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Selection of Reference Genes for Expression Studies of Xenobiotic Adaptation in *Tetranychus urticae*
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Abstract

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) is an extensively used, high-throughput method to analyze transcriptional expression of genes of interest. An appropriate normalization strategy with reliable reference genes is required for calculating gene expression across diverse experimental conditions. In this study, we aim to identify the most stable reference genes for expression studies of xenobiotic adaptation in *Tetranychus urticae*, an extremely polyphagous herbivore causing significant yield reduction of agriculture. We chose eight commonly used housekeeping genes as candidates. The qRT-PCR expression data for these genes were evaluated from seven populations: a susceptible and three acaricide resistant populations feeding on lima beans, and three other susceptible populations which had been shifted host from lima beans to three other plant species. The stability of the candidate reference genes was then assessed using four different algorithms (comparative ΔCt method, *geNorm*, *NormFinder*, and *BestKeeper*). Additionally, we used an online web-based tool (*RefFinder*) to assign an overall final rank for each candidate gene. Our study found that *CycA* and *Rp49* are best for investigating gene expression in acaricide susceptible and resistant populations. *GAPDH*, *Rp49*, and *Rpl18* are best for host plant shift studies. And *GAPDH* and *Rp49* were the most stable reference genes when investigating gene expression under changes in both experimental conditions. These results will facilitate research in revealing molecular mechanisms underlying the xenobiotic adaptation of this notorious agricultural pest.
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Introduction

The two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) is the first species with a completely sequenced genome in the subphylum Chelicerata, which incorporates the second largest group of animals on the earth [1, 2]. As one of the most polyphagous herbivores, *T. urticae* has an extremely broad host range on > 1,100 plant species in more than 250 families [1, 3, 4]. Many of its host plants are economically important crops, ornamentals, fruits and vegetables [4]. The damage caused by *T. urticae* on these crops leads to a significant reduction in yield [5]. To suppress mite populations, growers often apply numerous acaricides [6]. *T. urticae* exhibits remarkable capabilities to quickly develop resistance to all of these chemicals, including 95 unique insecticide/acaricide active ingredients in 498 cases worldwide (http://www.pesticideresistance.org/, accessed on June 28th, 2016), and is ranked as the most resistant arthropod pest in the world [1, 2, 7].

From an ecological perspective, pesticides may not be the sole factor that drives the evolution of resistance in herbivore arthropods [8, 9]. Recent studies propose that there is a close link between host plant adaptation and development of pesticide resistance in a specialist [10] and several generalist herbivores, including *T. urticae* [11, 12]. Because many synthetic pesticides are derived from plant
allelochemicals used to defend against herbivores, it is not surprising that the herbivores utilize similar strategies to adapt to both pesticides and chemical defenses of host plants [9-11, 13-16]. *T. urticae*, as a generalist, is an excellent model to study the relationship between pesticide resistance and host plant adaptation. In a recent study, the transcriptional profiles of *T. urticae* shifting host from lima bean to tomato resembled transcriptional profiles of multi-pesticide-resistant *T. urticae* populations, suggesting that shared repertoires of detoxification genes are contributing to the defense against plant chemicals and pesticides [11]. Additionally, the feeding of *T. urticae* on tomato plants increased resistance to several unrelated pesticide classes [11]. Moreover, in the field, herbivore pests have to cope with chemical pressures from both pesticides and a myriad of toxic plant compounds in their diets simultaneously [15]. Therefore, in order to investigate molecular mechanisms of chemical adaptation in a systematic way, in many circumstances, pesticide resistance should be considered in concert with the adaptation to host plants.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) is an extensively used approach to analyze transcriptional expression of genes involved in all biological processes [17-19]. Compared with other conventional techniques such as northern blotting and in situ hybridization, qRT-PCR has significant advantages including being less labor-intensive, more sensitive, and higher-throughput. For example, qRT-PCR requires much less RNA and has higher sensitivity than northern blotting. Therefore, qRT-PCR remains one of few methods to measure *in vivo* expression reliably for many tiny organisms (e.g. *T. urticae*) and genes that have very low transcript expression levels [20]. However, the accuracy of qRT-PCR is influenced by numerous factors, such as integrity of the RNA, variation in efficiency of reverse transcription, efficiency of PCR amplification, and normalization [20]. To avoid potential bias and enhance the reliability and reproducibility of qRT-PCR, it is necessary to design an appropriate normalization strategy for analyzing target genes with the most stable reference gene(s) across various experimental conditions [18, 20]. The reference genes, known as housekeeping genes, are involved in basic, ubiquitous cellular functions and presumed to be expressed in uniform levels under different experimental conditions [21]. However, accumulated evidences have shown that there is no single ‘universal’ reference gene having stable expression in all cell types in each experimental condition [17, 21-25]. Therefore, the stability of reference genes must be validated for each specific experimental condition in each species. It has also been documented that the normalization using an inappropriate single reference gene may lead to substantial errors [19, 20, 22, 25]. Two to several validated stable reference genes are often recommended for the most accurate qRT-PCR normalization.

