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ABSTRACT. The main ingredient of the algebraic cobordism of M. Levine and F. Morel is a cobordism cycle of the form \((M \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r)\) with a proper map \(h\) from a smooth variety \(M\) and line bundles \(L_i\)'s over \(M\). In this paper, we consider a cobordism bicycle of a finite set of line bundles \((X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r)\) with a proper map \(p\) and a smooth map \(s\) and line bundles \(L_i\)'s over \(V\). We will show that the Grothendieck group \(\mathbb{Z}^*(X,Y)\) of the abelian monoid of the isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycles of finite sets of line bundles satisfies properties similar to those of Fulton-MacPherson’s bivariant theory and also that \(\mathbb{Z}^*(X,Y)\) is a universal one among such abelian groups, i.e., for any abelian group \(B^*(X,Y)\) satisfying the same properties there exists a unique Grothendieck transformation \(\gamma : \mathbb{Z}^*(X,Y) \rightarrow B^*(X,Y)\) preserving the unit.
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1. Introduction

V. Voevodsky first introduced algebraic cobordism or higher algebraic cobordism \(\text{MGL}^*,* (X)\) in the context of motivic homotopy theory and used it in his proof of the Milnor conjecture [26, 28, 27]. Later, in an attempt to understand \(\text{MGL}^*,* (X)\) better, M. Levine and F. Morel [20] constructed another algebraic cobordism \(\Omega_*(X)\) in terms of what they call a cobordism cycle (which is of the form \([V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r]\) with line bundles \(L_i\)'s over \(V\) which is smooth) and some relations on these cobordism cycles, as the universal oriented cohomology theory. To be a bit more precise, using cobordism cycles they first defined an oriented Borel–Moore functor \(\mathbb{Z}_*\) with products satisfying twelve conditions
(D1) - (D4) and (A1) - (A8), and then defined an oriented Borel–Moore functor with products of geometric type by further imposing on the functor $Z_{a}$ the relations $R$ corresponding to three axioms (Dim) (dimension axiom), (Sect) (section axiom) and (FGL) (formal group law axiom), which correspond to “of geometric type”. The functor $Z_{a}/R$ is nothing but Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{a}$. In [19], M. Levine showed that there is an isomorphism $\Omega_{a}(X) \cong \text{MGL}^{2*,*}(X)$ for smooth $X$.

In [12], W. Fulton and R. MacPherson have introduced bivariant theory $B(X \rightarrow Y)$ with an aim to deal with Riemann–Roch type theorems for singular spaces and to unify them. The extreme cases $B_{a}(X) := B_{a}(X \rightarrow pt)$ becomes a covariant functor and $B^{a}(X) := B^{a}(X \rightarrow X)$ becomes a contravariant functor. In this sense, $B(X \rightarrow Y)$ is called a bivariant theory. In [29] (cf. [30]), the author introduced an oriented bivariant theory and a universal oriented bivariant theory in order to construct a bivariant-theoretic version $\Omega_{a}(X \rightarrow Y)$ of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism so that the covariant part $\Omega^{-a}(X \rightarrow pt)$ becomes isomorphic to Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{a}(X)$.

Our universal oriented bivariant theory $OM^{prop}_{sm}(X \rightarrow Y)$ is defined to be the Grothendieck group of the abelian monoid of the isomorphism classes $[V \rightarrow X; L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{r}]$ such that:

1. $h : V \rightarrow X$ is a proper map,
2. the composite $f \circ h : V \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map. (Note that this requirement implies that if the target $Y$ is the point $pt$, then the source $V$ has to be smooth, thus $[V \rightarrow X; L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{r}]$ becomes a cobordism cycle in the sense of Levine–Morel.)

Here for the monoid we consider the following addition

$$[V_{1} \xrightarrow{p_{1}} X; L_{1}, \cdots, L_{r}] + [V_{2} \xrightarrow{p_{2}} X; L'_{1}, \cdots, L'_{r}] = [V_{1} \sqcup V_{2} \xrightarrow{p_{1} \cup p_{2}} X; L_{1} \sqcup L'_{1}, \cdots, L_{r} \sqcup L'_{r}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $\sqcup$ is the disjoint union and $L_{i} \sqcup L'_{i}$ is a line bundle over $V_{1} \sqcup V_{2}$ such that $(L_{i} \sqcup L'_{i})|_{V_{1}} = L_{i}$ and $(L_{i} \sqcup L'_{i})|_{V_{2}} = L'_{i}$. In other words, $OM^{prop}_{sm}(X \rightarrow Y)$ is the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[V \rightarrow X; L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{r}]$ modulo the additivity relation (1.1).\footnote{We remark that in [29] we consider the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[V \rightarrow X; L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{r}]$, however the results in [29] still hold even if we consider the Grothendieck group by modding it out by the additivity relation (1.1).}
If we forget or ignore the given map \( f \) in the left-hand-side diagram above, then we get the right-hand-side diagram above, which is

\[
\text{a correspondence } X \leftarrow V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y \text{ with a finite set of line bundles } \{L_1, \cdots, L_r\},
\]

where \( h : V \to X \) is a proper map and \( f \circ h : V \to Y \) is a smooth map. Such a correspondence (or sometimes called a span or a roof) can be considered for any pair \((X, Y)\) of varieties \(X\) and \(Y\):

\[
\{L_1, \cdots L_r\} \downarrow \downarrow V \quad p \quad \begin{align*} &X \\ &\quad \leftarrow \rightarrow V \downarrow s \quad Y \\
&\text{with a proper map } p \text{ and a smooth map } s. \end{align*}
\]

In the right-hand-side diagram \( E \) is one vector bundle over \( V \), not necessarily a line bundle. These two correspondences are denoted by \((X \xleftarrow{b} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r)\) and \((X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)\) respectively.

It turns out that such a correspondence has been already studied in \( C^*\)-algebra, in particular for Kasparov's KK-theory \( KK(X, Y) \) ([17]), another kind of bivariant theory which has been studied by many people in operator theory. For example, in [9], (cf. [5] and [10]) A. Connes and G. Skandalis consider \((X \xleftarrow{b} M \xrightarrow{f} Y; \xi)\) with \( b \) a proper map, \( f \) a smooth \( K \)-oriented map and \( \xi \) a vector bundle over \( M \). In [6], P. Baum and J. Block consider such a correspondence for singular spaces with a group action on it and call such a correspondence an equivariant bicycle. So we shall call the above correspondence a cobordism bicycle of vector bundles.

In our previous paper [31], we consider the above cobordism bicycle \((X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)\) of vector bundles as a morphism from \( X \) to \( Y \) and furthermore consider the enriched category of such cobordism bicycles of vector bundles. Then we extend Baum–Fulton–MacPherson’s Riemann–Roch (or Todd class) transformation \( \tau : G_0(\cdot) \to H_*(\cdot) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) (see [7]) to this enriched category.

If we consider the above (1.2), it is quite natural or reasonable to think that there must be a connection or a relation between the above two kinds of bivariant...
theories, Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory (in topology) and Kasparsov’s bivariant theory (in operator theory). So, as an intermediate theory between these two theories we consider the free abelian group $\mathcal{Z}^*(X,Y)$ generated by isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles and finite sets of line bundles modulo the additive relation like (1.1):

$$[X \xrightarrow{p_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} Y; E_1] + [X \xrightarrow{p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Y; E_2] := [X \xrightarrow{p_1+p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_1+s_2} Y; E_1 \sqcup E_2],$$

$$[X \xleftarrow{p_1} V_1 \xleftarrow{s_1} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r] + [X \xrightarrow{p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Y; L'_1, \cdots, L'_r]$$

$$:= [X \xrightarrow{p_1+p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_1+s_2} Y; L_1 \sqcup L'_1, \cdots, L_r \sqcup L'_r].$$

For example, we can show the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** For a pair $(X,Y)$ the Grothendieck group $\mathcal{Z}^*(X,Y)$ of the abelian monoid of the isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycles of finite sets of line bundles satisfies the following (similar to those of Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory):

1. it is equipped with the following three operations
   
   (1) (product) $\bullet : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X,Z)$
   
   (2) (Pushforward)
   
   (a) For a proper map $f : X \to X'$, $f_* : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^i(X',Y)$.
   
   (b) For a smooth map $g : Y \to Y'$, $g^2 : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim g}(X,Y')$.
   
   (3) (Pullback)
   
   (a) For a smooth map $f : X' \to X$, $f^* : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim f}(X',Y)$.
   
   (b) For a proper map $g : Y' \to Y$, $g^3 : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y')$.

2. the three operations satisfies the following nine properties:

   (A1) Product is associative.
   
   (A2) Pushforward is functorial.
   
   (A2') Proper pushforward and smooth pushforward commute.
   
   (A3) Pullback is functorial.
   
   (A3') Proper pullback and smooth pullback commute.
   
   (A12) Product and pushforward commute.
   
   (A13) Product and pullback commute.
   
   (A23) Pushforward and pullback commute.
   
   (A123) Projection formula.

3. $\mathcal{Z}^*$ has units, i.e., there is an element $1_X \in \mathcal{Z}^0(X,X)$ such that $1_X \bullet \alpha = \alpha$ for any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^*(X,Y)$ and $\beta \bullet 1_X = \beta$ for any element $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}^*(Y,X)$.

4. $\mathcal{Z}^*$ satisfies $\text{PPU}$ (Pushforward-Product Property for units) and $\text{PPU}$ (Pullback Property for units). (For the details of these properties, see Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.14.)

---

2For this unusual notation $\cdot g$ instead of $g_*$ see §4

3For this unusual notation $\cdot g$ instead of $g^*$ see §4
(5) $\mathcal{Z}^*$ is equipped with the Chern class operators: for a line bundle $L$ over $X$ and a line bundle $M$ over $Y$
\[ c_1(L) \bullet : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}(X,Y), \bullet c_1(M) : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}(X,Y) \]
which satisfy the properties listed in Lemma 5.11.

We do not have a reasonable name for this naive theory $\mathcal{Z}^*(X,Y)$ satisfying those properties above, so in this paper we call it a “bi-variant” theory.

**Definition 1.4.** Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ be two bi-variant theories on a category $\mathcal{V}$. A Grothendieck transformation $\gamma : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}'$ is a collection of homomorphisms $\mathcal{B}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{B}'(X,Y)$ for a pair $(X,Y)$ in the category $\mathcal{V}$, which preserves the above three basic operations and the Chern class operator:

1. $\gamma(\alpha \bullet \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathcal{B}'} \gamma(\beta)$,
2. $\gamma(f_*\alpha) = f_*\gamma(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(\alpha * g) = \gamma(\alpha) * g$,
3. $\gamma(g^*\alpha) = g^*\gamma(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(\alpha^* f) = \gamma(\alpha)^* f$,
4. $\gamma(c_1(L) \bullet \alpha) = c_1(L) \bullet \gamma(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(\alpha \bullet c_1(M)) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet c_1(M)$.

We show the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** The above $\mathcal{Z}^*(-,-)$ is the universal one among bi-variant theories in the sense that given any bi-variant theory $\mathcal{B}'^*(-,-)$, there exists a unique Grothendieck transformation
\[ \gamma_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{Z}^*(-,-) \to \mathcal{B}'^*(-,-) \]
such that $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{id}_\mathcal{V}) = 1_V \in \mathcal{B}(V,V)$ for any variety $V$.

**Remark 1.6.** In [1], T. Annala has succeeded in constructing what he calls the bi-variant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, a bivariant theoretic analogue of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$ (which the author has been trying to aim at), using the construction of Lowery-Schürg’s derived algebraic cobordism $d\Omega_*(X)$ [23] in derived algebraic geometry and the author’s construction of the universal bivariant theory. Roughly speaking, in [1], Annala considers the bivariant theory $\mathcal{M}^\text{prop}_{\text{qusm}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ for the category of derived algebraic schemes in derived algebraic geometry, where qusm refers to quasi-smooth morphisms, and furthermore imposes some relations $\mathcal{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ on $\mathcal{M}^\text{prop}_{\text{qusm}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ to obtain its quotient $\mathcal{M}^\text{prop}_{\text{qusm}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) / \mathcal{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, which is the bivariant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$.

The “forget” map defined in (1.2) gives rise to the following canonical homomorphism
\[ f : \mathcal{M}^\text{prop}_{\text{qusm}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^*(X,Y) \]
defined by $f([V \xrightarrow{\rho} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r]) := [X \xrightarrow{\rho} V \xrightarrow{f_{\text{prop}}} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r]$. This “forget” map is compatible with the bivariant product $\bullet$, the bivariant pushforward and the Chern class operator, but not necessarily with the pullback. As to correspondences, D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum study correspondences in derived
algebraic geometry intensively in their recent book [13] (cf. [14]). For example, they consider the category \( \text{Corr}(C)_{\text{vert,horiz}} \) for a category \( C \) equipped with two classes of morphisms \( \text{vert} \) and \( \text{horiz} \) (both closed under composition) such that

1. the objects of \( \text{Corr}(C)_{\text{vert,horiz}} \) are the same as those of \( C \) and
2. the morphisms are correspondences, i.e., a morphism from \( c_0 \) to \( c_1 \) is a correspondence (drawn as follows in [13]):

\[
c_0, \quad \begin{array}{c|c}
\; & \; \\
g & f \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
c_0 & c_1
\end{array}
\]

where \( f \) is \( \text{vert} \) and \( g \) is \( \text{horiz} \). If we use our notation, \( \text{Corr}(C)_{\text{vert,horiz}} \) can be denoted by \( \text{Corr}(C)_{\text{horiz,vert}} \) and the above diagram is \( c_0 \xrightarrow{g} c_0, 1 \xrightarrow{f} c_1 \). So, in this way of thinking of two kinds of bivariant theories, it remains to see whether one could get a “correspondence” version of Annala’s bivariant derived algebraic cobordism, i.e., whether one could consider some reasonable relations \( \mathcal{R}(X,Y) \) on \( \mathcal{Z}_{\text{prop}}^{\text{qsm}}(X,Y) \) which is a derived algebraic geometric version of \( \mathcal{Z}^*(X,Y) \) with smooth morphism being replaced by quasi-smooth morphism, such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^*_{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}(X,Y)} & \mathcal{Z}^*_{\text{qsm}}(X,Y) \\
\pi \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\
\Omega^*_{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) & =: & \Omega^*_{\text{qsm}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}(X,Y)} \mathcal{Z}^*_{\text{qsm}}(X,Y)
\end{array}
\]

We hope to be able to treat this problem in a different paper. It would be nice that there were some relation between Annala’s bivariant derived algebraic cobordism \( \Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \) and Kasparov’s bivariant \( KK \)-theory \( KK(X,Y) \) via the “forget” map \( \mathcal{f}: \Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to KK(X,Y) \) for certain reasonable maps \( f : X \to Y \).

2. Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory

We make a quick review of Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory [12] (also see [11]) (cf. a universal bivariant theory [29, 30]).

Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be a category which has a final object \( pt \) and on which the fiber product or fiber square is well-defined. Also we consider a class of maps, called “confined maps” (e.g., proper maps, projective maps, in algebraic geometry), which are closed under composition and base change and contain all the identity maps, and a class of fiber squares, called “independent squares” (or “confined squares”, e.g., “Tor-independent” in algebraic geometry, a fiber square with some extra conditions required on morphisms of the square), which satisfy the following:
(i) if the two inside squares in

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  X'' & \xrightarrow{h''} & X' \\
  \downarrow f'' & & \downarrow f' \\
  Y'' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y'
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  \xi & \xrightarrow{f} & \eta \\
  \downarrow f' & & \downarrow g' \\
  \tau & \xrightarrow{g} & \nu
\end{array}
\]

or

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  X' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X \\
  \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
  Y' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y
\end{array}
\]

are independent, then the outside square is also independent,

(ii) any square of the following forms are independent:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  X & \xrightarrow{id_X} & X \\
  \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
  Y & \xrightarrow{id_Y} & Y
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
  \downarrow id_X & & \downarrow id_Y \\
  X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}
\]

where \( f : X \to Y \) is any morphism.

A bivariant theory \( \mathbb{B} \) on a category \( \mathcal{V} \) with values in the category of graded abelian groups is an assignment to each morphism \( X \xrightarrow{f} Y \) in the category \( \mathcal{V} \) a graded abelian group (in most cases we ignore the grading) \( \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \) which is equipped with the following three basic operations. The \( i \)-th component of \( \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \), \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), is denoted by \( \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \).

1. **Product**: For morphisms \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \), the product operation

\[
\mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{B}^j(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \to \mathbb{B}^{i+j}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z)
\]

is defined.

2. **Pushforward**: For morphisms \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \) with \( f \) confined, the pushforward operation

\[
f_* : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \to \mathbb{B}^i(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)
\]

is defined.

3. **Pullback**: For an independent square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
  \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
  Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y
\end{array}
\]

the pullback operation

\[
g^* : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{B}^i(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')
\]

is defined.
These three operations are required to satisfy the following seven compatibility axioms ([12, Part I, §2.2]):

\textbf{(A1)} \textbf{Product is associative:} for \( X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W \) with \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y), \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z), \gamma \in \mathbb{B}(Z \xrightarrow{h} W) \),

\[
(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma = \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma).
\]

\textbf{(A2)} \textbf{Pushforward is functorial:} for \( X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W \) with \( f \) and \( g \) confined and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{h \circ f} W) \)

\[
(g \circ f)_*(\alpha) = g_*(f_*(\alpha)).
\]

\textbf{(A3)} \textbf{Pullback is functorial:} given independent squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X'' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' \\
\downarrow f'' & & \downarrow f' \\
Y'' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y'
\end{array}
\]

\[
(g \circ h)^* = h^* \circ g^*.
\]

\textbf{(A_{12})} \textbf{Product and pushforward commute:} for \( X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W \) with \( f \) confined and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z), \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Z \xrightarrow{h} W) \),

\[
f_*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = f_*(\alpha) \bullet \beta.
\]

\textbf{(A_{13})} \textbf{Product and pullback commute:} given independent squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{h''} & X \\
f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{h'} & Y \\
g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
Z' & \xrightarrow{h} & Z
\end{array}
\]

with \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y), \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \),

\[
h^*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = h^*(\alpha) \bullet h^*(\beta).
\]
(A_{23}) **Pushforward and pullback commute:** given independent squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{h''} & X \\
f' & \downarrow f & \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{h'} & Y \\
g' & \downarrow g & \\
Z' & \xrightarrow{h} & Z
\end{array}
\]

with \( f \) confined and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z) \),

\[
f'_*(h^*(\alpha)) = h^*(f'_*(\alpha)).
\]

(A_{123}) **Projection formula:** given an independent square with \( g \) confined and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \), \( \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y' \xrightarrow{h \circ g} Z) \)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
f' & \downarrow f & \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\
h & \downarrow Z
\end{array}
\]

and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \), \( \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y' \xrightarrow{h \circ g} Z) \),

\[
g'_*(g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta) = \alpha \bullet g^*(\beta).
\]

We also assume that \( \mathbb{B} \) has units:

**Units:** \( \mathbb{B} \) has units, i.e., there is an element \( 1_X \in \mathbb{B}^{0}(X \xrightarrow{id_X} X) \) such that \( \alpha \bullet 1_X = \alpha \) for all morphisms \( W \to X \) and all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(W \to X) \), such that \( 1_X \bullet \beta = \beta \) for all morphisms \( X \to Y \) and all \( \beta \in \mathbb{B}(X \to Y) \), and such that \( g^*1_X = 1_X \), for all \( g : X' \to X \).

**Commutativity:** \( \mathbb{B} \) is called **commutative** if whenever both

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
f' & \downarrow f & \\
Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y \\
f & \downarrow g & \\
X & \xrightarrow{g} & Z
\end{array}
\]

are independent squares with \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Z) \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \),

\[
g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta = f^*(\beta) \bullet \alpha.
\]

Let \( \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}' \) be two bivariant theories on a category \( \mathcal{V} \). A **Grothendieck transformation** from \( \mathbb{B} \) to \( \mathbb{B}' \), \( \gamma : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}' \) is a collection of homomorphisms \( \mathbb{B}(X \to Y) \to \mathbb{B}'(X \to Y) \) for a morphism \( X \to Y \) in the category \( \mathcal{V} \), which preserves the above three basic operations:

1. \( \gamma(\alpha \bullet_{\mathbb{B}} \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathbb{B}'} \gamma(\beta) \).
(2) $\gamma(f_*\alpha) = f_*\gamma(\alpha)$, and 
(3) $\gamma(g^*\alpha) = g^*\gamma(\alpha)$.

A bivariant theory unifies both a covariant theory and a contravariant theory in the following sense:

$B_*(X) := B(X \to pt)$ becomes a covariant functor for confined morphisms and

$B^*(X) := B(X \xrightarrow{id} X)$ becomes a contravariant functor for any morphisms.

A Grothendieck transformation $\gamma : B \to B'$ induces natural transformations $\gamma_* : B_* \to B'_*$ and $\gamma^* : B^* \to B'^*$.

Definition 2.1. As to the grading, $B_i(X) := B^{-i}(X \to pt)$ and $B_j(X) := B^j(X \xrightarrow{id} X)$.

Definition 2.2. ([12, Part I, §2.6.2 Definition]) Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a class of maps in $\mathcal{V}$, which is closed under compositions and containing all identity maps. Suppose that to each $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{S}$ there is assigned an element $\theta(f) \in B(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ satisfying that

(i) $\theta(g \circ f) = \theta(f) \cdot \theta(g)$ for all $f : X \to Y, g : Y \to Z \in \mathcal{S}$ and

(ii) $\theta(id_X) = 1_X$ for all $X$ with $1_X \in B^*(X) := B^*(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X)$ the unit.

Then $\theta(f)$ is called an orientation of $f$. (In [12, Part I, §2.6.2 Definition], it is called a canonical orientation of $f$, but in this paper it shall be simply called an orientation.)

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be another class of maps called “specialized maps” (e.g., smooth maps in algebraic geometry) in $\mathcal{V}$, which is closed under composition, closed under base change and containing all identity maps. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bivariant theory. If $\mathcal{S}$ has an orientation $\theta$ for $\mathcal{B}$ and it satisfies that for an independent square with $f \in \mathcal{S}$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
\downarrow{f'} & & \downarrow{f} \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y
\end{array}
$$

the following condition holds: $\theta(f') = g^*\theta(f)$, (which means that the orientation $\theta$ is preserved by the pullback operation), then we call $\theta$ a stable orientation and say that $\mathcal{S}$ is stably $\mathcal{B}$-oriented.

3. Oriented bivariant theory and a universal oriented bivariant theory

Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism is the universal one among the so-called oriented Borel–Moore functors with products for algebraic schemes. Here “oriented” means that the given Borel–Moore functor $H_*$ is equipped with the endomorphism $\tilde{c}_1(L) : H_*(X) \to H_*(X)$ for a line bundle $L$ over the scheme $X$. Motivated by this “orientation” (which is different from the one given in Definition 2.2, but we still call this “orientation” using a different symbol so that the reader
will not be confused with terminologies), in [29, §4] we introduce an orientation to
bivariant theories for any category, using the notion of fibered categories in abstract
category theory (e.g., see [25]) and such a bivariant theory equipped with such an
orientation (Chern class operator) is called an oriented bivariant theory.

**Definition 3.1.** ([29, Definition 4.2]) (an oriented bivariant theory) Let \( \mathbb{B} \) be a
bivariant theory on a category \( \mathcal{Y} \).

1. For a fiber-object \( L \) over \( X \), the “operator” on \( \mathbb{B} \) associated to \( L \), denoted by \( \phi(L) \), is defined to be an endomorphism

\[
\phi(L) : \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)
\]

which satisfies the following properties:

- (O-1) **identity**: If \( L \) and \( L' \) are two fiber-objects over \( X \) and isomorphic
  (i.e., if \( f : L \to X \) and \( f' : L' \to X \)), then there exists an isomorphism
  \( i : L \to L' \) such that \( f = f' \circ i \), then we have

\[
\phi(L) = \phi(L') : \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y).
\]

- (O-2) **commutativity**: Let \( L \) and \( L' \) be two fiber-objects over \( X \), then
  we have

\[
\phi(L) \circ \phi(L') = \phi(L') \circ \phi(L) : \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y).
\]

- (O-3) **compatibility with product**: For morphisms \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \), \( \alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \), a fiber-object \( M \) over
  \( X \), we have

\[
\phi(L)(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \phi(L)(\alpha) \cdot \beta, \quad \phi(f^*M)(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \alpha \cdot \phi(M)(\beta).
\]

- (O-4) **compatibility with pushforward**: For a confined morphism \( f : X \to Y \) and a fiber-object \( M \) over \( Y \) we have

\[
f_* (\phi(f^*M)(\alpha)) = \phi(M)(f_* \alpha).
\]

- (O-5) **compatibility with pullback**: For an independent square and a
  fiber-object \( L \) over \( X \)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
\downarrow{f'} & & \downarrow{f} \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y
\end{array}
\]

we have

\[
g^* (\phi(L)(\alpha)) = \phi(g^*L)(g^*\alpha).
\]

The above operator is called an “orientation” and a bivariant theory equipped with such an orientation is called an oriented bivariant theory, denoted by \( \mathbb{O} \mathbb{B} \).
(2) An oriented Grothendieck transformation between two oriented bivariant theories is a Grothendieck transformation which preserves or is compatible with the operator, i.e., for two oriented bivariant theories $\mathcal{O}B$ with an orientation $\phi$ and $\mathcal{O}B'$ with an orientation $\phi'$ the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{O}B(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \\
\downarrow \gamma
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\phi(L)}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{O}B(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \\
\downarrow \gamma
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{O}B'(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \\
\downarrow \phi'(L)
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{O}B'(X \xrightarrow{f} Y).
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 3.2. ([29, Theorem 4.6]) (A universal oriented bivariant theory) Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a category with a class $\mathcal{C}$ of confined morphisms, a class of independent squares, a class $\mathcal{S}$ of specialized morphisms and $\mathcal{L}$ a fibered category over $\mathcal{V}$. We define

$$
\mathcal{OM}^\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)
$$

to be the free abelian group generated by the set of isomorphism classes of cobordism cycles over $X$

$$
[V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r]
$$

such that $h \in \mathcal{C}$, $f \circ h : W \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and $L_i$ a fiber-object over $V$.

(1) The association $\mathcal{OM}^\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}$ becomes an oriented bivariant theory if the four operations are defined as follows:

(a) **Orientation** $\Phi$: For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a fiber-object $L$ over $X$, the operator

$$
\Phi(L) : \mathcal{OM}^\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{OM}^\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)
$$

is defined by

$$
\Phi(L)([V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r]) := [V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r, h^*L].
$$

and extended linearly.

