MicroRNA-181b-5p, ETS1, and the c-Met pathway exacerbate the prognosis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma after radiation therapy
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Preoperative chemoradiation therapy (CRT) for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) has emerged as a reasonable strategy that shows good prognostic impact. However, after preoperative CRT, resected specimens show remnant tumor cells, which indicate that some tumor cells had acquired or were selected for resistance to CRT. Recently, two oncological mechanisms, the EMT and the presence of CSCs, were reported to be associated with resistance in various cancers. Previous reports showed that HGF could induce EMT in PDAC cells; moreover, the HGF receptor, c-Met, was identified as a dominant pancreatic CSC marker. However, the clinical significance of c-Met expression remains unclear. So, we hypothesized that remnant PDAC tissue after CRT might harbor cells with high c-Met expression, and these cells may exacerbate patients’ prognosis. In the immunohistochemical analysis, we showed that preoperative CRT was significantly associated with high c-Met expression; moreover, high c-Met expression was a significant marker of a dismal prognosis. Next, we investigated mechanisms of c-Met upregulation in PDAC cells. We established GEM-resistant and radioresistant PDAC cells to analyze the transcriptome involved in c-Met expression. The microarray data for the established radiation-resistant PDAC cells indicated miR-181b-5p downregulation, which targets ETS1, one of the transcription factors for c-Met, and it was shown that radiation exposure induced c-Met expression through ETS1 increase by the suppression of miR-181b-5p. These results suggested that targeting these mechanisms may promote the development of a novel multidisciplinary treatment strategy for improving preoperative CRT efficiency.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is one of the most lethal diseases worldwide, and its incidence is increasing. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths, and the National Cancer Institute has estimated that approximately 53 070 new cases and 41 780 deaths occurred in 2016. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma has a dismal 5-year survival rate of 6–8%, which has not improved in the past few decades. Although surgical resection remains the only curative treatment option, surgery alone could not provide satisfactory survival rates for patients with PDAC. Thus, various multidisciplinary treatments, including curative surgery, have been developed for treating PDAC. Adjuvant chemotherapy with GEM or fluorouracil-based drugs has significantly prolonged both disease-free and overall survival, and therefore, adjuvant chemotherapy following a curative surgery is now a standard strategy. However, the survival rate remains relatively low; the average 5-year survival rate is 20.7%. To achieve a more preferable prognosis, it is suggested that there is considerable benefit from adding preoperative therapy providing chemotherapy or CRT before the standard strategy.

Preoperative therapy can expand a population of patients eligible to undergo curative surgery by reducing tumors at inoperable locations. Additionally, it can obviate unnecessary surgery for patients with invisible in image, but uncontrollable metastasis. In the speculation, preoperative irradiation may control local invasions, while preoperative chemotherapy may inhibit micrometastases. Hence, preoperative CRT can control both local invasions and micro invisible metastases and increase an effect of surgery, consequently bringing a preferable prognosis by decreasing tumor recurrences. Meanwhile, emerging evidence has suggested that paradoxically, irradiation/chemotherapy can spare CSCs and promote malignant phenotype associating metastasis, for example, EMT in several cancers. To avoid (or target) these paradoxical effects, we have to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying irradiation/chemotherapy-induced malignant abilities.

The receptor of HGF, c-Met, is reported as a CSC marker in PDAC, and PDAC tissues are known to contain abundant HGF, which is synthesized in the surrounding niche. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells that express high c-Met
levels, which may contain abundant CSCs, are implicated in clinical CRT refractoriness and distant metastasis. Therefore, we hypothesized that preoperative CRT might select specific cells, including CSCs, or stimulate high c-Met expression in PDAC cells, which might confer malignant capabilities in the remnant cells. A confirmation of this hypothesis could lead to a new therapeutic target to current CRT treatments. However, no previous study has revealed the role of c-Met expression in clinical samples or the association between c-Met expression and preoperative CRT. We here showed that c-Met expression was upregulated by CRT, and its expression was involved in the prognosis of PDAC. Moreover, we identified a transcriptional factor induced by radiation-associated miRNA. This mechanism related with c-Met expression could be a new therapeutic target in PDAC.

Materials and Methods

Patients and specimens. This study is a non-randomized single institutional retrospective analysis of c-Met using resected specimens of patients with PDAC who were enrolled in two sequential clinical trials on preoperative CRT at our institution from April 2007 to August 2012. During this 6-year period, all eligible patients were invited to participate. We evaluated 92 patients that received R0 resections of PDAC with or without preoperative CRT. Patients were excluded from this study when they had intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms or mucinous cystic adenocarcinomas. The clinicopathological features of these patients are shown in Table S1.

