ABSTRACT

The fisheries sector is an important sector for the people of Indonesia and can be used as a prime mover for the national economy. Indonesia is a country that has a fairly high level of fish production every year, that Indonesia provides a large supply of fish for foreign countries. This study aims to analyze the competitiveness of the provincial fisheries processing industry in Indonesia. The study was conducted in February – June 2021. The method used was a literature survey to determine the competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry in 34 provinces in Indonesia. After all the data is processed, the data will be analyzed descriptively. Primary data are expert judgments consisting of 11 people regarding the proportion of competitiveness of the fishery processing industry. Secondary data in the form of statistical data on the Indonesian Fisheries Processing Industry in 2010-2017 Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries. The results showed that the competitiveness profile of the fishery processing industry in 2017 in Indonesia with the first rank of very high competitiveness was occupied by the Province of East Java because it excels in production, infrastructure, and Science and Technology the second rank is very high competitiveness occupied by DKI Jakarta Province because it excels in production and science and technology, the third rank of very high competitiveness is occupied by Central Java Province because it excels in production and infrastructure.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The fisheries sector is an important sector for the people of Indonesia and can be used as a prime mover for the national economy. This is based on the fact that the fishery sector has enormous potential as seen from Indonesian waters which have an area of 5.8 million km2. In addition, Indonesia has a coastline of 95,181 km, most of which is the basis of fishery economic activities [1].

Geographically, Indonesia is located at a position of 6° LU–11° LS and 95° BT–141° BT. Indonesia is a country in Southeast Asia which is traversed by latitude 00 or the equator. The equator is an imaginary line on a map or globe that divides the earth into two equal parts north and south. There are several cities in Indonesia that are traversed by the equator, one of which is Pontianak (West Kalimantan). In Pontianak, you can find the equator monument which characterizes the passage of Pontianak City by the equator. The northernmost border of Indonesia is right past Weh Island in the Province of Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam.

Indonesia consists of large and small islands which are approximately 17,504 islands. Three-quarters of its territory is sea (5.9 million km2), with a coastline of 95,161 km, the second longest after Canada. Through the Djuanda Declaration, December 13, 1957, Indonesia declared to the world that the Indonesian seas (the seas around, between, and within the Indonesian archipelago) became one unitary territory of the Republic of Indonesia. And Indonesia as an archipelagic country, has been recognized internationally through the third UN convention on the law of the sea, the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea 1982 [2], then ratified by Indonesia with Law No. 17 of 1985. Based on UNCLOS 1982, a total of Indonesia's sea area is 5.9 million km2, consisting of 3.2 million km2 of territorial waters and 2.7 km2 of Exclusive Economic Zone waters, this water area does not include the continental shelf. This makes Indonesia the largest archipelagic country in the world (the biggest Archipelago in the World) [3].

Fishery processing industry is the business of processing fishery products/organisms that live in water for commercial/industrial purposes, both cultivated and caught [4]. According to Porter [5], competitiveness is related to productivity where the level of output produced for each unit of input is used. Increased productivity includes increasing the number of physical inputs (capital and labor), improving the quality of the inputs used and improving technology (total factor productivity).

The fishery processing industry sector is very diverse, each has its own advantages from each region in Indonesia. The various types of processing activities and their advantages encourage competitiveness in the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia. The competitiveness of the fishery processing industry can be used as a benchmark for development in creating economic productivity, job creation and regional income. In addition, there are still not many studies conducted to determine the competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia. Therefore, the author wants to identify and analyze the competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry sector in Indonesia.

2. METHODOLOGY

The research was carried out using secondary data obtained from the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries, Jalan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta, Indonesia. For six months, namely January - June 2021. The first stage was collect the official secondary data from the Indonesian Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries. The second stage is to analyze primary data in the form of expert judgments or people who are competent in their fields (expert judgment). The third stage is to analyze the data that has been obtained during the research.

