Simulating realistic screening clouds around quantum impurities: role of spatial anisotropy and disorder
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Dynamical quantum impurities in metals induce electronic correlations in real space that are difficult to simulate due to their multi-scale nature, so that only s-wave scattering in clean metallic hosts has been investigated so far. However, screening clouds should show anisotropy due to lack of full rotational invariance in two- and three-dimensional lattices, while inherent disorder will also induce spatial inhomogeneities. To tackle these challenges, we present an efficient and robust algorithm based on the recursive generation of natural orbitals defined as eigenvectors of the truncated single-particle density matrix. This method provides well-converged many-body wave functions on lattices with up to tens of thousands of sites, bypassing some limitations of other approaches. The algorithm is put to the test by investigating the charge screening cloud around an interacting resonant level, both on clean and disordered lattices, achieving accurate spatial resolution from short to long distances. We thus demonstrate strong anisotropy of spatial correlations around an adatom in the half-filled square lattice. Taking advantage of the efficiency of the algorithm, we further compute the disorder-induced distribution of Kondo temperatures over several thousands of random realizations, at the same time gaining access to the full spatial profile of the screening cloud in each sample. While the charge screening cloud is typically shortened due to the polarization of the impurity by the disorder potential, we surprisingly find that rare disorder configurations preserve the long range nature of Kondo correlations in the electronic bath.

I. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental description of systems involving a macroscopic number of particles subject both to interactions and disorder is a central question in condensed matter physics [1]. Quantum impurity problems, where a few localized degrees of freedom experience strong Coulomb repulsion while hybridizing with a much larger system of otherwise free particles, constitute the simplest manifestations of many-body phenomena, which have made them a central focus of research [2]. Beyond their obvious relevance in describing actual impurities in metals [3] or transport in electronic quantum dots [4], quantum impurity models are used in a wider context through the dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) [5], describing at the local level, fully interacting lattice problems. Over the years, considerable effort has been devoted to the study of spatial correlations between a quantum impurity and its surrounding environment. [See Ref. 6 for a review.] The associated “Kondo cloud” indeed reveals a non-trivial screening process extending deep into the Fermi gas [7], that is however challenging to access experimentally through transport measurements. Significant theoretical attention has focused on the subtle correlations displayed in the spatial profile of the electronic density in response to a local polarization of the impurity [8–14]. Also, many alternative proposals for measurements have been made [15–25], and recently experimental evidence for a characteristic Kondo length was obtained [26].

Inherent to the experimental realizations of dynamical quantum impurity systems is the presence of charged or magnetized static defects that can affect the properties of the bulk metallic host. Strong randomness in an environment harboring dilute magnetic impurities can for instance induce wide distributions of Kondo temperatures [27, 28], leading to exotic non-Fermi liquid behavior. In the standard case of the spin Kondo effect, it was demonstrated that the random potential in the bath decreases the density of states at the impurity site [29], hence exponentially suppressing the Kondo temperature, which makes, paradoxically, the Kondo screening cloud extend over much longer scales than in the clean case. Clearly, a microscopic picture of the spatial Kondo correlations at play in dirty metals is still missing. In addition, studies of screening clouds in higher than one dimension have relied until now on full circular/spherical symmetry of the Fermi surface, reducing the problem to one-dimension in the case of s-wave impurity scattering. However, more complicated Fermi surfaces can give rise to anisotropic screening clouds, a topic that has also not been investigated yet. Our main goal here is to provide a tailored quantum impurity solver that can efficiently resolve spatial correlations on large-scale lattices, and possibly in the presence of spatial disorder, allowing us to explore some surprising aspects of these systems.

Simulating the real space screening properties of disordered quantum impurity problems requires not only techniques that can tackle lattices with tens of thousands of sites, but that are also fast enough to systematically sample over thousands of disorder configurations. Indeed, Numerical Renormalization Group (NRG) [2, 30] studies of Kondo clouds [26] have been limited so far to clean environments [11, 31], due to the time-consuming reconstruction of accurate real space features. The Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) [32] can also re-
solve real space features around quantum impurities [12], but existing studies are limited to lattices with a few hundreds of sites only. As a result, only approximate scaling equations have been used to provide a (qualitative) picture of how the single Kondo scale is affected by disorder [29]. Understanding quantitatively the microscopic properties of disordered quantum impurity problems and the associated spatial correlations in the dirty screening cloud remains an open problem, that we wish to address here.

In order to tackle the challenge of simulating dirty quantum impurity problems on large lattices and for a huge number of disorder samples, we propose to exploit the hierarchical structure of electronic correlations when impurity problems are expressed in their natural orbital (NO) basis. In terms of second quantization fermionic operators \( c_{ij}^\dagger \) describing an arbitrary complete set of orbitals, natural orbitals \( q_{ia} = \sum_j c_{ij}^\dagger P_{ja} \) are constructed from the orthonormal eigenvectors \( P_{ja} \) obeying \( \sum_j Q_{ij} P_{ja} = \lambda_{\alpha} P_{i\alpha} \), with \( Q_{ij} \) the one-body density matrix (covariance matrix) defined as \( Q_{ij} = \langle c_{ij}^\dagger c_{ij} \rangle \), where the expectation value is with respect to the full many-body ground state of the problem. The efficient representation of many-body problems through NOs was first exploited in quantum chemistry [33, 34], permitting an optimal description of molecular wave functions, while taking into account the most relevant correlations at play. Indeed, core orbitals of atoms or molecules are almost fully occupied, and correspond to frozen NOs with an occupation close to 2 (considering spin-1/2 electrons), that can be well-treated at mean field level. Valence orbitals constitute the active space, that must be attacked with a full configuration interaction calculation, which allows one to deal with a smaller and most pertinent subspace of the complete Hilbert space.

However, NOs are not known beforehand, as they depend on the full ground state of the system, and numerical methods have been developed to approximate them. Typically, the active space is constructed by advanced minimization algorithms, that form the core of standard quantum chemistry packages [35]. Iterative schemes have also been proposed in the quantum chemistry context by Li and Paldus [36], and the recursive algorithm that we present in this article exploits similar ideas, yet in the field of quantum impurity models. Indeed, it has recently been demonstrated numerically that the ground states of quantum impurity models in clean hosts are efficiently described by NOs [37–39], and a rigorous mathematical background for these ideas has also been provided [40]. These studies of the covariance matrix in impurity models have shown that approximations in which correlations are confined to a small subset of NOs, converge exponentially to the true ground state as the number of correlated orbitals is increased [39].

This observation allows two alternative yet efficient descriptions of the ground state of quantum impurity models. The first description relies on trial wave functions that are linear superpositions of a few non-orthogonal Gaussian states [40–42]. This method relies on optimizing the trial state over the manifold of parameters defining the Slater determinants, a task that becomes harder the larger the system. The second description uses a strict separation of active (correlated) and inactive (uncorrelated) orbitals in the one-particle natural basis, treating the former in full configuration interaction, and the latter within a single Slater determinant [36, 39]. This is the approach that we will pursue in the present work, although extending the Gaussian state ideas might be fruitful as well.

In the context of quantum impurity problems, and in some cases within DMFT calculations, various algorithms based on natural orbitals have already been developed [43–46], some of these methods being approximate, while others allow for systematic improvement and can reach a ground state error that is orders of magnitude smaller than the smallest relevant energy scale for model parameters of practical interest. We employ a method [36, 37, 47] that recursively generates improved guesses for the NO basis by incorporating new orbitals into the active space and discarding old ones in steps reminiscent of sweeps in the Density Matrix Renormalization Group. By demonstrating the usefulness of iterative solvers in the dirty case, we extend the scope of methods based on natural orbitals, especially for a more realistic description of interacting and disordered systems, a notoriously difficult problem. We have experimented with various sweeping protocols, and present one here that leads to rapid convergence in most realizations of the disordered interacting resonant level model that we studied. We believe the same protocol will prove efficient for other disordered impurity models.

