Background: After endodontic treatment, a proper restorative technique is necessary to ensure coronal seal and protection of residual dental structure; teeth which have lost two or more walls need to be restored with posts to increase retention and stability of final restoration. Posts can be distinguished in prefabricated and customized, which are manufactured by lost wax technique or CAD-CAM.

Purpose: Digital dentistry has been developed to increase workflow precision and to accelerate production process; use of CAD-CAM to realize customized posts was limited to scanning plaster models obtained from traditional impressions.

Patients and Methods: In the reported case an intraoral scan was used to mill a post and core restoration on an endodontically treated inferior premolar; this operative protocol was based on previous in vitro experiments that confirmed the ability of 3shape Trios scanner to read post-space up to 9 mm in depth.

Results: The digital technique allows us to convert the concave surface of the root canal into the convex surface of the post, and realize an anatomical post and core that improves the biomechanics of the endodontically treated tooth reducing the possibility of root fractures.

Conclusion: The use of an intraoral digital scanner represents an opportunity for the clinician as it speeds up the production of an anatomical post and core restorations.
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Introduction

Endodontically treated teeth are well known to be more susceptible to fracture.\(^1\) This is due to the loss of hard tissues coming from the sum of an initial carious pathology,\(^2\) the endodontic access cavity and instrumentation and finally, for a minimal part, for the biochemical and structural changes in nonvital dentin.\(^3\) Also, saliva due to an important role in microbial oral flora regulation.\(^4\)–\(^6\)

After endodontic treatment, a proper restorative technique is necessary to ensure coronal seal\(^7\)–\(^9\) and protection of residual dental structure,\(^10\)–\(^12\) teeth which have lost two or more walls need to be restored with posts to increase retention and stability of final restoration.

Several materials have been proposed to build posts; metallic posts belong to I and II generations, fiber, ceramic and zirconium oxide are III and IV generations. Moreover, posts can be distinguished in prefabricated and customized, which are manufactured by lost wax technique or CAD-CAM.

In comparison to rigid metal and/or ceramic posts, fiber posts do have better biomechanical behavior because their physical properties are closer to natural dentin\(^13\) allowing for a better distribution of occlusal forces and reducing substantially, if compared with harder materials, the risk of vertical root fracture.\(^14\),\(^15\)
Some authors suggested, in a finite element analysis study, that the ideal post should have a variable elastic modulus related to the depth into the root as this could reduce significantly stress concentration.16

The use of custom prepared posts (or post and cores) allows for a very thin layer of cementing resin thus providing a good way to reduce drastically polymerization stresses and gaps or voids formation in the adhesive interface.17,18

The modern idea of a customized post and core calls for conservation of root dentin with minimal or no preparation of a post-space thus resulting in thicker dentin walls and increased resistance to root fracture and ease of core restoration.19

In the past years, several attempts to customize prefabricated posts have been done by subtractive or additive ways;20,21 this “hand-made” approach could be surpassed by CAD-CAM technology.22,23

Digital dentistry has been developed to increase workflow precision and to accelerate the production process;24–26 generally, use of CAD-CAM to realize customized posts was limited to scanning plaster models obtained from traditional impressions.27–29 Other authors purposed a different digital workflow in which a traditional silicon impression is scanned to mill a personalized CAD-CAM post and core.30 Differently, in the reported case an intraoral scan of post-space was performed; this operative protocol is the outcome of in vitro experiments about the capacity of 3 shape Trios scanner to read post-space in order to obtain a full digital workflow. The preliminary in vitro study31 showed that the scanner is able to read down to a depth of 9 mm in the root canal which is a good compromise and adapts to most clinical cases.

In the presented case report a customized glass fiber post and core obtained using this protocol were cemented with adhesive technique and the tooth restored with monolithic zirconia crown also realized by CAD-CAM.

Materials and Methods
A 55-years-old female patient came to the Emergency-Restorative and Endodontic Dental Department of the Tor Vergata University Polyclinic asking for prosthetic rehabilitation of the right inferior second premolar. The patient provided a written informed consent to publish details and any images about this case report. Institutional approval was not required for the publication of this case report.

Radiographic examinations, panoramic Xray and intraoral periapical projection showed inadequate root canal treatment of 4.5 (Figure 1). Taking into account the age of the patient, low masticatory forces, the residual root dentinal thickness and the height of the clinical crown related to total length of root; the selected treatment plan was: endodontic retreatment of 4.5 and crown restoration by customized glass fiber post and core and monolithic zirconia crown. Even if the tooth had a reduced periodontal support a 9mm post depth together with a 2.5mm dentinal ferrule for the prosthetic crown were judged a viable restorative option preserving root dentin from too deep post preparations.

Firstly, endodontic retreatment was performed. After local anesthesia32,33 and insulation with rubber dam, access cavity was obtained by truncated cone shape diamond bur, root canal patency was obtained with size 10 K-file (Dentsply, Sirona, USA) using Endosolv E (Septodont) and working length was established to be 16 mm with electronic apex locator (Dental Root ZX, Morita Corporation, Japan). Then, the treated root canal was shaped using Mtwo technique (Sweden and Martina, Italy) (basic sequence: 10.04/15.05/20.06/25.06) and preparation was completed with manual instruments in the apical zone (k-file 45 to working length, 50 to 0.5 mm from the foramen and 55 to 1 mm). The root canal was filled with warm gutta-percha using the Microheat technique (Sweden and Martina) with a master cone size 55, taper 02, 1mm short than working length followed by mechanically packed warm gutta-percha.

