Development and Implementation of a Self-Optimizable Smart Lighting System Based on Learning Context in Classroom
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Abstract: Illumination is one of the most important environmental factors in the classroom. Researchers have discovered that lighting settings have significant impact on students’ performance. Although light-emitting diode (LED) lighting systems can precisely control brightness level and correlated color temperature (CCT), existing designs of LED lighting control systems for classrooms are focused on energy-saving but lack context-based illumination control ability. In this study, a smart lighting system with continuous evolution capability was developed. It can adjust brightness, CCT, and illuminance distribution dynamically according to specific learning context. This system allows not only manual control, but also automatic switching of scenes by integrating with school schedules. Based on existing knowledge about lighting preference, 10 lighting modes confined in the comfortable zone of Krugthof curve were proposed for various classroom scenarios. Moreover, a classroom environmental data-processing framework for collecting and analyzing learning context, illumination settings, environmental data, and students’ performance data was introduced. This framework can help researchers explore the correlation between student performance and environmental parameters.
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1. Introduction

The classroom environment is an integrated indoor environmental system that consists of physical layout, interior design, infrastructure, furniture, and equipment, as well as indoor environmental factors including light, temperature, humidity, air quality, and acoustics. Existing studies have revealed that environment quality of classrooms has a significant impact on students’ wellbeing and performance [1–7]. Among all environmental factors, it is believed that light plays one of the most important roles. A study showed that light contributed 21% to the increase in student progress and marked the highest portion as compared to six other environmental factors [8].

Unfortunately, there is no simple solution for classroom lighting. Lang [9] argued that lighting preferences are not fixed but vary depending on many factors, such as classroom size, teaching activity, and individual needs of teachers and students. As a result, it is strongly advised that classroom lighting systems should provide users with the flexibility of lighting control. This opinion has been supported by data from empirical experiments. Studies demonstrated that different illumination configurations, including brightness and correlated color temperature (CCT) [10], had different impacts on students’ behavior and cognition, and the impact differed depending on learning context and age group [11–18].
On the other hand, with the development of LED products and Internet of Thing (IoT) technology, it is no longer a difficult task to perform precise and automatic control of brightness and CCT. Therefore, in a recent literature review, Sun et al. [19] concluded that the research focus in this field has shifted to the learning-context phase, with research and engineering priorities to discover and support better lighting configurations for different classroom activities.

Due to the variety of classroom contexts, the diversity of demographic characteristics of students, and the complexity of students’ response to different illumination settings, a sophisticated lighting control system is needed for the optimization of lighting environment for different conditions. Such a system, including both hardware and software, should meet the following requirements:

1. Can control both brightness level and CCT;
2. Can control based on zones in the classroom;
3. Allows automatic control of lighting based on classroom learning context, in addition to manual control;
4. Optimizes classroom lighting environment for best student performance by applying knowledge from scientific research;
5. Can take feedback such as students’ performance data and continuously improve lighting configurations.

In the current study, we designed and implemented an innovative smart lighting system in order to meet these requirements. The work is introduced in the following sections. Section 2 covers a literature review of lighting control systems; Section 3 describes the design and technical details of the proposed system; Section 4 proposes 10 lighting modes for different kind of learning contexts based on findings from existing studies; Section 5 presents a data-processing framework to collect and analyze environmental data and student performance data; Section 6 describes a case study of the proposed system; Section 7 summarizes this study and discusses future work.

2. Related Work

There have been many studies in the literature about lighting control systems. A comparison of them in terms of main purposes and techniques is shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Comparison of studies on lighting control systems.

| Research          | Main Purposes | Techniques and Methods                   |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                   | Energy Saving | Manageability                           |
|                   |               | Better User Experience                  |
|                   |               | Students’ Performance Improvement       |
|                   |               | Occupancy Detection                     |
|                   |               | Ambient Light Sensing                   |
|                   |               | Control by Zones                        |
|                   |               | Scheduling                              |
|                   |               | Dimming (Adjustment of Luminous Level)   |
|                   |               | Adjustment of Color Temperature          |
|                   |               | Mobile Application                      |
|                   |               | Learning Context                         |
|                   |               | Awareness                               |

