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Abstract

Background: The rapidly expanding fields of genomics and proteomics have prompted the development of computational methods for managing, analyzing and visualizing expression data derived from microarray screening. Nevertheless, the lack of efficient techniques for assessing the biological implications of gene-expression data remains an important obstacle in exploiting this information.

Results: To address this need, we have developed a mining technique based on the analysis of literature profiles generated by extracting the frequencies of certain terms from thousands of abstracts stored in the Medline literature database. Terms are then filtered on the basis of both repetitive occurrence and co-occurrence among multiple gene entries. Finally, clustering analysis is performed on the retained frequency values, shaping a coherent picture of the functional relationship among large and heterogeneous lists of genes. Such data treatment also provides information on the nature and pertinence of the associations that were formed.

Conclusions: The analysis of patterns of term occurrence in abstracts constitutes a means of exploring the biological significance of large and heterogeneous lists of genes. This approach should contribute to optimizing the exploitation of microarray technologies by providing investigators with an interface between complex expression data and large literature resources.

Background

Microarray technologies provide the means of measuring the expression of thousands of genes or proteins simultaneously. This revolution brings new perspectives for the study of expression networks and their regulation, potentially providing valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying disease [1]. Increasingly accessible microarray platforms allow the unrestrained and rapid generation of large expression datasets. As large volumes of data are being generated, the need for data-mining programs that provide the means to manage, normalize, filter, group and visualize expression data expands. These tools help to identify subsets of genes whose expression changes significantly and organize them according to their expression profiles. Although necessary, this type of analysis does not reveal the biological implications encrypted in expression data. Indeed, the evaluation of the functional significance of large, heterogeneous and noisy groups of genes constitutes the real challenge for microarray users [2].

A further problem is that the wealth of knowledge accumulated after decades of biological research has resulted in a considerable narrowing of research fields. As a consequence, in-depth knowledge of gene function possessed by highly
specialized investigators is biased and limited to relatively small subsets of genes that become the focus of the expression-data analysis. The definition of functional classes and improved access to information associated with individual genes partly makes up for this lack of perspective. However, information about gene function is primarily contained in the 11 million articles indexed in the Medline database. Evaluating the functional associations that might exist among large groups of genes from this huge volume of literature is not feasible in a time frame compatible with the pace at which the data can be generated. Limitations in our capacity to explore the functional dimension of microarray expression are one of the major impediments to the optimal exploitation of this powerful technology. Surprisingly, only a few groups have previously addressed this shortcoming [3-5].

We describe here how a literature-derived term frequency database can be generated and mined through the analysis of patterns of occurrences of a restricted subset of relevant terms. This ‘literature profiling’ produces a coherent picture of the functional relationships among large and heterogeneous lists of genes and should enable the development of tools for rapidly extracting meaningful knowledge from large microarray expression databases.

Results and discussion

Literature indexing

The method requires articles related to each of the genes included in the analysis to be extracted. This is done by querying the Medline database though PubMed [6] using appropriate search strings. We chose to retrieve entries containing the official gene name, abbreviation or aliases in the title field. Information about gene nomenclature can be found on the website of the Human Gene Nomenclature Committee (HGNC [7]). Using this source we created a database containing URLs in the PubMed query format for the more than 10,500 known human genes defined by HGNC (for example, for protein kinase C eta: the URL found in the database is http://www3.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=0&format=1&term=PRKCH+%5Bti%5D+OR+PKC-L+%5Bti%5D+OR+PRKCL+%5Bti%5D+OR+protein%20kinase%20C+eta+%5Bti%5D; pointing a web browser to this address gives the 17 entries that would have been retrieved by typing the following search string: ‘PRKCH [ti] OR PKC-L [ti] OR PRKCL [ti] OR protein kinase C eta [ti]’). URL entries are indexed by GenBank [8] and LocusLink [9] IDs and can be downloaded as a Microsoft Excel table (see Additional data files). The search for relevant literature for each individual gene is complicated by the fact that the same gene can have many different names associated with it and that the same name or abbreviation can have different meanings. A rapid scanning of the search results is useful for the identification and removal of inappropriate search strings (see below).

For each gene, the result of the query is downloaded in XML format. Abstracts are then extracted from the file by means of a macro running on Microsoft Excel and saved as a new file to be used for text analysis.

Methodologies described in this report were tested on a list of 70 genes (see Additional data files) derived from a subset of conditions belonging to a sample gene-expression dataset generated to study the transcriptional response of professional antigen-presenting cells to pathogens using high-density oligonucleotide arrays (D. Chaussabel, R. Semnani, M. Medowell, D. Sacks, A. Sher and T.B. Nutman, unpublished observations). We were able to find at least five relevant records in the Medline database containing abstracts for 44 out of the 70 genes listed. Another 10 genes had at least five records with accompanying abstracts when their generic name was used as a search string (for example, ‘interferon induced transmembrane protein’ instead of ‘interferon induced transmembrane protein 1’).

