Physiological status and anatomical severity factors associated with child versus adult bicyclist fatalities based on a national trauma dataset
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Bicyclists still account for the majority of child deaths in traffic accidents, despite a gradual decrease in incidence. Therefore, we investigated factors associated with child and adult bicyclist fatalities. In this retrospective study, we used data from a national hospital-based database, the Japan Trauma Data Bank. Data from 2004 to 2019 were obtained for child cyclists (5–18 years; n = 4832) and adult cyclists (26–45 years; n = 3449). In each age group, physiological variables, outcomes, and injury severity were compared between fatal and non-fatal cases. Multivariate logistic regression was performed to determine factors associated with fatality. In adults, fatality was associated with lower values for body temperature, Glasgow Coma Scale score, and Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) score for the neck and upper extremities, and with higher values for respiratory rate, heart rate, focused assessment with sonography for trauma positivity rate, and AIS scores for the head, chest, and abdomen. In children, fatality was associated with lower values for body temperature and the Glasgow Coma Scale score, and with higher values for the AIS chest score. These findings point to factors associated with bicyclist fatalities and may help in the development of effective strategies to reduce these fatalities.

More than 40,000 bicyclists worldwide are killed every year in bicycle accidents1. Although the number of cyclist fatalities is slowly decreasing, it is still high compared with the declining rate of motor vehicle-related fatalities2. At present, fatalities due to road collisions by age group in Japan remain high, and 27.1% of road collision fatalities occur in people younger than 19 years.

In Japan, the population aged 5 to 19 years has decreased from 26.0 million in 1990 to 16.2 million in 20203. In 2020, there were 841,000 births and 1.51 million children under the age of 15, which are the lowest numbers ever reported4. Therefore, protecting children from road traffic collisions has become a high priority in Japan.

The Japanese government enacted the Basic Law on Traffic Safety Measures in 19705. Under this law, targets have been set in the Basic Plan for Traffic Safety every 5 years since 1971, and traffic safety measures have been comprehensively and systematically promoted. The original goal of these efforts was to realize a society without motor vehicle collisions. In 2021, the Japanese government set a new target that aims to reduce the number of road-related fatalities to less than 2,000 and the number of serious injuries to less than 22,000 by 20256. In addition, as the child population continues to decline, improving the environment so that children can be safe while they are active and growing is also mentioned in this policy. Because riding bicycles is popular among children, preventing child bicyclist fatalities should be promoted. With regard to bicycle accidents among young cyclists, previous studies have investigated environmental factors (behavioral patterns, regional characteristics) and trauma patterns of bicycle accidents in an effort to prevent cyclist accidents7–9. To identify effective preventive measures, factors that influence child bicyclist fatalities need to be clarified. However, no studies have investigated the factors that influence bicyclist fatalities in Japan. Therefore, the objective of this study was to clarify the physiological characteristics and metrics related to injury severity in child bicyclist fatalities and compare them with those of young adults. We also focused on child bicyclists who were transferred to an emergency department.
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hospital. In Japan, to help standardize emergency care, physiological parameters and anatomical injury severities are assessed in an initial examination. These data were used to identify factors that influence bicyclist fatalities.

Materials and methods

Study design and patient selection. This observational study was a retrospective analysis of data from a national hospital-based database called the Japan Trauma Data Bank (JTDB). The JTDB is a national trauma registry in Japan that includes data recorded by the Japanese Society of Trauma Surgeons and the Japanese Society of Emergency Medicine and has been in existence since 2003. This registry is similar to trauma databases in North America, Europe, and Oceania. In 2005, 55 hospitals participated in the registry; in 2019, more than 288 hospitals participated in the registry, which accounted for approximately 75% of all emergency centers in Japan. Although the number of registered hospitals has increased over the years, the percentage of emergency centers among these has not changed. The JTDB collects information on the mode and mechanism of trauma, vital signs, the anatomical and physiological severity of injury, pre-hospital and in-hospital treatment, and outcomes.

