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Abstract

Background: Bloodstream infections due to bacterial pathogens are a major cause of morbidity and mortality among pediatric patients. Emergence of drug resistance in high classes of antibiotics among the bacterial pathogens is another issue of the public health concern.

Objective: To determine Multi-drug resistant, extended spectrum β-lactamase and carbapenemase producing bacterial isolates among septicemia suspected under five children in Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa Ethiopia.

Methods: Across-sectional study was conducted from September 2017 to June 2018 among pediatric patients with febrile illness aged under five in Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital. 340 Blood samples were collected and processed following standard microbiological techniques and culture was performed using BacT/Alert machine in combination with conventional method. AST of the isolates was performed by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method and MIC technique

Result: A total of 137(40.2%) bacterial pathogens were isolated from 340 pediatric patients suspected of BSI with febrile illness. Of these isolates 54% were Gram negative bacteria. Of the isolates 43 (31.4%) Klebsiella pneumonia Acinitobactor species were the most frequently isolated pathogens. Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates were 95.6% MDR, 23.7% ESBL, and 27.1% CRE in children.

Conclusion: In this study, Klebsiella pneumoniae and S. aureus are common pathogens associated with BSI in pediatrics with high antimicrobial resistance. The prevalence of MDR 51.1%, CRE 30.5% and ESBL 25.4% were alarmingly high in bacterial isolates. ESBL producing organisms were common in Klebsiella species and Escherichia coli isolates. Since most of isolates exhibit multidrug resistant, invitro- susceptibility of antimicrobials is mandatory. Strengthing antimicrobial surveillance system and antimicrobial stewardship are necessary for better management of antibiotics in addition to infection prevention practice in TASH settings.

Background

Blood stream infection (BSI) remains one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality throughout the world. Approximately 200,000 cases of bacteremia occur annually with mortality rates ranging from 20-50% worldwide [1]. Blood stream infection (BSI) accounts for 10-20% of all nosocomial infections and is the eighth leading cause of mortality, in the United States some 17% of result in death [2]. In sub Saharan countries including Ethiopia septicemia is an important cause of illness and death in children, the mortality rate approaches 53% which makes it a significant health problem in developing countries [3].

In many studies a wide range of bacteria has been described in febrile patients including gram negative bacteria such as Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella species, Neisseria meningitidis, Haemophilus influenzae, and gram positive such as Coagulase negative staphylococci (CONS), Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus agalactiae, and Enterococcus faecium [4]. The diagnosis of these infections can be confirmed by blood culture, which is routinely available in few Hospitals in developing countries [5].

Bacterial pathogens isolated from BSI are a leading cause of significant patient morbidity and mortality. The impact of specific etiologic agents on BSI patient outcome are tremendous; BSI increases the mortality rate, prolongs patient stay in an intensive care unit and in the Hospital, and leads to increased health care costs [6, 7].

The timely and appropriate use of antibiotics is currently the only way to treat bacteremia. However, many bacterial pathogens have become resistant to antibiotic regimens and become a serious public health concern with economic and social implications throughout the world. Antibiotics resistance is a growing problem in developing countries such as Ethiopia. In Ethiopia the unregulated over-the-counter sale of these antimicrobials, mainly for self-treatment of suspected infection in humans, and to a lesser extent for use in animals without prescription, would inevitably lead to emergence and rapid dissemination of resistance [8]. Many studies have found that inadequate empirical therapy of bacteraemic infections is associated with adverse outcomes, including increased mortality and increased drug resistance emergence [9-10].

During the past few decades, antimicrobial resistance has increased worldwide, and the perspectives are alarming [11-12]. The nature, the magnitude and ways to cope with this problem are studied and described in the western world, while this base of knowledge is lacking in developing African countries [13-15]. We lack reports on mortality related to distribution of pathogens and their resistance patterns. Without such reports, guidelines for empiric treatment of severe bacterial diseases cannot be given. While updated studies on outcome in sepsis in Africa are almost non-existent, there are a few reports on bacterial culture results. The most alarming reports on antimicrobial resistance concern patients admitted to Hospitals [16], while community- acquired infections may have lower profiles of resistance [17]. In Ethiopia, the
resource situation has not allowed antimicrobial resistance to be prioritized as major public health concern despite the obvious needs [18]. The aim of this study was to identify and determine multi-drug resistance bacteria among blood culture samples from under five patients attending to Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital by BacT/Alert and biochemical test.