Martirano, L. [20]  
J. Byun et al. [21]  
May and Yaseen. [22]  
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Martirano, L. [24]  
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Li, M. et al. [27]  
M. Rossi et al. [28]  
Kamienski et al. [29]  
Suresh et al. [30]  
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As can be seen, the majority of studies on lighting control systems focused on energy saving [37], and they achieved considerable outcomes. As summarized in a review on lighting control technologies [38], the saving on energy ranged from 35% to 68% in classroom and office environment. Figure 1 depicts an abstracted lighting control system model for energy saving by referring to the control strategies suggested by Martirano [20]. Fundamentally, adopting high energy-efficiency LED and turning off or dimming the lights whenever possible are major methods to save energy. A study about the trend of lighting industry indicated that the benefits of promoting LED include not only energy saving and environment conservation, but also increasing automation [39]. Therefore, almost all pertinent studies used LED as the light source and applied on-off control. Most of them implemented brightness control at the same time, whereas a few of studies implemented CCT control. One motivation using CCT control to improve energy-efficiency might be the influence of different CCT on the occupant’s thermal perception. Some studies argued that occupants sensed warmer under lower CCT (warm light) environment [40,41], and this could lead to around 8% of the annual energy savings [41]. However, inconsistent reports can also be found, which rejected the hypothesis of correlation between CCT and thermal sensation [42]. Essentially, lighting control systems require relevant input data to decide when and how to control the lights. The input data employed by existing studies included different combinations of user configuration and sensor data, such as occupancy and ambient light, zone settings, schedule information, and user preference. Eventually, the core of the system is the algorithm that determines how to drive the lights according to the input data.
It is interesting to note that more and more researchers have focused on the improvement of control algorithms in recent years. Statistics, data modelling, and machine learning methods have been used in for energy saving purpose. For example, a neural network controller was designed and tested. It could control the lighting level of lamps in a classroom with regard to the ambient illuminance and the number of people [43]. In another study [44], in order to optimize the output of the lighting system by calculating daylight contribution, a data model based on statistics records was developed to determine the layout of lux sensors in large industrial buildings. Up to 80% energy saving on cloudy days was reported. Similarly, a statistical method was employed to optimize lighting control parameters, including sampling rate, converging speed, and error range of brightness, which achieved 55% or more energy savings [45]. In a later study [46], an advanced daylight harvesting model was proposed, which could map the daylight contribution from ceiling to workplaces. It was reported to have better energy efficiency comparing to a reference method.

Besides saving energy, some studies also considered to improve user experience [21,22,25]. Some researchers advocated the integrated lighting control with occupancy sensors, photocells, and central control module for users’ convenience and better experience [23]. A few researchers developed mobile applications for better user experience in terms of operability and mobility [18,30,31,36]. Evidence indicated that a well-designed mobile application can not only improve user experience, but also guarantee the compliance of illumination regulations and reduce energy consumption [36]. In other studies, both illuminance and CCT were considered for improving users’ visual comfort and wellbeing by adapting lighting environment to users’ activity [26,32].

It is noteworthy that more and more learning-context based lighting control systems have been recently proposed for classrooms [18]. These proposed systems followed existing psychological research findings by applying lower brightness and CCT for subjects like arts and language, and higher brightness and CCT for subjects like science and mathematics [31–33]. As summarized in Table 2, these systems provided illumination settings and control methods for a few basic learning scenarios. However, the lighting parameters and learning scenarios discussed in these studies were limited. First, the number of scenarios was not enough to cover the real-world educational activities. For example, elementary and secondary schools in China usually offer more than 10 subjects and activities; and the learning contents and teaching tools also keep changing with the development of economy and society. Second, the lighting configurations were either crude or static, without any dynamic and adaptive mechanisms. Third, the existing systems were only deployed in a few selected classrooms for experiments. Large-scale deployment for educational practice has not been reported.
Table 2. Learning scenarios and lighting parameters of reference studies.

| Study            | No. of Learning Scenarios | Subject                                | Illumination Settings |       |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
|                  |                           |                                        | CCT (K) | Brightness (Lux) |       |
| Moon et al. [31] | 5                         | Concentration/Mathematics              | 6500     | 600              |       |
|                  |                           | Language/Society                       | 4500     | 400              |       |
|                  |                           | Creativity/Arts                        | 3500     | 300              |       |
|                  |                           | Rest                                    | 3500     | 180              |       |
|                  |                           | Sleep                                   | 3000     | <10              |       |
| Lee et al. [32]  | 4                         | Mathematics and Science                | 5000–6000 | 600              |       |
|                  |                           | Language/Memorizing                    | 4000–5000 | 400              |       |
|                  |                           | Arts                                    | 3000–4000 | 300              |       |
|                  |                           | Rest                                    | N/A      | N/A              |       |
| Zhong et al. [33]| 6 ¹                       | Arts, Science, Recess, Rest, Self-study and Exam | 3000–5500 | >300             |       |

¹ocused on the functions and technical implementation but did not specify corresponding settings for each scenario.

In this study, we designed and implemented a learning-context-based smart lighting system, which provides more lighting scenarios and supports dynamic control of luminous level, CCT, and illuminance distribution. It has multiple objectives including students’ performance (most important), energy saving, manageability, and user experience. The system had been deployed in eight schools by the end of December 2019.

3. Learning-Context-Based Smart Lighting System

3.1. System Structure

As shown in Figure 2, the learning-context-based smart lighting system mainly consists of four parts at the near-end, including the IoT gateway, the LED lights with driver controls, the control panel, and the sensor groups, as well as a cloud platform at the far-end.

As the core device of the near-end system, IoT gateway, a.k.a. fog node, has a certain computational and storage capacity. It is the bridge between end-point devices and the cloud platform, responsible for message transfer and end-point devices management. One classroom is usually equipped with one IoT gateway.

