Retinal Vascular Tortuosity and Diameter Associations with Adiposity and Components of Body Composition
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Objective: The aim of this study was to assess whether adiposity or body composition relates to microvascular characteristics of the retina, indicative of cardiometabolic function.

Methods: A fully automated QUARTZ software processed retinal images from 68,550 UK Biobank participants (aged 40-69 years). Differences in retinal vessel diameter and tortuosity with body composition measures from the Tanita analyzer were obtained by using multilevel regression analyses adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, clinic, smoking, and Townsend deprivation index.

Results: Venular tortuosity and diameter increased by approximately 2% ($P<10^{-300}$) and 0.6 $\mu$m ($P<10^{-6}$), respectively, per SD increase in BMI, waist circumference index, waist-hip ratio, total body fat mass index, and fat-free mass index (FFMI). Venular associations with adiposity persisted after adjustment for FFMI, whereas associations with FFMI were weakened by FMI adjustment. Arteriolar diameter (not tortuosity) narrowing with FFMI was independent of adiposity (−0.6 $\mu$m; −0.7 to −0.4 $\mu$m per SD increment of FFMI), while adiposity associations with arteriolar diameter were largely nonsignificant after adjustment for FFMI.

Conclusions: This demonstrates, on an unprecedented scale, that venular tortuosity and diameter are more strongly associated with adiposity, whereas arteriolar diameter relates more strongly to fat-free mass. Different attributes of the retinal microvasculature may reflect distinct roles of body composition and fatness on the cardiometabolic system.
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Introduction

The global rise in obesity is a critical public health problem. High levels of body fatness have led to a marked rise in type 2 diabetes and an increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) (1,2), with close to 70% of deaths related to high BMI caused by CVD (1). Body composition, particularly central adiposity, is thought to play a major role in this increased risk beyond general adiposity as measured by BMI (2), and this has been linked to an increased risk for mortality (3). It has previously been shown that adult body fat is more strongly associated with type 2 diabetes and CVD than BMI alone (4-6), as BMI is unable to distinguish fat distribution, an important issue, best highlighted among normal weight individuals who have an increased visceral fat mass (FM) and greater cardiometabolic risk (7).
The microvasculature has increasingly been recognized as an early determinant of both obesity and CVD risk (8). In a population-based study of adults (aged 44-85 years) from Wisconsin, increased venular diameter was associated with an increased risk for developing obesity over a 15-year period (8). Retinal arteriolar narrowing with higher BMI was reported among healthy individuals (9), and a systematic review of population-based studies found retinal arteriolar narrowing and venular widening with higher BMI (10). Though a large body of research has observed that higher BMI is associated with wider and more tortuous venules (11) and narrower and less tortuous arterioles (10), limited research has assessed other body composition measures (including indices quantifying total FM and fat-free mass [FFM]) in adulthood, and very few population-based studies have assessed the associations with retinal tortuosity (11,12).

Measurement of body composition beyond BMI provides a range of morphometric indices (including both body FM and lean mass), which may assist in furthering our understanding of the mechanisms linking increased adiposity with adverse systemic changes in the microvasculature (13). To date, these associations have not been examined at scale. The retinal microvasculature can be assessed noninvasively and it provides a general assessment of the microvasculature throughout the body (14). Given the strong associations between greater venular diameter with incident diabetes (15) and CVD events (16) (myocardial infarction or stroke), understanding the early associations between the retinal microvasculature and body composition important.

Though semiautomated, noninvasive assessment of the retinal microvasculature has been available for many years (17), it is only now that the first fully automated software is available for use at scale (Quantitative Analysis of Retinal Vessel Shape and Size [QUARTZ]) (18,19). This offers an unprecedented opportunity to examine the shape and form of association between adiposity markers with retinal vessel morphometry (including tortuosity, a measure that has not been well researched to date). The aim of this study was to examine the association of retinal vessel morphometry (diameters and tortuosity) with body composition measures and provide a comparative analysis of these associations.

Methods

The UK Biobank is a national research resource, offering the opportunity to investigate and develop techniques aimed at improving the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of a wide range of noncommunicable diseases (20). The study recruited more than 500,000 people aged 40 to 69 years between 2006 to 2010 from across the United Kingdom. A subset of 68,550 participants had macular-centered retinal images taken (from one or both eyes). The design and methods in the UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium have recently been published (21). The eye and vision substudy has a very similar profile to the main UK Biobank study. For example, the mean BMI (a key variable of interest in this manuscript) was 27.4 (SD 4.8) in the full UK Biobank cohort and 27.4 (SD 4.8) in the eye and vision sub-study. A flowchart of participants by exclusions from the UK Biobank eye study is shown in Figure 1. Color images were captured without mydriasis using a nonmydriatic fundus camera (Topcon 3D OCT-1000 Mk 2) with a 45° field of view and saved in PNG format with a resolution of 2,048 x 1,536 pixels.

Physical examination

Height was measured to the nearest centimeter by using a Seca 202 stadiometer, and a Tanita BC-418MA body composition analyzer was used to measure weight to the nearest 0.1 kg and assess body composition. BMI was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by height (meters squared) and categorized according to the World Health Organization definitions into underweight (< 18.5), normal weight (18.5 to < 25), overweight (25 to <30), and obesity (≥ 30). Body size measures included fat percentage (F%), FM, and FFM both total and trunk (total F% represents the percent of body weight that is fat, whereas trunk fat % represents the percent of total fat that is estimated to be in the trunk). Waist circumference at the level of the umbilicus and hip circumference were measured by using a Wessex nonstretchable sprung tape measure. Waist circumference, FM, and FFM were indexed to height squared to provide body measures that were independent of height. Two blood pressure (BP) measurements
were taken with an Omron HEM-705 IT automated electronic BP monitor while seated, at least 1 minute apart (22), and the average of the two measures was used in the analyses.

