Systemic Administration of Tempol Attenuates the Cardiorespiratory Depressant Effects of Fentanyl
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Fentanyl is a high-potency opioid receptor agonist that elicits profound analgesia and suppression of breathing in humans and animals. To date, there is limited evidence as to whether changes in oxidant stress are important factors in any of the actions of acutely administered fentanyl. This study determined whether the clinically approved superoxide dismutase mimetic, Tempol (4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl), or a potent antioxidant, N-acetyl-L-cysteine methyl ester (L-NACme), modify the cardiorespiratory and analgesic actions of fentanyl. We examined whether the prior systemic injection of Tempol or L-NACme affects the cardiorespiratory and/or analgesic responses elicited by the subsequent injection of fentanyl in isoflurane-anesthetized and/or freely moving male Sprague-Dawley rats. Bolus injections of Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg, IV) elicited minor increases in frequency of breathing, tidal volume and minute ventilation. The ventilatory-depressant effects of fentanyl (5 μg/kg, IV) given 15 min later were dose-dependently inhibited by prior injections of Tempol. Tempol elicited dose-dependent and transient hypotension that had (except for the highest dose) resolved when fentanyl was injected. The hypotensive responses elicited by fentanyl were markedly blunted after Tempol pretreatment. The analgesic actions of fentanyl (25 μg/kg, IV) were not affected by Tempol (100 mg/kg, IV). L-NACme did not modify any of the effects of fentanyl. We conclude that prior administration of Tempol attenuates the cardiorespiratory actions of fentanyl without affecting the analgesic effects of this potent opioid. As such, Tempol may not directly affect opioid-receptors that elicit the effects of fentanyl. Whether, the effects of Tempol are solely due to alterations in oxidative stress is in doubt since the powerful antioxidant, L-NACme, did not affect fentanyl-induced suppression of breathing.
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INTRODUCTION

Fentanyl is high-potency opioid receptor (OR) agonist that is widely used to treat both acute and chronic pain (Suzuki and El-Haddad, 2017; Armenian et al., 2018). The misuse/abuse of fentanyl and analogues such as sufentanil and carfentanil leads to adverse consequences, including often lethal depression of ventilation (Suzuki and El-Haddad, 2017; Armenian et al., 2018). Fentanyl is classified as a selective μ-OR agonist and has high affinity for μ-ORs (Raynor et al., 1994; Lipiński et al., 2019). However, fentanyl also activates δ- and κ-ORs with affinities and intrinsic activities of biological significance (Yeadon and Kitchen, 1990; Zhu et al., 1996; Gharagozlou et al., 2006). For example, whereas fentanyl has low affinity for κ-ORs it has a remarkably high efficacy at these receptors (Gharagozlou et al., 2006). The mechanisms responsible for the ventilatory depressant and analgesic effects of fentanyl and analogues have been studied extensively (Mayer et al., 1989; Dahan et al., 2010). Henderson et al. (2014) reported that pre-treatment of rats with naloxone methiodide, a peripherally restricted μ-OR antagonist, attenuated fentanyl-induced analgesia, decreases in tidal volume (TV) and increases in Alveolar-arterial (A-a) gradient (indicative of ventilation-perfusion mismatch/shunting in the lungs). As such, it is likely that the pharmacological actions of fentanyl involve a mixture of effects in the periphery (e.g., vagal cardiopulmonary afferents, the chest-wall and carotid bodies), brain regions such as the area postrema that are devoid of a blood-brain barrier, and also brain structures within the blood brain barrier such as the nucleus tractus solitarius (Mayer et al., 1989; Dahan et al., 2010; Henderson et al., 2014).

