Virioplankton distribution in the tropical western Pacific Ocean in the vicinity of a seamount

Yanchu Zhao1,2,3 | Yuan Zhao1,2,4 | Shan Zheng2,4,5 | Li Zhao1,2,4 | Xuegang Li1,2,4 | Wuchang Zhang1,2,4 | Gérald Grégori6 | Tian Xiao1,2,4

Abstract
The shallow Caroline Seamount is located in the tropical western Pacific Ocean. Its summit is 57 m below the surface and penetrates the euphotic zone. Therefore, it is ideal for the study of the influence of seamount on plankton distribution. Here, virolankton abundance and distribution were investigated by flow cytometry (FCM) in the Caroline Seamount in August and September 2017. The total abundance of virus-like particles (VLP) was in the range of 0.64 × 10^6–18.77 × 10^6 particles/ml and the average was 5.37 ± 3.75 × 10^6 particles/ml. Three to four distinct viral subclusters with similar side scatter but different green fluorescence intensities were identified. Above the deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM), two medium fluorescence virus (MFV) subclusters were discriminated. Between the DCM and the deeper layers, only one MFV subcluster was resolved. In general, low fluorescence viruses (LFV) comprised the most abundant subclusters. In the 75–150 m water column, however, the MFV abundance was higher than the LFV abundance. High fluorescence viruses (HFV) constituted the least abundant subcluster throughout the entire water column. Virolankton abundance was significantly enhanced at the seamount stations. Environmental factors including water temperature and nitrate concentration were the most correlated with the variation in virolankton abundance at the seamount stations. Interactions between shallow seamounts and local currents can support large virus standing stocks, causing a so-called indirect "seamount effect" on the virolankton.
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INTRODUCTION

Viruses are the most abundant entities in marine ecosystems. Their mean abundance is in the range of $10^{5}$–$10^{8}$ particles/ml (Wommack & Colwell, 2000). Viroplankton are key ecological drivers in marine biogeochemical processes (Jiao et al., 2010; Suttle, 2007; Suttle, 2016; Weitz & Wilhelm, 2012), and one of the most important top-down controlling agents of prokaryote communities. They account for a significant proportion of bacterial mortality. On a daily basis, they remove 20%–40% of the standing prokaryote stock at the ocean surface (Suttle, 1994) and mitigate up to 80% of prokaryotic production in deep marine environments (Danovaro et al., 2008). By virus-mediated cell lysis, particulate organic matter is transformed into dissolved organic matter, shunting the availability of organic material to higher trophic levels (Suttle, 2007). Viral decay releases carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and other nutrients into the surrounding waters, affecting the global marine biogeochemical cycles (Jover, Effler, Buchan, Wilhelm, & Weitz, 2014; Zhang, Wei, & Cai, 2014).

Analyses of viral abundance and distribution help elucidate the roles of these microorganisms in marine biogeochemistry. After high viral abundances were discovered in aquatic environments (Bergh, Børshaim, Bratbak, & Heldal, 1989; Børshaim, Bratbak, & Heldal, 1990), efforts have been made on investigating the abundance and influencing factors of the virus in various marine environments. In general, viruses have relatively lower abundances in oligotrophic regions and the deep sea (De Corte, Sintes, Yokokawa, Reinthaler, & Herndl, 2012; Liang et al., 2017; Winter, Kerros, & Weinbauer, 2009). In contrast, viral abundances are higher in the comparatively more productive coastal areas (Wommack & Colwell, 2000). On average, viruses outnumber their microbial hosts by ~10 times at the surface and up to 16 in the deep ocean (Wigington et al., 2016). Changes in water temperature, salinity, light, nutrient levels, and turbidity may alter viral dynamics and microbial host-virus interactions in marine environments (Mojica & Brussaard, 2014). However, there is relatively little information on viroplankton abundance and distribution in certain marine zones such as seamount ecosystems.

Seamounts are geographically isolated topographic structures that rise >1,000 m from the seafloor (Yesson, Clark, Taylor, & Rogers, 2011). Seamounts are obstacles to ocean circulation and influence hydrological processes by generating internal waves, enhancing internal tides and vertical mixing, forming Taylor columns and eddies, and deflecting isotherms (Read & Pollard, 2017; Roden, 1987; Rogers, 2018; White, Bashmachnikov, Aristeegui, & Martins, 2007). These hydrological processes can affect the pelagic communities, causing the so-called “seamount effect” (Dower & Mackas, 1996). This mechanism is reflected in elevated primary production and chlorophyll concentrations over the summits (Boehlert & Genin, 1987; Dower, Freeland, & Juniper, 1992; Genin & Boehlert, 1985). Therefore, seamounts have been hypothesized to be “hotspots” for pelagic biodiversity and productivity (Genin & Dower, 2007).

There are ~30,000 seamounts in the world’s oceans (Yesson et al., 2011). However, only ~250–280 of them have been subjected to an extensive biological investigation (Rogers et al., 2015). Seamount topographic structures and summit heights and locations induce various hydrological effects whose relative strength and persistence present with substantial spatiotemporal variation (White et al., 2007). Thus, it is difficult to establish the overall impact of seamounts on biomes. To date, there are very few studies on the pelagic communities in deep-sea seamounts. Moreover, those focused primarily on phytoplankton and zooplankton (Cordeiro, Brandini, Rosa, & Sassi, 2013; Dower & Mackas, 1996; Genin, 2004; Montserrat et al., 2019; Sampaio de Souza, Guimarães da Luz, Macedo, Montes, & Mafalda, 2013; Sonnekus, Bornman, & Campbell, 2017). Further, viroplankton have received the least attention of all members of this microbial community. To the best of our knowledge, only the spatial viroplankton abundance for the Bajo O’Higgins 1 seamount (32°54’S, 73°53’W) has been reported (Chiang & Quiñones, 2007). Viral abundance and production have been explored in the deep-sea sediments around two seamounts at 3,000-m depth in the Tyrrhenian Sea (Danovaro et al., 2009). These limitations of information have rendered it difficult to determine the effects of seamounts on viroplankton distribution. Here, we examined the abundances of viroplankton and their picoplankton hosts in the Caroline Seamount of the tropical western Pacific Ocean. The aim of this study was to assess the influence of this seamount on the distribution of viroplankton.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study site and sampling strategy

