The outcome of arthroscopic surgery in young adults and adults with osteonecrosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Avascular necrosis of the femoral head affects active young adults between 30-40 years with the risk of progressing to disabling osteoarthritis. Total hip replacement adds more burden to the disease. The role of arthroscopy is to salvage the hip joint at an earlier stage to minimize surgery. The purpose of this review is to explore the efficacy of arthroscopy to salvage hip joints and minimize surgery. A systematic review of the eminent medical databases including PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Scopus were searching for the outcome of arthroscopy in cases of avascular necrosis of the femoral head. The extracted data included English literature from 2000 to the current with the age range18 to 70 years. Case studies, general reviews, operative descriptions were excluded. Meta-analysis was performed for the outcomes including age at the surgery, follow-up duration, Harris Hip Score, and conversion to total hip arthroplasty. The random-effect model was used, and heterogeneity was assessed by Tau2 and I2 statistics taking P value less than 0.05 as a significance level. Only four studies out of 173 research results were considered eligible. The mean age of the participants was 36.27 ±5.03 with a follow-up duration of 63.88 ± 9.68 years. The overall proportion of the operated joints not converted to THA was 0.93 ± 0.04 (P<0.001; 95% CI: 0.85-1.00); the proportion of the joints operated for arthroscopic debridement with no conversion to total hip arthroplasty was 0.99 ± 0.01 (P<0.001; 95% CI: 0.96-1.02) and the proportion of the joints operated for arthroscopic-assisted core decompression with no conversion to total hip arthroplasty was 0.88 ± 0.04 (P<0.001; 95% CI: 0.81- 0.95). Arthroscopy gives successful results in avascular necrosis of the femoral head in Ficat-Arlet stages I and II. However, randomized studies are needed to confirm the results and reach a consensus.
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Introduction

Since the evolution of flexible fiber-optic scopes for joint diagnosis and treatment two decades ago, the application of arthroscopy on the management of avascular necrosis (AVN) of the femoral head (AVNFH) has been established [1, 2]. AVN affects young and active adults between 30-40 years of age progressing to disabling osteoarthritis in nearly 80% of AVN patients [3]. Moreover, AVN accounts for 2-12% of total hip replacement (Maillefer et al., 1996; National Joint Registry (NJR), 2013) adding more burden on active adults [6]. The salient role of arthroscopy includes bone conservative surgery for pre-collapse or small post-collapse lesions [7], thus salvaging the hip joint at an earlier stage of AVN and preserve total hip replacement (THR) for the late stages [8]. The accumulating evidence from the literature supports the safety and efficacy of arthroscopy as a useful technique in core decompression [9] and addressing the articular cartilage and labrum lesions of AVN [1].

The Therapeutic Role of Arthroscopy in AVN

Intra-articular patholody

Intra-articular pathology affecting the hip joints of AVN patients includes labral tear, chondral flaps, and loose bodies (Papavasiliou & Gliatis, 2017). Labral tear is associated with femoral-acetabular impingement, hip dysplasia [10] causing mechanical symptoms such as clicking, locking, giving away, and popping [11].
The mechanical symptoms affecting patients with AVN joints including locking, buckling, and clicking are associated with chondral flaps and loose bodies [12]. AVN patients suffer from pain that accompanies the mechanical symptoms as well [13]. Earlier stages of AVN with intra-articular pathology are amenable to arthroscopic surgery as the best results contrary to arthroscopy for advanced stages of AVN with femoral head collapse [14, 16]. Patients with mechanical symptoms showed better results of arthroscopy than those suffering from joint pains [17]. Moreover, it was concluded that arthroscopy is useful to assess and remove intra-articular third bodies as well as joint assessment in case of mysterious symptoms following total hip replacement (McCarthy, 2004; Whitehouse & Duncan, 2015). Furthermore, arthroscopy is suggested by some others in selected patients of AVN synovitis to relieve pain and improve the range of movement by joint washout and synovectomy (Papavasiliou et al., 2014).

Core decompression
Core decompression is the salient therapeutic surgery for earlier AVN lesions in the pre-collapse stage. Arthroscopy helps the surgeon to locate the necrotic lesion under vision correctly place the drill tip during fluoroscopic-assisted retrograde drilling procedure [21]. Moreover, surgery under vision minimizes the drilling complications of the femoral head such as penetration, over-drilling, and cartilage damage [22, 23]. Furthermore, arthroscopy allows for directing the pin to the middle of the lesion under vision [7]. Visualization of the demarcation between the healthy and bleeding bone and the necrotic tissue is an advantage as well [24]. Through a small opening at the head-neck junction level, arthroscopy provides a better chance for the convenient placing of the non-vascularized graft, injection of biological material such as fibrin-rich plasma, and removing the necrotic tissue [25] than C-arm fluoroscopy alone [26].

