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Abstract
The research aims at assessing the sensitivity of the Urban Weather Generator v4.1 to the application of different mitigation strategies for the urban heat island under two climatic contexts: desert climate (Mendoza city) and tropical climate (Campinas city). Twenty-four scenarios that modify their morphologic and material parameters were simulated. The results showed that the temperature of the air predicted by the UWG model is not significantly sensitive to the changes produced by the application of different strategies in urban contexts of equal H/W aspect; however, it does show sensitivity to the variation of the H/W aspect (ΔTa ≤ 1.3°C) and the climate context. The highest performance of the UWG model was recorded on the surface temperatures of the urban envelope, with a maximum difference in surface temperature was recorded on high aspect ratio with high albedo in arid climate, (Ts of roof = 28°C).
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Introduction
The phenomenon of the Urban Heat Island (UHI) has energy, environmental and social consequences, deteriorating the quality of life of the citizens. Understanding its magnitude and its characteristics is a prerequisite for urban planning aimed at mitigating and adapting to climate change. Studies related to urban climate report that the increase in urban temperature is directly attributed to anthropic actions and that temperature rise aggravates energy consumption for refrigeration, it increases peak electricity demand, intensifies pollution problems, causes human discomfort and health problems such as heat-related illnesses and premature deaths in cities (Doyon et al., 2008; Mirzaei & Haghighat, 2010). Strategies to mitigate urban warming are based on two basic principles: to increase the vegetation cover of urban spaces (Bowler et al., 2010; Chang & Li, 2014; Perini & Magliocco, 2014) and to encourage the best thermal and optical performance of urban surface materials.

In terms of mitigation strategies for urban warming, several methodologies have been applied to predict and mitigate the effects of this phenomenon. Recently, the use of computing technology has been intensified due to the limitations of observational methods. Therefore, before implementing the results in the process of urban planning, it is necessary to evaluate the calibration of climate models and their predictive capacity, and the to what extent to which simulation models are capable of representing real situations, before implementing the results in the process of urban planning (Mao et al., 2018).
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In addition to observational approaches, mathematical models have been developed to solve urban climate problems, including UHI. Among these models, the Energy Balance Model (EBM) and the Computational Fluid Dynamics -CFD models- were the most reliable and presented satisfactory results. There are 4 types of models for urban climate analysis: mesoscale weather models, microclimate models, energy building models, and human thermal models (Ooka, 2007; Sola & Corcheró et al., 2018).

ENVI-met (Bruse & Fleer, 1998) is the most relevant microclimatic scale computer scale model, based on the CFD models which includes parameters of short wave and long wave radiation, transpiration, evaporation and sensible heat flux from vegetation, water, and soil. In a new version, ENVI-met 4.0 has implemented a 3D vegetation model that allows the description of various plant shapes and special distribution of trees, resulting in a greater adjustment in the percentage of sky view factor (SVF) in the urban canyon. It also introduces equations that consider the thermal inertness of the wall and roof (Acero et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013). In recent studies, we have demonstrated ENVI-met’s prediction ability to reproduce urban temperatures with different scenarios by applying UHI mitigation strategies in Latin American cities (Alchapar et al., 2017). This work demonstrates that the scenarios that reach lower air temperatures have the highest percentage of urban vegetation and high levels of albedo in the road and roof surfaces.

As an alternative to mesoscale computing models, Bueno et al. (2013b) proposed the Urban Weather Generator (UWG) designed to estimate air temperature in the Urban Canopy Layer (UCL) using weather information collected by an operational weather station. The UWG model consists of four calculation components: Rural Station Model, Vertical Diffusion Model, Urban Boundary-layer (UBL) model and Urban Canopy and Building Energy Model (Bueno et al., 2015). The UWG model is based on the Town Energy Balance (TEB) scheme, and on the Building Energy Model, taking into account the reciprocal interactions between urban area characteristics and rural weather data (Masson, 2000). The UWG can also estimate the energy relationship between buildings and the urban climate because the energetic model derives from EnergyPlus algorithms. In the new version of UWG model the solar radiation and the calculation of the infrared radiation have been upgraded. It is also possible to add a user-defined routine for traffic-generated heat flow using the EPW file on soil temperature to obtain the boundary condition values to get the layer temperature profile (Mao et al., 2017).

