Mitochondrial Phylogenomics of *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae) Reveals a Potentially Functional Horizontal Gene Transfer from the Host

Qianshi Lin 1,2,*, Arjan Banerjee 1,2, and Saša Stefanović 1

1Department of Biology, University of Toronto Mississauga, Mississauga, ON L5L 1C6, Canada
2Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 2Z9, Canada

*Corresponding author: E-mail: qianshi.lin@utoronto.ca.

Accepted: 09 June 2022

Abstract

Horizontal gene transfers (HGTs) from host or other organisms have been reported in mitochondrial genomes of parasitic plants. Genes transferred in this fashion have usually been found nonfunctional. Several examples of HGT from the mitochondrial genome of parasitic *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae) to its hosts have been reported, but not vice versa. Here we used 31 protein-coding mitochondrial genes to infer the phylogeny of *Cuscuta*, and compared it with previous nuclear and plastid phylogenetic estimates. We also investigated the presence of HGTs within these lineages. Unlike in plastid genomes, we did not find extensive gene loss in their mitochondrial counterparts. Our results reveal the first example of organellar HGT from host to *Cuscuta*. Mitochondrial *atp1* genes of South African subgenus *Pachystigma* were inferred to be transferred from Lamiales, with high support. Moreover, the horizontally transferred *atp1* gene has functionally replaced the native, vertically transmitted copy, has an intact open reading frame, and is under strong purifying selection, all of which suggests that this xenolog remains functional. The mitochondrial phylogeny of *Cuscuta* is generally consistent with previous plastid and nuclear phylogenies, except for the misplacement of *Pachystigma* when *atp1* is included. This incongruence may be caused by the HGT mentioned earlier. No example of HGT was found within mitochondrial genes of three other, independently evolved parasitic lineages we sampled: *Cassytha/Laurales*, *Krameria/Zygophyllales*, and Lennooideae/Boraginales.
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Significance

Horizontal gene transfers (HGTs) from the mitochondrial genome of *Cuscuta* to host have been reported, but not vice versa. Our results show mitochondrial *atp1* genes of South African subgenus *Pachystigma* were inferred to be transferred from Lamiales, with high support; this horizontally transferred *atp1* gene has replaced the native copy and may remain functional; the incongruence between mitochondrial phylogeny of *Cuscuta* and previous plastid/nuclear phylogenies may be caused by the HGT. We reveal the first example of organellar HGT from host to *Cuscuta*, and indicate that HGTs within mitochondrial genomes of *Cuscuta* are likely to be rare events.

Introduction

The vast majority of land plants conduct photosynthesis to fix carbon, and thus do not rely on other organisms for their energy. However, heterotrophic plants obtain part or even all of their nutrients from their host plants. Haustorial (or “true”) parasitism involves a direct tissue link between the vasculature of parasites and autotrophs via a haustorial connection (Kuijt 1969). This type of heterotrophy has...
evolved independently at least 12 times in angiosperms (Nickrent 2020). Photosynthetic ability in parasitic plants is affected along a trophic spectrum, whereby hemiparasitic plant can still conduct photosynthesis at some level, whereas holoparasitic plants have completely lost the ability to do so, and hence acquire all nutrients from their hosts. The trophic shift to holoparasitism is usually accompanied with reduction of vegetative features, diminishing quantities of chlorophyll, and loss of plastid genes involved in photosynthesis (e.g., Kuijt 1969; Westwood et al. 2010; Wicke et al. 2011; Molina et al. 2014).

Cuscuta (dodders), the sole parasitic genus in Convolvulaceae (the morning-glory family), comprises about 200 species of stem parasites (Costea et al. 2015). This genus has nearly cosmopolitan distribution, and is ecologically and economically important in many regions (Yuncker 1932). Several Cuscuta species can cause massive losses to agriculture (Dawson et al. 1994), whereas some of them have been used in traditional Chinese medicine over hundreds of years (Zheng et al. 1998). Recent phylogenetic studies based on sequences from several nuclear and plastid regions subdivided Cuscuta into four subgenera: Cuscuta, Grammica, Monogynella, and Pachystigma (García et al. 2014; Costea et al. 2015). Monophyly of each subgenus and relationships among them are all well supported, with Monogynella sister to the rest of this genus (García et al. 2014). Relationships among different sections in subg. Grammica, the most species-rich subgenus (~150 spp.) are also well resolved (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014). However, attempts to retrieve any plastid sequences from section Subulatae have failed (Braukmann et al. 2013; García et al. 2014). Thus, the placement of this section as sister to the rest of subg. Grammica currently relies only on nuclear ribosomal DNA sequence data (García et al. 2014).

