Investigating hair zinc concentrations in children with and without atopic dermatitis

N A Gray,1,2 MB ChB; T M Esterhuizen,3 MSc; N P Khumalo,4,4 MB ChB, FC Derm (SA), PhD; D J Stein,5,6 FRCP, PhD

1 Division of Dermatology, Groote Schuur Hospital and Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa
2 Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Groote Schuur Hospital and Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa
3 Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa
4 Hair and Skin Research Laboratory, Division of Dermatology, Groote Schuur Hospital and Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa
5 South African Medical Research Council Risk and Resilience in Mental Disorders Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Corresponding author: N A Gray (nicolagrayemail@gmail.com)

Background. Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common chronic inflammatory skin condition that disproportionately affects children and is associated with reduced quality of life. Zinc deficiency may contribute to the pathogenesis of AD because zinc plays a role in epidermal barrier integrity and the immune system. Systematic review evidence suggests that low zinc is associated with AD, but limitations of included studies support further investigation.

Objectives. To investigate hair zinc concentrations in children with AD vs healthy controls in a low- to middle-income country setting.

Methods. One hundred and five children aged 1 - 12 years participated in a frequency-matched for age case-control study. The outcome variable, AD, was confirmed by a clinician and corroborated using the UK Working Party criteria. The primary predictor, long-term average zinc concentration, was determined by measuring hair zinc using inductively coupled mass spectrometry. Baseline demographic characteristics, anthropometry and measures of socioeconomic status were included in our logistic regression analysis. Subgroup analysis was performed where interaction terms suggested effect modification.

Results. Using data from the overall sample, population median hair zinc was not significantly different between children with AD and healthy controls. However, subgroup analysis suggested a clinically and statistically significant difference in median zinc between children with AD (175.35 µg/g) and healthy controls (206.4 µg/g) in the older age group (5 - 12 years) (p=0.01). In this age group, multivariable logistic regression analysis also found significantly decreased hair zinc concentrations in AD (odds ratio 0.83; 95% confidence interval 0.66 - 0.96; p=0.046).

Conclusions. The inverse association between zinc status and AD in children aged 5 - 12 years in our setting is consistent with the international literature. The clinical importance of decreased zinc levels in AD is not yet known. Further investigation into relevant underlying mechanisms seems warranted given the global reach of AD, its effect on quality of life, and the low cost of potential zinc-based interventions.
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Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a chronic inflammatory skin condition that typically presents within the first 2 years of life.1 The global prevalence in 6 - 7-year-old children ranges from 0.9% to 22.5%.2 Remissions and relapses occur, with 25% of cases persisting into adulthood.3 AD is associated with reduced quality of life.4 Intractable pruritus and sleep disturbance are characteristic symptoms and have been associated with psychological distress, behavioural problems and reduced school performance.5 Children with AD are at increased risk of developing allergic rhinitis and asthma.5 AD has also been associated with an increase in non-allergic comorbid conditions including infections, psychiatric disorders (depression, anxiety, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder), obesity and cardiovascular disorders, among others, contributing to the high public health burden of the disease.6

