The value of complete remission according to positron emission tomography prior to autologous stem cell transplantation in lymphoma: a population-based study showing improved outcome
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Abstract

Background: Chimeric antigen-receptor T-cell and bispecific antibody therapies will likely necessitate a reconsideration of the role of autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) in lymphoma. Patients who are likely to profit from ASCT need to be better identified.

Methods: Here, we investigated the value of positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) before ASCT. All 521 patients transplanted for lymphoma 1994–2019 at Karolinska (497 conditioned with BEAM) were included.

Results: Outcome improved over three calendar periods 1994–2004, 2005–2014, 2015–2019 (2-year overall survival [OS]: 66, 73, 83%; P = 0.018). Non-relapse mortality (NRM) at 100 days over the three periods were 9.8, 3.9, 2.9%, respectively. The OS improvement between 1994 and 2004 and 2005–2014 was due to lower NRM (P = 0.027), but the large OS advance from 2015 was not accompanied by a significant reduction in NRM (P = 0.6). The fraction of PET/CT as pre-ASCT assessment also increased over time: 1994–2004, 2%; 2005–2014, 24%; 2015–2019, 60% (P < 0.00005). Complete responses (PET/CT-CR) were observed in 77% and metabolically active partial responses (PET/CT-PR) in 23%. PET/CT-CR was a predictor for survival in the entire population (P = 0.0003), also in the subpopulations of aggressive B-cell (P = 0.004) and peripheral T-cell (P = 0.024) lymphomas. Two-year OS and progression-free survival (OS/PFS) for patients in PET/CT-CR were in relapsed/refractory aggressive B-cell lymphoma 87%/75% and peripheral T-cell lymphoma 91%/78%. The corresponding figures in PET/CT-PR were 43%/44 and 33%/33%. Patients with solitary PET/CT-positive lesions showed acceptable outcome with ASCT followed by local irradiation (2-year OS/PFS 80%/60%). CT was less discriminative: 2-year OS/PFS: CT-CR, 76%/66%; CT-PR, 62%/51%. Outcome was inferior after BEAC compared with BEAM conditioning.

(Continued on next page)
Conclusions: We conclude that the improved outcome reflects better, PET/CT-informed, identification of patients who should proceed to ASCT. The excellent survival of patients in PET/CT-CR indicates that ASCT should remain part of standard therapy for lymphoma.
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Background

Even though effective non-chemotherapeutic treatment options for lymphomas, such as chimeric antigen-receptor (CAR)-T cell and bispecific antibody therapies, are rapidly emerging, high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) remains the standard therapeutic option for many patients. Infections are the most common complication after ASCT and the largest contributor to non-relapse mortality (NRM) [1]. Another concern is the potential risk of developing secondary myeloid malignancies [1]. Careful patient selection prior to ASCT is essential to maximize patient benefit while keeping the rate of complications as low as possible. Additional tools are needed to further improve patient selection.

We have previously investigated stem-cell harvest yields and clinical characteristics which affect NRM, other toxicities, and the development of secondary myeloid disease, to help inform on timing and patient selection [2]. However, another important aspect of patient selection is the strength of the indication, which differ by diagnosis, primary or relapsed/refractory setting, and remission status.

Historically, computerized tomography (CT) has been used to assess patients’ remission status prior to ASCT. The combination of 18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography and CT (PET/CT) enables radiologic mapping of metabolic activity and can be used for identifying and staging the lymphoid malignancies which have elevated glucose consumption. PET/CT is superior to CT in discriminating between malignant and non-malignant residual tissue, decreasing the risk of incorrectly identifying radiologic remnants post-chemotherapy as active lymphoma [3]. The utility of PET/CT before ASCT to predict outcome has been shown in several lymphoma entities [4–16], although negative series also exist [17, 18]. In these studies, several different conditioning regimens were used, and the timing of the PET/CT differed. We wanted to investigate whether outcome after ASCT had improved with the increasing use of pre-transplant PET/CT and to assess outcome in 521 consecutive lymphoma patients treated with ASCT (497 conditioned with BEAM) in a population-based single-center study.

