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Abstract

Background: We describe post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in parents of long-term childhood cancer survivors (CCS-parents) and compare them to parents of similar-aged children (comparison-parents) of the Swiss general population (SGP). We compare type of reported stressful event, prevalence of PTSS and PTSD, and psychosocial and cancer-related characteristics associated with PTSS. We further describe the respective normative data for the SGP. Methods: We conducted a nationwide cross-sectional questionnaire survey in a population-based sample of long-term CCS-parents (survivors aged ≤16 years at diagnosis, ≥20 years at study, >5 years post-diagnosis) and in the SGP. Using the Impact of Event Scale-Revised, we measured PTSS regarding the most stressful event experienced, and computed probable cases of PTSD. Results: Participants included 663 CCS-parents (39.4% fathers) and 1035 individuals of the SGP (40.0% male), of which we identified 391 comparison-parents (41.2% fathers). Illness was most often indicated as stressful event (CCS-parents: 49.5%, comparison-parents: 27.6%, SGP: 25.3%). Prevalence of PTSS and PTSD (CCS-parents: 4.8%, comparison-parents: 6.7%, SGP: 5.6%) did not significantly differ. Lower education was associated with higher intrusion, avoidance, and hyperarousal in all samples (all P < .003). Parents of children with a chronic illness reported higher intrusion (all P < .004). We found no associations with cancer-related characteristics. Conclusions: No increased risk for PTSS or PTSD was found among CCS-parents. Individuals with lower education and those with a chronically ill child might benefit from additional support to help manage and resolve the stress symptoms in the long term.
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Introduction

In high-income countries, most children diagnosed with cancer become nowadays long-term survivors.1 Despite this, parents are confronted with the life-threatening nature of the disease, which may involve life-long consequences for themselves and their child.1,2 A substantial proportion of parents reports clinically relevant levels of post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) up to 5 years after treatment.2–4

In the DSM-IV, the psychological reaction of individuals exposed to a potentially traumatic event, that is, an event that threatens the physical integrity of oneself or others (criteria A) is described in 3 symptom-groups: intrusion (criteria B), avoidance (criteria C), and hyperarousal (criteria D).6 Individuals experiencing intrusive thoughts have recurrent uncontrollable thoughts, flashbacks, or dreams of the event. Individuals with symptoms of avoidance try to avoid places or objects that remind them of the event. Hyperarousal is characterized by feelings of tension, sleeping difficulties, or startle reactions. An individual can be classified as experiencing post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) if stress symptoms persist for >1 month and cause significant distress or impaired functioning.6,7

For parents of childhood cancer survivors (CCSs), PTSD’s lifetime prevalence ranges from 27% to 54%,4 which is much higher than the 1% to 7% reported in adult general populations.7 Research has shown that those with a migration background, those unemployed,9 with lower education,11 or lower socioeconomic status11 are at greater risk for increased post-traumatic stress. Mothers have reported higher levels of PTSS than fathers.4 Regarding cancer-related characteristics, some studies showed no associations between PTSS and type of diagnosis, treatment intensity, relapse, and satisfaction with care,10 whereas others demonstrated associations with relapse history11 and longer duration of hospitalization.12 Levels of PTSS in parents of childhood cancer patients appeared to decrease from diagnosis to shortly after the end of treatment.10,12
PTSS and prevalence of PTSD have not yet been studied in parents of very long-term CCSs (aged ≥20 years, abbreviated as CCS-parents), nor the Swiss general population (SGP). Most studies among parents of survivors have been conducted less than six years after diagnosis and using small samples.3,13 Even many years after diagnosis, survivors remain at high risk for relapse and second malignancies3 as well as treatment-related late effects, including psychosocial difficulties.2,14,15 Those complications and uncertainties may continue to burden parents socially, financially, and mentally.16,17 Little is known on parents’ stress symptoms many years after their child’s diagnosis and treatment when survivors are grown up.

