Synchrotron vacuum ultraviolet radiation studies of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state of H$_2$
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The $3\rho\pi D^1\Pi_u$ state of the H$_2$ molecule was reinvestigated with different techniques at two synchrotron installations. The Fourier transform spectrometer in the vacuum ultraviolet wavelength range of the DESIRS beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron was used for recording absorption spectra of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state at high resolution and high absolute accuracy, limited only by the Doppler contribution at 100 K. From these measurements, line positions were extracted, in particular, for the narrow resonances involving $1\Pi_u^-$ states, with an accuracy estimated at 0.06 cm$^{-1}$. The new data also closely match multichannel quantum defect calculations performed for the $\Pi^-$ components observed via the narrow Q-lines. The $\Lambda$-doubling in the $D^1\Pi_u$ state was determined up to $v=17$. The 10 m normal incidence scanning monochromator at the beamline U125/2 of the BESSY II synchrotron, combined with a home-built target chamber and equipped with a variety of detectors, was used to unravel information on ionization, dissociation, and intramolecular fluorescence decay for the $D^1\Pi_u$ vibrational series. The combined results yield accurate information on the characteristic Beutler–Fano profiles associated with the strongly predissociated $\Pi_u^+$ parity components of the $D^1\Pi_u$ levels. Values for the parameters describing the predissociation width as well as the Fano-$q$ line shape parameters for the $J=1$ and $J=2$ rotational states were determined for the sequence of vibrational quantum numbers up to $v=17$. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3502471]

I. INTRODUCTION

The energy region from 110 000 to 134 000 cm$^{-1}$ in the absorption spectrum of molecular hydrogen is dense and complex, featuring a multilne spectrum. In particular, in this energy range, the electronic and vibrational energy separations become of similar magnitude, while rotational splitting remains large as well. The electronic and vibrational energy separations of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state becomes of similar magnitude, while rotational splitting remains large as well. The energy range for the $D^1\Pi_u$ state was reinvestigated with different techniques at two synchrotron installations. The Fourier transform spectrometer in the vacuum ultraviolet wavelength range of the DESIRS beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron was used for recording absorption spectra of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state at high resolution and high absolute accuracy, limited only by the Doppler contribution at 100 K. From these measurements, line positions were extracted, in particular, for the narrow resonances involving $1\Pi_u^-$ states, with an accuracy estimated at 0.06 cm$^{-1}$. The new data also closely match multichannel quantum defect calculations performed for the $\Pi^-$ components observed via the narrow Q-lines. The $\Lambda$-doubling in the $D^1\Pi_u$ state was determined up to $v=17$. The 10 m normal incidence scanning monochromator at the beamline U125/2 of the BESSY II synchrotron, combined with a home-built target chamber and equipped with a variety of detectors, was used to unravel information on ionization, dissociation, and intramolecular fluorescence decay for the $D^1\Pi_u$ vibrational series. The combined results yield accurate information on the characteristic Beutler–Fano profiles associated with the strongly predissociated $\Pi_u^+$ parity components of the $D^1\Pi_u$ levels. Values for the parameters describing the predissociation width as well as the Fano-$q$ line shape parameters for the $J=1$ and $J=2$ rotational states were determined for the sequence of vibrational quantum numbers up to $v=17$.

The unpredissociated states, giving rise to sharp lines in the spectrum, have been studied to a high degree of accuracy. Analysis of classical spectrograph emission spectra by Abgrall et al. yielded accurate level energies up to high rotational quantum numbers for both parity components of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state. Since the $\Pi^-$ parity components of the higher lying vibrational levels $v>2$ of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state undergo only very weak predissociation, the Q lines probing $D^1\Pi_u^-$ levels are observed as sharp. That made it possible for Abgrall et al. to also determine the accurate line positions from emission studies for lines probing up to $v$. The unpredissociated level, there exist three lower vibrational levels $v=0–2$, with weaker apparent intensity. These assignments of the vibrational sequence in the $D^1\Pi_u$ state were later confirmed in subsequent higher resolution studies by Namioka, Monfils, Takezawa, and Herzberg and Junge.

A potential energy curve of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state, calculated by Dressler and Wolniewicz, along with the other relevant potential curves of singlet-$u$ symmetry for interpreting the results of the present study, is displayed in Fig. 1.

The unpredissociated states, giving rise to sharp lines in the spectrum, have been studied to a high degree of accuracy. Analysis of classical spectrograph emission spectra by Abgrall et al. yielded accurate level energies up to high rotational quantum numbers for both parity components of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state. Since the $\Pi^-$ parity components of the higher lying vibrational levels $v>2$ of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state undergo only very weak predissociation, the Q lines probing $D^1\Pi_u^-$ levels are observed as sharp. That made it possible for Abgrall et al. to also determine the accurate line positions from emission studies for lines probing up to $v$. The unpredissociated level, there exist three lower vibrational levels $v=0–2$, with weaker apparent intensity. These assignments of the vibrational sequence in the $D^1\Pi_u$ state were later confirmed in subsequent higher resolution studies by Namioka, Monfils, Takezawa, and Herzberg and Junge.

