Psiguajadials A–K: Unusual *Psidium* Meroterpenoids as Phosphodiesterase-4 Inhibitors from the Leaves of *Psidium guajava*
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Bioassay-guided fractionation of the ethanolic extract of the leaves of *Psidium guajava* led to the isolation of 11 new *Psidium* meroterpenoids, psiguajadials A–K (1–11), along with 17 known ones (12–28). Their structures and absolute configurations were elucidated by spectroscopic methods and comparison of experimental and calculated ECD. Compounds 1 and 2 represent two unprecedented skeletons of 3,5-diformyl-benzyl phloroglucinol-coupled sesquiterpenoid, while 3 is the first example of *Psidium* meroterpenoids coupling via an oxepane ring. Putative biosynthetic pathways towards 1 and 2 are proposed. Compounds 1–13 and 16–26 exhibited moderate inhibitory activities against phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4), a drug target for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, with IC$_{50}$ values in the range of 1.34–7.26 μM.

Phloroglucinol-coupled mono- or sesquiterpenoids are a group of structurally diverse meroterpenoids mainly occurring in species of the genera *Eucalyptus, Psidium, Rhodomyrtus, Myrtus* (Myrtaceae), and *Hypericum* (Guttiferae)$.^{1–17}$ Biosynthetically, they are proposed to be adducts of phloroglucinol derivatives and terpenoids via hetero-Diels-Alder or carbocation-induced stepwise reactions$.^{6, 10}$ So far more than 100 phloroglucinol-coupled terpenoids have been reported$.^{11, 18}$ and their intriguing structures and important biological activities have attracted broad interest from both natural products and synthetic chemists over the last half century$.^{6, 19–24}$ For instance, psiguadials A, C, and D$.^{9, 10}$, hyperjapones A–E$.^{16}$, and hyperjaponols A and C$.^{17}$ with novel skeletons and appealing pharmacological activities (e.g., antitumor and antiviral properties) have been synthesized by several groups$.^{25, 26}$ *Psidium* meroterpenoids are a subgroup of this compound class, which are exclusively reported from the species *Psidium guajava*. Structurally, they are characterized by the presences of 3,5-diformyl-benzyl phloroglucinol moiety and a dihydropyran ring junction. Recently, a number of excellent articles on the separation or biomimetic total synthesis of *Psidium* meroterpenoids have increased the interest in the study of this type of compounds$.^{18, 25, 27}$

Phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4), which specifically catalyzes the hydrolysis of the second messenger cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), is a therapeutic target of high interest for central nervous system (CNS), inflammatory, and respiratory diseases$.^{28}$ Although a number of structurally diverse PDE4 inhibitors have been developed over the last decade, roflumilast is the only one that successfully launched on the market to treat asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease$.^{29}$ However, the wide clinical use of roflumilast has been limited by the dose-limiting side effects, such as diarrhea, nausea, headaches, and weight loss. Therefore, the discovery of novel PDE4 inhibitors with stronger potency and less side-effects continues unabated.

In our continuing search for novel PDE4 inhibitors from Chinese herbs$.^{30–32}$, a fraction of the 95% aqueous ethanol extract of *Psidium guajava* exhibited moderate inhibitory effect on PDE4 (inhibitory rate >50% at 10 μg/mL). Subsequent chemical investigation of this fraction led to the isolation of 28 *Psidium* meroterpenoids including 11 new ones (1–11). Bioassay verified that most of these meroterpenoids were responsible for the activity of the crude fraction. Herein, we report the isolation, structure elucidation, putative biosynthetic origin, and PDE4 inhibitory activities of these metabolites.
Results and Discussion

Leaves of P. guajava (6.0 kg) was extracted with 95% EtOH at room temperature (rt) to give a residue, which was suspended in H₂O and partitioned with EtOAc and n-ButOH, respectively. Subsequent purification of the EtOAc fraction with various column chromatographic methods afforded compounds 1–28 (Fig. 1).

Psiguajadial A (1), a colourless oil, had a molecular formula of C₃₀H₃₄O₅ as determined by the HR-ESI-MS ion at m/z 473.2304 [M + H]+ (calcd 473.2333), corresponding to 14 degrees of unsaturation (DOUs). The IR absorption bands revealed the presence of OH (3448 cm⁻¹) and conjugated carbonyl (1633 cm⁻¹) groups. The ¹H NMR data (Table 1) displayed signals for three secondary methyl groups [δH 1.00 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Hz), 0.89 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), and 0.85 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz)], two formyl groups [δH 10.13 and 10.12 (each 1H, s)], two chelated phenolic hydroxyls [δH 13.55 and 13.19 (each 1H, s)], a monosubstituted benzene ring [δH 7.14–7.28 (5H, m)], and a series of aliphatic multiplets. The ¹³C NMR spectrum, associated with DEPT experiments, resolved 30 carbon resonances attributable to two conjugated aldehydes (δC 28.2), a monosubstituted phenyl [δC 144.6, 128.5 (×2), 126.7 (×2), and 126.3], a hexa substituted phenyl, three sp³ methy lses, five sp³ methylenes, six sp³ methines, and two sp³ quaternary carbons (one oxygenated). The collective information suggested that 1 was a Psidium meroterpenoid possessing the basic structural features of a cubebane sesquiterpenoid unit and a 3,5-diformyl-benzyl phloroglucinol substructure.

Detailed 2D NMR analysis (¹H–¹H COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY) further confirmed the presence of these moieties. In particular, two structural fragments a (C-2–C-3) and b (from C-5 to C-14) were first established by the ¹H–¹H COSY correlations (Fig. 2). The connectivities of a, b, the methylene (C-15), and two sp³ quaternary carbons (C-4 and C-5) were achieved by the HMBC correlations of H₁-14/C-1, H₁-10/C-2, H₁-5/C-2, C-3, C-4, C-10, and C-15, and H₁-15/C-3, C-4, and C-5 (Fig. 2), which led to the identification of the cubebane moiety. The HMBC correlations from two phenolic hydroxyls (δH 16.4 (1H-14) and 16.5 (1H-15)) to C-5′, C-7′, C-4′, and C-6′ of a benzene ring, respectively, together with the NOE correlations of H₁-15′/5′-OH and 7′-OH, and H₁-14′/5′-OH outlined a 3,5-diformyl phloroglucinol fragment. The aforementioned fragment and a mono-substituted benzene ring were connected to C-1′ by the HMBC correlations of H₁-1′/C-2′, C-3′, C-7′, C-8′, C-9′, and C-13′ to generate a 3,5-diformyl-benzyl phloroglucinol moiety. The connection between cubebane and 3,5-diformylbenzyl phloroglucinol moieties via C-1′–C-15 bond was identified by ¹H–¹H COSY correlations of H₁-1′/H-15. As 13 of the 14 DOUs were accounted for by two aldehydes, two benzene rings, and three rings of cubebane, the remaining DOU required the presence of an additional ring in 1. Although no direct HMBC correlations were available to construct the additional ring, the still “loose ends” of an oxygenated quaternary carbon (δC 89.8, C-4) and a downfield-shifted aromatic carbon (δC 165.8, C-3′) suggested that an oxygen bridge was located between C-4 and C-3′ to form a dihydropyran ring. This was further supported by the NOE correlation between H₁-13 (12) and H₁-14′. Thus, a planar structure of 1 was proposed as depicted in Fig. 2, which was fully consistent with its molecular composition. Compound 1 represents a new skeleton of sesquiterpenoid-based Psidium meroterpenoid with the presence of cubebane moiety in this compound class for the first time.

