Certified Smoking Cessation Units in Spain: High Potential for Detection of Undiagnosed Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Profile of Newly Diagnosed Cases
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Purpose: Smokers attending smoking cessation units (SCUs) may offer a unique opportunity for early recognition of undiagnosed chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). We aimed at assessing the impact of SCUs on the early diagnosis of COPD and describing the clinical and smoking profile of newly-diagnosed COPD cases at SCUs certified by the Spanish Society of Respiratory Diseases and Thoracic Surgery (SEPAR).

Patients and Methods: A multicenter cross-sectional observational cohort study (DIPREPOQ study) was performed in eight SEPAR-certified SCUs in Spain. Adult current smokers with no previously diagnosed respiratory disease and having one or more respiratory symptoms were included. Lung functional tests were performed and previously undiagnosed COPD cases were identified and characterized based on national guidelines.

Results: Out of 401 individuals newly attending the SCUs, 252 participants were included and 73 (28.9%) met the definition of previously undiagnosed COPD. A characterization of patients with COPD being newly recognized in SCUs showed: age (mean±SD) 61±9 years; men 59%; active work status 53.1%; functional class I/II dyspnea 82.8%, GOLD state mild/moderate/severe 57%/31%/12%; non-exacerbators 90%; CAT 14±4; emphysema in X-rays 40%. Most common comorbidities were cardiovascular and psychiatric (anxiety and depression) ones. Usual smoking history included a lengthy smoking history (41±9 years) and a current consumption of 24±9 cigarettes/day.

Conclusions and Implications: Consistently certified SCUs can have a substantial contribution to early diagnosis of COPD. A typical profile of newly detected cases is reported, with most patients being men at their early sixties, with mild symptoms and with high and lengthy smoking history. Our study reports a high usefulness of lung functional tests to detect undiagnosed COPD in appropriately selected participants attending SCUs at a large national scale, using a standardized methodology. This is likely to be facilitated by the certification of SCUs using well-defined requirements by national scientific societies.
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Introduction

In spite of some intensive efforts to reduce tobacco use, the prevalence of smoking remains high and tobacco is still a leading preventable cause of death. In Spain (with an adult [15 to 64-years-old] population of 28,472,557), a nation-wide survey showed that about 34% of adults were current smokers in 2017. This
means an increase of 3.2 points over the prevalence in 2015.\textsuperscript{2} In 2016, 56,124 deaths were attributed to tobacco consumption, 84% in men (47,000), and 50% in the population aged over 74 (27,795). Overall, 50% of SAM (smoking-attributable mortality) was due to cancer (28,281), 65% of which was lung cancer. One in 4 attributable deaths (13,849) occurred before the age of 65. One in 7 deaths in Spain in 2016 was attributable to smoking. This estimation of SAM clearly highlights the great impact of smoking on mortality in Spain, mainly due to lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.\textsuperscript{3}

Over the last two decades, a network of smoking cessation units (SCUs) has been developed in Spain based on recommendations\textsuperscript{4} and certification\textsuperscript{5,6} by SEPAR (Spanish Society of Respiratory Diseases and Thoracic Surgery).

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is an increasing global public health issue and is predicted to be the third leading cause of death in 2030.\textsuperscript{7,8} In Spain, prevalence of known COPD is 10.2% and, as found at a global scale,\textsuperscript{9} COPD is much underdiagnosed.\textsuperscript{10} The absolute risk of developing COPD among continuous smokers in the long term can reach 25%.\textsuperscript{11} Thus, smokers attending SCUs may offer a unique opportunity for early recognition of undiagnosed COPD.

We aimed at assessing the impact of SCUs on the early diagnosis of COPD and to describe the clinical and smoking profile of newly-diagnosed COPD cases at SEPAR-certified SCUs in Spain.

**Methods**

**Design**

A multicenter cross-sectional observational cohort study (DIPREPOQ study) was carried out in patients attending SCUs. Eight SEPAR-certified SCUs from different Spanish areas were willing to participate in the study. All patients attending the SCUs over a 12-months period (from April 15, 2017 to April 15, 2018) and meeting all inclusion criteria were offered enrolment. Six-month preliminary results are reported.

**Criteria for Inclusion**

Main inclusion criteria were: current smokers aged ≥35 years, with a pooled tobacco use of ≥10 pack-years and at least one respiratory symptom (cough and/or sputum, chest rales, ≥2 respiratory events in previous year, dyspnea, intolerance to physical activity, any symptom requiring lung function tests).\textsuperscript{12} Individuals with a previous COPD diagnosis, asthma or any chronic respiratory disease, clinical and/or hemodynamic instability within previous 8 weeks, or unable to perform spirometry tests were excluded.

