Circulating tumor cells and γH2AX as biomarkers for responsiveness to radium-223 in advanced prostate cancer patients

Jonathan Chatzkel*,1, Jesse Mocha2, Johnna Smith3, Jun-Min Zhou4, Youngchul Kim5, Ghassan El-Haddad3 & Jingsong Zhang2

1Division of Hematology & Oncology, University of Florida, Gainesville 32608, FL, USA
2Department of Genitourinary Oncology, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Tampa 33612, FL, USA
3Department of Diagnostic Imaging & Interventional Radiology, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Tampa 33612, FL, USA
4Department of Immunology, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Tampa 33612, FL, USA
5Cancer Biology & Evolution Program, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Tampa 33612, FL, USA

*Author for correspondence: Tel.: +1 352 273 8305; Fax: +1 352 265 8056; Jonathan.Chatzkel@medicine.ufl.edu

Aim: Radium-223 improves overall survival in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer to the bone. Radium-223 causes double-strand DNA breaks and produces γH2AX, a potential biomarker for response. We examined the feasibility of tracking γH2AX positivity and numeration in circulating tumor cells.

Patients & methods: Ten patients with biopsy-confirmed symptomatic M1b castration-resistant prostate cancer received radium-223 as standard of care and were assessed for γH2AX level changes following doses 1, 3 and 6. Results: Trend tests confirmed that patients with ≥50% increase in circulating tumor cells positive for γH2AX postradium-223 therapy had a lower risk of death (p = 0.035).

Conclusion: Regular interval measurements of γH2AX are feasible. The potential correlation between γH2AX changes and overall survival warrants further investigation.

Lay abstract: Radium-223 is used to treat patients with prostate cancer that has spread to the bone. This therapy causes a type of DNA damage that leads to the release of a protein called γH2AX. We hypothesized that measuring this protein in the blood after treatments with radium-223 was feasible and could help to determine how well patients responded to therapy. Our trial enrolled ten patients and suggested that increases in γH2AX after therapy may be associated with longer survival. The correlation warrants further investigation.
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Prostate cancer affects more than 160,000 men in the USA each year and kills more than 26,000 [1]. Although androgen-deprivation therapy is an effective first line of treatment for patients with advanced prostate cancer, most patients eventually develop castrate-resistant disease and require additional therapies [2]. The ALSYMPCA trial demonstrated that radium-223, a targeted α emitter, improved overall survival (OS) compared with placebo among patients with symptomatic metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) to the bone [3]. Despite this improvement in OS, only 16% of treated patients in the trial had a ≥30% decline in prostate-specific antigens (PSAs) at week 12. An exploratory analysis of the ALSYMPCA trial reported a correlation between total alkaline phosphatase (tALKP) and LDH decline at week 12 and longer OS [4]. However, these correlations did not meet statistical surrogacy requirements. A retrospective analysis of 92 mCRPC patients treated with radium-223 reported the potential prognostic value of baseline clinical variables, including Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, hemoglobin <12 g/dl and PSA >20 ng/ml [5]. In another retrospective study, which included 64 mCRPC patients, several commonly used clinical variables were identified by multivariate analyses to be significantly associated with survival benefit. These clinical variables included no prior chemotherapy, ≤5
bone metastases, baseline ALKP <115 U/l and tALKP response after radium-223 treatment [6]. However, as demonstrated by the exploratory analyses of the ALSYMPCA trial, these commonly used clinical variables alone are unlikely to be robust enough to predict the survival benefit from radium-223 [4].

The measurement of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) has been studied as a prognostic marker in a variety of cancers [7–10]. CellSearch is a CTC assay that was originally developed by Veridex LLC and has been validated and approved by the US FDA for clinical use. CTCs isolated from patients with mCRPC have demonstrated features consistent with those of prostate cancer, including the expression of PSA, AMACR and prostate-specific gene abnormalities; these include androgen receptor copy number amplifications, phosphatase and tensin homolog deletions and TMPRSS2:ERG fusion products [11,12]. Several studies have demonstrated the prognostic value of CTCs for patients with prostate cancer [9,13–16]. In addition, among mCRPC patients starting first-line chemotherapy, post-treatment CTCs were stronger predictors of OS than a 50% decline in PSA [14]. A recent study showed that patients with ≤5 CTCs at baseline were more likely to complete a 6-treatment course of radium-223 and had significantly longer OS [17].

