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Abstract

Background: Mosquito-borne flaviviruses, such as dengue and Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), cause life-threatening diseases, particularly in the tropics.

Methods: Here we performed unbiased metagenomic sequencing of RNA extracted from the serum of four patients and the plasma of one patient, all hospitalized at a tertiary care centre in South India with severe or prolonged febrile illness, together with the serum from one healthy control, in 2014.

Results: We identified and assembled a complete dengue virus type 3 sequence from a case of severe dengue fever. We also identified a small number of JEV sequences in the serum of two adults with febrile illness, including one with severe dengue. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the dengue sequence belonged to genotype III. It has an estimated divergence time of 13.86 years from the most highly related Indian strains. In total, 11 amino acid substitutions were predicted for this strain in the antigenic envelope protein, when compared to the parent strain used for development of the first commercial dengue vaccine.

Conclusions: We demonstrate that both genome assembly and detection of a low number of viral sequences are possible through the unbiased sequencing
of clinical material. These methods may help ascertain causal agents for febrile illnesses with no known cause.
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Introduction

Acute undifferentiated febrile illness refers to a sudden onset of high fever without localized organ-specific clinical features. Although the majority of patients recover over a few days, some can develop severe illnesses, resulting in high morbidity and even death in many parts of the world. Among the many causes of febrile illness, some of the most important across Asia are mosquito-borne viruses such as dengue virus. In addition, novel agents associated with acute febrile illness continue to be discovered.

Current molecular diagnostic techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction, are pathogen-specific and therefore pose limitations, as they may fail to detect co-infections and novel agents not commonly associated with the disease syndrome. The unbiased metagenomic sequencing of clinical material from patients with acute fever can overcome these limitations.

Mosquito-borne viruses of the family *Flaviviridae*, which include dengue virus and Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) are known to co-circulate in India and other parts of Asia. Dengue viruses are a major cause of acute febrile illness in Asia, with recurrent outbreaks having occurred. JEV, on the other hand, is better known as a cause of acute encephalitis. Although JEV has been noted as an agent that causes acute fever in Southeast Asia, it is not routinely tested as a cause of fevers in India. There are four distinct serotypes of dengue viruses (DENV1–DENV4), with their small RNA genomes (approximately 10.8 kb) making them amenable for characterization by deep sequencing of infected mosquitoes or clinical material from infected individuals. Sequencing dengue genomes is important for tracking virus evolution, given that they frequently mutate. Outbreaks of severe dengue disease associated with serotype switches or the introduction of a novel strain into the population have been reported from several different countries, including Sri Lanka, Pakistan and Singapore. Recent analysis suggests an influenza-virus-like pattern for dengue virus evolution, where strain-specific differences underlie antibody neutralization. Pre-existing antibodies to circulating dengue strains can therefore contribute to disease severity by inadequate neutralization of the virus or by antibody-mediated enhancement, which facilitates virus infection. This is supported by in vitro studies, which found that changes to the envelope (E) protein of DENV3 were sufficient to alter antibody binding. Multiple dengue vaccines are currently in various stages of development, and a tetravalent vaccine (CYD-TDV; Dengvaxia®, Sanofi Pasteur) has been approved for use in several countries. This vaccine has been shown to induce the expression of broadly neutralizing antibodies to multiple strains and all serotypes of dengue viruses. The results of a phase III trial of this vaccine suggest that both the immune state (with respect to dengue viruses) and circulating viruses may influence vaccine effectiveness. This underscores the need to characterize both the sequence evolution and antibody response of circulating dengue strains.

Here we used an unbiased sequencing/metagenomic approach, in order to determine both the identity and sequences of viruses associated with febrile illness. In particular, based on previous studies of sequencing data from the serum of febrile individuals, we expected that medium-depth sequencing (about 10–20 million sequence reads per sample) was necessary and sufficient to provide complete sequences of small viral genomes from clinical material. To test this, we sequenced RNA extracted from the serum of four individuals and the plasma of another presenting with febrile illness at a tertiary care hospital in Bangalore, India and one healthy control from the same hospital, during the dengue season of 2014. We recovered the complete coding sequence of DENV3 clustering into a recent genotype III clade.

