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Abstract
Background: Quorum sensing is a communication system that regulates gene expression in response to population density and often regulates virulence determinants. Deletion of the luxR homologue vjbR highly attenuates intracellular survival of Brucella melitensis and has been interpreted to be an indication of a role for QS in Brucella infection. Confirmation for such a role was suggested, but not confirmed, by the demonstrated in vitro synthesis of an auto-inducer (AI) by Brucella cultures. In an effort to further delineate the role of VjbR to virulence and survival, gene expression under the control of VjbR and AI was characterized using microarray analysis.

Results: Analyses of wildtype B. melitensis and isogenic ΔvjbR transcriptomes, grown in the presence and absence of exogenous N-dodecanoyl homoserine lactone (C12-HSL), revealed a temporal pattern of gene regulation with variances detected at exponential and stationary growth phases. Comparison of VjbR and C12-HSL transcriptomes indicated the shared regulation of 127 genes with all but 3 genes inversely regulated, suggesting that C12-HSL functions via VjbR in this case to reverse gene expression at these loci. Additional analysis using a ΔvjbR mutant revealed that AHL also altered gene expression in the absence of VjbR, up-regulating expression of 48 genes and a luxR homologue blxR 93-fold at stationary growth phase. Gene expression alterations include previously un-described adhesins, proteases, antibiotic and toxin resistance genes, stress survival aids, transporters, membrane biogenesis genes, amino acid metabolism and transport, transcriptional regulators, energy production genes, and the previously reported fliF and virB operons.

Conclusions: VjbR and C12-HSL regulate expression of a large and diverse number of genes. Many genes identified as virulence factors in other bacterial pathogens were found to be differently expressed, suggesting an important contribution to intracellular survival of Brucella. From these data, we conclude that VjbR and C12-HSL contribute to virulence and survival by regulating expression of virulence mechanisms and thus controlling the ability of the bacteria to survive within the host cell. A likely scenario occurs via QS, however, operation of such a mechanism remains to be demonstrated.

Background
Brucella spp. are Gram-negative, non-motile, facultative intracellular bacterial pathogens that are the etiologic agents of brucellosis, causing abortion and sterility in a broad range of domestic and wild animals. Furthermore, brucellosis is a chronic zoonotic disease characterized in humans by undulant fever, arthritic pain and neurological disorders. Brucella virulence relies upon the ability to enter phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells, control the host’s intracellular trafficking to avoid lysosomal degradation, and replicate in a Brucella-containing vacuole (brucellosome) without restricting host cell functions or inducing programmed death [1-3]. Although a few genes are directly attributed to the survival and intracellular survivor.
trafficking of *Brucella* in the host cell (e.g., cyclic β-(1,2) glucan, lipopolysaccharide and the type IV secretion system (T4SS)), many aspects of the intracellular lifestyle remain unresolved [4-6].

Quorum sensing (QS), a communication system of bacteria, has been shown to coordinate group behavior in a density dependent manner by regulating gene expression; including secretion systems, biofilm formation, AI production, and cell division [7-10]. QS typically follows production of a diffusible signaling molecule or autoinducer (AI) acyl-homoserine lactone (AHL). Among proteobacteria, the larger family to which *Brucella* belong, the AHL signal is synthesized by *luxI*, and shown to interact with the transcriptional regulator LuxR to cooperatively modulate gene expression [9]. In addition to an AHL signal, LuxR regulatory activity can be modulated by phosphorylation (*fixL*), contain multiple ligand binding sites (*malT*), or LuxR can function as an autonomous effector without a regulatory domain (*gerE*) [11-13].

Two LuxR-like transcriptional regulators, VjbR and BlxR (or also referred to as BabR) have been identified in *Brucella melitensis* [14,15]. VjbR was shown to positively influence expression of the T4SS and flagellar genes, both of which contribute to *B. melitensis* virulence and survival [14]. Although an AHL signal N-dodecanoyl homoserine lactone (C12-HSL) has been purified from *Brucella* culture supernatants, the gene responsible for the production of this AHL (*luxI*) has not yet been identified [16]. One possible explanation for the apparent absence of *luxI* homologues is that *Brucella* contains a novel AHL synthetase that remains to be identified. The fact that both LuxR homologues respond to C12-HSL by altering the expression of virulence determinants is also consistent with a role for the autoinducer in regulating expression of genes necessary for intracellular survival [17,18]. Specifically, expression of the *virB* and *flgE* operons are repressed by the addition of exogenous C12-HSL [14,16]. The results reported here extend those observations and suggest that C12-HSL acts as a global repressor of gene expression via interaction with VjbR while functioning to activate expression of other loci independent of VjbR.

In the present study, we sought to identify additional regulatory targets of the putative QS components VjbR and C12-HSL in an effort to identify novel virulence factors to confirm a role for QS in intracellular survival. Custom *B. melitensis* 70-mer oligonucleotide microarrays were utilized to characterize gene expression. Comparison of transcript levels from *B. melitensis* wildtype and a vjbR deletion mutant, with and without the addition of exogenous C12-HSL revealed a large number of genes not previously shown to be regulated in *B. melitensis*, including those involved in numerous metabolic pathways and putative virulence genes (e.g., adhesins, proteases, lipoproteins, outer membrane proteins, secretion systems and potential effector proteins). Additionally, results confirmed earlier findings of genes regulated by these components, validating the microarray approach for identification of genes that may contribute to intracellular survival and virulence.

**Methods**

**Bacteria, macrophage strains and growth conditions**

*Escherichia coli* DH5α-T18 competent cells were used for cloning and routinely grown on Luria-Bertani (LB, Difco Laboratories) overnight at 37°C with supplemental kanamycin (100 mg/l) or carbenicillin (100 mg/l) as needed. *B. melitensis* 16M was grown on tryptic soy agar or broth (TSA or TSB) and J774A.1 murine macrophage-like cells were maintained in T-75 flasks in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, HEPES modification (DMEM), supplemented with 1x MEM non-essential amino acids (Sigma, St Louis, MO), 0.37% sodium bicarbonate and 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C with 5% CO2. All work with live *B. melitensis* was performed in a biosafety level 3 laboratory at Texas A&M University College Station, per CDC approved standard operating procedures. All bacterial strains used are listed in Additional File 1, Table S1.

**Generation of gene replacement and deletion mutants**

LuxR-like proteins were identified in *B. melitensis* using NCBI BLAST protein homology searches [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/]. *B. melitensis* 16M *luxR* gene replacement and deletion mutations were created as previously described by our laboratory, with plasmids and strains generated described in Additional File 1, Table S1 and primers for PCR applications listed in Additional File 2, Table S2 [19]. For complementation of the ΔvjbR mutation, gene locus BMEII1116 was amplified by PCR primers TAF588 and TAF589, cloned into pMR10-Kan XbaI sites, and electroporated into *B. melitensis* 16MΔvjbR (Additional File 1, Table S1 and Additional File 2, Table S2).

