Transverse momentum-flow correlations in relativistic heavy-ion collisions
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The correlation between the transverse momentum and the azimuthal asymmetry of the flow is studied. A correlation coefficient is defined between the average transverse momentum of hadrons emitted in an event and the square of the elliptic or triangular flow coefficient. The hydrodynamic model predicts a positive correlation of the transverse momentum with the elliptic flow, and almost no correlation with the triangular flow in Pb-Pb collisions at LHC energies. In p-Pb collisions the new correlation observable is very sensitive to the mechanism of energy deposition in the first stage of the collision.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Collective expansion of the fireball in relativistic heavy-ion collisions generates an azimuthally asymmetric transverse flow. To a first approximation the collective expansion transforms the azimuthal asymmetry of the fireball into the elliptic or triangular flow in the final spectra \cite{1}. An essential issue in the analysis of the hydrodynamic response is the identification of the relevant parameters of the initial state governing the final response \cite{2,3}. Another important topic recently studied concerns nonlinearities in the hydrodynamics response \cite{4,5}.

One way to study nonlinearities in the hydrodynamic response is to measure higher order moments between the average transverse flow and the coefficients of azimuthally asymmetric flow. To a first approximation the collective expansion transforms the azimuthal asymmetry of the fireball into the elliptic or triangular flow in the final state \cite{11,12}. Correlations between the average transverse momentum in the final state between the size and the eccentricities and on the correlations of the strength of the hydrodynamic response with the flow coefficients. In the following, the correlation coefficient between the average transverse flow and the square of the elliptic or triangular flow coefficient is proposed as a robust observable to study such effects.
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II. TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM-FLOW CORRELATIONS

The covariance of any observable $\mathcal{O}$ with the square of the flow coefficient can be defined

$$cov(v_n\{2\}, \mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pairs}}} \sum_{i\neq k} e^{in\phi_i} e^{-in\phi_k} (\mathcal{O} - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle) ,$$

(1)

where the sum is over pairs of particles not used in the calculation of the observable $\mathcal{O}$. The simplest way to achieve it is to use separate pseudorapidity intervals for the calculation of the flow coefficient and $\mathcal{O}$. The Pearson coefficient for the correlation between $\mathcal{O}$ and the flow coefficient is

$$R(v_n\{2\}, \mathcal{O}) = \frac{cov(v_n\{2\}, \mathcal{O})}{\sqrt{Var(v_n\{2\})Var(\mathcal{O})}} .$$

(2)

By definition the Pearson coefficient in the range $[-1,1]$. Specifically, for the average transverse momentum in the event $\mathcal{O} = [p_{T}] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i p_{T,i}$ we have

$$cov(v_n\{2\}, [p_{T}]) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pairs}}} \sum_{i\neq k} e^{in\phi_i} e^{-in\phi_k} (p_j - \langle [p_{T}] \rangle) .$$

(3)

In the following we chose the particles in the sum from three different pseudorapidity intervals A, B, C, $\eta_i \in [-2.5, -0.75]$, $\eta_k \in [0.75, 2.5]$ and $\eta_j \in [-0.5, 0.5]$. We have checked that similar results can be obtained using one large interval, but excluding self-correlations. The main reason to use three separate pseudorapidity intervals is to reduce non-flow effects. The Pearson correlation coefficient is

$$R(v_n\{2\}, [p_{T}]) = \frac{\langle \sum_{i \in A, k \in B} e^{in\phi_i} e^{-in\phi_k} \frac{1}{N_{A}} \sum_{j \in C} (p_j - \langle [p_{T}] \rangle) \rangle}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in A, k \in B} e^{in\phi_i} e^{-in\phi_k} \frac{1}{N_{A}} \sum_{j \in C} (p_j - \langle [p_{T}] \rangle) \rangle} \sqrt{Var([p_{T}])} .$$

(4)

The Pearson coefficient can be calculated from the experimental data to estimate correlations between an observable and the magnitude of the flow. However, the result
The variance of the flow coefficient squared can be estimated from
\[ \text{Var}(v_n^2)_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{1}{N_A(N_A-1)N_B(N_B-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} (p_i - \langle p_i \rangle)^2 (p_j - \langle p_j \rangle)^2. \] 

III. SELF-CORRELATIONS

The Pearson correlation coefficient (Eq. 4), normalized by the variances of \( v_n^2 \) and \( [p_\perp] \), depends strongly on the choice of the kinematic range, as the multiplicities can change. In the presence of collective flow, one is rather interested in extracting the correlation coefficient of the event by event characteristics of the spectra, the flow coefficient squared and the average transverse momentum.

