Nanoparticle-based delivery of siDCAMKL-1 increases microRNA-144 and inhibits colorectal cancer tumor growth via a Notch-1 dependent mechanism
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Abstract

Background: The development of effective drug delivery systems capable of transporting small interfering RNA (siRNA) has been elusive. We have previously reported that colorectal cancer tumor xenograft growth was arrested following treatment with liposomal preparation of siDCAMKL-1. In this report, we have utilized Nanoparticle (NP) technology to deliver DCAMKL-1 specific siRNA to knockdown potential key cancer regulators. In this study, mRNA/miRNA were analyzed using real-time RT-PCR and protein by western blot/immunohistochemistry. siDCAMKL-1 was encapsulated in Poly(lactide-co-glycolide)-based NPs (NP-siDCAMKL-1); Tumor xenografts were generated in nude mice, treated with NP-siDCAMKL-1 and DAPT (γ-secretase inhibitor) alone and in combination. To measure let-7a and miR-144 expression in vitro, HCT116 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding the firefly luciferase gene with let-7a and miR-144 miRNA binding sites in the 3'UTR.

Results: Administration of NP-siDCAMKL-1 into HCT116 xenografts resulted in tumor growth arrest, downregulation of proto-oncogene c-Myc and Notch-1 via let-7a and miR-144 miRNA-dependent mechanisms, respectively. A corresponding reduction in let-7a and miR-144 specific luciferase activity was observed in vitro. Moreover, an upregulation of EMT inhibitor miR-200a and downregulation of the EMT-associated transcription factors ZEB1, ZEB2, Snail and Slug were observed in vivo. Lastly, DAPT-mediated inhibition of Notch-1 resulted in HCT116 tumor growth arrest and down regulation of Notch-1 via a miR-144 dependent mechanism.

Conclusions: These findings demonstrate that nanoparticle-based delivery of siRNAs directed at critical targets such as DCAMKL-1 may provide a novel approach to treat cancer through the regulation of endogenous miRNAs.
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Background

Colorectal cancer is the second most common tumor type in the US and is the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality, accounting for nearly 9% of all cancer-related deaths [1]. In the gut, tumorigenesis arises from the stem cell population located near the base of intestinal and colonic crypts [2]. We have recently reported that the putative intestinal stem cell marker musashi-1 (Msi-1) regulates Notch-1 in colorectal cancer [3]. Msi-1 is a positive regulator of Notch signaling through translational repression of m-numb mRNA (an inhibitor of Notch signaling) [4].

The novel putative intestinal and pancreatic stem cell marker DCAMKL-1 [5-7], a microtubule-associated kinase is upregulated in colorectal and pancreatic cancers and plays a functional role in tumorigenesis through regulation of the tumor suppressor microRNAs (let-7a, miR-200a and miR-144) and their downstream
targets such as c-Myc, KRAS, ZEB1, ZEB2 and Notch-1 [8,9].

Post-transcriptional silencing of disease-associated genes using exogenous short interfering RNA (siRNA) is an exciting new strategy to treat various human diseases [10,11]. However, the clinical application of siRNA has been hindered by its rapid degradation, nonspecific distribution and poor cellular uptake [12]. Consequently, delivery systems capable of protecting and transporting siRNA through both extracellular and intracellular barriers to reach the site of action in the cytosol are required for successful development of siRNA-based therapeutics [13]. Nonviral siRNA delivery systems such as cationic lipids, cationic polymers, and cell-penetrating peptides have been studied intensively. However, the use of cationic vectors for clinical applications has been severely limited by their high toxicity, low serum stability, nonspecific immune-stimulating effects, and poor biodegradability [14].

