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Abstract

Background

The male reproductive specific class-A β-defensins are adsorbed on sperm surface and enrich sperm functioning thus considered vital for maintaining male fertility. The primate DEFB129 play role in sperm maturation, motility, and fertilization but its contribution to bovine fertility is still unexplored.

Method

RLM-RACE and RT-qPCR approaches were used to characterize and expression analysis of Indian cattle BBD129 gene. The polymorphism analysis of the BBD129 gene was done by PCR, sequencing, and absolute RT-qPCR on sperm gDNA from distinct fertility cattle bulls. Bioinformatic analysis was performed to understand the structural and functional implications of SNP on BBD129 protein.

Results

The complete coding sequence of the BBD129 gene consists of 582 bp mRNA including UTRs and conserves all beta-defensin-like characteristics. Sequencing results revealed two conserved non-synonymous T169G (rs378737321, S57A) and A329G (rs383285978, N110S) SNPs in the functional protein-coding exon. Based on SNP position and linkage, BBD129 gene haplotypes were categorized into four groups: TA haplotype (169T & 329A), GA haplotype (T169G polymorphism), TG haplotype (A329G polymorphism), and GG haplotype (when T169G & A329G polymorphisms present together). The frequencies distributions of BBD129 haplotypes in the high fertile group (n=105 clones) were: TA (71.42%), GA (1.90%), TG (2.8%), and GG (24.76%), while in the low fertile group of bulls, the frequencies distributions of observed BBD129 haplotypes (n=149 clones) were: TA (36.24%), GA (0%), TG (2.68%), and GG (61.07%). The distributions of TA haplotype were majorly distributed in bulls with a high conception rate (P=0.5256) while double mutated GG haplotype was significantly more abundant in bulls with a lower conception rate (P=0.0001). BBD129 exist as a single-copy gene in the bovine genome and found higher expression in the corpus-epididymis region. Bioinformatic analyses found nsSNPs as neutral and non-deleterious but their structural-distorter could result in altered mRNA secondary structure, protein conformations decreased protein stability, and compromised biological functionalities. The polymorphisms resulted in altered O-glycosylations (deletion S57A and insertion N110S) and an increase in phosphorylations (S2T-Threonine and N110S-Serine) post-translational-modifications.

Conclusion

BBD129 gene polymorphism could be associated with the fertility performance of cattle bulls.

Introduction

The molecular mechanism of reproduction is a complex process involving thousands of genes encode for proteins or glycoproteins and any defect or mutation in these genes directly or indirectly influences the process of gametogenesis, transportation of sperm to the egg, fertilization, and embryo formation [1-3]. In the last decade, advances in molecularomics (genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics) technologies has exceedingly improved the identification of fertility associated markers for selecting the best breeding domestic animals [4-7]. The testicular spermatozoa are non-motile and do not have the fertilizing ability, therefore, the complete sperm maturation takes place in the epididymis where they are exposed to a consortium of molecules such as lipids, organic ions, energy components, enzymes, and glycoproteins secretions from the epididymis epithelial cells in order to attain maturity for fertilization [8-9]. These uptakes of epididymal glycoproteins on sperm surface protect sperm from premature capacitation, acrosomal reaction and help sperm to cross the hostile female reproductive tract (FRT) barriers including cervical mucus passage, uterine immune evasion, OECs binding, and zona interactions [2, 8, 10-13]. The presence of an essential class of glycosylated beta-defensin proteins is one of the crucial modifications of sperm surface during epididymal maturation [9].

β-defensin (BD) is a most ancient class of defensin, it contains C1-C5, C2-C4, C3-C6 disulde linkages [14-16] that give rises to the typical BD motif with defensin fold containing three antiparallel beta-strands [17-19]. Most of the reported BD genes are encoded by two exons and show a conserved signal sequence. The signal peptide encoded by the first exon and cleaved off to give mature functional peptide which encoded by second exon. Amino acids exposed on the surface of functional proteins are conserved at cysteine positions suggesting the stabilization of core configuration of protein structure for their conserved antimicrobial functions in the species-specific adaptation during the evolution [20-22]. Although originally defensins were intact, during the course of evolution, β-defensin genes have undergone duplications and non-synonymous mutations giving rise to different clusters with region-specific functions and also have gained their reproductive specific functions [14, 23]. Out of many, class-A BDs (including DEFB126 and DEFB129) have shown age-specific and sex-specific expressions signifying their pleiotropic physiological relevance in humans [24], macaque [10-11, 25], rat [26-27], bovine [28], ovine [18], porcine [29], and equine [30]. In primates, the heavily glycosylated DEFB126 and rat DEFB22 (orthologs) are coated on the sperm surface which facilitates sperm functioning, and releasing of these BDs during capacitation events in the FRT are essential for the sperm-zona interactions [25]. The polymorphisms in the BDs gene lead to decreased milk contents [31-32], increased round cells in semen [33], compromised sperm ability to cross mucus penetration ability [2, 34], the binding with OECs [10, 35] and egg binding [2]. The addition of recombinant BDs (r-BDs) to the deficient spermatozoa, improved their antimicrobial activity, motility [36, 37], OECs, and zona binding abilities [2, 10]. The buffalo beta-defensin 129 (BuBD129) gene has emerged as a novel orthologous of primate DEFB126 in bovine bearing maximum expression and long high potential glycosylated tail than other member of class-A beta-defensins (CA-BDs) [15, 23]. Rat DEFB129 or human DEFB116 expressed in the epididymis binds to the sperm surface chemokine receptor CCR6 and effects the sperm motility by influencing the calcium ion influx [38].

In spite of their importance, however, the genomic characterization of most of the β-defensin genes across the species including bovine remains incomplete and predictive in nature. Keeping in mind all importance of CA-BDs in male reproduction, the present study aimed to determine and characterization of complete architecture of the BBD129 gene sequence including UTR regions derived from random MRT of matured bull and also, determination of BBD129
mRNA expression patterns in the MRT. Finally to relate associations of BBD129 gene polymorphisms with high fertile and low fertile cattle bulls bearing distinct conception rates based on the first and second artificial inseminations data.