2.1 Data Types and Sources

The data used in this research consists of secondary and primary. Primary data is in the form of expert judgment questionnaires and secondary data consists of five types of data sourced from the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (Table 1).
Table 1. Types of data and research data sources

| No. | Types of Data                                      | Sources                                      |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1.  | Total production of processed fishery              | Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries    |
| 2.  | Total of Fish Processing Units                    | Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries    |
| 3.  | Total of Fish Processing Units (UPI) certified processing feasibility (SKP) | Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries |
| 4.  | Total export volume                               | Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries    |
| 5.  | Total export value                                | Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries    |

2.2 Method of Collecting Data

The method used in the research is a literature survey. The data obtained will be used in the form of secondary data which is realized in the form of numbers and analyzed using descriptive statistical methods. This research uses data from 2017. The following types of data are used:

a. Secondary data in the form of data on the main indicators of the competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia in 2017.

b. Primary data in the form of expert judgment (expert judgment) as many as 11 respondents who give weight to the indicators and comparisons of these variables.

2.3 Data Analysis Method

Data analysis was carried out by using qualitative descriptive analysis. According to Sugiyono (2012) [6] descriptive research is research conducted to determine the value of independent variables, either one or more variables (independent) without making comparisons, or connecting with other variables. Qualitative descriptive analysis in this study was used to obtain an overview (profile) of the competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia.

2.4 Competitiveness Profile Analysis

Analysis of the competitiveness profile of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia goes through several stages as follows:

1. Determine the main indicators and variables covering production, facilities and infrastructure, and the application of science and technology, for the results of the fishery processing industry.

2. The implementation stage is to collect data on the fishery processing industry in Indonesia in 2017.

3. Identify priority weights or relative importance between indicators, variables and sub-variables.

4. Collecting primary data in the form of expert judgment which gives weight to the main indicators and variables.

5. Calculating the weight of the results of the expert judgment questionnaire for each indicator, variable, and sub-variable.

6. Processing the data that has been obtained during the research, using secondary data, namely statistical data on the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia in 2017 to determine the competitiveness profile of each province.

7. Calculate the score and value of the main indicators, variables and sub-variables from secondary data and calculate the value based on the weights and scores obtained.

a. Score = Data per Province/(Total Data in Indonesia) x 100

b. Score = Weight x score

8. To rank the competitiveness of the fishery processing industry among all provinces in Indonesia based on the weighted value.

9. Determine the criteria for the competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry in all provinces in Indonesia using quartiles. The competitiveness profile is divided into four categories of competitiveness based on quartiles. Q1 means it has very high competitiveness, Q2 means it has high competitiveness, Q3 means it has sufficient competitiveness, and Q4 means it has low competitiveness.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Profile of the Fishery Processing Industry in Indonesia

The role of the manufacturing sector as the main driver for the Indonesian economy can be seen from its contribution to the economy. In general, the contribution of the manufacturing industry to the Indonesian economy in 2018 was 19.86
percent. This contribution was higher than the agricultural sector and the trade sector, which contributed 12.81 percent and 13.02 percent, respectively. In addition to contributing to the value-added production, the processing industry is also able to provide jobs. In 2018, the processing industry was able to employ 14.72 percent of the total workforce in Indonesia.

In 2017, processing production in Indonesia reached 6,181,997 tons. East Java province contributed the largest production, which amounted to 1,216,999 tons. Then followed by Central Java and West Java which contributed 1,017,345 tons and 513,389 tons, respectively [7].

The benefits of the existence of the fishery processing industry include utilizing fishery products, preserving and maintaining the quality of perishable fishery products and providing added value to fishery products [8].

