In order to examine the pore structure and reveal the fractal geometric nature of shales, a series of laboratory experiments were conducted on lacustrine shale samples cored from the Kongdian Formation. Based on the low temperature nitrogen adsorption, fluorescent thin section and field emission scanning electronic microscope, a comprehensive pore structure classification and evaluation were conducted on shale samples. Fractal dimensions $D_1$ and $D_2$ (with relative pressure of 0–0.45 and 0.45–1.00, respectively) were obtained from the nitrogen adsorption data using the fractal Frenkel-Halsey-Hill (FHH) method. With additional means of X-ray diffraction analysis, total organic carbon content analysis and thermal maturity analysis, the relationships between pore structure parameters, fractal dimensions, TOC content and mineral composition are presented and discussed in this paper. The results show that interparticle pores and microfractures are predominant, whereas organic matter pores are rarely found. The pore morphology is primarily featured with wide-open ends and slit-shaped structures. In terms of pore scale, mesopores and macropores are predominant. The value of fractal dimension $D_1$ representing small pores ranges from 2.0173 to 2.4642 with an average of 2.1735. The value of $D_2$ which represents large pores ranges from 2.3616 to 2.5981 with an average of 2.4960. These low numbers are an indication of few pore types and relatively low heterogeneity. In addition, smaller $D_1$ values reveal that large pores have more complicated spatial structures than smaller ones. The results of correlation analysis show that: 1) $D_2$ is correlated positively with specific surface area but negatively with average pore diameter; 2) $D_1$ and $D_2$ literally show no obvious relationship with mineral composition, TOC content or vitrinite reflectance ($R_o$); 3) both total Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) volume and specific surface area show a positive relationship with dolomite content and a negative relationship with felsic minerals content. These results demonstrate that the pore types are relatively few and dominated by mesopores, and the content of brittle minerals such as dolomite and felsic minerals control the pore structure development whilst organic matter and clay minerals have less influence due to low thermal maturity and abundance of clay minerals.
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INTRODUCTION

In response to rising petroleum costs at the turn of the 21st century, the exploration and exploitation of shale gas and shale oil have become more and more important in China (Lu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). Since 2010, shale gas mainly from marine in the south China has been investigated extensively including the basic geological characteristics (Zou et al., 2019), pore structure (Shang et al., 2020a; Shang et al., 2020b; Shang et al., 2020c; Liu et al., 2020), production controlling factors (Shang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021), etc. More recently, the shale oil from lacustrine basins in the north China has generally received significant attention (Lu et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2018; Pu et al., 2019). However, the higher heterogeneity, lower thermal maturity, and limited geographical distributions of shale oil in China, pose greater challenges to a cost-effective development compared with marine shale oil reservoirs in North America (Ma et al., 2021).

Although the terms shale oil and tight oil are often used interchangeably in public discourse, shale formations are only a subset of all low permeability tight formations, which include sandstones and carbonates, as well as shales, as sources of tight oil production (Energy Information Administration, 2013). The restricted petrological definition of shale is fine-grained clastic sedimentary rock composed of mud and characterized by laminated structure, in field exploration, however, with the development and exploitation of shale oil and gas, it typically refers to any fine-grained sedimentary rock with total organic carbon (TOC) content greater than 1%, in a practical way.

The United States, home to the Bakken formation, Eagle Ford Formation and Barnett Formation, is the world’s hotspot of shale oil exploration (Montgomery et al., 2005; Jarvie et al., 2007; Bustin et al., 2008; Chalmers et al., 2012). As their success story unfolds, shale oil has become one of the most attractive unconventional sources all over the world. According to an EIA report released in 2013, the total reserves of technically recoverable shale oil in China is $320 \times 10^8 \text{bbl}$ (about $43.84 \times 10^9 \text{m}^3$), which is the third largest in the world. Nevertheless, the shale oil in China is way more difficult to extract. For comparison, the shale oil in United States is primarily light oil generated from widely-distributed and organic-rich marine shales with high maturity and low heterogeneity; while the majority of shale oil in China is relatively heavy oil generated from sparsely distributed and organic-rich lacustrine shale with low maturity and high heterogeneity (Lu et al., 2016). In terms of lithology, the mineral composition of marine shale in the United States is dominated by biogenic quartz and carbonate, and the former consists of biogenic silica which is associated with organic matter enrichment. When it comes to the lacustrine shales in China, their mineral composition is dominated by clay followed by detrital quartz and chemical carbonate. Detrital quartz is terrestrial, resulted from mechanical transportation in most cases, and usually shows no signs of organic origin (Nie et al., 2016).

