Interactions between Snow Chemistry, Mercury Inputs and Microbial Population Dynamics in an Arctic Snowpack
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Abstract

We investigated the interactions between snowpack chemistry, mercury (Hg) contamination and microbial community structure and function in Arctic snow. Snowpack chemistry (inorganic and organic ions including mercury (Hg) speciation was studied in samples collected during a two-month field study in a high Arctic site, Svalbard, Norway (79° N). Shifts in microbial community structure were determined by using a 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic microarray. We linked snowpack and meltwater chemistry to changes in microbial community structure by using co-inertia analyses (CIA) and explored changes in community function due to Hg contamination by q-PCR quantification of Hg-resistance genes in metagenomic samples. Based on the CIA, chemical and microbial data were linked (p = 0.006) with bioavailable Hg (BioHg) and methylmercury (MeHg) contributing significantly to the ordination of samples. Mercury was shown to influence community function with increases in merA gene copy numbers at low BioHg levels. Our results show that snowpacks can be considered as dynamic habitats with microbial and chemical components responding rapidly to environmental changes.

Introduction

The Arctic environment experiences global changes due to climate shifts, long-range transportation of contaminants and increased human activity. An important Arctic feature is the seasonal snow-cover, which extends over a third of the Earth’s land surface, covering up to 47 million km² [1] and is considered to be a dynamic habitat of limited duration [2]. Snow cover influences global energy and moisture budgets, thereby, influencing climate [1]. Snow is a receptor surface and storage compartment for nutrients, soluble inorganic and organic matter, and contaminant chemicals, such as mercury (Hg), that are delivered by wet and dry deposition [3,4]. Hg is a toxic metal whose concentration is increasing in the Arctic food chain [5] and can undergo transformations to form methylmercury (MeHg), a potent neurotoxin. Far from inert, seasonal Arctic snowpacks are chemically dynamic [6,7] and interact with different environmental compartments such as the atmosphere, soil and meltwater-fed ecosystems.

Due to the cold conditions and the limited supply of liquid water, snow and ice have long been considered as only entrapment and storage systems for microorganisms that were thought to enter as vegetative and resting cells, transported by wind-blown particles, aerosols and ice crystals [8]. However, a number of studies have challenged this view by examining the unique biodiversity of microorganisms in cold environments [9–11], their impact on the dynamics, composition and abundance of nutrients [12], their role in shifting surface albedo of snow and ice [13], and their influence on Arctic hydrochemistry [14]. Microorganisms in the Arctic also mediate the transformation of contaminants, such as Hg [15–17]. In turn, environmental factors can also influence microbial community structure. In both field and laboratory studies, Hg was shown to alter community structure and function with the enrichment of resistant populations and changes in contaminant metabolism in sediments [18]. However, the microbial community dynamics in complex cold ecosystems remain to be fully explored. A recent report on a seasonal Arctic snowpack showed a rapidly changing chemical environment along a seasonal gradient and that Hg transformations were occurring within the snowpack [7]. If the snowpack chemistry is dynamic, then it is possible that the microbial communities inhabiting this system are as well. In order to gain insight into Arctic ecosystem functioning, the interaction among its different components that make up this system needs to be determined. While Arctic
snowpack chemistry has been studied in detail [6,7], knowledge about microbial community structure is lacking despite its involvement in ecosystem-level processes [19]. A recent report by Hell et al. (2013) highlighted the presence of a diverse and dynamic microbial community in melting glacial snowpacks and suggested post-depositional changes in community structure [20], while Larose et al. (2013) found that microbial communities inhabiting the snow cover demonstrated dynamic shifts in their functional potential to carry out different several pathways of the nitrogen cycle [21].

Here, we report the results of a two-month field study in a high Arctic site, Svalbard, Norway (79°N). Collected samples were analysed by determining the snowpack and meltwater chemistry and following shifts in microbial community structure with a 16S rRNA gene microarray. We investigated the relationships between the chemical and microbial data by co-inertia analysis. We also explored changes in the microbial community associated with seasonal atmospheric Hg deposits by using metagenomic approaches to quantify mercury resistance genes in samples.