In this design, each set of illumination unit includes an LED driver control and up-to-four LED fixtures. Each LED fixture supports dimming of brightness and CCT by means of PWM (Pulse Width Modulation). In order to save cost, one LED driver control is usually designated to one zone, where it requires isolated lighting control. For example, the classroom picture in Figure 2 delineates a typical configuration with four LED driver controls corresponding to four zones respectively, including one podium zone with two or three blackboard lights and three student zones (front, middle, and rear) with three classroom lights in each zone.

The control panel is a physical touch-panel with several function buttons. The control panel offers the user a convenient and quick way to operate the lighting system, including all lights on/off, zone on/off, and scene switching. Moreover, the button layout and function map of control panel can be customized according to users’ requirements. In this study, two types of control panel as shown in Figure 3 are designed to meet users’ preferences. The one with five touch-buttons is for zone-based switch. The other with six touch-buttons is for scene switching.
Figure 2. Structure of learning-context-based smart lighting system. ALS: Ambient light sensor; PIR: Passive infrared sensor.

Figure 3. Control panels: Zone switch and scene control.

A sensor group is a combination of ambient light sensor (ALS) and/or passive infrared (PIR) sensor distributed in one classroom zone. The ALS is used to measure luminous level of the zone, and the PIR detects movement in the zone. By integrating information from multiple sensor groups, the system can determine the illuminance distribution and occupancy in a classroom.

The cloud platform communicates with IoT gateways via Wi-Fi. The cloud platform can remotely manage and control LED drive controls and other near-end modules through the IoT gateway in a classroom. Other system level functions, such as system configuration, system management, system monitoring, data storage, and analysis, are also provided by the cloud platform.

It is notable that the near-end devices in a classroom are designed as an autonomous local star network on RF2.4G (Radio Frequency 2.4GHz). The IoT gateway is the central node of the star topology, which has a replica of the classroom’s configuration. This means that the IoT gateway can independently manage the lighting system even if the connection to the cloud platform is lost. Such design ensures the robustness of the system. The loss of internet connection will only affect
a few non-critical functions such as updating configuration, uploading sensor data, and internet remote control.

3.2. Hardware Design and Implementation

3.2.1. IoT Gateway

Figure 4 shows the block diagram and the PCB (printed circuit board) of the IoT gateway. The main components include a 32-bit MCU (Microcontroller Unit) with built-in Wi-Fi, a RF2.4G module, a 4-way relay, and an AC to DC power module. Details can be found in Table 3. Each way of the relay controls the lighting circuit of one zone. Additionally, the gateway offers four GPIO (general-purpose input/output) ports, which can be used to connect external physical switches. This design provides a contingency plan. User can use the physical switches to turn on/off the lights of each zone, even when the local RF2.4G network is down.

![Internet of Things (IoT) gateway block diagram and working board.]

Table 3. Main components of IoT gateway.

| Type   | Component Model | Picture | Description                      |
|--------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| MCU    | Particle P1     | ![Picture](#) | STM32 120 Mhz ARM Cortex M3       |
|        |                  |         | Broadcom BCM43362 Wi-Fi chip      |
|        |                  |         | 1 M flash, 128 K RAM              |
| RF2.4G | nRF24L01P       | ![Picture](#) | SPI                              |
|        |                  |         | Distance 20 m                     |
|        |                  |         | Power 20 dBm 100 mw               |
| Relay  | G3MB-202P        | ![Picture](#) | 4-way                            |
|        |                  |         | electric current 2A               |
| Power  | NJ02-AXXL        | ![Picture](#) | AC220 V to DC5 V                  |
|        |                  |         | 400 mA                           |

3.2.2. LED Driver Control

Figure 5 illustrates the block diagram and the PCB of the LED driver control, whose major parts, as listed in Table 4, include an 8-bit MCU, a RF2.4G module, and a set of power module. The LED driver control has four 2-way PWM ports. Each port can connect to a LED fixture’s power driver, and its 2-way PWM controls the cool white LED and the warm white LED, respectively, by controlling the duty ratio of the power output to adjust luminous level and CCT.
3.2.3. Control Panel

The block diagram and the PCB with its front panel of the control panel are demonstrated in Figure 6. The core component is an 8-key digital touch sensor, whose specification along with the main components are described in Table 5.
Table 5. Main components of control panel.

| Type                     | Component Model | Picture  | Description          |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|
| MCU                      | STM8L151K4       | ![Picture](image1) | 8 bit  
32 K flash  
2 K RAM  |
| RF2.4G                   | nRF24L01P        | ![Picture](image2) | SPI  
Distance 10 m  
Power 0 dBm 1 mw  |
| Power                    | HLK-PM01         | ![Picture](image3) | AC100 ~ 240 V to DC5 V/3 W  |
| LDO                      | AMS1117          | ![Picture](image4) | 5–3.3 V  
0 ~ 1 A  |
| Digital Touch Sensor     | TTP226           | ![Picture](image5) | 8 touch keys  
2 ~ 5.5 V  
−20 °C to +70 °C  |

3.2.4. Sensor Module

In this study, passive infrared (PIR) sensors are utilized to detect the occupancy of classroom zones. Considering the size of zone, the detection distance of the chosen PIR sensor is about 3 to 5 m. Figure 7 shows the block diagram and the PCB of the PIR module, which mainly consists of an 8-bit MCU, a RF2.4G module, a power module, and a PIR sensor.

