Useful Life of a Reservoir and its Dependency on Watershed Activities
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Abstract
The developmental and remedial measure activities within the reservoir watershed, results in large variations in the discharge of volumes of sediment to the reservoir, which in turn affects the useful life of the reservoir. The information of the loss of storage capacity and the period of time over which the sediment would interfere with the useful functioning of the reservoir necessitate the reservoir sedimentation studies. In this study the empirical equation given by Gill [1] is used to determine the useful life of the reservoir, which uses the trap efficiency approach. Also the remote sensing data is used to generate the images of the land use and land cover maps and drainage maps to study about the variations of catchment area to discuss the changes in the useful life of the reservoir. The useful life of the reservoir is estimated to be 240 years.
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Introduction
The significance of dams in the present and in future to the society has been discussed by Veltrop [2]. Dams are an important infrastructure since they produce, a regulated water supply, and its value tends to increase with time as water supplies become increasingly scarce relative to demand. The importance of reservoirs to society can be expected to increase over time as population, economic activity, and irrigation demand grows. While modern hydraulic systems consist of many elements to appropriate both surface and groundwater supplies, in many regions reservoirs are the single most important component. In terms of consumptive use volume, irrigation is the most important user of water from reservoirs. Irrigated acreage has been expanding at the rate of about 30 percent per decade. One-third of the global harvest comes from that 17 percent of the world’s crop land receiving irrigation and irrigation deliveries worldwide now equal 5 times the average flow of the Mississippi River Postel [3].

However, uncontrolled sediment accumulation makes storage reservoirs the key non-sustainable component of modern water supply systems.

Sedimentation is a major unavoidable phenomenon in all the reservoirs. Many empirical studies have been done for reservoir sedimentation since 1950’s. Prediction of reservoir useful lifetime is the final target of all the reservoir designers making the issue as an important subject within hydraulic research Lawankar et al. [4]. Sultana et al. [5] applied the empirical equations given by Brune [6], Gill [1] and stated that the trap efficiency estimated for Sriramsagar reservoir were fairly good. The results showed that the Brune’s and Gill’s method estimated the trap efficiency better than the Brown’s method.

Methods and Materials
Study area
In this study, the useful life of Sriramsagar reservoir (SRSP), is determined which is situated in the Telangana State, in India. This project was formerly known as the Pochampadu irradiation project. It is built on the river Godavari. The river Godavari is one of the major peninsular rivers in southern India, and the third largest in India. The Sriramsagar Project or the Pochampadu Project is a part of the Godavari middle sub basin of the Godavari basin. Sriramsagar Reservoir is a Major Irrigation Project with a Gross Storage capacity of 112TMC at FRL 1091Ft intended to provide Irrigation facilities in 9.68Lakh Acres in four districts viz. Adilabad, Nizambad, Karimnagar and Warangal districts. The useful life of a storage reservoir depends upon the rate of sediment deposition in the reservoir. At the planning stage of the reservoir, a provision of dead storage to extent of 30TMC,
assuming the life of the reservoir as 100 years has been made to accommodate the silt deposition. The original capacity of the reservoir in 1984 was 112 TMC. The capacity of the reservoir from the 1994 surveys is found to be 90 TMC and the capacity of the reservoir was reduced to 80 TMC as per 2014 surveys. The loss of capacity till 2014 is found to be 32 TMC. Remedial measures were taken later on by construction of 152 number of silt arresting tanks, 26 numbers of check dams and afforestation in foreshore area.

The hydrological and sediment data has been acquired from Central Water Commission Board (CWC), Hyderabad and Sriramsagar Reservoir Camp Office (SRSP)-I, Hyderabad. Remote sensing data from Indian Remote Sensing satellites IRS-1D & RESOURCESAT/IRS-P6, with linear imaging and self-scanning sensor (LISS-III sensors) which operates in three spectral bands in VNIR and one band in SWIR with a spatial resolution of 23.5m and a swath of 141km for the year 2008 and 2013 has been acquired. ArcGIS-10.2.2 software is used to generate the False Color Composite Figures (FCC), Land Use/Land Cover (LU/LC) images, slope maps, drainage maps with the count of the stream order for the catchment area of Sriramsagar reservoir for the year 2008 and 2013.

