White matter changes in patients with mild traumatic brain injury: MRI perspective

This review focuses on white matter (WM) changes in mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) as assessed by multimodal MRI. All the peer reviewed publications on WM changes in mTBI from January 2011 through September 2016 are included in this review. This review is organized as follows: introduction to mTBI, the basics of multimodal MRI techniques that are potentially useful for probing the WM integrity, summary and critical evaluation of the published literature on the application of multimodal MRI techniques to assess the changes of WM in mTBI, and correlation of MRI measures with behavioral deficits. The MRI–pathology correlation studies based on preclinical models of mTBI are also reviewed. Finally, the author’s perspective of future research directions is described.

First draft submitted: 10 December 2016; Accepted for publication: 10 February 2017; Published online: 22 March 2017

Keywords: MRI • MRI–pathology correlation • MRS • mTBI • WM injury

About 2% of the US population is affected by traumatic brain injury (TBI) which results in an annual healthcare cost of $76.5 billion [1]. Based on clinical symptoms, TBI can be categorized as either mild or moderate or severe [2]. Focal TBI generally results in contusion or laceration to cortical and subcortical structures and intracranial bleeding and results in severe TBI. In contrast, diffuse injury is the result of stretching and tearing of axons due to linear and angular acceleration/deceleration. Linear acceleration causes less severe axonal shearing than angular acceleration/deceleration [3]. Traumatic axonal injury (TAI) is thought to be a major pathologic event in TBI and may be responsible for much of the observed cognitive deficits [4–12]. Diffuse axonal injury (DAI) may be considered as a subset of TAI. Diffuse axonal injury has some histopathological characteristics that occur immediately and within hours and days of injury. Axons in white matter (WM) are vulnerable to diffuse injury because of their small diameter (~3 μ) and long projections. DAI could result in mechanical breaking of the axonal cytoskeleton that could affect axonal transportation which in turn affects communication between different brain structures. WM pathology, and especially that detected with neuroimaging, is also influenced by other factors such as blood flow/edema, metabolic aberrations, excitotoxicity and secondary degeneration, Wallerian degeneration, and other factors, which occur under the umbrella of TAI and coalesce over time, not just what occurs within the acute time frame. Depending on the severity of DAI, TBI victims could experience cognitive and behavioral deficits. Advances in biomechanical modeling identified WM pathways that are most vulnerable to stretch and shear injury. These pathways include corona radiata (CR), internal capsule (IC), cerebral peduncle (CP) and corpus callosum (CC) [13–15].

About 80% of TBI incidents in military and civilian populations are classified as mild (mTBI) [16], which is considered to be a major...
Concussion has recently attracted considerable attention because of the injuries suffered by high school, college and professional players [23,24], and military personnel [25]. Concussion is generally considered to be the mildest form of mTBI. Concussion and mTBI have similar and overlapping symptoms [26]. As pointed out elsewhere ‘all concussions are mild TBIs, though all mTBIs are not concussions’ [27]. Nevertheless, these two terms are used interchangeably in the published literature. In this review, consistent with most published literature, the terms concussion and mTBI are used interchangeably. In this review, whenever possible, we tried to follow the recommended definition of the postinjury phase: acute <1 day; subacute: 1 day–1 week; postacute 1 week–6 months; chronic: >6 months [28].

The commonly used techniques for evaluating WM injury in mTBI include immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy and neuroimaging. The first two techniques require tissue samples and are not practical for routine use in clinical studies. The commonly used noninvasive neuroimaging techniques for evaluating injury in mTBI include computerized tomography (CT) and MRI. Among these two, MRI is most commonly used for evaluating mTBI because of its superior soft tissue contrast and its multimodal nature that can provide anatomical, structural, functional, physiological and metabolic information [29–32]. MRI also has the ability to detect subtle pathology in mTBI even when the clinical symptoms appear to return to normal values [30,33–36]. Conventional MRI based on T1-, T2-weighted and FLAIR images is of limited use in detecting WM injury in mTBI [29,37,38]. As discussed below, published literature also indicates the potential of more recent MRI-based techniques that include, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), magnetization transfer ratio (MTR), susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI), myelin water imaging, ultrashort echo time, and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) in detecting WM injury in mTBI. Among these, DTI has shown considerable promise in identifying underlying neuropathology in mTBI [29]. This review does not cover functional MRI (fMRI) [39] or positron emission tomography (PET) [40] since the focus of these modalities is mainly on gray matter.

The role of advanced neuroimaging techniques in TBI were recently reviewed [41]. The TRACK-TBI study also demonstrated the superiority of advanced MRI in predicting outcome in mTBI at 3 and 6 months postinjury time [42]. The published literature on WM injury in human brain using different MRI-based techniques is summarized in Table 1. Since prior reviews summarized published literature till 2011, we included only publications listed in Pubmed from January 2011 through September 2016. Inclusion of publications in 2011 provides an overlap with the previous reviews. We also summarize in Table 2 the review articles on mTBI published from January 2011 to September 2016 with a strong neuroimaging component. The basics of these techniques are briefly reviewed, followed by their application to WM injury in mTBI. The pathophysiology of mTBI at the cellular level can be found in a number of excellent reviews [3,97–99] and will not be included here. Since the main focus of this review is on WM, the effect of mTBI on cortical and subcortical structures is not included in this review. Also the effect of repetitive concussions will not be explicitly discussed. Consistent with this journal’s recommendation, only references to the works published in the last 5 years or so are included. Thus many important original publications that appeared earlier than 5 years are not referenced. There are a number of excellent reviews that covered earlier studies and references to these review articles are provided at the appropriate place. All relevant publications between January 2011 and September 2016 were identified by searching PubMed using various queries that are combinations of the key words, ‘mild traumatic brain injury’, ‘traumatic brain injury’, ‘concussion’, ‘sports concussion’, ‘MRI’, ‘MRS’, ‘DTT’, ‘white matter hyperintensities and pathology’. Both human and preclinical studies were included in this search.

MRI techniques relevant to investigate WM injury in mTBI

A number of MRI-based modalities have been used to probe the WM injury in mTBI and to determine pos-
sible association between the MRI measures and cognitive, behavioral, and neuropsychiatric disorders in mTBI [38,62,95,100]. Such an association could be helpful in the management of mTBI victims.

Diffusion tensor imaging
DTI has become the preferred modality for detecting and characterizing WM injury in mTBI. The WM consists of axonal bundles and water preferentially diffuses along the length of axons because of the barriers such as myelin, in other words, diffusion is anisotropic. DTI exploits the directional dependence of water diffusion in tissue to probe tissue microstructure. In contrast, water diffusion in GM is nearly isotropic. Anisotropic diffusion is described by a $3 \times 3$ symmetric diffusion matrix. All six independent elements of the diffusion matrix need to be determined for complete characterization of diffusion anisotropy. The diffusion matrix elements are determined by acquiring diffusion-weighted images (DWIs) in the presence magnetic field gradients. At least six DWIs are required, with noncollinear gradient directions. In practice more gradient directions are needed for robust determination of the diffusion matrix. In addition, MRI data have to be acquired without the application a diffusion gradient (commonly referred to as b0 images). Because DWI is sensitive to motion, it is important to ensure that DWIs are not corrupted by other motions, such as respiration. Therefore, DWIs are acquired using rapid sequences such as echo planar imaging or spiral sequences. Three principal diffusivities, $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, and $\lambda_3$ (also known as eigenvalues) and three eigenvectors are estimated from the DWIs. These are needed for generating the fiber tracts. By convention, $\lambda_1$ has the largest value and is referred to as the longitudinal or axial diffusivity (AD). The average of $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_3$ is referred to as the radial or transverse diffusivity (RD). The average of the three eigenvalues is referred to as the mean diffusivity (MD). The fractional anisotropy (FA), a dimensionless quantity, is the most commonly used measure of diffusion anisotropy and is derived from the three eigenvalues. FA varies from 0 to 1, with 1 representing perfect anisotropy and 0 representing complete isotropy. Depending on the WM tract, the value of FA is typically between 0.4 and 0.8 with the FA value of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) close to 0.0. The GM which is mainly made of cell bodies has an FA value of $<0.2$. A lower FA value in response to injury is thought to represent compromised WM. However, this is not always true in the presence of crossing fibers within the imaging voxel. For example, if one of the crossing fibers is compromised while others are intact, an increased FA is expected [101]. Thus caution should be exercised in interpreting altered FA values. FA can be affected both by the axonal and myelin state and is therefore less specific about the pathologic underpinnings of the injury. It is generally thought that AD and RD reflect axonal and myelin integrity, respectively, and therefore may have better pathologic specificity than FA. However, recent DTI–histology correlative studies do not completely support this contention [102].

Another measure that can be derived from the DWIs is Shannon entropy (SE). SE is based on the information theory and is a measure of diffusion anisotropy. Unlike DTI, SE is derived from DWIs without assuming any diffusion model. SE is high for anisotropic structures such as WM and low for isotropic structures such as CSF. SE can reveal tissue anisotropy that may not be apparent on FA. SE appears to be more sensitive to axonal density and less affected by fiber orientation than FA. Based on correlation with histology, SE appears to detect axonal remodeling that occurs in response to neurological injury [103].

DTI acquisition & analysis
The acquisition parameters used for DTI can affect the most commonly used scalar variables, FA and MD [104–108]. The acquisition parameters include the number of gradient directions and the gradient scheme, the diffusion sensitization (commonly denoted by b), signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR), spatial resolution, field strength and even the scanner hardware and software. The number of gradient directions and spatial resolution affect FA, while diffusion sensitization (b) affects MD [108]. This dependence on the acquisition parameters makes it difficult to compare the diffusion results across different studies. Based on published studies, the reproducibility and repeatability of FA and MD improve with the number of gradient directions [106]. There is a general consensus that 30 gradient directions with a $b$ value of approximately 1000 s mm$^{-2}$ provides robust results.