Methods
Sampling

Samples were collected during a spring research campaign held between April 16th, 2008 and June 6th, 2008 at Ny-Ålesund in the Spitsbergen Island of Svalbard, Norway (78°56′N, 11°52′E). Access to the site was granted by the Svalbard Science Forum (project registration number 4762) chaired by the Research Access to the site was granted by the Svalbard Science Forum (project registration number 4762) chaired by the Research

Glass Schott bottles from streams that formed starting June 1st, until analyzed. Meltwater was collected in acid-washed 250-mL Teflon-coated low-density polyethylene bottles and stored frozen for bioavailable Hg (BioHg). For methyl mercury (MeHg) snow was collected in acid-washed 250-mL glass Schott bottles were collected. For cation/anion chemistry measurements (major, chemistry, mercury and microbial analyzes. A total of 39 samples were collected. From each sample, using primers pA (5′ AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 3′) and pH-T7 (5′ AAGAGGTTGATC-CAGCCTGCGA 3′) [26] and the Illustra Hot Start Mix RTG PCR kit (GE Healthcare). The 25-μL volume PCR reaction mix contained 0.6 μm of each primer, 2 μL DNA or 2 μL sterile water for the negative controls. The PCR conditions were 3 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 45 s of denaturation at 94°C, 45 s of annealing at 55°C, and 90 s of elongation at 72°C. After a final 5-min extension at 72°C, PCR products were separated by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, purified using the NucleoSpin® Extract II kit (Clontech) and transcribed. In situ transcription was carried out at 37°C for 4 hours in 20 μL that contained 8 μL of the purified PCR product (50 ng μL⁻¹) and 12 μL of the following mix: T7 RNA buffer (5X), DDT (100 mM), the four NTPs (10 mM each), RNasin (40 U μL⁻¹), T7 RNA polymerase (1 μL) and Cy3-UTP (5 mM). During transcription, the fluorescent Cy3-UTP, which emits light at 532 nm, is incorporated during the PCR to label the RNA. RNA was purified using the Qiagen RNeasy mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions and quantified with a spectrophotometer. Samples were then subjected to chemical fragmentation by addition of 5.7 μL of a Tris Cl (1 mM) and ZnSO₄ (100 mM). Samples were incubated for 30 minutes at 60°C. Fragmentation was stopped by placing the tubes on ice. EDTA (500 mM) was added to each tube (1.2 μL) followed by 1 μL RNAsin (40 U μL⁻¹) after a minute of incubation at 25°C. The RNA solution was then diluted to 5 ng μL⁻¹ and a hybridization mix was prepared (v/v ratio) in 50 μL with 2X

DNA Taxonomic Microarray Analysis

The Agilent Sureprint Technologies microarray format was used, and consisted in 8 identical blocks of 15,000 spots each on a standard glass slide format 1”x3” (25 mm x75 mm). Each spot is formed by in situ synthesis of 20-mer oligoprobes that occur at least in triplicate within each block. Five slides were used for the hybridization of all samples (39 individual blocks, 1 block per sample). Probes were designed to target the rrs gene at different taxonomic levels (1469 genera, 286 families, 118 orders, 57 classes, 36 Phyla based on NCBI taxonomy) from the Bacteria and Archaea phylogenetic tree using the ARB software package (phylogenetic microarray target) (for probes see reference 27 and http://www.genomenviron.org/Research/Microarrays.html). We chose to design 20-mer long probes with a melting temperature range of 65-6°C and a weighted mismatch of less than 1.5. This array has been used to observe changes in microbial diversity in soils as a function of DNA extraction procedures [25] and has been compared to known microorganisms clone libraries [9].

The rrs genes were amplified by PCR from total DNA extracted from each sample, using primers pA (5′ AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 3′) and pH-T7 (5′ AAGAGGTTGATC-CAGCCGGA 3′) [26] and the Illustra Hot Start Mix RTG PCR kit (GE Healthcare). The 25-μL volume PCR reaction mix contained 0.6 μm of each primer, 2 μL DNA or 2 μL sterile water for the negative controls. The PCR conditions were 3 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 45 s of denaturation at 94°C, 45 s of annealing at 55°C, and 90 s of elongation at 72°C. After a final 5-min extension at 72°C, PCR products were separated by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, purified using the NucleoSpin® Extract II kit (Clontech) and transcribed. In situ transcription was carried out at 37°C for 4 hours in 20 μL that contained 8 μL of the purified PCR product (50 ng μL⁻¹) and 12 μL of the following mix: T7 RNA buffer (5X), DDT (100 mM), the four NTPs (10 mM each), RNasin (40 U μL⁻¹), T7 RNA polymerase (1 μL) and Cy3-UTP (5 mM). During transcription, the fluorescent Cy3-UTP, which emits light at 532 nm, is incorporated during the PCR to label the RNA. RNA was purified using the Qiagen RNeasy mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions and quantified with a spectrophotometer. Samples were then subjected to chemical fragmentation by addition of 5.7 μL of a Tris Cl (1 mM) and ZnSO₄ (100 mM). Samples were incubated for 30 minutes at 60°C. Fragmentation was stopped by placing the tubes on ice. EDTA (500 mM) was added to each tube (1.2 μL) followed by 1 μL RNAsin (40 U μL⁻¹) after a minute of incubation at 25°C. The RNA solution was then diluted to 5 ng μL⁻¹ and a hybridization mix was prepared (v/v ratio) in 50 μL with 2X
Microarray Scanning and Data Processing

