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Introduction

Thorough the last century, the gold standard for treating intracranial aneurysms (IAs) was microsurgical clipping until that the introduction of Guglielmi’s detachable coils (GDCs) in 1990 started a new chapter in the field of cerebrovascular surgery. Nevertheless, the first period of popularization of the endovascular technique led to significant controversies regarding the ideal management strategy for IAs, and the use of GDCs did not gain wide acceptance till the milestone publication of the International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) [1, 2]. Since then, the indications for clipping progressively refined and quickly became clear that not all IAs can be treated with GDCs as seen by a significant number of patients being excluded or crossed over from endovascular to surgical arms in some RCTs comparing the two techniques [1–3].
Aneurysm morphology and in particular wide neck or unfavorable dome-to-neck ratio as well as very small or very large size classically represent the less amenable angioarchitectural features for a definitive coiling entailing a higher risk of incomplete obliteration or recanalization.

Although these limitations have progressively reduced thanks to the increasing expertise and some technical refinements including balloon neck remodeling and stent assistance, clipping is still considered the best treatment for middle cerebral artery (MCA) aneurysms in many centers as it showed a higher safety and efficacy profile due to the specific anatomical characteristics of the MCA complex. In fact, despite MCA aneurysms are usually considered saccular in morphology, actually, they appear more similar to a bifurcation dysplasia, often with a large neck involving the origin of the collaterals, and their exclusion should be considered a bifurcation reconstruction rather than a simple aneurysm clipping. Their clipping have to guarantee at the same time exclusion of the sac, preservation of parent vessels ostia deformation, and perforators occlusion [4].

In this paper, we collected the recent experience of 5 Italian referral centers for cerebrovascular surgery on patients affected by MCA aneurysms undergoing surgical or endovascular treatment in order to compare short- and long-term clinical and neuroradiological outcomes.

Materials and methods

Population

We retrospectively reviewed 411 consecutive patients admitted to five Italian tertiary referral cerebrovascular centers between 2015 and 2019 with a diagnosis of ruptured or unruptured MCA aneurysms. All these patients underwent aneurysms securing through microsurgical clipping or endovascular clipping after the multidisciplinary cerebrovascular board consensus.

The selection of treatment for each case was based on characteristics of individual patients and aneurysms. In case of unruptured aneurysms, after the cerebrovascular board consensus, both modalities of treatment were presented to the patients and their preferences were also took into account. IRB approval was waived for this retrospective review of anonymous data.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were age ≥18 year-old and availability of complete clinical and radiological reports. The cases of complex MCA aneurysms such as giant (>25 mm) thrombosed and dissection were instead excluded from the study as considered special cases requiring complex and/or multiple interventions [5]. Finally, some few patients undergoing endovascular stenting or intra-aneurysmal devices releasing were excluded as they were not homogeneous by treatment with the vast majority of cases treated with coiling stand-alone. In case of patients with multiple aneurysms who underwent sequential treatments with multiple hospitalizations, we only consider that for the MCA aneurysm management.

By the analysis of all clinical and radiological reports, we retrieved the following:

1) Patients characteristics
   a. Demographics: age, sex, and ethnicity
   b. Hunt-Hess grading after SAH
   c. Evidence of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) and/or hydrocephalus at presentation
   d. Extended Glasgow outcome scale (eGOS) at discharge
   e. eGOS and modified Rankin scale (mRS) grading at last follow-up

2) Aneurysm characteristics:
   a. Size: maximum diameter; aspect ratio (aneurysm dome/neck diameter)
   b. Morphology: surface irregularities, presence of a blebs; collaterals originating from aneurysm dome
   c. Topography: side of MCA involvement
   d. Ruptured status
   e. Other: aneurysms multiplicity

3) Treatment-related characteristics:
   a. Type of treatment (surgical clipping or endovascular coiling)
   b. ICH removal
   c. Hydrocephalus treatment (external ventricular drainage or ventriculo-peritoneal shunt)
   d. Treatment-related complications

4) Radiological characteristics:
   a. Early occlusion after treatment (complete, partial, failed)
   b. Persistent occlusion/recanalization at follow-up (complete, partial, failed)
   c. Clinically silent stroke images

Surgical treatment

A standard pterional approach was performed in all cases of ruptured MCA aneurysms treated with clipping, while a minipterional craniotomy was adopted in 220 out of 248 (89%) unruptured aneurysms cases. Most of clipping
procedures were performed with intraoperative monitoring of motor and somatosensory evoked potentials. In clipping cases, the patency of the parent arteries, major branches, and visible perforators was confirmed with micro-Doppler flowmetry and/or indocyanine green videoangiography.

Endovascular treatment

All endovascular procedures were performed through direct aneurysm embolization with GDCs under general anesthesia, preceded by a diagnostic angiographic examination with 3D reconstructions. Biplane DSA was used instead of a single-plane according to the availability per center allowing simultaneous acquisitions of two projections thus reducing the number of contrast medium injections and procedural time and at the same time improving the spatial resolution of the exam.

Outcomes analysis

Demographic, clinical, and radiological data were retrieved from patients’ medical records.

Radiological data were re-assessed for each patient with the help of expert neuroradiologists. All the available CT-angiography, MR-angiography, and DSA archived in the five institutional PACS systems were analyzed.

