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The Bernina instrument at the SwissFEL Aramis hard X-ray free-electron laser is designed for studying ultrafast phenomena in condensed matter and material science. Ultrashort pulses from an optical laser system covering a large wavelength range can be used to generate specific non-equilibrium states, whose subsequent temporal evolution can be probed by selective X-ray scattering techniques in the range 2–12 keV. For that purpose, the X-ray beamline is equipped with optical elements which tailor the X-ray beam size and energy, as well as with pulse-to-pulse diagnostics that monitor the X-ray pulse intensity, position, as well as its spectral and temporal properties. The experiments can be performed using multiple interchangeable endstations differing in specialization, diffractometer and X-ray analyser configuration and load capacity for specialized sample environment. After testing the instrument in a series of pilot experiments in 2018, regular user operation begins in 2019.

1. Introduction

Hard X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs) (Emma et al., 2010; Ishikawa et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2017) provide ultrashort X-ray pulses which combine the sensitivity to molecular and crystal structures, as well as to core electron levels, with sufficient time resolution to resolve electronic transitions and atomic motions. Over the last decades, high-flux and high-repetition-rate synchrotron X-ray sources have revolutionized the insights into static electronic and nuclear structures of condensed matter materials, by combining elastic and inelastic diffraction methods with spectroscopic sensitivity to specific electronic and magnetic states of selected elements in a material (Kim et al., 2011; Gibbs et al., 1988; Murakami et al., 1998a,b). Such methods have revealed material structures in bulk and surface, of nanometre-sized objects and crystals, as well as the complicated interplay of electronic, magnetic and nuclear structure in strongly correlated electron systems. The femtosecond (10⁻¹⁵ s) time resolution provided at XFELs opens experimental access to short-lived out-of-equilibrium states which determine transitions between charge states,
magnetic and electronic phases and atomic/crystalline structures (Murakami et al., 1998b; Trigo et al., 2013; Beaud et al., 2014; Clark et al., 2013; Singer et al., 2016). The results indicate that additional insight into complex material functions can be gained in the time domain. Materials with complex interactions of charge-transfer energy, magnetic exchange, Jahn–Teller splitting, hopping integral or Hubbard interaction (Δ0.15–4 eV) exhibit interesting phenomena like ferro- and antiferromagnetism, metal-to-insulator transitions, multiferroics, charge and spin density waves (CDW, SDW), colossal magnetoresistance (CMR), high-Tc superconductivity or topological insulatio. When perturbing the equilibrium by external parameters while following the involved degrees of freedom in the time domain, their coupling and relevance for the phenomenon can be studied in much greater detail. FELs allow freedom in the time domain, their coupling and relevance for external parameters while following the involved degrees of freedom.

The SwissFEL Aramis XFEL (Milne et al., 2017) provides X-ray pulses in the energy range from 1.77 to 12.4 keV (Table 1), which covers the K-edges of elements from P to As (Z = 15 to 33), the L-edges of most 4d and 5d materials (Rb to Au) as well as the M-edges from Dy (Z = 66) onward. The pulse length theoretical shorter limit down to 0.2 fs bears the potential to even resolve ultrafast electronic transitions, in combination with subsequent slower degrees of freedom like atomic motions. These qualities have motivated the design of the Bernina instrument, specialized for studying coupled phenomena in condensed matter by (a) selective probing of specific transitions or degrees of freedom and (b) selective probing of the ensuing electronic, magnetic and structural dynamics. We describe here the design and realization of the original concept (Ingold & Beaud, 2013), as well as the status of commissioning and pilot experiments over a ten-month frame shared with accelerator and Aramis commissioning, installations and developments.

