Composition, structure, and physicochemical characteristics of pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) starches from Indonesia
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Abstract. A’yuni NRL, Marsono Y, Marseno DW, Triwitoyo P. 2021. Composition, structure, and physicochemical characteristics of pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) starches from Indonesia. Biodiversitas 22: 3430-3439. Information on the characteristics of pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.) starch would provide a scientific basis for developing its application. However, data about characteristics of pigeon pea starch, especially from the Southeast Asia region, has been limited. This study determined the composition, structure, and physicochemical characteristics of pigeon pea starches from three different Indonesian regions, i.e., Bali, Yogyakarta, and West Nusa Tenggara (NTB). We also investigated the potential application of Indonesian pigeon pea starches. Pigeon pea starch was extracted using a wet method, and then pigeon pea starch was characterized. The yield of pigeon pea starches ranged from 29.83-31.68%. Pigeon pea starches showed a significant difference (P<0.05) in amylose content (54.74-58.51%), relative crystallinity (24.20-28.97%), water-binding capacity (0.70-0.76 g/g), oil binding capacity (0.55-0.58 g/g), swelling power (13.19-14.52 g/g), and solubility (9.48-11.15%). The pasting properties (except for final viscosity) and thermal properties (except for onset temperature and gelatinization enthalpy) differed significantly. Granules of pigeon pea starch were oval to elliptical, with a mean granule diameter of 18.41-19.98 μm. According to X-ray diffraction patterns, pigeon pea starches showed Ca type, contained orthorhombic and hexagonal crystals. Pigeon pea starches also showed the same FTIR spectra. The results revealed that the differences in pigeon pea growing locations affect pigeon pea starch’s composition and physicochemical properties. The highest amylose content and lowest relative crystallinity were found in Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch. In the future, our findings could be used to develop pigeon pea starch for various food applications.
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INTRODUCTION

Starch is a complex microparticle consisting of two primary components, amylose and amylopectin, usually accompanied by water, lipids, phospholipids, soluble and insoluble fiber, and some minerals (Rodriguez-Garcia et al. 2021). Starch is a renewable substance with some biological properties like biocompatibility, nontoxicity, and biodegradability. Because of these starch properties, starch can be used in various industrial sectors, including tissue engineering, medicine, and food processing, such as confectionery, sauces, restructured meat products, puddings, and low-fat products (Chen et al. 2015; Wani et al. 2016). The global starch market is projected to reach US$ 75.4 billion in 2022, from a prediction of US$ 53 billion in 2016. Therefore, a new starch source is required (Acevedo et al. 2019). Legumes with a 25 to 50 % starch content are a potential source of starch (Alcázar-Alay and Meireles 2015). Legume starch contains more amylose, dietary fiber, and resistant starch than cereal and pseudocereal starches (Nissar et al. 2017). Therefore, further research on legume starches is required to develop food and non-food applications (Lima et al. 2017).

Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.) is a legume that provides a starch source. Pigeon pea is widely grown in both tropical and subtropical areas worldwide (Laval 2011). Pigeon pea has a starch content of 57.5% (Tayade et al. 2019) and a starch yield of 29.7-49.3% (Hoover et al. 2010). Previous studies on pigeon pea starch physicochemical characteristics have been conducted using pigeon pea from India (Hoover et al. 1993; Kaur and Sandhu 2010; Narina et al. 2014) and Argentina (Acevedo et al. 2019). Kaur and Sandhu (2010) reported that pigeon pea starch had a low hydrolysis index and high resistant starch, indicating that it was highly resistant to digestion. Acevedo et al. (2019) reported that pigeon pea starch is a potent starch source to provide tailor-made properties to food and industrial applications because of its high gel stability and amylose content. Research on pigeon pea starch from the Southeast Asia region has been limited. Previous studies had only focused on the characterization of various pigeon pea starch varieties. Therefore, there is a shortage of data on pigeon pea starch's composition, structure, and physicochemical characteristics from different growing locations. Differences in the environment (soil type, temperature, atmospheric composition, and meteorological factors) where plants grow can affect the biosynthesis and starch properties (Hood-Niever et al. 2012; Beckles and Thitisaksakul 2014). Research on the physical and microscopic characteristics of pigeon pea starch from Indonesia has been performed by Widowati and Buckle (1991).
Unfortunately, they only reported the water absorption, solubility, gelatinization temperature, gel strength, and starch granule morphology. Widowati and Buckle’s study just used pigeon pea from Pasuruan and Yogyakarta. There has been no research into the characteristics of pigeon pea starch from the Bali and West Nusa Tenggara (NTB). In Yogyakarta, Bali, and NTB, pigeon pea is widely grown; therefore, they are readily available. Its use, however, was only limited to consumption as a vegetable. Information on pigeon pea starch’s physicochemical characteristics can provide essential scientific knowledge to develop pigeon pea starch application in non-food and food fields, mainly to diversify food products. This study aimed to determine the composition, structure, and physicochemical characteristics of pigeon pea starch from three different Indonesian regions: Bali, Yogyakarta, and NTB, and to investigate the potential application of Indonesian pigeon pea starch.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Pigeon pea seeds (local varieties) were obtained from local farmers in three different Indonesian regions, i.e., Buleleng of Bali, Gunungkidul of Yogyakarta, and Lombok Timur of West Nusa Tenggara (NTB). Pigeon pea was harvested in April-May 2019 (Yogyakarta and NTB) and June 2019 (Bali). The characteristics of the growing locations in 2019 are shown in Table 1.

