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**Abstract**

Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) is a refractory disease in need of novel efficacious therapy. The aim of our study was to evaluate the effectiveness of tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R (*S. typhimurium* A1-R) using on a
patient derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) model of imatinib-resistant GIST. The GIST was obtained from a patient with regional recurrence, and implanted in the anterior gastric wall of nude mice. The GIST PDOX mice were randomized into 3 groups of 6 mice each when the tumor volume reached 60 mm³: G1, control group; G2, imatinib group (oral administration [p.o.], daily, for 3 weeks); G3, S. typhimurium A1-R group (intravenous [i.v.] injection, weekly, for 3 weeks). All mice from each group were sacrificed on day 22. Relative tumor volume was estimated by laparotomy on day 0 and day 22. Body weight of the mouse was evaluated 2 times per week. We found that S. typhimurium A1-R significantly reduced tumor growth in contrast to the untreated group (P = 0.001). In addition, we found that S. typhimurium A1-R was more effective compared to imatinib (P = 0.013). Furthermore, Imatinib was not significantly effective compared to the control group (P = 0.462). These results indicate that S. typhimurium A1-R may be new effective therapy for imatinib-resistant GIST and therefore a good candidate for clinical development of this disease.
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1. Introduction

Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) is a rare and recalcitrant tumor and may originate from the interstitial cell of Cajal [1]. It is estimated that each year the total number of new cases of GIST in the United States will be between 4,000−6,000. Complete tumor excision without tumor rupture is the only known curative therapy of GIST [2]. In most cases, GIST results from a mutation in one of the two tyrosine kinase receptors genes, c-kit (75%, exon: 9, 11, 13 and 17) or platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFRα, 10%; exon: 12, 14 and 18) [3].

Standard known chemotherapies are not effective against GIST. Three tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) with c-kit inhibitory activity have been approved for the treatment of GIST (imatinib, sunitinib, and regorafenib). Imatinib, a 2-phenyl amino pyrimidine derivative, is an inhibitor of several tyrosine kinase enzymes [4, 5], and first-line therapy for GIST [5]. Imatinib was first developed as a treatment for chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) by inhibiting the intracellular tyrosine kinase termed the Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog (ABL) and the kinase breakpoint cluster region protein (BCR)-ABL fusion protein [6, 7]. Imatinib inhibits the downstream signalling cascade, which regulates cell proliferation by interrupting the transfer of phosphate groups from adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to tyrosine residues of specific protein [7, 8]. Imatinib has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), for the GIST eradication [9, 10]. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy using imatinib is being utilized for GIST with a c-kit mutation [11]. Further, it has been demonstrated that imatinib activated CD8(+) T cells and induced regulatory
T cell (T(reg) cell) apoptosis within the tumor by reducing tumor-cell expression of the immunosuppressive enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (Ido) [12]. Although imatinib improves the prognosis of patients with advanced GIST [9], approximately 50% of patients develop tumor recurrence within 2 years, due to secondary mutations in c-kit at exons 13, 14, 16, 17 or 18 [5].

Sunitinib, a multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has been considered second-line therapy for GIST, is effective against tumors with c-kit exon 9, 13 or 14 mutations [13]. Regorafenib, another tyrosine kinase inhibitor, was approved by the FDA as a third-line therapy for advanced GIST [14], is effective against tumors with c-kit exon 16, 17 or 18 mutations [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Clinical trials of other drugs targeting c-kit and PFGFRα are currently ongoing [21, 22, 23]. However, all these inhibitors have limited clinical efficacy. Therefore, new effective therapy is required to improve the prognosis of GIST. To accomplish this goal of precision therapy, individualized treatment of cancer patients, we have established the patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude mouse model [24].

Salmonella typhimurium A1-R (S. typhimurium A1-R) is a facultative anaerobe, which was developed in our laboratory. S. typhimurium A1-R can grow and replicate in viable as well as necrotic areas of tumors [25]. S. typhimurium A1-R is auxotrophic (leu/arg-dependent) [25, 26] but does not mount a continuous infection in normal tissues. It receives sufficient nutritional support from tumor tissue. S. typhimurium A1-R replicates in tumor tissues by more than 1,000-fold compared with normal tissues [26]. S. typhimurium A1-R has only auxotrophic mutations for leu and arg, and is therefore not over-attenuated. Further, S. typhimurium A1-R was selected for increased virulence by in vivo tumor passage [25]. Previously, we reported that tumor-targeting S. typhimurium A1-R was effective against many types of PDOX models including melanoma [25, 27, 28, 29], sarcoma [30, 31, 32, 33, 34] and pancreatic cancer [35, 36].

In this manuscript, we evaluated the efficacy of S. typhimurium A1-R against the imatinib-resistant GIST PDOX model [37].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

In the present study, 4—6 weeks old, athymic nu/nu male nude mice (AntiCancer, Inc., San Diego, CA), were utilized. All experimental protocols and data were collected as previously described [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. All mice were housed in a barrier facility on a high efficiency particulate arrestance (HEPA)-filtered rack under standard 12-hour light-dark cycles conditions. Mice were fed an autoclaved laboratory rodent diet. Anesthesia and analgesics were
applied for all surgical experiments. A ketamine mixture (a 0.02 ml solution of 20 mg/kg ketamine, 15.2 mg/kg xylazine, and 0.48 mg/kg acepromazine maleate) was utilized subcutaneously for all mice. The animals were observed carefully during surgery to maintain adequate depth of anesthesia. The animals were monitored daily and humanely sacrificed by CO2 inhalation when they met the following criteria: severe tumor burden (more than 20 mm in diameter), prostration, significant body weight loss, difficulty breathing, rotational motion, and body temperature drop.