Retinal imaging and processing
Processing of retinal images was carried out using an automated computerized system (QUARTZ), as previously published in detail (23). In brief, the automated system distinguishes between right and left eyes (by optic disc localization) and venules and arterioles, identifies vessel segments, outputs centerline coordinates, and measures vessel width and angular change between vessel centerline coordinates, as well as provides further measures of tortuosity (Figure 2) (23). These measures were summarized as a mean width per image, weighted by segment length, for arterioles and venules separately for each image. The following image processing modules were all validated on a subset of 4,692 retinal images from a random sample of 2,346 UK Biobank participants: vessel segmentation, image quality score, optic disc detection, vessel width measurement, tortuosity measurement, and arteriolar venular recognition (19,23,24). The performance of the arteriole/venule recognition had detection rates of up to 96% for arterioles and 98% for venules when the automated probability of artery or vein was set to a cutoff of 0.8. An automated assessment of image quality was also made based on the segmented vasculature (24). The algorithm achieved a sensitivity of 95.3% and a specificity of 91.1% for the detection of inadequate images (19). A model eye was used to quantify the magnification characteristics of the Topcon 3D OCT-1000 Mk 2 fundus camera, allowing pixel dimensions of vessel diameter to be converted to real size (25).

Other covariates
Age, sex, smoking status, medication use, and other sociodemographic characteristics were collected from a computerized questionnaire. Heart attack, stroke, and diabetes were determined by self-report. At a postcode level, neighborhood deprivation was expressed in terms of the Townsend deprivation index.

The UK Biobank study was reviewed and approved by the Northwest Region National Health Service research ethics committee.

Statistical analysis
Data analysis were performed with Stata 15.0 IC. Segmentwise weighted mean widths and tortuosity were used to provide a measure for venules and arterioles separately for each eye. Histograms of retinal vessel widths showed normal distributions, while measures of tortuosity were positively skewed and log-transformed. Data missing on categorical variables were included as an additional category for each variable to minimize data loss. Multilevel linear regression models adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, and UK Biobank center were used to examine associations of body composition measures with retinal vessel outcomes, allowing for repeated measures of vessel indices within the same person (model 1). Model 2 provided further adjustment for the confounding effects of smoking and Townsend deprivation index, and model 3 excluded those who had heart attack, stroke, or diabetes; were on treatment for hypertension; or answered unknown or declined to answer. Body composition measures were modeled as z scores in the regression models, and all coefficients represent the absolute difference in vessel width and percentage difference in tortuosity per 1-SD increase in body composition measure. To address concerns over sexual dimorphism in the associations between body composition and retinal vessel morphology, we formally examined interactions with sex (P value for interaction was set to <0.01 for statistical significance given the large sample size of UK Biobank) and whether associations could be presented for males and females combined. The independence of associations with body composition measures reflecting adiposity were further examined by adjustment for FFMI index (FFMI).

Results
The characteristics of the population overall and by BMI category are shown in Table 1. A total of 54,714 participants (1,656,838 arterioles and 1,909,047 venules) were included in these analyses.

Figure 3 shows the association of retinal diameters and tortuosity with each body composition marker in deciles, adjusted for age and sex as fixed effects and a random effect for person. Venular diameter and tortuosity showed strong positive linear associations with each decile.
increase in body composition measures. Arteriolar diameter showed a negative linear association with higher BMI, waist circumference index, waist-hip ratio, F%, fat mass index (FMI), and FFMI for each region (including total and trunk measures). No clear associations were evident between arteriolar tortuosity and body composition measures.

**Associations with age and sex**

Although females had, on average, more tortuous arterioles (4.4%; 95% CI: 3.4% to 5.4%) and venules (1.5%; 95% CI: 0.9% to 2.0%) and narrower arterioles (−0.2 μm; 95% CI: −0.3 to −0.05 μm) and venules (−0.8 μm; 95% CI: −1.0 to −0.5 μm), these sex differences were largely related to height difference between males and females.