Text analysis

Word occurrence in abstracts is determined for each gene by analyzing the contents of Medline entries (nearly 4,000 in the example presented here). This parameter describes the relative frequency of abstracts containing a given word (for example, 18.2% of the abstracts indexed for the gene GADD45B contain the word ‘proliferation’).

Data filtering

Occurrence values are assigned to every unique word found in the literature analyzed, resulting in tens of thousands of entries for each gene. A vast majority of these terms are either found ubiquitously (for example, ‘if’, ‘because’, ‘cell’, ‘identified’ are present in most abstracts of most genes) or very rarely (present in very few abstracts of few genes) and therefore are of very little use for the definition of gene-specific term occurrence profiles. However, a third category of terms can be found in most abstracts of very few genes and convey relevant information about these genes. These terms are characterized both by high occurrence values in genespecific collections of abstracts and a low baseline occurrence in the literature.

An example is given in Figure 1, where terms present in more than 25% of the abstracts related to the gene ‘RANTES’ are plotted on the y-axis. Baseline occurrence was determined by averaging the values found for these terms for genes picked at random from all known human genes. As shown Figure 1, when sufficient genes are used to constitute the baseline, averaged occurrence values become stable. In this example we found that most of the terms for which baseline occurrence was less than 5% conveyed significant information on that gene (for example, ‘infection’, ‘secreted’, ‘chemokine’, ‘inflammatory’). Some (‘production’, ‘regulated’) seemed to be less meaningful, however, and terms with higher baseline occurrence were nonspecific (for example, ‘induced’, ‘response’,
The terms found in thousands of abstracts retrieved for the list of genes considered in this report were filtered systematically using several criteria. The first step consists in removing terms commonly found throughout the scientific literature. A baseline occurrence for each term was determined by taking the average occurrence of the set of 250 randomly picked genes as described in Figure 1. In our example, terms with a baseline occurrence of more than 5% were categorized as undiscriminatory and eliminated (Table 1). In the second step, term-occurrence values for each gene were compared to the baseline. We arbitrarily set the difference cut-off between gene term occurrence and baseline occurrence at 25% (see Table 1). A term can only be useful in defining relationships among genes if it is shared by at least two of them. For this reason, only terms found to pass the filter for at least two of the genes considered for analysis are further retained (see Table 1). For the set of genes used to illustrate the technique (Table 2, and see Additional data files) 101 terms out of nearly 25,000 were retained after application of these filters. Stringency of term selection can be adjusted at the user’s convenience by modifying the filtering parameters. For instance, a greater number of relevant terms might be retrieved by lowering thresholds, insuring term specificity at the cost of increasing the level of noise (less-relevant terms) in the list of terms. When lists of terms become too noisy, the manual removal of irrelevant terms can be considered.

### Clustering analysis

Isolating useful information out of tens of thousands of irrelevant terms closely resembles the task of sorting through gene-expression data produced by microarray technology. But the parallel is not restricted to the filtering of data as we also found that tools used for the analysis of gene expression could be applied to term-occurrence values to identify groups of genes sharing similar ‘literature profiles’. The vocabulary defined after successive rounds of filtering is used to create a term-by-gene array of term-occurrence values relative to each individual gene. Relationships among genes are then assessed by hierarchical clustering analysis using a software package originally created for the analysis of gene-expression data [10]. The resulting clustergram shows the grouping of genes according to patterns of term occurrences (Figure 2). Genes are grouped on the basis of similarity between term-occurrence profiles. The nature of the relationships found through the analysis of term occurrences in abstracts can be

![Figure 1](http://genomebiology.com/2002/3/10/research/0055.3)

**Figure 1**

Gene-specific and baseline term occurrences in the literature. The literature-mining technique we describe compares term occurrence in a collection of abstracts relating to a specific gene to their occurrence in an unbiased set of abstracts (baseline occurrence in the literature). In the example illustrated here, the occurrence values for terms present in more than 25% of the abstracts relating to the gene RANTES are plotted on the y-axis. To determine baseline occurrence, occurrence values found in the literature concerning this gene are then averaged with values found for an increasing number of genes chosen randomly from all known human genes indexed in the LocusLink database (x-axis). Terms with high occurrence values in the collection of abstracts relating to RANTES and a low baseline occurrence in the literature are plotted in green.

