Assessment of quality of obstetric care in Zimbabwe using the standard primipara
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Abstract

Background: To improve maternity services in any country, there is need to monitor the quality of obstetric care. There is usually disparity of obstetric care and outcomes in most countries among women giving birth in different obstetric units. However, comparing the quality of obstetric care is difficult because of heterogeneous population characteristics and the difference in prevalence of complications. The concept of the standard primipara was introduced as a tool to control for these various confounding factors. This concept was used to compare the quality of obstetric care among districts in different geographical locations in Zimbabwe.

Methods: This was a substudy of the Zimbabwe Maternal and Perinatal Mortality Study. In the main study, cluster sampling was done with the provinces as clusters and 11 districts were randomly selected with one from each of the nine provinces and two from the largest province. This database was used to identify the standard primipara defined as; a woman in her first pregnancy without any known complications who has spontaneous onset of labour at term. Obstetric process and outcome indicators of the standard primipara were then used to compare the quality of care between rural and urban, across rural and across urban districts of Zimbabwe.

Results: A total of 45,240 births were recruited in the main study and 10,947 women met the definition of standard primipara. The maternal mortality ratio (MMR) and the perinatal mortality rate (PNMR) for the standard primiparae were 92/100000 live births and 15.4/1000 total births respectively. Compared to urban districts, the PNMR was higher in the rural districts (11/1000 total births vs 19/1000 total births, p < 0.001). In the urban to urban and rural to rural districts comparison, there were significant differences in most of the process indicators, but not in the PNMR.

Conclusions: The study has shown that the standard primipara can be used as a tool to measure and compare the quality of obstetric care in districts in different geographical areas. There is need to explore further how the quality of obstetric care can be improved in rural districts of Zimbabwe.
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Background

The previous millennium development goal (MDG) number 5 targeted to reduce maternal deaths by 75% by the year 2015. This unrealistic target was not achieved because there is still a great need for unrestricted access to high-quality emergency obstetric care to reduce the high risk of dying in pregnancy which is still prevalent in the low-resource countries [1]. Thus reducing this great risk of dying during pregnancy in low-resource countries is the new target in the developed sustainable development goal (SDG) number 3, targeting to reduce the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030.

The lifetime risk of dying due to pregnancy-related complications in Sub-Saharan Africa is 1 in 39 compared to 1 in 4600 in the United Kingdom and 1 in 3800 in high-income countries in general [2].

To improve maternity services, there is need to monitor and improve the quality of care women receive in different obstetric units. One limitation though is that
there is no universally agreed definition of quality of care (QoC). This explains the variation in obstetric outcomes like caesarean section, instrumental delivery and induction of labour rates among different health institutions within the same setting [3]. In most countries, there is a disparity of care and outcomes among different obstetric units [4]. For this to be corrected there is need to come up with a tool that can be used to compare the quality of care between the different institutions. The tool should be able to control for confounding factors like difference in patient characteristics and disease patterns.

In Zimbabwe, like in most countries, the difference in the quality of care among the different geographical areas is difficult to determine because of heterogeneous population characteristics and the difference in prevalence of complications in those areas. The concept of the standard primipara was introduced as a basis of inter-unit comparison in evaluating the quality of obstetric services to minimise the risks of bias [4]. Alfirevic et al. used this concept in comparing the impact of delivery suite guidelines on intrapartum care among different health institutions [5]. Some maternal and perinatal process and outcome indicators were then used as tools to compare the quality of obstetric care among the different geographical districts in Zimbabwe [6, 7].

The availability of comprehensive obstetric care in various settings depends on local conditions and the resources available. There is no available data looking at the quality of obstetric care among the different districts in the different Provinces of Zimbabwe. This study was done to identify the districts with poor quality of obstetric care. This data is important to improve maternity services in poorly performing districts to match those of areas that had better performance.

