Evaluation of the immunogenicity of prime-boost vaccination with the replication-deficient viral vectored COVID-19 vaccine candidate ChAdOx1 nCoV-19
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Clinical development of the COVID-19 vaccine candidate ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, a replication-deficient simian adenoviral vector expressing the full-length SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein was initiated in April 2020 following non-human primate studies using a single immunisation. Here, we compared the immunogenicity of one or two doses of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 in both mice and pigs. Whilst a single dose induced antigen-specific antibody and T cell responses, a booster immunisation enhanced antibody responses, particularly in pigs, with a significant increase in SARS-CoV-2 neutralising titres.

INTRODUCTION

As SARS-CoV-2 began to spread around the world at the beginning of 2020 several vaccine platform technologies were employed to generate candidate vaccines. Several use replication-deficient adenoviral (Ad) vector technology and express the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein. The first phase I clinical study of an Ad5-vectored vaccine has been reported, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) phase I trials (NCT04324606) began in April 2020 with phase II and III trials (NCT04400838) started soon thereafter, and an Ad26-vectored vaccine is expected to enter clinical development. Evaluation of the immunogenicity of prime-boost vaccination regimens, in either strain of mouse (Fig. 1a). Intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) of splenocytes (Fig. 1b) showed, in both mouse strains, that the response was principally driven by CD8+ T cells. The predominant cytokine response of both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells was expression of IFN-γ and TNF-α, with negligible frequencies of IL-4+ and IL-10+ cells, consistent with previous data suggesting adenoviral vaccination does not induce a dominant Th2 response. There were no significant differences in CD4+ and CD8+ T cell cytokine responses between prime-only and prime-boost mice.

RESULTS

SARS-CoV-2 S-specific T cell responses following ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 prime-only and prime-boost vaccination regimens in mice and pigs ‘Prime-boost’ vaccinated inbred (BALB/c) and outbred (CD1) mice (9-10 weeks of age) were immunised by intramuscular (i.m.) injection of 10^8 infectious units (IU) of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 on 0 and 28 days post-vaccination (dpv), whereas, ‘prime-only’ mice received a single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 on day 28. Spleens and serum were harvested from all mice on day 49 (3 weeks after boost or prime vaccination). Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 S protein-specific murine splenocyte responses by IFN-γ ELISpot assay showed no statistically significant difference between the prime-only and prime-boost vaccination regimens, in either strain of mouse (Fig. 1a). Intraocular cytokine staining (ICS) of splenocytes (Fig. 1b) showed, in both mouse strains, that the response was principally driven by CD8+ T cells. The predominant cytokine response of both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells was expression of IFN-γ and TNF-α, with negligible frequencies of IL-4+ and IL-10+ cells, consistent with previous data suggesting adenoviral vaccination does not induce a dominant Th2 response. There were no significant differences in CD4+ and CD8+ T cell cytokine responses between prime-only and prime-boost mice.

Prime-only and prime-boost pigs (8-10 weeks of age) were immunised by i.m. injection of 10^7 IU of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (the same dose as being used in human clinical trials) on 0 dpv and prime-boost pigs received a second immunisation on 28 dpv. Blood samples were collected weekly until 42 dpv to assess immune responses. IFN-γ ELISpot analysis of porcine peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) showed responses on 42 dpv (2 weeks after boost) that were significantly greater in the prime-boost pigs compared to prime-only animals (p < 0.05; Fig. 1c). The prime-boost 42 dpv responses were greater than responses observed in either group on 14 dpv, but inter-animal variation meant this did not achieve statistical significance. ICS analysis of porcine T cell responses showed a dominance of Th1-type...
cytokines (similar to the murine response) but with a higher frequency of S-specific CD4+ T cells compared to CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1d). However, CD4+ and CD8+ T cell cytokine responses did not differ significantly between vaccine groups or timepoints (14 vs. 42 dpv).