The Caroline Seamount (10.3–10.9°N, 139.9–140.4°E) is located in the tropical western Pacific Ocean. With a summit depth of 57 m, it is a typical shallow seamount. Its summit has a “basin” with a depth of ~100 m. Seawater samples were collected at the Caroline Seamount on the WPOS-M4 cruise conducted from August 7–September 5, 2017, aboard the R/V “Kexue.” Twenty-two stations were sampled along Transects A and B crossing at Stn. 0 (Figure 1 and Table A2). To evaluate the influences of the seamount on viroplankton distribution, sampling stations were divided into two categories: stations with depths <2,000 m (except for Stn. 4, remote from the seamount) were designated as seamount stations (Stns. 0–3, 5–6, 11–12, and 17–18); while others located at >2,000 m (Stns. 7–10, 13–16, and 19–21; Stn. 4 (1,521 m)) were designated as far-field stations outside the Caroline Seamount. Stations 0, 1, and 5 were at the summit of the seamount. At each station, seawater samples were collected in 10-L Niskin bottles from the surface to the benthic-boundary layer at 4–13 different depths (Table A1). At the seamount summit, samples were also taken at the benthic-boundary layer ~5–16 m above the sediments. Conductivity-derived salinity, temperature, and pressure (sampling depth) were measured with the SBE 9 conductivity–temperature–depth profiler (Sea-Bird). In situ chlorophyll a (Chl a) fluorescence was measured with a fluorometer and turbidity sensor (FLNTU; WET
Labs, Inc.) mounted on the sampling rosette frame. The accuracies of the conductivity, temperature, and pressure are 0.0003 S/m, 0.001°C, and 0.015% FS, respectively.

### 2.2 Sample analysis

For virioplankton and picoplankton enumeration, 4-ml seawater samples were fixed immediately after collection with 1% (v/v) paraformaldehyde for 30 min in the dark at room temperature, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until analysis in the onshore laboratory (Marie, Brussaard, Thyraug, Bratbak, & Vaulot, 1999; Marie, Partensky, Vaulot, & Brussaard, 1999).

Viroplankton were carried out as described by Brussaard, Payet, Winter, and Weinbauer (2010) with some modifications. Fixed samples were thawed in the dark at room temperature and filtered through a 100-µm mesh to remove large particles. The filtered samples were 10-fold diluted in 0.22-µm filtered, autoclaved TE buffer (Tris-EDTA, 100 mM Tris-Cl, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0; Sigma), SYBR Green I commercial stock (10,000×) was 100-fold diluted into distilled water to prepare a working solution. The samples were stained with the SYBR Green I working solution at a final 5 × 10⁻⁵ commercial stock dilution, incubated for 10 min in the dark at 80°C, and cooled for 5 min before analysis. VLP were determined with a CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) fitted with violet (405 nm), blue (488 nm), and red (638 nm) lasers. The trigger was set to green fluorescence. Several VLP subclusters were identified on the basis of the violet side scatter versus the SYBR Green I green fluorescence intensities. The total VLP was the sum of all viral subcluster abundances.

Picoplanktonic constituents such as the photosynthetic Synechococcus (SYN), Prochlorococcus (PRO), picoeukaryotes (PEUK), and heterotrophic prokaryotes (HP) were determined with a FACSJazz flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The protocols were adapted from Marie, Simon, Guillou, Partensky, and Vaulot (2000). Fluorescent polystyrene beads (2 µm; Polysciences) were used as the internal standard. Autotrophic picoplankton (SYN, PRO, and PEUK) were distinguished according to their scatter and autofluorescence induced by chlorophyll a and/or phycoerythrin. For HP, the samples were diluted 6 folds with TE buffer and stained with SYBR Green I at a final concentration of 10⁻⁴ of the commercial stock for 20 min in the dark at room temperature. The HP were then resolved according to their fluorescence indicated on the green fluorescence versus side scatter cytogram.

Aliquots of 250-ml seawater were passed through 0.7-µm GF/F glass fiber filters (Whatman) to determine nutrient concentrations. The filtrates were fixed with trichloromethane (chloroform; CHCl₃) (2 × 10⁻³, v/v) and stored in high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles at −20°C until analysis. The NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, and PO₄³⁻ concentrations were photometrically determined in a continuous flow analyzer (QuAAtro, Bran-Luebbe Inc.).

### 2.3 Data and statistical analyses

Viroplankton data were collected and analyzed in CytExpert v. 2.3.0.84 (Beckman Coulter). Picoplankton data were collected with BD FACSD™ Software v. 1.2.0.87 (Becton Dickinson) and analyzed with the Summit v. 4.3 (Dako Colorado, Inc.). The depth-averaged integrated virioplankton and picoplankton abundances in
the epipelagic layers (0–200 m) were calculated by the trapezoidal method. Contour plots were generated with Surfer v. 13 (Golden Software). Independent t test and ANOVA were conducted in SPSS v. 17 (SPSS Inc.) to compare the picoplankton and viroplankton abundances between the seamount and far-field stations in the upper 75 m water column and the viroplankton/prokaryote ratios (VPR) at various depths, respectively. To elucidate the relationships among the viroplankton, picoplankton, and environmental factors, a redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed in CANOCO for Windows v. 4.5 (Microcomputer Power). A distance-based multivariate analysis for a linear model using forward selection (DISTLM forward) was run in Primer v. 6 with the PERMANOVA + package (Primer-E; Plymouth, UK) to evaluate the relative influences of factors potentially controlling viroplankton abundance (temperature, salinity, depth, in situ Chl-a fluorescence, nutrient levels, and other picoplankton components). Where necessary, the data were logarithmically (base 10) transformed to achieve variance homogeneity and meet the normality assumptions for the regression and redundancy analyses.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Hydrological and biological variables

In the epipelagic layers of most Caroline Seamount stations, the current flowed from east to west at an average velocity of ~200 mm/s (J. Ma & X. Li, unpublished data). The seawater temperature ranged from 1.62°C (Stn. 16; 2.730 m depth) to 31.00°C (Stn. 5; 3 m depth). The average surface temperature was 30.48 ± 0.23°C (n = 22). Temperature decreased with depth and there was a thermocline at 100–200 m (Figure 2c,d). The salinity range was 33.00–35.13, and a halocline was observed at 100–200 m (Figure 2g,h). The isotherms and isolahlines decreased over the summit (Figure 2c,d,g).