Figure 1: False Color Composite Figures of Godavari Middle Sub Basin of year 2008 and 2013.

Figure 2: Drainage Maps of Godavari Middle Sub Basin for the year 2008 and 2013.

Figure 1 shows the false color composite figures of the catchment area of Sriramsgar reservoir for the years 2008 and 2013. From the Figure 2 and Table 1, observations in the change of stream patterns can be done. The major change is seen in the reduction in the number of first order streams. The higher order stream pattern remains more or less the same.

From the Figure 3 & Table 2, it is observed that there is increase in the grasslands area, agricultural land area and the wet land area from the year 2008 to 2013, which reduces the soil erosion, which in turn reduces the sediment reaching the reservoir.
Table 1: Table showing the variations in the streams for the year 2008 and 2013.

| Stream Order | No of Streams |
|--------------|--------------|
|              | 2008      | 2013     |
| 1            | 811       | 804      |
| 2            | 379       | 380      |
| 3            | 184       | 187      |
| 4            | 109       | 103      |
| 5            | 76        | 71       |
| 6            | 43        | 43       |
| Total        | 1602      | 1588     |

Table 2: Land Use and Land Cover Data of the Godavari Middle Sub Basin.

| Type of Land Use/Land Cover   | Area Coverage in 2008 (Sq.Km) | Area Coverage in 2013 (Sq.Km) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Water bodies                  | 2.399671                      | 1.5141                        |
| Agriculture                   | 10.750954                     | 12.32777                      |
| Grass Land                    | 11.144956                     | 20.291451                     |
| Vacant Land                   | 15.507007                    | 14.285333                     |
| Waste Land                    | 15.372674                    | 5.526783                      |
| Wet Land                      | 12.284997                    | 19.986105                     |
| Built Up Land                 | 9.94515                      | 9.054327                      |

Figure 3: Land Use and Land Cover of the catchment area of Sriramsagar reservoir for the year 2008 and 2013.

Estimation of useful life of the reservoir

A direct method for useful life estimation of a reservoir was proposed by Gill [1] which correlates the reservoir capacity with age in years algebraically. With the relationship between sedimentation rates, Te, specific weight of sediment deposited, the storage available after sedimentation for a given period Δt was estimated using the following equation 1.

$$Co - C = \left( G \cdot Te \cdot \Delta t \right) / \rho$$  (1)

where, Co is the initial capacity of reservoir; C, is reduced capacity of reservoir at any time t; G is the characteristic weight of annual sediment inflow; Δt is a short interval of time in years in which capacity is reduced from Co to C; and ρ is specific weight of sediment deposited. Assuming a period in which the initial reservoir capacity will reduce to half (means C=Co/2) as useful life of a reservoir and by substituting the value of Te, Gill [1] derived equations for estimating the useful life of a reservoir and are shown in the equations 2 to 4.

Primarily Highly Flocculated and Coarse Grained Sediments:

$$T_L = \left[ \rho \cdot I / G \right] \left[ 0.49735 \cdot Co / I + 0.3 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot I / Co + 0.00436 \right]$$  (2)

Median Curve (for Medium Sediments):

$$T_L = \left[ \rho \cdot I / G \right] \left[ 0.008 + 0.51 \cdot Co / I \right]$$  (3)

Primarily Colloidal and Dispersed Fine-grained Sediments:

$$T_L = \left[ \rho \cdot I / G \right] \left[ 0.51328 \cdot Co / I + 0.133 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot I / Co + 0.513 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \left( I / Co \right)^2 + 0.018167 \right]$$  (4)

Where TL is useful life of reservoir in years, e.g., time in which the initial reservoir capacity Co will reduce to half.

Specific weight of deposited reservoir sediment

The runoff load is usually computed in terms of weight by time, as t/year, and shall be converted into equivalent volume, as m³/year, by knowing the specific weight. Lara and Pemberton realized by performing researches with samplings from existing reservoirs that the specific weight for sediment deposits may be computed according to the kind of operation for the specific reservoir, the level of sediment compaction and granulometry, which are the most influent factors for deposits consolidation.
Less influent facts may be mentioned, such as the density of the reservoir’s stream sediment, the slope for the tributary stream and the vegetation effect on the reservoir headwaters area.

