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Abstract

Microalgae biomass has a great potential in search for new alternative energy sources. They can be used as a substrate for the biogas production in anaerobic digestion. When using microalgae, the efficiency of this process is hampered due to the resistant cell wall. In order to accelerate the hydrolysis of cell wall and increase the efficiency of biogas production we applied two different pretreatments – biological and thermal under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. During biological pretreatment we incubated microalgae with anaerobic hydrolytic bacteria Pseudobutyrovibrio xylanivorans Mz5T. In thermal pretreatment we incubated microalgae at 90 °C. We also tested a combined thermal and biological pretreatment in which we incubated P. xylanivorans Mz5T with thermally pretreated microalgae. Thermal pretreatment in mesophilic and thermophilic process has increased methane production by 21% and 6%, respectively. Biological pretreatment of microalgae has increased methane production by 13%, but only under thermophilic conditions (pretreatment under mesophilic conditions showed no effect on methane production). Thermal-biological pretreatment increased methane production by 12% under thermophilic conditions and by 6% under mesophilic conditions.
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1. Introduction

Global human population growth, rapid technological development, climate changes and depletion of fossil fuels have led to an accelerated search for new renewable energy sources. Renewable energy sources are rapidly evolving area with positive effects on the environment (with little or zero carbon dioxide emissions and substrate low sulfur content) and promising economic aspect.¹ Given alternative energy sources provoked a lot of controversy, despite initial positive expectations.

Renewable energy sources are classified into groups; first generation biofuels (derived exclusively from crops of cultivated plants) and second generation biofuels (derived from lignocellulosic biomass)²⁻⁴ have serious flaws, including a great need for arable land and large amount of consumed water. They are also creating a lot of pressure on agriculture and have a low productivity, since produced biomass cannot cover global demand.⁵

In recent decades we are witnessing increase in interest of exploitation of the algae energy potential. Algae biomass represents the substrate for rapidly developing group of third generation biofuels. This generation offers the perfect solution for solving the above-mentioned drawbacks.⁶ The main advantages of using algae are low water consumption (they can be grown in salty, waste and non-potable water), possible production on uncultivated areas with high carbon dioxide concentrations, theoretical high photosynthetic efficiency and high productivity⁷,⁸

For a long time technology focused mainly on obtaining biodiesel from algae biomass, which proved to be energy consuming and unbalanced process. More simple process for supplying renewable energy is anaerobic digestion (AD).⁹,¹⁰ Biogas from AD is an alternative, but
Thermal and Bacterial Pretreatment of Microalgae for Biogas Production

Vidmar et al.: Influence of Thermal and Bacterial Pretreatment of Microalgae for Biogas Production

Acta Chim. Slov. 2017, 64, 227–236

Microbial anaerobic methanogenic process is applied for the multistep decomposition of organic substrates into biogas. Biogas consists of different gases – methane (65%), carbon dioxide (35%) and others (nitrogen, carbon oxides, hydrogen, ammonia and hydrogen sulphide). Other products in AD, such as heat and digestate, can be used in other processes or as a soil conditioner. Efficacy of AD is influenced by various factors such as composition of substrates, carbon and nitrogen ratio (C:N) of digester contents, composition of microbial community, degree of mixing, pH and temperature. It has been shown that among technological parameters, temperature and pH have the biggest impact on speed of the biogas production. The process of anaerobic degradation can run under psychrophilic (<20 °C), mesophilic (25–40 °C) or thermophilic (50–65 °C) conditions. Technically speaking, the industry is only interested in mesophilic and thermophilic processes, since decomposition at lower temperatures is very slow. When speaking about AD of the same substrates, the mesophilic and thermophilic processes are distinguished mainly by their composition of microbial community, resulting in biogas production differences from the same substrate. There are some important microbiological characteristics associated with thermophilic anaerobes, which may affect the biogas production. These characteristics include slow bacterial growth, high cell death, lower bacteria variety, which show an effect on relatively high fatty acids concentration (more than 1 g l⁻¹), reduced substrate degradation, etc. Since AD is a multi-step process, it depends on interactions among bacterial and archaeal microbial communities and their substrate and product specificities. Knowledge about the dynamics of microbial community structure and activity is essential for successful planning of the biogas process, monitoring its parameters and for reaching main goal: process stability and maximum yield. The link between community structure and performance is still not completely clear and more studies are needed.