The commonly used DTI analysis techniques can be classified into: whole brain histogram, region-of-interest (ROI), voxel-based and tractography. Each one of these methods has its own advantages and disadvantages. The whole brain histogram analysis is quite robust and simple. However, it does not provide any spatial information. The ROI analysis allows testing hypothesis that is specific to tract and is also useful for following individual subjects longitudinally. However, it requires a priori knowledge about region that needs to be examined and may introduce bias. Voxel-based analysis does not require a priori knowledge and is independent of any hypothesis. It provides information over the whole brain while retaining the spatial information. However, it could introduce errors due to nonlinear image registration and is also prone to
Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011.

| Number of subjects | Age (years)/ (F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/ analysis method | Affected/ measured WM regions | Major conclusions | Ref. |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------|
| m: 23 (11 [GO]/12 [PO]) C: 23 | m: 23 (11/12); GO: 27.8 ± 8.5 (3/8) PO: 31.3 ± 8.4 (5/7) | Between 7 and 28 days and between 3 and 4 months | 1.5 | DTI/TBSS | Increased MD in CC, the right ATR and the SLF, the ILF and the FOF bilaterally | DTI at the subacute stage may be a predictive marker of poor outcome | [43] |
| m: 60 (with PCD: 21; without PCD: 39) C(OI): 32 | Between 19 and 55 m: (17/43) OI: (9/25) | 6–8 weeks | 3 | DTI/ROI | MD of SCC | Does not support an association between WM integrity in the CC and self-reported PCS 6–8 weeks post mTBI | [44] |
| m: 25 (veterans exposed to BI) C: 33 | BE: 24–55 No BE: 22–52 (4% females) | 2–5 years | 3 | DTI/Voxel-wise | Forceps major and minor, bilateral anterior thalamic radiations, right corticospinal tract, bilateral IFOF; bilateral ILF and left SLF | Blast mTBI disrupts integrity of number of white matter tracts; these disruptions are diluted by averaging across large number of voxels within an ROI; the neurological effects of blast mTBI are diffuse, widespread and spatially variable | [45] |
| m: 34 C: 30 | m: 29.9 ± 6.4 (M); (19/15) 38.9 ± 13.2 (F); C: 36.6 ± 11.9 (M); 38.1 ± 10.3 (F) (14/16) | <2 weeks, 3 and 6 months | 3 | DTI/ enhanced Z-score micro-structural assessment for pathology (EZ-MAP; voxel-wise analysis) | High FA most frequently detected in the deep and subcortical white matter of the frontal, parietal and temporal lobes, and in the anterior portions of the CC Low FA values were observed in CR (anterior and superior), SCC, precentral white matter, IC and deep and subcortical WM | Unique spatial patterns of WM abnormalities in each patient Implications of high FA remain unclear, but may be evidence for a compensatory mechanism or plasticity in response to injury, rather than a direct manifestation of brain injury | [7] |
| m: 8 C: 0 | Between the ages of 18 and 40 (3/5) | <48 h | 3 | DTI/ROI based on tractography | Cingulum Memory performance appeared to mirror changes in FA in certain cases, supporting a pathophysiological basis to memory impairment following mTBI | | [46] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FQG: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior frontal occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussive syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: Post traumatic migraine; PTS: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.)

| Number of subjects | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | At least 6 months | 1.5 DTI/TBSS | Right SLF, left superior frontal gyrus, right insula, and left fornix | WM abnormalities in the frontotemporal regions in the depressed group, compared with the nondepressed group. Depression had white matter integrity in the chronic stage lower than those with a history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) was found in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | Not indicated | 3 DTI HARDI acquisition/TBSS analysis | FA is significantly lower in LOC compared with AOC, in bilateral brainstem, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, body of the CC, cingulum, SF and anterior thalamic radiations. Evidence of microstructural alterations in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | 2 days | 3 DTI/TBSS | Anterior CC, right CR and IC | TBSS showed fractional anisotropy (FA) to be significantly lower, and mean diffusivity (MD) to be higher in the mTBI group in several white matter tracts (FA = 0.47, MD = 0.39, 0.08 voxels). Compared with controls at 72 h after FA, significant differences in white matter integrity were found between the mTBI group and controls. |
| m: 26; C: 13 | 3–55 days | 3 MRS/Global | Postconcussion disorder (n = 15) had lower WM NAA than the controls (n = 12). Global WM NAA showed sensitivity to the TBI sequelae associated with common PCS. |
| m: 5; C: 50 | At least 10.2 months | 1.5 DTI/TBSS |  | In the chronic stage certain regions have abnormally reduced WM integrity; these brain regions are related to chronic persistent cognitive impairments. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | (37.1 ± 10.2) | 30.7 ± 12 | MRI within 1 month | WM abnormalities in the frontotemporal regions in the depressed group, compared with the nondepressed group. Depression had white matter integrity in the chronic stage lower than those with a history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) was found in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | (35.8 ± 13.4) | 30.7 ± 12 | MRI within 1 month | WM abnormalities in the frontotemporal regions in the depressed group, compared with the nondepressed group. Depression had white matter integrity in the chronic stage lower than those with a history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) was found in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | (37.1 ± 10.2) | 30.7 ± 12 | MRI within 1 month | WM abnormalities in the frontotemporal regions in the depressed group, compared with the nondepressed group. Depression had white matter integrity in the chronic stage lower than those with a history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) was found in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | (35.8 ± 13.4) | 30.7 ± 12 | MRI within 1 month | WM abnormalities in the frontotemporal regions in the depressed group, compared with the nondepressed group. Depression had white matter integrity in the chronic stage lower than those with a history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) was found in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | (37.1 ± 10.2) | 30.7 ± 12 | MRI within 1 month | WM abnormalities in the frontotemporal regions in the depressed group, compared with the nondepressed group. Depression had white matter integrity in the chronic stage lower than those with a history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) was found in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |
| m: 14 (with MDP and LOC) | (35.8 ± 13.4) | 30.7 ± 12 | MRI within 1 month | WM abnormalities in the frontotemporal regions in the depressed group, compared with the nondepressed group. Depression had white matter integrity in the chronic stage lower than those with a history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) was found in individuals with history of LOC, and may suggest brain tissue damage in chronic mTBI. |

Note: MDP: Modified Disorientation, W/O D: Without Disorientation, CC: Corpus Callosum, SF: Superior Frontal, SB: Superior Berry, FT: Frontal, EC: External Capillary, LF: Longitudinal Fasciculus, UF: Uncinate Fasciculus, CR: Corona Radiata, IC: Internal Capsule, PD: Parkinson’s Disease.
Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of subjects | Age (years) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/analysis method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions                                                                                     | Ref. |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| m: 20 (at base line) and 10 at follow-up; C: 16 | m: 34.8 ± 10.7 (4/16 at baseline 2/8 at follow-up) C: 35.1 ± 11.9 (3/13) | Within 1 month and >9 months | 3 | DTI and DKI/ROI | IC, EC, CC, cingulum, CSV at baseline. CC, cingulum, optic radiations and CSV at follow-up | DTI and DKI, I might be useful for investigating dynamic changes in WM and cognitive impairment during a short follow-up period | [51] |
| m: 11 with PPCS; C: 11 | m: 32.1 ± 8.5 (2/9) C: 32.1 ± 8.5 years (1/10) | 62.08 ± 46.3 months | 3 | DTI/ROI | CC | Individualized analysis shows promise for enhancing clinical care of PPCS patients as it could play a role in the diagnosis of brain injury not revealed using conventional imaging | [52] |
| m: 11; C: 11 | m: 15.09 ± 1.14 (6/5) C: 15.82 ± 1.78 (6/5) | 1–6 days | 3 | DTI/ROIs on fiber tracts | Fornix | Relation between lower performance on cognitive tasks and higher FA in fornix | [53] |
| m: 34; C: 42 | m: 34.9 (not indicated) (6/5) C: 38.3 (20/22) | <2 weeks | 3 | DTI/Voxel wise analysis (FMRIB diffusion toolbox) | Multiple WM regions, depending on individual patient | EZ-Map was used to provide robust approach for detecting abnormal FA in individual patients | [54] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuated inversion recovery; FOF: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior frontal-occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussive syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: Post traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
| Number of subjects | Age (years)/(F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/analysis method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions | Ref. |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------|
| **m**: 34 (with blast) **C**: 18 (without blast) | Blast: 31.6 ± 9.2 (0/34) nonblast: 32.8 ± 7.3 (1/17) | Not indicated | 3 | DTI/Voxel-wise analysis MPF based on MTR | Reduced FA in right genu of the CC in blast vs nonblast veterans; lower MPF in right genu of the CC, capsule-anterior limb; the interlobar right SLF; frontal and parietal subgyral WM (i.e., right precentral, superior white matter hyperintense lesions on FLAIR sequence were observed in mTBI [32]. In veterans with mTBI, it was shown that these lesions have an effect on verbal memory, independent of the presence of PTSD and middle frontal gyri, medial parietal gyrus/precuneus and left superior parietal lobule); frontal GM/WM border regions (i.e., right superior and middle frontal and left inferior frontal gyri) | Veterans with one or more blast-related mTBIs exhibit abnormalities of brain WM structural integrity and macromolecular organization that are not related to comorbid PTSD | [55] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FOF: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior frontal occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussion syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: Post traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of subjects | Age (years)/ (F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/ analysis method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions Ref. |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| m: 30 (decreased EF: 13; without decreased EF: 17) C: 15 | m: 30.7 ± 9.3 (87% M); C: 32.9 ± 8.2 (73% M) | Not indicated | 3 | DTI/ROI based on TBSS | Participants with EF decrements demonstrated significantly decreased FA in prefrontal white matter, CC and cingulum bundle structures compared with mTBI participants without EF decrements | LOC may be a risk factor for reduced EF as well as associated changes to ventral prefrontal white matter [56] |
| m: 21 C: 22 | m: 33.2 ± 12.4 (2/19); C: 31.5 ± 11.7 (2/20) | Within 3 days | 1.5 | MRS/ROI | Significant decrease in NAA was found in both frontal lobes and in NAA/Cre ratio in the right frontal lobe; lower NAA was found in upper brainstem in the subgroup of patients with post-traumatic unconsciousness; regions contain both GM and WM | Correlation between metabolite changes and cognitive decline and presence or absence of loss of consciousness in acute phase [57] |
| m: 103 (ES: 43, LS: 33, Ch: 27); C: 21 | m(ES): 40.63 ± 17.31(10/43); m(LS): 37.64 ± 16.60 (10/43) Ch: 40.11 ± 17.33 (9/27) C: 39.76 ± 18.04 (8/21) | ES: 5.44 ± 3.15 days LS: 37.00 ± 12.26 days Ch: 195.30 ± 19.60 | 3 | MRS/3D PRESS | Reduced Cho/Cr in Subacute phase in CSV; positive association of Cr (in ES) in the centrum semiovale with chronic automated neuropsychological assessment metrics | Metabolic measurements in centrum semiovale can potentially serve as diagnostic and prognostic markers in mTBI [58] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FOF: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior frontal occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussion syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPSC: Persistent postconcussive symptoms; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: post traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
### Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of subjects | Age (years) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions | Ref. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------|
| m: 76 (44 without intracranial lesions; 32 with intracranial lesions: 32) C: 50 | | Lower FA in GCC, UF and anterior CR bilaterally as well as right IC and EC in patients with intracranial lesions compared with controls; no difference in the DTI parameters between subjects with and without intracranial lesions | 3 | DTI/Voxel-wise and ROI | | For subset of patients lacking neuropsychiatric and substance abuse history, MRI surpassed all other predictors for both 3- and 6-month outcome | [42] |
| m: 21 (with concussion) | | Regions implicated are all in right hemisphere: posterior limb of the IC, retrolenticular part of IC, sagittal stratum (ILF, IFOF) and ATR | 3 | DTI/TBSS | | Support the hypothesis of increased RD and reduced FA within 72-h post injury, followed by recovery that extended beyond 2 weeks; RD appears to be sensitive measure of concussive injury | [59] |
| m: 69; C: 21 | | Lower FA value in in males compared with females | 1.5 | DTI/TBSS | | Relative sparing of the UF is seen in females compared with male patients, with sex and FA in UF as stronger predictors of TSR than initial symptom severity | [60] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FO: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussion syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: post traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
| Number of subjects | Age (years) / (F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/analysis method | Affected/Measured WM regions | Major conclusions | Ref. |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------|
| m: 62 C: 59        | m: 30.4 ± 8.8 (17/45) | 27.1 ± 13.7 h and 97.9 ± 17.57 days | 3 | DTI/TBSS MTR MRS based on 2D phase encoding | At baseline, MD was significantly higher in mTBI cohort relative to comparison group in several WM regions that included IC, superior CR, anterior CR, posterior CR, IFOF, ILF, forceps major and forceps minor of CC, SLF and CST in the right hemisphere | Number of WM tracts are affected in mTBI in acute phase of injury and these changes disappear by 90 days none of the MRI modalities used in this study, with the exception of DTI, is sensitive in detecting changes in the acute phase of mTBI | [30] |
| m: 36 OI: 37       | m: 29.0 ± 8.4 (13/23) | ~24 h and ~90 days | 3 | DTI/ROI based on atlas Volumetry | Elevated MD in CR | Potential utility of DTI to capture transient edema in CR | [61] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FOF: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussive disorder; PCS: Postconcussion syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPSC: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRES: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: Post-traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLP: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
## Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of subjects | Age (years)/ (F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/ analysis method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| **m:** 45          | (38: neuropsychiatric symptoms; 32: irritability; 32: depression; 18: anxiety) | m: range 11–47 (11/27) C: 10–28 (8/21) | Median 20 days (range 0–506 days) | DTI/ROI based on TBSS | Compared with controls, mTBI patients with depression had decreased FA in the superior longitudinal fasciculus, WM around the nucleus accumbens and anterior limb of IC | Detection of the central white matter injuries that underlie depression and anxiety, but not irritability, indicates that not all neuropsychiatric symptoms after mTBI are result of discrete white matter injuries |
| **C:** 29          |                    |                 |                   |                            |                             |                   |
| **m:** 59 (31: with LOC; 28: without LOC) | (C: 55 (blast exposed without mTBI)) | M (without LOC: 29.6 ± 7.7 (1/30); with LOC: 27.9 ± 4.2 (0/28); C: 30.5 ± 6.7) | m: (without LOC): 12.6 ± 12.2 months m (with LOC): 7.2 ± 8.6 months C: 9.4 ± 11.7 months | DTI/appears like voxel-wise analysis | Reduced FA in left retrolenticular part of the internal capsule | These results support postmortem reports of diffuse axonal injury following mTBI and suggest that injuries with LOC involvement may be particularly detrimental to white matter integrity. These results also suggest that LOC-associated WM abnormalities influence neurocognitive function |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FO: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OR: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussive syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PRCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: post traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.

