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Abstract
This research aims to develop a science learning module based on Socio Scientific Issues on biotechnology materials that can improve students' critical thinking skills. This research is an R&D research with a 4-D design. Validation is carried out by science experts and teachers. The module readability test and the students' responses to the module were obtained using a close test and questionnaire. Data analysis was performed descriptively quantitatively. The results of the module validation by expert lecturers obtained 89% and an average CVI value of 0.98 with a very valid category and was suitable for use. The module readability test results were 42.22% with the instructional level category indicating that the modules needed to be learned. The results of the N-Gain test for critical thinking ability is 0.59, the module is in the moderate category and the students' response value to the use of module-based SSI in learning to improve critical thinking skill.
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INTRODUCTION
The 21st century is marked as the century of globalization Boholano (2017), which means that human life in the 21st century undergoes rapid changes that encourage competitiveness (Van, et al., 2017) and is different from the system of life in the previous century. The changes that occur not only have an impact on the global economy, national education has also undergone enormous changes (Bell, 2016; Hussin, 2018). One of the major impacts in education is the development of 21st-century skills (Henriksen, et al., 2016; Siddiq, et al., 2016; Egan, et al., 2017). The 21st-century skills described by the US-based Partnership for 21st Century Skills (P21) identify the competencies needed in the
21st century, namely "The 4Cs" - communication, collaboration, critical thinking, and creativity (Chu, et al., 2017; Whorton, et al., 2017; Eryandi & Nuryanto, 2020; Frache, et al., 2019; Spies & Xu, 2018).

These competencies are important to be taught to students in the context of core subject areas and 21st-century themes (Qian & Clark, 2016). The demands of 21st-century skills must collaborate with the curriculum used (Zulkarnaen, et al., 2019) as a basis for developing learning tools to create learning that is following the needs of society and the times.

One of the supporting tools for learning is a module. Modules are books that consist of several topics and are arranged based on learning designs, and are distributed to students for use in learning activities (Kemenristekdikti, 2017). Module development must be accompanied by using a specific learning approach or model to improve the targeted skills (Yulastri & Hidayat, 2017; Khabibah, et al., 2017; Pratono, et al., 2018; Irwan, et al., 2019). In 21st-century learning, many approaches and models are suitable for use in the development of soft skills of students, including the socio-scientific issue approach (Hancock, et al., 2019). The results showed that a lesson that uses a sociocultural issue approach can improve 21st-century skills, such as critical thinking skills. Pratiwi, et al. (2016); Cahyarini, et al. (2016); Lathifah & Susilo (2016); Santika, et al. (2018), scientific literacy Rubini, et al. (2019); Widiyawati (2020), decision making Dauer & Forbes (2016); Nurtamara (2020), communication skills Chung, et al. (2016), Purwati's argumentation skills (2019), and problem-solving skills (Zamakhhsyari & Rahayu, 2020). Therefore, modules based on socio-scientific issues need to be developed to support 21st-century learning to train and develop student soft skills.

Based on data on the distribution of questionnaires conducted on science teachers in West Java regarding the use of teaching materials, only 18.5% of teachers used modules in learning. Most teachers still use textbooks provided by the government to support learning. The preliminary test results in one of the junior high schools in Majalengka showed the average critical thinking ability of students was 36.67%. The results of interviews with science teachers at the school stated that some of the factors causing the students' low critical thinking skills were inadequate learning facilities, varied student characteristics, lack of student motivation, and the lack of teaching materials and innovative application of learning.