2.2. Establishment of the GIST PDOX model

The patient received primary surgery in the Department of Surgery, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). All experimental protocol and data collection were as described [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. The patient recurred and received imatinib as neoadjuvant chemotherapy to make the tumor easier to resect and to reduce the chances of rupture. Curative surgery for recurrence was performed and resected fresh tumor was brought to AntiCancer Inc. from the UCLA Hospital. The tumor has mutations in c-kit in exons 11 and 17. The GIST tumor was initially established subcutaneously in nude mice. Surgical orthotopic implantation to the anterior gastric wall of nude mice was subsequently performed to establish the GIST PDOX model using tumor tissue previously grown subcutaneously (Fig. 1-A). Laparotomy was performed to measure the established tumor size when the tumor was palpable over the skin (Fig. 1-B). All experimental protocols provided in this manuscript are based on our previous publications [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

**Fig. 1.** Surgical orthotopic implantation (SOI). A: The stomach of a nude mouse under ketamine anesthesia was gently exteriorized through an abdominal incision and a small GIST fragment was implanted on the anterior gastric wall after slight tearing of the serosa (white arrow). Scale bar: 10 mm. B: The GIST PDOX model was observed after 6 weeks. The area surrounded by black broken lines indicates the established tumor.
2.3. Preparation and administration of *S. typhimurium* A1-R

All experimental protocols and data were collected as previously described [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. Green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing *S. typhimurium* A1-R bacteria (AntiCancer Inc.,) were grown in LB medium (Fisher Sci., Hanover Park, IL, USA) and then diluted 1:10 in LB medium. Bacteria were harvested at late-log phase, washed twice with PBS, then diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to $5 \times 10^8$ colony-forming units (CFU)/ml. *S. typhimurium* A1-R (5 × 10⁷ CFU) in 100 µl PBS was injected i.v. in each mouse [38].

2.4. Treatment protocol for the GIST PDOX model

All experimental protocols and data were collected as previously described [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. The PDOX models were randomized into 3 groups described below when tumor volume reached 60 mm³; G1: untreated group; G2: imatinib (50 mg/kg, oral administration [p.o.], daily, 3 weeks); G3: *S. typhimurium* A1-R (5 × 10⁷ CFU/body, i.v., weekly, 3 weeks) (Fig. 2). Dosages of imatinib and *S. typhimurium* A1-R were determined from published reports [32, 37]. Tumor volume was evaluated on day 0 and day 22 by laparotomy with the following formula: tumor volume (mm³) = length (mm) × width (mm) × width (mm) × 1/2. Body weight was measured twice a week. All mice were sacrificed on day 22.

2.5. Imaging of *S. typhimurium* A1-R

The FV1000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used to visualize *S. typhimurium* A1-R-GFP in the GIST PDOX tumor by GFP fluorescence. Fluorescence images were obtained with the 20×/0.50 UPlan FLN and 40×/1.3 oil Olympus UPLAN FLN objectives [39].

![Fig. 2. Treatment protocol. G1: untreated group; G2: imatinib (50 mg/kg, oral administration [p.o.], daily, 3 weeks); G3: *S. typhimurium* A1-R (100 CFU/body, i.v., weekly, 3 weeks). Each group consisted of 6 mice. All mice were sacrificed on day 22.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00643)
2.6. Histological examination

10% formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections (5 μm) were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in an ethanol series. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was done according to standard protocols. Histological examination was observed with a BHS system microscope (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

2.7. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows software version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Significant differences for comparisons of intragroup were determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc pairwise tests. Bar graphs show mean values and error bars express ± standard deviation. A probability value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered as statistical significant.

2.8. Ethical considerations

All animal experiments were done at AntiCancer Inc. with an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)-protocol solely approved for present study and following the principles and procedures defined in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Guide for the Care and Use of Animals under Assurance Number A3873-1. Informed consent was obtained from the patient, and this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of UCLA (IRB #10-001857).

3. Results

3.1. Efficacy of \textit{S. typhimurium} A1-R and imatinib on the GIST PDOX tumor growth

Imatinib is first line treatment for GIST. Secondary mutations in c-kit have been shown to be the prime cause of resistance to imatinib in GIST. A few studies have demonstrated that GIST patients respond better to imatinib if their tumors contain c-kit mutations in exon 11 compared to exon 9 [5, 41, 42, 43]. Despite the clinical success of imatinib, several studies have attributed the development of acquired resistance of GIST to imatinib. In addition, second and third-generation c-KIT inhibitors were not able to completely overcome imatinib resistance in GIST. Therefore, a better treatment option is urgently needed. To address this issue, we tested the efficacy of tumor-targeting \textit{S. typhimurium} A1-R compared to imatinib on the GIST PDOX. We established the GIST PDOX model using surgical orthotopic implantation in the anterior gastric wall (Fig. 1). The schematic treatment design is shown in Fig. 2. Three weeks after \textit{S. typhimurium} A1-R injection, tumor volumes in each group were
weighted. The estimated tumor volume ratio (day 22/day 0) is provided in Fig. 3. We found that *S. typhimurium* A1-R reduced the GIST PDX tumor growth significantly in contrast to the untreated group (*P* = 0.001). The efficacy of *S. typhimurium* A1-R was much greater than imatinib (*P* = 0.013). However, imatinib treatment did not show significant efficacy in contrast to the untreated group (*P* = 0.462). The final tumor volume ratios (day 22/day 0) were as follows: untreated group (G1) (4.96 ± 1.37); imatinib group (G2) (4.17 ± 0.69); *S. typhimurium* A1-R group (G3) (2.01 ± 0.93).