Ref. [62]
Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of subjects | Age (years)/ (F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/analysis method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions | Ref. |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------|
| m: 38 C: 20       | m: 33.37 ± 6.44 (5/33) C: 29.35 ± 5.49 (10/10) | m: 90.92 ± 48.23 days | 3 | DTI/tractography | No significant differences in FA between the C and m groups in brainstem white matter tracts (i.e., medial lemniscus-central tegmentum); CST, and pontine tegmentum | Collectively, these data point to important neurobiological substrates of the chronic and complex constellation of symptoms following mTBI in veterans | [64] |
| m: 23 C: 20 (OI) | m: 13.2 ± 1.8 (2/21) C: 12.7 ± 1.5 (5/15) | m: 45.0 ± 17.6 h OI: 48.2 ± 21.1 h | 3 | Voxel-wise analysis | m group had significantly higher FA and AD in middle temporal gyrus WM, superior temporal gyrus WM, anterior CR and SLF; m group had also significantly lower MD and/or RD in a few WM regions including the middle frontal gyrus WM and anterior CR | Alternations of diffusivity in spatially heterogeneous WM regions shortly after mTBI in youth may reflect restrictive water diffusion in WM early post injury | [65] |
| m: 40 C: 50       | m: 38.03 ± 13.69 (16/24) C: 29.88 ± 10.75 (12/38) | 74.43 ± 103.37 h | 3 | DTI/tractography | Major WM tracts include CC, and SLF and ILF | Increased interactions among action–emotion and action–cognition as well as within perception networks; suggests that mTBI may result in changes of structural and functional connectivity on a connectome scale at the acute stage | [66] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FOF: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior frontal occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussion syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: post traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SF: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
### Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of subjects | Age (years) / (F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique / analysis method | Affected / measured WM regions | Major conclusions | Ref. |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| m: 11 (sustained concussion during the study) C: 24 | 21.2 ± 3.1 (20/25) | 72 h, 2 weeks, 2 months | 3 | Myelin water imaging | Reduction in myelin water fraction at 2 weeks post injury relative to preseason scans in the SCC, right posterior thalamic radiation, left superior CR, left SLF and left posterior limb of IC Myelin water fraction recovered to preseason values by 2 months post injury | Indicate transient myelin disruption following a single mTBI, with subsequent remyelination of affected neurons; myelin disruption was not apparent in the athletes who did not experience a concussion, despite exposure to repetitive subconcussive trauma over a season of collegiate hockey; findings may help explain many of the metabolic and neurological deficits observed clinically following mTBI; myelin disruption was not apparent in the athletes who did not experience a concussion, despite exposure to repetitive subconcussive trauma over a season of collegiate hockey | [67] |
| m: 74 (57 with PTM and 17 without PTM) C: 42 (22 healthy controls and 20 migraine controls) | m: 18 with a range of 10–47 (23/51) C: Healthy controls 18.8 with a range of 6–44 (12/10) Migraine controls 21.7 with a range of 16–43 (10/10) | 20 days (range: 0–506 days) | 1.5 | DTI / Whole brain FA histogram and Shannon Entropy | – | SE more accurately reveals mTBI than mean FA, more accurately reveals those patients with mTBI who develop PTM, and inversely correlates with time to recovery | [68] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; B E: Blast exposed; B I: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation inversion recovery; FOF: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCS: Postconcussion syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: post traumatic migraine; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PTSS: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region of interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
| Number of subjects | Age (years) (F/M) | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/analysis method | Affected/measured WM regions | Major conclusions | Ref. |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------|
| m: 79 OI: 64       | m: 29.6 ± 8.8 (24/55) OI: 28.8 ± 8.5 (17/47) | 25.9 ± 12.3 h 94.4 ± 8.7 | 3 | Tractography | FA and MD in left and right UF, left and right IFOF and G CC | LOC was significantly related to MD in UF and IFOF and to FA in left UF and right UF Between-group differences in MD were significant for left UF, left and right IFOF and genu of CC on initial DTI, but not at 3 months post injury; these differences were specific to mTBI subgroup with LOC; early DTI may provide a biomarker for mTBI with LOC, even in patients whose consciousness recovers by arrival in the emergency department; MD better differentiates mTBI from OI than FA on early DTI, but this is specific to mTBI with LOC | [69] |
| m: 56 (43: passed PVT; 13: failed PVT) C: 23 | m: PVT passed: 32.9 ± 8.2 (7/16); PVT failed 31.5 ± 8.5 (1/12) C: 32.9 ± 7.9 (7/16) | m PVT passed: 64.4 ± 43.8 mos; PVT failed: 34.0 ± 20.3 months | 3 | DTI/tractography | Anterior IC, cingulum and CC | WM abnormalities are evident in those who failed PVTs; poor PVT performance does not negate the possibility of underlying WM abnormalities in mTBI | [70] |
| m: 3 C: 18 (three groups of 6, one for each m patient) | m: 44.0 ± 9.1 (1/2) C: 54.2 ± 3.9/43.7/43.0 ± 3.9/43.7 (6/12) | m: PVT passed: 32.9 ± 8.2 (7/16); PVT failed 31.5 ± 8.5 (1/12) C: 32.9 ± 7.9 (7/16) | 2 and 3 months | DTI/tractography | Inferior cerebellar peduncle | Fiber number of the ICPs decreased by more than 2 SD compared with those of subjects in the control group; evaluation of ICP tractography would be useful in patients with a balance problem | [71] |
| m: 102 C: 30       | m: 47 ± 20 (32/70) C: 50 ± 20; 38.1 ± 10.3 (F) (16/14) | 21 ± 15 days | 3 | DTI/TBSS, single fiber skeleton and whole brain | Decreased FA, increased MD, RD and AD, globally | mTBI is associated with changes in WM; correlation between DTI changes outcome | [72] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; Bl: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation inversion recovery; FO: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior frontal occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MPF: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetisation transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCT: Postconcussion disorder; PCD: Postconcussion disorder; PCT: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; Wm: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
Table 1. Publications on white matter changes in human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of subjects          | Age (years)/F/M | Postinjury time | Field strength (T) | Technique/method | Affected/measured WM regions                                         | Major conclusions            | Ref. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| M: 25 (sports related concussion) |
| C: 15                       |
| m: 21.2 ± 3.1 (0/25)        | 72 h, 2 weeks, 2 months | 3               | FLAR, SWI, volumetric | Small reduction in brain volume at 2 weeks and 2 months; no WMH or microbleeds | –                             | [73] |