Results

We sequenced RNA extracted from the serum of four patients hospitalized with severe febrile illness and from one plasma sample from a patient hospitalized with prolonged febrile illness (Table 1). We included serum from a healthy individual and water as controls. Approximately 10×10⁶ sequence reads were recovered from each sample, with the water control yielding a lower number of reads (Figure 1A).

A BLAST similarity search, mapping all sequenced reads to a database of NCBI reference viral sequences (Table 1), identified 19,120 DENV3 sequence reads and 14 JEV sequence reads in sample F2, and 12 JEV sequence reads in sample F5. A single DENV3 read was detected in sample F3. No animal viruses were confirmed by BLAST in the controls or in other samples (Table 1 and Figure 1B).

On the basis of World Health Organization guidelines for the classification of dengue cases, F2 was classified as a case of severe dengue, as the presenting symptoms included respiratory distress (bilateral pleural effusions in chest X-ray) hypotension and elevated liver enzymes (Table 1).

The serum sample from this individual was positive for both the non-structural protein 1 antigen and dengue IgM, and we were able to obtain a complete DENV3 genome sequence from this sample. Genomes were assembled both by de novo (87.05% coverage) and mapping-based (99% coverage) assembly (Table 2 and Table 3, Supplementary File 1) and found to be identical (Supplementary File 2). Mapping revealed good coverage across the genome, with an average depth of 231.45 (Figure 1, Table 2). The genome is missing 76 bp at the 5’-UTR and 28 bp at the 3’-UTR compared to the NCBI RefSeq (NC_001475.2) DENV3 genome.

The mapping-based assembly was used for phylogenetic analysis and submitted to GenBank, with accession number KX855927.
Table 1. Clinical Profile of the sequenced cases. The clinical presentation, key diagnostics tests, provisional diagnosis, treatment followed and results from sequencing (SNAP alignment against viral databases) are shown.

| Sample | Age/sex | Presentation | Investigations | Diagnosis | Management | Animal viruses (sequencing+ BLAST) |
|--------|---------|--------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| F1     | 34F     | Fever, vomiting, loose stools, hypotension | • dengue IgM +  
• Serial platelet count: 57,000-12,000-37,000-60,000 cells/mm³  
• BP 106/72 mmHg | Dengue | Platelet transfusion, antiemetics, IV fluid; patient recovered and was discharged after 5 days | None matched |
| F2     | 28F     | Fever, severe myalgia for 4 days, hypotension | • Dengue IgM +  
• Dengue NS1 +  
• LFT: AST 370 U/l; ALT 170 U/l; GGT 272 U/l  
• Chest X ray: Bilateral pleural effusion  
• Serial platelet count: -7000-16000-43000 cells/mm³  
• BP 80/60mmHg | Dengue | Platelet transfusion IV fluids; patient improved and was discharged | Dengue virus 3 (19,120 reads)  
Japanese encephalitis virus (14 reads) |
| F3     | 36F     | Fever and severe myalgia for 15 days | Weil–Felix border line positive (OX K 1:80) for Rickettsial fever | Rickettsial fever | Doxycycline (200 mg for 7 days); patient recovered | None matched |
| F4     | 10M     | Prolonged fever (>20 days) | No known cause | Provisional diagnosis Rickettsial or partially treated enteric/malaria | dengue virus 3 (1 read) |
| F5     | 42F     | Fever for 13 days, chills and rigors, known diabetic | Weil–Felix suggestive of Rickettsial Fever (OX K 1:320) | Rickettsial fever | Doxycycline (200 mg for 5 days); patient improved | Japanese encephalitis virus sequences (12 reads) |

M. Male; F. Female; IgM, dengue immunoglobulin M; NS1, dengue non-structural protein 1 test; LFT, liver function test; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GGT, gamma glutamyltransferase.
Figure 1. Dengue virus type 3 (DENV3) and Japanese encephalitis virus sequences identified from febrile serum. (a) Number of sequence reads generated per sample. (b) Bar graph showing number of reads that aligned to a particular virus as a fraction of the total number of reads (y-axis, log scale) from that sample (x-axis) using the SNAP alignment. (c) Alignment of sequences mapping only to DENV3 by nucleotide BLAST. Each rectangle shows sequencing reads (blue lines), their alignment to the genome (x-axis) and their blast bit-score (y-axis). Numbers below the title represents number of reads that mapped to the title. (d) Percentage identity of KX855927 with all four dengue viruses and the closest Indian strain.