**TABLE 1 Characteristics of population by BMI category**

|                      | Total       | Underweight | Healthy weight | Overweight | Obesity |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|---------|
| Age (y)              | 56.1 (8.2)  | 55.4 (7.9)  | 55.3 (8.3)     | 56.6 (8.2) | 56.4 (8.0) |
| Gender (% female)    | 55          | 80          | 67             | 47         | 53      |
| Ethnicity (%)        |             |             |                |            |         |
| White                | 90.9        | 88.1        | 91.8           | 91.0       | 89.4    |
| Black                | 2.9         | 1.2         | 1.5            | 2.8        | 4.9     |
| Asian                | 2.8         | 4.0         | 2.9            | 3.0        | 2.3     |
| Other                | 2.8         | 5.2         | 3.2            | 2.5        | 2.5     |
| Unknown/didn’t answer| 0.7         | 1.6         | 0.5            | 0.7        | 0.9     |
| Smoking (%)          |             |             |                |            |         |
| Never smoker         | 55.9        | 59.1        | 59.8           | 54.8       | 52.5    |
| Occasionally         | 2.9         | 2.8         | 2.8            | 3.1        | 2.8     |
| Ex-smoker            | 33.9        | 23.4        | 29.7           | 35.0       | 37.7    |
| Current smoker       | 6.7         | 14.3        | 7.3            | 6.5        | 6.2     |
| Prefer not to say/missing | 0.6      | 0.4        | 0.4            | 0.6        | 0.8     |
| Quartiles of Townsend deprivation index (%) |             |             |                |            |         |
| < −3.4               | 25.1        | 21.0        | 26.2           | 25.9       | 22.2    |
| −3.4 to −1.6         | 25.3        | 21.0        | 25.0           | 26.4       | 23.7    |
| −1.7 to 0.8          | 25.0        | 20.6        | 25.5           | 24.8       | 24.9    |
| > 0.8                | 24.5        | 36.9        | 23.2           | 22.8       | 29.0    |
| Missing              | 0.1         | 0.4         | 0.1            | 0.1        | 0.2     |
| Systolic BP (mmHg)   | 136.5 (18.3) | 128.2 (20.9) | 131.6 (18.5)  | 138.0 (17.8) | 140.9 (17.3) |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg)  | 81.7 (10.0) | 75.3 (10.6) | 78.1 (9.7)    | 82.4 (9.6) | 85.4 (9.6) |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 27.3 (4.7)  | 17.6 (8.8)  | 22.7 (1.5)    | 27.2 (1.4) | 33.8 (3.8) |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 89.8 (13.4) | 66.5 (6.2)  | 78.2 (8.1)    | 90.7 (8.4) | 104.5 (11.0) |
| Waist-hip ratio      | 0.9 (0.1)   | 0.8 (0.1)   | 0.8 (0.1)     | 0.9 (0.1)  | 0.9 (0.1) |
| Total fat %          | 31.5 (8.5)  | 18.5 (5.3)  | 27.0 (6.9)    | 31.2 (7.4) | 38.4 (7.9) |
| Total fat mass (kg)  | 24.8 (9.5)  | 9.4 (2.4)   | 17.2 (4.4)    | 24.2 (5.1) | 36.6 (8.1) |
| Total fat free mass (kg) | 53.1 (11.5) | 40.0 (6.0)  | 47.2 (8.8)    | 54.3 (10.7) | 59.1 (12.2) |
| Trunk fat %          | 0.0 (1.0)   | −1.3 (1.4)  | −0.3 (1.1)    | 0.2 (0.9)  | 0.0 (0.9) |
| Trunk fat mass (kg)  | 13.8 (5.2)  | 4.2 (1.8)   | 9.3 (2.7)     | 13.8 (2.8) | 20.2 (4.5) |
| Trunk fat free mass (kg) | 29.4 (5.9)  | 23.0 (3.4)  | 26.7 (4.8)    | 30.2 (5.7) | 32.0 (6.2) |
| Image quality        | 0.9 (0.1)   | 0.9 (0.1)   | 0.9 (0.1)     | 0.9 (0.1)  | 0.9 (0.1) |
| Arteriolar width (μm) | 88.0 (7.8)  | 89.3 (7.9)  | 88.4 (7.6)    | 87.8 (7.8) | 87.8 (8.0) |
| Venular width (μm)   | 103.9 (13.1) | 103.0 (12.1) | 103.3 (12.9)  | 104.0 (13.2) | 104.7 (13.4) |
| Arteriolar tortuosity (x 1,000) | 4.4 (1.6)    | 4.6 (1.7)   | 4.4 (1.7)     | 4.4 (1.6)  | 4.5 (1.0) |
| Venular tortuosity (x 1,000) | 3.2 (1.4)    | 3.1 (1.4)   | 3.1 (1.3)     | 3.1 (1.4)  | 3.3 (1.0) |
| Heart attack (%)     | 1.8         | 1.2         | 1.0           | 1.9        | 3.0     |
| Stroke (%)           | 1.1         | 1.6         | 0.9           | 1.1        | 1.6     |
| Diabetes (%)         | 4.9         | 1.6         | 2.0           | 4.1        | 10.5    |

Data given as mean (SD) or percent. Data missing on categorical variables included as additional category for each variable to minimize data loss. Data missing on the following continuous variables: waist circumference (n = 16), waist-hip ratio (n = 20), body fat% (n = 1,288), body fat mass (n = 1,356), body fat-free mass (n = 1,259), trunk fat % (n = 1,255), trunk fat mass (n = 1,255), and trunk fat-free mass (n = 1,255).
Figure 3 Adjusted mean retinal vessel width and tortuosity by deciles of age and body composition measures. Adjusted means (solid square symbols), 95% CIs (solid vertical error bars), and regression line (dotted line) are from a multilevel model allowing for age and sex as fixed effects and repeated retinal vessel measures within each person.
Hence, associations presented in Tables 2 and 3 are for males and observed, the associations were marginally steeper in males compared to females, for F% and FMI. For each of the significant interactions with arteriolar diameter only: BMI (males, −0.39 μm per SD kg/m²; females, −0.22 μm per SD kg/m²; P = 0.004 for interaction) and not for arteriolar diameter (P = 0.206 for interaction), adjusted for ethnicity and UK Biobank center as fixed effects and random effect for person. Arteriolar tortuosity association with age was in the same direction but slightly steeper in females compared with males.

Sex differences: body composition and retinal vessel measures

Formal tests of interaction for the associations of retinal arteriolar measures with age and sex were statistically significant for arteriolar tortuosity (in males, 1.6%; 95% CI: 0.8%-2.3% per decade rise in age; in females, 3.1% 95% CI: 2.4%-3.8% per decade rise in age; P = 0.004 for interaction) and not for arteriolar diameter (P = 0.206 for interaction), adjusted for ethnicity and UK Biobank center as fixed effects and random effect for person. Arteriolar tortuosity association with age was in the same direction but slightly steeper in females compared with males.

Retinal vessel tortuosity associations with body composition

Increased venular tortuosity was associated with higher BMI, waist circumference index, waist-hip ratio, F%, FMI, and FFMI (for each region, total and trunk; Table 2, model 1), and most of these associations were highly statistically significant with P < 1 × 10⁻³⁰⁰. The strongest association was observed between venular tortuosity and total FFMI, followed by total F%. For each SD increase in total FFMI, venular tortuosity increased by 2.8% (95% CI: 2.4%-3.2%; Table 2, model 1) and total F% by 2.6% (95% CI: 2.2%-2.9%). The associations observed were unaffected by adjustment for confounders (model 2) or removal of those who reported diabetes, heart attack, or stroke events (model 3). No association between arteriolar tortuosity and body composition measures was observed. The association of venular tortuosity with each measure of body composition was independent of venular diameter (data not shown).

