Detection of swallowing disorders with a multiple-channel surface electromyography sensor sheet
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Abstract  Background/purpose: A sensor sheet comprising multiple electromyography electrodes that can be used to measure a series of multiple muscle activities related to swallowing was recently developed. In this study, we aimed to evaluate its utility in detecting swallowing disorders with a new method for the identification of muscle activity.

Materials and methods: All participants were evaluated by using the repetitive saliva swallowing test and modified water swallowing test and were classified accordingly into participants with (n = 21; mean age, 81.6 [standard deviation, 10.1] years) and those without (n = 41; mean age, 70.0 [8.4] years) dysphagia. The sheet contains four pairs of electrodes, and surface electromyography (sEMG) was performed on the suprahyoid (position A: upper front of the suprahyoid muscles; position B: bottom rear of the suprahyoid muscles) and infrahyoid (position C: above the infrahyoid muscles; position D: beneath the infrahyoid muscles) muscles while the participants swallowed liquid, thickened water, paste, and jelly. The sEMG findings, including the duration of swallowing waveforms and the delay in swallowing onset from position A to positions B, C, and D, were compared between the groups.

Results: The duration of muscle activity differed between the groups in the infrahyoid muscles when jelly (Mann–Whitney U test; position C, P = 0.007 and position D, P = 0.018) and thickened water (position C, P = 0.033) were swallowed.
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Introduction

Swallowing is a complex sensorimotor process involving sequential activation of the oropharyngeal muscles.\(^1,2\) Surface electromyography (sEMG) is an effective method for the evaluation of the swallowing function.\(^3,4\) However, the application of electromyography can be difficult in clinical situations as it requires the attachment of electromyography electrodes or other sensors at appropriate positions; hence, it requires extensive knowledge of the anatomy of the muscles involved in swallowing.\(^5\) Recently, a sensor sheet comprising multiple electromyography electrodes (multiple-sensor sheet) was invented.\(^6,7\) The multiple-sensor sheet is used to measure a series of multiple muscle activities related to swallowing and to detect differences in sEMG activity between healthy volunteers without dysphagia and patients with dysphagia.\(^8\) The duration and order of muscle activity at four specific positions in the region of the suprathyroid and infrathyroid muscles were revealed as possible indicators of swallowing dysfunction. However, identification of the onset and offset of muscle activities was difficult. Patients with dysphagia included those whose dysphagia was caused by resection and reconstruction of tissue for treatment for head and neck cancer, and the interpretation of their results was complicated.\(^6\) In this study, we employed a new method of identifying muscle activity and aimed to re-assess the utility of the multiple-sensor sheet to detect swallowing disorders in patients with dysphagia.

Materials and methods

Ethical approval

The relevant ethics committees approved this study protocol (approval no. 3937). All participants provided written informed consent prior to their participation. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Ethical Guidelines for Medical and Health Research involving Human Subjects by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, and the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan.

Participants

Patients and staff members at one hospital, all aged ≥60 years, were invited to participate in this study. Participants with an embedded pacemaker, dermatitis grade of ≥2, impaired consciousness, pneumonia, enterocutaneous perforation/acute bleeding as a result of perforation caused by intestinal blockage, bleeding and intestinal blockage, a medical history of allergy to test foods, contact dermatitis, subcutaneous bleeding, a history of head and neck reconstruction, and those otherwise deemed unsuitable by the researcher in charge were excluded from this study.

All participants were evaluated by using the repetitive saliva swallowing test and modified water swallowing test and were classified into two groups according to the results: those with and those without dysphagia. Those with dysphagia had a score of <3 in the repetitive saliva swallowing test\(^9\) or <4 in the modified water swallowing test.\(^9\)

Multiple-sensor sheet and laryngeal microphone

The multiple-sensor sheet was fixed at an attachment mark made at the thyroid cartilage on the left side of the neck. Eight electrodes were placed in four positions (position A: the upper front of the suprathyroid muscles, located close to the chin; position B: the bottom rear of the suprathyroid muscles, located near the hyoid bone; position C: above the infrthyroid muscles; and position D: beneath the infrthyroid muscles; the lower edge of the position D was above the clavicle). The electrodes in all four positions were used to record surface muscle activities (Fig. 1). A laryngeal microphone (SH-12jKL®; Nanzu Electric Co. Ltd., Shizuka, Japan) was affixed to the lateral border of the trachea immediately inferior to the cricoid cartilage, to monitor the swallowing sound.\(^10\) As the sheet has a fixed size and eight electrodes,\(^6\) the accuracy of the measurement of muscle activity dose not depend on the examiner’s skill.