(b) **Product**: For morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, the product operation

$$
\bullet : \mathcal{OM}^\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathcal{OM}^\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{OM}^\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z)
$$

is defined as follows: The product is defined by

$$
[V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \cdots, L_r] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y; M_1, \cdots, M_s]
$$

$$
:= [V' \xrightarrow{h \circ k} X; k^*L_1, \cdots, k^*L_r, (f' \circ h')^*M_1, \cdots, (f' \circ h')^*M_s]
$$

where $f' : X' \rightarrow X$, $h' : X \rightarrow X'$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{L}$.
and extended bilinearly. Here we consider the following fiber squares
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
V' & \xrightarrow{k'} & X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & W \\
\downarrow{k''} & & \downarrow{k'} & & \downarrow{k} \\
V & \xrightarrow{h} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z.
\end{array}
\]

(c) **Pushforward:** For morphisms \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \) with \( f \) confined, the pushforward operation
\[
f_* : \mathcal{OM}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{F}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \to \mathcal{OM}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{F}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)
\]
is defined by
\[
f_* \left( [V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r] \right) := [V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r]
\]
and extended linearly.

(d) **Pullback:** For an independent square
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
\downarrow{f'} & & \downarrow{f} \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, 
\end{array}
\]
the pullback operation
\[
g^* : \mathcal{OM}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{F}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathcal{OM}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{F}(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')
\]
is defined by
\[
g^* \left( [V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r] \right) := [V' \xrightarrow{h'} X'; g'^*L_1, \ldots, g'^*L_r]
\]
and extended linearly, where we consider the following fiber squares:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
V'' & \xrightarrow{g''} & V \\
\downarrow{h'} & & \downarrow{h} \\
X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
\downarrow{f'} & & \downarrow{f} \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y.
\end{array}
\]

(2) Let \( \mathcal{OBT} \) be a class of oriented bivariant theories \( \mathcal{OB} \) on the same category \( \mathcal{Y} \) with a class \( \mathcal{C} \) of confined morphisms, a class of independent squares, a class \( \mathcal{I} \) of specialized morphisms and a fibered category \( \mathcal{L} \) over \( \mathcal{Y} \). Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be stably \( \mathcal{OB} \)-oriented for any oriented bivariant theory \( \mathcal{OB} \in \mathcal{OBT} \). Then, for each oriented bivariant theory \( \mathcal{OB} \in \mathcal{OBT} \) with
an orientation $\phi$, there exists a unique oriented Grothendieck transformation
\[ \gamma_{\text{OB}} : \text{OM}^\ell \rightarrow \text{OB} \]
such that for any $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{S}$ the homomorphism $\gamma_{\text{OB}} : \text{OM}^\ell (X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \text{OB}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ satisfies the normalization condition that
\[ \gamma_{\text{OB}}([X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r]) = \phi(L_1) \circ \cdots \circ \phi(L_r)(\theta_{\text{OB}}(f)). \]

In this paper, we consider the category $\mathcal{V}$ of complex algebraic varieties or schemes and we consider proper morphisms for the class $\mathcal{C}$ of confined maps, smooth morphisms for the class $\mathcal{S}$ of specialized morphisms, fiber squares for independent squares, and line bundles for a fibered category $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{V}$. So, $\text{OM}^\ell (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ shall be denote by $\text{OM}_{\text{prop}}^\ell (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$. For a smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$,
\[ \theta(f) := [X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X] \in \text{OM}_{\text{prop}}^\ell (X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \]
is clearly a stable orientation. As mentioned in the introduction, we can consider the above free abelian group $\text{OM}_{\text{prop}}^\ell (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ modulo the additive relation (1.1), i.e., the Grothendieck group of the monoid of the isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycles of finite sets of line bundles, which is denoted by the same notation $\text{OM}_{\text{prop}}^\ell (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$.

4. Cobordism bicycles of vector bundles

In this section, we consider extending the notion of algebraic cobordism of vector bundles due to Y.-P. Lee and R. Pandharipande [18] (cf. [22]) to correspondences.

Definition 4.1. Let $X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y$ be a correspondence (sometimes called a span or a roof) such that $p : V \rightarrow X$ is a proper map and $s : V \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map, and let $E$ be a complex vector bundle. Then
\[ (X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E) \]
is called a cobordism bicycle of a vector bundle.

Remark 4.2. The above correspondence $X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y$ shall be called a proper-smooth correspondence, abusing words. Mimicking naming used in [6], [20] and [18], the above proper-smooth correspondence equipped with a vector bundle is simply also named “cobordism bicycle of a vector bundle”.

Definition 4.3. Let $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)$ and $(X \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y; E')$ be two cobordism bicycles of vector bundles of the same rank. If there exists an isomorphism $h : V \cong V'$ such that
(1) \((X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \cong (X \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y')\) as correspondences, i.e., the following diagrams commute:

```
      V
     /\  \\
    /  \  \\
X-----h-----Y
    \  /  \\
     \v  \\
      V'
```

(2) \(E \cong h^* E'\),

then they are called isomorphic and denoted by

\[(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E) \cong (X \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y; E').\]

The isomorphism class of a cobordism bicycle of a vector bundle \((X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)\) is denoted by \([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]\), which is still called a cobordism bicycle of a vector bundle. For a fixed rank \(r\) for vector bundles, the set of isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles for a pair \((X, Y)\) becomes a commutative monoid by the disjoint sum:

\[\left[ X \xleftarrow{p_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} Y; E_1 \right] + \left[ X \xleftarrow{p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Y; E_2 \right] = \left[ X \xleftarrow{p_1+p_2} V_1 \sqcup V_2 \xrightarrow{s_1+s_2} Y; E_1 + E_2 \right],\]

where \(E_1 + E_2\) is a vector bundle such that \((E_1 + E_2)|_{V_1} = E_1\) and \((E_1 + E_2)|_{V_2} = E_2\). This monoid is denoted by \(\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X, Y)\) and another grading of \([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]\) is defined by the relative dimension of the smooth map \(s\), denoted by \(\dim s\), thus by double grading, \([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] \in \mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X, Y)\) means that \(n = \dim s\) and \(r = \text{rank } E\). The group completion of this monoid, i.e., the Grothendieck group, is denoted by \(\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X, Y)^+\). We use this notation, mimicking [20].

**Remark 4.4.** For a fixed rank \(r\), \(\mathcal{M}_{*,r}(X, Y)^+ = \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X, Y)^+\) is a graded abelian group.

**Remark 4.5.** When \(Y = \text{pt}\) a point, \(\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X, \text{pt})^+\) is nothing but \(\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X)^+\) considered in Lee–Pandharipande [18]. In this sense, when \(X = \text{pt}\) a point, \(\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(\text{pt}, Y)^+\) is a new object to be investigated.

**Definition 4.6** (product of cobordism bicycles). For three varieties \(X, Y, Z\), we define the following two kinds of product \(\bullet_{\oplus}\) and \(\bullet_{\otimes}\):

1. (by the Whitney sum \(\oplus\))

\[\bigcirc_{\oplus} : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y, Z)^+ \to \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X, Z)^+;\]

\[\left[ X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E \right] \bigcirc_{\oplus} \left[ Y \xleftarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z; F \right] := \left[ (X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \circ (Y \xleftarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z); \overline{q}^* E \oplus \overline{s}^* F, \right],\]
(2) (by the tensor product $\otimes$)

\[ \circlearrowleft : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y, Z)^+ \to \mathcal{M}_{m+n,rk}(X, Z)^+; \]

\[ [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] \circlearrowleft [Y \xleftarrow{l} W \xrightarrow{t} Z; F] \]

\[ := [(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \circ (Y \xleftarrow{l} W \xrightarrow{t} Z); \bar{q}^* E \otimes \bar{s}^* F]. \]

Here we consider the following commutative diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
E & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & V \times_Y W \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xleftarrow{p} & Y \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
V \times_Y W & \xrightarrow{\bar{s}} & F \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
W & \xleftarrow{q} & Z \\
\end{array} \]

Lemma 4.7. The products $\circlearrowleft$ and $\circlearrowright$ are both bilinear.

Remark 4.8. $\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X, X)^+$ is a double graded commutative ring with respect to both products $\circlearrowleft$ and $\circlearrowright$.

Remark 4.9. We consider the above product $\circlearrowleft$ for $Y = Z = pt$ a point. Since $\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X, pt)^+ = \mathcal{M}_{n,r}(X)^+$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n,r}(pt, pt)^+ = \mathcal{M}_{n,r}(pt)^+$, we have

\[ \circlearrowleft : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(pt)^+ \to \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X)^+. \]

\[ [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} pt; E] \circlearrowleft [pt \xleftarrow{l} W \xrightarrow{t} pt; F] \]

\[ = [(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} pt) \circ (pt \xleftarrow{l} W \xrightarrow{t} pt); (pr_1)^* E \oplus (pr_2)^* F], \]

which is rewritten as follows, by using the notations used in [18]:

\[ [V \xrightarrow{p} X, E] \circlearrowleft [W; F] = [V \times W \xrightarrow{pr_1,pr_2} X; (pr_1)^* E \oplus (pr_2)^* F]. \]

\[ (pr_1)^* E \oplus (pr_2)^* F \]
In [18, §0.8] they define the following map (which turns out to be isomorphic [18, Theorem 3])

$$
\gamma_X : \omega_s(X) \otimes_{\omega_s(pt)} \omega_{s,r}(pt) \to \omega_{s,r}(X)
$$

of \( \omega_s(pt) \)-modules by

$$\gamma_X \left( [Y \xrightarrow{f} X] \otimes \left[ \mathbb{P}^\lambda, \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m \right] \right) := [Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{op}} X, \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} P_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^* L_m].$$

In fact, this map is nothing but our product \( \bullet \) at least at the level of \( \mathcal{M}_{s,0} \):

$$\bullet : \mathcal{M}_{s,0}(X, pt) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{s,r}(pt, pt) \to \mathcal{M}_{s,r}(X, pt).$$

Note that \( \mathcal{M}_{s,0}(X, pt) = \mathcal{M}_{s,0}(X), \mathcal{M}_{s,r}(pt, pt) = \mathcal{M}_{s,r}(pt) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{s,r}(X, pt) = \mathcal{M}_{s,r}(X) \). Using our notation, we have

- \( [Y \xrightarrow{f} X] = [X \xleftarrow{\text{op}} Y \xrightarrow{g} pt], \)
- \( [\mathbb{P}^\lambda, \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m] = [pt \xrightarrow{\text{op}} pt; \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m], \)
- \( [Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{op}} X, \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} P_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^* L_m] = [X \xleftarrow{\text{op}} Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \to pt; \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} P_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^* L_m]. \)

Our product \( \bullet \) gives us

$$[X \xleftarrow{\text{op}} Y \xrightarrow{\text{op}} pt] \bullet [pt \xrightarrow{\text{op}} \mathbb{P}^\lambda \to pt; \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m] = [X \xleftarrow{\text{op}} Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \to pt; \sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} P_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^* L_m].$$

Indeed, for this product, we consider the following diagram:

```
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node (X) at (0,0) {$X$};
  \node (Y) at (3,0) {$Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda$};
  \node (Pt) at (6,0) {$pt$};
  \node (P^\lambda) at (6,3) {$\mathbb{P}^\lambda$};
  \node (L_m) at (6,-3) {$L_m$};
  \node (Y^\lambda) at (0,3) {$Y$};
  \node (P^* L_m) at (6,0) {$P_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^* L_m$};

  \draw[->] (X) -- (Y) node[pos=0.5,above] {$f$};
  \draw[->] (Y) -- (Pt) node[pos=0.5,above] {$p_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}$};
  \draw[->] (Y) -- (P^\lambda) node[pos=0.5,above] {$p^\lambda$};
  \draw[->] (Y) -- (L_m) node[pos=0.5,above] {$p_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}$};
  \draw[->] (Y) -- (P^* L_m) node[pos=0.5,above] {$\sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m$};
  \draw[->] (Y) -- (Y^\lambda) node[pos=0.5,above] {$\sigma^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m$};
\end{tikzpicture}
```
Note that \( p^*_\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}^{r-\ell(\lambda)} - \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m) = \mathcal{O}^{r-\ell(\lambda)} - \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} P^*_\mathcal{P} L_m \) since \( \mathcal{O}^{r-\ell(\lambda)} \) is a trivial bundle. Therefore, we can see that

\[
\gamma_X \left( [Y \xrightarrow{f} X] \otimes \left[ \mathcal{P}^\lambda, \mathcal{O}^{r-\ell(\lambda)} - \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m \right] \right) = [X \xleftarrow{Y} \xrightarrow{s} pt] \bullet_{\oplus} [pt \leftarrow \mathcal{P}^\lambda \rightarrow pt; \mathcal{O}^{r-\ell(\lambda)} - \bigoplus_{m \in \lambda} L_m].
\]

**Remark 4.10.** Let \([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)_{\oplus}^+\), and let

1. \([X \xleftarrow{p} V] := [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{id_X} V] \in \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(X,V)_{\oplus}^+\),
2. \([V; E] := [V \xleftarrow{id_V} V \xrightarrow{id_V} V; E] \in \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(V,V)_{\oplus}^+\),
3. \([V \xrightarrow{s} Y] := [V \xleftarrow{id_V} V \xrightarrow{s} Y] \in \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(V,Y)_{\oplus}^+\).

Then we have \([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] = [X \xleftarrow{p} V] \bullet_{\oplus} [V; E] \bullet_{\oplus} [V \xrightarrow{s} Y]\).

Now, we define pushforward and pullback of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles:

**Definition 4.11.**

1. (Pushforward)
   a. For a proper map \( f : X \rightarrow X' \), the (proper) pushforward acting on the first factor \( X \xleftarrow{p} V \), in a usual way denoted by \( f_* : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X',Y)^+ \), is defined by

\[
f_*([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) := [X' \xleftarrow{f*p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E].
\]

b. For a smooth map \( g : Y \rightarrow Y' \), the (smooth) pushforward acting on the second factor \( V \xrightarrow{s} Y \), in an unusual way denoted by \( *g : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m+r}(X,Y')^+ \), is defined by

\[
([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) *g := [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{gog} Y'; E].
\]

Here we emphasize that \( *g \) is written on the right side of \( ([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) \) not on the left side.\(^4\) (Note that \( m = \dim s \) and \( \dim(g \circ s) = \dim s + \dim g = m + \dim g \)).

