First results from the LIFE project: discovery of two magnetic hot evolved stars
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ABSTRACT
We present the initial results of the Large Impact of magnetic Fields on the Evolution of hot stars (LIFE) project. The focus of this project is the search for magnetic fields in evolved OBA giants and supergiants with visual magnitudes between 4 and 8, with the aim to investigate how the magnetic fields observed in upper main sequence (MS) stars evolve from the MS until the late post-MS stages. In this paper, we present spectropolarimetric observations of 15 stars observed using the ESPaDOnS instrument of the CFHT. For each star, we have determined the fundamental parameters and have used stellar evolution models to calculate their mass, age and radius. Using the LSD technique, we have produced averaged line profiles for each star. From these profiles, we have measured the longitudinal magnetic field strength and have calculated the detection probability. We report the detection of magnetic fields in two stars of our sample: a weak field of $B_l = 1.0 \pm 0.2$ G is detected in the post-MS A5 star 19 Aur and a stronger field of $B_l = -230 \pm 10$ G is detected in the MS/post-MS B8/9 star HR 3042.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The last decade has seen a remarkable increase in our knowledge of the properties of magnetic fields in main sequence (MS) OB type stars. As a result of a number of surveys (e.g., Fossati et al. 2015b,a; Wade et al. 2016; Grunhut et al. 2017), convincing evidence indicates that $\sim 10\%$ of MS OB stars are magnetic. This is consistent with the findings for MS A stars (Power et al. 2008; Aurière et al. 2007). MS OB stars host roughly dipolar, often oblique fossil magnetic fields (Neiner et al. 2015; Grunhut & Neiner 2015). There is currently no known mechanism to generate and sustain an efficient dynamo field in a radiative envelope, which would affect the global fossil field during the MS phase of OB type stars (Zahn et al. 2007; Rüdiger et al. 2012; Neiner et al. 2015). The observed fossil fields likely formed in the pre-MS phases: during its formation the star could ensnare the weak field present in the interstellar medium (ISM) and dynamo fields generated during pre-MS stages can enhance this seed field (Mestel 1999; Alecian et al. 2008). The field then relaxes to a stable configuration, which is mainly dipolar at the surface (Braithwaite & Spruit 2004; Duez & Mathis 2010).

Since no dynamo field is observed in MS OB stars, there is nothing to replenish the field over time. It has been shown by Bagnulo et al. (2006); Landstreet et al. (2007, 2008) and Fossati et al. (2016), that the magnetic fields at the surface of stars decrease with stellar age on the MS. This decrease in strength likely occurs in part as a result of flux conservation.
in response to the increase in stellar radius, possibly altered by Ohmic decay or other currently unknown effects.

Furthermore, it is likely that the stellar magnetic field has a strong influence on the evolution of the host star (Moss 1984; Langer 2012; Maeder & Meynet 2014; Keszthelyi et al. 2017; Georgy et al. 2017). The effects of the field can be separated into those operating at the surface of the star and those in the interior. Theoretical models predict that interactions between the magnetic field and the stellar wind can reduce the surface mass-loss and stellar rotation rate (ud-Doula & Owocki 2002; ud-Doula et al. 2008, 2009; Meynet et al. 2011; Bard & Townsend 2016). Indeed, studies of massive stars show that the stellar wind can become trapped in a magnetosphere rigidly coupled to the star’s magnetic field (Landstreet & Borra 1978; Oksala et al. 2015). Conservation of angular momentum then leads to a decrease in the rotation rate of the star (e.g., Townsend et al. 2010; Mikulášek et al. 2007). In the stellar interior, the magnetic field has the potential to affect the mixing and diffusion of chemical elements, the internal rotational profile and angular momentum (Mestel 1999; Mathis & Zahn 2005; Briquet et al. 2012; Sundqvist et al. 2013; Maeder & Meynet 2014; Stift & Alecian 2016).

In general, however, magnetic fields have not been taken into account in evolutionary models, except for Taylor-Spruit dynamo fields (e.g., Maeder & Meynet 2003; Heger et al. 2005), which are themselves inconsistent with those observed in MS OBA type stars. In particular, the observed fields are not correlated with rotation as would be expected for an α ∝ Ω dynamo like that proposed by Spruit (2002). It is therefore important to study these stars in detail to provide observational constraints to stellar evolution models. However, the structural changes which occur in a star during the MS are, in general, not sufficient to allow us to understand how magnetic fields change as stars evolve and how stars respond to the presence of a magnetic field.

One potential pathway towards exploring the evolution of magnetic fields in massive stars lies in the study of evolved OBA stars. We already have convincing evidence that ~10% of MS OB stars have magnetic fields (Grunhut & Neiner 2015; Fossati et al. 2015b; Grunhut et al. 2017), and the same appears to be true for PMS stars (Alecian et al. 2013). It is reasonable to suspect that the same may well be true for evolved descendants. These stars not only provide the possibility to study the evolution of magnetic fields over a longer evolutionary time-frame, but also to investigate the evolution of the magnetic field in response to the significant changes in stellar structure occurring during the star’s transition through the post-MS. As the star moves through the post-MS, its radius vastly increases (e.g., Ekström et al. 2012; Georgy et al. 2013; Sanyal et al. 2015). Initially, the fossil field structure should remain unchanged, since the radiative envelope still exists and there is no mechanism to allow for the formation of a dynamo. However, as the star continues to evolve, convective zones form in the upper regions of the stellar envelope (e.g., Neiner et al. 2017). These regions have the potential to generate dynamo magnetic fields. These new dynamo fields might have a significant impact on the fossil field already present in the star (Featherstone et al. 2009; Aurière et al. 2008). Indeed the studies of FGK type giants and supergiants show magnetic fields powered by dynamos (e.g., Grunhut et al. 2010; Aurière et al. 2015). These stars are the evolutionary descendants of OBA type MS stars and so it is possible that a star originally with a fossil magnetic field on the MS experiences a period with both a fossil field and dynamo field, and finally evolves to a state in which only the dynamo field signature can be observed. In fact, the intermediate mass red giant star EK Ari shows tantalising evidence that it hosts both a dynamo field and the remnant of an Ap fossil field (Aurière et al. 2011).

Until very recently, no post-MS magnetic OBA stars had been unambiguously identified, despite high-precision studies having been conducted (e.g., Verduzi et al. 2005; Shultz et al. 2014). The O9.5 supergiant ζ Ori A was found to have a magnetic field (Bouret et al. 2008; Blazère et al. 2015), however, this star was shown to likely be still on the MS (Fossati et al. 2015a), despite its supergiant classification. A magnetic field was also detected in the B1.5 star ι CMa by Fossati et al. (2015a); Neiner et al. (2017) showed however, that this star is also located at the end of its MS phase. As part of the BRIght Target Explorer spectropolarimetric survey (BRITEpOL; Neiner et al. 2016), Neiner et al. (2017) identified two magnetic A7 supergiants: τ Car and HR 3890. Luminosity measurements and the fundamental parameters associated with these stars indicate that they are well into the post-MS phase of evolution. The detected longitudinal magnetic field strengths were found to be roughly 10 and 1 G for τ Car and HR 3890, respectively. As a result, we infer that any survey would need to be able to detect magnetic fields to a precision of better than 1 G, in order to determine the incidence rate of magnetic fields in evolved OBA type stars.

