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Abstract—Change point reflects a qualitative change in things. It has gained some applications in the field of reliability. In order to estimate the position parameters of the change point, a Bayesian change point model based on masked data and Gibbs sampling was proposed. By filling in missing lifetime data and introducing latent variables, the simple likelihood function is obtained for exponential distribution parallel system under censored data. This paper describes the probability distributions and random generation methods of the missing lifetime variables and latent variables, and obtains the full conditional distributions of the change point position parameters and other unknown parameters. By Gibbs sampling and estimation of unknown parameters, the estimates of the mean, median, and quantile of the parameter posterior distribution are obtained. The specific steps of Gibbs sampling are introduced in detail. The convergence of Gibbs sampling is also diagnosed. Random simulation results show that the estimations are fairly accurate.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Exponential distribution is a very important lifetime distribution. In many cases, it is assumed that the product lifetime follows an exponential distribution, such as the lifetime of an electronic component, the length of talk time on a telephone and the service time of a random service system. Exponential distribution has a wide range of applications in reliability. The change point model is a very important probabilistic statistical model. It is widely used in industrial quality control, economics and other fields.

When the reliability of the system is statistically analyzed, the set of components causing the system failure is deterministic, but the specific component cannot be identified. That is to say, the failure reasons are masked. Such lifetime data are called masked data. Usher and Hodgson first gave the definition of masking, and finally obtained the Bayesian estimation of the parameters by Gibbs sampling. In recent years, some achievements have been made in the study of masked data and Bayesian estimates. [2-4] conducted statistical analysis of masked data in accelerated life tests. [5-6] studied Bayesian analysis of masked series system series system. [7-9] conducted parameter inference in a hybrid system with masked data.

However, the lifetime distribution problems in above studies have not involved change point. The lifetime distribution study of change point under masked data has not been seen yet. Gibbs sampling as a general method for Bayesian calculation can simplify a change point model. For example, the full conditional distributions of unknown parameters can be transformed into a posterior distribution without change points. This paper mainly discusses Bayesian parameter estimation of change point model based on censored data and masked data in an exponential distribution parallel system. By adding the missing lifetime data and latent variables, a relatively simple likelihood function is obtained. The parameter estimation is obtained based on Gibbs samples which are obtained via Gibbs sampling methods under the full conditional distributions. Random simulation results show that the estimations are fairly accurate.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II and section III introduce parallel system and the masked data, Section IV describes two-component parallel system with exponential distribution under masked data, Section V discusses Bayesian estimation of change point model, Section VI gives an example of random simulation, and finally, Section VII concludes the study and points out the application value of this method.
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II. PARALLEL SYSTEM

Assuming that the parallel system is composed of \( J \) independent components, the lifetime of the \( j \)th component be \( X_j \), and the density function and distribution function are respectively \( f_j(t|\theta_j) \) and \( F_j(t|\theta_j) \). The lifetime of the parallel system is \( T = \max(X_1, \cdots, X_J) \). Let \( K \) denote the coding of the component that causes the system to fail. If \( K = j \), it denotes that the \( j \)th component causes the system to fail.

Let \( \theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_J) \). Based on the observational data \((T = t, K = j)\), the likelihood function of the parallel system is

\[
L(\theta|T = t, K = j) = f_j(t|\theta_j) \prod_{k \neq j} F_k(t|\theta_k). 
\]

If the observational data \( t \) is censored data, and \( K \) is not visible, then the likelihood function is

\[
L(\theta|t) = P(T > t) = 1 - P(T \leq t)
= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^J F_i(t|\theta_i) \geq R_t(t|\theta).
\]

Let \( \delta \) be an indicator variable. \( \delta = 1 \) denotes that the observational data are failure data. \( \delta = 0 \) denotes that the observational data are censored data.

