Soil morphostructural characterization and coffee root distribution under agroforestry system with Hevea Brasiliensis
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Introduction

Changes in soil structure by human actions may impact agricultural productivity. Intensive farming and non-conservation tillage practices promote soil degradation [Kraemer et al., 2017]. In this regard, production systems have been constantly modified to ensure yield gains, natural resource conservation, soil quality, and reductions of cost and environmental impacts [Lal, 1989].

A sustainable coffee (Coffea sp.) production depends on increased profitability associated to continuous cultivation [Krishman, 2017]. Agroforestry (AF) systems combine forest and crops and/or pastures to deal with challenges associated to climatic, edaphic, and biological factors, which affect large-scale monoculture crops [Ramachandran Nair et al., 2009].

An AF system of coffee crop shaded by other trees, like Grevillea robusta and Mimosa scabrella, has great economic potential in humid subtropical climates, such as in Paraná State, Brazil [Baggio et al., 1997; Caramori et al., 1996]. The AF system of coffee and rubber tree (Hevea brasiliensis) provides rational exploration of resources, crop longevity, increased natural-rubber production, and higher incomes for coffee growers [Chen et al., 2019; Partelli et al., 2014]. Compared to open-grown coffee, AF systems can also improve soil physical conditions [Zaro et al., 2019; Guimarães et al., 2014].

ABSTRACT: Land use and tillage practices may change soil structure and undermine sustainable agriculture; however, such changes are hardly identified in the short term. In this sense, agroforestry systems have been used to reduce soil degradation and promote sustainable production in coffee plantations. These areas are expected to have well-structured soils and hence improved root distribution. This study aimed to evaluate soil quality by the morphostructural and root distribution analyses comparing open-grown coffee and coffee in agroforestry systems with rubber trees for 19 years, in an Oxisol in northern Paraná State (Brazil). Treatments consisted of open-grown coffee (OG), coffee partially shaded by rubber trees (PSH), and coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH). The mapping of morphostructural features and soil resistance to penetration in “cultural profile” walls identified changes in soil structure resulting from different tillage systems. Root distribution was better in coffee plants grown in PSH and FSH systems. At greater depths, cultural profiles of FSH and PSH showed a larger numbers of roots compared to OG. Among the three systems, PSH provided a better environment for root growth and distribution. This result could be attributed to the high biological activity and interaction between roots and aggregates in that profile. The FSH agroforestry system provided less compact morphological structures and more roots throughout the soil profile. The agroforestry systems presented fewer soil structural changes by tillage operations and lower values of soil penetration resistance. Coffee root distribution was an effective indicator of soil quality and consistent with the morphostructural characterization of cultural profile.
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Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in an experimental area in Londrina, Paraná State (Brazil) [23°23’ S, 50°11’ W, altitude of 610 m], in Jan 2016. According to Köppen classification, the local climate is Cfa type, which stands...
for subtropical humid with hot summers [Alvares et al., 2013]. Annual mean temperature and rainfall ranges are 21-22 °C and 1,400-1,600 mm, respectively. Figure 1 shows the historical averages for annual temperature and rainfall over the past 35 years.

The local soil is classified as an Oxisol (dystrophic Red Latosol according to the Brazilian classification and Ferralsol according to the WRB classification). Soil particle-size distribution, from 0 to 0.20 m, was: 790.02 g clay, 160.31 g silt, and 49.67 g sand per kg of soil. The experimental area presents uniform topography with no evidence of soil erosion.

The area had been previously grown with coffee in the 1970s. Afterward, it was grown with grains (soybeans, corn, and oats) and then with cassava. Cultivation has always been carried out under a conventional tillage system. In 1997, an AF system was implanted with coffee (Coffea arabica L.) of cultivar IPR-59 and rubber tree (Hevea brasiliensis L.) of clone PB-235. Hoeing and application of agricultural inputs were performed to control weeds, pests, and diseases, with the help of a small tractor, and coffee grains were hand-harvested.

Coffee trees were spaced at 2.5 × 0.8 m and rubber trees were planted in double rows (4.0 × 2.5 × 16.0 m). The following treatments were evaluated: open-grown coffee (OG), coffee partially shaded by rubber tree (PSH), and coffee fully shaded by rubber tree (FSH). In the OG, a trench was opened between coffee trees. In the FSH, a trench was dug under rubber-tree canopy projection, encompassing the midpoint of the shaded area. In the PSH, a trench was dug at the edge of the tree canopy projection, between the double rows (Figure 2). The trenches were hand excavated perpendicular to the coffee row direction and were 2.3 m long and 1.0 m deep and encompassed two coffee plants.

