Optimization of the pulsed arc welding parameters for wire arc additive manufacturing in austenitic steel applications
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Abstract
Industrial development continues to present challenges for manufacturers. One of them is additive manufacturing (AM) with metallic materials. One promising solution is wire arc additive manufacturing (WAAM). Currently, WAAM is a more promising tool for developers, firstly due to the simplicity of its realization and secondly for its cost-effectiveness. Building materials are represented by welding wires, so the deposition rate is favorable. A pulse power source is commonly used in this scheme of realization. Much less attention has been paid to the optimization of the power source working regime, i.e., welding mode. Indeed, the power determines the whole process of WAAM. Therefore, in the present work, an attempt has been made to perform a scientifically based design for the optimal welding mode. The austenitic welding wire was chosen to eliminate phase-transition effects in the solid state of the deposited metal. As a result of the investigation, the advantages of the designed welding mode for WAAM application are made clear. It was shown that the predominant effect on penetration depth possesses pulse current and its input is 49%, while other parameters, i.e., pause current, pulse on time, and frequency, have a less valuable impact. The Taguchi optimization algorithm allowed the development of a specific welding mode for providing better formation of the welds, more grain fined microstructure, and thus improved properties of the modeled wall. Successful efforts have been made to optimize welding modes for WAAM applications. This study is important for manufacturers as well as engineers and scientists.
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Abbreviations

AM  Additive manufacturing  
DOF  Degree of freedom  
EBSD  Electron backscatter diffraction  
EDS  Energy dispersive spectrometry  
GMAW  Gas metal arc welding  
HAZ  Heat-affected zone  
HB  Brinell hardness  
HV  Vickers hardness  
OAs  Orthogonal arrays  
PA-GMAW  Pulse arc gas metal arc welding (low-frequency pulse arc welding)  
PC-GMAW  Pulse current gas metal arc welding  
PD  Penetration depth  
SEM  Scanning electron microscopy  
SS  Squared sum  
SW  Seam width  
S/N  Signal-to-noise ratio  
UTS  Ultimate tensile stress  
WAAM  Wire arc additive manufacturing  
WG  Welds geometry  
WTC  Welding thermal cycle  
YS  Yield stress

1 Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM) is the technology of layer-by-layer building up and manufacturing objects and parts [1–3]. For example, a Space X rocket engine is assembled from thousands of parts. Additive manufacturing approaches the challenge of such products by printing complex components using a single operation to transform raw materials into final products [4–6]. This approach significantly reduces the number of parts due to complex manufacturing.

Just one rocket component typically corresponds to thousands of small parts made using traditional manufacturing methods. Multiple additive manufacturing techniques exist in the world. There are many ways to implement AM today. One method is an electron beam, while another is a laser source with individual filler materials. There is also wire arc technology. Among them, wire arc additive manufacturing (WAAM) is the most promising for cost-effective producing large metallic components for the construction industry [6, 7]. The heating source in this scheme is an electric arc, and the filler material is commercial welding wire. The combination of these two factors provides valuable advantages and benefits over high-energy laser or electron beam tools. Obviously, some limitations are existing in WAAM [8], one of them is the dimension of elemental printed part, which is restricted by the diameter of the filler material. Another limitation is the atmosphere of the manufacturing; even in presence of the shield gas, the chemical reactions with the environmental medium are still possible. Nevertheless, for specific tasks and needs, the WAAM advantages are dominant.

Significant raw material savings are possible compared to conventional machining and forging approaches [6]. WAAM does not require specific tooling as in casting and forging. The manufacturing costs are much lower particularly for low-volume production, and cycle times are significantly reduced [9]. Additionally, the ability to use wire as a feedstock provides high-efficiency material deposition. The main benefit of the WAAM process is its low capital investment. The processing characteristics make the WAAM process preferable over alternative fusion sources. Compared to laser-based methods, the use of the electrical arc offers a higher efficiency fusion source [10]. This is advantageous in terms of energy consumption, especially for reflective metal alloys having poor laser coupling efficiency. Examples include aluminum, copper [11], and magnesium [7]. Typical layer heights are 1–2 mm for surface waviness of 500 μm [12] and deposition rates up to 10 kg/h. WAAM productivity and metal removal are similar to laser and electron beam approaches. Advances in research and development have made the WAAM process highly functional for a number of materials [13], where static mechanical properties similar to those found in wrought and metal casts can be produced [8, 14–16]. High-quality production of WAAM parts can only be achieved when the specific materials processing challenges related to the high level of heat input of the WAAM process are addressed.