Table 2. Assembly characteristics for mapping based assembly. The quality, coverage and percentage nucleotide identity of the assembled DENV3 genome using different back bones and sequences for mapping using MIRA assembler are shown.

| Criteria                                                      | Backbone   | av.qual | #-reads | mx.cov. | av.cov | GC% | CnNoCov |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----|---------|
| All Reads from F2 against all 4 Refseq of dengue viruses     | DENV3      | 41      | 2009    | 96      | 26.27  | 46.67| 145     |
|                                                               | DENV1      | 30      | 1       | 3       | 1.01   | 46.67| 10587   |
|                                                               | DENV2      | 30      | 1       | 1       | 1      | 45.82| 10723   |
|                                                               | DENV4      | 30      | 1       | 1       | 1      | 47.12| 10649   |
| virus reads* from F2 against all 4 Refseq of dengue viruses  | DENV3      | 42      | 18180   | 788     | 231.53 | 46.66| 104     |
|                                                               | DENV1      | 30      | 3       | 4       | 1.02   | 46.67| 10587   |
|                                                               | DENV2      | 30      | 1       | 1       | 1      | 45.82| 10723   |
|                                                               | DENV4      | 30      | 1       | 1       | 1      | 47.12| 10649   |
| virus reads* from F2 against DENV3 and an Indian strain       | DENV3 (RefSeq) | 42      | 18178   | 793     | 231.51 | 46.66| 104     |
|                                                               | AY770511.2 | 43      | 18696   | 792     | 236.58 | 46.65| 104     |

Table shows the quality, coverage and percentage nucleotide identity of the assembled DENV3 genome using different back bones and sequences for mapping using MIRA assembler. Backbone, reference genome used for assembly; av.qual, average quality of assembly; mx.cov, maximum coverage of assembled genome by reads; av.cov, average coverage of assembled genome by reads; No cov, number of nucleotides of reference not covered in assembly; DENV3, dengue virus type 3.
The degree of nucleotide identity between this strain and the reference DENV3 genome (NC_001475.2) was 96.32%, and with the closest DENV3 strain from India, 98.75%.

Phylogenetic analysis was carried out with BEAST2 using the coding sequence of KX855927 and 79 sequences selected as being similar to KX855927, using the BLAST search against dengue genomes in the Virus Pathogen Database and Analysis Resource (Supplementary File 3). The strain clusters with recent DENV3 sequences from India, China and Singapore (Figure 2). This clade split from other DENV3 and other DENV3 genotype III strains around 15 years ago. The branch length of KX855927 is longer than most others in the tree, with an estimated divergence time of 13.86 years (with the 95% highest posterior densities between 12.94 and 14.83 years) from the closest Indian strain (Figure 2). A maximum likelihood tree showed the same topology as the consensus tree from BEAST, although many clades had low bootstrap support (Supplementary File 4).

Both synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions were predicted throughout the genome, as compared to the DENV3 reference sequence (Supplementary File 5). We aligned the E protein of all the complete genomes from Indian strains against the parent strain used to derive the tetravalent dengue vaccine (CYD-TDV; Dengvaxia®, Sanofi Pasteur) (Figure 3). Multiple amino acid substitutions were predicted throughout the envelope protein and two additional stop codons (at amino

Table 3. Assembly characteristics for de novo assembly. The assembly characteristics by *de novo* assembly of sequences from sample F2 after quality assessment was performed using the QUAST tool.

| Fraction of genome covered | Largest alignment | Total aligned length | % nucleotide identity with mapping assembly | Reference for quality |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 87.046                    | 3127             | 9403                 | 100.00%                                   | Refseq DENV3          |

DENV3, dengue virus type 3.

