Antibacterial effect of Dodonea viscosa (hop bush) leaf extract in some enterobacteriaceae

Abstract
This study was conducted to determine the Antibacterial Effects of the Leaves Extracts of Dodonea viscosa (D. viscosa). In Some Enterobacteriaceae with in Federal University Dutsinma by using different solvents: Ethanol, Petroleum ether and Distilled water. The agar well diffusion method was used to determine the inhibitory actions of these extracts with four concentrations: 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 µg/ml. The study revealed that the aqueous extracts of D. viscosa have the higher percentage yield of 20.46% compared to the ethanolic and petroleum ether extracts. It further shows that saponins, tannins and phenols were present in all the three extracts, while Flavonoids were present in the aqueous and ethanolic extracts and alkaloids present only in the ethanolic extracts. The result also shows that the leaf extract of D. viscosa exhibited some degree of antibacterial activity on some of the test bacteria used. Other solvent should also be used for extraction of other parts of the plant to reveal their potentials.
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Introduction
Dodonea viscosa is a shrub of flowering plant in the soapberry family, Sapindaceae that has cosmopolitan distribution. The center of origin is believed to be Australia, but it occurs throughout the tropics and subtropics, widely distributed in temperate regions of Australia, Africa, Mexico, New Zealand, India, Northern Mariana Islands, Virginia Islands, Florida, Arizona, South America. These flowers occur during spring and summer and are less than a centimeter in size. The plants are dioecious; the flowers are male or female and usually on separate plants. D. viscosa has many medicinal properties and has been used by native peoples from all regions where it is found. It is traditional medicine worldwide, administered orally or as poultice to treat great variety of ailments. The stems and leaves are used to treat fever and seeds in sore throat; root infusion to treat cold and colds (in combination with those of other plants and coated in honey) to treat malaria. The stems are used as fumigants to treat rheumatism. The leaves are used to relieve itching, fevers, swellings, aches and can be used as antispasmodic agent, leaves and roots as painkiller to soothe toothaches and headaches, and lotion made from unspecified plant parts to treat sprains, bruises, burns and wounds. Digestive system disorders, including indigestion, diarrhea and constipation are commonly treated in traditional medicine with an orally administered decoction of either leaves or roots. Trachoma is treated with applications of leaf juice, and powdered leaves are given to expel roundworms. The plant is also used as antibacterial and also has an insecticidal activity. The active principle constituents of D. viscosa is an acid resin, Leaves contain two acid resins, gum, albumen, tannin, and ash. Study of leaves yielded carbohydrates, Flavonoids, fixed oil, proteins and amino acids, saponins, steroids and sterols, tannins, and triterpenoids. Studies conducted by further indicated that D. viscosa showed promising antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms. The bark decoction of D. viscosa In Ethiopia, it is used for skin diseases. In Peru, the sour and bitter leaves are chewed for its stimulant effect, like coca leaves. Powdered leaves are applied on wounds which would then heal without scars and Leaves are applied to burns and scalds. In India, it is used in the treatment of headaches, backaches, stomach pains, piles and simple ulcers. Leaves are used in treatment of rheumatism, gout, hemorrhoids, fractures, and snake bites.

Justification
It has been established that the use of herbs and medicinal plant in Africa for therapeutic purpose has been quite a common practice. However most of these plant and herbs are used indiscriminately without proper knowledge of their chemical constituents, spectrum of activity, and inhibitory or bactericidal concentration. Furthermore, due to the widespread and often indiscriminate use of antimicrobial drugs, microorganisms have acquired resistance to specific antibiotic treatments. Thus, there is need to conduct researches to discover the active component and the antimicrobial activities of medicinal plant so as to overcome the increasing trend of microbial resistance to the conventional antibiotics. This work was therefore carried out to determine the Antibacterial Effect of D. viscosa leaf extract in some Enterobacteriaceae within Federal University Dutsinma.

Aim and objectives
The aim of this study was to determine the Antibacterial Effect of Dodonea viscosa Leaf Extract in some Enterobacteriaceae within Federal University Dutsinma with the following objectives:
a. To extract leaf of *D. viscosa* using ethanol, petroleum ether and water.

b. To determine the Phytochemical compounds of *D. viscosa* leaf extract.

c. To establish the antibacterial activity of the extract in some Enterobacteriaceae.

