Essential Mineral Intake During Pregnancy and Its Association With Maternal Health and Birth Outcomes in South East Queensland, Australia
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ABSTRACT: Micronutrient supplements are often recommended during pregnancy, yet their role and necessity remain poorly understood in the Australian population. This study aimed to determine the essential mineral intake of a population of pregnant women in South East Queensland and investigate the effects of supplements on their micronutrient status and birth outcomes. Women completing the Oral Glucose Tolerance Test at two South East Queensland hospitals between 180 and 210 days gestation provided fasting blood samples and dietary data using the Maternal Outcomes and Nutrition Tool (n = 127). Birth outcomes were sourced from medical records. Serum elemental profiles were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis. Intake of 8 essential minerals was compared with Australian dietary recommendations; matched serum mineral levels were compared with the current Queensland pregnancy reference ranges. Data were examined using cross-sectional cohort design and independent sample t-tests. Supplement use had no significant influence on serum values of trace elements or the incidence of hypertensive disorders, gestational diabetes, preterm birth or infant birthweight. Dietary selenium, zinc and iodine were significantly higher in women birthing beyond 41 completed weeks; selenium (P = .026) and zinc (P = .034) both made unique contributions to the regression models when controlling for confounders. Women exhibited adequate to excessive serum micronutrient levels compared with pregnancy reference ranges, a finding consistent with dietary intake calculations. Data suggest that excessive essential mineral intake contributed to prolonged pregnancy in this cohort, supporting previous studies in this population. Further research is required to determine individual needs and eliminate the potential for harm before recommending pregnancy supplements.
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Introduction
Micronutrient supplements are often recommended during pregnancy, yet their efficacy remains poorly understood in Australia’s geographically and culturally diverse population.1 While research regarding birth outcomes in women with a known risk for nutrition deficits such as those in low- and middle-income regions and with socio-economic disadvantage has found improved outcomes with both multiple and individual vitamin and mineral supplementation, little research has been undertaken in high-income countries.2-4 Furthermore, research conducted in wealthy countries has thus far focused on pathological pregnancy.5,6 The therapeutic application of micronutrient supplements and the potential benefit of their anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties in the amelioration of preterm birth and pre-eclampsia are promising.7,8 However, the effects of these properties on uncomplicated pregnancies are yet to be determined.

Current antenatal care guidelines support the recommendation of folic acid and iodine in the first trimester of pregnancy.9 The role of folate in neural tube formation is well understood, with folic acid supplements proven to be effective in the prevention of neural tube deformities.10 However, while the importance of available minerals for foetal cognitive development is known,11 supplementation in Australia is less well supported by research, with current recommendations released in the absence of quality evidence.9,12 Australia’s micronutrient intake during pregnancy has previously been examined, finding data to be of insufficient quality and quantity to enable reliable meta-analyses.13 As such, a low level of evidence informs practice, resulting in conflicting and anecdotal advice regarding supplementation during pregnancy. This situation is exacerbated by social norms,14 advertising,15 and an overarching belief that supplements are harmless.16 However, a lack of evidence exists surrounding the potential effects of subclinical excess, and as such, remains an essential but unexplored area of investigation.

In order to examine dietary intake of essential minerals, their corresponding serum levels and the potentially beneficial or detrimental effects of these on birth outcomes in a South East Queensland cohort, this research has taken advantage of...
recently developed inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) serum element extraction processes\textsuperscript{16,17} and the Maternal Outcomes and Nutrition Tool (MONT). Based on previously validated food frequency questionnaires, the MONT collects self-reported data regarding 259 individual foods, micronutrient supplements, 190 constituent nutrients, their related components, and 8 birth outcomes.\textsuperscript{18} These combined methods offer a novel approach to assessing the intake of trace minerals during pregnancy, the effect of diet and supplements on serum mineral levels and their potential influence on birth outcomes.

**Participants and Methods**

Pregnant women between 180 and 210 days of gestation residing in South East Queensland were the focus of this research. Women presenting to either the Gold Coast University Hospital or the Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospitals for an Oral Glucose Tolerance Test between September 5, 2017 and June 26, 2018 were approached and offered study participation by the researcher. Written informed consent was obtained prior to both biological and data sampling.