The bulk density or the specific weight (unit weight of dry sediment material in kg/m³) of the deposits will vary with the proportions of sand (>0.05mm), silt (0.01 to 0.05mm) and clay materials (<0.01mm), the type of reservoir operation (exposed or submerged sediment deposits) and the consolidation period. The variation range is about 300 to 1600 kg/m³. The lower densities generally occur in the vicinity of the dam under submerged conditions, while the higher densities generally occur in the upstream part of the reservoir and exposed regions after drawdown of the reservoir. Based on data from reservoirs in the USA, Lara and Pemberton [7] derived an expression for the initial (at t=0) bulk density shown in the equation 5.

\[ \gamma_i = W_c P_c + W_m P_m + W_s P_s \]  

Where:

\[ \gamma_i = \text{initial specific weight (t/m}^3) \].

\[ W_c, W_m, W_s = \text{coefficient of compaction for clay, silt and sand respectively obtained according to the kind of reservoir operation shown in the Table 3.} \]

\[ P_c, P_m, P_s = \text{fractions of quantities of clay, silt and sand contained in the tributary sediment.} \]

Murthy [8] presents many data of bulk density values from reservoirs (mostly submerged sediments in reservoirs with moderate drawdown) in India. Based on a total of 380 samples (taken by a corer sampler), \( W_c \) (clay), initial = 480 kg/m³, \( W_m \) (silt), initial = 1040 kg/m³, \( W_s \) (sand), initial= 1470 kg/m³.

The specific weight increases with time due to compaction. Lane and Koelzer [9] proposed an expression 6, which gives the specific weight of the first year’s deposition after T years of compaction due to later deposits (on top of the first year’s deposit):

\[ \gamma_T = \gamma_i + K\log(T) \]  

Miller [10] developed an expression shown in the equation 7 representing the average density of the total deposited sediment package in the reservoir from one to T years:

\[ \gamma_T = \gamma_i + 0.4343 \times K\left(\frac{T}{(T-1)}\log(T)-1\right) \]  

\[ \gamma_T = \text{average specific weight in T years (t/m}^3)\];

\[ T = \text{settled sediment compaction time (years)}; \]

\[ K = \text{constant depended on sediment granulometry and based on the kind of reservoir operation as shown in the Table 3.} \]

Table 3: Kind of reservoir operation (adapted from Strand, 1974).

| Kind | Reservoir Operation |
|------|---------------------|
| 1    | Sediment always, or almost always, submerged |
| 2    | Little to medium reservoir depletion |
| 3    | Reservoir reporting significant level variations |
| 4    | Reservoir usually empty |

The specific weights of the deposited sediment are computed using the characteristic values of the specific weights for the suitable reservoir condition shown in the Table 4. In computing the specific weights of the deposited sediment, the percentages of sand, silt and clay in the sediment is considered which is shown in the Table 5. Lara and Pemberton’s expression for the initial (at t=0) bulk density shown in the equation 5 is used to calculate the initial specific weights. Miller’s expression shown in the equation 7 is used for determination of average density of the total deposited sediment package in the reservoir from one to T years. Gill’s equation shown in the equation 4 for primarily colloidal and dispersed fine-grained sediments is used to calculate the useful life of reservoir.

| Reservoir Type | Initial(T=0) | Compacted After Time T |
|---------------|-------------|------------------------|
| W (Clay) Kg/m³ | W (Silt) Kg/m³ | W (Sand) Kg/m³ | W (Clay) Kg/m³ | K_c | W (Silt) Kg/m³ | K_m | W (Sand) Kg/m³ | K_s | K_c |
| Always submerged | 415 | 1120 | 1550 | 480 | 255 | 1040 | 90 | 1550 | 0 |
| Normally moderate to considerable drawdown | 560 | 1140 | 1550 | 735 | 170 | 1185 | 45 | 1550 | 0 |
| Normally empty | 640 | 1170 | 1550 | 960 | 100 | 1265 | 15 | 1550 | 0 |
| River bed sediment | 960 | 1170 | 1550 | 1250 | 0 | 1310 | 0 | 1550 | 0 |
Table 5: Computation of specific weights of deposited sediment.

