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Polyphenolic extracts from pomegranate and watermelon wastes as substrate to fabricate sustainable silver nanoparticles with larvicidal effect against *Spodoptera littoralis*
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**A B S T R A C T**

The agricultural wastes adversely affect the environment; however, they are rich in polyphenols; therefore, this study aimed to employ polyphenol-enriched waste extracts for silver nanoparticles synthesis, and study the larvicidal activity of silver nanoparticles fabricated by pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts (PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs) against all larval instars of *Spodoptera littoralis*. The polyphenol profile of pomegranate and watermelon peel extracts (PP and WP) and silver nanoparticles was detected by HPLC. The antioxidant activity was estimated by DPPH, and FARP assays and the antimicrobial activity was evaluated by disc assay. The Larvicidal activity of AgNPs against Egyptian leaf worm was performed by dipping technique. The obtained AgNPs were spherical with size ranged 15–85 nm and capped with proteins and polyphenols. The phenolic compounds in silver nanoparticles increased about extracts; therefore, they have the best performance in antioxidant/reducing activity, and inhibit the growth of tested bacteria and yeast. The PPAgNPs were the most effective against the first larval instar (LC50 = 68.32 μg/ml), followed by pomegranate extract with (LC50 = 2852 μg/ml). The results indicated that obvious increase in polyphenols content in silver nanoparticles enhance their larvicidal effect and increasing mortality of 1st larval of *S. littoralis* Egyptian leafworms causing additive effect and synergism. We recommend recycling phenolic enriched agricultural wastes in producing green silver nanoparticles to control cotton leafworm that causes economic losses to crops.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

African cotton leafworm (*Spodoptera littoralis*) is a lepidopteran insect. It has economic importance because the wide range of host plants of different families besides feeding on the plant with a high population can cause leaves skeletonization, losing crops, consumed shoot system, and causing economic losses. Chemical controlled caused development resistance to several groups of insecticides and adverse effects on the beneficial animals and the environment (Bakr and Al Yousef, 2015). Therefore, the researchers try to find new promising approach to suppress the population of *S. littoralis* by using agricultural wastes in fabricating nanoparticles. The peels of fruit and vegetables have considerable polyphenols content (Saad et al., 2021). Pomegranate and watermelon peels contain extensive amounts of phenolic compounds (Jung, 2015; Rolim et al., 2018; Yuan and Fang, 2018). The polyphenols are secondary metabolites that act as first defense line to protect plants from various stress conditions and bacterial and fungal infections (Dahham et al., 2010; Devi et al., 2011). Besides, they may act as
potential insecticides (Ateyyat et al., 2012; Ghaly et al., 2014). Flavonoids are known to modulate the feeding and egg laying behavior of insects (Goławska and Łukasik, 2012). Therefore, the extracts of these peels contain significant content of phenolic compounds, which can act as reducing and protective agents for nanoparticles preparation. Synthesis of nanoparticles using physical and chemical methods is costly, has adverse impacts on the environment and needs high energy (El-Saadony et al., 2018, El-Saadony et al., 2019; El-Saadony et al., 2020). On the other hand, biosynthesis of nanoparticles is considered a clean and an economic alternative route when fabricated using bacteria (Reda et al., 2020; Sheiha et al., 2020; El-Saadony et al., 2021a; Reda et al., 2021), fungi (El-Saadony et al., 2021b) and plants is cheaper and favors large-scale production, as it requires simpler downstream processing. The application of botanicals for the synthesis of nanostructured materials offers numerous benefits than the use of safe chemicals in the synthesis (Borase et al., 2014). Moreover, these green synthesized nanoparticles are reported to be more effective insecticides (El-Saadony et al., 2020), less expensive, biodegradable, and safe for humankind and the environment than synthetic counterparts (Sabbour et al., 2015; Murugan et al., 2016). In search of environmentally friendly and effective pesticides, nanoparticles could be a new and good strategy. Nanoparticles are a particle with a size range between 1 and 100 nm, exhibit completely new or improved properties as compared to the original materials that differ in specific characteristics such as size, distribution, and morphology (El-Saadony et al., 2021a; El-Saadony et al., 2021c). Saranya et al. (2020) stated that nanosized particles with a wide range of use in insecticides with different mode of actions (Benelli, 2018). Newly transformed nanoparticles acquired a new property e.g. large specific surface area, thermal stability, biodegradability, and high affinity with the target site, hence; penetrate rapidly enhancing the biocidal activities (Benelli, 2018). Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have been used in many medical, pharmaceutical and agricultural applications as a pure free metal or a compound forms because it possesses broad-spectrum antioxidant and antimicrobial activities, and they are non-toxic to humans (Tian et al., 2018; Jacob et al., 2019). Recently, nanomaterials received great attention showed antimicrobial and biocidal activities (Bhattacharyya et al., 2010; Rai and Ingle, 2012; Bodaiah et al., 2016). The literature survey confirms scarce studies focusing watermelon and pomegranate peels phenolic compounds to use in fabrication of silver nanoparticles and the usage of these nanoparticles as insecticidal. Due to the vast potentiality of plants, the study aimed to employ phenolic compounds isolated from watermelon and pomegranate peels aqueous extracts for silver nanoparticles synthesis. Estimate the antioxidant, antimicrobial of polyphenol extracts and silver nanoparticles. Besides the larvicidal activity of watermelon and pomegranate extracts, and silver nanoparticles were tested against all larval instars of S. littoralis. In addition, the study assessed the effects of these materials on the antifeedant of the 6th larval instar.

