Enhanced expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in epithelial ovarian carcinoma
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The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) belong to a subclass of nuclear hormone receptor that executes important cellular transcriptional functions. Previous studies have demonstrated the expression of PPARγ in several tumours including colon, breast, bladder, prostate, lung and stomach. This study demonstrates the relative expression of PPARγ in normal ovaries and different pathological grades of ovarian tumours of serous, mucinous, endometrioid, clear cell and mixed subtypes. A total of 56 ovarian specimens including 10 normal, eight benign, 10 borderline, seven grade 1, nine grade 2 and 12 grade 3 were analysed using immunohistochemistry. Immunoreactive PPARγ was not expressed in normal ovaries. Out of eight benign and 10 borderline tumours, only one tumour in each group showed weak cytoplasmic PPARγ expression. In contrast, 26 out of 28 carcinomas studied were positive for PPARγ expression with staining confined to cytoplasmic and nuclear regions. An altered staining pattern of PPARγ was observed in high-grade ovarian tumours with PPARγ being mostly localized in the nuclei with little cytoplasmic immunoreactivity. On the other hand, predominant cytoplasmic staining was observed in lower-grade tumours. Significantly increased PPARγ immunoreactivity was observed in malignant ovarian tumours (grade 1, 2 and 3) compared to benign and borderline tumours ($\chi^2 = 48.80, P < 0.001$). Western blot analyses showed significant elevation in the expression of immunoreactive PPARγ in grade 3 ovarian tumours compared with that of normal ovaries and benign ovarian tumours ($P < 0.01$). These findings suggest an involvement of PPARγ in the onset and development of ovarian carcinoma and provide an insight into the regulation of this molecule in the progression of the disease.
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Epithelial ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death from gynaecologic malignancies. As ovarian cancer produces few specific symptoms in the early stage, most women present with advanced stage disease where the prognosis is poor (Jacobs and Menon, 2004). Greater than 90% of epithelial ovarian cancer arises from the transformation of ovarian surface epithelium (Choi and Auersperg, 2003). Hence, comparison between the protein expression profile of normal and transformed ovaries is important to identify and understand the molecules involved in the onset and progression of the disease.

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) comprise an important subfamily of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. Three isoforms have been identified, PPARα, PPARβ and PPARγ. Each exhibits distinct patterns of tissue distribution and ligand specificity (Kersten et al, 2000). They share common structural features, which include an amino-terminal modulatory domain, a DNA-binding domain and a carboxyl-terminal ligand-binding domain (Moras and Gronemeyer, 1998). PPARα is present in high levels in the kidney, heart, muscle, liver, brown adipose tissue and gut (Kliewer et al, 1994), whereas PPARβ is ubiquitously expressed throughout the body (Kliewer et al, 1994). PPARγ is highly expressed in adipose tissue, and is also present in other tissues including the muscle, liver, heart, adrenal gland, spleen and placenta (Asami-Miyagishi et al, 2004; Feingold et al, 2004).

The PPARs are ligand-dependent transcription factors that regulate target gene expression by binding to specific peroxisome proliferator response elements (PPREs) in enhancer sites of target genes (Berger and Moller, 2002). Each receptor binds its PPREs as a heterodimer with a retinoid X receptor. Upon ligand activation, conformational rearrangement of PPARγ expresses transcriptional coactivator binding sites. Recruitment of coactivators involves transcription of genes implicated in the regulation of cell differentiation and activation pathways (Berger and Moller, 2002).

In cancer biology, PPARγ is the most intensively studied PPAR isoform. It is expressed in high levels in different cancer including colon (Bull, 2003), breast (Jiang et al, 2003), bladder (Yoshimura et al, 2003), prostate (Smith and Kantoff, 2002), head and neck (Jaekel et al, 2001), cervical (Han et al, 2003) and endometrial cancer (Tong et al, 2000). Recent studies have demonstrated that ligand activation of PPARγ receptor is involved in adipocyte (Seo et al, 2004) and tumour cell differentiation (Gauthier et al, 2003).
In colon cancer, ligand activation of PPARγ-mediated differentiation of certain colon cancer cells results in upregulation of tumour suppressor genes caveolin 1 and 2 (Burgermeister et al, 2003) and repression of cyclin D1 expression (Wang et al, 2003). Similarly, in vitro studies in prostate cancer cells, which express fairly abundant PPARγ, can result in the differentiation of prostate cancer cells and downregulation of androgen-stimulated PSA production (Hisatke et al, 2000). These findings have potentially important functional implication in the context of cancer cell differentiation therapy and multitarget resistance. Recently, Her2 has been shown to regulate PPAR expression (Yang et al, 2003). The ligands for PPARγ have been shown to increase the expression of BRCA1 protein in human breast cancer cells (Pignatelli et al, 2003), indicating that PPARγ plays a crucial role in BRCA1 regulatory pathways involved in the pathogenesis of breast and sporadic ovarian cancer.