Methods

All lymphoma patients who underwent ASCT at the Hematology Unit, Karolinska University Hospital, from 1 January 1994 until 31 October 2019 were included in this retrospective single-center observational study (excluding patients with primary CNS lymphoma). The material is population-based, since the Hematology Unit is the only site conducting this procedure in the Stockholm-Gotland Healthcare Region (population, 2.4 million). Patient data were extracted from electronic medical files. BEAM was dosed day −7: BCNU 300 mg/m² 4-h infusion, intrathecal methotrexate 12 mg; days −6, −5, −4, −3: etoposide 200 mg/m² 2-h infusion, cytarabine 400 mg/m² 12-h infusion (day −3 also intrathecal methotrexate 12 mg); day −2: melphalan 140 mg/m² (intrathecal methotrexate was omitted in patients with indolent, T-cell and Hodgkin lymphoma). BEAC was dosed day −6: BCNU 300 mg/m² 4-h infusion; days −5, −4, −3, −2: etoposide 100 mg/m² twice daily, cytarabine 100 mg/m² 0.5-h infusion twice daily, cyclophosphamide 35 mg/kg once and mesna 14 mg/kg four times daily. BCNU-thiotepa was dosed BCNU 400 mg/m² 1-h infusion day −6; thiotepa 5 mg/kg twice daily days −5 and −4. Investigations of stem-cell harvests (median, 6 million CD34+ cells per kg), NRM, and procedure-related toxicities have been published before [2]. Refractory disease was defined as a previous failure of chemotherapy or relapse within 3 months of treatment.

CT and PET/CT

Complete and partial response (CR; PR) were defined as responses with at least 50% reduction of lymphoma size and separated by the absence or presence of a lymph node or focal lesion in any organ ≥1 cm, or as specified by the reviewing radiologist. PET/CT responses were defined according to the Lugano classification (PET/CT-CR was Deauville score ≤3) [3]. PET/CT-PR denotes a shrinking of lymphoma mass but still metabolically active disease.

Statistical analysis

Patients were followed from ASCT until death or last follow-up (November 2019). Depending on the nature of the independent variables, relationships between them were investigated using the χ², Wilcoxon or Spearman test. Overall, lymphoma-specific, and progression-free survivals (OS; LSS; PFS) were calculated from the day of ASCT until the day of death (OS), death from
lymphoma (LSS), death or progression of disease (PFS). Univariate and multivariate analyses were conducted using Kaplan-Meier curves and Cox regression; the proportional hazards assumption was checked with graphs based on Schoenfeld residuals. All P values are two-tailed and calculated using Stata 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee, Stockholm (Ref no. 2012/783–31/3 with Amendments 2015/327–32 and 2016/2379–32).

Results
Five hundred twenty-one lymphoma patients underwent ASCT between 1994 and 2019 (Table 1). Most patients were male (63%) and the median age was 57 years (range, 18–72). At last follow-up (November 2019), the median follow-up time in survivors was 5.3 years (range, 0.1–24.3). The median OS, LSS, and PFS were 13.2, not reached, and 5.7 years (Fig. 1a). OS and PFS were 74 and 66% at 2 years and 64 and 52% at 5 years (Table 2). The conditioning regimen was BEAM in 497 patients. Because of occasional melphalan shortages from 2015 onwards, BEAC was used in 20 patients. Three patients with CNS relapse of systemic lymphoma were conditioned with BCNU-thiotepa and the first patient in 1994 received cyclophosphamide-total body irradiation. There was improved outcome over the three calendar periods 1994–2004, 2005–2014, 2015–2019 (2-year OS: 66, 73, 83%; P = 0.018; Fig. 1b), partly explained by decreasing NRM (at 100 days, 9.8, 3.9, 2.9%, respectively; previously thoroughly described [2]). The OS improvement between 1994 and 2004 and 2005–2014 was due to lower NRM (P = 0.027), but the 10-percentage point OS advance between 2005 and 2014 and 2015–2019 (P = 0.029) was not accompanied by a significant reduction in NRM (P = 0.6). Rituximab use increased in B-cell disease (30, 96, 100% over the three calendar periods; P < 0.00005) but could not explain the better outcome (Table 1). Neither was it caused by changes in conditioning because the BEAM regimen never changed, and, in the last calendar period, there was a clear tendency for inferior outcome in patients treated with BEAC compared with BEAM (Fig. 1c), with P = 0.059 for OS, P = 0.034 for LSS, and P = 0.062 for PFS. When BEAC patients were excluded, not only OS and NRM, but also LSS was significantly better in the last calendar period (P = 0.040), suggesting the emergence of a new lymphoma-specific survival factor.

The emergence of PET/CT
We compared the prognostic value of pre-transplant CT and PET/CT. Cases were excluded if the last radiology evaluation prior to ASCT was PR but conducted interim (before the last course of chemotherapy prior to conditioning). All assessments showing CR in the last evaluation were included, either when conducted interim or directly before the ASCT. Thus, the last evaluation of response prior to ASCT was CT in 235 patients (62%) and PET/CT in 136 (36%), the remaining 8 (2%) were assessments using MRI, bone marrow biopsy, or palpation. Over time, PET/CT became increasingly common: PET/CT constituted 2% and CT 98% of the final evaluations 1994–2004, the corresponding numbers were 24 and 75% 2005–2014, and 60 and 37% 2015–2019 (P < 0.0005). Of patients evaluated with PET/CT prior to ASCT, 77% were in CR and 23% in PR (Table 3).