**Methods**

**Study area:** The study was conducted in Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital (TASH), the teaching Hospital of health Science College, Addis Ababa University. TASH is the largest specialized Hospital in Ethiopia, with over 700 beds, and serves as a training center for undergraduate and postgraduate medical students, dentists, nurses, midwives, pharmacists, medical laboratory technologists, radiology technologists, and others who shoulder the health problems of the community and the country at large. With more than 70 percent of childhood deaths attributable to communicable diseases and malnutrition, Ethiopia's healthcare resources have been directed primarily to treat and prevent diseases such as malaria and diarrhea [19].

**Study design and period:** A cross-sectional study was conducted from September 2017 to June 2018 to identify the bacterial profiles and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern among septicemia under five patients with acute febrile illness in Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital in Addis Ababa.

**Source population:** All under 5 years pediatric patients who were suspected for septicemia and seek medical care at the study site during the study period.

**Study population:** All under 5 years pediatric patients who were requested for blood culture in the study site during the study period.

**Inclusion and Exclusion criteria:** Children aged under five years including neonates with fever

Patients who are diagnosed with sepsis, Sever sepsis and septic shock. In addition, all children who gave blood sample and their parents volunteer to give permission to participate on the study. However those participants clinically none febrile patients under five years. Patients who took antibiotics currently within the last 7 days were excluded.

**Sample size calculation**

The sample size for the study that infers the total population was determined using a single population proportion formula. The study considered the previous study of prevalence and antibiotic resistance of bacterial pathogens isolated from children under five in septicemia patients at Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital 27.9% bacterial isolation (20), at 95% level of confidence and 5% margin of error.

\[ n = \frac{(Z_{\alpha/2}^2)(pq)}{d^2} \]

Where: 
- \( n \) = sample size
- \( Z_{\alpha/2} \) = level of confidence
- \( P \) = diarrhea prevalence
- \( q = 1 - p \)
- \( d = \) margin of error (0.05)

\[ n = \left( \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.279 \times 0.721}{0.05^2} \right) \]

\[ n = 309.052 \]

Considering 10% non-response rate, the totals of 340 children patients were enrolled in the study.

**Sampling technique**

The study subjects were selected using convenient sampling technique from all patients attending Tikur Anbesa specialized Hospital among under five children with febrile illness clinically diagnosed at pediatric OPD, ICU and impatient pediatric ward admitted during the study period. Sampling technique was employed for those children fulfill the inclusion criteria.

**Data collection procedure**

Well standardized questionnaire was used to collect socio-demographic characteristics (sex, age, clinical presentation (fever, vomiting and household income). Patients visiting outpatient departments (pediatric and general medicine) and those admitted in the inpatient units were investigated for bloodstream infections by respective unit physicians. At the onset of fever (>37°C) or in the presence of any clinical symptoms compatible with infection.

**Laboratory analysis**
**Blood sample collection:** A venous blood culture specimen was taken with aseptic technique by cleansing of the collection site with 70% alcohol and subsequently followed by 10% povidone-iodine solution by trained laboratory personnel. About 2.5-5ml of blood specimen was collected and inoculated into aerobic 30ml BacT/ALERT PF Plus pediatric bottles at the blood to broth ratio of 1:10-1:30. At least 2 sets of blood cultures were collected from a patient with suspected bacteremia prior to the initiation of antimicrobial therapy.

**Culture Isolation and Identification:** Venous blood to BacT/ALERT culture bottles were incubated in automatic BacT/ALERT® 3D at 37°C of 5% CO2 for 5 days for the primary isolation of the microorganism. Two aerobic blood culture bottles were used for each patient and growth in both bottles were considered positive. The microbial growth that could be detected by flag and audible sound of the instrument will subsequently be sub culture on 5% sheep blood agar, chocolate, and MacConkey Agar plate (Oxoid Ltd, UK) and incubate at 37°C for 18-24 h for bacterial isolation. The MacConkey agar plate was incubated aerobically while chocolate and blood agar were incubated in microaerophilic atmosphere (5-10% CO2) candle Jar. A negative result was checked by examining the flag and doing gram stain and a final subculture at the end of 5th day prior to discarding as negative. The significant growth colonies were examined morphologically for size, consistency, shape, hemolytic and ability to ferment lactose [21].

