The swollen leg: is it deep vein thrombosis? The experience of a tertiary referral center in Sri Lanka
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Abstract

Introduction The role played by deep vein thrombosis (DVT) as a cause of leg swelling in Sri Lanka is unknown.

Purpose To study the prevalence of proximal DVT, value of risk factors and clinical features and attitudes of clinicians towards diagnosis and treatment of DVT among those presenting with leg swelling as the main complaint.

Design Prospective consecutive referrals to the University Surgical Unit, Colombo, over 10 months starting in January 1998.

Inclusion criteria DVT suspects with swollen legs.

Exclusion criteria Varicose veins, leg ulceration and generalized oedema.

Methods Color duplex scanning of the proximal deep veins and the superficial veins to detect occlusion and reflux. Information on previous diagnoses and treatment were obtained from the medical records.

Results 63/137 (45%) had deep venous causes for oedema. Fever with rigors and inguinal lymphadenopathy were strongly predictive of non DVT causes. Filariasis is the first diagnosis and DVT is considered late among the outpatients.

Conclusions DVT is a common cause of leg swelling among those referred.

Introduction

Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) is an important cause of leg swelling which is thought to be rare in Sri Lanka. The only previous report on DVT was from Peradeniya in 1986, where they failed to detect DVT in a small group of prostatectomised patients (1). Morbidity from DVT includes pain, swelling and ulceration, which are easily appreciated while deaths from pulmonary embolism may not be realised (2,3). These sequelae could be prevented or minimized by early diagnosis and anticoagulation (4). Therefore knowledge of the current situation regarding DVT in Sri Lanka with respect to one of its common presentations, the swollen leg, is important. We studied the prevalence of DVT, the value of risk factors and clinical features in its diagnosis, and the clinical approach of clinicians towards diagnosis and treatment of the swollen leg from among patients presenting with leg swelling as the main complaint.

Methods

Design A prospective study of consecutive referrals from 1st January 1998 to 31st October 1998.

Patients All patients referred to the Vascular research laboratory of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo (National Hospital of Sri Lanka) with the main complaint of leg swelling were studied. They were subjected to a detailed interview, physical examination and review of all previous medical records including prescriptions.

Age, sex, parity, duration of swelling, the occurrence of fever with rigors, recent surgical and non surgical trauma, immobilization, pregnancy, estrogen therapy, collagen diseases, malignancy, previous diagnoses, investigations and treatment were documented. Examination was carried out to detect varicose veins, leg ulceration, acute inflammation and pitting edema. The presence of swelling was accepted if the skin was pitting and/or if the mid calf or thigh circumference was at least 2 cm greater than on the normal leg. Subjects whose main complaint was varicose veins and/or active leg ulceration were excluded, even if they had a swollen leg. Those with bilateral swelling due to cardiac, respiratory, renal or liver disorders were also excluded.

Evaluation of the venous system The gold standard investigation for DVT has been contrast venography. In view of the invasive nature and complications of venography, non-invasive colour duplex ultrasonography has replaced it as the first line investigation for the diagnosis of DVT worldwide. Colour duplex scans were performed with a 7.5/5.5 MHz linear array transducer, using a Hewlett-Packard sonos 1000 machine. The deep and superficial venous systems in both lower limbs from the external iliac veins down to the popliteal vein were examined for patency and reflux.

Patency was inferred if there was complete colour filling and compressibility of the lumen. Colour filling defects, intraluminal echoes and incompressibility was considered as evidence of intraluminal clots. The morphological assessment was supported by hemodynamic evidence. The phasic variation of Doppler flow with respiration was interpreted as confirming proximal (ileo-caval) patency. The augmentation of flow with distal limb compression was interpreted as confirming distal (tibial-popliteal-superficial femoral) patency. Venous reflux was studied with the limb dependent. Reflux was defined as the
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presence of reversed flow of more than 0.5 seconds on release of calf compression distal to the segment of vein under study. These diagnostic criteria for the diagnosis of venous obstruction and reflux on colour duplex scanning have been validated by comparing with venography (5,6,7) and we reported a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 94.4% for colour duplex in the diagnosis of DVT in our laboratory (8).

Results

133 patients with 137 swollen legs satisfied the criteria for study. The mean age of patients was 45.4 years (SEM 3.2). 70 (53.8%) were females. 63 of 137 (46%) had leg swelling due to DVT; 52 of these had occlusive proximal deep venous disease and 11 had deep vein reflux with wall thickening suggestive of recanalisation.

4 other limbs had superficial venous reflux alone in the absence of varicose veins. 70 limbs had normal veins. Amongst the 129 subjects with an asymptomatic contralateral leg, 8 had long saphenous vein (LSV) reflux and one had superficial femoral vein (SFV) reflux. 22/63 (35%) had extensive thrombosis involving ilio-femoro-popliteal segments and the remainder was equally distributed in the ilio-femoral, femoro-popliteal and popliteal segments.

Analysis of clinical features of the 137 limbs revealed that 55 (40.1%) had fever with rigors, 41 (29.9%) had painful regional lymphadenopathy, 126 (89.8%) had leg pain and 48 (35%) had identifiable risk factors for DVT. The sensitivity and specificity of each criterion was analyzed (Table 1). Known risk factors for DVT were observed in 40/63 (63.4%) of patients with proven thromboses. These risk factors were more frequent among in-patients [22/32 (69%)] than outpatients [18/105 (17%)].

