

We present the supersymmetric extension of the recently constructed $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory – the manifestly U-duality covariant formulation of the untruncated ten- and eleven-dimensional supergravities. This theory is formulated on a $(3+248)$ dimensional spacetime (modulo section constraint) in which the extended coordinates transform in the adjoint representation of $E_{8(8)}$. All bosonic fields are $E_{8(8)}$ tensors and transform under internal generalized diffeomorphisms. The fermions are tensors under the generalized Lorentz group $SO(1,2) \times SO(16)$, where $SO(16)$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $E_{8(8)}$. Vanishing generalized torsion determines the corresponding spin connections to the extent they are required to formulate the field equations and supersymmetry transformation laws. We determine the supersymmetry transformations for all bosonic and fermionic fields such that they consistently close into generalized diffeomorphisms. In particular, the covariantly constrained gauge vectors of $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory combine with the standard supergravity fields into a single supermultiplet. We give the complete extended Lagrangian and show its invariance under supersymmetry. Upon solution of the section constraint the theory reduces to full D=11 or type IIB supergravity.
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# 1 Introduction

Exceptional field theories provide an E\(_{n(n)}\) covariant formulation of supergravity which unites eleven-dimensional supergravity and type IIB supergravity in a common framework \([1–4]\). This formulation gives a natural explanation for the exceptional symmetries known to appear upon dimensional reduction of supergravity theories \([5–7]\). It is based on the underlying symmetry algebra of E\(_{n(n)}\) generalized diffeomorphisms on an internal spacetime whose coordinates are embedded into representations of the underlying exceptional groups (together with the associated section constraints) \([8,9]\). Action functionals invariant under these generalized diffeomorphisms consistently reproduce subsectors of eleven-dimensional supergravity \([8,10,11]\). Coupling of
external tensor fields and further imposing invariance under diffeomorphisms on the external part of spacetime determines a unique action functional – the exceptional field theory – which depending on the solution of the section constraint reproduces the full eleven-dimensional supergravity and full type IIB supergravity, respectively. For the lower rank groups $E_5(5) = D_5(5)$, $E_4(4) = A_4(4)$, $E_3(3) = A_2(2) \otimes A_1(1)$, and $E_2(2) = A_1(1) \otimes \mathbb{R}^+$, these theories have been constructed in [12–15]. Remarkably, this construction uniquely reproduces the full bosonic sectors of the higher-dimensional supergravities without any reference to the fermionic field content and supersymmetry. Nevertheless these actions can be supersymmetrized with fermions transforming under the maximal compact subgroups $K(E_{n(n)})$. For $E_{7(7)}$ and $E_{6(6)}$ the supersymmetric completions have been worked out in [16–17].

The exceptional field theory based on the group $E_{8(8)}$ appears to differ in some respects from its lower-rank cousins. In the physical context the special role of $E_{8(8)}$ is often assigned to the ‘dual graviton barrier’. Upon dimensional reduction to three dimensions, the Kaluza-Klein vectors are dualized into scalar fields in order to exhibit the full duality group. As a result, the scalar sector of the dimensionally reduced theory carries degrees of freedom descending from the higher dimensional ‘dual graviton’ [18–21]. While this dualization goes through straightforwardly for the free vector fields of the dimensionally reduced theory, their non-abelian gauge structure in presence of the higher Kaluza-Klein modes requires a modification of the standard dualization procedure. On the formal side, this is reflected by the fact that the $E_{8(8)}$ generalized diffeomorphisms do not close into an algebra [8, 9] which has obstructed a straightforward extension of the constructions for the lower-rank groups. In $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory this is taken care of by the appearance of an extra gauge symmetry in the commutator of two generalized diffeomorphisms, such that the combined algebra closes and allows for the construction of a gauge invariant action functional which in turn reproduces the full higher-dimensional supergravities [4]. In particular, the realization of this extra gauge symmetry takes the form of constrained $E_{8(8)}$ rotations and requires the introduction of an additional (constrained) gauge connection $B_{\mu, M}$ which is invisible in the dimensionally reduced theory. This extra gauge symmetry is in fact a generic feature of exceptional field theories but for the lower rank groups it only kicks in at the higher-rank $p$-forms. More specifically, the theories associated to the $E_{n(n)}$ groups exhibit such extra constrained gauge symmetry among the $(9 - n)$-forms. Only for $E_{8(8)}$ this symmetry comes down to the vector fields and becomes an integral part of the algebra of generalized diffeomorphisms.

In this paper, we construct the supersymmetric completion of the $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory. The theory is defined on a $(3+248)$-dimensional generalized spacetime. In addition to the usual dependency in spacetime (‘external’) coordinates $x^\mu$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2$, all fields and gauge parameters formally depend also on extended coordinates $Y^M$, $M = 1, \ldots, 248$, transforming in the adjoint representation of $E_{8(8)}$. As usual, not all of these internal coordinates are physical. This is taken care of by the $E_{8(8)}$ covariant section constraints,

$$\eta^{MN} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0, \quad f^{MNK} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0, \quad (\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{MN} K \partial_K \otimes \partial_L = 0,$$

(1.1)

where $\eta^{MN}$ and $f^{MNK}$ are respectively the Cartan-Killing form and the structure constants of $E_{8(8)}$ (see appendix [A] for more details on the conventions used throughout this paper), and $\mathbb{P}_{3875}$ is the projector onto the irreducible representation $3875$ in the tensor product of two
adjoint representation

$$248 \otimes 248 = 1 \oplus 248 \oplus 3875 \oplus 27000 \oplus 30380 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

explicitly given by

$$(P_{3875})_{NLK}^{MK} = \frac{1}{7} \delta(N^M \delta_L^K) - \frac{1}{56} \eta^{MK} \eta_{NL} - \frac{1}{14} f^P_{\ N} f^L_{\ K} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

The bosonic sector of the theory combines an external three-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ (or dreibein $e_\mu^a$), an internal frame field $V_{M}^{\ K}$, parametrizing the coset space $E_{8(8)}/SO(16)$, and gauge connections $A_\mu^M$ and $B_\mu^M$ associated with generalized internal diffeomorphisms and constrained $E_{8(8)}$ rotations, respectively. Fermions enter the theory as spinors under the $SO(16)$ generalized Lorentz group and transform as weighted scalars under generalized diffeomorphisms. Specifically, under $SO(16)$, the gravitinos $\psi_\mu^I$ and fermions $\chi^A$ transform in the fundamental vector $16$ and spinor $128_c$ representations, respectively. Their couplings require the introduction of spin connections

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
SO(1,2) & D_\mu & D_M \\
SO(16) & \omega_\mu^{ab} & \omega_M^{ab} \\
& Q_\mu^{IJ} & Q_M^{IJ}
\end{array},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

in the external and internal coordinates, and for the two factors of the generalized Lorentz group, respectively. In the external sector, the $SO(1,2)$ connection $\omega_\mu^{ab}$ is defined by the usual vanishing of external torsion according to

$$D[A, \omega][\mu e_\nu]^a = 0 \iff \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

where (in contrast to standard geometry) derivatives are also covariantized w.r.t. internal generalized diffeomorphisms under which the dreibein $e_\mu^a$ transforms as a weighted scalar. For the internal sector on the other hand, the generalized Christoffel connection is $\epsilon_{8(8)}$ valued

$$\Gamma_{MN}^{\ K} = \Gamma_{M,L} f^{LK}_{\ N} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)

and the proper condition of vanishing torsion amounts to the projection condition

$$[\Gamma_{MN}]_{1\oplus 3875} = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.7)

within the tensor product (1.2). As for the lower-rank exceptional groups [8,23] this condition together with a generalized vielbein postulate turns out to determine the internal SO(16) spin connection $Q_M^{IJ}$ up to contributions that drop out from all equations of motion and supersymmetry transformations of the theory. The off-diagonal blocks in (1.4) are determined by demanding that the algebra-valued currents

$$J_M^{ab} = e^{c\mu} D[\omega]_M e_\mu^b , \hspace{1cm} J_\mu = \eta^{-1} D[A, B, Q]_\mu V \in \epsilon_{8(8)} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.8)

of the external and internal frame fields live in the orthogonal complement of the Lorentz algebra within $GL(3) \times E_{8(8)}$:

$$J_M \big|_{so(1,2)} = 0 , \hspace{1cm} J_\mu \big|_{so(16)} = 0 .$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.9)
The spin connections (1.4) are the central object for the description of fermionic couplings and supersymmetry transformation rules in $E_{8(8)} \times SO(16)$-covariant form. In this paper, we construct the unique supersymmetric completion of the bosonic $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory from [4]. Upon explicit solution of the section condition (1.1), the resulting Lagrangian reduces to full $D = 11$ supergravity and the IIB theory, respectively, for appropriate reformulations of these theories, as pioneered in [24–26].

The supersymmetric completion in particular underlines the role of the extra constrained gauge connection $B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}}$ which joins the other fields in an irreducible supermultiplet and whose variation contributes to the supersymmetry invariance of the resulting action. Although its supersymmetry variation is given by some non-covariant expression, remarkably it turns out that the following combination of variations

$$\Delta_\epsilon B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} = \delta_\epsilon B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} - \Gamma_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}} \delta_\epsilon A_{\mu,\mathcal{N}},$$

(1.10)

takes a tensorial form

$$\Delta_\epsilon B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} = -2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} \epsilon^I \psi^I_{\mu} - \bar{\epsilon}^I \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} \psi_{\mu}^I \right) + i c \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho} g^{\sigma \tau} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} (\bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^\tau \psi_{\sigma}^I),$$

(1.11)

with supersymmetry parameter $\epsilon^I$. The structure of the r.h.s. is such that it exhibits the full internal spin connection $Q_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}}$, however its undetermined parts precisely cancel against the corresponding contributions from the Christoffel connection $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}}$ on the l.h.s., such that the net variation $\delta_\epsilon B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}}$ is uniquely determined and compatible with the constraints this connection is subject to.

The paper is organized as follows. After a brief review of the symmetry structure of bosonic $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory, we construct the necessary tools such as an internal fully covariant derivative and the $SO(1,2) \otimes SO(16)$ spin connections needed to describe the coupling to fermions and supersymmetry transformation rules. We discuss their generalized curvatures whose components provide the building blocks for the bosonic field equations. In section 3, we then analyze the algebra of supersymmetry transformations and show that its closure into the bosonic symmetries of the theory entirely determines all the supersymmetry transformations. Finally, in section 4 we present the fermionic completion of the bosonic Lagrangian and prove its invariance under supersymmetry.

## 2 $E_{8(8)} \times SO(16)$ exceptional geometry

### 2.1 $E_{8(8)}$ generalized diffeomorphisms

Let us start by a brief review of the field content and symmetry structures of $E_{8(8)}$ bosonic exceptional field theory. For details, we refer to [4]. The field content is given by

$$\{ e^a_\mu, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{M}^K, A^{\mathcal{M}}, B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} \},$$

(2.1)

i.e. external and internal frame fields together with gauge connections $A^{\mathcal{M}}$, $B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}}$. The ‘dreibein’ $e^a_\mu$ defines the external metric $g_{\mu \nu} = \eta^{ab} e^a_\mu e^b_\nu$. The ‘248-bein’ $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{M}^K$ is the internal analogue of the dreibein and parametrises the coset space $E_{8(8)}/SO(16)$. Under $SO(16)$,
the collective index $K$ splits according to the decomposition of the algebra
\[ \mathfrak{e}_{8(8)} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(16) \oplus 128_s, \] (2.2)
into the adjoint and the spinor of SO(16), i.e.
\[ \mathcal{V}_M^K = \{ \mathcal{V}^{IJ}_M, \mathcal{V}^A_M \}, \] (2.3)
satisfying $\mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} = \mathcal{V}_M^{[IJ]}$ with SO(16) vector indices $I, J = 1, \ldots, 16$, and spinor indices $A, B = 1, \ldots, 128$. In the same way the dreibein defines the external metric, the generalized vielbein defines the internal metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN}$
\[ \mathcal{M}_{MN} = \mathcal{V}_M^K \mathcal{V}_N^L \delta_{KL} = \mathcal{V}_M^A \mathcal{V}_N^A + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} \mathcal{V}_N^{IJ}, \] (2.4)
in terms of which the bosonic theory can be formulated. The inverse 248-bein then is given by
\[ (\mathcal{V}^{-1})_M^N = \{ \mathcal{V}_B^M, -\mathcal{V}_I^M \} = \{ \eta^{MN} \mathcal{V}_N^B, -\eta^{MN} \mathcal{V}_N^I \}, \] (2.5)
where
\[ \mathcal{V}_M^A \mathcal{V}_B^M = \delta^A_B, \quad \mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} \mathcal{V}_K^{KL} = -2 \delta_{KL}^{IJ}. \] (2.6)
Throughout, we raise and lower adjoint indices $M, N, \ldots$, with the Cartan-Killing form $\eta_{MN}$. Finally, the 248-bein is an $E_{8(8)}$ group-valued matrix, which results in the standard decomposition of the Cartan form
\[ (\mathcal{V}^{-1})_K^M \partial_M \mathcal{V}_N^K = \frac{1}{2} q M^{IJ} (X_{IJ})_K^L \partial_L + p M^A (Y^A)_K^L, \] (2.7)
where $X_{IJ}$ and $Y^A$ denote the compact and non-compact generators of $E_{8(8)}$, respectively. With the explicit expressions for the structure constants in the SO(16) basis from (A.1), one finds the internal currents
\[ q M^{IJ} = \frac{1}{64} \Gamma^{IJ}_{BA} \mathcal{V}^N_B \partial_M \mathcal{V}^A_N, \quad p M^B = -\frac{1}{120} \Gamma^I_{BA} \mathcal{V}^N_A \partial_M \mathcal{V}^{IJ}_N, \] (2.8)
which will be our building blocks for the internal spin connection and later the Ricci scalar. This sums up the basic properties of the generalized vielbein.