**Gentamycin protection assay**

J774A.1 cells were seeded into 24-well plates at a density of 2.5 × 10⁵ CFU/well and allowed to rest for 24 hours in DMEM. J774A.1 cells were infected with *B. melitensis* 16M or mutant strains in individual wells at an MOI of 20. Following infection, monolayers were centrifuged (200 × g) for 5 min and incubated for 20 minutes. Infected monolayers were washed 3 × in Peptone Saline (1% Bacto-Peptone and 0.5% NaCl), and incubated in DMEM supplemented with gentamycin (40 μg/ml) for 1 hour. To collect internalized bacteria at time 0 and 48 hours post-infection, macrophages were lysed in 0.5% Tween-20 and serial dilutions were plated to determine bacterial colony forming units (CFU).
RNA collection
Cultures were grown in Brucella Broth at 37°C with agitation. Cultures for the AHL experiments were grown with the addition of exogenous N-dodecanoylhomoserine lactone (C_{12}-HSL, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) added at inoculation (50 ng/ml) dissolved in DMSO (at a final concentration of 0.008%) [16]. Total RNA was extracted at mid-exponential (OD_{600} = 0.4) and early stationary (OD_{600} = 1.5) growth phases by hot acidic phenol extraction, as previously described [20]. Contaminating DNA was degraded by incubation with DNAsel I (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following manufacturer’s instructions and purification using the HighPure RNA isolation kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). RNA integrity, purity and concentration were evaluated using a 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara CA), electrophoresis, and the Nanodrop® ND-1000 (Nanodrop, Wilmington, DE).

DNA and RNA labeling for microarrays
*B. melitensis* 16M genomic DNA was processed into cDNA using the BioPrime® Plus Array CGH Indirect Genomic Labeling System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and purified using PCR purification columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions and eluted in 0.1× of the supplied elution buffer.

The cDNA synthesis from total RNA was produced using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase kit following manufacturer instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Reactions were subsequently purified with PCR Purification columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) using a modified wash (5 mM KPO_{4} (pH 8.0) and 80% ethanol) and incremental elution with 4 mM KPO_{4} pH 8.5. Alexa-Fluor 555 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was coupled to the RNA-derived cDNA following the procedure outlined in the BioPrime® Plus Array CGH Indirect Genomic Labeling System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and purified using PCR purification columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Labeled RNA samples were dried completely and re-suspended in ddH_{2}O immediately before hybridization to the microarrays.

Microarray construction
Unique 70-mer oligonucleotides (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) representing 3,227 ORFs of *B. melitensis* 16M and unique sequences from *B. abortus* and *B. suis* were suspended in 3× SSC (Ambion, Austin, TX) at 40 μM. The oligonucleotides were spotted in quadruplicate onto ultraGAP glass slides (Corning, Corning, NY) by a custom-built robotic arrayer (Magna Arrayer) assembled at Dr. Stephen A. Johnston’s lab at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (Dallas, TX). The printed slides were steamed, UV cross-linked, and stored in a desiccator until use.

Microarray pre-hybridization, hybridization and washing
Printed slides were submerged in 0.2% SDS for 2 minutes and washed 3× in ddH_{2}O before incubation in prehybridization solution (5× SSC, 0.1% SDS and 1% BSA) at 45°C for 45 minutes. Next, slides were washed 5× in ddH_{2}O, rinsed with isopropanol, and immediately dried by centrifugation at 200 × g for 2 minutes at room temperature. The labeled cDNA mix was combined with 1× hybridization buffer (25% formamide, 1× SSC and 0.1%SDS) and applied to the microarray in conjunction with a 22 × 60 mm LifterSlip (Erie Scientific, Portsmouth, NH). The microarray slides were hybridized at 42°C for approximately 21 hours in a sealed hybridization chamber with moisture (Corning, Corning, NY), and subsequently washed at room temperature with agitation in 2× SSC and 0.2% SDS (pre-heated to 42°C) for 10 minutes, 5 minutes in 2× SSC, followed by 0.2× SSC for 5 minutes, and dried by centrifugation for 2 minutes (200 × g) at room temperature.

Microarray data acquisition and analysis
Array slides were scanned using GenePix 4100A (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and GenePix 6.1 Pro software. Seralogix, Inc. (Austin, TX) performed microarray analysis, normalizing the data and identifying differentially expressed genes by a two-tail z-score level greater than ± 1.96, equating to a confidence level of 95%. Additionally, the NIH/NIAID WRCE bioinformatics core performed microarray analysis as follows: GeneSifter (VizX Labs, Seattle, WA) was used to perform normalization based on the global mean and genes with alterations of least a 1.5-fold, with a p value of 0.05 or less based on Student’s t-test were deemed as statistically significant. Any gene that was considered statistically significant based on Student’s t-test but not by the z-score criteria were further expected to be at least 50% greater in magnitude (e.g., 1.5-fold greater) than the fold-change observed between any two biological replicate samples. All gene expression data have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE13634.

Quantitative real time PCR
Taqman® universal probes and primer pairs (Additional File 2, Table S2) were selected using Roche’s Universal Probe Library and probefinder software http://www.universalprobelibrary.com. RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and PCR reactions consisted of 1× TaqMan® universal PCR master mix, no AmpErase® UNG (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), 200 nM of each primer and 100 nM of probe. With the exception of BMEI1758, genes were selected at random for quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) verification,
and were performed in triplicate for each sample within a plate and repeated 3× using the 7500 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Gene expression was normalized to that of 16s rRNA and the fold-change calculated using the comparative threshold method [21].

Screen for a putative AHL synthase

Fifteen B. melitensis genetic loci and P. aeruginosa lasI and rhlI were amplified by PCR, cloned into BamHI sites in the pET-11a expression vector and transformed by heat-shock into E. coli BL21-Gold(DE3) cells (Additional File 1, Table S1 and Additional File 2, Table S2). The resulting clones were cross streaked on LB agar supplemented with 2 mM IPTG with E. coli JLD271 + pAL105 and pAL106 for detection of C12-HSL production, and E. coli JLD271 + pAL101 and pAL102 for detection of C4-HSL production (Additional File 1, Table S1). Cross-streaks were incubated at 37°C for 2-8 hours, and luminescence was detected using the FluorChem Imaging System (Alpha-Innotech, San Leandro, CA) at varied exposure times.

Results and Discussion

Identification and screening for attenuation of ΔluxR mutants in J774A.1 macrophage-like cells

A luxR-like gene, vjbR, was identified in a mutagenesis screen conducted by this laboratory and others [22]. More recently, a second luxR-like gene, blxR (or babR), has also been identified and characterized [15,23]. These two homologues, VjBR and BxR, contain the two domains associated with QS LuxR proteins (i.e., autoinducer binding domain and LuxR DNA binding domain). BLAST protein homology searches with the LuxR-like proteins identified three additional proteins that contain significant similarity to the LuxR helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA binding domain but do not contain the AHL binding domain. All 5 B. melitensis LuxR-like proteins exhibit similar levels of relatedness to Agrobacterium tumefaciens TraR homolog (29-34%) and canonical LuxR homolog LasR from Pseudomonas aeruginosa (29-43%). Despite the absence of a characterized activation domain, evaluation of these three proteins was pursued due to their similarity with LuxR homologs best characterized in Vibrio harveyi that act autonomously or via phosphorylation/dephosphorylation to alter gene expression from selected loci [24,25].

