Nonresectional folding repair techniques for posterior leaflet lesions in degenerative mitral regurgitation
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Surgical mitral valve repair is a gold standard treatment for severe degenerative mitral regurgitation (MR). Leaflet prolapse, excess leaflet width, excess leaflet height, annular geometry, or a combination of these factors must be corrected during degenerative MR repair. The standard approaches for excess leaflet tissue are resectional repair techniques, such as triangular/quadrangular resection and sliding valvuloplasty, and those for leaflet prolapse are leaflet resection and chordal replacement. For posterior leaflet lesions, nonresectional folding approaches are alternatives to those.

Nonresectional folding techniques are advantageous because of their simplicity and reversibility. Surgeons can undo the folding simply by cutting the folding sutures if they are unsatisfied with the repaired valve, whereas a resected leaflet cannot be undone. These options help surgeons handle different degenerative MR lesions in various situations. However, nonresectional folding techniques are limited by anatomy, and the long-term results are unknown. Therefore, surgeons should understand the limitations of nonresectional folding approaches and carefully select suitable cases.

This article reviewed several different nonresectional folding techniques and included our original data of nonresectional folding repair cases that were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tokyo Bay Urayasu Ichikawa Medical Center (Institutional Review Board #2021-694).

Surgical techniques

Leaflet Folding in a Vertical Direction

The concept of vertical folding of the posterior leaflet was developed by McGoon1 more than half a century ago but has been unpopular and used less than leaflet resection techniques for many years. However, it has recently received more attention, simultaneously with minimally invasive approaches.2,3 In this folding technique, the prolapsed leaflet segment is plicated using interrupted or running sutures (Figure 1). Some surgeons use this technique to determine how much leaflet to resect before actual leaflet resection. This technique does not reduce the leaflet height or prevent systolic anterior motion (SAM) after repair of a tall posterior leaflet, and additional procedures such as neochordal replacement and anchoring of the folded posterior leaflet to the posterior ventricular wall4 may be necessary to manage excess leaflet height.

Leaflet Folding at the Indentation

Indentation closure is a classic mitral valve repair technique and has been used for the purpose of gap closure after leaflet resection.5,6 In addition, it can be used for the correction of prolapse and excess leaflet width.7 The prolapsed segment near the indentation can be supported by the normal chordae of the neighboring scallop by suturing the indentation. The excess leaflet can be folded into the ventricle by suturing the indentation with big suture bites (Figure 2). This technique is rarely used as a primary procedure and is often only
used as an adjunctive procedure with other techniques such as neochordal replacement.7

Leaflet Folding Using the Neochordae

We previously introduced modified neochordal replacement (chordal foldoplasty) to repair both prolapse and excess leaflet height without leaflet resection.8 In this technique, applicable to any posterior leaflet scallop, neochordae are sutured on the middle portion of the P2 scallop instead of the leaflet edge (Figure 3). The neochordae naturally fold the leaflet toward the posterior ventricular wall, creating a new coaptation surface and blocking the leaflet tip from moving forward.8

It is difficult to determine the neochordal length with pre-measurement techniques in chordal foldoplasty because the appropriate length varies by the location at which sutures are fixed on the leaflet. The length of neochordae is determined with the tourniquet technique in which we temporarily hold the neochordae at a specific length using small tourniquets, assessed the leaflet competency by injecting saline into the left ventricle, and adjust the length by sliding the tourniquets.9

In another leaflet folding technique using the neochordae, the 2 arms of each neochordae are passed through the free margin of the prolapsed leaflet and those sutures are weaved from the free margin up to the coaptation line with 2 or 3 full-thickness bites.10 In this technique, the neochordal length is determined on the basis of the principle of basal-marginal chordae equivalence. The leaflet is temporarily folded to approximate the coaptation line to the annulus, the length of the neochordae is set the same as the length of the basal chordae, and the leaflet is unfolded.10

Leaflet Folding in a Horizontal Direction

The horizontal folding repair technique is also simple and reversible.11-13 The prolapsed or redundant posterior leaflet segment is folded with mattress sutures passed from the leaflet edge to the annulus or midportion of the leaflet (Figure 4). This technique simultaneously corrects leaflet prolapse and reduces the posterior leaflet height. The leaflet height can be adjusted by changing the exit points of sutures, although it cannot be reduced to less than half.

