Theranostic Oxygen Delivery Using Ultrasound and Microbubbles
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Abstract

Means to overcome tumor hypoxia have been the subject of clinical investigations since the 1960’s; however, these studies have yet to find a treatment which is widely accepted. It has been known for nearly a century that hypoxic cells are more resistant to radiotherapy than aerobic cells, and tumor hypoxia is a major factor leading to the resistance of tumors to radiation treatment as well as several cytotoxic agents. In this manuscript, the application of ultrasound combined with oxygen-carrier microbubbles is demonstrated as a method to locally increase dissolved oxygen. Microbubbles can also be imaged by ultrasound, thus providing the opportunity for image-guided oxygen delivery. Simulations of gas diffusion and microbubble gas exchange show that small amounts (down to 5 vol%) of a low-solubility osmotic gas can substantially increase microbubble persistence and therefore production rates and stability of oxygen-carrier microbubbles. Simulations also indicate that the lipid shell can be engineered with long-chain lipids to increase oxygen payload during in vivo transit. Experimental results demonstrate that the application of ultrasound to destroy the microbubbles significantly enhances the local oxygen release. We propose this technology as an application for ultrasound image-guided release of oxygen directly to hypoxic tissue, such as tumor sites to enhance radiotherapy.
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Introduction

Tumors are often characterized by lowered vascular density, irregular vascular geometry, inconsistent oxygen gradients and rheologic effects which contribute to hypoxic conditions [1]. It has been known for nearly a century that hypoxic cells are more resistant to radiotherapy than aerobic cells, and tumor hypoxia is a major factor leading to the resistance of tumors to radiation treatment and cytotoxic agents [2, 3]. Additionally, tumor hypoxia is associated with increased likelihood of regional recurrence and metastases, and often leads to poor treatment outcome and prognosis [2, 4-7].

Means to overcome tumor hypoxia have been the subject of clinical investigations since the 1960’s; these studies, however, have yet to find a treatment that is widely accepted. Technical logistics limit the practical use of hyperbaric oxygen delivery, inhaled carbogen has been shown to result in vasoconstriction which counteracts the desired effect, and nitroimidazoles and other radiosensitizers have not gained favor because of their toxicity and lack of consistent effectiveness [8]. Yet scientists believe “there is good evidence … that improving clinical outcome by hypoxic modulation is an achievable goal” [8].
In a review by Brown and Wilson [9], it was reported that all human tumors have median oxygen levels significantly lower than their normal tissue of origin. Unlike the normal subcutaneous tissue that has a median oxygen partial pressure between 40 and 60 mmHg, many tumors have an oxygen partial pressure on the order of 10 mmHg [9]. Full resistance to radiation occurs when the cells are near anoxic, with very low oxygen levels of approximately 0.2 mmHg; however, resistance to radiation begins at higher oxygen concentrations of about 20 mmHg [9]. Therefore, the oxygen partial pressures between 0.2 and 20 mmHg can be described as an intermediate range of resistance to radiation.

A radiation survival curve illustrates the surviving fraction of tumor cells with respect to radiation dose, where the effect of oxygen is to reduce the slope of this curve. The "oxygen enhancement ratio" (OER) is often described as the degree of radiation sensitization and refers to the ratio of doses in the absence of oxygen and in the presence of oxygen to provide the same cell survival. The value for mammalian cells was given to be between 2.5 to 3.0. This value includes several endpoints including cell killing, mutagenesis, and the induction of chromosome aberrations [10]. The seemingly low OER value of 2.6 corresponds to 50% of cells killed under hypoxic conditions as opposed to almost 99% of the cells killed under aerobic conditions with a radiation dose of 1,000 cGy [11]. This information implies that tumor cells with oxygen levels in the intermediate range can be re-oxygenated, which would result in an increased sensitivity of cells to radiation. Additionally, it has been demonstrated [12] that hypoxic tumor cells become sensitive for radiation even if the oxygen is present less than a second before or after exposure to radiation therapy.

More recent work has correlated low reactive oxygen species (ROS) concentrations with radioresistance in cancer stem cells [13]. Pharmacological depletion of ROS scavengers in cancer stem cells was shown to decrease their clonogenicity and result in radiosensitization. An alternative approach may be to increase local oxygen concentration, and therefore ROS production, in order to improve ionizing-radiation-induced cell killing of cancer stem cells and their tumorigenic progeny.

Ultrasound, in conjunction with microbubbles, has the unique advantage of being able to provide safe, noninvasive and image-guided, site-specific treatment. An ultrasound transducer can be used to image the local anatomy in order to define the region for treatment. Microbubbles are highly echogenic intravascular contrast agents that can be used to help define the vascular architecture and blood flow patterns in the tumor area [14, 15]. Targeted microbubbles can be used to image endothelial epitopes associated with neovascularization and inflammation, thereby enabling ultrasound molecular imaging [16-18]. Ultrasound also applies the required therapeutic pulses to the sample volume by focusing on the tumor region with imaging guidance for feedback. Microbubbles have already been FDA approved in the US for contrast agents in echocardiography, and imaging microbubbles are used even more extensively in Canada, Europe, India and Asia. Therapeutic microbubbles for oxygen delivery could be made with similar characteristics.

