Immortalization of neuronal progenitors using SV40 large T antigen and differentiation towards dopaminergic neurons
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Introduction

Transplantation is common in clinical practice where there is availability of the tissue and organ. In the case of neurodegenerative disease such as Parkinson's disease (PD), transplantation is not possible as a result of the non-availability of tissue or organ and therefore, cell therapy is an innovation in clinical practice. However, the availability of neuronal cells for transplantation is very limited. Alternatively, immortalized neuronal progenitors could be used in treating PD. The neuronal progenitor cells can be differentiated into dopaminergic phenotype. Here in this article, the current understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in the differentiation of dopaminergic phenotype from the neuronal progenitors immortalized with SV40 LT antigen is discussed. In addition, the methods of generating dopaminergic neurons from progenitor cells and the factors that govern their differentiation are elaborated. Recent advances in cell-therapy based transplantation in PD patients and future prospects are discussed.
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Introduction

Transplantation of organ and tissue are common in clinical practices for treating chronic diseases. In case of neurodegeneration in the central nervous system (CNS), organ transplant is not possible and hence, cell therapy is an innovation in clinical practice. Transplantation of progenitor’s cells with differentiation properties or differentiated cells is a promising approach in treating neurological diseases such as Alzheimer’s type dementia, Parkinson’s disease (PD), Huntington’s disease (HD), stroke and trauma [1, 2].

Parkinson’s disease is one among the common neurodegenerative disorders, caused by the degeneration of nigrostriatal dopamine neurons. Transplantation of cell and tissue has been developed as a clinical approach for treating PD [3–10]. Nevertheless, the treatment...
is limited to the availability of donor tissue. One of the alternative cell sources for transplantation could be immortalized cell lines [11]. It has been shown that transplantation with conditionally immortalized progenitor’s cells could be useful in treating PD [12, 13]. Immortalized cell lines also helps in elucidating the mechanism of cellular differentiation.

There are several ways (Table 1) to immortalize primary neuronal cells namely somatic fusion [14], v-myc [15], SV40 large T antigen

| Immortalizing agent | Cell line | Characteristic features | Transplantation experiments | Reference |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Somatic fusion      | E14 mouse rostral mesencephalic tegmentum (MN9D) | Express neurofilament | Rodent PD model: Improved behavioural recovery, no TH differentiation in vivo, but increased host TH immunoreactivity | Choi et al. [14] |
| c-myc               | E11 mouse embryonic midbrain (A1) | Express vimentin and nestin, MAP, co-stained for GFAP and NSE No TH and DAT mRNA expression Glutamic acid decarboxylase mRNA has been observed | | Colucci-D’Amato et al. [173] |
| c-mycERTAM (c-myc protein fused with a mutated oestrogen receptor) | Human ventral mesencephalic cells 10 week old aborted foetus | Nestin positive, differentiate TH in vitro | | Miljan et al. [174] |
| v-myc               | Human ventral mesencephalic cells (10 week old aborted foetus; hVM1) | Express Lmx1A, Lmx1B, Girk2, ADH2, Nurr1, Phb3, VMAT2 and DAT, jIII-tubulin and TH | Transplantation of hVM1-Bcl-XL in Hemiparkinsonian rats: No tumour formation, integrated into host parenchyma, expresses TH, DAT Apomorphine-induced rotation was not compensated, while amphetamine-induced rotations were compensated [175] | Villa et al. [176], Tonnesen et al. [177] |
| Human ventral mesencephalic cells (8 week-old aborted foetus; MESC2.10) | Proliferation: Express Nurr1 and GFR α1 Differentiation: Express TH, GFR α1 and c-ret mRNA increased | Hemiparkinsonian rats: No TH expression, no behavioural recovery in amphetamine-induced motor asymmetry test | | Paul et al. [178] |
| Telomerase (hTERT) | Human foetal subventricular zone (hNPC-TERT) | Proliferation: Co-express nestin and GFAP Differentiation: Express MAP2, O1 and GFAP i.e. differentiate into neurons, oligodendrocyte and astrocytes respectively | Spinal injury models: Recovery of motor functions and electrophysiological parameters [179] | Bai et al. [180] |
(LT) [16, 17] and human telomerase [18]. The commonly used vector for immortalization is SV40 LT, which exhibits immortalizing properties without fully transforming the cells [19]. The focus of this review is on the cell lines established from mesencephalic progenitors, as it is shown in vivo that mesencephalic progenitors differentiate into dopaminergic neurons. The article attempts to discuss the mechanism of generating differentiated dopaminergic neurons and their application for transplantation, breaking them down into three divisions: (1) the molecular mechanism of transformation by SV40 LT and discusses the factors involved in immortalization, (2) the influences of genes in differentiation of dopaminergic neurons and the characteristic features of SV40 immortalized neuronal cell lines with respect to dopaminergic differentiation, (3) transplantation studies and the limitation for cell therapy with stem cells in PD patients.

**History of SV40 LT antigen and its mechanism in immortalization**

**Discovery and molecular mechanism of SV40 LT antigen**

The simian virus SV40 was first discovered in 1960 [20] in cultures of rhesus monkey kidney cells that were being used to produce polio vaccine. It was named for the effect it produced on infected cells, which developed an unusual number of vacuoles. This led to the discovery of tumour formation by SV40 in rodents as well as induced transformation of primary cultures of human cells [21, 22].

The simian virus SV40 is a double stranded DNA virus with a genome of 5243 base pairs, belonging to the family Polyomaviridae [23–25] and its natural host is the rhesus species (Macaca mulatta) [26]. The genome codes for seven proteins, three structural and four functional proteins in overlapping reading frames [23, 24]. The structural proteins are VP1, VP2 and VP3 and the functional proteins include a large T antigen and a small t antigen essential for viral life cycle and two small proteins of unknown function namely, agnoprotein and 17kT [25, 27, 28]. The simian virus SV40 large T antigen is a multifunctional regulatory protein [23], classified as a member of helicase superfamily with the property of unwinding double stranded DNA and RNA [29–31]. It encodes 708 amino acids and consists of J domain, Rb-protein binding (LxCxE) motif, nuclear localization signal domain, origin binding domain, Zn domain, ATPase domain, variable region and host range (HR) domain.

**Role of LT antigen in transformation/immortalization**

The transformation/immortalization by SV40 LT involves the following mechanisms: (1) activation of E2F-mediated transcription through binding with Rb-E2F complex and (2) inhibition of p53, by blocking p53-dependent transcription activation and p53-independent growth-arrest. These two mechanisms lead to increased cell growth, prevent apoptosis and result in cellular proliferation.

**Interaction of LT antigen with Rb protein**—The LT binds to Rb protein through the LxCxE motif along with J domain [27, 29, 32]. The J domain of LT has sequence similarity with the J domain of DnaK class of molecular chaperones [33]. Large T antigen binds in an ATP dependent manner to the hsc70 (DnaK homologue present in mammalian cells), and the binding is dependent on J domain [28]. The binding of LT to Rb protein suppresses the pathway of cell cycle entry and growth arrest, which are governed by Rb-E2F complexes. E2F, a transcription factor along with Rb controls the transcription of E2F-regulated genes, which encode proteins required for DNA replication, nucleotide metabolism, DNA repair and cell cycle progression. The disruption of the repressive effect of Rb-E2F complex by LT results in the transcription of E2F dependent genes and progress into S phase; thereby cells are transformed to proliferate continuously.

**Interaction of LT antigen with tumour suppressor p53**—In SV40-transformed cells, LT was found to bind with p53 [34]. p53 is a transcriptional activator that mediates apoptosis under unfavourable conditions like DNA damage, depletion of nucleotides and abnormal inhibition of Rb protein. The ATPase domain of LT helps in the binding with p53 protein [35]. The carboxy-terminal variable region and HR domain (amino acid 351–708) of LT are not required for p53 binding, but it requires the regions 351–450 and 533–626 amino acids, known to be the bipartite region for the interaction with p53 [36]. In general, binding of LT with p53 is responsible for an extended life span and cellular transformation, by blocking p53-dependent transcription activation and p53-independent growth-arrest [29, 37, 38]. Binding of LT to p300/CBP that interacts with p53 also prevents apoptosis and leads to the survival of the cell [39].

**SV40 LT antigen immortalized neuronal cell lines**

The SV40 LT has been widely used in the production of various cell lines (Table 2). However, limited studies have focused on the immortalization of mesencephalic progenitor cells towards dopaminergic/neuronal phenotype.

**Immortalization with SV40 LT antigen**

1RB<sub>AN27</sub>/N27 cell lines—The establishment of dopamine-producing immortalized clones was first reported by Prasad et al. [16]. The cells were established by transfecting rat E12 primary mesencephalic cells with pSV<sub>3</sub>neo vector expressing SV40 LT and were found to be positive for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) expression. The clones derived from pSV<sub>3</sub>neo transfected cells were subcultured and the subclones were found to contain over 95% of TH-positive cells (1RB<sub>AN27</sub>). These 1RB<sub>AN27</sub> cells produced homovanillic acid, a metabolite of dopamine [16] and exhibited dopaminergic properties with the expression of DAT and TH. In addition, these cells showed little or no labelling with GFAP, which is a marker for astrocytes [40].