There is conflicting evidence as to whether opioids induce oxidative stress. For example, there is compelling evidence that morphine, buprenorphine and methadone can both increase or decrease oxidative stress depending on the circumstances and experimental conditions (Lee et al., 2004; Almeida et al., 2014; Motaghipanjehad et al., 2015; Skrabalova et al., 2018; Leventelis et al., 2019). In contrast, the available evidence suggested that fentanyl and analogues either reduce (Kim et al., 2017) or have no effect (Krumholz et al., 1993; Jaeger et al., 1998) on oxidative stress although there is a report that a high-dose remifentanil increases myocardial oxidative stress and compromises remifentanil infarct-sparing effects in rats (Mei et al., 2013). In order to address the importance of superoxide anion in the pharmacological actions of fentanyl, we determined the effects of pretreating rats with the stable cell permeable superoxide dismutase-mimetic and free radical scavenger, Tempol (4-hydroxy-2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl) (Wilcox and Pearlman, 2008; Wilcox, 2010; Kim et al., 2016), on the cardiorespiratory and analgesic effects elicited by injections of fentanyl. Previous studies have demonstrated beneficial effects of Tempol in cell and animal models of tumorigenesis, shock, hypertension, diabetes, ischemia-reperfusion injury, traumatic brain injury, neurodegenerative diseases, chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain, and alopecia (Wilcox and Pearlman, 2008; Wilcox, 2010; Kim et al., 2016; Bernardy et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Chiarotto et al., 2019; Afjal et al., 2019). To support these studies, we have examined whether the cell permeable antioxidant, N-acetyl-L-cysteine methyl ester (L-NACme) (Michaelsen et al., 2009; Giustarini et al., 2012; Poopari et al., 2015; Giustarini et al., 2018; Uemura et al., 2018) modulated the ventilatory depressant actions of fentanyl (see Supplementary Figure S1 for depiction of Tempol, L-NAC and L-NACme structures). The doses of Tempol were chosen on the basis of in vivo studies performed by other groups and especially those done in rats (i.e., Xu et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2006; Wilcox and Pearlman, 2008; Kim et al., 2016).

By definition, effective OR antagonists will block/reverse all of the pharmacological actions of opioids including the necessary/desired analgesic effects. At present, drugs that can effectively reverse the negative effects of opioids on breathing and arterial blood pressure without affecting opioid-induced analgesia are lacking (see Dahan et al., 2018). As such, this clinical gap may be met by drugs with the pharmacological profile of Tempol and the yet untested array of available Tempol analogues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Permissions

All animal studies were carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication No. 80.23) revised in 1996. The protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Galleon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Horsham, PA, United States), and Case Western Reserve University (Cleveland, OH, United States). Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (300–350 g) from Harlan Laboratories, Inc. (Indianapolis, IN, United States) were used for this study. The rats were caged in an Innocage IV C rat caging system (InnoVive, San Diego, CA, United States) in our vivariums in rooms with a 12 h light-dark cycle and standard housing conditions, namely, room temperature of 22°C and relative humidity of 35–40%. The rats had free access to water and standard rat chow.

Drugs

Saline (vehicle) and fentanyl (50 μg/ml ampoules) were purchased from Hospira Inc. (Lake Forest, IL, United States). Tempol was purchased from Tocris (Minneapolis, MN, United States). L-NACme was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States).

Cardiorespiratory Measurements in Anesthetized Rats

The effects of bolus intravenous (IV) injections of vehicle (saline), Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg; stock solutions of Tempol of 100 mg/ml in 0.9% saline were prepared freshly) and fentanyl (5 μg/kg) on heart rate (HR) and mean (MAP), diastolic (DBP) and systolic (SBP) blood pressures, and frequency of breathing (Freq), tidal volume (TV) and minute ventilation (MV) were evaluated in anesthetized spontaneously breathing rats by methods described previously (Dallas et al., 2015; Golder et al., 2015). In brief, rats were anesthetized with 2–2.5% isoflurane in
compressed air. A length of PE-50 tubing (Instech Laboratories, Inc.) was inserted into a femoral vein to inject drugs. The venous line was connected to saline filled three-way connector for fluid support (4 ml/kg/h; 1:1 mixture of lactated Ringer’s and 6% Hetastarch) and for IV injection of Tempol and fentanyl. The cervical trachea was exposed ventrally, and a length of PE240 tubing (Instech Laboratories, Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA) was inserted and sutured in place. The tracheal tube was connected to a pneumotachometer (MLT11, AD instruments, Inc., CO) and a differential pressure transducer (FE141, AD instruments, Inc.) to measure respiratory flow via a T-shaped connector. The free end of the connector was attached to the source of isoflurane in compressed medical grade air. After the surgical procedures, the rats breathed spontaneously on 1.5% isoflurane during the study. Body temperature was kept at 37°C using a thermal blanket (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, United States). Respiratory flow was used to measure the Freq from the cyclic periods and integrated to measure TV. MV was calculated by multiplying Freq by TV.

