Autologous fat transfer to the subcutaneous tissue in the context of breast reconstructive procedures
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Abstract

Autologous fat transfer (AFT) is an appropriate technique for aesthetic rejuvenation of the face, aesthetic enhancement of hands, correction of the facial appearance in various disorders and constitutes a surgical alternative of treatment of numerous breast deformities ranging from distorting posttraumatic scars, post-eczema lesions, post-burn deformities to partial or total breast reconstruction. Our work is aimed to familiarize dermatologists with the technique of harvesting and implanting the aspirate of adipose cells in patients consulted for deformities of the breast. In addition, the review summarizes the most common applications of AFT in the breast reconstructive procedures. In summary, AFT is an oncologically safe, relatively complication-free, minimally invasive surgical technique, which can be used to correct a wide range of deformities, which are commonly seen by dermatologists, in the area of the face, trunk and extremities. The procedure can correct a wide range of breast deformities, from contour or single quadrant deformities up to the state after mastectomy.
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Introduction

A wide range of anatomic areas can be treated with autologous fat injections (lipofilling) for aesthetic indications or as an adjunct to reconstructive surgery. Autologous fat transfer (AFT) is an appropriate technique for aesthetic rejuvenation of the face (i.e. forehead, eyelids, nasolabial folds, cheeks or lips), aesthetic enhancement of hands as well as for correction of the facial appearance in various disorders (such as Romberg syndrome or lipodystrophy), and also for aesthetic improvement of diverse breast contour deformities.

In relation to breasts, dermatologists and plastic surgeons frequently consult patients for a wide range of deformities, including distorting posttraumatic scars, post-eczema lesions, striae, post-burn deformities or state after sparing or radical oncological operations. In these cases, AFT constitutes an ideal surgical alternative of treatment in order to restore the optimal appearance of the distorted or amputated breast, which in turn contributes to restoring the mental and physical balance of the patient [1]. Promising aesthetic results after the procedure were shown in the initial reports, together with minimal donor site morbidity and invasiveness [2, 3].

Our work is aimed to familiarize dermatologists with the technique of harvesting and implanting the aspirate of adipose cells in patients consulted for deformities of the breast. In addition, the review summarizes the most common applications of AFT in the breast reconstructive procedures.

Surgical procedure of autologous fat transfer

Various procedures of adipose tissue harvesting and grafting have been described, and most of them are modifications of the Coleman fat grafting technique. Majority of surgical techniques include four main steps: infiltration, harvesting, fat processing and implantation.
Infiltration

Prior to harvesting of the adipose fat graft (AFG), the donor area is infiltrated with a tumescent solution. The fluid is a mixture of saline, lignocaine, adrenaline and sodium bicarbonate. The typically-used tumescent solution contains a concentration of lidocaine under 0.05% [4]. In turn, only such high concentration of lidocaine as 2% and exposure prolonged over 20 min has a negative impact on adipocyte vitality [4].

Harvesting

The perfect anatomical region for autologous fat harvesting has not been specified [1]. Usually, the donor site is the lower abdomen or upper thigh, as based on patient’s individualized preferences (Figure 1). In general, the aspirate should include adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs). Adipose-derived stem cells increase the regenerative potential and improve blood circulation in the recipient site [5]. Here, it must be emphasized that the post-mastectomy area should not be exposed to increased concentrations of growth factors and elevated ratios of stem cells with their stimulating effect on surrounding tissues. There is a wide variety of harvesting methods, which mostly include conventional liposuction or syringe aspirations [6–12]. So far, there have been no reports showing a difference in the graft survival rate in relation to the harvesting method. Rationally, low pressure and blindly tipped cannula with side holes is an optimal solution for harvesting the adipose cells [13].

Fat processing

The aspirate is processed in order to obtain a higher concentration of cells as well as to eliminate the tumescent solution, free fatty acids and blood remnants. The resulting mixture of adipocytes, ADSCs and growth factors guarantees more predictable outcomes. Currently, there are three commonly used methods of processing AFG: sedimentation by gravity, filtering technique and centrifugation. Each of the methods seems to have unique benefits. There is no consensus which one is the most effective.

In detail, AFT prepared by sedimentation are much more viable than adipocytes decanted by centrifugation. Materials prepared using this method contain a significant amount of contaminating blood cells and smaller concentrations of stem cells [14].

Centrifugation removes most of free fat and remaining blood (Figure 2). This method obtains the highest possible concentration of stem cells within aspirate. It has also the increased content of angiogenic growth factors such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [15]. The optimal speed of rotation has been initially specified as 3000 rpm (approx. 1286 g) for 3 min [16]. Additionally, the adipocyte fraction after centrifugation is characterized with the highest density, which increases the survival and predictability of the final outcome [17]. However, centrifugation seems to be more traumatic for adipocytes due to the larger forces acting on aspirate.

