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ABSTRACT

Introduction: This analysis evaluated the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) aged ≥ 60 and < 60 years.

Methods: This post hoc analysis included patients with T2D enrolled in two phase 3 clinical trials AWARD-CHN1 (NCT01644500) and AWARD-CHN2 (NCT01648582) of dulaglutide 0.75 and 1.5 mg. Patients were categorized into two groups (≥ 60 and < 60 years). Efficacy outcomes (change in glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c], fasting blood glucose [FBG], and weight; percentage of patients achieving HbA1c target [< 7.0%]) and safety outcomes (incidence of hypoglycemia and gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events [GI TEAEs]) at 26 weeks were evaluated for each age group in both trials.

Results: A total of 766 patients (≥ 60 years, n = 222; < 60 years, n = 544) were included in the study. A similar reduction of HbA1c was observed in both age groups: AWARD-CHN1, 1.5 mg (least squares mean [LSM] 95% confidence interval [CI] ≥ 60 years: − 1.45% [− 1.69, − 1.21%] and < 60 years: − 1.43% [− 1.59, − 1.28%]) and 0.75 mg (≥ 60 years: − 1.29% [− 1.53, − 1.05%] and < 60 years: − 1.31% [− 1.51, − 1.08%]); AWARD-CHN2, 1.5 mg (≥ 60 years: − 1.60% [− 1.83, − 1.36%] and < 60 years: − 1.64% [− 1.80, − 1.49%]) and 0.75 mg (≥ 60 years: − 1.31% [− 1.55, − 1.08%] and < 60 years: − 1.33% [− 1.48, − 1.17%]). Dulaglutide showed a reduction in HbA1c as early as 4 weeks after initiation of treatment, which was maintained over 26 weeks in both age groups. The percentage of patients achieving HbA1c target < 7.0% at 26 weeks was also similar in both age groups. Incidence of hypoglycemia and GI TEAEs was low in each age group.
Conclusion: Treatment with once-weekly dulaglutide improved glycemic control in patients with T2D aged ≥ 60 years and < 60 years and was well tolerated in older patients, suggesting it can be considered a safe and effective treatment option for use in older patients with T2D.

Trial registration: AWARD-CHN1 (NCT01644500) and AWARD-CHN2 (NCT01648582).
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Key Summary Points

Why carry out this study?
Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is increasing at an alarming rate in geriatric populations, and treatment in these patients is complex because of comorbidities and functional impairments, and a high risk of adverse events such as recurring hypoglycemia.

Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (RAs) are considered a good therapy for the elderly patients with diabetes owing to its low risk of hypoglycemia.

The present study is a post hoc analysis of AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2 to evaluate efficacy and safety of once-weekly dulaglutide (1.5 and 0.75 mg) in patients with T2D aged ≥ 60 and < 60 years.

What was learned from the study?
The study indicated a similar change in HbA1c, FBG, and weight reduction and increased proportion of patients achieving HbA1c target (< 7%) in Chinese patients in both age groups (≥ 60 and < 60 years). Incidence of GI TEAEs and hypoglycemia were low.

The overall findings from the present study demonstrate improvement of glycemic control and tolerability of once-weekly dulaglutide in patients aged ≥ 60 and < 60 years.

DIGITAL FEATURES
This article is published with digital features to facilitate understanding of the article. You can access the digital features on the article’s associated Figshare page. To view digital features for this article go to https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12801050.

INTRODUCTION
Diabetes mellitus has been rising at an alarming rate worldwide with the prevalence in adults (20–79 years) at 9.3% (463 million) in 2019, and it is estimated that it will be approximately 10.2% (578 million) by 2030 and 10.9% (700 million) by 2045 [1], of which type 2 diabetes (T2D) accounts for 90–95% [2]. In 2019, China had the highest number of adults with diabetes (116.4 million) and is anticipated to remain so in 2030 and 2045 [3].

An aging population contributes to the increased prevalence of diabetes, with a prevalence at 20.2% in over 60-year-olds in China [4]. Aging is also associated with many comorbidities such as cognitive dysfunction, neuropathy, renal impairment, and high-risk adverse events such as recurring hypoglycemia. The utility of some therapies, including insulin and sulfonylureas, is limited in geriatric populations by the risk of hypoglycemia [5, 6].

Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (RAs) enhance insulin secretion and suppress glucagon secretion in a glucose-dependent manner [7] and impart low risk of hypoglycemia [8] and could be considered for the management of glycemic control in older people with T2D with either previous cardiovascular disease or cardiovascular risk factors [9]. Dulaglutide, a once-weekly GLP-1RA
approved for treatment of T2D in China, has been reported to be beneficial in Chinese patients with T2D in two phase 3 trials (AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2) [10, 11].

The present post hoc analysis aimed to investigate the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide in Chinese patients with T2D aged ≥ 60 years and to provide evidence for the older population with T2DM when using dulaglutide in clinical practice for Chinese patients.

METHODS

Study Design and Patients

The post hoc analysis of AWARD-CHN1 (NCT01644500) and AWARD-CHN2 (NCT01648582) only included the Chinese sub-population which was stratified by baseline age. The study design of AWARD-CHN studies was published in detail previously [12, 13]. AWARD-CHN1 was a double-blind, multicenter, randomized, phase 3 study to compare the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide with glimepiride monotherapy, and AWARD-CHN2 was an open-label, multicenter, randomized, non-inferiority phase 3 study to compare the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide with insulin glargine add-on to metformin and/or sulfonylurea.

Patients in each trial were categorized into two groups based on age at baseline, ≥ 60 and < 60 years, following Chinese Diabetes Society guidance that considers geriatrics as 60 years and older [14]. With the development of diabetes, lower β-cell function advances with aging, so patients were also categorized into tertiles based on baseline β-cell function [Updated Homeostasis Model Assessment of β-cell function (HOMA2-β%)].

This study is a post hoc analysis of primary publications [12, 13]. Both studies were initiated after approval of the study protocol by the ethics review board at each site including the master ethics review board at Ruijin Hospital Affiliate to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All procedures followed ethical standards, and the study was conducted in accordance with 1964 declaration of Helsinki and its amendments, Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and applicable local laws and regulations.

Study Outcomes

Efficacy outcomes included change in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting blood glucose (FBG), and body weight from baseline to week 26; percentage of patients achieving < 7% HbA1c at week 26; and change in HbA1c over time.

Safety outcomes included the incidence of hypoglycemia and gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events (GI TEAEs), which were the most common TEAEs observed in AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2 [10, 11].

Statistical Analysis

Baseline data were compared with post-treatment data at week 26. The efficacy analysis was conducted on the modified intention-to-treat population. This included all randomized patients that received at least one dose of the study drug and had one baseline HbA1c measurement along with at least one post-baseline HbA1c measurement. Changes in HbA1c, FBG, and weight from baseline to 26 weeks were estimated by least-squares mean (LSM) and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Counts and percentages were used to summarize categorical data whereas mean, standard deviation, and median were used to summarize continuous data. Safety was assessed in terms of incidence of hypoglycemia and GI TEAEs in the safety population who received at least one dose of the study drug. SAS version 9.3 was used to perform all analyses.

RESULTS

Patients Characteristics

A total of 766 patients [age ≥ 60 years, n = 106 (AWARD-CHN1) and n = 116 (AWARD-CHN2); age < 60 years, n = 264 (AWARD-CHN1) and n = 280 (AWARD-CHN2)] were included in the study. Of these 766 patients, 384 received...
Table 1  Demographics and baseline characteristics stratified by age ≥ 60 and < 60 years