Our results demonstrate a wealth of counter-intuitive results that we briefly summarize here. For a half-filled square lattice, correlations are always negative in the non-interacting case, but interactions can drive positive correlations between the impurity and its host not only at short distances, but on a “butterfly pattern” at a finite range. These correlations die off mostly faster than with s-wave symmetry, but spread to longer distances on the diagonal of the 2D lattice. In the case where the metallic host is disordered, we also find surprisingly that some rare configurations can survive the presence of strong disorder, with screening clouds that are robust thanks to orbitals living near the Fermi level. These two observations are relevant for the understanding of the entanglement generated by a Fermi liquid between diluted quantum impurities in realistic lattices.

The article is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we present the recursive algorithm for quantum impurity models, together with the sweeping protocol that, in our experience, gives the best performance. We then benchmark the method on the Interacting Resonant Level Model (IRLM), by comparing to accurate NRG simulations on the Wilson chain. We also present the direct computation of the Kondo screening cloud in the
clean case, for a large number of sites in a real space chain, a method that is more practical than NRG simulations. Having demonstrated the method’s ability to handle large systems, we then employ it to study the highly anisotropic screening cloud that results in a two-dimensional square lattice at half-filling. In Sec. III, we use the recursive algorithm to study the effect of charge disorder on the bath surrounding a quantum impurity, and give an analysis of spatial correlations within the dirty screening cloud. Finally, in Sec. IV, we summarize our results and present potential applications for disordered Kondo systems. Two appendices discuss technical matters.

II. RECURSIVE GENERATION OF NATURAL ORBITALS

A. The general idea

Natural orbital methods are based on a many-fermion variational ground state Ansatz of the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = f_1^1 \ldots f_{N_{\text{occ}}}^1 \sum_S \Psi_S |S\rangle. \quad (1)$$

Here $f_1^1$ to $f_{N_{\text{occ}}}^1$ create fermions in orbitals deep enough in the Fermi sea that their occupancy can be approximated as equal to one. We refer to this set as the occupied sector. From the orthogonal complement of the occupied sector, one defines a reduced set of $M$ orthogonal orbitals that will be hosting the correlations. We call this subspace the correlated sector (or active space in quantum chemistry). The remaining orbitals define the unoccupied sector, and, with an occupancy approximated to zero, do not take part in the state (1). The sum over $S$ in (1) runs over all Slater determinants describing a certain number $N_{\text{occ}}$ of fermions occupying orbitals in the correlated sector (typically $M/2$ particles in case of half-filling). For a given choice of the occupied, correlated and unoccupied sectors, the coefficients $\Psi_S$ that minimize the expectation value of the energy, are such that $\sum_S \Psi_S |S\rangle$ is the ground state of an effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ in the correlated sector, which is obtained from the full Hamiltonian $H$ by treating particles in the occupied sector at mean field level. (Full details are provided below.) The quality of the Ansatz hinges on the choice of orbitals that span the occupied, correlated and unoccupied sectors. The optimal choice has the property that the occupied and unoccupied sectors are spanned by the eigenvectors (natural orbitals) of the covariance matrix that have eigenvalues closest to one or zero respectively. In fermionic impurity problems, the energy expectation value of the optimal Ansatz converges exponentially to the true ground state energy as $M$ is increased, with a rate that stays finite in the thermodynamic limit.

The true covariance matrix of the system is not known a priori, as it needs to be calculated from the exact ground state. Strategies that recursively generate improved guesses for the NOs surmount this problem as follows. Given is a guess for the NOs and a partitioning into the occupied, correlated and unoccupied sectors. To improve this guess, the correlated sector is expanded by adding to it well-chosen orbitals, typically one each from the current occupied and unoccupied sectors, as well as increasing the number of particles hosted by the correlated sector, typically by one. This results in a correlated state with typically one added fermion, that we denote here as $\sum_S \Psi_S^{\text{add}} |S\rangle$, obtained as the ground state of the effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ in the enlarged correlated sector. From there, the covariance matrix is estimated as $Q_{ij} = \langle \Psi^{\text{add}} | c_i^\dagger c_j | \Psi^{\text{add}} \rangle$, where $| \Psi^{\text{add}} \rangle = f_1^1 \ldots f_{N_{\text{occ}}-1}^1 \sum_S \Psi_S^{\text{add}} |S\rangle$ is the full many-body state obtained by completing $\sum_S \Psi_S^{\text{add}} |S\rangle$ with the $N_{\text{occ}} - 1$ fermions in the reduced occupied sector. This estimate for the covariance matrix has $M + 2$ eigenvectors associated with eigenvalues different from zero and one. Of this set, the two eigenvectors with eigenvalues closest to zero and one are then respectively put back into the occupied and unoccupied sectors, thus reducing the number of orbitals in the correlated sector back to $M$. At this point the procedure is repeated, starting with the step of choosing orbitals from the occupied and unoccupied sectors to add to the correlated sector. The protocol for making this choice is designed to ensure that all (or most) steps lower the energy. The process is repeated until $|\Psi\rangle$ has flowed sufficiently close to a fixed point. In general, this fixed point may not be completely optimal. However, our benchmarking of the protocol we devised against numerically exact NRG results reveals that the error of the fixed point of our protocol, compared to the optimal state of form (1) is negligible with respect to the deviations to the exact ground state.

B. The detailed algorithm

The efficiency of the recursive generation of natural orbitals crucially depends on the initial choice of the NOs and the recursive update protocol. We now present the strategy that we found most reliable and efficient. Our protocol is generic, but it is convenient to introduce a concrete model in order to explain it. Consider therefore the clean Interacting Resonant Level Model, whose disordered version we will study in detail in Sec. III:

$$H = V \left( c_1^\dagger c_2 + \text{h.c.} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} t_i \left( c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U \left( c_1^\dagger c_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( c_2^\dagger c_2 - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (2)$$

Here $c_i^\dagger$ creates an electron at site $i$ of the chain, with $N$ sites in total. See top panel of Fig. 1. This spinless model consists of a fermionic impurity (at site 1) coupled to a non-interacting electronic lead, represented by a tight-
binding chain. Despite the spinless nature of Eq. (2), Kondo physics emerges for \( U < 0 \) in the charge sector (here \( U \) is the Coulomb interaction between the impurity and the bath). This is due to the degeneracy of the states \( |0\rangle \) and \( c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger |0\rangle \) that is not lifted at first order in \( V \), the hybridization between impurity and the bath. A chain form of the bath is used for simplicity, but the method presented here can be adapted to any lattice, even in 2D or 3D. (See Appendix A). The values of the hopping parameters \( t_i \) in the chain are for now left arbitrary, and are restricted to nearest neighbors here just for simplicity of notation.
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FIG. 1. Initialization: The first \( M \) sites of the chain are chosen to describe the correlated space (in red), whereas a diagonalization of the hopping matrix \( \hat{t} = \hat{P}E\hat{P}^\dagger \) between the remaining \( N - M \) sites defines the orbitals spanning the uncorrelated space (in blue). Orbitals \( f_\alpha \), obtained from this diagonalization are paired according to their energy relative to the chemical potential, and introduced into the correlated sector two at a time, before proceeding to the diagonalization of the enlarged space.

**Initial choice for the correlated sector.** We first have to choose a complete set of orbitals in order to start the iteration procedure. In this initial basis, we also need to pick \( M \) orbitals that will constitute the correlated space. Convergence will clearly be helped if the initial guess is closer to the final solution. Bearing in mind that we eventually will study disordered systems, we choose to initialize the correlated space by selecting the impurity orbital and the Wannier orbitals associated with the \( M - 1 \) sites nearest to the impurity.

