Variability of Internal Wave Strain Over the Western Boundary Region in the North Atlantic
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Based on the CTD profiles and mooring measurements of the Line W program in the North Atlantic about 35°N/70°W, we investigated the spatial variability of internal wave-induced strain variance along the Line W section and the associated reasons. The results showed that the internal wave strain variance over the slope could be up to five times larger than that in the interior. We have validated that internal tides and near-inertial waves were not the crucial processes for causing this variability. Meanwhile, we found that the high-frequency internal waves generated by the interaction of anticyclonic eddy and low-frequency internal waves may be a potential cause of strain enhancement. This study implies a potential route of mesoscale eddies to enhance the strain variance induced by internal waves.
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INTRODUCTION

Strain is defined as the vertical derivative of isopycnal displacement, which is an important feature of the ocean flow field. Meanwhile, the oceanic strain is thought to be a key link in the process of energy dissipation in the internal wave field, and a contributor to microscale mixing in the sea. Most oceanic strain phenomena occur because of the existence of an internal wave field, so the space variability of the strain field is closely related to the internal waves. There are many processes which can result in internal wave strain, such as near-inertial internal waves (NIWs) generated by sea surface wind (Alford and Gregg, 2001) or geostrophic flow adjustment (Xu et al., 2013), lee waves generated by tidal currents and eddies over the topography of the seafloor, and internal tides (ITs) generated by barotropic tide over topography (Waterhouse et al., 2017).

Recently, it was reported that mesoscale eddies can lead to the generation of internal waves by interacting with other processes and enhance the internal waves by trapping them. Clément et al. (2016) implicated that the local internal wave field was modulated by mesoscale eddies impinging on the boundary, and anticyclonic eddy with a substantial near-bottom flow was associated with an elevated internal wave shear variance and turbulent dissipation, relative to cyclonic eddy. Joyce et al. (2013) observed the clockwise downward-propagating NIWs within a Gulf Stream warm-core ring, which showed enhanced NIW energy within the sloping pycnocline at depths of 300–700 m, and then they used a numerical model to simulate wind-forced NIWs within anticyclonic eddies, the results showed similar features to the observations. In the Drake Passage, St. Laurent et al. (2012) found a dominance of upward propagating energy, consistent with lee waves being generated by the mesoscale eddies impinging on rough topography. In the Southern Ocean, similar findings could be reached from some field observations (Brarley et al., 2013; Sheen et al., 2015). By field observation, in the Lofoten Basin, Fer et al. (2018) demonstrated that the sources of energy for the observed turbulence were the background shear and the sub-inertial energy trapped by the negative vorticity of
the Lofoten Basin eddy, the spectral analysis showed an increased high-wavenumber clockwise-polarized shear variance in the core and rim regions, consistent with downward-propagating NIWs. However, the resultant behavior of the interaction between mesoscale eddies and internal waves has been observed rarely. In this study, we investigate the spatial variability of strain along the Line W section in the North Atlantic and look for what causes the variation in strain. The data and methods are presented in Materials and Methods. Results section shows the spatial variability of the internal wave strain along the Line W section. Then we examine the contributions from different kinds of internal waves and propose a possible explanation in Discussion. The final Conclusion section is a brief summary.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data used in this study were obtained from the Line W program (https://scienceweb.whoi.edu/linew/index.php), which was initiated since 1994 and designed to measure the Gulf Stream and deep western boundary current in the Atlantic. Cruises were run twice a year along a fixed section, which was roughly orthogonal to the isobaths of the continental slope (Figure 1). Here, we used the current measurements at mooring W4 and W5, with a temporal interval of 30 min from 30 April 2004 to 16 May 2008. At W4, the measurements were conducted at 1,052, 1,652, 2,252, 2,702, 3,252, and 3,600 m, respectively; at W5, the measurements were conducted at 999 and 4,084 m.

The CTD measurements along the section were used. There are totally 26 CTD stations (from 9,001 to 9,026) along the section. The CTD samplings were throughout the whole water column, and the measurements were binned into 2 dbar in vertical. The CTD section was conducted separately in spring and autumn of each year; however, sometimes the section was only half occupied. In this study, we used four wholly occupied sections conducted in November 2003, September 2004, October 2007, and October 2010. In order to determine whether the mesoscale eddies pass through the section, lowered ADCP measurements were used.

