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1. Introduction

In the medium $\mathbb{R}^n$, we consider a certain process whose evolution $u(t; x)$ during the time $(0; T]$ is described by the partial differential equation:

$$\partial_t u(t; x) = P(t; i\partial_x)u(t; x),$$

where

$$P(t; i\partial_x) = \sum_{|k|\leq p} a_k(t)\partial_x^k,$$

(1)

in which $\partial_x^k$ is a partial derivative of a variable $x$ of order $k$, and $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a real Euclidean space of dimension $n$ with a scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and norm $\|x\| = (x, x)^{1/2}$.

We consider that equation (1) on the set $\Pi_{[0; T]}$ is a uniformly parabolic Shilov equation with the index of parabolicity $h$, $0 < h \leq p$, i.e., such that

$$\exists \delta > 0 \exists \delta_0 \geq 0 \forall t \in [0; T] \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n: \text{Re} P(t; \xi) \leq -\delta\|\xi\|^h + \delta_0.$$  

(2)

A simpler example (1) is the classical thermal conductivity equation

$$\partial_t u(t; x) = a^2 \Delta u(t; x), \quad (t; x) \in \Pi_{[0; T]},$$

with the Laplace operator $\Delta$, which describes the process of heat propagation or diffusion in the medium $\mathbb{R}^n$. This equation is also related to the random Wiener process. Its fundamental solution is the density distribution of the probability of motion of microscopic particles in a liquid or gas under the action of medium molecules.

If the initial state $f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is known, this process at time $t = 0$, i.e., the initial condition is set

$$u(t; \cdot)|_{t=0} = f,$$

then to find its evolution $u(t; x)$ we get the Cauchy problem (1) and (5).

The study of the Cauchy problem (1) and (5) has been presented in many research works. In particular, authors in [1, 2] describe the classes of unity and correctness of this problem. The stabilization properties of the solutions of equation (1) under special $\Lambda$-conditions were studied in [3–5]. In [6–8], the alternative methods of the fundamental solution study are offered, which allow to avoid the notion of the equation kind (1) and difficulties associated with its
location. The abstract theory of the Cauchy problem (1) and (5) in Banach spaces is developed in [9, 10]. The works [11–16] are devoted to the construction of the theory of the Cauchy problem for equation (1) with variable coefficients. The results of these studies naturally complement and generalize the classical theory of the Cauchy problem for parabolic Petrovsky equations [17–19].

The Cauchy problem is a so-called direct problem. However, in many cases, there is a situation when it is necessary to study the evolution of the \( u(t; x) \) process described by equation (1), based only on the known information about its final (or intermediate) state at time:

\[
   u(t; \cdot)_{|_{\tau = T}} = f,
\]

for example, astrophysical problems concerning the celestial bodies study and some problems of thermophysics, diffusion, demography, and mathematical biology (see [20–23]).

Problem (1) and (6) is called an inverse problem. Usually, such a problem is incorrectly set by Hadamard [24].

Among the existing methods for solving the inverse problem of thermal conductivity, the method of quasireversibility should be noted [25]. However, this method is associated with an increase in the order of the original differential equation, which leads to significant difficulties in its numerical implementation. The Tikhonov regularization method for solving the equivalent integral equation [24] is an alternative to the quasireversibility method. In [26], developing Tikhonov’s idea, a method for finding an approximate solution of the inverse thermal conductivity problem is proposed. It is based on the replacement of this problem by a corresponding problem with a nonlocal by time condition, in which a partial shift of the condition is carried out at \( t = T \) at the initial moment \( t = 0 \):

\[
   u(t; \cdot)_{|_{\tau = 0}} + vu(t; \cdot)_{|_{\tau = T}} = f,
\]

where \( v \) is a known parameter.

For parabolic Petrovsky equations, i.e., equation (1), in which \( p = h = 2b \), problems with condition (7), and those with the conditions of a more general form were considered in [27–30]. Here various questions concerning the correctness of such problems and methods of solving them under certain conditions on the input data are considered. In this case, similar problems for parabolic Shilov equations with \( p \neq h \) still remain in the state of expectation.