If \( n \) parallel systems are independently tested for the lifetime, the likelihood function based on the observational data \( \{(t_i, K_i, \delta_i) : i = 1, \cdots, n\} \) is

\[
L(\theta|(t_i, K_i, \delta_i), i = 1, \cdots, n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ f_i(t_i|\theta_i) \prod_{k \neq i} F_k(t_i|\theta_k) \right]^{\delta_i} [R_i(t_i|\theta)]^{1-\delta_i}. 
\]

III. THE MASKED DATA OF PARALLEL SYSTEM

In many cases, the possible failure mechanism set \( M \) of the parallel system may be observed, but the exact failure mechanism \( K \) is not clear. That is to say, we only know that \( K \) belongs to the set \( M \subseteq \{1, \cdots, J\} \), but do not know the exact value of \( K \). So, the observational data \((T, M)\) are called masked data. If \( M \) is a single point set, the observational data are the usual data \((T, K)\) described previously; If \( M = \{1, \cdots, J\} \), the observational data \((T, M)\) are called completely masked data.

The likelihood function based on failure data of a single point \((T = t, M = M_0)\) is as follows

\[
L(\theta|T = t, M = M_0) = \sum_{j \in M_0} P(T = t, M = M_0, K = j)
= \sum_{j \in M_0} P(T = t, K = j)P(M = M_0|T = t, K = j)
= \sum_{j \in M_0} P(M = M_0|T = t, K = j) f_j(t|\theta_j) \prod_{k \neq j} F_k(t|\theta_k),
\]

where \( P(M = M_0|T = t, K = j) \) is called masking probability.

The likelihood function based on masked data \( \{(t_i, M_i, \delta_i) : i = 1, \cdots, n\} \) of \( n \) independent parallel systems is

\[
L(\theta|(t_i, M_i, \delta_i), i = 1, \cdots, n)
= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \sum_{j \in M_i} P(M = M_i|T = t_i, K = j) f_j(t_i|\theta_j) \right]^{\delta_i} [R_i(t_i|\theta)]^{1-\delta_i}. 
\]

IV. TWO-COMPONENT PARALLEL SYSTEM WITH EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION UNDER MASKED DATA

If the probability density function of \( X \) is \( f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \) \( (x \geq 0, \lambda > 0) \), \( X \) follows exponential distribution. It is denoted as \( X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda) \). Now the distribution function of \( X \) is \( F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \). In two-component parallel system, if the \( j \)th component lifetime \( X_j \) follows \( \text{Exp}(\lambda_j) \) \( (j = 1, 2) \), we can get \( \theta_1 = \lambda_1, \theta_2 = \lambda_2 \). The following contents are the lifetime test of two-component parallel systems.

The expressions of \( F_j(t|\theta_j) \) and \( R_j(t|\theta) \) are polynomials. Next, adding the missing lifetime value of \( X_j \) simplifies the formula (2):

When \( \delta = 1 \) and \( k \neq j \), we add the missing lifetime value \( X_k = Z_{i_k} = z_{i_k} \), where \( Z_{i_k} \) follows the truncated exponential distribution \( \text{Exp}(\lambda_k) \) on the interval \((0, t] \).

When \( \delta = 0 \), we add the missing lifetime value \( X_i = Y_i = x_i \) and \( X_j = Y_{j_i} = y_{j_i} \).

Then the joint density functions of \( Y_i \) and \( Y_{j_i} \) is

\[
H(y_{j_i}, y_{j_2}, |\lambda_{j_i}, \lambda_{j_2}, t_i) = \frac{f_j(y_{j_i} | \lambda_{j_i}) f_j(y_{j_2} | \lambda_{j_2})}{R_j(t_i | \theta)} \max(y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}) > t .
\]

\( y_{j_1}, y_{j_2} \) can be obtained by random method. The steps are as follows:

1. Generate randomly numbers \( x_i \) of \( \text{Exp}(\lambda_i) \) and \( x_2 \) of \( \text{Exp}(\lambda_2) \) respectively;
2. If \( \max(x_1, x_2) > t \), let \( y_i = x_i, y_{j_2} = x_2 \) and stop;
3. If \( \max(x_1, x_2) \leq t \), return to step (1).
Therefore, the Likelihood function is

\[ L(\theta|t_i, K_1, \delta_i, i = 1, \ldots, n) \]

\[ = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left( \prod_{j=1}^{M_i} \sum_{k=1}^{p_j} p_j(f_k(t_i | \lambda_j)) \prod_{k=r}^{q_j} f_k(z_{u_k} | \lambda_j) \right)^{\delta_i} \cdot \left(f_1(y_{u_1} | \lambda_j) f_2(y_{u_2} | \lambda_j) \right)^{1-\delta_i} \right\} \]

