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Abstract. In this paper, we characterize discrete subgroups of \( \text{PU}(2,1) \), holomorphic isometric group of complex hyperbolic space, which keep invariant an invariant totally geodesic submanifold.

1. Introduction

A Kleinian group \( G \) is Fuchsian if it keeps invariant some circular disc \( U \). We can regard \( U \) as being hyperbolic plane \( H^2 \), so that a Fuchsian group is a discrete subgroup of \( \text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \). The following theorem can be found in V.G.18 in Maskit’s book \[4\].

Theorem 1. Let \( G \subset \text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}) \) be a non-elementary Kleinian group in which \( \text{tr}^2(g) \geq 0 \) for all \( g \in G \). Then \( G \) is Fuchsian.

This theorem gave a characterization of those Kleinian groups that are Fuchsian.

This paper we are interested in discrete subgroups acting on complex hyperbolic space which have an invariant totally geodesic submanifold with codimension 2. There are no geodesic hypersurface in complex hyperbolic space. But there are two kinds of totally geodesic submanifolds: Complex line and Lagrangian plane.

In section 3, we will characterize complex Kleinian groups which keep invariant an invariant totally geodesic submanifold. Recently, J. Kim \[2\] proved a similar result in quaternionic hyperbolic case.

2. Complex hyperbolic space

2.1. Siegel domain. Let \( C^{2,1} \) be a complex vector space of dimension 3 with a Hermitian form of Sigature \((2,1)\) given by

\[
\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_3 + z_2 \bar{w}_2 + z_3 \bar{w}_1.
\]

We consider the subspaces

\[
V_\ominus = \{ z \in C^{2,1} : \langle z, z \rangle < 0 \},
\]

\[
V_0 = \{ z \in C^{2,1} : \langle z, z \rangle = 0 \}.
\]

Let \( P : C^3 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2 \) be the canonical projection. Then complex hyperbolic space is defined to be \( H^2_C = P(V_\ominus) \) and \( \partial H^2_C = P(V_0) \) is its boundary. Using non-homogeneous coordinates we can write \( H^2_C \) as

\[
H^2_C = \{ (z_1, z_2) \in C^2 : 2\Re z_1 + |z_2|^2 < 0 \}
\]
and also, for $\partial H_C^2$, we have

$$\partial H_C^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_1 + |z_2|^2 = 0\}.$$

Given a point $z$ of $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ we may lift $z = (z_1, z_2)$ to a point $z$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$, called the standard lift of $z$, by writing $z$ in non-homogeneous coordinates as

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Specially, the standard lifts of $0 = (0, 0)$ and $\infty$ are as follows

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Complex hyperbolic space is a 2-complex dimensional complex manifolds. The Bergman metric on $H_C^2$ is defined by the distance function given by the formula

$$\cosh^2 \left( \frac{\rho(z, w)}{2} \right) = \frac{\langle z, w \rangle \langle w, z \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle \langle w, w \rangle}.$$ 

### 2.2. Complex hyperbolic isometries.

The group of biholomorphic transformations of $H_C^2$ is $\text{PU}(2, 1)$, the projection of the Unitary group $U(2, 1)$ preserving the Hermitian form given in 2.1. In this work we prefer to consider instead the group $\text{SU}(2, 1)$ of matrices which are unitary with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and have determinant 1. The group $\text{SU}(2, 1)$ is a 3-fold covering of $\text{PU}(2, 1)$, a direct analogue of the fact that $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the double cover of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

The general form of an element of $A \in \text{SU}(2, 1)$ and its inverse are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & j \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} j & \bar{f} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{h} & \bar{e} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{g} & \bar{d} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

As the composition of an element of $\text{SU}(2, 1)$ with its inverse is the identity, we obtain a list of equations that the matrix entries in $A$ must satisfy

$$a\bar{j} + b\bar{h} + c\bar{f} = 1, \quad a\bar{f} + b\bar{e} + c\bar{d} = 0, \quad a\bar{c} + b\bar{b} + c\bar{a} = 0, \quad a\bar{d} + c\bar{h} + f\bar{j} = 0,$$

$$a\bar{f} + c\bar{e} + f\bar{d} = 1, \quad g\bar{f} + h\bar{h} + j\bar{g} = 0, \quad a\bar{f} + d\bar{f} + g\bar{c} = 1, \quad b\bar{j} + e\bar{f} + h\bar{c} = 0,$$

$$c\bar{j} + f\bar{f} + j\bar{c} = 0, \quad a\bar{h} + c\bar{e} + g\bar{b} = 0, \quad b\bar{h} + e\bar{c} + h\bar{b} = 1, \quad a\bar{f} + d\bar{d} + g\bar{a} = 0.$$ 

There exist three kinds of holomorphic isometries of $H_C^2$.

