K$^+$ production in proton-nucleus reactions and the role of momentum-dependent potentials
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Abstract. The production of K$^+$ mesons in proton-nucleus collisions from 1.0 to 2.5 GeV is analyzed with respect to one-step nucleon-nucleon (NN → NYK$^+$) and two-step Λ-nucleon (ΛN → K$^+YN$) or pion-nucleon (πN → K$^+Y$) production channels on the basis of a coupled-channel transport approach (CBUU) including the kaon final state interactions. The influence of momentum-dependent potentials for the nucleon, hyperon and kaon in the final state are studied as well as the importance of K$^+$ elastic rescattering in the target nucleus. The transport calculations are compared to the experimental K$^+$ spectra taken at LBL Berkeley, SATURNE, CELESIUS, GSI and COSY-Jülich. It is found that the momentum-dependent baryon potentials effect the excitation function of the K$^+$ cross section; at low bombarding energies of ~1.0 GeV the attractive baryon potentials in the final state lead to a relative enhancement of the kaon yield whereas the net repulsive potential at bombarding energies ~2 GeV causes a decrease of the K$^+$ cross section. Furthermore it is pointed out, that especially the K$^+$ spectra at low momenta (or kinetic energy $T_K$) allow to determine the in-medium K$^+$ potential almost model independently due to a relative shift of the K$^+$ spectra in kinetic energy that arises from the acceleration of the kaons when propagating out of the nuclear medium to free space, i.e. converting potential energy to kinetic energy of the free kaon.

PACS. 13.60.Le Meson production – 13.75.Jz Kaon-baryon interactions – 14.40.Aq Pi, K, and eta mesons – 24.40.-h Nuclear-induced reactions

1 Introduction

The production of mesons heavier than pions in $p + A$ reactions at bombarding energies far below and close to the free nucleon-nucleon threshold is of specific interest [1-3] as one hopes to learn either about cooperative nuclear phenomena and/or about high momentum components of the nuclear many-body wave function that arise from nucleon-nucleon correlations. Especially K$^+$ mesons have been considered as promising hadronic probes [19,20] due to the rather moderate final state interaction, which is a consequence of strangeness conservation and the fact that there are no baryon resonances with anti-strange quarks in nuclei. Anti-hyperons, furthermore, have a much larger production threshold and annihilate very fast in nuclei. On the other hand, the kaon properties might change in nuclei. Anti-hyperons, furthermore, have a much larger production threshold and annihilate very fast in nuclei.

Experiments on K$^+$ production from nucleus-nucleus collisions at SIS energies of 1–2 A GeV have shown that in-medium properties of the kaons are seen in the collective flow pattern of K$^+$ mesons both, in-plane and out-of-plane, as well as in the abundance of antikaons [2]. Thus in-medium modifications of the mesons have become a topic of substantial interest in the last decade triggered in part by the early suggestion of Brown and Rho [2] that the modifications of hadron masses should scale with the scalar quark condensate $< q\bar{q} >$ at finite baryon density.

As demonstrated in the pioneering work of Kaplan and Nelson [21] kaons and antikaons couple attractively to the nuclear medium density with a strength proportional to the $KN - \Sigma$ constant,

$$\Sigma_{KN} = \frac{1}{2}(m_u^0 + m_s^0) < N|\bar{u}u + \bar{s}s|N >, \quad (1)$$

which is not well known at present and may vary from 270 to 450 MeV. In (1) $m_u^0$ and $m_s^0$ denote the bare masses for the light u- and strange s-quark while the expression in the brackets is the expectation value of the scalar light and strange quark condensate for the nucleon [22]. Furthermore, a vector coupling to the quark 4-current – for vanishing spatial components – leads to a repulsive potential term for the kaons; on the other hand this (Weinberg-Tomozawa) term is attractive for the antikaons.
In chiral effective theories the dispersion relation for kaons and antikaons in the nuclear medium – for low momenta – can be written as \[ \omega_K(p_N, p) = \frac{3\rho_N}{f_\pi^2} \]
\[ + \left[ \left( \frac{f_\pi}{f_\pi^{\pi N}} \right)^2 \rho_s + \left( \frac{3\rho_N}{8f_\pi^2m_K} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]
\[ + \left[ \left( \frac{f_\pi^{\pi N}}{f_\pi} \right)^2 \rho_s + \left( \frac{3\rho_N}{8f_\pi^2m_K} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]

