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Abstract

The observation of the standard model (SM) Higgs boson decay to a pair of bottom quarks is presented. The main contribution to this result is from processes in which Higgs bosons are produced in association with a W or Z boson (VH), and are searched for in final states including 0, 1, or 2 charged leptons and two identified bottom quark jets. The results from the measurement of these processes in a data sample recorded by the CMS experiment in 2017, comprising 41.3 fb$^{-1}$ of proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, are described. When combined with previous VH measurements using data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$, 8, and 13 TeV, an excess of events is observed at $m_H = 125.09$ GeV with a significance of 4.8 standard deviations, where the expectation for the SM Higgs boson is 4.9. The corresponding measured signal strength is $1.01 \pm 0.22$. The combination of this result with searches by the CMS experiment for $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ in other production processes yields an observed (expected) significance of 5.6 (5.5) standard deviations and a signal strength of $1.04 \pm 0.20$.
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Since the discovery of a new boson with a mass near 125 GeV by the ATLAS \[1] and CMS \[2,3\] Collaborations, rapid progress in the understanding of its properties and couplings has revealed that the new particle is compatible with the standard model (SM) Higgs boson $H$ \[4–9\]. Observation of Higgs boson decays in the $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ, WW, and $\tau\tau$ modes have been reported \[10–20\], and all measured properties \[21–29\] support this hypothesis. Recently, the CMS and ATLAS Collaborations reported observations of the Higgs boson produced in association with a top quark pair that are compatible with the SM prediction, representing the first direct measurements of the Higgs boson coupling to quarks \[30,31\].

The decay $H \to b\bar{b}$, with a predicted branching fraction of about 58% \[32\] for a Higgs boson mass of $m_H = 125$ GeV, is the most prevalent decay mode but has not yet been established unequivocally. A precise measurement of the rate for this process directly probes the Yukawa coupling of the Higgs boson to a down-type quark, and provides a necessary test of the hypothesis that the Higgs boson is the source of mass generation in the fermion sector of the SM \[33,34\]. Evidence for the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay in the associated production process with a vector boson (VH) was recently reported by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations using data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV \[35,36\], following previous searches during Run 1 of the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV \[25,37,38\], and by the CDF and D0 Collaborations at the Tevatron \[39,40\]. Searches for the $H \to b\bar{b}$ decay in other production processes, with less sensitivity than VH, have also been reported at the LHC \[41–47\].

In this Letter we present the observation of the Higgs boson decay to bottom quarks. The measurement described here examines the VH production process, where the Higgs boson is produced in association with a W or Z boson and decays into $b\bar{b}$. The data comprise proton-proton (pp) collisions recorded at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by the CMS detector at the LHC in 2017, corresponding to a total integrated luminosity of $41.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ \[48\]. Five distinct final states are considered: $Z(\nu\nu)H$, $W(\mu\nu)H$, $W(e\nu)H$, $Z(\mu\mu)H$, and $Z(\tau\tau)H$, corresponding to three channels with 0, 1, or 2 charged leptons from the vector boson decay. In addition, two identified jets due to hadronization of bottom quarks ($b$ jets) from the Higgs boson decay are required. Important background processes include the production of W and Z bosons in association with jets (V+jets), $t\bar{t}$ and single $t$, diboson (WW, WZ, ZZ), and multijet (QCD) events.

The analysis presented here closely follows the methods previously used to search for the VH, $H \to b\bar{b}$ process \[36\] and incorporates several improvements, including more efficient identification of $b$ jets, better dijet mass resolution, and the use of different multivariate discriminant techniques that better separate signal from background. For each channel, a signal region enriched in VH events is selected together with several control regions, each enriched in events from individual background processes. A simultaneous binned-likelihood fit to the shape and yield (normalization) of specific distributions for the signal and control regions for all channels combined is used to extract a possible Higgs boson signal. To validate the procedure, the same methodology is used to extract a signal for the associated production process VZ, with $Z \to b\bar{b}$, which has an identical final state to VH with $H \to b\bar{b}$, but with a production cross section 5 to 15 times larger, depending on the kinematic regime considered. The result from this measurement is combined with those of similar measurements performed by the CMS Collaboration using 2016 data and Run 1 data, in the VH and other production processes.