It should be noted that (1) and (6) is the problem of studying the evolution of a process in the past on the interval \( (0; T) \) based on the data available about it \( f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) at the moment of time \( t_i = T \). However, if the information about the evolution of this process is also important for us in the near future, then we should already consider the problem for equation (1) with the condition

\[
   u(t; \cdot)_{|_{\tau = t_i}} = f,
\]

for \( t_i \leq T \). In this regard, the corresponding condition (7) takes the form

\[
   u(t; \cdot)_{|_{\tau = 0}} + vu(t; \cdot)_{|_{\tau = t_i}} = f.
\]

Most of the observed processes are influenced by impulses that are not taken into account by the differential equation of the corresponding mathematical model. Therefore, these effects should be reflected in the form of additional conditions to achieve the desired compliance of the model with the real process. Problems with impulse action for differential equations have been studied in many works, in [31–39] in particular. In [31, 32], the basics of the results of the theory of systems of differential equations with impulse action are presented. In [34], sufficient conditions are established for the controllability of a class of semilinear impulsive integrodifferential systems with nonlocal initial conditions in Banach spaces. The internal approximate controllability of the semilinear impulse deterministic thermal equation is set in [35]. In [36], this issue is already clarified for the semilinear impulse stochastic equation of thermal conductivity with delay. The Cauchy problem with impulse action for parabolic Petrovsky equations was studied in [37–39]. The abovementioned works and the references given in them refer to direct problems with impulse action, while inverse problems of this type have escaped the attention of researchers.

Let us return to the inverse problem (1) and (8). Suppose that we somehow managed to find out that at a certain point in time \( t_i, t_i \neq t_s \), the process in question (1) was (or will be) subjected to a momentum effect of \( y_i \) units. Then, model (1) and (8) must be supplemented by an additional impulse condition

\[
   u(t_i^+; \cdot) - u(t_i^-; \cdot) = \chi,
\]

where \( u(t_i^+; \cdot) \leftarrow \lim_{t \to t_i^+} u(t; \cdot) + 0u(t; \cdot) \leftarrow \lim_{t \to t_i^-} -0u(t; \cdot) \). In this case, if \( t_i < t_s \), then problem (1), (8), and (10) is naturally called an inverse problem with an impulse precursor, in other words, an inverse problem with an impulse after-effect.

In this research, we study the modified Cauchy problem (1) and (9), which is generated by the inverse problem (1) and (8). By reducing to the corresponding Cauchy problem (1) and (5) in combination with the Fourier transform method, the correct solvability of this problem in a wide class of generalized initial data, such as the Gelfand and Shilov distributions, is established. At the same time, the explicit formulae of its classical solutions are found and the smoothness of these solutions by temporal and spatial variables is clarified. The results obtained are applied to solving the original problem (1) and (9) with the available time impulse (10), which can occur both before the time \( t_s \) and after it.

The structure of the work is as follows. Section 2 provides the necessary information about the spaces of basic and generalized functions, which will serve as an environment for the study of the modified problem. The information about the correct solvability of the Cauchy problem for parabolic Shilov equations (1) is also presented here. In Section 3, the classical solutions of the modified Cauchy problem (1) and (9) with generalized initial data \( f \) are found and their uniqueness is substantiated. Problem (1) and (9) with impulse after-effect and preeffect is solved in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. Section 6 presents the conclusions.
2. Preliminary Information

Let $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a class of all functions infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $S$ be the space of $L$ Schwartz elements from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ rapidly fall to infinity, and $S'$ is the corresponding space of Schwartz distributions [40]. The set of all $n$-dimensional multi-indices is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$. And let $|l| = l_1 + \cdots + l_n$, $z^l = z_1^{l_1} \cdots z_n^{l_n}$, if $z = (z_1; \ldots; z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $l = (l_1; \ldots; l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.