The differences between these two clinical trials are detailed in Appendix S1 (Preoperative CRT). Fifty-six patients received preoperative CRT prior to surgery (Preoperative CRT), and 36 patients underwent surgery without preoperative CRT (Non-CRT). In 2007, we began to recommend adjuvant chemotherapy after curative surgery to all patients in our institution. Gemcitabine was used in 2007–2011, and starting in 2012, S-1 (oral fluoropyrimidine抗癌 drug) was typically used, according to the latest evidence from clinical trials (CONCO-001, 2007; JASPAC-001, 2011).

Resected specimens were immediately fixed in 10% formalin for 48 h. Specimens were then embedded in paraffin and sectioned into 3.5-μm slices for further evaluations, as described previously. A proportion of slides were routinely stained with H&E for pathological evaluation by certified pathologists in our institution. The remaining slides were examined with immunohistochemistry (described below).

Ethics statement on clinical samples. The use of resected samples was approved by the Human Ethics Review Committee of the Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University (Osaka, Japan; approval number 15462). Written informed consent on usage of resected specimens was obtained from all patients.

Immunohistochemical staining. Immunohistochemical staining was carried out as previously described. Briefly, paraformaldehyde-fixed, paraffin-embedded, pancreatic cancer tissue sections were deparaffinized, hydrated, and incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C. We used the following primary antibodies: anti-human c-Met (1:400; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), anti-human ETS1 (6 μg/mL; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Bound antibodies were detected with biotin-conjugated secondary antibodies, which reacted with diaminobenzidine (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), and the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Semiquantitative scoring for immunohistochemistry. The expression levels of c-Met and ETS1 were evaluated with the semiquantitative scoring method, as previously described. Observers blinded from all clinicopathological data used a light microscope at a magnification of 100× to grade the staining intensity of c-Met (scale, 0–2), the staining intensity of ETS1 (scale, 0–1), and the staining proportion both of c-Met and ETS1. In all cases, a higher number indicated a higher staining intensity. Reference samples (assigned an intensity of 1) were vascular smooth muscle cells for c-Met and Langerhans cells for ETS1. We identified five fields including viable cancer ducts and acquired images of representative areas. The percentage of area covered by positively stained tumor cells was calculated for each specimen. Tumor areas were assigned a proportion score that ranged from 0 to 2: 0, 0–25%; 1, 26–50%; and 2, >50%. Each intensity score was multiplied by a proportion score to obtain a final semiquantitative score. The cut-off point was set for distinguishing high expressing tumors from low expressing tumors. For 92 c-Met specimens, the cut-off score was the average score of 7; for 88 ETS1 specimens, the cut-off score was the median score of 4.

Cell lines and cell culture. Four human PDAC cell lines, MiaPaCa2, Panc1, PSN1, and BxPC3, were purchased from the Japan Cancer Research Resources Bank (Tokyo, Japan). Stable GEM-resistant cells were established by long-term exposure to GEM (Eli Lilly Pharmaceuticals, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Three clones were derived from MiaPaCa2 (MiaPaCa2-GRs: MiaPaCa2-GR1, MiaPaCa2-GR2, and MiaPaCa2-GR3) and three clones were derived from Panc1 (Panc1-GRs: Panc1-GR1, Panc1-GR2, and Panc1-GR3). These cells were maintained as previously described.

Establishment of radioresistant cell lines. The method for establishing radioresistant cell lines by fractionated irradiation was described previously. First, Panc1 parent cells were passaged and seeded at 10% confluence in 100-mm tissue culture dishes (Iwaki Glass, Chiba, Japan). When cells reached 50% confluence, they were exposed to 2 Gy irradiation with a Gamma Cell 40 Exactor (Nordion International, Ottawa, ON, Canada); cells were then cultured to 90% confluence before the next passage. This radiation challenge was repeated until the total radiation dose was 60 Gy. After separating single cells with the limiting dilution method, five cell clones grew from the exposed cells (Panc1-clone1, Panc1-clone2, Panc1-clone3, Panc1-RR1, and Panc1-RR2).