![Figure 7. PIR sensor block diagram and working board.](image6)

The ambient light sensors (ALS) are employed to measure the luminous level in specific zones. Figure 8 displays the block diagram and the PCB of the ALS module, which mainly includes an 8-bit MCU, a RF2.4G module, a lithium battery, and a photo resistor. In this design, an ADC pin (analog to digital conversion) of the MCU is used for data sampling from the photo resistor. The module regularly works in low-power mode so that the battery life can reach about two years.
Table 6 describes the main components of PIR and ALS modules.

| Type            | Component Model | Picture | Description                                      |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| MCU             | STM8L151K4       |         | 8 bit 32 K flash 2 K RAM                          |
| RF2.4G          | nRF24L01P        |         | SPI Distance 10 m Power 0 dBm 1 mw                |
| Battery         | Lithium Battery |         | 3.7 V/650 mAH                                    |
| LDO             | TPS782           |         | 5 V–3.3 V 0 ~ 1 A                                 |
| Infrared Sensor | RE200B           |         | Angle 120, Distance 3 ~ 5 m 3 ~ 10 V, −30 °C to +70 °C |
| Photo Resistor  | 5516             |         | 10 Lux 5 ~ 10 kΩ Max Power 9 mW, 0 ~ 150 V −30 °C to +70 °C |

3.2.5. LED Fixture and Power Driver

Two types of LED fixtures are utilized in this system, including the blackboard lights and the classroom lights. The size of blackboard lights is 1158 × 89.5 × 115.8 mm, and the classroom lights have two sizes: 595 × 595 × 10 mm and 1195 × 295 × 10 mm, which are applicable to ceiling and suspension installation, respectively. The LED fixture is equipped with both 3000 K (warm white) and 6500 K (cool white) LED beads, and its power driver has a 2-way PWM input port. By connecting the PWM input port to one of the PWM output ports of a LED driver control, the system can precisely control luminous level and CCT of the fixture. The specifications of the LED fixtures and the power driver are listed in Table 7.
Table 7. LED lighting fixtures and power driver.

| Class                  | Size                        | Description                  | Picture |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Blackboard Light       | Size: 1158 × 89.5 × 115.8 mm| LM 2700 LM, LX 1200 Lux, CCT 3000 K ~ 6500 K |         |
| Classroom Light        | Size: 595 × 595 × 10 mm      | LM 3050 LM ~ 3550 LM, LX ≥ 500 Lux, CCT 3000 K ~ 6500 K |         |
| Classroom Light        | Size: 1195 × 295 × 10 mm     | LM 3050 LM ~ 3550 LM, LX ≥ 500 Lux, CCT 3000 K ~ 6500 K |         |
| Power Driver           | Size: 155 × 53 × 30 mm       | Input 110 ~ 240 VAC, Output 33 ~ 40 Vdc, 2-way PWM Input 950 mA × 2, Power 36 W, PF > 0.95s |         |

3.3. Software Design and Implementation

3.3.1. Embedded Software on IoT Gateway

As shown in Figure 9, the embedded software on IoT gateway is composed of three major modules: One core processor and two message processors. The local message processor is responsible for message exchange within the local RF2.4G network, while the cloud message processor is in charge of communication with the cloud platform. The core processor primarily contains a node management module, a business logic module, a configuration module, and a log module. The node management module oversees registration, presence management, and real-time monitoring of sub-nodes at the near end. As the pivotal component of the core processor, the business logic module controls the procedure of business logic, such as scene switching, rule matching, and automation. The configuration module manages the settings of the gateway and synchronizes local configuration with the cloud platform if needed. The log module records the gateway’s working log, which can be used for system alerts and troubleshooting.

Figure 9. Software high-level design diagram of IoT gateway.
3.3.2. Embedded Software on LED Driver Control

The embedded program running on STM8 MCU of the LED driver control basically includes registration module, message processor, and dimming module. As shown in Figure 10, to secure the communication, the LED driver control must register on the IoT gateway and get a token before any working message can go through. The message processor receives and parses control commands and reports the status of the LED fixture. The dimming module converts control commands into PWM control signals to manipulate luminous level and CCT of the LED fixture.

![Software flowchart of LED driver control.](image)

**Figure 10.** Software flowchart of LED driver control.

3.3.3. Embedded Software on Control Panel

The control panel runs STM8 embedded program, which contains registration module, message processor, and touch panel processor. Figure 11 demonstrates the software workflow. The registration module and the message processor function the same as the modules of LED Driver Control. The touch panel processor takes key press signals and maps them to light control messages. Then, the control message is sent to the IoT gateway by the message processor.

