Current Awareness of Health Professionals on the Safety of Herbal Medicine and Associated Factors in the South West of Ethiopia
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Background: Recently, the World Health Organization has strongly evidenced the importance of herbal medicine safety monitoring within the existing pharmacovigilance system through active involvement of health professionals. However, there is a widespread lack of awareness among health professionals about the safety of herbal medicines. Thus, this study assessed the current awareness of health professionals on the safety of herbal medicine in the South West of Ethiopia.

Methodology: The study employed a cross-sectional study design involving multistage sampling among health professionals (n=286) working in the medical center of Jimma University, January to February, 2021. The pre-tested self-administered questionnaire containing structured questions on a five-point Likert scale was appropriately filled and returned for statistical analysis. For the analysis of data, Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) was used. The associations between status of awareness and predictors were determined at 5% (p<0.05) level of significance by employing multivariate logistic regression.

Results: The study revealed around 51.8% of respondents had a good awareness regarding herbal medicine safety. Working experience of 6–10 years (AOR: 0.215, 95% CI: 0.093, 0.499), having training (AOR: 0.357, 95% CI: 0.166, 0.770), and practice of safety monitoring (AOR: 0.169, 95% CI: 0.077, 0.370) were significantly associated with awareness of safety of herbal medicine.

Conclusion: This study revealed that awareness of health professionals regarding safety of herbal medicine is not satisfactory. The years of experience, training, and practice of safety monitoring of herbal medicine are the major factors affecting status awareness. Therefore, the National Regulatory Authority must urgently facilitate integrated and consistent training for all health professionals.
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Introduction

Herbal medicines are considered as a category of herbal products used for therapeutic purposes that are derived from plants and plant materials. They constitute different mixtures of organic chemicals that are obtained either from raw or processed sections of plants encompassing leaves, flowers, stems, seeds and roots. In recent years, tremendous attention has given to herbal medicine use for treatment of many different diseases. Up to four billion people in the developing world, which represents 80% of population of the world, rely on herbal medicine for primary health care. The demand has been increasing with the growing number...
of people, the shortage of modern medicines and unaffordable prices, the shortage of economy for controlling of non-communicable diseases as well as the growing social attitudes of several patients in developing countries.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) However, there is an increasing concern regarding herbal medicine safety, due to high demand of herbal medicine for management of several diseases.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) The recent reports revealed the suspected toxicity and unwanted reaction associated with safety of herbal medicine encompassing side effects due to reaction occurring as a result of over-doses, overdurations, tolerances, and hypersensitivity reaction, midterm and extended effects on different organs encompassing renal, liver, cardiac and neurotoxicity as well as teratogenic and genotoxicity.\(^10\) Moreover, secondary to the frequent reports of the aforementioned toxicities, the national regulatory agencies including the WHO (World Health Organization) have highly increased the concern of herbal medicine safety.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\) Accordingly, the WHO has developed guidelines for monitoring of herbal medicine safety within the existing pharmacovigilance framework by recognizing the growing importance of herbal medicine utilization worldwide.\(^13\) Besides, the WHO has recommended active involvement of health professionals in monitoring of safety of the herbal medicine for adequate, effective monitoring of herbal medicine as special requirements. Moreover, a very recent study has indicated the importance of establishing effective herbal medicine policies and health education programs for enhancing patient-desired therapeutic outcome by identifying the benefits and risk of using herbal medicine.\(^14\)

Thus, adequate awareness of health professionals regarding safety of herbal medicine is very crucial. Moreover, adequate provision of information for health professionals has been indicated to facilitate better understanding of the risk associated with utilization of herbal medicine, and to ensure that herbal medicines are safe and of suitable quality.\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^16\) Until recently, however, safety of herbal medicine particularly the interactions of herbal with modern drugs were not suspected among healthcare providers, including the trained physicians, and patients may also not always inform their doctors about the use of herbal medicines at the same time.\(^17\)\(^,\)\(^18\) Moreover, it has been reported that the healthcare provider professionals were unable to advise the patients on the safe use of herbal medicine due to lack of knowledge and insufficient training on herbal medicines.\(^19\) This situation is very severe in developing countries, particularly Ethiopia, where herbal medicines are poorly regulated, and herbal products are sold freely on the market with little or no restraint. Moreover, studies that describe awareness of health professionals regarding safety of herbal medicine is lacking. Hence, the purpose of this study was to assess current awareness of healthcare professionals on safety of herbal medicine in the South West of Ethiopia.

## Methodology

### Study Design and Location

The study employed a cross-sectional study design involving multistage sampling among health professionals (n=286) working in the medical center of Jimma University, January to February, 2021. Geographically, the medical center of Jimma University is located in Jimma Town, and 350 km from Addis Ababa, the capital of Ethiopia. The center provides the major services encompassing 9 medicals and other clinical and diagnostic departments. Approximately, 15,000 inpatients and 160 outpatients, 11, 000 emergency cases, and 450 deliveries came to the center in year from a catchment population of about 15 million.