Assay for radiosensitivity. As previously described, cell survival after X-ray irradiation was measured in a clonogenic assay. We plated 1 × 10^2 cells, 2 × 10^2 cells, 4 × 10^2 cells, and 8 × 10^2 cells (Panc1 Parent cells, Panc1-clones, and Panc1-RRs) in separate 60-mm tissue culture dishes (Iwaki Glass). Cells were then irradiated at 0, 2, 4, and 8 Gy (three plates for each radiation dose). These cells were incubated at 37°C for 14 days. After fixation with formalin, cells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet. Colonies with more than 50 cells were counted with a light microscope, and these colony numbers were used to calculate the surviving fraction.

MicroRNA microarray experiments. MicroRNA microarray analysis was carried out by Toray Industries (Tokyo, Japan) with the TORAY 3D-Gene platform. Purified miRNAs were obtained from untreated Panc1 cells, Panc1 cells after exposure to 4 Gy irradiation, and Panc1-RR clones.

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD. Clinicopathological parameters were compared with the χ²-test, and continuous variables were compared with Student’s t-test. Survival curves were constructed with the
Fig. 1. Clinical impact of preoperative chemoradiation therapy (CRT) on c-Met expression in human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC).
(a) Representative patterns of immunohistochemical staining for c-Met about intensity; Left upper panel shows a representative pattern of vascular smooth muscle cells as reference samples assigned an intensity score 1. Representative images with each intensity score are shown for PDAC cells with c-Met negative expression assigned an intensity score 0 (right upper panel), PDAC cells with c-Met-positive expression assigned as an intensity of 1 (left bottom panel), and PDAC cells with strongly positive c-Met expression assigned as an intensity of 2 (right bottom panel).
(b) Representative patterns of immunohistochemical staining for c-Met about proportion; Representative images with each proportion score are shown for PDAC cells with no area covered by positively stained tumor cells, assigned proportion score 0 (left upper panel), with 0–25% area by positively stained tumor cells, assigned proportion score 0 (right upper panel), with 25–50% area by positively stained tumor cells, assigned proportion score 1 (left bottom panel), and with >50% area by positively stained tumor cells, assigned proportion score 2 (right bottom panel).
(c) Distribution of c-Met score.
(d) Ratios of high to low c-Met expression in tissues from patients with PDAC that either received (CRT; \(n=56\)) or did not receive (Non-CRT; \(n=36\)) preoperative CRT. Preoperative CRT was significantly associated with high c-Met expression (\(P=0.0374\)).
(e) Kaplan–Meier analysis of patients with PDAC based on c-Met expression. The high c-Met group showed significantly shorter rates of recurrence-free and overall survival. (a, b) Scale bar = 500 μm.
Kaplan–Meier method, and differences between survival curves were compared with the log–rank test. A P-value ≤ 0.05 denoted a statistically significant difference. Statistical analysis was carried out with JMP software version 11 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

**Other methods.** Additional methods are described in Appendix S1.

**Results**

**Preoperative CRT associated with high c-Met expression in PDAC.** The c-Met expression score was semiquantified based on the immunohistochemistry evaluations of excised tumors (Fig. 1a,b). The distribution of c-Met score is shown in Figure 1(c). The median score of c-Met was 5, but there were two peaks in the c-Met score distribution and we chose the average score as a threshold to divide these two peaks. Based on the threshold (average) score as described above, 92 patients were divided into groups of high or low expression. Of the 92 tumors, 43 (46.7%) showed high c-Met expression. Tumor factors, such as tumor size, UIICC stage, and microscopic invasions, were evaluated by the specimens. A univariate logistic analysis of canonical clinicopathological findings revealed that no tumor or patient factors affected c-Met expression, except the preoperative CRT (Fig. 1d, Table S2, \( P = 0.0037 \)). Notably, despite the lack of a relationship between c-Met expression and tumor factors, c-Met expression groups, the median recurrence-free survival times were 27.8 and 13.8 months, respectively (\( P = 0.0142 \)). For the low and high c-Met expression groups, the median recurrence-free survival was 27.8 and 13.8 months, respectively (\( P = 0.0142 \)). These results revealed three new facts. First, the fraction of c-Met-expressing cancer cells present in remnant PDAC tissues. Irradiation induced c-Met expression and activated c-Met pathways in PDAC cells. To elucidate whether a CRT-related drug or irradiation increased c-Met expression in remnant PDAC cells, we evaluated c-Met expression in GEM-resistant PDAC cells and radioresistant PDAC cells. As previously described, with long GEM exposures, we established three independent GRs from two kinds of PDAC cell lines, MiaPaCa2 and Panc1 (Fig. S2). All the cloned cells were derived from a cell that escaped death during GEM exposure. None of these clones showed increased c-Met mRNA expression (Fig. 2a). We also established two independent radioresistant Panc1 cell clones (RR1, RR2), by cloning cells that escaped death from irradiation (Fig. 2b,c). When c-Met expression levels were evaluated in these clones, we found that the Panc1-RR clones expressed significantly higher c-Met than the parental cells, both in mRNA and protein expression assays (Fig. 2d,e). Based on these results, we concluded that the irradiation delivered in preoperative CRT increased c-Met expression in PDAC cells.

