The DEEP3 Galaxy Redshift Survey: the impact of environment on the size evolution of massive early-type galaxies at intermediate redshift*†‡
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ABSTRACT

Using data drawn from the DEEP2 and DEEP3 Galaxy Redshift Surveys, we investigate the relationship between the environment and the structure of galaxies residing on the red sequence at intermediate redshift. Within the massive (10 < log_{10}(M_*/h^{-2}M_⊙) < 11) early-type population at 0.4 < z < 1.2, we find a significant correlation between local galaxy overdensity (or environment) and galaxy size, such that early-type systems in higher density regions tend to have larger effective radii (by ~0.5 h^{-1} kpc or 25 per cent larger) than their counterparts of equal stellar mass and Sérsic index in lower density environments. This observed size–density relation is consistent with a model of galaxy formation in which the evolution of early-type systems at z < 2 is accelerated in high-density environments such as groups and clusters and in which dry, minor mergers (versus mechanisms such as quasar feedback) play a central role in the structural evolution of the massive, early-type galaxy population.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Recent observations of galaxies at intermediate redshift (z ~ 2) have identified a significant population of massive (~10^{11} M_⊙), quiescent, early-type systems with old, metal-rich stellar populations and remarkably small sizes relative to their local counterparts (e.g. Daddi et al. 2005; Labbé et al. 2005; Kriek et al. 2006; Papovich et al. 2006; Trujillo et al. 2006, 2007; Zirm et al. 2007; van Dokkum et al. 2008; Damjanov et al. 2009, 2011; Toft et al. 2009; Taylor et al. 2010). The stellar densities of these massive, intermediate-redshift galaxies (as measured within one effective radius, r_e) are typically two orders of magnitude greater than quiescent ellipticals of the same mass at z ~ 0.1. Within the central 1 kpc (physical), however, the densities of early types at z ~ 2 are found to only exceed local
measured by a factor of 2–3 (Bezanson et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2009a; van Dokkum et al. 2010). Altogether, the observations suggest that there is significant evolution in the size of massive ellipticals over the past 10 Gyr, likely proceeding in an inside-out manner, without the addition of much stellar mass.

Several physical processes have been proposed to explain this strong size evolution within the massive, early-type population at \( z < 2 \). In particular, gas-poor, collisionless (or ‘dry’) minor mergers are often invoked as a means for puffing up the stellar component of these massive systems (e.g. Khochfar & Silk 2006; Naab, Khochfar & Burkert 2006; Bournaud, Jog & Combes 2007; Boylan-Kolchin & Ma 2007; Naab et al. 2007; Cenarro & Trujillo 2009; Hopkins et al. 2009b; 2010b; Naab, Johansson & Ostriker 2009; van der Wel et al. 2009; Trujillo, Ferreras & de La Rosa 2011). However, a variety of alternative mechanisms has also been proposed, including scenarios in which the observed structural evolution may be driven by secular processes such as adiabatic expansion resulting from stellar mass loss and/or strong active galactic nucleus (AGN) fuelled feedback (Fan et al. 2008, 2010; Damjanov et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2010a,b; see also Nipoti et al. 2009; Williams et al. 2010).

One way to possibly discriminate between these scenarios (minor mergers versus secular processes) is by quantifying the role of environment in the structural evolution of the massive galaxy population. While secular processes are largely independent of environment and quasars are not preferentially found in overdense regions at \( z \sim 1 \) (Coil et al. 2007), mergers are more common in higher density environments such as galaxy groups (Cavaliere, Colafrancesco & Menci 1992; McIntosh et al. 2008; Wetzel et al. 2008; Fakhouri & Ma 2009; Darg et al. 2010; Lin et al. 2010). Thus, if mergers are the dominant mechanism by which the sizes of massive early-types evolve at \( z < 2 \), we should expect to find a variation in the structural properties of galaxies as a function of environment at \( z \sim 1 \).

To test this, we use data drawn from the Deep Extragalactic Evolutionary Probe 2 (DEEP2) and Deep Extragalactic Evolutionary Probe 3 (DEEP3) Galaxy Redshift Surveys (Davis et al. 2003; Cooper et al. 2011; Newman et al., in preparation) to investigate the correlation between the local overdensity of galaxies (which we generally refer to as ‘environment’) and the sizes of massive galaxies on the red sequence at intermediate redshift. In Section 2, we describe our data set, with results and discussion presented in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Throughout, we employ a \( \Lambda \) cold dark matter (\( \Lambda \)CDM) cosmology with \( \Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \) and a Hubble parameter of \( H_0 = 100 \, h \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \), unless otherwise noted. All magnitudes are on the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

