ANOMALOUS CIRCULAR POLARIZATION PROFILES IN THE He i 1083.0 nm MULTIPLET FROM SOLAR SPICULES
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ABSTRACT

We report Stokes vector observations of solar spicules and a prominence in the He i 1083 nm multiplet carried out with the Tenerife Infrared Polarimeter. The observations show linear polarization profiles that are produced by scattering processes in the presence of a magnetic field. After a careful data reduction, we demonstrate the existence of extremely asymmetric Stokes V profiles in the spicular material that we are able to model with two magnetic components along the line of sight, and under the presence of atomic orientation in the energy levels that give rise to the multiplet. We discuss some possible scenarios that can generate the atomic orientation in spicules. We stress the importance of spectropolarimetric observations across the limb to distinguish such signals from observational artifacts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Spectropolarimetry in the neutral helium multiplets at 1083.0 nm and 587.6 nm (D3 line) is one of the most valuable tools (and one of the few available) for the quantitative investigation of the magnetic properties of plasma structures embedded in the chromosphere and corona, such as spicules and prominences (Landi Degl’Innocenti 1982; Querfeld et al. 1985; Bommer et al. 1994; Casini et al. 2003; López Ariste & Casini 2005; Ramelli et al. 2006a, 2006b; Harvey & Hall 1971; Rüedi et al. 1996; Lin et al. 1998; Trujillo Bueno et al. 2002, 2005; Lagg et al. 2004; Socas-Navarro & Elmore 2005; Merenda et al. 2006; Centeno et al. 2010). The linear polarization signals in both multiplets are generated by the joint action of the transversal Zeeman effect and scattering polarization modified by the Hanle effect (e.g., Trujillo Bueno & Asensio Ramos 2007). However, the circular polarization signal of the 1083.0 nm multiplet is always dominated by the longitudinal Zeeman effect alone, producing characteristic antisymmetric profiles, whereas in D3 it has an important contribution from the alignment-to-orientation conversion mechanism (A–O mechanism; Kemp et al. 1984; Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004), which generates a significant amount of net circular polarization (NCP) in the line (Landi Degl’Innocenti 1982). The A–O mechanism operates when the Larmor frequency corresponding to the magnetic field is of the order of the energy separation (in frequency units) between the fine structure levels of a given spectral term. In the D3 line this occurs for magnetic fields stronger than 10 G; in the 1083.0 nm line, it is negligible for fields below 300 G (see, e.g., Figure 3 of Asensio Ramos et al. 2008). Therefore, for the magnetic fields of up to a few hundreds of Gauss characteristic of spicules and prominences, the Stokes V profiles in the 1083.0 nm line are expected to be mainly antisymmetric.

We report full-Stokes observations of the 1083.0 nm multiplet of He i in solar spicules and a prominence in a quiet region. The observations display anomalous single-lobed Stokes V profiles in the spicular material. We show, after a detailed analysis of possible instrumental sources of error, that these profiles are not artifacts, but of solar origin. We argue that these profiles are the result of several components along the line of sight (LOS) and that they might also be a signature of atomic orientation.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The data analyzed consist of full-Stokes vector spectropolarimetry at the 1083.0 nm spectral region, containing the photospheric Si i 1082.71 nm line and the chromospheric He i 1083.0 nm multiplet. They were obtained on 2011 April 24 with the upgraded Tenerife Infrared Polarimeter (TIP-II; Collados et al. 2007) instrument attached to the Vacuum Tower Telescope at the Observatorio del Teide. We scanned a quiet region at the east limb (90°E, 40°S), with the slit inclined by about 45° with respect to the limb. We simultaneously recorded part of the solar disk, the spicular material, and a prominence. The scanned area was 70′′ × 42′′ along the slit and in the scan direction, respectively. The pixel size was 0′′32 × 0′′6. The time span between two consecutive slit positions was approximately 30 s and the spectral resolution was 4.5 pm. The noise level in polarization was about 10−4 in units of the maximum intensity, I_{max}. The seeing conditions were very good and the adaptive optics system worked efficiently for the whole observation run. This resulted in a spatial resolution of ~0′′6 and made it possible that we could scan the same region four times.

To avoid the appearance of spurious signals we have been extremely careful in the data reduction process. From the original raw data, we removed the bias level, applied the flat-field correction, and demodulated the data to obtain the four Stokes parameters using the standard software package available for TIP-II users. The flat-field correction removed most of the polarized interference fringes, leaving a residual pattern with amplitudes below 10−4 I_{max}.

