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We study the non-equilibrium dynamics of the Luttinger model after a quantum quench, when the initial state is a finite temperature thermal equilibrium state. The diagonal elements of the density matrix in the steady state show thermal features for high temperature initial states only, otherwise retain highly non-thermal character. The time evolution of Uhlmann fidelity, which measures the distance between the time evolved and initial states, is evaluated for arbitrary initial temperatures and quench protocols. In the long time limit, the overlap between the time evolved and initial system decreases exponentially with the temperature with a universal prefactor. Within perturbation theory, the statistics of final total energy and work are numerically evaluated in the case of a sudden quench, which yield identical distributions at zero temperature. In both statistics, temperature effects are more significant in small systems. The Dirac-delta peak at the adiabatic ground state energy remains present in the probability distribution of the total energy, but disappears from the work distribution at non-zero initial temperatures.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Jp,05.70.Ln,67.85.-d,71.10.Pm

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum quenches have been attracting lots of interest due to their experimentally controllable realizations in cold atomic systems. Recent experiments allow one to investigate quantum dynamics of closed systems and to perform quantum quenches by modulating the parameters of the system. The quench drives the system out of equilibrium, raising interesting questions about how the closed system equilibrates after the quench, if at all, and how the long-time behaviour, i. e. the steady state, can be described.

The characterization of the steady state can be given by determining all the diagonal density matrix elements in the representation of eigenstates of the final Hamiltonian. Off-diagonal elements do not contribute to the expectation value of physical observables in the steady state due to dephasing. This is the concept of the diagonal ensemble, which also enables us to determine the probability distribution of any constants of motion in the steady state.

One-dimensional strongly correlated systems often form a Luttinger liquid (LL), made of bosonic soundlike collective excitations, regardless to the statistics of the original system. Such phases have already been created out of cold atoms. However, it is not entirely clear whether the LL universality class can be extended to a nonequilibrium situation, though combined numerical and analytical studies indicate that this is indeed the case. The non-equilibrium dynamics of the Luttinger model (LM), describing the low energy physics in LLs, has been studied extensively. In our previous work, we have also investigated the statistics of work done on a LL – prepared initially in the ground state – during quantum quenches with different duration.

Finite temperature effects in thermalization, correlation functions and the momentum distribution function have already been investigated. In this paper, we study how the finite temperature modifies the time evolved density matrix and the diagonal ensemble if the system is initially at thermal equilibrium, described by a canonical ensemble.

The time evolved state can be characterized by calculating the fidelity (or the Loschmidt echo) which measures the overlap with the initial thermal equilibrium state. The fidelity is an important quantity in various fields of physics ranging from nuclear physics to quantum information theory and also provides direct insight to dynamical properties of the quantum system without reference to any particular physical quantity. In our model the final Hamiltonian does not commute with the initial density operator, therefore, the fidelity is expected to have explicit time-dependence with equilibration in the steady state. How the time evolution and the long time behaviour depend on the initial temperature is one of the major concerns of the present work.

With zero initial temperature, i. e., if the initial state is the pure ground state of the Hamiltonian, the statistics of total energy in the final state and the statistics of work done on the system are basically the same. At finite temperature, however, these distributions differ from each other because the initial energy is not well defined. Moreover, the initial energy may be arbitrarily large, therefore, the probability distribution function (PDF) of work has no lower bound. In this paper our goal is to explore finite temperature effects in the statistics of total energy and work after a sudden quench (SQ).

The present article is organized as follows. After introducing the model and the time evolution during a quantum quench, we determine the diagonal ensemble for an arbitrary quench protocol and temperature in Section III.
We derive exact analytical expressions for the Loschmidt echo in Section IV. The long time limit of the Loschmidt echo is numerically evaluated for SQs. In Section V, we study the statistics of the total energy in the SQ limit and within perturbation theory for weak interaction strength. The generating function of the distribution is obtained analytically while the PDFs are evaluated numerically with low initial temperature. In Section VI, the statistics of work is determined in the SQ limit.

II. TIME EVOLUTION DURING THE QUENCH

We study the time evolution of the LM described by the time-dependent bosonic Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + Q(t) \hat{V},$$

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{q>0} \omega_0(q) (b_q^+ b_q + b_{-q}^+ b_{-q}),$$

$$\hat{V} = \sum_{q>0} \delta \omega(q) (b_q^+ b_q + b_{-q}^+ b_{-q}) + g(q) (b_q^+ b_{-q}^+ + b_q b_{-q}),$$

where $\omega_0(q) = vq$ is the non-interacting dispersion of bosons, $\delta \omega(q) = \delta vq$ comes from velocity renormalization and $g(q) = g_0 \exp(-R_0q)$ is the interaction strength with $R_0$ characterizing the finite range of the interaction. In the following we use the notation $\omega(q, t) = \omega_0(q) + Q(t) \delta \omega(q)$ and $g(q, t) = Q(t) g(q)$. The quench protocol $Q(t)$ vanishes for $t < 0$ and equals 1 for $t > \tau$ with $\tau$ denoting the quench duration.

In equilibrium, the LM describes successfully the low energy dynamics of LLs. In a non-equilibrium situation, additional processes, which are termed irrelevant in equilibrium, are inevitably present in lattice models and can still play an important role. To understand the applicability of the LM in non-equilibrium situation, several lattice models have been tested and investigated by comparing numerically exact calculations with analytical results using bosonization. These exhibit convincing agreement in all the examined cases.

