Benefits of adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab in HER2-positive pT1a-bN0M0 breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Background: Although trastuzumab has been shown to be beneficial for treating patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer, information regarding its benefits is limited to very low-risk cases with tumours ≤1 cm and without lymphatic metastases (pT1abN0). The present meta-analysis integrates information from literature and determines the benefit of trastuzumab in pT1abN0, HER2-positive breast cancer patients.

Methods: PubMed, MEDLINE, and EMBASE databases were searched for studies published before Sep 30, 2019. Our primary endpoint was tumor recurrence, whether provided as overall or distant recurrences.

Results: Seven studies involving 1,181 patients with pT1abN0, HER2-positive breast cancer were included in the systemic review. The median follow-up periods ranged from 37 to 78 months. The patients in the trastuzumab arm had generally inferior profiles such as higher rate of T1b, grade 3, and hormone negative cases, among available studies. Concomitant chemotherapy was more commonly applied in the trastuzumab arm (75–100% vs. 0–42%), and the hormone therapy application was similar in both arms (20–66%). In a pooled analysis of seven available studies, patients treated with trastuzumab had less overall recurrence relative to controls, with an odds ratio of 0.201 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.100–0.404, P<0.001]. Five studies were available for a pooled analysis of distant recurrence. Although the results were not significant (P=0.115), distant recurrence did not occur in 237 patients treated with trastuzumab, but did occur in 16 out of 436 control patients. The odds ratio for distant recurrence was 0.328 (95% CI: 0.082–1.311).

Conclusions: The adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab was shown to reduce overall recurrence. Distant recurrence may also be reduced, as it did not occur among the 237 patients who underwent trastuzumab treatment.
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Introduction

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is a member of the HER family and its overexpression and/or gene amplification are known to be associated with aggressive tumour behaviour and poor breast cancer outcomes (1,2). Trastuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against HER2 and was the first anti-HER2 therapy developed (3-5). Anti-HER2 therapy, represented by trastuzumab, has been shown to improve both the disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) of patients with HER2-positive breast cancer in several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (3-7).

Although the benefits of using trastuzumab have been demonstrated in patients who are node-positive or high-risk, node-negative (3,5), its benefit is less clear for low-risk, HER2-positive cases. Subgroup analyses of previous RCTs has provided information regarding the benefits of trastuzumab for treating early-stage breast cancers (6,7); however, limited information is available regarding very low-risk cases with tumours <1 cm in size, without lymphatic metastases, and staged as T1abN0 according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system (8).

With the recent universalization of screening, breast cancer is now commonly diagnosed at early stages (9). In clinical practice, it is not uncommon to encounter a situation where a decision is required on whether to administer trastuzumab for very low-risk breast cancer cases, such as T1abN0. The aim of this systematic review was to investigate the benefit of adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer with tumours <1 cm and without lymph node metastases (pT1abN0).

Methods

Study protocol

Our study adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis guidelines. A systematic search of databases, including PubMed, MEDLINE, and EMBASE, was performed to identify literature published before Sep 30, 2019. We designed the following search-engine term to identify studies of T1a or b and N0 breast cancer patients treated with/trastuzumab: “breast cancer” AND trastuzumab AND (small OR T1ab OR “1 cm”) AND (“local control” OR recurrence). Language or period restrictions were not applied. Conference abstracts were included only if they fully met the inclusion criteria. Ethical approval is not applicable because present study is a systematic review based on meta-analysis, which used only published data but not any data from human or other living organisms.

Selection criteria

The following criteria were used to identify suitable studies: (I) controlled clinical trials, retrospective studies, or prospective studies; and (II) at least five patients with T1 or b and N0 breast cancer treated with trastuzumab, with primary endpoint information. Our primary endpoint was tumour recurrence, local, distant, or any other recurrence type, as percentile rates or clinical descriptions. Clinical descriptions needed to be detailed enough to yield numerical percentiles. Studies using national databases or cancer registries, such as Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results, were not included to avoid duplicating patients and contradicting independent assumptions (10,11).

