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Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Activities of Three *Euphorbia* Species
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Three toxic plants belonging to *Euphorbia* genus, namely *Euphorbia biumbellata*, *Euphorbia terracina* and *Euphorbia dendroides* were phytochemically screened and their total phenolic compounds (TPC) and total flavonoid compounds (TFC) were measured and antioxidant capacities were evaluated by DPPH test expressed by Vitamin C Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (VCEAC). The VCEAC values for three medicinal plants ranged from 1.0655 g to 0.7285 g of vitamin C equivalents (VCEAC)/100 g of dry matter. The concentrations of TPC and TFC in three plants were respectively 15.13 g to 7.55 g of gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/100 g of dry matter and 7.06 g to 5.8 g of quercetin equivalents (QE)/100 g of dry matter. Antibacterial activity of the methanolic extracts of these plants was determined by using the disc diffusion method. The results showed that three plants were active with the inhibition zones obtained varying from 8 to 22 mm. The highest inhibition zones reached was 22 mm for *Euphorbia biumbellata* leaves against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Phytochemical analysis of the total extract showed the presence of biologically active compound groups such as polyphenols, flavonoids, glycosides and tannins.
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Üç *Euphorbia* Türünün Antimikrobiyel ve Antioksidan Aktiviteleri

*Euphorbia* cinsine ait üç zehirli bitkiden, *Euphorbia biumbellata*, *Euphorbia terracina* ve *Euphorbia dendroides* üzerinde fitokimyasal çalışmalar yapılarak bitkilerin toplam fenolik bileşikler (TFB) ve toplam flavonoid miktarı (TFM) ölçüldü ve antioksidan aktiviteleri, C vitaminine eŞdeğer antioksidan kapasitesi (VEAOK) ile ifade edilen DPPH testi ile değerlendirildi. Üç tibbi bitki için VEAOK değerlerinin 1.0655 g’dan 0.7285 g C vitamin eŞdeğeri (VEAOK)/100 g kuru örnek olduğu tespit edildi. TFB ve TFM konsantrasyonlarının üç bitki için sırasıyla 15.13 g ile 7.55 g gallik asit eŞdeğeri (GAE)/100 g kuru örnek ve 7.06 g ile 5.8 g kersetin eŞdegeri (KE)/100 g kuru örnek olduğu belirlendi. Bitkilerin metanolü ekstrelerinin antibakteriyel aktiviteleri disk difüzyon yöntemi kullanılarak değerlendirildi. Sonuçlar, üç bitkinin de 8’den 22 mm’ye değişen inhibisyon zonları ile aktif olduğu gösterdi. En yüksek inhibisyon zonu, *Euphorbia biumbellata* yaprakları için *Staphylococcus aureus’a* karşı 22 mm olarak tespit edildi. Total ekstre üzerinde yapılan fitokimyasal analizler, biyoaktif bileşikler olarak polifenollerin, flavonoitlerin, glikozitlerin ve tanenlerin bulunduğu gösterdi.

**Anahtar kelimeler:** *Euphorbia*, Antioksidan, Polifenoller, Flavonoitler, DPPH, Antimikrobiyal.
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INTRODUCTION

Poisonous plants contain bioactive compounds with biochemical effects in men and animals. These compounds have various and conflicting pharmacological properties, from being acute deadly to being curative. Then, this impact on the health has been reported and associated to antioxidant compounds (1).

In this work, we targeted three plants growing in north-east of Algeria. The selected plants are Euphorbia biumbellata, Euphorbia terracina and Euphorbia dendroides which are poisonous (The whole aerial parts: leaves, stem and fruits) (2), but known for their uses in traditional medicine for various diseases.

Euphorbia species have been reported to have medicinal activity as anticancer and against certain viruses, bacteria and fungi (3-8). Latex of some species is used in folk medicine as topical treatment in some skin diseases and sexual transmitted disease like gonorrhea and in case of migraines and gastric parasite (9).

According to ethnobotanical investigations, it was observed that in some regions of Algeria, Euphorbia terracina and Euphorbia biumbellata have been used for treating warts by latex found in their stems (9-12). Few previous works were reported on chemical composition of Euphorbia terracina aerial parts, but there are still no phytochemical and biological studies about the aerial parts of Euphorbia biumbellata and Euphorbia dendroides.