An Innoscan 700 scanner (Carbonne, France) was used for scanning microarray slides according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Raw hybridization fluorescence signals for each spot were determined based on the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which was calculated following log-transformation of data, using the following formula: SNR = (signal intensity – background)/standard deviation of background. Since at least three replicates are present for all oligonucleotide probes, outliers were eliminated when any individual spot was greater than two standard deviations from the average of all replicates. ANOVA was used to evaluate positive probes from the results for all microarray data from one experiment. Since probes have different phylogenetic depth, genera described here were those for which all relevant probes were positive. While all of the probes could not be independently verified, many of them were validated by the application of DNA from pure cultures [27].

q-PCR Screening for merA Genes

The primers were designed based on the alignments of known merA gene sequences. A total of 105 merA genes were retrieved from the NCBI reference sequence database [28] (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast) and aligned in Clustal W [29]. Five non-degenerate primers were designed to cover most of the known merA diversity, using Primer Select (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI). Positive controls were constructed for primer sets by a gene shuffling technique outlined in [30]. Briefly, an initial PCR cycle was carried out with two long oligonucleotide primers but without the addition of any other DNA template. Hybridization of the primer complementary regions led the polymerase to synthesize double strands of DNA complementary to the whole targeted DNA region. The second step involved the amplification of the synthetic sequence using the first reaction products as DNA template in a conventional PCR reaction. The initial cycle was carried out in the presence of 50 ng of each of the two long oligonucleotide primers, 1X Titanium Taq PCR Buffer, 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates, 1 μL Titanium Taq DNA polymerase (Clontech-Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) and 1 μL of T4 gene 32 protein (Roche Diagnostics S.A.S., Meylan, France). The PCR amplification was performed as a single-tube reaction in a Thermal Cycler (Biometra T1 Labgene scientific instrument, Archamps, France) as follows: 6 min at 96°C, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min; 53°C for 30 s; and 68°C for 40 s, with a final extension at 68°C for 6 min. The second step was performed using the same reaction mix as for the initial cycle, except that 50 ng of the first reaction product as DNA template and 0.5 μM of forward and reverse primers were used [30]. Of the 5 primer sets tested, only one was able to amplify merA from our Arctic samples. The primer sets for merA amplification and gene shuffling are listed in Table 1.

q-PCR analyses were carried out in triplicate in 20 μL reaction volumes containing 10 μL of Quantace SensiMix Plus SYBR® (Quantace), 0.5 μL of each primer (10 μM) and 2 μL of DNA (concentrations normalized to 3 ng μL⁻¹), on a Rotor-Gene 3800 (Corbett Research, Sydney, Australia). The amplification protocol consisted of an initial denaturation phase (95°C for 10 min), followed by 45 cycles of denaturation (95°C for 15 s), annealing (57°C for 20 s) and elongation (72°C for 20 s). The integrity of q-PCR products was confirmed by melting curve analyses, from 50°C to 99°C. Standard curves were calculated based on gene copies per μL using 10-fold increments and were adjusted from 10⁶ to 10⁸ gene copies μL⁻¹. Amplicons were sequenced in order to verify the specificity of the primers.

Statistical Analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out on chemical data following log-transformation and correspondence analysis (COA) was used to analyze microbial data, since probe intensities were not normally or log-normally distributed. Results are plotted in two dimensional space based on the scores of the first two principal components. Co-inertia analysis (CIA) [31] was used to study the relationships between chemistry and microbial community structure. While different methods exist for studying complex data sets, CIA was specifically developed to study species-environment relationships and can be used to study spatial and temporal variations simultaneously [32]. The snowpack is not a completely closed system, as it experiences changes in structure and physics. Therefore, time-series analysis, based on the assumption that successive values in the dataset represent consecutive measurements taken at equally spaced time intervals, is not appropriate for describing interactions within the snow.

