An eclipsing double-line spectroscopic binary at the stellar/substellar boundary in the Upper Scorpius OB association
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We aim at constraining evolutionary models at low mass and young ages by identifying interesting transiting system members of the nearest OB association to the Sun, Upper Scorpius, targeted by the Kepler mission.

Methods. We produced light curves for M dwarf members of the USco region surveyed during the second campaign of the Kepler K2 mission. We identified ‘by eye’ a transiting system, USco J161630.68−251220.1 (EPIC 203710387) with a combined spectral type of M5.25 whose photometric, astrometric, and spectroscopic properties makes it a member of USco. We conducted an extensive photometric and spectroscopic follow-up of this transiting system with a suite of telescopes and instruments to characterise the properties of each component of the system.

Results. We calculated a transit duration of about 2.42 hours occurring every 2.88 days with a slight difference in transit depth and phase between the two components. We estimated a mass ratio of 0.922 ± 0.004 from the semi-amplitudes of the radial velocity curves for each component. We derived masses of 0.091 ± 0.005 M⊙ and 0.380 ± 0.008 M⊙, radii of 0.388 ± 0.012 R⊙ and 0.380 ± 0.012 R⊙, luminosities of log(L/L⊙) = −1.99 ± 0.09 dex and −2.03 ± 0.09 dex, and effective temperatures of 2901 ± 19 K and 2908 ± 19 K for the primary and secondary, respectively.

Conclusions. We present a complete photometric and radial velocity characterisation of the least massive double-line eclipsing binary system in the young USco association with two components close to the stellar/substellar limit. This system fills in a gap between the least massive eclipsing binaries in the low-mass and substellar regimes at young ages and represents an important addition to constrain evolutionary models at young ages.
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1. Introduction

The mass of a star determines its fate. Thus, measuring masses of stars with high precision is of paramount importance to understand the evolution of stars at any mass and age. The precise determination of the mass and radius of the component of multiple systems allows to constrain input parameters of evolutionary models (D’Antona & Mazzitelli 1994; Palla & Stahler 1993; Baraffe et al. 1998; Chabrier et al. 2000; Siess et al. 2000; Dotter et al. 2008; Tognelli et al. 2011; Bressan et al. 2012; Feiden & Chaboyer 2012) and distinguish between the various models of star formation (e.g. Klessen 2001; Reipurth & Clarke 2001; Padon & Nordlund 2002; Whitworth & Zinnecker 2004; Bate 2009; Stamatelos & Whitworth 2009). Low-mass eclipsing binaries represent an excellent test-bed for these models because both masses and radii can be measured with high precisions (Torres et al. 2010).
mass and radius measurements: Corot-3 b (Deleuil et al. 2008), KELT-1 b (Siverd et al. 2012), and Kepler-39 b (Bouchy et al. 2011). Additional mass measurements of brown dwarfs are available from microlensing events (OGLE-2013-BLG-0102Lb: Jung et al. 2013), and dynamical orbits (Dupuy et al. 2009a; Konopacky et al. 2010; Dupuy et al. 2010. 2014. 2015). The number of young low-mass eclipsing systems is even more scarce, most of them identified in the Orion star-forming region (Irwin et al. 2007; Carette et al. 2008; Morales-Calderón et al. 2012; Gomez Maqueo Chew et al. 2012), 25 Ori (van Eyken et al. 2011) and in the 3 Myr-old star-forming region (Irwin et al. 2007; Cargile et al. 2008; Lodieu et al. 2011; Dawson et al. 2012; Lodieu 2013). Tens of brown dwarfs have now been confirmed spectroscopically as USco members (Martin et al. 2004; Slesnick et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2008; Lodieu et al. 2011) and the mass function determined for high-mass stars (Preibisch et al. 2001; Preibisch & Zinnecker 2002; Ardila et al. 2000; Martin et al. 2004; Slesnick et al. 2006) and in the near-infrared (Lodieu et al. 2006; Dawson et al. 2011; Lodieu et al. 2011; Dawson et al. 2013; Lodieu 2013). Tens of brown dwarfs have now been confirmed spectroscopically as USco members (Martin et al. 2004; Slesnick et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2008; Martin et al. 2010; Dawson et al. 2011; Lodieu et al. 2011) and the mass function determined for high-mass stars (Preibisch et al. 2002) as well as low-mass stars and brown dwarfs (Slesnick et al. 2008; Lodieu et al. 2011). The coolest member candidates so far may be late-L or T-type dwarfs (Lodieu et al. 2013).

In this paper, we describe our analysis of the K2 light curves obtained in the USco region and present the discovery of a new eclipsing binary, USco16m25 (hereafter USco16m25) with two components at the stellar/substellar limit. In Section 2, we describe the generation of light curves to search for transiting systems. In Section 3, we present additional transits at optical wavelengths to confirm the eclipsing nature of the USco16m25 system. In Section 4, we present optical spectroscopy of the system which we classify as a M5.25 dwarf as well as intermediate-resolution spectroscopy to measure the radial velocities and constrain the mass and radii of each component of the eclipsing system. In Section 5, we characterise the physical parameters of the two components of USco16m25 (masses, radii, effective temperature, and age) and place our results in context with other low-mass and brown dwarf members of eclipsing systems.

2. Identification of the transiting system

2.1. Extraction of K2 light curves

The second K2 campaign focused on a large region of the USco association. The K2 observations lasted 76.19 days, starting on 26 August 2014 at UT ~ 05h10m and ending on 10 November 2014 at UT ~ 09h44m. One photometric point was obtained every 30 min, i.e. with the low cadence of K2.

We generated the photometric light curves from the K2 observations for a large number of USco members proposed by independent groups using our own tools. We retrieved the images obtained with the Kepler telescope via MAST public archive on 2015 March 20. As described in detail in Sanchis-Ojeda et al. (2015), we first obtain the centroids of the images and use them to identify images taken while the telescope was re-oriented, also known as thruster events (Howell et al. 2014; Vanderburg & Johnson 2014). After removing those images, we sliced the data in 10 temporal segments, chosen to have a length of approximately one week and to start (end) right after (before) a thruster event. We defined an aperture at each segment with all the pixels that had 4% more counts than the mean background on at least 50% of the images of that segment. After subtracting the background, we generated a raw photometric light curve with a simple sum of the counts of each pixel. We removed systematic effects and long term trends using 4th order polynomials that have the centroid displacement and the time as variables. For more details on how this full process work, we refer the reader to Sanchis-Ojeda et al. (2015).

