Growth modes of quasicrystals
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The growth of quasicrystals, i.e., aperiodic structures with long-range order, seeded from the melt is investigated using a dynamical phase field crystal model. Depending on the thermodynamic conditions, two different growth modes are detected, namely defect-free growth of the stable quasicrystal and a mode dominated by phasonic flips which are incorporated as local defects into the grown structure such that random tiling-like ordering emerges. The latter growth mode is unique to quasicrystals and can be verified in experiments on one-component mesoscopic systems.

Quasicrystals are aperiodic structures that possess long range positional and orientational order [1, 2]. Since their discovery by Shechtman [1], hundreds of quasicrystals have been reported and confirmed. Most of them are metallic alloys (see, e.g., [3, 4]) but more recently they have also been found in soft-matter systems that are made, e.g., by amphiphilic molecules [5], supramolecular dendritic systems [6, 7], or by star block copolymers [8, 9]. Such soft matter quasicrystals can provide scaffolds for photonic materials [10] and serve as well-characterized mesoporous matrices [11, 12]. In general, quasicrystals occur either as defect-free structures stabilized by energy [13–17] or as locally disordered phases, leading to random tiling like structures, stabilized by entropy [18].

One of the key issues for quasicrystal formation is to understand their growth mechanism out of an undercooled melt. Unlike ordinary growth of periodic crystals where a layer-by-layer mode is possible, quasicrystals lack any strict sequential growth mode due to their aperiodicity which renders their formation quite complex. Based on atomistic simulations, it has been proposed that instead first clusters are formed in the fluid which then assemble in the growing solid-fluid interface [19] but the fundamentals and details for quasicrystal growth are far from being understood. In particular, the incorporation of defects into the emerging structure during the growth process plays the leading role to discriminate between grown defect-free and random-tiling-like quasicrystals.

In this letter we explore the growth behavior of quasicrystals using an appropriate dynamical phase field crystal model with two incommensurate length scales which exhibits stable defect-free quasicrystals in equilibrium. Depending on the thermodynamic conditions (such as undercooling and distance from the triple point), we find two different growth regimes for quasicrystals. There is either a defect-free growth into the stable quasicrystal or a mode dominated by phasonic flips which are incorporated as local defects into the grown structure such that a metastable random tiling-like ordering emerges. The latter growth mode is unique to quasicrystals and can be verified in experiments on one component mesoscopic systems which exhibit quasicrystalline order. Our findings do not only provide a microscopic (i.e. particle-resolved) understanding of the growth processes on the scale of the particle motion but can also be exploited to steer the emerging quasicrystalline texture by the thermodynamic conditions.

Phase field crystal (PFC) models in general employ a coarse-grained free-energy expansion with respect to a scalar density field \( \psi(\vec{r}, t) \), which is related to the one-particle density \( \rho(\vec{r}) \) [20]. In a fluid phase, the density field \( \psi(\vec{r}, t) \) is constant, while crystal phases are described by periodic or quasi-periodic modulations of \( \psi(\vec{r}, t) \). The PFC formalism was introduced first in the framework of periodic pattern formation as a Swift-Hohenberg free-energy [21, 22] and was later derived from microscopic theories [23, 24]. In the original form, only one preferred length scale is regarded in the free energy, which in two dimensions allows for only two periodic solid phases consisting of triangular order or stripes [25, 26]. By adding a second length scale, quasicrystalline structures can be found that minimize the free energy [27, 28]). Therefore this PFC model describes one-component systems whose particles interact according to a pair potential with at least two length scales [27, 29]. Quasicrystals were predicted in such systems theoretically [30, 31] and one-component soft matter quasicrystals [3, 4] are expected to occur due to such pair interactions [29].

In our dynamical PFC model, the density field will evolve towards a local minimum following conserved dynamics [28], i.e.,

\[
\frac{\partial \psi(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 \left[ \frac{\delta F[\psi(\vec{r}, t)]}{\delta \psi(\vec{r}, t)} \right],
\]

where we employ an expansion of the free energy with two length scales [29, 35]

\[
F[\psi(\vec{r})] = \int d\vec{r} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \psi(\vec{r}) \left\{ -\epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^{2} (k_j^2 + \nabla^2) \right\} \psi(\vec{r}) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{4} \psi(\vec{r})^4 \right].
\]

We choose the long length scale \( l_1 = 2\pi/k_1 \) such that \( k_1 = 1 \) and the diffusion constant is set to one such
the small length scale. The phase transitions are of first order and we employ a common tangent construction in order to obtain the coexistence regions marked by gray color in Fig. 1(d).