For $a > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, we have

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
S_a &= \left\{ \varphi \in S \mid \exists \lambda > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \exists c \geq 0 \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^\alpha \partial^\alpha \varphi (x) \leq c \lambda |k|^{\beta} q^{|q|^{\alpha}} \right\};
S^\beta_a &= \left\{ \varphi \in S \mid \exists \lambda > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \exists c \geq 0 \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^\alpha \partial^\alpha \varphi (x) \leq c \lambda |k|^{\beta} q^{|q|^{\alpha}} \right\};
S^\beta_a &= \left\{ \varphi \in S \mid \exists \lambda > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \exists c \geq 0 \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^\alpha \partial^\alpha \varphi (x) \leq c \lambda |k|^{\beta} q^{|q|^{\alpha}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

The correct topological relationship is $S^\beta_a \subset S^\beta_{a'} \subset S_{a'} \subset \subset S' \subset \subset S_{a'}^\beta \subset S^\beta_{a'} \subset S_{a'}^\beta$. We shall denote by $\Phi'$ the space topologically conjugate to the space $\Phi \in S'^{(1/h)}; S'^{(1/h)}; \beta \geq (p - 1/h)$, where $h > 0$ and $p > 1$.

For $a_1 \leq a_2$ and $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$, the following continuous inclusions are satisfied [40]:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
S^\beta_{a_1} \subset S^\beta_{a_2} \subset \subset S_{a_1} \subset \subset S_{a_2} \subset \subset S_{a_1}^\beta \subset S^\beta_{a_2} \subset S_{a_1}^\beta.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

In spaces of the type $S$, continuous addition, multiplication, convolution, and the operator $F$ of the Fourier transform are defined, and the following topological equations are satisfied [40]: $F[S_a] = S_a$, $F[S^\beta_a] = S^\beta_a$, and $F[S^\beta_a] = S_{a'}$.

The convolution operation $g$ with the elements $\varphi, \varphi' \in \Phi$ is continuous in the space $\Phi$.

From here we come to the equality $F[f \ast g] = F[F[f]F[g]]$, from which it becomes clear that the element $\varphi \in \Phi'$ is a convolutor in $\Phi$ only when its Fourier transform is $F[g]$—a multiplier in the corresponding space $F[\Phi]$.

The following criterion of the multiplier [6, 7] is correct: let $\vartheta_{\tau}^t(\cdot) = \exp \left[ \int_0^t P(\xi, \cdot) \xi^\beta \right]$, $0 \leq \tau < t \leq T$, then to be a multiplier in the space $F[\Phi]$, it is necessary and important for the function $\mu(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that for each fixed $t$, $0 < \tau \leq t$, the product $(\mu \vartheta_{\tau}^t)(\cdot)$ belongs to the space $F[\Phi]$.

In [6], the properties of the function $\vartheta_{\tau}^t(\cdot)$ are studied, in particular, the belonging of $\vartheta_{\tau}^t(\cdot)$ to the space $S'^{(p-1/h)}$ for each fixed $t > \tau$, and the following estimates are obtained:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
|\partial^\beta \vartheta_{\tau}^t(\xi)| \leq c e^{\delta (t - \tau)} A^{\delta} k^{p-1/h} t^{(p-1/h)k} e^{-\delta_1 (t - \tau) k^{\beta}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < t < \tau < T,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
with positive constants $c$, $\delta$, $\delta_0$, and $A$. Here, $\gamma(k) = (1 - p)|k|/h$, if $0 < t - \tau < 1$ and $\gamma(k) = (1 + h)|k|/h$ at $1 \leq t - \tau$.

We consider the Cauchy problem for equation $(1)$ with the initial condition $(5)$, in which $f$ is a functional from the space $\Phi'$.