Direct energy deposition is receiving increasing attention in additive manufacturing design research [7, 17, 18]. Considering that GMAW (gas metal arc welding) is the most widely used, it should be taken into account in the mode of operation [19]. This means maintaining special welding current, voltage, and welding speed. In the complex processes, all the above-mentioned welding parameters affect the welding thermal cycle (WTC) [20]. WTC is the most important measure of the effectiveness of the welding process as it determines the structural formation and thus the properties of the final product [21, 22]. In this connection, determining the optimal welding parameters is a key point for successful additive manufacturing process dosing. Modern developments in welding power sources provide for the implementation of pulse technology. Commonly known pulse parameters are the amplitude and the duration of the pulse and pause. These parameters further complicate the design process [23–25]. Nevertheless, applying special project methods such as the Taguchi algorithm can solve this task [26, 27]. A number of studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of pulse current (PC) technology in traditional welding processes [28]. In other words, PC-GMAW improves arc stabilization, decreases spattering, increases penetration depth, etc. There are a number of works devoted to the optimization of the welding parameters for WAAM purposes but only concentrated on the variation of the welding current, voltage, and travel speed for obtaining sound welds formation [29–32].
our investigation, the deeper approach is taken in the sense of applying pulsed arc features aimed at structure-properties improvement. Further development of pulsing technologies in GMAW provides additional improvements in pulse combination to obtain double pulses. The application of so-called low frequency ($f < 25$ Hz) pulsed arc welding (PA-GMAW) provides opportunities for controlling the metal crystallization process. In the low-frequency, the periodic shrinkage and expansion of the melt pool during pulsed welding occur which leads to remelting and resolidification behavior of the weld metal. Solidification rates are significantly higher for the low-frequency pulsed arc welding providing the significant refinement of microstructure [33, 34]. Obviously, a good combination of the modern pulse technologies in GMAW will bring exciting benefits for facilitating additive manufacturing in large-scale production.

Thus, the main objective of this study is to develop an optimized GMAW mode for wire arc additive manufacturing and to consider its effect on the formation of the deposited metals for model walls and the mechanical properties and structural investigation of such. The application of low-frequency pulse arc welding (PA-GMAW) to implement its advantages into WAAM was

![Fig. 1 Waveform of pulsed current GMAW (a–c) showing real-time oscillogram (d) and schematic with waveform applied: PC-GMAW $f = 154$ Hz (a), PC-GMAW $f = 124$ Hz (b); PA-GMAW correspond to superposition of the PC-GMAW with 154 and 124 Hz (c)]
shown. Taguchi-based optimized PA-GMAW welding mode is proposed demonstrates a cost-effective alternative to machine learning. PA-GMAW WTC, microstructure, properties are characterized as improved in comparison with conventional welding mode. Benefits of the PA-GMAW application for WAAM are as follows: the sound formation of the weld, geometry, quality of seam, final structure finish, and better mechanical properties.

2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Direct energy deposition tool

Currently, direct energy deposition was chosen based on the GMAW power source Phoenix Pulse 501 equipment. This power source provides a wide range of welding modes, i.e., stationary, pulse current, and superpulse (Fig. 1). Inverter-type sources possess complex characteristics of welding current dependence. In stationary mode, it has high-frequency pulsing with $f = 58$ kHz (Ultrasound GMAW, US-GMAW). Such an approach offers advantages in terms of the stiffness of the welding arc and its pressure. A further improvement is pulsing the current input with a frequency ranging from 60 to 160 Hz (PC-GMAW). This provides benefits for arc stabilization, reduced sputtering, and improved control of the welding process. Finally, the superpulse mode represents the highest potential for WAAM applications. This explains the peculiarities of the superpulse mode, i.e., the possibility of applying low-frequency pulses of $f < 25$ Hz. These pulsing conditions pave the way for regulating the crystalization processes in the weld pool. Thus, low-frequency pulsed arc welding can be named PA-GMAW (Pulse Arc Gas Metal Arc Welding). The pulse arc definition is used in low-frequency pulsing processes because the welding arc noticeably changes its volume with cyclical peaks in the current, as shown in Fig. 1.

The pulsed arc welding process has four independently controlled operating parameters: pause (base) current ($I_{\text{pause}}$), pulse current ($I_{\text{pulse}}$), frequency ($f$), and pulse on time ($P_{\text{T}}$). The range of parameters used is determined by the task of a particular experiment. In this particular case, the currents were chosen according to the limits for low pulse currents 160 A and upper 240 A and for a pulse current lower 80 A and upper 140 A. These current ranges are grounded by extensive experience with 1.2 mm austenitic wire; that is, below 80 A, the whole process will not be stationary. Above 240 A, overheating as well as wide seams will form. For additive manufacturing, the abovementioned lack of control must be avoided. The pulse-on time range was set to 40–80%, and finally, the frequency was set to 0.50–5 Hz. Below 0.5 Hz, it is very difficult to obtain sound welds, and the whole seam becomes non-uniform [35]. Above 5 Hz, the effect of pulsating on the welding thermal cycle will be less explicit. Thus, the established boundaries of the pulsed arc welding (PA-GMAW) parameters are summarized in Table 1.

