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Abstract

There is a growing body of evidences for the presence of crystalline material in comets. These crystals are believed to have been annealed in the inner part of the proto-solar Nebula, while comets should have been formed in the outer regions. Several transport processes have been proposed to reconcile these two facts; among them a migration driven by photophoresis. The primarily goal of this work is to assess whether disk irradiation by a Pre-Main Sequence star would influence the photophoretic transport. To do so, we have implemented an evolving 1+1D model of an accretion disk, including advanced numerical techniques, undergoing a time-dependent irradiation, consistent with the evolution of the proto-Sun along the Pre-Main Sequence. The photophoresis is described using a formalism introduced in several previous works. Adopting the opacity prescription used in these former studies, we find that the disk irradiation enhances the photophoretic transport: the assumption of a disk central hole of several astronomical units in radius is no longer strictly required, whereas the need for an \textit{ad hoc} introduction of photoevaporation is reduced. However, we show that a residual trail of small particles could annihilate the photophoretic driven transport via their effect on the opacity.

We have also confirmed that the thermal conductivity of transported aggregates is a crucial parameter which could limit or even suppress the photophoretic migration and generate several segregation effects.
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1. Introduction

As reported by Tielen et al. (2005) the interstellar medium (hereafter ISM) is very poor in crystalline solids. For instance, Kemper et al. (2004) well reproduce the interstellar absorption band using a mixture composed of \(\sim 15.1\%\) of amorphous pyroxene and \(\sim 84.9\%\) of amorphous olivine by mass, leading to a crystalline fraction of the interstellar silicates around \(0.2\%\). The proto-solar Nebula is supposed to have been formed from material coming from the ISM. As a consequence, the primordial dust in the Solar System should be composed of amorphous solids except grains which have undergone either a thermal annealing in high temperature regions (\textit{i.e.} around \(1000 \text{ to } 1500 \text{ K}\)) close to the star (\textit{i.e.} \(r \lesssim 1 \text{ to } 2 \text{ AU}\)) or a sequence starting by a vaporization or a melting and finishing by a re-condensation.

Besides this, comets are presumed to have formed in the cold outer part of the solar Nebula along the lines of the scenario recalled below. The dissipation of the early gaseous and dusty protoplanetary disk is presumed to have left a disk of icy planetesimals beyond \(\sim 5 \text{ AU}\). The Edgeworth-Kuiper Belt (hereafter KB) and the Oort Cloud (hereafter OC) are the remains of this disk and are the well accepted two major cometary reservoirs (Mumma and Charnley, 2011). A corpus of studies, based on dynamics, show that icy asteroids originally located between \(\sim 5 \text{ AU}\) and \(\sim 30 \text{ AU}\) have either participated to the formation of giant planets or have been ejected far away because of the planet migration. The majority of the KB objects have probably been accreted at their current distances (\textit{i.e.} \(\gtrsim 30 \text{ AU}\)); but as suggested by results of the Nice model, an orbital resonance of Saturn and Jupiter may have partially filled the KB with objects initially located between \(\sim 15 \text{ AU}\) and \(\sim 30 \text{ AU}\) (Tsiganis et al., 2005). Furthermore, the planetesimals of the inner part of the primordial disk (\textit{i.e.} located in the interval \(\sim 5 \text{ to } 15 \text{ AU}\)) have been likely ejected and finally participated to the formation of the outer regions of the KB and the OC. In summary, the crystals have been incorporated into icy planetesimals when they occupied zones from \(\sim 5 \text{ to } 30 \text{ AU}\) and beyond; prior to be scattered to the KB and OC. Of course, the transport processes studied in this paper should deposit crystalline dusts at least around \(5 \text{ AU}\), but the deeper the penetration beyond \(5 \text{ AU}\) will be, the more credible the proposed mechanism will be. The formation of the comets is also believed to have occurred early during the solar system formation. For instance Weidenschilling (1997) showed that the formation of comets could have been completed in \(\sim 2 \times 10^{5}\) years.

Campins and Ryan (1989) have found that crystalline olivine is a major component of the silicates in Comet Halley and Wooden et al. (1999, 2000) detected crystalline silicates in Hale-Bopp observations. \textit{Stardust} samples of Comet 81P/Wild
2 include large single mineral crystals and X-ray microscopic analysis leads to a crystal mass fraction $f_{\text{cryo}}$ larger than ~50% (Zolensky et al., 2006; Ogliore et al., 2009; Brownlee et al., 2006). More generally, comets have a ratio $f_{\text{cryo}}$ exceeding ~20% (Kelley and Wooden, 2009; Lindsay et al., 2013). This discrepancy between the crystallinity of the ISM grains and the of cometary grains one is the mark of a radial transport process and/or a specific physical phenomenon occurring in the accretion disk. Several transport processes have been proposed to explain the presence of these refractory material in comets: annealing by shock waves in the outer solar Nebula (Harker and Desch, 2002), radial mixing by turbulent diffusion (Gail, 2001; Wehrstedt and Gail, 2002; Bockelée-Morvan et al., 2002; Cuzzi et al., 2003) or mixing in a marginally gravitationally unstable (MGU) disk (see the series of papers by Boss and co-authors: Boss, 2008, could be a starting point), transport by photophoresis (Krauss and Wurm, 2005; Wurm and Krauss, 2006; Krauss et al., 2007; Mousis et al., 2007; Moudens et al., 2011). Some authors (Ciesla, 2007; Keller and Gail, 2004) build models including the vertical disk structure and found a radial outflow of dust grains due to pressure gradients within the protoplanetary disk. The X-wind mechanism, which has been advocated for the redistribution of CAIs throughout the Nebula (Shu et al., 1996), could have also brought a contribution. In this paper, we focus on photophoretic process for which we employ a 1+1D accretion disk undergoing a time-dependent irradiation. Indeed, the proto-Sun, at the epoch during which it was surrounded by a disk of gas and dust, was evolving through the so-called T Tauri phase. The stars belonging to the T Tauri class, are known to possess an accretion disk and are evolving along the Pre-Main Sequence (hereafter PMS) tracks where their luminosity could be much higher than that of the Sun. This high luminosity could have an important influence on photophoresis and might change the disk structure or its dynamics by irradiation. This is why we were interested in modeling an accretion disk evolving consistently with a proto-Sun. It is of particular interest to assess if the assumption of the existence of a central gap (as hypothesized in previous works, see Moudens et al., 2011) in the disk is still required. It should be noticed that Turner et al. (2012) have taken into account the PMS luminosity of the proto-Sun in the context of Jupiter formation. The presence of crystals in comets is not the only issue for which photophoresis is supposed to play a role, Teiser and Dodson-Robinson (2013) have proposed that photophoresis could accelerate the giant planets formation and Wurm et al. (2013) have investigated the involvement of this effect in the formation of Mercury-like planets and in the metal depletion in chondrites. Detailed theoretical and experimental studies on chondrules photophoretic properties have been also conducted (Wurm et al., 2010; Loesche et al., 2013). Concerning the photophoretic transport itself we have essentially adopted the approach described in Krauss et al. (2007) and Moudens et al. (2011), as recalled in Sec. 2. The main features of our disk model are presented in the same section, and additional details are given in Appendix A. Section 3 is devoted to our results, while in Sect. 4 we discuss some aspects of the problem such as the influence of aggregate properties, in particular that of thermal conductivity as suggested by Krauss et al. (2007), and the role of gas–dust opacity. Conclusions can be found in Sect. 5.