## 2 DATA

To characterize both the environment and the structure of galaxies accurately requires spectroscopic observations (or deep, multiband photometric observations; Cooper et al. 2005) as well as high-resolution imaging across a sizable area of sky. Given the limitations of ground-based adaptive-optics observations, the latter is only possible at intermediate redshift via space-based observations [e.g. with *Hubble Space Telescope (HST)*]. Among the fields covered by deep, multiband imaging with *HST*, the Extended Groth Strip (EGS) is by far the most complete with regard to spectroscopic coverage at intermediate redshift. The EGS is one of four fields surveyed by the DEEP2 Galaxy Redshift Survey (Davis et al. 2003, 2007; Newman et al., in preparation), yielding high-precision (\( \sigma_z \sim 30 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \)) secure redshifts for \( 11 \, 701 \) sources at \( 0.2 < z < 1.4 \) over roughly 0.5 square degrees in the EGS. Building upon the DEEP2 spectroscopic sample, the recently completed DEEP3 Galaxy Redshift Survey (Cooper et al. 2011; Cooper et al., in preparation) has brought the target sampling rate to \( \sim 90 \) per cent at \( R_{\text{MGS}} < 24.1 \) over the central 0.25 square degrees of the EGS – the portion of the field imaged by *HST*/Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS; see Fig. 1; Davis et al. 2007; Lotz et al. 2008).

Among the current generation of deep spectroscopic redshift surveys at \( z \sim 1 \), the combination of the DEEP2 and DEEP3 spectroscopic data sets provides the largest sample of accurate spectroscopic redshifts, the highest precision velocity information and the highest sampling density (Cooper et al., in preparation; Newman et al., in preparation). Combined with the relatively wide area imaged with *HST*/ACS [an area \( > 2 \times \) larger than that surveyed as part of the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS) programme; Giavalisco et al. 2004], these attributes make the EGS one of the best-suited fields in which to study the relationship between environment and galaxy structure at \( z \sim 1 \). In this paper, we utilize a parent sample of \( 11 \, 493 \) galaxies drawn from the joint DEEP2/DEEP3 data set in the EGS with secure redshifts (quality \( Q = 3 \) or 4 as defined by Davis et al. 2007; Newman et al., in preparation, in the range \( 0.4 < z < 1.2 \)).

### 2.1 Rest-frame colours, luminosities and stellar masses

For each galaxy in the DEEP2/DEEP3 sample, rest-frame \( U - B \) colours and absolute \( B \)-band magnitudes, \( M_B \), are calculated from Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) *BRI* photometry (Coil et al. 2004) using the \( K \)-correction procedure described in Willmer et al. (2006). For a subset of the galaxy catalogue, stellar masses are calculated by fitting spectral energy distributions (SEDs) to Wide-field Infrared Camera (WIRC)/Palomar J- and \( K_s \)-band photometry.

\( ^1 \) Note that the sampling density for a survey is defined to be the number of galaxies with an accurate redshift measurement per unit of comoving volume and not the number of galaxies targeted down to an arbitrary magnitude limit.
in conjunction with the DEEP2 BRI data, according to the prescriptions described by Bundy, Ellis & Conselice (2005) and Bundy et al. (2006). However, the near-infrared photometry, collected as part of the Palomar Observatory Wide-field Infrared (POWIR; Conselice et al. 2008) survey, does not cover the entire DEEP2/DEEP3 survey area, and often faint blue galaxies at the high-z end of the DEEP2 redshift range are not detected in $K_s$. Because of these two effects, the stellar masses of Bundy et al. (2006) have been used to calibrate stellar mass estimates for the full DEEP2 sample that are based on rest-frame $M_B$ and $B-V$ values derived from the DEEP2 data in conjunction with the expressions of Bell et al. (2003), which relate mass-to-light ratio to optical colour. We empirically correct these stellar mass estimates to the Bundy et al. (2006) measurements by accounting for a mild colour and redshift dependence (Lin et al. 2007); where they overlap, the two stellar masses have an rms difference of approximately 0.3 dex after this calibration.

2.2 Local galaxy overdensity

To characterize the local environment, we compute the projected third-nearest-neighbour surface density ($\Sigma_3$) about each galaxy in the joint DEEP2/DEEP3 sample, where the surface density depends on the projected distance to the third nearest neighbour, $D_{p3}$, as $\Sigma_3 = 3/(\pi D_{p3}^3)$. In computing $\Sigma_3$, a velocity window of $\pm 1250$ km s$^{-1}$ is utilized to exclude foreground and background galaxies along the line of sight. Varying the width of this velocity window (e.g. using $\pm 1000$–$2000$ km s$^{-1}$) or tracing environment according to the projected distance to the fifth nearest neighbour has no significant effect on our results. In the tests of Cooper et al. (2005), this projected $n$th-nearest-neighbour environment estimator proved to be the most robust indicator of local galaxy density for the DEEP2 survey.

To correct for the redshift dependence of the DEEP2 and DEEP3 sampling rates, each surface density value is divided by the median $\Sigma_3$ of galaxies at that redshift within a window of $\Delta z = 0.04$; correcting the measured surface densities in this manner converts the $\Sigma_3$ values into measures of overdensity relative to the median density (given by the notation $1 + h_0$ here) and effectively accounts for the redshift variations in the selection rate (Cooper et al. 2005). Finally, to minimize the effects of edges and holes in the survey geometry, we exclude all galaxies within $1 h^{-1}$ comoving Mpc of the DEEP3 survey boundary (see Fig. 1), reducing our sample to 7257 galaxies in the redshift range $0.4 < z < 1.2$.