One of the innovative learning is learning that is associated with linking socio-scientific issues (Sadler, et al., 2016) to encourage students to use reasoning, problem-solving, critical thinking skills, and creative thinking skills (Setambah, 2018; Zamakhhsyari & Rahayu, 2020; Saad, et al., 2017). Socio-scientific issues are topics or issues that live socially, have a scientific component, and intersect with other disciplines such as politics, economics, and ethics (Ismawati & Wicaksono, 2019; Herman, et al., 2018). Socioscientific issues can be used as a means to explain social problems that
occur in the scientific field (Rahman, 2018; Hancock, et al., 2019; Karisan & Zeidler, 2017; Lindahl, et al., 2019; Owens, et al., 2017). Socio-scientific issues make science learning relevant to student life (Pitpirotapin & Topcu, 2016; Zeidler, et al., 2019; Sadler, et al., 2017). Besides, innovative learning that integrates socio-scientific issues can improve skills needed in the 21st century (Sismawarni, et al., 2020; Mahanani, et al., 2019; Nazilah, et al., 2019; Yacoubian & Khishfe, 2018; Evren, 2018; Chowdhury, et al., 2019). Many scientific materials can be applied using sociocultural issues, including acid-base material Kusumaningtyas, et al. (2020); materials of electrolyte and non-electrolyte solutions Rudibyani, et al. (2019); global warming Ozden (2017); climate change Eggert, et al. (2017); learning biology Suwono, et al. (2017); Owens, et al. (2019); Tidemand & Nielsen (2017); biotechnology material (Khishfe, et al., 2017; Peel, et al., 2019). The use of social scientific issues is suitable for application in biotechnology learning (Wan & Bi, 2020). The problems arising from biotechnology are closely related to the daily lives of students (Suratno, et al., 2018). Learning sources that come from contextual problems can train students' critical thinking skills (Sofiatin, et al., 2016).

For learning to take place well, it needs to be equipped with teaching materials in the form of modules. The existence of modules is very much needed by students during the Covid-19 pandemic, which demands student learning independence (Susanti & Djukri, 2018; Yusro & Sasono, 2016). Student learning independence can improve academic achievement or learning outcomes, thinking patterns, and critical thinking skills. Arifin, et al. (2016); Egok (2017), Fajriyah's reasoning ability, et al. (2019), Sundayana's problem-solving ability (2016), and is closely related to students' attitudes and self-confidence (Pratiwi & Laksmi, 2016). Therefore, it is necessary to develop a biotechnology module based on socio-scientific issues as a teaching material used during the Covid-19 pandemic to train and improve students' critical thinking skills.

**METHODS**

The research method used is research development or Research and Development (R&D). The research design carried out refers to the 4-D development model from Thiagarajan, Semmel, and Semmel (Hendripides & Hikmah, 2018). The 4-D development model consists of the stages of define, design, develop, and design. However, this research only reached the development stage.

Activities at the define stage are carried out to define and define development requirements. In other models, this stage is often called a needs analysis. Five activities are carried out at the defined stage, namely preliminary analysis, student analysis, task analysis, concept analysis, and formulation of learning objectives. The next stage is a design that aims to design a biotechnology module based on aspects of socio-scientific issues and research instruments. The research instrument was designed as an expert assessment form, a gap test, a critical thinking ability
test, a student response questionnaire. Furthermore, at the development stage, three experts conducted module validation and research instruments. The three expert validators provide ratings and suggestions for improvement. After the module was revised, 20 science teachers were assessed to determine the Content Validity Ratio (CVR) and Content Validity Index (CVI), as well as a readability test by 35 students. The revised socio-scientific issue-based module was then tested on 28 class IX students of MTsN 6 Majalengka in online biotechnology learning. Limited trials were carried out with the weak experiment method and the one-group pretest-posttest design (Rahi, 2017). Students' critical thinking skills are determined using a valid critical thinking ability test. The critical thinking ability test was tested at the end of the lesson. Besides, questionnaires were also distributed to determine student responses. The data on students' critical thinking skills were calculated N-gain and tested inferential statistically. The student response data were processed and analyzed descriptively quantitatively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The Covid-19 pandemic has changed the order of life in all fields. Learning has also undergone a change from face-to-face learning in a classroom or laboratory to learning that is carried out online. Online learning requires teachers to be more creative and innovative in designing learning activities and teaching materials in the form of modules. The development of a module based on socio-scientific issues has been carried out with details of the development stages as follows:

