Anti-RhD antibodies are widely used in clinical practice to prevent immunization against RhD, principally in hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn. Intriguingly, this disease is induced by production of the very same antibodies when an RhD negative woman is pregnant with an RhD positive fetus. Despite over five decades of use, the mechanism of this treatment is, surprisingly, still unclear. Here we show that anti-RhD antibodies induce human natural killer (NK) cell degranulation. Mechanistically, we demonstrate that NK cell degranulation is mediated by binding of the Fc segment of anti-RhD antibodies to CD16, the main Fcγ receptor expressed on NK cells. We found that this CD16 activation is dependent upon glycosylation of the anti-RhD antibodies. Furthermore, we show that anti-RhD antibodies induce NK cell degranulation in vivo in patients who receive this treatment prophylactically. Finally, we demonstrate that the anti-RhD drug KamRho enhances the killing of dendritic cells. We suggest that this killing leads to reduced activation of adaptive immunity and may therefore affect the production of anti-RhD antibodies.

Introduction

Anti-RhD immunoglobulins are polyclonal antibodies which are commonly used in clinical practice. These antibodies are produced from human sera, and are mainly administered to prevent endogenous production of anti-RhD antibodies in case of exposure to the RhD antigen.1 This prophylactic treatment is commonly used in RhD- women pregnant with an RhD+ fetus. As such, they are at risk of developing anti-RhD antibodies which can cause hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (HDFN). Paradoxically, anti-RhD antibodies are also used as prophylactic treatment for this condition. Anti-RhD antibodies are administered not only as a preventive therapy, but can also be used for treating immune thrombocytopenic purpura,2 an autoimmune disease characterized by peripheral destruction of platelets.

Despite over five decades of use, the mechanism behind anti-RhD’s effect remains unclear.3 Several hypotheses have been raised to explain the clinical impact of these antibodies, but none has been definitively proven.3,4 One of the leading notions is that anti-RhD antibodies can cause antibody-mediated immune suppression (AMIS), even though a mechanism to explain this has not been established yet. One of the possibilities to explain AMIS is that anti-RhD antibodies lead to macrophage-mediated destruction of RhD+ erythrocytes.4 A second theory hypothesizes that the anti-RhD antibodies mask the RhD antigen on erythrocytes. Such masking could prevent the RhD antigen from being recognized by the immune system. It is estimated, however, that most of the RhD antigen sites remain unbound by the anti-RhD antibodies, and therefore should generate an immune response.4 Additional reported effects of these preparations include an increase of the cytokines transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) and prostaglandin E2.5 It has also been suggested that anti-RhD antibodies might cross-link the B-cell receptor and the inhibitory fragment crystallizable receptor...
(FcR) CD32B (FcγRIIb). However, Fcy receptors have not been shown to play a role in AMIS.

Since the clinical effect of anti-RhD antibodies implies that they convey some immune suppression, we wondered whether these preparations affect immune cells other than B cells.

Here we concentrate on natural killer (NK) cells, which are innate lymphoid cells that play a significant role in eliminating virus-infected and malignant cells. NK-cell activity is governed by a balance of signals from a vast array of activating (e.g., CD16a, FcyRIIa) and inhibitory receptors that are activated by self or foreign ligands. NK cells can also interact with dendritic cells (DC) and are able to kill DC in peripheral tissues, but this cytotoxic effect mostly affects immature DC (iDC). Notably, the killing of iDC by NK cells might attenuate adaptive immunity. NK cells are also able to kill cells coated with antibody, a phenomenon known as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). ADCC is mediated by the Fc segment of antibodies which bind to CD16, the main FcR expressed on NK cells. Freshly isolated primary NK cells are mainly composed of a large, CD56dimCD16+ subpopulation (which expresses CD56 at intermediate levels and CD16 at high levels), and a much smaller, CD56brightCD16- subpopulation (which expresses CD56 at high levels and does not express CD16).

