The Efficacy and Safety of Long-term Pirfenidone Therapy in Patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis
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Abstract:
Objective Pirfenidone (PFD) is often used for years, but the efficacy and safety of long-term PFD therapy in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) are not fully understood.

Methods and Patients We retrospectively evaluated 46 patients with IPF who received PFD between February 2009 and August 2014. The efficacy and safety of PFD therapy were compared between 2 groups: long-term therapy patients who received PFD for over 1 year (group L, n=30, 65%) and short-term therapy patients who could not receive PFD for more than 1 year due to worsening of their condition or side effects (group S, n=16, 35%).

Results The median age of the 46 patients was 70.5 years, and the median baseline % predicted forced vital capacity (%FVC) was 70.0%. The changes in the FVC in group L were -120 mL and -170 mL at 12 and 24 months after receiving PFD, respectively. The respective median survival times after PFD therapy in groups L and S were 1,612 days and 285 days (p<0.001). The patients in group L experienced a longer time free of acute exacerbation of IPF than those in group S (947 days vs. 145 days, p=0.001). A multivariate analysis revealed that %FVC <60% was a predictor of the inability to receive PFD for over 1 year (odds ratio 0.240, 95% confidence interval 0.060-0.958; p=0.043). With regard to grade 3-5 adverse events, only one patient exhibited grade 3 hyponatremia.

Conclusion Long-term PFD therapy is effective, with few severe adverse events.
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Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a specific form of chronic progressive fibrosing interstitial pneumonia (IP) of unknown cause (1). It is the most frequent type of IP and the one with the poorest prognosis. Pirfenidone (PFD) is an antifibrotic drug and was first approved in Japan in 2008. PFD is now used in patients with IPF in many countries, following the completion of several clinical studies (2-4). PFD is often prescribed for years (5, 6). The safety of long-term PFD therapy was reported in the extension study of a phase III trial. However, the efficacy of long-term PFD therapy in real-world practice has not been adequately assessed (7).

In this study, we investigated the clinical characteristics of patients with IPF who were able to take PFD for over 1 year (long-term) and those who were unable to take it for that long in an effort to identify factors related to the capacity to tolerate long-term PFD therapy.

Materials and Methods

We retrieved the records of 106 patients who took PFD between February 2009 and August 2014 at Toranomon Hospital in Tokyo, Japan. Fifty-four were excluded: 26 be-
cause their laboratory data and medical charts were not available, as they had started PFD at other hospitals, and 28 because we could not correctly assess whether or not they had taken PFD for over 1 year. Of the remaining 52 patients, 46 had IPF. The medical charts, laboratory data, pulmonary function test results, chest radiography findings, and high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) findings of these 46 patients were reviewed. We also retrospectively compared the clinical characteristics, efficacy, and safety associated with PFD therapy in those who received PFD for over 1 year (long-term PFD therapy; group L, n=30, 65%) and those who were unable to take PFD for more than 1 year due to worsening of their condition or associated side effects (short-term PFD therapy; group S, n=16, 35%). The Brinkman index was calculated as the number of cigarettes per day×the number of smoking years.

The diagnosis of IP was made based on the official statement of the American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS)/Japanese Respiratory Society (JRS)/Latin American Thoracic Association (ALAT) (1). IP HRCT patterns were assessed by a thoracic radiologist and two pulmonologists independently, and final diagnoses were made by a consensus. The severity of IPF was determined by the Japanese guideline. In accordance with this guideline, patients were classified as stage I if their resting arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) was ≥80 Torr, stage II if it was ≥70 Torr and <80 Torr, stage III if it was ≥60 Torr and <70 Torr, and stage IV if it was <60 Torr. The severities of stages II and III were adjusted to stages III and IV, respectively, if the peripheral capillary oxygen saturation (SpO₂) was <90% during the 6-minute walk test (3, 5).