In this study, we evaluated and identified the most reliable reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis of xenobiotic adaptation in *T. urticae*. We chose eight commonly used housekeeping genes as candidates. The qRT-PCR data for these genes were evaluated from seven populations: a susceptible population feeding on lima beans, three resistant populations selected from the susceptible population with abamectin, bifenazate, or bifenthrin, and three other susceptible populations shifted host from lima beans to peppers, roses, or potatoes. The stability of the candidate reference genes was assessed with four algorithms, the comparative ΔCt method, geNorm, NormFinder, and Bestkeeper. Additionally, we used the online web-based tool RefFinder [26] to assign an overall final rank for each candidate gene.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mite rearing and selection for acaricide resistance**

Mites were reared on lima bean plants (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) at 25 ± 2°C, 70 ± 5% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h in a fine mesh BugDorm insect cage (MegaView Science Co., Ltd., Taiwan, China) inside an isolated walk-in growth chamber at Washington State University in Pullman, WA. New, healthy, 2-week post-germination lima bean plants were provided for the spider mites every 14 days. The susceptible strain was originally collected from weeds in Montana in 1995 [6] and has been maintained in laboratory ever since. We used these susceptible mites to select for populations that are resistant to three acaricides: abamectin, bifenazate, and bifenthrin (Figure 1). Selection occurred from October 2013 to September 2015. About 150 lima bean leaves with feeding mites were dipped into commercial formulations of each acaricide (diluted in distilled water) for 5 seconds. The commercial formulations of these acaricides were Epi-mek® 0.15 EC (2% a.i. Abamectin, Syngenta Crop Protection), Acramite® 50WS (50% a.i. Bifenazate, Chemtura Agro Solutions), and Bifenture® EC (25.1% a.i. Bifenthrin, United Phosphorus). Leaves were then carefully drained of surplus liquid and air-dried on paper towels for about 10 minutes before being moved into plastic containers. After 24 h, the surviving mites were transferred to new lima bean plants in a clean BugDorm insect cage to initiate a new population.
This selection procedure was performed every 1-2 months, using increasing concentrations of acaricide that each resulted in approximately 70%-90% mite mortality. The acaricide concentrations for final rounds of selection were 690 mg a.i./L (abamectin), 899 mg a.i./L (bifenazate) and 1200 mg a.i./L (bifenthrin). Bioassays for these acaricide resistant mite populations were performed as described in our previous studies [6]. In brief, leaf disc bioassays were used to calculate the LC50s of abamectin and bifenazate resistant populations. The sticky tape method was used for estimating the LC50 of the bifenthrin resistant population due to the repellent effects of bifenthrin on mites. In the leaf disc bioassay, ten female adult spider mites were located on the abaxial surface of a bean leaf disc (2 cm diameter) with a fine brush. Four leaf discs (2.1 cm diameter) were arranged on water-saturated cotton in a single petri dish (9 cm diameter, 1.5 cm height; Alkali Scientific, Pompano Beach, FL). The perimeter of the leaf disc was surrounded by water-saturated cotton to prevent mites from walking off the disc. In the sticky tape method, ten female adult spider mites were placed abdominal side up on a piece of double-sided sticky Scotch® tape (3 cm x 1.2 cm) sticking on a glass slide (7.5 cm x 2.5 cm). Four to six concentrations of acaricide solutions were serially diluted in distilled water. Leaf discs or glass slides were sprayed with 2 ml of each acaricide solution using a Potter spray tower (Burkard Manufacturing, Richmansworth, Herts, UK). The tower was calibrated to 1.1 kg/cm² with 2.0 ± 0.1 mg/cm² spray fluid for each treatment. Spray of distilled water only was used as a control. The treated mites were maintained at 25 ± 2°C, 70 ± 5% RH, and 16:8 (L:D) h for 24 h before recording the mortality. The mites that were not responsive after being touched with a fine camel-hair paintbrush were counted as dead. LC50 values were corrected against the control with Abbott’s formula [27] and calculated by log-dose probit analysis (POLO Probit 2014). The resistance ratios were calculated by dividing the LC50 values of the resistant populations by that of the susceptible population toward the same acaricide. Each bioassay was replicated 4-8 times for each acaricide concentration.