![Software flowchart of control panel.](image)

**Figure 11.** Software flowchart of control panel.

3.3.4. Embedded Software on Sensor Module

The STM8 embedded program running on sensor module is comprised of registration module, message processor, sensor data collection module, raw-data offsetting module, and sensor calibration module. Figure 12 shows the software workflow. The registration module and the message processor
work the same as those of LED driver control. The sensor data collection module gathers raw data from PIR or ALS sensor via digital or analog port of the MCU. ADC and TTL (transistor–transistor logic) are regular methods for raw-data sampling. Next, the raw data are processed by the raw-data offsetting module to compensate sensor drift. Then, the raw data are offset packed into RF2.4 messages and reported to the IoT gateway. To ensure the data consistency over time, the sensor calibration module reports the raw data to the cloud platform on specific occasion. For instance, the calibration of ALS is most likely at mid-night, although it may vary depending on location and outdoor environment. The algorithms on the cloud platform estimate the drift of sensors, determine the offset values, and feed back to the sensor calibration module. Details regarding these algorithms are not discussed in this article but will be discussed in a separate report.

![Software flowchart of sensor module.](image)

**Figure 12.** Software flowchart of sensor module.

### 3.3.5. Cloud Platform

The cloud platform was an enhanced version of Spark-server, which is an open-source project on GitHub ([https://github.com/particle-iot/spark-server](https://github.com/particle-iot/spark-server)). The major improvements performed by us focused on manageable and reliability, including system monitoring and alerting, gateway management, user and permission management, statistics, firmware version, and upgrade management.

### 3.3.6. User Interface

As demonstrated in Figure 13, the purposes of user interface (UI) of the suggested system can be roughly put into two categories: Control and monitoring. The control features of UI allow users to control each lighting fixture or each zone of lighting fixtures, switch lighting mode, as well as add user-defined mode and change the settings of existing lighting modes, including switch state, luminous level, and CCT. The monitoring features of UI give users intuitive views of real-time states and data for lighting fixtures and sensors. Additionally, all user interfaces of the system are built with HTML5 and suit both tablet and smartphone screen modes to meet the needs of different kinds of interaction scenarios.
3.4. Communication Interface

3.4.1. Local Communication Protocol

In this study, the IoT devices in a classroom form a gateway-centered local star network on RF2.4G. Taking the advantages of RF2.4G, such as low power, small size, low cost, and easy configuration, the transmission distance of local network is confined within 20 m, which is sufficient for the communication within each classroom and avoids interference between adjacent classrooms.

In order to support both single-point and group control, each LED driver control in the near-end system will be assigned a node-ID and a zone mask. The range of node-ID is between 0 and 250, and ID 0 is reserved for the IoT gateway. A zone is represented by one bit in a byte, so the maximum number of zones in a classroom is eight. The zone mask is the sum of zones that the device belongs to. For example, the zone mask ‘00000101’ means zone 1 and zone 3.

A message between the IoT gateway and other endpoints is a data package, whose maximum size is 32 bytes. The first 7 bytes are the message head, and the rest are the message body, which varies from 0 to 25 bytes.

As shown in Table 8, the message head contains gateway ID, sender node-ID, receive node-ID, zone mask, message type, and command ID. There are three types of messages: Control message, query message, and internal message (e.g., configurations). Although a variety of commands are available, the light control commands and the sensor reporting commands are the most common ones. Moreover, the light control commands can control on/off, brightness, CCT, and special effects (e.g., breathing light), while the sensor reporting message can include just one data field or all eight data fields. Table 9; Table 10 describe the message body structure of these commands.

| Gateway | Sender | Receiver | Version & Length | Message Type | Command | Zone Mask |
|---------|--------|----------|------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
| 8 bit   | 8 bit  | 8 bit    | 8 bit            | 8 bit        | 8 bit   | 8 bit     |

| On/Off | On/Off | Bright | On/Off | Bright | CCT | On/Off | Effects |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|--------|---------|
| 8 bits | 8 bits | 8 bits | 8 bits | 8 bits | 16 bits | 8 bits | 8 bits |
3.4.2. Cloud Communication Protocol

The IoT gateway communicates with the cloud platform via Wi-Fi module, and the CoAP (Constrained Application Protocol) is employed for message transmission. The cloud messages are assembled into json format, and Table 11 gives some examples of them.

| Function       | Example                          | Description                                      |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Set Switch     | {'cmd':1, 'nd':1, 'state':1}      | Turn #1 light on                                 |
|                | {'cmd':1, 'nd':1, 'state':0}      | Turn #1 light off                                |
| Set Brightness | {'cmd':3, 'nd':1, 'value':60}     | Set #1 light to 60% brightness                    |
| Set CCT        | {'cmd':5, 'nd':1, 'value':3500}   | Set CCT of #1 light to 3500K                     |
| Set Light State| {'cmd':2, 'nd':1, 'ring':(0,1,60,3500)} | Turn on #1 light with 60% brightness and 3500 K CCT |

Furthermore, the service applications on the cloud platform speak to each other by means of message queue (Rabbit MQ), and https protocol is used for interaction between the UI and the backend services to achieve improved security.