Subsequently, post-space was prepared using Mtwo 55/06 post-file, which removes effectively gutta-percha from the canal with minor modifications of the shaped root canal, allowing an anatomical conservative approach.

The post-space was prepared 9 mm in depth, ensuring maintenance of a 6–7mm gutta-percha apical seal, and 3d scanned using a Trios (3shape) intraoral scanner. The preparation depth has been established in relation to an in vitro preliminary study about the capacity of Trios scanner to read post-spaces (Figure 2).
The intraoral scan included post-space and crown preparation of 4.5 in a full lower and upper arches digital imprint and a left and right bite registration.

After processing 3d images and CAD design of the pieces a custom glass fiber post and core (Trilor Bioloren, Saronno, VA, Italy) was manufactured by a CAM milling machine (Roland DWX-50, Irvine, CA, USA) as well as a provisional composite resin crown (Figures 3 and 4). Trilor was chosen as it is a multidirectional fiber-reinforced resin material approved for definitive CAD-CAM prosthetic appliances and its mechanical characteristics are close to natural dentin as it has an elastic modulus of 26GPa (manufacturer data) and it was purposed as a promising material by Ruschel et al in 2018.

Due to the geometry of the piece, the milling of a post and core system requires a slower and a low-stress machining procedure in order to reduce vibrations, inaccuracy or failure of the machining process. For these reasons, the milling machine used for this application is a five-axis milling machine set for a high-quality slow speed procedure that required almost 30 mins of work for the piece.

The milled post and core was realized with 80µm tolerances to ensure a proper fit without interfering with cementation procedures. After fitting and adaptation check to ensure the necessity of only a light force to complete sitting, no adjustment was needed, the surface of the custom post to be cemented was cleaned, etched with 37% orthophosphoric acid (Ivoclar Vivadent, Italy) and silanized (Monobond Plus Ivoclar Vivadent, Italy) to prepare it for the following adhesive procedures.

All dental surfaces were pretreated for adhesive procedures with 37% orthophosphoric acid (Ivoclar Vivadent, Italy). Etch and rinse adhesive Prime&Bond NT (Dentsply Sirona, USA) was used on a dental substrate and on the post and core and light cured following manufacturer indications.
The custom post and core were cemented with Precision Cement One (Dentalica, Italy), a dual-cure composite cement (Figures 5 and 6). After cementation and finishing of the resulting prosthetic preparation, the milled provisional resin crown was cemented on the tooth to regain function waiting for a final digital impression for the zirconia final crown (Figure 7).

Three weeks after post and core cementation, a second and final digital impression was performed to allow the lab to realize a monolithic zirconia crown; gingival retraction cords (Ultrapack #000 and #00, Ultradent, USA) have been used to expose margin preparation (Figures 8–10).

Finally, the monolithic zirconia crown was cemented using Harvard zinc phosphate cement (Harvard...
Dental, Germany) completing the rehabilitation protocol (Figures 11 and 12).

Due to economic concerns, posterior missing teeth in the lower arch were replaced with a removable partial prosthetic (Figure 13). Figure 14 shows a follow-up intraoral radiograph of the restored tooth after 2 years of function and clinical success.

**Results**

The digital technique allows us to convert the concave surface of the root canal into the convex surface of the post, and realize, as reported in the literature, an anatomical post and core that improves the biomechanics of the endodontically treated tooth reducing the possibility of root fractures.

Digital procedures allow to create prosthetic products, posts and post and cores, in direct or semi-direct technique; it is possible to scan a polyvinylsiloxane (PVS) impression of a post-space, or scan the Duralay imprint, but these techniques require more working steps which lead to a risk of procedural errors.

The direct technique is considered more accurate, in terms of trueness and precision (ISO), to produce prosthetic products through the digital workflow. The digital workflow allows the use of industrially pre-cured fiber-reinforced composite resins with superior mechanical properties.

The precision of the CAD/CAM post and core restoration allows for a minimal amount of cementing composite resin and can result in a better adhesion to the dentinal walls.

![Figure 8 Intraoral photography after digital impression with Trios 3shape scanner.](image)

![Figure 9 Final digital impression with Trios 3shape scanner.](image)
The use of an intraoral digital scanner is capable of a high precision fitting of the milled post and core and full crown restorations much quickly and directly than more complexes lab scanning of traditional imprints.

**Conclusion**
The use of an intraoral digital scanner represents an opportunity for the clinician as it speeds up the production of an anatomical post and core restorations.

The use of glass fiber resin to produce a precise customized post and core, an effective adhesive cementation and a thin cement layer with reduced curing stress, is promising in order to obtain a tooth-restoration system with more favorable resistance to biomechanical stresses. Further case series and in vitro research are needed to standardize the technique as many new CAD-CAM materials will be available in the future giving the clinicians many new treatment opportunities.
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