Host plant shift

The susceptible T. urticae reared on lima beans (Phaseolus lunatus L.) were transferred to new and healthy pepper (Capsicum annum; Poblano/Ancho, Bonnie Plants™), rose (Rosa hybrid; mini rose, Por La Mar® Nursery), or potato (Solanum tuberosum L.; Russet Burbank variety) plants (Figure 1). Each new population was kept in a fine mesh BugDorm insect cage. New plants were provided for these populations every 14 days. After 5 generations (about 1.5-2 months), the female adults were collected for RNA extraction.

Figure 1. The experimental conditions for this study. Schematic representation of the different populations generated from the susceptible strain (S) under two different experimental conditions: acaricide resistance selection and host plant shift.
RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA from 300-400 T. urticae female adults per population (Figure 1) was extracted using TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen) following manufacturer’s protocol. RNA integrity was evaluated by gel electrophoresis and spectrometry analysis (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Scientific). Only RNA with a 260/280nm absorbance ratio between 1.8 and 2.2 was used for further analysis. DNase I (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX) was used to total RNA to remove contaminating DNA. DNA-free RNA was then used as a template for cDNA synthesis by M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI). For each sample, 3 μg DNA-free RNA was used in a 20 μL reaction.

Candidate housekeeping genes

Eight commonly used housekeeping genes were chosen as candidates (Table 1). Primers for qRT-PCR were designed based on the sequences extracted from Online Resource for Community of Annotation of Eukaryotes (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/, accessed on June 28th 2016). The cDNA from the susceptible strain (feeding on lima beans) was used as a template for PCR that was performed in a Peltier-Effect thermal cycler (MJ Research, Inc., Canada). PCR was performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) under the following cycling parameters: 94°C for 3 min 50 s, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, with final extension for 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were purified with DNA Clean & Concentrator (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Each individual PCR product was sequenced using ABI BigDye Terminator Version 3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) on an ABI 3730 at the Molecular Biology and Genomics Core at Washington State University. The obtained sequences were analyzed with BioEdit 7.0.1 software (Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA) to confirm sequence identities.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

qRT-PCR was performed on a CFX96™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Each reaction contained 5.0 μL iQ™ 2 x SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA), 1.0 μL of cDNA, 3.6 μL ddH2O, and 0.4 μL forward and reverse primers (Table 1, 10 μM). An optimized program was used: initial incubation of 95°C for 3 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 s, annealing/extension at 60°C for 30 s, and followed by a final melting curve cycle of 95°C for 10 s, 65°C for 5 s, and 95°C for 10 s.

The reactions were set up in a 96-well full-skirted PCR plate (USA Scientific, Ocala, FL) with three technical replicates and three or four biological replicates. Three negative (no-template) controls were included in each plate.

The standard curve for each gene was generated from a linear regression equation with a slope and square of the correlation coefficient (R²) through serial five-fold dilutions of cDNA from the susceptible population (feeding on lima beans). The PCR efficiency (E) for each primer set was determined based on the slope of the standard curve with the equation: E%= (10^[1/slope] -1) x 100%. Both PCR efficiency and R² values were taken into consideration in evaluating the primers. Primer pairs with PCR efficiency lower than 94% or higher than 110%, or R² values < 0.99, were excluded from further analysis.