Clinical outcome was assessed at discharge and at follow-up and measured through the eGOS (upper good recovery, uGR; lower good recovery, lGR; upper moderate disability, uMD; lower moderate disability, lMD; upper severe disability, uSD; lower severe disability, ISD; vegetative state, VS; death, D) and the mRS. Telephone interviews were performed to retrieve missing and follow-up data.

Outcomes comparison

Patients were dichotomized according to three main parameters: ruptured status, type of surgical treatment, and clinical outcome at discharge and follow-up. Then, we compared between the two groups the most important demographic, clinical, and radiological parameters in order to identify the significant differences. For patients with SAH, good outcome was considered eGOS upper/lower GR or upper/lower MD, whereas for patients treated for unruptured aneurysms, a good outcome was limited to eGOS upper or lower GR.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and the Student t-test was used to compare their means. If the equal variance assumption was violated, a Welch test instead of a Student’s test was used. Chi-squared test or, when more appropriate, the Fisher exact test (two-sided) were used to compare the categorical variables.

A multivariate analysis was performed through a binomial logistic regression model assuming clinical outcome at discharge and follow-up as depending variable and significant variables at univariate statistics as independent ones, distinguishing between ruptured and unruptured aneurysms.

Similarly, a multivariate model was developed to investigate those independent variables associated with radiological outcome dichotomized as complete or non-complete occlusion at both early control and follow-up.

Significance level was set at 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using JASP 0.16.1.

Results

Comparison between patients treated with clipping and coiling

Demographic, clinical, and angioarchitectural characteristics of the included patients/aneurysms are reported in Table 1.

We included 411 patients treated for the same number of MCA aneurysms. Among them, 340 (83%) underwent clipping, whereas 71 (17%) underwent coiling stand-alone. Considering the whole patients sample including patients with ruptured and unruptured aneurysms, no significant differences were observed in age, sex, aneurysms size, and topography between the two groups, whereas a significantly higher number of patients undergoing coiling were ruptured and most of those treated with surgery were unruptured. A dysplastic morphology, particularly when characterized by the presence of collateral branches originating from aneurysm neck/dome (21% out of cases), represented a key criteria to prefer a clipping reconstruction ($p = 0.004$).

An early radiological assessment after treatment was obtained in 397 cases. A complete occlusion was observed in 304 out of 331 patients (92%) undergoing clipping and in 47 out of 66 (71%) undergoing coiling ($p < 0.001$).

At the mean radiological follow-up of about $23 \pm 17$ months, which was obtained in 343 patients, a complete occlusion was still observed in 268 out of those undergoing clipping (91%), while it decreased at 33 out of 49 (67%) among those undergoing coiling ($p < 0.001$).

When separately considered patients with ruptured and unruptured aneurysms, some significant group-specific differences emerged especially among patients with ruptured aneurysms. In fact, a higher number of aneurysms with dysplastic morphology and unfavorable angioarchitectural features preferably underwent clipping. Likewise, the incidence of treatment-related neurological complications (symptomatic ischemia) appeared more common among patients with ruptured aneurysms undergoing clipping,
Table 1 Comparison between patients treated with clipping and coiling

