Enhanced glioma-targeting and stability of $^L$GICP peptide coupled with stabilized peptide $^D$A7R
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Abstract Malignant glioma is usually accompanied by vigorous angiogenesis to provide essential nutrients. An effective glioma targeting moiety should include excellent tumor-cell homing ability as well as good neovasculature-targeting efficiency, and should be highly resistant to enzyme degradation in the bloodstream. The phage display-selected heptapeptide, the glioma-initiating cell peptide (GICP), was previously reported as a ligand for the VAV3 protein (a Rho-GTPase guanine nucleotide exchange factor), which is mainly expressed on glioma cells; the stabilized heptapeptide $^D$A7R has been shown to be the ligand of both vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) and neuropilin-1 (NRP-1), and has demonstrated good neovascular targeting ability. By linking $^D$A7R and GICP, a multi-receptor targeting molecule was obtained. The stability of these three peptides was evaluated and their targeting efficiency on tumor-related cells and models was compared. The ability of these peptides to cross the blood–tumor barrier (BTB) was also determined. The results indicate that the coupled Y-shaped peptide $^D$A7R–GICP exhibited improved tumor and neovasculature targeting ability and had higher efficiency in crossing the BTB than either individual peptide.
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1. Introduction

Glioblastoma, the most common and aggressive type of brain tumor, accounts for 29% of all primary brain and central nervous system tumors and 80% of malignant tumors. It is highly fatal with a median survival time of 15 months, with only 10% of patients having a 5-year overall survival. Conventional chemotherapy lacks selectivity after systemic administration, causing severe adverse effects. The current trend to develop glioma-targeting therapeutic strategies can minimize adverse effects, but most approaches focused only on killing tumor cells and decreasing systematic toxicity, ignoring the importance of inhibiting tumor angiogenesis, an essential component of tumor proliferation, evasion, and metastasis. Moreover, the abundant proteases in blood are the first barriers for drug delivery, with the blood–tumor barrier (BTB) the second physiological and enzymatic barrier. The most severe disadvantage of a peptide-based targeting moiety is that it can be degraded by peptides and enzymes in blood, but it has been demonstrated that stabilized peptides can accumulate in tumor tissue to a greater extent than un-stabilized peptides. In addition, because of BTB, circulating targeting molecules need to penetrate the neovasculature made up of vascular endothelia cells to reach the tumor. Therefore, targeting moieties must demonstrate efficient tumor-related cell and blood vessel uptake, as well as protease resistance.

In a previous report, VAV3 (a Rho-GTPase guanine nucleotide exchange factor) was shown to be upregulated in glioma cells, and especially glioma stem cells and its expression in U87MG cells was greater than in HUVECs (regarded as tumor related vascular endothelial cells), suggesting that VAV3 could be a potential target for glioma-cell targeting. The heptapeptide GICP (glioma-initiating cell peptide), consisting of all natural l-amino acids, was identified by phage display technique and shown to have a high affinity for the VAV3 protein. Due to its unique amino combination, GICP peptide cannot be optimized by a retro-inverse strategy that utilizes all d-amino acids in reverse sequence (unpublished results) but conjugation with a d-peptide could improve its enzymatic stability. D7R is a ligand of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) and neuropilin-1 (NRP-1), which is mainly and highly expressed by tumor neovasculature. Since 7R is a C-terminal peptide and the sequence of Pro-Arg plays a vital role in receptor recognition, retro-inversed D7R peptide was arranged such that the critical amino acids were exposed at the N-terminal when coupled to GICP to yield peptide D7R-GICP. The new targeting peptide was achieved by linking GICP and D7R by several glycines to form a Y-shaped multifunctional targeting moiety without affecting their individual affinity for their respective receptors.