Curriculum Analysis
The results of the curriculum analysis and the macro and micro proportions show that some science subject materials can be packaged by presenting socio-scientific issues. One of the materials most often presented in the context of sociocultural issues is "Biotechnology". Many issues can be explored in society related to biotechnology, such as problems in the environment, ethics of scientists in research, use of biotechnology products, and so on (Pope, et al., 2017; Tidemand & Nielsen, 2017; Hancock, et al., 2019). Issues in society about this biotechnology material can be used as a learning resource. Several studies that use sociocultural issues in science learning have been conducted by Arifin, et al. (2016), Egok (2017), Fajriyah, et al. (2019), Sundayana (2016), and Pratiwi & Laksmiwati (2016) to improve academic achievement, learning outcomes, thinking patterns and critical thinking skills, reasoning skills, problem-solving abilities, improving student attitudes and self-confidence.

Design Stage
The SSI module with the theme Biotechnology is developed based on socio-scientific characteristics which are combined into biotechnology materials. Biotechnology material is included in the module based on curriculum analysis and the proportion of macro and micro biotechnology materials. Based on the analysis results, 4 sub-concepts will be used as subtitles in the module. The four sub-concepts are the definition and history
of biotechnology; conventional biotechnology and modern biotechnology; application of biotechnology in various fields; and the impact of the application of biotechnology in human life. Socio-scientific aspects consist of 7 aspects, namely 1) the problems faced are real, not artificial, 2) contemporary relevance, 3) controversial, 4) describe the nature and process of science, 5) problems are complex and open, 6) combine science, technology, and social issues, and 7) considering the ethical dimensions (Rahman, 2018; Sadler, et al., 2017; Sadler, et al., 2016; Tidemand & Nielsen, 2017; Herman, et al., 2018; Bayram, et al., 2019)

These sociocultural aspects are contained in the module in the form of global issues made in the column of biotechnology issues equipped with a column of questions to train students’ critical thinking skills. The column on biotechnology issues is a characteristic that is quite prominent in this module as shown in Figure 1.

All aspects of socio-scientific issues are integrated into modules in the form of biotechnology issues. Biotechnology issues are presented following biotechnology topics. Each sub-chapter title presents biotechnology issues with different themes. Indicators of socio-scientific issues can be used to train students’ thinking skills. According to Hancock, et al. (2019) stated that the use of socio-scientific issues as a context for school education is important because education must address interdisciplinary learning and holistic learning rather than subject-based learning. Value-based learning and learning to think critically are better developed than learning that requires students to memorize and is participatory. The ability to think critically is also important for students to solve problems, critical thinking is seen as a prerequisite for the growth of problem-solving abilities Cahyono (2017) and making correct decisions (Nuryanti, et al., 2018).

**Develop Stage**

Module validation is carried out by lecturers and science practitioners/teachers. The assessment
component consists of aspects of content feasibility, presentation feasibility, components of socio-scientific issues, graphics, and language feasibility. The results of the validation by expert lecturers can be seen in Table 1.

| Component               | Validator's average value | Average |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                         | VAL-1 | VAL-2 | VAL-3 |         |
| Content Eligibility     | 4.5   | 4     | 4.3   | 4.3     |
| Serving Eligibility     | 4.8   | 5     | 4.3   | 4.7     |
| Socio-scientific issues | 4.6   | 5     | 4.3   | 4.6     |
| Graphics                | 4.3   | 5     | 4     | 4.4     |
| Language Eligibility    | 4     | 5     | 4     | 4.3     |

Average and Criteria = 4.46 (89.2%): The quality of the modules in the category is very good.