As a targeted α-emitter, radium-223 induces primarily double-strand breaks in the DNA of cancer cells [18,19]. γH2AX is produced when these breaks occur and allows for the recruitment of proteins involved in DNA repair and chromatin remodeling [20–22]. The formation of γH2AX can be detected via immunofluorescence, as detailed in Figure 1 [20,23]. We developed an assay to detect γH2AX in prostate cancer CTCs and assess the feasibility of tracking changes in CTC γH2AX positivity and numeration before doses 1, 3 and 6 of radium-223. This prospective biomarker pilot study included ten mCRPC patients. We hypothesized that γH2AX was a useful biomarker for patient responses to radium-223 and that tumor-cell damage induced by radium-223 therapy would increase the proportion of CTCs positive for γH2AX. To our knowledge, the use of γH2AX as a biomarker for mCRPC has not yet been evaluated.

**Materials & methods**

**Patients**

The study enrolled patients with biopsy-confirmed prostate cancer who were receiving radium-223 as standard of care for symptomatic M1b CRPC that was identified by either a bone scan or NaF positron emission tomography imaging. Patients were also required to have at least two CTCs at baseline; to be on either a gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog or to have received surgical castration; to have an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group score of 0 to 2 (scores of 3 were acceptable if due solely to pain); and to be in stable medical condition with a life expectancy of at least 6 months [24]. Patients were further required to have acceptable laboratory parameters as defined by hemoglobin levels more than 10 g/dl, a platelet count more than 100,000 per μl, an absolute neutrophil count more than 1500 per mm3, ALT and AAT levels less than 2.5× the upper limit of normal, total bilirubin levels less than 1.5× the upper limit of normal and creatinine clearance more than 40 ml/min.

Exclusion criteria included exposure to radioisotope therapy within 24 months, exposure to external beam radiation within 12 weeks of the first dose of radium-223, New York Heart Association class III or IV heart failure and the presence of a second malignancy (except nonmelanoma skin cancer or carcinoma in situ). The trial was reviewed by the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institution Scientific and Institutional Review Boards.

**CTC collection, isolation, numeration & the γH2AX assay**

For each enrolled subject, 7.5 ml of peripheral blood was collected before and within 96 h after the first, third and sixth doses of radium-223. The blood samples were shipped to Jansen Diagnostics for CTC enumeration and γH2AX assays. These assays separated CTCs by using magnetic beads conjugated with antibodies to epithelial cell adhesion molecules. The cells were then stained with anticytokeratin antibodies to identify epithelial cells, DAPI to highlight nuclei, anti-CD45 to exclude contaminating leukocytes and anti-γH2AX antibodies. Cells that were positive for cytokeratin and DAPI but negative for CD45 were selected as CTCs [25]. Total CTCs and γH2AX-positive CTCs were reported and counted. Cell fragments or cells without an intact DAPI nuclear stain were excluded from counting. DU145 prostate cancer cells treated with the DNA-damaging chemotherapy agent irinotecan were pulsed into the peripheral blood of healthy volunteers, which was used to develop the CTC γH2AX assay and serve as positive controls.
CTCs & γH2AX as markers for radium-223 response
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Figure 1. Detection of γH2AX in DU145 prostate cancer cells treated with topoisomerase 1 inhibitor SN38. DU145 cell lines were treated with 0.1 μM of SN38 for 8 h before being spiked into human healthy donor blood. γH2AX fluorescein isothiocyanate was applied to the open channel. DU145 cell lines were identified via positive DAPI, positive CK-PE and negative CD45. Approximately 40% of SN38-treated DU145 cells were positive for γH2AX.

CK–PE: Cytokeratin–phycoerythrin; DAPI: 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; FITC: Fluorescein isothiocyanate.