Test foods

Four test foods with different textures, viscosities, and liquid proportions were prepared according to a previous study:\(^6\) jelly, paste, thickened water, and liquid. The jelly (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) slices (approximately 5 × 10 × 2 mm in size) were easy to swallow but did not easily dissolve in the mouth. Two grams of a homogeneous, smooth, non-greasy, and easy-to-swallow paste (Kewpie Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was administered by using a K spoon® (Aoyoshi Co. Ltd., Niigata, Japan). The thickened water was a semi-solid nutrient, made by adding dextrin thickener (Nisshin OilliO Group, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) to water at a 2% concentration. Both the thickened water and the liquid (approximately 3 mL) were injected onto the floor of the mouth with a syringe (Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The physical characteristics of the four test foods were investigated at the Nagano Prefecture General Industrial Technology Center (Table 1).

Conclusion: Our study demonstrates the utility of a novel sensor sheet developed for detecting swallowing disorders by using visual methods for identification of muscle activity.

© 2022 Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Data collection

The sEMGs were performed and swallowing sounds measured for each test food. Each participant was asked to sit on a dental chair with his/her head kept motionless and parallel to the Frankfort plane. The participant’s head was stabilized and the food was inserted into his/her mouth by the researcher. After resting, the participants started to swallow at their own pace. A button, corresponding to a signal that indicated the onset of swallowing, was subsequently pressed by the participant. Measurements were taken three times for each test food. If swallowing of a particular test food was deemed to pose a high risk of aspiration, measurement was discontinued.

Analysis of sEMG

For the analysis of sEMG, we used the BIOPAC® MP150 system (Biopac Systems Inc., Goleta, CA, USA) and AcqKnowledge® software version 4.1 (Biopac Systems Inc.). The experiment was performed according to the protocol described in a previous study. A frequency band of 100–200 Hz was extracted from the electromyography waveforms by using a bandpass filter. Subsequently, full-wave rectification and smoothing were performed by using a low-pass filter with a frequency of 10 Hz (Fig. 2).

The onset and offset of swallowing waveforms were defined in reference to the studies by Crary and Baldwin11 and Sugita et al.12 Two blinded computer operators...
observed the onset and offset of the swallowing waveform and met regularly with researchers to unify their evaluations. The swallowing waveform was recorded such that the waveform had the largest and clearest amplitude at 2 s before and 2 s after the most pronounced swallowing sound and around the signal that indicated the start of swallowing. The threshold of the muscle activity was not calculated but determined visually; it was set at an approximately two-fold larger value than the average of the amplitude when there was a much lower surface electromyography response, lasting for approximately 0.5 s. Onset was defined as the beginning of the swallowing waveform, whereas offset was defined as the end of the swallowing waveform. The vertical axis of each electrode position and swallowing sounds are optimized in (b).

Data assessment
The primary parameters of the sEMG outcome were the duration of muscle activity and the delay times of the sEMG activities. The duration of muscle activity was defined as the time elapsed between the onset and offset of the swallowing waveform. The delay times corresponded to the time elapsed from the onset of position A to the onset of positions B, C, and D. The duration of muscle activities in positions A, B, C, and D and the delay times were compared between participants with and without dysphagia for each food type.

Statistical analysis
The quartile was obtained by using Tukey’s hinge method. The median differences in the duration of muscle activity and the delay times between the two groups were analyzed by using the Mann-Whitney U test. All statistical analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), and a probability value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Results

The characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 2. In total, 21 patients with dysphagia (mean age, 81.6 [standard deviation, 10.1] years) and 41 without dysphagia (mean age, 70.0 [8.4] years) were included. There were no statistically significant differences in sex distribution between these two groups.

The number of participants and measurements obtained for sEMG analyses in each swallowing task are summarized in Table 3. Initially, we planned 744 measurements (four test foods × three exams × 62 participants); however, six measurements (three exams in two test foods; jelly and liquid) were canceled to prevent aspiration in one patient with dysphagia. Therefore, 738 sEMG data points were collected, of which 122 measurements were excluded for exhibiting an unclear onset or offset in more than one position, a success rate of 83.5% (616/738). The success rates for participants with and without dysphagia were 74.8% (184/246) and 87.8% (432/492), respectively.

Comparison of the duration of muscle activity between participants with and those without dysphagia

The median and interquartile range of the duration of muscle activity in each position during each swallowing task are summarized in Table 4. When jelly was swallowed, the duration of muscle activity was significantly shorter in participants with dysphagia than in those without dysphagia at positions C (Mann–Whitney U test, \( P = 0.007 \)) and D (\( P = 0.018 \)). When thickened water was swallowed, the duration of sEMG activity at position C was significantly shorter in participants with dysphagia than in those without dysphagia (\( P = 0.033 \)).