**Methods**

Zimbabwe is divided into 10 administrative Provinces, which are divided into 59 Districts. Harare, the biggest Province has urban districts only unlike all the other Provinces which are comprised of urban and rural districts. The Zimbabwe Maternal and Perinatal Mortality Study (ZMPMS) was a population-based descriptive and cross-sectional study of deaths of women in pregnancy and perinatal deaths in Zimbabwe. The study was done to estimate the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) and the perinatal mortality rate (PNMR) in Zimbabwe [8]. Data were collected from the 1st of May 2007 to the 30th April 2008. Cluster sampling was done with the 10 provinces as clusters and 11 districts were randomly selected with one from each of the 9 provinces and 2 from Harare which is the biggest province in Zimbabwe. In these 11 districts, pregnancy outcomes were collected prospectively on all women delivering after 22 weeks gestation for 11 months [8]. Data were collected from all healthcare facilities and also from homes and villages. A data entry template was designed in Microsoft Access and used for data capture. Alfirevic et al. defined the standard primipara as a woman in her first pregnancy, with a singleton fetus in cephalic presentation, with spontaneous onset of labour between 37 + 0 weeks and 42 + 0 weeks, with no antenatal complications or previous hospital admission lasting more than 24 h [5]. This was the definition of the standard primipara used in this study. Data from all the districts in the main study were used to extract records for women who met the definition of the standard primipara; and subsequent data analyses were performed in Stata Version 9.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). The standard primiparae were then used to compare maternal and perinatal process and outcome indicators between rural and urban, across urban and across rural districts. Pearson chi-squared test was used to determine the association between the categorical variables. The quality of obstetric care was assessed using the following indicators:

a) Obstetric process indicators: Booking status (at least one antenatal visit), gestational age at booking, antenatal human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) screening rate, and initial place of onset of labour, utilisation of maternity waiting shelters in the rural districts, institutional delivery rate, intrapartum complication detection rate, and referral in labour rate, operative vaginal delivery rate, caesarean section rate and the postpartum referral rate.

b) Obstetric outcome indicators: PNMR.

**Results**

In the main study, a total of 45,240 births were recruited from the 11 districts and 10,947 women met the definition of standard primipara.

As shown in Table 1 below, the median (Q1; Q3) age of the women was 20 (18; 22) years.

As shown in Table 2 below, the vast majority of the standard primiparas booked their pregnancies (94.1%) and the median (Q1; Q3) gestation at booking was 24 (20; 28) weeks. Less than half of them (42.4%) were screened for HIV in the antenatal period. The institutional delivery rate was high at 87.8, and 8.8% of them were referred to higher levels of care for intrapartum complications. The caesarean section and vacuum delivery rates were low at 4.1 and 1.4% respectively. Compared to the rest of the women in the 11 districts, the standard primiparas had lower maternal mortality ratios (92/100000 live births vs 698/100000 live births, \( p < 0.001 \)). The perinatal mortality rates were also lower in the standard primiparas (15.4/ 1000 total births vs 31.9/ 1000 total births, \( p < 0.001 \)).

As shown in the Table 3 below, in the urban to rural districts comparison, there were significant differences...
in the following process indicators: mean gestation at booking, antenatal HIV screening rate, initial place of onset of labour, institutional delivery rate, intrapartum complication detection rate, and referral in labour rate, caesarean section rate, vacuum delivery rate and post-partum referral rate. The urban districts had a significantly lower PNMR.

As shown in Table 4 below, across the urban districts, there were significant differences in the following process indicators: booking status, mean gestation at booking, antenatal HIV screening rate, initial place of onset of labour, institutional delivery rate, intrapartum complications detection rate, referral in labour rate, caesarean section rate, vacuum delivery rate and post-partum referral rate. There was no significant difference in the PNMR.

As shown in Table 5 below, across the rural districts, there were significant differences in the following process indicators: booking status, mean gestation at booking, antenatal HIV screening rate, initial place of onset of labour, institutional delivery rate, intrapartum complications detection rate, referral in labour rate, caesarean section rate, vacuum delivery rate and post-partum referral rate. There was no significant difference in the PNMR.

As shown in Table 6 below, the standard primiparas had significantly better obstetric and process outcome indicators than the general obstetric population.

### Discussion

Compared to the total ZMPMS population, the standard primiparas had a lower maternal mortality ratio and perinatal mortality rate (see Table 6). This confirms that this was a low-risk group and the differences in outcomes were probably due to variation in quality of service provision than patient-related factors.