### 3.2. Effect of imatinib and *S. typhimurium* A1-R treatment on body weight

The body weight of the GIST PDX mice was measured pre-treatment and post-treatment either with imatinib or *S. typhimurium* A1-R. We did not find any significant difference in body weight between the three groups (Fig. 4).

### 3.3. Imaging of tumor-targeting *S. typhimurium* A1-R in the GIST PDX

After intravenous (i.v.) administration of *S. typhimurium* A1-R for three weeks, the accumulation of the GFP-expressing *S. typhimurium* A1-R in the GIST PDX tumor was observed using confocal microscopy (Fig. 5).
3.4. Effect of treatment on size and tumor histology

Laparotomy images at post-treatment on day 22 (Fig. 6A–C) showed that *S. typhimurium* A1-R-treated mice had reduced tumor volume (Fig. 6C) compared to control (Fig. 6A) and imatinib (Fig. 6B) groups. High-power photomicrographs of tumor sections are provided with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. H&E staining of paraffin-embedded tumor sections did not contain necrotic areas in the control group.

![Graph showing body weight](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00643)

**Fig. 4.** Body weight of each group. Bar graphs show pre-treatment and post-treatment body weight of the GIST PDOX mice treated with each drug. There was no significant difference between any group. N = 6 mice/group. Error bars: ±SD.

![Fluorescence imaging of S. typhimurium A1-R-GFP targeting the GIST PDOX model](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00643)

**Fig. 5.** Fluorescence imaging of *S. typhimurium* A1-R-GFP targeting the GIST PDOX model. Broken black lines indicate tumor treated with *S. typhimurium* A1-R which was visualized by confocal imaging with the FV1000 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Left scale bar: 10 mm; Right scale bar: 20 μm.
group and imatinib group (Fig. 6A, B). However, *S. typhimurium* A1-R caused extensive necrosis (Fig. 6C).

4. Discussion

Our present study demonstrates the power of the PDOX model to establish novel efficacious therapy for resistant cancer. To fulfill the goal of precision personalized oncology, our laboratory established the PDOX nude mouse model using the technique of surgical orthotopic implantation (SOI), including pancreatic [40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45], breast [46], ovarian [47], lung [48], cervical [49], colon [50, 51, 52], and stomach cancer [53], sarcoma [34, 35, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61], and melanoma [30, 31, 32, 62, 63].

Accumulative evidence suggests the effectiveness of imatinib in both the adjuvant and metastatic GISTs in patients with *KIT* or *PDGFRA* mutations [64, 65, 66, 67]. However, imatinib was not effective in all patients, because it was found that GIST patients with mutations in the exon 9 of the *KIT* gene have lower effectiveness compared to mutations in exon 11 [41, 67, 68].

Since exon-11-mutant KIT GIST is heterogeneous, it was advisable to plan treatment strategies based on individual mutant cohorts [68]. Imatinib can improve the prognosis of advanced GIST patients [68, 69]. However, almost all such cases become resistant to these drugs due to secondary mutations in c-kit or PDGFRα [68, 69]. The present case also had a secondary mutation in exon 17 and failed
neoadjuvant therapy using imatinib. For these reasons, the development of new effective therapy for TKI-resistant GIST remains a major challenge.

*S. typhimurium* A1-R may be a general therapeutic for cancer. *S. typhimurium* A1-R is auxotrophic for leu—arg, which prevents it from mounting a continuous infection in normal tissues. *S. typhimurium* A1-R inhibited or eradicated primary and metastatic tumors as monotherapy in nude-mouse models of major cancers [70, 71], including prostate [72], breast [38, 46, 73, 74], lung [75], pancreatic [36, 37, 76, 77, 78], ovarian [79, 80], stomach [81], and cervical cancer [82], glioma [83, 84], as well as sarcoma [35, 54], including osteosarcoma [85, 86], all of which are highly aggressive tumor models. Several different types of bacteria such as *Salmonella, Listeria, Escherichia*, and *Clostridium* have been reported to either target or destroy solid tumors. Among these bacteria, several strains of *Salmonella* even colonize solid tumors and display antitumor immunity [87]. Thus, tumor-targeting bacteria have great potential in curing solid tumors [88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94].

In the present case, *S. typhimurium* A1-R was effective in the GIST PDOX model with a secondary mutation in exon 17, suggesting that *S. typhimurium* A1-R be developed clinically for TKI-resistant GIST patients. *S. typhimurium* A1-R showed significant efficacy in an imatinib-resistant GIST PDOX model with secondary mutations in the c-kit gene. *S. typhimurium* A1-R decoys quiescent tumor cells from G0/G1 to S/G2/M phase, which makes cancer cells sensitive to chemotherapy [82]. We previously reported that *S. typhimurium* A1-R in this way overcomes the resistance to chemotherapy [30, 34]. Thus, the combination of *S. typhimurium* A1-R and imatinib to overcome the resistance to imatinib is warranted going forward. Further, *S. typhimurium* A1-R efficacy on other subtypes of GIST in PDOX models should be investigated in the future.

Future experiments are needed to understand the molecular mechanism by which *S. typhimurium* A1-R could overcome the imatinib-resistant GIST PDOX model. This would be crucial for designing effective treatment regimens. Kim et al. [94] reported that tumor colonization by *S. typhimurium* defective in ppGpp synthesis (ΔppGpp Salmonellae) resulted in a significant increase in the level of interleukin (IL)-1β and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) within the tumor mass, specifically during the tumor-suppression stage. Their results suggest that IL-1β and TNF-α play crucial roles in *Salmonella*-mediated cancer therapy. We also found accumulation of *S. typhimurium* A1-R within the tumor site and increased necrosis in our GIST PDOX. It is also reported that systematic injection of IL2-expressing *S. typhimurium* reduced angiogenesis and increased necrosis within tumor tissues [95]. We believe that one of above these mechanisms may be effective in our model system. Long-term followup and more patient data will be needed to further validate our findings.

