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Abstract: Ultraviolet spectroscopy has been implemented for over thirty years to monitor volcanic SO₂ emissions. These data have provided valuable information concerning underground magmatic conditions, which have been of utility in eruption forecasting efforts. During the last decade the traditionally used correlation spectrometers have been upgraded with miniature USB coupled UV spectrometers, opening a series of exciting new empirical possibilities for understanding volcanoes and their impacts upon the atmosphere. Here we review these technological developments, in addition to the scientific insights they have precipitated, covering the strengths and current limitations of this approach.
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1. Introduction

It is estimated that some 9% of Earth’s population live within 100 km of historically active volcanoes [Small and Naumann, 2001]; these pose considerable hazards both locally and globally, resulting in the loss of some 100,000 lives during the last century alone [Witham, 2005]. The last two hundred years have witnessed a number of spectacular eruptions, foremost among which was Tambora in Indonesia during 1815, which ejected 50 cubic kilometres of magma, resulting in over 71,000 fatalities in the immediately impacted region as a consequence of the blast itself, and the subsequent ash fall, which smothered and contaminated crops and water supplies, leading to starvation and disease [Oppenheimer, 2003]. The most lethal eruption of the 20th century was Mt. Pelée in Martinique, when, during 1902, the lava dome collapsed, precipitating a deadly pyroclastic flow of hot rocks, ash and gas...
down the flanks of the volcano into the principal town, St. Pierre, thereby killing all but two of the ≈28,000 inhabitants. In antiquity, the AD 79 eruption of Vesuvius destroyed Pompeii and Herculaneum, and the 17th century BC eruption of Santorini (Greece) precipitated enormous tsunamis, which may have contributed to the demise of the Cretan Minoan civilisation.

There is, therefore, considerable interest in the development and implementation of novel technologies for better monitoring volcanic activity, with a view to improving the accuracy of hazard assessments and eruption forewarnings. Of particular interest are sensors for measuring the chemical composition and emission rates of gases (species include H$_2$O, CO$_2$, SO$_2$, HCl, HF, H$_2$, S$_2$, H$_2$S, CO and SiF$_4$) released by volcanoes, from magma to the atmosphere, both prior to and during eruptions; data which provide valuable information concerning the masses and motions of underground magmas. For instance, increases in the plume CO$_2$/SO$_2$ ratio have been observed prior to recent eruptions of Mt. Etna [Aiuppa et al., 2007], elevated CO$_2$ fluxes before an eruption of Usu volcano, Japan [Hernández et al., 2001], and high SO$_2$/HCl in advance of an explosion on Stromboli, Italy [Aiuppa et al., 2004]. Immediately prior to the 1991 eruption of Mt. Pinatubo, scientists observed a tenfold increase in SO$_2$ emission rate, which in parallel with other data collected, precipitated a 80,000 person evacuation that saved many thousands of lives [Daag et al., 1996]. The non-eruptive plume released from Mt. Etna, the most prodigious, time averaged, volcanic gas emitter on Earth, is shown in Figure 1.

Volcanic gases also have important impacts upon Earth’s radiation budget and atmospheric chemistry, in particular through the oxidation of SO$_2$, to form sulphate aerosols, which reflect sunlight back to space and act as cloud condensation nuclei [Robock, 2000], thus increasing the planet’s albedo and causing surface cooling. For instance, the 1991 eruption of Mt. Pinatubo reduced temperatures by ≈ 0.4 °C, completely offsetting, for a number of years thereafter, the anthropogenic Greenhouse effect [McCormick et al., 1995]; likewise the Tambora eruption caused the 1816 “Year without a summer”,
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**Figure 1.** Mt. Etna’s gas plume.
leading to crop failures and food riots across Europe. Furthermore, some scientists believe that more pronounced prehistoric volcanism could have been responsible for biotic mass extinction events [e.g., Courtillot and Olson, 2007]. It is now imperative to improve our characterisation on these effects in order to properly deconvolve natural forcings, to better constrain anthropogenic causes of present day climate change.