Others
AVN presents in Legg-calve’-Perthes (LCP) that affect the growing head of the femur between the age of 5 and 8 years [27]. Arthroscopy was proved to be superior to Magnetic Resonant Imaging in detecting intra-articular pathology [12]. Arthroscopy in LCP was proved to be safe and efficient in removing the intra-articular loose bodies, labrum tears debridement, cartilage chondroplasty, and osteoplasty of impingement with significant improvement of Harris hip score (HHS) [28]. The application of arthroscopy for the treatment of AVNFH is recent and only a few pieces of literature discussed the subject. Several studies reported the advantages of arthroscopy in AVNFH as regards the reduction of THR and improvement of HHS [9, 29, 31]. Moreover, arthroscopy is preferred over open surgery due to the small incision and minimization of manipulation damage to the articular cartilage as well as its diagnostic role [7, 32, 33]. As the synthesis of the information is lacking, as far as we know, therefore, the purpose of this review is to explore the efficacy of arthroscopy to salvage hip joints in selected cases and to minimize the need for THR in young adults patients with AVNFH.

Materials and Methods
A literature search was conducted through several medical-oriented databases including PubMed, Embase, Google Scholar, Web of Science, and Scopus. The current research focused on the therapeutic outcome of arthroscopy in cases of AVNFH. The keywords used were ‘avascular necrosis’, ‘osteonecrosis’, non-traumatic necrosis’ or ‘necrosis’ and ‘arthroscopy’, and ‘hip joint’. Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) were used to enhance the search process. The search was carried out in 3 steps. The first step was to search on avascular necrosis and related words using wild cards and Boolean operators. The second step was to search on ‘arthroscopy’ and ‘hip joint’ together. Finally, we combined the two searches to get the final results.

The inclusion criteria were studied from 2000 to the current, the age range from 18 to 70 years, and English language studies. Case studies, general reviews, operative descriptive studies were excluded as well as editorials and conference-related publications. Moreover, the exclusion criteria included traumatic causes of AVN as well. The success rate, the HHS, and the number of THR were evaluated for meta-analysis. The eligible studies for meta-analysis were reviewed for heterogeneity by comparing the outcomes.

Statistical Analysis and Data Synthesis
The analysis of the continuous and dichotomous outcomes was measured by taking the mean as the point of estimate with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Meta-analysis was performed for all the outcomes where at least two studies were eligible, and the random effect model was used [34, 35]. Heterogeneity was assessed by Tau² and I² statistics. Statistical analysis was performed by Open Meta-analyst Cochrane open-source software taking P< 0.05 as a significance level.

Results
Studies Selection
173 studies were identified through the databases, out of which four studies were examined for eligibility and only five studies were found to meet the inclusion criteria (Figure 1).
Included studies
Four clinical trial studies were included in this review. The characteristics of these studies were described.

Population
The number of participants in the four studies was 119 patients with non-traumatic AVN. Arthroscopy was carried out for 109 hips with avascular necrosis between 1993 and 2013. The four studies included 61 males and 58 females aging from 15-84 years with a mean age of 36.2 ± 2.23 (95%CI: 34.01-38.39). The mean follow-up duration was 56.85 ± 21.28 (95% CI:37.00-77.70).

Arthroscopic intervention
The four studies include two retrospective cohort studies and two case series studies. Arthroscopy was used for the removal of loose bodies and labral tear fixation. Arthroscopy was used to assess core decompression in a pre-collapsed AVN of the femoral head. Arthroscopy for traumatic AVN was excluded.

Outcome
Age at the surgery. The age outcome was reported as a continuous variable in the four studies with the range (minimum and maximum values) and the mean. Only one study reported the standard deviation, Nazal et al., 2019. The standard deviations for the other studies were recalculated to the value of the pooled standard deviation with a p-value of 0.05. The mean age of the participants in the four studies was 36.2 ± 5.03 (P<0.001, 95%CI: 26.42-46.13) years (Figure 2).
Duration of follow-up. Data on the follow-up duration after arthroscopy was reported as a continuous variable with the mean and SD in two studies: Li et al., 2017 and Nazal et al., 2019. The standard deviations for the other two studies, Byrd & Jones 2000 and Streich et al., 2009, were recalculated to the value of the pooled standard deviation with a p-value of 0.05. The analysis of the results of the continuous follow-up duration outcome showed the mean of the follow-up duration equals 63.88 ± 9.68 (P <0.001, 95% CI: 44.91-82.84) months (Figure 3). Meta-analysis showed a high level of heterogeneity between the studies.

Harris Hip Score
Symptoms improvement was measured by HHS score. Symptoms improvement was assessed before and after surgery for the follow-up period stated by the study. One study, Nasal et al., 2019, used Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for clinical evaluation of the patients. Only the absolute score was mentioned without further analysis in three studies: Streich et al., 2009 and Byrd & Jones 2000. Meta-analysis was not carried out due to the unavailability of the data.