Unlike most other parasitic lineages, Cuscuta spans the trophic spectrum, comprising both hemi- and holoparasitic species (Dawson et al. 1994). As such, this genus has become an excellent system to study genomic evolution of parasitism. Species of the subg. Monogynella are chlorophyllous and considered to be hemiparasitic (Dawson et al. 1994). Holoparasitic Cuscuta has been identified from the whole sect. Subulatae and three closely related species in sect. Ceratophora (Braukmann et al. 2013; Banerjee and Stefanović 2019). The remaining Cuscuta species have been deemed “cryptically” photosynthetic (McNeal et al. 2007), that is, still able of conducting very limited and localized photosynthesis (Dawson et al. 1994; van der Kooij et al. 2000).

Recent phylogenetic studies of Cuscuta generally focused on their plastid genome and revealed extensive levels of gene loss, especially regarding the ndh gene family (Braukmann et al. 2013; Banerjee and Stefanović 2019, 2020). Compared with plastid genomes, mitochondrial genomes of plants evolve more slowly at the DNA substitution level, and generally do not experience substantial gene losses (Knoop 2004; Yurina and Odintsova 2016; Lin 2020). However, plant mitochondrial genomes undergo frequent fusion and recombination, and often incorporate sequences from their own plastid or nuclear genomes, obtained through intracellular gene transfer (e.g., Stern and Lonsdale 1982; Schuster and Brennicke 1987). As a corollary of these phenomena, sometimes genes are also acquired from other species, via horizontal gene transfer (HGT; e.g., Berghörsson et al. 2004; Qiu et al. 2014). Cases of HGT involving mitochondrial genomes of parasitic plants have been reported repeatedly over the last couple of decades (reviewed by Petersen et al. 2020). For example, multiple host-to-parasite HGTs of atp1 have been reported in Cytinus (Cytinaceae), Mitrastemon (Mitrastemonaceae), Pilostyles (Apopanthaceae), and Rafflesiaeae (Barkman et al. 2007). Cases of mitochondrial HGT from Cuscuta to Plantago (Plantaginaceae; atp1, atp6, and matR) and Geranium (Geraniaceae; cox2, rpl5, and rps4) have also been recorded (Mower et al. 2004, 2010; Park et al. 2015) as well as those from nuclear genomes of hosts to Cuscuta (Vogel et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019). However, an example of HGT from the mitochondrial genome of a host to Cuscuta has not been reported so far. For example, two recent studies reported a lack of evidence for HGT in mitochondrial genomes of two closely related Cuscuta species from subg. Grammica (Anderson et al. 2021), and of seven species from three subgenera of Cuscuta except Pachystigma (Lin et al. 2022).

Compared with plastomes, mitogenomes of parasitic plants have not been nearly as well studied yet, as pointed out by Petersen et al. (2020). Mitochondrial genomes of angiosperms usually contain genes for subunits of the respiratory chain complexes I (nad genes), II (sdh), III (cob), IV (cox), and V (atp), large and small ribosomal protein (rpl and rps), subunits of a cytochrome c maturation pathway (ccm), maturation (matR), and a subunit of a twin-arginine translocase (tacC or mttB). This represents about 40 protein-coding genes in total, with another three rDNA genes, and about 20 tRNA genes (e.g., Stern and Palmer 1986). However, this number can vary between different taxa, by as much as 20 genes (Knoop 2004; Skipplington et al. 2015; Mower 2020). For example, the number of sdh and ribosomal proteins genes have been known to vary greatly between different plant lineages (Adams et al. 2002). Here we present a mitochondrial phylogenomic analysis of 26 Cuscuta species, including multiple representatives from three out of four subgenera. The primary goal was to characterize these genomes and confirm or reassess phylogeny of Cuscuta based on 31 mitochondrial genes with the previous phylogenetic estimates for this parasitic genus. Also, to further expand available mitochondrial data and to establish if we can find any repeated patterns
in mitochondrial evolution across independently evolved groups of parasitic plants, we sequenced three additional parasitic lineages, from three different orders: Cassytha/Laurales, Krameria/Zygophyllales, and Lennooideae/Boraginales. Possible examples of HGT in the mitochondrial genome of Cuscuta and other sampled groups are also investigated.