Zhao et al. [71] reported that *S. typhimurium* A1-R directly targets and kills cancer cells. The present study reflects this property. It is known that bacteria usually can be...
efficiently eliminated by the immune system in immunocompetent mice. However, after tumor necrosis high concentrations of nutrients such as purines [96] and lack of immunologic surveillance promote the growth and survival of bacteria at the tumor site [96]. Li et al. [97] using immune-deficient nude mice reported that S. typhimurium strain SL7207 was enriched in the tumor and inhibited tumor growth. These results suggest that systemic S. typhimurium may be eliminated by mechanisms other than the immune system. Using nude-mouse models which are T-cell deficient, it has been shown that S. typhimurium A1-R destroys tumor blood vessels [76]. In addition, an increase in TNF-α in blood and a high influx of blood into tumors by vascular disruption resulted in influx of bacteria into the tumor together with the blood [98]. Since these and our studies used immunodeficient mice, it will be crucial to test the anticancer efficacy of S. typhimurium A1-R in an immunocompetent mouse. Recently Zhang et al. [99] tested the toxicologic and biodistribution of tumor-targeting S. typhimurium A1-R and S. typhimurium VNP20009 in a syngeneic tumor model growing in immunocompetent BALB/c mice. They found a safe dose and schedule of S. typhimurium A1-R administration in BALB/c mice that is efficacious against tumor growth. They found greater antitumor efficacy of S. typhimurium A1-R in an immunocompetent mouse model [99]. These results together with present data suggest that S. typhimurium A1-R could be a therapeutic option for imatinib-resistant GIST.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

Kentaro Miyake: Conceived and designed the experiments; Performed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data; Wrote the paper.

Kei Kawaguchi, Masuyo Miyake, Ming Zhao, Tasuku Kiyuna, Kentaro Igarashi, Zhiying Zhang, Takashi Murakami: Performed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data.

Yunfeng Li, Scott D. Nelson, Michael Bouvet, Irmina Elliott, Tara A. Russell, Arun S. Singh, Yukihiro Hiroshima, Masashi Momiyama, Ryusei Matsuyama, Takashi Chishima, Shree Ram Singh, Itaru Endo, Fritz C. Eilber: Analyzed and interpreted the data.

Robert M. Hoffman: Conceived and designed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data; Wrote the paper.

Funding statement

This work was supported by a grant from Yokohama City University School of Medicine GUSHINKAI Almuni Association, who paid for the publication fees. They had no role in the research or in the writing of the paper.
Competing interest statement

The authors declare the following conflict of interests: Kentaro Miyake, Kei Kaguchi, Masuyo Miyake, Tasuku Kiyuna, Kentaro Igarashi, Zhiying Zhang and Robert M. Hoffman are unsalaried associates of AntiCancer Inc. There are no other competing financial interests.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to the memory of A. R. Moossa, M.D., and Sun Lee, M.D.

References

[1] M. Miettinen, J. Lasota, Gastrointestinal stromal tumors—definition, clinical, histological, immunohistochemical, and molecular genetic features and differential diagnosis, Virchows Arch. 438 (2001) 1–12.

[2] T. Nishida, J.Y. Blay, S. Hirota, Y. Kitagawa, Y.K. Kang, The standard diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of gastrointestinal stromal tumors based on guidelines, Gastric Cancer 19 (2016) 3–14.

[3] L. Tornillo, L.M. Terracciano, Terracciano, an update on molecular genetics of gastrointestinal stromal tumours, J. Clin. Pathol. 59 (2006) 557–563.

[4] B. Nagar, W.G. Bornmann, P. Pellicena, T. Schindler, D.R. Veach, W.T. Miller, et al., Crystal structures of the kinase domain of c-Abl in complex with the small molecule inhibitors PD173955 and imatinib (STI-571), Cancer Res. 62 (2002) 4236–4243.

[5] T. Takahashi, S. Serada, M. Ako, M. Fujimoto, Y. Miyazaki, R. Nakatsuka, T. Ikezoe, A. Yokoyama, T. Taguchi, K. Shimada, Y. Kurokawa, M. Yamasaki, H. Miyata, K. Nakajima, S. Takiguchi, M. Mori, Y. Doki, T. Naka, T. Nishida, New findings of kinase switching in gastrointestinal stromal tumor under imatinib using phosphoproteomic analysis, Int. J. Cancer 133 (2013) 2737–2743.

[6] M. Habeck, FDA licences imatinib mesylate for CML, Lancet Oncol. 3 (2002) 6.

[7] L.F. Lopes, C.E. Bacchi, Imatinib treatment for gastrointestinal stromal tumour (GIST), J. Cell Mol. Med. 14 (1–2) (2010 Jan) 42–50.
[8] M.C. Heinrich, D.J. Griffith, B.J. Druker, et al., Inhibition of c-kit receptor tyrosine kinase activity by STI 571, a selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor, Blood 96 (2000) 925–932.

[9] R. Dagher, M. Cohen, G. Williams, M. Rothmann, et al., Approval summary: imatinib mesylate in the treatment of metastatic and/or unresectable malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors, Clin. Cancer Res. 8 (2002) 3034–3038.

[10] I. Ingram, FDA grants imatinib (Gleevec) full approval for adjuvant treatment of GIST, Oncology 26 (2012) 264.

[11] S.J. Ford, A. Gronchi, Indications for surgery in advanced/metastatic GIST, Eur. J. Cancer 63 (2016) 154–167.