Materials And Methods

Cattle male reproductive tissue sample collection and RLM-RACE assay to amplify BBD129 mRNA

Sample collection and processing: The matured male reproductive tract (MRT) of Indian cattle (n=3 biological replicates, and age 3 to 5 years old) were collected from the Kolkata cattle abattoir, Kolkata, India. MRT samples were collected and washed with 1X PBS saline buffer solution and to maintain RNA quality, samples were frozen in the dry ice within 10-15 min of slaughter. In the laboratory, MRT tissues viz. Rete testes (RT), seminiferous tubule (ST), caput, corpus, cauda, and vas-deferens (supplementary fig 1) were dissected with a sterile scalpel into the 3 to 5 mm size pieces under the sterile condition and dipped into RNA later solution (Sigma, USA) and stored into the liquid nitrogen for further experiments.

RNA extraction and DNase I treatment

Based on prior literatures information [23, 25], the caudal tissues were selected for the total RNA extraction in cross-bred cattle. Total RNA extraction was done by TRizol (Qiazo, USA) methodology (supplementary file 1). To remove genomic DNA contamination, DNase I (ThermoFisher, catalog # 18047019) treatment was done as per company manufactured protocol. RNA quantification was done by NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo NanoDrop Technologies, USA). The absorbance ratio A260/280 and A260/230 were approximate 2.0 for samples used in this work (supplementary fig 2). The quality of the total RNA was analyzed on non-denaturing (1.2%) agarose (Lonzar, Switzerland).

RLM-RACE primer designing strategy, cDNA synthesis and RACE PCR

To amplify the cattle BBD129 mRNA sequence, two sets of primers were designed from the coding region of the BBD129 gene as suggested by the company procedure. The BBD129 mRNA sequence of Bos taurus cattle was retrieved from the ENSEMBL database (transcript ID: DEF129-201 ENSBTAT0000064705.2). All primers were designed by using NCBI primer-Blast tool [39] and the primers parameters were checked by Oligocal [40]. The sequences of primers are given in the supplementary table 1.

RLM-RACE kit (Ambigon, catalog #1700, USA) was used for the amplification of 5’ and 3’ends of BBD129 mRNA and the procedure has been described in supplementary file 1. Reverse transcription: SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, catalog #18091050, USA) was used for cDNA synthesis as per the company manufacturer’s protocol. The 5’ adapter-ligated RNA (10µl) was used as a template and negative Tobacco Acid Phosphatase control and non-template control (NTC) were run to check any gDNA contamination. Briefly, 200 ng of total RNA was mixed with random hexamer & oligo_(dT)n primers (1µl each), and DEPC-treated water into a sterile 200 µl PCR tube followed by incubation at 65°C for 5 minutes and immediate ice incubation for 2 minutes. After that, the 5X SuperScript IV reaction buffer, RNase inhibitor, dNTP mix, and RNase inhibitor, SuperScript IV reverse transcriptase was added to make final volume 20 µl PCR reaction followed by incubation at 55°C for 30 min in a PCR thermal cycler (BioMetra T-Professional Basic Gradient PCR Machine, Germany). The reverse transcriptase was inactivated as per the kit suggested protocol (heating at 90°C for 10 min). 5’ RLM-RACE PCR. The 5’ RLM-RACE outer and inner PCR were performed using primers sets mentioned in supplementary table 1. A 50µl of PCR reaction composition was consisting a 5’ adapter-ligated cDNA template, 5X Phusion HF buffer, dNTPs, primers, Phusion DNA polymerase, and nuclease-free water. The PCR thermal profile was: initial denaturation (98°C, for 30 sec) denaturation (98°C for 30 sec), annealing (63°C for 30 sec) and extension (72°C for 45 seconds) followed by 35 cycles and a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. Two negative control reactions viz. negative Tobacco Acid Phosphatase treated cDNA and non-template reaction were run to confirm full-length RNA integrity and gDNA contamination, respectively. The amplified products were run on 2% agarose gel. 3’ RACE PCR. Total RNA extraction and reverse transcription reaction were done as described above. The 3’ RACE adapter (5’-GGAGGGCCAGGACATTATACGACTCACTATAGTT12VN3’) was used as primer in reverse transcription. The 3’ RLM-RACE outer and inner PCR were performed similarly to the 5’ RLM-RACE PCR. One cDNA PCR was also run with cDNA primers with PCR reaction composition and thermal profile as mentioned in 5’ RACE PCR for amplification of the internal region of BBD129 mRNA. The list of primers used in this study is given in supplementary table 1.

Cloning and sequencing of amplified BBD129 mRNA.

Cloning: The cloning was done by using CloneJET 1.2 kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, #K1231). Ligation reactions of 20 µl volume was consisting pJET1.2 blunt cloning vector, T4 DNA ligase, T4 DNA ligase buffer, PCR eluted 3’ and 5’ RLM-RACE products and nuclease-free water. Reactions were mixed gently followed by incubation at room temperature (RT) for 1 hour and subsequently used for the bacterial transformation. Transformation: The competent XL-1 Blue strain of E.coli cells (50 µl) was taken from -80°C and thawed on ice for 15 minutes. A 10 µl of ligated reaction was added to competent XL-1 Blue E.coli cells and incubated on ice for 30 min after that cells were challenged for heat transformation at 48°C in water-bath for 90 sec followed by immediate ice incubation for 10 min. A 900 µl of Lysogeny broth (LB) media (Hi-Media, India) and 100 µl of 1M glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added to the tube and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour in a shaker incubator followed by plating on LB agar medium containing ampicillin antibiotic (50 µg/ml) and plates were incubated at 37°C for overnight. Colony PCR confirmation: Overnight grown colonies were processed for the colony PCR. The colony PCR reaction compositions and thermal profile were similar as mentioned in the RLM-RACE PCR. The positive clones processed further for plasmid isolation. Plasmid isolation and plasmid PCR. It was performed manually by alkaline lysis method as mentioned in the supplementary file 1. The quantity and quality of isolated plasmids were evaluated by NanoDrop ND1000 spectrophotometer and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (AGE).

Characterization of cattle BBD129 gene and phylogenetic analysis

Genomic characterization: Sequencing result were BLAST (BLASTn and BLASTp) against cattle genome (Bos taurus x Bos indicus) on NCBI (UOA_Brahman_1 GCF_003369695.1) and USSC-Genome Browser (Apr. 2018 ARS-UCD1 2/bosTau9). Cysteine bond pairing prediction was done by DiANNA Web server (41).
**Secondary structure prediction** was done by PSIPRED and SOPMA server [42-43]. **Signal peptide prediction** was done by Signal IP 5.0 server [44]. **Biological function prediction** was done by Argot2 server [45]. The complete sequence of cattle BBD129 gene was submitted on NCBI-BankIt [46].

