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Abstract

Patient-specific human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived atrial cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-aCMs) may be produced, genome-edited, and differentiated into multiple cell types for regenerative medicine, disease modeling, drug testing, toxicity screening, and three-dimensional tissue fabrication. There is presently no complete model of atrial fibrillation (AF) available for studying human pharmacological responses and evaluating the toxicity of potential medication candidates. It has been demonstrated that hiPSC-aCMs can replicate the electrophysiological disease phenotype and genotype of AF. The hiPSC-aCMs, however, are immature and do not reflect the maturity of aCMs in the native myocardium. Numerous laboratories utilize a variety of methodologies and procedures to improve and promote aCM maturation, including electrical stimulation, culture duration, biophysical signals, and changes in metabolic variables. This review covers the current methods being explored for use in the maturation of patient-specific hiPSC-aCMs and their application towards a personalized approach to the pharmacologic therapy of AF.
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INTRODUCTION

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia in clinical practice. More than 33 million people worldwide are affected by AF, which is still escalating. Over the last 50 years, the prevalence of AF has increased threefold. AF generates a substantial burden in terms of costs, morbidity, and mortality. There are many limitations in terms of its management due to high rates of recurrence, multiple medical side effects, and high variability in pathophysiological mechanisms among individuals. AF is linked to a twofold increase in early mortality as well as serious and significant adverse cardiovascular events like heart failure, stroke, and myocardial infarction. It is assumed that a trigger-driven illness leads to the establishment of a functional atrial substrate, which is then followed by major structural atrial remodeling. This would fit with the clinical fact that AF is frequently paroxysmal at first, before developing into a persistent and eventually chronic form of arrhythmia (Figure 1)[1]. Despite much research being conducted, the mechanisms of AF remain unclear. Thus, there is a need for a proper disease model that can serve as a platform for a better understanding of the pathophysiology of AF, which can help guide the future treatments of AF toward becoming more personalized[2]. Stem cells (SCs) have been employed in cardiovascular research to create several heart disease models to better understand their pathophysiology[3]. Recently, the use of human-induced pluripotent SCs (hiPSCs) in cardiovascular research has become more popular. These hiPSCs can be further programmed into many cardiomyocytic subtypes and can be used to generate cardiac disease models[4]. The unlimited production of hiPSC-cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-CMs) provides new opportunities to evaluate in vitro models of normal and abnormal human CMs that can be used in drug efficacy and safety testing. Moreover, hiPSC-CMs also have the potential to become an essential tool to better understand the familial form of AF. Advancements in the study of SCs and development of several CM types, including atrial, ventricular, and nodal forms, have played a role in the analysis of underlying electric cardiac disorder mechanisms, and have been a potent tool for assessing treatment options through chamber-specific cell models. Since the pathophysiologic mechanisms of AF remain to be further explored, the idea of using hiPSC-atrial-specific CMs (hiPSC-aCMs) to generate an AF disease model is an interesting topic[5,6]. In this review, we demonstrated the current challenges faced in clinical practice regarding the understanding and management of AF using hiPSC-aCMs as an AF disease model and the future implications for personalized therapy.

HIPSCS

Recent decades have seen the emergence of SC technologies that have brought about great promises for the management of various human ailments, particularly non-communicable diseases. Certainly, cardiovascular diseases have been one of the most popular fields for SC-based therapeutic approaches. Previous research in cardiovascular medicine has put much effort into the derivation of human embryonic SCs (hESCs) due to their pluripotency. Unfortunately, the ethical issues surrounding the destruction of embryos to obtain hESCs, and the possibility of ESCs forming teratomas when transplanted undifferentiated, have resulted in imposed bans on their use and research funding in many countries[7]. However, things took a turn when Nobel prize recipient Shinya Yamanaka and colleagues discovered a way to generate PSCs from mouse somatic cells such as skin fibroblasts, T cells, renal tubular cells, keratinocytes, and oral mucosal cells by expressing four crucial transcription factors, namely octamer-binding transcription factor 3/4, SRY-box transcription factor 2, c-Myc, and Kruppel-like factor 4, which
Figure 1 Progression in atrial fibrillation mechanisms over time. A: Local ectopic focus; B: Single circuit re-entry; C: Multiple circuit re-entry. Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF) is mostly underpinned by local triggers, particularly from pulmonary veins. Re-entry substrates (first functional, then structural) prevail as AF becomes more persistent and, finally, permanent.

resulted in cellular reprogramming to ESC-like inner mass cells. The reprogrammed cells were named iPSCs[8]. Subsequently, hiPSCs were generated just 1 year later (Figure 2). Because the manufacture of autologous hiPSCs from individual people is a slow, tedious, and expensive technique that hinders acute therapy and is prohibitive for wider patient care, Yamanaka and colleagues overcome this difficulty by encouraging the use of allogeneic iPSCs. They developed hiPSC banks where blood cells are taken from “super donors” and reprogrammed into clinical-grade hiPSCs. With time and cost savings, these allogeneic hiPSCs can be given to a larger patient population (Figure 3)[9].