| Year | $P_c$ (clay)% | $P_m$ (silt)% | $P_s$ (sand)% | $\gamma_i$ (kg/m³) | $K$ | $T$ | $\gamma_T$ (Kg/m³) |
|------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-------------------|
| 1987 | 0.99          | 0.00          | 0.01          | 425.83            | 252.56 | 26 | 687.80 |
| 1988 | 0.97          | 0.01          | 0.02          | 447.67            | 247.61 | 25 | 700.71 |
| 1989 | 0.96          | 0.01          | 0.04          | 461.57            | 244.50 | 24 | 707.52 |
| 1990 | 0.96          | 0.00          | 0.03          | 456.61            | 245.63 | 23 | 699.61 |
| 1991 | 0.97          | 0.00          | 0.03          | 446.94            | 247.81 | 22 | 687.83 |
| 1992 | 0.98          | 0.00          | 0.02          | 438.20            | 249.77 | 21 | 676.49 |
| 1993 | 0.98          | 0.00          | 0.02          | 438.94            | 249.59 | 20 | 672.36 |
| 1994 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 418.16            | 254.29 | 19 | 650.96 |
| 1995 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 416.09            | 254.75 | 18 | 644.06 |
| 1996 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 415.78            | 254.82 | 17 | 638.26 |
| 1997 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 415.00            | 255.00 | 16 | 631.78 |
| 1998 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 415.42            | 254.91 | 15 | 625.93 |
| 1999 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 416.26            | 254.72 | 14 | 620.03 |
| 2000 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 415.63            | 254.86 | 13 | 612.50 |
| 2001 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 416.35            | 254.69 | 12 | 605.59 |
| 2002 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 418.30            | 254.24 | 11 | 599.13 |
| 2003 | 1.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 416.66            | 254.62 | 10 | 588.99 |
| 2004 | 0.98          | 0.01          | 0.02          | 434.45            | 244.37 | 9  | 587.67 |
| 2005 | 0.97          | 0.02          | 0.01          | 436.81            | 249.96 | 8  | 586.24 |
| 2006 | 0.98          | 0.01          | 0.02          | 436.59            | 250.12 | 7  | 574.57 |
| 2007 | 0.98          | 0.01          | 0.02          | 438.72            | 249.63 | 6  | 563.41 |
| 2008 | 0.97          | 0.01          | 0.02          | 441.45            | 249.01 | 5  | 550.87 |
| 2009 | 0.97          | 0.01          | 0.02          | 442.29            | 248.82 | 4  | 533.97 |
| 2010 | 0.97          | 0.01          | 0.02          | 445.15            | 248.17 | 3  | 514.99 |
| 2011 | 0.95          | 0.01          | 0.03          | 460.72            | 244.63 | 2  | 501.76 |
| 2012 | 0.93          | 0.02          | 0.04          | 481.41            | 239.94 | 1  | 481.41 |
| Average | | | | 613.24 |  | | |
### Table 1: Slope Variations of 2000-2012

| Year | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Average |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Value| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 613.24 |
|       | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |         |
|       | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 |         |
|       | 415.63 | 416.35 | 418.30 | 416.66 | 434.45 | 436.81 | 436.59 | 438.72 | 441.45 | 442.29 | 445.15 | 460.72 | 481.41 |         |
|       | 254.86 | 254.69 | 254.24 | 254.62 | 244.37 | 249.96 | 250.12 | 249.63 | 249.01 | 248.82 | 248.17 | 244.63 | 239.94 |         |
|       | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |         |
|       | 612.50 | 605.59 | 599.13 | 588.99 | 587.67 | 586.24 | 574.57 | 563.41 | 550.87 | 533.97 | 514.99 | 501.76 | 481.41 |         |

**Figure 4:** Slope Map of the catchment area of Sriramsagar reservoir for the year 2008 and 2013.

**Figure 5:** Variations of initial and consolidated specific weights of sediment.
Results

Figures 4 & 5 shows the plot of the specific weights of the sediment at the initial stage and at a time after consolidation of the sediment. The trend of the curve for the consolidated specific weight shows that the greater the time period, the higher is the specific weight of the sediment due to consolidation. Using the initial and the consolidated specific weights, the useful life of the reservoir is calculated which is shown in the Table 6.

