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Abstract
In this paper, we consider the almost periodic dynamics of a multispecies Lotka-Volterra mutualism system with time varying delays on time scales. By establishing some dynamic inequalities on time scales, a permanence result for the model is obtained. Furthermore, by means of the almost periodic functional hull theory on time scales and Lyapunov functional, some criteria are obtained for the existence, uniqueness and global attractivity of almost periodic solutions of the model. Our results complement and extend some scientific work in recent years. Finally, an example is given to illustrate the main results.
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1 Introduction
Recently, there have been many scholars concerned with the dynamics of the mutualism model. Topics such as permanence, global attractivity, and periodicity of mutualism systems governed by differential equations were extensively investigated (see [1–10]). For example, in [10], the author studied the existence of positive periodic solutions of the periodic mutualism model

\begin{align}
\frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} &= x_1(t)\left[\frac{r_1(t)K_1(t)+r_2(t)x_2(t-t_2(t))}{1+x_2(t-t_2(t))}-r_1(t)x_1(t-\sigma_1(t))\right], \\
\frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} &= x_2(t)\left[\frac{r_2(t)K_2(t)+r_1(t)x_1(t-t_1(t))}{1+x_1(t-t_1(t))}-r_2(t)x_2(t-\sigma_2(t))\right],
\end{align}

where \( r_i, K_i, \alpha_i \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+) \), \( \alpha_i > K_i, i = 1, 2 \), \( \sigma_i, \tau_i \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+) \), \( \sigma_i, \tau_i (i = 1, 2) \) are functions of period \( \omega > 0 \).

However, in applications, if the various constituent components of the temporally nonuniform environment are with incommensurable periods, then one has to consider the environment to be almost periodic since there is no a priori reason to expect the existence of periodic solutions. Hence, if we consider the effects of the environmental factors, almost periodicity is sometimes more realistic and more general than periodicity. In recent years, the almost periodic solution of the models in biological populations has been
studied extensively (see [11–18] and the references cited therein). In addition, some recent attention was on the permanence and global stability of discrete mutualism system, and many excellent results have been derived (see [19–24]). For example, in [24], the authors considered the following discrete multispecies Lotka-Volterra mutualism system:

\[
x_i(k + 1) = x_i(k) \exp \left\{ a_i(k) - b_i(k)x_i(k) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}(k) \frac{x_j(k)}{d_{ij} + x_j(k)} \right\},
\]

where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, x_i(k) \) stand for the densities of species \( x_i \) at the \( k \)th generation, \( a_i(k) \) represent the natural growth rates of species \( x_i \) at the \( k \)th generation, \( b_i(k) \) are the intraspecific effects of the \( k \)th generation of species \( x_i \) on own population, \( c_{ij}(k) \) measure the interspecific mutualism effects of the \( k \)th generation of species \( x_j \) on species \( x_i \) \( (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, i \neq j) \), and \( d_{ij} \) \( (\geq 1) \) are positive control constants. By means of the theory of difference inequality and Lyapunov function, they established sufficient conditions for the existence and uniformly asymptotic stability of a unique positive almost periodic solution to system (1.2).

Furthermore, so many processes, both natural and manmade, in biology, medicine, chemistry, physics, engineering, economics, etc. involve time delays. Time delays occur so often, so if we ignore them, we ignore reality. Generally, the meaning of time delay is that some time elapses between causes and their effects (for instance, in population dynamics, individuals always need some time to mature, or in medicine, infectious diseases have incubation periods). Specially, in the real world, the delays in differential equations of biological phenomena are usually time varying. Thus, it is worthwhile continuing to study the existence and stability of a unique almost periodic solution of the multispecies Lotka-Volterra mutualism system with time varying delays.

Since permanence is one of the most important topics in the study of population dynamics, one of the most interesting questions in mathematical biology concerns the survival of species in ecological models. Biologically, when a system of interacting species is persistent in a suitable sense, it means that all the species survive in the long term. It is reasonable to ask for conditions under which the system is permanent.

Also, as we know, the study of dynamical systems on time scales is now an active area of research. The theory of times scales has received a lot of attention which was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his PhD thesis in 1988, providing a rich theory that unifies and extends continuous and discrete analysis [25]. In fact, both continuous and discrete systems are very important in implementation and applications. But it is troublesome to study the dynamics for continuous and discrete systems respectively. Therefore, it is significant to study that on time scales which can unify the continuous and discrete situations.

Motivated by the above reasons, in this paper, we are concerned with the following multispecies Lotka-Volterra mutualism system with time varying delays on time scales:

\[
x^T_i(t) = a_i(t) - b_i(t)e^{\tau_i(t-t_0(t))} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\delta_{ij}(t-t_0(t))}}{d_{ij} + e^{\delta_{ij}(t-t_0(t))}},
\]

where \( T \) is an almost periodic time scale.
Remark 1.1 Let \( y_i(t) = e^{\psi(t)} \), if \( \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \), then system (1.3) is reduced to the following system:

\[
y_i(t) = y_i(t) \left\{ a_i(t) - b_i(t)y_i(t - \tau_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{y_j(t - \delta_j(t))}{d_{ij} + y_j(t - \delta_j(t))} \right\},
\]

\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, t \in \mathbb{R}, \)

which is a generalization of (1.1). If \( \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z} \), then system (1.3) is reduced to the following system:

\[
y_i(k + 1) = y_i(k) \exp \left\{ a_i(k) - b_i(k)y_i(k - \tau_i(k)) + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n} c_{ij}(k) \frac{y_j(k - \delta_j(k))}{d_{ij} + y_j(k - \delta_j(k))} \right\},
\]

\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t \in \mathbb{Z}, \)

let \( \tau_i(k) = 0, \delta_j(k) = 0 \), then system (1.3) is reduced to system (1.2).

By the biological meaning, we will focus our discussion on the positive solutions of system (1.3). So, it is assumed that the initial condition of system (1.3) is of the form

\[
x_i(s) = \varphi_i(s) \geq 0, \quad \varphi_i(t_0) > 0, \quad s \in [t_0 - \theta, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where \( \theta = \max\{\tau^+, \delta^+\}, \tau^+ = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{\tau_i(t)\}, \delta^+ = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{\delta_{ij}(t)\}, \)

\( \tau^- = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \inf_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{\tau_i(t)\}, \delta^- = \min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \inf_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{\delta_{ij}(t)\}.\)

For convenience, we denote

\[
f' = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |f(t)|, \quad f'' = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |f(t)|.
\]

Throughout this paper, we assume that:

(H1) \( a_i(t), b_i(t), c_{ij}(t), \tau_i(t), \delta_j(t) \) are all almost periodic functions such that \( a_i' > 0, b_i' > 0, \)

\( c_{ij}' > 0, \tau^- > 0 \) and \( \delta^- > 0; d_{ij} > 1, t - \tau_i(t) \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( t - \delta_j(t) \in \mathbb{T} \) for \( t \in \mathbb{T}, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \)

\( j \neq i.\)

(H2) \( \tau^\Delta = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{\tau_i^\Delta(t)\}, \delta^\Delta = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{\delta_{ij}^\Delta(t)\} \) and \( 1 - \tau^\Delta > 0, 1 - \delta^\Delta > 0.\)

To the best of our knowledge, there is no paper published on the permanence, the existence and uniqueness of globally attractive almost periodic solutions to systems (1.4) and (1.5). The main purpose of this paper is, by establishing some dynamic inequalities on time scales, to discuss the permanence of system (1.3) and, by using the almost periodic functional hull theory on time scales, to establish criteria for the existence and uniqueness of globally attractive almost periodic solutions of system (1.3). For the preliminary work which has investigated the permanence, the existence and uniqueness of globally attractive almost periodic solutions to almost periodic systems governed by differential or difference equations by using the almost periodic functional hull theory, we refer the reader to [26–30].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic definitions, necessary lemmas and establish some dynamic inequalities on time scales which will be...
used in later sections. In Section 3, we discuss the permanence of system (1.3). In Section 4, we consider the global attractivity of almost periodic solutions of system (1.3) by means of Lyapunov functional. In Section 5, some sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence of positive almost periodic solutions of system (1.3) by use of the almost periodic functional hull theory on time scales. The main results in Sections 4 and 5 are illustrated by giving an example in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries
In this section, we shall recall some basic definitions, lemmas which are used in what follows.

A time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers, the forward and backward jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ and the forward graininess $\mu : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^{+}$ are defined, respectively, by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf \{ s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t \}, \quad \rho(t) := \sup \{ s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t.$$ 

A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is called left-dense if $t > \inf \mathbb{T}$ and $\rho(t) = t$, left-scattered if $\rho(t) < t$, right-dense if $t < \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma(t) = t$, and right-scattered if $\sigma(t) > t$. If $\mathbb{T}$ has a left-scattered maximum $m$, then $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{ m \}$; otherwise $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$. If $\mathbb{T}$ has a right-scattered minimum $m$, then $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{ m \}$; otherwise $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$.

A function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is right-dense continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense point in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-side limits exist at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. If $f$ is continuous at each right-dense point and each left-dense point, then $f$ is said to be a continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$.

For $y : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, we define the delta derivative of $y(t), y^\Delta(t)$ to be the number (if it exists) with the property that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $t$ such that

$$\left[ y(\sigma(t)) - y(s) \right] - y^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s] < \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$$

for all $s \in U$.

If $y$ is continuous, then $y$ is right-dense continuous, and if $y$ is delta differentiable at $t$, then $y$ is continuous at $t$.