3.5. Lighting Mode Control Flow

3.5.1. Manual Switching

As shown in Figure 14, users have several ways to invoke a lighting mode switching instruction, such as control panel, remote control, software (e.g., APP, HTML5 page, WeChat) and voice command. The instruction is transmitted to the IoT gateway, then passed to the corresponding LED power controls by the gateway. On the other hand, the gateway gathers status of subordinate nodes and reports to the cloud. The cloud platform reflects the change of status on the UI.

![Flowchart of manual switching of lighting mode.](image-url)
3.5.2. Class Schedule Linkage

Besides manual operation, the suggested system also supports lighting mode and class schedule association. After the linkage is set, the system can automatically change lighting modes according to the current class context. The control flow is depicted in Figure 15.

![Flowchart of lighting mode switching with class schedules.](image)

3.6. Security and Reliability

To secure the communication between the IoT gateway and the cloud platform, DTLS (datagram transport layer security) is applied to the CoAP protocol. A gateway must possess a valid public key to register onto the cloud. At the cloud side, the frontend pages use https to call the backend services safely. At the near end, the token-based authentication mechanism is adopted to reinforce the security between the IoT gateway and the end points.

Considering that the 2.4G frequency band is widely shared by a lot of wireless devices, which may lead to high failure rate of communication due to signal interference, channel jamming, and message collision, in this study, we paid special attention to the reliability of the local RF2.4G network. Three techniques are applied for improving reliability, including time-divided transmitting, message queueing, and automatic resending. When multiple messages need to be sent at once, for example, to change the CCT of all LED fixtures to 5000 K, the gateway may generate four control messages (one for each LED driver control), and these messages are put into a queue with a sending timer. The sending timer of a message is calculated based on the node-ID of the destination. Because of the uniqueness of the node-ID, the values of sending timers will be properly spaced. In addition, any unsuccessfully transmitted message will be re-sent up to three times before being discarded. Moreover, continuous failures of message transmission will be reported to the cloud and trigger alarms if necessary.

Finally, the IoT gateway has the ability to function independently without the supervision of the cloud. This means that the IoT gateway can independently manage the lighting system even if the connection to the cloud platform is down.

4. Learning-Context-Based Lighting Modes

4.1. Ten Proposed Lighting Modes

In this study, we proposed 10 lighting modes to match different kinds of educational activities in classroom. Table 12 describes the settings and the purpose of each mode.
### Table 12. Ten proposed lighting modes for common learning contexts.

| Learning Context     | Illumination Settings | Purposes                                                                 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | Teacher Zone          | Student Zone                                                             |
| * Standard           | 350 Lux, 5500 K       | 350 Lux, 5500 K                                                         | Regular settings can act as default configuration |
| * Science            | 800 Lux, 6500 K       | 500 Lux, 6500 K                                                         | Enhance alertness and arousal, better for science courses like physics, math, chemistry, etc. |
| * Arts               | 800 Lux, 5000 K       | 500 Lux, 4000 K                                                         | Bright and warm environment can inspire creativity, better for courses like music, painting, language, etc. |
| * Recess Time        | Off                   | 200 Lux, 3000 K                                                         | Easy and relaxing lights for better rest and recovery for next class |
| * Slideshow          | Off                   | 5000 K, Front/Middle/Rear: 100/200/300 Lux                              | Better screen vision for slideshow |
| * Self-study         | Off                   | 500 Lux, 5000 K                                                         | More focus on one’s own work |
| * Class Over         | Off                   | Off                                                                     | Energy saving |
| Exam                 | Off                   | 650 Lux, 6500 K                                                         | Altering and concentrating yields better results |
| Group Teaching       | 450 Lux, 4500K        | On team working, keep overhead lights of each team at 450 Lux and 4500 K; On presenting of specific team, dim up the lights of that team to 600 Lux and dim down those of other teams to 300 Lux. | Encourage interaction within team members, but suppress disturbance between teams |

Note: those with "*" mark are frequently used lighting modes, which can be activated on the scene control panel. The recess-time mode and the class-over mode share the ‘Recess’ button. The exact action will be determined by the occasion when the button is pressed. During the school time, the recess-time mode will be triggered. Otherwise, the class-over mode will be triggered.

The proposed lighting modes were designed by consulting the conclusions from existing scientific studies in a comprehensive way, and complying with related lighting standards and regulations, like EN 12464-1:2011 (European Standard) [47], ANSI/IESNA RP-3-2006 (US Standard) [48], and (Chinese Standard) [49]. For example, brighter light (1000 Lux) was believed to improve vigilance and self-control capacity [13], and students showed more focused attention in challenging tasks under higher illuminance (1000 Lux) with higher CCT (6500 K) [11]. Meanwhile, students performed better for highly sensitive cognitive tasks [16] and 3D objects rotation tasks [50] under neutral white light (CCT = 4000 K), while variable light could reduce students’ restlessness and improve their social behaviors [15]. Furthermore, shifting CCT among 3500 K, 5000 K, and 6500 K was suggested in accordance with easy, standard, and intensive learning activities, respectively [18].

