Factors influencing electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode phosphate recovery
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Abstract. The recovery of phosphate from wastewater has been attracting increasing attention. In this study, the technology of an electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode was applied to investigate the phosphate recovery process from sewage. The experimental results indicated that, when residence times ranged from 20 to 120 s, and phosphate removal efficiencies ranged from 24.65% to 95.27%. When the current density ranged from 10 to 70 A/m², the phosphate removal efficiencies ranged from 56.73% to 95.17%. When the plate spacing ranged from 1 to 5 cm, the phosphate removal efficiencies ranged from 83.48% to 9.48%. The experimental results confirm the conclusions of this study about controlling operational factors in practical applications to increase phosphate removal efficiencies.

1 Introduction

As we all know, phosphate resources are gradually decreasing on the earth[1]. To effectively recover phosphate resources, several techniques of recycling phosphate (e.g., adsorption and precipitation) have been explored[2]. Phosphate can be removed by using coagulants and adsorbents[3]. Iron salts and aluminum salts are commonly used coagulants for the removal of phosphate; these include ferrous sulfate, ferric chloride, and polyaluminum chloride. Adsorption has the advantage of fast adsorption speed and simple operation, but there are problems such as dissolution loss of adsorbent and difficulties in regeneration. Precipitation not only entails adding chemical agents but uses chemical reactions to generate precipitation and thus removes the phosphate in the wastewater. The work of Kruk et al[4] shows that struvite precipitation using a magnesium sacrificial anode for phosphate recovery is technically feasible. They find that, when high-purity magnesium alloy is used as an anode, not only the removal of phosphate but the recovery of struvite can be highly efficient in the wastewater treatment of a supernatant of fermented waste activated sludge from wastewater. Precipitation has the advantages of simple operation and strong impact load resistance, but the operational cost is high. Some researchers have been concerned with the electrochemical reduction of nitrates by using different cathodes such as Cu, Ti, Ru, and Fe[5]. In the electrochemical process, the cathode can produce hydrogen, which is an electron donor, from nutrient denitrifying bacteria. Iron cathodes have been shown to be relatively effective in promoting nitrate reduction[6]. On this basis, the electrochemical phosphate removal process can be added, and it can treat not only nitrates but also phosphates[7]. The electrochemical phosphate removal process has been used on domestic sewage and it now finds increased application in a range of small- and medium-sized companies, and it also has been received more and more attention[8]. Therefore, in the current study, the phosphate removal effect of different operating conditions, such as plate spacings, current densities, and residence time were studied by using an electrochemically phosphate recovery process.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

The secondary treatment water in a community water station was used as the treatment object. The raw water of the reclaimed water station was domestic sewage, and we adopted aeration regulation + hydrolysis acidification + anoxic treatment + biochemical treatment + precipitation + filtration + disinfection as the main treatment process. The typical sewage has a pH of 7.0–8.5 and a phosphate content of 3–5 mg/L.

2.2 Experimental system

As shown in Fig. 1, the electrochemical phosphate removal reaction takes place in a rectangular container. The rectangular container was made of plexiglass. The dimensions of the electrode plates used in these experiments (Fe) were 10 × 100 × 100 mm. The water temperature and voltage were measured during all the experiments at a sampling interval of 5–40 min. The pH values of the solution were measured before and after each experiment. All experiments were at least duplicated, and
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the results showed good repeatability.

![Diagram: Laboratory-scale electrochemical system used in the experiments.](image)

**Fig.1.** Laboratory-scale electrochemical system used in the experiments.

### 2.3 Analytical method

All glass materials that came in contact with the water samples were rinsed with HCl acid and pure water in advance. Water samples were filtered through a φ15 cm filter paper. Phosphate removal was measured using the UV spectrophotometer UV-5800 (Yuanxi, China). The pH value was determined with a pH meter (FE20K, Mettler Toledo, USA). The control of the current was supplied by DC power (DPS-3005D, Zhaoxin, China).

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of residence time

Residence time has an important effect on the electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode phosphate recovery process, because the parameter is directly dependent on the dissolved anode metal. Therefore, the effect of residence time on the phosphate removal efficiency was studied within the range of 20–120 s at a current density of 10 A/m² ($i = 0.1$ A), with a plate spacing of 2 cm, an iron anode, and an initial neutral pH condition. Fig. 2 shows the relationship between phosphate removal efficiency and residence time.