**Variables with Definitions**

Sociodemographic data and smoking history were recorded in the first visit. Lung functional tests (LFT) were performed within 8 weeks after first visit; SEPAR guidelines for LFT were followed. Data on smoking history and status, 6-item Fagerström Test for Cigarette Dependence (FTCD),\textsuperscript{13} Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS),\textsuperscript{14} CO in exhaled breath test, and carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) level were recorded.

A Carefusion Jaeger FlowScreen device with SentrySuite V2.15 (Carefusion, Yorba Linda, CA, USA) was used for lung functional tests, using methods currently recommended by SEPAR, ERS, and ATS.\textsuperscript{15,16} COPD cases were defined by a post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV\textsubscript{1})/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio <70% based on national guidelines.\textsuperscript{12} In patients with COPD, the following characteristics were also recorded: COPD Assessment Test (CAT),\textsuperscript{17} modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) Dyspnea Scale (0 to 4),\textsuperscript{18} number of exacerbations in previous year; GOLD stage;\textsuperscript{19} clinical profile based on Spanish COPD guidelines (GesEPOC)\textsuperscript{12} (non-exacerbator, non-exacerbator with emphysema, exacerbator with chronic bronchitis, mixed COPD-asthma); immunization history, and co-morbidities. Additional tests included chest X-ray, 6 minutes walking test (6MW),\textsuperscript{20} prognostic COPD BODE index\textsuperscript{20} (0 to 4), and BODEx (≥5 versus <5).

**Statistical Analysis**

All data were collected and entered in a database by designated specifically trained physicians in each center.

Based on previous data\textsuperscript{10,21} and on the investigators' experience, expected prevalence of COPD in smokers attending SCUs was estimated at 20%. For a 5% precision in prevalence estimation and a 95% confidence level, 246 participants were required. Categorical variables were shown in number and percentage, and continuous variables were shown in mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range; IQR) for descriptive statistics. Parametric tests (Student’s t-test) were used to compare continuous variables based on their normal distribution, and chi-squared tests (with Yates’ correction if applicable) were used for categorical variables, in exploratory
comparisons between patients with newly diagnosed COPD versus COPD-free participants, and between participants with ≤20 versus >20 pack-years.

**Ethical Committee**
Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Data were de-identified and all study procedures complied with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki as per the International Guidelines for Ethical Review of Epidemiological Studies (CIOMS, Geneva, 1991). As recommended by SEE (Spanish Society of Epidemiology), the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitario Doce de Octubre, Madrid, Spain (17/213 - SEPAR-2017-01).

**Results**
Participating SCUs were located in different Spanish areas (Albacete, Alicante, Cáceres, Madrid (3), Málaga, Santiago de Compostela). The proportion of participants from each SCU is shown in Figure 1. All participating SCUs were certified by SEPAR.

Out of 401 individuals newly attending the 8 SCUs during a 6-month period, 213 (53.1%) were men; mean (±SD) age was 55 ± 10.2 years (range, 21–90 years). Most of them were European Caucasians (98%), and only a low proportion (19%) lived alone. Their level of education was as follows: higher university 22%, technical 17%, secondary 22%, primary 36%, none 3%. Occupation: active workers 56%, early retirees 24%, unemployed workers 7%, housework 7%, disabled 3%, sick leave 3%.

The number of participants meeting the inclusion criteria was 252 (63%), with the main reasons for exclusion being absence of respiratory symptoms 15%, previous COPD diagnosis 16%, asthma 5%, and unable to perform LFT 1%. The number of participants included achieved the sample size requirements and our findings in such participants are presented in the current report.

Out of 252 participants, 73 (28.9%) met the definition of previously undiagnosed COPD (Figure 1). Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics and smoking history details of patients with an early COPD diagnosis in SCUs are shown in Table 1. A typical profile for patients with COPD being newly recognized in SCUs was as follows: a man in his early sixties, with an active work status, having functional class I/II dyspnea, non-exacerbator, and showing symptoms in CAT and emphysema in X-rays. Most common co-morbidities were cardiovascular and psychiatric (anxiety and depression) ones. Usual smoking history included a lengthy smoking duration (more than 40 years) and a current use of ≥20 cigarettes per day, with predominantly negative reward. The number of previous attempts to quit smoking was usually low and most patients used drug therapy for smoking cessation, with nicotine replacement therapy being the most common one.

Table 2 shows the characteristics of participants with ≤20 and >20 pack-years. Although COPD diagnosis rate was numerically higher in participants with >20 pack-years, the difference did not achieve statistical significance. Sociodemographic characteristics were similar in both groups, except for a significantly older age in patients with a heavier smoking history.