HIPSC-CM DIFFERENTIATION

In the last decade, advances in SC biology and CM development have been made. Several laboratories have made major contributions to the development of low-cost, easy-to-use procedures for efficiently obtaining CMs from hiPSCs. For efficient CM formation from SCs, differentiation approaches have generally tried to mimic, alter, and adopt embryonic development signals. Early research utilizing embryonic SCs has indicated that by manipulating growth factors and hormones involved in the formation of the heart, SCs could be steered towards a cardiac lineage. Early endoderm expresses transforming growth factor superfamily members, the wingless/integrated (Wnt) protein signaling pathway, and fibroblast growth factors have all been discovered to be important in the development of the mammalian heart[10-13]. Mummery et al[14] proposed three techniques for transforming hiPSCs into CMs: floating embryoid body, monolayer culture, and inductive co-culture. Various strategies have been used to establish disease models for therapeutic drug testing and drug toxicity in AF using hiPSC-CMs. Almost all of these methods rely on single-cell models, which do not effectively mimic the cardiovascular environment in vivo. Major sarcomeric myofilament organization features are absent in single-cell CMs. As a result, the assessment of contractile force in single cells was ineffective[15,16]. Because cells grown in culture flasks (two-dimensional [2D] culture) behave differently than their in vivo counterparts, the novel concept of cultivating cells in 3D was born. Furthermore, 2D cultures do not sufficiently replicate the physiological tissue milieu or the intricacy of in vivo tissue dynamics. 3D organoid cultures have recently advanced to the point that it is now possible to produce human tissues in vitro that mimic human physiology and pathology[17-20].

HIPSCS-ACMS

Differentiation of hiPSC-aCMs

HiPSC-CMs are a mixed population of ventricular CMs (vCMs), aCMs, and pacemaker cells. The separation of each subtype is critical for a variety of applications. vCMs are the most common subtypes
Reprogramming of human somatic cells, such as fibroblasts, into human induced pluripotent stem cells is compared with the inner cell mass of embryonic stem cells.

Figure 2

Concept of human induced pluripotent stem cells banks, where blood samples are taken from a “super donor”. Cells from the blood samples are reprogrammed into clinical-grade human induced pluripotent stem cells, which can be distributed to recipients for regenerative medicine.

Figure 3

Isolation of hiPSC-aCMs

Chirikian et al[35] employed the chamber-specific reporter gene, sarcolipin (SLN), to separate homogeneous populations of hiPSC-aCMs from a single hiPSC line using clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats associated protein 9 (CRISPR/Cas9) to create fluorescent reporter
lines for aCMs with SLN cyan fluorescent protein. They confirmed chamber-specific isolation of hiPSC-aCMs using genetic and electrophysiological characteristics. The studies by Josowitz et al.\cite{36} and Gharanei et al.\cite{35} found that SLN expression was an indicator of atrial selectivity. They developed a bacterial artificial chromosome reporter design in which fluorescence was triggered by expression of the atrial-specific gene SLN. Cells with strong fluorescence express atrial genes and have functional calcium (Ca$^{2+}$) handling and electrophysiological features similar to atrial CMs and were isolated by a flow cytometer.

**Maturation of hiPSC-aCMs**

Even though interest in SC biology and CM development has increased recently, maturation of hiPSC-aCMs has been challenging. Modeling and drug screening for cardiovascular disease are limited by their immature characteristics. HiPSC-aCMs' mature and immature phenotypes significantly differ from one another, which may have important ramifications for how well they may simulate adult disorders and be used in regenerative medicine. Several laboratories worldwide have examined ways for hiPSC-CM maturation by aiming to imitate events and components involved in cardiac development. Although ventricular cells have been the focus of the majority of hiPSC-CM maturation research, atrial cells may also benefit from the techniques and results. A variety of tactics have been employed, including electrical stimulation, microenvironment alteration, and various cultural contexts. CM development in vivo might take months or years. As a result, lengthening the hiPSC-aCM culture time was one of the first strategies to accelerate maturation to be examined. Long-term cell culture causes structural alterations in the form of increased cell length, area, and length-to-width ratios as well as modifications to sarcomere structure and decreased proliferation\cite{37}. Long-term culture resulted in a tenfold increase in the population of multinucleated aCMs, small improvements in contractility, Ca$^{2+}$ handling, and electrophysiological properties, as well as higher myofibril density and alignment, better sarcomere organization, and smaller improvements in cell size and anisotropy\cite{38}. The majority of maturation changes usually occur within the first 4 wk of culture. Although extended culture increased maturation, it did not result in the formation of t-tubules or other characteristics of a completely developed aCM.