AD: Axial diffusivity; AOC: Alteration of consciousness; ATR: Anterior thalamic radiation; BE: Blast exposed; BI: Blast injury; C: Controls; CC: Corpus callosum; Ch: Chronic; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CR: Corona radiata; CST: Corticospinal tract; CSV: Centrum semiovale; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; EC: External capsule; EF: Executive function; ES: Early subacute; F: Female; FLAIR: Fluid attenuation by inversion recovery; FOF: Fronto-occipital fasciculus; GCC: Genu of the corpus callosum; GO: Good outcome; HARDI: High angular resolution diffusion imaging; IC: Internal capsule; ICP: Inferior cerebellar peduncle; IFOF: Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF: Inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LOC: Loss of consciousness; LS: Late subacute; M: Male; m: mTBI; MD: Mean diffusivity; MDP: Major depression; MFR: Macromolecular proton fraction; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MRI: Magnetsion transfer ratio; OI: Orthopedic injury; PCS: Postconcussive syndrome; PO: Poor outcome; PPCS: Persistent postconcussive symptom; PRESS: Point-resolved spectroscopy; PTM: Post-traumatic migraine; PSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; PVT: Performance validity test; RD: Radial diffusivity; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; SE: Shannon entropy; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; SWI: Susceptibility weighted imaging; TBSS: Tract-based spatial statistics; TSR: Time to symptom resolution; UF: Uncinate fasciculus; WM: White matter; WMH: WM hyperintense lesion.
Gaussian behavior in brain which has a complex tract arrangement. Diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI)\textsuperscript{[113]} to some extent accounts for the non-Gaussian behavior of water diffusion. DKI also helps calculate axonal water fraction and assessment of axonal and/or myelin loss \textsuperscript{[114]}. Conventional DTI is typically acquired at $b = 0$ and approximately 1000 s mm$^{-2}$, with multiple gradient orientations. In contrast, DKI data are acquired at multiple $b$-values with multiple gradient orientations. To reduce the acquisition times, it is common to acquire DKI data with two nonzero $b$-values. Typically used $b$-values in DKI are approximately 1000 cm$^2$s$^{-1}$ and $\sim$2000 cm$^2$s$^{-1}$. The commonly derived DKI measures are mean kurtosis and axial and radial kurtosis. The DKI and DTI measures are mathematically related\textsuperscript{[115]}.

Using conventional DTI, it is difficult to resolve crossing and kissing fibers. The inability to resolve crossing fibers makes the interpretation of diffusion measurements difficult. These problems can be overcome to some extent using advanced diffusion imaging. High angular resolution diffusion imaging (HARDI) is used
to resolve crossing fibers (see, e.g., [116]). HARDI data are acquired using a larger number of gradient directions and orientations. HARDI is particularly useful for visualizing complex tract arrangement in brain. HARDI is typically acquired at multiple b values and large number of gradient directions. The orientation direction function is determined from the HARDI data for determining the tract direction. The anisotropy is generally expressed as diffusion anisotropy and generalized FA [116].

It is worth pointing that from the acquisition point of view DTI is a subset of DKI and HARDI. The DTI measures can be derived both from the DKI and HARDI data. The longer scan times are limitations of HARDI and DKI.

**Susceptibility-weighted imaging**

Magnetic susceptibility, \( \chi \), is a basic material property that is a measure of the ability of an applied magnetic field to magnetize the material. Mathematically it can be represented by the equation \( M = \chi B \), where \( M \) is the magnetization induced by the magnetic field \( B \). Susceptibility is positive for paramagnetic and ferromagnetic materials and negative for diamagnetic substances, such as water. Susceptibility is a dimensionless quantity and different substances have different values. For example, the \( \chi \) value of pure water is \(-9.05 \times 10^{-6}\). In contrast, the \( \chi \) values of deoxygenated and oxygenated red blood cells are \(-6.52 \times 10^{-6}\) and \(-9.19 \times 10^{-6}\), respectively. In comparison, ferritin loaded fully with 4500 ferric ions has a positive \( \chi \) of \(520 \times 10^{-6}\). Tissues and biological substances have different susceptibilities. SWI exploits the differences in the susceptibility values between different tissues. SWI is most commonly used for visualizing veins and hemorrhage in the tissue. The principles and applications of SWI are recently reviewed [117]. It is one of the most sensitive techniques for visualizing microhemorrhage, thought to be an indicator of DAI. The sensitivity of SWI increases with the magnetic field strength.

**Magnetization transfer imaging**

Tissue contains at least two types of water pools: mobile and tightly bound to macromolecules such as myelin. The MR signal mainly arises from the mobile water protons. Because of the short T2 relaxation time, the tightly bound water proton signal is very broad and cannot be directly detected on MRI. However, the presence of tightly bound water protons can be detected indirectly by transferring magnetization from the bound water protons to the mobile water protons using an off-resonance radio frequency (RF) pulse whose frequency is slightly different (~ few kHz) from that of the mobile water proton frequency. Because of the large line width of the magnetic resonance signal from the bound water protons, this off-resonance pulse can excite the bound water protons without directly affecting the mobile water proton signal. This transfer of magnetization from one pool to another is referred to as magnetization transfer imaging (MTI). In a typical MTI experiment the MR signal is acquired with (\( M_{\text{on}} \)) and without (\( M_{\text{off}} \)) the off-resonance pulse. The MTR is calculated on a pixel-by-pixel basis as \( \text{MTR} = (M_{\text{off}} - M_{\text{on}})/M_{\text{off}} \). The MTR signal depends on the concentration of the bound water molecules, among others. Since myelin is the major macromolecular entity in brain, MTR is an indirect measure of myelin concentration. The MTR signal also depends on the amplitude and frequency of the off-resonance pulse. MTR is a semiquantitative technique that is affected by the

| WM tract                                      | Number of publications |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Corpus callosum (splenium 8; genu: 8; body: 2; regions not specified: 6) | 24                     |
| Internal capsule                             | 10                     |
| Superior longitudinal fasciculus            | 10                     |
| Corona radiata                               | 8                      |
| Anterior thalamic radiations                 | 7                      |
| Inferior longitudinal fasciculus            | 5                      |
| Frontal WM                                   | 4                      |
| Fornix                                       | 3                      |
| Cingulum                                     | 3                      |
| Centrum semiovale                            | 2                      |
| Corticospinal tracts                         | 2                      |

|                                           |                         |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| These data are based on 37 publications since 2011. |
| WM: White matter.                        |                         |
Table 4. Summary of magnetic resonance spectroscopy results published on human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011.

| Number of mTBI subjects/age in years and gender (F/M) | Number controls/age (F/M) | Field strength (T) | Postinjury time | Cross-sectional (X) or longitudinal (L) | MRS acquisition and analysis | TE (ms) | WM structure Absolute concentrations (AC) or ratios (R) | Main results | Ref. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------|------------|------|
| 30/27.30 ± 9.52 (17/13) | 30/29.41 ± 10.89 (15/15) | 3 | 13.13 ± 5.90 days and 120.47 ± 1330 days | L (scanned at 2 time points) | 2D CSI LC model | NI | AC referenced to water | Concentrations of Cr and combined glutamate-glutamine signal were elevated in the mTBI group; partial normalization of these neurochemicals and NAA occurred in early days post injury and recovered during the semi-acute period | [34] |
| 15/20.6 ± 1.2 (9/6) | 15/20.4 ± 0.8 (8/7) | 3 | Mean 10.8 days | X | 3D CSI Siemens software | 135 | SCC and GCC | In genu both NAA/Cho and NAA/Cr ratios were significantly lower in mTBI group compared with controls; in GCC both NAA/Cho and NAA/Cr were significantly lower in mTBI compared with controls | [150] |
| 28/20.3 ± 1.53 (15/13) | 20/20.2 ± 0.83 (10/10) | 3 | 11.4 ± 6.1 days | L | 3D CSI jMRIU | 135 | SCC and GCC | Reduced NAA/Cho and NAA/Cr in GCC and SCC regardless of number of mTBIs symptom resolution | [35] |
| With PCS (PCS+): 15/36 ± 1 (4/11) | Without PCS (PCS-): 11/30 ± 11 (1/10) | 3 | 20 ± 9 days | X | 3D CSI In-house software | NI | Global WM | PCS+ and PCS- did not differ in metabolite levels; lower WM NAA compared with healthy controls; MRS levels did not correlate with postinjury time | [9] |
| 26/33 ± 11 (5/21) | 13/33 ± 12 (5/8) | 3 | 21 ± 14 days | X | 2D CSI (3 interleaved slices) In-house software | 35 | Global WM | Mean Cr, Cho and mI levels in WM in mTBI were not different from controls; NAA levels in WM were significantly lower in patients than in controls; findings support hypothesis that, similar to more severe head trauma, mTBI also results in diffuse axonal injury, but that dysfunction rather than cell death dominates shortly after injury | [10] |

This table only includes magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies on white matter.
LC model is a commercially available software package and jMRIU is a freely available software package for analyzing MRS data.

2D: Two-dimensional; 3D: Three-dimensional; AC: Absolute concentration; CC: Corpus callosum; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CSI: Chemical shift imaging (also referred to as MRS imaging); CSV: Centrum semiovale; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; ESA: Early subacute; F: Female; GCC: Genu of corpus callosum; Glx: Glutamine + glutamate; L: Longitudinal; LSA: Late subacute; M: Male; mI: Myoinositol; NAA: N-acetyl aspartate; NI: Not indicated; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCS: Postconcussion symptoms; R: Neurochemical ratio; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; T: Tesla (magnetic field strength); TE: Echo time; X: Cross sectional.
### Table 4. Summary of magnetic resonance spectroscopy results published on human mild traumatic brain injury since 2011 (cont.).

| Number of mTBI subjects/age in years and gender (F/M) | Number controls/age (F/M) | Field strength (T) | Postinjury time | Cross-sectional (X) or longitudinal (L) | MRS acquisition and analysis | TE (ms) | WM structure Absolute concentrations (AC) or ratios (R) | Main results | Ref. |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|------|
| 11/24.6 ± 6.4 (3/8)                                  | 11/25.9 ± 5.7 (3/8)       | 3                 | 3, 15, 30 and 45 days | L                                | Single voxel Philips provided software | 144     | WM at GM–WM junction R                       | Compared with controls, athletes showed increased NAA/Cr at 3 days post concussion, followed by decrease and subsequent normalization at 30 and 45 days post concussion; NAA/Cho decreased at 3, 15 and 30 days post concussion and normalized by 45 days; compared with controls, significant increase in Cho/Cr after 3 and 15 days and no differences were seen at 30 and 45 days | [36]|
| 21/33.2 ± 12.4 (2/19)                                | 22/31.5 ± 11.7 (2/29)    | 1.5               | 48.9 ± 16.0 h       | X                               | Single voxel LC model | 135     | 3 ROIs; bilateral frontal lobe in dorsolateral prefrontal area and upper brainstem (not pure WM) AC (arbitrary units referenced to water); ratios were used for statistical comparison | Reduced NAA/Cr in right and in NAA in left frontal lobe compared with controls; neurochemical changes correlated with cognitive decline and presence or absence of loss of consciousness in the acute phase of injury | [57]|
| ESA: 43/40.6 ± 17.3 (10/33)                          | 21/39.8 ± 18.0 (8/13)    | 3                 | 5.44 ± 3.15 days    | L                                | 3D CSI LC model | 135     | CSV R; expressed relative to absolute Cr concentration | No statistically significant changes in NAA/Cr at any stage of mTBI compared with healthy controls; reduction in Cho/Cr at the LSA stage; no change in Cr at any stage of mTBI | [58]|

