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Abstract Current methods for pregnancy diagnostics are based on immunodetection of pregnancy-specific proteins and in a forensic context suffer from sensitivity and specificity issues. Here, we applied reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) technology to 11 genes previously reported with placental mRNA circulating in maternal blood. We found two genes, hPL and βhCG, with pregnancy-specific expression in whole blood samples. RT-PCR detection of hPL was positive in all samples tested throughout the pregnancy, whereas βhCG was detectable until half of the second trimester but not at later gestation ages. For hPL, in vitro stability of the transcript was demonstrated until 2 months of age, and the hPL-specific RT-PCR assay applied was highly sensitive with reliable detection from down to 0.25 cm² dried bloodstain. We therefore suggest hPL-specific RT-PCR as a new molecular tool for forensic pregnancy diagnostics from dried blood stains. Moreover, our results indicate that the time-wise reverse expression of hPL and βhCG during pregnancy may allow an RT-PCR-based estimation of the gestational age from blood stains, adding to the value of forensic pregnancy diagnosis for crime scene investigations.

Keywords mRNA markers · Pregnancy · Maternal blood · Forensic · hPL · βhCG

Introduction

The diagnosis of pregnancy from forensic bloodstains can be useful in cases of infanticide, criminal abortions and for missing person identification. However, this task is challenged by the usually small amounts of potentially degraded biological material found at crime scenes that often is of unknown age. Pregnancy diagnostics from bloodstains has been demonstrated via immunodetection of pregnancy-specific proteins [1], but the sensitivities achievable by this approach [2] limit its application in a forensic context where often minute dried bloodstains have to be investigated. Consequently, there is a need for more sensitive techniques to detect pregnancy-specific biomarkers from small bloodstains found at crime scenes. Research in prenatal diagnostics using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) has discovered the presence of various placenta-derived mRNA species in maternal plasma [3–6] that are reported to be remarkably stable in peripheral blood [7] and are rapidly cleared from circulation post-partum [3]. Also,
Unlike previously employed immunodetection methods, RT-PCR diagnostics can be designed to be human-specific providing advantages in mixed human–animal samples where protein-based assays may produce false positive results. These characteristics make pregnancy-specific mRNA markers analyzed by RT-PCR technology a logical choice for the development of a pregnancy diagnostic test for forensic applications. We, therefore, tested by means of RT-PCR technology 11 genes previously reported with pregnancy-specific expression [3–6] and evaluated, for those with confirmed pregnancy-specific expression in whole blood stains, the in vitro time-wise stability of the transcripts as well as the RT-PCR sensitivity.

**Materials and methods**

**Sample collection**

To test for pregnancy-specific expression, we used blood samples from healthy volunteers with singleton pregnancies recruited from staff members of the Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam and the Netherlands Forensic Institute with informed consent. The samples were collected with sterile cotton swabs after a finger prick and stored at −80°C or in RNAlater solution (Applied Biosystems, Nieuwerkerk aan de IJssel, the Netherlands) until RNA isolation. The gestational age of these female volunteers ranged from the 11.3th to the 37th week of pregnancy (the digit behind the dot refers to extra days of the week indicated before the dot). One swab or 200 μl of blood was used for RNA isolation. Saliva samples were collected from four pregnant volunteers in the 11.3th, 18th, 21st, and 37th weeks of gestation; two cotton swabs fully soaked with fluid were used for RNA isolation. To determine the relationship between gene expression and gestation time, blood samples from women with singleton pregnancies were provided by the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of the Erasmus University Medical Center in Rotterdam. These samples had been collected by venipuncture during 2006 and 2007 in EDTA-containing tubes, stored at −80°C and 200 μl of blood was used for RNA isolation, which was performed in duplicate. The gestational age of these female volunteers ranged from the 8.2th to the 20.1th week of pregnancy. In vitro time-wise stability of the transcripts was tested on blood sampled obtained with informed consent from three healthy volunteers who were in the 11.1th, 27.5th, and 30.5th weeks of pregnancy, respectively. For this, approximately 4 ml of blood was drawn by venipuncture immediately processed to avoid clotting; 2 ml of each blood sample was spread over a 56.7 cm² piece of Whatman 3-MM filter paper placed in an uncovered Petri dish. The rest of the sample was absorbed with sterile cotton swabs. The filter paper and swabs were stored at ambient temperature in dust-free non-humid conditions and were subjected to normal daylight conditions. RNA from these samples was isolated after different time intervals: 1, 7, 14, 28, and 56 days. At each time point, RNA was extracted from one blood swab, as well as 1.0, 0.5, 0.25, 0.1, 0.04, and 0.01 cm² pieces of blood-soaked and dried filter paper, which correspond to approximately 200.0, 35.3, 17.7, 8.8, 3.5, 1.4, and 0.4 μl of spotted blood, respectively.

**RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA isolation was performed with the RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen Benelux B.V., Venlo, the Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with some modifications. These included the incubation of the cotton swabs and the pieces of filter paper in RLT buffer for 1 h at 4°C followed by acid phenol/chloroform extraction prior to the loading of whole blood lysate onto the RNeasy spin columns. This purification step significantly increased RNA yield and purity (data not shown). RNA extracts were treated with DNase using the Turbo DNA-free kit by Ambion (Applied Biosystems) to remove genomic DNA contamination. The quality and quantity of RNA was assessed with a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE). For the RNA samples designated for the pregnancy specificity determination, cDNA synthesis was carried out with the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Roche Diagnostics Nederland B.V., Almere, the Netherlands). RNA extracts designated for the gestation time applicability and sensitivity studies were reverse transcribed with the Revertaid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) with a combination of random hexamer and oliogod(T)₁₈ primers. Real-time PCR reactions were performed in 15 μl reaction volumes, in duplicate, on an ABI 7300 PCR machine (Applied Biosystems). The PCR reactions for GAPDH and β-actin genes used as endogenous controls were performed with the FastStart Universal SYBR Green master mix (Roche Diagnostics Nederland B.V., the Netherlands) using previously described primer sequences [8, 9] and the following cycling parameters during PCR: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, and a final annealing—elongation at 60°C for 60 s. The same SYBR Green PCR kit was used for the testing of the pregnancy specificity and the sensitivity of detection of the markers described in Oudejans et al. [4] (LOC90625 and CSH1 genes) and Go et al. [5] (ZDHHC1, GCM1, PSG9, and PAPPA genes) using the primers and specific PCR conditions described in the original publications. RT-PCRs with hydrolysis probes were performed using the Maxima Probe qPCR Master Mix (Fermentas) with primer and
probe sequences according to Ng et al. [3] for hPL and \( \beta hCG \) genes and Tsui et al. [6] for TFPI2, Kiss1, and PLAC1 genes. All primers used in the study were either cDNA-specific or generated larger amplicons from genomic DNA template allowing a clear differentiation between products derived from mRNA and from genomic DNA. Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed to confirm the specificity of all amplifications.

**Results and discussion**

Pregnancy specificity of mRNA markers

Whole-blood RT-PCR analysis of 11 genes with previously reported pregnancy-specific expression pattern (LOC90625, CSH1, ZDHHC1, GCMI, PSG9, PAPP4, hPL, \( \beta hCG \), TFPI2, Kiss1, and PLAC1 [3–6]) performed in four samples of different pregnant women as well as in four samples of different non-pregnant female controls and in four male control samples provided confirmation for two transcripts, the human placental lactogen (hPL) and the beta subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin (\( \beta hCG \)). The remaining nine genes showed no pregnancy-specific expression pattern by analyzing whole blood. HPL and \( \beta hCG \) were also tested in samples of additional 4 different pregnant women; hPL was detected in all eight samples from pregnant volunteers (Fig. 1), while \( \beta hCG \) was detected in three of them. None of the control samples from males and non-pregnant females tested positive for any of the two transcripts. HPL and \( \beta hCG \) RT-PCR was also performed on saliva samples from four pregnant volunteers, and no amplification was detected (data not shown). This finding confirms expectations since hPL and \( \beta hCG \) expression is restricted to the placenta [10] allowing mRNA amplification from maternal blood but not from other body fluids of pregnant women that usually contain no blood. The use of whole blood in our study might serve as a possible explanation why the nine genes that showed pregnancy-specific expression in previous plasma-based studies [4–6] did not reveal pregnancy specificity in our study: cell components of whole blood could contribute to the overall expression profile and may have caused nonspecific amplification as observed here.

**Time window of hPL and \( \beta hCG \) mRNA detection**

To investigate the time window of hPL and \( \beta hCG \) gene expression throughout the pregnancy, we tested 25 additional samples from women in their 8.2th to 20.1th gestation week (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S1). Together with the eight samples mentioned in the previous section, we detected hPL in all 33 samples tested from women in their 8.2th to 37th gestation weeks, whereas \( \beta hCG \) mRNA was successfully amplified from 24 of the 33 samples tested. Notably, \( \beta hCG \) mRNA was not detected beyond the 20.1th week of pregnancy in the samples tested. These 25 samples were also used for reproducibility testing; for hPL, positive results were obtained for all technical replicates (100% reproducibility), whereas the technical reproducibility of the \( \beta hCG \) RT-PCR assay was 100% only with samples from women until the 10.1th week of pregnancy but decreased in samples from women of later gestational age (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S1). These results are in line with previous reports [3, 10] showing that the concentration throughout the pregnancy of hPL mRNA in maternal blood is higher relative to \( \beta hCG \) and that the level of \( \beta hCG \) mRNA decreases significantly after early pregnancy down to a few copies per milliliter of blood at late gestation age. The mRNA of both endogenous controls used (GAPDH and \( \beta -actin \)) was detected in all 33 samples of pregnant volunteers as well as in samples from males and from non-pregnant females without major quantitative differences (see Fig. 1 for GAPDH) demonstrating successful cDNA synthesis from the total RNA extracts and confirming previous reports of pregnancy-independent expression [3, 11]. Given that the amount of hPL mRNA in maternal blood increases as the pregnancy progresses [3, 10], one can conclude that hPL mRNA will be detectable at older gestation age than the 37th week available to us and until full term. The earliest point in the gestation period allowing the detection of hPL and \( \beta hCG \) mRNA is expected to be before the 8.2th week available to us; according to Okazaki et al. [10], both transcripts are detectable in samples from women of the sixth week of gestation.

