Insertion Angle of Pedicle Screws in the Subaxial Cervical Spine: The Analysis of Computed Tomography-Navigated Insertion of Pedicle Screws
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Study Design: Four orthopedic spine surgeons measured the radiological parameters of pedicle screws in the cervical spine using a postoperative computed tomography (CT) scan.

Purpose: This study analyzed the insertion angle of CT-navigated insertion of pedicle screws in the subaxial cervical spine and classified them according to their position.

Overview of Literature: Overall, a pedicle transverse angle of 33.6°–50.2° with a mean angle of 45° relative to the midline has been reported in the literature.

Methods: The insertion angles of 87 pedicle screws inserted using CT-based navigation in the subaxial cervical spine were measured in the postoperative CT. The screw positioning was determined according to the modified Gertzbein and Robbins classification.

Results: Total 89.3% (n=78) of the pedicle screws inserted using CT-based navigation showed good placement. The mean insertion angle of the pedicle screws that showed good positioning was 29.9°±9.9°. The pedicle screws showing bad positioning had a mean insertion angle of 26.8°±10.5° (p=0.157). The interobserver reliability showed a reliable measurement intraclass correlation coefficient: 0.994 (95% confidence interval, 0.992–0.996).

Conclusions: The present results show that the insertion angle of the pedicle screws in the subaxial cervical spine was smaller than the actual pedicle transverse angle, as per the literature. One reason for this discrepancy could be that the navigation systems allow the insertion of cervical pedicle screws with a lower convergence.
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Introduction

Posterior instrumentation of the subaxial cervical spine is a common procedure for the treatment of many degenerative conditions, fractures, and tumor diseases of the cervical spine [1,2]. Transpedicular screw fixation provides sufficient stability in the cervical spine and in multilevel fixation under torsion and extension loading [3]. This stability depends on the biomechanical investigations superior to conventional cervical fixation systems such as anterior vertebral screws and posterior triple wiring [3]. However, major complications during the insertion of cervical pedicle screws have been reported in the literature, such as vertebral artery and nerve root injury...
[4]. With the introduction of navigation systems, pedicle screw insertion in the subaxial cervical spine has become safer and more accurate than two-dimensional fluoroscopy pedicle screw placement [5]. Nonetheless, even with the use of navigation systems, the overall accuracy of cervical pedicle screw insertion is reported to be 88.5% [6]. Therefore, precise knowledge of the anatomical landmarks and directions for screw insertion is essential. Higher accuracy has been reported in studies using O-arm based three-dimensional navigation for the insertion of cervical pedicle screws [7].

Abumi et al. [2] first described the landmarks and direction of the screw needed for successful insertion. Based on the data of 13 patients, the authors suggested that the insertion angle should be 30°–40° medial to the midline in the transverse plane [2]. Further investigations have identified the transverse angle for safe insertion of a pedicle screw in the subaxial cervical spine. Overall, a pedicle transverse angle of 33.6°–50.2° with a mean angle of 45° relative to the midline has been reported in the literature [8-11].

This study aimed to analyze the insertion angle of computed tomography (CT)-navigated insertion of pedicle screws in the subaxial cervical spine and classify them as per the accuracy of their positioning. Furthermore, we aimed to compare the in-vivo pedicle insertion angle of the pedicle screws with the recommendations given in previous trials.

**Materials and Methods**

1. Study design

We retrospectively collected the data of 28 patients who underwent dorsal CT-navigated cervical (C3–C7) pedicle instrumentation. The patient inclusion criteria were as follows: CT-navigated insertion of subaxial cervical pedicle screws and a postoperative multiplanar CT scan of the cervical spine. Total 87 pedicle screws could be identified. Screw positioning and the transverse angle of the pedicle screws were evaluated individually by four orthopedic surgeons who specialize in spine surgery. The evaluation of screw positioning was performed as per the modified Gertzbein and Robbins classification consisting of five grades [12]. Grade 1 describes ideal screw position with pedicle wall perforation of 1 mm (Fig. 1), grade 2 describes positioning with pedicle wall perforation of 2 mm (Fig. 2), grade 3 of 3 mm (Fig. 3), and grade 4 of 4 mm. Grade 5 represents a cortical breach of 4 mm and/or obstruction of the transverse foramen by more than half a screw diameter (Fig. 4). The screw positioning was defined as “good” when the grade was 1 or 2 and “bad” when the grade was 3, 4, or 5.

The insertion angle of the pedicle screw was determined as the angle between the long axis of the pedicle screws.
and the midline of the vertebral body in the transverse plane [10]. The mean transverse angle of the pedicle screws was assessed.

Four orthopedic spine surgeons measured all the parameters using basic functions in IMPAX EE (Agfa HealthCare GmbH, Bonn, Germany), running in a Microsoft Windows environment. Demographic data of the patients were collected from the electronic patient records.

2. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS ver. 25.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to calculate the mean values of demographic data, transverse angle, and screw position. Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) values were assessed in a two-way mixed model with absolute agreement at 95% confidence intervals for interobserver reliability. Values <0.40 were considered poor, those between 0.40 and 0.59 were considered fair, those from 0.60 to 0.74 were considered good, and those between 0.75 and 1.00 were considered excellent [13]. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Institutional Review Board approval was not necessary because of the retrospective design of the study. Written informed consents of the patients was obtained.
Results

1. Demographics and clinical data

The CT images of 28 patients were analyzed. Eight patients were women, and 20 were men. The average age of the patients was 57.8±18.3 years (range, 19–85 years). The reason for performing instrumentation was trauma in 16 cases (57.1%), degeneration in three cases (10.7%), tumor in six cases (21.4%), rheumatoid arthritis in two cases (7.1%) and vertebral osteomyelitis in one case (3.6%). The distribution of the cervical spine levels is described in Table 1.