**Immortalized VMP E12 neuronal progenitor (iVMP) cell lines**—The neuronal progenitor cells from the rat mesencephalon were isolated from 12th day of embryogenesis (E12) and were non-virally transfected with pSV<sub>3</sub>neo vector expressing SV40 LT [41]. Four
Table 2 SV40 large T antigen immortalized neuronal cell lines.

| Immortalizing agent | Cell line | Cell line derived from | Properties of the cell line | Reference |
|----------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| SV40 large T antigen | 1RB<sub>2</sub>AN<sub>27</sub> | E12 rat primary mesencephalic cells | Express SV40 LT, TH, homovanillic acid | Prasad et al. [16] |
|                      |           |                        | Express DAT, neuron-specific enolase, nestin | Adams et al. [40] |
| IVMP (C1, C2, C3 and C4) | E12 rat ventral mesencephalic cells | Proliferation (C2-C4 clones): Express Lmx1, Wnt1, Wnt5, Nurr1, Dlk1, En1, SV40 LT | Nobre et al. [42] |
|                      |           |                        | Differentiation (C2 and C3 clone): Lmx1, Wnt5, Nurr1, En1, Dlk1, Ngn, Pbx3, DAT, TH | |
|                      |           |                        | C1 clone: bIII-tubulin immunopositive after differentiated by SV40 LT silencing | |
|                      |           |                        | No TH immunoreactive cells were observed | |
| N-terminal fragment of SV40 large T antigen (T155) | AF5 (T155g) | E14 rat primary mesencephalic cells | Express SV40 LT, neuronal and astrocytic markers | Truckenmiller et al. [17] |
|                      |           |                        | Express TH, bIII tubulin, growth factors PDGF, TGF β1, TGF β2, neurotrophic factors GDNF, BDNF and bFGF | Truckenmiller et al. [43] |
|                      |           |                        | Express Pbx2, GABA | Sanchez et al. [44] |
|                      | RTC3/4 (T155c) | E14 rat primary mesencephalic cells | Immunopositive for S100β and vimentin, negative for NeuN, MAP2 and bIII-tubulin. Secrete PDGF | Harvey et al. [181] |
| Temperature sensitive SV40 large T antigen | CSM14.1 | E14 rat primary mesencephalic cells | Proliferation at 33°C—express SV40 LT, Nurr1 and nestin | Hass and Wree [45] |
|                      |           |                        | Differentiation at 39°C—no expression of SV40 LT, express MAP2, Nurr1, TH, ADH2 | |
|                      | SN4741 | E13.5 transgenic mouse ventral mesencephalic cells* | At permissive temperature: Express TH, AADC, NSE, MAP, D2R, DAT, high level of SV40 LT and BDNF | Son et al. [102] |
|                      |           |                        | At non-permissive temperature: Express TH, AADC, low level of SV40 LT and BDNF and high level of DAT and MAP | |
|                      | ST14A | E14 rat striatum primordial cells | Proliferation at 33°C—express SV40 LT, nestin | Cattaneo and Conti [91] |
|                      |           |                        | Differentiation at 39°C—no expression of SV40 LT, express MAP2, NGF, NT3, BDNF, bFGF, CNTF | Ehrlich et al. [92] |
|                      |           |                        | Differentiation at 39°C—express bIII tubulin, neuron specific enolase, striatal marker DARPP-32 | |
|                      |           |                        | Express Wnt5a | Peters et al. [94] |
|                      |           |                        | Express Wnt2, Wnt5a, Wnt4, Wnt11 | Lange et al. [95] |
| Immortalizing agent | Cell line | Cell line derived from | Properties of the cell line | Reference |
|----------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Chromaffin cell lines | E17 rat adrenal and neonatal bovine adrenal cells | | Proliferation at 33°C — express TH, SV40 LT | Eaton et al. [106, 108] |
|                      |           |                        | Differentiation at 39°C — no expression of SV40 LT, express DbH, Phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase, opioid met-enkephalin, GABA, serotonin |           |
|                      | RN33B E13 rat medullary raphe cells | | Proliferation at 33°C — express SV40 LT, vimentine, nestin, diffuse neuron-specific enolase, neurofilament | Whittemore and White [104] |
|                      |           |                        | Differentiation at 38.5°C — decreased SV40 LT and enhanced neuronal specific protein |           |
|                      | RN46A E13 rat medullary raphe cells | | Proliferation at 33°C — express SV40 LT, low level neuron-specific enolase, neurofilament | White et al. [105] |
|                      |           |                        | Differentiation at 38.5°C — decreased SV40 LT, express enhanced neuron-specific enolase, low affinity NGF receptor, trk receptor, tryptophan hydroxylase, aromaticaminoacid decarboxylase which are increased with treatment of BDNF, NGF and ACTH and express serotonin |           |
|                      | H19-7 E17 rat hippocampus | | Proliferation at 39°C — express neurofilament, MAP2, no SV40 LT | Eves et al. [103] |
|                      | 3NA12 Postnatal olfactory epithelial cells from H-2Kb-tsA58 (transgenic mice) | | Proliferation at 39°C — express SV40 LT, NCAM, ACIII, OE1, OEl, OEl, OEl | Barber et al. [107] |
|                      |           |                        | At permissive temperature: Express SV40 LT, NCAM, ACIII, OE1, OEl, OEl, OEl |           |
|                      |           |                        | At non-permissive temperature: Express NCAM, ACIII, OE1, OEl, OEl, OEl |           |
|                      |           |                        | No expression of SV40 LT |           |
|                      |           |                        | | |
clones have been derived from the transfected cells. The transfected cells were characterized using RT-PCR for the expression of SV40 LT, dopamine transporters (DAT), transcription factor (Nurr1, Pitx3), Wnt1, Wnt5, En1 and TH, which reveals that C2, C3 and C4 express Wnt1, Wnt5a, Nurr1 and En1. Immunocytochemical staining shows that they were immunopositive for nestin. Differentiation by silencing SV40 LT with shRNA-SV40 results in βIII-tubulin and GFAP immunoreactive cells in C1 clone. When differentiated with cAMP/GDNF, C2 and C3 clones exhibit neurite outgrowth in nestin positive cells and later these cells were positive for βIII-tubulin. Transcriptional analysis shows that C2 clone alone expresses Pitx3, DAT and TH. But all the clones fail to express TH at translational level [42].

**Immortalization with N-terminal fragment of SV40 LT antigen**

**AF5 cell lines**—The N-terminal fragment of SV40 LT was used in immortalizing rat E14 mesencephalic cells [17, 43]. The vector used in the immortalization was pCMV/SVE/neo (T155/T155g vector), which consisted of a truncated SV40 LT encoding only the N-terminal 155 amino acid (T155). An important feature in the generation of T155g was to preserve the functional p53 in the cellular machinery. This cell line was shown to express T155, neuronal and/or astrocytic markers. The cell line was named AF5. This cell line retained its plasticity and differentiated into βIII-tubulin expressing cells in confluent cultures and 1% of confluent cells were strongly immunopositive for TH [17]. These AF5 cells appear as ‘neurospheres’ in culture and thought to be similar to neural precursor cells [43]. Upon induced differentiation with serum starvation, this cell line differentiated into GABAergic lineage [44].

**Immortalization with SV40 LT antigen conditional vectors**

Conditional vectors for immortalization of primary cells could be useful in transplantation studies as well as in clinical therapeutics. The most common SV40 LT conditional vector is the temperature sensitive mutant of SV40 LT.

**CSM14.1 cell lines**—CSM14.1 cell lines were derived from E14 rat mesencephalic cell, retrovirally immortalized with temperature-sensitive SV40 LT. Undifferentiated cells were positive for neural stem cell marker, nestin at 33°C and upon differentiation at 39°C these cells express neuronal protein MAP5. The cell line also expressed Nurr1 in its undifferentiated state and increased upon differentiation. Further differentiation of these cells led to the time-dependent expression of TH and aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (AHD2) at translational level [45]. Transplantation of the cells in hemiparkinsonian animals resulted in the reduction of apomorphine-induced rotation [46, 47] and no tumour formation was observed in transplanted grafts or the surrounding host tissue [48].

**Factors governing differentiation of neural progenitors into dopaminergic neurons**

In the production of dopaminergic cell lines, the cells should express the important factors that govern the cells towards differentiating into dopaminergic neurons, which are briefly described below.