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. A silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections, reside within the solenoid. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in
gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in [49].

Signal and background processes are simulated with several Monte Carlo (MC) event generators, while the CMS detector response is modeled with GEANT4 [50]. The quark-induced ZH and WH signal processes are generated at next-to-leading order (NLO) QCD accuracy using the POWHEG v2 [51–53] event generator extended with the MiNLO procedure [54, 55], while the gluon-induced ZH process is generated at leading order (LO) accuracy with POWHEG v2. The Higgs boson mass is set to 125 GeV for all signal samples. Diboson background events are generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO v2.4.2 [56] at NLO with the FxFx merging scheme [57] and up to two additional partons. The same generator is used at LO accuracy with the MLM matching scheme [58] to generate V+jets events in inclusive and b-quark enriched configurations with up to four additional partons, and to generate a sample of QCD events. The tt̄ [59] and single t production processes in the tW [60] and t [61] channels are generated to NLO accuracy with POWHEG v2, while the s channel [62] single t process is generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO v2.4.2. The parton distribution functions used to produce all samples are the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) NNPDF3.1 set [63]. For parton showering and hadronization, the matrix element generators are interfaced with PYTHIA v8.230 [64]. For all samples, simulated additional pp interactions (pileup) are added to the hard-scattering process with the multiplicity distribution matched to the 2017 data.

The production cross sections for the signal samples are rescaled as a function of the vector boson transverse momentum, p_T(V), to NNLO QCD + NLO electroweak accuracy combining the VHNLO [65–68], WH@NNLO [69, 70], and HAWK v2.0 [71] generators as described in [32]. The production cross sections for the tt̄ samples are rescaled to the NNLO prediction with the next-to-next-to-leading-log result obtained from TOP++ v2.0 [72], while the V+jets samples are rescaled to the NNLO cross sections using FEWZ 3.1 [73]. In the V+jets samples used in this analysis, the p_T(V) spectrum in data is observed to be softer than in simulated samples, as expected from higher-order electroweak corrections to the production processes [74]. Events in each channel are reweighted to account for electroweak corrections to p_T(V), which reach up to 10% for p_T(V) near 400 GeV. In addition, a differential LO-to-NLO correction is applied as a function of the separation in η between the two jets from the Higgs boson decay [36]. The tt̄ samples are reweighted as a function of top quark p_T to account for the known difference between data and simulation [75].

The CMS particle-flow (PF) event algorithm [76] is employed online and offline to reconstruct and identify individual particles (PF objects) with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. The objects identified by the algorithm comprise candidate electrons, muons, photons, and charged as well as neutral hadrons. Jets are reconstructed from PF objects using the anti-kt clustering algorithm [77] implemented in the FASTJET package [78, 79], with a distance parameter of 0.4. The missing transverse momentum vector p_T^{miss} is defined as the negative vectorial p_T sum of all the PF objects identified in the event, and its magnitude is referred to as p_T^{miss}. The reconstructed vertex with the largest value of summed physics-object p^2 is considered to be the primary pp interaction vertex. The physics objects are the jets, clustered using the jet finding algorithm with the tracks assigned to the vertex as inputs, and the associated p_T^{miss}, computed as the negative vectorial p_T sum of those jets. All charged hadrons that originate from pileup are removed from consideration in the event. In addition, the average neutral energy density from pileup is evaluated from PF objects and subtracted from the reconstructed jets in the event, and from the summed energy in the isolation criteria used for leptons, as described in [76].
Events of interest are selected online using a two-tiered trigger system based on custom hardware processors and a farm of commercial processors running a version of the full offline reconstruction software optimized for speed. Events in the 0-lepton channel are selected primarily by a trigger requiring both $p_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ and $H_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ to be larger than 120 GeV, where $H_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ is defined as the magnitude of the negative vectorial $p_{T}$ sum of all jets. Single-lepton triggers are used to select events in the 1-lepton channel, where the leptons are required to be isolated from other PF objects. The single-muon trigger requires $p_{T} > 27$ GeV, while the single-electron trigger requires $p_{T} > 32$ GeV. For the 2-lepton channel, dilepton triggers are employed with minimum $p_{T}$ requirements of (17, 8) GeV for the two muons and (23, 12) GeV for the two electrons. After offline requirements, the 0-lepton trigger efficiency reaches 100% above $p_{T}^{\text{miss}} \sim 220$ GeV, while the 1-lepton triggers have efficiencies of approximately 95% for muons and 90% for electrons, and the dilepton trigger efficiency is approximately 91% for muons and 96% for electrons.