A potential energy curve of the $D^1\Pi_u$ state, calculated by Dressler and Wolniewicz, along with the other relevant potential curves of singlet-$u$ symmetry for interpreting the results of the present study, is displayed in Fig. 1.
The present spectroscopic study reinvestigating the $D^1\Pi_u-X^1\Sigma_g^+$ system in $H_2$ is based on two different experimental approaches, both connected to a major synchrotron facility. The novel and unique Fourier transform spectrometer at the SOLEIL synchrotron facility (France) on the DESIRS vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) beamline used to record Fourier transform (FT) absorption spectra in the relevant range of 74–90 nm from a static gas sample of $H_2$ cooled to approximately 100 K, resulting in high resolution spectra, limited by the Doppler contribution of 0.6 cm$^{-1}$, while the FT instrument resolution is 0.35 cm$^{-1}$. Apart from a determination of accurate transition frequencies for the narrow unpredissociated resonances, the asymmetrically broadened resonances have been studied at high resolution to obtain information on the Fano $q$ parameters as well as predissociation widths $\Gamma$ for individual lines. The 10 m normal-incidence monochromator at beamline U125/2 of the BESSY II synchrotron radiation facility in Berlin combined with a home-built target chamber for measuring photoabsorption, photoionization, and photodissociation by means of fragment fluorescence$^{29,30}$ was used to compare the different decay channels upon excitation of the same $D^1\Pi_u-X^1\Sigma_g^+$ system. The latter spectra recorded at a slightly lower resolution aided in assigning and disentangling the observed features.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The major part of the experimental data in the present study was obtained with the vacuum ultraviolet Fourier transform spectrometer setup, connected to the DESIRS beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron. Its principle of operation and unique capabilities for the UV and VUV range are described elsewhere.$^{31,32}$ In short, a scanning wave-front division interferometer has been specifically developed in order to extend the FT spectroscopy technique toward the VUV range.$^{31}$ The undulator-based DESIRS beamline provides the 7% bandwidth continuum background, which is analyzed by the FT spectrometer after it has passed an absorption cell. A typical recording of such a full spectrum recorded after passing the absorption cell is displayed in Fig. 2. Recently, the same setup was used to record high resolution spectra of narrow transitions in the Lyman and Werner bands of the hydrogen deuteride molecule in the wavelength range of 87–112 nm.$^{33}$ The undulator profile covering roughly 5000 cm$^{-1}$ is well approximated by a Gaussian function. The spectral range of 115 000–135 000 cm$^{-1}$, covered in the present study, was divided into four overlapping measurement windows.

The $H_2$ spectra are recorded under quasistatic conditions. Hydrogen gas passes through a needle valve regulator into a T-shaped, windowless free flow cell of 100 mm length and 12 mm inside diameter. This results in an inhomogeneous density distribution along the path of the synchrotron radiation, for which an integrated column density can only be
estimated. It is set by regulating the pressure just outside the target cell for appropriate absorption conditions, i.e., the unity optical depth for the main features under investigation. Spectra were recorded at three different settings of the pressure at the inlet needle valve.

Once the radiation has passed through the target cell, it enters the spectrometer and an interferogram is generated. For the present study, recordings are taken at 512 ks, which is the number of sampling points taken along the path of the moving arm in the interferometer traveling over ~9 mm. A typical measurement window in the present study took roughly 2 h for accumulating signal over 100 interferograms. The setting of 512 ks sampling recording corresponds to a spectral resolution of 0.35 cm\(^{-1}\) or a resolving power of 350 000.

The target cell was enveloped by a second cell in which liquid nitrogen is allowed to flow, cooling the cell down to roughly 100 K. This was done for two reasons. At lower temperatures, the complexity of the spectrum and the overlap of resonances are reduced; only the lowest rotational states up to \(J=2\) were found to be populated. At this temperature, the Doppler width of the \(\text{H}_2\) lines reduces to 0.6 cm\(^{-1}\). With the chosen setting of the resolving power of the FT spectrometer, this yields the narrowest width for the unpredisso- ciated lines at ~0.7 cm\(^{-1}\).

An advantage of a FT spectrometer is that the wavelength is intrinsically calibrated when the travel distance in the moving arm is known; this is done by referencing the travel against the fringes of a frequency stabilized HeNe laser. Additional calibration of the frequency scale is accomplished by calibration against the Ar line \((3p)^5(3d)^{1}D_{5/2} \rightarrow (3p)^5(3d)^{1}S_0\) known to an accuracy of 0.03 cm\(^{-1}\).

The spectra recorded at the BESSY II synchrotron in Berlin were measured with a 10 m normal incidence monochromator equipped with a 1200 lines/mm grating with a spectral resolution of 2 cm\(^{-1}\) or 0.0012 nm. The absorption cell is a 39 mm long differentially pumped cell containing 27 \(\mu\text{bar}\) of \(\text{H}_2\). A photodiode at the back of the cell allows for detecting direct absorption at room temperature. An electrode in the target cell attracts photoions, and the Ly-\(\alpha\) fluorescence is measured with a microchannel plate detector. Molecular fluorescence is recorded via a detector sensitive to visible radiation. All the signals mentioned above were recorded as a function of incident photon energy so that reliable comparisons can be made and relate the cross sections of the various decay channels. This experimental setup allows absolute intensity measurements and quantitative dynamical studies.