The use of poly(lactide-co-glycolide) or PLGA nanoparticles (NPs) has emerged as a powerful potential methodology for carrying both small and large molecules of therapeutic importance, as well as scaffolds for tissue engineering applications. This utility derives primarily from: (a) physiologic compatibility of PLGA and its monomers, polyglycolic acid (PGA) and polylactic acid (PLA), all of which have been established to be safe for human use for more than 30 years in various biomedical applications including drug delivery systems [15]; (b) the commercial availability of a variety of PLGA formulations which allow for control over the rate and duration of molecules released for optimal physiological response [16,17]; (c) the biodegradability of PLGA materials, which provides for sustained release of the encapsulated molecules under physiological conditions, and conversion of PLGA to nontoxic, low-molecular-weight products that are readily eliminated [18]; and (d) the ability to manufacture PLGA nanoscale particles (< 500 nm) for potential evasion of the immune phagocytic system or fabrication into microparticles on the cellular scale for targeted delivery of drugs or as antigen-presenting systems [19]. This unique combination of properties coupled with flexibility during fabrication has led to interest in modifying the PLGA surface for specific attachment to cells or organs in the body [20,21] to support drug delivery and tissue engineering applications.

We have recently demonstrated that targeted inhibition of DCAMKL-1 resulted in induction of key tumor suppressor miRNAs and subsequent abrogation of several critical oncogenic pathways [8,9]. In this report, we use PLGA NPs as a delivery vehicle for siDCAMKL-1 to study the effect on colon cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo.

Results

DCAMKL-is increased in various human cancers

We performed immunohistochemical analyses on human multi-cancer tissue microarrays (Tissue Array Network, Rockville, MD). Immunoreactive minimal or no DCAMKL-1 was detected in normal breast, colon, pancreas and prostate (Figure 1A - left panels), whereas an increased expression of DCAMKL-1 was detected in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells and in the stroma of breast, colon, pancreatic and prostate cancers (Figure 1A - right panels; brown staining, indicated by arrows).

Nanoparticle-based siRNA delivery

PLGA NPs have primarily been used as a vehicle for pharmaceutical delivery of nucleotides, hormones, or drugs to target tissues [22]. Here, we employed a PLGA NP-based siRNA delivery approach as an alternative to liposomal encapsulation, which we previously used [3,9,23]. Figure 1B demonstrates a scanning electron micrograph of PLGA nanoparticle-encapsulated siRNA.

Total RNA isolated from HCT116 cells treated with NPs (containing 1.4 nM of siDCAMKL-1 and 10 nM of siSCR) separately and transfection reagent (Ambion) for 48 h was subjected to real-time RT-PCR analysis for DCAMKL-1. We observed a reduction in DCAMKL-1 mRNA following treatment with siDCAMKL-1 alone or encapsulated in NPs; these results are similar to our previous experimental observations [9]. Less siRNA (7-fold) was required, however, when delivered encapsulated in PLGA-NPs (Figure 1C).

DCAMKL-1 knockdown and DAPT-induced Notch inhibition blocks tumor progression

We generated tumor xenografts by injecting HCT116 cells (6 x 10^6) subcutaneously into the flanks of NCr-nu/nu athymic nude mice (see Methods). Starting on day 15, post-injection of cells, the resulting tumors were treated with NPs alone (control), NP-siSCR, NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT alone, or NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT on every third day for a total of five doses (Figure 2A). Tumor volumes were measured at the time of treatments using a caliper and tumor weight was measured after death [3, 8, 24]. Administration of NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT, and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT resulted in a significant reduction (p < 0.01) in tumor volume and weight compared with either the control (NPs-alone) or NP-siSCR-treated tumors (Figure 2A, B and Additional File 1, Figure S1). mRNA analysis demonstrated a significant downregulation (p < 0.01) of DCAMKL-1 mRNA compared to control or NP-siSCR treated tumors (Figure 2C). Similarly, a reduction in DCAMKL-1 protein was observed in tumors treated with NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT, and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT compared to control or NP-siSCR treated tumors (Figure
2D). These data taken together suggest that inhibition of DCAMKL-1 and/or Notch-1 results in HCT116 tumor xenograft growth arrest.