|                                | Unruptured aneurysms | Ruptured aneurysms | Ruptured + unruptured |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|                                | Total n = 248 (60%)   | Total n = 163 (40%)| Total n = 411         |
|                                | Clipping n = 234 (94%)| Coiling n = 14 (6%)| Clipping n = 106 (65%)| Coiling n = 57 (35%)| Clipping n = 340 (83%)| Coiling n = 71 (17%)| p-value |
| Age ± SD in years              | 59 ± 10.5             | 55 ± 12.7          | ns                    | 55.7 ± 13.4          | 58.9 ± 13.6          | ns                    | 58.1 ± 11.5          | 58.2 ± 13.5          | ns          |
| Male sex                       | 56 (24%)              | 7 (50%)            | 0.03                  | 27 (25%)             | 15 (26%)             | ns                    | 83 (24%)             | 22 (31%)             | ns          |
| Topography                     | Right side            | 132 (56%)          | 8 (57%)              | 61 (58%)             | 37 (65%)             | ns                    | 193 (57%)            | 45 (63%)             | ns          |
|                                | Left side             | 102 (44%)          | 6 (43%)              | 45 (42%)             | 20 (35%)             | ns                    | 147 (43%)            | 26 (37%)             | ns          |
| Ruptured status                |                       |                    |                      |                      |                      |                       | 106 (31%)            | 57 (80%)             | <.001       |
|                                | Unruptured            | -                  | ns                    | -                    | -                    | -                     | 234 (69%)            | 14 (20%)             | ns          |
| Mean size ± SD in mm           | 6.6 ± 4               | 9 ± 5.3            | 0.03                  | 7.9 ± 4.2            | 7.2 ± 4.7            | ns                    | 7 ± 4.1 mm           | 7.6 ± 4.8 mm          | ns          |
| Mean AR ± SD                   | 2.3 ± 3.4             | 2.2 ± 0.7          | ns                    | 2 ± 0.9              | 2 ± 0.6              | ns                    | 2.2 ± 2.4            | 2 ± 0.6              | ns          |
| Collaterals originating from aneurysm neck/dome | 57 (24%)          | 2 (14%)            | ns                    | 24 (23%)             | 4 (7%)               | 0.01                  | 81 (24%)             | 6 (8%)               | 0.004       |
| Multiple aneurysms             | 103 (44%)             | 5 (36%)            | ns                    | 44 (42%)             | 14 (25%)             | 0.03                  | 147 (43%)            | 19 (27%)             | 0.01        |
| Blebs                          | 65 (28%)              | 3 (21%)            | ns                    | 51 (58%)             | 20 (35%)             | ns                    | 116 (34%)            | 23 (32%)             | ns          |
| Hydrocephalus treatment        | Transient EVD         | -                  | -                    | 27 (25%)             | 7 (12%)              | 0.04                  | 27 (8%)              | 7 (10%)              | ns          |
|                                | Permanent shunt       | 5 (2%)             | ns                    | 13 (12%)             | 8 (14%)              | ns                    | 18 (5%)              | 8 (11%)              | ns          |
| Treatment-related neurological complications | 19 (8%)             | 0                  | ns                    | 21 (20%)             | 3 (5%)               | 0.01                  | 40 (12%)             | 3 (4%)               | ns          |
| Clinically silent radiologic stroke | 22 (9%)             | 1 (7%)             | ns                    | 7 (6.5%)             | 11 (19%)             | 0.01                  | 29 (8.5%)            | 11 (15.5%)            | ns          |
| Treatment related general complications | 10 (4%)             | 0                  | ns                    | 14 (13%)             | 3 (5%)               | ns                    | 24 (7%)              | 3 (4%)               | ns          |
| Occlusion at discharge (total = 397) | complete             | 212 (92%)          | 10 (84%)             | 0.01                 | 92 (91%)             | 37 (69%)              | <.001                | 304 (92%)            | 47 (71%)              | <.001       |
|                                | partial               | 18 (8%)            | 2 (16%)              | 9 (9%)               | 17 (31%)             | 27 (8%)               | 19 (29%)             |                      |                      |
|                                | failed                | 0                  | 0                    | 0                    | 0                    | 0                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Occlusion at follow-up (total = 343) | complete             | 197 (92%)          | 9 (82%)              | ns                    | 72 (90%)             | 24 (63%)              | <.001                | 268 (91%)            | 33 (67%)              | <.001       |
|                                | partial               | 18 (8%)            | 2 (18%)              | 8 (10%)              | 14 (37%)             | ns                    | 26 (9%)              | 16 (33%)             | ns          |
|                                | failed                | 0                  | 0                    | 0                    | 0                    | 0                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Radiological follow-up in months (mean ± SD) | 22.7 ± 16.6          | 28.2 ± 19.5        | ns                    | 26.4 ± 20            | 16.7 ± 9.4           | <.001                 | 24 ± 17              | 19 ± 13              | ns          |

Legend: *Welch’s unequal variances t test has been applied instead of Student’s t test as Levene’s test was significant (p < 0.05), suggesting a violation of the equal variance assumption; AR, aspect ratio
whereas clinically silent radiologic strokes were more frequent among those underwent coiling. On the other hand, the occurrence of treatment-related general complications, such as wound infection and functional limitation during mastication as regards to patients undergoing operation, and dissection of femoral artery or femoral pseudoaneurysms for those underwent endovascular approach was not significantly different between the two groups.

Finally, as regards to the radiological outcome, the superiority in long-term occlusion rate observed among the aneurysms undergoing clipping was evident at follow-up only in the subgroup of ruptured aneurysm probably because these cases presented more complex morphological characteristics compared with the unruptured aneurysms group.

**Comparison between patients with ruptured and unruptured aneurysms**

Patients were divided according to aneurysms ruptured status (Table 2). Among the 411 included patients, 248 (60%) harbored incidental unruptured aneurysms, while 163 (40%) had a ruptured MCA aneurysms with history of SAH at presentation.

The two groups were similar according to age, sex, and side distribution, whereas a significant difference was observable in terms of size as ruptured aneurysms were on average larger (7.7 ± 4.4 mm) and then unruptured ones (6.7 ± 4.1 mm). The frequency of blebs was also significantly higher in ruptured aneurysms (43% Vs 27%).

Patients with SAH had a median of Hunt-Hess score (IQR) at presentation of 3 ± 3 points. Among them, about 40% showed an associated intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), which required evacuation in 32% out of cases.

A picture of hydrocephalus at presentation was present in about 65% of patients at the first CT-scan. Among them, about 34% needed an acute CSF diversion, which remained transient in 21% and was converted in a ventriculo-peritoneal shunt in 13%. The need of permanent shunts after clipping procedure occurred only in 2% among the unruptured MCA aneurysms (p < 0.001).

Similarly, the incidence of systemic (mainly infectious) and treatment-related (symptomatic strokes) complications appeared significantly higher in the ruptured aneurysms group, whereas the occurrence of the clinically silent radiologic strokes that was observed in about 10% out of cases was almost equally distributed between the two groups.

Surgery was in general the preferred treatment in 83% out of patients, with a significant prevalence among unruptured aneurysms compared with coiling (94% vs 65%), which was instead mainly adopted in case of ruptured aneurysms (35% vs 6%).