Gene replacement and deletion mutations were created for all five homologues including the three newly discovered HTH LuxR DNA binding domain homologues (BME I1582, I1751 and I10853), vjbR, and blxR in B. melitensis 16M and survival in J774A.1 macrophage-like cells was subsequently assessed by gentamycin protection assays. Confirming previous findings, intracellular survival was significantly reduced for both the vjbR transposon and deletion mutants and not for the blxR mutant, as indicated by CFU recovery after 48 hrs of infection (Fig. 1) [14,23]. Survival of the vjbR mutant was restored to nearly wildtype levels after complementation (Fig. 1). No significant difference in CFU was observed for the other three mutants when compared to wildtype infected cells, indicating that these homologues are either not required for intracellular replication in macrophages or there is functional redundancy among some of homologues (Fig. 1). A recent report presented evidence indicating that the ΔblxR and ΔvjbR mutants exhibited similar levels of attenuated intracellular survival in the RAW264.7 macrophage cells [15]. However, the ΔblxR mutant proved to be virulent in IRF1-/- knockout mice, with only a slight delay in mortality when compared to wildtype (10 days vs. 7.4, respectively) [15]. For comparison, all of the mice inoculated with the ΔvjbR mutant survived to at least day 14 [15]. Taken together the results suggest that the loss of blxR expression has only a modest effect on virulence/survival and the attenuated phenotype of the ΔvjbR mutant is more consistently observed.
Microarray analysis indicates that Brucella putative quorum sensing components are global regulators of gene expression

To investigate the transcriptional effects resulting from a vjbR deletion and the addition of exogenous C_{12}-HSL, RNA was isolated from wildtype *B. melitensis* 16M, the isogenic ΔvjbR, and both strains with the addition of exogenous C_{12}-HSL, at a logarithmic growth phase and an early stationary growth phase. The use of exogenous C_{12}-HSL addition to cultures was selected because of the inability to eliminate the gene(s) responsible for C_{12}-HSL production. Three independent RNA samples were harvested at each time point (exponential and early stationary growth phases) and hybridized with reference genomic DNA, which yielded a total of 24 microarrays.

Microarray analysis revealed a total of 202 (Fig. 2A, blue circles) and 229 genes (Fig. 2B, blue circles) to be differentially expressed between wildtype and ΔvjbR cultures at exponential and stationary growth phases, respectively (details provided in Additional File 3, Table S3). This comprises 14% of the *B. melitensis* genome and is comparable to the value of 10% for LuxR-regulated genes previously predicted for *P. aeruginosa* [26]. The majority of altered genes at the exponential phase were down-regulated (168 genes) in the absence of vjbR, while only 34 genes were up-regulated (Fig. 2A, blue circles). There were also a large number of down-regulated genes (108 genes) at the stationary phase; however, at this later time point there were also 121 genes that were specifically up-regulated (Fig. 2B, blue circles). When comparing wild-type cells with and without the addition of exogenous C_{12}-HSL, the majority of genes were found to be down-regulated at both growth phases, 249 genes at exponential phase (Fig. 2A, green circle) and 89 genes at stationary phase (Fig. 2B, green circle). These data suggest that VjbR is primarily a promoter of gene expression at the exponential growth phase and acts as both a transcriptional repressor and activator at the stationary growth phase. Conversely, C_{12}-HSL primarily represses gene expression at both growth phases.

Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed to verify the changes in gene expression for 11 randomly selected genes found to be altered by the microarray analyses (Table 1). For consistency across the different transcriptional profiling assays, cDNA was synthesized from the same RNA extracts harvested for the microarray experiments. For the 11 selected genes, the relative transcript levels were comparable to the expression levels obtained from the microarray data.

Recently, a *virB* promoter sequence was identified and confirmed to promote expression of downstream genes via VjbR [27]. With such a large number of transcriptional regulators found to be altered downstream of VjbR and by the addition of C_{12}-HSL (Table 2), it is plausible that many of the gene alterations observed may be downstream events and not directly regulated by VjbR. To identify altered genes that are likely directly regulated by VjbR, microarray data from these studies were compared to the potential operons downstream of the predicted VjbR promoter sequences [27]. A total of 91 potential operons from the 144 previously predicted VjbR promoter sequences were found to be altered by a deletion of VjbR and/or treatment of wildtype cells with C_{12}-HSL, comprised of 215 genes (Additional File 4, Table S4) [27]. A total of 11 promoters from the confirmed 15 found to be activated by VjbR in an *E. coli* model were identified in the microarray analyses conducted in this study, confirming the direct regulation of these particular operons (Additional File 4, Table S4) [27].

The differentially expressed genes were categorized by clusters of orthologous genes (COGs), obtained from the DOE Joint Genome Institute Integrated Microbial Genomics project http://img.jgi.doe.gov/cgi-bin/pub/main.cgi. This classification revealed categories that were equally altered by both the vjbR mutant and addition of C12-HSL to wildtype bacteria (Fig. 3). For example; defense mechanisms, intracellular trafficking and secretion were highly over-represented when compared to genomic content. Of particular note, genes involved in cell division were found to be over-represented in wildtype bacteria grown in the presence of C12-HSL but not by deletion of vjbR, indicating that C12-HSL regulates cellular division and may play a key role in the intracellular replication of the bacteria.

Genes found to be altered by deletion of vjbR and treatment with C_{12}-HSL in both wildtype and ΔvjbR backgrounds were compared to data compiled from random mutagenesis screenings, resulting in the identification of 61 genes (Tables 2, 3, 4 and Additional File 3, Table S3) [22,28,39]. This correlation strongly suggests that VjbR and C_{12}-HSL are involved not only in regulating the expression of a diverse array of genes but numerous genetic loci that individually make significant contributions to the intracellular survival of *Brucella* spp.

**VjbR and C_{12}-HSL modulate gene transcription in a temporal manner**

Comparison of altered gene transcripts resulting from the ΔvjbR mutation revealed that 13% (54 statistically significant genes) were found to be regulated at both growth phases, suggesting that VjbR exerts temporal control over gene regulation (Additional File 3, Table S3). A similar subset of genes were also identified in wildtype bacteria that were treated with C_{12}-HSL when compared to those without treatment, with 12% (54 genes, Additional File 3, Table S3) of transcripts altered at both growth stages. The
low correlation of genes altered at both growth stages suggests that both VjbR and C_{12}-HSL regulate distinct regulons at the two growth stages examined.

A recent study compared microarray and proteomic data from a ΔvjbR mutant at a late exponential growth phase (OD_{600} = 0.75), corresponding to a total of 14 genes and the virB operon found at the growth phases examined here [23]. Of the 14 genes in common with the study by Uzureau et al.; 2 genes and the virB operon identified in our study (BMEI1435 and I1939) correlated in the magnitude of change with both the protein and microarray data, BMEI1267 correlated with the protein data, and 3 genes (BMEI1900, I10358 and I10374) correlated with the microarray data (Additional File 3, Table S3) [23]. Additionally, 5 genes did not correspond with the magnitude of alteration in the microarray analyses conducted in this study (BMEI0747, I1305, I1367, I10098 and I10923; Table 3 and Additional File 3, Table S3) [23]. The low similarity of regulated genes from these two studies that examined a total of 3 different growth phases provides further support of the VjbR temporal gene regulation observed here [23].

A similar pattern of temporal gene regulation by AHL quorum sensing signals has also been observed in P. aeruginosa [26,40]. Distinct regulons were identified at an exponential and early stationary growth phase by utilization of a mutated strain that does not produce AHL signals, leading to the conclusion that the temporal regulation is independent of AHL concentration [26,40]. Examination of two luxR gene transcript levels in P.

---

**Figure 2** Numbers and relationships of transcripts altered by the deletion of vjbR and/or treatment of C_{12}-HSL. Numbers represent the statistically significant transcripts found to be up or down-regulated by microarray analysis at the A) exponential growth phase (OD_{600} = 0.4) and B) stationary growth phase (OD_{600} = 1.5).
*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* revealed an increase from the late logarithmic to early stationary phase, coinciding with the induction of most quorum-activated genes and supporting a hypothesis that the receptor levels govern the onset of induction [40]. Likewise, the relative expression of *B. melitensis* vjbR was found to increase 25-fold from exponential to stationary growth phase by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4). The observed increase in the transcript levels of *vjbR* supports a similar hypothesis for the temporal gene regulation observed by VjbR in *B. melitensis*

**VjbR and C12-HSL alter expression of a common set of genes**

To examine the relationship between VjbR and C12-HSL gene regulation, the significantly altered genes from the VjbR regulon were compared to the significantly altered genes from the C12-HSL regulon (Tables 2, 3, 4 and Additional File 3, Table S3). In all, 72 genes were found to be co-regulated during the exponential growth phase and 55 genes at the stationary growth phase, representing approximately 20% of the total number of altered genes identified by microarray analysis. The majority of the common, differently expressed transcripts (124 out of 127) were found to be altered in the same direction by both the vjbR mutant and in response to C12-HSL administration, implying that VjbR and C12-HSL exert inverse effects on gene expression.