### III. Predissociation in the \(D^1\Pi_u\) State

It was already understood at an early stage that the predissociation of the \(3p\pi d^1\Pi_u\) state proceeds via coupling to the continuum of the \(3p\sigma B^1\Sigma^+_u\) state. There is no coupling between the states of \(u\) and \(g\) inversion symmetry; thus, there are three candidates with the same \(u\) symmetry for the \(D\) state predissociation mechanism: the \(2p\pi c^1\Pi_u, 2p\sigma B^1\Sigma^+_u\), and \(3p\sigma B^1\Sigma^+_u\) states. The Coriolis coupling to the \(B^\prime\) state is the chief cause of the predissociation. Coupling to the \(C\) state is two orders of magnitude weaker and coupling to the \(B\) state is even smaller by at least one order of magnitude. The \(B^\prime\) and \(D\) states approach the same electronic \((\text{He}(3p))\) configuration in the united atom limit so the Coriolis coupling may be very effective. The same interaction between \(D\) and \(B^\prime\) states responsible for predissociation causes severe level shifts even in the bound region below the \(n=2\) dissociation limit. Predissociation of the \(D^1\Pi_u\) state results in \(\text{H}(n=2)\) excited atomic fragments. In the case of the production of \(\text{H}(2p)\), the dissociation product can be observed via Lyman-\(\alpha\) fluorescence and the \(\text{He}(2s)\) atoms are observed in most cases via the same fluorescence due to collisions to the \(\text{H}(2p)\) state.

The physics of a bound state interacting with a continuum state, where both states are simultaneously excited from a ground level, was described by Fano. If there is oscillator strength in both channels, such interference leads to an asymmetric line shape, also referred to as a Beutler–Fano line shape, which is described by a \(q\)-parameter, indicating the degree of asymmetry

\[
q = \frac{\langle \phi_{D|r}\rangle |T_{DX}(R)| \phi_{X|e}\rangle}{\pi V(\phi_{B'||e}|T_{B'|X}(R)|\phi_{X|e}\rangle).}
\]

In this formula, the nominator stands for the transition dipole matrix element \([T(R)\) being the \(R\)-dependent transition dipole moment] for excitation from the ground state \(X^1\Sigma^+_g\) to the excited state, which is in the present case a certain rovibrational level in the \(D^1\Pi_u\) state. The denominator contains the matrix element for excitation to the continuum channel, which is in the present specific case represented by the \(B^\prime^1\Sigma^+_u\) state. \(V\) represents the interaction matrix element between the two excited channels, the discrete and the continuum, with, in this case, the \(D\) and \(B^\prime\) states signifying the coupling of the bound state with the continuum. The matrix element for the rotational coupling operator, \(H\), yields for the interaction matrix element

\[
V = \langle \phi_{B'||e}|H|\phi_{D|r}\rangle \propto \sqrt{J(J+1)}.
\]

Hence, the Fano \(q\) parameter is inversely proportional to rotation. The predissociation widths \(\Gamma\) are related to the square of the interaction matrix element.
\[ \Gamma = 2\pi v^2, \]  
\[ \text{(3)} \]

also exhibiting a rotational dependence proportional to \( J(J+1) \).

Various theoretical calculations of the widths of the \( \Pi^+ \) parity components of the \( D^1\Pi_u \) states surfaced in the early 1970s by Julienne and Fiquet-Fayard and Gallais,\(^{19}\) showing that the predissociation in the \( D^1\Pi_u \) state must indeed be attributed to an interaction with the \( B^+1\Sigma_u^+ \) continuum. Initially, the two calculations were in disagreement with one another until a missing factor of 4 was discovered in the calculation by Julienne.\(^{20}\) The two theoretical studies were still in disagreement with the measured values of Comes and Shumpe\(^{21}\) by roughly 25\%. The experimental widths varied in a way not predicted by theory. Further measurements using the \( J \) dependence of \( q \), \( \Gamma \), and the intensities by Glass-Maujean et al.\(^{22}\) gave a far better agreement with Julienne's,\(^{18}\) and Fiquet-Fayard and Gallais'\(^{19}\) values for \( v = 3 \)–\( 5 \) but still disagreed by roughly 25\% for \( v = 7 \)–\( 11 \).

The accuracy of the theoretical modeling of the predissociative widths is determined mainly by the accuracy of the potential curves used. The coupling to the \( B \) and \( C \) states does not have an effect on the predissociative widths but does play a role in the \( \Omega(2s)/(\Omega(2s)+\Omega(2p)) \) branching ratio. The coupling to the \( B \) state was included in the calculations by Borondo et al.\(^{39}\) in their calculation of the branching ratio. Beswick and Glass-Maujean\(^{23}\) conducted further studies on this topic, including the predissociation of the \( D \) state. Line shapes were obtained from solving the appropriate coupled Schrödinger equation, yielding values for the predissociative widths and \( q \)-parameters. In a study by Mrugała,\(^{40}\) the shifts between \( \Pi^+ \) and \( \Pi^+ \) levels were calculated. Mrugała\(^{41}\) benefited from the accurate \( ab \) initio calculations of the \( D-B^*, -D-B, -D-C, \) and \( -D^* \) couplings together with improved potential energy curves for the \( B, B^*, C, D, \) and \( D^* \) states. All nonadiabatic interactions between the \( D, B, \) and \( B^* \) state were included within the close-coupling approach.