Study design

Eligible patients were enrolled in the study before starting radium-223 therapy as standard of care for their M1b CRPC. The administration of radium-223 was based on the FDA-approved dose and schedule of 1.49 μCi/kg of bodyweight once every 4 weeks. Laboratory testing included a complete blood count and a comprehensive metabolic panel. PSA and testosterone levels were measured at baseline and before each dose of radium-223. Technetium-99m bone scans were performed at baseline and after doses 3 and 6 of radium-223.

The primary objective of the study was to assess changes in circulating prostate cancer cell numeration and changes in CTC γH2AX positivity before and after radium-223 treatment. The secondary objectives included assessing changes in patient-reported pain (as measured by the Brief Pain Inventory) and assessing for a response to therapy as measured via bone scan imaging. Exploratory end points included assessing changes in tALKP phosphatase and PSA. Patients were defined as having a significant increase in γH2AX if their CTCs were at least 20% positive for γH2AX and had a minimum 50% increase in γH2AX-positive CTCs following at least one of the three postradium-223 collections. A decline in tALKP was defined as a ≥30% reduction from the preradium-223 baseline. PSA response required at least a 50% reduction from the baseline PSA. After each radium-223 treatment,
patients reported whether their pain had interfered with their general activity over the last 24 h and rated both their average and worst pain levels during this time on a scale from 1 to 10. Patients were defined as having pain progression if their pain had increased above the baseline level for at least two of the three measures. Patients were removed from the study if they developed persistent grade 4 side effects based on the Common Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0 or if they had disease progression based on both imaging criteria and an assessment of symptoms [26]. Bone scans were assessed using the 2+2 rule as previously described [27]; for progression to be documented, at least two new lesions had to be identified on the postdose 3 bone scan, and at least two additional lesions relative to the postdose 3 bone scan had to be identified on the postdose 6 bone scan.

Statistics
All continuous variables were summarized by mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables were summarized by contingency tables with frequency and percentage. A swimmer plot was produced to depict changes in the responses and survival statuses of all participants throughout follow-up. All analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4) and Prism 6 software. A trend test was performed to evaluate the association between survival and changes in γH2AX levels, baseline CTCs, declines in ALKP levels and the presence or absence of pain progression during the study period.

Results
Ten patients were enrolled in the study between July 2015 and November 2016. The majority of patients had high Gleason score prostate cancer. Nine patients had received at least one prior therapy for CRPC, of whom six had received at least one regimen of cytotoxic chemotherapy, two had received sipuleucel-T, six received abiraterone and prednisone, and three received enzalutamide. All patients had at least ten bone metastases at baseline, and eight had ≥ 20 (Table 1).

Eight patients received all six doses of radium-223. Two patients were taken off study after dose 1 because of rapidly progressive disease. All three patients with ≤ 5 CTCs at baseline were able to complete the full radium treatment course. The two patients with less than 5 baseline CTCs (patient 6 [ten bone lesions at baseline] and patient 3 [≥ 20 bone lesions at baseline]) are currently alive, more than 30 and 38 months since undergoing radium-223 treatment, respectively (Table 1). Of note, these two patients were the only patients to undergo CTC conversion from detectable to 0 within 96 h (week 9), following dose three of radium-223. Baseline CTCs were not associated with the risk of death when evaluated as a binary variable with a cutoff of 5 (p = 0.095).

As detailed in Figure 2, the percentage of CTCs that were positive for γH2AX significantly increased after at least one radium-223 treatment in three patients (3, 5 and 9). Two of these patients (3 and 9) are still alive, more than 38 and 23 months from their first dose of radium-223, respectively. Trend tests showed that patients with a significant increase in γH2AX had a lower risk of death (p = 0.035) (Table 2). Of note, all three of these patients had a TALKP decline of more than 30% at week 12. Although none of these three patients had a PSA response during radium-223 treatment, all three had a pain response to radium-223 as early as week 9.