The data were obtained from the JTDB in December 2020. A total of 372,314 patients were registered in the JTDB from 2004 to 2019. Of these, 26,319 were patients with bicycle collision-related trauma. We excluded patients if they arrived at the hospital with cardiopulmonary arrest (n = 767), if their age, accident year, or Abbreviated Injury Scale score was unclear, or if they had missing data (n = 245). After applying the exclusion criteria, there were 25,307 patients with bicycle-related accident data. Of these, patients between 26 and 45 years old and between 5 and 18 years old were selected, and patients with unknown outcomes (n = 759) were excluded. Finally, 8281 patients were included in the analysis (4832 children and 3449 adults) (Fig. 1).

The following information was obtained for each patient: age, gender, vital signs upon hospital arrival (systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), body temperature (BT), and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score), focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST) test results, Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) scores for each body part (1998 version), Injury Severity Score (ISS), Revised Trauma Score (RTS), Trauma and Injury Severity Score Probability of Survival (TRISSPS), and post-discharge outcome. The AIS score is used to classify the type and severity of injury in each body part on an anatomical scale of 1 (minor) to 6 (clinically untreatable). The ISS is used for assessing the severity of multiple injuries and is the sum of the squares of the highest AIS scores for each of the three most severely injured body parts. Because these scores correlate well with mortality, they are usually assessed as a measure of anatomic severity in an emergency medical setting; in Japan they are evaluated by medical staff who have participated in coding seminars. The RTS is a method of assessing severity based on physiological indices, with the highest score (least severe) being 7.84 and the lowest score (most severe) being 0. The TRISSPS sums the physiological and anatomical severity score of the patient’s condition with their age to calculate a predicted survival (Ps) rate as follows: (1) preventable death (Ps > 0.50), (2) possibly preventable death (0.25 < Ps < 0.50), and (3) non-preventable death (Ps < 0.25).

The data in JTDB are based on data from hospitals. The JTDB does not include data from the police department regarding the accident and road conditions. Some studies have been conducted that have used police data,

Figure 1. Flowchart of patient enrolment.
but these studies are rare in Japan. Owing to the lack of police crash data and other data, we decided not to include deaths at the time of the accident in the analysis.

**Statistical analysis.** Categorical variables are shown as the proportion or frequency. Continuous variables are shown as the mean ± standard deviation for values that followed a normal distribution and as the median and interquartile range for values that were not normally distributed. The chi-square test was used to compare the prevalence between two groups. To identify differences in values between two groups, Student’s t-test was used for values with a normal distribution, while the Wilcoxon test was used for values with a non-normal distribution. A p-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Logistic regression analyses were performed to identify variables that were independently associated with a poor outcome or having severe injury. The analyses were performed with JMP pro15.2 (SAS Institute Inc., 2020.).

**Endpoint.** We examined the factors that were significantly associated with child bicyclist fatalities using data from the JTDB.

**Ethics.** Personal identifiers had already been removed from the JTDB. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethical Committee of Kyoto Daini Red Cross Hospital (Sp2022-02). The need for informed consent was waived by the ethics committee that approved the study protocol because of the anonymous and retrospective nature of this study.

**Results**

**Outcomes of adult bicyclists (26–45 years old).** In the adult bicyclist group, 146 (4.2%) patients died (fatal group) and 3303 survived (non-fatal group). The patient background characteristics and vital signs upon hospital arrival were compared between the two groups (Table 1). Compared with the non-fatal group, the fatal group had a significantly lower BT and GCS score and a significantly higher HR, RR, and FAST positivity rate upon hospital arrival. Regarding injury severity, the head, chest, and abdominal AIS scores and the ISS score were significantly higher, and the neck and upper extremities AIS scores were significantly lower in the fatal group than in the non-fatal group (Table 2). The RTS and TRISSPS scores were significantly lower in the fatal group than in the non-fatal group.

**Outcomes of child bicyclists (5–18 years old).** In the child bicyclist group, 96 patients (2.0%) died (fatal group) and 4736 survived (non-fatal group). The patient background characteristics and vital signs upon hospital arrival were compared between the two groups (Table 3). Compared with the non-fatal group, the fatal group had a significantly lower BT and GCS score and a significantly higher age and FAST positivity rate upon hospital arrival. Regarding injury severity, the head, chest, and spine AIS scores and the ISS score were significantly higher, and the upper extremity AIS scores were significantly lower in the fatal group than in the non-fatal group (Table 4). The RTS and TRISSPS scores were significantly lower in the fatal group than in the non-fatal group.