Table 1 shows the highest assessment on the presentation feasibility component with an average of 4.7. This is because the presentation of the module is equipped with content that attracts students' attention such as columns on biotechnology issues that can add to students' insight. The acquisition of high presentation aspect values is expected to increase student interest and motivation. In line with the results of Budiarso (2016) and Lestari (2016) that teaching materials developed with a fun model can increase student motivation. In another study, Pursitasari, et al. (2019) states that teaching materials with fun content can increase student interest in learning, thereby increasing students' scientific literacy skills. Meanwhile, the module component that received the lowest average rating was content feasibility. Some of the things that cause the low value of the content feasibility are the lack of spiritual value in the module. Overall, the results of the module assessment by the experts scored 89.2% in the very good category. Some input from experts is correcting inaccurate and non-standard words, changing colors that do not match in the module layout, and changing some module layouts so that no space appears empty in the module sheet. Module improvements are carried out based on suggestions provided by experts. These suggestions include replacing inaccurate words, such as changing "Preface" to "Foreword", "Concept Map" to "Concept Chart". Improvements were also made in terms of module appearance, such as changing the colors to match them.

In addition to the results of expert assessments, the module also received an assessment from the teacher to determine CVR and CVI with the results shown in Table 2. Almost all of the components of the assessment obtained a CVR of 1.0, except for the socio-scientific issue component with an average of 0.96. Table 2 also shows the CVI value of 0.98. These results indicate that the modules developed in terms of
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content, presentation, components of socio-scientific, graphic, and language issues are appropriate and suitable for use in learning. The determination of CVR and CVI values in module development is carried out to determine the feasibility of a module for use in the learning process. The determination of CVR and CVI has also been carried out by practitioners (science teachers) with CVI results of 0.98 in the very good, valid, and feasible category for use in learning.

Table 2. Results of Expert Validation by Practitioners (Science Teachers)

| Component           | Average CVR |
|---------------------|-------------|
| Content Eligibility | 1           |
| Serving Eligibility | 1           |
| Socio-scientific    | 0.96        |
| issues              |             |
| Graphics             | 1           |
| Language             | 1           |
| Eligibility          |             |
| CVI value            | 0.98        |
| Category             | Very Good & Valid |

Before the module is used in learning, the readability test is carried out to determine the level of ease with which the module is used by students. The legibility test results are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of readability test values of 2.85% at the independent level, 62.86% at the instructional level, and 34.29% at the frustration level. The average readability test result was 42.22% with the instructional level category. This shows that the module can be used in learning with teacher guidance. The readability test of teaching materials has also been carried out by Kartika, et al. (2019). The results of the research show the readability of the module with a value of 55.89% in the instructional category, which means that students need guidance from the teacher to use teaching materials in the learning process.

Student Thinking Skills

Based on the legibility test results, the SSI-based biotechnology module being developed is included in the instructional category. Therefore, this module is implemented in Biotechnology learning. Learning using SSI-based modules is carried out online because of the Covid-19 Pandemic. Online learning is carried out using zoom media through a scientific approach. Before and after Biotechnology learning activities using a module based on socio-scientific issues, pre-test and post-test were carried out to determine the increase in participants' high critical thinking skills.

Learning begins by providing pre-test sheets to students via a google form. Then, learning is carried out using the zoom application for discussion of the material being
After the discussion process is complete, students are given assignments according to the instructions in the module. One of the tasks is a project to make yogurt and make video documentation. Learning discussions through zoom was held 3 times with different material discussions. After the learning process through zoom is complete, students do a posttest via a google form.

The results of the pretest and post-test of students' critical thinking abilities were then analyzed to determine the N-gain of students' critical thinking abilities. The N-Gain value of 0.59 indicates that the use of the SSI-based biotechnology module is in the moderate category or is effective enough to improve students' critical thinking skills. 92.86% of students had an average N-Gain score in the medium category and 7.14% of students had an average N-Gain score with the high category. The increase in critical thinking skills is still in the moderate category after students take Biotechnology learning using sociocultural issue-based modules because online learning has several obstacles such as the inequality of students having devices, limited internet quotas, and ineffective discussion processes. This is reinforced by the findings of other studies which state that the obstacles to online learning are unstable internet networks, inadequate internet quotas, lack of parental supervision, and more difficult learning management (Jariyah & Tyastirin, 2020; Rigianti, 2020).