3.2 Analysis of Trends in Competitiveness of the Fisheries Processing Industry in Indonesia as a whole

Based on the research that has been done, the final value of the main indicators from each province that shows the ranking and category of competitiveness of the province is obtained. The rankings of the provinces in Indonesia in fishery processing activities can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that East Java Province is ranked 1st in Indonesia's competitiveness with a final score of 24.64. East Java Province is in the first quartile which means it has a very high level of competitiveness. The thing that pushed East Java Province to rank first and quartile one because it has excellent main indicators with higher scores than other provinces. This is supported by the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (2013) [9] which states that, until 2012 the Province of East Java was still the largest contributor to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the national fisheries sub-sector with a contribution of 11.98%. DKI Jakarta Province is ranked 2nd in Indonesia’s competitiveness with a final score of 17.70. DKI Jakarta Province is in the first quartile which means it has very high competitiveness. The thing that pushed DKI Jakarta Province to rank second and quartile one because it has a fairly superior indicator value, namely Production and Science and Technology which are in second position. DKI Jakarta Province excels in science and technology indicators which are quite high. Central Java Province is ranked 3rd in Indonesia’s competitiveness with a final score of 8.36. Central Java province is in the first quartile which means it has very high competitiveness. The thing that pushed Central Java Province to rank third and quartile one was because it had a fairly high main indicator value, namely the production indicator with a value of 2.84 and the infrastructure and facilities indicator with a value of 2.78. The total length of the coastline of Central Java Province is 828.82 km, consisting of 540.27 km is the length of the northern coastline and 288.55 km is the length of the southern coastline, with a coastal area of 122,739.79 ha (Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries 2013) [10].

Provinces that occupy the two lowest ranks are Bengkulu and West Sulawesi. The province is in the fourth quartile because it is not very superior and has potential from all the main indicators. The amount of production, facilities and infrastructure and science and technology are too small so that they do not support the sustainability of fishery processing activities.

The competitiveness of the fishery processing industry in Indonesia is highly volatile as shown in the following graph (Fig. 1).

The graph above shows that DKI Jakarta Province experienced a significant increase in the final score in 2013 which was 14.7 and became 17.7 in 2017. Another province that experienced an increase in the final score was Central Java Province, in 2013 the final score was amounted to 7.4 and increased to 8.3 in 2017.

The province that experienced a significant decrease in the final score was Maluku Province, in 2013 it had a final score of 5.13 and decreased to 0.91 in 2017. Another province that experienced a decline in the final score was West Papua Province, in 2013 had a the end of 1.68 and decreased to 0.64 in 2017.

3.3 Trend Analysis of Fisheries Processing Industry Competitiveness in Indonesia per Indicator

Primary data and secondary data that have been calculated on 34 provinces in Indonesia, then the final value per main indicator is obtained, namely production, facilities and infrastructure, and science and technology. The value obtained from each province describes the level of competitiveness and ranking of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia.
Table 2. Ranking of provincial competitiveness in Indonesia