Let $f$ be right-dense continuous, if $F^\Delta(t) = f(t)$, then we define the delta integral by

$$\int_r^s f(t) \Delta t = F(s) - F(r), \quad r,s \in \mathbb{T}.$$ 

Lemma 2.1 [25] Assume $f, g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ are delta differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$, then

(i) $(f + g)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t) + g^\Delta(t)$;
(ii) $(fg)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t)g(t) + f^\sigma(t)g^\Delta(t) = f(t)g^\Delta(t) + f^\Delta(t)g^\sigma(t)$;
(iii) if $g(t)g^\sigma(t) \neq 0$, then $\left( \frac{f}{g} \right)^\Delta(t) = \frac{f^\Delta(t)g(t) - f(t)g^\Delta(t)}{g(t)g^\sigma(t)}$;
(iv) if $f$ and $f^\Delta$ are continuous, then $(\int_a^s f(t, s) \Delta s)^\Delta = f(\sigma(t), t) + \int_a^s f^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s$.

A function $p : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called regressive provided $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. The set of all regressive and rd-continuous functions $p : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. We define the set $\mathcal{R}^+ = \mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) = \{ p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \}$. 


If \( r \in \mathcal{R} \), then the generalized exponential function \( e_r \) is defined by

\[
e_r(t,s) = \exp \left\{ \int_s^t \xi_{r(t)}(r(\tau)) \Delta \tau \right\}
\]

for all \( s, t \in \mathbb{T} \), with the cylinder transformation

\[
\xi_h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\log(1+hz)}{h}, & h \neq 0, \\ z, & h = 0. \end{cases}
\]

Then the generalized exponential function has the following properties.

**Lemma 2.2** [25] Assume that \( p, q : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) are two regressive functions, then

(i) \( e_0(t,s) \equiv 1 \) and \( e_p(t,t) \equiv 1 \);
(ii) \( e_p(\sigma(t),s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t,s) \);
(iii) \( e_p(t,s) = 1/e_p(s,t) = e_{\ominus p}(s,t) \);
(iv) \( e_p(t,s)e_p(s,r) = e_p(t,r) \);
(v) \( e_p(t,s)e_q(t,s) = e_{p\ominus q}(t,s) \);
(vi) \( e_p(t,s)/e_q(t,s) = e_{p\odot q}(t,s) \);
(vii) \( \left( \frac{1}{e_p(t,s)} \right)^{\Delta} = \frac{-p(t)}{e_p(t,s)} \).

**Lemma 2.3** [31] Let \( f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuously increasing function and \( f(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in \mathbb{T} \), then

\[
\frac{f^\Delta(t)}{f^\sigma(t)} \leq [\ln(f(t))]^\Delta \leq \frac{f^\Delta(t)}{f(t)}.
\]

**Definition 2.1** [32] A time scale \( \mathbb{T} \) is called an almost periodic time scale if

\[
\Pi = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} : t \pm \tau \in \mathbb{T}, \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \} \neq \{0\}.
\]

Throughout this paper, \( \mathbb{E}^n \) denotes \( \mathbb{R}^n \) or \( \mathbb{C}^n \), \( D \) denotes an open set in \( \mathbb{E}^n \) or \( D = \mathbb{E}^n \), and \( S \) denotes an arbitrary compact subset of \( D \).

**Definition 2.2** [32] Let \( \mathbb{T} \) be an almost periodic time scale. A function \( f \in C(\mathbb{T} \times D, \mathbb{E}^n) \) is called an almost periodic function in \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) uniformly for \( x \in D \) if the \( \epsilon \)-translation set of \( f \),

\[
E(\epsilon,f,S) = \{ t \in \Pi : |f(t + \tau,x) - f(t,x)| < \epsilon, \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{T} \times S \}
\]

is a relatively dense set in \( \mathbb{T} \) for all \( \epsilon > 0 \) and for each compact subset \( S \) of \( D \); that is, for any given \( \epsilon > 0 \) and for each compact subset \( S \) of \( D \), there exists a constant \( l(\epsilon,S) > 0 \) such that each interval of length \( l(\epsilon,S) \) contains \( t(\epsilon,S) \in E(\epsilon,f,S) \) such that

\[
|f(t + \tau,x) - f(t,x)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{T} \times S.
\]
\( \tau \) is called the \( \varepsilon \)-translation number of \( f \) and \( l(\varepsilon, S) \) is called the inclusion length of \( E[\varepsilon, f, S] \).

For convenience, we denote \( \text{AP}(\mathbb{T}) = \{ f : f \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^n), f \text{ is almost periodic} \} \) and introduce some notations: let \( \alpha = \{ \alpha_n \} \) and \( \beta = \{ \beta_n \} \) be two sequences. Then \( \beta \subset \alpha \) means that \( \beta \) is a subsequence of \( \alpha \), \( \alpha + \beta = \{ \alpha_n + \beta_n \} \), \( -\alpha = \{-\alpha_n \} \). \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are common subsequences of \( \alpha' \) and \( \beta' \), respectively, which means that \( \alpha_n = \alpha_{n(k)}' \) and \( \beta_n = \beta_{n(k)}' \) for some given function \( n(k) \).

We will introduce the translation operator \( T \), \( T_nf(t, x) = g(t, x) \), which means that \( g(t, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(t + \alpha_n, x) \) and is written only when the limit exists. The mode of convergence, for example, pointwise, uniform, and so forth, will be specified at each use of the symbol.

**Definition 2.3** [32] Let \( f \in C(\mathbb{T} \times D, \mathbb{R}^n) \), \( H(f) = \{ g : \mathbb{T} \times D \to \mathbb{R}^n | \text{there exists } \alpha \in \Pi \text{ such that } T_nf(t, x) = g(t, x) \text{ exists uniformly on } \mathbb{T} \times S \} \) is called the hull of \( f \).

**Lemma 2.4** [32] If \( f(t, x) \) is almost periodic in \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) uniformly for \( x \in D \), then, for any \( g(t, x) \in H(f) \), \( g(t, x) \) is almost periodic in \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) uniformly for \( x \in D \).

**Lemma 2.5** [32] If \( f(t, x) \) is almost periodic in \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) uniformly for \( x \in D \), denote \( F(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(s, x) \Delta s \), then \( F(t, x) \) is almost periodic in \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) uniformly for \( x \in D \) if and only if \( F(t, x) \) is bounded on \( \mathbb{T} \times S \).

**Lemma 2.6** [32] A function \( f(t, x) \) is almost periodic in \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) uniformly for \( x \in D \) if and only if from every pair of sequences \( \alpha' \subset \Pi \), \( \beta' \subset \Pi \) one can extract common subsequences \( \alpha \subset \alpha' \), \( \beta \subset \beta' \) such that

\[
T_{\alpha} f(t, x) = T_{\alpha} T_{\beta} f(t, x).
\]

**Lemma 2.7** [32] A function \( f(t) \) is almost periodic if and only if for any sequence \( \{ \alpha_n \} \subset \Pi \) there exists a subsequence \( \{ \alpha_n \} \subset \{ \alpha_n' \} \) such that \( f(t + \alpha_n) \) converges uniformly on \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) as \( n \to \infty \). Furthermore, the limit function is also almost periodic.

Consider the following equation:

\[
x^{\alpha}(t) = f(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}
\]  

(2.1)

and the corresponding hull equation

\[
x^{\alpha}(t) = g(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{T},
\]

(2.2)

where \( f : \mathbb{T} \times S \to \mathbb{R}^n \), \( f(t, x) \) is almost periodic in \( t \) uniformly for \( x \in S \), \( g(t, x) \in H(f) \).

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [33], one can easily get the following.

**Lemma 2.8** Let \( f(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{T} \times S, \mathbb{R}^n) \) be an almost periodic in \( t \) uniformly for \( x \in S \). For every \( g(t, x) \in H(f) \), the hull equation (2.2) has a unique solution, then these solutions are almost periodic.
Proof The proof of (i). It is obvious that there exists a unique positive root of the equation

\[
T \in \mathbb{R}\n\]
for \( t \in \mathbb{T} \), hence \( T > 0 \).

Lemma 2.9 If each of the hull equations of system (2.1) has a unique strictly positive solution, then system (2.1) has a unique strictly positive almost periodic solution.

Proof Suppose that \( \psi(t) \) is a strictly positive solution of system (2.2). Since \( f \) is almost periodic in \( t \) uniformly for \( x \in S \), by Lemma 2.6, for any sequences \( \alpha', \beta' \subset \Pi \), there exist common subsequences \( \alpha \subset \alpha', \beta \subset \beta' \) such that \( T_{\alpha' \beta} f(t,x) = T_{\alpha} T_{\beta} f(t,x) \) holds uniformly in \( t \) for \( x \in S \), \( T_{\alpha' \beta} \psi(t) \) and \( T_{\alpha} T_{\beta} \psi(t) \) uniformly exist on a compact set of \( \mathbb{T} \). Then \( T_{\alpha' \beta} \psi(t) \) and \( T_{\alpha} T_{\beta} \psi(t) \) are solutions of the equation

\[
x^\Delta(t) = T_{\alpha' \beta} f(t,x), \quad t \in \mathbb{T},
\]
which is the common hull equation of system (2.1), with respect to \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), respectively. Therefore, we have \( T_{\alpha' \beta} \psi(t) = T_{\alpha} T_{\beta} \psi(t) \), then by Lemma 2.6, \( \psi(t) \) is an almost periodic solution of (2.1). Since \( \alpha \subset \alpha' \subset \Pi \) and \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \alpha_n = +\infty \), \( T_{\alpha'} f(t,x) = g(t,x) \) exists uniformly in \( t \) for \( x \in S \). For the sequence \( \alpha \subset \alpha' \), we conclude that \( T_{\alpha} \psi(t) = \psi(t) \) exists uniformly in \( t \in \mathbb{T} \). According to the uniqueness of the solution and \( T_{\alpha'} \psi(t) = \psi(t) \), one obtains that \( \psi(t) = \psi(t) \). The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.10 [25] Assume that \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( t_0 \in \mathbb{T} \), if \( a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) on \( \mathbb{T}^k \), then \( e_a(t,t_0) > 0 \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{T} \).

