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ABSTRACT: In situ high pressure single crystal X-ray diffraction study reveals that the quantum material CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ undergoes a unique plane to chain structural transition between 2 and 3 GPa, accompanied by a large volume collapse. Puckered Mn–Mn honeycomb layer converts to quasi-one-dimensional (1D) zigzag chains above the phase transition pressure. Single crystal measurements reveal that the pressure-induced structural transformation is accompanied by a dramatic 2 orders of magnitude drop of resistivity. Although the ambient pressure phase displays semiconducting behavior at low temperatures, metallic temperature dependent resistivity is observed for the high pressure phase, as surprisingly, are two resistivity anomalies with opposite pressure dependences, while one of them could be a magnetic transition and the other originates from Fermi surface instability. Assessment of the total energies for hypothetical magnetic structures for high pressure CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ indicates that ferrimagnetism is thermodynamically favored.

The design and discovery of new quantum materials and advances in our ability to predict, detect, and exploit their properties will accelerate the development of future technologies. In solid state quantum materials, conventional electronic and spin ordering can sometimes be broken down by quantum fluctuations, and consequently, entirely new forms of electronic or spin ordering can be observed. Therefore, there is an urgent need to understand how to control and exploit electronic and spin interactions and quantum fluctuations in bulk materials with novel functionality. Initially, it was believed that quantum fluctuations can only be appreciable in magnetic materials where magnetic frustration is present; however, it is now realized that strong spin–orbit coupling (SOC) can also produce the energies needed for quantum fluctuations.

As the heaviest nonradioactive element, bismuth, in main group V, is located at the Zintl border in the periodic table. Bi has moderate electronegativity (and can commonly act as either an anion or a cation) and strong spin–orbit coupling. Recent studies have shown that low dimensional materials with Bi layers are ideal platforms for realizing quantum topological electronic states, newly appreciated states of quantum matter. The trigonal L$_3$O$_5$-type Mg$_6$Bi$_2$ has been proven to be an ideal platform for realizing type-II nodal line topological electronic states. Usually, large magnetic moments on Mn can cause extremely large d-band splitting between spin-up and spin-down (>7 eV), which drives the Mn d band far away from the Fermi level. Some well-known intriguing behaviors in Mn-containing materials are giant magnetoresistance (GMR), spin canting, and metal–insulator transition (MIT), and thus here we focus on a previously studied material, CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$, which displays both magnetism and strong spin–orbit coupling. CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ crystallizes in the same structure as Mg$_6$Bi$_2$, a trigonal L$_3$O$_5$-type structure with the P$3m1$ space group at ambient pressure (not in the "usual" tetragonal 122 structure), in which layers of Mn and Bi are separated by Ca layers. The Mn is in a bilayer that can be considered a puckered Mn honeycomb, which means that it has the potential to display unusual magnetically ordered states. The shortest Bi–Bi distance is ~4.65 Å, and Mn resides in the center of the Bi$_4$ tetrahedron. Bi atoms may host possible topological electronic states and Mn@Bi$_4$ tetrahedron offers the platform for the interplay between magnetic moment from Mn and SOC effect from Bi.

The magnetic structure of ambient pressure CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ obtained by neutron diffraction has shown that nearest-neighbor Mn atoms in the bilayer have opposing spins. A common magnetic phenomenon associated with SOC is spin-canting, which has been observed and extensively investigated in various quantum systems such as SrMn$_2$Bi$_2$. Unfortunately, there is no direct evidence showing that spin-canting exists in CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$, suggesting that the interaction between SOC and magnetism is weak in this system at ambient pressure.

It is widely accepted that high pressure is the best tool for changing and controlling interatomic distances in solids—a fundamental property-determining parameter—because it
clearly changes such distances while not inducing structural disorder, which is the case for chemical pressure. This tool has long been used, for example, to tune semiconductors, superconductors, and other solid-state materials to better understand their band structures and probe the electronic levels that arise from defects or impurities. Pressure is particularly useful because the effects it induces are typically not associated with large changes in entropy, and therefore it frequently does not obscure subtle phase transitions. As a consequence, atomic and magnetic interaction parameters such as orbital energy and orbital overlap can be tuned and detected under pressure, and in the quantum material, CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ may potentially enhance the interplay between SOC and magnetism.

First, we performed the study on crystal structures of CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ under various pressures. According to the results of single crystal X-ray diffraction, up to 2.21 GPa CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ adopts the same space group, P$ar{3}$m1, as reported. The diffraction patterns show that by compression to 3.56 GPa the sample has undergone a first order displacive phase transition and the space group changes to monoclinic P2$_1$/m. Due to the transformation mechanism, the sample retains its single crystal character. The detailed crystallographic data at various pressures are listed in Tables S1 and S2. In order to further characterize the material under pressure, we plot the curves of volume per formula unit vs pressure in Figure 1C and fit the data using the second-order Birch–Murnaghan equation of state, $P(V) = \frac{3}{2} B_0 \left[ \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{7/3} - \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{5/3} \right]$, where $P$ is the pressure, $V_0$ is the reference volume, $V$ is the deformed volume, and $B_0$ is the bulk modulus.

