Bacterial Calcium Carbonate Mineralization in situ Strategies for Conservation of Stone Artworks: From Cell Components to Microbial Community
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Calcareous stones have been widely used in artworks and buildings by almost all human cultures. Now, more than ever, the increased environmental pollution and global warming are threatening the stone cultural heritage. Weathering due to physical, chemical and biological factors results in monumental calcareous stone deterioration. These agents induce a progressive dissolution of the mineral matrix, increase porosity, and lead to structural weakening. Bacterial Calcium Carbonate Mineralization is a widespread naturally occurring process which in the last decades was proposed as an environmentally friendly tool to protect monumental and ornamental calcareous stones. The advantage of this treatment is that it mimics the natural process responsible for stone formation, producing a mineral product similar to the stone substrate. This mini review highlights the milestones of the biomineralization approaches with focus on in situ stone artworks protection. The strategies explored to date are based on three main approaches: (i) the use of allochthonous and (ii) autochthonous alive cells that, due to the bacterial metabolism, foster biomineralization; (iii) the cell-free approach which uses fractionated cellular components inducing biomineralization. We discuss the challenging aspects of all these techniques, focusing on in situ applications and suggesting perspectives based on recent advances.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacterial Calcium Carbonate Mineralization (BCCM) is a widespread natural process of many bacterial taxonomic groups in different environments, ranging from microscopic crystals to large geological formations (Boquet et al., 1973; Ehrlich, 2002; Zavarzin, 2002; Dupraz et al., 2009; Perito and Mastromei, 2011).

According to Hammes and Verstraete (2002), BCCM is regulated by four key factors: calcium concentration, concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), pH, and the availability of nucleation sites. Bacteria can foster an alkaline environment and increase DIC through different autotrophic and heterotrophic metabolic pathways (Castanier et al., 1999; Dhami et al., 2014;
Zhu and Dittrich, 2016). If calcium ions and nucleation sites are available in the environment, BCCM then occurs.

Bacterial surfaces such as cell walls or esopolymeric substances (EPS), due to their metal binding properties, serve as nucleation sites and constitute particularly favorable templates for heterogeneous nucleation and crystal growth (Fortin et al., 1997; Douglas and Beveridge, 1998). The EPS act as matrix templates influencing CaCO₃ crystal morphology, polymorphism, spatial position and growth (Braissant et al., 2003; Tournier and Ngwenya, 2009; Ercole et al., 2012; Oppenheimer-Shaanan et al., 2016). CaCO₃ crystals usually grow on bacterial cell surfaces (Rivadeneyra et al., 1998; Castanier et al., 1999). The polymorph produced (mainly calcite, aragonite and vaterite) depends both on environmental conditions and bacterial strains (Ben Omar et al., 1997; Rivadeneyra et al., 1998; Brennan et al., 2004).

During the last decades, BCCM application was proposed as an environmentally friendly tool for conservation and reinforcement of monumental and ornamental calcareous stones (Orial et al., 1993). Weathering by physical, chemical and biological factors increases the porosity and dissolution of the mineral matrix thus progressively weakening the structure (Tiano et al., 1999). Organic products used to reduce monument deterioration present several drawbacks related to incompatibility with the stone, while inorganic consolidants show poor performance (De Muyync et al., 2010). The advantage of a BCCM-mediated treatment is that it mimics the natural process responsible for stone formation, producing a mineral product similar to the stone substrate. The aim is dual: to provide a coherent CaCO₃ layer on the surface of deteriorated stone, protecting against the intake of water or chemicals, and to consolidate the inner, weakened structure. In literature a number of comprehensive reviews are available about biodeposition of CaCO₃ on stone and building materials, highlighting mechanisms, limitations, challenges, and perspectives of this technology (De Muyync et al., 2010; Dhami et al., 2014; Anbu et al., 2016; Nazel, 2016; Zhu and Dittrich, 2016; Castro-Alonso et al., 2019). In this mini review, we fill a literature gap, by focusing on current BCCM technologies for in situ cultural stone conservation. We highlight the typology of interventions and recent improvements of in situ applications and provide viewpoints based on recent advances.

