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ABSTRACT
Exposure to trauma is pervasive in societies worldwide and is associated with substantial costs to the individual and society, making it a significant global public health concern. We present evidence for trauma as a public health issue by highlighting the role of characteristics operating at multiple levels of influence – individual, relationship, community, and society – as explanatory factors in both the occurrence of trauma and its sequelae. Within the context of this multi-level framework, we highlight targets for prevention of trauma and its downstream consequences and provide examples of where public health approaches to prevention have met with success. Finally, we describe the essential role of public health policies in addressing trauma as a global public health issue, including key challenges for global mental health and next steps for developing and implementing a trauma-informed public health policy agenda. A public health framework is critical for understanding risk and protective factors for trauma and its aftermath operating at multiple levels of influence and generating opportunities for prevention.

1. Public health impact of trauma
Exposure to trauma is pervasive in societies worldwide. Population-based data from various countries indicate that a majority of adults will experience a traumatic event at some point in their lives, despite cross-national variation in the prevalence of specific types of traumatic events (Benjet et al., 2016; Burri & Maercker, 2014). Trauma exposure is also common in children and adolescents around the world. A substantial proportion of children globally are exposed to trauma as a result of armed conflict, natural disasters, and other humanitarian emergencies (World Health Organization, 2013b). An
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estimated 230 million children currently live in countries impacted by armed conflicts (UNICEF, 2014), which increases risk of experiencing displacement, witnessing violence and death, and being orphaned, kidnapped, raped, or recruited as child soldiers (UNICEF, 2009).

Traumatic events do not only occur at random, but can be influenced by individual characteristics, peer group relationships, community characteristics, and socio-political factors. At the individual level, for example, the likelihood of experiencing particular types of trauma varies by sex, age, race/ethnicity, and sexual orientation (Garcia-Moreno, Jansen, Ellsberg, Heise, & Watts, 2006; Kessler, Sonnega, Bromet, Hughes, & Nelson, 1995; McLaughlin et al., 2013; Rees et al., 2011). Community and socio-political factors also influence the likelihood of trauma occurrence across geographic locations. Certain types of traumas (e.g. violence) are more likely to occur in certain locations (e.g. metropolitan areas and conflict zones) (McLaughlin et al., 2013; Perkonigg, Kessler, Storz, & Wittchen, 2000). Moreover, different communities will have diverse trauma recovery trajectories based on their pre-trauma community characteristics (Nakagawa & Shaw, 2004). Thus, it is important not to overlook these characteristics in considering both trauma exposure and outcome.

The public health impact of trauma exposure is staggering for both communities and individuals. Catastrophic events such as natural and man-made disasters and terrorist attacks can have devastating effects on the social fabric of society and communities, not only involving injuries and loss of life, but also related to property destruction and infrastructure damage. This aftermath, coupled with high levels of resulting migration, can create prolonged disruption in the delivery of social services and the dissolution of social support networks. These community-level consequences can persist for lengthy periods, often fundamentally changing the physical and social landscape of a community (Galea et al., 2002; Hollifield et al., 2008; Rosenbaum, 2006). Low and middle income countries (LMICs) are disproportionately affected. Collective violence (e.g. war, genocide) is 10 times more common in LMICs versus high income countries (HICs) (World Health Organization, 2002), and LMICs carry the brunt of migration problems caused by disasters and violence. In 2015, 65.3 million people were forcibly displaced, the vast majority from LMICs. According to the United Nations High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR), the top hosting countries are Turkey (2.5 million), Pakistan (1.6 million), Lebanon (1.1 million), and Iran (1.0 million).

Exposure to trauma is particularly detrimental when it occurs in childhood or adolescence, disrupting numerous aspects of development in cognitive, emotional, and social domains, leading to adverse mental health and educational outcomes (Cicchetti & Toth, 1995; Koenen, Moffitt, Caspi, Taylor, & Purcell, 2003) with long-term consequences for learning and memory (Teicher, Anderson, & Polcari, 2012), emotional functioning (De Bellis et al., 1994; McCrory et al., 2011; McLaughlin & Hatzenbuehler, 2009; Pollak & Sinha, 2002; Pollak, Vardi, Putzer Bechner, & Curtin, 2005), social relationships, elevated risk of re-victimization (Cole & Putnam, 1992; DiLillo, 2001; Follette, Polusney, Bechtle, & Naugle, 1996), and mental disorders (Kilpatrick et al., 2003; McLaughlin et al., 2012, 2013).