To this end, we have started the Large Impact of magnetic Fields on the Evolution of hot stars (LIFE) project. We are observing the circularly polarised spectrum and measuring the longitudinal magnetic field strength (B_l) of OBA type stars between V = 4 mag and V = 8 mag with luminosities classes I-III. In this paper, we present the analysis of the first 15 stars observed for this study. Section 2 gives details of the LIFE project, the observations and data reduction. The fundamental parameters we infer for each star are given in Section 3 along with the evolutionary status of each star. In Section 4 we give details of the magnetic field detections and finally in Sections 5 and 6 we discuss our findings and conclude the paper.

2 THE LIFE PROJECT

Through the LIFE project, we aim to measure the distribution of magnetic field strengths in evolved stars and compare our findings with the results for MS OB stars. Any detected field will be fully characterised and the results will be compared with those of MS stars to determine how the magnetic fields of stars change as stars evolve. From this project we aim to provide important observational constraints on theories of how magnetic fields and stellar evolution affect and interact with each other.

Choosing the appropriate exposure time for each star is critically important to give us the best possible chance of detecting magnetic fields for our sample of stars. As a result of the MiMeS (Wade et al. 2016), BinaMicS (Alecian et al. 2015) and BRITEpOL (Neiner et al. 2016) surveys we have
field strength to be served. We calculate the expected current surface magnetic sequence (\(R_{\text{ZAMS}}\)) of the star (\(B_{\text{ZAMS}}\)) assuming that the magnetic flux is conserved. We calculate the expected current surface magnetic field strength to be

\[
B_{d,\text{current}} = B_{d,\text{ZAMS}} \left( \frac{R_{\text{ZAMS}}}{R_{\text{current}}} \right)^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \(B_{d,\text{ZAMS}}\) is the magnetic field strength at the ZAMS. The choice of \(B_{d,\text{ZAMS}}\) is important, because we are limited by total exposure time. For this work, we assume that \(B_{d,\text{ZAMS}} = 0.63\,\text{kG}\) or if this would lead to an exposure time longer than one night, then \(B_{d,\text{ZAMS}} = 1.4\,\text{kG}\). These values correspond to 95% and 85% completeness of the observed distribution of magnetic field strengths in MS stars respectively (Shultz 2016, and Shultz et al. in prep.). The predicted values of \(B_{d,\text{ZAMS}}\) for our sample is between 10 and 100 which means we expect to detect dipole fields of between 7 G and 140 G.

We do not, however, measure \(B_d\). Instead, we measure the mean longitudinal field \(B_1\) which is a function of the inclination angle (\(i\)) of the star and the obliquity angle (\(\beta\)) between the rotation axis and the magnetic axis (Preston 1967). For our stars, \(i\) and \(\beta\) were not available prior to the observations and so we use the equations from Preston (1967) to estimate that conservatively \(B_1 \sim 3\) times weaker than \(B_d\). This lower value is factored into our calculations of the total required exposure time for each star.

### 2.1 Observations

The LIFE observations we present in this paper were taken using the ESPaDOnS (an Echelle SpectroPolarimetric Device for the Observation of Stars) instrument at the Canada France Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) and are summarised in Table 1. We observe over a wavelength range from about 3700 Å to 10500 Å with a resolving power of \(\sim 68000\). Each spectrum is spread over 40 echelle orders. The observations are corrected for the bias and flat-field, and a ThAr spectrum is used to calibrate the wavelengths to the pixel values. The data were reduced using Libre-Esprit (Donati et al. 1999) and UPEA, a software pipeline available at the CFHT.

The data were taken in circular spectropolarimetric mode, measuring Stokes \(I\) and \(V\). Each observation sequence consists of four sub-exposures, taken with different orientations of the Fresnel rhombs. The observations were constructively combined to form Stokes \(V\) and destructively com-

| Star     | HD No. | Visual Magnitude | Date (UT) | Exp. Seq. | mid-HJD | Average S/N | LSD I S/N | LSD V S/N | Lines in LSD |
|----------|--------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| 13 Mon   | 46300  | 4.47             | Feb 20, 2016 | 2x4x138  | 7437.8113 | 758         | 3536     | 13603     | 1394        |
| 15 Sgr   | 167264 | 5.29             | May 14, 2016 | 2x4x344  | 7524.1189 | 911         | 1610     | 6816      | 69          |
| May 17, 2016 | 4x4x344 | 7526.9869 | 863       | 2229     | 9234     | 69          |
| May 18, 2016 | 2x4x344 | 7528.0034 | 921       | 1952     | 6885     | 69          |
| 19 Aur   | 34578  | 5.05             | Sep 18, 2016 | 5x4x254  | 7651.0154 | 1034       | 4031     | 55620     | 4298        |
| Oct 20, 2016 | 5x4x254 | 7683.0600 | 1067      | 3808     | 56872    | 4297        |
| 24 CMa   | 53138  | 3.02             | Feb 28, 2016 | 23x4x40  | 7447.8037 | 983         | 4466     | 48600     | 538         |
| η Leo    | 87737  | 3.48             | Feb 21, 2016 | 5x4x50   | 7440.8394 | 535         | 3735     | 17673     | 1781        |
| γ CMa    | 53244  | 4.11             | Dec 20, 2016 | 12x4x143 | 7744.0163 | 1111       | 14049    | 60259     | 1293        |
| HD 10362 | 10362  | 6.33             | Sep 17, 2016 | 1x4x991 | 7649.9784 | 1187       | 2270     | 13094     | 476         |
| HD 42035 | 42035  | 6.55             | Sep 21, 2016 | 1x4x1313 | 7654.0594 | 1316       | 4327     | 22097     | 2649        |
| HD 186660| 186660 | 6.47             | Oct 13, 2016 | 1x4x1122 | 7675.7705 | 1112       | 1850     | 7975      | 327         |
| HD 188209| 188209 | 5.6              | Jun 19, 2016 | 8x4x443  | 7560.0473 | 1017       | 1669     | 19678     | 227         |
| HD 209419| 209419 | 5.79             | Oct 12, 2016 | 1x4x666  | 7674.8808 | 1035       | 4305     | 13777     | 600         |
| HIP 35854| 64827  | 6.85             | Mar 13, 2017 | 1x4x1049 | 7826.8169 | 728        | 4861     | 13232     | 864         |
| HR 3042  | 63655  | 6.23             | Dec 14, 2016 | 3x4x981  | 7738.0787 | 1233       | 5628     | 21271     | 201         |
| PT Pup   | 61068  | 5.69             | Dec 20, 2016 | 1x4x559  | 7743.9491 | 1105       | 1330     | 13114     | 304         |
| V399 Lac | 210221 | 6.17             | Jun 14, 2016 | 4x4x604  | 7555.0612 | 846        | 2447     | 29738     | 1631        |
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bined to form the null spectra, \( N \) (Donati et al. 1997), using the ratio method (Bagnulo et al. 2009). Adding all four observations together provides Stokes \( I \). If the total exposure time calculated in Section 2 was expected to saturate the detector, we took a number of consecutive sequences of the four sub-exposures which add up to the total required exposure time. These observations are then co-added after we have produced each least-squared deconvolution (LSD) profile (see Section 2.3).

