Investigation of Polyurethane Matrix Membranes for Salivary Nitrate ISFETs to Prevent the Drift
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Abstract: We have investigated human-stress monitoring by making use of salivary nitrate, which can be a candidate for stress markers, with ion-selective field-effect transistors (ISFETs). ISFETs are suitable for on-site single-drop analysis of salivary nitrate within 10 s. However, when ISFETs are used for salivary nitrate, ISFETs have a problem that is called the initial drift. The initial drift makes accurate nitrate monitoring difficult. Thus, the purpose of this study is to prevent the initial drift and to search for a new, simple polymer to possess a better performance of sensor responses than conventional matrix membranes, such as PVC. In this research, we investigated ISFETs using specific matrix membranes, for example KP-13, Pellethane®, and P7281-PU. The initial drift was evaluated from the fluctuations of the response values generated by the ISFETs when immersed in saliva or aqueous solution. As a result, P7281-PU showed a prevention effect on the initial drift, both in the whole saliva and in various solutions. Furthermore, the cause of drift may be H⁺ diffusion, and the drift prevention effect of P7281-PU may be affected by urethane bond capturing H⁺ in the ion-selective membrane. This result suggests that a continuous nitrate monitoring is feasible and may be applied to wearable sensors.
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1. Introduction

Depression may be linked with stress and genetic vulnerability [1–3]. In addition, there are various diseases and physical disorders associated with stress. Therefore, stress measurement is an important issue and it contributes to the prevention of mental illness, such as depression. In this study, we are focusing on the biochemical method to evaluate stress from a stress-marker in body fluid.

One of the body fluids, blood, which contains typical stress-markers (adrenaline and noradrenaline [4,5]), is collected invasively accompanied by stress. In contrast, saliva, which is derived from blood [6], is collected non-invasively. Hence, saliva is suitable for stress-measurement. Salivary nitrate is secreted by blood nitrate, which is a Nitric Oxide (NO) metabolite, through the salivary grand [7]. NO is an endothelium-derived relaxing factor (EDRF) [8] that is produced in response to vascular tone associated with the effect of the autonomic nervous system. Therefore, salivary nitrate is expected to be a stress-marker of the autonomic nervous system. Other candidates for salivary stress-marker are cortisol, chromogranin A [9], and α-amylase [10]. However, these candidates are not suitable for on-site stress measurement because they are determined with enzyme-linked
immune sorbent assay (ELISA), and it takes time to measure stress hormones. As measurement devices, ion-selective field-effect transistors (ISFETs) are possible for on-site single-drop analysis within 10 s. Hence, ISFETs are adapted for on-site stress measurements making use of saliva because the collected saliva is small volume, and degradation occurs immediately. On the other hand, current salivary NO$_3$-ISFETs have the problem that drift occurs during measurement. Drift is the phenomenon in which the response value of the sensor changes gradually, making it difficult to determine salivary nitrate accurately. The ISFETs’ gate part consists of a H$^+$ sensitive metal oxide, for example, SiO$_2$, S$_2$N$_4$, or Ta$_2$O$_5$. Fogt, et al. considered that the cause of the drift is the pH change at the ion-selective membrane (ISM)/gate interface’s aqueous layer. It is concerned with CO$_2$-gas diffusion, which approaches the ISM/gate interface’s aqueous layer through the ion-selective membrane [11]. The gate part, which we use in this paper, is Ta$_2$O$_5$. Hereinafter, such pH-sensitive ISFETs are called pH-ISFETs. Other previous researches attempted to insert an inner layer in the ISM/gate interface, for example, pHHEMA-gel saturated buffer [12], Ag/AgCl [13], and H$^+$-selective membrane [14]. On the other hand, Abramova, et al. considered that the cause of drift is protein adsorption on the ISM surface. Photocurable polyurethane as a matrix membrane is effective in the measurement of blood plasma [15]. However, there is no report that aims to prevent drift in saliva. Therefore, we have investigated polyurethane as the matrix membrane to prevent drift for salivary nitrate. The actual used polyurethanes are Pellethane®, KP-13, and P7281-PU. Pellethane® is widely used in the medical field, for example, as a catheter. Hence, Pellethane® is expected to prevent the adsorption of glycoproteins in saliva. Espadastorre and Meyerhoff reported that Pellethane®-based ISM showed somewhat less platelet adhesion [16]. KP-13 is the polyurethane urea containing 13 wt % of dimethylsiloxane. Wakida reported that KP-13 is an effective matrix membrane to measure blood electrolytes [17] and salivary nitrate [18]. Although P7281-PU has not been used as a matrix membrane, P7281-PU used as an ISM has adhesiveness and can be closely adhered to the gate to restrict appearance of the water layer.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Original ISFETs for pH measurement (pH-ISFETs) were purchased from ISFETCOM (Saitama, Japan). The pH-ISFETs have an integrated reference electrode (see Figure 1). NO$_3$-ISFETs, which we used, are originated from pH sensor. This means that if the indicated value changes by 1.0, then the potential difference changes by about 59 mV. As a nitrate ionophore, Bis(dimethyl-phenanthroline) Copper(I) nitrate ([Cu(bcp)$_2$]NO$_3$) was synthesized as described in reference [19]. As a plasticizer, 2-Nitrophenyl dodecyl ether (NPDDE) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan). NPDDE was used for stable ISFET to improve the adhesion to the gate material of the ISFETs [20]. Polyvinyl chloride (PVC, $n = 1000$), used as a matrix membrane, was purchased from Kishida Chemical (Osaka, Japan). KP-13 was received from Kaneka Chemistry (Osaka, Japan). Pellethane® was received from Lubrizol (Wickliffe, OH, USA). P7281-PU was purchased from Polymer source (Dorval, QC, Canada).