Although a few prior studies have investigated the use of microbubbles for the delivery of oxygen, these studies have largely focused on microbubbles to be injected into the circulation to increase systemic oxygen for anemia [19-23]. Burkard and Van Liew [24] proposed that microbubbles containing a heavy gas (osmotic agent) could serve as a blood substitute. In an animal study by Lundgren et al. [21], it was suggested that an emulsion of dodecafluoropentane (DDFP) injected intravascularly combined with oxygen breathing could transfer physiologically significant amounts of oxygen in severely anemic rats. Gerber et al. [19] examined the stability of microbubbles made with fluorinated phospholipid instead of a standard hydrogenated phospholipid shell. They suggested that microbubbles could be engineered to comprise a shell and gas core to promote a longer in vivo half-life and more efficacious systemic delivery. To our knowledge, however, the application of ultrasound for targeted oxygen delivery to overcome local hypoxia has not yet been demonstrated.

The use of ultrasound in our study as a mechanism for local microbubble fragmentation makes it possible for the oxygen to be released from the microbubbles directly at the tumor site right before or even during radiation therapy. In this manner, oxygen is released immediately in tumor microvasculature rather than slowly and throughout the entire systemic circulation, as would be the case with non-ultrasound enhanced mechanisms. Hence, we hypothesize that ultrasound combined with oxygen delivery microbubbles can provide a means of greatly enhancing the tumor-specific dose of oxygen. Additionally, we propose that the already demonstrated contrast enhancement capabilities of oxygen microbubbles can be used to guide and monitor the ultrasound-mediated oxygen release in situ. In this manuscript, we perform theoretical calculations to investigate oxygen microbubble stability and experimentally demonstrate the ability of ultrasound to locally enhance oxygen concentration through the disruption of oxy-
gen-carrier microbubbles.

**Theory**

Fluorocarbon gases have low aqueous solubility and diffusivity, and thus have been used as osmotic agents to stabilize oxygen-carrier microbubbles [24, 25]. We employed a multi-gas microbubble dissolution model to elucidate the role of the fluorocarbon on microbubble stability and oxygen release [26, 27]. The model describes the transient change in gas-core contents and microbubble size as a function of the microbubble shell properties and surrounding gas composition. The model includes a coupled set of nonlinear differential equations describing the gas accumulation, which is coupled to both the mechanical properties and the gas permeability of the microbubble shell:

$$\frac{4\pi R^2 K_{Hi}}{P_i} \frac{-d n_i}{dt} = \frac{2 \sigma}{R} + P_H - \frac{\sigma R^2}{3} \sum_{j \neq i} n_j - f_i P_{satt}$$

where $j \neq i$ to prevent double counting, $n$ is the moles of gas in the microbubble core, $t$ is time, $R$ is the radius of the microbubble, $K_{Hi}$ is the Henry’s constant, $D$ is the diffusivity of gas in the surrounding medium, $\Omega$ is the resistance to gas permeation of the lipid shell, $\sigma$ is the surface tension (assumed to be isotropic), $P_H$ is the hydrostatic pressure, $B$ is the ideal gas constant, $T$ is the temperature, $f$ is the fraction of gas in surrounding bulk medium to that at saturation, and $P_{satt}$ is the saturation pressure of gas in the surrounding bulk medium. One can estimate the dependence of the gas permeability ($\Omega$) by implementing an energy barrier model, as recently described by Kwan and Borden [27], which is shown below:

$$\Omega_{s,i} = \frac{\pi a^2}{3} \theta(R_{0} - \sigma) \quad ...\quad (3)$$

where $\Omega_b$ is an empirical constant dependent on the lipid encapsulation, $a$ is the collision radius of the gas molecule, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\sigma_b$ is the surface tension of a gas-liquid interface. Equation 1 is used to determine the flux of each gas into the microbubble over a given time step, and then equation 2 is used to determine the resulting microbubble radius. Numerical solution of the two equations provides a theoretical prediction of the microbubble radius-time behavior.

