Unique Predictors of Mortality in Patients With Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension Associated With Systemic Sclerosis in the REVEAL Registry
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BACKGROUND: Patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) associated with systemic sclerosis (SSc-APAH) experience higher mortality rates than patients with idiopathic disease and those with other connective tissue diseases (CTD-APAH). We sought to identify unique predictors of mortality associated with SSc-APAH in the CTD-APAH population.

METHODS: The Registry to Evaluate Early and Long-Term PAH Management (REVEAL Registry) is a multicenter, prospective US-based registry of patients with previously and newly diagnosed (enrollment within 90 days of diagnostic right-sided heart catheterization) PAH. Cox regression models evaluated all previously identified candidate predictors of mortality in the overall REVEAL Registry population to identify significant predictors of mortality in the SSc-APAH (n = 500) vs non-SSc-CTD-APAH (n = 304) populations.

RESULTS: Three-year survival rates in the previously diagnosed and newly diagnosed SSc-APAH group were 61.4% ± 2.7% and 51.2% ± 4.0%, respectively, compared with 80.9% ± 2.7% and 76.4% ± 4.6%, respectively, in the non-SSc-CTD-APAH group (P < .001). In multivariate analyses, men aged > 60 years, systolic BP (SBP) ≤ 110 mm Hg, 6-min walk distance (6MWD) < 165 m, mean right atrial pressure (mRAP) > 20 mm Hg within 1 year, and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) > 32 Wood units remained unique predictors of mortality in the SSc-APAH group; 6MWD < 440 m was protective in the non-SSc-CTD-APAH group, but not the SSc-APAH group.

CONCLUSIONS: Patients with SSc-APAH have higher mortality rates than patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH. Identifying patients with SSc-APAH who are at a particularly high risk of death, including elderly men and patients with low baseline SBP or 6MWD, or markedly elevated mRAP or PVR, will enable physicians to identify patients who may benefit from closer monitoring and more aggressive treatment.

TRIAL REGISTRY: ClinicalTrials.gov; No.: NCT00370214; URL: www.clinicaltrials.gov

CHEST 2014; 146(6): 1494 - 1504

Manuscript received December 20, 2013; revision accepted June 2, 2014; originally published Online First July 3, 2014.
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Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a rare complication in patients with connective tissue diseases (CTDs), and it is associated with high mortality rates, particularly in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc). Studies have shown that patients with CTD-associated PAH (CTD-APAH) experience poorer survival compared with patients with idiopathic PAH (IPAH). In addition, despite similar baseline hemodynamics, patients with PAH associated with SSc (SSc-APAH) have the poorest survival rates when compared with other CTD-APAH subgroups, including patients with systemic lupus erythematosus, mixed CTD, and rheumatoid arthritis, in both incident and prevalent populations.

Risk score calculators have been developed for patients with PAH as a whole, incorporating variables predictive of high mortality, including World Health Organization (WHO) group 1 subgroup, age, sex, New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class (FC), vital signs, 6-min walk distance (6MWD), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) level, presence of pericardial effusion, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO), and baseline hemodynamic variables such as mean right atrial pressure (mRAP), pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR), and cardiac output. A study focusing on the CTD-APAH population found that higher mRAP, lower 6MWD, higher FC, and the presence of a pericardial effusion were predictive of death. In contrast, studies including patients with SSc-APAH alone have identified male sex, lower DLCO, older age, and FC IV status as independent predictors of death. No studies have evaluated a large cohort of patients with CTD-APAH to identify unique predictors of mortality in patients with SSc-APAH. We sought to use the large Registry to Evaluate Early and Long-Term PAH Management (REVEAL Registry) cohort of patients with CTD-APAH to identify unique predictors of mortality in the patients with SSc-APAH compared with patients with CTD other than SSc (non-SSc-CTD)-APAH that may account for the mortality differences between these groups.