Lemma 2.11 Assume that \( x(t) > 0 \) on \( \mathbb{T} \), \( -b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), \( b \geq 0 \), \( a, d > 0 \), \( t - \tau(t) \in \mathbb{T} \), where \( \tau : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is an rd-continuous function and \( \bar{\tau} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{ \tau(t) \} \).

(i) If \( x^\Delta(t) \leq x'(t)(b - ax(t - \tau(t))) + d \) for \( t \geq t_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{T} \), with the initial condition \( x(t) = \phi(t) \geq 0 \) for \( t \in [t_0 - \bar{\tau}, t_0] \mathbb{T} \) and \( \phi(t_0) > 0 \), then

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq \frac{d}{b} + \left( \frac{d}{b} + \bar{x} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\bar{\tau} \log(1 - b \bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\} := M,
\]
where \( \bar{\mu} = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}} \{ \mu(\theta) \} \) and \( \bar{x} \) is the unique positive root of \( x(ax - b) - d = 0 \).

Especially, if \( d = 0 \), then

\[
M = \frac{b}{a} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\bar{\tau} \log(1 - b \bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\}.
\]

(ii) If \( x^\Delta(t) \geq x'(t)(b - ax(t - \tau(t))) + d \) for \( t \geq t_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{T} \), with the initial condition \( x(t) = \phi(t) \geq 0 \) for \( t \in [t_0 - \bar{\tau}, t_0] \mathbb{T}, \phi(t_0) > 0 \) and there exists a positive constant \( N > 0 \) such that \( \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq N < +\infty \), then

\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \geq \frac{b}{a} e^{-aN\bar{\tau}} := m.
\]

Proof The proof of (i). It is obvious that there exists a unique positive root of the equation \( x(ax - b) - d = 0 \). Suppose that \( \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = +\infty \). Then there exists a subsequence
\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{T} \text{ with } t_k \to +\infty \text{ as } k \to +\infty \text{ such that }

\lim_{k \to +\infty} x(t_k) = +\infty, \quad x^\sigma(t_k) \geq \bar{x}, \quad x^\Delta(t)\big|_{t=t_k} \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.

Thus, we have

\begin{align*}
x^\sigma(t_k)\left(b - ax(t_k - \tau(t_k))\right) + d \geq 0,
\end{align*}

so

\begin{align*}
x(t_k - \tau(t_k)) &\leq \frac{1}{d} \left(b + \frac{d}{x^\sigma(t_k)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{d} \left(b + \frac{d}{\bar{x}}\right) = \tilde{x}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots & (2.3)
\end{align*}

Consider the following inequality:

\begin{align*}
x^\Delta(t) \leq bx^\sigma(t) + d, \quad \text{with } x(t_0^\ast) > 0, t_0^\ast \geq t_0.
\end{align*}

Notice that

\begin{align*}
\left[\Delta^t_{t_0^*} \left(x(t)e_\Delta(t, t_0^*)\right)\right]^\Delta = e_\Delta (t, t_0^*)x^\Delta(t) - b e_\Delta (t, t_0^*)x^\sigma(t) \\
= e_\Delta (t, t_0^*)\left(x^\Delta(t) - bx^\sigma(t)\right) \\
\leq \Delta b e_\Delta (t, t_0^*). & (2.4)
\end{align*}

Integrating inequality (2.4) from \(t_0^*\) to \(t\), we have

\begin{align*}
e_\Delta (t, t_0^*)x(t) - x(t_0^*) &\leq \int_{t_0^*}^{t} \Delta b e_\Delta (\theta, t_0^*) \Delta \theta \\
&= -\frac{d}{b} \int_{t_0^*}^{t} \left[e_\Delta (\theta, t_0^*)\right]^\Delta \Delta \theta \\
&= -\frac{d}{b} \left[e_\Delta (t, t_0^*) - 1\right],
\end{align*}

then

\begin{align*}
x(t) &\leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left(\frac{d}{b} + x(t_0^*)\right) e_{\ominus b}(t, t_0^*). & (2.5)
\end{align*}

In view of (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain

\begin{align*}
x(t_k) &\leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left(\frac{d}{b} + x(t_k - \tau(t_k))\right) e_{\ominus b}(t_k, t_k - \tau(t_k)) \\
&\leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left(\frac{d}{b} + \tilde{x}\right) e_{\ominus b}(t_k, t_k - \tau(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots & (2.6)
\end{align*}

For every \(\theta \in \mathbb{T}\), if \(\mu(\theta) = 0\), then

\begin{align*}
\xi_\mu(\ominus b) = \ominus b = \frac{b}{1 - \mu(\theta)b} = b,
\end{align*}
if \( \mu(\theta) \neq 0 \), then
\[
\xi_{\mu}(\ominus(-b)) = \log(1 + \frac{b\mu(\theta)}{\mu(\theta)}) = -\log(1 - b\mu(\theta)) = -\log(1 - b\bar{\mu}) (> b).
\]

Hence, for every \( \theta \in T \), we have
\[
\int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} \xi_{\mu}(\ominus(-b)) \Delta \theta \leq \max\left\{ \int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} b \Delta \theta, \int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} \frac{\log(1 - b\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \Delta \theta \right\}
= -\frac{\tau(t_k) \log(1 - b\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}}
\leq -\frac{\bar{\tau} \log(1 - b\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Thus
\[
e^{\ominus(-b)}(t_k, t_k - \tau(t_k)) \leq \exp\left\{ -\frac{\bar{\tau} \log(1 - b\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.7}
\]

It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that
\[
x(t_k) \leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left( \frac{d}{b} + \bar{x} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{\bar{\tau} \log(1 - b\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\} = M, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

then
\[
\limsup_{k \to +\infty} x(t_k) \leq M.
\]

Especially, if \( d = 0 \), then \( \bar{x} = \frac{b}{a} \), we can easily know that
\[
M = \frac{b}{a} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\bar{\tau} \log(1 - b\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\}.
\]

Hence \( \limsup_{k \to +\infty} x(t_k) < +\infty \). This contradicts the assumption.

We claim
\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq M.
\]

Otherwise,
\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) > M,
\]
there exists \( \varepsilon \) such that \( x(t) > M + \varepsilon \) for any \( t \in T \). So we can choose \( \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq T \) such that
\[
x(t_k) > M + \varepsilon, \quad x^\sigma(t_k) \geq \bar{x}, \quad x^\Delta(t)_{|t=t_k} \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

By a similar process as above, we can derive that
\[
x(t_k) \leq M,
\]
which is a contradiction. Hence, our claim holds.
The proof of (ii). Suppose that \( \liminf_{\tau \to +\infty} x(t) = 0 \). Then there exists a subsequence \( \{ \tilde{t}_k \} \subset T \) with \( \tilde{t}_k \to +\infty \) as \( k \to +\infty \) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to +\infty} x(\tilde{t}_k) = 0, \quad x^\Delta(t)|_{t=\tilde{t}_k} \leq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

We have \( b - ax(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \leq 0 \), then \( x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \geq \frac{b}{a} \). For any positive constant \( \varepsilon \) small enough, it follows from \( \limsup_{\tau \to +\infty} x(t) \leq N \) that there exists large enough \( T_1 \) such that

\[
x(t) \leq N + \varepsilon, \quad t > T_1,
\]

then \( x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \leq N + \varepsilon \) for \( \tilde{t}_k > T_1 + \tau(\tilde{t}_k) \). So we have

\[
x^\Delta(\tilde{t}_k) \geq x^\sigma(\tilde{t}_k)(b - ax(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k))) + d
\geq x^\sigma(\tilde{t}_k)(b - ax(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)))
\geq -a(N + \varepsilon)x^\sigma(\tilde{t}_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(2.8)

Consider the following inequality:

\[
x^\Delta(t) \geq -a(N + \varepsilon)x^\sigma(t), \quad \text{with} \ x(t_0^\varepsilon) > 0, t_0^\varepsilon \geq t_0.
\]

For \( t > t_0^\varepsilon \geq t_0 \), we have

\[
x(t) \geq x(t_0^\varepsilon)e^{\varepsilon(a(N + \varepsilon)}(t, t_0^\varepsilon).
\]

(2.9)

From (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

\[
x(\tilde{t}_k) \geq x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k))e^{\varepsilon(a(N + \varepsilon)}(\tilde{t}_k, \tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)).
\]

(2.10)

For every \( \theta \in T \), if \( \mu(\theta) = 0 \), then

\[
\xi_\mu(\Theta(a(N + \varepsilon))) = \Theta(a(N + \varepsilon)) = \frac{a(N + \varepsilon)}{1 + a(\mu(N + \varepsilon))} = -a(N + \varepsilon),
\]

if \( \mu(\theta) \neq 0 \), then

\[
\xi_\mu(\Theta(a(N + \varepsilon))) = \frac{\log(1 + a(N + \varepsilon))\mu(\theta)}{\mu(\theta)} = -\frac{\log(1 + a(N + \varepsilon))\mu(\theta)}{\mu(\theta)} \geq -a(N + \varepsilon).
\]