SARS-CoV-2 S protein–specific antibody responses following ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 prime-only and prime-boost vaccination regimens in mice and pigs

SARS-CoV-2 S protein–specific antibody titres in serum were determined by ELISA using recombinant soluble trimeric S (FL-S) and receptor binding domain (RBD) proteins. A significant increase in FL-S binding antibody titres was observed in prime-boost BALB/c mice compared to their prime-only counterparts (p < 0.01), however, the difference between vaccine groups for CD1 mice was not significant (Fig. 2a). Antibody responses were evaluated longitudinally in pig sera by FL-S and RBD ELISA. Compared to pre-vaccination sera, significant FL-S specific antibody titres were detected in both prime-only and prime-boost groups from 21 and 14 dpv, respectively (p < 0.01; Fig. 2b). FL-S antibody titres did not differ significantly between groups until after the boost, when titres in the prime-boost pigs became significantly greater with an average increase in titres of >1 log10 (p < 0.0001). RBD-specific antibody titres showed a similar profile with significant titres in both groups from 14 dpv (p < 0.05) and a further significant increase in the prime-boost pigs from 35 dpv onwards which was greater than the prime-only pigs (p < 0.0001; Fig. 2c). SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibody responses were assessed using a virus neutralisation test (VNT; Fig. 2d) and pseudovirus-based neutralisation test (pVNT; Fig. 2e). After the prime immunisation, SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibody titres were detected by VNT in 14 and 28 dpv sera from 2/3 prime-boost and 2/3 prime-only pigs. Two weeks after the boost (42 dpv), neutralising antibody titres were detected and had increased in all prime-boost pigs, which were significantly greater than the earlier timepoints and the titres measured in the prime-only group (p < 0.01). In agreement with this analysis, serum assayed for neutralising antibodies using the pVNT revealed that antibody titres in 42 dpv prime-boost pig sera were significantly greater than earlier timepoints and the prime-only group (p < 0.001). Statistical analysis showed a highly significant correlation between pVNT and VNT titres (Spearman’s rank correlation r = 0.86; p < 0.0001).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we utilised both a small and a large animal model to evaluate the immunogenicity of either one or two doses of a COVID-19 vaccine candidate, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (now known as AZD1222). Small animal models have variable success in predicting vaccine efficacy in larger animals but are an important

Fig. 1  SARS-CoV-2 S-specific T cell responses following ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 prime-only and prime-boost vaccination regimens in mice and pigs. Inbred BALB/c (n = 5) and outbred CD1 (n = 8) were immunised on day 0 and 28 with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (Prime-boost) or ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 on day 28 (Prime-only); pigs (n = 3) were immunised with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 on days 0 and 28 (Prime-boost), or only on day 0 (Prime-only). To analyse SARS-CoV-2 S-specific T cell responses, all mice were sacrificed on day 49 for isolation of splenocytes and pigs were blood sampled longitudinally to isolate PBMC. Following stimulation with SARS-CoV-2 S-peptides, responses of murine splenocytes and porcine PBMC were assessed by IFN-γ ELISpot assays. Using flow cytometry, CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses were characterised by assessing expression of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, IL-4 and IL-10 (mice; a) and IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-4 (pigs; b). Each data point represents an individual mouse/pig with bars denoting the median response per group/timepoint. Data were analysed by ANOVA and statistically significant differences between vaccine groups are indicated: *p < 0.05.
stepping stone to facilitate prioritisation of vaccine targets. In contrast, larger animal models, such as the pig and non-human primates, have been shown to more accurately predict vaccine outcome in humans. The mouse data generated in this study suggested that the immunogenicity profile was at the upper end of a dose response curve, which may have saturated the immune response and largely obscured our ability to determine differences between prime-only or prime-boost regimens. We have developed the pig as a model for generating and understanding immune responses to vaccination against human influenza and Nipah virus. The inherent heterogeneity of an outbred large animal model is more representative of immune responses in humans. Extensive development of reagents to study immune responses in pigs in recent years has extended the usefulness and applicability of the pig as a model to study infectious disease. These data demonstrate the utility of the pig as a model for further evaluation of the immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and other COVID-19 vaccines.

We show here that T cell responses are higher in pigs that received a prime-boost vaccination when compared to prime only at day 42, whilst comparing responses 14 days after last immunisation demonstrates the prime-boost regimen trended toward a higher response. In addition, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 immunisation induced robust Th1-like CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell responses in both pigs and mice. This has important implications for COVID-19 vaccine development as virus-specific T cells are thought to play an important role in SARS-CoV-2 infection.