The local symmetries of this exceptional field theory are generalized internal diffeomorphisms, constrained $E_{8(8)}$ rotations, and external diffeomorphisms with respective parameters $\Lambda^M, \Sigma_M,$ and $\xi^\mu$. Let us first review the generalized internal diffeomorphisms. The generalized Lie derivative acting on a vector $W^M$ of weight $\lambda_W$ is defined by
\[ \mathbb{L}_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} W^M = \Lambda^K \partial_K W^M - 60 \mathbb{P}^{MN} \chi^N \partial_M \Lambda^N W^M + \lambda_W \partial_N \Lambda^N W^M - \Sigma_L f^{MN} \partial_L W^N, \] (2.9)
Here $\mathbb{P}^{MN}$ projects onto the adjoint representation $248$ and guarantees compatibility with the $E_{8(8)}$ structure, c.f. the explicit expression (A.3). The weight $\lambda_W$ of the various fields in the theory coincides with the three-dimensional Weyl weight of the fields, i.e. weight 2 and 0 for the external and internal metrics $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{MN}$, respectively, and weights 1 and 0 for the gauge connections $A_\mu^M$ and $B_\mu^M$, respectively. Fermions come with half-integer weight. This is summarized for all fields in Table I.

---

1 See appendix A for more details on the relevant group and algebra conventions.
\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Field & $e^a \gamma^\mu$ & $\psi^\mu$ & $A^M \gamma^\mu$ & $\Lambda^M \gamma^\mu$ & $B^M \gamma^\mu$, $\Sigma_M$ & $\chi^A$ & $\psi^I$, $\epsilon^I$ \\
\hline
Weight ($\lambda$) & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & $-\frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{1}{2}$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Weights of all fields and gauge parameters under generalized diffeomorphisms.}
\end{table}

Unlike the lower-rank $E_{n(n)}$ cases with $n \leq 7$, the generalized Lie derivative (2.9) depends on two parameters, $\Lambda^M$ and $\Sigma_M$, with the latter being subject to the section condition (1.1), i.e.
\[
(P_{3875})_{MN^K} \Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_L = 0 = (P_{3875})_{MN^K} \Sigma_K \otimes \partial_L, \quad \text{etc.} \tag{2.10}
\]
This is needed together with the section constraints (1.1) in order to ensure closure of the full symmetry algebra. Schematically, we have an algebra
\[
[\delta(\Lambda_1, \Sigma_1), \delta(\Lambda_2, \Sigma_2)] = \delta(\Lambda_{12}, \Sigma_{12}), \tag{2.11}
\]
with notably the gauge parameter $\Sigma_{12}$ given by
\[
\Sigma_{12,M} = -2 \Sigma_{[2,M} \partial_{N} \Lambda_{1]}^N + 2 \Lambda_{[2}^N \partial_{N} \Sigma_{1,M} - 2 \Sigma_{[2}^N \partial_{M} \Lambda_{1]}^N + f^{N,KL} \Lambda_{[2}^K \partial_{M} \partial_{N} \Lambda_{1]}^L, \tag{2.12}
\]
confirming that the $\Lambda$ transformations do not close among themselves.

Before we describe the associated gauge connections and curvatures, let us make a small digression to discuss connections and torsion compatible with the generalized diffeomorphisms (2.9). For an algebra-valued connection
\[
\Gamma_{MN^K} = \Gamma_{M,L} f^{LK}_{\quad N}, \tag{2.13}
\]
the fact that pure $\Lambda$-transformations do not close into an algebra implies that the naive definition of torsion as
\[
\bar{T}(\Lambda, W)^{M} = \bar{T}_{MN^K} \Lambda^N W^K = \mathbb{L}^N_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} W^{M} - \mathbb{L}^{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} W_{M}, \tag{2.14}
\]
does no longer define a tensorial object. Here, $\mathbb{L}^N$ refers to generalized Lie derivatives (2.9) with partial derivatives replaces by covariant ones $\nabla = \partial - \Gamma$. Following [22], this suggests to rather define torsion as the part of the Christoffel connection that transforms covariantly under the generalized diffeomorphisms. With the transformation of (2.13) under (2.9) given by
\[
\delta(\Lambda, \Sigma) \Gamma_{MN} = \delta^{\text{cov}}_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} \Gamma_{MN} + f^{Q,N}_{\quad P} \partial_P \partial_P \Lambda^Q + \partial_P \Sigma_N, \tag{2.15}
\]
projection onto its irreducible $E_{8(8)}$ representations according to (1.2) shows that only its components in the $1 \oplus 3875$ transform as tensors under (2.9). The proper definition of a torsionless connection thus corresponds to the condition
\[
[\Gamma_{MN}]_{\quad 1 \oplus 3875} = 0, \tag{2.16}
\]
which can be made explicit with the form of the projector (A.4). Let us note that such a torsionless connection gives rise to the identity
\[
\mathbb{L}^{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} W^{M} = \mathbb{L}^N_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} W^{M}, \tag{2.17}
\]
with $\bar{\Sigma}_M = \Sigma_M - \Gamma_{M,N} \Lambda^N$. 

With the r.h.s. of (2.17) manifestly covariant, this shows that the combination \( \tilde{\Sigma}^M \) behaves as a tensorial object under generalized diffeomorphisms. In this sense it may appear more natural to parametrize generalized diffeomorphisms in terms of the parameters \((\Lambda, \tilde{\Sigma})\). The disadvantage of using \( \tilde{\Sigma} \) w.r.t. the original formulation is the fact that the constraint (2.10) which \( \Sigma^M \) has to satisfy, takes a much less transparent form when expressed in terms of \( \tilde{\Sigma} \) since the connection \( \Gamma^M_{\mu\nu} \) in general will not be constrained in its first index and will not even be fully determined by covariant constraints. For the description of generalized diffeomorphisms we thus have the choice between a description with covariant parameters \( \Lambda^M, \tilde{\Sigma}^q \) and a description in terms of parameters \((\Lambda, \Sigma)\) in terms of which the constraints (2.10) are well defined and easily expressed. We will in general stick with the latter but observe that the existence of the covariant combination \( \tilde{\Sigma}^M \) gives rise to some compact reformulations of the resulting formulas.

The various terms of the bosonic action are constructed as invariants under the generalized internal Lie derivatives (2.9). In the full theory, the gauge parameters \( \Lambda^M, \Sigma^M \) depend not only on the internal \( Y^M \) but also on the external \( x^\mu \) coordinates. From the three-dimensional perspective, these symmetries are implemented as (infinite-dimensional) gauge symmetries, such that external derivatives are covariantized with gauge connections \( A^M_\mu, B^M_\mu \)

\[
D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \overline{\Pi}(A_\mu, B_\mu) ,
\]

In accordance with (2.10), the connection \( B^M_\mu \) is constrained to obey the same constraints as the gauge parameter \( \Sigma^M \). The commutator of the covariant derivatives (2.18) closes into the field strengths

\[
[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -\Pi(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} , \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}) ,
\]

with

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M = 2 \partial_\mu A^M_\nu - 2 A^M_\nu \partial_\mu A^M_\nu + 14 (p_{3875})^{MN_{\kappa\lambda}} A_{[\mu} \, \Lambda^{N]_{\kappa\lambda}} + \frac{f^{MN}}{2} f^P_{\kappa\lambda} A_{[\mu} \Lambda^K_{N]P} \] 

\[
\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^M = 2 D_{[\mu} B_{\nu]}^M - f^{N_{\kappa\lambda}} A_{[\mu} \Lambda^K_{N]P} \partial_{[\kappa} A_{\lambda]}^P + \ldots .
\]

The ellipsis denote additional two-form terms required for the proper transformation behavior of the field strengths, c.f. (2.23) below. As required for consistency, the section constraints (1.1) ensure that all these terms drop from the commutators of covariant derivatives where the field strengths are contracted with particular differential operators according to (2.19). Moreover, all the two-form terms drop out from the bosonic Lagrangian.

Under gauge transformations

\[
\delta_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} A^M_\mu = D_\mu \Lambda^M ,
\]

\[
\delta_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} B^M_\mu = D_\mu \Sigma^M - \Lambda^N \partial_{[\kappa} B_{\nu]}^M + f^{N_{\kappa\lambda}} A_{[\mu} \Lambda^K_{N]P} \partial_{[\kappa} A_{\lambda]}^P ,
\]

\[2\] The existence of the covariant combination \( \tilde{\Sigma}^M \) may suggest to impose \( \tilde{\Sigma} = 0 \) in order to reduce the number of independent gauge parameters \( \Lambda^M, \Sigma^M \) while preserving closure of the algebra. In view of the constraints (2.10), this is only possible in case the connection \( \Gamma^M_{\mu\nu} \) is identified with the Weitzenböck connection \( \partial_\nu \mathcal{L} = (\nu^{-1})^{\lambda\kappa} f_{\lambda\kappa} \) which itself is constrained in the first index. We will in the following keep both gauge parameters \( \Lambda^M, \Sigma^M \) independent which seems important for the supersymmetric extension.
(where just as the associated gauge connections, the parameters \( \Lambda^M \) and \( \Sigma_M \) carry weight 1 and 0 under (2.9), respectively, c.f. Table 1, the full field strengths (2.20) transform according to

\[
\delta_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} F_{\mu \nu}^M = \mathbb{L}_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} F_{\mu \nu}^M ,
\]

\[
\delta_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} (G_{\mu \nu}^M - \Gamma_{M, N} F_{\mu \nu}^N) = \mathbb{L}_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} (G_{\mu \nu}^M - \Gamma_{M, N} F_{\mu \nu}^N) ,
\]

(2.23)

i.e. not the \( G_{\mu \nu}^M \) but only the combination \( \tilde{G}_{\mu \nu}^M \equiv G_{\mu \nu}^M - \Gamma_{M, N} F_{\mu \nu}^N \) behaves as a tensor under (2.9). This reflects the tensorial structure (2.17) of generalized diffeomorphisms. Pushing this structure further ahead, we are led to introduce the general ‘covariant’ variation of the connection \( B_{\mu M} \) as

\[
\Delta B_{\mu M} = \delta B_{\mu M} - \Gamma_{M, N} \delta A_\mu^N ,
\]

c.f. (1.10), in order to cast the gauge transformations (2.21) into the more compact form

\[
\delta_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} A_\mu^M = D_\mu \Lambda^M ,
\]

\[
\Delta_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} B_{\mu M} = D_\mu \tilde{\Sigma}_M + \Lambda^N D_\mu \Gamma_{M, N} ,
\]

(2.24)

with \( \tilde{\Sigma}_M \) from (2.17). This will turn out to be very useful in the following.

