Synthesis of pinacols through electrochemical reduction of carbonyl compounds at platinum cathode in non-aqueous weakly acidic medium
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The electrochemical reduction of p-methylacetophenone, m-nitrobenzaldehyde p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde and p-hydroxybenzaldehyde was carried out at controlled potential in weakly acidic medium (phenol, pKₐ = 9.98) for the purpose of investigating this type of reduction as a possible synthetic procedure for the preparation of 1,2-diol (or pinacol). The products formed during electrolysis at constant cathode potential are reported here.

In the present communication, we are reporting the result of our studies on the reduction of some carbonyl compounds specially aryl ketone and arylaldehyde viz. p-methylacetophenone, m-nitrobenzaldehyde, p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde and p-hydroxybenzaldehyde. Constant potential electrolysis was carried out. At definite potential the electrode surface is covered by dark brownish layer of the reduction product and that very product is continually diffused in the bulk which is visible.

Results and discussion

The electrochemical reduction of carbonyl compounds in aqueous ethanol system is pH dependent and takes place with the intermediacy of corresponding free-radical carbinolate ion in the alkaline region and the radical carbinolate is formed in the acidic medium. The reduction to the carbinol of the resultant free radical and its ion possibly occurs before dimerisation.

But as per our experiment in non-aqueous weakly acidic (phenol, pKₐ = 9.98) medium no such pH-dependency is seen. In this experiment, the dimethylformamide (DMF) and weak acid (phenol) provides the good system, and phenol serves as a proton donor.

Mechanism of carbonyl reduction involves a reversible one-electron reduction to form an anion radical, which in the absence of proton donors, can diffuse into the solution to dimerise. With sufficient proton-donor, the rate of protonation can be more rapid than the rate of diffusion.

\[
R_2C = O + e^- \rightarrow R_2C^-O^- \\
(A) + H^+ \rightarrow R_2C^-OH \rightarrow \text{dimerise}
\]

(B) \[+ e^- \rightarrow R_2C^-OH\]

For constant-potential electrolysis, the conventional three-electrode cell with platinum as working as well as counter electrode and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as reference electrode was used.

In this case 0.1 M aqueous solution of KCl and phenol were used as supporting electrolyte as a proton-donor respectively.

| Table 1. Experimental conditions of electrolysis |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Starting material                           | Solvent | Strength of the solvent |
| p-Methylacetophenone (colourless-liquid)     | DMF     | 5% v/v                   |
| m-Nitrobenzaldehyde (brownish solid)        | DMF     | 4% w/v                   |
| p-Dimethylaminobenzaldehyde                 | DMF     | 4% w/v                   |
| (Brownish crystalline solid)                | DMF     | 4% w/v                   |
| p-Hydroxybenzaldehyde (Brownish powdery solid) | DMF     | 4% w/v                   |

All the electrolysis was carried out at their corresponding reduction potentials and were completed in 3 h (Table 2). After 3 h no reduction product was seen to diffuse in the bulk.

In each case the work involved extracting the non-aqueous solution with double-distilled water (4 x 100 ml). The reddish brown organic liquid obtained in case of p-methylacetophenone dark brownish organic liquid in case of m-nitrobenzaldehyde, blackish semi solid substance in case of p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde and reddish brown coloured liquid in case of p-hydroxybenzaldehyde are entirely different from those of starting material.
Table 2. Current-potential data as recorded by potential-cum-galvanostat

| Time (h) | Applied potential (mV) | Current in mA | Yield (%) |
|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 0.00-0.30 | 1700                   | 0.02          |           |
| 0.30-1.00 | 1700                   | 0.01          |           |
| 1.00-1.30 | 1700                   | 0.01          | >90       |
| 1.30-2.00 | 1700                   | 0.01          |           |
| 2.00-2.30 | 1700                   | 0.01          |           |
| 2.30-3.00 | 1700                   | 0.02          |           |

In case of m-nitrobenzaldehyde:

| Time (h) | Applied potential (mV) | Current in mA | Yield (%) |
|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 0.00-0.30 | 3300                   | 0.08          |           |
| 0.30-1.00 | 3300                   | 0.07          |           |
| 1.00-1.30 | 3300                   | 0.07          |           |
| 1.30-2.00 | 3300                   | 0.06          | >80       |
| 2.00-2.30 | 3300                   | 0.06          |           |
| 2.30-3.00 | 3300                   | 0.07          |           |

In case of p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde:

| Time (h) | Applied potential (mV) | Current in mA | Yield (%) |
|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 0.00-0.30 | 1700                   | 0.03          |           |
| 0.30-1.00 | 1700                   | 0.03          |           |
| 1.00-1.30 | 1700                   | 0.04          |           |
| 1.30-2.00 | 1700                   | 0.05          | >90       |
| 2.00-2.30 | 1700                   | 0.06          |           |
| 2.30-3.00 | 1700                   | 0.03          |           |

In case of p-hydroxybenzaldehyde:

| Time (h) | Applied potential (mV) | Current in mA | Yield (%) |
|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 0.00-0.30 | 800                    | 0.03          |           |
| 0.30-1.00 | 800                    | 0.04          |           |
| 1.00-1.30 | 800                    | 0.03          |           |
| 1.30-2.00 | 800                    | 0.03          |           |
| 2.00-2.30 | 800                    | 0.03          | >90       |
| 2.30-3.00 | 800                    | 0.03          |           |

Analyses of the products:

As per mechanism, following products are formed.

\[
\begin{align*}
 p-\text{CH}_3-\text{C}_6\text{H}_5-\text{C}-\text{H} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^-} \text{H}^+ \quad p-\text{CH}_3-\text{C}_6\text{H}_5-\text{C}-\text{H} \\
 m-\text{NO}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}-\text{H} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^-} \text{H}^+ \quad m-\text{NO}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}-\text{H} \\
 p-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{N}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}-\text{H} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^-} \text{H}^+ \quad p-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{N}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}-\text{H}
\end{align*}
\]

Starting materials give positive test of carbonyl functional group with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine; whereas products are not responding the test of carbonyl functional group with the above reagent.

All the products were tested by the usual chemical ways and spectral analyses.

IR spectra of the products showed characteristic bands at 1400–1310 and 1200–1125 cm\(^{-1}\) C–O stretching [tertiary alcohol in product (1)] and near 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) [secondary alcohol in product (2), (3) and (4)].

A peak at 1693 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\text{C}=\text{O}\)) was observed in the starting material, which disappeared in the products.

A broad band at 3412.7 cm\(^{-1}\) [in product (1)], 3424.4 cm\(^{-1}\) [in product (2)], and 3398.5 cm\(^{-1}\) [in product (3)] due to \(\text{O–H}\) (stretching) was seen, which suggests that the products are pinacols.

Pinacols are synthetically important compound. Using electrochemical technique pinacol synthesis became more important because this technique is a part of Green Chemistry i.e. it can be prepared without any environmental hazards.

Experimental

**Reaction mixture**

50 cc of the non-aqueous solution of the starting material +50 cc of the 0.1 \(N\) aqueous solution of KCl + 5 cc phenol (\(pK_a\) 9.98).

\(p\)-Methyacetophenone, \(m\)-nitrobenzaldehyde, \(p\)-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde, \(p\)-hydroxybenzaldehyde, mercury.

KCI and phenol were of AnalaR grade. Water used was double-distilled.

Controlled-potential electrolytic studies were carried out using three-electrode cell assembly having Pt-plate as working as well as counter electrode, and SCE as a reference-electrode; and four-necked reaction bottle (designed by our laboratory). Potential-cum-galvanostat was used for carrying out controlled-potential electrolysis.
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