To investigate alterations in c-Met expression after radiation exposure, we measured c-Met expression levels after irradiation in four different PDAC cell lines, MiaPaCa2, Panc1, PSN1, and BxPC3. Irradiation induced elevated c-Met expression in all four PDAC cell lines (Fig. 2f), and the increase in c-Met expression was both time-dependent and dose-dependent (Fig. 2g–i, Fig. S3).

To ascertain c-Met pathway activation, the expression of canonical downstream proteins, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase–protein kinase B (Akt), MAPK, signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT), and β-catenin, were evaluated in MiaPaCa2 cells after irradiation. Activation of all these proteins increased c-Met expression (Fig. 2h–i). These results indicated that preoperative CRT could confer malignant capabilities in remnant PDAC cells through pathways involving these activated proteins.

MicroRNA-181b-5p plays a dominant role in c-Met upregulation caused by irradiation. To investigate whether epigenetic regulation played a role in the alteration of c-Met expression caused by irradiation, we undertook a comprehensive transcriptome analysis of remnant PDAC tissues.
c-Met expression is induced by irradiation in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cell lines. (a) Quantitative RT-PCR results show c-Met mRNA expression did not increase in six gemcitabine-resistant cells (GR) clones (MiaPaCa2-GRs and Panc1-GRs) compared to expression in the parent cells. (b, c) Colonogenic assay for selecting radioresistant (RR) clones of Panc1. (b) Viable colonies were stained, and (c) the surviving fractions were evaluated at each radiation dose. Survival fraction = number of colonies in the dish after irradiation/the number before irradiation (0 Gy column). After a total dose of 60 Gy, only five Panc1 clones survived, and two of those clones subsequently displayed radioresistance by forming colonies under different irradiation doses (range, 0–8 Gy; *P < 0.01 vs Panc1 parent cells). (d) c-Met mRNA expression was significantly higher in RR cells compared to parent cells. (e) Western blot analysis showed that c-Met protein expression was elevated in Panc1 RR2 cells compared to parent cells. Relative expression intensity was measured with ImageJ software. (f) c-Met mRNA expression in PDAC cell lines 6 h after exposure to 4 Gy irradiation (IR). All four cell lines showed increases in c-Met expression. (g) c-Met mRNA expression increased in both MiaPaCa2 and Panc1 cells in a time-dependent manner after exposure to 4 Gy irradiation. (h) Immunocytochemistry shows increases in c-Met expression in MiaPaCa2 cells at 48 h after exposure to the indicated irradiation. (i) Western blot analysis of whole cell lysate from MiaPaCa2 cells shows increases in c-Met protein expression. These increases showed both time dependence and dose dependence; canonical downstream signaling molecules were also activated with irradiation. Values represent the mean ± SD. *P < 0.01. Scale bar = 50 µm. All experiments were carried out at least three times. AKT, protein kinase B; PI3K, phospho inositide 3-kinase; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3.
Table 2. Candidate microRNAs (miR) for altering c-Met expression in Panc1 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells, based on microarray analysis