### 2.2 Normalisation of stellar spectra

We used a semi-automatic PYTHON program (Martin et al. 2017, and Appendix A) to determine the continuum shape of the Stokes \( I \) spectrum, fitting each ESPaDOnS order individually. The PYTHON program allows the user to fit a third-order spline to the continuum. This is achieved by initially fitting a third-order spline to the reduced normalised spectrum. Points from the spectrum are then iteratively \( \sigma \)-clipped about the spline, until only continuum points remain. For the majority of spectra the \( \sigma \)-clipping is asymmetric with more points clipped below than above the fit (e.g., \( \sigma_{\text{upper}} = 3 \) and \( \sigma_{\text{lower}} = 1 \)). This is because, to obtain a good continuum fit, all spectral lines must be removed and for the stars in this sample we mainly observe absorption lines. However, each parameter which determines the final continuum fit can be changed interactively including the number of iterations, the number of knots defining the cubic spline, and the \( \sigma \)-clipping bounds. Finally, we take the best continuum model calculated for each star and use it to normalise the Stokes \( I \) and \( V \) and \( N \) spectra.

### 2.3 Least Squares Deconvolution

During this project we are searching for very weak magnetic fields, between \( \sim 1 \) and \( 100 \) G. This leads to Stokes \( V/L \) signatures with very low amplitudes. To detect such tiny signatures, we use the LSD technique (Donati et al. 1997) and combine multiple consecutive observations to increase the S/N of our data. We calculate mean LSD Stokes \( I \), Stokes \( V \) and \( N \) profiles and co-add consecutive observations to produce the LSD line profiles which we use to measure the magnetic field of our observed stars. Since we aim to reduce all sources of noise we must take care when producing the LSD profile. Therefore, we extract a stellar line list from the VALD3 database (Piskunov et al. 1995; Kupka et al. 1999). This line list is calculated with the \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \log g \) which we determine for each of our stars (see Section 3.1). However, it will contain lines which are not present in the stellar spectrum and other lines which will add noise to the LSD profile. Therefore, we comb through each list, first removing lines with a depth smaller than 0.01 (relative to a continuum level of 1), and those which we do not see in our observed spectra. We remove hydrogen lines, because their shape is different from metal lines, and we remove any lines which blend with either \( H \), interstellar, or strong telluric lines. Finally, we adjust the depth of each remaining line in the line mask so that it is consistent with the observed spectrum, in the manner described by Grunhut et al. (2017).

### 3 STELLAR PROPERTIES

#### 3.1 Fundamental parameters

For each star we calculated the effective temperature (\( T_{\text{eff}} \)) and surface gravity (\( \log g \)) using the UVBYBETA code (Napiwotzki et al. 1993). We also used grids of synthetic spectra to visually check that the values accurately reproduce primarily the \( H \), \( H \beta \), \( H \gamma \) and \( H \delta \) lines, but also regions of metal lines. Examples of the fit between the synthetic and observed spectrum for \( H \beta \) are shown in Fig. 1. The grids of synthetic spectra we used were calculated by Bohlin et al. (2017, using ATLAS9 model atmospheres), Martin et al. (2017, using ATLAS9 model atmospheres) and Lanz & Hubeny (2007, using TLUSTY model atmospheres). In the case of ATLAS9 model atmospheres (Kurucz 1993b), the synthetic spectra are calculated assuming plane parallel geometry, local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) and opacity distribution functions (ODFs) for solar abundances (Kurucz 1993a). The synthetic spectra were computed with COSSAM SIMPLE (Martin et al. 2017) and SYNTHE (Kurucz & Avrett 1981; Bohlin et al. 2017). For the TLUSTY model atmospheres the synthetic spectra are calculated assuming non-local thermodynamic equilibrium, plane-parallel geometry and hydrostatic equilibrium using SYNSPEC (Hubeny & Lanz 2011). Where necessary we calculated additional synthetic spectra using ATLAS9 model atmospheres and SYNSPEC.

To calculate the projected rotational velocity \( (v \sin i) \) and the radial velocity \( (v_{\text{rad}}) \) for each star, we first fit a Gaussian to the LSD profile. The mean value of this Gaussian is adopted as the \( v_{\text{rad}} \) of the star. We then subtract \( v_{\text{rad}} \) from the velocity of each pixel such that the line center of the LSD profile is shifted to 0 km s\(^{-1}\). We apply a Fast Fourier transform (FFT) to the profile. Following Gray (2005) and Glazunova et al. (2008), the first minimum of the transform...
is related to the stellar $\sin i$ value by the limb darkening coefficient. The limb darkening value varies as a function of stellar $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$; for each of our stars we use limb darkening values from Claret & Bloemen (2011) which are consistent with our calculated $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ values.

We calculate the minimum error on $v_{\text{rad}}$ ($\sigma_{v_{\text{rad}}}$) following Seager et al. (2010) as

$$\sigma_{v_{\text{rad}}} = \frac{\sqrt{\text{FWHM}_{\text{lsd}}}}{I \cdot \text{SNR}},$$

(2)

where FWHM$_{\text{lsd}}$ is the full width half maximum of the LSD profile and $I$ is the maximum intensity value of the inverse of the LSD profile. We calculate the error on $\sin i$ ($\sigma_{\sin i}$) following Díaz et al. (2011) as

$$\sigma_{\sin i} = 4.42 \cdot \text{FWHM}_{\text{lsd}}^{0.520} \cdot \text{rms} \cdot I^{-1.08},$$

(3)

where rms is the root mean square of the LSD profile.

To check the consistency of the calculated $\sin i$ and $v_{\text{rad}}$ values, we compare the observed spectrum with a synthetic spectrum calculated using our derived fundamental parameters and we find that they agree well.

### 3.2 Luminosity and evolutionary status

To determine the evolutionary status of each star, along with its radius ($R$) and mass ($M$), we use the luminosity, $L$, and the quantity $L = T_{\text{eff}}^4 / g$. We calculate $\log L / L_{\odot}$ using parallaxes from the Hipparcos database (van Leeuwen 2007) or where available the GAIA data release 1 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016b,a) and the Johnson visual magnitudes given by Perryman et al. (1997). We calculate the bolometric correction for each star following Flower (1996) and Torres (2010) using the temperatures given in Table 2.

We calculate $L / L_{\odot}$ following Langer & Kudritzki (2014),

$$\log \frac{L}{L_{\odot}} = \log \left( \frac{T_{\text{eff}}^4}{g} \right) - \log \left( \frac{T_{\text{eff}}^4}{g_{\odot}} \right),$$

(4)

where $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ are given in Table 2, $T_{\text{eff}}_{\odot}$ is the solar effective temperature (5756K) and $g_{\odot}$ is the solar surface gravity (27542 cgs) used as calibration values for the Ekström et al. (2012) and Georgy et al. (2013) evolutionary tracks. These values are given in Table 3. For each star, we plot $\log L / L_{\odot}$ on the theoretical Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram and log $L / L_{\odot}$ on the spectroscopic HR diagram shown in the left and right panels of Fig. 2 respectively. In addition, we plot theoretical evolutionary tracks which take into account the effects of rotation, but not the effects of magnetic fields (Ekström et al. 2012; Georgy et al. 2013).

The evolutionary tracks from Ekström et al. (2012) cover a range of ZAMS masses ($M_{\text{ZAMS}}$) from 0.8 to 120 $M_{\odot}$ and fractional critical velocities$^2$ at the ZAMS ($\Omega_{\text{ZAMS}} / \Omega_{\text{crit}}$) of 0.0 and 0.4. The models from Georgy et al. (2013) cover a range of ZAMS masses ($M_{\text{ZAMS}}$) from 1.7 to 15 $M_{\odot}$ and fractional critical velocities at the ZAMS ($\Omega_{\text{ZAMS}} / \Omega_{\text{crit}}$) from 0.0 to 1.0. All models used are computed with solar metallicity ($Z = 0.014$).