Both skin barrier defects (the ‘outside-in’ hypothesis) and immunological alterations (the ‘inside-out’ hypothesis) contribute to the pathogenesis of AD.7 A disrupted microbiota with reduced diversity and increased Staphylococcus aureus colonisation is also hypothesised to play a role.8 Zinc is an essential trace element, and low zinc levels may contribute to the pathogenesis of AD by all three of these mechanisms. First, low zinc levels may compromise epidermal barrier function. Zinc is required for proliferation and migration of keratinocytes in wound healing via ZnR/GPR-39, a keratinocyte G-protein-coupled receptor that responds to changes in extracellular zinc concentrations.9 Furthermore, a zinc finger transcription factor is required for OVOL1 expression of filaggrin, an epidermal barrier protein implicated in the pathogenesis of AD.10 The zinc transporter, ZIP10, is also involved in regulation of genes required for epidermal homeostasis and barrier function.11 Second, low zinc levels may promote cutaneous inflammation. An AD-like eruption can be induced in DS-Nh mice by a zinc-deficient diet. This eruption is associated with altered immune profiles, including increased production of the atopy-associated proinflammatory Th-2 cytokines.12 Conversely, application of topical zinc oxide to damaged skin has anti-inflammatory effects.13 Third, low zinc levels may promote S. aureus colonisation. Although the relationship between total body zinc and cutaneous S. aureus activity is not known, zinc oxide nanoparticles have in vitro activity against S. aureus.14
Furthermore, in the abovementioned DS-Nh mouse model of AD, zinc deficiency was also associated with increased S. aureus colonisation.\textsuperscript{[12]} A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies suggests that low zinc (as measured in serum, erythrocytes and hair) is associated with AD.\textsuperscript{[13]} However, owing to limitations of included studies, further investigation is needed. Furthermore, previous studies are primarily from upper middle-income countries (UMICs) and high-income countries (HICs), and results are not necessarily generalisable to low- to middle-income country (LMIC) settings. The zinc-AD relationship has not been studied previously in the South African (SA) context, where the prevalence of AD is increasing and zinc deficiency remains a concern, despite mandatory fortification of maize and wheat flour.\textsuperscript{[14,15]}

**Objectives**

To perform a case-control study to investigate hair zinc concentrations in children with AD v. healthy controls.

**Methods**

**Case selection**

Sequential children presenting with AD to a specialised outpatient dermatology service at Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital (RCWMCH), Cape Town, SA, were approached for potential inclusion into the study. Predefined inclusion criteria included age 1 - 12 years and corroboration of the clinical diagnosis of AD using the UK Working Party criteria.\textsuperscript{[1,16]} Exclusion criteria were acute illness, non-atopic or allergic disease, infected AD, inadequate scalp hair for sampling, and use of multivitamins or zinc supplements within the past 3 months. The study was approved by the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee (ref. no. HREC 473/2017), and informed consent was obtained from primary caregivers.

**Control selection**

Healthy controls were frequency-matched for age only. Adults accompanying children aged <5 years (excluding those aged <1 year) were approached in clinic waiting rooms during the school holidays. These children were mainly friends or family of patients attending various medical, surgical and dental outpatient clinics at RCWMCH. In addition to the abovementioned exclusion criteria, controls had no history of atopic or allergic disease and were not first-degree relatives of cases.

Because there were few healthy controls aged ≥5 years in clinic waiting rooms, controls aged 5 - 12 years were recruited from a local school. Class teachers were informed of the eligibility criteria and were asked to choose the required number of children by drawing names of eligible children from a hat. The research team visited the school on a subsequent day to collect data from children whose parents had provided informed consent.

**Baseline demographic characteristics**

Data were collected on age, sex and frequency of hair washing. All participants were weighed and measured. Weight and length/height measurements were converted to age-appropriate World Health Organization (WHO) z-scores: weight for length (age 1 - 2 years), weight for height (2 - 5 years) and body mass index (BMI) for age (≥5 years).

**Clinical characteristics**

The SCORing for Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) instrument was completed for all cases by the same clinician (NAG).\textsuperscript{[18]} The Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI) was used for all children aged >5 years.\textsuperscript{[19]} The question on swimming in the CDLQI was omitted, as few children had regular access to swimming pools. Use of medication was documented, and it was confirmed that children had not taken any zinc-containing supplements during the preceding 3 months.

**Socioeconomic status**

A socioeconomic status questionnaire for caregivers was designed based on the National Income Dynamics Survey.\textsuperscript{[20]} This survey was chosen because of its appropriateness for the SA context and local validation. Three variables were derived from these data: primary caregiver school years completed, household income indicator (midpoint of the participant's household income bracket), and number of people in the household.