**Definition 1.** The solution of the Cauchy problem $(1)$ and $(5)$ on the set $\Pi_{[0,T]}$ is the function $u$, which on $\Pi_{[0,T]}$ satisfies equation $(1)$ in the usual sense and the initial condition $(5)$ — in the sense of convergence in the space $\Phi'$:

$$\langle u(t; x), \phi(x) \rangle \xrightarrow{t \to +0} \langle f, \phi(x) \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi. \quad (17)$$

The fundamental solution of the Cauchy problem for equation $(1)$ is a function

$$G(t, \tau; \cdot) = F^{-1} \left[ \Theta'(\xi) \right] (t, \tau; \cdot), \quad 0 \leq \tau < t \leq T. \quad (18)$$

Obviously, the solution $G(t, 0; \cdot) \in S_{(p-1)/h}^{(1/4)}$ for each fixed $t \in [0; T]$.

The next statement is correct.

**Theorem 1** (see [6]). Let $f$ be a real functional from the space $\Phi'$, then the corresponding Cauchy problem $(1)$ and $(5)$ on the set $\Pi_{[0,T]}$ is correctly solvable and its solution $u(t; x)$ is differentiable with respect to the variable $t$ and infinitely differentiable with respect to the variable $x$, for which the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $F[\partial_t u(t; \cdot)] = \partial_t F[u(t; \cdot)], \quad t \in (0; T]
2. $u(t; x) = f * G(t, 0; x), \quad (t; x) \in \Pi_{[0,T]}$

These results will help us to determine the correct solvability of the corresponding modified Cauchy problem $(1)$ and $(9)$, which will be considered in Section 3.

At the end of this section, we comment on conditions $(1)$ and $(2)$ of Theorem 1 for a better understanding of our further considerations. Since $G(t, 0; \cdot) \in \Phi, \quad t \in (0; T]$, then according to equation $(15)$, the solution of the Cauchy problem $(1)$ and $(5)$ is determined by the formula

$$u(t; \cdot) = \langle f(\xi), G(t, 0; \xi + \cdot) \rangle, \quad (19)$$

with

$$\partial_t u(t; x) = \langle f(\xi), \partial_t G(t, 0; \xi + x) \rangle,$$

$$\partial^2_t u(t; x) = \langle f(\xi), \partial^2_t G(t, 0; \xi + x) \rangle,$$

while the equality

$$F[\partial_t u(t; \cdot)] = \partial_t F[u(t; \cdot)], \quad (21)$$

is considered in the space $\Phi'$.

### 3. The Modified Cauchy Problem

We arbitrarily fix the real-valued functional $f$ from the space $\Phi'$, and for equation $(1)$, we set the modified initial condition $(9)$, which, considering the differentiability of the function $u$ at the point $t_*$, we regard as a weak convergence in $\Phi'$:

$$u(t; \cdot) \xrightarrow{t \to +0} f - nu(t_*; \cdot). \quad (22)$$

We shall solve the obtained problem $(1)$ and $(9)$ by the Fourier transform method.

To do this, we write the corresponding dual Fourier problem:

$$\partial_t v(t; \xi) = P(t; \xi) v(t; \xi), \quad (t; \xi) \in \Pi_{[0,T]}, \quad (23)$$

$$v(t; \cdot) \xrightarrow{t \to +0} f - nv(t_*; \cdot), \quad (24)$$

where $v = F[u] \equiv \tilde{u}$.

According to the classical Cauchy theorem, all solutions of equation $(23)$ are described by the formula $v(t; \cdot) = e(\cdot)\theta_0(\cdot)$ with an arbitrary function $e(\cdot)$. Hence, for $1 + v\theta_0(\xi) \neq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we arrive at the equivalence of the condition $(24)$ to the following initial condition:

$$v(t; \xi) \xrightarrow{t \to +0} f - v(t_*; \cdot). \quad (25)$$

Thus, for $1 + v\theta_0(\xi) \neq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the dual Fourier problem $(23)$ and $(24)$ is equivalent to the Cauchy problem $(23)$ and $(25)$, and the only solution to these problems is the function

$$v(t; \xi) = \frac{\tilde{f}}{1 + v\theta_0(\xi)}, \quad (t; \xi) \in \Pi_{[0,T]}.$$

Then, taking into account the statement of Theorem 1, to prove the correct solvability of the original problem $(1)$ and $(9)$, it will be enough to substantiate the belonging of the functional $g = F^{-1} \left[ (1 + v\theta_0(\xi))^{-1} \right] * f$ to the space $\Phi'$ and its real-valuedness. For this, it would obviously be enough to show that the function $\mu_* (\cdot) = (1 + v\theta_0(\cdot))^{-1}$ is a multiplier in the space $F(\Phi)$.