**DCAMKL-1 mediated regulation of let-7a miRNA**

To determine whether NP-based delivery of siDCAMKL-1 regulated let-7a miRNA as described previously [8,9], HCT116 tumor xenografts (treated with NPs alone, NP-siSCR, NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT alone, and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT) were analyzed for pri-let-7a miRNA expression by real-time RT-PCR and normalized using pri-U6 miRNA. Compared to control and NP-siSCR treated tumors, there was a ~2-fold increase in pri-let-7a miRNA expression in DCAMKL-1 siRNA-

---

**Figure 1** DCAMKL-1 is overexpressed in various human tumors. (A) Immunohistochemistry of DCAMKL-1 (brown) in different human normal and tumor tissues. Arrows indicate the cells positive for DCAMKL-1, insets are magnified images. (B) Electron microscopic photograph of siDCAMKL-1 encapsulated PLGA-Nanoparticle. (C) DCAMKL-1 specific siRNA (siDCAMKL-1) encapsulated in NPs (NP siDCAMKL-1), but not scrambled siRNA (siSCR) encapsulated in NPs (NP siSCR) decreases DCAMKL-1 mRNA expression in HCT116 cells. siDCAMKL-1 was also transfected into HCT116 cells using Ambion transfection reagent. For C, values in the bar graph are given as average ± SEM, and asterisks denote statistically significant differences ($P < 0.01$) compared with control (NP alone).
Figure 2 Knockdown of DCAMKL-1 and Notch inhibition arrests tumor growth. (A) HCT116 cells were injected into the flanks of athymic nude mice and tumors were allowed to develop for 15 days. NP encapsulated siRNAs (siDCAMKL-1 and siSCR) were injected directly into the tumors. Different groups of animals were injected with DAPT in corn oil (i.p) and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT. Treatments were started on day 15 and given ev ery third day for a total of five injections (inset). Tumors were excised at day 30 and the tumor volumes are represented above from the data collected at the time of every injection. A representative excised tumor at day 30 is shown on the right. (B) Representative photograph of mice bearing the tumors from each group are shown. (C) The expression of DCAMKL-1 mRNA in the tumors quantitated by real-time RT-PCR. (D) DCAMKL-1 protein expression was assessed on tumor samples by Western blot analysis. For C, values are given as average ± SEM, and asterisks denote statistically significant differences (P < 0.01) compared with control (NP alone).
treated tumors (Figure 3A). This was similar to our previously published data using DOPC-mediated delivery of siDCAMKL-1 into HCT116 tumor xenografts. Furthermore, we observed a statistically significant increase in the expression of pri-let-7a miRNA following treatment with DAPT or NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT compared to NPs alone or NP-siSCR treated tumors (Figure 3A). We next performed a luciferase reporter gene assay to quantitatively measure the effect of siRNA-mediated downregulation of DCAMKL-1 on let-7a miRNA. HCT116 cells were transfected with a plasmid containing firefly luciferase gene with a complementary let-7a binding site at the 3’UTR. Following transfection, cells were treated with NPs alone, NP-siSCR, or NP-siDCAMKL-1 and were subjected to luciferase activity measurement. A dose-dependent reduction in luciferase activity was observed in cells treated with 50 or 100 nM of NP-siDCAMKL-1 compared to control or NP-siSCR (Figure 3B). These data suggest that knockdown of DCAMKL-1 using NP-encapsulated siDCAMKL-1 results in downregulation of let-7a miRNA downstream targets in HCT116 cells. Subsequently, we evaluated the expression of proto-oncogene c-Myc (a downstream target to let-7a miRNA) in the HCT116 tumor xenografts. In tumors treated with NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT, or NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT compared to NPs alone (control) or NP-siSCR, we observed a ~50% reduction in c-Myc mRNA estimated using real-time RT-PCR and a reduction in c-Myc protein revealed by Western blot analysis (Figure 3C). This downregulation was associated with reduced c-Myc protein as determined by immunohistochemical analyses (Figure 3D).

**DCAMKL-1 regulates Notch-1 via a miR-144 dependent mechanism**

Upregulation of Notch receptors and their ligands have been described in several cancers including cervical, lung, colon, head and neck, renal and pancreatic cancer [24-28]. Given the potential roles of Notch signaling in adult stem cell regulation and tumorigenesis [29], we determined the effect of NP-siRNA-mediated knockdown of DCAMKL-1 on Notch-1 expression in HCT116 cell tumor xenografts. We observed a significant reduction in Notch-1 mRNA in tumor xenografts treated with NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT, and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT compared to NPs alone (control) or NP-siSCR treated tumors (Figure 4A). A reduction in the expression of Notch-1 protein was observed by immunohistochemical (Figure 4C) and Western blot analyses (Figure 4D).