2. (Pullback)
   a. For a smooth map \( f : X' \rightarrow X \), the (smooth) pullback acting on the first factor \( X \xleftarrow{p} V \), in a usual way denoted by \( f^* : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m+dim f,r}(X',Y)^+ \) is defined by

\[
f^*([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) := [X' \xleftarrow{f'} X \times_X V \xrightarrow{sog'} Y; (f')^* E].\]

\(^4\)For pushforward the notation \( *g \) and writing it on the right side and for pullback the notation \( *g \) and writing it on the right side were suggested by the referee, whom we appreciate for suggesting such an interesting notation.
Here we consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' \times_X V & \xrightarrow{f'} & V \\
\downarrow{p'} & & \downarrow{s} \\
X & \xrightarrow{p} & Y
\end{array}
\]

(Note that the left diamond is a fiber square, thus \(f': X' \times_X V \to V\) is smooth and \(p': X' \times_X V \to X'\) is proper. Note that \(\dim f' = \dim f\) and \(\dim(s \circ f') = \dim s + \dim f' = m + \dim f\).)

(b) For a proper map \(g: Y' \to Y\), the (proper) pullback acting on the second factor \(V \xrightarrow{s} Y\), in an unusual way denoted by \(*g: \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \to \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y')^+\), is defined by

\[
([X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) * g := [X \xleftarrow{p \circ g'} V \times_Y Y' \xrightarrow{s'} Y'; (g')^* E].
\]

Here, we consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{g'} & V \times_Y Y' \\
\downarrow{p} & & \downarrow{s} \\
X & \xrightarrow{s'} & Y \xleftarrow{g} Y'
\end{array}
\]

(Note that the right diamond is a fiber square, thus \(s': V \times_Y Y' \to Y'\) is smooth and \(g': V \times_Y Y' \to V\) is proper, and \(\dim s = \dim s'\).)

Remark 4.12. (1) We emphasize that as to pushforward, proper pushforward is concerned with the first factor and smooth pushforward is concerned with the second factor, but as to pullback, the involving factors are exchanged.

(2) We remark that when we deal with a smooth map \(f\) or \(g\), both in pushforward and pullback, the first grading is added by the relative dimension \(\dim f\) or \(\dim g\), but that when we deal with proper maps, the first grading is not changed. In both pushforward and pullback, the second grading (referring to the dimension of vector bundle) is not changed.

Proposition 4.13. The above three operations of product (\(\ast_{\boxplus}\) and \(\ast_{\otimes}\)), pushforward and pullback satisfy the following properties.
(A₁) **Product is associative:** For three varieties $X, Y, Z, W$ we have

$$(α ⊗_β β) ⊗_γ γ = α ⊗_β (β ⊗_γ γ) ∈ \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\ell, r+k+ε}(X, W)^+,$$

$$(α ⊗_β β) ⊗_γ γ = α ⊗_β (β ⊗_γ γ) ∈ \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\ell, rke}(X, W)^+,$$

where $α ∈ \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+, β ∈ \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y, Z)^+$ and $β ∈ \mathcal{M}_{\ell,e}(Z, W)^+$.

(A₂) **Pushforward is functorial:**

(a) For two proper maps $f_1 : X → X', f_2 : X' → X''$, we have

$$(f_2 ∘ f_1)_* = (f_2)_* ∘ (f_1)_*$$

where $(f_1)_* : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ → \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X', Y)^+$ and $(f_2)_* : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X', Y)^+ → \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X'', Y)^+$.

(b) For two smooth maps $g_1 : Y → Y', g_2 : Y' → Y''$ we have

$$(g_2 ∘ g_1)_* = (g_2)_* ∘ (g_1)_*$$

i.e., $α_* (g_2 ∘ g_1) = (α_* g_2) ∘ (α_* g_1)$ for $α ∈ \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+$.

(A₂') **Proper pushforward and smooth pushforward commute:** For a proper map $f : X → X'$ and a smooth map $g : Y → Y'$ we have

$$(f_* ∘ g)_* = g_* ∘ f_*$$

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X', Y)^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_{m+\dim g, r}(X, Y')^+ & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{M}_{m+\dim g, r}(X', Y')^+.
\end{array}$$

(A₃) **Pullback is functorial:**

(a) For two smooth maps $f_1 : X → X', f_2 : X' → X''$ we have

$$(f_2 ∘ f_1)^* = (f_1)^* ∘ (f_2)^*$$

where $(f_2)^* : \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X'', Y)^+ → \mathcal{M}_{m+\dim f_2, r}(X', Y)^+$ and $(f_1)^* : \mathcal{M}_{m+\dim f_1, r}(X', Y)^+ → \mathcal{M}_{m+\dim f_2+\dim f_1, r}(X, Y)^+$.

(b) For two proper maps $g_1 : Y → Y', g_2 : Y' → Y''$ we have

$$(g_2 ∘ g_1)^* = (g_2)^* ∘ (g_1)^*$$

i.e., $α^* (g_2 ∘ g_1) = (α^* g_2) ∘ (α^* g_1)$ for $α ∈ \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+$.

(A₃') **Proper pullback and smooth pullback commute:** For a smooth map $g : X' → X$ and a proper map $f : Y' → Y$ we have

$$g^* ∘ *f = *f ∘ g^*$$

i.e., $g^* (α^* f) = (g^* α)^* f$ for $α ∈ \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+$.

---

5The referee remarked that introducing the above unusual notation turns, e.g., the condition (A₂) into a “bimodule-like” condition $(r_m)_* = r(m_8)$ for an $R$-$S$-bimodule $M$ with two rings $R, S$; $r ∈ R, s ∈ M, m ∈ M^*$, which makes the proofs in the paper easier to follow.
i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ & \xrightarrow{\cdot f} & M_{m,r}(X,Y')^+ \\
g^* & & g^*
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{m+\dim g,r}(X',Y)^+ & \xrightarrow{\cdot f} & M_{m+\dim g,r}(X',Y')^+.
\end{array}
\]

(A12) **Product and pushforward commute:** Let \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+ \).

(a) For a proper morphism \( f : X \to X' \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha \circ \beta &= (f_\ast \alpha) \circ \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X',Z)^+), \\
\alpha \circ \beta &= (f_\ast \alpha) \circ \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X',Z)^+),
\end{align*}
\]

i.e., (for the sake of clarity), the following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\cdot \otimes} & \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Y)^+ \\
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y')^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\cdot \otimes} & \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Y')^+.
\end{array}
\]

(b) For a smooth morphism \( g : Z \to Z' \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha \circ \beta \circ g &= \alpha \circ \beta \circ g \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim g,r+k}(X,Z',Y)^+) \\
\alpha \circ \beta \circ g &= \alpha \circ \beta \circ g \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim g,r+k}(X,Z',Y)^+),
\end{align*}
\]

i.e., as to the product \( \circ \otimes \) (the case of \( \circ \otimes \) is omitted), the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\cdot \otimes} & \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Z)^+ \\
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n+k}(Y,Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\cdot \otimes} & \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim g,r+k}(X,Z)^+.
\end{array}
\]

(A13) **Product and pullback commute:** Let \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+ \).

(a) For a smooth morphism \( f : X' \to X \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha \circ \beta &= (f^\ast \alpha) \circ \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim f,r+k}(X',Z)^+) \\
\alpha \circ \beta &= (f^\ast \alpha) \circ \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim f,r+k}(X',Z)^+),
\end{align*}
\]
i.e., as to the product \( \bullet \oplus \), the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+ \xrightarrow{\bullet \oplus} \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Z)^+ \\
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \xrightarrow{f^* \times \text{id} \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+} \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Z)^+
\end{array}
\]

(b) For a proper morphism \( g: Z' \to Z \),

\[
(\alpha \bullet \oplus \beta)^* = \alpha \bullet \oplus \beta^* \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Z')^+),
\]

\[
(\alpha \bullet \oplus \beta)^* = \alpha \bullet \oplus \beta^* \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Z')^+),
\]

i.e., as to the product \( \bullet \oplus \), the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y,Z)^+ \xrightarrow{\bullet \oplus} \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Z)^+ \\
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \xrightarrow{\text{id} \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes^* \gamma} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X,Z')^+
\end{array}
\]

(\(A_{23}\) **Pushforward and pullback commute:** For \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \)

(a) (proper pushforward and proper pullback commute) For proper morphisms \( f: X \to X' \) and \( g: Y' \to Y \) and for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \)

\[
(f_* \alpha)^* = f_*(\alpha^*) \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n,r+k}(X',Y')^+),
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X',Y)^+ \\
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y')^+ \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X',Y')^+ \xrightarrow{f_*}
\end{array}
\]

(b) (smooth pushforward and smooth pullback commute) For smooth morphisms \( f: X' \to X \) and \( g: Y \to Y' \) and for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \)

\[
f^*(\alpha \circ g) = (f^* \alpha) \circ g \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+\text{dim } f + \text{dim } g,r}(X',Y')^+),
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \xrightarrow{\circ^g} \mathcal{M}_{m+\text{dim } f + \text{dim } g,r}(X,Y')^+ \\
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{M}_{m+\text{dim } f + \text{dim } g,r}(X',Y')^+ \xrightarrow{\circ^g}
\end{array}
\]
(c) \textit{(proper pushforward and smooth pullback “commute” in the following sense)} For the following fiber square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & X'' \\
\downarrow{\tilde{g}} & & \downarrow{g} \\
X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X
\end{array}
\]
with \( f \) proper and \( g \) smooth, we have
\[
g^*f_* = \tilde{f}_*\tilde{g}^*,
\]
i.e., the following diagram commutes:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X',Y) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y) \\
\downarrow{\tilde{g}^*} & & \downarrow{g^*} \\
M_{m+\dim g,r}((\tilde{X},Y)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \mathcal{M}_{m+\dim g,r}(X'',Y').
\end{array}
\]
(Note that \( \dim \tilde{g} = \dim g \).)

(d) \textit{(smooth pushforward and proper pullback “commute” in the following sense)} For the following fiber square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y'' \\
\downarrow{\tilde{g}} & & \downarrow{g} \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}
\]
with \( f \) proper and \( g \) smooth, for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y'') \) we have
\[
(\alpha \ast g)^*f = (\alpha \ast \tilde{f}) \ast \tilde{g},
\]
i.e., the following diagram commutes:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y'') & \xrightarrow{\ast g} & \mathcal{M}_{m+\dim g,r}(X,Y) \\
\downarrow{\ast \tilde{f}} & & \downarrow{\ast f} \\
M_{m,r}(X,\tilde{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\ast g} & \mathcal{M}_{m+\dim g,r}(X,Y').
\end{array}
\]
(Note that \( \dim \tilde{g} = \dim g \).)

\textbf{Projection formula}:

(a) For a smooth morphism \( g : Y \to Y' \) and \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y) \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y',Z) \),
\[
(\alpha \ast g) \bullet g^* \beta = \alpha \bullet g^* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim g,r+k}(X,Z)),
\]
\[
(\alpha \ast g) \circ \beta = \alpha \circ g^* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim g,r+k}(X,Z)),
\]
i.e., as to the product $\bullet_{\oplus}$, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y',Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \times g^*} & \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n+\dim g, k}(Y, Z)^+ \\
\downarrow g \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_{m+\dim g, r}(X, Y')^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n, k}(Y', Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\bullet_{\oplus}} & \mathcal{M}_{m+n+\dim g, r+k}(X, Z)^+.
\end{array}
$$

(b) For a proper map $g : Y' \to Y$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{n, k}(Y', Z)^+$,

$$(\alpha^* g) \bullet_{\oplus} \beta = \alpha \bullet_{\oplus} g_* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+r+k}(X, Z)^+),$$

$$(\alpha^* g) \bullet_{\oplus} \beta = \alpha \bullet_{\oplus} g_* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}_{m+n+r+k}(X, Z)^+),$$

i.e., as to the product $\bullet_{\oplus}$, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y',Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \times g^*} & \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n,k}(Y, Z)^+ \\
\downarrow g \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y')^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n, k}(Y', Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\bullet_{\oplus}} & \mathcal{M}_{m+n+r+k}(X, Z)^+.
\end{array}
$$

**Proof.** It is straightforward. For the sake of readers’ convenience, we give proofs to (c) and (d) of $A_{23}$ and the “projection formula” with respect to the product $\bullet_{\oplus}$.

(c) Let $[X' \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} V \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} Y; E] \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X', Y)^+$ and consider the following commutative diagrams:

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
(g')^* E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}^*} & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{X} \times_{X'} V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}^*} & E \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X' & \xrightarrow{g^*} & Y
\end{array}\]
\[ \tilde{f}_* \tilde{g}^*([X' \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) = \tilde{f}_*([\tilde{X} \xleftarrow{\tilde{p}} \tilde{X} \times_{X'} Y \xrightarrow{s \circ \tilde{g}}; (g')^* E] \]
\[ = [X'' \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}_* \tilde{g}} \tilde{X} \times_{X'} Y \xrightarrow{s \circ \tilde{g}} Z; (g')^* E] \]
\[ = g^*([X \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}_* \tilde{g}} V \xrightarrow{s} Z; E]) \]
\[ = g^*(f_*([X' \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E])) \]
\[ = g^* f_*([X' \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]). \]

Hence, we have that \( g^* f_* = \tilde{f}_* \tilde{g}^* \).