| Terms       | Baseline | AK3  | H2A  | IRF7 | ISG15 |
|-------------|----------|------|------|------|-------|
| A           | 92.6     | 85.7 | 84.6 | 100  | 100   |
| Active      | 5.9      | 14.3 | 7.7  | 28.6 | 0     |
| Cell-free   | 0.6      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     |
| Histone     | 1.4      | 0    | 92.3 | 0    | 0     |
| Infected    | 1.2      | 0    | 0    | 28.7 | 28.7  |
| Interestingly| 2.7     | 0    | 0    | 14.3 | 0     |
| Interferon  | 1.1      | 0    | 0    | 78.6 | 71.4  |
| Levels      | 13.9     | 0    | 7.7  | 7.1  | 42.9  |
| Protein     | 41.2     | 28.6 | 38.5 | 57.1 | 100   |
| Signaling   | 5.3      | 0    | 0    | 7.1  | 0     |

This sample was extracted from a table (see Additional data files) containing occurrence values for nearly 25,000 terms for each of the 50 genes used in our example. It illustrates the selective process resulting from the use of several filtering rounds. Baseline occurrence levels are calculated by averaging the occurrence values determined for 250 randomly chosen genes. The occurrence values for four of the genes included in the analysis are shown here: H2A (histone 2A), IRF7 (interferon regulatory factor 7), AK3 (adenylate kinase 3), ISG15 (interferon-stimulated protein, 15 kDa). The first filtering removes terms with high baseline occurrence levels (shown in italics). The second filter selects the terms with occurrence values over baseline by at least 25% (bold). Only terms meeting this criterion for at least two genes - in this case ‘interferon’ and ‘infected’ - are retained.
The genes for which a sufficient number of abstracts could be retrieved are listed. For the complete list of co-induced genes and ESTs included in the analysis, see Additional data files.

Table 2

| Abbreviation | Gene name |
|--------------|-----------|
| ABCB2        | ATP-binding cassette, subfamily B (MDR/TAP), member 2 |
| AK3          | Adenylate kinase 3 |
| B2M          | Beta-2-microglobulin |
| BIRC3        | Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 3 |
| CFLAR         | CASP8 and FADD-like apoptosis regulator |
| DUSP1        | Dual specificity phosphatase 1 |
| DUSP4        | Dual specificity phosphatase 4 |
| DUSP5        | Dual specificity phosphatase 5 |
| G1P3         | Interferon, alpha-inducible protein (clone IFI-6-16) |
| GADD45A      | Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, alpha |
| GADD45B      | Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, beta |
| GBP1         | Guanylate binding protein 1, interferon-inducible, 67kD |
| GCH1         | GTP cyclohydrolase 1 |
| H2AFO        | H2A histone family, member O |
| HLA-F        | Major histocompatibility complex, class I, F |
| IFIT         | Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats |
| IFITM        | Interferon-induced transmembrane protein |
| IL15R        | Interleukin 15 receptor |
| IL7R         | Interleukin 7 receptor |
| IP10         | Interferon induced protein 10 |
| IP9          | Interferon induced protein 9 |
| IRF4         | Interferon regulatory factor 4 |
| IRF7         | Interferon regulatory factor 7 |
| ISG15        | Interferon-stimulated protein, 15 kDa |
| ISG20        | Interferon stimulated gene (20kD) |
| MCP2         | Monocyte chemotactic protein 2 |
| MCP3         | Monocyte chemotactic protein 3 |
| MIG          | Monokine induced by gamma interferon |
| MIP3A        | Macrophage inflammatory protein 3 alpha |
| MMP9         | Matrix metalloproteinase 9 |
| MT1A         | Metallothionein 1A (functional) |
| MX1          | Myxovirus (influenza) resistance 1 |
| MX2          | Myxovirus (influenza) resistance 2 |
| NFKB1        | Nuclear factor kappaB 1 (p105) |
| NFKB2        | Nuclear factor kappaB2 (p49/p100) |
| NFKBIA       | Nuclear factor kappaB inhibitor, alpha |
| NR4A3        | Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 3 (NOR1) |
| NRP2         | Neuruplin 2 |
| OAS          | 2’-5’-oligoadenylate synthetase |
| PDE4B        | Phosphodiesterase 4B, cAMP-specific |
| PSMA         | Proteasome (prosome, macropain) subunit, alpha |
| PSME         | Proteasome activator subunit 2 (PA28) |
| PTP1B        | Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B |
| RANTES       | RANTES |
| SOD2         | Superoxide dismutase 2, mitochondrial |
| STAT1        | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1, 91kD |
| STAT4        | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4 |
| TNFAIP3      | Tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 3 |
| TNFAIP6      | Tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 6 |
| TRAF1        | TNF receptor-associated factor 1 |
| VEGF         | Vascular endothelial growth factor |

The basis for analyzing expression patterns is the assumption that genes under common transcriptional control are involved in similar processes [1,11]. This notion provides a rationale for developing tools to evaluate the existence of functional relationships among groups of co-regulated genes with different degrees of association