Results

Gene Recovery

Frequent recombination makes the linear order of most plant mitochondrial genomes very variable (Gualberto et al. 2014), and causes substantial problems when trying to assemble entire mitochondrial genomes. We did not attempt to assemble complete mitochondrial genomes from next generation sequencing data here, but instead obtained individual mitochondrial genes for our phylogenomic study. We did not find evidence of substantial gene loss in mitochondrial genomes, neither for Cuscuta nor for the other parasitic plants we sampled in this study, when compared with their respective autotrophic relatives. Occasional absences noted in supplementary material figure S1, Supplementary Material online may represent gene loss or a failure of gene recovery due to the relatively low coverage (between 10x to 1,000x) of mitochondrial data. Except for atp1 in two species of Cuscuta subg. Pachystigma, we did not find any other case of HGT in our sampling.

Phylogeny and Analyses of atp1

In our atp1 phylogeny, all Cuscuta species except those belonging to subg. Pachystigma are grouped together with moderate support (89% bootstrap), and are nested within Convolvulaceae (87% bootstrap) and Solanales (fig. 1). However, samples from subg. Pachystigma are nested within Lamiales with high support (99% bootstrap), and are sister to all Lamiales except Plocosperma (Plocospermataceae) and Olea (Oleaceae; fig. 1). The constraint test shows that the phylogeny shown in figure 1 is significantly better than the alternative which includes all Cuscuta atp1 in one clade (approximately unbiased [AU] and Shimodaira–Hasegawa [SH] tests comparing trees from best unconstrained vs. constrained maximum likelihood [ML] analyses: P < 0.03). Atp1 sequences of all Cuscuta individuals sampled possess a complete open reading frame, including those of subg. Pachystigma. For other sampled parasitic plant lineages, atp1 of two (Krameria and Cassytha) are grouped together with their autotrophic relatives (Zygophyllales and Laurales, respectively) with high support (98–100% bootstrap). An exception is the position of the third lineage, Lennooideae, which is not nested within Boraginales, as expected. This relationship received only poor support, along with other backbone relationships (fig. 1). We predicted 19 RNA editing sites in total within the DNA matrix (supplementary material table S1, Supplementary Material online). The deletion of RNA editing sites does not influence the phylogenetical topology and support values for any of the major clades (supplementary material fig. S2, Supplementary Material online).

The putative HGT atp1 of C. africana was found as a part of a long assembly contig (119,092 bp, generated from 22,016 reads; supplementary material fig. S3, Supplementary Material online), together with 13 other mitochondrial genes, including atp4, ccmFc, nad2, rps12, etc. The quantity of C. nitida data was not as ample as that for C. africana; hence, the contig with foreign atp1 (generated from 209 reads) did not include other mitochondrial genes. After mapping back all raw reads of C. africana and C. nitida to the sequence of native Cuscuta atp1, with a total of 16,009 (C. africana, 828.4 average coverage) and 5,023 (C. nitida, 247.8 average coverage) reads, respectively, we found no reads that represented the potential native copy. No part of the HGT atp1 copy is found to be chimeric, that is, a product of recombination between the orthologous and xenologous copies of atp1 after the HGT event. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) survey recovered only the native, vertically transmitted Cuscuta atp1 copy from the control, and only the HGT xenologous copy of atp1, phylogenetically related to Lamiales, from all samples of subg. Pachystigma (supplementary material fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). The selection test shows that when compared with other Cuscuta species, both native and HGT atp1 copies are under strong purifying selection (ω = 0.166 and 0.201, respectively), and are not significantly different (P = 0.583). Similarly, when compared with Lamiales, both native and HGT atp1 copies are also under strong purifying selection (ω = 0.077 and 0.072, respectively), and are not significantly different from each other (P = 0.929).

