The large $N$ limit of topologically twisted indices: a direct approach
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ABSTRACT: We study the large $N$ limit of the refined topologically twisted index of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories with a holographic dual, which is relevant for counting the number of microstates of rotating, magnetically charged and twisted AdS$_4$ black holes. As a difference with previous computations, we perform a direct saddle point analysis of the relevant matrix model. Among other things we explicitly identify the distribution of gauge magnetic fluxes that contributes at large $N$. The index exhibits a large $N$ factorized form in agreement with the supergravity expectations based on gravitational blocks and correctly reproduces the entropy of magnetically charged rotating AdS$_4 \times S^7$ black holes.
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1 Introduction

The topologically twisted index is the supersymmetric partition function on $\Sigma_g \times S^1$ of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories with a topological twist along the Riemann surface $\Sigma_g$ [1]. It can be defined as the equivariant Witten index

$$Z_{\Sigma_g \times S^1}(y_I, s_I) = \text{Tr}_{\Sigma_g}(-1)^F e^{-\beta(Q \cdot Q')} \prod_I y_I^{s_I},$$

of the theory compactified on $\Sigma_g$, where $s_I$ are magnetic fluxes on the Riemann surface specifying the twist and $y_I$ are complexified fugacities for the flavor symmetries $J_I$. For theories with an AdS$_4$ holographic dual, the index is supposed to count the number of microstates of magnetically charged and topologically twisted asymptotically AdS$_4$ black holes. The entropy of the most general family of static twisted black holes in AdS$_4 \times S^7$ has been reproduced in [2] by studying the large $N$ limit of the topologically twisted index of the dual ABJM theory [3].

In this paper we study the large $N$ limit of the refined topologically twisted index of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories with a holographic dual. This index can be defined only when $g = 0$ and it contains a further refinement with respect to rotational $U(1)$ symmetry of the two sphere. It corresponds to a background $S^1 \times S^2_\epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is the equivariant parameter for the rotation and it specifies the $S^1$ fibration over $S^2$. Holographically, it is supposed to count the number of microstates of the magnetically charged rotating AdS$_4 \times S^7$ black holes found in [5] and their generalizations.

The original method used in [2], based on a decomposition of the partition function in a sum over Bethe vacua, cannot be applied to the refined index. In this paper we will take a different approach by evaluating directly the large $N$ limit of the index matrix model. Localization reduces the index to a finite-dimensional integral over gauge holonomy variables, summed over topological sectors specified by gauge magnetic fluxes along $S^2$. We will assume that in the large $N$ limit the fluxes can be treated as continuous variables and we will take a saddle point approximation with respect to both holonomies and fluxes. The method has been already efficiently used to study five-dimensional indices [6–8]. We will of course reproduce the results in [2] and their generalizations [9, 10] for $\epsilon = 0$ and we will provide the general result for generic $\epsilon$. One of the advantages of the direct method is that it provides the distribution of magnetic fluxes that dominates the index at large $N$. This can be useful for understanding the quantum mechanics obtained by a dimensional reduction on the sphere [11].

A different method, valid in the Cardy limit ($\epsilon \to 0$), was proposed in [12] and successfully applied to the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory coupled to a fundamental hypermultiplet, which is supposed to flow to ABJM in the infrared. We will explicit discuss the relation between the two methods.

---

$^1$This analysis has been extended to many other twisted black objects in AdS$_4$ and in higher dimensions. For a (partial) review, see [4].
One of the results of our analysis is that, for the class of theories we will consider, the large $N$ refined index, to all perturbative orders in $\epsilon$, can be written in a factorized form

$$\log Z = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{\hat{W}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}},$$

(1.2)

where $y_I = e^{i\Delta_I}$ and $\hat{W}(\Delta)$ is the on-shell twisted effective potential defined in section 3 and proportional to the $S^3$ free-energy of the $N = 2$ gauge theory at large $N$. The explicit form of the gluing in given in (3.8). The result (1.2) is in complete agreement with the general holographic expectations based on gravitational blocks [13] and correctly reproduce the entropy of the rotating AdS$_4 \times S^7$ black holes found in [5]. Similar large $N$ factorizations hold in higher dimensions too [8]. In this paper we work to all orders but perturbatively in $\epsilon$. A more refined analysis would be needed to understand if there are exponentially suppressed corrections in $\epsilon$ to the result (1.2).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the localization formula for the refined topologically twisted index. In 3 we give a general overview of the available methods for computing the topologically twisted index both for $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon \neq 0$. In particular we point out the differences between the Bethe route used in [2] and the other direct methods. A particular interesting technical point is the difference in the treatment of the so-called tails regions of the eigenvalues distribution. The interested reader will find details in section 3 and appendix A. In section 4 we present the general rules for computing the index for a class of quivers with $N^{3/2}$ scaling of the free-energy and holographically dual to AdS$_4 \times M_7$ M-theory backgrounds, where $M_7$ is a seven-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein manifold. We will explicitly present two examples, the ADHM quiver and the ABJM theory. In section 5 we present the general rules for computing the index for a class of quivers with $N^{5/3}$ scaling of the free-energy and holographically dual to AdS$_4$ backgrounds in massive type IIA. A series of appendices contains the technical derivations and explicit formulae for ADHM and ABJM solutions.

2 Refined twisted index for 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ field theories

Consider a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory with gauge group $G$, $I$ chiral multiplets in a representation $\oplus \mathfrak{R}_I$ of the gauge group, and Chern-Simons couplings $k_a$ for the various factors $G^{(a)}$ of the group $G = \prod_a G^{(a)}$. The refined topologically twisted index reads [1]

$$Z_{S^1 \times S^2}(\Delta, s, \Delta_m, s_m|\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m \in \Gamma} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{i=1}^{rk(G)} \frac{dx_i}{2\pi i x_i} Z_{\text{cl}}(u_i, m_i; \Delta_m, s_m) Z_{\text{1-loop}}(u_i, m_i; \Delta, s|\epsilon),$$

(2.1)
where
\[
Z_{1\text{-loop}}(u_i, m_i; \Delta, s|\epsilon) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha > 0} |\alpha(m)| |\alpha| (1 - x^\alpha q_{|\alpha(m)|/2}) \times \prod_{I} \prod_{\rho_I \in R_I} \prod_{t=1}^{[B_I]-1} \left( \frac{x^{|\rho|/2} y^{|\nu|/2} q_{|\ell|/2} \text{sign}(B_I)}{1 - x^{|\rho|} y^{|\nu|} q^{|\ell|}} \right).
\]  

(2.2)

Here, \(B_I \equiv \rho_I(m) - \nu(s) + 1\), \(\alpha\) denotes the roots of the gauge group, \(\rho\), \(\nu\) are the weights of the chiral multiplet under the gauge and flavor symmetry groups, respectively, and \([W]\) is the order of the Weyl group of \(G\). In this formula, \((m, s)\) are the gauge and flavor magnetic fluxes on \(S^2_\epsilon\), respectively; \(x = e^{iu}\), \(y = e^{i\Delta}\) are the gauge and flavor fugacities and \(q = e^{i\epsilon}\) is the fugacity for the angular momentum refinement.

The classical contributions come from the Chern-Simons terms and the topological symmetries. A factor \(G^{(a)}\) of the gauge group \(G\) contributes
\[
Z_{cl}^{CS}(u_i, m_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rk}(G^{(u)})} x_i^{k_a m_i},
\]

(2.3)

where \(k_a\) is the associated Chern-Simons coupling. A topological symmetry associated with a U(1) factor contributes
\[
Z_{cl}^{top}(u, m; \Delta_m, s_m) = x^{s_m} \zeta^m,
\]

(2.4)

where \(s_m\) is the topological magnetic flux and \(\zeta = e^{i\Delta_m}\) is the topological fugacity. Observe that the classical contribution \(Z_{cl}(u_i, m_i)\) does not depend on the refinement parameter \(\epsilon\).

3 Matrix model large \(N\) limit

We can take various approaches to evaluate the large \(N\) limit of the refined index (2.1). Each has its own virtues that we are going to briefly describe in the following.

3.1 The Bethe route

The large \(N\) limit of the unrefined index, (2.1) for \(\epsilon = 0\), was first considered in [2] for the ABJM theory, and later generalized in [9, 10] to more general Chern-Simons quivers with gauge group \(G = \prod\text{[U(N)]}_a\) and matter transforming in the bi-fundamental, adjoint and (anti-)fundamental representations with a holographic dual. Further generalizations to other type of quivers relevant for holography can be found in [14–18].

The Bethe approach is based on the fact that the unrefined index can be written as a sum of contributions
\[
Z_{S^1 \times S^2}(\Delta, s|0) = \sum_{u=u^*} e^{-\Omega(u; \Delta, s)} \left( \det_{ij} \partial^2_{u_i u_j} \tilde{W}(u; \Delta) \right)^{-1},
\]

(3.1)
where $\tilde{W}(u; \Delta)$ and $\Omega(u; \Delta, s)$ are the effective twisted superpotential and the effective dilaton obtained by reducing the three-dimensional theory on $S^1$, whose explicit expressions can be found in [19, 20]. The sum is over the Bethe vacua, the critical points of the effective twisted superpotential

$$\exp \left( i \frac{\partial \tilde{W}(u; \Delta)}{\partial u_i} \right) \bigg|_{u = u^*} = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

This form of the index follows from (2.1) by observing that the sum over gauge magnetic fluxes $m_i$ can be explicitly performed when $\epsilon = 0$. This leads to a set of poles in the integrand at the solutions to (3.2) and the residue theorem then gives (3.1) [2].

The main idea behind the Bethe approach is that one contribution will dominate (3.1) in the large $N$ limit and this can be found by taking the large $N$ limit of (3.2)

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(u; \Delta)}{\partial u_i} = 2\pi n_i, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.3}$$

where the integer $n_i$ are carefully chosen to guarantee the existence of the limit. For the Chern-Simons gauge theories of interest, as shown in [2, 9], the gauge holonomies $u_i$ at the saddle point are distributed along a curve in the complex plane whose size grows with powers of $N$. In the large $N$ limit, the twisted superpotential becomes a local functional

$$\tilde{W}(\rho(t), v_a(t); \Delta), \tag{3.4}$$

of the eigenvalue density $\rho(t)$ and of a set of functions $v_a(t)$ that characterize the gauge holonomies for the $a^{th}$ group. This expressions has to be extremized with respect to $\rho(t)$ and $v_a(t)$, and the resulting distribution will then be used to evaluate (3.1).

It was proved in [9] that the “on-shell” value of the twisted superpotential for this class of theories is always related to the free energy on $S^3$, at large $N$, via

$$\tilde{W}(\Delta) \equiv \tilde{W}(u; \Delta)|_{u = u^*} = -\frac{i\pi}{2} F_{S^3}(\tilde{\Delta}), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta} \equiv \Delta/\pi$ denote the trial R-charges for the chiral fields. The identification between flavor chemical potentials and R-charges is allowed by the fact the large $N$ saddle point solutions exist for $\sum_{I \in W_a} \Delta_I = 2\pi$, where $W_a$ denotes a generic monomial term in the superpotential, which correctly enforces the flavor symmetry constraint $\prod_{I \in W_a} y_I = 1$.

It will be important in the following that we can always use a set of constrained variables $\Delta_I$ such that $\tilde{W}(\rho(t), v^a(t); \Delta)$ contains only homogeneous functions of $\Delta_I$ and the on-shell superpotential $\mathcal{W}(\Delta)$ is itself a homogeneous function of degree two of $\Delta_I$ [2, 9]. For example, for the ABJM theory we have [1]

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta) = -\frac{2i}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{2\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4}, \tag{3.6}$$

$^2$ To compare with [9, (3.32)], note that $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{\text{here}} = -\mathcal{V}_{\text{there}}$. 
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where $\sum_{I=1}^{4} \Delta_I = 2\pi$.

For $\epsilon \neq 0$ it is impossible to perform the summation over $m_i$ in (2.1). A Bethe vacua formula exists for rational $\epsilon$ [21], but it seems difficult to use. We need to find a different route.

3.2 The factorization route

The refined twisted index can be written by gluing two holomorphic blocks $B(u; \Delta | \epsilon)$ according to the formula [22]

$$Z_{S^1 \times S^2}(\Delta, s | \epsilon) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{m \in I_h} \int \prod_{i=1}^{r_k(\mathcal{G})} \frac{dx_i}{2\pi i x_i} B \left( u^{(1)}; \Delta^{(1)} | \epsilon^{(1)} \right) B \left( u^{(2)}; \Delta^{(2)} | \epsilon^{(2)} \right),$$

(3.7)

where

$$u^{(\sigma)}_i \equiv u_i + \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} m_i,$$

$$\Delta^{(\sigma)}_i = \Delta_I - \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} s_I,$$

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \epsilon,$$

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv -\epsilon.$$

(3.8)

If we assume that, in the limit of interest, the gauge fluxes $m_i$ can be treated as continuous variables, we can then think of (3.7) as an integral over the two independent complex variables $u^{(1)}$ and $u^{(2)}$ and consider a saddle point with respect to them. In a slightly different but equivalent context, the explicit analysis has been performed in [12] for the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory coupled to a fundamental hypermultiplet, which is supposed to flow to ABJM in the infrared. The analysis was performed in the Cardy limit ($\epsilon \to 0$) and leads to the factorized result we are going to discuss and other similar and interesting results and relations among the topologically twisted index, the superconformal index, and the sphere partition function.

The main point behind this route is the asymptotic expansion of the holomorphic blocks in the limit of small $\epsilon$. In this limit, the holomorphic blocks are singular (see e.g. [22, (2.22)] and [21, (F.15)])

$$B(u; \Delta | \epsilon) \sim \exp \left( \frac{i}{\epsilon} \tilde{W}(u; \Delta) + \ldots \right),$$

(3.9)

where $\tilde{W}(u; \Delta)$ is the effective twisted superpotential of the two-dimensional theory. For a general class of theories, if we first take the large $N$ limit, the asymptotic series in $\epsilon$ truncates to a polynomial and can be compactly written as

$$B(u^{(\sigma)}; \Delta^{(\sigma)} | \epsilon^{(\sigma)}) \sim \exp \left( \frac{i}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \tilde{W}_{\text{hom}} \left( \rho^{(\sigma)}(t), v^{(\sigma)}_a(t); \Delta^{(\sigma)} \right) \right),$$

(3.10)

valid up to exponentially small terms in $\epsilon$, generalizing [12]. In this formula $\rho^{(\sigma)}(t)$ and $v^{(\sigma)}_a(t)$ are related to the large $N$ distributions of the variables $u^{(\sigma)}$ and $\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}$ is the large

---

3 The different sign between gauge and flavor fugacity is due to our conventions where $\sum_{I \in W_a} s_I = 2$ for each term $W_a$ in the superpotential. See appendix E for details.
$N$ twisted superpotential discussed in section 3.1. It is important that $\tilde{W}_{\hom}$ is written \textit{homogeneously} in terms of constrained variables satisfying $\sum_{I \in W} \Delta_I = 2\pi$. The explicit dependence on $\epsilon$ in (3.10) comes from replacing $\Delta_I$ with $\Delta_I^\epsilon$, which now satisfy
\begin{equation}
\sum_{I \in W} \Delta_I^\epsilon = 2\pi - \epsilon^\epsilon. \tag{3.11}
\end{equation}
The analysis leading to (3.10) for a chiral contribution is explicitly discussed in appendix E.

It follows now from (3.7) and (3.10) that, treating $u^\epsilon$ as independent variables, the saddle point analysis reduces to two copies of the extremization discussed in section 3.1. The final large $N$ limit of the index is then given by
\begin{equation}
\log Z = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\sigma = 1}^2 \tilde{W}(\Delta^\epsilon) \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^\epsilon}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation}
where again we should use the homogeneous form of $\tilde{W}$ for this formula to hold.