To our knowledge, there are no previous reports concerning in vitro antioxidant activities of these plant part extracts. The purposes of this study were to evaluate the antimicrobial capacity and to determine the total phenolic and the total flavonoid contents, to evaluate their antioxidant activities by using 2,2- diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) test.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant materials

E. biumbellata, E. dendroides and E. terracina were collected from National Park of El Kala (extreme North-east of Algeria) and during the flowering stage in March 2012 and they were identified by Dr. Georges Debelair (Department of Biology, University of Badji Mokhtar-Annaba, Algeria). Voucher specimens were deposited under the numbers ph005_48, ph005_49, ph006_04, respectively in the Herbarium of the Department of Biology, University of Badji Mokhtar-Annaba, Algeria.

Plants were air dried at room temperature, ground on mill to obtain powder and stored in a glass flask to protect them from humidity and light.

Chemicals

Folin-Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent, aluminum chloride, quercetin and vitamin C were purchased from Acros Organics. Potassium persulfate, sodium carbonate, sodium nitrite, hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, methanol and DPPH were obtained from Sigma and Roth (France). The chemicals used were all of analytical grade.

Phytochemical screening

Preliminary tests for the determination of secondary metabolites were carried out according to the Harborne technique (13).

Extraction procedure

Plant materials were macerated with methanol, ethanol, MeOH-H2O (70/30 v/v), and H2O for 24 h three times. The organic phases were filtered through Whatman filter paper No1, concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness. Then stocked under 4°C for analyses.

Dosages of Phenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Activity

Total phenol contents

Total phenolic contents were evaluated with Folin-Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent (14-15) using the spectrophotometric analyses (Cary 50 Scan UV-Visible apparatus). Briefly, an aliquot (1 mL) of standard solutions of Gallic acid at different concentrations or appropriately diluted extracts was added to a 25 mL volumetric flask containing 9 mL of ddH2O. A reagent blank using ddH2O was prepared, 1 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent was added to the mixture and shaken.
After 5 min, 10 mL of 7% Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution was added with mixing. The solution was then immediately diluted to volume (25 mL) with ddH$_2$O and mixed thoroughly. After incubation for 90 min at 23°C, the absorbance versus prepared blank was read at 750 nm. Total phenolic content was expressed as mg Gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/100g dry matter. Samples were analyzed in three replications.

**Total flavonoid content**

Total flavonoid content was measured according to AlCl$_3$ method (16). A 1 mL aliquot of standard solutions of quercetin at different concentrations or appropriately diluted samples were added to a 10 mL volumetric flask containing 4 mL ddH$_2$O. At zero time, 0.3 mL 5% NaNO$_2$ was added to the flask. After 5 min, 0.3 mL 10% AlCl$_3$ was added. At 6 min, 2 mL of 1 M NaOH was added to the mixture. Immediately, the solution was diluted to volume (10 mL) with ddH$_2$O and mixed thoroughly. Absorbance of the mixture, pink in color, was determined at 510 nm versus the prepared blank. Total flavonoid content was expressed as mg quercetin equivalents (QE)/100g dry weight (dw). Samples were analyzed in three replications.

**Antioxidant activities**

The DPPH radical scavenging assay

The antioxidant activity of plant extract was evaluated using a slight modification of the DPPH radical scavenging protocol described by Chun et al. (17).

2.9 mL of a 0.004% MeOH solution of DPPH was mixed with 0.1 mL of plant extract. The mixture was shaken and incubated at 20°C in the dark for 40 min and thereafter the decrease of the optical density was recorded at 517 nm against the blank. For the control, 2.9 mL of a 0.004% MeOH solution of DPPH was mixed with 0.1 mL of methanol, and optical density of solution at 517 nm was recorded after 30 minutes. Vitamin C equivalent antioxidant capacity (VCEAC) values and calculated as mean value ± standard deviation (SD) (n = 3).

Antimicrobial Activity

Microorganisms tested

The following strains of bacteria were used as test microorganisms: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Salmonella enteritidis*, *Candida albicans*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923). These microorganisms were obtained from Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Badji Mokhtar University.
Preparation of tested samples

The different dried extracts were respectively dissolved in sterile (DMSO) and sterile water at selected concentrations (50 mg/mL, and 150 mg/mL). The antibacterial activity of the extracts was carried out by the disc diffusion method (19-21).

