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Abstract

In this work we provide polyphase, modulation, and frame theoretical analyses of a filter bank on a discrete abelian group. Thus, multidimensional or cyclic filter banks as well as filter banks for signals in \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_s) \) or \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s) \) spaces are studied in a unified way. We obtain perfect reconstruction conditions and the corresponding frame bounds.
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1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to provide a filter bank theory for processing signals in the space \( \ell^2(G) \) where \( G \) denotes a countable discrete abelian group. Working in this general setting allows us to study all the classical groups associated with filter banks in digital signal processing in one go. Thus, unidimensional (setting \( \ell^2(G) = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \)), multidimensional (\( \ell^2(G) = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \)), cyclic filter banks (\( \ell^2(G) = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_s) \)), as well as filter banks processing signals in the spaces \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_s), \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s), \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_d^d) \) or \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_v) \) are englobed in the present study.

The proposed abstract group approach is not just a unified way of dealing with classical discrete groups \( \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^d \) or \( \mathbb{Z}_s \); it also allows us to deal with products of these groups. This has been pointed out in [11] and it has consequences from a practical point of view: for example, multichannel video signal involves the group \( \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_s \), where \( d \) is the number of channels and \( s \) the number of pixels of each image. Hence the availability of an abstract filter bank theory becomes a useful tool to englobe different digital signal processing problems.

Besides, nowadays there exists a mathematical literature dealing with abstract or applied mathematical problems which are studied from a theoretical groups point of view. See, in particular, Refs. [2, 6, 7, 13, 11, 16] where shift-invariant spaces, Fourier-like frames or sampling problems are considered on LCA groups. An introduction to group theory and symmetries in signal processing can be found in Ref. [26].
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Classical filter banks have turned out to be very useful in digital signal processing and in wavelet theory (see, for instance, [24, 27, 29, 33] and references therein). One of the main reasons why filter banks has become so useful has been the use of the polyphase analysis, first carried out by Vetterli [32] and Vaidyanathan [28], which simplifies considerably the theory, and is especially convenient in their practical design. The original filter bank theory for unidimensional signals in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ was extended for multidimensional filter banks (see, for instance, [23, 29, 34]), as well as for cyclic filter banks [30, 31].

Also, associated to a unidimensional analysis filter bank there is a sequence of shifts \( \{ T_n f_k := f_k(\cdot - n) \}_{k=1,2,\ldots,K; n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) of \( K \) elements \( f_k \) in \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \). The frame property of this sequence gives information about the corresponding filter bank: its dual frames provide synthesis filter banks, and its frame bounds provide information on the filter bank stability. See, for instance, Refs. [4, 8, 19, 12, 14] for the unidimensional \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) setting.

In this paper we introduce the filter bank concept in the setting of a discrete abelian group \( G \), and we generalize the polyphase representation for classical filter banks to our setting. This polyphase representation provides a suitable perfect reconstruction condition. Besides, we extend the frame analysis to this new \( \ell^2(G) \) setting. In particular and as far as we know we carry out the first frame analysis for multidimensional filter banks.

Although our study is done in the polyphase domain, for the sake of completeness, we also include the filter bank representation in the modulation domain, as well as the relationship between polyphase and modulation matrices. The modulation matrix in the group setting was firstly introduced in [3].

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the properties of Fourier transform for discrete abelian group used along the article. Section 3 contains the main results in the paper: we provide a polyphase representation of a filter bank in \( \ell^2(G) \) obtaining a perfect reconstruction condition; we derive the corresponding frame analysis obtaining the optimal frame bounds; we also include the filter bank representation in the modulation domain and the relationship between polyphase and modulation matrices. Finally, in Section 4 we apply the results in Section 3 to a wide variety of examples.

2 Some preliminaries on harmonic analysis on groups

The results about harmonic analysis on locally compact abelian (LCA) groups are borrowed from Ref. [15]; see also [18] or [25]. Note that, in particular, a countable discrete abelian group is a second countable Hausdorff LCA group.

2.1 Convolutions

Let \( G \) be a countable discrete abelian group with the operation group denoted by +. For, \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( \ell^p(G) \) denotes the set of functions \( x : G \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \|x\|_p := \sum_{n \in G} |x(n)|^p < \infty \). For \( x, y \in \ell^2(G) \) we define its convolution as

\[
(x * y)(m) := \sum_{n \in G} x(n)y(m - n), \quad m \in G.
\]

The series above converges absolutely for any \( m \in G \) [15, Proposition 2.40]. According to [15 Proposition 2.39], if \( x \in \ell^2(G) \) and \( y \in \ell^1(G) \) then \( x * y \in \ell^2(G) \) and

\[
\|x * y\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_1.
\]
2.2 The Fourier transform

Let $T = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}$ be the unidimensional torus. We said that $\xi : G \mapsto T$ is a character of $G$ if $\xi(n + m) = \xi(n)\xi(m)$ for all $n, m \in G$. We denote $\xi(n) = \langle n, \xi \rangle$. Defining $(\xi + \gamma)(n) = \xi(n)\gamma(n)$, the set of characters $\hat{G}$ with the operation $+$ is a group, called the dual group of $G$. For $x \in \ell^1(G)$ we define its Fourier transform as

$$X(\xi) = \hat{x}(\xi) := \sum_{n \in G} x(n)\overline{\xi(n)}, \quad \xi \in \hat{G}.$$  