To our knowledge, a role for PPARγ in ovarian cancer development or function has not been described. In this study, we evaluated immunoreactive PPARγ protein expression in different pathological grades and subtypes of human epithelial ovarian tumours. We show that ovarian tumours express PPARγ, and that expression is significantly higher in malignant tumours compared to benign tumours and normal ovaries. We also demonstrate that PPARγ expression pattern alters in high-grade ovarian tumours, implicating an important role for PPARγ in the progression of ovarian malignancy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Antibody and reagents**

Mouse monoclonal and rabbit polyclonal antibodies against PPARγ were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Mouse IgG1 was obtained from Sigma (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). Immunoassay secondary detection system was obtained from Chemicon International (Temecula, USA). ECL Western blotting detection reagents and analysis system were supplied by Amersham Biosciences (Amersham, UK).

**Immunohistochemistry**

The study was approved by the Research and Human Ethics Committee (HEC#02/30) of the Royal Women’s Hospital, Melbourne, Australia. Human ovarian tumour tissues were collected at the time of surgical cytoreduction with the informed consent of the patients. Patient information is presented in Table 1. Normal ovaries for control comparison were collected from patients undergoing surgery as a result of suspicious ultrasound, from prophylactic oophorectomy specimens. The pathology diagnosis and tumour grade was evaluated by two staff pathologists in the Department of Pathology, the Royal Women’s Hospital, Melbourne, Australia. The classification of the tumours was performed as part of the clinical diagnosis according to the method described by Silverberg (2000). Surgically removed samples were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. Tissues for Western blot were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until needed.

Paraffin-embedded ovarian tissues were cut at 4 μm thickness and deparaffinised with xylene and rehydrated using graded ethanol. After microwave antigen retrieval in citrate buffer, pH 6.0, the sections were held in Tris buffer solution (TBS, 100 mM, pH 7.6). Endogenous peroxidase activity was inactivated using 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol and endogenous biotin activity was blocked by a solution of diluted egg white (5% in distilled water) and skimmed milk powder (5% in distilled water), all for 10 min each. The sections were incubated in mouse monoclonal antibody against PPARγ (1/400 in 1% BSA in TBS) overnight at 4°C. Antibody binding was amplified using biotin and streptavidin HRP for 10 min each and the complex was visualised using diaminobenzidine. The nuclei were lightly stained with Mayer’s haematoxylin and the sections were mounted and cover slipped. An isotype IgG1 matched diluted was substituted for the antibody as negative control.

Sections were assessed microscopically for positive staining by two experienced observers. For each specimen, the positive staining extent was scored as five grades, namely, 0 (≤10%), 1 (11–25%), 2 (26–50%), 3 (51–75%), 4 (76–90%) and 5 (91–100%) (Armes et al, 1999). The intensity was classified into four grades: no staining, negative (−); pale brown, weak (+); brown, moderate (+++) and dark brown, strong (+++). Parallel paraffin-embedded sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin to confirm the pathologic diagnosis simultaneously.