Scrolling through the light curves by eye, two targets caught our attention: HD 144548 (Alonso et al. 2015) and EPIC 203710387 (=USco16m25), which is the focus of this paper. Its full light curve is displayed in Fig. 1.

However, it is worth noting that studying stellar activity using the Kepler telescope is a complicated task. The Kepler photometric pipeline did not incorporate a good description of long term systematics until a few years after the start of the mission (Smith et al. 2012; Stumpe et al. 2012). Our algorithm has preserved all the original long term variations found in the raw photometry, but these could be a combination of astrophysical and unquantified systematic variations. However, the lack of a prevalence of periodic variations at a period of 2.6 days among the rest of the K2 targets in field 2 makes us confident that our signal is mostly dominated by stellar activity.

2.2. Preparation of K2 light curve

The K2 light curve of USco16m25 shows eclipses with depths of about 8% every 2.81 days, and out of eclipse photometric modulations with peak-to-peak amplitudes of up to 4%. To prepare the eclipses for further studies described in this work, we attempted to minimise the effect of these modulations by normal-
ising each individual eclipse using a parabolic fit to the regions immediate to the eclipse, as described in [Alonso et al., 2008]. Using our first estimate of the ephemeris of the eclipse, we removed the regions with eclipses and interpolated them to a regular grid of time points, to compute the autocorrelation of the out of eclipse data. This is plotted in Fig. 2 where the first maximum appears at a period close, but not equal to, the orbital period (2.6 d vs. 2.8 d). We attribute this modulation to stellar activity effects at the surface of the stars, effect that can lead to unremoved systematics in the radial velocities and transit depth.

We derived the following ephemeris for the primary and the secondary from the K2 light curves (the final adopted ones are discussed in Sect. 5.3):

- Primary (BJD) = 2456897.5228 + 2.80882×E
- Secondary (BJD) = 2456896.1131 + 2.80882×E

where E stands for the number of eclipse.

Based on the ephemeris derived from the K2 light-curve (Section 2.2), we undertook a large program of photometric and spectroscopic follow-up with a wide battery of telescopes and instruments to confirm the nature of the transit and constrain the physical parameters of the system.

3. Photometric follow-up with IAC80/CAMELOT

We attempted to observe several ground-based transits of USco16m25 with the CAMELOT instrument (acronym for 'CAmara MEjorada Lliger del Observatorio del Teide' in Spanish) mounted on the 80-cm telescope IAC80 located at the Observatorio del Teide on the island of Tenerife in the Canary Islands (Spain).

CAMELOT is a e2v 2048×2048 back-illuminated Charged-Coupled device, providing a field-of-view of 10.4×10.4 arcmin with a pixel scale of 0.304 arcsec. We used a read-out speed of 200 kHz, resulting in a read-out duration of 21.5 sec.
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Fig. 3. K2 light curves folded in phase and normalised to unity for the primary (left) and the secondary (right) component of USco16m25. The circles at the bottom of the plots represent the residuals between the fit (red line) and the observed transits (black circles with error bars). The error bars were estimated from the out of eclipse dispersion of the K2 curve, as 0.37%. The primary eclipse curve shows a few outliers during the eclipse phases; we increased the error bars of these data points (four) by an extra factor of 4, to reduce their impact in the final determined parameters. The red line corresponds to the best-fit model to the global set of data (light curves, photometric transits, and radial velocities).

The presence of “calima” (sand from the Sahara) did not permit any observations on our first attempt on 11 May. However, we had two successful runs on the nights of 18 May and 11 June 2015, as described below. On the night of 18 May 2015, we obtained 91 frames with an on-source exposure time of 120 s, from UT = 00:24 until UT = 04:03 UT. The average seeing, as measured from the frames, was 0.7 arcsec. These observations covered a partial primary eclipse event. On the night of 11 June 2015, a secondary eclipse was partially observed, with 70 frames collected between UT = 21h58 and UT = 00h46, with a mean seeing of 0.9 arcsec. After standard calibrations of the frames (removal of flat and bias contributions), we performed simple aperture photometry on USco16m25 and eight stars in the field of view. We used an aperture radius of 16 pixels. We selected the best four and five stars for the first and second night, respectively, to produce an average reference star and obtain the final light curves plotted in Fig. 4.

4. Spectroscopic follow-up
4.1. Long-slit spectroscopy
4.1.1. GTC/OSIRIS optical spectra

We obtained low-resolution optical spectroscopy with OSIRIS (Optical System for Imaging and low-intermediate Resolution Integrated Spectroscopy; Cepa et al. 2000) mounted on the 10.4-m GTC telescope in La Palma. We observed the target on 20 May 2015 (UT = 01h56m) in service mode as part of a filler program GTC38-15A (PI Lodieu). The system was in phase 0.725, so we decided to re-observe it with the grating R1000R in phase 0 when the light from the secondary is mostly blocked (2 August 2015 at UT = 21h20m) and at phase 0.5 when the light of the primary is blocked (9 August 2015 at UT = 21h45m) to compare the combined spectra and the contribution from each component because eclipses are not total (i.e. grazing). Unfortunately, bad weather in La Palma on 9 August 2015 did not allow us to fully characterise the degree of light from the secondary in the optical spectrum. No other attempt was made after that date because USco was too low for observations in this configuration from La Palma.

OSIRIS is equipped with two 2048×4096 Marconi CCD42-82 detectors offering a field-of-view approximately 7×7 arcmin with a binned pixel scale of 0.25 arcsec. USco16m25 was observed between UT = 01h55 and UT = 02h35 when USco was the highest in the Canarian sky, under dark conditions and a seeing of ~1.6 arcsec. We used a slit of 1.0 arcsec. We obtained a single exposure of 600 sec with the R1000R grating (510–1000 nm) and three exposures of 300 sec shifted along the slit with the R2500R grating (557.5–768.5 nm). Bias frames, dome flat fields, as well as Neon, Xenon, and HgAr arc lamps were observed by the observatory staff during the afternoon.

