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Abstract

Objective: To study photoreceptor changes after a successful macular hole surgery using adaptive optics.

Materials and Methods: Three patients who underwent a successful macular hole surgery were studied. Cone density, spacing, and number of nearest neighbors were analyzed at 2° and 4° of eccentricity in all four quadrants using adaptive optics.

Results: All three patients gained a visual acuity better than logMAR 0.477 (Snellen equivalent 6/18) at 6 months following successful macular hole surgery. Following successful closure of the macular hole, photoreceptors were appreciated at 2° and 4° of eccentricity from the center. However, as compared with the fellow normal eye, cell density was reduced significantly in the inferior (12,929.33 ± 2047.50 versus 23,839.67 ± 3711.16 cells/mm² at 2°) and temporal quadrant (13,890 ± 3424.26 versus 22,578.67 ± 5651.34 cells/mm² at 2°), and intercell spacing was increased significantly in inferior (9.6 ± 0.92 versus 7.14 ± 0.545 µm) and nasal quadrant (8.83 ± 0.39 versus 7.49 ± 0.42 µm). Number of nearest neighbors was unaffected after the hole closure.

Conclusion: Postoperative recovery of vision after successful closure of the hole occurs because of the migration or shifting of cells from parafoveal retina toward the center. Cells nearest to the hole margin (at 2° eccentricity) appear to shift more as compared with cells which are further away.

Keywords: adaptive optics, cell density, macular hole, photoreceptor

Introduction

Macular hole is defined as a full-thickness defect of the neurosensory retina at the anatomical fovea. Management includes surgical intervention which involves pars plana vitrectomy with internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling and intraocular gas tamponade. Although surgical closure can be achieved in more than 90% of patients within few days, it can take up to 2 years for maximum visual recovery. In addition, the recovery is quite variable with persistence of relative scotomas and metamorphosia in some patients. A detailed and better understanding of the changes occurring at the cellular level post macular hole surgery is required to understand the variability in visual recovery.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is an important noninvasive modality for diagnosing and confirming the closure of the hole in the postoperative period. Structural changes like integrity of inner segment–outer segment (IS–OS) junction and external limiting membrane (ELM) have been described to correlate with the visual acuity. OCT has a poor lateral resolution and is not able to provide sufficiently clear images of individual photoreceptor cells. Thus, it may not provide adequate insight into the causes of postoperative recovery.
persistent visual disturbances in eyes with closed macular hole. The poor lateral resolution is because of the presence of optical aberrations, which can be overcome by use of adaptive optics (AO).

AO is a technology used to improve the performance of optical systems by reducing the effect of wavefront distortions. It measures the distortions in a wavefront and compensates for them with a device that corrects those errors, such as a deformable mirror or a liquid crystal array.8,9

In this study, we used AO flood-illuminated technology (rtx1™; Imagine Eyes, Orsay, France) to study the cone density, spacing, and number of neighbors at 2° and 4° from the center after a successful hole closure.

Materials and methods
Three patients undergoing a successful macular hole surgery at our center were studied. The study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for publication of patient information and images for academic and research purpose.

All patients in this study underwent a comprehensive ophthalmologic examination at baseline and 6 months after surgical closure of macular hole. The following baseline parameters were assessed: best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), assessed with the Snellen chart and expressed as the logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution (logMAR); intraocular pressure; and axial length, assessed using an IOL Master (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA). At the baseline, we also performed a spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT; Zeiss Angioplex, Jena, Germany). At the six-month postoperative evaluation, we measured BCVA and performed SD-OCT and AO imaging of the operated eye (rtx1, Imagine Eyes). AO was also performed in the fellow eye, and this was taken as a control.

Surgical steps
All three patients underwent 25-G pars plana vitrectomy. After performing core vitrectomy, triamcinolone was injected and posterior vitreous detachment was induced. Peribulbar vitrectomy was completed. ILM was stained using 0.025% brilliant blue green (BBG) for 30 s. Inverted ILM flap technique was performed followed by 20% SF6 tamponade. Patients were given strict prone position for 3 days. Macular hole was clinically closed at 1-week follow-up in all three patients.