Extraction and isolation of starches
Extraction and isolation of pigeon pea starch were conducted according to Hoover et al. (1993) with modifications from Ratnaningsih et al. (2016). Pigeon pea seeds were split by grinder then steeped in distilled water with a seed: water ratio of 1: 5 for 12 hours at room temperature. The seed coats of pigeon pea were removed by manual abrasion. The steeping water was replaced every 3 hours to discard the pigeon pea seed coats. The swollen legumes were ground together with distilled water (4°C) using a blender. The starch slurry was filtered through a filter cloth. The residual pulps were ground two more times, then filtered. The starch suspension was allowed to settle at 4°C for 12 hours before the supernatant was discarded. The starch sediment was dissolved in distilled water (4°C), adjusted to pH 11.0 using 0.1 N NaOH, steeped for 3 hours at room temperature, and then the supernatant was discarded. This treatment was performed six times. The starch sediment was redissolved in distilled water, adjusted to pH 7.0 using 0.1 N HCl, then was rinsed with distilled water. The starch sediment was dried for 24 hours at 40°C, ground, sieved using 100 mesh, packed in aluminum foil with silica gel, then put into a container, and kept at room temperature until analysis.

Determination of chemical composition
The proximate composition was analyzed based on the AOAC method (1995) for determining moisture (No. 925.09), ash (No. 923.03), lipid (No.920.39), and crude protein (No.920.87). The protein content was measured using the Kjeldahl method by multiplying crude nitrogen content by conversion factor 6.25. The amylose content was determined using Juliano’s method (1971). The starch purity was determined as the total starch percentage using the direct acid hydrolysis method (AOAC 1995).

Determination of starch color
The starch color was determined using chromameter CR-400 (Minolta, Japan). Results were presented in the L* (lightness), a* (+a* value is redness; -a* value is greenness), and b* (+b* value is yellowness; -b* value is blueness) color space. The whiteness index was calculated based on this equation (Zhu et al. 2009):

\[
\text{Whiteness index} = 100 - \sqrt{(100 - L)^2 + a^2 + b^2}
\]

Determination of swelling power and solubility
Swelling power and solubility determination were conducted referring to the method of Gunaratne et al. (2011). Starch was weighed (100 mg, dry basis), placed in a centrifuge tube, and added 10 mL of distilled water. The centrifuge tube was put on a vortex for 10 s and incubated at 85 °C for 30 min in a water bath shaker. The tubes were quickly cooled to room temperature and centrifuged for 30 min at 2000g. The supernatant was separated, and the sediment was weighed (Ws). The supernatant was dried in an oven (105°C) to steady weight (Wt). The swelling power (SP) and solubility (S) were calculated based on this equation:

\[
\text{SP} = \frac{W_t}{[\text{sample weight} \times (100\% - S)]} \times 100\%
\]

\[
S = \frac{W_s}{[\text{sample weight}]} \times 100\%
\]

Determination of water-binding capacity (WBC) and oil binding capacity (OBC)
Water and oil binding capacity (WBC and OBC) determinations were performed based on Yousif et al. (2012). One gram of sample was mixed with 15 mL of distilled water in the centrifuge tube. The tube was vortexed for 2 min, then centrifuged for 20 min at 1250g. After centrifugation, the clear supernatant was poured and removed. Water-binding capacity was measured as the gram of water bound by a gram of dry sample. The same method was conducted to determine oil binding capacity by replacing distilled water with 10 mL of corn oil. Oil binding capacity was measured as the gram of oil bound by a gram of dry sample.