| Name             | Fold change versus non-irradiated or parental cells |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                  | 4-Gy IR | RR1 | RR2 |
| has-miR-15a-5p   | 0.65    | 0.54 | 0.30 |
| has-miR-19a-3p   | 0.68    | 0.58 | 0.39 |
| has-miR-23a-3p   | 0.68    | 0.49 | 0.65 |
| has-miR-25-3p    | 0.64    | 0.64 | 0.43 |
| has-miR-26a-5p   | 0.70    | 0.42 | 0.45 |
| has-miR-26b-5p   | 0.67    | 0.56 | 0.33 |
| has-miR-29a-3p   | 0.70    | 0.60 | 0.54 |
| has-miR-30a-3p   | 0.65    | 0.64 | 0.48 |
| has-miR-30b-5p   | 0.66    | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| has-miR-30e-3p   | 0.61    | 0.67 | 0.57 |
| has-miR-31-5p    | 0.69    | 0.51 | 0.32 |
| has-miR-31-5p    | 0.68    | 0.55 | 0.31 |
| has-miR-95-3p    | 0.68    | 0.55 | 0.26 |
| has-miR-100-5p   | 0.65    | 0.68 | 0.70 |
| has-miR-106b-5p  | 0.60    | 0.59 | 0.43 |
| has-miR-126-3p   | 0.69    | 0.31 | 0.61 |
| has-miR-145-5p   | 0.66    | 0.53 | 0.52 |
| has-miR-181b-5p  | 0.67    | 0.64 | 0.56 |
| has-miR-185-5p   | 0.70    | 0.59 | 0.47 |
| has-miR-222-5p   | 0.49    | 0.40 | 0.24 |
| has-miR-224-5p   | 0.70    | 0.39 | 0.42 |
| has-miR-320c     | 0.66    | 0.47 | 0.64 |
| has-miR-320d     | 0.66    | 0.53 | 0.61 |
| has-miR-361      | 0.61    | 0.68 | 0.58 |
| has-miR-378a-3p  | 0.65    | 0.65 | 0.64 |
| has-miR-422a     | 0.60    | 0.63 | 0.64 |
| has-miR-574-5p   | 0.69    | 0.69 | 0.68 |
| has-miR-2278     | 0.36    | 0.61 | 0.70 |
| has-miR-3151-3p  | 0.51    | 0.63 | 0.34 |
| has-miR-4291     | 0.69    | 0.57 | 0.64 |
| has-miR-4443     | 0.70    | 0.70 | 0.44 |
| has-miR-4738-3p  | 0.58    | 0.65 | 0.44 |
| has-miR-5685     | 0.63    | 0.60 | 0.37 |
| has-miR-6742-3p  | 0.65    | 0.57 | 0.65 |
| has-miR-6848-3p  | 0.35    | 0.68 | 0.61 |
| has-miR-7114-3p  | 0.66    | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| let-7c-5p        | 0.63    | 0.52 | 0.44 |
| let-7d-5p        | 0.69    | 0.53 | 0.46 |
| let-7 g-5p       | 0.70    | 0.56 | 0.43 |
| let-7i-5p        | 0.65    | 0.63 | 0.38 |

IR, irradiated; RR, radioresistant cell clone.

This basic study revealed three new findings. First, patients with high c-Met expression showed a distinctly shorter survival time than those with low c-Met expression. Second, c-Met expression in PDAC was significantly associated with the presence of preoperative CRT. Finally, exposure induced c-Met expression in PDAC cells by inhibiting miR-181b-5p, which released its suppression of the transcription factor, ETS1; in turn, increased ETS1 levels enhanced c-Met transcription.