Previous experimental results showed that lipid-coated microbubbles experience a dynamic surface tension as they experience growth and compression during gas exchange [26, 27]. Based on the empirical constitutive developed model by Kwan and Borden [27], the radius-dependent surface tension is given by:

$$\sigma(R) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } R < R_0 \text{ (Resting)} \\ K_{E} \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^2 - 1 & \text{if } 0 < \sigma < \sigma_{break} \text{ (Expansion)} \\ \sigma_{EQ} + (\sigma_{break} - \sigma_{EQ}) e^{-k_{E}(t-t_{break})} & \text{if } R_{break} < R < R_{max} \text{ (Expansion)} \\ \sigma_{R_{max}} + k_{c} \left( \frac{R^2}{R_{max}^2} \right) & \text{if } R_{0} < R < R_{max} \text{ (Compression)} \\ \end{cases} \quad ...\quad (4)$$

where $k_{E}$ is the linear expansion elasticity of the lipid monolayer, $R_0$ is the initial radius of the microbubble, $\sigma_{break}$ is the rupture tension of the lipid monolayer (73 mN/m), $\sigma_{EQ}$ is the equilibrium spreading tension (25 mN/m), $k_{E}$ is the domain relaxation rate (a measure of domain dissolution) of the interface after rupture, $R_{break}$ is the radius at which rupture occurs, $R_{max}$ is the maximum radius before dissolution, $\sigma_{R_{max}}$ is the tension of the monolayer at the maximum radius, and $k_{c}$ is the compression elasticity. This model was derived to explain the resistance towards expansion behavior observed by microscopy images of lipid-coated microbubbles undergoing gas exchange [27]. To our knowledge, this model most accurately accounts for the highly nonlinear properties of the lipid shell during the relatively slow dynamics associated with microbubble dissolution in vivo.

**Materials and Methods**

**Microbubble Formulation**

The lipids 1,2-distearyoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DSPC) and 1,2-distearyloyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG2000) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA). The buffer solution for the lipids was prepared using Tris (Hydroxymethyl) Aminomethane pur-
chased from EMD Chemicals (Gibbstown, NJ, USA). The pH of the buffer solution was adjusted to 7.4 using hydrochloric acid. The lipid composition included 1.5 mg/mL DSPC in 10 vol. % glycerin (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), 10 vol. % propylene glycol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and 80 vol. % deionized water, with a 9:1 mol:mol ratio of DSPC to DSPE-PEG2000. The lipids dissolved in chloroform were exposed to nitrogen and degassed for 16 hours to evaporate the chloroform. Once the lipids were dry, the buffer solution was added to the lipids in a vial. The lipid solution was sonicated until a transparent and homogeneous mixture was achieved. Vials of 1.5 mL of the lipid solution were degassed for 5 minutes. Microbubble solutions were activated by shaking vials in a Vialmix shaker (Bristol-Myers Squibb Medical Imaging Inc., North Billerica, MA, USA) as previously described [28]. Concentration and size distribution of microbubble suspensions were determined with an optical particle counter with a 0.5 μm diameter lower detection limit (Accusizer Particle Sizing Systems, Santa Barbara, CA, USA).

**Ultrasound Generation for Bubble Disruption**

A single element 1 MHz unfocused ultrasound transducer (Valpey Fisher Corp., Hopkinton, MA, USA) was used for transmission. The transducer was excited with a 10 cycle sinusoid pulse with pulse repetition frequency of 25 Hz. The pulse was generated on an arbitrary wave generator (AWG 2021, Tektronix, Inc., Beaverton, OR, USA) and amplified by an RF amplifier (3200 L, ENI, Rochester, NY, USA). A calibrated needle hydrophone (Onda Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used to record acoustic pressure measurements. The transducer focus occurred at 6.4 mm from the transducer face where the acoustic pressure was measured to be 1200 kPa peak negative pressure. Prior studies have demonstrated that for a transducer with a center frequency of 1 MHz, acoustic pressures greater than 800 kPa are sufficient to fragment lipid-encapsulated microbubbles [29]. Although we chose to use 1 MHz in this study for convenience, it is important to note that higher frequencies and pressures, such as those regularly used in clinical imaging applications, could also be used to fragment the microbubbles and release their gas content.

**Dissolved Oxygen Measurements**

For dissolved-oxygen measurement experiments, a small amount of microbubbles was injected using a calibrated pipetter (Biohit, Neptune, NJ, USA) into 70 mL of deionized water in a glass beaker. A stir bar in the beaker was used to gently keep the solution mixed during experiments. Prior to bubble addition, dissolved oxygen measurements determined between 45%-60% saturation at room temperature, indicating the water was partially saturated. A FOXY-R Oxygen Sensor and USB fiber-optic spectrometer (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL, USA) was used to measure the change in the oxygen concentration during ultrasound exposure. The tip of ultrasound transducer was immersed into the beaker for ultrasound administration. All experiments were performed at 22 °C and 1 atm.