Table 1. Characteristics of the growing locations of pigeon pea

| Climate          | Bali   | Yogyakarta | NTB    |
|------------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Temperature (°C) | 27.80  | 25.94      | 28.69  |
| Humidity (%)     | 78.93  | 81.46      | 72.61  |
| Number of precipitation (mm) | 1838.80 | 2121.40 | 1717.90 |
| Number of rainy days (day) | 140    | 130        | 135    |
| Duration of sunshine (%) | 62.85  | 78.55      | 67.32  |

Source: BPS-Statistics Indonesia (2020)
Determination of granule morphology

The starch granule morphology was determined using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) (Phenom Pro-X, Netherlands) based on the method of Liu et al. (2015). Before observation, the sample was mounted on the stub specimen and coated with gold. The analysis was carried out in a 15 kV voltage accelerating vacuum. For imaging particle shapes, the SEM instrument was equipped with a backscattered electron detector. The sample was observed under 3000x magnification.

Determination of particle size

The particle size of starch granules was measured using a Particle Size Analyzer (PSA LA-960, Horiba, Japan), referring to the method of Joshi et al. (2013). The sample was added with purified water as a dispersion medium. The slurry was mixed, put into the cuvette, and then put into the PSA’s cuvette holder. The measurement duration was 120 s.

Determination of pasting properties

The pasting properties of starches were determined using Rapid Visco Analyser (RVA-S4, Newport Scientific, Australia) with Thermocline for Windows 3 software. Approximately 3.0 g of starch (14% moisture basis) was added to the RVA canister, followed by 25 mL of distilled water (adjusted to compensate for a 14 % moisture basis). The starch slurries temperature was kept at 50 °C for 1 min then was increased to 95 °C at a rate of 6 °C/min, held at 95 °C for 5 min. After that, starch slurries were cooled to 50 °C at a rate of 6 °C/min and retained at 50 °C for 2 min. The rotation speeds were kept at 960 rpm for the first 10 s; then, the rotation speeds were reduced to 160 rpm for the remainder of the cycle (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016).

Determination of thermal properties

The thermal properties of starches were determined using Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC-60 Plus, Shimadzu, Japan), which was equipped with TA-60WS software. The sample was weighed, then put into a standard aluminum pan, added with distilled water (10 μl), hermetically sealed, stand for at least 1 h before heating in DSC. The sample pan and reference pan were heated from 25 to 100 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min (Joshi et al. 2013).

Determination of X-ray diffraction and relative crystallinity

Determination of crystalline structure was performed using an X-Ray Diffractometer (XRD) (Bruker D2 Phaser, Germany) with CuKα radiation nickel filter (λ=1.542 Å) and operated at 30 kV and 10 mA. Diffractograms were obtained from 4-30° as a 2θ function (Kaur and Sandhu 2010). The relative crystallinity was determined according to Wang et al. (2008). The area above the smooth curve was the crystalline portion, and the lower area between the smooth curve and the linear baseline was the amorphous portion. The upper diffraction peak area and overall diffraction area were integrated using the origin software (version 9.65, Microcal Inc., Northampton, MA, USA). The relative crystallinity was calculated based on this equation:

\[
\text{RC} (\%) = \frac{\text{Ac}}{(\text{Ac} + \text{Aa})} \times 100
\]

Where: RC: relative crystallinity; Ac: the crystallized area on the X-ray diffractogram; Aa: the amorphous area on the X-ray diffractogram.

Determination of Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

One milligram of the sample was mixed homogenously with 100 mg dried KBr powder to form a pellet. KBr-pelletized starch samples were analyzed using FTIR (Nicolet iS 10, Thermo Scientific, USA) in the range of wavenumbers 400-4000 cm⁻¹ (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016).

