A HIGH OBLIQUITY ORBIT FOR THE HOT-JUPITER HATS-14B TRANSITING A 5400 K STAR
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ABSTRACT

We report a spin-orbit misalignment for the hot-Jupiter HATS-14b, measuring a projected orbital obliquity of $|\lambda| = 76° \pm 3°$. HATS-14b orbits a high metallicity, 5400 K G dwarf in a relatively short period orbit of 2.8 days. This obliquity was measured via the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect, obtained with observations from Keck-HIRES. The velocities were extracted using a novel technique, optimised for low signal-to-noise spectra, achieving a high precision of 4 m s$^{-1}$ point-to-point scatter. However, we caution that our uncertainties may be underestimated. Due to the low rotational velocity of the star, the detection significance is dependent on the $v \sin i$ prior that is imposed in our modelling.

Based on trends observed in the sample of hot Jupiters with obliquity measurements, it has been suggested that these planets modify the spin axes of their host stars, with an efficiency that depends on the stellar type and orbital period of the system. In this framework, short-period planets around stars with surface convective envelopes, like HATS-14b, are expected to have orbits that are aligned with the spin axes of their host stars. HATS-14b, however, is a significant outlier from this trend, challenging the effectiveness of the tidal realignment mechanism.

The proposed tidal realignment mechanism is not yet well understood.\textsuperscript{12} Albrecht et al. (2012) estimated relative tidal realignment timescales of the planetary systems by calibrating the tidal efficiencies of binary systems hosting radiative and convective stars. To prevent the planets from spiraling into the star, Lai (2012) invoked significantly different stellar tidal $Q$ values governing tidal circularisation and obliquity damping. Models
HATS-14b (Mancini et al. 2015) is a 1.1 $M_{\text{Jup}}$, 1.0 $R_{\text{Jup}}$ transiting hot-Jupiter orbiting G7V star with a period of 2.8 days, discovered by the HATSouth survey (Bakos et al. 2013). The stellar rotation is fast enough that its RM signal is detectable with high precision radial velocity measurements. In this paper, we present in-transit spectroscopy showing that the orbital plane of HATS-14b is significantly misaligned with the stellar rotation axis.

2. KECK-HIRES OBSERVATIONS OF THE RM EFFECT

We observed the spectroscopic transit of HATS-14b on 2015-06-26, from 10:57 – 14:45 UT, using the High Resolution Echelle Spectrometer (HIRES, Vogt et al. 1994) on the Keck-I telescope. A total of 12 observations were made, 7 of which are in transit. The observations span from ~ 1 hour before ingress, ending at egress due to the onset of morning twilight. The observations were performed in the standard configuration, with a slit width set to 0.86″, resulting in a spectral resolution of $\lambda/\Delta \lambda \approx 55000$. The iodine gas absorption cell was used for all the observations. Each exposure was 1200 s in length. The conditions were clear, and the target remained above airmass 1.8 throughout the observations.

Traditionally, radial velocities from iodine superimposed spectra require an iodine-free spectral template from a separate, higher signal-to-noise observation. At $V_{\text{max}} = 13.8$, HATS-14 is a relatively faint target for precise radial velocities. As such, the velocities were derived using a synthetic spectral template, instead of a high signal-to-noise iodine-free observation, based on the techniques developed in Fulton et al. (2013). The synthetic template was generated by interpolating spectral models from Coelho (2014) to the atmospheric parameters of HATS-14 from Mancini et al. (2015). The velocities were then measured as per Butler et al. (1996). The synthetic spectrum offers a noise-free template; an equivalent iodine-free observation would have consumed significant telescope time. This novel technique has already delivered high precision multi-epoch radial velocities to enable planet discoveries (e.g. KELT-8b, HATS-8b: Fulton et al. 2013, Bayliss et al. 2015), but this HATS-14b RM observation offers the first continuous time-series test of the technique. We note the brightness of HATS-14 is similar to that of HATS-8 ($V_{\text{max}} = 14.03$), showing the synthetic template technique consistently works well on fainter targets. The radial velocities are listed in Table 1 and plotted in Figure 1.