Here we show that anti-RhD antibodies activate NK cells via binding of their Fc segment to CD16 in a glycosylation-dependent manner. We show that this activation occurs not only in vitro, but also in vivo, in patients who receive this treatment. We further show that the anti-Rhd drug KamRho enhances killing of iDC by NK cells and discuss how this might lead to immune suppression.

**Methods**

**Erythrocyte extraction and staining**

We used commercial erythrocytes of defined phenotype (cat. 004310, Bio-Rad) or erythrocytes extracted from whole blood samples from healthy volunteers with a known RhD expression profile. For extraction of erythrocytes from whole blood samples, the samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was discarded. Erythrocytes were then washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBSx1). For flow cytometry analysis, erythrocytes were incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes with antibodies, washed and then incubated with a secondary antibody at room temperature for 30 minutes. Details of other procedures related to erythrocytes are described in the Online Supplementary Appendix.

**Natural killer cell purification and CD107a degranulation assay**

Primary NK cells were isolated from the peripheral blood of healthy human volunteers, and tested for purity as previously described. Unless stated otherwise, in all experiments we used NK cells which were activated as previously described.

For the CD107a degranulation assay, primary NK cells were incubated with different polyclonal antibodies (described in the Online Supplementary Appendix) together with anti-CD107a and anti-CD56 antibodies. The NK and target cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 2 hours and analyzed by flow cytometry. In each well we used 50,000 NK cells and 0.5 µg of the relevant antibody in a final volume of 100 µL. Degranulation of NK cells was assessed by calculating the percent of CD107a+ NK cells out of the total NK cells in a given well. The data was normalized to the basal percent of CD107a+ NK cells (without addition of antibodies). The assay was performed in triplicate. For the mlgG2a blocking experiment, NK cells were pre-incubated for 30 minutes on ice with 5 µg per well of the mouse IgG2a isotype control.

**Ethics**

The collection of patient samples was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The Hadassah Medical Center and informed consent was obtained from all participants (HMO-0091-18).

**Results**

**Anti-RhD preparations induce natural killer cell degranulation**

In order to investigate the effect of anti-RhD antibodies on NK cells, we used two common commercial preparations of anti-RhD antibodies: Rhophylac and KamRho. Both are produced from human sera and used clinically. In order to verify that these preparations specifically bind the RhD antigen, we first stained erythrocytes with known RhD expression. As expected, RhD⁺ erythrocytes were recognized by both anti-RhD products, in contrast to RhD erythrocytes (Figure 1A). Next, we tested whether the anti-RhD preparations affect NK cell activation. We incubated activated human NK cells (which only contain CD56dimCD16+ cells, Online Supplementary Figure S1A) with anti-RhD antibodies and used flow cytometry to assess the level of CD107a, a marker of NK cell degranulation. Since anti-RhD preparations also contain non-specific human antibodies, we used intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) at the same concentration as the total antibody concentration of these preparations as a control. Neither of the IVIG products (Intratect and Kiovig) significantly affected the degranulation level of NK cells (Figure 1B). Both of the anti-RhD
preparations, however, caused significant activation of NK cells, demonstrated by a substantial increase in CD107a levels (Figure 1B). Primary NK cells obtained from eight different healthy donors displayed similar effects (Figure 1C). In order to corroborate these findings, we examined the degranulation level of primary activated NK cells incubated with increasing doses of the anti-RhD preparation KamRho, and observed a dose-dependent response (Figure 1D). Similar results were obtained using the anti-RhD preparation Rhophylac (Online Supplementary Figure S1B).