**Simulations**

Equations 1 and 2 were discretized as follows [26, 27]:

\[
n_{i, \tau+1} = -\frac{4\pi R_{\tau}^2 K_H}{\sigma_{\tau}} \left[ \frac{2\sigma_{\tau}(R_{\tau})}{R_{\tau}} - P_{\text{sat},i}f_i + P_{H} - \frac{3BT}{4\pi R_{\tau}^2} \sum n_{j, \tau} \right] + n_{i, \tau} \text{ where } j \neq i \quad \ldots \ (5)
\]

\[
0 = 2\sigma(R_{\tau+1}) R_{\tau+1}^2 + P_{H} R_{\tau+1}^3 - \frac{3BT}{4\pi} \sum n_{i, \tau+1} \quad \ldots \ (6)
\]

where \(\tau\) represents the time step. Equations 5 and 6 were constrained by the Kwan-Borden condition [27]:

\[
\sigma(R_{\tau+1}) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \frac{mN}{m} \\
k_E \left( \frac{R_{\tau+1}}{R_0} \right)^2 - 1 \\sigma_{\text{EQ}} + (\sigma_{\text{break}} - \sigma_{\text{EQ}}) e^{-k_E(t-t_{\text{break}})} \\sigma_{\text{Rmax}} + k_C \left( \frac{R_{\tau+1} - R_{\text{Rmax}}}{R_0} \right)^2 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
R_{\tau+1} < R_0 \quad 0 < \sigma < \sigma_{\text{break}}
\]

\[
R_{\text{break}} < R_{\tau+1} < R_{\text{Rmax}} \text{ (Expansion)}
\]

\[
R_0 < R_{\tau+1} < R_{\text{Rmax}} \text{ (Compression)}
\]

Equation 5 was solved at the beginning of each time step. The results of equation 5 were used in equation 6, which was solved using a minimization algorithm in Matlab R2010b (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Table 1 and 2 give the parameters used in the simulations.
Fabrication of stable, high-oxygen microbubbles

In initial studies, we attempted to formulate microbubbles with 100% oxygen [22, 23]. We observed, however, that the stability and production yield of the microbubbles was insufficient for ultrasound-mediated delivery (data not shown). Previous research has shown that use of a sparingly soluble “trapped species” can significantly enhance emulsion stability, presumably owing to Raoult’s law where the chemical potential gradient for oxygen to diffuse into the microbubble in order to dilute the osmotic agent balances the chemical potential gradient for oxygen to dissolve out of the microbubble brought on by the capillary pressure [35, 36]. We therefore hypothesized that the use of the perfluorobutane as a trapped species (osmotic agent) would increase the production yield and stability of the oxygen microbubbles without significantly affecting the oxygen loading capacity. To test this, we formulated the microbubbles with 95% oxygen and 5% perfluorobutane. The average microbubble diameter for the resulting oxygen/perfluorobutane mixture was measured to be approximately 4 microns, and the average microbubble concentration was on the order of 10^10 per mL of suspension.

In order to confirm our experimental findings and provide a theoretical basis for the effect of the trapped species, we simulated microbubble stability using equations 5-6. The simulations predicted the dynamics of a newly generated microbubble submerged in a solution saturated with the filling gas (95% oxygen and 5% perfluorobutane). The initial diameters of the microbubbles were set to 2, 4, 6 and 10 µm, and the surface tension was set to that of a clean gas/water interface (73 mN/m) to simulate a newly generated, uncoated microbubble. Figure 1 shows that the addition of perfluorobutane increased the dissolution time (increased stability) by an order of magnitude (11-fold) across all of the microbubble sizes. As expected, the PFB gas component behaved as an insoluble diluent and as a result reduced the overall efflux of gas out of the microbubble. Figure 1D indicates that the oxygen in the microbubbles quickly dissolves, concentrating the remaining PFB in the microbubble core. Consequently, the now predominately PFB gas core diffuses slowly, which can be seen as a shoulder on the diameter-time curves for the initially O2:PFB-filled microbubbles (Figure 1B). Although these results neglect the effects of forming a lipid shell, they demonstrate that the longer residence time of the newly generated perfluorobutane-containing microbubbles allow for a significant increase in time for lipid adsorption, monolayer formation and stabilization through reduction in surface tension. Ultimately, the microbubble will equilibrate with the bulk concentration once Laplace pressure is removed [26, 27, 37-39].

Ultrasound-Targeted Oxygen Release

For the first part of the experiment, a fixed volume of 300 µL of microbubbles (95% O2 and 5% PFB) was injected into 70 mL of partially-saturated deionized water. The concentration of dissolved oxygen (given as percentage) was measured after 60, 120 and 240 seconds with and without ultrasound exposure. The values for the control group were obtained with microbubbles with the same mean population and size distribution without using the ultrasound. The rapid change in dissolved oxygen concentration was directly related to the application of ultrasound and increased with the duration of ultrasound exposure. As seen in Figure 2, after 240 seconds of ultrasound transmission, the change in the oxygen saturation was more than 3 times higher than the change in the oxygen when the solution was exposed to 60 seconds of ultrasound.