The phase transition is clearly visible in Figure 1C as a ~7.5% discontinuity in the pressure dependence of the volume. The bulk moduli of low-pressure and high-pressure phases are fitted to be 38.6 (1.2) Pa and 36.1 (4.1) Pa, respectively. $V_0$ is fitted to be 131.4 (1.9) Å$^3$. Figure 2 shows both the low-pressure and high-pressure crystal structures of CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$. As can be seen in Figure 2A (left), the ambient-pressure phase consists of slightly distorted edge-shared Ca@Bi$_6$ octahedra with a tilting angle of only 0.24(2)$^\circ$ between Ca–Mn–Ca chains and the axis of regular octahedra, which increases to 0.36 (1)$^\circ$ at 0.99 GPa and 0.58 (1)$^\circ$ at 2.21 GPa. In the low-pressure phase, the Mn atoms form a puckered honeycomb when viewed down the c-axis (bottom right in Figure 2A). The high-pressure phase displays similar structural characteristics for the Ca@Bi$_6$ octahedra, except for the larger tilt angle and the Ca–Bi atomic distances. All Ca–Bi bond lengths at ambient pressure are 3.266 (1) Å while they range from 2.97(10) to 3.15(14) Å under 11.6 GPa. The Mn net, in contrast, exhibits distinct changes under high pressure (Figure 2B). Clearly, the Mn net rearranges from a puckered honeycomb to parallel one-dimensional (1D) zigzag ladder-like chains. The Mn–Mn separations in the ambient pressure phase are uniform at 3.238 Å, while the near-neighbor Mn–Mn distances within the change are much shorter, ranging from 2.69 (14) to 2.84 (10) Å in the monoclinic phase at 11.6 GPa. Importantly, the Mn–Mn distance between 1D chains is much longer, at 3.53(17) Å at 11.6 GPa.

We have also measured the high-pressure resistivity of two CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ (samples #1 and #2) single crystals to verify the pressure-induced structural transformation and to characterize the electronic properties of the phases under pressure. Figure 3A displays the pressure dependence of the resistivity ($\rho(P)$) for these two samples measured between 0 and 3 GPa at room temperature. The resistivity of CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ drops suddenly by 2 orders of magnitude at $P_c = 2.15(5)$ and 2.35(5) GPa for samples #1 and #2, respectively, in good agreement with the structural phase transition detected by high-pressure single crystal XRD. The transition width of $\rho(P)$ around $P_c$ is less than 0.1 GPa, reflecting good pressure homogeneity with the CAC and the dramatic nature of the phase transition. With difference observed in $P_c$, in both samples, the one for sample #2 is more accurate, for which the reason is explained in the SI. The temperature-dependence of $\rho(T)$ from room temperature to 2 K at different pressures up to 7 GPa is shown in Figure 3B. At elevated temperatures ($T > T_N$), the curves exhibit "metallic" behavior originating from a strongly temperature-dependent...
under various pressures up to 7 GPa for a CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ single crystal. The insulator to metal transition can also be indexed by transitions in CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ single crystals.

Temperature dependence of resistivity $ho(T)$ and its derivative $dho/dT$ under various pressures up to 7 GPa for a CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ single crystal shows two phase transitions (Figure 3B). With increasing pressure, both $T_N$ and the resistivity minimum gradually move to higher temperatures. Meanwhile, a resistivity plateau appears at low temperature and becomes more pronounced with increasing pressure. Given that the Mn–Mn interatomic distance decreases slightly with increasing pressure in the low-pressure phase, these results suggest that the antiferromagnetic interactions between the Mn localized moments, mediated by the conduction electrons, are strengthened upon the pressure-induced decrease of the interatomic distances. The results for sample #1 are given in Figure 3B on a semilogarithmic scale. Interestingly, $\rho(T)$ at $P > P_c$ displays some mild anomalies that vary systematically with pressure. For example, $\rho(T)$ at 2.5 GPa exhibits a kink-like anomaly at around 200 K followed by a shoulder-like anomaly centered around 116 K. These features can be described by a symmetric peak at $T_1$ and a broad hump at $T_2$ in the $d\rho/dT$ curve, as shown in the middle of Figure 3B. With increasing pressure, $T_1$ shifts quickly to higher temperatures while $T_2$ moves to lower temperatures, and the magnitudes of these anomalies in the $d\rho/dT$ curve are progressively suppressed. The insulator to metal transition can also be indexed by electronic calculations shown in Figures S3 and S4B.

Figure 3C illustrates the temperature–pressure phase diagram. The material undergoes its unique structural phase transition around $P_c \approx 2.35$ GPa, as described above. In the low-pressure trigonal phase, the antiferromagnetic transition temperature increases slightly under pressure. The high-pressure monoclinic phase, in contrast, is a metal that undergoes two phase transitions, at $T_1$ and $T_2$, which exhibit opposite pressure dependences within the pressure range investigated. Since the resistivity decreases with a larger slope at $T_1$ (Figures 3B and S1), it is likely that the transition at $T_1$ represents long-range magnetic order (the scattering rate for conduction electrons is reduced when magnetic moments are ordered). This is supported by the fact that the positive pressure effect for $T_1$ is similar to that seen for $T_N$ in the low-pressure phase. In contrast, the broad hump feature around $T_2$ may be associated with the formation of a spin or charge density wave phase, which can be suppressed by pressure. This scenario is consistent with the chain-based quasi-1D structure and enhanced electrical conductivity in the high-pressure phase. Further neutron diffraction study would be of interest to determine the origin of these transitions in high-pressure chain-based CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$.

In summary, CaMn$_2$Bi$_2$ undergoes a structural phase transition from puckered Mn−Mn honeycomb layer to quasi-1D Mn−Mn chains at $P_c \approx 2.35$ GPa. The high-pressure resistivity measurements show two electronic transitions with opposite temperature dependence while one of them can be seen as antiferromagnetic ordering transition and the other is speculated to originate from the instability from Fermi surface, which is proven by the LMTO calculations.
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