In an open method, the lipoaspirate is filtered with cotton gauze, which results in concentrating the fat and separating it from the tumescent fluid, oily substances and cellular debris. The above method, as compared to centrifugation, showed no significant differences in vitality of transplant [18]. The open method is more exposed to aspirate contamination [19]. Histological examination of the transplanted fat showed a much lesser degree of fibrosis in the healing process than in other processing methods [18].

A number of studies examined the effectiveness of enrichment AFG substances to enhance the overall effect – tissue graft survival. Studies did not confirm the benefits of AFT enrichment in platelet-rich plasma [20]. Studies also showed no profits of the use of stem cell enrichment by the Celution® [21]. Enrichment prolongs the procedure, and the high price of the equipment increases the final cost of the procedure [22].

In general, choice of method is not cardinal for the final results; each of the methods may have a specific indication for the use. Due to the wide distribution of classical methods and their low costs, they still seem to be the first choice of fat processing.
Implantation

The optimal implantation technique is crucial to obtain long-lasting results. The recipient area should be considered as a three-dimensional structure. Graft through nutrition by tissue fluid absorption can survive up to 48 h. In the meantime, neovascularization progresses with the rate of about 1 mm per day. Therefore, the diameter of the deposit should not ideally be greater than 2 mm to avoid central necrosis [23, 24]. The key strategy following the above rule is implantation of AFG in the form of microdroplets or microribbons [23, 24]. On contrary, a single bolus injection of a large amount of fat causes a poor survival rate and fat necrosis [25].

Very high efficiency has been proven for multi-level, parallel administration of AFT in the fanlike model. Small amounts of approximately 0.03 ml of AFG are administered on withdrawal of the cannula. This ensures a high survival rate of the transplant and uneventful healing, thus increases the predictability of treatment [24].

Tissue volumetric capacity of AFG is based not only on ability to adopt further cells and a potential for providing a blood supply. Implanting the transplant increases the interstitial fluid pressure level. When the interstitial fluid pressure exceeds the pressure in the capillaries, the collapse thereof occurs. It exposes tissues to hypoxia and prevents proper healing of the graft [23]. Exceeding the maximal capacity of the tissues may lead to loss of the whole transplant.

Even large volumes of AFG can be applied following the above general rules [24, 26].

Partial breast reconstruction with autologous fat transfer following breast conserving therapy (BCT) or oncoplastic surgery

In some patients, aesthetic results following breast conserving therapy (BCT) or oncoplastic surgery and after radiotherapy can be less than suboptimal. Here, the minimally invasive partial breast reconstruction with adipose cells exclusively is satisfactory for the vast majority of patients, and can even enhance the quality of tissues after radiotherapy [27].

The advantages of simultaneous reconstruction are indisputable; however, the required subsequent radiotherapy can disrupt the outcome. The method of delayed partial breast reconstruction with AFT is proposed for
most patients, especially with a tumour of low malignant potential [27].

Adipose fat graft should be implanted as a fan-like technique in multiple planes to correct deformities, with caution to maintain an appropriate distance from the tumour. Autologous fat transfer should never be administered to the tumour bed. It is also not advisable to administer the graft to glandular tissues. The procedure should not expose the tumour bed to contact with the increased ratio of growth factors produced by stromal cells or transferred with the graft [28]. Therefore, the lowest possible content of growth factors should be administrated with graft.

**Autologous fat transfer and implant-based breast reconstruction**

Two-stage expander and implant-based breast reconstruction is one of the most common surgical options done in Europe and the United States [29]. The technique is associated with a relatively high rate of complications, which is even elevated after radiotherapy. A combination of implants and AFT enhances the final effect of the reconstruction in patients with or without radiotherapy [30].

Autologous fat transfer minimizes the complications rate related to use of the implant regarded as a foreign body and the total risk of reconstruction failure is minimized. Autologous fat transfer could be used for prevention of implant exposure [31]. A decreased ratio of implant exposure incidents is due to the improved quality of tissues with the increased thickness of subcutaneous tissue in cases of very thin coverage layers [29]. These advantages are more evident in patients with the irradiated skin. Autologous fat transfer is undertaken at least 6 weeks after radiotherapy [30].

Breast reshaping with AFT helps to release any contractions and correct contour distortions [32]. Reduced fibrosis caused by AFT decreases the risk of high-grade capsular contracture (3rd–4th grade on the Becker scale) [33].