**Figure 2.** The sequenced strain KX855927 (2014) belongs to a recent Asian clade within genotype III. Figure shows the BEAST maximum clade credibility tree of the top 79 BLAST matches to KX855927 The Indo–China–Singapore strain to which KX855927 (2014) is shown in red. All strains are represented by their GenBank IDs and coloured by country. For ease of visualization, a clade containing viruses from the USA, Venezuela and Puerto Rico in Clade I has been collapsed (pyramids colored by country). The x-axis represents time in years.
Figure 3. Shared amino acid substitutions in the envelope protein of Indian DENV3 strains differ from PaH881/88. (a) Multiple sequence alignment of region coding for the envelope (E) protein of dengue virus 3 from India were aligned to gi|13310784|gb|AF349753.1| DENV3 strain PaH881/88 polyprotein precursor, translated E genes. Suffix represent the year of sampling. Predicted amino acid changes compared to PaH881/88 are shown in colour. Position of substitutions present in the sequenced KX855927 strain are shown in blue.

(b) i) Cartoon structure of E protein KX855927 (2014)- dimer, homology modeled in SWISS-PROT with the domains shaded green (EDI), pink (EDII) and yellow (EDIII), labeled in red. ii) Cartoon structure of E protein KX855927 (2014)- dimer, homology modeled in SWISS-PROT showing the amino acid substitutions in KX855927 (2014) compared to the PaH881/8 in one of the dimers. In both cartoons, predicted substitutions are shown in blue (side-chains colored). Amino acid substitutions labelled in violet (violet box) are positions known to influence mouse monoclonal antibody binding. Positions in red (red box) are among 32 positions in the E protein predicted to be important for antigenicity.

acid positions 58 and 168) were observed in the DENV3 KX855927. Most of the amino acid substitutions were shared among all the Indian strains, while a E361D substitution was unique to the DENV3 strain reported here (Figure 3A). Of the substitutions, 9 out of 11 were mapped onto the surface of the E protein. Of these, six are in key antigenic sites, with three sites known to influence antibody binding (Figure 3B).

The sequencing reads mapping to JEV from Sample F2 and F5 were assembled into contigs and used to check for potential alignment to other genomes in the NCBI nucleotide sequence database. A BLAST search revealed that the JEV sequences we identified were specific to JEV (100% identity, 100% coverage of read) (Supplementary File 6). The sequences were found to match non-structural protein 5 of JEV. A specific search against the dengue database for the contigs from the sample containing DENV3 sequences showed no similarity for contig 1 and some similarity to a dengue virus 2 sequence for contig 2 (83% identity, 97% coverage; Supplementary File 6).

The single DENV3 sequencing read found in sample F3 was identical to a sequencing read occurring with high frequency in sample F2. Therefore, we did not carry out any further analysis with this sequence read as we suspect it to be a contamination.

Discussion

Here we sequenced a complete dengue genome from a clinical case of severe dengue fever, without the need to culture the virus, and in an unbiased manner. We believe that, in the future, the
sequence-based enrichment of viral sequences using conserved sequences, will enable the recovery of complete genomes from routine clinical samples even with by lower-depth sequencing. We identified a low number of reads mapping specifically to JEV. JEV is known to cause fevers. Further systematic analysis using a combination of polymerase chain reaction and IgM testing is required to ascertain how much JEV contributes to the acute fever burden in India. The low number of JEV reads obtained in both samples in which reads mapping to JEV were found suggests there was not much active viral replication occurring. There are previous reports of the detection of JEV sequences many months after infection. The sequences we found could therefore be remnants of a previous infection or may be the result of an infection from a mosquito bite that was checked by the immune system. The low number of reads in these cases mapped to the same gene (non-structural protein 5) (Supplementary File 6). This could reflect the higher stability of some parts of the JEV RNA genome.