**Evolutionary phylogenetic tree analysis:** The protein sequences of the DEFB129 gene from ruminants and non-ruminants mammalian species were retrieved from the NCBI database by using “beta-defensin 129” keyword (supplementary file 2). To find the evolutionary relationships between cattle BBD129 (Bovidae family) identified by RLM-RACE and its neighbor a family, a phylogenetic evolutionary analysis was performed by the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model by using MEGA 10 bioinformatics tool [47]. This analysis involved 29 protein sequences of DEFB129 genes. The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 1000 replicates was taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analyzed.

**Amplification of BBD129 gene from sperm gDNA**

**Collection of frozen semen and sperm genomic DNA extraction**

**Sample collection:** Eleven distinct fertility cattle bulls were selected on the basis of their first and second artificial inseminations conception rate (CR) data available at the Artificial Breeding Research Center, NDRI, India. Cattle bulls with CR less than 31% were classified in the low fertile group and bulls with CR with more than 50% were classified in the high fertile group (Table 1). Frozen semen samples of selected cattle bulls of distinct fertility (5 bulls from high and six from low) were collected into liquid nitrogen. **Genomic DNA isolation:** Frozen semen samples were thawed by immersing them into the pre-warmed 37°C distills water for 30 sec and contents were collected into the 15ml falcon tubes containing 8ml of washing buffer-A (150mM NaCl and 10mM EDTA) and centrifuged at 800g for 10 min. Pellets were dissolved into the 300 µl of lysis buffer-B (100mM TRIS-Cl, 0.5M NaCl and 1% SDS) and 100µl of 1M DTT (Dithiothreitol) followed by incubation for 15 min at RT. After that, 100µl of Proteinase-K enzyme (0.2 mg/ml) was added to the tube followed by overnight incubation at 55°C in the dry bath. The sperm gDNA was isolated by Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyalcohol (PCI) method [48]. The quality and quantity of gDNA were measured by NanoDrop ND1000 spectrophotometer and 1% AGE.

**DEFB129 genomic DNA amplification and cloning**

Two sets of primers were designed by using the NCBI primer tool to amplify both the exons of the BBD129 gene. PCR reactions of 50µl contained 2µl of each primers [exon 1 (Forward = 5’AGGTTCTTCTTTTGTACATGAA3', Reverse = 5’AACCAGATCCAGGTCTCTT-G3') and BBD129 exon 2 (Forward = 5’CCATCAACGTCCTAACCCT3’, Reverse = 5’CT-GAGGCTTCTGGAGAA3’)], dNTPs, Phusion buffer 5X, Phusion high fidelity polymerase, genomic DNA template and remaining nuclease-free water. PCR thermal reaction profile were: initial denaturation at 98°C for 5 min, denaturation 98°C for 30 sec, annealing 58.2°C for BBD129 exon 1 and 60°C for BBD129 exon 2, and polymerization at 72°C for 40 sec. The 35 cycles were run followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. NTC was included as a negative control to check nuclease acid conditions. **Bacterial cloning and PCR** was done as mentioned above (Cloning and sequencing of amplified BBD129 mRNA section) with the following modifications: exon 1 primer (Forward = 5’AGGTTCTTCTTTTGTACATGAA3’, Reverse = 5’AACCAGATCCAGGTCTCTT-G3’), exon 2 primers (Forward = 5’CCATCAACGTCCTAACCCT3’, Reverse = 5’CT-GAGGCTTCTGGAGAA3’) and annealing (58.2°C for BBD129 exon 1 and 60°C for BBD129 exon 2). Positive plasmids were processed for sequencing.

**Absolute quantification of BBD129 gene copy number**

The protocols and procedures used for the optimization of primer concentration, gDNA template, and generation of the standard curve are provided in supplementary file 1. To determine the absolute copy number of BBD129 gene in genomic DNA of distinct fertility cattle bulls, the RT-qPCR reaction was set up with the following components and thermal profile: SYBR (5 µl), forward primer (1.5µl), reverse primer (1.5 µl), nuclease-free water, and gDNA template (2µl of 1.25ng/µl). The thermal profile was: initial denaturation (95°C for 3 min), denaturation (95°C for 10 sec), annealing (49°C for 15 sec), extension (72°C for 15 min), and repeated run for 35 cycles. After the amplification, a melting peak analysis with a temperature gradient of 0.5°C/sec from 65°C to 95°C was performed to ensure specificity and primer-dimer formation. RT-qPCR reaction efficiency was done.

**The expression analysis of Indian cattle BBD129 by RT-qPCR assay**

**Reverse transcription and Primer designing**

Total RNA extraction from frozen cattle MRT tissues and cDNA synthesis was done as mentioned above (RNA extraction & DNase I treatment and RLM-RACE primer designing strategy, cDNA synthesis and RACE PCR sections). The primers were designed from our RLM-RACE BBD129 product (supplementary table 1). GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) and eEF-2 (eukaryotic elongation factor 2) reference genes were chosen based on the literature [18, 49]. RT-qPCR optimization and validation of reference genes are provide in supplementary le 1. To determine the absolute copy number of BBD129 gene in genomic DNA of distinct fertility cattle bulls, the RT-qPCR reaction was set up with the following components and thermal profile: SYBR (5 µl), forward primer (1.5µl), reverse primer (1.5 µl), nuclease-free water, and gDNA template (2µl of 1.25ng/µl). The thermal profile was: initial denaturation (95°C for 3 min), denaturation (95°C for 10 sec), annealing (49°C for 15 sec), extension (72°C for 15 min), and repeated run for 35 cycles. After the amplification, a melting peak analysis with a temperature gradient of 0.5°C/sec from 65°C to 95°C was performed.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis of the obtained data was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 (IBM, Chicago, IL). The significant differences were estimated using One-Way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test. The data were presented as mean ± SE.

**Abbreviations**

BBD129 = Beta-defensin 129
DEFB129 = Defensin family 129 gene
CR = conception rate
MRT = marts
NDRI = National Dairy Research Institute
PCR = Polymerase Chain Reaction
RT-qPCR = Reverse Transcriptase quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction
TCR = T-cell receptor
Taq = Thermus aquaticus
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by using a serial dilution by using BBD129 gene-specific primers for the MRT tissues. RT-qPCR efficiency was calculated according to the E = 10(-1/slope) [50].

