Monoacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1 (MGAT1) localizes to the ER and lipid droplets promoting triacylglycerol synthesis
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INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is commonly found in patients with metabolic syndrome without extensive consumption of alcohol (1), encompassing liver lesions ranging from steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), cirrhosis and even liver cancer (2). It was reported that about 75% people who have hepatic steatosis are obese (3). Obesity with insulin resistance causes an increased lipolysis in adipose tissue, thus resulting in an increased delivery of free fatty acids (FFAs) to the liver, contributing to excessive hepatic lipid accumulation (4). In turn, this impairs the hepatic functions, leading to a spectrum of disorders characterized by liver steatosis.

Mammalian cells synthesize triacylglycerol (TAG) via two convergent pathways. The classic pathway, called glycerol-3-phosphate pathway, starts first with glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase (GPAT) which catalyzes the acylation of glycerol-3-phosphate forming lysophosphatidic acid. Then 1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase (AGPAT) and lipin act to further acylate and dephosphorylate the lysophosphaticid acid, respectively, to produce diacylglycerol (DAG). On the other hand, the monoacylglycerol acyltransferase (MGAT) promotes alternative pathway to synthesize TAG. This enzyme directly catalyze the acylation of monoacylglycerol (MAG) to produce DAG. Finally, DAG is converted to TAG by DGAT1 or DGAT2, which is common in both pathways (5, 6).

Since the liver is not the primary fat storage depot, the steady state concentration of hepatic triglycerides is low under physiological conditions. However, with overeating and lack of exercise, the liver stores the excess energy as TAG. NAFLD associated with obesity is contributed largely by greater fatty acid release from the adipose tissue. Elevated levels of FFA in plasma increase the delivery of FFA to the liver, resulting in excessive hepatic TAG accumulation. Therefore, in the obesity-related hepatic steatosis, FFAs from adipose tissue and dietary fat directly enter the TAG synthesis pathway, probably through the GPAT pathway, as well as alternative pathways using increased MGAT1 enzyme, resulting in rapid TAG incorporation (7). In the last decade, a family of three mammalian genes encoding enzymes with MGAT activity have been identified (8-10). MGAT1 was originally identified in mice as a microsomal enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of DAG and TAG (8). MGAT2 is predominantly expressed in the small intestine, and plays a role in dietary fat absorption (11). MGAT3, which shares a higher sequence homology with DGAT2, is found only in higher mammals and humans, but not in rodents (12-14). All three MGAT family genes are localized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), but differ in tissue localization and catalytic function domain of this enzyme is poorly understood. In this report, we identified that murine MGAT1 localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) under normal conditions, whereas MGAT1 co-localizes to the lipid droplets (LD) under conditions of enriching fatty acids, contributing to TAG synthesis and LD expansion. For the enzyme activity, both the N-terminal transmembrane domain and catalytic HPHG motif are required. We also show that the transmembrane domain of MGAT1 consists of two hydrophobic regions in the N-terminus, and the consensus sequence FLXLXXXn, a putative neutral lipid-binding domain, exists in the first transmembrane domain. Finally, MGAT1 interacts with DGAT2, which serves to synergistically increase the TAG biosynthesis and LD expansion, leading to enhancement of lipid accumulation in the liver and fat. [BMB Reports 2017; 50(7): 367-372]
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In this report, we demonstrate that MGAT1 is an integral ER membrane protein, and consists of two transmembrane domains. In addition to existing at the ER, MGAT1 is also localized in lipid droplets. We further determined that LD-localized MGAT1 may contribute to the growth of TG-containing lipid droplets, through the HPHG residue which is associated with catalytic activity of the enzyme. The heterodimer formed by MGAT1 with DGAT2 synergistically increases the TG biosynthesis and LD expansion, suggesting that MGAT1-DGAT2 coordination plays an important role in the progression of hepatic steatosis.