Despite the good seeing conditions and the image stability offered by the adaptive optics system, some occasional image motion is unavoidable within the 4 × 250 ms of the modulation process which yields spurious polarization (seeing-induced crosstalk; e.g., Lites 1987). Normally, this effect is minimized
Figure 1. Left: Stokes parameters $I$, $Q$, $U$, and $V$ (top to bottom) along the slit at positions 10$''$.8 (leftmost) and 15$''$.0 (rightmost) in the first and second scan in Figure 3. Dashed yellow lines mark the position of the solar limb (disk, below; off-limb, above). The intensity scale on disk has been highly attenuated for representation purposes. Right: line intensity and polarization (dots) at the two positions marked “a” and “b,” and best-fit profiles from inversions with one (red) or two (blue) components along the LOS, in the absence (solid) or presence (dashed) of atomic orientation. The physical parameters of the models are given in Table 1, labeled as 1 and 2 for the one-component inversion, in the last case including an ad hoc orientation of the radiation field, and 3 and 4 for the two-component inversions without and with orientation of the radiation field.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

by the spatio-temporal demodulation scheme (Collados 1999). However, close to the limb, observations are extremely sensitive to this effect because of the steep intensity gradients. As a result, thin stripes of spurious positive and/or negative polarization signal parallel to the limb were apparent in the observations. At this point, the observational procedure with the slit crossing the limb proved to be very helpful. The spurious polarization signal along the slit can be modeled as a combination of the first and second derivatives of the intensity, while the actual coefficients of the combination depend on the particular image motion during the observation. Assuming that the continuum is unpolarized because linear polarization due to Rayleigh scattering is negligible at such long wavelengths, we fitted the variation of the spurious polarization signals in the continuum along the slit to the first and second spatial derivatives of the intensity, and subtracted the fit. This correction was applied to all wavelengths using the same coefficients but the intensity derivatives calculated at each wavelength. This process was able to remove most of the artifacts but for those within a very small stripe ($\lesssim 1''$) around the limb. As an additional benefit, this procedure further diminished the residual patterns left after flat-field correction.

Stray light is also an issue when observing across the limb (Beck et al. 2011). At some far off-limb positions with no apparent emission from the prominence a residual absorption spectrum from the integrated disk could be noticed. From the average of several such pixels we built a stray light spectrum. The amount of stray light contamination along the slit was then found through correlation with this spectrum, using the telluric line at 1083.2 nm and smoothing the ensuing correlation coefficient maps. We then subtracted the average off-limb stray light profile times the smoothed correlation coefficient from the Stokes $I$ profiles. This approach proved to be quite effective in removing off-limb stray light.

Figures 1 and 2 display the Stokes profiles of the 1083.0 nm triplet at four different positions. The intensity profile appears in emission above the solar limb, both in the spicules and in the prominence (at about 25$''$ above the limb). The He\textsc{i} multiplet shows characteristic signatures associated with scattering processes and the Hanle effect inside and outside the disk in Stokes $Q$ and $U$. The Stokes $V$ signals are very faint on the disk but show clearly antisymmetric profiles in the prominence (profile d), as expected for this multiplet when the Stokes $V$ signal is dominated by the Zeeman effect. However, unexpectedly, we detect single-lobed Stokes $V$ profiles off-limb in the spicular material.

First, we note that the single-lobed $V$ profiles were already apparent after the standard data reduction process; the thorough analysis described above just served to enhance their presence by eliminating artifacts. Additional reasons why we think these
single-lobed Stokes \( V \) profiles are of solar origin are: (1) we do not observe any off-limb polarization signal in the telluric line (see Section 3.3 in Beck et al. 2011), (2) we also observe Zeeman-like signals at the same slit position, (3) they are located in patches that are spatially coherent during more than 135 minutes. It seems extremely unlikely that seeing-induced signals appear at the same location in the solar structure during all the observational runs. Figure 3 displays the NCP (the Stokes \( V \) signal integrated along the 1083.0 nm triplet) normalized to its maximum in the field of view (FOV) for pixels with circular polarization signals above the noise level. Extremely asymmetric Stokes \( V \) profiles are quite common in spicules forming patches that are persistent for more than 2 hr of observation.

