Unilateral gynaecomastia in a 16-month-old boy with neurofibromatosis type 1 – case report and brief review of the literature

Einseitige Gynäkomastie bei einem sechzehn Monate alten Kleinkind mit Neurofibromatose Typ 1 – Fallbericht mit kurzer Literaturübersicht

Abstract

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is an autosomal dominant disease that shows high penetrance with a wide variability in the phenotype. Prepubertal enlargement of the breast in male subjects affected by this condition is well known, but rarely reported. The present case report describes diagnosis and therapy of unilateral gynaecomastia in a toddler showing integumental stigmata of NF1. Furthermore, the report provides a brief review of the literature concerning this finding in NF1. According to this review, the present case appears to be one of the youngest NF1-affected males affected by gynaecomastia that has been reported.
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Zusammenfassung

Die Neurofibromatose Typ 1 (NF1) ist eine autosomal dominante Erbkrankheit mit hoher Penetranz bei breiter Variabilität des Phänotyps. Präpubertäre Brustvergrößerungen bei männlichen NF1-erkrankten Patienten sind bekannt, aber sehr selten publiziert. Der vorliegende Fallbericht beschreibt Diagnose und Therapie der unilateralen Gynäkomastie eines Kleinkindes mit klinischen Stigmata der NF1 und bietet eine Übersicht bisheriger Fälle mit ähnlichem Erscheinungsbild. Nach Lage der bisher veröffentlichten Berichte handelt es sich in diesem Fall um eine der bisher frühesten Manifestationen einer Gynäkomastie bei NF1.
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Introduction

Gynaecomastia is the development of a breast-like body outline in men. The vast majority of gynaecomastia in children and adolescents are temporary findings, i.e. usually a self-limiting body modification of children and adolescents associated with the onset of puberty. Enlargement of the breasts in small children is rare, especially in males, and deserves medical attention [1]. There are quite a number of malfunctions of the body during early childhood that may be associated with gynaecomastia, in particular endocrinologically active tumours [2], [3]. Nevertheless, the cause of premature breast development is often not discovered. The development of a malignant tumour under the guise of gynaecomastia is predominantly restricted to adults and is a rare event in general [4]. Gynaecomastia is usually bilateral. Unilateral premature breast enlargement is a finding that is difficult to categorise. The rate of pathologies appears to be slightly higher in cases with unilateral gynaecomastia [4]. However, neoplastic tumour development should be considered, in particular in cases with a recognised tumour-predisposition syndrome [5].

Here we present a male toddler with unilateral breast enlargement affected by the tumour-predisposition syndrome neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1).
Report of case

A 16-month-old boy was presented to the Neurofibromatosis Outpatient Clinic, Eppendorf University Hospital, to evaluate a recently encountered breast finding. About 3 months earlier, the parents had noted a palpable mass below the left areola that slowly increased in size during the next months. On admission, the boy was in excellent general health. The left anterior thorax region showed breast development (Figure 1). The integument was intact and the nipple and areola were of normal size, but the nipple was slightly retracted. The gynaecomastia had developed without any pain and physical investigation of the region was also painless. The pigmentation of the areola and breast showed normal anatomic features and, in particular, there were no colour difference compared to the contralateral region. However, the skin of the affected peri-aureolar region showed a slight pallor (Figure 1). Under digital palpation, the breast felt homogeneously firm and no knots were noticeable. Presumptive diagnosis of the tumour at the time of presentation was neurofibroma.

The patient showed more than six café-au-lait (CAL) spots, which occurred mainly on the trunk, and axillary freckling [6], [7]. The patient showed several xanthogranulomas in the head and neck region. His father was known to be affected by NF1.

Medical history

The hypertrophic boy was delivered by an unaffected mother 7 weeks prior to the predicted delivery date. Medical records disclosed macrocephaly at birth, in addition to dysregulated muscle tone, neonatal infection and respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperbilirubinaemia. During the next few months, retardation of motor skill development became obvious and CAL-spots of the skin were recorded. Ultrasonographic follow-up investigations of hydrocephalus revealed stable volumetrics of the brain tissues. The ultrasound images of gynaecomastia were repeatedly judged as inflammatory in origin. Prior to surgical therapy, whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed. MRI showed regular intracranial structures according to age and, in particular, ventricles of normal size and no optic nerve glioma. On the left thoracic side, submammary tissues were identified that were confined to the pectoral soft tissue, weakly hyperintense on T2-weighted images without the typical signal constellation indicating a neurofibroma (Figure 2). Differential diagnosis based on MRI was plexiform neurofibroma or gynaecomastia.