![Figure 1. pH-sensitive ion-selective field-effect transistors (pH-ISFETs) and accessory cap.](image-url)
NaCl, KCl, KH₂PO₄, urea, Na₂SO₄, NH₄Cl, CaCl₂-2H₂O, KSCN, NaHCO₃, NaNO₂, KNO₃, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from Wako. THF was used as a volatile solvent to dissolve the nitrate ionophore, plasticizer, and polymer. Mucin from bovine submaxillary glands was purchased from MP Biomedicals, LLC (Santa Ana, CA, USA). Real saliva was collected by Salivette purchased from Sarstedt (North Rhine-Westphalia, Nümbrecht, Germany) and a metal spoon.

All chemicals were regent grade, except for NaNO₂, and used without purification. NaNO₂ was volumetric analysis grade. All standard solutions and artificial saliva were prepared with Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ cm).

2.2. Preparation of NO₃-ISFETs without Purification

Nitrate-selective ISFETs (NO₃-ISFETs) were prepared by the following procedure. We dissolved completely and made the THF solution which forms the nitrate-selective membrane, containing 5 wt% of the nitrate ionophore ([Cu(bcp)₂]NO₃), 65 wt% of the plasticizer (NPDDE) and 30 wt% of the polymer matrixes. We cast the THF solution onto the gate part of the pH-ISFETs. After this casting, THF solution on the gate part was evaporated in a clean space (Pure Space 01; AS ONE, Osaka, Japan), and this was repeated several times. After this, the nitrate-selective membrane was completely dried for half a day. The thickness of the nitrate-selective membrane was ca. 0.2 mm. We estimate the value of 0.2 mm of the ion-selective membrane thickness from the amount of THF solution, which was filled in the gate part of ISFETs. In regard to the properties of the membrane surface, we consider that it is not out of order, because we paid attention to make the edge of deposited polymer layers out of the gate part of ISFETs and to make the membrane flat.