### 3.3 A direct method

In this paper we will mostly pursue a direct method. The solution for $\epsilon = 0$ suggests that the $m_i$'s are large and we can treat them effectively as continuous variables. Then we can study the saddle point approximation of the refined index matrix model (2.1) with respect to $u_i$ and $m_i$. The method has been efficiently used to study five-dimensional indices [6–8].

Consider for example the contribution of a chiral multiplet to the refined twisted index. At finite $N$ it reads\footnote{Up to an overall phase, which including all contributions from vectors and bi-fundamental fields, is given by $-\frac{i}{2} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{G}} (\alpha(m) - 1) + \sum_I \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_I} (\rho(m) - \nu(s) + 1) \right)$. The sum over roots and weight vanishes for all quivers where, at each node, the number of ingoing and outgoing arrows is equal. The remaining term vanishes at large $N$ since $\text{Tr} R(s) = 0$ for the quivers of interest, see (4.6).}
\begin{equation}
\log Z = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{|B_{\rho}| = 1} \text{sign}(B_{\rho}) \left( \text{Li}_1 \left( e^{i(\rho(u) + \nu(\Delta + \ell \epsilon))} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g_1(\rho(u) + \nu(\Delta + \ell \epsilon)) \right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation}
where $B_{\rho} \equiv \rho(m) - \nu(s) + 1$ and $g_1(u) = u - \pi$. We take an ansatz where $m_i$ scales with $N$ similarly to the eigenvalues $u_i$ and we will replace them with a set of functions $n(t)$ and $p_a(t)$. Then, the chiral contribution (3.13) and the full integrand of the refined index (2.1) become a local functional of $\rho(t)$, $\nu_a(t)$, $n(t)$, and $p_a(t)$ that we will be able to extremize directly. The explicit details are given in appendices A and D.

One of the advantage of the direct method is that it provides the distribution of magnetic fluxes that dominates the index at large $N$ and that can be useful for understanding the quantum mechanics obtained by a dimensional reduction on a sphere, whose ground
states are supposed to reproduce the entropy of magnetically charged black holes in AdS$_4$ [11].

Another advantage of the direct method is that it overcomes one of the technical complication of the Bethe route discussed in section 3.1, namely the possible existence of tails in the large $N$ solution. They appear in theories with bi-fundamental fields if the eigenvalue distribution, which is typically piece-wise continuous in the large $N$ limit, contains regions where

$$u_i^{(b)} - u_i^{(a)} + \Delta_{(b,a)} = \exp \left(-\sqrt{N}Y_{(b,a)}(t)\right), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N .$$

Exponentially suppressed terms should be generically negligible, but this is not what happens in the Bethe approach. The reason is the following. For a bi-fundamental field, the sum over weights splits into

$$\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i \neq j}^N + \sum_{i = j}^N.$$ (3.15)

At large $N$, it is usual to discard the terms $\sum_{i \neq j}^N$ because they are suppressed by a power of $N$ compared to $\sum_{i = j}^N$. Indeed this is what happens when we take the large $N$ limit of the effective twisted superpotential $\tilde{W}$. However, when evaluating (3.1) on the Bethe vacuum, we encounter terms like

$$\sum_{i = 1}^N \log \left(u_i^{(b)} - u_i^{(a)} + \Delta_{(b,a)}\right) \sim -N^{3/2} \int dt \rho(t) Y_{(b,a)}(t) ,$$ (3.16)

which contribute to the leading order for theories with $N^{3/2}$ scaling. Such contributions are crucial to obtain the correct result for the ABJM theory [2]. On the other hand, $\epsilon \neq 0$ effectively regularizes and suppresses the $i = j$ contribution to the refined index, as manifest from (3.13), and we can neglect problems associated with tail regions. More details are given in appendix A.

4 Theories with $N^{3/2}$ scaling of the index

In this section we consider a class of quiver Chern-Simons $\mathcal{G} = \prod_{a=1}^{[\mathcal{G}]} U(N)_a$ gauge theories with matters in bi-fundamental, adjoint and (anti-)fundamental representations of the gauge group. We further require

$$\sum_{a=1}^{[\mathcal{G}]} k_a = 0 .$$ (4.1)

The theories we are interested in are holographically dual to AdS$_4 \times Y_7$ backgrounds of M-theory where $Y_7$ are seven-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein spaces. They describe the low-energy dynamics of $N$ coincident M2-branes placed at the tip of the cone $C(Y_7)$. In the M-theory phase $N \gg k_a$ the index scales as $N^{3/2}$ as expected from supergravity.
We consider the following ansatz for the large $N$ saddle point eigenvalue distribution
\[
 u_j^{(a)} = iN^{1/2}t_j + v_j^{(a)}, \quad m_j^{(a)} = iN^{1/2}n_j + p_j^{(a)}.
\] (4.2)

Observe that we have deformed the real integer fluxes $m_j$ into the complex plane in (4.2), anticipating a complex saddle point. Moreover, the imaginary parts of $u_j^{(a)}$ and $m_j^{(a)}$ do not depend on the index $a$. At large $N$, we define the continuous functions
\[
 t_j \equiv t(j/N), \quad v_j^{(a)} \equiv v^{(a)}(j/N),
 n_j \equiv n(j/N), \quad p_j^{(a)} \equiv p^{(a)}(j/N),
\] (4.3)

and we introduce the normalized density of eigenvalues
\[
 \rho(t) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{dj}{dt}, \quad \int dt \rho(t) = 1.
\] (4.4)

Our method generalizes the one used in [23] for the $S^3$ free-energy. As in [23], there exist some restrictions on the class of quivers for which it can be successfully used. For each bi-fundamental connecting $a$ and $b$ there must be also a bi-fundamental connecting $b$ and $a$, and the total number of fundamental and anti-fundamental fields in the quiver must be equal. For such theories to be devoid of long-range forces\(^5\) the quiver must also satisfy [9]
\[
 \prod_{I \in a} y_I = 1, \quad 2 + \sum_{I \in a} (s_I - 1) = 0,
\] (4.5)

where the $\prod_{I \in a}$ and $\sum_{I \in a}$ are taken over all bi-fundamental fields with one leg in the node $a$.\(^6\) If we sum over all the nodes we also obtain the following constraint
\[
 |G| + \sum_{I \in (\text{bi-fund})} (s_I - 1) = 0.
\] (4.6)

The above equation is equivalent to $\text{Tr} R = 0$ for any trial R-symmetry. This statement is valid at large $N$, where the trace is taken over all the bi-fundamental fermions and gauginos.

### 4.1 General rules

In this section we give the general rules for constructing the large $N$ refined twisted index of $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ quiver gauge theories without long-range forces. The reader can find the details in Appendix A, here we only report the final results.

\(^5\)These are non-local terms in the equations of motion which scale with higher powers of $N$. To obtain a consistent large $N$ limit with the method presented here, they must cancel.

\(^6\)Adjoint fields are counted twice. The second condition is satisfied for most of the quivers of interest that are obtained by dimensionally reducing 4d quivers associated with D3-branes probing Calabi-Yau singularities, adding Chern-Simons terms and flavoring with (anti)-fundamentals. See [9, 10] for details and examples. For a quiver with a 4d parent, the condition is equivalent to the absence of anomalies for the R-symmetry.
Let us set $w(t) = i t$. We define the equivariant quantities

$$w^{(\sigma)}(t) \equiv w(t) + \frac{i \epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} n(t), \quad v^{(\sigma)}_a(t) \equiv v_a(t) + \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} p_a(t),$$

$$\Delta_{m}^{(\sigma)} \equiv \Delta_m - \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} s_m, \quad \Delta_I^{(\sigma)} \equiv \Delta_I - \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} s_I, \quad \epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \epsilon, \quad \epsilon^{(2)} \equiv -\epsilon,$$

and the closely related ones

$$\Delta_I^{(\sigma)} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \Delta_I + \pi (\omega - 1) + \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} (1 - s_I) \right), \quad v_a^{(\sigma)}(t) \equiv \frac{v_a^{(\sigma)}(t)}{\omega},$$

with

$$\omega \equiv \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \right)^2}.$$  \hfill (4.7)

We also define

$$\delta v(t) \equiv v_b(t) - v_a(t), \quad \delta p(t) \equiv p_b(t) - p_a(t).$$  \hfill (4.8)

We work in an all-orders but perturbative expansion in $\epsilon$. A more refined analysis of the relevant approximations and asymptotic expansions would be needed to understand whether there are non-perturbative corrections. We again refers to Appendix A for more details.

1. Each gauge group $a$ with CS level $k_a$ contributes

$$i N^{3/2} k_a \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \rho(t) w^{(\sigma)}(t) v^{(\sigma)}_a(t).$$  \hfill (4.11)

2. A $U(1)_a$ topological symmetry with chemical potential and magnetic flux ($\Delta_{m}^{(\sigma)}$, $s_m^{(\sigma)}$) contributes

$$i N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{\Delta_{m}^{(\sigma)}}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \rho(t) w^{(\sigma)}(t).$$  \hfill (4.12)

3. Each vector multiplet contributes

$$i \frac{\pi}{12} N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \int dt \rho(t) \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)} - 2\pi}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)}. $$  \hfill (4.13)

4. A pair of bi-fundamental chiral multiplets, one transforming in the $(N, \bar{N})$ representation of $U(N)_a \times U(N)_b$ and with chemical potential and magnetic flux ($\Delta_{m}^{(a,b)}$, $s_{m}^{(a,b)}$) and the other transforming in the $(\bar{N}, N)$ of $U(N)_a \times U(N)_b$ with chemical potential and magnetic flux ($\Delta_{m}^{(b,a)}$, $s_{m}^{(b,a)}$), contributes

$$i \omega^3 N^{3/2} \sum_{l=(a,b):+} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \rho(t) \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)}.$$  \hfill (4.14)
Here, $\delta v(t) \equiv v_b(t) - v_a(t)$, and
\[
g_n(u) \equiv \frac{(2\pi)^n}{n!} B_n \left( \frac{u}{2\pi} \right), \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]
where $B_n(u)$ denotes the Bernoulli polynomials. Note that,
\[
g_1(u) = u - \pi, \quad g_2(u) = \frac{u^2}{2} - \pi u + \frac{\pi^2}{3}, \quad g_3(u) = \frac{u^3}{6} - \frac{\pi}{2} u^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} u.
\]
This expression has been derived under the assumption that
\[
\pm \delta v^{(\sigma)}(t) + \Delta_f^{(\sigma)} \in (0, 2\pi).
\]
The solution might contain regions (tails) wherein $\delta v^{(\sigma)}(t)$ is frozen to the constant boundary value $\mp \Delta_f^{(\sigma)}$. In such regions the equations obtained from varying the twisted index functional with respect to $\delta v(t)$ and $\delta p(t)$ need not hold.\footnote{This is a large $N$ effect. As explained in [2], they hold when including subleading exponential corrections.}

5. An adjoint chiral multiplet with chemical potential $\Delta_{(a,a)}$ and magnetic flux $s_{(a,a)}$, contributes
\[
i \omega^3 N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{g_3(\Delta_{(a,a)}^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \frac{\rho(t)^2}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)}.
\]

6. A chiral multiplet transforming in the fundamental representation of $U(N)_a$ and with chemical potential and magnetic flux $(\Delta_a, s_a)$, contributes
\[
- \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \int dt \rho(t) \left( (\pi - \Delta_a - v_a(t)) - \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} (1 - s_a + p_a(t)) \right) \frac{|w^{(\sigma)}|}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}.
\]

A chiral multiplet in the anti-fundamental representation and with chemical potential and magnetic flux $(\tilde{\Delta}_a, \tilde{s}_a)$, contributes
\[
- \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \int dt \rho(t) \left( (\pi - \tilde{\Delta}_a + v_a(t)) - \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} (1 - \tilde{s}_a - p_a(t)) \right) \frac{|w^{(\sigma)}|}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}.
\]

4.2 ADHM quiver

The ADHM theory, which arise in the description of the moduli space of instantons [24], is an $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(N)$ gauge theory with an adjoint hypermultiplet and $r$ fundamental hypermultiplets. In $\mathcal{N} = 2$ notation, the matter content is described by the quiver diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{1,2,3} \\
\uparrow \\
\begin{array}{c}
N \\
\downarrow \\
Q \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{Q} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
r \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[ (4.21) \]
Here, $\phi_I$ with $I = 1, 2, 3$, denotes the adjoint chiral multiplets, and $Q^a_I$, $\tilde{Q}^a_i$ with $a = 1, \ldots, N$ and $i = 1, \ldots, r$ represent the (anti-)fundamental chiral multiplets. They interact through the superpotential

$$W = \tilde{Q}^a_i (\phi_3)^a_b Q^b_i + (\phi_3)^a_b [\phi_1, \phi_2]^b_a.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.22)

With just one fundamental hypermultiplet, $r = 1$, the theory is supposed to flow to the ABJM theory with $k = 1$ [3]. For generic $r$, the theory can be realized on the world-volume of $N$ M2-branes probing a $\mathbb{C}^2 \times C^2 / \mathbb{Z}_r$ singularity [25].

Let us introduce the chemical potentials $(\Delta_I, \Delta, \tilde{\Delta})$ and magnetic fluxes $(s_I, s, \tilde{s})$ associated with the fields $(\phi_I, Q, \tilde{Q})$. We also denote by $(\Delta_m, s_m)$, the chemical potential and magnetic flux corresponding to the topological symmetry associated with the abelian factor $U(1)$. Then,

$$\sum_{I=1}^{3} \Delta_I = 2\pi, \quad \Delta + \tilde{\Delta} + \Delta_3 = 2\pi,$$

$$\sum_{I=1}^{3} \epsilon_I = 2, \quad s + \tilde{s} + s_3 = 2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.23)

Here, we use the fact that, for each monomial term $W_a$ in the superpotential the topological twist requires $\sum_{I \in W_a} s_I = 2$ where the sum is restricted to the fields entering in $W_a$. The analogous condition for the flavor symmetries is $\prod_{I \in W_a} y_I = 1$, which translates into $\sum_{I \in W_a} \Delta_I = 2\pi$. As in [1, 9] we will be able to find large $N$ saddle point solutions for $\sum_{I \in W_a} \Delta_I = 2\pi$. This explains the choices in (4.23).

Using the rules (4.12), (4.13), (4.18), (4.19), and (4.20) the large $N$ refined twisted index reads

$$\frac{\log Z}{N^{3/2}} = \frac{i\pi}{12} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{\epsilon(\sigma) - 2\pi}{w(\sigma)(t)} + i\omega^3 \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} g_3 (\Delta^{(\sigma)}_m - \frac{i}{2} \Delta_3 (\sigma) \text{sign}(w^{(\sigma)})) w\,(\sigma),$$

$$- \frac{1}{\epsilon(\sigma)} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon(\sigma)} \int dt \rho(t) \left( \Delta^{(\sigma)}_m - \frac{r}{2} \Delta_3 (\sigma) \text{sign}(w^{(\sigma)})) w\,(\sigma),

$$</code>

where we used the constraints (4.23). The index (4.24) can be more elegantly rewritten as

$$\log Z(\rho(t), n(t), \Delta_I, \Delta_m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{W}_\text{hom}(\rho(t), w(\sigma)(t), \Delta_I^{(\sigma)}, \Delta_m^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon(\sigma)}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.25)

in terms of the effective twisted superpotential for the ADHM theory (see e.g. [10, (3.4)])\(^8\)

$$\frac{\tilde{W}_\text{hom}(\rho(t), w(t), \Delta_I, \Delta_m)}{N^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{I=1}^{3} \Delta_I \int dt \frac{\rho(t)^2}{w'(t)} - \int dt \rho(t) w(t) \left( \Delta_m - \frac{r}{2} \Delta_3 \text{sign}(w(t)) \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.26)

\(^8\)In order to recover the effective twisted superpotential for the ADHM theory as given in [10], we need to recall that $w(t) = i t$. It is convenient to consider an explicit dependence on $w(t)$ in order to perform the substitutions in (4.25).
and we used the equivariant parameters (4.7). Notice that all terms in (4.26) have been written as homogeneous functions of \((\Delta_I, \Delta_m)\) using the constraints (4.23). Notice also that the equivariant parameters \(\Delta_I^{(\sigma)}\) defined in (4.7) do not satisfy (4.23) and the relation (4.25) holds only if the homogeneous form of \(\tilde{W}\) is used.