Hairy and Enhancer of Split 1 (HES1) is a transcription factor and target gene of the canonical Notch signaling pathway [30]. It has been confirmed that HES1 is the downstream effector of Notch-1 and Hedgehog signaling pathways and that these pathways are frequently upregulated in tumors [31]. Compounds that inhibit these pathways induce differentiation and apoptosis in cancer cells; several are currently in clinical trials [32]. We observed a significant reduction in HES1 mRNA (Figure 4B) and protein (Figure 4D) in the tumor xenografts treated with NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT, and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT compared to control or NP-siSCR treated tumors.

We previously found a predicted binding site for miR-144 in the Notch-1 3’ UTR (at the 189th base pair) ([http://www.microrna.org: a resource for microRNA targets and expression](http://www.microrna.org: a resource for microRNA targets and expression)) (Additional File 2, Figure S2) [8]. To investigate the role of DCAMKL-1 in regulating Notch-1 via miR-144 miRNA in colorectal cancer, HCT116 tumor xenografts were analyzed for pri-miR-144 miRNA expression by real-time RT-PCR. Compared to control and NP-siSCR-treated tumors, there was a 3-fold increase in pri-miR-144 miRNA expression in NP-siDCAMKL-1-treated tumors (Figure 4E). These data suggest that DCAMKL-1 negatively regulates pri-miR-144 miRNA in human colorectal cancer cells. Furthermore, tumors treated with DAPT and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT demonstrated an 8-fold increase in pri-miR-144 miRNA expression compared to control and NP-siSCR-treated tumors.

To evaluate these findings quantitatively, we performed a luciferase reporter gene assay using HCT116 cells containing the firefly luciferase gene with a complementary miR-144 binding site in the 3’UTR. A statistically significant reduction in luciferase activity was observed following DCAMKL-1 knockdown (Figure 4F), indicating that DCAMKL-1 may be a posttranscriptional regulator of miR-144 miRNA downstream targets in colorectal cancer. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that Notch-1 is a downstream target of miR-144 miRNA and that DCAMKL-1 regulates posttranscriptional control of Notch-1.

**siRNA-mediated knockdown of DCAMKL-1 inhibits Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition via a miR-200a dependent mechanism**

Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) is a phenotypic conversion in fibrotic diseases and neoplasia [33,34]. Recent studies have suggested that mesenchymal gene profiles in tumors are predictive of poor outcome in colorectal, breast, and ovarian cancers [35,36]. Furthermore, recent reports suggest that the downregulation of several miRNAs (miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-200c, miR-141, and miR-429) is an essential feature of EMT [37]. Consequently, induction of these miRNAs results in inhibition of EMT [37-39]. We subjected the HCT116 tumor xenografts to miR-200a miRNA expression analysis by real-time RT-PCR. NP-siRNA-mediated knockdown of DCAMKL-1 resulted in upregulation of
Figure 3 Inhibition of DCAMKL-1 and Notch downregulates c-Myc via induction of pri-let-7a miRNA. (A) Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis for pri-let-7a miRNA in tumor xenografts. siRNA-mediated knockdown of DCAMKL-1 and DAPT-mediated inhibition of Notch signaling results in increased expression of pri-let-7a miRNA. (B) NP-siDCAMKL-1-mediated knockdown of DCAMKL-1 decreases luciferase activity (luciferase units) following transfection with plasmid encoding luciferase containing let-7a binding site in HCT116 cells. (C) A decreased expression of c-Myc mRNA and protein was observed in HCT116 tumor xenografts following knockdown of DCAMKL-1 and Notch. (D) Decreased c-Myc expression (brown) in NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT treated tumors compared with controls by immunohistochemical analysis. For bar graph in A, B and C, values are given as average ± SEM, and asterisks denote statistically significant differences (P < 0.01) compared with control (NP alone).
pri-miR-200a (Figure 5A) and downregulation of ZEB1 and ZEB2 with upregulation of E-cadherin (Figure 5B) in the HCT116 tumor xenografts. We did not observe any difference in expression of pri-miR-200a miRNA following treatment with DAPT compared to control or NP-siSCR treated tumors (Figure 5A). These data suggest that Notch-1 inhibition alone was insufficient to induce endogenous pri-R-200a at the dose tested.