Assume that the masking probability follows the formula (1). Let \( P(M = \{1\}|t = t, K = 1) = p_1 \) and \( P(M = \{2\}|t = t, K = 2) = p_2 \), then the following two formulas hold:

\[ P(M = \{1\}|t = t, K = 1) = 1 - p_1 \delta_1 \]

\[ P(M = \{2\}|t = t, K = 2) = 1 - p_2 \delta_2 \]

To simplify the writing, we introduce the variables \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_i \). The following three classifications are available:

1. If \( M_i = \{1\}, \) then \( \alpha_i = 1, \beta_i = 0 \)
2. If \( M_i = \{2\}, \) then \( \alpha_i = 0, \beta_i = 1 \)
3. If \( M_i = \{1, 2\}, \) then \( \alpha_i = 0, \beta_i = 0 \)

Let \( p = (p_1, p_2) \), the likelihood function is

\[ L(\theta, p) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left( p_1 f_1(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_1} | \lambda_j) \right)^{\delta_1} \cdot \left(p_2 f_1(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_2} | \lambda_j) \right)^{1-\delta_1} \cdot \left(q_1 f_1(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_1} | \lambda_j) + q_2 f_2(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_2} | \lambda_j) \right)^{\gamma} \cdot \left[f_1(y_{u_1} | \theta) f_2(y_{u_2} | \theta) \right]^{1-\gamma} \right\} \]

When \( \delta_1 = 1, \alpha_i = 0, \beta_i = 0 \), i.e., the observational data are \( t_i, M_i = \{1, 2\} \), the distribution rule of latent variable \( \nu_i \) is as follows:

\[ P(\nu_i = 1) = P(K = 1|t_i, M_i = \{1, 2\}) \]

\[ = P(K = 1|t_i, M_i = \{1, 2\}) \]

\[ = P(M_i = \{1\}|t_i, K = 1) P(T = t_i, K = 1) \]

\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{M} P(M_j = \{1, 2\}|t_i, K = j) P(T = t_i, K = j) \]

\[ = q_i f_1(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_1} | \lambda_j) + q_2 f_2(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_2} | \lambda_j) \]

\[ L(\theta, p) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left( p_1 f_1(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_1} | \lambda_j) \right)^{\delta_1} \cdot \left(p_2 f_1(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_2} | \lambda_j) \right)^{1-\delta_1} \cdot \left(q_1 f_1(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_1} | \lambda_j) + q_2 f_2(t_i | \lambda_j) f_2(z_{u_2} | \lambda_j) \right)^{\gamma} \cdot \left[f_1(y_{u_1} | \theta) f_2(y_{u_2} | \theta) \right]^{1-\gamma} \right\} \]

\[ \lambda_1 \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \]

\[ \lambda_2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \]

\[ q_1, q_2 \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta) \]

\[ \delta \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi) \]

\[ \nu \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p) \]

where \( \delta \) is the sum of the lifetime of all \( i \)-th components in \( n \) parallel systems. For all the \( i \)-th components of \( n \) parallel systems which cause the system to fail, \( n_i \) and \( r_i \) respectively indicate the number of unmasked components and the number of masked components.

V. BAYESIAN ESTIMATION OF CHANGE POINT MODEL

Let \( D_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \) and \( D_2 = \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n\} \).