In equations (2), (3) \( m_K \) denotes the bare kaon mass, \( f_\pi \approx 93 \text{ MeV} \) is the pion decay constant, while \( \rho_s \) and \( \rho_N \) stand for the scalar and vector nucleon densities, respectively. As shown in Ref. [22], for \( \Sigma_{KN} = 450 \text{ MeV} \) one ends up with an effective kaon potential which is close to zero below ordinary nuclear matter density \( \rho_0 \) and becomes more repulsive above \( \rho_0 \). On the other hand, using \( \Sigma_{KN} = 270 \text{ MeV} \) a repulsive kaon potential of \( \approx 25 \text{ MeV} \) at normal nuclear matter density is obtained. Note, that when extrapolating \( \Sigma_{KN} \) to \( 3\rho_0 \) and above, the antikaon mass becomes very light. Thus antikaon condensates might occur at high baryon density which, furthermore, are of greater interest in the astrophysical context [27, 28, 29].

However, the actual kaon and antikaon self energies (or potentials) are quite a matter of debate – due to higher order terms in the chiral expansion – especially for the antikaon [30, 31, 32] and the momentum-dependence of their self energies is widely unknown (except for a dispersion analysis in Ref. [33]) since most Lagrangian models restrict to s-wave interactions or only include additional p-waves. It is thus mandatory to perform experimental studies of the (anti-) kaon self energies under well controlled conditions, e.g. in proton-nucleus reactions, where one probes the (anti-) kaon self energies at normal nuclear matter density \( \rho_0 \approx 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) and below. Furthermore, by gating on kaon momenta in the laboratory, one might be able to obtain information on the momentum dependence of the self energies, too.

\( K^+ \) production in \( p + A \) collisions at subthreshold energies has been observed experimentally more than a decade ago by Koptev et al. [20] at bombarding energies from 0.8–1.0 GeV. However, only total \( K^+ \) yields could be extracted at that time. Nevertheless, the target-mass dependence of the \( K^+ \) yield (\( \sim A \)) suggested the dominance of two-step reactions with an intermediate pion or K. Detailed folding-model calculations in Refs. [17, 18] essentially came to the same conclusion. First differential \( K^+ \) spectra from \( p + NaF \) and \( p + Pb \) reactions from the LBL Berkeley had been performed at \( T_{lab} = 2.1 \text{ GeV} \) [34], i.e. far above the \( NN \) threshold of 1.58 GeV in free space. Only in more recent years differential \( K^+ \) spectra have been measured down to 1.2 GeV for \( ^{12}C \) targets at 40° [35] (at SATURNE) or 90° in the laboratory [36] (at CELSIUS). Unfortunately, the different experiments have no overlap in acceptance and the interpretation of the data, if compatible at all, remains vague [13]. First data on the full momentum distribution at forward angles have been presented very recently by the ANKE Collaboration at COSY-Jülich [37] for \( K^+ \) mesons from \( p + {^{12}C} \) reactions at 1.0 GeV [38]. These data show a kinematical focussing of the spectra at finite momentum of \( \approx 350 \text{ MeV/c} \), which appears incompatible with the cross section from Ref. [39]. Thus a systematic comparison of all data is urgently needed within an adequate theoretical approach that allows to compare the kinematically restricted data on the same footing.

In this study we use the coupled-channel (CBUU) transport model that has first been developed in Ref. [40, 41] for the description of nucleus-nucleus collisions and later on employed for the simulation of pion- and proton-nucleus reactions [42, 43, 44] too. For applications to \( K^\pm \) production in nucleus-nucleus collisions at SIS energies we refer the reader to Ref. [45]. In this model the effects of momentum-dependent self energies for all hadrons can be studied explicitly as well as their production and propagation in the nuclear medium.

