Parenchymal mucinous cystadenoma of the kidney: a case report and literature review
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Abstract

Background: Mucinous cystadenoma (MC) of the kidney is exceedingly rare. We found 22 similar cases in the literature. These masses are underdiagnosed due to radiologic similarities with simple renal cysts.

Case presentation: A 66-year-old man with a previous history of hypertension and anxiety was referred to our tertiary clinic with left flank pain. Ultrasound revealed a 60 mm-sized, complex cystic mass with irregular septa in the lower pole of the left kidney (different from last year’s sonographic findings of a simple benign cyst with delicate septa). CT scan showed the same results plus calcification. Due to suspected renal cell carcinoma, a radical nephrectomy was performed. Postoperative histopathologic examination revealed a cyst lined by a single layer of columnar mucin-producing cells with small foci of pseudo-stratification, consistent with the MC’s diagnosis. The first follow-up visit showed normal blood pressure without medication and no flank pain and anxiety after a month.

Conclusion: It is quite challenging to distinguish the primary MC of the kidney from a simple renal cyst based on clinical and imaging findings. The radiologic features of these entities overlap significantly. Thus, complex renal cyst and renal cysts with mural nodules should be followed closely to detect malignancy earlier.
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1 Background

The most common renal pelvis neoplasms are transitional renal carcinomas followed by squamous cell carcinomas. Each contributes to 85–90% and 10–15% of cases, respectively [1]. Adenocarcinomas (subclassified as tubulovillous, mucinous, and papillary non-intestinal) and small-cell carcinomas of the renal pelvis account for less than 1% of renal neoplasms [1, 2]. Mucinous cystic neoplasms of the kidney are exceedingly rare that they are not even entered into WHO’s classification [3]. These tumors’ preoperative diagnosis is usually tricky and confusing because they look like a simple renal cyst on imaging [3]. Knowledge about the diagnosis and treatment of these tumors is limited. Here, we described a case of mucinous cystadenoma (MC) of the kidney.

2 Case presentation

A 66-year-old man was referred to the urologist by the primary care physician because of left flank pain and a left cystic renal mass (6 mm), which was detected on ultrasound. Past medical history was remarkable of anxiety, pernicious anemia, sensory-neural hearing loss, and a five-year history of hypertension. An ultrasound performed one year earlier due to hypertension surveillance detected a 50 mm, benign renal cyst in the lower pole of the left kidney. He had no palpable mass, hematuria, and flank pain then. Repeated ultrasonography showed a 58*48*61 mm-sized, complex cystic mass with irregular septa and few echogenic particles in the lower pole of the left kidney. He was taking vitamin B12 supplementation for pernicious anemia and losartan for hypertension. Family, psychological,
and social history were unremarkable. Physical examination and laboratory studies were all within normal limits (Table 1).

Abdominopelvic computed tomography (CT) showed a cystic lesion measuring 64*51 mm-sized in the lower pole of the kidney with fine septa and two echogenic foci compatible with calcification (Fig. 1). These findings were compatible with the Bosniak IIF category. There was no evidence of extra-cystic extension or distant metastasis in the abdominopelvic cavity. We performed radical nephrectomy through the left flank approach without any complication, and he was discharged three days later.

**Macroscopic examination:** The kidney was 662 g. The specimen measured 10*7*6 cm with surrounding perirenal fat-measuring in thickness from 0.5 to 1.0 cm. Ureter extends from renal pelvis (5.0 cm in long, 0.6 cm diameter), renal vein (1.0 cm long, 0.8 cm diameter), and renal artery (1.0 cm long, 0.3 cm diameter). A circumscribed mass measuring 6*6*5 cm was detected in the middle pole. A cross section of the mass revealed a unilocular cyst in renal parenchyma. It was located at the medulla and cortex with a smooth, glistening, and yellow inner surface without papillary projections or solid nodules. The content of the cyst was thickly mucinous and gelatinous materials. The tumor pushed against the renal capsule but did not appear to penetrate the capsule or invade into the perirenal fat.