**Natural killer cell degranulation is mediated specifically by anti-RhD antibodies**

Since both anti-RhD antibody mixtures contain mainly non-specific antibodies, we sought to investigate whether the degranulation effect is mediated specifically by anti-

---

**Figure 1.** Anti-RhD antibodies induce degranulation of natural killer cells. (A) Staining of erythrocytes (red blood cells [RBC]) with a pre-defined RhD expression profile using two commercial anti-RhD preparations: Rhophylac (left two panels) and KamRho (right two panels). Gray filled histograms represent the background staining with the secondary antibody. One representative experiment is shown out of three performed. (B) Degranulation of natural killer (NK) cells incubated with various commercial human polyclonal antibodies. NK-cell degranulation was assessed by calculating the percentage of CD107a+ NK cells out of the total NK cells (analyzed by flow cytometry). The percent of degranulated NK cells is indicated in each scatter plot. (C) The average NK-cell degranulation of eight different NK-cell donors after incubation with different polyclonal antibodies. The NK-cell degranulation level was calculated as in (B) and then normalized to the basal percent of CD107a+ NK cells without antibody (no Ab). **P<0.001; ANOVA with Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test. Error bars represent standard error. (D) Degranulation of NK cells following incubation with increasing doses of KamRho. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates. One representative experiment is shown out of three performed.
Figure 2. Specific anti-RhD antibodies mediate the degranulation of natural killer cells. (A to D) Preparation of the "unbound" antibody fractions and their effect on natural killer (NK)-cell degranulation. (A) Illustration of the production of unbound anti-RhD antibodies. Anti-RhD antibodies were incubated with RhD- (B) or RhD+ (C) erythrocytes and then the supernatant was collected and used for staining RhD- (left) and RhD+ (right) erythrocytes. (D) Degranulation of NK cells incubated with the unbound antibody fractions, prepared as illustrated in (A). The NK-cell degranulation level was normalized to the basal percent of CD107a+ NK cells. (E to H) Preparation of the "bound" antibody fractions and their effect on NK-cell degranulation. (E) Illustration of the production of bound anti-RhD antibodies. Anti-RhD antibodies were incubated with RhD- (F) or RhD+ (G) erythrocytes. The eluates containing the bound antibody fractions were then extracted and used for staining RhD- (left) and RhD+ (right) erythrocytes. (H) Degranulation of NK cells incubated with the bound antibody fractions, prepared as illustrated in (E), and normalized as in (D). For all experiments, one representative experiment is shown out of at least two performed. ***P<0.001; Student’s t-test. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates.
Figure 3. Anti-RhD antibodies induce natural killer cell degranulation by binding to CD16. (A to B) Staining of transfected BW cells which express the extracellular domain of specific NK-cell receptors. The receptor expressed by each BW cell line is indicated above each histogram. (A) Staining with different antibodies as indicated below each histogram, in order to verify receptor expression; gray filled histograms represent staining with isotype control antibodies. (B) Staining with KamRho; gray filled histograms represent staining with secondary antibody and gray histograms represent staining of the parental BW cells. (C) Staining of BW-CD16 cells with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) (gray filled histograms) or KamRho (black histograms), without (left panel) or with (right panel) blocking of CD16 by mouse IgG2a. (D) Staining of freshly isolated NK cells with the bound RhD+ fraction. Gating was on the CD56- cell population (gray box). The y-axis presents the CD56 expression level; cells above the black line are CD56bright (CD16-), and those below it are CD56dim (CD16+). (E) Staining of bulk activated NK cells with the bound RhD+ fraction, with or without blocking of CD16 by mouse IgG2a. Gating was on all living NK cells. The figure shows the average of three experiments, performed on NK cells from three different donors. For each donor, the percent of stained NK cells in both conditions was normalized to the percent of stained NK cells without blocking. **P<0.01; Student’s t-test. Error bars represent standard deviation. (F) Degranulation of NK cells incubated with KamRho or IVIG, with or without blocking of CD16 by mouse IgG2a. The NK-cell degranulation level was normalized to the basal percentage of CD107a+ NK cells without antibody (no Ab.). **P<0.01; Student’s t-test. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates. (G) Primary NK cells were isolated from donors who express the low- (158F) or high-affinity (158V) variants of CD16. These NK cells were incubated with increasing doses of KamRho and then the NK-cell degranulation was assessed. The NK-cell degranulation level was normalized to the basal percent of CD107a+ NK cells. One representative experiment is shown out of two performed. ***P<0.001; Student’s t-test. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates.
RhD antibodies. In order to address this, we incubated KamRho with RhD+ erythrocytes and collected the supernatant, which consists of antibodies that did not bind to erythrocytes ("unbound" fraction, Figure 2A). As a control, we performed the same adsorption process with RhD erythrocytes, which are not expected to adsorb RhD-specific antibodies (Figure 1A). We first confirmed the efficiency of the process by staining RhD and RhD- erythrocytes with the two unbound antibody fractions. As expected, the unbound fraction from RhD erythrocytes did not stain RhD erythrocytes (Figure 2B, left panel), but did stain RhD- erythrocytes (Figure 2B, right panel). In contrast, the unbound fraction from RhD+ erythrocytes no longer stained erythrocytes regardless of their RhD status (Figure 2C), thus demonstrating the efficiency of the adsorption process.