There were no obvious NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$ distribution trends throughout the water column. However, the higher NH$_4^+$ concentrations were observed on the east (Figure 2i,k) and north (Figure 2j,l) sides of the Caroline Seamount. Higher NO$_3^-$ concentrations were found on the east side of the Caroline Seamount (Figure 2m,o). The NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ distribution patterns were similar. Their concentrations were lower in the epipelagic than the mesopelagic (200–1,000 m) and bathypelagic (1,000–3,000 m) layers (Figure 2q-x). Clear NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ uplifts were observed near the summit (Figure 2s,w).

The in situ Chl-a fluorescence was comparatively higher in the 75–200 m water column. A deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) was located at around 100–150 m depth (Figure 3c,d). Picoplankton were distributed mainly in the epipelagic layers but decreased sharply in the mesopelagic and bathypelagic layers. PRO dominated the autotrophic picoplankton with an average abundance of 20.35 ± 31.40 × 10$^3$ cells/ml, which was about two orders of magnitude higher than SYN (0.61 ± 0.38 × 10$^3$ cells/ml) and PEUK (0.82 ± 0.51 × 10$^3$ cells/ml) (Table 1). HP was the most abundant picoplankton with an average abundance of 4.97 ± 1.79 × 10$^5$ cells/ml. Vertical distribution patterns of picoplankton were different. PRO and PEUK showed similar patterns with high abundance around the DCM layer but the maximum depth for PEUK (100–150 m) was slightly deeper than that of PRO (75–150 m). The abundance of SYN showed a maximum at 0–100 m which was above the DCM layer. High HP abundance was detected in the epipelagic layers and its maximum occurred above the DCM (Figure 3s,t).

3.2 | Viral subclusters

Several distinct viral subclusters could be distinguished on the cyograms of violet side scatter against SYBR Green I green fluorescence intensities (Figure A1). In the samples collected from 0 to 75 m water column, there were four distinct subclusters classified as low fluorescence viruses (LFV), medium fluorescence viruses a and b (MFV-a and MFV-b), and high fluorescence viruses (HFV) (Figure A1a,b). In the DCM deep layer samples, only a single medium fluorescence (MFV) subcluster was resolved (Figure A1c,d).

Low fluorescence viruses was the most abundant (0.25–11.86 × 10$^6$ particles/ml; average 2.45 ± 1.62 × 10$^6$ particles/ml) followed by MFV (0.15–8.10 × 10$^6$ particles/ml; average 2.36 ± 1.90 × 10$^5$ particles/ml) (Table 1; Figure A1e). HFV was least abundant subcluster (Table 1; Figure A1e). Its range was 0.01–2.14 × 10$^5$ particles/ml, and its average was 0.56 ± 0.54 × 10$^5$ particles/ml.

3.3 | Viroplankton distribution

3.3.1 | Viroplankton abundance

The total VLP abundance in the Caroline Seamount was in the range of 0.64 × 10$^6$–18.77 × 10$^6$ particles/ml with an average of 5.37 ± 3.75 × 10$^6$ particles/ml (Table 1). Similar to the various picoplankton clusters, VLP was also relatively more abundant in the epipelagic layers. Its maximum abundance was measured in 50–150 m at most stations (Figure 4c,d). The average total VLP abundance decreased from 7.23 ± 3.21 × 10$^6$ particles/ml in the epipelagic layers to 1.30 ± 0.49 × 10$^6$ particles/ml in the bathypelagic layers. We identified uplifted VLP abundance isolines over the summit, especially on the south side (Figure 4d). In the mesopelagic and bathypelagic layers, the total VLP abundance was higher on the east side than the west side of the Caroline Seamount (Figure 4a).

Low fluorescence viruses abundance peaked in the upper 150 m water column. This pattern roughly corresponded to those for the SYN and HP maxima (Figure 4g,h). Comparatively higher LFV abundance was observed on the east side of the Caroline Seamount (Figure 4e,g). Both MFV and HFV presented with maximum abundances at 50–150 m depth. This trend aligned with the observed PRO and PEUK distribution patterns (Figure 4k,l,o,p). All three viral
subclusters had uplifted isolines over the summit. LFV contributed the most to the total VLP especially in the mesopelagic and bathypelagic layers (Figures A1f and 4e,f). In the 75–150 m water column, however, the abundance of MFV surpassed that of LFV (Figures A1f and 4k,l). HFV was the least abundant subcluster and comprised only 1.39%–13.13% of the total VLP (Figure A1f).

In the Caroline Seamount, the range of VPR was 4.41–83.16 throughout the water column and its average was $21.71 \pm 13.64$ ($n = 218$; Table 1). VPR significantly increased with depth ($15.88 \pm 6.61$ in the epipelagic layers, $25.99 \pm 10.92$ in the mesopelagic layers, and $45.49 \pm 15.32$ in the bathypelagic layers) at all sampling stations (one-way ANOVA; $p < .01$).
### 3.3.2 Virioplankton in the seamount and far-field stations

The vertical and horizontal distribution patterns of the viroplankton differed between the seamount and far-field stations. At the seamount stations, the total VLP and all three viral subclusters presented with subsurface maxima at 75 m depth (Figure A2a,c,e,g). At the far-field stations, VLP, MFV, and HFV showed DCM maxima at 100 m. LFV had a DCM peak at 125 m. However, there were no observable vertical differences in picoplankton distribution between the seamount and far-field stations (Figure A2i–p).