Recent research has used the UWG in data from Basel (Switzerland), Toulouse (France) and Singapore, showing an average error of about 1K (Bueno et al., 2013a; Bueno et al., 2014). The model calculates the hourly air temperature in the urban canyon according to a parametric description of the urban area (Salvati et al., 2019). This compared the UWG model predictions with real observations at different urban sites in Rome and Barcelona. The results showed that the UWG model can capture the general temperature trend of a city, especially in homogeneous urban contexts.

In the present work we investigated the thermal performance of the results obtained by the Urban Weather Generator (UWG v4.1) proposed by (Bueno et al., 2013a; Bueno et al., 2013b), which estimates the air temperature in the urban canopy layer (UCL) by using weather data and input data of urban characteristics. This paper was carried out under two climatic contexts in two Latin American cities: Mendoza, Argentina and Campinas, Brazil (Figure 1). The average Urban Heat Island (UHI) of Mendoza is 6.5ºC, with maximum peaks of 10ºC during the night period, as a result of the morphological characteristics of the city, the intense afforestation of urban canyons and the urban surface materials (Correa, 2006). In the city of Campinas the Urban Heat Island (UHI) reaches maximum values of 6 ºC (Pezzuto, 2007). According to Köppen–Geiger climate classification system (Kottek et al., 2006), Mendoza, Argentina is classified as desert climate with cold steppe/desert category (BWk), and Campinas, Brasil, is placed in the category of warm temperate climate with dry winters and hot summers (Cwa). Twenty-four different scenarios have been analyzed that modify their percentage of vegetation; albedo level of envelope materials and building’s aspect ratio (H/W) have been analyzed.
Methodology

Characteristics of the analyzed cities

The cities of Mendoza and Campinas were selected as areas of study to quantify the predictive capacity of the UWG by modifying morphological and material parameters.

In Table 1, the main characteristics of each city are described (Mendoza Aero Observations, 2019 and CE-PAGRI / UNICAMP, 2019). It should be noted that between both cities there is an approximate difference in latitude of 10 °C (Mendoza: 32.54 °and Campinas: 22.53°C). This distance to the Equator impacts directly on the solar height and consequently on the intensity of solar radiation.

Figure 2 shows the annual global horizontal radiation distribution obtained from the climatic EPW archives (Climate.Onebuilding.Org, 2019).

![Figure 2. Annual horizontal global radiation mapping (W/m²). Mendoza city (A) and Campinas (B). Source: Elaborated by the author, 2019](image)

| Features                                      | Mendoza City                                                                 | Campinas City                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Location                                      | 32°54′48″S, 68°50′46″W; 750m a.s.l.                                         | 22°53′20″S, 47°04′40″W; 680m a.s.l.                                         |
| Territorial area                              | 368 km²                                                                      | 794 km²                                                                      |
| Population                                    | 1,089,000 inhabitants                                                        | 1,194,094 inhabitants                                                        |
| Climate according to Köppen classification    | Desert with cold steppe (BWk)                                                | Warm temperate with dry winters and hot summers (Cwa)                        |
| Climate Zone ASHRAE,2006                      | 2B Warm dry                                                                  | 2A Warm wet                                                                  |
| Annual precipitations                         | 218mm                                                                        | 1372 mm.                                                                     |
| Annual maximum daily solar radiation          | 1006 W/m²                                                                    | 814 W/m²                                                                    |
| Maximum daily summer solar radiation          | 1089 W/m²                                                                    | 961 W/m²                                                                    |
| Wind speed at 10m                             | 1.9 m/s (southeast)                                                          | 2.2 m/s (southeast)                                                          |
| Average annual temperature                    | 16.50 °C                                                                     | 21.40 °C                                                                     |
| Average maximum temperature                   | 24.50 °C                                                                     | 27.10 °C                                                                     |
| Average minimum temperature                   | 9.60 °C                                                                      | 15.60 °C                                                                     |

Source: Elaborated by the author, 2019
Study area description
As a case study, a frequent social neighborhood typology of Latin American cities was selected. The studied area is situated in the district of Las Heras, Mendoza, Argentina. The average building height is 3.2 m, with aspect ratio (H/W) that ranges between 0.15 and 0.19. The Street width ranges from 16 to 20 m and the sidewalk is 3 m. The species Morus alba blanco is the predominant urban afforestation (Sosa, Cantaloube, & Canton, 2017).

