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Abstract—A neutron spectrometry campaign was carried out in the AMANDE accelerator control room. These measurements had several objectives, one of which was to verify the possibility of determining, with the HERMEIS Bonner sphere system, very low fluence and ambient dose equivalent rates. These measurements were also expected to provide comparison values with calculations, performed with MCNPX 2.6.0, used for modeling the whole facility and to verify the radiological zoning implemented. Neutrons of 3.3 MeV then 15 MeV were produced in the experimental hall of AMANDE and measurements were made in the control room, behind a 40 cm thick concrete wall. The ambient dose equivalent rates derived from the measured spectra are respectively of the order of 0.2 and 10 μSv/h, which are in agreement with a LB6411 surveymeter data also involved.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Laboratory for Micro-irradiation, Neutrons Metrology and Neutrons Dosimetry develops, operates and maintains various facilities producing neutron fields, in accordance with ISO 8529-1 [1]. These fields are dedicated mainly to the calibration of radiation protection devices according to the ISO 8529-2 standard [2]. Among these facilities, AMANDE [3] allows to study the response of measuring instruments to neutron energies between a few keV and 20 MeV. These mono-energetic fields are produced by means of nuclear reactions, involving incident particles of selected energy (ion beams) and target particles, in the form of a thin layer deposited on a metallic backing (the assembly being called "target"). Depending on the nuclear reactions and the current delivered on the target, neutron emission rates may be higher or lower and the ambient dose equivalent rates around the facility may vary by much, depending on the neutron energies produced.

Given the large variety of possible irradiation configurations, radiation protection measurements can not be conducted exhaustively; therefore, a Monte Carlo simulation model, using the MCNPX code version 2.6.0 [4], was developed to evaluate the values of ambient dose equivalent rates outside and inside the building [5]. In order to validate the geometrical model and the Monte Carlo calculations, an experimental measurement campaign was conducted using the HERMEIS (High Energy Range Multisphere Extended IRSN System) Bonner sphere system [6] [7] and the Berthold LB6411 neutron surveymeter [8]. These measurements were made in the immediate vicinity of the AMANDE accelerator control room. Neutron fields of 3.3 MeV then 15 MeV (energies at 0° with respect to the ion beam direction) were generated in the AMANDE experimental hall, and the energy distributions of the neutron fluence corresponding to each irradiation configuration were determined behind the concrete wall separating the experimental area from the control room.

II. MEASUREMENT WITH THE HERMEIS BONNER SPHERE SYSTEM

A. Measurement position

The HERMEIS system was installed behind the separation wall between the irradiation hall and the control room. The thickness of this concrete wall is 40 cm, to ensure the biological protection required for operators in the control room against the neutrons produced in the irradiation hall. The HERMEIS system was at an angle of about 150° with respect to the ion beam direction. At this angular position, the mean energies of the expected direct neutrons, according to the kinematics of the neutron production reactions, were 2.05 MeV and 13.33 MeV, respectively for the energies of 3.3 MeV and 15 MeV at 0°. The neutron fields will be called in the following, 3.3 MeV field and 15 MeV field.

At the measurement position, behind the wall, a relatively large contribution of thermal neutrons was expected due to scattering reactions on the light atoms composing concrete.

The center of the Bonner spheres was positioned at a height of 150 cm from the ground and at a radial distance of 50 cm from the concrete wall.

B. Beam conditions

A first measurement was made with a 3.3 MeV neutron field. The nuclear reaction used was 2H(d,n)3He, with an incident deuteron energy of 432 keV. The deuterated titanium (TiD) target was 792.9 ± 10.2 μg.cm⁻² thick, deposited on a 0.5 mm silver backing. The current on the target was about 14 μA.

The second series of measurements was performed with the 15 MeV field. The reaction used is 3H(d,n)4He, with an incident deuteron energy of 432 keV on a tritiated titanium (TiT) target. The thickness of the target was 776 ± 10 μg.cm⁻² on a 0.5 mm thick silver backing. The current on the target remained constant, around 3 μA.

The different measurement systems of the AMANDE
facility routinely used to monitor the neutron production in the experimental hall consist of three precision long counters, equipped with $^3$He cylindrical counters, and a current integrator to check the stability of the incident beam intensity on the target.

C. Experimental data

The HERMEIS system consists of 13 spheres, ten of which being made of polyethylene, with an external diameter of 3", 3.5", 4", 4.5", 5", 6", 7", 8", 10" and 12", and three equipped with metal shells for high energies (> 20 MeV), made of tungsten or lead. For these measurement campaigns, the metal spheres were not used given the energy range of the expected neutron field. The other spheres were used in turn. The acquisition time for each sphere was chosen so as to integrate about 10,000 counts into the pulse height spectrum of the charged particles resulting from the reaction $^3$He(n,p)T.

The quality and the correlation of the data can be checked with an adjustment of the distribution of the sphere counting rates as a function of the sphere radius, by a five-degree polynomial function. When no data deviates from the polynomial, they can be all considered for the unfolding step, which was the case for the two series of data.

III. MONTE-CARLO SIMULATIONS

A. Nominal materials and geometry

The AMANDE facility was modeled with the MCNPX Monte-Carlo code. The material of the 40 cm thick wall, separating the experimental area and the control room, was considered as a mixture of concrete and steel, with a nominal mean density of 2.4 g/cm$^3$. A dedicated analysis of a sample of this concrete had been performed at the CENBG [9] to determine the detailed composition. In the nominal version, Monte-Carlo calculations were undergone considering an hydrogen rate of 0.62% in the concrete composition. In the model, the ground and the air were included with realistic compositions whereas, an homogeneous material was considered for the control room equipment. The geometry of the MCNPX model is shown in figure 1.