**Hair zinc analysis**

Zinc status was assessed using hair zinc measurements. This method was selected because it is less invasive than measuring serum or erythrocyte zinc. Furthermore, hair grows at an average of 1 cm per month, and analysis of proximal hair segments may therefore give an indication of average zinc levels over a longer period (e.g. 3 months for 3 cm hair), rather than zinc levels at a random single point in time. Hair zinc may be a better marker of marginal zinc deficiency than serum zinc, perhaps because the slow uptake of zinc by the hair is preferentially reduced in states of decreased zinc availability.\textsuperscript{[21]}

Hair was collected from the posterior vertex and cut as close to the scalp as possible using a standard protocol. Samples were stored in paper envelopes at room temperature before processing at the University of Cape Town Hair and Skin Laboratory. Only the proximal 3 cm of hair was used, and hair was cut using ceramic scissors. Ten milligram aliquots of hair were placed in a Pyrex beaker and washed for 10 minutes in each of the following, in order: acetone, methanol and deionised water. The samples were air-dried overnight. Ten millilitres of 65% Suprapur Nitric Acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was added to each sample in Teflon. Samples then underwent microwave digestion using a MARS 6 instrument (CEM Corp., USA) for 30 minutes at 200°C.\textsuperscript{[21]} After cooling, digested samples were filtered using 0.45 µm syringe filters and stored in a fridge (−4 −6°C). All samples (including 10 blanks, prepared using the same protocol but omitting the hair) were then transported to the Stellenbosch University Central Analytical Facilities, where they underwent a 7-times dilution prior to analysis on an Agilent 7900 ICP-MS quadrupole mass spectrometry system (Agilent, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

As the distribution of hair zinc concentrations was skewed to the right, differences between groups were analysed using non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-tests. Effect sizes for the Mann-Whitney U-test were calculated using the r statistic (|0<r<1|).\textsuperscript{[22]} Univariate and multivariable logistic regression models were fitted, with odds of AD as the outcome variable. The interaction of age and zinc was a significant predictor of AD, suggesting effect modification. Subgroup analysis according to age group (≥1 - <5 years and 5 - 12 years) was therefore performed. Variables with p<0.1 in the univariate subgroup analyses were identified as potential confounders and were therefore adjusted for in the multivariable models. Results are reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and p<0.05 was used as the cut-off for statistical significance. The final models were also repeated using multiple imputation for participants with missing socioeconomic status variables (≤10%) to confirm consistent
Analyses were performed using R version 3.6.0 (R Core Team, Austria), using the following packages: base, stats, rcompanion, mice, graphics and ggplot2.

**Results**

**Baseline demographic characteristics**

One hundred and five children (AD and controls) participated in our case-control study investigating the association between zinc status and AD. Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Of the children, 51% were aged ≥1 - <5 years and 49% 5 - 12 years. Fifty-nine percent were female. Ninety-four percent of children aged ≥1 - <5 years and 96% of children aged 5 - 12 years had WHO anthropometry scores within two standard deviations (SDs) of the mean. No child was found to be underweight. Fifty-seven percent of children washed their hair less than three times a week, with similar findings between cases and controls (57% and 56%, respectively).

**Clinical characteristics**

According to the SCORAD instrument, 90% of participants had moderate or severe AD, with only 10% falling in the mild category. Similarly, the median (interquartile range (IQR)) CDLQI score was 17.5 (15 - 21.8), with the maximum possible adverse quality of life represented by a score of 27.

**Socioeconomic status**

The median household income indicator in the overall sample was ZAR4 500 (~USD318 or EUR283 at the time of writing). The median household income indicator was significantly higher in controls (ZAR8 500) compared with cases (ZAR4 500). The median (IQR) number of people permanently residing in the household was 5 (4 - 6), and the median number of caregiver school years completed was 12. As shown in Table 1, in contrast to the median household income indicator, the latter two socioeconomic variables did not differ greatly between cases and controls.