For the welding experiments, an austenitic steel wire (deposited metal) with a diameter of 1.2 mm and Ar + 18% CO2 shielded gas (15 lit/min) were used. On the other hand, 10-mm-thick plates made of 9MnSi5 low-carbon steel were used for the substrate. The chemical composition of the deposited metal and substrate are presented in Table 2.

The choice of austenitic welding material is determined by the absence of a phase transformation below the $A_{cs}$ point. This will simplify further analysis of mechanical properties and structure formation.

2.2 Taguchi optimization routine

Four independently controllable process variables and three experimental levels are presented in this study (Tables 1 and 2). In total, for four factors and three levels, 81 experiments such as $3^4 = 81$ are required. The method proposed by Taguchi uses orthogonal arrays (OAs) to determine in real-time the minimum number of experiments for all design influences. In these experimental designs, each factor is assessed

| Parameter/Factors Notation | Levels | C | Si | Mn | P | S | Al | Cr | Mo | Ni | Ti |
|---------------------------|--------|---|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| Pulsed current (A) A      | Low    | 160 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.017 | 0.01 | 0.025 | 0.09 | - | 0.02 | - |
| Base current (A) B        | Medium | 200 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 0.018 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 9 | 0.9 |
| Frequency (Hz) C          | High   | 240 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 8.0 | 0.018 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 9 | 0.9 |
| Pulse on time (%) D       |         | 1  | 2  | 3  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 3  |
individually, and one does not affect the other. The conditions encountered in this study, i.e., four influencing parameters and three levels, are suitable for selecting the L9 matrix as a pilot project. Table 3 provides details of nine real-time experimental tests designed according to the L9 scheme (3^4), a standard experimental design proposed by Taguchi [36, 37]. However, the experiments are carried out in random order to bypass the noise source.

Nominal control of the final response of the degree of contribution of the individual process parameters has been estimated using a statistical method, namely, ANOVA [38].

### 2.3 Model wall building procedure details

Based on the experimental results, the optimal PA-GMAW mode was used for WAAM wall construction and compared the PC-GMAW mode with the same average current. The wall building was performed in 5 layers at interpass temperature of 150 °C. The first two layers are represented as buffer parts and the start of the 3rd layer is actually the model representative layer when the process is in the steady-state stage.

### 2.4 Welding thermal cycle determination

In order to study the influence of GMAW modes on the welding thermal cycles (WTC), appropriate experiments were performed. WTC is characterized by parameters — maximum temperature, heating and cooling rate, duration of metal above the set temperatures at which heating occurs, melting of base metal and filler metal, formation of welding bath and weld metal and heat-affected zone (HAZ), subsequent cooling crystallization and structural state in different areas of welded joints, technological strength, and physical and mechanical properties are provided. It is possible to control the WTC by changing the modes of PA-GMAW, namely, the main components: welding current and voltage, frequency and duty cycle, and welding speed. A 10-mm-thick steel plate was used to fix the WTC (Fig. 2). Chromel–alumel thermocouples were

| №  | Pulsed current, A | Base current, A | Frequency, Hz | Pulse on time, % |
|----|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
|    | Value Code       | Value Code     | Value Code   | Value Code      |
| 1  | 160 1            | 80 1           | 0.5 1        | 40 1            |
| 2  | 160 1            | 120 2          | 1 2          | 60 2            |
| 3  | 160 1            | 140 3          | 5 3          | 80 3            |
| 4  | 200 2            | 80 1           | 1 2          | 80 3            |
| 5  | 200 2            | 120 2          | 5 3          | 40 1            |
| 6  | 200 2            | 140 3          | 0.5 1        | 60 2            |
| 7  | 240 3            | 80 1           | 5 3          | 60 2            |
| 8  | 240 3            | 120 2          | 0.5 1        | 80 3            |
| 9  | 240 3            | 140 3          | 1 2          | 40 1            |
Fig. 3 PA-GMAW experimental weldments by L9 matrix program. a Macro samples. b Welded seam view, the numbers correspond to the program numbers (Table 3)
drilled into the steel plates of a depth of 7.5–8 mm. This drilling depth is based on previous studies and requires registration of the WTC in the HAZ area.

2.5 Mechanical properties characterization

The mechanical properties of the investigated steels, i.e., ultimate tensile strength (UTS), yield stress (YS), and plasticity (δ, elongation for failure), were determined in static tensile tests at ambient temperature. The samples for tensile tests were cut along the welding direction and the number of samples was 2 for each welding mode. To establish the effect of the chosen technology on material strength, Vickers and Brinell hardness tests were performed with loads of 100 g and 187.5 kg for 10 s.

2.6 Microstructure characterization

For structural analysis, several methods were used: scanning electron microscopy (SEM, with a Tescan Mira 3 LMU facility), electron back scattering diffraction (EBSD from Oxford Instruments), and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS from Oxford Instruments).