**Iterative diagonalization.** For a given choice of the correlated sector, the occupied and unoccupied sectors must be constructed from orbitals that span the orthogonal complement of the correlated sector. We note that an arbitrary rotation and re-ordering of these orbitals is possible since they are nearly degenerate with respect to the spectrum of the covariance matrix, and we found that such an update can strongly affect the convergence speed. During the iterative procedure of building the natural orbitals, the orbitals initially defined as uncorrelated will be added to the correlated space two at a time, and it is important to choose the most favorable set. We want to start with those that are most likely to participate in correlations, which are generally the ones closest to the Fermi energy. We thus perform a one-body diagonalization of the non-interacting part of the Hamiltonian, projected onto the orthogonal complement of the correlated sector:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle 0 | c_j \mathcal{P} H | U = 0 \mathcal{P} c_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle P_{\alpha\alpha} = E_{\alpha} P_{\alpha\alpha}
\]

where \( \mathcal{P} = \sum_{\alpha} f_\alpha^\dagger | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | f_\alpha \) and \( \{ f_\alpha^\dagger \} \) spans the orthogonal complement of the current correlated sector. In the first step, we can choose \( \{ f_\alpha^\dagger \} = \{ c_\alpha^\dagger \} = \{ e_\alpha^\dagger \} = \{ c_M^\dagger \} \), as illustrated in Fig. 1. We use the results of this diagonalization to update the basis for the occupied and unoccupied sectors \( f_\alpha^\dagger \leftarrow \sum_{\alpha'=1}^{N} P_{\alpha\alpha'} c_{\alpha'}^\dagger \), such that orbitals \( f_\alpha^\dagger \) with \( E_{\alpha} < 0 \) form the occupied sector and the remaining ones form the empty sector. We sort the uncorrelated orbitals such that \( E_1 \) is the first energy below the Fermi energy, \( E_2 \) is the first energy above the Fermi energy, \( E_3 \) is the second energy below the Fermi energy, etc., and use this order when we incorporate orbitals into the correlated sector during the iterative process of building the natural orbitals.

After the above determination of the correlated and uncorrelated sectors, we apply the following sweep protocol. We denote the current set of correlated orbitals \( q_1^\dagger, \ldots, q_M^\dagger \). Their expansion coefficients in terms of the site basis \( e_\alpha^\dagger \) are denoted \( P_{\alpha\alpha} \). (At step \( n = 1 \), the \( q_\alpha^\dagger \) orbitals are equal to the \( M \) first site orbitals \( c_\alpha^\dagger \).) In the first step of the sweep, we remove the first pair of uncorrelated orbitals \( f_1^\dagger \) and \( f_2^\dagger \) from the occupied and unoccupied sectors respectively and add them to the correlated sector:

\[
q_{M+1}^\dagger = f_1^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad q_{M+2}^\dagger = f_2^\dagger.
\]

All other \( f \)-orbitals are kept frozen, resulting in a few-body Hamiltonian truncated to the \( M + 2 \) kept orbitals (see Ref. 39 for details):

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{M+2} \left[ t_{ab} + 2 \sum_{\alpha=3}^{N-M} (U_{ab\alpha\beta} - U_{ab\beta\alpha}) n_{\alpha} \right] q_a^\dagger q_b^\dagger + \sum_{a,b,c,d} U_{abcd} q_a^\dagger q_b^\dagger q_c^\dagger q_d^\dagger + E_{\text{occ}},
\]

\[
E_{\text{occ}} = \sum_{\alpha=3}^{N-M} t_{\alpha\alpha} n_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=3}^{N-M} \sum_{\beta=3}^{N-M} (U_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} - U_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}) n_{\alpha} n_{\beta}.
\]

Here the latin indices \( a, b, c, d \) refer to the \( M+2 \) correlated orbitals, while the greek indices \( \alpha, \beta \) denote the \( N-M-2 \) frozen orbitals \( f_\alpha^\dagger \) that have occupancy \( n_\alpha = 0 \) or 1, providing the mean-field shift of the first line of Eq. (4). To obtain this expression, we reformulated the single interaction term \( U c_1^\dagger c_2^\dagger c_2 c_1 \) term of Hamiltonian (2) as a four-leg tensor \( U_{ABCD} = U_{AP_{A}P_{B}P_{C}P_{D}^{\ast}} \) in the complete NO basis i.e. \( A, B, C \) and \( D \) can each be a lower case roman index referring to a correlated orbital or a greek index.
referring to an uncorrelated orbital. As a result, \( U_{abcd} \) is the contribution that is internal to the correlated \( q \)-orbitals, while \( U_{dga} \) acts within the uncorrelated sector, and provides only a constant energy shift in (5). Terms like \( U_{aba} \) couple both sectors without exchanging particles and contribute to the additive one-body part of the correlated sector. Similarly,

\[
t_{AB} = \frac{U}{2} (P_{1A} P_{1B}^* + P_{2A} P_{2B}^*) + V (P_{1A} P_{2B}^* + P_{2A} P_{1B}^*)
+ \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} t_i (P_{1A} P_{i+1,B}^* + P_{i+1,A} P_{iB}^*),
\]

(6)
describes all the additive one-body terms of \( H \) in the NO basis, accounting for the chain hoppings \( t_i \), the hybridization \( V \), and the particle-hole symmetry restoring potential \( -U (n_1 + n_2) \) in (2). Only the terms \( t_{ab} \) and \( t_{aa} \) contribute in (4), because correlated and uncorrelated orbitals do not mix in the wave function. The choice \( \sum_S \Psi_S^{\text{add}} \) is \( |S \rangle \) where \( |S \rangle \) is the \( N_{\text{cor}} + 1 \) particle ground state of \( H_{\text{eff}} \) (with one particle added) minimizes with respect to the coefficients \( \Psi_S^{\text{add}} \) the expectation value \( \langle H \rangle \) of the full Hamiltonian for the complete Ansatz \( |\Psi^{\text{add}}\rangle = f_1^1 \cdots f_{N_{\text{cor}}}^{N_{\text{cor}}} \sum_S \Psi_S^{\text{add}} |S \rangle \). The matrix dimension of \( H_{\text{eff}} \) grows exponentially in \( M \), but because the original Hamiltonian typically only contains two-body interactions, the number of non-zero entries per row only grows like \( M^4 \). We could therefore efficiently find the ground state of \( H_{\text{eff}} \) using sparse matrix techniques for \( M \leq 16 \), although \( M = 6 \) already proved sufficiently accurate for most practical purposes.

From the ground state of \( H_{\text{eff}} \), the non-trivial \( (M + 2) \times (M + 2) \) block \( Q_{ab} = \langle q_{a}^\dagger q_b \rangle \) of the covariance matrix is obtained, and its eigenvectors provide a new set of \( M + 2 \) orbitals \( \tilde{q}_{a} \). The two \( \tilde{q} \)-orbitals with covariance matrix eigenvalues closest respectively to 0 and 1 are re-defined as new \( f \)-orbitals and moved to the unoccupied and occupied spaces. The \( M \) kept orbitals define the new correlated space, to which the next two \( f \)-orbitals are added. This diagonalization procedure is iterated until all uncorrelated \( f \)-orbitals have been incorporated into the correlated sector once. See Fig. 2 for a schematic description of this whole scheme. This process allows inclusion of information from all orbitals (describing all spatial scales) into the update of the correlated orbitals.