[12] V.P. Balachandran, M.J. Cavnar, S. Zeng, Z.M. Bamboat, et al., Imatinib potentiates antitumor T cell responses in gastrointestinal stromal tumor through the inhibition of Ido, Nat. Med. 17 (2011) 1094–1100.

[13] V.L. Goodman, E.P. Rock, R. Dagher, R.P. Ramchandani, et al., Approval summary: sunitinib for the treatment of imatinib refractory or intolerant gastrointestinal stromal tumors and advanced renal cell carcinoma, Clin. Cancer Res. 13 (2007) 1367–1373.

[14] I. Ingram, FDA approves regorafenib (Stivarga) for GIST, Oncology 27 (2013) 164.

[15] S.M. Wilhelm, J. Dumas, L. Adnane, M. Lynch, et al., Regorafenib (BAY 73–4506): a new oral multikinase inhibitor of angiogenic, stromal and oncogenic receptor tyrosine kinases with potent preclinical antitumor activity, Int. J. Cancer 129 (2011) 245–255.

[16] K. Mross, A. Frost, S. Steinbild, S. Hedbom, et al., A phase I dose-escalation study of regorafenib (BAY 73–4506), an inhibitor of oncogenic, angiogenic, and stromal kinases, in patients with advanced solid tumors, Clin. Cancer Res. 18 (2012) 2658–2667.

[17] S. George, Q. Wang, M.C. Heinrich, C.L. Corless, et al., Efficacy and safety of regorafenib in patients with metastatic and/or unresectable GI stromal tumor after failure of imatinib and sunitinib: a multicenter phase II trial, J. Clin. Oncol. 30 (2012) 2401–2407.

[18] G.D. Demetri, P. Reichardt, Y.K. Kang, J.Y. Blay, et al., Efficacy and safety of regorafenib for advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours after failure of imatinib and sunitinib (GRID): an international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial, Lancet 381 (2013) 295–302.
[19] G. Schvartsman, M.J. Wagner, B. Amini, C.M. Zobniw, et al., Treatment patterns, efficacy and toxicity of regorafenib in gastrointestinal stromal tumour patients, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 9519.

[20] M. Nannini, M.C. Nigro, B. Vincenzi, E. Fumagalli, et al., Personalization of regorafenib treatment in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours in real-life clinical practice, Ther. Adv. Med. Oncol. 9 (2017) 731–739.

[21] A. Le Cesne, J.Y. Blay, B.N. Bui, O. Bouché, et al., Phase II study of oral masitinib mesilate in imatinib-naive patients with locally advanced or metastatic gastro-intestinal stromal tumour (GIST), Eur. J. Cancer 46 (2010) 1344–1351.

[22] M.C. Heinrich, D. Griffith, A. McKinley, J. Patterson, et al., Crenolanib inhibits the drug-resistant PDGFRα D842V mutation associated with imatinib-resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumors, Clin. Cancer Res. 18 (2012) 4375–4384.

[23] I. Judson, M. Scurr, K. Gardner, E. Barquin, et al., Phase II study of cediranib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors or soft-tissue sarcoma, Clin. Cancer Res. 20 (2014) 3603–3612.

[24] R.M. Hoffman, Molecular and translational medicine, in: R.M. Hoffman (Ed.), Patient-Derived Mouse Models of Cancer, 2017. Series eds., Coleman, W.B., Tsongalis, G.J.

[25] R.M. Hoffman, Tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R: an overview, in: R. Hoffman (Ed.), Bacterial Therapy of Cancer, Methods Mol. Biol., vol. 1409, Humana Press, New York, 2016.

[26] K.B. Low, M. Ittensohn, T. Le, J. Platt, S. Sodi, M. Amoss, O. Ash, et al., Lipid A mutant Salmonella with suppressed virulence and TNF-α induction retain tumor-targeting in vivo, Nat. Biotechnol. 17 (1999) 37–41.

[27] T. Murakami, K. Igarashi, K. Kawaguchi, T. Kiyuna, et al., Tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R regresses an osteosarcoma in a patient-derived xenograft model resistant to a molecular-targeting drug, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 8035–8042.

[28] K. Kawaguchi, K. Igarashi, T. Murakami, T. Kiyuna, et al., Salmonella typhimurium A1-R targeting of a chemotherapy-resistant BRAF-V600E melanoma in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) model is enhanced in combination with either vemurafenib or temozolomide, Cell Cycle 16 (2017) 1288–1294.
[29] K. Kawaguchi, K. Igarashi, T. Murakami, B. Chmielowski, et al., Tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R combined with temozolomide regresses malignant melanoma with a BRAF-V600E mutation in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) model, Oncotarget 7 (2016) 85929—85936.

[30] K. Kawaguchi, K. Igarashi, T. Murakami, M. Zhao, et al., Tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R sensitizes melanoma with a BRAF-V600E mutation to vemurafenib in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude mouse model, J. Cell. Biochem. 118 (2017) 2314—2319.

[31] M. Yamamoto, M. Zhao, Y. Hiroshima, Y. Zhang, et al., Efficacy of tumor-targeting Salmonella A1-R on a melanoma patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude-mouse model, PLoS One 11 (2016), e0160882.

[32] K. Igarashi, K. Kawaguchi, T. Murakami, T. Kiyuna, et al., Intra-arterial administration of tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R regresses a cisplatin-resistant relapsed osteosarcoma in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) mouse model, Cell Cycle 16 (2017) 1164—1170.

[33] T. Kiyuna, T. Murakami, Y. Tome, K. Kawaguchi, et al., High efficacy of tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R on a doxorubicin- and dactolisib-resistant follicular dendritic-cell sarcoma in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft PDOX nude mouse model, Oncotarget 7 (2016) 33046—33054.