Mesophilic conditions represent the optimum temperature range for larger group of microorganisms (anaerobic bacteria and archaea), as thermophilic conditions. Nevertheless, the most important fact is to maintain a stable temperature, irrespective of applied process. Biochemical reactions at higher temperatures are faster therefore the degradation is faster too. Generally, but not always, thermophilic AD is up to 8-times faster and up to 4-times more productive than mesophilic. It allows better organic matter decomposition and increased biogas production (up to 36%), although the actual methane yield in thermophilic AD is dependent on substrate composition and its C:N ratio. Higher temperature also enables thermal destruction of pathogenic bacteria, which is considered as a big advantage over other processes. Disadvantages of thermophilic AD are instability, higher energy inputs and in comparison to the mesophilic process higher temperatures can cause reduced CO₂ solubility, which leads to higher proportion of free ammonium and increase in pH. Microalgae represent a promising substrate for AD, because they are rich in nutrients, such as carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus, which are essential for the anaerobic microorganisms. Microalgae cells contain a lot of water (78–90%), many species have high content of carbohydrates (up to 64% of their dry matter) and lipids (2–75% of their dry matter). Carbohydrates occur in the form of starch, cellulose and various sugars, so the substrate is suitable for microbial fermentation. Freshwater microalgae species can contain up to 31% free fatty acids (FFA), but the composition of FFA and lipids is heavily depending on growth conditions (light, temperature, nitrogen level, growth stage at which they are harvested). In comparison to carbohydrates and proteins, lipids have higher theoretical potential for methane production. Nevertheless, when the buffer capacity of the system is low, higher lipid content can result in formation of intermediate products (long chain fatty acids) during AD and consequently process inhibition. Some species of microalgae may contain lignin (<2%), a high level of cellulose (7,1%) and hemicellulose (16,3%). High ash contents are typical for winter months and in early spring. The C:N ratio is around 10:1.

Despite the positive aspects of microalgae as substrate for biogas production, we may encounter several problems that also limit their use for anaerobic decomposition. Problems may occur due to low concentration of biodegradable substrate, cell walls resistant to biodegradation, low C:N and sometimes higher lipids concentrations.

Some green algae are covered by multiple layers of intricately sculpted scales while others have crystalline glycoprotein coverings or thick multilaminate fibrillar cell walls. A few taxa though have cell walls with remarkable structural and biochemical similarity to cell walls found in land plants. As an example we can take a known representative of the genus Scenedesmus, wherein the rigid cell wall is composed of glucose, mannose and galactose. Individual sugars are otherwise well biodegradable, but in the cell wall they are linked together and form cellulose, hemicellulose and some other polymers (e.g. sporopollenin). These molecules form a strong cell wall, highly resistant to bacterial degradation. One of the possible solutions to enhance the AD of microalgae biomass are different types of pretreatments, which we use in order to make substrate more susceptible to biodegradation. Pretreatments can be divided into four groups – thermal, mechanical, chemical and biological. Most studied area is thermal pretreatment of microalgal biomass, which shows favourable results and certain industrial processes already run continuously. Mechanical pretreatment generally requires more energy input in...
comparison to the chemical, thermal or biological treatments. Chemical pretreatment has proved successful, especially in combination with thermal, but the main disadvantage is contamination and complexity of downstream processes. Biological pretreatment of biomass is also very promising, mainly due to low energy consumption.38

In the presented research work the biodegradability of untreated and pretreated microalgae was examined in anaerobic digestion. In order to accelerate the hydrolysis and increase the efficiency of biogas production two different pretreatments were applied – biological (bacterial) and thermal. A combined thermal-biological pretreatment was tested, too. Biogas production was measured in biochemical methane potential assay under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions.