While no correlate of protection has been defined for COVID-19, recent publications suggest that neutralising antibody titres may be correlated with protection in animal challenge models. A single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 induces antibody responses, but we demonstrate here that antibody responses are significantly enhanced after homologous boost in one mouse strain and to a greater extent in pigs. The SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibody titres in pigs after a single immunisation appear broadly comparable to those in sera from humans following asymptomatic infection, whereas, titres in prime-boost pigs were more comparable to serum from recovered COVID-19 patients. However, it is likely that a combination of neutralising antibodies and antigen-specific T cells would act in synergy to prevent and control infection, as we have recently shown in the context of influenza vaccination.

**METHODS**

**Ethics statement**

Mouse and pig studies were performed in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and with approval from the relevant local Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (AWERB) (Mice Project License...
Cells and viruses

Vero E6 cells were grown in DMEM containing sodium pyruvate and l-glutamine (Sigma Aldrich, Poole, UK), 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher, Loughborough, UK), 0.2% penicillin/streptomycin (10,000 U/mL; Gibco) (maintenance media) at 37°C and 5% CO2. SARS CoV 2 isolate England 2 stocks were grown in Vero E6 cells using a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.0001 for 3 days at 37°C in propagation media (maintenance media containing 2% FBS). SARS CoV 2 stocks were titrated on Vero E6 cells using MEM (Gibco), 2% FCS (Labtech, Heathfield, UK), 0.8% Avicel (FMC BioPolymer, Girvan, UK) as overlay. Plaque assays were fixed using formaldehyde (VWR, Leighton Buzzard, UK) and stained using 0.1% Toluidine Blue (Sigma Aldrich). All work with live SARS CoV 2 virus was performed in ACPD HG3 laboratories by trained personnel. The propagation, purification and assessment of ChAdOx1 nCoV 19 titres were as described previously6.

Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 proteins and synthetic peptides

A synthetic DNA, encoding the spike (S) protein receptor binding domain (RBD; amino acids 330-532) of SARS CoV 2 (GenBank MN9080947), codon optimised for expression in mammalian cells (IDT Technology) was inserted into the vector pOPINTTGneo incorporating a C terminal His6 tag. Recombinant RBD was transiently expressed in Exp293™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) and protein purified from culture supernatants by immobilised metal affinity followed by a gel filtration in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 buffer. A soluble trimeric S (FL S) protein construct encoding residues 1 1213 with two sets of mutations that stabilise the protein in a pre fusion conformation (removal of a furin cleavage site and the introduction of two proline residues; K983P, V984P) was expressed as described15. The endogenous viral signal peptide was retained at the N terminus (residues 1 14), a C terminal T4 foldon domain incorporated to promote association of monomers into trimers to reflect the native transmembrane viral protein, and a C terminal His6 tag included for nickel based affinity purification. Similar to recombinant RBD, FL S was transiently expressed in Exp293™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and protein purified from culture supernatants by immobilised metal affinity followed by gel filtration in Tris buffered saline (TBS) pH 7.4 buffer.

For analysis of T cell responses in pigs, overlapping 16mer peptides offset by 4 residues based on the predicted amino acid sequence of the entire 5 protein from SARS CoV 2 Wuhan Hu 1 isolate (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC 045512.2) were designed and synthesised (Mimotopes, Melbourne, Australia) and reconstituted in sterile 40% assinotriene (Sigma Aldrich) at a concentration of 3 mg/mL. Three pools of synthetic peptides representing residues 1 331 (Pool 1), 332 748 (Pool 2) and 749 1273 (Pool 3) were prepared for use to stimulate T cells in IFN γ ELISpot and intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) assays. For analysis of T cell responses in mice, overlapping 15mer peptides offset by 11 residues were designed and synthesised (Mimotopes) and reconstituted in sterile 100% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) at a concentration of 100 mg/mL. Two peptide pools spanning S1 region (Pool 1: 1 to 77 and 317 321, Pool 2: 78 167) and 2 peptide pools spanning S2 region (Pool 3: 166 to 241, Pool 4: 242 to 316) were used for stimulating splenocytes for IFN γ ELISpot, and single pools of S1 (Pool 1 and Pool 2) and S2 (Pool 3 and Pool 4) were used to stimulate splenocytes for ICS.