Statistical analysis

The threshold cycle numbers (Ct values) of selected candidate housekeeping genes under experimental conditions were analyzed and evaluated for stability across experimental conditions using the following algorithms: the comparative ΔCt method [24], geNorm [22], NormFinder [23], and BestKeeper [28]. The comparative ΔCt method is used to identify useful housekeeping genes by comparing the relative expression of gene pairs within each sample [24]. The most stable reference genes are associated with the lowest standard deviations of ΔCt when these genes are compared with the other reference genes. The geNorm algorithm calculates an expression stability value (M) for each gene based on geometric mean. The genes with the lowest M values have the most stable expression [22]. The geNorm 3.5 used in this study is an Excel-based software that requires Microsoft Excel version 2000, XP or 2003 on a Windows platform. To load the expression data matrix, the Ct values were transformed to quantities in a linear value using the formula 2^(-ΔCt), where ΔCt = the minimum Ct value – Ct value of samples in the experiment following the geNorm manual (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm/geNorm_manual.pdf, accessed on June 28th, 2016). Currently, a much improved geNorm module is incorporated into qbase+ software for qRT-PCR data analysis (https://genorm.cmgg.be, accessed on June 28th, 2016). Additionally, the geNorm program calculates the optimal number of reference genes for valid normalization of qRT-PCR by performing a pair-wise comparison (VN/n+1) between two sequential normalization factors (NFn and NFn+1) of samples within or between experimental conditions. A large variation (VN/n+1 >0.15) suggests that the added gene is required for reliable normalization. If the VN/n+1 value
is lower than the 0.15 cut-off value, an additional reference gene will not significantly improve the normalization [22]. The strategy of NormFinder algorithm is based on a mathematical model of gene expression and a solid statistical analysis to estimate gene expression stability by considering both intragroup and intergroup variations [23]. The NormFinder_0953.xla is an Excel Add-In that introduces the NormFinder function into Microsoft Excel software package (http://moma.dk/normfinder-software, accessed on June 28th, 2016). To input the expression data for NormFinder analysis, the Ct values were transformed to quantities using the formula \(2^{\Delta Ct}\). The most stable genes within and between groups are genes with the lowest stability values. NormFinder software also identifies the best combination of two reference genes under different experimental conditions [23]. The BestKeeper program is an Excel-based tool using pairwise correlations (http://www.gene-quantification.com/bestkeeper.html, accessed on June 28th, 2016) [28]. The Ct values and PCR efficiency were loaded into the program directly following the user manual. The results of these analyses were then evaluated using the online web-based tool RefFinder (http://fulxie.0fees.us/?type=reference, accessed on June 28th, 2016) [26] to assign an overall final rank for each primer set according to geometric means of weight of an individual candidate gene from each program above.

### Results

#### Laboratory-selection for resistance to three acaricides

Three acaricide resistant populations were established through exposure of the susceptible mite population to increasing levels of acaricides during a 2-year period. The abamectin resistant population (AbamectinR) exhibited 1539-fold higher resistance to Epi-Mex than the susceptible strain (Table 2). The bifenazate resistant population (BifenazateR) exhibited 189-fold higher resistance to Acramite than the susceptible strain (Table 2). The bifenthrin resistant population (BifenthrinR) exhibited 253-fold higher resistance to Bifenthrin than the susceptible strain (Table 2).

#### Candidate housekeeping gene selection

The PCR efficiency and \(R^2\) (square of the correlation coefficient) value of 8 housekeeping genes were evaluated (Table 1). The primer pair Rps18 was excluded from further analysis because it had a PCR efficiency higher than 110% and \(R^2\) value < 0.99 (see Materials and Methods). The PCR products of the seven other selected housekeeping genes were amplified under conditions as described in Materials and Methods and resolved on an agarose gel (Supplementary Material: Figure S1A). These amplified PCR products were then sequenced, and all showed 100% identity with the corresponding sequences deposited in the *T. urticae* genome database (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/, accessed on June 28th, 2016). The melting curve of each of these seven genes showed a single peak (Supplementary Material: Figure S1B), indicating there was not any non-specific amplification of these primer sets under our PCR conditions.