**Sweeps.** The iterative diagonalization described above constitutes a sweep, that gives a first approximation of the NOs of the problem. In order to reach full convergence of the NOs (for a given size \( M \) of the correlated subspace), we perform several sweeps, taking the NOs obtained at the end of the previous sweep to initialize the next one. Monitoring the energy or any other relevant observable provides some practical notion of convergence of the NOs, and in most cases, 10 to 20 sweeps are enough. The accuracy of the final ground state can generally be assessed by computing the variance of the total Hamiltonian, but we turn now to a more detailed comparison to NRG results.

![Fig. 2. Evolution of the Hamiltonian during step \( n+1 \) of a sweep. We define \( H^{(n)} \) by adding the orbitals \( f_{2n-1} \) and \( f_{2n} \) to the current correlated space. Computing the covariance matrix \( Q \) in the ground state of \( H_n \) defines a new set of orbitals \( \tilde{q} \). Dropping the two orbitals (in blue) that have occupancy closest to 0 and 1, we can add two new \( f \)-orbitals, thus defining the Hamiltonian \( H^{(n+1)} \) at step \( n+1 \).](image)

**C. Benchmark on the Wilson chain**

We test the quality of the many-body wave function our iterative algorithm produces by comparing to NRG, taking the same energy grid in the bath for both algorithms. We model the bath with a constant density of states in a symmetric band of half-width \( D \), that we take as our unit of energy. We perform the standard preliminaries of logarithmic discretization of the bath energies, transforming the bath Hamiltonian into a Wilson chain with hopping parameters:

\[
t_i = \frac{(1 + \Lambda^{-1}) (1 - \Lambda^{-(i-2)-1})}{2\sqrt{1 - \Lambda^{-2(i-2)-1}} \sqrt{1 - \Lambda^{-2(i-2)-3}}} \Lambda^{-(i-2)/2} D.
\]

(7)

Here the index \( i \) has been offset as \( i - 2 \) with respect to the usual NRG conventions, because the chain starts at \( i = 2 \) and not \( i = 0 \) in our definition for Hamiltonian (2). We perform simulations for \( \Lambda = 2 \), \( N = 110 \) sites and \( N_{\text{kept}} = 1500 \) kept states, which ensures converged results close to the thermodynamic limit given the parameters of the following study.

When the chemical potential of the bath is set to \( \mu = 0 \), Hamiltonian (2) enjoys particle-hole symmetry, and the ground state exhibits a quantum phase transition for \( U \approx -1.3D \), and well-developed Kondo correlations for \( U < 0 \), which permits us to test our methodology in a
nontrivial many-body regime. Nevertheless, we have to be careful with both algorithms when we approach close to the transition, since the characteristic energy scale $T_K$ vanishes exponentially in this regime, whereas the thermodynamic limit requires $N > D/T_K$. For the IRLM, where Kondo correlations develop in the charge sector, the Kondo temperature is defined as:

$$T_K = \frac{1}{4 \chi}, \quad \text{with} \quad \chi = \frac{\partial \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle}{\partial \epsilon_1} \bigg|_{\epsilon_1 \to 0}$$

where $\chi$ is the local susceptibility of the impurity to a small energy bias $\epsilon_1 \hat{n}_1$, with $\hat{n}_1 = c_1^\dagger c_1$ the density operator at the impurity site, and its vanishing at the critical point signals the onset of spontaneous particle-hole symmetry breaking at $T = 0$. In practice, the derivative is computed by calculating the ground state twice, once at $\epsilon_1 = 0$ and once at $\epsilon_1 \ll T_K$. In Fig. 3, we compare the ground state energy obtained by recursive generation of natural orbitals to the one computed in NRG, for different values of the interaction $U$, and for three different values of the interaction $U$. The calculation is performed for hybridization $V = 0.15D$, Wilson parameter $\Lambda = 2.0$, and $N = 110$ sites.

FIG. 3. IRLM ground state energy obtained from the recursive natural orbitals algorithm, relative to the NRG result, as a function of the number of correlated orbitals $M$, and for three different values of the interaction $U$. The calculation is performed for hybridization $V = 0.15D$, Wilson parameter $\Lambda = 2.0$, and $N = 110$ sites.

Another quantity of interest is the “spatial” extension of the NOs along the Wilson chain. The complete set of natural orbitals can be extracted from converged NRG simulations [39] by computing the full covariance matrix $\hat{Q}$, and extracting its eigenvectors. We compare in Fig. 4 the NRG and recursively obtained amplitude $P_{i1}$ of the most correlated orbital $q_1 = \sum_i P_{i1} c_i^\dagger$ (defined as having its occupancy the closest to $1/2$), which carries most of the Kondo entanglement. Even with a number of correlated orbitals as low as $M = 6$, the recursive result is nearly indistinguishable from the NRG results (the inset shows a precision of several digits, that improves by increasing $M$). We see in Fig. 4 that the largest amplitudes are around the site $n = 15$, which corresponds to an energy of $\Lambda^{-15/2} D \simeq 5.10^{-3}$ comparable indeed to $T_K \simeq 3.10^{-3}$. At fixed $M$, the recursive algorithm can only find the $M$ most correlated orbitals, but this is not a real disadvantage in comparison to other methods: due to their very near degeneracy in the spectrum of $\hat{Q}$, alternative methods also have to apply exponential effort to resolve the remaining orbitals individually.

Finally, we show on Fig. 5 how the energy converges as a function of sweep iterations, for several values of the number $M$ of correlated orbitals used in the recursive algorithm. After a rapid exponential decrease, the energy saturates to a plateau. The sweeps are typically stopped when the changes in the energy become smaller than $10^{-10}$. The non-interacting case $U = 0$ is exact by construction of the ansatz (1).

FIG. 4. Amplitude $P_{i1}$ along the Wilson chain of the most correlated natural orbital $q_1$, computed in NRG and with the recursive natural orbitals algorithm, for $U = -0.5D$. This orbital is the eigenvector of the $\hat{Q}$ matrix whose eigenvalue is the closest to $1/2$. The inset shows the convergence with $M$ at site $n = 16$, and similar precision is obtained for all sites. The simulation is done for a chain of $N = 110$ sites, but the plot is cut at $N = 50$ since the weight of the orbital is almost 0 further on.
D. Kondo Screening Cloud: clean case

1. One dimensional chain

We proceed now to the study of a system that goes further than standard NRG calculations on the Wilson chain, and that exploits the linear scaling of computation time with the number of lattice sites for each step within a sweep of the recursive algorithm. Our goal in this section is to study the quantum correlations in the screening cloud of the IRLM, a task that requires a good spatial resolution on all scales for exponentially large chains. The screening cloud of the Kondo model has been studied accurately in early work by Borda [11], but the use of NRG is expensive, as each lattice point in the wanted spatial correlator requires an independent NRG calculation on a two bath geometry. DMRG studies on real space lattices have also been reported [48], but seem limited to lattices of moderate size. In contrast to NRG, NOs allow for a single shot method, that provides a direct computation of the whole correlation cloud once the many-body wave function is known. In practice, an accurate characterization of the complete screening cloud can be obtained in a few seconds of running time depending on the size of the bath, and this advantage will become crucial for studying ensemble averages later on for the disordered IRLM.