We reduced the OSIRIS optical spectrum under the IRAF environment (Tody 1986, 1993). We subtracted the raw spectrum by a median-combined bias and divided by a normalised median-combined dome flat field taken during the afternoon. We extracted optimally a 1D spectrum from the 2D image and calibrated that spectrum in wavelength with the lines from the combined arc lamp. We corrected our spectra for instrumental response with the Ross 640 spectro-photometric standard (DZ5; Monet et al. 2003; Lépine & Shara 2005; Sion et al. 2009). In 1 IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
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Fig. 4. Two additional transits with different levels of completeness for USco16m25. We observed the system on the night of 18 May and 11 June 2015 in the SDSSi filter with IAC80/CAMELOT. The red line corresponds to the best-fit model to the global set of data (light curves, photometric transits, and radial velocities).

Fig. 5. Left: Optical spectra of USco16m25 (black) obtained with the R1000R grating on GTC/OSIRIS. Overplotted in red are USco spectral template of spectral type M5, M5.25, and M5.5 kindly provided by Kevin Luhman. The top spectrum represents a combination of the normalised spectra of a M5 and a M6 member of USco. Right: Zoom-in on the lithium absorption line at 6707.8 Å (marked with a vertical dashed red line) of USco16m25 (black) obtained with the R2500R grating on GTC/OSIRIS.

the case of the R1000R grating we created a response function corrected for the second-order contamination beyond ∼9200 Å by joining the response of the detector from the observations of the standard star with the R1000R grating and the same grating in combination with the Sloan z broad-band filter. The final GTC/OSIRIS spectrum of USco J16163068−2512201, normalised at 750 nm, is displayed in the left-hand side panel in Fig. 5 along with known USco members.

4.1.2. Subaru/FOCAS optical spectra

We obtained low-resolution optical spectra with the FOCAS (Faint Object Camera and Spectrograph; Kashikawa et al. 2002) instrument mounted on the 8.2m Subaru telescope in Hawaii. We observed USco16m25 on 19 June 2015 (corresponding to a phase of ∼0.13) during unoccupied times of Subaru telescope normal program (PI: Y. Kawashima).

FOCAS is equipped with two 2048×4096 CCD detectors offering a circular field-of-view of 6 arcmin in diameter with the pixel scale of 0.1 arcsec. We observed USco16m25 between
We obtained several sets of optical spectra with the ISIS (Intermediate dispersion Spectrograph and Imaging System) instrument on the Cassegrain focus of the 4.2m William Herschel Telescope (WHT) over the course of several nights (Table 2). ISIS is a double-armed medium-resolution spectrograph equipped with a dichroic to spread the light in a blue and red arm. We are mainly interested in the red arm for our purposes because the target is a mid-M with little flux short wards of 500 nm. We used the same grating R1200R and the 1.2 arcsec slit covering the ∼470–910 nm wavelength range at a spectral resolution of approximately 6000. All spectra were taken at parallactic angle. Arc lamps of CuAr+CuNe were observed immediately after the target while bias and flat field frames were obtained during the afternoon preceding both nights. We used different grating in the blue arm (R600B, R1200B, and R300B) during the first three sets of observations, confirming the low level of flux and lack of strong emission.

On the night of 2 June 2015 we took four spectra of USco16m25 as part of service programme number SW2015a31 (PI Lodieu). We also obtained six spectra of the radial velocity (RV) standard star HD164922 (G9V; RV = 20.29±0.09 km/s; Nidever et al. 2002; Gray et al. 2003; van Leeuwen 2007). This night was clear with variable seeing but the moon was located at 13–14 degrees from the target, resulting in one the worst quality spectra among all obtained with ISIS. We obtained another five spectra of USco16m25 on the night of 8 June 2015 as part of a DDT program to continue our RV follow-up of the system. The moon rose at UT = 1h with an illumination of 56% and was 94 degrees away from USco. We also observed the telluric standard HIP 77859 and the Barnard’s star as a RV standard because of its spectral type comparable to our target (M4; RV = −9.20±3.1 km/s; Houk & Smith-Moore 1988; Goncharov 2006; van Leeuwen 2007) and Barnard’s star as a RV standard using the same configuration. We obtained another spectrum of the system on 17 June thanks to the collaboration with the team leading the ITP programme ITP13-8 (PI Rubiño-Martín). This night was clear with seeing below 0.8 arcsec although most of the observations were obtained during twilight. We collected three additional points for our target with the same configuration and same standard stars on 12, 13, and 14 July 2015 under variable conditions mainly affected by dust from the Sahara. The log of all WHT/ISIS observations is provided in Table 2.

We reduced the ISIS optical spectra under IRAF. We substracted each raw spectrum by a median-combined bias and divided by a normalised median-combined dome flat field taken during the afternoon. We extracted optimally the spectrum and calibrated it in wavelength with the lines from the CuAr+CuNe arc lamps. We did not apply any flux calibration since we are only interested in the RV. We corrected the spectra for telluric lines with the telluric standard star HIP 77859 for all nights, except for the data taken on 2 June where no telluric standard was observed. Hence, we corrected for telluric lines with the standard observed on 7 July at a similar airmass but we can see that the correction is the poorest of all. Nonetheless, we can resolve each line of the sodium doublet, showing that our target is indeed a spectroscopic binary (Fig. 8). The sequence of ISIS spectra of USco16m25 is shown in Fig. 8.