The relative configuration of 1 was established on the basis of NOEY experiments (recorded in both CDCl₃ and pyridine-d₅) and ¹H–¹H coupling constant analysis. The NOE correlations of H₅–H₁-H₇, H₉α, and H₅–H₁₄ indicated that these protons or functional groups were cofacial and were arbitrarily assigned α-orientations (Fig. 3). Accordingly, the NOE correlations of H₆–H₃/3β and H₁₁ designated H-6 to be β-oriented. The trans-relationship of H₅ and H₆ on the cyclopropane ring was further supported by the small coupling constant (J = 2.9 Hz) between them. Finally, the β-orientation of C-4-O-C-3′ bond at the spirocenter and the α-orientation of H₁-1′ were assigned by NOE correlations of H₁-5/H-1′ and H₁-13(12)/H₁-14′, respectively.

To determine the absolute configuration of 1, the experimental ECD spectrum of 1 was compared with those calculated for its isomers by the TD-DFT method. In Fig. 4, the experimental ECD spectrum of 1 showed sequential positive, positive, negative, and negative Cotton effects at 340, 283, 250, and 212 nm, respectively, which matched the calculated ECD curve for 1a, the isomer with the 1R, 4R, 5R, 6R, 7S, 10R, 1′R absolute configuration, indicating that 1 possessed the same absolute configuration as 1a.

Psiguajadial B (2), a colourless oil, exhibited a molecular formula of C₃₀H₃₄O₅ as determined by HR-ESI-MS at m/z 475.2475 [M + H]+ (calcd 475.2479), indicating that it was an isomer of 1. The 1D NMR spectra of 2 (Tables 1 and 2) exhibited most of the structural features found in 1, especially those for a cubebane moiety and a 3,5-diformylbenzyl phloroglucinol unit, indicating that 2 is comprised of the same coupling units as 1, with differences being due to the coupling patterns. Detailed 2D NMR analysis (¹H–¹H COSY, HMBC, and NOEY) (Fig. 2) further confirmed this fact. The benzyl methine (CH-1′) of the 3,5-diformylbenzyl phloroglucinol moiety was first established by HMBC correlations of H₁-1′/C-9′ (13′), C-3′, and C-7′ and H₉″(13′)/C-1′. Then a methine from the cubebane moiety which showed the ¹H–¹H COSY correlation with a methylene (CH₂-2′) and the HMBC correlation with a tertiary methyl (CH₃-15) was assigned as CH-3. The cubebane and phloroglucinol moieties were connected by ¹H–¹H COSY correlation of H₁-1′/H-3, revealing a C-3–C-1′ coupling pattern in 2. The presence of the oxygen bridge between C-4 and C-3′ was determined using the same way as described for 1.

Therefore, the planar structure of 2 was established as shown in Fig. 2, which represents another new skeleton of cubebane-based Psidium meroterpenoid with a C-1′–C-3′ linkage rather than the C-1′–C-15 linkage in 1.

The relative configuration of 2 was established by NOEY analysis. The key NOE correlations (Fig. 3) of H₅–H₇, H₉α, and H₅–H₁₄ suggested that H-5, H-7, H₉α, and the H₅–H₁₄ were cofacial and were arbitrarily assigned the α-orientation. Thus, the β-orientation of H₃, H-6, CH₂-15, and the phenyl group were established by the NOE correlations of H₆-11/H₃, H-2/3, and H₁₅-3/H₉″ (13′). The small coupling constant (br. s) between H₅ and H-6 further confirmed the trans-relationship of these protons on the cyclopropane ring. The absolute configuration of 2 was deduced to be 1R, 3S, 4R, 5R, 6R, 7S, 10R, 1′R by comparison of its experimental ECD spectrum with those of the calculated for the isomers (Fig. 5).

Psiguajadial C (3) was assigned the molecular formula C₃₀H₃₄O₅ based on the HR-ESI-MS and NMR data. The 1D NMR spectra of 3 (Tables 1 and 2) exhibited most of the structural features found in psiguadial A (16), a.
known globulane-based *Psidium* meroterpenoid featuring a rare oxocane ring junction, except that a methylene ($\delta_C$ 36.6, C-2), an oxygenated quaternary carbon ($\delta_C$ 104.1, C-1) and an upfielded methine ($\delta_C$ 39.9, C-10) in 16.
were replaced by an oxygenated methine (δC 85.5, C-2) and a tetra-substituted double bond (δC 138.2 and 136.0, C-1 and C-10) in 3. This indicated that the oxygen bridge started from C-1 in 16 was migrated to C-2 with the formation of Δ1(10) in 3, which was further confirmed by the HMBC correlations (Fig. 6) from H-3-14 to C-1, C-9, and C-10 and from H-2 to C-3′, C-1, and C-10. The relative configuration of 3 was assigned by the NOE analysis (Fig. 7). The NOE correlations of H-3b/H-2, H-6, and H-3-15/H-9′ (13)′, H-3-12/H-6 and H-7, and H-3-15/H-9′ (13)′ indicated that H-2, H-6, H-7, CH3-12, CH3-15, the phenyl group, and the C-2–C-3–C-4 bridge were cofacial and were arbitrarily assigned β-orientations. Thus, the α-orientation of H-5, H-3-13, and H-1′ was deduced by the NOE correlations of H-5/H-13 and H-1′. The absolute configuration of 3 was established as 2R, 4S, 5R, 6R, 7R, 1′R by comparison of its experimental ECD spectrum with those of the calculated isomers (Fig. 8). Compound 3 represents the first example of *Psidium* meroterpenoid coupling via an oxepane ring.

