Effects of oral premedication on cognitive status of elderly patients undergoing cardiac catheterization

Javed M Ashraf¹, Marc Schweiger², Neelima Vallurupalli², Sandra Bellantonio³, James R Cook²

¹Department of Cardiology, Truman Medical Center, UMKC School of Medicine, Kansas City, MO, USA
²Departments of Cardiology, Baystate Medical Center, Tufts University School of Medicine, MA, USA
³Department of Internal Medicine and Geriatrics, Baystate Medical Center, Tufts University School of Medicine, Springfield, MA, USA

Abstract

**Background** Sedatives and analgesics are often administered to achieve conscious sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Appropriate concerns have been raised regarding post procedure delirium related to peri-procedural medication in the elderly. The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of premedication on new onset delirium and procedural care in elderly patients.

**Methods** Patients ≥ 70 years old and scheduled for elective cardiac catheterization were randomly assigned to receive either oral diphenhydramine and diazepam (25 mg/5 mg) or no premedication. All patients underwent a mini mental state exam and delirium assessment using confusion assessment method prior to the procedure and repeated at 4 h after the procedure and prior to discharge. Patients’ cooperation during the procedure and ease of post-procedure were measured using Visual Analog Scale (VAS). The degree of alertness was assessed immediately on arrival to the floor, and twice hourly afterwards using Observer’s Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (OAA/S).

**Results** A total of 93 patients were enrolled. The mean age was 77 years, and 47 patients received premedication prior to the procedure. None of the patients in either group developed delirium. Patients’ cooperation and the ease of procedure was greater and pain medication requirement less both during and after the procedure in the pre-medicated group (P < 0.05 for both). Nurses reported an improvement with patient management in the pre-medicated group (P = 0.08).

**Conclusions** In conclusion, premedication did not cause delirium in elderly patients undergoing cardiac catheterization. The reduced pain medication requirement, perceived procedural ease and post procedure management favors premedication in elderly patients undergoing cardiac catheterization.
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1 Introduction

Delirium, defined as an acute confusional state, is characterized by disordered attention and cognition, usually resulting from an underlying medical condition or from medication or drug withdrawal. Delirium affects 10% to 30% of hospitalized patients with medical illness and is more common among elderly patients.[1-5] It is estimated that up to 50% of elderly patients suffer postoperative delirium.[5-7] Medications especially sedatives, hypnotics and anticholinergics are considered to increase the risk of delirium in elderly patients.[2,8-10] Delirium causes detrimental effects including increased mortality, prolonged hospitalization as well as delayed functional recovery.[11-13] With the aging US population there is a continuous increase in the proportion of elderly patients undergoing various medical and surgical procedures.[13- 15] Conscious sedation is considered important for safe and successful completion of various procedures.[16,17] Different medications including benzodiazepines, analgesics and antihistamines are used during conscious sedation.

Many cardiologists use conscious sedation during cardiac catheterization which often consists of oral diphenhydramine and diazepam prior to the procedure.[18] Additionally, during the procedure patients may receive intravenous midazolam for improved sedation and fentanyl citrate for pain control. Midazolam, a short acting benzodiazepine, has been shown to be a safe and effective means of sedation in elderly patients undergoing endoscopic procedures.[19] However, there are concerns regarding the development of
delirium related to diazepam (long acting benzodiazepine) and diphenhydramine (antihistamine) especially in elderly patients. Various studies have reported inconsistent data regarding development of delirium as a result of periprocedural medications, and little data exist for elderly patients undergoing cardiac catheterization.\[20,21\]

The objective of our study was to investigate whether premedications (diphenhydramine and diazepam) would increase the risk of post-procedure delirium in elderly patients, while still providing adequate sedation when used with midazolam during the cardiac catheterization.

2 Methods

This was a randomized control study, with patients randomly assigned to receive oral premedications (diazepam 5 mg and diphenhydramine 25 mg) versus no premedications before the procedure. The catheterization laboratory staff and nursing staff that took care of patients after the procedure and majority of the operators were unaware of the randomization. A decrease of > 2 points in the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) from the baseline was considered significant for acute mental status change.\[22\] Delirium was diagnosed by confusion assessment method (CAM). A sample size of 80 patients was considered to provide 80% power to detect a meaningful difference in CAM and MMSE scores.

Primary outcomes included new onset of delirium and change in MMSE. The secondary outcomes included degree of ease of the procedure, level of alertness after the procedure, pain medication requirement during and after procedure, ease of post procedure patient management as assessed by nursing staff, and length of stay following the procedure.