The acidic volcanic gases are also of interest given their deleterious impacts upon ecosystems: either when diffused through soils on the flanks [Farrar et al., 1995], or wet or dry deposited from the downwind plume [Delmelle et al., 2002; Iwashita et al., 2006]. An extreme recent example of this was the 1783-4 eruption of Laki in Iceland [Thordarson et al., 1996], whose degassing severely fumigated pasturelands and fields, resulting in the starvation of a quarter of the island’s population. Such plumes also pose major hazards to aviation; for instance a commercial Boeing 747 temporarily lost power in all four engines upon inadvertently flying through the plume of Redoubt volcano, Alaska during 1989. Whilst there was no loss of life, the ash and gas damage to the aircraft was estimated at $80 million [Casadevall, 1994].

In this article we review the ground based application of ground based ultraviolet (UV) remote sensing to the measurement of volcanic gases.

2. Ground based volcano remote sensing

Traditionally volcanic gases have been measured by collecting samples directly from active craters and fumaroles and transferring them to laboratories and subjecting them to various analytical procedures [e.g., Symonds et al., 1994]. Whilst this approach provides the most detailed possible geochemical information, it can pose considerable dangers to monitoring personnel, therefore volcanologists have increasingly turned to ground based remote sensing over the last decades, whereby gas measurements can be made with the observers safely decoupled (at distances ≥ 1 km) from the target. Remote sensing provides the further advantages over direct sampling that real time data analysis is possible, contamination issues associated with the samples’ collection and analysis are avoided, and the measurements are integrated over the entire plume, rather than focused on discrete fumaroles (whose gas compositions can be highly heterogeneous), thereby enabling single measurement capture of the volcano’s bulk degassing geochemistry.

Remote sensing operates on the principle, highlighted in Figure 2, of measuring light, from the background sky, direct sun, or an artificial lamp source, which has transited, and been partially absorbed by, the volcanic gas plume. By taking the ratio of a spectrum measured with \{I(\lambda)\} to that without the gas in the optical path \{I_o(\lambda)\} and applying a logarithm, the absorbance caused by the plume is obtained, after Beer’s law:

\[
\frac{I(\lambda)}{I_o(\lambda)} = \exp \{-\sigma(\lambda) \times N \times d\} \tag{1}
\]

As each plume gas species characteristically absorbs at defined wavelengths, according to its unique molecular structure, analysis of the locations of the spectral absorptions, and their magnitudes, provides information on which gases are present, and their concentrations, respectively. In practice, this is achieved, after (1), by fitting the absorbance spectrum with absorption cross sections \(\sigma(\lambda)\) of the
species of interest in order to determine the concentrations $N \times d$, which represent the mean number density of molecules in the plume $N$, multiplied by the plume thickness $d$.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.** Principle of spectroscopic remote sensing. Spectra are collected both with and without the volcanic gas plume in the optical path. Identification of the wavelengths at which absorption occurs, and the depths of these features, provides information on which plume gases are present, and in what abundances, respectively.

Volcanic plumes can also be remotely sensed from space. This began in 1982, when an anomalously high “ozone” signal was observed over Mexico following the eruption of El Chichón volcano, by the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer [Krueger, 1983]. It was soon realised that this corresponded to absorption of radiation by plume SO$_2$, in the ozone UV bands; subsequently, this sensor and others have been routinely used to identify and track volcanic clouds, in order to issue warnings to aviation authorities. The primary scientific contribution of satellite remote sensing has been towards quantifying the climatic impacts of large explosive paroxysms, which eject material to the stratosphere [e.g., Bluth et al., 1993] (e.g., Mt. Pinatubo), due to the sensors’ broad spatial coverage, yet relatively high detection limits. Recently, however, newer more sensitive instruments have been flown, such as the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, which are capable of imaging non-eruptive plumes, degassed passively to the troposphere, thereby greatly broadening the utility of satellite borne volcanic surveillance [e.g., Krotkov et al., 2006]. Notwithstanding these developments, ground based spectroscopy, with its lower detection limits, and greater spatial and temporal resolution, still provides the optimal means of remotely sensing degassing under all but the most cataclysmic phases of eruptions, with a view to improving our understanding of volcanic dynamics and the capacity to forecast eruptions; hence the focus of this article.
3. Ultraviolet spectroscopy of volcanic plumes