When compared to COPD-free participants in exploratory analyses (Table 1), no significant differences in nicotine dependence were found in patients with a newly diagnosed COPD. Patients in whom COPD was ruled out were significantly younger (p < 0.0001), and most of them held a job (76% versus 53.1% in COPD patients, p < 0.0001). Comorbidities, particularly hypertension and depression, were significantly more common in patients with a newly diagnosed COPD (54.5% versus 36%, p < 0.0001). The most relevant difference was a longer smoking history and a higher number of pack-years in patients in whom COPD was found (p < 0.0001). CO in exhaled breath and carboxyhemoglobin levels were higher in COPD-free patients (both p < 0.05).

**Discussion**
Our findings show a high prevalence (29%) of undiagnosed COPD in smokers attending SCUs in Spain. Since participants were enrolled at 8 SCUs across the country, the results are likely to be fairly representative for Spain. Such COPD screening strategy in people attending smoking cessation clinics was previously suggested by Diez et al in a single-center study, with a lower 7.3% yield in detecting undiagnosed cases.22 To our knowledge, the current study is the first one to report LFT usefulness in appropriately selected participants at a large national scale, using a standardized methodology. This is facilitated by the certification of SCUs using well-defined requirements.4,6 The benefit of early COPD detection should be taken into account in future cost-effectiveness studies of SCUs.

In our study, straightforward and easy to implement criteria for diagnostic suspicion suggested by Spanish guidelines on COPD (GesEPOC)12 were used: adult smokers or former smokers of more than 10 packs-years having respiratory symptoms. Importantly, apart from those with an existing diagnosis
of a respiratory disease, very few participants were excluded from LFT screening for COPD in our study. However, some studies have suggested that respiratory symptoms are not suitable as a criterion when screening for early COPD, and that testing all patients could be a more cost-effective strategy. Further studies are needed on methods to select patients for COPD screening.

We could not confirm that the probability of a new diagnosis of early COPD was significantly higher in participants with >20 pack-years, as suggested by some large population studies. This is probably due to the limited number of participants in a cohort study not aiming at proving such relationship. Taking into account the high potential for COPD detection in smokers attending SCUs, use of early predictive markers such as those recently reported based on the association between the exposure to some nicotine metabolites and COPD diagnosis, appears promising. The potential benefits of smoking cessation and varenicline use to improve lung
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Figure 1 Distribution of participants from the 8 participating smoking cessation units in Spain.  
Abbreviations: HDO, Hospital 12 de Octubre (Madrid); HGM, Hospital Gregorio Marañón (Madrid); HUSC, Hospital Clínico Universitario Santiago de Compostela; HCH, Hospital Carlos Haya (Málaga); HUSJ, Hospital San Juan de Alicante; HUA, Hospital Universitario de Albacete; UTM, Unidad de Tabaquismo de la Comunidad de Madrid; HSPA, Hospital San Pedro de Alcántara (Cáceres).
Table 1 Main Sociodemographic and Clinical Characteristics and Smoking History Details in 73 Patients with a Previously Unsuspected COPD Diagnosis and 179 Patients in Whom COPD Diagnosis Was Ruled Out, Among Participants Newly Attending Smoking Cessation Units in Spain. Unless Otherwise Stated, Percentages Represent Proportion of Patients

| Characteristics                                      | New COPD Diagnosis       | No COPD       | p     |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------|
|                                                      | (n = 73)                 | (n= 179)      |       |
| Sociodemographic characteristics                     |                          |               |       |
| Age, mean ± SD, years                                | 61 ± 9                   | 53 ± 8        | <0.0001 |
| Sex, n (%)                                           |                          |               |       |
| Men                                                  | 43 (59%)                 | 100 (40%)     | n.s.  |
| Women                                                | 30 (41%)                 | 78 (31%)      | n.s.  |
| Level of education Primary education, %              | 59.1%                    | 55%           | n.s.  |
| Current occupation Active work status, %             | 53.1%                    | 76%           | <0.0001|
| Clinical characteristics - COPD                      |                          |               |       |
| CAT, mean ± SD                                       | 14 ± 4                   | NA            |       |
| Presence of dyspnea, %                               |                          |               |       |
| Dyspnea                                              | 82.8%                    | NA            |       |
| No dyspnea                                           | 17.2%                    | NA            |       |
| mMRC functional dyspnea score                        | 1 or II                  | NA            |       |
| GOLD stage, %                                        |                          |               |       |
| Mild                                                 | 57%                      | NA            |       |
| Moderate                                             | 31%                      | NA            |       |
| Severe                                               | 12%                      | NA            |       |
| GesEPOC phenotype Non-exacerbator, %                 | 90%                      | NA            |       |
| Emphysema in X-rays, %                               | 40%                      | NA            |       |
| Clinical characteristics - Comorbidities             |                          |               |       |
| ≥ 2 co-morbidities, %                                | 54.5%                    | 36%           | <0.0001|
| Main medical co-morbidities, %                       |                          |               |       |
| Hypertension                                         | 53.8%                    | 21.8%         | <0.0001|
| Dyslipidemia                                         | 45.8%                    | NA            |       |
| Main psychiatric co-morbidities, %                   | 37%                      | 27%           | <0.01  |
| Depression                                           | 28%                      | 26.6%         | n.s.  |
| Anxiety                                              | 28%                      | 26.6%         | n.s.  |
| Smoking details                                      |                          |               |       |
| Duration of smoking, mean ± SD, years                | 41 ± 9                   | 35 ± 10       | <0.0001|
| Number of cigarettes/day, mean ± SD                 | 24 ± 9                   | 20 ± 8        | n.s.  |
| Smoking history, mean ± SD, pack-years               | 49 ± 19                  | 35 ± 20       | 0.000  |
| Fagerström test score, mean ± SD                    | 6.1 ± 2.4                | 6.3± 1.8      | n.s.  |
| CO in exhaled breath test, ppm                       | 17.7± 9.1                | 20± 10.5      | 0.044  |
| Carboxyhemoglobin, % of total Hb                     | 3.2 ± 1.4                | 4.1 ± 3.6     | 0.042  |
| Any previous attempts to quit smoking, %            | 65.2%                    | 69.2%         | n.s.  |
| Drug therapy for smoking cessation, %                | 52.2%                    | 49.07%        | n.s.  |