Mitochondrial Phylogenomic Inference for Cuscuta

In our phylogenetic tree based on all retrieved mitochondrial genes except atp1, all sampled parasitic lineages are grouped together with their respective autotrophic relatives with high support (fig. 2). We predicted 803 RNA editing sites in total within the DNA matrix (supplementary material table S1, Supplementary Material online). The deletion of RNA editing sites does not influence the phylogenetical topology and support values for any of the major clades (supplementary material fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). Within Cuscuta, subg. Cuscuta species are inferred to be the sister group to the rest of sampled Cuscuta, consistent with plastid- and nuclear-derived phylogenies (Garcia et al. 2014), but only with poor support.
However, the phylogeny with atp1 included places subg. Pachystigma as the sister group to the rest, with strong support (Supplementary Material Fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). The constraint test on the alignment without atp1 shows that the original topology is not significantly better compared with the alternative one, in which the relative positions of subg. Pachystigma and Cuscuta are switched around (AU and SH tests comparing trees from best unconstrained vs. constrained ML analyses: $P = 0.451$ and 0.466, respectively). Within subg. Grammica, five samples representing sect. Subulatae are found monophyletic, and placed as the sister to the rest of this subgenus with high support (Fig. 2), in accordance with nuclear-derived phylogenies (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014). Other relationships within closely allied species of subg. Grammica generally received only weak to moderate support (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Mitochondrial Genes of Parasitic Plants and the Effect of RNA Editing Sites

Unlike plastomes, mitochondrial genomes of parasitic plants are generally much more conservative in gene content and substitutional level (Petersen et al. 2020). Previous studies found little evidence of gene loss in the mitochondrial genome of parasitic plants, except in Viscaceae, which have functionally lost all nine nad genes (Petersen et al. 2015; Skippington et al. 2015, 2017). Our results show a similar pattern, where none of our sampled parasitic plant lineages experienced extensive levels of gene loss compared with their autotrophic relatives (Supplementary material Fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). We also find that all Cuscuta we sampled possess fragmented ccmF genes, in which exon 1 splits into two pieces, consistent with the observation in recent studies (Anderson et al. 2021; Lin et al. 2022). Based on the phylogeny, each parasitic lineage is also grouped together with their autotrophic relatives with high support (Fig. 2). Compared with mitogenomes, plastomes of many parasitic plants, especially holoparasitic plants, experienced structural changes and extensive level of gene loss, due to the degradation or even complete loss of photosynthetic function (e.g., Wicke et al. 2011; Braukmann et al. 2013; Molina et al. 2014). For example, all ndh genes (responsible for regulating photosynthetic electron flow, mitigating effects of PS I inhibition, and fine-tuning photosynthesis in dynamic light conditions) are lost or pseudogenized in Cuscuta (Braukmann et al. 2013; Banerjee and Stefanović 2020).
In *Cuscuta* subg. *Cuscuta*, the inverted repeat has also been lost (Banerjee and Stefanovic 2020). Considering the essential role of mitochondrial genes in respiration and biosynthetic pathways, parasitism seems not to have influenced the evolution of those genomes as profoundly as plastomes (Petersen et al. 2020).

RNA editing sites have been shown to potentially mislead phylogeny when edited transcripts of genes are reverse transcribed and then replace the native genomic copies (Bowe and dePamphilis 1996). The deletion of all predicted RNA editing sites from our data sets does not affect the interpretation of our results and conclusion below (supplementary material figs. S2 and S4, Supplementary Material online).

**HGT from Host to *Cuscuta***

The intimate association between parasitic plants and their hosts through haustorial connections makes HGT relatively easy to happen. The active DNA uptake system of the mitochondria also makes it easier to host foreign sequences (Koulintchenko et al. 2003). Although this process may be not as active as in nuclei (Vogel et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019), several examples of HGT from the mitochondrial genome of host-to-parasitic plants, and vice versa, have been recorded in different lineages (reviewed recently by Petersen et al. 2020). However, most of those transfers lead to pseudogenization, with only a few examples of potential replacement of the original mitochondrial genes, regain of genes that had been lost, or coexistence and gene conversion with the original copy (e.g., Berghorsson et al. 2003; Mower et al. 2012; Sanchez-Puerta et al. 2017). Within mitochondrial genes, despite two examples of HGT from *Cuscuta* to *Plantago* and *Geranium* (Mower et al. 2004, 2010; Park et al. 2015), HGT from a host to *Cuscuta* was heretofore unknown. Our results show that mitochondrial *atp1* of *Cuscuta* subg. *Pachystigma* are nested within Lamiales with high support, indicating a potentially ancient transfer from a Lamiales host to *Cuscuta*. The current host range of subg. *Pachystigma* is wide, spanning many species in Asteraceae, Fabaceae, Proteaceae, etc., including some Lamiales members (e.g., *Oftia*, Scrophulariaceae; Meuse and Welman 2000). However, the hosts of today are not necessarily the same as the host of a few millions of years ago. Targeted PCR survey and sequencing confirmed *atp1* HGT for additional samples of *C. nitida* and *C. africana*, indicating that this HGT event likely have
happened in the common ancestor of the whole subgenus. This finding needs to be confirmed with other species belonging to *Cuscuta* subg. *Pachystigma*. In addition to the connection via haustorial parasitism, other direct plant–plant contacts as well as illegitimate pollination and vector-mediated transfer have also been proposed as alternative mechanisms for HGT (Keeling and Palmer 2008; Bock 2010).