(i) Loxodromic isometries, each of which fixes exactly two points of $\partial H_C^2$. One of these points is attracting and the other repelling.

(ii) Parabolic isometries, each of which fixes exactly one point of $\partial H_C^2$.

(iii) Elliptic isometries, each of which fixes at least one point of $H_C^2$. 

2.3. Geodesic submanifolds. Unlike the real hyperbolic space case, there are no totally geodesic submanifolds of codimension 1 in complex hyperbolic space. But there are two kinds of totally geodesic 2-dimensional subspaces of complex hyperbolic space. Namely:

(i) Complex lines $L$, which have constant curvature $-1$, and

(ii) totally real Langrangian planes $R$, which have constant curvature $-\frac{1}{2}$.

Every Complex line $L$ is the image under some element of $SU(2,1)$ of the complex line $L_1$ with polar vector $n_1 = (0,1,0)^t$. The Complex line $L_1$ has the following form

$$L_1 = \{(z_1,z_2)^t \in H_C^2 : z_2 = 0 \}.$$

The subgroup of $SU(2,1)$ stabilizing $L_1$ is thus conjugate to the group $S(U(1) \times U(1,1)) < SU(2,1)$. The stabilizer of every other Complex line is conjugate to this subgroup. Every Lagrangian plane is the image under some element of $SU(2,1)$ of the standard real Lagrangian plane $L_R$, where both coordinates are real:

$$L_R = \{(z_1,z_2)^t \in H_C^2 : \Im(z_1) = \Im(z_2) = 0 \}.$$

A complex Kleinian group $G \subset SU(2,1)$ is $R$–Fuchsian (or $C$–Fuchsian) if it keeps invariant some Langrangian plane ( or Complex line).

2.4. Cartan’s angular invariant. Let $z_1, z_2, z_3$ be three distinct points of $\partial H^2_C$ with lifts $z_1, z_2, z_3$. Cartan’s angular invariant is defined as follows:

$$\hat{\lambda}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \arg(-\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle \langle z_3, z_1 \rangle).$$

The angular invariant is independent of the chosen lift $z_j$. It is clear that applying an element of $SU(2,1)$ to our triple of points does not change the Cartan invariant. The properties of $\hat{\lambda}$ may be found in Goldman’s book [1]. In the next proposition we highlight some of them.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $z_1, z_2, z_3$ be three distinct points of $\partial H^2_C$ and $\hat{\lambda}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ be their angular invariant. Then

(i) $\hat{\lambda} \in [-\pi, \pi]$;

(ii) $\hat{\lambda} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ if and only if $z_1, z_2, z_3$ all lie on a Complex line;

(ii) $\hat{\lambda} = 0$ if and only if $z_1, z_2, z_3$ all lie on Lagrangian plane;

2.5. The Korányi-Reimann cross-ratio. Cross-ratios were introduced to complex hyperbolic space by Korányi and Reimann [3]. We suppose that $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ are four distinct points of $\partial H^2_C$. Let $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ be corresponding lifts in $V_0 \subset C^{2,1}$. The Korányi-Reimann cross-ratio of this four points is defined to be

$$X = \frac{\langle z_3, z_1 \rangle \langle z_4, z_2 \rangle}{\langle z_4, z_1 \rangle \langle z_3, z_2 \rangle}.$$

$X$ is invariant under $SU(2,1)$ and independent of the chosen lifts.

In order to study the configure space of quadruple of points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ in the boundary $\partial H_C^2$, J.R. Parker and I.D. Platis [4] defined other cross-ratios by choosing different ordering of the four points. Given distinct points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \partial H^2_C$, they defined

$$X_1 = [z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4], X_2 = [z_1, z_3, z_2, z_4], X_3 = [z_2, z_3, z_1, z_4].$$

Moreover, they showed that all three of $X_1, X_2$ and $X_3$ are real if and only if the four points either lie in the same Complex line or on the same Lagrangian plane. That is,
Proposition 2.2. Suppose that $X_1, X_2$ and $X_3$ are all real.
(i) If $X_3 = -X_2/X_1$ then points $z_j$ all lie on a Complex line.
(ii) If $X_3 = X_2/X_1$ then points $z_j$ all lie on a Lagrangian plane.