### Table 1. Primer information for qRT-PCR analysis.

| Gene symbol | Gene name | Gene ID | Primer sequence (5’ → 3’) | Amplicon (bp) | E (%) | \(R^2\) |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------------------|--------------|-------|--------|
| Actin       | Actin     | tetur03g09480 | F: GCCATCTTCCTTGTTGATTGCTGCA |
|             |           |         | R: TCTCGGACAATTTCTCGCTCAGCA |
| Rp49        | Ribosomal protein 49 | tetur18g03590 | F: CTCAAGGGGCATCGACAGCC |
|             |           |         | R: GCGATCGACCTTGAACTTC |
| GAPDH       | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | tetur25g00250 | F: AAAAAATATATCTCCTCCGGCTAC |
|             |           |         | R: AGGAAACCCGACCGAAAGAC |
| Rps18       | 28S ribosomal protein S18b, mitochondrial precursor | tetur01g03280 | F: AACTGGCCCTCTGATTGATCC |
|             |           |         | R: CTCACTTCCTGATCCCTCTTC |
| TUB         | \(\alpha\)-tubulin | tetur03g00230 | F: GCTCAAGCTTGTTGCAATAAGT |
|             |           |         | R: AGGCCATGGGACATCGGTGTT |
| CycA        | Cyclophilin A | tetur03g2670 | F: CTTCAAGGGCGTACTTACTAC |
|             |           |         | R: CCAATTGGAAGGTACTTGGTCC |
| Ef1α        | Elongation factor 1-alpha | tetur08g02980 | F: AACCTCTTCCTGATGATCTG |
|             |           |         | R: GTGACCAAGGGACACTCAATAAAGG |
| Rpl18       | Ribosomal protein L18 | tetur31g00160 | F: CTGGAAAACATTTGGTCCAGCTC |
|             |           |         | R: TAAACGACCTGCTCCTCGTC |

http://www.ijbs.com
Expression profiles of selected candidate housekeeping genes

As shown in Figure 2, seven selected candidate housekeeping genes had a narrow range of Ct values across all samples with the median Ct values varying from 15.42 (Ef1a) to 18.70 (Actin). Among these genes, Ef1a (median Ct = 15.42) was the most abundantly expressed gene, followed by Rp49 (median Ct = 16.41). The least expressed gene was Actin (median Ct = 18.70) (Figure S1, Table S1). Actin had the least variable expression reflected by the lowest SD value (1.41). Ef1a had the most variable expression (SD = 2.31) (Table S1).

Figure 2. Expression profiles of candidate housekeeping genes in *T. urticae*. Box-and-whisker plots show the expression levels of 7 candidate housekeeping genes in the total 84 samples documented in terms of the cycle threshold number (Ct value). The box represents the interquartile range (25%-75% percentiles). The line within a box stands for the median. The left and right whiskers on each box represent the lowest and the highest values, respectively.

Rank of selected candidate housekeeping genes

ΔCt analysis

The comparative ΔCt method was used to identify the most stable reference genes that had the lowest standard deviations of Ct values among populations [24]. Examining the effects of acaricide resistance selection alone, the order of gene expression stability from the most to the least stable reference gene was: CycA > GAPDH > Rp49 > Rp118 > TUB > Actin > Ef1a (Table 3, Table S2). Among mite populations feeding on different host plants and across both experimental conditions, the order of gene expression stability was: GAPDH > CycA > Rp49 > Rp118 > TUB > Ef1a > Actin (Table 3, Table S2). Across both experimental conditions, the ΔCt method indicated that GAPDH was the most stable reference gene (Table 3, Table S2). The second most stable reference gene was CycA followed by Rp49, Rp118, and TUB. Ef1a and Actin were the two least stable reference genes (Table 3, Table S2).

dgeNorm analysis

The geNorm algorithm was used to calculate the average of expression stability (M) values for each selected candidate housekeeping gene based on the geometric means under different experimental conditions. All tested genes exhibited high expression stability with M < 1.05, which is below the default threshold (M < 1.5) recommended by Vandesompele et al [22] (Table 3). With the lowest M value (M = 0.20), Rp49 and Rp118 were ranked as the most stable reference genes across all experimental conditions. Among diverse acaricide resistance populations, the order of gene expression stability was: Rp49 = Rp118 > GAPDH > CycA > TUB > Actin > Ef1a. Across mite populations feeding on different host plants and both experimental conditions, the order of gene expression stability was: Rp49 = Rp118 > CycA > GAPDH > TUB > Ef1a > Actin (Table 3). In all conditions, both Actin and Ef1a were identified as the least stable reference genes (Table 3).

