Association of ERBB2 gene status with histopathological parameters and disease-specific survival in gastric carcinoma patients
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The clinical significance of ERBB2 amplification/overexpression in gastric cancer remains unclear. In this study, we evaluated the ERBB2 status in 463 gastric carcinomas using immunohistochemistry (IHC) and fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH), and compared the findings with histopathological characteristics and with disease-specific survival. ERBB2 overexpression (2+ and 3+) and amplification (ratio ERBB2/CEP17 ≥ 2) were found in 43 (9.3%) and 38 (8.2%) gastric carcinomas, respectively. Perfect IHC/FISH correlation was found for the 19 cases scored as 0 (all negative by FISH), and also for the 25 cases scored as 3+ (all positive by FISH). One out of six carcinomas scored as 1+ and 12 out of 18 carcinomas scored as 2+ were positive by FISH. ERBB2 amplification was associated with gastric carcinomas of intestinal type (P = 0.007) and with an expansive growth pattern (P = 0.021). ERBB2 amplification was detected in both histological components of two mixed carcinomas, indicating a common clonal origin.

A statistically significant association was found between ERBB2 amplification and worse survival in patients with expansive gastric carcinomas (P = 0.011). We conclude that ERBB2 status may have clinical significance in subsets of gastric cancer patients, and that further studies are warranted to evaluate whether patients whose gastric carcinomas present ERBB2 amplification/overexpression may benefit from therapy targeting this surface receptor.
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Despite the trend for decreasing incidence, gastric adenocarcinoma is still the second cause of cancer death worldwide (Parkin et al., 2005). The overall 5-year survival rate of patients with resectable gastric cancer ranges from 10 to 30% (Harrison, 2005). The overall 5-year survival rate of patients with metastatic disease is still the second cause of cancer death worldwide (Parkin et al., 2000). ERBB2 amplification was associated with gastric carcinomas of intestinal type (P = 0.007) and with an expansive growth pattern (P = 0.021). ERBB2 amplification was detected in both histological components of two mixed carcinomas, indicating a common clonal origin.

Recently, trastuzumab was shown to be effective as adjuvant treatment in breast-carcinoma patients with ERBB2 amplification/overexpression (Piccart-Gebhart et al., 2005; Romond et al., 2005). Apart from breast cancer, ERBB2 amplification has been found in other malignant tumours, such as ovarian (McKenzie et al., 1993), lung (Hirashima et al., 2001), colon (Cohen et al., 1989), and gastric carcinomas (David et al., 1992). Earlier studies in gastric carcinomas reported ERBB2 overexpression using immunohistochemistry (IHC) in 5.2–22.6% of the cases, whereas the proportion...
with ERBB2 amplification evaluated using fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) ranged from 3.8 to 12.2% (Takehana et al., 2002; Varis et al., 2004; Park et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2007). However, the clinical significance of ERBB2 amplification/overexpression in gastric cancer patients is still not clear, because most studies had neither follow-up data nor statistical power for that purpose (David et al., 1992; Nakajima et al., 1999; Takehana et al., 2002; Kimura et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2007).

Recently, Tanner et al. (2005) showed that the gastric-cancer cell line N87 presenting ERBB2 amplification is as sensitive to trastuzumab as the ERBB2-amplified breast-carcinoma cell line SKBR-3, which is a widely used reference in trastuzumab sensitivity studies (Pegram et al., 1997, 1999). Furthermore, Rebischung et al. (2005) reported a case of a gastric cancer patient with ERBB2 overexpression (3+) who responded to a combination of chemotherapy and trastuzumab. A large-scale clinical study is currently being carried out to compare the response to chemotherapy combined with trastuzumab vs chemotherapy alone in gastric carcinoma patients with ERBB2 amplification.

To clarify the potential clinical relevance of ERBB2 status in gastric cancer, we have characterised its overexpression and amplification in 463 patients with clinicopathological data and a follow-up time of 6–10 years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Type of study and selection of participants
A two-step study design was used to select the gastric cancer patients. First, a cross-sectional study was used to select 463 consecutive primary gastric adenocarcinoma patients who underwent gastrectomy at the Portuguese Oncology Institute—Porto (between 1996 and 2000) to assess the frequency of ERBB2 overexpression and amplification. Simultaneously, the first step selected patients with ERBB2 amplification for the second step, resulting in a retrospective prognostic cohort study (follow-up time from 1 to 133 months, mean 52.8 months), which included all patients with ERBB2 amplification (n = 38) and randomly selected patients with no amplification (n = 218).