This table only includes magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies on white matter. LC model is a commercially available software package and jMRUI is a freely available software package for analyzing MRS data. 2D: Two-dimensional; 3D: Three-dimensional; AC: Absolute concentration; CC: Corpus callosum; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CSI: Chemical shift imaging (also referred to MRS imaging); CSV: Centrum semiovale; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; ESA: Early subacute; F: Female; GCC: Genu of corpus callosum; Glx: Glutamine + glutamate; L: Longitudinal; LSA: Late subacute; M: Male; mI: Myo-inositol; NAA: N-acetyl aspartate; NI: Not indicated; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCS: Postconcussion symptoms; R: Neurochemical ratio; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; T: Tesla (magnetic field strength); TE: Echo time; X: Cross sectional.
| Number of mTBI subjects/age in years and gender (F/M) | Number of controls/age (F/M) | Field strength (T) | Postinjury time | Cross-sectional (X) or longitudinal (L) | MRS acquisition and analysis | TE (ms) | WM structure Absolute concentrations (AC) or ratios (R) | Main results | Ref. |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| 62/30.4 ± 8.8 (19/43)                                 | 59/29.2 ± 9.0 (14/45)         | 25.5 ± 12.3 h and 97.9 ± 17.6 days | L               | 2D CSI LC model                        | WM including CC R             | 53     | No significant differences were found in any neurochemicals between mTBI and OI cohorts either at initial or follow-up scans | [30]          |
| 25/33.6 ± 11.2 (5/20)                                 | NI                            | 21.2 ± 14.3       | X               | 2D CSI (3 interleaved slices) in-house software(?) | 35 Global WM, GCC and SCC AC |        | Main purpose is to compare DTI, DKI with NAA; NAA may reflect TBI processes related to intra-axonal injury, as well as axonal degeneration in mTBI | [151]         |

This table only includes magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies on white matter. LC model is a commercially available software package and jMRUI is a freely available software package for analyzing MRS data. 2D: Two-dimensional; 3D: Three-dimensional; AC: Absolute concentration; CC: Corpus callosum; Cho: Choline; Cr: Creatine; CSI: Chemical shift imaging (also referred to as MRS imaging); CSV: Centrum semiovale; DKI: Diffusion kurtosis imaging; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; ESI: Early subacute; F: Female; GCC: Genu of corpus callosum; Glx: Glutamine + glutamate; L: Longitudinal; LSA: Late subacute; M: Male; mi: Myoinositol; NAA: N-acetyl aspartate; NI: Not indicated; OI: Orthopedic injured; PCS: Postconcussion symptoms; R: Neurochemical ratio; ROI: Region-of-interest; SCC: Splenium of corpus callosum; T: Tesla (magnetic field strength); TE: Echo time; X: Cross-sectional.

### Myelin water imaging

As indicated above, protons associated with myelin are much less mobile and have short T2 relaxation times that vary from 10 to 1 ms and are difficult to detect because of myelin's T2 relaxation time. However, ultrashort echo time (UTE) techniques can detect the myelin layers between regular T2 relaxation times and have been recently reviewed [109].

### Anatomical MRI

It is generally thought that the drainage of myelin and axons. MRI can be used to detect tissue pathology, such as multiple sclerosis [121]. Anatomical MRI is an excellent modality for estimating regional, tissue-specific, and whole brain atrophy. As indicated above, protons associated with myelin are much less mobile and have short T2 relaxation times that vary from 10 to 1 ms and are difficult to detect because of myelin's T2 relaxation time. However, ultrashort echo time (UTE) techniques can detect the myelin layers between regular T2 relaxation times and have been recently reviewed [109].

### Ultrashort TE technique

As indicated above, protons associated with myelin are much less mobile and have short T2 relaxation times that vary from 10 to 1 ms and are difficult to detect because of myelin's T2 relaxation time. However, ultrashort echo time (UTE) techniques can detect the myelin layers between regular T2 relaxation times and have been recently reviewed [109].

### Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS)

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) exploits small differences in the nuclear resonance frequency as a result of the local chemical environment. These small frequency differences allow the detection and quantification of various molecules. Since biochemical changes precede anatomical changes, MRS has the potential to detect tissue pathology prior to visualizing on anatomical imaging. The four biologically most important nuclei for MRS are 1H (proton), 13C, Na, and 23Na.
and $^{31}$P. Of these nuclei, $^1$H has the largest abundance in tissue and highest MR sensitivity. In addition, $^1$H MRS (PMRS or simply, MRS) does not require additional hardware. Therefore, majority of the published studies focused on PMRS.

The major neurochemicals that can be detected with MRS are: n-acetylaspartate, (NAA) + N-acetylaspartylglutamate (NAAG), total creatine (Cr; creatine + phosphocreatine), total choline (Cho; has contributions from multiple molecules that include phosphorylcholine, glycerophosphorylcholine and choline plasmalogen, and a minor contribution from acetylcholine and choline), myoinositol (mI) and lipids. The resonant frequencies of NAA and NAAG are very close and cannot be easily separated. And also the concentration of NAAG is much lower than NAA. Therefore, in the literature, the combined peak is generally referred to as NAA. In addition to the above molecules, one can also detect lactate when it is present. Glutamate and glutamine overlap and difficult to resolve clearly at clinically used magnetic field strengths and the combined peak is referred to as Glx. Note that Glx may also have contributions from gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA). Detection and quantification of molecules such as GAB require some type of editing. The MRS peaks are identified by their spectral location which is most commonly expressed as parts per million (ppm) and is independent of the magnetic field strength. For example, the resonance frequencies of NAA, Cr and Cho are 2.02, 3.0 and 3.2 ppm, respectively. Of all these neurochemicals, NAA perhaps attracted the greatest attention because it is considered to be a neuronal (cell bodies, axons and dendrites) marker in adult brain. Creatine is an indicator of total energy and intracellular metabolism. It is generally thought that Cr is unaffected by tissue pathology and is often used as an internal reference. However, a number of studies have shown that the level of Cr is affected by pathology. Choline reflects cell membrane metabolism. Elevated choline is a marker of cellular turnover and cellular proliferation. Myoinositol is considered to be a glial marker and precursor of phospholipid membrane constituents and its concentration is affected by the formation and breakdown of myelin. The concentration of lactate is fairly low in normal brain (CSF has a higher concentration of lactate than the brain parenchyma) and elevated lactate is a marker of anaerobic glycolysis.

MRS can be acquired either from one ROI at a time (referred to as single voxel MRS or SVS) or simultaneously from multiple voxels, referred to as MRS imaging (MRSI) or chemical shift imaging (CSI). SVS is simple to acquire and generally has superior MRS quality. MRSI allows visualization of spatial distribution within a large region and is technically a little more demanding than SVS and requires longer acquisition times. On modern MRI scanners both SVS and MRSI can be acquired routinely. For technical reasons, most frequently MRSI is acquired from a limited region in the brain. This requires spatial localization and the two most common sequences for spatial localization are point-resolved spectroscopy (PRESS) and stimulated echo acquisition mode (STEAM). The SNR with PRESS is twice that of STEAM. But STEAM can be acquired at shorter echo times than PRESS, thus allowing recovery of some of the lost SNR. It is also possible to acquire MRS from the whole brain without any localization, but is technically challenging. Since the neurochemical concentrations are several orders smaller than tissue water, techniques for water peak suppression are most commonly employed in MRS. However, more recently proton MRS techniques without water suppression are introduced [124].

MRS can be acquired either at short echo times (TE; ~30 ms or less) and long echo times (~140 or ~270 ms). The advantage of short echo MRS is that neurochemicals with short T2 relaxation times such as mI and Glx can be visualized. However, not all the spectral peaks are resolved at short echo times, making it difficult to quantify. The disadvantage of long TE MRS is the difficulty in visualizing neurochemicals with short T2 relaxation times. However, in long TE MRS, the peaks show reduced overlap and the baseline is cleaner for more robust quantification. Long TE MRS is most commonly used to reduce the contamination from lipids (which have a shorter T2 relaxation times) and visualize lactate which overlaps with lipid peaks.

The two major issues with MRS are the relatively poor spatial resolution and long acquisition times. This is one reason why MRS has not gained popularity in routine clinical studies even though it predates MRI.

MRS is inherently a quantitative technique. The simplest way to quantify neurochemical concentrations is to express them as ratios relative to Cr. This assumes that Cr is unaffected by pathology which is not always true. There are number of software packages, both commercial and free for spectral quantification and determination of both absolute concentrations and as ratios. More details can be found in two recent reviews [125,126].

**Application of MRI to WM injury in mTBI**

The application of the above-described MRI techniques to investigate WM changes in mTBI is reviewed below.

**DTI**

The changes in WM on DTI are mainly assessed based on the altered FA and MD values relative to some con-
Table 5. Preclinical studies since 2011 that include immunohistochemistry and neuroimaging.

| Animal species and sample size (n) | Injury/surgical procedure and description | Post-injury time | Brief summary of methods and affected WM structures | Behavioral tests and results | Histology | Ref. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------|
| Female Wistar rats 10 (TBI: 5; sham: 5) | Controlled cortical impact/craniotomy | 2 months         | Both in vivo and ex vivo DTI (15 and 30 gradient directions for in vivo and ex vivo, respectively) at 7T ROI analysis CC | – | GFAP, MBP, MAP2, SMI31, SMI32 stainings Decreased MBP and GFAP; SMI 31 and SMI 32 unaltered | [160] |
| Male Wistar rats 10 (TBI: 5; Sham: 5) | Impact–acceleration model (Marmarou)/midline scalp incision Severity not mentioned | 30 min, 1, 2, 4 and 5 h | In vivo DTI (6 and 21 gradient directions) at 7T; ROI analysis CC | – | Hoechst and MBP stainings: No evidence of fiber organization Silver staining: Fiber disorganization in traumatized CC | [155] |
| Sprague-Dawley albino rats 16 (4 per group at 4 time points) | mTBI Impact–acceleration model (Marmarou) model/not indicated | 4 and 24 h, 3 days and 7 days | In vivo and ex vivo DTI: TBSS analysis (field strength and number of gradients not indicated) CC SWI voxel-wise analysis left CC | – | APP staining: Corresponding to the SWI and DTI showing axonal injury in CC | [140] |
| Male C57Bl/6 mice 18 (rcTBI at 3 different time points: 12; sham: 6) | Mild repetitive closed-skull TBI (rcTBI) using electromagnetic stereotaxic impact Device/midline incision Two concussive impacts within a 24-h period to exposed skull | | In vivo DTI (6 gradient directions) at 4.7 T; ROI analysis CC and EC | – | APP staining Only occasional APP-positive swellings were detectable in injured mice Iba-1 and silver staining Positive at 7 days post injury (microglial activation and axonal injury) | [156] |

Studies on only WM are included in this table. Since in animals classification of TBI as mTBI is somewhat ambiguous, some important studies are included even when the publications do not explicitly classify the injury as mTBI.