2. Materials and methods

The peels of pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) and watermelon (Citrus lanatus) were obtained from a juice factory in 10th Raman Dan City, Sharkia, Egypt. All chemicals and cultures used in this study were of analytical grade. Egyptian cotton leafworm rearing: A laboratory colony of the Egyptian cotton leafworm, Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.), (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) was obtained from Plant Protection Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Zagazig, Sharkia Government, Egypt. The colony was reared according to Eldrefawi et al. (1964). The resulting larvae were fed on castor leaves.

2.1. Extraction of phenolic compounds from pomegranate and watermelon aquas extract

The extraction method were followed Saad et al., 2020. The pomegranate and watermelon peels were washed, dried in an oven at 105 °C for 3 h, and ground in a mixer, then sieved through 20-mesh screen. Ten grams of powdered peels were homogenized in 150 ml of distilled water and stirred for 4 h at 40 °C in a conical flask. The liquid extract was vacuum filtrated through Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The filtrates evaporated by a rotary evaporator then lyophilized and weighted to analyze the total extract yield (%) as following equation (1)

\[ \text{Total extract yield (\%)} = \frac{\text{weight of dried extract}}{100g \text{ of pp or wp}} \times 100 \]  

where (pp) is pomegranate peel powder, and (wp) is watermelon peel powder.

2.2. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles

100 mg of phenolic extracts of pomegranate and watermelon peels was homogenized in 100 ml of silver nitrate solution (1 mM), and stirred overnight at room temperature. Then, silver nanoparticle solutions were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min (Sigma 3–30 k, Germany). The supernatant was discarded and the silver nanoparticles pellets were rinsed several times with deionized distilled water, and then centrifuged again and the best samples were selected based on their appearance properties, such as intensity of darkness and applied for further experiments.

2.3. Characterization of green silver nanoparticles

Five advanced instruments were characterized the pomegranate silver nanoparticles (PPhAgNPs) and watermelon silver nanoparticles (WPPhAgNPs). UV–VIS spectroscopy analysis to estimate the optical property of PPhAgNPs and WPPhAgNPs mixture by Laxco® dual-beam spectrophotometer in the range of 200–700 nm. The size and shape of silver nanoparticles were estimated using JEOL 1010 TEM (Japan). FTIR analysis was used to recognize the various groups of active compounds in pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts involved in the biotransformation and stabilization of AgNPs. The obtained PPhAgNPs and WPPhAgNPs were analyzed by FT-IR spectroscopy (“Bruker Tensor 37, Kaller”, Germany) in the range of 4000 cm⁻¹ to 400 cm⁻¹ using KBr pellets. DLS analysis were carried out by using Nano Zetasizer Malven Hills, UK. The size was estimated based on the Brownian motion of the PPhAgNPs and WPPhAgNPs in suspension. The zeta potential analysis was carried out to determine the surface charge of PPhAgNPs and WPPhAgNPs, that ensure the stability of synthesized nanoparticles.

