used earlier to treat the first order quantum phase transitions in lattice fermionic systems [33, 34] within fRG approach. This technique was not however applied to description of quantum dot structures. We show that within this approach it is possible to describe correctly the dependence of the conductance on the magnetic field and the gate voltage in a good agreement with NRG data. We also found that the influence of an asymmetrical dot-lead coupling can be straightforwardly investigated using the same formalism. To demonstrate this, as an example, we consider the particular case of a parallel quantum dot system with different coupling of the leads to the dots. An especially interesting observation is the continuous behavior of the calculated observables at the quantum phase transition to the SFL state in this case, leading
to the resonance form of the conductance curve in the vicinity of the phase transition point.

The plan of the paper is the following. In Sect. II we formulate the model of parallel quantum dots with arbitrary couplings to the leads. In Sect. III we introduce the FRG approach with the counterterm, compare its results to the standard fRG approach and numerical renormalization group data. In Sect. IV we analyze present the conclusions. Finally, in Sect. V we conductance of quantum dots, symmetrically and asymmetrically coupled to the leads. Finally, in Sect. V we present the conclusions.

II. MODEL

The parallel quantum dots connected to two conducting leads (see Fig. 1) can be modelled by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{dot}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{leads}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{coup}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{dot}} = \sum_{j,\sigma} \left[ \epsilon^{0}_{j,\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} + \frac{U}{2} \left( n_{j,\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{j,\bar{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$$

describes quantum dots with equal on-site Coulomb repulsion $U$ and single energy level $\epsilon^{0}_{j,\sigma} = V_{g} - \sigma H/2$ ($\sigma = +1/-1$ for the spin up/down electrons), controlled by the gate voltage $V_{g}$ and magnetic field $H$. $d^{\dagger}_{j,\sigma}(d_{j,\sigma})$ — denote creation (annihilation) operators for an electron with spin $\sigma$ localized on the $j$-th quantum dot and $n_{j,\sigma} = d^{\dagger}_{j,\sigma}d_{j,\sigma}$. The next term, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{leads}}$, takes into account the two equivalent non-interacting semi-infinite leads, which are modeled by the following tight-binding Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{leads}} = -\tau \sum_{\alpha=L,R} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \left( c^{\dagger}_{\alpha,j+1,\sigma} c_{\alpha,j,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right),$$

here $c^{\dagger}_{\alpha,j,\sigma}$ ($c_{\alpha,j,\sigma}$) are the leads creation (annihilation) operators for an electron with spin direction $\sigma$ on the $j$ lattice site of the left $\alpha = L$ or right $\alpha = R$ lead and $\tau$ is the hopping matrix element in the leads. Finally, the last term $\mathcal{H}_{\text{coup}}$ introduces coupling between each quantum dot $i$ and leads $\alpha$, and is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{coup}} = -\sum_{\alpha=L,R} \sum_{i,\sigma} \left( t^{\alpha}_{i} c^{\dagger}_{\alpha,0,\sigma} d_{i,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right),$$

where $t^{\alpha}_{i}$ are the corresponding hopping parameters ($i = 1, 2, \alpha = L, R$).

For the symmetric case $t^{L}_{1} = t$ one can apply canonical transformation to the even $d_{e,\sigma}$ and the odd $d_{o,\sigma}$ orbitals

$$d_{e(o),\sigma} = (d_{1,\sigma} \pm d_{2,\sigma})/\sqrt{2},$$

such that the coupling part $\mathcal{H}_{\text{coup}}$ takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{coup}} = -\tau \sum_{\alpha=L,R} \sum_{\sigma} \left( c^{\dagger}_{\alpha,0,\sigma} d_{e,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right),$$

therefore only the even orbitals are directly connected to the leads by the hopping amplitude $\tau = \sqrt{2}t$ and the parallel double dot system considered in the present study can be equivalently mapped onto the system is shown on the right side of the Fig. 1.