2.3 Sérsic indices and sizes

To quantify the sizes of the DEEP2 and DEEP3 galaxies, we utilize morphological measurements extracted from the Advanced Camera for Surveys General Catalog (ACS-GC; Griffith et al., in preparation). The ACS-GC analysed the HST/ACS $V_{606}$ images and $I_{814}$ imaging in the EGS using GALAPAGOS (Häußler et al. 2011), a semi-automated tool for measuring sizes and spatial profiles via the parametric fitting code GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002, 2010). To determine the galaxy profile shape, each radial profile was fit using a simple Sérsic measurement (Sérsic 1968) of the form

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_e \exp[-k((r/r_e)^{-n} - 1)],$$

where $r_e$ is the effective radius of the galaxy, $\Sigma_e$ is the surface brightness at $r_e$, $n$ is the power-law index and $k$ is coupled to $n$ such that half of the total flux is always within $r_e$.

Here, we employ the profile fits to the HST/ACS $I_{814}$ imaging for all sources, independent of galaxy redshift. At $z < 1.2$, the $I_{814}$ passband samples the rest-frame optical ($\lambda > 3700$ Å), which minimizes morphological biases associated with observations made in the rest-frame ultraviolet where galaxies typically exhibit more irregular morphologies. The impact (or lack thereof) of any morphological K-correction is addressed further in Section 3. Throughout our analysis, all sizes ($r_e$) have been converted to physical kpc, according to the DEEP2/DEEP3 spectroscopic redshift and assuming a Hubble parameter of $h = 1$. Finally, note that the multidrizzled HST/ACS images, from which structural properties were measured, have a pixel scale of 0.03 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$ and a point spread function (PSF) of 0.12 arcsec full width at half-maximum (FWHM); from $z = 0.4$ to 1.2, the spatial resolution therefore varies from $\sim 0.5$ to $\sim 0.95 h^{-1}$ kpc per PSF FWHM.

2.4 Sample selection

To investigate the relationship between galaxy structure and environment amongst the high-mass portion of the red sequence, we define a subsample of DEEP2/DEEP3 galaxies at $0.4 < z < 1.2$ with stellar mass in the range $10 < \log_{10}(M_*h^{-2} M_\odot) < 11$, on the red sequence (i.e. rest-frame colour of $U - B > 1$), and with robust environment and morphology measurements (i.e. away from a survey edge and with $\sigma_a < 0.75$). The median redshift for this subsample of 623 galaxies is 0.76 and the median stellar mass is $\log_{10}(M_*h^{-2} M_\odot) \sim 10.6$.

In Fig. 2, we show the redshift distribution for all sources in the EGS with a secure redshift ($Q = -1, 3, 4$) in the joint DEEP2/DEEP3 sample alongside the colour–magnitude distribution for all galaxies at $0.4 < z < 1.2$, with lines of constant stellar mass overlaid and with lines illustrating the survey magnitude limit at several discrete redshift values. Note that we restrict our primary subsample to a redshift range over which the DEEP2 and DEEP3 selection function is relatively flat. However, over this somewhat broad redshift range the sample is incomplete at the adopted mass limit. For example, at $z = 0.9$ the $R_{AB} = 24.1$ mag limit of DEEP2 includes all galaxies with stellar mass $> 10^{10.8} M_\odot h^{-2} M_\odot$ independent of colour, but preferentially misses red galaxies at lower masses (see Fig. 2). This incompleteness in the galaxy population is addressed in more detail in Section 3.

3 ANALYSIS

In order to study the relationship between galaxy properties and environment at fixed stellar mass, as we aim to do here, the galaxy sample under study is often restricted to a narrow range in stellar mass such that correlations between stellar mass and environment are negligible. However, at intermediate redshift, sample sizes are generally limited in number such that using a particularly narrow stellar mass range (e.g. $\sim 0.1–0.2$ dex in width) significantly reduces the statistical power of the sample. For this reason, broader stellar mass bins (e.g. $\sim 0.5$ dex) are commonly employed. However, if the shape of the stellar mass function depends on environment (as suggested by Balogh et al. 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2004; Croton 2004; Naab et al. 2007), using a luminosity-dependent colour-cut (e.g. equation 19 of Willmer et al. 2006) yields no significant changes in our results.

2 We adopt this simplified colour-cut to be more restrictive at the faint end of the red sequence, where dusty star-forming galaxies are more prominent (Lotz et al. 2008). However, using a luminosity-dependent colour-cut (e.g. equation 19 of Willmer et al. 2006) yields no significant changes in our results.

