Perceptions of delay when afflicted by an acute myocardial infarction during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic
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Aims
To describe the perceptions of delay in medical care-seeking, when afflicted by an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during the first wave of the pandemic.

Methods and results
A qualitative descriptive study with an inductive approach. Fourteen semi-structured interviews were conducted, analysed by qualitative content analysis with a manifest approach. One category and six sub-categories emerged. The decision was reached when the health threat was perceived as critical, which made the earlier thoughts of the pandemic fade away. The risk of infection during medical visits caused fear of contracting the disease. This resulted in hesitation, neglect of symptoms, and avoidance of healthcare visits. Following recommendations from authorities and media about personal responsibility was motivated by fear, affecting the care-seeking.

Conclusion
It appears that the COVID-19 pandemic raised the threshold for deciding to seek medical care when presenting with an AMI. The pandemic led to increased patient delay due to several reasons among which fear of contracting the disease was prominent. The emotion of fear was related to the external threat to one’s own health, due to COVID-19, and not fear of symptoms related to an AMI. The media reporting the healthcare system as overloaded increased insecurity and may have had an influence on delay.
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Introduction

Ischaemic cardiovascular disease is the most common cause of mortality in the world. Early contact with the medical healthcare service for diagnosis of symptoms and to receive time-sensitive coronary interventions can be crucial for the patient’s prognostic future when afflicted by an acute myocardial infarction (AMI). The personal experience of AMI is multifaceted, where the symptom interpretation is often affected by the individual’s own experiences. Symptoms such as fear and anxiety are common. Also, symptoms could be difficult to interpret due to underestimation of their severity and may delay the medical care-seeking, which could result in negative prognostic outcomes. The European Society of Cardiology defines patient delay as the time between symptom start to first medical contact with the healthcare system. Age, gender, ethnicity, low education level, chronic disease, and insufficient knowledge are well-known factors influencing increased delay time. Moreover, perceived inability to act and symptom assessment have a large impact on patient delay.

In December 2019, the coronavirus SARS-CoV2 (COVID-19) disease was first detected and in November 2021, the disease has affected nearly 260 million persons, resulting in 5 million deaths. Risk factors such as older age, male gender, being overweight or obese, and comorbidities such as coronary artery disease and diabetes type II have led to an increased probability for a more severe disease pattern, and thereby an increase in morbidity and mortality. Media coverage worldwide, with headlines of the COVID-19 pandemic, has had a negative effect on mental health, and the most common reasons for negative stress were reading and hearing about the contagiousness and the seriousness of the disease, the uncertainty about the time limit for quarantine, and demands for social distancing. In parallel to this a national and international decreased trend of seeking medical care for AMI was observed. A British study revealed that patient delay was clearly affected by the pandemic, with an increased delay from 119 to 227 min. In a preceding recently published study by Lidin et al., individuals with AMI increased their delay, caused by fear of COVID-19, particularly in women and

| Demographic data and risk factors | N=14 |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Age (years, IQR)                 | 64 (56-71) |
| Sex (male)                       | 12   |
| Diabetes                         | 5    |
| Hypertension                     | 9    |
| Body mass index (median, IQR)    | 28.1 (25.5-31) |
| Currently smoking                | 3    |

| Delay (median (IQR))             |
|----------------------------------|
| Patient delay-SWEDEHEART         | 3.0 (2.2-66.5) |
| Patient assessed delay (hours)   | 4.5 (21.8-96.0) |

Graphical Abstract

The COVID-19 Pandemic raised the threshold for deciding to seek medical care.

The emotion of fear of acquiring COVID-19 at in-hospital settings may be a main reason to delay.

It is of importance that health care professionals collaborate with media to spread information that is consistent with the current health capacity during the pandemic.
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Implications for practice

- The emotion of fear of acquiring COVID-19 at in-hospital settings maybe the main reason to delay the decision to seek medical care.
- It is important that healthcare professionals collaborate with the media to spread information that is consistent with the current healthcare capacity during the pandemic.
immigrants. This fear was based on thoughts of overcrowded hospitals due to COVID-19 and fear of being infected by the coronavirus at the hospital or in the ambulance.

