Reconstruction of a large nasal defect using a folded forehead flap: a case report
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INTRODUCTION

The aesthetic importance of the nose underscores the need to restore the patient's appearance by reconstructing defects that occur due to trauma, tumor, or infection [1-3]. Various nasal reconstruction methods have been introduced, including the nasolabial flap, composite grafting, the forehead flap, and the radial forearm free flap [4]. However, the range of surgical options can be limited when all layers of the nasal tissue require restoration. In such cases, cautious preoperative planning is necessary.

Forehead flaps are considered to be the best option for reconstruction of the nose [5]. Usually, a forehead flap proceeds in two stages. First, it is harvested from the forehead, including the frontalis muscle and subcutaneous fat, and then it is inset into the recipient site. After approximately 3 weeks, flap division is performed to separate the pedicle [6,7]. The main blood supply of the forehead flap is the supratrochlear artery, which branches from the ophthalmic artery [8,9]. In some cases, minor revision surgery is required to restore the natural contour and symmetry [10]. In this study, we report a folded forehead flap procedure following wide excision of squamous cell carcinoma on the nasal vestibule.

CASE REPORT

A 65-year-old man visited the department of plastic and reconstructive surgery for a lesion on the left nasal vestibule that developed 1 month previously. The lesion measured 2 cm in size, was slightly yellowish, and had an irregular, ulcer-like shape (Fig. 1A). The diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma was confirmed by a punch biopsy conducted at the dermatology department, and the mass was located in the left nasal vestibule. A forehead flap was planned to cover the full-thickness defect that occurred after wide excision. A flap with an extended transverse skin paddle was designed; thereafter, the distant part of the flap was folded up to the nasal lining inside the nose. The interpolation flap was properly maintained for 3 weeks, and flap division was performed. The reconstructed nose exhibited symmetry during a 5-month observation period. A folded forehead flap is a surgical option when considerable nasal restoration, including soft tissue and the internal lining, is necessary.
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mella, and nasal ala (Fig. 1C). The supratrochlear artery was probed using Doppler tracing. A forehead flap with an extended transverse skin paddle was designed that would be suitable for folding (Fig. 1D). After flap elevation, transposition was performed toward the nose, and the flap was folded inward to be inset in the area of the defect (Fig. 2). The elevated flap was inset for nasal reconstruction, and most of the raw surface on the forehead was repaired using primary closure. Nonetheless, a split-thickness skin graft (1 × 1 cm) was necessary on the three points where the bilateral forehead skin and scalp tissue adjoined.

Three weeks after surgery, the pedicle was separated by flap division under local anesthesia. The bridging pedicle was tightened lightly during the division procedure, and the flap color was maintained without vascular compromise. The pedicle was trimmed so that an adequate approximation would be possible between the flap and the cephalic margin of the nasal defect. The medial border of the eyebrow where the supratrochlear artery originated was examined carefully. A triangular flap (1.5 × 1 cm) was designed and returned, considering symmetry between the bilateral eyebrows. The rest of the bridging tissue was discarded.

Radiation therapy was performed for 1 month after the postoperative wound healing, and a nasal stent was kept in place for 2
months to maintain the nasal airway. The patient recovered well without remarkable events and showed symmetric nasal alae during 5 months of follow-up, including the period of radiation therapy (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the nasal airway was maintained well in the course of respiration. In postoperative nasal endoscopy, the junction between the forehead flap and nasal mucosa had healed, and the nasal passage showed an intact inner membrane (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Due to its texture and color, forehead flaps are considered to be suitable for the reconstruction of nasal defects [2,4]. It is known that separate flaps (e.g., mucosal flaps or free flaps) are needed to simultaneously reconstruct the nasal lining inside the nose when the entire layer of the nose is missing [11-13]. However, reconstruction of the mucosal lining with a mucosal flap is inconvenient in terms of the donor site, and free flaps have the limitation of resulting in a bulky nose [13,14].

In addition, existing designs of folded forehead flaps are difficult to use for simultaneous reconstruction of the entire alar rim, columella, and nasal lining [12,14]. Therefore, a long flap in the transverse direction was designed and properly folded to reconstruct the entire alar rim, columella, and nasal lining. Although a forehead flap with a supratrochlear pedicle may be easy to extend towards the scalp in the vertical direction, it may not provide sufficient blood flow in the transverse direction [9]. Therefore, Doppler tracing was carefully performed before and during surgery, and the blood flow of the distal part of the transverse flap was checked during the procedure. This procedure was carried out once it was ascertained that there was a communicating branch beyond the midline in the transverse direction of the supratrochlear artery anatomy, as well as a branch between the supratrochlear artery and supraorbital artery. Therefore, leaving those structures intact to secure blood flow would not pose an obstacle for flap elevation [9]. During the wide excision process, a cartilage graft may be required to maintain the shape of the nostrils, but in this case, the skin and soft tissue were folded together like a sandwich and the thickness of the flap was maintained. Thus, the shape of the alar rim was formed, and the defect was reconstructed only by flap in-setting without a separate cartilage graft.

Three weeks after surgery, flap division under local anesthesia was performed. Studies in the literature recommend that, at 3 weeks after surgery, if the flap color becomes purple after pressing the pedicle lightly, it is appropriate to wait another week and perform the division 4 weeks after surgery [8,11]. In this case, when the flap was lightly tightened at week 3, the color was well maintained, so the division was carried out at week 3. At a 5-month follow-up, including radiation therapy for 1 month after division, there were no wound problems and the flap remained intact.

With regard to the limitations of this study, the reconstructed nasal ala and lateral wall showed some degree of bulkiness, and an additional debulking procedure would lead to a more natural contour. Nonetheless, the patient was satisfied with the functional outcomes. Furthermore, the reconstructed nostril was somewhat smaller than that on the right side, and a cartilage graft procedure could provide support for the nasal framework. To summarize, we report a case of composite reconstruction of a large nasal defect with a folded forehead flap.
NOTES
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