A DUAL-SCALE LEAD-SEPARATED TRANSFORMER WITH LEAD-ORTHOGONAL ATTENTION AND META-INFORMATION FOR ECG CLASSIFICATION
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ABSTRACT

Auxiliary diagnosis of cardiac electrophysiological status can be obtained through the analysis of 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs). This work proposes a dual-scale lead-separated transformer with lead-orthogonal attention and meta-information (DLTM-ECG) as a novel approach to address this challenge. ECG segments of each lead are interpreted as independent patches, and together with the reduced dimension signal, they form a dual-scale representation. As a method to reduce interference from segments with low correlation, two group attention mechanisms perform both lead-internal and cross-lead attention. Our method allows for the addition of previously discarded meta-information, further improving the utilization of clinical information. Experimental results show that our DLTM-ECG yields significantly better classification scores than other transformer-based models, matching or performing better than state-of-the-art (SOTA) deep learning methods on two benchmark datasets. Our work has the potential for similar multichannel bioelectrical signal processing and physiological multimodal tasks.

Index Terms— transformer, electrocardiogram, arrhythmia classification

1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains a leading cause of death worldwide, greatly necessitating improvements in the quality and quantity of care [1]. Cardiac electrophysiological recordings have become fundamental for discerning cardiac symptomology in patients, and a plethora of information can be examined through the interpretation of 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs). More accessible medical services and the widespread use of wearable ECG monitoring devices have greatly increased the availability of ECG data for clinicians, but simultaneously it has become impossible for cardiologists to manually interpret the massive amounts of data generated in these scenarios.

In recent years, automatic ECG diagnostic technology has been developed based on custom-built deep learning models such as Convolution Neural Networks (CNNs), Long Short-Term Memory networks (LSTMs), and transformer-based networks [2, 3, 4, 5], some of which report current state-of-the-art (SOTA) scores. Currently, researchers involved with automated ECG diagnostics are paying increased attention to transformer-based models which have performed very well in a variety of fields due to transformers’ advantages in capturing long-range attention and ability to encode input with various semantics [6, 7]. In a recent study, CNN layers and transformer layers extracted information directly from ECG segments, and 20 of 300 features designed by experts were selected including heart rate variability and morphological features [8]. BaT regards the aligned heartbeat sequence as input and aggregates all heartbeat features with the convolution layer after 1D Swin transformer blocks [4].

In this work, we propose a novel lead-separated transformer with meta-information called DLTM-ECG, with dual-scale representation and lead-orthogonal attention mechanisms. In this proposed neural network, the one-dimensional multichannel ECG input is first subdivided into patches by time and lead, then concatenated with reduced-dimension features to obtain dual-scale information. We adopt not only vanilla attention but also lead-orthogonal attention mechanisms. To adaptively integrate information from all feature tokens, the global average pooling (GAP) of features serves as the initialization of the class token. The meta-information from the ECG database is encoded and adopted to facilitate comprehensive learning about the representation of different types of information. Experimental results show that our method is significantly improved over the previous ECG transformer structure and matches or performs better than the previous state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods in multiple tasks.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Model structure

Fig. 1 shows the overall structure of the proposed model. We obtained both ECG signals and meta-information from public databases. Input ECG is divided by time and lead. The signal from each lead is pooled to obtain reduced-dimension
features with a coarse scale which, together with the original signal, constitutes a dual-scale representation. The initial few layers of the model adopted lead-orthogonal attention modules to define the computational range of attention and reduce interference from segments with low correlation. The global information is then integrated in transformer layers with vanilla attention. Table 1 shows some model hyperparameters. DLTM-ECG has 2.6M trainable parameters. Code will be available.

2.2. Lead-separated ECG segmentation on dual scales

Different ECG leads are regarded by cardiologists as diverse perspectives of cardiac electrical activity [9]. Our transformer-based model is expected to notice diversity among various ECG leads, hence we proposed a lead-separated division method. Specifically, a segment from one single channel is treated as a patch. At the same time, since it is difficult for transformer blocks to synthesize information at different scales, we pooled ECG signals in the time dimension to introduce both coarse and fine-grained scales. As a commonly used method to reduce feature dimension, maximum pooling could retain peak and elevation information and demonstrate sufficient effectiveness in our experiments. All patches are linearly projected into patch embeddings as inputs of the DLTM-ECG encoder. We used 12-lead ECG as an example in this work, but due to the transformer’s flexibility in the number of input patch embeddings, our model can support records with a variable number of leads.

2.3. Multiple attention calculation mechanisms

(a) Lead-internal self-attention. (b) Cross-lead self-attention.