A global difference in clinical outcome at eGOS scale was recorded at discharge between the two groups, which was still observed at last mean follow-up of about 23 ± 16 months, at both eGOS and mRS scores.

**Comparison between patients with good and poor outcome**

Patients were also dichotomized according to clinical outcome at discharge and follow-up differentiating between ruptured (Table 3) and unruptured (Table 4) aneurysms.

Among patients with ruptured aneurysms, those showing a good clinical outcome at discharge and follow-up were in general younger and showed a significantly worse clinical status (lower Hunt-Hess grading) after SAH.

Similarly, the occurrence of ICHs requiring evacuation and major treatment-related complications such as strokes was associated with a worse outcome both at short- and long-term follow-up.

On the other hand, no significant association among morphology, topography, and rate of occlusion was observed with clinical outcome, except for the presence of collaterals/perforators originating from aneurysms dome/neck, which increased the risk of ischemic complications and negatively influenced the short-term outcome (Table 3).

Among patients with unruptured aneurysms, the occurrence of treatment-related complications such as ischemia and hydrocephalus was the only reasons associated with a postoperative poor outcome both at discharge and at last follow-up that was on average of 23 ± 17 months (Table 4).

**Cosmetic and functional outcome**

Overall personal satisfaction was high in our patients, and most of them emphasized that they were more psychologically relaxed since they had no more risk of aneurysm rupture. As regards the persistence of mastication disorders, most of patients treated with minipterional approach (196 out of 220; 89%) showed complete functional restoration without masticatory pain at follow-up. On the other hand, 13 of 28 patients treated with standard pterional approach still complained of a slight pain during mastication and 7 had functional limitations in mouth opening at follow-up, compared with only 2 in the minipterional group.

From an esthetical point of view, a marked muscle temporal atrophy was rarely observed among patients approached with a minipterional craniotomy, and only 5% of them, which were pretty thin and with little hair, as well as about 20% of those treated with standard pterional craniotomy complained a subjective perception facial asymmetry.

**Multivariate analysis**

According to our multivariate model (Table 5), older age, severity of clinical status at admission (Hunt-Hess), and
occurrence of treatment-related complications were independently associated with poor outcome at discharge in patients with ruptured aneurysms, but the role of the complications (mainly ischemic) appeared no more significant at mean follow-up.

The occurrence of hydrocephalus as a treatment-related complication was instead the only variable independently associated with poor outcome at discharge, but not at follow-up, among patients treated for unruptured aneurysms.
Table 3 Ruptured aneurysms: comparison between good and poor clinical outcome at discharge and at last follow-up according to Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale

|                          | Good outcome at discharge | Poor outcome at discharge | Total n=163 | p-value | Good outcome at follow-up | Poor outcome at follow-up | Total n=144 | p-value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age ± SD in years        |                           |                          |             |         |                           |                          |             |         |
| n=99 (61%)               |                           |                          |             |         |                           |                          |             |         |
| Male sex                 | 24 (24%)                  | 18 (28%)                 | 42 (26%)    | ns      | 26 (26%)                  | 12 (27%)                 | 38 (26%)    | ns      |
| Topography               |                           |                          |             |         |                           |                          |             |         |
| Right side               | 59 (60%)                  | 39 (61%)                 | 98 (60%)    | ns      | 60 (60%)                  | 26 (59%)                 | 86 (60%)    | ns      |
| Left side                | 40 (40%)                  | 25 (39%)                 | 65 (40%)    | ns      | 40 (40%)                  | 18 (41%)                 | 58 (40%)    | ns      |
| Mean size ± SD in mm     | 7.2 ± 4.4                 | 8.4 ± 4.5                | 7.7 ± 4.4   | ns      | 7.6 ± 4.5                 | 7.7 ± 4.2                | 7.7 ± 4.4   | ns      |
| Mean AR ± SD             | 1.9 ± 1                   | 2.2 ± 0.5                | 2 ± 0.8     | ns      | 2 ± 1                     | 2.1 ± 0.7                | 2 ± 0.9     | ns      |
| Collaterals from neck/dome| 12 (12%)                  | 16 (25%)                 | 28 (17%)    | 0.03    | 14 (14%)                  | 12 (27%)                 | 26 (18%)    | ns      |
| Multiple aneurysms        | 36 (36%)                  | 22 (34%)                 | 58 (36%)    | ns      | 36 (36%)                  | 15 (34%)                 | 51 (35%)    | ns      |
| Blebs                    | 45 (45%)                  | 26 (41%)                 | 71 (44%)    | ns      | 45 (45%)                  | 22 (50%)                 | 67 (47%)    | ns      |
| Mean Hunt-Hess score ± SD| 2.4 ± 1.3                 | 4 ± 1.2                  | 3 ± 1.5     | <0.001  | 2.5 ± 1.3                 | 3.75 ± 1.3               | 2.9 ± 1.4   | <0.001  |
| ICH at presentation       | 35 (35%)                  | 47 (73%)                 | 82 (50%)    | <0.001  | 35 (35%)                  | 33 (75%)                 | 68 (47%)    | <0.001  |
| Hydrocephalus at presenta- | 22 (22%)                  | 19 (30%)                 | 41 (25%)    | ns      | 19 (19%)                  | 15 (34%)                 | 34 (24%)    | ns      |
| tion treatment           |                           |                          |             |         |                           |                          |             |         |
| Treatment                |                           |                          |             |         |                           |                          |             |         |
| Clipping                 | 63 (64%)                  | 43 (67%)                 | 106 (65%)   | ns      | 68 (68%)                  | 29 (66%)                 | 97 (67%)    | ns      |
| Coiling                  | 36 (36%)                  | 21 (33%)                 | 57 (35%)    | ns      | 32 (32%)                  | 15 (34%)                 | 47 (33%)    | ns      |
| ICH removal              | 18 (18%)                  | 34 (53%)                 | 52 (32%)    | <0.001  | 17 (17%)                  | 24 (55%)                 | 41 (28%)    | <0.001  |
| Treatment-related Complications | 3 (3%)                  | 21 (33%)                 | 24 (15%)    | <0.001  | 7 (7%)                    | 10 (23%)                 | 17 (12%)    | 0.007   |
| Hydrocephalus treatment  | EVD                       | 18 (18%)                 | 16 (25%)    | 34 (21%) | ns                        | 16 (16%)                 | 10 (23%)    | 26 (18%) | ns      |
| V-P shunt                | 13 (13%)                  | 8 (12.5%)                | 21 (13%)    | ns      | 11 (11%)                  | 9 (20%)                  | 20 (14%)    | ns      |
| Early Occlusion          |                           |                          |             |         |                           |                          |             |         |
| Complete                 | 76/93 (82%)               | 53/62 (85.5%)            | 129/155 (83%)| ns       | 80/96 (83%)               | 34/42 (81%)              | 114/138 (83%)| ns       |
| Partial                  | 17/93 (18%)               | 9/62 (14.5%)             | 26/155 (17%)| ns       | 16/96 (17%)               | 8/42 (19%)               | 24/138 (17%)| ns       |
| Occlusion at follow-up   |                           |                          |             |         |                           |                          |             |         |
| Complete                 | 69/87 (79%)               | 27/31 (87%)              | 96/118 (81%)| ns       | 71/90 (79%)               | 25/28 (89%)              | 96/118 (81.3%)| ns       |
| Partial                  | 18/87 (21%)               | 4/31 (13%)               | 22 (19%)    |         | 19/90 (21%)               | 2/28 (11%)               | 22/118 (18.7%)|         |