A length of PE-50 tubing (Instech Laboratories, Inc.) was inserted into a femoral artery in order to continuously record cardiovascular parameters. The arterial catheter was connected to heparinized-saline filled pressure transducer (SP844-28; Memscap Inc., NC) and arterial pressure signals were amplified using the bridge amplifier (FE221, AD Instruments, Inc.). The arterial blood pressure waveform was used for measuring HR, DBP, SBP and MAP using the cyclic algorithms in the LabChart software. Respiratory flow and blood pressure waveforms were digitized (PowerLab, AD Instruments, Inc.) and continuously recorded using LabChart 7 Pro Software (AD Instruments, Inc.). After completion of all the surgical procedures, baseline cardiorespiratory parameters were determined and recorded for 10 min once stable values occurred. The average of this 10 min period was determined and all subsequent (i.e., pre- and post-injection) values were expressed as a percentage of this average.

### Ventilatory Recordings in Freely Moving Rats

Ventilatory parameters were continuously recorded in unrestrained freely moving rats via a whole-body 12-chamber plethysmography system (PLY 3223; BUXCO Inc., Wilmington, NC, United States) as described previously (Henderson et al., 2013; May et al., 2013a; May et al., 2013b; Henderson et al., 2014; Dallas et al., 2015; Baby et al., 2018). In brief, each rat was placed in an individual plexiglass chamber and the venous line was attached to a swivel assembly on the roof of the chamber to allow drug injections. The respiratory flow waveform was derived from a pneumotachometer in the chamber wall. Respiratory flow, chamber temperature, and humidity were measured continuously and used to calculate TV using the Epstein and Epstein algorithm (Henderson et al., 2013; May et al., 2013a; May et al., 2013b; Henderson et al., 2014; Dallas et al., 2015; Baby et al., 2018). The respiratory flow waveform cycle period was used to calculate Freq.

### Analgesia Assessment by Paw Withdrawal Assay

The acute antinociceptive effects of Tempol and fentanyl were assessed on paw-withdrawal (PW) latency using the Hargreaves’s test (Hargreaves et al., 1988). Briefly, paw withdrawal latency to a thermal stimulus was assessed using a radiant heat source (IITC, CA, United States) aimed at the plantar surface of the left hind-paw. A cut-off latency of 20 s was set to avoid tissue damage. This method involved no restraint while positioning the thermal stimulus (instrument setting of 50–75% active intensity), sufficient enough to induce a latency of tail withdrawal of 40 s (baseline values) prior to the injection of any drugs.

### Protocols

#### Fentanyl-Induced Cardiorespiratory Depression in the Anesthetized Model

The effects of Tempol on fentanyl-induced cardiorespiratory parameters were determined in anesthetized spontaneously breathing rats. After completion of the surgical procedures, baseline cardiorespiratory parameters were determined and the rats then received slow bolus injections of vehicle or Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg, IV). After 20 min, all of the rats received a bolus injection of fentanyl (5 μg/kg) and cardiorespiratory variables recorded for a further 15 min.

#### Analgesia Testing in Conscious Rats

To determine the effects of Tempol on analgesia, baseline PW latencies were established and the rats then received injections of vehicle (n = 6) or 1, 10 or 100 mg/kg doses of Tempol (n = 6 rats per dose). PW latency was again tested 20, 40, 60, 90 and 120 min after injection of saline or Tempol. To determine the effects of Tempol on fentanyl-induced analgesia, baseline PW latencies were established at 10 AM and rats received an injection of fentanyl (25 μg/kg, IV). Five min later, the rats received injections of vehicle (1 ml/kg, n = 6), or Tempol (100 mg/kg, n = 6). PW latencies were tested 20, 40, 60, 90 and 120 min following injections of vehicle or Tempol. Fentanyl (25 μg/kg, IV) was given again to both groups at 4 PM and PW latencies were tested at 20, 40, 60, 90 and 120 min. To determine the effects of N-acetyl-L-cysteine methyl ester (L-NACme), on fentanyl-induced analgesia, baseline PW latencies were established at 10 AM and rats received an injection of fentanyl (25 μg/kg, IV). Five min later, the rats received injections of vehicle (1 ml/kg, n = 6, IV), or L-NACme (500 μmol/kg, IV, n = 6). PW latencies were tested 20, 40, 60, 90, and 120 min following the injections of vehicle or Tempol. An injection of fentanyl (25 μg/kg, IV) was given again to both groups of rats at 4 PM and PW latencies were tested after 20, 40, 60, 90 and 120 min.