Data analysis

The research data were interpreted as mean value ± standard deviation of triplicate replications, except for SEM and FTIR analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) to assess the significant differences among experimental mean values (P<0.05). All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 23.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield and chemical composition of pigeon pea starches

The yield and chemical composition of pigeon pea starches are summarized in Table 2. The yield of pigeon pea starches (on a total of pigeon pea seed basis) varied from 29.83-31.68%, with the highest yield of pigeon pea starch from Bali. Variations in pigeon pea starch yields could be attributed to the drying process of pigeon pea seeds by local farmers using sunlight, which affects the moisture content of pigeon pea seeds. Pigeon pea seeds from Bali had the lowest moisture content (data not shown), and when a grinder splits the seeds, fewer broken seeds into a fine powder were produced, allowing more seeds to be extracted into starch. In this study, pigeon pea starch yield was higher than the yield of pigeon pea starch from Nigeria, 22.5% (Lawal 2011), but lower than pigeon pea from Argentina, 38.24% (Acevedo et al. 2019). The moisture content of pigeon pea starches ranged from 8.67-9.32%, meeting the moisture content requirements for powdered dry products, i.e., < 15% (Estrada-León et al. 2016). The starch purity was assessed from the starch content (Velásquez-Barreto et al. 2021a) and was evaluated based on the low ash, total lipid, and nitrogen content (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016). This starch extraction method produced pigeon pea starches with high purity, consisting of 93.46-94.96% starch with low protein content (0.40-0.49%), lipid content (0.07-0.34%), and ash content (0.04-0.05%). Pigeon pea starch from NTB achieved the highest purity (highest starch content) because it had the lowest ash, lipid, and protein content, indicating low impurities. According to Ratnaningsih et al. (2016), high purity starch contains < 0.6% of protein. The low protein content...
indicates the absence of non-starch lipid (endosperm protein-related lipids) (Maaran et al. 2014).

The amylose content of pigeon pea starches was significantly different, varied from 54.74-58.51%. The highest amylose content was found in Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch. It could be related to the lowest temperature in Yogyakarta. Ovando-Martínez et al. (2011) reported that lower temperature during growing resulted in an increased amylose content in bean starch. The amylose content of Indonesian pigeon pea starches is higher than the pigeon pea starches was reported by Hoover et al. (2010), 27.0-46.4%. However, these values remain within the range of amylose content of legume starches (24-65%) (Lima et al. 2017). The variations of amylose content can be affected by climate, soil type during plant growth, and growing conditions (Du et al. 2014; Ma et al. 2017). The amylose content of starch is classified into low (< 20 %), medium (20-25%), and high (>25%) (Santoso et al. 2021). Thus, pigeon pea starch has a high amylose content, which can indicate its health benefits. There is a strong association between amylose content and the development of resistant starch. Starch digestibility decreases with increased amylose content (Nissar et al. 2017). In addition, amylose content can affect the functional and physicochemical properties of starch.

Granule morphology and size distribution

Pigeon pea starch granules were oval to elliptical (Figure 1). It is similar to Indian and Nigerian pigeon pea starches (Kaur and Sandhu 2010; Lawal 2011; Acevedo et al. 2019). The surface of the starch granule appeared smooth, although some granules had fissures. These are characteristic of legume starches such as Indian pigeon pea starch (Hoover et al. 1993), field pea starch (Liu et al. 2015), rice bean starch, tepary bean starch, navy bean starch, lablab bean starch (Maaran et al. 2014), and yellow pea starch (Chung and Liu 2012). The deep fissures in pigeon pea starch granules showed a strong bonding between the starch and the protein matrix (Vaz Patto et al. 2015).

Granule size can affect starch physicochemical properties such as crystallinity, pasting, enzyme resistance, and solubility (Ma et al. 2017). Zhang et al. (2016a) reported that larger-sized starch granules have higher amylose content, leading to more defects of the crystalline area, thus resulting in lower relative crystallinity, consistent with our results. The mean granule diameter of pigeon pea starches ranged from 18.41-19.98 µm (Table 2), similar to the Indian pigeon pea starches (19.8-20.2 µm) (Kaur and Sandhu 2010). The mean granule diameter of pigeon pea starches was smaller than pinto bean starch (26.0 µm), red kidney bean starch (27.4 µm), black bean starch (25.3 µm), and navy bean starch (26.6 µm) (Du et al. 2014). Differences in amylose and amylopectin molecules, climate, and agronomic conditions can influence granule size variations (Ma et al. 2017). Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch had the largest mean granule diameter than the other starches. It showed that higher growing temperature caused the reduction of granule size, in agreement with prior research findings (Beckleas and Thitisaksakul, 2014). Granule size of starch is categorized into large (>25 µm), medium (10-25 µm), small (5-10 µm), and very small (<5 µm) (Estrada-León et al. 2016). Thus, the pigeon pea starch granules are medium in size. Pigeon pea starch can be used as a large-sized starch blend to achieve the required degree of swelling and viscosity. Large-sized starch granules swell faster, have greater viscosities, and are more susceptible to shear than smaller granules (Otegbayo et al. 2014). Puncha-arnon et al. (2008) studied that the blends of canna starch (large size) and mung bean starch (medium size) with 25:75 and 50:50 ratios produced lower hardness starch gels than the individual starch components.