Although China has tremendous shale oil reserves, extraction remains a challenge. The shale oil development approach is rather different from the American paradigm. In my opinion, the biggest difference is deposition system. With higher thermal maturity to ensure that the shale oil is abundant and light with low viscosity, and with lower heterogeneity to ensure that the pattern of storage space for shale oil is more easily to be predicted, the pivot point of marine shale oil exploration is pore structure. Compared with marine shales, the lacustrine shales in China are featured with rapidly changeable sedimentary environment, relatively low maturity and limited thickness (Liu et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2021). High heterogeneity of lacustrine shale results in different rock properties, and therefore, the heterogeneous pore structure and its controlling factors need to be studied and understood.

Since the fractal theory was put forward by Mandelbrot (1982), it has been proven to be very useful to quantitatively describe the heterogeneity of naturally occurring geometries. Katz and Thompson (1985) supported the theory, confirming fractal characteristics in several sandstones. They calculated the fractal dimension using SEM and optical data and gave correct porosity prediction based on fractal analysis. Furthermore, the experiment results from Thompson (1991) revealed the significance of characterizing a sedimentary rock’s pore geometry with fractal dimensions. Apart from the verification of fractal geometry of porous media, three fractal dimension measurement approaches were generalized: 1) the discrete methods (Mandelbrot, 1982; Orford and Whally, 1983; Kartz and Thompson, 1985; Krohn and Thompson, 1986) that use rulers of different lengths to measure the fractal dimension of an object, just like the fractal measurement of coastline at the very beginning. 2) the scattering methods (Freltoft et al., 1986; Rojanski et al., 1986; Sinha et al., 1988; Hurd et al., 1989) that use small-angle X-ray or neutron scattering to study a wide range (length scale of 0.5–50 nm) of disordered systems; 3) the adsorption methods (Avnir et al., 1983; Pfeifer and Avnir, 1984) that characterize the fractal geometry at the molecular scale.

Fractal theory has been applied for pore size classification and reservoir evaluation by using data from SEM imaging, nitrogen adsorption, mercury intrusion, and nuclear magnetic resonance to quantify the fractal characteristics and heterogeneity of shale reservoirs (Lai & Wang, 2015; Yang et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2021). We based our study on the Frenkel-Halsey-Hill (FHH) theory and more recent and commonly used Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area approach to obtain fractal dimension.

Several methods have been proposed to calculate the fractal dimensions from nitrogen adsorption experimental results. Among them, one empirical correlation, developed on the basis of Frenkel-Halsey-Hill model, has been testified and widely used by many researchers. The equation is shown below:

$$\ln \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right) = A \left[ \ln \left( \ln \left( \frac{P_0}{P} \right) \right) \right] + C \quad (1)$$

Where $V$ is the absorbed gas volume under equilibrium pressure $P$; $V_0$ is the absorbed volume of gas monomolecular layer; $P_0$ is the gas saturation pressure; $A$ is the power-law exponent obtained as the trending line slope in the ln$V$ vs ln ($\ln (P_0/P)$) plot, having
shapes of hysteresis loops at 77.35 K are often identified with different pore types in accordance with Sing’s classification that derived from De Boer’s classification of hysteresis loops (De Boer and Lippens, 1964; Sing et al., 2001). Four theoretical patterns (H1 through H4) of hysteresis loops (curve divergence) that correspond to four typical pore shapes (cylindrical, ink-bottle, slit, and wedge) are shown in Figure 2.

Previous studies on the second member of Kongdian Formation focused mainly on geochemistry and hydrocarbon generation potential (Pu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019; Xin et al., 2021), but not much was mentioned about pore structure especially on the nanoscale. Because of this, the characteristics of pore structure and heterogeneity of shales were poorly understood. In this paper, we used FE-SEM and low temperature nitrogen adsorption methods to characterize shale pore structure of the second member of Kongdian formation, and thereafter calculated fractal dimension based on the FHH theory. In the end, we discussed the fractal characteristics and their controlling factors of shale samples. Furthermore, we explored the relationships between fractal dimension, mineral constituents, and pore structure and the practical value of fractal dimensions.