Blood agar, chocolate and MacConkey agar (Oxoid Ltd, UK) were used for subcultures and gram stain was performed for preliminary result. All positive cultures from blood samples were characterized by colony characteristics, Gram stain and biochemical tests. For gram negative bacteria convective biochemical test and serological identification was performed for Salmonella and Shigella spp [21-22].

**Antibiotic Susceptibility Test:** Pure Colony of isolated bacterial organism was mixed with 0.85% normal saline and measured at 0.5 McFarland standards for susceptibility testing. The bacterial isolates were tested against the following drugs commonly used; for gram negative bacteria Tobramycin (10 µg), Amoxicillin-Clavulanate (20/10 µg), Amikacin (30 µg), Gentamycin (10 µg), Ampicillin (10 µg), Piperacillin-Tazobactam (100/10 µg), Cefotaxime (30 µg), Cefepime (30 µg), Ceftriaxone (30 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 µg), Imipenem/ Meropenem (10 µg), Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg), Nalidixic Acid (30 µg) were tested. Kirby-Bauer's Disk Diffusion method was used for susceptibility of the isolates on Muller Hinton agar was referred to the standard interpretative chart reporting the zone sizes of each antimicrobial in the book of Cheesbrough, 2009[22] and CLSI guidelines [23].

**Detection of Carbapenem Resistance:** All the Carbapenem (imipenem or Meropenem) resistant or intermediate isolates were checked for the presence of carbapenemase using modified Hodges test (MHT), also known as the clover leaf test as per the EUCAST and CLSI. Presence of indentation indicates a positive test and the isolate was a carbapenemase producing strain. No growth of the ATCC E. coli 25922 along the organism growth streak indicates a negative test and the isolate is not a carbapenemase producer.

**Detection of Extended spectrum beta-lactamase:** Initial screening for ESBL was done by the diameters of zones of inhibition produced by Ceftazidime (30 µg), Ceftriaxone (30 µg) and Cefotaxime (30 µg) found to be within the CLSI screening criteria. These breakpoints indicative of thought for ESBL production are: for CAZ ≤22 mm, CRO ≤ 25 mm and for CTX ≤ 27 mm. Phenotypic detection of ESBL production was confirmed by double disk synergy test and combined disk test according to EUCAST(2017) and CLSI(2017) guidelines respectively.

**Combined disk (double disk potentiate) Test (CDT):** A Ceftazidime (30 µg) disk and Cefotaxime (30 µg) disk were used alone and their combination with Clavulanic acid (30 µg/10 µg) for phenotypic confirmation of the presence of ESBLs. A ≥5 mm increase in zone diameter for either of the Cephalosporin disks and their respective Cephalosporin/Clavulanate disk were interpreted as ESBL producer. This method (according to CLSI) is used as reference phenotypic method for comparing double disk synergy method.

**Double Disk Synergy Test (DDST):** The organism to be tested was spread onto a Mueller–Hinton agar plate. The antibiotic disks used are Ceftriaxone (30 µg), Cefotaxime (30 µg), Ceftazidime (30 µg), Aztreonam (30 µg) and Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid (20/10 µg). The four antibiotics were placed at distances of 20 mm (edge to edge) from the Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid disk placed in the middle of the plate. After 24-h incubation, if an enhanced zone of inhibition between either of the Cephalosporin antibiotics and the Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid disk occurred, the test was considered positive.

**Data Quality assurances:** Media preparation as per manufacturer instructions and laboratory Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) was strictly followed. Verify that media meet expiration date and quality control parameters per CLSI. Labeling container, media, filling the forms were carried out.

Visual inspections of cracks in media or plastic petri-dishes, unequal fill, hemolysis, evidence of freezing, bubbles, and contamination were performed. Use ATCC control strain for each isolated bacterium including E. coli 25922, S. aureus 25923, Pseudomonas aeruginosa 27853, H.influenzae 10479. Report the results on log sheet and stored for further data. Samples were stored at -80 0c in skim milk.
Data analysis and interpretation: SPSS versions 20.0 was employed to analyze the work and to make inferences on the frequency of occurrence of the bacterial pathogens associated with febrile illness and to show the resistance pattern to antibiotic substances. Descriptive statistics to analysis by using frequency, proportions graphs, crosstabs and odds ratio. Bivariate analysis was performed for each factors associated with enteric pathogens in pediatrics diarrhea. Regression analysis was conducted to identify associated factors and how they are associated with dependent variables. The strength of association was presented by odds ratio and 95% confidence interval and p-value of <0.05 was considered as statistical significant association between risk factors and enteric pathogens causing diarrhea and antimicrobial resistance of bacterial infection.