**Materials and Methods**

**REVEAL Registry**
The REVEAL Registry is a longitudinal registry involving 54 pulmonary hypertension centers in the United States (e-Appendix 1). Each participating center obtained institutional review board approval prior to patient enrollment. The design and objectives of the REVEAL Registry are described elsewhere. All patients provided informed consent prior to enrollment, and “enrollment” was defined as the date consent was given. “Diagnosis” was defined as the date of diagnostic right-sided heart catheterization (RHC) occurring at or before the date of enrollment. Patients with new diagnoses were defined as those whose diagnostic RHC occurred within 90 days of enrollment. All consecutive patients who, in the opinion of the enrolling investigator, had a clinical diagnosis of PAH WHO group 1 and met the following inclusion criteria were eligible for enrollment: (1) mean pulmonary artery pressure of > 25 mm Hg at rest or 30 mm Hg with exercise, (2) mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressure or left ventricular end diastolic pressure of ≤ 18 mm Hg, (3) PVR of ≥ 240 dynes/s/cm² (divide by 80 for Wood units [WU]), and (4) ≥ 3 months of age.

**Data Collection**
The data in the REVEAL Registry was collected prospectively, but the analyses for this study were performed retrospectively. Data collection methods have been described previously. Patients were enrolled from March 2006 through December 2009. Demographics, clinical characteristics, and outcomes were assessed at enrollment and quarterly thereafter. The database of 3,515 patients was locked on February 4, 2013, for the current analyses. We developed an algorithm (Fig 1) to exclude patients with exercise-induced PAH, in accordance with the Dana Point Classification Criteria, and those with pulmonary capillary wedge pressure > 15 mm Hg, who have been shown to differ in many respects from those meeting the traditional hemodynamic definition of PAH, and included only patients with CTD-APAH. We also excluded those with evidence of significant interstitial lung disease (ILD), defined as those with evidence of “severe” fibrosis on high-resolution CT scan of the chest or “moderate” fibrosis if pulmonary function testing revealed a total lung capacity of < 60% predicted. We divided the patients with CTD-APAH into those with SSc-APAH (SSc group) and those with non-SSc-CTD-APAH (non-SSc group).

**Statistical Analysis**
Baseline characteristics at the time of enrollment were compared between the SSc and non-SSc groups, using the Student t or Wilcoxon test to compare continuous variables and the χ² or Fisher exact test to compare categorical variables. Because BNP levels were highly skewed, the variables were log transformed for comparison as continuous variables. Cumulative probabilities of survival at 3 years were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier estimator for both the previously and newly diagnosed populations, and differences between the SSc and non-SSc groups were compared using the log-rank test. Follow-up time was calculated from the date of enrollment. Cox regression models identified significant predictors of mortality in the SSc and non-SSc.
Results

Baseline Characteristics in Patients With CTD-APAH

Of 3,515 patients enrolled in the REVEAL Registry, 815 were identified as having CTD-APAH (Fig 1). Of these, 804 (500 SSc and 304 non-SSc) who did not have significant ILD were selected for these analyses. The majority of patients in the non-SSc group had systemic lupus erythematosus-APAH or mixed CTD-APAH (Table 1). Patients with SSc were older and had a shorter time between diagnostic RHC and enrollment into the database than did the patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH (Table 2). Patients with SSc-APAH had more severe disease overall, with a higher NYHA FC, shorter 6MWD, higher Borg dyspnea index, lower DLco, and higher BNP level. Patients with SSc-APAH were also more likely to have renal insufficiency and pericardial effusions than patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH. Although there was a strong trend toward higher mRAP in the SSc group, there were no significant differences in hemodynamics or PAH-specific therapies at the time of enrollment in the SSc vs non-SSc groups.

Poorer Survival in SSc-APAH Compared With Non-SSc-CTD-APAH

Three-year survival in the SSc group was worse than in the non-SSc group in both the previously and newly

TABLE 1 Types of CTD-APAH

| Type of CTD                          | No. (%) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| All SSc-APAH                         | 500 (62.2) |
| SSc, limited                         | 299 (37.2) |
| SSc, diffuse                         | 99 (12.3) |
| SSc, unknown subtype                 | 102 (12.7) |
| All non-SSc-CTD-APAH                 | 304 (37.8) |
| Systemic lupus erythematosus         | 127 (15.8) |
| Mixed CTD                            | 71 (8.8) |
| Rheumatoid arthritis                 | 42 (5.2) |
| Sjogren syndrome                     | 15 (1.9) |
| Dermatomyositis/polymyositis         | 8 (1.0) |
| Undifferentiated CTD                 | 12 (1.5) |
| Overlap syndrome                     | 15 (1.9) |
| Other                                | 4 (0.5) |
| Unknown                              | 10 (1.2) |