**Comparison of hair zinc between participants with AD and controls**

The distribution of hair zinc concentrations was skewed to the right (Fig. 1). For the overall sample, the median zinc concentration was 176.78 µg/g. Twenty-one of the 105 participants (20%) had a hair zinc concentration <130 µg/g, and none had a concentration <70 µg/g.

As shown in Table 2, there was no significant difference in median zinc concentration in participants with AD v. controls (164.86 v. 189.62 µg/g; \( p = 0.1 \)). When participants were stratified by age, there was similarly no significant difference in median zinc concentration between participants with AD v. controls in the younger children aged ≥1 - <5 years (142.94 v. 152.44 µg/g; \( p = 0.53 \)). However, there was a statistically significant lower median zinc concentration in participants with AD v. controls in the older children aged 5 - 12 years (175.35 v. 206.4 µg/g; \( p = 0.01 \)). There was a small effect size for the overall sample (\( r = 0.16 \)) and the younger children (\( r = 0.09 \)), with a medium effect size for the older children (\( r = 0.35 \)). A visual representation of these data is provided using box-and-whisker plots in Figs 2 and 3.

**Association between hair zinc concentration and odds of AD**

In the overall sample, univariate analysis did not show a significant association between hair zinc concentration and AD (OR 0.96;...
95% CI 0.90 - 1.00; \( p = 0.12 \) (Table 3). However, the interaction of age and zinc was a significant predictor of AD (\( p = 0.02 \)), suggesting effect modification, and subgroup analysis by age category was therefore undertaken. Subgroup analysis by age category (Table 4) showed that a higher hair zinc concentration was significantly associated with decreased odds of AD in older children (aged 5 - 12 years) (OR 0.87; 95% CI 0.75 - 0.97; \( p = 0.03 \)), but not in younger children (\( \geq 1 \) - <5 years) (OR 0.99; 95% CI 0.92 - 1.04; \( p = 0.62 \)). Anthropometric characteristics, caregiver education and household income indicator were identified as potential confounders (\( p < 0.1 \) in subgroup univariate analyses). As shown in Table 5, multivariable analysis adjusting for these confounders confirmed that higher hair zinc concentration was still associated with a significantly decreased odds of AD in children aged 5 - 12 years (OR 0.83; 95% CI 0.66 - 0.96; \( p = 0.046 \)). Put differently, in children aged 5 - 12 years, for every 10 µg/g increase in hair zinc, the odds of AD decreased by 17% after adjusting for anthropometric characteristics, caregiver education and household income. Repeating the analysis using multiple imputation for 8 participants with missing socioeconomic data points yielded comparable results (OR 0.81; 95% CI 0.65 - 0.95; \( p = 0.007 \)) (Table 6).

**Discussion**

The objective of this study was to investigate the association between hair zinc and AD in children in an LMIC context. We found higher median hair zinc concentrations in cases v. controls, but this was only statistically significant in older children (5 - 12 years of age). Similarly, in our logistic regression analysis, we found a significant interaction between age and zinc in predicting the outcome of AD. Multivariable analysis confirmed that increasing hair zinc concentrations were significantly associated with decreased odds of AD in children aged 5 - 12 years, even after adjusting for potential confounders (OR 0.83; 95% CI 0.66 - 0.96; \( p = 0.046 \)).

Analysing proximal hair segments for zinc concentration gives a useful indication of average hair zinc levels, with 1 cm of scalp hair correlating to ~1 month of growth. Limiting analysis to the proximal 3 cm also reduces the risk of a potential ‘washout effect’, although this effect may not be a significant consideration in hair zinc analysis, unlike other substances. Assessment of average zinc levels, rather than serum or erythrocyte zinc, is also useful considering that zinc is not stored in the body and regular dietary intake is therefore necessary. It has also been suggested that hair zinc may be more sensitive in detecting marginal zinc deficiency.