For the \( i \)-th parallel system, when \( i \in D_m \), we have \( (p_1, p_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (p_{i, m}, p_{2i, m}, \lambda_{1i}, \lambda_{2i}) \)

\[ \text{Let } \gamma = (m = 1, 2) \]

\[ \gamma = (k, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{21}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{22}, p_{11}, p_{21}, p_{12}, p_{22}) \]

\[ \text{The vectors } T, M, z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta \text{ are composed of } t_i, M_i, z_{u_1}, z_{u_2}, y_{u_1}, y_{u_2}, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta \text{ respectively. The likelihood function of the change point model is} \]

\[ L(T, M, z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta | \theta) \]

\[ \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t_i} \right) \left( \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t_i} \right) (p_{i, m} q_{i, m})^{n_i} (p_{2i, m} q_{2i, m})^{r_i} \]

where \( \lambda = l(D_m), \quad \delta = \delta(D_m), \quad \nu = \nu(D_m), \quad \alpha = \alpha(D_m), \quad \beta = \beta(D_m) \)

\[ \text{The prior distribution of parameters can be determined by the following methods:} \]
(1) Let the prior distribution of $k$ be a discrete uniform distribution $\pi(k) = 1/(n-1)$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

(2) Let the prior distribution of $\lambda_m$ be a gamma distribution $Ga(a_m, b_m)$:

$$\pi(\lambda_m) \propto \lambda_m^{a_m-1}e^{-\lambda_m\lambda_m}$$

where $\lambda_m > 0$, $i = 1, 2$; $m = 1, 2$.

(3) Let the prior distribution of $\lambda_m$ be a beta distribution $Be(c_m, d_m)$:

$$\pi(\lambda_m) \propto \frac{\lambda_m^{c_m-1}(1 - \lambda_m)^{d_m-1}}{\Gamma(c_m)\Gamma(d_m)}$$

where $0 < \lambda_m < 1$.

Assuming that $k, \lambda_m$ and $p_m$ are independent of each other, where $i = 1, 2$ and $m = 1, 2$, the following formula holds:

$$L(y | T, M, z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$L(y | T, M, z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$= L(T, M, z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_m + n - 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_m)\Gamma(n - 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p_m^{n-1}}$$

If $\delta = 1, \alpha_i = 0, \beta_i = 0$, i.e., the observational data are $T = t_i, M_i = \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$\pi(\nu_i | T, M, z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta) = b(1, g_m), i \in D_m, m = 1, 2$$

where $g_m = g(\lambda_m, \alpha_1z_1, \alpha_2z_2, \nu_1, \nu_2)$.

If $\delta = 0, \alpha_i = 0, \beta_i = 1$, i.e., the observational data are $T = t_i, M_i = \{1\}$, we have

$$\pi(\nu_i | T, M, z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \delta, \nu, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$= H(y_1, y_2 | \lambda_m, \alpha_1z_1, t_i), i \in D_m, m = 1, 2$$

The full conditional distribution of $\lambda_m$ can be abbreviated as $\pi(\lambda_m | \cdot)$.

The full conditional distributions of parameters can be determined as follows:

$$\pi(\lambda_m | \cdot) \propto \lambda_m^{a_m-1}e^{-\lambda_m(a_m + \beta_m)}$$

$$\pi(\lambda_m | \cdot) \propto \lambda_m^{a_m-1}e^{-\lambda_m(a_m + \beta_m)}$$

$$\propto Ga(l_m + a_m, \nu_m + b_m)$$

$$\pi(p_m | \cdot) \propto p_m^{n-1}(1 - p_m)^{n-1} \propto Be(n_m + c_m, r_m + d_m)$$

$$\pi(k | \cdot) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{2} \{\lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_2 e^{\lambda_2} \} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \{p_m^{n_i-r_i} \}$$

$$\propto \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \prod_{i=1}^{2} \{p_i p_i^{-1} q_i q_i^{-1} \} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \{d_{i1} d_{i2} q_i q_i^{-1} \}$$

$z_{i1}, z_{i2}$ can be obtained by using the inverse transform method to sample the truncated exponential distribution; $y_{i1}, y_{i2}$ can be obtained by random method; $k$ can be obtained by inverse transform method. The full conditional distributions of $\nu_i, \lambda_m, p_m$ can be obtained by direct Gibbs sampling.

The steps of the Gibbs sampling are as follows:

1. Let the initial value $\gamma^{(0)} = (k^{(0)}, \lambda_1^{(0)}, \lambda_2^{(0)}, p_1^{(0)}, p_2^{(0)}, \alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)})$.

