Experimental Study on Bearing Capacity of Corroded Reinforced Concrete Arch Considering Material Degradation
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To study the bearing capacity of a corroded reinforced concrete (RC) arch and analyze the deterioration mechanism of an in-service RC arch bridge, a deterioration simulation under the coupling effect of the environment and load was performed by employing non-immersion energization, and considering the dead load on the arch, single point loading tests of the arch models were carried out; the crack development, structural deformation, and ultimate bearing capacity of a corroded RC arch under service stress were studied; the failure mode of the corroded arch was explored; and a bearing capacity prediction model considering dual deterioration effects of reinforcement corrosion deterioration and arch axis deterioration was established. Results indicated that the spacing of cracks caused by a load on the non-corroded arch was more uniform, and the number and distribution range of load-induced cracks in the corroded arch was smaller, while the maximum crack width was larger. Corrosion significantly reduced the strength of the arch rib; for the deteriorated arch with a corrosion rate of 7.62%, the cracking load and the bearing capacity decreased by 28.57 and 9.84%, respectively. Corrosion weakened structural stiffness, while it does not convert the failure mode of the arch. Only considering section resistance degradation may underestimate the damaging effects of corrosion on the arch structure.
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INTRODUCTION

Reinforced concrete (RC) arch bridges are widely used in China, especially in mountainous areas, because of their high structural stiffness, beautiful appearance, and economy advantage (Deng et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). However, the performance degradation of RC structures has become acute as time goes on due to the coupling effect of the external service environment and internal materials degradation (Fu et al., 2017; Castorena-González et al., 2020; Fang, 2020). Accurate assessment of the carrying capacity of RC arch bridges in service is of great scientific significance and has a high engineering application value in order to carry out scientific management, as well as reduce the maintenance costs.

According to engineering experience, arch bridges are generally considered to have better durability than girder bridges (Shao et al., 2021). The reason is that on the one hand, service...
areas of an RC arch bridge are mainly located in the southwest region of China, accounting for about 60% of the total, and the atmospheric environment in the southwest region of China is less corrosive to the service structures than the chloride environment in the coastal area. On the other hand, all sections of the main arch ring are subjected to compressive stress, and compared with the beam structures, the cracks are less, which reduce the migration rate of chloride and other erosion factors, and the durability of the structure is less prominent than that of the beam structures (Fu et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2021). Therefore, the research on the performance of corroded concrete members mainly focuses on flexural members (Liu et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2017; Dai et al., 2019), while the research on RC arch bridges mainly focuses on intact structures; for example, Huang and Chen (2009) conducted an experiment on a RC box arch under two loads asymmetrically in-plane and analyzed the nonlinear behavior and its influence on the ultimate load-carrying capacity. Du and Chen (2013) performed model tests on two reactive powder concrete arches subjected to concentrated loads. The load–displacement curves, cracks, strains, failure modes, and ultimate load capacities of the arches were analyzed and compared with the results of conventional RC model arches. Zhang et al. (2017) carried out a 1:7.5 scale model test on the world’s largest span stiff skeleton concrete arch bridge to investigate the structural behavior during the construction process.

However, it is an inevitable developmental law of any physical system that the structural performance will be degraded with an extension in service years. The existing literature shows that with the increase in service life, the bearing capacity degradation of arch structures caused by materials degradation is non-negligible (Zhang et al., 2007). Several studies have been carried out around the performance degradation of RC arch bridges; for instance, Petryna et al. (2002) proposed the time-variant reliability assessment method of deteriorating RC structures under fatigue conditions, and reliability estimates were obtained within the response surface method using the important/adaptive sampling techniques and the time-integrated approach. Based on the field measurement results and numerical simulation, Zanardo et al. (2004) studied the static and seismic performance of the existing short span RC arch bridges, before and after strengthening interventions. Zhang et al. (2007) evaluated the residual bearing capacity of two arch ribs removed from a 28-year-old bridge based on full-scale tests. Tang et al. (2005) presented a tensorial damage theory and an isotropic application to the arch ribs of a real bridge. The damage theory–additional load–finite element method was developed to numerically simulate the failure process of RC structures. Based on the similarity theory of model design, Fan et al. (2007) examined the effects of the damage extent and location on the static and dynamic responses of the scaled damaged arch bridge model fabricated by organic glasses; the prototype of the scale was a 43-year-old RC arch bridge. Ma et al. (2016) investigated the effect of corrosion-induced cracking damage on the static behavior of RC arch ribs based on an experimental method; the reduction rate in the ultimate bearing capacity of arch ribs caused by rust expansion could reach 60% by their results. Overall, there are relatively few experimental studies on RC arch bridges. In these few experimental studies, researchers poured more attention on the damage evolution mechanism of the intact arch structure during loading, and the failure process and behavior evolution of the statically indeterminate system are the main concerns. Research works on the adverse effects of various deterioration factors on the bearing capacity of an RC arch brought by a long-term service are relatively scarce.