Fasting blood samples were collected at recruitment into serum separator tubes (SSTs) and conveyed to the School of Medical Science, Griffith University Gold Coast Campus for processing. Samples were rested for 30 minutes and then centrifuged under refrigeration at 1500g for 10 minutes. Serum was subsequently aliquoted into Eppendorf tubes and stored at −80°C until analysis. Serum elemental values were determined using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). Samples were prepared in a 1:10 dilution with a solution of 2.8% ammonia, 10% isopropanol, 0.2% triton X solution, 0.1% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and high purity H\textsubscript{2}O.\textsuperscript{19,20} Samples were measured on an Agilent 7900 ICP-MS. Quality control standards between 10 and 100 µg/L were analysed every 12 samples. Sc, Y, In, and Tb were added to all samples (final concentration of 10 µg/L) as an internal standard to account for instrument drift. ClinCheck trace element controls for serum (Levels I and II, ref 8880-8882) were used for an external quality-assurance test. The quality control standards between 10 and 100 µg/L were analysed every 12 samples. Sc, Y, In, and Tb were added to all samples (final concentration of 10 µg/L) as an internal standard to account for instrument drift. ClinCheck trace element controls for serum (Levels I and II, ref 8880-8882) were used for an external quality-assurance test. The limit of detection was set at 3 times the standard deviation of 20 blank replicates, and limit of quantification set at 10 times the standard deviation of the 20 blank replicates. This methodology has recently been validated in a number of studies and found to be reliable with regard to 29 trace elements;\textsuperscript{19} in particular, magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), molybdenum (Mo), selenium (Se), and iodine (I) levels have been validated in ICP-MS analysis of serum samples processed using this technique.\textsuperscript{17} As such, this research will focus on these essential elements.

Dietary data were self-reported by participants using the MONT, an instrument designed for exclusive administration in a digital format.\textsuperscript{21} Food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) in the tool were adapted from the previously validated Norwegian Mother and Child (MoBa) Study\textsuperscript{22} with reference to the Harvard,\textsuperscript{23} the Block,\textsuperscript{23} and diet history questionnaires.\textsuperscript{24} Surveys were designed with a minimal language component, facilitating engagement with women with English literacy limitations. Respondents were asked to report food and micronutrient supplement frequency in the month prior to participation; resulting values therefore represented second trimester intake. Each response was allocated a numerical value, facilitating calculation of the average equivalent serves consumed by the respondent per day. Nutrient equivalents for each food were then calculated using the Australian Food Composition Database values.\textsuperscript{25} Data relating to Mg, Ca, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mo, Se, and I were extracted from the database along with information regarding the demographic characteristics and obstetric outcomes of eligible participants. Dietary mineral intake was compared with the Australian Dietary Guidelines;\textsuperscript{26} serum values were compared with the current reference ranges for each of the elements during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy.\textsuperscript{27-29}

A total of 196 women were recruited to the study and provided blood samples. Women were excluded from this analysis if they did not complete the full MONT survey set (n = 38), were not between 180 and 210 days gestation at recruitment (n = 18), birthed at a nonparticipating hospital (n = 7), or were outside the target age range (18–44 years, n = 1). Biological samples were missing at time of analysis in 5 cases. The final data set comprised 127 women.

Descriptive statistics were used to detail the cohort (Table 1). Demographics examined include maternal age (continuous and categorical – under 24, 25–29, 30–34, and over 35 years), parity (nulliparous/multiparous), cultural or linguistic diversity (defined as identifying with an ethnicity other than white and/or birth in a traditionally non–English speaking country – dichotomous), education (categorical – did not attend/finish high school, finished high school, TAFE trade or apprenticeship, university degree), income (categorical – <50k, 50–70k, 70–120k, and >120k), smoking (dichotomous), supplement use (multiple micronutrient formulations and/or individual supplements, dichotomous), and body mass index (BMI; dichotomous – lean/overweight and categorical – underweight <18.5 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, healthy weight 18.5–24.99 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, overweight 25.0–29.99 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, obese class I 30.0–34.99 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, obese class II 35.0–39.99 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, and morbid obesity ≥40.0 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}); induction of labour (where labour occurred, dichotomous), preterm (<37 completed weeks, dichotomous), and postdates birth (>41 completed weeks, dichotomous), method of date calculation (dates/scan), hypertensive disorders (HDPs) (dichotomous), gestational diabetes (GDM) (dichotomous), and low birthweight babies (<2500 g, dichotomous) were examined in terms of birth outcomes (No., %).

Relationships between variables were assessed with two-tailed Pearson’s product-moment correlation analysis; variables $P \leq .05$ were retained as covariates (Supplemental Appendices 1 and 2). Variables with correlation coefficients $\geq 0.7$
Table 1. Demographic and outcome characteristics of the MONT pilot cohort.