Retinal imaging with the flood-illuminated AO retinal camera (rtx1)
We acquired on each eye a series of images of the retina using a commercially available flood-illuminated AO retinal camera (rtx1, Imagine Eyes).10 A set of 40 raw images of the same retinal area was acquired at a rate of 9.5 frames per second, with an exposure time of 10 ms to form the final image. The final AO image was averaged in a 4° × 4° field (i.e. 1500 pixels × 1500 pixels). Images were acquired at 2° and 4° of eccentricity along the four meridians (nasal, temporal, superior, and inferior). The subject was asked to fixate on a yellow cross controlled by the operator. The rtx1 is based on a Shack–Hartmann wavefront sensor (Haso3-32; Imagine Optic, Orsay, France) illuminated at 780 nm and a deformable mirror (mirao 52-e; Imagine Eyes). Cones are automatically detected by a software provided by the manufacturer (AODetect Mosaic b13; Imagine Eyes). The background of the image is removed, and the histogram is stretched, then adaptive and multiple-scale digital filters are applied to the resulting image. The local maxima of the resulting filtered image were detected. Their spatial distribution was analyzed in terms of intercone spacing, local cell density, and number of nearest neighbors using Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi diagrams.11,12 Cone mosaic metrics (local density, spacing, and number of neighbors) were analyzed at 2° and 4°. Cones within the 2° central area (i.e. up to 1° from the center of the fovea) cannot be resolved because of the limit of resolution of the device.

Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann–Whitney) test was used as a statistical method to compare cone mosaic metrics at various degrees of eccentricity in operated and fellow eyes.

Results
All three patients were analyzed at 6 months after the surgery. All the patients had type 1 closure on SD-OCT. All three patients had a postoperative BCVA better than logMAR 0.477 (equivalent to Snellen visual acuity of 6/18) at 6-month follow-up.

The mean cone density in superior quadrant was 15,716 ± 283.92 and 11,965 ± 2985.63 cells/mm²,
in inferior quadrant was $12,929 \pm 2049.50$ and $12,893 \pm 5300.22$ cells/mm$^2$, in temporal quadrant was $13,890 \pm 3424.26$ and $12,338 \pm 1364$ cells/mm$^2$, and in nasal quadrant was $17,405 \pm 1580.25$ and $14,977 \pm 1049.87$ cells/mm$^2$ at 2° and 4° eccentricity, respectively. Spacing in superior quadrant was $8.72 \pm 0.18$ µm and $10.09 \pm 1.23$ µm, in inferior quadrant was $9.6 \pm 1.51$ µm and $9.9 \pm 1.5$ µm, in temporal quadrant was $9.28 \pm 1.20$ µm and $0.52 \pm 0.24$ µm, and in nasal quadrant was $8.83 \pm 0.39$ µm and $8.82 \pm 0.51$ µm at 2° and 4° eccentricity, respectively. On comparing with the control eyes, it was seen that cone density was significantly reduced in inferior and temporal quadrants at 2° ($p = 0.04$ and 0.04, respectively). Similarly, spacing between the cones was significantly increased at 2° in inferior and nasal quadrants ($p = 0.049$ and 0.04, respectively). At 4° also, the number was less than normal and the spacing was increased, but it did not reach statistical significance.

Based on Voronoi analysis, numbers of nearest neighbor cones were counted. It was seen that 87.23% and 83.73% in superior quadrant, 88.16% and 87.93% in temporal quadrant, 88.7% and 89.16% in nasal quadrant, and 85.16% and 86.9% in inferior quadrant had between five and seven neighbors at 2° and 4° of eccentricity, respectively. In comparison with the control eye, there was no difference in any of the quadrants, showing that arrangement of the cells is not significantly affected during closure of the macular hole.

Cone density, spacing, and number of nearest neighbors with five to seven neighbors of all three subjects are shown in Tables 1–3, respectively. In subject 3, analysis of the above parameters was not possible at 4° of eccentricity because of the poor image quality. Figure 1(a) and (b) shows AO imaging and Voronoi analysis done in patient 2 at 2° of eccentricity in temporal quadrant, respectively.

Preoperative and postoperative OCT images of patients 1, 2, and 3 are shown in Figure 2(a)–(f).

Table 4 shows detailed staging and characteristics of macular hole of all three patients.