#### Ventilatory Studies in Freely Moving Rats

The effects of pretreatment with L-NACme on fentanyl-induced respiratory depression were evaluated in freely moving rats. The
rats were placed in the plethysmography chambers and after a period of acclimatization, they received an injection of Vehicle (saline, \( n = 9 \)) or L-NACme (500 \( \mu \)mol/kg, IV; \( n = 9 \)) and after 10 min all rats received a bolus injection of fentanyl (25 \( \mu \)g/kg, IV). Ventilatory parameters were recorded for a further 15 min.

**Sample Sizes and Data Analyses**

With respect to determining the sample sizes in the cardiorespiratory studies, our strategy was to use enough rats to provide 1) a comprehensive set of control data from the vehicle + fentanyl-injected rats (\( n = 12 \) rats), 2) enough rats to determine the trend with each dose (e.g., three rats for the 25 mg/kg dose of Tempol was sufficient to show a clear lack of effect against fentanyl), and 3) to use many more rats (\( n = 12 \)) in the studies using the 100 mg/kg dose of Tempol that provided the most efficacy in order to allow for robust comparisons of the data to that from the vehicle-treated rats. In the analgesia studies, our approach was to keep using rats until the data clearly provided for valid statistical comparisons (i.e., in these studies, six rats per group). All data are presented as mean ± SEM and were evaluated using one-way and two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons between means using the error mean square term from the ANOVA (Wallenstein et al., 1980). Differences between means were considered significant at \( p < 0.05 \). Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, United States).

**RESULTS**

**Baseline Values and Derivation of % Changes in Cardiorespiratory Variables**

The baseline values recorded for the four groups of rats that eventually received vehicle or Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg, IV) are summarized in Supplementary Table S1. These data are presented as the mean ± SEM of the individual values recorded over a 5 min period. As can be seen, there were no between group differences for any parameter (\( p > 0.05 \) for all comparisons). All values in Figures 1–3 beginning at time zero (0) are expressed as the %change from the average pre-value for each parameter shown in Supplementary Table S1. All values in Supplementary Figures S4, S5 represent the sum of the individual responses (expressed as %change from pre-values shown in Supplementary Table S1) recorded at all time-points during each phase.

**Effects of Tempol Pretreatment on Fentanyl-Induced Decreases in Frequency of Breathing, Tidal Volume and Minute Ventilation**

Data showing that bolus injections of Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg, IV) elicited minimal changes in the frequency of breathing (Freq) and somewhat dose-dependent increases in tidal volume (TV) and minute ventilation (MV) are summarized in Figure 1. The key finding was that a subsequent injection of fentanyl (5 \( \mu \)g/kg, IV) in these rats elicited profound decreases in Freq, TV and MV in vehicle-treated rats but progressively and substantially smaller responses as the dose of Tempol was increased. Indeed, the fentanyl-induced change in MV in the rats treated with the 100 mg/kg dose of Tempol returned to the elevated levels seen prior to injection of fentanyl. An injection of fentanyl (5 \( \mu \)g/kg, IV) markedly depressed the ventilatory waveform in a saline-treated rat but elicited progressively smaller responses in rats pre-injected with 25, 50 or 100 mg/kg of Tempol (each trace from separate rats) (Supplementary Figure S2).
Effects of Tempol Pretreatment on Fentanyl-Induced Decreases in Arterial Blood Pressures

Data showing that injections of Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg, IV) elicited pronounced dose-dependent decreases in mean (MAP), diastolic (DBP) and systolic (SBP) arterial blood pressures that were still sustained for the 100 mg/kg dose at the time fentanyl was injected (16 min post-Tempol) are summarized in Figure 2. Tempol elicited minor but sustained decreases in heart rate (HR). As can be seen, the decreases in MAP, DBP and SBP were markedly diminished by Tempol, with the responses particularly reduced in size after administration of the 50 and 100 mg/kg doses of Tempol. The injection of fentanyl (5 μg/kg, IV) markedly depressed arterial blood pressure (SBP and DBP) in a saline-treated rat but elicited progressively smaller responses in rats pre-injected with 25, 50 or 100 mg/kg of Tempol (each trace from separate rats) (Supplementary Figure S3).