Ethical Considerations: The study was conducted after ethical clearance was obtained from the research ethical committee of Department of Medical Laboratory sciences. An informed consent was obtained before collection of blood specimens and results were used in the management of patients. Those patients who clinically diagnosed as BSI in pediatric OPD and admitted willing to participate in the study and able to give blood sample during the study period were informed about the purpose of the study and written consent was sought for the study. Any information related with the patient result and clinical history was kept confidential.

Dissemination and Utilization of Results
After the completion of the study the research were disseminated to Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, School of Allied Health Science, College of Health Science, and Addis Ababa University. It will also be submitted for scientific publication.

Operational Definitions

Antimicrobial resistance: occurs when microorganisms change in ways that render the medications used to cure the infections they cause ineffective.

Extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL): Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) are enzymes that confer resistance to most beta-lactam antibiotics, including penicillins, cephalosporins, and the monobactam aztreonam.

Multidrug resistance (MDR): is antimicrobial resistance shown by a species of microorganism at least to one drug in three different classes of antibiotics.

Results
Among the study participants 122(35.9%) were males and 218 (64.1%) were females resulting in an overall female to male ratio of 1.7:1. The mean age of pediatrics participated in this study was 1.04±1.0 (SD) years. [Table 1]

From the study patients 76(22.4%) were from pediatric OPD and 181(53.2%), 83(24.4%) from inpatient ward and ICU ward respectively. The proportion of culture positive patients in the ICU 59/83(71.1%), impatient 66/181(36.5%) and pediatric OPD 10/76 (13.2%) patients were identified as shown in table 1.

Patients showed different clinical diagnosis before confirmed their BSI in blood culture, most of were sepsis 102(30.0%) followed by Early onset of neonatal sepsis /EoNS/ 71 (20.9%). Late onset neonatal Sepsis/LoNS 48(14.1%) and Hospital acquired infection 43(12.6%). However, the distribution of positive blood culture among patients with different clinical diagnosed disease type suspected of having BSI showed that among clinical disease in endocarditis 7/11(63.6%), Hospital acquired infection 26/43(60.5%), sepsis 49/102 (48%) and late neonatal sepsis 21/48 (44%) high positive blood culture were identified as shown in Figure

Bacteria pathogens causing BSI: Of 340 paired blood sample bottles, a total of 137(40.2%) bacterial pathogens were isolated from pediatric patients suspected of BSI with febrile illness. Among positive blood culture results about 54% of them were Gram negative bacteria with. Klebsiella pneumoniae was the highest incidence 31.4% followed by Acinetobactor species (8.7%). Double infection from species pseudomonas+ Klebsiella oxytoca were identified in one patient as shown in figure2

5.4 Antimicrobial susceptibility Testing
Trends of antibiotics prescribed were assessed prior to blood sample collection before 7days and about 148(43.5%) participants have taken antibiotics empirically, of these 49(33.1%) were culture positive during the study. Ampicillin and Gentamicin were among the most common empirical prescribed antibiotics. After collection of positive blood culture results about 20 antibiotics were applied in 137(40.2%) isolates and it revealed that the most prescribed antibiotics cotrimosazole, gentamycin, and ciprofloxacin showed high resistance.
Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Gram negative bacterial isolates: In 74 gram negative isolates with exception of Salmonella species, susceptibilities of beta-lactam antibiotics, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, and carbapenems were applied for isolates from pediatric patients. The predominant gram negative isolates from BSI were Klebsiella pneumoniae species showed resistance to ampicillin (100%) and cotrimosazole (90.7%). On the other hand, the isolates susceptible to meropenem (62.8%) and Piperacillin-Tazobactam (58.1%). All Acinetobacter species were highly resistance to tested antimicrobials such as cefepime (100%), ceftazidime (90.9%), 72.7% for each meropenem and ciprofxacin. Pseudomonas spp also showed fifty percent (50%) resistance to anti-pseudomonal antibiotics gentamycin, ciprofxacin, cefepime, Amikacin and ceftazidime but it was susceptible 75% to meropenem and Piperacillin-Tazobactam. All Salmonella species completely susceptible to Ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, and ampicillin and less susceptible to cotrimosazole (50%) as shown in