It is known \[15, \text{Theorem 4.5}\] that $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong T$, with $\langle n, z \rangle = z^n$, and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_s := \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$, with $\langle n, m \rangle = W^{nm}_s$, where $W_s = e^{2\pi i/s}$. Thus, the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{Z}$ is the $z$-transform,

$$X(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x(n)z^{-n}$$

and the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{Z}_s$ is the s-point DFT,

$$X(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s} x(n)W_{s}^{-nm}.$$  

There exists a unique measure, called the Haar measure, $\mu$ on $\hat{G}$ satisfying $\mu(\xi + E) = \mu(E)$, for every Borel set $E \subset \hat{G}$ \[15, \text{Section 2.2}\], and $\mu(\hat{G}) = 1$. We denote $\int_{\hat{G}} X(\xi)d\xi = \int_{\hat{G}} X(\xi)d\mu(\xi)$. If $G = \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_{\hat{G}} X(\xi)d\xi = \int_T X(z)dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(e^{iw})dw,$$

and if $G = \mathbb{Z}_s$,

$$\int_{\hat{G}} X(\xi)d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_s} X(n)dn = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s} X(n).$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $L^p(\hat{G})$ denotes the set of measurable functions $X : \hat{G} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|X\|_p^p := \int_{\hat{G}} |X(\xi)|^p d\xi < \infty$. The Fourier transform on $\ell^1(G) \cap \ell^2(G)$ is an isometry on a dense subspace of $L^2(\hat{G})$. Thus, by Plancherel Theorem it can be extended in a unique manner to a unitary operator of $\ell^2(G)$ onto $L^2(\hat{G})$ \[15, p. 99\].

If $x \in \ell^1(G)$ and $X \in L^1(\hat{G})$ then

$$x(n) = \int_{\hat{G}} X(\xi)\langle n, \xi \rangle d\xi, \quad n \in G \quad (\text{Inversion Theorem } [15, \text{Theorem 4.32}])$$

and, if $x \in \ell^2(G)$ and $h \in \ell^1(G)$ then

$$(x * h)^\wedge(\xi) = X(\xi)H(\xi), \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \hat{G} \quad [18, \text{Theorem 31.27}].$$

If $G_1, \ldots, G_d$ are abelian discrete groups then the dual group of the product group is

$$(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_d)^\wedge = \hat{G}_1 \times \cdots \times \hat{G}_n \quad [15, \text{Proposition 4.6}],$$

with $\langle (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d), (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_d) \rangle = \langle x_1, \xi_1 \rangle \langle x_2, \xi_2 \rangle \cdots \langle x_d, \xi_d \rangle$. Hence, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^d \cong \mathbb{T}^d$ and the corresponding Fourier transform is

$$X(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} x(n)z^{-n}, \quad z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$
where $z^n = z_1^{n_1} \ldots z_d^{n_d}$. Besides, $\mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_r \cong \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ and the corresponding Fourier transform is

$$X(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_r} x(n) W_s^{-n_1 m_1} W_r^{-n_2 m_2}, \quad m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_r.$$ 

### 3 Filter banks on discrete groups

Let us begin the section giving a short introduction to the polyphase transform which is the appropriate tool for analyzing and designing a classical filter bank. In the $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ setting, a filter bank involves an $L$-fold decimator, also called downsampler or compressor, which takes the input signal $\{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and produces the output $\left( \downarrow_L x \right) = \{x(Ln)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where the sampling period $L$ is a natural number. The polyphase transform of the signal $\{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the $L$-dimensional vector which entries are the $z$-transform of the so called polyphase components $\{x(Ln + l)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots, L - 1$, of the signal $x$. Namely,

$$X(z) = \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x(Ln + l) z^{-n} \right]_{l=0,1,\ldots,L-1}.$$ 

A filter bank designed to process signals in $\ell^2(G)$, where $G$ is a countable discrete abelian group, should involve an $M$-decimator taking the input signal $\{x(n)\}_{n \in G}$ and producing as output the restriction of $x$ to a subgroup $M$ of $G$ of finite index $L$ (also called a lattice of $G$), i.e., $\left( \downarrow_M x \right) := \{x(n)\}_{n \in M}$. In order to generalize the polyphase representation to this setting (see [3]), it comes naturally to define the polyphase transform of $\{x(n)\}_{n \in G}$ as the $L$-dimensional vector whose entries are the $M$-Fourier transform (the Fourier transform with respect to the subgroup $M$) of the polyphase components $\{x(m + \ell)\}_{m \in M}$, $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of representatives of the cosets of $M$. Namely (see the details below),

$$X(\gamma) = \left[ \sum_{m \in M} x(m + \ell) \langle m, \gamma \rangle \right]_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}}, \quad \gamma \in \hat{M}. \quad (2)$$

When $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ the above transform becomes that used in multidimensional filter banks [23, 29, 34], while $G = \mathbb{Z}_s$ yields the transform used in cyclic filter banks [30, 31]. It is also worth to note that the considered polyphase transform (2) can be obtained, as a particular case of the Zak transform for LCA groups (see, for instance, [11, 17, 21]), which generalizes the classical Zak transform; specifically, $X(\gamma) = [Z x(\ell, \gamma)]_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}}$.

#### 3.1 The lattice $M$

Throughout the article, we assume that $M$ is a subgroup of $G$ with finite index $L$; we fix a set $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_0, \ldots, \ell_{L-1}\}$ of representatives of the cosets of $M$, i.e., the group $G$ can be decomposed as

$$G = (\ell_0 + M) \cup (\ell_1 + M) \cup \ldots \cup (\ell_{L-1} + M)$$

with $(\ell_r + M) \cap (\ell_r' + M) = \emptyset$ for $r \neq r'$ (the set $\mathcal{L}$ is also called a transversal or a section of $M$). For instance, for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{LZ}$ we can take $\mathcal{L} = \{0, 1, \ldots, L - 1\}$ since

$$\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{LZ} \cup (1 + \mathbb{LZ}) \cup \cdots \cup (L - 1 + \mathbb{LZ}).$$

We denote by $\ast_M$ the convolution with respect to the subgroup $M$, i.e.,

$$(c \ast_M d)(n) := \sum_{m \in M} c(m) d(n - m), \quad n \in M.$$
3.2 The $M$-Fourier transform

The annihilator of $M$ is the subgroup of $\hat{G}$ given by $M^\perp := \{ \xi \in \hat{G} : \langle m, \xi \rangle = 1 \text{ for all } m \in M \}$, which has $L$ elements [15, Section 4.3]. We have that

$$\hat{M} \cong \hat{G} / M^\perp \text{ with } \langle m, \xi + M^\perp \rangle = \langle m, \xi \rangle \text{ [15, Theorem 4.39].}$$

We denote by $C(\xi + M^\perp)$ or $\hat{c}(\xi + M^\perp)$ the Fourier transform of a function $c$ in the group $M$, i.e.,

$$C(\xi + M^\perp) = \sum_{m \in M} c(m)\langle m, \xi + M^\perp \rangle = \sum_{m \in M} c(m)\langle m, \xi \rangle.$$

As we said above, our polyphase representation relies on this transform. Thus, in many occasions to simplify the notation we denote the characters of $\hat{M}$ by $\gamma$ instead of $\xi + M^\perp$. To prevent confusions, we call $C(\gamma)$ the $M$-Fourier transform of $c$.