2. Description of the model

We have developed an original implementation of a 1+1D irradiated protoplanetary accretion disk model based on the equation introduced by Lynden-Bell and Pringle (1974) and Pringle (1981)

$$ \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\Sigma^{1/2}}{r} < v > \Sigma^{1/2} \right) + \Sigma_n(r) \quad (1) $$

which governs the secular variations of the disk surface density $\Sigma \text{ (kg m}^{-2}\text{)}$ as a function of the heliocentric distance $r$. The numerical method employed to solve Eq. (1) is described in Appendix A.2. The average turbulent viscosity $< v > \text{ (m}^2\text{s}^{-1}\text{)}$, depending on a free parameter $\alpha$, is computed in the frame of the Shakura and Sunyaev (1973) formalism – after the integration of the vertical structure equations (see Appendix A.1 for details). Our disk model is based on a generalization of the method introduced by Papaloizou and Terquem (1999) (hereafter PT99) and Alibert et al. (2005). The photoevaporation $\Sigma_e(r)$ is provided by the simple prescription published by Veras and Armitage (2004): $\Sigma_e(r) = 0$ for $r \leq R_p$ and $\Sigma_e(r) = \lambda_{\text{evap}}/r$ for $r > R_p$, where $R_p$ is taken to be 5 AU and $\lambda_{\text{evap}}$ is an adjustable parameter. A rigorous treatment of the radiative transfer in all its complexity is beyond the scope of the present work, and represents probably a physical and numerical challenge. Instead, the effect of the irradiation by the central star has been taken into account by modifying the temperature boundary condition at the disk external surface. This way, the temperature at this surface, denoted $T_\text{irr}$, is given by $T_\text{irr} = T_\text{h} + T_\text{irr}^\text{evap}$, where $T_\text{h}$ is the background temperature (usually $T_\text{h} = 10 \text{ K}$) and $T_\text{irr}$ comes from the following equation, derived in Hueso and Guillot (2005).

$$ T_\text{irr} = T_{\text{eff}}(t) \left[ \frac{2}{3\pi} \left( \frac{R_*(t)}{r} \right)^3 \right]^{1/4} + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R_*(t)}{r} \right)^2 \left( \frac{H_p(t)}{r} \right) \left( \frac{\text{dln} H_p(t)}{\text{dln} r} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/4} \quad (2) $$

where $R_*(t)$ and $T_{\text{eff}}(t)$ are respectively the stellar radius and effective temperature at the age $t$; $H_p(t)$ represents the disk pressure height at the heliocentric distance $r$ and at time $t$. This prescription has also been adopted by Fouchet et al. (2012) who have emphasized its significance that we recall here: the term (1) corresponds to the radiative flux that would be intercepted by a flat disk, the term (2) is an estimation for the effect of the disk flaring. Following Fouchet et al. (2012) and Hueso and Guillot (2005) we fixed $\text{dln} H_p/\text{dln} r$ at its equilibrium value, namely 9/7. In order to validate our model, we have performed...
several tests. For instance, we have verified that we reproduce the mid-plane temperature $T_{\text{mid}}$, the surface density $\Sigma$ and the shape factor $H/r$ obtained by PT99 (see e.g. Figs. 2 and 3 in PT99). We also obtained a good agreement between our computations and the PT99 ones for the accretion rate $\dot{M}_d$ (M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$) as a function of $\Sigma$ for various turbulence parameters $\alpha$ and distances $r$ to the Sun. In their study of samples of stars belonging to several young stellar clusters, Haisch et al. (2001) have measured (see Fig. 1). In their study, PT99 did not take into account the effect of irradiation. Notice that, this is why we verified that our computations are compatible with results found by D’Alessio et al. (1998), even if the treatment of the irradiation is not exactly the same. This way, following the same procedure adopted by Fouchet et al. (2012), we also verified that our computations are compatible with results found by D’Alessio et al. (1998), who used a more sophisticated approach concerning irradiation.

Both stars and protoplanetary disks change with time. The stars evolve under the influence of gravitation and nuclear reactions, while disks lose their mass either by accreting on the star and/or evaporating to the interstellar space. Thus, we have decided to include both evolutions in a model in which the proto-Sun and the disk interact via irradiation.

Young Main Sequence stars may exhibit a debris disk, that has a very tenuous or even non-existent gaseous component (Ollivier et al., 2009). In contrast, T Tauri stars have their spectroscopic features (as the excess UV or IR radiation) well explained by the presence of a surrounding, optically thick, gaseous accretion disk (Lynden-Bell and Pringle, 1974). The objects of this class are Pre-Main Sequence stars, located in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram between the “birthline” and the early Main Sequence, where the stellar luminosity is provided by the hydrogen burning in their core with the secondary elements at equilibrium. The “birthline” is a line in the HR diagram corresponding to a threshold below which stars become visible to the observer, they therefore begin their quasi-static contraction and move to the Main Sequence. We have adopted a PMS evolutionary track computed by Tognelli et al. (2011) who have employed the well-tested and developed stellar evolutionary code FRANEC\(^1\) (Degl’Innocenti et al., 2008) together with up-to-date input physics (Tognelli et al., 2012; Dell’Omodarme et al., 2012).

The starting time $t_0$ of the evolution of our accretion disk has been taken where the “birthline” (from Stahler and Palla, 2004) intersects the proto-solar PMS stellar track.

The solar models have been calibrated on the present solar luminosity ($L_\odot$), radius ($R_\odot$), for the surface chemical composition ($Z/X_{\odot}$, see Asplund et al. (2005)). We used an iterative process that consists in varying the initial helium abundance ($Y$), metallicity ($Z$), and mixing length parameter ($\alpha_{\text{MLT}}$), in order to reproduce at the age of the Sun ($t_0$) the present $L_\odot$, $R_\odot$, and ($Z/X_{\odot}$) within a tolerance of, at most, $10^{-4}$. Then, we obtained for the proto-Sun the initial helium and metal mass fraction $Y = 0.2533$, $Z = 0.01377$, and $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.68$ (see Fig. 1). In their study of samples of stars at the ages of six young stellar clusters, Haisch et al. (2001) have measured the JHKL infrared excess fractions of stars. For each cluster, these ratios can be regarded as the fractions of stars surrounded by a disk. For the selected clusters, age determinations are available in the literature. This way, Haisch et al. (2001) have shown that, not surprisingly, the fraction of stars accompanied by a disk decreases with age and should be negligible for an age around $\sim 6$ Myr (hereafter 1 Myr = $10^6$ years). This limitation is confirmed by Pascucci and Tachibana (2010) and references therein. According to these works, protoplanetary disks older than $\sim 6$ Myr should not exist or be very rare. Our 1+1D disk model requires the pre-computation of tables of turbulent viscosity $< \nu >$ depending on various parameters among which the luminosity of the star $L_*$ and its effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$. Consequently, along the PMS track we took a set of couples ($L_*, T_{\text{eff}}$) corresponding to ages $t \lesssim 16$ Myr with $t = 0$ at the “birthline”. Hence, for each $t$, tables of mean viscosity were constructed using ($L_*(t), T_{\text{eff}}(t)$) or equivalently ($R_*(t), T_{\text{eff}}(t)$) and playing with a set of parameters values: $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2}$; $M_\text{st}$ ranging from $10^{-12} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ to $10^{-4} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$; and $0.05 \leq r \leq 50$ AU. A dedicated subroutine allows interpolation in mentioned tables of $< \nu >$.

The dust grains transported through the disk are aggregates of small particles (Brownlee, 1978; Greenberg, 1985; Meakin and Domn, 1988; Blum et al., 2000) and are very approximately considered as spheres of radius $a$. Hereafter, the terms “particles”, “grains” and “aggregates” will be synonyms; while “monomer” will be reserved for the components of aggregates.

Following the approach developed by Krauss and Wurm (2005) and Krauss et al. (2007) we assume that the gas flow

---

\(^1\)Frascati Raphson Newton Evolutionary Code.
conditions are described by the Knudsen number, $K_n$, which is defined as $K_n = l/a$, where $l$ is the mean free path of the gas molecules. An expression of the photophoretic force $F_{ph}$, valid for free molecular regime ($K_n > 1$) and for continuum regime ($K_n \leq 1$), has been proposed by Beresnev et al. (1993) (see their Eq. (31))

$$F_{ph} = \frac{\pi}{3} \alpha E \psi_1 \left( \frac{\pi m_p}{2kT} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\alpha E \psi_1}{\alpha E + 15 \Lambda K (1 - \alpha E)/4 + \alpha E \Lambda \psi_2}$$