Three other published studies report reduced hair zinc concentrations in partici-
pants with AD v. controls. The first, a study of Italian children aged 2 - 14 years published in 1987,[23] reported a mean (SD) hair zinc concentration of 99 (10) v. 147 (9) µg/g in participants with AD v. controls (p<0.05). The second, a study of Egyptian children aged 2 - 10 years published in 1990,[24] reported a mean (SD) hair zinc concentration of 164.8 (23.6) v. 194.5 (18.6) µg/g in participants with AD v. controls (p<0.001). The third, a study of South Korean children aged 2 - 14 years published in 2014,[25] reported a mean (SD) hair zinc concentration

Table 2. Comparison of hair zinc (µg/g) by age and AD status

| Patient group                      | Total (median (IQR)) | AD (median (IQR)) | No AD (median (IQR)) | p-value† | Effect size (r) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Children <5 years                  | 152.44 (118.03 - 204.64) | 142.94 (109.73 - 212.08) | 152.44 (129.12 - 201.78) | 0.53 | 0.09 |
| Children 5 - 12 years              | 190.06 (168.61 - 230.50) | 175.35 (159.01 - 210.21) | 206.4 (176.1 - 267.6) | 0.01* | 0.35* |
| Overall children                   | 176.78 (138.18 - 219.71) | 164.86 (123.54 - 210.21) | 189.62 (150.71 - 222.12) | 0.10 | 0.16 |

AD = atopic dermatitis; IQR = interquartile range.
†p<0.05.
‡p-values derived by Mann-Whitney U-test.
0.3≤r<0.5 denotes medium effect size.

Table 3. Univariate logistic regression analysis with outcome y=p(AD) using overall sample

| Variable                                | n  | Estimate | OR  | 95% CI of OR | p-value | Effect size (r) |
|-----------------------------------------|----|----------|-----|--------------|---------|-----------------|
| Zinc (per 10 µg/g increment)            | 105| -0.04    | 0.96| 0.90 - 1.00  | 0.12    |                 |
| Age (years)                             | 105| 0.0005   | 1.00| 0.89 - 1.12  | 0.99    |                 |
| Age category                            | 105| 0.09     | 1.10| 0.50 - 2.42  | 0.82    |                 |
| Male sex                                | 105| 0.24     | 1.27| 0.57 - 2.82  | 0.55    |                 |
| Anthropometry score                     | 105| -0.10    | 0.91| 0.61 - 1.33  | 0.62    |                 |
| Education (caregiver school years completed) | 100| -0.12    | 0.87| 0.62 - 1.27  | 0.51    |                 |
| Household income indicator (ZAR)        | 94 | -0.05    | 0.9999 | 0.9998 - 0.9998 | 0.02*  |                 |
| Number of household members             | 100| -0.12    | 0.88| 0.70 - 1.10  | 0.28    |                 |
| Age × zinc                              | 105| -0.003   | 0.997 | 0.99 - 0.999 | 0.02*  |                 |
| Household income indicator × zinc       | 94 | -0.01    | 0.999999 | 0.999998 - 1.000000 | 0.20   |                 |

AD = atopic dermatitis; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.
* p<0.05.
†p<0.05.
‡p<0.05.

Table 4. Subgroup analysis: Univariate logistic regression analysis with outcome y=p(AD) stratified by age

