Prevalence of CD8⁺ cytotoxic lymphocytes in human neoplasms
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Abstract

Purpose Immune checkpoint inhibitors have recently been approved by the US FDA as first and/or second line therapy in a subset of cancer types. Recent evidence suggests that the quantity of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) influences the likelihood of response to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Here, we set out to assess the density of CD8⁺ lymphocytes in a wide range of different cancer types and subtypes.

Methods The density of CD8⁺ lymphocytes was compared across different cancer types using tissue microarrays (TMAs) composed of up to 50 tumor samples each from 84 different cancer types and subtypes. In total 2652 cancers and 608 normal tissues were successfully analyzed by CD8 immunohistochemistry followed by automated image analysis of digitized slides.

Results We found that the median CD8⁺ lymphocyte counts ranged from 6 cells/mm² in pleomorphic adenoma up to 1573 cells/mm² in Hodgkin’s lymphoma. The CD8 counts were generally lower in normal tissues compared to cancer tissues. Blood vessels of the spleen were the only non-lymphatic tissue staining positive for CD8. Tumor types approved for checkpoint inhibitor therapy, including malignant melanoma (81), muscle invasive urothelial carcinoma (119), small cell lung cancer (120), clear cell renal cell cancer (153), squamous cell carcinoma (189) and adenocarcinoma of the lung (328) as well as Hodgkin’s lymphoma (1573) were all ranking among the upper half of our list. Comparably high CD8 densities (median cells/mm²) were also found in several rare and aggressive cancer types including Merkel cell carcinoma (70), anaplastic thyroid cancer (156) and embryonal carcinoma of the testis (186). In 73 of the 84 analyzed cancer types, the highly variable CD8 counts occasionally exceeded the average CD8 count of tumors for which checkpoint inhibitors have been approved.

Conclusion These data support the concept that among most tumor types at least some individual cancers may benefit from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors.
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1 Introduction

Cancer drugs targeting the host immune reaction are increasingly employed in cancer therapy. Immune checkpoint inhibitors such as Pembrolizumab, Nivolumab and Atzolizumab, directed against programmed death-1 (PD-1) or its ligand 1 (PD-L1), have recently been approved by the US FDA as first and/or second line therapy in various cancer types including melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, small cell lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, urothelial carcinoma, cervical cancer and Hodgkin’s lymphoma [1–8]. Several additional drugs targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 system and other immune checkpoints or their ligands are currently being investigated in clinical trials. It is expected that the number of approved immune checkpoint
inhibitors and the cancer types for which they are being utilized will increase markedly in the coming years [9].

Despite striking successes of these therapies in many patients, as yet the majority of treated individuals only shows a partial response [10]. Predictive tests that identify suited patients are urgently needed. An increasing number of publications has demonstrated that the number of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) is one relevant factor determining the potential response of a cancer to checkpoint inhibitors [11]. As these medications combat cancer by stimulating cytotoxic lymphocytes, it is thought that the presence of more lymphocytes may increase the likelihood for a successful application of immune checkpoint inhibitors. Even in absence of specific therapy, tumors with high lymphocyte content such as medullary breast cancers, seminomas or colorectal carcinomas are often characterized by a particularly good prognosis [11–13]. Many studies describing (TILs) have shown associations with tumor phenotype, patient outcome or response to therapy [14–16]. Altogether, these data indicate that the number of lymphocytes in cancer tissues is of clinical importance.

Given the growing interest in the lymphocyte content of tumors, we utilized tissue microarrays (TMAs) composed of up to 50 tumors each from 84 different cancer types and subtypes to compare the density of CD8+ lymphocytes. The data provide a systematic overview with respect to the degree of cytotoxic T cell involvement in different cancers.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Patients and tissues

Formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue samples from 3659 patients representing more than 80 different tumor types and subtypes and more than 70 different normal tissue types were retrieved from the archives of the Institute of Pathology at the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf. A pathologist identified representative cancerous and normal human tissue areas to assemble two different types of tissue microarrays (TMAs) from these samples: The first, a multitumor (TMA), contained 4–50 samples each from 84 different human tumor types and subtypes, as shown in Fig. 1. The samples of this first TMA were distributed among 8 different TMA blocks, each containing between 454 and 532 samples. The tissue cores were selected for a high tumor cell content on hematoxylin & eosin stained tissue sections of the donor tissue blocks, but not for particular features connected to the lymphocyte content such as the presence or density of infiltrating lymphocytes. The second TMA was composed of normal appearing tissues taken from organs removed for non-tumor reasons and encompassed 8 samples each of 76 different normal tissue types (608 samples on one slide), as shown in Supplementary Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 Distribution of CD8+ cell density (cell/mm²) across 84 different human tumor entities. In total 3339 tumor samples, represented by the black dots, were analyzed. The vertical bars indicate the median density per entity. Ca, carcinoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma samples is shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1. For both TMAs, a single 0.6 mm tissue punch was taken from...
each tissue sample. The TMA construction process was described previously [17]. The use of archived tissues has been approved by local laws (HmgKhG §12) and the local ethics committee (Ethics Commission Hamburg, WF-049/09). All studies have been carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration.

### 2.2 Immunohistochemistry

Freshly cut TMA sections were all stained for CD8 in one run on the same day. The slides were deparaffinized, rehydrated, exposed to heat-induced antigen retrieval for 15 min at 98 °C in

| Table 1 CD8+ cell densities (cells/mm²) in 84 different tumor types |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Tumor entity                     | N       | Mean    | SD      | Median  |
| Parotid pleomorphic adenoma      | 45      | 15      | 24      | 6       |
| Small cell prostate Ca           | 11      | 14      | 10      | 9       |
| Renal cell Ca (chromophobice)    | 43      | 23      | 35      | 10      |
| Leiomyoma                        | 47      | 19      | 18      | 11      |
| Oncocytoma                       | 44      | 33      | 72      | 13      |
| Thyroid Ca (medullary)           | 42      | 43      | 83      | 14      |
| Adrenocortical Ca                | 14      | 120     | 256     | 15      |
| Ovarian mucinous Ca              | 23      | 53      | 95      | 18      |
| Basal cell adenoma               | 15      | 31      | 28      | 20      |
| Vulva SCC                        | 31      | 141     | 279     | 21      |
| Leiomyosarcoma                   | 47      | 113     | 193     | 24      |
| Osteosarcoma                     | 20      | 33      | 33      | 24      |
| Adrenal adenoma                  | 47      | 60      | 118     | 26      |
| Thyroid adenoma                  | 47      | 44      | 51      | 26      |
| Chondrosarcoma                   | 10      | 72      | 95      | 27      |
| Carcinoid Ca (neuroendocrine)    | 27      | 53      | 55      | 30      |
| Thyroid Ca (folllicular)         | 48      | 58      | 80      | 30      |
| Stromal sarcoma (endometrioid)   | 12      | 43      | 35      | 31      |
| Ovarian endometroid Ca           | 29      | 76      | 149     | 33      |
| Breast Ca (mucinous)             | 16      | 98      | 146     | 35      |
| Pancreatic neuroendocrine Ca      | 29      | 84      | 137     | 35      |
| Carcinosarcoma                   | 45      | 98      | 156     | 35      |
| Small cell bladder Ca            | 17      | 94      | 159     | 36      |
| Breast Ca (tubulary)             | 8       | 75      | 65      | 38      |
| Naevus benign                    | 23      | 69      | 72      | 43      |
| Basalioma                        | 42      | 142     | 212     | 46      |
| Phylloides tumor of the breast   | 38      | 62      | 67      | 46      |
| Urinary bladder Ca (pTa)         | 42      | 89      | 138     | 48      |
| Phaeochromocytoma                | 32      | 55      | 33      | 52      |
| Liposarcoma                      | 40      | 124     | 215     | 53      |
| Brenner tumor of the ovary       | 7       | 165     | 310     | 54      |
| Granular cell tumor              | 24      | 118     | 138     | 55      |
| Hepatocellular Ca                | 45      | 180     | 264     | 58      |
| Pilomatrixoma                    | 27      | 157     | 227     | 65      |
| Colon Ca (adenic)                | 35      | 169     | 243     | 67      |
| Breast Ca (dualic)               | 26      | 121     | 138     | 67      |
| Merkel cell cancer               | 42      | 156     | 180     | 70      |
| Teratoma                         | 22      | 156     | 286     | 74      |
| Gastrointestinal stromal tumor   | 39      | 115     | 137     | 75      |
| Esophagael SCC                   | 34      | 205     | 261     | 78      |
| Malignant melanoma               | 43      | 231     | 371     | 81      |
| Pancreatic papillary adenoca      | 16      | 199     | 313     | 83      |
| Thyroid Ca (papillary)           | 47      | 157     | 183     | 86      |
| Lung Ca (bronchoalveolar)        | 6       | 198     | 258     | 87      |
| Renal cell Ca (papillary)        | 43      | 141     | 149     | 88      |
| Breast Ca (lobular)              | 32      | 119     | 143     | 92      |
| Angiosarcoma                     | 25      | 199     | 272     | 95      |
| Pancreatic ductal adeo Ca        | 27      | 158     | 188     | 98      |
| Endometrial serous Ca            | 34      | 161     | 206     | 98      |