The effective twisted superpotential (4.26) is at the core of the Bethe route approach used in [1, 9]. The large \(N\) Bethe vacuum that dominates the index is obtained by extremizing (4.26) with respect to \(\rho(t)\). For completeness and later use, we report here the result of the extremization. We obtain [10, Sect. 3.1.1]

\[
\rho(t) = -\frac{r \Delta_3 |t| + 2 \Delta_m t - 2 \nu}{2 \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3}, \quad -\frac{2 \nu}{r \Delta_3 - 2 \Delta_m} < t < \frac{2 \nu}{r \Delta_3 + 2 \Delta_m},
\]

(4.27)

where we included the Lagrange multiplier \(\nu\) to ensure the normalization of \(\rho(t)\). Plugging back the saddle point configuration (4.27) into (4.26) we then find

\[
\tilde{W}(\Delta_I, \Delta_m) \equiv \left. \tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(t), w(t), \Delta_I, \Delta_m) \right|_{(4.27)} = -\frac{2i}{3} N^{3/2} \nu = -\frac{i}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{2 r \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \left( \Delta_3 - \frac{2}{r} \Delta_m \right) \left( \Delta_3 + \frac{2}{r} \Delta_m \right)},
\]

(4.28)

that is a homogenous function of degree 2 of \((\Delta_I, \Delta_m)\). For \(r = 1\), with the change of variables \(\tilde{\Delta}_1 = \Delta_1, \tilde{\Delta}_2 = \Delta_2, \tilde{\Delta}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_3 - \Delta_m, \tilde{\Delta}_4 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_3 + \Delta_m\), (4.28) reduces to the effective twisted superpotential for the ABJM theory [1]

\[
\tilde{W}(\tilde{\Delta}_I, \Delta_m) = -\frac{2i}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{2 \tilde{\Delta}_1 \tilde{\Delta}_2 \tilde{\Delta}_3 \tilde{\Delta}_4},
\]

(4.29)

where \(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \tilde{\Delta}_i = 2\pi\), as expected.

It was proved in [9] that the “on-shell” value of the twisted superpotential for this class of theories is always related to the free energy on \(S^3\), at large \(N\), via

\[
\tilde{W}(\Delta) = -\frac{i}{2} F_{S^3}(\tilde{\Delta}),
\]

(4.30)

where \(\Delta \equiv \Delta/\pi\) denote the trial R-charges for the chiral fields. We will see in the next section that the \(S^3\) free energy constitutes the twisted index in the large \(N\) limit, in agreement with the gravitational blocks standpoint proposed in [13].

4.2.1 The unrefined case

Setting \(\epsilon = 0\) in (4.25) we obtain

\[
\frac{\log Z_{N^{3/2}}}{N^{3/2}} = \int dt \rho(t) n(t) \left( \Delta_m + \frac{r}{2} \Delta_3 \text{sign}(t) \right) - \int dt \rho(t) t \left( s_m + \frac{r}{2} s_3 \text{sign}(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_1 \Delta_2 s_3 + \Delta_1 \Delta_3 s_2 + \Delta_2 \Delta_3 s_1) \int dt \rho(t)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \int dt \rho(t)^2 n'(t).
\]

(4.31)
Setting the variations of (4.31) with respect to $\rho(t)$ in agreement with [10, (3.15)].

The constants $\rho$ of the support of $\mu$ are fixed by the requiring that $n(t)$ to be regular at the endpoints of the support of $\rho(t)$. Therefore,

$$
\mu = -\frac{(r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m)(r\Delta^3 + 2\Delta_m)(\Delta_1 s_2 + \Delta_2 s_1) + 2\Delta_1 \Delta_2 (r^2 \Delta^3 s_3 - 4\Delta_m s_m)}{2\sqrt{2r\Delta_1 \Delta_2(2r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m)(r\Delta^3 + 2\Delta_m)}},
$$

$$
c_2 = \frac{2\Delta_1 \Delta_2 (\Delta^3 s_m - s_3 \Delta_m)}{r\Delta^3}.
$$

Plugging the constants $c_{1,2}$ and $\mu$ back into (4.33), we finally find the following large $N$ saddle point solution

$$
\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Delta_3}} \sqrt{\frac{(r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m)(r\Delta^3 + 2\Delta_m)}{r\Delta_1 \Delta_2}} \frac{r\Delta^3 |t| + 2\Delta_m t}{\Delta_1 \Delta_2},
$$

$$
n(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{r s_1}{r \Delta_3 + 2\Delta_m} + \frac{r s_3 - 2s_m}{r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m} - \frac{2s_1}{\Delta_1} - \frac{2s_2}{\Delta_2} - \frac{2s_3}{\Delta_3} \right) t
$$

$$
+ \frac{(\Delta^3 s_m - s_3 \Delta_m)(r^2 \Delta^3 |t| + 2\sqrt{2r\Delta_1 \Delta_2(2r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m)(r\Delta^3 + 2\Delta_m)})}{r\Delta^3(r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m)(r\Delta^3 + 2\Delta_m)}.
$$

Substituting (4.37) into (4.31) we finally obtain

$$
\log Z = \frac{2}{3} N^{3/2} \mu = \frac{N^{3/2}}{3} \frac{(r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m)(r\Delta^3 + 2\Delta_m)(\Delta_1 s_2 + \Delta_2 s_1) + 2\Delta_1 \Delta_2 (r^2 \Delta^3 s_3 - 4\Delta_m s_m)}{2\sqrt{2r\Delta_1 \Delta_2(2r\Delta^3 - 2\Delta_m)(r\Delta^3 + 2\Delta_m)}},
$$

in agreement with [10, (3.15)].
4.2.2 Refined index: $\Delta_m^{(s_m)} = 0$

We now turn to evaluate the refined twisted index in the branch $\Delta_m = s_m = 0$. Setting the variational derivative of the index (4.25) with respect to $\rho(t)$ and $n(t)$ to zero, we find the saddle point

$$\rho(t) = \frac{2r|t| - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{2r\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}}{\left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}\right)^2}, \quad -\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2r}\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}} < t < \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2r}\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}},$$

$$n(t) = \frac{2}{\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}} t, \quad \mu = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{r\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}\Delta_3^{(\sigma)}}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}},$$

where the Lagrange multiplier $\mu$ is included to ensure the normalization of $\rho(t)$. Plugging back the saddle point (4.39) into the index (4.25) we then obtain

$$\log Z = \frac{2}{3} N^{3/2} \mu = \frac{N^{3/2}}{3} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{2r\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}\Delta_3^{(\sigma)}} \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{\Delta_1^{(\sigma)}\Delta_2^{(\sigma)}\Delta_3^{(\sigma)}},$$

that can be more elegantly rewritten as

$$\log Z = i \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}},$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\Delta)$ is given in (4.28).

4.2.3 Refined index: the general case

One can similarly evaluate the refined twisted index for generic values of the chemical potentials.

We see from the occurrence of $\text{sign}(w^{(\sigma)})$ in the functional (4.24) that the solution is divided into three regions, delimited by the points where $\rho(t) = 0$ and $w^{(\sigma)}(t) = i(t \pm \frac{\epsilon}{2}n(t)) = 0$. Schematically, we have:

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
 & t_{<} & t_{\leq} & t_{>} & t_{\geq} \\
\hline
\rho & 0 & t - \frac{\epsilon}{2}n(t) = 0 & t + \frac{\epsilon}{2}n(t) = 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}$$

We assume here that $t - \frac{\epsilon}{2}n(t)$ vanishes before $t + \frac{\epsilon}{2}n(t)$. This happens for a region in the space of chemical potentials. The other case is analogous. A piece-wise continuous solution with these turning points can be explicitly found. The expressions are too long to be reported here and are given in appendix B. The form of the solution is plotted in figure 1.
The refined index is still given by

$$\log Z = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.42)

where $\mathcal{W}(\Delta)$ is given in (4.28). We clearly see that the large $N$ index is obtained by A-gluing two copies of the twisted superpotential/free energy in agreement with the general holographic expectations based on gravitational blocks [13].

4.3 More about factorization

To conclude this example, it is interesting to understand the form of the general solution of section 4.2.3 by connecting the result to the factorization method. The refined index (4.25) depends explicitly on the distribution of magnetic flux $n(t)$ through the variables $w(\sigma)(\epsilon) = i(t + \epsilon(\sigma) T)$. Defining the new quantities

$$i T^{(\sigma)} = w^{(\sigma)}(T) , \quad \rho^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)}) = \frac{i \rho(t)}{w^{(\sigma)}(T)} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.43)

the index (4.25) becomes the sum

$$\log Z = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \tilde{W}_{\text{hom}} \left( \frac{\rho^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}, \Delta^{(\sigma)}_{I}, \Delta^{(\sigma)}_{m} \right) ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.44)

of the two ADHM twisted superpotentials

$$\frac{\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(T), \Delta_{I}, \Delta_{m})}{N^{3/2}} = \frac{i}{2} \prod_{I=1}^{3} \Delta_{I} \int dT \rho(T)^{2} - i \int dT \rho(T) T \left( \Delta_{m} + \frac{r}{2} \Delta_{3} \text{sign}(T) \right) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.45)
The two densities $\rho^{(\sigma)}$ replace the original quantities $\rho(t)$ and $n(t)$. They are independent variables and are correctly normalized

$$\int dT^{(\sigma)} \rho^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)}) = \int dt \rho(t) = 1. \quad (4.46)$$

It follows that we can extremize independently the two terms in (4.44), the first with respect to $\rho^{(1)}$ and the second with respect to $\rho^{(2)}$. Each extremization is equivalent to find the critical point of the ADHM twisted superpotential with chemical potentials $\Delta^{(1)}$ and $\Delta^{(2)}$, respectively, and the final result is hence given by the factorized form (4.42), confirming the previous result.

This argument can be also used to evaluate $n(t)$ and $\rho(t)$. The densities $\rho^{(\sigma)}$ are the piece-wise linear functions given in (4.27). $\rho(t)$ and $n(t)$ can be determined by the consistency condition

$$i \rho(t) = \rho^{(1)}(-iw^{(1)}(t)) w''^{(1)}(t) = \rho^{(2)}(-iw^{(2)}(t)) w''^{(2)}(t), \quad (4.47)$$

which follows from the definition of $\rho^{(\sigma)}$. This gives a first order differential equation for $n(t)$ that can be explicitly solved by

$$\int dT^{(1)} \rho^{(1)}(T^{(1)}) \bigg|_{T^{(1)}=t+\frac{\epsilon}{2}n(t)} = \int dT^{(2)} \rho^{(2)}(T^{(2)}) \bigg|_{T^{(2)}=t-\frac{\epsilon}{2}n(t)} + \text{const}. \quad (4.48)$$

In the ADHM case this is a quadratic equation for $n(t)$ that should be solved in various intervals.

More explicitly, $\rho^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)})$ have support in $[T_-^{(\sigma)}, T_+^{(\sigma)}]$, where $T_\pm^{(\sigma)}$ can be read off from (4.27) by replacing $(\Delta_I, \Delta_m)$ with $(\Delta_I^{(\sigma)}, \Delta_m^{(\sigma)})$. The structure of intervals in the variable $t$ arises as follows. Since $\rho^{(1)}$ and $\rho^{(2)}$ are piece-wise continuous with junctions at $T^{(1)} = 0$ and $T^{(2)} = 0$, which generically correspond to different values of $t$, there are three regions for $t$ that are depicted in figure 2. We assume that

$$T^{(1)}(t) = t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t), \quad T^{(2)}(t) = t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t), \quad (4.49)$$

are monotonic functions of $t$. Notice that the assumption (4.49) has been used in deriving
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**Figure 2.** The structure of the intervals in $t$ is obtained by comparing the distributions $\rho^{(1)}$ (in red) and $\rho^{(2)}$ (in blue), that are the piece-wise linear functions of $T$ given in (4.27).
the rules for the refined index in appendix A. We first determine the leftmost point \( t_{\ll} \) as the solution of
\[
T_{\ll}^{(1)} = t_{\ll} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_{\ll}) , \quad T_{\ll}^{(2)} = t_{\ll} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_{\ll}) .
\] (4.50)
A simultaneous solution to these equations can be found by carefully tuning the arbitrary constant in (4.48) in the first interval, for example by choosing
\[
\hat{t} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) \bigg|_{T_{\ll}^{(1)}} - dT_{\ll}^{(1)} \rho_{\ll}^{(1)}(T_{\ll}^{(1)}) = \hat{t} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) \bigg|_{T_{\ll}^{(2)}} - dT_{\ll}^{(2)} \rho_{\ll}^{(2)}(T_{\ll}^{(2)}) + \text{const} .
\] (4.51)
We can similarly find \( t_{\gg} \) by imposing
\[
T_{\gg}^{(1)} = t_{\gg} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_{\gg}) \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\gg}^{(2)} = t_{\gg} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_{\gg})
\] and fixing the constant in the third interval. Assuming that \( T^{(2)} = 0 \) occurs before \( T^{(1)} = 0 \) for consistency with the previous section, the point \( t_{<} \) is determined by \( T^{(1)}(t_{<}) = t_{<} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_{<}) = 0 \) and \( t_{>} \) is determined by \( T^{(1)}(t_{>) = t_{>} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_{>) = 0} \). There is a remaining arbitrary constant in the relation (4.48) in the middle interval
\[
\int_{t_{<}}^{t_{>} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t(t_{<})} dT^{(1)} \rho^{(1)}(T^{(1)}) = \int_{t_{<}}^{t_{>} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t(t_{<})} dT^{(2)} \rho^{(2)}(T^{(2)}) + \text{const} ,
\] (4.52)
that can be found by imposing that the equation holds at the junctions \( t_{<} \) and \( t_{>} \). It would seem that there are two conditions for one constant, but it is not difficult to see that the two constraints are equivalent since the integral of \( \rho^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)}) \) is normalized to one. By solving explicitly (4.48) in the three regions we find a piece-wise continuous function \( \rho(t) \) and a piece-wise \( C^1 \)-function \( n(t) \) that coincide with the expressions in appendix B.

4.4 ABJM quiver

The ABJM theory [3] is a \( U(N)_{k} \times U(N)_{-k} \) Chern-Simons gauge theory (the subscripts are the Chern-Simons levels). In \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) notations it is described by the following quiver diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
N_k \\
A_i \\
\downarrow \\
B_j \\
N_{-k}
\end{array}
\] (4.53)
with bi-fundamental fields \( A_i, i = 1, 2 \) and \( B_i, i = 1, 2 \) transforming in the \((N, \bar{N})\) and \((\bar{N}, N)\) representation of the gauge group, respectively, and quartic superpotential
\[
W = \text{Tr}(A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 - A_1 B_2 A_2 B_1) .
\] (4.54)
We assign chemical potentials \( \Delta_I, I = 1, \ldots, 4 \) and fluxes \( s_I, I = 1, \ldots, 4 \) to the fields \( A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \), respectively. Due to the quartic superpotential, they satisfy
\[
\sum_{I=1}^{4} \Delta_I = 2 \pi , \quad \sum_{I=1}^{4} s_I = 2 .
\] (4.55)
As in [2], the first condition allows to find a large $N$ limit\(^9\) while the second is a consequence of the topological twist.