2. Experimental

2. 1. Substrate for Biogas Production

Microalgae biomass was obtained from the open photobioreactor of company Koto d.o.o. Microalgae are produced in digestate (liquid part of the effluent after separation to liquid and solid part) of thermophilic biogas reactor, which converges into 26 m³ big pool. Microalgae biomass was pumped out of the pool with a peristaltic pump and stored in larger containers, later divided into smaller volumes (up to 1 l) and frozen at –20 °C. Chemical composition of the dry microalgae biomass is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the dry microalgae biomass. Legend: TVS (total volatile solids), TOC (total organic carbon), TN (total nitrogen) ( Determined by Koto d.o.o.).

| Parameters       | Content (g kg⁻¹) |
|------------------|------------------|
| TVS              | 796,8            |
| TN               | 70,7             |
| Ash              | 203,2            |
| Protein          | 441,3            |
| TOC              | 404,8            |
| C:N ratio        | 5,7              |

2. 2. Microbial Inoculum for Biogas Production

Two different microbial inoculums were used to test the differences between mesophilic and thermophilic process of biogas production. Mesophilic microbial inoculum was taken from an active CSTR (continuous stirred-tank reactor) operating at 37 °C (biogas plant Petrol d.d., Slovenia). Before the experiment, the microbial inoculum was pre-incubated for eight days at 37 °C. Thermophilic microbial inoculum was taken from CSTR operating at 55 °C (biogas plant Koto d.o.o., Slovenia) and was pre-incubated for eight days at 55 °C.

2. 3. Pretreatment of Microalgae Biomass

The temperature of 90 ºC was applied for thermal treatment of microalgae in this experiment. The selected temperature based on previous research reports.10 Microalgae were first thawed, thoroughly mixed and distributed into glass bottles of 250 ml. The bottles were closed with gas-tight rubber and aluminium stoppers. Thermal pretreatment of microalgae was conducted in water bath for three hours at 90 °C. Occasionally the bottles were mixed and vented.

Bacterial strain Pseudobutyrovibrio xylanivorans Mz5T (DSM 14809) originates from the microbial collection of the Department of Microbiology and Microbial Biotechnology at Biotechnical Faculty and was used for biological pretreatment of microalgae biomass. P. xylani- vorans Mz5T holds excellent cellulolytic, xylanolytic, amylolytic and pectinolytic activity.39,40 Due to these characteristics, P. xylani- vorans Mz5T was selected for biological pretreatment of microalgae.

The bacterium was cultured in DSMZ medium M330 (50 ml) and incubated overnight (∼20 h) at 37 °C. When the culture reached optical density (λ = 600 nm) 0,5 ± 0,05, it was centrifuged and the precipitate was anaero-bically transferred into 1 l batch reactors to pretreat microalgae biomass. Pretreatment was carried out for 24 hours at 37 °C (120 rpm), then microbial inoculum was added to the substrate.

2. 4. Experimental Setup of Biochemical Methane Potential (BMP) Assay

BMP assay was conducted to examine and determine the effect of different microalgae pretreatments on biogas and methane production. On the first day biological and thermal pretreatments were performed, but the BMP assay started the second day. Experimental setup was the same for both processes (mesophilic and thermophilic), as seen on Figure 1.

For biological pretreatment we incubated P. xylani- vorans Mz5T together with untreated microalgae (as described in chapter 2.3), for thermal pretreatment only thermally pretreated microalgae (as described in chapter 2.3) were added and for thermal-biological pretreatment we incubated P. xylani- vorans Mz5T with thermally pretreated microalgae. All pretreatments lasted for 24 hours, after which methanogenic microbial inoculum was added to the experimental bottles to start the anaerobic digestion.

Before experiments the appropriate loading of the bioreactors was determined by measuring TTS (total solids) and TVS (total volatile solids) for both microbial inoculums and chemical oxygen demand (COD) for microalgae.41 The microbial inoculum concentration for both experiments was 4 g TVS l⁻¹ and microalgae loading was 1,228 g TVS (144 ml).

Phosphate buffer (20 ml) and anoxic tap water were added to all experimental mixtures. Working volume for
all mixtures was 500 ml. Sole microbial inoculum served as a negative control for residual methanogenic activity. For positive control (standard), which represents the internal control for BMP assay, glucose was added as a substrate. Loading for standard mixtures was 0.748 g l⁻¹ (0.2 g COD glucose). While mixing all ingredients, anaerobic conditions were maintained by sparging gaseous nitrogen. Mixtures with autoclaved culture of *P. xylanivorans* Mz5 were tested to measure the medium’s nutrients and dead cell COD effect (negative control to experimental mixtures with live culture of MZ5) on biogas production. Both experiments were conducted in laboratory bioreactors (1 l) at 37 °C for mesophilic conditions and at 55 °C for thermophilic conditions. The bioreactors were kept in dark at 120 rpm for 46 days (thermophilic process) and 55 days (mesophilic process) with three replicate experimental mixtures.