The paper is organized as follows: We briefly recapitulate the ingredients of the CBUU model in Section 2, present the extensions performed in this study and in-对中国科学院物理研究所的贡献。
quasi-particle properties in (8) are defined via the mass-shell constraint
\[
\delta \langle \Pi_\mu \Pi^\mu - M^2 \rangle ,
\]
with effective masses and momenta (in local Thomas-Fermi approximation) given by [43]
\[
M^\mu(x, p) = M_0 + U^\mu_0(x, p) \\
\Pi^\mu(x, p) = p^\mu - U^\mu_0(x, p) ,
\]
while the phase-space factors
\[
f_h(x, p) = 1 \pm f_h(x, p)
\]
are responsible for fermion Pauli-blocking or Bose enhancement, respectively, depending on the type of hadron in the final/initial channel. The dots in Eq. (5) stand for further contributions to the collision term with more than two hadrons in the final/initial channels (cf. Ref. [43]). The transport approach (8) is fully specified by \( U^\mu_0(x, p) \) and \( U_0^\mu(x, p) \) (\( \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)), which determine the mean-field propagation of the hadrons, and by the transition rates \( G^\mu G \delta^\mu(\ldots) \) in the collision term (5), that describes the scattering and hadron production/absorption rates.

The scalar and vector mean fields \( U^\mu_0 \) and \( U_0^\mu \) for nucleons are modeled as in Ref. [43], however, slightly modified in line with Ref. [44]. In Fig. 1 the real part of the Schrödinger equivalent potential (SEP) for nucleons,
\[
U_{SEP}(p) = \Pi^0(p) - \sqrt{p^2 + M_0^2},
\]
is shown at density \( \rho_0 \) (full line) as a function of the momentum \( p \) relative to the nuclear matter at rest. Whereas we see a net attraction for momenta \( p \lesssim 0.6 \text{ GeV}/c \), the nucleon potential becomes repulsive for higher momenta and reaches a maximum repulsion at \( p \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c \). We mention that at density \( \rho_0 \) the Schrödinger equivalent potential \( U_{SEP} \) compares well with the potential from the data analysis of Hama et al. [44] as well as Dirac-Brueckner computations from [48] up to a kinetic energy \( E_{kin} \) of 1 GeV [44].

Apart from the nuclear potentials each charged hadron additionally moves in the background of the Coulomb potential that is generated by the charged hadrons themselves. In case of proton-nucleus reactions – with the nucleus at rest – this essentially amounts to a Coulomb acceleration in the final state for positively charged hadrons. Note, that for heavy nuclei like \( \text{Pb} \) or \( \text{Au} \) the Coulomb potential in the nuclear interior is about \( +20 \text{ MeV} \), i.e. of the same order of magnitude as the ‘expected’ repulsive \( K^\mp \) nuclear potential.

The hyperon mean fields, furthermore, are assumed to be \( 2/3 \) of the nucleon potentials. In the present approach, apart from nucleons, \( \Delta, N(1440), N(1535), \Lambda, \Sigma \) with their isospin degrees of freedom, we propagate explicitly pions, \( K^+, K^- \), and \( \eta \)'s and assume that the pions as Goldstone bosons do not change their properties in the medium; we also discard self energies for the \( \eta \)-mesons which have a minor effect on the kaon dynamics. The kaon and antikaon potentials, however, have to be specified explicitly.

\[\text{Fig. 1. The nucleon potential (solid line) at density } \rho_0 \text{ as a function of momentum relative to the nuclear matter at rest as used in the CBUU transport approach. The hatched area denotes the } K^+ \text{ potential at } \rho_0 \text{ (within the uncertainties) from the dispersion analysis in Ref. [33].}\]