The total VLP and all three viral subclusters had similar horizontal distribution patterns in the Caroline Seamount based on the depth-averaged integrated abundance in the epipelagic layers (Figure 5). Maximum VLP, LFV, MFV, and HFV abundances were measured around the seamount summit. The abundances decreased from the seamount stations to the far-field stations (Figures 5 and A3). Significantly higher VLP, LFV, and HFV abundances were noted at the seamount stations than the far-field stations in the upper 75 m water column (Table 2). The MFV abundance was also higher at the seamount stations than the far-field stations but the difference was not

### Table 1 Environmental factors and picoplankton and viroplankton abundances in the Caroline Seamount

| Water column     | Temperature (°C) | Salinity | In situ Chl a fluorescence | NO$_3^-$ (µmol/L) | NO$_2^-$ (µmol/L) | NH$_4^+$ (µmol/L) | PO$_4^{3-}$ (µmol/L) | SYN (×10$^3$ cells/ml) | PRO (×10$^3$ cells/ml) | PEUK (×10$^3$ cells/ml) | HFV (×10$^6$ particles/ml) | MFV (×10$^6$ particles/ml) | LFV (×10$^6$ particles/ml) | VLP (×10$^6$ particles/ml) | VPR  |
|------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------|
| Epipelagic (0–200 m) | 27.01 ± 4.93    | 34.25 ± 0.48 | 0.13 ± 0.16                | 0.07 ± 0.05      | 4.22 ± 1.80     | 0.99 ± 0.14     | 0.61 ± 0.38      | 20.35 ± 31.40         | 0.82 ± 0.51            | 4.97 ± 1.79             | 7.23 ± 3.21          | 3.12 ± 1.56          | 3.29 ± 1.64     | 0.81 ± 0.50      | 15.88 ± 6.61   |
| Mesopelagic (200–1,000 m) | 9.77 ± 4.12   | 34.54 ± 0.10 | 0.10 ± 0.05                | 0.08 ± 0.05      | 4.52 ± 1.58     | 0.95 ± 0.38     | 0.04 ± 0.04      | 0.78 ± 1.64            | 0.86 ± 0.36             | 2.06 ± 0.98             | 2.0 ± 1.56           | 1.2 ± 0.63         | 0.73 ± 0.42     | 0.10 ± 0.08      | 25.99 ± 10.92 |
| Bathypelagic (1,000–3,000 m) | 3.33 ± 1.33   | 34.6 ± 0.05  | 0.12 ± 0.04                | 0.10 ± 0.06      | 4.42 ± 1.48     | 1.34 ± 0.08     | 0.04 ± 0.03      | 0.16 ± 0.08            | 0.1 ± 0.04              | 0.09 ± 0.06             | 1.02 ± 0.48          | 0.26 ± 0.06         | 0.26 ± 0.04     | 0.03 ± 0.01      | 45.49 ± 15.32 |
| Water column (0–3,000 m) | 20.07 ± 10.77  | 34.35 ± 0.41 | 0.12 ± 0.13                | 0.07 ± 0.05      | 4.33 ± 1.73     | 0.46 ± 0.54     | 0.42 ± 0.41      | 13.62 ± 27.32          | 0.55 ± 0.57             | 2.45 ± 1.62             | 3.57 ± 3.75          | 2.36 ± 1.90         | 2.45 ± 1.62     | 0.56 ± 0.54      | 21.71 ± 13.64 |

Abbreviations: HFV, high-fluorescence viruses; HP, heterotrophic prokaryotes; LFV, low-fluorescence viruses; MFV, medium-fluorescence viruses; PEUK, picoeukaryotes; PRO, Prochlorococcus; SYN, Synechococcus; VLP, virus-like particles; VPR, viroplankton/prokaryote ratio.
significant (p > .05). The VPR was significantly higher at the seamount stations than the far-field stations (p < .01; Table 2). The SYN and HP abundances exhibited horizontal trends similar to those for the virioplankton with higher abundance at seamount stations, while PRO and PEUK abundances displayed no clear horizontal pattern (Figure 5). No significant difference in picoplankton abundance was identified between the seamount and far-field stations (p > .05; Table 2).

### 3.4 Factors influencing virioplankton

A redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed to assess the relationships among the virioplankton, picoplankton, and environmental factors (Figure 6). The first two axes explained 78.4% of the total inertia in the virioplankton abundance and 96.1% of the cumulative variance of virioplankton, picoplankton, and environmental factor.
4.1 Viral subclusters

Using flow cytometry, the viral community could be divided into several subclusters with different green fluorescence intensities and side scatter signature (Brussaard et al., 2010). In natural samples, two to five viral subclusters were identified from distinct aquatic ecosystems (Baudoux, Veldhuis, Noordoeloos, Noort, & Brussaard, 2008; Brussaard, Timmermans, Uitz, & Veldhuis, 2008; Liang et al., 2014; Mojica, Huisman, Wilhelm, & Brussaard, 2016). However, most studies found only two or three viral subclusters (Table 4). Here, we identified three to four subclusters with similar side scatter but different green fluorescence intensities.

Although no significant linear correlation between genome size and SYBR Green I fluorescence intensities of viral subclusters was found, different fluorescence intensities may partially reflect genome size variations (Brussaard et al., 2010). Phages infecting heterotrophic prokaryotes usually have small genomes size and low nucleic acid green fluorescence in FCM analysis (Brussaard, Marie, & Bratbak, 2000; Larsen et al., 2001). LFV consist mainly of small phages infecting heterotrophic prokaryotes (Larsen et al., 2004; Marie, Brussaard, et al., 1999). In natural samples, LFV abundance often covaries with that of HP (Mojica et al., 2016; Payet, McMinds, Burkepile, & Vega Thurber, 2014). Our redundancy analysis also corroborated this pattern. Mojica et al. (2016) described correlations between MFV and PEUK and between HFV and picocyanobacteria across the north Atlantic Ocean. Yang et al. (2010) reported a correlation between HFV and picophytoplankton (including SYN, PRO, and PEUK) in the Pacific Ocean. Our results showed that in the tropical western Pacific Ocean, the abundances of MFV and HFV were strongly positively correlated with PEUK and, to a lesser extent, with SYN and PRO (Figure 6), indicating that the MFV and HFV subclusters contain cyanophages and algal viruses. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and sorting-combined sequencing identified cyanophage and eukaryotic algal viral sequences in the MFV.
and HFV subclusters (Larsen, Larsen, Thyrrhaug, Bratbak, & Sandaa, 2008; Martinez, Swan, & Wilson, 2014).