APAH = associated with pulmonary arterial hypertension; CTD = connective tissue disease; non-SSc-CTD = connective tissue disease other than systemic sclerosis; SSc = systemic sclerosis.
| Characteristic                                      | SSc-APAH (n = 500) | Non-SSc-CTD-APAH (n = 304) | P Value |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age at baseline, a y                              |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 500                | 304                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 61.65 ± 11.25      | 49.88 ± 14.38             | <.001   |
| Male sex, No. (%)                                 | 63 (12.6)          | 28 (9.2)                  | .14     |
| Time from diagnostic RHC to enrollment, mo        |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 500                | 304                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 19.33 ± 23.11      | 26.72 ± 35.66             | <.001   |
| Newly diagnosed, No. (%)                          | 166 (33.2)         | 88 (28.9)                 | 0.21    |
| NYHA FC, No. (%)                                  |                    |                           | <.0001  |
| I                                                 | 15 (3.4)           | 25 (9.2)                  |         |
| II                                                | 121 (27.8)         | 105 (38.7)                |         |
| III                                               | 256 (58.9)         | 127 (46.9)                |         |
| IV                                                | 43 (9.9)           | 14 (5.2)                  |         |
| 6MWD, m                                          |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 380                | 248                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 294.01 ± 114.6     | 360.21 ± 122.2            | <.001   |
| Heart rate, bpm                                   |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 471                | 287                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 84.29 ± 14.94      | 83.64 ± 14.41             | .55     |
| Systolic BP, mm Hg                                |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 477                | 287                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 118.71 ± 18.97     | 119.28 ± 19.56            | .69     |
| Borg dyspnea index                                |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 327                | 220                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 3.67 ± 2.07        | 3.15 ± 2.28               | .005    |
| Renal insufficiency, No. (%)                      | 41 (8.4)           | 9 (3.0)                   | .0024   |
| mRAP, mm Hg                                       |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 449                | 276                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 9.04 ± 5.77        | 8.21 ± 5.06               | .052    |
| mPAP at rest, mm Hg                               |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 500                | 304                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 44.59 ± 11.43      | 45.48 ± 10.67             | .27     |
| PCWP at rest, mm Hg                               |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 500                | 304                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 9.11 ± 3.48        | 8.85 ± 3.48               | .29     |
| Cardiac output, a L/min                           |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 499                | 303                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 4.42 ± 1.45        | 4.28 ± 1.35               | .20     |
| Cardiac index, L/min/m²                            |                    |                           |         |
| No.                                               | 391                | 237                       | ...     |
| Mean ± SD                                         | 2.50 ± 0.81        | 2.40 ± 0.75               | .11     |

(Continued)
### TABLE 2 (continued)

| Characteristic | SSc-APAH (n = 500) | Non-SSc-CTD-APAH (n = 304) | P Value |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| PVR, Wood units |                   |                             |         |
| No.            | 499               | 303                         |         |
| Mean ± SD      | 9.31 ± 5.24       | 9.79 ± 5.34                 | .21     |
| PVR index, Wood units × m² |               |                             |         |
| No.            | 391               | 237                         |         |
| Mean ± SD      | 16.37 ± 9.05      | 17.36 ± 9.46                | .19     |
| FEV₁, % predicted |                |                             |         |
| No.            | 350               | 179                         |         |
| Mean ± SD      | 71.93 ± 18.43     | 73.90 ± 19.20               | .25     |
| FVC, % predicted |                |                             |         |
| No.            | 352               | 181                         |         |
| Mean ± SD      | 74.08 ± 19.22     | 76.93 ± 20.12               | .11     |
| FEV₁/FVC ratio |                   |                             |         |
| No.            | 374               | 200                         | .068    |
| Mean ± SD      | 0.76 ± 0.09       | 0.77 ± 0.10                 |         |
| Dlco, % predicted |               |                             |         |
| No.            | 344               | 186                         |         |
| Mean ± SD      | 40.83 ± 16.27     | 50.36 ± 19.00               | <.001   |
| Pericardial effusion, No. (%) |               |                             |         |
| None           | 222 (57.1)        | 159 (68.2)                  | .0090   |
| Mild           | 121 (31.1)        | 62 (26.6)                   |         |
| Moderate       | 36 (9.3)          | 12 (5.2)                    |         |
| Moderate-severe | 5 (1.3)          | 0 (0.0)                     |         |
| Severe         | 5 (1.3)           | 0 (0.0)                     |         |
| BNP, pg/mL     |                   |                             |         |
| No.            | 223               | 154                         |         |
| Mean ± SD      | 562.38 ± 929.9    | 313.49 ± 685.4              | .005    |
| N-terminal BNP, pg/mL |             |                             |         |
| No.            | 65                | 26                          |         |
| Mean ± SD      | 3192.37 ± 4687    | 932.73 ± 1345               | .018    |
| PAH medications at enrollment, No. (%) |               |                             |         |
| Prostacyclin   | 154 (31.8)        | 96 (32.8)                   | .77     |
| ERA            | 217 (44.7)        | 120 (41.0)                  | .30     |
| PDE-5 inhibitor | 223 (46.0)       | 137 (46.8)                  | .83     |
| CCB for PAH    | 42 (8.7)          | 27 (9.2)                    | .79     |
| PAH medications, No. (%) |           |                             |         |
| 0              | 90 (18.6)         | 49 (16.7)                   | .47     |
| 1              | 231 (47.6)        | 149 (50.9)                  |         |