In addition to the T4SS and flagella operons being inversely co-regulated, T4SS-dependent effector proteins VceA and VceC were also found to be inversely regulated by the vjbR deletion mutant and addition of C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41]. The down-regulation of these factors in vjbR mutants and in response to C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41]. The down-regulation of these factors in vjbR mutants and in response to C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41]. The down-regulation of these factors in vjbR mutants and in response to C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41]. The down-regulation of these factors in vjbR mutants and in response to C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41]. The down-regulation of these factors in vjbR mutants and in response to C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41]. The down-regulation of these factors in vjbR mutants and in response to C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41]. The down-regulation of these factors in vjbR mutants and in response to C12-HSL to wildtype cells, as well as exopolysaccharide production, proteases, peptidases and a universal stress protein (Table 4). Flagellar and exopolysaccharide synthesis genes have been implicated in the intracellular survival of *Brucella* in mice and macrophages [4,41].

### Table 1: Quantitative real time PCR and corresponding microarray data of selected genes.

| BME Loci | Gene Function | Condition (growth phase) | Change (Fold) qRT-PCR | Microarray |
|----------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| I 0984   | ABC-Type β-(1,2) Glucan Transporter | ΔvjbR/wt (ES)            | -2.5                  | -2.1      |
| II 0151  | Flagellar M-Ring Protein, FliF | ΔvjbR/wt (ES)            | -7.9                  | -2.2      |
| II 1069  | Adhesin, AidA | ΔvjbR/wt (SP)            | -1.9                  | -1.5      |
| I 0561   | Membrane-Bound Lytic Murein Transglycosylase B | ΔvjbR/wt (SP) | -1.7                  | -2.0      |
| II 0025  | Attachment Mediating Protein VirB1 | ΔvjbR/wt (SP) | -4.1                  | -2.6      |
| I 0831   | UDP-3-O-[3-hydroxymyristoyl] Glucosamine N-Acyltransferase | wt + AHL/wt (ES) | 2.2                   | 2.3       |
| II 0151  | Flagellar M-Ring Protein, FliF | wt + AHL/wt (ES) | -3.8                  | -2.1      |
| II 0838  | Succinoglycan Biosynthesis Transport Protein, ExoT | wt + AHL/wt (ES) | -1.7                  | -4.3      |
| II 1116  | LuxR Family Transcriptional Regulator, VjbR | wt + AHL/wt (SP) | -2.9                  | -         |
| I 1758   | LuxR Family Transcriptional Regulator, BlxR | wt + AHL/wt (SP) | 27.5                  | -         |
| I 0155   | Putative Allantoin Permease | wt + AHL/wt (SP) | -1.7                  | -1.4      |
| II 0025  | Attachment Mediating Protein VirB1 | wt + AHL/wt (SP) | -2.5                  | -2.2      |
| II 0753  | ABC-Type Sorbitol/Mannitol Transport Inner Membrane Protein | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR + AHL (ES) | 1.5                   | 2.5       |
| I 0838   | UDP-3-O-[3-hydroxymyristoyl] Glucosamine N-Acyltransferase | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR + AHL (SP) | 99.5                  | -         |

A (-) indicates genes excluded for technical reasons or had a fold change of less than 1.5. qRT-PCR values were calculated by the ΔΔCt method normalized to 16s rRNA and are relative to the wildtype, averaged from 3 independently isolated samples, performed in triplicate in a minimum of three assays. ES, Exponential growth phase; SP, Stationary growth phase.
### Table 2: Transcripts associated with gene regulation significantly altered between 16M and 16MΔvjbR, with and without the treatment of C12-HSL to cells.

| BME Loci | Gene Function                     | Exponential Growth Phase Change (fold) | Stationary Growth Phase Change (fold) | STM |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
|          |                                   | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL | wt+AHL/ΔvjbR+AHL | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL | wt+AHL/ΔvjbR+AHL | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL |
| I 0019   | LacI Family                       | -2.9                                   | -1.8†                                   | 1.9                                  | 1.5†                                   | -                       |
| I 0305   | DeoR Family                       | -1.7                                   | -1.7†                                   | 1.9                                  | 1.5†                                   | -                       |
| I 0447   | Leucine-Responsive Regulatory Protein | 1.6                                | -                                    | -2.4                                  | -1.8                                   | -                       |
| I 0781   | DNA-Directed RNA Polymerase A Subunit | 2.4†                               | 2.8                                  | -                                    | -                                    | -                       |
| I 1383   | AraC Family                       | -2.4                                   | -1.5†                                   | -                                    | -1.7†                                   | -                       |
| I 1607   | LuxR Family DNA Binding Domain     | 1.8†                                   | 3.0                                  | -                                    | -1.5†                                   | -                       |
| I 1631   | TetR Family                       | -1.9                                   | -2.1                                  | -                                    | -                                    | -                       |
| I 1700   | Predicted Transcriptional Regulator | 2.0                                | 2.9                                  | -                                    | -                                    | -                       |
| II 0051  | LuxR Family DNA Binding Domain     | -1.9                                   | -2.8                                  | -                                    | -                                    | -                       |
| II 0800  | AraC Family                       | 1.7                                   | 2.2†                                  | -                                    | -                                    | -                       |
| II 0854  | CRP Family Transcriptional Regulator | -                                | 1.6†                                  | -                                    | -1.5                                   | -1.7†                     |
| II 0985  | Lacl Family                       | -2.5                                   | -2.7†                                  | -                                    | -2.4                                   | -                       |
| II 1022  | IclR Family                       | -1.5†                                   | -1.8                                  | -                                    | -1.9                                   | -2.1†                     |
| II 1098  | AraC Family                       | -1.8                                   | -2.8                                  | -                                    | 1.9                                   | 1.5†                     |
| I 0446   | MarR Family                       | 1.9†                                   | 2.9                                    | 2.9†                                  | -                                    | -                       |
| I 0518   | Cold Shock Protein, CspA           | 1.6                                   | -                                    | -2.0†                                  | 1.7                                   | -                       |
| I 0720   | Sugar Fermentation Stimulation Protein | -                                | -2.0†                                  | 1.7†                                  | -1.7†                                   | -                       |
| I 0899   | Phage-Related DNA Binding Protein  | -1.8                                   | -1.5†                                  | -1.9†                                  | 1.6                                   | -2.4†                     |
| I 11098  | AsnC Family                       | -1.7                                   | -2.0†                                  | -1.6†                                  | -                                    | -                       |
| I 1291   | AraC Family                       | -                                    | -1.9                                   | -1.7†                                  | 1.7                                   | -                       |
| I 1641   | TetR Family                       | -                                    | -                                    | -2.7†                                  | -1.7                                   | -1.8                     |
| I 1885   | LysR Family                       | -                                    | -1.8†                                  | -2.3†                                  | -1.6                                   | -                       |
| II 0127  | IclR Family                       | -                                    | 1.6†                                  | -                                    | -1.8                                   | 1.6†                     |
| II 0219  | IclR Family                       | -                                    | -1.9                                   | -1.7†                                  | 1.7                                   | -                       |
| II 0657  | Transcription Elongation Factor    | 2.4†                                   | 3.1                                  | -                                    | -                                    | -                       |
| II 0810  | ArsR Family                       | 2.0                                   | 2.0                                    | 1.8                                   | 1.6†                                  | -2.3†                     |

A (-) indicates genes excluded for technical reasons or had a fold change of less than 1.5; † genes that did not pass the statistical significance test but showed an average alteration of at least 1.5-fold. Fold change values are the averaged log2 ratio of normalized signal values from two independent statistical analyses. Abbreviations as follows: STM, Signature Tagged Mutagenesis.
may be the result of C12-HSL reducing VjbR transcriptional activity through the AHL binding domain. Additionally, the observation that the expression of vjbR itself was down-regulated at the stationary growth phase in response to C12-HSL administration further supports a non-cooperative relationship between VjbR and C12-HSL, (2.9-fold by qRT-PCR and 1.2-fold by microarray analysis, Table 1).