Here, we discovered that the dominant viral subcluster varied with depths. LFV dominated in the mesopelagic and bathypelagic layers whereas MFV exceeded LFV to be the most abundant subcluster in the midepipelagic layer (75–150 m). In contrast, previous studies reported no variation of the dominant viral subclusters. HFV was least abundant subcluster and its abundance decreased with depth. Similar results were reported for studies conducted in the South Atlantic Ocean (De Corte, Sintes, Yokokawa, Lekunberri, & Herndl, 2016). Most studies demonstrated a clear LFV dominance throughout the water column (Wei, Zhang, Peng, Liang, & Jiao, 2018; Winter et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010). Magiopoulos and Pitta (2012) indicated that in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea, LFV were the dominant viral group, but the dominance was lower in the mesopelagic layer compared to the epipelagic and bathypelagic layers. However, De Corte et al. found the most abundant viral subcluster was MFV throughout the water column in the Atlantic Ocean (De Corte et al., 2010, 2012). Viruses cannot replicate without host cells. Thus, viral abundance is closely associated with the abundance of their (mostly) microbial hosts. Large-scale analyses of the global tropical and subtropical oceans proved that potential host abundance is to some extent a significant influencing factor of viroplankton (Lara et al., 2017). Picophytoplankton abundance was high in the vicinity of the DCM layer but sharply decreased below it. In the mesopelagic and bathypelagic layers, the abundance of HP was much higher than that of picophytoplankton (Figure 3). Therefore, the variation of host cells (picophytoplankton and HP) abundance in different depths might account for the discrepancies we observed among the layers in terms of their dominant viral subclusters.

We also noticed that viral subclusters varied with depth. The MFV-a and MFV-b subclusters were identified above DCM (0–75 m). Below DCM, however, only a single MFV subcluster was identified. In the tropical western Pacific Ocean, the cyanobacteria SYN and PRO are the major picophytoplankton contributors (Figure 3). Therefore, they are potential MFV hosts. MFV subcluster variation cooccurred with depth niche partitioning of SYN and PRO. SYN is usually restricted to the upper well-lit layers in oligotrophic areas (Partensky, Blanchot, & Vaulot, 1999). At 0–75 m where subclusters MFV-a and MFV-b were detected, SYN accounts for a significant portion of cyanobacteria abundance and the abundance of PRO was low (Figure 3). PRO can colonize in the subsurface water even with only 0.1% of the surface irradiance (Partensky et al., 1999). As the water depth increases, PRO becomes the dominant cyanobacteria, and the portion of SYN become negligible (Figure 3). Meanwhile, a single MFV subcluster takes the place. These variations in the MFV subcluster probably reflected the relative differences in the dominant cyanobacterial hosts at various depths. A similar phenomenon was also found in the Eastern Indian Ocean (Yuan Zhao, unpublished data). This was in contrast to previous studies in Pacific (Liang et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2010) or other pelagic ocean regions (De Corte et al., 2012, 2016; Liang et al., 2014; Magiopoulos & Pitta, 2012; Mojica et al., 2016), which found no viral subclusters variation in different depths.

### 4.2 Influence of seamount

Interactions between seamounts and ocean currents might influence plankton community compositions and distributions. Mendonça et al. (2012) found that, in some cases, a “seamount
Another study investigated viral abundance and production in the seminar. They might not be completely attributed to the influence of seamount. Taking the depth differences between summit (376 m) and benthic-boundary layer over the Bajo O’Higgins 1 seamount summit into account, the higher VLP abundance substantially higher over the summits than the oceanic regions of the Cobb Seamount in the eastern subtropical Pacific Ocean. However, previous studies showed that this "seamount effect" on the plankton community did not persist (Comeau, Vézina, Bourgeois, & Juniper, 1995; Genin & Boehlert, 1985; Mouriño et al., 2005; Rowden, Dower, Schlacher, Consalvey, & Clark, 2010). Not all plankton groups were affected by seamounts. The influence of seamounts on the plankton community varied with season. 

The data reported for different surveys on the same seamount are inconsistent. Three surveys were conducted on the Minami-Kasuga seamount but only the first detected and reported cold dome, chlorophyll increase, and high zooplankton biomass above the seamount (Genin & Boehlert, 1985).

At present, little is known about the influences of seamounts on viroplankton. In the only known study on viroplankton distributions in seamounts, Chiang and Quiñones (2007) stated that comparatively elevated viral and HP abundances were detected in the benthic-boundary layer over the Bajo O’Higgins 1 seamount summit. However, taking the depth differences between summit (376 m) and other stations (437–841 m) into account, the higher VLP abundance might not be completely attributed to the influence of seamount. Another study investigated viral abundance and production in the seamount and far-field sediments (3,000 m) of the Tyrrenian Sea (Danovaro et al., 2009). Seamount sediments had a significantly higher virus and HP abundance than the far-field sediments. Benthic viral production in the seamount sediments was about twice that in the far-field sediments. These results suggest that seamounts significantly altered prokaryote–virus interactions in the sediments (Danovaro et al., 2009). However, the influence of seamounts on the viral abundance in the water column remains obscure.