The titles and citations of the reviews, editorials, in vivo and in vitro studies, case reports, and duplicated studies from the databases were initially screened by one researcher. Next, two independent researchers filtered the studies, removing those with irrelevant subjects or formats via abstract review. Full text reviews were performed by two independent researchers to identify studies that fully met the inclusion criteria. For studies published from a single institution, the study involving the largest number of patients with T1a or b and N0 breast cancer treated with adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab and/or most recently published was included. After selection, the final set of studies included in the analysis was confirmed by the mutual consent of the two researchers.

Data collection

The following data were collected: (I) general information regarding the authors, year of publication, publication type, nationality, and study design and (II) clinical information regarding the number of target patients, chemotherapies used, and tumour recurrence. We did not collect survival data because the rates of survival were too high to statistically compare the effect of trastuzumab. The data collection process was performed by two independent researchers and any differences were resolved through mutual discussion.
Quality assessment

We used the Newcastle-Ottawa scale to assess study quality (1), as most studies were retrospective non-RCTs. Studies with a score of 7 or higher were regarded as high quality and those with scores between 4 and 6 were regarded as medium quality.

Statistical analysis

Pooled analyses of primary endpoints were performed using a random effects model (12), as the studies were designed and performed independently. Heterogeneity was assessed using a Cochran Q test (13) and I² statistics (14). Significant heterogeneity was regarded to be present if the P value of the Cochran Q test was <0.1 and the I² value was >50%. Publication biases were evaluated by visually inspecting the funnel plots and quantitative analyses using Egger's test (15). When the P value was <0.1, trimmed results using Duval and Tweedie’s method were regarded as true outcomes. All statistical analyses were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software version 3 (Biostat, Inc., Englewood, NJ, USA).

Results

Study inclusion and characteristics

An initial search of different databases identified 720 studies. After exclusion based on the selection criteria, 280 studies were further processed by abstract review. After a review of the abstracts, 54 studies were further processed by full-text review. Multiple studies published from one institution were filtered at this stage using the criteria listed in the Methods section. Finally, seven controlled studies that fully met the inclusion criteria were included in the present meta-analysis (16-22). These studies evaluated a total of 1,181 patients who were T1abN0, HER2-positive. Among them, 552 were treated with adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab and categorized as a trastuzumab group, and 629 were not treated including trastuzumab and categorized as a control group. All included studies were categorized as high quality and performed in community hospitals (studies were not performed with selected groups, such as nurses or volunteers), with included medical records (or multicentre hospital databases) and adequate follow-up to observe breast cancer recurrence (median follow-up periods of 37–78 months). Three of the 7 studies were conducted in the USA and one each was conducted in France, Australia, Italy, and Japan. General information regarding the included studies is summarized in Table 1.

Recurrence rates and pooled analyses

The median follow-up period ranged from 37 to 78 months. The information of adjuvant chemotherapy was available for 6 of 7 studies. Most patients in the trastuzumab group were also treated with concomitant chemotherapy. Most commonly used were anthracycline and taxane, and 75–100% of patients were treated with cytotoxic chemotherapy. In the control group, the rates of chemotherapy highly
varied (0–42%). Hormone therapy was administered in 20–63% and 24–66% of patients in the trastuzumab and control groups, respectively, whereas in the majority of the studies, 45–65% of patients were administered hormone therapy. Regarding clinicopathologic profiles, patients in the trastuzumab arm had generally inferior profiles such as higher rate of T1b, grade 3, and hormone negative cases, among available studies.