### 2.2 Section constraints

Since the section constraints (1.1) play a central role in the construction of the exceptional field theory, for the coupling of fermions it will be useful to spell out the decomposition of these constraints under the subgroup \( \text{SO}(16) \) according to (2.2). With the \( \mathfrak{e}_{8(8)} \) representations of (1.1) decomposing as

\[
1 \oplus 248 \oplus 3875 \rightarrow 1 \oplus 120 \oplus 128 \oplus 135 \oplus 1820 \oplus 1920_c ,
\]

(2.26)

the section constraints take the explicit form

\[
\dot{M}^{MN} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 2 \gamma^M A \gamma^N A \partial_M \otimes \partial_N ,
\]

\[
\gamma^M K[I] \gamma^N[J] K \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = -\frac{1}{4} \Gamma^n_{CD} \gamma^M C \gamma^N D \partial_M \otimes \partial_N ,
\]

\[
\gamma^M[I] \gamma^N[J] A \Gamma^n_{AB} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0
\]

\[
\gamma^M K[I] \gamma^N[J] K \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = -\frac{1}{16} \delta^{IJ} \gamma^M K \gamma^N K \partial_M \otimes \partial_N ,
\]

\[
\gamma^M[I] \gamma^N[J] KL \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = -\frac{1}{24} \Gamma^n_{CD} \gamma^M C \gamma^N D \partial_M \otimes \partial_N ,
\]

\[
\Gamma^J_{AA} \gamma^M[I] \gamma^N[J] A \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = -\frac{1}{16} \gamma^M K \gamma^N L \partial_M \otimes \partial_N ,
\]

(2.27)

which we will use in the following. Following the above discussion, the same algebraic constraints hold for derivatives \( \partial_M \) replaced by the gauge connection \( B_{\mu M} \) or its gauge parameter \( \Sigma_M \).

Let us recall from [4] that these section constraints allow for (at least) two inequivalent solutions which break \( \mathbb{E}_{8(8)} \) to \( \text{GL}(8) \) or \( \text{GL}(7) \times \text{SL}(2) \), and in which all fields depend on only eight or seven among the 248 internal coordinates \( Y^M \), respectively. The resulting theory then coincides with the bosonic sector of \( D = 11 \) and type IIB supergravity, respectively.
2.3 Spin connections

Fermions enter the theory as spinors under the SO(1, 2) \times SO(16) generalized Lorentz group and transform as weighted scalars under generalized diffeomorphisms. Their couplings thus require four different blocks of the spin connection

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\omega_\mu & \omega_M \\
Q_\mu & Q_M
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(2.28)

that ensure covariance of both external and internal derivatives under SO(1,2) and SO(16), respectively. Via the generalized vielbein postulates

\[
0 = \nabla_\mu e_\nu^a = D_\mu e_\nu^a + \omega_\mu^{ab} e_\nu^b - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho e_\rho^a ,
\]

\[
0 = \nabla_M V_N^K = \partial_M V_N^K - \frac{1}{2} Q_M^{IJ} (X_{IJ})_K^L V_N^L - \Gamma_{MN}^P V_P^K ,
\]

(2.29)

for the external and internal frame fields, the spin connections relate to the external and internal Christoffel connections

\[
\{ \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho, \Gamma_{MN}^K \} .
\]

(2.30)

Starting with the external sector, the SO(1,2) connection \( \omega_\mu^{ab} \) is defined by the vanishing torsion condition of the external Christoffel connection

\[
\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\rho = 0.
\]

(2.31)

This leads to the standard expression for the spin connection in terms of the objects of anholonomity \( \Omega_{abc} = 2 e_{[a}^\rho e_{b]}^\nu D_\mu e_{\nu c} \), where however derivatives are covariantized according to (2.18) with the dreibein transforming as a scalar of weight 1 under (2.9). The external SO(16) connection on the other hand is defined by imposing that the external current

\[
(J_\mu)^K_L = (V^{-1})_L^N [D[A, Q]]_\mu V_N^K ,
\]

(2.32)

lives in the orthogonal complement of \( \mathfrak{so}(16) \) within \( \mathfrak{e}_{8(8)} \):

\[
J_\mu = P_\mu^A Y^A .
\]

(2.33)

In analogy to (2.8) this yields the explicit expressions

\[
Q_\mu^{IJ} = \frac{1}{64} \Gamma_{BA}^{IJ} V_B^N D_\mu V_N^A , \quad P_\mu^B = - \frac{1}{120} \Gamma_{BA}^{IJ} V_N^A D_\mu V_N^{IJ} ,
\]

(2.34)

with covariant derivatives from (2.18). According to their definition, the currents \( P_\mu \) and \( Q_\mu \) satisfy Maurer-Cartan integrability conditions

\[
2 D_{\mu[P_\nu]}^A = - F_{\mu\nu}^M P_{MA} + V_\nu^A D P_{\mu[M} F_{\nu N]} + G_{\mu\nu} M_{N} V_{M A} ,
\]

(2.35)

\[
Q_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} = 2 \partial_{[\mu} Q_{\nu]}^{IJ} + 2 Q_\mu^K [I Q_\nu^J]_K \\
= - F_{\mu\nu}^M q_{M}^{IJ} + V_\nu^I J D_{\mu[M} F_{\nu N]} + G_{\mu\nu} M_{N} V_{M IJ} - \frac{1}{2} P_\mu^A P_\nu^B \Gamma_{AB}^{IJ} ,
\]

(2.36)
W.r.t. the integrability relations of $D = 3$ supergravity [27], these relations represent a deformation with additional terms in field strengths due to the introduction of the gauge fields $A_{\mu}^M$ and $B_{\mu}^M$. We will see in the next section how these terms take a manifestly covariant form.

In the fermionic sector, the full external covariant derivatives acting on the SO(1,2) × SO(16) spinors of the theory are given by

\[
\mathcal{D}_\mu \psi^I = D_\mu \psi^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi^I + Q_{\mu}^{IJ} \psi^J ,
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}_\mu \chi^\hat{A} = D_\mu \chi^\hat{A} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \chi^\hat{A} + \frac{1}{4} Q_{\mu}^{IJ} \Gamma_{\hat{A}B} \chi^B ,
\]

for spinors $\psi^I$ and $\chi^\hat{A}$ transforming in the 16 and 128 of SO(16), respectively. Under generalized internal diffeomorphisms (2.9), the spinors $\psi^I$ and $\chi^\hat{A}$ transform as scalars of weight $1/2$ and $-1/2$, respectively, and the derivatives $D_{\mu}$ in (2.37) are covariantized accordingly.

Now, let us turn to the internal sector. Similar to (2.33) we derive the internal SO(1,2) spin connection by demanding that the internal current

\[
(J_M)^{ab} = e^{b\mu} D_\mu [\omega]_M e^a ,
\]

lives in the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{so}(1,2)$ within $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$

\[
(J_M)^{ab} \equiv \pi_M^{(ab)} .
\]

Explicitly, this yields

\[
\omega_M^{ab} = e^{[a} \tilde{\omega}_M e^{b]} .
\]

In order to define the internal SO(16) connection, we recall that the proper condition of vanishing torsion in the internal sector is given by setting to zero the tensorial part (2.16) of the Christoffel connection $\Gamma_{MN}^K$. Via (2.29) this condition determines a large part of the SO(16) connection. More precisely, the counting goes as follows [22]: decomposition of (2.16) into SO(16) irreducible representations

\[
1 \oplus 3875 \rightarrow 1 \oplus 135 \oplus 1820 \oplus 1920_c ,
\]

specifies the representation content of the vanishing torsion conditions. On the other hand, the various components of the SO(16) connection $(Q_M)^{IJ}$ live in the SO(16) representations

\[
Q_{KL}^{IJ} : 120 \otimes 120 = 1 \oplus 120 \oplus 135 \oplus 1820 \oplus 5304 \oplus 7020 ,
\]

\[
Q_A^{IJ} : 120 \otimes 128_s = 128_s \oplus 1920_c \oplus 13312_s .
\]

Comparison to (2.41) exhibits which SO(16) components of $(Q_M)^{IJ}$ are not fixed by imposing vanishing torsion. For practical purposes, these undetermined parts $120 \oplus 128_s \oplus 135 \oplus 5304 \oplus 7020 \oplus 13312_s$ do not pose a problem as they drop out of all physically relevant quantities such as the supersymmetry transformations, the Lagrangian etc., a property that all known supersymmetric exceptional field theories share.
Concretely, the four irreducible components \((2.41)\) of the torsion-free condition \((2.16)\) take the form

\[
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{IJ,JJ} + \Gamma_{A,A} &= 0, \\
-\Gamma_{M(I,J)M} - \frac{1}{16} \delta_{IJ} \Gamma_{MN,MN} &= 0, \\
\Gamma_{[IJ,KL]} + \frac{1}{24} \Gamma_{AB}^{IJKL} \Gamma_{A,B} &= 0, \\
\Gamma_{AA}^{J} (\Gamma_{IJ,A} + \Gamma_{A,IJ}) + \frac{1}{16} (\Gamma^{MN} \Gamma^{I})_{AA} (\Gamma_{MN,A} + \Gamma_{A,MN}) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

\((2.43)\)

To explicitly solve these equations \((2.43)\), we use \((2.29)\), to express the internal Christoffel connection in terms of derivatives of the vielbein \(\Gamma_{M,A}^{\nu} \). Then, combining these equations with \((2.43)\) translates conditions on the Christoffel connection into conditions on the spin connection. The solution for the SO(16) spin connection is then found to be

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{M}^{IJ} &= \mathcal{V}_{M}^{A} Q_{A}^{IJ} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{M}^{KL} Q_{KL}^{IJ}, \\
Q_{IJKL} &= \frac{3}{364} \Gamma_{AB}^{IJKL} p_{KL,B} + \frac{1}{60} \mathcal{V}_{M}^{B} \Gamma_{AB}^{IJKL} \Gamma_{M,N}^{MN} + (R_{13312})_{A}^{IJ},
\end{align*}
\]  

\((2.46), (2.47)\)

c.f. [22], in terms of the Cartan forms \((2.8)\), whose first indices we have ‘flattened’ with the 248-bein \(\mathcal{V}_{M}^{K}\). The contributions \(U_{IJ,KL}, (R_{13312})_{A}^{IJ}\) in \((2.47)\) are constrained by

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{IJ,KL} &= U_{[IJ],[KL]}, \\
(R_{13312})_{A}^{IJ} &= (R_{13312})_{A}^{[IJ]}, \\
\Gamma_{AA}^{I} (R_{13312})_{A}^{IJ} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

\((2.48)\)

and not determined by the vanishing torsion condition, in accordance with \((2.42)\). The undetermined parts in the \(120 \oplus 128\) in \((2.47)\) have been expressed via the trace \(\Gamma_{N,M}^{N}\) of the Christoffel connection. The latter can be fixed by imposing as an additional condition that the determinant of the external vielbein \(e \equiv \det e_{\mu}^{a}\) be covariantly constant

\[
\nabla_{M} e \equiv \partial_{M} e - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{N,M}^{N} e \equiv 0, \quad \implies \quad \Gamma_{N,M}^{N} = \frac{2}{3} e^{-1} \partial_{M} e.
\]  

\((2.49)\)
To summarize, the full internal covariant derivative act on an $E_{8(8)} \times SO(16)$ tensor $X_M^I$ of weight $\lambda_X$ as

$$\nabla_M X_N^I \equiv \partial_M X_N^I + Q_M^{IJ} X_N^J - \Gamma_M^{JK} X_K^I - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_X \Gamma_K M^K X_N^I,$$  

(2.50)

with the connections defined by (2.47) and (2.45), respectively. This covariant derivative transforms as a generalized tensor of weight $\lambda = \lambda_X - 1$ under generalized diffeomorphisms. In particular, for the spinor fields of the theory, the covariant internal derivatives take the form

$$\nabla_M \psi^I_\mu = \partial_M \psi^I_\mu + Q_M^{IJ} \psi^J_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \omega_M^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi^I_\mu - \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_K M^K \psi^I_\mu,$$

$$\nabla_M \chi^A = \partial_M \chi^A + \frac{1}{4} Q_M^{IJ} \Gamma^{I J} A B \chi^B + \frac{1}{4} \omega_M^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \chi^A + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_K M^K \chi^A.$$

(2.51)

We conclude this section with a collection of the different covariant derivatives we have used and will use throughout this paper:

$$D_\mu = D[A]_\mu,$$

$$D_\mu = D[A, \omega, Q]_\mu,$$

$$\nabla_\mu = \nabla[A, \omega, Q]_\mu,$$  

$$\nabla_M = \nabla[\omega, Q]_M,$$  

(2.52)

where $A_\mu^M$ is the gauge field associated with generalized diffeomorphisms symmetry and the four blocks of the spin connection $\omega_\mu, Q_\mu, \omega_M, Q_M$ defined in (2.31), (2.34), (2.40), (2.47), respectively.

### 2.4 Curvatures

Having defined the various components of the spin connection (2.28), we can now discuss their curvatures which will be the building blocks for the bosonic Lagrangian and field equations. Moreover, we will require a number of identities for the commutators of covariant derivatives in order to prove the invariance of the full Lagrangian under supersymmetry.

