Abstract
This paper presents our approach to address the EACL WANLP-2021 Shared Task 1: Nuanced Arabic Dialect Identification (NADI). The task is aimed at developing a system that identifies the geographical location (country/province) from where an Arabic tweet in the form of modern standard Arabic or dialect comes from. We solve the task in two parts. The first part involves pre-processing the provided dataset by cleaning, adding and segmenting various parts of the text. This is followed by carrying out experiments with different versions of two Transformer based models, AraBERT and AraELECTRA. Our final approach achieved macro F1-scores of 0.216, 0.235, 0.054, and 0.043 in the four subtasks, and we were ranked second in MSA identification subtasks and fourth in DA identification subtasks.

1 Introduction
Spoken by about 500 million people around the world, Arabic is the biggest part of the Semitic language family. Being the official language of almost 22 countries belonging to the Middle East North Africa (MENA) region, it is not only an integral member of the six official UN languages, but also fourth most used language on the Internet (Guellil et al., 2019). Middle East contributes to 164 million internet users and North Africa contributes to 121 million internet users. Comparing with other languages, Arabic language has received little attention in modern computational linguistics, despite its religious, political and cultural significance. However, with rapid development of tools and techniques delivering state-of-the-art performance in many language processing tasks, this negligence is being taken care of.

The presence of various dialects and complex morphology are some of the distinguishing factors prominent in the Arabic language. Also, the informal nature of conversations on social media and the differences in Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) and Dialectical Arabic (DA), both significantly increase this complexity. While DA is used for informal daily communication, MSA is used for formal writing. Social media is the home for both of these forms, with the former being the most common form. Lack of data is the primary reason why many of the Arabic dialects remain understudied. With the availability of diverse data belonging to 21 Arab countries, this bottleneck can be diminished. The Nuanced Arabic Dialect Identification (NADI), with this goal, is the task of automatic detection of the source variety of a given text or speech segment.

Previously, on the lines of Arabic dialect identification, there have been approaches focusing on coarse-grained regional varieties such as Levantine or Gulf (Elaraby and Abdul-Mageed, 2018; Zaidan and Callison-Burch, 2014; Elfardy and Diab, 2013) or country level varieties (Bouamor et al., 2019; Zhang and Abdul-Mageed, 2019). There have been tasks that involved city level classification on human translated data (Salameh et al., 2018). Some tasks have focused on country and province level classification simultaneously (Abdul-Mageed et al., 2020).

In this paper, we present our process to tackle the WANLP-2021 Shared Task 1. The paper is organised in the following way: Section 2 presents the problem statement and details of the provided dataset. Section 3 describes a modularised process that we inculcate as part of methodology. Section 4 describes the experiments that were conducted, with detailed statistics about the dataset, system settings and results of these experiments. A brief conclusion of the paper with the potential prospects of our study are presented in Section 5.
2 Task Definition

The WANLP-2021 Shared Task 1 (Abdul-Mageed et al., 2021) is based on a multi-class classification problem where the aim is to recognize which country or province an Arabic tweet in the form of modern standard Arabic or dialect belongs to. The task targets dialects at the province-level, and also focuses on naturally-occurring fine-grained dialects at the sub-country level. The NADI 2021 task promotes efforts made towards distinguishing both modern standard Arabic (MSA) and dialects (DA) according to their geographical origin, focusing on fine-grained dialects with new datasets. The provided data comes from the domain of Twitter and covers 100 provinces from 21 Arab countries. The task is divided into 4 subtasks as described below:

Subtask 1.1: Country-level MSA identification
Subtask 1.2: Country-level DA identification
Subtask 2.1: Province-level MSA identification
Subtask 2.2: Province-level DA identification

The training dataset has a total of 21,000 tweet, validation and test datasets have 5,000 tweets each. Every example belongs to one of 100 provinces of 21 Arab countries. Additional 10M unlabeled tweets are provided that can be used in developing the systems for either or both of the tasks. F-score, Accuracy, Precision and Recall are the evaluation metrics. However, the official metric of evaluation is the Macro Averaged F-score.

3 Methodology

We present our methodology in two parts. The first part in the methodology is data preprocessing. This is followed by experimenting with different transformer based models for the task at hand. Both these parts have been described in detail in the following sub sections.

3.1 Data Pre-Processing

Transformer based models, that we plan to fine tune on our dataset, are pre-trained on processed rather than raw data. Owing to the variations in expression of opinions among users belonging to different parts of the world, the tweets fetched from the website are a clear representation of these variations. We find these variations on randomly checking the given examples in different forms. It is common for users to use slang words on the Twitter platform, and post non-ascii characters like emojis. Also, spelling errors, user mentions and URLs are prominent in tweets of most users. These parts within the tweets do not contribute to being informative towards deciding the geographical location of the tweet as they correspond to noise. Thus, the given dataset is cleaned in the following ways, so that the data used for fine tuning has a similar distribution to that used for the pre-training process:

1. Perform Farasa segmentation (for select models only) (Abdelali et al., 2016).
2. Replace all URLs with [رابط], emails with [بريد], mentions with [مستخدم], and usernames with [بريد].
3. Remove HTML line breaks and markup, unwanted characters like emoticons, repeated characters (>2) and extra spaces.
4. Insert whitespace before and after all non-Arabic digits or English digits and Alphabet and the 2 brackets, and between words and numbers or numbers and words.