**Materials and methods**

**Study area**

The study was conducted in Dutsinma Local Government Area, Katsina State. It is located on Latitude 12° 27'18"N and longitude 7° 29'29"E and has its headquarters in the town of Dutsinma. It has an estimated area of 527km² (203sqkm) and a population of 169,671 as at 2006 census. The population and activities in the local government area have increased in the last 3 years which may be due to the establishment of the new Federal University. The Local Government is bounded by Kurf and Charanchi Local Governments to the North, Kankia Local Government to the East, Safana and Dan- Musa Local Governments to the West, and Matazu Local.nt to the Southeast. The people are predominantly farmers, cattle rearers and traders (Figure 1).

**Sample collection**

Leaves of *D. viscosa* were freshly collected from a fully grown plant within Federal University Dutsinma and taken to the herbarium unit of Ahmadu Bello University Zaria for identification. Identified by Mr. Namadi at the herbarium section with voucher number 900241.

**Preparation of aqueous and organic solvent extracts**

The aqueous extraction was done by cold percolation method according to. 50g of the powder was soaked into 500ml of distilled water and Left to stand for 48hrs at room temperature with regular shaking. It was then filtered using what mans number one filter paper. The extract was concentrated in water bath at 40°C shaking. It was then filtered using what mans number one filter paper.

**Sterility of the extracts**

After filtration, the extracts were tested for sterility by introducing 1ml of each of the extracts into 15ml of sterile nutrient broth. Incubation was done at 37°C for 24hrs. A sterile extract is indicated by absence of turbidity or clearness of the broth after incubation period.

**Phytochemical screening**

Phytochemical screening of the extract was carried out at Chemistry laboratory of Federal University Dutsinma, according to the method described by.

**Test for alkaloids**

Two to three (2-3) drops of each of Meyers reagent was added to 1.0ml of the extracts in a test tube. A white precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloid.

**Test for saponins**

Five (5) ml of distilled water was added to 0.5g of the powder in a test tube and shake vigorously. A persistence froth that lasted for 15mins indicates the presence of saponins.

**Test for phenols**

Equal volume (0.2 ml) of the plant extract and ferric acid chloride (FeCl₃) were mixed. The developments of deep blue green coloration indicates the presence of phenol.

**Preparation of stock solution**

Stock solution was prepared by mixing 0.1g of the dried extract recovered with 1ml of DMSO in a sterile bijou bottle and allow to dissolve (100,000μg/ml). Sub stock solution is prepared by mixing 0.1ml of the stock solution and 0.9ml of DMSO(10000μg/ml). Then the concentration (4000μg/ml, 3000μg/ml, 2000μg/ml and 1000μg/ml) where prepared in addition to control treatment.

**Biochemical identification of test organism**

The test organisms were pathogenic organisms clinically isolated from Microbiology laboratory of General Hospital Dutsinma, Katsina. A Series of biochemical test were carried out to identify them including indole, motility, citrate utilization, urease, hydrogen sulphide and acid gas production according to standard procedures described by.

**Escherichia coli**

The isolate was cultured on Eosine Methylene blue agar for 24-48hrs. Colonies with green metallic shade were observed and gas production according to standard procedures described by.

**Salmonella spp**

The organism were identified by culturing on MAC Agar, colorless colonies which represent non lactose fermenters were observed with indicate a positive result for *E. coli*, the colonies where subjected to IMVIC test were *E. coli* gives Indole and mythel red positive, Voges-Proskauer and citrate negative.