We describe PTSS and PTSD in a population-based sample of CCS-parents and compare them to parents of similar-aged children in the SGP (comparison-parents). We compare the type of reported stressful event, prevalence of PTSS (intrusion, avoidance, hyperarousal) and PTSD, and psychosocial and cancer-related characteristics associated with PTSS. We further describe the respective normative data for the SGP.

**Materials and methods**

**Population and procedure**

**Parents of CCSs.** This study is part of a larger study investigating psychosocial late outcomes in parents of long-term CCSs (SCCSS-Parents) and part of the nationwide Swiss Childhood Cancer Survivor Study.18 The Swiss Childhood Cancer Registry (SCCR) centrally registers all cancer patients aged <21 years at diagnosis.19 Parents were eligible for the study if their child was registered, diagnosed with cancer at age ≤16 years (1976–2009) according to the International Classification of Childhood Cancer—Third Edition (ICCC-3),20 Swiss resident at diagnosis, ≥5 years post-diagnosis, aged ≥20 years in 2016, and alive. Parents’ addresses were extracted from the SCCR and verified with the online telephone directory. We sent a study invitation including study information 2 weeks before mailing 2 copies of the questionnaire—one for each parent to complete individually. A reminder was sent to nonrespondents after 4 weeks, a second reminder after another 2 months (contact period: 01/2017–02/2018). All study material was available in German, French, and Italian, to cover the 3 main language regions in Switzerland.

**Comparison-Parents and the SGP.** We obtained a representative sample (according to age, sex, and language region [German/French/Italian]) of the SGP from the Swiss Federal Statistical Office (SFSO). Household members were eligible if they were aged 18 to 75 years in 2015. They were contacted individually – financially, and mentally.16,17 Little is known on parents’ stress symptoms many years after their child’s diagnosis and treatment when survivors are grown up.

We describe PTSS and PTSD in a population-based sample of CCS-parents and compare them to parents of similar-aged children in the SGP (comparison-parents). We compare the type of reported stressful event, prevalence of PTSS (intrusion, avoidance, hyperarousal) and PTSD, and psychosocial and cancer-related characteristics associated with PTSS. We further describe the respective normative data for the SGP.

**Statistical analysis**

**Type of reported stressful events.** We first applied an open coding approach to categorize similar reported events.27 Categories were added as needed by the first author (JB) and regrouped into overarching themes. A second author (KR) independently coded 20% of events using the same approach. Authors were blinded to the source population. Interrater agreement was kappa = .72 (category-level). We resolved discrepancies with the aid of the Life Events Checklist for DSM-V28 and discussions with the larger study team. The final coding structure was established and applied to all events. We used χ² statistics to compare the type of reported events between the CCS-parents and comparison-parents.

**PTSS and PTSD.** We examined construct validity and internal consistency of items in the subscales using principal-component factor analysis (SDC Table 1, http://links.lww.com/OR9/A9). If ≥25% of items were missing on any of the subscales, participants were excluded.29 If fewer items were missing, items were imputed with the individual mean score of the corresponding subscale before computing each sum score. We used t tests to compare CCS-parents with comparison-parents.

**Characteristics associated with PTSS.** We carried out a multivariable linear regression model using a multilevel approach
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with random intercepts, constant slopes, and survivor (CCS-parents) or household (comparison-parents) as the group variable to account for family/household clustering. Psychosocial characteristics and interaction terms with sex and parent-type (CCS-parents/comparison-parents) were included as explanatory variables if they were associated with the respective PTSS-subscale in univariable regression (threshold $P < .05$), and after applying the Bonferroni-Holm adjustment to account for multiple testing. This included for intrusion: time since event, event-type, sex, language, education, child with a chronic illness, partner; for avoidance: time since event, event-type, parent-type, language, education, employment, interaction partner$^*$parent-type; for hyperarousal: time since event, event-type, parent-type, gender, education, employment, interaction event-type$^*$parent-type. For CCS-parents, we separately investigated cancer-related characteristics associated with PTSS (univariable; no multivariable model was run because no association reached $P < .05$).