**Cattle BBD129 RT-qPCR assay**

The relative expression of the cattle BBD129 gene was done as duplicate in a 10 µl reaction volume with RT-qPCR thermal profile: initial denaturation (95°C for 3 min), denaturation (95°C for 10 sec), annealing (49°C for 15 sec, extension (72°C for 15 min), and 35 cycles were run. After the amplification, a melting peak analysis with a temperature gradient of 0.5°C/sec from 65°C to 95°C was performed to ensure specificity and primer-dimer formation. A non-template control was run to cross-confirmation of reaction component nucleic acid contamination.

**Bioinformatics analysis of cattle BBD129 polymorphism**

BLASTn and BLASTp alignment analysis was used for the nucleotide and protein search alignment, respectively [51].

**Prediction of functional impacts of nsSNPs on mRNA secondary structure**

To predict mRNA secondary structure, FASTA formats of native and mutated mRNA were submitted to the RNAfold server [52]. It provides three structural information i) conventional secondary structure graph, ii) Dot plot, iii) Mountain plot. A mountain plot is an x-y graph and shows minimum free energy structural curve, centroid structural curve, partition function curve and positional entropy resulting from the pair probabilities.

**Prediction of functional impacts of nsSNPs on protein stability and functionalities**

PROVEN (Protein Variation Effect Analyzer) [53], SIFT (Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant) [54], Polyphen-I (Polymorphism Phenotyping-I) & Polyphen-2 [29], SNPs & GO [55], and PhD-SNP [56] are sequenced and SVM based bio-computational tools used for prediction of the impact of observed amino acid substitutions (S57A & N110S) on BBD129 protein structural information and functions. The impact may neutral (tolerant) and disease-causing (non-tolerant) depending on the alignment and physicochemical properties of amino acids. Polyphen algorithm score denotes substitution benign if the score is <0.4, possibly damaging (0.4-0.9), and probably damaging (>0.9). SIFT alignment score denotes deleterious (<0.05) and neutral (>0.05). PROVEN algorithm score denotes deleterious (<-2.5) and neutral (>2.5) [57], SNAP2 predict the disease-related variants [58], I-Mutant2.0 predict protein stability depending on SVM and ProTherm databases, helped to evaluate AAS free energy changes values (DG = DGmutant – DG wild type) [59] MAPP (multivariate analysis of protein polymorphisms) was used for the interpretation of missense variant causing deleterious effect [60]. PSIPRED and SOPMA servers were used for the prediction of the impact of nsSNPs on protein secondary structure. *Biological function prediction* was done by ArgoT2 server [45]. The translated amino acid sequences of native and mutated BBD129 gene were submitted above servers.

**Prediction of impact of snSNPs on protein physicochemical properties and post-translational modifications**

ProtParam [61] and Mupro [62] bioinformatics tools were used to computes various physicochemical properties such as molecular weight, theoretical pl, amino-acids composition, atomic compositions, aliphatic index, instability, extinction coefficient, protein stability and estimated half-life. Post-translational modifications: i) O-glycosylation site prediction was done by using NetOGlyc 4 server [63] and GlycoEP standard predictor under the composition profile of pattern [64], ii) N-glycosylation site prediction was done by using NetNGLy 1.0 server [65] which is ANN (artificial neural networks) based that examine the sequence motif of Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr amino-acid sequence. iii) Phosphorylation site prediction was done by using NetPhos 3.1 server [66] which works based on neural networks. The translated amino acid sequences of native and mutated BBD129 gene were submitted above servers.

**Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Prism 5.0 [67] unpaired T-test was used for the analysis of distributions of SNPs and absolute quantification of copy number variations in the distinct fertility bulls. A P-value <0.05 was set to be statistically significant. The geometrical mean sample Cq (cycle of quantification) values for cross-bred BBD129 transcript in triplicate samples and relative expression ratio of BBD129 gene expression was computed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ (Threshold cycle) method [68] and implemented by the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. GAPDH and eEF2 were used as reference genes in the expression experiments. The BBD129 gene expression in the seminiferous tubule region of MRT was used to normalize Cq values obtained from other MRT regions. The differential BBD129 gene expression level was analyzed by ANOVA (Tukey post-hoc correction test) in GraphPad Prism 5.0.

**Complete coding BBD129 gene in Indian cattle**

RLM-RACE was performed on the corpus-testicular RNA for the complete amplification of bovine BBD129 mRNA. The 5’ RLM-RACE inner PCR had given a band of approximately 190bp long product while 3’ RACE PCR had given an approximately 300 bp long amplification product (supplementary fig 3). The BBD129 5’; 3’, and cDNA PCR sequences obtained were aligned to each other to generate a complete coding sequence of BBD129 mRNA. The FASTA sequences of amplified product are provided in supplementary file 3. The BBD129 gene is located on chromosome 13 between the nucleotide coordinates chr13: 22660780-22799850 (NCBI: UOA_Brahman_1 GCF_003369695.1 and USSC: Apr. 2018 ARS-UCD1.2/bosTau9) and anked by the LOC11390270 gene sequences of amplified produced are provided in supplementary file 3. The BBD129 gene is located on chromosome 13 between the nucleotide coordinates chr13: 22660780-22799850 (NCBI: UOA_Brahman_1 GCF_003369695.1 and USSC: Apr. 2018 ARS-UCD1.2/bosTau9) and anked by the LOC11390270 gene sequences of amplified produced are provided in supplementary file 3.
predicted for conserved three beta-sheets secondary structure, immune defensive roles, efficiency to bind with CCR6 chemokine receptor and lipopolysaccharides binding, and extensive post-translation modifications especially O-glycosylations and phosphorylations (fig 1 and supplementary table 1).

The multiple sequence alignment (MSA) and phylogenetic analyses of the BBD129 gene across the twenty-nine mammalian species indicate a strong evolutionary relationship between the Indian cattle BBD129 gene and the Bovidae family BBD129 (fig 2; supplementary fig 5). The Camelidae and Equidae families show relationships next to the Bovidae family. MSA analysis found Indian cattle is structurally similar to Bos taurus except for few amino acids.