We will still focus on the IRLM Hamiltonian (2), but now using the standard real space discretization of the finite size system, so that the hoppings $t_i = t$ are constant on a chain with $N = 10^4$ sites. In order to keep the density of states at the Fermi energy unchanged between the logarithmic and the real space implementations, we take $t = 0.5D$. In the spinful Kondo problem, the impurity magnetic moment is screened by the electrons of the bath below the temperature $T_K$, and the ground state is a singlet formed by the impurity and the electrons involved in the screening process. The spatial extension of this singlet, the screening cloud, is usually observed through the equal-time spin correlator between the impurity and fermions at site $i$, $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}(i) \rangle$. The one-channel Kondo Hamiltonian and the IRLM are related by a bosonization transformation [49], and the $\langle S^z S^z(i) \rangle$ component of the spin correlator of the Kondo model can readily be related to a natural IRLM observable, namely the following charge correlator:

$$C_i = \langle (c_i^\dagger c_i - \langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle) (c_i^\dagger c_i - \langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle) \rangle$$

between the impurity charge and the local density operator at site $i$ in the chain. Substracting here the average charge is done to reveal the long-distance fluctuations that originate from non-trivial correlations between the impurity and the bath. At half filling and without disorder, one has simply $\langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle = \langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle = 1/2$. The correlator $C_i$ is computed for every site including the impurity at $i = 1$. By summing $C_i$ over all lattice sites, and using the fact that $\sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i$ is the conserved number of particles, one obtains the sum rule:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N C_i = 0. \tag{10}$$

Without a simple benchmark to NRG results for the observable $C_i$, we compute the variance of the Hamiltonian $(\langle H^2 \rangle - \langle H \rangle^2)/\langle H \rangle^2$ to have a quantitative measure of the precision of the state built from the truncated NOs. The square root of the variance is a measure of the error in the variational energy relative to the real ground state energy. For the results presented below, the states obtained recursively give an energy variance of at worst $10^{-10}$, and we will see that other observables are well converged also with respect to $M$.

Fig. 6 shows our results for the IRLM screening cloud in a very large system with $N = 10^4$ sites, for $U = -0.5D$ and $V = 0.15D$, corresponding to a screening length $L_K = 1/T_K \approx 300$ sites. The even and odd sites have been separated into two panels for better readability, due to the strong $2k_F$ oscillations of the cloud. This separation allows us also to extract the envelope of these oscillations, which can be compared to universal scaling predictions. At intermediate distances $1 \ll i \ll L_K$, perturbation theory [10] predicts a decay in $i^{-1}$ for both components. For distances $i \gg L_K$, an $i^{-2}$ decay is obtained by Fermi liquid arguments for the largest component, and an $i^{-4}$ decay for the $2k_F$ component [50]. The full crossover between those two regimes, that has no analytical prediction, takes place at distances $i \sim L_K$ and extends over a decade. From these curves, we see that $M = 6$ is enough to get a good precision on the screening cloud, except near the end of the chain, where the $2k_F$ correlations drop to tiny values. Since our simulations are very fast for $M = 6$ and $N \sim 10^3$ sites (a single run takes a few seconds), we can exploit the method to investigate statistical aspects of Kondo correlations in disordered metals, a challenging question that we will explore in Sec. III.
Dynamic impurities embedded in two- or three-dimensional lattices are usually considered under the assumption of a circular or spherical Fermi surface [6]. At length scales sufficiently larger than the lattice constant, the approximate circular or spherical symmetry of the problem, together with the short range nature of the coupling between the impurity and the host, then permits one to forget about the lattice and assume s-wave scattering only. This reduces the problem to an effective one-dimensional model. Until now, the large dimension of the single-particle Hilbert space of a two- or three-dimensional lattice has prevented the study of higher dimensional screening clouds beyond the case of circular/spherical Fermi surface. Here we consider an interacting resonant level adatom coupled to the central site (0,0) of a \((2\Omega + 1) \times (2\Omega + 1)\) square lattice. We assume uniform nearest neighbour hopping in the lattice. At half-filling the system has a square Fermi surface and a logarithmic van Hove singularity in the density of states at the Fermi energy. To the best of our knowledge, dynamic impurity screening clouds have to date not been studied in this two-dimensional setting.

The Hamiltonian reads:

\[
H = U (d^\dagger d - 1/2)(c^\dagger_{0,0} c_{0,0} - 1/2) + V \left( c^\dagger_{0,0} d + V d^\dagger c_{0,0} \right) + \frac{t}{2} \sum_{NN} \left( c^\dagger_{i_1,j_1} c_{i_2,j_2} + c^\dagger_{i_2,j_2} c_{i_1,j_1} \right),
\]

where the sum in the last line runs over all distinct pairs of nearest neighbors on a square lattice with opposing corners at \((\pm \Omega, \pm \Omega)\) and at \((\mp \Omega, \pm \Omega)\). We have normalized the hopping term such that the half-bandwidth is 2|t| as in the one-dimensional case we considered previously. We study in what follows a 301 × 301 lattice. Point-group symmetries and accidental degeneracies reduce the dimension of the single-particle Hilbert space of the non-trivial part of the problem from 90602 to 11402, (roughly a factor of 8 reduction). Technical details of the calculation are provided in Appendix A. In Appendix B, we also refute a claim that a tridiagonalization scheme can reduce 2D Kondo impurity problems on an \(L \times L\) lattice to an effective one-dimensional chain with order \(O(L)\) sites. The problem that we tackle maintains therefore a two-dimensional complexity w.r.t. the orbital sector.

We work in units where the half-bandwidth is \(D = 1\), and we set \(V = 0.15D, U = -0.4D\). This leads to a Kondo temperature of \(T_K = 0.012D\), calculated using (8). This is somewhat larger than the single-particle level spacing \((\pi/30D)D = 0.010D\) at the Fermi level, which serves as infrared cutoff. We therefore expect to see well-developed correlations. Resolving dilute correlations in a two-dimensional lattice requires high accuracy. We took \(M = 12\) and estimate that the calculated correlation cloud is converged to correlations down to \(\lesssim 10^{-9}\). We computed the real space correlation cloud \(C_{i,j} = \left( \langle d^\dagger d - 1/2 \rangle (c^\dagger_{i,j} c_{i,j} - 1/2) \right)\). In the left panel of Fig. 7 we show the result in the patch 0 \(\leq i, j \leq 100\), which constitutes 1/9th of the full system studied, using a logarithmic color scale clipped at \(\pm 10^{-9}\). For comparison, we show the \(U = 0\) uncorrelated cloud for the same \(V\) in the middle panel. At \(V = 0.15D\), the \(U = 0\) cloud has \(T_K = 0.05D\), 5 times larger than \(T_K\) of the \(U = -0.4D\) interacting case. In general, we obtain an X-shaped cloud. This is similar to the shape of the single-particle orbital \(b_0\) in the clean lattice at the Fermi energy to which the \(d\)-orbital couples, which has the position-representation wave function

\[
\langle 0 | c_{i,j} b_{i,j}^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \frac{(-)^j \delta_{ij} + \delta_{i0} \delta_{j0}}{2\sqrt{\Omega + 1}}.
\]

However, unlike the Fermi orbital (12), the cloud decays along the \((j, \pm j)\) diagonals and spreads away from them. It is clear that there is significantly more spreading when \(U = -0.4D\), than when \(U = 0\), due to the smaller \(T_K\) in the interacting case. A more qualitative difference is that the \(U = 0\) cloud never takes on positive values, i.e. the charge polarity everywhere on the lattice tends to be opposite to that on the \(d\)-orbital, and is strictly confined to the \(A\)-sublattice for which \(i + j\) is even. In contrast, for the interacting case with \(U = -0.4D\), the charge polarity on the central site \((C_{0,0} = 0.007)\) tends to be the same as on the \(d\)-orbital, as is expected from the fact that negative \(U\) favours zero or double occupancy of the \(d\)-orbital and the central site. More interesting is the fact there...
is another “butterfly-like” region, between 10 and 30 lattice constants away from the central site, where charge polarity again tends to be the same as on the d-orbital (yellow dots in Fig. 7). We also observe a significant presence of correlations on the B-sublattice in the interacting case: indeed, at $U = -0.4D$, $\sum_{(i,j)\in B} |C_{i,j}| = 0.073$ while $\sum_{(i,j)\in A} |C_{i,j}| = 0.19$, i.e. correlations on the B-sublattice grow to more than a third of the correlations on the A-sublattice. (These B-sublattice correlations are strictly zero in the non-interacting case.) Finally, in the right panel of Fig. 7, we plot minus the total amount of correlations at hopping distance $2l$, i.e. $-\sum_{|i|+|j| = 2l} C_{i,j}$, as a function of $2l$. The equivalent quantity in the case of approximate circular symmetry is $2\pi C_r$ where $C_r$ is the cloud at any point a distance $r$ from the impurity. At distances smaller than $1/T_K$, $2\pi C_r$ decays like $1/r$ for all $U$, up to logarithmic corrections. For the case of a square Fermi surface, the sum is dominated by slowly decaying correlations close to $|i| = |j| = l$. The decay inside the cloud seems to obey a power law, although only one decade is clearly resolved. Unlike in the circularly symmetric case, the power law depends on $U$, with slower decay at negative $U$ than at $U = 0$. Both at $U = 0$ and at $U = -0.4D$, the decay is faster than in the case of circular symmetry. However, the cloud on the diagonals $(j, \pm j)$ decays more slowly than in the case of circular symmetry.