In order to gain information on the cumulative biogas production in each mixture, after each measurement the volume of produced biogas was added to the sum of previous measurements. In presentation of the final results of biogas production the amount of generated biogas in negative control was also taken into account. To calculate the net quantity of the produced biogas (how much biogas was generated at the expense of the added substrate), the average amount of biogas produced in negative controls was subtracted from the production of the test mixtures. The same was done for cumulative methane production. The resulting methane yields were normalized to standard conditions as described by Hansen et. al (2004).

### 2.5. Analytical Methods

TTS and TVS of experimental mixtures were determined at the beginning (t₀) and the end (t₄₆ for thermophilic and t₅₅ for mesophilic process) of each experiment according to standard methods. COD was also performed, using closed reflux method. The pH-values of mixtures were measured at the beginning (t₀) and the end (t₄₆ for thermophilic and t₅₅ for mesophilic process) of each experiment.

The quantity and composition of produced biogas was determined 12 times during both processes. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) were monitored 4 times during both processes. The amount of produced biogas was measured manually with a pressure gauge and water column. The proportion of methane, carbon dioxide and nitrogen was monitored by Shimadzu 14A gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The separation of gases was carried out on a steel column (diameter 1/8”) filled with PORAPAK Q (Agilent). He-
Lium with flow rate of 25 ml min⁻¹ was used as a gas carrier. For analysis, we used the following program: injector temperature was 50 °C, column temperature 30 °C, detector temperature 80 °C, current was 60 mA. Standard mixture of gases (15,7% H₂, 18,7% N₂, 20,5% CH₄ and 45,1% CO₂) was used for calibration performed using the method of surface normalization. The resulting methane yields were normalized to standard conditions and expressed in normalized volume percentage.

Ether extraction of SCFAs was performed according to the adapted method. SCFAs were determined by GC equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). Helium was used as a gas carrier. For analysis, we used the following program: injector temperature was 250 °C, column initial temperature 80 °C, column final temperature 160 °C, detector temperature 250 °C, time of maintaining the initial column temperature was 2 minutes and time of final temperature maintenance was 4 minutes. Column was heated at a rate of 15 °C per minute. Quantification was performed by an internal standard method (crotonic acid, 100 mg ml⁻¹).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Biogas and Methane Production from Microalgae

##### 3.1.1. Mesophilic Process

The highest biogas production under mesophilic conditions resulted from thermal pretreatment of microalgae (TA) with the average production of 452,9 ml per 1 g TVSsubstrate. The lowest production was recorded in case of biological pretreatment of microalgae (P-MZ5), with the average production of 324,5 ml biogas per 1 g TVSsubstrate (Figure 2, A).

The highest methane production was recorded for mixtures with thermally pretreated microalgae (TA), with the average production of 273,2 ml of methane per 1 g TVSsubstrate (Figure 2, B). The average percentage of methane in biogas produced biogas (Table 3).

##### 3.1.2. Thermophilic Process

The maximal biogas production under thermophilic conditions was measured in case of microalgae biologically pretreated with bacteria *P. xylanivorans Mz5* (P-MZ5), with average biogas production of 406,2 ml per 1 g TVSsubstrate. Mixtures with untreated microalgae (A) and different other pretreatments produced from 317 to 386 ml of biogas per 1 g TVSsubstrate. The lowest production was measured in case of thermally pretreated microalgae (TA), with average production of 317,2 ml of biogas per 1 g TVSsubstrate (Figure 2, C).

The lowest production of methane was measured in case of untreated microalgae (A), with average production of 176,9 ml of methane per 1 g TVSsubstrate. The highest methane production was recorded for mixtures with biologically pre-treated microalgae (P-MZ5), with the average production of 279,9 ml of methane per 1 g TVSsubstrate (Figure 2, D). The average percentage of methane in biogas produced in thermophilic process on the last day of BMP assay represented 61,1%. The trend also showed that each of the pretreatments slightly increased the methane proportion in produced biogas (Table 3).