After the quench, the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\tau) = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}$ can be diagonalized by standard Bogoliubov transformation, leading to

$$\hat{H}(\tau) = E_{ad} + \sum_{q>0} \Omega_q (d_q^+ d_q + d_{-q}^+ d_{-q}),$$

where $\Omega(q) = \sqrt{\omega(q, \tau)^2 - g(q, \tau)^2} < \omega(q, \tau)$ is the quasi-particle dispersion and $E_{ad} = \sum_{q>0} (\Omega(q) - \omega(q, \tau)) < 0$ is the ground state energy of the final Hamiltonian. The annihilation operators $b_{\pm q}$ is expressed with the new bosonic quasi-particle operators as

$$b_{\pm q} = \frac{\sqrt{\omega(q, \tau) + \Omega(q)}}{\sqrt{2\Omega(q)}} d_{\pm q}.$$
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Off-diagonal elements, such as \( \langle n'_+, n_- | \hat{\rho}(\tau) | n_+, n_- \rangle \) which are non-zero only if \( n_+ - n_- = n'_+ - n'_- \), are important only if the time evolution of a non-preserved quantity is studied. In the next section, for example, the fidelity will be such a quantity since \( \hat{H}_0 \) is not an integral of motion after the quench. In the long time limit, however, contributions from off-diagonal elements vanish due to dephasing which is a consequence of the continuous spectrum \( \Omega(q)_\text{inf} \).

The generating function of the occupation number distribution, Eq. (8) is obtained as

\[ f(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}(\tau) e^{i(\xi_+ \hat{n}_+ + \xi_- \hat{n}_-)} \right] \tag{9} \]

for all \( \xi_+ \) and \( \xi_- \). The expectation value of all integrals of motion can be calculated by taking the derivatives of \( f(\xi_+, \xi_-) \) with respect to \( \xi_+ \) and \( \xi_- \). For instance, \( \langle \hat{n}_+ \rangle = -i \partial_\xi_+ f(\xi_+, \xi_-)|_{\xi_+ = 0, \xi_- = 0} \) in the steady state. We will see in Section VII that Eq. (9) is very useful in determining the generating function of the probability distribution of the total energy as well. It is important to realize that both the expectation value and the whole distribution of any integrals of motion can be deduced from \( f(\xi_+, \xi_-) \).

The function \( f(\xi_+, \xi_-) \) is obtained for an arbitrary quench protocol and arbitrary temperature analytically. The detailed calculation is given in Appendix A and results in

\[ f(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \left[ 1 + n(\tau) \left( 1 - e^{i(\xi_+ + \xi_-)} \right) \right] + \left( n_0 + n_0^2 \right) \left( e^{i\xi_+} - 1 \right) \left( e^{i\xi_-} - 1 \right)^{-1} \tag{10} \]

where \( n_0 = (e^{i\beta\omega_0} - 1)^{-1} \) is the expectation value of the occupation number in the initial state and

\[ n(\tau) := \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}(\tau) \hat{n}_+ \right] = a(\tau) n_0 + \frac{a(\tau) - 1}{2} \tag{11} \]

is the expectation value of the occupation number after the quench. The real, temperature independent quantity

\[ a(\tau) = \frac{\omega(\tau)}{\Omega} \left( 1 + 2 |v(\tau)|^2 \right) + 2 \frac{g}{\Omega} \text{Re} \left( u(\tau)^* v(\tau) \right) \tag{12} \]

characterizes the quench protocol and does not depend on time for \( t > \tau \) since it is related to \( n(\tau) \), being the expectation value of the preserved quantity \( \hat{n}_\pm \). We note that, since \( a(\tau) \geq 1 \), the average occupation number after the quench is larger than before, i.e. during the time evolution more bosons are created than annihilated on average. Moreover, the difference \( n(\tau) - n_0 \) grows as the initial temperature increases.

The diagonal matrix elements are obtained by Fourier transforming Eq. (10). This is carried out analytically by introducing the complex variables \( z_\pm = e^{-i\xi_\pm} \). Then, complex integrals provide the matrix elements

\[ \rho(n_+, n_-) = \frac{(n_0 + n_0^2)^{n_- - n_+} \left( 1 + n(\tau) + n_0 + n_0^2 \right)^n_-}{(1 + n(\tau) + n_0 + n_0^2)^{n_+ + 1}} \times \sum_{l=0}^{n_-} \binom{n_-}{l} \binom{n_+ + 1}{n_0 + n_0^2} 2^l \tag{13} \]

for \( n_+ \geq n_- \). The opposite case is obtained from \( \rho(n_+, n_-) = \rho(n_-, n_+) \). We emphasize again that Eq. (13) is exact for arbitrary quench protocol and initial temperature. All information about the quench is incorporated into the expectation value of the occupation number \( n(\tau) \).

Let’s start to analyze our results at zero temperatures first. Only the \( l = 0 \) term is finite in the sum in Eq. (13) and only the \( n_+ = n_- \) matrix element survives, meaning that the number of bosons in the +q and −q modes are the same. This behaviour stems from the fact that the difference \( \hat{n}_+ - \hat{n}_- \) is preserved during the time evolution and its expectation value is zero in the initial state. The density matrix is highly non-thermal.