Legend: Good outcome = upper or lower Good Recovery/ upper or lower moderate disability; poor outcome = upper or lower severe disability/vegetative state/death; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; EVD, external ventricular drainage; V-P, ventriculo-peritoneal; AR, aspect ratio

Treatment was significantly associated with aneurysm obliteration at both early radiological control and at last follow-up (Table 6). Indeed, coiling had an odds ratio for non-complete obliteration of 247.5 (95% CI: 10.2–6008.4; p < 0.001) at early controls and of 155.157 (95% CI: 7.3–3309.2; p = 0.001) at last follow-up. Other factors associated with non-complete early obliteration were size and presence of collaterals, with size maintaining statistical significance at follow-up.

Discussion

In 2002, the ISAT results showed that the outcomes (absolute risk reduction in dependency or death) of endovascular coiling were significantly better than those of microsurgical treatment after 1 year of follow-up in cases of ruptured aneurysms [1]. This evidence progressively resulted in changing the preferred treatment for most of IAs from microsurgical clipping to endovascular coiling in several neurosurgical centers. Furthermore, despite the ISAT results were restricted to ruptured IAs and MCA aneurysms were underrepresented, the paradigm of “coiling first” gradually established regardless of aneurysms topography and the ruptured status.

However, though the advances in endovascular techniques observed over the last 20 years, surgical clipping still represents the gold standard for MCA aneurysm treatment in all experienced tertiary referral cerebrovascular centers, since several studies continue to support the superiority of open surgery in obtaining complete aneurysm occlusion with distal flow preservation, lower risk of perforator thromboembolism, and hemorrhagic infarction [6].

Moreover, lower risk of long-term recurrence and reduced probability of retreatment have also been associated with surgical clipping compared with coiling [7].
In agreement, in our multicenter series, about 83% of patients still underwent surgery, while coiling was preferred only in 17% out of cases, mostly ruptured.

Recently, a Middle Cerebral Artery Aneurysm Trial (MCAAT) started with the goal to compare results of clipping versus any endovascular management (including coiling, stent-assisted coiling and flow diversion) of ruptured and unruptured MCA aneurysms, while waiting for a randomized evidence, which is still missing [8]. MCAAT aims to provide a transparent care trial context for clinicians to optimally manage MCA aneurysms patients, by offering each one a 50% chance of being treated with clipping and a 50% chance of being treated with more recent, less-invasive but unproven endovascular options. In fact, the lack of convincing evidence in the neurovascular literature does not allow for rigid treatment protocols, and the choice of endovascular or surgical strategy is nowadays set accordingly to local expertise and clinical judgment.

From an anatomical point of view, despite MCA aneurysms are usually considered of saccular morphology, actually they are more similar to bifurcation dysplasia, often with large neck involving the origin of the main collaterals or small perforators. Accordingly, their treatment should be considered as a bifurcation reconstruction rather than a simple aneurysm clipping. During this complex reconstruction, exclusion of the sac, preservation from deformation of the parent vessels ostia, and avoidance of perforators occlusion have to be guaranteed at the same time adopting a fine dissection and respecting specific clipping rules [4, 9–11].