For each evolutionary track a variety of stellar parameters are provided as a function of stellar age. For this study we are particularly interested in the ratio $R / R_{\text{ZAMS}}$ and the comparison between the age of the star, $t$, and the predicted age at which the star turns off the main sequence. To infer these values for the stars in this study we identify the models which minimise the following expressions:

$^2$ The critical velocity of a star describes the stellar rotational velocity at which the centrifugal force at the equator balances with the gravitational force.
Table 3. Stellar parameters of the observed stars. The parallax is taken from the Hipparcos archive (van Leeuwen 2007) or where available the GAIA data release 1 (denoted by *; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016b,a). The visual magnitude is taken from the Hipparcos archive (Perryman et al. 1997) and the Bolometric correction is calculated following Flower (1996) and Torres (2010) using the $T_{\text{eff}}$ shown in Table 2. The columns $L$, $L_{\odot}$, $M_{\text{AMS}}$, $\Omega_{\text{AMS}}$, $R_{\text{AMS}}$, M, R, Age and Turn-off Age are determined as described in Section 3.2. Bold values of Age show those less than the turn-off age, therefore suggesting the star may still be on the MS. The final column shows the expected dipolar magnetic field strength for each star if the star hosted a 0.63 kG dipolar field at the ZAMS and flux conservation is the main contributing factor to the reduction of surface field strength.

| Star | Parallax (mas) | $M_{\odot}$ | Bolometric correction | $\log L$ (log $L_{\odot}$) | $\log L_{\odot}$ | $M_{\text{AMS}}$ ($M_{\odot}$) | $\Omega_{\text{AMS}}$ ($\Omega_{\odot}$) | $R_{\text{AMS}}$ ($R_{\odot}$) | M ($M_{\odot}$) | R (R$_{\odot}$) | Age (Myr) | Turn-off Age (Myr) | Exp. Field (G) |
|------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| 13 Mon | 0.8 ± 0.5 | -6.1$^{+0.1}_{-0.4}$ | -0.30 | 4.3$^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$ | 3.2 ± 0.1 | 15.0 | 0.60 | 4.7 | 14.7 | 91.9 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 1 |
| 15 Sgr | 0.1 ± 0.5 | -10.0 ± 10.0 | -2.89 | 7 ± 4 | 3.79 ± 0.09 | 32.0 | 0.00 | 6.9 | 36.3 | 18.1 | 4 | 9.2 |
| 19 Aur | 1.6 ± 0.3 | -4.4$^{+0.4}_{-0.9}$ | -0.00 | 3.7 ± 0.2 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | 10.0 | 0.00 | 3.6 | 9.7 | 59.3 | 23.4 | 20.9 | 2 |
| 24 Cma | 1.2 ± 0.4 | -6.7$^{+0.2}_{-0.9}$ | -1.53 | 5.2$^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$ | 4.2 ± 0.1 | 48.0 | 0.00 | 7.8 | 16.1 | 71.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 7 |
| η Leo | 2.6 ± 0.2 | -4.5 ± 0.1 | -0.20 | 3.7$^{+0.03}_{-0.06}$ | 3.3 ± 0.2 | 12.0 | 0.98 | 8.9 | 11.9 | 66.6 | 20.3 | 19.2 | 3 |
| γ CMa | 7.4 ± 0.2 | -1.49 ± 0.06 | -1.00 | 2.8$^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 7.0 | 0.00 | 2.9 | 7.0 | 11.5 | 42.3 | 41.8 | 41 |
| HD 10362 | 2.4 ± 0.5$^{*}$ | -2.2$^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$ | -1.12 | 3.2 ± 0.2 | 2.7 ± 0.1 | 7.0 | 0.11 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 10.7 | 45.2 | 44.7 | 48 |
| HD 186660 | 2.1 ± 0.5$^{*}$ | -2.7$^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$ | -1.52 | 3.6 ± 0.2 | 2.70 ± 0.09 | 84.9 | 0.00 | 12.2 | 70.0 | 40.9 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 56 |
| HD 188209 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | -5.4$^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$ | -2.89 | 5.2 ± 0.2 | 4.19 ± 0.09 | 29.0 | 0.57 | 5.5 | 19.7 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 9.5 | 170 |
| HD 209419 | 2.9 ± 0.5$^{*}$ | -2.1 ± 0.4 | -1.09 | 3.2$^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.54 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 110.1 | 109.2 | 98 |
| HIP 38584 | 1.5 ± 0.4 | -2.2$^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$ | -0.81 | 3.1$^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ | 2.8 ± 0.1 | 8.0 | 0.00 | 3.2 | 8.0 | 16.6 | 33.3 | 32.9 | 23 |
| HR 3042 | 2.8 ± 0.6$^{*}$ | -1.5$^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$ | -1.10 | 2.9 ± 0.2 | 2.6 ± 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.54 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 110.1 | 109.2 | 99 |
| PT Pup | 1.9 ± 0.3 | -3.1 ± 0.3 | -2.53 | 4.1 ± 0.1 | 2.96 ± 0.07 | 12.0 | 0.73 | 4.2 | 12.0 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 18.9 | 380 |
| V399 Lac | 0.5 ± 0.3 | -6.7$^{+0.2}_{-0.9}$ | -0.00 | 4.6$^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$ | 3.6 ± 0.2 | 15.0 | 0.95 | 5.2 | 14.4 | 129.7 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 1 |

The subscripts ev and * represent values taken from the evolutionary model and the stellar data respectively.

In Table 3, we give the $M_{\text{AMS}}$, $\Omega_{\text{AMS}}$, $R_{\text{AMS}}$, M, R, age and turn-off age for each star, taken from two of the above models. Specifically, the first row for each star reports the parameter set described by the model 1 or 2 which maximises $M_{\text{AMS}}$, $\Omega_{\text{AMS}}$ and $t$. The second row reports the parameter set described by the model 3 or 4 which minimises $M_{\text{AMS}}$, $\Omega_{\text{AMS}}$ and $t$. The consequence of using this method is in some cases the two reported sets of values for a star may be considerably different. However, it is done in order to be conservative.

In the following subsections we comment on our findings for each of the observed stars.

3.3 13 Mon

For 13 Mon we determine the $T_{\text{eff}}$ to be 10250 ± 300 K and the log g to be 2.2 ± 0.2. These values agree with those calculated by Fernstein & Przybilla (2012, $T_{\text{eff}} = 10000 ± 200$ K and log g = 2.15 ± 0.1) but these values are slightly higher than what would be expected from a star with the spectral type of A10b suggested by Zorec et al. (2009). However, the plots in Fig. 2 show that 13 Mon is very clearly post-MS. We calculate an age between 13.8 and 33.3 Myr, which can be compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 13.6 and 32.9 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 8–15 M$_{\odot}$ and a radius of 23.8–91.9 R$_{\odot}$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 7.5 and 19.5 since the ZAMS.

3.4 15 Sgr

Analyses of 15 Sgr by Sana et al. (2014), Sota et al. (2014) and Tokovinin et al. (2010) have shown that it is a binary system. However, we do not see the presence of a companion in our spectra, likely because the magnitude of the secondary is much lower than the primary. We do see the presence of emission in Hx (see Fig. 3) suggesting a stellar wind, consistent with this star being an O supergiant.