2.4. Total phenolic compounds in PPhAgNPs, WPPhAgNPs and their substrate

The total polyphenols (TP) was evaluated according to Chen et al. (2015) with some modifications. In brief, 50 μL of each solution at (500 μg/mL) was mixed with 50 μL of diluted Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 50 μL Na₂CO₃ (7.5%) in microtiter plate wells, and incubated at room temperature for 60 min, then the absorbance was measured at 760 nm using microtiter plate reader (BioTek ELx808, USA). The total polyphenols content was presented as mg gallic acid equivalent/mL of solutions.

Total flavonoids content (TF) was estimated as per Chen et al. (2017) with some modifications. 20 μL of each solution at (500 μg/mL) was mixed with 20 μL of sodium nitrite (5%) in micro-
2.5. Phenolic compounds profile in PP, PW, PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs

Phenolic profile was assessed by HPLC Shimadzu series (Shimadzu-prominence-20A, Japan) with separation column (Gemini, C18, 4.6 × 150 mm × 5mm) with 2 ml/min flow rate, and the mobile phase was 0.01% acetic acid in water (A) acetoni-

trie (B). The HPLC pumps, autosampler, column, oven, and diode array system were monitored and controlled, and the chromatographic data were collected using Class VP software (Shimadzu 5.0). The phenolics of PP, PW, PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs were performed by HPLC at 280 nm (Goupy et al., 1999; Hassanin et al., 2020). Flavonoid compounds in samples were estimated at 370 nm (Mattila et al., 2000).

2.6. Biological activity of PPAgNPs, WPAgNPs and their substrate

2.6.1. Antioxidant activity

2.6.1.1. DPPH radical scavenging assay. The radical scavenging activity of PP, WP, PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs at concentration of (500 μg/ml) was estimated according to Bhakya et al. (2016) with some modifications. 100 μL of each solution was mixed with 1 μL of ethanolic DPPH was placed in the wells of microtiter plate and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. the absorbance was measured at 517 nm using microtiter plate reader (Bio-

Tek Elx808, USA) then applied in the equation (2)

\[
\text{Radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Abs. control} - \text{Abs. sample}) \times 100}{\text{Abs. control}}
\]

2.6.1.2. Ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay. The antioxidant activity of PP, WP, PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs was measured by Fe-3 reduction ability to Fe-2 according to Benzie and Strain (1996) with some modifications. 100 μL of PP, WP, PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs (500 μg/ml) was mixed with 100 μL of the fresh prepared FRAP reagent (25 ml phosphate buffer, 2.5 ml PFC solution, and 2.5 ml FeCl3 solution) in microtiter plate. The plate was incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 30 min. Then absorbance of blue colored complex was determined at 595 nm against distilled water blank using microtiter plate reader (BioTek Elx808, USA). FeSO4·7H2O was used for calibration. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control. Results were expressed as mM Fe2+/mg sample.

2.6.2. Antimicrobial activity

Antimicrobial activity of PP, WP, PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs (500 μg/ml) were carried out against different pathogenic bacteria; [Gram-positive bacteria (G+) Bacillus cereus, Listeria monococytes-

tes and Staphylococcus aureus], Gram-negative bacteria (G-) Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumoniae], and yeasts (Candida gelberta, Candida albicans, and Candida apis). The bacterial cultures were grown over night in Muller Hinton broth (MHB) under shaking incubator at 37°C until (1x10⁸ CFU/mL) concentration. The antimicrobial activity of PP, WP, PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs was performed by disc diffusion method (Akl et al., 2020; Ashour et al., 2020). The Muller Hinton plates were inoculated with 100 μL of activated bacterial and Candida isolates by the spread plate method. Previously saturated paper discs (5 mm) with PP, WP, PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs (500 μg/ml) were placed on the surface of MHA plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The inhibition zones around the discs were recorded by ruler (mm). The positive control was Levofloxacin antibiotic.