Both with CT and PET/CT, PR compared with CR was a poor prognostic marker (OS, P = 0.035, P = 0.0003, respectively). However, PET/CT was markedly more powerful than CT (Table 3; Fig. 1d). For example, at 2 years, the OS rates were in CT-CR 76% and CT-PR 62%, and in PET/CT-CR 93% and PET/CT-PR 55% (Table 3). Because CT had been conducted more in the earlier times with higher NRM, the analysis was repeated with restriction to the last calendar period (2015–2019) with similar results (2-year OS: CT-CR 81%, CT-PR 70%, PET/CT-CR 96%, PET/CT-PR 63%). At the start of conditioning, in patients with PET/CT-CR, 68% had normal C-reactive protein (< 3 mg/L), 25% 3–10 mg/L, and 7% ≥10 mg/L, whereas in PET/CT-PR, the corresponding numbers were 41, 38, and 21% (P = 0.005). There were no C-reactive protein differences between CT-CR and CT-PR (P = 0.65).

We further investigated the patients transplanted in PR: 19 were given a planned local therapy after ASCT (18, irradiation; 1, splenectomy). That approach appeared useful in the 10 patients where the local therapy was PET-guided (2-year OS/PFS 80%/60%) but not in those 9 where CT defined PR (2-year OS/PFS 44%/44%; Fig. 1e). The PET/CT-PR patients given local therapy (PET/CT-PR-local) was an intermediate prognostic group between PET/CT-CR and PET/CT-PR-general (several FDG-avid sites; Table 3). With respect to OS and PFS, PET/CT-PR compared with PET/CT-CR showed inferior outcome with hazard ratios (HR) 4.0 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.8–9.1) and 2.4 (95% CI, 1.3–4.6). For comparison, CT-PR compared with CT-CR had HR 1.5 (95% CI, 1.0–2.2) for OS and HR 1.6 (95% CI, 1.2–2.3) for PFS.

Primary and transformed aggressive B-cell lymphomas
In total, 228 (121 primary and 107 transformed) patients were transplanted for aggressive B-cell lymphoma. Outcome did not differ between diffuse large B-cell or other types of primary aggressive lymphoma; likewise, there were no differences between transformed follicular or other transformed indolent lymphomas, nor, overall, between primary or transformed aggressive lymphomas.
(Table 2), and the curves were roughly similar (Fig. 2a, b). There were no outcome differences between primary or transformed aggressive lymphoma in the upfront or relapsed/refractory setting (Fig. 2c), why the 228 aggressive B-cell patients were subsequently grouped for statistical power. Patients transplanted upfront in first remission had better outcome than those who had had relapsed or refractory disease (Table 2; Fig. 2d), similarly, the number of prior lines of chemotherapy was highly predictive for outcome (OS, \( P = 0.001 \); LSS, \( P = 0.0002 \); PFS, \( P = 0.0002 \); Fig. 2e). PET/CT was a valuable predictive tool for OS (\( P = 0.004 \)), LSS (\( P = 0.006 \)), and PFS (\( P = 0.032 \)), while remission status by CT had no value (Fig. 2f; Table 3).