We are not going to recall the expression of each term of Eq. (3), instead we invite the interested reader to consult previous works (Beresnev et al., 1993; Krauss et al., 2007; Moudens et al., 2011). Nonetheless, we specify some important points. First of all, given that the collision/scattering cross section of molecules is not known, the free mean path $l$ is estimated from the value of the dynamic viscosity $\eta$ provided by $\eta = \eta_0 \sqrt{T/T_0}$ (see Krauss et al., 2007) with $\eta_0 = 8.4 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa s, $T$ the temperature and $T_0 = 280$ K. Since the viscosity $\eta$ for a dilute gas is also given by $\eta = m v T / 3$ (with $n$ the number of molecules per unit of volume and $m$ the average mass of these molecules, Reif, 1967), we compute $l = 3 \eta / \rho m v$, where $\rho m$ is the mid-plane density and $v$ the thermal velocity of gas molecules. The parameter $\Lambda$ that appears in Eq. (3) measures the thermal relaxation of aggregates, we have (see Krauss et al., 2007) $\Lambda = \Lambda_{eff} / \Lambda_p$, with $\Lambda_p$ the thermal conductivity of gas and $\Lambda_{eff}$ the effective thermal conductivity given by the following expression,

$$\Lambda_{eff} = \Lambda_p + 4 \varepsilon \sigma T^3 a$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the emissivity (assumed to be 1) and $\Lambda_p$ is the heat conductivity of aggregates. As usual, $\sigma$ denotes the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. Although minerals, in massive and not porous form, have thermal conductivity around $\sim 10 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ (see for instance Horai and Simmons, 1969), aggregates – due to their porosity – are believed worse thermal conductors than massive dust grains. In their experimental investigations of laboratory analogs, Krause et al. (2011) found thermal conductivity ranging from 0.002 to 0.02 W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$. We have fixed $\Lambda_p$ to $10^{-3}$ W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ in order to facilitate comparisons with earlier researches having adopted this value (Mousis et al., 2007; Moudens et al., 2011; Krauss and Wurm, 2005). Nevertheless, in Sect. 4 we will explore the influence of $\Lambda_p$. The density of dust particles have been fixed to $\rho_p = 500 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, this value has been adopted by Mousis et al. (2007) and Moudens et al. (2011). This density value is based on an olivine density of 3300 kg m$^{-3}$ (Mousis et al., 2007), and on an aggregates filling factor of 15% (Blum and Schräpler, 2004). The influence of $\rho_p$ is briefly discussed in Sect. 4.2. The photophoretic force (see Eq. 3) is not the only one taken into account; the force $F_{res}$ due to residual gravity (Weidenschilling, 1977a) and the radiative pressure force are also considered. These forces are respectively provided by

$$F_{res} = \frac{m_p l P}{\rho E}$$

$$F_{rad} = \frac{\alpha E \psi_1}{\alpha E + 15 \Lambda K (1 - \alpha E)/4 + \alpha E \Lambda \psi_2}$$

where $m_p$ is the mass of an aggregate, $\rho_p$ and $P$ are the density and the pressure of the gas; $l P$ represents the radiative flux (W m$^{-2}$) at time $t$, at the location of the test particle. The speed of light is noted $c_{light}$. The radiative flux $l P$, as it appears in Eq. (3) and (6) is derived from the star luminosity $L_*(t)$. Similarly to the previous works (Mousis et al., 2007; Moudens et al., 2011), we have hypothesized the existence of an inner gap of radius $r_{gap}$, optically thin enough for particles to see the proto-Sun, but still containing the gas content of the disk structure. This assumption is supported by an increasing number of observational evidences. For instance, D'Alessio et al. (2005) show that observations of the Pre-Main Sequence star CoKu Tau/4 suggest the presence of an accretion disk with an inner hole cleared of small dust grains. The infrared imaging survey, conducted by Sicilia-Aguilar et al. (2006) with the Spitzer Space Telescope, indicates that around 10% of the stars of their sample, owning a disk, exhibit spectral features explained by the existence of an optically thin inner disk. In addition, Pontoppidan et al. (2008) studied three disks, and detected an inner gap depleted in dust particles but containing gas. Up to now, it is not clear which physical mechanism could be the origin of such inner cavities or gaps in protoplanetary disks (see for instance Thalmann et al., 2010). This is the reason why we have only postulated their existence. The model is sketched in Fig. 2. The radiative transfer along the line of sight between particles and proto-Sun is treated in a very simplified way. Firstly, neglecting the absorption by the gas, the radiative flux $I_{geom}$ (W m$^{-2}$) is evaluated at the distance $r$ reached by particles at the time $t$

$$I_{geom}(r, t) = \frac{L_*(t)}{4\pi r^2}$$

This reflects the sphericity of stellar emissions. In a second step, the equation

$$dI = -k_p \rho_p (r, t) I(r, t) dr$$

is integrated at fixed $r$ from $r_{gap}$ to $r_p$ using the boundary condition $I(r_{gap}, t) = I_{geom}(r_p, t)$; in this manner the effect of gas absorption is combined with the pure geometrical decrease.
opacity $k_R$ is due to Rayleigh’s scattering for which Mousis et al. (2007) derived

$$k_R(T_{\text{eff}}) = 3.96 \times 10^{-19} T_{\text{eff}}^4(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

(cm$^2$.g$^{-1}$). It has to be emphasize that, using Eq. (9), the disk is assumed to have been cleared of dust by the photophoretic transport between $r_{\text{gap}}$ and $r_p(t)$ (see Fig. 2). We also point out that the employment of the opacity law provided by Bell and Lin (1994) (see Appendix A.1) is not more relevant, since it assumes the absence of dust depletion caused by the photophoretic transport. With an overestimated contribution of dust grains, Bell and Lin (1994) opacity law yields to the annihilation of the photoretic migration. Thus, the approach adopted here is clearly an idealized situation and the derived results have to be considered as maximized effects. We will come back to this opacity issue in a dedicated section of the discussion. The use of Eq. (9) means that the effect of the thermal radiation field of the gas itself and the effect of the photon multi-scattering have also been neglected. Finally, the aggregates are drifted (in the gas frame) in the radial direction with the velocity (see Eq. 2)

$$v_{\text{drift}} = \frac{F_{\text{ph}} + F_{\text{rad}} + F_{\text{res}}}{\tau}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\tau$, the coupling time of particles with gas, is provided by

$$\tau = \frac{m_p}{6 \pi \eta m} C_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The correction factor $C_c$ is expressed as a function of the Knudsen number $K_a$ (Cunningham, 1910; Hutchins et al., 1995)

$$C_c = 1 + K_a(1.231 + 0.47 e^{-1.178/K_a})$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

In protoplanetary disks, the mid-plane temperature decreases with the distance to the central star. This is why we have checked that the thermophoretic force given by Young (2011), and recalled in Lutro (2012), remains negligible compared to the forces contributing to Eq. (7). For a given age of the disk, the distance $r_p$ reached by particles is obtained by integrating the difference between the drift velocity $v_{\text{drift}}$ and the accretion velocity $v_{\text{acc}}$, we have

$$r_p = \int_0^{\text{age}} (v_{\text{drift}} - v_{\text{acc}}) \, dr$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

More rigourously than Moudens et al. (2011), we derived $v_{\text{acc}}$ from the disk model, that provides naturally the speed of the gas which is falling to the star (see Fig. 2)

$$v_{\text{acc}} = -\frac{3}{\Sigma \sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \Sigma < v > \sqrt{T} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

(Lynden-Bell and Pringle, 1974).

3. Results

The concept of the Minimum Mass of solar Nebula (hereafter MMSN) dates back to the end of the seventies (Weidenschilling, 1977b; Hayashi, 1981), and the initial total mass of the solar Nebula is now expected to be approximately a few MMSN (e.g. Crida, 2009, found ~ 10 MMSN). We have chosen 3 MMSN as a typical value, that allows easy comparison with past investigations (Moudens et al., 2011). The protoplanetary disks are believed to be the place of turbulent mixing produced by magneto-rotational instabilities (Balbus and Hawley, 1998) and the associated $\alpha$-parameter introduced by Shakura and Sunyaev (1973) has admitted values within the interval $10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$; we have selected $\alpha = 7 \times 10^{-3}$ as our nominal value which also facilitates comparisons. The literature reported the observation of accretion disks having a central hole with a radius of $\sim 1 - 2$ AU (see for instance Besla and Wu, 2007; Pontoppidan et al., 2008; Hughes et al., 2010; Thalmann et al., 2010); for this reason we first assumed the existence of such an inner gap of radius $r_{\text{gap}} = 2$ AU. This strong hypothesis, already made by Mousis et al. (2007) and Moudens et al. (2011), favors clearly the transport driven by photophoresis since it decreases the radiative flux attenuation between the proto-Sun and the dust grains. The results of our first calculations can be seen in Fig. 3(a), they are very similar to those plotted in Fig. 4 of Moudens et al. (2011); for which equivalent parameters and hypothesis have been chosen. We recall that, in this case, the lifetime of the protoplanetary disk has been adjusted to 6 Myr (see Haisch et al., 2001; Pascucci and Tachibana, 2010) by tuning the photoevaporation parameter $\lambda_{\text{evap}}$. In Moudens et al.’s work the disk is not irradiated, and the solar luminosity was provided by Pietrinferni et al. (2004) for the Sun at the ZAMS$^2$. For each simulation of a disk evolution, we stopped the calculation when the total mass of the disk reached $\sim 1\%$ of its initial mass. This criterion will be applied in all simulations discussed in the rest of the present work.