The agar gel (Mueller-Hinton agar) is treated with the appropriate microorganism suspension (each microorganism was inoculated a concentration of ca 10⁷ colony forming units per mL. The assays are based on the use of sterile discs filter paper (6 mm diameter) impregnated with 20 µL of the plant extract solution to be examined and allowed to dry at room temperature. A sterile disc impregnated with DMSO is used as negative control. After incubation for 24 h at 37°C, all plates were observed for zone of growth inhibition and the diameters of these zones were measured in millimeters. All experiments were carried out in triplicates.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were carried out in triplicates. The results of scavenger activity, total phenolic and total flavonoid contents were performed from the averages of all samples reading mean ± standard deviation using Excel 2007 and STATISTICA software on student one Test. Observed differences were statistically considered significant at the level of P < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytochemical screening

The phytochemical screening revealed the presence of many chemical groups and the results are shown in Table 1.

The phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of several chemical families in the aerial parts of the plants studied (Table 1): tannins, flavonoids, lipids, sterols, saponins and terpenes. In contrast, the alkaloids were not detected. These results were previously reported by Özbilgin et al., Noori et al. and Gihan et al. (12, 22, 23).

It is concluded from the data of the phytochemical screening that only *E. dendroides* contains anthocyanins. On the other hand, cardenolides only found in *E. biumbellata* and *E. terracina*.

Determination of total phenol contents and total flavonoid contents

The results obtained from total phenolic and flavonoid contents of the studied plants are expressed in Table 2 and 3 and illustrated by Figure 1 and 2.

The results revealed that (7/3: MeOH / H₂O) extract of *E. biumbellata* leaves was found to have the highest content of both total phenolic compound and total flavonoids with 15.79 g of gallic acid equivalents, and 7.06 g of quercetin equivalents per 100 g of dry matter, respectively. The lowest amounts of total phenolic and flavonoid content were recorded in ethanol extract of *E. terracina* seeds with 2.09 g GAE, and 1.52 g QE per 100 g of dry matter.

Antioxidant Capacity

Radical scavenging activity by DPPH

The results of the antioxidant capacity of studied plants, determined by DPPH test, are shown in Table 4.

Table 1. Phytochemical screening of plants

| Family compound | *E. terracina* (a.p) | *E. biumbellata* (a.p) | *E. dendroides* (a.p) |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Alkaloids       | -                    | -                      | -                     |
| Flavonoids      | ++                   | ++                     | ++                    |
| Tannins         | ++                   | ++                     | +                     |
| Cardenolides    | +                    | +                      | -                     |
| Sterols and     | +                    | +                      | +                     |
| terpenes        |                      |                        |                       |
| Saponins        | +                    | +                      | +                     |
| Lipids          | +                    | +                      | +                     |
| Anthocyanins    | -                    | -                      | +                     |

a.p: aerial parts; + : Positive; ++ : Strongly positive; - : Not detected
treated with the appropriate microorganism disc diffusion method (19-21).

statistically considered significant at the level of P < 0.05.

Student one-test. Observed differences were performed from the averages of all samples reading mean ± standard deviation using Excel 2007 and STATISTICA software on the use of sterile discs (filter paper, 6 mm diameter) impregnated with 20 forming units per mL. The assays are based on the presence of many chemical groups and the phytochemical screening of plants.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The agar gel (Mueller-Hinton agar) is inoculated a concentration of ca 10^7 colony forming units per mL. The assays are based on the presence of several chemical families in the prepared samples. The different dried extracts were dissolved in sterile (DMSO) and other hand, cardenolides only found in dendroides extract of Euphorbia biumbellata. The results obtained from total phenolic and flavonoid contents are expressed in Table 2 and 3 and illustrated by Figure 1 and 2. The results revealed that (7:3: MeOH / H2O) showed in Table 4.

Figure 1. Total phenolic content of three plants

Table 2. Total phenol contents (GAE g/100g dw)

| Plant part | MeOH | H2O | MeOH-H2O (7/3) | EtOH |
|------------|------|-----|----------------|------|
| EBL        | 15.13| 14.33| 15.79          | 12.695 |
| EBS        | 3.715| 3.13 | 3.88           | 2.435 |
| EDL        | 6.93 | 5.39 | 7.55           | 5.993 |
| EDS        | 3.035| 2.955| 3.215          | 1.84 |
| ETL        | 5.472| 4    | 5.52           | 3.77 |
| ETS        | 2.301| 2.5  | 3.18           | 2.09 |

EBL: Euphorbia biumbellata Leaves; EBS: Euphorbia biumbellata seeds; EDL: Euphorbia dendroides Leaves; EDS: Euphorbia dendroides seeds; ETL: Euphorbia terracina Leaves; ETS: Euphorbia terracina seeds