The objective of CIA is to create a factorial plane deforming as little as possible the structure of each dataset and enabling a simultaneous ordination of the data. Coupling the correlation of snow/meltwater chemical characteristics and community structure data matrices produced the co-inertia axes by projecting variables and sampling plots in a new factorial map. CIA is described in detail elsewhere [31,33]. A randomization test of 10,000 permutations was carried out to verify the significance of the co-structure (Monte Carlo test). PCA, Monte Carlo and co-inertia tests were performed in R (The R Project for Statistical Computing http://www.r-project.org) using the ade4 software package [34]. Following CIA, samples were grouped using K-means, a method of cluster analysis that aims to partition observations into clusters such that the sum of squares from points to the assigned cluster centers is minimized [35]. A randomization test of 10,000 permutations was performed. Prior to clustering, samples were centered and data was normalized as a function of the contribution of each axis in explaining overall variance of the CIA. K-means is an unsupervised clustering method implying that the number of groups (the K number) has to be set. To choose a relevant K, we relied on the “gap” statistics (defined as the difference of the sum of the pairwise distances in each group and its expectation) [36]. The “gap” statistic was computed with a K value picked over a range from 1 to 12 following 1000 iterations (using the lga R package [Justin Harrington, 2012, lga: Tools for linear grouping analysis (LGA); R package version 1.1–1 http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lga] implementation) reached a maximum for K = 8. Linear regression analysis between chemical species and gene copy numbers was carried out using JMP 9.0 software (SAS Institute, 2003). Metabolic potential and phyla/class distributions were carried out in MEGAN version 4.69 [37].

Results

This study acquired a large pool of physical chemical and microbiological data associated with each sample and there were several samples from different snow types at different times. Sample description and some of the raw chemical analyses (a full description is provided in [7]) and the taxonomic data are provided in the supplementary information section (see Tables S1–
S3 in File S1). This data was organized by the application of a co-inertia analysis.

**Co-inertia Analysis between Snow/Meltwater Chemistry and Microbial Community Structure**

A co-inertia analysis (CIA) was carried out on snow/meltwater chemistry and microbial community structure (Figure 1). The permutation test revealed a significant relationship between the snow/meltwater chemistry and the microbial community structure ($p = 0.0058$, $RV = 0.324$). The RV-coefficient represents the correlation between both datasets and varies between 0 and 1; the closer the coefficient is to 1, the stronger the correlation between the datasets. The first four eigenvalues of the co-inertia analysis accounted for 51.4, 15.8, 9.7 and 9.1% of the explained variance, respectively, and all were used to estimate cluster inference, although only the first two are presented in the figures. The chemical and microbial datasets explained 53.5 and 46.5% of the total variance, respectively.

In the graphical representation presented in Figure 1A, we grouped the 39 samples based on the K-means analysis (10,000 permutations) which reflects their ordination in both the chemical and microbial datasets. Variables are represented on correlation circles and correlations between the original data sets and the score or latent variable vectors are computed so that highly correlated variables cluster together in the resulting figures. Therefore, interactions between two types of variables can be identified in addition to identifying the relationship between variable clusters and associated sample clusters. The chemical parameters that had the most influence on the co-structure as observed by the lengths of the vector arrows in Figure 1B formed four major axes: 1) BioHg (and total Hg); 2) MeHg (and marine biogenic molecules glutarate and MSA); 3) pH and organic acids; and 4) ions. The bacterial genera (based on the phylogenetic microarray) with the most influence on the co-structure number around 50 (Table 2) and are presented in Figure 1C in order to visualize their relative importance (length and direction of vectors) in the different groups shown in figure 1A.