Table 1: Gas properties used in modeling microbubble gas exchange.

| Gas Properties | Oxygen | Nitrogen | PFB | Water Vapor |
|----------------|--------|----------|-----|-------------|
| Diffusivity - [m²/s] x 10⁹ | 3.948 ± 0.1 | 1.713 ± 0.2 | 0.4935 ± 0.07 | 315.16 ± 0.07 |
| Henry’s Constant - [g/m³]/Pa x 10⁸ | 2.42 ± 0.07 | 2.06 ± 0.07 | 0.69 ± 0.07 | 2.1 ± 0.07 |
| Collision Diameter [Å] | 0.34 ± 0.07 | 0.37 ± 0.07 | 0.60 ± 0.07 | 0.34 ± 0.07 |

* - Values estimated from vapor pressure at 25°C

Table 2: Lipid shell parameters used in modeling microbubble gas exchange.

| Lipid Energetics | DMPC | DPPC | DSPC | DBPC |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
| Expansion Elasticity - [N/m] | 5.0 ± 0.1 | 6.0 ± 0.1 | 3.6 ± 0.1 | 3.4 ± 0.1 |
| Domain Relaxation Rate - [1/s] | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 |
| Compression Elasticity - [N/m²] | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.3 ± 0.01 | 0.3 ± 0.01 | 0.7 ± 0.01 |

Table 2: Lipid shell parameters used in modeling microbubble gas exchange.

| Lipid Energetics | DMPC | DPPC | DSPC | DBPC |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
| Expansion Elasticity - [N/m] | 5.0 ± 0.1 | 6.0 ± 0.1 | 3.6 ± 0.1 | 3.4 ± 0.1 |
| Domain Relaxation Rate - [1/s] | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 |
| Compression Elasticity - [N/m²] | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.3 ± 0.01 | 0.3 ± 0.01 | 0.7 ± 0.01 |

* - Values estimated from vapor pressure at 25°C

Results

Fabrication of stable, high-oxygen microbubbles

In initial studies, we attempted to formulate microbubbles with 100% oxygen [22, 23]. We observed, however, that the stability and production yield of the microbubbles was insufficient for ultrasound-mediated delivery (data not shown). Previous research has shown that use of a sparingly soluble “trapped species” can significantly enhance emulsion stability, presumably owing to Raoult’s law where the chemical potential gradient for oxygen to diffuse into the microbubble in order to dilute the osmotic agent balances the chemical potential gradient for oxygen to dissolve out of the microbubble brought on by the capillary pressure [35, 36]. We therefore hypothesized that the use of the perfluorobutane as a trapped species (osmotic agent) would increase the production yield and stability of the oxygen microbubbles without significantly affecting the oxygen loading capacity. To test this, we formulated the microbubbles with 95% oxygen and 5% perfluorobutane. The average microbubble diameter for the resulting oxygen/perfluorobutane mixture was measured to be approximately 4 microns, and the average microbubble concentration was on the order of 10^10 per mL of suspension.

In order to confirm our experimental findings and provide a theoretical basis for the effect of the trapped species, we simulated microbubble stability using equations 5-6. The simulations predicted the dynamics of a newly generated microbubble submerged in a solution saturated with the filling gas (95% oxygen and 5% perfluorobutane). The initial diameters of the microbubbles were set to 2, 4, 6 and 10 µm, and the surface tension was set to that of a clean gas/water interface (73 mN/m) to simulate a newly generated, uncoated microbubble. Figure 1 shows that the addition of perfluorobutane increased the dissolution time (increased stability) by an order of magnitude (11-fold) across all of the microbubble sizes. As expected, the PFB gas component behaved as an insoluble diluent and as a result reduced the overall efflux of gas out of the microbubble. Figure 1D indicates that the oxygen in the microbubbles quickly dissolves, concentrating the remaining PFB in the microbubble core. Consequently, the now predominately PFB gas core diffuses slowly, which can be seen as a shoulder on the diameter-time curves for the initially O2:PFB-filled microbubbles (Figure 1B). Although these results neglect the effects of forming a lipid shell, they demonstrate that the longer residence time of the newly generated perfluorobutane-containing microbubbles allow for a significant increase in time for lipid adsorption, monolayer formation and stabilization through reduction in surface tension. Ultimately, the microbubble will equilibrate with the bulk concentration once Laplace pressure is removed [26, 27, 37-39].