In our experience, the presence of collaterals originating from aneurysm neck/dome was in fact one the main reasons to favor open surgery in respect to coiling, especially in unruptured cases.

Nevertheless, as well as in peripheral hospitals, a gradual shift towards an endovascular approach for MCA aneurysms has also recently begun to be observed in larger referral centers. This is mainly due to two key-reasons: the progressive advances in endovascular instrumentations and the refinements in the technique, such as the balloon neck remodeling and stent assistance, in coiling procedures, as well as the parallel decline of the neurovascular expertise among neurosurgeons [12–15].

---

**Table 4** Unruptured aneurysms: comparison between good and poor clinical outcome at discharge and at last follow-up according to Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale

|                          | Good outcome at discharge | Poor outcome at discharge | Total n=248 | p-value | Good outcome at follow-up | Poor outcome at follow-up | Total n=247 | p-value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age ± SD in years        | 58.7±10.7                 | 62.1±9.3                  | 58.9±10.6   | ns      | 58.7±10.7                 | 62.8±7.6                  | 58.9±10.6   | ns      |
| Male sex                 | 58 (25%)                  | 5 (36%)                   | 63 (25%)    | ns      | 60 (25%)                  | 3 (37.5%)                 | 63 (25.5%)  | ns      |
| Topography               | Right side                | 9 (64%)                   | 140 (56%)   | ns      | 133 (56%)                 | 6 (75%)                   | 139 (56%)   | ns      |
| Mean size ± SD in mm     | 6.7±3.1                   | 10.2±11.2                 | 6.7±4       | ns*     | 6.7±4                     | 6.7±3.9                   | 6.7±4       | ns      |
| Mean AR ± SD             | 2.3±3.3                   | 1.7±0.6                   | 2.2±3.1     | ns      | 2.3±3.2                   | 1.4±0.6                   | 2.3±3.1     | ns      |
| Collaterals from neck/dome | 54 (23%)                  | 5 (36%)                   | 59 (24%)    | ns      | 54 (23%)                  | 4 (50%)                   | 58 (23%)    | ns      |
| Multiple aneurysms       | 102 (44%)                 | 4 (36%)                   | 108 (44%)   | ns      | 103 (43%)                 | 4 (50%)                   | 107 (43%)   | ns      |
| Blebs                    | 66 (28%)                  | 2 (14%)                   | 68 (27%)    | ns      | 67 (28%)                  | 1 (12.5%)                 | 68 (28%)    | ns      |
| Treatment                | Clipping                  | 220 (94%)                 | 14 (100%)   | ns      | 225 (94%)                 | 8 (100%)                  | 233 (94.3%) | ns      |
|                        | Coiling                   | 14 (16%)                  | 0           | ns      | 14 (6%)                   | 0                         | 14 (5.7%)   | ns      |
| Treatment-related complica- | tions                   | 6 (2.6%)                  | 13 (9.3%)   | <.001   | 10 (4.2%)                 | 8 (100%)                  | 18 (7.3%)   | <.001   |
| Hydrocephalus treatment  | EVD                       | 0                         | 0           | 0       | 0                         | 0                         | 0           | -       |
|                        | V-P shunt                 | 2 (0.8%)                  | 3 (21%)     | <.001   | 2 (0.8%)                  | 3 (37.5%)                 | 5 (2%)      | <.001   |
| Early occlusion          | Complete                  | 209/228 (92%)             | 13/14 (93%) | ns      | 214/233 (92%)             | 7/8 (87.5%)               | 221/241 (92%) | ns      |
|                        | Partial                   | 19/228 (8%)               | 1/14 (7%)   | ns      | 19/233 (8%)               | 1/8 (12.5%)               | 20/241 (8%) | ns      |
| Occlusion at follow-up   | Complete                  | 194/213 (91%)             | 12/13 (92%) | ns      | 199/218 (91%)             | 7/8 (87.5%)               | 206/226 (91%) | ns      |
|                        | Partial                   | 19/213 (9%)               | 1/13 (8%)   | 20/226 (9%) | 19/218 (91%)             | 1/8 (12.5%)               | 20/226 (9%) | ns      |

Legend: * Welch’s unequal variances t test has been applied instead of Student’s t test as Levene’s test was significant (p <.05), suggesting a violation of the equal variance assumption; good outcome = upper/lower good recovery; poor outcome = upper/lower moderate disability/upper/lower severe disability/vegetative state/death; EVD, external ventricular drainage; V-P, ventriculo-peritoneal; AR, aspect ratio
### Table 5  Binomial logistic regression: outcomes (good or poor) according to extended Glasgow Outcome Scale