|                        | NO. (%) | SUPPLEMENT USE<sup>a</sup> | \( \chi^2 \) | DF | P VALUE |
|------------------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------|----|---------|
|                        | NO (N = 38) | YES (N = 89)               |              |    |         |
| **Age group**          |         |                            |              |    |         |
| <=24                   | 27 (21.2) | 12 (44.4)                  | 15 (55.6)    | 3  | .316    |
| 25–29                  | 40 (31.5)| 10 (25.0)                  | 30 (75.0)    | 1  | .248    |
| 30–34                  | 39 (30.7)| 10 (25.6)                  | 29 (74.4)    | 1  | .109    |
| >=35                   | 21 (16.5)| 6 (28.6)                   | 15 (71.4)    | 1  |         |
| **BMI**                |         |                            |              |    |         |
| Lean (<25 kg/m²)       | 73 (57.5)| 18 (24.7)                  | 55 (75.3)    | 1  | .248    |
| Overweight (>25 kg/m²) | 50 (39.4)| 18 (36.0)                  | 32 (64.0)    | 1  |         |
| **Cultural and linguistic diversity (CALD)** |         |                            |              |    |         |
| No                     | 91 (71.7)| 23 (25.3)                  | 68 (74.7)    | 1  | .109    |
| Yes                    | 36 (28.3)| 15 (41.7)                  | 21 (58.3)    | 1  |         |
| **Income**             |         |                            |              |    |         |
| <50k                   | 40 (31.5)| 15 (37.5)                  | 25 (62.5)    | 3  | .451    |
| 50–70k                 | 23 (18.1)| 8 (34.8)                   | 15 (65.2)    | 1  |         |
| 70–120k                | 34 (26.8)| 8 (23.5)                   | 26 (76.5)    | 1  |         |
| >120k                  | 30 (23.6)| 7 (23.3)                   | 23 (76.7)    | 1  |         |
| **Education**          |         |                            |              |    |         |
| Did not attend/finish high school | 23 (18.5)| 11 (47.8)                  | 12 (52.2)    | 3  | .047<sup>b</sup> |
| Finished high school   | 34 (26.8)| 13 (38.2)                  | 21 (61.8)    | 1  |         |
| TAFE trade or apprenticeship | 22 (17.3)| 4 (18.2)                   | 18 (81.8)    | 1  |         |
| University degree      | 48 (37.8)| 10 (20.8)                  | 38 (79.2)    | 1  |         |
| **Parity**             |         |                            |              |    |         |
| Nulliparous            | 73 (57.5)| 15 (20.5)                  | 58 (79.5)    | 1  | .013<sup>b</sup> |
| Multiparous            | 54 (42.5)| 23 (42.6)                  | 31 (57.4)    | 1  |         |
| **Smoker**             |         |                            |              |    |         |
| No                     | 118 (92.9)| 32 (27.1)                  | 86 (72.9)    | 1  | .014<sup>b</sup> |
| Yes                    | 9 (7.1)  | 6 (75.0)                   | 2 (25.0)     | 1  |         |
| **Hypertensive disorders** |   |                            |              |    |         |
| No                     | 115 (86.6)| 34 (29.6)                  | 81 (70.4)    | 1  | .000    |
| Yes                    | 12 (9.4) | 2 (33.3)                   | 8 (66.7)     | 1  | .000    |
| **Gestational diabetes** |   |                            |              |    |         |
| No                     | 110 (86.6)| 31 (28.2)                  | 79 (71.8)    | 1  | .276    |
| Yes                    | 17 (13.4)| 7 (41.2)                   | 10 (58.8)    | 1  |         |

(Continued)
were considered collinear and treated as a single variable for
analysis purposes. Correlations between supplement use and
descriptive variables were analysed with chi-square analyses
($\chi^2$, $df$, $P$ value – Table 1) and subsequently analysed with
simple logistic regression (Table 2). Independent samples
$t$-tests were used to examine the differences in mean values
for dietary (Table 3) and serum values (Table 4) between sup-
plement users and nonusers. Linear regression analysis was
performed using serum and dietary elemental values (con-
tinuous and dependent) and birth outcomes (categorical and
independent); multiple regression was subsequently per-
formed adding identified covariates into the regression mod-
els (Tables 5 and 6). Data analysis was performed using SPSS,
version 25.0, IBM Corp. 2017.

This research was approved by the Gold Coast Hospital and
Health Service Human Ethics (HREC 16/QGC/70) and
Griffith University Human Ethics Committees (HREC
2016/423). All women included in this cohort gave written
consent for the release of their perinatal data from their medi-

cal record.