Analyzing patterns of term occurrence in groups of genes

The basis for analyzing expression patterns is the assumption that genes under common transcriptional control are involved in similar processes [1,11]. This notion provides a rationale for developing tools to evaluate the existence of functional relationships among groups of co-regulated genes.
Figure 2
Analysis of patterns of term occurrence in abstracts. After filters have been applied to the original list, selected term-occurrence values relating to each gene are analyzed. Terms (columns) and genes (rows) were grouped on the basis of similarities between patterns of term occurrence in abstracts by hierarchical clustering. Some of the areas of the clustergram are shown in detail. Clusters are further referenced by color codes: blue, 'nuclear factors'; orange, 'receptor-ligand pair'; green, 'interferon-related'; red, 'chemokines'; violet, 'MHC class I antigen-presentation pathway'. Shades of yellow indicate different levels of term occurrence in abstracts.
Figure 3
Annotated dendrogram obtained by clustering term-occurrence values relative to each gene. The corresponding clustergram is shown in Figure 2. Genes are arranged according to patterns of term occurrence. Distances between nodes of the tree diagram indicate the degree of association between genes or groups of genes. A subset of representative terms used in the analysis was chosen to annotate this list of genes. Shades of yellow indicate different levels of term occurrence in abstracts. Table 1 lists the gene abbreviations used.
genes. In the group of 50 co-induced genes in our example, we found that 101 terms were shared by at least two genes (according to our filtering criteria) with as many as 300 positive associations between genes and terms (defined as exceeding baseline occurrence by 25%). For comparison, analysis of the literature relative to 50 genes picked randomly from all known human genes indexed in the LocusLink database only retrieved 49 shared terms and 109 associations (Figure 4b). This is in contrast with the 116 shared terms and 523 associations found when 50 genes are picked randomly from a homogenous functional group (all known cytokines and chemokines and their receptors, Figure 4c). As shown by this example, the number of associations found by literature profiling correlates with the likelihood that a group of genes is functionally related.

**Conditions for the formation of 'meaningful' gene clusters**

We sought to identify the critical elements leading to the formation of clusters of related genes described in Figure 2 and represented in Figure 5a using similar color codes. The terms making up each gene’s ‘literature profile’ include gene names or words making up gene names. To meet our filtering criteria each term must have had a high occurrence in the literature of at least two of the genes covered by the analysis. To test the relative importance of such terms for the analysis, gene names and term-occurrence values were clustered without gene names (for example, RANTES, IP10, NFkappaB, STAT, IRF) or terms included in gene names (for example, interferon, proteasome, regulatory, monokine). As shown in Figure 5b, clusters were largely conserved. Gene names or terms included in gene names are therefore not necessary for the formation of meaningful clusters but may have a significant role: terms such as ‘NFkappaB or ‘interferon’, which are found throughout the literature on genes involved in these pathways, will generate valid associations. Similarly, the discovery of references to the chemokine ‘RANTES’ in abstracts relevant to different genes suggests the existence of a functional link. Indeed, the literature-mining tool developed by Jenssen et al. [5] is based on a gene co-citation network and therefore relies entirely on the same type of association.

To exclude the possibility that groups of meaningful genes may arise by using a sufficient number of co-occurring terms, we permuted term-occurrence values for each gene before clustering (Figure 5c). The fact that this treatment results in a complete loss of the original hierarchy proves that the formation of meaningful groups of genes cannot be attributed to a clustering artifact.

**Literature profiling of large gene lists**

The size of the list of genes that must be analyzed can vary greatly from one microarray experiment to another. In an ideal setting, the analysis of gene-expression patterns groups co-regulated genes into small subsets. In most cases, however, partitioning of the data on the basis of expression is impaired by a small number of conditions or straightforward expression profiles. As a consequence, microarray experiments often generate lists of several hundred genes for which biological meaning must be sought. The use of a mining technique such as the one described here will be most valuable in this context. In this section we give two examples of literature profiles generated from published datasets.

When a large number of genes are analyzed, the level of noise (less-specific terms) can be more important, and filtering criteria were adjusted accordingly. The fixed 25% cut-off used in our previous example can be too high for a gene represented by hundreds of abstracts but can also be relatively low when considering a gene for which only five abstracts could be retrieved. To take such discrepancies into account we optimized the cut-off for each gene as follows: cut-off = t + (k/n) where t is the minimum threshold, k is a constant and n is the number of abstracts retrieved for a given gene; t and k must be set arbitrarily and will directly influence resolution and noise levels. For these examples we chose t = 15% and k = 1.5, therefore cut-off values for genes represented by 5 or 100 abstracts are 45% and 16.5% respectively. The gene-term specificity was further improved by adding a filter that removes terms present in the vocabulary of more than half of the genes considered (for example, ‘bound’, ‘contained’, ‘clones’, ‘putative’, ‘process’). Such a filter is particularly appropriate for large datasets, as the chance of less-specific terms being retained by other filters increases with the number of genes analyzed. The functional heterogeneity inherent in large gene lists eliminates the risk of relevant terms being removed by this filter. Similar themes were identified when the cut-off applied in the previous example is used instead. However, increasing the stringency of the filter resulted in a tighter clustering of large datasets. In these examples we eliminated redundant singular/plural forms by averaging term-occurrence values derived from both entries (considering, for instance, ‘lipoprotein’ and ‘lipoproteins’ as a single entity).