**Normative data for the SGP.** For the normative data of the SGP, the analyses were performed in the same way as described above for CCS-parents and comparison-parents (aim i–iii). Additionally, we weighted the proportions of event-types, PTSS, and PTSD according to the representative distribution of sex, age, and language region among all eligible persons of the sample provided by the SFSO to obtain the respective normative data. We investigated psychosocial characteristics associated with PTSS and interactions with sex. The final multivariable multilevel model (group variable: household) included the following psychosocial characteristics based on their significant association in the univariable model and Bonferroni adjustment: for intrusion: event-type, sex, education, child with chronic illness, number of children, interaction number of children$^*$sex; for avoidance: event-type, education; for hyperarousal: time since event, sex, education, number of children, interaction number of children$^*$sex.

All statistical analyses were carried out using Stata 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

**Results**

**Study populations**

In total, 787 CCS-parents participated in the questionnaire survey (44.0% response rate, SDC Figure 1, http://links.lww.com/OR9/A8). Of those, 663 CCS-parents (39.4% fathers) of 461 survivors completed the IES-R scale. Parents of male survivors were more likely to participate ($P < .001$, 55.4% vs 43.6%). Cancer-related characteristics did not differ among survivors of participating and nonparticipating CCS-parents (Table 1). Comparison-parents consisted of 391 parents (41.2% fathers; 306 households). CCS-parents were more likely to be employed ($P = .005$, 57.9% vs 49.0%), in a partnership ($P = .004$, 90.0% vs 83.9%), have $\geq 2$ children ($P < .001$, 96.1% vs 84.1%),

| Table 1 |
| Characteristics of childhood cancer survivors of participating and nonparticipating parents. |
| Participating parents of 461 survivors | Nonparticipating parents of 766 survivors | $P$ value |
| Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Age at diagnosis, y | 6.8 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 6.7 | .230 |
| Time since diagnosis, y | 23.9 | 6.8 | 24.2 | 7.0 | .231 |
| Sex | | | | | <.001 |
| Female | 206 | 44.7 | 432 | 56.4 | |
| Male | 255 | 55.4 | 334 | 43.6 | |
| Diagnosis (ICCC-3) | | | | | .231 |
| Leukemia | 159 | 34.5 | 256 | 33.4 | |
| Lymphoma | 76 | 16.5 | 141 | 18.4 | |
| CNS tumor | 63 | 13.7 | 114 | 14.9 | |
| Neuroblastoma | 15 | 3.3 | 40 | 5.2 | |
| Retinoblastoma | 13 | 2.8 | 14 | 1.8 | |
| Renal tumor | 33 | 7.2 | 50 | 6.5 | |
| Hepatic tumor | 6 | 1.3 | 4 | .5 | |
| Bone tumor | 31 | 6.7 | 31 | 4.1 | |
| Soft tissue sarcoma | 26 | 5.6 | 53 | 6.9 | |
| Germ cell tumor | 16 | 3.5 | 28 | 3.7 | |
| LCH | 23 | 5.0 | 35 | 4.6 | |
| Treatment* | | | | | .132 |
| Surgery only | 55 | 12.0 | 99 | 13.0 | |
| Chemotherapy | 250 | 54.4 | 371 | 48.8 | |
| Radiotherapy | 130 | 28.3 | 258 | 33.9 | |
| Stem cell transplantation | 25 | 5.4 | 33 | 4.3 | |
| Relapse* | | | | | .573 |
| No | 575 | 86.7 | 661 | 86.3 | |
| Yes | 88 | 13.3 | 105 | 13.7 | |

ICCC-3 = International Classification of Childhood Cancer - Third Edition, CNS = central nervous system, LCH = langerhans cell histiocytosis, $n =$ number, SD = standard deviation. Percentages are based on rounded values and may not add up to 100%.

$P$ values $< .05$ are indicated in bold.