2.1 \( K^+, K^- \) self energies

Apart from [3], there is a couple of models for the kaon and antikaon selfenergies [27, 29], which differ in the actual magnitude, however, agree on the relative signs for kaons and antikaons. Thus in line with the kaon-nucleon scattering amplitude the \( K^+ \) potential should be slightly repulsive at finite baryon density whereas the antikaon should see an attractive potential in the nuclear medium. Without going into a detailed discussion of the various models (cf. Ref. [26] and figures therein) we adopt the more practical point of view, that the actual \( K^+ \) and \( K^- \) self energies are unknown and as a guide for our analysis use a linear extrapolation of the form,
\[
m_K^\mu(\rho_B, p) = m_K^0 \left(1 + \alpha(p) \frac{\rho_B}{\rho_0}\right),
\]
with \( \alpha(p) \) denoting a momentum-dependent coefficient. Following the dispersion analysis of Sibirtsev et al. [33] the coefficients \( \alpha(p) \) can be modelled in line with the \( K^+ \) potentials from Fig. 9 of [33], the resulting kaon potential \( U_{K^+} \) at density \( \rho_0 \) is shown in Fig. 1 in terms of the hatched area and remains repulsive for all momenta considered. In the momentum range of interest in this study, i.e. from \( 0.1 - 1.0 \text{ GeV}/c \), the \( K^+ \) potential at density \( \rho_0 \) may be approximately represented by a constant of
The calculation of 'subthreshold' particle production has to be treated perturbatively in the energy regime of interest due to the small cross sections involved. Since we work within the parallel ensemble algorithm, each parallel run of the transport calculation can be considered approximately as an individual reaction event, where binary reactions in the entrance channel at given invariant energy \( \sqrt{s} \) lead to final states with 2 (e.g. \( K^+Y \) in \( \pi B \) channels), 3 (e.g. for \( K^+YN \) channels in \( BB \) collisions) or 4 particles (e.g. \( KKN \) in \( BB \) collisions) with a relative weight \( P_i \) for each event \( i \) which is defined by the ratio of the production cross section to the total hadron-hadron cross section. We thus dynamically gate on all events where a \( K^+Y \) or \( K^+K^- \) pair is produced individually. Each strange hadron production event \( i \) is represented by \( \sim 10^3 \) test-particles for the final strange hadron \( j \) with individual weight \( W_j^i \) such that the sum of the weights \( W_j^i \) over \( j \) reproduces the individual production probability \( P_i \) and the distribution in momenta (multiplied with the \( NN \) or \( \pi N \) cross section) describes the differential production cross section. Then the 'perturbative' hadrons are propagated according to the Hamilton equations of motion including the potentials. Elastic and inelastic reactions with pions, \( \eta \)'s or nonstrange baryons are computed in the standard way.

The final differential cross sections are obtained by multiplying each test-particle weight \( W_j^i \) by the total inelastic \( pA \) cross section and gaging on the experimental acceptance of the different detectors. In this way one achieves a realistic simulation of the strangeness production, propagation and reabsorption during the proton-nucleus collision with sufficient statistics to allow for selective cuts also at the low bombarding energy of 1.0 GeV, where the total \( K^+ \) cross section is in the order of 1 \( \mu b \) (for \( Au \)) or below (for \( ^{12}C \)).

2.3 Elementary cross sections

For the present study the production of pions by \( pN \) collisions in \( p + A \) reactions as well as the total kaon cross sections in \( pN \) and \( \pi N \) collisions are of relevance. The pion production cross section from \( NN \) interactions is based on the parametrization of the experimental data by Ver West and Arndt \[39\] and implemented in the transport model as described in Ref. \[33\]. The cross sections for the channels \( \pi N \rightarrow KY \), where \( Y \) stands for a hyperon \( \Lambda, \Sigma \), are taken from the analysis of Huang et al. \[51\] and essentially correspond to the experimental data for the different \( \pi N \) channels in vacuum (or 'free' space). Note, that in addition to our early studies in \[17,18\] the channels with a \( \Sigma \) hyperon are taken into account. All cross sections are reparametrized as a function of the invariant energy above threshold \( \sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s_0} \) \[22\], where \( \sqrt{s_0} \) denotes the threshold for the individual channel given by the sum of the hadron masses in the final state of the reaction.

Whereas the production cross section of kaons from \( pN \) collisions close to threshold has been essentially unknown about a decade ago, the ambiguity in this cross section has been resolved experimentally by now \[32\] and more adequate parametrizations of the cross sections can be employed. The experimental data from Refs. \[17,18\] on the \( pp \rightarrow K^+ + X \) reactions are displayed in Fig. 2 in comparison to the current approximation (solid line) and the estimate from Zwermann \[52\] (dotted line) used earlier \[17,18\]. Thus the problem of 'free' cross sections for \( pN \) collisions is sufficiently under control. For \( \Delta N \) collisions, however, no experimental data are available. We use the same cross section as a function of the invariant energy \( \sqrt{s} \) as in the \( pN \) case keeping in mind this basic uncertainty.