The factors governing dopaminergic phenotype have been extensively reviewed (Fig. 1) [49, 50]. Mesencephalic progenitors that give

---

**Fig. 1** Overview of development of dopaminergic neurons. Induction of mDA neurons requires shh and FGF8, where shh is required for induction and FGF8 for positioning of mDA neurons. In VM precursors, Wnt1 and Wnt5a (to a lesser extent) induce the proliferation of precursor cells. The up-regulation of Nurr1 positive cells is facilitated by the signalling of Wnt1, Wnt5a and Wnt5a (to a lesser extent). The differentiation is carried out under the influence of Wnt5a in the Nurr1 positive cells. In these differentiating cells, Wnt1 is reduced, Wnt5a is up-regulated and Wnt3a is not expressed as it would inhibit DA differentiation. The cell can be characterized as immature neurons or progenitors by the expression of nestin, mature neurons by expression of β-tubulin and dopaminergic neurons by the expression of DAT and TH. Neurotrophic factor like BDNF, FGF are expressed in immature and mature neurons as they help in neuroprotection and neuroregeneration. Along with other transcription factors and signalling molecules, Lmx1a/b, Nurr1 and Pitx3 promote mesencephalic neuronal progenitors cells towards differentiation into dopaminergic neurons.
rise to dopaminergic neurons are positioned in the isthmic organizer (ISO or known as the midbrain-hindbrain junction) [51]. Isthmic organizer is the centre for organizing the location and size of the mesencephalic dopaminergic (mDA) neurons [52]. The homeodomain transcription factors, Otx2 (from midbrain) and Gbx2 (from hindbrain) are the key factors for the formation of ISO [53]. Sonic hedgehog (Shh) and FGF-8 are the inductive factors for mDA, where Shh is responsible for induction and FGF-8 for positioning of mDA neurons [54, 55]. In rat brain, the maturation of post mitotic mDA neurons takes place between E11 and E15 [56]. The factors influencing mDA genesis and maturation are the transcription factors [57], Pitx3, Nurr1, Lmx1a/b, En1/2 and signalling genes like Wnt.

Factors influencing tyrosine hydroxylase expression

Tyrosine hydroxylase is a rate-limiting enzyme in the production of dopamine and one of the phenotypic markers in mDA neurons. In mouse, TH expression is induced at E11.5 [58, 59]. Along with TH expression, the maturation of mDA neuron is characterized by the expression of DAT at E12-15 [50]. In situ hybridization of TH gene expression shows that they are extensively localized in locus coeruleus, substantia nigra (SN) and ventral tegmental area (VTA) [60]. Tyrosine hydroxylase expression is influenced by the following factors.

Lmx1a/b

LIM homeobox transcription factor (Lmx) 1 alpha is considered to be the first transcription factor, which acts upon the mDA progenitor cells that commit for the specification of the cells. In chick embryos, silencing of Lmx1a results in the loss of DA neurons whereas gain of function indicates a robust generation of the mDA neurons [57, 61]. In rats, Lmx1a mutation leads to aberrant brain development [62], with no specific emphasis on mDA development or maturation. Interestingly, Lmx1b was also expressed in the mesencephalon, but their absence did not block the expression TH as studied in Lmx1b null mice. But Lmx1b knockout mice failed to induce Pitx3 and subsequently there was a loss small set of dopaminergic neurons [63].

Nurr1

Nurr1 is a transcription factor belonging to the orphan nuclear receptor family. Nurr1 expression in mDA progenitors starts at E10.5 [64]. Nurr1 is defined as the important component in mDA specification, maturation [65] and it is capable of inducing TH expression [66–68]. Studies on Nurr1 deficient mice show that there is lack of expression of TH, AHD2, D2R [69], VMAT2 and DAT [65]. In Nurr1 mutant, engrailed 1 and 2, AHD2, AADC has been reported to be reduced or absent by E15.5 [65, 70]. Nr4a2—null mice (Nr4a2 also known as Nurr1) died soon after birth, which revealed that these embryos have no TH expression. Nurr1 is also responsible for the expression of VMAT [65, 69, 71, 72]. From knockout studies, it becomes obvious that Nurr1 is necessary for the expression of many genes involved in the DA system primarily TH, AADC, VMAT and DAT.

Pitx3

Pitx3 is a bicoid-related homeobox protein, expressed prominently in mDA neurons [73]. Apherakia mice, a recessive phenotype with a double genomic deletion in Pitx3 gene [74], express TH-positive neurons in SN till E11.5 and become scarce after E12 [67, 75–77]. Studies on Pitx3 knockout mice prove the same [78]. Pitx3 is known to activate TH promoter via a high affinity-binding site, which appears to be cell dependent [79]. Immunohistochemical analysis in mice brain shows that, Pitx3 and Nurr1 cooperate with each other in the regulation of TH gene expression [80]. In Pitx3 deficient there is lose of TH expression in SN, but not in VTA [78]. Pitx3 and TH expression is completely overlapped throughout SN and VTA [76], suggesting that TH is indeed in the control of Nurr1 and not under Pitx3 [65]. Research indicates the involvement of microRNA-133b in the regulation of Pitx3 via negative feedback regulation. It is found that miR133b is deficient in the midbrain of PD patients [81]. But the recent report [82] has stated that genetic predisposition of Pitx3 and miR-133b did not contribute to the risk of PD. The reason for the mir-133b deficiency in the midbrain of PD patients might be because of the feedback mechanism.

Wnt family

The other factors influencing TH expression are the members of the Wnt family [83]. The Wnt are a family of glycoprotein that regulates cell proliferation, cell fate decision and differentiation. The commonly studied Wnt in dopamine neurogenesis are Wnt1, Wnt3 and Wnt5a [84]. Loss of function studies revealed that Wnt1 deficient mice were not able to develop any midbrain or anterior hindbrain structures, showing that Wnt1 is necessary for midbrain development. In rats, Wnt1 is highly expressed in ventral midbrain at E11.5 [85, 86]. Wnt1 induces Fgf8 expression [87]. In E14.5 primary ventral midbrain cultures, on addition of exogenous Wnt5a increases TH-expressing neurons and also up-regulates Pitx3 and cRET mRNA [83]. It is understood that Wnt1 acts as a mitogen to the neuronal progenitors, whereas Wnt5a acts as a differentiating agent by inducing TH expression in Nurr1+ cells.

Characteristic features of SV40 LT antigen immortalized cell lines

The SV40 LT immortalized cell lines derived from mesencephalic progenitors exhibit either neuronal and/or astrocytic properties (Fig. 2). But most immortalized cell lines like AF5, 1R8A, CSM14.1 and 1VMP were found to be neuronal progenitors. This may be because of the commitment/predetermination of the cells and the cellular niche from which they have been isolated. When differentiated, some of these cell lines behave as dopaminergic neurons upon transplantation or favourable conditions of differentiation. The expression of dopaminergic factors in the SV40 immortalized cell lines are described next.

Lmx1a/b expression

No study has been performed in the immortalized mesencephalic cells, which are described above with the exception of 1VMP cells.
Recent experiments on embryonic stem cells show that forced expression of Lmx1a has increased the production of dopaminergic neurons [88]. Lmx1b expression has been observed in iVMP clones. During differentiation, some of the iVMP clones have an increased expression of Pitx3 [42], reminding that Lmb1b controls Pitx3 expression [63].

Pitx3 expression

Pitx3 expression has not been reported in the cell lines AF5, 1RB3AN27 and CSM14.1. C2-iVMP clone exhibits Pitx3 expression after differentiation with cAMP/GDNF and rest of the clones (C1, C3 and C4) did not express Pitx3 [42]. The expression and the molecular mechanism of Pitx3 in the cell lines need to be further examined. The failure of Pitx3 expression in other clones might be tied with the miRNA regulation of Pitx3 or SV40 LT might interfere with the Pitx3 regulation.

Pitx2 expression

Interestingly, AF5 cell lines express Pitx2; a transcription factor belonging to the same homeodomain transcription factor as Pitx3, which is involved in neuronal differentiation. Pitx2 expression was observed in the developing diencephalon, mesencephalon and ventral spinal cord [89], which is suggestive of its contribution in the development of GABAergic neurons. Pitx2 and GABA were also detected in post mitotic ventral mesencephalic neurons [90]. The AF5 cell lines express Pitx2 along with GABA and differentiate into GABAergic lineage [44]. These cell lines were isolated on E14 from mesencephalic cells, but they differentiate into GABAergic neurons (not as dopaminergic neurons). We have to take into account that the cell lines were strongly immunopositive for TH [16]. This shows that mesencephalic cells could be differentiated into dopaminergic or GABAergic phenotype. The other conclusion can be obtained from the AF5 cell line is that it might have been immortalized from mixed cell population (both GABAergic and dopaminergic precursors), leading to the different outcome in this cell line [43, 44], indicating that GABAergic precursors might have prevailed over the dopaminergic precursor cells (see Table 2).

Nurr1 expression

The cell lines AF5 and 1RB3AN27 are not analysed for the expression of transcription factor, Nurr1. These cell lines might express Nurr1, as they were derived from E14 rat primary mesencephalic cells, which usually develop into mDA neurons and express TH. Therefore, Nurr1 expression should be investigated.

In CSM14.1 cell line, Nurr1 is expressed both in permissive and non-permissive temperature. In non-permissive temperature, there is...
an increased Nurr1 expression that in turn drives the expression of TH and AHD2.

The iVMP E12 neuronal progenitor cell line expresses Nurr1, which is promising to develop dopaminergic cell lines in vitro. It demands further research to demonstrate the in vivo dopaminergic differentiation of iVMP E12 neuronal progenitor cell lines.