3 Limiting the sample to those sources with $\sigma_a < 0.75$ excludes very few (only two out of 625) objects. Removing this selection criterion or making it more restrictive (e.g. $\sigma_a < 0.5$) yields no significant changes in our results.
Figure 2. Top: the rest-frame $U - B$ versus $M_B$ colour–magnitude distribution for the DEEP2 and DEEP3 galaxies in the spectroscopic sample within the redshift range $0.4 < z < 1.2$. The four solid black lines show the effective absolute-magnitude limit of the survey at $z = 0.7, 0.9, 1.05$ and $1.2$, while the solid and dashed red lines show lines of constant stellar mass corresponding to $\log_{10}(M/\sun h^{-2} M_{\odot}) = 10$ and $10.5$, respectively. The dashed blue/red horizontal line shows the division between the red sequence and the blue cloud as given by equation (19) of Willmer et al. (2006). Bottom: the combined distribution of the 16 676 unique, secure ($Q = -1, 3, 4$) redshifts measured by DEEP2 and DEEP3 within the EGS field (black histogram). The red and blue histograms show the corresponding distributions for the DEEP2 and DEEP3 surveys independently. Recall that the DEEP2 survey covers roughly a factor of 2 more area than the DEEP3 survey (see Fig. 1).

environment distribution yields a statistic equal to the observed value or more extreme would be selected.

Selecting the top 10 or 20 per cent of the environment distribution yields a statistic equal to the observed value or more extreme would be selected.

The average environment for the high-density subsample is $\log_{10}(M/\sun h^{-2} M_{\odot}) = 10$, and the low-density subsample is $\log_{10}(M/\sun h^{-2} M_{\odot}) = 9.1$. The dashed line shows a line of constant stellar mass corresponding to $\log_{10}(M/\sun h^{-2} M_{\odot}) = 10$ and $10.5$, respectively. The dashed blue/red horizontal line shows the division between the red sequence and the blue cloud as given by equation (19) of Willmer et al. (2006). Bottom: the combined distribution of the 16 676 unique, secure ($Q = -1, 3, 4$) redshifts measured by DEEP2 and DEEP3 within the EGS field (black histogram). The red and blue histograms show the corresponding distributions for the DEEP2 and DEEP3 surveys independently. Recall that the DEEP2 survey covers roughly a factor of 2 more area than the DEEP3 survey (see Fig. 1).

For this reason, we instead select those galaxies within the top 15 per cent of the overdensity distribution for all red galaxies at $10 < \log_{10}(M/\sun h^{-2} M_{\odot}) < 11$ and $0.4 < z < 1.2$ (a subsample of 93 galaxies), and from the corresponding bottom 50 per cent of the overdensity distribution we randomly draw 1000 subsamples (each composed of 93 galaxies) so as to match the joint redshift, stellar mass and Sérsic index distributions of the galaxies in the high-density subsample. The average environment for the high-density subset is $\log_{10}(1 + \delta) = 1.31$, with an interquartile range (25–75 per cent) range of $1.15–1.41$, while the low-density subset is biased to considerably less-dense environments with an average overdensity of $\log_{10}(1 + \delta) = -0.12$ and an interquartile range of $-0.30–0.12$. As shown by Cooper et al. (2006), the high-density subsample is comprised largely of group members, while the low-density population is dominated by ‘field’ galaxies (see also Cooper et al. 2007; Gerke et al. 2007).

By matching in redshift, we remove the projection of any possible residual correlation between our environment measurements and redshift (in concert with the known redshift dependence of the survey’s stellar-mass limit) on to the observed size–environment relation. In addition, matching according to redshift alleviates any possible impact from morphological $K$-corrections associated with measuring all structural parameters in the HST/ACS $I_{814W}$ passband. Finally, recognizing the correlations between environment and parameters such as colour, star formation rate and morphology (i.e. early type versus late type) at $z \sim 1$ (e.g. Cooper et al. 2006, 2008, 2010b; Capak et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; van der Wel et al. 2007), we also force the Sérsic indices of the low-density subsample to match those of the high-density population, which controls for the contribution of dusty disc galaxies to our red-sequence population. Matching based on rest-frame colour, in lieu of Sérsic index, would confuse reddened disc galaxies with red early-type systems.

Members of the low-density subsample are drawn randomly from within a three-dimensional window with dimensions of $|\Delta z| < 0.04$, $|\Delta \log_{10}(M/\sun h^{-2} M_{\odot})| < 0.2$ and $|\Delta \delta| < 1.5$ of a randomly selected object in the high-density subsample. Varying the size of this window by factors of a few in each dimension has no significant effect on our results. Given the random nature of the matching, some objects are repeated in the low-density subsamples. However, for each subsample of 93 galaxies, >70 per cent of the galaxies are unique; requiring all members of a subsample to be unique would skew the statistics (Efron 1981). By matching our high- and low-density subsamples in stellar mass, redshift, as well as Sérsic index, we are able to effectively study the correlation between galaxy size and environment at fixed stellar mass.

To test whether our high-density subsample and the random low-density subsamples are consistent with being drawn from the same underlying stellar mass distribution, we apply two non-parametric (i.e. independent of Gaussian assumptions) tests, the two-sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test (Press, Flannery & Teukolsky 1986; Wall & Jenkins 2003) and the one-sided Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney (WMW) $U$ test (Mann & Whitney 1947). The result of each test is a $P$ value: the probability that a value of the KS or WMW statistic equal to the observed value or more extreme would be selected.