| Demographics | AWARD-CHN1 |          | AWARD-CHN2 |          |
|--------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
|              | 1.5 mg     | 0.75 mg  | 1.5 mg     | 0.75 mg  |
| Age ≥ 60 years | (n = 54)   | (n = 52) | Age ≥ 60 years | (n = 59) | Age ≥ 60 years | (n = 57) | Age < 60 years | (n = 134) | Age < 60 years | (n = 141) | Age < 60 years | (n = 139) |
| Gender, n (%) |            |          |            |          |            |          |            |          |            |          |            |          |
| Male         | 30 (55.6)  | 22 (42.3)| 31 (52.5)  | 28 (47.5)| 37 (64.9)  | 31 (52.5)| 24 (40.7)  | 62 (44.0)| 17 (29.8)  | 66 (47.8)|
| Female       | 24 (44.4)  | 30 (57.7)| 22 (42.3)  | 30 (57.7)| 28 (47.5)  | 28 (47.5)| 30 (44.0)  | 62 (44.0)| 31 (52.5)  | 31 (52.5)|
| Age, years (mean ± SD) | 64.1 ± 3.2| 48.1 ± 8.6| 64.8 ± 3.4| 49.5 ± 8.1| 65.6 ± 3.9| 49.9 ± 8.0| 65.8 ± 4.5| 49.3 ± 7.3| 65.6 ± 3.9| 49.9 ± 8.0|
| Diabetes duration, years (mean ± SD) | 6.2 ± 6.1| 3.1 ± 3.6| 5.2 ± 5.5| 2.5 ± 2.9| 9.7 ± 4.9| 6.9 ± 4.2| 10.5 ± 5.7| 6.7 ± 4.8|
| BMI, kg/m$^2$ (mean ± SD) | 25.4 ± 2.7| 25.6 ± 3.4| 26.1 ± 3.6| 26.0 ± 3.3| 25.3 ± 2.8| 26.1 ± 3.3| 25.7 ± 2.9| 26.5 ± 3.4|
| Weight, kg (mean ± SD) | 67.6 ± 10.1| 70.5 ± 10.9| 68.3 ± 11.6| 71.7 ± 12.1| 68.0 ± 9.7| 73.6 ± 12.7| 71.5 ± 10.3| 73.9 ± 12.6|
| HbA1c, % (mean ± SD) | 7.9 ± 0.8| 8.0 ± 1.0| 8.0 ± 1.0| 8.1 ± 1.0| 8.2 ± 1.3| 8.5 ± 1.1| 8.3 ± 1.1| 8.3 ± 1.1|
| FBG, mmol/L (mean ± SD) | 9.4 ± 2.3| 9.5 ± 2.7| 9.0 ± 2.2| 9.4 ± 2.6| 8.9 ± 2.2| 9.9 ± 2.7| 9.7 ± 2.2| 9.7 ± 2.3|
| HOMA2-%B, mean (range), % | 36.9 (7.5–89.9)| 39.0 (7.1–135.7)| 40.8 (10.5–93.6)| 41.3 (6.0–119.1)| 42.7 (5.4–152.6) | 34.4 (7.5–100.9)| 35.9 (11.2–98.9)| 37.1 (7.3–136.9)|
Patients in both age groups, ≥ 60 and < 60 years, experienced significant reduction in HbA1c from baseline to week 26 with 1.5 mg dulaglutide (AWARD-CHN1, ≥ 60 years: LSM [95% CI] = −1.45% [−1.69, −1.21%] and < 60 years: −1.43% [−1.59, −1.28%]; P < 0.001 in both groups; AWARD-CHN2, ≥ 60 years: −1.59% [−1.83, −1.36%] and < 60 years: −1.42% [−1.80, −1.49%]; P < 0.001 in both groups) and 0.75 mg dulaglutide treatment (AWARD-CHN1, ≥ 60 years: −1.27% [−1.50, −1.04%] and < 60 years: −1.15% [−1.30, −0.99%]; P < 0.001 in both groups; AWARD-CHN2: ≥ 60 years: −1.24% [−1.48, −1.00%] and < 60 years: −1.04% [−1.28, −0.80%]; P < 0.001 in both groups) (Fig. 1a). LSM change in HbA1c from baseline showed a rapid reduction in HbA1c as early as 4 weeks of initiation of dulaglutide treatment that was maintained over time up to 26 weeks. A similar reduction in HbA1c was observed in patients aged ≥ 60 and < 60 years with both 1.5 and 0.75 mg at different time points in AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2 (Fig. 2). At 26 weeks, the percentage of patients attaining the HbA1c target of < 7% was similar in both age groups (AWARD-CHN1, 1.5 mg [≥ 60 years: 66.7% and < 60 years: 73.8%] and 0.75 mg dulaglutide [≥ 60 years: 65.4% and < 60 years: 62.7%]; AWARD-CHN2, 1.5 mg [≥ 60 years: 71.2% and < 60 years: 63.8%] and 0.75 mg dulaglutide [≥ 60 years: 56.1% and < 60 years: 53.2%]; Fig. 1b).