Comparison of delay time of the sEMG activities between participants with and those without dysphagia

There was no difference in any of the delay times (A–B, A–C, and A–D) between the groups (Table 5). The largest apparent difference between groups, although not statistically significant, was observed in the delay time (A–D) while swallowing paste (Mann–Whitney U test, \( P = 0.08 \)), with the delay time in participants with dysphagia being <0 s.

Table 2 Characteristics of the participants.

| Group                                | n (Men/Women) | Mean Age, years [SD] |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| With dysphagia                       |               |                      |
| Participants with brain disorders    | 21 (10/11)    | 81.6 [10.1]          |
| Cerebral infarction                  | 8 (7/1)       |                      |
| Cerebral hemorrhage                  | 2 (0/2)       |                      |
| Participants with respiratory disease| 2 (2/0)       |                      |
| Emphysema                            | 1 (1/0)       |                      |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease| 1 (1/0)       |                      |
| Participants with other diseases     | 9 (1/8)       |                      |
| Without dysphagia                    | 41 (25/16)    | 70.0 [8.4]           |
| Participants with brain disorders    | 5 (3/2)       |                      |
| Cerebral infarction                  | 4 (3/1)       |                      |
| Brain tumor                          | 1 (0/1)       |                      |
| Participants with respiratory disease| 0             |                      |
| Participants with other diseases     | 29 (20/9)     |                      |
| No medical history                   | 7 (2/5)       |                      |

Participants with dysphagia were classified into groups of those with brain disorder, respiratory disease, or other diseases, according to the cause of dysphagia. SD: standard deviation.

Table 3 Values obtained for the sEMG analysis in each swallowing task.

| Swallowing task | Group (number of participants) | Number of measurements (participants) | Percentage of data available |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                 |                                | Values obtained | Values used for analysis\(^a\) |                              |
| Jelly           | With Dysphagia (21)            | 60 (20) | 45 (19) | 75.0 |
|                 | Without Dysphagia (41)         | 123 (41) | 106 (40) | 86.2 |
| Paste           | With Dysphagia (21)            | 63 (21) | 49 (21) | 77.8 |
|                 | Without Dysphagia (41)         | 123 (41) | 103 (39) | 83.7 |
| Thickened water | With Dysphagia (21)            | 63 (21) | 45 (17) | 71.4 |
|                 | Without Dysphagia (41)         | 123 (41) | 112 (39) | 91.1 |
| Liquid          | With Dysphagia (21)            | 60 (20) | 45 (16) | 75.0 |
|                 | Without Dysphagia (41)         | 123 (41) | 111 (40) | 90.2 |

sEMG: Surface electromyography.
\(^a\) We excluded 122 out of the 738 values because the onset or offset of swallowing was unclear in more than one position.
Discussion

In this study, we improved the method of determining the start and end times of swallowing muscle activity with the sEMG waveform data. In a previous study, the data acquisition success rate was 65% in patients with dysphagia when using the threshold method. In this study, we adopted a visual method to increase the data acquisition rate. Consequently, we could use data with a larger amount of noise. The data acquisition rate for all participants was 83.5%. Compared to the previous study, the acquisition rate in participants without dysphagia decreased from 89% to 87.8%, whereas the acquisition rate in those with dysphagia improved from 65% to 74.8%. In determining the onset and offset of swallowing with the threshold method, the value of the resting baseline interval affects the threshold, which corresponds to the times of onset and offset of swallowing muscle activity. Therefore, waveforms that did not clearly correspond to a state of not swallowing may be deemed as swallowing waveforms if the data indicated a large swallowing waveform around the swallowing sound. If the threshold is high, swallowing waveforms may not be detected. The visual method improved upon the abovementioned factors. However, the data acquisition rate is still not ideal; hence, it is necessary to improve the methodology.

Evaluation with the multiple-sensor sheet revealed statistically significant differences between the groups. During the swallowing of jelly and thickened water, the duration of muscle activity in the infraryoid muscles of patients with dysphagia was statistically significantly shorter than that of those without dysphagia. Conversely, no statistically significant differences in the duration of muscle activity was observed when swallowing liquid. Differences in the duration of muscle activity are affected not only by the swallowing function but also by physical properties, as liquid is less viscous.