Since 15 Sgr is an O supergiant, our determination of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log g is focussed mainly on the analysis with UVBYBETA, in addition to the results of previous studies. This is because our synthetic spectra assume a plane parallel atmosphere.
Figure 2. Left panel: Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram of the LIFE targets, the circles are luminosities calculated with Hipparcos parallaxes (van Leeuwen 2007) and the triangles are with GAIA parallaxes (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016b,a). Right panel: Spectroscopic HR diagram of the LIFE targets, where we calculate log$(L/L_\odot)$ following Langer & Kudritzki (2014) shown with squares. In both panels, the evolutionary tracks from Ekström et al. (2012) and Georgy et al. (2013) are plotted (solid line, no rotation and dashed lines with rotation from $\Omega_{\text{ZAMS}}/\Omega_{\text{crit}}=0.1$-1.0; the colour of the isochrones denotes its mass). Red points are those stars we find to be magnetic, the orange point in each panel is the magnetic candidate and black points are non-magnetic stars. The number closest to each point identifies the corresponding star. The errorbars for log$T_{\text{eff}}$ are plotted but, in general, they are smaller than the symbol used to indicate each star. MNRAS 000, 1–16 (2017)
Even so, we obtain good agreement between our synthetic spectrum and the observed spectrum. The spectral type of 09.T2ab (Sota et al. 2014) and the fundamental parameters determined by Trundle et al. (2002, $T_{\text{eff}} = 31500$ K and $\log g = 3.5$) and by Grunhut et al. (2017, $T_{\text{eff}} = 30000$ K and $\log g = 3.5$) agree with our findings of $T_{\text{eff}}$ (30000 ± 1000 K) and $\log g$ (3.5 ± 0.2). The uncertainty of the parallax measurement of 15 Sgr is very large, which leads to an inconclusive luminosity value. As a result, for this star, we only plot $L$ in Fig. 2. Figure 2 shows that 15 Sgr is most likely still on the MS and we calculate an age of between 4.8 and 5.9 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 5.2 and 6.8 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 22.5–30.3 M$_\odot$ and a radius of 12.3–18.1 R$_\odot$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 2.1 and 2.6 since the ZAMS.

### 3.5 19 Aur

The star 19 Aur has been studied by Lyubimkov et al. (2010) who found $T_{\text{eff}} = 8300 \pm 100$ K and $\log g = 2.1 \pm 0.25$. This is consistent with our results of $T_{\text{eff}}=8500 \pm 200$ K and $\log g=2.0 \pm 0.2$. These values also agree well with the spectral type of A5h-II (Abt & Morrell 1995). The plots in Fig. 2 show that 19 Aur is a post-MS star and we calculate an age of between 23.8 and 56.7 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 20.9 and 51.0 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 6.9–9.7 M$_\odot$ and a radius of 36.8–59.3 R$_\odot$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 12.0 and 16.5 since the ZAMS.

### 3.6 24 CMa

The fundamental parameters determined by Searle et al. (2008, $T_{\text{eff}} = 16500 \pm 500$ K and $\log g = 2.25$) and by Lefever et al. (2007, $T_{\text{eff}} = 17000$ K and $\log g = 2.15$) agree with our findings of $T_{\text{eff}}$ (17000 ± 400 K) and $\log g$ (2.1 ± 0.2). The parameters calculated by Fraser et al. (2010, $T_{\text{eff}} = 15400$ K and $\log g = 2.15$) and by Crowther et al. (2006, $T_{\text{eff}} = 15500$ K and $\log g = 2.05$) are lower for both $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$. However, all of the calculated parameters and the spectral type of B4Ia (Zorec et al. 2009) lead to the conclusion that 24 CMa is most likely a post-MS star or at the very end of the MS. The H$_\alpha$ line of 24 CMa has been shown to vary in strength by 66.4% (Morel et al. 2004), which could provide an explanation for the spread of results for the fundamental parameters. Our analysis is based on the inspection of a number of lines, and so should be less affected by the variation of H$_\alpha$. H$_\alpha$ is in emission (see Fig. 3) which is common in Ia supergiants, but H$_\alpha$ variation can also point to the presence of a magnetosphere and hence of a magnetic field. Therefore this target is particularly interesting for a spectropolarimetric study.

The study by van Helden (1972) found 24 CMa to be O deficient, however Walborn (1976) found a normal CNO spectrum for a star with this spectral classification. Our findings also suggest this star has a normal CNO spectrum.

We calculate that 24 CMa has an age of between 4.6 and 13.6 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 4.5 and 13.5 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 14.7–16.1 M$_\odot$ and a radius of 29.4–71.6 R$_\odot$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 6.3 and 9.1 since the ZAMS.

### 3.7 η Leo

For η Leo we determine the $T_{\text{eff}}$ to be 9750 ± 300 K and the log $g$ to be 2.0 ± 0.2. This agrees well with the spectral type A0III (Zorec et al. 2009) and with the values calculated by Furrsteins & Przybilla (2012, $T_{\text{eff}} = 9600 \pm 200$ K and log $g = 2.05 \pm 0.10$). The plots in Fig. 2 show that η Leo is well in the post-MS and we calculate an age of between 20.3 and 33.3 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 19.2 and 32.9 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 8.0–11.9 M$_\odot$ and a radius of 25.9–66.6 R$_\odot$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 8.1 and 13.9 since the ZAMS.

### 3.8 γ CMa

γ CMa has been shown to be a HgMn star (Schneider 1981) and a spectroscopic binary (Schneider 1981; Schöller et al. 2010). It shows weak Y lines (Hubrig et al. 2012) and has a rotation period of 6.16 days (Briquet et al. 2010). The spectral type B6III (Zorec et al. 2009) and the fundamental parameters determined by Makaganiuk et al. (2011, $T_{\text{eff}} = 13596$ K) and by Ghazaryan & Alecian (2016, $T_{\text{eff}} = 13600$ K and log $g = 3.40$) agree perfectly with our findings of $T_{\text{eff}}$ (13600 ± 300 K and log $g = 3.4 \pm 0.2$). The plots in Fig. 2 show that γ CMa is either a MS star or at the very start of the post-MS. We calculate an age of between 42.3 and 98.9 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 41.8 and 113.6 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 5.0–7.0 M$_\odot$ and a radius of 5.5–11.5 R$_\odot$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 1.9 and 3.9 since the ZAMS.

### 3.9 HD 10362

For HD 10362 we determine the $T_{\text{eff}}$ to be 14300 ± 300 K and the log $g$ to be 3.3 ± 0.2, which is consistent with the spectral type of B7III (Jensen 1981). The plots in Fig. 2 show that
HD 42035 is a binary system. Studying the LSD of between a factor of 2.1 and 3.6 since the ZAMS and a radius of 5.3–10.7 R⊙ respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 5.0–7.0 M⊙ the age at the MS turn-off of between 44.7 and 104.5 Myr, compared to HD 10362 is post-MS or very close to the end of the MS. We calculate an age of between 45.2 and 97.8 Myr compared to HD 186660 we determine the T_eff, 3.11 HD 186660 is not shown in Fig. 2. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 2.1 and 3.6 since the ZAMS.

3.10 HD 42035

The star HD 42035 is a binary system. Studying the LSD Stokes I profile of this star (Fig. 4), it can be seen that the binary consists of a very slowly rotating component and a very rapidly rotating component. This is consistent with the findings of Monier et al. (2016), who report numerous peculiarities of the chemical abundances, which we also observe in our spectra. We find a T_eff of 10500 K which is consistent with the values given in Monier et al. (2016). However, as stated by Monier et al. (2016), this value has significant flux contributions from both stars, and so the evolutionary status of both stars remains unclear. For this reason HD 42035 is not shown in Fig. 2.