Using the rules (4.11) and (4.14), the large $N$ refined twisted index reads

$$\frac{\log Z}{N^{3/2}} = -iN^{3/2}k \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{w^{(\sigma)}(t)\delta v^{(\sigma)}(t)}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} + \frac{i\pi}{6} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)} - 2\pi}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)} + i\omega^3 \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{g_3(\pm\delta v^{(\sigma)}(t) + \Delta_l^{(\sigma)})}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)},$$

(4.56)

that can be more elegantly rewritten as

$$\log Z(\rho(t), w^{(\sigma)}(t), \Delta_l^{(\sigma)}) = i \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(t), w^{(\sigma)}(t), \delta v^{(\sigma)}(t), \Delta_l^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}.$$  

(4.57)

Here, $\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(t), w(t), \delta v(t), \Delta_l)$, with $w(t) = it$, is the effective twisted superpotential, see e.g. [9, (3.28)],

$$\frac{\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(t), w(t), \delta v(t), \Delta_l)}{N^{3/2}} = -k \int dt \rho(t)w(t)\delta v(t) + \sum_{l=1}^{3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{g_3(\pm\delta v(t) + \Delta_l)}{w(t)};$$

(4.58)

and we used again the $A$-gluing parameterization

$$w^{(\sigma)}(t) \equiv w(t) + i\frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} n(t), \quad \delta v^{(\sigma)} \equiv \delta v(t) + \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} \delta p(t), \quad \Delta^{(\sigma)} = \Delta_l - \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} s_l,$$

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \epsilon, \quad \epsilon^{(2)} \equiv -\epsilon.$$  

(4.59)

Importantly, the subscript $\text{hom}$ in (4.58) indicates that the polynomial $\sum_l g_3(\pm\delta v(t) + \Delta_l)$ in (4.58) must be written as a homogeneous function of $\Delta_l$ using the constraint (4.55). Only if this is done, (4.57) holds.\(^{10}\)

The more efficient way of extremizing (4.57) for generic $\epsilon$ is to use the factorization trick discussed in section 4.3. Defining the new quantities

$$i T^{(\sigma)} = w^{(\sigma)}(t), \quad \rho^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)}) = \frac{i \rho(t)}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)},$$

(4.60)

the index (4.57) becomes the sum

$$\log Z = i \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)}), \delta v^{(\sigma)}(T^{(\sigma)}), \Delta_l^{(\sigma)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}},$$

(4.61)

\(^9\)The invariance of the superpotential $W$ under flavor symmetries requires $\prod_{l=1}^{4} y_l = 1$, which is compatible with the more general constraint $\sum_{l=1}^{4} \Delta_l \in 2\pi \mathbb{Z}$. We know from [2] that, for $\epsilon = 0$, a saddle point exists for $\sum_{l=1}^{4} \Delta_l = 2\pi$.

\(^{10}\)Notice that $\sum_{l=1}^{4} \Delta_l^{(\sigma)} \neq 2\pi$, so to what extent the relation (4.55) has been used before substituting $\Delta_l \rightarrow \Delta_l^{(\sigma)}$ is important.
of the two ABJM twisted superpotentials

\[
\widetilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(T), \delta v(T), \Delta_I) = -k i \int \! dt \rho(T) T \delta v(T) - i \sum_{I=(3,4):+} \int \! dT \rho(T)^2 g_3(\pm \delta v(T) + \Delta_I).
\]

As in section 4.3 we can extremize independently the two terms in (4.61). The extremization of (4.62) was performed in [2] finding the following distribution for \( \sum_I \Delta_I = 2\pi \) and \( \Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2, \Delta_3 \leq \Delta_4 \). We have a central region where

\[
\rho(T) = \frac{\nu \sum_{I=1}^4 \Delta_I + k T (\Delta_3 \Delta_4 - \Delta_1 \Delta_2)}{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}, \quad -\frac{\nu}{k \Delta_4} < T < -\frac{\nu}{k \Delta_2},
\]

with \( \nu = \sqrt{2k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4} \). There is a left tail where \( \delta v \) is frozen to the value \(-\Delta_3\),

\[
\rho(T) = \frac{\nu + k T \Delta_3}{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 - \Delta_3)}, \quad -\frac{\nu}{k \Delta_3} < T < -\frac{\nu}{k \Delta_1},
\]

and a right tail with \( \delta v = \Delta_1 \),

\[
\rho(T) = \frac{\nu - k T \Delta_1}{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)}, \quad -\frac{\nu}{k \Delta_2} < T < \frac{\nu}{k \Delta_1}.
\]

The on-shell twisted superpotential is given by

\[
\tilde{W}(\Delta_I) = -\frac{2i}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{2k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4}.
\]

We thus find for the refined index

\[
\log Z = i \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{\tilde{W}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{e^{(\sigma)}},
\]

in agreement with the general holographic expectations based on gravitational blocks [13].

As in section 4.3, the quantities \( \rho(t), \delta v(t), n(t), \) and \( p(t) \) can be explicitly obtained by using the mapping (4.60). In particular, as clear from figure 3, the solution will be divided into five regions. In each region, (4.60) provides a differential equation for \( n(t) \). Imposing that the distribution is piece-wise continuous, we find a unique solution.

In appendix C, for reference, we give the full explicit solution for \( \epsilon = 0 \), which can be also find by a direct extremization of (4.57). Notice that for \( \epsilon = 0 \) the five segments collapse to three and the functions \( \rho(t) \) and \( \delta v(t) \) are the same as in (4.63), (4.64), and (4.65). The distribution of magnetic fluxes \( n(t) \) is given explicitly in the appendix.
Figure 3. The structure of the intervals in $t$ is obtained by comparing the distributions $\rho^{(1)}$ (in red) and $\rho^{(2)}$ (in blue), that are the piece-wise linear functions of $T$ given in (4.63), (4.64), and (4.65).

Comparison to the entropy of rotating AdS$_4 \times S^7$ black holes. Here we simply notice that the result (4.67) correctly reproduced the entropy of the rotating AdS$_4 \times S^7$ black holes found in [5]. This was explicitly checked in [13, Sect. 2], where a general formalism based on gravitational blocks was proposed. The factorization in (4.67) is quantum field theory analog of the gravitational block factorization.

5 Theories with $N^{5/3}$ scaling of the index

We now consider Chern-Simons $G = \prod_{a=1}^{|G|} U(N)_a$ gauge theories with matters in bifundamental and adjoint representations of the gauge group that are holographically dual to AdS$_4$ backgrounds in massive type IIA. We assume

$$k_{CS} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{|G|} k_a \neq 0,$$

that corresponds to turning on the Romans mass $F_0$ in the dual type IIA supergravity [26]. In the limit $N \gg k_a$ we can find a class of theories whose free-energy and indices scale as $N^{5/3}$ [27–29]. They are obtained by dimensionally reducing 4d quivers associated with D3-branes probing Calabi-Yau singularities and adding Chern-Simons terms.

Following [9, 23], we consider the following ansatz for the large $N$ saddle point eigenvalue distribution

$$u^{(a)}(t) = N^{1/3}(it + v(t)), \quad m^{(a)}(t) = iN^{1/3}n(t).$$

Notice that the distribution is the same for all groups. We still need to satisfy (4.5) (or a milder condition) but now the saddle-point exists also for chiral quivers [9].
5.1 General rules

Let us set \( w(t) = it + v(t) \). We define the \textit{equivariant} quantities

\[
    w^{(\sigma)}(t) \equiv w(t) + i\epsilon^{(\sigma)}(t), \quad \Delta^{(\sigma)}_I = \Delta_I - \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}(t)}{2}s_I,
\]

(5.3)

and the closely related quantity

\[
    \Delta^{(\sigma)}_I \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \Delta_I + \pi(w - 1) + \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}(t)}{2}(1 - s_I) \right),
\]

(5.4)

with \( \omega \) as before, see (4.9).

1. Each gauge group \( a \) with CS level \( k_a \) contributes

\[
    - k_a N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)n(t)w(t).
\]

(5.5)

2. Each vector multiplet contributes

\[
    \frac{i\pi}{12} N^{5/3} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}(t) - 2\pi}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)}.
\]

(5.6)

3. A single bi-fundamental chiral multiplet transforming in a representation \((N, \ov{N})\) of \( U(N)_a \times U(N)_b \) and with chemical potential and magnetic flux \((\Delta_{(a,b)}, s_{(a,b)})\) contributes

\[
    i\omega^3 N^{5/3} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{g_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)}_{(a,b)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \frac{\rho(t)^2}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)}.
\]

(5.7)

5.2 Large \( N \) twisted index: \( \epsilon = 0 \) case

Let us consider a generic three-dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Chern-Simons-matter quiver theory, with \(|G| U(N)\) gauge nodes and some number of bi-fundamental and adjoint chiral multiplets of the type considered in [28, 29]. Using (5.5), (5.6), and (5.7) the large \( N \) twisted index for \( \epsilon = 0 \) can be written as

\[
    \frac{\log Z}{N^{5/3}} = -k_{CS} \int dt \rho(t)n(t)(it + v(t)) - \sum_I g_3(\Delta_I) \int dt \frac{\rho(t)^2n(t)}{(1 - iv'(t))^2} - \left( |G| \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \sum_I (s_I - 1)g_2(\Delta_I) \right) \int dt \frac{\rho(t)^2}{1 - iv'(t)} + \mu \left( \int dt \rho(t) - 1 \right),
\]

(5.8)

where \( k_{CS} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{\left| G \right|} k_a \) and we introduced the Lagrange multiplier \( \mu \) to ensure the normalization of the density of eigenvalues. Here, \( \Delta_I \) and \( s_I \) denote the chemical potentials and magnetic charges for the flavor group of the theory. As before, they satisfy

\[
    \sum_{I \in W_a} \Delta_I = 2\pi, \quad \sum_{I \in W_a} s_I = 2,
\]

(5.9)
for each superpotential terms $W_a$. Extremizing (5.8) with respect to the continuous functions $\rho(t)$, $n(t)$, and $v(t)$ we find the following general solution

$$
\rho(t) = \frac{3^{1/6}}{2} \left( \frac{k_{CS}}{\sum_I g_3(\Delta_I)} \right)^{1/3} - \frac{2}{3^{3/2}} \frac{k_{CS}}{\sum_I g_3(\Delta_I)} t^2,
$$

$$
n(t) = -\frac{1}{3} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left| G \right|^2 \pi \frac{2}{3} + \sum_I (s_I - 1) g_2(\Delta_I),
$$

$$
v(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} t,
$$

$$
\mu = \frac{3^{1/6}}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left( \frac{k_{CS}}{\sum_I g_3(\Delta_I)} \right)^{1/3} \left( \left| G \right|^2 + \sum_I (s_I - 1) g_2(\Delta_I) \right),
$$

$$
t_{\pm} = \pm \frac{3^{5/6}}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_I g_3(\Delta_I)}{k_{CS}} \right)^{1/3}.
$$

Using the above solution, we obtain

$$
\log Z = -\frac{3}{5} N^{5/3} \mu = -N^{5/3} \frac{3^{7/6}}{10} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left( \frac{k_{CS}}{\sum_I g_3(\Delta_I)} \right)^{1/3} \left( \left| G \right|^2 + \sum_I (s_I - 1) g_2(\Delta_I) \right),
$$

(5.11)

that matches the result for the large $N$ twisted index evaluated via the Bethe ansatz approach [30–32].

5.3 Large $N$ twisted index with refinement

Given the expressions (5.5), (5.6), and (5.7), the refined twisted index at large $N$ can be written as

$$
\log Z(\rho(t), n, \Delta) = i \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(t), w^{(\sigma)}(t), \Delta^{(\sigma)}) / \epsilon^{(\sigma)},
$$

(5.12)

where $\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(t), w(t), \Delta)$ is the effective twisted superpotential, see e.g. [30, (2.4)],

$$
\frac{\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho(t), w(t), \Delta)}{N^{5/3}} = \frac{1}{2} k_{CS} \int dt \rho(t) w(t)^2 + \sum_I g_3(\Delta_I) \int dt \frac{\rho(t)^2}{w'(t)},
$$

(5.13)

written homogeneously in $\Delta_I$, and we used the $A$-gluing parameterization (5.3). One can show that

$$
G_3(\Delta) \equiv \sum_I g_3(\Delta_I) \bigg|_{\text{hom}} = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{I,J,K} c_{IJK} \Delta_I \Delta_J \Delta_K,
$$

(5.14)

is proportional to the trial $a$-charge of the parent four-dimensional theory [29, 30], which can be written in a homogeneous form using the (rescaled) ’t Hooft anomaly coefficients

$^{11}$The index can be written as a homogeneous function of $\Delta_I$ using (5.9).
Given the above equations it is now straightforward to check that

\[ G_3(\Delta) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3. \]  \hfill (5.15)

Then, setting to zero the variational derivatives of (5.12) with respect to \( \rho(t) \) and \( w^{(\sigma)}(t) \) yields

\[ 0 = -2i\mu + k_{\text{CS}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{w^{(\sigma)}(t)^2}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} + 4\rho(t) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)}) \]

\[ 0 = k_{\text{CS}} w^{(\sigma)}(t) w^{(\sigma)}(t) + 2G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)}) \left( \frac{\rho(t)}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)} - \frac{\rho(t) w^{(\sigma)}(t)}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)^2} \right), \quad \text{for } \sigma = 1, 2. \]  \hfill (5.16)

Observe that \( \frac{\delta \log Z}{\delta w^{(\sigma)}(t)} = 0 \) can be rewritten as

\[ 0 = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} k_{\text{CS}} w^{(\sigma)}(t)^2 + 2G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)}) \left( \frac{\rho(t)}{w^{(\sigma)}(t)} + c^{(\sigma)} \right) \right), \quad \text{for } \sigma = 1, 2, \]  \hfill (5.17)

where \( c^{(\sigma)} \) are constants of integrations to be determined later. This together with \( \frac{\delta \log Z}{\delta \rho(t)} = 0 \) allows us to fix the Lagrange multiplier \( \mu \) as

\[ \mu = i \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{c^{(\sigma)}}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}. \]  \hfill (5.18)

Given the above equations it is now straightforward to check that

\[ \rho(t) = \frac{3^{1/6} k_{\text{CS}}^{1/3}}{\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{1/3}} - \frac{16 k_{\text{CS}}}{3^{3/2} \left( \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{1/3} \right)^3} t^2, \]

\[ w^{(\sigma)}(t) = 2i \left( 1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{1/3}}{\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{1/3}} t, \]

\[ \mu = -\frac{3^{7/6}}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) k_{\text{CS}}^{1/3} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{2/3}}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}, \]  \hfill (5.19)

\[ t_{\pm} = \pm \frac{3^{5/6}}{4 k_{\text{CS}}^{1/3}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{1/3}, \]

\[ c^{(\sigma)} = \frac{3^{7/6}}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) k_{\text{CS}}^{1/3} G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{2/3}, \]

satisfies (5.16). Note that,

\[ w(t) \overset{(5.3)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} w^{(\sigma)}(t) \overset{(5.19)}{=} i \left( 1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) t \Rightarrow v(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} t. \]  \hfill (5.20)

