The phosphatase PRL-3 affects intestinal homeostasis by altering the crypt cell composition
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Abstract
Expression of the phosphatase of regenerating liver-3 (PRL-3) is known to promote tumor growth in gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas, and the incidence of tumor formation upon inflammatory events correlates with PRL-3 levels in mouse models. These carcinomas and their onset are associated with the impairment of intestinal cell homeostasis, which is regulated by a balanced number of Paneth cells and Lgr5 expressing intestinal stem cells (Lgr5+ ISCs). Nevertheless, the consequences of PRL-3 overexpression on cellular homeostasis and ISC fitness in vivo are unexplored. Here, we employ a doxycycline-inducible PRL-3 mouse strain to show that aberrant PRL-3 expression within a non-cancerous background leads to the death of Lgr5+ ISCs and to Paneth cell expansion. A higher dose of PRL-3, resulting from homozygous expression, led to mice dying early. A primary 3D intestinal culture model obtained from these mice confirmed the loss of Lgr5+ ISCs upon PRL-3 expression. The impaired intestinal organoid formation was rescued by a PRL inhibitor, providing a functional link to the observed phenotypes. These results demonstrate that elevated PRL-3 phosphatase activity in healthy intestinal epithelium impairs intestinal cell homeostasis, which correlates this cellular mechanism of tumor onset with PRL-3-mediated higher susceptibility to tumor formation upon inflammatory or mutational events.

Key messages
• Transgenic mice homozygous for PRL-3 overexpression die early.
• PRL-3 heterozygous mice display disrupted intestinal self-renewal capacity.
• PRL-3 overexpression alone does not induce tumorigenesis in the mouse intestine.
• PRL-3 activity leads to the death of Lgr5+ ISCs and Paneth cell expansion.
• Impairment of cell homeostasis correlates PRL-3 action with tumor onset mechanisms.
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**Introduction**

PRL-3 (PTP4A3) belongs to the subfamily of the PRL proteins comprising three members (PRL-1, PRL-2, and PRL-3). While PRL-1 and PRL-2 are expressed in healthy human adult tissue, PRL-3 is barely found, but it is highly expressed in primary tumors of different origin and metastases, correlating with poor outcome [1–3]. PRL-3 activity was shown to promote tumor growth and metastasis formation in orthotopic mouse tumor models [4, 5] and also cell migration, invasion, and epithelial architecture disruption [1, 3, 6–10]. A PTP4A3 knockout mouse model was shown to be grossly normal, supporting non-essential roles for PRL-3 in healthy tissue [11]. These mice had a 50% reduced incidence of colon cancer formation when triggered by inflammation through the chemotoxins azoxymethane (AOM) and dextran sodium sulfate (DSS), reflecting a potential role for PRL-3 in cancer initiation [11]. In line with this study, a gain-of-function PRL-3 transgenic (TG) mouse model showed higher incidence of colon malignancy upon DSS treatment [12]. Nevertheless, within the 8 weeks of PRL-3 overexpression in this mouse model without DSS treatment, no cancer development was observed [12]. Whether there are cellular consequences of PRL-3 expression in vivo that could potentially prime the intestinal tissue for cancer initiation, as suggested by the results from the DSS-induced inflammation, and whether the expression of PRL-3 alone over a longer period of time leads to cancer development have not yet been addressed. Thus, it is critical to further evaluate the consequence of PRL-3 expression in a gain-of-function mouse model system.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) has been associated with the malignant transformation of intestinal stem cells (ISCs) and ISC homeostasis failure [13]. The proliferation of ISCs is controlled through Wnt signaling [14–18]. The receptor of R-spondin Lgr5 is an agonist of Wnt signaling [19, 20] and a crypt SC marker [21]. Wnt ligands are produced in the crypts by the epithelial Paneth cells [19], which also require a strong Wnt signal for their differentiation [14, 22], and the mesenchyme, which also produces R-spondin [23]. In the adult intestinal epithelium, ISCs are also important for intestinal cell homeostasis and for regeneration after a lesion [24, 25]. In order to accomplish these functions, they replace each other in a stochastic fashion in order to keep a fixed number of ISCs in the crypt. As a consequence of this model, ISCs are not long-lived SCs, and, moreover, the progeny of a single SC is able to displace all other SCs from the niche [26, 27]. It was hypothesized that cells that have acquired a mutation would be likely replaced by their neighboring wt ISCs to avoid the fixation of the mutation. Accordingly, in a cancer background, the reduction of Lgr5+ ISCs per crypt facilitated the rapid fixation of Apc tumor suppressor-deficient ISCs in the crypts resulting in an accelerated tumorigenesis [28]. Dysfunctional or reduced crypt homeostasis has been accompanied by a decrease in ISC numbers and Paneth cell expansion. This phenomenon has been associated with inflammatory bowel disease, with the administration of the methotrexate rheumatoid arthritis treatment to rats, after intestinal resection, and with the response to mucosal damage as well as to doxorubicin [14, 29–34]. Considering that (i) inflammatory events affect crypt cell homeostasis, that (ii) reduced renewal capacity of the intestine contributes to cancer formation, and that (iii) PRL-3 expression correlates with tumor formation upon inflammatory events in the intestine, we aim here to answer whether PRL-3 expression has an influence on crypt homeostasis. To this end, we generate a doxycycline (dox)-inducible PRL-3 overexpressing mouse line to investigate the consequences of PRL-3 overexpression on cellular homeostasis and ISC fitness in vivo and to answer whether PRL-3 overexpression by itself causes tumor formation in this system over a longer period of time.