3. RESULTS FROM GLOBAL MODELLING

To derive the spin-orbit angle and associated uncertainties, we perform a full global modelling of all available observations for HATS-14b. In addition to the Keck-HIRES velocities, this also includes the observations from Mancini et al. (2015): the HATSouth $R$ band discovery light curves, a full transit light curve in $Rc$ band from the 0.3 m PEST, simultaneous $g$, $r$, $i$, and $z$ band full transit light curves from GROND on the 2.2 m MPG telescope, and radial velocities of the spectroscopic orbit from FEROS on the 2.2 MPG telescope, and Coralie
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**Figure 1.** Relative radial velocities from Keck-HIRES for the RM effect of HATS-14b. The red line plots the best fit RM model. The pink region shows the zone where 68% of the model solutions reside. The expected RM signal from a spin-orbit aligned geometry ($\lambda = 0^\circ$), with the same system parameters as HATS-14b, is plotted by the dashed blue line for reference. The top panel plots the observed velocities, the middle panel shows the velocities with the best fit linear trend removed, and the bottom panel shows the velocity residuals from the best fit model.
on the 1.2m Euler telescope.

The RM effect is modelled using the ARoME library [Boué et al. 2013], which provides an analytic model for iodine-derived velocities. The projected spin-orbit angle \( \lambda \), line-of-sight stellar rotational velocity \( v \sin i \) were free parameters that defined the RM model. A linear trend was also fitted for the slope of the transit radial velocities, this allows us to account for the effects of velocity jitter on a continuous set of observations, but also removes any constraints the Keck-HIRES velocities on the spectroscopic orbit [Albrecht et al. 2012a]. Following Fulton et al. (2013), we adopted a fixed line broadening of \( \beta = 3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) to account for the HIRES instrumental broadening and stellar micro-turbulence effects. We also adopted a fixed macro-turbulence velocity of \( \zeta = 3.43 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), calculated for HATS-14b as per Valenti & Fischer (2005). The limb darkening coefficients were fixed to those interpolated from Claret (2000), calculated for the photometric V band, which corresponds to the iodine-affected region of the observed spectra. In addition, we also allow for a linear trend to the Keck-HIRES velocities in the global fit. Since the RM amplitude is relatively low, we also include the influence of stellar surface convective blueshift in the model as per Shporer & Brown (2011). We assume a surface blueshift velocity of 300 m s\(^{-1}\), resulting in an in-transit distortion of 2 m s\(^{-1}\) at maximum.

We also simultaneously fit for the transit and spectroscopic orbit of HATS-14b. Since the RM signal is dependent on the timing and shape of the transit light curve, this is the only way to ensure the uncertainties and degeneracies in the system parameters are properly propagated. The transit light curves are modelled using a modified version of the JKTEBOP code [Popper & Etzel 1988; Southworth et al. 2004], and the radial velocities are fitted assuming circular orbits. The free parameters introduced are orbital period \( P \), reference transit time \( t_0 \), planet-star radius ratio \( R_p/R_* \), normalised radius sum \( (R_*/R_p)/(a) \), line-of-sight inclination \( \text{inc} \), and radial velocity orbital semi-amplitude \( K \). We also fit for a dilution factor in the HATSouth discovery light curves, to account for biases introduced in the light curve detrending process that can reduce the apparent transit depth. The limb darkening coefficients for the HATSouth discovery and follow-up light curves were fixed to those adopted by Mancini et al. (2015).

The best fit parameters and associated uncertainties are derived using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis, using the affine invariant ensemble sampler emcee [Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013]. The per-point uncertainties of each dataset are inflated, where necessary, such that the reduced \( \chi^2 \) is at unity. This allows us to account for potentially underestimated measurement uncertainties, such as systematic effects, in the observations. The inflation of radial velocity uncertainties is equivalent to adding a jitter term to the radial velocity fit. For the Keck-HIRES velocities, the per-point uncertainties were inflated by a factor of 1.2. A Gaussian prior of \( 3.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) is applied to \( v \sin i \). Uniform priors are assumed for all other parameters. Since the transit geometry is very well constrained by the light curves, the convective blueshift model is fixed throughout the MCMC analysis to that of the best fit geometry, increasing the computation speed.

We find a best fit misalignment angle of \( |\lambda| = 76.7^{\pm 5} \). The full set of parameter solutions are listed in Table 2, and the RM model is plotted in Figure 1. The posterior probability distribution for the dependence of \( |\lambda| \) on \( v \sin i \) is plotted in Figure 2.

We note that, due to the slow rotation rate of HATS-14, our largest source of systematic uncertainty in the spin-orbit angle is in the \( v \sin i \) prior. The significance of our obliquity detection is a strong function of the \( v \sin i \) prior imposed: for a prior of \( v \sin i = 2.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), the HATS-14b orbit is oblique at 3\( \sigma \) significance, while for a prior of \( v \sin i = 5.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), the orbit is oblique at 19\( \sigma \) significance.