**BCCM-Based Approaches for Cultural Stone Conservation**

**Living Cells, Single Selected Bacterial Strain**

The application of BCCM for cultural heritage conservation was proposed by a pioneer French group that developed the so-called Calcite Bioconcept technology, covered by a now expired patent (Adolphe et al., 1990). This methodology was based on the application of cultures of selected bio-calcifying strains by spraying them on the stone surface and then feeding them by applications of a nutrient medium. The result was the formation of a new calcareous coating layer called biocalcin. This few µm thick layer was coherent to stone and made of encrusted bacterial bodies mixed with CaCO₃ (Figures 1A,B). A preliminary screening of bacteria isolated from natural carbonate environments allowed the selection of a *Bacillus cereus* strain exhibiting the highest precipitation performance via the ammonification of amino acids (Table 1; Castanier et al., 2000). After testing it on limestone specimens, the technology was transferred to in situ applications (Le Métayer-Levrel et al., 1999). The first application was made in 1993, testing an area of 50 m² of the tower of the Saint Médard Church in Thouars. Evaluation of the treatment was carried out 6 months and 1 year after the application (Table 1). The treatment had no influence on the color or other aesthetical features and the water absorption rate was up to five times less. Following this approach, a number of façades of French historic and private buildings were treated by the Calcite Bioconcept Company (Castanier et al., 2000; Anne et al., 2010; De Muyynck et al., 2010). No scientific reports can be found about these treatments. At the same time, several groups have worked to improve this system by isolating and testing different microorganisms, exploring different metabolic pathways and application conditions mainly in laboratory settings, showing, in many cases, similar results (reviewed by Nazel, 2016).

Over the last 20 years, a Spanish group of Granada made efforts to further develop this technology. They promoted the use of *Mixococcus xanthus*, a Gram-negative, non-pathogenic soil bacterium, to overcome drawbacks of previous treatments: the thin layer of the new formed bio-cement, the possible formation of endospores, and uncontrolled biofilm by *Bacillus* clogging stone pores. In an in vitro model, sterilized calcarene slabs were immersed in a liquid medium containing *M. xanthus* and nutrients activating the ammonification of amino acids (Rodriguez-Navarro et al., 2003). Newly formed coherent carbonate cement of calcite grains was deposited into the pores without plugging them to a depth ≥500 µm. No myxospore formation was found in the tested culture media.

**Living Cells, Microbial Community of Stone**

A further step in the development of this technology proposed by Jimenez-Lopez et al. (2007) was bio-precipitation fostered by the microbial community inhabiting the stone. The advantage was that it supported the autochthonous CaCO₃ producing-bacteria without introducing exogenous microorganisms. Initially, quarry porous limestone slabs were immersed in a M-3P nutritive buffered solution with/without *M. xanthus* (Jimenez-Lopez et al., 2008). Treated stones showed newly precipitated CaCO₃ overgrowth without pore plugging and, accordingly, weight increase, regardless of the presence or absence of *M. xanthus*. In comparison to sterilized slabs used as controls, the treated slabs maintained their original pore size distribution and were more resistant to mechanical stress. The M-3P medium, stimulating heterotrophic carbonatogenic bacteria via the ammonification of amino acids (Table 1), was patented (Gonzalez-Muñoz et al., 2008).
FIGURE 1 | Examples of CaCO$_3$ precipitation on limestone by different BCCM strategies. Panels (A, B) SEM micrographs of “biocalcin” formed by allochthonous alive cells on Saint Maxim (SM) limestone: (A) Untreated surface of SM limestone; (B) Pores filled with the superficial bacterial coating (arrows). Panels (C–E) SEM micrographs of calcite (determined by XRD) formed by autochthonous alive cells on calcarenite: (C) Untreated calcarenite. Chemically precipitated calcite crystals (Cc) in a control stone show dissolution pits and NaCl crystals; (D) In the treated stone, bacterial calcite (BCc) are organized in nanostructured structure surrounded by EPS; (E) Magnification of the nanostructured structure of calcite biocement. Panels (F, G) SEM micrographs of CaCO$_3$ induced by cellular fraction: (F) SEM micrograph of calcite crystals (determined by XRD) induced by BCF in CaCl$_2$ solution; (G) Representative thin section made from cores taken from stone slabs of the Angera Cathedral stained with Alizarine red (optical microscope, 400×). The metabolic pathway of allochthonous and autochthonous alive bacteria promoting BCCM (panels A–E) is the oxidative deamination of amino acids present in the nutrient medium. As a result, calcifying bacteria produce CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ creating an alkaline microenvironment and shifting the HCO$_3^-$ = CO$_3^{2-}$ + H$^+$ equilibrium toward the right. In the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, supplied in the nutrient medium, precipitation occurs via the reaction Ca$^{2+}$ + CO$_3^{2-}$ = CaCO$_3$ preferentially on the bacterial cell surface in a microenvironment highly supersaturated with respect to CaCO$_3$ (e.g., bacterial biofilm; Jroundi et al., 2017) (With permission from: Le Métayer-Levrel et al., 1999 for Panels A, B; Jroundi et al., 2017 for Panels C–E; and Perito et al., 2014 for Panels F, G).