Four patients (3, 4, 6 and 9) had a significant decline in ALKP during the study period. All four of these patients had at least stable bone imaging during the treatment period. Trend test results showed that patients with a significant decline in tALKP had a lower risk of death (p = 0.006). Two of the patients who received all six doses of radium-223 had pain progression during the treatment period. Pain progression during radium-223 therapy was not associated with poorer OS (p = 0.056). Two patients in our study had germline deleterious mutations in DNA homologous recombination repair deficiency (HRD): patient 2 had BRCA2 D2723H (8395G>C) and patient 9 had PALB2 c.3113 G>A (p.Trp1038*). Although neither patient had a PSA response, both had a decline in tALKP at week 9. Patients 2 and 9 had a CTC decline of 25 and 55%, respectively, but a CTC conversion to below 5 was not observed in either patient. An increase in γH2AX was observed in patient 9 but in not patient 2. The OS for patient 9 was at least 15 months longer than that of patient 2.

Discussion
We have demonstrated the feasibility of performing interval assessments of both CTCs and γH2AX levels in mCRPC patients undergoing radium-223 treatment. In addition, we confirmed a prior report that demonstrated patients with ≤ 5 CTCs at baseline were able to complete a full course of radium-223 therapy [17]. Moreover, our CTC numeration data indicated a potential prognostic value of CTC conversion to 0 at week 9. Phase III trials testing abiraterone, enzalutamide, TAK 700 and cabozantinib in M1 CRPC have recently shown that CTC
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients and their responses to radium-223.

| Patient number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| Age (years)    | 75 | 76 | 75 | 61 | 69 | 68 | 71 | 64 | 75 |    |
| ECOG status    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 2  | 0  | 1  |    |
| Gleason score  | 8 (4+4) | 7 (3+4) | 8 (4+4) | 8 (4+4) | 9 (5+4) | 9 (5+4) | Unknown | 9 (4+5) | 7 (4+3) |    |
| Prior mCRPC therapy | None | Abi, Enza | Doce, Sip-T | Sip-T, Doce | Doce | Abi | Abi, Enza, Doce, Cabazi | Doce, Abi | Doce, Abi, Doce-Carbo, Enza | Abi, Doce, Cabazi |
| Bone metastases, no. | >20 | >20 | >20 | >20 | 10–20 | 10–20 | >20 | >20 | >20 | >20 |
| PSA, ng/ml     | <20 | >100 | <20 | 20–100 | 20–100 | 20–100 | >100 | >100 | >100 | >100 |
| AKLP, U/l      | ≥115 | >115 | ≥115 | <115 | <115 | <115 | ≥115 | ≥115 | ≥115 | ≥115 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dl | <12 | ≥12 | <12 | ≥12 | ≥12 | ≥12 | <12 | <12 | <12 | ≥12 |
| CTC count at baseline, no./7.5 ml<sup>3</sup> | 1–5 | 100 | 1–5 | 21–100 | 6–20 | 1–5 | 21–100 | >100 | 6–20 | >100 |
| CTC count after dose 3, no./7.5 ml<sup>3</sup> | 1–5 | 21–100 | 0 | 21–100 | 21–100 | 0 | Incomplete | Incomplete | 6–20 | 21–100 |
| Post-treatment γH2AX increase<sup>¶</sup> | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Post-treatment therapy | Doce | Doce, Olaparib | N/A | Doce | Doce | N/A | Abi | Enza | Enza, Doce-Carbo | Hospice |
| Overall survival, months<sup>#</sup> | 12.5 | 15.6 | NR | 13 | 16.5 | NR | 6 | 1.5 | NR | 6.3 |

† Subject with a germline mutation in BRCA2 D2723H (8395G>C).
‡ Subject with a germline mutation in PALB2 c.3113 G>A (p.Trp1038*).
§ 7.5 ml of peripheral blood used for CTC measurement.
¶ Defined as a 50% or more increase in the percent of CTCs positive for γH2AX (to at least 20% of CTCs), following at least one radium-223 treatment.
# As measured from the first dose of radium-223.