Previous studies on the tropical western Pacific Ocean investigated the influence of seamounts on phytoplankton and microbial food web components. However, Chl a concentration, primary productivity, and microbial food web component abundances were not substantially enhanced over the summits of the Y3 and M2 seamounts of the western Pacific Ocean (Dai, Sun, Liang, Tian, & Liu, 2017; Zhang, Sun, Chen, Li, & Du, 2016; Zhao et al., 2017). To the best of our knowledge, then, there is no prior information about the influence of seamounts on the viroplankton of the western Pacific Ocean.

The Caroline Seamount is located in the tropical Western Pacific. It is mainly influenced by the westwards North Equatorial Current (NEC) in the upper water column (0–200 m) (Hu et al., 2015; Toole, Zou, & Millard, 1988). Deflection of isotherms and isohalines, as well as uplifts of $\text{NO}_3^-$ and $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$, was observed, indicating localized disturbances caused by the seamount structure. Muck et al. (2014) revealed turbulent mixing of deep water masses impacts not only the physicochemical parameters of the mixing zone but also the activity of viruses. Relatively higher viroplankton abundance and shallower viroplankton subsurface peaks were noted at the seamount stations. These phenomena were shaped primarily by localized disturbances created by the seamount structure.

Changes in environmental conditions such as temperature, salinity, and nutrients can strongly affect viral production and lysogeny...
dynamics (Bettarel et al., 2011; Chiaki & Toshi, 2007; Li et al., 2014; Lymer & Vrede, 2006; Maurice, Bouvier, Wit, & Bouvier, 2013; Williamson & Paul, 2004). Viral abundance may increase with nutrient availability (Danovaro, Armeni, Corinaldesi, & Mei, 2003; Hewson, O’Neil, Fuhrman, & Dennison, 2001; Williamson, Houchin, McDaniel, & Paul, 2002). Turbulent mixing is conducive to higher viral production and abundance and virus-induced mortality in coastal waters (Paterson, Nayar, Mitchell, & Seuront, 2012; Wilhelm, Brigden, & Suttle, 2002) and deep waters (Muck et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2018). In the tropical western Pacific Ocean, warm surface layers above comparatively cooler and denser subsurface layers create and maintain a permanent thermocline that inhibits nutrient-rich upwells and results in surface waters with low primary productivity (Longhurst, 2007). Interactions between shallow seamounts and local currents vertically displace isotherms and isohalines above the seamounts. Nutrients such as NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ are transported into the nutrient-limited euphotic zone. This process stimulates both viral and prokaryote production and increases viral abundance in Caroline Seamount. On the other hand, it is not understood why prokaryote and phytoplankton abundances do not rise concomitantly in the Caroline Seamount. Further studies are needed to elucidate the underlying mechanism of this phenomenon since multiple factors influence viral abundances in seamounts. The elevated viral abundance in the seamount may also be explained by the resuspension of viruses from the seamount floor. Sedimentary viral density was reported to be higher than that in the water column (Danovaro & Serresi, 2000). Viruses may readily detach from the sediment and enter the water column (Hassard et al., 2016). Dupuy et al. (2014) reported that free viruses were resuspended by weak flow through the sediment at friction velocities <2 cm/s. However, as previous studies on plankton communities have already proposed, the so-called "seamount effect" for virioplankton is probably not persistent. Thus, more systematic research is necessary.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The present study in the tropical western Pacific Ocean showed three to four viral subclusters exhibited differences related to depth. Shallow subsurface peaks and significant virioplankton abundance enhancements were detected at the summit and seamount stations. Interactions between the shallow Caroline Seamount and the local current can support higher virioplankton standing stocks. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the so-called "seamount effect" on virioplankton. However, detailed studies on viral abundance, production, and genomics around the seamount at high spatiotemporal resolutions are required to clarify and elaborate on the influences of seamounts on virioplankton dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was carried out within the framework of the WPOS (Western Pacific Ocean System: Structure, Dynamics and Consequences) program and was financially supported by Science & Technology Basic Resources Investigation Program of China (2017FY100803), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFA0603204), National Natural Science Foundation of China (91751202), the CNRS-NSFC Joint Research Projects Program (NSFC 41711530149), and 2017-2019 Sino-French Cai Yuanpei Programme. We thank the captain and crew of the R/V "Kexue" for their help during the cruise.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Yanchu Zhao: Formal analysis (lead); Investigation (lead); Writing-original draft (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). Yuan Zhao: Conceptualization (lead); Methodology (equal); Writing-original draft (lead); Writing-review & editing (lead). Shan Zheng: Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). Li Zhao: Formal analysis (lead); Investigation (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). Xuegang Li: Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). Wuchang Zhang: Conceptualization (supporting); Funding acquisition (equal); Supervision (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). Gérald Gregori: Methodology (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). Tian Xiao: Conceptualization (lead); Funding acquisition (equal); Supervision (lead); Writing-review & editing (lead).

ETHICS STATEMENT

None required.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data sets used and analyzed during the current study are available in the figshare repository at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figsh are.11880768.

ORCID

Yuan Zhao https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8892-2100

REFERENCES

Baudoux, A. C., Veldhuis, M. J. W., Noordeloos, A. A. M., van Noort, G., & Brussaard, C. P. D. (2008). Estimates of virus- vs. grazing induced mortality of picophytoplankton in the North Sea during summer. Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 52(1), 69–82. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01207
Bergh, Ø., Barsheim, K. Y., Brattak, G., & Heldal, M. (1989). High abundance of viruses found in aquatic environments. Nature, 340(6233), 467–468. https://doi.org/10.1038/340467a0
Bettarel, Y., Bouvier, T., Bouvier, C., Carré, C., Desnues, A., Domaizon, I., ... Sime-Ngando, T. (2011). Ecological traits of planktonic viruses and prokaryotes along a full-salinity gradient. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 76(2), 360–372. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01054.x
Boehlert, G. W., & Genin, A. (1987). A review of the effects of seamounts on biological processes. In B. H. Keating, P. R. Fryer, & W. Boehlert (Eds.), Seamounts, islands, and atolls (Vol. 43, pp. 319–334). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union. https://doi.org/10.1029/GM043p0319

