Epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) reduces the intensity of pancreatic amyloid fibrils in human islet amyloid polypeptide (hIAPP) transgenic mice
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The formation of amyloid fibrils by human islet amyloid polypeptide protein (hIAPP) has been implicated in pancreas dysfunction and diabetes. However, efficient treatment options to reduce amyloid fibrils in vivo are still lacking. Therefore, we tested the effect of epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) on fibril formation in vitro and in vivo. To determine the binding of hIAPP and EGCG, in vitro interaction studies were performed. To inhibit amyloid plaque formation in vivo, homozygous (tg/tg), hemizygous (wt/tg), and control mice (wt/wt) were treated with EGCG. EGCG bound to hIAPP in vitro and induced formation of amorphous aggregates instead of amyloid fibrils. Amyloid fibrils were detected in the pancreatic islets of tg/tg mice, which was associated with disrupted islet structure and diabetes. Although pancreatic amyloid fibrils could be detected in wt/tg mice, these animals were non-diabetic. EGCG application decreased amyloid fibril intensity in wt/tg mice, however it was ineffective in tg/tg animals. Our data indicate that EGCG inhibits amyloid fibril formation in vitro and reduces fibril intensity in non-diabetic wt/tg mice. These results demonstrate a possible in vivo effectiveness of EGCG on amyloid formation and suggest an early therapeutical application.

Correct protein folding is essential for normal cellular function and many diseases are associated with protein misfolding. Misfolded or misassembled proteins form cross-β-sheet fibrils, the so called amyloid deposits. Human islet amyloid polypeptide (hIAPP) is highly amyloidogenic, and hIAPP fibrils are found post-mortem in 40–90% of patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D). hIAPP is produced in pancreatic beta-cells and it is co-secreted with insulin. hIAPP inhibits insulin secretion and plays a possible role in gastric emptying. Many studies suggest that hIAPP fibril formation contributes to the pathophysiology of T2D by inducing beta-cell dysfunction and apoptosis. Due to the current hypothesis the initial amyloid fibril formation is intracellular, which is followed by the rupture of cellular membrane and due to further seeding processes, extracellular amyloid deposits are
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accumulated. Currently the treatment options of amyloid diseases are limited and effective drugs inhibiting hIAPP amyloid formation in vivo are scarce.

The polyphenol epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) is one of the major active components of green tea. EGCG treatment has been demonstrated to be beneficial inhibiting calcitonin, amyloid-β and α-synuclein amyloid formation and it was also able to inhibit hIAPP amyloidogenesis in vitro. Although charged side chains have been shown to participate in EGCG-protein interaction, the exact binding site for EGCG in the hIAPP polypeptide as well as the structure of the hIAPP-EGCG complex has not been identified experimentally yet. Furthermore, green tea catechins and EGCG were shown to ameliorate diabetes and to improve metabolic phenotype in rodent models of diabetes. Human studies reported that green tea has beneficial effects on metabolic syndrome and could improve blood glucose and hemoglobin A1c levels in patients with T2D in some, but not in all studies. To understand the mechanism of pancreatic fibril formation and its inhibition with small inhibitor molecules, we have investigated hIAPP amyloidogenesis in the presence of EGCG. Our aim was i.) to identify the binding site between EGCG and hIAPP using NMR studies, ii.) to resolve the molecular structure of EGCG-bound hIAPP and iii.) to study the effectivity of EGCG on hIAPP amyloid fibrils in vivo by applying EGCG on hIAPP transgenic mice, which is a well described mouse model characterized by hIAPP overexpression and diabetes.