Hence, for every \( \theta \in T \), we have

\[
\int_{\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)}^{\tilde{t}_k} \xi_\mu(\Theta(a(N + \varepsilon)))d\theta \geq -\int_{\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)}^{\tilde{t}_k} a(N + \varepsilon)d\theta
\geq -a(N + \varepsilon)\tau(\tilde{t}_k)
\geq -a(N + \varepsilon)\tilde{t}_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
so
\[
\exp\left\{ \int_{\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)}^{\tilde{t}_k} \xi_t \left( \boxplus (a(N + \varepsilon)) \right) \right\} \Delta \theta \geq e^{-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)} \tilde{\tau}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Thus
\[
e_{\boxplus(a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon))} (\tilde{t}_k, \tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \geq e^{-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)} \tilde{\tau}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\] (2.11)

By use of (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain
\[
x(\tilde{t}_k) \geq x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) e^{-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)} \tilde{\tau} \geq \tilde{b} e^{-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)} \tilde{\tau}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), then
\[
\liminf_{k \to +\infty} x(\tilde{t}_k) \geq \frac{\tilde{b}}{a} e^{-a\tilde{N} \tilde{\tau}} = m, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Similarly, we can get
\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \geq m.
\]

The proof of Lemma 2.11 is completed. \( \square \)

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.11, we can easily obtain the following results.

**Lemma 2.12** Assume that \( x(t) > 0 \) on \( T, b \geq 0, a, d > 0, t - \tau(t) \in \mathbb{T} \), where \( \tau(t) : T \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is an rd-continuous function and \( \bar{\tau} = \sup_{t \in T} \{ \tau(t) \} \).

(i) If \( x^A(t) \leq x(t)(b - ax(t - \tau(t))) + d \) for \( t \geq t_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{T} \), with initial condition \( x(t) = \phi(t) \geq 0 \) for \( t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \), \( \phi(t_0) > 0 \), then

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq \frac{d}{b} + \left( \frac{d}{b} + \bar{x} \right) e^{\bar{x} \bar{\tau}} := M,
\]

where \( \bar{x} \) is the unique positive root of \( x(ax - b) - d = 0 \).

Especially, if \( d = 0 \), then
\[
\bar{M} = \frac{b}{a} e^{\bar{x} \bar{\tau}}.
\]

(ii) If \( x^A(t) \geq x(t)(b - ax(t - \tau(t))) + d \) for \( t \geq t_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{T} \), with initial condition \( x(t) = \phi(t) \geq 0 \) for \( t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \), \( \phi(t_0) > 0 \) and there exists a positive constant \( \bar{N} > 0 \) such that \( \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq \bar{N} < +\infty \) and \( -a\bar{N} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), then

\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \geq \frac{b}{a} \exp \left\{ \frac{\bar{\tau} \log(1 - a\bar{N} \bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\} := m,
\]

where \( \bar{\mu} = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}} \{ \mu(\theta) \} \).
**Proof** The proof of (i). Suppose that \( \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = +\infty \). Then there exists a subsequence \( \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset T \) with \( t_k \to +\infty \) as \( k \to +\infty \) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to +\infty} x(t_k) = +\infty, \quad x(t_k) \geq \bar{x}, \quad x^\Delta(t)|_{t=t_k} \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Thus, we have

\[
x(t_k)(b - ax(t_k - \tau(t_k))) + d \geq 0,
\]

so

\[
x(t_k - \tau(t_k)) \leq \frac{1}{a} \left( b + \frac{d}{x(t_k)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{a} \left( b + \frac{d}{\bar{x}} \right) = \bar{x}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(2.12)

Consider the following inequality:

\[
x^\Delta(t) \leq bx(t) + d, \quad \text{with} \quad x(t_0^+) > 0, t_0^+ \geq t_0.
\]

Notice that

\[
\left[ x(t)e_{\ominus b}(t, t_0^+) \right]^\Delta = e_{\ominus b}(\sigma(t), t_0^+)x^\Delta(t) - be_{\ominus b}(\sigma(t), t_0^+)x(t)
\]

\[
= e_{\ominus b}(\sigma(t), t_0^+) \left( x^\Delta(t) - bx(t) \right)
\]

\[
\leq de_{\ominus b}(t, t_0^+).
\]

(2.13)

Integrating inequality (2.13) from \( t_0^+ \) to \( t \), we have

\[
e_{\ominus b}(t, t_0^+)x(t) - x(t_0^+) \leq \int_{t_0^+}^{t} de_{\ominus b}(\sigma(\tau), t_0^+)\Delta \tau
\]

\[
= -\frac{d}{b} \int_{t_0^+}^{t} \left[ e_{\ominus b}(\tau, t_0^+) \right]^\Delta \Delta \tau
\]

\[
= -\frac{d}{b} \left[ e_{\ominus b}(t, t_0^+) - 1 \right],
\]

then

\[
x(t) \leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left( \frac{d}{b} + x(t_0^+) \right)e_{\ominus b}(t, t_0^+).
\]

(2.14)

In view of (2.12) and (2.14), we obtain

\[
x(t_k) \leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left( \frac{d}{b} + x(t_k - \tau(t_k)) \right)e_{\ominus b}(t_k, t_k - \tau(t_k))
\]

\[
\leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left( \frac{d}{b} + \bar{x} \right)e_{\ominus b}(t_k, t_k - \tau(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(2.15)

For every \( \theta \in T \), if \( \mu(\theta) = 0 \), then

\[
\xi_{\mu}(b) = b,
\]
if \( \mu(\theta) \neq 0 \), then

\[
\xi_{\mu}(b) = \frac{\log(1 + b\mu(\theta))}{\mu(\theta)} \leq b.
\]

Hence, for every \( \theta \in T \), we have

\[
\int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} \xi_{\mu}(b) \Delta \theta \leq \int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} b \Delta \theta \leq b\tau(t_k) \leq b\bar{\tau}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

so

\[
\exp\left\{ \int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} \xi_{\mu}(b) \right\} \Delta \theta \leq e^{b\bar{\tau}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Thus

\[
e_b(t_k, t_k - \tau(t_k)) \leq e^{b\bar{\tau}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(2.16)

It follows from (2.15) and (2.16) that

\[
x(t_k) \leq -\frac{d}{b} + \left( \frac{d}{b} + \bar{x} \right) e^{b\bar{\tau}} = \tilde{M}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

then

\[
\limsup_{k \to +\infty} x(t_k) \leq \tilde{M}.
\]

Especially, if \( d = 0 \), then \( \bar{x} = \frac{b}{\alpha} \), we can easily know that

\[
\tilde{M} = \frac{b}{\alpha} e^{b\bar{\tau}}.
\]

Hence \( \limsup_{k \to +\infty} x(t_k) < +\infty \). This contradicts the assumption.

Similarly, we can get

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq \tilde{M}.
\]

The proof of (ii). Suppose that \( \liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0 \). Then there exists a subsequence \( \{\tilde{t}_k\}_1^\infty \subset T \) with \( \tilde{t}_k \to +\infty \) as \( k \to +\infty \) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to +\infty} x(\tilde{t}_k) = 0, \quad x^\Delta(t)|_{t = \tilde{t}_k} \leq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

We have \( b - ax(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \leq 0 \), then \( x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \geq \frac{b}{a} \). For any positive constant \( \varepsilon \) small enough, it follows from \( \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \leq \bar{N} \) that there exists large enough \( T_2 \) such that

\[
x(t) \leq \bar{N} + \varepsilon, \quad t > T_2,
\]
then \( x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \leq \tilde{N} + \varepsilon \) for \( \tilde{t}_k > T_2 + \tau(\tilde{t}_k) \) and \( b - a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon) \leq 0 \). So we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x_k(\tilde{t}_k) &\geq x(\tilde{t}_k) + d \\
&\geq x(\tilde{t}_k) + a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)x(\tilde{t}_k) \\
&\geq -a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)x(\tilde{t}_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.17)

Consider the following inequality:

\[
x(\tilde{t}) \geq -a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon), \quad \text{with} \quad x(t^*_0) > 0, \quad t^*_0 \geq t_0.
\]

For \( t > t^*_0 \geq t_0 \), we have

\[
x(t) \geq x(t^*_0)e^{-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)}(t, t^*_0).
\]  

(2.18)

From (2.17) and (2.18), we obtain

\[
x_k(\tilde{t}_k) \geq x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k))e^{-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)}(\tilde{t}_k, \tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)).
\]  

(2.19)

For every \( \theta \in T \), if \( \mu(\theta) = 0 \), then

\[
\xi_{\mu}(-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)) = -a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)
\]

if \( \mu(\theta) \neq 0 \), then

\[
\xi_{\mu}(-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)) = \log(1 - a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)\mu(\theta)) \over \mu(\theta)
\]

\[
\geq \log(1 - a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)\tilde{\mu}) \over \tilde{\mu} < -a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon).
\]