? Indicates that explicit details are not provided in the publication; AC: Anterior commissure; AD: Axial diffusivity; atm: Atmosphere; AO: Apical oblique; APP: Amyloid precursor protein; BS: Basal shift; CC: Corpus callosum; CCI: Controlled cortical impact; Ci: Cingulum; CP: Cerebral peduncle; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; EC: External capsule; EPM: Elevated plus maze; FA: Fractional anisotropy; Fi: Fimbria; J: Joule (unit of energy); LISW: Laser-induced shock wave; MCW: Medical College of Wisconsin; MD: Mean diffusivity; mFPI: Mild fluid percussion injury; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; MVM: Mild ventriculomegaly; MWM: Morris water maze; OT: Optic tract; PLP: Proteolipid protein; PSI: Pounds per square inch; QSM: Quantitative susceptibility mapping; rcTBI: Repetitive closed skull TBI; RD: Radial diffusivity; rmChi: Repetitive mild closed; rmTBI: Repeated mTBI; TAI: Traumatic axonal injury; WDI: Weight drop injury.
### Table 5. Preclinical studies since 2011 that include immunohistochemistry and neuroimaging (cont.).

| Animal species and sample size | Injury/surgical procedure and description | Post-injury time | Brief summary of methods and affected WM structures | Behavioral tests and results | Histology | Ref. |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------|
| Male Sprague–Dawley rats 48 (1 atm: 20; 1.5 atm: 11; sham: 17) | mTBI; lateral fluid percussion injury at pressure of 1.0 or 1.5 atm/craniotomy | Day 15 (7) | ex vivo DTI (42 gradient directions) at 7T ROI analysis | 1.0 atm FPI: no vestibulomotor, motor, or spatial learning and memory deficits 1.5 atm FPI: transient motor dysfunction and transient deficit in motor coordination seen Spatial learning and short-term memory dysfunction | Silver staining Increased silver impregnation observed in the CC, Ci, IC and EC at 1.5 atm FPI APP staining Decreased myelination in CC ipsilaterally at 1.5 atm FPI Iba-1 and GFAP increased inflammation in CC at both 1.0 and 1.5 atm FPI even at 2 weeks injury | [11] |
| Male Sprague–Dawley rats 21 (single mTBI: 7; rmTBI: 7; sham: 7) | mTBI; CCI/ craniotomy single mTBI to right cortex rmTBI (bilateral) Initial injury to right cortex followed by a second injury to the left cortex after 7 days | 60 days post injury | Ex vivo DTI (6 gradient directions) at 11.7T ROI analysis CC and Ci | – | Luxol Fast Blue staining Increases in right and left CC area and midline CC width in rmTBI group Electron microscopy of CC: ↑ g-ratio (↑ axon caliber & ↓ myelin thickness in rmTBI) Indicating WM ultrastructure compromise | [157] |
| Male Sprague–Dawley rats 28 (7 groups: 4/group; group1: control; groups 2, 3 and 4: blunt trauma; groups 5, 6 and 7: blast trauma) | Mild blunt and blast trauma with a custom-built device/none | 1, 4 and 7 days | In vivo DTI (21 gradient directions) at 3 T Three-dimensional fiber tracking CC | – | H&E staining Increased voids in the extracellular matrix following blunt and blast compared with controls Both injuries reduced nearest neighboring distance of fibers at day 1 and 7 | [162] |

Studies on only WM are included in this table. Since in animals classification of TBI as mTBI is somewhat ambiguous, some important studies are included even when the publications do not explicitly classify the injury as mTBI.

?: Indicates that explicit details are not provided in the publication; AC: Anterior commissure; AD: Axial diffusivity; atm: Atmosphere; AO: Apical oblique; APP: Amyloid precursor protein; BS: Basal shift; CC: Corpus callosum; CCI: Controlled cortical impact; CI: Cingulum; CP: Cerebral peduncle; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; EC: External capsule; EPM: Elevated plus maze; FA: Fractional anisotropy; Fi: Fimbria; J: Joule (unit of energy); LISW: Laser-induced shock wave; MCW: Medical College of Wisconsin; MD: Mean diffusivity; mFPI: Mild fluid percussion injury; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; MVM: Mild ventriculomegaly; MWM: Morris water maze; OT: Optic tract; PLP: Proteolipid protein; PSI: Pounds per square inch; QSM: Quantitative susceptibility mapping; rCTBI: Repetitive closed skull TBI; RD: Radial diffusivity; rmCHI: Repetitive mild closed; rmTBI: Repeated mTBI; TAI: Traumatic axonal injury; WDI: Weight drop injury.
| Animal species and sample size (n) | Injury/surgical procedure and description | Post-injury time | Brief summary of methods and affected WM structures | Behavioral tests and results | Histology | Ref. |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------|
| Male Sprague–Dawley rats 11 (CCI: 8; sham: 3) | CCI over left primary forelimb SIFL/craniotomy | 1 and 3 h and 2, 7 and 14 days | *In vivo* DTI (30 gradient directions) at 7T ROI analysis | Sensorimotor function; Foot fall scores in affected limb on day 1; improved on days 2 and 7; returned to normal on day 14 Forelimb asymmetry test Present on days 1 and 2 | Nissl stain; Some tissue cavitation and loss at impact region and surface laterally on ipsilateral side Fluro-Jade staining; Number of degenerative neurons increased ipsilaterally | [163] |
| Male Ferrets 11 | Mild CCI injury to left hemisphere somatosensory cortex/craniotomy (?) | 24–28 h | *In vivo* and *ex vivo* DTI (30 gradient directions) at 7T Voxel-wise analysis followed by ROI placement that included GM and WM near the injury site Evidence of WM abnormalities | – | Tuj1 and MAP2 stainings: Reduced neuronal elements in the cortex ipsilateral to the lesion Iba-1 staining: Enlarged microglia with extensive processes on ipsilateral side compared with contralateral hemisphere MOG Decreased myelinated fibers in upper layers of neocortex | [164] |
| Male Sprague–Dawley rats 45 (MRI: 10; other analysis: 4 h: 5; day 1: 5; day 3: 5; day 5: 5) | mTBI closed head injury; modified Marmarou’s/? | 4 h and 1, 3 and 5 days | *In vivo* DTI (46 gradient directions at 7T ROI analysis: CC | – | GFAP staining; No change in CC | [165] |

Studies on only WM are included in this table. Since in animals classification of TBI as mTBI is somewhat ambiguous, some important studies are included even when the publications do not explicitly classify the injury as mTBI.

? Indicates that explicit details are not provided in the publication; AC: Anterior commissure; AD: Axial diffusivity; atm: Atmosphere; AO: Apical oblique; APP: Amyloid precursor protein; BS: Basal shift; CC: Corpus callosum; CCI: Controlled cortical impact; CI: Cingulum; CP: Cerebral peduncle; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; EC: External capsule; EPM: Elevated plus maze; FA: Fractional anisotropy; Fi: Fimbria; J: Joule (unit of energy); LISW: Laser-induced shock wave; MCW: Medical College of Wisconsin; MD: Mean diffusivity; mFPI: Mild fluid percussion injury; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; MVV: Midventriculomegaly; MWM: Morris water maze; OT: Optic tract; PLP: Proteolipid protein; PSI: Pounds per square inch; QSM: Quantitative susceptibility mapping; rcTBI: Repetitive closed skull TBI; RD: Radial diffusivity; rmCHI: Repetitive mild closed; rmTBI: Repeated mTBI; TAI: Traumatic axonal injury; WDI: Weight drop injury.
| Animal species and sample size (n) | Injury/surgical procedure and description | Post-injury time | Brief summary of methods and affected WM structures | Behavioral tests and results | Histology | Ref. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------|
| Female Wistar rats \[30 (mTBI + MVM: 15; controls: 15)\] | mTBI closed head injury (modified Marmarou weight drop)/scalp shaved | 1 and 8 days | *In vivo* DTI (15 gradient directions) and MTR at 7 T ROI for DTI and voxel-wise for MTR CC | – | SMI31 staining | [166] |
| Female Wistar rats \[n = 45 (injured: 40; uninjured controls: 5)\] | mTBI Modified Marmarou weight drop closed head injury/ scalp shaved | 1, 10, 20 and 30 days | *In vivo* DTI (15 gradient directions) and MTR at 7 T DTI based on ROI and MTR based on voxel-wise analysis CC, AC, OT, EC, ST and CP | – | SMI31 staining | [159] |
| Male Sprague–Dawley rats \[30 (MRI paired with histology: 12; cytokine analysis: 12 (6 injured, 6 uninjured; controls for histology: 6)\] | mTBI closed head Maryland model/ malar processes exposed via infraorbital incisions | 72 h | *In vivo* DTI (42 gradient directions) at 7T Voxsel-wise followed by ROI GCC, SCC, Fi and IC | Exploratory behavior Injured animals explored less | MBP expression | [142] |

Studies on only WM are included in this table. Since in animals classification of TBI as mTBI is somewhat ambiguous, some important studies are included even when the publications do not explicitly classify the injury as mTBI.