Immunogenicity trials

Mice: Inbred female BALB/cOlaHsd (BALB/c) and outbred C57Cd1 (CD1) mice were purchased from commercial suppliers (Envigo and Charles River Laboratories, respectively) and randomly allocated to ‘prime only’ or ‘prime boost’ vaccination groups (BALB/c n 5 and CD1 n 8) upon arrival. At 9 10 weeks of age, prime boost mice were immunised intramuscularly with 106 infectious units (IU) (6.02×1010 vp particles/vp) ChAdOx1 nCoV 19 and boosted intramuscularly four weeks later with 1×109 IU ChAdOx1 nCoV 19. Prime only mice received a single dose of 1×109 IU ChAdOx1 nCoV 19 at the same time prime boost mice were boosted. Spleens and serum were harvested from all animals a further 3 weeks later.

Pigs: Six 8 10 week old, weaned, female, Large White Landrace Hampshire cross bred pigs from a commercial rearing unit were randomly allocated to two treatment groups (n 3): ‘Prime only’ and ‘Prime boost’. Both groups were immunised on day 0 with 1×109 IU (5.12×1010 vp) ChAdOx1 nCoV 19 in 1 mL PBS by intramuscular injection (brachiocephalic muscle). ‘Prime boost’ pigs received an identical booster immunisation on day 28. Blood samples were taken from all pigs on a weekly basis at 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42 dpv by venepuncture of the external jugular vein: 8 mL/pig in BD SST vacutainer tubes (Fisher Scientific) for serum collection and 40 mL/pig in BD heparin vacutainer tubes (Fisher Scientific) for peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) isolation.

Detection of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies by ELISA

Mice. Antibodies to SARS CoV 2 FLS protein were determined by performing a standardised ELISA on serum collected 3 weeks after prime or prime boost vaccination. MaxiSorp plates (Nunc) were coated with 100 ng/mL FLS protein overnight at 4°C, prior to washing in PBS/Tween (0.05% v/v) and blocking with Blocker Casein in PBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h at room temperature (RT). Standard positive serum (pool of mouse serum with high endpoint titre against FL S protein), individual mouse serum samples, negative and an internal control (diluted in casein) were incubated for 2 h at RT. Following washing, bound antibodies were detected by addition of alkaline phosphatase conjugated goat anti mouse IgG (Sigma Aldrich), diluted 1/5000 in casein, for 1 h at RT and detection of anti mouse IgG by the addition of NPNP substrate (Sigma Aldrich). An arbitrary number of ELISA units were assigned to the reference pool and OD values of each dilution were fitted to a 4 parameter logistic curve using SOFTmax PRO software. ELISA units were calculated for each sample using the OD values of the sample and the parameters of the standard curve.

Pigs. Serum was isolated by centrifugation of SST tubes at 1300 x g for 10 min at RT and stored at 80°C. SARS CoV 2 RBD and FLS specific antibodies in serum were assessed as detailed previously27 with the exception of the following two steps. The conjugated secondary antibody was replaced with goat anti porcine IgG HRP (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) at 1/10,000 dilution in PBS with 0.1% Tween20 and 1% non fat milk. In addition, after the last wash, a 100 μL of TMB (One Component Horse Radish Peroxidase Microwell Substrate, BioFX, Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK) was added to each well and the plates were incubated for 7 min at RT. A 100 μL of BioFX 450 nm Stop Reagent (Cambridge Bioscience) was then added to stop the reaction and microplates were read at 450 nm. End point antibody titres (mean of duplicates) were calculated as follows: the log10 OD was plotted against the log10 sample dilution and a regression analysis of the linear part of this curve allowed calculation of the endpoint titre with an OD of twice the average OD values of 0 dpv sera.

Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibody responses

The ability of pig sera to neutralise SARS CoV 2 was evaluated using virus and pseudovirus neutralisation assays. For both assays, sera were first heat inactivated (HI) by incubation at 56°C for 2 h. Virus neutralization test (VNT): Starting at a 1 in 5 dilution, two fold serial dilutions of sera were prepared in 96 well round bottom plates using DMEM containing 1% FBS and 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic (Gibco) (dilution media), 75 μL of diluted pig serum was mixed with 75 μL dilution media containing approximately 64 plaque forming units (pfu) SARS CoV 2 for 1 h at 37°C. Vero E6 cells were seeded in 96 well flat bottom plates at a density of 1×105 cells/mL in maintenance media one day prior to experimentation. Culture supernatants were replaced by 100 μL DMEM containing 10% FCS and 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic, before 100 μL of the virus sera mixture was added to the Vero E6 cells and incubated for six days at 37°C. Cytopathic effect (CPE) was investigated by bright field microscopy. Cells were further fixed and stained as described above, and CPE scored. Each individual pig serum dilution was tested in quadruplet on the same plate and no serum. SARS CoV 2 virus and sera positive virus controls were run concurrently on each plate in quadruplet. Wells were scored for cytopathic effect and neutralisation titres expressed as the reciprocal of the serum dilution that completely blocked CPE in 50% of the wells (ND50). Researchers performing the VNTs were blinded to the identity of the samples.