The question arises, furthermore, about the in-medium production cross sections - essentially at density \( \rho_0 \) - if potentials or self energies are involved. Here we employ the assumption that the production matrix element squared \( |M|^2 \) does not change in the medium and the change of cross section can be described by a change of the available phase space. This assumption has been employed for years in studies of hadron mass modifications in nucleus-nucleus collisions \[22\]. Since the experimental production cross sections essentially are proportional to the available phase space, it is sufficient to shift the threshold in a \( pN \) collision to

\[
\sqrt{s_0} = \Pi_N^p(p_N) + \Pi_{\Lambda}^p(p_{\Lambda}) + \Pi_K^p(p_K)
\]
using $\left[ 5 \right]$, where the momenta $p_N, p_A, p_K$ denote the relative momenta with respect to the nuclear matter rest frame.

2.4 Folding model and its limitations

We briefly recall the assumptions of the folding model, that is used for the evaluation of momentum-dependent differential production probabilities from secondary pion-nucleon collisions in the CBUU approach and is described in more detail for proton-nucleus reactions in $\left[ 5, 53, 54 \right]$. The underlying picture is that the pion impinging on a nucleus at a bombarding energy $T_{lab} > 400$ MeV is producing a meson $x$ with momentum $k_x$ only in the first collision due to the available energy in the reaction. The Lorentz-invariant differential cross section to produce a meson in a primary proton-nucleon ($pN$) collision is given by $\left[ 55 \right]$

$$
\left( E_x \frac{d^3\sigma_x}{d^3k_x} \right)_{prim.} = \int d^3p d\omega \left( E_x \frac{d^3\sigma_x(\sqrt{s})}{d^3k_x} \right) S(p, \omega), \tag{12}
$$

where the Pauli-blocking factor for the final nucleon states is neglected since kinematically the nucleons end up in an unoccupied regime in momentum space at the bombarding energies of interest. In eq. (12) $S(p, \omega)$ stands for the target spectral function (normalized to 1) which can be taken from experiment, e.g. Ref. $\left[ 57 \right]$, or parametrized accordingly. In eq. (12) the primed indices denote meson momenta in the individual nucleon-nucleon cms frame which have to be Lorentz-transformed to the detection frame. The Lorentz-invariant differential cross section per NN collision is obtained from (12) when dividing by the total NN cross section.

In order to obtain the inclusive differential kaon cross section in a $p + A$ reaction within the folding model one has to multiply the differential cross section in (12) by the number of first-chance collisions $N_1(A)$. This number is approximately given by the area of the target divided by the $pN$ cross section, i.e. $N_1(A) \approx \pi R^2_{target}/\sigma_{pN}$. To be more accurate one can use Glauber theory which leads to $N_1 \approx 7.3$ for $p + ^{12}C \left[ 58, 59 \right]$. The contributions to the $K^+$ yield from secondary or further sequential $NN$ collisions is discarded in the folding model at subthreshold energies due to the energy straggling of the impinging proton and due to the fact that already the first-chance collisions only give a minor contribution to the $K^+$ yield observed experimentally. Note, however, that within the CBUU approach employed here the number of collisions is calculated dynamically and thus no assumption or separate model for $N_1(A)$ has to be invoked.

Apart from the primary reaction channels described above, the first NN collision may also lead to the excitation of a $\Delta$- resonance or even higher baryon resonances (e.g. $N(1440), N(1535) \ldots$) which decay to nucleons and essentially pions due to their short lifetimes of $\approx 1$ fm/c or collide with another nucleon before decaying. The $\Delta N$ or resonance-nucleon reactions are treated in the CBUU approach dynamically as described before. Energetic secondary pion-nucleon collisions, however, suffer from very low statistics in transport simulations of $p + A$ reactions. On the other hand, their contribution to the kaon yield is expected to be high at subthreshold energies $\left[ 13 \right]$. We thus implement the secondary pion-nucleon production channels for kaons following concepts of the folding model.

The differential cross section to produce a $K^+$ meson in a collision of an on-shell pion with a nucleon from the target at invariant energy $\sqrt{s}$ then is given by

$$
\left( E_K \frac{d^3\sigma_K}{d^3k} \right)_{sec} = \int d^3p d\omega \frac{d^3k_x}{\sigma_{tot}} S(p, \omega) g_\pi(A) \tag{14}
$$

where $\sigma_{tot}$ denotes the total nucleon spectral function $S(p, \omega)$ and the invariant production cross section, i.e.

$$
\left( E_K \frac{d^3\sigma_K}{d^3k} \right)_{sec} = \int d^3p d\omega \frac{d^3k_x}{\sigma_{tot}} S(p, \omega) g_\pi(A) \tag{14}
$$