Within the nuclear genome of *C. campestris*, multiple HGT events from a variety of different hosts have been identified, including six HGT events from Lamiales (Vogel et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019). In contrast, more recent studies found no evidence of HGT in mitochondrial genes of seven *Cuscuta* species across subg. *Cuscuta*, *Grammica*, and *Monogynella* (Anderson et al. 2021; Lin et al. 2022). *Grammica* is the largest subgenus of *Cuscuta*, comprising about three-fourths of the species diversity of this genus, from which we included 23 samples, representing nearly all of its 15 sections (supplementary material table S1, Supplementary Material online). Despite this thorough and phylogenetically diverse sampling strategy, no additional HGT has been found in our mitochondrial results, supporting the notion that the xenologous copy of *atp1* in subg. *Pachystigma* most likely represents an independent event from those in the nuclear genome in *C. campestris* (Vogel et al. 2018). Anderson et al. (2021) concluded that the apparent lack of mitochondrial HGT in *Cuscuta* may be due to the relatively small size of their mitogenomes, which limits the capacity to integrate foreign DNA. Supporting this notion is a recent finding of “HGT-like” sequences that have been reported within the mitogenome of *C. japonica* (Lin et al. 2022). In this species, belonging to subg. *Monogynella*, the mitochondrial genome is substantially inflated, exceeding 800 kbp in size, and is twice as large as the next largest mitogenomes in *Cuscuta*, those in subg. *Cuscuta*. However, none of its putatively horizontally transferred sequences were found in coding regions (Lin et al. 2022). Our finding indicates that although HGTs overall may be easier to happen in large mitogenomes, the HGTs of coding genes are still likely to be rare events within mitochondrial genomes of *Cuscuta*.

The HGT *atp1* of *C. africana* was found as a part of a long assembly contig together with other 13 mitochondrial genes (supplementary material fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). Along with its high coverage (23.2 average), this strongly supports the notion that the HGT copy of *atp1* is found within the mitochondrial genome of *C. africana*. From the next generation sequencing data of subg. *Pachystigma*, with high total reads (>5,000) and average coverage (>200x), we could not recover any raw reads that represent the original, vertically transmitted copy of *atp1*. We also could not recover an orthologous *atp1* copy from the genome of subg. *Pachystigma* by PCR, despite the fact that the same primers and PCR condition easily amplified the native copy of this gene in the control. This result is consistent with a possible functional replacement of the original gene by the HGT copy. A scenario like this has been reported in other parasitic plants (Sanchez-Puerta et al. 2017). Further supporting this notion, horizontally transferred *atp1* genes have intact open reading frames in both sampled species, and are under strong purifying selection, which strongly suggests that they remain functional. However, this finding needs to be confirmed through expression studies, with reverse transcription-PCR and/or the western blot.

We have not found any example of HGT in other sampled parasitic plants—Lennoaceae, Krameriaceae, and Cassytha (Lauraceae)—congruent with former studies using mitochondrial genes/genomes (Barkman et al. 2007; Zervas et al. 2019). However, our sampling of these lineages and their autotrophic relatives are not as dense as *Cuscuta*.