The M-3P treatment was then tested in situ, with and without *M. xanthus*, on selected areas of decayed calcarenite stone of three historic buildings in Granada: San Jeronimo Monastery, Hospital Real and Royal Chapel (Jroundi et al., 2010; Rodriguez-Navarro et al., 2015). The evaluation included both the technical efficacy and, for the first time, the monitoring of the bacterial community of the decayed stone by culture-dependent and independent techniques (Table 1). Medium/long-term efficacy and detrimental side-effects were monitored up to 4 years after treatments (Rodriguez-Navarro et al., 2015). In all the three cases, the newly formed CaCO$_3$ (mostly calcite) created a cement that consolidated the deteriorated calcarenite with a significant surface strengthening neither plugging pores nor causing aesthetical changes. The efficacy of the treatment in situ was independent of the presence of *M. xanthus*. The carbonatogenic bacterial population initially increased after treatment applications, but over time reached values close to those observed before treatment.

In those cases where the stone microbiota was altered and/or suppressed (e.g., application of biocides), the same authors proposed a bioconsolidation treatment with carbonatogenic bacteria selected from calcareous stones as inoculants (Jroundi et al., 2012). Bacteria were isolated from altered calcarenite stone slabs by the application of M-3P medium, then precipitating bacteria belonging to Actinobacteria, Gamma-proteobacteria and Firmicutes were selected and single strains were tested for bio-consolidation capability in vitro, with and without *M. xanthus*. They found that *Acinetobacter* spp. strains were the most appropriate candidate bacteria.

To test the self-inoculation biotreatment in situ, an indigenous community was recovered by cultivation from salt damaged carbonate stone in a historic building (San Jeronimo Monastery), activated via M-3P, and applied back onto the same stone (Jroundi et al., 2017). Firmicutes was the dominant phylum in the inoculum (~79%). Test evaluation methods are reported in Table 1. The effective consolidation was due to the formation of
| BCCM-based approach | Bacterium/a (concentration) or medium | Mechanisms driving precipitation | Monumental Site kind of limestone | Testing area (m²) | Evaluation of treatment¹ | Monitoring Time | References |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------|
| Selected viable strain | a Bacillus cereus (Not found) | Deamination of amino acids and nucleation sites | Saint Médard Church- Tuffeau (Thouars, France) | 50 | Water absorption | Water pipe | Biodeposition | 6 and 12 months after treatment | Le Métailler-Levrel et al., 1999; Castanier et al., 2000 |
| Community inhabiting stone | b M 3-P Nutrient medium | Deamination of amino acids and nucleation sites | San Jeronimo Monastery- calcarenite, Royal Hospital- calcarenite, Royal Chapel- calcarenite (Granada, Spain) | From 0.15 to 2.0 if flat surfaces | New calcite microtexture | XRD SEM/EDS | Biodeposition | | Jroundi et al., 2010; Rodríguez-Navarro et al., 2013 |
| Community inhabiting stone | c Self-inoculation, indigenous bacterial community (∼8.2 × 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹) | Deamination of amino acids and nucleation sites | San Jeronimo Monastery- calcarenite (Granada, Spain) | Not found | New calcite | SEM/XRD | Biodeposition | | Jroundi et al., 2017 |
| Cell Components | d BCF from B. subtilis (8.5 g l⁻¹) | Nucleation sites | Angela Church- Angera (Angera, Italy) | 0.29 | Water absorption | Contact sponge | Biodeposition | Before and 4 months after treatment | Penito et al., 2014 |