Regular Stokes \( V \) profiles (mostly antisymmetric and similar to profile d) pervade the prominence. They are also found in the spicules, although they have a large degree of asymmetry. Figure 2 shows two examples of antisymmetric profiles. As can be seen, the Stokes \( V \) profile belonging to the spicular material is asymmetric (both in area and in amplitude). Moreover, both Stokes \( Q \) and \( V \) profiles show two peaks and are broader than Stokes \( I \), indicating the existence of at least two atmospheric components along the LOS.

3. INVERSION OF THE DATA

We carried out inversions of the profiles shown in Figures 1 and 2 with the inversion code HAZEL (HAnle and ZEeman Light; see Asensio Ramos et al. 2008) assuming a slab of constant properties, characterized by the optical depth of the red component of the multiplet, \( \tau_{\text{red}} \), the thermal width, \( v_{\text{th}} \), the macroscopic velocity along the LOS, \( v_\parallel \), and the damping constant. Each slab is permeated by a magnetic field with strength, \( B \), inclination relative to the vertical, \( \theta_B \), and azimuth, \( \chi_B \). The radiation field is assumed to be given by the continuum illumination at the wavelengths of all transitions considered in the five-level He i atomic model. The center-to-limb variation tabulated by Cox (2000) is used to compute the anisotropy of the radiation field. This simple model lets us fit regular profiles as (d) in Figure 2 and recover the physical conditions there (see model d in Table 1), but it is clearly unable to reproduce the \( V \) profiles at positions a, b, and c (cf. solid red lines in Figures 1 and 2, corresponding to models a1, b1, and c1 in Table 1). In general, the Stokes \( V \) profiles in the prominence are similar to profile (d) in Figure 2, implying that a single magnetic component would be sufficient to fit the observations of a prominence at our spatial resolution and spectropolarimetric accuracy.

Obviously, the Zeeman effect alone is unable to reproduce Stokes \( V \) profiles with NCP but several known mechanisms are able to generate it. First, the presence of correlations between velocity and magnetic field gradients along the LOS (Illing et al. 1975) has often been proposed (in photospheric lines) to explain the asymmetries in Stokes \( V \) induced by the Zeeman effect around magnetic flux concentrations (Solanki & Pahlke 1988; Grossmann-Doerth et al. 1988; Sánchez Almeida et al. 1989; Solanki & Montavon 1993). This effect might be of some importance when observing spicules or prominences close to and off the limb because the LOS potentially crosses many
Figure 3. Four consecutive scans (horizontal slit; scanning direction from bottom to top) of the observed FOV including spicules and a prominence. The start time of each scan is displayed at the bottom right corner of the corresponding panel. The background images show the maximum intensity of the He i multiplet. The NCP of Stokes V profiles (normalized to its maximum in the field of view) with signals above the noise level is overplotted in a color code. The dashed lines mark the solar limb and, roughly, the highest altitude of observed spicular material. White circles mark the position of the profiles shown in Figures 1 and 2.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 1
Inferred Physical Parameters of the Inversion Models

| Model | τred | B (G) | θB (°) | χB (°) | vth (km s⁻¹) | v (km s⁻¹) |
|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------------|-------------|
| a₁    | 0.83 | 18    | 93     | 58     | 11.0         | -1.5        |
| a₂    | 0.83 | 11    | 87     | 57     | 11.0         | -1.5        |
| a₃    | 0.78 | 79    | 38     | 4      | 9.0          | -0.8        |
| a₄    | 0.78 | 66    | 74     | 41     | 10.4         | -2.7        |
| b₁    | 1.5  | 5     | 92     | 46     | 11.5         | -1.5        |
| b₂    | 1.5  | 38    | 41     | 7      | 8.4          | 0.3         |
| b₃    | 0.7  | 88    | 131    | 10.5   | -4.7        |
| b₄    | 0.9  | 35    | 41     | 6      | 9.2          | 0.3         |
| c₁    | 3.0  | 19    | 40     | 3      | 10.5         | -0.6        |
| c₂    | 3.0  | 21    | 40     | 3      | 10.9         | -0.6        |
| c₃    | 1.7  | 29    | 93     | 85     | 10.0         | -0.1        |
| c₄    | 1.3  | 23    | 86     | 51     | 12.3         | -0.1        |
| d     | 1.6  | 28    | 44     | 3      | 5.5          | -1.8        |

Stokes V profile is roughly of the same width as Stokes I, and in some cases even larger.