[34] T. Murakami, J. DeLong, F.C. Eilber, M. Zhao, et al., Tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R in combination with doxorubicin eradicate soft tissue sarcoma in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) model, Oncotarget 7 (2016) 12783—12790.

[35] T. Murakami, T. Kiyuna, K. Kawaguchi, K. Igarashi, et al., The irony of highly-effective bacterial therapy of a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) model of Ewing’s sarcoma, which was blocked by Ewing himself 80 years ago, Cell Cycle 16 (2017) 1046—1052.

[36] Y. Hiroshima, Y. Zhang, T. Murakami, A. Maawy, et al., Efficacy of tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R in combination with anti-angiogenesis therapy on a pancreatic cancer patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) and cell line mouse models, Oncotarget 5 (2014) 12346—12357.

[37] Y. Hiroshima, M. Zhao, A. Maawy, Y. Zhang, et al., Efficacy of *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R versus chemotherapy on a pancreatic cancer patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX), J. Cell. Biochem. 115 (2014) 1254—1261.
[38] M. Zhao, M. Yang, H. Ma, X. Li, et al., Targeted therapy with a *Salmonella typhimurium* leucine-arginine auxotroph cures orthotopic human breast tumors in nude mice, Cancer Res. 66 (2006) 7647–7652.

[39] H. Hensley, K. Devarajan, J.R. Johnson, D. Piwnica-Worms, et al., Evaluating new therapies in gastrointestinal stromal tumor using in vivo molecular optical imaging, Cancer Biol. Ther. 15 (2014) 911–918.

[40] J.K. Sicklick, S.Y. Leonard, M.L. Babicky, C.M. Tang, et al., Generation of orthotopic patient-derived xenografts from gastrointestinal stromal tumor, J. Transl. Med. 12 (2014) 41.

[41] M.C. Heinrich, C.L. Corless, G.D. Demetri, C.D. Blanke, et al., Kinase mutations and imatinib response in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor, J. Clin. Oncol. 21 (2003) 4342–4349.

[42] M. Debiec-Rychter, H. Dumez, I. Judson, B. Wasag, et al., Use of c-KIT/PDGFRα mutational analysis to predict the clinical response to imatinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours entered on phase I and II studies of the EORTC Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group, Eur. J. Cancer 40 (2004) 689–695.

[43] J. Verweij, P.G. Casali, J. Zalcberg, A. LeCesne, P. Reichardt, et al., Progression-free survival in gastrointestinal stromal tumours with highdose imatinib: randomised trial, Lancet 364 (2004) 1127–1134.

[44] Y. Hiroshima, A. Maawy, Y. Zhang, T. Murakami, et al., Metastatic recurrence in a pancreatic cancer patient derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude mouse model is inhibited by neoadjuvant chemotherapy in combination with fluorescence-guided surgery with an anti-CA 19–9-conjugated fluorophore, PLoS One 9 (2014), e114310.

[45] Y. Hiroshima, A. Maawy, Y. Zhang, T. Murakami, et al., Selective efficacy of zoledronic acid on metastasis in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograph (PDOX) nude-mouse model of human pancreatic cancer, J. Surg. Oncol. 111 (2015) 311–315.

[46] X. Fu, P. Le, R.M. Hoffman, A metastatic-orthotopic transplant nude-mouse model of human patient breast cancer, Anticancer Res. 13 (1993) 901–904.

[47] X. Fu, R.M. Hoffman, Human ovarian carcinoma metastatic models constructed in nude mice by orthotopic transplantation of histologically-intact patient specimens, Anticancer Res. 13 (1993) 283–286.
[48] X. Wang, X. Fu, R.M. Hoffman, A new patient-like metastatic model of human lung cancer constructed orthotopically with intact tissue via thoracotomy in immunodeficient mice, Int. J. Cancer 51 (1992) 992–995.

[49] Y. Hiroshima, Y. Zhang, N. Zhang, A. Maawy, et al., Establishment of a patient-derived orthotopic xenograph (PDOX) model of HER-2-positive cervical cancer expressing the clinical metastatic pattern, PLoS One 10 (2015), e0117417.

[50] X.Y. Fu, J.M. Besterman, A. Monosov, R.M. Hoffman, Models of human metastatic colon cancer in nude mice orthotopically constructed by using histologically intact patient specimens, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88 (1991) 9345–9349.

[51] C.A. Metildi, S. Kaushal, G.A. Luiken, M.A. Talamini, et al., Fluorescently-labeled chimeric anti-CEA antibody improves detection and resection of human colon cancer in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude mouse model, J. Surg. Oncol. 109 (2014) 451–458.

[52] Y. Hiroshima, A. Maawy, C.A. Metildi, Y. Zhang, et al., Successful fluorescence-guided surgery on human colon cancer patient-derived orthotopic xenograft mouse models using a fluorophore-conjugated anti-CEA antibody and a portable imaging system, J. Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech. A. 24 (2014) 241–247.

[53] T. Furukawa, T. Kubota, M. Watanabe, M. Kitajima, R.M. Hoffman, Orthotopic transplantation of histologically intact clinical specimens of stomach cancer to nude mice: correlation of metastatic sites in mouse and individual patient donors, Int. J. Cancer 53 (1993) 608–612.

[54] T. Murakami, A.S. Singh, T. Kiyuna, S.M. Dry, et al., Effective molecular targeting of CDK4/6 and IGF-1R in a rare FUS-ERG fusion CDKN2A-deletion doxorubicin-resistant Ewing’s sarcoma in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude-mouse model, Oncotarget 7 (2016) 47556–47564.

[55] Y. Hiroshima, Y. Zhang, N. Zhang, F. Uehara, et al., Patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude mouse model of soft-tissue sarcoma more closely mimics the patient behavior in contrast to the subcutaneous ectopic model, Anticancer Res. 35 (2015) 697–701.