| Variable                                | Age 2 - <5 years | Age 5 - 12 years |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                        | n   | Estimate | OR  | 95% CI of OR | p-value | Estimate | OR  | 95% CI of OR | p-value |
| Zinc (per 10 µg/g increment)            | 54  | -0.01    | 0.99 | 0.92 - 1.04  | 0.62    | -0.14    | 0.87 | 0.75 - 0.97  | 0.03    |
| Male sex                                | 2   | 0.48     | 1.62 | 0.53 - 5.01  | 0.40    | -0.01    | 0.99 | 0.32 - 3.09  | 0.99    |
| Anthropometry score                     | 54  | 0.50     | 1.65 | 0.95 - 3.02  | 0.09†   | 51       | -0.80 | 0.45 | 0.20 - 0.85 | 0.03*   |
| Education (caregiver school years completed) | 53  | 0.13     | 1.14 | 0.73 - 1.85  | 0.59    | 47       | -1.60 | 0.74 | 0.03 - 0.65 | 0.03*   |
| Household income indicator (ZAR)        | 50  | -0.03    | 0.99995 | 0.9998 - 1.00 | 0.48    | 44       | -0.03 | 0.9999 | 0.9997 - 0.99995 | 0.01*  |
| Number of household members             | 53  | -0.22    | 0.80 | 0.56 - 1.08  | 0.18    | 47       | -0.01 | 0.99 | 0.70 - 1.38 | 0.97    |
| Income per person indicator (ZAR)       | 50  | 0.01     | 1.00 | 0.99 - 1.00  | 0.94    | 42       | -0.0004 | 0.9996 | 0.999 - 0.9999 | 0.04*   |

AD = atopic dermatitis; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.
* p<0.1.
†p<0.05.

Table 5. Subgroup analysis: Multivariate logistic regression analysis with outcome y=p(AD) stratified by age group

| Variable                                | Age 2 - <5 years (n=50) | Age 5 - 12 years (n=43) |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                        | Estimate | OR  | 95% CI of OR | p-value | Estimate | OR  | 95% CI of OR | p-value |
| Zinc (per 10 µg/g increment)            | -0.04    | 0.96 | 0.89 - 1.02  | 0.28    | -0.19    | 0.83 | 0.66 - 0.96  | 0.046*  |
| Anthropometry score                     | 0.52     | 1.68 | 0.90 - 3.36  | 0.12    | -0.81    | 0.44 | 0.15 - 1.04  | 0.09    |
| Education (caregiver school years completed) | 0.08    | 1.09 | 0.67 - 1.85  | 0.75    | -1.01    | 0.37 | 0.03 - 1.28  | 0.22    |
| Household income indicator (ZAR)        | -0.00008 | 0.9999 | 0.9997 - 1.00 | 0.33    | -0.0002 | 0.9998 | 0.9997 - 0.99996 | 0.03*  |

AD = atopic dermatitis; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.
* p<0.05.
concentration of 113.10 (33.63) µg/g in participants with AD v. controls (p=0.012). Interestingly, in all these studies, average zinc levels were significantly lower in children with AD across the age spectrum, even though all included children aged <5 years. Subgroup analysis by age was not indicated or performed. The lack of a statistically significant difference in hair zinc in the younger children (≥1 - <5 years) in our study is therefore new.

Publication bias is one potential reason why the null association in younger children has not been reported previously, but there are several other possibilities. First, zinc deficiency may be more relevant to older children because of a different AD molecular phenotype. This hypothesis is based on findings of differing age-related molecular phenotypes in adult AD, but relevance to persons aged <18 years and relevance to zinc metabolism are not yet known.[30] Second, our findings may reflect failure to reject a false null hypothesis (type II statistical error). Third, the difference in zinc levels between children with AD and healthy children may be attenuated by the effects of the mandatory food fortification programme in SA. Dietary practices may also vary by age, with older children consuming lower proportions of zinc-rich or zinc-fortified foods relative to their age-appropriate dietary requirements. Fourth, children aged <5 years attending RCWMCH benefit from good uptake of routine growth monitoring and nutritional health promotion via the Road to Health booklet, thus mitigating the risk of relative zinc deficiency in this group. This protective effect may not extend to older children, as the focus of the Road to Health booklet is the first 5 years of life.[31]

Several other studies have used erythrocyte or serum zinc measures to compare participants with AD with controls. Meta-analysis confirmed overall reduced zinc across eight studies analysing serum zinc in AD v. controls (standardised mean difference 0.66; 95% CI 0.21 - 1.10; p<0.004).[31] Similarly, two studies of erythrocyte zinc showed lower concentrations in participants with AD v. controls.[32,33] Of note, most of the studies originated from UMIC or HIC settings.[34] An exception is a study from Bangladesh,[34] reporting a mean (SD) serum zinc concentration of 0.30 (0.02) mg/L in participants with AD v. 0.02 (0.06) mg/L in healthy controls (p<0.001). Participant age ranged from 15 to 35 years, therefore representing an older age group than that in our study.