**Western blot**

Preparation of ovarian tissue homogenate was performed as described previously (Ahmed et al, 2004). Each frozen ovarian specimen (100 mg) was cut into several small pieces about 3 mm in size. Then, the specimen was homogenized in Tris-HCl buffer (10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM orthovanadate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride, 5 μg/ml−1 aprotinin, pH 7.0) by repeated uniform strokes (approximately 6). The samples were centrifuged at 10 000g for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and relative protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad Protein Assay Reagent following the manufacturer’s instruction. Ovarian homogenate containing equal amounts (10 μg) of protein were separated by electrophoresis on 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)–polyacrylamide gels under nonreducing condition and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were probed with rabbit polyclonal anti-PPARγ (diluted 1:400 in 3% skim milk in TBST) followed by

| Case no. | Histological grade | Clinical stage | Tumour subtype | CA125 (U ml−1) |
|---------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| 6       | l                 | 1a            | Endometrioid  | 773            |
| 64      | l                 | 1b            | Endometrioid  | 786            |
| 83      | l                 | 1c            | Endometrioid  | 126            |
| 106     | l                 | 3c            | Mixed         | 93             |
| 164     | l                 | 1b            | Endometrioid  | 636            |
| 190     | l                 | 1a            | Mucinous      | 25             |
| 198     | l                 | 2c            | Endometrioid  | 55             |
| 32      | l                 | 2a            | Endometrioid  | 302            |
| 35      | l                 | 2c            | Serous        | 1200           |
| 46      | l                 | 2              | Serous        | 3457           |
| 49      | l                 | 2              | Serous        | 900            |
| 110     | l                 | 2              | Serous        | 1719           |
| 121     | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 3471           |
| 123     | l                 | 3b              | Mixed         | 106            |
| 129     | l                 | 3c            | Mixed         | 3823           |
| 161     | l                 | 2              | Mixed         | 698            |
| 2       | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 647            |
| 3       | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 513            |
| 44      | l                 | 2b            | Endometrioid  | 165            |
| 85      | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 147            |
| 88      | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 883            |
| 90      | l                 | 3              | Endometrioid  | 659            |
| 96      | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 137            |
| 108     | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 61             |
| 143     | l                 | 3              | Clear cell    | 507            |
| 146     | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 2463           |
| 153     | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 985            |
| 158     | l                 | 3              | Serous        | 287            |
peroxidase-labelled donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:2500) and visualised by the ECL (Amersham, UK) detection system according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

Statistical analysis

The extent and intensity of immunohistochemical staining between benign, borderline and high-grade ovarian tumours was determined by \( \chi^2 \) test. The association between the optical density (OD) of PPAR\(_\gamma\) bands determined by Western blotting in benign tumours compared to that of high-grade ovarian tumours was assessed by Student’s \( t \)-test.

RESULTS

Immunohistochemical staining of PPAR\(_\gamma\) in ovarian tumour tissues

Immunohistochemical expression of PPAR\(_\gamma\) in epithelial ovarian tumours is described in Table 2. No immunoreactivity of PPAR\(_\gamma\) was observed in normal ovarian tissues (Figure 1C). Among eight benign and 10 borderline ovarian tumours, weak PPAR\(_\gamma\) expression was present in only one tumour in each group (Figure 2A). Seven cases of grade 1 ovarian tumours were studied. Four of these showed weak staining and moderate staining was observed in three cases. Both cytoplasmic and nuclear staining was observed (Figure 2B), the distribution being approximately 60% cytoplasmic and 40% nuclear.

Eight out of nine grade 2 tumours studied were positive for PPAR\(_\gamma\) and the expression varied from a score of 1 to 3 with increased demonstration of nuclear staining (Figure 2C). Nuclear staining of infiltrating macrophages was observed in one case. In all, 12 grade 3 ovarian tumours were studied and 11 of these were positive. The intensity of PPAR\(_\gamma\) staining varied but was predominantly judged to be moderate (++) (Figures 1D and 2D, E). In grade 2 and 3 tumours, staining was predominantly nuclear. Cytoplasmic staining in higher grades (grades 2 and 3) represented approximately 20% of total staining.

Overall, the immunoreactive PPAR\(_\gamma\) was present in all grades of ovarian tumours. The extent of overall staining was significantly higher in malignant ovarian tumours (grades 1, 2 and 3) compared with benign and borderline tumours (\( \chi^2 = 48.80; P < 0.001 \)).

The expression of PPAR\(_\gamma\) was significantly different in grade 1, 2 and 3 tumours compared to benign and borderline tumours (\( \chi^2 = 4.393; P < 0.001 \)).