The “gap” statistic computed with a K value picked over a range from 1 to 12 following 1000 iterations reached a maximum for $K = 8$. Then, the K-means analysis (Figure 1A) was performed in order to infer the 8 most consistent groups of samples based on both their chemical and taxonomic characteristics (Table 2). For each group, we estimated the coverage of the potential diversity of the different phyla identified by the microarray (see Table S3 in File S1). The coverage was calculated as the percentage of the average number of positive probes per snow sample group (probes that fluoresced upon analysis) to the total number of probes targeting all bacterial phyla and classes. An overview of phyla/class distribution among samples is presented in Figure 2. Most positive probes were associated with *Bacteroidetes* and *Gammaproteobacteria*. Coverage was highest in the surface snow samples (Groups 3 and 6), which contained almost all of the genera detected in all of the other samples. Basal snow from the isothermal snowpack (Group 5) had the lowest coverage with no positive hybridizations for many of the most abundant probes at the genera level, yet, Group 5 had the highest percentage of probes associated with *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, *Actinobacteria* and *Verrucomicrobia* of all the groups (see Table S2d in File S1). Probes associated with *Cyanobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* were also relatively elevated in the other basal snow group (Group 1). This group also had the highest percentage of *Delta/Epsilonproteobacteria*. The oligonucleotide probes (those with positive hybridization of nucleotides representing different taxonomic levels on the microarray) that had the most effect on sample ordination as determined by the CIA were identified (Table 2). Probes targeting certain genera such as *Brevundimonas*, *Sulfitobacter*, *Rhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium* (*Alphaproteobacteria*), and *Pseudomonas* (*Gammaproteobacteria*) were the most abundant and detected in almost all samples (see Tables S2a–e in File S1). An example of differences between samples is provided for the basal snow (Group 1) and the wet surface snow samples (Group 2) relative to the distribution of cyanobacterial taxa (Figure 3).

Metabolic information (aerobic/anaerobic/facultative/un-known) was assigned to all positive probes in MEGAN and compared among groups (Figure 4). Basal snow samples (Group 1) had the highest percentage of probes associated with bacteria known for their anaerobic metabolism and the second highest for those associated with facultative anaerobic metabolism. All surface samples (Groups 2, 4 and 7) had the highest amount of probes associated with aerobic metabolism. All the reads in the basal snow from the isothermal snowpack (Group 5) were associated with bacteria capable of facultative anaerobic metabolism.

**Presence of merA Genes**

Based on the observation that mercury has an influence on community structure, we performed q-PCR analysis on all samples in order to determine whether *merA* genes were present. We could only detect *merA* genes in samples taken after springtime atmospheric mercury depletion events (AMDEs) and mainly in surface snow samples. The first AMDEs occurred in March (before sampling commenced) and the others were recorded between the 17th and 25th of April [38]. A significant linear correlation was detected between gene copy number and bioavailable mercury (BioHg) concentrations in surface snow samples with distinctive patterns as a function of sample group (Figure 5). The warm wet surface snow exhibited a steeper increase in *merA* genes with BioHg than did the surface snow during drier seasons and colder temperatures.

---

**Table 1. Short and long primers used for gene shuffling. The positive control used for q-PCR is also listed.**

| Primer type     | 5' to 3'                                      |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Short primers   | MerAF                                         |
|                 | GAAGCGGGTGAACTGATCC                            |
|                 | MerAR                                         |
|                 | TGTCAGGTAGGGGGACACAC                          |
| Long primers    | F                                             |
|                 | GAAGCGGGTGAACTGATCCACACGCGGGCTCTGGCATTGCCAAGCGCATGACGGTGCAGGAACTGGCCGAC |
|                 | R                                             |
|                 | ATCGTGAGGTAGGGGGACACGACTGGTCGCGCCAGTCTCGGACCTGTCGCGCCAGTCTGCGGTTGGCAGATGGCCAGAGCCGCG |
| Positive control | MerA                                         |
|                 | GAAGCGGGTGAACTGATCCACGCGGGCTCTGGCATTGCCAAGCGCATGACGGTGCAGGAACTGGCCGAC |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079972.t001

Arctic Snowpack Microbial Population Dynamics
Figure 1. Co-inertia analysis of the chemical and microbial data. (1A) K-means clustering output. Each of the eight groups is numbered inside square boxes and the 39 samples are indicated and linked to the boxes. The ellipses represent group clusters based on K-means clustering. (1B) Main chemical vectors that affect sample ordination. The lengths of the vector arrows represent the influence of the given parameter on the co-structure of the CIA. Anions and cations are represented by their chemical symbols and organic acids are given as: Prop (propionate), Ox (oxalic acid), Ace.Glyc (acetate-glycolate), MSA (methylsulfonic acid) and Glut (glutaric acid). (1C) Probes showing the greatest influence on the ordination. The lengths of the vector arrows represent the influence of the given parameter on the co-structure of the CIA.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079972.g001