A multichannel quantum defect approach was followed by Gao et al.\(^{36}\) In the framework used, the interactions between the \( D, B^* \), and \( C \) states were included in a noniterative eigenchannel \( R \)-matrix approach. The effect of ionization was thought to be small in comparison to predissociation and was not included in the calculation. Furthermore, the \( B \) state interaction was neglected because of weak coupling to the \( D \) state. The calculation yields widths for the \( J = 2 \) level of the \( D \) state that closely match the experimental values observed by Glass-Maujean and co-workers.\(^{25}\)

The \( \Pi^+ \) parity components of the \( 3p\pi D^1\Pi_u \) state, probed in Q-transitions, undergo only very weak predissociation, for which coupling to the lower lying \( 2p\sigma C^1\Pi_u \) is the only symmetry-allowed possibility. The effect of this homogeneous perturbation in terms of predissociation widths of the \( \Omega(1) \) transitions was calculated by Glass-Maujean et al.\(^{30}\) An MQDT analysis produced line positions and intensities for the \( \Omega(J) (J=1\rightarrow 4) \) absorption transitions.\(^{42}\) In MQDT calculations, all the interactions of the \( np\pi^1\Pi_u \) states were included and not only the \( C \) and \( D^* \) states. The results of these calculations were consistent with the observations of Lyman-\( \alpha \) fluorescence and visible molecular fluorescence.\(^{30,42}\)

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The vacuum ultraviolet Fourier transform spectra show the features of the \( D^1\Pi_u-X^1\Sigma_g^+(v, 0) \) bands for \( \text{H}_2 \) up to \( v = 17 \), which is the uppermost bound vibrational level in this potential. Figure 3 displays the detail recordings of some of the regions with pronounced \( D-X \) features. In order to retrieve these spectra, the bell-shaped background continuum of the undulator profiles, as shown in Fig. 2, was transformed into a flat continuum by fitting the background with a Gaussian function. The measurement window was divided through by the Gaussian fit resulting in a flat background. In a second step, the Beer–Lambert absorption depth was linearized, and the scale inverted to arrive at the spectra depicted in Fig. 3. The spectrum in Fig. 3(a) shows the unpredissociated \( D-X(0,0) \) band; hence, these lines represent the limiting resolution of the spectral method. The widths of \( \sim 0.7 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) are
a result of Doppler broadening (0.6 cm\(^{-1}\) for \(\text{H}_2\) at 100 K) and the instrumental width of 0.35 cm\(^{-1}\) from the settings of the FT instrument.

The uncertainties in the line positions are governed by the signal to noise ratio, the width of the transition, and the number of points that the transition consists of.\(^{26}\) When all systematic effects\(^{26}\) of the FT spectrometer are taken into account, the accuracy is limited to 0.03 cm\(^{-1}\). The so-called \(p\) parameter,\(^{21}\) connected to an interferometric setup with a HeNe reference laser controlling the path length travel, is adjusted depending on the operational wavelength regime. It was discovered that the changing of this parameter induces small systematic effects on the wavelength calibration.\(^{26}\) In the present study, these effects were not accounted for and so, a conservative estimate of the statistical deviation in the line positions for the unpredissociated lines \((v=0\rightarrow 2)\) and the \(\Pi^+\) components is 0.06 cm\(^{-1}\). For the broad \(\Pi^+\) components, the uncertainty is in the range of 0.3 cm\(^{-1}\), while for the blended bands \((7,0), (8,0), \) and \((9,0)\), the uncertainty increases to 0.6 cm\(^{-1}\).

### A. Spectroscopy

The transition energies resulting from the combined set of spectra are listed in Table I. The corresponding level energies are available via the supplementary material data depository of the American Institute of Physics.\(^{44}\) Various line shape fitting methods were employed to derive the line positions; for the asymmetric Fano line shapes, the true transition frequency was derived from the deconvolution procedure explained in Sec. IV C.

The assignment of the unpredissociated lines derives straightforwardly from the accurate emission data,\(^{9,14}\) while for higher lying levels for the \(D\ \Pi^+\) components, the multichannel quantum defect calculations\(^{9,42}\) are a guidance. For the transitions to the \(1\Pi^+\) components of \(v=14\rightarrow 17\), that information is lacking. These levels were identified starting with the \(4\Pi^+\) components\(^{9,42}\) and setting the \(A\)-doubling to zero. Where lines appear to be blended, the assignments and analysis were aided by the dissociation spectra. In particular, the \(R(1)\) line of the \((14,0)\) band was obscured by a particularly strong, unidentified transition. As shown in Fig. 4, this feature could not have been assigned properly without the aid of the dissociation spectrum.