Psiguajadial D (4) possessed the molecular formula C30H34O5 as determined by its HR-ESI-MS and 1D NMR data. The 1H and 13C NMR data of 4 bore a high resemblance to those of guapsidial A (17)11, with the major differences occurring at C-3′, C-7′, and C-8′ of the benzoyl-phloroglucinol moiety (ΔδC = 2.3, 1.9, and 1.6 ppm, respectively), indicating that 4 possessed a different substitution pattern at the phloroglucinol unit. The locations of 5′-OH, 7′-OH, and 15′-CHO were assigned by HMBC correlations from the corresponding protons to C-5′, C-7′, and C-6′, respectively (Fig. 6). Consequently, the benzoyl group was located at the only remaining position on the phloroglucinol ring (C-2′). This was further supported by the NOE cross-peaks of H-15′/5′-OH and 7′-OH.

### Table 1. 1H NMR (400 Hz) data of compounds 1–4 in CDCl3 (δ in ppm, J in Hz).

| Compound  | Position 1  | 2      | 3      | 4      |
|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1         | α 1.82, m; β 1.59, m | ε1.96, dd (12.8, 7.9); α 1.77, t (12.0) | 5.50, d (7.47) | 0.92, m |
| 2         | β 1.77, m; α 1.60, m | 2.28, t (9.3) | ε2.45, d (14.5); β 1.54, dd (14.5, 4.0) | β 1.60, m; α 0.90, m |
| 3         | 1.13, d (2.9) | 1.19, br. s | 2.27, m | 1.82, m |
| 4         | 1.04, m | 0.64, br. s | 0.77, t (10.3) | β 1.57, m; α 1.49, m |
| 5         | 0.84, m | 1.00, m | 0.57, m | α 2.36, m; β 2.03, m |
| 6         | α 1.40, m; β 0.81, m | α 1.42, m; β 0.83, m | β 1.67, m; α 1.54, m | — |
| 7         | β 1.65, m; α 0.53, dddd (12.1, 12.1, 12.1, 1.9) | β 1.61, m; α 0.51, q (12.4) | α 2.26, m; β 2.16, m | 2.27, m |
| 8         | 1.71, m | 1.70, m | — | α 1.61, m; β 1.56, m |
| 9         | 1.52, m | 1.56, m | — | — |
| 10        | 0.89, d (6.6) | 0.93, d (6.6) | β 1.02, s | α 0.87, s |
| 11        | 0.85, d (6.6) | 0.90, d (6.7) | α 1.15, s | β 0.93, s |
| 12        | 1.00, d (6.3) | 0.75, d (6.2) | 1.72, s | 1.00, s |
| 13        | α 2.27, ddr (14.1, 7.2); β 1.99, ddr (14.1, 10.4) | 1.08, s | 1.08, s | 4.83, br.s; 4.80, br.s |
| 14        | 4.17, dd (10.4, 7.2) | 4.20, br. s | 4.39, br. s | — |
| 15        | 7.14, m | 7.09, d (7.5) | 7.27, m | 7.40, m |
| 16        | 7.28, m | 7.28, t (7.5) | 7.24, m | 7.39, m |
| 17        | 7.21, m | 7.20, t (7.5) | 7.19, t (6.7) | 7.47, m |
| 18        | 10.12, s | 10.18, s | 10.22, s | α 2.61, dd (16.6, 5.4); β 2.00, dd (16.6, 12.1) |
| 19        | 10.13, s | 10.16, s | 10.10, s | 10.23, s |
| 20        | 13.55, s | 13.61, s | 13.53, s | 13.23, s |
| 21        | 13.19, s | 13.23, s | 13.44, s | 13.71, s |

**Figure 2.** Selected 1H–1H COSY, HMBC, and NOESY correlations of 1 and 2.
The absolute configuration of 4 (1R, 4R, 5S, 6R, 7S, 10R, 1′R) was determined to be the same as that of 17 by comparison of their 13C NMR, NOESY, and ECD spectra, as well as TDDFT method (Fig. 9). *Psidium* terpenoids usually possess a C-1′ coupling site on the 3,5-diformyl-benzyl phloroglucinol part. Psiguajadial D (4) with the C-14′ coupling site represented the second example of this compound category, while the first example, guapsidial A (17), was reported recently from the same species.

Psiguajadial E (5) was assigned the molecular formula of C$_{30}$H$_{33}$O$_{5}$ by HR-ESI-MS, suggesting that it was an isomer of psiguadial D (13). The 1D (Tables 3 and 4) and 2D NMR analysis revealed that 5 possessed the same gross structure as that of 13, with main differences being observed at C-3 (δ$_{C}$ 40.1 in 5, 35.3 in 13), C-5 (δ$_{C}$ 80.1 in 5, 85.2 in 13), C-15 (δ$_{C}$ 26.0 in 5, 18.6 in 13), and C-1′ (δ$_{C}$ 48.6 in 5, 43.9 in 13), indicating that the configuration of the coupling sites in 5 was varied. In the NOESY spectrum of 5 (Fig. 10), the key cross-peaks of H-9′/H-5, H-10′/H$_{3}$-14, and H-1′/H$_{3}$-15 suggested that H-1′ and H$_{3}$-15 were α-oriented while H-5 was β-oriented. Thus, 5 was assigned as the C-1′ epimer of 13. This was further confirmed by comparison of the ECD spectra of 5 (see Supplementary S7.57) and 13, which showed the reversed Cotton effects at 344 and 281 nm, as the Cotton effects arising from 3,5-diformyl-benzyl phloroglucinol moiety (UV λ$_{max}$ at 340 and 279 nm) was dominated by the configuration of the chiral carbon C-1′. Thus, the structure of compound 5 was deduced as depicted.

Psiguajadial F (6) displayed a quasi-molecular ion peak at m/z 473.2333 [M – H]$^{-}$ (calcd 473.2333) in HR-ESI-MS, in agreement with the molecular formula C$_{30}$H$_{34}$O$_{5}$. The 1D and 2D NMR analysis revealed that 6 shared the same gross structure with psiguadial B, a known caryolane-based *Psidium* monoterpenoid. Thus, the structural differences between the two compounds were attributable to the configurational variations on the coupling sites. The relative configuration of the caryolane moiety in 6 (C-1, C-4, C-8, and C-9) was assigned to be the same as those in psiguadial B by NOESY analysis (Fig. 10) and comparison of their 1D NMR data. Consequently, the NOE correlations of H-3α/H-5 and H-9 and H-1′/H$_{1}$-15 suggested that H-5 and H-1′ were α- and β-oriented in 6, respectively, which were reversed as in psiguadial B. The absolute configuration of 6 was determined by comparison of its ECD spectrum (see Supplementary S7.58) with that of psiguadial B, in which the reversed Cotton effects at 344 and 284 nm indicated that the configuration of C-1′ in 6 was S. Thus, 6 was assigned as depicted.