Multi-drug resistant isolates: Antibiogram pattern of the isolates in this study showed that multidrug resistance among gram negative isolates the prevalence of multidrug resistance (MDR) in Pseudomonas aeruginosa showed that two (50%) of the isolates exhibit resistance to three antibiotics. In Klebsiella pneumoniae. majority of isolates 35(81.4%) were resistance to eight and more tested antibiotics even though 2(4.6%), 1(2.3%), 2(4.6%) and 2(4.6%) isolates were resistance consecutively to three ,four ,six and seven antibiotics respectively . Among eleven Acinetobacter spp 7(63.6%) isolates were resistance to eight and more antimicrobials and 1(9.1%) was resistance to seven antibiotics. the least isolate of gram negative bacteria Entrobacter cloacae 1(100%) was resistance to eight and more antibiotics. However there was no MDR in Citrobacter and Salmonella species.

Carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE): Out of 59 enterobacteriaceae isolates 18 (30.5%) of them were resistant to Carbapenem (Meropenem) by producing KPC and 41 (69.5%) were sensitive. The predominate carbapenem resistance enterobacteriaceae species in our study were Klebsiella pneumonia 27.1% (n=16/59) followed by Klebsiella oxytoca 3.4 %.(n=2/59). More ever, other gram negative non-enterobacteriaceae isolates capable of developing carbapenem resistance were identified in Acinetobacter species 12.2% (n=9/74) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1.3 % (n=1/74) of the total gram negative isolates. A Positive Modified Hodge test showed a clover leaf-like indentation of the Escherichia coli 25922 strain growing along the test organism growth streak within the disk diffusion zone indicating production of carbapenemase as shown in figure 4

Extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing enterobacteriaceae
Screening a total of 74 gram negative bacteria 59(79.7%) enterobacteriaceae isolates were suspected of ESBL producing organisms. Klebsiella pneumoniae 27.1% (n=16/59) and Escherichia coli 1.7% (n=1/59) were among gram negative enterobacteriaceae isolates showing ESBL producers.

Combined disk (double disk potentiate) Test (CDT)
The overall prevalence of ESBL producing enterobacteriaceae was 28.8% (n=17/59). Among the suspected 17 isolates 100% (n=17/17) were phenotypically confirmed for ESBL using combination disk method, K. pneumoniae 100% (n=16/16) and E. coli 100% (n= 1/1) were positive for ESBL (figure). For result interpretation we use this result as the CLSI recommend this technique as reference for other phenotypic methods. We also use this test result to compare the findings of double disk method.

Double Disk Synergy Test (DDST): All isolates (n=17) were further tested for ESBL production by double disk synergy procedure, another phenotypic confirmatory method. The double disk Synergy method indicated 82.3% (n=14/17) were confirmed for ESBL producing enterobacteriaceae. Thus, K. pneumoniae showed from the 100% (n=17/17) which were positive by the reference (CDT) method, 82.3% (n=14/17) were positive by this method while 17.6% (n=3/17) were negative. However E. coli 100% (n=1/1) was ESBL positive concordant done by two methods.

Discussion
Blood stream infection (BSI) in pediatric patients associated with febrile illness is a major public health problem especially in developing countries where high child morbidity and mortality rate. So timely detection of bacteremia in blood culture set is a promising diagnostic tool established to rule out bacteremia and determination of its antimicrobial Susceptibility profile is necessary for clinicians to decide appropriate empirical therapy, which ultimately decreases the emergence of drug resistance [24]. The present study included 340 pediatric patients under five years of age clinically diagnosed with different disease suspected of bacteremia. Even though no statistical significant association for Endocarditis (63%), Hospital acquired infection (60%) sepsis (48%) patients were the highest proportion of positive blood culture for bacteremia [Table1].