In the finite temperature initial state, however, this difference may be nonzero and, therefore, \( \rho(n_+, n_-) \neq n_+ \) elements show up in the final state. Diagonal elements of the density matrix are illustrated in Fig. 1 with different initial temperatures.

At low temperature or for high frequencies (\( \beta\omega_0 \gg 1 \)) the occupation number \( n_0 \) is exponentially small, \( n_0 \approx e^{-\beta\omega_0} \) to leading order. Matrix elements up to first order in \( n_0 \) are non-vanishing only if \( n_+ = n_- \) or \( n_+ = n_- \pm 1 \), and the corrections to the zero temperature case are given by

\[ \rho(n_+, n_-) = \frac{2(a(\tau) - 1)^{n_+}}{(a(\tau) + 1)^{n_+ + 1}} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 - 2n_0 & \text{if } n_+ = n_- \\ \frac{2n_0}{a(\tau) + 1} & \text{if } n_+ = n_- \pm 1 \end{array} \right. \tag{14} \]

which is highly non-thermal again.

Finally, at high temperature or for low frequencies (\( \beta\omega_0 \ll 1 \)) the initial occupation number is large (\( n_0 \gg \)
If \( n_0 \gg a(\tau) \) also holds, the elements of the density matrix are written as

\[
\rho(n_+, n_-) \approx \frac{1}{n_0^2} \exp \left( - \left( n_+ + n_- \right) \frac{a(\tau)}{n_0} \right),
\]

which resemble to a thermal density matrix. Note that the trace of this approximate matrix does not yield 1 due to the high temperature approximation.

We emphasize again that only a single \( q > 0 \) mode was considered in this section. All the modes should be taken into account when certain physical quantities, e.g. total energy, are evaluated.

### IV. LOSCHMIDT ECHO

Here we investigate the question of how much the time evolved state described by \( \hat{\rho}(t) \) differs from the initial state \( \hat{\rho}_0 \) for \( t > \tau \). The physical quantity measuring the "similarity" of these states, i.e. the overlap of the two density operators, is the fidelity or sometimes called Loschmidt echo\(^{28,30} \). Since the initial Hamiltonian is not a constant of motion after the quench, the fidelity has explicit time-dependence\(^{28} \).

The distinguishability of quantum states is measured by means of the so-called Uhlmann fidelity\(^{28,41,42} \), which is defined as

\[
F_U(t) = \text{Tr} \left[ \sqrt{\hat{\rho}_0^{1/2} \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{\rho}_0^{1/2}} \right].
\]

The fidelity is symmetric with respect to its arguments and \( 0 \leq F_U(t) \leq 1 \) always holds where the latter relation becomes equality in the case of identical density operators. The Uhlmann fidelity is related to the Bures metric in which the angle between the two density matrices is given by the angle \( \cos^{-1} F_U(t) \). Since the density matrices are normalized to unity, their angle can be used to quantify their distance. In the case of pure states, the Uhlmann fidelity simplifies to the absolute value of the overlap between the wavefunctions. For instance, with zero initial temperature the fidelity yields \( F_U(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | \Psi_0 \rangle \) where \( | \Psi_0 \rangle \) is the ground state of the initial Hamiltonian and \( | \Psi(t) \rangle \) is the time evolved wavefunction. At finite initial temperature, evaluation of the trace provides

\[
\ln F_U(t) = \sum_{q>0} \ln \frac{\cosh(\beta \omega_0(q)) - 1}{\sqrt{1 + |u_q(t)|^2 \sin^2(\beta \omega_0(q)) - 1}}
\]

where \( u_q(t) \) is the Bogoliubov coefficient defined in Eq. \( \text{[17]} \). For technical details, see Appendix\[^{[B]} \]. The resulting expression in Eq. \( \text{[17]} \) shows that the Loschmidt echo depends remarkably on the initial temperature. This property seems to be natural but if we choose the Frobenius norm instead of the Bures metric, no initial temperature dependence is found.

Let us briefly mention that Eq. \( \text{[16]} \) represents the trace norm of the operator \( \hat{\rho}_0^{1/2} \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{\rho}_0^{1/2} \). However, one can also use the Frobenius norm instead, which also coincides with the finite temperature generalization of the Loschmidt echo as given in the pioneering paper by A. Perez\(^{40} \). In this case the overlap of the time-evolved and initial states is given by

\[
F_F(t) = \sqrt{\text{Tr} [\hat{\rho}(t) \hat{\rho}_0]} \label{18}
\]

and

\[
\ln F_F(t) = \sum_{q>0} \ln \frac{\cosh(\beta \omega_0(q)) - 1}{|u_q(t)| \sinh(\beta \omega_0(q))}. \label{19}
\]

Eq. \( \text{[18]} \) does not necessarily yield 1 in the case of identical operators. Therefore, the Loschmidt echo is normalized by the square root of the so called effective dimension\(^{28} \) \( d_{\text{eff}} = 1/\text{Tr}[\rho_0^2] \). This normalization leads to

\[
\ln (\sqrt{d_{\text{eff}}} F_F(t)) = - \sum_{q>0} \ln |u_q(t)| \label{20}
\]

which leads to the rather counterintuitive result that the Loschmidt echo using the Frobenius norm does not depend on the initial temperature. This means that the Uhlmann fidelity, as used in quantum information theory, enables us to distinguish the time-evolved and initial states with finite temperature in a more delicate way than using the Frobenius norm.