In the present work, the stability of the three peptides to enzyme degradation was investigated and their targeting efficiencies were compared in U87MG cells, HUVECs and an ex vivo neovasculature model formed by HUVECs. A lysosome colocalization assay and quantitative measurement of the amount of peptide able to cross the BTB model were also conducted. The results indicated that the new Y-shaped peptide D7R–GICP exhibited better tumor and neovasculature targeting ability and had higher efficiency for crossing the BTB than GICP or D7R individually. The D7R–GICP may be a promising multi-receptor recognition peptide for glioma targeting.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Boc-protected α-amino acids were purchased from GL Biochem Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 4-Methylbenzhydrylamine resin HCl salt (rink amide MBHA resin) was from Xin’an Innovision Bioscience Co., Ltd. O-Benzotriazole-N,N,N,N’-tetramethyl-uronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) was purchased from American Bioanalytical Co., Ltd. (Natick, MA, USA). Dipropylethylamine (DIEA) was supplied by Sigma–Aldrich. 4,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was supplied by Roche (Basel, Switzerland). 5-Carboxyfluorescein (FAM) was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Fluorescein-5-maleimide was purchased from FanboBiochemicals (Beijing, China). LysoTracker®Red DND-99 was from Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY, USA). Matrigel was obtained from BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA, USA). All chemicals were analytic reagent grade.

U87MG glioblastoma cells and HUVECs were obtained from ATCC. All cells were cultured in special Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, GE Healthcare’s HyClone, USA) at 37 °C in a 5% CO2-humidified atmosphere.

2.2. Synthesis of peptides

GICP (SSQPFWS), D7R (DRDPDPDLDWDTDA) and D7R–GICP (DRDPDPDLPWWPRA–GGG–C–GGG–SSQPFWS) were synthesized via active ester chemistry to couple Boc-protected amino acid to the de-protected resin. A cysteine was added to the C-terminal of GICP and D7R to provide a thiol group in order to couple with imaging molecules. Crude products were precipitated with cold ether and purified by preparative C18 reversed-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC). After purification, GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP were labeled with fluorescein-5-maleimide via covalently conjugation. The products were purified by C18 RP-HPLC and molecular masses were ascertained by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS).

2.3. Peptide stability assay

GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP were dissolved in distilled water to the concentration of 1 mg/mL and 150 μL of each solution was incubated with 1350 μL 50% rat serum. Incubation was at 37 °C for 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12 h, after which 100 μL of reaction solution was taken out to mix with 20 μL 15% (w/v) trichloracetic acid aqueous solution to precipitate serum protein. The mixture was stored at 4 °C for 20 min and then centrifuged at 12.000 rpm (H1650-W, Xiangyi, Changsha, China) for 10 min. 20 μL of supernatant was analyzed via HPLC to quantify peptide hydrolysis.

2.4. Competitive inhibition assay

To determine if the addition of free D7R and GICP would influence the targeting efficiency of D7R–GICP peptides, a competitive inhibition assay was conducted on U87MG cells. Each peptide was tested for its ability to inhibit the cellular uptake of other fluorescein labeled peptides. U87MG cells were suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and pre-incubated with
peptide at 4 °C for 2 h, rinsed with PBS and further incubated with fluorescein-labeled peptide for 12 h, and then measured by flow cytometry.

2.5 Cellular uptake assay

To qualitatively assess the intracellular uptake of GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP peptides, U87MG cells and HUVECs were seeded in confocal dishes at a density of 5000 cells per well. Twenty-four hours after seeding, cells were incubated with FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, D7R, D7R–GICP for 2 h at the concentration of 5 μmol/L at 37 °C. The cells were rinsed with PBS and immobilized with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. Intracellular fluorescence was visualized by a laser scanning confocal microscope.

For quantitative measurement, U87MG cells and HUVECs were seeded in 12-well plates at a density of 10^5 cells per well. Twenty-four hours after seeding, the cells were incubated with FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP for 2 h at a concentration of 5 μmol/L at 37 °C. Fluorescence-positive cells were counted by flow cytometry.

2.6 Cellular uptake assay with serum pre-incubation

GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP peptides were pre-incubated with 50% rat serum for 1 h, and then incubated with U87 MG cells and HUVECs for 4 h. The other steps were as described in Section 2.4.

2.7 Lysosome colocalization assay

U87MG cells and HUVECs were seeded in confocal dishes at a density of 5000 cells per well. Twenty-four hours after seeding, cells were incubated with FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP for 2 h at a concentration of 5 μmol/L at 37 °C. The solution was discarded, LysoTracker®Red DND-99 diluent was added to the dishes to incubate for another half-hour. The cells were rinsed with PBS and immobilized with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. Lysosome colocalization was visualized by a laser scanning confocal microscope.