ZHAO et  A l.
and production in the Western Pacific Ocean and the relation to other oceanic realms. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 79(2), 359–370. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01223.x

Sampaio de Souza, C., Guimarães da Luz, J. A., Macedo, S., Montes, M. D. J. F., & Mafalda, P. (2013). Chlorophyll a and nutrient distribution around seamounts and islands of the tropical south-western Atlantic. Marine and Freshwater Research, 64(2), 168-184. https://doi.org/10.1071/mf12075

Sime-Ngando, T., Juniper, K., & Vézina, A. (1992). Ciliated protozoan communities over Cobb Seamount: Increase in biomass and spatial patchiness. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 89(1), 37–51. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps089037

Sonnekus, M. J., Bornman, T. G., & Campbell, E. E. (2017). Phytoplankton and nutrient dynamics of six South West Indian Ocean seamounts. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 136, 59–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2016.12.008

Suttle, C. A. (1994). The significance of viruses to mortality in aquatic microbial communities. Microbial Ecology, 28(2), 237–243. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00166813

Suttle, C. A. (2007). Marine viruses—Major players in the global ecosystem. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 5(10), 801–812. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1750

Suttle, C. A. (2016). Environmental microbiology: Viral diversity on the global stage. Nature Microbiology, 1, 16205. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.205

Toole, J. M., Zou, E., & Millard, R. C. (1988). On the circulation of the upper waters in the western equatorial Pacific Ocean. Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers, 35(9), 1451-1482. https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(88)90097-0

Wei, W., Zhang, R., Peng, L., Liang, Y., & Jiao, N. (2018). Effects of temperature and photosynthetically active radiation on viroplankton decay in the western Pacific Ocean. Scientific Reports, 8(1), 1525. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19678-3

Weitz, J. S., & Wilhelm, S. W. (2012). Ocean viruses and their effects on microbial communities and biogeochemical cycles. F1000 Biology Reports, 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3410/B4-17

White, M., Bashmachnikov, I., Aristegui, J., & Martins, A. (2007). Physical processes and seamount productivity. In T. J. Pitcher, T. Morato, P. J. B. Hart, M. R. Clark, N. Haggan, R. S. Santos (Eds.), Seamounts: Ecology, fisheries & conservation (pp. 65–84). Oxford, UK: Wiley Online Library. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470691953

Wigington, C. H., Sonderegger, D., Brussaard, C. P. D., Buchan, A., Finke, J. F., Fuhrman, J. A., ... Weitz, J. S. (2016). Re-examination of the relationship between marine virus and microbial cell abundances. Nature Microbiology, 1(3), nmicrobiol201524. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.24

Wilhelm, S. W., Bridgen, S. M., & Suttle, C. A. (2002). A dilution technique for the direct measurement of viral production: A comparison in stratified and tidally mixed coastal waters. Microbial Ecology, 43(1), 168–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-001-1021-9

Williamson, S. J., Houchin, L. A., McDaniel, L., & Paul, J. H. (2002). Seasonal variation in lysogeny as depicted by prophage induction in Tampa Bay, Florida. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 68(9), 4307-4314. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.9.4307-4314.2002

Williamson, S. J., & Paul, J. H. (2004). Nutrient stimulation of lytic phage production in bacterial populations of the Gulf of Mexico. Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 36(1), 9–17. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame036009

Winter, C., Kerros, M.-E., & Weinbauer, M. G. (2009). Seasonal and depth-related dynamics of prokaryotes and viruses in surface and deep waters of the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 56(11), 1972–1982. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2009.07.003

Winter, C., Köstner, N., Kruspe, C. P., Urban, D., Muck, S., Reinthaler, T., & Herndl, G. J. (2018). Mixing alters the lytic activity of viruses in the dark ocean. Ecology, 99(3), 700–713. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2135

Wommack, K. E., & Colwell, R. R. (2000). Viroplankton: Viruses in aquatic ecosystems. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 64(1), 69–114. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.64.1.69-114.2000

Yang, Y., & Jiao, N. (2002). Distribution of viroplankton in the Kuroshio Current and the adjacent area in the East China Sea as determined by flow cytometry. Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology, 20(Special Issue), 1–7.

Yang, Y., Moteci, C., Yokokawa, T., & Nagata, T. (2010). Large-scale distribution patterns of viroplankton in the upper ocean. Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 60(3), 233–246. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01428

Yang, Y., Yokokawa, T., Moteci, C., & Nagata, T. (2014). Large-scale distribution of viruses in deep waters of the Pacific and Southern Oceans. Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 71(3), 193–202. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01677

Yessen, C., Clark, M. R., Taylor, M. L., & Rogers, A. D. (2011). The global distribution of seamounts based on 30 arc seconds bathymetry data. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 58(4), 442–453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.02.004

Zhang, R., Wei, W., & Cai, L. (2014). The fate and biogeochemical cycling of viral elements. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 12(12), 850–851. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3384

Zheng, W.-J., Sun, X.-X., Chen, Y.-Y., Li, J.-L., & Du, J. (2016). Chlorophyll a concentration and size structure of phyttoplankton at Yarp Y3 seamount in tropical West Pacific in winter 2014. Oceanologia Et Limnologia Sinica, 47(4), 739–747 (In Chinese with English abstract). https://doi.org/10.11693/lyx20160100020

Zhao, L., Zhao, Y.-C., Wang, C.-F., Zhang, W.-C., Sun, X.-X., Li, X.-G., ... Xiao, T. (2017). Comparison in the distribution of microbial food web components in the Y3 and M2 seamounts in the tropical western Pacific. Oceanologia Et Limnologia Sinica, 48(6), 1446–1455 (In Chinese with English abstract). https://doi.org/10.11693/lyx20170600160

How to cite this article: Zhao Y, Zhao Y, Zheng S, et al. Viroplankton distribution in the tropical western Pacific Ocean in the vicinity of a seamount. MicrobiologyOpen. 2020;9:e1031. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.1031
## APPENDIX