We next examined the effect of the unbound fraction on NK-cell degranulation. We found that the unbound fraction from RhD erythrocytes highly activated NK cells, in contrast to the unbound fraction from RhD+ (Figure 2D). In order to further corroborate the potency of specific anti-RhD antibodies in inducing NK-cell degranulation, we eluted the specific anti-RhD antibodies bound to RhD+ erythrocytes ("bound" fraction, Figure 2E). As a control, we performed the same elution process with RhD erythrocytes. We verified the elution process by staining RhD and RhD- erythrocytes with these bound fractions (Figure 2 F to G). Similar to the original antibody preparation, neither bound fraction stained RhD erythrocytes (Figure 2 F to G, left panels). While the bound fraction from RhD erythrocytes minimally stained RhD+ erythrocytes, the bound fraction from RhD- erythrocytes, as expected, significantly stained RhD+ erythrocytes (Figure 2 F to G, right panels). Both bound fractions were then incubated with NK cells and we determined degranulation levels as before (Figure 2H). The bound fraction from RhD+ erythrocytes induced significant NK cell degranulation as compared to the bound fraction from RhD erythrocytes (Figure 2H). Based on these results we concluded that it is the specific anti-RhD antibodies which induce NK-cell degranulation.

**Anti-RhD antibodies induce natural killer cell degranulation by binding to CD16**

We next explored how anti-RhD antibodies induce NK-cell degranulation. Because NK cells do not express the RhD antigen, we focused on CD16, the main FcR expressed by NK cells, as we suspected it binds the Fc portion of the anti-RhD antibodies. In order to test this possibility, we stained several transfected BW cells which express the extracellular domain of CD16 or of a control NK-cell receptor (NTB-A, Nkp44 or DNAM-1). We first verified that each of the transfected BW cells expresses the extracellular domain of the given receptor (Figure 3A). We then stained these transfected BW cells with KamRho and analyzed the cells by flow cytometry (Figure 3B). We found that KamRho binds to CD16, but not to other tested NK-cell receptors (Figure 3B). Similar results were obtained with Rhophylac (Online Supplementary Figure S1C). Pre-blocking of CD16 with mouse IgG2a isotype, known to bind CD16 with high affinity, abolished the binding of KamRho to BW-CD16, as compared with IVIG binding (Figure 3C).

In order to further explore the possibility that anti-RhD antibodies bind CD16, we double-stained primary freshly isolated NK cells using the bound RhD+ fraction we generated (shown in Figure 2 E, G to H), and an anti-CD56 antibody. In concordance with our expectations, we observed binding of the anti-RhD antibodies to CD56bright NK cells that express CD16, but not to CD56dim CD16 negative NK cells (Figure 3D). The relatively low binding to CD56dim NK cells is probably due to limitations of staining human NK cells with human antibodies, as discussed below. Furthermore, blocking of CD16 on activated NK cells significantly reduced the binding of the bound RhD+ fraction to activated NK cells (Figure 3E).