Physiological characterization of VjbR and C12-HSL transcriptomes

**Virulence.** Microarray results confirmed alteration of the previously identified T4SS and flagellar genes, both virulence-associated operons found to be regulated by VjbR and/or C12-HSL, as well as genes with homology to the recently identified T4SS effector proteins in B. abortus and B. suis [14,27]. Furthermore, many putative virulence
Table 3: Transcripts associated with transport significantly altered between 16M and 16MΔvjbR, with and without the treatment of C₁₂-HSL to cells.

| BME Loci | Gene Function | Exponential Growth Phase Change fold | Stationary Growth Phase Change (fold) | STM |
|----------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
|          |               | ΔvjbR/wt  | wt+AHL/wt  | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL | ΔvjbR/wt | wt+AHL/wt  | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL |
| **Amino Acid** |               |           |           |               |           |           |               |
| I 0114   | ABC-Type AA Transport | 1.6       | 2.1       | -             | 1.8       | 1.5†      | -             |
| I 0263   | ABC-Type Leucine/Isoleucine/Valine/Threonine/Alanine Transport | -1.8†  | -         | -             | 2.1       | 2.1       | -             |
| II 0038  | D-Serine, D-Alanine, Glycine Transporter | -         | -1.5†     | -             | -1.6†     | -1.8       | -             |
| II 0517  | ABC-Type Branched Chain AA Transport System, AzlC | -1.8       | -         | -             | -2.2       | -1.7†     | -             |
| II 0873  | ABC-Type High Affinity Branched Chain AA Transport System, LivF | -2.0†  | -2.3       | -             | -         | -1.5†     | -             |
| II 0909  | Glutamate, γ-Aminobutyrate Antiporter | -         | -         | -             | -2.1       | -1.7       | -             |
| I 0260   | ABC-Type High-Affinity Branched Chain AA Transport, BraF | -         | 2.1       | -             | -1.5†     | -         | 3.0†         |
| I 0642   | Urea Transporter | -2.3†     | -1.9       | 2.0†         | -         | -         | -             |
| I 1022   | ABC-Type Arginine, Ornithine Transporter | 1.7†     | 2.8       | 2.2†         | -         | -         | -             |
| I 1869   | Homoserine Lactone Efflux Protein | -         | -2.3       | -             | -1.5†     | -         | 2.1†         |
| II 0070  | ABC-Type Branched Chain AA Transport System | -         | 1.6†     | -             | -2.5       | -1.8†     | 1.9           |
| II 0484  | ABC-Type Spermidine/Putrescine Transport System | -2.3       | -2.5       | -             | -2.0       | -2.3†     | -             |
| **Carbohydrate** |               |           |           |               |           |           |               |
| I 1385   | ABC-Type Lactose Transport System | -2.6†     | -3.2       | -             | -         | -         | -             |
| I 0115   | ABC-Type G3P Transport System | -1.7†     | -3.2       | -             | -         | -         | -             |
| I 0301   | ABC-Type Ribose Transport System, RbsC | 1.5†     | -         | -             | -1.9       | -         | -             |
| II 1096  | MFS Family, Putative Tartrate Transporter | 1.7†     | 2.6       | -             | -         | -         | -             |
| I 0556   | MFS Transporter ?-Ketoglutarate Permease | -2.4†     | -2.5       | -             | -         | -         | -2.2†         |
| Module | Description | I0300 | I0362 | I0700 | I0701 | I0702 | I0838 | I0851 |
|--------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| II 0300 | ABC-Type Ribose Transport System, RbsA | -1.9 | -1.8 | -1.7 | -1.6 | -1.6 | -2.4 | 2.1 |
| II 0362 | ABC-Type Xylose Transport System, XylH | -1.6 | -2.5 | -3.0 | -2.1 | -2.8 | -2.5 | -3.6 |
| II 0700 | Galactoside Transport System, MglC | 1.6 | -1.8 | -2.1 | -1.7 | -1.2 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| II 0701 | ABC-Type Ribose Transport System, RbsC | 2.4 | 2.2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| II 0702 | ABC-Type Simple Sugar Transport System | 1.5 | -3.6 | -2.8 | -5.5 | -5.1 | -2.0 | -4.3 |
| II 0838 | Succinoglycan Biosynthesis Transport Protein, ExoT | -2.2 | -4.2 | -4.2 | -1.7 | - | - | - |
| II 0851 | Exopolysaccharide Export, ExoF Precursor | -2.1 | - | -2.1 | - | - | - | - |

**Defense Mechanism**

| Module | Description | I0361 | I0472 | I0656 | I1743 | I1934 | I0199 | I0205 | I0285 | I0221 |
|--------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| I 0361 | ABC-Type Antimicrobial Peptide Transporter System, FtsX | -1.9 | -1.6 | -1.6 | -1.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| I 0472 | ABC-Type Multidrug Transport System | - | 2.0 | -1.6 | -1.5 | - | | | | |
| I 0656 | ABC-Type Multidrug Transporter | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.6 | - | - | | | | |
| I 1743 | ABC-Type Multidrug Transporter System | - | - | -1.8 | -1.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| I 1934 | ABC-Type Oligopeptide Transport System | -1.6 | -1.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| I 0199 | ABC-Type Oligopeptide Transport System, OppF | -1.5 | -2.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| I 0205 | ABC-Type Oligo/Dipeptide Transport System, DppF | -1.9 | -2.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| I 0285 | ABC-Type Oligo/Dipeptide/Nickel Transport System, DppB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| I 0221 | ABC-Type Oligo/Dipeptide/Nickel Transport System, DppC | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 3: Transcripts associated with transport significantly altered between 16M and 16MΔvjbR, with and without the treatment of C12-HSL to cells. (Continued)
| Gene ID | Description                                           | Fold Change 16MΔvjbR | Fold Change 16MΔvjbR | Fold Change 16MΔvjbR | Fold Change 16MΔvjbR | Fold Change 16MΔvjbR |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| II 0382 | Acriflavin Resistance Protein D                        | -1.5†                 | -1.8                 | -                    | 1.8†                 |
| **Inorganic Ions** |                                        |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| I 1041  | ABC-Type Fe-S Cluster Assembly Transporter            | 1.5†                  | 2.0                  | -                    | -                    | -                    |
| I 1954  | ABC-Type Metal Ion Transport System                   | -2.0                  | -1.6                 | -                    | 2.0                  | 2.1                  |
| II 0005 | ABC-Type Molybdate-Binding Protein                    | -2.7                  | -2.4                 | -                    | 1.8†                 | -                    |
| II 0418 | Mg²⁺ Transporter Protein, MgtE                         | -3.2                  | -1.9†                | -                    | -1.6†                | -1.8†                |
| II 0798 | ABC-Type Nitrate Transport System, NrtC               | -                     | -                    | -                    | -2.1                 | -2.1                 |
| II 0923 | ABC-Type Spermidine/Putrescine Transport System        | -1.9†                 | -2.6                 | -                    | -                    | -                    |
| II 1121 | ABC-Type Fe³⁺ Transport System, SfuB                   |                       |                       | -1.8†                | -1.9                 | -                    |
| I 0637  | ABC-Type Cobalt Transport Protein, CbiQ                | 1.5†                  | 2.3                  | 1.9†                 | -1.6†                | -                    |
| I 0641  | ABC-Type Co²⁺ Transport System                        | 1.8†                  | 1.9                  | -                    | -1.8                 | -                    |
| I 0659  | ABC-Type Fe³⁺ Siderophore Transport System            | -2.0                  | -1.8                 | -1.8†                | -1.8†                | -1.7†                |
| I 1739  | ABC-Type Nitrate/Sulfonate/Bicarbonate Transporter    | -1.5†                 | -1.8                 | -1.8†                | -1.7                 | -2.1                 |
| II 0176 | ABC-Type High-Affinity Zn Transport System, ZnuB       | -2.4†                 | -2.3                 | -1.8†                | -                    | -                    |
| II 0770 | Potassium Efflux System, PhaA, PhaB                   | -2.0†                 | -2.1                 | -1.6†                | -                    | -                    |
| **Other** |                                                    |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| I 1198  | RDD Family, Hypothetical Membrane Spanning Protein    | 1.5                   | 1.6†                 | -1.7†                | -                    | -                    |
| I 1554  | MFS Family Transporter                                 |                       | 1.6†                 | -                    | -                    | -                    |
| I 1851  | ABC-Type Heme Exporter Protein C                       |                       |                      |                       |                       |                       |
| II 1136 | ABC-Type Uncharacterized Transport System              | -1.5†                 | -1.9                 | -2.2                 | -                    | -                    |