In this study, overall prevalence of bloodstream infection based on significant bacterial growth in the blood cultures obtained from suspected patients was 137 (40.2%) which was in agreement for studies prevalence range of 35%-45%, with the study done in Gondar, northern Ethiopia 39.5% [25] and other similar studies conducted in African countries such as in Egypt 40.7% [26] and Tanzania 38.9% [27] and also in India by
Zakariya et al., 41.6% [28] and Khanal et al., [29] has reported 44% of positive blood cultures. Meanwhile, the present study was higher than the studies conducted in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 13.0% [30], 27.9% [25], and other African countries such as Tanzania 7.7% [31] and Ghana 19.9% [32]. The difference between studies might be due to differences patient condition in which our study includes more patients from ICU and impatient than outpatients in addition blood culture was performed by using more sensitive automated BacT/ALERT system. However we have isolated bacteria lower than the studies in Nigeria 47.6% [33], this was due to the patient condition in which others only include impatient and isolate anaerobic bacteria.

Among the total isolates 54% gram negative bacteria were causing blood stream infection in children which is in line with the previous study done in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 51.8% [25] elsewhere in India 51.8% [34] Kabul, Afghanistan 51.7% [35] and in Nepa 55.2%, 56% [36] respectively but higher compared to the study done in USA by Larru., et al., 22% [37] and in South Africa by Crichton et al., 40.7% [38], this was due to difference in socioeconomic, geographical and infection control mechanisms.

In this study, the most common causes of bloodstream infections were gram-negative bacteria, in particular Klebsiella pneumoniae, 31.4% followed by Acinetobacter species. 8.7% were the predominant among GNB isolates. This was supported by the study done in Jimma Ethiopia 31.4% [39], in African countries in Kenya 13% [40], Ghana 26% [41], Bouaké, central Côte d’Ivoire 22.5% [42] in Asia such as in India by 25.8%, 30.5%, [43, 44] in Brazil, Latin America by Berezina et al. [45], Vietnam 20% [46] the most common isolate was Klebsiella pneumoniae. However it was inconsistent that the predominant GNB isolation rate varies from country to country where in India by Kante et al., [47], Indonesia by Muni et al., [48] frequently isolated pathogen in BSI was Pseudomonas other than Klebsiella pneumoniae in the same age group. The possible difference might be due the difference prescription of antibiotics for empirical treatment of patients before blood culture and difference of management in pathogens causing nosocomial infection across the counties in addition in our Hospital setting, nosocomial infections were not proper patient isolation system in the ward which further increase the survival of high drug resistant bacteria including Klebsiella pneumoniae.

A polymicrobial infection in our study was isolated in a single patient and etiologies both were from gram negative bacteria that tends to increase the severity of the diseases which is in agreement with previous study [49, 50] even though some microbiologists consider polymicrobial growth as a contamination, but sepsis should be clinically correlated [50].

The trend of empirical treatment in our study 43.5% and the most prescribed antibiotics were ampicillin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin and third generation cephalosporin (most common ceftriaxone) in which ampicillin and gentamicin were the most common combined drugs used. This was supported by the previous study in Tamale, Ghana [51].

The antimicrobial susceptibility of gram negative bacteria predominately Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates were high level of resistance to ampicillin, ceftriaxone, cefepime, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, aztreonam, and meropenem. However our result was high rate of resistance to ampicillin(100%), ceftriaxone(97.9%), cefepime(90.9%), gentamicin(88.4%), ciprofloxacin(72.8%), and meropenem(72.7%). The resistance of ceftriaxone was published [55, 56]. However, our result was high rate of resistance to ampicillin in previous studies in Jimma Ethiopia by Haile et al. [53] (94.4%, gentamicin 71%) and meropenem 72% while in India the resistance to ampicillin, ceftriaxone, cefepime and gentamicin in adults was published [49, 50] were 97%, 88%, 67% respectively. It was also comparable in Kaneti children Hospital, Nepal by Kari et al. [54] reported 100% resistance to ampicillin and least sensitive to Cotrimosazole and Gentamicin. The highest potent drugs for treatment of BSI were ampicillin and gentamicin which was in line with previous studies done in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 13.0% [30], 27.9% [25], and other African counties such as Tanzania 7.7% [31] and Ghana 19.9% [32]. The difference between studies might be due to differences patient condition in which our study includes more patients from ICU than other studies conducted in USA by Larru., et al., 22% [37] and in South Africa by Crichton et al., 40.7% [38], this was due to difference in socioeconomic, geographical and infection control mechanisms.