**Factors associated with outcomes in adults (26–45 years old).** To identify variables that were independently associated with fatality, logistic regression analysis was performed using the HR, RR, BT, GCS score, FAST positivity rate, and AIS scores for head, neck, chest, abdomen, upper extremities, and lower extremities as independent variables. The following factors were identified as independent predictors of a fatal outcome: RR (odds ratio [OR] [95% confidence Interval]: 1.05 [1.02–1.09]), HR (OR: 1.01 [1.00–1.02]), BT (OR: 0.63 [0.47–0.85]), GCS score (OR: 0.68 [0.63–0.72]), FAST positivity rate (OR: 4.07 [1.64–10.11]), and the AIS scores.
Table 2. AIS score by body region, ISS, RTS, and TRISSPS score in adults (26–45 years) in the fatal and non-fatal groups (2004–2019). AIS abbreviated injury scale, ISS injury severity score, IQR interquartile range, RTS revised trauma scale, TRISSPS trauma and injury severity score probability of survival. *The median value in the non-fatal group was significantly higher than that in the fatal group. **The median value in the non-fatal group was significantly lower than that in the fatal group. Student's t-test was used for values with a normal distribution, while the Wilcoxon test was used for values with a non-normal distribution.

|                    | Non-fatal (n = 3303) | Fatal (n = 146) | P value     |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| **AIS, median (IQR)** |                      |                |             |
| Head**             | 1.0 (0.0–3.0)        | 5.0 (4.0–5.0)  | <0.0001     |
| Face               | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.2180      |
| Neck*              | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.0178      |
| Chest**            | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)        | 1.0 (0.0–4.0)  | <0.0001     |
| Abdomen**          | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.0139      |
| Spine              | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.6210      |
| Upper extremities* | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.0005      |
| Lower extremities  | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)  | 0.3241      |
| ISS, median (IQR)**| 13.0 (9.0–19.0)      | 29.0 (25.0–41.0)| <0.0001    |
| RTS, median (IQR)* | 7.84 (7.55–7.84)     | 4.89 (4.09–5.97)| <0.0001    |
| TRISSPS, median (IQR)* | 0.99 (0.98–0.99) | 0.68 (0.44–0.89) | <0.0001    |

Table 3. Patient characteristics and data upon hospital arrival for children (5–18 years) in the fatal and non-fatal groups (2004–2019). FAST focused assessment with sonography for trauma. Student's t-test was used for values with a normal distribution, while the Wilcoxon test was used for values with a non-normal distribution.

|                    | Non-fatal (n = 4736) | Fatal (n = 96) | P value     |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| **Age (years)**    | 12.8 ± 3.7           | 13.8 ± 3.4     | 0.0042      |
| **Sex, n (%)**     |                      |                |             |
| Male               | 69.7                 | 69.8           | 0.9606      |
| Female             | 30.2                 | 30.2           |             |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 125.7 ± 18.5 | 121.3 ± 40.7 | 0.5398 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 72.6 ± 14.7 | 73.5 ± 24.2 | 0.9785 |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | 92.0 ± 20.0 | 99.4 ± 34.6 | 0.3126 |
| Respiration rate (breaths/min) | 22.2 ± 6.4 | 21.7 ± 10.5 | 0.6718 |
| Body temperature (℃) | 36.7 ± 0.7 | 36.1 ± 1.2 | <0.0001 |
| Glasgow coma scale | 13.4 ± 2.8 | 5.0 ± 3.2 | <0.0001 |
| FAST positive (%)  | 4.8                  | 11.5           | 0.0206      |

Table 4. AIS score by body region, ISS, RTS, and TRISSPS in children (5–18 years) in the fatal and non-fatal groups (2004–2019). AIS abbreviated injury scale, ISS injury severity score, IQR interquartile range, RTS revised trauma scale, TRISSPS trauma and injury severity score probability of survival. *The median value in the non-fatal group was significantly higher than that in the fatal group. **The median value in the non-fatal group was significantly lower than that in the fatal group. Student's t-test was used for values with a normal distribution, while the Wilcoxon test was used for values with a non-normal distribution.