Discussion

Microbial Community Structure and Metabolic Potential

Based on the 16S rRNA gene microarray results, we were able to describe the snowpack microbial community and its dynamics. We focused on changes in total community structure and metabolic potential. Probes detected Gram-negative aerobes that have been detected in diverse cryosphere environments [11,39–41]. Related phylotypes have also been reported in geographically-diverse cold environments [42], suggesting that adaptation for survival, persistence and activity at low temperatures might be common traits of these species with potentially similar underlying adaptive strategies [11]. The bacterial genera most frequently reported in cryosphere environments are Proteobacteria (Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria), Bacteroidetes, low and high G+C Gram-positive genera, and Cyanobacteria [11,39–41,43]. Although mostly aerobic bacterial genera were detected in the snow (Figure 4), the presence of anaerobes may be explained by anaerobic micro niches within the snow. Anaerobic activity was not tested directly. The highest proportion of reads associated with anaerobic metabolism in the snowpack was observed in basal samples (Group 1). The basal samples were chemically enriched by early season surface snow due to meltwater percolation to the base of the snowpack, which may have result in a reduction in the oxygen content. (Melt started around the 20th of May, see [7] for more details).

Colonization—where are they from?

One important pathway for colonization of Arctic snowpacks may be precipitation. Biological particles, such as proteins or proteinaceous compounds, play a significant role in the initiation of ice formation, especially when cloud temperatures are warm [44]. Fresh snow samples (Groups 3 and 6) had the highest microbial richness suggesting a higher diversity compared to aged snow. Many of the positive probes that were predominant in fresh snow samples or samples collected during deposition events targeted genera that are known to be plant pathogens, such as Agrobacterium [45]. Some plant pathogens are known for their ice nucleating activity, which may explain their increased detection in freshly fallen snow [46]. We also found ice nucleation genes and proteins in Agrobacterium in genome datasets. However, these genera were no longer detected after deposition, which may suggest environmental, post-depositional selection, a phenomenon also reported by Hell et al. [20]. However, precipitation is not the only possible colonization pathway as others, including aerosols from the fjord, dust particles from the surrounding mountains, human and animal sources, and soil might also provide microbial inputs. However, while the chemistry of the snowpack contained a marine signature, the microbial community generally did not (except for Groups 5 and 6).

Linking Chemistry and Microbial Community Structure: Post-depositional Selection?

The dynamic nature of the snowpack in terms of both chemistry and community structure is revealed by the clusters that evolved along a seasonal gradient and the surface and basal samples that evolved differently over time. This analysis demonstrated a significant co-structure based on a Monte Carlo test with 10,000 permutations (p = 0.0058) linking the snow pack chemistry and microbial community structure. Each snow sample group had its own taxonomic signature that was linked to changes in environmental conditions, such as specific chemical parameters. However, the direction of the cause-effect is not readily apparent.

Table 2. Groups of samples and their characteristics as determined by co-inertia analysis.

| Group | Sample type | Chemical drivers* | Most influential probes |
|-------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | Basal snow  | High nitrate, high ion, salinity 0.7% | Actinobaculum, Micrococcus, Cyanothoe, Halothece, Halobacillus, Neisseria, Glucosabacter |
| 2     | Warm, wet surface snow | High MeHg, Glut, MSA, low ions salinity 0.05% | Oscillatoria, Planktothrix, Pelodictyon Phormidium, Microcoleus, Microcystis, Synechococcus, Synechocystis, Syctonyma |
| 3     | Fresh surface snow, some basal samples | High Hg and BioHg, low pH, salinity 0.3% | Agrobacterium, Sorangium, Sulphobacillus, Thiobacillus, Thiococcus |
| 4     | Mainly surface snow | Low Ion (salinity 0.06%) | Desulfosporosinus, Hydrogenimomas, Mucicuda |
| 5     | Basal sample, isothermal snowpack | Low Ion (salinity 23%) | Achromatium, Acidimicrobium, Aeromonas, Agarivorans, Roseovarius |
| 6     | Fresh surface snow (deposition event), basal snow samples | High Ion (salinity 8%) | Psychromonas, Alteromonas, Azacarch, Exiguobacterium, Leptotrichia, Marinobacter, Pelobacter, Roseifluxus, Ruminococcus |
| 7     | Late season, dry surface snow | Low Ion (salinity 0.02%) | Flexibacter, Arenibacter, Lentisphaera, Hydrocoleum, Lyngbya, Virgibacillus, Oscillochloris, Rhodovibrio, Desulphobacteria |
| 8     | Meltwater samples | High organics, elevated pH, low Hg | Flavobacter, Filifactor, Cycloclastics, Opitutus, Xanthobacillus, Alkalibacterium |