Table 1. (Continued)

| NO. (%) | SUPPLEMENT USE | $\chi^2$ | $DF$ | $P$ VALUE |
|---------|----------------|---------|------|-----------|
| NO (N = 38) | YES (N = 89) |         |      |           |
| Preterm birth (idiopathic) |
| No | 105 (82.7) | 31 (29.5) | 74 (70.5) | 0.728 | 1 | .394 |
| Yes | 16 (12.6) | 7 (43.8) | 9 (56.2) |       |   |     |
| Birth >41 weeks |
| No | 114 (89.8) | 36 (31.6) | 78 (68.4) | 0.789 | 1 | .374 |
| Yes | 13 (10.2) | 2 (15.4) | 11 (84.6) |       |   |     |
| Induction of labour (excluding no labour) |
| No | 54 (42.5) | 17 (31.5) | 37 (68.5) | 0.115 | 1 | .734 |
| Yes | 42 (33.1) | 11 (26.2) | 31 (73.8) |       |   |     |
| Low birthweight baby (excluding multiple births) |
| No | 101 (79.5) | 32 (31.7) | 69 (68.3) | 0.000 | 1 | 1.000 |
| Yes | 19 (15.0) | 6 (31.6) | 13 (68.4) |       |   |     |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CALD, cultural and linguistic diversity; MONT, Maternal Outcomes and Nutrition Tool.

Table 2. Simple logistic regression of demographic variables with significant supplement associations.

| VARIABLE | NO. (%) | $\beta$ | WALD | OR | 95% CI AOR | $P$ VALUE |
|----------|---------|---------|------|----|------------|-----------|
| Parity | Multiparous | 54 (42.5) | Referent |       |        |           |
| Nulliparous | 73 (57.5) | 1.118 | 8.968 | 3.059 | 1.429 | 6.549 | .004* |
| Education | $<$ high school | 23 (18.5) | Referent |       |        |           |
| High school | 34 (26.8) | 0.742 | 1.826 | 0.716 | 2.100 | 6.173 | .177 |
| TAFE | 22 (17.3) | 1.243 | 3.806 | 3.472 | 0.994 | 12.048 | .051 |
| University | 48 (37.8) | 1.475 | 7.932 | 4.367 | 1.508 | 12.658 | .007* |
| Smokers | No | 118 (92.9) | Referent |       |        |           |
| Yes | 9 (7.1) | –1.882 | 5.017 | 0.152 | 0.029 | 0.790 | .025* |

Abbreviation: AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

*Statistically significant.
Women of this cohort were most commonly white, nonsmoking, university educated, nulliparous, aged 25 to 29 years or with a BMI in the healthy range (Table 1). Pregnancy complication rates reflected state-wide statistics. The majority of estimated due dates were calculated by early ultrasound scan rather than last menstrual period (72.4%, n = 92 vs 27.6%, n = 35). No significant association was found between the method of calculation and gestation at birth (P = .069). Mean gestational length between the 2 groups did not significantly differ (P = .109).

Significant correlations were demonstrated between demographic, outcome, supplement use, and dietary mineral intake (Supplemental Appendix 1). Collinearity was found between numerous variables; these have been considered on an analysis by analysis basis. Maternal age, education, and income exhibited significant associations (P < .001); smoking status was associated with education (P = .009), while cultural and linguistic diversity demonstrated association with income (P = .042) and education (P = .017). Household income was associated with lean or overweight status, rather than BMI as a continuous variable. Birth beyond 41 completed weeks was inversely associated with parity but positively correlated with induction of labour (P = .047); hypertensive disorders of pregnancy demonstrated positive correlation with induction of labour (P < .001) but a negative correlation with gestational length at birth (P = .011).
Table 5. Multiple linear regression – outcomes, covariates, and micronutrient intake – MONT dietary data.