The results of metagenomic sequencing, however, do need to be interpreted with caution owing to issues related to contamination. Contamination can occur in every step of the procedure, starting from sample collection, processing, sequencing and, when multiple indexed samples are sequenced together, de-multiplexing (the process in which reads get assigned to a sample). This needs to be taken into consideration, particularly when the number of sequences supporting the presence of a pathogen are low, when there is incomplete genome information, or when the same sequence is present in all the samples, including the controls. We have tried to mitigate this partially by the use of controls—serum from a healthy individual collected at the same time and place and a water sample processed in the same way as the clinical samples. However, we believe that independent methods are required to confirm novel/unexpected findings by this method.

DENV3 has been shown to be re-emerging in India, and has been responsible for severe outbreaks in other geographic regions, including in South America and Cuba. The full-length DENV3 (KX855927) we describe here clusters into a clade containing DENV3 viruses from India and is related to an Indo–China–Singapore clade. We observed a longer branch length for this particular strain, which could be the result of incomplete sampling of this clade or could indicate that this lineage is showing accelerated rates of molecular evolution. This can be resolved in future studies by the addition of more sequence information, as more full-length dengue sequences from India become available in the databases.

While both synonymous and non-synonymous changes were observed throughout the DENV3 (KX855927) genome compared to the DENV3 reference sequence (NC_001475.2), the changes in the antigenic E protein are of particular interest. Neutralizing antibodies have been described against the envelope protein that target particular epitopes. Critical amino acid residues that change antibody binding have also been described by others. The results from our phylogenetic analyses are consistent with previous work tracing the emergence of new clade of DENV3 genotype III strains in India. The ability of a dengue vaccine to elicit neutralizing antibodies against locally circulating DENV3 strains therefore needs to be evaluated in this light.

**Methods**

**Description of samples**

In total, samples from five patients (two diagnosed with dengue fever (serum; F1 and F2), two with Ricketsia fever (serum; F3 and F5) and one with unknown fever (plasma; F4) presenting with febrile illness, and one healthy control (serum; C1) at St. John’s Medical College and Hospital (SJMCH), Bangalore, were assessed in this study. Table 1 provides clinical characterization, treatment and outcomes of patients. The study was done after obtaining approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee of SJMCH, Bangalore, India (IEC Study Ref. No. 5/2016). A waiver of consent was sought and obtained for the analysis as it was done on samples remaining after routine diagnostic testing, which were de-linked from the identity of the patients. We have been granted a waiver of consent by the Institutional Ethics Committee of St. John’s Medical College and Hospital, which does not permit the use of the generated data for human genetic studies.

**Isolation of RNA**

RNA was extracted using the Qiagen All-Prep kit, using 300–500 µl of serum/plasma and lysed using 1 ml of lysis buffer. The remaining protocol was performed as recommended by the manufacturer. Eluted RNA was concentrated and used for sequencing reactions.

**Sequencing**

Sequencing libraries were prepared using the Ion Proton library preparation protocol. Indexing was performed using the IonXpress RNA Seq Barcode kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Samples F1–4 and C1 were run on the same chip; sample F5 was run on a separate chip. Libraries were pooled to give equimolar concentrations of 10 PM. This was used in template preparation steps and RNA sequencing was performed using the Ion PI sequencing kit on the Ion Proton platform using the Ion PF™ ChipV2 and Ion PF™ Sequencing Kit V3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

