Emerging investigator series: aramid amphiphile nanoribbons for the remediation of lead from contaminated water†
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Self-assembled nanoribbons from small molecule amphiphiles with a structural domain to impart mechanical stability and chelating head groups are reported for the remediation of lead from contaminated water. The nanoribbons’ remediation capacity is affected by pH and the presence of competing cations, and can be modulated by head group choice.

Small molecule amphiphiles self-assemble in water to form nanostructures that exhibit high surface areas and tunable surface chemistries, and are readily scalable.1–5 These properties have led to their extensive investigation as a platform for biomedical applications, including as materials for regenerative medicine, drug delivery, or biosensing.6–8 In these environments, the dynamic nature of small molecule assemblies is harnessed as a design feature to mimic those of natural systems.9–11,42 Such dynamics further enable the sensitivity of molecular assemblies to changes in their solvent environments, such as fluctuations in pH or temperature.12,13 However, this property limits their application space to intentionally dynamic materials.

Molecular self-assembly could offer notable advantages to applications in non-biological contexts if their typical dynamic instabilities were overcome. In particular, self-assembled nanomaterials may provide a new strategy for point-of-use remediation of contaminants from drinking water. Surface areas on the order of hundreds of $m^2 g^{-1}$ – resulting from nanostructure length scales determined by the length of the constituent amphiphiles1,14 – may provide a platform for sequestering heavy metals. Namely, these nanostructure surfaces could be entirely coated with moieties capable of heavy metal remediation by presenting a hydrophilic chelator on every molecule. Realizing this design rationale requires the suppression of amphiphile exchange to minimize the rearrangement and release of amphiphile molecules from their assembled structures into the solvent space. Suppression of these exchange dynamics may also reduce the sensitivity of the assembly to variations in its environment such and temperature and pH.15

The removal of lead ions, Pb$^{2+}$, from drinking water is a critical target. Inorganic lead is a potent toxin which can damage nearly all organs, with adverse health effects including neurotoxicity, cardiovascular complications, cancer, and death.16,17 Lead enters drinking water from a variety of sources, including the production and disposal of lead-acid batteries, alloy manufacturing, and, particularly, the erosion of plumbing.18–20 Lead contamination crises persist globally, and increasing research indicates these events disproportionately occur in the United States along racial and socioeconomic lines.21–24 Thus, there exists an urgent need to develop contemporary strategies for point-of-use remediation of lead from drinking water streams.

Here, we present the design of small molecule amphiphiles which exhibit three characteristics for the remediation of lead from contaminated water (Fig. 1): (1) amphiphilicity to induce spontaneous self-assembly in water into high surface area nanostructures; (2) a structural domain to provide intermolecular cohesion; and (3) a chelating head

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis and experimental details, Fig. S1–S7. See DOI: 10.1039/d1en00002k
group capable of strongly binding to heavy metal cations in solution. To accomplish these goals, we use the aramid amphiphile (AA) motif as the platform for molecular self-assembly.\textsuperscript{25,43} AAs take advantage of a dense hydrogen bonding network to impart structural stability on assembled nanoribbons with surface areas on the order of 200 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{−1}.

Of particular note for this application, the amphiphiles exhibit suppressed exchange dynamics upon assembly, hindering the release of amphiphiles from their nanostructure. The suppressed exchange dynamics differentiate this self-assembly approach from those that have been previously explored for water treatment.\textsuperscript{26,27} In previous reports, heavy metal cations initiate physical crosslinking that induces hydrogelation – a process that may impede surface chelation events by limiting diffusion to the inside of the gel.\textsuperscript{28} This design presents advantages over other soft materials systems, including polymers and polymer networks, because: (1) all chemical groups responsible for heavy metal remediation are expressed on the nanostructure surfaces, and are thermodynamically prohibited from being buried within a material; (2) surface chemistries and functionalities can be readily tuned through careful selection of the hydrophilic head group, enabling tuning of heavy metal remediation effectiveness and selectivity; and (3) the chemical character of the chelating compounds is minimally impacted by requiring only one covalent tether to the nanostructure surface, maximizing ionic interactions with dissolved lead species.