### Sample Population, Size, and Sampling Technique

The Raosoft sample size calculator was employed to determine the sample size.\(^20\) Two hundred and seventy-three sample sizes of populations were obtained by considering 5% margin of error, 95% confidence interval, and 50% distribution of the response for an approximate population of 950, a total of health professionals working in Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC). Allowing for 5% dropout, 286 participants were included in the study. For selecting study participants, Jimma University health professionals were stratified into departments and/or clusters (Medical doctors, Nurses, and Pharmacy). Then, a convenience sampling method was used to select study participants (n=286) through proportional allocation to each cluster of the department.

### Data Collection Procedure

To collect data from study participants the pre-tested self-administered questionnaire was employed. The questionnaire was designed and validated based on extensive review of previous literatures in English language.\(^21\)\(^-\)\(^25\) Three experts have examined the face validity as well as
readability of the questionnaire in two rounds of review, placing attention on easy comprehension of the questionnaire to gear to general populations. Then, the pre-test was carried out on around 10 non-health professionals. Accordingly, based on feedback, modification was made as necessary to the final version of the questionnaire. For the purpose of consistency an English version of the questionnaire was translated to Afan Oromo and Amharic, local languages, and reversed to English. The questionnaire encompasses three sections; the first part was used to assess the sociodemographic characteristics, and the second part assessed the practice and monitoring of the herbal medicine. The last section of the questionnaire was used for evaluation of the level of awareness of the health professionals on safety of the herbal medicine. Thus, the Likert items were calculated on a 1–5 response scale, where strongly agree (5), agree (4), neutral (3), disagree (2), and strongly disagree (1), with the objective of determining the level of health professional awareness on safety of the herbal medicine. To ensure this objective, awareness levels of the respondents were assessed; their responses were dichotomized into good awareness and low awareness after computing the overall mean, from each calculated mean score of awareness for all health professionals. Accordingly, good awareness earns a mean score greater than or equal to the overall mean, while low awareness possesses a mean score less than the overall mean.

Statistical Analysis
All the filled questionnaires were checked to ensure their completeness before they were manually entered into Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 21.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) based on appropriate coding and scoring formats for analysis. The results were summarized using descriptive statistics. The associations between status of awareness and predictors were determined at 5% (p<0.05) level of significance by employing multivariate logistic regression after analysis of the bivariate logistic regression that was used to check the significance of association between the outcome and independent variables.

Results
Characteristics of Study Participants
Out of 286 participants invited, 270 responded to the study yielding a 94.4% response rate. Among the study participants, the majority of respondents 140 (51.9%) were medical doctors and 88 (32.6%) were nurses, while 42 (15.6%) constituted pharmacy professionals. The results also revealed the respondent’s age resides within 25–30 years. Concerning years of experience, the majority of study participants 137 (50.7%) had experience of 1–5 years (Table 1).

Use, Practice, and Monitoring Safety of Herbal Medicine
Of study respondents, the majority 163 (60.4%) study participants used herbal medicine. Around 76 (28.1%) of study participants had a training regarding safety of herbal medicine. Moreover, 112 (41.5%) of respondents faced patients who have experienced side effects as the used of drug and herb concomitantly (Table 2).

Awareness of Health Professionals on the Safety of Herbal Medicines
Awareness of health professional measurements based on seven (7) items on a five-point Likert scale showed the overall mean was 3.64±0.44 (Figure 1). Besides, the dichotomized level of awareness based on the overall mean revealed about 51.8% of the respondents had

| Table 1 Respondents’ Sociodemographic Characteristics |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| Study Variables | Frequency (n=270) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Gender          |                  |                |
| Male            | 138              | 51.1           |
| Female          | 132              | 48.9           |
| Age             |                  |                |
| 25–30 years     | 137              | 50.7           |
| 30–35 years     | 74               | 27.4           |
| 35–40 years     | 22               | 8.1            |
| >40 years       | 37               | 13.7           |
| Marital status  |                  |                |
| Married         | 78               | 28.9           |
| Divorced        | 17               | 6.3            |
| Single          | 160              | 59.3           |
| Others          | 15               | 5.6            |
| Professional category |          |                |
| Medical doctor  | 140              | 51.9           |
| Pharmacist      | 42               | 15.6           |
| Nurse           | 88               | 32.6           |
| Years of experience |          |                |
| 1–5 years       | 177              | 65.6           |
| 6–10 years      | 85               | 31.5           |
| >10 years       | 8                | 3.0            |
| Department they work in |        |                |
| Emergency room  | 33               | 12.2           |
| Outpatient department | 70      | 25.9           |
| Ward            | 147              | 54.4           |
| Others          | 20               | 7.4            |
a good awareness of herbal medicine safety (Figure 2). The majority of respondents agreed to each item, except item number 6, in which no respondents have experience of reporting the suspected adverse reactions of herbal medicine to the national pharmacovigilance centre (Table 3). The detailed evaluation of the level of awareness among health professionals on herbal medicine safety using Likert-scale questions is portrayed in Table 3.