In the first dataset a list of nearly 200 genes and expressed sequence tags (ESTs) that constitutes the human macrophage ‘common transcriptional program’ induced upon bacterial infection [12] is analyzed. Sufficient publications could be retrieved for 147 of these genes (see Additional data files). Patterns of term occurrence appear once gene names have been rearranged by hierarchical clustering (Figure 6). The existence of functional relationships between genes can be inferred from the visual analysis of the resulting clustergram (Figure 6, top left panel). Putative relationships are then confirmed by investigating the few relevant publications pinpointed by the analysis of literature profiles.

Several groups of genes involved in different aspects of the immune response to an infection were uncovered by literature
Figure 4
The degree of association found among groups of genes by literature profiling correlates with their likelihood of being related. (a) The clustergram resulting from the analysis of the list of co-induced genes used to illustrate the mining technique is given for comparison. (b) A group of 50 genes was picked at random from all known human genes listed in the LocusLink database and their literature content was analyzed. (c) A group of 50 genes was picked at random from the list of known interleukins, chemokines and chemokine receptors and subjected to a similar analysis. The number of positive gene-term associations retained after filtering (term occurrence for a given gene higher than the baseline by 25%) is shown for each group. Numbers of shared terms for (a), (b) and (c), was 101, 49 and 116, respectively.
profiling (interferon response, chemotaxis, inflammation: Figure 6d,f, and g, respectively). But many other functional groups were also identified as follows.

As indicated by their names, lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) genes are involved in lipid and cholesterol metabolism and were logically

---

**Figure 5**
Conditions for the emergence of groups of related genes. (a) Groups of related genes found by clustering term-occurrence values. The color code is similar to the one used in Figure 2. (b) Grouping is conserved after gene names or terms making up gene names are removed from the analysis (for example, NFkappaB, RANTES, interferon, vascular, MIG). (c) Associations shown in (a) disappear when occurrence values are permuted for each of the genes, suggesting that associations made through the analysis of patterns of term occurrence do not arise by chance from a sufficiently high number of co-occurring terms.
Figure 6
Profile the bacteria-induced macrophage activation program. Literature profiles were generated for a list of nearly 200 genes constituting the ‘common transcriptional program’, induced in human macrophages upon bacterial infection ([12], see also Additional data files). The clustergram generated for the analysis of patterns of term occurrence is shown at top left. (a-g) Detailed views for groups of genes (columns) sharing a common vocabulary (rows). Groups of terms were selected on the basis of clustering hierarchy whereas the number of genes shown in the inserts is arbitrary. For gene abbreviations see Additional data files.
associated by literature profiling (Figure 6a). Interestingly, the CD36-like 1 antigen (alias thrombospondin receptor-like 1 - CD36L1) clustered tightly with LPL and LDLR and shared with these genes terms such as ‘lipoprotein’, ‘lipid’ or ‘cholesterol’ (Figure 6a). This association was validated by browsing the literature relevant to CD36L1 which contains reports showing the role of this molecule as a receptor for high-density lipoprotein.

The two major groups of proteinases involved in extracellular matrix degradation - serine proteinases and metalloproteinases - have been grouped by literature profiling (Figure 6b: urokinase plasminogen activation cascade (UPA, PLAU, SERPIN) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP14, MMP10, MMP1)). Both families are activated during inflammation and, as indicated by their literature profiles, are involved in tumor invasion and metastasis [13,14]. In the context of a bacterial infection these proteins enable activated macrophages to cross endothelial barriers and gain access to the site of the infection [15,16] (other terms shared by these genes are ‘migration’, ‘vascular’, ‘endothelial’). An extracellular-matrix-binding protein, SPARC (secreted protein, acidic, cysteine-rich, alias osteonectin), was also associated with these proteinases by literature profiling. SPARC can increase endothelial permeability and is known to participate in tumor angiogenesis and extravasation [17]. Interestingly, this protein has not been reported as being upregulated upon cell infection and its possible role in macrophage transendothelial migration was never addressed. This example illustrates how functional relationships that could not be deduced from gene names were uncovered through the analysis of patterns of term occurrence: matrix metalloproteinases (MMP1, 10, 14) and urokinase plasminogen activator (UPA, SPARC) are matrix-interacting molecules involved in tumor invasion and metastasis.