**Wnts expression**

Expression of Wnts in the cells lines AF5, 1RB, AN27 and CSM14.1 has so far not been studied. The clonal cells (C2, C3 and C4) express Wnt5 in vitro. But these clones do not exhibit TH-protein expression [42]. Obviously, SV40 LT might hinder TH expression and no other explanation can be made, if Wnt5 is indeed a differentiating agent in mesencephalic cells.

Expression of Wnts has been studied in ST14A cell lines, which are established by immortalizing E14 rat striatum primordial cells by temperature-sensitive mutant of SV40 LT. These cell lines proliferate at 33°C with the expression of nestin and differentiate at 39°C with the expression of MAP2. These cells express SV40 LT at 33°C, but not at 39°C [91]. Upon differentiation, these cells express β-tubulin, striatal marker DARPP-32 and reported to have the property of medium-sized spiny neuron [92].

The ST14A and its derivatives CNTF-ST14A and GDNF-ST14A were found to express Wnt5a. After the temperature shift from 33 to 39°C, there is an increase in the expression of Wnt5a [93-95]. Overexpression of CNTF and GDNF in ST14A cell lines results in overcoming stress response by inducing c-jun [111]. Dis-immortalization with cre/lox or conditionally immortalized LT cell line may overcome this problem. Temperature sensitive LT immortalized cell lines like ST14A were able to differentiate into glial and neuronal cells at non-permissive temperature of 39°C [91, 92], which apparently proves the fact that LT inhibits differentiation in cells.

**SV40 LT antigen expression in vitro in immortalized neuronal cell lines**

All the cell lines immortalized with SV40 LT express the antigen. The cell lines (ST14A, chromaffin cell lines, RN33B and H19-7) established with temperature-sensitive SV40 LT vector expresses LT at 33°C and not upon temperature shift to 39°C in which they were able to differentiate [92, 103-109]. Refer transplantation studies for in vivo expression of SV40 LT by the immortalized cell lines.

**Interaction of SV40 large antigen in differentiation**

Most of the immortalized cell lines with LT lose their property to differentiate into specialized cell types with few exceptions. It is suggested that the binding and inactivation of cellular proteins such as p53, p300, p107, p130 and Rb by LT leads to de-differentiation or inability to differentiate in the presence of LT [110]. LT was also reported to inhibit myogenic differentiation in mouse skeletal muscle cell line by suppressing the expression of myoD gene family, partially through inducing c-jun [111]. Dis-immortalization with cre/lox or conditionally immortalized LT cell line may overcome this problem. Temperature sensitive LT immortalized cell lines like ST14A were able to differentiate into glial and neuronal cells at non-permissive temperature of 39°C [91, 92], which apparently proves the fact that LT inhibits differentiation in cells.

The iVMP E12 neuronal progenitor cell line reveals that LT might interfere with gene expression related to dopaminergic factors. These cell lines were found to express all the markers including TH mRNA (Table 2). From this it is evident that these cell lines were able to produce TH mRNA, but there was no protein expression. The authors would like to hypothesize that LT interferes with dopaminergic differentiation probably by directly binding to post-transcription or/and translation machinery.

**Transplantation studies**

**In PD’s animal models with SV40 LT antigen immortalized cell lines**

The transplantation of foetal mesencephalic tissue into the striata of 6-OHDA lesion rats [3, 4] and hemiparkinsonian rats [112] shows that there is improvement in drug-induced rotations. In PD’s models, few studies have addressed the transplantation of SV40 immortalized cell lines (Table 3).

**Drug-induced rotation test**

The 6-OHDA lesion rats transplanted with 1RB AN27 cell lines are found to have reduction in methamphetamine-induced turning with an improvement of neurological deficits [40, 113, 114]. Likewise, conditionally immortalized cells like CSM14.1 have also been shown to reduce apomorphine-induced rotation in hemiparkinsonian animals [48]. Palmer et al. [115] reported that there is a reduction in drug-induced rotation in 6-OHDA treated rats, transplanted intra-nigraly
with dopaminergic neurons. Likewise, the reduction of drug-induced rotation in transplanted PD’s animal model using cell lines might be because of the in vivo differentiation of the cell lines into dopaminergic neurons.

Transplantation of 1RB3AN27 cell lines in normal and 6-OHDA lesion Sprague-Dawley rats shows that these cell lines did not form tumours in transplant grafts. It was found that these cell lines did not divide or produce LT. Furthermore, they did not elicit immune response or extend the neuritis and were not rejected by the host. It was suggested that there was an inhibiting factor in brain that inhibits LT. In vitro experimentation with the soluble fraction from brain inhibits SV40 LT expression in 1RB3AN27 as well as growth [40, 113, 114, 116, 117]. Likewise, immortalized cell lines of olfactory ensheathing glia with SV40 LT upon transplantation in animal model of spinal cord injury shows that there was no LT expression in the grafts after 4 weeks of transplantation and the animals’ recovered sensory and motor function [118]. CSM14.1 cell line on transplantation in hemiparkinsonian rats did not form tumours and were not able to express SV40 LT [48]. In conditionally immortalized chromaffin cell line with SV40 LT derived from E17 rat adrenal and neonatal bovine, adrenal cells were not exhibiting LT expression when transplanted in the lumbosacral subarachnoid space of spinal cord [106, 108]. Chromaffin cells have also been used in the cell therapy treatment of PD, as these cell line express TH and dopamine β-hydroxylase, but these cells survive poorly after transplantation to the striatum [6, 119, 120]. To avoid further consequence of tumour development by SV40 LT, these cell lines can be dis-immortalized with Cre/lox site directed recombination [108, 121, 122]. Thus, the SV40 LT immortalized cell lines might be transplanted into the host without any implication of forming tumours.

The summary of the transplantation studies in animal models with SV40 LT immortalized cell lines results in the following: (1) the cells do not proliferate in the grafts, (2) they were not rejected by the host, (3) they did not form tumours, (4) they did not express SV40 LT in the grafts and most importantly (5) helps in recovering drug-induced rotation, a test to check functional aspect of the integrated grafts in PD’s animal models.

### In PD’s animal models with stem cells

Stem cells have attracted particular attention in recent years, because of their potential to differentiate into desired cell types. They are promising in transplantation for non-curable neurodegenerative disorders. Human stem cells used for dopaminergic differentiation are of different origins namely, mesenchymal stem cells [123], neural stem cells [124–126], amniotic fluid stem cells [127] and embryonic origin [128].

#### Human neural stem cell (hNSC) lines

In vitro experiments with (1) HB1.F3 (hNSCs supernatant on human derived dopaminergic SH-SY5Y cells) show that they prevent apoptosis induced by 6-hydroxydopamine [126] and (2) ReNCell VM (hNSCs isolated from developing mesencephalon) differentiate into dopaminergic neurons, upon ‘preaggregation differentiation’ [124]. Thus, in vitro experiments reveal that these cells can differentiate into dopaminergic neurons as well as provide neuroprotection. Transplantation studies with hNSCs shows that they survive, migrate and differentiate into astrocytes in ischemic rats [126]. When transplanted in Primate Parkinson’s model the cells differentiates into TH and DAT positive neurons [129], and in 6-OHDA rats they exhibit MAP2, but rarely express TH [126]. When hNSCs transplanted in the spinal cord, the cells differentiate into astrocytes and GABAergic neurons [130]. In case of human amniotic fluid stem cells, they do not generate dopaminergic neurons in vitro or after transplantation in vivo [127].

In conclusion, hNSCs upon transplantation provides neuroprotection, differentiates into astrocytes, dopaminergic/GABAergic neurons.

---

**Table 3**: Transplantation studies with immortalized cell lines

| Cell line | Description | Duration of post-transplantation experiments | Characteristics \(\text{in vivo}\) | Drug induced rotation | Reference |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| CSM14.1  | Hemiparkinsonian animal | Apomorphine-induced rotation: after 3, 6, 9 and 12 weeks, Histology: 12 weeks | Express GFAP, NeuN and TH expression, no tumour formation, no SV40 LT | Reduce apomorphine-induced rotation | Hass et al. [48] |
| 1RB3AN27| 6-OHDA lesion rats | Methamphetamine induced rotation: after 30 days, Histology: after 30 days | No SV40 LT expression, no tumour formation | Reduced methamphetamine induced turning with an improvement of neurological deficits | Adams et al. [40] |
| IVMP    | 6-OHDA lesion rats | Histology: after 7 and 14 days | Express SV40 LT, SV40 LT upto 7 days, no tumour expression, no SV40 LT | | Nobre et al. [42] |
Even if hNSCs differentiates into TH-positive neurons, they survive poorly and were less TH-positive cells in the transplanted grafts.