|                    | Non-fatal (n = 4736) | Fatal (n = 96) | P value     |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| **AIS, median (IQR)** |                      |                |             |
| Head**             | 2.0 (0.0–4.0)        | 5.0 (4.0–5.0)  | <0.0001     |
| Face               | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.8185      |
| Neck               | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.2109      |
| Chest**            | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)        | 3.0 (0.0–4.0)  | <0.0001     |
| Abdomen**          | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.1846      |
| Spine**            | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.0156      |
| Upper extremities* | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–0.0)  | 0.0003      |
| Lower extremities  | 0.0 (0.0–1.0)        | 0.0 (0.0–2.0)  | 0.6343      |
| ISS, median (IQR)**| 10.0 (8.0–17.0)      | 32.0 (25.0–42.0)| <0.0001    |
| RTS, median (IQR)* | 7.84 (7.55–7.84)     | 4.09 (3.51–5.35)| <0.0001    |
| TRISSPS, median (IQR)* | 0.99 (0.98–0.99) | 0.61 (0.29–0.82) | <0.0001    |
for head (OR: 1.59 [1.32–1.93]), neck (OR: 0.66 [0.45–0.95]), chest (OR: 1.36 [1.17–1.58]), and upper extremities (OR: 0.60 [0.40–0.87]) (Table 5).

Factors associated with outcomes in children (5–18 years old). To identify variables independently associated with fatality, logistic regression analysis was performed for both adults and children using the HR, RR, BT, GCS score, FAST positivity rate, and AIS scores for head, neck, chest, abdomen, upper extremities, and lower extremities as independent variables. The data were subjected to regression analysis. BT (OR: 0.69 [0.50–0.94]), the GCS score (OR: 0.58 [0.52–0.65]), and the AIS score for chest (OR: 1.39 [1.15–1.67]) were identified as independent predictors of a fatal outcome (Table 6).

Discussion

According to the 2020 statistics in Japan, 20.6% of the fatalities and serious injuries in traffic accidents were pedestrians, 18.8% were motorcyclists, and 10.4% were cyclists\textsuperscript{14}. However, bicycling was the second leading cause of traffic fatalities among elementary school students from 2011 to 2020, accounting for 34.9% of all traffic-related fatalities in this group. In addition, while overall traffic fatalities decreased by 31% overall between 2015 and 2020, the decreases in motorcycle- and bicycle-related fatalities were smaller\textsuperscript{14}.

Monitoring trauma trends and outcomes can help identify effective injury prevention strategies and treatments. The JTDB registers data on trauma patients and records pre-hospital and hospital-related information, including clinical outcomes. Thus, analyses using information from this database can provide evidence to support injury prevention measures. Three previous reports have examined child injuries using JTDB data\textsuperscript{15–17}. One of the studies focused on child injuries from motor vehicle collisions and described injury patterns and outcomes related to the seating position of the child within the vehicle. The study suggested that for children aged 6–12 years, the incidences of head and chest injuries were higher in the rear seats than in the front seats. However, no studies using the JTDB have examined factors that are independently associated with bicyclist fatalities.

| Table 5. Multivariate analysis results of factors associated with adult outcomes (26–45 years). AIS abbreviated injury scale, BT body temperature, CI confidence interval, FAST focused assessment with sonography for trauma, GCS Glasgow Coma Scale, HR heart rate, OR odds ratio, RR respiratory rate. Logistic regression analyses. |
|---|---|---|---|
| RR | 1.05 | 1.02–1.09 | 0.0010 |
| HR | 1.01 | 1.00–1.02 | 0.0164 |
| BT | 0.63 | 0.47–0.85 | 0.0021 |
| GCS | 0.68 | 0.63–0.72 | <0.0001 |
| FAST | 4.07 | 1.64–10.11 | 0.0025 |
| AIS head | 1.59 | 1.32–1.93 | <0.0001 |
| AIS neck | 0.66 | 0.45–0.95 | 0.0230 |
| AIS chest | 1.36 | 1.17–1.58 | <0.0001 |
| AIS abdomen | 1.31 | 0.97–1.74 | 0.0735 |
| AIS upper extremities | 0.60 | 0.40–0.87 | 0.0063 |
| AIS lower extremities | 1.11 | 0.89–1.38 | 0.3386 |