However, there is a need for more in-depth knowledge of the individual perceptions of the influence of COVID-19 when afflicted by symptoms of AMI to gain a deeper understanding of the individuals’ care-seeking behaviour. This study aimed to describe the perceptions of delay in medical care-seeking when afflicted by an AMI during the first wave of the pandemic.

**Methods**

**Design**

This was a qualitative descriptive interview study with an inductive approach conducted by 14 telephone interviews between November and December 2020.

**Sample**

Participants were identified through a previous survey study. A total of 597 persons afflicted by an AMI between 1 March and 30 June 2020 were identified through the Swedish national registry (SWEDEHEART), a registry of all patients hospitalized for acute coronary syndromes. The participants were selected in the region of Stockholm. They were asked to fill in a psychometric evaluated questionnaire entitled ‘Patients appraisal, emotions, and action tendencies preceding care-seeking in AMI’ (PA-AMI). Designed for the study, additional questions were asked regarding care-seeking due to COVID-19. The main question was ‘Would you have sought medical care earlier if the COVID-19 pandemic had not occurred?’ As an inclusion criterion in the present study, the participants who, through their answers, indicated an increased delay due to the COVID-19 pandemic were contacted by phone and asked to participate. The participants had to be able to speak Swedish and be able to participate without any kind of intermediary. By criterion sampling, a total of 22 participants were approached, 15 men and 7 women, and a broad in age and sex was sought (Figure 1).

**Data collection**

The data collection was carried out by two of the main authors (P.L. and J.G.) using semi-structured individual interviews. An interview guide was used with open-ended questions for the purpose of focusing on the subject whilst still allowing the participants to freely describe their experience of the event. The interview guide consisted of questions where the participant was asked for example to elaborate on their perceptions when presenting with an AMI, from symptom onset to the decision to seek medical care and action when they sought medical care and transport to the hospital. The opening question was ‘Can you tell me briefly about when you sought medical care for your AMI?’ With follow up questions such as ‘What made you decide to seek medical care?’ and ‘Would you have sought medical care earlier if the COVID-19 pandemic had not existed?’.

Initially, four pilot interviews were conducted at which both main authors attended to ensure that the subsequent interviews would be performed using a similar interview technique. The pilot interviews were included in the analysis. Participants were enrolled as the interviews continued until satisfaction was achieved (similar descriptions of the phenomenon occurred). Additionally, two more interviews were conducted to ensure saturation, providing a total of 14 interviews. The interviews were carried out over the phone and were voice-recorded. At the time, interviews by phone were considered preferable to interviews in person due to restrictions associated with the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Video interviews were considered, but due to technical issues, were not performed. The interviews lasted 7–36 min (mean 20 ± 9 min).

**Data analysis**

The interviews were analysed using qualitative content analysis with a manifest approach. The recorded interviews were transcribed verbatim by the author who performed the interview. The transcripts were then read simultaneously whilst listening to the recordings to ensure coherence.

The identification, condensation, and coding of the meaning units were done by the main authors individually. The meaning units were condensed without altering their content or context. The condensed meaning units were coded and grouped together based on similarities and differences, forming sub-categories. The initial coding and subcategorization outlined by the main authors were further discussed between all the authors, who all had access to the interview transcripts for reference. When processing the sub-categories, a common thread was identified, and a category was formed. Discussions were held throughout the entire process where the codes, sub-categories, and the category were revised several times before consensus was reached.

**Ethics**

The study was carried out in accordance with the principles outlined in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (2020-03785). The participants received written information about the study in advance of the interview by mail, and verbal information when they were contacted by phone and asked to participate, as well as at the time of the interview. The study information emphasized voluntary participation and confidentiality. The transcribed interviews were provided with a participant-specific code number. The first authors as well as the responsible author (C.N.) and author (M.L.) had access to the identifying code list.