(Continued)
diagnosed populations (61.4% ± 2.7% vs 80.9% ± 2.7% and 51.2% ± 4.0% vs 76.4% ± 4.6%, respectively; P < .001) (Fig 2).

**Unique Predictors of Mortality in SSc-APAH**

Figure 3 shows the univariate analyses of previously identified predictors of mortality from the overall REVEAL Registry cohort in the SSc and non-SSc groups. The following variables were predictive of mortality in both groups: age > 60 years, NYHA FC III or IV status, 6MWD < 165 m, and BNP > 180 pg/mL. 6MWD ≥ 440 m was protective in both groups. Unique predictors of mortality in the SSc group, but not the non-SSc group, included male sex, systolic BP (SBP) ≤ 110 mm Hg, pericardial effusion, DLCO ≤ 32% predicted, mRAP > 20 mm Hg within 1 year, PVR > 32 WU, and newly diagnosed status. BNP levels < 50 pg/mL were protective in patients with SSc (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.34; 95% CI, 0.16-0.72; P = .005) but not in the non-SSc group (HR = 0.68; 95% CI, 0.36-1.29; P = .24). Figure 3 also shows the univariate analyses of additional variables that are relevant to the CTD-APAH population. A higher glomerular filtration rate was protective in both groups. Mild to moderate ILD was the only feature that increased mortality in patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH but not in patients with SSc-APAH (HR = 2.19; 95% CI, 1.14-4.23; P = .02 vs HR = 0.84; 95% CI, 0.55-1.30; P = .44). When compared with IPAH, mRAP > 20 mm Hg within 1 year, PVR > 32 WU, and newly diagnosed status remained unique predictors of death in the SSc-APAH group.

In multivariate analyses, the following variables remained predictive of mortality in both the SSc and non-SSc groups: NYHA FC III or IV status and BNP > 180 pg/mL (Table 3). Unique predictors of mortality in the SSc group included men > 60 years, SBP ≤ 110 mm Hg, 6MWD < 165 m, mRAP > 20 mm Hg within 1 year, and PVR > 32 WU. 6MWD ≥ 440 m was protective in the non-SSc group, but not in the SSc group, whereas BNP < 50 pg/mL was protective in the SSc group, but not in the non-SSc group.

**Discussion**

Our study provides further evidence that patients with SSc-APAH experience higher mortality rates than do patients with other CTD-APAH in both incident and prevalent populations. Our results validate the usefulness of the risk score calculator in patients with CTD-APAH, including in patients with SSc-APAH. We identified several baseline risk factors that were significantly associated with mortality in the SSc-APAH population in comparison with the non-SSc-CTD-APAH population, including being an elderly man, having a low SBP, having poor exercise capacity, and having severe hemodynamic indices including elevated mRAP and PVR. Identifying patients with SSc-APAH with high mortality risk based on the presence of these unique predictors of mortality will enable physicians to monitor these patients more closely and escalate therapy when indicated.

Three-year survival in the newly diagnosed SSc-APAH population was 51%, which is similar to survival rates found in other cohorts assessed in the modern treatment era.1,5,8,15,18 Other studies have found better survival rates (75%-81%) in patients with SSc-APAH; these rates are similar to the survival rate of 77% that we and others observed in patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH.3,5,10,17,18 This survival discrepancy could be related to early
detection algorithms that have been implemented in these SSc-APAH cohorts, with the goal to initiate PAH-specific therapy when the disease is less severe. Survival in patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH appears to be more similar to those with IPAH than to those with SSc-APAH, despite similar baseline hemodynamics and PAH-specific therapies. Whether initiating aggressive PAH treatment in patients with SSc-APAH with a particular high mortality risk may improve outcomes remains an important question to be answered.