We observed a significant downregulation of Snail and Slug in tumor xenografts treated with NP-siDCAMKL-1, DAPT, and NP-siDCAMKL-1+DAPT compared to control or NP-siSCR treated tumors (Figure 5C). These data taken together suggest that knockdown of DCAMKL-1 may inhibit EMT via a miR-200a-dependent mechanism in human colorectal cancer [8].

Discussion

The use of PLGA NPs has emerged as a powerful, potential approach for carrying small and large molecules for therapeutic applications because of PLGA’s biodegradability, slow-release of encapsulated payloads and enhanced cellular uptake [40]. PLGA has been approved by the FDA for human use [41]. In this report, we have demonstrated that (a) DCAMKL-1 siRNA encapsulated in PLGA nanoparticles (siDCAMKL-1 NPs) exhibit significant knockdown of DCAMKL-1 mRNA in HCT116 cells; (b) siDCAMKL-1 NPs are similarly potent or more than free liposomal
encapsulated siDCAMKL-1 in the ability to down-regu-
late tumorigenesis, pro-proliferative and oncogenic fac-
tors such as c-Myc, in tumor xenografts; (c) siDCAMKL-1 NPs are similarly effective in increasing tumor suppressor miRNA let-7a; (d) siDCAMKL-1 NPs are effective in increasing miR-144 and downregulating Notch-1 and (e) siDCAMKL-1 NPs may serve as a use-
ful vehicle for the delivery of anti-cancer therapy via its ef-
effects on EMT through its interaction with miR-200a.

In general, NP-mediated delivery has been considered to
ehance the bioavailability of an active component such as a drug, while limiting toxicity. Thus, nanoparti-
delivery systems are promising tools for treatment of
many diseases including cancer [42,43]. We initially con-
structed and subsequently characterized PLGA nanopar-
ticles containing siDCAMKL-1 (siDCAMKL-1 NPs)
because in PBS at 37°C, they displayed a release profile,
characteristic of an initial burst followed by a relatively
constant release until day 28 after treatment [44]. This
pattern, known as a burst release, is characteristic of
hydrophilic drugs encapsulated inside polymeric nano-
particles. The burst release may be explained by the fact
that the hydrophilic drug has readily escaped or diffused
into the aqueous medium under a concentration gradi-
ent. The relatively constant release that follows is pri-
marily due to the hydrolysis of the ester bonds between
the individual monomers which results in the degrada-
tion of the nanoparticles and hence the sustained release
and bioavailability of siDCAMKL-1 NPs [44].

While chitosan (polysaccharide and a cationic natural
polymer) has been used for nucleic acids complexation
[45], here we chose to utilize the poly-cationic polymer
poly (ethylenimine) (PEI) primarily due to its physical
mechanism is unknown, we speculate that DAPT may
act on DCAMKL-1 directly, resulting in the induction of
miR-144. These data taken together suggest that both
DAPT and/or NP-siDCAMKL-1 act on similar pathways.
Additionally, the Notch-1 downstream effector HES1
mRNA and protein were decreased following treatment
of xenografts with NP-siDCAMKL-1. Here for the first
time, we report that DCAMKL-1 regulates Notch-1 via a
miR-144 dependent mechanism in colorectal cancer.