Therapy

In order to exclude tumour formation, an operation was carried out by one of the authors in the Department of Oral and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery (REF). A semicircular incision at the medial areola border was chosen to explore the region. Below the areola, the tumour tissue adhered...
to the retracted skin. The tumor was sharply separated from the skin with a scalpel and completely excised. The tumour looked like fat tissue (Figure 3). Healing was uneventful.

**Histology**

The microscopic appearance of the specimen was cellular collagenous connective tissue with isolated glands and multilayered ductal epithelia. The preparation contained some nerve fibre portions but no neurofibroma. Final histological diagnosis was a partially fibrosed mammary gland without any finding indicating neurofibroma. Considering the clinical presentation of the child, diagnosis was gynaecomastia (Figure 4).

A brief search of the literature on gynaecomastia in NF1-affected children, adolescents and young adults revealed 17 reports on 27 patients (range: 0–25 years) [5], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22]. At least 18 patients were 10 years of age or younger. The mean age of individuals with biographic data (n=24) was 9.1 years at the time of first clinical investigation. The time interval between anamnestically verified first detection of breast swelling and clinical initial examination was on average 2.4 years (n=15). Individual reports are incomplete and some do not indicate the morphological identity of gynaecomastia. In cases where no neurofibroma of the resected enlarged breast was reported (in particular in reports from institutes of pathology), we judged these cases to have no breast neurofibroma. While describing gynaecomastia in neurofibromatosis, some reports were incomplete in terms of an established clinical diagnosis of the underlying disease. Neurofibroma was slightly more frequently associated with (prepubertal) gynaecomastia than increased glandular growth. Individual reports describe only fatty tissue in the resected breast-like tumours. However, terminology of microscopic findings differed considerably. Interestingly, recent reports emphasise the presence of multinucleated stromal giant cells in the tissues. In one case, incidentally bilateral synchronous carcinoma was resected. At least eight patients were of black ethnicity. However, the sample size appears to be too small to draw
Discussion

This report details the diagnosis and therapy of unilateral gynaecomastia in a NF1-affected male toddler. This is one of the youngest NF1 patients presenting this alteration in their body outline reported (Table 1). Local excision following a skin incision at the areolar border is sufficient to treat this pathological increase in soft tissue volume. With respect to the presented literature, the histological evaluation of the specimen is mandatory. In NF1-affected male individuals, it is useful to distinguish real gynaecomastia (with glandular enlargement) from pseudogynaecomastia (with neurofibroma ingrowth) [23]. The present case report is about gynaecomastia in NF1. A review of the literature reveals that true gynaecomastia in NF1 is less frequently reported than neurofibroma-associated breast growth in male children (Table 1).

NF1 is a tumour-predisposition syndrome that is characterised by peripheral nerve sheath tumours called neurofibroma. The classification distinguishes cutaneous, diffuse, nodular and plexiform neurofibromas, predominantly based on the synopsis of clinical, radiological and histological findings [24]. In particular, the plexiform neurofibroma (PNF) is classified as a premalignant lesion that gives rise to malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours (MPNST) [25]. MPNST are very frequently associated with NF1 and the trunk and extremities are the predominant sites of origin, and MPNST may occasionally occur even in children [26].

In NF1, early breast development is a well-known finding in females [27]. However, precocious puberty in NF1-affected females is more frequently diagnosed in cases who are suffering from tumours of the hypothalamic/hypophyseal region, in particular optic pathway gliomas [28]. Premature thelarche has to be distinguished from the growth of PNF in the breast region. In the breast region of females, diffuse-invasive and nodular PNF are well-recognised findings that occur in the course of NF1 [29]. These tumours probably develop early in life or are even concomitantly present, but many symptoms may not develop until later in life, e.g. asymmetrical enlargement of the breasts, hyperpigmentation or hirsutism indicating a plexiform neurofibroma under the altered skin. These tumours are usually diagnosed after puberty. Female patients affected by NF1 have a slightly higher risk of developing breast cancer than females in the normal population [30]. Furthermore, specific mutations relevant to the development of hereditary breast cancer have also been reported in NF1 [31].

The preferential life stages of males to develop gynaecomastia are the neonatal, pubertal and geriatric ages. The phenomenon occurs due to increased oestrogen exposure of the hormone-dependent mammary glands [32]. Several disease conditions are known to cause this phenomenon, e.g. testicular or gonadal neoplasms, adrenal tumours, oestrogen-containing ointment or drug intake [3].