---

\[^{12}\text{For a four-dimensional toric quiver associated with D3-branes at a Calabi-Yau conical singularity, }c_{IJK} = |\det(v_I, v_J, v_K)|/2, \text{ where } v_I \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \text{ are the integer vectors defining the toric diagram [33].}\]
Moreover,
\[
n(t) = -i \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{w^{(\sigma)}(t)}{e^{(\sigma)}} = 2 \left(1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \frac{1}{\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} G_{3}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{1/3}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{G_{3}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{1/3}}{e^{(\sigma)}} t. \tag{5.21}
\]
Substituting back the solution (5.19) into (5.12), we find that the large \(N\) refined index takes the following factorized form
\[
\log Z = -i \frac{3}{5} N^{5/3} \mu = N^{5/3} k_{CS}^{1/3} \frac{9 \times 3^{1/6}}{20} \left(1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{G_{3}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})^{2/3}}{e^{(\sigma)}}, \tag{5.22}
\]
that can be more elegantly rewritten as
\[
\log Z = i \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{\bar{W}(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{e^{(\sigma)}}, \tag{5.23}
\]
where \(\bar{W}(\Delta)\) is the on-shell value of the effective twisted superpotential (5.13), see e.g. [32, (A.5)],
\[
\bar{W}(\Delta) = -i N^{5/3} k_{CS}^{1/3} \frac{9 \times 3^{1/6}}{20} \left(1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) G_{3}(\Delta)^{2/3}. \tag{5.24}
\]
This expression was derived in [30–32] with the Bethe approach and it is proportional to \(S^8\) free energy at large \(N\) [28, 29]. Once again we see that the result is in precise agreement with the general holographic expectations based on gravitational blocks [13].
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**A Derivation of general rules for quivers with \(N^{3/2}\) scaling of the index**

We consider the following ansatz for the large \(N\) saddle point eigenvalue distribution
\[
u_{j}^{(a)} = i N^{1/2} t_{j} + v_{j}^{(a)}, \quad m_{j}^{(a)} = i N^{1/2} n_{j} + p_{j}^{(a)}. \tag{A.1}
\]
Observe that we have deformed the real integer fluxes \(m_{j}\) into the complex plane in (A.1), anticipating a complex saddle point. Moreover, the imaginary parts of \(u_{j}^{(a)}\) and \(m_{j}^{(a)}\) do not depend on the index \(a\). At large \(N\), we define the continuous functions
\[
t_{j} \equiv t(j/N), \quad v_{j}^{(a)} \equiv v^{(a)}(j/N), \quad n_{j} \equiv n(j/N), \quad p_{j}^{(a)} \equiv p^{(a)}(j/N), \tag{A.2}
\]
and we introduce the normalized density of eigenvalues

\[ \rho(t) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{dj}{dt}, \quad \int dt \rho(t) = 1. \]  

(A.3)

We also define

\[ \delta v(t) \equiv v_b(t) - v_a(t), \quad \delta p(t) \equiv p_b(t) - p_a(t). \]  

(A.4)

In taking the continuum limit the sums over \( N \) become Riemann integrals, for example,

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \to N \int dt \rho(t). \]  

(A.5)

Finally, we impose the constraint

\[ k_{\text{CS}} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{[g]} k_a = 0, \]  

(A.6)

as appropriate for quivers dual to M-theory on \( \text{AdS}_4 \times Y_7 \) background, with \( Y_7 \) a Sasaki-Einstein five-manifold, and \( N^{3/2} \) scaling. We follow the logic of [2, 9], to which we refer for more details about the method and assumptions.

\section*{A.1 Chern-Simons}

Each group \( a \) with CS level \( k_a \) contributes to the index as

\[ \log Z_{\text{CS}} = i k_a \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i u_i \]

\[ N^{\geq 1} - N^{3/2} k_a \int dt \rho(t) (n(t) v_a(t) + t p_a(t)) \]

\[ + i N k_a \int dt \rho(t) p_a(t) v_a(t) - i N^2 k_a \int dt \rho(t) t n(t), \]

where in the second equality we used the scaling ansatz (A.1) and took the continuum limit. Summing over nodes the last term vanishes because of (A.6). Therefore, we obtain at large \( N \)

\[ \log Z_{\text{CS}} = - N^{3/2} k_a \int dt \rho(t) (n(t) v_a(t) + t p_a(t)), \]

reproducing (4.11).

\section*{A.2 Chiral multiplet in bi-fundamental representation}

Let us consider first the contribution of a single chiral multiplet, in the \((\overline{N}, N)\) representation of \( \text{U}(N)_a \times \text{U}(N)_b \). We denote the chemical potential and magnetic flux by \((\Delta, s)\). The polynomial piece in (3.13) only participates in the cancellation of long-range
forces or otherwise is subleading, as it can be checked explicitly using \((4.5)\). The relevant contribution from \((3.13)\) is

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell_1=-[B_{ij}]-1}^{[B_{ij}]-1} \text{sign}(B_{ij}) \text{Li}_1 \left( e^{\left( u_j^{(b)} - u_i^{(a)} + \Delta + \epsilon_\ell \right)} \right), \quad \text{(A.9)}
\]

where \(B_{ij} = m_j^{(b)} - m_i^{(a)} - s + 1\). We break \(\sum_{i,j} \to \sum_{i<j} + \sum_{i<j} + (i \to j)\).

Observe that, in the large \(N\) limit,

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)}^i \sim N \int dt \rho(t) \sum_{\ell_1=-[B(t)]-1}^{[B(t)]-1} \text{sign}(B(t)) \text{Li}_1 \left( e^{\left( \delta v(t) - \Delta + \epsilon_\ell \right)} \right), \quad \text{(A.10)}
\]

where \(B(t) \equiv \delta p(t) - s + 1\), is subleading.

**Digression.** Performing the saddle point approximation in the \((u - m)\)-plane, we obtain

\[
Z(\Delta, s | \epsilon) \approx \left. Z_{(b,a)}(u, m; \Delta, s | \epsilon) \right|_{\text{saddle point}} / \sqrt{\det \mathbb{H}}, \quad \text{(A.11)}
\]

where \(\mathbb{H}\) is the Hessian matrix

\[
\mathbb{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial u_i^{(a)} \partial u_j^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial u_i^{(a)} \partial u_j^{(b)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial u_i^{(b)} \partial u_j^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial u_i^{(b)} \partial u_j^{(b)}} \\
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial m_i^{(a)} \partial m_j^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial m_i^{(a)} \partial m_j^{(b)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial m_i^{(b)} \partial m_j^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial m_i^{(b)} \partial m_j^{(b)}} \\
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta v^{(a)} \partial \delta v^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta v^{(a)} \partial \delta v^{(b)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta v^{(b)} \partial \delta v^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta v^{(b)} \partial \delta v^{(b)}} \\
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta m^{(a)} \partial \delta m^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta m^{(a)} \partial \delta m^{(b)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta m^{(b)} \partial \delta m^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta m^{(b)} \partial \delta m^{(b)}} \\
\end{pmatrix}_{4N \times 4N}.
\]

Let us set \(\epsilon = 0\). Then, \(Z_{(b,a)}(u, m; \Delta, s | 0)\) becomes a linear function in the gauge magnetic fluxes \((m_i^{(a)}, m_i^{(b)})\). Explicitly, we can write

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)}(u, m; \Delta, s | 0) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left( m_j^{(b)} - m_i^{(a)} - s + 1 \right) \text{Li}_1 \left( e^{\left( u_j^{(b)} - u_i^{(a)} + \Delta \right)} \right), \quad \text{(A.13)}
\]

and, therefore,

\[
\mathbb{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial u_i^{(a)} \partial u_j^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial u_i^{(a)} \partial u_j^{(b)}} & \mathbb{B} \\
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial m_i^{(a)} \partial m_j^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial m_i^{(a)} \partial m_j^{(b)}} & \mathbb{B} \\
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta v^{(a)} \partial \delta v^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta v^{(a)} \partial \delta v^{(b)}} & \mathbb{B} \\
\frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta m^{(a)} \partial \delta m^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \log Z_{(b,a)}}{\partial \delta m^{(a)} \partial \delta m^{(b)}} & \mathbb{B} \\
\end{pmatrix}_{4N \times 4N}.
\]
where $\mathbb{B}$ is the $2N \times 2N$ matrix appearing in the Jacobian of the Bethe approach $[2, (2.25)]$

$$
\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \widetilde{W}(b,a)}{\partial u_i^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \widetilde{W}(b,a)}{\partial u_i^{(b)}} \\
\frac{\partial \widetilde{W}(b,a)}{\partial u_j^{(a)}} & \frac{\partial \widetilde{W}(b,a)}{\partial u_j^{(b)}}
\end{pmatrix}_{2N \times 2N}.
$$

(A.15)

Here, we used the relations

$$
\frac{\partial \log Z(b,a)}{\partial m_i^{(b)}} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{W}(b,a)}{\partial u_i^{(b)}}, \quad \frac{\partial \log Z(b,a)}{\partial m_i^{(a)}} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{W}(b,a)}{\partial u_i^{(a)}}.
$$

(A.16)

Hence,

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \log \det \mathbb{H} = - \log \det \mathbb{B} - \frac{i\pi}{2} = - \log \det \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^{(a)} \partial u_j^{(b)}} \widetilde{W}(u; \Delta) - \frac{i\pi}{2}.
$$

(A.17)

In the large $N$ limit, we find\(^\text{13}\)

$$
- \log \det \mathbb{B} = -N \int dt \rho(t) \text{Li}_1(e^{i(\delta v(t) + \Delta)}).
$$

(A.18)

Now, consider (A.10) in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit. At large $N$, we obtain

$$
\log Z_{(b,a)}^{i \to j} = \sum_{i=1}^N (m_i^{(b)} - m_i^{(a)} - \delta + 1) \text{Li}_1(e^{i(u_i^{(b)} - u_i^{(a)}) + \Delta})
$$

$$
= N \int dt \rho(t) (\delta p(t) - \delta + 1) \text{Li}_1(e^{i(\delta v(t) + \Delta)}).
$$

(A.19)

Putting together (A.18) and (A.19), we then find the following contribution to the large $N$ twisted index

$$
N(\delta p(t) - \delta) \int dt \rho(t) \text{Li}_1(e^{i(\delta v(t) + \Delta)}),
$$

(A.20)

that is subleading even in the tails, where $(\delta v(t), \delta p(t))$ are frozen to the constant boundary values $(-\Delta, \delta)$ up to exponentially small corrections. This is in contrast with the Bethe approach where the subtle contributions of the tails to the large $N$ twisted index must be included $[2]$.

For finite $\epsilon$ there is no complication and we can easily ignore the contributions of $\log Z_{(b,a)}^{i \to j}$ and $\log \det \mathbb{H}$, as they are suppressed by a power of $N^{-1/2}$ in comparison to the $N^{3/2}$ scaling of the index.

\(^{13}\)The interested reader can find the details in $[2]$.
**Back to the refined case.** Let us now focus on

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)}^{i<j} = \sum_{i<j}^{N} \sum_{\ell=-\frac{|B_{ij}|}{2}}^{\frac{|B_{ij}|}{2}} \frac{\text{sign}(B_{ij})}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in(u_{j}^{(b)}-u_{i}^{(a)}+\Delta+\ell t)}}{n}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i<j}^{N} \frac{e^{inB_{ij}e}}{e^{ine} - 1} e^{in(u_{j}^{(b)}-u_{i}^{(a)}+\Delta-\frac{1}{2}(B_{ij} - 1)e)}.
\]

In the large \( N \) limit we obtain

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)}^{i<j} = N^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in(\Delta+\frac{n}{2})}}{n} \int dt \rho(t) I_{n}(t),
\]

where we defined

\[
I_{n}(t) \equiv \int dt' \rho(t') e^{-nN^{1/2}(t-t')} \left( e^{ine\left(iN^{1/2}(n(t')-n(t)) + p_{b}(t') - p_{a}(t) - s + 1\right)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\times e^{ine\left(v_{n}(t') - v_{n}(t) - \frac{n}{2}\left(iN^{1/2}(n(t')-n(t)) + p_{b}(t') - p_{a}(t)\right)\right)}.
\]

(A.23)

We will assume that the functions \( t \pm \frac{n}{2}n(t) \) are monotonic, so that the integral is convergent for sufficiently large \( N \). The integral can be evaluated by a saddle point approximation. Equivalently, performing integration by parts we obtain, up to sub-leading terms at large \( N \),

\[
I_{n}(t) = -\frac{N^{-1/2}}{n} \mathcal{I}_{n}(t', t) \bigg|_{t'}^{t} + \frac{ie}{2} \int_{t} dt' \rho(t') \mathcal{I}_{n}(t', t) n'(t') \cot \left( \frac{ne}{2} \left(iN^{1/2}(n(t') - n(t)) + p_{b}(t') - p_{a}(t) - s + 1\right)\right) .
\]

(A.24)

We again perform integration by parts on the second line of (A.24) and find, at large \( N \),

\[
I_{n} = -\frac{N^{-1/2}}{n} \rho(t') \mathcal{I}_{n}(t', t) \left[ 1 + \frac{ie}{2} n'(t') \cot \left( \frac{ne}{2} \left(iN^{1/2}(n(t') - n(t)) + p_{b}(t') - p_{a}(t) - s + 1\right)\right) \right]_{t'}^{t} + \frac{e^2}{4} \int_{t} dt' \rho(t') \mathcal{I}_{n}(t', t) n'(t')^2.
\]

(A.25)

Via a repeated application of integration by parts we thus obtain the leading order result

\[
I_{n}(t) = \frac{N^{-1/2}}{n} \rho(t) \left( e^{ine(\delta p(t) + 1-s)} - 1 \right) \left( e^{ine(\delta v(t) - \frac{1}{2}\delta p(t))} \right)
\]

\[
\times \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-i)(i+(-1)^{r})}{2} \left( \frac{ne(t')}{2} \right)^{r} \cot^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{r})} \left( \frac{ne}{2} \left(\delta p(t) + 1 - s\right)\right).
\]

(A.26)
Performing the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ we find
\[
I_n(t) = -\frac{N^{-1/2}}{n} \rho(t) \left( e^{\text{inc} (\delta p(t) + 1 - s)} - 1 \right) \left( e^{\text{inc} (\delta v(t) - \frac{s}{2} \delta p(t))} \right)
\times \left[ \frac{1}{(e^{\text{inc} (\delta p(t) + 1 - s)} - 1)} \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t) \right) + \frac{1}{e^{\text{inc} (\delta p(t) + 1 - s)} - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t) \right) \right].
\] (A.27)

Therefore, in the large $N$ limit, (A.9) reduces to
\[
\log Z^{\leq j}_{(b,a)} = N^{3/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\text{inc} (\delta v(t) + \Delta + \frac{s}{2})}}{n^2 (e^{\text{inc}} - 1)} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{e^{i \delta v(t) + \Delta + \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s + 2n)}}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{e^{-i \delta v(t) + \Delta + \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s - 2(n-1))}}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right). \] (A.28)

Now, it remains to perform the sum over $n$. We obtain\(^\text{14}\)
\[
\log Z^{\leq j}_{(b,a)} = -N^{3/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{e^{i \delta v(t) + \Delta + \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s + 2n)}}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right) \right. \]
\[\left. - \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-i \delta v(t) + \Delta - \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s - 2(n-1))} \right)}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right). \] (A.29)

The summation $\sum_{i > j}$ in (A.9) is similar to (A.29) and it reads\(^\text{15}\)
\[
\log Z^{> j}_{(b,a)} = N^{3/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{e^{-i \delta v(t) + \Delta + \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s - 2(n-1))}}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right) \right. \]
\[\left. - \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{i \delta v(t) + \Delta + \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s + 2n))} \right)}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right). \] (A.30)

Putting together (A.29) and (A.30), we finally arrive at the following compact expression for the contribution of a chiral multiplet to the refined twisted index at large $N$
\[
\log Z_{(b,a)} = N^{3/2} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \psi \left( -\delta v(t) - \Delta - \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s); \epsilon \right) \right. \]
\[\left. \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \psi \left( -\delta v(t) - \Delta + \frac{s}{2} (\delta p(t) - s + 2); \epsilon \right) \right) \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)}. \] (A.31)