The picture of the anisotropic cloud that emerges from this study is one in which significant parts of the region within $1/T_K$ away from the impurity is free of significant correlations, while in other regions that are $1/T_K$ away from the impurity, correlations are asymptotically larger than expected from the isotropic limit. Based on these findings, we speculate that in half-filled square lattice with many IRLM type impurities, a small fraction of impurities will interact via correlations induced in the conduction band, possibly even if they are more than $1/T_K$ apart, while the majority of the impurities will not significantly interact, even if they are closer to each other than $1/T_K$. Extending our study to the case of spinful Kondo impurities would be interesting, but similar anisotropy effects are certain to take place.

III. SCREENING CLOUD IN DISORDERED ENVIRONMENTS

A. The model for dirty screening clouds

Early studies have explored the physics of Kondo impurities in disordered environments, but due to the difficulties in simulating real space lattices, approximate scaling equations [51] have mostly been used. Partly due to its relevance for dilute Kondo alloys, but partly also due to the above practical limitation, previous works have focused on the distribution of Kondo temperatures. The recursive NO method promises a more quantitative description of this problem, and an access to various microscopic observables in the ground state, such as the screening cloud. We propose here to add charge disorder to the real space IRLM Eq. (2), still with uniform hopping $t_i = t = D/2$ in the chain, adding a generic disorder potential in the Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{dis}} = \sum_{i=2}^{N} \nu_i c_i^\dagger c_i.$$  (13)

Here the disorder is modelled by a local potential $\nu_i$ that is uniformly distributed in the interval $[-v, v]$ on every site of the chain, except for the impurity site $i = 1$. Indeed, we chose to exclude a random potential on the impurity because it automatically polarizes the impurity site, trivially destroying most Kondo correlations. The potential term $\nu_i$ explicitly breaks particle-hole symme-
try, and the average charge $\langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle$ is not uniform anymore. We emphasize that the disordered IRLM corresponds to a Kondo model with random magnetic uniaxial disorder, a problem that has not been studied extensively yet. The physics that we explore will turn out to be quite different from known aspects of the standard spinful Kondo problem with charge disorder.

We use the recursive method presented in Sec. II for each realization of the disorder. We take $M = 6$ and $N = 10^3$ sites, which is enough because charge disorder tends to make correlations shorter ranged than in the clean IRLM. For a randomly selected subset of disorder realizations, we repeated our calculations at increasing $M$, and find in each case that indeed the cloud and $T_K$ data at $M = 6$ is converged. The efficiency of our algorithm allows us to sample $10^4$ realizations of the disorder, and obtain good statistics. For each run, we follow the flow of the ground state energy and of another sensitive observable, for instance $\langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle$, to which the Kondo temperature is related through Eq. (8), so that we can stop the sweeps when both quantities have saturated. We monitor as well the variance of the Hamiltonian to ensure good convergence. Out of $10^4$ samples, a few tens of disorder realizations failed to converge satisfactorily within the maximum number of iterations we allowed our program to run. While experimentation showed that small changes to our sweeping protocol can converge these realizations on a case by case basis, we did not pursue it for each case, and rather discarded this statistically insignificant subset.

\[ \text{FIG. 8. Distribution of Kondo temperatures } P(T_K) \text{ in the Kondo regime of the dirty IRLM for } U = -0.5D \text{ and three different disorder strengths. The horizontal axis is normalized to the clean Kondo temperature } T_K^0 \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}D. \text{ Generically, the typical } T_K \text{ is pushed to large values, due to a polarization effect on the impurity, which makes Kondo correlations short range. A clear bimodal distribution is however seen at low disorder, showing some degree robustness towards values close to the clean value.} \]
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\[ \text{B. Distribution of Kondo temperatures} \]

In usual Kondo systems displaying spin correlations and a spin singlet ground state, charge disorder shows various effects on the distribution $P(T_K)$ of Kondo temperatures [27–29]. For weak disorder, the shape of $P(T_K)$ follows a log-normal law that is centered around the clean Kondo temperature $T_K^0$. At increasing disorder, $P(T_K)$ tends to spread away from the clean value $T_K^0$ with extended tails down to $T_K = 0$. This is because the Kondo scale is proportional to $e^{-1/(\rho^2)}$, with $\rho$ the Kondo coupling, and charge disorder tends to deplete the local density of states $\rho$. A fraction of unscreened moments contribute to a universal divergence of the magnetic susceptibility with temperature, that is responsible for an observed non-Fermi liquid behavior as $T \to 0$ in diluted alloys [52–55]. The formation of free magnetic moments appears when disorder opens sufficiently large gaps in the local density of states at the Kondo impurity, which prevents the formation of the singlet. As we will see, charge disorder has a drastically different effect in the IRLM, because Kondo correlations rather develop in the charge sector.

In the case of the disordered IRLM, an important effect of the potential is to drive local charge offsets of $\langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle$ with respect to the clean value 1/2. In addition to random fluctuations in the density of states, the $d$-level is also Coulomb coupled to site $i = 2$, which induces a strong Hartree shift $U(\langle c_2^\dagger c_2 \rangle - 1/2)^2$ that polarizes the impurity. This implies that the local charge susceptibility $\chi$ is strongly reduced, and the relation $T_K = 1/(4\chi)$ leads to a boost of the Kondo energy. As a result, the distribution $P(T_K)$ shifts towards large values of $T_K/T_K^0$, as is indeed observed in Fig. 8. For weak disorder $v = 0.1D$, we see an interesting situation where a dominant fraction of the samples are indeed pushed to large $T_K$, but a sizeable portion remains weakly affected, as showed by a bimodal distribution $P(T_K)$. At intermediate disorder $v = 0.3D$, long tails towards $T_K/T_K^0 \approx 1$ are the remnant of this effect. Such bimodal distributions are also known to develop in spinful Kondo systems [28, 56–58], although the mechanism in the IRLM seems different, as we show now.

\[ \text{C. Local charge distribution} \]

We explore here in more detail the physical mechanism that drives the changes in the Kondo temperature for the disordered IRLM. Natural orbital methods give access to the many-body wave function of each individual realization, so that we can compute any observable in the ground state. We advocated that the occupation of the impurity is a key quantity, and indeed we find a clear statistical correlation between the various Kondo temperatures and the $d$-level charge (for the same $10^4$ samples as shown previously), see the upper panel in Fig. 9. The horizontal axis is here given by $\langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle (1 - \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle)$ instead of $\langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle$, which better displays the rare configurations where $\langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle$ stays close to 1/2. The closer the occupation is to 1/2, which corresponds to a weak breaking of particle-hole symmetry, the closer the system is to the clean case,
More subtle effects of disorder, such as bimodality, are also exemplified by the distribution of the $d$-level occupation $P(\langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle(1 - \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle))$ that is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 9: a large peak is indeed observed around $\langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle \approx 0$ or 1, and a smaller one around $1/2$, again due to some rare survivors of the clean state.