2. Instrument design

2.1. X-ray source

The linear accelerator of SwissFEL consists of an S-band photoinjector linac and three C-band booster linacs that increase the electron energy to 2.1 GeV, 3.0 GeV and 5.8 GeV, respectively. The nominal normalized slice emittance after the injector is 0.2 μm for a bunch charge of 200 pC (Schietinger et al., 2016). A two-stage magnetic bunch compression after the injector (BC1) and the first linac (BC2) is used to reach a nominal peak current of 3 kA. The linac can simultaneously drive two XFELs operated in self-amplified spontaneous emission (SASE) mode at 100 Hz, the hard X-ray Aramis undulator (0.1–0.7 nm) at 5.8 GeV and the soft X-ray Athos undulator (0.65–5 nm) at 3.0 GeV (currently under construction). SwissFEL can be tuned from high charge mode (200 pC) to low charge mode (10 pC), providing between 50 and 5 fs (FWHM) short pulses, respectively. Aramis uses a segmented planar, variable-gap short-period undulator (15 mm, K = 0.1–1.8) to generate horizontally linear polarized light (Calvi et al., 2018), at 0.1% bandwidth in normal SASE mode (‘pink beam’; presently achieved average bandwidth: 0.4%, Fig. 4). A four-magnet electron energy collimator before the undulators facilitates two special modes, namely the large-bandwidth mode (relative bandwidth ~4%) (Hernandez et al., 2016) and an attosecond mode which exploits full compression of a 10 pC pulse which is expected to produce sub-femtosecond short pulses. Self-seeding at ångstrom wavelengths with increased spectral brightness with respect to SASE is foreseen for the future (Amann et al., 2012; Prat & Reiche, 2013). Electron bunch arrival-time monitors (BAMs) with electro-optical detection scheme (Angelovski et al., 2015) are installed after each bunch compressor and at the end of the undulator. They provide non-destructive, shot-to-shot arrival-time information relative to a highly stable pulsed optical reference with resolution better than 5 fs and less than 10 fs drift per day (Arsov et al., 2014). In addition, two C-band transverse deflecting structures are installed at the end of the third linac measuring the longitudinal charge profile and the arrival time of the compressed bunches in two-bunch mode with a resolution of a few femtoseconds.

2.2. X-ray optics and diagnostics

The FEL pulses delivered by the Aramis undulator are generally diagnosed non-destructively for intensity and position by a high-transmission ionization chamber with split electrodes. This gas monitor performs over an energy range of 0.025–12 keV at an intensity and position relative (absolute) accuracy of 1% (10%) and about 10 μm, respectively. A solid state attenuator (silicon and diamond filters) allows the FEL intensity to be reduced. A single-pulse X-ray spectrometer can be inserted in the range 4–12 keV (Rehanek et al., 2017). It uses a thin diamond transmission grating that splits off the first diffraction order in the horizontal plane to illuminate a bent Si crystal spectrometer. The resolving power ΔE/E is 10–5–10–4 in a simultaneously accessible measurement window of 0.5% bandwidth.

The Aramis optical beamline design enables fast (<1 min) switching of the FEL beam between the three experimental stations Alvra, Bernina and Cristallina (Follath et al., 2016). The Bernina beamline propagates straight in the horizontal direction from the Aramis undulator trajectory (Fig. 1). In the vertical direction, the beam path to the experimental station is separated by 20 mm for radiation safety in a dedicated X-ray
optics hutch. A vertical deflecting periscope geometry for offset mirrors and monochromator matches the beam paths of the full SASE bandwidth and monochromatic beam, respectively. A pair of bendable plane elliptical offset mirrors (OMs) deflect the beam vertically by 6 mrad. Adjustment of curvature allows modest prefocusing in the vertical plane depending on the beam divergence at a given photon wavelength. To prevent single-shot damage by the intense FEL beam and to enhance the reflectance and the critical angle over the full Aramis photon energy range (1.8–12.4 keV), the mirrors are coated with a low-Z (10 nm B$_4$C on top of 36 nm SiC) and mid-Z (15 nm B$_4$C on top of 20 nm Mo) bilayer leaving a blank area of uncoated silicon between them. Uncoated silicon has the lowest critical energy of about 10 keV and may be used at low energies when harmonic rejection above 3.5 keV becomes important. Switching from pink to monochromatic mode is accomplished by OM retraction and insertion of the double-crystal monochromator (DCM). The DCM has three crystal pairs mounted on common Bragg-rotation axes of incidence angular range 5–70°. Si(111) and Si(311) crystals can be used for standard and high-resolution applications (calibration example in Fig. 4). An additional pair of InSb(111) crystals extends the photon energy range to tender X-rays down to 1.8 keV. The DCM geometry with translation perpendicular to the second crystal surface enables an adjustable beam offset up to 32 mm. An installation of additional harmonic rejection mirrors, foreseen in the original design, has received lower priority, based on first measurements in the tender X-ray regime where the focusing mirrors (see below) sufficiently rejected the higher harmonics.

Behind the DCM, a diamond phase retarder is foreseen in order to control the X-ray polarization. The option to create and control circular polarization will increase the sensitivity and selectivity in resonant X-ray diffraction (RXRD) to magnetic and oriented electronic structures. The rotation of the linear polarization plane also facilitates studying oriented structures at identical pump/probe geometry in time-resolved resonant X-ray diffraction (tr-RXRD). Operated in Bragg or Laue transmission geometry a polarization of 80–95% has been achieved above 7 keV at synchrotron beamlines (Strempfer et al., 2013) and at XFELs (Suzuki et al., 2014). A double-stage phase retarder with two crystals operated in series allows to compensate for depolarizing effects due to angular divergence and energy spread (Scagnoli et al., 2009; Francoual et al., 2013) and also achieve higher degrees of linear polarization. Undulator-based polarization control (Schmidt & Calvi, 2018) in an ‘afterburner’ configuration (Lutman et al., 2016) is investigated for future upgrade.