Cultural profile is a procedure used to evaluate soil structure and interpret changes resulting from tillage practices. Cultural profiles were classified morphostructurally, according to Tavares Filho et al. [1999]. Thereafter, maps were developed on a 1:20 scale, using Autodesk AutoCAD software [2015 version]. Morphologically homogeneous units (MHUs) in the soil profile were organized as: F – cracked soil, C – homogeneous soil, Z – platy-structured soil, tf – surface loose soil, NAM – undisturbed soil. Regarding the internal state of soil clods, the following classification was adopted: μ – non-compact, Δ – compact, Δμ – predominantly compact, μΔ – porous with compaction signs. Concerning size, aggregates were characterized as: small [pt] 1-5 cm Ø, medium [mt] 6-10 cm Ø, and large [gt] > 10 cm Ø.

For chemical characterization (Table 1), deformed soil samples were randomly collected from the profile wall of each trench, at the depth layers of 0.00-0.10, 0.10-0.30, 0.30-0.50, 0.50-0.70 m, and four repetitions each. For gravimetric moisture and bulk density analyses (Table 2), undisturbed soil samples were also collected from the same layers, using an Uhland sampler, in triplicate per layer.

Coffee root system distribution and soil penetration resistance (PR) were also assessed for the same profiles. Roots were counted using a 2 × 2 cm grid pattern and expressed as mean root number per cm² at each depth layer [0.10 m] [Böhm, 1979].
presence of loose soil (tf) with predominance of compact clods (Δ), and clods with some visible porosity, but with compaction signs (mΔ) (Figure 3). In a structure FΔmgt, flattened roots were observed growing horizontally throughout the soil profile, while in an FmΔpttf structure, a high number of branched roots were detected growing inside and between soil aggregates. The soil surface showed a larger volume of CΔ structure, very compact with no visible porosity, and was the most compact structure of all profiles evaluated. This fact could be attributed to lack of ground cover and to the use of machines, as observed in perennial crops by Tavares Filho et al. (1999). Trenches were opened in the inter-rows of coffee where machinery wheels pass near the lower plagiotropic branches. This zone is characterized by high percentages of active roots (Gontijo et al., 2008).

Below the cracked layer, a CΔm structure was observed in the profile (~10% of the total profile area, Table 3), with compact aggregates and predominance of Δ characteristics, such as low porosity, flattened and twisted roots positioned horizontally in the profile (Figure 3). Below 0.20 m in soil profile, a CmΔ structure

Table 1 – Soil chemical composition in areas of open-grown coffee (OG), coffee partially shaded by rubber tree (PSH), and coffee fully shaded by rubber tree (FSH). IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.

| Depth (m) | pH CaCl₂ | P | K⁺ | Al³⁺ | H⁺+Al | Ca²⁺ | Mg²⁺ | SB | CEC | BS | m | TOC |
|-----------|-----------|---|----|------|-------|-------|------|-----|-----|----|---|-----|
| 0.00-0.10 | 4.3       | 59.1 | 0.9 | 1.26 | 8.35  | 1.6   | 0.8  | 3.28 | 11.6 | 28 | 28 | 19.1 |
| 0.10-0.30  | 4.2       | 7.6  | 0.5 | 1.32 | 7.75  | 1.5   | 0.5  | 2.42 | 10.2 | 24 | 35 | 17.0 |
| 0.30-0.50  | 4.4       | 7.1  | 0.6 | 0.60 | 6.68  | 2.2   | 0.7  | 3.48 | 10.2 | 34 | 15 | 14.1 |
| 0.50-0.70  | 4.8       | 1.8  | 0.8 | 0.06 | 5.34  | 1.9   | 0.8  | 3.46 | 8.8  | 39 | 2  | 10.7 |

SB = sum of bases; CEC = cation exchange capacity; BS = base saturation; m = aluminum saturation; TOC = total organic carbon. Extraction = Mehlich-1 (P, K⁺); KCl 1M (Ca⁺², Mg⁺², Al⁺³); SMP (H⁺ + Al); LECO CHN628 - combustion elemental carbon (TOC).