Psiguajadial G (7) had the molecular formula C$_{30}$H$_{34}$O$_{5}$ as determined by HR-ESI-MS. Its 1D NMR data (Tables 3 and 4) were very similar to those of the synthesized caryophyllane-based meroterpenoid, compound
20[27], which was isolated as a natural product for the first time in the current study and was given a trivial psiguajadial L. The structural difference between 7 and 20 was being due to the configuration of H-1′, which was designated as α-orientation in 7 by NOE correlations of H3-14/H-9′ (13′) and H-1′/H-5 and H-7α (Fig. 11). Thus, psiguajadial G (7) was assigned as the C-1′ epimer of 20. The absolute configurations of psiguajadials G (7) and L (20) were established by analysis of their ECD spectra (see Supplementary S7.59 and S7.67), in which the Cotton effects around at 345 nm (positive for 7 and negative for 20) and 284 nm (positive for 7 and negative for 20) indicated that the C-1′ configuration of 7 and 20 were R and S, respectively. These assignments were in agreement with the ECD tendencies of those for guajadial (19) and psidal A (18)[9,27], respectively.

Table 2. 13C NMR (100 Hz) data of compounds 1–4 in CDCl3 (δ in ppm).

| Position | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1        | 34.6, C | 32.9, C | 138.2, C | 53.2, CH |
| 2        | 28.4, CH3 | 38.7, CH2 | 85.5, CH | 22.0, CH3 |
| 3        | 33.5, CH3 | 44.3, CH | 40.1, CH3 | 37.5, CH3 |
| 4        | 89.8, C | 90.6, C | 45.1, C | 84.6, C |
| 5        | 33.4, CH | 40.8, CH | 47.3, CH | 33.2, CH |
| 6        | 23.4, CH | 27.0, CH | 32.9, CH | 33.5, CH3 |
| 7        | 44.3, CH | 44.6, CH | 25.1, CH | 35.0, CH3 |
| 8        | 27.1, CH3 | 26.8, CH | 21.4, CH3 | 152.0, C |
| 9        | 31.6, CH3 | 31.3, CH3 | 36.6, CH3 | 41.5, CH |
| 10       | 30.5, CH | 29.5, CH | 136.0, C | 36.4, CH3 |
| 11       | 33.6, CH | 33.6, CH | 18.8, C | 33.7, C |
| 12       | 19.6, CH3 | 19.9, CH | 28.2, CH3 | 22.1, CH3 |
| 13       | 20.2, CH3 | 20.0, CH3 | 15.9, CH3 | 30.2, CH3 |
| 14       | 19.0, CH3 | 18.8, CH3 | 22.1, CH3 | 20.4, CH3 |
| 15       | 42.2, CH3 | 23.8, CH3 | 22.9, CH3 | 110.3, CH3 |
| 1′       | 35.1, CH | 35.2, CH | 53.7, CH | 200.3, C |
| 2′       | 103.5, C | 99.9, C | 113.8, C | 103.7, C |
| 3′       | 165.8, C | 163.4, C | 167.4, C | 162.2, C |
| 4′       | 104.2, C | 103.8, C | 107.6, C | 100.9, C |
| 5′       | 168.5, C | 168.7, C | 167.8, C | 167.3, C |
| 6′       | 164.2, C | 163.7, C | 105.2, C | 164.2, C |
| 7′       | 169.8, C | 169.7, C | 170.0, C | 168.9, C |
| 8′       | 144.6, C | 142.3, C | 140.7, C | 142.5, C |
| 9′/13′   | 126.7, CH | 127.3, CH | 130.5, CH | 127.7, CH |
| 10′/12′  | 128.6, CH | 128.5, CH | 127.7, CH | 126.7, CH |
| 11′      | 126.3, CH | 125.6, CH | 126.4, CH | 130.2, CH |
| 14′      | 192.2, CH | 192.1, CH | 193.6, CH | 24.3, CH3 |
| 15′      | 191.6, CH | 191.4, CH | 191.9, CH | 192.1, CH |

Figure 5. Experimental ECD spectrum of 2 and TDDFT calculated ECD spectra for 2a (1R, 3S, 4R, 5R, 6R, 7S, 10R, 1′R) and enantiomer of 2a.
Psigualadiols H (8) and I (9) had the identical molecular formula C_{30}H_{34}O_{5} on the basis of their HR-ESI-MS data. Analysis of their 1D and 2D NMR data indicated that both compounds had the same gross structure as the known cadinane-based *Psidium* meroterpenoids guajadials C (21) and D (22), a pair of C-1′ epimers also isolated in the current study. The relative configurations of the cadinane moiety (C-1, C-7, and C-10) in 8 and 9 were established as the same as those in 21 and 22 based on the NOESY analysis and comparison of their 1D NMR data. Thus, the remaining two chiral centers (C-4 and C-1′) formed by the spiro ring junction suggested that 8, 9, 21, and 22 were four stereoisomers. Detailed NOESY analysis of 8 and 9 (Fig. 11) indicated that they had the same configuration at the spirocenter (C-4–C-15 bond α-oriented) but different configuration at C-1′ (H-1′β in 8 and H-1′α in 9). Thus, compounds 8 and 9 were also a pair of C-1′ epimers. The absolute configurations of 8 and 9 were established by analysis of their ECD spectra (see Supplementary S7.60 and S7.61), in which the Cotton effects at 344 nm (negative for 8 and positive for 9) and 284 nm (negative for 8 and positive for 9) indicated that

---

**Figure 6.** Selected 1H–1H COSY, HMBC, and NOESY correlations of 3 and 4.

**Figure 7.** Selected NOESY correlations of 3 and 4.

**Figure 8.** Experimental ECD spectrum of 3 and TDDFT calculated ECD spectra for 3a (2R, 4S, 5R, 6R, 7R, 1′R) and enantiomer of 3a.
the C-1′ configuration of 8 and 9 were S and R, respectively. These assignments were in agreement with the ECD tendencies of those for 21 and 22, respectively.