Eqs. \( \text{[17]}, \text{[19]} \) and \( \text{[20]} \) fulfill the inequality

\[
F_F(t) \leq F_U(t) \leq \sqrt{d_{\text{eff}}} F_F(t), \label{21}
\]

where the first relations holds true in general, while the second inequality is specific to bosonic systems, and is reversed for fermions\(^{28} \). The zero temperature limit of the Uhlmann fidelity yields the normalized Frobenius fidelity.

Eqs. \( \text{[16]}, \text{[20]} \) and \( \text{[17]} \) are the main results for the finite temperature Loschmidt echo, valid for arbitrary temperature, quench protocol and interaction strength for quadratic bosonic Hamiltonians. Previous studies of the fidelity at finite temperatures focused on fermionic systems\(^{28} \), though the fidelity susceptibility for bosons was also considered\(^{41} \).

In the following of this section, we investigate the Uhlmann fidelity in special cases. In the SQ limit \( (\tau \to 0) \), the Bogoliubov coefficient is obtained as

\[
u_q(t) = \cos(\Omega(q) t) - i \frac{\omega(q, \tau)}{\Omega(q)} \sin(\Omega(q) t) \label{22}\]

for \( t > 0 \). The time dependence of the Uhlmann fidelity is evaluated numerically, and the resulting function decreases monotonically but saturates to a non-zero value as shown in Fig. 2.a. Here, the velocity renormalization is neglected in this calculation because we are interested in interaction effects coming from a finite \( g_2 \). Figure 2.b shows the long time limit of the Uhlmann fidelity as a
FIG. 2. a) Time evolution of the logarithm of the Loschmidt echo using the Bures metric for different temperatures following a sudden quench in units of $L/(4\pi R_0)$. The interaction strength was chosen $g_2 = 0.4v$ for the plot. b) Logarithm of the long time limit of the Loschmidt echo, in units of $L/(4\pi R_0)$, as a function of $g_2/v$ (numerical results). In both figures, the blue dashed line corresponds to the zero temperature case. The yellow and red curves correspond to finite temperatures $\beta = 10\tau_0$ and $\beta = 4\tau_0$, respectively, where $\tau_0 = R_0/v$ is related to the finite range of the interaction.

The final state deviates from the initial one with increasing temperature in the long time limit.

Analytical results are obtained only within perturbation theory for small values of $g_2/v$, when Eq. (17) is expanded in $|\psi(t)|$. At low temperatures and for a SQ, its long time value ($t \gg \beta \gg \tau_0$) is obtained as

$$F_L(t \to \infty) = \exp \left(-\alpha - \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{g_2}{v}\right)^2 \frac{LT}{v}\right),$$

where $\tau_0 = R_0/v$ is the time scale corresponding to the finite range of the interaction, and the temperature dependent term possesses a universal prefactor in the exponent in the LL sense, namely that it is independent of the high energy cutoff, $1/\tau_0$. This universality is reminiscent of the universal term in the partition function and consequently in the specific heat of 1D critical quantum systems. Similar behaviour of the fidelity was reported in Ref. 16, where the finite temperature fidelity susceptibility was investigated between different LM ground states. Our result also shows that increasing temperature results in less fidelity. This behaviour is related to the fact that more bosons are created during the quench for higher initial temperatures. In Eq. (23), $\alpha = |E_{\text{ad}}|\tau_0$ is the orthogonality exponent with $E_{\text{ad}} = -Lg_2^2/(16\pi\tau_0^2 v^3)$ being the ground state energy of the final Hamiltonian within perturbation theory, $L$ is the length of the sample. In Ref. 14, it was shown that the long time limit of the zero temperature Loschmidt echo obtained from the LM describes that of the XXZ Heisenberg spin chain. We believe that this agreement can be extended to finite temperatures, given the fact, that finite temperatures mostly affect states with energy smaller than $T$. Therefore, as long as $T \ll 1/\tau_0$, these corrections are expected to be universal, as demonstrated in Eq. (23). Even in the long time limit, we require $t \ll L/v$. For larger times, comparable to $L/v$, quantum revival occurs similarly to other cases, which is beyond the scope of the present paper.

V. PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL ENERGY AFTER QUANTUM QUENCH

In this section we analyze the statistics of the total final energy, which, as opposed to work statistics, requires only one energy measurement. Therefore, repeating the procedure of releasing the LL from the trap and measuring its energy many times is expected to lead to the probability distribution function of the total energy.