| Dependent variable               | Covariates                     | Odds ratio | p-value | 95% confidence interval Lower bound | Upper bound |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                 |                                |            |         |                                     |             |
| Ruptured aneurysms              |                                |            |         |                                     |             |
| **Outcome at discharge**        | Age                            | 1.061      | 0.002   | 1.022                               | 1.102       |
|                                 | Hunt-Hess Score                | 2.029      | <.001   | 1.454                               | 2.832       |
|                                 | Collaterals (y)                | 2.816      | 0.093   | 0.843                               | 9.411       |
|                                 | ICH (y)                        | 1.849      | 0.295   | 0.585                               | 5.841       |
|                                 | ICH surgery (y)                | 1.734      | 0.360   | 0.533                               | 5.640       |
|                                 | Treatment related complications (y) | 9.964 | 0.003   | 2.225                               | 44.612      |
| **Outcome at follow-up**        | Age                            | 1.066      | <.001   | 1.030                               | 1.104       |
|                                 | Hunt-Hess Score                | 1.748      | <.001   | 1.279                               | 2.389       |
|                                 | ICH (y)                        | 1.946      | 0.235   | 0.649                               | 5.835       |
|                                 | ICH surgery (y)                | 2.569      | 0.095   | 0.847                               | 7.788       |
|                                 | Treatment related complications (y) | 2.750 | 0.091   | 0.849                               | 8.907       |
| Unruptured aneurysms            |                                |            |         |                                     |             |
| **Outcome at discharge**        | Hydrocephalus treatment (shunt) | 0.600      | 0.639   | 0.071                               | 5.059       |
|                                 | Treatment related complications (y) | 570.000 | <.001   | 58.246                             | 5578.088    |
| **Outcome at follow-up**        | Hydrocephalus treatment (shunt) | 2.000      | 0.513   | 0.250                               | 15.991      |
|                                 | Treatment related complications (y) | 4.7369 | 0.994   | 0.000                               | ∞           |

Poor outcome coded as class 1. Poor outcome in ruptured aneurysms = upper and lower severe disability/vegetative state/death. Poor outcome in unruptured aneurysms = upper and lower moderate disability/severe disability/vegetative state/death

### Table 6  Binomial logistic regression: radiological outcome (complete or non-complete obliteration) at early control and at last follow-up

| Dependent variable               | Covariates                     | Odds ratio | p-value | 95% confidence interval Lower bound | Upper bound |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                 |                                |            |         |                                     |             |
| **Early obliteration**          | Age                            | 1.053      | 0.225   | 0.969                               | 1.144       |
|                                 | Male gender                    | 3.192      | 0.287   | 0.378                               | 26.969      |
|                                 | Left side                      | 0.476      | 0.475   | 0.062                               | 3.644       |
|                                 | Hunt-Hess Score                | 1.552      | 0.240   | 0.745                               | 3.235       |
|                                 | Size in mm                      | 1.291      | 0.004*  | 1.087                               | 1.534       |
|                                 | Aspect ratio                    | 0.632      | 0.497   | 0.168                               | 2.379       |
|                                 | Presence of collaterals        | 31.749     | 0.006*  | 2.739                               | 367.992     |
|                                 | Presence of blebs               | 3.235      | 0.187   | 0.565                               | 18.540      |
|                                 | ICH (y)                         | 2.208      | 0.509   | 0.211                               | 23.130      |
|                                 | Treatment (coiling)             | 247.548    | <.001*  | 10.199                              | 6008.422    |
| **Obliteration at follow-up**   | Age                            | 1.078      | 0.092   | 0.988                               | 1.176       |
|                                 | Male gender                    | 1.908      | 0.465   | 0.337                               | 10.792      |
|                                 | Left side                      | 3.286      | 0.244   | 0.443                               | 24.364      |
|                                 | Hunt-Hess Score                | 1.204      | 0.612   | 0.588                               | 2.464       |
|                                 | Size in mm                      | 1.229      | 0.004*  | 1.068                               | 1.414       |
|                                 | Aspect ratio                    | 0.758      | 0.603   | 0.266                               | 2.159       |
|                                 | Presence of collaterals        | 3.181      | 0.286   | 0.379                               | 26.703      |
|                                 | Presence of blebs               | 4.811      | 0.113   | 0.688                               | 33.624      |
|                                 | ICH (y)                         | 8.676      | 0.215   | 0.285                               | 264.486     |
|                                 | Treatment (coiling)             | 155.157    | 0.001*  | 7.275                               | 3309.179    |

Non-complete obliteration coded as class 1

Legend: * Statistically significant
Occlusion rate comparison between MCA aneurysms clipping and coiling

Johnston et al. in 2008 emphasized the importance of the complete sac obliteration for the risk of aneurysm re-rupture after SAH. Indeed, they found that cumulative re-rupture risk was 1.1% for complete occlusion, 2.9% for almost complete occlusion (91–99%), 5.9% for subtotal occlusion (70–90%), and 17.6% for partial occlusion (< 70%). Overall, for MCA aneurysms, the re-rupture risk tended to be greater after coiling than after clipping (3.4% vs. 1.3%, \( p = 0.092 \)) because the rate of complete occlusion immediately after treatment was lower in the first groups and there was a higher rate of neck/sac partial refilling over time [16]. However, no cases of rebleeding were observed in our series along the entire period of follow-up.

A superior rate of complete occlusion with clipping was independently reported in 2011 by Güresir et al. [17] and van Dijk et al. [18] who described complete obliteration rates with clipping of 97.4% in 330 patients and of almost 90% in 107 patients with MCA aneurysms, compared with significantly lower rates (between 50 and 60%) obtained with coiling.

Similarly, in 2016, Choi et al. reported a complete obliteration in about 98% out of cases with clipping and about 56% with endovascular therapy in a series of 178 MCA aneurysms [19].