Biophysical stressors have been discovered to be another critical factor in the development of aCMs in the heart. By using biophysical signals during the stages of development and maturation, these settings have been attempted to be recreated in order to facilitate the maturation of aCMs in culture. Adult aCMs' rod form is important for myofibril alignment and contractility. By culturing the cells in micropatterns or by printing nanogrooves on the culture substrates, it is possible to promote the elongated and anisotropic features in 2D cultures and laboratory settings\cite{39}. Ribeiro et al.\cite{40} attempted to culture single hiPSC-aCMs on polyacrylamide substrates with physiological stiffness and Matrigel micropatterned surfaces in 2015 to produce a physiological form with a 7:1 width aspect ratio. They found that using micropatterned surfaces improved force output, showed longitudinal Ca$^{2+}$ propagation, increased the number of mitochondria, and presented an advanced electrophysiological profile. Substrates with nanogrooves showed another impact of nanoscale structure on the maturation of hiPSC-aCMs. Carson et al.\cite{41} found that grooves in the 700–1000 nm range improved hiPSC-aCM organization and structural development. These findings suggest that topographical guiding might help to produce a more physiologically realistic milieu for aCM development and maturation.

Electrical impulses continually activate CMs in the heart, causing it to contract synchronously. The consequences of excitation-contraction coupling are crucial for the growth and function of the heart. In vitro investigations have shown that electrical stimulation has an important function in CM differentiation and maturation. Ma et al.\cite{42} evaluated how electrical stimulation affected the growth and function of the designed heart. They discovered that just 7 d of in vitro electrical field stimulation caused cell alignment and coupling, enhanced contractility, and resulted in structural organization. They concluded that significantly improved aCM maturation can be achieved by culturing iPSC-aCMs as spheroids and exposing them to cyclic, uniaxial stretch, and electrical stimulation. Later studies sought to understand how electrical stimulation affects the development of aCMs by adjusting stimulation parameters including frequency, duration, and timing. They showed that ultrastructural maturation happens as stimulus frequency is gradually increased (via intensity training)\cite{43,44}. Additional research on the impact of electrical stimulation on hiPSC-aCM growth and maturity was conducted and found that aCM contractility was increased by a combination of electrical stimulation and mechanical stress, which also improved collagen fiber arrangement, Ca$^{2+}$ handling, and mitochondrial alignment\cite{45,46}.

It is crucial to stimulate metabolism in the hiPSC-aCMs maturation process. Recent studies discovered that changes in hormone signaling led to significant differences in maturation in cell cultures. Triiodothyronine (T3), a thyroid hormone, is an essential regulator of heart growth, development, and function, and its levels sharply increase after birth. T3 infusion increased CM maturation by increasing cell width and binucleation, lowering proliferation and cyclin D1, and considerably raising p21 protein, according to research on the effects of T3-induced maturation in sheep fetuses\cite{47}. Moreover, T3 and glucocorticoid hormones enhance t-tubule formation in hiPSC-CMs. From days 16 to 30, hiPSC-CMs were treated with T3 and dexamethasone, followed by T3 or dexamethasone alone, resulting in the development of a large t-tubular network, better Ca$^{2+}$ handling, and more highly organized structurally than the ryanodine receptor 2\cite{48}. 
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A number of non-CMs surround aCMs in vivo, which help to create a milieu where the cardiac tissue may develop and mature. According to a study that examined electrophysiological maturation and developmental changes in embryoid bodies, the formation of aCM depends on the existence of non-CMs [49]. Tulloch et al[50] discovered that including endothelial and stromal cells in the constructed human cardiac tissue increased CM proliferation and the formation of vessel-like structures in 2011. These tissues were inserted into the hearts of rats with athymia, where they flourished and united with the host myocardium to produce grafts. Combining hiPSC-CMs with cardiac fibroblasts (CFs) and cardiac endothelial cells improved maturation in scaffold-free 3D microtissues, as evidenced by improved sarcomeric structures with t-tubules, increased contractility, mitochondrial respiration, and a mature electrophysiological profile, according to Giacomelli et al[51]. Connexin 43 gap junctions were used in the interaction between hiPSC-CM and CFs to increase intracellular cyclic AMP. While the precise mechanisms underlying co-culture enhanced maturation are unknown, it is believed that non-CMs play a significant role in CM maturation by promoting direct physical adhesion and secreting cytokines like granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, vascular endothelial growth factors, stromal cell-derived factor 1, and basic fibroblast growth factor[52].