**Microscopic examination:** The microscopic section revealed a cyst lined by columnar mucin-producing cells of endocervical type. The lining epithelium was a single layer but small foci of pseudo-stratification and simple, delicate papillary folds. The nuclei were basally located, round, uniform, and vesicular with one small nucleolus. Cyst content was myxoid and acidophilic depositions with foamy macrophages, hemosiderin-laden macrophages, and cholesterol clefts. The cyst wall was fibrotic with foci of calcification plus aggregations of hemosiderin-laden and foamy macrophages. Peri-cystic renal parenchyma showed atrophic tubules, sclerotic glomeruli, lymphocyte-predominant leukocyte infiltration, and lymphoid follicles with germinal center (Fig. 2). The final diagnosis of MC of renal parenchyma was made. Chest CT scan performed, which was negative for metastasis. We did not perform IHC studies due to limited resources.

Interestingly, a follow-up visit after one month revealed no flank pain, normal blood pressure without any medication, improved hearing status, and no experience of...
anxiety attacks. He is in the same state of health during the 9-month follow-up.

3 Discussion
We report a rare MC of the kidney in a patient with progressive radiologic findings from the benign simple renal cyst to a complex cyst.

3.1 Epidemiology
Including our case, only 23 cases of MCs were reported in the English literature (Table 2). Thirteen patients were male, eight were female, and two are unknown [4, 5]. The cysts' median size (excluding cases that have not mentioned cyst size) was 16.5 (range: 2.4–37 cm). Similarly, the median age was 60.5 (range: 79–27 years). Its malignant counterpart, mucinous cystadenocarcinoma (MCC), is also a rare entity with only thirteen literature reports. The features defining malignancy are nuclear atypia, invasion of stroma, and multilayers of neoplastic cells [6, 7]. Most of the reported cases of these neoplasms were from Japan, followed by India, Mexico, Iran, and Turkey (Table 1) [8, 9].

3.2 Etiology
Among the previous cases, most of them originated from the urinary collecting system (pelvic MC) and less from renal parenchyma (parenchymal MC), including our case [3, 10–19]. There are three theories about glandular

Fig. 2 Microscopic sections show renal cyst lined by a single layer of columnar mucinous epithelium (A), with focal pseudo-stratification (B). The cyst contains mucoid material and cholesterol clefts (C). Peri-cystic renal parenchyma reveals chronic leukocyte infiltration (D & E)
metaplastic change, including (1) renal maldevelopment, (2) chronic inflammation (or irritation), and (3) celomic epithelium differentiation [6, 20].

Only three MCs and three MCCs were reported in association with maldevelopment [6, 8, 18]. Some authors suggested that such parenchymal tumors could originate from a sequestrated segment of the renal pelvic epithelium in renal parenchyma in the background of maldevelopment [10, 11, 18]. Most cases were associated with chronic irritation secondary to urolithiasis or pyelonephritis [3, 13, 18]. Renal calculi were reported among ten patients of MC’s previous reports, six cases declared no calculi, and six studies did not mention the condition (Table 2). Four cases had pyelonephritis, and