The facades of the buildings register an average albedo (\(\bar{\alpha}\)) of 0.25. The roofs are predominantly covered with Terracotta ceramic tile (\(\bar{\alpha} = 0.35\)). The pavement and sidewalks are composed of different types of calcareous stones (\(\bar{\alpha} = 0.30\)), and the vehicular pavement is made of concrete (\(\bar{\alpha} = 0.25\)) (Alchapar & Correa, 2016). The study was conducted during the summer period because of the extreme weather conditions (Figure 3).

Scenarios evaluated
24 scenarios were simulated considering three aspects: percentage of vegetation (current and without vegetation); albedo level of envelope materials and aspect ratio H/W. Table 1 outlines the characteristics of each scenario (Table 2).

![Figure 3. Aerial image of the study area and within the urban canopy. Source: Adapted Google Earth (2019)](image)

| Low H/W (0.16) | Vegetated | Cod. | No Vegetation | Cod. |
|---------------|-----------|------|---------------|------|
| \(\bar{\alpha}\) low | roof: 0.20 | L1.a | roof: 0.20 | L1.b |
| | road: 0.20 | | road: 0.20 | |
| | wall: 0.20 | | wall: 0.20 | |
| \(\bar{\alpha}\) high | roof: 0.80 | L2.a | roof: 0.80 | L2.b |
| | road: 0.75 | | road: 0.75 | |
| | wall: 0.80 | | wall: 0.80 | |
| \(\bar{\alpha}\) combined | roof: 0.80 | L3.a | roof: 0.80 | L3.b |
| | road: 0.75 | | road: 0.75 | |
| | wall: 0.20 | | wall: 0.20 | |
| High H/W (1.8) | Vegetated | Cod. | No Vegetation | Cod. |
|---------------|-----------|------|---------------|------|
| \(\bar{\alpha}\) low | roof: 0.20 | H1.a | roof: 0.20 | H1.b |
| | road: 0.20 | | road: 0.20 | |
| | wall: 0.20 | | wall: 0.20 | |
| \(\bar{\alpha}\) high | roof: 0.80 | H2.a | roof: 0.80 | H2.b |
| | road: 0.75 | | road: 0.75 | |
| | wall: 0.80 | | wall: 0.80 | |
| \(\bar{\alpha}\) combined | roof: 0.80 | H3.a | roof: 0.80 | H3.b |
| | road: 0.75 | | road: 0.75 | |
| | wall: 0.20 | | wall: 0.20 | |

Source: Elaborated by author, 2019.
Micro-climatic data and input parameters

The UWG simulation requires two input data: rural weather data provided in the EPW format and input data of urban characteristics inserted from the MATLAB program with XML and Excel interfaces (Bueno et al., 2013a; Mao et al., 2017). The urban characteristics of the study area include: microclimate parameters, urban building characteristics, vegetation parameters, building types and simulation parameters. The microclimatic parameters define the characteristics of the Urban Boundary Layer of the referential cities (Mendoza and Campinas in this study). Urban parameters include morphological and material factors such as urban geometry (average building height, building density, vertical to horizontal ratio), albedo level of materials (street, facades, and roofs) and sensible and latent anthropogenic heat. The vegetation parameters include urban area vegetation/tree coverage and the vegetation albedo. The percentage parameters in the reference area of different types of buildings (apartment, primary school, large office, supermarket, warehouse, etc.) are defined in the typology of buildings. The main input parameters are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. UWG simulator input parameters.

| Urban characteristics | Low H/W | High H/W |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|
| Building average height (meters) | 3.2 | 30 |
| Vertical proportion to the horizontal | 0.3 | 6.13 |
| Urban Area Veg Coverage (%) | 26 | 26 |
| Urban Area Tree Coverage (%) | 23 | 23 |
| Anthropogenic sensitive heat (W/m²) * | 4.5 | 20 |

| Albedo enveloped | | |
|------------------|---|---|
| Roof | 0.20 - 0.80 | |
| Road | 0.20 - 0.75 | |
| Wall | 0.20 - 0.80 | |

* Data: Correa, 2006
Source: Elaborated by author, 2019.

Results

Thermal performance of the UWG simulator according to scenarios

Air temperatures within Urban Canopy Layer (Ta_UCL)

Figure 4 shows the air temperature curves of the urban canopy (Ta_UCL) of the total proposed scenarios, in relation to rural temperature (Ta_rural).

After simulating the 24 scenarios proposed in both cities, there are no significant changes in the Ta_UCL.