After projection of the leads and taking the wide-band limit (see Refs. [30, 35, 36]), the inverse of the non-interacting propagator for electrons with spin $\sigma$ represents the $2 \times 2$ matrix in the dot-space, which has the following structure

$$[G^{(-1)}_{\sigma,\sigma}(i\omega)]_{i,j} = \left[ i\omega - \epsilon^{0}_{i} + i \left( \Gamma^{L}_{i} + \Gamma^{R}_{i} \right) \text{sign}(\omega) \right] \delta_{ij} + i \sum_{\alpha=L,R} \sqrt{\Gamma^{\alpha}_{i} \text{sign}(\omega)} (1 - \delta_{ij}),$$

with the energy independent hybridization strength $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{i} = \pi |t^{\alpha}_{i}|^{2} \rho_{\text{lead}}(0)$, where $\rho_{\text{lead}}$ is the local density of states at the last site of the left or right lead (the leads are equivalent).

III. FRG APPROACH

A. Formulation of the method

To describe the correlation effects in quantum dots at zero temperature $T = 0$, we, following to Ref. [30], project out the leads, introduce some cutoff of the bare single-particle Green function of the dots $G^{0}_{\alpha} \to G^{0}_{\alpha}$, and apply the one-particle irreducible FRG scheme [27, 28], yielding an infinite hierarchy of differential flow equations for the self-energy $\Sigma$ and the $n$–particle vertices $\Gamma^{(2n)}$, $n \geq 2$. Truncating the FRG flow equations by neglecting of the flow of the vertex functions with $n \geq 3$ leads to a closed system of the flow equations for the

![FIG. 1. Left: Schematic representation of parallel double quantum dots (DQ1 and DQ2) connected to two left (L) and right (R) leads by equal tunneling matrix elements $t^{L}_{1}$. Right: Schematic representation of a configuration of parallel quantum dots, symmetrically coupled to the leads, obtained as a result of the transformation (9). The vertical up-down arrow indicates the presence of two-particle interactions between quantum dots (see Eq. (17) in the Section IV A of the paper).](image)
where \( \Sigma \) and the two-particle vertex \( \Gamma^{(4)} \equiv \Gamma \), which has the standard form \[29,30\]
\[
\partial_\Lambda \Sigma^\Lambda_{\alpha\alpha} = - \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} S^\Lambda_{\beta\beta'}(i\omega) \Gamma^\Lambda_{\alpha\beta'}(i\omega) \Gamma^\Lambda_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{8}
\]
and
\[
\partial_\Lambda \Gamma^\Lambda_{\alpha\beta'} = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} S^\Lambda_{\gamma\gamma'}(i\omega) \sigma^\Lambda_{\delta\delta'}(-i\omega) \Gamma^\Lambda_{\alpha\beta'}(i\omega) \Gamma^\Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \\
- \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} S^\Lambda_{\gamma\gamma'}(i\omega) \sigma^\Lambda_{\delta\delta'}(i\omega) \times \left\{ \Gamma^\Lambda_{\alpha\gamma'\alpha\delta} \Gamma^\Lambda_{\beta'\beta\gamma} + (\delta \leftrightarrow \gamma, \gamma' \leftrightarrow \gamma') \right\} \\
- \left\{ \Gamma^\Lambda_{\beta\gamma'\alpha\delta} \Gamma^\Lambda_{\alpha\gamma\beta} + (\delta \leftrightarrow \gamma, \gamma' \leftrightarrow \gamma') \right\}, \tag{9}
\]
where \( S^\Lambda_{\alpha\alpha}(i\omega) = \left[ (G^\Lambda_{0,\alpha}(i\omega))^{-1} - \Sigma^\Lambda_{\sigma} \right]^{-1} \), the Greek multi-indices collect the dot and spin indexes and repeated indices imply summation. The \( S^\Lambda \) denotes the single-scale propagator (from now, we omit dots indexes for brevity)
\[
S^\Lambda = \left( G^\Lambda_{0,\sigma}(i\omega) \right)^{-1} G^\Lambda_{\sigma}, \tag{10}
\]
As in Refs. \[29,30\] we neglect frequency dependence of the self-energy and two-particle vertices, which was previously shown to describe very accurately conductivity of the single-impurity Anderson model in both, weak- and strong coupling regimes; taking into account frequency dependence is not expected to improve results, because of the neglect of the three-particle vertices, see Refs. \[35,37\].