NormFinder analysis

The NormFinder method estimated the gene expression stability [Stability Value (SV)] according to both intragroup and intergroup variations of each gene [23]. This method shows less sensitivity to the correlated expression of candidate genes with similar functions than the geNorm method, which tends to select genes with similar expression patterns [22]. Across mite populations with different acaricide resistance, the NormFinder algorithm identified CycA (SV = 0.10) as the most stable reference gene, followed by GAPDH (SV = 0.15). The order of gene expression...
stability was: CycA > GAPDH > Rp49 > Rpl18 > TUB > Actin > Ef1a (Table 3). Among mite populations feeding on different host plants, GAPDH (SV = 0.20) was ranked as the most stable reference gene, followed by CycA (SV = 0.44). The order of gene expression stability was: GAPDH > CycA > Rp49 > Rpl18 > TUB > Ef1a > Actin > Ef1a > CycA > TUB > Rpl18 > Actin (Table 3). Across both experimental conditions, the overall order of gene expression stability from the most to the least stable reference gene was GAPDH > CycA > Ef1a > Rpl18 > Rpl18 > TUB > Actin (Table 3, Table S3).

**Comprehensive ranking of RefFinder**

The online web-based tool *RefFinder* was used to assign the overall final rank through calculating the geometric means of weight of an individual candidate gene from each program above [26]. The Ct values were directly loaded into the *RefFinder* program. According to *RefFinder*, GAPDH (GM = 2.11) was the most stable reference gene across both experimental conditions, which was consistent with the rankings from comparative ΔCt, NormFinder, and BestKeeper methods. Ef1a (GM = 6.24) was ranked as the least stable reference gene (Table 3). Across populations with different acaricide resistance, the order of gene expression stability was: CycA > Rp49 > GAPDH > Rpl18 > TUB > Ef1a > Actin (Table 3). Across populations feeding on different host plants, the order of gene expression stability was: GAPDH > Ef1a > CycA > TUB > Rpl18 > Rpl18 > TUB > Ef1a > Actin (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Rank of the candidate housekeeping genes of T. urticae under different experimental conditions.