Recently, inhibition of Notch signaling has been shown
to attenuate EMT [51]. In our study, following treat-
ment with DAPT and/or NP-siDCAMKL-1, we
observed a reduction in EMT transcription factors Snail,
Slug, ZEB1 and ZEB2.

miRNAs have emerged as important developmental
regulators and control critical processes such as cell fate
determination and cell death [52]. There is increasing
evidence that several miRNAs are mutated or poorly
expressed in human cancers and may act as tumor sup-
pressors or oncogenes [53,54]. Here we report that NP-
siDCAMKL-1 upregulates miR-200a, let-7a and miR-
144 in the colorectal cancer tumor xenograft model.
Furthermore, induction of pri-miR-200a resulted in downregulation of ZEB1, ZEB2, Snail and Slug in colorectal tumor xenografts. These data strongly support a direct regulatory role for DCAMKL-1 in cancer via miRNA dependent mechanisms. As recently reported, the induction of EMT in human mammary epithelial cells resulted in a “stem cell-like” phenotype characterized by a CD44<sup>high</sup> and CD24<sup>low</sup> cell surface marker expression pattern. Furthermore, these cells formed mammospheres, colonies in soft agar and tumors in nude mice more aggressively than non-EMT induced cells. These studies demonstrate a direct link between the induction of EMT and the gain of stem cell-like properties [55]. These recent findings lend support to our hypothesis that EMT in the stem cell population could lead to the development of novel anti-cancer therapeutics that attack multiple pathways and processes that are essential for cancer growth, invasion and metastasis in colon and perhaps other cancers.

**Methods**

**Reagents**

All cell culture reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). PLGA was purchased from Lakeshore Biomaterials (Birmingham, AL, USA) as a 50:50 monomer ratio with a molecular weight of 58 kDa and inherent viscosity of 0.43 dl/g.

**Cell culture**

Human colon cancer HCT-116 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and propagated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin in a humidified chamber at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

**Small interfering RNAs**

DCAMKL-1 siRNA (si-DCAMKL-1) sequence targeting the coding region of DCAMKL-1 (accession No. NM_004734) (GGGAGUGAGAACAAUCUACtt) and scrambled siRNAs (si-SCR) not matching any of the human genes were obtained (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX) and transfected using siPORT™ NeoFX™ (Ambion).

**Synthesis and characterization of DCAMKL-1 siRNA NPs**

Poly(lactide-co-glycolide) acid nanoparticles (PLGA NPs) were synthesized using a double emulsion solvent evaporation technique [44,56]. First siRNA (DCAMKL-1 or scrambled) was condensed on the cationic polymer poly(ethyleneimine) (PEI, 5% w/v) to form an siRNA-PEI complex. siRNA-PEI (200 μl) was added to 30 mg PLGA in 1 ml chloroform (CHCl₃) and vortexed. This primary emulsion was then transferred into 5 ml of 2% (w/v) polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), which serves as a surfactant, and the entire solution was sonicated on ice for 1 min using a probe sonicator (Misonix XL-2000, Newtown, CT). The organic solvent in the final solution was allowed to evaporate overnight with continuous stirring. NPs were recovered by centrifugation at 20,000 ×g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was stored for later assay. The pellet consisting of aggregated NPs was washed three times in water to remove any residual PVA and free, i.e., non-encapsulated, siRNA. NPs were then resuspended in water, freeze-dried for 24 h and then stored at -20°C for later use. The amount of encapsulated siRNA was quantified using a spectrophotometer (DU-800, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). The size, polydispersity index, and zeta-potential measurements of synthesized siRNA NPs were determined using diffraction light scattering (DLS) utilizing Zeta PALS (Brookhaven Instruments, Holtsville, NY). Surface morphology of the NPs was examined using a JOEL-JSM-880 scanning electron microscope. Loading efficiency was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Loading Efficiency(\%)} = \frac{\text{Mass of siRNA}_\text{NPs}}{\text{Mass of siRNA}_\text{Tot}} \times 100
\]

where siRNA<sub>NNps</sub> is the amount of siRNA encapsulated inside PLGA NPs, and siRNA<sub>Tot</sub> is the total amount of siRNA added.