*Missing values; percentages are based on the total number of (non-) participants.
and have a child with chronic illness ($P < .001$; $n = 133, 50.2 \%$ vs $n = 97, 25.0 \%$) than comparison-parents (Table 2). They were less likely to report a chronic health condition ($P = .041, 45.6 \%$ vs $52.2 \%$) than comparison-parents. Of the SGP (comprising 2971 households with 5644 eligible individuals), 1255 (23.6\%) individuals participated (SDC Figure 1, http://links.lww.com/OR9/A9), and 1035 individuals (40.0\% males) of 770 different households completed the IES-R scale. Psychosocial characteristics are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2**

| Characteristics          | Comparison-parents          | CCS-parents          | SGP          | Eligible sample          |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
|                          | n = 391                     | n = 563              | n = 1035     | n = 5644                 |
| **Mean (range) SD**      | **Mean (range) SD**         | **Mean (range) SD**  | **Mean (range) SD** |
| **P value**              | **P value**                 | **P value**          | **P value**  |
| Age at study*            | 61.8 (44–86) 8.0            | 62.1 (47–76) 6.8     | 48.6 (18–76) 15.2 | 46.2 (18–76) 15.5 |
| Sex                      | Female 230 58.8             | Male 161 41.2        | 621 60.0     | 2822 50.0                |
|                         |                             |                      | 414 40.0     | 2822 50.0                |
| Language                 | German 278 71.1             | French or Italian 113 | 489 73.8     | 738 71.3                 |
|                         |                             |                      | 174 26.2     | 4075 72.2                |
|                         | Migration background*       |                      | 729 28.7     | 1569 27.8                |
|                         | Yes 66 16.9                 | No 325 83.1          | 229 22.1     | 806 77.9                 |
|                         |                             |                      | 806 77.9     | 2822 50.0                |
| Education*               | Compulsory schooling 33 9.0 | Vocational training 200 | 77 12.4     | 207 7.9                  |
|                         | Upper/uni 134 36.5          |                      | 218 35.1     | 445 43.0                 |
|                         |                             |                      | 445 43.0     | 2822 50.0                |
| Employment status*       | Yes 189 49.0                | No 197 51.0          | 710 68.6     | 316 30.5                 |
|                         |                             |                      | 710 68.6     | 2822 50.0                |
| Partnership*             | Yes 324 83.9                | No 62 16.1           | 790 76.3     | 231 22.3                 |
|                         |                             |                      | 790 76.3     | 2822 50.0                |
| Chronic condition*       | Yes 202 52.2                | No 185 47.8          | 432 41.7     | 597 57.7                 |
|                         |                             |                      | 597 57.7     | 2822 50.0                |
| Number of children*      | No children n.a.            | 1 Children 62 15.9   | 387 37.7     | 122 11.9                 |
|                         |                             | 2 Children 329 84.1  | 24 3.9       | 517 50.4                 |
| Chronic illness child*   | Yes 97 25.0                 | No 291 75.0          | 148 14.3     | 490 47.3                 |
|                         |                             | Information not available n.a. | 133 50.2 | 387 37.4                 |

**PTSS and PTSD.** Factor analysis showed that items loaded on intrusion between .63 and .83, on avoidance between .42 and .79, and on hyperarousal .59 and .81 (SDC Table 1, http://links.lww.com/OR9/A9). Internal consistency was high (Cronbach alpha intrusion = .89, avoidance = .82, hyperarousal = .87). PTSS and PTSD did not significantly differ between CCS-parents and comparison-parents: intrusion 10.51 versus 10.77, $P=.332$; avoidance 8.60 versus 9.39, $P=.078$; hyperarousal 6.53 versus 7.07, $P=.139$, and PTSD cases prevalence was 4.8\% ($n = 32$) versus 6.7\% ($n = 26$), $P=.210$ (Table 3). Prevalence of PTSS and PTSD under the strict application of *criteria A* can be viewed in SDC Table 3, http://links.lww.com/OR9/A11.