2.8 Neovascularure model uptake assay

A HUVEC cell suspension was plated on 24-well plates precoated by Matrigel for 12 h. After tube formation, 10μmol/L FAM, fluorescein-labeled GICP, fluorescein-labeled D7R and fluorescein-labeled D7R–GICP solution were given to the neovascular model for 1 h, then observed with a fluorescence microscope (DMI4000D, Leica, Germany). Semi-quantification was conducted with Image J software (National Institutes of Health, USA).

2.9 Tumor spheroid model penetration assay

U87MG cells were seeded in 48-well plates at the density of 4000 cells per well with the plates precoated with 150 μL of 2% (w/v) agarose to prepare the three-dimensional tumor spheroid model. The plates were gently shaken clockwise to make the cells clump and were incubated in a cell incubator for 7 days with 5% CO2 at 37 °C. The tumor spheroids were incubated with 20 μmol/L FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP solution for 4 h. The tumor spheroids were then rinsed with PBS (pH 7.4), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and observed under a laser scanning confocal microscope. Semi-quantification was conducted with Image J software.

2.10 Peptide crossing BTB assay

In order to evaluate the BTB transferability, a HUVECs/U87 coculture model was established as described previously. HUVECs were seeded in the apical chamber of a transwell and U87 cells were seeded into the basolateral chamber at a 1:5 HUVECs/U87 ratio. For quantitative measurement, three days after seeding, 30 μmol/L FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP solution were added in the apical compartment and after 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3 and 4 h of incubation at 37 °C, the transport ratio (%) was measured by microplate reader (Power Wave XS, Bio-TEK, USA). In order to better simulate the tumor environment, tumor spheroids were added into the basolateral chamber, 100 μL FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP solution were added into the apical compartment at a concentration of 30 μmol/L in DMEM with 10% FBS. After a 4 h incubation, tumor spheroids were observed via laser scanning confocal microscope and semi-quantification was conducted by Image J software (NIH, MD, USA).

2.11 In vivo peptide distribution assay

GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP peptides were labeled with near-infrared dye Cy7. A subcutaneous tumor model was constructed by inoculating 5×10^6 U87MG cells (suspended in 100 μL of PBS) into the axilla of each mouse’s right anterior limb. When the tumor size reached 0.8–1.0 cm³, 12 nude mice were randomly assigned into four groups. Free Cy7, GICP–Cy7, D7R–Cy7 and D7R–GICP–Cy7 at 0.01 μmol/L was injected through the tail vein. The fluorescent images were captured by an in vivo image system (VISUQE Invivo Elite, Gyeonggi Do Anyang, Korea) at 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after administration.

2.12 Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Statistically significant differences between the treatment groups was conducted by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni test; a P value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization and serum stability of peptides

GICP, D7R and D7R–GICP were synthesized via a standard Boc-protected solid phase peptide synthesis strategy. After purification by preparative C18 RP-HPLC, analytical HPLC was used to confirm that purity was greater than 95% for each peptide. The results of electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) indicated that the molecular weight of synthesized GICP, D7R, D7R–GICP peptide and fluorescein labeled GICP, D7R, D7R–GICP were 837.89 Da, 839.99 Da, 2105.31 Da, 1368.36 Da, 1370.26 Da and 2532.67 Da, respectively, which was consistent with the theoretical values, indicating that the peptides were correctly synthesized.

The serum stability assay was conducted in 50% rat serum at 37 °C to mimic the in vivo environment. As shown in Fig. 1,
3.2. Competitive inhibition of peptides

In order to determine whether GICP and \(^{3}\)A7R each contribute to the process of \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP internalization, a competitive inhibition experiment was carried out with U87MG cells. The fluorescein-labeled peptides could be efficiently internalized into U87MG cells. In contrast, after pre-incubation with GICP or \(^{3}\)A7R peptide, the internalization of \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP peptide was inhibited by up to 50%. Only pre-incubation with the coupled \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP peptide itself was able to fully prevent internalization. The results shown in Fig. 2 indicate that GICP and \(^{3}\)A7R in the \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP peptide work individually.