We designed and synthesized two AAs for the remediation of heavy metals from drinking water: compound 1, an AA with a DOTA (dodecane tetraacetic acid; also known as tetraxetan) head group, and compound 2, an AA with a DTPA (diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid; also known as pentetic acid) head group (Fig. 1a). These groups were selected for their high binding affinity to lead ions in solution and their capability of inducing amphiphilic self-assembly by providing sufficient head group hydrophilicity (Fig. 1b). Both AAs with DOTA and DTPA head groups harness ionic interactions from charged carboxylic acid moieties and dipole interactions from tertiary amines to sequester lead ions from water.\textsuperscript{29,30} Compounds 1 and 2 were obtained by alternating carbodiimide-mediated amidation reactions and standard deprotection reactions. Synthesis details and characterization of the final compounds and their intermediates are provided in ESI† section 1.

We observe the spontaneous assembly of both compounds 1 and 2 in water into nanoribbons with lengths extending at least several microns (Fig. 2a and c) by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). To ascertain cross-sectional dimensions, we use cryogenic TEM (Cryo-TEM) to obtain high-resolution images of the nanoribbons in a solvated state and...
The presence of lead ions free in solution, and implies that the nanoribbons are successful in remediating the heavy metal from contaminated water. This equilibrium constant further suggests that compound 1 nanoribbons should be used in significant excess to the lead present in contaminated water to thermodynamically drive remediation below the 15 ppb regulatory level for toxicity. We further observe the plateau of chelation signal at n = 0.5, corroborating the saturation of the nanoribbons at 50 mol% Pb, and that the nanoribbons maintain their morphology after lead chelation (ESI† Fig. S2).

We hypothesize that the remediation capacity of lead ions by AA nanoribbons should be affected by pH, as protonation of the nanoribbon head groups would hinder ionic interactions between the chelating moieties and lead ions. Because lead species become insoluble in basic environments, we selected pH values of 7, 5, and 3 to test remediation capacity. We observe no change in assembly morphology of compound 1 nanoribbons when the pH is adjusted to 3 post-assembly (ESI† Fig. S3), likely because of the stability imparted to the structure by the aramid domain.

Adsorption isotherm curves are constructed for the uptake of lead by compound 1 nanoribbons to test pH sensitivity (Fig. 3c). Nanoribbons are mixed with Pb²⁺ 24 h before testing to ensure equilibrium is reached. Equilibrium data are fit to a Langmuir model, with R² values exceeding 0.97 in all cases (ESI† Fig. S4). Compound 1 nanoribbons demonstrate adsorption capacities (Qₒ) of 72, 61, and 45 mg g⁻¹ at pH values of 7, 5, and 3, respectively. As predicted, the lead chelation capacity drops slightly when changing from pH 7 to 5, and drops significantly at pH 3.

**Fig. 3** Compound 1 nanoribbons remediate Pb²⁺ from water, exhibiting sensitivity to pH and the presence of competing divalent cations. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra show the appearance of a peak at λ = 262 nm, corresponding to the binding of Pb²⁺ to compound 1 nanoribbon DOTA groups. This peak intensity plateaus at 50 mol% Pb²⁺, indicating a 2:1 amphiphile:Pb²⁺ stoichiometry of binding. (b) ITC reveals an equilibrium binding constant Kₒ = 2.2 ± 0.5 × 10⁵ M⁻¹. This value indicates an equilibrium which strongly prefers the binding of lead ions to the nanoribbon surfaces, rather than the presence of lead ions free in solution, and implies that the nanoribbons are successful in remediating the heavy metal from contaminated water. This equilibrium constant further suggests that compound 1 nanoribbons should be used in significant excess to the lead present in contaminated water to thermodynamically drive remediation below the 15 ppb regulatory level for toxicity. We further observe the plateau of chelation signal at n = 0.5, corroborating the saturation of the nanoribbons at 50 mol% Pb, and that the nanoribbons maintain their morphology after lead chelation (ESI† Fig. S2).

We hypothesize that the remediation capacity of lead ions by AA nanoribbons should be affected by pH, as protonation of the nanoribbon head groups would hinder ionic interactions between the chelating moieties and lead ions. Because lead species become insoluble in basic environments, we selected pH values of 7, 5, and 3 to test remediation capacity. We observe no change in assembly morphology of compound 1 nanoribbons when the pH is adjusted to 3 post-assembly (ESI† Fig. S3), likely because of the stability imparted to the structure by the aramid domain.