Several investigators have examined the influence of generating 3D tissue conditions to improve CM maturation. Neonatal rat CMs were developed in a 3D hydrogel environment utilizing microfabricated elastomeric modules with hexagonal posts to mimic the orientation of the epicardial fibers in the adult heart. After 3 wk of culturing, the 3D tissue demonstrated improved structural and functional maturation as compared to 2D monolayers, as proven by the presence of dense, aligned, and electromechanically active cells. The 3D structures also showed co-localization of L-type Ca2+ channels and mature action potential (AP) propagation, conduction velocity, and robust development of t-tubules aligned with Z-disks[53]. Compared to ventricular engineered heart tissue (EHT), Lemme et al[54] atrial EHT (aEHT) had higher levels of atrial selective marker expression, faster contraction dynamics, lower force output, and shorter AP duration (APD).

**Phenotype of hiPSC-aCMs**

The aCMs are distinct from other types of CMs in that they are more responsive than vCMs to certain disease states and drug treatments. Atrial cells are smaller, thinner, and have fewer t-tubules than ventricular cells, which allows them to handle Ca2+ differently from ventricular and nodal cells. To distinguish between ventricular and nodal-like CMs, aCMs have a distinct electrophysiological property that can be exploited in subtype specification studies[55-57]. The Ca2+ propagation pattern is delayed and shorter in aCMs, and sarcoendoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca2+ ATPase 2a and SLN, a phospholamban paralog, are expressed more abundantly. Ryanodine receptor expression is also lower in aCMs[58]. Because aCMs express the ultra-rapid delayed-rectifier K+ (potassium) channel (IKACh) and an acetylcholine-activated inward-rectifying K+ channel (IK,ATP), which are mainly lacking in vCMs, there are differences in channels and currents between aCMs and vCMs that affect electrophysiology. Thus, the resting membrane potential and AP amplitude of the atrial AP are less negative. Additionally, the atrial AP is more triangular in shape, with a smaller plateau phase and quicker repolarization phase, which is regulated by a K+ current that is expressed at lower levels and that is internally rectifying K+ current (I(Ks)), slowly activating delayed rectifier K+ channels (I(Kr)), rapidly activating delayed rectifier K+ channels (I(Kr)), sodium current (I(Na)), I(KACh), T-type Ca2+ channel, and Ca2+-activated K+ channels expression in the atrium[59-61] (Figure 4).

The effective differentiation of aCMs from hiPSC-CMs can be easily assessed by gene expression, protein quantification, and cell characterization methods. From the standpoint of gene expression, defining the atrial lineage might require a few consensus cell lineage-specific genes. These hiPSC-aCMs should include SLN, natriuretic peptide A, myosin light chain 7, and T-Box transcription factor 5, with a decrease in myosin light chain-2 and iroquois homeobox 4 genes[23,62]. To assess the aCMs and vCMs of hiPSC-CMs, Gharanei et al[5] used simple two-parameter flow cytometry using cardiac troponin T (cTnT) and myosin light chain 2v (MLC-2v), respectively. As a marker of hiPSC-aCMs, they used the MLC-2v negative/cTNT-positive population since MLC-2v has high selectivity for ventricular cells. The multiparameter flow cytometry panel might be enhanced to include additional atrial-specific markers such as T-box transcription factor 5 to more precisely select the aCMs in addition to the specificity of commercially available antibodies and the accessibility of a multicolor flow cytometry device. When these approaches are combined, they may be used to quickly determine the specificity of aCMs.

**HIPSC-ACMS AND AF**

**AF disease modeling**

Benzoni et al[63] investigated the clinical cases of three siblings with untreatable persistent AF whose whole-exome sequence analysis revealed several mutated genes using three iPSC clones from two of these patients and differentiated these cells towards the cardiac lineage. They discovered that the electrophysiological characterization of patient-derived aCMs (AF-aCMs) beat at a greater rate than control-aCMs. The pacemaker current (I(f)) and I(CaL) currents were found to be more important in the
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**Figure 4 Action potential of cardiac muscle.** Red arrow up represents outward current, green arrow down represents inward currents. Action potential has 5 states: 4, resting; 0, upstroke; 1, early repolarization; 2, plateau; 3, final repolarization. APD: Action potential duration; \(I_{\text{Ca}}\): L-type \(Ca^{2+}\) current; \(I_{\text{f}}\): Pacemaker current; \(I_{\text{Kr}}\): Delayed rectification currents; \(I_{\text{K1}}\): Inward rectifier current; \(I_{\text{ACCh}}\): Acetylcholine-activated inward-rectifying potassium current; \(I_{\text{Kur}}\): Slow; \(I_{\text{Kur}}\): Ultra-rapid; \(I_{\text{Na}}\): Sodium current; \(I_{\text{f}}\): Transient outward current; NCX, Na +/Ca²⁺ exchanger.