Preparation of metallographic specimens for microstructural studies was carried out according to standard methods using grinding papers of various roughnesses (P240, P400, P600, P1200, P2000). Final polishing was performed on a diamond suspension with a polishing particle size of 1 μm. To reveal and identify the morphology of the microstructure of the specimen, etching with 4% HNO₃ solution in alcohol was conducted. The exposure time of these specimens was 4 s, followed by washing and drying. The average grain size was evaluated by applying a linear intercept method. The chemical composition of the structural components was determined using EDS.

The crystallographic orientation of the crystallites was determined using the EBSD technique. The requirements for the surface quality of the specimens for EBSD are higher than for standard microscopy. To form high-quality diffraction patterns, surface relief must be minimized. Therefore, the specimens for EBSD were prepared using standard procedures for metallographic investigations with the exception of the finishing stage. For polishing, 0.04 μm of colloidal silica solution was applied. A special MD-Nap cloth was used for polishing, the rotation frequency was 200 rpm, the pressing force of the thin section was 27 N, and the polishing time was 3–5 min. Scanning electron microscope Tescan Mira 3 LMU equipped with Nordlys detector and HKL Channel 5 software was used for EBSD analysis of structural changes. To obtain a representative sample, at least 1500 grains of the specimen were analyzed. The procedure used was to apply a magnification of (×600–700) for a scanning area of 150×100 μm², at a scanning step of 0.5 μm (at least five measuring points per grain), binning 4×4. The degree of indication was at least 80%.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Pulse arc welding Taguchi optimization

According to the L9 matrix, welding was performed on the substrate with the aim of further determination of the geometry. Macro samples, as well as weld seam view, are shown in Fig. 3. When carrying out developing experiments, different process parameters were used to provide different response values: penetration depth (PD), width of the HAZ, and seam width (SW). It is necessary to evaluate the influence of each selected factor using the responses received during experimental trials that are not unique and may have both desirable and undesirable characteristics. To solve the above problem, the S/N (signal-to-noise) ratio is used by the Taguchi method, which measures the deviation of a quality characteristic from a desired value. Signal is the term Taguchi uses to represent the desired values of the output characteristics, and the term noise is used to represent the unwanted values. Taking into account that the main task of the current problem is to get the min/max response (i.e., min PD, HAZ, or max SW). According to the suggested Taguchi design number of the signal-to-noise ratio, the Larger the better (LB) value must be taken.

The main goal of this experimental part is to optimize the PA-GMAW process parameters to obtain the specified weld geometry. To calculate the S/N ratio of the response considered in this study, the LB characteristic (Eq. 1), where $n$ is the number of measurements and $Y$ is the measured parameter) is selected. The measured numbers of the studied quantities (PD, SW, HAZ) and calculated S/N ratios for all experiments are shown in Table 4.

$$\frac{S}{N} = -10 \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{Y_i}}{n}\right) \quad (1)$$

The next step in the analysis is to divide the influence of each individual parameter into all three levels considered and to rank them in the order of their impact on the response parameter. This is possible because the chosen experimental design is an orthogonal matrix L9 [39].

The average effectiveness of a factor is calculated by dividing the sum of the test results containing the factor by the number of tests performed at the same level (Eq. 2 is an example for pulse current, level 1).

$$\langle S/N \rangle_{A_1} = \frac{(S/N_1 + S/N_2 + S/N_3)}{3} \quad (2)$$

The same procedure is applied to calculate the average signal-to-noise ratio for each parameter at all levels (Table 5).

| Parameters | Notation | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Delta($\Delta$) | Rank |
|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------|
| $I_{\text{pulse}}$ (A) | A | $<S/N>_{A_1} = (S/N_1 + S/N_2 + S/N_3)/3$ | $<S/N>_{A_2} = (S/N_4 + S/N_5 + S/N_6)/3$ | $<S/N>_{A_3} = (S/N_7 + S/N_8 + S/N_9)/3$ | 5.85 | 1 |
| $I_{\text{pause}}$ (A) | B | $<S/N>_{B_1} = (S/N_1 + S/N_4 + S/N_7)/3$ | $<S/N>_{B_2} = (S/N_2 + S/N_5 + S/N_8)/3$ | $<S/N>_{B_3} = (S/N_3 + S/N_6 + S/N_9)/3$ | 3.15 | 4 |
| $f$ (Hz) | C | $<S/N>_{C_1} = (S/N_1 + S/N_5 + S/N_9)/3$ | $<S/N>_{C_2} = (S/N_2 + S/N_6 + S/N_9)/3$ | $<S/N>_{C_3} = (S/N_3 + S/N_4 + S/N_8)/3$ | 3.79 | 2 |
| $PT$ (%) | D | $<S/N>_{D_1} = (S/N_1 + S/N_4 + S/N_8)/3$ | $<S/N>_{D_2} = (S/N_2 + S/N_6 + S/N_9)/3$ | $<S/N>_{D_3} = (S/N_3 + S/N_4 + S/N_8)/3$ | 3.25 | 3 |