3.3. Cellular uptake of peptides

The cellular uptake efficiency of GICP, \(^{3}\)A7R, \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP molecules was evaluated with U87MG cells and HUVECs. The qualitative and the quantitative measurement of peptide uptake was conducted via flow cytometry and laser scanning confocal microscopy. The results are shown in Fig. 3; all three peptides can be taken up by U87MG cells and HUVECs, while FAM was barely absorbed. Because VAV3 is relatively overexpressed in tumor cells\(^{12}\), GICP peptide uptake was higher in U87MG cells than in \(^{3}\)A7R (Fig. 3A and C), whereas \(^{3}\)A7R was taken up more by HUVECs owing to higher expression of its receptor VEGFR and NRP-1 (Fig. 3B and D). However, the coupled GICP and \(^{3}\)A7R peptide showed better tumor and neovasculature targeting ability, which may result from more receptors for \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP peptide and its enhanced hydrophobicity caused by the seven amino-acids linker between \(^{3}\)A7R and GICP.

3.4. Cellular uptake assay with serum pre-incubation

In order to further validate the influence of serum stability on cellular uptake, the three peptides were pre-incubated with 50% rat serum for 1 h and then incubated with U87MG cells to evaluate uptake efficiency. The results shown in Fig. 4 indicate that enhanced enzymatic stability of \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP could maintain its uptake efficiency in both U87MG cells and HUVECs. In contrast, uptake of the non-stabilized peptide GICP was severely decreased by enzyme incubation.

3.5. Peptide colocalization with lysosomes

FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, \(^{3}\)A7R and \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP were incubated with U87MG cells and HUVECs for 2 h at a concentration of 5 \(\mu\)mol/L at 37 °C. Lysosomes were marked with LysoTracker. The images of Fig. 5 demonstrate that three peptides can colocalize with lysosomes in both U87MG cells and HUVECs. The intracellular distribution of the endocytosed GICP, \(^{3}\)A7R and \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP demonstrate that they are in the same pathway for endocytosis. Since HUVECs were adopted as the neovasculature model cell line, colocalization of peptides and lysosomes in HUVEC indicates that enzymatic stability in trans-BTB process may play a key role in peptide distribution in vivo. As a consequence, \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP, due to its multi-receptor and enhanced enzymatic stability, may lead to greater accumulation in tumors.

3.6. Tumor spheroid penetration

FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, \(^{3}\)A7R and \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP solution were added to the tumor spheroid incubations for 4 h. The images were captured under the same conditions by Z-stack mode of laser scanning confocal microscopy with an interval of 5 \(\mu\)m. As shown in Fig. 6, FAM could barely penetrate into the compact tumor cluster, only forming a slight fluorescent circle around tumor spheroid. GICP–fluorescein demonstrated slightly greater uptake than \(^{3}\)A7R–fluorescein, which was consistent with the U87 cellular uptake results. The images (Fig. 6A–D) were analyzed by Image J software and the semi-quantitative results of mean optical density are shown in Fig. 6E. Fluorescein labeled \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP exhibited better uptake and penetrating ability than the individual \(^{3}\)A7R and GICP peptides, which might due to its dual-receptor targeting. The data indicate that \(^{3}\)A7R–GICP could significantly enhance the penetration of single \(^{3}\)A7R or GICP peptide into tumor spheroids.
3.7. Neovasculature model uptake

The tubes formed by HUVECs on Matrigel were regarded as a neovasculature model, and 10 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \) FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R}\) and \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R–GICP}\) solution were added for 1 h. The images of Fig. 7 demonstrate that the uptake of GICP, \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R}\) and \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R–GICP}\) were increased successively, which was consistent with HUVEC cell uptake. Fig. 7B shows the semi-quantification result of fluorescent pictures in Fig. 7A, analyzed by Image J software: the mean optical density of FAM, fluorescein-labeled GICP, \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R}\) and \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R–GICP}\) are 1.30 ± 0.64, 172.47 ± 7.29, 207.34 ± 14.30 and 241.0 ± 12.17, respectively. The results demonstrate that the coupled peptide may have increased neovasculature targetting ability and may serve as a potential tumor and tumor-related blood vessel co-targeted moiety.