3.11 HD 186660

For HD 186660 we determine the T_eff to be 16900 ± 300 K and the log g to be 3.6 ± 0.2. This suggests a star cooler than spectral type B2V (Guetter 1968), and as can be seen from Fig. 2 the T_eff and log g are consistent with the value of L given in Table 3. As a result we conclude that HD 186660 is either at the end of the MS or at the start of the post-MS. We calculate an age of between 33.2 and 46.5 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 32.9 and 52.8 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 7.0–8.0 M⊙ and a radius of 6.5–9.4 R⊙. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 1.9 and 3.0 since the ZAMS.

3.12 HD 188209

HD 188209 is an O supergiant and so, in the same way as 15 Sgr, our determination of T_eff and log g is focussed mainly on the analysis of uvbybeta and the results of previous studies. We see the presence of emission in Hx (see Fig. 3), which is consistent with HD 188209 being an O supergiant. The values of T_eff = 31500±1500 K and log g = 3.0 ± 0.1 found by Israeli et al. (2000) and T_eff = 29800±2000 K and log g = 3.2 ± 0.1 found by Marcolino et al. (2017) agree well with our findings of T_eff=30000 ± 1000 K and log g=3.1 ± 0.2. These values also agree well with the spectral type of O9.5ab. The plots in Fig. 2 show that HD 188209 is a MS star rather than on the post-MS and we calculate an age of between 2.5 and 7.6 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 3.0 and 9.5 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 19.7–70.0 M⊙ and a radius of 10.7–40.9 R⊙. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 1.9 and 3.4 since the ZAMS.

3.13 HD 209419

The results by Lyubimkov et al. (2005, T_eff = 14100 K and log g = 3.70) are consistent with our findings of T_eff = 14100±300 K and log g = 3.5 ± 0.2. These parameters suggest a star slightly cooler than the spectral type of B5V from Gkouvelis et al. (2016). The plots in Fig. 2 show that HD 209419 is either a post-MS star or at the end of the MS. We infer an age of between 110.1 and 88.1 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 109.2 and 88.2 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 5.0 M⊙ and a radius of 5.2–6.4 R⊙. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 2.2 and 2.5 since the ZAMS.

3.14 HIP 38584

For HIP 38584 we determine the T_eff to be 12600 ± 300 K and the log g to be 3.0 ± 0.2, which is consistent with the spectral type of B2I (Houk 1978). The plots in Fig. 2 show that HIP 38584 is on the post-MS and we calculate an age of between 33.3 and 191.0 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 32.9 and 189.4 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 4.0–8.0 M⊙ and a radius of 5.1–16.6 R⊙. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 2.3 and 5.2 since the ZAMS.

3.15 HR 3042

Our current analysis of HR 3042 suggests that this star is a He-weak chemically peculiar star. We see asymmetry in a number of spectral lines which could be caused by a binary, stellar pulsations, or indeed by the presence of a magnetic field. It is classified by Renson & Manfroid (2009) as a binary because it shows variable radial velocity. However, further analysis of spectra from multiple rotational phases is required before we can conclude about the exact nature of this star. Assuming a single star, we calculate a T_eff of 14150±300 K and a log g of 3.5±0.2, which is consistent with the spectral type of B8/9II (Houk & Smith-Moore 1988). The plots in Fig. 2 show that HR 3042 is most likely a post-MS star or at the end of the MS. We calculate an age of between 110.1 and 89.1 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 109.2 and 88.4 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 4.9–6.3 M⊙ and a radius of 4.9–6.3 R⊙. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 1.7 and 2.5 since the ZAMS.
Table 4. Magnetic field measurements and detection result for the observed stars. The name of the star, measured longitudinal field strength ($B_l$), and measured longitudinal field strength in the null profile ($N_l$) are given. Column 4 gives the results of the FAP analysis (see Section 4) where DD is a definite field detection and ND is a null detection. $B_l$ is the current dipole field strength and $B_{ZAMS}$ is the estimated dipole field strength the star would have had at the ZAMS assuming only flux conservation.

| Star   | $B_l$ (G) | $N_l$ (G) | Field detect? | $B_l$ (min) | $B_{ZAMS}$ (min) |
|--------|----------|-----------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| 13 Mon | 1.0 ± 0.6 | 0.5 ± 0.6 | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| 15 Sgr | 0 ± 0.2  | 10 ± 0.2  | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
|        | 0 ± 0.2  | 10 ± 0.2  | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
|        | 20 ± 30  | -20 ± 30  | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| 19 Aur | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 0.3 ± 0.2 | DD            | 3          | 470 - 900       |
|        | 1.0 ± 0.2 | -0.02 ± 0.02 | DD    | 3          | 460 - 800       |
| 24 CMa | 2 ± 1     | 0.4 ± 1.0 | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| η Leo  | 0.2 ± 0.6 | 0.3 ± 0.6 | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| γ CMa  | 9 ± 8     | -4 ± 8    | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| HD 10362 | -7 ± 6 | 3 ± 6    | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| HD 14035 | -0.2 ± 0.7 | -0.1 ± 0.7 | ND        | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| HD 186660 | -0.8 ± 2.0 | -0.4 ± 2.0 | ND      | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| HD 188209 | 20 ± 20 | 20 ± 20  | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| HD 209419 | 5 ± 3   | -0.2 ± 3.0 | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| HIP 38584 | 3 ± 6 | 7 ± 6    | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| HR 3042 | -230 ± 10 | -10 ± 10 | DD            | 760        | 2220 - 4810     |
| PT Pup | -1 ± 2   | 1 ± 2    | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |
| V399 Lac | 0.9 ± 0.8 | 0.4 ± 0.8 | ND            | 5700 - 900 | ND              |

3.16 PT Pup

We determine $T_{\text{eff}} = 26300 ± 500$ K and $\log g = 4.1 ± 0.2$ for PT Pup, which is consistent with the result of Nieva & Przybilla (2014). $T_{\text{eff}} = 26300 ± 300$ K and $\log g = 4.15 ± 0.05$) and with the spectral type B1V (Nieva 2013). The plots in Fig. 2 show that PT Pup is a MS star rather than on the post-MS and we calculate an age of between 9.1 and 6.3 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 18.9 and 17.7 Myr respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 11.0–12.0 $M_\odot$ and a radius of 4.5–5.4 $R_\odot$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 1.2 and 1.3 since the ZAMS.

3.17 V399 Lac

The $T_{\text{eff}} = 8500 ± 200$ K and $\log g = 1.5 ± 0.2$ which we calculate for V399 Lac match well with the values calculated by Fernstein & Przybilla (2012), $T_{\text{eff}} = 8400 ± 150$ K and $\log g = 1.40 ± 0.10$ and the spectral type A3II (Abt & Morrell 1995). The plots in Fig. 2 show that V399 Lac is well onto the post-MS and we calculate an age of between 15.1 and 58.9 Myr compared to the age at the MS turn-off of between 14.2 and 51.7 Myr, respectively. We conclude that it has a mass of 6.9–14.4 $M_\odot$ and a radius of 38.7–129.7 $R_\odot$. This suggests a radius increase of between a factor of 12.5 and 24.8 since the ZAMS.