Here, we introduced the “elliptic dilogarithm” function
\[
\psi(\Delta; \epsilon) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \text{Li}_2 \left( e^{i(A+n\epsilon)} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-i(A-(n+1)\epsilon)} \right) \right). \] (A.32)

\(^{14}\text{Here, we used the relation Li}_2(e^{i\mu}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{im\mu}}{m^2} \text{ and exchanged the order of summations.}\)
\(^{15}\text{To have a convergent integral we need first to use the inversion formulæ (A.34). The polynomial pieces only enter in the cancellation of long-range forces. See [2, 9] for more details.}\)
Asymptotic expansion around $\epsilon = 0$ – Starting with (A.31) we can write down the following asymptotic expansion around $\epsilon = 0$

$$\log Z_\chi = N^{3/2} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left[ -\sum_{i=0}^{3} \frac{1}{\pi i} g_i \left(-\pi \left(\delta p(t) - s\right)\right) g_{3-i} \left(\delta v(t) + \Delta \right) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{\epsilon n'(t)}{2}\right)^{2n} \right. 
\left. + \frac{1}{\pi^2} g_3 \left(-\pi \left(\delta p(t) - s\right)\right) n'(t)^{-2} \right. 
\left. + \frac{1}{\pi^2} g_2 \left(-\pi \left(\delta p(t) - s\right)\right) g_1 \left(\delta v(t) + \Delta \right) n'(t)^{-1} \right], \quad (A.33)$$

where we used the inversion formulæ

$$\text{Li}_s(e^{iu}) + (-1)^s \text{Li}_s(e^{-iu}) = -\frac{(2\pi i)^s}{s!} B_s \left(\frac{u}{2\pi}\right) \equiv i^{s-2} g_s(u), \quad (A.34)$$

for $0 < \Re(u) < 2\pi$. Here, the polynomial functions $g_s(u)$ are related to the Bernoulli polynomials $B_s(u)$ via (A.34) and for $s = 1, 2, 3$ are explicitly given in (4.16). Using $\sum_{n=0}^\infty n^{2n} = (1 - x^2)^{-1}$, (A.33) is simplified to

$$\log Z_{(b,a)} = N^{3/2} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{G(\delta v(t) + \Delta, -\delta p(t) + s, \epsilon)}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{G(\delta v(t) + \Delta, \delta p(t) - s + 2, \epsilon)}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right), \quad (A.35)$$

where we defined

$$G(u, s, \epsilon) \equiv \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ g_3(u) - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2\pi}\right) g_2(u) g_1(\pi s) + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2\pi}\right)^2 g_1(u) g_2(\pi s) - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2\pi}\right)^3 g_3(\pi s) \right]. \quad (A.36)$$

Let us define the equivariant quantities

$$w^{(1)}(t) \equiv it + \frac{i\epsilon}{2} n(t), \quad v_a^{(1)}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \left( v_a + \epsilon \frac{p_a(t)}{2} \right), \quad \epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \epsilon, \quad (A.37)$$

$$w^{(2)}(t) \equiv it - \frac{i\epsilon}{2} n(t), \quad v_a^{(2)}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \left( v_a - \epsilon \frac{p_a(t)}{2} \right), \quad \epsilon^{(2)} \equiv -\epsilon, \quad \omega \equiv \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2\pi}\right)^2}. \quad (A.38)$$

Remarkably, (A.36) obeys the following relations

$$G(\delta v(t) + \Delta, s, \epsilon) = \frac{\omega^3}{\epsilon} g_3 \left(\delta v^{(1)}(t) + \Delta^{(1)}\right), \quad (A.39)$$

$$G(\delta v(t) + \Delta, 2 - s, \epsilon) = \frac{\omega^3}{\epsilon} g_3 \left(\delta v^{(2)}(t) + \Delta^{(2)}\right).$$
Then, \((A.35)\) takes the following factorized form

\[ \log Z_{(b,a)} = i\omega^3 N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma = 1}^{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{g_3(\delta \psi^{(\sigma)}(t) + \Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\nu^{(\sigma)}(t)}. \]  \tag{A.40} \]

Thus, a pair of bi-fundamental chiral multiplets with chemical potentials and fluxes \((\Delta_I, s_I), I = (a, b), (b, a)\), transforming, respectively, in the \((N, \overline{N})\) and \((\overline{N}, N)\) representation of \(U(N)_a \times U(N)_b\) contributes to the large \(N\) refined index

\[ \log Z_{(a+b)} = i\omega^3 N^{3/2} \sum_{I = (b,a)+}^{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(I)}} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{g_3(\pm \delta \psi^{(I)}(t) + \Delta^{(I)})}{\nu^{(I)}(t)}, \]  \tag{A.41} \]

reproducing \((4.14)\).

The analysis for the large \(N\) contribution of a chiral multiplet in the adjoint representation of \(U(N)_a\) is very similar. Setting

\[ \delta \psi^{(\sigma)}(t) = 0, \quad \Delta^{(\sigma)} \equiv \Delta^{(\sigma)}_{(a,a)}, \]  \tag{A.42} \]

in \((A.40)\), it reads

\[ \log Z_{(a,a)} = i\omega^3 N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma = 1}^{2} \frac{g_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)}_{(a,a)})}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \frac{\nu^{(\sigma)}(t)}{\nu^{(\sigma)}(t)}, \]  \tag{A.43} \]

reproducing \((4.18)\).

### A.3 Chiral multiplet in (anti-)fundamental representation

A chiral multiplet transforming in the fundamental representation of \(U(N)_a\) contributes to the refined twisted index as\(^{16}\)

\[ \log Z_{\text{fund}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|B_{i}| \epsilon^{(a)}}{2} \sum_{\ell = -|B_{i}|}^{|B_{i}| - 1} \text{sign}(B_{i}) \left( \text{Li}_1 \left( e^{(-u_i^{(a)} - \Delta - \epsilon \ell) - \frac{i}{2} g_1(u_i^{(a)} + \Delta + \ell \epsilon)} \right) - \frac{i}{2} g_1(u_i^{(a)} + \Delta + \ell \epsilon) \right). \]  \tag{A.44} \]

Here, \(B_i \equiv m_i - s + 1\) and \((\Delta, s)\) label the chemical potential and the magnetic flux, respectively. Consider first the term

\[ - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell = -|B_{i}|}^{|B_{i}| - 1} \text{sign}(B_{i}) g_1(u_i^{(a)} + \Delta + \ell \epsilon) = - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{sign}(B_{i}) g_1(u_i^{(a)} + \Delta - \pi). \]  \tag{A.45} \]

Substituting the ansatz \((A.1)\) and taking the continuum limit, we can write

\[ + \frac{i}{2} N^2 \int dt \rho(t) |n(t)| + \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \int dt \rho(t) \left( (p_{\alpha}(t) - s + 1) - n(t)(\pi - \Delta - v_\alpha(t)) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{i}{2} N \int dt \rho(t)(p_{\alpha}(t) - s + 1)(\pi - \Delta - v_\alpha(t)). \]  \tag{A.46} \]

\(^{16}\)Here, we applied the inversion formula \((A.34)\) to \((3.13)\).
Let us now focus on
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=-\frac{|B_i|-1}{2}}^{\frac{|B_i|-1}{2}} \text{sign}(B_i) \text{Li}_1 \left( e^{i(-u_i^{(a)}-\Delta-\ell \epsilon)} \right). 
\] (A.47)

We need to extrapolate this formula to complex large values of \(B_i\). The summation variable \(\ell\) will also describe a path in the complex plane interpolating between points that, at large \(N\), scale as \(\pm \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{N} n(t)\). The argument of \(\text{Li}_1\) then interpolates between points that scale as \(e^{|n(t)|} \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t)\). To evaluate \(\sum_{\ell=-\frac{|B_i|-1}{2}}^{\frac{|B_i|-1}{2}}\), we consider distinct regions that are determined by \(\text{sign}(t \pm \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t))\). Explicitly, we consider

\[
(i) \quad t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) < 0, \quad t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) < 0, \\
(ii) \quad t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) > 0, \quad t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) < 0, \\
(iii) \quad t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) > 0, \quad t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) > 0, \\
(iv) \quad \text{and a fourth region that can be similarly studied.}
\]

In region (i), the contribution of (A.47) to the large \(N\) refined twisted index is subleading, since the argument of \(\text{Li}_1\) is exponentially suppressed for all values of \(\ell\). Consider now region (iii). At large \(N\), the argument of \(\text{Li}_1\) blows up for all values of \(\ell\) and we obtain

\[
- i N^2 \int_{(iii)} dt \rho(t) t n(t) - N^{3/2} \int_{(ii)} dt \rho(t) (t(p_a(t) - s + 1) - n(t)(\pi - \Delta - v_a(t))) + \mathcal{O}(N). 
\] (A.49)

In region (ii), we restrict the sum over \(\ell\) to the values where the argument of \(\text{Li}_1\) blows up, namely to the values \(\ell \leq -\frac{1}{\epsilon}(u_i + \Delta + 2\pi c)\) for some \(c\) of order one, for \(-n(t) \leq 0\). Thus, summing over \(\ell\), the large \(N\) limit of (A.47) in region (ii) reads

\[
- \frac{i}{2} N^2 \int_{(ii)} dt \rho(t) t n(t) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} N^2 \int_{(ii)} dt \rho(t) \left( t^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} n(t)^2 \right) \\
- \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \int_{(ii)} dt \rho(t) (t(p_a(t) - s + 1) - n(t)(\pi - \Delta - v_a(t))) \\
- \frac{1}{\epsilon} N^{3/2} \int_{(ii)} dt \rho(t) \left( t(\pi - \Delta - v_a(t)) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} n(t)(p_a(t) - s + 1) \right) + \mathcal{O}(N). 
\] (A.50)
Putting together (A.46), (A.49), and (A.50), we find

\[
\log Z_{\text{fund}} = \frac{i}{2} N^2 \int (i) dt \rho(t) t n(t) + \frac{i}{2 \epsilon} N^2 \int (ii) dt \rho(t) \left( t^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} n(t)^2 \right) - \frac{i}{2} N^2 \int (iii) dt \rho(t) t n(t) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \int (i) dt \rho(t) \left( t(p_a(t) - s + 1) - n(t)(\pi - \Delta - v_a(t)) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{\epsilon} N^{3/2} \int (ii) dt \rho(t) \left( t(\pi - \Delta - v_a(t)) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} n(t)(p_a(t) - s + 1) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \int (iii) dt \rho(t) \left( t(p_a(t) - s + 1) - n(t)(\pi - \Delta - v_a(t)) \right) + \mathcal{O}(N). \tag{A.51}
\]

The contribution of a chiral field with chemical potential \( \tilde{\Delta} \) and magnetic flux \( \tilde{s} \), transforming in the anti-fundamental representation of \( U(N)_a \) is similarly given by

\[
\log Z_{\text{anti-fund}} = -\frac{1}{2} N^2 \int (i) dt \rho(t) t n(t) - \frac{i}{2 \epsilon} N^2 \int (ii) dt \rho(t) \left( t^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} n(t)^2 \right) + \frac{i}{2} N^2 \int (iii) dt \rho(t) t n(t) \\
- \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \int (i) dt \rho(t) \left( t(p_a(t) + \tilde{s} - 1) + n(t)(\pi - \tilde{\Delta} + v_a(t)) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{\epsilon} N^{3/2} \int (ii) dt \rho(t) \left( t(\pi - \tilde{\Delta} + v_a(t)) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} n(t)(p_a(t) + \tilde{s} - 1) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \int (iii) dt \rho(t) \left( t(p_a(t) + \tilde{s} - 1) + n(t)(\pi - \tilde{\Delta} + v_a(t)) \right) + \mathcal{O}(N). \tag{A.52}
\]

The first lines of (A.51) and (A.52) cancel each other out for theories with total number of fundamentals equal to total number of anti-fundamentals, which we need to assume for consistency. Therefore, keeping only \( \mathcal{O}(N^{3/2}) \) terms in (A.51) and (A.52), we find

\[
\log Z_{\text{fund}} = -\frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma = 1}^2 \int dt \rho(t) \left( (\pi - \Delta - v_a(t)) - \frac{\epsilon(\sigma)}{2} (1 - s + p_a(t)) \right) \frac{|w^{(\sigma)}|}{\epsilon(\sigma)} , \\
\log Z_{\text{anti-fund}} = -\frac{1}{2} N^{3/2} \sum_{\sigma = 1}^2 \int dt \rho(t) \left( (\pi - \tilde{\Delta} + v_a(t)) - \frac{\epsilon(\sigma)}{2} (1 - \tilde{s} - p_a(t)) \right) \frac{|w^{(\sigma)}|}{\epsilon(\sigma)} , \tag{A.53}
\]

where we used the \( A \)-gluing parameterization

\[
w^{(1)}(t) = it + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) , \quad \epsilon^{(1)} = \epsilon , \\
w^{(2)}(t) = it - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) , \quad \epsilon^{(2)} = -\epsilon . \tag{A.54}
\]

This reproduces (4.19) and (4.20), after relabeling \( (\Delta, s) \rightarrow (\Delta_a, s_a) \) and \( (\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{s}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\Delta}_a, \tilde{s}_a) \).
A.4 Vector multiplet

The contribution of a vector multiplet can be obtained simply via

\[ \log Z_V = \log Z_X \bigg|_{\Delta_{(a,b)}=2\pi, b_{(a,b)}=2} \]  

(A.55)

From (A.43) we obtain the following expression for a vector multiplet

\[ \log Z_V = \frac{\pi}{12} N^{3/2} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{\epsilon - 2\pi}{1 + \frac{2}{3}n(t)} - \frac{\epsilon + 2\pi}{1 - \frac{2}{3}n(t)} \right) . \]  

(A.56)

B Saddle point of the ADHM refined twisted index

This appendix contains the large \( N \) saddle point for the ADHM quiver described in section 4.2. The eigenvalue density distribution \( \rho(t) \) and the magnetic flux \( n(t) \), which extremize the refined index (4.25), are piece-wise functions supported on \( [t_\ll, t_\gg] \). For ease of notation, let us define

\[ G_3(v) \equiv v_1 v_2 v_3 , \quad \delta_+^{(\sigma)} \equiv \frac{1}{r} \left( \Delta_3^{(\sigma)} + \frac{r}{2} \Delta_m \right) , \quad \delta_-^{(\sigma)} \equiv \frac{1}{r} \left( \Delta_3^{(\sigma)} - \frac{r}{2} \Delta_m \right) . \]  

(B.1)

We define the inner interval as

\[ t_\ll \text{ s.t. } t_\ll - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_\ll) = 0 , \quad t_\gg \text{ s.t. } t_\gg + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t_\gg) = 0 . \]  

(B.2)

Schematically, we have:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
t_\ll & t_\ll & t_\gg & t_\gg \\
\rho = 0 & t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n = 0 & t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n = 0 & \rho = 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

The transition points are at

\[ t_\ll = - \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)} \delta_+^{(\sigma)}}{2r \delta_-^{(\sigma)}}} , \quad t_\gg = - \epsilon \sqrt{G_3(D_1)} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{1}{e^{(\sigma)}} \sqrt{\frac{\delta_+^{(\sigma)}}{2r \Delta_3^{(\sigma)} \delta_-^{(\sigma)}}} , \]

\[ t_\ll = - \epsilon \sqrt{G_3(D_2)} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{1}{e^{(\sigma)}} \sqrt{\frac{\delta_-^{(\sigma)}}{2r \Delta_3^{(\sigma)} \delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} , \quad t_\gg = \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)} \delta_-^{(\sigma)}}{2r \delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} . \]  

(B.3)