### Table 2. BMP assay results showing cumulative methane production (at standard conditions) per 1 g TVSsubstrate (ml) in every experimental mixture for mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic digestion of untreated, thermally, biologically and thermally-biologically treated microalgae. Legend: A – untreated microalgae, TA – thermally pretreated microalgae, P-MZ5 – biologically pretreated microalgae (Mz5 culture added), P-MZ5a – negative control for biologically pretreated microalgae (autoclaved Mz5 culture added), P-MZ5-T – thermally and biologically pretreated microalgae (Mz5 culture added), P-MZ5a-T – negative control for thermally and biologically pretreated microalgae (autoclaved Mz5 culture added).

| Bioreactor | Mesophilic process | Thermophilic process |
|------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| A          | 217,2              | 176,9                |
| TA         | 273,2              | 187,1                |
| P-MZ5      | 230,8              | 279,9                |
| P-MZ5a     | 238,6              | 242,4                |
| P-MZ5-T    | 254,1              | 231,7                |
| P-MZ5a-T   | 240,2              | 203,8                |

### Table 3. BMP assay results showing increase in biogas and methane production due to different methods of pretreatments in mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic digestion. Effects of pretreatments were reckoned according to the comparison in pairs (e.g. thermally treated microalgae to untreated microalgae, etc.). Differences of cumulative production of biogas and methane per 1 g TVSsubstrate due to pretreatment effects between pairs were later expressed in percentages.

| Pretreatment Method | Mesophilic process | Thermophilic process |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                     | CH₄ | Biogas | CH₄ | Biogas |
| Thermal pretreatment | 21% | 16% | 6% | 0% |
| Biological pretreatment | 0% | 0% | 13% | 5% |
| Thermal and biological pretreatment | 6% | 6% | 12% | 11% |
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pretreatments slightly increased the methane percentage in produced biogas (Table 3).

According to the literature, the thermophilic process shows 25–50% higher anaerobic activity compared to mesophilic.21 The temperature of anaerobic process affects the concentration and presence of individual SCFAs, which indicate that the accumulation of intermediates is in fact different under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. Research results indicate that this feature depends mainly on the composition of microbial communities.22,23 For optimal process, the concentration of acetic acid should not be higher than 2 g l⁻¹ and concentration of propionic acid higher than 0.9 g l⁻¹. Increased concentration of propionic acid is the most significant indication of process inhibition45 and occurs following the acetic acid accumulation.

The highest total concentration of SCFAs in this study under mesophilic conditions was 1.4 g l⁻¹ (up to 1.3

Figure 2. Cumulative biogas and methane production (at standard conditions) in mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic digestion of untreated, thermally, biologically and thermally-biologically treated microalgae. A) biogas production per 1 g TVSₘₜₜ (ml) under mesophilic conditions, B) methane production per 1 g TVSₘₜₜ (ml) under mesophilic conditions, C) biogas production per 1 g TVSₘₜₜ (ml) under thermophilic conditions, D) methane production per 1 g TVSₘₜₜ (ml) under thermophilic conditions. Legend: A – untreated microalgae, TA – thermally pretreated microalgae, P-MZ5 – biologically pretreated microalgae (Mz5 culture added), P-MZ5a – negative control for biologically pretreated microalgae (autoclaved Mz5 culture added), P-MZ5-T – thermally and biologically pretreated microalgae (Mz5 culture added), P-MZ5a-T – negative control for thermally and biologically pretreated microalgae (autoclaved Mz5 culture added).
g 1⁻¹ of acetic acid and up to 0.17 g 1⁻¹ of propionic acid). In case of thermophilic BMP assay the highest total concentration of SCFAs was 1.3 g 1⁻¹ (up to 1 g 1⁻¹ of acetic acid and up to 0.22 g 1⁻¹ of propionic acid). Acetic acid was the most abundant in all mixtures. SCFAs were within optimal concentration range during both experiments, with the lowest concentration at the end of BMP assays, demonstrating that anaerobic methanogenic degradation ran smoothly and with no inhibitory effects.

Optimum pH during anaerobic degradation varies between 6 and 8, with optimum value around pH = 7.5 for thermophilic process,46 and pH = 7 for mesophilic process.47 During our experiments the pH value ranged between 7.9 and 8.1 for mesophilic process and 7.8 and 8.2 for thermophilic process. Results were slightly higher than the optimal value, but still appropriate for stable biogas production.