Acute exacerbation of IP was diagnosed using the definition proposed by Collard et al. (8), which requires all of the following conditions to be fulfilled: 1) a previous or concurrent diagnosis of IPF; 2) acute worsening or development of dyspnea, typically <1 month in duration; 3) computed tomography findings showing new bilateral ground-glass opacity and/or consolidation superimposed on a background pattern consistent with a usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern; and 4) deterioration of the condition not fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload. Adverse events were enumerated based on the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events ver. 4.0.

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software program (version 23.0; SPSS, Chicago, USA). Comparisons of the characteristics of patients in groups L and S were performed with the Mann-Whitney U test, Wilcoxon’s signed rank test, and chi-squared test as appropriate. A logistic regression analysis was conducted for univariate and multivariate analyses of risk factors associated with being in group S. The survival time was analyzed with the Kaplan-Meier method and compared between groups L and S with the log rank test. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05.

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Toranomon Hospital (No. 1167).

### Results

The characteristics of all 46 patients are shown in Table 1. Their median age was 70.5 (55-81) years; 38 patients were men, and 8 were women. The IP HRCT patterns were definite UIP in 40 patients (87%), possible UIP in 4 (9%),

### Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Patients.

| Characteristics                              | All patients (n=46) | Long group (n=30) | Short group (n=16) | p value |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age†  Sex                                    | Male/female        | 70.5 (55-81)      | 69.0 (56-79)      | 72 (55-81) | N.S.    |
| Smoking status                               | Never/current or former | 8/38              | 3/27              | 5/11     | 0.017   |
| Brinkman index†                              |                    | 615 (0-3,000)     | 670 (0-3,000)     | 495 (0-1,600) | N.S.    |
| IP pattern                                  |                    | 40/4/2            | 25/3/2            | 15/1/0   | N.S.    |
| IP severity (JRS)                           |                    | I/III/IV          | 27/11/8           | 20/7/3   | 7/4/5   | N.S.    |
| Pulmonary function test                     |                    | FVC (mL)†         | 2,130 (950-3,960) | 2,315 (1,390-3,960) | 1,705 (950-2,610) | 0.002  |
|                                               | %FVC (%)†          | 70.0 (29-115)     | 74.5 (43-115)     | 56.0 (29-77) | 0.001  |
|                                               | %DLco (%)†         | 50.0 (21-84)      | 50.5 (21-77)      | 47.0 (23-84) | N.S.    |
| Laboratory data                             |                    | KL-6 (U/mL)†      | 1,129.5 (310-4,270) | 1,145.5 (487-4,270) | 750 (310-4,130) | 0.038  |
|                                               | SP-D (ng/mL)†      | 227.5 (62-705)    | 267.5 (62-705)    | 190.5 (106-533) | N.S.    |
|                                               | SP-A (ng/mL)†      | 63.5 (13.9-217)   | 70.5 (29-217)     | 51.7 (13.9-113) | 0.008  |
| Final PFD dose                               |                    | 600/1,200/1,800 (mg/day) | 9/12/25 | 4/9/17 | 5/3/8   | N.S.    |
| Total PFD administration period              | Days†              | 552 (1-2,235)     | 898 (363-2,235)   | 159 (1-293) | <0.001 |

†Median (minimum-maximum)

IP: interstitial pneumonia, JRS: Japanese Respiratory Society, PFD: pirfenidone, UIP: usual interstitial pneumonia, FVC: forced vital capacity, %FVC: % predicted forced vital capacity, %DLco: % predicted diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, KL-6: Krebs von den Lungen-6, SP-D: pulmonary surfactant-D, SP-A: pulmonary surfactant-A, N.S.: not significant
and inconsistent with UIP in 2 (4%). There were more smokers in group L than in group S. The median forced vital capacity (FVC) at the time of taking PFD was better in group L than in group S (2,315 mL vs. 1,705 mL, p=0.002), and the median baseline % predicted FVC (%FVC) was also better in group L than in group S (74.5% vs. 56.0%, p=0.001). In group L, the median Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) level was 1,145.5 U/mL, and the median pulmonary surfactant-A (SP-A) level was 70.5 ng/mL; both of these values were significantly higher than the corresponding values in group S (KL-6,750 U/mL and SP-A51.7 ng/mL, p=0.038 and p=0.008, respectively). The median durations of PFD therapy were 898 days in group L and 159 days in group S (p<0.001). There were no other significant differences between groups L and S at the time of taking PFD.