**Results**

Six sub-categories and one category emerged, describing how the COVID-19 pandemic raised the threshold for deciding to seek medical care (Table 1). When perceiving symptoms of an AMI, the pandemic affected the participants’ care-seeking process. These experiences were in some cases described clearly, where the participants gave a rich picture of how the pandemic had an influence on their care-seeking, whereas others had difficulty in describing these experiences in depth. In both cases, personal interpretations, decision-making processes, and subsequent actions were affected, and the participants’ thoughts about COVID-19 were described as being in the back of their minds. The participants’ characteristics are shown in Table 2.

**The influence of the risk of exposure**

The fear of being exposed to COVID-19 overruled the healthcare-seeking, even though the participants did not feel the same as usual.

When the participants thought of seeking medical care for their symptoms, they also considered a possible subsequent stay in hospital. The participants expressed concerns and fears about being exposed to a risk of infection in the healthcare setting, leading to an unwillingness to seek medical care and a delay in doing so. The feelings of fear, anxiety, and uncertainty due to COVID-19 and its severity led to symptoms being neglected and a hope that the symptoms would pass.
… it will pass, you know and then… you didn’t dare to go to the hospital/the pandemic had started. (P7)

Also, the participants said that they cancelled planned healthcare visits such as examinations or follow-ups as they thought there was a high risk of being infected by COVID-19, even if they understood there was a necessity for these, considering their symptoms.

The participants with risk factors such as hypertension, obesity, and older age stated that these factors strongly contributed to the decision not to seek medical care. Acquiring COVID-19 was thought to be synonymous with a severe course of the disease, which resulted in the avoidance of seeking medical care.

You didn’t know much then, it felt as you were a little bit scared though… you had high blood pressure, age was not on your side, you were not in good condition, obesity… and… very overweight… so, you were a little bit worried, you didn’t know how it worked… so I kept away as much as possible, I didn’t want to go there [to the emergency room]… (P6)
You didn’t know much then, it felt as you were a little bit scared though… you had high blood pressure, age was not on your side, you were not in good condition, obesity… and… very overweight… so, you were a little bit worried, you didn’t know how it worked… so I kept away as much as possible, I didn’t want to go there [to the emergency room]…

When I was there, with that pain, I could barely make it, I was nearly fainting. Then you didn’t think of COVID…

The wife (physiotherapist) said not to burden the healthcare. The wife (physiotherapist) said not to burden the healthcare

I thought it was a sort of COVID-thing and I thought it was odd that I didn’t have fever and then I didn’t need to go to the hospital and uhm… be in the hospital unnecessarily

I think most of all that, I had a picture that it was enormously overloaded in the health care system/… there would be crowds and […] would be there with a lot of poor people being super sick, I felt they [health professionals] had a lot to do anyway… they didn’t need me there too…

My wife is a physiotherapist//So, I had a well-qualified person that said to me that we should not… take the risk or burden, the health care system, but instead wait for a while

When I was there, with that pain, I could barely make it, I was nearly fainting. Then you didn’t think of COVID

The symptoms were superiors. The symptoms were superiors

The participant said there was a general recommendation from the Swedish Public Health Agency, government, and community as well as from the participants’ workplaces about personal responsibility, and not to risk being exposed to COVID-19, which affected their care-seeking.

The participants were strongly motivated by fear to follow these recommendations. Also, when they were away from home, they experienced being shaky and cold sweats, which was explained by the fear of being in public places. These feelings were avoided as long as possible, contributing to delay in seeking medical care. Moreover, as prescribed by the authorities, the participants said that as they had not met anyone for a long time; therefore, to suddenly be in public places and exposed to possible infection demanded an effort.

