Virologic and Immunologic Characterization of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Recrudescence After Nirmatrelvir/Ritonavir Treatment
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We isolated a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) BA.2 variant from a person with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [1]. Nirmatrelvir inhibits the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) main protease, thus blocking viral replication, but virologic and symptomatic rebound after NM/r treatment was recently reported [2]. We evaluated if NM resistance or impaired humoral immunity contributed to a case of COVID-19 recrudescence after NM/r treatment.

RESULTS

Three boosted adult travelers acquired COVID-19 after returning to the United States from South Africa and were treated with NM/r 300/100 mg taken orally twice daily for 5 days (Supplementary Table 1). All cases resolved quickly except in 1 traveler who experienced initial improvement with NM/r followed by rebounding symptoms. Progressively worsening fatigue, sore throat, nasal congestion, and diarrhea were associated with high viral shedding (cycle threshold = 21.7, Fluxergy, Inc) and culturable virus 5 days after the NM/r course. We isolated SARS-CoV-2 from a nasopharyngeal swab following development of worsening symptoms (Supplementary Methods). SARS-CoV-2 full genome sequences isolated from the nasopharyngeal swab sample and culture isolate were assigned as SARS-CoV2 BA.2 lineage (Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak) strain PRSD01 [3]. Sequence comparison to the BA.2 reference showed no amino acid differences in any coding region, including ORF1a and spike protein. Phenotypic analyses of the antiviral susceptibility of BA.2 PRSD01 (isolate), WA1/2020 (parental), B.1.617.2 (Delta), and BA.1 and BA.2.3 variants to NM and remdesivir were conducted in Calu-3 human lung epithelial cells. The half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC50) of NM against BA.2 PRSD01 were 2.0, 1.8, 1.7, and 2.0-fold lower than the parental, Delta, BA.1, and BA.2.3 strains, respectively (Figure 1A). As a control, we determined the IC50 for remdesivir, a drug to which this individual was not exposed, for each strain. The remdesivir IC50 for BA.2 PRSD01 was 2.0, 1.8, 1.1, and 1.3 times higher than the parental, Delta, BA.1, and BA.2.3 strains, respectively (Figure 1A).

We next evaluated the susceptibility of the viral panel to the neutralizing antibody response in the plasma from the patient and 2 controls. While both controls were fully vaccinated and boosted with the BNT162b2 messenger RNA vaccine (Pfizer-BioNTech), one had been infected with and recovered from a SARS-CoV-2 infection with symptom onset 3 days prior to the individual who experienced NM/r recrudescence (Supplementary Table 1). The average half-maximal authentic virus-neutralizing antibody concentrations against BA.2 PRSD01, BA.1, and parental were 1668, 1170, and 5239, respectively, in the NM/r-treated patient. These levels were 8.9, 7.1, and 2.1 times higher than the boosted control but 2.0, 1.7, and 2.6 times lower than the boosted and infected control (Figure 1B).

DISCUSSION

This study suggests that neither development of NM resistance nor absence of neutralizing antibody were likely causes of the observed recrudescence. The phenomenon of relapse after NM/r has been described in a limited number of individuals, all of whom developed virologic rebound approximately 9–14 days after symptom onset and were likely infected with
Omicron variants [2]. A small percentage of both NM/r- and placebo-treated individuals enrolled in the primary NM/r study, Evaluation of Protease Inhibition for COVID-19 in High-Risk Patients (EPIC-HR), which was mostly conducted before Omicron emergence, also experienced increasing SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels after stopping NM/r treatment at day 10 or 14 after infection [1, 4].

Our in vitro NM antiviral testing as well as sequencing, from our and other studies [2, 3], have not yet identified emergence of NM resistance. Since immune responses likely contribute to eradication of replication-competent virus during NM/r treatment, we evaluated neutralizing antibody responses but found no evidence of an impaired neutralizing antibody response in this immunocompetent individual, suggesting that impaired humoral immunity is not required for NM/r recrudescence. Although we were unable to measure T-cell responses or serum drug concentrations, we believe the most likely possibility for the observed recrudescence is insufficient drug exposure by individual pharmacokinetics or insufficient duration. Furthermore, the clinical significance of COVID-19 recrudescence after treatment remains unclear, so additional studies are needed to define the etiology, frequency and clinical consequences (eg, hospitalizations, deaths, transmissions) of such recrudescence.
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