3.3 The filter bank

For a complex function $x$ with domain in $G$, we denote its restriction to the subgroup $M$ as

$$(\downarrow_M x)(m) = x(m), \ m \in M.$$

For a complex function $x$ with domain $M$ we define the expander to $G$ as

$$(\uparrow_M x)(n) = \begin{cases} x(n), & n \in M \\ 0, & n \notin M. \end{cases}$$

Throughout this paper we consider the $K$-channel filter bank represented in Fig. 1, i.e.,

$$c_k = \left( \downarrow_M (x * h_k) \right) \text{ and } y = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\uparrow_M c_k) * g_k,$$

where $h_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, are the analysis filters, and $g_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, are the synthesis filters. Equivalently, we have the input-output expression:

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} (x * h_k)(m) g_k(n - m), \ n \in G. \quad (3)$$

In the sequel, we assume that the filters $h_k, g_k \in \ell^1(G), \text{ for } k \in \mathcal{K}$, where for notational ease we denote $\mathcal{K} := \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$. This assumption guarantees the convergence of series involved in (3) for any $x \in \ell^2(G)$. Indeed, from (1) we have that $x * h_k \in \ell^2(G)$ and then, again from (1), we have that the series in (3) converges absolutely and $y \in \ell^2(G)$.

3.4 Polyphase analysis

For $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ and $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ we define the polyphase components of $x, h_k, g_k$ and $y$ as

$$x_\ell(m) := x(m + \ell), \ y_\ell(m) := y(m + \ell), \ h_{k, \ell}(m) := h_k(m - \ell), \ g_{\ell, k}(m) := g_k(m + \ell), \ m \in M,$$
and we denote their $M$-Fourier transforms by $X_\ell(\gamma), Y_\ell(\gamma), H_{k,\ell}(\gamma), G_{\ell,k}(\gamma)$ respectively.

For any $x \in \ell^2(G)$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$c_k(m) = (x * h_k)(m) = \sum_{n \in G} x(n) h_k(m - n) = \sum_{\ell \in L} \sum_{n \in M} x(n + \ell) h_k(m - n - \ell)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell \in L} \sum_{n \in M} x_\ell(n) h_{k,\ell}(m - n) = \sum_{\ell \in L} (x_\ell *_M h_{k,\ell})(m).$$

All the series above converge absolutely since we have assumed that $h_k \in \ell^1(G)$. Moreover, $c_k \in \ell^2(M)$ since $x * h_k \in \ell^2(G)$. Taking the $M$-Fourier transform, we obtain

$$C_k(\gamma) = \sum_{\ell \in L} H_{k,\ell}(\gamma) X_\ell(\gamma).$$

(4)

Thus, we have the matrix expression

$$C(\gamma) = H(\gamma) X(\gamma) \quad \text{a.e. } \gamma \in \hat{M},$$

(5)

where

$$C(\gamma) = [C_k(\gamma)]_{k \in K}, \quad X(\gamma) = [X_\ell(\gamma)]_{\ell \in L}, \quad H(\gamma) = [H_{k,\ell}(\gamma)]_{k \in K, \ell \in L}. \quad \text{(6)}$$

Above, $C(\gamma)$ and $X(\gamma)$ denote column vectors, i.e., $C(\gamma) = [C_1(\gamma), \ldots, C_K(\gamma)]^\top$ and $X(\gamma) = [X_\ell_{\ell_0}(\gamma), \ldots, X_{\ell L-1}(\gamma)]^\top$, and $H(\gamma)$ is a $K \times L$ matrix.

The polyphase components of the output $y$ can be written as

$$y_\ell(m) = y(m + \ell) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n \in M} c_k(n) g_k(m + \ell - n)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n \in M} c_k(n) g_{k,\ell}(m - n) = \sum_{k=1}^K (c_k *_M g_{k,\ell})(m).$$

Taking the $M$-Fourier transform, we obtain

$$Y_\ell(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^K G_{\ell,k}(\gamma) C_k(\gamma) \quad \text{a.e. } \gamma \in \hat{M},$$

which can be written as

$$Y(\gamma) = G(\gamma) C(\gamma) \quad \text{a.e. } \gamma \in \hat{M},$$

(7)
Thus, from (5) and (7), we have

\[ Y(\gamma) = G(\gamma) H(\gamma) X(\gamma) \quad \text{a.e. } \gamma \in \hat{M}. \]  

(9)

On the other hand, we consider in the following proposition a generalization to discrete groups of the polyphase transform:

**Proposition 1.** The polyphase transform \( \mathcal{P} : \ell^2(G) \to L^2(\hat{M}) \times \cdots \times L^2(\hat{M}) \) (\( L \) times) defined by \( \mathcal{P}(x) := X = [X_{\ell}]_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \), is a unitary operator.

**Proof.** For \( x, y \in \ell^2(G) \) we have

\[
\langle x, y \rangle_{\ell^2(G)} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{m \in M} x(m + \ell) \overline{y(m + \ell)} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{m \in M} x(\ell) \overline{y(\ell)} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \langle x_{\ell}, y_{\ell} \rangle_{L^2(\hat{M})}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \langle X_{\ell}, Y_{\ell} \rangle_{L^2(\hat{M})} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{L^2(\hat{M}) \times \cdots \times L^2(\hat{M})}.
\]

Then \( \mathcal{P} \) is a isometry. Besides, for any \( X \in L^2(\hat{M}) \times \cdots \times L^2(\hat{M}) \), since the \( M \)-Fourier transform is a surjective isometry between \( \ell^2(M) \) and \( L^2(\hat{M}) \), there exists a function \( x \) such that its polyphase components \( [X_{\ell}]_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \) coincides with \( X \). Hence, \( \mathcal{P} \) is surjective.