**Analysis of sequences**

We aligned the sequencing reads to a database of all known viruses using the SNAP alignment tool (snap-1.0beta.16-linux). All hits were verified using nucleotide BLAST sequence search and visualized using tools from the Dark Matter project. Reads aligning to the human genome, human mRNA, rRNA large subunit and rRNA small subunit from the SILVA database were removed. The aligned sequences were used as the input for assembly. De novo assembly was performed using the SPAdes (v3.10.1) tool. Quality assessment of the assembly was performed using the QUAST tool. MIRA 4.0.2 was used for mapping based assembly, with the GenBank sequence NC_001475.2 for DENV3 as the backbone for assembly and NC_001437 as the backbone for JEV. Contigs were
subjected to nucleotide BLAST using the online BLAST tool. The mapping based assembly of DENV3 obtained using MIRA was manually checked for regions with low confidence using Gap5 (staden-2.0.0b11-2016-linux-x86_64)\(^5\). Fewer than 30 nucleotides were found to have low confidence, of which 22 were in the 3'-3UTR end region. The files from the MIRA assembly, together with the contributing reads, are provided as Supplementary File 1. This sequence was submitted to GenBank with the accession number KX855927.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Phylogenetic analysis was performed with BLAST search hits to KX855927 in the VipR dengue virus database\(^6\). Only the coding sequence was used for the analyses. The alignment was visualized using AliView software (v1.18)\(^4\). Nucleotide distances of KX855927 from other dengue viruses, using the reference sequence and the closest BLAST hit from India, were estimated using the MUSCLE alignment tool to create a percentage identity matrix\(^7\). The Generalized Time Reversible Model, namely GTR+I+G, GTR+I+G, GTR+G, were found to be the best evolutionary models for codon positions 1, 2, and 3, respectively, using PartitionFinder (v2.1.1)\(^3\), where I represents invariant and G represents gamma, a shape parameter for the model. A previously estimated rate of substitution for DENV3 =7.48×10^-4 subs/site/year (4.47×10^-4; 10.72×10^-4) was used to set a strict molecular clock\(^8\). The input XML file to BEAST (v2.4.6)\(^8\) is provided in Supplementary File 3. Tracer (v1.6) was used to confirm sufficient sampling (effective sample size > 200 for all parameters). TreeAnnotator (v2.4.6) was used to generate the maximum clade credibility tree, where the node heights represent median height. Posterior probabilities for both the split of Clade I and II and the clade containing KX855927 were >95%. The tree was visualized using FigTree (v1.4.3). The Maximum Likelihood tree was generated using thorough search and 1000 bootstraps in RaXML (RAxML-NG v0.4.1 BETA) (Supplementary File 4)\(^9\).

**Analysis of E protein**

E protein alignments for the DENV3 complete genomes from India were performed in AliView and amino acid differences were highlighted compared to PaH881/8 (AF349753) the parent strain used in the development of Dengvaxia (CYD-TDV; a tetravalent, live attenuated, chimeric dengue vaccine with a yellow fever 17D backbone). Homology modeling was performed for the E protein of KX855927 using SWISS-MODEL. The best model was chosen for showing the substitutions. The protein surfaces, as visualized using PyMOL (version 1.8; PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Schrödinger, LLC), are shown in light brown; the amino acids found to be different in the KX855927 strain are colored by the CHNOS elements. The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and in Supplementary File 1–Supplementary File 6.

An earlier version of this work can be found on bioRxiv (https://doi.org/10.1101/204503).

**Data availability**

The raw files from sequencing are not provided in entirety as these are metagenomic datasets that contain identifying host information. Therefore we have used only sequences not aligning to the human genome for our research. This data has been uploaded in fastq format on OSF (see below). As our experiments were designed to identify pathogens, we do expect the accompanying human data to be free from biases involving sampling, storage and handling. However, under the conditions that the samples remain de-identified, and the work is not directly on human genetics, approval for data sharing of the complete data from the RNA sequencing experiment, which includes any human sequences, can be sought with the Ethical Review Committee, St. John’s Medical College and Hospital, Bangalore. A request for use of this data for a research proposal must be submitted to the ethics committee via the lead author (soniamarydias@hotmail.com).

Fastq files have been made available from OSF, http://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/RMQDF\(^4\).

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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Supplementary material