Association of cattle BBD129 sequence variations with bull fertility

The BBD129 gene was amplified from sperm gDNA of distinct fertility bulls and the full length of exon first (507bp) and exon second (607bp) were achieved by amplification of intrinsic flanking regions of exons (fig 3). To determine the polymorphisms in the BBD129 gene in the distinct fertility bulls, we sequenced 254 clones from 11 bulls. There was no polymorphism observed in exon first. However, in exon second, we observed two missense or non-synonymous SNPs at positions 169th (T169G cDNA position, rs378737321) and 329th (A329G cDNA position, rs383285978) in the gDNA from distinct fertility bulls (Fig 4; Supplementary fig 6A). After translating nucleotide to amino acid sequence, we have also observed substitutions at the amino acids levels. In the BBD129 protein, the serine amino acid at the 57th position is replaced by alanine (S57A/rs378737321) while asparagine amino acid is replaced by serine at the 110th position (N110S/rs383285978) (Fig 4; supplementary fig 6B). Based on polymorphism position and linkage, BBD129 haplotypes were categorized into four groups: TA haplotype (169T & 329A), GA haplotype (T169G polymorphism), TG haplotype (A329G polymorphism), and GG haplotype (when T169G & A329G polymorphisms present together). The frequencies distributions of haplotypes in the high fertile group (n=105 clones) were: TA (71.42%), GA (1.90%), TG (2.8%), and GG (24.76%), while in the case of low fertile group bulls, the frequencies distributions of observed haplotypes (n=149 clones) were: TA (36.24%), GA (0%), TG (2.68%), and GG (61.07%) (Fig 5; Table 1). There was no significant difference in TA haplotype distribution in the high fertile and low fertile groups (P=0.5256) but there was a 35.15% difference in BBD129 TA haplotype in both the group of high fertile and low fertile bulls. There was a significant difference in GG haplotypes frequency distribution in the low fertile bulls as compared to high fertile bulls (P=0.0002). The distribution of double mutated GG haplotype was found an association with bull's low conception rate (table 1).

Copy number variation in BBD129 gene in distinct fertility cattle bulls

In order to investigate the fertility related association in the copy number of the bovine beta-defensin 129 (BBD129) gene, we examined the genomic copy number of the BBD129 gene in two groups of distinct fertility cross-bred bulls (n=11). Standard curve-based quantification of the BBD129 gene was carried out by absolute real-time quantitative PCR. The known concentration (copy number) of the plasmids carrying the gene of BBD129 fragment was used to construct the standard curve for the BBD129 gene by plotting the log concentration values against the crossing point (Cq) values (Supplementary fig 7 & 8). Crossing point (Cq) values were determined from the RT-qPCR run of equal concentration of genomic DNA (1.25 ng/ul) of distinct fertility categorized bulls. The universal bovine CSN2 (casein beta) gene was used as a single-copy number reference gene. The absolute Cq values and their log transformation for BBD129 gene and CSN2 control are provided in supplementary table 1. It was observed that the mean copy numbers of CSN2 and BBD129 genes did not vary significantly between bulls of distinct fertility (Fig 6) suggesting a single copy of BBD129 gene in bovine genome and figure 10B suggesting no significant difference of BBD129 gene CNV in high fertile and low fertile bulls.

Expression of beta-defensin BBD129 gene in male reproductive tract

The relative distribution of BBD129 expression was analyzed in adult cattle MRT. The expression dynamics of BBD129 were slightly different from the other mammalian species reported earlier, the higher expression of BBD129 was observed in the corpus segment of the epididymis as compared to other MRT tissues (viz. ST, RT, caput, cauda and VD) (Fig 7). The corpus regions show 14.2 fold higher expression of the BBD129 gene than the normalizer rete testis region. The order of reducing expression of BBD129 expression was corpus, cauda, VD, RT, and caput region. The details of mean difference and P-value have provided in supplementary table 1.

Possible impact of nsSNPs on BBD129 protein

Possible impact of nsSNPs on mRNA structure

Polymorphism in the BBD129 gene can alter base-pairing probability in the mRNA secondary structure (Supplementary fig 9). The optimal mfe of native BBD129 mRNA (no polymorphism) was predicted at -93.77 kcal/mol while in double mutated BBD129 minimum free energy was -98.20 kcal/mol. The free energy of the native BBD129 thermodynamic ensemble was free -102.28 kcal/mol and ensemble diversity value was 142.05 while in the case of double mutated BBD129 thermodynamic ensemble free energy was -107.88 kcal/mol and ensemble diversity value was 119.47. The minimum free energy of native BBD129 centroid secondary structure was 46.40 kcal/mol while in double mutated the value was predicted 61.78 kcal/mol. The MFE structural curve, the thermodynamic ensemble of RNA structural curve, the centroid structural curve and entropy changes in the mutated BBD129 mRNA can be seen in the mountain plot (Fig 8)

Possible impact of nsSNPs on protein stability

There are many tools used for the predictions of the deleterious effect of observed nsSNPs on BBD129 protein. Polyphen-I, PROVEN, SNPs & GO PhD-SNP, Meta-SNP, and PredictSNP have predicted as neutral and non-deleterious effect of observed SNPs on BBD129 protein. SNPs to be benign in both HumDIv and HumVar models analyzing. The deleterious effective score of observed rs378737321 SNP was 0.002 with 0.99 sensitivity and 0.30 specificity and the deleterious effective score of rs383285978 SNP predicted as 0.004 with 0.97 sensitivity and 0.59 specificity (supplementary fig 10). Similarly, SIFT, SNAP, and
MAPP predicted S57A mutation as deleterious or disease-causing polymorphism and N110S mutation as neutral. I-Mutant2.0 server predicted both nsSNPs as structure distorters. The result details of the above bioinformatics servers are provided in table 2.

**Possible impact of nsSNPs on physiochemical properties of BBD129 protein**

Comparative ProtParam results between native BBD129 protein sequence and double mutated protein sequence have shown major alterations in the molecular weight, polar and non-polar amino acids, instability index, aliphatic index, and GRAVY (supplementary table 1). PSI-PRED and SOPMA servers predicted alterations in the protein secondary structure especially in the helix and coils (Fig 4). SOPMA predicted native protein sequence with 33.77 % alpha-helix, 1.32 % beta-tum, 10.60 % extended strand, and 54.30 % random coil, however, in the mutated BBD129 protein the alfa helix increase by 5.3 % while the beta-tum and random coil regions decrease about 1.32 % and 3.97 %, respectively. Alterations in BBD129 protein stability of missense variants were examined by MUPro software. MUPro predicted that both the substitutions negatively affect the protein stability of BBD129 protein. The substitution of serine to alanine amino acid at 57th position (S57A_rs378737321) decreased the protein stability by confidence score -0.83258649 and -0.998569279497182 in Support Vector Machine and Neural Network Machine, respectively. The substitution of asparagine to serine amino acid at 110th position (N110S_rs378737321) decreased the protein stability by confidence score -0.63001616 and -0.65131013529855 in Support Vector Machine and Neural Network Machine, respectively (supplementary table 1).