Table 2 Utilization, Practice, and Monitoring of the Herbal Medicine of the Respondents

| Items                                                                 | Frequency (n=270) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Have you ever used herbal medicine?                                   | Yes: 163, No: 107 | 60.4, 39.6     |
| Do you have a training regarding safety of herbal medicine?           | Yes: 76, No: 194  | 28.1, 71.9     |
| Have you experienced side effects after consuming herbal medicine    | Yes: 46, No: 224  | 17.0, 83.0     |
| Do you monitor safety of herbal medicines?                            | Yes: 75, No: 195  | 27.8, 72.2     |
| Do you have any information about drug herb interaction?              | Yes: 194, No: 76  | 71.9, 28.1     |
| Have you faced a patient who experienced side effects during the concomitant use of drug and herb? | Yes: 112, No: 158 | 41.5, 58.5     |

Figure 1 Computed overall mean of study participants based on seven-item Likert scale.

Figure 2 Computed overall mean of study participants based on seven-item Likert scale.
Factors Associated with Herbal Medicine Safety Awareness

Multivariate logistic regression revealed that age, years of experience, training regarding safety of herbal medicine, history of monitoring of the safety of herbal medicine, and met a patient who experienced side effect after having herbal medicine were significantly associated with awareness of herbal medicine safety (Table 4). Health professionals whose age ranged between 31–35 years were 2.54 times higher to have awareness of the safety of herbal medicine as compared to those whose age was between 25–30 years (AOR: 2.54, 95% CI: 1.082, 5.962) while those whose age ranged between 36–40 years were 4.023 times higher (AOR: 4.023, 95% CI: 1.062, 15.248). Respondents who had experience of 1–5 years were 78.5 times less likely have an awareness of safety of herbal medicines as those who had 6–10 years of experience (AOR: 0.215, 95% CI: 0.093, 0.499). Study participants who had no training on the safety of herbal medicine were 64.3 times less likely to have an awareness of safety of herbal medicines as those who had training (AOR: 0.357, 95% CI: 0.166, 0.770). Moreover, health professionals whose did not monitor of the safety of herbal medicine were 83.1 times less likely have an awareness of safety of herbal medicines as those who did monitor herbal medicine safety (AOR: 0.169, 95% CI: 0.077, 0.370).

Discussion

The importance of herbal medicine safety monitoring has been highlighted in many recent findings,\(^7\)\(^{-12}\) and has become a burning concern for both general public and national regulatory authorities. As a result, the World Health Organization has strongly warned of the safety monitoring of herbal medicine within the existing pharmacovigilance system, and highly recommended the involvement of health professionals for appropriate monitoring of the safety of herbal medicine.\(^13\)

However, it has been repeatedly indicated that health professionals working in the world, particularly in developing countries, have limited awareness regarding monitoring of the safety of herbal medicine.\(^15\)\(^{-18}\) Thus, assessing the awareness of health professionals regarding safety of herbal medicine is very crucial, which will facilitate the development of a national pharmacovigilance system for herbal medicines. Moreover, it will highlight the urgency of providing training regarding safety of herbal medicine for health professionals especially; a low income country like Ethiopia thus helps creation of
Table 3 Evaluation of Level of Awareness on Herbal Medicines Safety Among Health Professionals Using Likert-Scale Questions

| Items for Assessment of the Level of Awareness Regarding Safety of Herbal Medicine | Strongly Agree (%) | Agree (%) | Neutral (%) | Disagree (%) | Strongly Disagree (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Concomitant use of herbal medicines with conventional drugs may cause potential pharmacokinetic interactions | 24.1 | 36.7 | 34.1 | 3.7 | 1.5 |
| Herbal medicine products could inhibit and/or induce drug-metabolizing enzymes | 26.7 | 43.7 | 27.4 | 2.2 | 0 |
| Currently, there is misunderstanding and prejudice toward herbal medicine safety | 18.1 | 48.5 | 31.9 | 1.5 | 0 |
| The factors that affect quality of herbal medicine could compromise the safety of herbal medicine | 10.7 | 58.1 | 28.9 | 2.2 | 0 |
| It is a role of health professionals including physicians, pharmacists, and nurses who should report suspected adverse reactions of herbal medicine to the national pharmacovigilance centre | 25.6 | 61.1 | 11.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| I have experience of reporting the suspected adverse reactions of the herbal medicine to the national health pharmacovigilance centre | 0 | 0 | 52.6 | 25.6 | 21.9 |
| The treatment outcome may be affected when patients take herbal medicine before coming to health facilities | 10.4 | 56.7 | 31.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 |

adequate awareness on safety of herbal medicine among health professionals.