Finally, a comparative meta-analysis by Xin et al. published in 2019 found that surgical clipping of unruptured MCA aneurysms resulted in significantly higher complete aneurysms occlusion and lower incidence of retreatment and complications than coiling, concluding that surgery should be regarded as the first choice of treatment for unruptured MCA aneurysms [6].

In agreement with literature, in our series, we observed a rate of immediate complete obliteration of about 92% with surgery versus 71% with coiling. Furthermore, clipping group showed an occlusion rate stability at last follow-up, whereas about 5% of patients undergoing coiling presented partial aneurysm recurrence.

The reportedly complete obliteration rate of MCA aneurysms treated with coiling generally varies from 26.3 to 67% [12–14, 20, 21]. Therefore, our endovascular results settle on the higher rates of this trend. Anyway, the persistence of a higher prevalence of remnant necks in coiling compared to clipping appears difficult to overcome, due to the peculiar morphology of most of MCA aneurysms, characterized by a small dome-to-neck ratio, a relatively wide neck, and frequent incorporation of one of the distal branches in the aneurysm’s neck. Moreover, the new advanced techniques such as stent- and balloon-assisted embolization only partially compensate these disadvantages [22–24].

Clinical and esthetic outcome comparison between MCA aneurysms clipping and coiling

A recent meta-analysis comparing the efficacy and safety of endovascular coiling versus microsurgical clipping for unruptured MCA aneurysms showed after a pooled analysis of 13 studies an unfavorable outcome in 2.1% (1.3–3.3%; 95% CI) of patients after clipping compared with 6.5% (4.5–9.3%; 95% CI) in 17 studies assessing the coiling technique [25].

This certain degree of discrepancy observed between the results of this meta-analysis and that of our series showing a poor neurological outcome at discharge in almost 6% of patients with unruptured MCA aneurysms and in 0% of patients with clipping may have at least two possible explanations. First of all, in our series, the larger amount of included patients with unruptured aneurysms (more than 94%) were treated with clipping; secondly, we only considered good outcome patients with upper or lower GR at postoperative eGOS excluding MD patients.

A certain interest is also covered by the functional and aesthetic outcome. This appears reasonably pursuable in patients with unruptured aneurysms as those presenting with SAH are emergency cases with a life-threatening condition and the treatment has the main goal to be the safest rather than the most cosmetic.

For patients harboring unruptured aneurysms, instead, there is usually a preliminary agreement following a multi-disciplinary discussion, which nowadays cannot avoid taking into account the patients demand for a more aesthetic approach.

While on the one hand, this request cannot justify the choice of endovascular treatment when this appears more risky in terms of safety, on the other, the refinement in the surgical technique and the miniaturization of the approach developed in the last few decades have responded effectively to this need for aesthetic results of patients.

Limitations and perspectives

This study present several limitations: first, it has a retrospective design and has excluded some categories of MCA aneurysms such as the giant requiring more complex surgical treatments including bypass, and those treated of different endovascular devices. Moreover, a certain inhomogeneity in the indications to treat cannot be excluded among the different multidisciplinary boards as well as a different technical experience which may have influenced the final results.

Additionally, the results of our multicenter series only considered patients undergoing coiling stand-alone as the use of adjuncts was reported only in very few cases, which were therefore excluded from the present analysis. In fact, stents delivery in MCA aneurysms is a relatively recent
extension of their indication as this technique presents several boundaries and procedure-related complications for this application. In fact, the presence of many small perforators may increase the possibility of thromboembolic events during the periprocedural period [23, 26, 27]. Also, a rare but potentially devastating complication is the delayed severe risk of in-stent stenosis, which needs patients should be closely followed-up over time [27, 28]. Accordingly, antiplatelets prophylaxis needs to be assumed for several months or lifelong when a stent-assisted coil embolization is performed. This reduces the possibility of their use in case of ruptured aneurysms, and in case of younger patients with unruptured MCA aneurysms who should intake antiplatelets for their entire life.

Nowadays, when conventional coiling is not possible despite a balloon or stent assistance, some new advanced endovascular devices such as intrasaccular flow-disruptors can represent an alternative option for MCA aneurysms [29–31], especially to meet the patients’ wishes of less invasive treatments.

However, there is still a low availability of clinical studies comparing clipping with advanced endovascular techniques for MCA aneurysms, but a recent study by Pflaeging et al. still showed that surgery is associated with a higher rate of complete occlusion and no additional morbidity, although in many cases endovascular treatment represented a safe and efficient alternative [32].

Lastly, our series includes a significantly higher number of clipped than coiled aneurysms. While this may induce some bias in the statistical analysis, it reflects the real-world attitude towards MCA aneurysms in 5 referral centers.

Conclusions

MCA aneurysms are still largely considered from the neurosurgical community as having a higher chance of safe and effective treatment with clipping. However, the growing demand for less invasive treatments, the rapid spread of the endovascular techniques and their technical refinement, and the rapid increasing in operators experience impose a periodical reassessment of the comparison with the endovascular results. Our recent multicenter series confirms that clipping is not inferior to coiling in terms of clinical outcome, while continue to appear superior in terms of complete occlusion rate both at short- and long-term follow-up in ruptured and unruptured aneurysms.
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