2. Let $\gamma^{(0)}$ be caused by the second component, we have

$$\gamma^{(0)} = (k^{(0)}, \lambda_1^{(0)}, \lambda_2^{(0)}, p_1^{(0)}, p_2^{(0)}, \alpha_1^{(0)}, \alpha_2^{(0)}, \beta_1^{(0)}, \beta_2^{(0)})$$

and the estimate value at the beginning of the $t$-th iteration be $\gamma^{(t-1)}$. Then the $t$-th iteration is split into seven steps:

(1) If $i \in D_m, \delta_i = 1, \alpha_i = 0, \beta_i = 0$, $y_i$ is updated by $y_i^{(t)}$ which is obtained by the binomial distribution function $b(1, g_m)$ sampling.

(2) If $i \in D_m, \delta_i = 1, \alpha_i = 1, \beta_i = 0$, $y_i$ is updated by $y_i^{(t)}$ which is obtained by the truncated exponential distribution function $Exp(\lambda_m)$ on the interval $(0, t_1)$ sampling.

(3) If $i \in D_m, \delta_i = 1, \alpha_i = 0, \beta_i = 1$, $y_i$ is updated by $y_i^{(t)}$ which is obtained by the truncated exponential distribution function $Exp(\lambda_m)$ on the interval $(0, t_1)$ sampling.

(4) If $i \in D_m$ and $\delta_i = 0$, $y_i^{(t)}$ is updated by $y_i^{(t)}$ which is obtained by the joint density function $H(y_1, y_2 | \lambda_1^{(t)}, \alpha_1z_1^{(t)}, t_i)$ sampling.

(5) If $i \in D_m$, $\lambda_m$ is updated by $\lambda_m^{(t)}$, which is obtained by the gamma distribution function $Ga(l_m + a_m, \nu_m + b_m)$ sampling.

(6) If $i \in D_m$, $p_m$ is updated by $p_m^{(t)}$, which is obtained by the beta distribution function $Be(n_m + c_m, r_m + d_m)$ sampling.

(7) $k$ is updated by $k^{(t)}$, which is obtained by the full conditional distribution function $\pi(k | \cdot)$ sampling. $\gamma^{(t)}$ is called Gibbs samples.

It is assumed that $M$ times Gibbs samples are performed and the sampling converges after the $B$-th iteration. The parameters can be estimated according to the last $M - B$ iterative values. For example, the mean and the median of the last $M - B$ iterative values can be used as the posterior expectation estimation and the posterior median estimation, respectively.
VI. RANDOM SIMULATION

Let the number of the parallel system be \( n = 300 \), and the initial value of \((k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{21}, \lambda_{22}, \rho_{11}, \rho_{21}, \rho_{22})\) be \((130, 3, 5, 0.35, 0.8, 4.5, 2.5, 0.7, 0.5)\). Let’s take the random censored lifetime test, and take the censored variable as \(Y_i \sim \text{Exp}(2.8)\). The simulation data can be generated as follows:

1. The \( k \) groups data \( x_{i1}, x_{i2}, w_i \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)\) are independently generated from \(\text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \text{Exp}(\lambda_2), \text{Exp}(2.8)\). If \(\max(x_{i1}, x_{i2}) > w_i\), then \(w_i\) is truncated data. If \(\max(x_{i1}, x_{i2}) \leq w_i\), then \((x_{i1}, x_{i2})\) is the failure data and is marked from component 1 or component 2.

2. For the data generated in step (1): if the data are marked as coming from component 1, the new data are randomly selected by \(100(1 - \rho_{11})\)% to mask; if the data are marked from component 2, the new data are randomly selected by \(100(1 - \rho_{21})\)% to mask.

3. Replace \((k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho_{11}, \rho_{21})\) with \((n - k, \lambda_{21}, \lambda_{22}, \rho_{22})\) in step (1), and then repeat step (1) and step (2).

Let the prior distributions of \(\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{21}, \lambda_{22}\) as \(\text{Ga}(7.5, 2), \text{Ga}(0.6, 0.15), \text{Ga}(22, 5), \text{Ga}(2.8, 1.3)\) respectively.