Color of pigeon pea starches

The L*, a*, and b* values of the pigeon pea starches varied significantly (Table 3). Among the pigeon pea starches, pigeon pea starch from NTB showed the highest lightness value and whiteness index compared to other starches. This result could be attributed to its highest starch purity, indicating low impurities in pigeon pea starch from NTB. According to Bhat and Riar (2016), starch with a lightness value of more than 90 is essential in determining the whiteness and purity of starch. Pigeon pea starches had a lightness value of 92.38-93.08 and a whiteness index of 91.76-92.74, revealing that they have a white color. A high lightness value and whiteness index are significant quality indicators of starch, and they are desired to fulfill consumer preferences (Zhu et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2018).

Figure 1. Scanning electron micrographs of pigeon pea starches at 3000x magnification. A. Bali, B. Yogyakarta, C. West Nusa Tenggara (NTB)
The swelling power of pigeon pea starches varied from 13.19-14.52 g/g, almost the same as Indian pigeon pea starch 12.6-13.1 g/g (Kaur and Sandhu 2010), and including in the range of the swelling power of other legume starches (7.23-25.9 g/g) (Wani et al. 2016). The pigeon pea starch from Yogyakarta had the lowest swelling power, which can be attributed to the existence of phenolic and flavonoid compounds in the pigeon pea. Rani et al. (2014) reported that dephusked dal of pigeon pea has a total phenolic and flavonoid content of 8.07 mgGAE/g and 4.65 mgCAE/g, respectively, so these compounds can reduce the lightness of the pigeon pea starch. All pigeon pea starches showed a positive value for a* and b*, suggesting a high intensity of red and yellow, respectively.

### Functional properties of pigeon pea starches

The functional properties of pigeon pea starches are listed in Table 4. The water-binding capacity (WBC) is the indicator of starch's ability to bind water. However, the WBC of pigeon pea starches was nearly equal to cowpea starch (89.9-92.4) and mungbean starch (90.2-92.1) (Kim et al. 2018) but lower than chickpea starch of 98.07 (Bashir and Aggarwal 2016) due to the low proportion of amylose in pigeon pea starches. The OBC of pigeon pea starches was almost identical to cowpea starches (0.47-0.63 g/g) (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016), so it can be applied to the fried food products.

The swelling power shows the extent of water absorption of the granule starch (Fan et al. 2016). The swelling power of pigeon pea starches varied from 13.19-14.52 g/g, almost the same as Indian pigeon pea starch 12.6-13.1 g/g (Kaur and Sandhu 2010), and including in the range of the swelling power of other legume starches (7.23-25.9 g/g) (Wani et al. 2016). The pigeon pea starch from Yogyakarta had the lowest swelling power, which can be related to Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch having the highest amylose content. Previous research on rice starch, maize starch, and field pea starch have similar results (Huang et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2015). The swelling power is mainly a function of the amylopectin molecule. Amylose acts as a diluting or inhibiting agent for amylopectin swelling (Liu et al. 2015). Huang et al. (2015) reported that swelling power has a negative correlation with amylose content. Swelling power less than 16 g/g is considered a highly restricted swelling behavior. It is suitable for application in noodle products (Jan et al. 2017).

### Table 2. Yield, chemical composition, mean granule diameter, and relative crystallinity of pigeon pea starches

| Parameters                              | Bali          | Yogyakarta   | NTB           |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Yield (% db)                            | 31.68 ± 0.25a | 30.31 ± 0.97b | 29.83 ± 0.59b |
| Moisture (% db)                         | 9.32 ± 0.19a  | 9.01 ± 0.29ab | 8.67 ± 0.16b  |
| Ash (% db)                              | 0.05 ± 0.02a  | 0.04 ± 0.01a  | 0.04 ± 0.01a  |
| Protein (% db)                          | 0.49 ± 0.13a  | 0.44 ± 0.05a  | 0.40 ± 0.01a  |
| Lipid (% db)                            | 0.34 ± 0.07a  | 0.19 ± 0.03b  | 0.07 ± 0.02c  |
| Starch purity (% db)                    | 93.46 ± 0.44b | 94.30 ± 0.83ab| 94.96 ± 0.46a |
| Amylose (% db)                          | 56.95 ± 0.69b | 58.51 ± 0.63a | 54.74 ± 0.39c |
| Mean granule diameter (µm)              | 18.54 ± 0.23b | 19.98 ± 0.06a | 18.41 ± 0.19b |
| Relative crystallinity (%)              | 28.97 ± 0.65a | 24.20 ± 0.70c | 26.82 ± 0.50b |