Important parameter for determining the process activity is the reduction of the organic substance during anaerobic degradation. The content of TVS in thermophilic process has reduced by 22.3% and only by 9.0% in mesophilic process. The results indicate that the thermophilic anaerobic digestion is more efficient in decomposition of organic matter, which confirms the known facts about the thermophilic process.20

3. 2. Impact of Microalgae Pretreatments on Biogas and Methane Production

3. 2. 1. Thermal Pretreatment

Thermal pretreatment is recognized as possible and effective hydrolysis treatment for microalgae biomass. Higher temperature conditions stimulate cellulose and hemicellulose hydrolysis of algal cell wall components (mainly cellulose and hemicellulose), followed by formation and release of range of low molecular weight compounds (sugars, acids, etc.).38,48 Heat also disrupts the hydrogen bonds in crystalline cellulose, causing the biomass to swell.38 It was found, that bonds between and within the molecules forming the microalgae Scenedesmus cell walls were cleaved during the thermal pretreatment at 90 °C, which resulted in increased methane production by 2,2-fold with regard to untreated microalgae.10 It is also known that time period of pretreatment is less important, as the temperature itself.49 The same conclusion had the research of Marsolek et al., where culture of Nannochloropsis oculata was treated at different temperatures.50 Temperatures between 30 and 60 °C did not increase decomposition, yet treatment at 90 °C caused partial decomposition, which allowed up to 36% increase in biogas production. High temperatures disintegrate algae cells already after 30 minutes of pretreatment, proving that thermal treatment improves the cellular contents release into extracellular space.10

The results of our study show that thermal pretreatment in mesophilic (37 °C) BMP assay increased the biogas production by 16% and methane production by 21% in comparison to untreated microalgae (Table 3). Experimental results show that thermal pretreatment enables more efficient hydrolysis of microalgae cell wall compounds (especially cellulose and hemicellulose) and consequently releases more sugars for further efficient microbial transformation to biogas. The thermal pretreatment of microalgae also increased methane percentage in biogas and finally increased methane yield (Table 3). The results of BMP assay under thermophilic conditions (55 °C) did not show similar trends. Thermal pretreatment has not increased biogas production. Nevertheless, the methane production was increased, but only by 6% (Table 3). We can assume hypothetically, why thermal pretreatment has no significant effect on production in thermophilic process. One of the possible reasons may be the relatively low C:N ratio, which can lead to the release and consequent increase in concentration of free ammonium during the thermal pretreatment of microalgae.35 Since thermophilic process is performed at higher temperatures than the mesophilic process, the anaerobic degradation of thermally pretreated microalgae can further disintegrate damaged algae cells. That can lead towards ammonium release, too, and thus to partial inhibition of methanogenic activity.19 Koster et al. have demonstrated the impact of free ammonia on anaerobic microorganisms and discovered that it rapidly penetrates through the cell membrane, causes proton imbalance, lack of potassium (K⁺) and enzyme inhibition.51 From the results we have obtained in our study, we can conclude that thermal pretreatment of microalgae at 90 °C (three hours) for thermophilic process is unnecessary, since the methane yield is not significantly higher than the methane yield from raw and untreated microalgae.

3. 2. 2. Biological Pretreatment

Strains of genus Butyrivibrio represent a major proportion (10–30%) of bacteria in the rumen of domestic and wild cattle. Many bacterial species of the genus Butyrivibrio contribute to the decomposition of fiber in the rumen. Most strains synthesize xylanase, amylase and cellobextrinase, some also 1,4-β-endoglucanses that can decompose a wide range of polymers.39 P. xylanivorans Mz25 is a close relative of bacterial species of the genus Butyrivibrio. It is a Gram-negative anaerobic bacterium that synthesizes many hydrolytic enzymes and holds excellent enzymatic activities.39,40

The results of BMP assay under mesophilic conditions showed that biological pretreatment of microalgae did not affect the production of biogas or methane (Table 3). It may be that during biological pretreatment a part of presented substrate is used for the growth of the microorganism used for the biological treatment itself, resulting in a loss of monomeric organic compounds left for the following methane production. More tests are needed.
to explain this phenomenon. The results of BMP assay under thermophilic conditions were somewhat different. Biological pretreatment increased biogas production by 5% and methane production by 13% (Table 3). The results showed that bacterium *P. xylanivorans* Mz5T managed to break down a certain proportion of hemicellulose, cellulose and xylan molecules in microalgae cell walls. This provided easier nutrient access for methanogenic microbial community during the thermophilic process, what consequently influenced the increase in methane production.