Human embryonic stem cell (hESC) lines
In context to transplantation in PD, hESCs have been given more importance. Human embryonic stem cells are derived from the inner mass of human blastocyst. The established hESCs used in the successful production of dopaminergic neurons in vitro are H1, H9, HES-1, BGO, MB03, HSF-6, SNU-hES3, kheS-1, HUE-1, SNUhES1 and SNUhES16. Most of the stem cells develop into dopaminergic neurons in vitro, with the expression of TH [128, 131–144]. Co-culturing of hESCs with feeder cells such as stromal cell lines [142] and midbrain astrocytes [138], increase the production of TH-positive neurons. The differentiation mechanism behind astrocytes is still to be identified; nevertheless it is hypothesized that differentiation might be as a result of the action of the growth factors such as BDNF and GDNF produced by astrocytes. But in case of stromal cells, apart from secreted factors like FGF, hepatocyte growth factor and VEGF, IFG2 and pleiotrophin (PTN) were observed in high level, which shows that these factors (IFG2, PTN) are involved in successful differentiation of hESCs into dopaminergic neurons [145].

Transplantation with either undifferentiated hESCs or differentiated hESCs towards dopaminergic neurons survives in the graft, but less TH-positive cells were observed in the transplanted grafts [132–142]. It shows that although differentiation of hESCs into neuronal/ dopaminergic neurons is successful in vitro, whereas it fails in vivo. Although these cells do possess all the characteristic features of dopaminergic neurons, they were not able to efficiently differentiate in vivo. This might be because of the transplanted cell niche and the surrounding factors that inhibit production of TH-positive neurons and interfere with survival.

The most important disadvantages in the transplantation of both hESC and hNSC lines are (1) cells evoke immune response in host after transplantation (because of heterologous transplantation nature) and (2) undifferentiated cells or stem cells cultured along with feeder cells will result in the formation of teratoma/tumour. Berderlau et al. [133] have shown that pre-differentiated hES cells exhibit tumour formation in transplanted 6-GHDA lesion rat model of PD. Likewise, transplantation with foetal NSC in a boy with ataxia telangiectasia results in multifocal brain tumour, which is of donor origin [146].

Human mesenchymal cells
Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) derived from bone marrow will be a better choice to avoid the above-mentioned disadvantages in treating PD. Because of the autologous transplantation nature of MSC, these cells will not exhibit neither teratoma/tumour nor elicit immune response.

Mesenchymal stem cells can be differentiated towards dopaminergic neurons with different combinations of BDNF, GDNF, neurturin, neurotrophin 3, FGF-8, TGF β, SHH, oestrogen and retinoic acid [147]. Transplantation of hMSCs helps in reducing the decline of TH-positive cells, thereby differentiating into TH-positive neurons [148], and therefore results in improvement of behavioural defects and exhibit dopaminergic phenotype [149]. In LPS-induced in vivo and in vitro models, treatment with hMSCs is known to decrease microglial activation, TNF-α, iNOS and also reduce the production of NO. In vitro experiments with co-culture of microglia and mesencephalic neuron along with hMSCs found that hMSCs reduce the loss of TH-positive cells [150]. Autologous hMSCs delivered intra-arterial and intravenously in multiple system atrophy (MSA) patients proved to be beneficial by delaying the progression of neurological defects, without adverse effects [151].

In general, hMSCs proves to be significant in recovering neurological defects (PD, MSA) by the following mechanism(s): (1) neuroprotection, (2) differentiation into dopaminergic neurons, (3) delaying the progression of neuronal damage and (4) prevention in the decline of TH-positive cells. An important advantage is that these cells can be injected intra-arterially and intravenously, without serious consequences.

Cell/tissue transplantation in PD patients
Several open-label trials with foetal mesencephalic tissue or cells in PD patients have been carried out since 1987 (Table 4) [152, 153]. Later double-blind trials were carried out, which were not promising [9, 154, 155]. The patients who received the grafts were reported to have significant improvement in motor function [10, 156–162] and have been maintained for more than 10 years in some patients [152, 153, 163–165]. The grafted neurons were also found to integrate and release dopamine [10]. Post-mortem reports of PD patients who died of unknown causes have been reported to have large number of dopaminergic neurons in the transplanted grafts after 3–4 years of surgery [9, 163–167].

Few transplantation studies in PD patients were given TH expression parameters (Table 4). It is not ethical to get biopsy samples for assay for TH expression. Non-invasive techniques like PET, MRI help to overcome this barrier, PET studies with fluorodopa uptake in PD transplanted patients shows that there is an increase in fluorodopa uptake, which signifies the functional aspect of the transplanted grafts [156, 159, 160, 166, 168–171]. Autopsy samples from transplanted patients who died of other causes have shown that the graft contains TH immunoreactive cells [159, 160, 166, 172].

However, recent reports on these transplantation studies, illustrate that the grafts contain α-synuclein-positive Lewy bodies. But these grafts are also reported to have dopaminergic neurons with the expression of tyrosine hydroxylase [163, 164]. Mendez et al. [165] reported that there was no such PD pathology observed in the post-mortem of transplanted PD patients. These studies in transplantation of foetal mesencephalic tissue or cells in PD patients pose a new dimension in the study of PD pathology. These studies raise several questions on cell transplantation in PD. The positive aspect of cell transplantation in PD is that the transplantation helps PD patients with a long-term symptomatic relief to certain extent, but not with PD pathology i.e. α-synuclein-positive Lewy bodies in grafted/transplanted cells. The clinical studies will be of great help in learning more about PD pathology.

The report of Mendez et al. [165] showed that no such PD pathology was observed in transplanted grafts. This may be hypothesized
| Cell type/tissue | Site of transplantation | Outcome | TH expression | Remarks | Reference |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|-----------|
| Foetal mesencephalic cells/tissue | Caudate nucleus | Clinical recovery persisted in 7 of the 10 patients 5 years after implantation | ND | Improved motor function | Lopez-Lozano et al. [172] |
| | Putamen and caudate nucleus | Free of complications for 1 year after transplantation | ND | Modest improvement | Markham et al. [182] |
| | Bilateral post-commissural putamen | Increased fluoro-dopa uptake | Autopsy results show grafts were viable, integrated into the host striatum with dense dopaminergic neurons positive for TH immunoreactivity | Improvement in motor function | Kordower et al. [160] |
| | Putamen | Increased [18F]dopa uptake suggesting the graft survival | ND | Significant and sustained improvement in motor function | Lindvall et al. [169]; Sawle et al. [168] |
| | Putamen | Bilateral improvement of motor functions | ND | | Levivier et al. [183] |
| | Striatum and substantia nigra | Increased [18F]dopa uptake suggesting the graft survival | Exhibit dopaminergic phenotype by expressing TH and provide nerve innervations | No motor complication observed | Mendez et al. [166] |
| | Intra-striatum | Increased [18F]dopa uptake suggesting the graft survival | ND | Modest improvement | Peschanski et al. [170] |
| | Caudate nucleus | Bilateral motor improvement [18F] fluoro-dopa before and after surgery revealed bilateral restoration of caudate dopamine synthesis in a patient | ND | Modest improvement | Spencer et al. [171] |
| | Bilateral caudate and putamen | Bilateral motor improvement [18F] fluoro-dopa before and after surgery revealed uptake in both putamen and caudate nucleus | ND | Modest improvement | Brundin et al. [156] |
| | Unilateral striatum later into putamen or both putamen and caudate nucleus | Sequential transplantation does not interfere with either first or the second transplantation | ND | Modest improvement | Hagell et al. [158] |
| Cell type/tissue | Site of transplantation | Outcome | TH expression | Remarks | Reference |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|-----------|
| Foetal mesencephalic cells/tissue (Porcine) | | No adverse effects | ND | Modest improvement | Schumacher et al. [184] |
| Adrenal medulla (autograft) | Caudate nucleus | Complications were observed in some patients; but shows improvement | ND | Improvement in Parkinson's symptomatology | Lopez-Lozano et al. [185] |
| | Caudate nucleus | Distinct and persistent improvement seen in some of the younger patients | ND | | Allen et al. [186] |
| Co-transplantation of Adrenal medulla and foetal ventral mesencephalon | Caudate nucleus | Clinical recovery lasted in a step-wise manner for 3 years after transplantation | Autopsy report demonstrates that large number of TH-positive cells survives after 1 year after implantation | Long-term improvement in seriously disabled Parkinson's patients | Lopez-Lozano et al. [187] |
| Foetal substantia nigra and adrenal medulla | Caudate nucleus | Improvement in young patients, but a high morbidity and mortality rate in elderly patients | ND | Modest improvement | Madrazo et al. [188] |
| Foetal nigral cells | Bilateral Post-commissural putamen | Increased putaminal fluorodopa uptake suggesting the transplantation is helping in improvement | Autopsy report from two patients shows that there is robust survival of TH immunoreactive cells and abundant reinnervation of the post-commissural putamen | Long-term improvement appears to be in consistent with the transplantation | Hauser et al. [159] |
| Bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells (autologous) | Unilateral sublateral ventricular zone | Improvement in overall well-being, facial expression, gait and reduction in freezing episodes | ND | Modest improvement | Venkatramana et al. [189] |

ND, not described.
by the following reasons: (1) the grafts might contain both dopamine and serotonin neurons (a mixed cell population), so they might act as an inhibitor or as a buffer to reject Lewy body expression or integration upon migration and (2) the grafts may not be triggered by the factor(s) necessary to stimulate the expression of Lewy body.