Results

hIAPP transgenic mice, a mouse model to study amyloid formation. Former studies reported that transgenic mice overexpressing the human form of islet amyloid polypeptide (hIAPP) are prone to develop diabetes. First we characterized the transgenic hIAPP mice, which were shown to specifically overexpress hIAPP in the pancreas. Both transgenic mice demonstrated high blood glucose levels and lower body weight compared to wt/wt and wt/tg animals (Fig. 1A,B). Furthermore, tg/tg mice showed reduced lean and elevated fat mass (Fig. 1C,D), which was associated with increased plasma LDL-cholesterol and decreased HDL-cholesterol levels. Plasma levels of alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate-aminotransferase (AST), urea and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) were also elevated in tg/tg animals (Suppl. Figure 1A–D) suggesting liver and kidney damage. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of tg/tg animals demonstrated glomerular mesangial expansion and multifocal protein casts in the kidney (Suppl. Figure 1E) confirming kidney damage. Furthermore, plasma insulin levels were reduced, whereas glucagon levels were elevated in tg/tg animals (Fig. 1G,H), in parallel with the diabetic phenotype.

The lower insulin levels of tg/tg mice suggest pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction, therefore the pancreas was analyzed. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of the pancreas showed less islets and disrupted islet structure in tg/tg animals (Suppl. Figure 1F, right panel). Most islets of wt/tg animals showed normal morphology, however some islets demonstrated cells with reduced cytoplasmic content (Suppl. Figure 1F, middle panel). These results suggest, that the low overexpression of hIAPP in wt/tg mice leads to mild islet morphology changes, while high overexpression of hIAPP in tg/tg mice causes a marked destruction of pancreatic islets.

hIAPP transgenic mice show amyloid fibrils in the pancreas. To further analyze the pancreas of the hIAPP mice, transmission electron microscopy was applied, which revealed less and destroyed insulin secretory vesicles with aggregate accumulation in tg/tg mice (Fig. 2A, right panel). This morphology was associated with swollen mitochondria and destroyed cristae structures (data not shown). In wt/tg mice we observed normal beta cell numbers but disturbed insulin secretory vesicle formation with aggregate accumulation (Fig. 2A, middle panel). To identify the structure of these aggregates, amyloid fibrils were isolated from the islets of hIAPP mice. Both transgenic mice (wt/tg and tg/tg) showed long amyloid fibrils in the pancreatic islets (Fig. 2B, middle and right panels). These results suggest that amyloid fibrils are present in both healthy (hemizygous mice) and diabetic state (homozygous mice).

EGCG interacts with hIAPP in vitro. The interaction of hIAPP and EGCG was first analyzed by solution-state NMR. We studied a series of buffers with different composition and pH. We have employed MES buffer (pH 6.5) for all NMR experiments, as hIAPP is not very stable at pH 7.3 using the standard phosphate buffer (Suppl. Figure 2A). After addition of EGCG, the NMR intensities decay over time (Suppl. Figure 2B), which is due to the formation of high molecular weight hIAPP-EGCG complexes followed by aggregation. Also hIAPP alone precipitates out of solution over time, however, the aggregation is much faster in the presence of EGCG (Fig. 3A).

To further investigate the morphological changes in hIAPP induced by addition of EGCG, the hIAPP-EGCG complex was analyzed using transmission electron microscopy. EGCG indeed affects the aggregation process of hIAPP by changing the pathological fibril morphology (Fig. 3B, left panel) to amorphous aggregates (Fig. 3B, right panel), which was also obvious under different pH and buffer conditions (data not shown). EGCG-induced amorphous aggregates have been already shown to not catalyze fibril formation and were postulated to be non-toxic.

To determine which amino acids of hIAPP are responsible for EGCG binding, 2-dimensional NMR experiments have been recorded. After assigning the free hIAPP at pH 6.5, a quantitative analysis was performed, in which EGCG induced chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) of hIAPP were quantified (Suppl. Figure 2C). Figure 3C shows the EGCG-induced chemical shift change. The region of hIAPP, which experience a change in its chemical environment upon addition of EGCG involves residues 10–13, 16–19, 23–27, 32–33 and 37 (Suppl. Figure 2C and Fig. 3C). In principle, CSPs can originate from interactions of hIAPP with EGCG or from hIAPP-hIAPP interactions in the oligomerization process.