A (-) indicates genes excluded for technical reasons or had a fold change of less than 1.5; † genes that did not pass the statistical significance test but showed an average alteration of at least 1.5-fold. Fold change values are the averaged log₂ ratio of normalized signal values from two independent statistical analyses. Abbreviations are as follows: STM, Signature Tagged Mutagenesis; DME, Drug/Metabolite Exporter; G3P, Glycerol-3-Phosphate; AA, amino acid.
Table 4: Genetic loci transcripts significantly altered between 16M and 16MΔvjbR, with or without the treatment of C12-HSL that may contribute to virulence.

| BME Loci | Gene Function | Exponential Growth Phase Change (fold) | Stationary Growth Phase Change (fold) | STM |
|----------|---------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
|          |               | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL | wt + AHL/ΔvjbR+AHL | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL | wt + AHL/ΔvjbR+AHL | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL |
|          |               | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL | wt + AHL/ΔvjbR+AHL | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL | wt + AHL/ΔvjbR+AHL | ΔvjbR/ΔvjbR+AHL |
| Cell Membrane |       |                        |                                |                    |                   |                         |
| I 1873  | Autotransporter Adhesin | -2.2 | - | - | -1.5† | - | - |
| II 1069 | Adhesin, AidA | -1.5† | - | - | -1.5 | - | - |
| I 0402  | 31 KDa OMP Precursor | - | 1.5† | - | -1.7 | -1.7† | - |
| I 0330  | OpgC Protein | - | -2.0 | -1.9† | - | - | - |
| I 0671  | Integral Membrane Protein, Hemolysin | - | -2.7 | -2.2† | - | - | - |
| II 1070 | Adhesin AidA-I | 1.7 | - | - | -1.9† | -1.9† | -2.6† |
| I 1304  | Porin, F Precursor | - | - | -3.6† | -3.5 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| I 1305  | Porin | - | -2.3 | -1.8† | -1.5† | - | - |
| Cell Motility |       |                        |                                |                    |                   |                         |
| II 0161 | Flagellar Hook-Associated Protein 3 | -1.8† | -2.7 | - | - | - | - |
| II 0165 | Flagellar Biosynthesis Protein | -1.9† | -2.8 | - | - | - | - |
| I 1692  | Flagellar Protein, FlgJ | - | - | -2.3† | -1.8 | -2.1 | -3.4† |
| II 0160 | Flagellar Hook-Associated Protein, FlgK | -1.6† | -2.0 | -1.7† | - | - | - |
| II 0162 | FlaF Protein | -2.1 | -2.0† | - | - | - | -1.6† |
| I 0167  | Flagellar Biosynthesis Protein, FlhA | -1.6† | -2.3 | -1.8† | -1.5† | -1.9† | -5.5† |
| II 1109 | Chemotaxis Protein, MotA | -1.6† | 2.0† | -3.6† | -1.7 | -1.5† | - |
| Protease and Lipoprotein |       |                        |                                |                    |                   |                         |
| I 0611  | HflC Protein, Stomatin, Prohibitin, Flotillin, HflK-C Domains | -1.6 | - | - | -1.7† | - | - |
| I 1079  | Lipoprotein NlpD | - | -1.5† | - | -1.6† | -1.6† | - |
| I 1799  | Lipoprotein Signal Peptidase | 2.2 | 2.1† | - | - | -1.6† | - |
| II 0831 | Hypothetical Protein, Aminopeptidase-Like Domain | -1.6† | -2.0 | - | - | - | 3.1† |
| I 0213  | Metalloendopeptidase | -1.7† | -2.7† | -1.6† | 2.1 | - | - |
| I 0282  | Zinc Metallolipoprotein | -1.8 | -1.7 | - | - | - | 3.4† |
| II 0149 | Extracellular Serine Protease | -3.2 | -1.8 | 2.9† | - | - | -1.7 | - |
| Secretion System |       |                        |                                |                    |                   |                         |
| I 0390  | VceA | -1.4† | -1.3† | - | - | -1.2† | - |
| I 0948  | VceC | 1.1† | 1.4† | - | 1.6† | 1.3† | - |
Table 4: Genetic loci transcripts significantly altered between 16M and 16MΔvjbR, with or without the treatment of C12-HSL that may contribute to virulence.

|   | Exopolysaccharide Production | Predicted Exported Protein | Tetratricopeptide Repeat Family | Hypothetical Exported Protein, YajC | Attachment Mediating Protein VirB1 | Attachment Mediating Protein VirB2 | Channel Protein VirB3 | Attachment Mediating Protein VirB5 | Channel Protein VirB6 | Channel Protein VirB8 | Channel Protein VirB9 | Channel Protein VirB10 | OMP, OprF, VirB12 | Tetratricopeptide Repeat Family Protein |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| I | 1094                           | -                           | -                              | -                                 | -                                | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 1141                           | -1.6                         | -1.7                           | -                                 | -                                | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 1153                           | -2.1                         | -2.4                           | -1.7                              | -                                | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| I | 1077                           | -1.5                         | -2.1                           | -1.8†                             | -1.5†                            | 1.8†                             | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0025                           | -2.2                         | -1.9                           | -2.6                              | -2.2                              | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0026                           | -                            | -2.1                           | -4.3                              | -3.6                              | -1.3†                            | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0027                           | -                            | -                              | -3.9                              | -3.2                              | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0029                           | -2.0                         | -                              | 1.6†                              | -5.7                              | -4.5                             | -1.2†                | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0030                           | -                            | -                              | -1.7†                             | -2.8                              | -2.3                             | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0032                           | -1.6†                        | -                              | 1.1†                              | -3.3                              | -2.6                             | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0033                           | -                            | -                              | -1.8                              | -1.9                              | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0034                           | -                            | -1.5                           | -2.0                              | -1.9                              | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0036                           | -                            | -                              | -1.7†                             | -1.7†                             | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |
| II | 0466                           | -                            | 2.3                            | 2.2†                              | -1.5†                             | -                                | -                    | -                                | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                    | -                | -                                |