The tissue specimens for IHC and FISH analyses were archival tumour samples of surgically resected gastric carcinomas from the 463 patients. Patient age at diagnosis ranged from 26 to 91 years (median, 67 years).

Variables
Clinical data were collected by a group of clinicians blinded to ERBB2 status, using a datasheet specifically developed for this study, including the following parameters: age, gender, date of and status on last follow-up, surgery type (curative or palliative according to the surgeon) and date, TNM stage, and treatment other than surgery (if any). Time to clinical outcome was considered from the date of surgery until the last clinical appointment attended, and each patient was classified under one of the following categories: alive with no evidence of disease, alive with disease, dead with no evidence of disease, and dead from disease. For histological data collection, pathologists reviewed a representative H&E-stained slide.

Immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemistry targeting the ERBB2 protein was carried out in 4-μm-thick tissue sections. The monoclonal antibody NCL-CB11 (mouse monoclonal antibody, Novocastra Laboratories Ltd, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK), recognising the intracellular portion of the protein, was used. Tissue sections were deparaffinised followed by antigen retrieval in citrate buffer (0.01 M, pH 6.0) at high temperature (water bath at 98°C). After blocking for non-specific binding, the primary antibody was added in a pre-standardised dilution (1 out of 60), and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. A standard avidin–biotin–peroxidase complex technique was used for visualisation, with diaminobenzidine as chromogen (UltraVision Detection System Anti-Polyvalent, HRP/DAB Ready-To-Use, LabVision Corporation, Fremont, CA, USA). The tissue sections were then lightly counterstained with haematoxylin and cover-slipped.

The following scoring system was used: score 0, no membrane staining or <10% of cells stained; 1+, incomplete membrane staining in >10% of the cells; 2+, weak to moderate complete membrane staining >10% of the cells with; and 3+, strong and complete membrane staining in >10% of the cells. An appropriate positive control (ERBB2-overexpressing breast carcinoma) was included in each run and each section was analysed by a pathologist.

Fluorescence in situ hybridisation
From each gastric adenocarcinoma sample, 4-μm-thick sections of a representative tissue block were cut onto SuperFrost Plus adhesion slides. The slides were then deparaffinised in two series of xylol followed by two series of ethanol (8 min each), rinsed in 2 × SSC, and placed in a solution of NaSCN at 80°C for 10 min. The tissue was then digested with 6 mg ml⁻¹ pepsin for 22 min at 37°C, after which the slides were rinsed in 2 × SSC and dehydrated in an ethanol series. To assess ERBB2 amplification, a commercial probe (QBioGene, Montreal, Canada now MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA) targeting ERBB2, direct-labelled with rhodamine, and a control probe for chromosome 17 centromere (CEP17), direct-labelled with fluorescein, were used. The slides and probes were placed in a HYBrite denaturation/hybridisation system and co-denatured at 80°C for 7 min. Hybridisation carried out for 18 h at 37°C, followed by post-hybridisation washes in 2 × SSC/0.5% Igepal at 73°C for 5 min and 2 × SSC/0.1% Igepal at room temperature, after which slides were counterstained with DAPI. Fluorescent images were sequentially captured with a Cohu 4900 CCD camera, using an automated filter wheel coupled to a Zeiss Axioplan fluorescence microscope and a CytoVision system. Gene amplification was scored when a minimum of 60 cancer cell nuclei exhibited a ratio ERBB2/CEP17 ≥2, or when an ERBB2 signal cluster was observed.

Statistical analysis
Categorical data were analysed using χ²-square test. For parametric data, Student’s t-test was used when comparing two means. Survival curves were calculated according to the Kaplan–Meier method. Cases lost to follow-up and deaths caused by reasons other than gastric cancer were censored during survival analysis. The significance of differences between survival curves was determined using the log-rank or Breslow’s tests. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS v.15 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS
Overexpression of ERBB2 protein
The ERBB2 protein status was determined by IHC for the 463 gastric carcinoma tissues (Figure 1). In all, 414 were classified as score 0 (89.4%), 6 were classified as score 1+ (1.3%), 18 were classified as score 2+ (3.9%), and 25 were classified as score 3+ (5.4%).