| Table 1 (continued) |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Tumor entity         | N       | Mean    | SD      | Median  |
| Prostate Ca          | 41      | 139     | 103     | 99      |
| Cholangiocellular Ca | 31      | 208     | 334     | 101     |
| Anal Ca              | 25      | 229     | 320     | 104     |
| Skin SCC             | 42      | 276     | 431     | 106     |
| Yolk sac tumor       | 33      | 145     | 154     | 107     |
| Larynx Ca            | 47      | 230     | 281     | 115     |
| Urinary bladder Ca (pT2–4) | 47 | 267   | 432    | 119    |
| Small cell lung Ca   | 12      | 192     | 200     | 120     |
| Oral cavity Ca       | 43      | 304     | 452     | 127     |
| Vagina SSC           | 31      | 313     | 515     | 128     |
| Endometrial endometroid Ca | 66 | 237   | 283    | 137    |
| Renal cell Ca (clear cell) | 46    | 306    | 479    | 153     |
| Thyroid Ca (anaplastic) | 23  | 349    | 351    | 156     |
| Giant cell tumor of the tendon sheet | 44 | 197 | 154  | 164     |
| Ovarian serous Ca    | 42      | 235     | 248     | 178     |
| Embryonal Ca (testicular) | 40 | 322    | 393    | 186     |
| Malignant mesothelioma | 37      | 356     | 541     | 187     |
| Lung Ca SCC          | 23      | 274     | 273     | 189     |
| Cervical adenoma Ca  | 37      | 340     | 434     | 191     |
| Small intestine Ca   | 5       | 272     | 298     | 195     |
| Small cell Lung Ca (metastatic) | 3   | 222    | 61     | 208     |
| Esophagael adenoma Ca | 34     | 378     | 386     | 220     |
| Stomach Ca (diffuse) | 32      | 315     | 395     | 221     |
| Large cell lung Ca   | 23      | 440     | 446     | 266     |
| Colon adenoma (low-grade) | 26    | 256    | 133    | 268     |
| Colon adenoma (high-grade) | 23    | 296    | 212    | 277     |
| Stomach Ca (intestinal) | 30    | 518     | 432     | 306     |
| Lung Ca adenoma      | 37      | 488     | 488     | 328     |
| Seminoma             | 46      | 492     | 348     | 424     |
| Warthin’s tumor      | 44      | 501     | 356     | 425     |
| Cervical SCC         | 43      | 574     | 491     | 468     |
| Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma | 43     | 720     | 520     | 598     |
| Breast Ca (medullary) | 10     | 198     | 200     | 120     |
| Thymoma              | 27      | 1017    | 833     | 889     |
| Hodgkin’s lymphoma   | 30      | 1649    | 954     | 1573    |
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pH 9 DAKO target retrieval Solution (S2367) using a DAKO PT-LINK device, and then transferred to a DAKO Link 48 autostainer device. The autostainer protocol includes peroxidase blocking for 5 min (DAKO, Envision Flex-Kit 8002) and subsequent incubations with the primary antibody (Oncodianova, mouse monoclonal antibody, Clone TC8, dilution 1:200) for 20 min at room temperature, Flex HRP (DAKO EnVision Flex-Kit 8002) for 20 min, DAB-Chromogen (DAKO EnVision Flex-Kit 8002) for 10 min, and a final incubation with Hämatoxylin (DAKO K8008) for 5 min.