It was because of corona and so… you didn’t want to go to places where there were a lot of people! We hadn’t met any other people at all since the beginning of March. (P9)

### Perceiving symptoms as COVID-19

The participants related their perceived symptoms to the symptoms of COVID-19 such as difficulties breathing and discomfort in the chest. These symptoms could occur for example when exercising but were related to their breathing and therefore understood as COVID-19. Some participants said they had a feeling that it was just a matter of time before they would become ill with COVID-19. Moreover, as they thought that symptoms were related to COVID-19, the lack of fever and a sense of not being critically ill, delayed them from seeking medical care.
The media’s influence

There were general perceptions among the participants that the healthcare system was seriously overloaded, which caused worries and insecurity. The media reported that the in-hospital care was overcrowded with patients and therefore it was understood that the healthcare system had reached its maximum capacity and was inaccessible, which contributed to delay among the participants. The consequences of these assumptions were that the participants further re-evaluated their symptoms and judged them not to be serious enough to warrant taking up a hospital bed. Also, they did not want to burden the already overloaded healthcare system or be in an overcrowded waiting room taking up a hospital bed. Also, they did not want to burden the already their symptoms and judged them not to be serious enough to warrant of these assumptions were that the participants further re-evaluated which contributed to delay among the participants. The consequences care system had reached its maximum capacity and was inaccessible, crowded with patients and therefore it was understood that the health-and insecurity. The media reported that the in-hospital care was over-

The influence of significant others

The significant others were used as talking partners when symptoms were noted, and these discussions could result in a decision to seek medical care or a delay. Usually, the significant others recognized the need to seek medical care at an earlier stage than the participants. Therefore, they persuaded or in another way brought the partic-

The decision to seek medical care

When the symptoms were perceived as a serious threat or as a worsening of earlier symptoms, the participants’ thoughts and consider-

I did not understand what it was before that, I had no fever and therefore there was no reason to go to the emergency room considering COVID-19 had just been waiting to become ill with COVID. (P4)

Even if the participants experienced alarming symptoms this was set against the fact that there were others that might need the healthcare system more than themselves. This reasoning could persist for a long time and the participants could find themselves very ill with serious symptoms before these were judged to be untenable and the need to seek medical care became clear. Beyond the assumption that the healthcare system was overloaded and inaccessible, there was a desire not to burden the healthcare services unnecessarily as there were others with a higher need for healthcare resources. This was done through rescheduling pre-arranged healthcare meet-

Table 2  Characteristics of participants

| Characteristics                      | N = 14 |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Demographics                         |        |
| Age (years)                          |        |
| Median (IQR)                         | 64 (56–71) |
| Range                               | 51–81  |
| Sex                                  |        |
| Male                                 | 12     |
| Female                               | 2      |
| Risk factors                         |        |
| Diabetes                             | 5      |
| Hypertension                         | 9      |
| Body mass index [median (IQR)]       | 28.1 (25.5–31.0) |
| Currently smoking                    | 3      |
| Ceased smoking                       | 6      |
| Never smoked                         | 5      |
| History                              |        |
| Previous AMI                         | 6      |
| Previous PCI                         | 6      |
| AMI classification                   |        |
| STEMI                                | 4      |
| NSTEMI                               | 10     |
| Delay [median (IQR)]                 |        |
| Patient delay<sup>a</sup> (h)        | 3.0 (2.2–66.5) |
| Patient assessed delay<sup>b</sup> (h) | 44.5 (21.8–96.0) |

<sup>a</sup>Data collected from SWEDHEART registry.

<sup>b</sup>Self-assessed delay from PA-AMI questionnaire.

I think most of all that, I had a picture that it was enormously overloaded in the health care system/… there would be crowds and [I] would be there with a lot of poor people being super sick, I felt they [health professionals] had a lot to do anyway… they didn’t need me there too… (P13)

My wife is a physiotherapist and has worked as an instruction ther-
apist and stuff, and she is pretty qualified… but she thought, or she wanted to think, that it was a muscle spasm I felt when I had to sit down, and not a heart problem. And that is pretty common… that it is difficult to interpret… in the body between muscles and heart. So, I had a well-qualified person that said to me that no we should not… take the risk or burden, the health care system, but instead wait for a while. (P14)

When I was there, with that pain, I could barely make it, I was nearly fainting. Then you didn’t think of COVID. (P5)
Discussion

This study aimed to describe the perceptions of COVID-19 in medical care-seeking when afflicted by an AMI during the first wave of the pandemic. The findings illustrate the impact COVID-19 had on the care-seeking process where the possibility of infection and perceiving the healthcare system as inaccessible were important reasons for not seeking medical care.