Retinal vessel diameter associations with body composition

Narrower arteriolar diameter was associated with higher BMI, waist circumference index, waist-hip ratio, F%, FMI, and FFMI (for total and trunk) (Table 3, model 1). The strongest associations were observed between arteriolar diameter and FFMI and waist circumference index. Additional adjustment for potential confounding factors (smoking and Townsend deprivation index) had no impact on the associations (model 2). The associations with each adiposity measure were unaffected by removal of those with heart attack, stroke, or diabetes; those on treatment for hypertension; or those who answered unknown or declined to answer (model 3).

Wider venular diameters were associated with higher levels of all adiposity and body size measures after adjustment for age, sex, UK Biobank center, and ethnicity (Table 3, model 1). The strongest association was observed between venular diameter and total F%. For each SD increase in total F%, venular diameter widened by 0.7 μm (95% CI: 0.6-0.8 μm; Table 3, model 1). Additional adjustment for potential confounding factors (smoking and Townsend deprivation index) had no impact on the associations (Table 3, model 2). The associations in model 2 were unaffected by removal of those with heart attack, stroke, or diabetes; those on treatment for hypertension; or those who answered unknown or declined to answer (Table 3, model 3).

Models mutually adjusting body composition measures for FFMI

Findings from regression models mutually adjusting for total FMI and total FFMI are shown in Figure 4 and Supporting Information Table S1. For arteriolar diameter the inverse association with total FFMI remains stable (−0.6 μm; 95% CI: −0.7 to 0.4 μm; P = 6.10 × 10⁻¹⁸ per SD rise in total FFMI) after adjustment for total FMI, whereas the inverse association with total FMI is attenuated to the null and no longer statistically significant. For venular diameter, the positive association with total FMI is stable after adjustment for total FFMI, but the association with total FFMI is attenuated to the null and not statistically significant. The positive associations of total FFMI and total FMI with venular tortuosity persist after mutual adjustment, but the association with total FMI is stronger.

For the other body composition measures, associations with arteriolar diameter were predominantly a null (except waist circumference and waist-hip ratio) after adjustment for total FFMI and associations with total FFMI prevailed (Figure 3 and Supporting Information Table S1). In general, associations between venular measures and the other body composition measures were independent of adjustment for FFMI (Figure 3 and Supporting Information Table S1); although associations of FFMI with venular tortuosity were independent of adjustment for the other body size measures, FFMI associations with venular diameter were confounded by body fat measures.

Discussion

This is the first study to assess the association of different body composition measures with the retinal vessel morphology at scale. Each measure of body composition showed a graded association with vessel morphology measures (diameters and tortuosity), with the exception of arteriolar tortuosity, which showed no evidence of association. The associations held after adjustment and removal of those with diabetes, CVD morbidity, or hypertension. These observations show definitive associations between body composition and retinal diameters.
Table 2: Percentage difference in vessel tortuosity with age, sex, and per standard deviation increase in body composition measures

| Risk marker                        | Percentage difference in arteriolar tortuosity (95% CI) | Percentage difference in venular tortuosity (95% CI) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                                    | Model 1: multilevel model adjusts for age, sex, ethnicity, and UK Biobank center as fixed effects and a random effect for person to allow for repeated images within person (n = 54,714). Model 2 adjusts as model 1 plus BMI, smoking, and Townsend deprivation index as fixed effects (n = 54,714). Model 3 adjusts as model 2 but excludes those with diabetes, heart attack, or stroke; those on medication for blood pressure; or those who answered unknown or declined to answer (n = 45,644). For body composition measures, percentage difference in vessel tortuosity is per standard deviation increase. | |