In order to corroborate these results, we repeated the staining of freshly isolated NK cells with a fluorochrome-conjugated KamRho which we generated. This staining clearly demonstrated a specific binding to CD56dim (CD16+) NK cells (Online Supplementary Figure S2). Taken together, these findings indicate that anti-RhD antibodies bind CD16 on NK cells.

Next, in order to determine whether anti-RhD – CD16 interaction is responsible for the NK-cell degranulation, we repeated the degranulation assay with pre-blocking of CD16 on NK cells. Pre-blocking significantly reduced the degranulation levels induced by anti-RhD antibodies (KamRho in Figure 3F and Rhophylac in the Online Supplementary Figure S3A).

In order to further elucidate the role of CD16 in anti-RhD antibody binding, we focused on CD16, the main FcR expressed by NK cells, which is not expected to adsorb RhD-specific antibodies (Figure 1A). We first confirmed the efficiency of the process by staining RhD and RhD- erythrocytes with these bound fractions (Figure 2 F to G). Similar to the original antibody preparation, neither bound fraction stained RhD+ erythrocytes (Figure 2F, right panels). Both bound fractions were then incubated with NK cells and we determined degranulation levels as before (Figure 2H). The bound fraction from RhD+ erythrocytes induced significant NK cell degranulation as compared to the bound fraction from RhD erythrocytes (Figure 2H). Based on these results we concluded that it is the specific anti-RhD antibodies which induce NK-cell degranulation.

![Figure 4. Anti-RhD antibodies induce degranulation of natural killer cells through their Fc segment. (A to B) Natural killer (NK)-cell degranulation assays. (A) F(ab’)2 fragments were produced from KamRho antibodies. NK cells were incubated with equal concentrations of the deglycosylated or whole KamRho and intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG). The NK-cell degranulation level was normalized to the basal percent of CD107a+ NK cells without antibody (no Ab.). (B) KamRho and IVIG were deglycosylated with PNGase under non-denaturing conditions. NK cells were incubated with equal concentrations of the deglycosylated or the original antibodies. The NK-cell degranulation level was normalized to the basal percent of CD107a+ NK cells without antibody (no Ab.). **P<0.001; Student’s t-test. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates.](image-url)
RhD–induced NK-cell degranulation, we cultured primary NK cells from donors who are homozygous for either of the low- or high-affinity alleles of CD16 (158F and 158V respectively). We performed degranulation assays with both NK cells in parallel, which were incubated with KamRho (Figure 3G) or Rhophylac (Online Supplementary Figure S3B). We found significant differences in the extent of NK-cell degranulation between the donors (Figure 3G; Online Supplementary Figure S3B). We therefore concluded that anti-RhD antibodies induce degranulation of NK cells by binding to CD16.

**Fc glycosylations of anti-RhD antibodies are essential for inducing natural killer cell degranulation**

As CD16 is an FcR, we wished to confirm that anti-RhD antibodies mediate their effect via their Fc segments. Therefore, we performed a degranulation assay with NK cells incubated with anti-RhD antibodies or F(ab’)2 derivatives produced from KamRho and Rhophylac. As a control we used IVIG and F(ab’)2 fragments of IVIG. In contrast to the degranulation induced by the whole anti-RhD antibodies, the F(ab’)2 segments did not induce degranulation of NK cells (Figure 4A; Online Supplementary Figure S3C). This indicates that the Fc segment of anti-RhD antibodies is necessary for CD16-induced NK-cell degranulation.