In Fig. 3(b) an identical disk structure is kept but the photophoresis force is computed in a slightly different way: the solar luminosity is no longer taken constant at its ZAMS value, but instead it follows the luminosity variations along the PMS tracks plotted in Fig. 1. In addition, the gas opacity which depends on the stellar effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ (see Eq. 9), is computed consistently. Not surprisingly, the aggregates are very efficiently pushed outwards, because the luminosity at the birthline is about 10 times larger than that at the ZAMS. Interestingly, particles with a radius of $a = 10^{-5}$ m reach $\sim 10$ AU after $\sim 0.3$ Myr and do not move further away even after 6 Myr. Keeping fixed the inner gap at 2 AU, and letting unchanged all the other parameters, we adopted a protoplanetary disk model computed with a time-dependent stellar luminosity given by the already mentioned PMS track. The subsequent positions of the aggregates are represented in Fig. 3 (c). It is clearly visible that the use of an irradiated disk damps the particle movement. This behavior could be caused either by a higher accretion velocity or by smaller forces. In order to disentangle the various effects, we have focused our analysis on the movement of particles of radius $10^{-4}$ m, which appear to be typical at the examination of Fig. 3 (b) and Fig. 3 (c). The panel (a) of Fig. 4 indicates that the accretion velocity undergone by the considered particle, along its path in the disk mid-plane, is larger (in absolute value) when

\footnote{Zero Age Main Sequence.}
Figure 3: In this figure we have displayed the heliocentric distance $r_p$ (AU) reached by aggregates of different radius $a$ ranging from $10^{-5}$ m to $10^{-1}$ m, as a function of time $t$ (Myr). In all represented computations, the initial mass of the simulated proto-solar Nebula has been fixed to 3 MMSN and the turbulent viscosity parameter is $\alpha = 7 \times 10^{-3}$. (a) The disk structure is not irradiated and the Sun luminosity is constant, fixed at the ZAMS value. An inner gap with a radius of 2 AU is assumed to occupy the central part of the disk. (b) The disk is still not irradiated, the Nebula structure is the same as in panel (a). However, time-dependent effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}(t)$ and the luminosity $L_\star(t)$ have been used for the photophoretic and radiative forces estimations. (c) The disk evolution is simulated taking into account the irradiation by the star evolving along its PMS track, the forces acting upon the particles are computed consistently, an inner gap of 2 AU is still assumed. (d) The same simulation as the one represented in panel (c) but the hypothesis of the existence of an inner gap has been abandoned; the inner gap radius of 0.55 AU corresponds practically to a very reduced gap.

Table 1: Lifetimes (in Myr) of the disk model as a function of the initial mass (in MMSN) and the turbulent mixing parameter $\alpha$. All these simulations were performed without photoevaporation but they include the time-dependent irradiation by the PMS Sun.

| Mass (in MMSN) | $10^{-1}$ | $2 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6 \times 10^{-1}$ | $8 \times 10^{-2}$ | $10^{-2}$ |
|---------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 1             | 16.4      | 10.7             | 7.9              | 5.8              | 4.0              | 3.0       |
| 3             | 15.7      | 10.4             | 7.7              | 5.3              | 3.7              | 2.8       |
| 10            | 15.1      | 10.0             | 7.4              | 4.8              | 3.3              | 2.5       |

the disk structure is irradiated. Besides this, we have checked that during the period of interest, i.e. before $\sim 1.5$ Myr, the photophoretic force has the dominant contribution to the drift velocity (see Eq. 7). To catch the effect of the disk structure, we have normalized the photophoretic force by the received flux of light (see Eq. 3). The resulting ratio $F_{\text{ph}}/I$ is either not significantly different or slightly higher, respectively when irradiation is accounted or when it is not (see Fig. 4.b). Therefore, the
larger accretion velocity appears to weaken the efficiency of the photophoretic force during the T Tauri phase of the Sun. We emphasized that this irradiated disk model is dissipated at the age of 4.32 Myr. Therefore, the photoevaporation has not been needed to get a lifetime compatible with astronomical observations. Indeed, with non-irradiated disks the photoevaporation rate was adjusted to get a disk lifetime of 6 Myr. With an initial mass of 3 MMSN, $\alpha = 7 \times 10^{-3}$ and no photoevaporation, the disk is dissipated in 12 Myr. A photoevaporation rate of $M_{\text{w}} = 1.425 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ is therefore required to reduce the dissipation time to 6 Myr. In fact, we found that for $r > 15$ AU, irradiation increases significantly (i.e. by a factor up to $\sim 4$) the mean turbulent velocity $<v_\perp> = \int_0^H \rho v_\perp z d z / \Sigma$, where $v_\perp$ is computed in the frame of the Shakura and Sunyaev (1973)’s formalism: $v = \alpha c_s^2 / \Omega$ ($\Omega$ being the Kepler’s frequency and $c_s$, the speed of sound). For an ideal gas: $c_s^2 = P / \rho \propto T$; at relatively large distances for the star (i.e. beyond $\sim 15 - 20$ AU), the radiative flux rises the temperature in the thickness of the disk, leading to the observed increase in $<v_\perp>$, and consequently to the shorter dissipation times. We stress that this fact is consistent with the larger observed $v_{\text{acc}}$ (see Fig. 4.a).

Table 1 summarizes the obtained lifetimes for initial masses of 1, 3 and 10 MMSN, and $\alpha$ parameter ranging between $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2}$. As we can see, for simulations corresponding to $\alpha$ higher than $\sim 6 \times 10^{-3}$ the lifetimes are compatible with observations. Obviously, this does not mean that photoevaporation does not exist (see for instance Owen, 2006) but rather that our improved models are in better agreement with astronomical observations, and do not required systematically large assumed photoevaporation rates. As it can be noticed in Tab 1, the computed lifetimes seem to depend slightly on the initial mass, this behavior is due to the chosen criterion for stopping the simulation (i.e. the total mass decreased to 1% of its initial value): for the largest initial masses the mass accretion rates are the highest causing a criterion satisfied earlier. Finally, the inner gap radius has been reduced from 2 AU to 0.55 AU. In order to ease comparisons with previous works, all our reference disk structures have been computed between 0.5 and 50 AU (Allen et al., 2001, determined the edge of the KB around $\sim 50$ AU). The inner boundary of 0.55 AU has been chosen instead of 0.50 AU so that to avoid numerical difficulties caused by the finite-differences calculation of derivatives with respect to $r$. As it could be expected (see Fig. 3. d), the distances $r_p$ are in average lower than those computed in the previous case where $r_{\text{gap}} = 2$ AU, this is clearly the consequence of a stronger light absorption along the line of sight: since the difference $r_p - r_{\text{gap}}$ (see Fig. 2) is larger, the flux of photons received by a given aggregate becomes fainter, thus producing weaker photophoretic and radiative forces. Remarkably, dust particles with radius larger than $10^{-3}$ m can be found up to 20–30 AU from the proto-Sun and consequently could participate to the formation of icy planetesimals within the KB.

In Tab. 2 we have gathered the maximum distances reached by aggregates of radius $a$ ranging between $10^{-1}$ and $10^{-5}$ m. The disk is irradiated and $a = 7 \times 10^{-3}$.

| $a$ (m) | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 MMSN | 15      | 27.5    | 28      | 23      | 50      |
| 3 MMSN | 19      | 32      | 27      | 10      | 5       |
| 10 MMSN| 17      | 30.5    | 19      | 8       | 2       |
known to be magnetically very active; is truncated in the vicinity of the star. Indeed, T Tauri stars have not developed an extended inner limit of the disk structure \((i.e. \text{the above mentioned 0.5 AU})\) may appear somewhat arbitrary. Nonetheless, even in the case of T Tauri stars which have not developed an extended inner hole \((i.e. \text{up to distances of several AU})\) the accretion disk is truncated in the vicinity of the star. Indeed, T Tauri stars are known to be magnetically very active; e.g., Zeeman broadening measurements have revealed surface field in the range of \(1 - 3 \text{ kG}\). Such strong magnetic fields are at the origin of “jets” that eject material to the interstellar medium, and also produce a magnetospheric accretion in which the disk matter is channelled onto the star along magnetic field lines (Ferreira et al., 2006; Bouvier et al., 2007) out of the mid-plane, leaving it optically thin. Although, this quantity is the subject of star-to-star substantial variations, the radius of this magnetospheric accretion zone can be reasonably estimated to be around \(\sim 0.1 \text{ AU}\).

