Serotonin 5-HT$_{2C}$ Receptor Activation Suppresses Binge Intake and the Reinforcing and Motivational Properties of High-Fat Food
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Binge eating disorder (BED) is characterized by dysfunctional hedonic food intake and reward-related processes. Activation of the serotonin (5-HT) 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor (5-HT$_{2C}$R) suppresses both food intake and reward-related behaviors and is thus poised to regulate BED. This study assessed the effects of 5-HT$_{2C}$R activation via the selective 5-HT$_{2C}$R agonist WAY163909 on binge eating-related behaviors in adult male Sprague-Dawley rats. Low doses of WAY163909 (1.0, 2.0 mg/kg) suppressed high-fat food (HFF) binge intake, but not standard food non-binge intake. WAY163909 (1.0 mg/kg) also attenuated operant responding for self-administered HFF pellets on fixed and progressive ratio schedules of reinforcement, indicating that 5-HT$_{2C}$R activation suppresses the reinforcing and motivational properties of HFF, respectively. These findings suggest that activation of the 5-HT$_{2C}$R may be effective at suppressing binge eating in patients with BED via suppression of the reinforcing and motivational properties of HFF. This work supports future studies targeting the 5-HT$_{2C}$R in the treatment of BED.
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INTRODUCTION

Binge eating disorder (BED) is characterized by uncontrollable, recurrent episodes of excessive intake of food (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Of note, food intake during binge episodes is often driven by hedonic rather than homeostatic mechanisms (i.e., food intake driven by wanting and liking factors but not necessary for energy balance; Finlayson et al., 2011; Dalton et al., 2013; Witt and Lowe, 2014). Patients with BED deem high-fat foods (HFFs) more rewarding than people without BED, which may motivate them to consume palatable food (Schebendach et al., 2013), and also exhibit disruptions in reward neurocircuitry (Kessler et al., 2016). Current treatments for BED are comprised of behavioral therapy, off-label use of pharmacotherapies, and lisdexamfetamine, the only clinically approved drug in the treatment of BED (Hutson et al., 2018). One avenue to identify novel treatment approaches in BED is to explore targets known to alter both food intake and reward-related behaviors. The serotonin (5-HT) 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor (5-HT$_{2C}$R) fulfills these criteria in that previous studies have demonstrated that 5-HT$_{2C}$R activation suppresses feeding behavior (Hewitt et al., 2002; Bickerdike, 2003; Voigt and Fink, 2015) via promotion of satiety...
(for review, Higgins et al., 2017), which in part led to the development and subsequent FDA-approval of the weight loss drug lorcaserin (Belviq®), a first-in-class selective 5-HT2C receptor agonist (Fidler et al., 2011; O’Neil et al., 2012; Nigro et al., 2013; Aronne et al., 2014). In addition, preclinical studies demonstrate that 5-HT2C receptor activation also regulates the hedonic properties of rewarding substances such as food and drugs of abuse (for reviews, Higgins and Fletcher, 2003, 2015; Fletcher et al., 2010; Cunningham and Anastasio, 2014). Thus, suppression of the reinforcing and motivational properties of palatable food via 5-HT2C receptor activation may be one mechanism to decrease hedonic eating and ultimately binge intake.