**Results**

**Homozygous PRL-3 transgenic overexpressing mice have a short lifespan**

To assess the physiological consequences of PRL-3 overexpression in vivo, we generated C57BL/6J PRL-3 TG dox-inducible mice. Unlike the previously reported model [12], we used a *hemagglutinin* (HA) tagged human *Ptp4a3* cDNA and introduced it in the collagen type 1 (*Cola1*) locus avoiding random transgenesis [35]. In this system, the embryonic stem
(ES) cells used have been previously engineered to express a tetracycline-inducible rtTA transactivator driven by the endogenous Rosa 26 promoter (R26-rtTA) to achieve ubiquitous expression (Fig s1A). Western blot analysis of different
ES cell clones confirmed the expression of HA-PRL-3 upon dox addition (Fig s1B and Supp. Material 1). Two HA-PRL-3 independent transgenic lines were obtained and characterized—FA1 and FB1 (“Methods” section).

Upon 15 days of dox treatment, PRL-3 mRNA was detected in all the tested organs derived from bi-transgenic R26-rtTA and HA-PRL-3 heterozygous (het) mice (Fig s1C). Moreover, PRL-3 overexpression was strongly detected in colon, small intestine (SI), spleen, and skin by western blotting in a time-dependent manner, but not in all other organs tested (Fig. 1a, Fig s1D and Supp. Material 1). Mice containing only one transgene, either HA-PRL-3 or R26-rtTA, were used as controls. Immunohistochemical staining validated PRL-3 expression in those organs and also found small populations of cells expressing PRL-3 in the kidney, lung, and heart (Fig. 1b).

Heterozygous bi-transgenic HA-PRL-3 het/R26-rtTA het mice did not exhibit evident developmental defects, structural abnormalities, lifespan variation, differences in body as well as organ weight, or tumor development after 12 months of dox treatment neither in FA1 (Fig. 1c, d and Fig s1E, F) nor in FB1 (Fig s1G, H, I) compared to the HA-PRL-3 wt/R26-rtTA het control mice. Given the identical results for both transgenic lines, the following studies were carried forward using the FA1 transgenic line. Interestingly, the HA-PRL-3 insertion in homozygosis (HA-PRL-3 homo) led to a shorter lifespan (15–30 days) compared to HA-PRL-3 het and control mice upon dox treatment (Fig. 1c). Nevertheless, the lifespan was the same for all three genotypes (PRL-3 wt, het, and homo) in the absence of dox (Fig s1K) confirming that the observed phenotype is due to PRL-3 expression and not due to any alteration in the ColA1 expression. In addition, this short lifespan observed in HA-PRL-3 homo mice correlated with a striking decrease in their body weight (Fig. 1e).

**HA-PRL-3 aberrant expression leads to a decrease of Lgr5+ ISCs and an increase of Paneth cell counts in the intestinal crypts**

The epithelium of the SI is a highly proliferative tissue maintained by Paneth cells and Lgr5+ ISCs located in the crypts. A defect of Paneth and ISC homeostasis has been associated with more sensitiveness to tumor development through easier fixation of sporadic cancer ISC transformations [28]. Because the SI mimics the physiology of the colon and it is easier to handle with respect to ex vivo 3D cell culture systems, for a better comparison of the in vivo and following in vitro experiments, we focused our attention here on the SI tissue. Thus, we tested whether PRL-3 overexpression interferes in the self-renewal ability of intestinal tissue by analyzing cell proliferation. Intestinal tissue samples derived from control HA-PRL-3 het or HA-PRL-3 homo mice treated up to 7 days with dox (due to the short lifespan of HA-PRL-3 homo mice) were stained with the KI67 proliferation marker. As expected, no proliferation was observed in the villi of any of the mice (Fig. 2a). However, a dramatic decrease in the number of KI67+ cells was observed in the intestinal crypts derived from HA-PRL-3 homo mice compared to controls, while no significant differences were seen between HA-PRL-3 het and controls (Fig. 2a, b). In light of this result, we hypothesized that HA-PRL-3 overexpression could affect specifically the ISCs, which sustain intestinal cell proliferation and tissue self-renewal, in a dose-dependent manner.