Table 1 Clinical characteristics

| N per cent | OS HR (95% CI) | LSS HR (95% CI) | PFS HR (95% CI) |
|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sex        |               |                |                |
| Female     | 193 37%       | 1              | 1              |
| Male       | 328 63%       | 1.12 (0.83–1.50)| 1.06 (0.72–1.54)| 1.01 (0.79–1.30)|
| Age, years |               |                |                |
| Median (range) 57 (18–72) | | | |
| 18–45      | 126 24%       | 1              | 1              |
| 46–55      | 114 22%       | 2.04 (1.26–3.31)| 2.08 (1.09–3.94)| 1.70 (1.15–2.51)|
| 56–65      | 189 36%       | 2.99 (1.94–4.61)| 2.87 (1.62–5.08)| 2.09 (1.47–2.93)|
| 66–72      | 92 18%        | 3.26 (1.97–5.40)| 3.43 (1.81–6.52)| 2.32 (1.54–3.50)|
| Lymphoma entity | | | |
| Aggressive B cell | 121 23% | 1 | 1 |
| Transformed B cell | 107 21% | 0.80 (0.53–1.20) | 0.66 (0.40–1.09) | 1.02 (0.72–1.46) |
| Indolent B cell | 49 9% | 0.88 (0.56–1.40) | 0.59 (0.32–1.10) | 1.15 (0.76–1.74) |
| Mantle cell | 99 19% | 0.50 (0.32–0.78) | 0.38 (0.21–0.66) | 0.65 (0.45–0.95) |
| T cell | 85 16% | 0.71 (0.47–1.10) | 0.51 (0.29–0.90) | 0.80 (0.55–1.18) |
| Classical Hodgkin | 60 12% | 0.37 (0.21–0.66) | 0.19 (0.08–0.49) | 0.54 (0.33–0.87) |
| Indication for ASCT | | | |
| Upfront | 183 35% | 1 | 1 |
| Relapsed disease | 249 48% | 1.85 (1.31–2.62) | 1.82 (1.17–2.84) | 1.50 (1.13–2.00) |
| Refractory disease | 88 17% | 2.48 (1.64–3.73) | 2.58 (1.53–4.36) | 1.95 (1.37–2.77) |
| Calendar period | | | |
| 1994–2004 | 112 21% | 1 | 1 |
| 2005–2014 | 239 46% | 0.93 (0.67–1.29) | 1.15 (0.74–1.77) | 0.92 (0.58–1.23) |
| 2015–2019 | 170 33% | 0.54 (0.34–0.87) | 0.74 (0.41–1.33) | 0.82 (0.57–1.19) |
| Number of prior lines of chemotherapy | | | |
| 1 | 201 41% | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 256 52% | 1.65 (1.19–2.29) | 1.80 (1.18–2.76) | 1.44 (1.09–1.89) |
| 3 or more | 37 7% | 2.32 (1.33–4.07) | 2.70 (1.36–5.39) | 1.81 (1.11–2.96) |
| Conditioning regimen | | | |
| BEAM | 497 95% | 1 | 1 |
| BEAC | 20 4% | 1.22 (0.57–2.61) | 1.57 (0.69–3.59) | 1.59 (0.88–2.84) |
| BCNU-Thiotepa | 3 1% | NA | NA | NA |
| Cy-TBI | 1 0% | NA | NA | NA |
| Rituximab prior to ASCT in B-cell disease | | | |
| No | 63 17% | 1 | 1 |
| Yes | 313 83% | 0.61 (0.42–0.87) | 0.76 (0.47–1.22) | 0.73 (0.53–1.02) |

Abbreviations: OS overall survival, LSS lymphoma-specific survival, PFS progression-free survival, ASCT autologous stem-cell transplantation, NA not analyzable
Upfront transplanted primary or transformed aggressive B-cell lymphoma

As shown in Table 2, 35 patients were transplanted upfront, with good OS and PFS (at 2 years 90 and 72%). The small number of events made analysis of the predictive value of PET/CT difficult, but there was a trend for inferior outcome (OS, \( P = 0.061 \); PFS, \( P = 0.078 \)) in patients with PET/CT-PR. CR or PR according to CT had no prognostic value (\( P \geq 0.4 \)).

Relapsed/refractory transplanted primary or transformed aggressive B-cell lymphoma

There were 193 patients transplanted with relapsed or refractory disease: OS and PFS at 2 years were 60 and 52% (Table 2). The 2-year OS and PFS in patients in PET/CT-CR prior to ASCT were 87 and 75%, but in patients with PET/CT-PR 43 and 44% \( (P = 0.013 \) and \( P = 0.15 \)); with CT-CR, the 2-year OS and PFS were 50 and 46% and with CT-PR, 49 and 43% \( (P = 0.99 \) and \( P = 0.99 \); Table 3). Thus, PET/CT exhibited much stronger predictive value than CT.

Indolent B-cell lymphomas

Short- and long-term outcome of the 49 patients with indolent lymphoma are shown in Table 2; late relapses were seen. In follicular lymphoma, 31% were alive and in remission after 10 years. Outcome was almost identical (Fig. 3a; Table 2) in transformed and not-transformed indolent lymphoma, apart from an expected initial higher mortality in transformed disease. There were too few events for investigating the PET/CT method in not-transformed indolent lymphoma. When combining transformed and not-transformed disease, PET/CT was highly predictive for OS \( (P = 0.0003) \) and PFS \( (P = 0.013) \), while CT was not predictive \( (P > 0.5 \) for both comparisons).