In addition to these field measurements, a sea-level anomaly (SLA) from AVISO+ (https://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/en/home.html) was used to identify mesoscale eddies. Climatological current data from Hycom (https://www.hycom.org/) were used to mark the pathway of the Gulf Stream. The daily wind speed at 10 m height from ERA-Interim reanalysis data of ECMWF product (https://www.ecmwf.int/), high-resolution bathymetry data (https://topex.ucsd.edu/WWW_html/srtm15_plus.html), and the velocity amplitude of the barotropic tide from TPXO 9.0 (https://www.tpxo.net/home/) were also used to conduct the associated analysis.

Note that strain is defined as the vertical derivative of isopycnal displacement and need departures from a time–mean density profile. However, estimates of the time mean are not available. Instead, we assume that large vertical length scales in the density profiles represent the time mean, and that small vertical length scales represent the internal wave field. During calculation, we interpolated original CTD profiles into a 10-m-depth grid and, each profile was broken into a half-overlapping 320-m-long segment, starting from the bottom. The strain variance \( \langle \xi_z^2 \rangle \) is directly calculated from the temperature and salinity measurements:

\[
\langle \xi_z^2 \rangle = \int_{k_z}^{k_1} S[\xi_z(k)]S dk,
\]

where \( S[\xi_z(k)] \) is the Fourier transform of the strain \( \xi_z \), which can be calculated from the potential temperature gradient \( \theta_z \) as \( \xi_z = (\theta_z - \bar{\theta}_z)/\Delta h \), where \( \bar{\theta}_z \) is the mean value of the quadratic fit to the \( \theta_z \) for each segment. Correction term \( S = \text{sinc}^{-2} (k_2h)/2 \), where \( k_z \) is the wavenumber in vertical and \( \Delta h \) is the vertical bin size for each profile. The minimum integral wavenumber \( k_1 \) is the reciprocal of each segment length, and the maximum value \( k_2 \) is the reciprocal of wave length 46 m to avoid the biases caused by noise (Mauritzen et al., 2002).
RESULTS

Stratification
The stratification \( (N^2) \) variation along the Line W section in September 2004 is shown in Figure 2. The strong stratification with values larger than 10\(^{-4} \) s\(^{-2} \) occurs in the upper layer (shallower than 200 m) over the slope and interior. The stratification decreases monotonically over the slope; however, it shows a minimum region between 200 and 600 m in the interior, with a mean value of 10\(^{-5} \) s\(^{-2} \), and this may be caused by water mass with homogeneous temperature–salt properties carried by the Gulf Stream. The depth–mean stratification is 6.3 \times 10^{-6} \) s\(^{-2} \) over the slope, and 3.6 \times 10^{-6} \) s\(^{-2} \) in the interior.

Spectra
The frequency spectra of the horizontal velocity \((u, v)\) at W5 are shown in Figure 3. The spectra are dominated by peaks at a semiannual frequency \((M_2)\) and local inertial frequency \((f)\). At frequency \(f\), the energy level at 999 m is 1.9 \times 10^2 \) m\(^2\)/s\(^2\), about three times larger than that at 4,084 m (0.6 \times 10^2 \) m\(^2\)/s\(^2\). On the contrary, at the frequency of \(M_2\), the spectral level at 999 m is 0.7 \times 10^2 \) m\(^2\)/s\(^2\), approximately half of that at 4,084 m (1.5 \times 10^2 \) m\(^2\)/s\(^2\)). Moreover, the slope of the spectra changes with the water depth, being 2.2 at 999 m and 2.5 at 4,084 m, suggesting that the deeper the depth, the weaker the higher frequency internal waves.

Spatial Variability of Internal Wave Strain Variance
The internal wave strain variance is shown in Figure 4. Here, we provide the result along four sections which were sampled more extensively. There exists a high strain variance region over the slope, which is approximately above 3,000 m vertically, and far to 400 km horizontally. This is much more pronounced in September 2004 and October 2007.