There were no variations in the repolarizing current \(I_{\text{Ks}}\) or \(Ca^{2+}\) handling in the sarcoplasmic reticulum. Paced AF-aCMs had much longer APs, and under stress, both delayed after-depolarizations of greater amplitude and more ectopic beats than control-aCM cells. They concluded that the patients' common genetic background causes functional changes in the \(I_{\text{f}}\) and \(I_{\text{Kur}}\) currents, resulting in a cardiac substrate that is more prone to arrhythmias under stressful situations. They proposed that using patient-derived aCMs grown from iPSC might reveal a plausible cellular mechanism underlying this complex familial variation of AF (Table 1).

Argenziano et al[64] conducted comprehensive molecular, transcriptomic, and electrophysiological analyses of RA-derived hiPSC-aCMs and showed that RA causes differential expression of \(Ca^{2+}\) homeostasis genes that directly interact with the RA receptor via chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor 2 (COUP-TFII). They described a mechanism through which RA may induce an atrial-like electrophysiological signature via COUP-TFII-mediated downstream control of \(Ca^{2+}\) channel gene expression and \(Ca^{2+}\) handling modulation. They concluded that the findings provided critical insight into the underlying molecular pathways that drive hiPSC-aCMs electrophysiology and justified the use of hiPSC-aCMs as an AF disease model. In 2019, Nakanishi et al[65] used an *in vitro* 2D monolayer preparation of hiPSC-aCMs and atrial fibroblasts (aFbs) to see if conduction disruption influenced geometrical patterning and constituent cell heterogeneity under high frequency stimulation. They performed a directed cardiac differentiation strategy using all-trans RA to generate hiPSC-aCMs. The hiPSC-aCMs and aFbs were transplanted in predetermined ratios (aCMs/aFbs: 100%/0% or 70%/30%) on manually produced plates with or without geometrical patterning, simulating the pulmonary veins (PVs)/left atrial junction. After that, high frequency field stimulation imitating recurrent ectopic foci originating in PVs was administered, and optical mapping was used to determine electrical propagation. They discovered that a higher frequency electrical stimulus preferentially caused poorer electrical conduction in hiPSC-aCMs monolayer preparations with an abrupt geometrical transition rather than those with uniform geometry. Furthermore, the addition of human aFbs to the geometrically patterned hiPSC-aCMs tended to worsen the integrity of electrical conduction as compared to preparations employing the hiPSC-aCMs alone. Thus, electrical conduction inside *in vitro* hiPSC-aCMs monolayers was selectively endangered by geometrical narrow-to-wide patterning in response to high frequency stimuli. The aFbs, which indicate constituent cell heterogeneity, also contributed to a further decrease in conduction stability.

In 2020, Lemoine et al[66] studied whether optogenetic tachypacing of hiPSC-aCMs cultured into aEHT may produce AF-remodeling. The aEHTs were created from 1 million hiPSC-aCMs after RA differentiation. To enable optogenetic activation by blue light pulses, aEHTs were transduced with a lentiviral expression channel expressing rhodopsin-2. Over a 3-wk period, aEHTs were subjected to optical tachypacing at 5 Hz for 15 s twice a minute and compared to transducing spontaneously beating isogenic aEHTs (1.95 ± 0.07 Hz). The force and length of APs did not differ between spontaneously beating and tachypaced aEHTs. The upstroke velocity in tachypaced aEHTs was greater (138 ± 15 vs 87 ± 11 V/s; \(P = 0.018\)), potentially reflecting a predisposition for more negative diastolic pressure. The spontaneous beating rhythm of tachypaced aEHTs was more irregular; N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and RNA levels were greater in the targeted group. Intermittent tachypacing in
Table 1 The application of human induced pluripotent stem cells atrial cardiomyocytes and atrial fibrillation