Based on the above segmentation and pooling procedure, our model is built on both vanilla self-attention blocks and novel lead-orthogonal attention blocks [10][11]. The vanilla multihead self-attention (MSA) blocks are cascaded after the lead-orthogonal attention blocks. Our lead-orthogonal atten-
tion module includes lead-internal multihead self-attention and cross-lead multihead self-attention as shown in Fig. 2. It has a clear physical meaning with each group naturally corresponding to segments within the same lead or time period. In the lead-internal multihead self-attention, we treated all tokens of different leads at the same time, whether they are from initial records or pooled records, as a group. Correspondingly, in the cross-lead multihead self-attention, we treated all tokens in the same lead as a group.

The group multi-head self-attention in the dual attention block can be represented by

$$A_{\text{group}}(Q, K, V) = \{A_{\text{Mhead}}(Q_i, K_i, V_i)\}_{i=0}^{N_{\text{group}}}$$

where attention in a single group is:

$$A_{\text{Mhead}}(Q_i, K_i, V_i) = \text{Concat} \{\text{head}_j\}_{j \in [1, N_{\text{head}}]}$$

and for each attention head:

$$\text{head}_j = \text{softmax} \left( \frac{Q_jK_j^T}{\sqrt{d_h}} \right) V_j$$

2.4. Fusion of meta-information

Previous studies in the field of image classification have shown that the effective use of meta-information can improve the knowledge extraction ability of the model [12]. The transformer has the advantage of being able to flexibly adapt to the various input information, thus allowing for intuitive integration of previously discarded meta-information into the proposed model. The meta-information embeddings, combined with the output features of the lead-orthogonal attention layers and the class token, become the input of the following vanilla attention layers.

3. EXPERIMENTS

3.1. Datasets and preprocessing

PTB-XL is a publicly available clinical dataset containing 21,837 records of 12-lead ECG dataset from 18,885 patients with a 10-second duration [13]. Each record states whether the recording belongs to one or more multi-label classification tasks including ‘all’, ‘diagnostic’, ‘superdiagnostic’, ‘subdiagnostic’, ‘rhythm’ and ‘form’. Meta-information including age, gender, height and weight is available.

Chapman is another open-source clinical database comprised of 12-lead ECG signals from 10,646 individuals. Age and gender are available in this dataset [14]. The labels of all data are clustered into four disjoint categories SR, SB, GSVT, and AFIB. Both the original and denoised versions are provided. We trained on both original and denoised records and tested only on original records.

We selected the 100Hz version for both datasets. For PTB-XL, according to the recommended partition, eight of the 10 folds are used for training, one for validation and the other for testing. For Chapman, we implemented 10 fold cross-validation with 80% indices for training, 10% for validation and 10% for testing.

3.2. Evaluation metrics

The comparison with current methods on PTB-XL dataset was achieved through the macro-AUC, which measures class separability by calculating the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for each label and then averaging them. For the Chapman database, we further adopted the following metrics: accuracy, macro-precision, macro-recall and macro-F1. For each class we have

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$F1 = \frac{2 \cdot Recall \cdot Precision}{Recall + Precision}$$

The macro metrics calculates the average of results for each class.

3.3. Implementation details

In each training step, a sliding window with a random offset generates segments from the current batch data, and DLTM-ECG iterates over the segments in turn. This avoids unstable training caused by excessive randomness and alleviates inefficiencies caused by the transformer’s lack of inductive bias to spatial invariance. We warmed up the model for 20 epochs at a linear schedule and then applied ReduceLROnPlateau learning rate schedule, which automatically halves the learning rate when the validation score doesn’t improve. The max learning rate was set to 0.003, and the batch size is set to 128 for both datasets. An Adamw optimizer with $\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.99$ and weight decay = 0.05 was applied as our base optimizer. Then we wrapped the base optimizer with the SAM method, and the radius of hypersphere $\rho$ was set to 0.05 [19]. An exponential moving average (EMA) [20] with $\alpha = 0.998$ is implemented, and the shadow weight is updated after each training step. BCEWithLogits loss function is used.

| Table 2. Ablation study results(%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Lead independence | Dual scale | Multiple attention | Meta-information | macro AUC |
| ×                | ×                | ×            | ×                | ×                | 91.6       |
| ✓                | ×                | ×            | ✓                | ×                | 92.6       |
| ✓                | ✓                | ✓            | ✓                | ×                | 92.9       |
| ✓                | ×                | ✓            | ✓                | ×                | 92.8       |
| ✓                | ✓                | ✓            | ✓                | ✓                | 93.4       |
| ✓                | ✓                | ✓            | ✓                | ✓                | **94.2**   |
Table 3. Experimental results(%) on PTB-XL.