GEODESICAL SETTING

Cangdong sag is an intracontinental sub-depression unit of the Huanghua Depression bordered by Cangxian Uplift in the west, Xuhei Salient in the east and Kongdian Salient in the north (Figure 3). The second member of Kongdian Formation was deposited when Cangdong Sag was an enclosed inland lake basin. It’s fine-grained sediments are composed of dark mudstone, thin-medium bedded siltstone and argillaceous dolomite (Pu et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019). Hydrocarbon discoveries in recent years and several good oil wells producing from the second member of Kongdian Formation in Cangdong sag indicate great shale oil potential.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples

10 shale samples were selected from Well GX, Well GY and Well GZ. All the three wells are from the area with daily oil production ranging from 30t to 60t.

Experimental Approach

A series of laboratory tests were conducted on these samples, i.e., total organic carbon (TOC), vitrinite reflectance (Rt), XRD analysis, FE-SEM and low temperature nitrogen adsorption.
XRD, TOC, and Ro Analysis

Approximately 5 g of each shale sample was pulverized to 40–60 mesh and then mixed with ethanol. The mixture was hand ground and then coated on glass slides for XRD analysis. This preparation technique was meant for semi-quantitative estimation of mineral percentages. A Bruker AXS D8-Focus diffractometer with CuKα radiation (40 kV, 40 mA) and Ni filtering was used to collect XRD data. The relative mineral...
contents were estimated according to the major peaks of each mineral and the corrections of Lorentz and polarization (Chalmers and Bustin, 2008).

Next, approximately 30 mg of each sample were powderized to 80–200 mesh, decalcified with a 5% HCl solution, and then dried for 36 h in preparation for TOC analysis. A Leco CS-230 carbon and sulfur analyzer was used to determine the TOC content.

For Ro analysis, shale samples were cut into 5 × 5 × 1 mm slices and polished. The standby immersed oil and monochromatic light (wavelength of 546 nm) were also prepared. A Zeiss microscope and an MPV-I microphotometer were used to measure Ro. At least 10 measurements were taken for each sample.

**FE-SEM Analysis**

The high-magnification capacity of electron microscopy is straightforward and effective for direct observation of the sizes, shapes, and distribution of micro-nano pores. Secondary electron (SE) images of rough, broken shale surfaces provide high resolution textural information and allows for recognition and description of larger-scale features (Slatt and O’Brien, 2011). The shale samples were cut into approximately 10 mm × 10 mm × 3 mm slices and then coated with Au to avoid electrostatic charging. FE-SEM analysis was conducted with a cold field emission scanning electron microscope (S4800, Hitachi, Japan) at the maximum magnification of 800 K. The element composition of the minerals was analyzed by an EDS spectrometer.

**Nitrogen Adsorption Analysis**

The shale samples were crushed to 40–60 mesh. Then the powdered samples were alternatively cleaned by methanol and toluene until the hot toluene in which the samples were immersed for 12 h became completely transparent. After this, the samples were dried at ambient temperature. 0.35–0.40 g of powdered samples were degassed in the vacuum at 10°C to remove any adsorbed moisture and volatiles. Subsequently, low temperature nitrogen adsorption tests were conducted using a Micromeritics Tristar II 3020 analyzer with a measurable aperture of 3.5–300 nm and a minimum detectable specific surface area of 0.01 m²/g. The specific pore surface area was calculated based on the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) theory (Brunauer et al.,...
1938), and pore volume was calculated using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method (Barrett et al., 1951).

RESULTS
Mineral Composition and Organic Geochemistry

The X-ray analysis results show that the shales in the study area have complex mineral composition that includes quartz, albite, dolomite, carbonate, analcite and clay minerals, and none of these minerals is dominant. The average contents of felsic minerals (quartz and albite), carbonate minerals (dolomite), calcite, analcite and clay minerals are 43.59, 22.69, 14.71, 9.90 and 8.40%, respectively. In addition, there is a very minor content of pyrite associated with mirabilite and halite (Figure 4J), which appears to be round-shape under the optical microscope and bar-shaped under the electronic microscope (Figure 5C). The results show high contents of brittle minerals and low contents of clay minerals, representing mixed deposition of small lake basin.

