Rare myeloid sarcoma with \textit{KMT2A (MLL)}-\textit{ELL} fusion presenting as a vaginal wall mass
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Abstract

\textbf{Background:} Myeloid sarcoma (MS) is a rare neoplasm of immature myeloid precursors that form tumor mass outside the bone marrow. The diagnosis of de novo MS can be challenging, particularly in patients with no prior history of hematologic malignancies or when MS involves unusual anatomic sites.

\textbf{Case presentation:} The patient was a 53-year-old woman with a history of uterine fibroids and vaginal bleeding for many years who presented with a vaginal wall mass. The tumor had histologic and phenotypic features of histiocytic sarcoma, however, overlapping with a possible extramedullary MS. Using a comprehensive genomic profiling, we were able to identify recurrent chromosomal aberrations associated with MS including a rare \textit{KMT2A-ELL} fusion, losses of chromosomes 1p, 9, 10, 15, 18, and gain of chromosome 1q and mutations in \textit{FLT3} and \textit{PTPN11}, and achieved the final diagnosis of a de novo MS. The patient received standard treatment for acute myeloid leukemia regimen with stem cell transplantation and achieved complete remission.

\textbf{Conclusion:} Our case illustrates the clinical utility of comprehensive genomic profiling in assisting the diagnosis or differential diagnosis of challenging MS or histiocytic sarcoma cases, and in providing important information in tumor biology for appropriate clinical management.
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\textbf{Background}

Myeloid sarcoma (MS) is a rare neoplasm of immature myeloid precursors that form tumor mass outside the bone marrow [1]. It can occur as de novo tumor, recurrent acute myeloid leukemia (AML), or blastic transformation of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), or myeloproliferative neoplasm [2]. Skin, lymph nodes, gastrointestinal tract and soft tissue are the most common sites for MS involvement. The diagnosis of de novo MS can be challenging, particularly in patients with no prior history of hematologic malignancies or when MS involves unusual anatomic sites [3]. In recent years, with better understanding of the genomic profiling of myeloid neoplasms (MN), cytogenetic and molecular technologies have been increasingly utilized as important ancillary studies in the diagnosis of difficult MS cases [4]. Here we describe a case of de novo MS occurring in an unusual location as a solitary vaginal wall mass, with overlapping histologic and phenotypic features with histiocytic sarcoma (HS).

\textbf{Case presentation}

The patient was a 53-year-old woman with a history of uterine fibroids and vaginal bleeding for many years who presented with a vaginal wall mass. She underwent total laparoscopic hysterectomy and resection of vaginal mass. Intraoperatively, it was noted that she had fibroids, and the bilateral ovaries and fallopian tubes were normal. There was a $5 \times 8 \text{ cm}$ mass arising from the right sidewall of vagina.
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Materials and methods

Immunohistochemical analysis
Immunohistochemical staining was performed on 4 μm formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections using VENTANA BenchMark system (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) following standard laboratory procedures. The following antibodies were used in the diagnostic work-up: anti-CD45, CD43, Lysozyme, CD4, CD68, CD163, CD34, CD117, myeloperoxidase (MPO), CD3, CD20, CD30, ALK-1, CD21, S-100, HMB-45/Mart 1, SMA, desmin, synaptophysin, and PAX-8 (Dako, Carpinteria, CA).

FISH and OncoScan analysis
Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis was performed using Vysis’ LSI’ (Abbott Park, IL) dual color, break apart probes for detection of rearrangements of KMT2A (MLL) and CBFB, and dual color, dual fusion probe set for detection of t(8;21)/RUNX1T1-RUNX1 fusion. FISH analysis was performed on 4 μm FFPE slides to detect known recurrent cytogenetic aberrations associated with MS, following standard laboratory procedures. A total of 200 cells were counted by two technologists independently.

Genomic DNA was extracted from FFPE specimens with QIagen Dneasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc. Valencia, CA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarray testing was performed using the Affymetrix OncoScan™ arrays (Affymetrix/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Santa Clara, CA) following the manufacturer’s procedure.

Molecular profiling
Comprehensive genomic profiling test with the FoundationOne Heme panel of genes was performed by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Cambridge, MA) based on published methods. FoundationOne Heme is validated to detect genomic alterations in more than 400 cancer-related genes. FoundationOne Heme employs RNA sequencing across more than 250 genes to capture a broad range of gene fusions, common drivers of hematologic malignancies, and sarcomas.