Table 3. Total flavonoid contents (QE g/100g dw)

| Plant part | MeOH | H2O | MeOH-H2O (7/3) | ETOH |
|------------|------|-----|----------------|------|
| EBL        | 5.292| 5.006| 7.06           | 3.993 |
| EBS        | 2.973| 2.794| 3.093          | 2.086 |
| EDL        | 5.132| 4.72 | 6.754          | 3.62 |
| EDS        | 2.846| 2.266| 2.98           | 1.926 |
| ETL        | 4.856| 3.716| 4.955          | 3.096 |
| ETS        | 2.301| 1.917| 2.6845         | 1.52 |

EBL: Euphorbia biumbellata Leaves; E.B.S: Euphorbia biumbellata seeds; E.D.L: Euphorbia dendroides Leaves; EDS: Euphorbia dendroides seeds; ETL: Euphorbia terracina Leaves; ETS: Euphorbia terracina seeds

The results of the antioxidant capacity of Euphorbia biumbellata extract of leaves was found to contain the highest content of both total phenolic and flavonoid content were recorded quercetin equivalents per 100 g of dry matter. The results revealed that Euphorbia biumbellata was the best antioxidant capacity of Euphorbia biumbellata seeds with Anthocyanins, Lipids, Saponins, Sterols and Cardenolides. Tannins, flavonoids, lipids, sterols, saponins, and flavonoids, and Cardenolides were not detected. These results were previously shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Phytochemical screening of plants**

| Plant part | Anthocyanins | Lipids | Saponins | Sterols | Cardenolides | Tannins | Flavonoids | Alkaloids |
|------------|--------------|--------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|------------|----------|
| E. terracina (a.p) | +         | +      | ++       | +       | ++           | -       | ++         | ++       |
| E. biumbellata (a.p) | +        | +      | ++       | +       | ++           | -       | ++         | ++       |
| E. dendroides (a.p) | +        | +      | ++       | +       | ++           | -       | ++         | ++       |
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**Table 1. Phytochemical screening of plants**

| Plant part | Anthocyanins | Lipids | Saponins | Sterols | Cardenolides | Tannins | Flavonoids | Alkaloids |
|------------|--------------|--------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|------------|----------|
| E. terracina (a.p) | +        | +      | ++       | +       | ++           | -       | ++         | ++       |
| E. biumbellata (a.p) | +        | +      | ++       | +       | ++           | -       | ++         | ++       |
| E. dendroides (a.p) | +        | +      | ++       | +       | ++           | -       | ++         | ++       |
The radical scavenging activity of the methanol extract, determined by DPPH test; expressed as mg of vitamin C equivalent (VCEAC) per 100 g of plant extract are shown in Figure 3.

The test revealed that the methanol extract of *E. bi umbelleta* possessed the highest antioxidant capacity of 1.065 g VCEAC/100 g of plant extract, followed by methanol extract of *E. dendroides* of 1.044 g VCEAC/100 g of plant extract. These results are confirmed by IC_{50} values calculated through the interpolation of linear regression analysis. *E. bi umbelleta* showed lowest DPPH based IC_{50} (49 mg/mL) while *E. terracina* (84 mg/mL) had the highest IC_{50} value. A lower value corresponds to a higher antioxidant activity of the plant extract.

Otherwise, a significant correlation between total flavonoid content and antioxidant activity is observed (R^2 = 0.96).
The radical scavenging activity of the methanol extract, determined by DPPH test; expressed as mg of vitamin C equivalent (VCEAC) per 100 g of plant extract are shown in Figure 3.

The test revealed that the methanol extract of *E. bi umbelleta* possessed the highest antioxidant capacity of 1.065 g VCEAC/100 g of plant extract, followed by methanol extract of *E. dendroides* of 1.044 g VCEAC/100 g of plant extract. These results are confirmed by IC50 values calculated through the interpolation of linear regression analysis. *E. biumbellata* showed lowest DPPH based IC 50 (49 mg/mL) while *E. terracina* (84 mg/mL) had the highest IC50 value. A lower value corresponds to a higher antioxidant activity of the plant extract.