The TOC contents of all shale samples range from 1.02 to 5.32% with an average value of 3.26%. The kerogen types are II$_1$ and II$_2$ according to the cross plot of $T_{\text{max}}$ (the temperature at which the second peak (S2 or HI) is observed during Rock-eval analysis) and Hydrogen index (HI) (Zhao et al., 2018). The Ro values are in the range of 0.67–1.05%. Considering the fact that $T_{\text{max}}$ is distributed primarily above 440°C, the low maturity shale samples from the study area are mostly in the oil window (Zhao et al., 2018).

a-authigenic quartz (well GX, 3,024.90m); b-albite grain (well GX, 3,141.57m); c-albite grain with eroded surface (well GX, 3,057.94m); d-eroded calcite crystal (well GX, 3,147.5m); e-authigenic dolomite (well GY, 3,885.27m); f-microcrystals of dolomite (well GY, 3,893.38m); g-clustered analcite (well GZ, 4,113.02m); h-monocrystal of analcite (well GZ, 4,113.02m); i-analcite crystal clamped by layered clay minerals (well GZ, 4,114.31m); j-bar-shaped monocrystal of pyrite along with mirabilite (well GZ, 4,119.15m); k-pyrite filling in the tectonic microfractures (well GZ, 4,119.15m); l-monocrystals of pyrite filling (an enlarged area of picture k).

FIGURE 5 | Types of pores and morphology of shale samples under FE-SEM.
Qtz-quartz; Alb-albite; Cal-calcite; Dol-dolomite; Anl-analcite; Clay-clay minerals; Py-pyrite; Mir-mirabilite.

Pore Structure
Pore Types and Morphology
According to FE-SEM studies, the pores in the study area are classified into four types: interparticle pores, intraparticle pores, organic matter pores and microfractures. Taking florescent thin section observation results into account, it can be concluded that interparticle pores between authigenic minerals, like dolomite and analcite, are the primary storage space for shale oil.

a-florescent thin section, abundant interpores in layered dolomite (well GX, 3,147.50m); b-florescent thin section, abundant interpores in layered analcite (well GX, 3,057.94m); c-florescent thin section, radial clusters of pyrite (well GY, 3,885.27m); d-scanning electronic microscope, irregular dissolution interpores between dolomites (well GX, 3,024.90m); e-scanning electronic microscope, slit-shaped interpores between dolomites (well GZ, 4,114.13m); f-scanning electronic microscope, strip-shaped interpores between analcites (well GZ, 4,113.02m); g-scanning electronic microscope, dissolution intrapores within dolomites (well GY, 3,885.27m); h-scanning electronic microscope, interlayered intrapores within clay minerals (well GX, 3,057.94m); i-scanning electronic microscope, intrapores between microcrystals of dolomite (well GZ, 4,124.60m); j-scanning electronic microscope, tectonic microfracture with eroded plane (well GX, 3,141.57m); k-scanning electronic microscope, a zigzagged tectonic microfracture (well GY, 3,893.38m).

1) Interparticle pores.
Interparticle pores mainly refer to the residual space after sedimentation or diagenetic alteration (Li et al., 2016), which are quite common in shallow-buried sediments (Loucks et al., 2012). Due to weak diagenetic alteration, low thermal maturity, and high content of brittle minerals found in the shale samples, the interparticle pores are believed to be abundant in the study area, probably having polygonal or slit-shaped apertures supported by rigid minerals like dolomite and analcite (Figure 5D, Figure 5E, Figure 5F). However, felsic minerals including quartz and albite are often mixed with clay minerals and organic matter because most of them derive from terrigenous detrital sediments, which leads to limited effective storage space for shale oil. It’s worthy to note that the dominant interparticle pores between dolomite crystals taking relatively regular shapes are well preserved and connected, forming an effective pore network. This can be clearly observed from florescent thin section observation.

2) Intraparticle pores.
The intraparticle pores are those within mineral particles or organic matter particles themselves. Some of them are primary pores in origin, but most of them are secondary pores formed in the diagenetic process. The intraparticle pores are primarily dissolution pores in the carbonate grains. They are usually irregularly-shaped with several to hundreds of nanometers in size and are disorderly distributed (Figure 5G). In addition, intracrystal pores in the microcrystalline dolomite as well as elongated pores between clay mineral interlayers (intergranular pores within flocculent illite and leaf-shaped chlorite) can also be found in the study area (Figure 5H, Figure 5I).