To test the influence of the \( v \sin i \) Gaussian prior on the derived parameters, we re-run the analysis allowing for a uniform prior on the parameter, deriving \( |\lambda| = 77.7_{-11}^{+7} \) and \( v \sin i = 2.3_{-0.7}^{+0.7} \text{ km s}^{-1} \); consistent, but larger in uncertainties, with the reported values above. Removing the convective blueshift model in our fit did not bias our results, with \( |\lambda| = 76.7^{\pm 5} \) and \( v \sin i = 2.9_{-0.5}^{+0.5} \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

Since the broadening parameters \( \beta \) and \( \zeta \) affect the shape of the RM model, we also considered their influence on the \( |\lambda| \) solution by setting them free, with uniform priors, in the MCMC fit. However, these values

### Table 2

| Parameter | Value \(^a\) |
|-----------|-------------|
| **Free parameters from global fit** | |
| Period (days) | 2.766764^{+0.000003}_{-0.0000002} |
| \( t_0 \) (BJD) | 2456408.7646^{+0.00003}_{-0.00002} |
| \((R_*/R_p)/a\) | 0.126^{+0.001}_{-0.001} |
| \( R_p/R_* \) | 0.1143^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007} |
| inc (°) | 89.0^{+0.3}_{-0.4} |
| HATSouth dilution factor | 0.06^{+0.03}_{-0.03} |
| \( K \) (m s\(^{-1}\)) | 158^{+11}_{-10} |
| | 76^{+15}_{-5} |
| \( v \sin i \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) \(^b\) | 3.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5} |

\(^{a}\) Values are given for the median of the distribution, uncertainties cover the 68% confidence region.

\(^{b}\) A Gaussian prior of \( 3.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) is applied.

\(^{c}\) Selected parameters, updated from Mancini et al. (2013) with improved analysis of FEROS data as per Brahm et al. (2013).
are badly constrained and largely degenerate, arriving at $\beta = 6^{+2}_{-3}$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\zeta = 3^{+3}_{-2}$ km s$^{-1}$. The resulting solutions for obliquity and projected rotational velocity were consistent with that of the $\beta$ and $\zeta$ fixed models, with $|\lambda| = 73^{+6}_{-9}$ and $v \sin i = 3.0^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$ km s$^{-1}$. We also checked for rotational modulation in the HATSouth discovery light curves. A Lomb-Scargle analysis finds a peak at 9.8 ± 0.3 days, with a weak peak-to-peak amplitude of $\sim 3$ mmag. The same peak is also seen in the autocorrelation function. If this peak is due to rotational modulation, then the period corresponds to a rotational velocity of 4.8 km s$^{-1}$, which is consistent within 1σ with the $v \sin i$. Finally, while the lack of post-egress baseline introduces additional freedom in our global fit, leading to larger reported uncertainties, we caution it may induce additional bias to the $|\lambda|$ measurement.

4. DISCUSSIONS

Using in-transit spectroscopic measurements from Keck-HIRES, we found the hot-Jupiter HATS-14b to be orbiting in a highly inclined plane of $|\lambda| = 76^{+4}_{-5}$°. Winn et al. (2010) noted an apparent dichotomy in the distribution of planet obliquities, with planets orbiting hotter stars ($T_{\text{eff}} < 6250$K) having low obliquities, and those around cooler stars with ($T_{\text{eff}} > 6250$K) having a wide range of obliquities. They hypothesised that this dichotomy may be due to the tidal alignment of the spin axis of the host star to the orbit of the hot Jupiter, with the alignment process being faster for stars with convective envelopes compared to those with radiative envelopes. This hypothesis was further substantiated by Albrecht et al. (2012) who presented additional obliquity measurements, and also suggested that a cleaner separation between well-aligned and misaligned systems is found when using the estimated tidal dissipation timescale as a discriminant rather than the stellar effective temperature. HATS-14 stands out as one of the few G-K dwarfs hosting a short period, misaligned hot Jupiter; it is also one of the few misaligned systems with a tidal timescale below $5 \times 10^{12}$ yr.

To compare HATS-14b to other systems with well measured obliquities, we plot the $|\lambda|$ distribution against host star effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ and tidal dissipation timescale $\tau$ in Figures 3a and 3b. The timescales were calculated as per Albrecht et al. (2012b). To avoid selection biases, only systems that have been measured via the RM effect are plotted. Systems with shorter dissipation timescales – those with planets in closer-in orbits and larger planet-star mass ratios – tend to be spin-orbit aligned.