Psiguajadial J (10) had the molecular formula C_{30}H_{34}O_{5} as determined on the basis of HR-ESI-MS and NMR data. The 1D and 2D NMR analysis revealed that 10 shared the same gross structure with those of guajadials E (23) and F (24), a pair of C-1′ epimers of cadinane-based Psidium meroterpenoid, indicating that they were stereoisomers with configurational variations occurring at the coupling sites (C-1′, C-3, and C-4). The relative configuration of 10 was established by NOESY experiment and analysis of its coupling constant. The NOE correlations of H-3-15/H-1′, H-2α, H-9′β, and H-11 and H-3/H-9′(13′), and H-2β indicated that these protons and the benzene ring were cofacial and were arbitrarily assigned as β-orientated. Thus, the NOE correlations of H-15/H-1′, H-2α,

Figure 9. Experimental ECD spectrum of 4 and TDDFT calculated ECD spectra for 4a (1R, 4R, 5S, 9S) and enantiomer of 4a.

| Position | 5       | 6       | 7       | 8       | 9       | 10      |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1        | 4.90, dd (12.3, 3.5) | 1.75, m | 1.57, m | 2.36, m | 2.35, m | 2.21, m |
| 2        | α 1.55, m; β 1.24, m | α 1.64, m; β 1.31, m | β 1.51, m; α 1.32, m | a 1.50, m; b 1.34, m | β 1.85, m; α 1.44, m | β 1.53, m; α 1.21, m |
| 3        | a 2.01, m; b 1.80, m | α 1.95, m; β 1.80, m | β 2.12, dd (15.7, 10.0); b 1.76, m | 1.82, m | β 1.79, m; α 1.67, m | 1.89, m |
| 4        | 4.45, d (7.1) | 2.10, m | 2.51, m | 5.31, s | 5.58, s | 5.67, d (1.6) |
| 5        | 0.93, t (7.8) | α 1.91, m; β 1.72, m | a 1.89, m; b 1.28, m | — | — | — |
| 6        | 0.57, m | β 1.46, m; α 1.38, m | β 2.52, m; α 2.31, dd (13.6, 9.9) | 1.49, m | 1.62, m | 1.67, m |
| 7        | α 1.90, m; β 1.88, m | — | — | α 1.80, m; β 1.61, m | α 1.70, m; β 1.61, m | 1.65, m |
| 8        | β 1.95, m; α 1.88, m | 2.16, m | 2.63, dd (18.1, 9.1) | β 1.78, m; α 1.30, m | β 1.85, m; α 1.33, m | β 1.67, m; α 1.33, m |
| 9        | β 1.95, m; α 1.88, m | — | — | α 1.51, m; β 1.32, m | 1.73, m | 1.94, m | 1.83, m |
| 10       | — | — | — | 1.81, m | 1.81, m | 1.74, m |
| 11       | α 1.17, s | α 0.97, s | α 0.96, s | 0.78, d (6.5) | 0.65, d (6.6) | 0.75, d (6.1) |
| 12       | β 1.23, s | β 0.99, s | β 0.99, s | 0.90, d (6.4) | 0.89, d (6.9) | 0.91, d (6.0) |
| 13       | 1.08, s | a 1.59, d (12.8); b 1.39, d (12.8) | 1.05, s | 0.82, d (7.0) | 0.85, d (7.2) | 0.86, d (6.7) |
| 14       | 1.13, s | 0.84, s | 4.89, s | 4.81, s | β 2.23, dd (14.2, 7.0); β 2.13, dd (14.2, 9.4) | α 2.32, dd (14.0, 6.9); β 2.02, dd (14.0, 9.8) |
| 15       | 3.78, s | 3.76, d (8.1) | 4.34, d (6.1) | 4.08, t (8.1) | 4.17, t (8.5) | 3.49, d (11.6) |
| 15′      | 7.33, m | 7.13, d (7.0) | 7.11, d (7.4) | 7.15, d (7.3) | 7.16, d (7.5) | 7.13, d (7.0) |
| 15′/13′  | 7.25, m | 7.26, t (7.0) | 7.26, m | 7.27, t (7.0) | 7.29, t (7.0) | 7.28, t (7.0) |
| 15′/12′  | 7.15, t (7.0) | 7.29, t (7.0) | 7.22, m | 7.20, t (7.0) | 7.21, t (7.0) | 7.22, t (7.0) |
| 15′      | 10.19, s | 10.09, s | 10.10, s | 10.04, s | 10.04, s | 10.04, s |
| 15′      | 10.07, s | 10.08, s | 10.15, s | 10.13, s | 10.13, s | 10.08, s |
| 5′-OH    | 13.74, s | 13.48, s | 13.63, s | 13.53, s | 13.51, s | 13.54, s |
| 7′-OH    | 13.05, s | 13.11, s | 13.20, s | 13.18, s | 13.18, s | 13.07, s |

Table 3. 1H NMR (400 Hz) data of compounds 5–10 in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm, J in Hz).
and H-1′ (Fig. 11), assigned these protons or methyl group in α-orientation. The trans-relationship of H-1′ and H-3 were further confirmed by the large coupling constant of H-1′ (J = 11.6 Hz). Thus, 10 was established as a C-3 epimer of 24, with a trans-fused dihydropyran ring. The R-configuration of C-1′ in 10 was established by the Cotton effects at 346 (positive) and 278 nm (positive) in its ECD spectrum (see Supplementary S7.62), which showed the similar tendency to those of 24.

Psiguajadial K (11) had a molecular formula of C_{25}H_{25}O_{5} as determined by its HR-ESI-MS. The 1D (Table 5) and 2D NMR analysis (Fig. 12) revealed that 11 possessed the same gross structure as that of guadial A (26)\textsuperscript{10}, indicating that they were stereoisomers with configurational variations occurring at the coupling sites. In the NOESY spectrum, the correlations of H-2/H-7β and H-1′/H-6β and H-7α (Fig. 12) indicated that C-1′−C-7 bond was β-oriented at the spirocenter while H-1′ was α-oriented on the pyran ring. Thus, 11 was established as the