By using Proposition 1 from (9) we easily deduce:

**Theorem 1.** The filter bank defined by (3) satisfies the perfect reconstruction property, i.e, \( y = x \) for all \( x \in \ell^2(G) \) if and only if \( G(\gamma) H(\gamma) = I_L \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \), where \( I_L \) denotes the identity matrix of order \( L \).

**Proof.** Having in mind Proposition 1 and (9), the filter bank satisfies the perfect reconstruction property if and only if \( G(\gamma) H(\gamma) = I_L \) a.e. \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \). Since we have assume that \( h_k \) and \( g_k \) belong to \( \ell^1(G) \), their polyphase components, \( h_{k,\ell} \) and \( g_{k,\ell} \) belong to \( L^1(M) \). Then their \( M \)-Fourier transform are continuous [15, Proposition 4.13]. Hence, the entries of \( G(\gamma) H(\gamma) \) are continuous. Therefore, \( G(\gamma) H(\gamma) = I_L \) a.e. \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \) if and only if \( G(\gamma) H(\gamma) = I_L \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \).
3.5 Frame analysis

For \( m \in \mathbb{M} \), we denote the translation operator by \( m \) as \((T_m f)(n) := f(n - m), n \in \mathbb{G}, \) and the involution of \( f \) as \( \bar{f}(n) := f(-n), n \in \mathbb{G} \). Then, for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \)

\[
    c_k(m) := (x * h_k)(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{G}} x(n) h_k(m - n) = \langle x, T_m \bar{h}_k \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{G})}, \quad m \in \mathbb{G},
\]

and if, for notational ease, we denote \( f_k := \bar{h}_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \), the expansion \([3]\) representing the filter bank can be written as

\[
y = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}} \langle x, T_m f_k \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{G})} T_m g_k.
\]

Thus, the filter bank in Fig. 1 is related to the sequences \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) and \( \{T_m g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \). The following results provide the frame properties of these sequences. In Ref. \([10]\) the reader can find the main properties of frames and Riesz bases. Recall that we have assumed that \( h_k \in \ell^1(\mathbb{G}) \) which is equivalent to assume that \( f_k \in \ell^1(\mathbb{G}) \).

**Theorem 2.** The sequences \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) and \( \{T_m g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) are dual frames for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{G}) \) if and only if \( G(\gamma) H(\gamma) = I_L \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{M}} \).

**Proof.** By using \([10]\) and \([1]\), for each \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \) we obtain that

\[
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}} |\langle x, T_m f_k \rangle|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{G}} |\langle x, T_n f_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{G}} |x * h_k(n)|^2
\]

\[
= \|x * h_k\|_2^2 \leq \|x\|_2^2\|h_k\|_1^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{G}).
\]

Hence, \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) is a Bessel sequence for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{G}) \). Analogously one proves that the sequence \( \{T_m g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) is a Bessel sequence for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{G}) \). Having in mind Lemma 5.6.2 in \([10]\), the result is now a consequence of Theorem \([4]\). \(\square\)

Let \( H^*(\gamma) \) denote the transpose conjugate of the matrix \( H(\gamma) \).

**Theorem 3.** The sequence \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) is a frame for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{G}) \) if and only if \( \text{Rank} H(\gamma) = L \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{M}}. \) In this case, the optimal frame bounds are

\[
A = \min_{\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{M}}} \left[ \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad B = \max_{\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{M}}} \left[ \lambda_{\max}(\gamma) \right],
\]

where \( \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) \) and \( \lambda_{\max}(\gamma) \) are the smallest and the largest eigenvalue of the matrix \( H^*(\gamma) H(\gamma) \).

In case \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) is a frame for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{G}), \) its canonical dual frame is \( \{T_m \bar{f}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}} \) where \( \bar{f}_k = \mathcal{P}^{-1}(H^* H)^{-1} P f_k, \) \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K, \) where \( \mathcal{P} \) denotes the polyphase transform in Prop. \([7]\).

**Proof.** First, notice that \( \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) \) and \( \lambda_{\max}(\gamma) \) have a minimum and a maximum value over \( \hat{\mathbb{M}}. \) Indeed, since \( h_k \in \ell^1(\mathbb{G}), \) the entries of \( H^*(\gamma) H(\gamma) \) are continuous functions \([15]\) Proposition
and then \( \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) \) and \( \lambda_{\max}(\gamma) \) are real continuous functions (see [35]). Besides, since \( M \) is discrete, \( \hat{M} \) is compact [15, Proposition 4.4].

In the proof of Theorem 2 we have showed that \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}, m \in M} \) is a Bessel sequence. Now, we obtain a representation in the polyphase domain for its frame operator

\[
S x = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} \langle x, T_m f_k \rangle T_m f_k, \quad x \in \ell^2(G).
\]

Indeed, when \( g_k(n) = f_k(n) = \overline{h_k(-n)} \), then \( G = H^* \), and the representation (9) reads

\[
[\mathcal{P} S x](\gamma) = H^*(\gamma) H(\gamma) X(\gamma).
\]

By using Proposition [1] we get

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} |\langle x, T_m f_k \rangle|^2 = (S x, x)_{\ell^2(G)} = (\mathcal{P} S x, \mathcal{P} x)_{L^2(\hat{M})} = \int_{\hat{M}} X^*(\gamma) [\mathcal{P} S x](\gamma) d\gamma = \int_{\hat{M}} X^*(\gamma) H^*(\gamma) H(\gamma) X(\gamma) d\gamma.
\]

Hence,

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} |\langle x, T_m f_k \rangle|^2 \geq \int_{\hat{M}} \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) |X(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \geq A \int_{\hat{M}} |X(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma = A\|x\|^2.
\]