It was previously reported that the duration of muscle activity in the infraryoid muscle group was prolonged in patients with dysphagia during swallowing of thickened water and yogurt. This is not compatible with our current results, which may be because of study cohort differences. Previous studies included patients with structural disorders who underwent head and neck reconstruction and those with severe dietary restrictions. In this study, test foods were selected to prevent aspiration according to previous findings in swallowing videofluorography, and 20 of the 21 patients with dysphagia in this study had no restrictions and were able to eat the prescribed test foods. Therefore, the severity of dysphagia in patients with dysphagia was lower in this study. Furthermore, while swallowing paste, the infraryoid muscle group (position D) was activated earlier than the suprahyoid muscle group (position A) in patients with dysphagia; however, the difference between participants groups was not statistically significant. In a previous study, the infraryoid muscle group-initiated muscle activity was earlier than the suprahyoid muscle group-initiated muscle activity among patients with dysphagia. These findings are consistent with the current study results. Paste requires more muscle activity during swallowing than other test foods, and disorganized coordination of muscle activity may be a characteristic of patients with dysphagia.

This study has two main limitations. The first is the reproducibility and reliability of the visual method. Although the success rate in patients with dysphagia increased with the use of the visual method, three or more people should observe the swallowing sEMG waveforms and discuss the results to improve the reproducibility and reliability. Unclear waveforms that were not used in the analysis were frequent in patients with dysphagia, in whom fluctuations of the sEMG waveform were likely to occur. Therefore, a more accurate detection method is required. The duration and onset time of muscle activity may differ depending on the method used to determine the swallowing

| Position A | Position B | Position C | Position D | P |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|
| Jelly      |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (19) | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.018 |
| Without Dysphagia (40) | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.45 |   |
| Paste      |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (21) | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.44 |   |
| Without Dysphagia (39) | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.325 |
| Thickened water |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (17) | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.38 |   |
| Without Dysphagia (39) | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.079 |
| Liquid     |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (16) | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.47 |   |
| Without Dysphagia (40) | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.670 |

P: Mann—Whitney U test; square bracket: P < 0.05.

| Table 4 | Comparison of the duration of muscle activity in each position between participants with and those without dysphagia in each swallowing task. |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Duration of muscle activity, s [median (25th percentile—75th percentile)] |                                                                                      |
| Position A | Position B | Position C | Position D | P |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|
| Jelly      |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (19) | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.018 |
| Without Dysphagia (40) | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.45 |   |
| Paste      |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (21) | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.44 |   |
| Without Dysphagia (39) | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.325 |
| Thickened water |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (17) | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.38 |   |
| Without Dysphagia (39) | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.079 |
| Liquid     |            |            |            |   |
| With Dysphagia (16) | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.47 |   |
| Without Dysphagia (40) | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.670 |
electromyogram. Second, the patients’ histories of dysphagia were heterogeneous. Because of the differences in sEMG pathophysiology, it is necessary to standardize the participants’ history, increase the data acquisition rate, and compare the data with those of participants without dysphagia; patients with different swallowing pathologies should not be included in one group. Therefore, further studies are required to compare sEMGs in participants with the same underlying disease.

The present study indicates that swallowing function can be evaluated by using a multiple-sensor sheet with visual methods of identification of muscle activity.

| Swallowing task       | Group (number of participants) | Delay time, s [median (25th percentile–75th percentile)] |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
|                       |                                | [A–B]         | P          | [A–C]     | P          | [A–D]   | P       |
| Jelly                 | With Dysphagia (19)            | 0.00 (−0.09–0.23) | 0.08 (−0.05–0.23) | 0.75       | 0.85      | 0.74    |
|                       | Without Dysphagia (40)         | 0.00 (−0.07–0.05) | 0.00 (−0.10–0.16) | 0.02       |           |
| Paste                 | With Dysphagia (21)            | 0.00 (−0.30–0.04) | 0.02 (−0.01–0.22) |          |           | 0.05    |
|                       | Without Dysphagia (39)         | 0.00 (−0.09–0.09) | −0.01 (−0.14–0.15) | 0.05       |
| Thickened water       | With Dysphagia (17)            | 0.06 (−0.07–0.19) | 0.03 (−0.09–0.10) | 0.00 (−0.11–0.25) | 0.60      |
|                       | Without Dysphagia (39)         | 0.00 (−0.02–0.04) | 0.00 (−0.16–0.22) | 0.02 (−0.11–0.30) | 0.71      |
| Liquid                | With Dysphagia (16)            | 0.00 (−0.15–0.06) | 0.00 (−0.26–0.15) | 0.00 (−0.35–0.21) | 0.22      |
|                       | Without Dysphagia (40)         | 0.00 (−0.05–0.16) | 0.00 (−0.05–0.12) | 0.06 (−0.05–0.34) | 0.41      |

A negative value means that the onset of position A was later than that of the other position.
P: Mann–Whitney U test.
sEMG: Surface electromyography.
a The delay time from the onset at position A to the onset at position B.
b The delay time from the onset at position A to the onset at position C.
c The delay time from the onset at position A to the onset at position D.
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