Note: numbers followed by the same letters in the same row indicate not significantly different at p < 0.05

### Table 3. Color of pigeon pea starches

| Parameters | Bali          | Yogyakarta   | NTB           |
|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| L*         | 92.81 ± 0.15b | 92.38 ± 0.03c | 93.08 ± 0.03a |
| a*         | 1.14 ± 0.06b  | 1.26 ± 0.08a  | 1.20 ± 0.02ab |
| b*         | 2.45 ± 0.14b  | 2.87 ± 0.08a  | 1.85 ± 0.11c  |
| Whiteness index | 92.32 ± 0.10b | 91.76 ± 0.01c | 92.74 ± 0.01a |

Note: numbers followed by the same letters in the same row indicate not significantly different at p < 0.05
The solubility represents the starch dissolution level during the starch’s swelling (Fan et al. 2016). The solubility of pigeon pea starches ranged from 9.48–11.15%, lower than the solubility of Indian pigeon pea starches 13.8–14.2% (Kaur and Sandhu 2010) and other legume starches (13.1–37.8%) (Wani et al. 2016). It showed the potential of pigeon pea starches to apply as an edible film. The lowest solubility was found in Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch, which is consistent with its swelling power. The higher the amylose content, the denser the starch granule, making it more difficult for starch to overflow beyond the granule and, as a result, lowering starch solubility (Wani et al. 2012).

**Pasting properties**

Pigeon pea starches displayed similarly pasting behavior (Figure 2) but showed distinct characteristics (Table 5), except for final viscosity. The pasting temperature (PT) is the lowest temperature was required to cook the starch (Ma et al. 2017). The pasting temperature of pigeon pea starches ranged from 82.27–83.38°C, compatible with the pasting temperature of Indian pigeon pea starches (82.0–83.9 °C) (Kaur and Sandhu 2010) and Argentina’s pigeon pea starch (83.9 °C) (Acevedo et al. 2019). Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch showed the highest pasting temperature, suggesting that it is more resistant to swelling, consistent with its lowest swelling power. It may be attributed to the high content of amylose in Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch. Huang et al. (2015) reported that high-amylose starch has a higher pasting temperature than normal-amylose starch.

**Table 5. Pasting properties of pigeon pea starches**

| Pasting properties | Bali                     | Yogyakarta               | NTB                     |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| PT (°C)            | 82.38 ± 0.08b            | 83.38 ± 0.20a            | 82.27 ± 0.19b           |
| PV (cP)            | 5252.00 ± 166.23b        | 5912.33 ± 32.87a         | 5925.00 ± 130.09a       |
| TV (cP)            | 3924.67 ± 140.36b        | 4139.33 ± 13.65a         | 4281.00 ± 67.85a        |
| BV (cP)            | 1327.33 ± 25.89c         | 1773.00 ± 46.36a         | 1644.00 ± 62.48b        |
| FV (cP)            | 7859.67 ± 333.62a        | 7709.33 ± 12.50a         | 8129.33 ± 273.13a       |
| SV (cP)            | 3935.00 ± 193.75a        | 3570.00 ± 13.23b         | 3848.33 ± 208.01ab      |

Note: numbers followed by the same letters in the same row indicate not significantly different at p < 0.05. PT: pasting temperature, PV: peak viscosity, TV: through viscosity, BV: breakdown viscosity, FV: final viscosity, SV: setback viscosity

The peak viscosity (PV) indicates the maximum viscosity obtained by gelatinized starch when heated in water (Bhat and Riar 2016). The Peak viscosity of pigeon pea starch was 5252.00, 5912.33, and 5925.00 cP for Bali, Yogyakarta, and NTB. Pigeon pea starch from Bali showed the lowest peak viscosity, attributed to its highest relative crystallinity (Ovando-Martínez et al. 2011) and its lowest starch content (Grace and Henry 2020). The peak viscosity of pigeon pea starches was higher than field pea starches (2119–2805 cP) (Liu et al. 2015) and cowpea starches (1743.50–2036.00 cP) (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016), so pigeon pea starch can be applied in the very viscous paste. Variations in peak viscosity of starches can be linked to amylopectin and amylose molecular weights and amylopectin chain length. Velásquez-Barreto et al. (2021a) reported the positive correlation between peak viscosity with the amylopectin and amylose molecular weight and the amylopectin chain length of B2 (DP 25–36).