Overall, predictors identified in the multivariate model in SSc-APAH were very similar to the core predictors for PAH as a whole, including all subtypes. Our results concur with those of other studies on patients with SSc-APAH in that male sex, older age, and FC III and IV status were significant predictors of death. Our results confirmed those of a single-center study that identified high PVR as a strong predictor of mortality. Unlike these other studies, we did not find that low DLCO or glomerular filtration rate were predictive of mortality in the SSc-APAH group in multivariate analyses, although they were significant in univariate analyses. Lefèvre et al identified additional poor prognostic factors in patients with SSc with pulmonary hypertension in a meta-analysis including patients with WHO groups II and III pulmonary hypertension: pericardial
Figure 3 – Predictors of mortality for patients with SSc-APAH and non-SSc-CTD-APAH using univariate Cox regression analyses. Unique predictors of mortality in the SSc group, but not the non-SSc group, included male sex, SBP ≤ 110 mm Hg, pericardial effusion, DLCO, mRAP, HR >20 bpm, 6MWD >440 m, 6MWD >165 m, BNP >50 pg/mL, Percutaneous Effusion, % Predicted DLCO >80, % Predicted DLCO >32, mRAP >20 mm Hg within 1 year, PVR >32 Wood units, Mild or Moderate ILD, GFR, Anemia. Newly Diagnosed – SSc, Non-SSc-CTD – Non-SSc-CTD.

In our study, BNP > 180 pg/mL increased the risk of death in both the SSc and non-SSc-APAH groups by more than twofold, as has also been shown in patients with IPAH. We and others have shown that patients with SSc-APAH have markedly elevated BNP and N-terminal-pro-BNP (NT-pro-BNP) levels compared with patients with IPAH and patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH. Williams et al found in a UK SSc-APAH cohort that for every order of magnitude increase in baseline NT-pro-BNP level there was a fourfold increased risk of death (P = .002). In addition, several studies have found that NT-pro-BNP is useful in the screening and early detection of PAH in patients with SSc, and this biomarker has been integrated into novel screening algorithms. To our knowledge, our study is the first to show that BNP is an independent predictor of mortality in patients with CTD-APAH and SSc-APAH, in particular. Unfortunately NT-pro-BNP levels were not available in 89% of our CTD-APAH cohort, and, therefore, they could not be included in the regression models.
To our knowledge, this is the first study to identify low baseline SBP ≤ 110 mm Hg as an independent predictor of death in patients with SSc-APAH. Other studies have shown that low SBP, both at peak exercise and upon admission to the hospital for right-sided heart failure, is an independent risk factor for death in PAH. A potential pathophysiologic explanation for this finding is that the presence of high right ventricular pressure results in a more pronounced effect of low SBP on coronary perfusion. Thus, low SBP can lead to greater right ventricular dysfunction caused by ischemia. In addition, low SBP may be a sign of low cardiac output, reduced stroke volume, and neurohormonal activation. Unless complicated by renal disease, patients with SSc have relatively low baseline BP, and the mean SBP was 119 ± 19 mm Hg in the patients with SSc-APAH in our study. Given that BP can be monitored easily, identification of low baseline SBP as a risk factor in SSc-APAH is an important finding.

Our study does have some limitations. The SSc-APAH and non-SSc-CTD-APAH cohorts are smaller than the overall cohort. Thus, differences in significant multivariable predictors may be caused by loss of power as opposed to true differences in predictors for different subtypes. In addition, the model does not include therapies. The majority of REVEAL Registry patients, particularly patients who had previous diagnoses, were receiving phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors, endothelin receptor antagonists, prostacyclins, or a combination. Therefore, the model does not provide insights into prognosis for untreated patients. Although 86% of the patients with CTD-APAH were enrolled at sites that routinely involve a rheumatologist in the diagnosis and care of these patients, misclassification of some patients may have occurred. Finally, the analysis only assessed variables available in the REVEAL Registry database. There may be additional factors particular to patients with CTD-APAH, such as autoantibody status, that could impact the results.