| BIRTH OUTCOME (COVARIATES) | MICRONUTRIENT | N  | β   | STANDARDISED β | 95% CI β | P VALUE | LOWER | UPPER |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----|-----|-----------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| Hypertensive disordersa (4, 5, 7, 8) | Seleniumb   | 89 | −7.624 | −0.053 | −41.324 | 26.077 | .654  |
|                             | Molybdenum   | 87 | 7.099 | 0.084 | −14.015 | 28.213 | .505  |
|                             | Magnesiumc   | 93 | −0.047 | −0.065 | −0.214 | 0.120 | .577  |
|                             | Iron         | 84 | 2.481 | 0.024 | −22.849 | 27.810 | .846  |
|                             | Copper       | 84 | −0.247 | −0.084 | −0.954 | 0.459 | .488  |
| Gestational diabetesd (7)   | Seleniumb   | 123 | −7.451 | −0.053 | −29.191 | 14.288 | .499  |
|                             | Molybdenum   | 120 | −0.879 | −0.065 | −14.251 | 14.288 | .897  |
|                             | Magnesiumc   | 127 | 0.042 | 0.066 | −0.076 | 0.161 | .481  |
|                             | Iron         | 115 | −4.543 | −0.052 | −21.615 | 12.528 | .599  |
|                             | Copper       | 115 | −0.385 | −0.160 | −0.858 | 0.188 | .110  |
| Induction of laboure (3, 6) | Seleniumb   | 92  | −10.976 | −0.142 | −29.191 | 5.337 | .499  |
|                             | Molybdenum   | 90  | −2.678 | −0.054 | −14.251 | 8.698 | .641  |
|                             | Magnesiumc   | 96  | −0.044 | −0.109 | −0.132 | 0.044 | .324  |
|                             | Iron         | 87  | 2.508 | 0.066 | −10.897 | 15.914 | .711  |
|                             | Copper       | 87  | 0.206 | 0.125 | −0.172 | 0.583 | .282  |
| Gestation < 37 weeksf (1, 3, 8, 10) | Seleniumb | 115 | 0.412 | 0.004 | −28.874 | 29.697 | .978  |
|                             | Molybdenum   | 112 | 4.183 | 0.058 | −13.845 | 22.210 | .646  |
|                             | Magnesiumc   | 118 | −0.025 | −0.039 | −0.192 | 0.141 | .763  |
|                             | Iron         | 108 | −7.380 | −0.090 | −28.582 | 13.822 | .492  |
|                             | Copper       | 107 | 0.206 | 0.022 | −0.685 | 0.803 | .486  |
| Gestation > 41 weeksf (2, 4, 8, 9, 11) | Seleniumb | 90  | 32.092 | 0.289 | 10.860 | 53.324 | .003* |
|                             | Molybdenum   | 88  | 3.277 | 0.045 | −13.345 | 19.989 | .696  |
|                             | Magnesiumc   | 91  | 0.027 | 0.047 | −0.099 | 0.154 | .670  |
|                             | Iron         | 85  | 7.281 | 0.089 | −8.966 | 23.548 | .376  |
|                             | Copper       | 85  | 0.193 | 0.083 | −0.334 | 0.721 | .468  |
| Birthweight < 2500 g (5, 7)  | Seleniumb   | 115 | 6.169 | 0.058 | −20.386 | 32.723 | .646  |
|                             | Molybdenum   | 112 | −13.031 | −0.191 | −29.640 | 3.578 | .123  |
|                             | Magnesiumc   | 119 | 0.002 | 0.004 | −0.150 | 0.155 | .975  |
|                             | Iron         | 108 | 12.805 | 0.170 | −6.274 | 31.885 | .186  |
|                             | Copper       | 107 | 0.285 | 0.116 | −0.376 | 0.946 | .395  |
| Gestation at birthg (3, 6, 8) | Seleniumb | 123 | −0.011 | −0.006 | −0.663 | 0.641 | .973  |
|                             | Molybdenum   | 120 | −0.043 | −0.031 | −0.493 | 0.407 | .852  |
|                             | Magnesiumc   | 120 | −0.002 | −0.123 | −0.005 | 0.002 | .380  |
|                             | Iron         | 116 | −0.029 | −0.018 | −0.602 | 0.543 | .919  |
|                             | Copper       | 115 | −0.004 | −0.099 | −0.020 | 0.011 | .579  |

(Continued)
Table 5. (Continued)

| BIRTH OUTCOME (COVARIATES) | MICRONUTRIENT | N   | β   | STANDARDISED β | 95% CI β | P VALUE |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----|-----|----------------|----------|---------|
|                           |               |     |     |                | LOWER    | UPPER   |
| Birthweightb (1, 3, 5, 6) | Seleniumb     | 115 | −0.003 | −0.051       | −0.016   | 0.010   | .674 |
|                           | Molybdenum    | 112 | 0.004 | 0.116         | −0.004   | 0.013   | .340 |
|                           | Magnesiuc     | 119 | 0.000 | −0.118        | 0.000    | 0.000   | .333 |
|                           | Iron           | 108 | 0.001 | 0.022         | −0.010   | 0.011   | .866 |
|                           | Copper         | 107 | 0.000 | 0.083         | 0.000    | 0.000   | .527 |

*Statistically significant (P <= .05).

Note: 1 = cultural and/or linguistic diversity; 2 = parity; 3 = hypertensive disorders of pregnancy; 4 = induction of labour; 5 = birth <37 weeks; 6 = birth >41 weeks; 7 = gestation at birth; 8 = birthweight <2500 g; 9 = lean/overweight; 10 = birthweight; 11 = supplement use.

Reference categories:
• No hypertensive disorder.
• Collinearity with iodine and zinc.
• Collinearity with calcium.
• No gestational diabetes.
• Spontaneous labour.
• Gestation at birth 37–41 weeks.
• Birthweight >2500 g.
• Continuous variable.