Let \( J > A \); there exists a subset \( \Omega \subset \hat{M} \) with positive measure such that \( \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) < J \) for \( \gamma \in \Omega \). Let \( X(\gamma) \) be equal to 0 when \( \gamma \notin \Omega \) and equal to a unitary eigenvector of \( H^*(\gamma) H(\gamma) \) corresponding to \( \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) \) when \( \gamma \in \Omega \). Notice that \( X \in L^2(\hat{M}) \times \ldots \times L^2(\hat{M}) \) if \( \|X\|^2_{L^2(\hat{M})} = \text{measure}(\Omega) \leq 1 \). The function \( x = \mathcal{P}^{-1} X \) satisfies

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} |\langle x, T_m f_k \rangle|^2 = \int_{\Omega} X^*(\gamma) H^*(\gamma) H(\gamma) X(\gamma) d\gamma = \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) X^*(\gamma) X(\gamma) d\gamma \leq J\|x\|^2.
\]

Therefore, the sequence \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}, m \in M} \) is a frame for \( \ell^2(G) \) if and only if \( A > 0 \), and in this case the lower optimal bound is \( A \). In the same way it can be proved that \( B \) is the optimal Bessel sequence. Since \( \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) \) is a continuous function, \( A > 0 \) if and only if \( \lambda_{\min}(\gamma) > 0 \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \) which is equivalent to be the rank of \( H(\gamma) \) equal to \( L \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \).

It is easy to check that \( S T_m x = T_m S x \). The canonical dual frame is given by (see [10, Lemma 5.1.1])

\[
S^{-1} T_m f_k = T_m S^{-1} f_k = T_m \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{P} S^{-1} f_k = T_m \mathcal{P}^{-1}(H^*H)^{-1} \mathcal{P} f_k.
\]

The synthesis matrix \( G(\gamma) \) corresponding to the canonical dual frame is \( [H(\gamma)^*H(\gamma)]^{-1}H^*(\gamma) \), which coincides with the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse \( H^\dagger(\gamma) \) of the analysis matrix \( H(\gamma) \).
Analogously, the optimal frame bounds of the dual frame \( \{ T_m g_k \}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) are given by
\[
A_g = \min_{\gamma \in \hat{M}} [\mu_{\min}(\gamma)] \quad \text{and} \quad B_g = \max_{\gamma \in \hat{M}} [\mu_{\max}(\gamma)],
\]
where \( \mu_{\min}(\gamma) \) and \( \mu_{\max}(\gamma) \) are the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of the matrix \( G(\gamma)G^*(\gamma) \). For the canonical dual frame \( g_k = \hat{T}_k \), we have that \( A_g = 1/B \) and \( B_g = 1/A \) [10, Lemma 5.1.1].

The frame bounds give information about the stability of the filter bank. Notice that, by its definition, the optimal frames bounds of \( \{ T_m f_k \}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) are the tightest numbers \( 0 < A \leq B \) such that
\[
A \|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} |c_k(m)|^2 = B \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} |(x * h_k)(m)|^2 \leq B \|x\|^2, \quad x \in \ell^2(G).
\]

Thus \( B \) gives a measure of how an error in the input \( x \) of the analysis filter bank affects to subband signals \( c_k \). For the synthesis, we have that \( B_g \) is the tightest number such that [10, Theorem 3.2.3]
\[
\|y\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} c_k(m)g_k(\cdot - m) \right\|^2 \leq B_g \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} |c_k(m)|^2.
\]

Thus \( B_g \) gives a measure of how an error in the subband signals \( c_k \) affects to the recovered signal \( y \). The smallest possible value for \( B_g \) is \( 1/A \), which correspond to take the canonical dual frame. One can find a sensitivity analysis based on frame bounds in Ref. [4]; see also [10, p. 118].

Having in mind that \( A = B \) if and only if \( H^*(\gamma)H(\gamma) = AI_L \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \), we deduce:

**Corollary 1.** The sequence \( \{ T_m f_k \}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a tight frame for \( \ell^2(G) \) if and only if there exists \( A > 0 \) such that \( H^*(\gamma)H(\gamma) = AI_L \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \). In this case, the frame bound is \( A \).

For maximally decimated filter banks, i.e., whenever \( L = K \), we have the following result:

**Theorem 4.** Assume that \( L = K \). The sequence \( \{ T_m f_k \}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is Riesz basis for \( \ell^2(G) \) if and only if \( \det H(\gamma) \neq 0 \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \). In this case, the optimal Riesz bounds are the constants \( A \) and \( B \) defined in Theorem 3.

**Proof.** If the sequence \( \{ T_m f_k \}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a Riesz basis then it is a frame. Then, by Theorem 3, \( \text{Rank } H(\gamma) = L = K \), and thus \( \det H(\gamma) \neq 0 \), for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \).

To prove the reciprocal, assume that \( \det H(\gamma) \neq 0 \), for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \). Then \( \text{Rank } H(\gamma) = L \) and, from Theorem 3, \( \{ T_m f_k \}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a frame. Thus, to prove that it is a Riesz basis it only remains to prove that it has a biorthogonal sequence [10, Theorem 6.1.1]. Notice that since \( |\det H(\gamma)| \) is continuous on the compact \( \hat{M} \), and \( |\det H(\gamma)| > 0 \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \), then there exists \( J > 0 \) such that \( |\det H(\gamma)| > J \) for all \( \gamma \in \hat{M} \). Then the rows of \( H^{-1}(\gamma) \) belong to \( L^2(\hat{M}) \times \cdots \times L^2(\hat{M}) \). We denote by \( g_1, \ldots, g_k \) the inverse polyphase transform (see Proposition 1) of these rows. Thus \( G(\gamma) \) defined by (8) is \( G(\gamma) = H(\gamma)^{-1} \). From (4), we obtain that the \( M \)-Fourier transform of \( c_{k,k'} = I_M (g_k * h_{k'}) \) is
\[
C_{k,k'}(\gamma) = \sum_{\ell \in L} H_{k,\ell}(\gamma)G_{\ell,k'}(\gamma).
\]
Since $G(\gamma) = H^{-1}(\gamma)$ we obtain that $C_{k,k'}(\gamma) = \delta_{k,k'}$. Then, having in mind that the inverse $M$-Fourier transform of $C_{k,k} = 1$ is the $\delta$ sequence, by using [10] we obtain

$$\langle T_{m'}g_{k'}, T_m f_k \rangle = \langle g_{k'}, T_{m-m'} f_k \rangle = (g_{k'} * h_k)(m-m') = c_{k,k'}(m-m') = \delta_{k,k'}\delta_{m,m'},$$

which proves that the sequence $\{T_m f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in M}$ is a Riesz basis for $\ell^2(G)$. The optimal Riesz bounds are the optimal frame bounds [10, Theorem 5.4.1], and then, from Theorem 3, they are $A$ and $B$.