Let us start with the commutator of two external covariant derivatives on an $SO(1,2) \times SO(16)$ spinor $\epsilon^I$ which is obtained straightforwardly from (2.37)

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] \epsilon^I = -F_{\mu \nu}^M \partial_M \epsilon^I - \frac{1}{2} \partial_M F_{\mu \nu}^M \epsilon^I + Q_{\mu \nu}^{IJ} \epsilon^I + \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu \nu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon^I,$$  

(2.53)

with the field strength of the gauge field $A_\mu^M$ introduced in (2.20), the usual external Riemann curvature defined by

$$R_{\mu \nu}^{ab} = 2D_{[\mu} \omega_{\nu]}^{ab} + 2 \omega_{[\mu}^{ac} \omega_{\nu]} c^{b},$$  

(2.54)

(with covariant derivatives (2.18)), and its analogue $Q_{\mu \nu}^{IJ}$ from (2.36) for the $SO(16)$ external spin connection. As the commutator of two external covariant derivatives, the left-hand side of (2.53) is covariant whereas this is not manifest from the r.h.s.. Embedding the internal
derivatives on the r.h.s. into full covariant derivatives (2.51), the commutator can be rewritten as

\[ [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \epsilon^I = -\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \nabla_M \epsilon^I \frac{1}{2} \nabla_M \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \epsilon^J + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon^I \]

\[ + Q_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M Q_{\mathcal{M}}^{IJ} \epsilon^J \]  

(2.55)

with the improved Riemann tensor \( \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \omega_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \). The latter is covariant under local SO(1,2) Lorentz transformations, shows up in the gravitational field equations and whose contraction in particular gives rise to the improved Ricci scalar

\[ \mathcal{R} = e_{\alpha}^\mu e_{\beta}^{\nu} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \]  

(2.56)

that is part of the bosonic action. With the first line of (2.55) now manifestly covariant, the second line can be rewritten upon using the explicit expression (2.36) for \( Q_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} \) such that the commutator takes the manifestly covariant form

\[ [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \epsilon^I = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_\mu^A \mathcal{P}_\nu^B \Gamma_{AB}^{IJ} \epsilon^J + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon^I \]

\[ + \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^M \mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} \epsilon^J - \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \nabla_M \epsilon^I - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_M \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \epsilon^I \]  

(2.57)

with the tensorial combination of field strengths \( \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^M \) from (2.23). Similarly, one may rewrite the second integrability relation (2.35) into the manifestly covariant form

\[ 2 \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\nu^A = \mathcal{V}^A f_{PMN} \nabla_M \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^N + \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^M \mathcal{V}_M^{A} \]  

(2.58)

We now turn to the mixed curvature, arising from the commutators of one external and one internal covariant derivatives. We will only be interested in those projections of this commutator, in which the undetermined part of the SO(16) connection drops out. Fortunately, they are the projections relevant to prove the invariance of the Lagrangian under supersymmetry. Evaluating different projections of such a commutator on an SO(1,2) x SO(16) spinor \( \epsilon^I \), we obtain the relations

\[ \mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} \left[ \nabla_M, \mathcal{D}_\mu \right] \epsilon^I = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} \Gamma_{AA} I J \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon^I \]

\[ - \frac{3}{4} \Gamma_{AA} I J \mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} \nabla_M \mathcal{P}_\mu^A \epsilon^J + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma_{AA} I J \mathcal{V}_M^{IJ} \nabla_M \mathcal{P}_\mu^A \epsilon^K \]  

(2.59)

where the mixed curvature tensor is defined by

\[ \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{\nu\rho} = e_{\alpha}^\nu e_{\beta}^{\rho} \left( \partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}^{ab} - \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}^{ab} \right) = \left( \partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu}^{\nu} \right) g^{\rho \sigma} \]

(2.60)

One can show it constitutes a tensor under generalized diffeomorphisms (2.9), and satisfies a Bianchi identity

\[ \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{\nu\rho} = 0 \]  

(2.61)
Its contraction to a ‘mixed Ricci tensor’ yields the following current
\[ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}\nu}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{M}}^\mu \equiv e_a^\mu e_b^\nu \left( \partial_M \omega^{ab} - \mathcal{D}_\nu \left( e^{[a} \partial_M e^{b]} \right) \right), \] (2.62)
which is related to the improved Ricci scalar \((2.56)\) by variation w.r.t. the vector fields
\[ \delta_A \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{M}}^\mu \delta A^\mathcal{M} + \nabla_M \mathcal{J}_A^M + \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{J}_A^\mu, \] (2.63)
up to a boundary currents \(\mathcal{J}_A^M, \mathcal{J}_A^\mu\) of respective weights \(\lambda_\mathcal{J} = -1, \lambda_\mathcal{I} = -2\), that do not contribute under the integral.

Finally, for the internal curvature, we are again interested in specific projections of two internal covariant derivative in which the undetermined part of the connection drops out. The pertinent projection for the definition of an internal curvature scalar \(\mathcal{R}\) in the \(E_8(8) \times SO(16)\) exceptional geometry is given by
\[ (16 \mathcal{V}^M_{KI} \mathcal{V}^N_{JK} + 2 \mathcal{V}^M_{\mathcal{A}L} \mathcal{V}^\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{J}K} \delta_{\mathcal{I}J} + 2 \Gamma_{AB}^I \mathcal{V}^M_A \mathcal{V}^N_B) \nabla_M \nabla_N \epsilon^I = \] \[ = -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{R} \epsilon^I + \mathcal{V}^M_{KI} \mathcal{V}^N_{JK} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{V}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon^I. \] (2.64)
On the l.h.s. the double derivative terms vanish by means of the section constraints \((2.27)\), while a straightforward computation shows that also all linear derivative terms \(\partial_M \epsilon^I\) cancel. The curvature of the internal spin connection on the r.h.s. is defined in analogy to \((2.54)\) and computed to be
\[ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}N}^{ab} = 2 \partial_M \omega_{[N]}^{ab} + 2 \omega_M^{ac} \omega_{N]c}, \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{2} e^{[a} e^{b]} \nu g^{\sigma\tau} \nabla_M g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_N g_{\sigma\tau}. \] (2.65)
Upon using the expressions for the \(SO(16)\) spin connection \((2.47)\), the internal curvature scalar \(\mathcal{R}\) in \((2.64)\) can be calculated explicitly in terms of the Cartan forms \((2.8)\) and the derivative of the external vielbein determinant \(e\) as
\[ \mathcal{R} = -\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{V}^{MN} e^{-2} \partial_M e \partial_N e + \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{V}^{MN} e^{-1} \partial_M \partial_N e + \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{V}^{M} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{IJ} \Gamma_{AB}^{IJ} \partial_M \partial_N e \]
\[ + \mathcal{V}^{M} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{IK} \Gamma_{AB}^{IJ} \partial_M \partial_N e - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{V}^{M} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{IJ} \mathcal{V}^{KL}_{AB} \partial_M \partial_N e \]
\[ + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V}^{M} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{AB} \Gamma_{AC}^{IJ} \Gamma_{BD}^{IJ} \partial_M \partial_N e. \] (2.66)
By construction it transforms as a scalar (of weight \(\lambda_\mathcal{R} = -2\)) under generalized diffeomorphisms \((2.9)\). Its dependence on the external metric is such that
\[ \delta(e \mathcal{R}) = (\delta e) \mathcal{R} + \text{total derivatives}. \] (2.67)

The other relevant projection of two internal derivatives on a spinor is given by
\[ (12 \mathcal{V}^{M}_{\mathcal{A}L} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{IK} \Gamma_{AA}^{IJ} + \left( \Gamma_{AA}^{IK} + 2 \Gamma_{AA}^{I} \delta_{JK} \right) \partial_M \partial_N \epsilon^I = \] \[ = \frac{1}{8} \Gamma_{AA}^{IJ} \mathcal{R}_A \epsilon^I + \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{V}^{M}_{\mathcal{A}L} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{IK} \left( \Gamma_{AA}^{IK} - 14 \delta^{IK} \Gamma_{AA}^{I} \right) \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}N}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon^K. \] (2.68)
where again all double derivatives on the l.h.s. vanish due to the section constraints. The generalized curvature $\mathcal{R}_A$ on the r.h.s. plays the analogue of a Ricci tensor in this geometry and is most conveniently defined by variation of the curvature scalar $\mathcal{R}$ w.r.t. to a non-compact local $\varepsilon_{8(8)}$ transformation of the internal frame field, i.e.

$$
\delta_\Sigma \mathcal{R} = \Sigma^A(Y) \mathcal{R}_A + \nabla_M \mathcal{J}_E^M, \quad \text{under} \quad \delta_\Sigma \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^A \Sigma^A(Y). \quad (2.69)
$$

up to a boundary current $\mathcal{J}_E^M$ of weight $\lambda_{\mathcal{J}_E} = -1$. It can be explicitly given in terms of the Cartan forms (2.8) as

$$
\mathcal{R}_A = \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{AB} \mathcal{V}_N B \delta_{M} \epsilon \hat{\partial}_{N} e^{-2} + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{AB} \Gamma_{CD} \mathcal{V}_N P \mathcal{V}_B M C \mathcal{V}_C D - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{AB} \mathcal{V}_M \mathcal{V}_N B \mathcal{V}_B M C \mathcal{V}_C C - 2 \Gamma_{AB} \mathcal{V}_M \mathcal{V}_N C P M A \mathcal{V}_C D P N B + \frac{23}{16} \Gamma_{AB} \Gamma_{CD} \mathcal{V}_M \mathcal{V}_N C P M D P N B + 2 \Gamma_{AB} \mathcal{V}_M \mathcal{V}_N C P M B \mathcal{V}_C D P N C \left(-4 \mathcal{V}_A \mathcal{V}_B - 3 \delta_{AB} \mathcal{V}_C \mathcal{V}_N - \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{AB} \mathcal{V}_M \mathcal{V}_N C \mathcal{V}_N P + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{AC} \Gamma_{BD} \mathcal{V}_C D \mathcal{V}_M D \right) \left(\hat{\partial}_{(M} P_N \right)^B + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{IJ} q_{(M} P_N \right) C \right). \quad (2.70)
$$

This expression above is given in compact form, after simplification by various Fierz-like identities, some of which are collected in appendix B.

### 3 Supersymmetry algebra

In this section we establish the supersymmetry transformation of the various fields and verify that the supersymmetry algebra closes. Before discussing supersymmetry, we briefly review the bosonic symmetries of $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory, since these are the transformations we are going to recover in the commutator of two supersymmetry transformations.

#### 3.1 Bosonic symmetries of $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory

In section 2.1 we have extensively discussed the structure of internal generalized Lie derivatives which depend on two parameters $\Lambda^M$ and $\Sigma_M$ with associated gauge connections $A_{\mu}^M$ and $B_{\mu,M}$. A closer analysis [4] shows that these gauge connections come with additional shift symmetries which take the form

$$
\delta_\Xi A_{\mu}^M = \partial_\xi \Xi_{\mu \varepsilon 3875}^{MK} + \eta^{MN} \Xi_{\mu N} + f_{MN}^{\varepsilon 3875} \Xi_{\mu K},
$$

$$
\delta_\Xi B_{\mu,M} = \partial_\xi \Xi_{\mu N} + \partial_\xi \Xi_{\mu,M}^N. \quad (3.1)
$$

Here, the symmetry parameter $\Xi_{\mu \varepsilon 3875}^{MN}$ lives in the projection of the two adjoint indices $M,N$ onto the $3875$ representation, explicitly realized by (A.4). The parameter $\Xi_{\mu N}$ is constrained in the same way as the fields $B_{\mu,M}$ and $\Sigma_M$, c.f. (2.10). Similarly, the parameter $\Xi_{\mu N}^K$ is
constrained as (2.10) in its first internal index $N$. It is straightforward to check that the shift symmetries (3.1) leave the covariant derivatives (2.18) invariant. More precisely, they correspond to the tensor gauge transformations associated to the two-form gauge fields that complete the vector field strengths $\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}^M$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mu \nu}^M$ into fully covariant objects, but drop out from the Lagrangian of the theory.

Apart from the internal gauge symmetries, the full set of bosonic symmetries also includes a covariantized version of the (2+1)-external diffeomorphism with the parameter $\xi^\mu$ depending on both set of coordinates $\{x^\mu, Y^M\}$. On the bosonic fields these act as:

$$
\delta_\xi e^a_\mu = \xi^\nu D_\nu e^a_\mu + D_\mu \xi^\nu e^a_\nu ,
\delta_\xi M_{MN} = \xi^\nu D_\nu M_{MN} ,
\delta_\xi A^M_\mu = -2 \mathcal{Y}^A (\epsilon e^\nu_{\mu \rho} \xi^\nu \mathcal{P}^\rho A + \mathcal{Y}^N A g_{\mu \nu} \nabla_N \xi^\nu) ,
\Delta_\xi B_{\mu, M} = -\epsilon e^\nu_{\mu \rho} \left( g^{\rho \lambda} D_\nu (g_{\lambda \sigma} \nabla_M \xi^\sigma) - \xi^\nu \mathcal{J}^\rho_{\mu, M} \right) ,
$$

(3.2)

where the variation of $B_{\mu, M}$ is given in terms of the current $\mathcal{J}^\rho_{\mu, M}$ introduced in (2.62) and most compactly expressed via the general covariant variation $\Delta B_{\mu, M}$ introduced in (2.24). With (2.24), (2.45), and the explicit form of $\delta_\xi A^M_\mu$ it is straightforward to verify that the variation $\delta_\xi B_{\mu, M}$ is uniquely determined and compatible with the constraints (2.10) this connection satisfies. The external diffeomorphisms (3.2) take the expected form for the frame fields $e^a_\mu$, $M_{MN}$. In contrast, for the gauge connections $A^M_\mu$, $B_{\mu, M}$, they relate only on-shell to the standard diffeomorphism transformation of gauge fields.