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which is inextricably linked with trauma, is in itself a profound public health burden. Individuals who develop PTSD following trauma experience impaired role functioning and reduced life course opportunities (Kessler, 2000). PTSD was associated with high levels of disability, and in developing countries disability associated with PTSD was higher than most common medical conditions except for headaches and chronic pain (Ormel et al., 2008). The economic costs of PTSD are staggering, with work impairment associated with the disorder estimated at 3.6 days per month per person with PTSD. The annual lost productivity due to PTSD is estimated at over $3 billion dollars in the U.S. alone (Kessler, 2000).

Because the development of PTSD is conditional on trauma exposure, PTSD may be the most preventable of mental disorders. We have the unique opportunity to reduce the population burden of PTSD both by preventing trauma exposure and by delivering timely interventions in the wake of trauma to those most at risk. The following sections explore these opportunities based on a public health approach, extending previous work (Magruder, Kassam-Adams, Thoresen, & Olff, 2016) by expanding examples and policy implications.

2. Public health model of traumatic stress

Current approaches to public health are explicitly multi-level and concerned with identifying causes of health states (Krieger, 1994; Susser, 1998) with the ultimate goal of preventing disease onset. With problems that have a behavioural component, a public health model encompasses factors operating at multiple levels of influence, such as family, school, and cultural levels (see Figure 1) (Bronfenbrenner, 1979) (Dahlberg & Krug, 2002).

In the case of trauma-related problems, the important components are the trauma itself, those who are exposed to trauma, their relationships, the variety of environmental factors playing a role in shaping the likelihood of both trauma exposure and outcome, and
societal factors, attitudes, and characteristics that influence trauma likelihood and intervention. Such a multi-level approach provides a public health framework for developing an array of strategies aimed at preventing the occurrence and sequelae of trauma. Furthermore, these levels can be considered as points of intervention and opportunities for prevention. The classic prevention framework includes three levels: primary, secondary, and tertiary (Commission on Chronic Illness, 1957). The aim of primary prevention is to prevent the actual occurrence of the disease or illness. The purpose of secondary prevention is to intervene early in the disease process for cure or optimal outcomes. Tertiary prevention is aimed at preventing the disability that accompanies an illness or disease. Each of these levels of prevention can be implemented at different system levels, including society at large, the community, the family, and the individual. Subsequently, risk and protective factors can be translated into multi-sectoral, multi-modal, and multi-level preventive interventions (De Jong, 2010; Wiist et al., 2014). Table 1 provides a few examples of prevention strategies at each level.

From a public health perspective, preventing exposure to trauma is an obvious strategy, and such efforts can be targeted to all levels of the social-ecological model. A number of strategies are aimed at reducing the likelihood of trauma exposure in individuals. Alcohol education programmes for young adults, such as those implemented on U.S. college campuses, can reduce high-risk drinking which in turn may reduce exposure to traumatic events like physical and sexual assaults, accidents, or motor vehicle accidents (Katz & Moore, 2013). At the relationship level, there are programmes to strengthen the abilities and sensitivity of family caregivers as well as programmes to prevent bullying in schools. At the community level, examples include lighting parking lots, streets, and campuses to prevent crime. Even design of highways to reduce traffic accidents can be seen as an environmental response to reduce motor vehicle accidents and thus reduce trauma occurrence. Other examples include neighbourhood watch programmes to prevent crime and community members preventing sexual assaults in refugee camps. At the societal level, some traumas can be prevented by promoting appropriate social norms. For example, policy changes in Australia have been successful at reducing firearms deaths and injuries (Chapman, Alpers, Agho, & Jones, 2006). Because alcohol consumption is price sensitive, especially for those under 21 years old in the U.S., changes in pricing of alcohol have been proposed as a means of reducing hazardous drinking, and thereby reducing alcohol-related traumatic events, such as family violence and crimes (Chaloupka, 1993; Chaloupka, Grossman, & Saffer, 1998; Presley, Meilman, & Leichliter, 2002). Many targets are not thought of as trauma prevention even though they serve as such, for
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**Table 1.** Prevention examples within a public health framework.