RESULTS

MGAT1 is an integral ER membrane protein and promotes TG synthesis

Synthesis of TAG is through a distinct MGAT-dependent pathway: MAG is converted to DAG by MGAT enzymes, and DAG is converted to TAG by DGAT (Fig. 1A). Our previous studies suggest that MGAT1 has an important role in fatty liver formation, and a new target gene of PPARγ (17-19). We analyzed the expression patterns of MGAT1 in high-fat-fed liver and 3T3-L1 adipocytes, the two main tissues of lipid accumulation. As shown in Fig. 1B, MGAT1 is highly upregulated in fatty liver, as previously reported (17). Moreover, MGAT1 expression dramatically increased during adipogenesis, and escalated approximately 70-fold at 8 days. To confirm the role of MGAT1 in lipid accumulation, we used confocal immunofluorescence microscopy in COS-7 cells. Under oleate loading, the overexpression of MGAT1 led to the formation of lipid droplets (LDs) (Fig. 1C). Moreover, when MGAT1 was co-expressed with DGAT2, the LDs were significantly larger than those of cells expressing MGAT1 alone.

Mouse MGAT1 is a 335-aa polypeptide, and the sequence of MGAT1 predicts one or two transmembrane domains, which is similar to DGAT2 (8). To clarify the transmembrane domain of MGAT1, we expressed different Flag-tagged deletion mutants of MGAT1 in HEK293T cells, followed by membrane fractionation (Fig. 1D). The mutant FL-Δ1-160, with both transmembrane regions deleted, displayed relocation in the cytosol. In contrast, like FL-MGAT1, mutant FL-Δ161-335, which contained the N-terminus and the two transmembrane domains, exists in the membrane fraction. Consistently, MGAT1 displayed a typical ER staining pattern, whereas when transmembrane domains were deleted from MGAT1 (FL-Δ1-160), the MGAT1 displayed a diffuse cytoplasmic staining pattern (Fig. 1D), thereby demonstrating that the transmembrane domain of MGAT1 consists of two hydrophobic regions at the N-terminus.

We next examined whether the N and C termini of MGAT1 are localized in the cytoplasm or the ER lumen. Protease protection studies employing proteinase K treatment demonstrated that the Flag tags fused to either terminus of MGAT1 were digested by proteinase K, while the ER luminal protein GRP78 remained undigested by protease (20), indicating that the N and the C termini of MGAT1 are localized in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1E). These results suggest that MGAT1 has a number of membrane-inserted helices, and the residues 1-160 of MGAT1 include the transmembrane domain containing the ER targeting signal.

Highly conserved HPHG is important for MGAT1 activity

Next, we explored the enzymatic activity of MGAT1. Intriguingly, enzymatic activity was absent when the
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transmembrane domains were deleted (Fig. 2A). Moreover, the mutant FL-Δ101-335 also lost its enzyme activity (Fig. 2A), suggesting that this domain has a catalytic motif. MGAT1 has homology with DGAT2, and also contains a highly conserved HPHG, a characteristic motif of the DGAT2 family (21). Alignment of the MGAT family members shows that the motif HPHG is conserved in sequences from animals and fungi (Fig. 2B). Four mutants of HPHG (109-112 aa of murine MGAT1) were generated by conservative substitution: H109A, P110G, H111A, and a triple mutant in which HPH was changed to AGA. Their expressions were confirmed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibodies. As a result, all four mutants caused an approximately 60-70% decrease in enzymatic activity, compared to control FL-MGAT1 (Fig. 2C). We also performed TAG synthesis by BODIPY and immunofluorescent staining in COS-7 cells. In oleate-treated cell, the wild-type MGAT1 led to the formation of lipid droplets (LDs), whereas in cells expressing mutants, there were no LDs (Fig. 2D), suggesting that the conserved HPHG residue is associated with catalytic activity of enzyme, and the transmembrane domain is also required for the enzyme activity.