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board. Patients 70 years of age or older and scheduled for elective cardiac catheterization were screened for enrollment in study. All patients underwent baseline cogniton assessment test using a 30-point questionnaire MMSE.\[22–24\] Presence or absence of delirium at baseline was evaluated by CAM\[25,26\]. Patients with a MMSE score < 20, delirium prior to the procedure, history of allergic reaction to diphenhydramine, diazepam or midazolam were excluded from the study. Patients were randomly assigned to oral premedications with diphenhydramine (25 mg) and diazepam (5 mg) versus no specific therapy prior to cardiac catheterization. At the start of procedure, all patients received intravenous midazolam (recommended dose 0.5 mg to 2.0 mg) at the discretion of cardiologist. Intravenous fentanyl (25–50 μL or in increments) was used during the procedure for pain if needed.

The ease of procedure and patients’ collaboration during the procedure was assessed by operators or catheterization laboratory staff using visual analog scale (VAS).\[27,28\] VAS is between 0 to 10, with 0 being no problem and 10 impossible. Following the procedure, we evaluated absence or presence of delirium using CAM and change in MMSE score at 4 h and next day for inpatients. Out-patients with a change in mini mental score underwent a repeat MMSE evaluation before discharge. VAS was also used to assess the ease of patient’s post procedure management on the floor by nursing staff. The nursing staff also assessed patient’s alertness immediately on arrival to the floor, at one and two hours using Observer’s Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (OAA/S).\[29\] The OAA/S is from 1 to 5 with 5 being fully alert and 1 deep sleep (5 = alert, 4 = lethargic, 3 = aroused by voice, 2 = aroused by shaking, 1 = deep sleep).

Baseline variables were expressed as mean ± SD or proportions. Change in MMSE was evaluated with repeated measures ANOVA. CAM score was evaluated with Chi-square test.

3 Results

A total of 93 patients were enrolled in the study, of which 47 patients received premedication (diphenhydramine 25 mg and benzodiazepine 5 mg) prior to the procedure. Baseline characteristics are outlined in Table 1. The mean age was 77 ± 4.2 years, 56% were male and 53% were in-patients. The baselines mean MMSE was similar in each group (27.6 ± 1.4 in premedicated group versus 28.17 ± 1.4 in patients without premedication). One patient had stroke during the procedure and therefore, post procedure observations were not made. None of the patients in either group developed delirium after the procedure as measured by CAM. The maximum decrease of MMSE score in either group was one point at any time post procedure which was not significant (Figure 1). There ease of procedure and patients’ cooperation during the procedure was greater (P < 0.01) in the medicated group (Figure 2), and the pain medication requirement both during and after the procedure was higher (P < 0.05) in the group not premedicated (Figure 3). Premedicated patients were less alert (Figure 4) immediately and at 1 h after arrival on the floor (P ≤ 0.01). However, there was no difference of the level of alertness between the two groups at 2 h after arrival on the floor. There was a trend for nurses’ assessment of the ease of patient management in the premedicated group (P = 0.08). Length of stay was similar for both groups. Two patients died during hospitalization, one after coronary artery bypass graft.
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Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study patients.

|                         | Premedication (n = 47) | No Premedication (n = 46) |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age, yr                 | 78 ± 4.8               | 77 ± 3.5                  |
| Male sex                | 25 (53)                | 28 (61)                   |
| Hypertension            | 41 (87)                | 39 (85)                   |
| Diabetes mellitus       | 12 (26)                | 13 (28)                   |
| Hyperlipidemia          | 30 (64)                | 35 (76)                   |
| Coronary artery disease | 16 (34)                | 24 (52)                   |
| Anxiety                 | 15 (32)                | 12 (26)                   |
| Depression              | 6 (13)                 | 2 (4)                     |
| Delirium (past-history) | 2 (4)                  | 1 (2)                     |
| COPD                    | 3 (6)                  | 2 (4)                     |
| Atrial fibrillation     | 6 (13)                 | 8 (17)                    |
| Employed                | 2 (4)                  | 7 (15)                    |
| Medications             |                        |                           |
| Aspirin                 | 35 (74)                | 36 (78)                   |
| Beta blockers           | 35 (74)                | 33 (72)                   |
| ACEI/ARB                | 29 (62)                | 26 (57)                   |
| Statins                 | 27 (57)                | 36 (78)                   |
| Diuretics               | 16 (34)                | 17 (37)                   |
| Sedatives               | 6 (13)                 | 9 (20)                    |
| Antidepressants         | 6 (13)                 | 2 (4)                     |
| Analgesics              | 7 (15)                 | 9 (20)                    |
| Warfarin                | 6 (13)                 | 9 (20)                    |
| Baseline MMSE           | 27.7 ± 1.4             | 28.2 ± 1.4                |
| In patients             | 26 (55)                | 23 (50)                   |

Data are presented as mean ± SD or n (%). ACEI: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; MMSE: mini mental state exam.