Of the 552 patients who underwent adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab among the seven available studies, ten cases of overall recurrence (1.8%) were observed. Of the 629 control patients from the same studies, 55 (8.7%) overall recurrences were reported. Among the five available studies with distant recurrence profiles, no distant recurrence was reported among 237 patients who underwent adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab. However, 16 cases of distant recurrence were reported among 436 control patients (3.7%). These results are summarized in Table 2. Pooled analysis of overall recurrence for seven studies with 1,181 patients, showed that the odds ratio of trastuzumab treatment vs. control was 0.201 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.100–0.404], with a P value <0.001, favoring the use of trastuzumab. No significant heterogeneity was identified among these studies for overall recurrence (P=0.479, $I^2$=−0.0%). For distant recurrence in five studies with 673 patients, the odds ratio of trastuzumab treatment vs. control was 0.328 (95% CI: 0.082–1.311), with a P value of 0.115. No significant heterogeneity was found in the analysis of distant recurrence (P=0.589, $I^2$=−0.0%). The results of pooled analyses are summarized in Table 3 and forest plots are presented in Figure 1.

Publication bias

No significant publication biases were found for overall or distant recurrence data. Egger’s P values for pooled analyses of overall and distance recurrence were 0.175 and 0.495, respectively. Funnel plots are shown in Figure 2.

Discussion

The benefits of anti-HER2 therapy using trastuzumab for patients with breast cancer have been demonstrated in several previous studies (3–5). Additionally, the benefits against cancer recurrence and for OS in node-positive or high-risk, node-negative patients have been shown in previous RCTs (5). Herceptin adjuvant (HERA) trial also showed a recurrence-free survival benefit, with a hazard ratio of 0.54 (95% CI: 0.43–0.67, P<0.0001), although OS did not differ significantly (3). These pivotal trials concluded that chemotherapy with trastuzumab is essential in patients with large, node-positive, HER2-positive cancer (3,5).

The benefits of trastuzumab against low-risk breast cancer remain unclear because of the favourable oncological outcomes of early-stage breast cancer and possible toxicities of trastuzumab (5). However, several studies have shown inferior oncological outcomes in HER2-positive cases compared to those in HER2-negative cases, suggesting that additional anti-HER2 treatment would be beneficial. Gonzalez-Angulo et al. analysed 965 patients with T1abN0 breast cancer and found that recurrence-free survival was significantly higher in HER2-positive than in HER2-negative cases in the absence of adjuvant systemic therapy (77.1% and 93.7%, respectively, P=0.001) (23). According to a meta-analysis on pT1N0 patients by Zhou et al., HER2 positivity had a deleterious effect on DFS [risk ratio (RR)=3.677, 95% CI: 2.606–5.189, P<0.001] and distant DFS (RR=3.824, 95% CI: 2.249–6.501, P<0.001), compared to that in the hormone receptor (HR)/HER2- subgroup (24).

Subgroup analyses from previous RCTs have provided information regarding the benefits of trastuzumab use for treating early breast cancer. In the Breast Cancer International Research Group (BCIRG) 006 trial, the 5-year DFS rates were 72%, 86%, and 86% for patients with pT1ab treated with doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide, followed by docetaxel (AC-T); AC-T plus trastuzumab; and docetaxel and carboplatin plus trastuzumab; respectively (AC-T plus trastuzumab: hazard ratio =0.36, P=0.03; carboplatin plus trastuzumab: hazard ratio =0.45, P=0.09). For node-negative cases, the 5-year DFS rates were 93% and 85% for the AC-T plus trastuzumab and AC-T groups, respectively (hazard ratio =0.47, P=0.003) (6). In the HERA trial, the 3-year DFS rates among node-negative patients were 90.8% vs. 84.9% for the trastuzumab vs. control groups (hazard ratio =0.59, 95% CI: 0.39–0.91) (7). Although these results suggest a broad benefit of trastuzumab administration for low-risk breast cancer treatment, it is unclear whether trastuzumab treatment is beneficial for treating the very low-risk group of pT1abN0 cases.