Since the total energy is preserved after the quench, its distribution does not change while the steady state is reached. Therefore, it is sufficient to determine the PDF right at $t = \tau$. Its generating function is defined as

$$G(\lambda) = \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}(\tau) e^{i\lambda \hat{H}(\tau)} \right].$$

Since the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\tau)$ is a linear combination of $\hat{n}_q$ and $\hat{n}_{-q}$, the generating function can be given by means of $f(\xi_+, \xi_-)$ defined in Eq. (9). From this, we get

$$\ln G(\lambda) = i\lambda E_{\text{ad}} + \sum_{q > 0} \ln f(\lambda \Omega(q), \lambda \Omega(q)) = i\lambda E_{\text{ad}} - \sum_{q > 0} \ln \left[ 1 + n(\tau, q) \left(1 - e^{2i\lambda \Omega(q)}\right) - \frac{\partial n_0(q)}{\partial (\beta \omega_0(q))} \left( e^{i\lambda \Omega(q)} - 1 \right)^2 \right]$$

where $n(\tau, q)$ and $n_0(q)$ are the expectation value of the occupation number after and before the quench, respectively. The summation over $q$ cannot be performed analytically, therefore to make progress, we assume a small $g_2/v$ and consider the generating function within perturbation theory for the case of SQ. We also disregard the
velocity renormalization. The occupation number in the final state is

\[ n(\tau = 0, q) = n_0(q) + \frac{g(q)^2}{4\omega_0(q)^2} \left( n_0(q) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \]  

(26)

\[ \ln G(\lambda) = \frac{i\lambda}{\beta - i\lambda} \ln Z_0(\beta) + i\lambda E_{\text{ad}} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2\tau_0}{\beta - i\lambda} \right)^2 \zeta \left( 2, 1 + \frac{2\tau_0}{\beta - i\lambda} \right) \right] + E_{\text{ad}} \tau_0 \ln \left( \frac{\beta}{\tau_0}, \frac{\lambda}{\tau_0} \right) \]  

(27)

\[ h \left( \frac{\beta}{\tau_0}, \frac{\lambda}{\tau_0} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{z} \left[ (1 - z) \psi \left( \frac{1 - z}{z^*} \right) + (1 + z) \psi \left( \frac{1 + z}{z^*} \right) - 2 \psi \left( \frac{1}{z^*} \right) \right] z = \frac{\beta + i\lambda}{2\tau_0}. \]  

(28)

In Eqs. (27) and (28), \( \zeta(x) \) is the generalized zeta function and \( \psi(x) \) is the digamma function. The partition function of the initial state is \( \ln Z_0(\beta) = L\pi/(6\beta v) \). We note that \( \ln G(\lambda) \) has poles on the lower complex semi-plane only. It follows that the PDF is identically zero for energies lower than the ground state energy \( E_{\text{ad}} \), which meets physical expectations as well. Before presenting results on the PDF of total energy, we investigate two simple cases when the PDF can be calculated analytically and will also play important role later.

At zero temperature, Eq. (27) simplifies to

\[ \ln G(\lambda; \beta \to \infty) = i\lambda E_{\text{ad}} - \frac{\lambda}{i\tau_0 + \lambda} \alpha \]  

(29)

which reproduces the results of Ref. 24, leading to a noncentral chi-squared distribution for the PDF.

With finite initial temperature, the behaviour of the unquenched case \( (g_2 = 0) \) is also interesting. The final state is the same as the initial thermal equilibrium state and the generating function reads as

\[ \ln G(\lambda; g_2 = 0) = -\frac{\lambda}{i\beta + \lambda} \ln Z_0 \]  

(30)

which leads to another noncentral chi-squared distribution with the noncentrality parameter \( 2 \ln Z_0 \). The PDF is

\[ P(E; g_2 = 0) \approx \frac{1}{Z_0} \delta(E) + \frac{\beta \ln Z_0}{Z_0} \exp(-\beta E). \]  

(33)

Now we go on calculating the PDF of total energy within perturbation theory and at finite temperature. At low temperature \( \beta \gg \tau_0 \), we obtain

\[ \ln G(\lambda; \beta \gg \tau_0) = -\frac{\lambda}{i\beta + \lambda} \ln Z_0 + i\lambda E_{\text{ad}} - \frac{\lambda}{i\tau_0 + \lambda} \alpha \]  

(34)

which is the sum of Eqs. (29) and (31). This means that the PDF is the convolution of Eq. (31) and the zero temperature PDF both described by a noncentral chi-squared distribution. These consist of a Dirac delta part and a continuous part and so does their convolution. The weight of the Dirac delta after convolution is \( \exp(-\alpha)/Z_0 \) which is the probability of the vacuum-to-vacuum process.

We have numerically checked that at low temperature the convolution of the two abovementioned PDFs equals the exact PDF calculated by Fourier transforming the generating function Eq. (27). The results of the numerical convolution are plotted in Fig. 3 for different system sizes. For small systems (\( \alpha \) is small, see Fig. 3(a)), most of the spectral weight is carried by the Dirac-delta at zero temperature. With increasing temperature, one part of the Dirac delta stays at \( E_{\text{ad}} \) while another part...
evolves to a finite-width peak with the expectation value of \((\ln Z_0)/\beta\).

In the thermodynamic limit (large system with \(\alpha \gg 1\), see Fig. 3c) the zero temperature PDF consists of a broadened peak at about \(E = 0\) and a Dirac delta at the adiabatic ground state energy difference with a small probability weight. At finite temperature the broadened peak is shifted to \(\langle E \rangle(T) = (\ln Z_0)/\beta\) and its width changes as \(\Delta E(T) = \sqrt{2\alpha/\tau_0^2 + 2(\ln Z_0)/\beta^2}\). At low temperatures

\[
\frac{\Delta E(T) - \Delta E(0)}{\Delta E(0)} \sim T^3. \quad (35)
\]

This broadening is, however, not as spectacular as for small systems because its ratio with the shift of the peak scales as \((\Delta E(T) - \Delta E(0))/\langle E \rangle(T) \approx \sqrt{2\tau_0}/(\sqrt{\alpha} \beta)\), being almost negligible in the thermodynamic limit.