Ultrasound-Targeted Oxygen Release

For the first part of the experiment, a fixed volume of 300 µL of microbubbles (95% O2 and 5% PFB) was injected into 70 mL of partially-saturated deionized water. The concentration of dissolved oxygen (given as percentage) was measured after 60, 120 and 240 seconds with and without ultrasound exposure. The values for the control group were obtained with microbubbles with the same mean population and size distribution without using the ultrasound. The rapid change in dissolved oxygen concentration was directly related to the application of ultrasound and increased with the duration of ultrasound exposure. As seen in Figure 2, after 240 seconds of ultrasound transmission, the change in the oxygen saturation was more than 3 times higher than the change in the oxygen when the solution was exposed to 60 seconds of ultrasound.
Figure 1. Normalized diameter-time curves of uncoated, newly generated A) pure oxygen and B) 95% oxygen and 5% perfluorobutane microbubbles. Gas content over time of the initially generated C) pure oxygen and D) 95% oxygen and 5% perfluorobutane microbubbles. The initial diameters were set at 2, 4, 6, and 10 µm and the surface tension was equal to a constant 73 mN/m.

Figure 2. Effect of ultrasound exposure duration on oxygen release from microbubbles. Shown is the experimentally measured percent change in oxygen concentration following both ultrasound treated and untreated 300-µL injection of $10^{10}$ microbubbles/mL into 70-mL of water. The volume of bubbles added to the 70 mL of DI water was increased in steps of 100 µL, from 100 to 300 µL. The dissolved oxygen concentration was measured after 60 and 120 seconds of ultrasound exposure. As in the previous part of the experiment, the control group was used to form a baseline with the same amount of microbubbles, but excluding ultrasound exposure.

Figure 3 shows the percent change in oxygen saturation as a function of microbubble concentration in 70 mL of partially saturated water, with and without ultrasound. Using the microbubble suspension in conjunction with ultrasound, we were able to achieve a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in the dissolved oxygen content. The increase in percent oxygen saturation was 6%, 10% and 15% when 100 µL, 200 µL and 300 µL of microbubbles were infused in the water. Dissolved oxygen concentration was calculated as a function of bubble concentration and mean bubble size at 22 ºC and 760 mmHg total atmospheric pressure (see Appendix). At body temperature (37 ºC), the gas solubilities and diffusivities are slightly higher, and thus we expect a corresponding increase in the rate of gas exchange and oxygen release; however, this is not expected to change the conclusions drawn from this study. For an increase in temperature to Calculated values for dissolved oxygen concentration were compared with the measured values of percent dissolved oxygen saturation for increased volumes of microbubbles added to the solution as shown in Figure 4. The calculations were made for microbubbles between 100 and 300 microliters with 20 microliter intervals. The values for both calculated and experimental oxygen saturation were in agreement.
Figure 3. Percent change in oxygen saturation using microbubbles filled with oxygen and perfluorocarbon with and without ultrasound. The experimental values were obtained using 100, 200, and 300 µL of microbubbles. The asterisk (*) represents a one-tailed t-test p value below 0.05.

Figure 4. Percent change in oxygen saturation using microbubbles filled with oxygen and perfluorocarbon and calculated change in percent oxygen saturation. The predicted values were determined based on a 4-µm diameter bubble population with a concentration of $10^{10}$ bubbles/mL.

To demonstrate that the increase in oxygen saturation was primarily due to the activation of oxygen-PFC microbubble dissolution via ultrasound, the above study was also performed on microbubbles filled with nitrogen. As in the first part of the experiment, 300 µL of nitrogen filled microbubbles were injected into 70 mL of DI water in a glass beaker. Dissolved oxygen concentration was measured after 60, 120 and 240 seconds of ultrasound exposure. The values for the control group, i.e., microbubbles not treated with ultrasound, were also obtained at the same time intervals. The increase in dissolved oxygen concentration was evident when we compared microbubbles filled with oxygen and PFC with microbubbles filled only with nitrogen. Figure 5 shows that microbubbles filled with nitrogen instead of oxygen have no significant effect on oxygen saturation.

Figure 5. Comparison of mean percent change in oxygen saturation of 300 microliters of microbubbles filled with either pure nitrogen or with an oxygen and perfluorocarbon mixture. The time of ultrasound exposure was 240 s. The asterisk (*) represents a one-tailed t-test p value below 0.05. Nitrogen-filled microbubbles did not increase oxygen saturation significantly.

Simulations on microbubble persistence and oxygen payload during gas exchange

Gas-exchange simulations of a lipid-encapsulated, 4-µm diameter microbubble suddenly submerged in an air-saturated solution were performed in order to mimic the experimental conditions. Results are shown in Figure 6 for the normalized diameter and the gas content in the microbubble. The lower partial pressure of oxygen in the surrounding milieu drives the efflux of oxygen, and the microbubble initially shrinks over the first one to ten seconds owing to the more rapid permeation of oxygen in water compared to nitrogen and the other gases. However, the partial pressure of nitrogen in the surrounding medium is higher, which drives its influx into the microbubble, and the radius increases back to the initial diameter. Nitrogen essentially displaces the excess oxygen and the microbubble rapidly becomes either an air bubble or a nitrogen bubble with the partial pressures of the contents equilibrating with the surrounding medium. Membrane elasticity prevents any expansion beyond the initial diameter. As a result, the final microbubble diameter is approximately equal to the initial diameter.