The present study is an extension of the research on the performance degradation mechanism of RC arch bridges but with the following substantial differences. First, the coupling effects of load and corrosion on the arch were considered in this experiment. Second, a bearing capacity prediction model of the RC arch considering the two-dimensional deterioration effect of transverse and longitudinal directions was proposed. Note that corrosion of practical bridges often occurs under the stress state. Consequently, the present study has superior practical significance.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In the Experimental Program section, experiments on arch models are elaborated, including the specimen design, the accelerated corrosion test program, the instrumentation, and the loading manner. In the Result and Discussion section, critical results are presented and then discussed. In the Prediction Model of Bearing Capacity for Corroded RC Arch section, a new prediction method of bearing capacity is put forward. On the basis of considering the influence of unfavorable factors caused by corrosion on the resistance, the increasing effect of the load caused by the deflection of the arch axis is also considered. Finally, the main conclusions are summarized in the Conclusion section.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM**

**Specimen Design**

The model design referred to a real bridge; the clear span of the catenary model arch was 4,200 mm, the rise was 840 mm, and the arch axis coefficient was 1.67. According to Li (2012), for arch bridges with rectangular sections, the section height is about 0.0167–0.025 times of the span and the section width is about 0.5–2.0 times of the section height. In addition, the width to span ratio should not be less than 1/20, considering the lateral stability. Considering the aforementioned factors comprehensively, the section size was determined as 120 mm × 240 mm.

Other details of specimens are as follows: all RC ribs were symmetrically reinforced with six longitudinal bars of 12 mm diameter and 6 mm stirrups with 100 mm spacing; the average yield strength of the virgin longitudinal bar and the stirrup was 400 and 335 MPa, respectively. The elastic modulus of steel bars was 210 GPa, and the thickness of the concrete cover at both tension and compression sides was 24 mm.

**Simulation of In-Service Stress State of Model Arch**

In order to make the stress condition of the model close to the real arch bridge, the influence of the load on the arch should be
considered. Taking RC arch bridges with a main span of 70 and 210 m as references, this study calculated the internal force distribution and stress state of the main arch ring of real bridges under the dead load. As shown in Figure 1, the longitudinal stress distribution on the main arch ring of the real bridge was relatively uniform, and all sections were in compression. The maximum compressive stress on the arch bridge with the span of 210 m was 10.1 MPa, which was 28.5% of the axial compressive strength of the bridge concrete with Chinese specifications for grade C55. The maximum stress of the 70 m span arch bridge was 4.5 MPa, which was 16.8% of the axial compressive strength of the bridge concrete with Chinese specifications for grade C40.

In order to simulate the stress distribution and level of the real bridge, the test utilized a counterweight to simulate the load on the arch. The counterweight location and load are shown in Figure 2. Note that the stability of the counterweight load and the limitation of the test site were considered in this design. In this study, the scheme of lever loading was excluded as the later stage of it had unsatisfactory stability, the load was easy to deviate from the arch axis, and the requirements for the space were higher. The stress state of the model arch under a counterweight load is shown in Figure 3 and Table 1.
It can be seen from Figure 3 and Table 1 that the counterweight load conformed to the mechanical characteristics of a catenary arch bridge, that is, the load decreases gradually from the spring to the vault, the stress distribution in the longitudinal direction was relatively uniform, the maximum compressive stress was observed at the spring, about 2.38 MPa, which was 11.84% of the concrete axial compressive strength, and the ratio of eccentricity to the height of the arch ring was similar to that of real bridges. Therefore, the simulation effect of the model arches on the stress state of an existing RC arch bridge was satisfactory.