*Eq. 2 is presented for each level

| Parameters | Notation | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Delta($\Delta$) | Rank |
|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------|
| $I_{\text{pulse}}$ (A) | A | 21.48 | 22.73 | 23.99 | 2.5 | 1 |
| $I_{\text{pause}}$ (A) | B | 22.53 | 23.1 | 22.57 | 0.58 | 3 |
| $f$ (Hz) | C | 22.52 | 22.82 | 22.85 | 0.33 | 4 |
| $PT$ (%) | D | 22.35 | 22.32 | 23.53 | 1.22 | 2 |
such as pause current, pulse on time, and frequency. The picture is similar for parameters such as the SW and HAZ, where the maximum contribution is made by the pulse current. Notably, the other welding parameters \(I_{\text{pause}}, f, PT\) have different influences on the weld geometry (WG). Based on the resultant average S/N for each level, it becomes possible to set the required welding mode. This means that for achieving a minimal PD, a minimal level (corresponding to the minimum of the average S/N response) must be taken for each welding parameter.

Specifically, it will be “level 1” for “\(I_{\text{pulse}}\),” “level 1” for “\(I_{\text{pause}}\),” “level 1” for “\(f\)” and “level 1” for “\(PT\),” and so on.

Nominal control of the final response requires knowledge of the contribution of individual process parameters, which can be estimated using a statistical method, namely ANOVA [28, 38]. In ANOVA methodology, \(SS, SS', D, V, P\) are typical parameter symbols used in the above analysis to represent factors, sum and adjusted sum of squares, degrees of freedom, variance, and percentage contribution. Each factor is passed through individually. A brief explanation of the above factors is presented below [40]:

The total sum of squares SST can be calculated from the S/N ratio using Eq. 3, which uses the terms “total number of experiments \((m=9)\)” and “i-th, the current signal-to-noise ratio \(\eta_i\)”

\[
SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^{9} S/N_i^2 - \frac{1}{9} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9} S/N_i \right)^2
\]  

(3)

The sum of the squares of the factors denoted by \(SS_p\) is calculated by Eq. 4 with the following conditions: the factor “\(p\)” and its level number as “\(j\),” repetition of each level for the tested factor \(p\) as “\(t\) (= 3),” summation signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) connecting this coefficient \(p\) and its level \(j\) as \(S/N_{p,j}\) for level \(A_j\) = 1; \((S/N_{2} + S/N_{3} + S/N_{4})\) for level \(A_j\) = 2; \((S/N_{5} + S/N_{6} + S/N_{7})\) for level \(A_j\) = 3

\[
SS_p = \sum_{i=1}^{9} \left( \frac{S/N_{pj}}{3} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{9} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9} S/N_i \right)^2.
\]  

(4)

Degree of freedom (DOF) is one of the elements to consider when calculating ANOVA. \(D_p, V_p\) are notations used to represent the degrees of freedom and variance of factor \(p\). \(V_p\) is determined as a percentage using the \(D_p\) and \(SS_p\) according to Eq. 5.

\[
V_p(\%) = \frac{SS_p}{D_p} \times 100
\]  

(5)

The summation of the DOFs, followed by a series of tests and averages, is called the total DOF. The degree of freedom for the mean is always 1. Therefore, the total degree of freedom for this experimental study is 8, which is equal to the number of trials (9 trials) minus 1, and the degree of freedom for the parameters is 2, obtained by subtracting 1 from the number of parameter levels (3 levels).

The difference between \(SS_p\) (sum of squared factors) and the product of the error variance and DOF of each test factor is called the corrected sum of squares and is referred to as \(SS'_p\), which is calculated using Eq. 6 (where \(V_e\) is experimental).

\[
SS'_p = SS_p - D_p V_e.
\]  

(6)

The percentage of the factors tested between their corrected sum and the total sum of squares is called their percentage contribution and is denoted as \(P_p\). Equation 7 is used to determine \(P_p\).

---

**Table 8** Details of average S/N Response HAZ

| Parameters | Notation | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Delta(\(\Delta\)) | Rank |
|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|------|
| \(I_{\text{pulse}}\) (A) | A        | 4.28    | 2.76    | 5.64    | 2.88             | 2    |
| \(I_{\text{pause}}\) (A) | B        | 3.74    | 3.72    | 5.21    | 1.49             | 3    |
| \(f\) (Hz) | C        | 6.27    | 3.11    | 3.29    | 3.16             | 1    |
| \(PT\) (%) | D        | 3.89    | 4.68    | 4.11    | 0.79             | 4    |

\(Npj = (S/N_{1} + S/N_{2} + S/N_{3})\) for level \(A_j = 1; (S/N_{2} + S/N_{3} + S/N_{4})\) for level \(A_j = 2; (S/N_{5} + S/N_{6} + S/N_{7})\) for level \(A_j = 3\)

---

**Fig. 4** Quantitative input of welding parameters into the weld geometry. a PD, b SW, c HAZ: 1, \(I_{\text{pulse}}\) (A); 2, \(I_{\text{pause}}\) (A); 3, \(f\) (Hz); 4, \(PT\) (%)
Summarized results of the quantitative input of each welding parameter to the formation of the weld geometry are presented in Fig. 4.