### 3.2 Closure of the supersymmetry algebra

Let us now move on to the fermionic fields and the supersymmetry algebra. In addition to the bosonic fields introduced in section 2, the supersymmetric completion of the E$_8(8)$ exceptional field theory contains the following spinor fields: sixteen gravitinos $\psi^I_\mu$ as well as 128 matter fermions $\chi^A$, transforming in the vector and spinor representation of SO(16), respectively. With respect to generalized diffeomorphisms, they transform as scalar densities with half-integer weights given in Table 1. We are working in the Majorana representation and mostly minus signature, i.e. spinors are taken to be real and SO(1,2) gamma matrices $\gamma_\mu$ purely imaginary, c.f. [28] for our spinor conventions. In particular, we use $\gamma_{\mu \nu \rho} = -i \epsilon e_{\mu \nu \rho}$. 

---

3 W.r.t. the form of these transformations given in [4], we have expressed the current bosonic current $j^\rho_{\mu, M}$ by the coset current $\mathcal{P}^\rho_{\mu, M}$, see (4.4) below, and furthermore changed the vector transformations by a shift transformation (3.1) with parameter $\Xi_{\mu, M} = -g_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{E}_{M} \xi^\nu$, in order to obtain a more compact presentation of the external diffeomorphisms. Also some signs differ from the formulas in [4] due to the fact that in this paper we use mostly minus signature (+−−) for the external metric.
In this section, we present the supersymmetry transformation rules

\[ \delta e^\mu_a = i \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^a \psi^I_\mu, \quad \mathcal{V}^{-1} \delta \mathcal{V} = \Gamma^I_{AA} \bar{\chi}^A \epsilon^I Y^A, \]

\[ \delta \psi^I_\mu = D_\mu \epsilon^I + 2 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} \nabla_M (i \gamma_\mu \epsilon^J) + 2 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} i \gamma_\mu \nabla_M e^J, \]

\[ \delta \chi^A = i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \epsilon^I \Gamma^J_{AA} \bar{D}_\mu - 2 \mathcal{V}^{JM} \Gamma^J_{AA} \nabla_M e^J, \]

\[ \delta A_{\mu}^M = - 4 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} i \epsilon^I \psi^J_\mu + 2 \Gamma^I_{AA} \mathcal{V}^{JM} \bar{\epsilon}^I i \gamma_\mu \chi^A , \]

\[ \Delta \epsilon B_{\mu, M} = - 2 (\nabla_M \epsilon^I \psi^J_\mu - \bar{\epsilon}^I \nabla_M \psi^J_\mu) + e \varepsilon_{\mu \rho \sigma} \nabla_M (\bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^\rho \psi^J_\sigma), \quad (3.3) \]

and show its algebra closes into generalized diffeomorphisms and gauge transformations. The bosonic transformations (first and fourth line) precisely coincide with the supersymmetry transformations of standard D=3 supergravity with all fields now living on the exceptional space-time. The fermionic transformation rules on the other hand have been modified w.r.t. the three-dimensional theory with the addition of term containing internal covariant derivatives \( \nabla_M \) introduced in section 2.3. As in higher dimensions, the supersymmetry transformation rules only carry specific projections of these covariant derivatives, such that the undetermined part in the SO(16) connection \( Q_{\mathcal{M}}^{IJ} \) drops out. The supersymmetry variations of the gauge connection \( B_{\mu, M} \) finally have no analogue in the three-dimensional theory and are entirely determined from closure of the supersymmetry algebra. Although its r.h.s. is such that not all undetermined parts of the SO(16) connection \( Q_{\mathcal{M}}^{IJ} \) drop out, these terms precisely cancel the corresponding contributions from the Christoffel connection in the covariant variation on the l.h.s.. The resulting variation \( \delta \epsilon B_{\mu, M} \) is uniquely determined and compatible with the constraints this field has to satisfy.

As a first test, we use this ansatz to calculate the commutator of two supersymmetry transformations on the dreibein \( e^\mu_a \) to obtain

\[ [\delta_{\epsilon_1}, \delta_{\epsilon_2}] e^\mu_a = e \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^a \left( D_\mu \epsilon^I + 2 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} \nabla_M (i \gamma_\mu \epsilon^J) + 4 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} i \gamma_\mu \nabla_M e^J \right) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \]

\[ = D_\mu \left( \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^a \epsilon_1^J \right) - 4 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \epsilon_1^J \nabla_M e^a + \nabla_M \left( -4 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \epsilon_1^J \right) e^a \]

\[ - 4 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} \left( \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^{ab} \nabla_M \epsilon_1^J - \nabla_M \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^{ab} \epsilon_1^J \right) e_{\mu b} \]

\[ = D_\mu (\epsilon^a \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^a \epsilon_1^J) + \Lambda^M \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \epsilon_1^J + \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \epsilon_1^J \Lambda^M e^a + \bar{\Omega}^{ab} e_{\mu b}. \quad (3.4) \]

The first term reproduces the action of covariantized external diffeomorphisms, the second and third term describe the action of internal generalized diffeomorphisms on the dreibein, and the last term is an SO(1,2) Lorentz transformation, with the respective parameters given by

\[ \xi^a = i \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^a \epsilon_1^J, \]

\[ \Lambda^M = -4 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \epsilon_1^J, \]

\[ \bar{\Omega}^{ab} = -4 \mathcal{V}^{IJ} \left( \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^{ab} \nabla_M \epsilon_1^J - \nabla_M \bar{\epsilon}_2^I \gamma^{ab} \epsilon_1^J \right) + \Lambda^M \omega^M_{ab}. \quad (3.5) \]

Similarly, one can show closure of the supersymmetry algebra on the 248-bein. Using (3.3),
we find the commutator

\[ \mathcal{V}^{A}_{B} [\delta_{\epsilon_{1}}, \delta_{\epsilon_{2}}] \mathcal{V}^{M}_{N} = \left( -\frac{i}{2} F_{\mu}^{C} \Gamma_{CA}^{J} \bar{\epsilon}_{1}^{J} \gamma_{\mu} - 2 \mathcal{V}^{N}_{C} \Gamma_{CA}^{J} \nabla_{N} \bar{\epsilon}_{1}^{J} \right) \epsilon_{2}^{I} (Y^{A})_{KL}^{B} \]

- (1 \leftrightarrow 2)

\[ = \xi^{\mu} p_{\mu}^{A} (Y^{A})_{KL}^{B} + 60 \mathcal{V}^{A}_{B} \bar{\mathcal{V}}^{N}_{M} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{KL} \nabla_{N} \xi^{\mu} \]

- 2 \mathcal{V}^{A}_{B} \left( \nabla_{N} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{1} \epsilon_{1}^{1} - \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{1} \nabla_{N} \epsilon_{1}^{1} \right) f^{NP} \mathcal{V}^{P}_{KL} , \quad (3.6) \]

with the adjoint projector from (A.3). We recognize the first term as the action of external
diffeomorphisms with parameter \( \Lambda^{L} \) when parametrized covariantly as in (2.17) (note
that the transport term \( \Lambda^{N} \nabla_{N} \mathcal{V}^{KL}_{M} \) vanishes due to the vielbein postulate (2.29)).

The last term thus describes the covariantized \( E_{8(8)} \) that the transport term \( \Lambda^{N} \nabla_{N} \mathcal{V}^{KL}_{M} \)

As a consistency check, it is straightforward to verify that although the expression for the pa-
rameter (3.7) carries the full internal SO(16) spin connect

dation (2.10). Also on the gauge field \( A_{\mu}^{M} \) we obtain closure of the supersymmetry algebra by a standard
calculation which gives the explicit result

\[ [\delta_{\epsilon_{1}}, \delta_{\epsilon_{2}}] A_{\mu}^{M} = -4 \mathcal{V}^{M}_{IK} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} \left( D_{\mu} \epsilon_{1}^{K} + 2 \mathcal{V}^{N}_{KJ} \nabla_{N} (i \gamma_{\mu}) \epsilon_{1}^{J} + 4 \mathcal{V}^{N}_{KJ} i \gamma_{\mu} \nabla_{N} \epsilon_{1}^{J} \right) \]

\[ + 2 \Gamma_{A}^{I} \mathcal{V}^{M}_{A} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \left( \frac{i}{2} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{1}^{J} D_{A}^{\nu} B - 2 \mathcal{V}^{N}_{B} \Gamma_{A}^{J} \nabla_{N} \epsilon_{1}^{J} \right) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \]

\[ = D_{\mu} A^{M} + \nabla_{N} (-16 i \mathcal{V}^{M}_{KJ} (\mathcal{V}^{A}_{J} i \gamma_{\mu}) \epsilon_{1}^{J}) \]

\[ + 8 i f^{MN}_{K} \mathcal{V}^{K}_{IJ} \left( \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \nabla_{N} \epsilon_{1}^{J} - \nabla_{N} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \epsilon_{1}^{J} \right) \]

\[ - 2i \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon_{\mu \rho \sigma} \mathcal{V}^{A}_{D \rho} A_{\nu} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \epsilon_{1}^{J} + 4 \mathcal{V}^{A}_{B} \mathcal{V}^{A}_{C} \xi^{a} \nabla_{N} \epsilon_{\mu}^{a} - 4 \mathcal{V}^{A}_{B} \mathcal{V}^{A}_{C} \nabla_{N} \xi_{\mu} \]

\[ = D_{\mu} A^{M} - 2 \mathcal{V}^{M}_{A} (\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon_{\mu \rho \sigma} \xi^{\nu} D^{\rho} A_{\nu} + \mathcal{V}^{A}_{B} g^{\mu \nu} \nabla_{N} \xi) \]

\[ + \bar{\epsilon} \xi^{\nu} \Xi_{\mu 3875}^{(MN)} + f^{MN}_{K} \Xi_{\mu N}^{K} + \eta^{MK} \Xi_{\mu N} \quad (3.8) \]

with the parameters \( \Lambda^{M} \) and \( \xi^{\mu} \) from (3.5) and the shift parameters \( \Xi_{\mu} \) of the last line defined as

\[ \Xi_{\mu N} = -2 \bar{\epsilon} \xi^{\nu} \Xi_{\mu N} \]

\[ \Xi_{\mu 3875}^{(MN)} = -16 \mathcal{V}^{M}_{IK} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{JK} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} (i \gamma_{\mu}) \epsilon_{1}^{J} - \mathcal{V}^{M}_{IK} \mathcal{V}^{N}_{IJ} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} (i \gamma_{\mu}) \epsilon_{1}^{J} 

\[ \Xi_{\mu N}^{K} = -8 \mathcal{V}^{K}_{IJ} (\nabla_{N} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \epsilon_{1}^{J} - \bar{\epsilon}_{2}^{I} i \gamma_{\mu} \nabla_{N} \epsilon_{1}^{J}) \]

\[ + \Gamma_{N,M} (\Xi_{\mu 3875}^{(MK)} - 2 \eta^{MK} \xi) \quad , \quad (3.9) \]
corresponding to the shift symmetries (3.11) discussed above. The fact that $\Xi_{\mu3875}^{(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})}$ lives in 3875 representations is an immediate consequence of its specific form

$$
\Xi_{\mu3875}^{(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})} = -16 \gamma^{\mathcal{M}MN} \xi_{\mu1J}, \quad \xi_{\mu1J} \equiv i\epsilon^I_2 \gamma^I_{\mu} \epsilon^J_1 - \frac{1}{16} \delta_{IJ} \xi_{\mu},
$$

(3.10)

with a parameter $\xi_{\mu1J}$ in the 135 of SO(16), combined with the fact that the tensor product of two adjoint representations $[1,2]$ contains only a single representation 135 of SO(16) which lives within the 3875 representation of $E_{8(8)}$. Moreover, the last term in (3.9) carrying the Christoffel connection ensures that the parameter $\Xi_{\mu\mathcal{N}}^{K}$ does not carry any of the undetermined parts of the SO(16) connection $Q_{\mathcal{N}1J}$ and furthermore is constrained in its first index, as required by the shift symmetries (3.1).