The vast majority of ground based remotely sensed plume observations concern SO$_2$, the third most abundant volcanogenic gas, which can be readily resolved, via its strong UV absorption features ($\approx$ 300 nm), above this species’ low ambient atmospheric concentrations. Observations of SO$_2$ flux have been made for over thirty years with correlation spectrometers [e.g., Stoiber et al., 1983], which have been recently upgraded with compact and inexpensive (200g; $\approx$ €3000) USB powered CCD detector array based dispersive spectrometers (e.g., the Ocean Optics Inc. USB2000) [McGonigle et al., 2002; Galle et al., 2003]. Measurements are performed by traversing beneath the volcanic plume, in a car, on foot, by plane or boat (Figure 3a), with the instrumentation shown in Figure 3b. The USB2000 collects zenith skylight via a telescope and optical fibre; the spectra, georeferenced using data from a handheld GPS receiver, are captured to a laptop computer and processed in real time.

![Figure 3. a) Under plume traverse configuration for UV spectroscopic volcanic SO$_2$ flux measurements; b) the instrumental payload: zenith skylight is captured to the spectrometer via an optical fibre and telescope; spectra are saved to the computer and georeferenced using contemporaneous data from a handheld GPS receiver.](image)

The spectra collected underneath the plume are evaluated for their gas concentrations according to (1), with respect to $\{I_0(\lambda)\}$, which is recorded at the start of the traverse before the plume is encountered. As a slight addition to the generic procedure outlined above, the absorbance spectrum is high pass filtered and fitted with a high pass filtered version of the SO$_2$ absorption cross section. This, so called differential optical absorption spectroscopy routine eliminates broadband attenuation caused by plume aerosols, and isolates the fine spectral resolution structure of the SO$_2$ absorption. Each concentration is multiplied by the distance traversed during that spectrum’s acquisition and analysis, projected onto a plane perpendicular to the plume transport direction. These products are then summed over the plume width and multiplied by the plume transport speed to output the SO$_2$ flux. All of this can be achieved with the purpose written software interface: volcanoSO2.exe [McGonigle, 2007] (Figure 4). An alternative spectral retrieval procedure, whereby the observed plume absorbance is fitted against those measured at the start of the traverse, with quartz SO$_2$ cells of known concentrations, has also been developed [Horton et al., 2006].
Figure 4. volcanoSO2.exe software for capturing and analysing GPS and USB2000 spectral data in order to compute SO\textsubscript{2} fluxes. Here the code is looping through spectra from a previous traverse (e.g., that shown in the spectra window). The corresponding differential absorption spectrum, showing the fine spectral resolution SO\textsubscript{2} absorption structure is shown in the fitting window, and the resulting plume SO\textsubscript{2} cross sectional profile in the concentrations field.

The plume speeds were traditionally assumed to equate to the output from crater rim anemometers; however these data may bear little resemblance to that of the plume overhead the traverse location, due to the complex wind fields surrounding volcanoes, thus introducing considerable error into the flux computations. This problem has been tackled recently by installing two or three under plume spectrometers, each recording SO\textsubscript{2} time series, at different distances downwind of the source at the point of interest e.g., on the traverse route [McGonigle et al., 2005; Williams-Jones et al., 2006] (Figure 5a). These capture the longitudinal structure of the plume as it passes overhead (Figure 5b), caused by rapid variations (1 – 10s seconds timescale) in source strength, and the plume segregating into discrete parcels as it is advected downwind. By cross-correlating two such data series, to determine the temporal lag between them, and by dividing the inter-spectrometer distance by this, the component of the plume velocity projected onto the inter-spectrometer vector is determined, thus enabling considerably more accurate flux estimations than possible hitherto. The three spectrometer approach has the advantage over that with two units, that with two derived velocity components the plume velocity vector (e.g., magnitude and direction) can be determined directly; in the latter case the plume direction needs to be assumed, adding to the measurement uncertainty.
UV spectroscopy has been widely applied to volcanoes worldwide over the last decades in order to investigate how SO₂ fluxes vary with activity, [e.g., Malinconico, 1979; Caltabiano et al., 1994; Daag et al., 1996; Sutton et al., 2001; Edmonds et al., 2003a], with heightened emissions observed during eruptions as degassing magma batches reach the surface. As activity subsides and the magma chamber is not replenished, the fluxes then dwindle, as occurred exponentially over an eight year period, following the 1980 eruption of Mt. St. Helens [McGee, 1992]. Pre-eruptive degassing depends on whether the volcano is open or closed conduit, e.g., whether the gases are free to escape to the atmosphere, or are blocked, for instance by an overlying rock carapace. In the former case observed fluxes can increase as magmas rise to depths at which pressures are no longer sufficient to confine the dissolved gases. In the latter case, low pre-eruptive fluxes are observed, as gases exsolve, but remain in the volcanic edifice, pressurising, likely leading to vent clearing explosion, as occurred during the St. Helens eruption.