Let the prior distributions of \(\rho_{11}, \rho_{21}, \rho_{22}\) as \(\text{Be}(4, 7), \text{Be}(17, 5), \text{Be}(8.5, 7), \text{Be}(12, 11)\) respectively.

The change point position parameter \(k\) is estimated and analyzed, when \(B = 10000, M = 20000\), the estimated values of each parameter are shown in Table 1.

Next, the correlation of Gibbs sample is tested. If Gibbs sample are autocorrelated, Gibbs sampling will converge slowly and be less efficient. The autocorrelation plot of the Gibbs sample of parameter \(k\) is shown in Figure 1. Whether or not traversal mean converges can be used to determine whether the Gibbs sample converges. To ensure that the variables that calculate the mean are approximately independent, one sample is usually extracted every other segment in the chain sampled by Gibbs. The traversal mean of the Gibbs sample of \(k\) is shown in Figure 2.

It can be seen from Table 1. When the mean value of the Gibbs sample is used as the parameter value for Bayesian estimation, the relative errors of the parameter \(k\) and other parameters are less than 4.5% and less than 10%, respectively, and the Monte Carlo error is also small. The estimation accuracy is higher. The 0.95 confidence interval for each parameter can be approximated as \([2.5\%\text{ percentile}, 97.5\%\text{ percentile}]\). The length of the approximate confidence interval is very short, so the effect of interval estimation is also good. It can be seen from Figure 1 and Figure 2: The autocorrelation coefficient of the Gibbs sample decays to 0 quickly, which indicates that the convergence of Gibbs sampling is fast; when the iteration reaches 10,000 times, the travel mean has become stable, i.e., the Gibbs sampling has converged. In summary, the random simulation works well.

### Table 1. Random Simulation Results

| Parameter Value | Mean   | Relative error | Monte Carlo error | 92.5% percentile | Median   | 97.5% percentile |
|-----------------|--------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|
| \(k\)           | 130    | 135.789700     | 0.044536          | 0.055636         | 123      | 137              | 147              |
| \(\lambda_1\)   | 3      | 3.11171        | 0.037237          | 0.003624         | 2.51844  | 3.10637          | 3.704439         |
| \(\lambda_2\)   | 5      | 5.201003       | 0.040201          | 0.006003         | 4.21427  | 5.201701         | 6.18801           |
| \(\rho_{11}\)   | 4.5    | 4.387944       | 0.024901          | 0.005966         | 3.546424 | 4.398168         | 5.21506           |
| \(\rho_{21}\)   | 2.5    | 2.646648       | 0.057459          | 0.003091         | 2.14041  | 2.646967         | 3.14993           |
| \(\rho_{12}\)   | 0.35   | 0.323423       | 0.075934          | 0.000373         | 0.262435 | 0.322739         | 0.385228          |
| \(\rho_{22}\)   | 0.8    | 0.747434       | 0.067078          | 0.000859         | 0.605844 | 0.747836         | 0.898692          |
| \(\rho_{11}\)   | 0.7    | 0.637267       | 0.089619          | 0.000736         | 0.515933 | 0.637879         | 0.75752           |
| \(\rho_{22}\)   | 0.5    | 0.545179       | 0.090357          | 0.000633         | 0.441414 | 0.546213         | 0.649042          |

![Figure 1. Autocorrelation of the Gibbs sample](image1)

![Figure 2. Traversal mean of the Gibbs sample](image2)
VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we consider Bayesian change point estimation for exponential distribution parallel system under masked data. We fill in all the missing data of interest and introduce latent variables by sampling methods. We study the probability distributions and random generation methods of the missing lifetime data and latent variables. The full conditional distributions of all parameters are discussed. Bayesian estimations of parameters are studied by Gibbs sampling of MCMC methods. Our simulation results show that Bayesian parameter estimations are fairly accurate and the effect of simulation is good using MCMC methods. In this paper, we make statistical inference on the base of Gibbs sampling, so Bayesian approach is common and suitable for change point problem of other life distributions and has its value in popularization.
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