Two specimens were crafted, specimen 0# was the non-corrosion arch rib, and specimen 1# was the corroded one. When the arch rib was fabricated, the screw was embedded at the corresponding position of the counterweight, and the embedded bar and transverse and longitudinal reinforcement were welded to form the portal frame to enhance the bearing capacity of the screw. The axial compressive strength of specimen 0# and specimen 1# were 33.08 and 30.21 MPa, respectively.

### Accelerated Corrosion Under Stress

Previous studies show that corrosion products, corrosion characteristics, and concrete expansion forms under the conditions of electrified corrosion, and natural corrosion were distinctive because of the difference in the electrochemical process (Zhang et al., 2009; Kashani et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2020). The performance degradation caused by corrosion of reinforcement in a concrete structure could be divided into two parts at the material level: the first is the loss of the reinforcement section and the degradation of mechanical properties, and the second is expansion cracking of concrete cover and bond degradation. The former is mainly related to geometric characteristics of steel bars after corrosion, while the latter is mainly affected by the composition of corrosion products; the volumetric expansion rates of different corrosion products are different. Therefore, even under the same corrosion degree, the deterioration degrees in a concrete structure caused by different corrosion modes are diverse.

In order to reduce the inaccuracy of the assessment of the adverse effects of corrosion damage caused by the difference between the accelerated corrosion and natural corrosion, the accelerated corrosion program, which is different from the scheme of Ma et al. (2016), was adopted in this study (Figures 4, 5). The arch rib was divided into nine corroded regions according to the position of the counterweight. Each region was connected to the cathode and anode of the power supply separately. For the sake of safety, the surface of counterweight screw shall be treated with antirust coating, and the distance between the edge of each corrosion section and screw should be 5 cm. The stainless steel mesh and sponge were wrapped on the surface of the model arch from inside to outside. The anode of the constant direct current power supply was connected with the internal longitudinal reinforcement of the concrete, and the cathode was connected with the stainless steel mesh.

![Stress nephogram of the arch model.](image)

![Table 1: Comparison of the stress state between real bridges and the arch model.](table)

| Object                        | Materials | Sections | Combined stress (MPa) | Stress level (%) | Section height (cm) | Axial force (kN) | Bending moment (kN·m) | Eccentricity (cm) | Eccentricity Section height (%) |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Arch model                    | C30       | 0        | −2.38                 | 11.84           | 12                 | −39.31            | −0.58             | 1.48              | 12.33                    |
|                               |           | L/4      | −1.08                 | 5.37            |                    | −30.71            | −0.01             | 0.03              | 0.27                     |
|                               |           | L/2      | −1.16                 | 5.77            |                    | −28.50            | −0.10             | 0.35              | 2.92                     |
| Arch bridge with a span of 210 m | C55       | 0        | −8.67                 | 24.42           | 350                | −82,486.39        | −34,039.50        | 41.27             | 11.79                    |
|                               |           | L/4      | −7.64                 | 21.52           |                    | −64,953.08        | −1,341.59         | 2.07              | 0.6                      |
|                               |           | L/2      | −7.35                 | 20.70           |                    | −60,586.70        | 13,228.60         | −21.83            | 6.24                     |
| Arch bridge with a span of 70 m | C40       | 0        | −4.45                 | 16.60           | 160                | −25,286.97        | −4,623.15         | 18.28             | 11.43                    |
|                               |           | L/4      | −2.68                 | 10.04           |                    | −20,499.85        | 457.72            | 2.62              | 1.64                     |
|                               |           | L/2      | −2.56                 | 9.56            |                    | −18,613.89        | 938.49            | 5.04              | 3.15                     |
FIGURE 4 | Corrosion diagram of the arch model.

FIGURE 5 | Actual corrosion process of the arch model.
steel mesh. Before electrolytic corrosion, the specimen was immersed in 5% sodium chloride solution for several days to facilitate the corrosion process by allowing chloride ions to permeate into concrete cover. To prevent the loss of water in the process of corrosion, the outer side of the sponge was sealed with a plastic cloth. At the same time, an infusion pipe was used to drip 5% sodium chloride solution into the sponge at a constant speed to keep the chloride concentration constant. The corrosion current is 1.5 A, the current density is 1.5 mA/cm², and the power on time is 10.948 d.