The clinical significance of the association between low zinc levels and AD has yet to be elucidated. Some authors have suggested hair zinc concentrations <70 or <130 µg/g as cut-offs for zinc deficiency.[24,28] No children in our sample had a hair zinc concentration <70 µg/g, and 20% of our sample had concentrations <130 µg/g. However, as there are no locally or internationally validated reference ranges for hair zinc concentrations, these findings should be interpreted with caution. Furthermore, the level of zinc deficiency required to contribute clinically to AD symptoms is not known and may differ from normal reference ranges. Clinically significant zinc thresholds may also differ by age, in line with evidence suggesting that normal total body zinc levels increase with age during childhood.[21,24] Our data are therefore useful in confirming a statistically significant difference in hair zinc in children aged 5 - 12 years in an LMIC setting, but further study is required to prove clinical significance. One such approach would be randomised controlled trials of zinc supplementation in AD. Two such studies have previously been undertaken, but methodological limitations, different outcome measures and conflicting results make interpretation difficult.[15]

Study limitations

Some of the strengths and limitations of our study have already been mentioned. Further limitations include the risk of selection bias, particularly regarding different selection of controls for participants in different age categories, and the relatively small sample size (N=105). Because we did not measure zinc levels prior to establishing the outcome, we cannot be sure that reverse causality is not the explanation for our findings. However, as we indicated earlier, there is other evidence to support the hypothesis that low zinc levels may be causally related to expression of AD. Furthermore, our conclusions are drawn from a subgroup analysis. We do not know whether we would have found the same association in younger children if we had sourced younger controls from the general population, instead of in hospital waiting room areas.

Despite the above limitations, our study has several strengths. First, the outcome of interest, AD, was confirmed by a clinician and corroborated using independent criteria. Second, a detailed description of controls is provided, which was missing from earlier publications. Third, few have investigated the zinc-AD relationship in LMIC settings, and to our knowledge none have done so in sub-Saharan Africa.

Conclusions

Consistent with the international literature, our study showed an inverse association between zinc status and AD in older children (aged 5 - 12 years). While the role of total body zinc concentrations in the complex aetiopathogenesis of AD is likely to be small, it needs to be considered in the context of the global reach of AD, its profound effect on childhood morbidity, and the low cost of potential zinc supplementation. Further research into the underlying mechanisms of lower zinc levels in AD, and whether these inform treatment targets, is therefore warranted.
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Table 6. Subgroup analysis: Multivariate logistic regression analysis with outcome y=p(AD) stratified by age group and using multiple imputation

| Variable                             | Age ≥1 - <5 years (n=54) |          |          |          | Age 5 - 12 years (n=51) |          |          |          |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                      | Estimate | OR | 95% CI of OR | p-value | Estimate | OR | 95% CI of OR | p-value |
| Zinc (per 10 µg/g increment)         | -0.03    | 0.97 | 0.91 - 1.03 | 0.28   | -0.21    | 0.81 | 0.65 - 0.95 | 0.007** |
| Anthropometry score                  | 0.59     | 1.81 | 1.00 - 3.52 | 0.06   | -0.92    | 0.40 | 0.14 - 0.92 | 0.05    |
| Education (caregiver school years completed) | 0.09 | 1.10 | 0.68 - 1.85 | 0.71   | -1.12    | 0.33 | 0.02 - 1.19 | 0.21    |
| Household income indicator (ZAR)     | -0.0001  | 0.9999 | 0.9997 - 1.00 | 0.23   | -0.0001  | 0.9999 | 0.9997 - 0.99997 | 0.04*   |

AD = atopic dermatitis; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.
*p<0.05; **p<0.01.
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