To visualize the EGCG-hIAPP complex, we performed molecular docking using HADDOCK. hIAPP is a highly dynamic peptide. The α-helical secondary structure propensity in its N-terminal part is on the order of 20–50%. The docking model must be therefore considered to be transient. As a starting structure, the recently determined hIAPP structural model (PDB accession code 5MQG) has been employed. The highest scoring models from the top four clusters of docking solutions were analyzed and shown as Fig. 3D. Instead of obtaining
only one rigid EGCG-hIAPP complex, we assume that EGCG can interact in many different ways with hIAPP. To indicate this heterogeneity, we have represented an ensemble of docking structural models. All models have in common that EGCG sits in between the coil and the helix in the hIAPP structure (Suppl. Figure 2D). The

Figure 1. Body weight and plasma parameters of hIAPP mice. (A) Body weight and (B) fasted blood glucose levels of hIAPP mice. (C) Lean and (D) fat mass of hIAPP mice depicted in %. Fasted plasma (E) LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) and (F) HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels of hIAPP mice. Plasma (G) insulin and (H) glucagon levels were measured in random fed state. wt/tg and tg/tg denote hemizygous or homozygous transgenic hIAPP mice, respectively. Columns represent averages ± standard deviations; n = 4–11. *Denotes significant differences between wt/tg and tg/tg mice; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001; #Denotes significant differences between wt/wt and tg/tg mice; #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01, ###p < 0.001.
interaction between hIAPP and EGCG are principally hydrogen bonds, Pi-alkyl, Van-der Waals forces and carbon-hydrogen bonds, which are shown by two interaction models (Fig. 3E). The A ring of EGCG could bind to hIAPP due to hydrogen bonds with Cys2, Asn3, Thr4; due to alkyl and Pi-alkyl with Ala5 and to the Ala8; by Pi-sigma with Ala8; due to amide Pi-stacked with Cys7; by Van der Waals with Asn3, Ala5 and Thr4 and with carbon hydrogen bond with Ala8. The B ring binds to Lys1, Arg11, Asn14, Asn22, and Ala25 via hydrogen bonds; due to alkyl and Pi-alkyl with Arg11; by amide Pi-stacked with Asn21 and Ala25; the Asn14, Phe15, His18, Ser20, Asn21, Asn22 and Ile26 due to Van der Waals. The C ring is in contact with Ala8 due to Pi-sigma binding; due to alkyl and Pi-alkyl with Ala8, Arg11 and Ala25. The D ring is bound via hydrogen bonds with Gly24, Leu27, Ser28; the Ala25 interact by amide Pi-stacked binding; with carbon hydrogen bond with Ser19; and due to Van der Waals with Leu12, Phe15, Ile26, Leu27, Ser28 and Tyr37. We validated the docking results by comparing the structural model to the experimental NMR chemical shift differences for hIAPP in the presence and absence of EGCG. Most of the interacting residues in the highly hydrophobic region of hIAPP show chemical shift perturbations by NMR (Fig. 3C).

EGCG inhibits amyloid formation of hIAPP in vitro. To study the mechanism of amyloid formation in vitro, synthetic hIAPP was generated, which forms oligomers and fibrils in 24 and 48 hours, respectively (Fig. 4A). Since EGCG showed a good inhibitory capacity against amyloid fibrils, we applied EGCG to block amyloid formation of hIAPP. In the absence of EGCG, hIAPP formed long amyloid fibrils in 48 hours (Fig. 4B and Suppl. Figure 3A), however in the presence of EGCG, hIAPP formed more amorphous aggregates (Fig. 4C, Suppl. Figure 3B). To differentiate between the early (oligomers) and late (amyloid fibrils) stages of amyloidogenesis, a specific antibody (91D7E8) was generated against amyloid fibrils of hIAPP, which only showed a weak signal for monomers and oligomers, but gave a strong signal for amyloid fibrils (Suppl. Figures 3C and 5A). In order to test the specificity of 91D7E8 antibody, filter retardation assay and dot blot experiments were performed, which showed that 91D7E8 antibody specifically recognizes hIAPP fibrils but not other amyloidogenic proteins in vitro as well as it gives a prominent signal in the samples of wt/tg but not in the wt/wt negative control animals (Suppl. Figures 3D,E and 5B). EGCG treatment of hIAPP led to a lower signal of the amyloid fibril specific antibody (data not shown) and increasing EGCG concentration efficiently inhibited hIAPP-formed amyloid fibrils (Fig. 4D and Suppl. Figure 5C). These data suggest that EGCG inhibits the amyloid fibril formation of hIAPP and promotes the formation of more amorphous hIAPP aggregates.