FIGURE 1. Vertical folding of the posterior leaflet. Left: The edges of the prolapsed segment are passed with a suture. Right: The prolapsed segment is plicated with a running suture.

FIGURE 2. Folding of the posterior leaflet at the indentations. Left: The indentations are closed by taking big bites on the redundant segment. Right: The redundant segment is inverted into the left ventricle after indentation closure.
OUTCOMES

The early folding repair technique outcomes have been excellent, although little has been reported on late outcomes. Woo and MacArthur\(^2\) reported the early outcomes of 87 patients undergoing vertical folding mitral valve repair. Of those, 85% of patients had posterior leaflet prolapse, and 15% had bileaflet prolapse. A minimally invasive approach was used in 62% of patients, and there was no operative death or stroke. Predischarge echocardiography showed 0/1\(+\) MR and no SAM in all patients. There was also no recurrent MR or reoperation of the mitral valve during a mean follow-up of 1 year.\(^2\) Tsukui and colleagues\(^3\) also reported the early outcomes of 60 patients undergoing vertical folding repair. Predischarge echocardiography showed none or trivial MR in 80% and mild MR in 20% of patients, and no SAM. There was also no recurrent MR.

---

**FIGURE 3.** Chordal foldoplasty. *Left:* The neochordal sutures are passed onto the atrial surface of the midportion of the tall posterior leaflet in a mattress fashion. *Center:* Two pairs of neochordae are placed on the midportion of the posterior leaflet. *Right:* The posterior leaflet tip is naturally folded toward the posterior wall and blocked from moving forward by the neochordae.

**FIGURE 4.** Horizontal folding of the posterior leaflet. *Left:* Mattress sutures are passed from the tip to the base of the posterior leaflet. *Center and Right:* The posterior leaflet is folded, reducing the leaflet height.
or reoperation during a mean follow-up period of 17 months.

There have been few reports on indentation closure outcomes. We previously reported that 98 of 897 patients who underwent mitral valve repair for degenerative MR had indentation closure as an adjunctive procedure and reviewed their outcomes.\(^7\) Of those, 68% had posterior leaflet prolapse, 26% had bileaflet prolapse, and 6% had anterior leaflet prolapse. Indentation closure was performed for gap closure (58%), prolapse repair (11%), redundancy repair (16%), and leaflet deficiency repair (12%). PredischARGE echocardiography showed no residual greater than mild MR in all patients and a mean transmitral pressure gradient of 5 mm Hg or greater in 16% of patients. Further, multivariable analysis showed that small ring or band use, commissural plication, and edge-to-edge repair are significant predictors of a high-pressure gradient. There were 6 patients with recurrent moderate or severe MR during a mean follow-up of 44 months.\(^7\)

Early outcomes of 8 initial cases of chordal foldoplasty were previously reported.\(^8\) We reviewed 60 patients who underwent chordal foldoplasty from August 2013 and May 2020. The median age of patients was 55 years (range, 30-85 years). All patients had severe degenerative MR, 77% had posterior leaflet prolapse, and 23% had bileaflet prolapse. A minimally invasive approach was used in 92% of patients. There was no operative death. PredischARGE echocardiography showed none or trivial MR in 98% and mild MR in 2% of patients, and no SAM. The Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that the freedom rate from recurrent moderate or severe MR was 89.4% at 5 years. Two cases required mitral valve reoperation during a median follow-up of 27 months. In 1 reoperation case, 2 pairs of neochordae placed on the sclerotic and highly redundant P2 segment were fixed on the same papillary muscle head. At the time of the early redo surgery, 6 months after the first surgery, the neochordae were found to be entirely off the papillary muscle. Consequently, the mitral valve was re-repaired by sliding valvuloplasty. In the other reoperation case, the neochordae were found to move toward the papillary muscle tip, and the valve was re-repaired by triangular resection and neochordal replacement.