Compared with the previous corrosion scheme, the advantages of this scheme could be summarized as follows: first, the corrosion process was carried out under conditions similar to the stress state of real bridges; second, the corrosion products were easy to be brought out by the solution during immersion accelerated corrosion, which reduced the damage of the corrosion products to the structure, and the non-immersion method adopted in this study evaded this issue; finally, it does not require a large electrolytic cell, and consequently, the corrosion of large components is easier to achieve.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Steel Corrosion Rate and Distribution of Corrosion Cracks

In this study, the quality loss rate \( \eta_s \) was used to quantify the corrosion level. After the loading test, the steels in different sections were cut and immersed into oxalic acid solution. After the derusting, the specimens were taken away from the acid solution, washed in water to remove the acid, and dried by a dryer. At last, the steels were weighed on an electronic scale. The percentage gravimetric mass loss of steel could be calculated by the following equation:

\[
\eta_s = \frac{m_0 - m_c}{m_0} \times 100\%,
\]

where \( m_0 \) and \( m_c \) are the mass of uncorroded steel and corroded steel, respectively.

Figure 7 shows the corrosion rate of the reinforcement in different corrosion regions. From Figure 7, it can be concluded that the corrosion scheme adopted in this study was satisfactory. The average corrosion rate of each corrosion section had little difference, and the average corrosion rate of the whole arch was 6.85%. More detailed comparative findings are explained as follows. The...
corrosion rate of the steel on the extrados was generally higher than that on the soffit. This phenomenon shows that there is a strong correlation between the corrosion rate of reinforcement and the concentration of chloride ion solution. In the corrosion method adopted in this study, sodium chloride solution diffused into concrete from top to bottom. Compared with the lower concrete, the infiltration effect of chloride and moisture in the upper concrete was more sufficient, and the corrosion current appeared earlier; thus, the upper reinforcement was corroded first. After that, the corrosion rate of the upper and lower sides of the concrete tended to be the same as the concrete in the corrosion section was fully saturated, so difference of the corrosion rate between the upper and lower sides of the same region was not distinctive.

Figure 8 shows the distribution of corrosion-induced cracks in arch 1#. As plotted in Figure 8, there were many longitudinal corrosion-induced cracks, especially on the extrados. The cracks of corner steel bars (1#, 3#, 4#, and 6#) were more than those of middle steel bars (2# and 5#), which mainly resulted from the
presence of more solution penetration paths in corner reinforcement than that in middle reinforcement. There were a certain number of vertical cracks caused by stirrup corrosion; the maximum crack width is 0.18 mm, appearing in region VI.

Relationship Between Load and Deflection

Figure 9 shows the vertical displacement curves of the arch ribs in different loading stages, and the spline curve was employed to fit the deflection measurement point data under graded loading. As shown in Figure 9, the deflection evolution trend of the two arch ribs was basically the same. During the loading process, the deflection of the arch ribs under quarter point loading was approximately antisymmetric. The deformation at section L/4 was less than that at section 3L/4 (loading point), and the former was about 58.1–71.3% of the latter. The antisymmetric center was close to the vault, near the L/4 side, and the deformation at the vault position was small, with the deflection of arch 0# and 1# being 0.6 and 0.8 mm, respectively. The corrosion-induced cracks reduced the stiffness of arch rib, and the deflection of corroded arch was larger than the non-corrosion one bearing the same load. The maximum deformations of arch 0# and arch 1# were 15.0 and 21.0 mm, respectively, and both of them appeared at the loading point position.

Figures 10A,B show the load–deflection curves for sections 3L/4 and L/4, respectively. It can be seen from Figure 10 that the mechanical process of corroded arch was similar to that of non-corrosion one, with obvious non-linear evolution characteristics, and it could be roughly divided into three stages: elastic stage, crack development stage, and steel bar yield stage. The end point of the elastic stage corresponded to the cracking load, that is, once the transverse crack caused by loading appears, the structure immediately enters the crack development stage, in which the slope of the load vertical deformation curve increases and the development rate of the vertical deformation of the structure increases. The three stages of the two sections were divided synchronously. The three stages of the non-corrosion arch were clearly divided, while the crack development stage and the yield stage of the corroded arch were not easy to separate. In the elastic stage, the slope of load–deflection curve of the corroded arch was similar to that of the non-corrosion one, but the elastic stage of the corroded arch was shorter, which was mainly due to the
longitudinal cracking of concrete cover caused by the expansive stress, which weakened the strength contribution of concrete and reduced the cracking load.