Abi: Abiraterone and prednisone; Cabazi: Cabazitaxel; Carbo: Carboplatin; CTC: Circulating tumor cell; Doce: Docetaxel; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; Enza: Enzalutamide; mCRPC: Metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; N/A: Not Applicable; NR: Not reached; PSA: Prostate-specific antigen.
Patients

Figure 2. Swimmer plot detailing overall survival, disease progression as measured via bone scan imaging and the presence (shaded bar) or absence (blank bar) of a significant increase in γH2AX, defined as ≥50% increase in the percent of circulating tumor cells positive for γH2AX after at least one of the three measured radium-223 treatments. Patient 3 had a 68% increase in the percent of CTCs positive for γH2AX ([3 H2AX positive CTCs/3 CTCs]/[31 H2AX positive CTCs/52 CTCs]) after the sixth radium treatment. Patient 5 had a 56% increase in the percent of CTCs positive for γH2AX ([148 H2AX positive CTCs/318 CTCs]/[77 H2AX positive CTCs/258 CTCs]) after the sixth radium treatment. Patient 9 had a 167% increase in the percent of CTCs positive for γH2AX ([6 H2AX positive CTCs/9 CTCs]/[1 H2AX positive CTC/4 CTCs]) after the third radium treatment. The length of each bar represents the whole follow-up time for the corresponding patient. Patients 3, 6 and 9 had censored survival times. Black and gray circles indicate times of observing progression of disease and stable disease.

CTC: Circulating tumor cell; PD: Progressive disease; SD: Stable disease.

Table 2. Univariate analysis of overall survival using Cox regression analysis as well as Log-rank and a Trend test.

| Variable   | Level | Patients (no.) | Trend test, p-value |
|------------|-------|----------------|---------------------|
| γH2AX      | Increase | 3              | 0.035               |
|            | No increase | 7              |                      |
| Baseline CTC count | ≤5 | 3              | 0.095               |
|            | >5        | 7              |                      |
| ALKP       | Decline  | 4              | 0.006               |
|            | No decline| 6              |                      |
| Pain       | No progression | 6              | 0.056               |
|            | Progression| 2              |                      |

Bold values indicate p < 0.05.

nonzero at baseline and 0 at week 13 is a response measure of prolonged survival [28]. Our study also confirmed the important association between declines in ALKP and superior survival outcomes [29–32]. Finally, increased γH2AX levels following radium-223 treatments were associated with a lower risk of death, warranting further investigation of γH2AX as a biomarker for patient response to radium-223 therapy.
The efficacy of radium-223 therapy for patients with HRD is of particular interest because of the theory that the double-strand DNA breaks it induces are more likely to go unrepaired in these patients [33]. A recent report based on a retrospective medical record review showed that patients with HRD who were treated with radium-223 were significantly more likely to have at least a 30% decrease in ALKP levels. In this study, patients in with HRD also had a significantly longer time to ALKP progression [34]. Although a decline in tALKP levels was observed in both patients (patients 2 and 9) with germline deleterious mutations in HRD, neither patient had CTC conversion after dose 3 at week 9. The CTCs of both patients increased by more than 50% after dose 6 with radium-223 compared with their baseline levels prior to radium-223 treatment (data not shown). Both patients received and responded to olaparib after radium-223. Patient 2 had a much greater disease burden than subject 9; the lack of increased γH2AX levels after radium-223 treatment in patient 2 could have contributed to their much shorter survival rate compared with patient 9. Both patients tolerated radium-223 well and had no grade 3 or 4 treatment-related adverse events.