*MeHg, Glut, MSA, Hg, BioHg represent methylmercury, glutarate, methylsulfonic acid, mercury and bioavailable mercury, respectively.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079972.t002
Marine Salts, Organic Acids and pH

The co-inertia analysis determined the major chemical and microbial vectors that influenced the possible interactions. Marine salts (inorganic ion axis) drove the ordination of samples and the relative abundance of probes targeting specific phylotypes increased as a function of ion concentration (Figure 1A–C). Two clusters were characterized by significantly higher salt concentrations, with salinity values of brackish water for basal snow (Group 5 at 23 % salinity) and fresh surface snow during a deposition event (Group 6 at 8 % salinity). The ordination of both groups was influenced by probes that target genera whose members are cold-adapted and commonly observed in sea ice such as Marinobacter, Psychrobacter [47], Roseovarius [48] and Achromatium [49] (Table 2), although the community structure and metabolic potential in both groups differed. The community structure of highly saline basal snow (Group 5) at the class/phylum level closely resembled that of sea ice, with Gamma-Proteobacteria dominating, while that of fresh surface snow during a depositional event (Group 6) was similar to the other snow samples (Figure 2).

Organic acids and pH represented another important vector and were linked to meltwater samples (found in Group 8). Short-chained acid (with one or two carbons) concentrations increased as the season progressed and the snowpack began to melt. pH has been reported as one of the main factors determining community structure in soils [50], but, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of pH as a potential driver of community structure in snow samples. The probes that drove the ordination of this group target psychrotolerant, halotolerant and obligately alkaliphilic genera such as Alkalibacterium [51] and Opitutus [52].

Nitrogen and Sulfur Cycling in the Snowpack

As temperatures increased, the snowpack became both warmer and wetter. In the warm, wet snow from both the surface and the base of the snowpack (Groups 1 and 2), many of the probes that had the most impact on sample ordination targeted genera belonging to the Cyanobacteria phylum (Table 2). In addition, both groups had among the highest percentage of positive probe hybridizations associated with Cyanobacteria (Figure 2), with the exception of Group 5. However, we observed differences in Cyanobacteria taxa between these two groups with a predominance of non-heterocystous Oscillatoriales in surface samples (Group 2; Figure 3) and heterocystous Nostocales in basal samples (Group 1; Figure 3). Probes targeting halophilic genera that have been reported to metabolize nitrogen, such as Anabaena [53], Cyanothece and Halothece, were predominant in the basal snow group (Group 1), whose ordination was driven by NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations (Figure 2). Nitrogen availability is an important factor of ecosystem productivity [54] and biological nitrogen fixation is a recognized function carried out by Cyanobacteria in diverse environments including terrestrial and aquatic Antarctic and Arctic habitats [55,56]. Based on nitrogen isotope fractionation data, microorganisms were might not only be involved in nitrogen cycling in Arctic snowpacks during winter, but also might be the main drivers of this process [57].

The surface snow samples (Group 2) were relatively low in NH$_4$, NO$_3$, chloride and other inorganic ions and their average salinity (0.05%) was similar to values observed for freshwater (<0.5%). The probes with the most influence on sample ordination and specific to this group targeted sulfur-metabolizing photoauto-
trophs, such as Microcystis [58] and Synechococcus [59]. All living organisms require sulfur, which can be assimilated for the synthesis of proteins and essential cofactors from either inorganic sources like sulfate and thiosulfate or organic sources like sulfate esters, sulfoxamates and sulfonates [60]. The ordination of Group 2 was driven by high levels of methylsulfonic acid (MSA), a derivative of dimethylsuloniopropionate (DMSP), which is a major source of sulfur for bacteria in the marine environment [61]. DMSP is an organic sulfur compound produced by algae as an osmoprotectant, predator deterrent and antioxidant [62] that is released upon cell senescence, viral attack or in response to stress [63]. Used by bacteria as a carbon and sulfur source, it could also serve as an osmo and cryoprotectant [64]. DMSP can undergo either direct uptake by bacteria via specific transporters [63] or degradation by extra-cellular enzymes. The high concentrations observed in our samples suggest a close source for DMSP [7] that might have been deposited after algal blooms occurred in the fjord near our sampling site or produced by organisms in the snowpack, since DMSP production has also been found to be predominant in some freshwater environments [65,66]. Although generally attributed to algal production, DMSP production in cyanobacteria, such as Microcoleus [67] and Synechocystis [68], has also been reported. Their detection in surface snow samples (Group 2) might support in-situ DMSP production.