Table 2  Previous case reports on MC and MCC

| Study     | Sex | Age | Location   | Size | Type | Lithiasis/Pyelonephritis | Region          | IHC                                    |
|-----------|-----|-----|------------|------|------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|
| Tamsin5 2019 | F   | 65  | L          | 16   | MCa  |                          | Europe, Belgium | CEA -                                   |
| Geramizadeh2017 | M   | 73  | N/A        | 5.7  | MuC  |                          | Asia, Iran      | NS                                     |
| Xiang2017   | M   | 75  | R          | 15   |      |                          | Asia, China     | GATA3 +                                |
| Kim2016     | M   | 55  | R          | 5.5  | MCA  |                          | Asia, S Korea   | CK7, CD20, EMA, MUC-2, MUC-SAC +, CEA + (focally) Vimentin - |
| Joseph2016  | M   | 51  | Horseshoe  | 15   | MCA  |                          | Asia, India     | NS                                     |
| Mitome2015  | M   | 45  | Horseshoe  | 16.5 | MuC  |                          | Asia, India     | NS                                     |
| Han2015     | M   | 50  | R          | 5.6  | MCA  | ±                          | Asia, S Korea   | NS                                     |
| Patel2014   | F   | 45  | R          | 17   | MCA  | +/+                       | Asia, India     | NS                                     |
| Yadav2013   | M   | 45  | Eclectic   | 1.9  | MCA  | +/+                       | Asia, India     | NS                                     |
| Chabibi2013 | M   | 64  | R          | 37   | MuC  | +/NS                      | N America, Mexico City | MUC-2, MUC-SAC + CK7 and CEA + (focally) Synaptophysin, CD56, chromogranin - |
| Sonmez2013  | M   | 67  | L          | 20   | MCA  | −/−                       | Europe, Turkey  | CK7, CK20 +                             |
| Tepeler2011 | M   | 60  | L          | 7    | MCA  | −/−                       | Europe, Turkey  | CK7, CEA, EMA + CK20 -                  |
| Raphael2011 | M   | 56  | R          | 16   | MCA  | ±                          | Asia, India     | NS                                     |
| Kumar2009   | M   | 63  | R          | 21   | MuC  | +/+                       | Asia, Nepal     | NS                                     |
| Kawahara2009 | M   | 50  | R          | 4.5  | MuC  | −/NS                      | Asia, Japan     | MUC-2, chromogranin A, synaptophysin +  |
| Rao2009     | M   | 52  | L          | 35   | MuC  | ±                          | S America, Brazil | CK7, CK20 + CDX2 -                     |
| Fareghi2009 | M   | 45  | L          | 30   | MCA  | +/+                       | Asia, Iran      | NS                                     |
| Gangane2008 | F   | 35  | L          | 5    | MuC  | NS/NS                     | Asia, India     | NS                                     |
| Charni2008  | F   | 31  | R          | 13   | MuC  | NS/NS                     | North Africa, Tunisia | CK7, EMA +                              |
| Mardi2006   | M   | 63  | NS         | 21   | MuC  | NS/NS                     | Asia, India     | NS                                     |
| Akan2005    | F   | 27  | Horseshoe  | 12   | MuC  | −/−                       | Europe, Turkey  | NS                                     |
| Takashi2003 | F   | 53  | R          | 27   | MuC  | +/NS                      | Asia, Japan     | CA19-9, neuron-specific enolase, chromogranin A + |
| Park2002    | M   | 79  | R          | 3.5  | MuC  | +/+                       | N America, USA  | NS                                     |
| Toyoda2001  | M   | 69  | R          | 5    | Muc  | −/−                       | Asia, Japan     | CEA, CK, CA19-9, EMA, S100, chromogranin - |
| Murakawas 1997 | NS  | NS  | Supernumerary | NS  | MCA  | NS/NS                     | Asia, Japan     | NS                                     |
| Ohyabu1990  | F   | 63  | R          | 17   | MuC  | ±                          | Asia, Japan     | NS                                     |
| Arakawa1989 | F   | 63  | R          | 5.3  | MuC  | +/+                       | Asia, Japan     | NS                                     |
| D. G. Ross1986 | F   | 39  | R          | 7    | MuC  | NS/NS                     | N America, USA  | NS                                     |
| Hasebe1960  | NS  | NS  | NS         | NS   | MCA  | NS/NS                     | Asia, Japan     | NS                                     |
| Nielsen1957 | NS  | NS  | NS         | NS   | MuC  | +/NS                      | NS              | NS                                     |
| Arcadi1956  | NS  | NS  | NS         | 30   | MCA  | +/NS                      | N America, USA  | NS                                     |
| Plaut1929   | NS  | NS  | NS         | NS   | Muc  | +/NS                      | NS              | NS                                     |

CK: cytokeratin, EMA: epithelial membrane antigen, MCA: mucinous cystadenocarcinoma, MuC: mucinous cystadenoma, MUC: mucin, NS: not specified
all of them were accompanying renal stones. Thus, pyelonephritis might be a result of the renal stone rather than a risk factor for MC. Three case reports declared no inflammatory state, such as renal stones or pyelonephritis [21]. Although we did not find a previous history of renal stone or infection, we detected histologic findings of chronic inflammation around the cyst. Finally, celiac epithelium differentiation and subsequent mucinous metaplasia are another possibility due to the high resemblance to ovarian mucinous neoplasms [22, 23].

In MC arising from the urinary collecting system, the cyst’s inner surface is lined by mucin-producing columnar epithelium with the continuous transition to the urothelium [15, 18]. In those arising from the renal parenchyma, including our case, the inner surface was covered only by columnar mucinous epithelium [3].

The noted information suggests that pelvic MC and parenchymal MC may have the same origin and evolve from a similar sequence of events: chronic irritation and epithelial invagination, then glandular metaplasia, and finally, neoplastic transformation [3].