Atomic orientation, i.e., the presence of population imbalances and quantum coherence between the +M and the corresponding −M magnetic sublevels of a given level (Fano 1957), is in itself a direct source of NCP through imbalanced emission or absorption of σ± components. To fit the profiles, we have considered the possible presence of atomic orientation (models a₂, b₂, c₂ in Table 1 and dashed red lines in Figures 1 and 2). The way to introduce this atomic orientation in the HAZEL code is by an ad hoc amount of orientation of the incident radiation field (i.e., J1/0). We only took into account orientation in this multiplet. This is justified because the 1083.0 nm multiplet can be relatively well approximated by a two-term system. We have nonetheless tested that the presence of atomic orientation in the other transitions of the model does not modify our results. With the approximation of a single component and atomic orientation, we obtained an acceptable fit for the position c (although the double peak of Stokes Q is not reproduced), but it was impossible to reproduce the other profiles.

We then performed inversions considering two components along the LOS, each one with different values of the physical parameters and a relative macroscopic velocity between them (models a₃, b₃, c₃ in Table 1 and solid blue lines in Figures 1 and 2). Finally, we also allowed for an ad hoc amount of orientation in the two-component model (models a₄, b₄, c₄ in Table 1 and dashed blue lines in Figures 1 and 2). With this modeling we fit the remaining profiles fairly well. The inferred physical parameters are reasonable for these kind of structures: the Doppler broadening and the optical thickness have larger values in the spicules than in the prominence, as well as the magnetic field strength (see Table 1). However, the exact magnetic field vector is subject to some known ambiguities whose discussion is beyond the scope of this paper.

In spicules, regular antisymmetric Stokes V profiles are rare; most of them exhibit noticeable NCP and often show evidence for the presence of (at least) two components. We detect either two peaks in the Stokes V lobes and/or Stokes Q and U that structures with different velocities and magnetic fields (e.g., the multi-thread prominence models described in Labrosse et al. 2010; Mackay et al. 2010 and references therein). A property of the asymmetric profiles is that the width of the remaining single-lobed Stokes V profile should be roughly half the width of the Stokes I profile. In our case, the observed single-lobed
are not compatible with Stokes $V$ with a single configuration of the magnetic field. To fit polarization profiles of solar spicules one therefore needs more complex models than that of a single component. One should probably think of a modeling that takes into account the transfer of polarized radiation and a source not only of atomic alignment (hence $J_0^2/J_0^3$) but of atomic orientation (hence $J_0^3/J_0^3$).

4. GENERATION OF ATOMIC ORIENTATION

As stated in Section 1, the A–O mechanism can generate a very small amount of atomic orientation, which is already taken into account in the modeling by HAZEL. Likewise, the generation of a sufficient amount of atomic orientation through the presence of electric fields (Favata et al. 1987) as suggested by López Ariste et al. (2005; see also Casini & Manso Sainz 2006, 2008) for the $\alpha$ line in solar prominences can also be discarded for this multiplet since it is not hydrogenic.

Atomic orientation may be induced by differential excitation of the $\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$ components of the transition. This can be achieved by (a) illuminating the atomic system with circularly polarized radiation or (b) spectrally splitting the transition components (e.g., in the presence of a magnetic field) and differentially illuminating the $\sigma^\pm$ components.

In case (a), considering a two-level atom with an unpolarized lower level, and assuming complete frequency redistribution (CRD), the atomic orientation generated in the upper level (hence, the NCP of the scattered radiation) is proportional to (Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004)

$$v = \frac{J_1}{J_0} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^1 V(\nu, \mu) d\mu \Phi(\nu) d\nu}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^1 I(\nu, \mu) d\mu \Phi(\nu) d\nu},$$

where $\Phi(\nu)$ is the line absorption profile.

As a possible scenario for this, consider an ensemble of scatterers in a spicule or a prominence well above the solar surface illuminated from an underlying magnetized photosphere. If the incident Stokes $V$ profile is antisymmetric and there is no Doppler shift between the radiation field and the atomic system, $v \equiv 0$ and no atomic orientation can be induced. However, if the scatterers have collective motion with respect to the surface, the line profiles $\Phi$ in Equation (1) are Doppler shifted with respect to the incoming radiation field ($I$ and $V$) and $v$ does not cancel out in general. Clearly, if the incident radiation has NCP, that contribution adds to $v$. Figure 4 shows the amount of $v$ generated as a function of the velocity, assuming upward motion, for an illumination with a Gaussian absorption line, using values characteristic of the He I 1083.0 nm line in an active region. The Stokes $V$ incident light has no NCP.