EGCG reduces amyloid fibril intensity of hIAPP in the pancreas of hIAPP transgenic mice. In order to inhibit amyloid formation of hIAPP in vivo, hIAPP transgenic mice were treated with EGCG for 3 weeks. The hIAPP amyloid fibril specific antibody (91D7E8) showed a pronounced signal in the pancreas of untreated (NT) wt/tg and tg/tg animals, whereas wt/wt control mice showed no staining (Fig. 5A–C, upper panels, red staining). Amyloid fiber staining co-localized with insulin staining (green color) in all conditions (Fig. 5A–C).
As it was shown by the hematoxylin and eosin staining (Suppl. Figure 1F), tg/tg mice demonstrated disrupted islet structure, whereas most of the wt/tg animals showed normal islet morphology (Fig. 5B–C, upper panels). EGCG treatment did not change amyloid fibers in tg/tg mice, however it reduced the intensity of amyloid fibers in wt/tg animals (Fig. 5B–D). Insulin and amyloid fiber area remained unchanged upon EGCG application (data not shown). We have found reduced islet numbers and islet area in the pancreas of untreated tg/tg mice, whereas EGCG application showed a tendency to elevate islet numbers and area in these animals (Fig. 5E and Suppl. Figure 4A). Blood glucose levels, body weight and pancreatic insulin intensity were not altered upon EGCG application.

Figure 3. The interaction of EGCG and hIAPP. hIAPP fibril formation was studied for 12–13 hours in the absence or presence of EGCG. (A) Aggregation kinetics of hIAPP in the absence (black line) or presence (green line) of EGCG using 1D-NMR. Relative resonance intensities were plotted as a function of time. (B) hIAPP fibril formation was studied using transmission electron microscope in the absence (left panel) and presence (right panel) of EGCG. The white bar represents 200 nm. (A,B) The molar ratio of hIAPP:EGCG was 1:20. (C) Chemical shift perturbations extracted from 2D-NMR experiments. The numbers denote the molar ratio of hIAPP:EGCG. Black bars under the diagram represent the regions of hIAPP, which show the largest changes in chemical shifts upon binding of EGCG. (D) Best ranking binding model of hIAPP monomer-EGCG proposed by molecular docking. Various colors represent various binding mode of EGCG obtained by docking. (E) hIAPP-EGCG interactions from the HADDOCK docking simulations. The interactions are shown as lines between receptor residues and ligand atoms, the solvent accessible surface of an interacting residue is represented by a halo around the residue. The diameter of the circle is proportional to the solvent accessible surface.
application (Fig. 5F and Suppl. Figure 4B–C). Since EGCG reduced amyloid fiber intensity in wt/tg mice our data indicate that EGCG has a beneficial effect on pancreatic amyloid fibrils in vivo.