To gain further insights, HA-PRL-3 TG mice were crossed with Lgr5-GFP-ires-CreERT2 knock-in mice [21] to obtain HA-PRL-3/R26-rtTA/Lgr5-GFP-ires-CreERT2 (named HA-PRL-3/Lgr5-GFP) mice in order to tag the Lgr5+ ISCs with GFP. After dox treatment, intestinal biopsies derived from this new mouse strain were stained for immunofluorescence against HA (for HA-PRL-3 detection) and GFP (for Lgr5-GFP detection), and the nuclei were stained with  

The statistics are as follows: d) PRL-3 het vs PRL-3 homo, PRL-3 het vs control ns and **PRL-3 homo vs control. Progenitors and Paneth cells 2 days on dox: ns. ISCs 7 days on dox: PRL-3 het vs PRL-3 homo: ns, *PRL-3 het vs control, **PRL-3 homo vs control. Progenitors 7 days on dox: ns between PRL-3 homo, het and control. Paneth cells 7 days on dox: ***PRL-3 het vs control, **PRL-3 homo vs control, PRL-3 het vs PRL-3 homo ns. e) 3 months on dox PRL-3 het vs control: ISCs ns, progenitors * and Paneth cells **. 12 months on dox PRL-3 het vs control: ISCs, progenitors and Paneth cells ns. Bars represent mean ± s.d. of four biological replicates. *P≤0.1, **P≤0.01, ***P≤0.001; ordinary two-tailed one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Frequencies of sorted ISC Lgr5-GFP high, progenitors Lgr5-GFP low, and Paneth cells Lgr5-GFP−/CD24 high/Sidescatter high from the entire small intestine of control/Lgr5-GFP, HA-PRL-3 het/Lgr5-GFP and HA-PRL-3 homo/Lgr5-GFP mice, treated with dox 0, 2, or 7 days (d) and 3 or 12 months (e). The statistics are as follows: d) ISCs 2 days on dox: * PRL-3 het vs PRL-3 homo, PRL-3 het vs control ns and **PRL-3 homo vs control. Progenitors and Paneth cells 2 days on dox: ns. ISCs 7 days on dox: PRL-3 het vs PRL-3 homo: ns, * PRL-3 het vs control, **PRL-3 homo vs control. Progenitors 7 days on dox: ns between PRL-3 homo, het and control. Paneth cells 7 days on dox: ***PRL-3 het vs control, **PRL-3 homo vs control, PRL-3 het vs PRL-3 homo ns. e) 3 months on dox PRL-3 het vs control: ISCs ns, progenitors * and Paneth cells **. 12 months on dox PRL-3 het vs control: ISCs, progenitors and Paneth cells ns. Bars represent mean ± s.d. of four biological replicates. *P≤0.1, **P≤0.01, ***P≤0.001; ordinary two-tailed one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Representative images of KI67 staining of small intestine biopsies from the mice used in (e), upper panel 3 months dox treated mice, middle panel samples from 12 months dox treated mice and lower panel HA staining for PRL-3 detection in mice after 12 months on dox food. g) Percentage of KI67 positive cells (relative to total cells counted) in the intestinal crypts in the different conditions represented in (f). 1000 cells were analyzed per tissue sample. Values represent mean ± s.d. of three biological replicates. Statistics: P≤0.1 t-test, compared to control mice.
Hoechst. HA-PRL-3 homo/Lgr5-GFP showed less Lgr5-GFP signal compared to intestinal tissue derived from HA-PRL-3 wt and het mice (Fig 2c), suggesting that PRL-3 overexpression leads into the reduction of ISC numbers. This observation was quantified by flow cytometry (FC) where the amount of Lgr5+ ISCs derived from the HA-PRL-3 het/Lgr5-GFP was already significantly decreased upon dox treatment compared to those derived from HA-PRL-3 wt/Lgr5-GFP control mice (Fig. 2d). Interestingly, when HA-PRL-3 was present in homozygosis, the Lgr5+ ISCs were almost all depleted, suggesting that PRL-3 impairs ISC numbers in a dose-dependent manner and, consequently, explaining the reduced lifespan of the homozygous mice. To this end, we confirmed the higher expression of HA-PRL-3 in homozygous compared to heterozygous mice in SI tissue by western blot (Fig S2A, B and Supp. Material 1). Previously, van Es et al. showed that the decrease of Lgr5+ ISCs in intestinal crypts was linked to an increase of Paneth cells [22]. In line with this, we also observed that the decrease in Lgr5+ ISC cells upon PRL-3 overexpression correlated with increased number of Paneth cells after 7 days of dox treatment (Fig. 2d).

Next, we investigated the long-term consequences of PRL-3 overexpression in the intestinal epithelium. We measured the different crypt cell populations by FC in intestine samples.
from mice treated for 3 or 12 months with dox. HA-PRL-3 homo mice were not possible to evaluate under these conditions due to their short lifespan. Keeping the tendency observed at 7 days on dox, the number of Paneth cells was increased in HA-PRL-3 het/Lgr5-GFP mice after 3 months, while ISCs kept the same proportion as after 7 days on dox (Fig. 2c). We hypothesized that the Paneth cell numbers were increased in order to compensate for the mild decrease in ISC numbers observed in the HA-PRL-3 het mice, taking over their role as proliferative cells early on. After 12 months on dox, the number of ISCs in HA-PRL-3 het/Lgr5-GFP mice was constant compared to the number after 3 months and again lower than for the control mice. The Paneth cell number was reduced in HA-PRL-3 het compared to earlier time points but still slightly higher than in the control population. At this time point, the organism might have adapted to the lower ISC numbers, reducing the number of Paneth cells compared to earlier time points. This could explain why the heterozygous mice survived longer than the homozygous, where the ISCs were almost depleted already at 7 days on dox, not giving the Paneth cells enough time for the compensation. In line with the Paneth cells early taking over the proliferative role and the later organismal adaptation, no differences were found in the proportion of proliferative cells (Ki67+) in intestinal biopsies derived from the mice used in Fig. 2e by Ki67 immunohistochemical staining (Fig. 2f; see Fig. S2C, D for colon tissue confirming a similar phenotype), even though HA-PRL-3 expression was confirmed after 12 months of dox treatment (Fig. 2f).