Note that the two-sided probability for the WMW $U$ test is given by doubling the one-sided probability. Here, we report only the one-sided probability.
The cumulative size ($r_e$), stellar mass, redshift, Sérsic index ($n$) and rest-frame colour ($U-B$) distributions for the 93 DEEP2 galaxies comprising the top 15 per cent of the environment distribution within the stellar mass and redshift ranges of $10 < \log_{10}(M_*/h^{-2}M_\odot) < 11$ and $0.4 < z < 1.2$ in comparison to the corresponding cumulative distributions for the 1000 random galaxy subsamples drawn from the lowest 50 per cent of the same environment distribution. As discussed in the text, the low-density subsamples, which are each composed of 93 galaxies, are selected to have the same stellar mass, redshift and Sérsic index distribution as the high-density population. However, the size distribution is found to be significantly different in the different environment regimes.

In Fig. 3, we plot the cumulative distribution of stellar masses for the 93 sources in the high-density subsample alongside that for the 1000 random subsamples (each consisting of 93 galaxies) matched in redshift but residing in low-density environments. Performing a one-sided WMW $U$ test (and a two-sided KS test) on the size ($r_e$) measurements for the low- and high-density populations, we find that the size distribution for the galaxies in high-density environments is skewed towards larger sizes, with a probability of $P_U < 0.01$ (and $P_{KS} < 0.02$). Meanwhile, the cumulative stellar mass, redshift and Sérsic index distributions for the low- and high-density subsamples, shown in the inset of Fig. 3, are well matched with the WMW $U$ test yielding a $P_U > 0.4$. This confirms that our sample-construction procedure has yielded sets of galaxies in low- and high-density environments whose redshift, mass and Sérsic index distributions match closely. While not directly matched, the rest-frame colour distributions for the two samples are also indistinguishable – not a surprising result given that the colour–density relation shows no significant variation across the red sequence at a given luminosity (Blanton et al. 2005; Cooper et al. 2006). See Table 1 for a complete summary of the probability values given by both the WMW $U$ and KS tests.

The results of the WMW $U$ test are confirmed by a comparison of the Hodges–Lehmann (H–L) estimator of the mean sizes for the low- and high-density subsamples, which differ by $0.54 \pm 0.22\,h^{-1}\text{kpc}$. This reinforces the conclusion that there is a non-negligible size–environment relation on the red sequence at $z \sim 0.75$. The H–L estimator of the mean is given by the median value of the mean computed over all pairs of galaxies in the sample (Hodges & Lehmann 1963). Like taking the median of a distribution, the H–L estimator of the mean is robust to outliers, but, unlike the median, yields results with scatter (in the Gaussian case) comparable to the arithmetic mean. Thus, by using the H–L estimator of the mean, we gain robustness as in the case of the median, but unlike the median, our measurement errors are increased by only a few per cent.

In Fig. 4, we show the distribution of the differences between the H–L estimator of the mean size, stellar mass, redshift, Sérsic index and colour for the high-density subsample relative to that for each of the 1000 low-density subsamples, where the median difference in the H–L estimate of the mean size is $\Delta r_e = 0.559$ (as illustrated by the dashed vertical line) versus $\Delta \log_{10}(M_*/h^{-2}M_\odot) = -0.002$, $\Delta z = -0.003$, $\Delta n = 0.087$ and $\Delta (U-B) = -0.001$ for stellar mass, redshift, Sérsic index and colour, respectively (see Table 2). Within the stellar mass range of $10 < \log_{10}(M_*/h^{-2}M_\odot) < 11$, we find significant evidence for a correlation between galaxy size and environment at $z \sim 0.75$, such that higher density regions play host to larger galaxies at a given stellar mass on the red sequence.

To test the robustness of our results to the particularities of the sample selection, we repeat the analysis described above for several samples spanning varying redshift and stellar mass regimes. For example, restricting the redshift range over which we select galaxies to $0.7 < z < 1.2$, thereby decreasing the size of the sample, we again find a statistically significant relationship between galaxy structure and environment within our adopted stellar mass bin of $10 < \log_{10}(M_*/h^{-2}M_\odot) < 11$. For the 64 galaxies in the high-density regime (again the highest 15 per cent of the overdensity distribution) at $0.7 < z < 1.2$, the cumulative distribution of galaxy size ($r_e$) is skewed towards larger effective radii relative to the comparison set of galaxies in low-density environments, yielding $P_U < 0.01$ and a median difference in the H–L estimator of the mean size of $\Delta r_e \sim 0.63\,h^{-1}\text{kpc}$.