### 3.3 Diagnosis and differential diagnosis

The diagnosis of MC was always made after nephrectomy (partial or total) in previous reports [6]. Differential diagnoses of mucinephrosis are more frequent than MC. Solid renal cell carcinomas with necrotic or hemorrhagic components, metastatic mucinous cystadenocarcinoma of the appendix, renal papillary adenoma, villous adenoma (arising from urothelial metaplasia), and MCC are other possible diagnoses [6]. It is quite difficult to distinguish an MC from a simple cyst based on clinical history, physical, laboratory, and imaging findings. History of mucusuria should raise the suspicion of MC or MCC [24]. Other non-specific symptoms are flank pain and hematuria. Some cases had a palpable abdominal mass on physical examination. Overall, the presentation can vary from silent disease to pseudomyxoma peritonei [24]. Laboratory results are usually non-specific and inconclusive. Imaging studies may not differentiate benign MC of the kidney from malignant counterpart, thus complex renal cyst and renal cyst with mural nodule should be followed closely to detect malignancy in early stages [20, 21, 25, 26]. Ultrasonographic features of a simple renal cyst include (1) ovoid or spherical shape, (2) distinct, sharp borders with a smooth margin, (3) no internal echogenicity, and (4) acoustic enhancement behind the cyst [10]. Akan et al. reported a case of MC that fulfilled all the above criteria [10]. It can somehow explain our patient’s ultrasound findings of simple cyst one year before the presentation; the MC contains a thick fluid initially, which is hard to distinguish from a simple cyst; with time, the mucinous components form features of calcification secondary to mucin production [21].

CT scan also failed to distinguish MC from mucinephrosis and simple renal cyst because all these conditions pose -10 to +20 HF density [18, 27]. We also found two case reports diagnosed with cystic adenocarcinoma with considerable similarities to our case, but no full texts available [28, 29].

**Immunohistochemical studies:** Such a profile can help to realize the lineage of the neoplasm. Chablé et al. reported three MCs cases, which were diffusely positive for CDX2, MUC2, and CK20, which are sensitive intestinal tissue markers. Many studies showed cytokeratin-7 (CK 7), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), and CEA positivity [3, 12, 30, 31]. We did not perform IHC studies due to limited resources resulting from economic sanctions, like two other Iranian studies [32, 33]. The results of other studies are summarized in Table 2.

### 3.4 Management

In all previous reports, the exact diagnosis was made only after a total or partial nephrectomy. Regarding MCCs, because primary MCC is extremely rare, secondary involvement should be evaluated in organs with a higher prevalence of MCC (ovaries, pancreas, appendix). Two MC cases with carcinoid tumors were reported, and both had no carcinoid Syndrome [34, 35].

### 3.5 Prognosis

The overall prognosis of RCCs with cystic characteristics is better than those with solid components [36]. However, the same pattern is not valid for MC and MCC because these cysts present themselves in different types of Bosniak classifications. Akan et al. presented a case of MC with radiologic features of a simple renal cyst [10]. Like the reported case of Mitome et al., our patient showed IIF Bosniak classification with MC’s diagnosis [10]. Interestingly, Tepeler et al. and Tasmin et al. also presented cases with IIF Bosniak classification but MCC’s diagnosis [6, 37]. Other studies did not mention the Bosniak score.

### 3.6 Follow-up

We found no standardized follow-up schedule for MC of the kidney. It could be deduced that patients with MC may not require a hardline, strict follow-up, although it is necessary to evaluate patients regularly and cost-effectively. The rationale is that the criteria for determining the benign versus the malignant nature mostly derived from ovarian MCs, but the tumor’s course is not thoroughly studied in the kidney.

In previous studies, there was no history of hypertension, hearing impairment, and anxiety. In this case, hypertension and anxiety might be due to the cyst’s
pressure effect on renal hilar vessels. Thus, surgical excision may lead to the return of blood pressure to a normal range. Hearing improvement may be due to anti-hypertensive medications’ cessation; however, we have no explanation about anxiety and its amelioration after surgical excision. Another limitation of the present study is that we only searched the PubMed database for similar cases.

4 Conclusion
The primary MC of the kidney is extremely rare and quite difficult to distinguish from a simple cyst based on imaging studies. The histopathologic findings of previously reported cases, along with this case, suggest that the origin of pelvic MC and parenchymal MC may be the same. Finally, complex renal cyst and renal cysts with mural nodules should be followed closely to detect malignancy in earlier stages.
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