Other lines analyzed in the dissociation spectrum are the \(R(0)\) and \(R(1)\) lines in the \((16,0)\) band and the \(R(1)\) line in the \((17,0)\) band. These transitions were rather weak in the FT absorption spectrum but appeared clearly in the dissociation spectrum. Due to the lower resolution of the spectrometer at BESSY and to the higher temperature of the gas, the uncertainty in the position for these measurements is slightly better than 1 cm\(^{-1}\).

Several additional problems determining accurate line positions and line parameters occurred. The \(R(1)\) transition in the \(D\cdot X(6,0)\) band is blended by a transition to the \(B^0\) state. This presents a complication in that the lines are blended in both the absorption and dissociation spectra since both states predissociate. In this case, the blending with the \(R(0)\) transition in the \(B^0\cdot X(4,0)\) band is such that no data could be extracted. Similarly the \(R(1)\) transition in the \(D\cdot X(7,0)\) band is blended by the \(R(1)\) transition in the \(B^0\cdot X(5,0)\) band\(^{35}\) and by the \(Q(1)\) transition of the \(D\cdot X(4,0)\) band;\(^6\) no data on the predissociated widths could be extracted. The positions specified in Table I are those from the deconvoluted fit. Due to the relatively small shift of the line position\(^{26}\) \(-2.7\) cm\(^{-1}\) (from the interaction with the continuum), we believe that the fit does provide the true line center. The \(R(0)\) transition of the \((7,0)\) band is blended by a \(R(0)\) transition from the \(5\sigma v=3\) Rydberg series;\(^7\) the position and the widths were determined by deconvolving with the overlapping line. The \(R(0)\) transition in the \((8,0)\) band is blended with an \(R(0)\) transition from the \(6\sigma v=3\) Rydberg series.\(^{45}\) Again, a fit was achieved from which an overlapping line was deconvoluted, this is shown in Fig. 3(d). The \((9,0)\) band was superimposed upon three different Rydberg series\(^{45}\) \((17\pi v=2, 22\sigma v=2, \) and \(7\pi v=3\)); line positions and widths for \(R(0)\) and \(R(1)\) were determined by deconvolution.

The transitions of the first three unpredissociated bands \((v=0\rightarrow 2)\) were compared to the measurements of Bailly et al.,\(^{14}\) which are accurate to 0.005 cm\(^{-1}\) for the \(D\) system. The comparison is good with an average deviation of \(-0.03\) cm\(^{-1}\), thus demonstrating the high absolute accuracy of the FT instrument. The fitted positions of the \(\Pi^+\) states were compared with the measurements of Abgrall et al.\(^9\) for \(v=3\rightarrow 14\), which we consider to be accurate to within 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\). The overall agreement between these Q lines is better than 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\) except for \(v=14\).

We compare to the measurements of Glass-Maujean et al.\(^{29}\) for the \(\Pi^+\) components probing \(Q(1)\) transitions for \(v =15\rightarrow 17\) in Table I. There appears to be a discrepancy between our measurements and those compiled by Glass-Maujean et al.\(^{29}\) for the last three bands, \((15,0)\) \((16,0)\), and \((17,0)\); yet, this is still within the experimental uncertainty of 6 cm\(^{-1}\) for that specific experimental configuration. In a later publication, these measurements were compared to a MQDT calculation.\(^{42}\) Discrepancies in the order of 5 cm\(^{-1}\) were found for these three bands once again within the experimental uncertainty. The present measurements side with the MQDT calculations and the comparison can be found in Table I. The comparison is suggestive of an accuracy better than 1 cm\(^{-1}\), thus confirming the high accuracy of these calculations.

For the \(\Pi^-\) components, the present measurements were compared to those of Takezawa\(^6\) for \(v=3\rightarrow 11\). Deviations amount to several 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the lower accuracy in the classical absorption study, where no Fano analysis was conducted and no pressure corrections were made.\(^6\) One exception was the \(R(0)\) transition in the \((7,0)\) band where a deviation of more than 11 cm\(^{-1}\) was found; we attribute this to either a misassignment or a typo and therefore compare to the data of Herzberg and Jungen.\(^7\) Lines in bands \((12,0)\) and \((13,0)\) were compared to the measurements of Coman and McCormack.\(^{28}\) The discrepancies of the order of cm\(^{-1}\) indicate that these laser-based data were not calibrated on an absolute scale.
TABLE I. Transition energies in cm$^{-1}$ and predissociated linewidths $\Gamma$ in cm$^{-1}$ for the measured transitions in the $D \ ^{3} \Pi_{u}, \ X \ ^{3} \Sigma_{e}^{+}$ system of H$_2$. The widths measured for the unpredissociated levels $v=0$ and the Q(1) transitions are limited by the Doppler broadening and therefore not specified in the table. $\Delta$ represents deviations from the present values minus the previously published data. For excited states $v=0$–2, comparison was made with Bailly et al. (Ref. 14). For the $\Pi^+$ components in $v=3$–11, comparison was made with Takezawa (Ref. 6). For $v=12$ and 13, we compare to Croman and McCormack (Ref. 28), and for the remaining bands, the measurements of SOLEIL were compared to those from BESSY. The $\Pi^+$ components of $v=3$–14 are compared with the measurements of Abgrall et al. (Ref. 9) and for $v=15$–17, we compare to Glass-Maujean et al. (Ref. 29). $\Delta^{\mu}$ represents a comparison with the MQDT calculations of Glass-Maujean and Jungen (Ref. 42); these calculations are available for the Q(1) transitions only.