Table 2 – Soil water content and bulk density in areas of open-grown coffee (OG), coffee partially shaded by rubber tree (PSH), and coffee fully shaded by rubber tree (FSH). IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.

| Depth (m) | Water content (g g⁻¹) | Bulk density (g cm⁻³) | Water content (g g⁻¹) | Bulk density (g cm⁻³) | Water content (g g⁻¹) | Bulk density (g cm⁻³) |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|           | Open-grown coffee (OG) | Partially shaded coffee (PSH) | Fully shaded coffee (FSH) |
| 0.010     | 0.33                  | 1.12                  | 0.33                  | 1.25                  | 0.32                  | 1.10                  |
| 0.10-0.30 | 0.33                  | 1.22                  | 0.35                  | 1.27                  | 0.31                  | 1.26                  |
| 0.30-0.50 | 0.36                  | 1.14                  | 0.37                  | 1.11                  | 0.37                  | 1.11                  |
| 0.50-0.70 | 0.37                  | 1.07                  | 0.38                  | 1.06                  | 0.36                  | 1.09                  |

Results and Discussion

Open-grown coffee (OG)

In the cultural profile of open-grown coffee (OG) (Figure 3), less biological activity was observed compared to the other profiles. On the soil surface, there was a cracked morphological unit (F), with small, medium, or large clods (3, 6, and 13 cm Ø, respectively), presence of loose soil (tf) with predominance of compact clods (Δ), and clods with some visible porosity, but with compaction signs (μΔ) (Figure 3). In a structure FμΔgt, flattened roots were observed growing horizontally throughout the soil profile, while in an FμΔpttf structure, a high number of branched roots were detected growing inside and between soil aggregates.

The soil surface showed a larger volume of CA structure, very compact with no visible porosity, and was the most compact structure of all profiles evaluated. This fact could be attributed to lack of ground cover and to the use of machines, as observed in perennial crops by Tavares Filho et al. (1999). Trenches were opened in the inter-rows of coffee where machinery wheels pass near the lower plagiotropic branches. This zone is characterized by high percentages of active roots (Gontijo et al., 2008).

Below the cracked layer, a CAμ structure was observed in the profile (~10% of the total profile area, Table 3), with compact aggregates and predominance of Δ characteristics, such as low porosity, flattened and twisted roots positioned horizontally in the profile (Figure 3). Below 0.20 m in soil profile, a μΔ structure
was observed (~27 %) with large volume of cohesive and homogeneous soil, medium porosity, and branched roots positioned vertically in the profile.

This cultural profile was the most compressed of all due to its more compact structures and compression processes. These factors may reduce water infiltration and its availability, besides increasing runoff and soil erosion (Dexter, 1988; Lal, 1989; Tavares Filho et al., 2014).

Coffee partly shaded by rubber trees [PSH]

The cultural profile of coffee partially shaded by rubber trees [PSH] showed a morphological unit F on the soil surface, with small and/or medium clods, and surface loose soil with a predominance of internally compact aggregates and low porosity, from $\Delta\mu$ to $\mu\Delta$ (Figure 4). Many galleries with biological activity were observed in the $F\mu\Delta ptf$ structure of this profile, with improvements in soil porosity.

This was the only cultural profile to present a platy structure (Z), located in the 0.00-0.05 m depth layer. The structure was characterized by horizontal, tortuous, unbranched, and flattened roots. When cracked, a laminar structure may reflect the positive effects of surface roots on soil structure (Domingos et al., 2009); thus, the MHU $F\Delta\mu pt$ of this profile indicates possible structural regeneration. A Z structure can be originated in coffee plantations due to the use agricultural machinery in soil under inappropriate moisture levels, raindrop impact onto soil surface disintegrating it and promoting its surface compaction because of lack of coverage in coffee inter-rows, as observed in this profile.

Just below the platy layer [Z], there was a very compact $C\Delta$ structure (~ 3 %), with a small number of flattened roots growing horizontally. This $C\Delta$ layer in PSH was thinner than in the OG profile, which may be due to the biological activity found in this system. Further below, there is a layer of structure $C\Delta\mu$ (20 %), with many galleries of biological activity, which justifies the characteristic $\mu$ (rounded and porous microaggregates). In addition, two galleries filled with tf were observed in the structure $C\mu$ (27 %), with diameters of about 0.14 and 0.20 m, resulting from root presence, especially rubber trees.