Owing to the universalization of screening, early diagnosis of breast cancer is increasing (9). Therefore, it is not uncommon in clinical practice to encounter a situation where a decision is required regarding the use of trastuzumab to treat early-stage breast cancer cases, such...
| Author   | Median f/u (total) | Median f/u (months) | T1b (%) | Grade 3 (%) | HR (%) | Recurrence/total | DR | CTx. (%) | HTx. (%) | Median f/u (months) | T1b (%) | Grade 3 (%) | HR (%) | Recurrence/total | DR | CTx. (%) | HTx. (%) |
|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----|----------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|------------------|----|----------|---------|
| Rodrigues | 44 months          | 40 months           | 79.8    | 46.5        | 53.5   | 2/129 (1.6%)    |    |          |          | 45.7 months       | 58.5    | 17          | 23.6   | 13/123 (10.6%) |    |          | 2/129 (1.6%) |
|          |                    |                     |         |             |        |                 |    |          |         |                  |          |             |        |                  |    |          |          |
| Tognela  | 51 months          | –                   | 73      | 80          | 47     | 1/30 (3.3%)     |    |          |          | –                 | 56      | 38          | 38     | 2/16 (12.5%) |    |          | 6/123 (4.9%) |
|          |                    |                     |         |             |        |                 |    |          |         |                  |          |             |        |                  |    |          |          |
| Olszewski | 64 months          | –                   | –       | –           | –      | 1/111 (0.9%)    |    |          |          | –                 | –       | –           | –      | 8/94 (8.5%)   |    |          |          |
|          |                    |                     |         |             |        |                 |    |          |         |                  |          |             |        |                  |    |          |          |
| Fehrenbacher | 5.8 years     | –                   | –       | –           | –      | 0/19 (0%)       |    |          |          | –                 | –       | –           | –      | 12/215 (5.6%) |    |          |          |
|          |                    |                     |         |             |        |                 |    |          |         |                  |          |             |        |                  |    |          |          |
| Mcarthur | 6.5 years          | 3.0 years           | 78      | 96†        | 43     | 2/54 (3.7%)     |    |          |          | 54 years         | 49      | 52†         | 42     | 9/45 (20.0%)  |    |          |          |
|          |                    |                     |         |             |        |                 |    |          |         |                  |          |             |        |                  |    |          |          |
| Gori     | 37 months          | –                   | 76      | 52          | 33     | 3/204 (1.5%)    |    |          |          | –                 | 49      | 38          | 66     | 8/99 (8.1%)   |    |          |          |
|          |                    |                     |         |             |        |                 |    |          |         |                  |          |             |        |                  |    |          |          |
| Horio    | 4.3 years          | –                   | –       | –           | –      | 1/5 (20.0%)     |    |          |          | –                 | –       | –           | –      | 3/37 (8.1%)   |    |          |          |
|          |                    |                     |         |             |        |                 |    |          |         |                  |          |             |        |                  |    |          |          |

1, grade 2 and 3. f/u, follow-up; DR, distant recurrence; CTx., chemotherapy; ATC, anthracycline; HTx., hormone therapy; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; HR, hormone receptor negative.
as pT1abN0. Recent National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines firmly recommend the use of adjuvant chemotherapy and trastuzumab for tumours >1 cm or for node-positive, HER2-positive cases (category 1). The recommendation level is 2A for T1bN0 and N1mi and 2B for T1aN0. At these levels, the recommendation is to “consider adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab”. It is also stated that the decision to administer trastuzumab for T1ab cases must be taken after considering other factors including possible cardiotoxicities and uncertain

| Recurrence type | No. of studies | Total (n) | Trastuzumab, recur/total | Control, recur/total | Trastuzumab vs. control, odds ratio (95% CI) | P heterogeneity, I² | P value |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Overall recurrence | 7 | 1,181 | 10/552 (1.8%) | 55/629 (8.7%) | 0.201 (0.100–0.404) | 0.479, 0.0% | <0.001 |
| Distant recurrence | 5 | 673 | 0/237 | 16/436 (3.7%) | 0.328 (0.082–1.311) | 0.589, 0.0% | 0.115 |

CI, confidence interval.