The Kruithof curve [51] was employed to examine every proposed lighting mode. As shown in Figure 16, the combinations of illuminance and CCT of these lighting modes stay inside the pleasing zone.
4.2. Customization of Lighting Modes

Since the basic lighting modes are fixed, they may not fit every situation well in practice. Given so many factors, such as the differences of physical layout and daylighting of classrooms, the variety of curriculums, the demographic diversity of students and the user preferences, which may require special lighting settings, there is a strong need for customized lighting modes. A UI for customization is implemented. As demonstrated in Figure 17, the user can modify existing modes and add new modes.
Furthermore, allowing user-defined settings is an effective way to accumulate data and learn from users. By continuously comparing the feedbacks or outcomes of different lighting settings for similar learning contexts, researchers will have the opportunity to optimize lighting configurations. There is still a lack of studies about learning-context based luminous environment. Existing studies have shown inconsistent results. For instance, some empirical results [52,53] did not support the suggestion that higher CCT benefits students in terms of focused attention and performance [11,18]. In the experiment of [11], contradictory results were found between participants from grade four and grade six. More data are needed to examine the effects of classroom lighting on student performance. To support large-scale data collection in future research and to provide optimal lighting for students, a classroom environmental data-processing framework is introduced, as described in the following section.

5. Classroom Lighting Environment Data-Collection and Self-Optimization Framework

Existing findings about lighting configuration and student performance were mostly obtained from controlled laboratory experiments. Such a method is limited due to small sample sizes, short test duration, and limited variables considered in each study. These restrictions were believed to be the major causes that led to inconsistency among existing results from previous studies [11,16,31]. Although the uses of IoT technology provide the context for new data sources, a real challenge is the integration of multiple systems and datasets [54]. A new approach to solve this problem is to utilize Big Data methodology and technology. By taking the advantage of Big Data and machine learning, in the current study, we proposed a classroom environmental data processing framework with the following features.

- Using student test results and exam grades to evaluate the impact of lighting configuration on students’ performance;
- Automatic data processing and parameter optimization;
- Allowing interaction and intervention of users;
- Generalizability and extensibility.

Figure 18 illustrates the mechanism and workflow of the proposed framework, which is comprised of two pivotal processes: The control flow and the self-optimization flow.

The control flow automatically reads class schedule and switches learning context accordingly, while the teacher can manually select learning context. Once learning context is changed, the system will load the corresponding lighting configuration from the lighting mode database, which initially contains the 10 proposed modes as introduced in Section 4.1. In this case, a user is allowed to customize lighting modes or apply ad-hoc settings on site. Any change of lighting system, whether automatic or manual, will be recorded for algorithm training.
The core part of the self-optimization flow is a set of learning agents of a reinforcement learning (RL) model. One agent corresponds to a specific learning context and its objective is to maximize the classroom’s average test score of the courses associated with that learning context. The agent takes the corresponding lighting configurations during a period (can be configured) as the current state of the environment. The agent uses the test scores of the corresponding courses during the same period to calculate the reward and determines how to optimize the lighting parameters for the learning context. Above is the basic idea of the RL model, whereas the detailed discussion of the algorithm is beyond the scope of this article and will be reported in a separate paper.

Theoretically, through long-term data accumulation and self-training, the lighting configuration can be gradually optimized. However, a few practical questions should be considered and dealt with.

- The demographic diversity of students should be considered. The system should support the input of demographic data, such as age and gender. Different learning agents of RL can be made for different demographic groups.
- The effects of other environmental factors need to be considered. The system should be able to collect other environmental data such as temperature, humidity, and CO$_2$ density. Then, the scope of the RL can include more environmental variables in addition to lighting configuration in support of more comprehensive models.
- The changes of classrooms should be considered. Students usually change their classrooms in different grades. The system needs to record the changes and properly maintain the connection between students and their classroom assignment for the RL model.

Moreover, this framework can be extended by considering other dependent variables about student wellbeing such as myopia rate, sick leaves, and mental health. The data collected from this framework will support the analysis of the impact of classroom environmental factors on student wellbeing.

6. Case Study

The proposed smart lighting system has been deployed at several schools. One of them was deployed at an elementary school located in Hebei province, China in August 2017. In this project, the florescent tubes of 13 classrooms were replaced by the new LED smart lighting system. The pictures of before and after the replacement can be found in Figure 19.

Specifically, a classroom with smart lighting system has the following devices.

1. One IoT gateway,
2. Three ALSs to collect illuminance,
3. Four PIR sensors for occupancy detection on zone basis,
4. One integrated ambient environment sensor, which can sense a couple of environmental indices, including temperature, humidity, CO$_2$ density, formaldehyde density, PM2.5 density, and PM10 density.
5. Two dimmable (both luminous level and CCT) LED blackboard-fixtures with the power driver,
6. Nine (3 by 3) dimmable (both luminous level and CCT) LED classroom-fixtures with the power driver. One row of three fixtures forms a zone,
7. One zone switch panel for turning zone lights on/off,
8. One scene control panel for fast switching lighting mode.