Discussion
Although wt/tg hemizygous mice showed normal plasma glucose, insulin, lipid, ALT and AST levels they displayed amyloid aggregates in the insulin secretory vesicles. Biochemical studies revealed that these aggregates are rather long amyloid fibrils. On the other hand homozygous tg/tg mice displayed diabetes, dyslipidemia, decreased...
insulin, elevated ALT, AST levels and destroyed islet structure. The aggregates in the insulin secretory vesicles of 
tg/tg mice showed long amyloid fibrils. The 91D7E8 antibody specific for amyloid fibrils verified the presence of 
fibrils in the pancreatic beta-cells in either wt/tg and tg/tg mice. Interestingly the staining of amyloid fibril showed 
co-localization with insulin positive cells. Since former detection methods used for detecting amyloid fibrils like 
Congo red or Thioflavin staining usually identify cells, which are negative for insulin, the 91D7E8 antibody we 
applied probably recognizes earlier fibrillar structure. These “earlier” amyloid fibrils in tg/tg mice could further 
develop to higher molecular weight structures, which then could induce membrane rupture and beta-cell death 
as proposed earlier4. At the beginning of hIAPP-induced amyloid plaque research, the late form of amyloidogen-
esis, in particular amyloid fibrils, were thought to induce toxic intracellular effects in the beta-cells26,27. On the 
other hand later reports revealed that the intermediate form of amyloidogenesis, oligomers, are responsible for 
cell death found in cell culture and mouse studies28,29. Therefore, it is conceivable that in the hIAPP mice, amy-
loid intermediates like oligomers could also participate in the severe beta-cells destruction in tg/tg mice evoking 
diabetes. It is very challenging to study oligomerization in vitro, since the process is very fast and remains almost

Figure 5. The effect of EGCG on pancreatic islets of hIAPP mice. (A–C) Pancreata were stained with anti-
insulin (green) and anti-amyloid fibril (91D7E8, red) antibodies and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue), white bars represent 50µm. ins:insulin, amy:amyloid fibril, ov: overlay, NT:non-treated control group, EGCG:EGCG-treated group. Representative areas are shown. (D) The intensity of amyloid fibril staining was calculated using Architect software. (E) Pancreatic islet numbers were manually counted and numbers were normalized to total pancreas area. (F) Random-fed blood glucose levels. wt/tg and tg/tg denote hemizygous or homozygous transgenic hIAPP mice, respectively. Columns represent averages ± standard deviations; n = 4–7. #Denotes significant differences between wt/tg untreated and wt/tg EGCG-treated mice; *p < 0.05; **Denotes significant differences between wt/wt and tg/tg or wt/tg and tg/tg mice, respectively; ***p < 0.001.
invisible for biophysical techniques. Since both oligomers and fibrils were previously shown to have toxic effects further studies are needed to determine the exact nature of the toxic amyloid species.

Our data reveal that EGCG interacts with hIAPP and our new binding modes show that EGCG can poten- 
tially utilize multiple binding modes to bind to the hIAPP monomeric structure. These results are in accordance 
with earlier studies, suggesting that hIAPP-EGCG binding is a result of multiple mechanisms with different effec-
tiveness depending on the contact. EGCG occupies the binding site formed between the coil and the helix of 
hIAPP and interacts with most of the protein residues that get perturbed in the NMR spectrum. Combining of 
biophysical and computational methods, we could identify that the principal residues involved in the interaction 
between hIAPP and EGCG are R11, L12, S19, A25, I26, L27 and Y37. Former studies using in silico approaches 
identified similar regions for hIAPP-EGCG and hIAPP-hIAPP interactions during aggregation. The inter-
action is mediated by Pi-Pi stacking, Van der Waals, alkyl-alkyl, conventional hydrogen bonds and carbon 
hydrogen bond interactions. Initially it was proposed that the inhibition mechanism of EGCG and hIAPP 
is caused by the interaction with aromatic Pi-Pi stacking bonds, however later studies showed that the trihydroxyl 
phenyl rings are also important for hIAPP inhibition. Since the inhibition is still active in hIAPP mutants, in 
which the aromatic residues are changed to leucine, these Pi-Pi interactions are not the only way of binding. This 
is in agreement with our results, since we found that the EGCG interaction profiles are not only based on Pi-Pi 
stacking, but also on Van der Waals, alkyl-alkyl, conventional hydrogen bonds and carbon hydrogen bonds 
interactions between residue and ligand.