| Risk marker                        | Model 1                                                                 | Model 2                                                                 | Model 3                                                                 | Model 1                                                                 | Model 2                                                                 | Model 3                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age per decade                     | 2.4 (1.9, 2.9)                                                          | 2.5 (1.9, 3.0)                                                          | 2.3 (1.8, 2.9)                                                          | 2.5 (2.1, 2.8)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.5 (2.2, 2.9)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.4 (2.0, 2.7)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             |
| Female versus male                 | 4.2 (3.4, 5.1)                                                          | 4.4 (3.5, 5.2)                                                          | 4.4 (3.4, 5.3)                                                          | 1.4 (0.9, 1.9)                                                         | 8.0E-08                                                                | 1.5 (0.9, 2.0)                                                         | 1.7E-08                                                                | 1.5 (0.9, 2.0)                                                         | 1.1E-07                                                                |
| BMI, kg/m²                          | 0.2 (−0.3, 0.6)                                                         | 0.44                                                                    | 0.43                                                                    | −0.2 (−0.6, 0.3)                                                       | 0.54                                                                   | 2.3 (2.1, 2.6)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.3 (2.0, 2.5)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 1.9 (1.6, 2.2)                                                         | 8.8E-38                                                                |
| Waist circumference index, cm/m²    | 0.2 (−0.2, 0.6)                                                         | 0.38                                                                    | 0.42                                                                    | −0.2 (−0.7, 0.3)                                                       | 0.49                                                                   | 2.2 (1.9, 2.5)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.1 (1.9, 2.4)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 1.8 (1.5, 2.1)                                                         | 9.3E-31                                                                |
| Waist-hip ratio                    | −0.1 (−0.7, 0.4)                                                        | 0.66                                                                    | −0.2 (−0.8, 0.4)                                                        | 0.50                                                                    | −0.5 (−1.2, 0.1)                                                       | 0.09                                                                   | 2.2 (1.9, 2.5)                                                         | 3.4E-37                                                                | 2.1 (1.8, 2.4)                                                         | 6.2E-34                                                                | 1.7 (1.3, 2.1)                                                         | 2.4E-19                                                                |
| Total fat %                        | 0.1 (−0.5, 0.7)                                                        | 0.71                                                                    | 0.1 (−0.5, 0.7)                                                        | 0.70                                                                    | −0.3 (−0.9, 0.3)                                                       | 0.34                                                                   | 2.6 (2.2, 2.9)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.5 (2.2, 2.9)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.0 (1.6, 2.4)                                                         | 6.3E-25                                                                |
| Trunk fat %                        | 0.0 (−0.4, 0.5)                                                        | 0.93                                                                    | 0.0 (−0.4, 0.5)                                                        | 0.90                                                                    | −0.3 (−0.8, 0.2)                                                       | 0.25                                                                   | 1.9 (1.6, 2.2)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 1.9 (1.6, 2.1)                                                         | 1.6E-37                                                                | 1.4 (1.1, 1.7)                                                         | 9.8E-20                                                                |
| Total fat mass index, kg/m²         | 0.2 (−0.3, 0.7)                                                        | 0.38                                                                    | 0.38                                                                    | 0.37                                                                    | −0.2 (−0.8, 0.3)                                                       | 0.44                                                                   | 2.5 (2.2, 2.7)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.4 (2.1, 2.7)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.0 (1.7, 2.3)                                                         | 8.4E-34                                                                |
| Trunk fat mass index, kg/m²         | 0.1 (−0.3, 0.6)                                                        | 0.56                                                                    | 0.1 (−0.3, 0.6)                                                        | 0.55                                                                    | −0.2 (−0.7, 0.3)                                                       | 0.35                                                                   | 2.1 (1.9, 2.4)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.1 (1.8, 2.4)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 1.7 (1.4, 2.0)                                                         | 2.3E-29                                                                |
| Total fat free mass index, kg/m²    | 0.2 (−0.4, 0.8)                                                        | 0.55                                                                    | 0.2 (−0.4, 0.8)                                                        | 0.54                                                                    | −0.1 (−0.8, 0.6)                                                       | 0.84                                                                   | 2.8 (2.4, 3.1)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.7 (2.3, 3.1)                                                         | <1.0E-300                                                             | 2.3 (1.9, 2.7)                                                         | 6.9E-26                                                                |
| Trunk fat free mass index, kg/m²    | 0.1 (−0.5, 0.7)                                                        | 0.80                                                                    | 0.1 (−0.5, 0.7)                                                        | 0.81                                                                    | −0.1 (−0.8, 0.6)                                                       | 0.73                                                                   | 2.3 (1.9, 2.7)                                                         | 1.85E-34                                                               | 2.3 (1.9, 2.6)                                                         | 6.4E-33                                                                | 2.0 (1.5, 2.4)                                                         | 2.5E-20                                                                |
### TABLE 3 Adjusted mean difference in vessel diameter in microns with age, sex and per standard deviation increase in body composition measures

| Risk marker                        | Absolute difference in arteriolar diameter (95% CI) in µm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                                   | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | P       | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | P       | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | P       | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | P       |
| Age per decade                    | −0.6 (−0.6, −0.5) | <1.0E-300 | −0.5 (−0.6, −0.4) | <1.0E-300 | −0.6 (−0.6, −0.5) | 6.8E-37 | 1.0 (0.9, 1.1) | <1.0E-300 | 1.1 (0.9, 1.2) | <1.0E-300 | 1.0 (0.9, 1.2) | <1.0E-300 | 1.0E-37 |
| Female versus male                | −0.2 (−0.3, −0.1) | 0.005 | −0.1 (−0.3, 0.0) | 0.04 | 0.0 (−0.2, 0.1) | 5.5E-01 | −0.7 (−0.9, 7.1E-11) | −0.6 (−0.8, 1.6E-08) | −0.6 (−0.9, 1.4E-07) | −0.4 |
| Female versus male                | −0.3 (−0.3, −0.2) | 1.03E-17 | −0.3 (−0.3, −0.2) | 5.60E-17 | −0.3 (−0.4, −0.3) | 9.5E-19 | 0.6 (0.5, 0.7) | 6.3E-25 | 0.6 (0.5, 0.7) | 9.5E-26 | 0.6 (0.5, 0.7) | 8.2E-21 |
| Total fat %                       | −0.3 (−0.4, −0.3) | 2.3E-15 | −0.4 (−0.5, −0.3) | 1.9E-18 | −0.5 (−0.6, −0.4) | 3.3E-22 | 0.5 (0.4, 0.7) | 2.7E-13 | 0.5 (0.3, 0.6) | 2.8E-10 | 0.4 (0.3, 0.6) | 3.9E-07 |
| Total fat mass index, kg/m²        | −0.3 (−0.4, −0.2) | 1.6E-09 | −0.3 (−0.4, −0.2) | 7.0E-09 | −0.3 (−0.4, −0.2) | 1.8E-10 | 0.8 (0.7, 1.0) | 2.0E-27 | 0.8 (0.7, 1.0) | 1.9E-26 | 0.8 (0.7, 1.0) | 1.1E-23 |
| Trunk fat %                       | −0.1 (−0.2, −0.1) | 1.9E-04 | −0.1 (−0.2, −0.1) | 6.9E-04 | −0.2 (−0.2, −0.1) | 3.8E-05 | 0.7 (0.6, 0.8) | 2.1E-28 | 0.7 (0.6, 0.8) | 6.4E-30 | 0.7 (0.6, 0.8) | 8.5E-25 |
| Total fat mass index, kg/m²        | −0.2 (−0.3, −0.2) | 3.8E-11 | −0.2 (−0.3, −0.2) | 1.4E-10 | −0.3 (−0.4, −0.2) | 1.8E-12 | 0.7 (0.5, 0.8) | 2.7E-27 | 0.7 (0.6, 0.8) | 3.7E-28 | 0.7 (0.6, 0.8) | 4.5E-23 |
| Trunk fat mass index, kg/m²        | −0.2 (−0.2, −0.1) | 3.7E-07 | −0.2 (−0.2, −0.1) | 1.5E-06 | −0.2 (−0.3, −0.1) | 4.0E-08 | 0.6 (0.5, 0.8) | 1.5E-29 | 0.7 (0.5, 0.8) | 7.9E-31 | 0.7 (0.5, 0.8) | 2.4E-25 |
| Total fat free mass index, kg/m²   | −0.5 (−0.6, −0.4) | 1.3E-27 | −0.5 (−0.6, −0.4) | 1.2E-26 | −0.6 (−0.7, −0.5) | 1.7E-25 | 0.5 (0.4, 0.7) | 1.3E-10 | 0.5 (0.4, 0.7) | 3.9E-11 | 0.5 (0.3, 0.7) | 1.7E-08 |
| Trunk fat free mass index, kg/m²   | −0.5 (−0.6, −0.4) | 2.2E-30 | −0.5 (−0.6, −0.4) | 7.5E-30 | −0.6 (−0.7, −0.5) | 2.1E-26 | 0.3 (0.2, 0.5) | 5.3E-05 | 0.3 (0.2, 0.5) | 4.1E-05 | 0.3 (0.1, 0.5) | 0.001 |