Before transportation of the FEL beam from the optics hutch to the Bernina experimental station, the X-ray beam trajectory, profile and intensity can be measured and aligned by multiple beam-defining apertures (four-blade slits), profile monitors (based on Ce:YAG scintillators) and quadrant detection intensity and position monitors (Tono et al., 2011). They allow well defined conditions to be reproduced before and after deflection from X-ray optics.

Pulse-to-pulse arrival-time jitter between the X-ray pulses and the optical laser may severely limit the temporal resolution available for time-resolved experiments. Different methods are currently commissioned that measure the relative laser pump and X-ray arrival time at different locations for every pulse. The separate arrival time of FEL electron bunches and pump laser pulses can be measured by the BAM at the end of the undulator line and a laser arrival monitor (LAM) with respect to a master reference clock at an expected overall time resolution of around 50 fs FWHM. Improved and more representative temporal resolution can be reached by direct cross-correlation of FEL X-rays and optical laser pulses.
within the beamline and near the experiment. This is achieved by
a streaking of X-ray pulse generated photoelectrons by a
THz electric field generated from the pump laser source
(Gorgisyan et al., 2017). Thanks to a gas target, the method
can be used over the entire X-ray photon energy range at
high X-ray transmission. An X-ray time resolution of <40 fs
FWHM is expected. A relative cross-correlation resolution
to down to a few femtoseconds can be reached by the spectral
encoding timing diagnostics (Hartmann et al., 2014; Harmand
et al., 2013; Bionta et al., 2011). As the performance in this
case depends highly on sufficient X-ray intensity, a location close
to the X-ray focus (1 m from sample location) can be used
additionally to the built-in device indicated in Fig. 1.

A pair of focusing mirrors in orthogonal Kirkpatrick–Baez
(KB) geometry are installed at 2.6 m working distance
upstream of the sample position. They combine achromaticity
with high transmission over a large energy range (Yumoto
et al., 2013). The mirrors (B,C/Mo-coating, 500 mm length)
operate at grazing incidence angle, which can be chosen
between 4 and 12 mrad total deflection. This allows suppres-
sion of higher harmonics from the SASE beam by a factor of
10^{-3} to 10^{-5}. With mirror benders and a profile error of
≤2 nm in the mirror centre, the spot at the sample location
can be adjusted between 2 and ∼300 μm FWHM, indepen-
dently in the horizontal and vertical directions (Fig. 2).

In order to control and reproduce the beam pointing of the
KB-focused FEL beam, additional profile and intensity/position
monitors are installed upstream and downstream with respect to the sample position. They are mounted on high-
precision motorized tables, a six degrees of freedom heavy-
load hexapod holding the upstream diagnostics and a two-axis
translation table supporting the downstream diagnostic
devices. Those allow the diagnostics to be positioned at
identical positions relative to the FEL beam, also upon change

Figure 2
Focal spot for a line and point focus at 6.6 keV (third harmonic)
measured at the Bernina sample position during early commissioning
(fundamental FEL energy at 2.2 keV). The focal spot was measured in air
with a profile monitor after transmission through a diamond (50 μm)
window separating the beamline vacuum system from the experimental
area.

of the KB grazing angle. The upstream diagnostic chamber
houses an optional arrival-time monitor, an X-ray profile and
intensity monitor, as well as two slit systems to remove beam
halo.

2.3. Timing synchronization and optical laser

A global timing reference for all timing-sensitive compo-
nents at SwissFEL is generated by an optical master oscillator.
For optimized overall phase noise performance it is synchro-
nized to an RF master oscillator which itself is locked to a
10 MHz rubidium frequency standard. The integrated abso-
lute jitter from 10 Hz to 1 MHz is as low as 50 fs FWHM
(Milne et al., 2017). The most critical clients of SwissFEL
require <35 fs FWHM jitter and down to 10 fs peak-to-peak
temporal drift per day (Schietinger et al., 2016). Critical clients
such as the experimental laser system, the BAM and LAM
monitors, are fibre-coupled to pulsed optical links.

The laser system (Erny & Hauri, 2016) for the experimental
stations consists of two identical commercial 100 Hz Ti:sap-
phire amplifier systems with a compressed output energy of
20 mJ at 800 nm. These lasers are installed above the experi-
mental stations in a separate clean room with air (±0.1 °C)
and humidity (40–45%) control. To ensure simultaneous and
independent operation of the experimental stations both
lasers can serve either station. The uncompressed amplifier
output is sent through an evacuated transfer line to optical
tables in the Bernina hutch where it is split into two branches,
one for the experiment and the other for timing diagnostics.