4 MAGNETIC ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The LSD profiles calculated for the LIFE targets (see Section 2.3) are shown in Figs. 5–8. For each of these profiles, we calculate the longitudinal magnetic field $B_l$ and the False Alarm Probability (FAP). We calculate $B_l$ following Rees & Semel (1979) and Wade et al. (2000):

$$B_l = -2.14 \times 10^{11} \frac{\nu \xi \nu (\nu d v)}{4 \pi c [L_c - (\nu d v)] d v},$$

where $\nu$ is the position in velocity space, $\xi$ is the Stokes $I$ continuum level, $\lambda$ and $z$ are the wavelength in nm and Landé factor adopted to scale the LSD profiles and $c$ is the speed of light in the same unit as $\nu$. The integration limits are chosen so that they do not extend beyond the Stokes $I$ line profile and so that they avoid the wings of the supergiants. This is because in supergiants the line wings may be formed in the stellar wind and so are not sensitive to the surface field. This is consistent with the Stokes $V$ signatures presented by Neiner et al. (2017).

The FAP is a quantity used to determine the probability that a Stokes $V$ signature is real or noise. It is calculated using the $\chi^2$ probability function to ascertain whether the deviation from zero observed in the Stokes $V$ and $N$ profiles is best explained as a result of random noise or a signal (Donati et al. 1992, 1997). We follow the convention of Donati et al. (1997), who define a definite detection to be a probability ($P$) that the signal is real of at least 99.999% which is a FAP of $10^{-5}$, a marginal detection is a 99.999% > $P$ > 99.9% ($10^{-5}$ < FAP < $10^{-3}$) and no detection is $P < 99.9%$ (FAP > $10^{-3}$). We require that the signal is only detected in Stokes $V$ not in $N$, and that the Stokes $V$ signal is contained within the width of the LSD Stokes $I$ profile. The $B_l$ and FAP values are listed in Table 4. Comparing these results with the expected field strengths shown in Table 3, we see that we reach a magnetic precision sufficient to detect the expected field in all cases. Our results show the clear detection of a magnetic field in two of the stars: 19 Aur and HR 3042. This is also clearly observed in their LSD profiles shown in Figs. 6 and 7. Moreover, HIP 38584 shows a possible Zeeman signature (see Fig. 8) which is consistent with the velocity range of the intensity line. However, its FAP leads to a non-detection and its $B_l$ value is compatible with 0. The observations of all the other stars result in non-detections and do not show any signs of coherent structures in their Stokes $V$ profiles. Below we discuss in more detail the 2 magnetic stars and the magnetic candidate.

4.1 The magnetic star 19 Aur

We observed 19 Aur twice, on the 18th of September and 20th of October 2016. Our observations consisted of five consecutive Stokes $V$ sequences of four subexposures each with an exposure time of 254 seconds, resulting in a total exposure time of 1.41 hours for each combined profile. For the line mask 4298 lines were included after rejections based on the constraints described in Section 2.3.

We calculate $B_l$ over an integration range of $\pm 10$ km s$^{-1}$ about the line center of $-3.1$ km s$^{-1}$ for the Stokes $I$ and $V$ and $N$ profiles. This results in a definite field detection for each observation sequence, both with a longitudinal field of 1.0 ± 0.2 G measured from the Stokes $V$ profile and no detection in the $N$ profile. The LSD profile of 19 Aur shows a clear antisymmetric Stokes $V$ signature contained within the line center and an essentially flat null profile, providing further evidence for a magnetic field in this star.
Figure 5. The LSD profiles of the LIFE targets for which no magnetic field was detected. A black solid line represents the first observation for that star in this series, blue is the second and red is the third. The dashed lines show the integration region used to calculate the magnetic field strength and FAP.
The two Stokes $V$ profiles of 19 Aur are very similar in shape, which suggests that the rotational period is either very long (much longer than the one month that elapsed between the two observations) or by chance we observed the star in close to the same phase in both observations (suggesting a rotational period of ~ 33 days or a sub-multiple of 33 days). A third possibility is that either $i$ and/or $\beta$ are close to zero resulting in a invariable Stokes $V$ signature.

Based on the current radius and $v \sin i$ of 19 Aur we estimate its rotational period to be 210 –340 days and thus we favour the first explanation.

We estimate $B_d > 3$ G, which, given a current radius of between 36.8 and 59.3 $R_\odot$, suggests an estimated $B_{ZAMS}$ of between 460 and 900 G. These values are entirely consistent with the range of magnetic field values observed in magnetic MS B stars.

4.2 The magnetic star HR 3042

We observed HR 3042 once on the 14th of December 2016. Our observations consisted of three consecutive Stokes $V$ sequences of four subexposures each with an exposure time of 981 seconds, resulting in a total exposure time of 3.27 hours for the combined profile. For the line mask 873 lines were included after rejections based on the constraints described in Section 2.3.

We calculate $B_l$ over an integration range of $\pm 65$ km s$^{-1}$ about the line center of $-5$ km s$^{-1}$ for the Stokes $I$ and $V$ and $N$ profiles. This results in a definite field detection, with a longitudinal field of $-230 \pm 10$ G measured from the Stokes $V$ profile and no detection in the $N$ profile. The LSD profile of HR 3042 shows a very strong Stokes $V$ signature and a flat null profile. This adds further evidence for a magnetic field in this star.

The Stokes $I$ line profile shows an asymmetry at the core, this could be an effect of the magnetic field, stellar pulsations, binary companion or the presence of surface spots. Further observation will allow us to determine the nature of this magnetic star.

We estimate $B_d > 760$ G, which, given a current radius of between 4.9 and 6.3 $R_\odot$, suggests an estimated $B_{ZAMS}$ of between 2220 and 4810 G. Thus, HR 3042 was likely a quite strongly magnetic star at the start of the MS, and is or was possibly an Ap/Bp star. Based on the current radius and $v \sin i$ of HR 3042 we estimate its rotational period to be 4.1 –5.3 days.

4.3 HIP 38584: a magnetic candidate

We have observed HIP 38584 once, on the 13th of March 2017. Our observations consisted of one Stokes $V$ sequence of four subexposures each with an exposure time of 1049 seconds, resulting in a total exposure time of 1.17 hours for the combined profile. For the line mask 864 lines were included after rejections based on the constraints in Section 2.3.

The FAP analysis results in no detection of a magnetic field. However, the line profile of HIP 38584 is asymmetric which could suggest a binary companion or the presence of surface spots. There is evidence of a coherent structure in half of the line profile, which could suggest that one of the two stars is magnetic. Further observations of this star are therefore necessary to determine whether or not a magnetic field is present. If this star is indeed a binary and magnetic, it will provide valuable data for the BinaMiCS (Alecian et al. 2015) project, which seeks to understand the magnetism of close binary stars.

5 DISCUSSION

Two of the stars we have observed show a clear Stokes $V$ signature and a third shows a possible Zeeman signature.
in Stokes \( V \) and requires further observations to check its potential magnetic nature. Of the stars observed, six are almost certainly post-MS, five are either at the end of the MS or at the start of the post-MS and three are MS stars. The evolutionary status of HD 42035 remains unclear. This leads to an incidence rate of magnetic fields in post-MS stars of between 10 and 29\%, which is compatible with the \( \sim 10\% \) incidence on the MS. However, our sample of post-MS stars is so far insufficient for these values to have any statistical significance. The full LIFE sample will be necessary to draw clear conclusions.

Of the non-magnetic stars, 15 Sgr was previously studied as part of the MiMeS survey (Grunhut et al. 2017). The authors obtained only one definite field detection, which upon a visual inspection of the LSD profiles was shown to result from a coherent structure extending outside of the line profile. As a consequence, it was determined to be spurious. In addition we do not detect a mean longitudinal magnetic field for \( \gamma \) CMa, confirming the result of Hubrig et al. (2012).