In case (b), the uneven pumping of the $\sigma$ components of a two-level atom (unpolarized lower level, CRD) by a spectrally structured radiation field is characterized by the ratio

$$v' = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^1 I(\nu) d\mu \Phi_0(\nu) d\nu}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^1 I(\nu) d\mu \Phi_0(\nu) d\nu}.$$

The frequency integrals are performed over the generalized profiles (Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004)

$$\Phi_0^0(v) = \sum_{M_L, M_\ell} \frac{1}{3} S_{q}^{J-L}(M_L M_\ell) \phi_{M_L M_\ell}(v),$$

$$\Phi_0^1(v) = \sum_{M_L, M_\ell} M_\ell S_{\ell}^{J-L}(J_0 + 1) S_{q}^{J-L}(M_L M_\ell) \phi_{M_L M_\ell}(v).$$

where $S_{q}^{J-L}(M_L M_\ell) = M_L M_\ell - M_{q}$ where $q = M_L - M_\ell$ ($q = 0, \pm 1$) is the relative strength of the transition between the sublevels $M_L$ and $M_\ell$, and the profile components $\phi_{M_L M_\ell}$ are centered at the frequency $\nu_{M_L, M_\ell} = \nu_0 + \nu_{L}(g_{M_L} M_L - g_{M_\ell} M_\ell)$ ($\nu_L$ is the Larmor frequency and $g_{M_\ell}$ is the Landé factors of the upper and lower levels, respectively).

As a scenario for this case, consider that the underlying photosphere is quiet, but that the scatterers are moving (upward) in the presence of a magnetic field. The denominator of Equation (2) is the mean intensity integrated over the line profile taking into account the magnetic splitting of the line components. The generalized profile $\Phi_0^0$ is odd with respect to the central frequency of the transition $\nu_0$ (more generally, $\Phi_0^{S+K}(\nu_0 + \Delta) = -\Phi_0^{S+K}(\nu_0 - \Delta)$; see Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004). Therefore, if the intensity profile of the incident radiation is symmetric around the central frequency $\nu_0$, the integral in the numerator of Equation (2) vanishes. If the profiles are Doppler shifted with respect to the incoming intensity, $v'$ varies as in Figure 4 (red curve).

Dynamic generation of atomic orientation has been considered to qualitatively explain asymmetric $V$ profiles in chromospheric lines (Trujillo Bueno et al. 1993), but its presence in active regions was discarded by Socas-Navarro et al. (2000). However, the physical and dynamical conditions to be expected in spicules and prominences could be more favorable for the generation of atomic orientation by the processes just described. First, velocities of the order of 8–10 km s$^{-1}$ are easily found in our data from Doppler shifts and from $\alpha$ line movies. Both scenarios require that the He I 1083.0 nm line is formed in the underlying atmosphere. In our observations the 1083.0 nm line is in absorption within the solar disk in Figures 1 and 2. The large values of $v$ that may be achieved in case (a) scenario (see Figure 4) require illumination from underlying relatively
strong (∼1 kG) unipolar regions. However, this is an unlikely scenario because prominences and filaments form along the neutral line of bipolar active regions, which would yield to cancellations and hence, far smaller values of $v$ and atomic orientation. More likely is scenario (b), in which spicules and low prominence regions are magnetized at the level of ∼100 G, values easily found from the inversions.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that Stokes $V$ profiles of He I 1083 nm can have a significant amount of NCP in spicular fields. Surprisingly, this type of signal has not been found in previous spectropolarimetric studies of spicules using this multiplet (Socas-Navarro & Elmore 2005; Trujillo Bueno et al. 2005; Centeno et al. 2010). This may be due to particularity of the region observed here, or else it could have been that the large values of the NCP might have been mistaken for observational artifacts. To avoid this possible source of confusion, we found our observational strategy of observing with the slit across the limb very advantageous because it allows for correction of several artifacts caused by image motion or stray light.

These profiles with extreme NCP (mostly single lobed) are explained by the presence of two magnetic components along the LOS (although we expect the reality to be more complex, with a myriad of magnetic and velocity fields crossing the LOS) and perhaps some amount of atomic orientation (∼0.6%–1%) generated by dynamic processes in the presence of magnetic fields.
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