The COVID-19 pandemic was a huge stress-triggering factor in the population in general, and our participants expressed this view clearly. To experience stress or perceive issues as threatening/dangerous happens when there is no belief in having adequate resources to cope with the triggering factor. The participants in our study used avoidance coping strategies such as not seeking medical care and denial, in line with previous studies of patients afflicted by an AMI, and of medical care-seeking when afflicted by an AMI. However, the avoidance coping strategy was due to the triggering factor, COVID-19, and not to the symptoms per se.

Among the participants in the present study, a main contributing factor to the hesitation in seeking medical care was insecurity following the mass media reports about the healthcare system being overcrowded and overloaded with patients afflicted by COVID-19. There were reports from the media about the intensive care units (ICUs) being overloaded with patients, leading to a public awareness of the burden in the in-hospital settings. One speculation about these reports is that when the media described the situation at the ICUs, where the patients with COVID-19 were treated, it might have been difficult for the public to differentiate an ICU from an intensive coronary care unit and also ordinary medical and surgical wards. The general public might have believed the reports about overcrowded ICUs applied to all in-hospital care. In fact, during the first wave of the pandemic, the decline in the number of patients with AMI and other cases led to empty beds in cardiac units. That the healthcare system was perceived as inaccessible was reported by the participants in our study. There were thoughts that others needed the bed more or that they did not want to be in a setting with other diseased persons. However, a considerable number of the media reports were not entirely correct.

Also, information from the Swedish Public Health Agency about personal responsibility, such as staying home, avoiding crowded settings, limiting any new close contacts, and working from home, had an impact on the care-seeking process. As the healthcare system was viewed as overloaded by the participants, the participants avoided seeking medical care as they thought someone else might need it more. These settings were also considered to pose a potential risk of being exposed to infection, which the participants avoided to the very last, and fear of acquiring the COVID-19 has been proposed as an explanation for not seeking medical care in patients with AMI. However, this study also highlights the effect of the media reporting on overloaded hospitals. A study by Nymark et al. showed that the number of patients cared for at departments of cardiology, heart surgery, vascular surgery, neurology, and neurosurgery was the same during the first wave as before the pandemic when comparing the data. Therefore, it is important that healthcare professionals collaborate with the media to spread information that is consistent with the current healthcare capacity when afflicted by an AMI.

Participants with risk factors expressed a fear of acquiring COVID-19 as it was considered to lead to a severe course of the disease. This is in line with another study where patients with risk factors such as cardiovascular diseases expressed more fear in relation to the pandemic than the general population. Moreover, in a previous study by Lidin et al., they also highlighted this assumption. In their study, women and/or immigrants with AMI and risk factors, such as smoking and diabetes type II, to a greater extent answered that they would have sought medical care earlier if the pandemic had not existed.

Furthermore, in the mentioned study by Lidin et al., there was a belief among the participants that the healthcare system was already overcrowded with patients with COVID-19, followed by a fear of becoming infected themselves at the hospital. In addition, in earlier studies, emotions such as fear, anxiety, and embarrassment contributed to delay, and these emotions have their origin in the perceived symptoms. It is notable that there is not an agreed scientific definition of the concept of emotion, although in its everyday use the meaning of ‘emotion’ is obvious. The concept of an emotional episode covers the dynamic unfolding of emotion and includes the changes in the appraisal of what can/or should be done to preserve or restore one’s threatened well-being and integrity. Appraisal theories view emotions as adaptive responses reflecting perceptions, interpretations, and evaluations of features of the environment and events. Our study considers questions about emotions due to COVID-19 in relation to care-seeking. It seems that the emotion of fear was related to the external threat due to COVID-19 to one’s own health, and not for the fear of symptoms related to an AMI, as reported in other studies. Moreover, the results highlight that as a consequence of these emotions, the pre-arranged healthcare meetings were cancelled and/or the medical care-seeking delayed, in line with previous studies. However, whether these delays were even more prolonged than delays before the pandemic, and the eventual consequences, such as a more severe illness after the AMI, are questions that must be probed in more detail.