The breakdown viscosity (BV) measures the extent of the granule’s destruction and indicates the paste’s consistency (Fan et al. 2016). The breakdown viscosity of pigeon pea starches ranged from 1327.33-1773.00 cP, higher than Indian pigeon pea starches (Kaur and Sandhu 2010), field pea starches (504-891 cP) (Liu et al. 2015), and cowpea starches (380.00-634.50 cP) (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016). Pigeon pea starch from Bali had the lowest breakdown viscosity. It showed a strong, cohesive force inside the starch granule, excellent thermal and shear stress stability than the others (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016; Ma et al. 2017).

**Figure 2. Pasting behavior of pigeon pea starches**
Since pigeon pea starches have a relatively high breakdown viscosity, they are unsuitable for food products that require high temperature and shear stress during production. The final viscosity (FV) shows the amyllose molecule's re-assembly to form a gel (Zhang et al. 2016b). The final viscosity of pigeon pea starches ranged from 7709.33-8129.33 cP, higher than other legume starches (2721-7297 cP) (Wani et al. 2016). According to Gutiérrez-Cortez et al. (2021), starch with a high final viscosity behaves as a custard, making pigeon pea starches suitable for infantile formulations. Velásquez-Barreto et al. (2021a) and Liu et al. (2015) reported that higher final viscosity could be linked to higher amylose content. This tendency contradicted the findings of this study; Ratnaningisih et al. (2016); Bhat and Riar (2016). These results suggested that amylose is not the only factor that may affect final viscosity. Velásquez-Barreto et al. (2021b) reported that the presence of granules or small disintegrated granules in the starch paste increases final viscosity.

The Setback viscosity (SV) is the difference between final and through viscosity (TV) and reflects a tendency for starch to retrograde. It measures the gelatinized starch's recrystallization during the cooling process (Bhat and Riar 2016). The setback viscosity of pigeon pea starches varied from 3570.00-3935.00 cP, higher than lablab bean starch (2755 cP), navy bean starch (1858 cP), rice bean starch (2930 cP), tepary bean starch (1608 cP), velvet bean starch (1921 cP) (Maaran et al. 2014), but still included in the range setback viscosity of legume starches (834-4391 cP) (Wani et al. 2016). Since pigeon pea starches have a high setback viscosity, it is not appropriate for frozen food products. The lowest setback viscosity of Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch represented extensive granule disturbance during the heating period, as seen in the high peak viscosity. Therefore, it makes the resistance to the paddles stirring motion during the cooling period minimum, as reported by Ratnaningisih et al. (2016) on the Indonesian cowpea starches. The interaction of many factors can influence the differences in starch pasting properties. These factors: starch purity, granule size, amylose content, amyllose/amylopectin ratio, thermal and shear stress stability, interactions between double helices in granules, granule swelling, the chain length of the starch component, and relative crystallinity (Ratnaningisih et al. 2016; Ma et al. 2017).

### Table 6. Thermal properties of pigeon pea starches

| Thermal properties | Bali          | Pigeon pea starches | NTB          |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|
| To (°C)            | 76.47 ± 0.48a | 75.78 ± 0.68a       | 76.29 ± 0.29a|
| Tp (°C)            | 81.71 ± 0.09b | 82.50 ± 0.31a       | 81.38 ± 0.27b|
| Tc (°C)            | 86.34 ± 0.35ab| 86.68 ± 0.83a       | 85.31 ± 0.17b|
| ΔH (J/g)           | 10.00 ± 0.80a | 9.17 ± 0.95a        | 9.15 ± 1.32a |

Note: Numbers followed by the same letters in the same row indicate not significantly different at p < 0.05. To: onset temperature, Tp: peak temperature, Tc: conclusion temperature, ΔH: gelatinization enthalpy.