Mantle cell lymphoma

Eighty-five out of 99 mantle cell lymphoma patients were transplanted upfront in or according to the Nordic MCL2 trial [19]. These patients showed excellent outcome with median PFS 9.4 years (Fig. 3b). Patients with more aggressive variants of MCL (blastic, pleomorphic,
| Lymphoma entities | N | OS 2y | 5y | 10y | P | LSS 2y | 5y | 10y | P | PFS 2y | 5y | 10y | P |
|-------------------|---|-------|-----|-----|---|--------|-----|-----|---|--------|-----|-----|---|
| All lymphomas | 521 | 74% | 66% | 56% | 82% | 76% | 70% | 64% | 52% | 42% |
| Primary aggressive B-cell lymphomas | 121 | 59% | 53% | 47% | 67% | 64% | 56% | 52% | 45% | 41% |
| DLBCL or high-grade B-cell lymphoma | 105 | 59% | 52% | 44% | 68% | 64% | 55% | 50% | 42% | 38% |
| Not-DLBCL or high-grade B-cell lymphoma | 16 | 60% | 60% | 60% | 0.28 | 64% | 64% | 64% | 0.55 | 61% | 61% | 61% | 0.14 |
| FL grade 3B | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Burkitt lymphoma | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| PTLD | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| PMBCL or greyzone lymphoma | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Plasmablastic lymphoma | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Lymphomatoid granulomatosis grade III | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Upfront patients | 7 | 86% | 86% | 86% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 86% | 86% | 86% |
| Relapsed/refractory patients | 114 | 58% | 51% | 44% | 0.19 | 65% | 62% | 53% | 0.070 | 50% | 43% | 38% | 0.09 |
| Transformed B-cell lymphomas | 107 | 71% | 63% | 52% | 78% | 69% | 64% | 59% | 42% | 35% |
| Transformed FL | 72 | 76% | 71% | 56% | 81% | 75% | 68% | 65% | 48% | 38% |
| Transformed not-FL | 35 | 61% | 41% | 41% | 0.22 | 73% | 49% | 40% | 0.35 | 48% | 30% | 30% | 0.28 |
| Transformed MZL | 18 | | | | | | | | |
| Transformed CLL/SLL (Richter) | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Transformed WM | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Transformed NLPHL | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Transformed indolent B-cell lymphoma | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Upfront patients | 28 | 92% | 92% | 92% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 68% | 55% | 41% |
| Relapsed/refractory patients | 79 | 64% | 54% | 41% | 0.006 | 73% | 61% | 55% | 0.009 | 55% | 38% | 33% | 0.13 |
| Primary and transformed aggressive B-cell lymphomas | 228 | 64% | 58% | 50% | 72% | 67% | 60% | 55% | 44% | 39% |
| Upfront patients | 35 | 90% | 90% | 90% | 96% | 96% | 96% | 72% | 63% | 54% |
| Relapsed/refractory patients | 193 | 60% | 53% | 44% | 0.002 | 68% | 63% | 54% | 0.001 | 52% | 42% | 37% | 0.028 |
| Indolent B-cell lymphomas | 49 | 80% | 59% | 44% | 90% | 75% | 65% | 60% | 45% | 26% |
| FL grade 1-3A | 39 | 78% | 57% | 43% | 88% | 76% | 69% | 60% | 44% | 31% |
| Not-FL grade 1-3A | 10 | 89% | 63% | 51% | 0.55 | 100% | 71% | 57% | 0.24 | 57% | 46% | 11% | 0.48 |
| MZL | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| CLL/SLL | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| WM | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| NLPHL | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| All indolent lymphomas | 156 | 74% | 61% | 48% | 82% | 71% | 64% | 59% | 43% | 31% |
| Not transformed | 49 | 80% | 59% | 44% | 90% | 75% | 65% | 60% | 45% | 26% |
| Transformed | 107 | 71% | 63% | 52% | 0.77 | 78% | 69% | 64% | 0.65 | 59% | 42% | 35% | 0.77 |
| All follicular lymphomas | 111 | 77% | 65% | 50% | 83% | 75% | 68% | 63% | 47% | 35% |
| Not transformed | 39 | 78% | 57% | 43% | 88% | 76% | 69% | 60% | 44% | 31% |
| Transformed | 72 | 76% | 71% | 56% | 0.58 | 81% | 75% | 68% | 0.63 | 65% | 48% | 38% | 0.69 |
| Mantle cell lymphoma | 99 | 86% | 75% | 73% | 91% | 82% | 82% | 80% | 62% | 43% |
| Classical | 83 | 90% | 78% | 78% | 95% | 86% | 86% | 84% | 67% | 47% |
| Non-classical | 16 | 66% | 58% | 43% | 0.021 | 70% | 62% | 62% | 0.025 | 61% | 37% | 18% | 0.005 |
| Blastic | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| Pleomorphic or P53+ or Ki67 > 60% | 7 | | | | | | | | |
TP53+, or Ki67 > 60%) showed poor outcome (Table 2), also after upfront ASCT according to the MCL2 protocol (Fig. 3c). CR compared with PR, regardless whether informed from PET/CT or CT, was highly predictive of outcome (OS, \(P = 0.039\); LSS, \(P = 0.044\); PFS = 0.025; Fig. 3d). Also in the subset of upfront transplanted patients, CR according to PET/CT or CT predicted outcome (OS, \(P = 0.042\); LSS, \(P = 0.049\); PFS, \(P = 0.030\)). Late relapses were seen.

T-cell lymphoma
Seventy eight out of 85 patients had peripheral T-cell lymphoma, and 56/78 were transplanted upfront in or according to the Nordic NLG-T-01 trial [20]; these patients showed good outcome (Fig. 3e) with 5-year OS at 75% (Table 2). The two-year OS/PFS in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma were 91%/78% if PET/CT-CR, 33%/33% if PET/CT-PR (Table 3). In contrast, CT could not predict outcome. Also in upfront transplanted peripheral T-cell lymphoma patients, PET/CT predicted OS (\(P = 0.005\)) and PFS (\(P = 0.045\)) but CT did not (\(P \geq 0.5\) for both comparisons).

