Characterizations of thermoplastic protein films from down feather fiber

Abstract

Down feather fiber was modified by formamide which acts as a plasticizer and then hot-pressed into thermoplastic down films. After hot-pressed, the mechanical properties of thermoplastic down films had a close relationship with the exposure time periods. The maximum tensile strength of thermoplastic down films can reach 28.7MPa after exposure to atmosphere for 40 days. ATR-FTIR curves proved that there were hydrogen bonds forming between amino and amide groups of down feather fiber and formamide. WXR patterns showed that the crystalline region of down feather fiber was destroyed by formamide during hot-pressing and then reformed after exposure to atmosphere for several days. TGA curves showed that thermoplastic down films has lower moisture compared with down feather fiber suggesting that high temperature removed the most of hydrogen-bound water in down feather fiber and then there are chemical reaction between down feather fiber and formamide.
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Introduction

Concern for the environment and shortage of oil is driving research into ways to reuse natural protein fibers, which are usually biodegradable, renewable and environmentally friendly compared with synthetic polymers. One important method of reusing protein fibers is to extract keratin from these protein fibers using complex chemical methods, the keratin was then blended with synthetic polymer to produce protein biomaterials. Unfortunately, keratin solution is unstable in the process of extruding and hot-pressing under high temperature due to the destruction of its super-molecular structure. Consequently, various natural protein fibers such as feather fiber, wool fiber and silk fiber have been straightly applied as filler to prepare thermoplastic down feather fiber films. In this paper, down feather fiber obtained from down feather after physical grounding was blended with various amounts of formamide and then hot-pressed into thermoplastic down films. The influences of exposure time periods on the mechanical properties of thermoplastic down films were investigated. The weight loss of formamide as a function of exposure to atmosphere was also investigated. In addition, chemical reaction, microstructure changes and thermal properties of thermoplastic films were also characterized.

Materials and methods

Materials

Down feather fiber was produced from duck feather on a purpose-built machine.12 Formamide (A.R.) was supplied from Kedi Co. Ltd., Tianjin, China.

Fabrication of thermoplastic down film

Thermoplastic down films with different contents of formamide were prepared according to the following procedures: down feather fiber was added into a beaker and then formamide was slowly added into the beaker. To avoid some aggregates of down feather fiber, the blend was mixed in an All-Purpose High-speed Smashing Machines. Then, the blend was sandwiched between two Teflon-coated compression molder and hot-pressed into thin films on a plate vulcanization machine (XLB-D350×350, china). After pressing, the film was removed from the molder and cooled until its temperature reached room temperature. This resulted in circular films with around 1.5 mm in thickness and different diameter. The amount of formamide used in experiments was 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45% and 50% to the weight of down feather fiber.

Measurements and characterizations

Optical morphology of thermoplastic down films was taken by Canon D100. Mechanical properties were tested on an Instron 5566 Universal Testing Machine, at a gauge length of 50mm and strain...
rate of 50 mm/min. The length and width of samples respective was 60mm and 20mm. Each sample was tested 5 times and the results were averaged. The weight of the film was tested immediately after the film was cooled down, after that, the samples were weighted every three days at room temperature. Weight loss was calculated according to the equation (1):

\[
\text{Weight loss(\%)} = \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{W_1}
\]  

Where, \( W_1 \) is the original weight of the film; \( W_2 \) is the weight of film after different storage time.

The ATR-FTIR measurements of thermoplastic films were carried out on a BRUKER TENSOR 27 spectrometer with an ATR cell. Each spectrum was an average of 32 scans recorded at a resolution of 4cm\(^{-1}\) in the range of 4000-600cm\(^{-1}\). WXRD measurements were performed on a D/MAX-III instrument by use of Cu-ka radiation (wave-length=0.154nm) to investigate the structure of samples. Generator intensity was 35Kv; generator current was 30mA. The PP/DFF composites were scanned within the range of 2\(\theta\)=5-50\(^\circ\) in step of 0.02\(^\circ\). Thermal analysis was performed using a TG 209 F1 (NETZSCH) from 25\(^\circ\)C to 600\(^\circ\)C at a heating rate of 20\(^\circ\)C/min, in flowing nitrogen atmosphere (10ml/min).