Effects of Tempol Pretreatment on the Peak Changes in Cardiorespiratory Parameters Elicited by the Injection of Fentanyl

The peak changes in cardiorespiratory parameters elicited by fentanyl (5 μg/kg, IV) in vehicle or Tempol-treated rats are summarized in Figure 3. Tempol markedly diminished the peak decreases in all of these parameters. A summary of the total ventilatory responses (all time points summed together) elicited by Vehicle or Tempol and the subsequent injections of fentanyl are summarized in Supplementary Figure S4. Pre-values (5 min) did not change compared to the prior baseline recordings (top panel). Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg, IV; designated T25, T50, T100) elicited significant increases in TV and MV but not Freq (middle panel). The fentanyl-induced decreases in Freq, TV and MV were substantially blunted by Tempol (bottom panel). A summary of the total cardiovascular responses (all time points summed together) elicited by Vehicle or Tempol and the subsequent injections of fentanyl are summarized in Supplementary Figure S5. The pre-values (5 min) did not change compared to the prior baseline recordings (top panel). Tempol (25, 50 or 100 mg/kg, IV; designated T25, T50, T100) elicited significant decreases in MAP, DBP, SBP and HR (middle panel). The fentanyl-induced decreases in MAP, DBP and SBP (but not HR) were substantially blunted by Tempol (bottom panel).

Effects of Tempol Pretreatment on Fentanyl-Induced Analgesia

With respect to analgesia, we found that Tempol at various doses (1 mg/kg, n = 4; 10 mg/kg, n = 4, or 100 mg/kg, n = 6) had no effects on paw-withdrawal latencies of conscious rats for up to 2 h.
post-administration (data not shown). The analgesic effects (increases in paw-withdrawal latency) in conscious rats elicited by a bolus injection of fentanyl (25 μg/kg, IV) were not affected by pretreatment with Tempol (100 mg/kg, IV) at a study commenced at 10 AM (see Figure 4). Fentanyl given to the same rats at 4 PM (no drug pretreatments at this time) elicited significant analgesia of much reduced duration (Supplementary Figure S6). The injection of Tempol in the 10 AM study did not affect this loss of analgesic effect of fentanyl at 4 PM.

**DISCUSSION**

Novel findings of this study in isoflurane-anesthetized rats were that 1) the systemic injection of Tempol elicited positive effects on MV in naïve rats, 2) Tempol pretreatment dose-dependently and markedly attenuated the cardiorespiratory depressant effects elicited by injection of fentanyl, and 3) injection of Tempol immediately reversed the pronounced cardiorespiratory depression elicited by an infusion of fentanyl. Other key findings were that in conscious rats, 1) Tempol did not affect fentanyl-induced analgesia or 2) modify the decline in analgesia elicited by a second dose of fentanyl in the same rats. The ability of Tempol to profoundly affect the cardiorespiratory depressant effects of fentanyl without modulating fentanyl-induced analgesia would therefore seem to be by mechanisms that are independent of direct effects on ORs.

The obvious question pertains to the mechanism(s) by which Tempol exerts its positive effect against fentanyl. It is tempting to assume that Tempol attenuates the respiratory depressant effects of fentanyl by scavenging free radicals such as superoxide anion (Wilcox and Pearlman, 2008; Wilcox, 2010; Kim et al., 2016; Bernardy et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Chiarotto et al., 2019; Afjal et al., 2019) although available data showed that fentanyl and analogues reduce (Kim et a., 2017) or have no effect (Krumholz et al., 1993; Jaeger et al., 1998) on oxidative stress although high-dose remifentanil increases myocardial oxidative stress (Mei et al., 2013). However, this may be an important feature of Tempol since a search of the literature found that microinjection of Tempol into the nucleus accumbens blocks expression of morphine conditioned place preference in rats (Qi et al., 2015). Our own data question this possibility with respect to