Classical Hodgkin lymphoma
These 60 patients, all relapsed/refractory, showed excellent outcome (OS at 5 years, 83%; Table 2). However, refractory disease portended poorer survival than relapsed disease (Fig. 3f; OS, \(P = 0.002\); LSS, \(P = 0.022\); PFS, \(P = 0.021\)). In PET/CT-CR, 2-year OS/PFS were 100%/89% and in PET/CT-PR 75%/50%, but there were too few events to achieve statistical significance (Table 3).

### Table 2 Lymphoma entities (Continued)

| Lymphoma entity | N | OS 2 y | 5 y | 10 y | P | LSS 2 y | 5 y | 10 y | P | PFS 2 y | 5 y | 10 y | P |
|-----------------|---|--------|-----|------|---|--------|-----|------|---|--------|-----|------|---|
| **Upfront patients** | 87 | 90% | 78% | 78% | 93% | 84% | 84% | 83% | 66% | 45% | 0.054 |
| **Relapsed/refractory patients** | 12 | 58% | 49% | 32% | 0.006 | 81% | 67% | 67% | 0.30 | 58% | 40% | 40% |
| **T-cell lymphomas** | 85 | 74% | 69% | 53% | 83% | 79% | 71% | 65% | 54% | 45% | 0.054 |
| PTCL | 78 | 79% | 73% | 50% | 87% | 83% | 74% | 70% | 58% | 48% | 0.054 |
| Non-PTCL | 7 | 18% | 18% | ND | 0.004 | 25% | 25% | ND | 0.013 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0.002 |
| Sézary/ATLL | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENKTL | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTCL, ALCL | 25 | 79% | 79% | 74% | 91% | 91% | 91% | 80% | 75% | 70% | 0.054 |
| PTCL, non-ALCL | 53 | 78% | 69% | 41% | 0.08 | 85% | 78% | 63% | 0.09 | 65% | 47% | 33% | 0.023 |
| AITL/FHTCL | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTCL-NOS | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EATCL/HSTCL/SPTCL/Lennert | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Upfront PTCL patients** | 56 | 81% | 75% | 67% | 80% | 80% | 71% | 70% | 60% | 55% | 0.054 |
| **Relapsed/refractory PTCL patients** | 22 | 73% | 68% | 39% | 0.07 | 89% | 89% | 80% | 0.44 | 68% | 54% | 38% | 0.21 |
| **Classical Hodgkin lymphoma** | 60 | 85% | 83% | 74% | 92% | 90% | 90% | 71% | 66% | 60% | 0.054 |

**Abbreviations:** OS overall survival, LSS lymphoma-specific survival, PFS progression-free survival, DLBCL diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, FL follicular lymphoma, PTLD post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorder, PMBC primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma, MZL marginal zone lymphoma, CLL chronic lymphocytic leukemia, SLL small lymphocytic lymphoma, WM Waldenström macroglobulinemia, NLPHL nodular lymphocyte-predominant Hodgkin lymphoma, PTCL peripheral T-cell lymphoma, ALCL anaplastic large cell lymphoma, ATLL angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, FHTCL follicular helper T-cell lymphoma, EATCL enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma, HSTCL hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma, SPTCL subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma, AITL adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma, ENKTL extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type

Relapse after ASCT
The estimated fraction of relapses at 2 years were with PET/CT-CR 18%, PET/CT-PR 41%, CT-CR 29%, CT-PR 43%. Two hundred five out of 521 patients relapsed after ASCT, at a median time of 308 days. Of these 205 patients 118 died from lymphoma, 3 from treatment toxicity, 18 from other causes, while 66 stayed alive. Relapse was most common in indolent (53%), transformed (42%), and primary aggressive (44%) B-cell lymphoma. It was seen in 36, 35, and 25% of mantle cell, T-cell, and Hodgkin lymphoma. Five-year OS after relapse was 30%, and particularly poor in aggressive B-cell lymphoma (16%), but 51% in Hodgkin lymphoma. The 44 patients who underwent allogeneic transplantation for relapsed disease showed better survival than those who did not (5-year OS 63% vs 21%; \(P < 0.00005\)), particularly in aggressive or transformed B-cell lymphoma (5-year OS 70% vs 11%; \(P < 0.00005\)). No patient had undergone CAR-T cell therapy at last follow-up. Long-term adverse events in our patients, including myeloid disease, have been described previously [2].
Discussion
This population-based single-center study shows that outcome after ASCT has improved with increasing PET/CT use. PET/CT may verify metabolic remission, identifying patients with truly chemosensitive disease, who have excellent outcome with ASCT. Hence, BEAM followed by ASCT remains an effective treatment option in lymphoma.