Fuster and colleagues\(^9\) reviewed their 68 cases of mitral valve repair in which they performed their chordal folding technique. In their series, 59% of patients had posterior leaflet prolapse, 19% had anterior leaflet prolapse, and 22% had bileaflet prolapse. No SAM was found on intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography. PredischARGE or 1-month echocardiography was performed in 67 patients and showed that 51 patients had no MR and 16 patients had grade 1 MR. Six-month echocardiography that was performed in 63 patients showed zero/grade 1 MR in 62 patients and grade 2 MR in 1 patient. In the patient with grade 2 MR, the grade of MR did not change at 18-month follow-up. Two-year echocardiography that was performed in 41 patients showed zero/grade 1 MR in all patients.\(^10\)

Gosev and colleagues\(^14\) reported the long-term outcomes of horizontal folding repair, finding that 7 of 161 patients (4.3%) had mitral valve reoperation during a mean follow-up period of 6.8 years. The echocardiographic follow-up details were not presented, but they reported that 2% of patients had moderate MR on the follow-up echocardiography.\(^14\)

**DISCUSSION**

There has been some criticism that nonresectional folding approaches are overly simplified repair techniques used only to fit with minimally invasive surgery. However, the procedure simplicity reduces operation, cardiopulmonary bypass, and aortic crossclamping times and promotes mitral valve repair reproducibility, potentially improving operative outcomes. The reversibility of the procedure also allows surgeons to explore better solutions after examining the repaired valve. Thus, these advantages of nonresectional folding should be considered not only for minimally invasive surgery but also for conventional surgery via a median sternotomy unless they sacrifice the mitral valve repair success and durability.

Folding the leaflet in a vertical direction, so called “McGoon’s plication technique,”\(^15\) is a simple and reversible method to fix the excess leaflet width. This technique may not be feasible for a very thick leaflet because vertical folding of a thick leaflet puts too much tension on the sutures and the leaflet by elastic restoring force. This technique is a useful option for the wide posterior leaflet unless it is very thick. Because it does not reduce the leaflet height, it may be necessary to add other techniques such as neochordal replacement and anchoring of the plicated leaflet\(^1\) to prevent SAM.

Folding the leaflet at the indentation puts less tension on the leaflet and sutures than folding the midportion of the leaflet due to less elastic restoring force. Conversely, indentation closure can cause mitral stenosis by restricting the posterior leaflet opening. This technique should not be used in combination with commissural plication or annuloplasty with a small ring.\(^7\)

Folding techniques using the neochordae provide deep leaflet coaptation and preserves excellent leaflet motion,\(^8,10\) which are advantages of neochordal techniques over resectional techniques.\(^9,16\) They are still simple, although they require determining the length of the neochordae. As described, the premeasurement methods are unsuitable, and intraoperative adjustment methods such as the tourniquet technique and the method based on the principle of basal-marginal chordae equivalence are useful for chordal folding repair techniques.\(^9,10\) Extensive shortening of the neochordae placed on the tip of the tall leaflet is also effective to deal with the risk of SAM. However, it might not
CONCLUSIONS
Nonresectional folding repair techniques are simple, reversible, and practical for primary, adjunctive, or bailout techniques in mitral valve repair for degenerative posterior leaflet lesions. Leaflet resection should be the primary technique for sclerotic lesions, but the folding repair techniques can still be used as an additional procedure in such cases. Further investigation is necessary to assess the long-term durability of the folding repair techniques.
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