Table 6. Multiple linear regression – outcomes and covariates – ICP-MS micronutrient values.

| BIRTH OUTCOME (COVARIATES) | MICRONUTRIENT | N   | β   | STANDARDISED β | 95% CI β | P VALUE |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----|-----|----------------|----------|---------|
|                           |               |     |     |               | LOWER    | UPPER   |
| Hypertensive disordersa (4, 5, 7, 8) | Selenium | 127 | 0.001 | 0.067       | −0.004   | 0.006   | .615 |
|                           | Molybdenum | 117 | −0.217 | −0.160       | −0.501   | 0.067   | .132 |
|                           | Zinc        | 127 | −0.345 | −0.164       | −0.856   | 0.166   | .182 |
|                           | Magnesium  | 127 | 0.039 | 0.251         | −0.005   | 0.084   | .079 |
|                           | Iron        | 122 | 0.000 | −0.024        | 0.000    | 0.000   | .820 |
|                           | Calcium     | 121 | −0.032 | −0.253       | −0.063   | −0.001  | .045* |
| Gestational diabetesb (7) | Selenium  | 127 | 0.001 | 0.035         | −0.005   | 0.006   | .793 |
|                           | Molybdenum | 117 | 0.112 | 0.071         | −0.216   | 0.440   | .499 |
|                           | Zinc        | 127 | 0.126 | 0.052         | −0.460   | 0.712   | .670 |
|                           | Magnesium  | 127 | −0.016 | −0.088       | −0.067   | 0.034   | .529 |
|                           | Iron        | 122 | 0.000 | −0.068        | 0.000    | 0.000   | .514 |
|                           | Calcium     | 121 | 0.029 | 0.196         | −0.007   | 0.065   | .114 |
|                           | Copper      | 124 | −0.039 | −0.052       | −0.198   | 0.120   | .628 |
| Induction of labourc (3, 6) | Selenium  | 127 | 0.000 | 0.010         | −0.008   | 0.009   | .944 |
|                           | Molybdenum | 117 | 0.125 | 0.054         | −0.368   | 0.618   | .615 |
|                           | Zinc        | 127 | 0.600 | 0.168         | −0.282   | 1.483   | .180 |
|                           | Magnesium  | 127 | −0.038 | −0.142       | −0.114   | 0.038   | .324 |
|                           | Iron        | 122 | 0.000 | −0.117        | 0.000    | 0.000   | .273 |
|                           | Calcium     | 121 | 0.027 | 0.128         | −0.028   | 0.083   | .327 |
|                           | Copper      | 124 | 0.044 | 0.040         | −0.194   | 0.282   | .715 |
| Gestation < 37 weeksd (1, 3, 6, 8, 10) | Selenium | 127 | −0.001 | −0.030       | −0.005   | 0.004   | .762 |

(Continued)
| BIRTH OUTCOME (COVARIATES) | MICRONUTRIENT   | N   | β  | STANDARDISED β | 95% CI β | P       |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----|----|----------------|----------|---------|
|                            |                |     |    |                | LOWER    | UPPER   | VALUE |
|                            | Molybdenum     | 117 | 0.150 | 0.095 | –0.109 | 0.409 | .252 |
|                            | Zinc           | 127 | –0.015 | –0.006 | –0.460 | 0.430 | .948 |
|                            | Magnesium      | 127 | –0.041 | –0.223 | –0.080 | –0.002 | .042* |
|                            | Iron           | 122 | 0.000 | –0.018 | 0.000 | 0.000 | .816 |
|                            | Calcium        | 121 | 0.000 | 0.003 | –0.027 | 0.028 | .978 |
|                            | Copper         | 124 | 0.021 | 0.028 | –0.101 | 0.144 | .729 |
| Gestation > 41 weeks        | Selenium       | 127 | 0.002 | 0.088 | –0.004 | 0.007 | .548 |
|                            | Molybdenum     | 117 | 0.149 | 0.106 | –0.171 | 0.469 | .356 |
|                            | Zinc           | 127 | 0.192 | 0.088 | –0.388 | 0.773 | .511 |
|                            | Magnesium      | 127 | –0.008 | –0.048 | –0.058 | 0.042 | .757 |
|                            | Iron           | 122 | 0.000 | 0.039 | 0.000 | 0.000 | .733 |
|                            | Calcium        | 121 | 0.025 | 0.188 | –0.012 | 0.061 | .180 |
|                            | Copper         | 124 | –0.008 | –0.012 | –0.175 | 0.160 | .928 |
| Birthweight < 2500 g        | Selenium       | 127 | –0.001 | –0.037 | –0.005 | 0.004 | .703 |
|                            | Molybdenum     | 117 | –0.189 | –0.111 | –0.446 | 0.068 | .148 |
|                            | Zinc           | 127 | 0.020 | 0.008 | –0.440 | 0.480 | .932 |
|                            | Magnesium      | 127 | 0.028 | 0.144 | –0.012 | 0.068 | .163 |
|                            | Iron           | 122 | 0.000 | 0.059 | 0.000 | 0.000 | .436 |
|                            | Calcium        | 121 | 0.017 | 0.105 | –0.012 | 0.045 | .249 |
|                            | Copper         | 124 | –0.027 | –0.034 | –0.152 | 0.098 | .670 |
| Gestation at birth          | Selenium       | 127 | 0.050 | 0.043 | –0.116 | 0.215 | .553 |
|                            | Molybdenum     | 117 | –40.066 | –0.047 | –130.952 | 50.819 | .416 |
|                            | Zinc           | 127 | 30.145 | 0.023 | –140.388 | 200.679 | .722 |
|                            | Magnesium      | 127 | 0.205 | 0.020 | –10.310 | 10.721 | .788 |
|                            | Iron           | 122 | 0.001 | 0.014 | –0.004 | 0.005 | .797 |
|                            | Calcium        | 121 | –0.307 | –0.038 | –10.411 | 0.797 | .582 |
|                            | Copper         | 124 | –0.569 | –0.014 | –50.347 | 40.209 | .814 |
| Birthweight                | Selenium       | 127 | 10.388 | 0.030 | –80.505 | 110.241 | .784 |
|                            | Molybdenum     | 117 | –1820.107 | –0.052 | –7760.157 | 4110.944 | .544 |
|                            | Zinc           | 127 | 1240.280 | 0.023 | –9200.659 | 11690.219 | .814 |
|                            | Magnesium      | 127 | –30.125 | –0.008 | –950.112 | 880.862 | .946 |
|                            | Iron           | 122 | –0.082 | –0.056 | –0.325 | 0.161 | .504 |
|                            | Calcium        | 121 | –50.074 | –0.016 | –700.743 | 600.595 | .878 |
|                            | Copper         | 124 | 2310.404 | 0.141 | –520.331 | 5150.138 | .109 |