We also simulated the effect of the lipid shell composition on the transient oxygen gas payload. Interestingly, the interfacial mechanics of the mono-
layer did not play a significant role; the microbubble did not present any significant growth and therefore did not leave the linear elastic regime of the monolayer. As a result, the shell composition did not influence the final microbubble size or core composition. The addition of methylene groups to the hydrophobic tail did however increase the overall time for gas exchange to take place. According to these simulations, this increase in duration for gas exchange was primarily due to the decrease in gas shell permeability for the larger acyl-chain lipids. For example, complete gas exchange in an air-saturated solution for DSPC- and DBPC-coated microbubbles was estimated to take 6 times longer than DMPC- and DPPC-coated microbubbles. Similarly, intravenously injected DBPC-coated oxygen microbubbles will deposit ~99.9% of their payload in ~15 seconds compared to ~3 seconds for DMPC-coated microbubbles. Our predictions imply that the use of longer acyl-chain lipid encapsulations significantly improve the oxygen content lifetime after intravenous injection of oxygen microbubbles.

**Figure 6.** Simulations results for a 4-µm diameter microbubble coated with DMPC, DPPC, DSPC, or DBPC that initially is comprised of 95% oxygen and 5% perfluorobutane suddenly immersed in A,C) an air-saturated medium or B,D) a nitrogen-saturated medium at 22 °C and 1 atm pressure. A-B) the diameter is normalized to the initial diameter and plotted as a function of time. C-D) the gas contents inside the microbubble over time. E-F) the oxygen content inside the microbubble over time.
The simulations also predicted that each 4-µm diameter bubble releases ~1 fmol of oxygen upon contact with the air-saturated medium, and it retains ~0.25 fmol of oxygen in the gas core. The simulation considers only a single microbubble, and not the ensemble where the partial pressure of oxygen in the bulk medium would increase as the microbubbles release their excess oxygen. For the experimental conditions, ~3x10^9 microbubbles were injected into a 70 mL volume of water. The initial oxygen concentration for the air-saturated medium is estimated to be 285 nmol/mL based on Henry’s constant shown in Table 1. According to the results of our simulation, we predict that the 300-µL microbubble injection would raise the total oxygen concentration to 330 nmol/mL from the combined oxygen released from the microbubbles owing to natural diffusion (43 nmol/mL) and to dissolved oxygen present in the suspension medium (2 nmol/mL). This corresponds to a 16% increase in dissolved oxygen by natural diffusion, which is higher than the measured 5% increase after 60 s of ultrasound (Fig. 2). The discrepancy between the theoretical and measured values for the increase in oxygen concentration may be due to the combined effects of the rise in bulk concentration of oxygen and the retardation of gas diffusion through the shell [40]. If all of the microbubbles were destroyed by ultrasound, then the oxygen content would be expected to rise by 19%, which is more than the 15% increase observed experimentally after 240 s of ultrasound (Fig. 2). This difference may be due to incomplete microbubble destruction, for microbubbles that were not mixed into the region of ultrasound exposure, and the gradual loss of oxygen to the atmosphere.

**Discussion**

If cells in a tumor have mean oxygen partial pressure of approximately 3 mmHg (as estimated for pancreatic, cervical and prostate cancer cells [9], and if the oxygen partial pressure is increased by 30 mmHg via sufficient microbubbles delivered to those tumor cells and bursting the oxygen filled microbubbles by an ultrasound system, the OER will improve almost by a factor of 0.65. This OER factor of 0.65 would mean a significant increase in the percentage of therapeutic efficiency according to the radiation survival curve. To convert the percent change in oxygen saturation to oxygen partial pressure (pO₂) we used the following formula:

\[
\text{Oxygen Saturation (%) = \left(\frac{\text{pO}_2}{0.2094 \times 750\text{mmHg}}\right) \times 100}
\]

where 0.2094 is the mole fraction of oxygen in the atmosphere and 750 mmHg is the absolute pressure. Ultimately, the amount of oxygen bubbles required to be injected to reach a desired oxygen partial pressure depends on the tumor size (e.g., measured by ultrasound) and its initial oxygen partial pressure (e.g., measured by electrodes and spectroscopic techniques). For example, to cause an increase of 42 mmHg of oxygen partial pressure in a tumor with a volume of 1 mL, which corresponds to approximately 27% increase in oxygen saturation, 1 mL of microbubbles filled with oxygen mixture would need to be injected intravascularly assuming 1% of microbubbles would be destroyed in the tumor itself by an ultrasound system. The actual number of microbubbles destroyed in a tumor depends again on the tumor size, as well as the acoustic focus and circulation time for the microbubbles. However, for our assumption we consider a liver tumor that encompasses approximately 10% of the liver volume,[41] and we assume that the liver receives about 13% of circulatory blood flow.[42] Currently available microbubbles for imaging persist for several passes through the circulation,[43] so it is reasonable to expect that oxygen delivery bubbles could be designed similarly.