¹ Evaluation of treatment:
- Water absorption: Water pipe
- Biodeposition: Safety
- Peeling tape test: Reinforcement
- Spectrophotometry: Safety
- Culture-dependent (Total title, selected groups): Safety
- Culture-independent (total DNA, 16S rDNA amplification, DGGE and clone libraries): Safety
- DRMS²: Reinforcement
- Porosimetry (mercury intrusion): Biodeposition
- Peeling tape: Reinforcement
- Spectrophotometry: Safety
- Cultivation (total number, selected groups): Safety
- Cohesion profiles: Reinforcement
- Porosity and pore size distribution: Biodeposition
- Colour: Safety
- Stone microbiota: Safety
### Table 1

| Parameter          | New CaCO$_3$ | Coherence profiles | Cohesion profile (Coh) |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Effect on stone    |               |                    |                        |
| Monitoring Time    |               |                    |                        |
| Method             |               |                    |                        |
| Alizarine red staining |           |                    |                        |
| Bacterial mineralization on stone induced by a bacteria-mediated system in absence of viable cells (Perito et al., 2014). This investigation used the *Bacillus subtilis* strain 168 to identify bacterial structures and with the sublimation of ammonium carbonate for alkalization. Dead cells were able to promote calcite formation, then cell fractions were tested and a bacterial cell fraction (BCF) containing the cell wall induced CaCO$_3$ formation (Figure 1F). Interestingly, the system was specific in generating crystal polymorphisms, since only calcite was found by X-ray diffraction. Apparently, dead cells as well as BCF acted as crystallization nuclei in liquid medium. This hypothesis is supported by the capacity of cell walls to uptake cations such as Ca$^{2+}$, as previously demonstrated for isolated *B. subtilis* walls (Beveridge and Murray, 1980), and fostering heterogeneous nucleation (Fortin et al., 1997). According to Dupraz et al. (2009), this process can be referred to biologically influenced mineralization. BCF was stored as easy-to-use lyophilized preparations, maintained a long-lasting activity and showed heat resistance. BCF treatment was tested on slab stones and then *in situ* on selected areas of the main façade of the Angera Cathedral, a 6th century monumental site in Italy (Perito et al., 2014). Lyophilized BCF was dissolved in a CaCl$_2$ solution, then sprayed on stone surface with a supersaturated calcium bicarbonate Ca(HCO$_3$)$_2$ solution (Super C solution) for supplying calcium ions and CO$_2$. The solution was supplemented with calcite nanoparticles to maintain supersaturation in the pore and increase calcium ions. Field evaluation tests after treatment showed that BCF treated areas had negligible color changes (Table 1). New crystals formed inside stone pores (Figure 1G) and, accordingly, there was a significant decrease in water absorption (up to 6.8%). The cohesion profiles were significantly increased in the first 3 mm (if compared with the control area treated with Super C alone). These results show that this application has potential, even if the authors concluded that further testing was needed to fully assess the treatment conditions for *in situ* applications.

## Perspectives: From Cell Components to the Microbial Community

BCCM biotechnology could be an ecological alternative to chemical treatments due to the low environmental impact and the production of a layer of CaCO$_3$ compatible with and coherent to the stone. A common point of improvement for the BCCM technologies is the consolidation performance, not yet comparable to that of synthetic polymers. The appropriate selection of stone types before application is important because pore structure affects penetration depth and treatment...
performance (De Muynck et al., 2011). Nanomechanical properties of CaCO$_3$ polymorphs can also be improved by a better understanding of the bio-geo-chemical processes governing the formation of biominerals with high mechanical performance in natural environments (Dhami et al., 2018).

While the literature shows the potential in the laboratory of different bacterial applications to promote CaCO$_3$ mineralization, very few attempts have been made to test the technology in situ (Table 1). Based on these few studies, some companies have developed biomineralization products for cultural heritage by using cultures of selected strains (Amonit, France$^1$) or media stimulating stone microbiota (KBYO Biological, Spain$^2$). Nevertheless, the translation of other promising results obtained in vitro into practical bioremediation applications on heritage stone in situ remains the challenge for the immediate future (Webster and May, 2006); as examples, the well documented biocalcification production by bacterial urease or carbonic anhydrase (Castro-Alonso et al., 2019). Scaling up will be needed in order to develop this technology (Figure 1, box perspectives). In situ applications always have additional problems when compared with the in vitro conditions, especially concerning heterogeneity and conservation state of the stone, delivery systems, outdoor or indoor environmental conditions, type of feasible evaluation tests and the value of the artwork. For this reason, preliminary in situ small-scale testing should adopt treatment conditions mimicking those to the follow in larger-scale applications.

Another general comment concerns the heterogeneity of the treatment evaluation tests (Table 1). Although the choice of the monitoring methods sometimes depends on the experimental set-up, evaluating methods must be rapidly standardized for comparing results and for metadata analyses. Standard methods should consider the effectiveness of the treatment in terms of both consolidation and safety of stone (impact on structural and aesthetical features as well as on resident microbiota).