| Table 6. Multivariate analysis results of factors associated with child outcomes (5–18 years). AIS abbreviated injury scale, BT body temperature, CI confidence interval, GCS Glasgow Coma Scale, OR odds ratio. Logistic regression analyses. |
|---|---|---|---|
| RR | 1.01 | 0.97–1.05 | 0.6220 |
| HR | 1.00 | 0.99–1.01 | 0.7058 |
| BT | 0.69 | 0.50–0.94 | 0.0228 |
| GCS | 0.58 | 0.52–0.65 | <0.0001 |
| FAST | 1.08 | 0.27–4.30 | 0.9184 |
| AIS head | 1.12 | 0.86–1.45 | 0.4055 |
| AIS neck | 0.98 | 0.63–1.51 | 0.9203 |
| AIS chest | 1.39 | 1.15–1.67 | 0.0007 |
| AIS abdomen | 1.01 | 0.71–1.45 | 0.9498 |
| AIS upper extremities | 0.78 | 0.97–1.05 | 0.2759 |
| AIS lower extremities | 1.01 | 0.76–1.35 | 0.9316 |
Here, we aimed to clarify factors that are associated with fatalities in children and adult bicyclists, with the adult group being defined as those aged 26–45 years old. Because age is significantly associated with injury severity owing to decreasing physical robustness and the increasing prevalence of comorbidities with age, we excluded cyclists older than 45 years. We established the child group as those aged 5–18 years because the milestone of learning to ride a bicycle often occurs around 5 years of age.

According to a report from Australia, cyclists involved in traffic-related accidents frequently suffered multiple injuries (38.8%), and the injured sites included the head (31.3%), chest (4.8%), neck (3.4%), and brain (2.0%)19. Riding a bicycle requires neurological integration of motor, sensory, visual, and balance skills20. Children initially concentrate on balancing while moving in a straight line21, and they can have difficulty integrating the central vision needed for balance with the peripheral vision needed to detect traffic hazards. These developmental considerations affect children’s neuropsychological readiness and ability to travel safely on roads in the presence of other vehicles18. It is important to improve children’s environment by allowing younger children to ride bicycles on sidewalks. Roads should be designed to separate bicycles from automobiles, especially for child cyclists.

Both children and adult bicyclist fatalities had lower values of BT and GCS scores and a higher FAST positivity rate compared with nonfatalities. These findings are in agreement with previous reports that examined the factors influencing motor vehicle collision fatalities17,22. The RR and HR were significantly higher in the fatal group than in the nonfatal group, but only in adults. Because the baseline of these values was higher in children than in adults, no significant differences were observed in children between these variables. Regarding injury severity, the ISS, RTS, and TRISSPS score were significantly higher in the fatal group than in the nonfatal group for both children and adults, and similar values were obtained for children and adults. However, there were some differences concerning the injured body regions. In adults, the head, chest and abdomen AIS values were significantly higher in the fatal group than in the nonfatal group. In children, the head, chest and spine AIS scores were significantly higher in the fatal group than in the nonfatal group. Subsequently, according to the logistic regression analyses, the AIS values for the head, and chest were positively associated with fatality in adults, whereas only the AIS chest value was positively associated with fatality in children. These differences might be owing to differences between adults and children regarding the circumstances of collisions and the features and mechanisms of injuries. First, although the head is the most commonly injured body region in adult and child bicyclists19,23–27, children survive head injuries more often than adults. The adult brain is considered to be less amenable to physiological and neuroanatomical reorganization following injury28,29. Compared with adults, better functional outcomes following traumatic brain injury have been observed in children30,31. This might be why the AIS head value was not associated with fatality in children. Second, adults cycle for transportation, leisure, and sport, and children tend to ride their bikes in residential areas and side streets and at lower velocity32. Therefore, the relative velocity might be lower in collisions involving children. Third, owing to their lower body weight, children are more likely to be thrown in a collision. For this reason, in addition to the differences in body size, the prevalence of injured body regions in adults and children would differ. When thrown during a collision, because of their larger proportion of chest area, children might more often suffer from chest injuries than abdominal injuries. This might be why the abdomen AIS score and the FAST positivity rate were not significantly associated with fatality in children. These findings might be useful to help establish further preventive measures.