Our data also indicate that the observed chemical shift perturbations in the NMR spectra could be a result 
of dimerization or polymerization of hIAPP-EGCG molecules. Since dimerization of hIAPP is proposed to 
be the first step of hIAPP aggregation, our results indicate that EGCG inhibits hIAPP fibril formation in an 
éarly step of amyloidogenic process. Fibrils and toxic oligomers contain a characteristic β-sheet conforma-
tion, which is lost in newly formed amorphous aggregates. Due to the binding of EGCG, the conforma-
tion of hIAPP changes: the intramolecular interactions of hIAPP responsible for β-sheet formation are decreased. A similar mechanism was already proposed for amyloid-β, α-synuclein and immunoglobulin 
light chain, in which amorphous aggregates are formed in the presence of EGCG as non-toxic species showing 
a reduced degree of structural homogeneity. In the hIAPP structural analysis, no intermolecular NOE (nuclear Overhauser effect) contacts have been observed, suggesting that the major conformer in our study is monomeric. We cannot rule out that EGCG also interacts with higher molecular weight oligomeric states of 
hIAPP, as recently reported by Radford and coworkers. However, these oligomers are presumably very low popu-
ulated and cannot be observed by NMR spectroscopy. In summary, our data indicate that the interaction between 
EGCG and hIAPP stabilizes the so called amorphous “non-toxic aggregates” and in turn inhibits the formation 
of toxic oligomers and fibrils.

Application of EGCG decreased the amyloid fibril staining intensity in the pancreas of wt/tg hIAPP mice, sug-
gestig a direct effect of this polyphenol on beta-cells. EGCG was shown to protect insulinoma cells and human 
islets from cytokine induced apoptosis. EGCG also elevated the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 level and 
decreased blood glucose levels in NOD mice, a mouse model for T1D. Furthermore, glucose stimulated insulin 
secretion, glucose tolerance and hyperglycemia were improved in EGCG treated db/db mice, which is a model 
for T2D. These studies suggest that EGCG may have a direct regulatory role on the pancreatic beta-cells. On the 
other hand, EGCG did not significantly change amyloid fiber intensity in tg/tg mice indicating that EGCG applic-
ation was ineffective on amyloid fibers in these diabetic mice. Therefore, it is possible that a higher EGCG dose 
could be beneficial for the treatment of diabetic animals. Furthermore, we observed a tendency to an elevated 
number of islets and larger islet area in tg/tg mice upon EGCG application, which is in accordance with the study 
of Ortsater et al. In summary our data revealed that EGCG has a potential benefit reducing amyloid fibril formation not only in vitro but also in vivo in wt/tg mice but however it was not efficient to prevent hIAPP aggregation and consequently the diabetic phenotype in tg/tg mice. The hIAPP-EGCG complex structure yields new insights for the design and development of molecules targeting amyloid formation, which are currently under intensive investigation.

**Methods**

**Materials.** Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany), Roche (Germany) and New England Biolabs (Germany).

**Animal studies.** Human islet amyloid polypeptide protein (hIAPP) transgenic mice, which overexpress the 
hIAPP protein under the control of rat insulin II promoter, were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (USA). To 
distinguish between tg/tg and wt/tg mice genomic DNA was isolated and real time PCR was used with the fol-
lowing primers: (12852-5′ AGC TGC AGG ATG TTA TTC TCA TTT 5′) and (12853-3′ TCC GCT TTT CCA CCA GAT G as well as 12855-5′ CAG GCC CGT GCA AAT TTT and 12856-3′ GCA TTC CTC TTT CCA TAT GTA TGG) as published previously. Since female hIAPP TG mice were reported to be protected from diabetes, we only ana-
lyzed male mice. Most of the male tg/tg mice develop diabetes. However a rare minority remains normoglycemic, 
which were excluded in our study. Twenty weeks old male mice were treated with EGCG (Sigma-Aldrich) in the 
drinking water for 3 weeks. The applied EGCG concentration was 0.4 mg/ml (which approximately corresponds 
to a dose of 60 mg/kg), untreated mice got normal tap water. Mice were analyzed at the age of 23 weeks, except 
for pancreatic islet isolation. Since in the long-term diabetic state (at 23 weeks of age), pancreatic islet structure 
is completely destroyed, islet isolation was not possible in this age (data not shown). Therefore pancreatic islets 
isolation was performed at 18 weeks of age as described previously. Food and water were available ad libitum 
and mice were killed by isoflurane overdose. All animals received human care and mouse studies were approved 
by the Institutional Animal Welfare Officer (Helmholtz-Zentrum München) and local government authorities.
and performed according to GV-SOLAS (Society for Laboratory Animal Science) in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Act.