Figure 1 Forest plots of pooled analyses regarding overall and distant recurrences.
benefits (25). In a recent Cochrane review, the RR was 5.11 (95% CI: 3.00–8.72, P<0.00001) for congestive heart failure and 1.83 (95% CI: 1.36–2.47, P=0.0008) for left ventricular ejection fraction decline (26).

Our meta-analysis provides clinically useful information on the benefits of trastuzumab for overall recurrence and possibly, distant recurrence. Although the difference in distant recurrence between trastuzumab-treated patients and controls was not statistically significant, it should be noted that no distant recurrence cases were reported among those who underwent trastuzumab treatment. It is a limitation of our study that we could not make a robust conclusion on the use of adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab, as we were only able to analyze the recurrence profile and not survival or cardiotoxicity. We expect that future controlled studies that take into consideration survival and toxicity, as well as recurrence, will yield more robust conclusions.

Zhou et al. (24) previously published a meta-analysis regarding adjuvant trastuzumab administration for pT1abN0 cases, reporting a benefit for DFS but not against locoregional recurrence. However, fewer than 500 patients from only four studies were pooled to analyse the efficacy of adjuvant trastuzumab, and two of the four studies were unpublished. The present study has the merit of including six published studies and one conference abstract, all with high quality design. Furthermore, our study involved >1,100 patients and included analyses of both overall recurrence and distant recurrence.

The most significant confounder that could not be controlled was concomitant chemotherapy; as the patients in the trastuzumab arm generally underwent more chemotherapy than those in the control, it is difficult to determine which treatment resulted in lower recurrence. However, in most studies, patients administered treatments including trastuzumab had inferior clinicopathologic profiles. In a study by Rodrigues et al. (16), which is one of the largest studies included, the trastuzumab arm had a higher rate of T1b (79.8% vs. 58.5%), grade 3 (46.5% vs. 17%), and lymphovascular invasion (22% vs. 10.3%), higher mitotic index (23% vs. 11.4%), and larger number of hormone negative (53.5% vs. 23.6%) cases. Tognela et al. (17), Mcarthur et al. (20), and Gori et al. (27) found the same trends of higher rates of T1b, higher tumour grade, and more hormone-negative cases in their studies. Gori et al. conducted a large multicentre trial covering 25 Italian centres and found that most (66%) patients were treated with systemic treatment including trastuzumab, reflecting the current clinical practice in Italy.

Another limitation of this study was that the studies evaluated were mostly observational. The meta-analysis of observational studies is controversial, as the heterogeneity among studies might affect pooled analyses and confounders cannot be fully controlled (28). However, a carefully conducted meta-analysis including assessments of study quality and publication bias might provide useful information in unrevealed area (28). Previous systematic reviews showed that meta-analyses of observational studies generally produce estimates of effect similar to those based on RCTs, and might improve the inference based on only RCTs (29). Although well-designed RCT provides the firmest evidence, the meta-analysis of observational studies might be the best possible way to provide clinically relevant information, for the subject with small effect size of endpoints where the RCT is difficult to be designed (30).

**Conclusions**

This study showed that adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab has significant overall recurrence benefits for pT1labN0, HER2-positive breast cancer patients. Although statistical significance was not reached, distant recurrence
may be also reduced, as it did not occur among the 237 patients who underwent adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab. Differences in chemotherapy administration between the trastuzumab and control arms prevents definitive conclusions from being drawn, while inferior clinicopathologic profiles for patients in the trastuzumab arm suggesting a possible benefit of adjuvant treatment including trastuzumab. Although these results can aid in clinical decision-making by helping predict the benefits against recurrence, studies that also consider survival and toxicity are needed.
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