Abbreviations: CAT, COPD Assessment Test; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) Dyspnea Scale; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; GesEPOC, Spanish COPD guidelines; NA, not available (data not recorded in non-COPD patients); n.s., non significant.
Table 2 COPD Diagnosis and Main Characteristics of Participants by Smoking History

| Characteristics | ≤20 Pack-Years (n = 24) | >20 Pack-Years (n = 228) | p       |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Diagnosis, n (%) |                          |                          |         |
| No COPD         | 21 (87.5%)               | 158 (69.3%)              |         |
| COPD            | 3 (12.5%)                | 70 (30.7%)               |         |
| Sociodemographic characteristics |          |                          |         |
| Age (years), mean ± SD | 46.5 ± 10.4   | 56.4 ± 8.4               | <0.0001 |
| Sex, n (%)      |                          |                          |         |
| Men             | 12 (50%)                 | 131 (58%)                |         |
| Women           | 12 (50%)                 | 96 (42%)                 |         |

**Abbreviation:** COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

function parameters in COPD patients have been reported.26 The importance of starting therapy in an early COPD or even a pre-COPD phase to improve prognosis has been recently reported and specific measures have been suggested.27 COPD detection by SCUs at an early stage could be useful to select patients requiring such an early treatment.

Smokers attending a SCU are particularly aware of the potential health risks of smoking. Thus, they are likely to better adhere to medical and lifestyle therapy when being diagnosed with COPD at the time of initiating a program to quit smoking. A typical profile for patients in whom a COPD diagnosis is established in SCUs is: a man in his early sixties, with functional dyspnea class I/II, showing mild-to-moderate symptoms and non-exacerbator. A majority of cases were in a mild GOLD stage, followed by moderate ones. Such an early diagnosis has been recommended to help delaying COPD progression.28 The high frequency of cardiovascular comorbidities confirms recent findings in SCUs.29

Comparisons of patients newly diagnosed with COPD versus COPD-free smokers should be taken as exploratory results due to the study design. We found smokers in whom COPD was ruled out to be younger and actively working, with no differences in educational level, whereas comorbidities, including hypertension and depression, were more common in patients with COPD. Smoking history showed clear-cut differences between both subgroups. Heavier smoking history seen in newly diagnosed participants versus COPD-free smokers is consistent with the fact of smoking being a key risk factor for COPD. COPD patients had been smokers for a longer time, which explains their higher pack-year index, with the number of cigarettes per day being similar in both subgroups. CO in exhaled breath test and carboxyhemoglobin were higher in patients not having COPD; this could be due to the impact of altered lung mechanics in COPD on inhalation. No differences were found in nicotine dependence as measured by the Fagerström test.

Our study has some limitations. Firstly, not being a population-based study, results are only applicable to the use of screening tools in SCUs using the methods certified in Spain. Secondly, air pollution has an impact on COPD development and may vary in different areas, which may also limit the extrapolation of our results to other settings. And thirdly, we did not assess the potential clinical benefit of early COPD detection in our patients.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, consistently certified SCUs can have a substantial contribution to early diagnosis of COPD. A typical profile of newly detected cases is reported, with most patients being men at their early sixties, with mild symptoms and with large and lengthy smoking history.
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