Plasma alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), urea, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels were quantified using an AU480 clinical chemistry analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Germany)45. Blood glucose levels were measured in tail blood samples using a point of care glucometer (Contour, Germany) and plasma insulin and glucagon levels were determined using Bio-Plex Pro mouse diabetes immunoassay (Bio-Rad, Germany). Body composition was studied by quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance (MiniSpec LF60; Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany) as described previously45,46.

Transmission electron microscopy. Pancreata were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer and pancreata as well as amyloid fibers isolated from mouse islets were analyzed as described previously47.

Histochemistry. Liver and kidney tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and paraffin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin as described previously48.

Nuclear magnetic resonance studies. Human IAPP was produced for solution-state and solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies and measured as described previously25,49. When different buffer or pH compositions were necessary, the powder of hIAPP was solved in 50 µl 30 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.3, followed by the dilution with 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4 or 5.5; or 20 mM MES (2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid), pH 6.5. The final concentration of hIAPP was 100 µM. The fresh EGCG stock solution was solved in pure water and kept at 4 ºC. Measurements were done using Avance 500 and 900 MHz spectrometers (Bruker, Germany). Chemical shift assignments have been taken from the peak list of the free monomer, BMRB entry 34069 and to the spectrum recorded at different conditions and transferred by a titration. All data were analyzed using ccpNMR analysis49.

Docking of EGCG to hIAPP. To determine the possible modes of interaction of EGCG with hIAPP, we used High Ambiguity Driven protein-protein DOCKing (HADDOCK). As a starting structure for hIAPP, the recently determined structural model (PDB ID: 5MGQ25) was employed. As the differences of the hIAPP chemical shifts in the presence and absence of EGCG are rather small, we performed an unrestrained docking simulation, consisting of randomization of orientations and rigid body energy minimization, which yielded hundreds of solutions. The resulting structures were subjected to semi-rigid simulated annealing in torsion angle space and final refinement in Cartesian space with explicit solvent resulting in ~181 structures that were grouped into 6 clusters. Highest scoring complexes in the top 4 clusters were used for further analysis using Biovia Software D.S. (Discovery Studio Modeling Environment Release 2017, Dassault Systèmes, San Diego, CA, USA). The docking results are validated in retrospect with the experimental NMR chemical shift perturbations.

hIAPP preparation for dot blots and atomic force microscopy. Synthetic hIAPP peptide was produced by the laboratory of Dr. Volkmar-Engert (Institute for Medical Immunology, Charité, Berlin, Germany) and was solved in 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP), 10 min sonicated and lyophilized. To produce hIAPP oligomers and fibrils, HFIP-treated hIAPP peptide was solved in 20 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4), 1 min sonicated and incubated for one day (oligomers) or two days (fibrils) at 37 ºC with 300 rpm shaking.

Atomic force microscopy. Dry atomic force microscope (AFM) images were recorded using a Nanowizard II/Zeiss Axiovert setup (JKP) as described previously10.