We, then, computed a specific set of evolving disk structures using \(r_{gap} = 0.10 \text{ AU}\). Keeping other parameters unchanged compared to those used for the simulations reported in Fig. 3(d), we obtained only aggregates with radius of \(a = 10^{-3} \text{ m} \) and \(a = 10^{-2} \text{ m} \) reaching heliocentric distances slightly larger than \(\sim 6 \text{ AU}\) while the other dust particles remain closer to the star within 5 AU. These outputs shows, one more time, that the existence of an inner hole, optically thin and having an expansion beyond \(\sim 0.5 \text{ AU}\), is a determining factor for the efficiency of the photophoretic transport of dust in protoplanetary disks.

4. Discussion

4.1. The Kinetics of the Grains Annealing in an Irradiated Disk

The problem of the existence of crystals in comets raises naturally the question of the kinetics of thermal annealing of silicate grains that originate from the interstellar medium. Indeed, this lattice structure transformation cannot be instantaneous; in addition, one can wonder if grains at relatively low temperature could be annealed in timescale compatible with disk lifetime. If the process could occur at large heliocentric distances with a duration \(\lesssim 6 \text{ Myr}\), then the transport of grain from regions in the vicinity of the proto-Sun, to larger distances would be no longer needed. Lenzuni et al. (1995) gave the typical duration \(t\) for converting an amorphous domain to a crystalline domain: 
\[ t = \nu^{-1} e^\left(\frac{D}{k_B T_u}\right) \] 
where \(\nu\) is the characteristic vibrational frequency of silicate, \(D\) is the activation energy of repositioning atoms within the lattice structure, \(T_u\) the temperature and \(k_B\) the Boltzmann’s constant. Lenzuni et al. (1995) gave also the typical values \(\nu \sim 2.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}\) and \(D/k_B \sim 14000 \text{ K}\), which leads to \(t \sim 5 \text{ Myr}\) for \(T_u = 645 \text{ K}\) and 23 Myr for \(T_u = 630 \text{ K}\). We have checked in our models of irradiated disks that the mid-plane temperature \(T_m\) remains always below \(\sim 100 \text{ K}\) disk life-long for distances larger than a few AU. As a consequence, amorphous grains cannot be annealed, by gas temperature, at distances compatible with comets formation during the disk lifetime, even if the disk is irradiated. Concerning the production of crystals by vaporization/recondensation, the temperatures required to vaporized silicates (i.e. \(T \lesssim 1500 \text{ K}\)) are never reached in our models in the external Solar System. As a consequence, the remaining possibility is an evaporation/condensation sequence in high temperature regions –i.e. those close to the proto-Sun– during the early phases of the disk evolution.

4.2. The influence of monomers density

In this work, each dust particle is an agglomerate of smaller grains. In real accretion disks, these “elementary grains” may show a distribution in size, shape and composition. Here, like in previous ones, the aggregates have been represented by a radius \((i.e. \text{a typical size})\), a thermal conductivity (whose influence is discussed in Sect. 4.3) and a density that determines the mass of each aggregate. This density is a function of the assumed aggregate porosity and of the average density of monomers. Blum and Schräpler (2004) conducted laboratory experiments consisting of random ballistic deposition of monodisperse SiO\(_2\) spheres with 1.5 \(\mu\text{m}\) diameter and found an –already mentioned– volume filling factor of 0.15. More recently, Zsom et al. (2010) using sophisticated Monte-Carlo simulations, based on a detailed modelization of collisions, derived filling factors around 0.30. This leads to a higher dust particle density, of the order of 1000 kg m\(^{-3}\) rather than 500 kg m\(^{-3}\) previously employed. By replacing 500 kg m\(^{-3}\) with 1000 kg m\(^{-3}\), for a non-irradiated model of an initial mass of 3 MMSN and evolving for 6 Myr (this model is comparable to the one plotted in Fig. 3(a) which has been computed taking 500 kg m\(^{-3}\)) we obtained a maximum distance reached by aggregates that decreases, due to the larger inertia of particles. Not surprisingly, a dependence with respect to the dust particle size has been found: the larger the particle is (i.e. large radius a), and the lower is the maximum distance reached. For instance, for radii \(a\) in the range \(10^{-1} - 10^{-2} \text{ m}\) the distance reduction is of the order of 15%, while it falls to \(\sim 10%\) for \(a = 10^{-3} \text{ m}\), and becomes negligible for \(a = 10^{-5} \text{ m}\). Compared to the other sources of uncertainties, particularly those regarding the actual thermal conductivity of aggregates, the effect of dust monomer density does not appear to be the dominant one (i.e. when replacing our fiducial value of 500 kg m\(^{-3}\) by 1000 kg m\(^{-3}\)).

4.3. The influence of thermal conductivity and porosity of aggregates

The thermal conductivity of aggregates \(\lambda_p\) remains poorly constrained, and could depend on many parameters: the temperature, the exact nature of the bulk material, the porosity, the shape and the size distribution of the monomers, the number and the size of contact areas between monomers, the lattice structure of the monomers, etc. The heat conductivity is a crucial quantity in the context of photophoresis: high values should diminish the effect of photophoresis because they facilitate the uniformization of the temperature over the “surface” of
each particle. In contrast to this, if aggregates are bad thermal conductors the photophoretic effect is expected to be very efficient. So far, we used the value $A_p = 10^{-3} \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ which is the same adopted by Mousis et al. (2007) and Moudens et al. (2011); although this is an extreme value, it has been adopted by these authors without any well referenced justification. Surprisingly, Krause et al. (2007) (who have several authors in common with Mousis et al., 2007; Moudens et al. 2011) have worked with $A_p = 10^{-2} \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and have suggested that the precise influence of $A_p$ should be explored. The value used by Krause et al. (2007) comes from Presley and Christensen (1997) who have not reported value as low as $10^{-3} \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ for relevant materials. In addition, von Borstel and Blum (2012), who found thermal conductivities around $10^{-1} \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, mentioned that results published by Moudens et al. (2011) should be quantitatively affected by a higher heat conductivity. For all these reasons, detailed investigations about the importance of thermal conductivity are needed.

Krause et al. (2011) conducted laboratory experiments with aggregate analogs composed by monodisperse spherical monomers. Their samples of 1.5 $\mu$m-sized SiO$_2$ particles were prepared following several specific protocoles which led to various values of the porosity. This way, Krause et al. (2011) obtained a range of thermal conductivity that lies between 0.002–0.02 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. Gundlach and Blum (2012), in their work on the heat transport in porous surface dust layers, found values compatible with such a range. We should notice that the lowest value of Gundlach and Blum (2012), i.e. 0.002 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, is close the one that we have used. For an irradiated disk model of 3 MMSN computed with $\alpha = 7 \times 10^{-3}$, the use of $A_p = 0.02$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ yields to a maximum heliocentric distance reached by particles around 10 AU (corresponding to $a = 10^{-2}$ m). This result has to be compared to what it is depicted in Fig. 3d and thus illustrates the high sensitivity of photophoresis with respect to thermal conductivity.

Krause et al. (2011) were able to derive an empirical law providing the thermal conductivity of their analogs as a function of the volume filling factor, or equivalently of the porosity $\Pi$. This relationship can be expressed as

$$A_p = 0.000514 \exp(7.91 (1 - \Pi)) \quad (15)$$

(in W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). Straightforwardly, the density of the composite material can be written $\rho_p = (1 - \Pi)\rho_{\text{olivine}} + \Pi \rho_{\text{gas}}$, where $\rho_{\text{olivine}}$ represents the density of monomers of non-porous olivine, we have found $\rho_{\text{olivine}} \sim 4 \times 10^3$ kg m$^{-3}$ (Kogel et al., 2006). $\rho_{\text{gas}}$ is the local density of the gas. In summary, Eq. (15) together with the above mentioned expression of $\rho_p$ offers the possibility of an exploration of the combined effects of thermal conductivity and of the density, for several values of the porosity. Fig. 5 displays results of two of such simulations performed respectively with $\Pi = 0.90$ and $\Pi = 0.50$. The work of Zsom et al. (2010), who found $\Pi \sim 0.60$ in the mid-plan at 1 AU, favors the latter value. With the set of physical inputs involved there, i.e. an irradiated disk computed from an initial mass of 3 MMSN, using a turbulent viscosity parameter $\alpha = 7 \times 10^{-3}$ and with a small (i.e. 0.55 AU in radius) assumed inner gap, the aggregates with $\Pi = 90\%$ are transported, to probable comets for-
be corrected by the effect of the gas incorporated in the porous structures. In the context of ceramic materials, Russell (1935) has derived the effective thermal conductivity of a dry porous material taking into account the properties of its component gas and of the solid. A uniform distribution of pores in a cubic lattice and a parallel heat flow are assumed, the convection across the pores is neglected. Russell found

$$\frac{\lambda_\text{x}}{\lambda_p} = 1 - \Pi^{1/3} + \frac{\Pi^{1/3}}{(\lambda_\text{gas}/\lambda_\text{x})\Pi^{2/3} + 1 - \Pi^{2/3}}$$

(16)

In this formalism $\lambda_p$ is the conductivity of the composite material (here the aggregate), $\lambda_\text{gas}$ and $\lambda_\text{x}$ respectively the gas conductivity and the one of the solid (here olivine). Unfortunately, we found that Eq. (16) is not in agreement with Krause et al. (2011) results, even when the contribution of gas is neglected. Nevertheless, we have computed the gas heat conductivity along the particles tracks, we obtained values around a few $10^{-2}$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, as a consequence the effective conductivity of aggregates for porosity as high as 90% should be close to $\sim 10^{-2}$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and the photophoretic migration through the disk mid-plane should be considerably reduced.