Changes in the FVC are shown in Table 2. The median change per year before PFD therapy was -300 mL in group L and -390 mL in group S. In group L, the respective median changes in FVC were -30 mL, -120 mL, and -170 mL at the 6-, 12-, and 24-month time-points after taking PFD. The median change in the FVC at 12 months after taking PFD in group L (-120 mL) was significantly lower than that at 12 months before taking PFD (-300 mL) (p=0.025). In group S, the median change in the FVC was -30 mL at 6 months after taking PFD. Pulmonary function tests were rarely performed in group S after the six-month time-point.

The survival time from the commencement of taking PFD is shown in Fig. 1. The respective median survival times in groups L and S were 1,612 days and 285 days (p<0.001), and the respective 2-year survival rates were 86% and 18% (p<0.001). With regard to grade 3-5 AEs, only 1 patient was assessed as having grade 3 hyponatremia among all 46 patients investigated (Table 3).

We conducted a univariate analysis of the following 10 factors to identify those associated with being able to take PFD for over 1 year (group L): age over 70 years, male gender, smoker status, definite UIP pattern on HRCT, disease severity stage 4, %FVC < 60%, percentage diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (%DLco) < 40%, decline in FVC per year before taking PFD > 300 mL, KL-6 < 1,000 U/mL, and final PFD dosage ≤ 1,200 mg. A %FVC < 60% and KL-6 < 1,000 U/mL were found to be significant risk factors for being in group S (p<0.05) in the univariate analysis and were therefore subjected to a multivariate analysis. As a result, a %FVC < 60% was the only factor significantly associated with not being able to take PFD for over 1 year (odds ratio 0.240, 95% confidence interval

### Table 2. Changes in Forced Vital Capacity.

| Variables | Long group (n=30) | Short group (n=16) | p value |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| ΔFVC (12 months before PFD therapy) | Median (range) (mL) | -300 (-1,320 to 110) | -390 (-640 to -50) | N.S. |
| ΔFVC (6 months before PFD therapy) | Median (range) (mL) | -190 (-1,000 to 130) | -340 (-480 to -30) | N.S. |
| ΔFVC (6 months after PFD therapy) | Median (range) (mL) | -30 (-550 to 660) | -30 (-400 to 280) | N.S. |
| ΔFVC (12 months after PFD therapy) | Median (range) (mL) | -120 (-530 to 560) | N.E. | - |
| ΔFVC (24 months after PFD therapy) | Median (range) (mL) | -170 (-600 to 580) | N.E. | - |

Δ: changes in FVC; %FVC: % predicted forced vital capacity; SD: standard deviation; N.E.: not examined; N.S.: not significant

### Table 3. Adverse Events.

| Event                  | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Photosensitivity       | 3       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Anorexia               | 4       | 4       | 0       | 0       |
| Nausea                 | 3       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Dyspepsia              | 2       | 2       | 0       | 0       |
| Rash                   | 2       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| γ-GTP elevation        | 1       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| ALT elevation          | 2       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| AST elevation          | 3       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Hyponatremia           | 0       | 0       | 1       | 0       |

γ-GTP: γ-glutamyl transferase, ALT: alanine transaminase, AST: aspartate transaminase

Figure 1. The patient survival by the duration of pirfenidone therapy. The long-term pirfenidone group exhibited a significantly longer median survival time than the short-term pirfenidone group (1,612 days vs. 285 days, p<0.001).
We have compared the outcomes of patients who received PFD (group L) and those who did not (group S). We found that patients in group L experienced a longer time free of acute exacerbation of IPF than those in group S (947 days vs. 145 days, p =0.001) (Fig. 2).