| Social-ecological level | Prevention level                                                                 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Individual**          | **Primary** Alcoholic education programmes for young adults to prevent high-risk drinking, thus reducing risk for physical and sexual assaults | Minimization of ongoing stressors for trauma-exposed individuals to prevent onset of full PTSD |
|                         | **Secondary** Early intervention for trauma-exposed children                      | Effective and timely treatment of PTSD to prevent development of comorbidities |
|                         | **Tertiary** Training for foster parents of children with psychological problems related to trauma |
| **Relationship**        | Programmes to prevent bullying in schools                                         | Development and promotion of proactive community preparedness measures to anticipate response to disasters |
|                         | **Secondary** Early intervention for trauma-exposed children                      | Education programmes to promote understanding of psychological sequelae of trauma exposure and to reduce stigma |
| **Community**           | Lighting parking lots, streets, and campuses to prevent crime                      | Policies to promote and facilitate early intervention for trauma victims |
|                         | **Secondary** Development and promotion of proactive community preparedness measures to anticipate response to disasters |
| **Societal**            | Policies to limit firearm possession                                                | Peace agreements to prevent political violence |
example, increased screening at airports and at major events (e.g. World Cup). Even seemingly unrelated approaches such as improving education levels, eradicating poverty, and decreasing social inequality may have positive primary prevention outcomes because these factors are important predictors of health, mental health, and human rights (Daar et al., 2007).

From some of these examples, it is clear that efforts aimed at preventing trauma may have multiple beneficial outcomes. In the case of reducing the number of motor vehicle accidents, physical trauma and death are the primary targets, and psychological sequelae are the secondary. The same is true of the United Nations’ (UN) efforts to decrease political violence, to install war tribunals and prosecute perpetrators, and to stimulate efforts to have international laws which condemn human rights violations or ban landmines, or similarly when governments attempt to prevent mass terrorist attacks or the re-emergence of violence. Disaster preparedness training can also have a preventive effect, such as setting quality standards for buildings in earthquake- or landslide-prone areas or river beds, setting higher quality standards for the construction of nuclear power stations, providing better access to land in areas with landslides, creating better alarm systems for floods, cyclones, or hurricanes, and providing sheltered areas and evacuation plans in regions that are hit by volcanic eruptions or typhoons (De Jong, 2011).

Secondary prevention can also be directed at various levels. Early intervention with those who have been trauma exposed and are symptomatic focuses on individuals within various settings and environments (rather than focusing on environments per se). There is evidence that for those exposed to trauma and disasters, ongoing non-trauma-stressors are also predictive of PTSD onset and course (as well as for other problems, such as alcohol use disorders) (Cerdà et al., 2013, 2014). Thus, minimization of these ongoing stressors may prevent the onset of PTSD and other psychiatric disorders. In fact, humanitarian relief operations aimed at large populations often focus on shelter, food, water, sanitation, and physical disease control. Evidence suggests that individual-level secondary prevention interventions aimed at bolstering resilience and reducing the likelihood of adverse effects following trauma are effective. For example, the military in several countries have developed pre-deployment programmes to prevent PTSD (Hourani, Council, Hubal, & Strange, 2011), and a recent meta-analysis suggests that intervention within one month can be effective in children and adolescents following a single trauma exposure (Kramer & Landolt, 2011). At the relationship level, examples include shelters for survivors of domestic violence, interventions aimed at couples where domestic violence has already occurred, and foster care for children who are abused or neglected and unable to live with their parents or another relative. Some secondary prevention approaches focus on communities. For example, community support, as in the case of vigils for survivors and their families following disasters or mass violence, is often seen as helpful. Safety considerations, such as providing food and shelter and reuniting families post-disaster, may go a long way to reassure disaster survivors and prevent the development of mental health symptoms. Such support and intervention does not occur successfully in poorly organized or disenfranchised communities; thus, efforts to develop and promote strong proactive communities and build capacity to respond to disasters can also be seen as secondary prevention (Laborde, Magruder, Caye, & Parrish, 2013). From the societal point of view, policies that promote early intervention are also helpful as secondary prevention measures.

With the aim of preventing the progression of disease and disability, most tertiary prevention programmes are squarely in the clinical arena and are seen as part of standard treatment for PTSD or other trauma-related mental health problems; however, there are some examples of societal level tertiary prevention. For example, in the international arena tertiary prevention may aim at peace-keeping and peace-enforcing troops, as well as peace agreements to prevent the reemergence of political violence. Lower rates of PTSD have been found in states that have legislated legal protections for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered individuals as compared to states that do not have protective legislation (Hatzenbuehler, McLaughlin, Keyes, & Hasin, 2010). Similarly, promotion of reconciliation and mediation skills between groups on the community level may be seen as tertiary prevention (De Jong, 2011). On the family and personal level, most tertiary prevention programmes aim at preventing the progression of disease and disability, and are seen as part of standard treatment for PTSD or other trauma-related mental health problems.