The cluster shown in Figure 6c is composed of members of two genes families: adenosine receptors (ADORA3 and ADORA2A) and purinergic receptors (P2RX1 and P2RX7). Indeed, although not evident from its name, P2RX acts as a receptor for a phosphorylated form of adenosine (adenosine triphosphate).

Another interesting example where non-obvious associations were revealed by literature profiling is shown in Figure 6e. This group consists of genes for which related abstracts have in common terms such as ‘disorder’, ‘allele’, ‘recessive’ or ‘autosomal’. This shared vocabulary is indicative of an association that, given the diversity of genes implicated, would have undoubtedly been overlooked by the mere examination of the gene list. Indeed, a rapid search of the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man database (OMIM [18]) for genes associated with the terms ‘severe’ and ‘disorder’ confirmed that mutations of GALC, LAMB3, GJB2, JAG1, TGFBI, LPL and LDLR were the origin of serious disorders: Krabbe disease, Herlitz junctional epidermolysis bullosa, autosomal dominant deafness - Vohwinkel syndrome, Alagille syndrome, corneal dystrophy, type I hyperlipoproteinemia and hypercholesterolemia, respectively. In addition, two genes sharing a similar vocabulary could be found outside the region outlined in Figure 6e: GCDH (linked to glutaric acidemia type I) and MPI (linked to carbohydrate-deficient glycoprotein syndrome, type Ib).

Literature profiles were generated for a second large dataset consisting of the 200 genes and ESTs found to be the most differentially regulated in classic versus desmoplastic medulloblastomas in a study of central nervous system tumors [19]. At least five references were found for 137 of the genes listed (see Additional data files). In contrast to the previous example, gene expression in this case was not measured for a purified cell population but for heterogeneous tumor tissue. This fact is reflected in the analysis of literature profiles, as groups of genes could be found to be associated with brain tissues (Figure 7f, for example, HPCA (hippocalcin), SYP (synaptophysin), GRIK1 (glutamate receptor, ionotropic, kainate 1), APLP (amyloid beta A4 precursor-like protein)), immune cells (Figure 7b, for example, CD40, IL15RA (IL-15 receptor, alpha chain), LILB4 (leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor 4)) or tumor cells (Figure 7a, for example, MYBL1 (v-myb avian myeloblastosis viral oncogene), ABL2 (v-abl Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2)). Interestingly, three genes whose products are known to promote viral replication were associated with v-myb and v-abl oncogenes (shared terms: ‘virus’, ‘transformation’ or ‘leukemia’): TAX1BP1 (Tax1-binding protein 1), CREB3 (cAMP-response element binding protein 3/LZIP) and TARBp2 (TAR-binding protein 2) (Figure 7a) [20-22]. These proteins could have a potentially important role in the regulation of cell growth, as TAX1BP, CREB3 and TARBp possess anti-apoptotic, tumor suppressor and oncogenic activities [23-25], respectively. Another group of genes encoding three enzymes (PLK (Polo-like kinase), CDKN3 (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 3/p27-Kip1), CDC25A (cell division cycle 25A)) and a transcription factor (E2F1, E2F transcription factor 1) involved in the control of the cell cycle were grouped (Figure 7g). All three enzymes have been found to be overexpressed in tumors of various origins [26-28]. Other groups of functionally related genes are shown in Figure 7: some are ion channels (Figure 7d, whereas others are associated with keratinocytes (Figure 7e) or involved in the respiratory chain (Figure 7e). A wide diversity of adhesion molecules was also identified (Figure 7h). These included the obvious - MCAM (melanoma cell adhesion molecule) - but also ATP1B2 (ATPase, Na+/K+ transporting, beta 2 polypeptide, which is believed to function in neuron-astrocyte adhesion [29,30]), THBS (thrombospondin, an adhesion molecule involved in blood clotting), OPN (osteonectin, which promotes osteoclast adhesion [31] and OSF2 (osteoblast-specific factor 2, a human homolog of the insect protein fasciclin thought to have a role in bone adhesion [32]). Finally, as shown in
Figure 7
Profiling classic medulloblastomas. Literature profiles were generated for a list of 200 genes found to be differentially expressed by classic versus desmoplastic medulloblastomas in a study of central nervous system embryonal tumors recently published by Pomeroy et al. ([19] and see Additional data files). The clustergram generated for the analysis of patterns of term occurrence is shown at top left. (a-i) Detailed views for groups of genes (columns) found to share a common vocabulary (rows). Groups of terms were selected on the basis of clustering hierarchy, whereas the number of genes shown in the inserts is arbitrary. For gene abbreviations see Additional data files.
Figure 7i, MADH2 and MADH5 (mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 2 and 5) shared with TGFβ3 (transforming growth factor beta 3) terms such as ‘TGF-beta’, transforming’ and ‘factor beta’, reflecting the involvement of MADH in the signaling pathway of TGF-beta family members [33].