Table 2  Extent and intensity of PPAR\(_\gamma\) expression in normal ovaries and tumour tissues

| Histology      | Total number of tissues | Extent of staining (number of tissues) | Intensity of staining (number of tissues) |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Normal         | 10                      | 0 (10)                                | – (10)                                   |
| Benign         | 8                       | 0 (7), 1 (1)                          | – (7), + (1)                             |
| Borderline     | 10                      | 0 (9), 1 (1)                          | – (9), + (1)                             |
| Grade 1        | 7                       | 0 (0), 1 (6), 2 (0), 3 (1)            | – (0), + (3), ++ (4)                     |
| Grade 2        | 9                       | 0 (1), 1 (5), 2 (1), 3 (2)            | – (1), + (5), ++ (3)                     |
| Grade 3        | 12                      | 0 (1), 1 (5), 2 (1), 3 (5)            | – (1), + (7), ++ (4)                     |
| Total          | 56                      | 56                                    | 56                                       |

PPAR = peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor. Extent of PPAR\(_\gamma\) expression was scored as 0 (0–10%), 1 (11–25%), 2 (26–50%), 3 (51–75%), 4 (76–90%) and 5 (>91–100%) immunoreactivity. Values within parentheses indicate number of tissues in each category. The extent of staining was significantly different in grade 1, 2 and 3 tumours compared to benign and borderline tumours (\( \chi^2 = 48.80; P < 0.001 \)). The intensity of staining was scored as negative (–), weak (+), moderate (++), and strong (+++). The values within parentheses indicate the number of tissues in each category. The intensity of staining was significantly different in grade 1, 2 and 3 tumours compared to benign and borderline tumours (\( \chi^2 = 43.93; P < 0.001 \)).

Figure 1  (A and B) Haematoxylin and eosin staining of (A) normal ovary, (B) grade 3 serous tumour. PPAR\(_\gamma\) staining of the same (C) normal ovary (D) and grade 3 serous ovary. Blue arrows indicate nuclear PPAR\(_\gamma\) staining, while black indicates cytoplasmic staining.
increased significantly with the grade of the tumours (80% in grade 3 compared to 40% in grade 1). Tumour stroma or endothelial cells lining the blood vessels were negative for PPARγ immunoreactivity. The intensity of PPARγ staining was also significantly higher in malignant tumours compared with benign and borderline tumours ($\chi^2 = 43.93, P < 0.001$) (Table 2). No statistical difference in the extent or intensity of staining was observed between the different grades of tumours (grades 1, 2 and 3) ($\chi^2 = 4.29, P = 0.6363; \chi^2 = 1.68, P = 0.79$) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The sustainability of a malignant tumour requires multiple cellular events by which the cancer cells acquire growth factor independence, escape cellular apoptosis mechanisms, create a self-sustaining environment and escape the neighbouring barriers by migrating and colonising to a distant site (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000). These events require the expression/overexpression and activation of molecules not generally requisite for normal cellular functions. The initial indication that the PPARs are involved in the aetiology of cancer was the isolation of PPARγ as the mediator of the tumour-promoting effect of peroxisome proliferators, compounds that cause hepatic carcinoma in rodents (Corton et al, 2000). PPARγ has been shown to be expressed in colon tumours and overexpressed in breast and prostate tumours (Collett et al, 2000; Roberts-Thomson and Snyderwine, 2000). PPARβ levels are also elevated in colon and head and neck cancer (Gupta et al, 2000; Jaekel et al, 2001) and the absence of PPARβ reduces tumour growth in colon cancer (Park et al, 2001). Although PPARγ is expressed at low levels in normal colonic and breast ductal epithelium, it is significantly increased in breast and prostate...
The expression of this receptor has not been reported in ovarian carcinoma but has been shown in normal ovaries (Lambe and Tugwood, 1996). In this study, we report the expression of PPARγ in different pathological grades and subtypes of ovarian carcinoma and discuss its possible function with the progression of the disease.