The interacting part of the energy can be obtained from the flow equation \[28,35\]
\[
\partial_\Lambda E_{\text{int}} = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} \sum_{\alpha} \left[ (G^\Lambda_{0,\alpha}(i\omega) - \Sigma^\Lambda_{\sigma}) \partial_\Lambda \left( G^\Lambda_{0,\sigma}(i\omega) \right) \right] \sigma. \tag{11}
\]
The corresponding conductance of a double quantum dot system is given by the relation (see, e.g., Ref. \[34\])
\[
G = 2G_0 \sum_{\alpha} \left| \sum_{i,j} (\Gamma^L_i R^R_j)^{1/2} G^\Lambda_{i,j,\alpha}(0) \right|^2, \tag{12}
\]
where \( G_0 = \frac{2e^2}{h} \) is the conductance quantum \[35\].

### B. fRG approaches without counterterm

The standard fRG scheme to study a correlated quantum dots uses sharp cutoff in frequency space \[29,32\]
\[
G^\Lambda_{0,\sigma} = \Theta(|\omega| - \Lambda)G_0, \tag{13}
\]
where Heaviside theta function \( \Theta \) cuts out infrared modes with Matsubara frequency \( |\omega| < \Lambda \). Fig. \[2\] shows the zero temperature linear conductance \( G \) as a function of a magnetic field \( H \) at the half-filling \( (V_g = 0) \) in the particular case of full coupling symmetry \( t_{ij}^\alpha = t \). One can see that there is a clear qualitative difference between the magnetic field \( H \) dependence of the conductance \( G(H) \) at the half-filling \( (V_g = 0) \) within the NRG approach, applied following Refs. \[39,41\], and the fRG approach based on the sharp cutoff scheme. The conductance obtained within the latter approach first smoothly increases with decreasing magnetic field and then suddenly drops when the magnetic field becomes sufficiently small, in contrast to the NRG result. This drop of the conductance originates from the unphysical behavior of the vertex functions in the fRG flow, namely, with decreasing of the magnetic field they first converge to finite, but unphysically large values, and, for even smaller fields, diverge at \( \Lambda \to 0 \).

The results of the approach with sharp cutoff can be somewhat improved using Litim-type \[42\] \( \Lambda \)-dependence of the bare propagator \[43\]
\[
\left[ \tilde{G}^\Lambda_{0,\sigma} \right]^{-1} = [G_{0,\sigma}]^{-1} + iI(\Lambda - |\omega|) \times \Theta(\Lambda - |\omega|) \text{sign}(\omega), \tag{14}
\]
where \( I \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix, with respect to the indexs of the dots. Using this cutoff, we find that over the whole range of studied magnetic fields the conductance is larger than that obtained in the fRG approach with the sharp momentum cutoff (see Fig. \[2\]), which leads to some improvement of agreement with NRG data for not too low magnetic fields and allows us to continue the renormalization group flow toward a weaker magnetic fields.
Despite some improvement of the results, the smooth cutoff itself does not allow to describe correctly conductivity at small magnetic fields. Furthermore, we found that at low magnetic field these fRG schemes break down not only for the half-filled symmetric case \((V_g = 0, t^0 = t)\), as considered above, but also in both, symmetric and asymmetric cases, when \(|V_g| < V_c^e\), where \(V_c^e\) is a critical value of gate voltage, which is discussed below. We consider this situation as rather general, and related to the formation of the SFL state in zero magnetic field, that according to the phase diagram obtained in Ref. [13] occurs near half filling.

C. fRG approach with the counterterm

To describe the SFL state we decrease the magnetic field continuously during the flow, similarly to a counterterm extension of the fRG [33] [34]. To this end, we introduce additional term \(\sigma \chi^2/2\) in the propagator of the quantum dots

\[
G_{0,\sigma}^\Lambda = \left[\frac{G_{0,\sigma}^\Lambda}{G_{0,\sigma}}\right]^{-1} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \chi^2, \tag{15}
\]

corresponding to additional \(\Lambda\)-dependent external magnetic field \(\chi \Lambda\), which is switched off in the end of the flow, if we set \(\chi \Lambda \to 0\), and plays a role of infrared regulator in the bosonic spin sector. In this study, we use two different counterterms with linear and exponential cutoff dependence

\[
\chi_1^\Lambda = \tilde{H} \min(1, \Lambda/\Lambda_c), \quad \chi_2^\Lambda = \frac{\tilde{H}}{1 + \exp[(\Lambda_c - \Lambda)/\Lambda_0]}, \tag{16}
\]

that allow to begin the fRG flow with state at the field \(H_{ini} = H + \chi_1 \to \infty = H + \tilde{H}\), while at the end of the fRG flow one obtains renormalized vertices describing the system in the physical field \(H_{fin} = \tilde{H} + \Lambda_c\), \(\tilde{H}\) and \(\Lambda_0\) are independent parameters, \((\Lambda_c \gg \Lambda_0)\), which determine the scale and sharpness of switching off the \(\tilde{H}\).