B. Neutron source and cross-sections libraries

The neutron sources induced by a nuclear reactions with an accelerator have a double differential distribution, an angular distribution (with respect to the beam propagation axis), and for each solid angle, a specific neutron fluence energy distribution, which has to be normalized to the emission rate within the corresponding solid angle. In the present cases, the double differential energy and angle fluence distributions were calculated with two different softwares, NeuDesc [10] (JRC-Geel) and then Target [11] (PTB).

The neutron source was positioned at the coordinates ( 0, 0, 720) and the center of the scoring volume was at (955, -62.5, 300). The neutron cross-sections library ENDF (.60c) and the evaluated data library (.42c) were considered.

IV. DATA UNFOLDING

A. Unfolding codes

Two codes were used for data unfolding, WinBUGS and GRAVEL [12] [13]. In the first case, the energy distribution of the neutron fluence is considered as the sum of three components, a thermal part, an intermediate region and a high energy domain. Each component is determined using parameters, such as the amplitude, the high energy peak position or the slope for the intermediate component. The WinBUGS code determines a density of probabilities for each of the parameters, by using the Bayesian method [14]. The probability densities for the integral values of the energy distribution, such as the fluence or the ambient dose equivalent are also calculated.

The energy distribution of the neutron fluence is described using three components; a thermal component (Maxwell-Boltzmann type), an intermediate component (represented by a function in 1/E), and a fast component (represented by a fission spectrum of Maxwell type).

In the case of GRAVEL, the deconvolution is carried out by an iterative process to generate a solution spectrum from an initial guess spectrum (called a priori spectrum or Default Spectrum DS). Unlike WinBUGS, GRAVEL can generate a
solution spectrum whose shape and number of components are not predefined, but which depends to a certain extent, of the initial spectrum. The solution spectra are given in the next section; they were obtained with Gravel using MCNPX spectra then WinBUGS spectra as prior information.

B. Solution spectra

The solution spectra, shown in Figures 2 and 3 for the 3.3 MeV and 15 MeV fields respectively, from Gravel using either MCNPX or WinBUGS as default spectra, are in agreement, which suggests that the solution spectra are independent of the prior information to some extent. The default spectra, represented in dotted lines are also shown; the shape of the MCNPX spectrum is in rather good agreement with Gravel, but some discrepancies are observed, depending on the initial neutron energy: an overestimate for the 3.3 MeV field and a slight underestimate for the 15 MeV one. The integral quantities of neutron fluence and ambient dose equivalent rates, are given for each neutron spectrum, in table 1. These MCNPX discrepancies are confirmed in terms of integral fluence rates, which is about 44% higher and about 17% less respectively for the 3.3 MeV and 15 MeV fields.

Fig. 2. Neutron fluence energy distributions obtained with Gravel (plain lines) using MCNPX and WinBUGS spectra as prior information (dotted lines), for the 3.3 MeV field.

Fig. 3. Neutron fluence energy distributions obtained with Gravel (plain lines) using MCNPX and WinBUGS spectra as prior information (dotted lines), for the 15 MeV field.
VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

A. MCNPX sensitivity studies

A better agreement between the calculated and the measured integral values is obtained for a hydrogen rate of 0.80% instead of 0.62% for the 3.3 MeV field, whereas for the 15 MeV field, the agreement is obtained when the concrete is considered as inhomogeneous. The neutron fluence energy distributions corresponding to these cases are shown in Figures 4 and 5. In the 3.3 MeV case, the decrease of the hydrogen rate content in the concrete composition seems to have an impact on the amplitude of the whole spectrum, but does not modify the shape of the structures in the MeV energy region. Further studies are thus needed to determine what parameter could mainly play a role on the shape and amplitude of these two high energy peaks.

In the 15 MeV case, the concrete, composed of sand, gravel, cement and water, was modeled as an inhomogeneous compound, made of clusters of sand and gravel of two different sizes and shapes, placed into a mixture of cement and water. The calculated neutron fluence energy distributions are shown in Figure 5. Even if the agreement between the integral quantities is better, none of these configurations explain the discrepancies observed in the 100 keV-1 MeV region.

B. Final experimental results

The HERMEIS system, equipped with a $^3$He proportional counter of 10 atm, was used for a neutron background measurement campaign in the AMANDE control room. Two configurations were studied, with a neutron source respectively 3.3 MeV and 15 MeV, produced in the experimental hall at 0° relative to the axis of propagation of the incident particles on the target. The final integral values, detailed in [15], are respectively $(0.97 \pm 0.07) \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and $(0.24 \pm 0.02) \mu\text{Sv.h}^{-1}$, and $(15.7 \pm 0.9) \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and $(9.5 \pm 0.7) \mu\text{Sv.h}^{-1}$. The ambient dose equivalent rates from the LB6411 survey meter are respectively $(0.24 \pm 0.03) \mu\text{Sv.h}^{-1}$ and $(8.2 \pm 0.1) \mu\text{Sv.h}^{-1}$, which is in very good agreement with both HERMEIS results.

C. Conclusion

This measurement campaign allowed validating the capability of the HERMEIS system to perform neutron spectrometry expertise within a few hours (between two and eight hours in total) at workplaces where very low dose rates are expected.

In parallel, Monte-Carlo simulation of the AMANDE facility was performed including realistic geometry and detailed material compositions. Despite the good knowledge of these parameters, some discrepancies were observed and further studies should be undertaken to determine their origin.
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