The lack of robust clinical response to radium-223 in patients 2 and 9 could be attributed to the activation of alternative double-strand DNA repair pathways, including nonhomologous end joining. HRDs have important prognostic and predictive implications for patients with prostate cancer. Prostate cancer patients who have mutations in the DNA repair pathway (such as BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, RAD51D and PALB2) have been shown to be significantly more likely to have advanced disease than patients without these mutations. Patients with these mutations have also demonstrated inferior OS [35–37]. Both the BRCA2 and PALB2 mutations are known to respond well to poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors [38]. PARP inhibitors ultimately lead to double-strand DNA breaks that cells with HRD are unable to repair [39]. PARP inhibitors are particularly effective in treating prostate cancer patients with HRD [38]. Androgen receptor inhibition has also been hypothesized to be a more effective treatment for prostate cancer patients with HRD because of the role that androgen receptor signaling plays in the regulation of DNA repair. However, studies have so far shown conflicting results regarding this correlation [40–42]. One case series has suggested that prostate cancer patients with BRCA mutations may be more responsive to the intrastrand cross-links affected by platinum-based chemotherapy [43,44].

Our study had several limitations. As our study was primarily exploratory, our analyses were limited by a small sample size; a larger study with a longer follow-up time is needed to further define the utility of γH2AX as a tumor marker in this setting. In addition, measuring both CTC and γH2AX levels is a relatively novel approach and may entail inherent inaccuracies. For example, the proper timing of γH2AX measurements are not clearly established in this setting. Moreover, the extent of an increase in γH2AX that is clinically significant is not yet established. Furthermore, as the assay checks CTCs for γH2AX positivity, it is possible that some CTCs may die before they are detected, thereby preventing the detection of changes in γH2AX. More generally, γH2AX may not be an ideal marker of the damage induced by radium-223 therapy. Some patients may have biologically less aggressive tumors and may do well even in the absence of an effective response to antineoplastic therapy. Finally, because measurements of pain are inherently subjective, associations with this measurement are by nature difficult to assess.

Conclusion & future perspective
We have demonstrated that regular interval assessments of γH2AX are feasible for mCRPC patients receiving therapy with radium-223. We have also identified a possible association between changes in γH2AX and the risk of death among patients undergoing this therapy that could be further explored in a larger study with a longer follow-up. Given the limited number of patients exhibiting a PSA response to radium-223 and the current lack of biomarkers robust enough to predict a survival benefit from this treatment, the effective implementation of additional biomarkers could have significant clinical use [3,5,6]. Specifically, if further validated, measurements of γH2AX and CTCs could potentially be used to provide early information to clinicians regarding a patient’s response to radium-223 therapy, including the extent and duration.
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Summary points

- On the basis of its survival benefit documented in the Phase III ALSYMPCA trial, radium-223 (Xofigo) is approved by the US FDA for the treatment of patients with symptomatic metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer to the bone and no known visceral metastatic diseases.
- Only 16% of treated patients had a ≥30% decline in prostate-specific antigens at week 12 in the ALSYMPCA trial. Declines in total alkaline phosphatase or lactate dehydrogenase were associated with a longer overall survival (OS) but did not meet statistical surrogacy requirements for OS.
- We prospectively enrolled ten patients undergoing radium-223 treatment as standard of care and demonstrated that interval assessments of both circulating tumor cells and the DNA damage marker γH2AX were feasible. A possible correlation between increases in postradium-223 γH2AX-positive circulating tumor cells and longer OS was observed, which could warrant further evaluation.

Acknowledgments
The authors thank Daley Drucker and Paul Fletcher (Moffitt Cancer Center) for their editorial assistance. They were not compensated beyond their standard salaries.

Financial & competing interests disclosure
Funding support was provided by Bayer. J Zhang received consulting fees from Bayer. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Ethical conduct of research
The authors state that they have obtained appropriate institutional review board approval or have followed the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki for all human or animal experimental investigations. In addition, for investigations involving human subjects, informed consent has been obtained from the participants involved.