### Table 1. Quadrantwise analysis of cell density in operated and fellow eyes.

| Subject | D2s | D4s | D2i | D4i | D2t | D4t | D2n | D4n |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Cell density (operated eye) | 15,397 | 14,268 | 10,573 | 11,225 | 14,355 | 12,525 | 17,438 | 14,067 |
| 2 | 15,810 | 13,036 | 14,275 | 18,827 | 10,257 | 10,890 | 18,969 | 14,740 |
| 3 | 15,941 | 8592 | 13,940 | 8628 | 17,058 | 13,600 | 15,809 | 16,126 |
| Cell density (fellow eye) | 19,686 | 14,791 | 27,224 | 15,417 | 28,840 | 20,119 | 22,942 | 13,356 |
| 2 | 14,187 | 11,192 | 19,871 | 11,970 | 17,856 | 13,667 | 18,776 | 13,667 |
| 3 | 26,604 | NC | 24,424 | NC | 21,040 | NC | 23,333 | NC |

D2i, cell density at 2° inferior; D2n, cell density at 2° nasal; D2s, cell density at 2° superior; D2t, cell density at 2° temporal; D4i, cell density at 4° inferior; D4n, cell density at 4° nasal; D4s, cell density at 4° superior; D4t, cell density at 4° temporal; NC, not able to capture.

Cell density expressed as cells/mm$^2$.

### Discussion

In this case series, we tried to evaluate the photoreceptor mosaic pattern after successful closure of macular hole on AO. This is, to our knowledge, first study evaluating photoreceptor density, spacing, and nearest neighbors on AO using flood-illuminated optics. Assessment was made after 6 months, which we believe is sufficient time period for structural remodeling of photoreceptor layers and stabilization of visual acuity. Our study showed that there was a reduction in cell density at 2° of eccentricity in all the quadrants, but it was in inferior and temporal quadrant that these values were significantly reduced. Even at 4° of eccentricity, there was reduction in cone density, though the values were not significant. Similarly,
The spacing between the cones was increased in all the quadrants, significantly more in inferior and nasal quadrant at 2° of eccentricity. This reduction in cell density and increased spacing is suggestive of probable migration of the cells from the parafoveal retina toward the center of the hole. Previous studies have also shown decreased cell density on AO at 6-month follow-up, but have correlated decreased cell density to photoreceptor disruption and loss. This is contrary to what has been proposed by Gass during the pathogenesis of hole formation. According to Gass, there is no photoreceptor loss during the hole formation, and the operculum tissue contains condensed hyaloid cortex. On the contrary, there are studies which have shown presence of photoreceptor elements in the operculum on immunocytochemical analysis. Our study shows that though the number of cells migrating toward the fovea does not reach the normal cell count, the number of nearest neighbors of these cells is similar to the normal pattern. This could indicate that

Table 2. Quadrantwise spacing of cells in operated and fellow eyes.

| Subject | S2i | S4i | S2s | S4s | S2t | S4t | S2n | S4n |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Spacing (operated eye) |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1       | 8.75| 9.48| 9.2 | 8.36| 10.53| 9.61| 9.21| 9.01|
| 2       | 8.9 | 9.29| 10.66| 9.98| 9.2 | 9.72| 8.42| 9.21|
| 3       | 8.53| 11.51| 8.94| 11.38| 8.13| 9.25| 8.86| 8.24|
| Spacing (fellow eye) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1       | 7.91| 8.98| 6.65| 8.91| 6.54| 7.8 | 7.26| 8.58|
| 2       | 9.14| 10.11| 7.73| 9.81| 8.31| 9.28| 7.98| 9.33|
| 3       | 6.74| NC | 7.06| NC | 7.61| NC | 7.24| NC |

NC, not able to capture; S2i, spacing at 2° inferior; S2n, spacing at 2° nasal; S2s, spacing at 2° superior; S2t, spacing at 2° temporal; S4i, spacing at 4° inferior; S4n, spacing at 4° nasal; S4s, spacing at 4° superior; S4t, spacing at 4° temporal. Spacing expressed as µm.

Table 3. Quadrantwise analysis of number of nearest neighbors in operated and fellow eyes.

| Subject | Nn2s | Nn4s | Nn2i | Nn4i | Nn2t | Nn4t | Nn2n | Nn4n |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Number of nearest neighbors (operated eye) |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1       | 90.3 | 86.7 | 84.2 | 92.2 | 83 | 83.3 | 90.2 | 91.5 |
| 2       | 89.7 | 85.2 | 88 | 90.7 | 88.4 | 93.6 | 89.2 | 86 |
| 3       | 81.7 | 79.3 | 83.3 | 77.8 | 93.1 | 86.9 | 86.7 | 90 |
| Number of nearest neighbors (fellow eye) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1       | 91.6 | 98.2 | 93.2 | 91.2 | 93.6 | 100.1 | 87.3 | 93.9 |
| 2       | 82.1 | 83.3 | 94.9 | 90 | 89.8 | 96.3 | 85.7 | 79.3 |
| 3       | 99 | NC | 85.2 | NC | 92.6 | NC | 86.2 | NC |