**Definition 2.** We assume that for problems $(1)$ and $(9)$, the following condition holds:

$$\inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} |1 + v\theta_0(\xi)| \neq 0. \quad (27)$$

In particular, condition $(27)$ for problem $(1)$ and $(9)$ will be satisfied if $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that

$$\|e\| < e^{-\delta_0}, \quad (28)$$

where $\delta_0$ is the corresponding constant from the condition of parabolicity $(3)$.

Indeed, directly from $(3)$, we come to the estimate

$$|\theta_0(\xi)| \leq e^{\delta_0}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (29)$$

Then, according to $(28)$, we have

$$|1 + v\theta_0(\xi)| \geq |1 - |v|\theta_0(\xi)| \geq 1 - |v|e^{\delta_0} > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (30)$$
If the symbol $P(t; \cdot)$ of equation (1) for each $t \in [0; T]$ acquires only valid values on $\mathbb{R}^n$, then condition (27) will already be satisfied for all $\nu > e^{-\delta_0}$.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that condition (27) is satisfied for problem (1) and (9), then the corresponding function $\mu_\nu(\cdot)$ is a multiplier in the space $F[\Phi]$.

$$\phi^k f(\phi(x)) = \sum_{r} \frac{k!}{q_1! \ldots m!} \frac{d^r f(\phi)}{d\phi^r} \left( \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} \right)^r \left( \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} \right)^{j}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the sign of the sum extends to all integer nonnegative solutions of the equation $k = q + 2j + \cdots + m$, and $r = q + j + \cdots + m$, we obtain that

$$\left| \phi^k \mu_\nu(\xi) \right| \leq \sum_{r} \frac{k!}{q_1! \ldots m!} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \phi \right| \right)^r \left( \frac{d\phi^r(\xi)}{dx} \right)^j, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (31)$$

Hence, according to Stirling’s formula

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right), \quad (33)$$

and the estimates (16),

$$\frac{r!}{q_1! \ldots m!} \leq 2^k, \quad (34)$$

we find out the existence of positive constants $c$ and $A$, such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequality is fulfilled:

$$\left| \phi^k \mu_\nu(\xi) \right| \leq cA^k k^{(p-1)/k}, \quad (35)$$

which in combination with the estimate (16) ensures that the product $(\mu_\nu \phi^k)(\cdot)$ belongs to the space $S^{(p-1)/k}$ for each fixed $t \in (0; 1)$.

Therefore, $\mu_\nu(\cdot)$ is a multiplier in the space $S^{(p-1)/k}$.

Now that we have relation (13), we obtain the statement of the original lemma.

The lemma is proved.

We summarize the previous reflections in the form of the following statement.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that condition (27) is satisfied and $f$ is a real-valued functional from the space $\Phi'$, then the corresponding modified problem (1) and (9) on the set $\Pi_{[0,T]}$ is correctly solvable. Its solution $u$ is determined by the following formula:

$$u(t; x) = f * F^{-1}[\mu_\nu] * G(t, 0; x), \quad (t; x) \in \Pi_{(0,T)}. \quad (36)$$

In this case, $u(t; x)$ is a classical function on $\Pi_{(0,T)}$, which is once differentiable with respect to the variable $t$ and

$$u(t; x) = f * F^{-1}[\mu_\nu] * G(t, 0; x), \quad (t; x) \in \Pi_{(0,T)}, \quad (38)$$

with this solution being unique on the set $\Pi_{(0,T)}$.

**Proof.** To simplify the calculations, we present a scheme of proof for the case $n = 1$.

According to the well-known Faà di Bruno formula of the differentiation of a composite function

$$\frac{d^n f(x)}{dx^n} = \sum_{r} \frac{n!}{r!} \frac{d^r f(\phi)}{d\phi^r} \left( \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} \right)^r \left( \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} \right)^j, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

infinitely differentiable with respect to the variable $x$, for which equality (21) on the set $(0; T)$ is correct.

