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Abstract

A relaxed Kaczmarz method is presented for solving a class of fuzzy linear systems of equations with crisp coefficient matrix and fuzzy right-hand side. The iterative scheme is established and the convergence theorem is provided. Numerical examples show that the method is effective.
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1 Introduction

Fuzzy linear systems (FLSs) occur in many fields, such as control problems, information, physics, statistics, engineering, economics, finance and even social sciences [12]. Thus, it is significant to study the numerical methods for solving FLSs.

A general model in [12] was proposed with embedding technique by Friedman et al. for solving a class of \( n \times n \) FLSs

\[
Ax = y,
\]

(1.1)

where

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is a crisp matrix, \( y = [y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n]^T \) is a fuzzy vector, and \( x = [x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]^T \) is unknown. With this model, many numerical methods [1–7,9–11,13,14,16,17,19–22] was developed to solve FLS (1.1).

The Kaczmarz algorithm is a popular iterative projection method [23] as it is simple to implement and suitable for parallel computing. Numbers of authors studied Kaczmarz methods for solving linear systems [8,15,18,23]. In this paper, a relaxed Kaczmarz algorithm is presented for fuzzy linear system (1.1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives some basic definitions and results of FLS. In Section 3, the relaxed Kaczmarz method is established with convergence theorem. Two numerical examples in Section 4 are discussed and the conclusion is in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Generally, following [12], a fuzzy number is a pair of \((\underline{u}(r), \overline{u}(r))\), \(0 \leq r \leq 1\), satisfying,
• $u(r)$ is a bounded left continuous nondecreasing function over $[0, 1]$,
• $\overline{v}(r)$ is a bounded left continuous nonincreasing function over $[0, 1]$,
• $u(r) \leq v(r)$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$.

The arithmetic operations of arbitrary fuzzy numbers $x = (\underline{x}(r), \overline{x}(r))$, $y = (\underline{y}(r), \overline{y}(r))$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, and real number $k$, are as follows,

1. $x = y$ if and only if $\underline{x}(r) = \underline{y}(r)$ and $\overline{x}(r) = \overline{y}(r)$,
2. $x + y = (\underline{x}(r) + \underline{y}(r), \overline{x}(r) + \overline{y}(r))$, and
3. $kx = \begin{cases} (k\underline{x}(r), k\overline{x}(r)), & k \geq 0, \\ (k\overline{x}(r), k\underline{x}(r)), & k < 0. \end{cases}$

**Definition 2.1.** [12] A fuzzy number vector $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T$ given by

$$x_i = (\underline{x}_i(r), \overline{x}_i(r)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1,$$

is called a solution of the fuzzy linear system (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}y_j = y_i, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\overline{x}_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\overline{y}_j = \overline{y}_i.
\end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

By (2.1), Friedman et al. [12] extend FLS (1.1) to a $2n \times 2n$ crisp linear system

$$SX = Y \quad (2.2)$$

where $S = (s_{kl})$, $s_{kl}$ are determined as follows

$$a_{ij} \geq 0 \Rightarrow s_{ij} = a_{ij}, \quad s_{n+i, n+j} = a_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

$$a_{ij} < 0 \Rightarrow s_{i, n+j} = a_{ij}, \quad s_{n+i, j} = a_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

and any $s_{kl}$ which is not determined by the above items is zero, $1 \leq k, l \leq 2n$, and

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{x}_n \\ \overline{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{x}_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{y}_n \\ \overline{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{y}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Further, the matrix $S$ has the structure

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_2 & S_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = S_1 + S_2,$$

and (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases}
S_1X + S_2\overline{X} = \overline{Y}, \\
S_2X + S_1\overline{X} = \overline{Y},
\end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{x}_n \\ \overline{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{x}_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{x}_n \\ \overline{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{x}_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{y}_n \\ \overline{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{y}_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{y}_n \\ \overline{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{y}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

A theorem as follows indicates when FLS (1.1) has a unique solution.
Theorem 2.2. The matrix \( S \) is nonsingular if and only if the matrices \( A = S_1 + S_2 \) and \( S_1 - S_2 \) are both nonsingular. See [12].

In the next section, the proposed relaxed Kaczmarz method is presented for nonsingular FLS (1.1).

3 The relaxed Kaczmarz method

For nonsingular fuzzy linear system (2.2) or (2.3), a relaxed Kaczmarz iterative scheme can be described as follows,

\[
X_{k+1} = X_k + \alpha \frac{Y^{(i_k)} - S^{(i_k)}X_k}{\|S^{(i_k)}\|_2^2} (S^{(i_k)})^T, \tag{3.1}
\]

and can be implemented as the following algorithm, where \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \) is a relaxation parameter.