(d) Let \([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y''; E] \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X,Y'')^+ \) and consider the following commutative diagrams:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(f')*E} \\
\downarrow \\
V \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y} \\
\downarrow f' \\
V \\
\downarrow s' \\
X \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
E \\
\downarrow \\
\text{f} \times_{Y''} \text{g} \\
\downarrow \text{f} \\
\text{Y} \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Y''} \\
\downarrow \text{g} \\
\text{Y'} \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{g} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{f} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y''; E] \ast g)^* f = [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{g \circ s} Y; E]^* f \\
= [X \xleftarrow{\text{g} \circ s'} \text{V} \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g} \circ s'} Y'; (f')^* E] \]
\[ = [X \xleftarrow{\text{g} \circ s'} \text{V} \times_{Y''} \tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}' \circ s'} \text{Y'}; (f')^* E] \ast \tilde{g} \]
\[ = ([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y''; E]^* \tilde{f}) \ast \tilde{g}. \]

Hence, we have that \((\alpha \ast g)^* f = (\alpha^* \tilde{f}) \ast \tilde{g}\).

\( (A_{123}) \): Let \( \alpha = [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E], \beta = [Y' \xleftarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z; F]. \)

(a) For the first projection formula, we consider the following commutative diagram.
Since $\alpha \ast g = [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{g \circ s} Y'; E]$, we have

$$\alpha \ast g \bullet \beta = [X \xleftarrow{\text{poq}'} V \times Y', W \xrightarrow{\text{tog}'} Z; (q'')^*E \oplus (g' \circ s')^*F]$$

$$= [X \xleftarrow{\text{poq}'} V \times Y' \times_Y Y', W \xrightarrow{\text{tog}'} Z; (q'')^*E \oplus (s')^*((g')^*F)]$$

$$= [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] \bullet \beta [Y \xleftarrow{q} Y' \times Y, W \xrightarrow{s} Z; (g')^*F]$$

$$= \alpha \bullet \beta \ast g \beta.$$ (b) For the second projection formula, we consider the following commutative diagram:

Then, since $\alpha \ast^* g = [X \xleftarrow{\text{poq}'} V \times Y' \xrightarrow{s'} Y'; (g')^*E]$, we have

$$\alpha \ast^* g \bullet \beta = [X \xleftarrow{\text{poq}'} (V \times Y') \times_Y Y'; (g')^*E \oplus (s'')^*F]$$
\[ X \xleftarrow{p} V \times_Y W \xrightarrow{q''} Z; (g' \circ q')^* E \oplus (s'')^* F \]

\[ = [X \xleftarrow{p} Y; E] \bullet \circ [Y \xrightarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z; F] \]

\[ = \alpha \bullet \circ g_s \beta. \]

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.14.** \( 1_X = [X \xleftarrow{id_X} X \xrightarrow{id_X} X] \in \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(X, X)^+ \) satisfies that \( 1_X \bullet \circ \alpha = \alpha \) for any element \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \) and \( \beta \bullet \circ 1_X = \beta \) for any element \( \beta \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(Y, X)^+ \). As to the product \( \bullet \circ \), let \( \mathbb{I}_X = [X \xleftarrow{id_X} X \xrightarrow{id_X} X; \mathcal{O}_X] \in \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(X, X)^+ \) (where \( \mathcal{O}_X \) is the trivial line bundle) satisfies that \( \mathbb{I}_X \bullet \circ \alpha = \alpha \) for any element \( \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \) and \( \beta \bullet \circ \mathbb{I}_X = \beta \) for any element \( \beta \in \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(Y, X)^+ \).

**Remark 4.15.** \( \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \) with the product \( \bullet \circ \) considered shall be denoted by \( \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+_{\boxplus} \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \) with the product \( \bullet \circ \) shall be denoted by \( \mathcal{M}_{m,r}(X, Y)^+_{\otimes} \).

**Remark 4.16.** In a way similar to that of constructing \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{nm}^{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \) generated by the isomorphism classes \( [V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r] \) such that \( h \) is proper and \( f \circ h \) is smooth and \( L_i \)'s are line bundles over \( V \), we can replace \( [V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r] \) by the isomorphism classes \( [V \xrightarrow{h} X; E] \) with line bundles \( \{L_1, \ldots, L_r\} \) being replaced by one vector bundle \( E \). Then in \([4]\) (cf.\([2, 3]\)) T. Annala and the author have generalized Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism \( \omega^\ast,\ast(X) \) of vector bundles \([18]\)(also see \([21]\)) to a bivariant-theoretic analogue \( \Omega^\ast,\ast(X \rightarrow Y) \) in a way similar to that of Annala’s construction of the bivariant derived algebraic cobordism \( \Omega^\ast(X \rightarrow Y) \).

### 5. Cobordism bicycles of finite tuples of line bundles

Here we consider a “bi-variant” analogue of Levine–Morel’s construction of algebraic cobordism \( \Omega^\ast(X) \) \([20]\) via cobordism bicycles of finite tuples of line bundles.

In \([20]\), Levine and Morel consider what they call a cobordism cycle

\[ [M \xrightarrow{p} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r], \]

which is the isomorphism class of \( (M \xrightarrow{p} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r) \) where \( M \) is smooth and irreducible, \( p : M \rightarrow X \) is proper and \( L_i \)'s are line bundles over \( M \). \( (M \xrightarrow{p} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r) \) and \( (M' \xrightarrow{p'} X; L_1', \ldots, L_r') \) are called isomorphic if there exists an
isomorphism $h : M \to M'$ such that (1) the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
\downarrow{h} & \equiv & \downarrow{p'} \\
M' & \xleftarrow{p'} & X
\end{array}
$$

and (2) there exists a bijection $\sigma : \{1, 2, \cdots, r\} \cong \{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$ such that $L_i \cong h^* L_{\sigma(i)}$.

So, here we consider a “bicycle” version of this cobordism cycle. Namely we consider the isomorphism class of a cobordism bicycle of a finite tuple of line bundles, instead of one vector bundle.

**Definition 5.1.** $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r)$ and $(X' \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y; L'_1, \cdots, L'_r)$ are called isomorphic if the following conditions hold:

1. There exists an isomorphism $h : V \cong V'$ such that $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \cong (X' \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y)$ as correspondences (as in (1) of Definition 4.3),
2. There exists a bijection $\sigma : \{1, 2, \cdots, r\} \cong \{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$ such that $L_i \cong h^* L_{\sigma(i)}$.

The isomorphism class of $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r)$ is called simply a cobordism bicycle (instead of a cobordism bicycle of line bundles) and denoted by $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r]$.

It is clear that when $Y = pt$ is a point the cobordism bicycle $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} pt; L_1, \cdots, L_r]$ is the same as the cobordism cycle $[V \xrightarrow{p} X; L_1, \cdots, L_r]$.

**Definition 5.2.** We define $\mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y)$ to be the free abelian group generated by the set of isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycle

$$[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r],$$

such that $-i+r = \dim s = \dim V - \dim Y$, modulo the following additive relation

$$[X \xleftarrow{p_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r] + [X \xleftarrow{p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Y; L'_1, \cdots, L'_r]$$

$$:= [X \xleftarrow{p_1 \uplus p_2} V_1 \uplus V_2 \xrightarrow{s_1 \uplus s_2} Y; L_1 \sqcup L'_1, \cdots, L_r \sqcup L'_r].$$

**Remark 5.3.** Such a grading is due to the requirement that for $Y = pt$ we want to have $\mathcal{Z}^i(X, pt) = \mathcal{Z}_{-i}(X)$. Here we note that $V$ is smooth, since $s : V \to pt$ is smooth. According to the definition ([20, Definition 2.1.6]) of grading of Levine-Morel’s algebraic pre-cobordism $\mathcal{Z}_s(X)$, the degree (or dimension) of the cobordism cycle $[V \xrightarrow{p} X; L_1, \cdots, L_r] \in \mathcal{Z}_s(X)$ is $\dim V - r$, i.e.
The following definitions are similar to those in the case of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles, but we write them down for the sake of convenience.

**Definition 5.4.**

1. **(Product of cobordism bicycles)** We define the product of cobordism bicycles as follows:
   \[
   \cdot : \mathcal{Z}_i(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_j(Y, Z) \to \mathcal{Z}_{i+j}(X, Z)
   \]

   \[
   [X \stackrel{p_1}{\leftarrow} V_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r] \cdot [Y \stackrel{p_2}{\leftarrow} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Z; M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k]
   \]

   \[
   := [(X \stackrel{p_1}{\leftarrow} V_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} Y) \circ (Y \stackrel{p_2}{\leftarrow} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Z); \tilde{p}_1^*L_1, \ldots, \tilde{p}_2^*L_r, \tilde{s}_1^*M_1, \ldots \tilde{s}_1^*M_k].
   \]

2. **(Proper pushforward and smooth pushforward of cobordism bicycles)**
   
   (a) For a **proper** map \( f : X \to X' \), the (proper) pushforward (with respect to the first factor) \( f_* : \mathcal{Z}_i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}_i(X', Y) \) is defined by
   \[
   f_*( [X \stackrel{p}{\leftarrow} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r]) := [X' \stackrel{f_*p}{\leftarrow} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r].
   \]

   (b) For a **smooth** map \( g : Y \to Y' \), the (smooth) pushforward (with respect to the second factor) \( g_* : \mathcal{Z}_i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}_{i+i+\dim g}(X, Y') \) is defined by
   \[
   ([X \stackrel{p}{\leftarrow} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r]) \cdot g := [X \stackrel{p}{\leftarrow} V \xrightarrow{s \circ g} Y'; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r].
   \]

3. **(Smooth pullback and proper pullback of cobordism bicycles)**
   
   (a) For a **smooth** map \( f : X' \to X \), the (smooth) pullback (with respect to the first factor) \( f^* : \mathcal{Z}_i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}_{i+i+\dim f}(X', Y) \) is defined by
   \[
   f^*([X \stackrel{p}{\leftarrow} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r])
   \]
   \[
   := [X' \stackrel{p'}{\leftarrow} X' \times_X V \xrightarrow{s \circ f'} Y; (f')^*L_1, (f')^*L_2, \ldots, (f')^*L_r].
   \]

   Here we consider the following commutative diagram:
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(b) For a proper map \( g : Y' \to Y \), the (proper) pullback (with respect to the second factor) \( g^* : \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X, Y') \) is defined by

\[
([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r])^* g = [X \xleftarrow{p \circ g'} V \times_Y Y' \xrightarrow{s'} Y'; (g')^* L_1, (g')^* L_2, \cdots, (g')^* L_r].
\]

Remark 5.5. As in the case of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles, the involvement of factors is exchanged in pushforward and pullback for proper morphisms and smooth morphisms, and also, in both pushforward and pullback, as long as smooth morphisms are involved, the grading is added by the relative dimension of the smooth morphism.

Remark 5.6. In the case of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles, we have two kind of products by Whitney sum and tensor product. However, in the present case of cobordism bicycles, the product is a kind of “Whitney sum”, or a “mock” Whitney sum, i.e., the sum of two finite sets of line bundles.

Clearly we have the following proposition, as in the case of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles in §4.

Proposition 5.7. The above three operations satisfy the following properties.

(A1) Product is associative: For three varieties \( X, Y, Z, W \) and \( \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X, Y), \beta \in \mathcal{Z}^{j}(Y, Z), \gamma \in \mathcal{Z}^{k}(Z, W) \), we have

\[
(\alpha \beta) \gamma = \alpha (\beta \gamma) \quad (\in \mathcal{Z}^{i+j+k}(X, W))
\]

(A2) Pushforward is functorial:

(a) For two proper maps \( f_1 : X \to X', f_2 : X' \to X'' \) and

\[
(f_1)_* : \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X', Y), \quad (f_2)_* : \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X', Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X'', Y),
\]

we have

\[
(f_2 \circ f_1)_* = (f_2)_* \circ (f_1)_*.
\]

(b) For two smooth maps \( g_1 : Y \to Y', g_2 : Y' \to Y'' \) and

\[
*(g_1) : \mathcal{Z}^{i}(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim g_1}(X, Y'), \quad *(g_2) : \mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim g_1}(X, Y') \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim g_1+\dim g_2}(X, Y''),
\]

we have

\[
*(g_2 \circ g_1) = *(g_1) \circ *(g_2), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \alpha_* (g_2 \circ g_1) = (\alpha_*(g_1))_* (g_2).
\]

(A2’) Proper pushforward and smooth pushforward commute: For a proper map \( f : X \to X' \) and a smooth map \( g : Y \to Y' \) we have

\[
* g \circ f_* = f_* \circ * g, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad (f_* \alpha)_* g = f_*(\alpha_* g), \quad \text{simply denoted by} \ f_* \alpha_* g,
\]
i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}^i(X,Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{A}^i(X',Y) \\
\downarrow g & & \downarrow g \\
\mathcal{A}^{i+\dim g}(X,Y') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{A}^{i+\dim g}(X',Y').
\end{array}
\]

(A3) **Pullback is functorial:**

(a) For two smooth maps \( f_1 : X \to X', f_2 : X' \to X'' \) and
\[
(f_1)^* : \mathcal{A}^{i+\dim f_2}(X', Y) \to \mathcal{A}^{i+\dim f_2+\dim f_1}(X, Y),
\]
\[
(f_2)^* : \mathcal{A}^i(X'', Y) \to \mathcal{A}^i(X', Y),
\]
we have
\[
(f_2 \circ f_1)^* = (f_1)^* \circ (f_2)^*.
\]