**Characteristics associated with PTSS.** CCS-parents and comparison-parents (Table 4) with lower education reported...
more intrusion, avoidance, and hyperarousal (b = .24–4.30, all \( P \leq .002 \)). Mothers reported more intrusion (b = 1.47, \( P = .009 \)) and more hyperarousal (b = 1.40, \( P = .004 \)) than fathers. Parents with a chronically ill child reported more intrusion (b = 2.58, \( P = .001 \)) than those without a chronically ill child. CCS-parents reported more avoidance than comparison-parents (b = .57, \( P = .003 \)). Being in a partnership was associated with more avoidance (b = .31, \( P = .033 \)); however, for CCS-parents, those in a partnership reported less avoidance (b = -.65, \( P = .001 \)). Late effects was the only cancer-related characteristic associated with PTSS in univariable regression (intrusion: b = 2.80, \( P = .003 \), SDC Table 4, http://links.lww.com/OR9/A12). After adjusting for psychosocial characteristics, the association diminished (b = 1.74, \( P = .097 \)).

**Normative data for the SGP.** In the SGP, 25.3% of events were related to illness (Fig. 1). Weighted sum scores were: intrusion = 10.22, avoidance = 9.32, hyperarousal = 6.71, and PTSD-prevalence = 5.6% (Table 3). Individuals with compulsory schooling or vocational training reported higher levels of PTSS than those with upper secondary and university degree: they reported more intrusion, avoidance, and hyperarousal (b = 1.99–5.42, all subscales \( P \leq .003 \), SDC Table 5, http://links.lww.com/OR9/A13). Sex was not associated
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**Table 3**

| Characteristics | Comparison-parents | CCS-parents | \( P \) value* |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Time since event |                     |             |                |
| Years           | Mean (95% CI)      | Mean (95% CI) |                |
| Intrusion       | 10.77 (9.87–11.68) | 10.51 (9.85–11.18) | .322           |
| Avoidance       | 9.39 (8.50–10.27)  | 8.60 (7.95–9.25)  | .078           |
| Hyperarousal    | 7.07 (6.00–8.27)   | 6.53 (5.96–7.11)  | .139           |
| PTSD cases      | Proportion | N | Proportion | N |                |
| Yes             | 6.7%     | 26 | 4.6%     | 32 | .210           |
| No              | 93.3%    | 365 | 95.2%    | 631 |                |

CCS = childhood cancer survivor, SGP = Swiss general population, PTSS = post-traumatic stress symptoms, PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder, \( n \) = number, CI = confidence interval.

*\( P \) value for comparison between comparison-parents and CCS-parents from \( t \) test (time since event, PTSS) and \( \chi^2 \) test (PTSD cases).

† Weighted according to the representative distribution of gender, age, and language region in the Swiss general population.

\( P \) values <.05 are indicated in bold.
Baenziger et al. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology Research and Practice (2020) 2:3 www.ipos-journal.org

Table 4
Multivariable multilevel regression models for PTSS (intrusion, avoidance, hyperarousal) in CCS-parents (n=663) and comparison-parents (n=391).