In order to understand why the Fc fragments of anti-RhD antibodies, but not those of IVIG, activate NK cells, we investigated which element of the Fc is important for this effect. Since the human IgG subclasses 1, 2, 3, and 4 differ in their immune properties, we initially quantified the abundance of these subclasses in KamRho and Rhophylac, as well as in IVIG. Although some differences could be observed, we did not detect significant enrichment of a specific IgG subclass in the anti-RhD preparations as compared to IVIG (Online Supplementary Table S1), indicating that the functional difference is not due to a specific IgG subclass.

As Fc glycosylations have a critical influence on antibody function, we next examined the role of Fc glycosylations on anti-RhD-mediated NK-cell degranulation. We treated KamRho and Rhophylac with peptide:N-glycosidase F (PNGase F), an enzyme which cleaves N-linked oligosaccharides, and repeated the degranulation assay with the treated antibodies. Removal of glycosylations from KamRho and Rhophylac abolished their ability to induce degranulation of NK cells (Figure 4B; Online Supplementary Figure S3D), indicating that anti-RhD antibodies activate NK cells via glycosylation-dependent binding of their Fc segment to CD16.

**Treatment with anti-RhD antibodies increases natural killer cell degranulation in humans**

Since anti-RhD preparations are widely used in clinical settings we wished to determine whether anti-RhD antibodies affect NK-cell degranulation in humans. We therefore collected peripheral blood samples from pregnant women who received prophylactic treatment with anti-RhD. We collected two samples from each woman: the first sample was obtained just before administration of the anti-RhD injection, and the second sample was obtained 3 hours post-injection. Samples were stained and analyzed for CD107a levels by flow cytometry with gating on NK cells (CD56+CD3-). Two NK-cell populations were observed and referred to as PBL1 and PBL2 (Figure 5A and B). We initially gated on the PBL2 population, which were larger in size and had high baseline degranulation levels, presumably representing activated NK cells. In accordance with the in vitro degranulation assays, we noted a significant increase in the degranulation levels in almost all patients (10 of 11) with an average 2.06-fold increase in NK-cell degranulation after receiving anti-RhD (Figure 5C, left panel). Similar results were observed when gating on the PBL1 NK population (Figure 5A to C, right panels), with an average 1.84-fold increase in NK-cell degranulation after anti-RhD treatment (Figure 5C, right panel).

The anti-RhD drug KamRho induces killing of immature and mature dendritic cells by natural killer cells

Finally, we explored the possibility that anti-RhD-induced activation of NK cells helps to prevent production of anti-RhD antibodies by B cells, the desired clinical outcome of this treatment. As NK cells can kill iDC in peripheral tissues,
Figure 6. The anti-RhD drug KamRho induces killing of immature dendritic cells by natural killer cells. (A) Cytotoxicity assay. **35S-labeled immature dendritic cells (iDC) were incubated with activated natural killer (NK) cells and different polyclonal antibodies (intravenous immunoglobulin [IVIG] or KamRho). The effector to target (E:T) ratio is indicated on the x-axis. One representative experiment is shown out of five performed. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001; ANOVA with Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates. (B) Cytotoxicity assay was performed as in (A), with **35S-labeled mature DC (mDC) cells used as targets. One representative experiment is shown out of two performed. *P<0.05, **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ANOVA with Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates. (C) Cytotoxicity assay was performed as in (A), with **35S-labeled iDC cells as targets, at an E:T ratio of 50:1. The assay was performed with pre-blocking of CD16 on NK cells using mouse IgG2a. One representative experiment is shown out of two performed. ns: non-significant; Student’s t-test. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicates. (D) Cytotoxicity assay. B lymphocytes, 721.221, or K562 cells were **35S-labeled and then incubated with activated NK cells and different polyclonal antibodies (IVIG or KamRho). The E:T ratio is 50:1 for B lymphocytes and 5:1 for 721.221 and K562 cells. One representative experiment is shown out of five performed with 721.221 and K562 cells, and two performed with B lymphocytes. ns: non-significant; Student’s t-test. Error bars represent the standard deviation of triplicates.
regulating adaptive immunity, we tested whether anti-RhD antibodies affect this process.