Hence, for every \( \theta \in T \), we have

\[
\int_{\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)}^{\tilde{t}_k} \xi_{\mu}(-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)) \Delta \theta
\]

\[
\geq \min \left\{ \int_{\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)}^{\tilde{t}_k} -a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon) \Delta \theta, \int_{\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)}^{\tilde{t}_k} \log(1 - a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)\tilde{\mu}) \over \tilde{\mu} \Delta \theta \right\}
\]

\[
= \tau(\tilde{t}_k) \log(1 - a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)\tilde{\mu}) \over \tilde{\mu}
\]

\[
\geq \tilde{\tau} \log(1 - a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)\tilde{\mu}) \over \tilde{\mu}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

so

\[
\exp \left\{ \int_{\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)}^{\tilde{t}_k} \xi_{\mu}(-a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)) \Delta \theta \right\} \geq \exp \left\{ \tilde{\tau} \log(1 - a(\tilde{N} + \varepsilon)\tilde{\mu}) \over \tilde{\mu} \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
Thus
\[ e^{-a(N+\epsilon)}(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \geq \exp\left\{ \frac{\tilde{\tau} \log(1 - a(N + \epsilon)\tilde{\mu})}{\tilde{\mu}} \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \] (2.20)

By use of (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain
\[ x(\tilde{t}_k) \geq x(\tilde{t}_k - \tau(\tilde{t}_k)) \exp\left\{ \frac{\tilde{\tau} \log(1 - a(N + \epsilon)\tilde{\mu})}{\tilde{\mu}} \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \]

Letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we have
\[ \liminf_{k \to +\infty} x(\tilde{t}_k) \geq \frac{b}{a} \exp\left\{ \frac{\tilde{\tau} \log(1 - aN\tilde{\mu})}{\tilde{\mu}} \right\} = \tilde{m}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \]

Similarly, we can get
\[ \liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \geq \tilde{m}. \]

The proof of Lemma 2.12 is completed. \( \square \)

3 Permanence

In this section, we give our main results about the permanence of system (1.3). For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

\[ x^M_i = \ln \left\{ \frac{a_i^{n_i} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}^{n_i}}{b_i^{n_i}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\tau^* \log(1 - (a_i^{n_i} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}^{n_i})\tilde{\mu})}{\tilde{\mu}} \right\} \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \]

\[ x^m_i = \ln \left\{ \frac{a_i^{n_i}}{b_i^{n_i}} \exp\left\{ \frac{\tau^* \log(1 - b_i^{n_i} e^{x^M_i})}{\tilde{\mu}} \right\} \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \]

where \( \tilde{\mu} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{ \mu(t) \} \).

(H3) \( a_i^{n_i} \exp\left\{ \frac{\tau^* \log(1 - b_i^{n_i} e^{x^M_i})}{\tilde{\mu}} \right\} > b_i^{n_i}, -(a_i^{n_i} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}^{n_i}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and \( -b_i^{n_i} e^{x^M_i} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Lemma 3.1 Assume that (H1) - (H3) hold. Let \( x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)) \) be any solution of system (1.3) with initial condition (1.6), then

\[ x^m_i \leq \liminf_{t \to +\infty} x_i(t) \leq \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x_i(t) \leq x^M_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

Proof Let \( x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)) \) be any solution of system (1.3) with initial condition (1.6). From (1.3) it follows that

\[ x_i^+(t) \leq a_i^{n_i} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}^{n_i} - b_i^{n_i} e^{x_i^M(t-\tau_0)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]
Let $N_i(t) = e^{x_i(t)}$, obviously $N_i(t) > 0$, the above inequality yields that

$$\left[\ln(N_i(t))\right]^\Delta \leq a_i^\Delta + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n c_{ij}^\Delta - b_i^\Delta N_i(t - \tau_i(t)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$  

In view of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\frac{N_i^\Delta(t)}{N_i(\sigma(t))} \leq a_i^\Delta + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n c_{ij}^\Delta - b_i^\Delta N_i(t - \tau_i(t)),$$

then

$$N_i^\Delta(t) \leq N_i(\sigma(t)) \left[ a_i^\Delta + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n c_{ij}^\Delta - b_i^\Delta N_i(t - \tau_i(t)) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$  

By applying Lemma 2.11, there exists a constant $T_0$ such that

$$N_i(t) \leq \frac{a_i^\Delta + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n c_{ij}^\Delta}{b_i^\Delta} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\tau^* \log(1 - (a_i^\Delta + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n c_{ij}^\Delta)\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\}$$

for $t \geq T_0 + \tau^*$. That is, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$,

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x_i(t) \leq \ln\left\{ \frac{a_i^\Delta + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n c_{ij}^\Delta}{b_i^\Delta} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\tau^* \log(1 - (a_i^\Delta + \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n c_{ij}^\Delta)\bar{\mu})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\} \right\} = x_i^M.$$  

On the other hand, from (1.3) it follows that

$$x_i^\Delta(t) \geq a_i^\Delta - b_i^\Delta e^{x_i(t - \tau_i(t))}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$  

Let $N_i(t) = e^{x_i(t)}$, obviously $N_i(t) > 0$, then the above inequality yields that

$$\left[\ln(N_i(t))\right]^\Delta \geq a_i^\Delta - b_i^\Delta N_i(t - \tau_i(t)).$$  

In view of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{N_i^\Delta(t)}{N_i(t)} \geq a_i^\Delta - b_i^\Delta N_i(t - \tau_i(t)),$$

then

$$N_i^\Delta(t) \geq N_i(t) \left[ a_i^\Delta - b_i^\Delta N_i(t - \tau_i(t)) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$  

By applying Lemma 2.12 and $a_i^\Delta \exp\left\{ \frac{\tau^* \log(1 - b_i^\Delta e^{x_i^M})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\} > b_i^\Delta$, there exists a constant $T_1$ such that

$$N_i(t) \geq \frac{a_i^\Delta}{b_i^\Delta} \exp\left\{ \frac{\tau^* \log(1 - b_i^\Delta e^{x_i^M})}{\bar{\mu}} \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$
for \( t \geq T_1 + \tau^* \). Therefore,
\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} x_i(t) \geq \ln \left\{ \frac{d^e_i}{m_i} \exp \left\{ \frac{\tau^* \log(1 - b_i e^{\varphi(t)} \mu_{\tau})}{\mu_{\tau}} \right\} \right\} = x_i^m, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that (H$_1$)-(H$_3$) hold, then system (1.3) with initial condition (1.6) is permanent.

### 4 Global attractivity

In this section, we study the global attractivity of system (1.3).

**Definition 4.1** System (1.3) is said to be globally attractive if any two positive solutions \( x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)) \) with initial value \( \varphi(s) = (\varphi_1(s), \varphi_2(s), \ldots, \varphi_n(s)) \) and \( y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \ldots, y_n(t)) \) with initial value \( \psi(s) = (\psi_1(s), \psi_2(s), \ldots, \psi_n(s)) \) of system (1.3) satisfy
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \left| x_i(t) - y_i(t) \right| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that (H$_1$)-(H$_3$) hold. Suppose further that
\[
(H_4) \quad \gamma_i > 0, \text{ where } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

\[
\gamma_i = b_i^e e^{\gamma_i} - 2\bar{\mu} (b_i^e e^{\gamma_i})^2 - \frac{(b_i^e e^{\gamma_i})^2 (2\bar{\mu} b_i^e e^{\gamma_i} + 1) (2\tau^* - \tau)}{1 - \tau^*}
- \frac{\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n c_j^e e^{\gamma_j} (2\bar{\mu} b_j^e e^{\gamma_j} + 1) (1 - \delta^\gamma)}{(d_j^e + e^{\gamma_j})^2} \frac{\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n c_j^e e^{\gamma_j} (2\bar{\mu} b_j^e e^{\gamma_j} + 1)}{(d_j^e + e^{\gamma_j})^2}
\times \left[ 1 + \frac{b_j^e e^{\gamma_j} (2\tau^* - \tau)}{1 - \delta^\gamma} + \frac{e^{\gamma_j} b_j^e (\tau^* - \tau)}{1 - \tau^*} \right],
\]

where \( x_i^m, x_i^M \) are defined in Lemma 3.1 and \( \bar{\mu} = \sup_{t \in \tau} \{\mu(t)\} \).

Then system (1.3) is globally attractive.

**Proof** Assume that \( x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)) \) and \( y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \ldots, y_n(t)) \) are any solutions of system (1.3) with the initial values \( \varphi(s) = (\varphi_1(s), \varphi_2(s), \ldots, \varphi_n(s)) \) and \( \psi(s) = (\psi_1(s), \psi_2(s), \ldots, \psi_n(s)) \), respectively. In view of system (1.3), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_i(t) &= a_i(t) - b_i(t) e^{\varphi(t)} + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n c_j(t) \frac{e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}}{d_j(t) + e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\dot{y}_i(t) &= a_i(t) - b_i(t) e^{\psi(t)} + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n c_j(t) \frac{e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}}{d_j(t) + e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\end{align*}
\]