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

Human multi-cancer tissue microarrays (Tissue Array Network, Rockville, MD) and tumor xenograft tissues were subjected to immunohistochemical analyses. Heat-Induced Epitope Retrieval was performed on 4 µm formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections utilizing a pressured Decloaking Chamber (Biocare Medical) in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 99°C for 18 min. Brightfield: Slides were incubated in 3% hydrogen peroxide at room temperature for 20 min. After incubation with primary antibody [DCAMKL-1 C-terminal (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA) or c-Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) or Notch-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies)], the slides were incubated in peroxidase-conjugated EnVision™+ polymer detection kit (DAKO). Slides were developed with diaminobenzidine (Sigma).

**Microscopic Examination**

Slides were examined utilizing a Nikon 80i microscope and DXM1200C camera for brightfield analysis.
Fluorescent images were taken with PlanFluoro objectives, utilizing CoolSnap ES2 camera (Photometrics). Images were captured utilizing NIS-Elements software (Nikon).

Xenograft tumor model
Male athymic nude mice (NCr-nu/nu) were purchased from the National Cancer Institute-Frederick Cancer Research and Development Center (Frederick, MD) and housed in pathogen-free conditions. They were cared for in accordance with guidelines set forth by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and the U.S. Public Health Service Commissioned Corps’ “Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.” All studies were approved and supervised by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. HCT116 cells (6 × 10⁶) were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of 4- to 6-wk-old male athymic nude mice (three mice per group). Tumors were measured using a caliper and the volume was calculated as (length × width²) × 0.5. The tumors reached 1000 mm³ 15 days after injection of cells. NPs were reconstituted in sterile normal saline and injected directly into the tumors. DAPT was reconstituted in corn oil, which was injected intraperitoneally. In combination treatments, NPs were injected intratumorally and DAPT was injected i.p, at the same time points. Each animal bearing the tumor was injected on days 15, 18, 21, 24, and 27 with one of the following preparations: 50 μl (5 μM) of siRNA-NP preparation [either NP alone (control), NP-siScrambled (siSCR), or NP-siDCAMKL-1], or 10 mg/kg of DAPT alone, or a combination of NP-siDCAMKL-1 and DAPT. All mice were killed on day 30 [9].

Real-time Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction analyses
Total RNA isolated from tumor xenografts and HCT116 cells was subjected to reverse transcription using Superscript TM II RNase H-Reverse Transcriptase and random hexanucleotide primers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The complementary DNA (cDNA) was subsequently used to perform real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) by SYBR™ chemistry (SYBR Green I, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for specific transcripts using gene-specific primers and JumpStart™ Taq DNA polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich). The crossing threshold value assessed by real-time PCR was noted for the transcripts and normalized with β-actin messenger RNA (mRNA). The quantitative changes in mRNA were expressed as fold-change relative to control with ± SEM value.

The following primers were used:

- β-actin: forward: 5’-GGTGATCCACATCTGCTG- CAA-3’, reverse: 5’-ATCATTGCTCCTCCTCGAGG-3’;
- DCAMKL-1: forward: 5’-CAGCAACCAGGAATG-TATTGGA-3’, reverse: 5’-tcaactegaatacggaagact-3’;
- ZEB1: forward: 5’-AAGAATTCTCACAGTGGAGA- GAACCA-3’, reverse: 5’-CGTTTCTTGCAAGTTGGGACATT-3’;
- ZEB2: forward: 5’-AGCCGATCGGCGGATGGC-3’, reverse: 5’-TTCTCTCTGCTGGGATTGGCTTG-3’;
- E-cadherin: forward: 5’-CCTCCCACATGCGTGGC-3’, reverse: 5’-GTGATGCTGTAAGAAAAACCTT-3’;
- Snail: forward: 5’-AAGGCCCTTCTCTAGGCACTT-3’, reverse: 5’-CGCAGGTGAGCGGATTAGC-3’;
- Slug: forward: 5’-TGCTCTAGAGGAGCAGCA-3’, reverse: 5’-CAGTGGATATTTCTTTAC-3’;
- Twist: forward: 5’-GTCTGGAGGATGGAGGG-3, reverse: 5’-TCCTTCTCTGGAAATGAC-3’;
- c-Myc: forward: 5’-CACACATCGCACACAC- TACGCA-3’, reverse: 5’-TTGACCTCCTGTGGCAGCAG-3’;
- Notch-1: forward: 5’-CGGGTCCACCAGTTTGAATG-3’, reverse: 5’-GGTGATTGGTGTCGTCACCAT-3’;