3.6 Modulation Analysis

Recall that $M^\perp$, the annihilator of $M$, is a subgroup of $\hat{G}$ with $L$ elements.

**Proposition 2.** For any $x \in \ell^2(G)$, the $M$-Fourier transform of $(\downarrow_M x)$ is

$$(\downarrow_M x)^\wedge(\xi + M^\perp) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} X(\xi + \eta), \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \hat{G}.$$ 

**Proof.** If $n \notin M$ we have that there exist $\eta_r \in M^\perp$ such that $\langle n, \eta_r \rangle \neq 1$ [15, Proposition 4.38]. Since $M^\perp$ is a group,

$$\sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} \langle n, \eta \rangle = \sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} \langle n, \eta + \eta_r \rangle = \langle n, \eta_r \rangle \sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} \langle n, \eta \rangle.$$ 

Therefore

$$\sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} \langle n, \eta \rangle = \begin{cases} L & n \in M \\ 0 & n \notin M. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

By using this relationship, we obtain

$$(\downarrow_M x)^\wedge(\xi + M^\perp) = \sum_{m \in M} x(m) \overline{(m, \xi)} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n \in G} \sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} \langle n, \eta \rangle x(n) \overline{(n, \xi)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} \sum_{n \in G} x(n) \overline{(n, \xi + \eta)} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} \hat{x}(\xi + \eta).$$

As a consequence of the above proposition, the $M$-Fourier transform of $c_k = \downarrow_M (x * h_k)$ is $C_k(\xi + M^\perp) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\eta \in M^\perp} X(\xi + \eta) H_k(\xi + \eta)$. Hence, denoting $C = [C_k]_{k \in \mathbb{K}}$, we have

$$C(\xi + M^\perp)^\top = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{H}_{\text{mod}}(\xi) \mathbf{x}_{\text{mod}}(\xi), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{mod}}(\xi) := \left[ X(\xi + \eta) \right]_{\eta \in M^\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_{\text{mod}}(\xi) := \left[ H_k(\xi + \eta) \right]_{k \in \mathbb{K}, \eta \in M^\perp}. \quad (13)$$

For any $c \in \ell^2(M)$, the Fourier transform of $(\uparrow_M c)$ is $M^\perp$-periodic; specifically, for any $\eta \in M^\perp$,

$$(\uparrow_M c)^\wedge(\xi + \eta) = \sum_{n \in G} [\uparrow_M c](n) \overline{(n, \xi + \eta)} = \sum_{m \in M} c(m) \overline{(m, \xi + M^\perp)} = C(\xi + M^\perp).$$
Then the Fourier transform of
\[
y(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m \in M} c_k(m)g_k(n-m) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l \in G} (\uparrow_M c_k)(l)g_k(n-l) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\uparrow_M c_k \ast g_k)(n)
\]
is \(Y(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} C_k(\xi + M^\perp)^\top G_k(\xi)\). From \([12]\), the Fourier transform of the output \(y\) to the filter bank in Fig. 1 is
\[
Y(\xi) = \frac{1}{L} \left[ G_1(\xi), G_2(\xi), \cdots, G_K(\xi) \right] H_{\text{mod}}(\xi) x_{\text{mod}}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \hat{G}.
\]
This modulation representation of the output to the filter bank was obtained in \([3]\).

**Proposition 3.** The \(K \times L\) matrices \(H_{\text{mod}}(\xi)\) and \(H(\xi)\), defined in \([13]\) and \([6]\) respectively, are related by
\[
H_{\text{mod}}(\xi) = H(\xi + M^\perp)D(\xi)W \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \hat{G},
\]
where \(W = \left[ \langle \ell, \eta \rangle \right]_{j=0,1,\ldots,L-1,\eta \in M^\perp}\) and \(D(\xi) = \text{diag}(\langle \ell_0, \xi \rangle, \langle \ell_1, \xi \rangle, \ldots, \langle \ell_{L-1}, \xi \rangle)\).

**Proof.** We have
\[
H_k(\xi) = \sum_{n \in G} h_k(n)\langle n, \xi \rangle = \sum_{\ell \in L} \sum_{m \in M} h_k(m - \ell)\langle m - \ell, \xi \rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{\ell \in L} \langle \ell, \xi \rangle \sum_{m \in M} h_k(m - \ell)\langle m, \xi \rangle = \sum_{\ell \in L} \langle \ell, \xi \rangle H_k,\ell(\xi + M^\perp).
\]
Therefore, \(H_k(\xi + \eta) = \sum_{\ell \in L} \langle \ell, \xi \rangle H_k,\ell(\xi + M^\perp + \ell, \eta)\) for all \(\xi \in \hat{G}, \eta \in M^\perp\).

It is worth to note that \(WW^* = LI_L\) (see \([11]\)); then \(H(\xi + M^\top) = (1/L)H_{\text{mod}}(\xi)W^*\hat{D}(\xi)\).