Conclusions
In conclusion, patients with SSc-APAH have higher mortality rates than patients with non-SSc-CTD-APAH. Our results validate the usefulness of the PAH risk score in patients with SSc-APAH. We have identified unique predictors of mortality in patients with SSc-APAH, including being an older man, having a low baseline SBP, having poor exercise capacity, and having an elevated mRAP and PVR; these can be used to identify high-risk patients who may benefit from closer monitoring and more aggressive treatment.
Acknowledgments

Author contributions: L. C. had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. L. C., H. W. F., R. B., D. P. M., L. P., P. M. H., M. M., M. R. N., and R. T. Z. contributed to data analysis and interpretation, drafting and critical review of the manuscript, and approval of the final version.

Financial/nonfinancial disclosures: The authors have reported to CHEST the following conflicts of interest: Dr Chung has received research support funding from Gilead Sciences, Inc; United Therapeutics Corp; Pfizer, Inc; and Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, and has served on the Advisory Board for Gilead Sciences, Inc. Dr Farber has served as a consultant for Gilead Sciences, Inc, Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Bayer, United Therapeutics Corp, and Bristol-Myers Squibb; has served on the speakers bureau for Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Gilead Sciences, Inc, and Bayer; and has received grant support from Gilead Sciences, Inc and United Therapeutics Corp. Dr Benza has grant support from Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd and is a member of the Steering Committee for the REVEAL Registry. Mr Miller is an employee of ICON Clinical Research, a company that receives funding from Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd and acts as a Biostatistical CRO for the REVEAL Registry, as well as received funding from other pharmaceutical companies. Mr Ranchons is an employee of ICON Clinical Research, a company that receives funding from Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd and acts as a Biostatistical CRO for the REVEAL Registry, as well as received funding from other pharmaceutical companies. Dr Hassoun has received research funding support from Actelion/CoTherix and is on the Advisory Board for Novartis. Dr McGoone has received research funding from Gilead Sciences, Inc and Medtronic, Inc and has served on steering committees for Gilead Sciences, Inc and Lung Rx, LLC and has participated on clinical end point committees in studies sponsored by Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd. He is on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for a study sponsored by Gilead Sciences, Inc and has received honoraria for his service on the REVEAL Registry Steering Committee, which is supported by Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Dr Zamanian has received research funding support through the Entelgene-Actelion career development research grant and has served as a consultant to United Therapeutics Corporation and Gilead Sciences, Inc. Dr Nicolls has reported that no potential conflicts of interest exist with any companies/organizations whose products or services may be discussed in this article.

Role of sponsors: The sponsor, Actelion Pharmaceuticals US Inc, provided the study design, statistical analysis plan, and management of study registry and participated in data analysis, interpretation, and preparation of manuscript.

Other contributions: The authors thank Wolters Kluwer for coordinating feedback among the authors.

Additional information: The e-Appendix can be found in the Supplemental Materials section of the online article.

References

1. Hesselstrand R, Wildt M, Ekmechag B, Wuttge DM, Scheja A. Survival in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with systemic sclerosis from a Swedish single centre: prognosis still poor and prediction difficult. Scand J Rheumatol. 2011;40(2):127-132.

2. Fisher MR, Mathai SC, Champion HC, et al. Clinical differences between idiopathic and scleroderma-related pulmonary hypertension. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54(9):3043-3050.

3. Chung L, Liu J, Parsons L, et al. Characterization of connective tissue disease-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension from REVEAL: identifying systemic sclerosis as a unique phenotype. Chest. 2010;138(6):1383-1394.

4. Rubenfire M, Huffman MD, Krishnan S, Seibold JR, Schiopu E, McLaughlin VV. Survival in systemic sclerosis with pulmonary arterial hypertension has not improved in the modern era. Chest. 2013;144(4):1282-1290.

5. Condiffe R, Kiely DG, Peacock AJ, et al. Connective tissue disease-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension in the modern treatment era. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2009;179(2):151-157.

6. Benza RL, Miller DP, Gomberg-Maitland M, et al. Predicting survival in pulmonary arterial hypertension: insights from the Registry to Evaluate Early and Long-term Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension Disease Management (REVEAL). Circulation. 2010;122(2):164-172.

7. Humbert M, Sibthon O, Yaici A, et al; French Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension Network. Survival in incident and prevalent cohorts of patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur Respir J. 2010;36(3):549-555.