**Effects of L-NACme Pretreatment on Fentanyl-Induced Analgesia**

Pretreatment with a large IV dose of L-NACme (500 μmol/kg, 88.61 mg/kg) had minimal effects on the ventilatory depressant effects elicited by a subsequent injection of fentanyl (25 μg/kg, IV) (Supplementary Figure S7). The 10 AM injection of L-NACme substantially blunted the development of tolerance to the analgesic responses elicited by the second injection of fentanyl given at 4 PM (Supplementary Figure S8).
fentanyl-induced cardiorespiratory depression, since 1) Tempol did not modify development of tolerance to the analgesic actions of fentanyl in conscious rats (present study), 2) scavenging free radicals with D-penicillamine markedly attenuated development of tolerance to the respiratory depressant effects of morphine in freely moving rats (Young et al., 2013), and 3) that L-NAcme, a powerful reducing agent (Michaelsen et al., 2009; Giustarini et al., 2012; Poopari et al., 2015; Uemura et al., 2018; Giustarini et al., 2018), diminished development of tolerance to the analgesic actions of fentanyl in conscious rats (present study). Taken together, it would seem possible that Tempol directly interferes (i.e., independently of superoxide/free radical scavenging) with intracellular signaling processes by which fentanyl elicits its cardiorespiratory depressant effects.

Despite compelling evidence that Tempol acts via scavenging superoxide anion and free radicals, Xu et al. (2004) reported that Tempol lowers mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and sympathetic nerve activity in rats by mechanisms other than scavenging these radicals. As key background, NAD(P)H oxidase is the major generator of \( O_2^- \) in tissues (Rajagopalan et al., 1996; Griendling et al., 2000; Beswick et al., 2001; Lassègue and Clempus, 2003; Ulker et al., 2003) and apocynin, is an NAD(P)H oxidase inhibitor that reduces \( O_2^- \) production in vitro and in vivo (Hamilton et al., 2001; Hamilton et al., 2002; Ulker et al., 2003). In addition, polyethylene glycol-superoxide dismutase (PEG-SOD) is a potent membrane-permeable SOD analog that protects against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury by reducing \( O_2^- \) levels (Schleien et al., 1994; Nguyen et al., 1999). In their study, Xu et al. (2004) tested the hypothesis that the depressor responses caused by Tempol are not due to reductions in vascular \( O_2^- \) levels in urethane-anesthetized deoxy-corticosterone acetate (DOCA)-salt hypertensive rats. They compared the effects of intravenous (IV) Tempol, apocynin, PEG-SOD, and SOD on MAP, heart rate, and renal sympathetic nerve activity (RSNA). In DOCA-salt rats, Tempol (30–300 \( \mu \)mol/kg, 5–516 mg/kg) dose-dependently decreased RSNA, MAP, and heart rate. However, Tempol did not reduce \( O_2^- \) levels (dihydroethidium-induced fluorescent signals) in the aorta and vena cava of these rats. In addition, Apocynin (200 \( \mu \)mol/kg) did not lower MAP or heart rate and apocynin did not potentiate depressor responses caused by Tempol. Moreover, PEG-SOD (10,000 U/kg, bolus or 5000 U/kg bolus followed by a 30 min infusion of 500 U/kg/min) or SOD (25,000 U/kg, bolus or 10,000 U/kg bolus followed by a 30 min infusion of 1000 U/kg/min) did not alter MAP or heart rate. Xu et al. (2004) concluded that the depressor responses and decreases in heart rate and RSNA caused by acute Tempol treatment are caused by molecular mechanisms that are independent of any potential SOD-mimetic action.