| Position | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10    |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1        | 127.1 | CH    | 44.3  | CH    | 57.7  | CH    | 36.1  | CH    | 36.5  | CH    | 38.4  | CH    |
| 2        | 24.3  | CH₂   | 20.5  | CH₂   | 23.2  | CH₂   | 22.9  | CH₂   | 22.8  | CH₂   | 26.1  | CH₂   |
| 3        | 40.1  | CH₂   | 37.8  | CH₂   | 42.3  | CH₂   | 30.6  | CH₃   | 33.7  | CH₃   | 45.9  | CH    |
| 4        | 40.9  | C     | 85.0  | C     | 86.1  | C     | 78.9  | C     | 78.9  | C     | 80.2  | C     |
| 5        | 80.1  | CH    | 43.4  | CH    | 39.0  | CH    | 125.5 | CH    | 122.8 | CH    | 127.8 | CH    |
| 6        | 28.5  | CH    | 23.3  | CH₂   | 27.7  | CH₂   | 145.5 | C     | 146.9 | C     | 141.9 | C     |
| 7        | 32.8  | CH    | 32.6  | CH₁   | 36.1  | CH₁   | 51.1  | CH    | 51.2  | CH    | 50.0  | CH    |
| 8        | 23.3  | CH₂   | 33.3  | C     | 154.2 | C     | 22.7  | CH₁   | 22.7  | CH₁   | 223.3 | CH₂   |
| 9        | 37.7  | CH₁   | 38.4  | CH    | 42.6  | CH    | 29.0  | CH₂   | 29.0  | CH₂   | 28.7  | CH    |
| 10       | 131.3 | C     | 35.5  | CH₁   | 37.6  | CH₂   | 34.9  | CH    | 34.9  | CH    | 32.6  | CH    |
| 11       | 19.4  | C     | 34.7  | C     | 33.5  | C     | 26.5  | CH    | 26.6  | CH    | 26.6  | CH    |
| 12       | 30.5  | CH₂   | 20.9  | CH₁   | 22.6  | CH₁   | 21.4  | CH₂   | 21.3  | CH₂   | 21.8  | CH₁   |
| 13       | 19.9  | CH₂   | 30.6  | CH₁   | 29.6  | CH₁   | 21.1  | CH₂   | 21.2  | CH₂   | 21.2  | CH₁   |
| 14       | 18.0  | CH₁   | 41.9  | CH₂   | 22.9  | CH₁   | 14.4  | CH₂   | 14.3  | CH₂   | 13.9  | CH₁   |
| 15       | 26.0  | CH₁   | 26.5  | CH₁   | 110.3 | CH₂   | 42.3  | CH₂   | 42.8  | CH₂   | 19.7  | CH₁   |
| 1′       | 48.6  | CH    | 37.9  | CH    | 35.8  | CH    | 34.3  | CH    | 34.3  | CH    | 41.0  | CH    |
| 2′       | 105.7 | C     | 103.2 | C     | 103.7 | C     | 102.8 | C     | 103.3 | C     | 105.3 | C     |
| 3′       | 163.0 | C     | 162.8 | C     | 164.2 | C     | 164.5 | C     | 164.7 | C     | 164.1 | C     |
| 4′       | 103.6 | C     | 104.0 | C     | 103.8 | C     | 104.5 | C     | 104.6 | C     | 104.5 | C     |
| 5′       | 168.8 | C     | 168.4 | C     | 168.75| C     | 168.6 | C     | 168.5 | C     | 168.5 | C     |
| 6′       | 104.0 | C     | 104.0 | C     | 103.6 | C     | 104.0 | C     | 104.1 | C     | 104.2 | C     |
| 7′       | 168.8 | C     | 169.6 | C     | 168.83| C     | 169.7 | C     | 169.7 | C     | 169.7 | C     |
| 8′       | 142.9 | C     | 145.0 | C     | 139.2 | C     | 144.3 | C     | 144.6 | C     | 143.3 | C     |
| 9′/13′    | 128.6 | CH    | 127.9 | CH    | 130.2 | CH    | 126.9 | CH    | 126.8 | CH    | 127.8 | CH    |
| 10′/12′   | 126.9 | CH    | 128.3 | CH    | 127.8 | CH    | 128.4 | CH    | 128.5 | CH    | 128.2 | CH    |
| 11′       | 126.7 | CH    | 126.3 | CH    | 126.7 | CH    | 126.1 | CH    | 126.2 | CH    | 126.3 | CH    |
| 14′       | 192.7 | CH    | 191.5 | CH    | 192.2 | CH    | 192.3 | CH    | 192.2 | CH    | 192.4 | CH    |
| 15′       | 191.4 | CH    | 192.2 | CH    | 192.5 | CH    | 191.5 | CH    | 191.6 | CH    | 191.5 | CH    |

Table 4. ¹³C NMR (100 Hz) data of compounds 5–10 in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm).

Figure 10. Selected NOESY correlations of 5 and 6.
C-1′ epimer of guadial A. This was further confirmed by the ECD spectrum of 11, which showed the reversed Cotton effects at 341 (positive) and 278 nm (positive) as compared to those of 26.

The spectroscopic data of compound 12 was identical to guajavadial C, a new skeleton of bicyclogermacrane-based Psidium meroterpenoid recently isolated from the same plant by Qin et al.35. However, after careful analysis of its NMR data, we found the configuration of guajavadial C was incorrect (see Supplementary Fig. S1.2). The cis-relationship of H-5 and H-1′ was assigned by the author only based on the NOE correlation of H-5/H-1′. In fact, on a six-membered ring both cis and trans adjacent protons could generate NOE correlations. In this case, the trans-relationship of H-3′ and H-5 was firstly assigned by the NOE correlations of H-5/H-3′ and H-3′β, and H-6/H-3′ (see Supplementary Fig. S1.2). Then the NOE correlations of H-9′(13′)/H-3′β further assigned the benzene group in β-orientation. Thus, H-1′ was α-oriented and in the trans-position of H-5. In addition, the configuration of Δ1(10) was revised as E by the observed NOE correlation of H-1/H-9′β. The absolute configuration of 12 was established as 4S, 5R, 6R, 7R, 1′S by comparison of its experimental ECD spectrum with those of calculated for its isomers (see Supplementary Fig. S1.3).