Table 2
Laser options at the SwissFEL Bernina instrument.

| Laser branch                  | Duration (fs) | Energy (mJ) | Wavelength (nm) |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| **Experimental branch**       | 30 (Δ)        | 10 (Δ)      | 800 (Δ)         |
| **Short pulse option**        | <10 (Δ)       | <300 (Δ)    |                 |
| **HE-TOPAS†** (pump: 10 mJ, 30 fs) |               |             |                 |
| Signal and idler              | 1160–2600 nm§ |             |                 |
| VIS-UV extensions             | 240–1160 nm§  |             |                 |
| DFG extensions                | 2600–15000 nm§|             |                 |
| **TOPAS twins‡** (pump: 10 mJ, 100 fs) |       |             |                 |
| DFG extensions                | 2600–20000 nm§|             |                 |

† Option 100 fs. ‡ For details see http://lightcon.com/Products/opa-topas.html.
§ Pulse energies and durations on best effort basis. † CEP-stable.

Experimental branch includes the LAM to measure the
laser arrival near the experiment and non-linear conversion
stages offering a broad range of pump frequencies. The LAM
is based on a spectrally resolved cross-correlation with a
resolution of 12 fs FWHM (Csatari Divall et al., 2015). For
experimental use, a range of parameters can be derived from
the compressed output of 10 mJ, <30 fs, 800 nm (Table 2). A
short-pulse option offers <10 fs pulses with >200 μJ at 800 nm
generated by spectral broadening via self-phase modulation in
a gas-filled hollow core fibre and subsequent pulse compres-
sion (Nisoli et al., 1996). Frequency tuning is achieved via
an optical parametric amplifier (OPA), combined with
subsequent frequency mixing stages covering a broad wavelength range from the UV up to the mid-IR (0.24–15 μm). The signal branch of the OPA output can also be used to generate intense single-cycle THz pulses by optical rectification of near-IR pulses in organic non-linear crystals (DAST, OH1, DSTMS) (Hauri et al., 2011; Ruchert et al., 2013; Kozina et al., 2017b) or by the tilted pulse front technique in LiNbO3 (Hebling et al., 2002; Kozina et al., 2017a), yielding field strengths up to a few hundreds of kV cm⁻¹ in the frequency range 0.3–3 THz.

Single-cycle THz pulses can be used to excite (resonantly or non-resonantly) large-amplitude atomic motions with electric and magnetic fields exceeding 1 MV cm⁻¹ and 1 T, respectively. Single-cycle THz pulses are intrinsically stable with respect to their carrier-envelope phase (CEP) and the optical cycle is long compared with the FEL pulse duration, allowing the field-induced dynamics to be tracked. So far mostly resonant field driven excitations in the 0.3–2 THz range probed by FEL X-ray diffraction have been applied to study soft mode dynamics in the prototypical ferroelectrics Sn₂P₂S₆ (Grübel et al., 2016), BaTiO₃ (Chen et al., 2016) and SrTiO₃ (Kozina et al., 2017a,b), the magneto-electric excitation of the spin cycloid in the multiferroic TbMnO₃ (Kubacka et al., 2014) and the initiation of the metal-to-insulator transition in VO₂ (Gray et al., 2018).

By exploiting selective excitation and control of higher-energy modes in the frequency range 15–20 THz (20–15 μm), recent experiments have demonstrated efficient non-linear coupling from the resonantly excited IR-active mode to Raman modes along the symmetry breaking coordinate (Först et al., 2011a). The suppression of magnetic and orbital order (Först et al., 2011b, 2015), enhanced superconductivity in high-Tc superconductors (Mankowsky et al., 2014; Mitran et al., 2016; Fausti et al., 2011) or ultrafast switching of the ferroelectric polarization (Mankowsky et al., 2017; von Hoegen et al., 2018) has been demonstrated. In one case non-linear electron–phonon coupling was found to dominate directly the charge order melting in a doped manganite (Esposito et al., 2017).

With the potential to reach sub-10 fs time resolution, field-induced dynamics at mid-IR frequency can be studied with CEP-stable narrow-bandwidth and frequency-tunable pulses. For that purpose a CEP-stable system based on two independent OPAs (TOPAS-twins, Light Conversion) is being installed at Bernina. Developments that extend the available tuning range to the so-called THz gap in the range 4–20 THz (15–75 μm) are closely followed for potential future implementation (Liu et al., 2017; Cartella et al., 2017).