| Transition | Energy | $\Delta$ | $\Gamma$ | $\Delta^{\mu}$ |
|------------|--------|----------|----------|----------------|
| $D-X(0,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)       | 112 813.14 | -0.03    | ...      | -0.39          |
| R(0)       | 112 935.25 | -0.03    | ...      | ...            |
| R(1)       | 112 941.20 | 0.03     | ...      | ...            |
| $D-X(1,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)       | 115 035.88 | -0.04    | ...      | -0.54          |
| R(0)       | 115 155.80 | -0.01    | ...      | ...            |
| R(1)       | 115 151.14 | -0.02    | ...      | ...            |
| $D-X(2,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)       | 117 129.34 | -0.04    | ...      | -0.67          |
| R(0)       | 117 251.64 | -0.07    | ...      | ...            |
| R(1)       | 117 244.82 | -0.02    | ...      | ...            |
| $D-X(3,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)$^{b}$ | 119 097.37 | 0.02     | ...      | -0.65          |
| R(0)       | 119 217.99 | 0.39     | 4.94     | ...            |
| R(1)       | 119 203.60 | 1.6      | 13.72    | ...            |
| $D-X(4,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)$^{b}$ | 120 942.76 | 0.05     | ...      | -0.7           |
| R(0)       | 121 063.05 | 0.65     | 4.40     | ...            |
| R(1)       | 121 042.48 | 0.48     | 14.22    | ...            |
| $D-X(5,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)$^{b}$ | 122 667.75 | 0.06     | ...      | -0.76          |
| R(0)       | 122 787.94 | 0.54     | 4.25     | ...            |
| R(1)       | 122 760.86 | 0.16     | 14.30    | ...            |
| $D-X(6,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)       | 124 273.90 | 0.03     | ...      | -0.71          |
| R(0)       | 124 393.75 | 0.15     | 3.58     | ...            |
| R(1)$^{b}$ | ...      | ...      | ...      | ...            |
| $D-X(7,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)$^{b}$ | 125 759.85 | 0.03     | ...      | -0.59          |
| R(0)$^{b,c}$ | 125 877.03 | 0.43     | 3.60     | ...            |
| R(1)$^{b}$ | 125 841.35 | 0.55     | ...      | ...            |
| $D-X(8,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)       | 127 129.23 | 0.09     | ...      | -0.57          |
| R(0)$^{b}$ | 127 246.84 | -1.66    | 3.42     | ...            |
| R(1)       | 127 201.15 | -1.35    | 11.63    | ...            |
| $D-X(9,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)       | 128 377.38 | -0.07    | ...      | -0.44          |
| R(0)$^{b}$ | 128 496.54 | 0.34     | 3.71     | ...            |
| R(1)$^{b}$ | 128 444.94 | 0.04     | 9.17     | ...            |
| $D-X(10,0)$ |        |          |          |                |
| Q(1)       | 129 502.50 | 0.13     | ...      | -0.21          |
TABLE I. (Continued.)

| Transition | Energy   | Δ    | Γ   | Δq |
|------------|----------|------|-----|-----|
| R(0)       | 129 621.43 | −0.07 | 3.61| ⋯   |
| R(1)       | 129 563.49 | 0.79  | 9.27| ⋯   |

\[D-X(11.0)\]

\[\begin{array}{llll}
Q(1) & 130 499.61 & 0.09 & ⋯ & 0.05 \\
R(0) & 130 618.52 & −3.18 & 3.85 & ⋯ \\
R(1) & 130 554.15 & −0.45 & 10.27 & ⋯ \\
\end{array}\]

\[D-X(12.0)\]

\[\begin{array}{llll}
Q(1) & 131 366.00 & 0.10 & ⋯ & 0.21 \\
R(0) & 131 484.50 & −0.77 & 1.93 & ⋯ \\
R(1) & 131 414.00 & −0.76 & 9.67 & ⋯ \\
\end{array}\]

\[D-X(13.0)\]

\[\begin{array}{llll}
Q(1) & 132 093.33 & −0.11 & ⋯ & −0.29 \\
R(0) & 132 212.33 & 1.96 & 2.44 & ⋯ \\
R(1) & 132 134.47 & −2.04 & 4.09 & ⋯ \\
\end{array}\]

\[D-X(14.0)\]

\[\begin{array}{llll}
Q(1) & 132 673.74 & 0.49 & ⋯ & −0.67 \\
R(0) & 132 792.37 & −0.76 & 2.15 & ⋯ \\
R(1)^{a,b,d} & 132 706.89 & ⋯ & 4.82 & ⋯ \\
\end{array}\]

\[D-X(15.0)\]

\[\begin{array}{llll}
Q(1) & 133 100.81 & −7.13 & ⋯ & −0.78 \\
R(0) & 133 219.34 & 0.49 & 1.12 & ⋯ \\
R(1) & 133 124.96 & 0.17 & 3.30 & ⋯ \\
\end{array}\]

\[D-X(16.0)\]

\[\begin{array}{llll}
Q(1) & 133 366.45 & −6.01 & ⋯ & −0.67 \\
R(0)^{a} & 133 484.59 & ⋯ & 2.33 & ⋯ \\
R(1)^{a,b,d} & 133 381.11 & ⋯ & 2.51 & ⋯ \\
\end{array}\]

\[D-X(17.0)\]

\[\begin{array}{llll}
Q(1) & 133 468.31 & −5.44 & ⋯ & 0.17 \\
R(0) & 133 587.77 & −0.27 & 0.38 & ⋯ \\
R(1)^{a} & 133 472.62 & ⋯ & 1.35 & ⋯ \\
\end{array}\]

\(^{a}\)Slightly saturated.