? Indicates that explicit details are not provided in the publication; AC: Anterior commissure; AD: Axial diffusivity; atm: Atmosphere; AO: Apical oblique; APP: Amyloid precursor protein; BS: Basal shift; CC: Corpus callosum; CCI: Controlled cortical impact; Ci: Cingulum; CP: Cerebral peduncle; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; EC: External capsule; EPM: Elevated plus maze; FA: Fractional anisotropy; Fi: Fimbria; J: Joule (unit of energy); LSW: Laser-induced shock wave; MCW: Medical College of Wisconsin; MD: Mean diffusivity; mFPI: Mild fluid percussion injury; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; MVM: Morris water maze; OT: Optic tract; PLP: Proteolipid protein; PSI: Pounds per square inch; QSM: Quantitative susceptibility mapping; rcTBI: Repetitive closed skull TBI; RD: Radial diffusivity; rmCHI: Repetitive mild closed; rmTBI: Repeated mTBI; TAI: Traumatic axonal injury; WDI: Weight drop injury.
Table 5. Preclinical studies since 2011 that include immunohistochemistry and neuroimaging.

| Animal species and sample size (n) | Injury/surgical procedure and description | Post-injury time | Brief summary of methods and affected WM structures | Behavioral tests and results | Histology Ref. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Male wild-type C57BL/6 10 (DTI: n = 10 Seriology/Pcr: 5–8) | Repetitive mild closed head injury (rmCHI)/ none (?) | 1 week after last hit | Ex vivo DTI (30 gradient directions) and SWI at 4.7T CC, Fimbria and capsular WM | _–_ | Iba-1 and CD68 staining Increased gliosis at 24 h and 1 week in WM [161] |
| Male Sprague-Dawley rats 18 (Group 1: 6 with widespread increases in RD in CC; Group 2: 12 with normal RD) | mTBI: CCI/craniotomy | 1 and 3 h and 1, 2, 7 and 14 days | In vivo DTI (30 gradient directions) and QSM at 7 T ROI analysis CC | Forelimb placement (cylinder) and foot fault tests Increased front limb asymmetry and number of forelimb foot faults with recovery on day 14 in injured rats more in group 1 | Black gold staining Myelin loss (white matter damage) in CC (impacted hemisphere) [167] |

Studies on only WM are included in this table. Since in animals classification of TBI as mTBI is somewhat ambiguous, some important studies are included even when the publications do not explicitly classify the injury as mTBI. 

- indicates that explicit details are not provided in the publication; AC: Anterior commissure; AD: Axial diffusivity; atm: Atmosphere; AO: Apical oblique; APP: Amyloid precursor protein; BS: Basal shift; CC: Corpus callosum; CCI: Controlled cortical impact; Ci: Cingulum; CP: Cerebral peduncle; DTI: Diffusion tensor imaging; EC: External capsule; EPM: Elevated plus maze; FA: Fractional anisotropy; Fimbria; J: Joule (unit of energy); LSIWV: Laser-induced shock wave; MCC: Medical College of Wisconsin; MD: Mean diffusivity; mPFI: Mild fluid percussion injury; MRS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MTR: Magnetization transfer ratio; MWM: Mild water maze; OT: Optic tract; PLP: Proteolipid protein; PSI: Pounds per square inch; QSM: Quantitative susceptibility mapping; rcTBI: Repetitive closed skull TBI; RD: Radial diffusivity; rmCHI: Repetitive mild closed; mTBI: Repeated mTBI; TAI: Traumatic axonal injury; WDI: Weight drop injury.
increased FA and reduced MD are thought to represent cytotoxic edema, this interpretation was challenged [7]. As can be seen from Table 1, there is a large spread in the post injury times across different published studies. The effect of these various factors on the reported results makes an objective comparison of DTI results across different studies difficult. Despite the limited agreement across different studies, there is a general consensus that DTI is the most sensitive neuroimaging modality for detecting differences between mTBI and controls at a group level.

Is DTI ready for managing individual patients?
Published studies clearly demonstrate considerable intersubject variations in FA that might reflect differences in anatomy, vulnerability to injury and injury mechanisms, among others [7,29,46,54,55,75]. Group analyses tend to mask differences among individual subjects. Detecting group differences in DTI parameters, while important, may be of little use for managing individual patients [26]. The TRACK-TBI study also pointed out the importance of considering individual subjects for predicting outcome in mTBI [42]. We are aware of only three publications that analyzed the data at an individual patient level [7,52,54]. For DTI to play an important role in patient management, it is critical to demonstrate the sensitivity of DTI in detecting changes in individual subjects. Using EZ-MAP approach that is shown to be robust for analyzing individual subject’s data [54], Lipton et al. [7] reported ‘unique spatial patterns’ that are ‘attributable to interindividual differences in anatomy, vulnerability to injury and mechanism of injury’. Boiux et al. [52] reported that in patients with persistent post concussive symptoms, the individualized analysis has the potential for improving clinical management of these patients.

Advanced diffusion imaging
The application of DKI to mTBI was recently reviewed [92]. So far only a limited number of studies used DKI for investigating WM changes in mTBI. For example using DKI, an association between mean kurtosis in various WM structures and attention, concentration, memory, learning and information processing was reported [130]. DKI was also reported to be sensitive for following pathophysiological changes in the anterior and posterior capsule areas [131]. These authors also computed the DTI measures from the same data by dropping the terms containing higher order b-values. Their studies suggest that RD, AD, MD and FA may underestimate the extent of injury. However incorporating both DTI and DKI measures may improve assessment of tissue microstructure.

As indicated earlier, a single tensor model, by ignoring crossing and kissing fibers, may lead to misinterpretation of the results, but also may underestimate the extent of WM injury. Indeed a study using HARDI with 55 diffusion-gradient directions found WM damage to be more widely distributed than previously reported with DTI in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans with previous history of mTBI [132].

Injury to WM along the inter- and/or intrahemispheric pathways can disrupt brain connectivity [133]. Therefore, WM injury in mTBI was also investigated by probing the connectivity between different brain regions. The connectivity can be probed by combining DTI and resting state functional MRI (rfMRI; see [88] for a review). For example, functional connectivity between the two hemispheres in asymptotic mTBI subjects was reported to be reduced that may affect the structural integrity of the CC [134]. Altered structural and functional connectivity within the emotional and perception networks in the acute stage of mTBI was also observed [66]. Using machine learning, altered connectivity within inter- and intrahemispheric WM pathways that may be associated with diffuse axonal injury was demonstrated [135,136]. It is clear from this brief description that connectivity studies have the potential to yield a wealth of information on subtle WM injury that may not otherwise be apparent.

Susceptibility weighted imaging
Microhemorrhages in WM may indicate DAI [8]. Microhemorrhagic lesions in the SCC and number of GM structures were observed at 6 months post injury on SWI [137]. In contrast, a prospective pilot study at 3T on college hockey players failed to detect microhemorrhages at 72 h, 2 weeks and 2 months after concussion [73]. In another study only about 9.8% amateur boxers showed more hemorrhagic lesions than controls on SWI [138]. However, this study was performed at 1.5T and it is possible that more hemorrhagic lesions could have been detected at higher field strengths. Overall, there is no complete agreement on the presence of microhemorrhagic lesions in mTBI.

The relationship between microhemorrhagic lesions and behavioral and cognitive deficits was investigated only in a few studies. A negative correlation between the microhemorrhagic lesions on SWI and cognitive impairment was reported [137]. However, it is not clear if this correlation is the result of hemorrhagic lesions in the SCC or GM. The multicenter TRACK-TBI study on 135 mTBI subjects showed that four hemorrhagic lesions early on after injury was predictive of outcome at 3 months [139]. However, these authors did not explicitly state if these are WM or GM lesions or a combination of both.

The total SWI lesion volume is suggested to be an
indicator of injury severity [140]. While the SWI lesion volume could reflect injury severity, care should be exercised in relating the number of hemorrhagic lesions to the injury severity since the microbleeds could expand with time and become confluent that results in reduced number of bleeds [141]. Overall there is dearth of literature on WM SWI lesions in mTBI. Thus it is difficult to draw robust conclusions about the role of SWI lesions in WM injury.

**Magnetization transfer transfer imaging**
MTR is thought to be an excellent modality for probing myelin integrity. Based on both human and animal studies, myelin appears to be compromised in the initial phase of injury followed by recovery [97,142–144]. Demyelination compromises trophic support to axons and also releases neurite outgrowth inhibitor, Nogo, which has a negative effect on axonal growth and plasticity [97]. Despite its potentially important role, application of MTR to mTBI is limited. Earlier studies reported MTR changes in mTBI [38]. A recent prospective longitudinal study did not show MTR differences between mTBI and orthopedic controls either at approximately 24 h or approximately 3 months post injury time [30]. It is possible that 24 h is too early to observe changes in myelin and complete remyelination may have occurred by 3 months, explaining these negative results. Indeed a prospective study using myelin-water imaging of university hockey players reported decreased myelin water fraction at 2 weeks post injury that returned to the preseason level by 2 months after injury [67].

As indicated earlier, macromolecular proton fraction (MPF), a technique based on MTR, was used to investigate veterans who experienced blast mTBI [55]. This study indicated reduced MPF in CC, IC, SLF, superior parietal lobule and right precuneus compared with nonblast veterans. In addition, reduced MPF was also observed at the GM–WM junction that is consistent with DAI. It is however, unclear if reduced MPF completely reflects compromised myelin.

**Myelin water imaging**
In spite of the ability of myelin water imaging to directly map the myelin water fraction, to the best of our knowledge, there is only a single study that applied this technique to concussion [67]. In this prospective and longitudinal study on two college hockey teams, reduced myelin water fraction was observed in multiple WM regions that included SCC, CR, right posterior thalamic radiation, left superior CR, left SLFC and left posterior limb of the IC at 2 weeks post injury followed by recovery by 2 months, suggesting transient myelin disruption. This study did not evaluate the behavioral changes in these players.

**Ultrashort TE imaging**
UTE is capable of detecting macromolecules such as myelin with short T2 relaxation times. However, to the best of our knowledge, this technique so far has not been applied to mTBI.

**Atrophy**
The number of published studies on WM atrophy is relatively small. Majority of the studies focused on whole brain atrophy and GM structures (see recent review [38]). WM atrophy was reported in the anterior cingulate WM and left cingulate gyrus isthmus WM [145]. In this study 28 mTBI patients and 22 controls were followed up to 1 year. These authors reported a correlation between atrophy of the left and right rostral anterior cingulum with changes in memory. They also reported a correlation between the left cingulate gyrus isthmus WM volume with PCS. WM atrophy in mTBI was also reported by others [146,147]. However, a longitudinal prospective study, using sophisticated tensor based morphometry, failed to observe atrophy in mTBI either at 24 h or 3 months relative to orthopedic injured controls [30]. It is possible that 3 months is too a short time to observe regional or global atrophy. Clearly longitudinal studies over a longer period of time are needed to assess the role of WM atrophy in mTBI.

**FLAIR**
WM hyperintense lesions on FLAIR sequence were observed in mTBI [32]. In veterans with mTBI, it was shown that these lesions have an effect on verbal memory, independent of the presence of PTSD [148]. Unlike moderate and severe TBI, FLAIR hyperintense lesions are not that common in mTBI. When present, they are very nonspecific, and their significance is not known (perhaps they represent small vessel disease or residual shear-strain injury to WM). Further, it is not clear that these lesions are the result of mTBI or represent pre-existing condition.

