CASE REPORT

An unusual case of primary intrascrotal lipoma
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Summary In daily clinical practice, intrascrotal lesion are commonly detected, both by clinical examination either by ultrasound scan. While 95% of testicular lesions are malignant, most paratesticular lesions are benign. Among these, intrascrotal lipomas must be take into account in differential diagnosis. When they originate from scrotal wall, they named “primary scrotal lipoma”. We describe a case of a primary intrascrotal lipoma diagnosed after surgical excision in a young man presented at our Urological Department complaining a painful left scrotal mass.
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Introduction Intrascrotal lipomas are lesions rarely observed in common clinical practice. They are benign mesenchymal tumours that can arise from the paratesticular structures as the spermatic cord, epididymis, tunica vaginalis or from the subcutaneous adipose cell of the scrotum (1). In most cases it is not easy to identify the precise source site of these tumors. When lipomas originate from scrotal wall they are named “primary scrotal lipomas” (2) such in the case reported herein.

Case presentation A 22-years-old patient presented to our Hospital with a painful nodule of a left scrotal region, that was first noticed about 3 months before but gradually and slowly became symptomatic. No others symptoms were reported in anamnesis. At palpation, the nodule showed an elastic non tender consistency and it resulted regularly shaped on the left side of the scrotum apart of the lower ipsilateral testicular pole. It was easily distinguishable from testicle and epididymis that appeared normal for shape and size and not painful. The contralateral testicle and epididymis were normal. The scrotal ultrasound, performed with a 7,5 MHz linear probe, showed a slightly hyperechoic homogeneous nodule, that does not show any infiltrative character and was 3 x 2 cm in size (Figure 1). No echocolor signal was present. Therefore, due to the difficult interpretation and to the symptoms complained, it was decided to perform a scrotal surgical exploration. After a local anesthesia with left funicular and median raphe infiltration (Lidocaine 2% 10 ml and Ropivacaine 7,5 mg 10 ml), a longitudinal median scrotal incision was made. An ovoid intrascrotal mass was externalized (Figure 2).

Figure 1. Scrotal ultrasound shows a slightly hyperechoic homogeneous nodule without color signal expression.

Figure 2. Nodule appearance at surgical exploration.
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The yellowish mass of about 3 cm in major diameter, was completely excised from the scrotal wall. The left testicle and epididymis were normal. After excision and eversion of tunica vaginalis, scrotal wall was sutured with absorbable stiches. A drainage was placed in para-testicular space to avoid haematoma. The microscopic pathologic evaluation of the surgical specimen highlighted the presence of mature adipocytes constituting the totality of the tissue examined in the absence of other types of connective tissue and an obvious abnormal vascular proliferation vascular with steatonecrosis areas and, at the periphery of the lesion, a reactive vascular proliferation like a granulation tissue (Figure 3a, b). The diagnosis of primary scrotal lipoma was made. However, even using these findings it is difficult to radiologically determinate the nature of these lesions (benign or malignant). Although the majority of solid extratesticular masses are benign, it is hard to distinguish malignancy (+).

In conditions like these, Magnetic Resonance Imaging may be of use. The histopathology of primary scrotal lipoma may be confused with lipomatosi, lipoblastoma and liposarcoma. Being the mass encapsulated and not infiltrated to the surrounding tissues helped us to differentiate it from lipomatosi, and the presence of mitosis and atypia to differentiate it from liposarcoma. No malignancy was present in the case reported. On the third and sixth month follow-up no local relapse was seen.

CONCLUSION
Scrotal lipomas are rare, benign paratesticular tumors. Surgical excision is the treatment of choice. There is no evidence that scrotal lipomas differ in terms of management and prognosis from other variants of lipoma. Although the sonographic appearance of these tumors is variable and non-specific, ultrasound is the first exam in the clinical management as for all scrotal masses and it has a key role in the initial management.
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