[56] K. Igarashi, T. Murakami, K. Kawaguchi, T. Kiyuna, et al., A patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) mouse model of an cisplatinum-resistant osteosarcoma lung metastasis that was sensitive to temozolomide and trabectedin: implications for precision oncology, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 62111–62119.
[57] K. Igarashi, K. Kawaguchi, T. Kiyuna, T. Murakami, et al., Temozolomide combined with irinotecan caused regression in an adult pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude-mouse model, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 75874–75880.

[58] K. Igarashi, K. Kawaguchi, T. Murakami, T. Kiyuna, et al., High efficacy of pazopanib on an undifferentiated spindle-cell sarcoma resistant to first-line therapy is identified with a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude mouse model, J. Cell. Biochem. 118 (2017) 2739–2743.

[59] T. Kiyuna, T. Murakami, Y. Tome, K. Kawaguchi, et al., Analysis of stroma labeling during multiple passage of a sarcoma imageable patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (ipPDOX) in red fluorescent protein transgenic nude mice, J. Cell. Biochem. 118 (2017) 3367–3371.

[60] K. Igarashi, K. Kawaguchi, T. Murakami, T. Kiyuna, et al., A novel anionic-phosphate-platinum complex effectively targets an undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma better than cisplatinum and doxorubicin in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX), Oncotarget 8 (2017) 63353–63359.

[61] K. Miyake, T. Murakami, T. Kiyuna, K. Igarashi, et al., The combination of temozolomide-irinotecan regresses a doxorubicin-resistant patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) nude-mouse model of recurrent Ewing’s sarcoma with a FUS-ERG fusion and CDKN2A deletion: direction for third-line patient therapy, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 103129–103136.

[62] T. Kawaguchi, B. Murakami, K. Chmielowski, T. Igarashi, et al., Vemurafenib-resistant BRAF-V600E mutated melanoma is regressed by MEK targeting drug trametinib, but not cobimetinib in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) mouse model, Oncotarget 7 (2016) 71737–71743.

[63] K. Kawaguchi, K. Igarashi, S. Li, Q. Han, et al., Combination treatment with recombinant methioninase enables temozolomide to arrest a BRAF V600E melanoma growth in a patient-derived orthotopic xenograft, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 85516–85525.

[64] C. Corless, A. Schroeder, D. Griffith, A. Town, et al., PDGFRA mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors: frequency, spectrum and in vitro sensitivity to imatinib, J. Clin. Oncol. 23 (2005) 5357–5364.

[65] R. DeMatteo, K. Ballman, C. Antonescu, R. Maki, et al., Adjuvant imatinib mesylate after resection of localised, primary gastrointestinal stromal tumour: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, Lancet 373 (2009) 1097–1104.
[66] H. Joensuu, M. Eriksson, K. Sundby, J.T. Hall, et al., One vs three years of adjuvant imatinib for operable gastrointestinal stromal tumor: a randomized trial, J. Am. Med. Assoc. 307 (2012) 1265—1272.

[67] M.C. Heinrich, R.G. Maki, C.L. Corless, C.R. Antonescu, et al., Primary and secondary kinase genotypes correlate with the biological and clinical activity of sunitinib in imatinib-resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumor, J. Clin. Oncol. 26 (2008) 5352—5359.

[68] A. Ramaswamy, M. Bal, R. Swami, O. Shetty, S. Bose, T. Pai, M. Gurav, S. Gupta, V. Ostwal, Early outcomes of exon 11 mutants in GIST treated with standard dose Imatinib, Ann. Transl. Med. 5 (6) (2017) 134.

[69] E. Ben-Ami, C.M. Barysauskas, M. von Mehren, M.C. Heinrich, et al., Long-term follow-up results of the multicenter phase II trial of regorafenib in patients with metastatic and/or unresectable GI stromal tumor after failure of standard tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy, Ann. Oncol. 27 (2016) 1794—1799.

[70] R.M. Hoffman, Future of bacterial therapy of cancer, Methods Mol. Biol. 1409 (2016) 177—184.

[71] M. Zhao, M. Yang, X.M. Li, P. Jiang, et al., Tumor-targeting bacterial therapy with amino acid auxotrophs of GFP-expressing Salmonella typhimurium, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102 (2005) 755—760.

[72] M.J. Zhao, H. Geller, M. Ma, S. Yang, et al., Monotherapy with a tumor-targeting mutant of Salmonella typhimurium cures orthotopic metastatic mouse models of human prostate cancer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104 (2007) 10170—10174.

[73] Y. Zhang, S. Miwa, N. Zhang, R.M. Hoffman, M. Zhao, Tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R arrests growth of breast-cancer brain metastasis, Oncotarget 6 (2015) 2615—2622.

[74] A. Uchugonova, M. Zhao, Y. Zhang, M. Weinigel, K. Keonig, R.M. Hoffman, Cancer-cell killing by engineered Salmonella imaged by multiphoton tomography in live mice, Anticancer Res. 32 (2012) 4331—4337.

[75] F. Liu, L. Zhang, R.M. Hoffman, M. Zhao, Vessel destruction by tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R is enhanced by high tumor vascularity, Cell Cycle 9 (2010) 4518—4524.

[76] C. Nagakura, K. Hayashi, M. Zhao, K. Yamauchi, et al., Efficacy of a genetically-modified Salmonella typhimurium in an orthotopic human pancreatic cancer in nude mice, Anticancer Res. 29 (2009) 1873—1878.
[77] C. Yam, M. Zhao, K. Hayashi, H. Ma, et al., Monotherapy with a tumor-targeting mutant of S. typhimurium inhibits liver metastasis in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer, J. Surg. Res. 164 (2010) 248–255.