Events are selected offline based on the presence of 0, 1, or 2 leptons (muons or electrons) and two identified b jets. Muons and electrons from W or Z boson decays are identified using the selection criteria defined in [36], including stringent quality and isolation requirements. The lepton $p_{T}$ requirements are: $p_{T} > 25$ (30) GeV for muons (electrons) in the 1-lepton channel, and $p_{T} > 20$ GeV for each lepton in the 2-lepton channel. In the 0- and 1-lepton channels, events with additional isolated muons or electrons are rejected. Minimum $p_{T}$ requirements of (60, 35), (25, 25), and (20, 20) GeV are applied on the two jets forming the Higgs boson candidate in the 0-, 1-, and 2-lepton channels, respectively, which are also required to satisfy $|\eta| < 2.5$.

The reconstruction of vector boson decays differs by channel. In the 0-lepton channel, $p_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ is interpreted as the $p_{T}$ of the Z boson, while in the 2-lepton channel the Z boson is reconstructed directly from lepton pairs requiring an invariant mass in the range 75–105 GeV. In the 1-lepton channel, the W boson candidate is reconstructed from the $p_{T}$ of the single isolated lepton and $p_{T}^{\text{miss}}$.

Higgs boson candidates are reconstructed from the pair of jets (“jj”) in the event most likely to originate from b quarks, as determined by a combined secondary vertex algorithm (deepCSV) based on a deep neural network discriminant (DNN) [81]. The deepCSV algorithm provides a continuous discriminator score combining information about tracks displaced from the primary vertex, identified secondary vertices, jet kinematic variables, and information related to the presence of soft leptons in the jet. Of the two jets forming the Higgs boson candidate, the one with a larger deepCSV score is required to satisfy a tight working point with misidentification rate of 0.1% for light quark and gluon jets, while the jet with a lower deepCSV score (DCSV2) must satisfy a loose working point with a 10% misidentification rate.

All backgrounds are substantially reduced by requiring large $p_{T}(V)$ [82]. The requirements are $p_{T}^{\text{miss}} > 170$ GeV and $p_{T}(V) > 150$ GeV in the 0- and 1-lepton channels, respectively, while two regions are used in the 2-lepton channel: $50 < p_{T}(V) \leq 150$ GeV and $p_{T}(V) > 150$ GeV. Residual backgrounds from tt and QCD processes are reduced in the 1-lepton channel by rejecting events with more than one extra jet satisfying $p_{T} > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, and in the 0-lepton channel with additional requirements on the angular separation between $p_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ and its nearest jet.

After all event selection criteria are applied, the resolution on the dijet invariant mass $m(jj)$ of reconstructed Higgs boson decays is approximately 15%. The mass resolution is improved by applying a multivariate regression technique similar to that employed in [36], with a DNN trained on b jets from simulated tt events with input variables that include several properties of any secondary vertices in the jet, as well as the energy and composition of the jet. In addition, recovery of final-state radiation is achieved by adding to the $m(jj)$ calculation the momenta of
jets near to either of the Higgs boson candidate jets. In the 2-lepton channel, with no genuine \( p_T^{\text{miss}} \) from the hard-scattering process, a kinematic fit of the entire event is performed requiring \( p_T \) balance between the dilepton and dijet systems within the experimental uncertainty. All three improvements are validated in data by studying the \( p_T(\ell\ell)/p_T(jj) \) distribution in samples of \( Z \to \ell\ell \) events containing at least one b-tagged jet, and by studying the top quark mass distribution in a high-purity sample of \( t\bar{t} \) events. After these improvements, the average resolution on \( m(jj) \) is in the 10–13% range, depending on the channel and the \( p_T \) of the reconstructed Higgs boson.