5. Characterisation of the transiting system

5.1. Membership to USco

The system is a photometric and astrometric member of the USco association (Fig. 7). It position in the (Z – JZ) colour-magnitude diagram demonstrates that it lies on the USco sequence determined from the dataset of the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS; Lawrence et al. 2007) Galactic Clusters Survey (Lodieu et al. 2006, 2007; Lodieu 2013). Its J-band magnitude is consistent with the spread of measurements for M5–
Table 2. Logs of WHT/ISIS spectroscopic observations for the target, the telluric standard star HIP77859, and the RV templates HD 164922 and GJ 699 (=Barnard’s star). The UT corresponds to the middle times of the respective on-source integrations.

| Target     | Date         | UT             | Grating | Slit | Exp Time | Airmass |
|------------|--------------|----------------|---------|------|----------|---------|
| USco16m25  | 02062015     | 23:29:05.6     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.83    |
|            | 03062015     | 00:09:12.1     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.73    |
|            |              | 00:42:51.8     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.70    |
|            |              | 01:54:40.2     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.81    |
| HD 164922  | 02062015     | 23:14:04.9     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 10       | 1.XX    |
| USco16m25  | 09062015     | 00:22:51.9     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.70    |
|            |              | 00:56:44.9     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.73    |
|            |              | 01:57:26.6     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.93    |
|            |              | 02:30:04.9     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 2.15    |
|            |              | 03:02:22.3     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 2.51    |
| HIP 77859  | 09062015     | 01:32:30.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 30       | 1.86    |
| HIP 77859  | 09062015     | 01:36:30.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 30       | 1.88    |
| GJ 699     | 09062015     | 03:22:00.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 60       | 1.17    |
| GJ 699     | 09062015     | 03:24:00.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 60       | 1.17    |
| USco16m25  | 17062015     | 21:45:07.6     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 2.08    |
| HIP 77859  | 17062015     | 21:14:59.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 10       | 2.06    |
| GJ 699     | 17062015     | 22:11:53.4     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 40       | 1.62    |
| USco16m25  | 07072015     | 22:56:45.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.72    |
| HIP 77859  | 07072015     | 22:02:13.5     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 30       | 1.79    |
| GJ 699     | 07072015     | 23:39:44.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 60       | 1.10    |
| USco16m25  | 12072015     | 22:03:48.9     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.70    |
| HIP 77859  | 12072015     | 22:26:13.6     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 10       | 1.69    |
| GJ 699     | 12072015     | 22:38:36.9     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 30       | 1.14    |
| USco16m25  | 13072015     | 22:01:44.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 1.70    |
| HIP 77859  | 13072015     | 22:22:25.5     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 10       | 1.69    |
| GJ 699     | 13072015     | 23:39:44.0     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 60       | 1.10    |
| USco16m25  | 15072015     | 00:05:36.1     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 1800     | 2.13    |
| HIP 77859  | 15072015     | 00:27:06.1     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 10       | 2.53    |
| GJ 699     | 15072015     | 00:35:10.8     | R1200R  | 1.2  | 20       | 1.13    |

Fig. 7. **Left:** (Z-J,Z) colour-magnitude diagram for 6.5 deg$^2$ in the central region of USco (Lodieu et al. 2007). The USco cluster sequence is well separated from field stars. **Right:** Vector point diagram. We averaged the values of the proper motion in each direction from the datasets listed in Table 1, where the error bars represent the dispersion between each catalogue. The mean proper motion of the association is $(\mu_\alpha \cos \delta, \mu_\delta) = (-11, -25)$ mas/yr. Our transiting system is highlighted with a large red dot, demonstrating its photometric and astrometric membership.
M5.5 USco members (e.g. Slesnick et al. 2008; Lodieu et al. 2011). USco16m25 also matches the position of known USco members in the vector point diagram displayed on the right-hand side of Fig. 7 (see magnitude and proper motions reported in Table 1).

We obtained a low-resolution spectrum of the system at phase 0 with GTC/OSIRIS (Sect. 4.1.1), classified as a M5 by Luhman & Mamajek (2012). We compared our spectrum to known USco members2 and classified USco16m25 as M5.25±0.25 from the spectral region in the 550–850 nm interval, in close agreement with the determination by Luhman & Mamajek (2012). This suggests that the object lies at the stellar/substellar boundary which is set to M6.5 based on models (Luhman 1999). According to the scale of effective temperatures for young pre-main sequence dwarfs (Luhman 1999), USco16m25 would have a temperature of approximately 3100±50 K (see also Luhman et al. 2003; Rice et al. 2010; Lodieu et al. 2011). We also compared our spectrum with field dwarfs of similar spectral types from the Sloan database (Bochanski et al. 2007) and found worst matches than the one for members of η Cha over the 6000–9000 Å wavelength region.

We also measured the Hα equivalent widths in each individual spectra, yielding values between 6.2 and 6.7 Å over the course of 30 min. Assuming a mean value of 6.5/2 = 3.25 Å for the equivalent width of Hα of each component and applying equations of Stassun et al. (2012), chromospheric activity would imply a correction of 10.7±5.8% in the radius (inflation) and −5.0±2.3% in the effective temperature (suppression). A correction for an inflated radius for direct comparison with non-active models would imply a older age for the system and the USco association, but we note that we did not apply this correction to our final results described in Sect. 5.3.

We also investigated the strength of the gravity-sensitive sodium doublet at 8183/8195Å in the lowest resolution spectrum, implying pseudo-equivalent widths of 1.7/2.1 Å for each component of the doublet. These values agree with the mean values of M5 dwarf members in USco (Fig. 4 of Lodieu et al. 2011). The presence of Hα in emission and the weak sodium doublet confirm that the system is young and that the K2 light curve is really associated to the binary system.

In the highest resolution spectrum, we resolved the lithium absorption line at 6707.8 Å into two components because the target was observed at a phase of 0.725. We measured pseudo-equivalent widths of 0.19±0.1 and 0.29±0.1 Å for the primary and the secondary, respectively (right-hand side panel in Fig. 5). The individual values, which should be corrected for the dilution factor, agree with the pseudo-equivalent widths reported by Kraus et al. (2015) for the M4.5 eclipsing binary and earlier studies of the USco population (Preibisch et al. 2001, 2002; Lodieu et al. 2011; Rizzuto et al. 2015), adding further credit to the membership of USco16m25. Our measurements of the lithium pseudo-equivalent width of the

---

2 We thank Kevin Luhman for kindly providing his templates prior to publication.
secondary translate into an abundance of logN(Li) = 3.1±0.3 (in the usual scale of logN(H) = 12), consistent with no depletion (see Figure 16 of Zapatero Osorio et al. 2002). For the primary, we would infer an abundance of logN(Li) = 2.0±0.4, which suggests some lithium destruction may have taken place in this slightly more massive object. While the absolute error bars on the equivalent widths consistent with other M dwarfs in USco prevent us to reach any strong conclusion, it is worth mentioning that the differential error in the measurement is smaller and this result could be taken as an indication that in the primary star, presumably a fully convective object, the core temperature has already reached the temperature needed to ignite lithium burning via \( ^7 \text{Li} + p \rightarrow ^{12} \text{C} + \gamma \) (\( T \sim 2.5 \times 10^6 \) K) while in the secondary this is not the case yet. We note that interior evolution models (e.g. Baraffe et al. 2015) predict lithium burning temperatures are reached at significantly older ages (>30 Myr) at 0.09 M\(_\odot\). More accurate lithium observations are worth to be pursued as they will bring insight on the properties of the matter in the interior of these degenerate objects with potential implications on the equation of state or opacities adopted.