We now turn to consider the modified Cauchy problem (1) and (9) with a single impulse action that occurs at time $t_i \in (0; T)$. In this case, equation (1) will be considered on the set $\Pi_{(0,T)\setminus\{t_i\}}$ with an additional impulse condition (10), in which $\chi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We assume that the solution $u$ at the point $t_i$ is continuous on the left. Then we understand condition (10) in the following limiting sense:

$$u(t; \cdot) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow t_i, \nu \rightarrow 0} \chi + u(t_i; \cdot). \quad (37)$$

Taking into account the specificity of condition (9), for $t_* \neq T$ there are two possible cases: $t_* < t_i$ (impulse after-effect) and $t_* > t_i$ (impulse pre-effect).

We consider each of these cases separately.

### 4. The Problem with Impulse After-Effect

Let us consider here the situation when the impulse occurred after the “measurement” evolution $u(t; \cdot)$ of the considered process, i.e., when $t_* < t_i$. In this case, on the set $\Pi_{(0,T)\setminus\{t_i\}}$, we have problem (1), (9), and (10) for $t_* < t_i$.

We shall solve this problem thinking as follows.

If we take into account the continuity on the left of the function $u(t; \cdot)$ at the point $t_i$, then on the time interval $(0; t_i]$ the initial problem (1), (9), and (10) obviously reduces to problem (1) and (9) with $T = t_i$. Then, according to Theorem 2, under the appropriate conditions, the desired solution can be written as

$$u(t; x) = f * F^{-1}[\mu_\nu] * G(t, 0; x), \quad (t; x) \in \Pi_{(0,t_i)}. \quad (38)$$
Further, the impulse condition (10) takes the form
\[ u(t; \cdot)_{\mid t = t_1} = q, \tag{39} \]
where \( q = \chi + f * F^{-1}[\mu_v] * G(t, 0; \cdot) \) — regular functionality from the space \( \Phi' \). Therefore, finding the solution \( u \) of problem (1), (9), and (10) on the interval \( (t_1; T) \) is reduced to solving the Cauchy problem (1) and (39) on the set \( \Pi(t, T) \).

Using the statement of Theorem 1, we find that
\[ u(t; x) = q * G(t, t_1; x), \quad (t; x) \in \Pi(t, T). \tag{40} \]

and this solution is also unique on \( \Pi(t, T) \).

The use of the Heaviside function
\[ H(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < t, \\ 0, & t < 0, \end{cases} \tag{41} \]
enables to write the found solution on the set \( \Pi(0, T) \setminus \{t_1\} \) in the form
\[ u(t; x) = \left[ f * F^{-1}[\mu_v] * G(t, 0; x) \right] H(t_1 - t) + \left[ \chi + f * F^{-1}[\mu_v] * G(t_1, 0; x) \right] * G(t, t_1; x) H(t - t_1). \tag{42} \]

5. The Problem with Impulse Preeffect

We consider now the case when the impulse occurs before the “measurement” evolution \( u(t; \cdot) \). Then on the set \( \Pi(0, T) \setminus \{t_1\} \) we have problem (1), (9), and (10) for \( t < t_1 \).

The next statement is correct.

**Theorem 4.** Let condition (27) be satisfied and \( f \) be a real-valued functional from the space \( \Phi' \). Then the corresponding problem (1), (9), and (10) for \( t < t_1 \) on the set \( \Pi(0, T) \setminus \{t_1\} \) is correctly solvable and its solution \( u(t; x) \) is determined by the formula
\[ u(t; x) = \left[ f - v \chi * G(t, t_1; x) \right] * F^{-1}[\mu_v] * G(t, 0; x) H(t_1 - t) + \left[ f * F^{-1}[\mu_v] * G(t_0, 0; x) + \chi * F^{-1}[\mu_v] * G(t, t_1; x) \right] H(t - t_1). \tag{44} \]

In this case, \( u(t; x) \) is a classical function on \( \Pi(0, T) \setminus \{t_1\}, \) which is once differentiable with respect to the variable \( t \) and infinitely differentiable with respect to the variable \( x \), for which equation (21) is correct on the set \( (0, T) \setminus \{t_1\} \).