For each channel, a signal region enriched in VH events is selected together with several control regions, each enriched in events from individual background processes. The signal regions are defined as \( 60 < m(jj) < 160 \) GeV in the 0-lepton channel, and \( 90 < m(jj) < 150 \) GeV in the 1- and 2-lepton channels. The score of a DNN for events in each of these signal regions, which further separates signal from background, is used in the signal extraction fit. The DNNs are trained separately for each channel using simulated samples for signal and all background processes. The set of input variables is chosen by an iterative optimization procedure from a large number of potentially discriminating variables. Among the most discriminating variables for all channels are \( m(jj) \), \( p_T(V) \), DCSV2, the number of additional jets, and the angular separation between the two jets forming the Higgs boson candidate. Events in control regions are used in the fit to normalize the major background processes directly from data. These regions are selected for \( t\bar{t} \) production (TT), and for the production of W and Z bosons in association with either predominantly heavy-flavor (HF) or light-flavor (LF) jets using the criteria described in [36].

The signal strength \( \mu \), defined as the measured production cross section times branching fraction divided by the expected SM value, is extracted from a simultaneous binned fit of the signal and control regions. The DNN score distribution is the fitted variable in each signal region, while different strategies are used in the control regions. For the TT and LF control regions, only the yields of these processes are considered in the fit. For the HF control region, DCSV2 is used as the fitted variable in the 2-lepton channel, while the score of a dedicated DNN (DNNHF) is used in the 0- and 1-lepton channels. The DNNHF uses the same variables as the signal region DNN, but is trained to individually distinguish the \( t\bar{t} \), single t, and V+jets background processes.

The significance of the observed excess of events in the fit is computed using the profile likelihood asymptotic approximation [83–86]. All results reported here are obtained for a Higgs boson mass of \( m_H = 125.09 \) GeV [26]. For the 2017 data, the observed significance is 3.3 standard deviations (\( \sigma \)) above the background-only hypothesis, while 3.1\( \sigma \) is expected for the SM Higgs boson. The corresponding measured signal strength is \( \mu = 1.08 \pm 0.34 \), where the uncertainty is a combination of statistical and systematic components. Table[1] lists the major sources of uncertainty and their observed impact on \( \mu \) from the fit. Dominant systematic uncertainties arise from the background normalizations, simulated sample size, b-tagging efficiency and misidentification rates, and V+jets modeling. All sources of systematic uncertainty are included in the fit as independent nuisance parameters.

The VZ process with \( Z \to b\bar{b} \), having an identical final state as the VH process with \( H \to b\bar{b} \), serves to validate the methodology used in the search for the latter process. To extract this diboson signal, the DNNs are trained using the simulated samples for this process as signal. All other processes, including VH production (at the predicted SM rate), are treated as background. The only modification made to the analysis is the requirement that the signal region is in the interval [60, 160] GeV in \( m(jj) \) for all channels. The excess of events for the combined WZ and ZZ
Table 1: Major sources of uncertainty in the measurement of the signal strength $\mu$, and their observed impact ($\Delta \mu$) from a fit to the 2017 data set, are listed. The total uncertainty is separated into four components: statistical (including data yields), experimental, MC sample size, and theory. Detailed decompositions of the statistical, experimental, and theory components are specified. The impact of each uncertainty is evaluated considering only that source. Because of correlations in the combined fit between nuisance parameters in different sources, the sum in quadrature for each source does not in general equal the total uncertainty of each component.