We report for the first time that ovarian tumours express PPARγ. Weak to moderate expression of PPARγ by immunohistochemistry was observed in almost all ovarian tumours studied. As shown in Table 2 and Figure 1, immunohistochemical staining showed no PPARγ expression in normal ovarian tissues. Out of eight benign and 10 borderline tumours, only one in each group stained positive for PPARγ expression. Weak to moderate staining was observed in grade 1 ovarian tumours (Figure 2B), and the staining was localised to both cytoplasmic and nuclear areas of the cells. Compared to benign and borderline tumours, the extent of staining was, however, significantly increased in grade 3 ovarian tumours, with immunoreactivity for PPARγ being mostly present in the nuclear region (Figures 1 and 2). Western blotting analyses demonstrated significant enhancement in the expression of PPARγ in grade 3 ovarian tumours compared to benign ovarian tumours and normal ovaries (Figure 3). The basal expression of PPARγ in normal ovarian tissues and benign ovarian tumours may have been attributed by the increased immunosensitivity of Western blotting technique compared to immunohistochemistry.

The results from our study are consistent with those of other studies performed in other cancers. Very weak immunohistochemical staining of PPARγ was shown in benign prostatic hyperplasia and normal prostate tissues, whereas significant enhancement in the expression of immunoreactive PPARγ was observed in malignant prostate tissues (Park et al., 2001). PPARγ expression was shown to be higher in high-grade bladder cancer compared to low-grade cancer (Yoshimura et al., 2003). Irrespective of the differentiation status of the tumour, strong expression of immunoreactive PPARγ was observed in surgically resected human gastric cancer tissues (Sato et al., 2000).

In contrast, in some cases of cancer, the expression of PPARγ decreases with the histological grade of the tumour. In full-term normal placenta, PPARγ is strongly expressed in the nuclei of the syncytiotrophoblast, extravillous cytotrophoblast of cell islands and cell columns, whereas in choriocarcinoma, only a few trophoblastic cells show weak staining for PPARγ (Capparuccia et al., 2002). Well-differentiated lung adenocarcinomas present increased frequency for PPARγ expression compared with moderately and poorly differentiated ones (Theocharis et al., 2002). The expression of PPARγ protein is decreased in oesophageal cancer tissues compared with normal oesophageal squamous epithelium (Terasita et al., 2002). Hence, considering the diversity of human cancer, the expression of PPARγ is possibly dependent on tissue specificity and/or the mutational events (as in the case of colon cancer) (Ikezoe et al., 2001) that are requisite for cancer development.

The growth inhibitory and differentiation roles of PPARγ have been shown in several cancers (Demetri et al., 1999). The immunohistochemical expression of PPARγ during the progression of ovarian cancer can be related to the growth-promoting role of PPARγ previously shown in certain cancer (Mueller et al., 2000). In the case of thyroid follicular cancer, a chromosomal translocation and fusion of PAX8 gene with PPARγ results in a malignant phenotype, suggesting a link of PPARγ to cancer growth (Dwight et al., 2003). In the Min mouse model of APC deficiency, ligands for PPARγ can increase colon tumour growth (Lefebvre et al., 1998). In another study, loss of PPARγ was shown not to affect mammary development and propensity for tumour formation but resulted in reduced fertility (Cui et al., 2002). Overall, ligand activation of PPARγ in tumour models can result in diversified functional outcome (Leung et al., 2004) and a better understanding of the exact role of PPARγ in cancer needs to be determined. In particular, the mechanism of differentiation of cancers is incompletely understood and an insight into this process would undoubtedly lead to new therapeutic targets.

The anti-inflammatory response of PPARγ in association with NF-kB has recently been identified (Kelly et al., 2004). In addition, PPARγ ligands have been shown to inhibit transcriptional activation of COX-2 in human epithelial cells (Badawi et al., 2004). In certain cancer cells, ligand activation of PPARγ results in the inhibition of the release of inflammatory cytokines by cancer cells (Leung et al., 2004). These results may help to explain the shuttling of PPARγ expression from the cytoplasm to nucleus with the progression of ovarian carcinoma. As ovarian cancer progresses, tumour cells are more likely to be exposed to inflammatory cytokines secreted by cancer cells themselves and infiltrating leucocytes present in the peritoneum. Whether this increased exposure of ovarian tumour cells to the inflammatory cytokines result in the activation of PPARγ and subsequent cytoplasmic translocation to the nucleus is yet to be determined.

Taken together, our results indicate that PPARγ may play a role in the onset and progression of ovarian cancer. Further research need to investigate the prognostic significance of PPARγ expression in ovarian carcinoma and whether therapeutic administration of ligands for this receptor may have clinical potential for the treatment of the disease.
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