2.7. Larvicidal activity of plant extracts, silver nitrate and their combinations.

The 1st larval instar of Egyptian leaf worm was fed for 24 h on castor bean leaves treated with leaf dipping technique in solutions of PP and WP (1000, 2000 and 4000 μg/ml), PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs (8.5, 17 and 34 μg/ml) after air drying, whereas control treatments fed on castor bean leaves immerged in water. Each treatment was replicated three times (20 larvae/replicate). After 24 h exposure, the survival larvae were transferred to clean containers, fed on untreated leaves, and kept under observation till the end of each larval stage under constant conditions. The larval mortality was recorded and corrected according to Abbott (1925). The second experimental phase included the treatment of 1st larval instars with combinations of silver nitrate and plant extracts. The PPAgNPs or WPAgNPs (8.5 μg/ml) + 1000 μg/ml (pomegranate and watermelon extract), PPAgNPs or WPAgNPs (17 μg/ml) + 200 μg/ml (pomegranate and watermelon extract) and PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs (34 μg/ml) + 4000 μg/ml (pomegranate and Watermelon extract) at 1:1 mixing ratio were freshly prepared. The larval mor-


tality values were determined as mentioned before. The joint action was determined according to the equation of the co-

toxicity factor given by Mansour et al. (1966) as follows in equa-

\[
\text{Co – toxicity factor} = \frac{\text{Observed effect t (\%) – Expected effect (\%)} \times 100}{\text{Expected effect (\%)}}
\]

A positive factor of 20 or more is considered synergism, a factor of 20 or less means antagonism, and a value between –20 and + 20 is an additive effect expected.

Benz equation (Benz, 1971) was used to calculate the expected mortality in the combined treatment using the observed mortality in the separate treatments and then compared to the observed per-

cent mortality in the combined treatment.

\[
\text{Expected mortality} = \frac{\text{WGA + WGB – WGA/10 × WGB/10}}{\text{WG = Corrected mortality and A&B = Treatments.}}
\]

2.8. Statistical analysis

Regression toxicity lines were established for the pesticides and the slope, LC25, and LC50 values were determined through probit analysis (Finney, 1952). Data statistical analysis was performed using the Biostat 2009 software [version:5.8.4.3, 2010]. Data of the biological studies were analyzed using (ANOVA) test and the means were analyzed using LSD test at (p ≤ 0.05) (Steel and Torrie, 1960).

3. Results

3.1. Green synthesis of PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs from phenolic extracts

Pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts were pale yellow or green but with the addition of silver nitrate solution, their color converted to dark brown within 24 h. The establishment of dark brown color after mixing the extracts with silver ions was a clear indicator of the metal ions reduction and the formation of silver nanoparticles in the mixture. The addition of phenolic extracts to silver nitrate solution at a ratio of (1:100 v/v) achive the best yield of nanoparticles. The transformation of silver nanoparticles
without any precipitations indicate that synthesized nanoparticles have a very small particle size. During our experiments no precipitations was observed in nanoparticle solutions of pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts.

3.2. Characterization of PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs

To confirm the formation and proper stability of silver nanoparticles, their absorption spectrum was read after 24 h in the range of 200–700 nm using a Laxco™ dual-beam spectrophotometer. Results of UV–Vis and TEM analyses presented in (Fig. 1) showed that the aqueous pomegranate and watermelon peels were produced different concentrations of silver nanoparticles. The highest absorbance at 420 nm was 1.5 a.u (absorbance unit) and recorded for PPAgNPs (Fig. 1A), which were synthesized using pomegranate peels extract, absorptions of 1.2 a.u observed at 430 nm for WPAgNPs synthesized by watermelon peels extract (Fig. 1C).

Fig. 1 (B, D) showed the morphological features of PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs, they were investigated via transmission electron microscope. The size of PPAgNPs was ranged from 15 to 70 nm; however, WPAgNPs size was in the range of 20–85 nm. The both silver nanoparticles were spherical. The difference in size mainly depended on the phenolic compounds content in extracts that employed to reduce silver ions, silver nitrate concentration, and temperature conditions.

FTIR spectrum of PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs was shown in Fig. 2 (A,B). The spectrum revealed that, the tested AgNPs were capped with reducing polyphenols in pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts. Eight bands (3436, 2918, 1646, 1542, 1179, 933, 744, and 419 cm⁻¹) were assigned to active groups in PPAgNPs suspension, however five bands (3436, 2918, 1646, 603, and 460 cm⁻¹) found in WPAgNPs FTIR spectrum. The active groups were NH of amide A overlapped with OH and CO of amide I at 3436, 1646 cm⁻¹, respectively. In addition, aliphatic CH appeared at about 2918-cm⁻¹. Furthermore, the CCO appeared at 1179 cm⁻¹. These data strongly revealed the presence of phenolic compounds and protein covering AgNPs which may play a role in the reduction and stabilization of biosynthesized AgNPs.