The ability to think critically of students when viewed on each student's critical thinking indicator such as reason, inference, situation, clarity, and overview shows that the N-Gain value of the indicator of the highest critical thinking ability is an overview of 0.92. This is because the socio-scientific issue-based module contains question exercises that require students to double-check the answers they give. In the module, there is also an answer key, so that students can independently correct the answers they have given. This can develop students' accuracy in doing each exercise given. Based on the research results of Ulfa, et al. (2018) familiarize students with re-checking the answers given as a whole can train students' accuracy so that students can find alternative answers to solve problems, this is called overview ability. The lowest N-gain is on the reason indicator of 0.54. The cause of the low reason indicator is because the module still does not provide a means for students to provide reasons for each answer given.

**Student Responses to SSI Based Modules**

After the science lesson using the SSI-based biotechnology module was carried out, students gave positive responses. This is shown in Table 3.
Table 3. Student Responses to SSI Based Biotechnology Modules

| No. | Statement                                                                 | Percentage of Positive Student Feedback (%) |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Understand the language used in the module                                | 78.6                                        |
| 2   | Enjoy learning using modules                                              | 100                                         |
| 3   | Modules are useful in science learning                                    | 100                                         |
| 4   | Modules help in understanding the concept of Biotechnology                | 100                                         |
| 5   | Have the high motivation to learn to use modules                           | 75                                          |
| 6   | Learning is more effective by using modules                               | 96.4                                        |
| 7   | Agreeing to further develop the module as a science learning medium in other materials | 92.9                                        |
| 8   | Interested in the display module                                          | 75                                          |
| 9   | Interested in module design                                               | 96.4                                        |
| 10  | Module design supports understanding the concept of biotechnology         | 50                                          |
| 11  | Module design helps to broaden the horizons                                | 100                                         |
| 12  | Module design motivates to learn more about the material                  | 85.7                                        |
|     | **Average**                                                               | **87.5**                                    |

Table 3 shows that the student response to the module of 87.5 was in the very good category and agreed to use the biotechnology module based on socio-scientific issues in learning. The highest scores on the questionnaire are found in statements 2, 3, 4, and 11 regarding the use of modules in increasing understanding and increasing student insight. This is because the developed module contains a lot of the latest information about biotechnology, such as a column on biotechnology issues and a column of knowledge "Did you know?" to increase students' insight and knowledge. The lowest score on the questionnaire is in statement 10 regarding the module design which is less supportive of understanding the concept of biotechnology. The reason is that there are images that are not visible. This is an input and improvement for the module being developed.

The next lowest score is in the 5th and 8th statements with an average value of 75. The fifth statement states students' motivation to use modules in learning. The reason is that students have started to feel bored with online learning during Covid-19 so that it has an impact on decreased learning motivation. As described by Alawamleh, et al. (2020), Usher, et al., (2020), and Patricia (2020) stated that student learning motivation decreased when learning online during Covid because students felt bored and preferred face-to-face learning. Then, the 8th statement expressed interest in the display module. The reason for the low value of the statement is because it is still related to statement 10 as a result of some pictures that are not visible.

**CONCLUSION**
The developed socio-scientific issue-based biotechnology module has valid
criteria based on expert judgment. The CVI acquisition also concluded that the module was feasible and could be used to study Biotechnology. The legibility test results show that the module being developed is at the instructional level so that in using the module, teacher assistance is needed in the learning process. The improvement of students' critical thinking skills is in the medium category, with indicators that have a high value is an overview and the lowest is the reason. In addition to an increase in critical thinking skills, students also gave a positive response to the use of sociological issue-based modules in studying Biotechnology material.
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