The mean values of the internal wave strain variance over the slope and interior are shown in Table 1. In November 2003, the smallest mean value (0.06) is in the interior. The situation in October 2010 is similar to that in November 2003. In September 2004, the strain variance over the slope (0.48) is significantly different from that in November 2003 and October 2010 (0.15 and 0.12 over the slope, respectively). In October 2007, there is a synchronous enhancement of strain variance over the slope and in the interior. Compared to November 2003, the strain variance has a simultaneous enhancement in October 2007 (0.24 over the slope and 0.20 in the interior).

Through the spatial distribution of SLA (Figure 5), it is suggested that September 2004 is one of the most likely periods for the interaction between mesoscale eddies and internal waves.

DISCUSSION
To explore the reasons responsible for this spatial variability in September 2004, we examine the contributions from ITs, NIWs, and high-frequency internal waves (HFIWs) which are closely related to the internal wave strain variance.

Internal Tides
The barotropic tide is an important source to generate IT and internal wave strain variance (Waterhouse et al., 2017). IT is produced in stratified regions where barotropic tidal currents flow over topography, and the frequencies are equal to those of the barotropic tides (St. Laurent and Garrett, 2002). To understand the field of the semiannual barotropic tide in the observed area, tidal ellipses are shown in Figure 6A. In terms of tidal velocity magnitude, the semimajor axis is 0.82 m/s over the slope and 0.81 m/s in the interior and the semiminor axis is 0.36 m/s over the slope and 0.29 m/s in the interior. This comparison shows that the intensity of the semiannual barotropic tide is basically the same between the slope and interior.

Based on the barotropic tide and bathymetry data, we further examine the vertical energy flux of the IT which is a quantity of primary interest for mixing. In the study area, the slope of the tidal beam \( \alpha = [(\omega^2-f^2)/(N^2-\omega^2)]^{1/2} \) is 0.07 and the steepest topography slope \( s = 0.05 \), indicating that the topography is subcritical for the semiannual IT. The vertical energy flux of the IT \( E_v \) over the subcritical region can be derived as follows:

![Figure 3](image-url)
\[
E_y = \frac{1}{2\rho_0} \left[ (N_b^2 - \omega^2)(\omega^2 - f^2) \right]^{1/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{K} (u_x^2 \cos^2 \theta + v_y^2 \cos^2 \theta) K^2 \Phi(K, \theta) dK d\theta.
\]

where \(\rho_0\) is the reference density, \(N_b\) is the bottom value of the buoyancy frequency, \((u_x, v_y)\) is the semimajor and semiminor axes of the semidiurnal barotropic tide, \(k, l\) is the horizontal wavenumbers of topography, \(K = (k^2 + l^2)^{1/2}\), and \(\theta = \arctan(l/k)\). \(\Phi(K, \theta)\) is two-dimensional bathymetric spectra (St. Laurent and Garrett, 2002).

The map of the derived vertical energy flux is shown in Figure 6B. Along the whole section, the energy flux is mainly in the order of \(10^{-3}\) mW/m\(^2\), with little higher values of \(10^{-2}\) mW/m\(^2\) over the shallow water. The mean values of the vertical energy flux are \(2.4 \times 10^{-3}\) mW/m\(^2\) and \(1.3 \times 10^{-3}\) mW/m\(^2\) over the slope and in the interior, respectively, and the ratio of the slope to interior is 1.85.

This result implies that the semidiurnal IT is not the key reason for the significant increase in the strain over the slope in September 2004 but may be an important factor affecting the spatial variability of strain variance in November 2003 and October 2010. Because the mean value of the ratio about the slope to interior (2.50 and 1.00, respectively) is 1.75, which is very close to the ratio (1.85) due to the semidiurnal IT. Kelly et al. (2016) found that as ITs refract and/or reflect at the Gulf Stream, wave–flow interaction can cause the reappearance of ITs at shelf break; thus, their onshore energy fluxes are intermittent.
Near-Inertial Internal Waves

The record from current meter at W5 is taken as an example. According to the result of frequency spectra, it indicates that NIWs are possible throughout the whole water depth. The near-inertial horizontal velocity ($u_i, v_i$) can be estimated from the time series of velocity by band-pass filtering with a fourth-order Butterworth filter and a cutoff frequency band from 0.8$f$ to 1.2$f$. The near-inertial horizontal kinetic energy (HKE) is calculated as follows:

$$HKE_{NI} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 (u_i^2 + v_i^2).$$

Here we take $\rho_0$ as 1,032 kg/m$^3$ at 999 m.