Presence of galleries in more compact structures could be attributed to the rubber-tree root action, which also improved soil structure. This effect may have influenced the internal state of clods in $\Delta\mu$, as roots form biopores that degrade and promote aggregation, facilitating soil aeration, water movement, gas diffusion (Barley, 1954), and root penetration. Furthermore,
the maintenance of crop residues on soil surface also improves edaphic conditions by increasing total organic carbon (TOC) and macro and microorganism actions (Gatiboni et al., 2011). In general, the PSH profile presented higher biological activity compared to the others, especially termites.

Coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH)

The cultural profile of coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH) presented fewer structures and smaller compact soil volume (CA ~1.6 %) than the other profiles (Figure 5 and Table 3). This profile presented a larger number of clods with internal state (Δ) than those with (Δ), which highlights the importance of coffee and rubber tree AF systems. Only in this profile, an (Δ) structure was found on the sides (up to 0.40 m depth). This structure (Δ) represents soil with small and medium clods, medium porosity, branched roots, and tf presence, these cracks may be effects of coffee roots that produce a dense system of connected biopores (Lucas et al., 2019). Moreover, in this profile, the (Δ) structure found on the soil surface presented roots growing vertically through the cracks, unlike the (Δ) structure that has flattened roots and horizontal growth.

Cracked units were observed from the surface up to 0.20 m depth, possibly because of the soil surface thermal spectrum. Cracking between and inside aggregates could be favored by soil moistening and drying cycles. In each cycle, aggregate boundaries are defined by hydration pressure, thereby determining their characteristics (Dexter, 1988). The same author also stated that these structures could reduce total soil volume explored by roots. Both clod structures CA and CΔ were observed on the FSH profile surface. Below the CA layer, a CΔ structure (~20 %) was found in a smaller volume compared to the other two profiles. Moreover, soil structural recovery by tillage operations

![Figure 5 - Cultural profile of fully shaded coffee by rubber trees (FSH). Morphologically homogeneous units (MHUs): F = cracked soil, C = homogeneous soil, tf = surface loose soil, NAM = undisturbed soil. Internal state of clods: μ = non-compacted, Δ = compact, Δμ = predominantly compact, μΔ = porous with compaction signs. Clod sizes: small, pt (1-5 cm Ø); medium, mt (5-10 cm Ø); and large, gt (> 10 cm Ø). IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.](image)

are influenced by wetting and drying cycles and soil resilience, as reported in Rhodic Hapludox (dystrophic Red Latosol) (Silva et al., 2012).

The largest and smallest volumes of compact soil were detected in the profiles OG (12 %) and FSH (1.6 %), respectively (Table 3). Tillage practices had greater effects on OG and PSH profiles, up to 0.85 m in PSH. These profiles could be considered the most complex among the profiles evaluated, due to their heterogeneity and number of structures found.

The FSH profile had a low volume of disturbed soil by tillage practices (~0.40 m depth) with therefore a larger NAM structure (~54 %), which corresponds to the Bw microaggregate structure of Latosols (Oxisols). NAM structure represented 43 and 33 % of the total volume of OG and PSH profiles, respectively. The high proportion of cracked structures in FSH and PSH profiles improved coffee tree rooting, corroborating the findings of Tavares Filho et al. (1999).

Soil penetration resistance (PR)

Penetration resistance was measured to evaluate soil physical conditions, root development, and soil compaction (indirectly), as well as monitoring structural changes. All treatments presented PR values of plant growth up to 0.4 m depth, mentioned as critical in other studies. Canarache (1990) states that PR should be lower than 2.5 MPa, while Taylor et al. (1966) reports the value 2.0 MPa. However, Moraes et al. (2014) sustain that PR values up to 3.5 MPa are tolerable in soils not tilled annually.

Higher PR values were recorded in the 0.10-0.30 m layer of FSH and PSH profiles, reaching 4.65 MPa at 0.30 m depth in FSH and 4.75 MPa at 0.20 m in PSH (Figures 7 and 8). These results are in accordance with higher bulk density values (Table 2). In the OG profile, the highest PR values were observed from 0.10 to 0.40 m depth, reaching 5.35 MPa at 0.30 m depth (Figure 6); however, the same trend was not verified for bulk density values. Likewise, Guimaraes et al. (2014) observed higher PR in open-grown coffee than in shaded coffee areas. Our findings agree with Watanabe et al. (2018) and Tavares Filho et al. (2012) in the same soil type and under a no-till system, in Londrina, Paraná State [Brazil].

