irradiated transfusions were associated with reduced short-term mortality compared with patients treated with conventional ABO identical, leukoreduced, irradiated transfusions. Long-term mortality in recipients of washed transfusions (20–40%) was half to two-thirds of that in the comparable historical comparison group and the current literature (60%) (Supporting Information Table 5). A limitation of these data, in addition to the lack of randomization, is that we did not collect detailed information on treatment regimens (e.g., choice and dose of anthracycline in AML). The striking differences we observed in long-term survival are unlikely solely due to progress in treatment regimens or supportive care. Identical differences were observed when we restricted the comparison to the years 2003–2005 and 2006–2008. For lower risk patients (favorable or intermediate cytogenetics; <46 years of age or younger) in New York State treated between 2006 and 2011 long-term mortality rate was 2.5-fold higher (50% versus 20%) in conventionally treated patients compared with recipients of washed transfusions.

This approach has the potential to substantially improve outcomes for many patients with AML. This may be limited, at present, to younger patients with favorable or intermediate cytogenetics. Larger randomized trials will be required to determine whether our promising results are generalizable and reproducible, and whether they might be applicable to older patients (who often receive less intensive therapy), and to patients with other hematologic malignancies or solid tumors.
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patients transitioned to rivaroxaban after initial anticoagulation with LMWH. In three patients, the CVC-UEDVT developed in patients already on another anticoagulant for either atrial fibrillation or a previous thromboembolic event, and the patients were transitioned to rivaroxaban when the new CVC-UEDVT was identified. The majority of patients were in an advanced cancer stage; 60% stage IV and 13% stage III.

Our analysis focused on the 90-day period after the thrombosis. Within the 90-day period, in only three patients was the CVC line removed due to development of line dysfunction. These three patients developed inability to aspirate from a Port type central line on day 15, 20, and 36 of rivaroxaban anticoagulation. Fifty-three patients (64%) completed a follow-up time of 90 days without the removal of their central line, or reaching another endpoint (Table 1). In addition, nine other patients had their CVC lines removed within the 90-day period, but not due to line failure. These were for end of cancer treatment (N=6), infection (N=1), thrombocytopenia (N=1), and patient preference (N=1). Other primary endpoints of note are listed in Table 1(6), including six deaths, three new VTE at other sites, two major bleeds, and one clinically relevant non-major bleeding leading to discontinuation of rivaroxaban.

In this single institutional experience, rivaroxaban appears to be a good choice for treatment of CVC-UEDVT. The failure rate at three months of treatment with rivaroxaban in this cohort is low, with only 3 patients out of 83 (3.6%) requiring CVC line removal due to development of line dysfunction. The overall rate of CVC line removal for any cause in our rivaroxaban cohort was 12 of 83 (14%). Our cohort study does not lend itself to direct comparisons with previous reports. With that limitation in mind, in the previously published Catheter Study of LMWH followed by warfarin, the overall rate of CVC line removal was 43% (3).

The safety profile of rivaroxaban use for CVC-UEDVT was encouraging. Major bleeding events occurred in two patients treated with rivaroxaban, with an estimate of 2.4%. In The Catheter Study and the upper-Extremity DVT arm of the RIETE trial, major bleeding events occurred in two patients treated with rivaroxaban, with an estimate of 2.4%.

Overall the safety and efficacy of rivaroxaban use in patients with active cancer for treatment of central venous catheters associated upper extremity deep venous thrombosis is very favorable in this single institutional cohort. Nevertheless, randomized controlled trials are needed to confirm these results.

**Effects of hydroxyurea on F-cells in sickle cell disease and potential impact of a second fetal globin inducer**

To the Editor: Biochemical, epidemiologic, clinical, and genetic research over several decades has shown that any increment in fetal hemoglobin (HbF) reduces the clinical severity of sickle cell anemia, with significantly improved survival in US patients with HbF levels above the 75% percentile (8.6%) or with an absolute HbF ≥ 0.5 g/dL with hydroxyures (HU) treatment [1,2]. While having 100% F-cells results in a benign condition in compound heterozygotes for HBS and hereditary persistence of HbF (HBFH), a level of 70–75% F-cells has been observed in the milder haplotypes, such as the Arabian-Indian haplotype [3–5]. Perhaps the most important protector of the sickle erythrocyte from deoxy HbF polymer-induced injury is the concentration of HbF/F-cell. A recent analysis of a population of African patients found low concentrations of HbF/F-cells in sickle cell patients in Tanzania, supporting the importance of this parameter [6]. The amount of HbF/F-cell required to entirely prevent HbF polymerization was recently proposed as a therapeutic target [1].