miRNA Analysis
Total RNA isolated from tumor xenografts and HCT116 cancer cells was subjected to reverse transcription with Superscript II RNase H-Reverse Transcriptase and random hexanucleotide primers (Invitrogen). The cDNA was subsequently used to perform real-time PCR by SYBR chemistry for pri-let-7a, pri-miR-144, and pri-miR-200a transcripts using specific primers and Jump-Start Taq DNA polymerase. The crossing threshold value assessed by real-time PCR was noted for pri-let-
7a, pri-miR-144, and pri-miR-200a miRNAs and normalized with U6 pri-miRNA. The changes in pri-miRNAs were expressed as fold-change relative to control with ± SEM values [9].

The following primers were used:

pri-U6: forward: 5'-CTCGCTCTAGGAGGCTGCAAC-3',
reverse: 5'-AACGCTTCGAATTTGCGT-3';
pri-let-7a: forward: 5'-GAGGTAGTAGTTGTA-TAGTTTAGAA-3',
reverse: 5'-AAAGCTAGAGGCTGTCA-3';
pri-miR-144: forward: 5'-GCTGAGGATCATCATACATATCTCTAGT-3',
reverse: 5'-CGGACTAGTACATCATCTATCTATCTAGT-3';
pri-miR-200a: forward: 5'-TTCCACAGCGCCCTGGA-3',
reverse: 5'-GATGTGCGCTCGGTGTTGGA-3'.

Western blot analysis
HCT116 cells or tumor xenograft samples treated with siRNA or siRNA-NPs were lysed and the concentration of protein was determined by the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce Biotechnology Inc., Rockford, IL). Forty μg of the protein was size separated in a 7.5-15% SDS polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane with a semidry transfer apparatus (Amersham-Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ). The membrane was blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk for 1 h and probed overnight with rabbit anti-DCAMKL-1 antibody (Abcam Inc) or with rabbit anti-c-Myc, rabbit anti-Notch1 or rabbit anti-HES1 antibody (Cell Signaling Danvers, MA). Actin, used as a loading control was identified using a rabbit anti-HES1 antibody (Cell Signaling Danvers, MA). The proteins were detected using ECL™ Western Blotting detection reagents (Amersham-Pharmacia).

Luciferase reporter gene assay
HCT116 cells were transfected with a plasmid containing the firefly luciferase (Photinus pyralis) gene with a complementary let-7a (or miR-114) binding site at its 3' untranslated region (UTR) obtained from Signosis Inc (Sunnyvale, CA). The cells were also co-transfected with the Renilla luciferase expressing plasmid pRL-TK (Promega) as an internal control. Following transfection, the cells were treated with NPs alone, NP-siSCR, or NP-siDCAMKL-1 and subjected to luciferase activity measurement. Luciferase activity was determined as per the manufacturer's instructions (Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System; Promega) using a Biotek Synergy III multi plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT) as described previously [9]. The activity, normalized to Renilla luciferase activity, is presented as relative luciferase units relative to control with ± SEM values. Assays were performed in triplicate wells and experiments were repeated three times.

Statistical analysis
All experiments were performed in triplicate. Results are reported as average ± SEM unless otherwise indicated. Data were analyzed using the Student’s t-test. Results were considered statistically significant when p < 0.01.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Downregulation of DCAMKL-1 and Notch signaling decreases tumor xenograft weight. NP-siDCAMKL-1 and DAPT treatment resulted in significantly decreased tumor weight when compared to control and NP-siSCR treated tumors. Values are given as average ± SEM, and asterisks denote statistically significant differences (P < 0.01) compared with control (NP alone).

Additional file 2: Figure S2: Notch-1 mRNA has putative binding site for miR-144. Representation of the putative binding site for miR-144 at 189th base pair position on Notch-1 mRNA 3'UTR (source: http://www.microrna.org).
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