Whilst SO₂ fluxes in isolation have utility in the understanding and parameterisation of volcanic phenomena, these data are most fruitfully interpreted in parallel with other contemporaneously obtained geochemical and geophysical data [e.g., Watson et al., 2000], for instance, on Mt. Etna, where strong correlations between seismicity and gas emission rate have been observed [Leonardi et al., 2000]. Another example was a series of explosions of Galeras volcano, Colombia in 1993, one of which resulted in the loss of nine lives, and each were preceded by reduced SO₂ fluxes and increasing “long period” seismic signals, analogous to the acoustic resonances formed in organ pipes. These observations were interpreted, after the fact, to indicate a sealing and ultimately explosive pressurisation of the system, perhaps caused by precipitation of dissolved minerals from hydrothermal systems in gas pathways to the surface [Fischer et al., 1994]. In the absence of corroborating data in such situations, low SO₂ fluxes could be erroneously interpreted to indicate a waning in activity.
So SO₂ fluxes can provide information on the masses of underground magmas, whether these are rising or falling, if they are passively losing their gases, or being recharged via fresh intrusions in advance of future eruptions, and whether systems are sealing, perhaps leading to explosions. One of the most intriguing results of these spectroscopic observations, however, is that volcanoes are capable of emitting prodigious quantities of SO₂ with little or no associated lava eruption [e.g., Delgado-Granados et al., 2001]; one recent example is Miyakejima volcano in Japan, which released up to 54 kt day⁻¹ during 2000 [Kazahaya et al., 2004], exceeding, momentarily, the previous time averaged emission for all the volcanoes on Earth. Such scenarios have demanded the development of descriptive models, such as one in which the majority of emissions are proposed to arise from a gas rich bubbly cupola atop the magma chamber, rather than from the erupted magma itself [Wallace, 2001].

Traverse measurements are typically made at most a few times per day, due to the manual requirement to drive, fly or sail beneath the plume. However this time resolution is insufficient to capture many volcanic phenomena, and disallows non-aliased corroboration with volcanic geophysical streams, e.g., seismic and ground deformation data are normally acquired at least once per second, thus precluding the fullest scientific insights. In response a number of faster SO₂ flux monitoring methodologies have been recently developed, such as that in which the plume is scanned from below from a fixed position [Edmonds et al., 2003b; McGonigle et al., 2003] (Figure 6). This is achieved by aligning the telescope horizontally, sub-plume and pointed towards the source, with light coupled into it via a turning mirror, mounted to a computer controlled stepper motor. As the mirror rotates, so too does the spectrometer’s field of view, achieving scanning in a plane perpendicular to the plume direction. For routine observations, a network of scanners is required, in order to capture the plume under all wind direction conditions; furthermore, tomographic analysis of those data reveals the plume height, which is a required parameter for the flux computation, when scanning. Such installations have now been made on a number of volcanoes worldwide, providing data every few minutes, and fresh perspectives into degassing driven volcano conduit dynamics, for instance concerning the transition between explosive and effusive behaviour on Soufrière Hills volcano, Montserrat [Edmonds et al., 2007].