The mean operation time was 240.4±88.7 minutes (range, 107–518 minutes). A perioperative complication, such as incidental durotomy, occurred in one case (3.6%), resulting in a liquor fistula. A postoperative complication was documented in five cases. In four cases (14.3%), a wound-healing disorder was reported, and a postoperative vertebral osteomyelitis was reported in one case (3.6%).

2. Positioning and insertion angle of the pedicle screws

Total 87 pedicle screws were assessed. The detailed classification of the position of the screws is described in Table 2.

We found that 89.7% (n=78) of the pedicle screws showed good positioning (grade 1 or 2). Examples of grade 1 and 2 placement are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, respectively. Further, 10.3% (n=9) of the pedicle screws showed bad positioning (grade 3, 4, or 5). Fig. 3 shows grade 3 screw placements, and Fig. 4 shows an example of grade 5 placement. The evaluation of the classification of the pedicle screws showed excellent interobserver reliability, with ICC 0.991 (95% confidence interval, 0.988–0.994).

The mean insertion angle of the pedicle screws that showed good positioning was 29.9°±9.9°. The pedicle screws showing bad positioning had a mean insertion angle of 26.8°±10.5°. There was no statistically significant difference in the insertion angle of well and badly positioned screws (p=0.157). The measurement of the insertion angles showed excellent interobserver reliability, with ICC 0.994 (95% confidence interval, 0.992–0.996).

Discussion

The present results reveal that the insertion of CT-navigated pedicle screws in the subaxial cervical spine is associated with safe placement. In total, 89.7% of the pedicle screws showed good positioning. These results correlate with the accuracy of CT-navigated insertion of subaxial pedicle screws reported in the literature [6,14]. Moreover, our results show a mean insertion angle for the pedicle screws of 29.9°±9.9°. However, these values differ from the mean values of the pedicle transverse angles reported in the literature. In an anatomical study of 22 human cadaver subaxial cervical spines, Mohi Eldin [15] reports about medial angulation of the pedicles ranging from 37°–47° at C3, from 33°–45° at C4, from 40°–52° at C5, from 37°–42° at C6, and from 41°–47° at C7. In a further investigation of CT scans of 122 cervical spines, Onibokun et al. [8] report a mean pedicle transverse angle of approximately 44° from C3 to C6 and 37.8° at C7. Similar values of pedicle transverse angles are reported by Nishinome et al. [9] based on an investigation of 50 CT scans of cervical spines (C3 to C6). They report a pedicle transverse angle of 37.1°–45.4°. Chazono et al. [16] analyzed the CT scans of the cervical spine (C3–C7) of 60 patients and measured a mean pedicle transverse angle of 33.6°–50.2°. Another analysis of 100 CT scans of the cervical spines by Wethermann et al. [17] reports pedicle transverse angels of 34.6°–48.02°. In sum, the average cervical pedicle transverse angle reported in the studies is considerably higher.
than the insertion angle of pedicle screws reported in our study. Nevertheless, our results show reasonable accuracy of the pedicle screws. A different pedicle screw entrance point could lead to a deviation of the transverse angle of the drill and the true pedicle transverse angle. However, the trajectory may be within the cortical bone of the cervical pedicle [18].

One reason for this deviation could be that the entry point of the pedicle screws inserted with CT navigation is being shifted toward the medial side. A medial entry point leads to lower convergence of the insertion of the pedicle screw and lower insertion angle of the pedicle screw. To insert a cervical pedicle screw with the optimal transverse pedicle angle reported in the literature, a high convergence is necessary. High convergence for the insertion angle requires a wide incision and exposure of the soft tissue. Smaller incision and exposure of the soft tissue can possibly prevent postoperative complications, such as wound infections and healing disorders. Moreover, a smaller surgical approach leads to limited approach-related injuries to the paraspinal muscles and posterior ligamentous complex. However, proper preparation and exposure of the anatomical landmarks in the cervical spine is essential for safe pedicle screw insertion even when using navigation. Surgeons should be aware of the anatomical landmarks and should not rely only on navigation for insertion. The exposure and identification of the anatomical entry points should be an essential step of the operation.

Despite lower convergence for the insertion angle of the pedicle screws, CT navigation allows safe insertion, as reported in our study. Uehara et al. [10] analyzed the pre- and postoperative CT of cervical spines instrumented with pedicle screws. They report significantly smaller pedicle screw insertion angles than pedicle transverse angles for the subaxial cervical spine. They conclude that the insertion angle for safe insertion of pedicle screws should be between 24.5° and 36.5°. Angles <24.5° can cause lateral perforation and those >36.5° can cause medial perforation. The optimal screw insertion angle is reported as 30° [10]. These findings agree with our results.

**Conclusions**

The present results reveal that the insertion angle of pedicle screws in the subaxial cervical spine is smaller than the actual pedicle transverse angle when using CT navigation and this procedure is safe and accurate. One reason could be that the smaller insertion angle of the pedicle screws is associated with lower convergence leading to smaller incision and soft tissue exposure.
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