The questions which can be asked is whether the α-synuclein-positive Lewy bodies are integrated into the grafts through migration from the host-to-graft or is the graft been triggered by external factors to express α-synuclein-positive Lewy bodies. Follow-on studies should address this issue to have a better understanding in transplantation in PD.

Conclusion

The SV40 immortalized neuronal cell lines are promising in elucidating the interaction of SV40 LT with other necessary factors for the generation of dopaminergic neurons. In in vitro studies, immortalized cells can be used as feeder cells in culturing primary VMP cells to enhance the production of dopaminergic neurons and in stem cells towards differentiating into dopaminergic phenotype, owing to the expression of trophic factors. The immortalized cell line with LT upon transplantation in animal models of PD shows that they are able to reduce drug-induced rotation, without any implication of tumour formation. Still open questions exist to be answered in the use of these cell lines. Certain cell lines were not able to express TH in vitro, but managed to express in vivo (indirect evidence like dopamine production, reduction of drug-induced rotation). Hence, the future studies should address the extrinsic and/or intrinsic factor triggering TH expression. It will also very interesting and useful to address the interaction of SV40 LT with any proteins involved in dopamine synthesis. Most of the cell transplantation experiments have not shown any pathological facets as revealed in PD patients. Further research is needed to study whether or not PD pathology is observed in transplantation studies in animal models. Although, SV40 LT immortalized neuronal cells favour recovery without tumour formation in PD animal models, they are not suitable to administer in patients with PD because of ethical issues. In future, human stem cells may offer a promising hope for cell-based transplant treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, owing to their unique properties in differentiating into dopaminergic neurons and in delaying the progression of neurological defects without adverse effects.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr T. Sabari Sankar, Postdoctoral fellow in the Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, A.V.R. Balachandar, University of Kassel, A. Angeline Beulah, Lecturer in Matha College of Nursing, India for critically reading the manuscript and Amala Benhur (M.A., English literature), for English corrections.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Kondziolkot D, Wechsler L, Goldstein S, et al. Transplantation of cultured human neuronal cells for patients with stroke. Neurology. 2000; 55: 565–9.
2. Milome M, Low HP, van den Pol A, et al. Towards the reconstruction of central nervous system white matter using neural precursor cells. Brain 2001; 124: 2147–61.
3. Bjorklund A, Stenevi U. Reconstruction of the nigrostriatal dopamine pathway by intracerebral nigral transplants. Brain Res. 1979; 177: 555–60.
4. Perlow MJ, Freed WJ, Hoffer BJ, et al. Brain grafts reduce motor abnormalities produced by destruction of nigrostriatal dopamine system. Science. 1979; 204: 643–7.
5. Bjorklund H, Dahl D, Haglid K, et al. Astrocytic development in fetal parietal cortex grafted to cerebral and cerebellar cortex of immature rats. Brain Res. 1983; 285: 171–80.
6. Freed WJ, Poltorak M, Becker JB. Intracerebral adrenal medulla grafts: a review. Exp Neurol 1990; 110: 139–66.
7. Lundberg C, Martinez-Serrano A, Cattaneo E, et al. Survival, integration, and differentiation of neural stem cell lines after transplantation to the adult rat striatum. Exp Neurol. 1997; 145: 342–60.
8. Dunnett SB, Bjorklund A. Prospects for new restorative and neuroprotective treatments in Parkinson's disease. Nature. 1999; 399: A32–9.
9. Freed CR, Greene PE, Breeze RE, et al. Transplantation of embryonic dopamine neurons for severe Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344: 710–9.
10. Piccini P, Brooks DJ, Bjorklund A, et al. Dopamine release from nigral transplants visualized in vivo in a Parkinson's patient. Nat Neurosci. 1999; 2: 1137–40.
11. Roybon L, Christophersen NS, Brundin P, et al. Stem cell therapy for Parkinson's disease: where do we stand? Cell Tissue Res. 2004; 318: 261–73.
12. Bjorklund A, Lindvall O. Parkinson disease gene therapy moves toward the clinic. Nat Med. 2000; 6: 1297–8.
13. Martinez-Serrano A, Bjorklund A. Immortalized neural progenitor cells for CNS gene transfer and repair. Trends Neurosci. 1997; 20: 530–8.
14. Choi HK, Won LA, Kontur PJ, et al. Immortalization of embryonic mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons by somatic cell fusion. Brain Res. 1991; 552: 67–76.
15. Snyder EY, Delitch DL, Walsh C, et al. Multipotent neural cell lines can engraf and participate in development of mouse cerebellum. Cell. 1992; 68: 33–51.
16. Prasad KN, Carvalho E, Kentroti S, et al. Establishment and characterization of immortalized clonal cell lines from fetal rat mesencephalic tissue. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 1994; 30A: 596–603.
17. Pruckner MS, Tomatore C, Wright RD, et al. A truncated SV40 large T antigen lacking the p53 binding domain overcomes p53-induced growth arrest and immortalizes primary mesencephalic cells. Cell Tissue Res. 1998; 291: 175–89.
18. Roy NS, Nakano T, Keyong HM, et al. Telomerase immortalization of neuronally
restricted progenitor cells derived from the human fetal spinal cord. Nat Biotechnol. 2004; 22: 297–305.

19. Fanning E. Simian virus 40 large T antigen: the puzzle, the pieces, and the emerging picture. J Virol. 1992; 66: 1289–93.

20. Sweet BH, Hillemen MR. The vacuolating virus, S.V. 40. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1960; 105: 420–7.

21. Poulin DL, DeCaprio JA. Is there a role for SV40 in human cancer? J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24: 4356–65.

22. Pipas JM. SV40: cell transformation and tumorigenesis. Virology 2009; 384: 294–303.

23. Fanning E, Knippers R. Structure and function of simian virus 40 large tumor antigen. Annu Rev Biochem. 1992; 61: 55–85.

24. Imperiale MJ. The human polyomaviruses, BKV and JCV: molecular pathogenesis of acute disease and potential role in cancer. Virology, 2000; 257: 1–7.

25. Reddy VB, Thimmappaya B, Dhar R, et al. The genome of simian virus 40. Science. 1978; 200: 494–502.

26. Butel JS, Lednicky JA. Cell and molecular biology of simian virus 40: implications for human infections and disease. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999; 91: 119–34.

27. Sullivan GS, Pipas JM. T antigens of simian virus 40: molecular chaperones for viral replication and tumorigenesis. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2002; 66: 179–202.

28. Sullivan CS, Gilbert SP, Pipas JM. ATP-dependent simian virus 40 T-antigen-Hsc70 complex formation. J Virol. 2001; 75: 1601–10.

29. Ahuja D, Saenz-Robles MT, Pipas JM. SV40 large T antigen targets multiple cellular pathways to elicit cellular transformation. Oncogene. 2005; 24: 7729–45.

30. Scheffner M, Knippers R, Stahl H. RNA unwinding activity of SV40 large T antigen. Cell. 1989; 57: 955–63.

31. Stahl H, Droge P, Knippers R. DNA helicase activity of SV40 large tumor antigen. EMBO J. 1985; 4: 1939–44.

32. DeCaprio JA. How the Rb tumor suppressor function and structure was revealed by the study of Adenovirus and SV40. Virology. 2009; 384: 274–84.

33. Kelley WL, Landry SJ. Chaperone power in a virus? Trends Biochem Sci. 1994; 19: 277–8.

34. Lane DP, Crawford LV. T antigen is bound to a host protein in SV40-transformed cells. Nature. 1979; 278: 261–3.

35. Li D, Zhao R, Liljestrom W, et al. Structure of the replicative helicase of the oncoprotein SV40 large tumour antigen. Nature. 2003; 423: 512–8.

36. Kierstead TD, Tevethia MJ. Association of p53 binding and immortalization of primary C57BL/6 mouse embryo fibroblasts by using simian virus 40 T-antigen mutants bearing internal overlapping deletion mutations. J Virol. 1993; 67: 1817–29.

37. Quartin RS, Cole CN, Pipas JM, et al. The amino-terminal functions of the simian virus 40 large T antigen are required to overcome wild-type p53-mediated growth arrest of cells. J Virol. 1994; 68: 1334–41.

38. Levine AJ. The common mechanisms of transformation by the small DNA tumor viruses: the inactivation of tumor suppressor gene products: p53. Virology. 2009; 384: 285–93.

39. Ali SH, DeCaprio JA. Cellular transformation by SV40 large T antigen: interaction with host proteins. Semin Cancer Biol. 2001; 11: 15–23.

40. Adams FS, La Rosa FG, Kumar S, et al. Characterization and transfection of two neuronal cell lines with dopaminergic properties. Neurochem Res. 1996; 21: 619–27.

41. Cesnulevicius K, Timmer M, Wessemann M, et al. Nucleofection is the most efficient nonviral transfection method for neuronal stem cells derived from ventral mesencephalic with no changes in cell composition or dopaminergic fate. Stem Cells. 2006; 24: 2776–91.