**XRD pattern of pigeon pea starches**

Pigeon pea starches had a similar XRD pattern (Figure 3). Starch diffraction patterns can be classified into three categories: A, B, and C. A-type starch has strong diffraction peaks at about 15° and 23° 2θ, and an unresolved doublet at around 17° and 18° 2θ. B-type starch shows the strongest peak at around 17° 2θ and small peaks at about 5.6°, 15°, 20°, 22°, 24° 2θ. C-type starch is a mixture of A and B-type starch. C-type starch has singlet peaks at around 17° and 23° 2θ and a few small peaks at about 5.6° and 15° 2θ. It can be further categorized as C_A-type (closer to A-type), C_type, and C_B (closer to B-type) by the proportion of allomorphs of type A and type B. The C_A-type of starch was characterized by the presence of a shoulder peak at about 18° 2θ and a strong singlet peak at 23° 2θ while the C_B-type was characterized by the two shoulder peaks of about 22° and 24° 2θ (Cai et al. 2014). According to the XRD pattern, pigeon pea starches showed a peak of diffractogram at around 15°, 17°, 23° 2θ, a small peak at around 5.6° 2θ and a shoulder peak at about 18° 2θ. It indicated that pigeon pea starches have a type C_A diffraction pattern. Rodríguez-Garcia et al. (2021) reported that the XRD diffractogram peaks can determine the crystalline phase of starch. A peak at about 5.6° 2θ shows that pigeon pea starches contain hexagonal phase, while peaks at around 15°, 17°, 18°, and 23° 2θ show that pigeon pea starches contain orthorhombic phase. Hence, pigeon pea starches contained hexagonal and orthorhombic crystals.
The relative crystallinity of the Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch was the lowest than the other starches (Table 2). Its highest amylose content can explain this result in comparison to Bali and NTB pigeon pea starches. Because the amylepectin side chains form a crystalline structure in starch, the relative crystallinity is inversely related to amylose content (Kaur and Sandhu 2010; Joshi et al. 2013; Oyeyinka et al. 2016). The lowest relative crystallinity of Yogyakarta pigeon pea starch could be attributed to the highest rainfall in the growing location, as reported by Wen et al. (2014) on the proso millet starch. An increase in humidity can disturb the crystalline arrangement of starches, resulting in a weak intensity (Wen et al. 2014). Pigeon pea starch from Bali had the highest relative crystallinity, suggesting better crystallite orientation to the X-ray beam and stronger interaction between double helices inside crystalline lamellae. It is consistent with its lowest breakdown viscosity.

**FTIR spectroscopy**

FTIR spectra displayed similar characteristics in all pigeon pea starches (Figure 4). The band at around 3421 cm\(^{-1}\) correlated to the OH group’s stretching, while the band at about 2931 cm\(^{-1}\) related to the stretching vibration of the CH\(_2\) bond (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016). The band was detected at about 1649 cm\(^{-1}\) associated with bending H\(_2\)O vibrations absorbed in the amorphous regions of starch (Oyeyinka et al. 2016). According to Shao et al. (2020), the band at about 1082 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1160 cm\(^{-1}\) were associated with the C-O group’s vibrational and the symmetrical stretching vibration of CH\(_2\) linked to the starch’s ordered structure. The band at around 1014 cm\(^{-1}\) was characteristic of an amorphous region of starch (Joshi et al. 2013). The band at about 860 cm\(^{-1}\) could be attributed to C-O-C symmetrical stretching and C-H deformation (Monteiro et al. 2016). The band at around 929 cm\(^{-1}\) could be related to water and starch hydrophilicity (Ratnaningsih et al. 2016; Shao et al. 2020), so this band showed the water-binding capacity of pigeon pea starches (Ratnaningsih et al. 2020). The bands in the 800-400 cm\(^{-1}\) range were correlated with the pyranose ring skeletal mode (Jan et al. 2017).

In conclusion, pigeon pea starch from three different Indonesian regions showed significant differences in amylose content, granule size, and relative crystallinity. Furthermore, these differences affect the physicochemical properties of pigeon pea starch. However, pigeon pea starch showed the same granule shape, X-ray diffraction pattern, and FTIR spectra. Pigeon pea starch from Yogyakarta had the highest amylose content and the lowest relative crystallinity. Based on the pasting properties, pigeon pea starch from NTB showed a low pasting temperature, a high peak viscosity, and a high final viscosity, making it suitable for viscous paste products, and infantile formulations. Further study on physical, enzymatic, chemical, or combination modifications of pigeon pea starch will be required to develop its applicability in diverse food formulations.
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