Figure 7 shows the temporal variation of the near-inertial HKE and corresponding sea surface wind speed during the first measurement period from 1 May 2004 to 2 April 2005. It is apparent that the near-inertial HKE is elevated, with a mean value of 0.47 J/m$^3$ during the period in which wind speed is roughly larger than 8.2 m/s (from November 2004 to April 2005). In contrast, from May 2004 to October 2004, the mean value of the near-inertial HKE is as low as 0.26 J/m$^3$, accompanied by the weak wind speed of 6.3 m/s. This implies that the near-inertial motions at 999 m are closely linked to the sea surface wind field.

Because there are no velocity measurements in the interior, we cannot compare the near-inertial HKE between the slope and interior directly. Alternatively, we investigated the variation of the sea surface wind field along the whole section, which is the main factor modulating the near-inertial motion in the upper ocean (Alford et al., 2012).

Wind-induced near-inertial energy has been believed to be an important source for generating the ocean mixing required to maintain the global meridional overturning circulation. Zhai et al. (2009) found that nearly 70% of the wind-induced near-inertial energy at the sea surface is lost to turbulent mixing within the top 200 m by analyzing model data. Zhai et al. (2005) implicated that the interaction between inertial oscillations generated by a storm and a mesoscale eddy field is an important way for the near-inertial energy entering the ocean and is ultimately available for mixing. Lelong et al. (2020) and Zhai et al. (2005) came to the same conclusion that near-inertial energy could propagate to the deeper layer of the ocean with the help of the anticyclonic eddy. As shown in Figure 8, in September 2004, the variability of wind along the whole section is weak, both for magnitude and direction, and there were no hurricanes during the observation period. The NIWs induced by sea surface wind and used to furnish diapycnal mixing would not have a significant difference between the slope and interior because the majority of the near-inertial energy was lost to turbulent mixing within the top 200 m and hence could not cause the spatial variability of internal wave strain variance as mentioned previously.
High-Frequency Internal Waves

In addition to the low-frequency motions mentioned previously, we examined the HFIWs and their relation to the sub-inertial flow and the total internal waves. Here, the HFIW motion is derived from 3–10 cycles per day (cpd) band-pass filter, and the sub-inertial component is estimated by using a fourth-order Butterworth low-pass filter, with a cutoff frequency of 0.2 cpd. The total internal wave velocity, by subtracting the sub-inertial flow from the original velocity and then subtracting its depth average, contains only the baroclinic velocity.

During the observation period in September 2004, a mesoscale eddy passing through the section can be observed using lowered ADCP data. From the velocity contours of Figure 9A, the horizontal scale of the eddy is about 250 km, and the cross-sectional current velocity is positive in the left half and negative in the right half, indicating that the eddy is a clockwise-rotating anticyclonic eddy, and the eddy is dominated by the barotropic mode due to the consistent flow direction from the surface to the bottom. In the region above 1,000 m in vertical and 200–450 km in horizontal direction, the maximum value of the current velocity is 1.80 m/s and the minimum value is −0.58 m/s. Note that the observed current velocity contains the eddy part and the Gulf Stream part. The magnitude of the current velocity exceeds 0.1 m/s in the region from 1,000 m to the seafloor, indicating that

![Figure 8](image-url) 10 m wind speed above sea surface in the study region, blue contour is wind speed; the data are averaged in September 2004.

![Figure 9A](image-url) Cross-sectional velocity distribution in September 2004; the thick black line represents velocity is 0 and contour interval is 0.1 m/s. (B-E) Sub-inertial flow (red), total internal wave (black), and HFIW (green) HKE at mooring W4 at the depth of 1,052, 1,652, 2,252, and 2,702 m. The period is from 27 August 2004 to 19 September 2004. The dashed lines indicate the shipboard observation period in September 2004.
the vertical influence depth of anticyclonic eddy can reach the seafloor. From the satellite altimeter data, a anticyclonic eddy passing through the Line W section can also be observed, which indicates that it is feasible to use lowered ADCP data to determine the general location of the eddy.