To protect children from trauma, it may be advisable for them to wear a vest that protects the chest26,33,34. Although there is no legislation requiring bicyclists to wear a chest protector in Japan, the development and widespread use of chest protectors would be beneficial. Regarding helmet use, bicyclists younger than 13 years of age are required to wear a helmet according to Japanese road traffic regulations; however, this is not enforced. We recommend that local governments promote the wearing of helmets to improve their use in Japan.

We found that the GCS score and BT were negatively associated with child bicyclist fatality and that the AIS chest value was positively associated with child bicyclist fatality. The GCS score, BT, and AIS chest value have been previously determined to be independent predictors of fatality for child passengers involved in motor vehicle accidents37. The GCS score and BT are similar indices that are used to assess the body’s condition, but the injured body regions differ between vehicle passengers and bicyclists. Because the mechanisms of injury differ according to the manner of collision, physicians should consider whether the victim was a cyclist or a motor vehicle passenger when investigating the injury severity.

Conclusion
The physiological parameters and injury characteristics associated with bicyclist fatalities among children and adults were determined. Because these data cannot be obtained through national accident statistics or police reports, our results provide valuable information for the further prevention of fatalities from a medical viewpoint. Our study suggests the need for patients to be transported to a facility handling critical care and early treatment intervention when particular injury characteristics are observed. Additionally, our findings are useful for practical emergency physicians caring for both adult and child bicyclists, indicating that intensive care should be started as soon as possible if physiological or anatomical parameters that may cause fatality are observed. However, further research integrating medical data with police data including the circumstances of collisions is required to confirm the present results.

Limitations
A limitation of this study was that it did not include all injured cyclists in Japan. However, the JTDB represents trauma cases to a similar degree as corresponding databases in North America, Europe, and Oceania. In addition, almost all certified trauma educational institutions and many critical care centers contribute data to this database. Because the JTDB is the only prospective, nationwide, hospital-based trauma registry, we believe our analyses provided representative results. Second, information on crashes, such as collision details (type of
bicycle, collision direction, and velocity) and helmet use are not included in the JTDB registry. Helmets are not mandatory for adult bicycle riders in Japan and most people do not wear them, so the prevalence of helmet use had little impact on the study outcomes. Further studies could examine the relationships between accident data collected by the police and the medical data collected from hospital records in Japan. Regarding helmet use, according to the Japan Road Traffic Law, child bicyclists younger than 13 years are required to wear a helmet, but this is not enforced with any penalties. As most bicyclists in Japan do not wear them, the prevalence of helmet use had little impact on the study outcomes. Ongoing efforts to improve the helmet use rate should be promoted by local governments in Japan. Relatedly, care is needed when comparing the patterns or severities of head injuries of bicyclists in Japan with those in other countries in which helmet use is legally required, such as Australia and some states in the US. Third, there were informational flaws related to the JTDB dataset. In the JTDB, numerical values or other applicable items are registered via the website, but written information cannot be entered. Therefore, some of the items had missing data. However, because unclear and missing data were excluded from the analysis, the analyzed data had higher reliability. Fourth, as this database was hospital-based, fatalities that occurred at the site of the collision were not included, and we considered that including these out-of-hospital deaths would not improve the reliability of the analyses. Furthermore, patients who exhibited cardiopulmonary arrest upon hospital arrival were excluded from this study. In these cases, the physiological parameters and injury details were not obtained because the examinations were not carried out. Fifth, the JTDB does not state the location of registered hospitals, making it difficult to include the day of the week and time of day of an injury. Insurance information was also not included in the data; however, because health insurance is taken out by nearly all Japanese citizens, its ownership status by a patient was not considered to affect the analysis outcomes. Because of the absence of police crash data and other data, we did not include deaths at the time of the accident in our analysis.
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