In the present study, the parameter \(\Lambda_0\) was fixed to be approximately equal to the fRG scale, where the splitting occurs, but can be changed in a rather broad range. The value of the parameter \(\Lambda_c\) is chosen according to the counterterm field \(\tilde{H}\): it should provide a slow switching off the counterterm, when the additional magnetic field is chosen to be small and at the same time the counterterm should not be switched off too slowly for large values of \(\tilde{H}\), otherwise significant errors might occur. In other respects these parameters are arbitrary.

One can see from Fig. [2] that use of the counterterm technique eliminates the unphysical behaviour of the conductance at low magnetic fields. In the presence of counterterm, \(\Sigma_\sigma \to 0\) converges to a finite value, which entails the nonzero value of the conductance and significantly improves agreement with the NRG data. Although the calculated conductance deviates slightly from the corresponding NRG results, the conductance per spin almost reaches the unitary limit value at zero magnetic field, \(G\sigma(H = 0)|_{V_g=0} \approx 0.98e^2/h\).

We have verified that the proposed fRG scheme is stable with respect to a choice of the actual form of the counterterm, as well as parameters \(\Lambda_c, \tilde{H},\) and \(\Lambda_0\). In particular, in Fig. [3] we plot the dependence of the effective energy levels of the quantum dots \(\epsilon^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma} = \epsilon^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma} + \Sigma^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma}\) on the cutoff parameter \(\Lambda\) in different schemes. One can see, that although the flow of the levels is scheme dependent, the final result does not depend on the scheme and initial magnetic field; the latter can be varied in a rather broad range.

**FIG. 3.** (Color online). Renormalization of the energy levels \(\epsilon^\Lambda_{\sigma} = \epsilon^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma}\) with spin up \(\sigma = \uparrow\) (lower curves) and down \(\sigma = \downarrow\) (upper curves) in the fRG approximation with different counterterms for \(\Gamma^\sigma = U/\lambda\) (note that in this case \(\epsilon^\Lambda_{\sigma} = \epsilon^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma}\)), and \(H = V_g = 0\). Solid (black) line and dashed-dotted-dotted (red) lines: the linear counterterm \((\Lambda_c = \tilde{H}/2)\) with \(\tilde{H} = 0.2U\) and \(\tilde{H} = 0.02U\), respectively. Dashed (green) and dashed-dotted (blue) lines: exponential counterterm \((\Lambda_c = 10\Lambda_0 = 0.05U)\) with \(\tilde{H} = 0.2U\) and \(\tilde{H} = 0.1U\), respectively.

IV. SPIN SPLITTING, LOCAL MOMENTS IN THE SFL PHASE, AND THE CONDUCTANCE

A. Symmetric coupling to the leads

The results of the calculation of spin-dependent self-energies \(\Sigma^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma}\) (in the following the renormalized energy levels \(\epsilon^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma}\) are used as a measure of the diagonal diagonal self-energies \(\Sigma^\Lambda_{\sigma,\sigma}\)) and occupation numbers
FIG. 4. Upper panel: Effective energy levels $\epsilon_{\sigma}^{A \rightarrow 0}$ (thick solid (red) and dashed (black) line) for $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$, respectively) and off-diagonal self-energies (effective hopping between the levels) $\Sigma_{A \rightarrow 0}^{\sigma}$ (thin solid (green) and dashed (blue) line) for $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ in units of $U$ as a function of the gate voltage for $\Gamma^i = U/4$ and $H \to 0$. Lower panel: the average occupation numbers $\langle n_{e(o)} \rangle$ (solid (red) line and dashed (black) line for $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$) as a function of the gate voltage for the same parameters. The calculations were performed within the NRG approach with the linear counterterm ($H/U = 0.1, \Lambda_c/U = 0.05$).