In the PSH profile, PR values did not reach 5 MPa (Figure 7). There was a large area with PR values between 3.0 and 5.0 MPa throughout the profile, mainly in the 0.10-0.30 m layer and below 0.40 m depth. Different PR values have been reported as critical for plant growth and development in the literature. Taylor et al. (1966) noted that plant growth was severely limited at a PR 2.0 MPa. This threshold has been used by many other researchers. Similarly, Canarache (1990) stated that soil PR must be lower than 2.5 MPa. However, critical PR values may vary depending on soil structural conditions, tillage system, and soil moisture and texture (Pias et al., 2018). Conversely, Seidel et al.
(2018) stated that, in a system without annual plowing, PR values up to 3.0 MPa are tolerable and do not reduce soybean yield. According to Tormena et al. (2017), no-tillage soils present permanence and continuity of pores derived from bioporosity, which improves soil physical quality.

The lowest PR values were observed in the FSH profile surface (~0.5-1 MPa), as it was for bulk density values (Table 2). Penetration resistance reached a maximum of 3.8 MPa in more compact areas (Figure 8). The same conditions were reported by Guimarães et al. (2014) for shaded coffee areas. Penetration resistance tended to decrease from 0.40 m depth down, which was also observed by Burg et al. (2012). The comparison of cultural profile and PR maps shows that the tillage system influences soil quality after 19 years.

**Figure 6** – Number of roots per cm² and soil penetration resistance (PR), in MPa, in the profile of open-grown coffee (OG), IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.

**Figure 7** – Number of roots per cm² and soil penetration resistance (PR), in MPa, in the profile of coffee partially shaded by rubber trees (PSH), IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.
Coffee root distribution

At 0.10 m depth, the number of roots per cm² in the OG profile was two and three times higher than in the PSH and FSH profiles, respectively. This high concentration of roots in soil surface may have been due to the presence of very small pores, which led to the growth of thin lateral roots in compacted layers, as stated by Atwell (1993). Soil compaction could be identified by the cultural profile analysis and by the higher volume of soil compacted structure (CA) in the OG profile surface. Tracy et al. (2012) stated that, in compacted layers, root growth occurs preferentially in areas of lower resistance, that is, cracks and biological pores, characterizing crooked root growth.

In the OG profile, 70% of roots were concentrated down to a depth 0.40 m (Table 5), where there was a layer with higher PR values. This could also be verified by the correlation between PR and number of roots in Table 4. Our results corroborate Effgen et al. (2012), who demonstrated that PR values higher than 5.0 MPa may restrict coffee root growth and stated that high PR could be associated to soil tillage systems.

Low meso- and macrofauna levels were found in the OG profile. Moreover, this profile showed higher pH + Al levels (59% higher) and lower Ca²⁺ contents (64% lower) compared to those in the PSH profile, which may restrict root growth (Table 1). Low pH and high Al³⁺ saturation decrease root system growth (Ritchey et al., 1980; Bose et al., 2010). Moreover, shortage of essential minerals [N, P, K, and Ca] may limit coffee root development (Melke and Ittana, 2015).

Table 4 – The Pearson’s correlation coefficient between soil penetration resistance (PR) and root number in the cultural profiles of open-grown coffee (OG), coffee partially shaded by rubber trees (PSH), and coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH). IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.

| Depth (m) | Open-grown coffee (OG) | Coffee partially shaded by rubber trees (PSH) | Coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 0.010     | 0.211*                 | −0.095ns                                     | −0.076*                                  |
| 0.10−0.30 | 0.127ns                | −0.130ns                                     | 0.078*                                   |
| 0.30−0.50 | 0.428**                | 0.014ns                                      | −0.138*                                  |
| 0.50−0.70 | 0.343*                 | 0.037ns                                      | −0.146*                                  |

*p-value > 0.05, *p-value ≤ 0.05, **p-value ≤ 0.01.