The group of surface snow samples collected in June (Group 7) had the lowest salinity of all the groups and were collected after the snowmelt started. We observed a shift in community structure from Group 2 to Group 7, with less Cyanobacteria detected in June. This may be linked to snowpack water content and loss of certain taxa due to meltwater elution. The probes that had the most influence on the sample ordination of Group 7 targeted psychrophilic and halophilic organisms such as Arenibacter [69] and Lentisphaera [70], which might constitute a sea spray signal in the surface snow. Probes that targeted sulfur-metabolizing genera also had an impact on the sample ordination of Group 7, although they differed from those found in Group 2 samples as they targeted non-photoautotrophic genera such as Hirschia [71] and Marichromatium [72] in addition to aerobic bacteriochlorophyll-containing bacteria such as Erythrobacter [73].

Contaminant Cycling

Based on the CIA, MeHg and BioHg constituted important vectors in determining sample ordination. At the beginning of the sampling period, we recorded several atmospheric mercury depletion events (AMDEs) that resulted in a 30-fold increase of THg (the sum of all Hg species) and a 6-fold increase of BioHg concentrations in snow surface samples (maximum values were 150 ng L\(^{-1}\) THg and 20 ng L\(^{-1}\) BioHg) [7]. In a laboratory study with soil microcosms, an immediate decrease in microbial diversity after the addition of 25 µg Hg\(^{2+}\) g\(^{-1}\) to the soil was observed [74]. This decrease in diversity was due to a shift in community structure by the enrichment of Hg-resistant members, but was subsequently recovered. Hg at concentrations (8 mg of Hg L\(^{-1}\)) far above the natural ng L\(^{-1}\) range was also able to induce
changes in community functional and genetic structures based on protein-fingerprinting and automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis (ARISA) [75]. While Hg appears to influence community structure, this relationship is likely bidirectional. Based on a significant negative correlation between BioHg and MeHg, biotic methylation of Hg is probably occurring in the Arctic [7]. Therefore, microorganisms can potentially alter their chemical environment through the metabolism and transformation of elements or contaminants. In order to cope with the toxicity of Hg$^{2+}$ and MeHg, bacteria have developed specific resistance mechanisms. For example, bacteria possessing the mer operon are able to detoxify Hg$^{2+}$ via a MerA reductase that transforms mercuric ions into elemental volatile Hg (Hg(0)) [17]. Other bacteria are able to transform organic mercury compounds such as MeHg using a second enzyme, MerB, that cleaves the mercury-carbon bound. In addition, merC genes have been detected in diverse environments including soil [76], Siberian permafrost [77] and Arctic biofilms [15] as well as in specific bacteria [17] and archaea [78]. We only detected merA genes in samples collected after the AMDE period. This observation might be due to relative increase of mercury resistant bacteria in the snow microbial community during Arctic springtime mercury deposition events [79]. Based on our q-PCR results, merA gene copy number was positively correlated to BioHg concentrations in the snowpack and the response appears to be group specific. These group differences might be related to changes in community structure or to the rapid growth of Hg-resistant microorganisms. Competing processes leading to modifications in the Hg levels could potentially be

Figure 4. Metabolic potential of the snowpack. The proportion of each type of analyzed metabolism (aerobic, anaerobic, facultative and unknown) is given for each of the eight groups.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079972.g004

Figure 5. Linear correlation between merA gene copy number (copies.ngDNA$^{-1}$) and BioHg concentrations (log ng.L$^{-1}$). Crosses represent samples from Group 2, and circles samples from Groups 3 and 7.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079972.g005
performed by different members of the same community in the snowpack: some microorganisms might be methylating Hg to MeHg, while others might be reducing BioHg via merA.

Conclusions

We have shown that snowpack/meltwater chemistry and microbial community structure are linked and that the snowpack is a dynamic habitat that undergoes changes during the spring. In addition, mercury in both bioavailable and methylated forms influenced microbial community structure, even at low doses. Finally, linking a 16S rRNA gene microarray approach to chemical data provided insights on interactions in complex ecosystems between bacteria and environmental chemistry without the need for bacterial cultivation. While our results are from one field season and may not be extrapolated to other environments, they provide a basis for further studies on the interaction between chemistry and microbial community structure.
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