Taken together, these examples demonstrate the power of the analysis of literature profiles in revealing unsuspected functional relationships in large and heterogeneous lists of genes.

Benefits and limitations
The mining technique we describe is designed to guide the interpretation of complex expression databases. Key aspects of the technique contributing to the fulfillment of this goal include. The method is independent of the user’s knowledge of gene function and can therefore be used to identify promising findings rapidly in an unbiased way. The method renders the data intelligible by bringing functional coherence to large and heterogeneous lists of genes. The terms used as criteria to explore relationships among genes differ with the composition of the group of genes considered for analysis. Because the basis for classifying genes is flexible, associations made between them will change with the context in which they are found. The technique is based on the analysis of the content of scientific publications and constitutes a contemporary solution for the exploitation of swelling literature resources by providing investigators with leads for further in-depth investigation of the literature. Term-occurrence data derived from literature profiling can be used to annotate heterogeneous gene lists, thus adding to the value of this technique as a visualization tool (Figure 3).

The implementation of our mining technique as a computational tool is hindered by the need to retrieve the relevant literature reliably for each gene included in the analysis. Indeed, gene-by-gene editing of automatically generated PubMed query strings is often required to insure low levels of false positives among the abstracts retrieved. Several names and abbreviations are often associated with a single gene but are used in a different context (for example, to designate drugs, bacterial strains or medical procedures), or they belong to the English vocabulary (e.g. ‘Wars’ = ‘tryptophyl-tRNA synthetase’, ‘Sky’ = ‘TYRO3 protein tyrosine kinase’, ‘God’ = ‘Godzilla’). Short acronyms are especially problematic (for example, ‘CT’, the abbreviation for ‘calcitonin’ can be found in the title of over 20,000 abstracts, of which only 25 contain the term ‘calcitonin’). Parsing issues that are caused by a confusing gene nomenclature can, however, be avoided when curated literature resources are available (for example, the Yeast Literature Database [34]).

The reduction of the information contained in the literature is also limiting. Words taken out of their context convey useful but limited information, and this superficial assessment of the literature can only be used to direct further investigation. The selection of terms through rounds of filtering inevitably results in the selection of irrelevant terms (false positives), and pertinent terms will also be lost (false negatives). Reviewing the terms and literature that prompted the definition of relationships among genes can easily identify false-positive associations. False-negative associations are harder to identify and can only be kept to a minimum by combining existing approaches designed to assess the biological significance of large sets of genes. Like the other literature-mining approaches previously published, our technique cannot be expected to give definitive answers, but nonetheless provides investigators with much-needed solutions for the functional evaluation of complex microarray data [35].

Relatively few groups have attempted to resolve the bottleneck constituted by the inability of highly specialized investigators to assess the existence of relationships between genes in a high-throughput fashion [3-5]. Jenssen et al. [5] analyzed literature contents to create a gene-to-gene co-citation network revealing associations between genes. Our technique differs fundamentally from Jenssen et al.’s method in that it is based on term occurrences in indexed abstracts as opposed to gene name co-citation frequencies. This approach allowed us to take advantage of the powerful algorithms used for the analysis of patterns of gene expression. Also, this literature-profiling method should benefit from ongoing efforts to improve visualization tools, clustering techniques, and associated statistics [36,37]. Another major advantage arises from the capacity to include any of the terms present in abstracts, resulting in a considerable increase in the number of potential relationships generated. Finally, the number of genes covered by this type of analysis is also much greater, thanks to the low requirements in the volume of literature associated with each gene.

Text-mining software is also available commercially. Omnipiz [38], one of the most advanced solutions for the analysis of the scientific literature, can group publications (or patents or any other kind of text entries) associated with a common theme (for example, Alzheimer’s disease) through the analysis of their content. In contrast, our mining algorithm was specifically designed to group genes (instead of publications) through the analysis of the content of their associated literatures. This conceptual difference makes the techniques distinct from one another. Our approach requires the literature to be indexed for each gene and treated separately throughout the analysis. We also filter terms using stringent criteria, a critical step that allows the analysis of patterns of term occurrences by hierarchical clustering.

Applications and perspectives
This report constitutes a proof of principle on the feasibility and use of literature profiling for high-throughput research. Although room exists for improvements in indexing, filtering and clustering strategies, the methodology described provides a blueprint for the development of computational
tools that can rapidly assess literature content to guide the biological interpretation of complex expression data. Because this literature-mining technique analyzes data at a high level it is independent of the platform used by investigators (for example, spotted cDNA or high-density oligonucleotide arrays, protein arrays) and could find applications in both genomics and proteomics research.