**Possible impact of nsSNPs on BBD129 protein post-translation modification**

NetOglyc 4.0 and GlycoEP servers predicted one deletion of the O-glycosylation site at the 57th amino acid position and one insertion of new O-glycosylation site at the 110th amino acid position in the double mutated BBD129 protein (Fig 4; supplementary table 1). SNPs have no effect on Nglycosylation. NetPhos 3.1 predicted fifteen sites for phosphorylations in the BBD129 TA haplotype protein (supplementary table 1) while two possible additional phosphorylation sites were predicted in the double mutated BBD129 GG haplotype protein. The motif CKKKTCIR (52nd amino acid) predicted as a potential candidate for threonine phosphorylation with 0.545/0.503 scores for Phosphokinase-G/Phosphokinase-A enzymes. Another motif IKSASAFAK (110th amino acid) was predicted as a new potential candidate for serine phosphorylation for PKC enzyme with a 0.617 score. These new phosphorylation sites were the result of S57A and N110S substitutions, respectively (Fig 4 and supplementary table 1).

**Possible impact of nsSNPs on BBD129 protein biological functions**

As predicted above that nsSNPs decrease protein stability and influence the protein secondary structure and post-translation modifications, here we have predicted possible impacts observed nsSNPs on the biological functioning of BBD129 protein by Argot 2 server. The prediction found double mutated BBD129 protein has decreased scores for all predicted biological functions compared to native BBD129 protein suggesting nsSNPs negatively impact the protein biological functioning (Table 3).

The summarized results of bioinformatics tools used for the predictions of various physiochemical and structural changes in the mutated BBD129 are provided in the table 4.

**Discussion**

The reproductive-specific class-A BDs have got many attractions because of their importance in the fertilization events, however, insufficiency of their complete information and characterizations limit their usages. In the present work, we have characterized the bovine β-defensin 129 gene in Indian cattle and showed its association with bull fertility performances. The complete coding sequence of BBD129 mRNA was amplified from the corpus-testicular region and expression analysis was performed to explore its region-specific roles. The sequence variations analyses were performed by PCR amplification and absolute quantification of BBD129 from sperm genomic DNA of distinct fertility characterized cattle bulls. The bio-computational analyses of non-synonymous polymorphism on the biological functioning of BBD129 protein found an association with cattle bull conception rate percentage.

The testicular sperm are not able to fertilize an oocyte as they need post-gonadal maturations to gain fertilizing ability [69, 70]. The complete maturation of testicular spermatozoa takes place in the epididymal environment where they are exposed to a plethora of molecules including highly negatively charged cysteine-rich glycosylated beta-defensin antimicrobial peptides secreted from the epididymis epithelial cells and coating of these glycoproteins or exogenously addition of epididymal proteins (e.g. BDs) improve fertilization potential of sperm [71-73]. The addition of prokaryotically expressed bovine r-BBD126 protein to non-motile caput-epididymal spermatozoa improves their motility and mucus penetration ability but lacks the ability to improve fertility [74-75]. This failure to improve sperm fertilizing ability could be due to prokaryotic expression of bovine BB126 because prokaryotes lack post-translational modification system and DEFB126 or its orthologs are known for their post-translational modications (glycosylations) [23, 71]. Another reason could be the absence of an uncharacterized complete coding sequences or predicted sequences of BDs available on the public databases [76]. RACE methodology has been used to amplify capped and tailed mRNAs [77-78], therefore accurately determining the 5’ capped end and 3’ polyadenylation ends [79-80]. Bos taurus BBD129 gene was characterized as two exons and with 50 bp UTR region at both the end of the gene [81]. Herein, we amplified 5’ and 3’ end of cattle BBD129 mRNA and revealed strong conservation in the coding region (UOA_Brahman_1 GCF_003369695.1). A Bioinformatic analysis revealed its location on chromosome 13 and was observed to retain all the essential characteristics as reported in beta-defensins. The MSA and phylogenetic analysis found its strong conservation in the Bovidae family and other mammalian families. The MSA of DEFB129 across the mammalian species found structural variations in the protein-coding region. The protein structure of BBD129 is almost similar in the Bovidae family excepts very fewer places and the variations increase as phylogenetic distance increase.

In the bovine, studies are largely focused on genomic SNPs associated with milk production, susceptibility to immune function, and parasite resistance [82]. The non-synonymous mutations (CC/AA and CT/AC) genotypes of cattle BNBD4 and DEFB103 are associated with higher milk, fat, proteins, lactose, dry
matter contents, somatic cell counts, and resistance to mastitis [72, 75, 83-84]. The genotypic analysis found that the human DEFB126 gene is highly polymorphic bearing 77 known SNPs, out of these, rs140685149 and rs11467497 are associated with human infertility affecting sperm surface glycans, motility (rs11467497), higher round cells in semen, cervical mucus penetration ability [10-11, 33-34, 74]. In Bos taurus, the BBD129 gene has been reported for the twelve missense or non-synonymous SNPs in the genome [81]. In this study, we observed two conserved non-synonymous SNPs (T169G and A329G) in the Indian cattle genome suggesting the conservation nature of snSNPs. These non-synonymous nucleotide polymorphisms also substitute non-synonymous amino acids (S57A and N110S, respectively) in translated BBD129 protein. In Indian bovine species, publically available predicted BBD129 gene has conserved S7A and N110S, however, in our study, RLM-RACE BBD129 amplification found 575 and 110N as reported in Bos taurus [81]. The sequencing revealed Indian cattle bulls are producing a heterogeneous population of spermatozoa bearing different haplotypes of the BBD129 gene. The BBD129 native TA haplotype (169T & 329A) and double mutated GG haplotype (169G & 329G) were found majorly distributed in the Indian cattle genome. The TA haplotype is primarily distributed in the group of high fertile bulls with a difference of 35.18 % to low fertile bulls while the major percentage of heterogeneous sperm population from the low fertile bulls found with double mutated GG haplotype and this was significantly associated with bull's of low conception rate (P=0.0001).

The polymorphism and duplication in the β-defensin genes lead to their selective environment expressions in the reproductive organs signifying their role in different manners other than traditional antimicrobial activity [81, 85-86]. The variations in HBD2, DEF4B, DEF803-07, PRR23D1, and SPAG11 gene copy number (<4) are associated with altered defensin expressions and biological functions [86]. β-casein gene present as single copy in the bovine genome [87] and in our study, it was used as single copy reference gene. The standard curve analysis has found that there was no duplication in the BBD129 gene and it has been retained as a single-copy gene in the genome of contrasting fertility population of Indian cattle bulls.