Living bacteria require the application of nutrient media on the stone. The possibility of undesirable side-effects on stone is controversial and it needs to be carefully evaluated (González-Muñoz, 2008; Nazel, 2016). The metabolic pathway activated in situ is the oxidative deamination of amino acids (Table 1), which increases the alkalinity by production of ammonia (Castanier et al., 1999; Lee and Park, 2019). The convenience of obtaining byproducts as ammonia and using spore-forming bacteria as Bacillus on stone has been recently discussed (Dhami et al., 2014; Zhu and Dittrich, 2016). More generally, promotion of undesired microbial growth can produce mineral changes or appearance of stained patches on stone, as found by Tiano et al. (1999). Such drawbacks may be encountered both in case of activating allochthonous or autochthonous strains. While aesthetical changes can be easily evaluated, growth of unwanted microorganisms and/or changes in the autochthonous community structure affecting the original ecological niche is harder to analyze. Microbes can strongly contribute to stone deterioration (Pinna, 2017) and the application of new biotechnologies by conservators requires knowledge about the risk factors, in particular on the long-term effects (Webster and May, 2006; De Muynck et al., 2010). In this respect, the work about the long-term monitoring of stone microbiota carried by Ettenauer et al. (2011) and Jroundi et al. (2017) is remarkable. However, knowledge about microbial communities inhabiting heritage stone mainly comes from cultivation studies (Scheerer et al., 2009). Microbial communities of stone were only recently investigated using Next Generation Sequencing and omics techniques (Perito and Cavalieri, 2018; Marvasi et al., 2019). The latest studies suggest that natural community structure detected by metagenomics is quite different from that of enriched communities cultivated from calcareous stone in precipitating media where Firmicutes are dominant (Dhami et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018).

Meta-omics techniques as a whole (metagenomics, metatranscriptomics and metabolomics) will promote a further step to improving BCCM technology, because they provide a wider view of the microbial community structure, fluctuations and metabolic potential (Marvasi et al., 2019). In regard to the cultivation bias (Harooim et al., 2014), omics technologies will provide a better understanding of the stone microbial community structure to allow treatment monitoring as well as the identification of the community components with biomineralization potential. Chimienti et al. (2016) used metagenomics to identify the presence of microorganisms known as carbonatogenic (i.e., Arthrobacter) within the overall microbial community from stone slabs of a medieval church. Zanardini et al. (2019) reconstructed the carbon, nitrogen and sulfur cycles and their biodeterioration potential within the prokaryotic community of decayed sandstone of a medieval castle by 16S rRNA and functional gene analyses. Using a similar approach, the carbonatogenic potential of metabolic pathways linked to these biogeochemical cycles could be inferred. On the other hand, cultivation is more valuable than ever in the omics era (Gutleben et al., 2018) because it is needed to confirm the predicted carbonatogenic ability of stone populations as well as for other applications. But then again, meta-omics techniques can also provide useful information to improve cultivation strategies for the isolation of potential calcinogenic bacterial populations from calcareous environments.

The cell-free approach offers several advantages: the cellular components act as mineral nucleation and growth sites in the absence of nutrients, components smaller than cells penetrate more in depth into pores and microcracks, interventions on the chemical environment governing precipitation are easier (Hammes and Verstraete, 2002). Alkaline buffering or different supersaturated calcium solutions should be further developed and compared to that used by Perito et al. (2014). However, the preparation of the BCF product is more complex compared to alive cellular strategies but could have as target calcareous objects where minimum change in their chemistry is required (Perito et al., 2014). A cell-free approach has not been explored further.

Very little is still known about the molecular basis of the calcium biomineralization process (Perito and Mastromel, 2011). B. subtilis laboratory strain 168 was used to identify cellular fractions as well as genes and molecules with key roles in inducing

---

1. http://www.amonit.fr/fr/calcite_1
2. http://kbyobiological.com/en/
precipitation (Barabesi et al., 2007), as found for mollusks (Falini et al., 1996). Characterization of B. subtilis mutants impaired in CaCO₃ precipitation suggested a link between biomineralization, redox reactions of fatty acid metabolism, changes in phospholipids membrane composition and surface properties (Barabesi et al., 2007; Marvasi et al., 2010, 2016; Frandi et al., 2011; Perito et al., 2018a). In Lysinibacillus, CaCO₃ precipitation can modify membrane rigidity by up-regulating the branched chain fatty acid synthesis (Lee and Park, 2019). We speculate that intervention on these metabolic switches could help in the search for bacterial molecules fostering precipitation and, at the same time, improving precipitation performance by bacteria.