The investigational compound WAY163909 is a high affinity, full efficacy 5-HT2C receptor agonist relative to the homologous 5-HT2A and 5-HT2B receptors (Dunlop et al., 2005). WAY163909 suppresses food intake in Sprague-Dawley rats, obese Zucker rats, and diet-induced obese mice, effects that are completely reversed by a selective 5-HT2C receptor antagonist (Dunlop et al., 2005). Furthermore, WAY163909 decreases intake of both drug and sucrose reinforcers (Cunningham et al., 2011; Anastasio et al., 2014; Swinford-Jackson et al., 2016; Berro et al., 2017). To our knowledge, WAY163909 has not been previously tested for efficacy in preclinical binge eating models. Herein, we tested the hypothesis that WAY163909 would suppress binge intake of HFF in an intermittent access model at doses that do not interrupt standard food (SF) non-binge intake in adult male Sprague-Dawley rats. We further explored if WAY163909 alters hedonic eating via suppression of the reinforcing and motivational properties of HFF in two operant conditioning paradigms. These studies provide valuable insight into the potential therapeutic applicability of 5-HT2C receptor activation in BED.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Naïve male, outbred Sprague-Dawley rats (n = 42; Harlan, Houston, TX, United States) weighing 200–225 g at arrival were housed two per cage (except where noted below) under a 12-h light-dark cycle (lights on between 0600 and 1800 hours) with controlled temperature (21–23°C) and humidity (40–50%). Animals were acclimated for 7 days to the colony room prior to handling and experimental procedures. SF and water were available to rats ad libitum except during daily operant sessions and where noted below. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (2011) and with the University of Texas Medical Branch Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval.

**Food**

Standard food available ad libitum and used in non-binge intake studies consisted of 25% protein, 58% carbohydrate, and 17% fat (by kcal; Teklad LM-485 Mouse/Rat Sterilizable Diet; Teklad Diets, Madison, WI, United States; 3.1 kcal/g). HFF employed for binge intake studies contained 20% protein, 35% carbohydrate, and 45% fat (by kcal; D12451, Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ, United States; 4.73 kcal/g). HFF pellets used in operant assays consisted of 16% protein, 38% carbohydrate, and 46% fat (by kcal; BioServ product #P06162, 45 mg/pellet, Flemington, NJ, United States; 4.60 kcal/g).

**Drugs**

WAY163909 [(7b-R,10a-R)-1,2,3,4,8,9,10,10a-octahydro-7bH-cyclopenta[b][1,4] diazepino [6,7,1hi] indole] was a gift from Pfizer, Inc. (New York, NY, United States) and was dissolved in 0.9% NaCl (vehicle, VEH). WAY163909 was tested at a dose range (0–2 mg/kg) that did not alter total horizontal ambulation in a motor activity monitor (3 mg/kg WAY163909 has been shown to significantly suppress total horizontal ambulation) but dose-dependently suppressed operant responding for self-administered sucrose pellets (Cunningham et al., 2011). These effects are completely blocked following pretreatment with the selective 5-HT2C receptor antagonist SB242084 (Dunlop et al., 2005; Cunningham et al., 2011). All injections were administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) in a volume of 1 ml/kg.

**HFF Binge Intake**

Binge intake of HFF was assessed as described previously in a HFF intermittent access model (Benzon et al., 2014). Briefly, single-housed rats (n = 9) were acclimated to exclusive ad libitum access to HFF for 1 week to prevent food neophobia. Following this acclimation timeframe, rats were provided with exclusive ad libitum access to SF except during binge intake testing. The effects of 5-HT2C receptor activation on binge intake were determined after i.p. injection of vehicle or 0.5, 1, or 2 mg/kg WAY163909 15 min prior to the beginning of the dark cycle (1745 hours). At 1800 hours, SF was removed and 40 g of HFF was added to the home cage. HFF was removed 2 h later (2000 hours) and weighed to determine binge intake. Rats were then provided ad libitum access to SF. All rats received each of the four pharmacological treatments prior to HFF binge intake testing in a randomized manner with testing spaced at least 1 week apart. Previously published results using this paradigm demonstrate that rats receiving continuous access to HFF eat an average of 5 g of HFF in 2 h, whereas rats subjected to intermittent access to HFF eat an average of 7 g of HFF in 2 h (i.e., binge intake; Benzon et al., 2014). Thus, rats which consumed <5 g HFF in 2 h after vehicle pretreatment were excluded for not exhibiting HFF binge intake (n = 2). An additional rat was excluded as an outlier (i.e., intake greater than two standard deviations from the mean).