3) Organic matter pores.
Organic matter pores are intraparticle pores found within an organic matter, appearing as bubble-shaped, strip-shaped or oval space with irregularity. Two distinct kinds of organic matter pores have been identified: the primary organic matter pores linked to
FIGURE 7 | Pore size distribution plotted based on nitrogen adsorption experiment results of shale samples.

TABLE 1 | Mineral composition and organic geochemistry for all shale samples.

| Samples | Depth/m | TOC/% | R_o/% | Quartz | Feldspar | Dolomite | Carbonate | Analcite | Clay | Pyrite |
|---------|---------|-------|-------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| GX-1    | 3,147.50| 2.98  | 0.75  | 35.11  | 16.82    | 20.23    | 5.91      | 11.93    | 10.00 | 0.00  |
| GX-2    | 3,141.57| 1.02  | 0.78  | 24.88  | 31.00    | 2.57     | 19.13     | 9.22     | 12.00 | 1.20  |
| GX-3    | 3,024.90| 1.20  | 0.68  | 17.53  | 3.62     | 45.77    | 15.73     | 12.17    | 5.18  | 0.00  |
| GX-4    | 3,057.94| 2.26  | 0.67  | 15.96  | 14.83    | 42.15    | 3.02      | 13.27    | 9.77  | 1.00  |
| GY-1    | 3,885.27| 1.63  | 0.92  | 21.16  | 22.16    | 23.66    | 18.03     | 7.00     | 6.99  | 1.00  |
| GY-2    | 3,893.38| 5.32  | 0.94  | 23.44  | 35.95    | 1.07     | 28.19     | 6.00     | 4.35  | 1.00  |
| GZ-1    | 4,113.02| 4.58  | 1.02  | 20.05  | 23.18    | 29.02    | 7.75      | 15.00    | 5.00  | 0.00  |
| GZ-2    | 4,124.60| 3.52  | 1.01  | 14.46  | 30.24    | 28.30    | 6.00      | 10.00    | 11.00 | 0.00  |
| GZ-3    | 4,114.31| 3.02  | 0.98  | 17.02  | 27.95    | 11.78    | 22.25     | 10.00    | 10.00 | 1.00  |
| GZ-4    | 4,119.15| 4.23  | 1.05  | 9.13   | 31.99    | 22.35    | 21.14     | 4.26     | 9.76  | 2.00  |

TABLE 2 | Contribution of micropore, mesopore, and macropore to total pore specific surface area and volume of shale samples.

| Samples | Depth/m | BJH average adsorption diameter/nm | BET specific surface area/(m²·g⁻¹) | Specific surface area contribution/% | BJH total volume/(cm³·g⁻¹) | Specific pore volume contribution/% |
|---------|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|         |         |                                    | Micro | Meso | Macro |                   | Micro | Meso | Macro |
| GX-1    | 3,147.50| 22.1328                            | 0.9112 | 6.62 | 83.69 | 9.69               | 0.0023 | 0.58 | 50.12 | 49.30 |
| GX-2    | 3,141.57| 24.0877                            | 0.7682 | 6.98 | 83.02 | 10.00              | 0.0038 | 0.54 | 52.30 | 47.15 |
| GX-3    | 3,024.90| 20.4097                            | 1.4758 | 0.69 | 93.10 | 6.21               | 0.0070 | 0.07 | 66.73 | 33.20 |
| GX-4    | 3,057.94| 15.2933                            | 1.5659 | 9.51 | 84.86 | 5.63               | 0.0057 | 1.15 | 59.17 | 39.68 |
| GY-1    | 3,885.27| 47.0340                            | 0.5492 | 0.00 | 75.46 | 24.54              | 0.0042 | 0.00 | 40.99 | 59.01 |
| GY-2    | 3,893.38| 25.4800                            | 0.6128 | 16.23 | 72.51 | 11.26              | 0.0023 | 1.23 | 48.50 | 50.28 |
| GZ-1    | 4,113.02| 30.8784                            | 0.7641 | 5.64 | 82.80 | 11.56              | 0.0047 | 0.16 | 53.16 | 46.80 |
| GZ-2    | 4,124.60| 44.0871                            | 0.6964 | 7.53 | 68.37 | 24.09              | 0.0048 | 3.27 | 36.80 | 62.87 |
| GZ-3    | 4,114.31| 28.6874                            | 0.6459 | 21.72 | 63.31 | 14.97              | 0.0028 | 1.43 | 35.61 | 62.96 |
| GZ-4    | 4,119.15| 30.8814                            | 0.7591 | 12.63 | 72.04 | 15.33              | 0.0047 | 0.76 | 43.48 | 55.76 |
kerogen type which existed even before sedimentation, and the secondary pores caused by organic maturation and hydrocarbon expulsion (Curtis et al., 2012; Loucks et al., 2012; Reed et al., 2014). The organic matter pores are much less common, especially being compared with highly-mature marine shales in Paleozoic strata of south China. As bacteria and algae are the dominated source of organic matter (Yang et al., 2018) and shale maturity is low, so honeycomb pores, strip-shaped pores and bubble-like pores can be observed (Figure 5J).