ERBB2 gene amplification
Fluorescence in situ hybridisation analysis was performed in all cases (n = 43) in which IHC showed complete membrane
immunostaining (2+ and 3+). In addition, 25 cases (including all six 1+ cases) that were regarded as negative for ERBB2 overexpression by IHC were also analysed by FISH. Gene amplification was detected in 38 gastric carcinomas (Figure 2). Only one of the 25 tumours showing negative immunostaining (scored as +1) exhibited ERBB2 amplification (Figure 2). On the other hand, all 25 cases showing strong complete membrane immunostaining (3+) exhibited ERBB2 amplification. Among the 18 tumours with 2+ immunostaining, 12 (66.6%) showed amplification (Figure 2). Of the remaining 2+ cases, four showed chromosome 17 polysomy and two showed no genetic alteration.

Correlation between ERBB2 amplification and clinicopathological findings

Table 1 shows the clinicopathological differences observed between gastric carcinomas with or without ERBB2 amplification. Most gastric carcinomas showing ERBB2 amplification were of the intestinal/glandular type (81.6% of all positive cases, \(P = 0.007\)), but this genetic alteration was also observed in diffuse/isolated cells, and solid and mixed carcinomas (7.9, 5.3, and 5.3% of positive cases, respectively). Two mixed carcinomas showed ERBB2 amplification and overexpression in the two histological components (Figure 1). ERBB2 amplification was also associated with an expansive growth pattern (\(P = 0.021\)). Venous invasion, assessed through orcein staining, was not associated with ERBB2 amplification. No differences were observed between ERBB2-amplified and ERBB2 non-amplified cases in terms of age, gender, type of surgery, and clinical stage.

Survival analysis

Survival analysis was performed on 256 patients, including all 38 who showed ERBB2 amplification. Patients with ERBB2 amplification had in general, worse 10-year survival rates than those without this genetic alteration (35.3 vs 43.2%, respectively; Figure 3), although the difference was not statistically significant (\(P = 0.222\)).

Differences in survival were more evident when we compared similar subgroups of patients. Patients with expansive gastric carcinoma and ERBB2 amplification had a statistically significant worse survival than those without this genetic alteration (Figure 3; \(P = 0.011\)). No such difference was seen in patients with infiltrative gastric carcinoma (\(P = 0.863\)). Among patients with no lymph node metastases, those with ERBB2-amplified carcinomas had a trend for worse survival when compared with those without this genetic alteration (\(P = 0.085\)).

DISCUSSION

The assessment of ERBB2 status is essential for efficient selection of patients who might benefit from targeted therapy with trastuzumab or other drugs targeting this surface receptor. This therapeutic option proved useful in extending the survival of breast cancer patients, particularly when selected by FISH (Mass et al., 2005). Since then, interest in applying this approach to other malignancies with ERBB2 amplification has increased. The first goal of this study was to clarify the frequency of ERBB2 overexpression and amplification in a large series of gastric carcinoma patients (\(n = 463\)). The patients in whom ERBB2 amplification was found (\(n = 38\), as well as 218 ERBB2-negative patients, were selected for survival analysis. Using clinicopathological and follow-up data from these 256 patients, we investigated whether ERBB2 amplification is a prognosis factor in different subgroups of gastric cancer patients. Given the nature of the biological material used in this study (formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded archival tissue), the techniques chosen to
assess ERBB2 protein overexpression (IHC) and ERBB2 amplification (FISH) are the most appropriate to ensure reliable and reproducible results. Moreover, both techniques allow for the specific detection of ERBB2 alteration in individual cells, while maintaining critical architectural tissue information (Pauletti et al, 2000).