The second most predominant GNB isolates in our study were Acinetobacter species resistance to most tested antimicrobials ceftazidime, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, aztreonam, ampicillin and meropenem. However our result was high rate of resistance compared to the study conducted in South India by Zakariya et al., [57] in which meropenem 100% sensitive, while 67% were sensitive to ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefepime, and ciprofloxacin reported. This is the fact that we had relatively many isolates and might be due to inappropriate empirical use of meropenem as the first line treatment since most of isolates are from ICU patients in our Hospital.

The overall prevalence of multidrug resistance isolates MDR in our study was 51.1% of which most of them were Gram-negative bacteria with a very high resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics. This result is supported by the previous study in Ethiopia [20]. Among Gram negative bacterial isolates, Klebsiella, 95.9% and Acinetobacter, 72.2% were dominant species. This was consistent with the study in north India [58].

The present study identified carbapenem resistance enterobacteriaceae (CRE) with the rate of 30.5% comparable with study conducted in Tanzania 35% [59]. The most carbapenem resistance was detected in 72.2% isolates of Acinetobacter spp. and in 62.8% of Klebsiella pneumoniae. This was inconsistent with the study in north India 64%, 92% [58] respectively.

The prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in our study is 25.4%. Among 43 Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates 14(32.5%) and 5 E.coli isolates 1(20.0%) ESBL-producers which is in line with the study conducted in south India by Zakariya et al., 32.0% [57] and in Mali by 58.1% [39], in African countries in Kenya 13% [40], Ghana 26% [41], Bouaké, central Côte d’Ivoire 22.5% [42], in Asia such as in India by 25.8%
Sangare et al., 29.4% [60] ESBL producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

**Limitation of the study**

Even though our study identifies numerous bacteria pathogens causing BSI in pediatrics under five years, we could not able to isolate other possible pathogens including anaerobic bacteria.

**Conclusions**

Blood stream infection in pediatric patients dominantly caused by Gram negative organisms were a treat of children. Among the dominate gram negative isolates *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Acinetobacter* species were multidrug resistant including 3rd and 4th generation cephalosporin, quinolones, aminoglycosides, carbapenem. The prevalence of MDR 51.1%, CRE 30.5% and ESBL 25.4% were alarmingly high in bacterial isolates in this study.

The duration of Hospitalization, history of Hospital acquired infection and complication of clinical suspected septicemia with high grade fever were significantly associated with positive blood culture in pediatric patients.

Based on the findings of this study, we recommend that blood culture should be done for pediatric patients prior to antimicrobial therapy with most sensitive BacT/Alert machine. Clinicians should avoid prescribing last line antibiotics for pediatrics in ICU.

Since Majority of antibiotics even last line antibiotics alarmingly resistance, laboratory based treatment should be routinely done. Isolation of patients confirmed nosocomial infection is mandatory to minimize transmission of resistance gene to others including CRE, MDR and ESBL producing organisms in Hospital admitted patients.

Strengthening of antimicrobial surveillance system and antimicrobial stewardship are necessary for better management of antibiotics in addition to infection prevention practice in the Hospital settings.
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### Tables

Due to technical limitations, Table 1 has been placed in the supplementary files section.

#### Table 4: Antimicrobial susceptibility of gram negative bacterial isolates associated with bloodstream infections among pediatric patients in Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital, 2018