**Relaxed Kaczmarz Algorithm.** Given initial vectors \( \bar{X}_0, \bar{X}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), for \( k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \), the following iterative scheme is taken,

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{k+1} &= X_k + \alpha \frac{(Y - S_2\bar{X}_k)^{(i_k)} - S_1^{(i_k)}\bar{X}_k}{\|S_1^{(i_k)}\|_2^2} (S_1^{(i_k)})^T, \\
\bar{X}_{k+1} &= \bar{X}_k + \alpha \frac{(Y - S_2\bar{X}_{k+1})^{(i_k)} - S_1^{(i_k)}\bar{X}_k}{\|S_1^{(i_k)}\|_2^2} (S_1^{(i_k)})^T,
\end{align*}
\tag{3.2}
\]

where \( i_k = (k \mod n) + 1 \), \((\cdot)^{(i_k)}\) denotes the \( i_k \)th row of a matrix.

The convergence result for method (3.2) is as the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that fuzzy linear system (2.2) or (2.3) is consistent. Then the iterative sequence \( \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} X_k \\ X_k \end{bmatrix} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \), generated by the relaxed Kaczmarz method (3.2) starting from an initial guess \( \begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ X_0 \end{bmatrix} \) with \( X_0 \) and \( \bar{X}_0 \) in the column space of \( S_2 \), converges to the unique solution \( \begin{bmatrix} X_* \\ X_* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \) of (2.2). Moreover, the solution error for the iteration sequence is

\[
\|X_{k+1} - X_*\|_2^2 \leq \left( 1 - \alpha (2 - \alpha) \frac{\lambda_{\text{min}}(S^TS)}{\|S\|_F^2} \right) \|X_k - X_*\|_2^2,
\]

where \( \lambda_{\text{min}}(\cdot) \) is the smallest nonzero eigenvalues of a matrix, \( X_k = \begin{bmatrix} X_k \\ X_k \end{bmatrix} \), and \( X_* = \begin{bmatrix} X_* \\ X_* \end{bmatrix} \).

**Proof.** Take \( P^{(i_k)} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1^{(i_k)} \\ \|S_1^{(i_k)}\|_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} S_1^{(i_k)} \\ \|S_1^{(i_k)}\|_2 \end{bmatrix} \), thus

\[
X_{k+1} - X_* = X_k - X_* + \alpha \frac{Y^{(i_k)} - S^{(i_k)}X_k}{\|S^{(i_k)}\|_2^2} (S^{(i_k)})^T
\]

\[
= X_k - X_* - \alpha \frac{(S^{(i_k)})^T S^{(i_k)} X_k}{\|S^{(i_k)}\|_2^2} (X_k - X_*)
\]

\[
= \left( I - \alpha P^{(i_k)} \right) (X_k - X_*),
\]

where \( (\cdot)^{(i_k)} \) denotes the \( i_k \)th row of a matrix.
\[ \|X_{k+1} - X_*\|_2^2 = (X_k - X_*)^T \left( I - \alpha P^{(i_k)} \right)^2 (X_k - X_*) \]
\[ = (X_k - X_*)^T \left( I - \alpha (2 - \alpha) P^{(i_k)} \right) (X_k - X_*) \]
\[ = \|X_k - X_*\|_2^2 - \alpha (2 - \alpha) (X_k - X_*)^T P^{(i_k)} (X_k - X_*) , \]
and
\[ (X_k - X_*)^T P^{(i_k)} (X_k - X_*) = \frac{(X_k - X_*)^T (S^{(i_k)})^T S^{(i_k)} (X_k - X_*)}{\|S^{(i_k)}\|_2^2} \]
\[ \geq \frac{\|S (X_k - X_*)\|_2^2}{\|S\|_F^2} . \]

As \( x_0 \) is in the column space of \( S \), from [23], it holds that \( \|S (X_k - X_*)\|_2^2 \geq \lambda_{\text{min}}(S^T S) \| (X_k - X_*)\|_2^2 \). Therefore, the following is obtained
\[ \|X_{k+1} - X_*\|_2^2 \leq \left( 1 - \alpha (2 - \alpha) \frac{\lambda_{\text{min}}(S^T S)}{\|S\|_F^2} \right) \|X_k - X_*\|_2^2 . \]

The proof is completed. \( \square \)

4 Numerical Examples

This section gives two examples to show the effectiveness of the relaxed Kaczmarz method. All implements using Matlab 7 run in a Windows 7 DELL laptop with Intel 2.80GHz CPU and 8.00GB RAM. In the numerical experiments, the initial guess is 0 and the stopping criterion is
\[ \|R_k\|_2 < 10^{-6} , \]
where \( R_k \) is the residual vector after \( k \) iterations, i.e., \( R_k = Y - SX_k \).

In the tables, \( x_a \) and \( x_b \) mean that \( SX = Y \) is solved as two numeric systems
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_{a1} \\
  x_{a2} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{a,2n}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  y_{a1} \\
  y_{a2} \\
  \vdots \\
  y_{a,2n}
\end{bmatrix}
\text{ and } \begin{bmatrix}
  x_{b1} \\
  x_{b2} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{b,2n}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  y_{b1} \\
  y_{b2} \\
  \vdots \\
  y_{b,2n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
not one symbolic system
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_{a1} + x_{b1}r \\
  x_{a2} + x_{b2}r \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{a,2n} + x_{b,2n}r
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  y_{a1} + y_{b1}r \\
  y_{a2} + y_{b2}r \\
  \vdots \\
  y_{a,2n} + y_{b,2n}r
\end{bmatrix}
\]
in the actual calculations.
Example 4.1. Consider $n \times n$ fuzzy linear system $Ax = y$ with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 8 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 8 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & -1 & -1 & 8 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 8 & -1 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & 8 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & -1 & -1 & 8 \\ & & & & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} (2+r, 2+r) \\ (2+r, 2+r) \\ \vdots \\ (2+r, 2+r) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Table 1