The distributions of the beta-defensins in the MRT across the species are similar suggesting their conserved expression pattern and their traditional antimicrobial activity in the male reproductive organs depicting their importance in the reproductive processes [14, 18, 29, 88-89]. Bos taurus and Bubalus bubalis, newly emerged primate DEFB126 ortholog viz. BBD129 shows region-specific and sex-specific expression in the healthy MRT suggests its pleiotropic activities, in addition to traditional antimicrobial importance [23, 81]. The DEFB129 is anticipated to play its vital physiological role in sperm maturation via membrane modulations and providing motility [14, 27, 90-91]. Human epididymal DEF129 protein bind to the sperm surface chemokine receptor CCR6 and influence the calcium ion influx causing sperm hypermotility. The exogenous additions of different concentrations of recombinant-BDs to deficient spermatozoa significantly increase their motility, viability, and antimicrobial activity [36, 75, 92]. To date, there is no published report on the expression of the Indian cattle BBD129 gene in the male reproductive tract. The expression pattern of BBD129 mRNA in Indian cattle MRT show higher expression in the corpus-e epididymis region with a region-specific expression as found in other mammalians [23, 81, 91, 93]. Interestingly, expression of BBD129 gene initiates from the rete testes in Indian cattle and had maximum expression in the middle corpus region of the epididymis, thereafter the expression decreases suggests that as the sperm acquire its BBD129 coat largely in the corpus region of the epididymis. The MRT expression pattern of the BBD129 gene suggests its region-specific roles in sperm maturation, protection and makes sperm fertilizable. The cross-bred cattle-yak epididymal transcriptomics study reported an association of BBD129 gene down-expression with male sterility [94]. It is well-understood phenomenon that sperm epididymal coat proteins follow the last-in, first-out sequence in the female reproductive tract in order to execute proper sperm function. The current finding of intense expression of cattle BBD129 gene in healthy matured MRT tissues clearly indicates the region-specific abundance of BBD129 protein destined for uptake on the sperm surface.

The emerging bio-computational analyses have shortened the issues of expensive in-vitro experimentations and high throughput technologies cost. In this study, bioinformatic analyses underpinned the possible causes of nonSNPs which could alter the structure and functions of BBD129 protein. The polymorphisms could lead to abrupt mRNA synthesis and conformational distortion protein or non-functional proteins. The bovine BNBD-4 SNPs (CT2239) effect gene functioning by incorrect intron splicing results in a premature stop codon and unstable/aberrant mRNA production [95]. The non-synonymous genetic variation (C483A, rs378652941) in TMEM95 gene introduces a premature stop codon leads to mRNA decay and also, reported that this altered codon resides within the transmembrane domain of TMEM95 most likely resulting in a disturbed anchorage of the truncated protein on the sperm plasma membrane [96]. In this study, the mountain curve plot analysis of minimum free energy, centroid secondary structure, partition function, thermodynamic ensemble, and entropy is an easy view of effects of nonSNP on BBD129 mRNA. The possible impact of observed BBD129 polymorphism on mRNA found that they are making more complex BBD129 mRNA secondary structure by altering the base pairing which could affect the transcription or translation processes and may effect BBD129 protein abundance.

The mutation Q47R in PATE1 protein cause structural damages in protein leads to less bio-availability to the sperm membrane and results in reduced sperm motility associated with phosphorylations. [97-99]. Bovine SPAG11 gene polymorphisms are associated with higher ejaculate volumes, higher sperm concentrations, higher fresh sperm motility, and higher post-thaw cryopreserved sperm motility [100]. The serine-to-alanine S191A substitution in progesterone receptors occurs in different manners other than traditional antimicrobial activity. [36, 75, 92]. The Bovine SPAG11 gene polymorphisms are associated with higher ejaculate volumes, higher sperm motility, and higher post-thaw cryopreserved sperm motility [100].

Capacitation and acrosome reactions are associated with increased serine, tyrosine, and threonine amino-acids phosphorylations and kinase activities [103-104]. The epididymal secretions and seminal plasma proteins are potent de-capacitational factors that maintain the premature capacitational and acrosomal integrity of the sperm in the epididymis and FRT before the conference with the zona pellucida membrane [104-106]. The polymorphism in BBD129 increases...
threonine and serine phosphorylations which may trigger the sperm hyperactive motility, early capacitation, early acrosomal reaction or may attract immune cells [107-108]. The adsorption of primate DEFB126 on the sperm surface provides a thick glycocalyx which facilitates numbers of sperm functions and polymorphisms in the DEFB126 cause abnormal glycosylations leads to sub-fertility [10-11, 34, 71]. In buffalo, the abundance of glycans on the spermatozoa from distinct fertility bull affect the neutrophil phagocytosis and NETosis process [109]. Similarly in our study, glycosylation prediction revealed nsSNPs causing positional alterations in the O-glycosylations pattern on BBD129 protein. All prediction results suggest nsSNPs could result in a substantial change in functional attributes of BBD129 protein or sperm functioning [11, 22, 34] and their abundance in the group of low fertilizing bulls could be a possible marker for bovine fertility.

**Conclusion**

The present study clearly suggests a close association between polymorphisms of BBD129 gene with bull’s conception rate. Maximum expression of the BBD129 gene in the corpus epididymis region might, however, be of interest for its uptake on the sperm surface. Full-length of BBD129 in Indian cattle was absolute in consonant with *Bos taurus* sequence reflecting the conserved functionality of protein. A detailed insight into the SPNs proves the non-synonymous polymorphisms in the BBD129 gene are convincingly related to the low conception rate of Indian cattle bulls. Further, the change in functional characteristics of BBD129 protein due to SNPs indicating towards the altered structural and conformational topology of BBD129 protein could be responsible for the distinct fertilizing potential of sperm. However, further study on large sample size and in-vitro validation assay needs to be taken up for assessing the altered fertilization of sperm and other reproductive pleiotropic functions that emerged due to SNPs in BBD129 of Indian cattle.
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**Tables**