Table 1. Analysis of clinical presentation

| DVT Positive | DVT Negative |
|--------------|-------------|
| Rigor +      | 03          | 52          |
| Rigor -      | 60          | 22          |
| Sensitivity 70.27%, specificity 95.23%, PPV = 94.54%, NPV = 73.17% for non DVT swelling |

Lymph nodes + | 06 | 35 |
Lymph nodes - | 57 | 39 |

Sensitivity 47.29%, specificity 90.47%, PPV = 85.36%, NPV = 59.37% for non DVT swelling

Pain + | 59 | 64 |
Pain - | 04 | 10 |

Sensitivity 93.65%, specificity 13.51%, PPV = 47.96%, NPV = 71.42% for non DVT swelling

Risk factors + | 40 | 08 |
Risk factors - | 23 | 66 |

Relative risk for DVT = 14.3

Discussion

Swelling of the leg is a common clinical problem with many possible causes. Filarial oedema as a cause is of primary diagnostic concern among many medical practitioners in view of its endemicity in tropical countries like Sri Lanka. The other cause commonly considered is soft tissue bacterial infections. The contribution from DVT to leg swelling is unknown and is believed to be an insignificant problem, judging by the lack of reports on the subject in Sri Lanka.

Our data demonstrates that DVT is also an important cause of leg swelling in Sri Lanka with a prevalence of 45% among those referred to our specialist center. This is the first report addressing the problem of DVT in Sri Lanka. The high prevalence of DVT in this cohort can be explained on the basis that referrals were a selected sample of DVT suspects from among those with swollen legs presenting...
to the physician in the hospital and the community, and therefore would not reflect its true prevalence in the community. The positive rate for DVT amongst referrals to other vascular laboratories in general vary between 10 and 50%, and ours is at the high end of the scale (9), which reflects a referral bias among those who refer. Failed initial treatment and worsening symptoms inspired most referrals. Gross symptoms and extensive thrombosis viz., lleo-femoral and popliteal thrombosis, in 35% at the time of referral reflects the high selectivity and threshold for referral. Hence it justifies the assumption that less severe symptoms due to DVT must be escaping early referral, and may be totally missed if they cause sudden death from pulmonary embolism or become apparent much later when it causes chronic leg ulceration. Although the high selectivity in referral practice would help maintain the workload of vascular laboratories at manageable levels, it would not benefit those who are diagnosed late or never. The high referral rates to vascular laboratories in the West have prompted research into finding cheaper and simpler screening methods for DVT such as d-dimer levels in blood (9).

With regard to clinical features studied, the presence of fever with rigors and painful inguinal masses at the onset has a high specificity and positive predictive value for non-DVT swellings. The same cannot be said for leg pain. Therefore a good history and examination would be useful in selecting those that are more likely to have DVT. Recognized risk factors for DVT were associated with a high relative risk comparable to that previously reported in the West (11). Prevention is of extreme importance in those at high risk. This series consisted of 40/63 (63.5%) such patients. Further research is necessary to identify “high risk” groups and to determine the prevalence of DVT among these groups in Sri Lanka, with a view to formulating protocols for thromboprophylaxis. 23/41 (56.9%) of out-patients had no identifiable risk factors. Genetically determined natural anticoagulant deficiency states and acquired autoimmune conditions are the most likely causes in this group and needs further study.

This data depicts current practices in the management of the swollen leg in Sri Lanka. 41/105 (39%) outpatients had DVT with 92.6% of them being initially misdiagnosed. Most swollen legs including those with DVT are initially and often repeatedly treated for filarial lymphedema despite the FAT not supporting such a practice. With regards to FAT, only the different grades of positivity/negativity were available for analysis. Neither the laboratory test method used, antibody titres nor its sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of filariasis are known. The purpose of this study was not to determine the filarial antibody status of these patients, but to highlight the popular diagnostic algorithm for a swollen leg in Sri Lanka. The obsession with filariasis was further highlighted, when even those with an established diagnosis of DVT at other centers when referred to our unit for reconfirmation had been requested to perform FAT test and take a course of diethylcarbamazine. Awareness with regards to DVT as a cause of leg swelling seems satisfactory within the National Hospital environment. This may be due to the special interest in vascular diseases in our unit at the National Hospital and the availability of a 24-hour vascular service.

In conclusion this study has demonstrated that DVT occurred in 45% of consecutive referrals with leg swelling in Sri Lanka. Although these results do not reflect the prevalence of DVT among swollen legs in general, it does point to DVT being considered more as an afterthought rather than one that needs to be actively excluded early. The emphasis on filariasis as the cause of leg swelling seems excessive and these findings warrant a change in the approach to the management of the swollen leg in Sri Lanka. The drawbacks related to diagnosis could be corrected by an increased awareness of the value of certain clinical features, the relevance of risk factors, and better utilization of available ultrasound equipment. Further research needs to estimate the prevalence of DVT among high-risk groups with a view to adopting strategies for prophylaxis. Finally, more than half the thromboses among the outpatients were spontaneous; thus genetic and autoimmune causes need to be researched.
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