### Discussion

Thirty (65%) of the 46 patients with IPF investigated in the current study were able to take PFD for over 1 year. The survival was better in group L than in group S, and the 2-year survival rate was 85%. A %FVC <60% seemed to be associated with not being able to take PFD for over 1 year. Patients in group L experienced a longer time free of the first acute exacerbation of IPF than those in group S, which was considered to result in a prolonged survival in the former group.

The efficacy and safety of PFD therapy in patients with IPF have been investigated in several clinical trials (2-4). In the "ASCEND" trial (2), which was a randomized controlled phase III trial reported in 2014, PFD exhibited superior efficacy to a placebo at 52 weeks after receiving PFD. There was a relative reduction of 47.9% in the proportion of patients who had an absolute decline of ≥10% in the %FVC or who died in the PFD group. The baseline median %FVC was 70% in the present study, which was almost the same as that reported in the ASCEND trial (67.8%).

---

**Table 4. Univariate and Multivariate Analyses.**

|                  | Long group n (%) | Short group n (%) | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                  | Odds ratio       | 95% CI            | p value             | Odds ratio           |
|                  |                  |                   |                     |                      |
| Age >70 years    | 13 (43)          | 10 (63)           | 0.600               | 0.174-2.073          | 0.419 | - | - |
| Male             | 25 (83)          | 13 (81)           | 0.867               | 0.178-4.210          | 0.859 | - | - |
| Smoker           | 27 (90)          | 11 (69)           | 4.091               | 0.831-20.138         | 0.083 | - | - |
| Definite UIP     | 23 (77)          | 14 (88)           | 1.826               | 0.730-19.314         | 0.617 | - | - |
| JRS severity 4   | 3 (10)           | 5 (31)            | 0.244               | 0.050-1.203          | 0.083 | - | - |
| %FVC <60%        | 5 (17)           | 9 (56)            | 0.194               | 0.051-0.737          | 0.016 | - | - |
| %DLC >300 mL*    | 9 (30)           | 6 (38)            | 0.965               | 0.275-3.386          | 0.956 | - | - |
| ΔFVC <1,000 U/mL | 8 (27)           | 10 (63)           | 0.257               | 0.072-0.923          | 0.037 | - | - |
| PFD <1,200 mg    | 9 (30)           | 8 (50)            | 0.667               | 0.196-2.263          | 0.516 | - | - |

*Declines in forced vital capacity 12 months before taking PFD

CI: confidence interval, UIP: usual interstitial pneumonia, JRS: Japanese Respiratory Society, %FVC: % predicted forced vital capacity, %DLCO: % predicted diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, Δ: changes in, FVC: forced vital capacity, KL-6: Krebs von den Lungen-6, PFD: pirfenidone

**Table 5. Complications.**

|                  | Long group (n=30) | Short group (n=16) | p value |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                  | (number of patients) | (number of patients) | |
| Acute exacerbation of IPF | 10 | 8 | N.S. |
| Pneumothorax | 9 | 8 | N.S. |
| Lung cancer | 3 | 0 | N.S. |

IPF: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, N.S.: not significant

---

**Figure 2.** The time to the first acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. The long-term pirfenidone group experienced a longer time free of the first acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis than the short-term pirfenidone group (947 days vs. 145 days, p =0.001).
With regard to the efficacy of PFD, the -120 mL decline per year in group L in the current study was as good as the corresponding result in the ASCEND trial (2), which was -235 mL in the PFD group. A study conducted in Japan (9) investigated the efficacy of PFD in 76 patients with IPF and showed a decline in the FVC of -19 mL at 6 months after receiving PFD. This value is comparable to the corresponding result in the current study (a decline of -30 mL).