**Sensitivity of hPL and \( \beta hCG \) mRNA assays**

Because the concentrations of hPL mRNA increases with gestation time reaching the peak at the third trimester [3,
we chose samples from the latest gestation period for which sufficient material was available to us (two donors in the 27.5th and the 30.5th week of gestation) to determine the smallest amount of dried blood required for reliable RT-PCR detection of hPL mRNA. We revealed that hPL amplification is possible from as little as 0.25 cm² dried bloodstain (corresponding to 8.8 μl of liquid blood or ~50 ng total RNA). This approximately corresponds to the smallest reported amount from which the hPL protein was detected previously by immunodetection [1]. Since the amount of βhCG mRNA is maximal at the first trimester [3, 10], we used a sample from the earliest gestation period for which sufficient material was available to us (11.1th week) to determine the RT-PCR sensitivity limits for βhCG mRNA detection. We found that reproducible βhCG amplification could not be achieved by using less material than one standard blood-soaked dried cotton swab corresponding to about 200 μl of liquid blood (or 200–1,000 ng total RNA as extracted from dried blood). This is in line with 100% reproducibility of βhCG-specific RT-PCR in samples from women in their 8.2th-10.1th week obtained from 200 μl of liquid blood (or 500–2,000 ng total RNA; Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S1). However, we are aware that the gestation period of the sample available to us for this experiment does not correspond to the period of maximal βhCG expression [3, 10]. The relatively high detection limit for βhCG using the RT-PCR assay applied and the fact that the βhCG transcript is not detectable throughout the entire gestational age limits the use of βhCG mRNA to detect pregnancy from forensic blood stains (but see last chapter).

**Time-wise stability of hPL mRNA**

The Ct values for GAPDH, β-actin, and hPL PCR amplifications of the RNA extracted from the bloodstains obtained from women in the 27.5th and 30.5th weeks of pregnancy did not demonstrate any regular trend of change depending on the time of sample storage from 1 to 56 days, although increased variation of Ct values in the dried samples in comparison to the fresh ones was observed, as might be expected (data not shown). However, we were able to successfully detect hPL mRNA in dried bloodstains after at least 56 days of stain exposure at room temperature. Although mRNA is generally believed to be highly unstable and prone to degradation due to the action of ribonucleases and environmental factors such as pH, UV light, and moisture [12], it has been shown recently that some RNA markers might be exceptionally stable [13, 14]. Therefore, our results of about 2 months in vitro stability indicate that sample degradation is not a strongly limiting factor for forensic pregnancy diagnostics, at least when using hPL mRNA as marker and considering the environmental conditions applied.

**Relationship between hPL and βhCG expression with gestation time**

Initiated by the observed differences in the expression pattern between hPL and βhCG in samples of different gestation age, we were interested in studying the relationship between both transcripts throughout the pregnancy period in more detail. Using the RT-PCR data obtained
from the hPL and βhCG assays in samples from women in their 8.2th to 20.1th gestation week (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S1), a statistically significant positive correlation ($R^2=0.6445$, $p<0.001$) was observed between the threshold cycle ($Ct$) value differences of βhCG and hPL and the gestational age of the sample donor (Fig. 2). Even though βhCG mRNA cannot be detected throughout the entire period of a normal pregnancy, our data indicate that when combined with the detection of hPL mRNA, it may become useful in predicting gestational age from a forensic bloodstain that originated from a pregnant woman. However, the success of such additional application will depend on future improvements of the βhCG RT-PCR assay, which may be achievable by further optimizing the three main steps of the RT-PCR approach: RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and PCR amplification and using samples from the complete pregnancy period.

**Conclusions**

Our data demonstrate that RT-PCR allows the detection of pregnancy-specific hPL and βhCG mRNA transcripts from small blood stains. The hPL-specific RT-PCR assay applied was sensitive enough to reliably detect hPL transcripts throughout the pregnancy, and from as little as 0.25 cm$^2$ of dried bloodstain. Furthermore, the hPL transcript was shown to be stable enough for successful amplification from dried blood of up to 2 months of age, expectedly older. Therefore, we propose hPL-specific RT-PCR as new molecular tool for pregnancy diagnostics from blood stains found at crime scenes. Moreover, our results indicate that a combined analysis of hPL and βhCG mRNA markers may allow estimating the gestational age of a woman from her blood stain left behind, potentially adding to the value of forensic pregnancy diagnosis for crime scene investigations.
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