2.3 Definition of compartments and quantification of CD8 immunostaining

Digital images of stained slides were acquired using a Leica Aperio VERSA 8 automated microscope. TMA spots were automatically identified and analyzed using HALO™ (Indica Labs, US) in conjunction with the following procedure: Every TMA slide was scanned at 40 × magnification. Digital images were segmented using the HALO Tissue Microarray module to identify and annotate individual tissue spots. If necessary, the segmentation was corrected manually. The HALO Membrane IHC Quantification module was used to determine the number of CD8⁺ cells in each tissue spot and to measure the exact area of each tissue spot. The latter step was performed to compensate for uneven or incomplete tissue spots (average: 0.327 mm²). The number of stained cells and the area in square millimeters of each tissue spot was used to calculate the density of stained cells/mm² (number of cells per square mm). Examples of the image analysis procedure of tumor spots with a low, intermediate and high density of CD8⁺ lymphocytes are given in Supplementary Fig. 2.

2.4 Statistics

JMP Pro 12 software package (SAS Institute Inc., NC, US) and R version 3.5.1 (The R foundation) [18, 19] were used to plot the data, to calculate the median values and to perform analysis of variance (ANOVA).

3 Results

A total of 2652 (72%) of 3659 tumor samples and 608 (100%) of 608 normal tissue samples were interpretable in our TMA analysis. The remaining 1007 spots were excluded due to missing tissue or the absence of unequivocal cancer cells in the TMA spot. The number of interpretable spots for each tumor type ranged from 3 to 48 samples (mean: 32 ± 13). The vast majority, i.e., 2602 (98%) of the 2652 tissue spots, contained CD8⁺ lymphocytes, although there were 50 (2%) samples that completely lacked CD8⁺ T cells. These were from 31 different tumor entities. All data are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 1. The median number of CD8⁺ lymphocytes was highly variable and ranged from 6 cells/mm² in pleomorphic adenoma (Fig. 2b) up to 1572 cells/mm² in Hodgkin’s lymphoma (Fig. 2f). The top positions in our ranking order were held by tumor entities known for their high lymphocyte density such as seminoma (median: 424), Warthin’s tumor (median: 425), medullary breast cancer (median: 657), and thymoma (median: 889). Also, cancers in tissues with particularly high lymphocyte densities such as stomach cancer (median: 306) or cervical squamous cell carcinoma (median: 468) exhibited high CD8 values. At the bottom end of our list were pleomorphic adenoma of the parotis (median: 6), small cell cancer of prostate (median: 9), leiomyoma (median: 10) and oncocytoma (median: 13).

580 (95%) of the 608 tissue spots representing 58 (76%) of the 76 analyzed healthy normal tissues contained CD8⁺ lymphocytes. The median number of CD8⁺ lymphocytes was highly variable ranging from 0 cells/mm² in the white matter of cerebrum up to 1643 cells/mm² in the spleen. Blood vessels of the spleen were the only non-lymphatic tissue staining positive for CD8. The data are summarized in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1.

4 Discussion

The data from this study provide a comprehensive overview of the density of tumor infiltrating CD8⁺ lymphocytes across a large number of different human tumor types. Numerous studies have recently quantified immune cell infiltrations in and around tumors. Most of these have used semi-quantitative methods to describe their data such as cell counts per high-power field or per predefined tissue area, positive pixel counts per area, semi-quantitative estimation of TIL numbers or the fraction of TILs in relation to the total intra-tumoral stroma [20–26]. Data on lymphocytic infiltration in cancers cannot always easily be compared between studies because objective metric data are often lacking. In this project, we described all data as “cells/mm²” in order to generate as comparable data as possible. An automated approach was developed that eliminates differences in tissue quantity occurring in TMA studies. Most spots are typically intact in TMA studies, but some are incomplete (Fig. 3). This may be due to specific properties of the arrayed tissue or may be caused by technical issues during embedding of tissue cores in a TMA or during sectioning. The validity of our approach is supported by our finding that tumor types among the top positions in our ranking order are morphologically characterized by high lymphocyte densities, such as seminoma, Warthin’s tumor, medullary breast cancer or thymoma. Other cancers with particularly high lymphocyte densities are those occurring in areas with a high density of mucosal lymphocytes, such as cervical or stomach cancer.
Several earlier CD8 density studies in cancers employing automated image analysis or manual cell counting to quantify TILs in terms of “cells per mm²” yielded results that were comparable to those from our study. For example, an assessment of 220 gastric cancers by automated image analysis showed a median count of 436 CD8⁺ cells/mm², which fits well with our results (mean: 413 CD8⁺ cells/mm² in 62 intestinal and diffuse stomach cancers) [27]. Another study that counted T cells manually in 102 cervical squamous cell cancers reported a median of 441 CD8⁺ T cells/mm², which is very similar to the median value of 468 CD8⁺ T cells/mm² in our 43 patients [20]. One earlier study by Steele et al. [28] has used “cells/mm²” to compare the density of CD8⁺ cells in 8 different cancer types in a study analyzing the largest possible area per cancer on large sections. Several relevant observations were comparable between our and their study (Table 2), such as relatively low densities of CD8⁺ cells in kidney and prostate cancers and a high density in non-small cell lung cancer. The largest discrepancy was seen in pancreatic cancers, in which Steele et al. found 316 cells/mm² and we found 93 cells/mm² on average. It is a peculiarity of pancreatic cancer that it contains a high amount of tumor stroma and that the lymphocytes mostly reside in the stroma. It cannot be excluded that the selection process of tissues for TMA manufacturing has resulted in an overrepresentation of cancer cell-rich tissues with less stroma, as these are easier to target during the array manufacturing process.