\(^{b}\)Blended in the absorption spectra.

\(^{c}\)Compared with the data of Herzberg and Jungen (Ref. 7).

\(^{d}\)Data from dissociation spectra.

B. \(\Lambda\)-doubling

In Fig. 5, the splitting between different \(\Pi^+\) and \(\Pi^-\) parity levels for the \(J=1\) level has been plotted as detected from the current measurements. This involves adding the ground state energy difference between rotational levels \(J=0\) and 1 to the \(Q(1)\) transitions and subtracting this from the \(R(0)\) transitions (the ground state rotational energy splitting of 118.486 cm\(^{-1}\) was taken from the accurate calculations of Wolniewicz\(^{46}\)). Values for this \(\Lambda\)-doubling have been plotted for each vibration up to \(v=17\). The two “accidents,” \(v=13\) and \(v=17\), could be due to local couplings.

The lowest three bound states of the \(D\) system are subject to strong perturbations from the last few bound levels of the \(B'\) system.\(^{4,9}\) This explains the “accidental” behavior seen for the \(\Lambda\)-doubling in \(v=1\) and 2 in Fig. 5 and the reversal between the \(R(0)\) and \(R(1)\) lines in Fig. 3(a). The \(\Lambda\)-doubling turns into a smooth decaying function from \(v \approx 3\) toward high \(v\). We postulate that the \(\Lambda\)-doubling is due to the interaction between the discrete \(D\) states and the summed contribution of low-lying discrete levels in the \(B'\) state and the \(B'\) continuum. Hence, the physical origin of the \(\Lambda\)-doubling is connected to that of the origin of the predissociation of the \(D\)-state (discussed below). Both phenomena become weaker toward high vibrational levels due to reduced vibrational wave function overlap between the \(D\) and \(B'\) levels. The present data shows excellent agreement with calculations for the \(\Lambda\)-doubling for vibrational levels\(^{24,40}\) \(v=0\)–6.

C. Predissociation analysis

Initially, a two component fit of the data in \(q\) and \(\Gamma\) was made with a Fano function. The contribution of the instru-
principle, a Fano convolved Gaussian is warranted, but there
have been omitted due to blending of the R
vibrational quantum number. The sign is such that
levels. The values of the \( q \) parameter for the R(1) transitions
complicated due to two reasons: first, the noise on the spectrum,
and second, imparting a resolution condition on two

superimposed Fano functions. In Fig. 7, this is illustrated for
the specific case of the \( D-X(3,0) \) band, where a rather accurate
value of \( q = -5.90 \pm 0.55 \) is derived in a two component
fit optimizing for \( q \) and \( \Gamma \). In the figure, we compare the two
component fit to a one component fit of \( \Gamma \) only. The fit is
insensitive to a variation in \( q \), while there is very little correlation
between the two parameters. This is most clear for the
R(0) transition where the \( q \) parameter has doubled yet the
width changes by just over 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\). Due to the broad,
asymmetric nature, it is difficult to address how far these
lines are resolved. This may lead to an erroneous determination
of the \( q \) parameter. To compare this to the ideal case, the
R(1) transition for \( v = 10 \) is clearly resolved with good signal
to noise ratio [see Fig. 3(e)]. The resulting \( q \) parameter
determined is \(-8.50 \pm 0.08\), which compares well to the calculated
value expected to be close to \(-9\). In order to obtain the
\( \Gamma \) parameters specified in Table I, the \( q \) parameters
were fixed for the R(0) and R(1) transitions to the calculated \( v \)
dependent values\(^{40}\) for \( v = 3-5 \). For the remaining vibrations,
\( v = 8-17 \) values of \(-19.55 \) for R(0) transitions and \(-9.3 \) for
the R(1) transitions were used\(^{40}\).