| method                  | all  | diag | superdiag | subdiag | rhythm | form |
|------------------------|------|------|-----------|---------|--------|------|
| Wavelet+NN [3]         | 84.9 | 85.5 | 87.4      | 85.9    | 89.0   | 75.7 |
| LSTM [3]               | 90.7 | 92.7 | 92.7      | 92.8    | 95.3   | 85.1 |
| LSTM_bidir [3]         | 91.4 | 93.2 | 92.1      | 92.3    | 94.9   | 87.6 |
| CNN+LSTM [5]           | 93.2 | 93.2 | 92.9      | 92.9    | 95.0   | 89.2 |
| resnet1d [3]           | 91.9 | 93.6 | 93.0      | 92.8    | 94.6   | 88.0 |
| method in [15]         | -    | -    | 93.1      | -       | -      | -    |
| ST-CNN-GAP-5 [16]      | -    | -    | 93.4      | -       | -      | -    |
| fcn [5]                | 91.8 | 92.6 | 92.5      | 92.7    | 93.1   | 86.9 |
| IMLE-Net [2]           | -    | -    | 92.2      | -       | -      | -    |
| inception1d [3]        | 92.5 | 93.1 | 92.1      | 93.0    | 95.3   | 89.9 |
| xresnet1d [3]          | 92.5 | 93.7 | 92.8      | 92.9    | 95.7   | 89.6 |
| multi-period attention [17] | 92.7 | 93.1 | 93.0      | 92.3    | 97.2   | 85.2 |
| ViT [4]                | 86.2 | -    | -         | -       | -      | -    |
| BaT [4]                | 90.5 | -    | -         | -       | -      | -    |
| DLTM-ECG               | 94.2 | 93.9 | 93.2      | 93.8    | 96.1   | 91.7 |

Table 4. Experimental results(%) on Chapman.

| metric       | [18] | [16] | DLTM-ECG |
|--------------|------|------|----------|
| accuracy     | 96.1 | 96.2 | 96.3     |
| macro precision | 95.8 | 95.9 | 96.2     |
| macro recall | 95.4 | 95.7 | 95.7     |
| macro F1     | 95.6 | 95.8 | 95.9     |
| macro AUC    | -    | 99.5 | 99.7     |

3.4. Ablation study

We provided ablation experiments on the most fine-grained task of PTB-XL. Except for ablation variables, other training settings remained the same. For meta-information ablation, we simply removed these tokens. For multi-attention ablation, we replaced lead-orthogonal attention blocks with double numbers of multihead self-attention blocks that have a similar number of parameters. To remove the dual scale, we skipped the pooling step. We ablated the independence of different leads in this way: instead of dividing 50 points (i.e. 0.5 seconds) off one lead into one patch, we took the 12-channel record of 0.05 seconds as the basic unit, which divides the record temporally and keeps a similar number of patch embeddings. Ablation results shown in Table 2 demonstrate the effectiveness of each innovation we propose.

3.5. Comparison with the state-of-the-arts

The evaluation results on PTB-XL are shown in Table 3 together with current SOTA methods. A previous approach provides benchmarking results covering models including LSTM_bidir, inception1d and xresnet1d [3]. Periodic masks were introduced in [17] to better capture rhythm information. Non-local convolutional block attention module was used in [17] to capture long-range correlation. Both spatial and temporal layers were adopted in [16] which lead to a diagnostic model with decent performance. The work in [5] combines CNN and LSTM and generalizes well on multiple tasks. We run our experiment 10 times and report the average macro-AUC for each task. Our approach achieved the most advanced overall performance on multiple tasks and exceeded the baseline ViT [4] by 8% with an AUC score of 94.2%. Even without the addition of meta-information, the model still obtains well performance, which proves the effectiveness of our method for feature extraction. We also list the results of the SOTA end-to-end deep learning methods using Chapman in Table 4 together with our experimental results under the same settings as PTB-XL. Our method shows good generalization performance in multiple metrics and obtains scores matching or performing better than compared models.

4. CONCLUSION

In this work we present a transformer-based model DLTM-ECG to classify clinical ECG records. We considered characteristics of the transformer and ECG signals to design specific structures. Lead-separated segmentation was proposed to capture the correlation between leads, and the signal was pooled to obtain dual-scale representation. Multiple attention mechanisms further improved the feature extraction ability. The incorporation of meta-information into the model is an important innovation that not only improves classification scores but also reveals the potential to utilize multimodal data in a variety of different physiological signal analyses. Classification results on multiple tasks and ablation experiments have confirmed the effectiveness of the proposed technology.
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