4) Microfractures.

Microfractures mainly include tectonic fractures resulted from tectonic stress and shrinkage fractures caused by three possible phenomena, hydrocarbon expulsion from organic matter, dehydration of clay minerals and mineral recrystallization in the diagenetic process. In the study area, tectonic microfractures are regularly-shaped with smooth fracture plane, extensively distributed, well developed and usually filled with pyrite on micron to decimeter scale (Figure 5K, Figure 5L). This is because Cangdong Sag had experienced strong and continuous rifting in the early Paleogene when the shales were deposited (Chen et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2017). By contrast, shrinkage microfractures are less common due to low maturity level and low content of clay minerals found in shale samples. Tectonic microfractures are often well connected with other types of pores, forming complexed yet effective transport network, thus significantly improving the shale permeability.

**Pore Size Distribution**

It is found that shales in the study area mainly feature type H3 hysteresis loop. For most graphs recorded, the adsorption curve shows a slight ascent when relative pressure increases from 0 to around 0.1, inferring nominal presence of micropores. Between 0.1 to around 0.8, the adsorption curve rises marginally and almost linearly, indicating that narrow or slit-shaped pores account for the majority. The onset of a sharp bend occurs around 0.8, and beyond 0.9 the curve skyrocket to infinity, which means the pores have open ends. Desorption reserves the process, but leaving a gap between the adsorption curve owning to capillary condensation. In conclusion, the results of the nitrogen adsorption experiments demonstrate that in the study area aggregates of plate-like particles give rise to open-ended slit-shaped pores of shales.

| Table 3 | Fractal dimensions obtained from N$_2$ adsorption. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Samples | $P/P_0 < 0.45$ | | $P/P_0 > 0.45$ | |
| | K | $R^2$ | D$_1$ | K | $R^2$ | D$_2$ |
| GX-1 | -0.8945 | 0.9668 | 2.1055 | -0.4656 | 0.994 | 2.5344 |
| GX-2 | -0.9827 | 0.9222 | 2.0173 | -0.482 | 0.994 | 2.518 |
| GX-3 | -0.5358 | 0.9409 | 2.4642 | -0.4552 | 0.9616 | 2.5448 |
| GX-4 | -0.9402 | 0.9779 | 2.0958 | -0.4019 | 0.9888 | 2.5981 |
| GY-1 | -0.6967 | 0.9287 | 2.3043 | -0.4903 | 0.9897 | 2.5037 |
| GY-2 | -0.8661 | 0.9353 | 2.1339 | -0.4738 | 0.9903 | 2.5262 |
| GZ-1 | -0.5928 | 0.9392 | 2.4072 | -0.5601 | 0.9844 | 2.4039 |
| GZ-2 | -0.8724 | 0.9658 | 2.1276 | -0.6584 | 0.9894 | 2.3616 |
| GZ-3 | -0.9209 | 0.9451 | 2.0791 | -0.5168 | 0.9916 | 2.4832 |
| GZ-4 | -0.9636 | 0.9320 | 2.0364 | -0.5562 | 0.9909 | 2.4438 |

**Figure 8** | Scatter diagrams showing relationships between different pore structure parameters of all shale samples.
The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller model was used to calculate the specific surface area of shale samples. Based on the Barret-Joyner-Halenda model, the adsorption curves are plotted in order to obtain the pore size distribution (Figure 6, 7).