Recently, two oncological mechanisms, the EMT and the presence of CSCs were reported to be associated with resistance to chemo-/irradiation therapy in various cancers. (9–11) Previous reports showed that HGF could induce EMT in PDAC cells; moreover, the HGF receptor, c-Met, was identified as a dominant pancreatic CSC marker. (14) Therefore, it was expected that c-Met expression of PDAC can be a prognostic marker despite no report assuming that, and actually, c-Met expression was previously identified as an indicator of poor prognosis in various cancers, (32,33) and a c-Met inhibitor was developed as a
Fig. 3. Mechanism underlying c-Met induction by radiation exposure. (a) Quantitative RT-PCR results show changes in c-Met mRNA expression at different time points after exposure to 4 Gy irradiation. Radiation suppressed miR-181b expression in MiaPaCa2 cells. (b, c) Pre-microRNA (miR)-181b was overexpressed in MiaPaCa2 cells. (b, c) Quantitative RT-PCR (b) and Western blot results (c) show that, in the presence of high miR-181b-5p levels, c-Met mRNA expression did not increase after exposure to 4 Gy irradiation (IR). (d) Immunocytochemistry images show that ETS1 protein expression increased in nuclei after exposure to irradiation in MiaPaCa2 cells. (e) Western blot of nuclear proteins extracted from MiaPaCa2 cells shows that ETS1 expression was induced by 4-Gy IR in a time-dependent manner. The relative expression intensity was measured with ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). (f, g) ETS1 mRNA expression in the presence or absence of pre-miR-181b-5p overexpression in MiaPaCa2 cells. Overexpression of pre-miR-181b-5p significantly inhibited ETS1 expression (f), even after exposure to 4 Gy IR (g). (h) Immunocytochemistry images of ETS1 expression in MiaPaCa2 cells before and after exposure to 4 Gy irradiation. Transduction of pre-miR-181b-5p inhibited ETS1 expression, even after 4 Gy irradiation. (i) ETS1 mRNA expression was knocked down in MiaPaCa2 cells before and after exposure to 4 Gy IR. (j) siETS1 knockdown attenuated the increase in c-Met mRNA observed at 6 h after exposure to 4 Gy IR. (k) Top, Western blot of whole cell lysate from MiaPaCa2 cells transfected with or without siETS1, measured at 48 h after 4 Gy IR. Bottom, c-Met expression levels were evaluated 6, 24, and 48 h after exposure to 4 Gy IR. Relative expression intensity was measured with ImageJ software. c-Met protein expression was not increased after irradiation when ETS1 was knocked down in MiaPaCa2 cells. Values represent mean ± SD. *P < 0.01. Scale bar = 50 μm. All experiments were carried out at least three times.
candidate drug for treating advanced hepatocellular carcinoma.\textsuperscript{34} Our results firstly elucidated the role of c-Met expression in PDAC. We found that c-Met was significantly associated with both recurrent-free and overall survival time. During the last decade, preoperative CRT for PDAC has emerged as a reasonable strategy.\textsuperscript{5,6,35} But the efficacy of preoperative CRT on the control of distant metastasis, especially liver metastasis, remains unclear, and there is scarce evidence
that preoperative CRT is superior to preoperative chemotherapy for all PDAC patients. In this study, c-Met expression in resected specimens of PDAC was significantly associated with the presence of preoperative CRT. In addition, when PDAC cells were irradiated, remnant cells showed c-Met upregulation and an activation of a canonical pathway downstream of HGF/ c-Met. A previous report suggested that irradiation could increase c-Met expression in some pancreatic cancer cell lines in vitro, and our results were compatible. The activation of c-Met following HGF binding resulted in invasive growth and induced EMT in various cancer cells, including PDAC. Because overactivation of c-Met, followed by activation of downstream signal transducer molecules, protein kinase B, ERK, and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, promoted the ability of invasion or metastasis, our results indicated that high c-Met expression induced by irradiation could promote malignant traits in PDAC cells. Thus, radiation therapy could have two aspects; one is a benefit of enhancing local control, and the other is a side-effect of promoting malignant potentials in remnant PDAC cells. Our findings may suggest that irradiation therapy does not benefit all patients and must explore the mechanisms involved in c-Met elevation with irradiation. We undertook further investigation to reveal the mechanisms.

The present study revealed three new insights regarding c-Met upregulation. First, an irradiation-induced inhibition of miR-181b-5p expression was associated with ETS1 upregulation. Second, there are four ETS family binding sites in the MET promoter, therefore, it is reasonable to assume that ETS1 upregulation directly increased c-Met expression in PDAC cells. Finally, c-Met mRNA expression was not increased by long-term exposure to GEM. MicroRNA-181b-5p was reported to antagonize apoptosis. Its target genes were reported to be NOVA1, Narp, and Notch. Although ETS1 was not previously identified as a target gene of miR-181b-5p, the prediction algorithm, TargetScan, indicated that miR-181b-5p could target conserved sites located in the 3′-UTR of ETS1. Accordingly, in the present study, our results indicated that ETS1 was a target of miR-181b-5p.

In recent years, ETS1 has gained attention in cancer research, due to its importance in cell migration, invasion, and proliferation, which suggested that it could be involved in EMT. Our present results were consistent with those previous reports. Our retrospective analysis of clinical samples revealed that c-Met expression was an independent prognostic factor; it showed a strong, positive interaction with preoperative CRT and with ETS1 expression.

In summary, we found that c-Met expression was involved in the overall survival of patients with PDAC. Irradiation downregulated miR-181b-5p, which released suppression of ETS1 expression. The increase in ETS1 expression caused upregulation of c-Met expression. Our findings suggested that applying a c-Met inhibitor during preoperative CRT may be an optimal strategy in PDAC, because it might inhibit distant recurrences after curative surgery.
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Abbreviations

CSC cancer stem cell
ERT epithelial–mesenchymal transition
ETS1 v-ets avian erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog 1
GEM gemcitabine
GR gemcitabine-resistant clone
HGF hepatocyte growth factor
miRNA microRNA
PDAC pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma
RR radioresistant cell clone
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