Recasting traumatic stress treatment as an approach to prevent the development of comorbidities (e.g. depression and substance use disorders) and to improve functioning (even if not eliminating symptoms entirely) may open the door for novel clinical approaches. For example, the use of support dogs may improve functioning and reduce disability by enabling someone with traumatic stress to enjoy greater societal participation – even if symptoms are not completely alleviated (Krause-Parello, Sami, & Padden, 2016). At the relationship level, there is evidence that training foster parents of children with significant psychological problems resulting from trauma exposure may help to prevent the development of additional problems (Leve et al., 2012). As
with secondary prevention, both community and societal support can also be helpful. They can help to establish service availability and to promote use of services by reducing stigma.

3. The essential role of public health policies

Effective public policies can help to shape societal norms concerning public health issues and are ideally informed by an evidence base. Such policies have been critical in securing the 10 great public health achievements of the twenty-first century, including tobacco control, motor vehicle safety, and prevention and control of infectious diseases (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011). Policies must focus on preventing traumatic events, when possible, providing early intervention services for survivor communities at risk of poor post-trauma outcomes, and reducing stigma. There are currently several overarching mental health policy challenges facing communities and countries plagued by violence and trauma. Because trauma and mental health issues are inextricably linked, they also share many challenges.

Mental health issues (and thus trauma-related issues) have largely been ignored as a priority in the global public health agenda (Saracena et al., 2007; Saxena & Skeen, 2012). For example, the UN Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), a set of development targets agreed to by the international community, failed to include any specific focus on mental health or trauma (Saxena & Skeen, 2012). The main focus of the recent World Health Organization (WHO) Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs is on four specific types – cardiovascular diseases, cancer, chronic respiratory diseases, and diabetes – and on four shared behavioural risk factors – tobacco use, unhealthy diet, physical inactivity, and harmful use of alcohol. Among the other conditions of public health importance that are explicitly mentioned in the plan are mental disorders, violence, and injuries (World Health Organization, 2013a). This indicates that mental health is beginning to gain impetus alongside other leading public health policy priorities; however, until full parity exists for mental health concerns, the issue of preventing trauma and intervening early in its aftermath will fail to receive sufficient global attention as a policy priority.

Much work remains ahead to understand the nature and treatment of mental disorders in global context. Greater investment is needed in global mental health research in high, middle, and low income countries. Cross-national population-based initiatives, such as the World Mental Health Surveys, can play an important role in understanding the prevalence, impact, and health systems’ response to mental disorders (Patel, 2012). More research is needed to comprehend the broad physical health, mental health, and developmental impacts of childhood polyvictimization (Felitti et al., 1998; Finkelhor, Ormrod, & Turner, 2007). Additional priorities for improving global mental health research include a focus on adequately training researchers around the world, ensuring a bidirectional flow of information and partnerships in the global mental health research community (Patel & Prince, 2010). Recommendations for building global trauma research capacity include: providing quality training and distance learning opportunities, supporting international fellowships, promoting memberships in collaborative research teams, improving accessibility of the scientific literature, and encouraging researchers to share their knowledge with policymakers and key stakeholders (Fodor et al., 2014). Unlike other service sectors that rely heavily on equipment or supplies, mental health services are primarily dependent on human resources (World Health Organization, 2011). Many have identified shortcomings of the current global mental health workforce, including limitations on the number and types of workers trained in mental health care, perhaps due to poor working conditions and low status associated with the mental health professions (Saracena et al., 2007).

According to WHO, an immediate and sizeable investment is needed to scale up a well-trained global mental health workforce. One method suggested for expanding the workforce is task shifting, or redistribution of tasks from highly qualified health workers to health workers with shorter training and fewer qualifications (World Health Organization, 2008). An example of a task shifting approach is WHO’s Problem Management Plus, a low-intensity intervention for adults with symptoms of common mental health problems. This intervention uses lay helpers supervised by skilled mental health professionals in communities exposed to adversity (Dawson et al., 2015). In addition to more general mental health workforce strategies, several important efforts are underway by leaders in the trauma field to develop trauma-focused competencies to help mental health professionals build foundational trauma knowledge and skills (Bisson et al., 2010; Cook, Newman, & The New Haven Trauma Competency Group, 2014; Layne et al., 2014).