**Pseudomonas spp**

The organism where identified by culturing on MAC Agar for 24-48hrs, colorless colonies which represent non lactose fermenters were observed. The organism were further subjected to IMVIC were the *Paeroginosa* give indole and methyl red negative and Voges-Proskauer and citrate negative.
**Klebsiella spp**

The isolate was cultured on MAC Agar, which ferment lactose to lactic and yielded a positive result on both Voges-Proskauer and Methyl red test.\(^\text{12}\)

**Antibacterial susceptibility assay**

The agar well diffusion method previously described by\(^\text{13,14}\) was used for the determination of antibacterial activity of the plant extracts. A loopful of the Standardized bacterial suspension was swabbed on the surface of solidified and dried Nutrient agar plates in separate Petri dishes. Thereafter, wells were made by using sterilized cork borer (6mm). Then the extracts were introduced into the wells, and ceftriaxone was used as control. The plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The experiment was performed two times and the activity of plant extracts was determined by measuring the diameter of inhibition zone around each well in millimeter.\(^\text{11}\)

**Results**

The result in Table 1 show that, Aqueous extracts of *D. viscosa* yielded 10.23g with a percentage yield of 20.46% while ethanolic 7.8g (15.6%) and petroleum ether 9.9g (19.8%) in soxhlet and flask extraction procedures.

| Properties                      | Aqueous | Ethanol | Petroleum ether |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Weight of plant leaves (grams) | 50      | 50      | 50              |
| Weight of extract recovered (grams) | 10.23  | 7.8     | 9.9             |
| Percentage Yield (%)           | 20.46  | 15.6    | 19.8            |
| Colour                         | Dark Brown | Dark Green | Pale Green     |

**Phytochemical screening of *D. viscosa* leaf extract**

Data from Table 1 show the Phytochemical screening of *D. viscosa* extracts indicating the presence of some secondary metabolites and compounds. Saponins, tannins and phenols were detected in all the three extracts. Flavonoids were only detected in the aqueous extract and alkaloids were not detected only in the ethanolic extract. Neither alkaloids nor were Flavonoids detected in Petroleum Ether extract. Volatile Oils were detected only not in aqueous extract.

**Antibacterial activity of *D. viscosa* leaf extract by agar well diffusion assay**

The antimicrobial assay using agar well diffusion method showed all the extracts: Aqueous, Ethanol, as well as Petroleum ether has some degree of activity against some of the isolates. The result of the susceptibility test shows *S. typhi* responded to all the extracts at 4000 (µg/ml) and 3000 (µg/ml) likewise *P. aeruginosa*. The highest zone of inhibition for the extract was 11.67mm at 4000 (µg/ml). While the lowest zone of inhibition was 7.33 mm. *S. typhi* was the most sensitive organism to the ethanolic extract with zones of inhibitions up to 11.67 mm, while *E. coli* was the least sensitive organism to the ethanolic extract with a zone of inhibition of 7.67mm. *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* only responded to ethanolic extract at 4000 (µg/ml) and 3000 (µg/ml). *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* resisted the petroleum ether and aqueous extract, and even the *S. typhi* and *P. aeruginosa* barely showed a significant response. When compared with ceftriazone (Positive control), The test organisms were more sensitive with zones of inhibition of up to 33.33mm on *S. typhi* while the lowest zone of 29.33mm was on *K. pneumoniae*.

**Discussion**

Table 1 shows that the ethanol and petroleum ether extracts gave the high yield of 15.6% with a dark brown color and 19.8% with dark green color and aqueous gave the yield of 20.46% with a pale green color. The results differ from those of Abdul et al.\(^\text{15}\) Who demonstrated that ethanol and petroleum ether gave the low yield of 2.3% with a brown colour and 0.6% with a Dark green color. And aqueous gave 1.6% with a green color. It also shows that the concentrations of ethanolic extracts at 4000µg/ml and 3000µg/ml of the compound responsible for the antibacterial activity is higher than petroleum ether and aqueous extracts. This result differs from those of Getie et al.\(^\text{16}\) who demonstrated that ethanolic extracts at concentration of 4000µg/ml and 3000µg/ml showed weak antibacterial effect against the test organisms. At concentration of 2000µg/ml and 1000µg/ml no activity is seen across all extracts because compounds responsible for the antibacterial activity are least in concentration. This agrees with the work conducted by.\(^\text{16}\) The antibacterial effect of the leaf extract against *E.coli* and *P. aeruginosa* were in agreement with the result of Tefo,\(^\text{16}\) Rojas et al.,\(^\text{21}\) Mothana et al.\(^\text{22}\) who in their separate researches revealed that extracts of *D. viscosa* exhibited significant antibacterial activity. Table 2 shows the results of the Phytochemical screening compared as it agrees with the works of Hassan et al.,\(^\text{20}\) Faruq et al.,\(^\text{21}\) Olafimihan.\(^\text{22}\) The classes of compounds are known to show curative activity and is therefore not surprising that the plant leaves are used traditionally as an analgesic, antimicrobial and soothing herbs. The Phytochemical analysis showed that Alkaloids, Tannins, Saponins, Flavonoids, Volatile oil and phenols were detected in ethanolic extracts. These bioactive components are known to be bactericidal, pesticides, or fungicidal in nature thus conferring the antimicrobial property of plants and this agrees with the work of.\(^\text{22}\) Table 3 shows *Escherichia coli* was less susceptible to the ethanolic leaf extract and showed a diameter of inhibition zone of 9mm and 7.67mm at the concentration of 4000µg/ml and 3000µg/ml respectively. The less sensitivity of this bacterium may be due to the presence of plasmid conferring resistance which agrees with the report of.\(^\text{24,25}\)