**SF Non-binge Intake**

The effect of 5-HT2C receptor activation on non-binge SF intake was also assessed in single-housed rats (n = 8) that were injected i.p. with vehicle or 0.5, 1, or 2 mg/kg WAY163909 15 min prior to the beginning of the dark cycle (1745 hours). At 1800 hours, all but 40 g of SF was removed from the home cage. At the end of 2 h (2000 hours), SF was removed and weighed to determine non-binge intake, and rats were allowed ad libitum access to SF. Rats received each of the four treatments prior to non-binge intake testing in a randomized manner at least 1 week apart.
Operant Conditioning for Self-Administration of HFF Pellets

Rats were trained to self-administer HFF pellets via an operant conditioning paradigm. Operant studies took place between 0900 and 1200 hours in standard operant chambers housed within a ventilated and sound-attenuated chamber and equipped with two retractable levers (Med Associates, Georgia, VT, United States). Operant studies consisted of 30-min sessions (5 days/week) during which rats were trained to lever press for a HFF pellet. Completion of the fixed ratio (FR) or progressive ratio (PR) schedule of reinforcement on the active lever resulted in delivery of the reinforcer (one HFF pellet); on the FR schedule, pellet delivery was paired with a discrete, flashing light. There were no scheduled consequences for lever presses on the inactive lever.

Effects of WAY163909 on FR Responding

Rats (n = 9) were SF restricted to 85–90% of free-feeding levels for the first 3 days of operant conditioning to facilitate acquisition of HFF self-administration and then provided with ad libitum access to SF while in the home cage for the remainder of the study. Rats were trained on an FR1 schedule of reinforcement for HFF pellets for 5 days, an FR3 schedule of reinforcement for 2 days, and moved to an FR5 schedule of reinforcement for the remainder of the study. The criterion for stable FR acquisition and responding (<25% variability in the number of HFF pellets earned over three consecutive FR5 training sessions) was achieved prior to initiation of test sessions. Once stable, rats underwent two consecutive days of testing in which they received vehicle on 1 day and 1 mg/kg WAY163909 the following day. After allowing at least 3 days for washout of drug and re-establishment of stability (Cunningham et al., 2011), rats underwent two additional consecutive days of testing in which they received vehicle on 1 day and 0.3 mg/kg WAY163909 the following day. All injections were administered i.p. 15 min prior to the beginning of the operant session to allow for washout of drug and re-establishment of stability. Four rats were excluded for not achieving stability and an additional rat was removed from the study due to an equipment malfunction.

Statistical Analyses

A repeated measures, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to assess the main effect of WAY163909 treatment on HFF binge intake, 2-h SF non-binge intake, and measures of operant responding for self-administration of HFF pellets (i.e., active and inactive lever presses, pellets earned, breakpoint, and latency to first reinforcer; Cunningham et al., 2011). Subsequent a priori comparisons to vehicle were analyzed using a one-tailed Dunnett’s procedure. A paired Student’s t-test was employed to assure consistent baseline responding between FR vehicle test days and between the days preceding the first and last PR tests.

RESULTS

HFF Binge and SF Non-binge Intake

The effect of the 5-HT2C R agonist WAY163909 on HFF binge intake was assessed in an intermittent HFF access model (n = 6). A repeated measures, one-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of treatment (F3,15 = 4.79; p = 0.0155). A priori comparisons demonstrated that 1 and 2 mg/kg of WAY163909 significantly suppressed binge intake compared to vehicle treatment (p < 0.05; Figure 1A). A power analysis determined an achieved power of 0.806 for this assessment. These data indicate that activation of 5-HT2C R signaling suppresses binge eating. The effect of WAY163909 on 2-h, non-binge SF intake was also assessed (n = 8). A repeated measures one-way ANOVA revealed no main effect of treatment (F3,21 = 1.12; p = 0.3642; Figure 1B). These results are consistent with published results indicating the ED50 for WAY163909-induced suppression of 2-h food intake in 24-h fasted Sprague-Dawley rats is 2.93 mg/kg (Dunlop et al., 2005). Together, these data indicate that lower doses of WAY163909 preferentially suppress HFF binge intake over non-binge intake of SF.