VI. STATISTICS OF WORK

Measuring the work statistics requires in principle two energy measurements, one before and one after the time dependent protocol, though in the zero temperature case, the first one could be omitted. At finite temperature, however, this problem can be circumvented by coupling the system of interest to a qubit, whose interferometry or spectroscopy would yield the desired correlation function. In the case of a LL, a hybrid system containing cold atoms and a flux qubit coupled to a Feshbach resonance was proposed at zero temperature to measure the Loschmidt echo using rf spectroscopy or Ramsey interferometry, and this can readily be extended for finite temperatures as well. This setting can also be useful to measure the statistics of work in other systems.

In this section we investigate the PDF of work \(\tilde{P}(W)\). At zero temperature this distribution coincides with the total energy distribution since the energy of the initial state is well-defined. At finite temperature, however, this is not the case. In the initial thermal equilibrium state the system can have arbitrary positive energy. It follows that the PDF of work differs from the PDF of total energy and has no lower bound.

The generating function of the distribution of work is defined as

\[
\tilde{G}(\lambda) = \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}_0 e^{-i\lambda \hat{H}_0} e^{i\lambda \hat{H}(\tau)} \right], \quad (36)
\]

where \(\hat{H}(\tau)\) is the final Hamiltonian in Heisenberg picture. We note that with finite temperature initial state the work statistics cannot be derived from the time dependent fidelity unlike the zero temperature case where the fidelity as a function of time and the generating function of work are basically the same in the case of a SQ.

The generating function can still be rewritten by means of the generating function of the total energy \(G(\lambda, \beta)\) as

\[
\tilde{G}(\lambda, \beta) = \frac{Z_0(\beta + i\lambda)}{Z_0(\beta)} G(\lambda, \beta + i\lambda). \quad (37)
\]

Using Eq. (27), we obtain

\[
\ln \tilde{G}(\lambda) = i\lambda E_{\text{ad}} \left( 1 + 8 \frac{\tau_0^2}{\beta^2} \right) \left( 2,1 + \frac{2\tau_0}{\beta} \right) + E_{\text{ad}} \tau_0 h \left( \beta + i\lambda, \frac{\lambda}{\tau_0} \right) \quad (38)
\]

up to second order in \(g_2/\nu\) where the function \(h\) was defined in Eq. (28). This result is valid only within perturbation theory and in the SQ limit. We note that \(\ln G(\lambda)\) has poles on both complex semiplanes. This is the mathematical reason for the absence of lower bound in the PDF. It can be proven that Eq. (38) satisfies the Jarzynski equality, i.e. \(\langle W \rangle_{SQ} = 0\) for arbitrary temperature and only the form of the distribution changes as the temperature increases.

In the small system limit, the zero temperature PDF consists of a Dirac delta with large probability weight and an exponentially decaying tail (see Fig. 3a). At finite temperature the Dirac delta disappears and deforms to a broadened, negatively skewed peak. This deformation is a significant modification of the PDF.

In the thermodynamic limit the only change is that the zero temperature peak slightly broadens (see Fig. 4c). The width of the peak varies as

\[
\frac{\Delta W(T) - \Delta W(0)}{\Delta W(0)} = \frac{4\pi^2}{3} (\tau_0 T)^3, \quad (39)
\]

which means that significant broadening could be noticeable at higher temperatures only.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have theoretically studied quantum quenches in the Luttinger model with finite temperature equilibrium initial state. The steady state has been described by the diagonal ensemble, i.e. by determining the diagonal elements of the time evolved density matrix. At finite temperature, the boson numbers in the +q and −q modes can differ from each other and the difference may be larger for higher initial temperature.

The long time limit of the Uhlmann fidelity shows that the time evolved state deviates from the initial state
The orthogonality exponent varies as a) \( \alpha = 0.2 \) small system, b) \( \alpha = 4 \) c) \( \alpha = 20 \) large system. For all system sizes the distribution is shifted in positive direction and broadened as the temperature increases. For all figures \( g_2/v = 0.1 \) was chosen.

Increasing temperature. How this relation depends on the quench duration is an interesting and open question.

Finite temperature effects in the statistics of final total energy and work done on the system during the quench have also been investigated in the SQ limit. It is worth mentioning again that these two distributions differ from each other, unlike in the zero temperature case, because in the finite temperature initial state the energy is not well defined. Within perturbation theory and at low temperature the PDF of total energy is found to be the convolution of the PDF of initial energy and the PDF of zero temperature work statistics. Numerical results show that the distribution is shifted and broadened due to finite temperature for both the thermodynamic and small system limits. In small systems, however, the broadening is more robust.