On the other hand, it is well known that bacterial macromolecules, like the EPS, act as matrices which promote mineralization and are trapped in the growing calcite (Decho, 2010; Marvasi et al., 2012; Perito et al., 2018b). According to Jroundi et al. (2017), the hybrid cement due to the incorporation of organisms and EPS within the nanostructured CaCO₃ in the self-inoculation biotreatment was responsible for the high consolidation effectiveness. Further studies are needed in order to identify and test different EPS or to design bacteria-based biomimetic matrices promoting calcite growth on stone. This would represent a further advancement of the cell-free technology since it would reduce the complexity of organic matter to apply, increasing its penetration inside stone.

Concluding, in our opinion all the different approaches explored in this mini review are worth further development for in situ applications, even if two of them are already available on the market. Fascinating challenges for the future include advances in exploitation of bacterial pathways, cell components and single (macro)molecules.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

BP provided the general concept. BP and MM wrote the manuscript. MM, GM, and BP revised and approved the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**REFERENCES**

Adolphe, J. P., Loubière, J. F., Paradas, J., and Soleilhavoup, F. (1990). Procédé De Traitement Biologique D’une Surface Artificielle. European patent 90040G97.0.

Anbu, P., Kang, C. H., Shin, Y. I., and So, J. S. (2016). Formations of calcium carbonate minerals by bacteria and its multiple applications. Springerplus 5:250. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-1869-2

Anne, S., Rozenbaum, O., Andreazza, P., and Rouet, J.-L. (2010). Evidence of a bacterial carbonate coating on plaster samples subjected to the calcite bioconcept biomineralization technique. Constr. Build. Mater. 24, 1036–1042. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.11.014

Barabesi, C., Gallizi, A., Mastromei, G., Rossi, M., Tamburini, E., and Perito, B. (2007). Bacillus subtilis gene cluster involved in calcium carbonate biomineralization. J. Bacteriol. 189, 228–235. doi: 10.1128/JB.01450-06

Ben Omar, N., Arias, J. M., and Gonzalez-Munoz, M. T. (1997). Extracellular bacterial mineralization within the context of geo microbiology. Microbiology 13, 61–72.

Beveridge, T. J., and Murray, R. G. E. (1980). Sites of metal deposition in the cell wall of Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 141, 876–887. doi: 10.1128/jb.141.2.876-887.1980

Boquet, E., Boronat, A., and Ramos-Cormenzana, A. (1973). Production of calcite (calcium carbonate) crystals by soil bacteria is a general phenomenon. Nature 246, 527–529. doi: 10.1038/246527a0

Braissant, O., Cailleau, G., Dupraz, C., and Verrecchia, E. P. (2003). Bacterially induced mineralization of calcium carbonate in terrestrial environments: the role of exopolysaccharides and amino acids. J. Sediment Res. 73, 485–490. doi: 10.1306/111302730485

Brennan, S. T., Lowenstein, T. K., and Horita, J. (2004). Seawater chemistry and the advent of biocalcification. Geology 32, 473–476. doi: 10.1130/G2 0251.1

Castanier, S., Le Métayer-Levrel, G., Orial, G., Loubière, J. F., and Perthusiot, J. P. (2000). “Bacterial carbonatogenesis and applications to preservation and restoration of historic property,” in Of Microbes And Art: The Role Of Microbial Communities In The Degradation And Protection Of Cultural Heritage, eds O. Ciferri, P. Tiano, and G. Mastromei (New York, NY: Plenum Publishers), 203–218. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4615-4239-1_14

Castanier, S., Le Métayer-Levrel, G., and Perthusiot, J. P. (1999). Ca-carbonates precipitation and limestone genesis - the microbiogeologist point of view. Sediment Geol. 126, 9–23. doi: 10.1016/S0037-0738(99) 00028-7

Castro-Alonso, M. J., Montañez-Hernandez, L. E., Sanchez-Muñoz, M. A., Macias Franco, M. R., Narayanassamy, R., and Balagurusamy, N. (2019). Microbiologically induced calcium carbonate precipitation (MICP) and its potential in bioconcrete: microbiological and molecular concepts. Front. Mater. 6:126. doi: 10.3389/fmats.2019.00126

Chimienti, G., Piredda, R., Pepe, G., van der Werf, I. D., Sabattini, L., Crecchio, C., et al. (2016). Profile of microbial communities on carbonate stones of the medieval church of San Leonardo di siponto (Italy) by Illumina-based deep sequencing. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 100, 8537–8548. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-7656