Pseudovirus neutralisation test (pVNT): Lentiviral based SARS CoV 2 pseudoviruses were generated in HEK293T cells incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2. Cells were seeded at a density of 7.5 × 105 in 6 well dishes, before being transfected with plasmids as follows: 500 ng of SARS CoV 2 spike, 600 ng p8.91 (encoding for HIV 1 gag pol), 600 ng CSFLW (lentivirus backbone expressing a firefly luciferase reporter gene), in Opti MEM.
(Gibco) along with 10 μL PEI (1 μg/mL) transfection reagent. A ‘no glycoprotein’ control was also set up using carrier DNA (pcDNA3.1) instead of the SARS CoV 2 S expression plasmid. The following day, the transfection mix was replaced with 3 mL DMEM with 10% FBS (DMEM 10%) and incubated at 37 °C. At both 48 and 72 h post transfection, supernatants containing pseudotyped SARS CoV 2 (SARS CoV 2 pps) were harvested, pooled and centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C to remove cellular debris. Target HEK293T cells, previously transfectected with 500 ng of a human ACE2 expression plasmid (Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA) were seeded at a density of 2 × 10^5 in 100 μL DMEM 10% in a white flat bottom 96 well plate one day prior to harvesting of SARS CoV 2 pps. The following day, SARS CoV 2 pps were titrated 10 fold on target cells, with the rest of the stock reaching 10^6 pps. For plating, 100 μL of serum free media and 50 μL was added to a 96 well plate in quadruplicate and titrated 4 fold. A fixed titred volume of SARS CoV 2 pps was added at a dilution equivalent to 10^6 signal luciferase units in 50 μL DMEM 10% and incubated with sera for 1 h at 37 °C, 5% CO2. Target cells expressing human ACE2 were then added at a density of 2 × 10^5 in 100 μL and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO2 for 72 h. Firefly luciferase activity was then measured with BrightGlo luciferase reagent and a GloMax Multi Detection System (Promega, Southampton, UK). Pseudovirus neutralization titres were expressed as the reciprocal of the serum dilution that inhibited luciferase expression by 50% (IC50).

Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell responses

*Mice*  Single cell suspension of mouse spleens were prepared by passing cells through 70 μm cell strainers and ACK lysis (Thermo Fisher) prior to resuspension in complete media (αMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, Pen, Step, L Glut and 2 mercaptoethanol). For analysis of IFN γ production by ELISPOT assay, splenocytes were stimulated with 5 peptide pools at a final concentration of 2 μg/mL on IPV membrane plates (Millipore) coated with 5 μg/mL anti mouse IFN γ (clone AN18; Mabtech). After 18 h of stimulation, IFN γ spot forming cells (SFC) were detected by staining membranes with anti mouse IFN γ biotin mAb (1 μg/mL; clone R46A2, Mabtech) followed by streptavidin alkaline phosphatase (1 μg/mL) and development with AP conjugate substrate kit (Bio Rad). For analysis of intracellular cytokine production, cells were stimulated with 2 μg/mL S peptide pools, media or cell stimulation cocktail (containing PMA, ionomycin, BioLegend), together with 1 μg/mL GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences) and 2 μL/μL CD107a Alexa467 for 6 h in a 96 well U bottom plate, prior to placing at 4 °C overnight. Following surface staining with CD4 BV496, CD8 PerCP-Cy5.5, CD11c BV711, CD11b BV560, CD44 APC-Cy7 and LIVE DEAD Aqua (Thermo Fisher), cells were fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin (containing 4% parformaldehyde) and stained intracellularly with TNF α AF488, IL 2 PE Cy7, IL 4 BV605, IL 10 PE and IFN γ e450 diluted in Perm Wash buffer (BD Biosciences). Sample acquisition was performed on a Fortessa (BD) and data analysed in FlowJo v9 (TreeStar). An acquisition threshold was set at a minimum of 5000 events in the live CD3+ gate. Antigen specific T cells were identified by gating on LIVE/DEAD negative, doublet negative (FSC H vs. FSC A), size (FSC H vs. SSC), CD3+, CD4+ or CD8+ cells and cytokine positive. The gating strategy is illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 1. Total SARS CoV 2 S specific cytokine responses are presented after subtraction of the background response detected in the media stimulated control spleen sample of each mouse, prior to summing together the frequency of 5 peptide pools 1 S specific cells.