**Phylogeny of Cuscuta**

Despite the unclear placement of *Cuscuta* within Convolvulaceae (Stefanovic and Olmstead 2004), the backbone phylogeny within *Cuscuta* has been well resolved by plastid and nuclear genes (García et al. 2014; Costea et al. 2015). Subg. *Monogynella* is the sister to the rest of *Cuscuta*, followed by subg. *Cuscuta* sister to a clade containing *Pachystigma* and *Grammica*, all with high support in the plastid (*rbcL*) phylogeny, and moderate to high support in the nuclear (nrLSU) phylogeny (García et al. 2014). Our phylogeny excluding *atp1* is congruent with this topology, although with poor support (fig. 2). However, the phylogeny based on all mitochondrial genes, including *atp1*, provides a strongly supported alternative topology, with subg. *Pachystigma* sister to subgenera *Cuscuta* and *Grammica* (supplementary material fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). As mentioned earlier, the *atp1* HGT in subg. *Pachystigma* contributes substantially to this topological conflict. This conflict further illustrates how HGT can strongly influence the phylogenetic placement of heterotrophic plants, and HGT should be considered in all studies when utilizing mitochondrial genes for phylogenetic purposes.

We also recovered mitochondrial data from sect. Subulatae, a holoparasitic lineage of *Cuscuta* from which no plastid genes have ever been recovered (Braukmann et al. 2013; García et al. 2014). We confirm that this section is the sister group to the rest of subg. *Grammica*, which is congruent with the nuclear phylogeny (García et al. 2014). However, other relationships within subg. *Grammica* are generally without high support. The few informative sites provided by the mitochondrial genome due to its conservative nature may contribute to the low support for those shallow nodes in the phylogeny.
Materials and Methods

Taxon Sampling, DNA Isolation, and Sequencing

We generated mitochondrial genome sequences for 26 Cuscuta species and retrieved sequences of an additional one (Cuscuta gronovii) from GenBank. In total, this represents 14 (out of 18) sections, and 3 (out of 4) subgenera (see supplementary material table S2, Supplementary Material online). Within this comprehensive sampling, 19 species are considered cryptically photosynthetic, whereas 8 species are holoparasitic (3 species in sect. Ceratophorae and all 5 species in sect. Subulatae; supplementary material table S2, Supplementary Material online). We also retrieved sequences of one autotrophic relative from the same family as Cuscuta (Ipomoea nil; Convolvulaceae), and two autotrophic relatives in the same order (Nicotiana tabacum and Solanum aethiopicum; Solanaceae) from GenBank (supplementary material table S1, Supplementary Material online).

Our sampling for three other lineages of independently evolved parasitic plants was representative but less detailed. In Boraginales, we generated mitochondrial genome sequences for two parasitic taxa from the holoparasitic subfamily Lennooideae in Boraginaeae (Lennoa madreporoides and Pholisma arenarium), and one autotrophic close phylogenetic relative from the same family (Tiquilia plicata). Furthermore, we retrieved sequences of one additional autotrophic relative (Echium plantagineum; Boraginaeae) from GenBank (supplementary material table S2, Supplementary Material online). In Zygophyllales, we generated mitochondrial genome sequences for hemiparasitic Krameria erecta (Krameriaeae) and its autotrophic relative from the same order (Tribulus terrestris; Zygophyllaceae). We also retrieved sequences of another hemiparasitic K. lanceolata (Krameriaeae) from GenBank (supplementary material table S2, Supplementary Material online). Finally, in Laurales, we generated mitochondrial genome sequences for hemiparasitic Cassytha filiformis, retrieved sequences of another hemiparasitic Cassytha (Cassytha pubescens) and their autotrophic relative from the same family (Persea americana; Lauraceae) from GenBank (supplementary material table S2, Supplementary Material online).

In aggregate, we generated a 40-taxon mitochondrial matrix that represents three out of four Cuscuta subgenera, four parasitic lineages in different orders (Cuscuta/Solanales; Lennooideae/Boraginaeae; Krameria/Zygophyllaceae; Cassytha/Laurales), and ensured each parasitic lineage has at least one autotrophic close relative within the same family (or the same order when the whole family is parasitic) to compare with. We also retrieved an additional 35 atp1 sequences from GenBank (supplementary material table S2, Supplementary Material online) to represent the diversity of dicots, and thereby provide a broad phylogenetic context in which to study the evolution of atp1 and potential cases of HGT. To this end, we generated a 75-taxon atp1 matrix, including all four Cuscuta subgenera.