**Proof.** The Fourier transform operator \( F \) reduces problem (1), (9), and (10) to problem
\[ \frac{\partial v(t; \xi)}{\partial t} = P(t; \xi) v(t; \xi), \quad (t; \xi) \in \Pi(0, T) \setminus \{t_1\}, \tag{46} \]
\[ v(t; \cdot) = e^{\int \frac{\varphi}{t - t_1; t_1} - a} v(t_1; \cdot), \tag{47} \]
where \( \varphi = \bar{a} \).

According to the classical Cauchy theorem, all solutions of equation (46) on the set \( [\tau; T] \) are described by the formula \( v(t; \cdot) = c_1(\cdot) \theta_1^2(\cdot) \) with a random function \( c_1(\cdot) \). Then the general solution of equation (46) on the set \( [0; T] \setminus \{t_1\} \) is the set
\[ v(t; \cdot) = c_1(\cdot) \theta_1^2(\cdot) H(t_1 - t) + c_2(\cdot) \theta_1^2(\cdot) H(t - t_1), \tag{48} \]
with random functions \( c_1(\cdot) \).

From here and from conditions (47) and (48), we get the system
to find the quantities \( c_i(\cdot) \in F[\Phi] \) for which the function \( v \) from equality (49) is the solution to problem (46)–(48).

Provided that

\[ 1 + v t \theta_0^\Delta(\cdot) \neq 0, \quad (51) \]

system (50) has a single solution

\[
\begin{align*}
c_1(\cdot) &= \frac{\tilde{f} - v \sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + v t \theta_0^\Delta(\cdot)} \\
c_2(\cdot) &= \frac{\tilde{f} \theta_0^\Delta(\cdot) + \sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + v t \theta_0^\Delta(\cdot)}
\end{align*}
\]

(52)

Therefore, the function

\[
v(t; \xi) = \frac{\tilde{f} - v \sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + v t \theta_0^\Delta(\xi)} \theta_0^\Delta(\xi) H(t_t - t) + \frac{\tilde{f} \theta_0^\Delta(\xi) + \sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + v t \theta_0^\Delta(\xi)} \theta_0^\Delta(\xi) H(t - t_t), \quad (t; \xi) \in \Pi_{(0, T) \setminus \{t_t\}}, \quad (53)
\]

is the only solution of problem (46)–(48).

Let condition (27) be satisfied. Then acting on equality (53) by the operator \( F^{-1} \), we obtain the solution of problem (1), (9), and (10)

\[
u(t; \xi) = F^{-1} \left[ \mu_\xi(\xi) \left( \frac{\tilde{f} - v \sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + v t \theta_0^\Delta(\xi)} \theta_0^\Delta(\xi) H(t_t - t) + \frac{\tilde{f} \theta_0^\Delta(\xi) + \sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + v t \theta_0^\Delta(\xi)} \theta_0^\Delta(\xi) H(t - t_t) \right) \right] (t; \xi), \quad (54)
\]

which is also the only one. This solution allows images

\[
u(t; x) = \left[ (f - \sqrt{\alpha} * G(t_t, t_t; x)) * F^{-1} [\mu_\xi(\xi)] * G(t, 0; x) \right] H(t_t - t)
+ \left[ (f * G(t_t, 0; x) + \sqrt{\alpha}) * F^{-1} [\mu_\xi(\xi)] * G(t, t_t; x) \right] H(t - t_t).
\]

(55)

Further, if \( t \in \{0; t_t\} \), then

\[
f * g = F^{-1} [F[f]F[g]].
\]

(56)