Operant Responding for Self-Administration of HFF Pellets

The effect of WAY163909 on the reinforcing value of HFF was assessed using FR responding for HFF pellets in freely fed rats (n = 8). A paired Student’s t-test between the first and second vehicle test indicated there were no significant differences in active lever presses (p = 0.934), inactive lever presses (p = 0.621), pellets earned (p = 0.605), or latency to first reinforcer (p = 0.089); thus, the average vehicle response was
used as control for analysis of the WAY163909 dose–response relationship. A repeated measures, one-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of treatment on active lever presses ($F_{2,14} = 47.54; p < 0.0001$) and pellets earned ($F_{2,14} = 42.08; p < 0.0001$), but not for inactive lever presses ($F_{2,14} = 0.34; p = 0.7185$) or latency to first reinforcer ($F_{2,14} = 1.39; p = 0.2819$). A priori comparisons indicated that 1 mg/kg of WAY163909 significantly suppressed active lever presses ($p < 0.05$) and pellets earned ($p < 0.05$) compared to vehicle (Figure 2). These data indicate that activation of the 5-HT$_2C$R suppresses the reinforcing value of HFF, a finding which coalesces with previously published results demonstrating that WAY163909 also dose-dependently suppresses operant responding for self-administration of sucrose pellets (Cunningham et al., 2011).

The effect of WAY163909 on the motivational value of HFF was assessed using PR responding for HFF pellets in freely fed rats ($n = 11$). A paired Student's $t$-test was used to assess consistent baseline responding between the days preceding the first and last test sessions; analyses indicated there was no difference in active lever presses ($p = 0.819$) or pellets earned ($p = 0.714$). A repeated measures one-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of treatment on active lever presses ($F_{2,20} = 9.12; p = 0.0015$) and breakpoint ($F_{2,20} = 19.11; p < 0.0001$), but not inactive lever presses ($F_{2,20} = 2.59; p = 0.0998$) or latency to first reinforcer ($F_{2,20} = 1.81; p = 0.1888$). A priori comparisons indicated that 1 mg/kg of WAY163909 significantly suppressed active lever presses ($p < 0.05$) and breakpoint ($p < 0.05$) compared to vehicle treatment (Figure 3). These data indicate that 5-HT$_2C$R activation suppresses the motivational value of HFF.

**DISCUSSION**

Food intake can be described as homeostatic (intake necessary to maintain energy balance) or hedonic (intake driven by reward-related factors; Lutter and Nestler, 2009). Hedonic processes are postulated as an important component of binge eating episodes seen in disorders such as BED (Finlayson et al., 2011). To model binge eating in rodents, we gave rats ad libitum access to standard chow along with intermittent access to a highly palatable food (Corwin and Wojnicki, 2006; Corwin et al., 2011; Benzon et al., 2014). The palatable food used in this study was a HFF chow, which is nutritionally representative of foods that patients with BED may eat in excess during a binge episode (Corwin et al., 2011). Administration of 1 mg/kg of WAY163909 significantly suppressed HFF binge intake in rodents, suggesting that 5-HT$_2C$R activation may be a viable therapeutic approach to suppress binge eating episodes in patients with BED. This same dose of WAY163909 did not alter non-binge intake, consistent with previous literature that higher doses of WAY163909 (i.e., 3–10 mg/kg) are required to suppress 2-h SF intake in 24-h fasted male Sprague-Dawley rats (Dunlop et al., 2005). This suggests that 5-HT$_2C$R activation preferentially suppresses hedonic intake of food at lower doses but attenuates both hedonic and homeostatic intake of food at higher doses. We then demonstrated that 1 mg/kg of WAY163909 suppressed both FR and PR responding, suggesting that 5-HT$_2C$R activation attenuates the reinforcing efficacy and motivational properties of HFF, respectively. These findings are congruent with literature demonstrating 5-HT$_2C$R activation decreases intake of other types of palatable food, such as those high in carbohydrates (Martin et al., 1998; Fletcher et al., 2010; Higgins et al., 2013b).