Figure 6. Configuration of a two UV scanning spectrometer network.
Various plume imaging techniques have been developed, in addition, for instance, a two dimensional CCD array based unit, for transverse plume observations, with the first dimension capturing spectra, each corresponding to a vertical segment of the plume, as indexed by the second array dimension. By then “pushbroom” scanning in a horizontal plane, 2D images are generated, with a time resolution of minutes, as reported by Bobrowski et al. [2006]; this approach could be of great utility in capturing the spatio-temporal distribution of ultraviolet absorbing species in volcanic plumes, with a view to better understanding chemical processes occurring therein. Ultraviolet cameras have also been used to capture plume SO$_2$ images [Bluth et al., 2007; Mori and Burton, 2006]. These data can then be used to determine fluxes with frequencies of order 1 Hz, or even faster, with the plume transport speed determined by cross-correlating concentration time series from two pixels within the image, aligned along the vector of plume motion, by analogy to the multiple spectrometer plume speed method detailed above. Whilst this approach is spectrally broadband, thus provides less accurate concentrations than those achievable with the USB2000, its time resolution is completely unprecedented, auguring the possibility of the cameras becoming a routinely used volcano monitoring tool in the future.

Ground based remotely sensed SO$_2$ flux data, in parallel with satellite observations have been used to derive estimates for total global volcanogenic degassing of some 20 Tg year$^{-1}$ [e.g. Stoiber et al., 1987; Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998; Halmer et al., 2002], as well as fluxes from individual volcanic arcs, which when compared with inputs from the subducting slab, enable the question of gas mass balance at convergent boundaries to be addressed [e.g., Mather et al., 2006]. Whilst this flux is only around a fifth of the anthropogenic value, the sulphate loading of the atmosphere may be comparable from both sources, as volcanoes entrain their plume at higher altitudes, where the aerosol lifetimes are considerably longer than at the surface [Graf et al., 1997], indicating the very important role of volcanism in offsetting the anthropogenic greenhouse effect. When combined with other volcanic gas data (e.g., X/SO$_2$ concentration ratios for species X of interest) the fluxes of other volcanogenic emissions (e.g., Br and I [Aiuppa et al., 2005a]; H$_2$S [Aiuppa et al., 2005b]; HCl and HF [Halmer et al., 2002]; CO$_2$ [Aiuppa et al., 2006] and Hg [Bagnato et al., 2007]) have also been determined, thus quantifying their role in atmospheric chemistry and in global geochemical cycles.

It is of course also possible to directly remotely sense gases other than SO$_2$ in volcanic plumes with UV spectroscopy; so far the species: H$_2$S [O’Dwyer et al., 2003], NO$_2$ [Oppenheimer et al., 2005], BrO [Bobrowski et al., 2003; Oppenheimer et al., 2006a], ClO [Lee et al., 2005] and OCI$O$ [Bobrowski et al., 2007] have been detected, in addition. The latter observations are of particular significance, as those species are implicated in halogen catalysed ozone destruction reactions, implying that these plumes could exert important controls upon the troposphere’s oxidation capacity [Gerlach, 2004]. The ability to remotely measure the plume concentration ratios of multiple volcanic gas species is also very important in unravelling subterranean volcanic processes, and whilst UV spectroscopy has contributed somewhat in this regard, the real breakthroughs have come through Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy [e.g., Notsu et al., 1993; Francis et al., 1998], the second most commonly used spectroscopic plume monitoring technique, which is often employed in tandem with volcanic SO$_2$ monitoring. FTIR can measure ratios such as SO$_2$/HCl; as these species exsolve from magma at different depths, these data provide information on the depth from which the emitted gas is sourced. This additional information is very useful in developing models describing
volcanic behaviour and has been recently applied with great effect to better understanding fire fountaining [Allard et al., 2005], and strombolian explosive activity [Oppenheimer et al., 2006b; Burton et al., 2007].

**Figure 7.** Under plume UAV traverse at La Fossa crater, Vulcano Island, Italy, with the 1.5 kg SO$_2$ flux measurement UV spectroscopic payload. The USB2000 and miniature laptop computer are in the vibrationally damped instrument tray, the GPS is mounted to the fore, and the fibre and vertical telescope to the reverse of the base. The helicopter fuselage is 141 cm long.