Model 1: multilevel model adjusts for age, sex, ethnicity and UKBB centre as fixed effects and a random effect for person to allow for repeated images within person (n = 54,714). Model 2 adjust as model 1 plus body mass index, smoking and Townsend deprivation index as fixed effects (n = 54,714). Model 3 adjusts as Model 2 but excludes those with diabetes, heart attack, stroke, on medication for blood pressure or who answered unknown or declined to answer (n = 45,644). For body composition measures absolute difference in vessel width is per standard deviation increase.
and provide evidence of novel associations with retinal tortuosity. Moreover, we show that positive adiposity associations with venular diameter and tortuosity prevail after adjustment for FFMI, and FFMI associations with venular tortuosity are independent of adiposity measures; however, inverse FFMI associations with arteriolar width persist after adjustment for FMI, suggesting different effects of body size and composition on retinal vasculature.

**Retinal tortuosity**

In the present study, we showed a positive association between higher venular tortuosity with increased adiposity (BMI, waist circumference index, waist-hip ratio, F%, FMI [both total and trunk], and lean mass). Total F%, FMI, and FFMI each showed a similar strong association with venular tortuosity, as did BMI. Earlier work has only examined associations with BMI and not with other more detailed measures of body size (9,12). Previous studies have been small (with samples sizes ranging from 167 to 5,947) with some underpowered to detect associations. Associations detected have gone in both directions, with reduction of tortuosity with increased BMI in some and an increase of tortuosity or no association in others (9,11,12,26). In a study by Cheung et al. (12) \( (n = 2,915) \), higher BMI was associated with less tortuous retinal arterioles, with no association evident for venules, the exact opposite of the present study that showed no association with arteriolar tortuosity and a strong positive association with venular tortuosity. It is possible that the sample size in the study by Cheung et al. (12) was too small to precisely quantify the associations that are subtle. In addition, the manual approach for delineating or selecting vessels near the optic disc only for measurement is likely to incur a higher degree of measurement error. East Asians generally have more myopia and a higher degree of myopia. Therefore, axial elongation of the eye will be greater, resulting in a more stretched retina; mechanically, if the retina is stretched, the vessels will as a result be straighter which may limit the potential of changes in vessels in relation to adiposity. In contrast, a study assessing a healthy cohort of adults aged 45 to 75 years showed no association between BMI and tortuosity (either arteriolar or venular) (9), as was the case for a study assessing a population with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) aged 18 to 70 years (26). In the most recent study to assess the association of retinal tortuosity with BMI in older adults (mean age 68 years), the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk Eye study showed increased venular tortuosity was associated with higher BMI (2.5%; 95% CI: 1.7%-3.3% per 5 kg/m\(^2\)), with no evidence of an association for arteriolar tortuosity (11), in agreement with this report. Of interest, arteriolar tortuosity has previously been shown to have a high heritability factor compared with venular tortuosity, suggesting venules are more influenced by systemic changes than arterioles (27). In a study by Kirin et al. (27) the heritability for arteriolar tortuosity was 55%, and for venular tortuosity, it was 21%.

The second novel finding of the present study that body composition measures (total: F%, FMI, and FFMI) were more or as strongly associated with venular tortuosity as BMI, waist circumference index, or waist-hip ratio (Table 2) suggests that venular tortuosity may be affected by overall FM. This finding supports earlier research that had suggested body composition measures (F%, FMI) may better reflect overall body fat distribution than BMI and, therefore, relate more strongly to changes in the microvasculature (28,29). The independent association of venular tortuosity with FFMI (adjusted separately for each body composition measure) suggests that not only markers of adiposity are of potential importance and warrants further investigation.