| Event-type | Intrusion | P value | Avoidance | P value | Hyperarousal | P value |
|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|
|            | b         | 95% CI  |           | b       | 95% CI       |         |
| Illness/accident (R) | 1.99 | (.51 to 3.47) | .008 | .000 | .301 | .2.15 (4.11 to .11) | .002 |
| Bereavement | 2.81 | (1.03 to 4.59) | .002 | .89 | (.68 to 1.11) | .000 | 1.34 (3.94 to 3.62) | .250 |
| Relationship | 3.03 | (1.19 to 9.16) | .001 | .66 | (.44 to 1.23) | .000 | .84 (1.54 to 3.23) | .486 |
| Work/education | 2.44 | (.10 to 4.78) | .041 | .32 | (.10 to 6.0) | .027 | -.16 (2.84 to 2.51) | .905 |
| Other/unknown | -.09 | (.51 to 3.47) | .008 | -.01 | (.02 to .00) | .003 | -.10 (1.14 to .05) | <.001 |
| Time since event, y) | .00 | | | | | | |
| Parent-type | Comparison-parent (R) | 1.50 | (.37 to 2.57) | .009 | 1.40 | (.44 to 2.35) | .004 |
| Sex | Male (R) | 2.55 | (1.17 to 3.93) | <.001 | .06 | (.10 to 23) | .474 |
| Female | 1.47 | (.37 to 2.57) | .009 | 1.40 | (.44 to 2.35) | .004 |
| Language | French/Italian | 3.33 | (1.28 to 5.36) | .001 | .46 | (.22 to .71) | <.001 | 4.30 (2.56 to 6.03) | <.001 |
| German (R) | 1.94 | (1.19 to 3.17) | .002 | .24 | (.09 to .38) | .001 | 1.78 (.74 to 2.62) | .001 |
| Education | Upper/uni (R) | 2.58 | (1.12 to 4.04) | .001 | 1.69 | (.33 to 3.06) | .015 |
| Compulsory schooling | 3.33 | (1.28 to 5.36) | .001 | .46 | (.22 to .71) | <.001 | 4.30 (2.56 to 6.03) | <.001 |
| Vocational training | 1.94 | (1.19 to 3.17) | .002 | .24 | (.09 to .38) | .001 | 1.78 (.74 to 2.62) | .001 |
| Employment | No (R) | -.11 | (.25 to .03) | .117 | -.67 | (.62 to .38) | .173 |
| Yes | | | | | | | |
| Chronic illness child | No (R) | 2.58 | (1.12 to 4.04) | .001 | 1.69 | (.33 to 3.06) | .015 |
| Yes | | | | | | | |
| Information not available | Partner*Parent-type | 1.35 | (-3.05 to .36) | .122 | .31 | (.02 to .60) | .033 |
| CCS-parents and partner yes | | | | | | | |
| No (R) | 2.58 | (1.12 to 4.04) | .001 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| Yes | | | | | | | |
| Partner*Parent-type | Comparison-parent (R) | -.65 | (-1.05 to -.25) | .001 | 1.50 | (-1.56 to 5.56) | .337 |
| CCS-parents and partner yes | | | | | | | |
| Event-type*parent-type | Illness/accident*comparison (R) | .44 | (-2.19 to 3.07) | .740 | 1.50 | (-1.56 to 4.56) | .337 |
| Bereavement*CCS-parents | | | | | | | |
| Relationship*CCS-parents | | | | | | | |
| Education/work*CCS-parents | | | | | | | |
| Unknown/other*CCS-parents | 1.34 | (.14 to 6.91) | .186 | 2.50 | (.72 to 2.80) | .173 |
| | | | | | | | |

with PTSS (P ≥ .449 for all subscales). Individuals with a chronically ill child (B=2.37, P=.004) reported more intrusion than those without a chronically ill child; and individuals with ≥2 children less intrusion than those without children (b=-1.75, P=.049). Investigating interaction effects, we found that females with ≥2 children reported more intrusion (b=2.90, P=.009) and hyperarousal (b=2.30, P=.023) compared to males.

Discussion

Although many CCS-parents indicated a childhood cancer-related event when asked to self-identify any stressful event decades later, mean levels of PTSS and prevalence of PTSD were similar to parents of similar-aged children in the general population. Although previous research showed CCS-parents to be at increased risk for PTSD after the diagnosis of cancer in their child, PTSS and PTSD seem to resolve over time: CCS-parents showed similar or lower levels of PTSS after treatment end when compared to comparison-parents4,11,31 and in a longitudinal study showed decreasing levels of PTSS with increasing time post-treatment.3 Our results, on average 24 years after diagnosis, support and complement those findings.

Lower education was the only characteristic consistently associated with higher levels of PTSS. Lower education has previously been identified as risk factor for increased post-traumatic stress in adult populations.7,32 Individuals with higher education may be better equipped to deal with difficult situations, for example, problem-solving strategies, and might have more resources to assist support-seeking behavior, for example, getting professional help in form of cognitive-behavioral therapy, psychotherapy, or medical treatment to help reduce PTSS.5,33 We found that single CCS-parents reported more avoidance. They may have fewer resources at hand, including emotional and social support, and face additional stressors, which have been shown to impact PTSS and overall mental health.33,36 In contrast to previous findings in adult populations,7 we did not find females to report higher PTSS in the overall SGP.
However, looking only at parents, mothers reported higher intrusion and hyperarousal when compared to fathers. In Switzerland, mothers are often the primary caregiver\textsuperscript{7} and are potentially more involved in their children’s everyday challenges, resulting in more symptoms.