then
\[
(x_i(t) - y_i(t))^\Delta = -b_i(t) \left[ e^{\varphi(t-\tau_i(t))} - e^{\psi(t-\tau_i(t))} \right]
+ \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n c_j(t) \left( \frac{e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}}{d_j(t) + e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}} - \frac{e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}}{d_j(t) + e^{\gamma_j(t-\tau_j(t))}} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \quad (4.1)
\]
Using the mean value theorem, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon(t) = e^{\xi(t)} - e^{\eta(t)} = \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t}, & \\
\eta(t) = \frac{y_i(t)}{y_j(t)} - y_j(t) = \frac{y_i(t)}{y_j(t)} - y_j(t), & \\
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \xi(t) \) lies between \( x_i(t) - x_j(t) \) and \( y_i(t) - y_j(t) \), \( \eta_j(t) \) lies between \( x_j(t) - y_j(t) \) and \( y_j(t) - y_j(t) \), \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), \( i \neq j \). Then, by use of (4.2), (4.1) can be written as
\[
(x_i(t) - y_i(t)) = -b_i(t)e^{\xi(t)}(x_i(t) - y_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta_j(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta_j(t)})^2} (x_j(t) - y_j(t)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]
Let \( u_i(t) = x_i(t) - y_i(t) \), then
\[
u_i(t) = -b_i(t)e^{\xi(t)}u_i(t) - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta_j(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta_j(t)})^2} u_j(t) - y_j(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]
Consider the Lyapunov function
\[
V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i(t),
\]
\[
V_i(t) = V_{i1}(t) + V_{i2}(t) + V_{i3}(t) + V_{i4}(t) + V_{i5}(t),
\]
where
\[
V_{i1}(t) = \left| u_i(t) \right|,
\]
\[
V_{i2}(t) = \frac{(c_{ii} e^{\xi_i})^2 [2\mu_i b_i e^{\eta_i} + 1]}{1 - \tau^\Delta} \int_{-t}^{t} \int_{s+t}^{t} |u_i(r)| \Delta r \Delta s,
\]
\[
V_{i3}(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_{ij} e^{\xi_j} b_j e^{\eta_j} [2\mu_j b_j e^{\eta_j} + 1]}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta_j})^2 (1 - \tau^\Delta)} \int_{-t}^{t} \int_{s+t}^{t} |u_i(r)| \Delta r \Delta s,
\]
\[
V_{i4}(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_{ij} e^{2\xi_j} b_j^2 [2\mu_j b_j e^{\eta_j} + 1]}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta_j})^2 (1 - \tau^\Delta)} \int_{-t}^{t} \int_{s+t}^{t} |u_i(r)| \Delta r \Delta s,
\]
\[
V_{i5}(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{(c_{ij} e^{3\xi_j})^2 (2\mu_j b_j e^{\eta_j} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta_j})^4 (1 - \tau^\Delta)} \int_{-t}^{t} \int_{s+t}^{t} |u_i(r)| \Delta r \Delta s,
\]
then
\[
D^\tau V_{i1}(t) \leq \text{sign}(u_i(t)) u_{\Delta}^i(t)
\]
\[
= \text{sign}(u_i^\sigma(t)) \left[ -b_i(t) e^{\xi(t)} u_i(t - \tau_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} u_j(t - \delta_j(t)) \right]
\]

\[
= -b_i(t) e^{\xi(t)} \left[ u_i^\sigma(t) - u_i(t) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} \text{sign}(u_j^\sigma(t)) \left[ u_j(t) + u_j(t - \delta_j(t)) - u_j(t) \right]
\]

\[
\leq -b_i(t) e^{\xi(t)} \left[ u_i^\sigma(t) - u_i(t) \right] + b_i^\sigma e^{\xi(t)} \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^{t} |u_i^\lambda(s)| \Delta s
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} |u_j(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} \int_{t-\delta_j(t)}^{t} |u_j^\lambda(s)| \Delta s
\]

\[
\leq -b_i(t) e^{\xi(t)} \left[ u_i^\sigma(t) - \mu(t) u_i^\Delta(t) \right] + b_i^\sigma e^{\xi(t)} \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^{t} |u_i^\lambda(s)| \Delta s
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} |u_j(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} \int_{t-\delta_j(t)}^{t} |u_j^\lambda(s)| \Delta s
\]

\[
\leq -b_i(t) e^{\xi(t)} |u_i(t)| + 2\mu b_i^\sigma e^{\xi(t)} \left| u_i^\lambda(t) \right| + b_i^\sigma e^{\xi(t)} \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^{t} |u_i^\lambda(s)| \Delta s
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} |u_j(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} \int_{t-\delta_j(t)}^{t} |u_j^\lambda(s)| \Delta s
\]

\[
\leq -b_i(t) e^{\xi(t)} |u_i(t)| + 2\mu b_i^\sigma e^{\xi(t)} \left| u_i(t - \tau_i(t)) \right|
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} |u_j(t - \delta_j(t))| + b_i^\sigma e^{\xi(t)} \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^{t} |u_i^\lambda(s)| \Delta s
\]

\[
= \text{sign}(u_i^\sigma(t)) \left[ -b_i(t) e^{\xi(t)} u_i(t - \tau_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}(t) \frac{e^{\eta(t)}}{(d_{ij} + e^{\eta(t)})^2} u_j(t - \delta_j(t)) \right]
\]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^{(M)}}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| u_{ij}^{(M)}(s) \right| \Delta s \]

\[ \leq -b_{j}^{(M)} \left| u_{i}(t) \right| + 2\bar{\mu} \left( b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} \right)^{2} \left| u_{i}(t) \right| + 2\bar{\mu} \left( b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} \right)^{2} \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| u_{ij}^{(M)}(s) \right| \Delta s \]

\[ + b_{j}^{(M)} \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| u_{ij}^{(M)}(s) \right| \Delta s + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} c_{ij}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)}/e_{ij}^{(M)}}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \left| u_{ij}(t) \right| \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^{(M)} (2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \left| u_{ij}(t) \right| \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^{(M)} (2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| u_{ij}^{(M)}(s) \right| \Delta s \]

\[ \leq -b_{j}^{(M)} \left| u_{i}(t) \right| + 2\bar{\mu} \left( b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} \right)^{2} \left| u_{i}(t) \right| \]

\[ + b_{j}^{(M)} \left[ 2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1 \right] \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| b_{j}(s) e_{ij}^{(s)} u_{i}(s - \tau_{i}(s)) \right| \Delta s \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}(s)e_{ij}(s)}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(s)})^2} u_{ij}(s - \delta_{ij}(s)) \Delta s + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^{(M)} (2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \left| u_{ij}(t) \right| \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^{(M)} (2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| u_{ij}^{(M)}(s) \right| \Delta s \]

\[ \leq -b_{j}^{(M)} \left| u_{i}(t) \right| + 2\bar{\mu} \left( b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} \right)^{2} \left| u_{i}(t) \right| \]

\[ + b_{j}^{(M)} \left[ 2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1 \right] \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| u_{i}(s - \tau_{i}(s)) \right| \Delta s \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^{(M)} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} [2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1]}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \int_{t_{j-1}(t)}^{t} \left| u_{ij}(s - \delta_{ij}(s)) \right| \Delta s \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^{(M)} (2\bar{\mu} b_{j}^{(M)} e_{ij}^{(M)} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e_{ij}^{(M)})^2} \left| u_{ij}(t) \right| \]
\[\begin{align*}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}^{e^{2\Delta t}} b_{ij}^{e\Delta t} \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} |u_{i}(s-t)| ds \\
&\left( c_{ij}^{e^{2\Delta t}} \right)^2 b_{ij}^{e\Delta t} \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} \left| u_{i}(s-t) \right| ds \\
&\leq -b_{ij}^{e\Delta t} \left| u_{i}(t) \right| + 2\mu \left( b_{ij}^{e\Delta t} \right)^2 \left| u_{i}(t) \right|
\end{align*}\]
In view of (4.3)-(4.7), we can obtain

\[
D^* V_{ij}^\Delta(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(c_{ij}^M)^2 (2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2} \int_{-\delta^*}^{\delta^*} \left| u_i(t) - |u_i(t + s)| \right| \Delta s
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(c_{ij}^M)^2 (2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1)(2\delta^* - \delta^-)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2(1 - \delta^\Delta)} |u_i(t)|
\]

\[
- \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(c_{ij}^M)^2 (2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2(1 - \delta^\Delta)} \int_{-\delta^*}^{\delta^*} |u_i(t + s)| \Delta s.
\] (4.7)

In view of (4.3)-(4.7), we can obtain

\[
D^* V_{ij}^\Delta(t) = D^* V_{ij}^\Delta(t) + D^* V_{ij}^\Delta(t) + D^* V_{ij}^\Delta(t) + D^* V_{ij}^\Delta(t) + D^* V_{ij}^\Delta(t)
\]

\[
\leq -b_i^m e_i^M |u_i(t)| + 2\mu (b_i^m e_i^M)^2 |u_i(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(c_{ij}^M)^2 (2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2} |u_j(t)|
\]

\[
+ \frac{(b_i^m e_i^M)^2 [2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1](2\tau^* - \tau^-)}{1 - \tau^\Delta} |u_i(t)|
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^M b_i^m e_i^M [2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1](\tau^* + \delta^\Delta - \delta^-)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2(1 - \delta^\Delta)} |u_j(t)|
\]

\[
+ \frac{b_i^m e_i^M - 2\mu (b_i^m e_i^M)^2 - (b_i^m e_i^M)^2 [2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1](2\tau^* - \tau^-)}{1 - \tau^\Delta} |u_i(t)|
\]

\[
- \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(c_{ij}^M)^2 (2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1)(2\delta^* - \delta^-)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2(1 - \delta^\Delta)} |u_i(t)|
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^M b_i^m e_i^M [2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1](\tau^* + \delta^\Delta - \delta^-)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2(1 - \delta^\Delta)} |u_j(t)|
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^M b_i^m e_i^M [2\mu b_i^m e_i^M + 1](\tau^* + \delta^\Delta - \delta^-)}{(d_{ij} + e^M)^2(1 - \delta^\Delta)} |u_j(t)|
\]
\[
- \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} b_{ij} (2 \mu b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} + 1) \tau_{j}^+ (\delta^+ - \delta^-)}{(d_{ij} + e^{\tau_{j} M})^2 (1 - \tau_{j}^{\Delta})} |u_{i}(t)|
\]

\[
= - \left\{ b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} - 2 \mu (b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M})^2 - \frac{(b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M})^2 (2 \mu b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} + 1) (2 \tau_{j}^+ - \tau_{j}^-, \delta^+)}{1 - \tau_{j}^{\Delta}} \right. \\
- \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{(e_{ij}^M)^2 (2 \mu b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} + 1) (2 \tau_{j}^+ - \tau_{j}^-)}{(d_{ij} + e^{\tau_{j} M})^2 (1 - \delta^\Delta)} - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} (2 \mu b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + e^{\tau_{j} M})^2} \\
\times \left[ 1 + \frac{b_{ij} e^{\tau_{j} M} (\tau_{j}^+ + \delta^+ - \delta^-)}{1 - \delta^\Delta} + \frac{e^{\tau_{j} M} b_{ij} (\tau_{j}^+ + \delta^+ - \tau_{j}^-)}{1 - \tau_{j}^{\Delta}} \right] |u_{i}(t)|
\]

\[= -\gamma_{i} |u_{i}(t)|. \quad (4.8)\]