The DLS results of PPAgNPs were showed single peak in Fig. 3 (A, B). The average size was 61 nm with net negative charge of –25.46 mV; however, Fig. 3 (C, D) showed the average size of WPAgNPs i.e., 75 and net negative charge –21.33 Results indicated that PPAgNPs, which were synthesized using pomegranate peels extract, had smaller size than WPAgNPs.

3.3. Phenolic compounds content in PP, WP, PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs

Table 1 showed the total phenolic and flavonoids content in pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts and silver nanoparticles. FTIR profile indicate the presence of phenolic compounds in nanoparticles suspension. Generally, the phenolic compounds significantly increased in silver nanoparticles about extracts where the total phenolic content in WPAgNPs and PPAgNPs increased with about 20% about PP and WP extract, and 15% increase in flavonoids content. The increase in polyphenols in nanoparticles because the quinoid compound produced due to the oxidation of the phenol group in phenolics can be adsorbed on the surface of nanoparticles increasing the phenolic content and accounting for
their suspension stabilization. On the other hand, Table 2 showed the phenolic profile in PP, WP extracts, PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs. The results in Table 2 were correlated with results in Table 1 where the phenolic content in silver nanoparticles significantly increased with about 10–75% in PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs as compared to PP and WP extract. Quercetin, Gallic acid, Catechin, Cyanidin-3-o-glu, Punicalagin, Ellagic acid were the highest phenolic compounds content in PP extract i.e. (1.10, 5.70, 3.60, 1.50, 2.40, and 0.90 mg/g), and i.e (1.45, 6.22, 4.10, 2.15, 2.98, and 1.74 mg/g) in PPAgNPs. However, Genistein, Ferulic acid, and Kampeferol in medium content and other phenolic compounds in lower content.

3.4. Antioxidant activity of PP, WP, PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs

Fig. 4A showed that PP extract scavenged 65% of DPPH; however, WP extract scavenged 57% of DPPH radical. The scavenging activity of DPPH was significantly increased with 20% in PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs as compared to TBHQ. The same route in Fig. 4B where ferric reducing power increased in silver nanoparticles than extracts with about 25%.

3.5. Antimicrobial activity of PP, WP, PPAgNPs, and WPAgNPs

Table 3 showed the antimicrobial activity of the polyphenolic extraxts (PP and WP) and green silver nanoparticles (PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs). The polyphenolic extracts and silver nanoparticles at 500 μg/mL significantly inhibited the bacterial and Candida growth with inhibition zones diameters in the range of (8–21 mm) as compared to levofloxacin 500 μg/mL with a range of 18–28 mm. The most sensitive G+ and G- bacteria were S. aureus, and K. penumonia; however, L. monocytogensis, and P. aeruginosa were the resistant ones to pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts and silver nanoparticles concentration. On the other hand, C. gelbrta was the most resistant yeast to polyphenolic extracts and silver nanoparticles. The PP extract has the best antimicrobial activity, consequently PPAgNPs because the highest phenolic content.

3.6. Insecticidal application of polyphenolic extracts and green silver nanoparticles

Exposure of the 1st larval instar of Spodoptera littoralis to PP, WP, PPAgNPs and WPAgNPs showed in Table 4. The larvicidal activities
of tested substances against 1st instar larvae are presented in terms of mortality, LC25, LC50, LC90, and slope values in Fig. 5. In all treatments, the mortality rates significantly increased with increasing concentrations. The obtained results revealed that PPAgNPs was the most effective substance against the 1st instar larvae (LC50 = 68.32 mg/ml), followed by WPAgNPs with LC50 = 130.45 mg/ml whereas watermelon peel extract was the least effective substance (LC50 = 73681 mg/ml) however, pomegranate peel extract occupied the middle situation among the compounds (LC50 = 285 2 mg/ml).