[78] Y. Hiroshima, M. Zhao, Y. Zhang, A. Maawy, et al., Comparison of efficacy of Salmonella typhimurium A1-R and chemotherapy on stem-like and non-stem human pancreatic cancer cells, Cell Cycle 12 (2013) 2774–2780.

[79] Y. Matsumoto, S. Miwa, Y. Zhang, Y. Hiroshima, et al., Efficacy of tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R on nude mouse models of metastatic and disseminated human ovarian cancer, J. Cell. Biochem. 115 (2014) 1996–2003.

[80] Y. Matsumoto, S. Miwa, Y. Zhang, M. Zhao, et al., Intraperitoneal administration of tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R inhibits disseminated human ovarian cancer and extends survival in nude mice, Oncotarget 6 (2015) 11369–11377.

[81] S. Yano, Y. Zhang, M. Zhao, Y. Hiroshima, et al., Tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R decoys quiescent cancer cells to cycle as visualized by FUCCI imaging and become sensitive to chemotherapy, Cell Cycle 13 (2014) 3958–3963.

[82] Y. Hiroshima, Y. Zhang, M. Zhao, N. Zhang, et al., Tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R in combination with Trastuzumab eradicates HER-2-positive cervical cancer cells in patient-derived mouse models, PLoS One 10 (2015), e0120358.

[83] M. Momiyama, M. Zhao, H. Kimura, B. Tran, et al., Inhibition and eradication of human glioma with tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium in an orthotopic nude-mouse model, Cell Cycle 11 (2012) 628–632.

[84] H. Kimura, L. Zhang, M. Zhao, K. Hayashi, et al., Targeted therapy of spinal cord glioma with a genetically-modified Salmonella typhimurium, Cell Prolif. 43 (2010) 41–48.

[85] K. Hayashi, M. Zhao, K. Yamauchi, N. Yamamoto, et al., Systemic targeting of primary bone tumor and lung metastasis of high-grade osteosarcoma in nude mice with a tumor-selective strain of Salmonella typhimurium, Cell Cycle 8 (2009) 870–875.

[86] S. Miwa, Y. Zhang, K.-E. Baek, F. Uehara, et al., Inhibition of spontaneous and experimental lung metastasis of soft-tissue sarcoma by tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R, Oncotarget 5 (2014) 12849–12861.
[87] C.Z. Wang, R.A. Kazmierczak, A. Eisenstark, Strains, mechanism, and perspective: salmonella-based cancer therapy, Int. J. Microbiol. 2016 (2016) 5678702.

[88] K. Hayashi, M. Zhao, K. Yamauchi, N. Yamamoto, et al., Cancer metastasis directly eradicated by targeted therapy with a modified Salmonella typhimurium, J. Cell. Biochem. 106 (2009) 992–998.

[89] J.M. Pawelek, K.B. Low, D. Bermudes, Tumor-targeted Salmonella as a novel anticancer vector, Cancer Res. 57 (1997) 4537–4544.

[90] I. King, D. Bermudes, S. Lin, M. Belcourt, et al., Tumor-targeted Salmonella expressing cytosine deaminase as an anticancer agent, Hum. Gene Ther. 13 (2002) 1225–1233.

[91] D. Kocijancic, S. Felgner, T. Schauer, M. Frahm, et al., Local application of bacteria improves safety of Salmonella -mediated tumor therapy and retains advantages of systemic infection, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 49988–50001.

[92] D. Lim, K.S. Kim, H. Kim, K.C. Ko, et al., Anti-tumor activity of an immunotoxin (TGF-z-PE38) delivered by attenuated Salmonella typhimurium, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 37550–37560.

[93] J.H. Zheng, V.H. Nguyen, S.N. Jiang, S.H. Park, et al., Two-step enhanced cancer immunotherapy with engineered Salmonella typhimurium secreting heterologous flagellin, Sci. Transl. Med. 9 (2017), eaak9537.

[94] J.E. Kim, T.X. Phan, V.H. Nguyen, H.V. Dinh-Vu, et al., Salmonella typhimurium suppresses tumor growth via the pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-1β, Theranostics 5 (2015) 1328–1342.

[95] B.K. al-Ramadi, M.J. Fernandez-Cabezudo, H. El-Hasasna, S. Al-Salam, G. Bashir, S. Chouaib, Potent anti-tumor activity of systemically-administered IL2-expressing Salmonella correlates with decreased angiogenesis and enhanced tumor apoptosis, Clin. Immunol. 130 (2009) 89–97.

[96] A. Al-Mariri, A. Tibor, P. Lestrange, P. Mertens, X. De Bolle, J.J. Letesson, Yersinia enterocolitica as a vehicle for a naked DNA vaccine encoding Brucella abortus bacterioferritin or p39 antigen, Infect. Immun. 70 (2002) 1915–1923.

[97] B. Li, H. He, S. Zhang, W. Zhao, N. Li, R. Shao, Salmonella typhimurium strain SL7207 induces apoptosis and inhibits the growth of HepG2 hepatoma cells in vitro and in vivo, Acta Pharm. Sin. B 2 (6) (2012) 562–568.
[98] S. Leschner, K. Westphal, N. Dietrich, N. Viegas, J. Jablonska, et al., Tumor invasion of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium is accompanied by strong hemorrhage promoted by TNFα, PLoS One 4 (2009), e6692.

[99] Y. Zhang, W. Cao, M. Toneri, N. Zhang, T. Kiyuna, T. Murakami, et al., Toxicology and efficacy of tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium A1-R compared to VNP 20009 in a syngeneic mouse tumor model in immunocompetent mice, Oncotarget 8 (33) (2017 May 3) 54616–54628.