Across urban and across rural districts (see Tables 4 and 5), there were significant differences in most of the obstetric process indicators. Some of the differences across the rural or urban districts were inexplicable considering that they get similar resources from the central Government. There is need to investigate why this is
happening and offer remedial action to enable standar-
dised maternity care in Zimbabwe. Surprisingly these
differences did not have an impact on the PNMR. The
study was not powered to detect a difference in the
MMR among the individual districts.

In the rural to urban districts comparison (see Table 3),
the only obstetric process indicator that did not show a
significant difference was the antenatal booking status.
The provision of free antenatal care in the Government
rural clinics could explain why booking rates are high in
both the rural and urban districts of Zimbabwe [9]. Des-
pite these high booking rates, antenatal HIV screening
rates remain low in both urban and rural districts (60.1%
vs 37.8%, \(p < 0.001\)). This probably explains why a quarter
of maternal deaths in Zimbabwe are still due to HIV/AIDS
[8]. Zimbabwe has adopted the World Health Organisa-
tion (WHO) HIV guidelines which recommended that all
HIV-infected pregnant women be put on anti-retroviral
treatment regardless of their CD4+ or viral load count
[10]. Therefore, there is need to put mechanisms in place
to make sure that all pregnant women are screened for
HIV and those infected are put on treatment to reduce
the MMR and parents to child transmission rates of HIV.

More standard primiparae in the urban districts had
access to skilled birth attendants (SBA) (97.1% vs 80.2%,
\(p < 0.001\)) (see Table 3). The low rate of delivery by
skilled birth attendants in the rural districts fell below
the target of 90% set by the WHO [11]. Due to unavail-
ability of transport, almost 20% of women ended up de-
delivering outside institutions.

Table 4 Comparison across urban districts

| Process Indicators | South Eastern district of Harare | Western district of Harare | Kwekwe | Mutare | Nkulumane | \(p\)-value |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| Booking status (%) | 95.7                             | 90.7                        | 96.8   | 96.5   | 95.4      | < 0.001     |
| Mean gestation at booking (weeks) | 23.8                         | 26.0                        | 23.7   | 24.7   | 26.6      | 0.122       |
| Antenatal HIV screening (%) | 31.0                          | 52.5                        | 49.5   | 61.6   | 86.6      | < 0.001     |
| Initial place of onset of labour-institutional (%) | 2.7                          | 5.6                        | 2.2    | 15.2   | 1.3       | < 0.001     |
| Institutional deliveries (%) | 98.0                          | 96.6                        | 97.4   | 98.3   | 96.3      | 0.033       |
| Intrapartum complications detected (%) | 28.4                        | 19.5                        | 19.6   | 12.5   | 17.0      | < 0.001     |
| Referrals in labour (%) | 9.5                            | 16.7                        | 14.1   | 23.4   | 12.1      | < 0.001     |
| Caesarean section rate (%) | 8.4                            | 5.0                         | 9.8    | 5.7    | 5.9       | < 0.001     |
| Vacuum delivery rate (%) | 15.9                           | 2.0                         | 0.5    | 0.1    | 1.5       | < 0.001     |
| Post-partum referrals (%) | 1.6                            | 1.6                         | 0.7    | 0.1    | 2.3       | 0.001       |

Abbreviations: HIV human immunodeficiency virus, PNMR perinatal mortality rate
\(*=\) Analysis of process and outcome indicators between districts