A comparable statistically significant decrease in FBG from baseline to week 26 was observed in both the age groups (P < 0.001): AWARD-CHN1, ≥ 60 years (1.5 mg LSM [95% CI] = −2.58 mmol/L [−3.09, −2.08 mmol/L] and 0.75 mg: −1.89 mmol/L [−2.41,
target (< 7.0%) at week 26; c change in FBG from baseline to week 26; d change in weight from baseline to week 26

Further analysis of efficacy in patients stratified by baseline β-cell function tertile showed that the reductions of HbA1c and FBG were significant in each of the low, middle, and high β-cell function group (P < 0.05; Fig. 1c). In addition, a statistically significant reduction in body weight was observed from baseline to week 26 in patients of both the age groups with two doses of dulaglutide in AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2 (P < 0.05; Fig. 1d).

Fig. 1 Efficacy outcomes of dulaglutide in patients aged ≥ 60 and < 60 years. a Change in HbA1c from baseline to week 26; b percentage of patients achieving HbA1c target (< 7.0%) at week 26; c change in FBG from baseline to week 26; d change in weight from baseline to week 26

Fig. 2 Change in HbA1c from baseline to week 26 over time stratified by age ≥ 60 and < 60 years. a AWARD-CHN1; b AWARD-CHN2

-1.37 mmol/L) and < 60 years (1.5 mg: -2.17 mmol/L [-2.49, -1.86 mmol/L] and 0.75 mg: -1.82 mmol/L [-2.14, -1.51]); AWARD-CHN2, ≥ 60 years: (1.5 mg: -2.42 mmol/L [-2.95, -1.88 mmol/L] and 0.75 mg: -2.18 mmol/L [-2.72, -1.64 mmol/L] and < 60 years (1.5 mg: -2.28 mmol/L [-2.63, -1.93 mmol/L] and 0.75 mg: -1.54 mmol/L [-1.90, -1.19 mmol/L]; Fig. 1c). In addition, a statistically significant reduction in body weight was observed from baseline to week 26 in patients of both the age groups with two doses of dulaglutide in AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2 (P < 0.05; Fig. 1d).
group with 1.5 mg dulaglutide in the two trials. Weight reduction in each group was also observed and is shown in Fig. S1 of the supplementary material. A similar reduction in HbA1c was observed from baseline at week 4, 8, 14, and 26 that was maintained over time in low, middle, and high β-cell function groups (Fig. S2 of the supplementary material).

Safety Outcomes

The incidence of total hypoglycemia was as follows: AWARD-CHN1, 1.5 mg (≥60 years: 1.8% and <60 years: 7.5%) and 0.75 mg dulaglutide (≥60 years: 3.8% and <60 years: 3.6%); AWARD-CHN2, 1.5 mg (≥60 years: 20.0% and <60 years: 14.0%) and 0.75 mg dulaglutide (≥60 years: 21.7% and <60 years: 12.8%; Fig. 3). No severe hypoglycemia events were observed in both trials.

Incidences of GI TEAEs reported in ≥1% patients are represented in Fig. 4. Similar incidences of GI TEAEs were reported in both the age groups for 1.5 mg (incidence of ≥1% GI TEAE in AWARD-CHN1: 39.3% and 36.1% in patients aged ≥60 and <60 years, respectively; AWARD-CHN2: 33.3% and 30.8% in patients aged ≥60 and <60 years, respectively) and 0.75 mg dulaglutide treatment (incidence of ≥1% GI TEAE in AWARD-CHN2: 28.3% and 24.1% in patients aged ≥60 years and <60 years, respectively). The most frequent GI-related adverse event was diarrhea; detailed incidence of GI TEAEs are listed in Fig. 4.