From (4.8), we get

\[
D^+ V^{\Delta}(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\gamma_{i} |u_{i}(t)|, \quad t \in \mathbb{T},
\]

then

\[
D^+ V^{\Delta}(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T},
\]

and hence

\[
V(t) < V(t_{0}), \quad t \geq t_{0}, t_{0} \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (4.9)
\]

By use of (4.8) and (4.9), we have

\[
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} |u_{i}(s)| \Delta s \leq V(t_{0}) - V(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}, t_{0} \in \mathbb{T}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Consequently,

\[
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} |u_{i}(s)| \Delta s \leq \infty, \quad t_{0} \in \mathbb{T}
\]

and \( u_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t) - y_{i}(t) \to 0 \) for \( t \to \infty, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**5 Almost periodic solutions**

In this section, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of almost periodic solutions of system (1.3) by use of the almost periodic functional hull theory on time scales.

Let \( \{s_{p}\} \subset \Pi \) be any sequence such that \( s_{p} \to +\infty \) as \( p \to +\infty \). According to Lemma 2.9, taking a subsequence if necessary, we have

\[
a_{i}(t + s_{p}) \to a_{i}^{*}(t), \quad b_{i}(t + s_{p}) \to b_{i}^{*}(t), \quad c_{i}(t + s_{p}) \to c_{i}^{*}(t),
\]

\[
\tau_{i}(t + s_{p}) \to \tau_{i}^{*}(t), \quad \delta_{i}(t + s_{p}) \to \delta_{i}^{*}(t), \quad p \to +\infty
\]
for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,n, i \neq j$. Then we get the hull equations of system (1.3) as follows:

$$x_i^M(t) = a_i^*(t) - b_i^*(t)e^{x_i(t - \tau_i^*(t))} + \sum_{j=1,j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}^*(t) \frac{e^{x_j(t - \delta_j^*(t))}}{d_{ij} + e^{x_j(t - \delta_j^*(t))}}, \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n. \quad (5.1)$$

By use of the almost periodic theory on time scales and Lemma 2.7, it is easy to obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1** If system (1.3) satisfies (H$_1$)-(H$_4$), then the hull equations (5.1) also satisfy (H$_1$)-(H$_4$).

**Theorem 5.1** Assume that (H$_1$)-(H$_4$) hold, then there exists a unique strictly positive almost periodic solution of system (1.3).

**Proof** By Lemma 2.9, in order to prove the existence of a unique strictly positive almost periodic solution of system (1.3), we only need to prove that each hull equation of system (1.3) has a unique strictly positive solution.

Firstly, we prove the existence of a strictly positive solution of hull equations (5.1). By the almost periodicity of $a_i(t)$, $b_i(t)$ and $c_{ij}(t)$, $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,n, i \neq j$, for an arbitrary sequence $\omega = \{\omega_p\} \subset \Pi$ with $\omega_p \to +\infty$ as $p \to +\infty$, we have, for $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,n, i \neq j$,

$$a_i^*(t + \omega_p) \to a_i^*(t), \quad b_i^*(t + \omega_p) \to b_i^*(t), \quad c_{ij}^*(t + \omega_p) \to c_{ij}^*(t),$$

$$\tau_i^*(t + \omega_p) \to \tau_i^*(t), \quad \delta_j^*(t + \omega_p) \to \delta_j^*(t), \quad p \to +\infty.$$

Suppose that $x(t) = (x_1(t),x_2(t),\ldots,x_n(t))$ is any solution of hull equations (5.1). Let $\varepsilon$ be an arbitrary small positive number. Since (H$_1$)-(H$_3$) hold, by the proof of Lemma 3.1, then there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}$ ($t_1 \geq t_0$) such that

$$x_i^M(t) - \varepsilon \leq x_i(t) \leq x_i^M(t) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_1, i = 1,2,\ldots,n.$$ 

Write $x_{ip}(t) = x_i(t + \omega_p)$ for $t \geq t_1, p = 1,2,\ldots,i = 1,2,\ldots,n$. For any positive integer $q$, it is easy to see that there exist sequences $\{x_{ip}(t) : p \geq q\}$ such that the sequences $\{x_{ip}(t)\}$ have subsequences, denoted by $\{x_{ip}(t)\}$ again, converging on any finite interval of $\mathbb{T}$ as $p \to +\infty$, respectively. Thus we have sequences $\{y_i(t)\}$ such that

$$x_{ip}(t) \to y_i(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \text{as} \ p \to +\infty, i = 1,2,\ldots,n.$$ 

Since

$$x_{ip}^M(t) = a_i^*(t + \omega_p) - b_i^*(t + \omega_p)e^{x_i(t + \omega_p - \tau_i^*(t + \omega_p))}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1,j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}^*(t + \omega_p) \frac{e^{x_j(t + \omega_p - \delta_j^*(t + \omega_p))}}{d_{ij} + e^{x_j(t + \omega_p - \delta_j^*(t + \omega_p))}}.$$ 

by use of Lemma 3.5 in [32], we have

$$y_i^M(t) = a_i^*(t) - b_i^*(t)e^{x_i(t - \tau_i^*(t))} + \sum_{j=1,j \neq i}^{n} c_{ij}^*(t) \frac{e^{x_j(t - \delta_j^*(t))}}{d_{ij} + e^{x_j(t - \delta_j^*(t))}}, \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n.$$
We can easily see that \( y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \ldots, y_n(t)) \) is a solution of system (5.1) and \( x_i^{m_i} - \epsilon \leq y_i(t) \leq x_i^{M_i} + \epsilon \) for \( t \in \mathbb{T}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Since \( \epsilon \) is an arbitrary small positive number, it follows that \( x_i^{M_i} \leq y_i(t) \leq x_i^{m_i} \) for \( t \in \mathbb{T}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), which implies that each of the hull equations (5.1) has at least one strictly positive solution.

Now, we prove the uniqueness of the strictly positive solution of each of the hull equations (5.1). Suppose that the hull equations (5.1) have two arbitrary strictly positive solutions \( x^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), x_2^*(t), \ldots, x_n^*(t)) \) and \( y^*(t) = (y_1^*(t), y_2^*(t), \ldots, y_n^*(t)) \). Let \( u_i^*(t) = x_i^*(t) - y_i^*(t) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Consider a Lyapunov function

\[
V^*(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i^*(t),
\]

where

\[
V_i^*(t) = V_{i1}^*(t) + V_{i2}^*(t) + V_{i3}^*(t) + V_{i4}^*(t) + V_{i5}^*(t),
\]

\[
V_{i1}^*(t) = |u_i^*(t)|,
\]

\[
V_{i2}^*(t) = \frac{(b_i^m e^{\gamma_i M_i})^2 [2 \mu b_i^m e^{\gamma_i M_i} + 1]}{1 - \tau^\Delta} \int_{-2\tau}^{t} \int_{s=\tau t}^{t} |u_i^*(r)| \Delta r \Delta s,
\]

\[
V_{i3}^*(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^m e^{\gamma_j M_j} b_j^m e^{\gamma_j M_j} [2 \mu b_j^m e^{\gamma_j M_j} + 1]}{(d_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^m)^2 (1 - \tau^\Delta)} \int_{-\tau - \delta}^{t} \int_{s=t}^{t} |u_i^*(r)| \Delta r \Delta s,
\]

\[
V_{i4}^*(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}^m e^{2\gamma_j M_j} b_j^m e^{2\gamma_j M_j} [2 \mu b_j^m e^{2\gamma_j M_j} + 1]}{(d_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^m)^2 (1 - \tau^\Delta)} \int_{-2\tau}^{t} \int_{s=t}^{t} |u_i^*(r)| \Delta r \Delta s,
\]

\[
V_{i5}^*(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{(c_{ij}^m e^{\gamma_j M_j})^2 (2 \mu b_j^m e^{\gamma_j M_j} + 1)}{(d_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^m)^4 (1 - \tau^\Delta)} \int_{-2\tau}^{t} \int_{s=t}^{t} |u_i^*(r)| \Delta r \Delta s.
\]

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have

\[
D^r (V^*)^\Delta(t) \leq - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i |u_i^*(t)|,
\]

(5.2)

From (5.2), we get

\[
D^r (V^*)^\Delta(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T},
\]

and hence

\[
V^*(t) > V^*(t_0), \quad t \leq t_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}.
\]