3. Main results

In this section, we prove our main theorem. Before stating our results, we begin by recalling some notions. A subgroup $G \subset SU(2,1)$ is called elementary if it has a finite $G$-orbit in $\mathbb{H}_C$. Otherwise we call $G$ a non-elementary group. We say $G$ is Fuchsian if $G$ is either $\mathbb{C}$-Fuchsian or $\mathbb{R}$-Fuchsian. Then the statement of our result is almost the same as real hyperbolic case by Maskit.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ in $SU(2,1)$ be a non-elementary complex hyperbolic Kleinian group. If the trace of every element of $G$ is real then $G$ is Fuchsian.

Proof: If $G$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Fuchsian, then $G$ is conjugation to a subgroup of $SO(2,1)$. If $G$ is $\mathbb{C}$-Fuchsian, then $G$ is conjugation to a subgroup of $S(U(1) \times U(1,1)) < SU(2,1)$. So every element of $G$ has real trace in both case.

We need to prove the converse. Since $G$ is a non-elementary group, there exist a loxodromic element $A \in G$ with real trace. Because $PU(2,1)$ acts two points homogeneously on $\partial H^2_C$ and the trace is invariant under conjugation, we may assume that $A$ fixes 0 and $\infty$. Now select any $B$ in $G$. In term of matrices we can write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{t} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & j \end{bmatrix},$$

where each matrix is in $SU(2,1)$. We can assume that $t > 1$.

Next, write

$$t_1 = \text{tr}(AB) = ta + e + \frac{j}{t} \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$t_2 = \text{tr}(A^{-1}B) = \frac{t}{a} + e + jt \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$t_3 = \text{tr}(B) = a + e + j \in \mathbb{R}$$

Since $A, AB$ and $A^{-1}B$ have real trace, $t_1, t_2$ and $t_3$ are real. Solving for $a, e, j$, we find that $a, e, j \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows that every element of $G$ has real diagonal elements.

Let $B' = BAB^{-1}$. Then the matrix of $B'$ is

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} ta^2 + bd + cg/t & ta + b + e + \frac{f}{t} \\ td + e + f/g/t & td^2 + e^2 + f^2 + g^2/t \\ tg + \frac{h}{t} + \frac{j}{g} & tg^2 + \frac{h}{t} + \frac{j}{g} + \frac{j}{g} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The fixed points of $B'$ are $B(0)$ and $B(\infty)$. In homogeneous coordinate,

$$B(0) = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ f \\ j \end{bmatrix}, \quad B(\infty) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \\ g \end{bmatrix}.$$

To begin with, we prove that $c$ is a real number or a pure imaginary number. First, note that

$$B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + bd + cg & * & * \\ * & db + e^2 + fh & * \\ * & * & cg + hf + j^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
also has real diagonal elements. So $a^2 + bd + cg, db + e^2 + fh, cg + hf + j^2$ are real. Then $cg$ is real.

Since the diagonal elements of $B'$ are real, we have $ta\overline{a} + b\overline{b} + c\overline{c} + t\overline{g} + h\overline{h} + j\overline{j} + t\overline{a}/t$ are real. Thus $ta\overline{a} + b\overline{b} + c\overline{c} + t\overline{g} + h\overline{h} + j\overline{j} + t\overline{a}/t$ is real. Hence, $t\overline{g} + c\overline{c}/t$ is real.

Suppose $c = r_1 e^{i\theta}, g = r_2 e^{-i\theta}, r_1, r_2 \neq 0$. Then we have

$$r_1 r_2 \sin(2\theta)/t - tr_1 r_2 \sin(2\theta) = 0.$$ 

That is,

$$r_1 r_2/t - tr_1 r_2 \sin(2\theta) = 0.$$ 

Then $\sin(\theta) = 0$ or $\cos(\theta) = 0$. Therefore $c$ is real or a pure imaginary number.

Now we claim that $c \neq 0$.