**Autologous fat transfer and breast reconstruction with autologous tissue flaps**

Breast reconstruction with autologous flaps can lead to excellent aesthetic results, usually superior to the implant-based procedure [32]. Common problems in flap reconstruction are donor site morbidity or inadequate tissue volume. Morbidity of the donor site has already been downgraded with use of perforator flaps, where only skin and adipose tissue are harvested. In turn, breast volume can be increased with additional implant or overlapping flaps [34].

More and more commonly, autologous reconstructions can be complemented also with AFT. Autologous fat transfer corrects contour irregularities, refills spherical disturbances and smoothens scars [35]. Nowadays, the flap becomes a recipient to AFT for further reshaping stages [36, 37]. In both instances, AFG is usually implanted in numerous tunnels subcutaneously and into the adipose tissue of the flap as soon as 6 weeks following the primary procedure. Significant and long-lasting improvement of the reconstructed breast shape is obtained.

**Autologous fat transfer as an adjunct to breast reconstruction after radiotherapy**

Radiotherapy is required as part of oncological treatment of breast cancer in patients subjected to tissue sparing surgery, those with selected tumour locations and staging or with the metastatic lymph nodes [38]. Unfortunately, radiotherapy reduces the aesthetic outcomes of BCT, deteriorates the surgical outcome of primary and secondary breast reconstruction [39].

Irradiated tissues are prone to excessive accumulation of subcutaneous collagen as well as dermatitis, fibrosis and inflammation [40, 41]. Autologous fat transfer decreases all the above traits of the damaged, irradiated tissues [39]. The role of growth factors and angiogenesis is extensively studied in order to understand the underlying mechanism [31].

**Breast reconstruction exclusively with autologous fat transfer**

In selected patients, AFT has become an independent reconstructive method due to advances in the surgical technique [42]. Breast reconstruction exclusively with AFT offers many advantages: full biocompatibility, the ease of obtaining material, potentially large volume available, a relatively simple surgical technique, low risk for the patient, and avoidance of general anaesthesia. Irradiation does not decrease the AFG survival rate, however it can limit the potential for pleasing aesthetic outcome [26].

It is suggested that external vacuum therapy is essential for total breast reconstruction with AFT exclusively. It extends and prepares the tissue scaffold and skin envelope for the larger volume of the implanted graft. The negative pressure increases breast tissue vascularization. There are reports that therapy has locally increased the amount of stromal cells and growth factors. There are promising reports of beneficial effects of external vacuum therapy like BRAVA on reconstructed tissues [43]. BRAVA allows for good results in highly motivated patients [44]. The reconstruction should be carried out in multiple sessions. It should consist of two main parts. The purpose of the first low-volume part is to improve local conditions. Autologous fat transfer creates a fatty space in scarred tissues. The second part is a proper volume reconstruction, which is administration of large amounts of fat. The minimum interval between administrations should be at least 21 days [45]. At this time, the transplanted fat develops vascularization similar to that of normal tissues [46].

Breast reconstruction with AFT is relatively easy and requires less experience and clinical skills than other
surgical techniques. Autologous fat transfer can still be used when other options have failed or are not suitable. The method can be even considered in patients after the failed implant-based breast reconstruction, where the common autologous flaps are not available or contra-indicated [30]. A multicentre report has shown that the incidence of complications in the fatty tissue reconstruction is less than 3% [47]. The complications rate increases when there is a tendency to overgraft [32].

Oncological safety

Autologous fat transfer was previously suspected of delaying the oncological diagnosis of local recurrences. Therefore, it was excluded as a method of breast reconstruction for a long time. Research on the oncological safety and possibilities of using AFT in the post-mastectomy patients has significantly intensified after the statement of the American Society of Plastic Surgery on AFT [48]. Autologous fat transfer does not increase the risk of local recurrence and distant metastases and oncological safety has been confirmed both experimentally and in clinical trials, with short- and long-term follow-up [49–51]. The incidence of recurrence in patients subjected to AFT is statistically identical to patients who do not undergo reconstruction [51].

There are significant differences in the mammographic image, ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging between the suspected cancer and benign lesions after AFT [52]. A radiologist experienced in breast diagnostics should be able to differentiate between potentially malignant changes and any changes following AFT [53].

Summary

Autologous fat transfer is an oncologically safe, relatively complication-free, minimally invasive surgical technique, which can be used to correct a wide range of deformities, which are commonly seen by dermatologists, in the area of the face, trunk and extremities. The procedure can correct a wide range of breast deformities, from contour or single quadrant deformities up to the state after mastectomy. In the reconstructive cases, the procedure can act as an adjunct to any common autologous or implant-based options. Autologous fat transfer can be also used as an independent method of breast reconstruction, especially when used with an external expander. Additionally, the procedure is perfectly suited for symmetrisation.
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