Another aspect of the issue discussed here relates to the thermal conductivity of the bulk material of the dust particles. Krause et al. (2011) have employed amorphous silica in their experiments. In the case of solid vitreous SiO$_2$, the heat conductivity remains in the interval 0.85–1.30 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ depending on the temperature (Lide D. R., 2005). However, actual aggregates are very likely mainly made of olivine. The thermal conductivity of olivine could be estimated by mean of equation (12) published in the article by Xu et al. (2004) and parameters values provided therein. By doing this, we found a conductivity around 3.7 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. Thus, aggregates made of olivine could be expected to exhibit a higher heat conductivity than those built from amorphous monomers of SiO$_2$.

All these arguments, together with the properties of the turbulence do not support far migrations of dust particles, but favor transport by photophoresis limited to maximum distances between $\sim 5$ AU and $\sim 30$ AU accompanied by several segregation processes on porosity, chemical nature and size; processes which will be difficult to disentangle.

The emissivity $\epsilon$ is also a thermodynamic parameter. We have checked that it has no noticeable influence on the maximum heliocentric distances reached by grains. Decreasing the value of $\epsilon$ (we made test with $\epsilon = 0.5$ and $\epsilon = 0.1$) increases by a tiny amount the efficiency of photophoresis.

If thermal effects reduce or impede dust migration driven by photophoresis in the disk mid-plane, we could examine whether more favorable conditions may be found within other zones of the Nebula. This is what it will be discussed in the Sect. 4.5.

### 4.4. The Influence of the Opacity

As it can be seen in Fig. 3, regardless of considered scenario, the velocity of migration of “test particles” strongly depends on their size. The tendency is that small particles move slower than bigger ones. For the computation of the trajectories, the employed prescription –also used in previous published works– assumes the existence of the dust-free space between the inner boundary of the disk and the position of the “test particles” $r_p$ (see Fig. 2). This simplifying hypothesis allows the use of the opacity law given by Eq. 9 that accounts only for the gas Rayleigh’s scattering, which is valid in the absence of dust grains. In observed accretion disk, as in the ISM, the populations of grains are not monodisperse but rather follow a size distribution (see for instance Apai and Lauretta, 2010, and references therein). As it has been noticed, the transport of the largest grains is faster than that of small particles, then some amount of small aggregates should remain along the line of sight between the Sun and the largest dust grains. Unfortunately, the small grains are the most numerous as the distribution of the grains size is believed to follow a powerlaw $a^{-n}$ with $n \sim 3$, and consequently they produce a dominant contribution to the opacity. Indeed, in Fig. 6 one can compare the opacity laws (Cuzzi et al., 2014) resulting from an aggregates sizes distribution spanning from 1 to 10 $\mu$m and another with radii between 1 $\mu$m–1 cm. Clearly, the population dominated by the smallest particles produces the highest opacity.

For our purpose, the relevant distribution in size is the one with radii between 1 $\mu$m–1 cm. As we can see in Fig. 6 the corresponding opacity lies between $10^{-2}$ and $2 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$. These values have to be compared with an estimation made using Eq. 9. Using this latter formula, we obtained an opacity of the order of $\sim 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for an effective temperature of the proto-Sun around 4400 K. It demonstrates that the opacity of the gas alone is order of magnitudes lower than what we find if a residual amount of dust is left. As a conclusion, if large particles (for instance with a radius $a \sim 1$ cm) are pushed away by some transport mechanism, then the small ones remaining on the optical path have an effect on the opacity strong enough to annihilate any force produced by photophoresis and/or radiation pressure. Hence, two alternatives would be possible: (1)...
all the grains are displaced at the same velocity, which is that of the smallest aggregates, or (2) the biggest particles are transported over a fraction of AU, the residual population of smaller grains coagulate and reestablish a distribution of sizes containing a fraction of large dusts but with a global mass of solids diminished. The process could then repeat and extend itself, tending to a dust-free gas in the disk mid-plane.

Concerning the first scenario, the comets formation zone could be provided in crystal only if the smallest aggregates reach a far enough distance during the lifetime of the protoplanetary disk. In our approach, this could occur in the case of a non-irradiated disk (see Fig. 3.a) which is not the most realistic model. Unfortunately, when the irradiation is taken into account, particles with radius as small as $10^{-5}$ m seem to stay at few AU from the Sun. In this situation, the second scenario could be invoked. In that case, the coagulation process has to be fast enough; such a scenario looks plausible since Ormel et al. (2007) found that aggregates can grow to radii up to ~10 cm in a few thousand years. The depletion in solids created locally by the short-range migration of the biggest grains yields to a more transparent medium by decreasing the opacity (see dashed-dotted curve in Fig. 6). The proposed mechanism, which differs from that described in studies like Krauss and Wurm (2005) – who did not take into account the feedback on opacity – has to be studied in details in future researches. In-depth investigations of this scenario could decide if the net effect lets the grains piling up at the inner edge of the disk or if this solid material could be efficiently swept out from inner regions. The answer is not straightforward. For instance, we have noticed that a high porosity of aggregates (which favors the photophoresis by producing low thermal conductivities) is an unfavorable factor by enhancing the opacity. In addition, to crown it all, the thermal conductivity of grains seems to depend on their size (see Presley and Christensen, 1997). Beside these effects, in future investigations, one has to include coagulation/fragmentation and the detailed evolution of the aggregates population over time, together with their influence on the opacity, has to be consistently followed.

Throughout this paragraph, the physical processes were assumed to take place in the disk plane of symmetry, which is supposed to embed the majority of dust grains. Of course, the mid-plane of the disk is also the optically thickest region and the material becomes progressively optically thinner and thinner moving outwards. For instance, we have estimated the optical depth $\tau_{10}$ at ~10 AU at the “external surface”, and we have made comparison with its mid-plane counterpart. Using (Cuzzi et al., 2014) opacities (that include the effect of dust) we got $\tau_{10}$ ranging between ~35 and more than 100 at the mid-plane, while $\tau_{10}$ never exceeded ~0.6 during the same disk lifetime of 6 Myr, when evaluated at the “external surface”. Then, even if the disk mid-plane stays very opaque, transport induced by stellar photon flux could occur through other optically thinner regions.

4.5. About a possible off mid-plane transport

As mentioned above, we have mainly assumed that particles were transported through the disk mid-plane. In real accretion disks, dust particles are not confined in the mid-plane, but they rather explore the entire thickness of the disk between the mid-plane and the external surface (see Fig. 2). As the surface is less dense and cooler compared to that at the mid-plane, we can expect quite different drift velocities. In fact, a less dense matter is more transparent and thus favors a larger effect of the radiation pressure. Concerning the photophoretic force, the net effect is more uncertain: a less opaque gas should cause an easier heating of particles (that favors the photophoretic effect, if this heating is restricted to one “side” of each particle) while the scarcity of molecules available for photophoresis would be a limiting factor. Throughout this paragraph, one has to keep in mind that the dust grains are probably much more abundant in the disk mid-plane than at the external surface, for instance in the steady-state regime the vertical distribution of grains follows a gaussian law (see for instance Birnstiel, 2011). Nonetheless, the determination of the actual vertical distribution in our context is, by far, beyond the primarily scope of the present paper.

Concerning the transport of particles at the external surface of the disk, one can argue that irradiation by the stellar wind and/or by energetic galactic cosmic rays, could damage their lattice and subsequently produces an irradiation-induced amorphization (see for instance Farnè et al. (2010) for a discussion concerning the water ice or Le Guillou et al. (2013) for an experimental study of the effect of electron irradiation of kerosens). Nevertheless, the small cross-section of dust particles could prevent this effect. In future works, a more in depth discussion is needed about this aspect.