Altogether, this shows that HA-PRL-3 overexpression in heterozygosis in vivo led to a decrease of Lgr5+ ISCs, which was likely compensated by increasing the Paneth cell numbers to maintain intestinal cell homeostasis and stemness. The phenotype observed in the intestinal epithelium is PRL-3 dose-dependent since homozygous HA-PRL-3 mice have completely lost all the Lgr5+ ISCs, likely leading to the early death of these mice.

**PRL-3 overexpression leads to aberrant intestinal organoid formation**

To better understand the consequences of high PRL-3 levels in vivo, we used the capacity of ISC-containing epithelial crypts to form clonogenic organoids [36, 37] as an in vitro assay of intestinal self-renewal potential. To this end, isolated crypts from untreated HA-PRL-3 het/R26-rtTA mice were cultured embedded in extracellular matrix (see “Methods”), and PRL-3 expression was then induced in vitro at two different time points: at the moment of the cell seeding (early induction) in order to analyze the effect of HA-PRL-3 overexpression in tissue development and 2 days after seeding (late induction) when the organoids are already formed, to mimic the overexpression of PRL-3 in an adult intestine.

Interestingly, organoids failed to form when PRL-3 overexpression was early induced (Fig. 3a, b), implicating that PRL-3 expression is toxic for Lgr5+ SCs, which are the basis for the development of intestinal organoids [37]. Furthermore, when PRL-3 expression was induced 2 days after seeding of the organoids (late induction), they were transformed into aberrant intestinal organoids lacking the crypt-like domain (branches) where ISCs reside (Fig. 3a right panel and Fig. 3c). This phenotype was the same in organoids derived from the SI of homo HA-PRL-3 expressing mice and was also identical in organoids derived from colon of HA-PRL homo and het mice (Fig S3A, B). Furthermore, we confirmed by western blot that under these conditions, HA-PRL-3 was expressed in organoids derived from SI and colon, with higher expression in HA-PRL-3 homo organoids (Fig S3C-F and Supp. Material 1). These results reflect the strong phenotype of HA-PRL-3 homozygous expression in vivo.

Subsequently, we investigated whether the observed phenotype in the intestinal organoids upon PRL-3 overexpression was due to its phosphatase activity. With this aim, PRL-3 overexpressing organoids were cultured in presence of analog β (A3), a PRL inhibitor that was shown to be active and non-toxic in 3D cell cultures of laboratory cell lines [9, 38]. The
inhibitor was added at the same time as dox to inhibit PRL activity in parallel to PRL-3 overexpression in order to avoid residual phosphatase activity. As expected from the results in Fig. 3a–c, DMSO-treated organoids failed to form when HA-PRL-3 expression was early induced with dox and showed a round shape when HA-PRL-3 expression was induced after 2 days of seeding (late induction) (Fig. 3d–f). However, when these organoids were treated together with A3, the percentage of formed organoids at early HA-PRL-3 induction increased (Fig. 3d, e), as did the percentage of organoids with branches for the late induction (Fig. 3d, f). A3 treatment alone in uninduced organoids appeared to be mildly cytotoxic in early induced (Fig. 3e) but not in late induced (Fig. 3f) organoids. Together, these results confirmed that the observed phenotype depended on PRL-3 phosphatase activity.

This characteristic phenotype can be due to a morphological transformation of the epithelial structure or due to the death of the Lgr5+ ISCs (located in the branches). In order to discern between these two possibilities, the area of these cultures after late induction was monitored over 12 days. As shown in Fig. 3g, h, PRL-3 overexpressing organoids (HA-PRL-3 het/R26-rtTA het) were not able to grow further upon dox treatment compared to the never induced or the HA-PRL-3 wt/R26-rtTA het control organoids treated or not with dox, suggesting that PRL-3 overexpression provoked organoid death. This result was validated by a cell viability propidium iodide (PI) assay where HA-PRL-3 overexpressing intestinal organoids showed less live cells compared to controls where PRL-3 was not expressed (Fig S4A). Taken together, these results correlate with the failure in organoid formation when HA-PRL-3 overexpression was induced at an earlier stage.

**PRL-3 overexpression leads to the death of ISCs**

To further verify that PRL-3 overexpression triggers the death of the ISCs selectively, crypts from HA-PRL-3/Lgr5-GFP mice were isolated and cultured in 3D for 2 days without the induction of PRL-3 expression leading to fully developed organoids. Then, HA-PRL-3 overexpression was induced for the next 2 days (late induction). These organoids showed the same round-shaped phenotype that was previously observed for derived intestinal organoids of the bi-transgenic mice (Fig. 3). Indeed, a strong decrease in Lgr5+ cells was observed (Fig. 4a, b), confirming that PRL-3 overexpression is also toxic for the ISCs in vitro. Moreover, the decrease observed in Lgr5+ cells correlates with a qualitative decrease of proliferative (Ki67+) cells (Fig. 4a), which can explain the failure in organoid development after PRL-3 expression. This very strong and fast effect in vitro suggests that like in HA-PRL-3 homo mice in vivo, Paneth cells were not able to compensate for the reduction of ISCs.