Otherwise, a significant correlation between total flavonoid content and antioxidant activity is observed ($R^2 = 0.96$). Figure 2. Total Flavonoid Content of different plants

|  | EBL: *Euphorbia biumbellata* leaves | EBS: *Euphorbia biumbellata* seeds | EDL: *Euphorbia dendroides* leaves | EDS: *Euphorbia dendroides* seeds | ETL: *Euphorbia terracina* leaves | ETS: *Euphorbia terracina* seeds |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AP | EB | ED | ET |
| C(mg/L) | 0.374 | 0.336 | 0.031 |
| VCEAC(g/100g) | 1.403 | 0.725 | 0.640 |

Figure 3. Vitamin C equivalent antioxidant capacity (VCEAC) by DPPH

**EB: Euphorbia biumbellata; ED: Euphorbia dendroides; ET: Euphorbia terracina**

**Radical scavenging activity by ABTS**

The results of the antioxidant capacity of the studied plants, determined by ABTS test, are shown in Table 5.

The radical scavenging activity of the methanol extract, determined by ABTS test, expressed as mg of vitamin C equivalent (VCEAC) per 100 g of plant extract, are shown in Figure 4.

The methanol extract of *E.biumbellata* displayed the highest radical scavenging potential (1.403 g VCEAC/100 g). The methanol extract of *E. terracina* had the lowest antioxidant capacity of (0.64 g VCEAC/100 g).

**Antimicrobial activity**

The results for the general screening for antibacterial activity are shown in Table 6. Six extract fractions of different parts belonging to three plant species were investigated.

The three plants were active and showed a relatively high level of antibacterial activity. The highest activity (diameter of zone of inhibition 22 mm and MIC 0.2 mg/mL) was demonstrated by the methanol extract of *E. biumbellata* leaves against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* while the lowest activity (diameter of zone of inhibition 7 mm and MIC 6.25mg/mL) was demonstrated by the methanol extract of *E. dendroides* seeds against *Staphylococcus aureus*.

**Table 5. The antioxidant capacity of aerial part of plants by ABTS**

|  | AP | EB | ED | ET |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| C(mg/L) | 0.374 | 0.336 | 0.031 |
| VCEAC(g/100g) | 1.403 | 0.725 | 0.640 |
Table 6. In vitro antibacterial activity of methanolic extracts from three plants

| BS   | E.c | S.a | P.a | S.e | K.p | C.a |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| C(mg/mL) | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| EBL   | 22  | 22  | 16  | 22  | 15  | 16  |
| EBS   | 21  | 10  | 10  | 19  | 11  | 13  |
| EDL   | 20  | 12  | 14  | 18  | 18  | 15  |
| EDS   | 15  | 7   | 8   | 19  | 12  | 11  |
| ETL   | 22  | 10  | 12  | 15  | 16  | 18  |
| ETS   | 19  | 8   | 18  | 18  | 15  | 14  |

**BS**: Bacterial strains; **S.e**: Salmonella enteritidis; **S.a**: Staphylococcus aureus; **E.c**: Escherichia coli; **P.a**: Pseudomonas aeruginosa; **C.a**: Candida albican; **K.p**: Klebsiella pneumoniae; **EBL**: Euphorbia biumbellata leaves; **EBS**: Euphorbia biumbellaa seeds; **EDL**: Euphorbia dendroides leaves; **EDS**: Euphorbia dendroides seeds; **ETL**: Euphorbia terracina leaves; **ETS**: Euphorbia terracina seeds

**Figure 4.** Vitamin C equivalent antioxidant capacity (VCEAC) by ABTS

**EB**: Euphorbia biumbellata; **ED**: Euphorbia dendroides; **ET**: Euphorbia terracina
The results of present investigation clearly indicate that the antibacterial activity vary with the species of the plants, and also showed that the leaf extracts are more effective than the seed extracts.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the antimicrobial and antioxidant capacity of three toxic plant extracts by using DPPH scavenging test and ABTS method and to determine the phenolic and flavonoid contents. The results indicate that all the plants exhibited potent antioxidant activity. The presence of flavonoids and tannins in all the plants is likely to be responsible for the free radical scavenging effects observed (24). The methanol extract of Euphorbia biumbellata possesses a high antioxidant activity. On the other hand, the different extracts were relatively active against the tested microorganisms; activity has been displayed by Euphorbia biumbellata and Euphorbia dendroides leaf extract against Salmonella enteritidis and E.coli. The antioxidant activities and antimicrobial activities are correlated for the studied plants.

More biological studies to explore the activity against more pathogenic organisms and toxicological investigation should be considered. Additionally, the interest in isolation of pure agents is planning.
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