Conversion to THA
The conversion to THA was reported as a dichotomous variable. The period to conversion to THA was estimated at the end of the follow-up period. The proportion of joints that had not converted to THA was calculated and used in the statistical analysis and synthesis. Ficat-Alret classification preoperatively was performed before arthroscopy in the four studies. Arthroscopy was performed mainly on stages I and II in the four studies. One study performed arthroscopy on stage IIb [36] and another study included stage III [16] as well. For statistical analysis, the studies were divided into two subgroups including two studies that performed arthroscopy debridement and removal of loose bodies [16, 37] and two studies that performed arthroscopy-assisted core decompression [36, 38]. The overall proportion of the operated joints not converted to THA was 0.93 ± 0.04 (P<0.001; 95% CI: 0.85-1.00); the proportion of the joints operated for arthroscopic debridement with no conversion to THA was 0.99 ± 0.01 (P<0.001; 95% CI: 0.96-1.02) and the proportion of the joints operated for arthroscopic-assisted core decompression with no conversion to THA was 0.88 ± 0.04 (P<0.001; 95% CI: 0.81-0.95 (Table 1). The overall heterogeneity was $I^2 = 66.99\%$, however, the heterogeneity of the subgroups, debridement and core decompression, was $I^2= 0\%$. (Figure 4).

### Table 1: Model results of conversion to THA outcome

| Subgroups               | Studies | Estimate | Lower bound | Upper bound | Std. error | p-Val   | z-Val  |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|--------|
| Subgroup decompression  | 2       | 0.879    | 0.080       | 0.950       | 0.036      | <0.001  | 24.248 |
| Subgroup debridement    | 2       | 0.988    | 0.961       | 1.015       | 0.014      | <0.001  | 72.527 |
| Overall                 | 4       | 0.926    | 0.849       | 1.002       | 0.039      | <0.001  | 23.632 |

Discussion
Summary of Main Results
The purpose of this review is to analyze and summarize the available data on the efficacy of arthroscopy in the surgical management of the non-traumatic AVN of the hip joint. Four studies conducted between 1993 to 2013 comprehending 119 cases having non-traumatic AVN hip were found eligible for the review. As for the success of the arthroscopy surgery, conversion to THA was assessed in the four studies. The review revealed that arthroscopy was performed mainly for...
either debridement or loose body removal or as an assistant in core decompression surgery with satisfactory results measured by the proportion of conversion to THA. The successful results were higher in grades I and II of the Ficat-Arlet classification. As the age range was consistent in the four studied, the duration of the follow-up showed marked variations across the studies.

**Biases and Limitations**

There was a high level of heterogeneity considering post-arthroscopy follow-up duration. The high level of heterogeneity reflects the lack of consensus of the follow-up period. The heterogeneity was relatively high when the conversion to THA was considered, however, this heterogeneity disappeared when the studies were sub grouped according to the type of the operation. The limitations that we are aware of include the inclusion of case series and lack of RCT studies. Moreover, the overall high heterogeneity would influence the results of the review as well.

**Comparing and Contrasting with Literature Reviews**

Core decompression is a joint preserving surgical procedure to salvage the hip joints in cases of AVN that should be attempted in ARCO (Association Research Circulation Osseous) stage I – III [7]. Core decompression in cases of AVN reduces pain, enhances bone regeneration [39]. Moreover, percutaneous drilling of core decompression leads to intramedullary pressure reduction and improvement of the blood flow in the subchondral region that could result in reversal of the necrosis process of the femoral head [25, 40]. Arthroscopies provide safety drilling in three levels without injuring the femoral head or neck [38, 40, 41]. Arthroscopy is useful for necrotic lesions located on the lateral (cranial) posterior and ventral femoral head [7]. Arthroscopy reduces exposure to radiation [42]. Moreover, diagnosis can be further confirmed and other undetected pathologies could be found (Papavasiliou & Gliatis, 2017). The result of this review matches the conclusions of the literature in that arthroscopy is effective in assessing core decompression surgery with less conversion to THA when performed earlier in the disease progression.

**Implications for Practice and Research**

The available data in this review encourages the use of arthroscopy for the management of AVN of the hip joints. Arthroscopy in the early stages of AVN of the hip can salvage the hip and minimize the need for THA that is crucial in young men. RCT studies are needed to compare the outcome of arthroscopy and conventional procedures. Moreover, RCT studies would provide information about the efficacy and safety of arthroscopy compared with the conventional procedures for core decompression of the hip in cases of AVN. As the follow-up duration showed heterogeneity, it is worth noting that there is a need to standardize the follow-up duration following arthroscopy for better judgment of the outcomes.
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