The combined effect of PPAgNPs + PP and WPAgNPs + WP enhance their larvicidal activity and increasing mortality causing additive effect and synergism. The synergistic effect showed clearly with the lower combined concentration of PPAgNPs + PP and

Table 1
Total phenolic and flavonoids in extracts and AgNPs (mean ± SD).

| Sample      | Total flavonoids mg/g | Total phenolic mg/g |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| PPWE        | 360 ± 0.9b             | 580 ± 1.2b          |
| WPWE        | 286 ± 0.8d             | 350 ± 1.1d          |
| PPAgNPs     | 420 ± 0.5a             | 701 ± 0.9a          |
| WPAgNPs     | 325 ± 0.9c             | 415 ± 0.5c          |

Pomegranate peel extract (PPWE); watermelon peel extract (WPWE); silver nanoparticles fabricated by pomegranate peel extract (PPAgNPs); silver nanoparticles fabricated by watermelon peel extract (WPAgNPs). Data are means ± SD; Means with different lowercase letters in the same column are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

Table 2
Phenolic compounds content in extracts and silver nanoparticles (mg/g).

| Phenolic compound      | PPWE   | WPWE   | PPAgNPs | WPAgNPs |
|------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Quercetin              | 1.10b  | 0.05d  | 1.45a   | 0.14c   |
| Gallic acid            | 5.70b  | 2.60c  | 6.22a   | 2.88c   |
| Benzoic acid           | 0.09b  | 0.03c  | 0.34a   | 0.12b   |
| Genistein              | 0.47b  | 0.02d  | 0.72a   | 0.11c   |
| Daidezein              | 0.31b  | 0.05d  | 0.56a   | 0.14c   |
| Glycitein              | 0.20b  | 0.04c  | 0.45a   | 0.13b   |
| Ferulic acid           | 0.52b  | 0.15d  | 0.77a   | 0.24c   |
| Catechin               | 3.60b  | 1.50c  | 4.10a   | 1.77c   |
| Vanillic               | 0.04c  | 0.03c  | 0.29a   | 0.12b   |
| 3,4,5-methoxy-cinnamic| 0.45b  | 0.17d  | 0.70a   | 0.26c   |
| Rutin                  | 0.30b  | 0.08d  | 0.55a   | 0.17c   |
| Cyanidin-3-o-glu       | 1.50b  | nd     | 2.15a   | 0.01c   |
| Punicalagin            | 2.40   | nd     | 2.98a   | 0.03    |
| Luteolin-7-glucose     | 0.13b  | 0.08c  | 0.38a   | 0.17b   |
| Apiggin-7-glucose      | 0.16b  | 0.05c  | 0.41a   | 0.14b   |
| P-oh- benzoic          | 0.09b  | 0.06c  | 0.34a   | 0.15b   |
| Chlorogenic            | 0.15b  | 0.09c  | 0.40a   | 0.18b   |
| Syringic               | 0.09b  | nd     | 0.34a   | 0.01c   |
| Ellagic acid           | 0.90b  | 0.75c  | 1.74a   | 0.94b   |
| Rampeferol             | 0.60b  | 0.56b  | 0.85a   | 0.75a   |

Pomegranate peel extract (PPWE); watermelon peel extract (WPWE); silver nanoparticles fabricated by pomegranate peel extracts (PPAgNPs); silver nanoparticles fabricated by watermelon peel extract (WPAgNPs). Means with different lowercase letters in the same row are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).
WPAgNPs + WP while increasing the combined concentration reduced their larvicidal effect and reduced mortality against 1st larval of S. littoralis Egyptian leafworms (Table 5).