(b) For two proper maps \( g_1 : Y \to Y', g_2 : Y' \to Y'' \) and
\[
*(g_1) : \mathcal{A}^i(X, Y') \to \mathcal{A}^i(X, Y),
\]
\[
*(g_2) : \mathcal{A}^i(X, Y'') \to \mathcal{A}^i(X, Y'),
\]
we have
\[
*(g_2 \circ g_1) = *(g_2) \circ *(g_1), \text{ i.e., } \alpha^* (g_2 \circ g_1) = (\alpha^* (g_2)) \circ (g_1).
\]

(A3)' **Proper pullback and smooth pullback commute:** For a smooth map \( g : X' \to X \) and a proper map \( f : Y' \to Y \) we have
\[
g^* \circ f = f \circ g^* \text{, i.e., } g^*(\alpha^* f) = (g^* \alpha)^* f, \text{ simply denoted by } g^* \alpha^* f,
\]
i.e., the following diagram commutes: i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}^i(X,Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{A}^i(X,Y') \\
\downarrow g^* & & \downarrow g^* \\
\mathcal{A}^{i+\dim g}(X', Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{A}^{i+\dim g}(X', Y').
\end{array}
\]

(A12) **Product and pushforward commute:** For \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A}^i(X,Y) \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{A}^j(Y,Z) \),

(a) For a proper morphism \( f : X \to X' \),
\[
f_*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = (f_* \alpha) \bullet \beta \in \mathcal{A}^{i+j}(X', Z),
\]
i.e., (for the sake of clarity we write down) the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}^j(Y,Z) & \xrightarrow{\cdot} & \mathcal{A}^{i+j}(X,Z) \\
\downarrow f_* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}^j(Y,Z)} & & \downarrow f_* \\
\mathcal{A}^i(X',Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}^j(Y,Z) & \xrightarrow{\cdot} & \mathcal{A}^{i+j}(X', Z).
\end{array}
\]

(b) For a smooth morphism \( g : Z \to Z' \),
\[
(\alpha \bullet \beta)_* g = \alpha \bullet \beta_* g \in \mathcal{A}^{i+j+\dim g}(X, Z'),
\]
i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X,Z) \\
id_{\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y)} \otimes *g & \downarrow & *g \\
\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{j+\dim g}(Y,Z') & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^{i+j+\dim g}(X,Z').
\end{array}
\]

(A13) **Product and pullback commute:** For \( \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z) \).

(a) **For a smooth morphism** \( f : X' \rightarrow X \),

\[
f^*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = (f^* \alpha) \bullet \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{Z}^{i+j+\dim f}(X',Z)),
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X,Z) \\
\otimes id_{\mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z)} & \downarrow & f^* \\
\mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X',Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^{i+j+\dim f}(X',Z). 
\end{array}
\]

(b) **For a proper morphism** \( g : Z' \rightarrow Z \),

\[
(\alpha \bullet \beta) * g = \alpha \bullet \beta * g \quad (\in \mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X',Z')), 
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X,Z) \\
id_{\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y)} \otimes *g & \downarrow & *g \\
\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^j(Z',Z') & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X,Z').
\end{array}
\]

(A23) **Pushforward and pullback commute:** For \( \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \)

(a) **(proper pushforward and proper pullback commute)** For proper morphisms \( f : X \rightarrow X' \) and \( g : Y' \rightarrow Y \),

\[
(f_* \alpha)^* g = f_* (\alpha^* g) \quad (\in \mathcal{Z}^i(X',Y')), \text{ simply denoted by } f_* \alpha^* g,
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(X',Y) \\
\otimes \mathcal{Z}^{i+j}(X,Z) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^{i+j+\dim f}(X',Z). 
\end{array}
\]

(b) **(smooth pushforward and smooth pullback commute)** For smooth morphisms \( f : X' \rightarrow X \) and \( g : Y \rightarrow Y' \),

\[
f^*(\alpha \otimes g) = (f^* \alpha) \otimes g \quad (\in \mathcal{Z}^{i+j+\dim f+\dim g}(X',Y')) \text{, simply denoted by } f^* \alpha \otimes g,
\]
i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}^i(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\ast g} & \mathcal{X}^{i+\dim g}(X', Y') \\
\downarrow f^* & & \downarrow f^*
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}^{i+\dim f}(X', Y) & \xrightarrow{\ast g} & \mathcal{X}^{i+\dim f+\dim g}(X', Y')
\end{array}
\]

(c) (proper pushforward and smooth pullback “commute” in the following sense) For the following fiber square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & X'' \\
\tilde{g} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X
\end{array}
\]

with \(f\) proper and \(g\) smooth, we have

\[
g^*f_* = \tilde{f}_*\tilde{g}^*.
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}^i(X', Y) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{X}^i(X, Y) \\
\downarrow \tilde{g}^* & & \downarrow g^*
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}^{i+\dim g}(\tilde{X}, Y) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \mathcal{X}^{i+\dim g}(X'', Y)
\end{array}
\]

(Note that \(\dim \tilde{g} = \dim g\).)

(d) (smooth pushforward and proper pullback “commute” in the following sense) For the following fiber square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y'' \\
\tilde{g} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}
\]

with \(f\) proper and \(g\) smooth, we have

\[
(\alpha \ast g)^* f = (\alpha \ast \tilde{f}) \ast \tilde{g},
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}^i(X, Y'') & \xrightarrow{\ast g} & \mathcal{X}^{i+\dim}(X, Y) \\
\downarrow \ast \tilde{f} & & \downarrow \ast f
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}^i(X, \tilde{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\ast \tilde{g}} & \mathcal{X}^{i+\dim g}(X, Y').
\end{array}
\]

(Note that \(\dim \tilde{g} = \dim g\).)

(A₁₂₃) “Projection formula”:
(a) For a smooth morphism \( g : Y \to Y' \) and \( \alpha \in \mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{L}^j(Y',Z) \),

\[
(\alpha \cdot g) \cdot \beta = \alpha \cdot g^* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{L}^{i+j+\dim g(X,Z)}),
\]

i.e., the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{L}^j(Y',Z) & \xrightarrow{id,\mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \otimes g^*} & \mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{j+\dim g}(Y,Z) \\
\star g \otimes id_{\mathcal{L}^j(Y,Z)} & \downarrow & \\
\mathcal{L}^{i+\dim g}(X,Y') \otimes \mathcal{L}^j(Y',Z) & \xrightarrow{\cdot} & \mathcal{L}^{i+j+\dim g}(X,Z).
\end{array}
\]

(b) For a proper map \( g : Y' \to Y \), \( \alpha \in \mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{L}^j(Y',Z) \),

\[
(\alpha \cdot g) \cdot \beta = \alpha \cdot g_* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{L}^{i+j}(X,Z)),
\]

the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{L}^j(Y',Z) & \xrightarrow{id,\mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \otimes g_*} & \mathcal{L}^i(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{L}^j(Y,Z) \\
\star g \otimes id_{\mathcal{L}^j(Y,Z)} & \downarrow & \\
\mathcal{L}^{i+j}(X,Y') \otimes \mathcal{L}^j(Y',Z) & \xrightarrow{\cdot} & \mathcal{L}^{i+j}(X,Z).
\end{array}
\]

**Remark 5.8.** \( \mathbb{1}_X := [X \xrightarrow{id_X} X \xleftarrow{id_X} X] \in \mathcal{L}^0(X,X) \) satisfies that \( \mathbb{1}_X \cdot \alpha = \alpha \) for any element \( \alpha \in \mathcal{L}^+(X,Y) \) and \( \beta \cdot \mathbb{1}_X = \beta \) for any element \( \beta \in \mathcal{L}^+(Y,X) \).

The following fact is emphasized for a later use.

**Lemma 5.9.** (Pushforward-Product Property for Units (abbr. PPPU)) For the following fiber square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xleftarrow{\bar{p}} & V \\
\downarrow \bar{s} & & \downarrow \tilde{s} \\
Y & \xleftarrow{p} & W
\end{array}
\]

with \( s : V \to Y \) smooth and \( p : W \to Y \) proper, we have

\[
(\mathbb{1}_V \cdot s) \cdot p_* \mathbb{1}_W = (\bar{p} \cdot s \mathbb{1}_{V \times Y} W) \cdot \bar{s} = \bar{p}(\mathbb{1}_{V \times Y} W \cdot \tilde{s}) \in \mathcal{L}^+(V,W).
\]

Here we have the homomorphisms

\[s : \mathcal{L}^*(V) \to \mathcal{L}^*(V,Y), \quad p_* : \mathcal{L}^*(W) \to \mathcal{L}^*(Y,W)\]
and the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Z}^*(V \times_Y W, V \times_Y W) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_*} & \mathcal{Z}^*(V \times_Y W)
\
\mathcal{Z}^*(V, V \times_Y W) & \xrightarrow{\ast \tilde{s}} & \mathcal{Z}^*(V \times_Y W, W)
\
\mathcal{Z}^*(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\ast s} & \mathcal{Z}^*(V, W).
\end{array}
\]

In the same way as in Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism, we define Chern operators as follows:

**Definition 5.10.** For a line bundle \(L\) over \(X\) or a line bundle \(M\) over \(Y\), we first define the following Chern classes:

\[
c_1(L) := [X \xleftarrow{id_X} X \xrightarrow{id_X} X; L] \in \mathcal{Z}^1(X, X),
\]

\[
c_1(M) := [Y \xleftarrow{id_Y} Y \xrightarrow{id_Y} Y; M] \in \mathcal{Z}^1(Y, Y).
\]

Then the “Chern class operators”

\[
c_1(L) \ast : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}(X, Y), \quad \ast c_1(M) : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}(X, Y)
\]

are respectively defined by

\[
c_1(L) \ast ([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r]) = [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r, p^*L],
\]

\[
([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r]) \ast c_1(M) = [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r, s^*M].
\]

**Lemma 5.11.** The above Chern class operators satisfy the following properties.

1. (identity): If \(L\) and \(L'\) are line bundles over \(X\) and isomorphic and if \(M\) and \(M'\) are line bundles over \(Y\) and isomorphic, then we have

\[
c_1(L) \ast = c_1(L') \ast : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}(X, Y),
\]

\[
\ast c_1(M) = \ast c_1(M') : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}(X, Y).
\]

2. (commutativity): If \(L\) and \(L'\) are line bundles over \(X\) and if \(M\) and \(M'\) are line bundles over \(Y\), then we have

\[
c_1(L) \ast c_1(L') = c_1(L') \ast c_1(L) \ast : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+2}(X, Y),
\]

\[
\ast c_1(M) \ast c_1(M') = \ast c_1(M') \ast c_1(M) : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+2}(X, Y).
\]

3. (compatibility with product) Let \(L\) be a line bundle over \(X\) and \(N\) be a line bundle over \(Z\). For \(\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y)\) and \(\beta \in \mathcal{Z}^j(Y, Z)\), we have

\[
c_1(L) \ast (\alpha \ast \beta) = \left(c_1(L) \ast \alpha\right) \ast \beta,
\]

\[
(\alpha \ast \beta) \ast c_1(N) = \alpha \ast \left(\beta \ast c_1(N)\right).
\]
(4) \textit{(compatibility with pushforward = “projection formula”) (which is “similar” to [11, Theorem 3.2, (c) (Projection formula))}: For a proper map $f : X \to X'$ and a line bundle $L$ over $X'$ and for a smooth map $g : Y \to Y'$ and a line bundle $M$ over $Y'$ we have that for $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y)$
$$f_*\left(c_1(f^*L) \cdot \alpha) = c_1(L) \cdot f_*\alpha, \quad (\alpha \cdot c_1(g^*M)) \cdot g = \alpha \cdot g \cdot c_1(M). \right.$$ 

(5) \textit{(compatibility with pullback = “pullback formula”) (which is “similar” to [11, Theorem 3.2, (d) (Pull-back)): For a smooth map $f : X' \to X$ and a line bundle $L$ over $X$ and for a proper map $g : Y' \to Y$ and a line bundle $M$ over $Y$ we have that for $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y)$
$$f^*(c_1(L) \cdot \alpha) = c_1(f^*L) \cdot f^*\alpha, \quad (\alpha \cdot c_1(M))^*g = \alpha^*g \cdot c_1(g^*M). \right.$$ 

\textbf{Proof.} We show only (4) and (5). First we observe that as to (4)
$$f_*c_1(f^*L) = c_1(L)^*f, \quad c_1(g^*M)^*g = g^*c_1(M), \quad (5.12)$$
and as to (5)
$$c_1(f^*L)^*f = f^*c_1(L), \quad c_1(g^*M)^*g = c_1(M)^*g. \quad (5.13)$$
Indeed, the first one of (5.12) can be seen as follows:
$$f_*c_1(f^*L) = f_*\left([X \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X' \xrightarrow{f} f^*L]\right) = [X' \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{X'}} X' \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{X'}} X' \xrightarrow{f} f^*L] \quad \text{(by the definition of } *f\text{)} \quad = c_1(L)^*f.$$

Similarly, we can see the other three equalities which are left to the reader. As to (4), we show the first one:
$$f_*\left(c_1(f^*L) \cdot \alpha) = f_*c_1(f^*L) \cdot \alpha \quad \text{(by } A_{12} \text{ (a)}) \right.$$
$$= c_1(L)^*f \cdot \alpha \quad \text{(by } A_{12}\text{)} \right.$$
$$= c_1(L) \cdot f_*\alpha. \quad \text{(by } A_{123} \text{(Projection formula) (a)}) \right.$$

As to (5), we show the second one:
$$\alpha \cdot c_1(M) \cdot g = \alpha \cdot c_1(M) \cdot g \quad \text{(by } A_{13} \text{ (b)}) \right.$$
$$\alpha \cdot c_1(g^*M) \cdot g \quad \text{(by } A_{13}\text{)} \right.$$
$$= \alpha \cdot c_1(g^*M). \quad \text{(by } A_{123} \text{(Projection formula) (b)}) \right.$$ 

\hfill \square

As to the compatibility with pullback, we observe the following fact concerning the unit:

\textbf{Lemma 5.14} \textit{(Pullback Property for Unit (abbr. PPU)). For a smooth map $f : X' \to X$ and a line bundle $L$ over $X$ and for a proper map $g : Y' \to Y$ and a line bundle $M$ over $Y$ we have that for $\mathbb{1}_X \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X,X)$ and $\mathbb{1}_Y \in \mathcal{Z}^i(Y,Y)$
$$c_1(f^*L) \cdot (f^*\mathbb{1}_X) = (f^*\mathbb{1}_X) \cdot c_1(L) \in \mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim f+1}(X',X),$$.}
Over Corollary 5.15 (Commutativity of the unit and Chern class) which is simply denoted by $c$.