In the left segment \( t \mp \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) < 0 \), and we have

\[ \rho(t) = 4r \left( \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \sqrt{G_3(D^{(\sigma)}) \delta_-^{(\sigma)}} \right)^{-2} \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{2 \delta_+^{(\sigma)} \Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}{r \delta_-^{(\sigma)}} \right) \]

and

\[ n(t) = 2 \left( \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \sqrt{G_3(D^{(\sigma)}) \delta_-^{(\sigma)}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{1}{e^{(\sigma)}} \sqrt{G_3(D^{(\sigma)}) \delta_-^{(\sigma)}} \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{2 \delta_+^{(\sigma)} \Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}{r \delta_-^{(\sigma)}} \right) \]

\[ - 2 \left( \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \sqrt{G_3(D^{(\sigma)}) \delta_-^{(\sigma)}} \right)^{-1} \prod_{\sigma=1}^2 \sqrt{G_3(D^{(\sigma)}) \delta_-^{(\sigma)}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \frac{1}{e^{(\sigma)}} \frac{2 \delta_+^{(\sigma)} \Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}{r \Delta_3^{(\sigma)}} . \]  

(B.4)
In the middle segment \( t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) > 0 \), \( t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) < 0 \), and we find

\[
\rho(t) = r \left( \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(1)})}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right) \left( \delta_+^{(2)} \left( t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) \right) + \delta_+^{(2)} \left( t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) \right) + \mu \epsilon \right),
\]

\[
n(t) = \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(1)})}{\delta_+^{(1)}} + \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{\delta_+^{(2)}} \right)^{-1} \left( c_1 t + c_2 + c_3 t^2 + c_4 t + c_5 \right),
\]

with

\[
c_1 = \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(1)})}{\delta_+^{(1)}} - \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{\delta_+^{(2)}}, \quad c_2 = -\frac{G_3(\Delta^{(1)}) G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{\delta_+^{(1)} \delta_+^{(2)}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{2 \frac{\delta_+^{(\sigma)} \delta_+^{(\sigma)}}{r \Delta_3^{(\sigma)} G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}},
\]

\[
c_3 = -4 \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(1)}) G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{\delta_+^{(1)} \delta_+^{(2)}},
\]

\[
c_4 = -4 \frac{G_3(\Delta^{(1)}) G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{\delta_+^{(1)} \delta_+^{(2)}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2 \delta_+^{(1)} \delta_+^{(2)}}{r \delta_+^{(1)}}} - \sqrt{\frac{2 \delta_+^{(2)} G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{r \delta_+^{(1)}}} \right),
\]

\[
c_5 = \frac{2 G_3(\Delta^{(1)}) G_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{r \delta_+^{(1)} \delta_+^{(2)}} \times \left[ \left( \sqrt{\frac{\delta_+^{(1)} \Delta_1^{(1)} \Delta_2^{(1)}}{r \delta_+^{(1)}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\delta_+^{(2)} \Delta_1^{(2)} \Delta_2^{(2)}}{r \delta_+^{(1)}}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\delta_+^{(2)} - \delta_+^{(1)}}{\delta_+^{(1)}} \right) \frac{\delta_+^{(1)} \Delta_1^{(1)} \Delta_2^{(1)}}{\delta_+^{(1)}} - \left( \frac{\delta_+^{(2)} - \delta_+^{(1)}}{\delta_+^{(2)}} \right) \frac{\delta_+^{(1)} \Delta_1^{(2)} \Delta_2^{(2)}}{\delta_+^{(2)}} \right],
\]

and

\[
\mu = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \sqrt{2 r \delta_+^{(\sigma)} \delta_+^{(\sigma)} \Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}.
\]

In the right segment \( t + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t) > 0 \), and we have

\[
\rho(t) = -4 r \left( \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} \right)^{-2} \left( t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{2 \frac{\delta_+^{(\sigma)} \Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}{r \delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} \right),
\]

\[
n(t) = 2 \left( \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \sqrt{\frac{G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} t
\]

\[
+ 2 \left( \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} \right)^{-1} \prod_{\sigma=1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{G_3(\Delta^{(\sigma)})}{\delta_+^{(\sigma)}}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}} \sqrt{2 \frac{\delta_+^{(\sigma)} \Delta_1^{(\sigma)} \Delta_2^{(\sigma)}}{r \Delta_3^{(\sigma)}}}.
\]
ABJM unrefined twisted index at large $N$

In this appendix we provide the detailed form of the large $N$ saddle point for the ABJM unrefined twisted index. For notational convenience, we define

$$
G_1(v) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{4} v_i, \quad G_2(v) \equiv v_1v_2 - v_3v_4, \quad G_3(v) \equiv \sum_{i<j<k} v_iv_jv_k, \quad G_4(v) \equiv (v_1 + v_3)(v_2 + v_3)(v_1 + v_4)(v_2 + v_4).
$$

Then, the index can be written as

$$
\log Z = k \int dt \rho(t) (t\delta p(t) + \delta v(t) n(t)) + \mu \left( \int dt \rho(t) - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} G_1(s) \int dt (\rho(t) \delta v(t))^2 - \int dt \rho(t)^2 \delta v(t) \left( G_1(\Delta) \delta p(t) + \sum_{l=1}^{4} s_l \frac{\partial G_2(\Delta)}{\partial \Delta_l} \right) + G_2(\Delta) \int dt \rho(t)^2 \delta p(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left[ \sum_{l<j}^{4} \Delta_{IJ} s_{KL} + n'(t) \left( G_3(\Delta) - G_1(\Delta) \delta v(t)^2 - G_2(\Delta) \delta v(t) \right) \right],
$$

where we included the Lagrange multiplier $\mu$ to enforce the normalization of $\rho(t)$.

We define the inner segment as

$$
t_{<} \; \text{s.t.} \; \delta v(t_{<}) = -\Delta_3, \quad t_{>} \; \text{s.t.} \; \delta v(t_{>}) = \Delta_1.
$$

Schematically, we have:

$t_{<} < t_{<} < t_{>} > t_{>}$

$$
\rho = 0 \quad \delta v = -\Delta_3 \quad \delta v = \Delta_1 \quad \rho = 0
$$

$$
\delta p = s_3 \quad \delta p = -s_1
$$

In the left segment, where $\delta v(t) = -\Delta_3$ and $\delta p(t) = s_3$, the equations obtained from varying the index (4.57) with respect to $\delta v(t)$ and $\delta p(t)$ need not hold, and we obtain

$$
\rho(t) = r_2 - \frac{k\Delta_3}{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_3 - \Delta_4)} t,
$$

$$
n(t) = \frac{\mu}{k\Delta_3} + \frac{s_3}{\Delta_3} t + \frac{c_2}{\rho(t)} + \frac{\rho(t)}{2k\Delta_3}
$$

$$
\times \left[ s_1(\Delta_3 - \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3) + s_2(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_3 - \Delta_4) - s_3(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3) + s_3 \left( (\Delta_3 - \Delta_4)^2 + (3\Delta_3 - 2\Delta_4) G_1(\Delta) + \left( 2 - \frac{\Delta_4}{\Delta_3} \right) G_2(\Delta) \right) \right].
$$

\footnote{This is a large $N$ effect. As explained in [2], they hold when including subleading exponential corrections.}
where \( r_2, c_2 \) are constants of integrations. In the middle segment, we have

\[
\rho(t) = r_1 - k \frac{G_2(\Delta)}{G_4(\Delta)} t,
\]

\[
\delta v(t) = \frac{1}{G_1(\Delta)} \left( \frac{kt}{\rho(t)} + G_2(\Delta) \right),
\]

\[
\delta p(t) = k \frac{1}{G_1(\Delta) \rho(t)} \left( t \left( \frac{G_1(s)}{G_1(\Delta)} + n'(t) + n(t) \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)s_4 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)s_3}{G_1(\Delta)^2}
\]

\[
- \frac{(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)s_1 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)s_2}{G_1(\Delta)^2}
\]

\[
n(t) = \frac{c_1}{\rho(t)} - \frac{\mu G_1(\Delta)}{k G_2(\Delta)} - \left( \frac{\Delta_3 s_4 + \Delta_4 s_2 - \Delta_2 s_4 - \Delta_3 s_1}{G_2(\Delta)} + \frac{G_1(s)}{G_1(\Delta)} \right) t + \frac{\rho(t)}{2k G_1(\Delta) G_2(\Delta)^2}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ s_1(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4) [G_1(\Delta) ((G_1(\Delta) - \Delta_2) G_2(\Delta) - G_3(\Delta)) + 2G_4(\Delta)]
\]

\[
+ s_2(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4) [G_1(\Delta) ((G_1(\Delta) - \Delta_1) G_2(\Delta) - G_3(\Delta)) + 2G_4(\Delta)]
\]

\[
+ s_3(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4) [G_1(\Delta) ((G_1(\Delta) - \Delta_4) G_2(\Delta) + G_3(\Delta)) - 2G_4(\Delta)]
\]

\[
+ s_4(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3) [G_1(\Delta) ((G_1(\Delta) - \Delta_3) G_2(\Delta) + G_3(\Delta)) - 2G_4(\Delta)] \right\},
\]

(C.5)

where \( r_1, c_1 \) are constants of integrations. In the right segment, extremizing the index with respect to \( \rho(t) \) and \( n(t) \) at fixed \( (\delta v(t), \delta p(t)) = (\Delta_1, -s_1) \), we find

\[
\rho(t) = r_3 + \frac{k \Delta_1}{(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)} t,
\]

\[
n(t) = -\frac{\mu}{k \Delta_1} + \frac{s_1}{\Delta_1} t + \frac{c_3}{\rho(t)} + \frac{\rho(t)}{2k \Delta_1}
\]

\[
\times \left[ s_2(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3) - s_3(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4) - s_4(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)
\]

\[
- s_1 \left( (\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)^2 + (3\Delta_1 - 2\Delta_2)G_1(\Delta) - \left( 2 - \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_1} \right) G_2(\Delta) \right) \right],
\]

where \( r_3, c_3 \) are constants of integrations. The support of \( \rho(t) \) is then given by

\[
\rho(t_{\leq})|_{L.I.} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_{\leq} = \frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_3 - \Delta_4)}{k \Delta_3} r_2,
\]

\[
\delta v(t_{\leq})|_{M.I.} = -\Delta_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_{\leq} = -\frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}{k \Delta_1 G_1(\Delta)} r_1,
\]

\[
\delta v(t_{\geq})|_{M.I.} = \Delta_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_{\geq} = \frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}{k \Delta_2 G_1(\Delta)} r_1,
\]

\[
\rho(t_{\geq})|_{R.I.} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_{\geq} = -\frac{(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)}{k \Delta_1} r_3.
\]
Now, it has remained to determine the Lagrange multiplier $\mu$ and the constants of integrations $c_i$ and $r_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. First, note that the regularity of the magnetic flux $n(t)$ at the endpoints of the support of $\rho(t)$ yields

$$\text{Coeff } [n(t_{\ll}), \rho(t), -1] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_2 = 0, \quad (C.8)$$

$$\text{Coeff } [n(t_{\gg}), \rho(t), -1] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_3 = 0.$$ 

Second, the density $\rho(t)$ and the magnetic flux $n(t)$ are continuous piece-wise functions. Thus, continuity of $\rho(t)$ fixes the constants $r_{23}$ and $r_3$,

$$\rho(t_{\ll})|_{\text{M.I.}} = \rho(t_{\ll})|_{\text{L.I.}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad r_2 = -\frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}{(\Delta_3 - \Delta_4)G_1(\Delta)} r_1, \quad (C.9)$$

$$\rho(t_{\gg})|_{\text{M.I.}} = \rho(t_{\gg})|_{\text{R.I.}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad r_3 = -\frac{(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}{(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)G_1(\Delta)} r_1.$$ 

Observe that

$$\delta v(t_{\ll})|_{\text{M.I.}} = -\Delta_4, \quad \delta v(t_{\gg})|_{\text{M.I.}} = \Delta_2.$$ 

The continuity of $n(t)$ gives

$$\mu = \frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}{2G_1(\Delta)} r_1 \sum_{l=1}^{4} \frac{s_l}{\Delta_l},$$

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}{\sqrt{2k(\Delta_1\Delta_2 - \Delta_3\Delta_4)G_1(\Delta)}} r_1\right)^2 \left(\sum_{l=3}^{4} \frac{s_l}{\Delta_l} - \sum_{l=1}^{2} \frac{s_l}{\Delta_l}\right). \quad (C.11)$$

Finally, the normalization of $\rho(t)$ fixes $r_1$,

$$\int dt \rho(t) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad r_1 = \frac{G_1(\Delta)\sqrt{2k\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3\Delta_4}}{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)}. \quad (C.12)$$

Plugging back the saddle point into the unrefined index (C.2), we obtain

$$\log Z = -\frac{2}{3} N^{3/2} \mu = -\frac{N^{3/2}}{3} \sqrt{2k\Delta_1\Delta_2\Delta_3\Delta_4} \sum_{l=1}^{4} \frac{s_l}{\Delta_l}, \quad (C.13)$$

in precise agreement with $[2, (2.89)]$.

**D Derivation of general rules for theories with $N^{5/3}$ scaling of the index**

We consider the following ansatz for the large $N$ saddle point eigenvalue distribution

$$u^{(a)}(t) = N^{1/3}(it + v(t)), \quad m(t) = iN^{1/3}n(t). \quad (D.1)$$

Furthermore, we assume that

$$k_{\text{CS}} = \sum_{a=1}^{\gamma} k_a \neq 0, \quad (D.2)$$

that corresponds to turning on the Romans mass $F_0$ in the dual type IIA supergravity [26].
D.1 Chern-Simons

Using the scaling ansatz (D.1), each gauge group $a$ with CS level $k_a$ contributes to the twisted index as

$$\log Z_{\text{CS}} = i k_a \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i u_i \equiv -k_a N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t) n(t)(it + v(t)) .$$

(D.3)

D.2 Chiral multiplet

Let us evaluate the large $N$ contribution of a bi-fundamental chiral multiplet transforming in a representation $(\tilde{N}, N)$ of $U(N)_a \times U(N)_b$ and with chemical potential and magnetic flux $(\Delta, s)$ to the refined twisted index. As before, we break the sum $\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}$ in (A.9) into $\sum_{i<j} + \sum_{i>j}$ $(i \to j)$. The contribution coming from $(i \to j)$ is subleading. Let us evaluate (A.21) in the large $N$ limit. We find

$$\log Z_{(b,a)}^{i<j} = N^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i n(\Delta + s)}}{n(e^{i n} - 1)} \int dt \rho(t) \mu_n(t) ,$$

(D.4)

where we defined

$$I_n(t) \equiv \int_t dt' \rho(t') e^{-n N^{1/3}(t'-t)} \left( e^{i n(1 N^{1/3}(n(t') - n(t)) - s + 1)} - 1 \right) e^{i n N^{1/3}(n(t') - n(t))} + i \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t') \cot \left( \frac{\epsilon n}{2} (i N^{1/3}(n(t') - n(t)) - s + 1) \right) .$$

(D.5)

Performing integration by parts we obtain

$$I_n(t) \bigg|_{t}^{N^{1/3}} = -N^{-1/3} n \rho(t') \mathcal{I}_n(t', t)' \int_t^{t'} dt' \rho(t') \mathcal{I}_n(t', t) \left[ i v'(t') + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t') \cot \left( \frac{\epsilon n}{2} (i N^{1/3}(n(t') - n(t)) - s + 1) \right) \right] .$$

(D.6)

We again perform integration by parts on the second line of (D.6) and find, at large $N$,

$$I_n = -N^{-1/3} n \rho(t') \mathcal{I}_n(t', t) \left[ 1 + i v'(t') + i \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t') \cot \left( \frac{\epsilon n}{2} (i N^{1/3}(n(t') - n(t)) - s + 1) \right) \right]_{t}^{t'} - \int_t^{t'} dt' \rho(t') \mathcal{I}_n(t', t) \left[ v'(t')^2 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} n'(t')^2 + \epsilon n'(t') v'(t') \cot \left( \frac{\epsilon n}{2} (i N^{1/3}(n(t') - n(t)) - s + 1) \right) \right] .$$