Whilst attention has focused upon SO$_2$ flux measurements, in view of the ease with which this plume species can be spectroscopically resolved, CO$_2$, which is less soluble in intervening hydrothermal systems, and exsolves from magma at greater depths, could provide far more profound and accurate proxies for magmatic conditions. As such, considerable efforts have been made over the last decades, to devise a remote sensing technique suitable for monitoring this species’ emission rates. This has been to no avail, however, as passive vertically pointing spectroscopy attempts to resolve the plume contribution above the background atmospheric concentrations of the species of interest, integrated over the whole atmospheric height; in the case of CO$_2$, the very high ambient levels simply drown out the volcanic input. Of course this task could be achieved in principle with active spectroscopy, by employing a laser light source, e.g., LIDAR; such systems are likely to be very expensive, however (€100,000s). A less expensive, recently proposed quasi-remote sensing alternative is to fly an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), carrying an infrared CO$_2$ analyser and an electrochemical SO$_2$ sensor, into the plume, to make in-situ CO$_2$/SO$_2$ observations (instrumentation described in...
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[ Aiuppa et al., 2005c; Shinohara et al., 2005]). The UAV then traverses beneath the plume to
determine the SO2 flux; multiplying these data together yields the CO2 emission rate. This concept has
been proven with a relatively modest manually flown helicopter [McGonigle et al., 2008] (Figure 7);
in order to become a routinely useful tool, however, larger UAVs with greater ranges, and autonomous
flight capability, would be required, to enable achievement of take off and landing at far safer (10s of
km) distances from the source.

4. Concluding remarks

Ultraviolet spectroscopic observations of volcanic SO2 fluxes have formed the mainstay of remotely
sensed volcanic gas geochemistry over the last decades. This approach benefits greatly from the use of
scattered skylight as the radiation source, making alignment trivial; by contrast FTIR spectroscopy
requires a direct IR illumination source, such as the sun, an artificial lamp or a hot rock/lava source.
The equipment is inexpensive, in addition, with the UV spectrometer and all required auxiliary
components costing only $\approx 6,000, in contrast to the FTIR instrumentation (> €40,000) which is also
considerably bulkier, and whose retrievals are more complex. Notwithstanding the utility of these data
in better understanding volcanoes and their impacts upon the atmosphere, this approach is not a
panacea, however. For instance, SO2 can be dissolved in underground ground waters, masking the true
magmatic source signatures, in which case additional geophysical or geochemical (e.g., FTIR) data are
required for correct interpretations of activity, pointing to the importance of multi-parameter analysis
in volcanology.

Whilst some progress has been made in tackling the major source of error in the SO2 flux
measurements, namely the plume transport speed determination, there remain two other, potentially
significant uncertainties which have received relatively little attention, hitherto. The first of these
concerns scatter, which can result in light from outside the spectrometer’s field of view being deflected
into it between the plume and the sensor, resulting in concentration under-measurement, or multiple
scattering within the plume, which defies the assumption implicit in traverse based measurements, that
the light passes straight through the plume in a single downward vector, resulting in concentration
overestimation. Whilst large ash particles appear to have little impact upon retrieved SO2
concentrations up to a degree of optical extinction [Andres and Schmit, 2001], the scattering due to
plume aerosols and atmospheric constituents introduces presently poorly quantified, but potentially
large errors into the measurements [Weibring et al., 1998; Mori et al., 2006]. The second issue
concerns the possibility of a significant proportion of SO2 in the volcanic plume oxidising to form
sulphate aerosol, in which case data collected multiple kms from the source will constitute
underestimates of the true source strength. Previous attempts to constrain this loss rate by measuring,
for instance SO2 fluxes at different distances downwind of the source, have resulted in markedly
differing results ranging between <1% and >99% per hour [Oppenheimer et al., 1996; McGonigle et
al., 2004], over a variety of volcanic settings, leaving considerable uncertainty concerning the
magnitude of this error and how it can be quantified as a function of meteorological conditions.
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