The large range of laser parameters available for experiments at Bernina requires flexible incoupling with respect to the X-ray beamline. Especially for mid-IR/THz wavelengths, flexible mounting provisions for optical support tables and breadboards are provided close to the sample location in order to facilitate use of small-focal-length focusing optics. For UV/VIS and IR wavelengths, exchangeable dedicated in-coupling vacuum chambers for high vacuum and intermediate/helium atmosphere are mounted on the heavy-load upstream diagnostics hexapod table between the diagnostics element and the experimental endstations. They are separated by an X-ray window (50 μm CVD diamond) from the diagnostics chamber which is retractable for windowless operation.

2.4. Endstations

The endstation design (Ingold et al., 2016) emphasizes rapid reconfiguration capability in terms of sample environment, spectrometers and detector geometries to support a wide variety of experiments. For this purpose two endstations for X-ray pump–probe (XPP) experiments are installed, a general purpose station (Bernina-GPS) as well as a six-circle X-ray diffractometer (Bernina-XRD). Both endstations are mounted on a high-precision rail system oriented perpendicular to the X-ray trajectory, allowing them to be exchanged and reproducibly positioned at the sample location (Fig. 1). Heavy-load capability allows the stations to support and precisely align a variety of custom sample stage modules such as goniometers and sample environmental setups which can be swapped and mounted on both Bernina-GPS and Bernina-XRD. Sample modules provided by an experimental team or user group may include cryogenic vacuum chambers, cold-finger cryostats, superconducting high-field magnets or cryogenically cooled pulsed magnet systems and high-pressure diamond anvil cells. As a third station which is mounted temporarily for periods of time on the same rail system, a fixed-target protein crystallography station (SwissMX) is operated at Bernina (Fig. 3).

The Bernina-GPS station uses a high-precision positioning platform that can be aligned with respect to the FEL beam trajectory in three degrees of freedom compensating for pointing changes induced by the KB focusing system. This platform supports two concentric, vertical rotation axis circle stages for sample environment and detector systems. The sample circle can be combined with sample diffractometer modules; the detector circle can support heavier detectors or analyzers (≤300 kg) at about 1 m distance from the sample interaction.

The Bernina-XRD station platform is identical to the Bernina-GPS station except for the detector circle configuration. The detector arm has two rotation circles, with a vertical and a horizontal rotation axis. It supports two motorized translation detector stages carrying a 1.5M Jungfrau area detector and a vacuum polarization analyzer stage with angular collimation and point detector, respectively (Strempfer et al., 2013). The stages are offset by 20° to allow rapid switching between the analyzer stage and the Jungfrau detector. The sample–detector distance of 790 mm and pixel size of 75 μm × 75 μm provides an angular resolution of 0.0054° (∆Ω = 5.4 × 10⁻⁴ at 11.2 keV).

On the single sample circles of both endstations an additional choice of three pre-configured sample diffractometer stages can be mounted. They support different motion degrees of freedom and ranges for sample environment of different size and weight. A κ-goniometer with ascending motorized horizontal sample axis, κ axis and additional six degrees of
The Bernina-XRD endstation is intended particularly for sample environments at extreme temperatures, pressures or magnetic fields which facilitates different classes of experiments: suppression of stripe order (Fausti et al., 2011) or enhancement of superconductivity far above \( T_c \) (Hu et al., 2014; Mankowsky et al., 2014) in high-\( T_c \) materials, the competition of CDW and superconducting phases at low temperatures \( (T \leq 10 \text{ K}) \) and high magnetic fields \( (H \geq 30 \text{ T}) \) (Jang et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2012, 2016; Gerber et al., 2015), or pressure \( (P \leq 20 \text{ GPa}) \) driven quantum critical behaviour in 2D magnetic systems (Jaramillo et al., 2009; Haravifard et al., 2012), and iron-based superconductivity (Takahashi et al., 2015).

The heavy-load detector arm of Bernina-GPS allows experiments with large emission spectrometers as used for resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS), which has been demonstrated as time- and momentum-resolved hard X-ray RIXS (tr-qRIXS) at an XFEL (Dean et al., 2016). A compact five-analyzer RIXS spectrometer \( (R = 1 \text{ m}) \) (Moretti Sala et al., 2018) will be available at Bernina-GPS to study the dynamics of charge and \( dd \)-excitations in 3d transition metal oxides (K-edge XES/RIXS), and dispersive spin-exciton and magnon excitations in 5d systems (L-edge RIXS) that exhibit strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC). For measurements at a well-defined momentum in \( q \)-space only the central analyzer will be used. Spherical bent diced analyzers (Gog et al., 2013) are operated on a Rowland circle such that the sample, central analyzer and detector are all mounted on a circle of radius \( R/2 \) (Johan geometry). The X-rays backscattered from the analyzer are detected with a 250 K 2D Jungfrau detector with pixel size of 225 \( \mu \text{m} \) (h) \( \times 25 \mu \text{m} \) (v). The overall resolution at 11.2 keV (Ir L\(_2\)-edge) is 50–70 meV for an Si(444) DCM and Si(844) diced-crystal analyzer. Adopting a horizontal scattering plane and dispersion in the vertical plane complies well with an asymmetric X-ray footprint (vertical focus) on the sample to preserve energy- and time-resolution when matching of the penetration depth of the laser-pump and X-ray-probe is required at grazing incidence \( \theta \approx 0.5–5^\circ \) (Johnson et al., 2008, 2010). Tr-qRIXS requires high spectral flux and would greatly benefit from self-seeding operation (Amann et al., 2012) of Aramis in the future to enhance the spectral brightness by a factor of 5–8.