![Graph: Effect of residence time on phosphate removal efficiency.](image)

**Fig.2.** Effect of residence time on phosphate removal efficiency.

As observed from Fig. 2, longer residence time increased the effect on the efficiency of phosphate removal in the electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode phosphate recovery process. When the residence time was from 20 to 60 s, the rate of phosphate removal increased from 24.65% to 56.93%. In this residence time interval, the phosphate removal rate increased rapidly with the increase of residence time. When the residence time increased from 60 to 120 s, the rate of phosphate removal increased from 56.93% to 95.27%. In this residence time interval, the rate of phosphate removal increases with the increase of residence time gradually slowed. To save on power cost, in subsequent experiments a residence time of 60 s was used.

Because of the constant current density, the amounts of ferrous ions and iron ions both gradually increased with the extension of residence time, and the precipitation of ferrous phosphate and iron phosphate was greatly increased by ferrous ions and iron ions combining with phosphate. Ferrous ions and iron ions would hydrolyze to form flocculants such as ferric hydroxide and ferrous hydroxide, which could adsorb phosphate. Hence, the removal rate of phosphate increased with the residence time. However, the anode was likely to become passivated as the residence time increased. A dense oxide film was formed on the surface of the iron electrode that inhibited the anode from continuing to dissolve and release ferrous ions and iron ions. Consequently, the residence time has little effect on the phosphate removal efficiencies.

#### 3.2 Effect of plate spacing

Plate spacing is one of the operational parameters that greatly influences the removal efficiencies of phosphate from reclaimed water using the electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode phosphate recovery process. The effect of plate spacing on the removal of phosphate was explored within the range of 1–5 cm at a current density of 10 A/m² ($i = 0.1$ A), with an initial neutral pH condition, using an iron anode, and with a residence time of 1 min. The optimum plate spacing and corresponding phosphate removal percentage in this study are presented in Fig. 3.
Fig. 3 shows that plate spacing can affect the phosphate removal efficiency. When the plate spacing was increased from 1 to 5 cm, the phosphate removal efficiency decreased from 83.48% to 9.48%. Therefore, the longer the plate spacing, the more the phosphate removal rate would gradually decrease.

As a result of the smaller plate spacing, the current density flowing between the plates was higher, which produced more ferrous ions and iron ions. Ferrous ions and iron ions could combine with more phosphate to precipitate ferrous phosphate and iron phosphate. Based on this study, because the plate spacing affected the phosphate removal efficiencies, it is necessary to choose a suitable plate spacing for the electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode phosphate recovery process. Given the influence of actual processing and other factors, a plate spacing of 2 cm was chosen in subsequent experiments.

3.3 Effect of current density

Current density is a crucial parameter for controlling the reaction rate in the electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode phosphate recovery process. The operational current density is critical to the electrochemically enhanced sacrificial anode phosphate recovery process as it is the only operational factor that can be controlled directly. The effect of current density on the removal of phosphate was explored within the range of 10–70 A/m² at a plate spacing of 2 cm, with an initial neutral pH condition, using an iron anode, and with a residence time of 1 min. Fig. 4 demonstrates the effect of current density on the phosphate removal percentage.

Fig. 3. Effect of plate spacing on phosphate removal efficiency.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, the results indicate that current density can make a difference in the phosphate removal efficiency. When the current density increased from 10 A/m² to 40 A/m², the phosphate removal efficiency increased from 56.73% to 94.23% and the phosphate removal rate was faster. When the current density increased from 40 A/m² to 70 A/m², the phosphate removal efficiency increased from 94.23% to 95.17% and the phosphate removal rate slowed down. Thus, the optimal current density is 40A/m².

On the basis of Faraday’s law, the current density can attribute to the increase in dissolution rate of the sacrificial iron electrode. The amounts of dissolved ferrous ions and iron ions were greatly increased. As the current density increased, the amounts of ferrous ions and iron ions increased in the anode plate per unit time, and the chance of ferrous ions and iron ions colliding with phosphate to form precipitates of ferrous phosphate and iron phosphate was greatly increased. In addition, the increase of ferrous ions and iron ions also enhanced the flocculation of the electrochemical reaction, and many bubbles were produced during the high-current-density electrochemical reaction, so that these effects were both beneficial for high phosphate removal efficiencies.

4 Conclusion

The phosphate removal effects of residence time, plate spacing, and current density by using a process of electrochemically phosphate recovery have been explored. A range of electrochemically phosphate recovery process options were thoroughly investigated to assess the performance and maintenance requirements of various actual scenarios. Under the same experimental conditions, our results reveals that the optimum operating conditions are plate spacing is 2 cm, residence time of 60s and current density of 40A/m² should be considered. During operation, the phosphate removal percentage was found to increase with both longer residence time and higher current density. Therefore, the electrochemical process ensures that the process is very efficient, reduces overall energy, and shortens retention time. However, in practice, the
optimum operating conditions need to be a harmonious combination among these factors for the greatest efficiency and cost savings.
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