(±)-Guajadial B9, a racemic Psidium meroterpenoid previously isolated from the same plant, was chirally separated in the current study to afford a pair of enantiomers, (−)-guajadial B (14) ([α]26D −30.0) and (+)-guajadial B (15) ([α]26D +30.0). The absolute configurations of 14 (3R, 4S, 1′S) and 15 (3S, 4R, 1′R) were established by

| Position | δH, multi. (J in Hz) | δC, type |
|----------|----------------------|----------|
| 1        | —                    | 88.7, C  |
| 2        | 1.06, dd (7.8, 3.5)  | 30.0, CH |
| 3        | α 0.81, dd (5.2, 3.5); β 0.41, dd (7.8, 5.2) | 11.6, CH2 |
| 4        | —                    | 33.6, C  |
| 5        | 1.71, m              | 25.1, CH3 |
| 6        | β 1.84, m; α 1.50, m | 32.1, CH2 |
| 7        | β 2.30, dd (14.3, 7.4); α 2.23, ddd (14.3, 7.6, 1.0) | 40.5, CH3 |
| 8        | 1.21, t (6.9)        | 32.1, CH |
| 9        | 0.76, d (6.8)        | 19.3, CH3 |
| 10       | 0.73, d (6.9)        | 19.3, CH3 |
| 1′       | 4.15, t (7.5)        | 34.3, CH |
| 2′       | —                    | 102.6, C |
| 3′       | —                    | 165.3, C |
| 4′       | —                    | 104.4, C |
| 5′       | —                    | 168.5, C |
| 6′       | —                    | 104.0, C |
| 7′       | —                    | 169.8, C |
| 8′       | —                    | 144.2, C |
| 9′/13′   | 7.18, d (7.0)        | 127.0, CH |
| 10′/12′  | 7.28, t (7.0)        | 128.5, CH |
| 11′      | 7.20, t (7.0)        | 126.3, CH |
| 14′      | 10.13, s             | 191.6, CH |
| 15′      | 10.12, s             | 192.4, CH |
| 3′-OH    | 13.56, s             |         |
| 7′-OH    | 13.19, s             |         |

Table 5. 1H (400 Hz) and 13C NMR (100 Hz) data of compound 11 in CDCl3 (δ in ppm).
The known compounds psiguadial D (13)10, psiguadial A (16)9, guapsidial A (17)11, psidial A (18), guadial (19)6,7, psiguadial L (20)27, guajadials C–F (21–24)34, guajadial A (25)35, guadial A (26)10, guadial B (27)11, and guadial C (28)11 were identified by comparison of their NMR (see Supplementary Tables S2.23–S2.7) and optical rotation data with those in the literature. In addition, the absolute configurations of psiguadial L (20) and guajadials C–F (21–24) were established for the first time based on ECD analysis (see Supplementary S7.67–S7.71).

The biosynthesis of Psidium meroterpenoids was previously hypothesized to occur via hetero-Diels-Alder reactions or a series of electrophilic addition reactions between the mono- or sesquiterpenoid precursors and the key intermediate 3,5-dimethyl-2,4,6-trihydroxybenzophenone6,10,11,36. The hetero-Diels-Alder pathway was supported by the biomimetic synthesis of (±)-guajadial B, psidial A (18), guajadial (19), psiguadial A (16), and psiguadial D (13)9,25,27. Thus, the biosynthetic pathways of the new skeleton compounds (1 and 2) in this study were proposed based on the hetero-Diels-Alder reaction as shown in Fig. 13. 3,5-Dimethyl-2,4,6-trihydroxybenzophenone, which has been previously isolated from the same plant10,37, is presumed to be the biogenic precursor of the benzyl phloroglucinol intermediates F. Dehydration of further produced the O-quinone methide intermediate II, β-Cubebene and α-cubebene, which have been reported to be present in the same species10,28,38, are deemed to be the sesquiterpenoid precursors. Reaction of the terpenoid precursors with II via hetero-Diels-Alder reactions would afford 1 and 2.

Compounds 1–28 were tested for their inhibitory activities against PDE4D2. Rolipram, a well-known PDE4 inhibitor, was used as the positive control (IC50 0.62 ± 0.03 μM). Most of compounds (1–13 and 16–26) exhibited moderate inhibitory activities with IC50 values in the range of 1.34–7.26 μM (Table 6), which may explain the inhibitory activity of the selected fraction and the anti-inflammatory usage of P. guajava in traditional medicine.

Psidium meroterpenoids are a small group of natural products characterized by a 3,5-diformyl-benzyl phloroglucinol moiety coupled with a mono- or sesquiterpenoid unit. In the current study, bioassay-guided fractionation of the ethanolic extract of the leaves of Psidium guajava led to the isolation of 28 Psidium meroterpenoids, including 11 new ones (1–11). Compounds 1 and 2 represent two unprecedented skeletons with the presence of cubebane moiety in this compound class reported for the first time. Compound 3 represents the first example of Psidium meroterpenoid coupling via an oxepane ring, and 4 was the second example featuring the C-14′ coupling site. Compounds 1–13 and 16–26 exhibited moderate inhibitory activities against phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4), with IC50 values in the range of 1.34–7.26 μM, suggesting that this compound class represents a new class of PDE4 inhibitors, which may serve as new structural motifs for designing new PDE4 inhibitors. Investigations of their mechanism of action and selectivity versus other PDEs are ongoing.

**Methods**

**General.** Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter at 20 °C. UV spectra were performed on a Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer, and ECD spectra were performed on an Applied Photophysics Chirascan spectrometer. Infrared spectra (IR) were measured on a Bruker Tensor 37 infrared spectrophotometer. NMR experiments were carried out on a Bruker AM-400 spectrometer at the temperature thermostatically controlled at 25 °C. Exact mass measurements and molecular formulas were obtained from ESI MS and HR-ESI-MS using a Finnigan LCQ Deca and a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL spectrometers, respectively. Semi-preparative reversed-phase (RP) HPLC was performed with a YMC-pack ODS-A column (10 × 250 mm, 5-μm) or a Phenomenex Lux cellulose-2 chiral column (10 × 250 mm, 5-μm) under Shimadzu LC-20 AT equipped with a SPD-M20A PDA detector. Column chromatography (CC) was performed on RP-C18 silica gel (5-50 μm, 12 μm, YMC Co., Ltd.), MCI gel (CHP20P, 75–150 μm, Mitsubishi Chemical Industries Ltd.), Sephadex LH-20 gel (Amersham Biosciences), and silica gel (300–400 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd.). For RP-HPLC and CC, the analytical grade solvents (Guangzhou Chemical Reagents Company, Ltd.) were employed.