8. Ngian GS, Stevens W, Prior D, et al. Predictors of mortality in connective tissue disease-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension: a cohort study. Arthritis Res Ther. 2012;14(5):R213.

9. Launay D, Sibthon O, Hachulla E, et al. Survival in systemic sclerosis-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension in the modern management era. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013;72(12):1940-1946.

10. Chung L, Domsic RT, Lingala B, et al. Survival and predictors of mortality in systemic sclerosis associated pulmonary arterial hypertension: outcomes from the PHAROS registry. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2014;66(3):489-495.

11. McGoone MD, Kirchman A, Farber HW, et al. Design of the REVEAL registry for US patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. Mayo Clin Proc. 2008;83(8):923-931.

12. Simonneau G, Robbins IM, Beghetti M, et al. Updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54(15):S54-S59.

13. Badesch DB, Raskob GE, Elliott CG, et al. Pulmonary arterial hypertension: baseline characteristics from the REVEAL Registry. Chest. 2010;137(2):376-387.

14. Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Fedan KB. Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general US population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999;159(1):179-187.

15. Lefyvre G, Dauchet L, Hachulla E, et al. Survival and prognostic factors in systemic sclerosis-associated pulmonary hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arthritis Rheum. 2013;65(9):2412-2423.

16. Mukerjee D, St George D, Coleiro B, et al. Prevalence and outcome in systemic sclerosis associated pulmonary arterial hypertension: application of a registry approach. Ann Rheum Dis. 2003;62(11):1088-1093.

17. Hachulla E, Launay D, Yaici A, et al; French PAH-SS Network. Pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with systemic sclerosis in patients with functional class II dyspnoea: mild symptoms but severe outcome. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2010;49(8):930-944.

18. Humbert M, Yaici A, de Groote P, et al. Screening for pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients with systemic sclerosis: clinical characteristics at diagnosis and long-term survival. Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63(11):3522-3530.

19. Campo A, Mathai SC, Le Pavec J, et al. Hemodynamic predictors of survival in scleroderma-related pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010;182(2):252-260.

20. Khanna PP, Forst DE, Clements PJ, Maranian P, Indulkar L, Khanna D; D-Penicillamine Investigators. Tendon friction rubs in early diffuse systemic sclerosis: prevalence characteristics and longitudinal changes in a randomized controlled trial. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2010;49(5):955-959.

21. Avoua J, Walker U, Tynald A, et al; EUSTAR. Characteristics of joint involvement and relationships with systemic inflammation in systemic sclerosis: results from the EULAR Scleroderma Trial and Research Group (EUSTAR) database. J Rheumatol. 2010;37(7):1488-1501.

22. Nagaya N, Nishikimi T, Uematsu M, et al; Japanese EULAR Scleroderma Study Group. Pro-brain natriuretic peptide in systemic sclerosis: disproportionate elevation of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (N-TproBNP) in systemic sclerosis-related pulmonary arterial hypertension as a prognostic indicator in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension. Circulation. 2000;102(8):865-870.

23. Mathai SC, Bueso M, Hummers LK, et al. Disproportionate elevation of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in systemic sclerosis-related pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur Respir J. 2010;35(1):95-104.

24. Williams MH, Handler CE, Akram R, et al. Role of N-terminal brain natriuretic peptide (N-TproBNP) in
scleroderma-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J*, 2006; 27(12):1485-1494.

25. Allanore Y, Borderie D, Avouac J, et al. High N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide levels and low diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide as independent predictors of the occurrence of precapillary pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2008;58(1):284-291.

26. Thakkar V, Stevens WM, Prior D, et al. N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in a novel screening algorithm for pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis: a case-control study. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2012;14(3):R143.

27. Coghlan JG, Denton CP, Grünig E, et al; DETECT study group. Evidence-based detection of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis: the DETECT study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2014;73(7):1340-1349.

28. Wensel R, Opitz CF, Anker SD, et al. Assessment of survival in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension: importance of cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *Circulation*. 2002;106(3):319-324.

29. Campo A, Mathai SC, Le Pavec J, et al. Outcomes of hospitalisation for right heart failure in pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Eur Respir J*. 2011;38(2):359-367.

30. Weng HH, Ranganath VK, Oh M, et al. Differences in presentation of younger and older systemic sclerosis patients in clinical trials. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2010;28(5 Suppl 62):S10-S14.