$$
\langle n_{j,\sigma} \rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} \left[ G_{0,\sigma} (i\omega) \right]^{-1} - \Sigma_{\sigma}^{A \rightarrow 0} \right]^{-1} \text{ at } T = 0
$$

are shown in Fig. 4. One can see that the self-energies and occupation numbers are strongly split (with respect to the spin projection) in some range $|V_g| < V_g^c$ near the half-filling $V_g = 0$ even when $H \to 0$. As we discuss below, this reflects the formation of local moment in this range of gate voltages. There is small splitting of self-energies also in the vicinity of the transition point (in the shaded area) in the paramagnetic phase $|V_g| > V_g^c$, which is an artifact of the present approach. We also note, that in the vicinity of the transition point, the proposed RRG approach may overestimate the renormalization of the vertices and for this reason may become less accurate for $V_g \approx V_g^c$ (in the shaded areas in the Fig. 4b). However, we have found that even for these gate voltages all the observables are described correctly in comparison with the NRG calculations.

The local moment appears due to the specific charge redistribution, which in the symmetric case $t_f^o = t$ is related to the even and odd orbitals $d_{e(o),\sigma}$, yielding one electron in the odd orbital, disconnected from leads, and changing the character of the spin-spin correlations [14]. To show this explicitly, we plot the total occupation numbers $\langle n_{e(o)} \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \langle n_{e(o),\sigma} \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \langle d_{e(o),\sigma}^\dagger d_{e(o),\sigma} \rangle$ in Fig. 5a). In agreement with Ref. [14], in finite range of gate voltages $|V_g| < V_g^c$ the odd orbital is occupied by one electron $\langle n_o \rangle = 1$, forming a $S = 1/2$ local moment, which is aligned along the direction of the magnetic field. The occupation numbers $\langle n_{e(o)} \rangle$ for the even orbital behave smoothly due to the level broadening caused by the hybridization of the even orbital with leads. In Fig. 5b) we also plot the gate voltage dependence of the square of the spin in the even(odd) orbitals $\langle S^2_{e(o)} \rangle = (1/4) \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \langle (d_{e(o),\sigma}^\dagger \sigma d_{e(o),\sigma} \sigma) \rangle$ (see Fig. 5b)) takes an almost constant value, $\langle S^2_e \rangle \approx 1.2$, for $|V_g| < V_g^c$ and has a weakly pronounced maximum at $V_g = 0$. In this region of gate voltage $\langle S^2_e \rangle > \langle S^2_o \rangle + \langle S^2_\uparrow \rangle + \langle S^2_\downarrow \rangle$, which indicates the presence of ferromagnetic correlations.

The dependence of the interaction energy $E_{\text{int}} = E - E_0$, i.e. a difference between the energy $E$ of interacting and $E_0$ of non-interacting systems, on the gate voltage (shown in Fig. 5c)) indicates that the jumps of occupation numbers correspond to the cusp of the $E(V_g)$ dependence, confirming first-order phase transition at $|V_g| = V_g^c$.

In order to understand the mechanism that leads to the existence of spin splitting of energy levels in the SFL
state, we rewrite the Hamiltonian (2) for the symmetric case \( t^0 = t \) in terms of even and odd orbitals (up to a constant contribution) as

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{dot}} = \sum_{k,\sigma} \left( \epsilon^0 - \frac{U}{2} \right) n_{k,\sigma} - U \sum_{\alpha} \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\sigma} + \frac{U}{2} \left[ n_{e,\uparrow} n_{e,\downarrow} + n_{o,\uparrow} n_{o,\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} n_{o} n_{e} + \left( d_{e,\uparrow}^\dagger d_{o,\uparrow} d_{e,\downarrow}^\dagger d_{o,\downarrow} + \text{H.c.} \right) \right].
\]

(17)

The Hamiltonian (17) has a form of the two-orbital Anderson model with intra-orbital, inter-orbital, Hund exchange interaction, and pair electron hopping equal to \( U/2 \). In the SFL (local moment) phase even for the infinitesimally small magnetic field, the Hund exchange leads to strong splitting of itinerant electronic states

\[-U \vec{s} \vec{\sigma} \sim -\frac{U}{4} \sum_{\alpha} \sigma n_{e,\sigma}.\]

As a result the Zeeman energy splitting of even states becomes \( \Delta t_\uparrow \sim U/2 \), instead of \( \Delta t_\uparrow = \hat{H} \) in the absence of interaction \( U \), and therefore it is strongly enhanced. Due to half filling of the odd orbital, the pair hopping term is not active in the SFL phase.