Results
Histological sections of the vaginal mass showed extensive infiltrate by malignant cells that were large in size with irregular/folded and sometimes lobulated nuclear contours, open chromatin, variably prominent nucleoli and abundant cytoplasm. Mitosis was brisk, and surface erosion and focal necrosis were present (Fig. 1). Immunohistochemical studies showed that the neoplastic cells were positive for CD45, CD43, Lysozyme, CD4, CD68 (weak), CD163 (variable), CD56, and vimentin, and negative for CD34, CD117, myeloperoxidase, CD3, CD20, CD30, ALK-1, CD21, S-100, HMB-45/Mart 1, SMA, desmin, synaptophysin, and PAX-8. In situ hybridization for EBER (Epstein-Barr virus-encoded RNA) was negative. A bone marrow biopsy was performed and showed no evidence of AML or other myeloid malignancies. Although histological findings favored a MS with monocytic differentiation, the possibility of HS could not be completely ruled out given the morphologic and immunophenotypic overlap of these two neoplasms.

FISH analysis on 4 μm FFPE slides identified a KMT2A (MLL) gene rearrangement, a recurrent genetic abnormality in MS, in 89.5% of cells examined in this case (Fig. 2). FISH analysis was negative for CBFB rearrangement or RUNX1T1-RUNX1 fusion. Due to limited material available, conventional cytogenetics could not be performed. OncoScan SNP microarray analysis revealed losses of chromosomes 9, 10, 15, and 18, and loss of the short arm and gain of the long arm of chromosome 1 (Fig. 3). Additional next generation sequencing (NGS) analysis performed by Foundation Medicine revealed multiple genomic alterations including FLT3 S451F, CHEK2 T367 fs*15, PTPN11 A72V, RAD21 N462 fs*1, and most importantly, an KMT2A-ELL fusion. The neoplastic cells showed low Tumor Mutation Burden (3 Muts/Mb) and the Microsatellite status was stable. The identification of multiple genetic/molecular abberations typically seen in myeloid neoplasms by integrated molecular and genomic profiles strongly supported the diagnosis of MS.

The patient received standard 7 + 3 (idarubicin and cytarabine) induction chemotherapy for AML. She tolerated the treatment well and subsequent PET CT showed no evidence of disease. She received 2 cycles of consolidation therapy followed by a myeloablative allogeneic matched unrelated donor (MUD) hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT). The patient has been in complete remission since then.

Discussion and conclusions
The diagnosis of MS requires demonstration of myeloid blasts in a mass forming lesion involving an extra medullary site. Based on morphologic grounds alone, the differential diagnosis often remains broad, including lymphoma, undifferentiated carcinoma, and small round blue cell tumor such as neuroblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, Ewing sarcoma/PNET, or medulloblastoma. Most of these entities are not difficult for differential diagnosis using appropriate immunohistochemical stains or molecular cytogenetics testing. The neoplastic cells in MS often express precursor markers CD34 and/or CD117 together with granulocytic or monocytic markers such as MPO, CD13, CD33, CD68, and lysozyme. Different studies reported variable expression frequencies of MS.
for MPO (63–92%), CD13 (48%), CD33 (48–52%), CD68 (35–61%), and lysozyme (26–100%) [2, 5–7]. MS with monocytic differentiation can be particularly challenging to differentiate from histiocytic sarcoma (HS) as both can express one or more monocytic antigens, such as CD68, CD163 and lysozyme. Although HS may exhibit higher degree of cytologic atypia with more pleomorphic or anaplastic cytomorphology and less blastic appearance, the morphologic features are not distinct enough to differentiate MS from HS. In our case, the neoplastic cells exhibited overt atypia with frequent cells showing anaplastic features. Therefore HS was considered in the initial differential diagnosis. Since HS has the phenotypic characteristics of tissue histiocytes, which are derived from monocyte-macrophage lineage, the neoplastic histiocytes express CD4, CD14, CD163, CD68, and lysozyme, similar to the blood and bone marrow monocytes [8]. The presence of myeloid precursor markers such as CD34, CD117, and MPO, if present, would support a diagnosis MS. However, these markers are absent in our case. Aberrant expression of CD56 would also favor MS, but rare cases of HS can also express CD56 [9]. Therefore, our case represents an example of diagnostic dilemma between MS and HS based on morphological and immunohistochemical features.