Data sharing statement
The authors certify that this manuscript reports original clinical trial data (NCT2981797). The data will not be made publicly available. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open access
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J. Clin. 67(1), 7–30 (2017).
2. Sharifi N, Gulley JL, Dahut WL. Androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. JAMA 294(2), 238–244 (2005).
3. Parker C, Nilsson S, Heinrich D et al. Alpha emitter radium-223 and survival in metastatic prostate cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 369(3), 213–223 (2013).
4. Sartor O, Coleman R, Nilsson S et al. An exploratory analysis of alkaline phosphatase, lactate dehydrogenase, and prostate-specific antigen dynamics in the Phase III ALSYMPCA trial with radium-223. Ann. Oncol. 28(5), 1090–1097 (2017).
5. Frantellizzi V, Farcomeni A, Follacchio GA et al. A 3-variable prognostic score (3-PS) for overall survival prediction in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with 223 Radium-dichloride. Ann. Nucl. Med. 32(2), 142–148 (2018).
6. Wong WW, Anderson EM, Mohammadi H et al. Factors associated with survival following radium-223 treatment for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin. Genitourin. Cancer 15(6), e969–e975 (2017).
7. Krebs MG, Sloane R, Priest L et al. Evaluation and prognostic significance of circulating tumor cells in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 29(12), 1556–1563 (2011).
8. Cristofanilli M, Hayes DF, Budd GT et al. Circulating tumor cells: a novel prognostic factor for newly diagnosed metastatic breast cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 23(7), 1420–1430 (2005).
9. Moreno JG, Miller MC, Gross S, Allard WJ, Gomella LG, Terstappen LW. Circulating tumor cells predict survival in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. Urology 65(4), 713–718 (2005).
10. Cohen SJ, Punt C, Iannotti N et al. Relationship of circulating tumor cells to tumor response, progression-free survival, and overall survival in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Clin. Oncol. 26, 3213–3221 (2008).

11. Shaffer DR, Leversha MA, Danila DC et al. Circulating tumor cell analysis in patients with progressive castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 13(7), 2023–2029 (2007).

12. Attard G, Swennehuis JF, Olmos D et al. Characterization of ERG, AR and PTEN gene status in circulating tumor cells from patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 69(7), 2912–2918 (2009).

13. Danila DC, Heller G, Gignac GA et al. Circulating tumor cell number and prognosis in progressive castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 13(23), 7053–7058 (2007).

14. De Bono JS, Scher HI, Montgomery RB et al. Circulating tumor cells predict survival benefit from treatment in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 14(19), 6302–6309 (2008).

15. Miller MC, Doyle GV, T erstappen LW. Significance of circulating tumor cells detected by the CellSearch system in patients with metastatic breast colorectal and prostate cancer. J. Oncol. (2010).

16. Scher HI, Jia X, de Bono JS et al. Circulating tumour cells as prognostic markers in progressive, castration-resistant prostate cancer: a reanalysis of IMMC38 trial data. Lancet Oncol. 10(3), 233–239 (2009).

17. Carles J, Castellano D, M´endez-Vidal M-J et al. Circulating tumor cells as a biomarker of survival and response to radium-223 therapy: experience in a cohort of patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin. Genitourin. Cancer 16(6), e1133–e1139 (2018).

18. Henriksen G, Breistøl K, Bruland ØS, Fodstad Ø, Larsen RH. Significant antitumor effect from bone-seeking, 223Ra demonstrated in an experimental skeletal metastases model. Cancer Res. 62(11), 3120–3125 (2002).

19. Liepe K. Alpharadin, a 223Ra-based alpha-particle-emitting pharmaceutical for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer. J. Oncol. 20(13), 4322–4329 (2013).

20. Sedelnikova OA, Pilch DR, Redon C, Bonner WM. Histone H2 AX in DNA damage and repair. Cancer Biol. Ther. 2(3), 233–235 (2003).

21. Valdiglesius Y, Giunta S, Fenech M, Neri M, Bonassi S. γH2AX as a marker of DNA double strand breaks and genomic instability in human population studies. Mutat. Res. 753(1), 24–40 (2013).

22. Iacovoni J, Caron P, Lassadi I et al. High-resolution profiling of γH2AX around DNA double strand breaks in the mammalian genome. EMBO J. 29(8), 1446–1457 (2010).

23. Bonner WM, Redon CE, Dickey JS et al. γH2AX and cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 8(12), 957 (2008).

24. Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC et al. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am. J. Clin. Oncol. 5(6), 649–656 (1982).