Table 5 Comparison across rural districts

| Outcome Indicators | Bindura | Chivi | Kwekwe | Matobo | Mutare | Mutoko | Zvimba | Tsholotsho | \(p\)-value |
|--------------------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| Booking status (%) | 95.3    | 97.3  | 97.6   | 98.0   | 96.1   | 96.8   | 98.1   | 84.0      | < 0.001     |
| Mean gestation at booking (weeks) | 21.6    | 19.3  | 25.0   | 25.7   | 23.4   | 23.3   | 23.9   | 23.0      | < 0.001     |
| Antenatal HIV screening (%) | 34.7    | 10.4  | 14.9   | 43.6   | 69.0   | 40.2   | 70.6   | 55.0      | < 0.001     |
| Initial place of onset of labour (%) | 1.1    | 48.0  | 3.5    | 58.0   | 18.3   | 26.6   | 0.1    | 55.7      | < 0.001     |
| Institutional deliveries (%) | 71.1    | 90.7  | 67.1   | 85.6   | 87.8   | 75.4   | 81.1   | 77.7      | < 0.001     |
| Intrapartum complications detected (%) | 4.1     | 4.4   | 6.9    | 10.3   | 2.7    | 16.2   | 3.9    | 9.3       | < 0.001     |
| Referrals in labour (%) | 4.6     | 4.4   | 3.3    | 1.3    | 3.1    | 0.8    | 1.1    | 4.4       | < 0.001     |
| Caesarean section rate (%) | 2.3     | 1.9   | 2.3    | 1.8    | 1.0    | 2.4    | 3.0    | 2.1       | 0.645       |
| Vacuum delivery rate (%) | 0.5     | 0.4   | 0.0    | 2.3    | 0.0    | 0.1    | 0.0    | 0.5       | < 0.001     |
| Post-partum referrals (%) | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.0    | 0.9    | 0.0    | 0.4    | 0.3    | 1.0       | 0.066       |
| Outcome Indicators | PNMR (N/1000 births) | 24    | 22    | 18    | 20    | 31    | 14    | 22        | 10     | 0.234      |

Abbreviations: HIV human immunodeficiency virus, PNMR perinatal mortality rate and
\(*=\) Analysis of process and outcome indicators between districts
In the urban districts, the number of standard primiparas delivering in comprehensive emergency obstetric units and caesarean section rates meet the minimum targets set by the WHO of 15 and 5%, respectively [1, 12]. In the rural districts, the caesarean section rate of 2.2% is way below the WHO recommendation. Coupled with this, the operative vaginal delivery rate of 0.5% is way below the 2.5% in the urban districts. The low caesarean and operative delivery rates in the rural districts could be as a result of lack of personnel who are trained to do the procedures and a reluctance to attempt vacuum deliveries in remote areas, and this could explain the higher PNMR. Although the Central Government through the Ministry of Health and Child Care (MOHCC) has started to enforce the policy of deploying recently qualified doctors to the rural districts, this might not have an impact in the long term unless maternity waiting shelters are fully utilised to improve the institutional delivery rates in these areas.

Conclusions

The study has shown that the standard primipara is a useful tool to measure the quality of obstetric care in different districts in Zimbabwe. Therefore every district should measure process and outcome indicators of the standard primipara. The MOHCC can use this tool to monitor improvement in obstetric care and to find out the specific reasons for the discrepancy in the different obstetric process indicators and how this variation in service provision can be corrected at local and national level.

Table 6 Comparison between the standard primipara and the general obstetric population

| Process Indicators                      | Standard primipara N = 10,947 | Non-Standard primipara N = 34,293 | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Booking status (%)                     | 94.1                           | 76.7                             | < 0.001 |
| Mean gestation at booking (weeks)      | 23.6                           | 24.5                             | < 0.001 |
| Antenatal HIV screening (%)            | 42.4                           | 31.2                             | < 0.001 |
| Initial place of onset of labour- institutional (%) | 19.4                           | 10.9                             | < 0.001 |
| Institutional deliveries (%)           | 87.8                           | 62.4                             | < 0.001 |
| Intra partum complications detected (%) | 12.3                           | 11.7                             | 0.091   |
| Referrals in labour (%)                | 8.8                            | 5.1                              | < 0.001 |
| Caesarean section rate (%)             | 4.1                            | 3.8                              | 0.157   |
| Vacuum delivery rate (%)               | 1.4                            | 0.7                              | < 0.001 |
| Post-partum referrals (%)              | 5.5                            | 1.0                              | < 0.001 |
| Outcome Indicators                     |                                |                                  |         |
| PNMR (N/1000 births)                  | 15.4                           | 31.9                             | < 0.001 |

Abbreviations: HIV human immunodeficiency virus, PNMR perinatal mortality rate

*p = Analysis of process and outcome indicators between districts

To the best of our knowledge, no study has been done in Africa utilising this tool to measure the quality of obstetric care between institutions in one country. A pilot study can also be done to assess its feasibility in comparing the quality of obstetric care among different countries in Africa.
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