An obvious concern when studying the structure of galaxies on the red sequence is the relative contribution of early-type systems and dusty disc galaxies to the sample. Especially at fainter magnitudes/lower stellar mass, reddened star-forming galaxies comprise a significant portion of the red galaxy population (e.g. Lotz et al. 2008; Bundy et al. 2010; Cheng et al. 2011), and as many studies of environment and clustering at intermediate redshift have shown the star-forming population tends to reside in lower density regions relative to their passive counterparts (e.g. Cooper et al. 2006, 2007; Capak et al. 2007; Coil et al. 2008; Koča et al. 2010). Even with our primary sample selected to be at the massive end of the red sequence, disc galaxies ($n < 2.5$) still account for $\sim 25$ per cent of the population (see Fig. 3). It is unlikely, however, that a difference in the relative contribution of dusty disc galaxies to the high- and low-density populations is driving the observed size–density relation since galaxy size correlates with Sérsic index such that red disc galaxies (systems with $n < 2.5$) tend to have slightly larger (not smaller) measured sizes than red galaxies of slightly larger Sérsic index. Still, to minimize any potential impact from late-type systems, we define two subsamples: one selected according to $n > 2.5$ and a second further constrained to systems with $n > 2.5$ and with axial ratios, $(b/a)$, greater than 0.4 (see Table 1). For these subsamples of galaxies with early-type morphology, we find that the correlation between structure and environment persists, reinforcing the conclusion that early-type systems (and not dusty disc galaxies) are responsible for the observed size–density relation at fixed stellar mass.

To illustrate the correlation between size and environment in a more physically intuitive manner, in Fig. 5, we show the size–stellar mass relations for early-type galaxies in overdense and underdense regions. In the top portion of Fig. 5, the high- and low-density
Table 1. Summary of KS and WMW $U$ probabilities.

| Sample       | $N_{\text{high-density}}$ | $\log_{10}(M_*)$ | $z$ | $n$ | $U - B$ | $r_e$ |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|---------|------|
| $0.4 < z < 1.2$ | 93                        | 0.718            | 0.480 | 0.605 | 0.428 | 0.220 | 0.413 | 0.542 | 0.304 | 0.011 | 0.006 |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | 64                        | 0.887            | 0.421 | 0.578 | 0.356 | 0.562 | 0.461 | 0.321 | 0.280 | 0.036 | 0.002 |
| $0.7 < z < 1.2$ | 86                        | 0.807            | 0.493 | 0.740 | 0.445 | 0.330 | 0.395 | 0.614 | 0.387 | 0.042 | 0.020 |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | 58                        | 0.795            | 0.494 | 0.868 | 0.452 | 0.220 | 0.391 | 0.379 | 0.246 | 0.015 | 0.013 |
| $0.4 < z < 1.2$ | 42                        | 0.729            | 0.475 | 0.725 | 0.313 | 0.230 | 0.384 | 0.301 | 0.298 | 0.007 | 0.002 |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | 36                        | 0.711            | 0.476 | 0.654 | 0.377 | 0.252 | 0.327 | 0.649 | 0.393 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| $0.7 < z < 1.2$ | 85                        | 0.810            | 0.499 | 0.525 | 0.453 | 0.594 | 0.411 | 0.796 | 0.364 | 0.059 | 0.010 |

Note. For several galaxy samples, we list the results of the KS and WMW $U$ tests ($P_{KS}$ and $P_{U}$, respectively) from a comparison of the stellar mass, redshift, Sersic index, colour and size distributions of the respective low- and high-density samples. The $P$ values indicate the probability that differences in the distribution of the stated quantity as large as those observed (or larger) would occur by chance if the two samples shared identical distributions. Recall that the $P_{U}$ values are one-sided probabilities, while the $P_{KS}$ are two sided. The number of galaxies in the high-density sample (picked to be the top 15 per cent of the environment distribution) is given by $N_{\text{high-density}}$. Note that stellar masses and sizes are in units of $h^{-2} M_\odot$ and $h^{-1}$ physical kpc, respectively. The samples listed here are matched in stellar mass, redshift and Sersic index, by construction.

Figure 4. The distribution of differences between the H–L estimator of the mean size, stellar mass, redshift, Sersic index and rest-frame colour for the high-density subsample of 93 red-sequence galaxies at $0.4 < z < 1.2$ and $10 < \log_{10}(M_*/h^{-2} M_\odot) < 11$ relative to the corresponding H–L estimator of the mean for each of the 1000 low-density subsamples. The dotted and dashed vertical lines show the median and mean, respectively, of the distribution of differences between the H–L means for each galaxy property; error bars denote the uncertainty in the mean as given in Table 2. We find a significant offset in size of $\Delta r_e \sim 0.6 h^{-1}$ kpc (physical), while the difference in mean stellar mass, redshift, Sersic index and colour of the two samples is consistent with zero (by construction for all but colour).

samples are simply defined to be the extreme quartiles of the environment distribution for all galaxies with $n > 2.5$, $0.7 < z < 1.2$ and $U - B > 1$. In the middle and bottom panel, however, a more controlled comparison is made, with the high-density sample selected as the top 15 per cent of the environment distribution for all galaxies with $n > 2.5$, $0.4 < z < 1.2$ and $U - B > 1$ and the low-density sample is composed of $\sim 400$ galaxies randomly drawn from the bottom 50 per cent of the environment distribution to match the $z$ distribution (middle) and the joint $n$ and $z$ distribution (bottom) of the high-density sample. In agreement with our previous analysis, we find that within the high-mass segment of the early-type galaxy population the size–stellar mass relation varies with environment, such that galaxies in high-density regions are larger at a given stellar mass.