Results and discussion

Effect of formamide content

Down feather fiber/formamide blends with different formamide contents were hot-pressed at 5Mpa and 150°C for 5min. The tensile strength and elongation at break of thermoplastic down films with different formamide contents are shown in Figure 1. It was apparent that with the increase in formamide content, the tensile strength of thermoplastic down films decreased steadily, but the elongation at break reached a maximum value when formamide content was around 35%. It is obvious that the thermoplastic down films became more ductile with the increase in formamide content. When the formamide content was lower than 20% the pressed films were too brittle to obtain good mechanical properties and films with greater than 50% formamide were incomplete after hot-pressing. It is evidently that adding of formamide made the thermoplastic down film ductile and deformable. Furthermore, thermoplastic down films became tough when they were exposed to atmosphere for different days, as seen from Table 1. For thermoplastic down films after exposure to atmosphere for different days, the tensile strength and Young’s modulus increased greatly while the elongation at break decreased evidently. After a long time exposure to atmosphere, most formamide was removed from the microstructure of thermoplastic down films and then the films became more compact and adjust formamide molecular and down feather fiber to adopt new structure, which has fewer holes and more chemical bonds between down feather fiber and formamide.

Loss of formamide of thermoplastic down films

The weight loss percentages of the thermoplastic down films (pressed at 130 \(^\circ\)C and 5Mpa for 5min) under different storage time are shown in Figure 2. The histogram of thermoplastic down films showed a sharp weight loss within 9days meaning the removal of formamide from the films. After 12days the weight loss of films became stable comparatively suggesting that formamide is a good plaster compared with glycerol. It could be seen clearly that after 1day and 2days no evident formamide was found on the surface of thermoplastic down films even if the films were exposed to atmosphere for 15days.

Table 1 Mechanical properties of thermoplastic down films after different exposure time periods

| Storage time [day] | Elongation at break [mm] | Young's modulus [N/mm] | Tensile strength [MPa] |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 0                  | 12.8±0.97                | 3.89±0.21              | 1.99±0.10             |
| 9                  | 10.5±0.81                | 26.49±1.54             | 6.74±0.39             |
| 18                 | 6.16±0.43                | 68.49±3.77             | 15.39±1.03            |
| 30                 | 3.25±0.19                | 113.46±8.49            | 22.72±1.67            |
| 36                 | 2.01±0.12                | 179.64±6.13            | 26.91±1.53            |
| >40                | 1.86±0.11                | 198.36±7.09            | 28.74±1.03            |

ATR-FTIR spectra of thermoplastic films from down feather fiber

The ATR-FTIR spectral data of down feather fiber, formamide and thermoplastic down films are presented in Figure 3. The spectral of formamide shows characteristic peaks at 3318cm\(^{-1}\), 3185cm\(^{-1}\), 1668cm\(^{-1}\), which are associated with NH\(_2\)-antisymmetrical stretching vibration, NH\(_\text{–}\)-symmetrical stretching vibration and stretching vibration of C=O, respectively. The spectral data of down feather fiber shows typical peaks at 2930cm\(^{-1}\) and 1645cm\(^{-1}\), which are corresponded to CH\(_\text{–}\)-antisymmetrical stretching vibration and stretching vibration of C=O, respectively. Theoretically, formamide can be expected to react with down feather fiber to form amounts of hydrogen bonds, which can put the down feather fiber together. However, the new hydrogen bonds could not be observed in spectra since large number of hydrogen bonds already exists in the formamide solution and backbone of down feather fiber. This phenomenon indicates that formamide is an excellent plasticizing agent, which not only can improve mechanical properties of films but also sustain the excellent properties of down feather fiber.