In addition to providing help to explore large expression datasets, occurrence values displayed for certain terms in the format used in Figure 3 can be used to annotate large and complex lists of genes, providing readers with information on gene function. In our example, giving occurrence values for terms such as ‘apoptosis’, ‘endothelial’, ‘interferon’, ‘inflammatory’ ‘chemoattractant’ or ‘histocompatibility’ provides a ‘naive’ reader with insight into the function attributed in the literature to each of the listed genes.

Associating literature profiles with gene-expression data could be used for orienting gene discovery. It is believed that co-regulated genes share similar promoters and/or are involved in similar biological processes [1]. Using this principle of ‘guilt by association’, functions attributed to known genes can be inferred for unknown genes sharing similar expression profiles. In the first example used in this report (see Additional data files), many of the genes were identified using literature profiles as being related to ‘interferon’, ‘virus’ and ‘infection’, and thus it can be assumed that some of the genes and ESTs that were not included in the analysis from lack of literature (see Additional data files) are also associated with these terms. For instance, among the co-regulated ESTs is the ‘Homo sapiens cig5 mRNA, partial sequence’ (AF026941), which was obtained using differential display analysis to identify sequences for which transcription is induced following cytomegalovirus infection [39]. Another co-regulated but poorly studied gene is ‘secreted and transmembrane 1’ (U77643), which resembles a cytokine or growth factor in its broad structural characteristics [40]. This gene was later reported to be the ligand for the surface antigen CD7 and found to be capable of activating NK cells [41], which constitute the primary source of IFN-gamma during early responses to infection [42]. In both examples the link to ‘interferon’, ‘virus’ or ‘infection’ can only be suspected, but certainly deserves attention because these sequences are regulated together with genes known to be involved in the biology of interferons.

Conclusions

The sequencing of whole genomes and the introduction of technologies capable of measuring simultaneously the expression of thousands of genes provides biological research with a global perspective that opposes the trend over the past few decades of the narrowing into highly specialized research fields. But the optimal exploitation of these invaluable resources by researchers necessitates the development of mining tools to explore and interpret data in a time frame compatible with the impressive rate at which they are generated. Individual knowledge is built on associations made between the information we acquire from the literature. The method we describe here mimics this learning process by associating meaningful terms found in scientific publications to create a coherent picture of the relationships that exist within complex groups of genes. Because this analysis is performed independently of knowledge of gene function it provides a means of rapidly probing the biological significance of complex expression data in an unbiased fashion.

Materials and methods

Literature indexing

Relevant literature was retrieved for each gene by querying Medline for entries containing gene names or abbreviations or aliases. The URL database used to generate basic PubMed search strings for human genes can be downloaded (see Additional data files). The database is indexed by LocusLink [9] and GenBank IDs [8]. Most search strings must be edited on a gene-by-gene basis, as a vast majority of publications do not adhere to the official nomenclature and gene names and abbreviations in use can differ from the aliases provided by HGNC or lack specificity (see discussion in ‘Benefits and limitations’). Acronyms that contain only few letters are particularly problematic and must often be removed from the query in order to avoid high proportions of false-positive hits.

Data filtering

Data were filtered as described in Results and discussion using Microsoft Excel. The spreadsheet used to filter the gene list analyzed in this report and baseline occurrence values can be downloaded (see Additional data files).

Examples

The literature profiles generated for the two large published datasets analyzed in this report can be downloaded (see Additional data files) and explored using the clustergram browser Treeview, which is available online at no charge [10]. The three types of files provided for each example (ATR, GTR, CDT) must be copied in the same folder before opening the CDT file with Treeview.

Text analysis

Results from PubMed queries can be downloaded using the save button on the toolbar after selecting the appropriate output format (the default output format - ‘summary’ - must be substituted by ‘XML’). Abstracts are extracted from the output files and saved in a new file containing abstracts separated by a new line. The text analysis of abstract content was performed using the simstat/wordstat modules (Provalis Research, Montreal). Individual files are merged into a single file by Wordstat’s ‘document conversion wizard’ that can be opened in simstat and analyzed by running the ‘content analysis’ statistics. The output consists of a table...
(crosstab - tabulate: word occurrence; display: category percent), which can be saved as a tab-delimited text file.

Hierarchical clustering
Clustering analysis was performed using Cluster/Treeview programs available from the Eisen lab website [10]. Genes were grouped using the average linkage hierarchical clustering algorithm.

Additional files
Additional tables contain an index of the gene abbreviations used throughout the paper and a detailed list of non-obvious functional relationships identified by the exploration of Figures 6 and 7. Our URL database of indexed PubMed entries and a sample term filtering table are available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet format. The literature profiles of Figures 6 and 7 (ATR, GTR and CDT files) can be read by the well-known open source dendrogram browser Treeview [10].
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