Table 1: The details of basis of selection of cattle bulls, number of clones sequenced and distribution of SNP in distinct fertility bulls
| Cattle Bulls No. | Conception rate (%) | BBD129 clones | BBD129_W Haplotype (169T/329A) | rs378737321 & rs383285978 Haplotype (169G/329G) | rs378737321 Haplotype (169G) | rs383285978 Haplotype (329G) | Gene Copy/ genome | Remark |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| 5788HF          | 50.75%              | 18           | 72.22%                         | 11%                             | 0%                        | 1.6%                        | 1               | High fertile bulls |
|                 |                     |              |                                |                                 |                           |                             |                 | Number of clones sequence = 105 |
| 6555HF          | 55.13%              | 23           | 65%                            | 34%                             | 0%                        | 0%                          | 1               | TA haplotype = 71.42% |
|                 |                     |              |                                |                                 |                           |                             |                 | GG haplotype = 24.76% |
| 4873HF          | 54.65%              | 17           | 82.3%                          | 23.5%                           | 0%                        | 0%                          | 1               | GA haplotype = 1.90% |
| 6479HF          | 57.30%              | 25           | 64%                            | 24%                             | 12%                       | 0%                          | 1               | TG haplotype = 2.8 % |
| 6251HF          | 57.81%              | 22           | 77%                            | 23%                             | 0%                        | 0%                          | 1               | |
| 7205LF          | 29.52%              | 22           | 13.63%                         | 86.36%                          | 0%                        | 0%                          | 1               | Low fertile bulls |
| 7141LF          | 30.17%              | 22           | 45%                            | 45%                             | 0%                        | 9%                          | 1               | Number of clones sequence = 149 |
| 6568LF          | 28.36%              | 21           | 38%                            | 61%                             | 0%                        | 0%                          | 1               | TA haplotype = 22.33 |
| 5450LF          | 27.19%              | 19           | 0%                             | 100%                            | 0%                        | 0%                          | 1               | GG haplotype = 75.72 |
| 7063LF          | 31.79%              | 19           | 10.52%                         | 89.48%                          | 0%                        | 0%                          | 1               | TG haplotype = 1.94 |
| 7178LF          | 30.85%              | 46           | 67.39%                         | 28.26%                          | 0%                        | 4.34%                       | 1               | |

Table 2: Bioinformatics tools used for prediction of impact of SNPs on BBD129 protein

| Tools          | Mutation S57A (rs378737321) effect | Mutation N110S (rs383285978) effect |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| PhD-SNP        | Neutral 0.118                      | Neutral 0.031                      |
| SIFT           | Disease 0.030                      | Neutral 1.000                      |
| SNAP           | Disease 0.515                      | Neutral 0.305                      |
| Meta-SNP       | Neutral 0.163                      | Neutral 0.037                      |
| I-Mutant2.0    | Stability decrease                 | Stability decrease                 |
| SNPs & GO      | Neutral 0.118                      | Neutral 0.031                      |
| PredictSNP     | Neutral 66%                        | Neutral 83%                        |
| MAPP           | Disease 66%                        | Neutral 85%                        |
| Polyphen-I     | Neutral 67%                        | Neutral 67%                        |
| Polyphen-2     | Neutral 63%                        | Neutral 72%                        |
| PROVEN         | Neutral -7.75                      | Neutral -0.024                     |

Table 3: List of comparison of biological function between native BBD129 and double mutated BBD129 proteins predicted by Argot 2.5 server
Table 4: List of bioinformatics tools used for the prediction of SNPs effects on different possible functions or physiochemical properties of BBD129 protein

| Tools         | What it Predicts                                                                 | Our Observation                     | Biological logic by which it supports our hypothesis                                                                 |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MUpro tool    | Prediction of Protein Stability Changes for Single-Site Mutations from Sequence | Decrease in protein stability & ΔΔG energy | Disturbance in functional structure formation (less availability, reduced antibacterial activity)                       |
| NetPhos tool  | Predicts serine, threonine or tyrosine phosphorylation sites                     | Increase and frame shift in phosphorylation | Early induction of acrosome reactions i.e. Phagocytosis invitation                                                        |
| NetOGlyc 4    | O-glycosylation predictions                                                      | Frame shift                          | Alteration in glycosylation Pattern (problem in cumulus penetration - infertility)                                      |
| Argo2         | Function prediction (gene ontology)                                             | Decrease in score (same GO ID hit)    | Decrease in their desired functions (malfunctioning, reduced antibacterial activity)                                   |
| PSI-PRED      | Predicts secondary structure, disorder, and phi/psi dihedral angles of amino acids | Changes in secondary structure, disorder | Disturbance in formation of functional protein structure (mis-folding less availability)                               |
| ProtParam     | Instability index, thermostability, no. aa, MW, PI, charge, atoms, hydrophobicity, % aa, ext. coefficient | Decrease = atoms, mw                  | Influence antibacterial activity                                                                                         |
| O-Gly GlycoEP | O-linked glycosylation                                                           | Frame shift                          | Alteration in glycosylation Pattern                                                                                     |
| NetNGlyc      | N-linked glycosylation                                                           | No change                            | Alteration in glycosylation Pattern                                                                                     |
| SOPMA         | Protein secondary structure prediction                                           | Change in % of alpha helix, beta turn, extended strand, and random coil                                              | Improve 3D structure prediction                                                                                          |

Figures

Figure 1
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RLM-RACE amplification of BBD129 mRNA: BBD129 complete coding sequence and its genomic location on cattle chromosome 13. BBD129 protein maintains the cysteine pairing rule.

Tree scale: 0.1

Figure 2

Phylogenetic tree analysis: it was performed by the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model by using MEGA 10 bioinformatics tool. It indicates a strong evolutionary relationship between the RLM-RACE amplified BBD129 gene and the Bovidae family BBD129.
Figure 3

gDNA amplification of cattle BB129 gene. A) BB129 exon 1 gDNA PCR amplification from distinct fertility bulls. B) BB129 exon 2 gDNA PCR amplification from distinct fertility

Figure 4

Bioinformatic analysis: the location of non-synonymous BB129 SNPs (Red colour in dotted amino acid), effect of SNPs on BB129 protein structure and location of post-translational modifications.

Figure 5

Distribution of BB129 TA haplotype and GG haplotype in distinct fertility cattle bulls.
Figure 6
Absolute RT-qPCR analysis: distribution of BBD129 gene copy number in distinct fertility cattle bulls.

Figure 7
RT-qPCR expression analysis was done to know the abundance of BBD129 mRNA in different parts of healthy matured cattle bull.
RNAfold server to predict possible impact of nsSNPs on mRNA secondary structure. Mountain plot has shown double mutated BBD129 mRNA get more complex than native BBD129 mRNA. SNPs are influencing the base pairing between single stranded mRNA.
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