| PROVINCE                  | X1        | X2        | X3        | FINAL SCORE | Rank | Competitiveness Category |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------|--------------------------|
| Jawa Timur                | 3.937235816 | 2.869374172 | 17.83595 | 24.64256    | 1    | VERY HIGH                |
| DKI Jakarta               | 0.878182244 | 0.35733902  | 16.47044 | 17.70596    | 2    |                          |
| Jawa Tengah               | 3.291315088 | 2.787632064 | 2.281555 | 8.360503    | 3    |                          |
| Sulawesi Selatan          | 0.697878048 | 0.649090498 | 5.113589 | 6.460558    | 4    |                          |
| Sumatera Utara            | 1.259279162 | 0.757972279 | 4.383696 | 6.400947    | 5    |                          |
| Jawa Barat                | 1.660916367 | 2.093954961 | 1.695516 | 5.450387    | 6    |                          |
| Banten                    | 0.402449241 | 0.41064909  | 2.132353 | 2.945451    | 7    |                          |
| Lampung                   | 0.631494968 | 0.598365158 | 1.393573 | 2.623433    | 8    |                          |
| Kalimantan Selatan        | 0.662316724 | 1.187683758 | 0.220661 | 2.070661    | 9    |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Barat      | 0.171494745 | 1.248101838 | 0.589021 | 2.008617    | 10   |                          |
| Kepulauan Riau            | 0.251138912 | 0.233271946 | 1.498323 | 1.982734    | 11   |                          |
| Bali                      | 0.44929818  | 0.29881293  | 1.128724 | 1.867836    | 12   |                          |
| Sulawesi Utara            | 0.428618778 | 0.102419954 | 1.021501 | 1.55254     | 13   |                          |
| Sumatera Selatan          | 0.360336636 | 0.555070919 | 0.500715 | 1.416123    | 14   |                          |
| Kepulauan Bangka Belitung | 0.319165474 | 0.546993635 | 0.326544 | 1.192703    | 15   |                          |
| Sumatera Barat            | 0.341756879 | 0.596857523 | 0.15025 | 1.091664    | 16   |                          |
| Kalimantan Timur          | 0.250394816 | 0.663306517 | 0.166213 | 1.079915    | 17   |                          |
| Aceh                      | 0.403015401 | 0.517915415 | 0.080223 | 1.001154    | 18   |                          |
| Kalimantan Barat          | 0.379463141 | 0.392232884 | 0.191934 | 0.96363     | 19   |                          |
| Kalimantan Tengah         | 0.291197165 | 0.625827922 | 0.00014 | 0.917165    | 20   |                          |
| Maluku                    | 0.329660464 | 0.21917192 | 0.373408 | 0.915986    | 21   |                          |
| Riau                      | 0.343209484 | 0.372847404 | 0.192758 | 0.908815    | 22   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tenggara         | 0.357204961 | 0.36218539  | 0.152123 | 0.871513    | 23   |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Timur       | 0.327259945 | 0.15217602  | 0.374318 | 0.853754    | 24   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tengah           | 0.308222529 | 0.305321314 | 0.222285 | 0.835888    | 25   |                          |
| Kalimantan Utara          | 0.232500922 | 0.00014    | 0.60126  | 0.833761    | 26   |                          |
| Papua Barat               | 0.223319423 | 0.047817518 | 0.370371 | 0.641508    | 27   |                          |
| Jambi                     | 0.172627065 | 0.23101307  | 0.220588 | 0.624226    | 28   |                          |
| Maluku Utara              | 0.101937934 | 0.216148105 | 0.073529 | 0.391615    | 29   |                          |
| Gorontalo                 | 0.092180569 | 0.136021453 | 0.147059 | 0.375261    | 30   | LOW
| PROVINCE       | X1     | X2           | X3     | FINAL SCORE | Rank | Competitiveness Category |
|----------------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------|------|--------------------------|
| Papua          | 0.205668168 | 0.094019579  | 0.000436 | 0.300124    | 31   |                          |
| DI Yogyakarta  | 0.051805266 | 0.152822203  | 0.090938 | 0.295565    | 32   |                          |
| Bengkulu       | 0.084632846 | 0.125359439  | 0       | 0.209992    | 33   |                          |
| Sulawesi Barat | 0.10276291  | 0.104681593  | 0       | 0.207445    | 34   |                          |

(Source: Data Processing Results)

Information:

X1 = Production; X2 = Facilities and Infrastructure; X3 = Science and Technology
Competitiveness data that has been calculated, the final value of the main indicators of production shows the ranking and category of competitiveness of the province. The rankings of the provinces in Indonesia in the production of the fishery processing industry in Indonesia can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that East Java Province is ranked 1st in production competitiveness with a final score of 19.68. East Java Province is in the first quartile which means it has a very high level of competitiveness. Fishery processing production in East Java Province reached 1.216.999 tons or 19,69% of the total production of 34 provinces in Indonesia. East Java Province is a province that has a sea area almost four times its land area with a coastline of approximately 2.916 km. Abundant fish resources in the sea as well as fish farming on land are proven to be able to support community food security. No wonder East Java is the province with the largest fisheries GDP value [11]. Central Java Province is ranked 2nd in production competitiveness with a final score of 16.45. Central Java province is in the first quartile which means it has very high competitiveness. Based on the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (2018), fishery processing production in Central Java Province reached 1.017.345 tons or 16,46% of the total production of 34 provinces in Indonesia. This shows that Central Java Province has a high level of production. West Java Province is in the 3rd rank of production competitiveness with a final score of 8,30. West Java Province is in the first quartile which means it has very high competitiveness.

Provinces that occupy the two lowest ranks are Bengkulu Province and SI Yogyakarta. The province is in the fourth quartile, which means it has a low level of production competitiveness.

The competitiveness of production indicators is highly volatile as shown in the following graph (Fig. 2).

The graph above shows that Central Java Province experienced a significant increase in the final value of production indicators in 2013 which was 14.20 and became 16.45 in 2017. Another province that experienced an increase in the final value of production indicators was West Java Province, in 2013 had a final score of 3.51 and increased to 8.30 in 2017.