The results showing spin splitting in SFL phase refer to \( H \to 0 \) limit of Green functions at \( T = 0 \). Presence of the local moment makes however non-commutative limits \( T \to 0 \) and \( H \to 0 \) in the opposite limit \( H = 0, T \to 0 \) our NRG calculations confirm the validity of Logan et al. result [44], expressing the Green function through the arithmetic average of spin-split Green functions \( \mathcal{G}(H = 0) = (\mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_\downarrow)/2 \), calculated at small finite magnetic field (which can be therefore extracted from fRG calculation).

The resulting dependence of the conductance \( G(V_g) \), obtained at \( T = 0 \) and \( H \to 0 \) is represented in Fig. 6. We can see that while the conductance within the both sharp and smooth cutoffs drops to zero in the SF state, the fRG approach with the cutoff procedure [15] leads to a finite conductance in the whole range \( |V_g| < V_g^c \). The conductance in fRG almost reaches unitary value \( G_0 = 2e^2/h \) at \( V_g^c = 0 \) and in the symmetric case \( t^0 = t \) shows discontinuity at the gate voltage \( V_g^c \), corresponding to a quantum phase transition from SFL to a regular FL ground state, which agrees well with our NRG results and previous NRG analysis for strong on-site Coulomb repulsion [13]. It can be seen that the conductance in the singular Fermi liquid regime is well described by the proposed approach; as expected, the agreement with NRG becomes better as \( U/T \) is decreased. At the same time, for \( U/T \to 0 \), the disagreement with NRG is still not too large close to half-filling. Furthermore, we have verified that this holds for \( U/T \ll 10 \).

The conductance in the opposite limit \( T \to 0 \) at \( H = 0 \) may differ from the above result due to additional phase shift [44]. Neglecting vertex corrections, the conductance in this limit is given by \( G = 4G_0 \sum_{\Gamma} \left( \Gamma_{\uparrow} \Gamma_{\downarrow} \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{G}_0^{\uparrow\downarrow}(H = 0)|^2 \), which yields somewhat smaller value, than at \( T = 0 \), \( H \to 0 \).
levels of the quantum dot $\epsilon_{1,\sigma}$, stronger hybridized with the leads, do not exhibit such a strong splitting and the corresponding occupation numbers $\langle n_{1,\sigma} \rangle$ are more sensitive to a change of the gate voltage. The overall behavior of the renormalized energy levels and occupation numbers is nevertheless similar to that obtained for the symmetric coupling to the leads, showing that SFL state is not restricted to the symmetric coupling of quantum dots to the leads. The main difference with the symmetric case is that the transition to SFL state is continuous in the presence of the asymmetry. The absence of the discontinuities in the asymmetric case is a consequence of the interaction induced non-zero hopping between even and odd orbitals. The corresponding transition to SFL phase can be therefore viewed as a (second-order) quantum phase transition.

The conductance of parallel quantum dot system with up-down coupling asymmetry shown in Fig. 7b) has also no discontinuities and shows a sharp asymmetric Fano-like resonance at a gate voltage $V_g^c$, indicating the SFL behavior. The NRG calculations for asymmetric cases become progressively more complicated (especially when all hopping parameters are different), and they are not therefore presented here. Due to rich phase diagram of these asymmetric cases, with several independent hopping parameters and gate voltage, a more detailed analysis of these cases will be given in the upcoming publication [45].

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have proposed the version of the functional renormalization-group approach, which is able to describe interaction-induced local moments in quantum dot systems, which occur due to peculiarities of geometric structure of these systems. We applied the proposed scheme to obtain occupation numbers, square of the spin, and conductance as a function of gate voltage in parallel quantum dots, symmetrically coupled to leads, and found good agreement with NRG calculations. Investigation of the gate voltage dependence of the interaction energy shows confirms first order quantum phase transition at the gate voltage $V_g^c$ where abovementioned quantities show a jump. For parallel quantum dots, differently coupled to the leads, the conductance shows Fano-like resonance.

The proposed approach can be further used for describing equilibrium and non-equilibrium processes in complex quantum dot systems or organic molecules, which are not accessible for NRG approach. The presence of local moments in some geometries of these systems can be in particular utilized in quantum computation devices.
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