We have at this point fully determined the supersymmetry algebra

$$
[\delta_{\epsilon_1}, \delta_{\epsilon_2}] = \delta_{\epsilon} + \delta_{\hat{\epsilon}} + \delta_{\lambda} + \delta_{\Sigma} + \delta_{\Xi},
$$

(3.11)

with parameters given in (3.5), (3.7), (3.9). As a consistency check of the construction it remains to verify that the algebra closes in the same form on the constrained connection $B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}}$. This computation is greatly facilitated by the notation of the covariant variation (2.24) in terms of the first line of (3.13) reproduce the covariant variation of the SO(16) connection ensures that the parameter $\Xi_{\mu\mathcal{N}}^{K}$ does not carry any of the undetermined parts of the SO(16) connection $Q_{\mathcal{N}1J}$ and furthermore is constrained in its first index, as required by the shift symmetries (3.1). For the second term we may use the closure of the algebra on the vector fields $A_{\mu}^{\mathcal{M}}$ established above. The first term after some calculation yields

$$
2 \delta_{\epsilon} \Delta_{\epsilon_2} B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} = \Delta_{\lambda,\Sigma} B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} + \Delta_{\epsilon} B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} + 2 \Gamma_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}} \delta_{\epsilon} \delta_{\epsilon_2} A_{\mu}^{\mathcal{N}}.
$$

(3.12)

The first line of (3.13) reproduce the covariant variation of $B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}}$ under generalized internal and external diffeomorphisms. For the supersymmetry algebra to close, the second and third line of (3.13) must reproduce the shift symmetries

$$
\Delta_{\epsilon} B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} = \delta_{\epsilon} B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} - \Gamma_{\mathcal{N},\mathcal{M}} \delta_{\epsilon} A_{\mu}^{\mathcal{N}},
$$

(3.13)

$$
\Xi_{\mu\mathcal{N}}^{K} = -8 \gamma^{K1J} (\nabla_{\mathcal{N}} \bar{\epsilon}^J_2 i\gamma_I \epsilon^J_1 - \bar{\epsilon}^J_2 i\gamma_I \nabla_{\mathcal{N}} \epsilon^J_1),
$$

(3.14)

$$
\Delta_{\epsilon} B_{\mu,\mathcal{M}} = 2 \nabla_{(\mathcal{M}} \Xi_{\mu\mathcal{N})}^{N} + 2 \Gamma_{[\mathcal{N},\mathcal{M}]P} \Xi_{\mu\mathcal{N}}^{P} - \Gamma_{P[\mathcal{N}} \Xi_{\mu\mathcal{M}]P} N
$$

$$
+8 \gamma^{N1J} (\nabla_{\mathcal{M}} \nabla_{\mathcal{N}} \bar{\epsilon}^J_2 i\gamma_I \epsilon^J_1 - \bar{\epsilon}^J_2 i\gamma_I \nabla_{\mathcal{N}} \epsilon^J_1),
$$

(3.15)
where we have obtained the last equality with the use of the following identity
\[
(2\tilde{\epsilon}_{[\mathcal{M}\Gamma^N],P} - \Gamma_{\mathcal{M},L}\Gamma^\mathcal{N}_{,Q}f^LQ_P) \left( \psi^{(\mathcal{N} IK}\psi^{P)}_{KJ} + \frac{1}{8} \psi^{\mathcal{N} A}\psi^{P A}_A \delta_{IJ} \right) \\
-\psi^{\mathcal{N} IK} \left( 2\tilde{\epsilon}_{[\mathcal{M} Q \mathcal{N}]}^{KJ} + 2Q_{[\mathcal{M} KLQ \mathcal{N}]}^{LJ} \right) = 0.
\] (3.16)
This is reminiscent of standard Riemannian geometry, where the curvature of the Christoffel symbols is the curvature of the spin connection
\[
R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\sigma} [\Gamma] = R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}[\omega] e_a^\rho e_b^\sigma,
\] (3.17)
albeit here, in a projected fashion.

This proves the closure of the supersymmetry algebra on $B_{\mu\mathcal{M}}$
\[
[\delta_{e_1}, \delta_{e_2}] B_{\mu\mathcal{M}} = \delta_{(\Lambda, \Sigma)} B_{\mu\mathcal{M}} + \delta_{\xi} B_{\mu\mathcal{M}} + \delta_{\xi} B_{\mu\mathcal{M}},
\] (3.18)
and concludes the discussion on the consistency of the supersymmetry algebra (3.11).

4 Action

Having introduced fermion fields and supersymmetry transformation laws, we now have at our disposal all the necessary tools to construct the fermionic completion of the $E_{8(8)}$ bosonic Lagrangian constructed in [4]. We start by giving a brief review of the bosonic Lagrangian in the form most suited for the coupling of fermions before presenting its supersymmetric completion.

4.1 The bosonic theory

Let us start by a brief review of the action of bosonic $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory following [4] however adapted to the further coupling of fermions, in particular using the internal frame field (the 248-bein) from [22]. The bosonic field content has been given and discussed in [21]. The action of bosonic $E_{8(8)}$ exceptional field theory is given by
\[
S_{\text{bos}} = \int d^3x d^{248}Y \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{EH}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{pot}} \right),
\] (4.1)
where each term is separately invariant under generalized internal diffeomorphisms [29]. The Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian is given by the Ricci scalar [256] obtained from contraction of the improved Riemann tensor
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{EH}} = -e e^a_\mu e^\nu b \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab},
\] (4.2)
where $e$ denotes the determinant of the dreibein $e_\mu^a$. The scalar kinetic term in (4.1) is given by
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} = -\frac{1}{240} D_\mu M_{MN} D^\mu M^{MN} = P_\mu A P^{\mu A},
\] (4.3)
where we have used the expression
\[ \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{KP}} D_\mu \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{PL}} = 2 f^{\mathcal{MK}} B_{\rho \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{M} A^\mathcal{P}_\mu, \] (4.4)
of the scalar currents (with covariant derivatives from (2.18)) in terms of the E_{8(8)} structure constants \( f^{\mathcal{MK}} \) and the coset currents (2.31). The topological term in (4.1) carries the non-abelian Chern-Simons couplings of the gauge connections according to
\[ \mathcal{L}_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho} \left( f_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{M} B_{\rho \mathcal{M}} - f_{\mathcal{KL}}^\mathcal{N} \partial^\mathcal{K} A_{\nu} \partial^\mathcal{L} A_{\rho} - \frac{2}{3} f_{\mathcal{KL}}^\mathcal{N} \partial^\mathcal{M} A_{\nu} A_{\mu} A_{\rho} \mathcal{L} \right) \]
(4.5)
Its covariance becomes manifest upon spelling out its variation as
\[ \delta \mathcal{L}_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho} \left( f_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{M} \Delta B_{\rho \mathcal{M}} + \left( \tilde{G}^{\mu \nu \mathcal{M}} + 2 f^{\mathcal{MN}}_{\mathcal{K}} \nabla_{\mathcal{K}} f_{\mu \nu} \right) \delta A_{\rho \mathcal{M}} \right), \] (4.6)
with the covariant field strengths from (2.20), (2.23) and the general covariant variation introduced in (2.24). As anticipated above, we note that the two-form contributions to the field strengths \( F \) and \( G \) (whose explicit form has been suppressed in (2.20)) drop out from this expression due to the section constraint. Moreover, the contributions to the Christoffel connection in \( \nabla_{\mathcal{K}} \) that are left undetermined by the vanishing torsion condition cancel in this expression against the corresponding contributions in \( \Delta B_{\rho \mathcal{M}} \).

Finally, the last term in (4.1) carries only derivatives in the internal coordinates and is explicitly given by
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{pot}} = -e V, \] (4.7)
with the ‘potential’ \( V \) given by
\[ V = \frac{1}{4} j_{\mathcal{MN}} j_{\mathcal{NP}} \left( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{MN}} \eta_{\mathcal{RS}} - 2 \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{KL}} f_{\mathcal{KL}}^\mathcal{N} j_{\mathcal{SK}} \mathcal{M} + 2 \delta_{\mathcal{RN}}^\mathcal{N} \delta_{\mathcal{SM}}^\mathcal{M} \right) \]
(4.8)
\[ - \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} \partial^\mathcal{L} g \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{MN}} f_{\mathcal{KL}}^\mathcal{P} j_{\mathcal{P}}^\mathcal{K} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{MN}} g^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{M}} g g^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{N}} g - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{MN}} \partial_{\mathcal{M}} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mathcal{N}} g_{\mu \nu} , \]
in terms of the internal current \( j_{\mathcal{MN}} \) defined as
\[ \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{KP}} \partial_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{PL}} = j_{\mathcal{MN}}^\mathcal{P} f_{\mathcal{NL}}^\mathcal{K} \]
\[ = 2 f_{\mathcal{NL}}^\mathcal{K} \partial_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{A}^\mathcal{N} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}} = - f_{\mathcal{NL}}^\mathcal{K} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}} \left( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{P} N} + \eta^{\mathcal{P} N} \right), \] (4.9)
where we have used (2.45) in the last equality. The scalar potential (4.8) then takes the manifestly covariant form
\[ V = \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{MN}} \nabla_{\mathcal{M}} g^{\mu \nu} \nabla_{\mathcal{N}} g_{\mu \nu} + \nabla_{\mathcal{M}} I^{\mathcal{M}}, \] (4.10)
with the internal curvature scalar \( \mathcal{R} \) from (2.66) and up to a boundary contribution \( I^{\mathcal{M}} \) of weight \( \lambda_I = -1 \). This completes the definition of the bosonic Lagrangian.

Let us finally present the vector field equations in a manifestly covariant form. Parametrizing the variation of the Lagrangian (4.1) w.r.t. the gauge fields as
\[ \delta \mathcal{L} = \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho} \left( \xi^{(A)}_{\mu \nu} \Delta B_{\rho \mathcal{M}} + \tilde{\xi}^{(B)}_{\mu \nu \mathcal{M}} \delta A_{\rho \mathcal{M}} \right), \] (4.11)
with the general covariant variation of (2.24), the Chern-Simons couplings together with the minimal couplings in the covariant derivatives give rise to duality equations relating the field strengths to matter currents according to

\[
E_{\mu \nu}^{(A)M} = -\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\mu \nu}^A + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho} j^\rho M, \\
\xi_{\mu \nu}^{(B)M} = -\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\mu \nu}^A + \frac{1}{2} f_{MN}^A \nabla_K \xi_{\mu \nu}^N + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho} \left( f_{MN}^A \nabla_K j^K + \tilde{j}_\mu^M \right),
\]

(4.12)

with the covariant field strengths from (2.20), (2.23) and the current \( \tilde{j}_\mu^M \) from (2.62). Let us stress that the equations of motion do not imply the full vanishing \( E_{\mu \nu}^{(A)M} = 0 \) due to the fact that the corresponding variation (4.11) is a variation w.r.t. a constrained gauge connection subject to the section constraint (2.10). In other words, the equations of motion only imply the weaker projected equation

\[
E_{\mu \nu}^{(A)M} = O_{\mu \nu}^M,
\]

(4.13)

where \( O_{\mu \nu}^M \) vanishes when contracted with a field satisfying the section constraints (2.10).