**Magnetic resonance spectroscopy**
MRS is second only to DTI as the most commonly used MRI-based technique in mTBI. Published MRS studies since 2011 are summarized in Table 4. This table does not include MRS articles that addressed only GM. Nor does this table include any review articles. The application of MRS to mTBI was reviewed elsewhere [31,38,81,149].

Majority of the MRS studies report reduced NAA in the acute phase of injury [12,33,36,57]. A reduction in NAA/Cr and NAA/Cho in the subacute phase of injury in athletes with multiple concussions was also reported [35]. In a longitudinal study reduced NAA and
elevated Cr and Glx in WM around day 13 post injury in the mTBI group was observed that was followed by partial recovery during the semi-acute period [34]. This study also noted that premorbid intelligence predicts the degree of recovery of the neurochemicals indicating that the degree of recovery depends on the biological factors underlying intelligence. In a cross-sectional study on concussive injured athletes continued neurochemical alterations were observed even when the clinical symptoms have resolved [52]. This is consistent with other reports using advanced neuroimaging techniques [33, 88, 153, 154]. In another study in acute phase neurochemical changes were observed only in subjects with LOC [57]. However, it appears that the ROI’s in this study represent a mixture of both GM and WM and therefore the reported association may not be attributed completely to WM injury. In an interesting study on a small number of subjects, the WM levels of NAA, Cr, Cho and mI were compared in mTBI subjects with and without PCS [9]. These authors reported changes in these neurochemicals levels in PCS positive, but not in PCS negative subjects. This suggests that MRS has the potential to differentiate between PCS positive and PCS negative subjects. In another study, the regional metabolic levels were correlated with neuropsychological data [58]. These authors also investigated if neurochemical changes observed in the early subacute phase (within 10 days post injury time as defined by these authors) were predictive of neurocognitive outcome at 6 months post injury. This study demonstrated an association between Cr levels in the centrum semiovale in the early subacute phase and the automated neuropsychological assessment metrics scores in the chronic phase. In addition, these authors also reported decreased Cho/Cr in the late subacute phase. These results suggest that MRS measures have the potential to serve as diagnostic and prognostic markers in mTBI. Consistent with other studies, the neurochemical levels returned to the normative values in the later stage of injury.

There is no complete agreement about the changes in the neurochemical levels in mTBI. For example a few studies failed to observe any changes in NAA levels [30, 34, 152]. A prospective study on an mTBI cohort failed to observe changes in NAA/Cr, Cho/Cr and ml/Cr either, at approximately 24 h or approximately 3 months post injury [30]. Based on the published literature, it is likely that 24 h post injury is too early for metabolic changes to occur and any possible neurochemical changes may have disappeared by 3 months [36].

A review of Table 4 explains why it is very difficult to generalize the conclusions reported across different MRS studies. Many studies did not specify the WM regions from which the spectra were acquired even though it is known that metabolite levels depend on the brain area (see e.g. [45, 81]). The type of MRS acquisition varied across different studies. Some studies employed single voxel technique while others used 2D and 3D MRSI using different echo times. A few studies expressed the neurochemicals as ratios while others expressed as absolute concentrations. Ratios would not allow assessment of whether the altered numerator or denominator is responsible for the observed neurochemical changes. For example, many of the conclusions about NAA reduction are based on measured NAA/Cr ratios. This assumes that the Cr levels are not affected by mTBI, which is a questionable assumption. A few studies that reported absolute concentrations used a variety of methods to quantify neurochemicals. The MRS data were acquired at different postinjury times even though the neurochemical levels were shown to change with postinjury time [10, 58]. Another problem is the placement of the ROIs. Because of the relatively poor spatial resolution, many of the ROIs included part of GM that makes it difficult to attribute the observed changes only to WM pathology. Finally majority of the studies were based on a relatively small sample size.

MRI & pathology correlation
Histology is the gold standard for evaluating mTBI-induced pathology. However, histologic evaluation is not ethically justified in human mTBI. This is where noninvasive neuroimaging such as MRI could play a central role. While MRI is an excellent radiologic modality for visualizing and following pathology, its pathologic specificity is somewhat limited. In mTBI the commonly observed MRI abnormalities in WM are: altered diffusion measures that include FA, RD, AD, MD on DTI; hypointense lesions on SWI; atrophy (seen on anatomical MRI); reduced MTR on MTI; changes in the myelin water fraction on myelin water imaging and macromolecular fraction imaging; and altered neurochemical concentrations (NAA, Cr, Cho and mI) on MRS. In the absence of histologic confirmation, our interpretation of the MRI changes is mainly based on preclinical models of mTBI. Since DTI is the most commonly used imaging modality in mTBI, majority of the imaging–pathology correlation studies focused on DTI.

There is significant literature on the MRI–pathology correlation in different diseases. However this correlation may depend on the disease type. There are only a handful of studies that investigated the MRI–histology correlations in preclinical models of mTBI. A summary of the published MRI–histology correlation studies is provided in Table 5. Almost all the published studies agree that various pathological substrates contribute
to the observed changes in the DTI parameters and establishing one-to-correspondence between the DTI measures and histology is not straightforward. Majority of the studies suggest that axonal injury (including demyelination) mainly contributes to the observed alterations in the DTI measures [11,137,141,155–159]. However, some authors argue that inflammation also contributes to the altered DTI measures [160,161]. There is some agreement that RD is a measure of myelin integrity [142,157,159]. AD is thought to represent axonal damage [155]. However, there is some disagreement about this interpretation [142,160,161]. In summary, based on the published studies it is not straightforward to relate changes in DTI measures to pathology since they are affected by coherence of the fiber tracts, inflammation, gliosis, demyelination and axonal compromise [145].

The lesions seen on SWI are shown to correlate with hemorrhage on histology [140,161]. However, care must be exercised in interpreting the SWI lesions since it is sometimes difficult to directly compare the Prussian reaction, used for identifying hemorrhage, with heme degradation [141,161].

MTR is generally thought to reflect the state of myelin. Based on a recent study, MTR appears to be more affected by astrogliosis and microgliosis than demyelination [159]. MTR changes also depend on the offset frequency of the off-resonance pulse [159]. For example, the MTR with off-resonance frequency of 3.5 ppm reflects both axonal and myelin integrity while MTR with offset frequency of 20 ppm mainly reflects astrogliosis. Thus it appears difficult to attribute MTR changes to a single pathologic component.

To the best of our knowledge there are no studies that reported correlation between MRS, MWF with pathology in mTBI. As can be seen from this brief summary of the MRI–pathology correlative studies, MRI measures reflect different pathologic components, depending on the time and type of injury, experimental conditions. Robust correlation, between MRI findings and neuropathology requires the use of appropriate animal models of mTBI. However, there is a lack of consensus on what represents mTBI in animal models [168–171].

The commonly used neurological severity score that is a composite of behavioral and motor functions and righting reflexes for grading severity of TBI [168,172] is of limited use in in experimental models of mTBI. Even with all these limitations, DTI, MRS, MTR and SWI measures are very sensitive imaging modalities for detecting mTBI-induced pathology.

Conclusion & future perspective

Based on the above review it is clear that the multimodal neuroimaging has diagnostic and prognostic values in detecting and evaluating WM changes in mTBI. These MRI-based modalities are also able to detect ongoing pathology even when the subjects are asymptomatic. However, MRI measures also show wide variation across different studies. In order to properly compare results from different studies to develop a coherent picture about the MRI-detected pathology and its correlation with cognitive and behavioral deficits, it is essential to standardize the acquisition and analysis methods. Similarly there is a need to standardize the behavioral and cognitive measures. Majority of the publications are based on cross-sectional studies with relatively small sample size. Cross-sectional studies have lower statistical power relative to longitudinal studies. Longitudinal studies also provide important information about the evolution of pathology. For example we know relatively little about the long-term consequences of mTBI. Given the heterogeneity of the injury, age, gender and other variables, studies based on a small cohort may not allow for robust conclusions. This requires studies on large and well-characterized cohorts. However, it may not be easy to recruit adequate number of subjects needed for statistically robust results by a single center. Recruiting large cohorts requires multicenter design with well-standardized protocol and rigorous quality control in place. It is also important to include both genders since some of the published studies show gender effect on pathology and behavioral deficits. It is equally important to consider age as a covariate in the analysis of the results since many of the MRI measures are age dependent. There are a few studies that demonstrate hemispheric asymmetry in mTBI. Future studies should explicitly state the side of the hemisphere in their results. A major problem in the field of mTBI is the lack of appropriate diagnostic criteria and the absence of a biomarker. As pointed out by Manley and Maas [1], there is a critical need to incorporate imaging information for diagnosing mTBI. Finally there is a need to develop preclinical mTBI models, particularly using large animals, to interpret the observed changes in MRI measures on a rational basis.

Limitations of this review

This review is based on publications after 2011 and includes only references published after 2011. Thus original references are not cited. However, references to recent reviews are provided, whenever possible, so that the readers can have information about the original work. While there are multiple neuroimaging modalities other than MRI, for this review which focuses on only WM injury, other imaging modalities such as CT, PET, single-photon emission computed tomography, near-infrared are of limited use. Finally, this study did
not try to separate blast from mechanical mTBI. This study also did not separate single and repetitive mTBIs.
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Executive summary
- Noninvasive neuroimaging techniques, particularly MRI-based diffusion tensor imaging and magnetic resonance spectroscopy, have considerably improved our understanding about WM injury in mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI). They also enhanced the diagnosis and prognosis and help follow pathophysiological changes in mTBI.
- Many MRI-derived white matter injury measures correlated with cognitive and neurobehavioral deficits, which suggests a predictive role for this neuroimaging technology.
- There is no complete agreement on neuroimaging results across different studies. A problem with most of the published MRI studies in mTBI are the variability in injury, postinjury time at which studies are performed and not accounting for the site of impact.
- Most of the published studies are either cross-sectional or are based on a small sample size. There is need for controlled studies on large cohorts.
- Majority of the published studies are based on single center that makes it difficult to validate results across different centers.
- Classification of mTBI is based on Glasgow Coma Score whose sensitivity is relatively poor in diagnosing mTBI. There is a critical need to incorporate imaging information for diagnosing mTBI.
- Lack of standardization in the acquisition and analysis techniques across centers prevents an objective comparison across different studies.
- While group analysis is important, there is a need to investigate the role of imaging in managing individual patients.
- Imaging–pathology correlation is not always consistent. There is some urgency in performing controlled preclinical studies for a rational interpretation of the MRI results.
- Despite these limitations neuroimaging is indispensable for the management of mTBI.
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