In the case of biological treatment it is more meaningful if we add live hydrolytic bacteria in to the process, which constantly produce extracellular enzymes and allow the hydrolysis of the substrate (bioaugmentation). The effect of biological pretreatment of microalgae on biogas production is still poorly understood, mainly due to the complexity of the structure of cell walls and species diversity of microalgae. Substrate that was applied for BMP assays contained mixed culture of microalgae in which certain types predominate, but are also changing seasonally. Therefore it is difficult to accelerate the hydrolysis of cell walls with only one bacterial strain. Microalgae are very diverse, thus we should choose an appropriate microorganism for each type or mixed culture and adjust the process of anaerobic degradation accordingly.

### 3. 2. 3. Thermal-biological Pretreatment

We also tested the influence of the combined pretreatment (thermal-biological) of microalgae on biogas production by BMP assays. The above-mentioned pre-treatment showed no significant effect on biogas or methane production in mesophilic process (Table 3). Production of biogas and methane was increased by 6%. Results were similar when the microalgae were only biologically pretreated. Comparing all tested pretreatments (thermal, biological, thermal-biological) of microalgae to produce biogas and methane, we found that only thermal pretreatment maximizes production in mesophilic process. The results of BMP assay under thermophilic conditions showed that thermal-biological pretreatment increases the biogas production by 11% and methane production by 12% (Table 3). According to the results, combined pre-treatment of microalgae indicates stronger effect on thermophilic process than individual pretreatments. This result could be explained by the fact that during biological pretreatment a part of substrate presented after thermal pretreatment was used for the growth of the bacteria used for the biological treatment itself, resulting in loss of substrate in the system left for the following methane production. Although it is generally accepted that thermophilic anaerobic digestion is more efficient than mesophilic anaerobic digestion of the same substrate, our results have not proved that for microalgae. It has been calculated from data in Table 2 that the average cumulative biogas production in mesophilic process is more efficient for 2% and the average cumulative methane production for 9% (Table 2) than in thermophilic process. There were also differences in the methane yield, where the average yield of methane in mesophilic process was higher for 9% in comparison to thermophilic process (Table 3).

### 4. Conclusions

In order to accelerate anaerobic digestion we applied different types of pretreatments of microalgae. Following the obtained results, we can conclude that thermal pretreatment at 90 °C is the most effective method for increasing methane and biogas production under mesophilic conditions. Biogas production was increased by 16% and methane production by 21%. Biological pretreatment with bacterium *P. xylanivorans* Mz5T is the most effective method for increasing methane and biogas production under thermophilic conditions. Methane production was increased by 13%. Combined (thermal-biological) pretreatment showed the strongest effect in thermophilic process. Biogas production was increased by 11% and methane production by 12%. Further research should be carried out to determine which pretreatments are the most economical for individual biogas plant and which algae species are the best for biofuel production, before we could transfer the research to higher scale.
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Povzetek

Pri iskanju novih alternativnih virov energije ima velik potencial odpadna biomasa. V zadnjem času se pozornost presmerja tudi na neobičajne vire, na primer mikroalge, ki jih lahko uporabimo kot substrat za proizvodnjo bioplina v anaerobni razgradnji. Mikroalge imajo težko razgradljive celične stene, kar ovira učinkovitost anaerobnega procesa. Za pospešitev hidrolize in povečanje proizvodnje bioplina iz mikroalgal smo uporabili dva načina predobdelave – biološko in termično v mezofilnih in termofilnih pogojih. Pri biološkem načinu smo mikroalge pred poskusom inkubirali s hidrolitskimi bakterijami *Pseudobutyrivibrio xylanivorans* Mz5T. Pri termični predobdelavi smo mikroalge inkubirali pri 90 °C. Preizkusili smo tudi kombinirano termično-biološko predobdelavo, kjer smo mikroalge po termični obdelavi inkubirali s *P. xylanivorans* Mz5T. Termična predobdelava je v mezofilnem procesu povečala proizvodnjo metana za 21 %, v termofilnem procesu pa za 6 %. Biološka predobdelava mikroalgal je povečala proizvodnjo metana samo v termofilnih pogojih in sicer za 13 % (predobdelava v mezofilnem procesu ni imela večjega vpliva). Termično-biološka predobdelava je v termofilnih pogojih povečala proizvodnjo metana za 12 %, v mezofilnih pogojih pa za 6 %.