Both overexpression (9.3%) and amplification (8.2%) frequencies observed in the current study are within the range reported in earlier reports. There was a perfect correlation between IHC and FISH findings in cases with strong (3+) complete membrane staining (100%), as well as in those scored as 0. Kim et al (2007) reported 22.6% of carcinomas overexpressing ERBB2 protein (2+ or 3+), but only 7.7% showed gene amplification. As in our study, the correlation was higher in cases with strong (3+) membrane staining and in those scored as 0. Takehana et al (2002) also found ERBB2 amplification in all gastric carcinoma cases scored as 3+. On the other hand, Park et al (2006) found ERBB2 amplification in only 43% of the carcinomas scored as 3+, which might be due to the low specificity of the antibody used. In this study, one of the six gastric carcinomas classified as 1+ showed ERBB2 amplification. Similarly, Kim et al (2007) found ERBB2 amplification in 4% of the 1+ gastric carcinomas analysed by FISH. Most of the studies that reported the absence of gene amplification in carcinomas classified as 1+ did not analyse all 1+ carcinomas (Takehana et al, 2002; Park et al, 2006). As for the 18 gastric carcinomas classified as 2+ in this study, 12 (66.6%) showed ERBB2 amplification and four of the remaining six presented chromosome 17 polisomy. The correlation between 2+ carcinomas and ERBB2 amplification is higher than usually reported in the literature, possibly because of better specificity of the antibody. In the light of our observations, as well as data from the literature, we recommend that all cases classified by IHC as 1+ and 2+ should be analysed by FISH to avoid false negatives and false positives, respectively.

Some genetic alterations are exclusive of given subtypes of gastric carcinomas, as exemplified by CDH1 mutations in diffuse gastric carcinomas (Becker et al, 1994; Grady et al, 2000). Several authors have earlier stated that ERBB2 amplification is an exclusive event of intestinal-type gastric carcinomas (Takehana et al, 2002; Varis et al, 2004). However, of the 38 carcinomas with amplification detected by FISH, three were diffuse and four were not classifiable by Lauren. Other authors reported that diffuse gastric carcinomas account for 5–6.2% of all ERBB2-amplified carcinomas (Tanner et al, 2005; Park et al, 2006; Kim et al, 2007), which is corroborated by our findings. Interestingly, in both Western and Eastern patients there is a correlation between gastric carcinomas with ERBB2 amplification and intestinal type: 81.6% in this study vs 86 and 84.2% reported in Eastern patients (Park et al, 2006; Kim et al, 2007).

In two mixed gastric carcinomas containing both isolated cells and glandular components (Carneiro, 1997), ERBB2 overexpression and amplification were found in both histological patterns. These data indicate that the two histological counterparts of a carcinoma have the same clonal origin and that ERBB2 amplification is an early genetic alteration acquired before other genetic and/or epigenetic alterations associated with the phenotypic divergence in these cases. This hypothesis is supported by Carvalho et al (2006), who studied by array-comparative genome hybridisation 12 mixed carcinomas. Besides finding no significant differences between different histological components of the same tumour, one of the mixed gastric carcinomas studied presented ERBB2 amplification in both histological counterparts. Furthermore, in our consecutive series of gastric cancer patients, we found ERBB2 amplification in two early gastric carcinomas (stage IA),

Figure 2 Fluorescence in situ hybridisation targeting ERBB2 in gastric carcinoma specimens (original magnification, ×1000). Red labelled probes target the ERBB2, green labelled probes target chromosome 17 centromere, and nuclei (blue) are stained with DAPI. (A) Intestinal type carcinoma (score 0 by IHC) with no ERBB2 amplification; (B) intestinal type carcinoma (score 2+ by IHC) showing ERBB2 amplification; (C) intestinal type carcinoma (score 1+ by IHC) showing ERBB2 amplification. A full colour version of this figure is available at the British Journal of Cancer online.
as reported earlier in similar studies (David et al., 1992; Ooi et al., 1998; Park et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2007), further supporting the idea that ERBB2 amplification may occur at an early stage in gastric carcinogenesis.