3.2 Transformer Based Models

The domains of speech recognition (Graves et al., 2013) and computer vision (Krizhevsky et al., 2012) have largely utilised different deep learning techniques and produced significant improvements over the traditional machine learning techniques. In the domain of natural language processing, most deep learning based techniques until now utilised word vector representations (Bengio et al., 2003; Yih et al., 2011; Mikolov et al., 2013) for different classification tasks. Lately, transformer based approaches have shown significant progress towards many NLP benchmarks (Vaswani et al., 2017), including text classification (Chang et al., 2020), owing to their ability to build proficient language models. As an output of the pre-training process, embeddings are produced which are utilised for finer tasks.

3.2.1 AraBERT

AraBERT is an Arabic pretrained language model based on Google’s BERT architecture (Antoun et al.). There are six versions of the model: AraBERTv0.1-base, AraBERTv0.2-base, AraBERTv0.2-large, AraBERTv1-base, AraBERTv2-base and AraBERTv2-large. For these variations, the model parameters with respect to the pre-training process have been depicted in Table 1.
### Table 1: Model Pre-training Parameters

| Model            | Size  | Params | Pre-Segmentation | Dataset                        |
|------------------|-------|--------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| AraBERTv0.2-base | 543MB | 136M   | No               | 200M  77GB                    |
| AraBERTv0.2-large| 1.38G | 371M   | No               | 200M  77GB                    |
| AraBERTv2-base   | 543MB | 136M   | Yes              | 200M  77GB                    |
| AraBERTv2-large  | 1.38G | 371M   | Yes              | 200M  77GB                    |
| AraBERTv0.1-base | 543MB | 136M   | No               | 77M   23GB                    |
| AraBERTv1-base   | 543MB | 136M   | Yes              | 77M   23GB                    |

### 3.2.2 AraELECTRA

Being a method for self-supervised language representation learning, ELECTRA has the ability of making use of lesser computations for the task of pre-training transformers (Antoun et al., 2020). Similar to the objective of discriminator of a Generative Adversarial Network, ELECTRA models are trained with the goal of distinguishing fake input tokens from the real ones. On the Arabic QA dataset, AraELECTRA achieves state-of-the-art results.

For all new AraBERT and AraELECTRA models, the same pretraining data is used. The dataset that is used for pre-training, before the application of Farasa Segmentation, has a total of 82,232,988,358 characters or 8,655,948,860 words or 200,095,961 lines, and has a size of 77GB. Initially, several websites like OSCAR unshuffled and filtered, Assafir news articles, Arabic Wikipedia dump from 2020/09/01, The OSIAN Corpus and The 1.5B words Arabic Corpus, were crawled to create the pre-training dataset. Later, unshuffled OSCAR corpus, after thorough filtering, was added to the previous dataset used in AraBERTv1 without including the data from the above mentioned crawled websites to create the new dataset.

### 4 Experiments

We experiment with eight transformer based models using the given training and validation sets. We calculate the final test predictions by fine tuning the most efficient model, which is decided by the scores produced above, with the concatenated labeled training and validation splits. This is followed by evaluating the test set on this fine tuned model. This section presents the Country-level dataset distribution, system settings, results of our research followed by a descriptive analysis of our system.

### Table 2: Country Level Data Distribution

| Country       | DA Train | DA Dev | MSA Train | MSA Dev |
|---------------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Algeria       | 1809     | 430    | 1899      | 427     |
| Bahrain       | 215      | 52     | 211       | 51      |
| Djibouti      | 215      | 27     | 211       | 52      |
| Egypt         | 4283     | 1041   | 4220      | 1032    |
| Iraq          | 2729     | 664    | 2719      | 671     |
| Jordan        | 429      | 104    | 422       | 103     |
| Kuwait        | 429      | 105    | 422       | 103     |
| Lebanon       | 644      | 157    | 633       | 155     |
| Libya         | 1286     | 314    | 1266      | 310     |
| Mauritania    | 215      | 53     | 211       | 52      |
| Morocco       | 858      | 207    | 844       | 207     |
| Oman          | 1501     | 355    | 1477      | 341     |
| Palestine     | 428      | 104    | 422       | 102     |
| Qatar         | 215      | 52     | 211       | 52      |
| Saudi Arabia  | 2140     | 520    | 2110      | 510     |
| Somalia       | 172      | 49     | 346       | 63      |
| Sudan         | 215      | 53     | 211       | 48      |
| Syria         | 1287     | 278    | 1266      | 309     |
| Tunisia       | 859      | 173    | 844       | 170     |
| UAE           | 642      | 157    | 633       | 154     |
| Yemen         | 429      | 105    | 422       | 88      |