For the sake of simplicity, the irradiation attenuation will be computed as if the external surface was flat. The adopted disk model is a 3 MMSN irradiated disk, computed with $\alpha = 7 \times 10^{-5}$. Concerning the computation of the photophoretic force we keep our “standard” value for the involved parameters; particularly, the thermal conductivity $\lambda_p$ is set to $10^{-3}$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. As the accretion velocity $v_{\text{acc}}$ (see Eq. 14) is a vertically averaged quantity, we did not compute the trajectory of aggregates by using Eq. 13 that requires a local expression of $v_{\text{acc}}$, relevant for the considered surface. We then calculated only the drift velocity $v_{\text{drift}}$ and found very high values. They are much higher than $v_{\text{acc}}$ (to be considered as a typical value) and even higher than the speed of sound. This large velocity makes no physical sense by itself, this is the reason why we have searched what caused such very high values. To do so, we have computed the quantities contributing to $v_{\text{drift}}$ (i.e. $F_{\text{res}}, F_{\text{rad}}, \text{etc}$) at all points along the upper surface layer; this, for two disk ages of the early evolution. All these quantities were computed using the local pressure and temperature (i.e. those at the external surface). In Fig. 7 we have represented the velocity $v_{\text{drift}}$ for the adopted initial disk model (corresponding age: 0.00 Myr) and at the time when about 10% of the initial mass has been accreted (i.e. age: $\approx 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ Myr). The choice of these two ages is relevant for our purpose since they will bracket the early evolution of the system. The aggregate radius $a$ has been fixed to $10^{-3}$ m for these simulations. As can be seen in Fig. 7, at $t = 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ Myr and $r \sim 1$ AU the velocity $v_{\text{drift}}$ at the external surface (see Fig. 7b) is roughly 4 orders of magnitude larger than the cor-
Figure 7: The drift velocity computed: (a) in the disk mid-plane, (b) at the external surface, for the initial disk model (i.e. at $t = 0.00$ Myr) represented by a solid line and at $t = 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ Myr (corresponding to a disk mass of about ∼ 90% of the initial mass) shown by a dashed line, in this case the lines are almost merged. All these computations were done assuming a particle radius of $10^{-3}$ m. For these tests the adopted thermal conductivity is our “standard” value, i.e. $10^{-3}$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$.

Table 3: Forces (in newtons) acting on a particle of radius $10^{-3}$ m located at 2 AU from the central star. The notation $x_yz \times 10^{-n} = x_yz (-n)$ is used. The times $t_0$ and $t_1$ are respectively the initial time and $t = 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ Myr.

|                | $F_{\text{res}}$ | $F_{\text{rad}}$ | $F_{\text{ph}}$ |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                | $t_0$           | $t_1$     | $t_0$           | $t_1$     | $t_0$     | $t_1$     |
| mid-plane      | 6.8(−11)        | 3.0(−11)  | 1.3(−18)        | 3.2(−13)  | 1.6(−16)  | 1.1(−10)  |
| ext. surface   | 1.6(−11)        | 2.3(−11)  | 3.3(−11)        | 3.4(−11)  | 1.5(−11)  | 1.2(−11)  |

responding value at the mid-plane (see Fig. 7a). To go further, we have plotted the forces $|F_{\text{res}}|$ (in general $F_{\text{res}} < 0$ because $P$ decreases when $r$ increases), $F_{\text{rad}}$ and $F_{\text{ph}}$ (see respectively Eq. 5, 6 and 3) together with the coupling time of particles with gas (see Eq. 11) in Fig. 8. The same disk model is employed, all these quantities have been computed respectively at the external surface (right hand side of Fig. 8) and at the disk mid-plane (left hand side of Fig. 8); and respectively for $t = 0.0$ Myr and $t = 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ Myr. It can be noticed that at mid-plane the force of residual gravity $|F_{\text{res}}|$ is the largest at the beginning of the disk evolution. After a few times, it turns out that the photophoretic force $F_{\text{ph}}$ dominates other contributions. At the external surface, the force due to the residual gravity is lower than at the disk mid-plane; all the three forces have a similar order of magnitude (i.e. ∼ $10^{-11}$ N) although the radiative pressure force dominates slightly the others. The major difference between situations at external surface and mid-plane consists in the role played by $F_{\text{rad}}$ at the surface. Tab. 3 gives precise value of forces at 2 AU from the proto-Sun. We can remark that the photophoretic force does not undergo a large change between the two locations: the effect of the higher radiative flux at the surface seems to be compensated by the increasing scarcity of gas molecules contributing to the photophoresis. Finally, the global resulting force (i.e. given by the sum $F_{\text{res}} + F_{\text{rad}} + F_{\text{ph}}$)
appears to be not so different at disk mid-plane and at external surface (i.e. it ranges between $\sim 10^{-11} - 10^{-10}$ for our test particle). As a consequence, the quantitatively significant difference between mid-plane and external surface comes from factor of $10^4$ in gas–grain coupling time $\tau$ (see Fig.8 panels (g) and (h) for $r \sim 2$ AU). This ratio of 4 orders of magnitude is due to local thermodynamic conditions. We recall that $\tau \propto C_c/\eta$ (see Eqs. 11 and Eq. 12) in a low density environment. Moreover, in low density regions $\eta$ becomes very low implying large $1/\eta$ values, while the Knudsen’s number $K_n$ tends to be very large, and for $K_n \gg 1$ we have $C_c \approx 1.701 K_n$, so that $C_c$ also increases. The net result is a strong rise of $\tau$. The physical meaning of these large value of $\tau$ is a weak coupling between the aggregates and the gas.

In summary, at the external boundary of the dense region of the protoplanetary disk the photophoretic force may not be the dominant force, particularly if the thermal conductivity $\lambda_p$ is much higher than $10^{-3}$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. The fact that the aggregates particles are weakly coupled to the gas allows any small force to generate a transport process. In such circumstances, particles can sediment, be pushed outward by stellar wind bursts, taken away by photoevaporation, etc. A study of such transport processes is far beyond the scope of the present paper and required a minimum modelization of the disk’s “atmosphere”.

5. Conclusion and perspectives

The 1+1D disk model used here implements the classical $\alpha$-viscosity prescription that allows a description of the physical evolution of the disk as it undergoes mass and angular momentum transport. To this standard approach, we have added time-dependent irradiation which is consistent with
the evolution of the proto-Sun along the Pre-Main Sequence phase. Since this phase corresponds to the T Tauri period of our star, which is known to be contemporary of the existence of a circumstellar dusty and gaseous accretion disk, our model represents a noticeable improvement of the proto-solar Nebula modeling. This is particularly true in our context, in which we examine the influence of proto-Sun radiation on the transport of dust driven by photophoresis. By adopting the same prescription used in previous works for the opacity of the gas, we have found that the high luminosity of the Sun considered in its T Tauri phase favors the migration of dust grains to the outer parts of the protoplanetary disk. However, the effect is not as high as if the irradiation would not change the disk properties. Indeed, the irradiation enhances the computed turbulent viscosity which in turn increases the accretion velocity. Consequently, the particles are slowed down whereas the disk lifetime is reduced. This latter effect reduces the need for an \textit{ad hoc} photoevaporation in order to get ages in agreement with astronomical observations. Unfortunately, since the dust particles have migration velocities that depend on their size, a trail of small grains should be left along the line of sight. The smallest aggregates show an important contribution to the gas–dust opacity. This leads to a strong extinction which could eliminate the photophoretic effect. This point is one of our most important results and, models involving a consistent treatment of the opacity as a function of dust content, are highly desirable. Noticeably, external optically thin disk regions could provide an environment favorable to stellar photons driven transport processes. In such a case, the net quantity of dust delivered to the comets formation zone could not be large enough to explain the observations, because of the vertical stratification of grain distribution. Besides this, using gas temperature distribution provided by our model, we confirm that amorphous grains cannot be annealed into regions beyond $\sim 1 - 2$ AU, due to arguments based on phase transition kinetics at gas temperature. More importantly, we have identified the heat conductivity of aggregates as a crucial parameter. Using realistic estimations and published experimental results for the thermal conductivity, we have shown that the photophoretic strength can be considerably reduced and could yield to situations in which photophoretic migration through the mid-plane could be marginal even if the disk is irradiated by a bright PMS star.