| Ref. | Specimens | Experiment | Results |
|------|-----------|------------|---------|
| Benzioni et al[63] | -2 untreated persistent AF siblings (3 hiPSC clones) | -Differentiated 3 hiPSC clones’ cells towards the atrial cardiomyocytes (AF-aCMs) | -AF-aCMs had much longer action potentials, beat at a greater rate, and more ectopic beats than control-aCM cells. The patients’ common genetic background causes functional changes in the I\(_{\text{f}}\) and I\(_{\text{max}}\) currents, resulting in a cardiac substrate that is more prone to arrhythmias under stressful situations |
| Argenziano et al [64] | RA-derived hiPSC-aCMs | -Molecular, transcriptomic, and electrophysiological analysis of RA-derived hiPSC-aCMs | -RA causes differential expression of Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis genes that directly interact with the RA receptor via COUP-TFI |
| Nakanishi et al [65] | -2D monolayer of hiPSC-aCMs and atrial fibroblasts (aFbs) | -Conduction disruption influenced geometrical patterning and constituent cell heterogeneity under high frequency stimulation | -A higher frequency electrical stimulus preferentially caused poorer electrical conduction in hiPSC-aCMs monolayer preparations with an abrupt geometrical transition rather than those with uniform geometry. The addition of human aFbs tended to worsen the integrity of electrical conduction |
| Lemoine et al[66] | hiPSC-aCMs cultured into atrial engineered heart tissue (aEHT) | -Optogenetic activation by blue light pulses after aEHTs were transduced with a lentiviral expression channel expressing rhodopsin-2 | -The spontaneous beating rhythm of tachypaced aEHTs was more irregular; NT-proBNP and RNA levels were greater in the targeted group. Intermittent tachypacing in aEHTs causes some of the electrical changes seen in AF as well as an arrhythmic spontaneous beating pattern |
| Hong et al[67] | hiPSC-aCMs from 2 relatives who carried SCN5A mutations (E428K and N470K) | -Characterize the pathogenesis of AF-linked SCN5A mutations compared with isogenic controls | -Mutant AF iPSC-aCMs demonstrated spontaneous arrhythmogenic activity with beat-to-beat irregularity, longer APD, and triggered-like beats. Single-cell recordings demonstrated that AF iPSC-aCMs had increased INaL |
| Soepriatna et al [68] | 3D atrial microtissue from hiPSC-aCMs and hiPSC-vCMs | -AP responses to the atrial-specific potassium repolarizing current I\(_{\text{f}}-\text{ blocker 4-Aminopyridine and the funny current I-f blocker I}^{\text{f}}\text{Avibradine were characterized in vitro} | -An atrial microtissues having a quicker spontaneous beating rate, a slower AP rise time, and a shorter APD than ventricular microtissues |
| Drug screening platform for AF | | | |
| Honda et al[69] | hiPSCs with and without RA | -Gene expression and membrane potential analyses | -Pulse width duration 30 F lengthening was verified exclusively in hiPSC-aCMs using I\(_{\text{f}}\) channel inhibitor unique to aCMs. While hiPSC-vCMs displayed an early following depolarization with treatment with I\(_{\text{f}}\) channel inhibitor, which generates ventricular arrhythmia in clinical settings |
| Scmid et al[70] | Nodal hiPSC-CMs, hiPSC-aCMs, and hiPSC-vCMs | -Assess the potential of drugs that cause chronotropic effects, AF, and ventricular arrhythmias | -Electrophysiological characteristics and ion channel expression differed across the three commercially available hiPSC-CM cultures. Whereas atrial/ventricular pluricytes demonstrate a tendency toward chamber specificity |
| Personalized regenerative medicine for AF | | | |
| Wang et al[71] | hiPSC-aCMs from patients with paroxysmal AF and healthy controls. A miR-155 transgenic (Tg) and knock-out mouse | -Expression of miR-155 and CACNA1C on the I\(_{\text{Ca,l}}\) | -The expression of miR-155 was elevated while the expression of CACNA1C was decreased in the hiPSC-aCMs of patients with AF. MiR-155/Tg mice exhibited a shorter action potential duration and increased susceptibility to AF, which was related to reduced I\(_{\text{Ca,l}}\) and was inhibited by a miR-155 inhibitor |

aCMs: Atrial cardiomyocytes; aEHT: Atrial engineered heart tissue; AF: Atrial fibrillation; aFbs: Atrial fibroblasts; AP: Action potential; APD: Action potential duration; CACNA1C: Calcium voltage-gated channel subunit alpha1 C; COUP-TFI: Chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor 2; hiPSC: Human induced pluripotent stem cell; I\(_{\text{f}}\): L-type calcium current; I\(_{\text{f}}\): Pacemaker current; I\(_{\text{f}}\): Rapidly activating delayed rectifier potassium current; I\(_{\text{K}}\): Ultra-rapid activating delayed rectifier potassium current; I\(_{\text{K}}\): Late sodium current; miR-155: mircoRNA-155; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide; RA: Retinoic acid; SCN5A: Sodium voltage-gated channel alpha subunit 5; Tg: Transgenic; vCMs: Ventricle cardiomyocytes.
larity, longer APD, and triggered-like beats. Single-cell recordings demonstrated that AF hiPSC-aCMs had increased late sodium currents ($I_{Na,L}$) that were lacking in a heterologous expression model. AF hiPSC-aCMs gene expression analysis revealed different expressions of the nitric oxide (NO)-mediated signaling pathway driving increased $I_{Na,L}$. They also demonstrated that patient-specific AF hiPSC-aCMs exhibited a dramatic in vitro electrophysiological pattern of AF-linked SCN5A mutations, and transcriptomic analysis showed the NO signaling pathway modulating the $I_{Na,L}$ and triggering AF.