Thus, we obtain $c_1^\otimes$.

**Remark 5.16.** The following observations play key roles later. Let $L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r$ be line bundles over $V$. Then it follows from Lemma 5.11 (3) that

$$c_1(L_1) \cdot \left( c_1(L_2) \cdot \left( \cdots \cdot (c_1(L_r) \cdot \alpha) \cdots \right) \right) = \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot c_1(L_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \right) \cdot \alpha,$$

which is simply denoted by $c_1(L_1) \cdot c_1(L_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot \alpha$. Then it follows from the definitions that we have

$$[V \leftarrow \text{id}_V V \rightarrow V; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r] = c_1(L_1) \cdot c_1(L_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{I}_V,$$

$$[V \leftarrow \text{id}_V V \rightarrow V; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r] = \mathbb{I}_V \cdot c_1(L_1) \cdot c_1(L_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r).$$

In fact, it follows from Corollary 5.15 that $\mathbb{I}_V$ can be placed at any place:

$$[V \leftarrow \text{id}_V V \rightarrow V; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r] = c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_j) \cdot \mathbb{I}_V \cdot c_1(L_{j+1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r).$$
Hence, we have
\[ [X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{\delta} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r] = p_* \left( c_1(L_1) \bullet c_1(L_2) \bullet \cdots \bullet c_1(L_r) \bullet \mathbb{I}_V \right) \ast s, \]
\[ [X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{\delta} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r] = p_* \left( \mathbb{I}_V \bullet c_1(L_1) \bullet (L_2) \bullet \cdots \bullet c_1(L_r) \right) \ast s, \]
and in general,
\[ [X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{\delta} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r] = p_* \left( c_1(L_1) \bullet \cdots \bullet c_1(L_j) \bullet \mathbb{I}_V \bullet c_1(L_{j+1}) \bullet \cdots \bullet c_1(L_r) \right) \ast s. \]  

(5.17)

**Definition 5.18** (Bi-variant theory). An association \( \mathcal{B} \) assigning to a pair \((X, Y)\) a graded abelian group \( \mathcal{B}^*(X, Y) \) is called a bi-variant theory provided that

(1) it is equipped with the following three operations

1. (Product) \( \bullet : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \times \mathcal{B}^j(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{i+j}(X, Z) \)
2. (Pushforward)
   a. For a proper map \( f : X \rightarrow X' \), \( f_* : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^i(X', Y). \)
   b. For a smooth map \( g : Y \rightarrow Y' \), \( *g : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{i+\text{dim } g}(X, Y'). \)
3. (Pullback)
   a. For a smooth map \( f : X' \rightarrow X \), \( f^* : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{i+\text{dim } f}(X', Y). \)
   b. For a proper map \( g : Y' \rightarrow Y \), \( *g : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y'). \)

(2) the three operations satisfy the following nine properties as in Proposition 5.7:

1. (A1) Product is associative.
2. (A2) Pushforward is functorial. ((a), (b))
3. (A2') Proper pushforward and smooth pushforward commute.
4. (A3) Pullback is functorial. ((a), (b))
5. (A3') Proper pullback and smooth pullback commute.
6. (A12) Product and pushforward commute. ((a), (b))
7. (A13) Product and pullback commute. ((a), (b))
8. (A23) Pushforward and pullback commute. ((a), (b), (c), (d))
9. (A123) Projection formula. ((a), (b))

(3) \( \mathcal{B} \) has units, i.e., there is an element \( 1_X \in \mathcal{B}^0(X, X) \) such that \( 1_X \bullet \alpha = \alpha \) for any element \( \alpha \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y) \) and \( \beta \bullet 1_X = \beta \) for any element \( \beta \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X) \).

(4) \( \mathcal{B} \) satisfies PPPU and PPU.

(5) \( \mathcal{B} \) is equipped with the Chern class operators satisfying the properties in Lemma 5.11.

**Definition 5.19.** Let \( \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}' \) be two bi-variant theories on a category \( \mathcal{V} \). A Grothendieck transformation from \( \mathcal{B} \) to \( \mathcal{B}' \), \( \gamma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}' \) is a collection of homomorphisms \( \mathcal{B}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'(X, Y) \) for a pair \((X, Y)\) in the category \( \mathcal{V} \), which preserves the above three basic operations and the Chern class operator:

1. \( \gamma(\alpha \bullet_{\mathcal{B}} \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathcal{B}'} \gamma(\beta), \)
2. \( \gamma(f_* \alpha) = f_* \gamma(\alpha) \text{ and } \gamma(\alpha * g) = \gamma(\alpha) * g, \)
3. \( \gamma(g^* \alpha) = g^* \gamma(\alpha) \text{ and } \gamma(\alpha^{*f}) = \gamma(\alpha)^* f, \)
4. \( \gamma(c_1(L) \bullet \alpha) = c_1(L) \bullet_{\mathcal{B}} \gamma(\alpha) \text{ and } \gamma(\alpha \bullet c_1(M)) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathcal{B}} c_1(M). \)
Theorem 5.20. The above $\mathcal{Z}^*(-,-)$ is the universal one among bi-variant theories in the following sense. Given any bi-variant theory $\mathcal{B}^*(-,-)$, there exists a unique Grothendieck transformation

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{Z}^*(-,-) \to \mathcal{B}^*(-,-)$$

such that $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{1}_V) = 1_V \in \mathcal{B}(V,V)$ for any variety $V$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bi-variant theory. From now on we just simply write $\gamma$ for $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\bullet$ for $\bullet_{\mathcal{B}}$ unless some possible confusion with those for the theory $\mathcal{Z}^*$. Then, using the observation (5.17) made in Remark 5.16, we define

$$\gamma : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{B}^i(X,Y)$$

by, for $[X \overset{p_1}{\to} V \overset{\alpha}{\to} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r] \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y)$,

$$\gamma([X \overset{p_1}{\to} V \overset{\alpha}{\to} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r]) = \gamma \left( p_\alpha \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_j) \bullet \mathbb{1}_V \bullet c_1(L_{j+1}) \bullet \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \right) \right)$$

We show that this transformation satisfies the above four properties:

1. $\gamma(\alpha \bullet \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet \gamma(\beta)$;
2. Let $\alpha = [X \overset{p_1}{\to} V_1 \overset{s_1}{\to} Y; L_1, \cdots, L_r] \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y)$,
3. $\beta = [Y \overset{p_2}{\to} V_2 \overset{s_2}{\to} Z; M_1, \cdots, M_k] \in \mathcal{Z}^j(Y,Z)$.

Then, by the definition, we have

$$[X \overset{p_1}{\to} V_1 \overset{s_1}{\to} Y; L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_r] \bullet [Y \overset{p_2}{\to} V_2 \overset{s_2}{\to} Z; M_1, M_2, \cdots, M_k]$$

$$= [(X \overset{p_1}{\to} V_1 \overset{s_1}{\to} Y) \circ (Y \overset{p_2}{\to} V_2 \overset{s_2}{\to} Z); \tilde{p}_2^*L_1, \cdots, \tilde{p}_2^*L_r, \tilde{s}_1^*M_1, \cdots, \tilde{s}_1^*M_k]$$

$$= [X \overset{p_1 \circ p_2}{\to} V_1 \times_Y V_2 \overset{s_2 \circ s_1}{\to} Z; \tilde{p}_2^*L_1, \cdots, \tilde{p}_2^*L_r, \tilde{s}_1^*M_1, \cdots, \tilde{s}_1^*M_k].$$

Hence, we have

$$\gamma(\alpha \bullet \beta)$$

$$= \gamma([X \overset{p_1 \circ p_2}{\to} V_1 \times_Y V_2 \overset{s_2 \circ s_1}{\to} Z; \tilde{p}_2^*L_1, \cdots, \tilde{p}_2^*L_r, \tilde{s}_1^*M_1, \cdots, \tilde{s}_1^*M_k])$$
Then, by applying the property (4) of Lemma 5.11 successively with respect to line bundles $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ and $M_1, \ldots, M_k$, we get

\[
(\tilde{p}_2)_* \left( c_1(\tilde{p}_2^* L_1) \cdots c_1(\tilde{p}_2^* L_r) \cdot 1_{V_1 \times Y V_2} \cdot c_1(\tilde{s}_1^* M_1) \cdots c_1(\tilde{s}_1^* M_k) \right)_*(s_2)
\]

\[
= c_1(1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot (\tilde{p}_2)_* 1_{V_1 \times Y V_2} \cdot c_1(\tilde{s}_1^* M_1) \cdots c_1(\tilde{s}_1^* M_k) \cdot c_1(1) \cdots c_1(1).
\]

Here it follows from Lemma 5.9 (PPPU) that we have

\[
(\tilde{p}_2)_* 1_{V_1 \times Y V_2} \cdot (s_1) = 1_{V_1} \cdot (p_2)_* 1_{V_2}.
\]

Hence, the above equalities continue as follows:

\[
= (p_1)_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_{V_1} \cdot (s_1) \cdot (p_2)_* 1_{V_2} \cdot c_1(M_1) \cdots c_1(M_k) \right)_*(s_2)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_2)}{=}
(p_1)_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_{V_1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot\right.
\]

\[
\left. \cdot (p_2)_* 1_{V_2} \cdot c_1(M_1) \cdots c_1(M_k) \right)_*(s_2)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_{12})^a}{=}
(p_1)_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_{V_1} \cdot (s_1) \right)
\]

\[
\left. \cdot \left( (p_2)_* 1_{V_2} \cdot c_1(M_1) \cdots c_1(M_k) \right) \right)_*(s_2)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_{12})^b}{=}
(p_1)_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_{V_1} \cdot (s_1) \right)
\]

\[
\left. \cdot \left( (p_2)_* 1_{V_2} \cdot c_1(M_1) \cdots c_1(M_k) \right) \right)_*(s_2)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_{12})^c}{=}
(p_1)_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_{V_1} \cdot (s_1) \right)
\]

\[
\left. \cdot \left( (p_2)_* 1_{V_2} \cdot c_1(M_1) \cdots c_1(M_k) \right) \right)_*(s_2)
\]
\[ \gamma([X \xrightarrow{p} V_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r]) \cdot \gamma([Y \xrightarrow{p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Z; M_1, \ldots, M_k]) \]
\[ = \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\beta). \]

Hence, we have \( \gamma(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\beta) \).

(2) \( \gamma(f_\ast \alpha) = f_\ast \gamma(\alpha) \) and \( \gamma(\alpha \ast f) = \gamma(\alpha) \ast f \):

Let \( \alpha = [X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r] \in \mathcal{P}^r(X, Y) \).

(i) \( \gamma(f_\ast \alpha) = f_\ast \gamma(\alpha) \): Let \( f : X \to X' \) be a proper map. Then we have

\[ \gamma(f_\ast \alpha) = \gamma(X' \xleftarrow{f^{\text{op}}} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r) \]
\[ = \gamma((f \circ p)_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{I}_V) \ast s) \]
\[ = (f \circ p)_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{1}_V) \ast s \]  
\[ \xrightarrow{(\text{A2})} f_\ast p_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{1}_V) \ast s \]
\[ \xrightarrow{(\text{A2})'} f_\ast \left( p_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{1}_V) \ast s \right) \]
\[ = f_\ast \gamma([X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r]) \]
\[ = f_\ast \gamma(\alpha). \]

(ii) \( \gamma(\alpha \ast f) = \gamma(\alpha) \ast f \): Let \( f : Y \to Y' \) be a smooth map. Then we have

\[ \gamma(\alpha \ast f) = \gamma([X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{f \circ s} Y'; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r]) \]
\[ = \gamma(p_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{I}_V) \ast (f \circ s)) \]
\[ = p_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{1}_V) \ast (f \circ s) \]  
\[ \xrightarrow{(\text{A2})} p_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{1}_V) \ast s \ast f \]
\[ \xrightarrow{(\text{A2})'} \left( p_\ast (c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \mathbb{1}_V) \ast s \right) \ast f \]
\[ = \gamma([X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r]) \ast f \]
\[ = \gamma(\alpha) \ast f. \]

(3) \( \gamma(f^\ast \alpha) = f^\ast \gamma(\alpha) \) and \( \gamma(\alpha \ast f) = \gamma(\alpha) \ast f \):

Let \( \alpha = [X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r] \in \mathcal{P}^r(X, Y) \).