*Statistically significant (P ⩽ .05).
Note: 1 = cultural and/or linguistic diversity; 2 = parity; 3 = hypertensive disorders of pregnancy; 4 = induction of labour; 5 = birth <37k; 6 = birth >41k; 7 = gestation at birth; 8 = birthweight <2500 g; 9 = lean/overweight; 10 = birthweight.
Reference categories:
*aNo hypertensive disorder.
*bNo gestational diabetes.
*cSpontaneous labour.
*dGestation at birth 37–41 weeks.
*eBirthweight ≥2500 g.
*fContinuous variable.
Supplement use was significantly associated with education ($P = .047$), smoking ($P = .014$), and parity ($P = .013$, Supplemental Appendix 1), which were retained in the chi-square (Table 1) and logistic regression analysis (Table 2). The use of supplements was more likely in nulliparous women ($P = .004$) and most significantly associated with university-level education ($P = .007$); smokers were less likely to have used supplements than nonsmokers ($P = .025$, Table 2).

Dietary iodine, zinc and selenium were found to be collinear; selenium was retained as the representative variable for these in subsequent regression analysis. Similarly, electrolytes calcium and magnesium demonstrated collinearity (Supplemental Appendix 1), with magnesium considered representative of the two. Serum elemental values demonstrated correlations with several descriptive variables (Supplemental Appendix 2), including body mass index (Fe; $P = .021$; Cu; $P < .001$), maternal age (Ca; $P = .017$), level of education (Ca; $P = .017$), and cultural and/or linguistic diversity (Mo; $P = .022$). Serum iodine was correlated with all other reported elements (Supplemental Appendix 2). None of the correlation coefficients attained the $r = 0.7$ level, and as such each was retained in the regression models individually. Supplement use was not associated with any examined outcome in either the dietary intake or serum sample data; relationships with descriptive groups were considered in the final regression models.

Calculated mean daily dietary values exceeded national recommended daily intakes during trimester 2 and 3 for all elements; these results were exacerbated by the inclusion of supplements in dietary calculations (Table 3). Dietary totals of iodine, zinc, iron, and selenium demonstrated a significant mean increase with the use of supplements ($P < .001$). Mean copper levels ($P = .007$) were also significantly higher in women using these supplements compared to women declaring no multivitamin use (Table 3). Women using supplements were found to exhibit mean dietary values up to double the recommended daily intake (iodine: 350 vs 220 μg/day [59%], zinc: 24 vs 11 mg/day [118%], iron: 70 vs 27 mg/day [159%], selenium: 123 vs 65 μg/day [89%], copper 2.44 vs 1.3 [87%]). However, these excesses did not translate to serum values, as no significant differences were found between elemental means in supplement and nonsupplement users (Table 4).