In 2021, Soepriatna et al.\textsuperscript{[68]} created an in vitro model of 3D atrial microtissue from hiPSC-aCMs and hiPSC-vCMs and tested chamber-specific chemical responses both experimentally using fluorescence imaging and computationally. For high resolution AP optical mapping, lactate purified aCMs, vCMs, and 5% human cardiac fibroblasts were used to produce self-assembling 3D microtissues, which were then electrically stimulated for 1 wk before high resolution AP optical mapping. Within their therapeutic window, AP responses to the atrial-specific K+ repolarizing current $I_{Kur}$-blocker 4-aminopyridine and the funny current $I_{f}$-blocker Ivabradine were characterized. They found that high purity CMs (> 75% cTnT+) exhibited subtype specification via MLC2v expression. Spontaneous beating rates were dramatically reduced after 3D microtissue development, with atrial microtissues having a quicker spontaneous beating rate, a slower AP rise time, and a shorter APD than ventricular microtissues. They found that the in vitro platform for screening atrial-specific responses is both robust and sensitive, with high throughput, enabling research into the mechanisms underlying atrial arrhythmias.

### Drug screening platform for AF

The differential expression of unique sets of ion channels and other proteins that maximize their specialized functions determines the varied features of atrial and ventricular CMs. Drugs that preferentially target atrial ion channels can thereby induce disparities in pharmacological action between the two chambers. This atrial-selective pharmacology is crucial in the investigation and treatment of atrial-specific illnesses like AF, the most prevalent heart rhythm condition. Investigating atrial-selective pharmacology can help and guide the development of new cardiac drugs while also enhancing safety and efficacy by avoiding potentially damaging electrophysiological effects on the ventricular chambers. In 2021, Gunawan et al.\textsuperscript{[6]} conducted a study to differentiate hiPSC-CMs into a monolayer of CMs with an atrial phenotype (hiPSC-aCMs) by modifying the GiWi protocol. To demonstrate a clear and distinct atrial phenotype, they used multiple phenotypic approaches such as quantitative PCR, digital multiplexed gene expression analysis with NanoString technology, flow cytometry, enzyme-linked immunomassay, voltage transient measurements with current clamp electrophysiology, and simultaneous voltage and Ca$^2+$ transient measurements with optical mapping. They performed an in-depth pharmacological analysis with simultaneous voltage and Ca$^2+$ measurements to demonstrate the differential responses of these chamber-specific CMs, as well as their utility as a translational model for screening the safety and efficacy of novel atrial-specific compounds for the treatment of AF. They demonstrated the role of atrial-specific ionic currents in their model system using a variety of drugs such as 4-aminopyridine, dofetilide, vernakalant, AVE0118, UCL1684, and nifedipine and were able to reveal the predicted chamber specific distinctions between hiPSC-aCMs and hiPSC-vCMs. They concluded that a model system comprised of hiPSC-aCMs and optical mapping is well-suited for preclinical drug screening of novel and targeted atrial selective medicines.

Honda et al.\textsuperscript{[69]} studied the possibility of atrial-like CMs produced from hiPSCs for the assessment of drug-induced atrial arrhythmia. During the process of myocardial differentiation, RA was added to create atrial-like CMs, and their features were compared to those of RA-free CMs. Using gene expression and membrane potential analyses, it was demonstrated that cells with or without RA therapy have atrial or ventricular-like CMs, respectively. Pulse width duration 30cF lengthening was verified exclusively in hiPSC-aCMs using an ultra-rapid activating delayed rectifier K+ current ($I_{Kur}$) channel inhibitor unique to aCMs. Furthermore, vCMs displayed an early following depolarization by treatment with a rapidly activating $I_{Na,L}$ channel inhibitor, which generates ventricular arrhythmia in clinical settings. They concluded that RA therapy produced a platform for human hiPSC-CMs with atrial and nodal characteristics. By comparing ventricular and atrial drug responses, membrane potential-based drug testing on these platforms might uncover propensities for drug-induced tachyarrhythmias. Furthermore, atrial platforms are more susceptible to bradycardia.

Schmid et al.\textsuperscript{[70]} conducted a study to assess the potential of drugs that cause chronotropic effects (nodal hiPSC-CMs), AF (hiPSC-aCMs), or ventricular arrhythmias (hiPSC-vCMs) using single-cell patch-clamp RT-PCR to clarify the composition of the iCell CMs population and to compare it with atrial and ventricular pluricytes and primary human aCMs and vCMs. They found that the comparison of beating and non-beating iCell CMs did not support the presence of true nodal, atrial, and ventricular cells in this hiPSC-CM population. On the other hand, the comparison of atrial and ventricular pluricytes with primary human CMs showed trends, indicating the potential to derive more subtype-specific hiPSC-CM models using appropriate differentiation protocols. They concluded that electrophysiological characteristics and ion channel expression differed across the three commercially available hiPSC-CM cultures. Whereas atrial/ventricular pluricytes demonstrate a tendency toward chamber specificity, the majority of individual cells from all three hiPSC-CM groups studied do not resemble chamber-specific cell populations found in the adult human heart due to unusual combinations of the
analyzed characteristics.