Provinces that experienced a significant decrease in the final value of production indicators were East Java Province, in 2013 had a final value of 28.41 and decreased to 19.68 in 2017. Other provinces that experienced a decrease in the final value of production indicators were DKI Jakarta Province, in 2013 it had a final value of 10.56 and decreased to 4.39 in 2017, and South Sulawesi Province experienced a decrease in the final value in 2013 of 9.05 to 3.48 in 2017.

Fig. 1. Trends in the overall competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia

3.3.1 Competitiveness based on production indicators
Table 3. Competitiveness ranking of provinces in Indonesia based on production indicators

| Province          | Production value | Rank | Competitiveness category |
|-------------------|------------------|------|--------------------------|
| Jawa Timur        | 19,686,179,08    | 1    |                          |
| Jawa Tengah       | 16,456,574,44    | 2    |                          |
| Jawa Barat        | 8,304,581,837    | 3    |                          |
| Sumatera Utara    | 6,296,395,809    | 4    | Very High                |
| DKI Jakarta       | 4,399,911,222    | 5    |                          |
| Sulawesi Selatan  | 3,489,390,241    | 6    |                          |
| Kalimantan Selatan| 3,311,583,619    | 7    |                          |
| Lampung           | 3,157,474,842    | 8    |                          |
| Bali              | 2,246,490,899    | 9    |                          |
| Sulawesi Utara    | 2,143,093,89     | 10   |                          |
| Aceh              | 2,015,077,005    | 11   |                          |
| Banten            | 2,012,246,205    | 12   |                          |
| Kalimantan Barat  | 1,897,315,706    | 13   | High                     |
| Sumatera Selatan  | 1,801,683,178    | 14   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tenggara | 1,786,024,807    | 15   |                          |
| Riau              | 1,716,047,42     | 16   |                          |
| Sumatera Barat    | 1,708,784,394    | 17   |                          |
| Maluku            | 1,648,302,32     | 18   |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Timur| 1,636,299,726   | 19   |                          |
| Kepulauan Bangka Belitung | 1,595,827,368 | 20   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tengah   | 1,541,411,295    | 21   |                          |
| Kalimantan Tengah | 1,455,985,825    | 22   |                          |
| Kepulauan Riau    | 1,255,694,56     | 23   |                          |
| Kalimantan Timur  | 1,251,974,079    | 24   |                          |
| Kalimantan Utara  | 1,162,504,608    | 25   |                          |
| Papua Barat       | 1,116,597,113    | 26   |                          |
| Papua             | 1,028,340,842    | 27   |                          |
| Jambi             | 0,863,135,327    | 28   |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Barat| 0,857,473,726  | 29   |                          |
| Sulawesi Barat    | 0,513,814,549    | 30   | Low                      |
| Maluku Utara      | 0,509,689,668    | 31   |                          |
| Gorontalo         | 0,460,902,844    | 32   |                          |
| Bengkulu          | 0,423,164,23     | 33   |                          |
| DI Yogyakarta     | 0,259,026,331    | 34   |                          |

(Source: Data Processing Results)

3.3.2 Competitiveness based on facilities and infrastructure indicators

Competitiveness data that has been calculated, then obtained the final value of the main indicators of facilities and infrastructure showing the ranking and category of competitiveness of the province. The rankings of the provinces in Indonesia in the facilities and infrastructure of the fishery processing industry in Indonesia can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4 shows that East Java Province is ranked 1st for the competitiveness of facilities and infrastructure with a final score of 14.34. East Java Province is in the first quartile which means it has a very high level of competitiveness. Based on the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (2018), East Java Province has facilities and infrastructure including 8,881 Fish Processing Units (UPI) and production in 2017 in East Java Province was 1,216,999 tons. This shows that East Java Province is a superior and potential province in fishery processing industry activities supported by high enough facilities and infrastructure so that it can optimize the use of existing facilities and infrastructure. Central Java Province was ranked 2nd in the competitiveness of facilities and infrastructure indicators with a final score of 13.93. Based on the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (2018), Central Java Province has facilities and infrastructure including 8,628 Fish Processing Units (UPI) and production in 2017 in Central Java Province was...
1,017,345 tons. West Java Province is ranked 3rd in Indonesia’s competitiveness with a final score of 10.46. Based on the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (2018), West Java Province has facilities and infrastructure including 6,481 Fish Processing Units (UPI).