(i) \( \gamma(f^\ast \alpha) = f^\ast \gamma(\alpha) \): Let \( f : X' \to X \) be a smooth map and consider the following diagram:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
X' \times_X V & \xrightarrow{f'} & V \\
\downarrow{p'} & & \downarrow{s} \\
X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
\end{array} \]

and \( Y \).
By applying the property (4) of Lemma 5.11 successively with respect to line bundles \( L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r \), the above equalities continue as follows:

\[
\gamma(f^*\alpha) = \gamma([X' \xrightarrow{p'} X' \times_X V \xrightarrow{s \circ f'} Y; (f')^*L_1, (f')^*L_2, \ldots, (f')^*L_r])
\]

\[
= \gamma\left( (p')_* \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= (p')_* \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( (p')_* \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

By applying the property (4) of Lemma 5.11 successively with respect to line bundles \( L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r \), the above equalities continue as follows:

\[
= \left( (p')_* \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

By applying the property (4) of Lemma 5.11 successively with respect to line bundles \( L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r \), the above equalities continue as follows:

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

Here we note that

\[
(p')_* \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) = \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right)
\]

Thus the above equalities continue as follows:

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

Here we note that in fact we can show the above “indirectly”, using Lemma 5.14 (PPU) and Lemma 5.11 (5) as well:

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{X' \times_X V} \cdot c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \right) (s \circ f')
\]
\( \gamma(f) = \gamma([X \xrightarrow{p \circ f} X' \times_X V \xrightarrow{s'} Y' \mid (f')^*L_1, (f')^*L_2, \ldots, (f')^*L_r]) \)

\( = (p \circ f')_*\left(c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \cdot 1_{V \times_X Y'}\right) * (s') \)

\( \overset{(A_2)}{=} p_*(f')_*\left(c_1((f')^*L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1((f')^*L_r) \cdot 1_{V \times_X Y'}\right) * (s') \).
By applying the property (4) of Lemma 5.11 successively with respect to line bundles \( L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r \), the above equalities continue as follows:

\[
= p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot (f')_\ast 1_{V \times Y'} s \right)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_{12})}{=} p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot (f')_\ast 1_{V \times Y'} s \right).
\]

Here it follows from Lemma 5.9 (PPPU)) that we have

\[
(f')_\ast 1_{V \times Y'} = 1_V s \bullet f_\ast 1_{Y'}.
\]

Thus, the above equalities continue as follows:

\[
= p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot (1_V s \bullet f_\ast 1_{Y'}) \right)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_{123})}{=} p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot (1_V s^* f \bullet 1_{Y'}) \right)
\]

\[
= p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V s^* f \right) \quad (\text{since } 1_{Y'} \text{ is the unit})
\]

\[
\overset{(A_{13})}{=} p_\ast \left( (c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V s)^* f \right)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_2')}{=} \left( p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V s \right) \right)^* f
\]

\[
= \left( p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V s \right) \right)^* f
\]

\[
= \gamma(\alpha)^* f.
\]

As done for another proof of (i) using using Lemma 5.14 (PPU) and Lemma 5.11 (5), we can show the above in a similar way. For the sake of the reader we write down the proof.

\[
= p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_{r-1}) \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V s^* f \right)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_{23})}{=} p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_{r-1}) \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V (s')^* (f') \right)
\]

\[
\overset{(A_2')}{=} p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_{r-1}) \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V (s')^* \right)^* (f')
\]

\[
= p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_{r-1}) \cdot 1_V (s')^* c_1((s')^* L_r) \right)^* (f')
\]

(by applying (PPU) for \( L_r \))

\[
= p_\ast \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot 1_V (s')^* c_1((s')^* L_{r-1}) \cdot c_1((s')^* L_r) \right)^* (f')
\]

(by applying (PPU) for \( L_{r-1} \))

\[
= p_\ast \left( 1_V (s')^* c_1((s')^* L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1((s')^* L_r) \right)^* (f')
\]

(by applying (PPU) for the rest)

\[
= p_\ast \left( (1_V \cdot c_1(L_1))^* (s')^* \cdots \cdot c_1((s')^* L_r) \right)^* (f')
\]

(by applying Lemma 5.11 (5) for \( L_1 \))
γ(c_1(L) • α) = c_1(L) • γ(α) and γ(α • c_1(M)) = γ(α) • c_1(M): Let α = [X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r] \in \mathcal{D}^i(X, Y).

(i) γ(c_1(L) • α) = c_1(L) • γ(α): Let L be a line bundle over X. Then we have

\[
\gamma(c_1(L) \cdot \alpha) = \gamma([X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r, p^*L])
= p_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot c_1(p^*L) \cdot 1_V \right) \ast s
\]
(Lemma 5.11 (2))

\[
= p_* \left( c_1(p^*L) \cdot c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V \right) \ast s
\]

\[
= \left( p_* \left( c_1(p^*L) \cdot c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V \right) \right) \ast s
\]
(Lemma 5.11 (4))

\[
= c_1(L) \cdot \left( p_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V \right) \right) \ast s
\]

\[
= c_1(L) \cdot \gamma(\alpha).
\]

(ii) γ(α • c_1(M)) = γ(α) • c_1(M): Let M be a line bundle over Y. Then we have

\[
\gamma(\alpha \cdot c_1(M)) = \gamma([X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r, s^*M])
= p_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V \cdot c_1(s^*M) \right) \ast s \quad (\text{see Remark 5.16})
\]

\[
\stackrel{(A_2')}{=} p_* \left( \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V \cdot c_1(s^*M) \right) \ast s \right)
\]
(Lemma 5.11 (4))

\[
= p_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V \right) \ast s \cdot c_1(M)
\]

\[
\stackrel{(A_{12})}{=} \left( p_* \left( c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot c_1(L_r) \cdot 1_V \right) \ast s \right) \cdot c_1(M)
\]

\[
= \gamma(\alpha) \cdot c_1(M).
\]

(5) The uniqueness of the Grothendieck transformation γ : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} follows from the compatibility of pushforward and the Chern class operator and the requirement that the unit is mapped to the unit. Indeed, let γ' : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} be a such a
Grothendieck transformation. Then we have
\[
\gamma'(\alpha) = \gamma'([X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y; L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r])
\]
\[
= \gamma'\left(p_*\left(c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \llcorner V \lrcorner\right)_s\right)
\]
\[
= p_*\left(c_1(L_1) \cdot \cdots c_1(L_r) \cdot \gamma'(\llcorner V \lrcorner)_s\right)
\]
\[
= \gamma_B(\alpha).
\]
□

**Remark 5.22.** The “forget” map defined in (1.2) gives rise to the following canonical homomorphism
\[
f : \mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \to \mathcal{Z}(X, Y)
\]
defined by
\[
f([V \xrightarrow{p} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r]) := [X \xrightarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{f_{\text{op}}} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r].
\]
Then it follows from the definitions that the following diagrams are commutative:

1. As to the product \(\bullet\):
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z) \\
\downarrow f \otimes f & & \downarrow f \\
\mathcal{Z}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(Y, Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(X, Z).
\end{array}
\]

2. As to the pushforward:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \\
\downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f \\
\mathcal{Z}(X, Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(Y, Z).
\end{array}
\]

3. As to the Chern class operator:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{OM}_{sm}^\text{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
\mathcal{Z}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(X, Y).
\end{array}
\]

As to the pullback we cannot expect a canonical commutative diagram. Indeed we consider the following fiber square
Then we have the following diagram, which does not necessarily commute:

\[
\bigoplus_{\text{sm}} \text{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \xrightarrow{g^*} \bigoplus_{\text{sm}} \text{prop}(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')
\]

\[
(f \circ g^*([V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r])
= f([V' \xrightarrow{h'} X'; (g'')^* L_1, \ldots, (g'')^* L_r])
= [X' \xleftarrow{h'} V' \xrightarrow{f' \circ h'} Y'; (g'')^* L_1, \ldots, (g'')^* L_r]).
\]

\[
\left(( (g')^* \circ (g^*)) \circ f \right)([V \xrightarrow{h} X; L_1, \ldots, L_r])
= ( (g')^* \circ (g^*) ([X \xrightarrow{h} V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r])
= (g')^*([X \xrightarrow{h} V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y; L_1, \ldots, L_r])^* g
= [X' \xleftarrow{h' \circ g''} V' \times_V V' \xrightarrow{f' \circ h' \circ g''} Y'; (g'')^* (g'')^* L_1, \ldots, (g'')^* (g'')^* L_r]).
\]

Here we consider the following fiber square:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
V' & \xrightarrow{g''} & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
V' & \xleftarrow{g''} & V.
\end{array}
\]

Thus, in general, we have that \( f \circ g^* \neq ((g')^* \circ (g^*)) \circ f \) in the above diagram (5.23).

For the sake of convenience, we list the properties for \( \mathcal{X}^*(X, Y) \) with \( Y \) fixed and \( \mathcal{X}^*(X, Y) \) with \( X \) fixed. Note that to emphasize the target \( Y \) or the source \( X \) being fixed we denote them in bold, \( Y \) and \( X \), respectively.

**Proposition 5.24.**

1. \( \mathcal{X}^*(X, Y) \):
(a) (Proper pushforward is covariantly functorial): For two proper maps \( f_1 : X \to X', f_2 : X' \to X'' \), \((f_1)_* : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^i(X', Y)\), we have \((f_2)_* \circ (f_1)_* = (f_2 \circ f_1)_*\).

(b) (Smooth pullback is contravariantly functorial): For two smooth maps \( f_1 : X \to X', f_2 : X' \to X'' \), we have \( (f_1)^* : \mathcal{Z}^i(X', Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y)\) and \( (f_2 \circ f_1)^* = (f_1)^* \circ (f_2)^*\).

(c) For a line bundle \( L \) over \( X \) we have the Chern operator:
\[ c_1(L) \cdot : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+1}(X, Y). \]
Moreover, if \( L \) and \( L' \) are isomorphic, then \( c_1(L) \cdot = c_1(L') \cdot \).

(d) (Proper pushforward and smooth pullback commute)
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & X'' \\
\tilde{g} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X
\end{array}
\]
with \( f \) proper and \( g \) smooth, we have
\[ g^* f_* = \tilde{f}^* \tilde{g}^*, \]
i.e., the following diagram commutes:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Z}^i(X', Y) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \\
\tilde{g}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow g^* \\
\mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim g} \left(\tilde{X}, Y\right) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{Z}^{i+\dim g} \left(X'' , Y\right).
\end{array}
\]
(Note that \( \dim \tilde{g} = \dim g \).)

(e) (compatibility with proper pushforward ("projection formula"): For a proper map \( f : X \to X' \) and a line bundle \( L \) over \( X' \), we have that for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \)
\[ f_* \left( c_1(f^* L) \cdot \alpha \right) = c_1(L) \cdot f_* \alpha. \]

(f) (compatibility with smooth pullback ("pullback formula"): For a smooth map \( f : X' \to X \) and a line bundle \( L \) over \( X \), we have that for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \)
\[ f^* \left( c_1(L) \cdot \alpha \right) = c_1(f^* L) \cdot f^* \alpha. \]

(g) (commutativity): If \( L \) and \( L' \) are line bundles over \( X \), then we have \( c_1(L) \cdot c_1(L') = c_1(L') \cdot c_1(L) \cdot : \mathcal{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+2}(X, Y), \)
(2) $\mathscr{Z}^*(X, Y)$:

(a) (Smooth pushforward is covariantly functorial): For two smooth maps $g_1 : Y \to Y', g_2 : Y' \to Y''$, we have
\[ *(g_1) : \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathscr{Z}^{i+\dim g_1}(X, Y'), \]
\[ *(g_2) : \mathscr{Z}^{i+\dim g_1}(X, Y') \to \mathscr{Z}^{i+\dim g_1+\dim g_2}(X, Y, Y'') \]
and
\[ *(g_2 \circ g_1) = *(g_1) \circ *(g_2). \]

(b) (Proper pullback is contravariantly functorial): For two proper maps $g_1 : Y \to Y', g_2 : Y' \to Y''$ and $*(g_1) : \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y')$ and $*(g_2) : \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y'') \to \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y')$
\[ *(g_2 \circ g_1) = *(g_2) \circ *(g_1). \]

c) For a line bundle $M$ over $Y$ we have the Chern operator:
\[ \bullet c_1(M) : \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y) \to \mathscr{Z}^{i+1}(X, Y). \]
Moreover, if $M$ and $M'$ are isomorphic, then $\bullet c_1(M) = \bullet c_1(L')$.

d) (Smooth pushforward and proper pullback commute)
\[ \tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} Y'' \]
\[ \tilde{g} \downarrow \quad \downarrow g \]
\[ Y' \xrightarrow{f} Y \]

with $f$ proper and $g$ smooth, we have
\[ *f \circ g = *\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{f}, \]
i.e., the following diagram commutes:
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y'') & \xrightarrow{\circ g} & \mathscr{Z}^{i+\dim g}(X, Y) \\
\circ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow \circ f \\
\mathscr{Z}^i(X, \tilde{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\circ \tilde{g}} & \mathscr{Z}^{i+\dim \tilde{g}}(X, Y').
\end{array} \]
(Note that $\dim \tilde{g} = \dim g$.)

e) (Compatibility with smooth pushforward (“projection formula”)): For a smooth map $g : Y \to Y'$ and a line bundle $M$ over $Y'$ we have that for $\alpha \in \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y)$
\[ \left( \alpha \bullet c_1(g^*M) \right) \circ g = \alpha \circ g \bullet c_1(M). \]

f) (Compatibility with proper pullback (“pullback formula”)): For a proper map $g : Y' \to Y$ and a line bundle $M$ over $Y$ we have that for $\alpha \in \mathscr{Z}^i(X, Y)$
\[ \left( \alpha \bullet c_1(M) \right) \circ g = \alpha \circ g \bullet c_1(g^*M). \]
(g) (commutativity): If $M$ and $M'$ are line bundles over $Y$, then we have

$$\bullet c_1(M) \bullet c_1(M') = \bullet c_1(M') \bullet c_1(M) : \mathcal{Z}^i(X,Y) \to \mathcal{Z}^{i+2}(X,Y),$$
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