**Personalized regenerative medicine for AF**

Wang et al. [71] used the expression of microRNA-155 (miR-155) and Ca^{2+} voltage-gated channel subunit alpha1 C (CACNA1C) in hiPSC-aCMs from patients with paroxysmal AF and healthy controls to examine the influence of miR-155 on the expression of L-type Ca^{2+} current ($I_{\text{CaL}}$) and how it contributes to electrical remodeling in AF. After miR-155 transfection, $I_{\text{CaL}}$ characteristics were identified in hiPSC-aCMs. In addition, a miR-155 transgenic (Tg) and knockout (KO) mouse model was created to clarify whether miR-155 was engaged in $I_{\text{CaL}}$-related electrical remodeling in AF via targeting CACNA1C. They discovered that the expression of miR-155 was elevated while the expression of CACNA1C was decreased in the hiPSC-aCMs of patients with AF. Transfection of hiPSC-aCMs with miR-155 resulted in alterations in $I_{\text{CaL}}$ characteristics that were qualitatively comparable to those caused by AF. MiR-155/Tg mice exhibited shorter APD and increased susceptibility to AF, which was related to reduced $I_{\text{CaL}}$ and was inhibited by a miR-155 inhibitor. Moreover, genetic suppression of miR-155 blocked AF induction in miR-155/KO mice while leaving $I_{\text{CaL}}$ characteristics unchanged. They concluded that miR-155 had a crucial role in the control of Cav1.2, which was responsible for electrical remodeling in AF. The electrical remodeling in AF caused by large decreases in $I_{\text{CaL}}$ density was mitigated in miR-155-KO hearts. Although genetic deletion of miR-155 prevented the development of AF, overexpression of miR-155 in Tg mice dramatically aggregated AF, showing that miR-155 suppression may be a favorable therapeutic strategy in preventing electrical remodeling and AF.

The glutamatergic transmitter system, as an excitatory transmitter system, regulates the excitability and conductivity of neurons. Since CMs and neurons are both excitable cells, CMS may be controlled by a similar mechanism. Xie et al. [72] found that aCMs have an intrinsic glutamatergic transmitter system that governs AP production and propagation. There are many glutamate-containing vesicles beneath the plasma membrane of rat atrial CMs. Moreover, important components of the glutamatergic transmitter system, such as the glutamate metabolic enzyme, ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs), and glutamate transporters, are expressed in rat aCMs, and iGluR agonists elicit iGluR-gated currents and lower the electrical excitability threshold in those cells. In addition, both in vitro and in vivo, iGluR antagonists significantly reduce the conduction velocity of electrical impulses in the rat aCMs. In cultured hiPSC-aCMs monolayers, knockdown of glutamate ionotropic receptor AMPA type subunit 3 or glutamate ionotropic receptor NMDA type subunit 1, two highly expressed iGluR subtypes in atria, significantly reduced excitatory firing rate and slowed electrical conduction velocity. Finally, in a rat isolated heart model, iGluR antagonists efficiently prevent and terminate AF. They concluded that an intrinsic glutamatergic transmitter system directly modulates aCMs’ excitability and conductivity by influencing iGluR-gated currents. Manipulation of this system may offer new paths for the treatment of cardiac arrhythmias.

Recently, Benzoni et al. [63] reported the first human AF iPSC-derived cells, which were created from two of three siblings who developed a drug-resistant type of AF at a young age (55 years). They investigated the molecular and electrophysiological features of hiPSC-CMs from AF patients (AF-CMs) and controls (CTRL-CMs) using these cells, indicating changes in ionic currents that might reflect one of the cellular pathways that contribute to AF start. In the near future, this approach might serve as a foundation for personalized regenerative medicine for AF.

With these positive prospects in sight, it is highly probable that the future management of AF can be personalized with the use of AF disease models, which are constructed from patient-derived hiPSC-aCMs to test for individually-tailored drugs that illicit specific responses in different patients. Through the use of these models, different mutations in various AF patients can be explored, and their corresponding responses to treatment can be evaluated. This may lead to the development of new medications that are specific to distinct mutation subtypes, enabling a more precise treatment regimen. Moreover, AF models can provide a platform for further studies in regenerative medicine.

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the various issues encountered in current treatments of AF, it becomes clear that more personalized therapeutic approaches need to be adopted in order to enhance the safety and efficacy of AF therapy. With the use of hiPSC-aCMs, AF disease models can be constructed and they can play a major role in future developments in precision medicine. The AF models can serve as a novel platform for drug discovery and development, and eventually personalized therapies for AF. Furthermore, recent study discoveries predict future success in regenerative medicine, and AF models can help pave the way for the development of regenerative therapy for AF patients, potentially leading to the discovery of an absolute cure for AF.
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