### 4 Some illustrative examples

In this section we consider the filter bank depicted in Fig. 1 for different choices of the group \(G\) and the lattice \(M\). Thus, we particularize the general theory in Section 3 in four different contexts:

#### 4.1 The case \(G = \mathbb{Z}^d\) and \(M = \{Mn : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}\)

Let \(M\) be a \(d \times d\) matrix with integer entries and positive determinant. For the case \(G = \mathbb{Z}^d\) and \(M = \{Mn : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}\), we could take as transversal \(L = -N(M)\) where \(N(M) := M[0,1]^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\) which has \(\det M\) elements (see \([29]\)). We could also take \(L = N(M^\top)\) (see \([23]\)), or even other possibilities (see \([34]\)). In the following corollary we write some of the results of Section 3 in terms of the \(K \times \det M\) and \(\det M \times K\) polyphase matrices usually used in this context \([23, 29, 34]\):

\[
E(z) = \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_k(Mn - \ell)z^{-n} \right]_{k \in K, \ell \in L}, \quad R(z) = \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g_k(Mn + \ell)z^{-n} \right]_{\ell \in L, k \in K}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}^d.
\]
Corollary 2. Under the above circumstances, consider the filter bank described in Fig. 1. Let \( \lambda_{\min}(z) \) and \( \lambda_{\max}(z) \) be the smallest and the largest eigenvalue of the det \( M \times \det M \) matrix \( E^*(z)E(z) \). Then, the sequence \( \{T_{mk}f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a frame for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \) if and only if Rank \( E(z) = \det M \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{T}^d \). In this case, the optimal frame bounds are

\[
A = \min_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} [\lambda_{\min}(z)] \quad \text{and} \quad B = \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} [\lambda_{\max}(z)].
\]

The sequences \( \{T_{mk}f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) and \( \{T_{mk}g_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) are dual frames if and only if \( R(z)E(z) = I_{\det M} \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{T}^d \). The sequence \( \{T_{mk}f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a tight frame if and only if \( E^*(z)E(z) = A_1 I_{\det M} \). Whenever \( \det M = K \), the sequence \( \{T_{mk}f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a Riesz basis for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \) if and only if \( \det E(z) \neq 0 \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \). In this case, the optimal Riesz bounds are \( A \) and \( B \).

Proof. For a matrix with integer entries \( A \) we define \( z^A \) as the vector whose \( k \)-component is \( z_1^{A_{1,k}}z_2^{A_{2,k}}\ldots z_d^{A_{d,k}} \). It can be verified that \( [z^A]^B = z^{AB} \) (see [29], pp. 581-582]). Then

\[
H_{k,\ell}(z + M^\perp) = \sum_{m \in M} h_k(m - \ell)z^{-m} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_k(Mn - \ell)z^{-Mn} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_k(Mn - \ell)[z^M]^{-n} = E_{k,\ell}(z^M).
\]

\((z + M^\perp)\text{ denotes an element of } \mathbb{T}^d/M^\perp\) and analogously \( G_{\ell,k}(z + M^\perp) = R_{\ell,k}(z^M) \). Then

\[
H(z + M^\perp) = E(z^M), \quad G(z + M^\perp) = R(z^M).
\]

Besides, for any \( z \in \mathbb{T}^d \) there exists \( s \in \mathbb{T}^d \) such \( s^M = z \). Indeed, there exists \( r \in \mathbb{T}^d \) such that \( r^\det M = z_j \) and then \( [r^{\text{adj}}M]^M = r^{\text{adj}}M^M = r^\det M = z \). By using these two facts, the corollary is a consequence of Theorems [2], [3] and [4] and Corollary [1].

This corollary generalizes, to the multidimensional case, the results obtained in [4] and [12] for the unidimensional case.

4.2 The case \( G = \mathbb{Z}_s \) and \( M = L\mathbb{Z}_s \)

Assume that \( s = LN \), with \( L, N \in \mathbb{N} \). Whenever \( G = \mathbb{Z}_s \) and \( M = L\mathbb{Z}_s \) we could take \( \mathcal{L} = \{0, -1, \ldots, -(L - 1)\} \) (mod \( s \)) (see [30], [31]). In the following corollary we write the results in terms of the \( K \times L \) and \( L \times K \) polyphase matrices defined in [30], [31]:

\[
E(n) = \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} h_k(Lm - \ell)W_n^{-m} \right]_{k \in K, \ell \in \mathcal{L}}, \quad R(n) = \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} g_k(Lm + \ell)W_n^{-m} \right]_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}, k \in K}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_N.
\]

Note that the \( N \)-point DFT appears since \( \hat{M} \cong \mathbb{Z}_s/M^\perp \cong \mathbb{Z}_s/(N\mathbb{Z}_s) \cong \mathbb{Z}_N \).

Corollary 3. Under the above circumstances, consider the filter bank described in Fig. 1. Let \( \lambda_{\min}(n) \) and \( \lambda_{\max}(n) \) be the smallest and the largest eigenvalue of the \( L \times L \) matrix \( E^*(n)E(n) \).
The sequence \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a frame for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_s) \) if and only if \( \text{Rank} \mathbf{E}(n) = L \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_N \). In this case, the optimal frame bounds are

\[
A = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} [\lambda_{\text{min}}(n)] \quad \text{and} \quad B = \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} [\lambda_{\text{max}}(n)].
\]

It is tight frame if and only if \( \mathbf{E}^*(n) \mathbf{E}(n) = A \mathbf{I}_L \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_N \). The sequences \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) and \( \{T_m g_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) are dual frames if and only if \( \mathbf{R}(n) \mathbf{E}(n) = \mathbf{I}_L \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_N \). Whenever \( L = K \), the sequence \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a Riesz basis for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_s) \) if and only if \( \det \mathbf{E}(n) \neq 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_N \). In this case, the optimal Riesz bounds are \( A \) and \( B \).

**Proof.** We have \( \tilde{M} \cong \tilde{G}/M^\perp \cong \mathbb{Z}_s/M^\perp \) with \( \langle Lm, n + M^\perp \rangle = W_s^{mn}Lm = W_N^{mn} \). Then

\[
H_{k,\ell}(n + M^\perp) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} h_k(Lm - \ell)Lm = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} h_k(Lm - \ell)W_N^{mn} = E_{k,\ell}(n)
\]

and analogously \( G_{k,\ell}(n) = R_{k,\ell}(n) \). Hence, the corollary is a consequence of Theorems 2, 3 and 4 and Corollary 1 having in mind that \( \mathbf{E}(n) \) and \( \mathbf{R}(n) \) are \( N \)-periodic. \( \square \)

Some of these results can be found in [6] [9] [19] [14].