Since some proliferative cells were detected in all organoids expressing PRL-3 (Fig. 4a and Fig S4B), we wondered whether any organoid could escape from the PRL-3-dependent toxicity, surviving and still being able to proliferate. To address this question, first a dox wash out experiment was carried out. Organoids derived from bi-transgenic mice (HA-PRL-3 het/R26-rtTA het) were grown for 2 days, and then PRL-3 overexpression was induced for 2 more days (late induction) by the addition of dox. As control the same organoids without dox treatment were used (never induced). The organoids expressing PRL-3 showed the round-shaped phenotype previously observed. Then these organoids were grown in dox-free media for 2 more days. Although dox was removed from the media, no rescue was observed (Fig S4 C, D), and the organoids showed the round-shaped phenotype confirming that the ISCs in the PRL-3 overexpressing organoids were compromised and had lost the ability to develop again the crypt-like domain. Second, a reseeding experiment was carried out. Crypts derived from HA-PRL-3 wt/R26-rtTA het, control, or HA-PRL-3 het/R26-rtTA het organoids treated or not with dox were trypanized to single cells and seeded again in 3D (second seeding). Contrary to the control, the organoids derived from the PRL-3 overexpressing aberrant round-shaped organoids were not able to build new 3D structures in the second seeding, not even in the absence of dox (Fig. 4c, d), demonstrating that the primary organoids have lost their stemness capacity.

**Discussion**

Expression and action of PRL-3 phosphatase are associated with malignant behavior in tumor progression and metastasis. Here, we generated an inducible PRL-3 transgenic mouse model to conditionally overexpress human PRL-3 to mimic conditions observed in patients as close as possible. This new model has the advantage of introducing one single copy of the transgene in the colo1A1 locus, avoiding random integration [35]. Although PRL-3 mRNA was found in all the tissues tested, PRL-3 protein expression was only detected in a subset of tissues, confirming previous results observed for the pTET-on-pTRE2-Ptp4a3 TG mice [12]. This phenomenon is likely due to the post-transcriptional regulation of PRL-3 protein, for which a number of studies have described possible mechanisms [39].

PRL-3 heterozygous overexpression was not sufficient to induce tumor development in mice even after 12 months of induction. However, we show that its expression reduces the population of Lgr5+ ISCs. This phenomenon has been previously associated with a more rapid fixation of Apc-defective ISCs within the intestinal crypt leading to an accelerated tumorigenesis [28]. Therefore, these observations suggest that PRL-3 could facilitate tumor initiation and development in the long run, upon additional—possibly environmental—hits. Accordingly, PRL-3 KO mice treated with AOM combined
with DSS exhibited less tumors compared to wt mice [11], and Lian et al. showed that PRL-3 overexpressing TG mice developed more tumors compared to wt mice under DSS treatment [12]. Since DSS is a pro-inflammatory treatment, it can be presumed that PRL-3 could be involved in inflammation-related colon malignancy. Consistently, we found that the amount of Paneth cells increases in intestinal crypts upon PRL-3 overexpression, which has been associated with inflammatory bowel disease developed after intestinal injury [29–33]. These data together suggest that PRL-3 expression, by itself, is not sufficient to develop tumors in this system but that it primes the intestinal tissue for cancer initiation.

When comparing HA-PRL-3 heterozygous mice (on dox) to control mice, no difference in body weight was observed. However, in contrast, HA-PRL-3 homozygous mice showed a dramatic reduction in body weight after 2 weeks of dox treatment together with a short lifespan, indicative of a heavily compromised gut function, which we observed in histological and IF staining as well as by flow cytometric quantification of the crypt composition (Fig. 2a–d). These observations are likely due to the ablation of the ISCs after 7 days of induction in HA-PRL-3 homozygous mice compared to a milder reduction of the population of these Lgr5+ cells observed in the heterozygous mice. Nevertheless, although our data points

**Fig. 4** Overexpression of PRL-3 leads to the death of ISCs. 

| (a) | Representative confocal microscopy images of IF in small intestinal organoids derived from HA-PRL-3 wt/Lgr5-GFP (control) or HA-PRL-3 het/Lgr5-GFP mice never induced or late induced with dox. IF with HA (red) and KI67 proliferation marker (magenta) antibodies. GFP (green) native marks the Lgr5 positive cells. Scale bar: 100 μm. | (b) | Percentage of Lgr5-GFP positive organoids observed in (a). |
| (c) | a, d Representative bright field inverted microscopy images of the small intestinal organoids derived from control or HA-PRL-3 het mice late induced or without dox (never induced). After 4 days on dox the cultures were split and reseeded in new fresh Matrigel, then treated or not with 1 μg/mL dox for 5 more days. Scale bars: 100 μm. | (d) | Representation of the number of secondary organoids per well, obtained after the reseeding. On the x-axis the genotypes for the primary derived organoids are represented and +/- corresponds to the dox treatment of the secondary organoids. |
toward the early death of homozygous mice being due to the compromised gut function, we cannot exclude that other PRL-3-induced organ defects could contribute to the early death. Taken together, these results suggest a decrease of Lgr5+ ISCs in a PRL-3 dose-dependent manner.