In order to develop a clinically viable microbubble oxygen delivery agent, one must be able to produce microbubbles in high yield. Early observations showed that pure oxygen microbubbles could be generated, but resulted in low yields and were generally not stable. The addition of perfluorobutane was shown to increase both the yield and stability of these oxygen microbubbles. The simulations showed that this increase in yield may have been an effect of the slower efflux of perfluorobutane gas compared to oxygen. This reduced efflux of gas increased the dissolution time by approximately 11 fold. As a result, there would be more time for lipids in the surrounding microbubble generating solution to adsorb onto the interface, decreasing the surface tension, halting the dissolution, and ultimately increasing the total yield of newly formed microbubbles.

Finally, the effect of administration of large quantities of microbubbles intravascularly in humans is unknown, and microbubbles are not yet approved for clinical therapeutics applications. The recommended dose of Definity, a microbubble used for contrast ultrasound imaging, is 10 microLiters per kg. The maximum dose here (300 microLiters into 70 mL of water) was 33 fold greater than this clinically utilized dose (assuming 75mL of blood per kg). However, studies in rats have shown that doses 20 times that is recommended for clinical imaging do not result in measurable changes in hepatic function, where much of the clearance occurs,[44] and there have been no adverse effects in repeated toxicology studies in humans.

\[\text{Oxygen Saturation (%) = \left(\frac{\text{pO}_2}{0.2094 \times 750\text{mmHg}}\right) \times 100}\]
rats and dogs with doses corresponding to 50 to 250 times the expected imaging dose in humans, respectively.[45]

In these experimental studies, we demonstrate that oxygen-carrier microbubbles can increase the oxygen saturation by diffusion and through ultrasound mediation. Without ultrasound, we showed that there was a marginal increase in oxygen saturation. Combining ultrasound and oxygen-carrier microbubbles, we can achieve an increase in local oxygen saturation that may be clinically relevant. Our results demonstrated that within 240 seconds, we can change the local oxygen concentration by a factor of 3 fold greater than that achieved in the same amount of time without ultrasound.

In our studies, we hypothesize that the ¼” element ultrasound transducer, which only insonified a small percentage of our 70 mL sample volume, was the reason that complete microbubble destruction required 240 seconds. In-vivo, a phased array ultrasound system would be able to sonicate a tumor volume much more rapidly – releasing the majority of oxygen carriers almost instantaneously. The combination of the imaging capabilities of phased array ultrasound systems will additionally allow registration of the area to be treated.

In the in-vivo case, however, the limiting factor would likely be the amount of carriers that are present in the tumor vasculature. Administration of the oxygen carrier microbubbles in a feeder artery close to the tumor site in conjunction with ultrasound would greatly enhance local delivery, in contrast to peripheral vein injection typically used with the administration of microbubble-type contrast agents.

Ultrasound is a widespread, safe, portable, and relatively inexpensive modality. Ultrasound can be used to not only image the tumor target, but also to apply energy to mediate the therapeutic effect of oxygen delivery. A system to apply ultrasound during radiotherapy is technologically feasible.[46] Based on our initial studies, the ultrasound parameters to mediate tumor oxygenation through acoustically-active oxygen delivery vehicles will likely be within the FDA limits already approved for clinical ultrasound imaging.

Conclusions

We give an explanation for the high yield and stability of oxygen-perfluorobutane microbubbles and demonstrate preliminary results of the first study of oxygen delivery via stabilized microbubbles in conjunction with ultrasound. We also hypothesize that this method will provide a minimally-invasive technology which will allow a physician to locally deliver oxygen to tumor tissue during radiation treatment and enhance tumor radiation sensitivity. This novel approach has the potential to substantially improve therapeutic treatment for cancer by both increasing tumor response to radiation, and reducing the radiation dose required for a therapeutic effect.
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Appendix
The dissolved oxygen concentration in water is 8.8 mg/L at a temperature of 22 degrees Celsius and an atmospheric pressure of 760 mmHg. The solubility of oxygen changes with total atmospheric pressure and the temperature as described by Henry’s Law. Our experimental conditions stayed the same during the oxygen saturation measurements at a temperature of 22 degrees Celsius and atmospheric pressure of 760 mmHg. The number of microbubbles per milliliter of microbubble solution and the average size of microbubbles were used to calculate the total volume of oxygen contained in the microbubbles infused into the water using the following equation:

\[ V_{O_2} = C_{bubble} \times \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \]

where, \( V_{O_2} \) is the volume of oxygen in solution, \( C_{bubble} \) is the number of microbubbles per mL, and \( r \) is the average radius of the microbubbles.