| Uncertainty source                          | $\Delta \mu$     |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Statistical                                | +0.26 $-$0.26    |
| Normalization of backgrounds                | +0.12 $-$0.12    |
| Experimental                               | +0.16 $-$0.15    |
| b-tagging efficiency and misid              | +0.09 $-$0.08    |
| V+jets modeling                            | +0.08 $-$0.07    |
| Jet energy scale and resolution             | +0.05 $-$0.05    |
| Lepton identification                       | +0.02 $-$0.01    |
| Luminosity                                 | +0.03 $-$0.03    |
| Other experimental uncertainties           | +0.06 $-$0.05    |
| MC sample size                              | +0.12 $-$0.12    |
| Theory                                     | +0.11 $-$0.09    |
| Background modeling                         | +0.08 $-$0.08    |
| Signal modeling                             | +0.07 $-$0.04    |
| Total                                      | +0.35 $-$0.33    |

production processes has an observed significance of 5.2$\sigma$ from the background-only hypothesis, where 5.0$\sigma$ is expected. The corresponding observed signal strength is $\mu = 1.05 \pm 0.22$.

Measurements of the VH process with $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ reported above are combined with the results of a similar measurement performed by the CMS Collaboration using data collected at 13 TeV in 2016 corresponding to 35.9 fb$^{-1}$ [36]. All systematic uncertainties are assumed to be uncorrelated in this fit, except for theory uncertainties and the dominant uncertainties in the measurement of the jet energy scale, which are assumed to be fully correlated. The Run 2 (2016 and 2017 data sets) combination yields an observed signal significance of 4.4$\sigma$, where 4.2$\sigma$ is expected, and a signal strength of $\mu = 1.06 \pm 0.26$.

The results VH from Run 2 are combined with the results of a similar CMS analysis of the Run 1 data using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV with data samples corresponding to integrated luminosities of up to 5.1 and 18.9 fb$^{-1}$, respectively [25,47]. Systematic uncertainties in this fit are assumed to be uncorrelated for separate collision energies, except for the theory uncertainties. The combination yields an observed signal significance of 4.8$\sigma$, where 4.9$\sigma$ is expected. The measured signal strength is $\mu = 1.01 \pm 0.22$ [0.17 (stat) $\pm 0.09$ (exp) $\pm 0.06$ (MC) $\pm 0.08$ (theo)], where the decomposition of the total uncertainty into its components is specified in brackets following the definitions in Table 1. Figure 1 (left) shows the distribution of events in all channels sorted according to the observed value of $\log_{10}(S/B)$ for the combined Run 1 and Run 2 data sets, where signal $S$ and background $B$ yields are determined from the corresponding discriminant score used in each analysis (DNNs for the 2017 data set, boosted decision trees for all other data sets). Figure 1 (right) summarizes the signal strengths for VH production, with $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, separately for the different data sets and the combination, while Table 2 summarizes the significances, also including a breakdown of the 2017 results separated by channel.
Figure 1: Left: distributions of signal, background, and data event yields sorted into bins of similar signal-to-background ratio, as given by the result of the fit to their corresponding multivariate discriminant. All events in the VH, H → b\bar{b} signal regions of the combined Run 1 and Run 2 data sets are included. The red histogram indicates the Higgs boson signal contribution, while the grey histogram is the sum of all background yields. The bottom panel shows the ratio of the data to the background, with the total uncertainty in the background yield indicated by the grey hatching. The red line indicates the sum of signal plus background contribution divided by the background yield. Right: best-fit value of the signal strength \( \mu \), at \( m_H = 125.09 \) GeV, for the fit of all VH, H → b\bar{b} channels in the Run 1 and Run 2 data sets. Also shown are the individual results of the 2016 and 2017 measurements, the Run 2 combination, and the Run 1 result. Horizontal error bars indicate the 1\( \sigma \) systematic (red) and 1\( \sigma \) total (blue) uncertainties, and the vertical dashed line indicates the SM expectation.