In many countries, a wide gap exists between the need for mental health services and the availability of treatment (World Health Organization, 2011). This is particularly challenging for LMIC, which are home to over 80% of the global population, but utilize less than 20% of the mental health resources (Saxena, Thornicroft, Knapp, & Whiteford, 2007). Worldwide there exists a troubling cycle of disadvantage, social exclusion, and mental disorders. The consequent treatment gap is a contravention of basic human rights, as more than 75% of those identified with serious anxiety, mood, impulse control, or substance use disorders in the World Mental Health surveys in LMIC received no care at all, despite substantial role disability. Further, mental health resources are often inequitably
distributed among countries, regions, and within communities. Such inequities can occur in access to care, use and outcomes of care, and by geographic region, race/ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic status (Ngui, Khasakhala, Ndeite, & Roberts, 2010). Governments must invest more of their health budgets toward these inequities in order to adequately address mental health and trauma-focused prevention and early intervention.

Much attention around the world has focused on the benefits of integrating mental health care into primary care and other settings where people receive services (Ngui et al., 2010; Patel & Prince, 2010; World Health Organization, 2001). Such integration of physical and mental health care is especially important for trauma-exposed populations, as they often seek help in primary care rather than mental health settings (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, 2002). While much of the global population is only seen in primary care, mental health issues (such as PTSD) often go undiagnosed in this setting (Üstün & Sartorius, 1995). Further, physical disease is often accompanied by psychological morbidity that is not always recognized by primary care providers (World Health Organization, 2001). The fact that medical comorbidities often develop with PTSD (and other psychiatric disorders) is yet another reason that primary care clinicians need training on the recognition and treatment of mental health conditions.

Many efforts are underway to integrate physical and mental health and provide trauma-informed training to health care providers, including in the Department of Veterans Affairs in the U.S. and a variety of European countries. This includes a policy of routine screening by health care providers for trauma exposure and resources to assist providers in addressing trauma and PTSD in primary care (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, 2002). Similar practices and policies are underway in other systems around the world; however, integration of mental health and trauma-informed services remains the exception and not the rule in many communities. Many suggest that a true public health approach requires mental health integration beyond primary care to include sectors such as education, justice, welfare, and labour through partnerships with government, non-governmental organizations, and the faith-based community (Collins, Insel, Chockalingam, Daar, & Maddox, 2013; Ko et al., 2008).

Stigma associated with mental health issues, such as traumatic stress, can serve as a barrier to mental health treatment and positive outcomes. According to the WHO (World Health Organization, 2010), those with mental health conditions are the most marginalized and vulnerable groups in society and may face restrictions in exercising their political and civil rights. In addition, they can have difficulty accessing health care, social services, and educational and employment opportunities. Efforts to address stigma and discrimination related to mental health issues are underway. Among the strategies used include social activism, public education, and contact with persons with mental illness. A recent meta-analysis of outcome studies revealed that both education and contact had positive effects on reducing stigma for adults and adolescents with a mental illness (Corrigan, Morris, Michaels, Rafacz, & Rusch, 2012).

4. Summary

A public health framework is critical for understanding risk and protective factors for trauma and its aftermath operating at multiple levels of influence and generating opportunities for prevention at each of these levels. Primary prevention efforts should be aimed at preventing exposure to trauma itself. Secondary prevention should be directed at the prevention of trauma-related sequelae, in particular post-traumatic stress disorder. Tertiary prevention should slow the progression of trauma-related illness and disability. Advantages to adopting a public health approach to trauma include involvement of families, communities, and policymakers – making them more informed, activated, and supportive of prevention and early intervention efforts. The task is not easy, as trauma is a general term that encompasses a variety of experiences that range from rape to earthquakes. Preventive efforts will be vastly different for different traumas; thus, public health trauma advocates may need to form strategic alliances with unlikely partners, for example, highway safety officials concerned with traffic accidents, university officials concerned with sexual assaults, or government officials in areas at high risk for natural disasters. Furthermore, given the global nature of trauma, approaches may need to adapted for different cultures. Despite these challenges, there have been some modest successes in implementing mental health and trauma policies around the world. Building on these successes will help to establish a public health approach to trauma.
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