The decrease in Lgr5+ ISCs observed in the present study correlated with an increase in Paneth cell counts, in line with previous reports where the damage of the ISCs led to the expansion of Paneth cell numbers [40]. This Paneth cell increase could be explained by their role in niche signaling maintenance [14, 40]. In fact, Paneth cells also have been suggested to maintain the stemness capacity of intestinal tissue when ISCs were reduced [14, 41]. Nevertheless, the dramatic loss of Lgr5+ cells in HA-PRL-3 homo mice may be too fast to differentiate in order to increase the Paneth cell population as much as in the HA-PRL-3 het mice. Therefore, these mice would not have enough Paneth cells to support the lack of Lgr5+ ISCs explaining their early death. In line with this hypothesis, a decrease in Ki67+ proliferative cells was also detected in homozygous mice compared to control or heterozygous mice already after 2 days on dox. Thus, the lack of cell proliferation and, as a consequence, disruption of intestine cell homeostasis can explain the early death of the homozygous mice.

The sensitivity of Lgr5+ ISCs to PRL-3 overexpression was also confirmed in vitro. Crypts derived from HA-PRL-3 het/R26-rtTA het mice failed to form organoids at early induction and lacked the crypt domain at late induction of PRL-3 expression. This strong phenotype prevented us from studying differences between the organoids derived from the homozygous and heterozygous PRL-3 expressing mice, as the former showed the same phenotype. The complete depletion of ISCs in the PRL-3 heterozygous organoids was much stronger than the mild reduction of Lgr5+ ISC counts observed in vivo. This discrepancy could be due to a more complex in vivo intestine cell homeostasis regulation that could counterbalance the toxic effect of PRL-3 on the intestinal crypts [24, 25].

Mechanistically, PRL-3 is known for its pleiotropic effects [1, 10, 12], and therefore it is highly challenging to elucidate which particular PRL-3-mediated processes cause ISC toxicity. In addition, the severe impact of PRL-3 expression on organoid formation and the resulting very short life of these cultures makes the use of intestinal organoids to study the molecular mechanisms of PRL-3 technically not feasible. Thus, future in vivo studies will have to shed light on the mechanisms involved.

In summary, we provide here insights into the cellular consequences of PRL-3 expression in intestinal tissue in vivo. Our data indicate that PRL-3 expression limits the self-renewal capacity of the intestine by leading to the death of ISCs. This reduction can in turn lessen the ability of the intestine to heal itself, making it more prone to inflammation and cancer initiation [28–33], thus suggesting PRL-3 as a new therapeutic opportunity for cancer prevention by inhibiting it at the stage of (chronic) inflammation.

Methods

Plasmids

Human PRL-3 cDNA was amplified with the following primers including HA in the forward and EcoRI restriction site in both primers: Forward: CCCTGATATCCACCTTGTGTTACCGTCTCGGATGAACCGCCGGGCCCCGGTGG and reverse: GCGTAATTTCTACATAACGCACGCACGCCGGGTCTTTGTG

HA-PRL-3 was cloned with EcoRI into the pgk-ATG-frt-SAdpA-tetO vector (pBS31).

Generation of HA-PRL-3/R26-rtTA transgenic mice

Fifty micrograms of a plasmid containing the HA-PRL-3 human cDNA under the control of the doxycycline (dox) responsive promoter (tetO) (see plasmids) was inserted downstream of the Col1A1 locus (Fig s1A) by co-electroporation with 25 μg of a Flpe recombinase containing vector into KH2-ES cells [35]. After ES cell electroporation, three positive clones were able to grow in presence of 150 μg/mL hygromycin. These clones (A1, B1, and B2) were expanded, and HA-PRL-3 protein expression was checked by western blot after dox treatment with anti HA mouse primary antibody (Roche) (Fig s1B and Sup1). Subsequently, clones A1 and B1 were independently microinjected into blastocysts fertilized C57BL/6J embryos. Thus, two bi-transgenic (HA-PRL-3 het/R26-rtTA het) mice from these two independent litters were used to expand the two TG mouse colonies separately, corresponding to founders A1 and B1. In order to discard possible random transgenesis, the phenotype of each mouse colony was independently analyzed, and the same phenotype was observed in both.

Animal husbandry and genotyping

HA-PRL-3/R26-rtTA and Lgr5-EGFP-IRES-CreERT2 [21] mice were kept in pathogen-free housing at EMBL-Rome and EMBL-Heidelberg, with ethical approval from the corresponding Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Bodies and national and European legislations. Mice with different combinations of the HA-PRL-3 and R26-rtTA alleles were fed with 625 ppm doxycycline-impregnated food in order to achieve ubiquitous transgene expression and evaluate HA-PRL-3 expression tolerance. Tail DNA for genotyping was obtained via...
incubation in 200 μL 0.05M NaOH at 98 °C for 1.5 h and subsequent neutralization with 20 μL 1M Tris HCl pH 7.5.