De Muynck, W., De Belie, N., and Verstraete, W. (2010). Microbial carbonate precipitation in construction materials: a review. Ecol. Eng. 36, 118–136. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2009.02.006

De Muynck, W., Leuridan, S., Van Loo, D., Verbeken, K., Cnudde, V., and De Belie, N. (2011). Influence of pore structure on the effectiveness of a biogenic carbonate surface treatment for limestone conservation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 6808–6820. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00304-10

Decho, A. W. (2010). Overview of biopolymer-induced mineralization: what goes on in biosilts? Ecol. Eng. 36, 137–144. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.08.063

Dhmani, N. K., Mukherjee, A., and Watkin, E. L. J. (2018). Microbial diversity and mineralogical-mechanical properties of calcitic cave speleothems in natural and in vitro biomineralization conditions. Front. Microbiol. 9:40. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00040

Dhmani, N. K., Reddy, M. S., and Mukherjee, A. (2014). Application of calcifying bacteria for remediation of stones and cultural heritages. Front. Microbiol. 5:304. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00304

Douglas, S., and Beveridge, T. J. (1998). Mineral formation by bacteria in natural microbial communities. FEMS Microb. Ecol. 26, 79–88. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1998.tb00494.x

Dupraz, C., Reid, R. P., Braissant, O., Decho, A. W., Norman, R. S., and Vischer, P. T. (2009). Processes of carbonate precipitation in modern microbial mats. Earth Sci. Rev. 96, 141–162. doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.10.005

Eichler, H. L. (2002). Geomicrobiology, 4th Edn, New York, NY: Marcel Dekker.

Ercol, C., Bozelli, P., Altieri, F., Cacchio, P., and Del Gallo, M. (2012). Calcium carbonate mineralization: involvement of extracellular polymeric materials isolated from calcifying bacteria. Micros. Microanal. 18, 829–839. doi: 10.1017/S1431927612000426

Ettenauer, J., Piñar, G., Sterflinger, K., Gonzalez-Muñoz, M. T., and Jroundi, F. (2011). Molecular monitoring of the microbial dynamics occurring on historical limestone buildings during and after the in situ application of different bioconsolidation treatments. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 5337–5352. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.08.063
Falini, G., Albeck, S., Weiner, S., and Addadi, L. (1996). Control of aragonite or calcite polymorphism by mollusk shell macromolecules. Science 271, 67–69. doi: 10.1126/science.271.5245.67

Fortin, D., Ferris, F. G., and Beveridge, T. J. (1997). Surface-mediated mineral development by bacteria. Rev. Min. Geochem. 35, 161–180. doi: 10.1515/9781501059297-007

Frandi, A., Zucca, P., Marvasi, M., Mastromeli, G., Sanjust, E., and Perito, B. (2011). Bacillus subtilis fdhB (ysiB) gene encodes an enoyl-CoA hydratase. Ann. Microbiol. 61, 371–374. doi: 10.1123/s1321-010-0121-5

González-Muñoz, M. T. (2008). Bacterial biomineralization applied to the protection-consolidation of ornamental stone: current development and perspectives. Coalition 15, 12–18.

González-Muñoz, M. T., Rodríguez-Navarro, C., Jiménez-López, C., and Rodríguez-Gallego, M. (2008). Method and Product For Protecting And Reinforcing Construction And Ornamental Materials, Publication Number. Spanish patent P200802030 (WO2008009771A1).

Gutleben, J., De Mares, M. C., van Elsas, J. D., Smidt, H., Overmann, J., and Li, Q., Zhang, B., Yang, X., and Ge, Q. (2018). Deterioration-associated microbiome in determining organomineralization potential of bacterial environmental isolates. Geomicrobiol. J. 29, 916–924. doi: 10.1080/01490451.2011.636145

Marvasi, M., Visscher, P. T., Perito, B., Mastromeli, G., and Casillas-Martínez, L. (2010). Physiological requirements for carbonate precipitation during biofilm development of Bacillus subtilis etfA mutant. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 71, 341–350. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00805.x

Nazel, T. (2016). Bioconsolidation of stone monuments. An overview. Rest. Build. Monum. 22, 37–45. doi: 10.1515/rbm-2016-0001

Oppenheimer-Shanan, Y., Sibony-Nevo, O., Bloom-Ackermann, Z., Suisa, R., Steinberg, N., Kartvelishvily, E., et al. (2016). Spatio-temporal assembly of functional mineral scaffolds within microbial biofilms. NPJ Biofilms Microb. 2016:15031. doi: 10.1038/njpbiofilms.2015.31

Orial, G., Castanier, S., Le Météyar-Levrel, G., and Loubiere, J. F. (1993). “The biomineralization: a new process to protect calcareous stone applied to historic monuments,” in Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference Biodeterioration of Cultural Property, eds H. K. Toishi, T. Ari, and K. Kenjo (Tokyo: International Communications Specialists), 98–116.