In Eq. (14) the single prime indices denote the system of the intermediate pion and a target nucleon. Moreover, $E_p d^3\sigma_{pN \rightarrow pX(\sqrt{s})}/d^3k_x$ stands for the $\pi$-meson differential cross section, which is calculated in line with (12), while $\sigma_{tot}$ denotes the total nucleon-proton cross section. The factor $g_\pi(A)$ in (14) accounts for the probability that the pion interacts again with a target nucleon (cf. $\left[ 52 \right]$). Note, that by Eq. (14) the intermediate pion is assumed to be on-shell which might not hold for deep subthreshold kaon production. As in case of the primary channel the expression (14) has to be multiplied by $N_1(A)$ in the folding model. Furthermore, some estimate for the secondary rescattering probability $g_\pi(A)$ has to be employed in the folding model as e.g. described in Ref. $\left[ 60 \right]$. As mentioned before, when using the differential probabilities in a perturbative transport approach, the pion rescattering probabilities are calculated dynamically without approximation on $g_\pi(A)$.

The one- and two-step folding model has been used often in the analysis of kaon or $\eta$-meson production $\left[ 15, 18, 53, 56, 64 \right]$ initially employing parametrized momentum distributions (cf. Refs. $\left[ 14, 33 \right]$) instead of spectral functions. They allow for an estimate of differential cross sections in case of weakly interacting probes and may serve as reference calculations for more involved simulations em-
ploying all initial and final state interactions during the reaction as the CBUU approach employed here.

\[ p + Pb \rightarrow K^+ + X \] @1.5 GeV

![Comparison of the differential $K^+$ spectra for $p + Pb$ at 1.5 GeV at different angles in the laboratory from 15° - 80°. The solid lines are obtained from CBUU calculations including kaon rescattering whereas the dashed lines are without rescattering.](image)

2.5 $K^+$ rescattering

As indicated above, the folding model is useful for the evaluation of total $K^+$ yields, however, becomes questionable in case of differential spectra especially for heavy targets like $Au$ or $Pb$ since kaon elastic rescattering cannot be described in a straightforward manner. In order to show the influence of $K^+$ rescattering on the $K^+$ spectra at different angles we show in Fig. 3 a comparison of our CBUU calculations with (solid lines) and without (dashed lines) kaon rescattering for $p + Pb$ at 1.5 GeV. As can be seen from Fig. 3 the $K^+$ yield in forward direction ($\theta \leq 15^\circ$) is reduced by up to a factor of 2, while for large angles in the laboratory ($80^\circ$) the kaon spectra become enhanced and also shifted to lower momenta in the laboratory. Thus rescattering has to be included as a necessary ingredient for the calculations if comparisons to differential spectra are made on an absolute scale and especially, if one attempts to extract kaon potentials from the spectral shape (see below). We note that kaon rescattering thus will always be included in the calculations to be shown in the following. Furthermore, we mention that the results from the folding model (12), (14) agree with the resulting spectra from the CBUU calculation for $p + Pb$ and $p + C$ at 1.5 GeV within 30% when neglecting final state interactions as well as nuclear and Coulomb potentials.

3 Comparison to experimental data

In this Section we compare our calculations to the experimental $K^+$ spectra available from 1.0 GeV – 2.5 GeV bombarding energy on different targets. In order to have an identical assignment of lines in this section the dotted lines in Figs. 4 - 9 correspond to CBUU calculations without baryon and kaon potentials, the dashed lines show the results with baryon potentials included while the solid lines reflect calculations including both, nucleon and kaon potentials as specified in Fig. 1. In all calculations, however, the Coulomb potential will be included by default.

We start in Fig. 4 with a comparison to the data of the KaoS/SPES3 Collaboration for the differential $K^+$ spectra for $p + Pb$ and $p + C$ at 1.5 GeV and $\theta_{lab} = 40 \pm 5^\circ$ from SATURNE (12). The experimental spectra for the $Pb$ target are seen to be described roughly within the error bars for all calculations, i.e. with and without potentials, such that one is not very sensitive to in-medium potentials at 1.5 GeV in the momentum range above 350 MeV/c. For lower kaon momenta the repulsive $K^+$ potential leads to a sizeable decrease (or shift) in the spectra which can be attributed to the additional acceleration of the kaons by the nuclear $K^+$ potential when propagating from the nuclear interior to the vacuum. In case of the $^{12}C$ target the effects from the momentum-dependent nucleon potentials as well as from the $K^+$ potential are similar to the $Pb$ target. It both cases the calculations without potentials (dotted lines) slightly overestimate the data, but it is not possible to draw already some conclusion on the actual size of the $K^+$ potential since a single comparison might suffer from systematic errors.