We performed NK cytotoxicity assays, in which we measured the killing of mature DC (mDC) activated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and of iDC by NK cells which were pre-incubated with KamRho, Rhophylac or IVIG. The phenotype of iDC and mDC is presented in the Online Supplementary Figure S4A. At all effector to target ratios tested, pre-incubation with KamRho increased the killing of iDC by NK cells (Figure 6A). KamRho also increased the killing of mDC by NK cells, although the killing levels were significantly lower (Figure 6B), which is in line with previous reports. Pre-blocking of CD16 on NK cells with mouse IgG2a abolished the differences between KamRho and IVIG (Figure 6C). Interestingly, pre-incubation of NK cells with Rhophylac did not increase the killing of neither iDC nor mDC (Online Supplementary Figure S4B and C, respectively), although both drugs were able to induce degranulation of NK cells (Figure 1C).

Because anti-RhD antibody preparations contain mainly non-specific antibodies, we next verified that the increased killing effect is specifically due to anti-RhD antibodies. We repeated the cytotoxicity assay, this time using the bound antibody fractions we eluted from RhD- and RhD+ erythrocytes incubated with KamRho (as shown in Figure 2 E to H). As expected, the bound fraction from RhD+ erythrocytes (which contains the specific anti-RhD antibodies) increased NK-cell-mediated killing of iDC significantly while the bound fraction obtained from RhD erythrocytes did not (Online Supplementary Figure S4D).

In order to check whether any other cells, particularly B cells, could also be more efficiently killed in the presence of KamRho, we repeated the NK cytotoxicity assay with primary B cells isolated from human donors, the B-cell line 721.221 or the myeloid-derived cell line K562, and observed no effect (Figure 6D).

**Discussion**

Anti-RhD Antibodies are widely used in clinical practice, mainly to prevent immunization against the RhD antigen. Surprisingly, although prophylactic administration of anti-RhD antibodies is a common, safe, and successful treatment (in terms of preventing HDFN), the mechanism of this therapy remains unclear. One of the common theories suggests that this treatment can cause AMIS, though there is no single definitive mechanism for this suppression.

One of the limitations of anti-RhD antibodies is that these products are manufactured from the sera of human subjects who are immunized against RhD. In light of the success of the preventative anti-RhD therapy, the number of immunized donors has decreased significantly. In order to overcome this drawback, in recent years several recombinant monoclonal antibodies against RhD have been generated. Some studies have demonstrated clinical efficiency of monoclonal anti-RhD antibodies in preventing RhD immunization, and have displayed encouraging laboratory markers (e.g., clearance of erythrocytes or antibody-dependent cytotoxicity). Nonetheless, polyclonal RhD antibodies are still considered the standard of care, which emphasizes that the precise mechanism of this treatment has not yet been elucidated. Deciphering this mechanism would potentially assist in generating efficient recombinant substitutions which would replace serum-based products.

Here we explored the effect of two commercial anti-RhD antibody preparations on NK-cell activity. We showed that both products induce significant degranulation of NK cells, even in the absence of target cells, and that this is mediated specifically by anti-RhD antibodies. Importantly, we also observed an increase in NK-cell degranulation following prophylactic treatment with anti-RhD antibodies in human patients.

We demonstrated that this effect occurs via binding of the Fc segment of the antibodies to CD16 in a glycosylation-dependent manner. It is possible that anti-RhD antibodies have unique glycosylations which are critical for their interaction with NK cells. This is consistent with a study which demonstrated that anti-RhD antibodies isolated from the plasma of alloimmunized pregnant women are less fucosylated. These properties should be explored in further studies.