Adsorption isotherm curves are constructed for the uptake of lead by compound 1 nanoribbons to test pH sensitivity (Fig. 3c). Nanoribbons are mixed with Pb²⁺ 24 h before testing to ensure equilibrium is reached. Equilibrium data are fit to a Langmuir model, with R² values exceeding 0.97 in all cases (ESI† Fig. S4). Compound 1 nanoribbons demonstrate adsorption capacities (Qₒ) of 72, 61, and 45 mg g⁻¹ at pH values of 7, 5, and 3, respectively. As predicted, the lead chelation capacity drops slightly when changing from pH 7 to 5, and drops significantly at pH 3.
We further expect that the nanoribbons’ lead adsorption capacities is sensitive to the presence of other multivalent cations which could compete with lead to occupy the chelation complex. To test this hypothesis, we constructed adsorption isotherms with equimolar amounts of lead and either calcium, copper, magnesium, or nickel ions (Fig. 3d). Fits of the equilibrium data to a Langmuir model (ESI† Fig. S5) are used to extract lead adsorption capacities in the equimolar presence of each ion: for Ca\(^{2+}\), \(Q_{o,\text{Pb}} = 61\) mg g\(^{-1}\); for Mg\(^{2+}\), \(Q_{o,\text{Pb}} = 52\) mg g\(^{-1}\); for Cu\(^{2+}\), \(Q_{o,\text{Pb}} = 32\) mg g\(^{-1}\); and for Ni\(^{2+}\), \(Q_{o,\text{Pb}} = 27\) mg g\(^{-1}\). Thus, from least to most interference with Pb\(^{2+}\) binding to compound 1 nanoribbons, we observe the following trend: Ca\(^{2+}\) < Mg\(^{2+}\) < Cu\(^{2+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\). This trend largely follows the equilibrium binding constants of the ions to the free DOTA molecule in solution.\(^{35}\) To put this data in perspective, remediating 1 L of water with 50 ppb Pb to below 15 ppb Pb at pH 7 requires approximately 690 \(\mu\)g of compound 1 nanoribbons in the absence of competing counterions, and 820, 960, 1560, and 1850 \(\mu\)g compound 1 nanoribbons in the presence of equimolar amounts of Pb\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), and Ni\(^{2+}\), respectively. These results confirm that the lead-binding capacity of compound 1 nanoribbons is reduced by the presence of competing multivalent cations and suggests that this system may be used to simultaneously remove several contaminants from polluted water.

The capacity of amphiphilic nanoribbons to remediate heavy metal ions from water should be sensitive to the geometry and chemical structure of the head group.\(^{33}\) Lead ions likely percolate into the head group domain of compound 1 to sandwich between neighbouring DOTA head groups. Conversely, the DTPA head group of compound 2 is prone to facing outwards from the nanoribbon core due to steric hinderance and has four carboxylic acids per molecule available for complexation. Therefore, we expect compound 2 nanoribbons to exhibit more effectively sequester Pb\(^{2+}\) from water than those of compound 1.

The formation of a lead: amphiphile chelation complex on compound 2 nanoribbons is monitored by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy with the emergence of a peak at \(\lambda = 255\) nm (Fig. 4a). We observe that compound 2 nanoribbons maintain their morphology with chelation (Fig. S2†) and, interestingly, find compound 2 nanoribbon chelators saturate near 50 mol\% Pb. This effect may result from hydrogen bonding between head groups, which leads to the bundling of nanoribbons (Fig. 2c and d), reducing the effective accessible surface area. Compound 2 nanoribbons exhibit an equilibrium binding constant of \(K_b = 7.1 \pm 1.6 \times 10^5\) M\(^{-1}\) in \(n = 3\) background-subtracted ITC experiments (Fig. 4b). This binding constant is statistically significantly higher than that of compound 1, and predicts that compound 2 nanoribbons should thus exhibit enhanced lead binding in adsorption isotherm experiments. As with compound 1 nanoribbons, this equilibrium constant also implies that achieving lead remediation below 15 ppb requires using a significant excess of compound 2 nanoribbons relative to the lead present in the water.\(^{16}\)