### Table 3: Parameter Values

| Parameter               | Value |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Learning Rate           | 1e-5  |
| Epsilon (Adam optimizer)| 1e-8  |
| Maximum Sequence Length | 256   |
| Batch Size (for base models) | 40   |
| Batch Size (for large models) | 4    |
| #Epochs                 | 5     |
| Model          | Subtask 1.1 |           | Subtask 1.2 |           | Subtask 2.1 |           | Subtask 2.2 |           |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
|               | F1          | A         | F1          | A         | F1          | A         | F1          | A         |
| AraBERTv0.1-base | 0.283       | 0.324     | 0.338       | 0.390     | 0.024       | 0.028     | 0.025       | 0.037     |
| AraBERTv0.2-base | 0.300       | 0.344     | 0.382       | 0.427     | 0.038       | 0.042     | 0.035       | 0.051     |
| AraBERTv0.2-large | 0.304      | 0.343     | 0.362       | 0.413     | 0.022       | 0.030     | 0.029       | 0.041     |
| AraBERTv1-base | 0.281       | 0.318     | 0.306       | 0.377     | 0.032       | 0.040     | 0.019       | 0.033     |
| AraBERTv2-base | 0.309       | 0.347     | 0.389       | 0.432     | 0.029       | 0.038     | 0.034       | 0.048     |
| AraBERTv2-large | 0.315       | 0.346     | 0.416       | 0.450     | 0.001       | 0.010     | 0.001       | 0.010     |
| AraELECTRA-base-generator | 0.106     | 0.231     | 0.165       | 0.285     | 0.005       | 0.018     | 0.006       | 0.022     |
| AraELECTRA-base-discriminator | 0.192   | 0.281     | 0.280       | 0.375     | 0.007       | 0.020     | 0.006       | 0.026     |

Table 4: Validation Set Results

|        | M-F1 | A    | P    | R    |
|--------|------|------|------|------|
| Subtask 1.1 | 0.216 | 0.317 | 0.321 | 0.189 |
| Subtask 1.2 | 0.235 | 0.433 | 0.280 | 0.233 |
| Subtask 2.1 | 0.054 | 0.060 | 0.061 | 0.060 |
| Subtask 2.2 | 0.043 | 0.053 | 0.044 | 0.051 |

Table 5: Test Set Results

4.1 Dataset
The country-wise distribution of the provided training and validation splits, for both the tasks of MSA and DA, are shown in Table 2.

4.2 System Settings
We make use of pre-trained AraBERT and AraELECTRA models, with the names of bert-base-arabert, bert-base-arabertv01, bert-large-arabertv2, bert-base-arabertv2, bert-large-arabertv02, bert-base-arabertv02, araelectra-base-generator and araelectra-base-discriminator for fine-tuning the transformer based models. We use hugging-face\(^1\) API to fetch the pre-trained transformer based models, and then fine tune the same on our dataset. The hyper parameters used for fine tuning these models have been specified in Table 3.

4.3 Results and Analysis
For all subtasks, the performance results of proposed models on the provided validation set with reference to accuracy(A) and weighted F1 scores(F1) are shown in Table 4.

From Table 4, we conclude that:

1. For most of the subtasks, one of the base models performs almost as good as the best performing large model.

2. AraELECTRA models seem to perform worse than all AraBERT models, possibly due to their specialization in handling GAN related tasks, which are different from classification based tasks.

3. AraBERTv2-large out performs all other models for subtasks 1.1 and 1.2. For subtasks 2.1 and 2.2, AraBERTv0.2-base produces the best results on the validation set.

From the above results, we choose AraBERTv2-large for subtasks 1.1, 1.2 and AraBERTv0.2-base for subtasks 2.1, 2.2 to be the primary models to fine tune on the concatenated training and validation set as well as carry out inferences on the unseen dataset. The final test set results in terms of Macro F1 Score(M-F1), Recall(R), Accuracy(A) and Precision(P) are specified in Table 5.

5 Conclusion and Future Work
In this paper, we present a comprehensive overview of the approach that we employed to solve the EACL WANLP-2021 Shared Task 1. We tackle the given problem in two parts. The first part involves pre processing the given data by modifying various parts of the text. The second part involves experimenting with different versions of two Transformer based networks, AraBERT and AraELECTRA, all pre-trained on Arabic text. Our final submissions for the four subtasks are based on the best performing version of AraBERT model. With Macro Averaged F1-Score as the final evaluation criteria, our approach fetches a private leaderboard rank of 2 for MSA identification and 4 for DA identification. In the future, we aim to utilise other features relevant for classification tasks like URLs, emoticons, and experiment with ensembles of transformer based and word vector based input representations.

\(^1\)https://huggingface.co/transformers/
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