Our findings indicate that the influence of ERBB2 amplification on patient survival may differ among histological types and pathological staging. The overall survival analysis showed little difference in prognosis according to ERBB2 status (P = 0.222), which could be explained by the patient heterogeneity regarding histological and clinical characteristics. According to Ming (1977), expansive carcinomas grow by enlargement of cohesive tumour nodules or masses, with a well-defined tumour boundary. On the other hand, infiltrative carcinomas grow as dispersed, isolated cells, and clusters or small glands that show a strong invasive potential with extensive infiltration into the stroma. This infiltrative characteristic might explain why some authors found that this type of growth pattern was associated with worse prognosis (Davessar et al., 1990; Cimerman et al., 1994; Luebke et al., 2005). Our survival analysis showed that ERBB2-amplifying expansive carcinomas have worse prognosis than those with the same growth pattern lacking that genetic alteration (P = 0.011), which we did not observe in infiltrating gastric carcinomas (P = 0.863). Recent data published by Wolf-Yadlin et al. (2006) might explain the different effect of ERBB2 amplification in carcinomas with different growth patterns. These authors suggested that ERBB2 overexpression promotes increased cell migration but has minimal effect on cell proliferation in cells stimulated by epidermal growth factor or heregulin (Wolf-Yadlin et al., 2006). Thus, ERBB2 amplification could increase cell migration in expansive carcinomas, whereas infiltrative carcinomas, which already have a strong invasive potential, do not acquire additional advantage from ERBB2 amplification. A similar reasoning may explain our observation of a trend to worse 10-year survival among ERBB2-amplified node-negative gastric carcinomas, something that was also reported by others in breast carcinomas (Pauletti et al., 2000).

By studying the largest series of gastric cancer patients so far for ERBB2 amplification and overexpression, we conclude that ERBB2 amplification may have clinical significance in subsets of patients and that further studies are warranted to evaluate whether gastric cancer patients whose tumours present ERBB2 amplification/overexpression may benefit from the therapy targeting this surface receptor.

**Table 1** Comparison of clinicopathological findings between FISH negative and positive gastric carcinomas

| ERBB2 amplification | Negative (n = 218) | Positive (n = 38) | P value |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (years)         | 66 (±10.8)       | 63 (±13.6)       | NS      |
| Sex                 |                  |                  | NS      |
| Male                | 127 (58.3%)      | 18 (47.4%)       |         |
| Female              | 91 (41.7%)       | 20 (52.6%)       |         |
| Histopathological classification(s) | | | |
| Lauren              | 121 (55.5%)      | 31 (81.6%)       | 0.007   |
| Diffuse             | 63 (28.9%)       | 3 (7.9%)         |         |
| Non classified      | 34 (15.6%)       | 4 (10.5%)        |         |
| Carneiro            |                  |                  |         |
| Glandular           | 119 (54.5%)      | 31 (81.6%)       | 0.013   |
| Isolated cells      | 62 (28.4%)       | 3 (7.9%)         |         |
| Solid               | 5 (2.3%)         | 2 (5.3%)         |         |
| Mixed               | 28 (12.8%)       | 2 (5.3%)         |         |
| Non classified      | 4 (1.8%)         |                 |         |
| Ring                |                  |                  |         |
| Expansive           | 56 (26%)         | 18 (47.4%)       | 0.021   |
| Infiltrative        | 153 (71.2%)      | 20 (52.6%)       |         |
| Mixed               | 6 (2.8%)         |                 |         |
| Venous invasion     |                  |                  | NS      |
| Positive            | 147 (68%)        | 24 (63.2%)       |         |
| Negative            | 69 (32%)         | 14 (36.8%)       |         |
| Nodal metastasesa  |                  |                  | NS      |
| N0                  | 73 (34%)         | 9 (23.7%)        |         |
| N+                  | 142 (66%)        | 29 (76.3%)       |         |
| Clinical stagea     |                  |                  | NS      |
| O–IA                | 26 (12.1%)       | 2 (5.3%)         |         |
| II                  | 21 (9.8%)        | 3 (7.9%)         |         |
| IIIA                | 41 (19%)         | 7 (18.4%)        |         |
| IIIIB               | 50 (23.3%)       | 10 (26.3%)       |         |
| IV                  | 35 (16.3%)       | 7 (18.4%)        |         |
| Type of surgerya    |                  |                  | NS      |
| Curative            | 141 (72.3%)      | 23 (67.6%)       |         |
| Palliative          | 54 (27.7%)       | 11 (32.4%)       |         |

NS = non significant. *Missing cases due to lack of clinical information.
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