25. Allard WJ, Matera J, Miller MC et al. Tumor cells circulate in the peripheral blood of all major carcinomas but not in healthy subjects or patients with nonmalignant diseases. Clin. Cancer Res. 10(20), 6897–6904 (2004).

26. Rochefort MM, Ankeny JS, Kadera BE et al. Impact of tumor grade on pancreatic cancer prognosis: validation of a novel TNMG staging system. Ann. Surg. Oncol. 20(13), 4322–4329 (2013).

27. Scher HI, Morris MJ, Studler WM et al. Trial design and objectives for castration-resistant prostate cancer: updated recommendations from the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 3. J. Clin. Oncol. 34(12), 1402 (2016).

28. Heller G, McCormack R, Khorb T et al. Circulating tumor cell number as a response measure of prolonged survival for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a comparison with prostate-specific antigen across five randomized Phase III clinical trials. J. Clin. Oncol. 36(6), 572 (2018).

29. Metwalli AR, Rosner IL, Cullen J et al. Elevated alkaline phosphatase velocity strongly predicts overall survival and the risk of bone metastases in castrate-resistant prostate cancer. In: Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations. Elsevier, NY, USA 761–768 (2014).

30. Wymenga L, Boomssa J, Groenier K, Piers D, Mensink H. Routine bone scans in patients with prostate cancer related to serum prostate-specific antigen and alkaline phosphatase. BJU Int. 88(3), 226–230 (2001).

31. Halabi S, Small EJ, Kantoff PW et al. Prognostic model for predicting survival in men with hormone-refractory metastatic prostate cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 21(7), 1232–1237 (2003).

32. Smalez O, Scher HI, Small EJ et al. Nomogram for overall survival of patients with progressive metastatic prostate cancer after castration. J. Clin. Oncol. 20(19), 3972–3982 (2002).

33. Zhang J, Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor: an evolving paradigm in the treatment of prostate cancer. Asian J. Androl. 16(3), 401 (2014).

34. Velho PI, Qazi F, Hassan S et al. Efficacy of radium-223 in bone-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer with and without homologous repair gene defects. Eur. Urol. 76(2), 170–176 (2018).

35. Castro E, Goh C, Olmos D. Germline BRCA mutations are associated with higher risk of nodal involvement, distant metastasis, and poor survival outcomes in prostate cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 31(14), 1748 (2013).
36. Leongamornlert D, Saunders E, Dadaev T et al. Frequent germline deleterious mutations in DNA repair genes in familial prostate cancer cases are associated with advanced disease. Br. J. Cancer 110(6), 1663 (2014).
37. Lord CJ, Ashworth A. The DNA damage response and cancer therapy. Nature 481(7381), 287 (2012).
38. Mateo J, Carreira S, Sandhu S et al. DNA-repair defects and olaparib in metastatic prostate cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 373(18), 1697–1708 (2015).
39. Dziadkowiec KN, Gąsiorowska E, Nowak-Markwit Z, Jankowska A. PARP inhibitors: review of mechanisms of action and BRCA1/2 mutation targeting. Prz. Menopauzalny 15(4), 215 (2016).
40. Hussain M, Daignault-Newton S, Twardowski PW et al. Targeting androgen receptor and DNA repair in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: results from NCI 9012. J. Clin. Oncol. 36(10), 991 (2018).
41. Mateo J, Cheng HH, Beltran H et al. Clinical outcome of prostate cancer patients with germline DNA repair mutations: retrospective analysis from an international study. Eur. Urol. 73(5), 687–693 (2018).
42. Annala M, Struss WJ, Warner EW et al. Treatment outcomes and tumor loss of heterozygosity in germline DNA repair-deficient prostate cancer. Eur. Urol. 72(1), 34–42 (2017).
43. Cheng HH, Pritchard CC, Boyd T, Nelson PS, Montgomery B. Biallelic inactivation of BRCA2 in platinum-sensitive metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Eur. Urol. 69(6), 992–995 (2016).
44. Mylavarapu S, Das A, Roy M. Role of BRCA mutations in the modulation of response to platinum therapy. Front. Oncol. 8, 16 (2018).