Mice were genotyped using DreamTag DNA polymerase from Thermo Fisher (EP0701) with the following primers (5′-3′) and PCR programs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R26-rTA: } & \text{ AAAATCGTCTGAGTTGTAT} \quad \text{GAGCCGGAGAAATGGAATG} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{GCGAAGATTTGTCTCCAACC} \quad \text{PCR program:} \quad 95°C \times 5 \text{ min; [94°C× 30 s; 60°C× 1 min; 72°C ×1.5 min] × 28 cycles; 72°C × 5 min} \\
\text{HA-PRL-3: } & \text{ GCACAGCATGCGGACATGC} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CCCTCCATGTGTGACCAAGG} \quad \text{PCR program:} \quad 94°C \times 2 \text{ min; [94°C× 15 s; 60°C× 30 s; 72°C ×30 s] × 29 cycles; 72°C × 1 min} \\
\text{Lgr5-GFP: } & \text{ CACTGCATTCTAGTTGTGG} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CGGTGCCCGCAGCGAG} \quad \text{PCR program:} \quad 95°C \times 1 \text{ min; [95°C× 15 s; 64°C× 15 s; 72°C ×1.5 min] × 2 cycles; [95°C× 15 s; 61°C× 15 s; 72°C ×1.5 min] × 2 cycles; [95°C× 15 s; 58°C× 15 s; 72°C ×1.5 min] × 23 cycles; [95°C× 15 s; 55°C× 15 s; 72°C ×1.5 min] × 18 cycles; 72°C × 10 \text{ min}}
\end{align*}
\]

**RNA analysis**

For RNA analysis, snap frozen tissues were homogenized using mortar and pestle while maintaining temperature at −80 °C using dry ice. RNA extraction was carried out following manufacturer’s recommendations (RNase Mini Kit, Qiagen). RNA was treated with DNasel (Roche) to eliminate any contaminating DNA. PRL-3 mRNA level was determined by performing one-step reverse transcription-PCR (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and using the primers (5′-3′): forward (GATTACGCTGCTCGGATGAA C) annealing in HA and reverse (TTCCACTACCTTGC GGGC) annealing in PRL-3 sequence; and the PCR program: 95°C × 2 min; [95°C× 30 s; 58°C× 45 s; 72°C ×45 s] × 30 cycles; 72°C × 5 min.

**Protein analysis**

For protein extraction and immunobLOTS, mouse tissues or organoids were lysed on ice in RIPA lysis buffer (50mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate) containing complete protease inhibitors (Roche). For organoids beforehand, lysis Matrigel was removed using cell recovery solution (Corning) as described in manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were then boiled for 10 min and cleared by centrifugation. Equal amounts of lysate protein were separated performing SDS-PAGE. Western blotting was performed with anti HA mouse primary antibody (Roche) and anti actin rabbit primary antibody (SIGMA) and then with secondary anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma and Amersham Biosciences, respectively). Visualization was achieved with the Western Lightning Plus-ECL solution (PerkinElmer).

**Immunohistochemistry**

For immunohistochemical staining, formalin-fixed paraffin embedded 5-μm sections derived from different mouse tissues were used. Following deparaffinization with xylene and rehydration with graded ethanol, antigen retrieval was performed using 0.09% (v/v) unmasking solution (Vector Labs) for 30 min in a steamer. Inactivation of endogenous peroxidases was carried out using 3% hydrogen peroxide (Sigma) for 10 min. Primary antibodies used, anti HA mouse (Covance clone 11; MMs-101R), anti ki67 pre-diluted (MEDAC 275R-18) mouse, and/or anti cleaved caspase-3 rabbit (cell signaling 9664S) were diluted in 10% goat serum (Jackson Immuno) in TBS-Tween 0.1%. Secondary antibody staining and biotin-streptavidin incubation were performed using species-specific VECTASTAIN Elite ABC kits (Vector Labs). DAB Peroxidase Substrate kit (Vector Labs) was utilized for antibody detection. Eosin Y and hematoxylin were from Bio-Optica and Vector Labs. Tissue pictures were obtained using Tissue tek. Pictures were then analyzed using the QuPath software from https://qupath.github.io/.