If $c = 0$, then $f = 0$ by the identity $c\overline{a} + f\overline{f} + g\overline{g} + j\overline{j} = 0$. So $B(0) = 0$. But $A, B$ in $G$ with no common fixed points. In fact we can also have $d \neq 0$ and $a \neq 0$ by the same arguments.

**Case I:** $c$ is real.

Since every element of $G$ has real diagonal elements, then we get

$$t_4 = ta\overline{a} + b\overline{b} + c\overline{c}/t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$t_5 = tc\overline{c} + h\overline{h} + j\overline{j}/t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Solving for $b\overline{b}$ and $\overline{g}$, we find that $b\overline{b}$ and $\overline{g}$ are real.

Next, we calculate the three cross-ratios of points $B(0), \infty, 0, B(\infty)$. It is easy to see that

$$X_1 = g\overline{a}, X_2 = a\overline{a}, X_3 = \frac{aj}{gc}.$$ 

Because $a, g, c, j$ are real, we know that $X_1, X_2, X_3$ are real and $X_3 = X_2/X_1$. Therefore we prove that the quadruple of point $0, \infty, B(\infty), B(0)$ lies in the same Lagrangian plane $H^2_\mathbb{R}$ from Proposition 2.2.

As

$$B(0) = \begin{bmatrix} c/j & f/j \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B(\infty) = \begin{bmatrix} a/g \\ d/g \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H^2_\mathbb{R},$$

we obtain $f/j, d/g \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that

$$B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + bd + cg & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

we have $a^2 + bd + cg \in \mathbb{R}$, then $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We also have $b \neq 0$ by $a\overline{a} + b\overline{b} + c\overline{c} = 0$.

Since $b\overline{b}$ is real, then $h \in \mathbb{R}$. So

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & j \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SO}(2, 1),$$

and $\langle A, B \rangle \subset \text{SO}(2, 1)$.

Let

$$B^* = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' & c' \\ d' & e' & f' \\ g' & h' & j' \end{bmatrix}$$

be any other element of $G$. As above, we compare the traces of $A$, $B^*$, and $AB^*$ to show that $a', e', j'$ are real. Now
\[
BB^* = \begin{bmatrix}
  aa' + bd' + cg' & * & * \\
  * & db' + ee' + fh' & * \\
  * & * & gc' + hf' + jj'
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and these diagonal elements are real. Since $a, a', e, e', j, j'$ are real, $bd' + cg', db' + ee', gc' + hf'$ are real.

Next, we consider the element
\[
B^{-1}B^* = \begin{bmatrix}
  ja' + fd' + cg' & * & * \\
  * & hb' + ee' + bh' & * \\
  * & * & gc' + f'd' + aj'
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
It is easy to see that $fd' + cg', hb' + bh', gc' + df'$ are real. If $fc \neq bc$, then we know that $d', g'$ are real from that $fd' + cg'$ and $bd' + cg'$ are real. If $fc = bc$, one can use $AB^{-1}B^*$ instead of $B^{-1}B^*$. Similarly, we know that $b', c', f', h'$ are real. So $B^*$ is in $SO(2,1)$. This shows that every element of $G$ preserves $H_R$.

**Case II:** $c$ is a pure imaginary number.

We know that $g$ is also purely imaginary and $b = d = f = h = 0$. Then
\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
  a & 0 & c \\
  0 & c & 0 \\
  g & 0 & j
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and $A$ leave invariant a Complex line $L$ of polar vector
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  0 \\
  1 \\
  0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let
\[
B_* = \begin{bmatrix}
  a' & b' & c' \\
  d' & e' & f' \\
  g' & h' & j'
\end{bmatrix}
\]
be any other element of $G$ with real diagonal elements. Now the diagonal elements of
\[
BB_* = \begin{bmatrix}
  aa' + cg' & * & * \\
  * & db' + ee' & * \\
  * & * & gc' + jj'
\end{bmatrix}
\]
are real. So $gc' + jj'$ is real. If $c' \neq 0$, then $c'$ is a pure imaginary number. Similarly, we have $b' = d' = f' = h' = 0$. If $c' = 0$, then we have $b' = f' = 0$. Thus if $d'$ or $h'$ is not zero. Then $B_*$ and $A$ share exactly one common fixed point $\infty$. Therefore the subgroup $\langle B_*, A \rangle$ is not discrete. So we also have $b' = d' = f' = h' = 0$. Thus we conclude that $G$ leaves invariant a Complex line $L$.
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