Cancer types for which treatment regimens with immune checkpoint inhibitors are already approved, such as malignant melanoma, muscle invasive urothelial carcinoma, clear cell
renal cell cancer, squamous cell cancer and adenocarcinoma of the lung, small cell lung cancer and Hodgkin’s lymphoma, all ranked in the upper half of our list. This supports the concept that a high number of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes goes along with an increased likelihood for response to immune checkpoint inhibitors. The median content of CD8+ cells was 248 cells/mm² for these tumor types. That 73 of our 84 analyzed tumor types had - at least occasionally - CD8+ cell counts that were higher than 248 cells/mm² may be viewed as an argument for the applicability of immune checkpoint inhibitors - and probably other forms of immunotherapy - to a wide variety of different types of cancer. However, the CD8-positive cell density was found to be highly variable in most analyzed cancer types. All analyzed cancer types had at least one tumor with a CD8+ cell count below 282/mm². This is in line with clinical observations that only a fraction of individual cancers from a certain tumor type will eventually respond favorably to treatment [29].

We identified several rare and aggressive tumor types that frequently exhibited a high density of CD8+ cells. These included anaplastic thyroid cancer, anal carcinoma, embryonal carcinoma of the testis, Merkel cell carcinoma, angiosarcoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the vagina. These cancers are candidates for a thorough assessment of the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. A clinically relevant effect of the lymphocyte content has already been suggested for some of these tumors, including reports on successful therapy attempts with checkpoint inhibitors. For Merkel cell carcinoma, a prognostic role of the associated immune cell count has been described in several studies [30, 31]. Furthermore, an objective response rate of more than 50% in a cohort of 26 Merkel cell carcinoma patients treated with anti-PD-1 therapy [32] has led to several ongoing phase I (NCT02488759, NCT02584829), II (NCT02196961) and III (NCT03271372) studies for this tumor entity. Also a patient with anaplastic thyroid cancer has recently reached complete radiographic and clinical remission after anti-PD-1 therapy [33]. Combination therapies can also be efficient in these tumor types as demonstrated by the success of combining monoclonal antibodies targeting PD-1 and CTLA-4 in angio- and other sarcoma types [34]. Moreover, a prognostic role of the CD8+ cell count was recently suggested in angiosarcoma [35].

The premise that the number of lymphocytes in a cancer is linked to the likelihood of a positive response to immune

Fig. 3 Area measurements of representative TMA cores that show an uneven or incomplete shape. Magnification 100×; TMA spot size 0.6 mm. Note that the tissue spots may have a larger area as theoretically expected from a 0.6 mm tissue punch (0.283 mm²). This is because the tissue expands when mounted on a slide.
checkpoint inhibitors is widely accepted [36]. Many studies have separately assessed lymphocyte counts in the tumor center and in its periphery [13, 37–39]. Some studies have recently suggested that the lymphocyte content at the tumor periphery may be more important clinically than the lymphocyte content in the tumor center. For example, in a study on 46 patients with metastatic melanoma, Tumeh et al. found that response to anti-PD-1 therapy was associated with higher CD8+ cell densities within the invasive margin [37]. In another study on metastatic colorectal cancer, the density of immune cells at the invasive margin predicted response to conventional chemotherapy [40]. However, quantification of TILs at the tumor margin is hampered by a “non-standardized” structure of the tumor periphery. For several tumor types such as bladder cancer, colorectal cancer and stomach cancer, different growth patterns have been described [41–43]. TIL quantification is easy in tumors with a solid growth and a clearly defined tumor margin, but is much more difficult in cancers showing insular or diffuse growth patterns with irregular borders. In contrast, lymphocyte quantification in the tumor center is independent of tumor growth patterns and thus simpler and perhaps more reproducible to measure than in the periphery. The high concordance between large sections and TMA CD8 counts in 17 small cell bladder carcinomas and in 47 urothelial cancers demonstrates that representative lymphocyte quantification is possible for tumor centers on a 0.6 mm tissue core (Fig. 4).