While there have been many studies on PFD therapy, only a few have investigated long-term PFD therapy. In a Japanese post-marketing surveillance study (5) including 1,371 patients with IPF and a median follow-up time of approximately 2 years, 48% of patients took PFD for over 1 year, and the median decline in vital capacity (VC) 1 year after receiving PFD was 4.7% (-100 mL). Valeyre et al. (6) investigated the efficacy and safety of long-term PFD therapy. Their study included 789 patients with IPF from several clinical trials, including the CAPACITY (4) trial, and 37.1% of patients were able to take PFD for over 3 years and 22.2% for over 4 years (median 2.6 years). In the current study, 65% of patients with IPF were able to take PFD for over 1 year (median 898 days). Bando et al. reported on the efficacy of long-term PFD therapy, describing the clinical features and details of respiratory function tests in patients who were able to take PFD for over 2 years (long-term) (10). The FVC changes in the present study seemed to be worse at 12 months after taking PFD than those in the study of Bando et al. However, they were comparable at the 24-month time-point to those in the study of Bando et al. The difference in the definition of “long-term” may explain this discrepancy. In the current study, there were three patients who were unable to take PFD for more than 1 year not because of their poor clinical condition but because of side effects, and their median survival time was relatively short (498 days), suggesting that it is important to manage side effects and continue PFD therapy.

We performed a multivariate analysis in order to identify factors associated with being able to take PFD for over 1 year. As a result, %FVC <60% was identified as a predictor of being in group S. Tzouvelekis et al. investigated the safety and efficacy of PFD therapy in advanced IPF patients with severe lung function impairment (%FVC <50% and/or %DLco <35%) (11). In that study, PFD therapy was associated with a trend towards the amelioration of the functional decline compared to 6 months before treatment initiation but failed to show any benefit after 1 year of treatment (Δ%FVC: -3.3% vs. -0.49% vs. -5.8%). In the current study, there were 16 patients with advanced IPF who met this criterion. The efficacy of this therapy on the ΔFVC seemed to be maintained in this study (Δ%FVC: -7.0% vs. -2% vs. -1.5%). However, there were only 8 (50%) such patients in group L, and the median duration of PFD administration was only 298.5 days. These results suggest that PFD therapy should be initiated at an early stage in patients with IPF.

Costabel et al. reported the safety of long-term PFD therapy among over 1,000 IPF patients (7). However, the dosage of PFD and the patient characteristics differed from those in our study. There was only one patient who developed grade 3-5 adverse events in this study, indicating the universality of the safety of long-term PFD therapy in a real-world setting in Japan. In the analysis of the acute exacerbation of IPF, pneumothorax, and lung cancer, which are known to be major comorbidities associated with IPF, the patients in group L experienced a longer time free of acute exacerbation of IPF than those in group S. While the tyrosine-kinase inhibitor nintedanib may delay the time to the first acute exacerbation of IPF, whether or not PFD can reduce the rate of such acute exacerbation remains controversial (12). The results of the current study suggest the possibility of reducing acute exacerbations in patients with IPF via PFD therapy, which may be related to the better prognosis observed in group L. The longer time free of acute exacerbation of IPF in group L may also be attributed to differences in the baseline FVC and %FVC between groups L and S, as a low FVC is considered the most consistent risk factor for acute exacerbation of IPF (8).

The present study had several limitations. It was a retrospective study with a small sample size and conducted at a single center. In addition, the baseline FVC and %FVC were better in group L than in group S, which may have affected the results. We should carefully consider statistical biases when comparing values between groups L and S.

A total of 65% of patients with IPF were able to take PFD for over 1 year. The efficacy and safety were satisfactory in these patients, and the time to the first AE of IPF was longer than in those who were unable to tolerate the long-term administration of PFD. A %FVC <60 seems to be associated with not being able to take PFD for over 1 year.

The authors state that they have no Conflict of Interest (COI).
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