Table 6: Computation of useful life of reservoir.

| year | Inflows (I) (Mcum) | Total Sediment Inflow(G)tons | TL in years(fine sediment) using the equation |
|------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1987 | 4593.95           | 6177.69                     | 190.46                                        |
| 1988 | 3215.82           | 37079.32                    | 31.86                                         |
| 1989 | 25900.39          | 24053.65                    | 60.98                                         |
| 1990 | 16351.96          | 25414.93                    | 52.71                                         |
| 1991 | 19509.94          | 5822.26                     | 232.46                                        |
| 1992 | 4863.10           | 7924.83                     | 146.44                                        |
| 1993 | 1666.60           | 1384.30                     | 240.32                                        |
| 1994 | 2775.15           | 2971.76                     | 367.61                                        |
| 1995 | 3586.79           | 2054.46                     | 530.66                                        |
| 1996 | 6815.24           | 3528.72                     | 316.54                                        |
| 1997 | 4049.05           | 1002.12                     | 160.38                                        |
| 1998 | 1583.05           | 19072.23                    | 54.37                                         |
| 1999 | 15672.72          | 2876.50                     | 410.22                                        |
| 2000 | 6815.07           | 8153.97                     | 131.46                                        |
| 2001 | 9185.72           | 2793.25                     | 388.42                                        |
| 2002 | 2947.73           | 1426.89                     | 705.95                                        |
| 2003 | 3505.65           | 1754.58                     | 260.49                                        |
| 2004 | 3147.25           | 1878.45                     | 280.61                                        |
| 2005 | 541.16            | 10691.25                    | 89.81                                         |
| 2006 | 10622.35          | 23458.05                    | 44.49                                         |
| 2007 | 14625.44          | 3250.45                     | 326.79                                        |
| 2008 | 2920.04           | 3110.49                     | 297.67                                        |
| 2009 | 3707.05           | 932.33                      | 240.11                                        |
| 2010 | 1061.09           | 9146.04                     | 92.76                                         |
| 2011 | 8848.57           | 2662.56                     | 336.52                                        |
| 2012 | 4896.58           | 1464.75                     | 260.87                                        |
| Average |               |                            | 240.41                                        |

Discussion

A direct method proposed by Gill [1] which correlates the reservoir capacity with age in years algebraically for primarily colloidal and dispersed fine-grained sediments is used to estimate the useful life of a reservoir. The average useful life of the reservoir computed from 1987 to 2012 is 240 years shown in the Table 6. The Figure 6 shows the plot of the useful life of the reservoir computed. The average trend line shows a gradual increase in the useful life of the reservoir as in the initial period the rate of siltation was very high and later on there was a reduction in it. Figure 7 shows the variation of the useful life of the reservoir with the total sediment inflow. The plot shows that with the decrease in the sediment, there is an increase in the useful life of the reservoir over a period of time [11-13].
Conclusion

From this study, it has been observed that in the initial period, the rate of siltation was very high. The higher rate of siltation may be due to the nature of the catchment. Most of the catchment area of the reservoir is plain and scattered with highly erodible soil. Further the problem is aggravated due to poor vegetation and forest cover in the catchment which is steeply sloped towards the reservoir. The rate of siltation has reduced after a certain period. This may be due to the catchment area treatment measures taken by the Government in the recent years and also due to the dams constructed on Godavari on the upstream side. Remedial measures were taken by construction of 152 number of silt arresting tanks, 26 numbers of check dams and afforestation in foreshore area.

From the results of the computation of the useful life of the reservoir, it can be said that the useful life of the reservoir has improved due to the reduction in the siltation rate.
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