In Figs. 5 and 6 we compare the CBUU calculations for the differential $K^+$ spectra for $p + Pb$ and $p + NaF$ at 2.1 GeV with the experimental data from the LBL Berkeley (13) for different laboratory angles from 15 - 80°. At this bombarding energy the nucleon and $\Lambda$ final momenta on average are above 0.6 GeV/c such that their potentials at finite density (cf. Fig. 1) are repulsive. As a consequence the calculated kaon yield decreases when including the baryon potentials in the final state. Taking into account additionally the repulsive $K^+$ potential decreases essentially the spectrum for momenta below 250 MeV/c, but leaves the spectrum practically unmodified for higher momenta since the relative change of the $K^+$ energy by the kaon potential is only small.
In all approximations the experimental spectra are underestimated by factors $\sim 2 - 3$ at $15^\circ$ and $35^\circ$, which at first sight might be attributed to an improper energy dependence of the calculations. However, a comparison of the CBUU calculations for $p + Au$ with the preliminary data from Ref. $[54]$ (taken at GSI) and $p + C$ at 2.5 GeV and $\theta_{lab} = 40 \pm 5^\circ$ with the experimental data from SATURNE $[35]$ in Fig. 4 shows that these spectra are overestimated by up to a factor of 2-3 at higher kaon momenta. Note, that the corresponding data from Ref. $[54]$ so far have to be considered as preliminary. These findings suggest that the spectra from Ref. $[34]$ are systematically too high by about factors of $2 - 3$ or the data from the KaoS Collaboration too low (by about the same factor).

To further test the (over-) underprediction of the transport model we show in Fig. 5 a comparison of the CBUU calculations for the differential $K^+$ spectra for $p + C$ at 1.2 GeV with the experimental data from Ref. $[34]$ at $\theta_{lab} = 90^\circ$ (open circles) taken at CELSIUS and $\theta_{lab} = 40 \pm 5^\circ$ (full squares) from the KaoS/SPES3 Collaboration taken at SATURNE. In this particular case the spectra from Ref. $[34]$ are slightly overestimated by the calculations when neglecting the kaon potential while the spectra from Ref. $[36]$ at $90^\circ$ are underestimated by about a factor of 5-6 when neglecting the repulsive $K^+$ potential and by about an order of magnitude when the nucleon potential effects are included. Contrary to the kinematical situation at $T_{lab} = 2.1$ (or 1.5) GeV the nucleon and $\Lambda$ final momenta here on average are below 0.6 GeV/c, where the potentials are attractive (cf. Fig. 1), such that the $K^+$ production becomes enhanced (dashed lines) relative to the free case (dotted lines). When including additionally the overall repulsive kaon potential the $K^+$ spectrum drops again (solid lines).

We now turn to the kinematical conditions of the ANKE experiments at COSY-Jülich $[37]$, that have taken $K^+$ spectra in forward direction for $\theta_{lab} \leq 12^\circ$. The calcu-

---

Fig. 4. Comparison of the CBUU calculations for the differential $K^+$ spectra for $p + Pb$ and $p + C$ at 1.5 GeV and $\theta_{lab} = 40 \pm 5^\circ$ with the experimental data from Ref. $[34]$. The dotted lines are obtained from CBUU calculations without baryon and kaon potentials, the dashed lines show the results with baryon potentials included while the solid lines correspond to calculations including both, nucleon and kaon potentials. Note, that the effect of the repulsive kaon potential is a reduction of the total $K^+$ yield as well as a shift of the spectra at lower momenta.