Activation of the 5-HT$_2C$R is postulated to primarily suppress feeding by increasing production of α-melanocyte stimulating hormone which acts on melanocortin 4 receptors in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus to promote satiety (Heisler et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2008; Lam et al., 2008). However, 5-HT$_2C$R activation-induced suppression of operant responding for food in self-administration studies suggests that the 5-HT$_2C$R regulates intake of food in additional ways since total food intake in these paradigms is often not enough to promote satiety (Higgins et al., 2013a). Previous studies have also indicated that 5-HT$_2C$R agonists suppress intake of palatable food in non-food deprived rats (Rowland et al., 2008; Canal et al., 2014). A recent study demonstrated that the clinically
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approved 5-HT$_{2C}$R agonist lorcaserin suppressed both binge-like eating and hunger-driven feeding in wild-type mice (Xu et al., 2017). Interestingly, lorcaserin-induced suppression of binge-like eating in mice is dependent upon 5-HT$_{2C}$R expression on dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area, cells highly implicated in reward-related behaviors (Xu et al., 2017). In mice with selective knockout of 5-HT$_{2C}$R in dopaminergic neurons, lorcaserin is unable to suppress binge-like eating, suggesting that the 5-HT$_{2C}$R is mediating its effects on hedonic feeding through mechanisms beyond hypothalamus-dependent promotion of satiety (Xu et al., 2017). Indeed, lorcaserin also suppresses PR responding for chocolate pellets via activation of 5-HT$_{2C}$R in the ventral tegmental area (Valencia-Torres et al., 2017), further supporting a role for mesolimbic 5-HT$_{2C}$R in the control of palatable food intake. To our knowledge, the role of the 5-HT$_{2C}$R in hypothalamic neurons has not been explored in binge eating behavior, but future studies should assess if the 5-HT$_{2C}$R in hypothalamic subregions contributes to WAY163909-mediated suppression of binge eating because of the well-established role of this population in mediating food intake.

Elevations in body weight are seen in approximately 70% of patients with BED (Kessler et al., 2013). Thus, a medication that effectively suppresses both binge episodes and overall food intake at therapeutic doses would be highly beneficial in the treatment of comorbid BED and obesity. The FDA-approved selective 5-HT$_{2C}$R agonist lorcaserin may be of benefit for this population of patients. Interestingly, clinical trials for lorcaserin showed that while, on average, treatment produced modest effects (about 3% weight loss when accounting for the effects of placebo), lorcaserin treatment resulted in 5% or even 10% body weight loss in certain subpopulations, a phenomenon occurring at twice the frequency in the lorcaserin group compared to the placebo group (Aronne et al., 2014). Our findings combined with previous literature suggest that 5-HT$_{2C}$R activation suppresses hedonic intake of food in addition to homeostatic intake of food; thus,
lorcaserin may show higher efficacy in patients seeking weight loss treatment with comorbid BED compared to patients without BED. To our knowledge, a behavioral subtyping of the individuals who exhibit the greatest weight loss upon lorcaserin treatment has not yet been reported. The present study suggests that analyses assessing the efficacy of lorcaserin in different behavioral subtypes of obesity are warranted. This study supports 5-HT2C-R activation as a novel therapeutic target to suppress hedonic food intake in patients with BED. Future studies should assess the viability of repurposing the target to suppress hedonic food intake in patients with BED. These findings, in combination with the larger body of literature surrounding the role of 5-HT2C-R in food intake, suggest that 5-HT2C-R activation may be especially helpful in the treatment of comorbid BED and obesity.
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