5.2. Radial velocity confirmation

Figure 8 shows the full WHT/ISIS spectra on the left-hand side with a zoom on the potassium (K I and sodium (Na I) doublets. We observe that both doublets are resolved on several nights with a change in the position of individual lines, e.g. on the night of 13 July (phase 0.889) and 14 July (phase 0.276). We measured the offsets of the Na I doublet with respect to the air wavelength with task splot in IRAF. On the night of 9 June and 12 July 2015, the doublet was unresolved because the observations took place very close to transit (phase between 0.46 and 0.53).

The RV measurements were extracted from the ISIS spectra (R~6000) using the cross-correlation function (CCF) technique, within the package MOLLY. We first normalised the ISIS spectra of the target and the template star GJ699 (Barnard’s star) within the IRAF package using a low-order legendre polynomial. Then, we cross-correlated each target spectrum with the template stellar spectrum. We did not get a spectrum of the template star every night (see Sect. 4.3), thus, we used the spectrum of the night taken on 09 June 2015. We also corrected for possible drifts using the spectra of the sky subtracted during the reduction procedure. We derived the drifts by cross-correlating the sky spectrum of every target spectrum with the sky spectrum of the template star taken on 17 July 2015. We found drifts in the range ~0.8 km/s to 3.7 km/s.

In Table 3, we provide the heliocentric julian days and radial velocities for both components of the system. We do not see the double peak CCF in the spectra taken at orbital phases close to 0.5. For those cases, we associated these RVs to the primary star. The RV uncertainties are in the range 0.1–0.7 km/s. We fitted these RVs with the RVFitter code (Iglesias-Marzoa et al. 2015). We tried several fits by leaving free and fixing the orbital period to that derived from the transit analysis but both gave too high reduced \( \chi^2 \) values because the RV uncertainties are probably underestimated. The zero point of the calibration estimated from the sky spectrum of the template star has an error of ~1.6 km/s. Therefore, to take this systematic uncertainty into account, we multiplied the RV errors given in Table 3 by a factor of 10. Those errors are of the order of or larger than potential RV variations induced by the presence of spot that can affect young M dwarfs, such as USco members. In addition, we decided to discard the RV point obtained on 12 July 2015 with a phase close to 0.5 because the rms of the fit improves significantly. The final reduced \( \chi^2 \) values are 1.5–1.8, being \( v \) the degrees of freedom, in this case, equal to 14.

Table 3. Radial velocities of USco16m25 derived from the cross-correlation function method. RVs are estimated by performing a 2nd order polynomial fit to the peak of the CCFs. F21 represents the secondary-to-primary flux ratio, F2/F1, given by the ratio of the peaks of the cross-correlation functions of the secondary (F2) and primary (F1) component. The error of this measurement is giving by eF21. a Heliocentric julian day. b Radial velocity of the primary. c Radial velocity of the secondary. d Discarded RV point during the RV fitting procedure.

| Date    | HJD*  | Phase | RV\(_1\) | δRV\(_1\) | RV\(_2\) | δRV\(_2\) | F21 | eF21 |
|---------|-------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----|------|
| 03062015 | 245716.483457799 | 0.300 | -36.29 | 0.33 | +38.83 | 0.32 | 0.9664 | 0.0481 |
| 03062015 | 245716.512210492 | 0.314 | -31.37 | 0.43 | +36.85 | 0.43 | 0.9818 | 0.0765 |
| 03062015 | 245716.535586138 | 0.332 | -40.79 | 0.47 | +32.96 | 0.68 | 0.9906 | 0.1018 |
| 03062015 | 245716.585451550 | 0.350 | -25.71 | 0.47 | +36.41 | 0.47 | 0.9813 | 0.0687 |
| 09062015 | 245718.251620525 | 0.463 | -0.56 | 0.12 |   |   |   |   |
| 09062015 | 245718.545150519 | 0.472 | -1.17 | 0.10 |   |   |   |   |
| 09062015 | 245718.587298515 | 0.487 | +0.33 | 0.12 |   |   |   |   |
| 09062015 | 245718.609963939 | 0.495 | +1.67 | 0.13 |   |   |   |   |
| 09062015 | 245718.632386450 | 0.503 | +4.42 | 0.14 |   |   |   |   |
| 17062015 | 245719.411856342 | 0.629 | +27.95 | 0.14 | -28.81 | 0.21 | 0.9631 | 0.0357 |
| 07072015 | 245721.460637375 | 0.767 | +45.23 | 0.29 | -42.54 | 0.31 | 0.9634 | 0.0247 |
| 12072015 | 245721.623578887 | 0.534 | -1.92 | 0.17 |   |   |   |   |
| 13072015 | 245721.422062478 | 0.889 | +25.33 | 0.19 | -25.73 | 0.24 | 0.9638 | 0.0525 |
| 14072015 | 245721.508007215 | 0.276 | -39.45 | 0.25 | +43.86 | 0.29 | 0.9579 | 0.0562 |

3 Central wavelengths of the lines of the doublet (8183.25A and 8194.79A are taken from the NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) atomic spectra database lines
4 The MOLLY package can be download from www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/physics/research/astro/people/marsh/software/rectifying the radius estimate by ~1.5%.
5 Zero order approximations show that the effect of star spots is approximately vsin(i)dF, where dF is the difference in flux between the unsptotted and spotted stellar surface. Estimates of dF (<3%) and the rotation period (~5 days) from our activity-conserved light curve and assuming no inclination translates into uncertainties of <0.12 km/s in the RV. Similarly, the eclipse depth could be biased by at most dF, af-
5.3. Physical parameters and global fits

From the K2 light-curve, we infer that the radii and luminosities of both components are similar to less than a few percent. From the RV measurements, we derive a maximum semiamplitude of 44.2±1.2 km/s and 40.8±1.4 km/s for the primary and secondary, respectively. Hence, the ratio of the maximum amplitude is 0.92±0.03, corresponding to the mass ratio (q) of the system. This mass ratio is high and typical of low-mass and brown dwarf binaries (see review by Burgasser et al. 2007, and references therein).