Then we have

\[
\int_{t}^{t_0} y_i |u_i^*(s)| \Delta s \leq V^*(t_0) - V^*(t), \quad t \leq t_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]
Consequently,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{t_0} |u_i^*(s)| \Delta s \leq \infty, \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{T},
\]

and \( u_i^*(t) = x_i^*(t) - y_i^*(t) \to 0 \) for \( t \to -\infty, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

For \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), let

\[
P_i = 1 + \frac{(b_i^p e^{\omega_i})^2 [2\mu b_i^p e^{\omega_i} + 1] (\tau^+)^2}{1 - \tau^\Delta}
+ \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_i^j e^{\omega_j} b_j^p e^{\omega_j} [2\mu b_j^p e^{\omega_j} + 1] (\tau^+ + \delta^+)^2}{(d_{ij} + e^{\omega_j})^2 (1 - \tau^\Delta)}
+ \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_i^j e^{2\omega_j} b_j^p (2\mu b_j^p e^{\omega_j} + 1)(\tau^+ + \delta^+)^2}{(d_{ij} + e^{\omega_j})^2 (1 - \tau^\Delta)}
+ \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{(c_i^j e^{\omega_j})^2 (2\mu b_j^p e^{\omega_j} + 1)(2\delta^+)^2}{(d_{ij} + e^{\omega_j})^2 (1 - \tau^\Delta)}.
\]

For arbitrary \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a positive integer \( K_1 \) such that

\[
|x_i^*(t) - y_i^*(t)| < \frac{\epsilon}{P_i}, \quad \forall t < -K_1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Hence, for \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) with \( i \neq j \), one has

\[
V_{i1}^*(t) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{P_i}, \quad \forall t < -K_1,
\]

\[
V_{i2}^*(t) \leq \frac{(b_i^p e^{\omega_i})^2 [2\mu b_i^p e^{\omega_i} + 1] (\tau^+)^2 \epsilon}{P_i}, \quad \forall t < -K_1,
\]

\[
V_{i3}^*(t) \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_i^j e^{\omega_j} b_j^p e^{\omega_j} [2\mu b_j^p e^{\omega_j} + 1] (\tau^+ + \delta^+)^2 \epsilon}{P_i}, \quad \forall t < -K_1,
\]

\[
V_{i4}^*(t) \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_i^j e^{2\omega_j} b_j^p (2\mu b_j^p e^{\omega_j} + 1)(\tau^+ + \delta^+)^2 \epsilon}{P_i}, \quad \forall t < -K_1,
\]

\[
V_{i5}^*(t) \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (c_i^j e^{\omega_j})^2 (2\mu b_j^p e^{\omega_j} + 1)(2\delta^+)^2 \epsilon}{P_i}, \quad \forall t < -K_1,
\]

which imply that

\[
V^*(t) < \epsilon, \quad \forall t < -K_1.
\]

So,

\[
\lim_{t \to -\infty} V^*(t) = 0.
\]
Note that $V^*(t)$ is a nonincreasing nonnegative function on $T$ and that $V^*(t) = 0$. That is,

$$x^*_i(t) = y^*_i(t), \quad t \in T, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$ 

Therefore, each of the hull equations (5.1) has a unique strictly positive solution. In view of the previous discussion, any of the hull equations (5.1) has a unique strictly positive solution. By Lemma 2.9, system (1.3) has a unique strictly positive almost periodic solution. The proof is completed. \hfill \Box

6 An example

Consider the following multispecies Lotka-Volterra mutualism system with time delays on almost periodic time scale $T$:

$$x_i^\Delta(t) = a_i(t) - b_i(t)e^{x_i(t-\tau_i(t))} + \sum_{j \neq i}^2 c_{ij}(t)\frac{e^{x_j(t-\delta_j(t))}}{d_{ij} + e^{y_j(t-\delta_j(t))}}, \quad i = 1, 2, t \in T. \quad (6.1)$$

Example 6.1 When we take $T = \mathbb{R}$, then $\mu(t) = 0$. Let

$$a_1(t) = 0.7 - 0.02 \sin(\sqrt{2}t), \quad a_2(t) = 0.61 - 0.02 \sin(\sqrt{3}t),$$

$$b_1(t) = 0.58 - 0.01 \cos(\sqrt{2}t), \quad b_2(t) = 0.55 - 0.01 \sin(\sqrt{2}t),$$

$$\tau_1(t) = 0.003 - 0.001 \cos t, \quad \tau_2(t) = 0.002 + 0.001 \sin t,$$

$$\delta_1(t) = 0.004 - 0.002 \cos t, \quad \delta_2(t) = 0.002,$$

$$(c_{ij})_{2 \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.06 + 0.05 \sin(2t) & 0.05 + 0.005 \cos(\sqrt{5}t) \\ 0.15 + 0.02 \cos(\sqrt{3}t) & 0.08 + 0.02 \sin(\sqrt{7}t) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(d_{ij})_{2 \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1.1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$a^i_1 = 0.72, \quad a^i_2 = 0.68, \quad a^2_1 = 0.63, \quad a^2_2 = 0.59, \quad b^1_1 = 0.59, \quad b^1_2 = 0.57,$$

$$b^2_1 = 0.56, \quad b^2_2 = 0.54, \quad c^1_{11} = 0.11, \quad c^1_{12} = 0.01, \quad c^2_{21} = 0.17, \quad c^2_{22} = 0.1,$$

$$\tau^* = 0.004, \quad \tau^- = 0.001, \quad \delta^* = 0.006, \quad \delta^- = 0.002,$$

$$\tau^\Delta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{x'_j(t)\} = 0.001, \quad \delta^\Delta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{\delta'_j(t)\} = 0.002.$$

By calculating, we have

$$x^M_1 = \ln \left\{ \frac{a^1_1 + c^1_{12}}{b^1_1} \exp \left\{ (a^1_1 + c^1_{12}) \tau^* \right\} \right\} \approx 0.250, \quad x^m_1 = \ln \left\{ \frac{a^1_1}{b^1_1} e^{-b^1_1 \tau^*} \right\} \approx 0.139,$$

$$x^M_2 = \ln \left\{ \frac{a^2_2 + c^2_{21}}{b^2_2} \exp \left\{ (a^2_2 + c^2_{21}) \tau^* \right\} \right\} \approx 0.396, \quad x^m_2 = \ln \left\{ \frac{a^2_2}{b^2_2} e^{-b^2_2 \tau^*} \right\} \approx 0.052,
then

\[ \gamma_1 \approx 0.604 > 0, \quad \gamma_2 \approx 0.527 > 0. \]

Thus, (H₁)-(H₄) are satisfied. According to Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, system (6.1) has a unique almost periodic solution, which is globally attractive.

**Example 6.2** When we take \( T = \mathbb{Z} \), then \( \mu(t) = 1 \). Let

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_1(t) &= 0.3 - 0.02 \sin(\sqrt{2}t), \quad a_2(t) = 0.25 - 0.02 \sin(3t), \\
  b_1(t) &= 0.27, \quad b_2(t) = 0.22, \quad \tau_1(t) = \frac{2 + (-1)^t}{1000}, \quad \tau_2(t) = 0.001, \\
  \delta_1(t) &= \frac{3 + (-1)^t}{1000}, \quad \delta_2(t) = 0.002, \\
  (c_{ij}(t))_{2 \times 2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.03 + 0.02 \sin(2t) & 0.01 + 0.01 \cos(\sqrt{5}t) \\ 0.006 + 0.004 \cos(\sqrt{3}t) & 0.08 + 0.02 \sin(2t) \end{pmatrix}, \\
  (d_{ij})_{2 \times 2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1.1 \end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

then

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_1^u &= 0.32, \quad a_1^l = 0.28, \quad a_2^u = 0.27, \quad a_2^l = 0.23, \quad b_1^u = b_1^l = 0.27, \\
  b_2^u &= b_2^l = 0.22, \quad c_{11}^u = 0.05, \quad c_{12}^u = 0.02, \quad c_{21}^u = 0.01, \quad c_{22}^u = 0.1, \\
  \tau^+ &= 0.003, \quad \tau^- = 0.001, \quad \delta^+ = 0.004, \quad \delta^- = 0.002, \\
  \tau_{/2} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2, t \in \mathbb{Z}} \{\Delta \tau_j(t)\} = 0.002, \quad \delta_{/2} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2, t \in \mathbb{Z}} \{\Delta \delta_j(t)\} = 0.002.
\end{align*}
\]

By calculating, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_1^M &= \ln \left\{ \frac{a_1^u + c_{12}^u}{b_1^u} \exp \left\{ -\tau^+ \log \left( 1 - \left( a_1^u + c_{12}^u \right) \right) \right\} \right\} \approx 0.232, \\
  x_1^m &= \ln \left\{ \frac{a_1^l}{b_1^u} \exp \left\{ \tau^- \log \left( 1 - b_1^u e^{\Lambda} \right) \right\} \right\} \approx 0.035, \\
  x_2^M &= \ln \left\{ \frac{a_2^u + c_{21}^u}{b_2^u} \exp \left\{ -\tau^+ \log \left( 1 - \left( a_2^u + c_{21}^u \right) \right) \right\} \right\} \approx 0.242, \\
  x_2^m &= \ln \left\{ \frac{a_2^l}{b_2^u} \exp \left\{ \tau^- \log \left( 1 - b_2^u e^{\Lambda} \right) \right\} \right\} \approx 0.044.
\end{align*}
\]

then

\[ \gamma_1 \approx 0.041 > 0, \quad \gamma_2 \approx 0.059 > 0. \]

Thus, (H₁)-(H₄) are satisfied. According to Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, system (6.1) has a unique almost periodic solution, which is globally attractive.
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