**Crack Development During Loading**

The crack distribution caused by the load on arch 0# and arch 1# is shown in Figures 11A,B, respectively. For the non-corrosion arch rib, when the load reached 28 kN, the first transverse crack of the structure appeared in the soffit at the loading point. With the increase in load, the extrados of the arch spring near the loading point; and section L/4 cracked one after another; and the crack height, width, and distribution range increased with the increase in load. The cracks were concentrated in three areas, that is, the arch spring near the loading point, sections L/4 and 3L/4, and the crack width in the soffit of section 3L/4; the extrados of the arch spring near the loading point was obviously larger than that in section L/4. When the single hinge was formed, the maximum crack width of arch 0# was 2.80 mm, which was located at the extrados of the loading point; the maximum length of the crack distribution area was 47 cm, which was between the L/4 and L/2 spans. The law of crack propagation of the corroded arch was similar to that of the non-corrosion arch in the loading process. The cracking load of the corroded arch was reduced to 20 kN. In addition, the crack spacing of the intact arch was relatively uniform. In contrast, the number and distribution range of cracks in corroded arch were smaller, while the maximum crack width increased.

**Failure Mode**

Figures 12A,B show the failure modes of the non-corrosion arch and the corroded arch, respectively. It can be seen from Figure 12 that the failure of the two arch ribs occurred at the loading point, and both of them showed obvious compression-bending failure. Before the loading process, all the sections of the arch rib were compressed under the dead load of the counterweight. With the application of the concentrated force at the section 3L/4, the tension trend of soffit at section 3L/4 was developed, while the compressive stress of extrados was further increased. As the load increased further, the concrete in the soffit cracked. The development of cracks made the tensile stress of steel bars in the tension area to increase rapidly and reach the yield strength. Then the neutral axis moved to the upper edge of the section; when the concrete cracked to 0.7 times of the sectional height, the steel bars in the compression zone yielded, the concrete in the extrados reached the ultimate compressive strain and was crushed, and the structure was destroyed.

Although corrosion did not transform the failure mode of the arch rib, the section resistance of the arch rib was reduced. The corrosion-induced longitudinal cracks were connected with load-induced transverse cracks, which accelerated the failure process. The failure load of arch 0# and 1# were 61 and 55 kN, respectively, that is, the ultimate bearing capacity of the arch decreased by 9.84% with the corrosion level of 7.62%.

Note that the failure mode of the structure is determined by the priority of different failure paths. The consistency of the failure mode before and after corrosion showed that the quantitative weakening degree of corrosion to the compression-bending failure and shear failure of the arch rib is not distinct. In addition, the ultimate load obtained in this study corresponded to the state of single hinge failure at the loading point, that is, the bearing capacity mentioned in this study was the section bearing capacity. Therefore, the corrosion rate here was the average corrosion rate of the loading point area, and the following theoretical bearing capacity was calculated accordingly.

**PREDICTION MODEL OF BEARING CAPACITY FOR CORRODED REINFORCED CONCRETE ARCH**

**Bearing Capacity Model Considering Section Resistance Degradation**

An arch is a member mainly carrying the bending moment and axial force. Based on the principle of equivalent beam–column,
FIGURE 11 | Distribution of transverse cracks caused by loading: (A) arch 0# and (B) arch 1#.

FIGURE 12 | Failure mode: (A) arch 0# and (B) arch 1#.
the bearing capacity of arches could be calculated as eccentric compression columns. A continuous moment–axial force (M-N) curve was the complete expression of the bearing capacity of the eccentric compression members.

For RC members whose sectional dimensions, reinforcement arrangement, and materials strength are determined, pure compression and pure bending can be regarded as two ultimate states of eccentric compression. From a continuum mechanics point of view, the transition between three mechanical states of pure compression, eccentric compression, and pure bending should be continuous. The curves of eccentric compression members should be smooth without breaking points. Based on the aforementioned properties, our previous study presented a bearing capacity evaluation model of deteriorated eccentric members based on a unified formula (Xin et al., 2019), which is shown in Figure 13. First, the axial compression point, the pure bending point, and the balanced failure point were selected as the basic points because of the definite stress states. The deteriorated strength of the basic points was calculated by considering various deterioration factors caused by steel corrosion. Second, the interpolation points were generated by the piecewise cubic Hermite interpolating polynomial (PCHIP). Third, the explicit expression of the interpolation points fitting function was realized by the trigonometric Fourier series model. The flowchart of the proposed model is shown in Figure 13. The validity and accuracy of the model had been proved by comparing the existing test data and models (Xin et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019).