From the obtained results, it is clearly seen that the distribution of the input of the welding parameters on the weld geometry is completely different. It means that when using standard GMAW we are significantly restricted in the governing of the process of the weld formation, while PA-GMAW opens the way for significant improvement of the manufacturing process on the whole. In particular pulse current possess a valuable effect on the PD, HAZ, and particularly on SW.

This is an expected outcome owing to pulse current being the major governing parameter in pulse arc welding. Another picture is observed with the next welding parameters. For example, the pause current has a valuable impact on the formation of the weld geometry in the case of PD and SW 13 and 12% accordingly, while for HAZ, it contains only 5%. The frequency of the pulse arc welding has a predominant impact on the SW formation (51%), and a less explicit effect on the PD (24%), while on the HAZ formation is only 3%. And the last one parameter pulse on time has a significant influence on the PD and HAZ formation 14 and 22% accordingly and on SW, it is almost negligible (4%). Thus, the Taguchi approach reveals wide control possibility for choosing the required welding mode with determined weld geometry.

For instance, to achieve minimal penetration depth we should use that level that corresponds to the minimal value of the average S/N ratio for each welding parameter. According to this algorithm for minimal PD it should be taken level 1 for \( I_{\text{pulse}} \), level 1 for \( I_{\text{pause}} \), level 1 for frequency \((f)\), and level 1 for pulse on time \( PT \).

After selecting the level of design parameters for optimum welding mode, it is a mandatory step to verify the predicted and experimental results. According to references [38, 40, 41], the predicted signal-to-noise ratio for the optimal level of the design process parameters can be calculated as:

\[
P_p \times 100.
\]

\( P_p \) is given by:

\[
P_p(\%) = \frac{SS_p}{SS_T} \times 100.
\] (7)

Summarized results of the quantitative input of each welding parameter to the formation of the weld geometry are presented in Fig. 4.

From the obtained results, it is clearly seen that the distribution of the input of the welding parameters on the weld geometry is completely different. It means that when using standard GMAW we are significantly restricted in the governing of the process of the weld formation, while PA-GMAW opens the way for significant improvement of the manufacturing process on the whole. In particular pulse current possess a valuable effect on the PD, HAZ, and particularly on SW.

This is an expected outcome owing to pulse current being the major governing parameter in pulse arc welding. Another picture is observed with the next welding parameters. For example, the pause current has a valuable impact on the formation of the weld geometry in the case of PD and SW 13 and 12% accordingly, while for HAZ, it contains only 5%. The frequency of the pulse arc welding has a predominant impact on the SW formation (51%), and a less explicit effect on the PD (24%), while on the HAZ formation is only 3%. And the last one parameter pulse on time has a significant influence on the PD and HAZ formation 14 and 22% accordingly and on SW, it is almost negligible (4%). Thus, the Taguchi approach reveals wide control possibility for choosing the required welding mode with determined weld geometry.

Base on the calculations of the average S/N ratio for each level and parameter presented in Tables 6, 7, and 8, it becomes possible to set up optimal welding mode for achieving desire welds geometry. For instance, to achieve minimal penetration depth we should use that level that corresponds to the minimal value of the average S/N ratio for each welding parameter. According to this algorithm for minimal PD it should be taken level 1 for \( I_{\text{pulse}} \), level 1 for \( I_{\text{pause}} \), level 1 for frequency \((f)\), and level 1 for pulse on time \( PT \).

After selecting the level of design parameters for optimum welding mode, it is a mandatory step to verify the predicted and experimental results. According to references [38, 40, 41], the predicted signal-to-noise ratio for the optimal level of the design process parameters can be calculated as:

\[
\hat{\eta} = \eta_m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{\eta}_i - \eta_m).
\] (8)

In the above equation, \( m \), \( i \), and \( n \) represent the overall average signal-to-noise ratio; the optimal level of the average

| Table 9 Predicted and confirmation test results for optimal mode of the PA-GMAW process (PD min) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameters | A | B | C | D | (S/N ratio)/Value |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------|
| \( I_{\text{pulse}} \) (A) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | – 1.1/0.88 |
| \( I_{\text{pause}} \) (A) | 160 | 80 | 0.5 | 40 | – 1.21/0.87 |

| Table 10 Predicted and confirmation test results for the optimal mode of the PA-GMAW process (HAZ min) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameters | A | B | C | D | (S/N ratio)/Value |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------|
| \( I_{\text{pulse}} \) (A) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0.8/1.09 |
| \( I_{\text{pause}} \) (A) | 200 | 120 | 1 | 40 | 0.87/1.10 |

| Table 11 Predicted and confirmation test results for the optimal mode of the PA-GMAW process (SW max) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameters | A | B | C | D | (S/N ratio)/Value |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------|
| \( I_{\text{pulse}} \) (A) | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 25.3/18.4 |
| \( I_{\text{pause}} \) (A) | 240 | 120 | 5 | 80 | 26/19.9 |

| Table 12 Welding modes for wall construction |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Welding mode | Welding current, A | Welding voltage, V | Welding speed, m/h |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| PA-GMAW | 118* | 18.7 | 15 |
| PC-GMAW | 118 | 18.7 | 15 |