Fig. 5. Comparison of the CBUU calculations for the differential $K^+$ spectra for $p + Pb$ at 2.1 GeV with the experimental data from Ref. $[34]$ at different angles in the laboratory. The dotted lines are obtained from CBUU calculations without baryon and kaon potentials, the dashed lines show the results with baryon potentials included while the solid lines correspond to calculations including both, nucleon and kaon potentials.
The shaded area in Fig. 9 indicates the contributions from the two-step mechanisms \( \Delta N \to K^+YN \) and \( \pi N \to K^+Y \), respectively, for the case of nucleon and kaon potentials included (solid line). Thus the role of secondary (\( \Delta \) and pion induced) reaction channels is clearly visible from Fig. 9 by comparing the shaded area to the solid line, that correspond to the total spectra. At \( T_{lab} = 1.0 \) GeV the dominant fraction of the \( K^+ \) yield is due to the secondary channels in line with the earlier calculations in Refs. [17][18]. Consequently, one does not probe high momentum components of the nuclear wave function by \( K^+ \) spectra in a direct way.

Without explicit representation we mention that at \( T_{lab} = 2.3 \) GeV the secondary channels in case of a \( Au \) target amount to about 30% and in case of a \( C \) target to less than 20%. This relative change with target mass number is attributed to the fact that for the small \( 12C \) target only a fraction of the high energy pions rescatters in the target and produces \( K^+Y \) pairs. Moreover, the role of the secondary channels decreases with increasing kaon momentum such that the high momentum \( K^+ \) tail of the spectra is dominated by the first chance \( pN \) production channel as found out before by Paryev [56].
Furthermore, it is worth to point out that the contribution of the primary channel $pN \rightarrow K^+YN$ is enhanced by up to a factor of 3 within the angular range of $\theta \leq 12^0$ as compared to the angle integrated yield at the energy $T_{lab} = 1.0$ GeV. Thus the experimental mass dependence, when expressed in terms of a scaling $\sim A^\alpha$, does not allow to disentangle the relative contribution of the different reaction channels in a satisfying manner for narrow cuts in the $K^+$ angular distribution.

In order to provide some guideline for extrapolations between experiments measuring $K^+$ spectra at different angles in the laboratory we show in Fig. 8 the angular distribution of the kaons for momenta $0.2 \text{ GeV/c} \leq p_K \leq 0.5 \text{ GeV/c}$ as calculated within the CBUU approach for both, baryon and kaon potentials for $p + ^{12}C$ at $T_{lab} = 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 1.8, 2.0$ and $2.3 \text{ GeV}$. These angular distributions are rather flat within the angular acceptance of the ANKE spectrometer of $\sim 12^0$, however, drop substantially for angles larger than $40^0$. Thus our calculations (cf. also Fig. 8) do not support the idea of a 'thermal' production mechanism for kaons in case of $p + A$ reactions.

4 Summary

In this work we have studied the production of $K^+$ mesons in proton-nucleus collisions from 1.0 to 2.5 GeV with respect to one-step nucleon-nucleon and two-step $\Delta$-nucleon or pion-nucleon production channels on the basis of a coupled-channel transport approach (CBUU). We have included the kaon final state interactions, which are important for heavy targets like $Au$ or $Pb$, and explored the effects of momentum-dependent potentials for the nucleon, hyperon and kaon in the nucleus. A comparison of the transport calculations to the experimental $K^+$ spectra taken at LBL Berkeley, SATURNE, CELSIUS, GSI and COSY-Jülich has shown that the different data sets are not compatible with each other. Thus no clear signal on in-medium potentials could be extracted from our analysis in comparison to experimental data so far.

However, the detailed calculations demonstrate that precise and complete spectra show a substantial sensitivity to the potentials and their momentum dependence. At low bombarding energies of $\sim 1.0$ GeV the net attrac-
The shape of the spectrum for low $K$ momentum shift in the forward direction when leaving the nuclear environment and pseudo-kaon potentials, since the kaons are accelerated by both Coulomb and nuclear forces when varying the target momentum. Therefore, the square root of the sum of both potentials, $\sqrt{T_{\text{lab}}}$, is important when comparing kaon spectra from light and heavy targets at the same bombarding energy [62] as a function of the excitation function of the final state lead to a relative enhancement of the kaon production in proton-nucleus reactions and the role of momentum-dependent potentials.}

For Ref. [38] this finding opens up interesting perspectives for the ANKE Collaboration at COSY-Jülich, which has performed a systematic study of $K^+$ production in $p + A$ reactions down to momenta of 150 MeV/c in the laboratory or $T_K \approx 23$ MeV, respectively.
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