3.8. BTB crossing ability in a HUVEC/U87MG cell co-culture model

To quantitatively evaluate trans-BTB peptide transfer, the HUVEC/U87MG cells co-culture model was established as described in Section 2.8. Solutions of 30 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \) FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R}\) and \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R–GICP}\) were added to the apical compartment, and after 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3 and 4 h incubation at 37°C samples were taken from the basolateral chamber and measured, with results shown in Fig. 8A. At each time point, \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R–GICP}\) peptide was much more effectively transferred through BTB model than GICP or \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R}\) individually, which may result from its dual-receptor recognition and increased hydrophobicity. In order to better simulate the tumor environment, tumor spheroids were placed under the BTB layer and 30 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \) FAM and fluorescein-labeled GICP, \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R}\) and \(^\text{D}^\text{A7R–GICP}\) solution was added to the apical compartment and the amount...
of peptide accumulating in tumor spheroid was observed using the Z-stack mode of the laser scanning confocal microscope. In contrast to the studies where the peptides were directly incubated with tumor cluster, FAM showed no fluorescence (Fig. 8C) whereas GICP and DA7R displayed almost the same fluorescent intensity as seen in ex vivo tumor model, which was far less than DA7R–GICP. The images (Fig. 8C–F) were analyzed by Image J software; the data (Fig. 8B) further demonstrate the advantage of DA7R–GICP.

3.9. Peptide distribution in vivo

To evaluate the biodistribution of GICP, DA7R and DA7R–GICP peptide in nude mice bearing a subcutaneous U87MG tumor, twelve nude mice were randomly assigned into four groups. Free Cy7, GICP-Cy7, DA7R–Cy7 and DA7R–GICP-Cy7 were used as imaging probes and the mice were imaged with an IVIS system at different time points (Fig. 9). It appeared that DA7R–GICP peptide accumulated to a greater extent in the tumor region than the individual GICP and DA7R peptides, and reached a maximum at 1.5 h (Fig. 9B). After 2 h, the mice were killed and the organs were harvested for fluorescent semi-quantification (Fig. 9C). The results indicate that DA7R–GICP peptide could undergo significant accumulation in the tumors.

4. Conclusions

In summary, because of the different distributions of the VAV3, VEGFR2 and NRP-1 receptors in U87MG cells and HUVECs, the
Figure 5  Colocalization of endocytosed peptides and lysosomes. (A) Colocalization with U87MG cells. (B) Colocalization with HUVECs. The scale bar indicates 10 μm.

Figure 6  Penetration of (A) FAM, (B) GICP–fluorescein, (C) D7R–fluorescein and (D) D7R–GICP–fluorescein into U87MG tumor spheroids as observed by Z-stack mode laser scanning confocal microscopy with an interval of 5 μm. The scale bar indicates 100 μm. (E) Semiquantification of mean optical density (IOD/Area) in the tumor spheroid model. Data are presented as mean ± SD, n = 3; *P<0.05; **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.
Figure 7  (A) Uptake ability of GICP, $\text{DA7R}$ and $\text{DA7R} - \text{GICP}$ peptides in a HUVEC-formed neovasculature model. The scale bar indicates 500 μm. (B) Semiquantification of mean optical density (IOD/Area) in neovasculature model. (Three random fields were observed and analyzed; *$P<0.05$; **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$).

Figure 8  Qualitative and quantitative measurement of BTB crossing ability in a HUVEC/U87MG cell co-cultured model. (A) Transport ratios (%) of FAM, fluorescein-labeled GICP, $\text{DA7R}$ and $\text{DA7R} - \text{GICP}$ in the ex vivo BTB model. (B) Semiquantification of mean optical density (IOD/Area) in the trans-BTB tumor spheroid model. (C) FAM uptake in trans-BTB tumor spheroid model. (D) GICP–fluorescein uptake in the trans-BTB tumor spheroid model. (E) $\text{DA7R}$–fluorescein uptake in the trans-BTB tumor spheroid model. (F) $\text{DA7R} - \text{GICP}$–fluorescein uptake in the trans-BTB tumor spheroid model. The scale bar indicates 100 μm. Data are presented as mean ± SD, $n = 3$; *$P<0.05$; **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$. 

Enhanced glioma-targeting and stability of $\text{1GICP}$ peptide coupled with $\text{DA7R}$.
single receptor recognition peptides GICP and D\textsuperscript{A7R} were inefficient in glioma cell targeting. In contrast, the coupled Y-shaped peptide D\textsuperscript{A7R}–GICP exhibited higher tumor and tumor-related blood vessel accumulation, relatively improved the enzymatic stability compared with 1-peptide GICP, and significantly increased the transport ratio in an \textit{ex vivo} BTB model. These findings demonstrate that the D\textsuperscript{A7R}–GICP peptide is a multifunctional peptide that should mediate effective tumor and tumor-related neovascularature targeting.
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