### 4.3 The case \( G = \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_s \) and \( M = M \mathbb{Z}^d \times L \mathbb{Z}_s \)

Consider now the tensor product of the two previous examples, i.e., \( G = \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_s \) and \( M = M \mathbb{Z}^d \times L \mathbb{Z}_s \), where \( M \) is a matrix with integer entries, \( \det M > 0 \) and \( s = LN \). We could take \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N}(M) \times \{0, 1, \ldots, (L - 1)\} \). Set the \( K \times L \) and \( L \times K \) matrices

\[
\mathbf{E}(z, n) = \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} h_k([Mu, Lm] - \ell)z^{-u}W_N^{mn} \right]_{k \in K, \ell \in \mathcal{L}}
\]

\[
\mathbf{R}(z, n) = \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} g_k([Mu, Lm] + \ell)z^{-u}W_N^{mn} \right]_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}, k \in K}
\]

**Corollary 4.** Under the above circumstances, the filter bank described in Fig. 7 satisfies the perfect reconstruction property if and only if \( \mathbf{R}(z, n) \mathbf{E}(z, n) = \mathbf{I}_{L \det M} \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{T}^d \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_N \). Let \( \lambda_{\text{min}}(z, n) \) and \( \lambda_{\text{max}}(z, n) \) be the smallest and the largest eigenvalue of the \( L \det M \times L \det M \) matrix \( \mathbf{E}^*(z, n) \mathbf{E}(z, n) \). The sequence \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a frame for \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_s) \) if and only if \( \text{Rank} \mathbf{E}(z, n) = L \det M \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{T}^d \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_N \). In this case, the optimal frame bounds are

\[
A = \min_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d, n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} [\lambda_{\text{min}}(z, n)] \quad \text{and} \quad B = \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d, n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} [\lambda_{\text{max}}(z, n)].
\]

Whenever \( K = L \det M \), the sequence \( \{T_m f_k\}_{k \in K, m \in M} \) is a Riesz basis for \( L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_s) \) if and only if \( \det \mathbf{E}(z, n) \neq 0 \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_N \). In this case, the optimal Riesz bounds are \( A \) and \( B \).
Proof. We have

$$H_{k,\ell}((z, n) + M^\perp) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} h_k((Mu, mL) - \ell) \langle (Mu, mL), (z, n) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} h_k((Mu, mL) - \ell) z^{-Mu} W_s^{-mLn}$$

$$= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} h_k((Mu, mL) - \ell) [z^M]^{-a} W_N^{-mn} = E_{k,\ell}(z^M, n)$$

and analogously $G_{k,\ell}((z, n) + M^\perp) = R_{k,\ell}(z^M, n)$. Besides (it was proved in previous proof) for any $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$ there exist $s \in \mathbb{T}^d$ such $s^M = z$. Thus, having in mind the $N$-periodicity, the corollary is a consequence of Theorems 3 and 4. \qed

4.4 The case $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2P} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$ and $M$ the Quincunx

Given $P, Q \in \mathbb{N}$, the Quincunx $M$ consists of the elements $(n, m)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2P} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$ such that $n$ and $m$ are both even or both odd; it is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{2P} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$. In this case we could take $L = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$. Consider the $[P, Q]$-Points DFT transform

$$\left[\text{DFT } x\right](n, m) = \sum_{u=0}^{P-1} \sum_{v=0}^{Q-1} x(u, v) W_P^{-un} W_Q^{-vm},$$

and the transform

$$[Ax](n, m) = \left[\text{DFT } x_0\right](n, m) + W_{2P}^{-n} W_{2Q}^{-m} \left[\text{DFT } x_1\right](n, m),$$

where $x_0(n, m) = x(2n, 2m)$ and $x_1(n, m) = x(2n + 1, 2m + 1)$. Respectively set the $K \times 2$ and $2 \times K$ matrices

$$E(n, m) = \left[Ah_{k,\ell}(n, m)\right]_{k \in K, \ell \in L} \quad \text{and} \quad R(n, m) = \left[A\tilde{g}_{k,\ell}(n, m)\right]_{\ell \in L, k \in K}.$$  

Corollary 5. Under the above circumstances, the filter bank described in Fig. 1 has the perfect reconstruction property if and only if $R(n, m) E(n, m) \equiv I_2$ for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2P} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$.

Proof. We have $\overline{M} \cong \hat{G}/M^\perp \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{2P} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2Q})/M^\perp$ with

$$\langle (2u, 2v), (n, m) + M^\perp \rangle = W_{2P}^{2un} W_{2Q}^{2vm} = W_{2P}^{un} W_{2Q}^{vm}$$

$$\langle (2u + 1, 2v + 1), (n, m) + M^\perp \rangle = W_{2P}^{(2u+1)n} W_{2Q}^{(2v+1)m} = W_{2P}^{un} W_{2Q}^{vm}.$$  

Then, the $M$-Fourier transform of a function $h$ is given by

$$H((n, m) + M^\perp) = \sum_{u=0}^{P-1} \sum_{v=0}^{Q-1} [h_0(u, v) W_{2P}^{un} W_{2Q}^{vm} + h_1(u, v) W_{2P}^{un} W_{2Q}^{vm} W_{2P}^{m} W_{2Q}^{m}].$$

Hence, from Theorem 3 the filter bank satisfies the perfect reconstruction property if and only if $R(n, m) E(n, m) \equiv I_2$ for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2P} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$. Since $\Lambda(n + P, m + Q) = \Lambda(n, m)$ and $\Lambda(n, m + Q) = \Lambda(n + P, m)$, it suffices to consider $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2P} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2Q}$. \qed
Note that $\hat{M} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2P \times \mathbb{Z}_2Q)/M^\perp \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2P \times \mathbb{Z}_2Q)/\{(0,0),(P,Q)\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2P \times \mathbb{Z}_Q$.
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