The finite temperature effects in the statistics of work depend remarkably on the system size. In the small system limit, significant rearrangement may be observed with increasing temperature, while in the thermodynamic limit, the peak of the PDF slightly broadens only. We believe that our results related to the distribution function of total energy and work done can be observed experimentally, using the setups suggested in Refs.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\).
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Appendix A: Derivation of the generating function of occupation number distribution

The generating function of the occupation number probability distribution is defined as

$$f(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \text{Tr} \left( \hat{\rho}(\tau) e^{i(\xi_+ \hat{n}_+ + \xi_- \hat{n}_-)} \right)$$

(A1)

where $\hat{\rho}(\tau)$ is the exact time evolved density operator after the quench, see Eq. (9). In this section we consider only a single $q > 0$ mode. The initial state is

$$\hat{\rho}_0 = \frac{e^{-\beta \omega_0 (\hat{b}^+_0 \hat{b}_0 + \hat{b}^-_0 \hat{b}^-_0)}}{z_0} \quad z_0 = (1 - e^{-\beta \omega_0})^{-2}$$

(A2)

describing a canonical ensemble. We introduce the operators

$$\hat{K}_0 = \frac{d^+_0 d_+ + d_- d^-_0}{2}$$

(A3)

$$\hat{K}_+ = d^+_0 d^+_0 \quad \hat{K}_- = d_+ d_0 = \hat{K}_0^+$$

(A4)

where $d^\pm_0$ is the annihilation operator of quasiparticles diagonalizing the final Hamiltonian. The operator $\hat{K}_0$ does not change the number of bosons while $\hat{K}_+$ ($\hat{K}_-$) creates (annihilates) a pair of $d_+$ and $d_-$ bosons. The operators obey the commutation relations of $su(1,1)$ algebra, $[\hat{K}_0, \hat{K}_\pm] = \pm \hat{K}_\pm$ and $[\hat{K}_+, \hat{K}_-] = 2\hat{K}_0$. The time evolved density operator is expressed as

$$\hat{\rho}(\tau) = \frac{1}{z_0} \exp \left( \beta \omega_0 \left( 1 - a(\tau) 2\hat{K}_0 - c(\tau) \hat{K}_- - c(\tau)^* \hat{K}_+ \right) \right)$$

(A5)
where \( a(\tau) \) is given in Eq. (12) and
\[
ce(\tau) = -\frac{g}{\Omega}(1 + 2|v(\tau)|^2) + 2i\text{Im}(u(\tau)v(\tau)^*) - \frac{2\omega(\tau)}{\Omega}\text{Re}(u(\tau)v(\tau)^*) \tag{A6}
\]
where \( u(\tau) \) and \( v(\tau) \) are the Bogoliubov coefficients defined in Eq. (6). In Eqs. (12) and (A6), \( \omega(\tau) \) is the non-interacting energy with renormalized velocity, \( g \) is the interaction strength and \( \Omega = \sqrt{\omega(\tau)^2 - g^2} \) is the eigenenergy of the final Hamiltonian. For arbitrary quench protocol, \( a(\tau)^2 - |c(\tau)|^2 = 1 \) holds true and, hence, \( a(\tau) \geq 1 \). The other exponential under the trace in Eq. (A1) is rewritten as
\[
e^{i(\xi_+ \hat{n}_+ + \xi_- \hat{n}_-)} = e^{-\xi + i\Delta \hat{n} e^{\xi} 2\hat{K}_0}, \tag{A7}
\]
where we have introduced \( \xi = (\xi_+ + \xi_-)/2 \) and \( \Delta \xi = (\xi_+ - \xi_-)/2 \). The operator \( \Delta \hat{n} = \hat{n}_+ - \hat{n}_- \) commutes with both \( \hat{K}_0 \) and \( \hat{K}_\pm \). Using a faithful representation of \( \text{su}(1,1) \) algebra, we derive a single exponential which equals the product \( \hat{\rho}(\tau)e^{i(\xi_+ \hat{n}_+ + \xi_- \hat{n}_-)} \). The generators of the \( \text{su}(1,1) \) algebra may be faithfully represented by \( 2\hat{K}_0 \) \( \rightarrow \sigma_z \) and \( \hat{K}_\pm \rightarrow (\pm \sigma_x + i\sigma_y)/2 \) where \( \sigma_x, \sigma_y \) and \( \sigma_z \) are the \( 2 \times 2 \) Pauli matrices. The single exponent then can be diagonalized by standard Bogoliubov transformation. After diagonalization,
\[
\hat{\rho}(\tau)e^{i(\xi_+ \hat{n}_+ + \xi_- \hat{n}_-)} = e^{-i\xi + i\beta \omega_0 \tau} \times \exp \left( i\Delta \xi \Delta \hat{n} - \ln(B + \sqrt{B^2 - 1})(1 + \hat{n}_+ + \hat{n}_-) \right), \tag{A8}
\]
where \( B = \cos \xi \cosh(\beta \omega_0) - i\alpha(\tau) \sin \xi \sinh(\beta \omega_0) \), \( \hat{n}_\pm = d_+^\dagger d_\pm \) is the occupation number operator after Bogoliubov transformation and \( \Delta \hat{n} = \hat{n}_+ - \hat{n}_- \). The annihilation operator is expressed with the new annihilation and creation operators as
\[
d_\pm = \frac{d_\pm + \gamma d_\pm^\dagger}{\sqrt{1 - |\gamma|^2}}, \tag{A10}
\]
\[
\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{B^2 - 1} + i \sin \xi \cosh(\beta \omega_0) - a(\tau) \cos \xi \sinh(\beta \omega_0)}{c(\tau) e^{-i\xi} \sinh(\beta \omega_0)}, \tag{A11}
\]
Substituting Eq. (A8) into Eq. (A1), the generating function is obtained as
\[
f(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \left[ 1 + n(\tau) \left( 1 - e^{i(\xi_+ + \xi_-)} \right) + (n_0 + n_0^2) (e^{i\xi_+} - 1) (e^{i\xi_-} - 1) \right]^{-1} \tag{A12}
\]
where \( n_0 = (e^{\beta \omega_0} - 1)^{-1} \) and \( n(\tau) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}(\tau)\hat{n}_\pm] = (a(\tau)(2n_0 + 1) - 1)/2 \) are the expectation value of the occupation number before and after the quench. Note that \( f(\xi_+, \xi_-) \) is a \( 2\pi \)-periodic function of its variables and \( f(\xi_+, \xi_-) = f(-\xi_, \xi_+) \). The latter implies \( \rho(n_+, n_-) = \rho(n_-, n_+) \).