Methodological considerations

The interviews were performed 5–9 months after the subject had been afflicted by an AMI. One limitation is that other aspects might have been captured if the interviews had been performed earlier as the memories and feelings may have been affected by the ongoing pandemic and the time that had passed since the cardiac event. However, the time delay should not affect the result significantly as the patients had clear memories from the event and could describe them in depth.

Conducting the interviews by phone may be no different to conducting them face-to-face, as it is important to ensure the participant feels that their answers are appreciated and their experiences are at the centre of the interview. There were discussions about conducting the interviews via video link. However, there were technical and confidentiality difficulties, and some of the participants declined, or could not manage such technology.

A strength of the study was the composition of the group of researchers, which contributed to a deeper understanding of the derived data through their profound and broad knowledge of nursing as well as their scientific knowledge. The knowledge in the group enriched the procedure of analysing the transcripts through a process...
of reflection and discussion, resulting in the presentedsubcategories and categories. To be true to the participants’ descriptions and stay close to the data was of the utmost importance during the process. The back and forth process among authors, between the parts and the whole, further confirmed the trustworthiness. To support the trustworthiness of the results and address credibility and transferability, the results consist of rich descriptions and are supported by citations.

**Conclusions**

The COVID-19 pandemic led to an increased patient delay when presenting with an AMI due to several reasons, among which fear of contracting the disease was prominent. It seems that the emotion of fear was related to the external threat to one’s own health, due to COVID-19, and not the fear of symptoms related to an AMI, prolonging the medical care-seeking. Also, the media reporting the healthcare system as overloaded, the Swedish Public Health Agency recommendations about personal responsibility, as well as recommendations from other authorities, may have an influence on delay. It appears that the pandemic raised the threshold for deciding to seek medical care.

**Funding**

None declared.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

**Data availability**

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**References**

1. World Health Organization. The top 10 causes of death; 2020. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death) (cited 5 December 2021).

2. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, Caforio ALP, Camacho-Freiere S, Kraaijeveld A, Antti Y, Boccalatte M, Deharo P, Donazzan L, Vignali L, Teles R, Benit E, Agostoni P, Bosa Ojeda F, Lehtola H, Menichelli M, Garcia-Touchard A, Manzo S, Caiazzo G, Moreu J, Martinez-Luengas IL, Scheller B, Alexopoulos D, Moreno R, Keddi E, Ucello G, Faurie B, Gutierrez Barriales A, Di Uccio FS, Bilbert B, Smits P, Cortese G, Parodi G, Didek D. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mechanical reperfusion for patients with STEMI. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:2321–30.

3. Abdelaziz HK, Abdelrahman A, Nabi A, Debski M, Mentias A, Choudhury T, Patel B, Saad M. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: time patterns and predictors for a prolonged delay. Eur Heart J 2021;42:517–31.

4. Nymark C, Mattsson AC, Henriksson P, Kiesling A. Emotions delay care-seeking in patients with an acute myocardial infarction. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2014;13:41–7.

5. Kirchberger I, Heier M, Wende R, von Scheidt W, Mesinger C. The patient’s interpretation of myocardial infarction symptoms and its role in the decision process to seek treatment: the MONICA/KORA Myocardial Infarction Registry. Clin Res Cardiol 2012;101:909–16.

6. Flüdn N, Langenger J, Friddlund B, Pettersen T, Rotevatn S, Nøkvekle TM. Patients’ reflections on prehospital symptom recognition and timely treatment of myocardial infarction. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2021;20:526–33.

7. Nielsen CG, Laut KG, Jensen LO, Rovikilde J, TerkelSEN CJ, Kristensen SD. Patient delay in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: time patterns and predictors for a prolonged delay. Eur Heart J 2017;38:583–91.