**Table 1** Extraction of *D. viscosa* leaves

| Properties                      | Aqueous | Ethanol | Petroleum ether |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Weight of plant leaves (grams) | 50      | 50      | 50              |
| Weight of extract recovered (grams) | 10.23  | 7.8     | 9.9             |
| Percentage Yield (%)           | 20.46  | 15.6    | 19.8            |
| Colour                         | Dark Brown | Dark Green | Pale Green     |

**Table 2** Phytochemical screening of *D. viscosa* leaf extract

| Properties          | Aqueous | Ethanol | Petroleum ether |
|---------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Alkaloids           | +       | +       | -               |
| Flavonoids          | +       | +       | -               |
| Saponins            | +       | +       | -               |
| Volatile oil        | -       | +       | +               |
| Tannins             | +       | +       | +               |
| Phenols             | +       | +       | +               |

Key:
+ = detected, 
- = not detected.
Table 3 Antibacterial activity of D. viscosa leaf extract (mean diameter zone of inhibition in mm)

| Concentration | A        | B        | C        | D  | A    | B        | C        | D  | Ceftriazone |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----|------|----------|----------|----|-------------|
| ISOATES       |          |          |          |    |      |          |          |    |             |
| Aqueous       | (µg/ml)  |          |          |    |      |          |          |    |             |
| S. typhi      | 10.67±0.58 | 7.67±0.58 | 0  | 0  | 11.67±0.58 | 8.67±0.58 | 0  | 8.33±0.58 | 7.67±0.58 | 0  | 33.33±0.58 |
| P. aeruginosa | 9.33±0.58 | 7.33±0.58 | 0  | 0  | 10.33±0.58 | 7.33±0.58 | 0  | 7.67±0.58 | 7.33±0.58 | 0  | 30±1        |
| E. coli       | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0  | 9±1  | 7.67±0.58 | 0  | 0  | 0           | 0  | 30±1        |
| K. pneumoniae | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0  | 8.67±0.58 | 0        | 0  | 0  | 0           | 0  | 29.33±0.58 |

[|A|= 4000 (µg/ml); |B| = 3000 (µg/ml); |C| = 2000 (µg/ml); |D| = 1000 (µg/ml); |Figure values| = susceptibility; |[0]=resistance; |[±value]=standard deviation

Conclusion

The study revealed that aqueous extracts of D. viscosa had the highest percentage yield of 20.46% compared to the ethanolic and petroleum ether extracts. It further showed that saponins, tannins, and phenols were present in all the three extracts used, while Flavonoids were present in aqueous and ethanolic extracts only and alkaloids present only in the ethanolic extracts. D. viscosa was seen to have some antibacterial activity against some of the test bacteria, in this study with the ethanolic extracts exhibiting higher zones of inhibition compared to the other extracts.

Recommendation

Further research should be carried out to determine the specific active compounds responsible for the activity of the plant against different organism.

Other solvent should also be used for extraction of other parts of the plant to reveal their potentials.
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