Finally, at low surface brightness levels (i.e. low signal-to-noise ratio per pixel in the HST/ACS imaging), GALFIT tends to underestimate both galaxy size ($r_e$) and Sersic index. While such effects are minimal for objects brighter than the sky background (Häußler et al. 2007), we define a subsample limited to those sources with surface brightness in the HST/ACS F814W passband of $\mu < 23.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ ($\mu_{a47} \sim 27.4$), which excludes 58 of 623 red galaxies at $0.4 < z < 1.2$ and $10 < \log_{10}(M_*/h^{-2} M_\odot) < 11$. For our samples with closely matched stellar mass, $z$, and colour (i.e. effectively matched apparent magnitude), such a cut on surface brightness imposes an upper limit on physical size, which therefore may impact the observed strength of the size–density relation. However, in spite of this conservative surface brightness limit, we still find a significant relationship between size and local overdensity on the red sequence, with a median difference in the H–L estimator of the mean size of $\Delta r_e \sim 0.47 h^{-1}$ kpc. In Tables 1 and 2, we list the results from similar analyses of several different galaxy samples. When varying the Sersic index, stellar mass, surface brightness, axial ratio and/or redshift regimes probed, we continue to find a significant size–density relation at fixed stellar mass on the red sequence.
4 DISCUSSION

Previous efforts to study the environmental dependence of the size–stellar mass relation at $z < 2$ within the early-type galaxy population have been relatively few in number and have often found no significant trends with local galaxy density. Comparing the morphologies of massive galaxies in the low redshift ($z = 0.165$) Abell 901/902 supercluster to those of comparable field samples selected from the Space Telescope A901/2 Galaxy Evolution Survey (STAGES; Gray et al. 2009), Maltby et al. (2010) detect no significant relationship between galaxy structure and environment within the early-type population. Focusing on galaxy groups identified in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000), a less extreme subdivision of the environment distribution, Guo et al. (2009) also find no significant evidence for a correlation between local environment and the size or Sérsic index within the local early-type population (see also Weinmann et al. 2009; Nair, van den Bergh & Abraham 2010). In contrast, previous studies of brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs) in the local Universe find that BCGs tend to be larger than early types of comparable stellar mass in less-dense environments (e.g. Bernardi et al. 2007; Desroches et al. 2007; von der Linden et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2008, see also Boylan-Kolchin, Ma & Quataert 2006). However, this environmental dependence apparent in the BCG population is likely the result of cluster-specific mechanisms that drive the formation of this rare subset of the massive early-type galaxy population and may not be indicative of the massive early-type population as a whole. We note that our DEEP2/DEEP3 sample includes very few (if any) systems that will evolve into BCGs at $z \sim 0$.

Beyond the local Universe, Valentinuzzi et al. (2010) find no significant variation in the size–stellar mass relation for massive early types in clusters at $z \sim 0.7$ relative to that for comparable systems in the field, using data drawn from the ESO Distant Clusters Survey (EDisCS; White et al. 2005). At yet higher redshift, Rettura et al. (2010) compare the sizes of massive ellipticals in an X-ray-luminous cluster at $z = 1.237$ to those of correspondingly massive systems in the field, finding no significant variation in size with environment. Utilizing the same data set, however, Cimatti et al. (2008) propose (though without quantifying) a possible correlation between size and environment similar in nature to that found within our DEEP2/DEEP3 sample (i.e. higher density regions favouring less-compact galaxies). Nevertheless, using largely the same galaxy samples as these two previous studies, recent work from Raichoor et al. (2011) argues for the opposite trend such that galaxies in high-density regions are smaller than their field counterparts. The significance of the measured correlation between size and environment, however, is dramatically overstated by Raichoor et al. (2011), with their results actually consistent with no environment dependence. The lack of a significant environment dependence reported in these previous studies is likely due to (i) the smaller sample sizes employed (the Rettura et al. 2010 and Cimatti et al. 2008 analyses included a total of 45 ellipticals across all environments), (ii) the use of less-precise environment measures (e.g. relying on photometric redshifts such that ‘field’ or low-density samples can be strongly contaminated by group members) and/or (iii) differences in the redshift range probed.

Our results, which show a significant size–density relation at fixed stellar mass within the massive, red galaxy population, suggest that the structural evolution of massive early-type systems occurs preferentially in overdense environments (i.e. groups, given the lack of massive clusters in our sample; Gerke et al. 2005, 2011). This environmental dependence is in general agreement with a model of galaxy formation in which minor, ‘dry’ mergers are a critical mechanism in driving structural evolution at $z < 2$. Moreover, our DEEP2/DEEP3 results also suggest that early types in higher density regions are smaller than their field counterparts.

The average sizes for cluster, group and field early types as given in Table 1 of Raichoor et al. (2011) are all consistent at the 1σ level.