This is easy to see, if we take into account equation (18), the properties of the function \( \theta_0^\Delta(\cdot) \), statement of Lemma 1, and the known formula

\[
u(t; x) = \left[ (f - \sqrt{\alpha} * G(t_t, t_t; x)) * F^{-1} [\mu_\xi(\xi)] * G(t, 0; x) \right], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

(57)

and, if \( t \in \{t_t; T\} \), then

\[
u(t; x) = \left[ (f * G(t_t, 0; x) + \sqrt{\alpha}) * F^{-1} [\mu_\xi(\xi)] * G(t, t_t; x) \right], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

(58)

However, each of equations (57) and (58) determines the solution of the Cauchy problem (1) and (5) with the corresponding initial function on the corresponding time interval. Therefore, according to Theorem 1, the found function \( u \) is a classical solution of equation (1) on the set \( \Pi_{(0, T) \setminus \{t_t\}} \), besides this \( u(t; \cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, (0; T) \setminus \{t_t\}) \), and the equality is satisfied

\[
F[\partial_t u(t; \cdot)] = \partial_t F[u(t; \cdot)], \quad t \in (0; T) \setminus \{t_t\}.
\]

(59)
If we now choose a really significant generalized function \( f \), the \( \delta \)-Dirac function from the space \( S_{1/2} \), for the initial one, is then

\[
\begin{align*}
  f = F^{-1} \left[ \mu_\nu \right] \ast G(t, 0, \cdot) &= F^{-1} \left[ \frac{e^{-a^2\xi^2}}{1 + ve^{-a^2\xi^2}} \right] (t, \cdot), \quad t > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, according to formula (36), we obtain the following classical solution of the corresponding modified Cauchy problem (4) and (9):

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(t; x) &= F^{-1} \left[ \frac{e^{-a^2\xi^2}}{1 + ve^{-a^2\xi^2}} \right] (t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Pi_{(0, T)}. (65)
\end{align*}
\]

The solution \( u(t; \cdot) \) for each \( t > 0 \) is an element of the space \( S_{1/2} \).

For \( \chi \) from \( \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  \chi \ast G(t, t; x) = \chi(G(t, t; \xi + x)) = \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, t; \xi + x) d\xi = \chi.
\end{align*}
\]

Considering this, directly from formula (44), we arrive at the following solution of the modified Cauchy problem (4), (9), and (10) with impulse after-effect on the set \( \Pi_{(0; +\infty) \setminus \{t_i\}} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(t; x) = H(t_i - t) F^{-1} \left[ \frac{e^{-a^2\xi^2}}{1 + ve^{-a^2\xi^2}} \right] (t; x) + H(t - t_i) \left[ \frac{e^{-a^2\xi^2}}{1 + ve^{-a^2\xi^2}} \right] (t; x).
\end{align*}
\]

If \( 0 < t_i < t \), we obtain the following solution of the corresponding modified Cauchy problem (4), (9), and (10) with impulse after-effect on the set \( \Pi_{(0; +\infty) \setminus \{t_i\}} \) that arises at approximately solving the time-inverse parabolic problem. The correct solvability of this problem in a wide class of generalized initial data such as the Gel'fand and Shilov distributions is determined. At that, the method of reducing the modified problem to the classical Cauchy problem is applied. This method allows obtaining important results about the solvability of the new problem in the form of corresponding consequences from the known statements about the Cauchy problem; this significantly simplifies the research process. Also, the correct solvability of this problem with the available single impulse is found. At the same time, the cases with impulse after-effect and preeffect in relation to the moment of “measurement” of evolution of the considered process are separately considered. For parabolic Shilov equations and in the case of the problem with impulse action, for parabolic Petrovsky equations as well, the results obtained here are new.

The formulæ of classical solutions with generalized boundary values of these problems found here can be applied for virtual visualization of these processes using computer technology. They are also appropriate for

6. Conclusions

This research deals with a modified Cauchy problem for parabolic Shilov equations with variable coefficients, which
numerical analysis using modern application packages. On the other hand, these results are important for further studies of parabolic equations with nonlocal and impulse conditions of a more general structure.
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