Laboratory experimentations conducted by van Eymeren and Wurm (2012) on ice aggregates, trapped in a cell under the combined effects of photophoresis and thermophoresis, show that rotation induced by photophoretic forces does not change the strength of photophoresis force, but ignores the influence of a turbulent flow. Krauss et al. (2007) and Moudens et al. (2011) have discussed, respectively the possible effects of the turbulence and of the particles rotation. However, they considered turbulence and rotation as independent processes. Krauss et al. (2007), who discussed turbulence as a factor affecting the mean radial motion of dusts, concluded that turbulence essentially does not prevent the outward migration. Moudens et al. (2011) have looked to the influence of rotation of particles on themselves, induced for instance by particle to particle collisions. We have to keep in mind that dusts are embedded in a gaseous environment, to which they are strongly coupled (at least in the mid-plane). Following a simple picture, a turbulent flow is made of a cascade of eddies, with a distribution of sizes and lifetimes. In such a flow, aggregates can meet eddies with rotational motion in a plane that contains the radial direction. In this configuration, if the thermal relaxation timescale of the particle is larger than the overturning timescale of the turbulent eddy, the temperature distribution at the surface of the particle could be uniformized, leading to the removal of any photophoretic force. The global effect on dust migration through the disk depends on the intrinsic properties of dust (thermal properties and aerodynamics drag) and on statistical properties of the involved turbulent flow. The net result will be a convolution of the statistical distribution of grains properties (bulk material nature, porosity, size, etc) and of the properties of the cascade of turbulent eddies.

In a first approach, the influence of the turbulence on dust trajectories could also be investigated using a particle-tracking technics as already employed in other contexts by Supulver and Lin (2000) or Ciesla and Sandford (2012). Thanks to this method, applied in a 2D or better a 3D geometry, we could follow the trajectories of particles within the disk and even those that could be launched upwards, pushed outward by the radiation pressure and possibly fall back onto the Nebula at different locations. A similar scenario has been already studied by Wurm and Haack (2009) in their investigations concerning the outward transport of CAIs during FU-Orionis events. The vertical transport of grains, caused by convection and thermophoresis could be also included together with the existence of a quiet “dead zone” in which the turbulent activity should be very low.

Appendix A. Description of the disk model

Our model of accretion disk is basically based on a generalized version of the procedure originally published by Papaloizou and Terquem (1999). More specifically, this is a 1+1D model for which the turbulence is treated in the frame of the well known $\alpha$ formalism (Shakura and Sunyaev, 1973). During its temporal evolution, the disk is irradiated by the star which also evolves along its Pre-Main Sequence evolutionary track. Both static model and disk temporal evolution programs have been implemented from scratch in FORTRAN 2008, and parallelized using Open Multi-Processing (OpenMP$^3$). We have nicknamed the whole package $E_vAD^4$. The following sections provide a detailed description of our model.

Appendix A.1. Vertical structure

The vertical structure of the disk is governed by the equations (see Papaloizou and Terquem, 1999; Huré, 2000) (already labeled PT99), Frank et al. (1992)

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = -\Omega^2 \rho$$

(A.1)

$^3$http://openmp.org.

$^4$Evolutionary Accretion Disk.
\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{9}{4} \nu \Omega^2 \tag{A.2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial \zeta} = -\frac{3 k \rho}{16 \sigma T^4} F \tag{A.3}
\]

where \( P, F \) and \( T \) are respectively the pressure, the vertical radiative flux and the temperature; \( \zeta \) represents the altitude above the mid-plane and \( \Omega \) is the Keplerian angular velocity. The density is denoted \( \rho \) while \( \kappa \) is the opacity of the disk’s material taken in Bell and Lin (1994). Following PT99 the boundary condition at the external disk surface are given by

\[
F_s = \frac{3}{8 \pi} M_{\text{st}} \Omega^2 \tag{A.4}
\]

where \( M_{\text{st}} = 3 \pi \nu > \Sigma \) with \( \Sigma = \int_{-H}^{H} \rho \, dz \) (the disk surface mass density) and \( < \nu > = \int_{-H}^{H} \rho \psi / \Sigma \) the vertically averaged viscosity. The pressure at external surface is

\[
P_s = \frac{\Omega^2 H \tau_{\text{st}}}{\kappa_s} \tag{A.5}
\]

where \( H \) is the disk mid-height, \( \tau_{\text{st}} \) is the optical depth above the disk (following PT99, we have taken \( \tau_{\text{st}} = 10^{-3} \)) and \( \kappa_s \) is the opacity at the external surface temperature. The temperature \( T_s \) can be obtained by solving for given values of \( \alpha \) and \( M_{\text{st}} \)

\[
2 \kappa (T_{\text{eff}}^2 - T_{\text{b}}^2) - \frac{9 \alpha k T_s}{8 \mu m_H \kappa_s} = \frac{3}{8 \pi} M_{\text{st}} \Omega^2 = 0 \tag{A.6}
\]

where \( T_{\text{b}} \) is given by

\[
T_{\text{b}} = T_0^4 + T_{\text{eff}}^4 \tag{A.7}
\]

where \( T_{\text{eff}} \) is given by Eq. (2), \( T_0 \) is the background temperature, \( i.e. \) the temperature of the medium in which the disk is immersed. We have chosen \( T_0 = 10 \, \text{K} \). The mid-height of the disk being not known a priori, we have to solve a Two Boundary Value Problems (TBVP). While some authors work with relaxation algorithms (Cannizzo, 1992; Milsom et al., 1994; D’Alessio et al., 1998; Hameury et al., 1998), we have preferred an algorithm based on shooting methods (Lin and Papaloizou, 1980; Meyer and Meyer-Hofmeister, 1982; Mineshige and Osaki, 1983; Smak, 1984; Mineshige et al., 1990; Rózanska et al., 1999; Papaloizou and Terquem, 1999) turning our TBVP into an Initial Values Problems (IVP): the equations of the vertical structure are integrated from the surface of the disk to the midplane. The 5th-order Runge-Kutta method with adaptive step length described in Press et al. (1992), already used in previous other works (see Papaloizou and Terquem, 1999; Alibert et al., 2005; Dodson-Robinson et al., 2009), has been employed to perform the vertical integration. To reduce the effects of the stiffness of the set of equations, we have opted for—after several tests— the set of variables \( \psi = \ln(\phi), \nu = F/\sigma T_0^2 \) and \( w = \ln(T) \) (also adopted by Huré, 2000). The equation \( F(\zeta = 0) = 0 \) is then solved by a root finding method. Finally, the vertically averaged turbulent viscosity \( < \nu > = \int_{-H}^{H} \rho \psi / \Sigma \) is tabulated as a function of disk surface density \( \Sigma = \int_{-H}^{H} \rho \psi / \Sigma \), the steady state accretion rate \( \dot{M}_a = 3 \pi \nu > \Sigma \), the turbulent viscosity parameter \( \alpha \) and the age of the system \( t \) (i.e. providing \( T_{\text{eff}}(t) \) and \( R_*(t) \) which are directly involved in the calculation. During the integration of the Eq. (1), these pre-built tables are interpolated “on-the-fly” by a dedicated routine involving advanced B-splines technics (de Boor, 1985).

### Appendix A.2. Secular evolution

The temporal evolution of the disk is governed by Eq. (1), combined with boundary conditions similar to those used by Alibert et al. (2005), which is a non-linear equation \( (< \nu > \) depends on the solution \( \Sigma \). Unfortunately, there is no standard numerical method for such equation. Although explicit finite difference method is often used (e.g. Papaloizou et al., 1983; Ruden and Lin, 1986; Nakamoto and Nakagawa, 1994; Jin and Sui, 2010) the time-step must meet the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy condition (see Courant et al., 1928) that limits the value of the time-step to small values. Instead of an explicit finite difference scheme, we have chosen a fully implicit scheme which has the great advantage of being unconditionally stable at least in the case of linear equations. The obtained set of non-linear finite difference equations is solved using a multidimensional Newton–Raphson algorithm. Our approach is similar to the methods used by Eggleton (1971) and Hameury et al. (1998), respectively in the contexts of stellar structure or accretion disc outburst. We used a decentralized finite difference formula

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}_{i} \approx \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}_{i} \frac{f_{i+1} - f_{i-1}}{2 \Delta \xi} \tag{A.8}
\]

where \( \xi \) is a chosen function of \( r \). The initial distribution of matter being of the form \( \Sigma \propto r^{-\delta/2} \); we have found convenient to adopt a function like \( \xi(r) = r^p \) where \( p \) is an adjustable real parameter that tunes the distribution of points through the disk. Finally, the evolution equation has been rewritten using \( f = \Sigma \nu \) and \( u = \sqrt{\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \).
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