The correlation coefficient can be normalized by the standard deviation of the flow coefficient and of the average transverse momentum. For the average transverse momentum it amounts to use the dynamical transverse momentum fluctuations \[ C_{p\perp} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} (p_i - \langle p_i \rangle)(p_j - \langle p_j \rangle). \]

The variance of the flow coefficient squared can be estimated from
\[ \text{Var}(v_n^2)_{\text{dyn}} = v_n^4 - (v_n^2)^4. \]

The correlation coefficient of the collective parameters in the events is
\[ \rho(v_n^2, [p_\perp]) = \frac{\text{cov}(v_n^2, [p_\perp])}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(v_n^2)_{\text{dyn}}} C_{p\perp}}. \]

IV. RESULTS FROM THE HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL

Viscous hydrodynamic model simulations in 3+1-dimensions are performed for Pb-Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \) and p-Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV [13]. The initial conditions are generated event by event from the Glauber Monte Carlo model. At the positions of the participant nucleons in the transverse plane \( x_i, y_i \) entropy is deposited with a Gaussian profile of width \( \sigma = 0.4 \text{ fm} \). The transverse profile is given by a sum of contributions from all participant nucleons
\[ S(x, y) \propto \sum_i \left[ (1 - \alpha) + N_i^{\text{coll}} \alpha \right] e^{-\frac{(x-x_i)^2+(y-y_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \]

where the deposited strength has a contribution \( 1 - \alpha \) (\( \alpha = 0.15 \)) times the number of collisions for nucleon \( i \), more details are given in [12]. In each event, after hydrodynamic evolution, statistical emission of hadrons is performed giving events with realistic multiplicities. The Pearson correlation coefficient (4) and the correlation of the transverse momentum and flow (8) are calculated in several centrality classes from

FIG. 1. (color online) Correlation coefficient between the elliptic flow coefficient squared \( v_2^2 \) and the average transverse momentum of charged particles in an event for different centralities. The stars denote the Pearson coefficient (Eq. 4), the circles denote the correlation coefficient without self correlations (Eq. 5) and the triangles denote the correlation coefficient calculated from oversampled events.
central to mid-peripheral. The centrality classes in the calculation are defined by the number of participant nucleons. The Pearson coefficient is always smaller in magnitude than the flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient (Eqs. 1 and 2). It is due to contributions from self-correlation in the denominator of Eq. 1. These contributions are important for small multiplicities, and get larger for peripheral events or for a narrow pseudorapidity range. The correlation calculated from Eq. 8 is a quantity that is defined to be independent on the multiplicity, except for small non-flow effects. In Figs. 1 and 2 (triangles) are shown the results for the flow-transverse momentum correlations obtained by integrating the spectra in each event. Technically, these numbers are calculated using oversampled events, where the multiplicity is increased by a factor 100-300 depending on centrality. As can be observed from the results in Figs. 1 and 2, the flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient [8] is very close to the result for oversampled events. It means that Eq. 8 can be used in practice to estimate the genuine flow-transverse momentum correlations, without self-correlations and with only small non-flow contributions. The approximate independence on the pseudorapidity range or efficiency is explicitly shown in Fig. 3. The results for the correlation coefficient $\rho(v_2^2, |p_\perp|)$ do change when the multiplicity changes, due to finite efficiency or different range in pseudorapidity.

The correlation between the elliptic flow and the transverse momentum is positive (Fig. 1). It is small for central but increases for mid-central events. The correlation coefficient reaches 0.25 for centrality 30-40% which indicates a significant positive correlation. The increase of the mean transverse momentum indicates a stronger transverse flow and a stronger collective response to the initial geometry of the source. The results are qualitatively consistent with the results of the ALICE Collaboration obtained using the event shape engineering technique [9]. A stronger transverse push yields a stronger hydrodynamic response of the spectra to the initial azimuthal deformation. Such an effect is also largely responsible for the observed energy dependence of the integrated elliptic flow [10]. A less important, reverse effect is present in the initial state from the Glauber Monte Carlo model. The initial ellipticity is negatively correlated to the inverse r.m.s radius. Smaller, more compact sources give larger transverse momentum, but a smaller deformation. The strength of that negative correlations depends on the width of Gaussian smearing of the deposited density from each participant nucleon (Eq. 9). The increase of the flow-transverse momentum correlation for mid-central events indicates that it comes from a stronger hydrodynamic response to the large deformation in such events, this is consistent with arguments based on the principal component analysis of the elliptic and transverse flow [9].

The triangular flow shows almost no correlation with the transverse flow (Fig. 2). The negative correlation of the initial triangularity with the inverse of the r.m.s radius is stronger than for the elliptic flow. Also, the triangular deformation is more sensitive to the initial Gaussian smoothing (Eq. 9) than the elliptic deformation. Unlike for the elliptic flow, the magnitude of hydrodynamic transverse push is not identifiable as a predictor for the triangular flow.

The flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient (Eq. 8) is defined to be independent on the range in pseudorapidity. On the other hand, the elliptic and triangular flows depend on transverse momentum. The shift of
the integrated elliptic or triangular flow with the change of the average transverse momentum depends on the $p_{\perp}$ range chosen to calculate $v_2^\perp$. In Fig. 4 is compared the correlation coefficient for three different $p_{\perp}$ ranges used to calculate the integrated flow coefficient, [0.2, 2] GeV (typical range where predictions of the hydrodynamic model are justified), [0.5, 2] GeV (preferred range in view of the efficiency of the ATLAS and CMS detectors at the LHC), [0.4, 0.7] GeV (range where the average transverse momentum lies). The transverse momentum average is calculated for charged hadrons with $0.2 < p_{\perp} < 2.0$ GeV. The calculated flow-transverse momentum correlation coefficient depends on the $p_{\perp}$ integration range.