Two-year OS and PFS in relapsed/refractory primary or transformed aggressive B-cell lymphoma were 60 and 52%. These figures are not inferior to those reported from the large CAR-T cell trials. In the axicabtagene ciloleucel trial, PFS was 42% at a median follow-up of 15.4 months [21]. In the tisagenlecleucel trial, the intention-to-treat analysis showed OS to be 40% at 1 year, and, in the patients who did proceed to receive CAR-T cell therapy, PFS was about 35% at 18 months [22]. Lastly, the lisocabtagene maraleucel trial showed a 1-year PFS of 44% [23]. Granted, these two modalities are not directly comparable, because only responders to chemotherapy proceed to ASCT and the follow-up times differ; on the other hand, many patients were excluded.

### Table 3 Remission status by PET/CT and CT

| Remission status | N      | percent | OS 2y | 5y | P 2y | 5y | P 2y | 5y | P 2y | 5y | P 2y | 5y | P 2y | 5y | P 2y | 5y | P |
|------------------|--------|---------|-------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|----|
| PET/CT-CR        | 105    | 77%     | 93%   | 88%| 94%  | 92%| 81%  | 67%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-PR        | 31     | 23%     | 55%   | 55%| 68%  | 68%| 45%  | 41%| 0.0003|   | 0.0009|   | 0.004|   |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-PR-local  | 10     | 7%      | 80%   | 80%| 90%  | 90%| 60%  | 50%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-PR-general| 21     | 15%     | 41%   | 41%| 56%  | 56%| 36%  | 36%| <0.00005|  | 0.0002|   | 0.003|   |      |    |    |
| Aggressive B-cell lymphoma |     |         |       |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-CR        | 50     | 69%     | 90%   | 76%| 90%  | 85%| 73%  | 55%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-PR        | 22     | 31%     | 50%   | 50%| 59%  | 59%| 45%  | 39%| 0.004|   | 0.006|   | 0.032|   |      |    |    |
| Relapsed/refractory |     |         |       |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-CR        | 36     | 67%     | 87%   | 64%| 87%  | 80%| 75%  | 48%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-PR        | 18     | 33%     | 43%   | 43%| 50%  | 50%| 44%  | 44%| 0.013|   | 0.008|   | 0.15 |   |      |    |    |
| Peripheral T-cell lymphoma |     |         |       |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-CR        | 15     | 83%     | 91%   | 91%| 91%  | 91%| 78%  | 62%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-PR        | 3      | 17%     | 33%   | NA | 0.024|   | 50%  | NA | 0.19 |   | 33%  |   | NA  |   |      |    |    |
| Classical Hodgkin lymphoma |     |         |       |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-CR        | 21     | 84%     | 100%  | 100%| 100% | 100%| 89%  | 67%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| PET/CT-PR        | 4      | 16%     | 75%   | 75%| 100% | 100%| 50%  | 50%| 0.083|   | 1    |   | 0.37 |   |      |    |    |
| CT-CR            | 117    | 50%     | 76%   | 67%| 83%  | 78%| 66%  | 55%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| CT-PR            | 118    | 50%     | 62%   | 51%| 70%  | 62%| 51%  | 36%| 0.035|   | 0.022|   | 0.004|   |      |    |    |
| Relapsed/refractory |     |         |       |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| CT-CR            | 34     | 40%     | 59%   | 52%| 69%  | 69%| 50%  | 50%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| CT-PR            | 52     | 60%     | 52%   | 47%| 57%  | 52%| 46%  | 37%| 0.81 |   | 0.25 |   | 0.85 |   |      |    |    |
| Peripheral T-cell lymphoma |     |         |       |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| CT-CR            | 27     | 61%     | 74%   | 63%| 77%  | 71%| 67%  | 56%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| CT-PR            | 17     | 39%     | 76%   | 76%| 92%  | 92%| 65%  | 44%| 0.99 |   | 0.22 |   | 0.25 |   |      |    |    |
| Classical Hodgkin lymphoma |     |         |       |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| CT-CR            | 3      | 23%     | 100%  | 100%| 100% | 100%| 67%  | 67%|      |    |      |    |      |    |      |    |    |
| CT-PR            | 10     | 77%     | 70%   | 70%| 70%  | 70%| 50%  | 50%| 0.21 |   | 0.32 |   | 0.34 |   |      |    |    |