Total genomic DNA was isolated from herbarium or silica-dried tissue using the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide method (Doyle and Doyle 1987) and checked for quantity and quality using a Nano Drop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA extractions from P. arenarium, C. strobilacea, and C. kilimanjari were sent to Genome Quebec at McGill University in Montreal, Quebec for library preparation and high-throughput sequencing on their Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform using a 2 x 100 paired-end read format. All other extractions were sent for high-throughput sequencing on an Illumina Hi-seq 2500 platform (2 x 126 bp paired-end reads; The Centre for Applied Genomics, SickKids Hospital, Toronto, Ontario). Demultiplexing of raw reads and the removal of indexing barcodes were performed at the sequencing facility.

Contig Assembly, Sequence Alignment, and Data Matrix Construction

We obtained contigs by performing de novo assemblies using Geneious R10 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand) with default settings, using 20% of raw reads, and selected contigs with an average of >10x coverage. We retrieved mitochondrial genes using the blastn program from BLAST+ NCBI (version NCBI-BLAST-2.2.30+, Camacho et al. 2009), using C. gronovii (KP940494–514) as query. We recovered data for the 31 protein-coding genes common to most Cuscuta, and set up individual gene files for these, each with 40 taxa. We recovered nearly all mitochondrial genes from most taxa (see supplementary material fig. S1, Supplementary Material online), without evidence of substantial gene loss compared with estimates from southern hybridization survey of mitochondrial gene content in angiosperms (Adams et al. 2002). Unrecovered genes were coded as missing data (supplementary material fig. S1, Supplementary Material online).

We conducted initial alignment of individual mitochondrial genes as nucleotides using the MUSCLE (Edgar 2004a, b) online portal, with default settings, and concatenated gene files manually. We then used Mesquite v.3.15 (Maddison and Maddison 2018) to perform manual adjustments of the matrix, following Graham and Olmstead (2000). We also removed several regions hard to align in case they might influence phylogenetic analyses. The final alignment is a 30,222-bp matrix for the concatenated protein-coding genes (for reference, derived from 28,325 bp of unaligned data in C. africana). The atp1 alignment is 1,731-bp matrix long (for reference, derived from 1,521 bp of unaligned data in C. africana). We also generated a concatenated alignment without atp1. In order to avoid potential misleading effects of RNA editing sites on
phylogenetic inference (Bowe and dePamphilis 1996), we used PREPACT 3.12.0 (http://www.prepact.de/prepact-main.php, Lenz et al. 2010; Lenz and Knoop 2013; Lenz et al. 2018) to predict RNA editing sites in our concatenated DNA matrix (with N. tabacum as the reference), and constructed new matrices without those sites (see supplementary material table S1, Supplementary Material online, for locations of predicted sites in matrices). We deposited new sequence data in GenBank (OM749746-OM751323), and alignments on FigShare (https://figshare.com/s/9de6a034d89c5ade148e).