The provinces that occupy the two lowest ranks are West Papua and North Kalimantan. The province is in the fourth quartile which means it has a low level of production competitiveness, and North Kalimantan Province is a province that was only established in 2013.

The competitiveness of facilities and infrastructure indicators is highly volatile as shown in the following graph (Fig. 3).
Table 4. Ranking of provincial competitiveness in Indonesia based on facilities and infrastructure indicators

| Province               | Facilities and infrastructure value | Rank | Competitiveness category |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--------------------------|
| Jawa Timur             | 14,34687086                         | 1    |                          |
| Jawa Tengah            | 13,93816032                         | 2    |                          |
| Jawa Barat             | 10,46977481                         | 3    |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Barat   | 6,240509192                         | 4    | Very High                |
| Kalimantan Selatan     | 5,938418791                         | 5    |                          |
| Sumatera Utara         | 3,789861394                         | 6    |                          |
| Kalimantan Timur       | 3,316532584                         | 7    |                          |
| Sulawesi Selatan       | 3,245452489                         | 8    |                          |
| Kalimantan Tengah      | 3,129139608                         | 9    |                          |
| Sumatera Barat         | 2,998287616                         | 10   |                          |
| Lampung                | 2,991825789                         | 11   |                          |
| Sumatera Selatan       | 2,77535493                          | 12   |                          |
| Kepulauan Bangka Belitung | 2,734968176                     | 13   | High                     |
| Aceh                   | 2,589577073                         | 14   |                          |
| Banten                 | 2,053245452                         | 15   |                          |
| Kalimantan Barat       | 1,961164421                         | 16   |                          |
| Riau                   | 1,86423702                          | 17   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tenggara      | 1,810926949                         | 18   |                          |
| DKI Jakarta            | 1,786695099                         | 19   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tengah        | 1,526606572                         | 20   |                          |
| Bali                   | 1,449064651                         | 21   |                          |
| Kepulauan Riau         | 1,16635973                          | 22   | Enough                   |
| Jambi                  | 1,155051533                         | 23   |                          |
| Maluku Utara           | 1,080740525                         | 24   |                          |
| Maluku                 | 1,064585958                         | 25   |                          |
| DI Yogyakarta          | 0,764111014                         | 26   |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Timur    | 0,760880101                         | 27   |                          |
| Gorontalo              | 0,680107266                         | 28   |                          |
| Bengkulu               | 0,626797196                         | 29   |                          |
| Sulawesi Barat         | 0,523407967                         | 30   | Low                      |
| Sulawesi Utara         | 0,512099771                         | 31   |                          |
| Papua                  | 0,470097897                         | 32   |                          |
| Papua Barat            | 0,23908759                          | 33   |                          |
| Kalimantan Utara       | 0                                  | 34   |                          |

(Source: Data Processing Results)

The graph above shows that all provinces in Indonesia did not experience an increase in the final score, because the availability of data showed no improvement in the indicators of facilities and infrastructure in each province in Indonesia.

3.3.3 Competitiveness based on science and technology indicators

Competitiveness data that has been calculated, the final value of the main indicators from science and technology shows the ranking and category of competitiveness of the province. The ranking of the provinces in Indonesia in the science and technology of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia can be seen in Table 5.

Table 5 shows that East Java Province is ranked 1st in science and technology competitiveness with a final score of 29.72. East Java Province is in the first quartile which means it has a very high level of competitiveness. East Java Province has science and technology including Fish Processing Units (UPI) with processing feasibility certificates (SKP) as many as 40, export volumes of 381,046 tons, and export value of 1,765,668 US$. This shows that East Java Province is a province that has superior and potential in fishery processing industry activities supported by quite high science and technology. DKI Jakarta
Province is ranked 2nd in the competitiveness of science and technology indicators with a final score of 27.45. DKI Jakarta Province has science and technology including Fish Processing Units (UPI) with processing feasibility certificates (SKP) as many as 26, export volumes of 401,877 tons, and export value of 1,608,629 US$. South Sulawesi Province is ranked 3rd in Indonesia’s competitiveness with a final score of 8.52. South Sulawesi Province has science and technology including Fish Processing Units (UPI) with processing feasibility certificates (SKP) as many as 29, export volumes of 114,088 tons, and export value of US$ 195,079.