42. Nobre A, Kalve I, Cesnulevicius K, et al. Characterization and differentiation potential of rat ventral mesencephalic neuronal progenitor cells immortalized with SV40 large T antigen. Cell Tissue Res. 2010; 340: 29–43.

43. Truckenmiller ME, Vawter MP, Zhang P, et al. AFS, a CNS cell line immortalized with an N-terminal fragment of SV40 large T antigen. Cell Tissue Res. 2006; 324: 1–8.

44. Sanchez JF, Crooks DR, Lee CT, et al. GABAergic lineage differentiation of AFS neural progenitor cells in vitro. Cell Tissue Res. 2006; 324: 1–8.

45. Haas SJ, Wree A. Dopaminergic differentiation of the Nurr1-expressing immortalized mesencephalic cell line CSM14.1 in vitro. J Anat. 2002; 201: 61–9.

46. Anton R, Kordower JH, Kan DJ, et al. Neural transplantation of cells expressing the anti-apoptotic gene bcl-2. Cell Transplant. 1995; 4: 49–54.

47. Anton R, Kordower JH, Maidment NT, et al. Neuro-targeted gene therapy for rodent and primate hemiparkinsonism. Exp Neurol. 1994; 127: 207–18.

48. Haas SJ, Petrov S, Kronenberg G, et al. Orthotopic transplantation of immortalized mesencephalic progenitors (CSM14.1 cells) into the substantia nigra of hemiparkinsonian rats induces neuronal differentiation and motoric improvement. J Anat. 2008; 212: 19–30.

49. Abelowich A, Hammond R. Midbrain dopamine neuron differentiation: factors and fates. Dev Biol. 2007; 304: 447–54.

50. Maxwell SL, Li M. Midbrain dopaminergic development in vivo and in vitro from embryonic stem cells. J Anat. 2005; 207: 209–18.

51. Joyner AL, Liu A, Millet S. Btx2b, B6x2 and Fgflb interact to position and maintain a mid-hindbrain organizer. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2000; 12: 736–41.

52. Brodski C, Weisenhorn DM, Signore M, et al. Location and size of dopaminergic and serotonergic cell populations are controlled by the position of the midbrain-hindbrain organizer. J Neurosci. 2003; 23: 4199–207.

53. Liu A, Joyner AL. Early anterior/posterior patterning of the midbrain and cerebellum. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2001; 24: 869–96.

54. Hynes RF, Porter JA, Chiang C, et al. Induction of midbrain dopaminergic neurons by Sonic hedgehog. Neuron. 1995; 15: 35–44.

55. Ye W, Shimamura K, Rubenstein JL, et al. FGF and Shh signals control dopaminergic and serotonergic cell fate in the anterior neural plate. Cell. 1998; 93: 755–66.

56. Altman J, Bayer SA. Development of the brain stem in the rat. V. Thymidine-radio- graphic study of the time of origin of neurons in the midbrain tegmentum. J Comp Neurol. 1981; 198: 677–716.

57. Alavian KN, Scholz C, Simon HH. Transcriptional regulation of mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons: the full circle of life and death. Mov Disord. 2008; 23: 319–28.

58. Lauder JM, Bloom FE. Ontogeny of monoamine neurons in the locus coeruleus, Raphe nuclei and substantia nigra of the rat. I. Cell differentiation. J Comp Neurol. 1974; 155: 469–81.

59. Zhou QY, Palmiter RD. Dopamine-deficient mice are severely hypoxic, adipic, and apathic. Cell. 1995; 83: 1197–209.

60. Berod A, Biguet NF, Dumas S, et al. Modulation of tyrosine hydroxylase gene expression in the central nervous system visualized by situ hybridization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1987; 84: 1699–703.

61. Andersson E, Tryggvason U, Deng Q, et al. Identification of intrinsic determinants of midbrain dopamine neurons. Cell. 2006; 124: 393–405.

62. Kuwamura M, Muraguchi T, Matsui T, et al. Mutation at the Lmx1a locus provokes aberr-
106. Eaton MJ, Rosman SG, Osborne WR, et al. Genetically modified skin fibroblasts persist long after transplantation but gradu- ally inactivate introduced genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991; 88: 1330–4.

107. Son JH, Chun HS, Joh TH, et al. Neuroprotection and neuronal differentiation studies using substantia nigra dopaminergic cells derived from transgenic mouse embryos. J Neurosci. 1999; 19: 10–20.

108. Eves EM, Tocher MS, Roback JD, et al. Immortal rat hippocampal cell lines exhibit neuronal and glial lineages and neurotro- phin gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1992; 89: 4373–7.

109. Whittimore SR, White LA. Target regulation of neuronal differentiation in a temperature-sensitive cell line derived from medullary raphe. Brain Res. 1993; 615: 27–40.

110. Eaton MJ, Castro MC, et al. Distinct regulatory pathways control neurofilament expression and neurotransmitter synthesis in immortalized serotonergic neurons. J Neurosci. 1994; 14: 6744–53.

111. Eaton MJ, Martinez M, Karmally S, et al. Initial characterization of the transplant of immortalized chromaffin cells for the attenuation of chronic neuropathic pain. Cell Transplant. 2000; 9: 637–56.

112. Barber RD, Jaworsky DE, Yau KW, et al. Isolation and in vitro differentiation of conditionally immortalized murine olfactory receptor neurons. J Neurosci. 2000; 20: 3692–704.

113. Clarkson ED, Edwards-Prasad J, et al. Improvement of neurological deficits in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats after transplantation with allogeneic simian virus 40 large tumor antigen gene-induced immortalized dopamine cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998; 95: 1265–70.

114. Prasad KN, Clarkson ED, La Rosa FG, et al. Efficacy of grafted immortalized dopamine neurons in an animal model of parkinsonism: a review. Mol Genet Metab. 1998; 65: 1–9.

115. Palmer MR, Granholm AC, van Home CG, et al. Intragranal transplantation of solid ventral mesencephalon or striatal grafts induces behavioral recovery in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats. Brain Res. 2001; 890: 86–99.

116. La Rosa FG, Adams FS, Krause GE, et al. Inhibition of proliferation and expression of T-antigen in SV40 large T-antigen gene-induced immortalized cells following transplantations. Cancer Lett. 1997; 113: 55–60.

117. Clarkson ED, La Rosa FG, Edwards-Prasad J, et al. Brain contains inhibiting factors specific to the large T-antigen of cancer. Cancer Lett. 1998; 122: 31–6.

118. Moreno-Flores MT, Lim F, Martin-Bermu- ejo MJ, et al. Immortalized olfactory ensheathing glia promote axonal regeneration of rat retinal ganglion neurons. J Neurochem. 2003; 85: 861–71.

119. Bohn MC, Cupit L, Marcium F, et al. Adre- nal medulla graft enhanced recovery of striatal dopaminergic fibers. Science. 1987; 237: 913–6.

120. Gagnon C, Bedard PJ, Di Paolo T. Grafts in the treatment of Parkinson’s disease: animal models. Rev Neurosci. 1993; 4: 17–40.

121. Herman JP, Beq H, Enjalbert A. A reversible immortalization procedure to obtain neuronal cell lines. Soc Neurosci Abstr. 1997; 23: 319.

122. Paillard F. Reversible cell immortalization with the Cre-lox system. Hum Gene Ther. 1999; 10: 1597–8.

123. Weimann JM, Charlton CA, Brazelton TR, et al. Contribution of transplanted bone marrow cells to Parkin neurons in human adult brains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100: 2088–93.

124. Donato R, Miljan EA, Hines SJ, et al. Differential development of neuronal physiolog- ical responsiveness in two human neuronal stem cell lines. BMC Neurosci. 2007; 8: 36. doi:10.1186/1471-2202-8-36.

125. Kelly S, Bliss TM, Shah AK, et al. Transplanted human fetal neural stem cells sur- vive, migrate, and differentiate in ischemic rat cerebral cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004; 101: 11839–44.

126. Yasuhara T, Matsukawa N, Harak M, et al. Transplantation of human neural stem cells exerts neuroprotection in a rat model of Parkinson’s disease. J Neurosci. 2006; 26: 12497–511.

127. Donaldson AE, Cai J, Yang M, et al. Human amniotic fluid stem cells do not differ- entiate into dopamine neurons in vitro or after transplantation in vivo. Stem Cells Dev. 2009; 18: 1003–12.

128. Correia AS, Anisimov SV, Li JY, et al. Growth factors and feeder cells promote differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into dopaminergic neurons: a novel role for fibroblast growth factor-20. Front Neurosci. 2008; 2: 26–34.

129. Redmond DE Jr, Bjogstad KB, Teng YD, et al. Behavioral improvement in a primate Parkinson’s model is associated with multi- ple homeostatic effects of human neural stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104: 12175–80.

130. Yan J, Xu L, Welsh AM, et al. Extensive neuronal differentiation of human neural stem cell grafts in adult rat spinal cord. PLoS Med. 2007; 4: e39.

131. Carpenter MK, Inokuma MS, Denham J, et al. Enrichment of neurons and neural precursors from human embryonic stem cells. Exp Neurol. 2001; 172: 383–97.