Of the measured planets orbiting stars cooler than HATS-14, only the hot-Neptune HAT-P-11b (Bakos et al. 2010; Sanchis-Ojeda & Winn 2011) and the hot-Saturn HAT-P-18b (Hartman et al. 2014; Esposito et al. 2014) exhibit significantly inclined orbital planes. When we consider the tidal dissipation timescales, HATS-14b has a relatively short period orbit ($P \approx 2.8$ days), making its tidal dissipation timescale shorter than most other misaligned systems. In comparison, HAT-P-18 and HAT-P-11 have longer orbital periods and lower masses, resulting in significantly longer dissipation timescales than HATS-14. Only CoRoT-1b, with an orbital period of 1.59 days, exhibits a similar high obliquity at a shorter realignment timescale (Pont et al. 2010). Figure 3c shows the moving average (with bin size of 10 planets) of the fraction of misaligned systems ($|\lambda| > 30^\circ$) as a function of the tidal dissipation timescale. For systems with timescales like HATS-14b, only 0.10 ± 0.05 are spin-orbit misaligned, and there are none in retrograde orbits. As we tend towards longer dissipation timescales, the misalignment fraction converges towards 0.4 ± 0.1 for $\tau_{\text{CE,RA}} > 5 \times 10^{14}$, regardless of the host stellar type.

If tidal realignment is a universal process, it is unclear why planets like HATS-14b and CoRoT-1b remain misaligned, while other planets in the same parameter space were aligned over time. To explain CoRoT-1b, Hansen (2012) pointed out that tidal dissipation acts on the component of the stellar spin parallel to the orbit, making it less effective if the initial alignment is close to polar. Models from Rogers & Lin (2013) found realignment via tidal dissipation preferentially results in obliquities of 0, 90, or 180°. The obliquity of CoRoT-1b ($|\lambda| = 77 \pm 11^\circ$) is very similar to that of HATS-14b, and within $\sim 10^\circ$ of the polar geometry, which may contribute to their slower-than-normal realignment. However, only the projected obliquities are known for these systems, making a meaningful assessment difficult. In addition, Xue et al. (2014) found the polar and retrograde modes to be unstable, and Albrecht et al. (2012b) called into question the robustness of the CoRoT-1b measurement.

High obliquity planets around cool stars, like HATS-14b, may also indicate that tidal realignment is less universal than previously thought. If the observed obliquity distribution is primordial, then we may interpret the temperature-obliquity dichotomy as a dependence of
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10 Assembled from René Heller’s Holt-Rossiter-McLaughlin Encyclopaedia ([www.physics.mcmaster.ca/~rheller](http://www.physics.mcmaster.ca/~rheller)).
Figure 3. HATS-14b is one of few high obliquity planets orbiting cool stars, and has one of the shortest tidal dissipation timescales. (a): The distribution of projected obliquities $|\lambda|$ as a function of host star effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ is plotted. Planets with host stars of $T_{\text{eff}} < 6250$ K are plotted in blue, $> 6250$ K in red. The marker sizes represent the metallicity of the host stars. Systems around cool host stars with significant misalignments ($|\lambda| > 30^\circ$) are labelled, with names shortened. 'W' represents WASP, 'K' for Kepler, 'C' for CoRoT. The systems WASP-33b and KOI-13b are hotter than the plot limits, their misalignment angles are plotted and labelled. HATS-14b is also marked in Figure 3a,b by their point sizes. It has been established that the occurrence rate of giant planets is no significant correlation between the eccentricity distribution and stellar mass (e.g. Johnson et al. [2010]), at least until $\sim 2 M_\odot$ (Reffert et al. [2013]). Naively, the higher occurrence rate of planets will also make these systems more conducive to dynamic migration, introducing a host star temperature dependence on the obliquity distribution. However, the preferred migration mechanism on stellar mass. The occurrence rate of giant planets increases with stellar mass (e.g. Johnson et al. [2010], at least until $\sim 2 M_\odot$ (Reffert et al. [2013]). Naively, the higher occurrence rate of planets will also make these systems more conducive to dynamic migration, introducing a host star temperature dependence on the obliquity distribution. However, the preferred migration mechanism on stellar mass. The occurrence rate of giant planets increases with stellar mass (e.g. Johnson et al. [2010], at least until $\sim 2 M_\odot$ (Reffert et al. [2013]). Naively, the higher occurrence rate of planets will also make these systems more conducive to dynamic migration, introducing a host star temperature dependence on the obliquity distribution. However, the preferred migration mechanism on stellar mass. The occurrence rate of giant planets increases with stellar mass (e.g. Johnson et al. [2010], at least until $\sim 2 M_\odot$ (Reffert et al. [2013]). Naively, the higher occurrence rate of planets will also make these systems more conducive to dynamic migration, introducing a host star temperature dependence on the obliquity distribution.
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