---

**Table 2. Summary of differences between H–L estimates of the mean.**

| Sample                  | $\Delta_{HL}$ | $U - B$ | $r_e$ | $\Delta_{HL}$ distribution |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|
| $0.4 < z < 1.2$          | $-0.003$      | $0.001$ | $0.543$ | $-0.002$                     |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | ±0.030       | ±0.013 | ±0.248 | ±0.011                     |
| $0.7 < z < 1.2$          | $-0.022$      | $0.002$ | $0.688$ | $-0.005$                     |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | ±0.026       | ±0.008 | ±0.272 | ±0.012                     |
| $0.4 < z < 1.05$         | $0.010$       | $0.005$ | $0.085$ | $0.393$                     |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | ±0.024       | ±0.011 | ±0.226 | ±0.011                     |
| $0.4 < z < 1.2$          | $-0.008$      | $0.001$ | $0.150$ | $0.010$                     |
| $10.5 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | ±0.017       | ±0.015 | ±0.285 | ±0.012                     |
| $0.7 < z < 1.2$          | $-0.002$      | $0.002$ | $0.145$ | $-0.010$                     |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | ±0.030       | ±0.009 | ±0.277 | ±0.013                     |
| $0.7 < z < 1.2$          | $-0.003$      | $0.005$ | $0.128$ | $-0.006$                     |
| $n > 2.5$, $b/a > 0.4$   | ±0.030       | ±0.016 | ±0.301 | ±0.013                     |
| $0.7 < z < 1.2$          | $0.000$       | $0.001$ | $0.083$ | $-0.001$                     |
| $10 < \log_{10}(M_*) < 11$ | ±0.024       | ±0.011 | ±0.214 | ±0.010                     |

*Note.* For the same galaxy samples listed in Table 1, we list the mean and median of the distribution of the differences in the H–L estimator of the mean stellar mass, redshift, Sérsic index, colour and size for the high-density samples relative to that for each of the low-density samples (see Fig. 4). Note that stellar masses and sizes are in units of $h^{-2} M_{\odot}$ and $h^{-1}$ physical kpc, respectively. Finally, note that the samples listed here are matched in stellar mass, redshift and Sérsic index, by construction.
density regions evolved structurally prior to their counterparts in low-density regions, growing from highly compact systems at \( z \sim 2 \)–3 to more extended systems at \( z \sim 0 \). The earlier onset of this evolution in overdense environments is in agreement with studies of stellar populations locally (e.g. Cooper et al. 2010a) as well as studies of the colour–density relation at intermediate redshift (e.g. Cooper et al. 2006, 2007; Gerke et al. 2007), which find that galaxies in high-density environments have typically ceased their star formation earlier than those in less-dense environs. Studies of the

**Figure 5.** The mean (filled diamond connected by dashed lines) and median (open hexagons connected by dotted lines) relationships between galaxy size (\( r_e \)) and stellar mass in the high-density (red lines and symbols) and low-density (blue lines and symbols) regimes. The means and medians are computed in bins of stellar mass with width \( \Delta \log_{10}(M_\ast /h^{-2}M_\odot) = 0.3 \). In the top panel, the high- and low-density samples are selected as the respective extreme 25 per cent of the environment distribution for all galaxies with \( U - B > 1 \), \( 0.7 < z < 1.2 \) and \( n > 2.5 \) – with no matching according to \( n \) or \( z \). In the middle and bottom panels, the high-density sample comprises the top 15 per cent of the environment distribution for all galaxies with \( U - B > 1 \), \( 0.4 < z < 1.2 \) and \( n > 2.5 \), with the low-density sample selected from the bottom 50 per cent of the environment distribution so as to have the same \( z \) (and \( n \)) distributions (but not stellar mass). In both instances, we find that the size–stellar mass relation for early-type galaxies is systematically offset to larger sizes in overdense regions.

Fundamental Plane (FP; Djorgovski & Davis 1987; Dressler et al. 1987) support this picture of accelerated evolution in high-density environments, with several analyses finding that galaxies in high-density regions tend to reach the FP more quickly than those in low-density regions (van Dokkum et al. 2001; Gebhardt et al. 2003; Moran et al. 2005; Treu et al. 2005a).
5 SUMMARY

Using data from the DEEP2 and DEEP3 Galaxy Redshift Surveys, we have completed a detailed study of the relationship between galaxy structure and environment on the massive ($10 < \log_{10}(M/\text{h}^{-2} M_\odot) < 11$) end of the red sequence at intermediate redshift. Our principal result is that at fixed stellar mass, redshift, Sérsic index and rest-frame colour we find a significant relationship between galaxy size and local galaxy density at $z \sim 0.75$, such that early-type galaxies in high-density regions are more massive than their counterparts in low-density environments. This result is robust to variations in the sample selection procedure, including selection limits based on axial ratio, surface brightness and Sérsic index. The observed correlation between size and environment is consistent with a scenario in which minor, dry mergers play a critical role in the structural evolution of massive early-type galaxies at $z < 2$ and in which the evolution of massive ellipticals is accelerated in high-density regions. Future work, for example from the Cosmic Assembly Near-Infrared Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS) HST/WFC3-IR imaging programme, will soon enable complementary analyses at yet higher redshift and in more extreme environments such as massive clusters (e.g. Papovich et al. 2011).
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