**D. Study of dirty screening clouds**

We will show that arguments based on the change of Kondo temperature induced by a given disorder realization give only a partial view of the internal affairs in quantum impurity ground states. We start here by confirming microscopically that the rare survival cases where $T_K$ stays close to the clean value $T_K^\circ$ are truly robust to the random potential. This is not totally obvious, since the potential configuration associated to these cases shows a prominent and fluctuating potential landscape. Recursive generation of natural orbitals offers an unique way to tackle this problem, by investigating the spatial decay in disordered screening clouds, as defined in Eq. (9).

We present in Fig. 10 three different dirty screening clouds (that are typical of the thousands of sample that we computed), one with Kondo temperature $T_K$ that is close to the clean value, and two that are far from it. The clean Kondo cloud is also shown as black dots for comparison. We observe clearly that the global amplitude and shape of the cloud is not radically affected in the case where $T_K \approx T_K^\circ$ (which corresponds to rare situations), despite disorder driving large local fluctuations that reflect the underlying profile of spatially localized orbitals living near the Fermi level, and that couple predominantly to the impurity. This result is somewhat unexpected because the localization length in our samples is typically shorter than the clean Kondo screening length. In the two cases where $T_K \gg T_K^\circ$ (which are the most generic), both the amplitude and the spatial extension $L_K$ of the cloud reduce, but very surprisingly, $L_K$ is not decreased in the same proportion as the observed 100 to 1000 fold enhancement of $T_K$. Thus, the naive scaling prediction $T_K \approx 1/L_K$ does not hold for dirty screening clouds, which is another unexpected result of our study.

We will now show how disorder affects the cloud amplitude, an effect that is again driven by the local environment of the impurity. Let us first start by analyzing the Toulouse point, namely $U = 0$ in the IRLM. In that case, we can simplify expression (9) using Wick’s theorem, and we find exactly:

$$C_{i>1} = -|\langle c_i^\dagger c_1 \rangle|^2 < 0,$$

(14)

while $C_1 = \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle - \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle^2 > 0$ since the $d$-level occupancy is positive and smaller than one (this last equation for $C_1$ is also valid at finite $U$). From the sum rule (10), we
thus get the total cloud amplitude:

\[ ||C|| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |C_i| = 2(\langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle - \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle^2), \tag{15} \]

which is thus only controlled by the impurity occupation. In the interacting case, Eq. (15) will stay exact provided the correlations \(C_{i>1}\) remain negative, which turns out to be obeyed for most of the \(10^5\) disorder realizations, with the same parameters as in Figs. 9 and 10. A nearly perfect match with the twice the folded occupation, represented by a dashed line is observed.

We can also examine the structure of the Kondo state via the underlying natural orbitals, and how they react to disorder. Fig. 12 shows the spatial structure of the most correlated orbital \(q_1\) given by the squared amplitude \(|P_{11}|^2\). We compare here the clean case to two dirty samples, one that is relatively immune to disorder (with \(T_K \simeq T_K^0\)), and one with short-range Kondo correlations (with \(T_K \simeq 10^3T_K^0\)). As expected, the sample with \(T_K \gg T_K^0\) shows spatial correlations on a short length scale. This short scale is however significantly larger than 1/\(T_K\), consistent with our results for the screening cloud \(C_i\). For the sample with \(T_K \simeq T_K^0\), a strong modulation of the amplitude is observed with respect to the clean limit, but the structure extends at least up to the clean Kondo length 1/\(T_K\). While confirming again the robustness of some rare samples to disorder based on the insensitivity of \(T_K\), we see here that the single scale \(T_K\) is insufficient to provide a detailed picture of the spatial Kondo correlations in dirty metallic hosts.

IV. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

We close this article by summarizing our main results and giving perspectives on the potential applications of recursive natural orbital methods to fermionic open quantum systems. First, we were able to achieve single-site resolution of the screening cloud in a large two-dimensional square lattice at half-filling (with up to 90000 sites). We showed that rich correlation structures beyond the known s-wave paradigm are manifest, especially that the spreading is very anisotropic. Second, we found that spatial correlations between an interacting resonant level and a dirty metallic host can be robust to strong charge disorder. We found indeed that the quantum impurity is immune to some rare configurations of the random potential, as shown by screening clouds that extend as much in space as in the clean case, despite strong local fluctuations. However, for the majority of cases where the impurity is polarized by its environment, the Kondo length of the corresponding cloud is less reduced compared to the associated enhancement of the local Kondo scale defined as the inverse impurity susceptibility, breaking a scaling hypothesis that applies in the clean case. A more visible effect of disorder is a global reduction of the correlation cloud amplitude, that is also controlled by local physics at the impurity site.

Our recursive algorithm, that builds on previous ideas on iterative methods for natural orbitals, constitutes an ideal tool to study the complex electronic environment surrounding a quantum impurity. This study prepares the way for various extensions, foremost towards a deeper understanding of disordered Kondo impurities, by applying recursive NO methods to the spinful Anderson impurity model, since natural orbitals provide an efficient description in that case as well [39, 40]. The protocol we presented here requires one diagonalization of an \(N \times N\) matrix per sweep, while the computation time for all remaining steps scale linearly in \(N\), the system size. We
are currently attempting to developing alternatives to the diagonalization step. This will allow us to describe large scale electronic baths with up to hundreds of thousand of sites and to include complex geometrical effects in 2D or 3D, from interfaces to lithographically designed circuits for quantum electronics. Also, the entanglement of two (or more) diluted impurities in a metal should receive some attention, due to the strong anisotropic structure of the screening cloud in 2D and 3D, which can strongly affect their RKKY coupling. Besides the study of dirty metallic environments, the interplay of superconductivity and disorder in quantum impurity physics is also a completely open question [24]. Recursive natural orbital methods might be able to investigate whether the Kondo singlet between a local spin and a dirty superconductor enjoys the protection from disorder associated to Anderson’s theorem. Finally, working with natural orbitals is also the natural language of quantum chemistry, and an ab-initio implementation for a quantum impurity in metallic hosts would be valuable. Indeed, the determination from first principles of the right model for a given magnetic atom in a metal is still an open problem [59], which could be addressed by extensions of natural orbital methods.
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Appendix A: Technical details regarding the IRLM on a square lattice

In this appendix, we provide technical details regarding the calculation of the screening cloud of the IRLM for a d-orbital adatom coupled to the central site of a \((2\Omega + 1) \times (2\Omega + 1)\) square lattice. While the single-particle Hilbert space associated with this finite system has dimension \((2\Omega + 1)^2 + 1\), the Hamiltonian is invariant under the point group symmetry generated by \(c_{i,j} \rightarrow c_{-j,i}\) (\(\pi/2\) rotations about the central site) and \(c_{i,j} \rightarrow c_{j,i}\) (reflection about \(i = j\)). As a result, we can consider sectors corresponding to different elements of the point group separately. There are 8 such sectors. The d-orbital only couples to the Wannier orbital localized on site \((0,0)\). The latter belongs to the symmetric sector (left invariant by both generators), and as a result only orbitals in this sector take part in interactions. There are \((\Omega + 1)(\Omega + 2)/2 + 1\) orbitals in this sector. They can for instance be taken as

\[
a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i,j=-\Omega}^{\Omega} \psi_m(i) \psi_n(j) c_{i,j}, \quad (A1)
\]

for \(m < n\), and

\[
a_{m,m} = \sum_{i,j=-\Omega}^{\Omega} \psi_m(i) \psi_m(j) c_{i,j}, \quad (A2)
\]

together with the \(d\)-orbital, where

\[
\psi_m(j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega + 1}} \cos \left[ \frac{\pi j (m + \frac{1}{2})}{\Omega + 1} \right]. \quad (A3)
\]