2.3. Determination of Calibration Curves and Selectivity Coefficients in NO₃-ISFETs

After preparation, the ISFETs were conditioned in 1 mM (mol/L) KNO₃ solution (standard solution) for 3 h. Calibration curves and selectivity coefficients were determined using the Nicolsky–Eisenman Equation (1), where $E$ is the potential of the NO₃-ISFETs, $E_0$ is the standard potential of NO₃-ISFETs, $Z_i$ and $Z_j$ are the charges of the primary ion $i$ (NO₃) and interfering ions $j$, and $a_i$ and $a_j$ are the activities of ions $i$ and $j$, respectively. $R$, $T$, and $F$ have the usual meanings.

\[
E = E_0 + 2.303\frac{RT}{(Z_i)F} \cdot \ln \left( a_i + K_{ij}^\text{pot} \cdot \frac{a_j^{Z_i/Z_j}}{a} \right)
\]  

(1)

According to the theoretical response, at 25°C, the slope sensitivity of the NO₃-ISFETs is −59.16 mV per decade change of the activity $a_i$. $a_i$ was calculated from the Debye–Hückel equation based on the simple ionic-strength theory. $K_{ij}^\text{pot}$ is the selectivity coefficient of the NO₃-ISFETs in the presence of an interfering ion, $j$. $K_{ij}^\text{pot}$ was evaluated by the mixed-solution method and can be considered to be a reliable selectivity parameter. The potential responses were measured in NO₃ standard solutions in the presence of each interfering ion using 5.0 × 10⁻¹ M NaCl, 5.0 × 10⁻¹ M Na₂SO₄, 5.0 × 10⁻² M NaNO₂, 5.0 × 10⁻⁵ KI, and 5.0 × 10⁻⁵ KSCN. Furthermore, the calibration curve in artificial saliva is also determined. Artificial saliva is an aqueous solution reproducing human salivary electrolytes. Reagents used for artificial saliva and their concentrations are as follows: 2.15 mM NaCl, 12.9 mM KCl, 4.81 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.33 mM Urea, 2.37 mM Na₂SO₄, 3.33 mM NH₄Cl, 1.55 mM CaCl₂-2H₂O, 7.51 mM NaHCO₃.

2.4. Evaluation of Initial Drift of the NO₃-ISFETs

Evaluation of the initial drift was performed by looking at the output value of the ISFETs immersed in solution for about 8 h. The main measurement target was human saliva. Two types of human saliva samples were prepared. One was obtained from a saliva collection kit, Salivette®, and the other was obtained from a metal spoon. Four kinds of solutions, 10⁻³ M potassium nitrate (standard solution), artificial saliva, the standard solution with 0.5 wt % Mucin (mucin solution), and standard solution with CO₂ bubbling (CO₂ solution), were used for comparison. For the artificial saliva used for this section, 1 mM KNO₃ was added. In the experiments, evaluation of the initial drift was conducted in
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Calibration Curves and Selectivity Coefficients

The calibration curves of the NO$_3$-ISFETs are shown in Figure 2a. The y axis shows the potential difference and the x axis shows the logarithm of the nitrate activity. The calibration curves are arranged by the starting points so that they are easier to understand. Therefore, we insert the indicator of the slope sensitivity in Figure 2. All NO$_3$-ISFETs show a linear response to nitrate activity in the range 10$^{-5}$ M to 10$^{-1}$ M. The selectivity of the ISFETs is represented by the selectivity coefficients $K_{\text{pot}}^{\text{NO}_3}$ defined by the Nicolsky–Eisenman equation. Selectivity coefficients for typical anions were measured by the mixed solution method and are summarized in Table 1. We obtained acceptable selectivity for salivary nitrate determination. The linear response range and the selectivity coefficients of the polyurethane-based ISFETs coincide with those of the PVC-based ISFETs. Therefore, potential determinants are ionophore and plasticizer but not matrix membrane. The calibration curves in artificial saliva are shown in Figure 2b. All ISFETs show a linear response to nitrate activity in the range of 10$^{-4}$ M to 10$^{-1}$ M. The concentration range of nitrate in saliva is approximately 10$^{-4}$ M to 10$^{-3}$ M [21]. Therefore, the NO$_3$-ISFETs are adapted to nitrate measurement in saliva.