**Signal Transduction**

| II | 0011                          | Transcriptional Regulatory Protein, HydG | -1.5† | -2.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | [31] |
| II | 1014                          | Two Component Response Regulator | - | 1.7† | - | 1.6 | -1.5† | - |
| I | 0370                          | Sensory Transduction Histidine Kinase | -1.7 | -2.1 | -2.2† | -1.6† | - | 2.1† |
| I | 0372                          | Two-Component Response Regulator | 1.6† | - | -1.5† | 1.5† | 1.8 | - |
| I | 2034                          | Sensor Protein, ChvG | - | -1.7 | -2.4† | -2.0 | -1.6 | - |

**Stress Response**

| I | 0887                          | Peptidyl-Prolyl Cis-Trans Isomerase | - | -1.7 | - | 1.7 | 1.6 | - |
| I | 1619                          | Hsp33-Like Chaperonin | - | - | -1.8 | 1.6† | - |
| II | 0245                         | Universal Stress Protein Family, UspA | -1.8 | -1.7 | -2.0† | -2.5 | -2.5 | - |

A (-) indicates genes excluded for technical reasons or had a fold change of less than 1.5; † genes that did not pass the statistical significance test but showed an average alteration of at least 1.5-fold. Fold change values are the averaged log2 ratio of normalized signal values from two independent statistical analyses. Abbreviations are as follows: STM, Signature Tagged Mutagenesis; OMP, Outer Membrane Protein.
factors not previously correlated with VjbR or C12-HSL regulation in Brucella spp. were identified; including protein secretion factors, adhesins, lipoproteins, proteases, outer membrane proteins, antibiotic and toxin resistance genes, stress survival genes and genes containing tetrapeptide repeats (Tables 2, 3, 4 and Additional File 3, Table S3). Many of these gene products have been found to be associated with virulence and infection in numerous other bacterial pathogens have not been studied in Brucella spp., calling for further investigation and characterization.

A BLAST search of the T4SS effector protein VceA against B. melitensis 16M revealed two genes with high and low degrees of similarity, BMEI0390 and BMEI1013, with 98.8% and 35% (respectively) amino acid similarity. VceA (BMEI0390) was found to be down-regulated at the exponential growth phase by the vjbR deletion mutant and the addition of C12-HSL (1.4-fold and 1.3 fold) but was not statistically significant nor met the cut-off value of 1.5-fold (Table 4). Additionally, a BLAST search of VceC revealed a gene with 99% amino acid similarity, BMEI0948, which was found to be up-regulated by ΔvjbR and treatment of C12-HSL in wildtype cells at the stationary growth phase (1.6 and 1.3-fold, respectively, Table 4).

The vceC homologue, which is located downstream of a confirmed VjbR promoter sequence, was unexpectedly found to be down-regulated by VjbR and not up-regulated along with the T4SS (virB operon) [27]. Expression of vceA was found to be promoted at the exponential growth phase by VjbR, however, no information was obtained at the stationary growth phase for comparison to virB in this global survey.

Deletion of vjbR resulted in the down-regulation of a gene locus that encodes for the ATP-binding protein associated with the cyclic β-(1,2) glucan export apparatus (BMEI0984, 2.1-fold) and an exopolysaccharide export gene exoF (BMEI0851, 2.1-fold) at the exponential growth phase; while the treatment of C12-HSL in the ΔvjbR null background up-regulated these same genes 1.7 and 2.1-fold, respectively, (Table 3). Additionally, C12-HSL was found to down-regulate expression of oppC (BMEI0330), responsible for substitutions to cyclic β-(1,2) glucan, 2.0 and 1.9-fold at the exponential growth phase in the wildtype and ΔvjbR backgrounds (respectively, Table 4) [43]. Cyclic β-(1,2) glucan is crucial for the intracellular trafficking of Brucella by diverting the endosome vacuole from the endosomal pathway, thus preventing lysosomal fusion and degradation and favoring development of the brucellosome [4]. Mutations in the vjbR locus do not appear to have a profound effect on trafficking diversion from the early endosomal pathway; however, it is plausible that cyclic β-(1,2) glucan and derivatives may be important for subsequent vacuole modulation and/or brucellosome maintenance during the course of infection [14].

Deletion of vjbR resulted in alteration in the expression of three adhesins: aidA (BMEI1069, down-regulated 1.5-fold at both growth stages examined), aidA-1 (BMEI1070, up-regulated 1.7-fold) at the exponential growth phase, and a gene coding for a cell surface protein (BMEI1873, down-regulated 2.2-fold) at the exponential growth phase (Table 4). Adhesins can serve as potent biological effectors of inflammation, apoptosis and cell recognition, potentially contributing to the virulence and intracellular survival of Brucella spp. [44-46]. For instance, AidA adhesins are important for Bordetella pertussis recognition of host cells and in discriminating between macrophages and ciliated epithelial cells in humans [45].

Transporters. A large number of genes encoding transporters (90 total) were altered in ΔvjbR or in response to the addition of C12-HSL to wildtype cultures (Table 3 and Additional File 3, Table S3). For example, an exporter of O-antigen (BMEI0838) was identified to be down-regulated 2.0-fold by the deletion of vjbR at an exponential growth phase, and 4.3 and 1.7-fold by the addition of C12-HSL to wildtype cells at exponential and stationary growth phases, respectively (Table 3). Among the differently expressed transporters, ABC-type transporters were most highly represented, accounting for 62 out of the 90 transporter genes (including 15 amino acid transporters, 10 carbohydrate transporters and 16 transporters associated with virulence and/or defense
mechanisms) (Table 3 and Additional File 3, Table S3). The correlation between ABC transporters and the ability to adapt to different environments is in tune with the ability of Brucella spp. to survive in both extracellular and intracellular environments [47].

**Transcription.** Based on microarray analysis results, vjbR deletion or the addition of C12-HSL to wildtype cells altered the expression of 42 transcriptional regulators, comprised of 12 families and 14 two-component response regulators or signal transducing mechanisms (Table 2 and Additional File 3, Table S3). Among the transcriptional families altered by ΔvjbR and/or the addition of C12-HSL, 9 families (LysR, TetR, IclR, AraC, DeoR, GntR, ArsR, MarR and Crp) have been implicated in the regulation of virulence genes in a number of other pathogenic organisms [35,48-55]. The regulation of virB has been reported to be influenced not only by the deletion of vjbR and C12-HSL treatment, but by several additional factors including integration host factor (IHF), BlxR, a stringent response mediator Rsh, HutC, and AraC (BMEII1098) [14,15,56-58]. The same AraC transcriptional regulator was found to altered by vjbR deletion and C12-HSL treatment of wildtype cells: down-regulated 1.8 and 2.8-fold at exponential phase (respectively), and up-regulated 1.9 and 1.5-fold (respectively) at the stationary growth phase (Table 2). Additionally, HutC (BMEII0370) was also found to be down-regulated at the exponential growth phase by the ΔvjbR mutant (1.8-fold), suggesting several levels of regulation for the virB operon by the putative QS components in B. melitensis (Additional File 3, Table S3).

In addition to transcriptional regulators linked to virulence, microarray analyses also revealed two differentially expressed transcriptional regulators that contain the LuxR HTH DNA binding pfam domain (gerE, pfam00196). Gene transcript BMEII0051 was found to be down-regulated 1.9 and 2.8-fold in response to a vjbR deletion and C12-HSL to wildtype cells (respectively) at an exponential growth phase (Table 2). This luxR-like gene is located downstream of a VjbR consensus promoter sequence and thus most likely directly promoted by VjbR [27].