4. Discussion

Fruit and vegetables peels and wastes are a valuable source of phytochemicals, and their extracts exhibit considerable antioxidant and antimicrobial activity. Al-Sayed and Ahmed (2013) identified four phenolic compounds in sharlyn melon peels extract namely, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, vanillin, chlorogenic acid, and coumaric acid. Also, the phenolic compounds, 3 hydroxybenzoic, chlorogenic, neochlorogenic, and isovanillic acids, also, apigenin7-glucoside, luteolin-7-o-glucoside, and quercetin-3-galactoside in three melon flesh samples (Ganji et al., 2019), but, Major compounds in pomegranate peels extract were tannins and flavonoids such as; illogic acid, gallic acids, punicalin, and punicalagin (Al-Rawahi et al., 2014). Therefore, these phenolic extracts were employed to synthesize various nanoparticles. Marchiol et al. (2014) used extracts of different organs (leaves, stems and roots) of various plants including Brassica juncea, Festuca rubra and Medicago sativa for synthesis of silver nanoparticles. Extracts of different plant organs indicated different performances during synthesis of nanoparticles. In Brassica juncea and Festuca rubra, root extracts showed a better performance, while, in Medicago sativa, leaf extracts were the best. In this study, the fabrication mechanism of AgNPs by polyphenols in pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts briefed by the higher total phenolics content in pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts that facilitate the reduction of silver ions to nanoscale-sized silver particles. The electron donating ability of the phenolic compounds as they are strong antioxidants with high reducing capacity (Martínez-Castañón et al., 2008). The physical and chemical properties of obtained AgNPs in this study are in agreement with Pirtarighat et al. (2019) who found that silver nanoparticles synthesized by Salvia spinosa extract exhibited surface plasmon resonance found at 450 nm confirmed the formation of AgNPs. Also, Padalia et al. (2015) produced silver nanoparticles by Calendula officinalis extract with size between 10 and 90 nm and they had spherical, hexagonal, and irregular shapes. In addition, Chidambaram et al. (2014) prepared silver nanoparticles from petal extracts of Calendula officinalis with 2–20 nm size ranges. Furthermore, Baghizadeh et al. (2015), reported the synthesis of silver nanoparticles form marigold seed extracts with spherical shape and diameter of 5 to 25 nm. The active groups in AgNPs in our study similar to the same conclusion reported by Balakumaran et al., (2016) and El-Bendary et al. (2020). The negative charge on the nanoparticles size ensure the the stability of the colloidal solutions. The samples of highly positive or negative values (+30 mV or −30 mV) remain stable without aggregation tendency (El-Saadony et al. 2019; Kratošová et al. 2019).

Abdel-Aziz et al. (2014) stated that the total phenolic compounds and total flavonoids were higher in AgNPs-containing Chenopodium murale leaf extract compared to the plant extract. The highest phenolic content in AgNPs and waste extracts is attributed to their antioxidant and reducing activity. Adoni et al. (2020) found that green AgNPs synthesized by Artemisia annua leaf extract scavenged 84.99% of DPPH however; the scavenging activity of green AgNPs synthesized by Artemisia annua leaf extract was detected to be 73.04% at 500 μg/mL.

Similar results obtained by Adoni et al. (2020) who found that silver nanoparticles synthesized by Artemisia annua extract showed moderate inhibitory activity against E. coli, B. subtilis, S. aureus, and K. pneumoniae as compared to levofloxacin. Also,

Table 3

| Sample  | Microorganisms | Bacteria |  
|---------|----------------|----------|  
|         |                | G+       |  
|         |                | B. cereus| S. aureus| L. monocytogenes |  
|         |                | E. coli | K. pneumonia | P. aeruginosa |  
|         |                | G-       |  
|         |                | C. elipta | C. apis | C. albicans |  
| PPWE    | 15 ± 0.1d      | 18 ± 0.2d | 14 ± 0.6d | 9 ± 0.8d | 11 ± 0.7d | 8 ± 0.2d | 8 ± 0.2d | 10 ± 0.1d | 12 ± 0.2d |  
| WPWE    | 12 ± 0.2e      | 15 ± 0.3e | 11 ± 0.1e | – | 8 ± 0.1e | – | – | – | 9 ± 0.0e |  
| PPAGNPs | 22 ± 0.5b      | 25 ± 0.7b | 21 ± 0.2b | 17 ± 0.3b | 18 ± 0.2b | 15 ± 0.2b | 16 ± 0.5b | 17 ± 0.5b | 19 ± 0.1b |  
| WPAGNPs | 18 ± 0.6c      | 21 ± 0.1c | 17 ± 0.9c | 13 ± 0.5c | 14 ± 0.3c | 11 ± 0.3c | 12 ± 0.2c | 13 ± 0.4c | 15 ± 0.9c |  
| Levofloxacin | 25 ± 0.1a | 28 ± 0.5a | 24 ± 0.1a | 20 ± 0.7a | 21 ± 0.4a | 18 ± 0.5a | 19 ± 0.5a | 20 ± 0.2a | 22 ± 0.3a |  