*Here average current is calculated with \( I = (I_{\text{pulse}} \times t_{\text{pulse}} + I_{\text{pause}} \times t_{\text{pause}})/ (t_{\text{pulse}} + t_{\text{pause}}) = (160\times0.8 + 80\times1.2)/(0.8 + 1.2) \).
signal-to-noise ratio (see Tables 6, 7, and 8) and the total number of important designs of experimental parameters affecting the quality, respectively. The predicted S/N ratio can be found using the optimal PA-GMAW designed process parameters.

Fig. 5 General view (a,b) and cross-section macrostructure (c,d) of the modelled wall. a, c PA-GMAW; b, d PC-GMAW
From achieved results, it is clearly seen that the prediction of the weld geometry parameters (PD, HAZ, SW) are in a good agreement with experimental results. In particular, for PD S/N prediction is $-1.10$, and experimental results give $-1.21$ which corresponds to PD equal to 0.88 mm (prediction) and 0.87 mm (experimental), respectively.

### 3.2 Building of the modeling wall

For further experimentation with the WAAM model wall building, it is recommended to choose the PA-GMAW welding mode that provides the minimal PD. That selection is grounded to minimize the effect of the currently deposited layer on the previous one, firstly. The second is the requirements for modeling thin wall and the third one is reducing the heat input. The mode that provides the maximum SW is more suitable for surfacing instead of wall construction, and the mode that provides minimum HAZ — for ferritic-pearlitic steels. To demonstrate the benefits of the proposed welding mode, the standard PC-GMAW mode with the same average welding current was chosen (Table 12).

The number of the deposited layers was chosen as 5. The deposited layers marked in (Fig. 5) are divided into classes — buffer layers (1,2), cover layer (5), and representative layers (3,4). From the obtained experimental results, it is clearly seen that PA-GMAW forms sharper walls with edged side surfaces.

The macrosample of the modeled wall cross-section; white lines separate the deposited layers which are marked by the numbers. The black dots on the sample surface correspond to slag/nonmetallic inclusions. It is clearly seen that the PA-GMAW mode provides almost clear from such slag/nonmetallic inclusions.

Furthermore, it is clearly seen that the PA-GMAW provides more sound formation of the wall. PC-GMAW has wave shape transition from layer to layer. This advantage of PA-GMAW leads to less further machining treatment of the surface if needed.

Since the welding modes were chosen, it is essential to consider the welding thermal cycle (WTC) of the modes. WTC determines the kinetic of further structure formation and, accordingly, the properties of the final product. The PA-GMAW (Fig. 6) allows lower cooling rates to achieve in the 600–500 °C temperature range, while it is comparable to the PC-GMAW in the high temperature range. This fact has a positive effect on the deposited metal in the sense of reducing residual stresses and favorable conditions for structure formation.

To demonstrate the quality of the metal deposited on this model wall, it is necessary to consider the microstructure as well as the mechanical properties.

### 3.3 Structure and mechanical properties of the modeled walls

Just like the model wall, there are 5 layers, the first one is the buffer layer. In the buffer layer, active mixing of the deposited metal and substrate occurs. Thus, it should be excluded from consideration of the microstructure. The second one also has chemical inhomogeneities due to possible mixing with the previous layer.

Therefore, to clearly understand the welding mode effect, it is reasonable to consider the 3rd layer. The last one, i.e., the 5th layer should also be excluded from the analysis on the basis that this is a cover layer, and it will be removed in further treatment, as usual. In this regard, the detailed attention attracts exactly to the 3rd and 4th layers. The microstructure of these layers, etched to reveal the delta-ferrite (delta-ferrite corresponds to white curved lines on the photo), is shown in Fig. 7.

The following is clear evidence of the homogeneity of the structure for the PA-GMAW welding mode. First, the average size of the delta ferrite colonies in representative layers is equal to 21 and 29 µm for layers 3 and 4, respectively. In contrast, the same regions for the PC-GMAW welding mode

---

**Fig. 6** Welding thermal cycles for PA-GMAW (a) and PC-GMAW (b)
show much higher values, i.e., 46 and 73 µm, respectively. As can be seen from the SEM micrographs for PA-GMAW, the upper part of the microstructure is more uniform and finer than the lower one. Instead of PA-GMAW where the whole selected area represents the uniform distribution of the delta-ferrite colonies. Such effect is explained by the peculiarities of the WTC and the behavior of the welding bath under the effect of low-frequency pulsed current. This structural uniformity will possess a positive effect on the strength properties as will be shown below.