The classic presentation of gynaecomastia is postpubertal in onset and is bilateral, which affects approximately 70% of cases [18]. Precocious gynaecomastia in NF1-affected males is well recognised [8]. However, breast enlargement in male children and adolescents with NF1 is a rarely reported finding [14]. Differential diagnosis of gynaecomastia to plexiform neurofibroma extending into the...
### Table 1: Gynaecomastia in neurofibromatosis

(n.d. = not detailed; CAL = café au lait spot; PNF = plexiform neurofibroma; MSGC = multinucleated stromal giant cells; PASH = pseudoangiomatous stromal hyperplasia; MPNST = malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour)

| Author(s), year | Patient no. | Age of patient (years) | History of tumour growth (years) | Unilateral or bilateral | Therapy | Histology | Remarks |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Inglis 1950 [33] | 1           | 0.8                    | Connately                        | Bilateral              | Excision, not specified | Adipose tissue, no neurofibroma | Author claims 'neurofibromatosi's diagnosis, but no NF1 diagnostic criteria are provided |
| Fienman and Yakovac 1970 [8] | 1 | 4 | n.d. | n.d. | Excision, not specified | Neurofibroma causing appearance of gynaecomastia |
|                  | 2 | 6 | n.d. | n.d. | Excision, not specified | Neurofibroma causing appearance of gynaecomastia |
|                  | 3 | 7 | n.d. | n.d. | Excision, not specified | Gynaecomastia, no neurofibroma |
| Solomon et al. 1976 [9] | 1 | 4.5 | Connately (right side) and 1.5 years (left side) | Bilateral | Biopsy | MSGC and neurofibroma | Enlarged breast caused by florid gynaecomastia and neurofibroma (pseudo-gynaecomastia) |
| Curran and Coleman 1977 [10] | 1 | 6.5 | 1 | Bilateral | Resection of tumours, bilateral | Neurofibroma (bilateral) | Mother NF1-affected, affected brother without gynaecomastia |
| Lipper et al. 1981 [11] | 1 | 6 | 2 | Bilateral | Excision, not specified, bilateral | Pseudogynaecomastia traversed by neuroi structures, MSGC interpreted as variants of stromal fibroblast, mast cell infiltration of tumour | Father and grandfather NF1-affected, Black American |
| Ndiaye et al. 1983 [12] | 1 | 25 | Unknown | Bilateral, asymmetrical | No | No | Black African |
| Campbell 1992 [13] | 1 | 19 | 1 | Bilateral | Subcutaneous mastectomy | Multinucleated, bizarre stromal cells possibly originating from fibroblasts, no neurofibroma |
| Riccardi 1992 [14] | 1 | n.d. | n.d. | Bilateral | n.d. | n.d. | Extensive facial PNF, Black American |
|                  | 2 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | Extensive facial PNF |
|                  | 3 | 18 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | Extensive facial PNF |
| Damiani and Eusebi 2001 [15] | 1 | 16 | n.d. | Bilateral | - | MSGC with PASH, no neurofibroma | Post-mortem examination (mediastinal MPNST) |
|                  | 2 | 6 | n.d. | Bilateral | Resection of tumours, bilateral | MSGC with PASH, no neurofibroma | No family history of NF1 |
| Zamecnik et al. 2002 [16] | 1 | 13 | 0.5 | Bilateral, metachronous* | Resection of tumours, bilateral | MSGC and PASH, no neurofibroma |
| Wilson et al. 2004 [5] | 1 | 18 | ~8 | Bilateral | Bilateral mastectomy | Bilateral ductal carcinoma in situ | Family history of breast cancer and NF1 |
| Murat et al. 2004 [17] | 1 | 10 | n.d. | Unilateral, left | Excision | Neurofibroma |
breast region is mandatory [10]. Occasionally, carcinoma of the breast may arise in males affected by this condition [5]. At present, the data are insufficient to recommend medical therapy in children with idiopathic gynaecomastia [32]. Cho et al. detailed the largest group so far dealing with diagnosis and treatment of gynaecomastia in NF1-affected children and adolescents [18]. These authors reported on six prepubertal, non-obese patients (body mass index <20) with gynaecomastia, who were treated within the age range of 6 to 12 years. Five of six patients were black Americans. Breast enlargement was confined to the nipple-areola complex in four patients, and diffuse involvement was seen in two patients. These authors use the term ‘atypical gynaecomastia’ if the manifestation occurred prepubertally in non-obese children with a preference for unilateral involvement. The patients had extensive endocrinological investigations.