Table 5 – Percentage of roots per depth range in relation to total number of roots in all evaluated depth layers (0-0.80 m) in open-grown coffee (OG), coffee partially shaded by rubber trees (PSH), and coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH). IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.

| Depth (m) | Open-grown coffee (OG) | Coffee partially shaded by rubber trees (PSH) | Coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 0.010     | 28                     | 15                                           | 10                                       |
| 0.10−0.30 | 16                     | 9                                            | 12                                       |
| 0.30−0.50 | 13                     | 10                                           | 15                                       |
| 0.50−0.70 | 14                     | 12                                           | 12                                       |
| 0.70−0.80 | 8                      | 15                                           | 15                                       |

** Number of roots per cm² and soil penetration resistance (PR), in the profile of coffee fully shaded by rubber trees (FSH). IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil, Jan 2016.
Roots in the PSH profile were found at greater depths when compared to those in the OG profile (Table 5). Despite the low pH, the PSH profile showed better chemical conditions, such as higher TOC, lower H + Al, and higher Ca²⁺, which explains the improved root growth. However, the number of roots decreased in compacted layers and Al³⁺-saturated layers (24%), both on the soil surface and in the 0.30-0.50 m depth layer (Table 1 and Figure 7).

The use of farm machinery and large-scale cultivation increase soil structural degradation in coffee plantations (Martins et al., 2012). Even using small machinery in all treatments, structural changes, such as compaction and surface loose soil (tf), may be traffic-related, as well as low litter on soil surface of the treatments. Degradation resulting from these factors was identified by tf presence in the PSH and OG, mainly in the latter. In the FSH profile, tf was not found, emphasizing the importance of AF systems.

Macrofauna component species, especially termites, earthworms, and ants, were more frequent in the PSH profile, in which higher TOC was also verified. According to Ramachandran Nair et al. (2009), AF systems contribute to an increase in soil fertility and to a reduction in erosion processes, providing foundations for productive agroecosystems in addition to promoting environmental sustainability (FAO, 2013).

Soil morphostructural characterization is essential to assess SQ since changes in properties, such as aggregate size, type, and development, affect soil permeability, aeration as well as root growth and microorganism development (Boizard et al., 2017; Silva et al., 2014). Thus, the cultural profile method to identify soil structural changes resulting from agricultural practices and evaluate the effects on soil conservation and quality (Silva et al., 2014) are of great importance, as in the intercropped areas evaluated in this study.

The FSH profile presented the smallest number of roots in the soil surface layer. Roots in this profile concentrated within the depth of 0.20–0.40 m, but in a smaller proportion compared with those in the PSH profile (Table 5). Deeper layers of the PSH and FSH profiles showed less anthropogenic influence, which improved distribution and increased the number of coffee roots. Ritchey et al. (1980) observed the same in subsurface layers of an Oxisol (Brazilian Latosol). Furthermore, roots in both AF systems were better distributed if compared to those in the OG profile, revealing their better soil structure. This is mainly due to presence of biopores and their connectivity and continuity that are greater than in structural pores (Souza et al., 2017), as well as by presence of roots.

When comparing PR and root number in the plots, there was a pattern of smaller root numbers where higher PR values were found. The contrast of the root number and the cultural profile analysis showed another pattern throughout the profile. Overall, more compact structures presented smaller root numbers and higher PR values (Andrade et al., 2018; Unger and Kaspar, 1994), as root growth was reduced or nonexistent in compacted areas.

Our findings showed that AF systems improved the environment for root growth and distribution in the PSH profile, even at depth. This was possibly due to high meso- and macrofauna activity, which improved the soil structure, as stated by Guimarães et al. (2014) and Lal (1989). In this profile (PSH), except for the cracks, roots preferably occupied macropores and biopores. In the FSH profile, the F structure was preferably occupied by roots rather than C structures, due to its lower PR (Tavares Filho et al., 1999). The AF systems showed no evidence of soil degradation, corroborating Jiang et al. (2017). Lin (2007) stated that shaded AF systems have lower thermal variation than less shaded coffee plantations; thus, AF systems provide more favorable mechanisms to deal with climate changes. Guimarães et al. (2014) observed higher soil aggregation levels and TOC contents in partially shaded coffee and noted that AF systems are viable alternatives for farmers. Therefore, in our study, the PSH and FSH profiles presented advantages over the OG coffee, because they provided more suitable soil structure, as well as better root growth and distribution. Adequate procedures of chemical fertility and machinery traffic are also essential to maintain these benefits.

Conclusions

Coffee plants shaded by Hevea brasiliensis decreased the proportion of compact morphological structures.

Agroforestry of coffee and rubber trees increased root distribution throughout the profile, mainly below 0.40 m depth.

Coffee plants shaded by Hevea brasiliensis showed less anthropic effects and lower soil penetration resistance values than the open-grown coffee.

Coffee root distribution was an effective indicator of soil quality, consistent with morphostructural characterization of the cultural profiles.
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