Model Modification Considering the Deterioration Effect of Arch Axis

The essence of the bearing capacity model of corroded RC members considering the influence of various deterioration factors proposed in Bearing Capacity Model Considering

Section Resistance Degradation was the resistance correction of the transverse section of the arch rib. This correction occurred in the transverse direction. Correspondingly, the internal force development paths of different sections were different under the same load in the longitudinal plane of the structure. In Figure 14, $P_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ represents the internal force point caused by external load and $P_1 < P_2 < P_3$. The black solid line and dotted line are the resistance curves of the non-corrosive structure and corroded structure, respectively. The blue curve represents the internal force evolution path of any section under load without considering corrosion damage. As seen in Figure 14,
the internal force value of the section increases continuously with the increase of the load. When the internal force development curve intersects the resistance curve, it is considered that the section is damaged. Consequently, for the arch structure, the ultimate load is not only determined by the structural resistance but also related to the internal force development path caused by the load. The influence of internal force evolution path should be considered in the prediction of the ultimate load, which is also the main difference between the arch and ordinary eccentric compression members.

According to the principle of structural mechanics, the internal force of the arch structure is mainly related to the position of the load and the structural parameters of longitudinal plane such as rise, span, and arch axis coefficient. In Figure 15, the segment of the hingeless arch rib between the arch spring section and any section i was taken as the isolation body, where the solid line was the longitudinal axis of the non-corrosion arch under load, and the dotted line was the longitudinal axis of the corroded arch under the same load. The coordinate origin was located at the arch spring; the horizontal direction was defined as X-axis, while the vertical direction was defined as Y-axis; and P represents the external load.

According to the static equilibrium condition, the internal force of the section i of the hingeless arch could be obtained by the following equations:

\[ M_i = M_0 + V_0 X_i - H_0 Y_i - P \left( X_i - X_p \right), \]  
\[ H_i = H_0, \]  
\[ V_i = P - V_0. \]

where \( M_0, V_0, \) and \( H_0 \) represent the bending moment, vertical reaction force, and horizontal thrust of the arch spring, respectively; \( M_i, V_i, \) and \( H_i \) represent the bending moment, vertical reaction force, and horizontal thrust of section i, respectively; \( X_i \) and \( Y_i \) are the horizontal distance and vertical distance from section i to coordinate origin; and \( X_p \) and \( Y_p \) are the horizontal distance and vertical distance from the loading section to the coordinate origin, respectively.

For the catenary arch bridge (Figure 16), the arch axis equation can be expressed as follows:

\[ y = \frac{f}{m-1} (chk\xi - 1), \]  
\[ x = \frac{1}{l} \]  
\[ k = ch^{-1} m = ln\left( m + \sqrt{m^2 - 1} \right). \]

The horizontal thrust of the catenary arch can be calculated by the following equation:

\[ H_g = \frac{P g_d}{4k^2 f} (m - 1). \]
After deterioration, the stiffness of the arch decreases and the deformation increases. The axial equation of the arch can be expressed by the following:

$$ y = \frac{f - \Delta f}{m - 1} (chk \xi - 1) + \Delta f. \quad (8) $$

$H_d^H$ is the horizontal thrust of the deteriorated arch, and the change rate of the horizontal thrust deduced from Eq. 6 can be calculated as follows:

$$ \frac{H_d^H - H_d}{H_d} = \frac{\frac{\phi}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 - \Delta f} \right)}{\frac{\phi}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 - \Delta f} \right)} = \frac{f}{f - \Delta f} - 1 = \frac{\Delta f}{f - \Delta f} \quad (9) $$

In general, the deformation of the deteriorated arch is very small compared with the rise in height, which is close to 0, so it is assumed that the internal force of the arch foot will not change after the deformation of the deteriorated arch. Then the bending moment of section $i$ of the deteriorated arch can be calculated as follows:

$$ M_i' = M_i + V_0(X_i + u) - H_0(Y_i - v) - P_i' \left[ (X_i + u) - (X_p + u_p) \right], $$

$$ M_i' = M_i + V_0u + H_0v - P(u_i - u_p), \quad (10) $$

$$ M_i' = M_i + H_0v_i - P(u_i - u_p), \quad (11) $$

where $v_i$ and $u_i$ are the vertical and horizontal displacement of section $i$, respectively; $v_p$ and $u_p$ are the vertical and horizontal displacement of loading position.