132. Ben-Hur T, Ideelson M, Khaner H, et al. Transplantation of human embryonic stem cell-derived neural progenitors improves behavioral deficit in Parkinsonian rats. Stem Cells. 2004; 22: 1246–55.

133. Brederlau A, Correia AS, Anisimov SV, et al. Transplantation of human embry- onic stem cell-derived cells to a rat model of Parkinson’s disease: effect of in vitro differentiation on graft survival and tera- toma formation. Stem Cells. 2006; 24: 1433–40.

134. Cho MS, Lee YE, Kim JY, et al. Highly effi- cient and large-scale generation of func- tional dopamine neurons from human embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105: 3392–7.

135. Iacovitti L, Donaldson AE, Marshall CE, et al. A protocol for the differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into dopami- nergic neurons using only chemically defined human additives: studies in vitro and in vivo. Brain Res. 2007; 1172: 19–25.

136. Ko JY, Park CH, Koh HC, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived neural precursors as a continuous, stable, and on-demand source for human dopamine neurons. J Neu- rochem. 2007; 103: 1417–29.
145. Perrier AL, Tabar ZJ, Oldenburger M, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived dopaminergic neurons reverse functional deficit in Parkinsonian rats. Stem Cells. 2008; 26: 1259–68.

146. Schulz TC, Noggle SA, Palmarini GM, et al. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to dopaminergic neurons in serum-free suspension culture. Stem Cells. 2004; 22: 1218–38.

147. Sonntag KC, Pruszak J, Yoshizaki T, et al. Enhanced yield of neuroepithelial precursors and midbrain-like dopaminergic neurons from human embryonic stem cells using the bone morphogenetic protein antagonist noggin. Stem Cells. 2007; 25: 411–8.

148. Yang D, Zhang et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived dopaminergic neurons reverse functional deficit in parkinsonian rats. Stem Cells. 2008; 26: 55–63.

149. Zeng X, Cai J, Chen J, et al. Dopaminergic differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells. 2004; 22: 925–40.

150. Derivation of midbrain dopaminergic neurons from human embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004; 101: 12543–8.

151. Park S, Lee et al. Generation of dopaminergic neurons in vitro from human embryonic stem cells treated with neurotrophic factors. Neurosci Lett. 2004; 359: 99–103.

152. Vazin T, Chen J, Lee CT, et al. Assessment of stromal-derived inducing activity in the generation of dopaminergic neurons from human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells. 2008; 26: 1517–25.

153. Amargiolo N, Hirshberg A, Scheithauer et al. Donor-derived brain tumor following neural stem cell transplantation in an ataxia telangiectasia patient. PLoS Med. 2009; 6: e1000029.

154. Barzilay R, Kan I, et al. Induction of human mesenchymal stem cells into dopaminergic neurons using mesenchymal stromal cell-derived dopamine neurons. J Neurochem. 2008; 107: 141–51.

155. Levy YS, Bahat-Stroomza M, Barzilay R, et al. Regenerative effect of neural-induced human mesenchymal stromal cells in rat models of Parkinson’s disease. Cytotheraphy. 2008; 10: 340–52.

156. Kim YJ, Park HJ, Lee G, et al. Neuroprotective effects of human mesenchymal stem cells on dopaminergic neurons through anti-inflammatory action. Glia. 2009; 57: 13–23.

157. Lee PH, Kim JW, Bang OY, et al. Autologous mesenchymal stem cell therapy delays the progression of neurological deficits in patients with multiple system atrophy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2008; 83: 723–30.

158. Dunnett et al. Cell therapy in Parkinson’s disease—stop or go? Nat Rev Neurosci. 2001; 2: 365–9.

159. Lindvall O, Hagell P. Clinical observations after neural transplantation in Parkinson’s disease. Prog Brain Res. 2000; 127: 299–320.

160. Bjorklund A, Lindvall O. Cell transplantation for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease. Lancet Neurol. 2003; 2: 437–45.

161. Winkler C, Kirik D, Bjorklund A. Cell transplantation in Parkinson’s disease: how can we make it work? Trends Neurosci. 2005; 28: 86–92.

162. Brundin P, Pogarell O, Hagell P, et al. Bilateral caudate and putamen grafts of embryonic mesencephalic tissue treated with lizards in Parkinson’s disease. Brain. 2000; 123: 1380–90.

163. Cochen V, Ribeiro MJ, Nguyen JP, et al. Transplantation of human fetal dopamine neurons in Parkinson’s disease: PET [18F]-6-L-fluorodopa studies in two patients with putaminal implants. Mov Disord. 2003; 18: 928–32.

164. Hagell P, Schrag A, Piccini P, et al. Sequential bilateral transplantation in Parkinson’s disease: effects of the second graft. Brain. 1999; 122: 1121–32.

165. Hauser RA, Freeman TB, Snow BJ, et al. Long-term evaluation of bilateral fetal nigral transplantation in Parkinson disease. Arch Neurol. 1999; 56: 179–87.

166. Kordower et al. Bilateral motor improvement and alteration of L-dopa effect in two patients with Parkinson’s disease following intrastriatal transplantation of foetal ventral mesencephalon. Brain. 1994; 117: 487–99.

167. Spencer et al. Bilateral motor improvement and alteration of L-dopa effect in two patients with Parkinson’s disease following intrastriatal transplantation of foetal ventral mesencephalon. Brain. 1994; 117: 487–99.
173. Colucci-D’Amato GL, Tino A, Pernas-Alonso R, et al. Neuronal and glial properties coexist in a novel mouse CNS immortalized cell line. Exp Cell Res. 1999; 252: 383–91.

174. Miljan EA, Hines SJ, Pande P, et al. Implantation of c-mycER TAM immortalized human mesencephalic-derived clonal cell lines ameliorates behavior dysfunction in a rat model of Parkinson’s disease. Stem Cells Dev. 2009; 18: 307–19.

175. Courtois ET, Castillo CG, Seiz EG, et al. In vitro and in vivo enhanced generation of human A9 dopamine neurons from neural stem cells by Bcl-XL. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285: 9881–97.

176. Villa A, Liste I, Courtois ET, et al. Generation and properties of a new human ventral mesencephalic neural stem cell line. Exp Cell Res. 2009; 315: 1860–74.

177. Tonnesen J, Seiz EG, Ramos M, et al. Functional properties of the human ventral mesencephalic neural stem cell line hVM1. Exp Neurol. 2010; 223: 653–6.

178. Paul G, Christophersen NS, Raymon H, et al. Tyrosine hydroxylase expression is unstable in a human immortalized mesencephalic cell line–studies in vitro and after intracerebral grafting in vivo. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2007; 34: 390–9.

179. Xu G, Li X, Bai Y, et al. Improving recovery of spinal cord-injured rats by telomerase-driven human neural progenitor cells. Restor Neurol Neurosci. 2004; 22: 469–76.

180. Bai Y, Hu Q, Li X, et al. Telomerase immortalization of human neural progenitor cells. NeuroReport. 2004; 15: 245–8.

181. Harvey BK, Chen GJ, Schoen CJ, et al. An immortalized rat ventral mesencephalic cell line, RT04, is protective in a rodent model of stroke. Cell Transplant 2007; 16: 483–91.

182. Markham CM, Rand RW, Jacques DB, et al. Transplantation of fetal mesencephalic tissue in Parkinson’s patients. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg. 1994; 62: 134–40.

183. Levivier M, Dethy S, Rodesch F, et al. Intracerebral transplantation of fetal ventral mesencephalon for patients with advanced Parkinson’s disease. Methodology and 6-month to 1-year follow-up in 3 patients. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg. 1997; 69: 99–111.

184. Schumacher JM, Eliass SA, Palmer EP, et al. Transplantation of embryonic porcine mesencephalic tissue in patients with PD. Neurology: 2000; 54: 1042–50.

185. Lopez-Lozo JJ, Bravo G, Abascal J. Grafting of perfused adrenal medullary tissue into the caudate nucleus of patients with Parkinson’s disease. Clinica Puerta de Hierro Neural Transplantation Group. J Neurosurg. 1991; 75: 234–43.

186. Allen GS, Burns RS, Tulipan NB, et al. Adrenal medullary transplantation to the caudate nucleus in Parkinson’s disease. Initial clinical results in 18 patients. Arch Neurol. 1989; 46: 467–91.

187. Lopez-Lozo JJ, Bravo G, Abascal J, et al. Clinical outcome of cotransplantation of peripheral nerve and adrenal medulla in patients with Parkinson’s disease. Clinica Puerta de Hierro Neural Transplantation Group. J Neurosurg. 1999; 90: 875–82.

188. Madrazo I, Leon V, Torres C, et al. Transplantation of fetal substantia nigra and adrenal medulla to the caudate nucleus in two patients with Parkinson’s disease. N Engl J Med. 1988; 318: 318: 51.

189. Venkataramana NK, Kumar SK, Balaraju S, et al. Open-labeled study of unilateral autologous bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in Parkinson’s disease. Transl Res. 2010; 155: 62–70.