The second luxR-like gene, BMEI1607, was up-regulated 1.8-fold and 3.0-fold in the vjbR mutant and in response to exogenous C12-HSL at the exponential growth phase (respectively), and down-regulated 1.5-fold by the deletion of vjbR at the stationary growth phase (Table 2). This gene locus was not found to be located downstream of a predicted VjbR promoter sequence and may or may not be directly regulated by VjbR. Additionally, blxR was found to be induced 27.5-fold in wildtype cells treated with C12-HSL at the stationary growth phase by qRT-PCR (Table 1). Likewise, qRT-PCR verified a 2.9-fold down-regulation of vjbR in wild-type cells supplied with exogenous C12-HSL at the stationary growth phase. The identification and alteration of genes containing the HTH LuxR DNA binding domain by ΔvjbR and C12-HSL administration, particularly one located downstream of the VjbR consensus promoter sequence, is of great interest. These observations potentially suggest a hierarchical arrangement of multiple transcriptional circuits which may or may not function in a QS manner, as observed in organisms such as P. aeruginosa [26].

**AHL synthesis.** The deletion of vjbR or addition of C12-HSL resulted in alteration in the expression of 15 candidate AHL synthesis genes, based on the gene product's potential to interact with the known metabolic precursors of AHLS, S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) and acylated acyl carrier protein (acyl-ACP) (Additional File 2, Table S2) [59]. An E. coli expression system was utilized because B. melitensis has been shown to produce an AiiD-like lactonase capable of inactivating C12-HSL [60]. Cross streaks with E. coli AHL sensor strains and clones expressing candidate AHL synthesis genes failed to induce the sensor stains, while positive control E. coli clones expressing rhlB and lasI from P. aeruginosa and exogenous 3-oxo-C12-HSL did in fact induce the sensor strains (data not shown) [61].

C12-HSL regulates gene expression independent of VjbR

In addition to the investigation on the influences of a vjbR deletion or addition of C12-HSL to wildtype bacteria on gene expression, treatment of ΔvjbR with exogenous C12-HSL was also assessed by microarray analyses. Compared to untreated wildtype cells, 87% fewer genes were identified as differentially altered in response to C12-HSL in the vjbR null background as opposed to wildtype cells administered C12-HSL. In the absence of VjbR, exogenous C12-HSL altered the expression of 82 genes; 34 at the exponential growth phase and 48 genes at the stationary growth phase (Fig. 2, red circles and Additional File 5, Table S5). Of these 82 statistically significant altered transcripts, only 4 were commonly altered with the same magnitude by a deletion of vjbR or wildtype cells treated with C12-HSL (Fig. 2). At the exponential growth phase, administration of C12-HSL exerted an equal effect on gene expression, up and down-regulating 19 and 23 genes (respectively, Fig. 2). On the contrary, at the stationary phase all 48 genes were up-regulated, a dramatically different profile than the down-regulation observed for the majority of differently expressed genes in C12-HSL treated wildtype cells (Fig. 2). Collectively, this data supports that C12-HSL is capable of influencing gene expression independent of VjbR.
There is evidence that C_{12}-HSL may interact with a second LuxR homologue, BlxR [18]. Induction of blxR expression in response to C_{12}-HSL was highly variable by microarray analysis; however, qRT-PCR revealed that blxR was up-regulated 99.5-fold in bacteria lacking vjbR treated with C_{12}-HSL, compared to 27.5-fold in wildtype cells that were administered C_{12}-HSL at the stationary growth phase. One possible explanation for this observation is that VjbR inhibits the induction of blxR by binding the AHL substrate and therefore lowering the cellular concentration of available C_{12}-HSL for blxR induction, but has not been demonstrated.

Interestingly, 58% of the gene transcripts found to be altered in an recent study of the function of ΔblxR were also found to be altered by the addition of C_{12}-HSL in the ΔvjbR background, and increased to 88% if we lowered the threshold from our 1.5-fold cutoff (Additional File 5, Table S5) [15]. A second study that similarly examined the transcript and proteomic alterations due to a deletion in babR corresponded with 6 genes identified in our study: with 2 genes found to be unique to the addition of C_{12}-HSL in the ΔvjbR background, and increased to 88% if we lowered the threshold from our 1.5-fold cutoff (Additional File 5, Table S5) [15]. A second study that similarly examined the transcript and proteomic alterations due to a deletion in babR corresponded with 6 genes identified in our study: with 2 genes found to be unique to the addition of C_{12}-HSL in the ΔvjbR background, and increased to 88% if we lowered the threshold from our 1.5-fold cutoff (Additional File 5, Table S5) [15]. A second study that similarly examined the transcript and proteomic alterations due to a deletion in babR corresponded with 6 genes identified in our study: with 2 genes found to be unique to the addition of C_{12}-HSL in the ΔvjbR background, and increased to 88% if we lowered the threshold from our 1.5-fold cutoff (Additional File 5, Table S5) [15].

Deletion of vjbR and treatment of C_{12}-HSL both resulted in a global modulation of gene expression. Examination of the relationship in respect to the genes commonly altered between ΔvjbR and wildtype bacteria administered C_{12}-HSL suggests that C_{12}-HSL reduces VjbR activity, based upon the following observations: 1) An inverse correlation in gene expression for all but three genes found to be altered by VjbR and C_{12}-HSL, 2) Addition of exogenous C_{12}-HSL to growth media mimics the deletion of VjbR in respect to gene alteration, 3) In the absence of vjbR, C_{12}-HSL treatment has a markedly different effect on gene expression at the stationary growth phase, found to only promote gene expression, and 4) virB repression in response to the addition of C_{12}-HSL is alleviated by deletion of the response receiver domain of VjbR [17]. The observed promotion of gene expression with the treatment of C_{12}-HSL in a ΔvjbR background could potentially be occurring through a second LuxR-like protein BlxR, supported by the high correlation of commonly altered genes by ΔblxR and ΔvjbR with the addition of C_{12}-HSL in independent studies [15,23]. Often, the LuxR transcriptional regulator and AHL signal form a positive feedback loop, increasing the expression of luxR and the AHL synthesis gene [62]. The observed up-regulation of blxR by C_{12}-HSL may be an example of such a feedback loop, further supporting an activating role C_{12}-HSL and BlxR activity.

Although evidence is indirect, these observations suggest that there may be two dueling transcriptional circuits with the LuxR transcriptional regulators (VjbR and BlxR). C_{12}-HSL may provide a level of regulation between the two systems, deactivating VjbR and potentially activating...
BlxR activity during the transition to stationary phase. It appears that C₁₂-HSL reduces VjbR activity, alters expression of 2 additional transcriptional regulators that contain the LuxR DNA binding domain, induces expression of BlxR and potentially activates gene expression through interactions with BlxR. It would be interesting to determine if the decrease in virB expression observed in wild-type cells at stationary phase is a result of C₁₂-HSL accumulation and subsequent “switching” of transcriptional circuits in vitro [63]. Further experiments are needed to fully understand the temporal regulation of VjbR and associations with C₁₂-HSL, as well as indemnification of AHL synthesis gene(s) in Brucella spp.

The role of the LuxR transcriptional regulators VjbR and BlxR and the AHL signal in relation to quorum sensing has not been fully deduced. Continuing investigation of these postive QS components in vitro and in vivo will help determine if these components work in a QS-dependent manner in the host cell or if they function more in a diffusion or spatial sensing context to allow differentiation between intracellular and extracellular environments [64]. Future experiments that elucidate how these processes contribute to the “stealthiness” of Brucellae and will provide additional clues to the intracellular lifestyle of this particular bacterium.
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