To investigate the impact of HU on HbF expression parameters other than total HbF in adult patients, we analyzed F-cells and HbF/F-cell in 56 adult sickle cell disease patients attending a sickle cell clinic for routine care, of whom 33 (60%) were taking HU at modest stable doses of 1,000–1,500 mg/day. Subjects were 20–65 years of age, with median age 31 years; 45% were females. Patients with an acute illness or transfusion within 4 weeks were not included. Proportions of F-cells and mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of F-cells were analyzed from heparinized peripheral blood by flow cytometry. Cells were stained with a specific HbF antibody (Becton-Dickinson). F-cells and mean fluorescence intensity of positive cells was determined using Cell Quest software and used as an estimate of HbF/F-cell. HbF was analyzed by HPLC (Variant).

The mean HbF in HU-treated subjects was 8.8% compared to 5.0% in untreated subjects (Fig. 1A), a level nearly identical to that observed in the Multi-Center Study of Hydroxyurea that led to its FDA approval. Mean % F-cells was 34% in HU-treated subjects compared to 22.9% in untreated subjects (P<0.01, t-test), shown in Fig. 1B.

Fourteen of the 33 (42%) of HU-treated subjects demonstrated F-cell proportions ≥ 40%. Mean fluorescent intensity of F-cells in untreated patients compared to HU-treated patients was 37 vs. 48 fluorescent units, respectively, shown in Fig. 1B (P<0.01). Several recently identified targeted HbF therapeutic inducing agents which act through differing mechanisms to increase fetal globin mRNA, HbF, and F-cells in vitro and in vivo, including sodium 2,2 dimethylbutyrate (ST20), benserazide (BEN), and the LSD-1 inhibitor RN-1 were evaluated for effects on HbF expression in erythroid progenitor cells cultured from at least 10 sickle cell patients [3]. All therapeutic candidates significantly induced fetal globin mRNA levels by 2.5–to 10-fold above untreated control cells from the same patients (Fig. 1C); mean increases above control were 2.5–to 2.8-fold with HU, RN-1, or ST20 (all, P<0.01); 5.8-fold with BEN (P<0.001); and 7-fold with combined treatment with BEN and HU (P<0.01), analyzed by a nonparametric test.

Therapeutic targets for amelioration of clinical severity of sickle cell disease have been proposed as 20–30% HbF, 70–75% F-cells, and 10 pg HbF/cell, twice the threshold of 4–6 pg/cell which is the minimum previously detectable in flow cytometry assays [1]. F-cells undergo selective survival and have longer lifespans than non-F cells [3–5]. We used a pathway analysis to deconstruct the total effects of HU as either direct (HbF) or indirect (mediated by F-cell percentage). Pathway analysis tests a hypothetical pathway from predictors to responses against observed data using multiple regression equations. Standardized regression coefficients are computed for each relationship, adjusted for the other relationships, and shown next to each line connecting predictors to responses, and is shown for the patient data in Fig. 1D. This analysis indicates that HU contributes first to higher proportions of F-cells (r=0.47, P<0.001), and secondly to the amount of HbF (r=0.85, P<0.001), whereas, in contrast, a direct effect of HU to HbF was not statistically significant (r=0.09, P=0.3). In this analysis, 82% of the total effect of HU on HbF is an indirect effect mediated by F-cells. These data suggest that addition of a second, or perhaps multiple, HbF inducers may produce higher concentrations of HbF content in erythroid cells which differentiate with, or are primed by, HU. The findings here particularly suggest that addition of benserazide as a second therapeutic with HU may induce HbF expression closer to therapeutic targets proposed. As individual patients have highly variable baseline HbF expression patterns, monitoring these parameters may guide treatment to ameliorate clinical severity and indicate when multiple therapies are warranted.
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