(D.7)

Via a repeated application of integration by parts we can then write

$$I_n(t) = \frac{N^{-1/3}}{n} \rho(t) \left( e^{i n(1-s)} - 1 \right) \sum_{l,r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + i) (1 + i(-1)^r) (l - r + 2) r-1}{2^r(r-1)!} (iv'(t))^l r+1 \times (\epsilon n'(t))^r \cot \frac{\epsilon}{2} (1 + (-1)^r) \left( \frac{\epsilon n}{2} (1 - s) \right) ,$$

(D.8)

The contribution of a chiral multiplet in the adjoint representation of $U(N)_a$ can be derived in exactly the same fashion.
where we only kept the leading order terms that contribute to the large \( N \) twisted index. Here, \( (x)_n \) is the Pochhammer symbol. Observe that the sum over \( l \) can be done explicitly and thus we obtain

\[
I_n = \frac{N^{-1/3}}{n} \rho(t) \left( e^{i n \epsilon (1-s)} - 1 \right) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{r-1} (-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} (e^n(t))^{r-1}}{2^{r-1} (1-iv'(t))^r} \cot \frac{\pi}{2} (1+(-1)^r) \left( \frac{n\epsilon}{2} (1-s) \right).
\]  

(D.9)

The sum over \( r \) can also be done and we find the following compact expression for \( I_n \)

\[
I_n(t) = \frac{N^{-1/3}}{n} \rho(t) e^{\frac{i n \epsilon}{2} (1-s)} \left( \frac{e^{i n \epsilon (1-s)}}{(1-iv'(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{e^{-i n \epsilon (1-s)}}{(1-iv'(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right).
\]  

(D.10)

Therefore, in the large \( N \) limit, (D.4) is simplified to

\[
\log Z^{i<j}_{(b,a)} = N^{5/3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i n \epsilon}}{n^2 (e^{i n \epsilon} - 1)} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{i\left(\Delta+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)} \right)}{(1-iv'(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-i\left(\Delta+\epsilon(n-\frac{1}{2})\right)} \right)}{(1-iv'(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right).
\]  

(D.11)

Now, it remains to perform the sum over \( n \). We obtain

\[
\log Z^{i<j}_{(b,a)} = -N^{5/3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{i\left(\Delta+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)} \right)}{(1-iv'(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-i\left(\Delta+\epsilon(n-\frac{1}{2})\right)} \right)}{(1-iv'(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right).
\]  

(D.12)

The summation \( \sum_{i>j} \) is similar to (D.12) and it reads

\[
\log Z^{i>j}_{(b,a)} = N^{5/3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-i\left(\Delta+\epsilon(n-\frac{1}{2})\right)} \right)}{(1-iv'(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{\text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-i\left(\Delta+\epsilon(n-\frac{1}{2})\right)} \right)}{(1-iv'(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right).
\]  

(D.13)

Combining (D.12) and (D.13), we finally arrive at the following compact expression for the contribution of a bi-fundamental chiral multiplet to the refined twisted index at large \( N \)

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)} = N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{\psi(-\Delta+\epsilon \frac{\epsilon}{2};e)}{(1-iv'(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{\psi(-\Delta+\epsilon(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2});e)}{(1-iv'(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right).
\]  

(D.14)

**\( \epsilon = 0 \) case** — Taking the \( \epsilon \to 0 \) limit of (D.11) we easily obtain

\[
\log Z^{i<j}_{(b,a)} = N^{5/3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i n \Delta}}{n^3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{n-1}{1-iv'(t)} + i \frac{n'(t)}{(1-iv'(t))^2} \right) = N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \text{Li}_2 \left( e^{i\Delta} \right) \frac{1-\frac{s}{1-iv'(t)}}{1-iv'(t)} + i \text{Li}_3 \left( e^{i\Delta} \right) \frac{n'(t)}{(1-iv'(t))^2} \right).
\]  

(D.15)

The summation \( \sum_{i>j} \) is similar to (D.15) and it reads

\[
\log Z^{i>j}_{(b,a)} = N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-i\Delta} \right) \frac{1-\frac{s}{1-iv'(t)}}{1-iv'(t)} - i \text{Li}_3 \left( e^{-i\Delta} \right) \frac{n'(t)}{(1-iv'(t))^2} \right).
\]  

(D.16)
Combining (D.15) and (D.16), and using the inversion formulæ (A.34) for \(0 < \Re(\Delta) < 2\pi\), we finally obtain the contribution of a chiral multiplet to the large \(N\) twisted index

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)}|_{\epsilon=0} = N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( g_2(\Delta) \frac{1-s}{1-i\nu'(t)} - g_3(\Delta) \frac{n'(t)}{(1-i\nu'(t))^2} \right).
\]  

(D.17)

**Asymptotic expansion around \(\epsilon=0\)** — Starting with (D.14) we can write down the following asymptotic expansion around \(\epsilon=0\)

\[
\log Z_x = -N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left\{ g_3(\Delta) \frac{n'(t)}{(1-i\nu'(t))^2} + \frac{g_2(\Delta)g_1(\pi\nu)}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-i\nu'(t)} + \frac{g_1(\Delta)g_2(\pi\nu)}{\pi^2} n'(t)^{-1}
\]
\[
+ \frac{g_3(\pi\nu)}{\pi^3} \frac{n'(t)^{-2}}{(1-i\nu'(t))^{-1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\epsilon n'(t)}{2(1-i\nu'(t))} \right)^{2n}
\]
\[
- \frac{g_3(\pi\nu)}{\pi^3} \frac{n'(t)^{-2}}{(1-i\nu'(t))^{-1}} - \frac{g_1(\Delta)g_2(\pi\nu)}{\pi^2} n'(t)^{-1} \right\}.
\]  

(D.18)

Using \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{2n} = (1-x^2)^{-1}\), (D.18) is then simplified to

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)} = N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{G(\Delta, \nu, \epsilon)}{(1-i\nu'(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{G(\Delta, 2-\nu, \epsilon)}{(1-i\nu'(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right),
\]  

(D.19)

where \(G(\Delta, \nu, \epsilon)\) is given in (A.36). Let us define the *equivariant chemical potentials*

\[
w^{(1)}(t) \equiv (i t + v(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t), \quad \Delta^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \Delta I + \pi(\omega - 1) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(1 - s_I) \right),
\]
\[
w^{(2)}(t) \equiv (i t + v(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n(t), \quad \Delta^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \Delta I + \pi(\omega - 1) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(1 - s_I) \right),
\]  

(D.20)

with \(\omega\) as before, see (A.38). Then, (D.19) takes the following remarkable factorized form

\[
\log Z_{(b,a)} = \frac{i\omega}{\epsilon} N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{g_3(\Delta^{(1)})}{w^{(1)}(t)} - \frac{g_3(\Delta^{(2)})}{w^{(2)}(t)} \right),
\]  

(D.21)

reproducing (5.7) after setting \(\Delta \equiv \Delta_{(a,b)}\) and \(s \equiv s_{(a,b)}\).

**D.3 Vector multiplet**

The contribution of a vector multiplet can be simply obtained by using (A.55). In particular, from (D.19) we obtain the following expression for a vector multiplet

\[
\log Z_V = \frac{\pi}{12} N^{5/3} \int dt \rho(t)^2 \left( \frac{\epsilon - 2\pi}{(1-i\nu'(t)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} - \frac{\epsilon + 2\pi}{(1-i\nu'(t)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} n'(t)} \right),
\]  

(D.22)

that is (5.6).
E Large $N$ factorization and holomorphic blocks

In this section we sketch the derivation of formula (3.10) for generic theories with $N^{3/2}$ scaling, generalizing [12]. A similar analysis could be done for theories with $N^{5/3}$ scaling.

Consider the contribution (3.13) to the index of a chiral bi-fundamental multiplet. It can be obtained by gluing two holomorphic blocks [22, 34, 35]

$$Z_{(b,a)} = \prod_{\sigma=1}^{2} B \left(\begin{array}{c} u^{(\sigma)}; \Delta^{(\sigma)} | e^{(\sigma)} \end{array} \right),$$

(E.1)

where

$$B(u; \Delta|\epsilon) = e^{\frac{1}{4} g_2 u (u+\Delta) - \frac{i}{4} (r-1) g_1 (u+\Delta) (q^{-1/2} x y; q)_{\infty}},$$

(E.2)

with $x = e^{iu}$, $y = e^{i\Delta}$, $q = e^{i\epsilon}$, and $r$ is the R-symmetry charge. The gluing rules are

$$u_i^{(\sigma)} \equiv u_i + \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} m_i, \quad \Delta_I^{(\sigma)} = \Delta_I + \frac{\epsilon^{(\sigma)}}{2} t_I, \quad \sigma = 1, 2,$$

(E.3)

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \epsilon, \quad \epsilon^{(2)} \equiv -\epsilon,$$

where $t$ are flavor fluxes satisfying $\sum_{I \in W_a} t_I = 0$, with $W_a$ denoting a generic monomial term in the superpotential. They are related to the set of fluxes $s_I$ used in the main text, and satisfying $\sum_{I \in W_a} s_I = 2$, via $s_I = r_I - t_I$.

Stripping off the exponential terms in (E.1), which only enter in the long-range forces cancellation as before, the two blocks can be written in our favorite parameterization (3.8) as

$$B \left(\begin{array}{c} u^{(\sigma)}; \Delta^{(\sigma)} | e^{(\sigma)} \end{array} \right) = \left( q^{(\sigma)} x^{(\sigma)} y^{(\sigma)} ; q^{(\sigma)} \right)_{\infty} \equiv ( x^{(\sigma)} y^{(\sigma)} ; 1/q^{(\sigma)} )_{\infty}^{-1}.$$  

(E.4)

In the factorization method we introduce two set of quantities $\rho^{(\sigma)}(t)$ and $\delta v^{(\sigma)}(t)$ for the independent variables $u_i^{(\sigma)}$. Focusing on $\sigma = 1$ and restricting the analysis to an asymptotic expansion in $\epsilon$\textsuperscript{20}

$$\log B^{(1)} = -\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-i\epsilon)^{s+1} \frac{B_s}{s!} \text{Li}_{2-s} (x^{(1)} y^{(1)}) ,$$

(E.5)

where $B_s = \{ 1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, -\frac{1}{30}, 0, \ldots \} $ is the $s$th Bernoulli number. At large $N$, each term in this expansion can be treated as in [2, 9]. The steps are similar to the previous computations and we refer to [2, 9] for details. We split the gauge sum into $\sum_{i<j}$ and $\sum_{i>j}$, use

\textsuperscript{19}Here $(x; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - x q^n)$ for $|q| < 1$ is the $q$-Pochhammer symbol. The formula $(x; q^{-1})_{\infty} = 1/(qx; q)$ is used to define the $q$-Pochhammer symbol for $|q| > 1$.

\textsuperscript{20}We use the formula $\log (x; q^{-1})_{\infty} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-i\epsilon)^{s+1} \frac{B_s}{s!} \text{Li}_{2-s} (x)$ valid for $|q^{-1}| < 1$. In this appendix we assume that $\epsilon$ has a small negative imaginary part. To use the same expansion for the case $\sigma = 2$, we need first to use the inversion formula given in the previous footnote.
the inversion formulæ (A.34) in the second term and perform the large $N$ limit. The final result, up to polynomial terms that enter in cancelling the long-range forces, is

$$\frac{\log B^{(1)}}{N^{3/2}} = - \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-ie)^{s-1} \frac{B_s}{s!} \int dt \rho^{(1)}(t)^2 \left( \text{Li}_3 - s(e^{i(\delta v(t) + \Delta^{(1)})} + (-1)^{3-s} \text{Li}_3 - s(e^{-i(\delta v(t) + \Delta^{(1)})}) \right)$$

$$= - \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-e)^{s-1} \frac{B_s}{s!} \int dt \rho^{(1)}(t)^2 g_{3-s}(\delta v^{(1)}(t) + \Delta^{(1)}) ,$$

(E.6)

where we used again (A.34). Since $g_{s<0} \equiv 0$, only the first few terms in this sum contribute. The leading term for $\epsilon \to 0$ is the contribution of a bi-fundamental chiral field to the twisted superpotential $[2, 9]^{21}$

$$\frac{i}{\epsilon^{N^{3/2}}} \tilde{W}(\rho(t), \delta v(t); \Delta) \bigg|_{\text{chiral}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int dt \rho(t)^2 g_3(\delta v(t) + \Delta) ,$$

(E.7)

in agreement with (3.9).

The contribution of a vector multiplet is obtained from (E.6) by setting $\delta v(t) = 0$ and $\Delta = 2\pi$ and $s = 2$. The contribution of the classical terms and (anti)-fundamental fields can be easily computed.

Including all the contributions from all the fields (and the classical terms) and keeping all perturbative orders in $\epsilon$, we obtain the $\sigma = 1$ contribution to (3.10)

$$\log B^{(1)} \equiv \frac{i}{\epsilon^{(1)}} \tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}(\rho^{(1)}(t), \delta v^{(1)}(t); \Delta^{(1)}) ,$$

(E.8)

where $\tilde{W}_{\text{hom}}$ is the full effective twisted superpotential computed in [2, 9] written as a homogeneous function of its variables. This can be done by using the constraints $\sum_{I} (\Delta I \pi)^{-1}$ to replace all occurrences of $\pi$ in $\tilde{W}$. The explicit dependence on $\epsilon$ emerges from the replacement of the original variables with the equivariant counterparts (3.8). The final result is correct only if using the homogeneous form of $\tilde{W}$ since now $\sum_{I} (\Delta I \pi)^{-1} = 2\pi - \epsilon^{(1)}$.\textsuperscript{22}

The final formula (E.8) is valid for the class of theories with $N^{3/2}$ scaling considered in this paper. The difference\textsuperscript{23}

$$\sum_{\text{vectors}} \log B^{(1)} \bigg|_{(E.6)} + \sum_{\text{bi-fund}} \log B^{(1)} \bigg|_{(E.6)} = \frac{i}{\epsilon} \tilde{W}_{\text{hom}} \bigg|_{\text{bi-fund}} ,$$

(E.9)

is indeed proportional to

$$- \frac{\pi}{6} \sum_{I=(b,a);+}^{(\pm \delta v^{(1)}(t))} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \left[ \sum_{I} \left( \frac{\Delta I}{\pi} - 1 \right) + |G| \right] + \frac{\pi \epsilon}{12} \sum_{I} \left( (s I - 1) + |G| \right) .$$

(E.10)

\textsuperscript{21}See [9, (3.17)], noting that $\tilde{W}_{\text{here}} = -V_{\text{there}}$, or compare, for example, (4.62) for the ABJM theory.

\textsuperscript{22}Equivalently, we could use the expression for $\tilde{W}$ found in [2, 9] by replacing the original variables with the equivariant counterpart (3.8) and all occurrences of $\pi$ with $\pi - \epsilon^{(1)}$.

\textsuperscript{23}Notice that vectors do not contribute to $\tilde{W}$ [2, 9].
The first term in this expression vanishes because for each bi-fundamental connecting two
gauge groups we have an anti-bi-fundamental connecting the same groups. The second
and third term cancel because $\Delta_I/\pi$ and $s_I$ can be regarded as R-charge assignments for
the fields and, at large $N$, we have $\text{Tr} \, R = 0$ for all R-symmetries, as it follows from (4.6).
The analysis of the classical terms and (anti)-fundamental fields is easy and it is left to
the reader.

The case $\sigma = 2$ is completely analogous.\(^\text{24}\)
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