8. Wechuckanukul K, Grantham H, Clark RA. Global review of delay time in seeking medical care for chest pain: an integrative literature review. Aust Crit Care 2017; 30:13–20.

9. Nymark C, Sabonbochi F, Mattsson AC, Henriksson P, Kiesling A. Development and validation of an instrument to assess patients’ appraisal, emotions and action tendencies preceding care-seeking in acute myocardial infarction: the PA-AMI questionnaire. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2017;16:240–248.

10. Nymark C, Henriksson P, Mattisson AC, Sabonbochi F, Kiesling A. Inability to act was associated with an extended delay prior to care-seeking, in patients with an acute myocardial infarction. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2019;18:512–520.

11. World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard; 2021. [https://covid19.who.int/](https://covid19.who.int/) (cited 5 December 2021).

12. Gao YD, Ding M, Dong X, Zhang J, Kunst Aksur A, Aksur D, Gan H, Sun Y, Fu W, Li W, Liang H, Cao Y, Qiao C, Qiao H, Buesing M, Veen W, Sokolowski M, Alidis M, Alidis CA. Risk factors for severely and critically ill COVID-19 patients: a review. Allergy 2021;76:428–455.

13. Thakur B, Dubey P, Benitez J, Torres JP, Reddy S, Shokar N, Aung K, Mukherjee D, Dwivedi AK. A systematic review and meta-analysis of geographic differences in comorbidities and associated severity and mortality among individuals with COVID-19. Sci Rep 2021;11:8562.

14. Park CL, Russell BS, Friedrich M, Finkelstein-Fox L, Hutchison M, Becker J. Americans’ COVID-19 stress, coping, and adherence to CDC guidelines. J Gen Intern Med 2020;35:2296–2303.

15. Anwar A, Malik M, Raees V, Anwar A. Role of mass media and public health communication in the COVID-19 pandemic. Curr Res 2020;12:10453.

16. De Luca G, Verdeo M, Cerecik M, Jensen LO, Vafukis M, Calmac L, Johnson T, Ferrer GR, Ganyukov V, Wojakowski W, Kinnaard T, van Biezen C, Cottin Y, Joselund A, Tuczillo B, Versaci F, Roayrs KJ, Berg JT, Mok D, Mörken M, Sgivlja M, Casella G, Kala P, Diez Gil JL, Banning A, Becerra V, De Simone C, Santucci A, Carrillo X, Scoccia A, Amoroso G, Lux A, Kovarnik T, Davlovics P, Mehfil J, Giabelli G, Rios X, Balacameč N, Levesque S, Ciriccionne G, Guiducci V, Kidwai M, Spedicato L, Marinucci L, Ludman P, Zitli F, Galasso G, Fabris E, Menichelli M, Garcia-Touhard A, Marzano S, Caligioso G, Moreu J, Forés JS, Donazana L, Vignali L, Teles R, Berit E, Agostoni P, Bossa Qjeda F, Lehtola H, Comano-Freire A, Kress M, Antti Y, Boccalatte M, Deharo P, Martinez-Luengas IL, Scheller B, Alexopoulos D, Moreno R, Keddi E, Ucello G, Faurie B, Gutierrez Barriales A, Di Uccio FS, Bilbert B, Smits P, Cortese G, Parodi G, Didek D. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mechanical reperfusion for patients with STEMI. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:2321–30.

17. Mohammad MA, Kaul S, Oliveira Grok KG, Götberg M, Tyden P, Ryberg E, Schersten F, Alfredsson J, Vasko P, Omerovic E, Angerländer O, Frösblert O, Calais F, Voit S, Luntman A, Venetanzas D, Nyréng T, Oldgren J, Sarno G, Grimfård P, Persson J, Witt N, Österfeld E, Lindahl B, James SK, Erlinge D. Incidence and outcome of myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention during COVID-19 pandemic. Heart 2020;106:1812–1818.