Having a chronically ill child was associated with higher intrusion. This is in line with a systematic review showing parents of chronically ill children to be at a 4-times higher risk for PTSD compared to parents of healthy children.\textsuperscript{8} Similarly, CCS-parents’ PTSS has previously been associated with survivors’ physical late effects.\textsuperscript{4} In our study, PTSS was not associated with cancer-related characteristics, but we found a tendency for higher intrusion in parents of CCSs who experience late effects. However, the average time since diagnosis being almost 25 years, the use of self-report to assess late effects in survivors might signify an underestimation. Parents might not be aware of their children’s health status, and furthermore, knowledge surrounding the adverse sequelae of treatment has only been developed in more recent years.

CCS-parents reported lower avoidance if they were in a partnership. CCS-parents have previously reported facing the common challenge together, that is, to manage their child’s illness as a team.\textsuperscript{34,39} The necessary coordination to address changing demands may force parents to discuss rather than avoid stressful situations and may have altered CCS-parents’ strategies to deal with stressful events.

**Clinical implications**

Parents of survivors show similar levels of PTSS and risk for PTSD to parents in the general population. We found parents of chronically ill (grown-up) children to report higher PTSS. Two-thirds of survivors suffer from a chronic health condition by the age of 19 years\textsuperscript{1} and parents remain involved in their long-term follow-up care.\textsuperscript{40} Offering psychological support even long after their child’s treatment end might benefit parents.\textsuperscript{41} Furthermore, evidence suggests that unresolved previous trauma increases the risk for developing PTSD; therefore, early support for parents with lower education, a chronically ill child, and single CCS-parents might help prevent difficulties in the long term. Providing strategies to confront and deal with stressful situations might help minimize symptoms when exposed to future stressful events.

**Study limitations**

A diagnosis for PTSD usually requires a qualifying traumatic, stressful event, that is, to be confronted with an event that threatens the physical integrity of oneself or others.\textsuperscript{32} We asked parents to self-identify any highly stressful event, and often the exact nature of the event remained unclear, and consequently also whether the event would qualify for criteria A according to the DSM-IV. Previous studies\textsuperscript{7} have shown that PTSS are associated with event types, for instance lower PTSS for bereavement and for learning about events. Therefore, we adjusted for type of event in our analysis. A Dutch study demonstrated that other life events, such as divorce or unemployment, may generate similar PTSS as traumatic events.\textsuperscript{33} Another study showed that events which did not meet the full, strict criteria for a diagnosis of PTSD may be equally impairing for functioning and may require the same level of care as those meeting the full criteria.\textsuperscript{44} A further limitation is using a self-reported measure to identify probable cases of PTSD rather than a clinical diagnostic interview. With a relatively low response rate, we may have a potential self-selection bias. Nonparticipants might differ on important characteristics to participants such as the number of children or educational attainment, which were associated with PTSS. Individuals who experience higher levels of PTSS, notably those with higher avoidance, may also have chosen not to participate in the study, which could signify we underestimate the prevalence of PTSS and PTSD. Even though we have identified the most salient characteristics associated with higher PTSS, the clinical implications might be limited for some of the characteristics.

**Conclusion**

Although a majority of CCS-parents still identify their child’s cancer as their most stressful event, CCS-parents report comparable levels of PTSS and prevalence of PTSD to parents in the general population. Although none of the cancer-related characteristics was associated with PTSS, having a chronically ill child was associated with increased intrusion. CCSs are at increased risk to suffer from chronic health conditions as a result of their cancer and its treatment. Although there was no increased risk for CCS-parents, parents with a chronically ill child and those with lower education might benefit from additional support to help manage and resolve the stress symptoms in the long term, irrespective of a diagnosis of childhood cancer in their offspring.
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