At , there is a triple point where the stable quasicrystalline phase with 12-fold rotational symmetry, triangular ordering, and the fluid phase meet. Interestingly, the quasicrystalline phase looks different depending on the distance from the triple point. For small , we observe that every local symmetry center, which in the following we will call flower in accordance with [35], is surrounded by twelve density peaks of approximately similar height (Fig. 1(a)). However, for large (Fig. 1(e)), the density peaks in a flower usually have very different heights. Furthermore, in the structure factor of a quasicrystal close to the triple point, the height of the main Bragg peaks is significantly larger than that of the side peaks (Fig. 1(b)) while for a quasicrystal far away from the triple point side peaks are more pronounced (Fig. 1(f)). Finally, we also analyzed the distribution of heights of local maxima of the density field. Close to the triple point, we find a power law distribution (Fig. 1(c)) which is in agreement to what one expects from the sum of plane waves or the pattern created by interfering laser beams (cf. [37]). Far away from the triple point, the distribution of the height of local maxima exhibits a minimum for maxima with intermediate height (Fig. 1(g)) indicating that there are mainly low or high peaks in the density field.

In Fig. 2 we present the growth behavior of a quasicrystal. Our calculations are started with a quasicrystalline seed that is placed into a supercooled fluid surrounding and prescribes the initial density field. The seeds (marked by blue circles) are circular cut-outs from the equilibrium quasicrystal either around the global symmetry center (Figs. 2(a),(d)) or at another position (Figs. 2(b),(e)). For clarity, the global symmetry center, which is the only point in the quasicrystal with perfect rotational symmetry, is marked by red circles.

For the parameters marked by the orange point in the phase diagram of Fig. 1(d) close to the triple point, we observe a very broad growth front that spans over more than ten times the small length scale (Figs. 2(a)-(c)). We checked different seed shapes and sizes (not shown) as well as different seed positions (see, e.g., Fig. 2(a,b)). Below a critical seed size, which encloses about 400 density maxima, the system falls back towards the metastable fluid. Conversely, above this critical seed size, there is growth and the emerging structure does not depend on the details of the seed. Even the global symmetry center is reconstructed perfectly no matter where the growth process is seeded (cf. 2(b)). Therefore, interestingly, all the information about the complete quasicrystal is encoded in the seed for this case.

In contrast, the growth depicted in Figs. 2(d)-(g) for the parameters indicated by the red point in Fig. 1(d), i.e., far away from the triple point, leads to metastable...
FIG. 2: Snapshots of structures during the growth. The plotted density is scaled and shifted such that its values in bulk range from 1 for the maximum (depicted black) to 0 for the minimum (white). The blue circles mark the positions of the original seed, which either is (a,d) around the global symmetry center (red circle) or (b,e) at another position. The parameter sets correspond to the orange and red points in Fig. 1(d), i.e., (a,c) close to the triple point leading to a perfect quasicrystal or (d-g) far away from the triple point such that a random tiling-like phase develops. (c,f) Enlargements of the growth fronts in (b,e). (g) Comparison of the grown structure (red tiling) and the perfect equilibrium quasicrystal (green tiling). Movies of (a), (b), (d), and (e) are available in the supplemental materials [38].

configurations that depend on size, position, and shape of the initial seed (see, e.g. Figs. 2(d), (e)). Furthermore, the growth front does not propagate uniformly but changes between a fast progression and almost stuck stages (see also movies in the supplemental materials [38]). The grown structures possess a lot of local defects. For example, in Fig. 2(g) we show a comparison of a tiling of the grown structure (red) and the structure of the equilibrium quasicrystal (green). The global symmetry center usually is not reconstructed (see, e.g., Figs. 2(e)). Note that though there are a lot of local defects, we rarely observed global dislocations (using the methods described in [39], see also supplemental materials [40]). Therefore the random tiling-like growth mode differs significantly from the well-studied situation in periodic crystals, where the growth especially in the coexistence region might lead to structures with numerous dislocations [41, 42].

The width of the quasicrystal-fluid interface is about one or two times $l_1$ (Fig. 2(f)). Furthermore, the interface is not smooth laterally. The interface itself is mainly composed of complete flowers, i.e., the growing quasicrystal completes flowers even if at the respective position there should be no flower in the perfect equilibrium quasicrystal. Therefore, the grown structures possess a lot of local disorder and its overall shape usually is no longer circular but strongly faceted.

The occurrence of the different growth modes is probably related to the role of the long length scale $l_1$ during the interface propagation. While close to the triple points both length scales are equally important, far away from the triple point $l_1$ seems to dominate. For example, flowers, whose diameter is $2l_1$, are completed precipitately, pronounced density peaks that usually occur at a distance $l_1$ can be found more often then peaks of intermediate height (cf. Fig. 1(g) in contrast to Fig. 1(c)), and the tiling of a grown structure is dominated by squares and triangles with side length $l_1$ while rhombes are rare (see red tiling of the grown structure in Fig. 1(g) in contrast to the green tiling of the equilibrium quasicrystal).