| Experimental condition | Rank | Gene | RefFinder ^a^ | ΔCt | geNorm | NormFinder | BestKeeper | SD ^b^ | M ^c^ | SV ^d^ | r ^e^ |
|------------------------|------|------|---------------|-----|--------|------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Acaricide resistance   | 1    | CycA | 1.86          | CycA| 0.27   | Rp49/Rpl18| CycA       | 0.20   | CycA   | GAPDH | 0.911|
|                        | 2    | Rp49 | 2.56          | GAPDH| 0.28   |            | GAPDH      | 0.15   | Rp49   | 0.894|
|                        | 3    | GAPDH| 2.63          | Rp49| 0.29   | GAPDH      | 0.21       | Rp49   | 0.19   | 0.858|
|                        | 4    | Rpl18| 3.13          | Rpl18| 0.32   | CycA       | 0.22       | Rpl18  | 0.24   | 0.828|
|                        | 5    | TUB  | 3.34          | TUB | 0.34   | TUB        | 0.27       | TUB    | 0.26   | 0.790|
|                        | 6    | Ef1a | 5.12          | Actin| 0.37   | Actin      | 0.30       | Actin  | 0.31   | 0.504|
|                        | 7    | Actin| 6.24          | Ef1a| 0.37   | Ef1a       | 0.32       | Ef1a   | 0.31   | 0.332|
| Host plant shift       | 1    | GAPDH| 2.00          | GAPDH| 0.82   | Rp49/Rpl18| GAPDH      | 0.20   | GAPDH  | 0.989|
|                        | 2    | Rp49 | 2.06          | CycA| 0.87   |            | CycA       | 0.44   | Ef1a   | 0.980|
|                        | 3    | Rpl18| 2.63          | Rp49| 0.87   | CycA       | 0.34       | Rp49   | 0.62   | 0.973|
|                        | 4    | CycA | 2.78          | Rpl18| 0.88   | GAPDH      | 0.42       | Rpl18  | 0.65   | 0.963|
|                        | 5    | Actin| 4.30          | TUB | 1.16   | TUB        | 0.75       | TUB    | 0.93   | 0.953|
|                        | 6    | TUB  | 5.23          | Ef1a| 1.26   | Ef1a       | 0.90       | Ef1a   | 1.08   | 0.949|
|                        | 7    | Ef1a | 6.24          | Actin| 1.36   | Actin      | 1.03       | Actin  | 1.16   | 0.838|
| Both conditions        | 1    | GAPDH| 2.11          | GAPDH| 0.61   | Rp49/Rpl18| GAPDH      | 0.22   | GAPDH  | 0.987|
|                        | 2    | Rp49 | 2.28          | CycA| 0.62   |            | CycA       | 0.29   | Ef1a   | 0.984|
|                        | 3    | Rpl18| 2.38          | Rp49| 0.64   | CycA       | 0.31       | Rp49   | 0.42   | 0.979|
|                        | 4    | CycA | 2.63          | Rpl18| 0.67   | GAPDH      | 0.35       | Rpl18  | 0.47   | 0.973|
|                        | 5    | Actin| 4.30          | TUB | 0.82   | TUB        | 0.56       | TUB    | 0.63   | 0.969|
|                        | 6    | TUB  | 5.23          | Ef1a| 0.92   | Ef1a       | 0.67       | Ef1a   | 0.79   | 0.967|
|                        | 7    | Ef1a | 6.24          | Actin| 1.00   | Actin      | 0.76       | Actin  | 0.86   | 0.901|

a)GM: Geometric Mean; b) SD: Standard Deviation of comparative ΔCt; c) M: Average of expression stability values; d) SV: Stability Value; e) r: Pearson’s correlation coefficient.
Optimal number of reference genes for qRT-PCR normalization

In order to quantify gene expression levels more accurately, it has been strongly suggested to normalize qRT-PCR data by multiple validated reference genes rather than only a single reference gene [22]. The geNorm program can provide the optimal number of reference genes under each experimental condition through calculating the pairwise variation (Vn/n+1) values [22]. As shown in Figure 3, when analyzing diverse acaricide resistance populations alone, and when all populations were analyzed simultaneously, the V2/3 values were lower than the 0.15 cut-off value, indicating that two reference genes are adequate for accurate qRT-PCR normalization under these conditions. However, the V2/3 value was 0.154 (> 0.15, a cut-off value [22]) when analyzing the host-plant shift populations alone (Figure 3), suggesting the addition of a third reference gene may improve the reliability of normalization.

Discussion

Valid reference gene selection is highly important for accurate and reliable qRT-PCR normalization. Use of a single housekeeping gene without validation of its stability can lead to a greater than 20-fold error in calculations of gene expression [22]. During recent years, a growing number of studies of reference gene suitability have been conducted in humans [29-31], other mammals [32, 33], plants [34-38] as well as arthropods (Table S4). With more and more genomes and transcriptomes available for arthropods, there are at least 45 arthropod species that have been investigated for reference gene identification and selection under diverse experimental conditions. These arthropods consist of various agricultural and urban pests [39-50], beneficial predators [51, 52], pollinators [53-56], and other economically important insects such as silkworm [57] (also see Table S4). Most of these studies compared gene expression across several biotic or abiotic variables, such as developmental stage, tissues type, pesticide exposure, and temperature stress. However, there is no research focusing on the reliable reference genes selection under adaptation to both pesticides and host plant allelochemicals (Table S4). Our study, for the first time, evaluates and identifies the most stable reference genes for populations under xenobiotic stresses from different sources (commercial acaricides vs the effects of feeding on different host plants with unique allelochemical arrays). Among seven candidate housekeeping genes, our study found that CycA and Rp49 were the most stable genes for investigating gene expression in acaricide resistant vs susceptible populations of T. urticae. GAPDH, Rp49, and Rpl18 were found to be the most stable reference genes when evaluating host plant variation. And GAPDH and Rp49, were found to be the best reference genes in investigation of gene expression across both experimental conditions.