As shown in Eq. 11, under the same load, the deteriorated arch has additional bending moment in the same section compared with the intact arch, and the local limit state of the deteriorated arch is easier to achieve, as shown in Figure 17, $P_i'$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ represents the internal force point after considering the additional bending moment.

The horizontal compression displacement is not considered in this study. For the section at the loading point, $u_i = u_p$, $v_i = v_p$; the actual bending moment of the loading point section after considering the deterioration of the arch axis should be obtained by the following equation:

$$ M_p' = M_p + H_0v_p. \quad (12) $$

**Comparison Between Predicted Strength and Test Data**

Table 2 shows the calculation and test results of the ultimate bearing capacity of arch 0# and arch 1#. It can be seen from Table 2 that the calculation results based on the equivalent beam-column method were smaller than the model test results, and the calculation result was conservative, which was consistent with the research conclusion of Lin and Chen (2016). The bearing capacity reduction rate obtained from the test was in good agreement with the theoretical value, which was 9.84 and 12%, respectively. Compared with the bearing capacity model only considering the section resistance degradation, the predictive value considering double deterioration effect of section resistance degradation and arch axis degradation was smaller, and only considering the section resistance degradation may underestimate the adverse effect of corrosion on the arch structure, which was more unsafe.

**CONCLUSION**

The existing studies pay insufficient attention to the durability deterioration of reinforced concrete arch bridges. To address this deficiency, an experiment on an RC arch rib under the coupling action of a load and corrosion was carried out in this study, and a theoretical prediction method of ultimate load considering the effect of resistance degradation and arch axis degradation was employed. Some concluding remarks can be summarized as follows.

1) The spacing of load-induced cracks in the non-corrosion arch was relatively uniform. In contrast, the number and distribution range of load-induced cracks in corroded arch were smaller, while the maximum crack width increased. The mechanical performance of the arch rib was sensitive to corrosion damage.

2) Corrosion significantly reduced the bearing capacity of the arch rib. Compared with the non-corrosion arch rib, when the corrosion level was 7.62%, the cracking load and bearing capacity of the corroded arch decreased by 28.57 and 9.84%, respectively.

3) Corrosion weakened the stiffness of the structure, and the deformation of the corroded arch was larger than that of the non-corrosion arch under the same load. Corrosion does not transform the failure mode of the arch rib, and both of arch models showed obvious compression-bending failure.

4) Compared with the bearing capacity model only considering the section resistance degradation, the calculation value considering double deterioration effect was smaller, and the theoretical design of bearing capacity prediction model based on dual deterioration effects was more comprehensive; only considering the section resistance deterioration effect may underestimate the detrimental effect of corrosion on the arch structure, which was unsafe.

### TABLE 2 | Bearing capacity of the arch model.

| Specimen | Test value (kN) | Predicted value considering the resistance degradation (kN) | Predicted value considering double deterioration effect (kN) |
|----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Arch 0#  | 61             | 50                                                       | 50                                                       |
| Arch 1#  | 55             | 44                                                       | 41                                                       |
| Reduction rate | 9.84% | 12% | 18% |

After deterioration, the stiffness of the arch decreases and the deformation increases. The axial equation of the arch can be expressed by the following:

$$ y = \frac{f - \Delta f}{m - 1} (chk \xi - 1) + \Delta f. $$

The table shows that the reduction rate of the arch is 9.84% for both Arch 1# and Arch 0#, which is consistent with the calculated value of 12%. The calculation results indicate that the model is conservative, and the theoretical method is consistent with the research conclusion of Lin and Chen (2016). The bearing capacity reduction rate obtained from the test was in good agreement with the theoretical value, which was 9.84 and 12%, respectively. Compared with the bearing capacity model only considering the section resistance degradation, the predictive value considering double deterioration effect of section resistance degradation and arch axis degradation was smaller, and only considering the section resistance degradation may underestimate the adverse effect of corrosion on the arch structure, which was more unsafe.
This study takes the equivalent beam-column method as the underlying theoretical basis, and the equivalent beam-column theory is proposed from the straight column research results. As the stress characteristics of the arch is closer to the curved bar, the theory is proposed from the straight column research results. As the underlying theoretical basis, and the equivalent beam-column from the basic method level.
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