**MGAT1 is associated with lipid droplets**
Neutral lipids are synthesized by enzymes which mainly localize to the ER; likewise, newly formed lipid droplets also originate from the ER (22). Consequently, LD growth occurs by the local synthesis of TAG at the surface of LD, indicating a demand of enzymes necessary for TAG synthesis (23). To explore whether MGAT1 is present on LD surface, cellular membranes and the floating fat layer containing lipid droplets were separately isolated from fully differentiated adipocytes and HeLa cells expressing MGAT1. As expected, MGAT1 localizes to the lipid droplets (Fig. 3A), as a similar pattern with previous reports that DGAT2 and GPAT4 localize to LDs (24, 25). Western blot analysis revealed that FL-MGAT1 is presented in the fat layer as well as in the membrane fraction, whereas constructs lacking the N-terminus or C-terminus could not be detected in the fat layer (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, FL-Δ1-160 lacked both transmembrane domains and the conserved HPHG residue; in contrast, FL-Δ161-335 possessed both, but lacked the C-terminus. Consequently, these results propose the possibility that both the HPHG residue and the C-terminal region are essential for the LD localization of MGAT1. To confirm that MGAT1 localized to lipid droplets, we co-stained MGAT1-transfected cells with the neutral lipids dye, BODIPY 493/503. As shown in Fig. 3C, MGAT1 was co-localized with the lipid droplets, indicating that LD-localized MGAT1 may contribute to the growth of TAG-containing lipid droplets.

**MGAT1 interacts with DGAT2**
Recent studies have shown that DGAT1 and DGAT2 form
homodimers and heterotetramers (26, 27), and MGAT2 can exist as both a homodimer and homotetramer (28, 29). Since MGAT1 shares sequence homology with MGAT2, and the N-terminus of MGAT1 contains transmembrane domains, we investigated whether MGAT1 can form a homodimer. Cell lysates containing Myc-MGAT1 and various FLAG-MGAT1 deletion mutants were immunoprecipitated with anti-Myc, after which they were immunoblotted with anti-FLAG. Deletion of transmembrane domains (Δ1-160) abolished the interaction between two MGAT1 monomers, but the MGAT1 mutant containing only the N-terminus and transmembrane domains interacted with each other (Fig. 4A). It has been shown that MGAT and DGAT catalyze the two consecutive steps in TAG synthesis, and as shown in Fig. 1B, the co-expression of MGAT1 and DGAT2 promotes TG synthesis. Thus, we next examined whether MGAT1 heterodimerizes with DGAT2. As verified by co-immunoprecipitation, MGAT1 interacts with DGAT2 (Fig. 4B). However, the N-terminal deletion mutant (Δ1-160) of MGAT1 was a blunted heterodimer with DGAT2, due to removal of the transmembrane domains, while DGAT2 interacted strongly with C-terminal deletion mutants of MGAT1 (Δ161-335). Taken together, these results suggested that MGAT1 localizes to both the ER and LDs, and the transmembrane domains are important for the enzyme activity as well as for the interaction with each other.

**DISCUSSION**

Since LD is now considered as a cellular organelle, regulation of the formation, growth, and degradation has now attracted considerable attention. Because it is believed that fatty acids are directly transported and incorporated into LDs after being separated from ER by budding, the LD should contain an independent machinery for lipid accumulation, including the TAG synthesis enzymes. Our data revealed that TAG synthesis is supported by luminally oriented acyltransferases, such as MGAT1 and DGAT2. (Fig. 4C). We previously demonstrated that MGAT1 expression is induced by PPARγ which is aberrantly overexpressed in steatotic liver, and liver-specific disruption of MGAT1 dramatically ameliorates hepatic steatosis associated with diet-induced obesity (16, 17). In addition, according to recent researches, inhibiting the MGAT1 activity improves hepatic metabolic abnormalities and insulin signaling (30, 31). In accordance with this, we observed that the intracellular contents of lipid droplets were increased by MGAT1 in the presence of FFA, but LDs barely generated in the cells without fatty acid treatment. Thus, these results indicated that under FFA loading, MGAT1 facilitates TAG synthesis and LD expansion.

Deletion of the hydrophobic transmembrane domain leads to diffuse cytosolic staining. Evidence suggests that this region might be critical for localization for MGAT1 to ER. Our data indicate that MGAT1 harbors maybe two or more hydrophobic domains, with both the N-terminal and the C-terminal portions extending toward the cytosol. Notably, MGAT1 contains the consensus sequence FLXLXXn (where n is a nonpolar residue and X is any amino acid except proline) that is conserved for a putative neutral lipid-binding domain in the transmembrane domain (amino acids 28FLLLVQV34) (32). This sequence is most highly conserved in the vertebrate DGAT2 and MGAT orthologues (23) and other proteins that either interact or metabolize neutral lipids (32). Moreover, MGAT1 contains the catalytic HPHG motif (109-112 of murine MGAT1), and mutations of amino acids within this sequence significantly reduce the MGAT1 catalytic function. Intriguingly, we detected high enzyme activity only in full-length FL-MGAT1, while other deletion mutants failed to catalyze the synthesis of diacylglycerol. We speculate the possibility that neutral lipid-binding domain and catalytic HPHG motifs, as well as C-terminus region and other elements, are required for MGAT1 activity. Further experiments are required to determine the function of these active site domains.