The Bernina-XRD station is intended to enable experiments that require precise reciprocal space measurements. Besides time-resolved resonant and non-resonant XRD, it additionally allows measurements of polarization-resolved tr-RRXRD. Time-resolved diffraction experiments on correlated systems such as mixed-valence CMR maganites (Beaud et al., 2009, 2014) can be extended to selectively probe different components of the resonant scattering tensor by measuring the polarization dependence in the presence of cooperative oriental alignment caused by competition between charge- or orbital-ordered phases and magnetic phases. This is done by resolving the scattering into the respective \( \sigma - \sigma' \) and \( \sigma - \pi' \) polarization channels where \( \sigma (\pi) \) and \( \sigma' (\pi') \) denote the polarization perpendicular (parallel) to the scattering plane for the X-rays in the entrance and exit channel, respectively.
2.5. Detector and data acquisition

The Jungfrau pixel detector has specifically been developed for the needs of the Aramis endstations (Mozzanica et al., 2014, 2018) by the detector group at PSI. It is a charge integrating detector using a hybrid architecture consisting of silicon sensors bump-bonded with a readout ASIC (application specific integrating circuit). The standard Jungfrau ASIC consists of 256 \times 256 pixels, each pixel being 75 \mu m \times 75 \mu m in size. Each pixel incorporates a preamplifier with three gains, a switching block that enables an automatic gain switching pixel by pixel, a sampling stage consisting of a storage array for 16 images, and a readout buffer. The output of the ASIC is a signal proportional to the number of photons interacting with the Si sensor. Arrays of 2 \times 4 chips (512 \times 1024 pixels) form a module of about 0.5 Mpixel. The readout board uses a FPGA to generate control signals for the readout ASICs and receives the data stream from the ADCs (14 bit) which digitize the multiplexed analogue output from the chips. The readout time set by the ADC clock speed (40 MHz) corresponds to a frame rate of 2.5 kHz.

Experiments at Bernina will profit from several features of the Jungfrau detector. Firstly, the noise level, well below Poisson statistical fluctuations across the full dynamic range, is low enough at the highest gain to allow single-photon detection even at tender X-ray energies in the range 2–4 keV. Secondly, automatic gain switching with three levels of gain for individual pixels raises the overall dynamic range of the detector to 10^3 per pixel per pulse. This is particularly important for simultaneous measurement of diffuse scattering or Bragg diffraction from charge-, orbital- or spin ordered materials. Thirdly, the energy resolution capability (Fig. 4) allows efficient discrimination of elastic from fluorescence signals at lower count rates, which can be used to, for example, suppress background signals. Special use-oriented variations of the sensor design have been developed, like the high spatial resolution in one dimension (pixel size 25 \mu m \times 225 \mu m) for spectrometer applications. For in-vacuum operation the sensors and detector chips can be mounted in vacuum (10^{-6} mbar), cooled by a cooling plate (~20 W per module) and separated by a vacuum flange from the readout board which is operated in air.

![Figure 4](image)

SwissFEL Aramis average bandwidth measurement (for two different electron energy settings). The monochromator energy can be adjusted in parallel to the electron energy in order to scan a larger energy range, e.g. for calibration purpose.
SwissFEL uses a de-centralized data acquisition system recording data asynchronous and synchronous to the individual FEL pulses. Asynchronous data are predominantly based on the channel access protocol EPICS. Synchronous data are based on ZeroMQ streams which are tagged by Id numbers for each FEL pulse (pulse-Ids) from their data source. This allows scalable operation of even multiple large data sources like the 16M Jungfrau detector, through separate individual data streams to disk storage and recombination of data from individual pulses by data buffering and pulse-Id based data access. Experimental data are stored to multiple data files (hdf5 format) by a system of separated data acquisition systems for experimental data from Jungfrau detectors, 2D camera images, scalar and 1D waveform data as well as asynchronous data. The systems match the individually saved data ranges by communicating the requested pulse-Ids and data time-stamps. 2D camera images, scalar and 1D waveform data and asynchronous data are in addition permanently buffered for diagnostics purposes over hours or days.