**Appendix B: Fidelity**

The technical difficulty in computing the fidelity is evaluating the trace of some exponentials, the exponents of which are expressed in terms of \( \hat{K}_0, \hat{K}_\pm \) and \( \Delta \hat{n} \), irrespectively of the norm chosen on the set of density operators. Using again the faithful representation of the \( \text{su}(1,1) \) algebra, the product of exponentials can be transformed into a single exponential in the same way as in Appendix A. In order to calculate the square root of an exponential, which we need in the case of the Uhlmann fidelity, we diagonalize the exponent and halve the eigenvalues. The trace of the single exponential is evaluated after diagonalizing the exponent. Using this procedure, we obtain the fidelity using both the Frobenius and the Bures metric.\(^{28\text{\textsuperscript{\dagger}}\text{\textsuperscript{\dagger}}}\)

The Uhlmann fidelity is evaluated as
\[
\ln F_U(t) = \ln \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{\hat{\rho}(t)^{1/2} \hat{\rho}(0)^{1/2}}{\cosh(\beta \omega_0(q)) - 1} \right] = \sum_{q > 0} \frac{\ln \left( \cosh(\beta \omega_0(q)) - 1 \right)}{\sqrt{1 + |u_q(t)|^2 \sinh^2(\beta \omega_0(q)) - 1}}. \tag{B1}
\]
In Eq. (B1), \( u_q(t) \) is the Bogoliubov coefficient defined in Eq. (6). Up to second order in \( g_2/v \) and for a SQ,
\[
|u_q(t)| \approx 1 + \frac{g(q)^2}{2\omega_0(q)^2} \sin^2(\omega_0(q)t), \tag{B2}
\]
and
\[
\ln F_U(t) = -\sum_{q>0} \frac{\varphi_0^2 \sin^2(\omega_0 t)}{1 + \tanh^2 \left( \frac{\varphi_0}{2} \right)} = -\alpha \left[ 1 + \frac{\tau_0}{\beta} \left( \psi \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\tau_0}{2\beta} \right) - \psi \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\tau_0}{2\beta} \right) \right) \right] + \text{Re} \left( \frac{it\tau_0 - \tau_0}{t - i\tau_0} \left( \psi \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\tau_0}{2\beta} \right) - \psi \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\tau_0}{2\beta} - \frac{it}{2\beta} \right) \right) - \psi \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\tau_0}{2\beta} - \frac{it}{2\beta} \right) \right),
\]

(B3)

where \( \psi(x) \) is the digamma function. In the long time limit the last term in Eq. (B3) converges to zero. At low temperatures,

\[
\ln F_U(t \gg \beta \gg \tau_0) = -\alpha \left( 1 + \pi \tau_0 T \right)
\]

(B4)

where we used \( \psi(3/4) - \psi(1/4) = \pi \).

Using the Frobenius norm, the overlap of the time-evolved and initial states is derived as

\[
\ln F_F(t) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{\rho}_0 \right] = \sum_{q>0} \ln \left| \frac{1}{u_q(t)} \sinh(\beta \omega_0(q)) \right|.
\]

(B5)

The effective dimension,

\[
\ln d_{\text{eff}} = -\ln \text{Tr} \left[ \rho_0^2 \right] = 2 \ln Z_0(\beta) - \ln Z_0(2\beta) = 2 \sum_{q>0} \ln \frac{1 - e^{-2\beta \omega_0(q)}}{(1 - e^{-\beta \omega_0(q)})^2}
\]

(B6)

leads to the temperature independent normalized fidelity

\[
\ln \left( \sqrt{d_{\text{eff}}} F_F(t) \right) = -\sum_{q>0} \ln |u_q(t)|
\]

(B7)

for arbitrary quench protocol. Within perturbation theory and for a SQ, we obtain

\[
\ln \left( \sqrt{d_{\text{eff}}} F_F(t) \right) = -\sum_{q>0} \frac{g(q)^2}{2\omega_0(q)^2} \sin^2(\omega_0(q) t) = -\alpha \sum_{q>0} \frac{t^2}{\tau_0^2 + t^2}.
\]

(B8)

For large times \( t \gg \tau_0 \) the normalized fidelity saturates at \( e^{-\alpha} \).