The collective flow observed in p-Pb collisions can be described fairly well using relativistic hydrodynamics [17]. The predicted flow depends strongly on assumptions concerning the initial density fluctuations [18]. Two simple scenarios of the entropy deposition in the transverse plane are studied, the standard Glauber model, with entropy deposited at the positions of the participant nucleons and the compact source scenario, with entropy deposited in between the two colliding nucleons [19]. For centralities in the range 0-20% the r.m.s radius of the fireball in the first scenario is around 1.5 fm, while in the second case it is much smaller, 0.9 fm.

The correlation between final average transverse momentum $[p_{\perp}]$ and the initial eccentricities has different sign in the two scenarios. For centrality 0-3%, we find that $\rho([p_{\perp}], \epsilon_2) = -0.04 \pm 0.03$ and $\rho([p_{\perp}], \epsilon_3) = -0.13 \pm 0.04$ for the compact source model, while $\rho([p_{\perp}], \epsilon_2) = 0.14 \pm 0.03$ and $\rho([p_{\perp}], \epsilon_3) = 0.05 \pm 0.03$ for the standard Glauber model. The final flow-transverse momentum correlation is very different in the two scenarios. For the larger source it is positive and for the compact source it is negative (Fig. 5). The measured value of the flow-transverse momentum correlation in small systems is very sensitive to the mechanism of the entropy deposition in the initial state of hydrodynamics. It would be also interesting to check if this observable could be used to distinguish between the hydrodynamic expansion and the partonic cascade mechanism [20] of generating flow-like correlations in small systems.

V. CONCLUSIONS

An observable testing the hydrodynamic response of the particle spectra to the initial eccentricity is proposed. It provides a simple quantitative measure of the correlation between the transverse flow and the coefficients of the azimuthal asymmetry of the spectra. A correlation coefficient can be defined between the square of the flow coefficient $v_2^\perp$ and the average transverse momentum in the event $[p_{\perp}]$. Excluding self-correlations in the calculation of the covariance and the variances, one obtains a good estimator of the correlation coefficient of the average transverse momentum and the flow coefficients of the spectra, with no significant non-flow effects. Explicit calculations in the relativistic hydrodynamic model show that the flow-transverse momentum correlation can be measured for heavy-ion collisions at
the LHC. The same is true for collisions at RHIC energies, but the smaller pseudorapidity acceptance and smaller multiplicity would make the interpretation more difficult due to non-flow effects.

The azimuthal asymmetry in the spectra of particles emitted in relativistic heavy-ion collisions is formed during the collective transverse expansion of the fireball. The strength of the response depends on the gradients of the source density. For smaller sources a stronger transverse flow is generated. On the other hand, fireballs with a smaller initial size tend to have smaller eccentricities, especially for the triangular flow. Hydrodynamic model calculations give a significant positive correlation between the average transverse flow and the elliptic flow, increasing from central to mid-central collisions. This is qualitatively consistent with the experimental results using the event shape engineering and the analysis of the nonlinear response in Ref. [6]. The hydrodynamic model with Glauber model initial condition using a smoothing scale of 0.4 fm predicts almost no correlations between the triangular flow and the average transverse momentum. It would be interesting to check if the flow-transverse momentum correlations in peripheral A-A or in p-A collisions could be used as an additional smoothing scale of 0.4 fm predicts almost no correlations between the triangular flow and the average transverse momentum. It would be interesting to check if the flow-transverse momentum correlations in peripheral A-A or in p-A collisions could be used as an additional constraint in studies trying to estimate the smoothing scale in the initial entropy deposition in the fireball [21].

The sensitivity of the proposed correlation measure to viscosity coefficients of matter in the fireball is left for further studies. In this context it should be noted that transverse momentum fluctuations are sensitive to the effective equation of state [23] and could be sensitive to the increase of bulk viscosity near the critical temperature.

In small system collisions the magnitude of the transverse push in the expansion is very sensitive to the duration of the collective dynamics and the size of the initial fireball. Moreover, if the system size fluctuates to be small, the smoothing in the initial entropy deposition yields a stronger reduction of the initial eccentricities. The hydrodynamic model gives very different predictions for the flow-transverse momentum correlation in two scenarios, the standard Glauber model and the compact source scenario in p-Pb collisions at the LHC. This observable could be used to probe the mechanism of energy deposition at small scales in the first stage of the collision. Finally, it would be interesting to compare the predictions of the hydrodynamic and the cascade AMPT models [20, 23] for the flow-transverse momentum correlation.

In summary, the paper proposes to study correlations between the flow, or specifically the square of the flow coefficient, and other observables, as an alternative to the event shape engineering technique. Hydrodynamic model calculations for the correlation of the flow and the transverse momentum demonstrate the practical feasibility of the procedure. The flow-transverse momentum correlation could be used to study fluctuations in the initial stage of the collision.
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