Provinces that occupy the two lowest ranks are Bengkulu and West Sulawesi. The province is in the fourth quartile, which means it has a low level of production competitiveness.

The competitiveness of science and technology indicators is highly volatile as shown in the following graph (Fig. 4).

The graph above shows that DKI Jakarta Province experienced a significant increase in the final score in 2013 by 20.38 to 27.45 in 2017. Another province that experienced an increase in the final score, namely East Java Province, in 2013 had a final score of 28.08 and increased to 29.72 in 2017.

### Table 5. Competitiveness ranking of provinces in Indonesia based on science and technology indicators

| Province                  | Science and technology value | Rank | Competitiveness category |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------|--------------------------|
| Jawa Timur                | 29,72658484                  | 1    | Very High                |
| DKI Jakarta               | 27,45073899                  | 2    |                          |
| Sulawesi Selatan          | 8,522648358                  | 3    |                          |
| Sumatera Utara            | 7,306159984                  | 4    |                          |
| Jawa Tengah               | 3,802592331                  | 5    |                          |
| Banten                    | 3,553921569                  | 6    |                          |
| Jawa Barat                | 2,825859544                  | 7    |                          |
| Kepulauan Riau            | 2,497205279                  | 8    |                          |
| Lampung                   | 2,322621775                  | 9    |                          |
| Bali                      | 1,881207464                  | 10   |                          |
| Sulawesi Utara            | 1,702502045                  | 11   |                          |
| Kalimantan Utara          | 1,002099915                  | 12   |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Barat      | 0.981700942                  | 13   | High                     |
| Sumatera Selatan          | 0.834525226                  | 14   |                          |
| Nusa Tenggara Timur       | 0.623863758                  | 15   |                          |
| Maluku                    | 0.622346486                  | 16   |                          |
| Papua Barat               | 0.617285097                  | 17   |                          |
| Kepulauan Bangka Belitung | 0.54424065                  | 18   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tengah           | 0.370474768                  | 19   |                          |
| Kalimantan Selatan        | 0.367767994                  | 20   |                          |
| Jambi                     | 0.367647059                  | 21   | Enough                   |
| Riau                      | 0.321263735                  | 22   |                          |
| Kalimantan Barat          | 0.319890298                  | 23   |                          |
| Kalimantan Timur          | 0.277022043                  | 24   |                          |
| Sulawesi Tenggara         | 0.253537864                  | 25   |                          |
| Sumatera Barat            | 0.250416429                  | 26   |                          |
| Gorontalo                 | 0.245098039                  | 27   |                          |
| DI Yogyakarta             | 0.15156337                  | 28   |                          |
| Aceh                      | 0.13370453                   | 29   |                          |
| Maluku Utara              | 0.12254902                   | 30   | Low                      |
| Papua                     | 0.000727494                  | 31   |                          |
| Kalimantan Tengah         | 0.000233108                  | 32   |                          |
| Bengkulu                  | 0                          | 33   |                          |
| Sulawesi Barat            | 0                          | 34   |                          |

(Source: Data Processing Results)
The province that experienced a significant decrease in the final score was the Province of Java and Maluku, in 2013 it had a final value of 7.58 and decreased to 0.62 in 2017. Another province that experienced a decline in the final score was the Province of Bali, in 2013 it had a value of final value of 4.02 and decreased to 1.88 in 2017, and West Papua Province experienced a decrease in final value in 2013 of 2.68 to 0.61 in 2017.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of research that has been carried out, the following conclusions are obtained:

The category of very high competitiveness of the fisheries processing industry in Indonesia is obtained by the Province of East Java, DKI Jakarta Province, Central Java Province, South Sulawesi Province, North Sumatra Province, West Java Province, Banten Province, Lampung Province, and South Kalimantan Province. East Java Province was ranked first with a final score of 24.64, excelling in all major indicators. DKI Jakarta Province is in second place with a final score of 17.7, which is superior in the main indicators of science and technology. Central Java Province is in third place with a final score of 8.36 superior in the indicators of Production and Facilities and Infrastructure.
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