At genetic level, there are notable differences between MS and HS. Cytogenetic aberrations are common in MS. Miyoshi et al. reported an abnormal karyotype in 73.2% (41/56) of MS patients, and many cases had a complex karyotype as indicated by the Oncoscan SNP microarray analysis [2], although no unique pattern for chromosomal abnormalities has been reported for MS. Previous studies have suggested that MS is more likely to be associated with certain translocations such as CBF

---

**Fig. 1** Myeloid sarcoma with initial presentation as a vaginal wall mass. Histologic sections reveal extensive infiltrate by malignant cells that are large with irregular folded nuclear contours, open chromatin, variably prominent nucleoli and abundant cytoplasm (a, HE×200, b, HE × 400). The neoplastic cells are variably positive for CD163 and weakly positive for CD68 (c, CD163 × 400, d, CD68 × 400)

**Fig. 2** Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) for KMT2A (MLL) rearrangement. FISH analysis using KMT2A dual color break apart probe was performed on formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue section. The tumor cells demonstrated separation of the signals indicating KMT2A gene rearrangement in 179 of 200 cells analyzed.
family genes or KMT2A (MLL) rearrangements [10]. AML with KMT2A rearrangements involves a number of translocations of the KMT2A gene with different partners. In this case, FISH was positive for a translocation involving the KMT2A gene and the next generation sequencing testing further defined the rare t(11;19)/KMT2A-ELL fusion. The t(11;19)(q23.3;p13.1) translocation, involving the KMT2A and ELL genes, is a recurrent abnormality in AML, acute lymphoblastic leukemia and mixed phenotype acute leukemia. A recent study reported that among all known KMT2A translocation partners, KMT2A-ELL fusions are present in 12% of adult AML, 7% of pediatric AML and 15% of infant AML [11]. The exact role of KMT2A-ELL in AML pathogenesis is unclear, but animal studies showed that the fusion protein provided an enhancing effect on the proliferative potential of hematopoietic progenitors [12]. Additionally, MS shares the same mutation spectrum as AML, frequently involving RAS pathway, activated signals, DNA methylation, cohesins, splicing, transcription factors, chromatin modification and other myeloid neoplasm-related genes. NPM1, NRAS, and DNMT3A are found to be most frequently mutated in AML [13]. The other affected genes include TET2, FLT3-ITD/TKD, PTPN11, IDH2, CSF3R, RUNX1, GATA2, and ASXL1. In our case, in addition to the KMT2A-ELL fusion, mutations associated with myeloid neoplasms, such as FLT3 and PTPN11, were also detected, indicating cooperative roles of these genetic alterations in the development of this MS case. PTPN11 is a negative regulator of the RAS/MAPK pathway. Mutations in PTPN11 result in activated MAPK signaling. Rare cases of HS with PTPN11 mutations have been reported and showed response to the MEK inhibitor trametinib that blocks the RAS/MAPK pathway [14]. The OncoScan SNP microarray analysis also revealed multiple chromosomal gains and losses along with the KMT2A/ELL fusion, indicative of the presence of complex aberrations that are known to be associated with myeloid neoplasms, e.g. MS, and further support the final diagnosis of MS in this patient.

There are limited reports of chromosomal analysis on HS. The t(14;18) and trisomy 8 have been reported in rare cases of HS arising in the setting of previously diagnosed follicular lymphoma or chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, probably from a common neoplastic precursor [15]. On the other hand, recurrent gene mutations in the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling pathway have been detected in histiocytic neoplasms [16]. For example, BRAF V600E mutation is well described in histiocytic neoplasms such as langhans cell histiocytosis, Erdheim-Chester disease, and histiocytic sarcoma. The presence of BRAF mutation would support the diagnosis of histiocytic neoplasm as BRAF mutations are generally

Fig. 3 Global chromosome copy number changes by Oncoscan analysis. Oncoscan analysis revealed copy number changes involving multiple chromosomes including losses of 1p, 9, 10, 15, 18 and gain of 1q.
considered not present in acute monocytic/monoblastic leukemia [17]. The present case showed negativity of BRAF mutation. In addition to BRAF, activating ARAF, RAS, and MAP2K1 mutations, as well as activating fusions in BRAF, ALK, and NTRK1 have been reported in histiocytic neoplasms [18].

Although there is significant overlap in morphology and immunophenotype between MS with monocytic differentiation and HS, the clinical management is very different. MS is a presentation of AML and should be treated as such. There is no consensus on the standard treatment for HS, and the patients are usually treated with combined chemotherapy. Therefore, it is very important to make the accurate diagnosis so that the patients can receive appropriate therapy.

We report a rare case of MS with unusual clinical presentation and morphologic features overlapping with HS. A comprehensive genomic approach allowed us to identify several cytogenetic and molecular alterations characteristic of MNs. The combination of positivity of myeloid associated mutations and negativity of common HS related mutations further confirmed the present case is a myeloid sarcoma. Our case illustrates the importance of genomic studies in establishing the correct diagnosis in morphologically challenging cases. Furthermore, comprehensive genomic profiling may identify recurrent alterations that are suitable for targeted therapy. This case further confirms the consensus that although MS and HS share overlapping histologic and phenotypic features, they are genetically distinct entities.
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