To facilitate the comparison between the two methods, the uncertainties were estimated in the same way as in the first method, and the final values and their uncertainties are in Table 5. Most of the distributions are reasonably symmetrical so we only quote the mean uncertainties. The values for the maximum amplitudes of the primary and secondary components agree within 1σ with the values derived solely from the RV analysis.

Both results are in agreement, and show that the system is on a grazing configuration. The most discordant parameters are the luminosity ratio, the omega, and the eccentricity. We believe this is due to the MC runs exploring a region of the parameter space in which a more eccentric orbit with a periastron line a few degrees from the line of sight produces a slightly best $\chi^2$ as the other solutions. In such a configuration, the primary and secondary eclipses occur at different relative distances of the stars, and thus the flux of the occulted star is reduced by the square root of the distance when it is further away. As the depths of primary and secondary eclipses are comparable, the parameter that is changed to produce reasonable fits is the luminosity ratio of both components. From the very similar spectral types and the mass ratio q close to 0.92, we deduce that luminosity ratios as high as 1.5 implied by the solution in Table 5 are less realistic. Thus, for these three parameters, we rely on the values listed in Table 5.

In the Eastman et al. (2013) re-implementation of the Mandel & Agol (2002) formalism, the parameters entering the fit were the log(period), the epoch of primary eclipse, the log of the system scale (α), the cosine of the orbital inclination, the $\sqrt{e}$ cos w and $\sqrt{e}$ sin w, the L21 ratio, the two masses of the stars, the time of periastron passage and an offset in RV. The same quadratic limb darkening coefficients were also kept fixed. We used the EXOFAST implementations of the amoeba downhill minimisation algorithm to find a reasonable “global” minimum, and used this minimum as a starting point for a Differential Evolution Markov Chain method (Brooks 2006). In this method, several Monte Carlo (MC) chains are run in parallel (twice the number of parameters entering the fit, in our case 22 chains), and they are used to evaluate the size of the steps on each MC iteration. The chains are evaluated for convergence when their number of independent draws is higher than 1000 and the Gelman-Rubin statistic is less than 1.02 for all the parameters. After 60000 steps, the acceptance rate of the chains was 17%, which is a reasonable value showing good mixing of the chains (Gelman et al. 2003). To facilitate the comparison between the two methods, the uncertainties were estimated in the same way as in the first method, and the final values and their uncertainties are in Table 5. Most of the distributions are reasonably symmetrical so we only quote the mean uncertainties. The values for the maximum amplitudes of the primary and secondary components agree within 1σ with the values derived solely from the RV analysis.

Both results are in agreement, and show that the system is on a grazing configuration. The most discordant parameters are the luminosity ratio, the omega, and the eccentricity. We believe this is due to the MC runs exploring a region of the parameter space in which a more eccentric orbit with a periastron line a few degrees from the line of sight produces a slightly best $\chi^2$ as the other solutions. In such a configuration, the primary and secondary eclipses occur at different relative distances of the stars, and thus the flux of the occulted star is reduced by the square root of the distance when it is further away. As the depths of primary and secondary eclipses are comparable, the parameter that is changed to produce reasonable fits is the luminosity ratio of both components. From the very similar spectral types and the mass ratio q close to 0.92, we deduce that luminosity ratios as high as 1.5 implied by the solution in Table 5 are less realistic. Thus, for these three parameters, we rely on the values listed in Table 5.

It is important to note that despite these slight discrepancies, the physical values of both stars are in perfect agreement with the two explored formalism and methodologies. Our results

---

6 We used fixed values of $u_1 = 0.7$ and $u_2 = 0.15$

7 The only exception being the argument of the periastron, which produces the following median and 34% confidence intervals: 92.9+13 \text{deg}
5.4. USco16m25 in the realm of eclipsing binaries