Perito, B., Casillas, L., and Marvasi, M. (2018a). Factors affecting formation of large calcite crystals (1mm) in Bacillus subtilis 168 biofilm. Geomicrobiol. J. 35, 385–391. doi: 10.1080/01490451.2017.1377788

Perito, B., Romanelli, M., Baccianti, A., Passaponti, M., Montegrossi, G., and Di Benedetto, F. (2018b). An XRPD and EPR spectroscopy study of microcrystalline calcite bioprecipitated by Bacillus subtilis. Phys. Chem. Miner. 45, 935–944. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00874-y

Perito, B., and Cavalieri, D. (2018). Innovative metagenomic approaches for detection of microbial communities involved in biodeterioration of cultural heritage. IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 364:012074. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/364/1/012074

Perito, B., Marvasi, M., Barabesi, C., Mastromei, G., Bracci, S., Vendrell, M., et al. (2014). A Bacillus subtilis cell fraction (BCF) inducing calcium carbonate precipitation: biotechnological perspectives for monumental stone reinforcement. J. Cult. Herit. 15, 345–351. doi: 10.1016/j.culher.2013.10.001

Perito, B., and Mastromei, G. (2011). Molecular basis of bacterial calcium carbonate precipitation. Prog. Mol. Subcell Biol. 52, 113–139. doi: 10.1016/j.molpb.2010.03.002

Pinna, D. (2017). Coping with Biological Growth On Stone Heritage Objects: Methods, Products, Applications, And Perspectives. Oakville, ON: Apple Academic Press Inc.

Ravdeneya, M. A., Delgado, G., Ramos-Cormenzana, A., and Delgado, R. (1998). Bimolecularisation of carbonates by Halomonas eurhthalina in solid and liquid media with different salinities: crystal formation sequence. Res. Microbiol. 149, 277–287. doi: 10.1016/S0922-2508(98)80303-3

Rodríguez-Navarro, C., Jroundi, F., and Gonzalez-Muñoz, M. T. (2015). Stone consolidation by bacterial carbonatogenesis: evaluation of in situ application. Restor. Build. Monum. 21, 9–20. doi: 10.1515/rbm-2015-0002

Rodríguez-Navarro, C., Rodríguez-Gallego, M., Ben Chekroun, K., and Gonzalez-Muñoz, M. T. (2003). Conservation of ornamental stone by Myxococcus xanthus-induced carbonate biomineralization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 2182–2193. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.4.2182-2193.2003

Scheerer, S., Ortega-Morales, O., and Gaylardé, C. (2009). Microbrial deterioration of stone monuments—an updated overview. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 66, 97–139. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2164(08)00085-8

Tiano, P., Biagiotti, L., and Mastromei, G. (1999). Bacterial bio-mediated calcite precipitation for monumental stones conservation: methods of evaluation. J. Microbiol. Methods 36, 139–145. doi: 10.1016/S0167-7121(99)00019-6

Tourney, J., and Ngwenya, B. T. (2009). Bacteria extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) mediated CaCO3 morphology and polymorphism. Chem. Geol. 262, 138–146. doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2009.01.006
Webster, A., and May, E. (2006). Bioremediation of weathered-building stone surfaces. *Trends Biotechnol.* 24, 255–260. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2006.04.005

Zanardini, E., May, E., Purdy, K. J., and Murrel, J. C. (2019). Nutrient cycling potential within microbial communities on culturally important stoneworks. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 11, 147–154. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12707

Zavarzin, G. A. (2002). Microbial geochemical calcium cycle. *Microbiology* 71, 1–17.

Zhu, T., and Dittrich, M. (2016). Carbonate precipitation through microbial activities in natural environment, and their potential in biotechnology: a review. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 4:4. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2016.00004

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer CO declared a past collaboration with one of the authors BP to the handling Editor.

Copyright © 2020 Marvasi, Mastromei and Perito. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.