**Retinal diameters**

The marked difference in associations between adiposity and retinal diameters in arterioles and venules observed in the present study have been reported in previous studies (9,10,11,30,31). Higher BMI and inflammation have generally been associated with wider venules and higher BP and (with less clear results) BMI with arteriolar narrowing (10), consistent with the findings of the present study. Our previous report based on European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk Eye study showed very similar increased venular diameter with higher BMI (0.72 μm; 95% CI: 0.04-1.03 μm per 5 kg/m\(^2\)) but with no evidence of an association for arteriolar diameter (11). BMI, F%, and FMI were more strongly associated with venular
widening in the present study. While stronger associations were evi-
dent for F% and FMI than for BMI, these differences were modest. 
Waist circumference, waist-hip ratio, and FFMI were more strongly 
associated with arteriolar narrowing. This is the first adult study to 
assess these additional measures of body composition (i.e., waist-hip 
ratio, F%, FMI, and FFMI); hence, the observation that these body 
composition associations with retinal vessel diameters vary by mea-
sure has not previously been assessed. Studies in children and adoles-
cence have shown similar results and are consistent with the findings 
of the present study (28). In a study by Xiao et al. (28) (n = 731), 
young people aged 12 to 19 years with higher total FM, total FMI, 
trunk F%, triceps skin fold thickness, and BMI had wider venular 
diameter and narrower arteriolar diameter. A similar association was 
observed in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, 
in which increased FM and BMI at age 11 years were associated 
with wider venular diameter; however, no association was evident for 
arteriolar diameter (31). By modeling FFMI with each of the body 
composition measures, we have shown in the present study that the 
associations between each body composition measure (except waist 
circumference) and arteriolar diameter were confounded by FFMI 
(a measure of body build) and that FFMI remained independently 
associated with arteriolar diameter. This finding needs to be further 
explored in longitudinal data for which causality can be determined.

Mechanistic pathways and clinical implications
While the mechanisms linking different body composition measures 
with changes in retinal diameters and tortuosity are yet to be fully 
established, adipose tissue secretes a range of adipokines that impact 
metabolic and CVD function (31). Adiposity in particular is associated 
with an increased expression of endothelial nicotinamide adenine dinu-
cleotide phosphate oxidase and higher levels of oxidative stress (32). 
Research has shown venular widening is more evident among those 
with high inflammatory and endothelial dysfunction markers (33,34), 
an area that warrants further investigation, particularly for tortuosity. 
Studies have shown that changes in the systemic microvasculature are 
associated with increased adiposity (27). Of great interest, findings 
from our recent work suggest obesity-related microvascular changes are 
reversible after bariatric surgery–induced weight loss, suggesting plastic-
ty of the human microvasculature early in the disease course (35).

Prospective studies have shown utility of retinal vessel diameter pre-
dicting future disease. Meta-analyses have shown that per SD decline 
in arteriolar diameter and per SD rise in venular diameter, the risk of 
stroke, death, hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and coronary heart 
disease increases by approximately 10% to 20% (36,37,38). However, 
evidence of prospective associations with tortuosity have not been pub-
lished. In some instances, our cross-sectional associations with tortuos-
ity are stronger than with vessel diameter; hence, we expect measure of 
tortuosity to be predictive of incident cardiovascular disease and type 2 
diabetes mellitus.

Study strengths and limitations
The strengths of the current study include its large sample size of over 
3.5 million vessel segments from 50,000 participants. The breadth of 
adiposity and body composition measures, unprecedented on this 
scale, allow consistency of associations across different measures 
and mutual independence to be assessed. The current study has some 
limitations. It was cross-sectional, and, therefore, it was not pos-
ible to draw firm conclusions on causal associations, as longitudinal 
analyses would be required. Although the current study did not in-
clude all Biobank study participants, an issue if one were attempting 
to determine prevalence, this study was focused on assessing associa-
tion and would be unaffected using the smaller sample of over 50,000 
participants. Further longitudinal follow-up is warranted using the 
fully automated quantification (QUARTZ) to determine at scale the 
causality of the association.

Conclusion
These observations highlight novel and strong associations between 
retinal venular tortuosity and body composition measures, the indepen-
dent impact of adiposity markers from FFMI, and they provide con-
firmatory evidence of diameter associations and raise the spectra that 
associations with arteriolar diameter and general measures of body ad-
iposity are confounded by lean mass. Importantly, the study is unique in 
showing associations with more detailed measures of body composi-
tion, including assessments of fat and FFMI. However, further longitudi-
inal research is needed to fully elicit causal associations, particularly 
with novel measures of vessel tortuosity, and determine their usefulness 
in cardiometabolic disease risk prediction.

Acknowledgments
This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource 
under Application Number 522. The list of UK Biobank Eye and Vision 
Consortium members is available from the consortium website (http:// 
www.ukbiobankeyeconsortium.org.uk/people).

Funding agencies: This work was supported by British Heart Foundation (BHF) grant 
number PG/15/101/31889.

Disclosures: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Supporting information: Additional Supporting Information may be found in the 
online version of this article.

References
1. GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators; Afshin A, Forouzanfar MH, et al. Health effects 
of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. N Engl J Med 2017;377: 
13-27.
2. Okamura T, Hashimoto Y, Haraguchi M, Obora A, Kojima T, Fukui M. Ectopic fat 
and obesity presents the greatest risk for incident type 2 diabetes: a population-based longi-
dudinal study. Int J Obes (Lond) 2019;43:130-148.
3. Lee DH, Giovannucci EL. Body composition and mortality in the general population: a 
review of epidemiologic studies. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2018;243:1275-1285.
4. Gomez-Ambrosi J, Silva C, Gallofe JC, et al. Body adiposity and type 2 diabetes: in-
creased risk with a high body fat percentage even having a normal BMI. Obesity (Silver 
Spring) 2011;19:1439-1444.
5. Zeng Q, Dong SY, Sun XN, Xie J, Cui Y. Percent body fat is a better predictor of cardio-
vascular risk factors than body mass index. Braz J Med Biol Res 2012;45:591-600.
6. De Lorenzo A, Bianchi A, Maroni P, et al. Adiposity rather than BMI determines meta-
tic risk. Int J Cardiol 2013;166:111-117.
7. Thomas EL, Parkinson JR, Frost GS, et al. The missing risk: MRI and MRS phenotyp-
ing of abdominal adiposity and ectopic fat. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2012;20:76-87.
8. Shankar A, Sahayamagam C, Klein BE, Klein R. Retinal microvascular changes and 
the risk of developing obesity: population-based cohort study. Microcirculation 
2011;18:655-662.
9. Hughes AD, Wong TY, Witt N, et al. Determinants of retinal microvascular architecture 
in normal subjects. Microcirculation 2009;16:159-166.
10. Boilot A, Zongas S, Mitchell P, et al. Obesity and the microvasculature: a systematic 
review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013;8:e52708. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052708
11. Owen CG, Rudnicka AR, Welikala RA, et al. Retinal vasculometry associations with 
cardiometabolic risk factors in the European Prospective Investigation of Cancer 
Norfolk study. Ophthalmology 2018;126:96-106.
12. Cheung CY, Zheng Y, Hsu W, et al. Retinal vascular tortuosity, blood pressure, and 
cardiometabolic risk factors. Ophthalmology 2011;118:812-818.
13. Linn S, Kim KM, Kim MJ, et al. The association of maximum body weight on the de-
velopment of type 2 diabetes and microvascular complications: MAXWEL study. PLoS 
One 2013;8:e80525. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080525