The specific surface area of shale samples is between 0.6128 m$^2$/g and 1.4758 m$^2$/g with an average value of 0.8748 m$^2$/g. It is worthy of note that most values are below 1 m$^2$/g, which is less common, especially for shales. On the basis of all experimental data and statistical analysis, micropores, mesopores and macropores account for 8.75, 77.92 and 13.33% of the total specific surface area, and 0.92, 46.69 and 50.69% of the total specific pore volume, respectively (Table 3). The pore sizes are mainly distributed in the range of 2–50 nm with three peaks observed at 2 nm, 5 and 25 nm (Figure 7).

Since micropores contribute the least to either the total specific surface area or volume (Table 1, Table 2, Table 3), it be can concluded that the shales in the study area are dominated by
mesopores and macropores which are normally associated with organic matter and clay minerals.

Regression analysis was carried out in order to find the relationships between different pore structure related parameters. From Figure 8–12 we can see that BET specific surface area correlates negatively with average pore diameter and positively with BJH total volume. Nevertheless, the data points of BJH total volume vs average pore are too scattered to be deemed relatable. The results indicate a narrow range of pore size where the mesopores are found to be the shale’s major reservoir space in the study area within the measuring range of nitrogen adsorption experiments.

Fractal Analysis Based on Nitrogen Adsorption

The sedimentary rock structure is multi-fractal, hence different fractal dimension value represents different pore structure and physical property. In the adsorption/desorption curves shown in Figure 6, it can be clearly observed that hysteresis loop usually appears around 0.45 relative pressure when capillary condensation kicks in. This marks two distinctive pore structures that are existent in the rock samples. Therefore, D₁ is calculated based on nitrogen adsorption data when the relative pressure is less than 0.45. This relates to the smaller pores like the micropores that mainly adsorb nitrogen in single or multi-molecules. While D₂ is calculated in the same way except that the relative pressure is greater than 0.45. This represents the bigger pores like macropores and mesopores that mainly absorb nitrogen in multi-molecules due to capillary condensation.

The table above shows the fractal dimensions of 10 shale samples calculated based on the aforementioned model and equations. The almost perfect linear fit (all $R^2$ are greater than 0.91 with an average value of 0.95) of lnV vs ln [ln (P₀/P)] plot shows evident fractal feature of pore structure in the shales. D₁ ranges from 2.0173 to 2.4642 with an average value of 2.1735, D₂ ranges from 2.3616 to 2.5981 with an average value of 2.4960.
Two things are worth noticing here. First, $D_1$ values are smaller than $D_2$ for all samples, reflecting that the bigger pores have more complexed structures than the smaller ones, which is very unusual for shales. Second, all $D_1$ and $D_2$ values are smaller than 2.6, inferring that pore types are not many.

The results of fractal analysis point to an unusual conclusion that the bigger pores have more complicated structures than the smaller ones. The underlying reasons could be that the micropores associated with organic matter and clay minerals are poorly developed with few types because of low maturity and low clay content of shales in the study area. By contrast, the macropores and mesopores like interparticle pores and microfractures develop attributed to high content and various types of brittle minerals, therefore they feature higher heterogeneity than the smaller pores.

DISCUSSION

A lot of research work on pore structure and their controlling factors of terrestrial and marine shales in China has been done to date (Yang et al., 2016; Chen Q. et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2017). For the high maturity marine shales in South China, the mineral composition is dominated by quartz and clay minerals (Zou et al., 2019). Their pore types and abundances are primarily controlled by the types and contents of organic matter and clay minerals. The well-developed micropores in the organic matter and clay minerals, various pore types and a broad range of pore size distribution together lead to high shale heterogeneity, giving rise to high values of fractal dimensions $D_1$ and $D_2$ (Chen et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2017; Li et al., 2016).

The relationship between organic matter, mineral composition, pore structure parameters and fractal dimensions are discussed hereinafter to ascertain the controlling factors in pore structure development, paving the way for prospecting local shale plays with more confidence.

Relationship Between Pore Structure Parameters and Organic Matter and Mineral Compositions

Regression analyses for pore structure parameters (BET specific surface area, BJH total volume and the average pore diameter) versus TOC content, Ro, brittle minerals content and clay minerals content are carried out as follows.

The results above show that the total volume and specific surface area are correlated positively with dolomite content but negatively with felsic minerals content. In addition, no pronounced correlation is found between pore structure parameters and TOC, Ro or clay minerals. The results indicate that the volume and surface area of shales in the study area are mainly controlled by brittle minerals, and the influence of organic matter and clay minerals on pore structure is weak. Probable explanations are that the brittle minerals, such as authigenic dolomite, provide considerable pore space for shale oil, whereas terrestrial clastic materials like quartz and albite are typically mixed with pore-plugging clay and organic matter, thus unable to provide effective storage space for shale oil.