### TABLE A1  Sampling stations and sample depths

| Station | Latitude (°N) | Longitude (°E) | Depth (m) | Sampled depths (m) |
|---------|---------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|
| **Seamount stations** |
| 0       | 10.4769       | 140.1347       | 110       | 3, 15, 30, 50, 75, 101 |
| 1       | 10.4335       | 140.1483       | 57        | 3, 15, 30, 50 |
| 2       | 10.4081       | 140.1569       | 1,140     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 118, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,130 |
| 3       | 10.3706       | 140.1684       | 1,465     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 128, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 1,450 |
| 5       | 10.5233       | 140.1194       | 92        | 3, 15, 30, 50, 87 |
| 6       | 10.5601       | 140.1179       | 932       | 3, 30, 50, 75, 115, 150, 200, 300, 500, 920 |
| 11      | 10.4762       | 140.1118       | 615       | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 133, 200, 300, 610 |
| 12      | 10.4754       | 140.0921       | 1,555     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 119, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 1,540 |
| 17      | 10.4807       | 140.1575       | 506       | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 126, 200, 300, 500 |
| 18      | 10.4886       | 140.1898       | 1,716     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 120, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 1,700 |
| **Far-field stations** |
| 4       | 10.3040       | 140.1898       | 1,521     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 120, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 1,506 |
| 7       | 10.6199       | 140.1192       | 2,368     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 136, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,340 |
| 8       | 10.6628       | 140.1218       | 3,508     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 128, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 3,490 |
| 9       | 10.7213       | 140.1205       | 4,512     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 138, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 4,501 |
| 10      | 10.9138       | 140.0889       | 5,999     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 138, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 4,000, 5,950 |
| 13      | 10.4738       | 140.0629       | 2,211     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 112, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,190 |
| 14      | 10.4717       | 140.0029       | 2,297     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 119, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,271, |
| 15      | 10.4696       | 139.9285       | 2,778     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,763 |
| 16      | 10.4688       | 139.8599       | 2,760     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 136, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 2,730 |
| 19      | 10.4973       | 140.2264       | 2,500     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 132, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 2,470 |
| 20      | 10.5117       | 140.2862       | 2,731     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 131, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 2,700 |
| 21      | 10.5329       | 140.3765       | 2,477     | 3, 30, 50, 75, 100, 131, 200, 300, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 2,448 |
| Station | Latitude (°N) | Longitude (°E) | Sampled depths (m) |
|---------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Seamount stations | 0 | 10.4769 | 140.1347 | 3, 15, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 1 | 10.4335 | 140.1483 | 3, 15, 30, 50 |
| | 2 | 10.4081 | 140.1569 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 3 | 10.3706 | 140.1684 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 4 | 10.5233 | 140.1194 | 3, 15, 30, 50 |
| | 5 | 10.5601 | 140.1179 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 6 | 10.5233 | 140.1184 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 7 | 10.4754 | 140.0921 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 8 | 10.4807 | 140.1575 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 9 | 10.4886 | 140.1898 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| Far-field stations | 4 | 10.3040 | 140.1898 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 7 | 10.6199 | 140.1192 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 8 | 10.6628 | 140.1218 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 9 | 10.7213 | 140.1205 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 10 | 10.9138 | 140.0889 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 13 | 10.4738 | 140.0629 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 14 | 10.4717 | 140.0029 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 15 | 10.4696 | 139.9285 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 16 | 10.4538 | 139.8599 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 19 | 10.4973 | 140.2264 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 20 | 10.5117 | 140.2862 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
| | 21 | 10.5329 | 140.3765 | 3, 30, 50, 75 |
FIGURE A1  Viral subcluster cytograms (a–d), vertical abundance profile for various subclusters (e), and their contributions to total viral abundance (f). (a, b) Sample cytograms of four viral subclusters in upper 75 m layers; (c, d) sample cytograms of three viral subclusters in 100 m and deeper layers. HFV, high fluorescence viruses; LFV, low fluorescence viruses; MFV, medium fluorescence viruses, MFV classified as subclusters MFV-a and MFV-b in upper 75 m layers. Indicated abundances are averages for all stations. Figures a-d created in Beckman Coulter CytExpert, v. 2.3.0.84 https://www.beckman.com/flow-cytometry/instruments/cytoflex/software. Figures e and f created in OriginLab Origin v. 8.5 https://www.originlab.com/

FIGURE A2  Vertical viroplankton and picoplankton distributions in seamount and far-field stations. F.S., far-field stations; S.S., seamount stations. Colored dots show viroplankton and picoplankton abundances. Black lines show average viroplankton and picoplankton abundances in seamount and far-field stations. Red and blue dotted lines show maximum abundance depths in seamount and far-field stations, respectively. HFV, high fluorescence viruses, ×10^6 particles/ml; HP, heterotrophic prokaryotes, ×10^5 cells/ml; LFV, low fluorescence viruses, ×10^6 particles/ml; MFV, medium fluorescence viruses, ×10^6 particles/ml; PEUK, picoeukaryotes, ×10^2 cells/ml; PRO, Prochlorococcus, ×10^2 cells/ml/μm; SYN, Synechococcus, ×10^3 cells/ml; VLP, virus-like particles, ×10^6 particles/ml. Figures created in Golden Software Grapher v. 8.5 https://www.goldensoftware.com/products/grapher
**Figure A3** Horizontal viroplankton abundance distributions in the upper 75 m water column. Dotted black lines indicate the location of Caroline Seamount summit. Seamount stations are inside the red rectangle. Others are far-field stations. HFV, high fluorescence viruses, \( \times 10^6 \) particles/ml; LFV, low fluorescence viruses, \( \times 10^6 \) particles/ml; MFV, medium fluorescence viruses, \( \times 10^6 \) particles/ml; MFV classified as subclusters MFV-a and MFV-b in upper 75 m layers; VLP, virus-like particles, \( \times 10^6 \) particles/ml. Figures created in Golden Software Surfer v. 13 https://www.goldensoftware.com/products/surfer