The most stable reference genes identified in our study are not the same as those used in previous studies in T. urticae. For example, Actin has been used as a reference gene for gene expression normalization in many studies [6, 11, 58-61]. However, we found Actin expression was highly variable under both
experimental conditions (Table 3). Similarly, *Actin* was never ranked as a suitable reference gene across different developmental stages in *T. urticae* [62], or across different developmental stages and abiotic stresses in other mite species *T. cinnabarinus* [63] and *Panonychus citri* [64]. *Actin* also showed extreme variation in expression throughout developmental stages of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* [57], and in different physiological conditions in the whitefly *Benisias tabaci* [65]. In contrast, RP49, which has also been used in previous studies, was ranked as the second most stable reference gene across all experimental conditions in our study (Table 3). Lourenco et al. and van Heil et al. also identified RP49 as the most reliable reference gene in *Apis mellifera* [54] and *Schistocerca gregaria* [39].

Our study identified GAPDH as one of the most stable reference genes (Table 3). However, GAPDH has never been used as a reference gene in previous studies of *T. urticae* gene expression [6, 11, 58-61]. GAPDH was identified as one of the most stably expressed reference genes in several other arthropod species, including *Bombus terrestris* and *Bombus lucorum* [56], *P. citri* [64], *A. mellifera* [55], *Spodoptera litura* [66], *Hippodamia convergens* [51], and *Helicoverpa armigera* [50]. In this study, CycA was identified as one of the most stable reference gene across populations with different levels of acaricide resistance, but it was not among the top three candidates across host plant shifts, or across both conditions (Table 3). CycA has also been identified as the most reliable reference gene in other animal [67] and human [68-70] experiments.

Based on our results, the rankings of the candidate reference genes are very similar under the acaricide resistance selection, host plant shift condition, and a combination of both conditions according to the comparative ΔCt method, geNorm, and NormFinder (Table 3). Among seven tested housekeeping genes, Rp49, Rpl18, GAPDH, and CycA were ranked as the four most stable genes by the comparative ΔCt method, geNorm, and NormFinder, although the orders exhibited slight differences from method to method. These three programs also provided a similar rank for the least reliable reference genes under all three conditions: TUB, Ef1a, and Actin. However, BestKeeper recommended a different rank for these candidate reference genes, highlighting the difference in the BestKeeper algorithm [24, 50]. By assessing an appropriate weight of each candidate gene and calculating the geometric means of their weights, the RefFinder program provided a final rank for the seven candidate reference genes. Interestingly, except for a slight difference in the order of the most and least stable reference genes in acaricide resistance selection, the orders of stability of these genes share a high similarity across all experimental conditions, further suggesting the potential correlation between pesticide resistance and host plant adaptation.

Recently, increasing evidence has suggested that there are no universal reference genes that are suitable for all experimental conditions in all species. In the same species, the best reference gene sets can vary significantly under different biotic and abiotic conditions [46, 65]. Even under a single experimental condition (e.g. insecticide treatment), different stable reference genes may be selected among intra- and inter-classes of insecticides [71], indicating the necessity of identifying appropriate reference genes for each experimental condition in each species. However, in some circumstances, it may be useful to identify reference genes that are stable across a range of conditions. For example, in order to monitor the acaricide resistance in *T. urticae* in the field, different field populations may have developed resistance to different acaricides [6, 11], as well as having undergone different host plant shifts among various crops prior to being collected. Therefore, in order to accurately calculate the transcriptional expression of genes involved in chemical adaptation in the field, the stability of reference genes to both pesticide exposure and host plant shift should be evaluated.

In conclusion, our study selected the best reference genes under conditions of acaricide selection pressure and host plant shifting. These data will allow accurate qRT-PCR normalization in expression studies of genes involved in adaptation of *T. urticae* to xenobiotics, and will certainly facilitate molecular mechanisms and functional genomics research of this notorious agricultural pest.
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