Supplementary File 1: Dengue virus type 3 assembly with contributing reads. Consensus sequence and reads contributing to the assembly, it contains regions/bases flagged by MIRA including 30 base positions which have low confidence. The file format (.maf) can be converted to compatible file formats for viewing with Gap5, Consed and other genome editors.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary File 2: Percentage identity of dengue virus type 3 sequence assembled by different methods. Table shows the percentage similarity between the de novo and mapping assemblies compared to NCBI reference sequences of dengue virus types 1-4 and the closest Indian strain.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary File 3: Template for BEAST. The input file used for phylogenetic analysis using the BEAST program.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary File 4: Maximum Likelihood Tree from RaxML. Figure shows the maximum likelihood trees with bootstrap values, GenBank IDs and year of sequencing are shown on the tips. The data is coloured by country and some of the clades have been collapsed for ease of viewing.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary File 5: Single nucleotide polymorphisms in KX855927 with respect to NC_001475. Description of the single nucleotide polymorphisms in KX855927 with respect to NC_001475, as detected by the MIRA assembly program.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary File 6: BLAST results of Japanese encephalitis virus contigs from sample F2 and F5. Contains the Top 5 nucleotide BLAST lists for the Japanese encephalitis virus contigs assembled from samples F2 and F5, against the nucleotide database, flavivirus database and dengue virus database.

Click here to access the data.
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The report is straight-forward and presents a successful application of metagenomics sequencing to help diagnose febrile illnesses with no known causes. The assembled DENV3 sequence will be very useful for epidemiology studies and to track the evolution of DENV3.

Specific items for the authors to consider:

1. OPTIONAL: On page 3, the authors mention Dengvaxia. It will actually strengthen the authors’ projected use of metagenomics sequencing if they mentioned the problems in the Philippines and elsewhere with this vaccine. Will it help to know before vaccines are used which virus strains are in circulation? And will that sequence knowledge be useful in the future for evaluating the possible side-effects of vaccines before the vaccines are administered?

2. Figure 3: As viewed on my computer and a printed copy of the manuscript, neither blue nor violet colors were discernable for FIG. 3b.

3. OPTIONAL: The authors might clarify: On page 7, DISCUSSION, par. 1: It is not clear if the authors agree with the reference cited (35), or whether they have experienced this first hand.

4. Page 8: Isolation of RNA: Why was the All-Prep kit chosen for serum/plasma instead of a QIAGEN viral RNA kit? The former is typically used for whole blood. How was the eluted RNA concentrated? Why?

5. OPTIONAL: The authors make no mention of the costs/time commitments/personnel/training/facilities to accomplish the type of work they performed. Though the approach is very good (and clearly the wave of the future), it is at present very costly and not practical for most medical laboratories, even in developed countries. How can this be remedied?

6. OPTIONAL: The authors might comment further on this: It will be curious to many readers why JEV sequences were also detected. Is this a finding of major importance? Has this been observed in other studies (persistence of JEV sequences)? Could the severity of illness in patient F2 have been affected by a “smoldering” JEV infection?

7. More explanation would be useful regarding how the sequencing libraries were made. For example, flavivirus RNA is not polyadenylated and is capped. Do the authors have a specific approach to capture these types of RNA?

*OPTIONAL: Some possible clarifications/comments from the authors:

(1) Next generation sequencing definitely has a role diagnostics, phylogeographic studies, etc. On page 3, the authors state “We hypothesized that …”. Some readers will interpret that to mean the authors claim they conceived this concept, and this is the first time the approach has been used. The authors might reword that statement.
(2) The authors did not comment on the specificity of the DENV IgM test.

(3) Many NGS-derived virus sequences deposited in data banks have errors. Thus, whereas the NGS-generated data is useful for the diagnosis, indels etc. are not always verified in the submitted sequences, the general understanding among users being that errors may be present. Also, often, the 5’ and 3’ UTRs are not included. The authors might discuss these issues. In particular, there are artefacts associated with Ion Proton sequencing associated with repeats of the same nucleotide (example: TTTTT).
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We thank the reviewer for the comments. They are addressed point-wise below.

1. New Generation sequencing approaches are attractive options to identify viral quasispecies in patients. In the present article, the authors have presented a common consensus final sequence of DENV-3 and compared it with reference sequence and the closely related GWL-25 genome. Did the authors had a chance to look at the raw read clusters, and identify possible variations that could represent quasi species by looking at sites/ sequence regions showing consistent variability? A comparison table showing the non-synonymous sites could have been included.