As shown in Figure 20, the classroom space is divided into four zones: The podium zone, the front-row zone, the middle-row zone, and the rear-row zone. The lights can be controlled individually, together, or by zone. Each zone is equipped with one PIR sensor to control the zone lights during non-working hours for energy saving. The three ALSs are distributed at the front, middle, and rear, respectively, on the side wall facing the windows.

![Figure 20. Standard classroom layout.](image)

Upon the requirements of the school, six lighting modes were adopted, including the standard mode, the arts mode, the science mode, the slideshow mode, the self-study mode, and the recess time mode. Pictures in Figure 21 demonstrate the actual effect of these modes.

![Figure 21. The six lighting modes applied in this case.](image)
After the deployment, the user acceptance testing (UAT) was performed. The UAT results showed that the illuminance indicators of the lighting system, listed in Table 13, had reached or exceeded the national standards. Since then, data were continuously collected and sent to the cloud platform automatically for storage. Each classroom contributes about three mega-bytes of data per school day. The data include indoor environment indices, user operation log, as well as local outdoor environmental data, which were imported from open weather forecast data source.

| Indicator                                      | National Standard | UAT Results |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Illuminance Level (desktop)                    | ≥300 Lux          | 435 Lux     |
| Illuminance Uniformity (desktop)               | ≥0.7              | 0.81        |
| Illuminance Level (blackboard)                 | ≥500 Lux          | 589 Lux     |
| Illuminance Uniformity (blackboard)            | ≥0.8              | 0.85        |
| Unified Glare Rating (UGR)                     | <19               | 15.6        |
| Color-rending Index (CRI)                      | ≥80               | 95          |

1 GB 7793-2010. Hygienic Standard for Day Lighting and Artificial Lighting for Middle and Elementary School [49]; 2 Tested under the standard mode.

In addition, the preliminary data of this deployment showed some interesting results. In general, teachers and parents who visited the classrooms were pleased with the smart lighting system. They also showed an interest in using such systems for offices and homes. User operation log indicated that older teachers preferred to use the wall panel to control the light and rarely used the mobile application or voice command. In contrast, the mobile application and voice commands were frequently used by younger teachers. Additionally, the smart features also induced students’ enthusiasm for new technology. As a result, the principal asked us about the possibility of developing some Do-It-Yourself workshops.

The accumulating data and positive feedbacks inspired us to do further investigation and analysis. A half-controlled field experiment involving 12 classrooms of grade one and grade two was launched in early September 2019 and will finish by June 2020. The 12 classrooms were equally divided into three groups. Group I included four classrooms without the smart lighting system. Group II and Group III were all equipped with the smart lighting system, but only Group III enabled the learning-context lighting modes, while Group II kept using only the standard mode with only manual on-off control. Students’ test results and exam grades were collected during the experiment. As this paper intends to introduce the smart lighting system from the technological perspective, the introduction of the experiment and detailed results, including the impact of the smart LED replacement, as well as the outcome of the application of learning-context-based lighting modes will be reported and discussed in another paper.

7. Conclusions and Future Study

By applying IoT and sensor technology, in this study, we designed and implemented a smart lighting system, which can dynamically control lighting environment in classrooms in accordance with the learning context. Unlike other research-oriented systems introduced in Section 2, the smart lighting system introduced in the current study not only supports research projects, but also satisfies large-scale deployment and day-to-day use. This is an advantage over existing commercial systems on the market, most of which are not smart or open enough. Existing proprietary solutions usually do not support customized development, nor give access to their database, which makes it difficult to integrate with other systems. Additionally, 10 lighting modes differentiated in luminous level, CCT, and light distribution were proposed to match the need of different learning contexts. Furthermore, a classroom environmental data-processing framework was introduced. The framework with RL algorithm model can perform self-optimization and explore better indoor environment settings by learning from environmental data and student performance data.
From the technological point of view, the system still has plenty of room for improvement. Some on-going tasks include integrating more kinds of devices, such as air conditioning, curtains, and fans into the system, supporting the self-calibration of sensors and the collaborative algorithm of multi-sensor, as well as improving the self-optimization framework and the RL model.

As a multi-objective design, the proposed smart lighting system primarily aims to improve students’ performance, with the consideration of energy saving, manageability, and user experience. Existing cases of implementing this system have demonstrated its feasibility. Teachers and school administrators can monitor classroom environment and set automated lighting schemes in a new way. The data collected from this system will help researchers study the impact of classroom environmental factors on student performance and wellbeing.

With the increasing deployment and continuous use of classroom smart lighting systems, more data will be accumulated to support researchers using Big Data tools to study the impact of classroom environment on students. This method has high validity and is better than traditional controlled laboratory experiments because it can collect a large amount of data from real classroom settings over a long period of time. The data will enable a wide range of future studies, for example human factors studies that analyze users’ preferences when multiple control methods are provided, vision health studies that investigate the effect of classroom lighting conditions on student myopia occurrence, and public health studies that explore the relationship between classroom environmental factors and student mental health and wellbeing, as well as the interactions between environmental variables. The results from such studies will help examine current classroom lighting guidelines and standards and suggest improvements for the benefits of students. The smart lighting system introduced in this study provides a technical foundation for future studies.
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