| Gram negative Bacteria isolates | Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                 | SXT | GEN | CIP | CRO | AMP | MEM | FEP | AMK | TORB | PZT | AGU | CAZ |
| **E.coli** (n=5)                |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% 5(100)                       | 3(60.0) | 5(100) | 4(80.0) | 4(80.0) | 0(0) | 4(80.0) | 0(0) | 4(80.0) | 3(60.0) | 3(60.0) | 5(100) |
| S% 0(0)                         | 2(40.0) | 0(0) | 1(20.0) | 1(20.0) | 5(100) | 1(20.0) | 5(100) | 1(20.0) | 2(40.0) | 2(40.0) | 0(0) |
| **P.aeruginosa** (n=4)          |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% NA                           | 2(50.0) | 2(50.0) | NA | NA | 1(25.0) | 2(50.0) | 2(50.0) | 1(25.0) | 1(25.0) | NA | 2(50.0) |
| S% 2(50.0)                      | 2(50.0) | 3(75.0) | 2(50.0) | 2(50.0) | 3(75.0) | 3(75.0) | 2(50.0) | 3(75.0) | 2(50.0) | 3(75.0) | 2(50.0) |
| **Klebsiella pneumoniae** (n=43) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% 39(90.7)                     | 38(88.4) | 38(88.4) | 37(86.0) | 43(100) | 16(37.2) | 38(88.4) | 22(51.2) | 38(88.4) | 18(41.9) | 37(86.0) | 37(86.0) |
| S% 4(9.3)                       | 5(11.6) | 5(11.6) | 6(14.0) | 0(0) | 27(62.8) | 5(11.6) | 20(46.5) | 5(11.6) | 25(58.1) | 6(14.0) | 6(14.0) |
| **Klebsiella oxatica** (n=6)    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% 5(83.3)                      | 3(50.0) | 6(100) | 6(100) | 2(33.3) | 6(100) | 3(50.0) | 4(66.7) | 3(50.0) | 6(100) | 6(100) | 6(100) |
| S% 1(16.7)                      | 3(50.0) | 2(33.3) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 4(66.7) | 0(0) | 3(50.0) | 2(33.3) | 3(50.0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| **Acinetobacter** (n=11)       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% NA                           | 9(81.8) | 11(72.7) | NA | NA | 8(72.7) | 10(90.9) | 6(54.5) | 9(81.8) | 6(54.5) | NA | 11(100) |
| S% 2(18.2)                      | 2(18.2) | 3(27.3) | 2(18.2) | 3(27.3) | 1(9.1) | 5(45.5) | 2(18.2) | 5(45.5) | 0(0) |     |     |
| **Enterobacter cloace** (n=1)   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% 1(100)                       | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 0(0) | 1(100) | 0(0) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) |
| S% 0(0)                         | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 1(100) | 0(0) | 1(100) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| **Citrobacter** (n=1)           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% 1(100)                       | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 1(100) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 1(100) |
| S% 0(0)                         | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 0(0) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 1(100) | 0(0) |
| **Salmonella spp** (n=2)        |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| R% 1(50.0)                      | NA | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| S% 2(50.0)                      | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) | 2(100) |

SXT—Sulphamethoxazol-trimethopem/trimethoprim, GN—Gentamycin, CIP—Ciprofloxacin, CRO—Ceftriaxone, AMP—ampicillin, MEM—Meropenem, FEP—Cefepime, AMK —Amikacin, CAZ—cefazidime, Torbomycin, Piperacillin-Tazobactam, AGU—Augmentin/Amoxycillin-Clavulanic acid, NA—Not applicable

#### Table 5: Resistance antibiogram of gram positive and gram negative isolates from BSI among pediatric patients in Tikur Anbesa Specialized Hospital, 2018
| Bacterial isolates          | RO% | R1% | R2% | R3% | R4% | R5% | R6% | R7% | ≥R8% |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| *E.coli* (n=5)              | 1(20)| -   | 1(20)| 1(20)| -   | 1(20)| -   | -   | -    |
| *Pseudomonas* (n=4)         | 2(50)| -   | -   | 2(50)| -   | -   | -   | -   | -    |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n=43) | -   | -   | 1(2.3)| 2(4.6)| 1(2.3)| -   | 2(4.6)| 2(4.6)| 35(81.4) |
| *Klebsiella oxytoca* (n=6)  | -   | -   | 1(16.7)| -   | -   | 1(16.7)| -   | 1(16.7)| 3(50) |
| *Acinetobacter* (n=11)      | 3(27.3)| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 1(9.1)| 7(63.6) |
| *Entrobacter cloae* (n=1)   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | 1(100) |
| *Citrobacter* (n=1)         | -   | -   | 1(100)| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    |
| *Salmonella spp* (n=3)      | 2(66.7)| 1(33.3)| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    |

RO,R1,R2,R3,R4,R5,R6,R7,R8,R9,R10 sensitive, resistance to 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 antibiotics respectively
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**Figure 1**

Distribution of clinical condition of patients among positive blood culture from blood stream infection suspected septicemia in TASH, 2018

**Figure 2**
Distribution of bacteria pathogens among positive blood culture isolated from blood stream infection suspected of septicemia patients in TASH, 2018
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