Phylogenetic Analyses
We performed ML analyses using RAxML v7.4.2 (Stamatakis 2006) with a graphical interface (Silvestro and Michalak 2012), considering partitioned likelihood analysis of DNA data. We partitioned nucleotides using a gene by codon (“G x C”) partitioning scheme, starting with initial individual partitions derived from first, second, and third codon positions of each gene, representing 93 initial partitions for the complete concatenated DNA matrix, 90 initial partitions for the concatenated DNA matrix without atp1, and 3 initial partitions for the atp1 matrix. We combined partitions that did not have significantly different substitution models by using PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al. 2016) with the relaxed hierarchical clustering algorithm (r-clustering) and the corrected Akaike Information Criterion, limiting the DNA substitution models under consideration to those implemented in RAxML v.7.4.2 (see supplementary material table S3, Supplementary Material online, for final partitions and models). The final partitioning schemes (supplementary material table S3, Supplementary Material online) include 48 partitions for the complete concatenated DNA matrix, 49 partitions for the concatenated DNA matrix without atp1, and 3 partitions for the atp1 matrix. GTR + G or GTR + I + G DNA substitution models were inferred to be the optimal fit for the DNA version of the matrix; we used the GTR + G model for all partitions as the “1” parameter for invariant sites may be accommodated by the gamma-distribution shape parameter “alpha” (Yang 2006). For all analyses, we ran 20 independent searches for the best tree and estimated branch support using 500 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985). We only ran unpartitioned ML analyses for matrices without RNA editing sites. We also conducted unpartitioned ML searches for the best tree on each individual gene (GTR + G model, five independent searches) to detect potential HGT (see supplementary material fig. S7, Supplementary Material online for each individual gene tree). To find potential cases of HGT, we performed blast searches in GenBank for all sequences with >20x average coverage, and paid especial attention to genes with unexpected phylogenetic placement (supplementary material fig. S7, Supplementary Material online). This allowed us to assess if any parasitic lineage was unexpectedly more similar to some of the more distant taxa, indicative of HGT. We considered highly supported branches to have ≥95% bootstrap support and poorly supported branches to have <70% support, following Soltis and Soltis (2003). We also performed constraint tests on: (1) concatenated matrix without atp1 to evaluate if the placement of subg. Pachystigma in figure 2 is significantly different compared with its placement obtained from the alignment with atp1 (supplementary material fig. S6, Supplementary Material online); and (2) atp1 matrix to see if the phylogeny which places all Cuscuta atp1 in one clade is significantly different compared with the phylogeny shown in figure 1. We found the shortest likelihood tree that satisfied constraint of monophyly of subg. Cuscuta and Grammica, and monophyly of all Cuscuta atp1 in RAxML, and compared the resulting tree sets to test if the constrained trees were significantly worse, using the AU (Shimodaira 2002) and SH tests in CONSEL (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 2001), considering site-likelihoods from unpartitioned ML analysis.

HGT Confirmation and Tests
To try to recover the native atp1 copy in the genomes of C. africana and C. nitida, if it existed, we first mapped back all next generation sequencing raw reads of these two species to the orthologous atp1 sequence of C. gronovii in Geneious R10. To confirm the HGT of atp1 in Cuscuta subg. Pachystigma, we also conducted PCRs on additional samples of C. africana (Stefanovic SS-17-141) and C. nitida (Stefanovic SS-17-126, Stefanovic SS-17-143), with C. psorothamnensis (Stefanovic SS-13-07) as the control outside of subg. Pachystigma. All vouchers are deposited in the Herbarium of the University of Toronto Mississauga. We used atp1-1 (5’-CATTCGATCACAGAKCCRTT-3’) and atp1-5 (5’-GAGCTGGAGAACTMACVAVTC-3’) as primers. Under routine PCR conditions (1 unit of regular Taq DNA polymerase per 50 μl reaction, final concentration of 1 x reaction buffer, 200 μM dNTPs, 200 nM primers, 55 °C primer annealing temperature, 90 s extension at 72°C, 35 cycles), this primer combination is expected to be able to amplify both HGT atp1 xenolog as well as native, vertically transmitted Cuscuta atp1 copy.

After finding no evidence of the native, orthologous copy of atp1 in the representatives of subg. Pachystigma, either by bioinformatics means or by molecular techniques, we wanted to investigate the possibility that the HGT atp1 sequence found in these two species could have been chimeric in nature. To that end, we conducted a recombination test using GENECONV v. 1.81 (Sawyer 1989).

We also used the CodeML module in PAML4.8 (Yang 2007) to assess changes in selective regime in HGT atp1 gene in Cuscuta subg. Pachystigma. The objective was to
test hypotheses of different $\omega$ values (ratio of non synonymous substitutions per nonsynonymous site to synonymous substitutions per synonymous site) for the HGT $atp1$ copy, compared separately with the original Cuscuta or Lamiales $atp1$ homologs shown in figure 1. We built two codon-based “branch” models, which can detect differences in selection regimes in particular lineages (Yang 2007). In the simpler model (M0, one ratio), all branches evolve under one $\omega$-ratio. In the alternative model (M1, two ratios), HGT $atp1$ was allowed to evolve under a different $\omega$-ratio than the original Cuscuta or Lamiales $atp1$. We removed taxa in alignments lacking >10% sequence data and regions with indels that resulted in missing data for 90% or more of the taxa and built a matrix with 22 Cuscuta taxa, and a matrix with eight Lamiales taxa shown in figure 1 and two Cuscuta subg. Pachystigma. We used the likelihood ratio test statistic $-2(\ln M1 - \ln M0)$ to compare the fit of the null versus alternative model, and calculated $P$-values based on a $\chi^2$ test with 1 degree of freedom.
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