Tissue microarrays with small 0.6 mm tissue cores were used in this study. When we introduced the TMA technology in 1998, several authors criticized the small diameter of the TMA spots. However, almost 20 years later, every known association between immune cell quantification and patient outcome [13, 44, 45] or between molecular markers and clinico-pathological features [46–49] have been successfully reproduced in TMA studies. In an earlier study on p53

| Tumor entity         | Subgroup | $n$ | Density (cells/mm²) | $n$ | Density (cells/mm²) |
|----------------------|----------|----|---------------------|----|---------------------|
|                       |          |    |                     |    |                     |
| Bladder              | Total    | 89 | 76                  | 50 | 124                 |
|                      | pTa      | 42 | 48                  |    |                     |
|                      | pT2–4    | 47 | 119                 |    |                     |
| Pancreatic           | Total    | 43 | 93                  | 48 | 316                 |
|                      | Papillary| 16 | 83                  |    |                     |
|                      | Ductal   | 27 | 98                  |    |                     |
|                      | adenocarcinoma |    |                     |    |                     |
| Prostate             | Total    | 41 | 99                  | 50 | 143                 |
| RCC                  | Total    | 89 | 112                 | 49 | 104                 |
|                      | Papillary| 43 | 88                  |    |                     |
|                      | Clear cell| 46 | 153                 |    |                     |
| Head and neck        | Total    | 90 | 118                 | 50 | 220                 |
|                      | Larynx   | 47 | 115                 |    |                     |
|                      | Oral cavity| 43 | 127                 |    |                     |
| Lung squamous        | Total    | 23 | 189                 | 41 | 346                 |
| Gastroesophageal     | Total    | 153| 221                | 50 | 207                 |
|                      | Esophageal SCC | 34 | 78                  |    |                     |
|                      | Esophageal AdenoCa | 34 | 220                |    |                     |
|                      | Stomach Ca (diffus) | 32 | 221                |    |                     |
|                      | Stomach Ca (intestinal) | 30 | 306                |    |                     |
| Lung non-squamous    | Total    | 60 | 328                 | 42 | 238                 |
|                      | Large cell| 23 | 266                 |    |                     |
|                      | Adenocarcinoma| 37 | 328                |    |                     |

**Table 2** Comparison of median CD8+ cell densities with findings by Steele et al.

**Fig. 4** Comparison of CD8+ cell densities in large section and TMA formats. TMA, multi-tumor tissue microarray.
staining, we even showed that the 0.6 mm TMA format provided better predictive power compared to the large section format technology [46]. Contrary to initial expectations, tissue heterogeneity does not negatively influence the ability of TMA spots to detect associations between molecular markers and tumor features. This is because of the high number of samples that are typically used in such analyses, as well as the high degree of standardization of the experimental conditions that cannot be achieved in standard slide-by-slide analyses [50–53].

Our analysis also included 76 different types of normal tissues, which apart from lymphatic tissues, exhibited much lower CD8+ lymphocyte counts than the tumors. Spleen blood vessels were the only non-lymphoid cell type staining unequivocally positive for CD8. CD8 expression in splenic vessels was first described by Buckley et al. in 1985 [54]. Further studies revealed that only the alpha chain of the CD8 molecule is expressed by the venous sinus-lining cells in the spleen’s red pulp (also known as littoral cells) and that this expression is unique to human spleen [55].

In summary, the data presented in this study provide a comprehensive overview of CD8 positivity across human normal tissues and cancers. Cancer types with high densities of CD8+ immune cells may be the best candidates for studies assessing the efficacy of immune checkpoint therapies.
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