NC, not able to capture; Nn2i, number of nearest neighbors at 2° inferior; Nn2n, number of nearest neighbors at 2° nasal; Nn2s, number of nearest neighbors at 2° superior; Nn2t, number of nearest neighbors at 2° temporal; Nn4i, number of nearest neighbors at 4° inferior; Nn4n, number of nearest neighbors at 4° nasal; Nn4s, number of nearest neighbors at 4° superior; Nn4t, number of nearest neighbors at 4° temporal. Number of nearest neighbors expressed as percentage.
the redistribution of cells occurs to try and achieve a normal anatomical arrangement. This arrangement also has a correlation with the BCVA. All three of our patients had achieved a visual acuity better than logMAR 0.477. Patients 1 and 2 had a BCVA of logMAR 0.301 (Snellen equivalent of 6/18), and Patient 3 had a BCVA of logMAR 0.176 (Snellen equivalent of 6/12). Spacing and number of nearest neighbors were not evaluated by Ooto and colleagues in their study. On the contrary, the study by Hansen and colleagues has refuted cell migration by showing constant cell density and improvement in the cone mosaic pattern over serial AO. Improvement in mosaic pattern can be due to resolution of retinal edema and decreased tissue inflammation with time. Cell density was unchanged on serial AO imaging in this study. This could mean that cell migration ceases to happen after a particular time period. The cell migration could be a consequence of only a simple return in seat of photoreceptors that were displaced at the edge of the full-thickness macular hole (FTMH) or in addition there could be migration of the parafoveal photoreceptors toward the center of the closed hole. It could also be a combination of both. It is not possible to establish the exact nature of migration/shift from this study. A limitation of our study is that we did not do a serial AO imaging. Another interesting finding from our study is that despite a low cell density, as compared with the other eye, our patients had a good gain of vision. Thus, the absolute cone count does not linearly correlate with visual acuity. Other factors such as cone arrangement and cone-midget bipolar and ganglion cell ratio/interaction also need to be studied. We were unable to assess the ganglion cells with the AO machine used by us.

Our study has several limitations. First, we had a small sample size. This is due to difficulties encountered while capturing the AO images using flood-illuminated optics. Practically, it is difficult to simultaneously focus both at the center of the hole and various degrees of eccentricity due to different scanning depths at these points. Obtaining a good quality image also requires good central fixation which is usually poor in...
patients with operated macular hole. Thus, we included only these three patients for whom we felt that the images were of adequate quality and the cell counts were fairly interpretable. The standard deviation of some of the counts obtained is high. But this is due to the imaging limitation of present-generation AO technology. Second, we were unable to capture clearly individual cone photoreceptors in the foveal center. Third, AO imaging was not done preoperatively for these eyes, as again in the presence of a large central hole, it was not possible to capture good images. Last, serial AO imaging was not done, which can be helpful in better understanding of the

Table 4. Detailed staging and characteristics of macular hole of all three patients.

|                  | Gass staging | IVMTS staging | Minimum diameter (µm) | Type of closure | Pre-op visual acuity | Post-op visual acuity |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Patient 1        | Stage 2      | Stage 2       | 313                    | Type 1          | 4/60 (20/320)        | 6/18 [20/60]          |
| Patient 2        | Stage 4      | Stage 4       | 515                    | Type 1          | 6/60 (20/100)        | 6/18 [20/60]          |
| Patient 3        | Stage 3      | Stage 3       | 1068                   | Type 1          | 6/60 (20/100)        | 6/12 [20/40]          |

IVMTS, International Vitreomacular Traction Study.

Figure 2. (a), (c), and (e) Pre-op OCT of macular hole in patients 1, 2, and 3, respectively. (b), (d), and (f) Post-op OCT showing type 1 closure in patients 1, 2, and 3, respectively. OCT, optical coherence tomography.
microstructural changes during closure of the macular hole.

To conclude, we believe that postoperative recovery of vision after successful closure of the hole occurs because of the migration or shifting of cells from parafoveal retina toward the center. It is the cells nearest to the hole margin (at 2° eccentricity) which shift the most as compared with cells which are further away. The numbers of cells and their arrangement both have a bearing on visual recovery. Further studies with a larger sample size, serial follow-up, and further enhanced AO imaging platforms would add more to our understanding of the correlation of anatomical restoration to visual gain.
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