Figure 1. Mini mental state exam changes in the premed and non-premed groups. MMSE: mini-mental state examination; Premed: premedication.

Figure 2. Difference of ease of the procedure in the premed and non-premed groups. Premed: premedication.

Figure 3. Pain medication requirements of premed and non-premed groups during (A) and after (B) procedure. Premed: premedication.
4 Discussion

This is the first study to assess the effect of oral premedication with benzodiazepines and diphenhydramine on cognitive status of elderly patient during cardiac catheterization procedure. Although benzodiazepines and anticholinergics have been considered to cause delirium in elderly hospitalized patients, we did not observe any of these deleterious effects of premedication on the cognitive status of elderly patients undergoing cardiac catheterization procedure. The maximum decrease of MMSE score was also negligible.

Conscious sedation has been generally considered as an important component of a successful completion of invasive procedures as well as patient’s satisfaction. Many cardiologists use single oral dose of long acting benzodiazepine (diazepam) prior to a cardiac catheterization procedure to achieve better conscious sedation and alleviate anxiety. Diphenhydramine is also used along with diazepam for its antihistamine properties, and improved conscious sedation. Additionally, majority of the patients receive intravenous midazolam for improved sedation and fentanyl citrate for pain control during the procedure.

Most of the data regarding conscious sedation originate from endoscopic procedures. The short acting benzodiazepine, midazolam, has been shown to be a safe and effective means of sedation in elderly patients undergoing endoscopic procedures. For cardiac procedures, the data of conscious sedation is mainly limited to electrophysiological studies. Conscious sedation with midazolam and fentanyl citrate has been found to be safe and efficacious for different electrophysiological procedures. Additionally, conscious sedation also provide better hemodynamic stability and patient cooperation without affecting the electrophysiological studies. Premedication utilizing different combinations of diazepam, lorazepam, promethazine and diphenhydramine for cardiac catheterization did not cause over sedation or hypoxemia in one study. Similarly in another study, conscious sedation using midazolam and fentanyl provided better hemodynamic stability during the procedure compared to placebo.

In this study, we found higher pain medication (narcotics and non-narcotics) requirement among patients who did not receive oral premedication. This effect was likely due to a higher anxiety level as patients without premedication were more awake in the post procedure period. Although the pre-mediated patients were less alert immediately and at 1 h on arrival to the floor, the duration of this sedation was short and did not affect patients’ cognitive status or planned discharge post procedure. We also found a trend of easier post procedure management ($P = 0.08$) of the pre-medicated group on the floor as reported by the nursing staff.

In the absence of randomized trials, the independent role of medication to cause delirium in elderly hospitalized patients is still not very clear. Most of the data is from retrospective reviews of elderly patients admitted with acute illness or post surgery. For example, Dasgupta, et al. reported peri-operative medications as risk factor for development of delirium in patients underwent non-cardiac surgery. On the other hand, a large meta-analysis of twenty seven studies by Elie, et al. revealed dementia, systemic illness, alcohol abuse and depression being the most common risk factors for development of delirium in elderly hospitalized patients while medications appeared to play only a contributory role.

More recently, a newer pharmaceutical agent, dexmedetomidine, an alpha 2 adrenergic receptor agonist with a relatively short half-life of two hours, is being increasingly used for various medical and surgical procedures. Dexmedetomidine is well tolerated and has analgesic as well as sedative properties. In addition it has shown to reduce delirium and preserves respiratory function. The drug was initially approved and used only in the intubated patients especially patients in ICU. However, more recently dexmedetomidine is also being used in a number of clinical scenarios including non-intubated patients during endoscopic procedures. The anesthesiologists continue to admi-
nister and manage dexmedetomidine in non-intubated patients during the procedures. Considering well tolerated profile and reduced delirium side effects, we anticipate a wider future use of dexmedetomidine in the elderly non intubated patients including those undergoing cardiac catheterization.

Our study has several limitations. The study was done at a single institution and therefore our results may not be generalizable to other hospitals as the preprocedure protocols may not be similar to ours. Elderly patients with impaired cognitive status are at higher risk to develop delirium. This was not studied as baseline MMSE of our study subjects was not low (MMSE = 28), representing cognitively intact patients. Furthermore, the number of study subjects was also small. Although the study was non-blinded, the catheterization laboratory staff and nursing staff that took care of patients after the procedure and majority of the operators were unaware of the randomization.

In conclusion, oral premedication with single dose of diphenhydramine and diazepam did not cause delirium or confusion in elderly patients undergoing non-emergency cardiac catheterization. Premedication also did not affect the length of index hospitalization. In fact the lesser requirement of pain medication during and after procedure as well as improved procedural ease and post procedure management favors premedication in elderly patients undergoing cardiac catheterization.
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