DISCUSSION

In this post hoc analysis of AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2 trials, treatment with once-weekly dulaglutide demonstrated clinically relevant HbA1c, FBG, and weight reduction and led to increased proportions of Chinese patients with T2D aged ≥60 and <60 years achieving HbA1c target (<7%), and the efficacy of glycemic control was not influenced by baseline β-cell function.

In accordance with previous reports reviewing a pooled analysis of six trials, the present study showed similar effectiveness of glycemic control and safety profiles irrespective of baseline age [5]. Other GLP1-RAs such as liraglutide and exenatide also reported effective glycemic control irrespective of baseline age. Bode et al. reported that liraglutide was well tolerated in all patients irrespective of age with low hypoglycemic risk, and suggested it as a suitable option for geriatric patients with comorbidities [15]. Pencek et al. reported the tolerability of exenatide regardless of age in all patients [16]. The results of the present study were in accordance with other GLP-1RAs.

In the AWARD-CHN1 1.5 mg group, weight reduction in patients ≥60 years was greater than in patients <60 years, although in

Fig. 3 Incidence of hypoglycemia [% (n)] through 26 weeks overall stratified by age ≥ 60 years and age < 60 years

△ Adis
AWARD-CHN2 weight reduction was similar between age groups. While there is not a clear rationale for the observation, dulaglutide was well tolerated in both age groups and weight reduction was within the acceptable range. A pooled analysis of dulaglutide stratified by age reported similar weight loss in both the age groups (≤ 65 and ≥ 65 years) [5].

A decrease in β-cell function with aging has been reported [17]; however, modulation of insulin secretion from β-cells in a glucose-dependent manner is one of the mechanisms of controlling glycemic levels by GLP-1RAs [18, 19]. The analysis of the effect of baseline β-cell function on dulaglutide efficacy in this study indicates that glycemic control was not influenced by baseline β-cell function, which is consistent with reported studies stating that once-weekly dulaglutide improved glycemic control irrespective of β-cell function [20].

Recently, GLP-1RAs have been recommended by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) as preferred over insulin in older adults with T2D at increased risk of hypoglycemia because meta-analyses [21–24] suggest lower risk of hypoglycemia and benefit of weight loss. Generally, few patients (< 6 [3.2%] in each dose treatment group) discontinued treatment as a result of AEs in AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2 [10, 11]. The incidence of hypoglycemia was low in both AWARD-CHN1 and AWARD-CHN2. It is a little higher in AWARD-CHN2, which may be related to oral antihyperglycemic medication background use (i.e., metformin and/or sulfonylurea) in AWARD-CHN2 patients, suggesting that administration of

---

**Fig. 4** Gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events reported in ≥ 1% patients through 26 weeks overall by aged ≥ 60 and < 60 years [n (%)]. **a** AWARD-CHN1; **b** AWARD-CHN2
Dulaglutide with metformin and/or sulfonylurea may increase incidence of hypoglycemia in clinical practice in the older population. Dulaglutide was well tolerated in Chinese patients, and the incidence of TEAEs was generally low [10, 11]. GI TEAEs are the most common AEs in patients using GLP-1RAs, and in accordance, this analysis shows that GI adverse events, particularly diarrhea, were the most common TEAEs in the present study [25]. However, the incidence of diarrhea is similar with other GLP-1RAs [26] and is similar regardless of age and dose in this analysis. As per dulaglutide prescribing information, no dose adjustment is required on the basis of age; the results of present study also represent the same.

The present post hoc subgroup analysis has certain limitations of evidence but provides input for clinical practice. The baseline age range was limited to ≥ 60 years; however, the percentage of patients aged ≥ 75 years was small in these two trials. In addition, the duration of this study was limited to 26 weeks, which may not represent the effects of long-term use of dulaglutide.

CONCLUSION

Our findings indicate that treatment with once-weekly dulaglutide improves glycemic control even in patients aged ≥ 60 years and in lower baseline β-cell function groups. Dulaglutide was well tolerated in elderly patients, suggesting that dulaglutide can be considered a safe and effective treatment option for use in geriatric patients with T2D.
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