4.2 Supersymmetric Lagrangian

We can now present the supersymmetric completion of the bosonic action (4.1). The fermionic field content comprises the gravitinos \( \psi_I^\mu \) and spin 1/2 fermions \( \chi^A \) transforming in the fundamental vector 16 and spinor 128c representations of SO(16), respectively. The full E\(_8\)p\(_8\)q\(_L\) Lagrangian is given by

\[
e^{-1} {\cal L} = -\tilde{R} + g^\mu \nu P_\mu A P^A_\nu + e^{-1} {\cal L}_{\text{top}} - V
+ 2i \gamma^\mu \rho \tilde{\psi}_I^\mu D_\rho \psi_I^\nu - 2i \bar{\chi}^A \gamma^\mu D_\mu \chi^A - 2 \bar{\chi}^A \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \psi_I^I \bar{\Gamma}^I_{A A} P_\nu^A
+ e^{-1} {\cal L}_{\text{quartic}} + 8 \nu^M_{IJ} \bar{\psi}_I^\mu \gamma^\mu \nabla_M \psi_J^\nu
- 8i \nu^M_A \bar{\chi}^A \Gamma^I_{A A} \bar{\psi}_I^I \nabla_M (\gamma^\mu \chi^A)
- 2 \nu^M_{IJ} \bar{\Gamma}^I_{A B} \bar{\chi}^A \nabla_M \chi^B.
\]

(4.14)

The first line is the bosonic Lagrangian (4.1). The terms in the second line are obtained via a direct uplift (and proper covariantization) from \( D = 3 \) maximal supergravity [27,28]: a Rarita-Schwinger term for the gravitinos \( \psi_I^\mu \), a kinetic term for the 128 matter fermions \( \chi^A \), and the Noether coupling between the coset current \( P_\mu^A \) and the fermions. The three last terms of (4.14) carrying internal covariant derivative \( \nabla_M \) have been added to ensure invariance of the Lagrangian under supersymmetry transformations. After proper Scherk-Schwarz reduction of the Lagrangian [29], these terms provide the Yukawa couplings of the gauged three-dimensional supergravity. Finally, \( {\cal L}_{\text{quartic}} \) denotes the quartic fermion terms. We expect these to coincide with the corresponding terms of the three-dimensional theory [27,28]

\[
e^{-1} {\cal L}_{\text{quartic}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{\chi}^\mu \Gamma^I J \chi \left( \psi_I^I \gamma^\mu \rho \psi_J^\nu - \bar{\psi}_J^I \gamma^\rho \psi_J^I \right) + \bar{\chi} \bar{\psi}_I^I \gamma^\mu \chi^A \Gamma^I_{A A} \right)
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \bar{\chi} \chi \right) - \frac{1}{12} \bar{\chi}^\gamma \Gamma^I J \chi \bar{\chi}^\gamma \Gamma^I J \chi \right),
\]

(4.15)

but as far as this paper is concerned we will only deal with fermions at quadratic order.
For the proof of invariance of (4.14) under supersymmetry (3.3), we first note that all terms that do not carry internal derivatives cancel precisely as in the three-dimensional theory. Terms carrying internal derivatives arise in the bosonic sector from variation of the potential \( V \) and the topological term \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} \). In the fermionic sector, such terms arise from the corresponding terms in the supersymmetry transformations (3.3), from variation of the last three terms in (4.14), as well as from the modified integrability relations (2.57), (2.58).

We organise these terms according to their structure

\[
\bar{\psi} D_\mu \nabla_M \epsilon, \quad \bar{\chi} D_\mu \nabla_M \epsilon, \quad \bar{\psi} \nabla_M \nabla_N \epsilon, \quad \bar{\chi} \nabla_M \nabla_N \epsilon \quad (4.16)
\]

and show that they cancel against the contributions from the bosonic Lagrangian. In the rest of this section, we will only focus on the last two types of terms in (4.16), which carry two internal derivatives and thus exhibit an interesting geometric structure of the internal space. The cancellation of the remaining terms is described in detail in appendix C.

Let us start by collecting the terms in \( \bar{\psi} \nabla_M \nabla_N \epsilon \) in the variation of the fermionic Lagrangian

\[
e^{-1} \delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{term}} \bigg|_{\bar{\psi} \nabla_N \epsilon} \rightarrow 8 i \left( 8 \nu_{MK}^N K_{IJ} + \nu_{MA}^N A_{IJ} \right) \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^\mu \{ \nabla_M, \nabla_N \} \epsilon^J
\]

\[
+ 8 i \left( 8 \nu_{MK}^N K_{IJ} + \Gamma_{AB}^{IJ} \nu_{MA}^N B \right) \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^\mu \{ \nabla_M, \nabla_N \} \epsilon^J
\]

\[
+ 32 i \bar{\psi}^I \nu_{MK}^N K_{IJ} \left( \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_N \gamma_\nu \nabla_M \epsilon^J + 2 \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_M \gamma_\nu \nabla_N \epsilon^J
\]

\[
+ \nabla_M (\gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu \nabla_N \epsilon^J)
\]

\[
+ 16 i \nu_{MA}^N (\Gamma^I J)_{AB} \nu_{MK}^N \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^\mu \nabla_M \gamma^\nu \nabla_N \epsilon^J
\]

\[
+ 32 i \nu_{MK}^N K_{IJ} \bar{\psi}^I \left( \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_M \nabla_N \gamma_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_M \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_N \gamma_\nu \right) \epsilon^J .
\]

(4.17)

Upon use of the section constraints (2.27) and together with the identity (2.64), one can show that all the quadratic and linear terms in derivatives of \( \epsilon \) vanish. Then, the remaining terms cancel the first two lines of the variation of the scalar potential (4.10) under a supersymmetry transformation (up to total derivatives)

\[
\delta_\epsilon (eV) = \frac{1}{2} e \left( g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \nabla_M g^\rho\sigma \nabla_N g_{\rho\sigma} + \nabla_M (\mathcal{M}^{MN} \nabla_N g^{\mu\nu})
\]

\[
+ g^{\mu\rho} \nabla_M g^\rho\sigma \nabla_N g^{\sigma\nu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \right) \delta_\epsilon g^{\mu\nu}
\]

\[
+ e \Gamma_{AA}^{IJ} \bar{\chi} i \epsilon \left( \mathcal{R}_A + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{AB}^{IJ} \nu^{(AB)} K_{IJ} \nabla_M g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_N g_{\mu\nu} \right) ,
\]

(4.18)

where for the cancellation we have used the following identity

\[
\gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_M \nabla_N \gamma_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_M \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_N \gamma_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_M \nabla_N \gamma_\nu - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_M \nabla_N \gamma_\nu
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}_{MN}^{ab} \gamma_\nu \gamma_{ab} - \frac{1}{8} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_M g^{\rho\sigma} \nabla_N g_{\rho\sigma} .
\]

(4.19)

The last line in (4.18) then cancels against the corresponding terms from the variation of the
fermionic Lagrangian
\[ e^{-1} \delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{ferm}} \big|_{\chi \nabla \epsilon} \rightarrow 4 \mathcal{V}_I^M \mathcal{V}_A^N \left( \Gamma^{IKJ}_{\hat{A}\hat{A}} + 12 \Gamma^{I}_{\hat{A}\hat{A}} \delta^{KJ}_{\hat{A}\hat{A}} \right) \bar{\chi}^\hat{A} \left( \nabla_M, \nabla_N \right) \epsilon^J + 4 \mathcal{V}_I^M \mathcal{V}_A^N (\Gamma^{IKJ}_{\hat{A}\hat{A}} - 10 \Gamma^{I}_{\hat{A}\hat{A}} \delta^{KJ}_{\hat{A}\hat{A}}) \bar{\chi}^\hat{A} \left( \nabla_M, \nabla_N \right) \epsilon^J + 16 \mathcal{V}_I^M \mathcal{V}_A^N \bar{\chi}^\hat{A} \Gamma^{I}_{\hat{A}\hat{A}} \gamma^\mu \nabla_M \nabla_N \gamma_{\mu} \epsilon^J \].

Using the identity (2.68) and the section constraints (2.27) one finds that all quadratic and linear terms in \( \epsilon \) vanish while the remaining terms precisely cancel the last line of (4.18). For this, the following relations are useful
\[ \nabla_M \gamma^{\mu \nu} = 2 \gamma^{\left[ \mu \nabla_M \gamma^{\nu} \right]}, \quad \gamma^\mu \nabla_M \gamma_\mu = 0, \] (4.21)
\[ \gamma^\nu \nabla_M \nabla_N \gamma_\nu = -\frac{1}{2} R_{MN}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \gamma^\mu \nabla_M g^{\nu \mu} \nabla_N g_{\nu \rho}. \] (4.22)

We have thus sketched the vanishing of all terms carrying two internal derivatives in the supersymmetry variation of (4.14). The cancellation of the remaining terms is described in detail in appendix C. To summarize the result, we have shown invariance of the action (4.14) up to quartic fermion terms.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have constructed the supersymmetric completion of the bosonic \( E_{8(8)} \) exceptional field theory. The final result is given by the action (4.14) and the supersymmetry transformation laws (3.3). In particular, we have established the supersymmetry algebra which consistently closes into the generalized internal and external diffeomorphisms together with the tensor gauge transformations of the theory. The geometry of the internal space is constrained by the section condition (1.1) which admits (at least) two inequivalent solutions for which the action (4.14) reproduces the full \( D = 11 \) supergravity and full type IIB supergravity, respectively. The fermions of exceptional field theory can consistently accommodate the fermions of the type IIA and type IIB theory, since the \( E_{8(8)} \)-covariant formulation (4.14) does not preserve the original \( D = 10 \) Lorentz invariance. The resulting \( D = 10 \) fermion chirality thus depends on the solution of the section constraint.

In contrast to the standard formulation of supergravities, in exceptional field theory the bosonic symmetries already uniquely determine the bosonic Lagrangian without any reference to fermions and supersymmetry. Nevertheless, it is important to establish that the resulting bosonic Lagrangian allows for a supersymmetric completion upon coupling of the proper fermionic field content as we have done in this paper. In particular, in the context of generalized Scherk-Schwarz reductions [29] this construction provides the consistent reduction formulas for the embedding of the fermionic sector of lower-dimensional supergravities into higher dimensions.

A particular attribute of \( E_{8(8)} \) exceptional field theory is the appearance of an additional constrained gauge connection \( B_{\mu M} \) related to an additional gauge symmetry which ensures closure of the algebra of generalized diffeomorphisms. Unlike all other fields of \( E_{8(8)} \) exceptional
field theory, this gauge connection is invisible in three-dimensional supergravity. More precisely, upon a consistent truncation of exceptional field theory down to three dimensions by means of a generalized Scherk-Schwarz reduction

\[
\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x,Y) = U_M^K(Y) U_N^L(Y) M_{KL}(x),
\]

\[
g_{\mu\nu}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) g_{\mu\nu}(x),
\]

\[
A_{\mu}^M(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) A_{\mu}^N(x)(U^{-1})^M_N(Y),
\]

with the \(Y\)-dependence carried by an \(E_8(8)\) matrix \(U\) and a scaling factor \(\rho\) (satisfying their system of consistency equations), the constrained gauge connection \(B_{\mu,M}\) reduces according to

\[
B_{\mu,M}(x,Y) \propto \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})^P_K(Y) \partial_M U_P^L(Y) f_{N,L,K} A_{\mu}^N(x),
\]

such that its fluctuations are expressed in terms of the same three-dimensional vector fields \(A_{\mu}^N(x)\) that parametrize the fluctuations of the \(A_{\mu}^M(x,Y)\). It is thus tempting to wonder if already in exceptional field theory, and before reduction, the constrained gauge connection can be considered as a function of the remaining fields such as [22]

\[
B_{\mu,M} \equiv \Gamma_{M,N} A_{\mu}^N,
\]

c.f. (2.17). However, as seen above, coupling to fermions requires a connection \(\Gamma_{M,N}\) other than the Weitzenböck connection, such that (5.3) would obstruct compatibility with the constraints (2.10). Moreover, supersymmetry of the Lagrangian requires a non-trivial transformation law (3.3) for the constrained connection \(B_{\mu,M}\). It is remarkable that as we have shown above this additional constrained connection consistently joins the remaining bosonic and fermionic fields into a single supermultiplet without the need of additional fermionic matter.

The fact that all transformation laws of \(B_{\mu,M}\) are most compactly expressed in terms of the general covariant variation (2.24) is remnant of structures that appear in a general tensor hierarchy of non-abelian \(p\)-forms [30]. This may hint at a yet larger algebraic structure which in particular unifies the topological term and the generalized three-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert term of (4.1) into a single non-abelian Chern-Simons form on an enlarged algebra. If the present construction should allow for a generalization to the infinite-dimensional cases of \(E_9\) [31,33], \(E_{10}\) [34,35], (and maybe \(E_{11}\) [20,36]), this appearance of additional bosonic representations and their interplay with supersymmetry may play an essential role.
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Appendices

A E$_8$(8) conventions

The E$_8$(8) generators $t^M$ split into 120 compact ones $X^{IJ} = -X^{JI}$ and 128 non-compact ones $Y^A$, with $SO(16)$ vector indices $I,J \cdots \in 16$, spinor indices $A,B \cdots \in 128$, and the collective label $\mathcal{M} = ([IJ],A)$. The conjugate $SO(16)$ spinors are labeled by dotted indices $\dot{A}, \dot{B}, \ldots$ In this $SO(16)$ basis the totally antisymmetric $E_8(8)$ structure constants $f^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{K}}$ possess the non-vanishing components:

$$f^{IJ,KL,\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} = -8 \delta^{[[K}[\delta_{\mathcal{M}]}^{L]J], \quad f^{IJ,A,B} = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{AB}^{IJ}. \quad (A.1)$$

$E_8(8)$ indices are raised and lowered by means of the Cartan-Killing metric

$$\eta^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{60} \text{Tr} t^\mathcal{M} t^\mathcal{N} = -\frac{1}{60} f^{M_{KL}\mathcal{N}_CL} f^{N_{KL}L}, \quad (A.2)$$

with components $\eta^{AB} = \delta^{AB}$ and $\eta^{IJ, KL} = -2\delta_{KL}^{IJ}$. When summing over antisymmetrized index pairs $[IJ]$, an extra factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is always understood.