Combined manual and programmatic control of the various subsystems is possible by a high-level scripting framework of Python libraries. It can be used standalone or as a library for specialized applications. It includes standard data acquisition functionality like step-by-step and continuous scans, and can access acquired data for automatization of procedures. A distributed online data processing framework is under development.

2.6. Commissioning and first experiments

The Aramis undulator has been in first operation since the end of 2016, and has been commissioned step by step within the following year with interruptions for installations.

The first pilot experiment at SwissFEL was performed in November 2017 at the Bernina instrument after several weeks of commissioning of prioritized elements in the X-ray beamline. A light-induced semiconductor-to-metal transition in titanium pentaoxide Ti$_3$O$_5$ was measured at Bernina by femtosecond grazing-incidence powder diffraction (M. Cammarata, Rennes University). The saturated SASE pulse energy was 200 μJ at 2.20 keV (K = 1.2) of the fundamental at electron energy 2.38 GeV, bunch charge 205 pC and repetition rate 10 Hz. The experiment was performed using pink X-ray beam at 6.6 keV and 0.1% bandwidth using the third harmonic of the fundamental SASE energy. The image is generated by femtosecond grazing-incidence powder diffraction of a Ti$_3$O$_5$ nanoparticle pellet. Right: histogram over all pixels in all 200 patterns averaged on the left. The digital data are calibrated to equivalents of single-photon energy. The peak at 0 keV shows the noise distribution of the unexposed pixels (‘zero photon peak’), very well separated from the lowest-energy photons measured at the Ti Ka emission (4.5 keV). Those can, in turn, be well distinguished from the elastically scattered third harmonic photons at 6.6 keV, which allows the data to be filtered during analysis for, for example, the fluorescent background signal. Pixels which have been hit by multiple fluorescence and/or scattered photons can be distinguished as labelled in the histogram.

The second pilot experiment was performed in March 2018. Single-cycle THz pulses were used to directly drive lattice vibrations in the ferroelectric (FE) model compound Sn$_2$P$_2$S$_6$ with a time-dependent electric field (M. Savoini and S. Johnson, ETH Zurich). Lowering the temperature below (800 nm) induced changes in the diffracted Bi(111) intensity from a 40 nm bismuth epitaxial thin film of 40 nm (Johnson et al., 2008). The time resolution was mainly limited by electron bunch compression and pump laser synchronization. No timing diagnostics for time jitter and drift corrections were applied. X-ray powder diffraction patterns from a polished nanoparticle pellet of 20–30 nm-sized crystallites were recorded with a 1.5M Jungfrau pixel detector. The energy resolution of the detector allowed gating to suppress background due to Ti Ka fluorescent photons (Fig. 5). The pump fluence at 800 nm and spot size 300 μm × 300 μm FWHM was varied in the range 0.16–0.44 mJ. The focal spot size of the X-ray was 100 μm (h) × 5 μm (v) FWHM and the incidence angle was varied in the range 0.3–0.5° to probe the phase transition as a function of depth at the nanoscale. The time-resolved powder patterns shown in Fig. 6 hold information on the volume and crystalline phase fractions as a function of time. The metallic phase has a higher unit cell volume and thus the semiconductor-to-metal transition may occur only after pressure relaxation. To clarify this the time resolution of 350 fs FWHM is sufficient because volumetric changes of ~25 nm-sized crystallites proceed on the acoustic timescale of ~0.5 ps nm$^{-1}$.

The second pilot experiment was performed in March 2018. Single-cycle THz pulses were used to directly drive lattice vibrations in the ferroelectric (FE) model compound Sn$_2$P$_2$S$_6$ with a time-dependent electric field (M. Savoini and S. Johnson, ETH Zurich). Lowering the temperature below
337 K induces a soft-mode driven displacive phase transition to a ferroelectric state. The structural changes associated with the paraelectric-to-ferroelectric phase transition can mainly be attributed to a displacement of the Sn$^{2+}$ ion and its direction roughly coincides with the direction of the polarization in the ferroelectric phase. The displacement can also directly be linked to a soft phonon mode near 1 THz at room temperature. By exciting this soft phonon mode with broadband THz pulses the structural modulation has been measured directly and quantitatively in a recent tr-XRD experiment (Grübel et al., 2016) at the FEMTO slicing facility at the SLS (Beaud et al., 2007; Ingold et al., 2008). In a subsequent experiment at the X-ray pump–probe instrument (XPP) at the LCLS (Chollet et al., 2015), additional modes by using higher THz fields have been observed. In continuation of these experiments the pilot experiment was designed to identify and quantify dissipation mechanisms in the strongly non-equilibrium state that possibly impact the feasibility of FE switching.