Second, the line scan EDS spectra with 1 mm length from one to another layer of the distribution of the alloying elements also have shown better results in the PA-GMAW welding mode. The detailed chemical composition of the deposited metal is presented in Table 3. For EDS spectra, it is reasonable to show the distribution of the transition from 2–3, 3–4, 4–5 layers, where this will be the representative volume of the modeled wall. The analysis has chosen good uniformity of distribution of chemical elements in selected representative volumes (Fig. 8). This means that for mechanical properties formation will be responsible mainly for structural features, not chemical composition differences due to there being no such.

Hardness and microhardness provide an opportunity to estimate the homogeneity of the distribution of properties. HB reflects the macroscopic characteristics and HV — micro and imprint size is around 20 µ. It is clearly seen that the hardness of PA-GMAW at micro and macro levels has higher values compared to PC-GMAW (Fig. 9). These features are explained by the structure.

As the hardness and microhardness is the measure of the strength, it is closely connected with structural parameters, e.g., grain size, texture, and dispersion hardening. Thus, the case of the increasing hardness/microhardness in accordance to Hall–Petch law could be explained by the grain refinement. In this connection, the evolution of the microstructure in sense of the grains with high angle grain boundaries is considered below.

From Fig. 10, regarding the represented structure of the 4th (representative) layer, it is clearly seen that with PA-GMAW the structure is more fine-grained instead of PC-GMAW. The columnar structure is formed in the wall build direction in both cases. However, for PA-GMAW there are not only grain refinement but also divergence in grains orientations. From the selected area were built the pole figures for these two welding modes, and it is shown that the texture for PA-GMAW is less explicit. The maximum pole intensity for PA-GMAW is almost 2 times lower than for PC-GMAW. And there is deviation of the peaks for all crystallographic planes systems {100}, {110}, {111}. These structural features will possess a positive effect on the mechanical properties.

The mechanical tensile tests have shown that the structural peculiarities provide a higher level of strength. In particular, YS for PA-GMAW is 100 MPa higher than that of PC-GMAW at the same level of plasticity and UTS (Fig. 11).
As well known, that yield strength is the structural sensitive measure, which in general contain a number of constitutive such as friction stress solid solution hardening stress due to hardening by the precipitating particles and the stress due to hardening by grains refinement, which increases the volume fraction of the grain boundaries. The last one can provide the most valuable input in overall hardening and thus increase of the yield strength and it governs by Hall–Petch low [42, 43]. The yield strength is inversely proportioned to square root from grain size. As was shown above the PA-GMAW provides a more fine-grained structure which is the cause of the increase of the final wall strength.

The fractography investigations revealed that both samples have ductile nature of fracture, but the PA-GMAW has a slightly higher energy capacity than PC-GMAW samples because it has a larger facet (Fig. 12). There are pores with the diameter near the 5 μ that are presented not only in the central part of the fracture surface but also at the half radius and less explicit on the periphery which is clear proves that the required energy for fracture, in this case, were higher. Also from the cross-section view, it is seen that the reduction area in the case of the PA-GMAW is higher than for PC-GMAW, this means that the plasticity measure ψ is also higher in this case.

Therefore, the analysis of various sites, from microstructure to properties, demonstrated the benefits of the developed PA-GMAW welding mode for WAAM purpose.
Fig. 9  Hardness distribution for representative layers 3, 4. a, b Brinell, c, d Vickers. a, c PA-GMAW, b, d PC-GMAW
Fig. 10 EBSD band contrast (IPF maps Z direction) and pole figures of the representative layer 4. a, c PA-GMAW, b, d PC-GMAW
Conclusion

On the example of the Taguchi algorithm design of the experimental possibilities has been successfully performed to develop optimized welding modes for wire arc additive manufacturing purposes. Based on the model material of austenitic composition, the advantages of the proposed welding modes were shown.

- In particular, it was suggested application of the low-frequency pulsed GMAW (PA-GMAW) and developed the optimal welding mode for WAAM purposes. It was realized through the determination of the particular input of each welding parameter into welds geometry formation.
- Secondly, it was grounded the welding mode provided minimal penetration depth in our case.

Fig. 11  Tensile test of the modeled wall. a PA-GMAW, b PC-GMAW

Fig. 12  Fracture surface for representative layer 4, tensile test. PA-GMAW, upper row; PC-GMAW, lower row

4 Conclusion
Thirdly, the developed optimal PA-GMAW mode was compared with conventional PC-GMAW one. Based on the structure (grain refinement, texture elimination) and properties (hardness, microhardness, strength) improvement, it was underlined the benefits of the proposed welding mode for WAAM application.

Therefore, it was shown that the design of the experiment with the Taguchi algorithm can be successfully applied to the development of WAAM operating modes. The future research will be concentrated on the further improvement of the suggested approach; in particular, the ferritic-perlitic and powder welding wire will be applied.
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