www.obesityjournal.org
Obesity | VOLUME 28 | NUMBER 9 | SEPTEMBER 2020
1759
14. Liew G, Wang JJ, Mitchell P, Wong TY. Retinal vascular imaging: a new tool in microvascular disease research. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008;1:156-161.

15. Nguyen TT, Wang JJ, Islam FM, et al. Retinal arteriolar narrowing predicts incidence of diabetes: the Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle (AusDiab) Study. *Diabetes* 2008;57:536-539.

16. Yip W, Sabanayagam C, Ong PG, et al. Joint effect of early microvascular damage in the eye & kidney on risk of cardiovascular events. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:27442. doi:10.1038/srep27442

17. Cheung CY, Tay WT, Mitchell P, et al. Quantitative and qualitative retinal microvascular characteristics and blood pressure. *J Hypertens* 2011;29:1380-1391.

18. Fraz MM, Welikala RA, Rudnicka AR, Owen CG, Strachan DP, Barman SA. QUARTZ: quantitative analysis of retinal vessel topology and size - an automated system for quantification of retinal vessels morphology. *Expert Syst Appl* 2015;42:7221-7234.

19. Welikala RA, Fraz MM, Foster PJ, et al. Automated retinal image quality assessment on the UK Biobank dataset for epidemiological studies. *Comput Biol Med* 2016;71:67-76.

20. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med* 2016;71:67-76.

21. Chua SYL, Thomas D, Allen N, et al. Cohort profile: design and methods in the eye & kidney on risk of cardiovascular events. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e025077. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-020077

22. Sarkar C, Webster C, Gallacher J. Neighbourhood walkability and incidence of hypertension: Findings from the study of 429,334 UK Biobank participants. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 2018;221:458-468.

23. Welikala RA, Fraz MM, Habib MM, et al. Automated quantification of retinal vessel morphometry in the UK Biobank Cohort. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 Seventh International Conference on Image Processing Theory, Tools and Applications (IPTA 2017)*. Montreal, QC; 2017. doi:10.1109/IPTA.2017.8310108

24. Welikala RA, Foster PJ, Whincup PH, et al. Automated arteriole and venule classification using deep learning for retinal images from the UK Biobank cohort. *Comput Biol Med* 2017;90:23-32.

25. Bennett AG, Rudnicka AR, Edgar DF. Improvements on Littmann’s method of determining the size of retinal features by fundus photography. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1994;232:361-367.

26. Sasongko MB, Wong TY, Nguyen TT, et al. Retinal vessel tortuosity and its relation to traditional and novel vascular risk markers in persons with diabetes. *Curr Eye Res* 2016;41:551-557.

27. Kirin M, Nagy R, MacGillivray TJ, et al. Determinants of retinal microvascular features and their relationships in two European populations. *J Hypertens* 2017;35:1646-1659.

28. Xiao W, Gong WF, Chen QY, Ding XH, Chang B, He MG. Association between body composition and retinal vascular caliber in children and adolescents. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2015;56:705-710.

29. de Jongh RT, Ijzerman RG, Serne EH, et al. Visceral and truncal subcutaneous adipose tissue are associated with impaired capillary recruitment in healthy individuals. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006;91:5100-5106.

30. Sasongko MB, Wong TY, Wang JJ. Retinal arteriolar changes: intermediate pathways linking early life exposures to cardiovascular disease? *Microcirculation* 2010;17:21-31.

31. Tapp RJ, Ness A, Williams C, et al. Differential effects of adiposity and childhood growth trajectories on retinal microvascular architecture. *Microcirculation* 2013;20:609-616.

32. Silver AE, Beske SD, Christou DD, et al. Overweight and obese humans demonstrate increased vascular endothelial NAD(P)H oxidase-p47(phox) expression and evidence of endothelial oxidative stress. *Circulation* 2007;115:627-637.

33. Wong TY, Islam FM, Klein R, et al. Retinal vascular caliber, cardiovascular risk factors, and inflammation: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006;47:2341-2350.

34. Daen V, Carriere I, Kawasaki R, et al. Retinal vascular caliber is associated with cardiovascular biomarkers of oxidative stress and inflammation: the POLA study. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e71089. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071089

35. Viljanen A, Soinio M, Cheung C, et al. Effects of bariatric surgery on retinal microvascular architecture in obese patients. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2017;41:551-557.

36. Sasongko MB, Wong TY, Nguyen TT, et al. Retinal vessel tortuosity and its relation to traditional and novel vascular risk markers in persons with diabetes. *Curr Eye Res* 2016;41:551-557.

37. Kirin M, Nagy R, MacGillivray TJ, et al. Determinants of retinal microvascular features and their relationships in two European populations. *J Hypertens* 2017;35:1646-1659.

38. Xiao W, Gong WF, Chen QY, Ding XH, Chang B, He MG. Association between body composition and retinal vascular caliber in children and adolescents. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2015;56:705-710.