We demonstrated a significant binding of labeled anti-RhD antibodies to the CD56dim, but not to the CD56bright NK-cell population of freshly isolated NK cells. However, when we used the non-labeled anti-RhD antibodies, little staining was observed. This is probably due to limitations of staining human NK cells by unlabeled human antibodies which requires anti-human secondary antibody staining. Since NK cells are coated with human immunoglobulins, the non-specific background staining with secondary anti-human antibodies is high, seemingly masking any specific binding.

We also demonstrated the role of CD16 in the anti-RhD-mediated NK-cell degranulation in other experiments (e.g., degranulation with blocking of CD16 and with low and high-affinity CD16a-expressing donors). Blocking of CD16 abolished anti-RhD-mediated degranulation significantly, yet not completely. This incomplete blocking could result from inefficiency of the blocking or might indicate that additional NK-cell receptors are involved (even though we have not observed binding of anti-RhD antibodies to several other NK-cell receptors).

We next found that the anti-RhD drug KamRho increases killing of iDC. KamRho also increased killing of mDC, but to a lesser extent. This is in line with previous reports of the sensitivity of iDC as compared to mDC to killing by NK cells, which is attributed to the increased levels of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) on mDC. Surprisingly, although the drugs Rhophylac and KamRho had similar effects in most in vitro assays performed, Rhophylac did not increase NK killing of DC. One possibility is that this difference is related to the presence of a different stabilizer in each of these drugs: albumin in Rhophylac and glycine in KamRho (glycine is also used as stabilizer in the IVIG regent we used as a control).

Interestingly, increased NK-cell activation mediated by KamRho did not enhance killing of other cell types, including B cells. The reasons behind this observation are not completely understood. One possible explanation is that MHC class I proteins are involved. This option seems unlikely as K562 and 721.221 cells express little or no MHC class I, while primary B cells, iDC and to a greater extent mDC express high levels of MHC class I. Alternatively, it is possible that anti-RhD antibodies not only bind NK but can also bind DC through their Fab segment, therefore inducing ADCC. This possibility seems unlikely as the RhD antigen is expressed only on erythrocytes. A final explanation might be that CD16 binds an unknown cellular ligand expressed on DC. Such a cellular ligand was previously
reported to be expressed on 1106mel cells.\textsuperscript{32} Further studies are required to decipher this differential killing by NK cells. A possible mechanism arising from our work is that anti-RhD antibodies increase NK-cell activation, resulting in increased killing of iDC. This may, in turn, lead to decreased antigen presentation and a decreased humoral response. In the context of an RhD individual exposed to RhD\textsuperscript{-} erythrocytes, this effect could diminish the production of anti-RhD antibodies, which is the clinical outcome observed upon anti-RhD prophylactic treatment. Previous studies have demonstrated that impaired killing of DC by cytotoxic T lymphocytes and NK cells can lead to continuous antigen presentation and exaggerated immune activation,\textsuperscript{33,34} as seen in hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis.\textsuperscript{35} We can therefore speculate that in the inverse case, activation of NK by anti-RhD antibodies can contribute to AMIS via killing of DC. If administration of the anti-RhD antibodies indeed leads to the killing of DC, a general immune suppression would be expected in these women. This should be investigated in the future in a clinical study. Although the mechanism we describe here is unrelated to ADCC of erythrocytes (anti-RhD-mediated lysis of erythrocytes by CD16 on NK cells), ADCC, if significant, could potentially also contribute to the mechanism of action of anti-RhD antibodies. Several studies have shown that NK cells are capable of lysing erythrocytes via ADCC (mainly in the context of Plasmodium falciparum infected erythrocytes).\textsuperscript{36} Only a small fraction, however, have researched this effect with human allo-antibodies, let alone anti-RhD. Furthermore, these studies rarely employ direct, reliable measurements of erythrocyte lysis.\textsuperscript{37} Therefore, this important question should be comprehensively addressed by future studies.

In summary, we provide new insights into the activity of polyclonal anti-RhD antibodies which are in clinical use. These findings could contribute to the clinical efficiency of these preparations or imply that these antibodies carry unique features meriting further study.
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