In this study, about 51.8% of respondents had a good awareness regarding safety of herbal medicine. Compared with study findings in Malaysia, which had reported a higher percentage of level awareness, the present study revealed lower level of awareness of herbal medicine safety. This difference may be related to employment of different study approaches, as the current study evaluated the level of awareness based on structured questions on a five-point Likert scale, which is very important to reduce bias as it allow respondents to express both the direction and strength of their opinion about a topic.

The majority of study participants agreed that health professionals have a crucial responsibility in monitoring of herbal medicine safety. This finding strongly strengthens the recommendations already highlighted by the WHO that has indicated the importance of involving health professionals in monitoring of the safety of herbal medicine. The current study is also in line with recent reports that have evidenced the importance of enhancing the awareness of health professionals about the use herbal medicines for making better informed decisions, and establish the effective herbal medicine policies and health education programs. Contrarily, more than half of the respondents did not agree, as concomitant use of herbal medicine with conventional drugs could cause potential pharmacokinetic interactions. This is consistent with previously reported findings, in which health professionals lacked awareness regarding potential interactions between herbal products and modern drugs.

Moreover, the multivariate logistic regression revealed that age, years of experience, training regarding safety of herbal medicine, and history of monitoring of the safety of herbal medicine were significantly associated with awareness of safety of herbal medicine. These significances have revealed the predictors for awareness of health professionals regarding herbal medicine safety.

Conclusions

The present study revealed that awareness levels of healthcare professionals on the safety of herbal medicine in the study area is not satisfactory; with age, years of experience, and training as major factors affecting the awareness levels of the respondents. Therefore, the National Regulatory Authority must urgently facilitate integrated and consistent training for all healthcare professionals for the development of an effective pharmacovigilance framework to assist monitoring of herbal medicine safety. Furthermore, the findings implicate the need of...
A comprehensive study on how health professionals manage prehospital use of herbal medicine.
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**Table 4 Predictors of Awareness Regarding Safety of Herbal Medicine**

| Study Variables                             | Awareness of Herbal Medicine Safety (%) | OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
|                                             | Good Awareness | Low Awareness |   |
| Age                                         |                         |             |         |
| 25–30 years                                 | 61 (44.5%) | 76 (55.5%) | 1 2.540 (1.082, 5.962)* | 0.013 |
| 31–35 years                                 | 49 (66.2%) | 25 (33.8%) | 1 4.023 (1.062, 15.248)* | 0.029 |
| 36–40 years                                 | 15 (68.2%) | 7 (31.8%) | 1 0.529 (0.190, 1.476) | 0.052 |
| >41 years                                   | 14 (37.8%) | 23 (62.2%) | 1         |         |
| Years of Experience                         |                         |             |         |
| 1–5 years                                   | 92 (52.0%) | 85 (48.0%) | 1 0.215 (0.093, 0.499)* | 0.000 |
| 6–10 years                                  | 40 (47.1%) | 45 (52.9%) | 1 9.430 (0.520, 171.022) | 0.009 |
| ≥11 years                                   | 7 (87.5%) | 1 (12.5%) | 1         |         |
| Training Regarding Safety of Herbal Medicine |                         |             |         |
| Yes                                         | 57 (75.0%) | 19 (25.0%) | 1 0.357 (0.166, 0.770)* | 0.009 |
| No                                          | 82 (42.3%) | 112 (57.7%) | 1         |         |
| Side effects Manifested Due to Herbal Medicine Use |                         |             |         |
| Yes                                         | 35 (76.1%) | 11 (23.9%) | 1 0.335 (0.123, 0.711) | 0.032 |
| No                                          | 104 (46.4%) | 120 (53.6%) | 1         |         |
| Monitor Safety of Herbal Medicine           |                         |             |         |
| Yes                                         | 63 (84.0%) | 12 (16.0%) | 1 0.169 (0.077, 0.370)* | 0.000 |
| No                                          | 76 (39.0%) | 119 (61.0%) | 1         |         |
| Faced Patient Who Experienced Side Effect After Having Herbal Medicines |                         |             |         |
| Yes                                         | 83 (74.1%) | 29 (25.9%) | 1 0.378 (0.186, 1.766) | 0.007 |
| No                                          | 56 (35.4%) | 102 (64.6%) | 1         |         |

**Note:** *Statistically significant at 95% CI.
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
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