Here \(a_{m,n}\) are annihilation operators associated with single-particle states that diagonalize the Hamiltonian of the clean system \((V = 0)\). The associated single-particle energies are

\[
E_{mn} = t \left\{ \cos \left[ \frac{\pi (m + \frac{1}{2})}{\Omega + 1} \right] + \cos \left[ \frac{\pi (n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Omega + 1} \right] \right\}. \quad (A4)
\]

Accidental degeneracies (not associated with the point group symmetry of the lattice) further reduce the number of orbitals coupled to the impurity. Let \(E_{\alpha}\) with \(\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, N\), and \(E_{\alpha} \neq E_{\beta}\) when \(\alpha \neq \beta\) be the distinct single-particle energies in the symmetric sector of the clean lattice without the impurity. Then, for each \(E \in \{E_1, \ldots, E_N\}\) define the normalized superposition

\[
b_E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_E}} \sum_{m,n} \delta_{E_{mn}} \langle 0 | c_{0,0} a_{m,n}^\dagger | 0 \rangle a_{m,n}. \quad (A5)
\]

Explicitly \(\langle 0 | c_{0,0} a_{m,n}^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{2 - \delta_{mn}/(\Omega + 1)}\) and

\[
D_E = \sum_{m,n} \delta_{E_{mn}} (2 - \delta_{mn})/(\Omega + 1)^2. \quad (A6)
\]

The Wannier orbital localized on the central site is a superposition of these \(b_E\)-orbitals:

\[
c_{0,0} = \sum_{E=E_1}^N \sqrt{D_E} b_E. \quad (A7)
\]

The \(b_E\)-orbitals are also eigenstates of the clean lattice tight binding Hamiltonian. Thus only the \(b_E\) orbitals are involved in interactions, and we therefore have to solve a many-body problem in a Fock space built on \(N + 1\) orbitals, where \(N\) is the number of distinct single-particle energies associated with the symmetric sector (under point group symmetry) of the clean lattice without the impurity. The Hamiltonian is of the “star” variety and reads

\[
H_* = \frac{U}{2} (d^\dagger d - 1/2) \left( \sum_{E,E' = E_1}^N \sqrt{D_E D_{E'}} b_{E}^\dagger b_{E'} - 1/2 \right) + V \sum_{E = E_1}^N \sqrt{D_E} (b_{E}^\dagger d + d^\dagger b_{E}) + \sum_{E = E_1}^N E b_{E}^\dagger b_{E}. \quad (A8)
\]
The energies of the single-particle orbitals that diagonalize \( H \), when \( U = 0 \) are roots of the characteristic equation

\[
\varepsilon = V^2 \sum_{E=E_{\alpha}}^{E_N} \frac{D_E}{\varepsilon - E}.
\]

(C9)

Clearly, there are \( N + 1 \) distinct roots, none of which equal any of the \( E_{\alpha} \). Thus, each \( E_{\alpha} \) is perturbed when the \( d \)-orbital hybridizes with the lattice, and the number of orbitals coupled to the impurity cannot be reduced further. Associated to the logarithmic van Hove singularity in the middle of the band is a \((\Omega + 1)/2\)-fold degenerate zero-energy level: \( E_{m,\Omega-m} = 0 \) for \( m \leq \Omega/2 \). Often, this is the only accidental degeneracy that is present, in which case

\[
N = \frac{(\Omega + \Omega \mod 2)(\Omega + 2 - \Omega \mod 2)}{2} + 1.
\]

(A10)

In applying the RGNO algorithm to the above problem, we made two small modifications to the protocol presented in Sec. II: Firstly, because single-particle orbitals cannot be ordered uniquely based on their distance from the central site, and because we are considering a clean system in which Anderson localization is absent, we pick the initial correlated sector to contain the \( M b_E \)-orbitals with energies closest to zero (the Fermi level). Secondly, we order orbitals in the uncorrelated sector by diagonalizing the clean lattice Hamiltonian \( \sum_{E=E}^{E_N} E b_E^\dagger b_E \), projected onto the uncorrelated sector, and ordering eigenstates in ascending order of their distance from the Fermi energy. Unlike what we did previously, here we do not include hybridization terms when ordering the uncorrelated sector. We found that this was necessary to obtain good convergence. We believe this is due to the fact that the large bare hybridization term between the \( b_0 \)-orbital and the \( d \)-orbital associated with the van Hove singularity at \( E = 0 \) (\( V/\sqrt{\Omega + 1} \) as compared to \( \sim V/(\Omega + 1) \) at other energies), is strongly renormalized downward by the interaction.

Appendix B: No exact reduction from \( L \times L \) lattices to \( O(L) \) chains

The preceding appendix shows that symmetry considerations can reduce the numerical effort on a \( L \times L \) lattice from \( L^2 / 8 \) orbitals down to \( L^2 / 8 \). However, in Ref. [60] a scheme is presented that maps clean nearest neighbor tight-binding Hamiltonians in higher than one dimension onto one-dimensional chains with nearest neighbor hopping only. The scheme works by recursively generating orthogonal “Lanczos” orbitals \( |\psi_n\rangle \) on the higher dimensional lattice, such that \( \langle \psi_m|H|\psi_n\rangle = 0 \) for \( m < n - 1 \), where \( H \) is the higher-dimensional tight-binding Hamiltonian. While this method is numerically exact once all symmetry class orbitals have been exhausted, it is claimed [60] that one can keep in an exact way only \( O(L) \) sites on the effective chain, much lower than the expected \( O(L^2) \) effort. In view of the analysis presented in Appendix A, this claim cannot be correct. The point group symmetry of the square lattice only leads to a reduction from \( L^2 \) to \( L^2 / 8 \) before one ends up with a system with a non-degenerate single-particle spectrum in which each level is coupled to the impurity.

However, it is tempting to ask whether an early truncation of the chain made before reaching its final end could lead to an accurate approximation of the impurity problem. We therefore implemented the scheme of Ref. [60] and studied the non-interacting resonant level model (\( U = 0 \)) for a \( d \)-orbital coupled to the central site of a diamond-shaped lattice with corners at \( (\pm \Omega, 0) \) and \( (0, \pm \Omega) \). (This is the shape recommended in Ref. [60].) We took \( V = 0.15 \) and \( \Omega = 100 \). The total number of sites, excluding the \( d \)-orbital is 20201. We included the stabilization measures discussed in Ref. [60] and find that the method is indeed numerically stable. If the claims in Ref. [60] were correct, we would have obtained the exact answer after 100 iterations. In the top panel of Fig. 13 we plot the error in the hybridization \( \langle d_0 d_0 \rangle \) as a function of the number \( n \) of iterations. After 100 iterations, \( \langle d_0 d_0 \rangle \) is obtained only to two significant digits. After
2000 iterations the answer is correct to seven digits, and convergence to the exact answer (to numerical precision) is obtained finally after the expected $L^2/8 \approx 2600$ iterations. In the bottom panel of Fig. 13, we plot the spatial profile of the hybridization from the impurity to the bath along the $x$-axis, $|\langle d_j^\dagger c_{2,j}^\alpha \rangle|$ after respectively 100, 800, 1600 and 2600 iterations. We see that more and more Lanczos iterations are required to get reasonable accuracy, the further away from the central site one moves. We conclude that the recursive scheme of Ref. [60] does not offer a shortcut for accurately calculating the impurity screening cloud on large 2D lattices.
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