As with compound 1, the remediation capacity of compound 2 nanoribbons is expected to be sensitive to pH as head group protonation inhibits ionic interactions with lead ions (Fig. 4c and ESI† Fig. S6). Compound 2 nanoribbons are also demonstrated to maintain their nanostructure upon environmental adjustment to pH 3 (ESI† Fig. S3). At pH 7, compound 2 nanoribbons have an equilibrium saturation
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**Fig. 4**  Self-assembled compound 2 nanoribbons remediate higher quantities of lead from water than those of compound 1. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra suggest 50% of all nanoribbon surfaces participate in Pb\(^{2+}\) binding from the plateau of an absorption peak at \(\lambda = 255\) nm. (b) ITC, tracking heat released from the chelation of Pb\(^{2+}\), reveals an equilibrium Pb\(^{2+}\) binding constant \(K_b = 7.1 \pm 1.6 \times 10^5\) M\(^{-1}\). (c) Adsorption isotherms demonstrate that decreasing pH leads to a decrease in equilibrium lead saturation of compound 2 nanoribbons from \(Q_o = 96\) mg g\(^{-1}\) at pH 7, to \(Q_o = 93\) mg g\(^{-1}\) at pH 5, to \(Q_o = 81\) mg g\(^{-1}\) at pH 3. (d) Adsorption isotherm experiments with equimolar amounts of Pb\(^{2+}\) and either Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\), or Cu\(^{2+}\) show equilibrium lead saturation capacities of 96, 90, 42, and 34 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively.
The saturation capacities of the nanoribbon materials presented here match or exceed those of functional materials reported in the literature. For example, the equilibrium saturation capacities of compound 1 and 2 nanoribbons exceed that of activated carbon (50 mg g$^{-1}$), sulfur-functionalized silica (46 mg g$^{-1}$), and acidified carbon nanotubes (17 mg g$^{-1}$). In contrast, compound 1 and 2 nanoribbons offer comparable performance to Fe(u)-modified zeolite (99 mg g$^{-1}$) and iron oxide nanomaterials (98 mg g$^{-1}$).

Finally, we sought to identify the effect of competitive binding for chelation sites by divalent cations on compound 2 nanoribbons. We again performed adsorption isotherm experiments with equimolar amounts of lead and either calcium, copper, magnesium, or nickel ions (Fig. 4d and ESI† Fig. S7). For compound 2 nanoribbons, we find for Ca$^{2+}$, $Q_0$ = 96 mg g$^{-1}$; for Mg$^{2+}$, $Q_0$ = 90 mg g$^{-1}$; for Ni$^{2+}$, $Q_0$ = 42 mg g$^{-1}$; and for Cu$^{2+}$, $Q_0$ = 34 mg g$^{-1}$. From these results, we observe: Ca$^{2+}$ $<$ Mg$^{2+}$ $<$ Ni$^{2+}$ $<$ Cu$^{2+}$. These results indicate that remediating 1 L of water with 50 ppb Pb to below 15 ppb Pb at pH 7 requires approximately 520 μg of compound 2 nanoribbons in the absence of competing counterions, and 520, 560, 1190, and 1470 μg compound 2 nanoribbons in the presence of equimolar amounts of Pb$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$, respectively. This trend generally follows that of equilibrium binding constants for chelation sites by divalent cations on compound 1 nanoribbons, confirming that head group choice is a viable parameter for tuning chelation capacity of small molecule amphiphilic nanoribbons.

### Conclusions

Here, we presented two aramid amphiphile (AA) molecules that spontaneously self-assemble in water, forming high-aspect-ratio nanoribbons, for the remediation of lead from contaminated water. Both AAs produced microns-long nanoribbons upon assembly with 4 nm × 5–9 nm cross-sections and surface areas on the order of hundreds of m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. All nanoribbons exhibited the capacity to capture Pb$^{2+}$ with a 2:1 chelator:lead stoichiometry. Nanoribbons coated with a surface of DTPA chelators expressed a higher thermodynamic binding constant to lead than those with a DOTA surface, giving rise to higher equilibrium lead saturation capacities. The lead binding capacity of the supramolecular nanoribbons was sensitive to pH, dropping as the head groups became protonated with decreasing pH. The lead-binding efficacy of the nanoribbons was also impacted by the presence of other divalent cations which compete for chelation sites. This result indicates that AA nanoribbons may be used for the synergistic removal of multiple contaminants. Amphiphile head group choice was also identified as a parameter for optimizing binding efficacy and ion selectivity. The small molecule self-assembly strategy described here offers a paradigm for remediating contaminants from water that takes advantage of the high surface areas, tunable chemistries, and scalability of supramolecular nanostructures.
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