| $n$ | $\text{IT}_{x_a}$ | $\text{RES}_{x_a}$ | $\text{IT}_{x_b}$ | $\text{RES}_{x_b}$ |
|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 16  | 617              | 9.6319e-007      | 590              | 9.9255e-007      |
| 32  | 1456             | 9.8052e-007      | 1394             | 9.8950e-007      |
| 64  | 3149             | 9.9849e-007      | 3021             | 9.9572e-007      |
| 128 | 6494             | 9.9873e-007      | 6235             | 9.9828e-007      |
| 256 | 13367            | 9.9960e-007      | 12840            | 9.9995e-007      |
| 512 | 27327            | 9.9969e-007      | 26272            | 9.9993e-007      |

Table 2

| $n$ | $\text{IT}_{x_a}$ | $\text{RES}_{x_a}$ | $\text{IT}_{x_b}$ | $\text{RES}_{x_b}$ |
|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 16  | 387              | 9.8857e-007      | 371              | 9.9516e-007      |
| 32  | 937              | 9.8926e-007      | 903              | 9.7518e-007      |
| 64  | 2063             | 9.9769e-007      | 1959             | 9.9717e-007      |
| 128 | 4283             | 9.9940e-007      | 4119             | 9.9840e-007      |
| 256 | 8810             | 9.9827e-007      | 8420             | 9.9985e-007      |
| 512 | 18001            | 9.9982e-007      | 17298            | 9.9991e-007      |

Table 3

| $n$ | $\text{IT}_{x_a}$ | $\text{RES}_{x_a}$ | $\text{IT}_{x_b}$ | $\text{RES}_{x_b}$ |
|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 16  | 193              | 9.1000e-007      | 183              | 9.0818e-007      |
| 32  | 524              | 9.7139e-007      | 518              | 8.8871e-007      |
| 64  | 1301             | 9.8178e-007      | 1242             | 9.7986e-007      |
| 128 | 2758             | 9.9848e-007      | 2639             | 9.9766e-007      |
| 256 | 5715             | 9.9972e-007      | 5494             | 9.9795e-007      |
| 512 | 11670            | 9.9882e-007      | 11193            | 9.9685e-007      |
Example 4.2. Consider $n^2 \times n^2$ fuzzy linear system $Ax = y$ with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} D & B^T \\ B & D & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & D & B^T \\ & & & B & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & -0.25 \\ -0.25 & 0.5 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & 0.5 & -0.25 \\ & & & -0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 5 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & 5 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} (1 + r, 1 + r) \\ (2 + r, 2 + r) \\ \vdots \\ (n^2 + r, n^2 + r) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Table 4
Iterations (IT) and Residual (RES) for Example 4.2, $\alpha = 0.98$

| $n$ | $IT_{xa}$ | $RES_{xa}$ | $IT_{xb}$ | $RES_{xb}$ |
|-----|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| 10  | 1772      | 8.9357e-007| 1363      | 9.9337e-007|
| 15  | 4248      | 9.9182e-007| 3121      | 9.8859e-007|
| 20  | 7948      | 9.8889e-007| 5570      | 9.9014e-007|
| 25  | 13014     | 9.9777e-007| 8720      | 9.9602e-007|
| 30  | 18828     | 9.9025e-007| 12587     | 9.9366e-007|
| 35  | 26732     | 9.9937e-007| 17492     | 9.9987e-007|

Table 5
Iterations (IT) and Residual (RES) for Example 4.2, $\alpha = 1$

| $n$ | $IT_{xa}$ | $RES_{xa}$ | $IT_{xb}$ | $RES_{xb}$ |
|-----|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| 10  | 1734      | 9.6080e-007| 1283      | 9.3918e-007|
| 15  | 4193      | 9.6755e-007| 3023      | 9.9710e-007|
| 20  | 7711      | 9.9794e-007| 5543      | 9.9329e-007|
| 25  | 12434     | 9.9822e-007| 8704      | 9.9622e-007|
| 30  | 18408     | 9.9942e-007| 12563     | 9.9846e-007|
| 35  | 25599     | 9.9671e-007| 17283     | 9.9737e-007|

Table 6
Iterations (IT) and Residual (RES) for Example 4.2, $\alpha = 1.01$
Tables 1-6 give the number of iterations (IT) and the residual of the stopping step (RES). As $n$ increases, the method requires more iterations, and with different $\alpha$ the method has different convergence rate, thus, improvement should be made and the optimal parameter should be studied to change the convergence.

5 Conclusion

A relaxed Kaczmarz method is presented for solving $n \times n$ fuzzy linear system. The numerical results show that the method is effective. Further work would be improving the method and comparing with other methods, also exploring the optimal relaxation parameter.
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