In order to analyze the difference between the two growth regimes in more detail and to find the crossover between the two regimes, we compared the density field of the equilibrium quasicrystal and the density field of a structure grown from a seed containing 650 maxima around the global symmetry center for parameters along the green path shown in the phase diagram in Fig. 1(d). We determined the places where the difference of the corresponding density fields exceeds 0.2 of the maximum amplitude of the equilibrium density field. Such pronounced differences only occur far away from the triple point and correspond to local defects. We find that the local defects always occur in groups as depicted in Fig. 3(a)-(c). Such correlated rearrangements are known as phasonic excitations [43, 44]. Phasons are additional degrees of freedom in quasicrystals that do not cost any free energy in the long wavelength limit and that lead to correlated particle trajectories [45]. Localized phasonic excitations can also be depicted as local changes of a tiling (see Fig. 3(d)-(f)) and correspond to so-called phasonic flips (cf. [43, 46]). The relative defect concentrations in structures grown at least by a radius $60l_1$
FIG. 3: (a-f) Examples of phasonic flips that occur during growth for parameters far away from the triple point. (a-c) Difference of the density field of the equilibrium quasicrystal and the density field of the grown structure. (d-f) The corresponding tilings for the equilibrium (green) and the grown structure (red). (g) Number of defects divided by the total number of analyzed particles for structures grown along the green line shown in (d). The inset shows the same plot in log-linear representation. The orange and the red circle mark the results for the parameters used in Fig. 2.

around the perfect symmetry center are plotted in Fig. 3(g) as a function of $\epsilon$, where $\psi$ is chosen such that one is on the green line depicted in Fig. 1(d). Up to $\epsilon = 1.2$ no defects occurred in the circle where we analyzed the structures. For $\epsilon \geq 1.25$ the number of defects increases almost exponentially (a log-linear plot is presented in the inset of Fig. 3(g)) until it approaches 1 for $\epsilon \approx 1.9$ where the grown configuration has a completely different structure and counting of distinct defects becomes difficult.

So far we have analyzed the growth of quasicrystals with 12-fold rotational symmetry. In Fig. 4 we demonstrate that the same two growth regimes also occur for a quasicrystal with 10-fold symmetry where $k_2 = 2\cos(\pi/5)$ was chosen in Eq. 2. Therefore, evidence is provided that in case of quasicrystals with two incommensurate length scales the reported growth mechanisms are independent from the specific symmetry of the quasicrystal. Concerning quasicrystals with more than two incommensurate length scales, we expect that it is harder to balance all length scales during the growth process such that defect-free growth will rarely occur. This might be an additional explanation why quasicrystals and discovered two different growth regimes. While in one regime defect-free quasicrystals could grow, in the other regime phasonic flips were built in due to a dominating long length scale such that the final grown structures correspond to random tiling-like phases. In order to obtain defect-free growth, there has to be a balance of the growth in both length scales. For the random tiling-like growth, the growth is dominated by the long length scale. As a consequence, there is a tendency to complete flowers at the interface, which might be related to a cluster-driven growth (cf. [19]).

Our results can be used to achieve an improved control of the growth of defect-free or random tiling-like quasicrystals in simulations or within experimental systems, e.g., the mesoscopic one-component quasicrystals mentioned in the introduction. We want to point out that it is not necessary to explore the whole phase behavior in order to determine the growth regimes. The type of growth can also be identified by analyzing the equilibrium structure (cf. Fig. 1). In the regime with defect-free growth the Bragg peaks of the structure factor are more pronounced than in the growth regime where phasonic flips occur. Furthermore, the distribution of heights of the density maxima in the defect-free growth regime is a power law, while in the growth regime with defects this distribution possesses two maxima, one denoting shallow maxima and one for the most pronounced maxima. In the case of cluster quasicrystals, the density distribution can be measured directly by determining the distribution of cluster sizes [30]. In case of other quasicrystals, it can be deduced from the statistical probability density.

FIG. 4: Snapshots of the growth of a quasicrystal with 10-fold symmetry. (a) Close to the triple point, for $\epsilon = 0.0685$, a defect-free quasicrystal grows. (b) For $\epsilon = 0.52$ the growth front is sharper and the grown structure differs from the equilibrium quasicrystal. Close to the growth front, Archimedean-like tilings are observed that are periodic in one direction and have been obtained for particles that are deposited on quasicrystalline substrates [17]. The insets show comparisons of the tilings corresponding to the equilibrium (green) and the grown structures (red). Movies are included in the supplemental materials [38].

In conclusion, we presented a theory to describe the growth of quasicrystals and discovered two different growth regimes. While in one regime defect-free quasicrystals could grow, in the other regime phasonic flips were built in due to a dominating long length scale such that the final grown structures correspond to random tiling-like phases. In order to obtain defect-free growth, there has to be a balance of the growth in both length scales. For the random tiling-like growth, the growth is dominated by the long length scale. As a consequence, there is a tendency to complete flowers at the interface, which might be related to a cluster-driven growth (cf. [19]).
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