Generation of hIAPP amyloid fiber specific antibody (91D7E8). To generate a monoclonal antibody against hIAPP amyloid fibrils, synthetic human lyophilized HFIP-treated hIAPP was solved in 20 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) to a concentration of 30 µM. The solution was sonicated for 1 min and incubated for 2 days at 37 ºC with shaking at 300 rpm. The resulting fibrils were used for antibody generation according to a proprietary immunization protocol of Synaptic Systems Göttingen (see also http://www.sysy.com/mabservice.html). Three–10 week-old BALB/c female mice were subcutaneously immunized with hIAPP fibrils over a period of 17 days. Cells from the knee lymph nodes were fused with the mouse myeloma cell line P3X63Ag8.653 (ATCC CRL-1580). The clones used in this study were re-cloned two times by limiting dilution and the immunoglobulin subclass was determined. Antibodies secreted by the hybridomas were screened for their reactivity against the immunogen using ELISA. Positive antibodies were restested by ELISA against hIAPP fibrils and monomer. Hybridomas producing antibodies with preference for hIAPP fibrils were subcloned to monoclonality and further analyzed for histology and western blot applications. One of the antibodies showing high specificity for hIAPP fibrils was the antibody 91D7E8, which was chosen for the further experiments.

Dot blot assay. To analyze the specificity of anti-fibril (91D7E8) antibody, hIAPP monomer (HFIP-treated hIAPP peptide solved in 20 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4), 1 min sonicated), oligomer and fibril solutions were spotted onto nitrocellulose membrane and incubated with anti-fibril antibody as published previously25. Furthermore, 30 µM hIAPP was incubated with different concentrations of EGCG in 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) at 37 ºC with 300 rpm shaking. As a control, hIAPP was incubated with the compound solvent (DMSO). After 0 hour, 6 hours, 1, 2 and 3 days of incubation, samples were analyzed with dot blot using anti-fibril (91D7E8) antibody. Per dot 10 µl of sample was analyzed.
**Native filter retardation assay.** Frozen pancreatic tissues was homogenized in a 5-fold excess (w/v) of ice cold 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 0.25 U/ml Benzonase and complete protease inhibitor cocktail using a Schütt Homgen Plus semi-automatic homogenizer (700 rpm).

Filter retardation assay was performed as published previously\(^5\),\(^6\). Samples were filtered through a cellulose acetate membrane with a pore size of 0.2 µm (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Germany). After washing the membrane with 1 × PBS (13.7 mM NaCl, 0.27 mM KCl, 1 mM Na,HPO\(_4\), 0.2 mM KHPO\(_4\), pH 7.4), it was blocked for 30 min with 3% skim milk (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) in 1 × PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T). Then, the membrane was incubated overnight with the 9ID7E8 antibody (1:1000) diluted in 3% skim milk PBS-T. Subsequently, the membrane was washed and incubated with the secondary peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse antibody for 1 h. After washing, the immunoreactive protein was detected using ChemiGlow (Biozym, Germany) and FujiFilm LAS-3000 (Fuji, Japan).

**Immunofluorescence staining.** Pancreata were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and cryosections were stained with anti-fibril (9ID7E8), anti-insulin antibodies and cell nuclei with DAPI staining as described previously\(^7\). Slides were scanned using a NanoZoomer 2.0 HT (Hamamatsu, Japan) fluorescence scanner; pancreatic islets were evaluated using Definiens Developer XD 2 image analysis software (Definiens AG, Germany) as described previously\(^8\). Briefly, the border of the islets was manually marked and DAPI staining identified cell nuclei with insulin or amyloid fiber positivity as well as insulin and amyloid fiber intensities were calculated by Definiens software. First an average was built for insulin or amyloid values for each animal, one by one, and as a second step an average was built for each treatment group (n=4–7). Islet numbers were manually counted and islet numbers as well as islet areas were normalized to pancreatic areas. The intensities of amyloid fibril staining were normalized to the values of wild-type wt/wt mice.

**Statistics.** Statistical evaluations were performed using GraphPad Prism. ANOVA with post hoc Holm-Sidak’s multiple comparison tests were used to calculate statistical significance, which was assumed at p < 0.05.
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