Of the two clearly magnetic stars, one is very close to or just past the turn-off point from the MS to the post-MS (HR 3042) and one is a clearly evolved star (19 Aur). HR 3042 has a current mass of 5 \( M_\odot \), a \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of 14000 \( \pm \) 300 K and shows an underabundance of He. Its current \( B_d > 760 \) G, in combination with a predicted radius expansion of a factor between 1.8 and 2.6 suggests a magnetic field strength of at least 2220 and 4810 G at the ZAMS if magnetic flux conservation is the only process which affects the evolution of the magnetic field strength.

19 Aur has a current mass of 6.9–9.7 \( M_\odot \) and a \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of 8500\( \pm \)200 K and we see approximately solar abundances of elements consistent with the results of Lyubimkov et al. (2015). Its current \( B_d > 3 \) G, in combination with a predicted radius expansion of a factor between 12.0 and 16.5 suggests a magnetic field strength of at least 460–900 G at the ZAMS if magnetic flux conservation is the only process which affects the evolution of the magnetic field strength. With our observations so far we are unable to determine the type of field which exists in this star. However, since we have two observations taken 33 days apart that show essen-
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**Figure 6.** The LSD Stokes \( I \), \( N \) and Stokes \( V \) profiles of 19 Aur, the black line is the observation taken on the 18\textsuperscript{th} of September 2016 and the blue line is the observation taken on the 20\textsuperscript{th} of October 2016. The dashed lines show the integration region used to calculate the magnetic field strength and FAP.
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**Figure 7.** The LSD Stokes \( I \), \( N \) and Stokes \( V \) profiles of HR 3042, observed on the 14\textsuperscript{th} of December 2016. The dashed lines show the integration region used to calculate the magnetic field strength and FAP.
Figure 8. The LSD Stokes $I$, $N$ and Stokes $V$ profiles of HIP 38584, observed on the 13th of March 2017. The dashed lines show the integration region used to calculate the magnetic field strength and FAP.

**6 CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we have presented the first observations and results of the LIFE project. Out of our sample of 15 stars (six post-MS, five MS/post-MS and three MS stars), we found two to be magnetic HR 3042 and 19 Aur and a magnetic candidate, HIP 38584. HR 3042 is either at the very end of its MS lifetime or just onto the post-MS and 19 Aur is very definitely post-MS. We find that the less evolved star, HR 3042, has $B_l = -230 \pm 10$ G and the more evolved 19 Aur has $B_l = -1.0 \pm 0.2$ G. This is consistent with what would be expected if the field strength has decreased only as a result of flux conservation. It is important to note that the work by Fossati et al. (2016) found that magnetic flux decay likely has a significant impact on the evolution of magnetic fields. Therefore the estimated ZAMS magnetic field strengths we calculate in this paper may be underestimated. Further analysis of the magnetic fields in evolved OBA will allow us to further understand the impact of possible magnetic flux decay.

Studying 19 Aur and HR 3042 in detail, using spectropolarimetric observations at multiple phases in each star’s rotation period, will allow us to determine whether these stars still host fossil fields or whether a dynamo field has formed in the outer convective region which is modifying the fossil field. This would likely be evidenced by changes in the line profiles for the same rotation phase in different epochs. In addition, the continuation of the LIFE project is predicted to yield at least six further magnetic post-MS stars, if the prevalence of magnetic fields in these stars is consistent with their MS counterparts.

The results of the LIFE project will provide new insight on the nature of the interplay between magnetic fields and stellar evolution. The results will also provide additional evidence for theories which aim to connect the magnetic fields observed in MS stars with those observed in the later stages such as white dwarfs, neutron stars and black holes.
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3 The evolutionary status of HD 42035 remains unclear because it is a binary with significant flux contributions from both stars.
APPENDIX A: NORMALISATION CODE

The LIFE project involves the analysis of a large number of spectra. Part of this analysis involves fitting the continuum of each spectral order for each observation to scale the stellar continuum to unity. Generally this is accomplished using IRAF, which is a very powerful tool. However, since we have such a large number of spectra our aim is to accomplish the normalisation in a semi-automatic way. As a result, we have developed a new code, spent (SPExtical Normalisation Tool), a PYTHON code which combines automatic sigma-clipping with adjustable edge regions in an interactive interface. An example of the graphical user interface of spent is shown in Fig. 9.

The code begins by fitting a third-order spline to one order of an unnormalised echelle spectrum. The points which make up the spectrum are then iteratively σ-clipped until the third order spline only passes through continuum points and so models the continuum. In this paper we only have absorption spectra, and so we must clip more points below the fit than above, to remove the absorption lines. However, the reverse is equally possible. The user is interactively able to define the number of interior knots used to calculate the spline fit, the number of iterations of the σ-clipping and the upper and lower σ threshold values. Each time a change is made to the parameters, the normalised spectrum is updated. For each star the normalisation parameters are saved in a log file, this means it is possible to fit future observations efficiently and consistently.

A key consideration when designing this code was that the edges of the orders of echelle spectrograph can occur in a log file, this means it is possible to fit future observations efficiently and consistently. Part of this analysis involves fitting the continuum of each spectral order for each observation to scale the stellar continuum to unity. Generally this is accomplished using IRAF, which is a very powerful tool. However, since we have such a large number of spectra our aim is to accomplish the normalisation in a semi-automatic way. As a result, we have developed a new code, spent (SPExtical Normalisation Tool), a PYTHON code which combines automatic sigma-clipping with adjustable edge regions in an interactive interface. An example of the graphical user interface of spent is shown in Fig. 9.

The code begins by fitting a third-order spline to one order of an unnormalised echelle spectrum. The points which make up the spectrum are then iteratively σ-clipped until the third order spline only passes through continuum points and so models the continuum. In this paper we only have absorption spectra, and so we must clip more points below the fit than above, to remove the absorption lines. However, the reverse is equally possible. The user is interactively able to define the number of interior knots used to calculate the spline fit, the number of iterations of the σ-clipping and the upper and lower σ threshold values. Each time a change is made to the parameters, the normalised spectrum is updated. For each star the normalisation parameters are saved in a log file, this means it is possible to fit future observations efficiently and consistently.

A key consideration when designing this code was that the edges of the orders of echelle spectrograph can occur in a log file, this means it is possible to fit future observations efficiently and consistently. Part of this analysis involves fitting the continuum of each spectral order for each observation to scale the stellar continuum to unity. Generally this is accomplished using IRAF, which is a very powerful tool. However, since we have such a large number of spectra our aim is to accomplish the normalisation in a semi-automatic way. As a result, we have developed a new code, spent (SPExtical Normalisation Tool), a PYTHON code which combines automatic sigma-clipping with adjustable edge regions in an interactive interface. An example of the graphical user interface of spent is shown in Fig. 9.
Figure 9. The graphical interface for spent. The upper and lower panel of the interface shows one order of the unnormalised and normalised echelle spectrum respectively (red solid line), with a portion of the preceding and succeeding orders (green solid lines). The black pluses in the upper panel are the points calculated to be at continuum level based on the current input parameters. The solid black line is the cubic spline fit to these continuum points in the upper panel and a line at unity in the lower panel. The blue lines show the edge regions where points can be removed and replaced by a cluster of points. The current values for the input parameters are also shown and finally the dashed black line is a synthetic spectrum calculated with the fundamental parameters associated with the current star.