Mooring W4 is under the influence of the anticyclonic eddy and has more current meters, so we calculate and analyze the HKE of the sub-inertial flow, total internal wave, and HFIW at W4. The results show that (Figures 9B–E) before the appearance of the anticyclonic eddy, when the HKE of the sub-inertial flow is small, the HKE of the total internal wave is relatively weak, while the anticyclonic eddy appears, the HKE of the total internal wave shows a significant trend of enhancement. At the depths of 1,052 m, 1,652 m, 2,252 m, and 2,702 m, the mean HKE of the total internal wave increases from 0.15 J/m$^3$ to 0.28 J/m$^3$, from 0.18 J/m$^3$ to 0.33 J/m$^3$, from 0.14 J/m$^3$ to 0.47 J/m$^3$, and from 0.40 J/m$^3$ to 0.80 J/m$^3$, respectively. There is a positive correlation between the HKE of the internal wave and the HKE of the anticyclonic eddy. When the HKE of the total internal wave increases dramatically, the HKE of the HFIW also appears to be elevated, for example, at the depths of 2,252 m and 2,752 m, the mean HKE of the HFIW increases from 0.03 J/m$^3$ to 0.04 J/m$^3$ and from 0.03 J/m$^3$ to 0.08 J/m$^3$, respectively. This suggests that anticyclonic eddy contributes to HFIWs in this region, which is reported by Liang and Thurnherr (2011; 2012), who found that sub-inertial velocity pulses were directly related to mesoscale eddies spanning the entire water column and suggested that NIWs were likely to be excited by mesoscale eddies.

To better understand the effect of anticyclonic eddy on internal waves, a spectrum analysis is performed by comparing the kinetic energy spectrum of internal waves before (from 27 August 2004 to 3 September 2004) and during (from 4 September 2004 to 12 September 2004) the emergence of the anticyclonic eddy, and the result is shown in Figure 10. The energy of the internal waves is more easily transferred toward higher-frequency internal waves during the period of eddy appearance, and this phenomenon is distinct at 2,702 m, and kinetic energy integration within the HFIW band (3–10 cpd) increases from 24.89 cm$^2$/s$^2$ to 60.06 cm$^2$/s$^2$. During the observation period, the IT and NIW kinetic energies are stronger at 2,702 m, and wave–wave

**Figure 10** (A–C) Kinetic energy spectrum of internal waves from 4 September 2004 to 12 September 2004 (red) and from 27 August 2004 to 3 September 2004 (blue) at 1,652 m, 2,252 m, and 2,702 m. The black dash lines indicate the GM76 spectrum, and magenta lines represent different frequencies of internal waves.
interactions occur with the catalytic effect of the anticyclonic eddy, and HFIWs (-f2S2, -f3M2, 2f2M2, etc.) are developed and enhanced.

Based on the deep-ocean mooring measurements in the South China Sea, Hu et al. (2020) found that interactions between eddies and rough topography generated deep-ocean NIWs pulses that constituted as a local sink for the eddy energy. Then NIWs further catalyzed non-linear interactions among internal waves, which manifested as significant enhancements of higher frequency internal wave energy. The results we obtained were in general agreement with the second part of the study of Hu et al. (2020), indicating that our results are reliable.

These results indicate that the appearance of the anticyclonic eddy effectively promotes the increase in the kinetic energy of the internal wave field and transfers the energy from the low-frequency internal waves to the higher frequency internal waves, resulting in a positive energy cascade transfer. This explains the significant enhancement of the strain variance occurring over the slope in September 2004.

CONCLUSION

Based on the field measurement from the Line W section, the spatial variability of internal wave strain variance and its possible reasons were examined and explored. The results suggest that the internal wave strain variance over the slope can be up to five times larger than that in the interior. Although ITs and wind-induced NIWs can result in internal wave strain variance, they are not the crucial reason responsible for the spatial variability of the strain in September 2004. The mooring observation suggests that the HFIW is the potential reason for high-level values over the slope. This study indicates that HFIWs generated by the wave–wave interactions, caused by the interaction between the anticyclonic eddy and low-frequency internal waves, may be an important route to furnish internal wave strain variance.
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