**Plant material.** *P. guajava* were collected in Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China, in August 2014. The plant was identified by Dr. You-Kai Xu, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Supplementary S3.1. (26 mg), and yield pure compounds. Compounds purified by semipreparative HPLC with a YMC-pack ODS-A column or a Phenomenex Lux chiral column to obtained from Fr. B. Fr. D gave compounds 1130 cm$^{-1}$ $\Delta$ 216 $\lambda_{	ext{max}}$ 347 (3.6) nm; ECD $\epsilon_{199}$ (4.5), 285 (4.5) nm; 28.5 (2.51), 283 (2.51), 281 (1.81), 280 (1.34) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{109}$ 15.7) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{111}$ 2.2), 283 (2.2), 283 (1.2) nm; 250 (216 1.2). Each fraction was subjected to CC over RP-C$_{18}$ column, silica gel, and Sephadex LH-20 and then further purified by semipreparative HPLC with a YMC-pack ODS-A column or a Phenomenex Lux chiral column to yield pure compounds. Compounds 11 (2 mg), 13 (256 mg), 19 (532 mg), 20 (222 mg), and 23 (433 mg) were obtained from Fr. B. Fr. D gave compounds 14 (23 mg) and 15 (24 mg). Fr. E afforded compounds 4 (14 mg), 5 (11 mg), 7 (14 mg), 8 (49 mg), 10 (17 mg), 17 (6 mg), 21 (113 mg), 22 (17 mg), 24 (72 mg), 26 (1 mg), and 28 (17 mg). Compounds 1 (14 mg), 2 (19 mg), 3 (5 mg), 6 (5 mg), 11 (9 mg), 12 (13 mg), 16 (8 mg), 18 (269 mg), 25 (26 mg), and 27 (8 mg) were obtained from Fr. F. The details on isolation of these compounds are provided in Supplementary S3.1.

Extraction and isolation. Sequential extraction was carried out on 6.0 kg of the air-dried leaves of *P. guajava* with 95% ETOH immersion (10 L × 4) at rt. After evaporating the solvent, the residue (320 g) was suspended in H$_2$O (1.5 L) and extracted with EtOAc (1.5 L × 3) and n-BuOH (1.5 L × 3), respectively. The EtOAc partition (165 g) was chromatographed over CC (MeOH-H$_2$O, 10%→100%) to give seven sub-fractions (A–G).

Figure 13. A plausible biosynthetic pathway of 1 and 2.

| Compound | IC$_{50}$ ($\mu$M) | Compound | IC$_{50}$ ($\mu$M) | Compound | IC$_{50}$ ($\mu$M) |
|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1        | 3.11 ± 0.10     | 11       | 3.68 ± 0.27     | 21       | 2.28 ± 0.11     |
| 2        | 5.03 ± 0.33     | 12       | 4.33 ± 0.21     | 22       | 1.89 ± 0.11     |
| 3        | 4.50 ± 0.28     | 13       | 3.42 ± 0.21     | 23       | 2.73 ± 0.15     |
| 4        | 4.14 ± 0.26     | 14       | >50             | 24       | 2.67 ± 0.17     |
| 5        | 3.25 ± 0.20     | 15       | >50             | 25       | 2.01 ± 0.07     |
| 6        | 2.63 ± 0.13     | 16       | 7.26 ± 0.41     | 26       | 2.70 ± 0.15     |
| 7        | 1.34 ± 0.33     | 17       | 5.61 ± 0.51     | 27       | >50             |
| 8        | 1.81 ± 0.09     | 18       | 1.60 ± 0.09     | 28       | 17.09 ± 0.96    |
| 9        | 2.51 ± 0.17     | 19       | 1.62 ± 0.08     | rolipram* | 0.62 ± 0.03    |
| 10       | 2.53 ± 0.33     | 20       | 1.37 ± 0.07     |          |                 |

Table 6. IC$_{50}$ Values of compounds against PDE4D2. *Positive control.

and voucher specimens (FSL201408) have been stored at the School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University.

Psiguiadial A (1). Colourless oil; $\alpha$-D$_{30}^\text{D}$ +22 (c 0.3, CHCl$_3$); UV (MeCN) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log $\varepsilon$) 280 (4.5) nm; ECD (c 1.1 × 10$^{-4}$ M, MeCN); $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (Δ$\varepsilon$) 340 (+2.2), 283 (+11.6), 250 (−4.5), 212 (−15.7) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3448, 2957, 2924, 2851, 1633, 1439, 1302, 1181, 773 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; (−) MS-ESI m/z 473.3 [M − H]$^+$; (−) HR-MS-ESI m/z 473.2304 [M − H]$^+$ (calcld for C$_{23}$H$_{22}$O$_4$, 473.2333).

Psiguiadial B (2). Colourless oil; $\alpha$-D$_{30}^\text{D}$ +7.1 (c 0.5, CHCl$_3$); UV (MeCN) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log $\varepsilon$) 197 (4.4), 278 (4.6), 343 (3.6) nm; ECD (c 4.2 × 10$^{-4}$ M, MeCN) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (Δ$\varepsilon$) 342 (+0.8), 279 (+3.7), 191 (−7.5) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3448, 2956, 2925, 2869, 1633, 1443, 1381, 1305, [1179 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; (−) MS-ESI m/z 475.3 [M + H]$^+$; (−) HR-MS-ESI m/z 475.2475 [M + H]$^+$ (calcld for C$_{23}$H$_{23}$O$_4$, 475.2479).

Psiguiadial C (3). Colourless oil; $\alpha$-D$_{30}^\text{D}$ +76.6 (c 0.2, CHCl$_3$); UV (MeCN) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log $\varepsilon$) 198 (4.5), 275 (4.5), 347 (3.6) nm; ECD (c 4.2 × 10$^{-4}$ M, MeCN) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (Δ$\varepsilon$) 346 (+5.1), 318 (−4.2), 288 (−11.0), 266 (−8.6), 229 (−4.2), 216 (−7.4), 191 (−32.1) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3447, 2958, 2922, 2872, 1634, 1602, 1445, 1379, 1130 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; (−) MS-ESI m/z 471.2 [M − H]$^+$; (−) HR-MS-ESI m/z 471.2191 [M − H]$^+$ (calcld for C$_{22}$H$_{22}$O$_4$, 471.2177).

Psiguiadial D (4). Colourless oil; $\alpha$-D$_{30}^\text{D}$ −149 (c 0.2, CHCl$_3$); UV (MeCN) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log $\varepsilon$) 199 (4.5), 285 (4.5) nm; ECD (c 2.1 × 10$^{-4}$ M, MeCN) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (Δ$\varepsilon$) 308 (−5.7), 282 (−5.8), 218 (−9.2), 196 (−16.2) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3453,
PDE4D Inhibitory Screening Assays. The protocols for expression, purification, and enzymatic assays of PDE4D2 were similar to those we described previously. More details about the experimental procedures are provided in Supplementary S3.
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