We will also need the projector onto the adjoint representation

$$\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{M}_{NK}\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{60} f^{M_{NKp}f^{PK}_{\mathcal{L}}} \quad = \frac{1}{30} \delta_{(N}^{(\mathcal{M}} \delta_{\mathcal{L})\mathcal{K}}} + \frac{7}{30}(\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}} - \frac{1}{240} \eta^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}} \eta_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}} + \frac{1}{120} f^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}_{p}f^{p_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}}}, \quad (A.3)$$

in terms of the Cartan-Killing form and structure constants of $E_8(8)$ and the projector $(\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}}$ explicitly given by

$$(\mathbb{P}_{3875})_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{7} \delta_{(\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}} \delta_{\mathcal{L})}\mathcal{K}} - \frac{1}{60} \eta^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}} \eta_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{14} f^{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}((\mathcal{M}} f_{\mathcal{P}),(\mathcal{K})}. \quad (A.4)$$

We refer to [26, 39] for other useful $E_8(8)$ identities.

B Gamma matrix identities

In this appendix, we give some of the $SO(16)$ gamma matrices identities we have used to rewrite the curvature $\mathcal{R}_A$ in a more compact form. We started with 14 terms quadratic in the Cartan forms, where a simple counting gives only 12 independent terms. Then using an explicit representation of the $SO(16)$ gamma matrices together with the section constraints (2.27), we were able to write $\mathcal{R}_A$ with 7 independent terms quadratic in the Cartan forms.

The main identities behind this simplification are the following

$$\mathcal{V}^{[\mathcal{M}_{LJ}]\mathcal{N}]_{B}(\Gamma^{IJ}_{KLM})_{BDp_{\mathcal{M}}A_{p_{\mathcal{N}}}C} = 0, \quad (B.1)$$

$$\Gamma^{IM}_{B[A(D\mathcal{M}_{N}P_{\mathcal{M}P_{\mathcal{N}}}C_{B_{p_{\mathcal{M}}}D_{p_{\mathcal{N}}}}} = -4\Gamma^{IM}_{A[B_{p_{\mathcal{M}}}C_{D}}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}_{B_{p_{\mathcal{M}}}D_{p_{\mathcal{N}}}}} + 8\Gamma^{IM}_{AB}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}_{IM}}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}_{CP_{M}C_{p_{\mathcal{N}}}}} - \Gamma^{IM}_{BC}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}_{IM}}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}_{B_{p_{\mathcal{M}}}C_{P_{M}C_{p_{\mathcal{N}}}}} - \Gamma^{IM}_{AB}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}_{IM}}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}_{B_{p_{\mathcal{M}}}C_{P_{M}C_{p_{\mathcal{N}}}}} \quad (B.2)$$
C Supersymmetry of the Lagrangian

In this appendix, we give the remaining details for the invariance of the Lagrangian (4.14) under the supersymmetry transformations (3.3).

C.1 Cancellation of the terms carrying field strengths

We start with a simple check: all terms in $F_{\mu\nu}^M$ and $G_{\mu\nu}^M$ from the supersymmetric variation of the fermionic terms in the Lagrangian should cancel against the corresponding contributions from variation of the kinetic and topological terms. The relevant contribution on the fermionic side are

$$
\delta \left(-2 e\bar{\chi}^{\dot{A}} \gamma_\mu^I \chi^I_{\mu} \Gamma^I_{\dot{A}A} \mathcal{P}^A\right) \rightarrow \quad 2 e\bar{\chi}^{\dot{A}} \gamma_\mu^I \chi^I_{\mu} \Gamma^I_{\dot{A}A} \mathcal{P}^A \\
= \quad -i e\bar{\chi}^{\dot{A}} \gamma_\mu^I \chi^I_{\mu} \Gamma^I_{\dot{A}A} \mathcal{Y}^M (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}^M - f_{MN}^K \nabla_K \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu})
$$

$$
\delta \left(2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \chi^I_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{P}^I\right) \rightarrow \quad 2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \chi^I_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{P}^I \\
\rightarrow \quad +2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{Y}^M \chi^I_{\mu} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}^M - f_{MN}^K \nabla_K \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\right) \chi^I_{\mu} \\
+ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \left(\nabla_M \chi^I_{\mu} - \chi^I_{\mu} \nabla_M \right),
$$

where we have used the commutator of two external covariant derivative (2.57). On the bosonic side, all terms with field strength come from the variation of kinetic and topological terms

$$
\delta \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \quad \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{E}^{(B)}_{\mu\nu} \delta A^M_{\rho} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{E}^{(A)}_{\mu\nu} \Delta B_{\rho M} \\
\rightarrow \quad \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} (-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}^M + \frac{1}{2} f_{MN}^K \mathcal{Y}^M \nabla_K \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^N) (-4 \mathcal{Y}^M \chi^I_{\mu} \chi^I_{\mu} + 2i \Gamma^I_{\dot{A}A} \mathcal{Y}^M \chi^I_{\mu} \chi^I_{\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu}^M) \\
+ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \left(\nabla_M \chi^I_{\mu} - \chi^I_{\mu} \nabla_M \right) + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \left(\nabla_M \chi^I_{\mu} - \chi^I_{\mu} \nabla_M \right),
$$

with the exception of an extra contribution from the improved Einstein-Hilbert term

$$
\delta \left(-e e^\mu_{ab} e^\nu_{bc} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \omega_{ab}^M\right) \rightarrow \quad -e e^\mu_{ab} e^\nu_{bc} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \delta \omega_{ab}^M \\
= \quad -e e^\mu_{ab} e^\nu_{bc} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \left(\delta e^\rho_{[a} \nabla_{b] e^\rho_{c]} + e^\rho_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \delta e^\rho_{c]}\right) \\
= \quad -e e^\mu_{ab} e^\nu_{bc} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \left(e^\sigma_{[a} e^\rho_{b]} \nabla_{c]} \left(e^\tau_{d]} \delta e^\rho_{c]}\right)\right) \\
= \quad -i e g^\mu_{ab} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^M \nabla_M \left(e^I_{\gamma} \gamma^I_{\gamma} \psi^I_{\gamma}\right),
$$

that cancels the last term of (C.2). Together, all terms with field strengths vanish.
C.2 Cancellation of the $\nabla_M D_\mu \chi_\epsilon$ terms

From the variation of the vector fields in the bosonic Lagrangian (we have now dropped all terms with field strengths), we have the following contribution

$$
\delta \mathcal{L} \rightarrow +e^{\mu A} \Delta B_{\mu A} - e f_{MN} \nabla_K j^{\mu N} \delta A_{\mu A} - e \tilde{\nabla}_{\mu A} \delta A_{\mu A} + 2i e \tilde{\nabla}_{\mu A} \chi_{\mu I} \gamma^{\mu I} + 2i e \tilde{\nabla}_{\mu A} \chi_{\mu I} \gamma^{\mu I}.
$$

(C.4)

On the fermionic side, the relevant contributions to this sector are

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \left( -2 e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \psi^I_{\mu A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} p_{\nu} \right) & \rightarrow -4 i e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu} \gamma^I_{\nu}) \\
& + 4 i e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_M e^J \Gamma^I_{\mu A} p_{\nu} \chi^{\nu J} , & \text{(C.5)} \\
\delta \left( -2 i e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} D_\mu \chi^I \right) & \rightarrow 8 i e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} D_\mu \nabla_M e^I \chi^{I A} \\
& - 2 i e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_M e^I \gamma^I_{\mu A} p_{\nu} \chi^{I J} \\
& - 4 i e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_M e^I \gamma^I_{\mu A} p_{\nu} \chi^{I J} , & \text{(C.6)} \\
\delta \left( -8 e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \tilde{\nabla}_M (\gamma^I_{\mu} \chi^I) \right) & \rightarrow 8 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \nabla_M \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} D_\mu e^I \\
& - 8 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_M D_\mu e^I , & \text{(C.7)} \\
\delta \left( -2 e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \tilde{\nabla}_M (\gamma^I_{\mu} \chi^I) \right) & \rightarrow -2 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu} \chi^I) \\
& + 2 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu} \chi^I) p_{\nu} \chi^{I J} \\
& - 4 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu} \chi^I) p_{\nu} \chi^{I J} . & \text{(C.8)}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the commutator

$$
\chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \left[ \nabla_M, D_\mu \right] \epsilon^I = \frac{1}{4} \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} R_{M\mu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon^I \\
- \frac{3}{4} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \chi^{I A} \nabla_M P^a_{\mu} \epsilon^I + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma_{\mu A}^{I J K} \chi^{I A} \nabla_M P^a_{\mu} \epsilon^I ,
$$

(C.9)

all of the above terms simply reduce to

$$
\rightarrow -4 i e \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu}) \gamma^I_{\nu} - 2 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu}) \gamma^I_{\nu} \\
- 2 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu}) \gamma^I_{\nu} \\
+ 2 i e \chi^{I A} \Gamma^I_{\mu A} \nabla_M (\gamma^I_{\mu}) \gamma^I_{\nu} \\
= 0 ,
$$

(C.10)

where we have used (2.62) in the last equality.
C.3 Cancellation of the $\nabla_M D_\mu \psi^I$ terms

Similarly, we collect the vector field contributions in the bosonic Lagrangian

$$
\delta \mathcal{L} \rightarrow + e\frac{\gamma^\mu}{\mu} \Delta_{\mu} M - e f_{M N \kappa} \nabla_{\kappa} M^N \delta A_\mu M - e \tilde{J}_M^J \delta A_\mu^J
$$

$$
\rightarrow 2 e p^{\mu \lambda} \chi M_A ( - 4 \tilde{p}_\mu^I \nabla_M \epsilon^I + 2 \nabla_M (\tilde{p}_\mu^I \epsilon^J) + e \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho} g^{\alpha \sigma} \nabla_M (\epsilon^I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) )
$$

$$
-8 e \gamma M A \nabla_M (g_{\alpha \beta} \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I))
$$

$$
- 8 e \gamma M A \nabla_M (g_{\alpha \beta} \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)) + 2 e \epsilon^{\mu \nu} \gamma M A \nabla_M (\partial (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) )
$$

$$
+2 e \epsilon^{\mu \nu} \gamma M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) - 4 e \tilde{J}_M^J \gamma M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I),
$$

(C.11)

Together with the relevant contributions from the fermionic Lagrangian

$$
\delta (2 e \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)) \rightarrow 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-16 e \gamma M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 8 e \gamma M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
= 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 8 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
+ 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I),
$$

(C.12)

$$
\delta (2 e \gamma M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)) \rightarrow 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
+ 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
= 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 8 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
+ 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I),
$$

(C.13)

$$
\delta (-4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)) \rightarrow 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
+ 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
= 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 8 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
+ 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I),
$$

(C.14)

$$
\delta (8 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)) \rightarrow 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
+ 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
= 4 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I) + 8 e \gamma M A (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
+ 8 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I)
$$

$$
-4 e i \gamma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^M A \nabla_M (\epsilon I \gamma^\nu \partial^\sigma I),
$$

(C.15)

Upon using the commutator

$$
\gamma^M I J [\nabla_M, D_\mu] \epsilon^J = \frac{1}{4} \gamma^M I J R_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \epsilon^J
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{8} \gamma^M A \nabla_M e A \epsilon^J - \frac{1}{4} \gamma^M I J D_\mu e A \epsilon^J,
$$

(C.16)
this reduces to

\[
\rightarrow -8\epsilon_{\nu^\rho} g^{\kappa\mu} (\tilde{\psi}_I^\mu \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\rho\kappa} - \tilde{\psi}^I_\mu \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\rho\kappa} + \tilde{\psi}_\nu^I \gamma^\rho \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\kappa}) = \epsilon_{\nu^\rho} \tilde{\psi}_I^\mu \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\kappa} g^{\kappa\mu},
\]

\[
= 0, \quad (C.17)
\]

where we have used the Schouten identity

\[
\epsilon_{\nu^\rho} g^{\kappa\mu} (\tilde{\psi}_I^\mu \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\rho\kappa} - \tilde{\psi}^I_\mu \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\rho\kappa} + \tilde{\psi}_\nu^I \gamma^\rho \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\kappa}) = \epsilon_{\nu^\rho} \tilde{\psi}_I^\mu \gamma^\nu \epsilon^J R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\kappa} g^{\kappa\mu},
\]

\[
= 0. \quad (C.18)
\]

This completes the results obtained in section 4 and proves the invariance of the extended Lagrangian (4.14) under supersymmetry.
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