**Abbreviations:** PET/CT positron emission tomography/computerized tomography, CT computerized tomography, CR complete remission, PR partial remission, NA not analyzable
from therapy in the CAR-T cell trials because of disease progression between leukapheresis and CAR-T cell infusion, and the CAR-T numbers come from clinical trials, not real-world practice, although emerging real-world CAR-T data appear to be equally good [24]. Furthermore, CAR-T cells are vastly more useful than ASCT for patients with stable disease after salvage induction therapy, and, of course, for those who relapse after ASCT. However, with PET/CT, one may identify those patients with aggressive B-cell lymphomas who will benefit from ASCT consolidation: in relapsed/refractory patients by upfront and relapsed/refractory status in primary aggressive and transformed indolent B-cell lymphoma, OS in primary aggressive or transformed indolent B-cell lymphoma patients by upfront, relapsed, or refractory status, OS by number of preceding lines of chemotherapy, OS by PR or CR status according to CT and PET/CT (with PET/CT-PR patients locally treated after ASCT grouped with PET/CT-CR); the last graph is cut at 8 years due to the different observation times between CT and PET/CT patients. Abbreviation: ASCT, autologous stem-cell transplantation.

**Fig. 2** Kaplan-Meier graphs of overall, lymphoma-specific, and progression-free survival (OS; LSS; PFS) in (a) primary aggressive (Agg. B) and (b) transformed indolent (Transf. B) B-cell lymphoma, (c) OS by upfront and relapsed/refractory status in primary aggressive and transformed indolent B-cell lymphoma, (d) OS in primary aggressive or transformed indolent B-cell lymphoma patients by upfront, relapsed, or refractory status, (e) OS by number of preceding lines of chemotherapy, (f) OS by PR or CR status according to CT and PET/CT (with PET/CT-PR patients locally treated after ASCT grouped with PET/CT-CR); the last graph is cut at 8 years due to the different observation times between CT and PET/CT patients. Abbreviation: ASCT, autologous stem-cell transplantation.
In mantle cell lymphoma and indolent lymphoma, OS and PFS after ASCT were similar to previous reports, and ASCT remains part of standard therapy [19, 28]. However, late relapses do occur after ASCT; the 10-year PFS was in follicular lymphoma 31% and in mantle cell lymphoma 43%. We believe that CAR-T cells [29, 30] and bispecific antibodies [31, 32] might improve long-term outcome for these slow-growing entities.

In our patients, survival after ASCT improved from 2015, without any improvement of NRM, thus this is probably due to a better identification of patients who should and should not proceed to ASCT. Karolinska’s standard today is upfront ASCT in first remission for patients with transformed indolent B-cell, mantle cell, and peripheral T-cell lymphoma (except allogeneic SCT for hepatosplenic lymphoma), and ASCT in remitting relapsed/refractory aggressive B-cell lymphoma and (if first-line immunotherapy was < 2 years ago) for relapsed/refractory follicular and nodal marginal zone lymphoma. A PET/CT is done before every ASCT. Patients who have attained PET/CT-CR proceed to ASCT, having a good chance for cure in aggressive lymphoma (2-year PFS: relapsed/refractory aggressive B-cell lymphoma 75%, relapsed/refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma 89%, peripheral T-cell lymphoma 78%). In the small number of patients with PET/CT-PR-local (a solitary active tumour), patients are given local irradiation after ASCT, with an acceptable cure rate (2-year PFS, 60%). Patients who do not attain PET/CT-CR or PET/CT-PR-local are considered for treatment with CAR-T cells or trials with bispecific antibodies, or, if not available, experimental salvage regimens to induce better remission status and then ASCT.

With ASCT, the real treatment for lymphoma is the preceding conditioning regimen. Several conditioning regimens exist. Between 2015 and 2019, we used BEAC as an alternative to BEAM because of melphalan shortages. The patients treated with BEAC showed inferior
LSS, compared with those who received BEAM. It should be noted that Karolinska’s BEAM regimen, used throughout this 25-year period, has its own cytarabine schedule, with daily 400 mg/m² 12-h infusions, instead of the more common [33] two daily 200 mg/m² 1-h infusions. Infusion times correlate with cytarabine efficacy and toxicity, since the exposure to ara-C triphosphate (the active metabolite) is increased with longer infusions (cytarabine disappears from the plasma with a half-life of 7–13 min) [34, 35].

Conclusion
We conclude that an increasingly PET/CT-based selection of patients prior to ASCT has improved outcome after ASCT for lymphoma. For patients with PET/CT-CR, conditioning with BEAM followed by ASCT remains a strong standard treatment for several lymphoma entities. Further research is needed to identify the place of ASCT among other emerging salvage techniques.

Abbreviations
ASCT: Autologous stem-cell transplantation; CAR: Chimeric antigen receptor; CR: Complete response; CT: Computed tomography; LSS: Lymphoma-specific survival; NRM: Non-relapse mortality; OS: Overall survival; PET/CT: Positron emission tomography/computed tomography; PFS: Progression-free survival; PR: Partial response; TRM: Treatment-related mortality
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