WXRD curves of thermoplastic down films

The WXRD curves of down feather fiber, thermoplastic down films with 50% formamide and thermo plastic down films with 30% formamide after 20days were shown in Figure 4. Down feather fiber showed typical diffraction pattern of \(\alpha\)-keratins with a prominent 2 theta peak at 22\(^\circ\), which corresponded to the crystalline spacing of 4.39 A\(^\text{3}\). It could not be found that the typical diffraction pattern of thermoplastic down films due to the destruction of crystalline region of down feather fiber. Furthermore, the intra molecular and intermolecular bonding of down feather fiber was replaced by formamide during the process of hot-pressing. After 20days, thermoplastic down films exhibited the diffraction pattern, which shifted higher 2 theta compared with that of down feather fiber. After 20 days exposure to
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atmosphere, some formamide was removed from the microstructure of thermoplastic down films and the macromolecules of down feather had enough time to align them and reform α-keratins.

**Figure 1** Tensile strength and elongation at break of thermoplastic down films with different formamide content.

**Figure 2** The weight loss of thermoplastic down films exposed to atmosphere with different time periods.

**Figure 3** ATR-FTIR spectra of down feather fiber, formamide and thermoplastic down films.

**Thermo gravimetric analysis of thermoplastic down films**

The TG curves of down feather fiber and thermoplastic down films with different formamide content are shown in Figure 5. Two evident weight loss stages were observed in the TG curve of down feather fiber. The first one in temperature range of 20-130°C is generally corresponded to the evaporation of moisture and the second one around 210-450°C is ascribed to the thermal degradation of down feather fiber. All the thermoplastic down films show two evident weight loss steps, which are corresponded to the thermal degradation of formamide and down feather fiber, respectively. The weight loss of formamide in thermoplastic down films increases with the increase in formamide amount. In addition, the residue of thermoplastic down films at 600°C decreases with the increase of the formamide. For thermoplastic down film with 20% formamide, the weight loss is lower than that of down feather fiber in temperature range of 30-170°C and then is higher around 170-600°C. This indicates that the moisture of thermoplastic down films decreased after down feather fiber/formamide was hot-pressed. Moreover, the temperature corresponding to thermal degradation of down feather fiber was found almost unaffected whether down feather fiber was plasticized by formamide.

**Figure 4** WXRD curves of down feather fiber, thermoplastic down films with 50% formamide, and thermoplastic down films with 50% formamide after 20 days.

**Figure 5** TG curves of down feather fiber and thermoplastic down films.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study indicate that the formamide is a good plasticizer, which not only improves the mechanical properties of thermoplastic down films largely, but also sustains the excellent protein properties of down feather fiber. With the increase in formamide content, the tensile strength and Young’s modulus decreased steadily. However, the elongation at break reached a maximum value when formamide content was around 35%. This suggests the more formamide content, the more ductile thermoplastic down films we can obtained. It worth noting that the mechanical properties of thermoplastic down films have a close relation with the exposure time periods after hot-
pressing. ATR-FTIR spectra showed that there was no new absorbent peaks appeared in thermoplastic down films indicating that down feather fiber hot-pressed with formamide sustain the macromolecular structure of down feather fiber and there are possible hydrogen bonds between down feather fiber and formamide. However, the hydrogen bonds between down feather fiber and formamide cannot be detected by FTIR due to the present in the formamide solution and backbone of down feather fiber. WXRD curves indicated that the α-keratins of down feather fiber was weakened immediately after hot-pressing and then reformed after exposed to atmosphere for 20 days. TGA curves showed that thermoplastic down films has lower moisture compared with down feather fiber suggesting that high temperature removed the most of hydrogen-bound water in down feather fiber and then there are chemical reaction between down feather fiber and formamide. Moreover, the temperature corresponding to thermal degradation of down feather fiber was found almost independent of whether down feather fiber was plasticized by formamide.
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