Relationship Between Fractal Dimensions and Pore Structure Parameters

The fractal dimensions ($D_1$ and $D_2$) are correlated with different pore structure parameters (BET specific surface area, BJH total volume and the average pore diameter) as below.
The results show that $D_1$ correlates poorly with surface area, average pore diameter and BJH pore volume, meanwhile $D_2$ has a positive correlation with specific surface area and a negative correlation with average pore diameter. This bears two implications: 1) the pore structure is irregular and complex. The micropores mainly are constructed by different brittle minerals with different types of rigid edges. From the view of section, we can find that the storage space formed by straight lines of dolomites and calcites, round lines of analcite, and zigzag lines of felsic minerals (Figure 5D, Figure 5E, Figure 5F). Different brittle minerals crossing already make it look like a pile of tree branches and leaves stacking together. The rest clay minerals and organic matter will increase the irregularity and complexity even more.; 2) this complexity is linked to the bigger pores (pore size > 4.5 nm). Micropores contribute less to surface area and are less complexed than mesopores due to the low thermal maturity and low content of clay minerals. If we take the particle size of shale oil and the thickness of bond water into consideration (Lu et al., 2018), 10 nm should be the minimum storage diameter for shale oil. And in this particular area, combining with the pore size distribution, the pores with diameter greater than 20 nm should be the main storage place for shale oil.

Relationship Between Fractal Dimensions and TOC Content, Ro, and Mineral Compositions

The fractal dimensions ($D_1$ and $D_2$) are correlated with mineral compositions, TOC content, and $R_o$ as below.

It is obvious that none of the correlations above are deemed a good fit. This infers that the heterogeneous pore structure in the study area finds no single cause. But there is still some noteworthy abnormality. For example, the negative correlation between $D_2$ and $R_o$ may be related to dolomite recrystallization during hydrocarbon generation (Pu et al., 2019). Further, in the dissolution and crystallization process of micrite carbonate, impurities such as clay and fluid inclusions are discharged, leaving more pure dolomite crystals in place, giving rise to low heterogeneity and hence low $D_2$. Last but not least, the unusual negative
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correlation between $D_1$ and clay content would entail further research.

The dominant controlling factor on pore structure is brittle minerals which include quartz, albite, dolomite, calcite and analcite. The formation of the ultimate pore structure is a long and complicated process, involving the mixture of terrestrial clastic materials and the transformation of authigenic minerals. That explains why there is not a single brittle mineral showing good correlate with fractal dimensions.

In conclusion, because the low maturity and low content of clay minerals in shales has little to do with the complexity of pore structure, fractal dimensions are irrelevant with $R_o$, TOC and clay minerals.

**CONCLUSION**

1) The low thermal maturity, low content of clay minerals and high content of brittle minerals together shape the unique pore structure characteristics of shales in the Cangdong Sag. The pore type is primarily interparticle pores caused by authigenic brittle minerals and microfractures. The organic matter pores (macropores, mesopores, and few micropores) only account for a small portion; the pore sizes are mainly distributed in the range of 2–50 nm with three peaks, 2, 5 and 25 nm. So it is fair to claim that there is a limited number of pore types.

2) $D_1$ values that represent the fractal dimension of smaller pores are smaller than $D_2$ meant for bigger pores. Furthermore, both $D_1$ and $D_2$ values are low compared with typical marine shales, demonstrating that mesopores and macropores are much more complicated than micropores in structure.

3) As the shale reservoirs are mainly contributed by brittle minerals, which means that mesopores, macropores and microfractures are the majority of storage space for shale oil. The most favorable pore types are interparticle pores and microfractures in terms of practical development.

In conclusion, the interparticle pores and intraparticle pores that are associated with a variety of brittle minerals lead to complex structures of mesopores and macropores, and the low abundance of micropores is attributed to low thermal maturity and low content of clay minerals. Because of the obvious difference in fractal dimension values between bigger pores and smaller pores, the most favorable sweet spot of shale oil reservoirs should be interparticle pores with higher fractal dimensions and larger than 20 nm in diameter and microfractures.
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