**Immunofluorescence**

For the immunofluorescence staining of 3D cell cultures, first the samples were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min. PFA was then quenched with 0.1 M glycine in PBS for 10 min, and then cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min and blocked with 10% FBS in PBS with 0.5% Triton X-100 (blocking solution) for 60 min. Then, samples were incubated with primary antibodies anti HA rat high affinity (Roche 11867423001), and cleaved caspase-3 rabbit (cell signaling 9664S), or anti Ki67 pre-diluted (MEDAC 275R-18) mouse in blocking solution at 4°C overnight, followed by washing and incubation with the corresponding secondary Alexa-fluorophore-conjugated antibody (1/1000, Invitrogen) for 1 h. Rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin 1:1000 (R415, Invitrogen) and Hoechst 33342 (10 μg/ml) were also used for membrane and nuclei labeling, respectively. Cell images were acquired at room temperature on a spinning disc confocal microscope PerkinElmer UltraView ERS FIJI software (National Institutes of Health). Contrast or brightness adjustment was applied to the whole image. In FIJI software, a 50-pixel rolling ball radius was used to subtract background, and a 1-pixel-radius median filter was applied.
For immunofluorescence in intestinal frozen tissue samples OCT (Tissue Tek) embedded 10-µm tissue sections were warmed for 30 min and then washed with PBS-Tween 1% for 15 min. The sections were then blocked with 2% BSA (SIGMA) and 8% goat serum (Jackson Immuno-research) in PBS-Tween 0.1% for 1h. Primary antibodies in the blocking buffer were added to the slides and incubated overnight at 4°C (HA rat Roche 11867423001 (1:1000) and rabbit anti-GFP Abcam 6556 (1:1000)). Samples were washed with PBS. The secondary antibodies were also dissolved in blocking buffer (Goat anti-Rat IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 A-21247; Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 594 A11037; Hoechst 33342 H3570 (1:1000, respectively) Samples were washed with PBS and mounted with Fluoromount-G (eBioScience). Immunofluorescence images were acquired at room temperature on a Zeiss LSM880 laser scanning confocal microscope using a Plan-Apochromat 25×0.8 NA glyceralin objective. Images were processed using FIJI software. Contrast or brightness adjustment was applied. For visualization reasons, the brightness of the red HA-PRL-3 signal in the HA-PRL-3 homo/Lgr5-GFP sample was slightly increased compared to the HA-PRL-3 het/Lgr5-GFP sample (Fig. 2c). In FIJI software, a 50-pixel rolling ball radius was used to subtract background, and a 1-pixel-radius median filter was applied.

Three-dimensional organotypic assays

As previously reported [37] and briefly summarized here, SIs were removed, washed with cold PBS not containing magnesium chloride and calcium (PBS−/−) opened longitudinally, and then cut into 3–5-mm fragments. Pieces were washed several times with cold PBS−/− until clean, washed 2–3 with PBS−/− EDTA (10 mM), and incubated on ice for 90 min, gently shaking. Crypts were then mechanically separated from the connective tissue by more rigorous shaking and then filtered through a 70-µm mesh into a 50-mL conical tube to remove villus material and tissue fragments. Isolated crypts were washed twice with DMEM F12 (Life Technologies 21331-046), 2mM Glutamax (Life Technologies 35050-061), 10 mM Heps, and 5mL penicillin/streptomycin and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. Then the trypsin reaction was stopped with 1mL of warm IntestiCult media and seed at 5–10 crypts per µL density (50 µL per well in a 24 well plate) and embedded in Matrigel as described above.

Viability assay in organoids

Mouse organoid cultures were set as above described. After 4 days of culture, single cells were obtained as described above for reseeding cultures. The trypsin reaction was stopped with 15 mL DMEM F12 including 2mM Glutamax, 10 mM Heps, 5mL penicillin/streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine tetracycline free serum, and 7 mL DNaseI (Roche) and incubated at RT for 7 min. Cells were recuperated by centrifugation at 300 g × 5 min and resuspended in 200 µL of PBS−/−. Then the cells were passed through a 70-µm cell strainer, and 0.5 µg/mL of propidium Iodide (SIGMA) was added. PI signal was measured in a BD LSR Fortessa cell analyzer.

Flow cytometry

For ISC isolation, the crypt suspensions were isolated from the intestine tissue and dissociated to individual cells following the described method above for 3D organotypic assays. The cell pellet was then washed with cold PBS−/− and centrifuged at 1100 r.p.m 4 min. Then the cells were incubated with 300 µL of an antibody cocktail comprising anti mouse CD45-PerCP55 (BD) 1/400, CD31-perCP55 (BD) 1/400 and CD117-PECy7 (biolegend) 1/800, and Epcam-PE (BD) 1/200. After antibody incubation, pellet was washed again with PBS−/− and resuspended in 300 µL of PBS−/−. Dead cells were excluded from the analysis with the viability dye 7-AAD (Thermo Scientific). The different cell populations conforming the intestinal crypts derived from KH2-HA-PRL-3/R26 rTTA × Lgr5-EGFP-RES-CreERT2 were sorted [52,53] as (a) ISC as Lgr5- EGFPbi Epcam−CD24low/CD31−Ter119−CD45−7-AAD−, (b) progenitor cells as...
EGFP$^{\text{low}}$Epcam$^{+}$CD24$^{\text{low}}$/CD31$^{+}$Ter119$^{-}$CD45$^{+}$7-AAD$^{-}$, and (c) Paneth cells as CD24$^{\text{hi}}$Sidescatter$^{\text{hi}}$Lgr5-EGFP Epcam$^{+}$CD31$^{+}$Ter119$^{+}$CD45$^{+}$7-AAD$^{-}$ and with a BD FACS Aria TM Fusion sorter and analyzed with FACSDiva 8.0.1 software.

**Statistical analysis**

Ordinary two-tailed one- or two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s or Dunnett’s multiple comparison tests were performed to study statistical significance: *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01, ***P≤0.001, and ****P≤0.0001. Statistical analysis and graphs were generated with Prism (GraphPad).

Control samples for in vivo experiments were obtained from animals containing the transgenes but kept on a normal diet or HA-PRL-3 wt/R26-rtTA het mouse intestine on a normal diet from animals containing the transgenes but kept on a normal diet. Statistical analysis and graphs were generated with Prism (GraphPad).

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-021-02097-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-021-02097-9).
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