The experiment was performed with monochromatic X-rays at 6.0 keV using the third harmonic at 0.015% bandwidth. Aramus was operated at 2.5 GeV and bunch charge 200 pC at 10 Hz to reach saturation at 2.0 keV ($K = 1.45$) of the fundamental. The transverse deflecting structures (TDS) installed prior to the second pilot experiment allowed good control of the bunch length by the two bunch compressors BC1 and BC2. The saturated pulse energy (pulse length) of the SASE pulses was 205 μJ (90 fs FWHM) and 350 μJ (170 fs FWHM) depending on compressor settings. The electronbeam arrival-time jitter measured with TDS was about 70 fs and 90 fs FWHM, respectively. The shot-to-shot jitter measured independently with a BAM installed after BCI was in agreement with the TDS measurements. The timing drift measured with the BAM was 100 fs peak-to-peak over 4 h. By measuring the laser (80 nm, 40 fs FWHM, 1 mJ cm$^{-2}$) induced Bi(111) diffraction peak using the short bunch setting, the coherent $A_{1g}$ phonon oscillation is clearly noticed as shown in Fig. 7. The X-ray beam was focused to $<$10 μm horizontally to minimize geometric time broadening. The instrumental time resolution is about 260 fs FWHM without applying jitter or drift corrections for the X-ray time arrival, but applying drift correction using the LAM arrival information of the pump laser pulses. The remaining jitter is mainly due to the synchronization of the pump laser which was accurate to 190 fs FWHM. In the meantime, the synchronization of the pump laser has been improved to 35 fs FWHM. Laser-induced pump-probe experiments with time resolution $<$200 fs FWHM can therefore be performed without the need for shot-to-shot timing corrections. This is due to the low timing jitter of the electron beam as also noticed at other XFEL facilities (Kang et al., 2017). Broadband single-cycle THz pulses at centre frequency near 1 THz were generated through optical rectification of NIR pulses (2.4 mJ) at 1.3 μm in an OH1 organic crystal. The electrical field strength measured by electro-optic sampling was 350 kV cm$^{-1}$. A two-step procedure was followed to ensure overlap of the X-ray and THZ pulses on the sample (Grübel et al., 2016). By using a collinear pump-probe geometry on a (010)-oriented Sn$_2$P$_2$S$_6$ bulk crystal the structural dynamics of the soft phonon mode has been probed by the (332) and (322) diffraction peaks via coplanar asymmetric diffraction. The incidence angle was $15^\circ$ to limit the X-ray penetration depth. The measured response shown in Fig. 8 is in agreement with earlier measurements showing that THz-pumped tr-(R)XRD experiments can now be performed at Bernina.

3. Conclusions

The SwissFEL Aramis Bernina instrument is designed as a versatile tool for femtosecond pump–probe X-ray experiments in condensed matter physics and material science to

---

**Figure 6**

Bernina first pilot experiment. Top: light-induced differential scattering pattern collected from Ti$_3$O$_5$ nanocrystals in Debye–Schererr geometry as a function of momentum transfer and time delay. The powder patterns have been collected with a 2D 1.5M Jungfrau pixel detector. Bottom: relative change of diffraction intensity as a function of time delay between the 800 nm pump and X-ray probe at 6.6 keV. For two momentum transfers indicated by the dashed box in the top panel a distinct different time behaviour is observed at shorter times. The instrumental time resolution derived from Bi(111) diffraction intensity prior to the experiment was about 350 fs FWHM.

**Figure 7**

Jitter-limited time resolution. Laser (800 nm) induced coherent $A_{1g}$ phonon mode in a 40 nm thin (111)-oriented film of crystalline bismuth at an incident fluence of 1 mJ cm$^{-2}$. The fit by the model function in the plot legend reveals a time resolution of 110 fs $\sigma$ (r.m.s.). Timing-drifts of the pump laser timing were corrected for by the laser arrival monitor (LAM).
explore the coupling dynamics between atomic, electronic and magnetic constituents that lead to novel functional properties in materials. Emphasis is on developing selective excitation and probe techniques to stimulate and observe the response of the system when at the same time it is adiabatically tuned under extreme conditions. First pilot experiments have been performed to demonstrate IR and THz-pumped tr-XRD experiments with time resolution of about 260 fs FWHM, limited by the arrival-time jitter between the X-ray and laser beams. Instrumentation to accurately measure pulse-by-pulse the X-ray intensity and spectrum, as well as the relative X-ray arrival, is under commissioning in order to exploit the time-resolved potential of Aramis.
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