![Figure 2. Calibration curves of NO$_3$-ISFETs. (a) Only KNO$_3$ solution. (b) In artificial saliva.](image-url)

**Table 1. Selectivity coefficients.**

| Polymer Matrix | Selectivity Coefficients, $K_{\text{pot}}^{\text{NO}_3,j}$ |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| PVC            | 1                                               |
| KP-13          | 0.82                                            |
| Pellethane$^\circledR$ | 0.56                                            |
| P7281-PU       | 0.78                                            |
| SCN            | 0.95                                            |
| NO$_2$         | -1.53                                           |
| Cl             | -2.55                                           |
| SO$_4$         | -4.10                                           |
| j              | -2.59                                           |
|                | -2.71                                           |
|                | -4.03                                           |
|                | -4.11                                           |
|                | -4.13                                           |
3.2. Evaluation of Initial Drift in Saliva and Various Solutions

The drift characteristics in saliva and various solutions are shown in Figure 3. The y axis shows the potential difference and the x axis shows the logarithm of time. The results of drift are arranged by the starting points so that they are easier to understand. Therefore, we insert the indicator of the potential difference in Figure 2. In standard solution and CO$_2$ solution, all NO$_3$-ISFETs show a comparatively stable response (see Figure 3a,c). In mucin solution, the PVC-based ISFETs show drifts slightly, but the polyurethane-based ISFETs show a comparatively stable response (see Figure 3c). The results of Figure 3c suggest that CO$_2$ is not the cause of drift. Furthermore, mucin is the cause of drift for PVC-based ISFETs but less so for the polyurethane-based ISFETs. PVC, KP-13, and Pellethane®-based ISFETs show drift in salivary samples (see Figure 3d-f). However, P7281-PU-based ISFETs show a comparatively stable response in salivary samples. Figure 4 shows the long-term stability of P7281-PU-based ISFETs. The y axis shows the slope sensitivity and the x axis shows the time. P7281-PU-based ISFETs maintain the same slope sensitivity for at least 27 days. It is considered that the stable response of the P7281-PU-based ISFETs is due to the strong adhesion of the ion-selective membrane to the Ta$_2$O$_5$ gate and that the formation of water layer at the ISM/gate interface is prevented.

![Figure 3. Initial drift of NO$_3$-ISFETs](image-url)
Figure 4. Long-term stability of P7281-PU-based ISFETs. This measurement is done in only KNO₃ solution.

Note that the drift characteristics of the P7281-PU-based ISFETs are extremely stable in whole saliva. It is considered that urethane bond in P7281-PU captures H⁺, OH⁻ in the aqueous layer. This hypothesis is suggested in Figure 5.

![Diagram of urethane bond capture of H⁺ and OH⁻ by hydrogen bonds in whole saliva.](image)

Figure 5. The hypothesis of urethane bond capture of H⁺ and OH⁻ by hydrogen bonds (in whole saliva).

However, the cause of drift is not clear, and it is currently being investigated using matrix membranes that have the same molecular structure as P7281-PU but only differ in molecular weight. In any case, P7281-PU is an effective matrix membrane for NO₃-ISFETs for measurement of saliva. In the future, it can be expected to achieve continuous nitrate monitoring and deployment to wearable sensors. In addition, we could conduct further experiments in different pH or temperature solutions to elucidate the cause of the drift.
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**Appendix A**

To investigate the reproducibility of NO₃-ISFETs, we show the two drift results in Figure A1. The sample for this test is whole saliva, and the method is the same as in the main text (Section 2.4, Evaluation of Initial Drift of the NO₃-ISFETs).
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