Pigs

PBMCs were isolated from heparinised blood by density gradient centrifugation and cryopreserved in cold 10% DMSO (Sigma Aldrich) in HI FBS17. Resuscitated PBMC were suspended in RPMI 1640 medium, GlutaMAX supplement, HEPES (Gibco) supplemented with 10% HI FBS (New Zealand origin, Life Science Production, Bedford, UK), 1% Pen, Streptomycin and 0.1% 2 mercaptoethanol (50 mM; Gibco) (cRPMI). To determine the frequency of SARS CoV 2 S specific IFN γ producing cells, an ELISPOT assay was performed on PBMC from 0, 14, 28 and 42 dpv. Multiscan 96 well plates (MAHAS4510; Millipore, Fisher Scientific) were pre coated with 1 μg/mL anti porcine IFN γ mAb (clone P2G10, BD Biosciences) and incubated overnight at 4 °C. After washing and blocking with cRPMI, PBMCs were plated at 5 × 10^5 cells/well in cRPMI in a volume of 50 μL/well. PBMCs were stimulated in triplicate wells with the SARS CoV 2 S peptide pools at a final concentration of 1 μg/mL/peptide. cRPMI alone was used in triplicate wells as a negative control. After 18 h incubation at 37 °C with 5% CO2, plates were developed as described previously11. The numbers of specific IFN γ secreting cells were determined using an ImmunoSpot® S6 Analyzer (Cellular Technology, Cleveland, USA). For each animal, the mean ‘cRPMI only’ data was subtracted from the S peptide pool 1, 2 and 3 data which were then summed and expressed as the medium corrected number of antigen specific IFN γ secreting cells per 1 × 10^6 PBMC. To assess intracellular cytokine expression PBMC from 14 and 42 dpv were suspended in cRPMI at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells/mL and added to 50 μL/well to 96 well round bottom plates. PBMCs were stimulated in triplicate wells with the SARS CoV 2 S peptide pools (1 μg/μL/peptide). Unstimulated cells in triplicate wells were used as a negative control. After 14 h incubation at 37 °C, 5% CO2, cytokine secretion was blocked by addition 1:1000 BD GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences) and cells were further incubated for 6 h. PBMCs were washed in PBS and surface labelled with Zombie NIR fixable viability stain (BioLegend), CD4 PerCP Cy5.5 mAb (clone 74 12 4, BD Biosciences) and CD80 FITC mAb (clone PPT23, Bio Rad Antibodies). Following fixation (Fixation Buffer, BioLegend) and permeabilization (Permeabilization Wash Buffer, BioLegend), cells were stained with: IFN γ AF647 mAb (clone CCE302, Bio Rad Antibodies, Kidlington, UK), TNF α BV421 mAb (clone Mab11, BioLegend), IL 2 mAb (clone clone A150D 3F1 2H2, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and IL 4 BV605 mAb (clone MP4 25D2, BioLegend) followed by staining with anti mouse IgG2a PE Cy7 (clone BMWG2a 62, BioLegend). Cells were analysed using a BD LSRFortessa flow cytometer and FlowJo X software. The gating strategy is illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 2. Total SARS CoV 2 S specific cytokine positive responses are presented after subtraction of the background response detected in the media stimulated control PBMC sample of each pig, prior to summing together the frequency of 5 peptide pools 1 S specific cells.

Data analysis

GraphPad Prism 8.1.2 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA) was used for graphical and statistical analysis of data sets. ANOVA or a mixed effects model were conducted to compare responses over time and between vaccine groups at different time points post vaccination as detailed in the “Results” section. Antibody titre data were log transformed before analysis. Neutralising antibody titre data generated by the VNT and pVNT assays were compared using Spearman nonparametric correlation. p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Reporting summary

Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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