In Fig. 10 we plot a mass-radius diagram to place USco16m25 in context with other known eclipsing binaries (Southworth 2014). The filled dots and grey asterisks in Fig. 10 represent the primaries and secondaries of detached eclipsing binaries with masses below 10 M_\od{} where most components have mass and radius measurements accurate to 2%. At lower masses, several eclipsing binaries do exist but the uncertainties on their masses and radii vary and is usually greater than 2%. Nonetheless, we add several known systems to populate this part of the mass-radius diagram. We overplotted three transiting brown dwarfs with accurate masses (below 30 Jupiter masses) and radii (Corot-3 b; Kepler-39 b; Deleuil et al. 2008; Siverd et al. 2012; Bouchy et al. 2011). We also overplotted very-low mass components of the eclipsing binaries listed in Table 3 of Tal-Or et al. (2013) whose masses range from 0.08 to 0.2 M_\od{}, and radii of the M dwarfs. The filled dots and grey asterisks in Fig. 10 represent the primaries and secondaries of detached eclipsing binaries with masses below 10 M_\od{} where most components have mass and radius measurements accurate to 2%. At lower masses, several eclipsing binaries do exist but the uncertainties on their masses and radii vary and is usually greater than 2%. Nonetheless, we add several known systems to populate this part of the mass-radius diagram. We overplotted three transiting brown dwarfs with accurate masses (below 30 Jupiter masses) and radii (Corot-3 b; Kepler-39 b; Deleuil et al. 2008; Siverd et al. 2012; Bouchy et al. 2011). We also overplotted very-low mass components of the eclipsing binaries listed in Table 3 of Tal-Or et al. (2013) whose masses range from 0.08 to 0.2 M_\od{}. The filled dots and grey asterisks in Fig. 10 represent the primaries and secondaries of detached eclipsing binaries with masses below 10 M_\od{} where most components have mass and radius measurements accurate to 2%. At lower masses, several eclipsing binaries do exist but the uncertainties on their masses and radii vary and is usually greater than 2%. Nonetheless, we add several known systems to populate this part of the mass-radius diagram. We overplotted three transiting brown dwarfs with accurate masses (below 30 Jupiter masses) and radii (Corot-3 b; Kepler-39 b; Deleuil et al. 2008; Siverd et al. 2012; Bouchy et al. 2011). We also overplotted very-low mass components of the eclipsing binaries listed in Table 3 of Tal-Or et al. (2013) whose masses range from 0.08 to 0.2 M_\od{}. The filled dots and grey asterisks in Fig. 10 represent the primaries and secondaries of detached eclipsing binaries with masses below 10 M_\od{} where most components have mass and radius measurements accurate to 2%. At lower masses, several eclipsing binaries do exist but the uncertainties on their masses and radii vary and is usually greater than 2%. Nonetheless, we add several known systems to populate this part of the mass-radius diagram. We overplotted three transiting brown dwarfs with accurate masses (below 30 Jupiter masses) and radii (Corot-3 b; Kepler-39 b; Deleuil et al. 2008; Siverd et al. 2012; Bouchy et al. 2011). We also overplotted very-low mass components of the eclipsing binaries listed in Table 3 of Tal-Or et al. (2013) whose masses range from 0.08 to 0.2 M_\od{}. The filled dots and grey asterisks in Fig. 10 represent the primaries and secondaries of detached eclipsing binaries with masses below 10 M_\od{} where most components have mass and radius measurements accurate to 2%. At lower masses, several eclipsing binaries do exist but the uncertainties on their masses and radii vary and is usually greater than 2%. Nonetheless, we add several known systems to populate this part of the mass-radius diagram. We overplotted three transiting brown dwarfs with accurate masses (below 30 Jupiter masses) and radii (Corot-3 b; Kepler-39 b; Deleuil et al. 2008; Siverd et al. 2012; Bouchy et al. 2011). We also overplotted very-low mass components of the eclipsing binaries listed in Table 3 of Tal-Or et al. (2013) whose masses range from 0.08 to 0.2 M_\od{}.
with ages between the age of the Pleiades (120 Myr; Stauffer et al. 1998, Burrows & Vanaverbeke et al. 2004) and the age of field stars (~1 Gyr).

Fig. 10. Mass-radius diagram for detached eclipsing binaries: filled dots are primaries and asterisks are secondaries of systems with parameters determined with an accuracy better than 2%. Overplotted as red asterisks are field and young low-mass eclipsing binaries as well as four known eclipsing brown dwarfs: Corot-3 b (22 M_J, Deleuil et al. 2008), KELT-1 b (27.38 M_J, Siverd et al. 2013), and Kepler-39 b (18 M_Jup; Bouchy et al. 2011). Added in green are the only two eclipsing systems known to date in the USco association. Overplotted in red are both components of USco16m25: the size of the symbols indicates the size of the error bars. Overplotted in blue are the solar-metallicity evolutionary tracks of the BT-Settl models (Baraffe et al. 2003), for two possible ages of 5 Myr (thick line) and 10 Myr (dashed line) for USco, the age of the Pleiades (120 Myr; long-dashed line), and the age of field dwarfs (1 Gyr; dot-dashed line).

We also added as red asterisks in Fig. 10 three known young eclipsing binaries (King et al. 2008, Irwin et al. 2007, Carrille et al. 2008, Da Rio et al. 2009, Watson et al. 2009, Gómez Maqueo Chew et al. 2012, Gillen et al. 2014) with ages in the 1–20 Myr range (see Table 1 in Stassun et al. 2014) along with the sole brown dwarf eclipse binarly discovered to date (2MASS J05352184–0546085; Stassun et al. 2006, 2007). There are two known eclipsing systems recently discovered in the USco association (green symbols in Fig. 10). On the one hand UScoCTIO-5, a M4.5 + M4.5 eclipsing binary with a period of 34 days and masses of 0.3287 ± 0.0024 and 0.3165 ± 0.0016 M_⊙ and radii of 0.834 ± 0.006 and 0.810 ± 0.006 R_⊙ for the primary and secondary, respectively (Kraus et al. 2015). On the other hand, a triple system composed of two solar-type stars (masses of 0.950 and 0.795 M_⊙ and radii of 1.32–1.33 R_⊙) and a late-F star with a mass of 1.44 M_⊙ and a radius of 2.43 R_⊙ (Forrest et al. 2006, Chen et al. 2011, Alonso et al. 2015) USco16m25 bridges the gap between the coolest low-mass eclipsing binaries younger than 10 Myr and the only brown dwarf eclipse system known to date.

We derived the bolometric luminosity of the system using the J-band magnitude from UKIDSS, assuming a distance of 145 pc for USco (de Bruijne et al. 1997) and the most recent bolometric correction for M dwarfs (1.97 +0.09/−0.10; Mann et al. 2015). We calculated a total luminosity of log(L/L_⊙) = −1.724 ± 0.096 dex, yielding individual luminosities of −2.026 ±0.012 dex and −2.032 ±0.113 for the primary and secondary, respectively. Using the Stefan-Boltzmann law, we derived an effective temperature of 2901 ±107 K and 2908 ±172 K for the primary and secondary, respectively. We plot USco16m25 in a mass-luminosity diagram on the left-hand side plot in Fig. 11 along with the other two eclipsing systems in USco (HD 144588 and UScoCTIO 5).