Several pharmacological agents have been developed to target both central and peripheral chemoreceptors to mitigate opioid-induced respiratory depression (OIRD) via activation of non-opioidergic pathways without comprising opioid-induced analgesia (Dahan et al., 2010). We have identified a peripheral chemoreceptor stimulant, GAL-021, that in preclinical (Dallas et al., 2015; Golder et al., 2015) and experimental human studies (McLeod et al., 2014; Roozekrans et al., 2014) augmented ventilation and reversed OIRD without compromising opioid-induced analgesia. The data strongly suggested that these effects of GAL-021 were due to blocking \( Ca^{2+} \)-activated potassium channels (BKCa) in peripheral carotid body chemoreceptor (glomus) cells (McLeod et al., 2014; Roozekrans et al., 2014; Dallas et al., 2015; Golder et al., 2015). As such, it would seem feasible that the ability of Tempol to blunt the ventilatory effects of fentanyl may involve the blockade of BKCa channels. However, it has been established that Tempol elicits profound vasodilation by the direct activation BKCa channels in vascular smooth muscle (Xu et al., 2005, Xu et al., 2006). As such, the ability of Tempol to block BKCa channels may contribute to the hypotensive effects of this drug but it therefore seems unlikely that this is the mechanism that blocks the hypotensive effects or by analogy, the respiratory depressant effects of fentanyl.

**STUDY LIMITATIONS**

This study provides compelling evidence that pretreatment of isoflurane-anesthetized rats with Tempol markedly blunts the cardiorespiratory depressant effects elicited by subsequent injection of fentanyl. Pretreatment strategies in anesthetized subjects are vital in clinical scenarios such as in the operating room when a drug with pharmacological profile designed to prevent the negative cardiorespiratory actions of opioids without compromising analgesia is administered before or in combination with the opioid. However, the present study is limited in several ways and these imitations will be addressed in future studies in which we will 1) inject Tempol prior to fentanyl in freely moving rats to eliminate the potential confounding influence of anesthesia and to gain information as to whether Tempol modifies the behavioral (e.g., sedative) effects of fentanyl, 2) inject selected doses of Tempol after the injection of high doses of fentanyl in anesthetized and freely moving rats to determine the efficacy of Tempol as a reversal agent that would be impactful in clinical (e.g., post-surgical) scenarios and in emergency situations to overcome opioid-induced overdose in the setting of the general population.

At present, we do not know the principal mechanisms by which Tempol blunts fentanyl-induced cardiorespiratory depression other than suspecting it is unlikely that they involve direct interaction with opioid receptors (since Tempol spares analgesia) or BKCa channels (see discussion above). With respect to evaluating the protein targets and mechanisms of action of Tempol, we working with Dr. Christopher Ellis (US Army, DEVCOM Chemical Biological Center) who will use Public Health Assessment via Structural Evaluation (PHASE) (Ellis et al., 2019) and molecular docking methods (Ellis et al., 2018) to identify protein sites (e.g., ion-channels, G-protein-coupled receptors, membrane-bound and intracellular enzymes) to which Tempol, morphine and fentanyl bind with specific focus on signaling pathways relevant to opioid-induced respiratory depression (Ellis et al., 2018). The first step will develop the binding profiles for each drug of interest, and
identify the potential biological targets and mode(s) of action by using PHASE. These computational studies will develop a ranked list of potential mechanisms of action for each compound of interest along with structurally similar analogs. The second step will use molecular docking models to predict the binding affinity of the drugs at the primary and secondary targets identified in the first step.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we found that Tempol efficiently prevents fentanyl-induced depression of both breathing and arterial blood pressure in male rats. Although we did not establish that the effects of Tempol were due to its known ability to scavenge superoxide anion, our data do suggest that Tempol, which is a clinically approved drug for the treatment of alopecia (Wilcox and Pearlman, 2008; Wilcox, 2010) and related Tempol structures (see Supplementary Figure S9) may be repurposed as an intravenous agent to protect/reverse the negative cardiorespiratory effects of fentanyl while preserving analgesia in male and female children and adults. Future work on elucidating Tempol’s effects on fentanyl signaling should involve elucidation of Tempol’s known superoxide anion scavenging ability and investigation into Tempol interacts with proteins in the fentanyl cell-signaling pathway. We are continuing our studies by examining superoxide anion scavenger molecules with different chemical structures from Tempol, as well as performing high throughput screening methods such as surface plasmon resonance, hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry, and Isothermal titration calorimetry on proteins in the fentanyl signaling pathway (Marcsisin and Engen, 2010; Nguyen et al., 2015; Baranauskiene et al., 2019). This future work will characterize the molecular mechanisms by which Tempol is able to prevent fentanyl-induced depression of breathing and blood pressure without affecting analgesia.
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