We have not performed a systematic analysis for viral variants within our sample, however, given that we got greater than 100X coverage of many regions of the genome, we believe this analysis is possible. A comparison table showing the changes between the DENV3 strain reported here and the RefSeq DENV3 strain are included in Supplementary File 5.
2. Considering that DENV virus protein translation starts as a polyprotein formation from a single ORF, identifying two internal stop codons at positions 58 & 168 seems to be curious. Have the authors done a manual verification of the corresponding raw read alignments? What could be the implications of this finding?

We have realigned the sequence and manually verified this. Both changes are well supported. Our experiment cannot distinguish between defective viral particles and viable ones. Also, we are potentially putting together sequences from different viruses to make the consensus. These two factors limit our ability to interpret these findings.

3. The authors have identified two missing regions—one each in the 5’ and 3’ UTR. Are they internal gaps or terminal truncation in the sequence? Could this be a failure to sequence these regions by the technique or is it possible that the DENV-3 strain itself is lacking these regions? Since the UTRs contain major replication regulatory elements, discussing this observation seems to be important.

Given the importance of the UTRs in viral replication, we strongly suspect that our approach has limitations in capturing the ends of the genome.

4. In the result section, the unique substitution is mentioned as D361E, whereas in the fig.3A it is shown as E361D. The reader gets confused on the order of the sequences that are being compared.

The text has been corrected in line with the figure.
Most of the amino acid substitutions were shared among all the Indian strains, while a D361E substitution was unique to the DENV3 strain reported here (Figure 3A).

5. In Fig.3b(ii), the authors have highlighted the amino acid residues that are important in antibody binding and antigenicity. But how many of these changes are functionally important, based on the chemical nature of the amino acid substitutions observed? Also, the authors should indicate the wild type as well as the mutant amino acids along with the position number in the figure.

The figure and accompanying legend have been modified.

6. Was there any specific reason in using plasma sample from one patient and serum from others? It would be good to indicate, if available, the duration of fever in F1, and treatment and outcome in F4, for reasons of consistency.

In this study, we used samples remaining after routine testing and plasma sample was available for that patient.
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The manuscript by Dias et al describes the use of metagenomic sequencing of RNA from serum/plasma samples of febrile patients using an Ion Proton system to identify viral sequences. Using this approach they could identify presence and complete sequence of DENV-3 in one of the samples tested. They could also detect presence of Japanese Encephalitis virus sequences in the sample from the same patient and also in another sample. The work is interesting and has shown the possibility of using this approach in clinical samples for detection of pathogen sequence signatures.
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2. Considering that DENV virus protein translation starts as a polyprotein formation from a single ORF, identifying two internal stop codons at positions 58 & 168 seems to be curious. Have the authors done a manual verification of the corresponding raw read alignments? What could be the implications of this finding?

3. The authors have identified two missing regions—one each in the 5' and 3' UTR. Are they internal gaps or terminal truncation in the sequence? Could this be a failure to sequence these regions by the technique or is it possible that the DENV-3 strain itself is lacking these regions? Since the UTRs contain major replication regulatory elements, discussing this observation seems to be important.

4. In the result section, the unique substitution is mentioned as D361E, where as in the fig.3A it is shown as E361D. The reader gets confused on the order of the sequences that are being compared.

5. In Fig.3b(ii), the authors have highlighted the amino acid residues that are important in antibody binding and antigenicity. But how many of these changes are functionally important, based on the chemical nature of the amino acid substitutions observed? Also, the authors should indicate the wild type as well as the mutant amino acids along with the position number in the figure.

6. Was there any specific reason in using plasma sample from one patient and serum from others? It would be good to indicate, if available, the duration of fever in F1, and treatment and outcome in F4, for reasons of consistency.
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4. **In the result section, the unique substitution is mentioned as D361E, where as in the fig.3A it is shown as E361D. The reader gets confused on the order of the sequences that are being compared.**

The text has been corrected in line with the figure.
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5. **In Fig.3b(ii), the authors have highlighted the amino acid residues that are important in antibody binding and antigenicity. But how many of these changes are functionally important, based on the chemical nature of the amino acid substitutions observed? Also, the authors should indicate the wild type as well as the mutant amino acids along with the position number in the figure.**
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