The results for the widths of the \( J = 1 \) levels are
displayed in Fig. 8. To our knowledge, there is no MQDT
calculation for \( J = 1 \) levels and the measured values are lacking

![FIG. 6. The fitted \( q \) parameters for the R(1) transitions compared with the measured values of Glass-Maujean et al. (Ref. 25) and the calculated values of Beswick and Glass-Maujean (Ref. 22) and Mrugala (Ref. 40). Overlapping values have been slightly offset along the x-axis to make the error bars clearly visible.](image-url)

![FIG. 7. FT spectrum of the D-X(3,0) band of H\(_2\) with a comparison between the two component fits of \( q \) and \( \Gamma \) and a one component fit of \( \Gamma \) only. The resulting values of the parameters for the two component fits were \( q = -5.90 \pm 0.55 \) and \( \Gamma = 12.7 \pm 1.1 \) cm\(^{-1}\) for R(1), and \( q = -43 \pm 35 \) and \( \Gamma = 5.10 \pm 0.26 \) cm\(^{-1}\) for R(0). In a one component fit, fixing \( q \) parameters to those obtained by theory (Ref. 40) yields \( \Gamma = 13.6 \pm 1.0 \) cm\(^{-1}\) for R(1) and \( \Gamma = 4.97 \pm 0.26 \) cm\(^{-1}\) for R(0).](image-url)
This trend is reproduced by calculations in different references, including those of Croman and McCormack, while the value for \( \nu = 8 \) and 9. The value at \( \nu = 12 \) in agreement with previous observations, in particular with those of Croman and McCormack. The present data are in agreement with the calculations of Julienne, after multiplication by a factor of 4, for \( \nu = 3 \)–5 and for higher \( \nu \) values in better agreement than those of Croman and McCormack.

The present data in the predissociated linewidth parameter \( \Gamma \) for \( J = 2 \) are displayed in Fig. 9 and are in good agreement with previous observations, in particular with those of Glass-Maujean et al. extending to \( \nu = 11 \) and Dehmer and Chupka for \( \nu = 8 \) and 9. The value at \( \nu = 12 \) is in agreement with that of Croman and McCormack, while the value for \( \nu = 13 \) deviates, similarly as both values for \( J = 1 \) in Fig. 8. Apart from the latter, the present data and the most reliable previous data produce an overall trend of smoothly decreasing predissociating widths \( \Gamma \) toward high vibrational levels. This trend is reproduced by calculations in different frameworks, and is also consistent with observations on the \( \Lambda \)-doubling (see Fig. 5).

The data suggest a slight increase of predissociation at \( \nu = 11 \), an effect that was hypothesized by Glass-Maujean et al. and later by Gao et al., resulting from a contribution by an additional predissociation channel, the \( B^r \overline{B} \) double well potential intersecting the \( D \) state near 5.5 a.u., as shown in Fig. 1. It is noted that the \( B^r \overline{B} \) state is bound at this energy but predissociates due to the same \( B^r \) continuum. Interference between the two dissociation paths may affect the rate of predissociation in the \( D \) state. Future MQDT calculations may elucidate whether this phenomenon indeed occurs at \( \nu = 11 \). Also, such calculations may explain the observed values for \( \Gamma \) in the range of \( \nu = 13 \)–17.

V. CONCLUSION

The superior resolution of the novel VUV Fourier transform instrument is applied for a reinvestigation of the \( D-X \) absorption system in \( \text{H}_2 \). This system is a benchmark system for the study of predissociation in diatomic molecules with the special feature of pronounced Fano-type line shapes. In \( \text{H}_2 \), the smallest neutral molecular system, the widths and \( q \)-asymmetry parameters can be calculated in various first principles schemes to be compared at a high level of accuracy to experiment. The presently measured transition frequencies are the most accurate and signify an order of magnitude improvement in accuracy over previous studies. The data are extended up to the highest \( \nu = 17 \) vibrational level in the \( D \, ^1 \Pi_u \) state. The FT spectrometer has a far better resolution than that obtained by scanning monochromators, but with the measurement limited to direct absorption only, it cannot provide all the information of the interaction between light and molecules. In this way, the combination of the two experimental techniques, the FT absorption spectrum from SOLEIL and the scanning monochromator measurements from BESSYII, are complementary. This leads to an almost complete characterization of the molecular state.

The extracted predissociation widths are found to decrease up to \( \nu = 10 \) as expected from theory, with indication of a possible sudden increase in \( \Gamma \) at \( \nu = 11 \), which may be explained by the opening up of an additional predissociation channel associated with the \( B^r \overline{B} \) double well state. The smooth development of a decreasing \( \Lambda \)-doubling in the \( D \, ^1 \Pi_u \) state toward higher vibrational levels is consistent with the decrease in the predissociation rates and in excellent agreement with calculation for \( \nu = 0 \)–6; both phenomena have their origin in overlap with the \( B^r \, ^1 \Sigma_u^+ \) state. The positions of the \( D \, ^1 \Pi_u \) levels are measured to an accuracy of 0.06 cm\(^{-1}\), which is the most accurate to date. These data compare extremely well to previously calculated positions within the MQDT framework, suggesting an accuracy better than 1 cm\(^{-1}\) and providing further proof for its suitability for the modeling of such complex molecular processes at very high excitation energy in the molecule.
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**FIG. 8.** The deduced values of the predissociated widths \( \Gamma \) for the \( J = 1 \) level compared with other measured and calculated values currently available in the literature. The calculations of Julienne (Ref. 18) have been multiplied by a factor of 4 to correct for an error as specified by Fiquet-Fayard and Gallais (Ref. 20).
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**FIG. 9.** The deduced values of the predissociated widths \( \Gamma \) for the \( J = 2 \) level compared with other measured and calculated values currently available in the literature. Overlapping values have been slightly offset along the x-axis to make the error bars clearly visible.
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