Mean dietary values of zinc and selenium were significantly higher in women birthing beyond 41 completed weeks (Figures 1 and 2). Multiple regression analysis examining this relationship demonstrated a significant increase in the odds of birth beyond 41 weeks gestation with increasing dietary intake of selenium when controlling for confounders ($β = 32.092$, 95% CI $= 10.860-53.324$, $P = .003$; Table 4). Serum values reported by ICP-MS analysis all fell within the current pregnancy reference ranges for the second and third trimester except for mean calcium and copper; these values exceeded pregnancy reference ranges (Table 4). Multiple regression analysis demonstrated that the risk of HDP increased with decreasing serum calcium levels ($β = −0.032$, 95% CI $= −0.063$ to $−0.001$, $P = .045$; Table 6); decreasing serum magnesium levels were associated with an increase in the risk of pre-term birth ($β = −0.041$, 95% CI $= −0.080$ to $−0.002$, $P = .042$; Table 6). No significant relationship was found between serum or dietary mineral levels, the incidence of low birthweight infants, GDM, or preterm birth.

**Discussion**

This research aimed to assess selected dietary and serum trace element levels in a sample of pregnant women of South East Queensland, and determine any evidence of an effect of supplements on their health and birth outcomes. Women of this cohort exhibited dietary mineral consumption in excess to recommended daily intake, while mean serum values fell largely within the reference ranges for trimesters 2 and 3 of pregnancy.
Zinc is a pivotal mineral in the maintenance of normal function, demonstrating antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties and a key role in protein synthesis, cellular division, and immunity.42,43 In the context of labour, these properties may have the capacity to inhibit the systemic inflammatory response required for spontaneous parturition.44,45 Previous research reports an association between low maternal zinc levels and preterm labour,46 and reduced odds of preterm labour in at-risk groups with zinc supplementation.47 This study supports both of these findings and those of prior research regarding the positive association between zinc supplementation and extended gestational length in healthy women with uncomplicated pregnancies.48

Selenium is crucial to many biological functions and is central to the human selenoproteome. This family of 25 known selenoproteins dictate function across numerous mechanisms, including inflammatory and immune response.8 Current literature has highlighted the role of low selenium intake in the aetiology of pre-eclampsia,6,49,50 a finding supported by the association between HDPs, induction of labour, and reduced selenium levels found in this analysis. Furthermore, emerging evidence suggests that low selenium levels influence the incidence of idiopathic preterm birth,51,52 with placental observations between term and preterm gestations demonstrating lower concentrations of anti-inflammatory elements including zinc and selenium than the term specimens. This finding is thought to be due to the actions of enzymes such as selenium-dependent glutathione peroxidase (GPx-3) and copper/zinc superoxide dismutase, antioxidant proteins requiring these trace elements.52 Deficiencies in these minerals may result in a failure to regulate inflammatory processes in the quiescent uterus leading to spontaneous labour. This perspective is supported by evidence of a relationship between maternal selenium status, cord serum GPx-3 levels and gestational age at birth.53 As such, high dietary selenium may have the capacity to inhibit inflammatory processes necessary for spontaneous labour, potentially contributing to the extended length of gestation in this group of women.

Examination of the MONT FFQ data found the median energy intake of the cohort to be 12 743 kJ/day (5925-33 970 kJ/day), a figure within the calculated requirements of pregnant women in this group (7200-14 600 kJ/day).26 While over and under-reporting are potential limitations of this analysis, the trimmed mean did not significantly differ from the standard; both fell within the recommended energy intake for the eligible gestation and as such outliers were retained, contributing to final intake calculations. As such, the data collected by the MONT reflects a realistic level of mineral intake and dietary behaviour across the cohort. Despite the ongoing validation of the MONT representing a potential limitation in this study, to date, results in this research support its use and reliability in terms of dietary data collection.

No evidence of benefit regarding supplement use was evident in the outcomes examined in this cohort. In contrast to literature...
published in low and middle-income countries and high-risk groups, the lack of association between supplementation, serum values, and preterm birth in this cohort suggest that the protective effect of minerals on the incidence of idiopathic preterm labour are moot in this nutritionally replete population. Conversely, excessive dietary selenium, iodine, and zinc were associated with an increase in births beyond 41 weeks gestation. Further research is required to examine methods that identify individual needs and assess the potential for harm before recommending multiple micronutrient or mineral supplements during pregnancy.

Conclusion
Women of this cohort exhibited serum mineral levels within current pregnancy reference ranges, a finding consistent with the dietary data declarations. Prolonged pregnancy was associated with excessive dietary intake of zinc, iodine, and selenium in this cohort, irrespective of maternal supplement use. This nutritionally replete population demonstrated no evidence of benefit concerning supplements and their effect on birth outcomes. Further research is required to determine individual need and minimize the potential for harm prior to recommending pregnancy supplements.
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