18. Abdelaziz HK, Abdelrahman A, Nabi A, Desbiis M, Mentas A, Choudhury T, Patel B, Saad M. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: insights from a British cardiac centre. Am Heart J 2020;226:45–48.

19. Lidin M, Lynä P, Kivistö-Westerdahl A, Nyman C. Patient delay prior to care-seeking in acute myocardial infarction during the outbreak of the coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2021;20:752–759.

20. Jernberg T. SWEDEHEART annual report; 2019. [https://www.usr.uu.se/sweedheart/dokument/avr/arrarapporter/avr1-swedeheart-annual-report-2019](https://www.usr.uu.se/sweedheart/dokument/avr/arrarapporter/avr1-swedeheart-annual-report-2019) (cited 21 February 2021).

21. Saunders B, Sim J, Kingston T, Baker S, Waterfield J, Bartlam B, Burroughs H, Jinks C. Saturation in qualitative research: exploring its conceptualization and operationalization. Qual Quant 2018;52:1903–1907.

22. Saarjärvi M, Bratt E-L. When face-to-face interviews are not possible: tips and tricks for conducting telephone interviews in critical care nursing. Aust Crit Care 2021;24:392–396.

23. Graneheim UH, Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts, procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. Nurse Educ Today 2004;24:105–112.
24. World Medical Association. World Medical Association declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA 2013;310:2191–2194.

25. Shahsavarani AM, Azad Marz Abadi E, Hakimi Kalkhoran M. Stress: facts and theories through literature review. JHR 2015;2:230–41.

26. Nymark C, Mattiasson AC, Henriksson P, Kissing A. The turning point: from self-regulative illness behaviour to care-seeking in patients with an acute myocardial infarction. J Clin Nurs 2009;18:3358–3365.

27. Ångerud KH, Brulin C, Ellasson M, Näslund U, Hörnsten Å. The process of care-seeking for myocardial infarction among patients with diabetes. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2015;30:1–8.

28. Wong A, Ho S, Olusanya O, Antonini MV, Lyness D. The use of social media and online communications in times of pandemic COVID-19. J Intensive Care Soc 2021;22:255–260.

29. Irwin RE. Misinformation and de-contextualization: international media reporting on Sweden and COVID-19. Glob Health 2020;16:62.

30. The Public Health Agency of Sweden. How to protect yourself and others from being infected with COVID-19. 2021. https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/the-public-health-agency-of-sweden/communicable-disease-control/covid-19/how-to-protect-yourself-and-others-covid-19-recommendations/ (cited 5 December 2021).

31. Gorini F, Chatzianagnostou K, Mazzone A, Bustaia E, Esposito A, Berti S, Bianchi F, Vassalle C. “Acute myocardial infarction in the time of COVID-19”: a review of biological, environmental, and psychosocial contributors. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:7371.

32. von Vogelsang AC, Göransson KE, Falk AC, Nymark C. Missed nursing care during the COVID-19 pandemic: a comparative observational study. J Nurs Manag 2021;29:2343–2352.

33. Moser DK, McKinley S, Dracup K, Chung ML. Gender differences in reasons patients delay in seeking treatment for acute myocardial infarction symptoms. Patient Educ Couns 2005;56:45–54.

34. Mulligan K, Scherer KR. Toward a working definition of emotion. Emot Rev 2012;4:345–357.

35. Scherer KR. The dynamic architecture of emotion: evidence for the component process model. Cogn Emot 2009;23:1307–1351.

36. Moser DK, McKinley S, Dracup K. Treatment-seeking behavior for acute myocardial infarction symptoms in North America and Australia. Heart Lung 2000;29:237–247.

37. Zegrean M, Fox-Wasylyshyn SM, El-Mansy MM. Alternative coping strategies and decision delay in seeking care for acute myocardial infarction. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2009;24:151–155.

38. Polit DF, Beck CT. Nursing research: generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2021.

39. Graneheim Uh, Lindgren BM, Lundman B. Methodological challenges in qualitative content analysis: a discussion paper. Nurse Educ Today 2017;56:29–34.