**Table 1: Gynaecomastia in neurofibromatosis**

(n.d. = not detailed; CAL = café au lait spot; PNF = plexiform neurofibroma; MSGC = multinucleated stromal giant cells; PASH = pseudoangiomatous stromal hyperplasia; MPNST = malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour)

| Author(s), year | Patient no. | Age of patient (years) | History of tumour growth (years) | Unilateral or bilateral | Therapy | Histology | Remarks |
|----------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Cho et al. 2008 [18] | 1 | 9 | (3)** | Bilateral (metachronous) | Resection, local tissue rearrangement, bilateral | Neurofibromatous change with elements of giant cell fibroblastoma | CAL spots restricted to ipsilateral side, Black American |
| | 2 | 11 | 3 | Bilateral | Resection of tumour and breast tissue reduction, bilateral | Neurofibroma and breast tissue | Black American |
| | 3 | 6 | n.d. | Bilateral | Resection of tumours, bilateral | Neurofibroma | Black American |
| | 4 | 8 | 3 | Bilateral | Resection of tumours, bilateral | Neurofibroma and hyalinized collagenous stroma (pseudo-gynaecomastia) | Local recurrence of neurofibroma 9 years later, Black American |
| | 5 | 9 | 1.5 | Unilateral | Resection of tumour | Neurofibroma | NF1-affected twin without breast findings |
| | 6 | 10 | 3 | Bilateral | Resection of tumours, bilateral | Neurofibroma | Black American |
| Sharma and Jain 2009 [19] | 1 | 2.5 | Since late infancy | Bilateral | Trucut biopsy | Fibrocollagenous and adipose tissue hyperproliferation, without hyperplasia of breast parenchyma |
| Kimura et al. 2012 [20] | 1 | 11 | 4 | Unilateral, right | Incisional biopsy | Monolayers of spindle cells and MSGC, PASH |
| Metwalley and Farghaly, 2013 [21] | 1 | 4 | 0.5 | Bilateral | Chemotherapy with aromatase inhibitor (tamoxifen) | No | Aromatase excess syndrome associated |
| Pižem et al. 2015 [22] | 1 | 8 | n.d. | Bilateral | n.d. | MSGC and focal spindle cell areas reminiscent of neurofibroma | Germline mutation |
| Present case | 1 | 1.6 | 0.25 | Unilateral, left | Resection of tumour, mastectomy | Mammary gland hyperplasia and fibrosis, no neurofibroma |

* Second tumour developed 2 years after excision of gynaecomastia of the contralateral side. Cutaneous neurofibromas developed 8 years after first surgical procedure.

** Second tumour developed 3 years after excision of breast neurofibroma and pseudogynaecomastia of the contralateral side.
However, no abnormal endocrine findings were diagnosed. The authors concluded that endocrine workup is not useful in this group of patients. Treatment was local excision after subareolar skin incision. Healing was uneventful and therapy provided good aesthetic results. Cho et al. [18] differentiated gynaecomastia that was restricted to the areola and diffuse breast involvement. However, the differentiation of gynaecomastia extension should take into account the detection or exclusion of neurofibroma in the resected specimen. Surgical resection is adequate and definitive. No recurrence of gynaeco-
mastia after surgery was noted. However, in one of the published cases, the extension of diffuse breast PNF to a small lumpy mass located just below the areola is visible on photographs [18].

Lubinsky reported on four postpubertal males who had debulking procedures for gynaecomastia that showed fibrous plexiform neurofibroma [27]. We excluded these patients from our study due to the postpubertal occurrence of findings, as well as due to possible overlap of cases in this report to cases included in the Cho et al. [18] report on pseudogynaecomastia in NF1. The latter report explicitly referred to a long-term follow-up of their patients that lasted to the adolescent period and stated that patients with gynaecomastia were shared with Lubinsky [27].

On the other hand, we included the case report of Inglis [33] in our overview, although the use of any diagnostic criteria that are currently mandatory to clinically define NF1 are not apparent from this publication. In this case, neurofibromatosis was considered likely due to the case description and the published images. Interestingly, this author only described adipose tissue in the breast specimen and did not mention neurofibroma in histological sections of amputated digits of the unilateral hyperplastic upper extremity [33]. Some efforts have been made to differentiate the tissue in NF1-associated gynaecomastia, with particular reference to differentiating pseudangiomatic stromal hyperplasia (PASH) and multinucleated stromal giant cells (MSGC) in NF1-related and non-syndromic gynaecomastia [16], [20], [34], [35], [36], [37]. However, a revaluation of morphological findings in gynaecomastia concluded that these findings are neither specific for the breast alteration nor pathognomonic for NF1 diagnosis [22].

Conclusion

Gynaecomastia is a rare feature in the context of the NF1 phenotype. The lesion is almost always benign in nature. Both gland hypertrophy and neurofibroma can cause breast enlargement, thus giving rise to a physical appearance of breast development in man. The phenomenon is usually not associated with endocrinological malfunction. Surgery is recommended in order to correct an unsightly physical appearance and to clarify the pathogenesis of the lesion.
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