An alternative to fitting the DNN score is to fit the \( m(jj) \) distribution, which results in less sensitivity but enables a more direct visualization of the Higgs boson signal. As in the VZ analysis, the signal region is defined to be in the interval \([60, 160]\) GeV in \( m(jj) \). This study is performed only with the 2016 and 2017 data sets, in which events are categorized into four bins of increasing signal-to-background ratio according to the score of their corresponding discriminant, obtained with those input variables correlated with \( m(jj) \) fixed to their mean values. The resulting four \( m(jj) \) distributions in each data set are fit together with the same distributions used in the control regions, described above, to extract signal and background yields. The fitted \( m(jj) \) distributions are combined and weighted by \( S/(S+B) \), where \( S \) and \( B \) are computed from the Higgs boson signal yield and the sum of all background yields for each category considering their fitted normalizations, respectively. The resulting combined \( m(jj) \) distribution, after background subtraction, is shown in Fig. 2, where the VH and VZ contributions are separately visible.

A combination of CMS measurements of the H → b\bar{b} decay is performed, including dedicated analyses for the following production processes: VH (reported above), gluon fusion \([44]\), vector boson fusion \([47]\), and associated production with top quarks \([30, 44, 45]\). These analyses use data collected at 7, 8 and 13 TeV, depending on the process. In this fit, most sources of systematic uncertainty are treated as uncorrelated. The dominant jet energy scale uncertainties are treated as correlated between processes at the same collision energy, while the theory uncertainties are correlated between all processes and data sets. The observed (expected) signal significance is 5.6 (5.5)\( \sigma \), and the measured signal strength is \( \mu = 1.04 \pm 0.20 \). In addition to the overall signal strength for the H → b\bar{b} decay, the signal strengths for the individual production...
Table 2: Expected and observed significances, in $\sigma$, and observed signal strengths for the VH production process with $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$. Results are shown separately for 2017 data, combined Run 2 (2016 and 2017) data, and for the combination of the Run 1 and Run 2 data sets. For the 2017 analysis, results are shown separately for the individual signal strengths for each channel from a combined simultaneous fit to all channels. All results are obtained for $m_H = 125.09$ GeV combining statistical and systematic uncertainties.

| Data set          | Significance ($\sigma$) | Expected | Observed | Signal strength |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| 2017              |                         |          |          |                 |
| 0-lepton          | 1.9                     | 1.3      | 0.73 $\pm$ 0.65 |
| 1-lepton          | 1.8                     | 2.6      | 1.32 $\pm$ 0.55 |
| 2-lepton          | 1.9                     | 1.9      | 1.05 $\pm$ 0.59 |
| Combined          | 3.1                     | 3.3      | 1.08 $\pm$ 0.34 |
| Run 2             | 4.2                     | 4.4      | 1.06 $\pm$ 0.26 |
| Run 1 + Run 2     | 4.9                     | 4.8      | 1.01 $\pm$ 0.23 |

Figure 2: Dijet invariant mass distribution for events weighted by $S/(S+B)$ in all channels combined in the 2016 and 2017 data sets. Weights are derived from a fit to the $m(jj)$ distribution, as described in the text. Shown are data (points) and the fitted VH signal (red) and VZ background (grey) distributions, with all other fitted background processes subtracted. The error bar for each bin represents the pre-subtraction 1$\sigma$ statistical uncertainty on the data, while the grey hatching indicates the 1$\sigma$ total uncertainty on the signal and all background components.

In summary, measurement of the standard model Higgs boson decaying to bottom quarks has been presented. A combination of all CMS measurements of the VH, $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ process using proton-proton collisions recorded at center of mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV, yields an
Figure 3: Best-fit value of the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ signal strength with its 1σ systematic (red) and total (blue) uncertainties for the five individual production modes considered, as well as the overall combined result. The vertical dashed line indicates the standard model expectation. All results are extracted from a single fit combining all input analyses, with $m_H = 125.09$ GeV.

observed (expected) significance of 4.8 (4.9) standard deviations at $m_H = 125.09$ GeV, and the signal strength is $\mu = 1.01 \pm 0.22$. Combining this result with previous measurements by the CMS Collaboration of the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay in events where the Higgs boson is produced through gluon fusion, vector boson fusion, or in association with top quarks, the observed (expected) significance increases to 5.6 (5.5) standard deviations and the signal strength is $\mu = 1.04 \pm 0.20$. This constitutes the observation of the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay by the CMS Collaboration.
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