DGAT1 has been shown to form a homodimer or heterotetramer (27), and heterodimerize with MGAT2 to mediate dietary fat absorption (28). Similarly, DGAT2 is part of a multimeric complex (16), and interacts with other TG synthesis enzymes, such as fatty acid transport protein 1 (FATP1), stearoyl CoA desaturase 1 (SCD1), and MGAT2, to facilitate TG synthesis, resulting in an expansion of lipid droplets. We found that MGAT1 also forms homodimer through the N-terminal part, possibly homotetramer like MGAT2 or DGAT, and also interacts with DGAT2 to promote TAG synthesis. In addition, although MGAT1 is thought to be
mainly regulated by gene expression, it will be interesting to examine whether a certain stimulus (i.e. fatty acid loading) induces the translocation of MGAT1 to LDs.

In conclusion, our studies indicate that MGAT1 is localized to the ER and lipid droplets, where it catalyzes the formation of DAG from MAG and fatty acyl-CoA. Transmembrane domain of MGAT1 consists of hydrophobic regions in the N-terminus, and both N and C termini are localized to the cytosol. Importantly, MGAT1 interacts with DGAT2, which serves synergistic increase in TG biosynthesis and LD expansion, resulting in enhanced lipid accumulation in the liver.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and transfection
COS-7 and HEK293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen). Transient transfection was performed with Lipofectamine LTX, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen). Cells were harvested and used for experiments 48 h after transfection.

Construction of MGAT1 plasmids
N-terminal FLAG tagged murine MGAT1 (FL-MGAT1) was used as a template for all mutagenesis reactions. Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out with Pfu Turbo DNA Polymerase, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Agilent), and the presence of the desired mutations was confirmed by sequencing.

Cellular fractionation
Cells were harvested, washed with ice-cold PBS, and re-suspended in STE buffer (250 mM sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitor). Cells were homogenized by 10 passages through a 27-gauge syringe needle, and lysates were cleared by 10 min centrifugation at 600 × g, and 15 min at 12,000 × g, to pellet the crude mitochondria. The supernatant was then centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C to pellet microsomes. The supernatant was used as the cytosolic fraction.

Immunofluorescence
Cells were washed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, washed with PBS and blocked with 3% BSA in PBS for 1h. Cells were incubated in primary antibody for 2 hour at room temperature (RT), followed by incubation with appropriate secondary antibody for 1 hour at RT. Cells were stained for lipid droplets with BODIPY493/503 (1 µg/ml, Molecular Probes) and for nuclei with Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes). Slides were mounted. Confocal scanning was performed on a LSM700 scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss).

Immunoprecipitation
Whole cell protein extracts were obtained from 293T cells using a lysis buffer (1% NP-40), 25 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. Extracts were incubated overnight with 2.5 µg of anti-myc antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in the presence of Protein G beads. The resulting complexes were washed, denatured and eluted. The IP samples and whole cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting performed with anti-Flag antibody (Sigma).

MGAT activity assays
In this assay, MGAT1 activity was measured as described previously (33). Briefly, the assay was carried out in a total volume of 150 µl under the following conditions: 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 200 µM 2-monocacylglycerol, 100 µM oleoyl-CoA, and 10 µg of microsomal proteins. The reaction was initiated by addition of MGAT1 microsomes, and carried out for 30 min at room temperature. Then, 50 µl of 7-Diethylamino-3-(4-maleimidophenyl)-4-methylcoumarin (CF70) at 500 µM concentration, was added to the reaction; the plate was incubated at room temperature for another 30 min. A standard curve of CoASH (ranging from 0 to 100 µM of CoASH) was generated together with each assay, followed by detection of fluorescent signal by a Thermo Scientific - varioskan flash (Ex. 355 nm, Em. 460 nm).

Statistical analysis
All results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons of groups were made using an unpaired Student’s t test.
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