We obtained an independent determination of the system’s effective temperature (T_eff) and distance from a fit of the flux from a spherical blackbody of given size and distance against the system’s spectral energy distribution (Fig. 12) obtained from Spanish Virtual Observatory Sed Analyzer (VOSA; Bayo et al. 2008) over the 0.8–4.6 micron wavelength range with data from the Deep Near-Infrared Southern Sky Survey (DENIS, DENIS Consortium 2005, Epchtein et al. 1997), UKIDSS (Lawrence et al. 2007), and the Wide Field Infrared Survey (WISE: Wright et al. 2010). We note that this system is undetected in the reddest mid-infrared bands of WISE, region related to the presence of a hot accretion disc. This fit to the spectral energy distribution had two free parameters: T_eff and the ratio R/a, were d is the distance. The fit indicated a temperature of 2800 ±100 K and a distance ratio of 6.5 ±0.5 ×10^-11. Given the component sizes of 0.388±R_⊙ listed in Table 4 we inferred a distance of 135±10 pc. The distance estimate is strongly correlated with the temperature, in the sense that higher temperatures imply further distances. The best fit from the BT-Settl models suggests a temperature of 2800 K, a gravity of log g of 4.0, and a metallicity of −0.5 dex. All these temperature estimates agree within the error bars. By comparison, the same method leads to a temperature of 3260 K for UScoCTIO 5 (Kraus et al. 2015). Moreover, the triple system HD 144548 is composed of three components with T_eff of 5943 K, 5103 K, and 5081 K, respectively, using the J-band and bolometric corrections from Pecaut & Mamajek (2013) to derive the bolometric luminosities. We compare the location of the three eclipsing systems identified in USco by K2 in a temperature vs luminosity diagram on the right-hand side plot in Fig. 11. We note that UScoCTIO 5 and USco16m25 lie close to the 5 and 10 Myr isochrones from the latest models of Baraffe et al. (2015) while HD 144548 closer to older isochrones (see Fig. 11). Although it is too early to extrapolate the age of the association based on the two coolest systems, this estimate of 5–10 Myr is in line with the recent revision of the age of the USco association proposed by Pecaut et al. (11±2 Myr, 2015) and the ages derived from isochrone fitting (5±2 Myr; Preibisch & Zinnecker 1999, Siessnick et al. 2008, Lodieu et al. 2011).
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Fig. 11. Left: Mass-luminosity diagram for the three eclipsing binaries identified in USco by K2: USco16m25 is represented as a black dot, UScoCTIO 5 as a green square, and HD 144588 as a red dot. Overplotted in blue are the solar-metallicity evolutionary tracks of the BT-Settl models (Baraffe et al. 2002) for two possible ages of 5 Myr (thick line) and 10 Myr (dashed line) for USco, the age of the Pleiades (120 Myr; long-dashed line), and the age of field dwarfs (1 Gyr; dot-dashed line). Right: Effective temperature vs luminosity diagram for the three USco systems with the same colour set as in the left panel. The blue dots on the 5 and 10 Myr isochrones correspond to masses of 1.4, 0.5, 0.3, and 0.1 $M_\odot$, respectively. The size of the dots for the highest mass system represents the error bars on the measurements.

Fig. 12. Spectral energy distribution of USco16m25. We obtained the fluxes and their associated errors from the Spanish virtual observatory and calibrated them as incident fluxes on the Earth. The wavelength ranges from the $I$-band (7862 Å) from the DENIS survey to the WISE $w2$-band (4.6 microns). The solid line is the black-body fit.

6. Conclusions and future work

We have exploited the K2 light curves from campaign two and discovered an eclipsing binary made of two very-low mass star members of the young USco association that we confirmed with ground-based RV follow-up. The main results of our work can be summarised as follows:

(M4.5 + M4.5 and LSPM J1112+7626 (> M4); Morales et al. 2009; Terrien et al. 2012; Irwin et al. 2011) and one secondary (LSPM J1112+7626 (> M4); Irwin et al. 2011) and two young systems (JW 380 and 2MASS J05352184−0546085; Irwin et al. 2007; Da Rio et al. 2009; Stassun et al. 2006, 2007). Overall, USco16m25 represents a key eclipsing binary because it is the lowest mass member of USco, filling the gap between the lowest mass stars and brown dwarfs in the mass-radius diagram.

We can conclude that the stellar/substellar boundary in USco lies at a spectral type of M5.5 or later, in agreement with the suggestion of Luhman (1999) purely based on theoretical models. We can now compare this with the Pleiades (125 Myr; Stauffer et al. 1998) and Orion (1-3 Myr), where eclipsing binaries straddling the hydrogen-burning limit are known. In the former, PP115 is a M6.5 spectroscopic binary showing lithium in absorption at 670.8 nm (Stauffer et al. 1994; Basri et al. 1996; Basri & Martin 1999), implying Pleiades brown dwarfs have spectral types equal or later than M7 (Stauffer et al. 1998). In the latter, Stassun et al. (2006) discovered a M6.5 eclipsing binary in Orion, suggesting that the stellar/substellar boundary lies at slightly earlier spectral type. In conclusion, we invoke that the evolution of objects at the hydrogen-burning mass limit evolve at very similar effective temperature in a narrow range in spectral type (M5.5–M7) during the first 100Myr, something predicted by models (e.g. Burrows & Liebert 1993; Chabrier & Baraffe 1997).
USco16m25 is the coolest eclipsing binary in USco with a combined spectral type of M5.25±0.25. We inferred a period of ~2.81 days and transit duration of ~2.4h from the analysis of the K2 light curve. We estimate comparable radii, luminosities, and effective temperatures to within 5% for both components of the binary. We derived masses of 0.091±0.005 M\(_\odot\) and 0.084±0.004 M\(_\odot\) for the primary and secondary component, yielding a mass ratio q of 0.922±0.015. Objects straddling the stellar/substellar boundary evolve at very similar effective temperature in a narrow range in spectral type during the first 100Myr. USco16m25 bridges the gap between the coolest low-mass eclipsing binaries and the only brown dwarf eclipsing system known at ages younger than 10 Myr. USco16m25 is the third eclipsing system in USco with masses and radii obtained independently of models, suggesting that members of the USco association share an age around 5–10 Myr.

We plan to increase the number and accuracy of our RV curves by obtaining optical spectra at higher resolution during a longer period of time covering several days to refine the physical parameters of the USco16m25 system. In the course of these RV measurements, we also plan to measure the spin-orbit (mis-)alignment of USco16m25 by modeling the change in shape of spectral lines (see e.g. Albrecht et al. 2014). Such a measurement for a young eclipsing binary system will provide us an important insight into the initial spin-orbit (mis-)alignment of multiple systems at the stellar/substellar boundary. We also aim at extending our analysis of the Kepler light curves to other clusters at different ages, e.g. the Pleiades (124±8 Myr; Stauffer et al. 1998), Praesepe (590–800 Myr; Fossati et al. 2008), Brand & Huang (2015), and the Hyades (625±50 Myr; Maeder & Mermilliod [1981]), which are included in the fourth and fifth K2 campaigns to populate the mass-radius diagram at low masses and at different ages.
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