Pomegranate peels water extract (PPWE); watermelon peels water extract (WPWE); silver nanoparticles fabricated by pomegranate peels extract (PPAgNPs); silver nanoparticles fabricated by watermelon peels extract (WPAgNPs). Data are means ± SD; Means with different small letters in the same column are significantly different (p < 0.05).
Phongtongpasuk et al. (2016) reported that eco-friendly AgNPs fabricated by dragon fruit peels extract have antibacterial activity against *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. El-Bendary et al. (2020) found that silver nanoparticles have good performance against *Candida* species. Watermelon rind extracts has mosquitocidal and antimicrobial properties. Watermelon extract showed potency against *Culex quinquefasciatus* (LC50 = 51.31 mg/ml) and lower effective on larvae of *Aedes aegypti* (LC50 = 73681 mg/ml) (Aruna et al., 2014). Watermelon outer peel consists of various bioactive components, including citrulline and carotenoids (Quek et al., 2007; Mort et al., 2008). Many parts of pomegranate e.g. bark, leaves, fruit, and fruit peel have antimicrobial and antifungal (Al-Zoreky, 2009), molluscicidal (Tripathi and Singh, 2000) and insecticidal effect (Gandhi and Pillai, 2011). The insecticidal effect against larvae of the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* treated with aqueous pomegranate fruit peel extracts using topical application and ingestion treatment (10 and 26% mortality) with anti-feeding effects (53.26%) (Hamouda et al., 2014). The bioactivity of pomegranate peels extracts related to considerable levels of phenolic compounds (Jung, 2015) which are produced during cell metabolism as defense and signaling compounds as well as protecting the plant from various distress conditions besides their role relieve stresses against bacterial and fungal diseases (Devi et al., 2011). In addition, they may act as a potential insecticide (Ghaly et al., 2014). Flavanoids are also known to modulate the feeding and oviposition behavior of insects (Golawska and Łukasik, 2012). Flavanone naringine and the two flavanols, quercetin dehydrate and rutin hydrate prove aphicidal effect increase nymph’s mortality with raising the concentrations (Ateyyat et al., 2012; Gupta et al., 2017). Also, peel extracts pomegranate disrupting development and causing deformities involved in vital activities like feeding, walking, or flying, making the insect vulnerable to several sorts of mortality agents and preventing them from causing damage to the crop (Hamouda et al., 2014). The phytochemical constituents present in petroleum ether extract of *Punica granatum* peel were phenols and saponins caused
mortality against third instar larvae of Culex pipiens (LC50 = 95.66 32 μg/ml) (Farag et al., 2018) beside tannins (Koide et al., 1998).

The synergism mechanism may be due to plant aqueous synthesized AgNPs with insecticidal potentiation (Gul et al., 2016). AgNPs are more reactive because of their increased surface to volume ratio and excess of the phenolic compounds that attached to nanoparticles surface (Vani and Brindhaha, 2013; Di Ilio and Cristofaro, 2021). Besides they can absorbed easily into the cuticular lipids causing physical damage (Barik et al., 2008) and causing partial lysis of the midgut epithelial cells; vesicles and damaged membranes at the apical side of epithelial cells (Foldbjerg et al., 2015; Sultana et al., 2018). While the permeable free silver ions can induce the oxidative stress and detoxification genes (Nair et al., 2013). Another proposed mechanism for AgNPs could be due to the denaturation of proteins containing the sulfur or phosphorous compounds like DNA that drove to the denaturation of enzymes and organelles (Choi et al., 2008; Sondi and Salopek-Sondi, 2004) causing stop ATP synthesis.

5. Conclusions

Agricultural wastes are rich in polyphenols. In this study pomegranate and watermelon peels extracts were employed to synthesize green silver nanoparticles with considerable antioxidant and antimicrobial activity because of higher content of phenolic compounds. The obtained PPAGNPs proved the insecticidal effectiveness against the first instar of Egyptian cotton leafworm with synergistic effect when combined with the tested extracts (pomegranate and watermelon) especially at lower concentration. Increasing the concentrations of combination lowering potency causing additive effect.
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