In both cities, the UWG model does not show sensitivity to the increased albedo levels of envelope or to the modification of the vegetation percentage (ΔTa_UCL between scenarios ≤ 0.5 °C for both cities). The modification of behaviors in the Ta_UCL becomes evident only when the H/W aspect rises, mainly during the cooling period, with ΔTa_UCL between scenarios ≤ 1.3 °C for both cities. These results confirm the main role of geometric parameters in the calculation of the UWG, which was highlighted in previous stud-

| Air Temperature °C | Scenarios | Mendoza City | Campinas City |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
|                     | Max | Min | Med | Max | Min | Med |
| Ta rural 38         | 10  | 25.1| 32.4| 12.5| 21.8| |
| L1.a                | 38  | 12.3| 26.9| 32.4| 13.9| 23 |
| L1.b                | 37.9| 12.3| 26.9| 32.5| 13.8| 23 |
| L2.a                | 37.5| 12.3| 26.7| 32  | 13.9| 22.8|
| L2.b                | 37.5| 12.2| 26.5| 31.9| 13.9| 22.7|
| L3.a                | 37.5| 12.3| 26.7| 32  | 13.9| 22.8|
| L3.b                | 37.5| 12.2| 26.5| 31.9| 13.9| 22.7|
| H1.a                | 38.9| 13.9| 28  | 32.5| 15.1| 24 |
| H1.b                | 38.9| 13.9| 28  | 32.5| 15.1| 24 |
| H2.a                | 38.7| 14  | 28.1| 32.7| 15.1| 24 |
| H2.b                | 38.7| 14  | 28.1| 32.6| 15.1| 24 |
| H3.a                | 38.7| 14  | 28.1| 32.7| 15.1| 24 |
| H3.b                | 38.7| 14  | 28.1| 32.6| 15.1| 24 |

Source: Elaborated by the author, 2019
ies in cities of the Mediterranean and South America (Palme et al., 2018; Palme et al., 2016; Salvati et al., 2019; Salvati et al., 2017). According to simulated data, in Mendoza, the UHI in high H/W reaches 5.4 °C and in low H/W 2.7 °C in Mendoza, while in Campinas, the UHI is 3.4 °C in high H/W and 1.5 °C in low H/W. In other words, the magnitude of the impact of the presence of the city over air temperature is greater in Mendoza city (Table 4).

**Surface temperatures of enveloped (Ts)**
To evaluate the sensitivity of the numerical model on the surface temperature (Ts) of the urban envelope, the analysis was organized according to the following three parameters:

- **H/W aspect**: Low = 0.16 (L) and High = 1.8 (H)
- **Mendoza**: When comparing the surface thermal performance of the envelope elements, it is observed that, with high H/W due to the effect of the shadows cast by the buildings, the TsRoad and TsWall are smaller than with low H/W. It recorded maximum temperature differences equal to ΔTsRoad ≤ 11.9 °C and ΔTsWall ≤ 3.4 °C between scenarios with identical vegetal and material configurations were recorded (see H1.b vs. L1.b in Table 5 and Fig.5.a).
- **Campinas**: The same trend occurs in the city of Campinas, a scenario with higher H/W which records lesser surface temperatures of roads and walls. ΔTsRoad ≤ 7 °C, (see H1.b = 35 °C vs. L1.b = 42 °C) and ΔTsWall ≤ 3.4 °C (see H1.b = 31.4 °C vs. L1.b = 38.4 °C in Table 5 and Fig.5.b).

These results suggest that, for the summer period, the impact of the modification of H/W aspect is greater in Mendoza than in Campinas, due to high heliophany and low wind speed.
**Albedo level:** 1 = low albedo; 2 = high albedo; 3 = combined albedo

- **Mendoza:** By contrasting the scenarios that modify albedo levels and keeping the rest of the parameters the same, a great impact on roof temperatures was observed. Scenarios with low albedo levels (1) further increase their surface temperatures in the total envelope. The resulting differences are: $\Delta T_{s\_road} \leq 6.2$ (L1.a=43.6°C vs. L2.a/L3.a=37.4°C); $\Delta T_{s\_wall} \leq 4.1$ (L1.a=41.2°C vs. L2.a/L3.a=37.1°C in Table 5 y Fig.4.a); $\Delta T_{s\_roof} \leq 13°C$ in low H/W and until 28°C in high H/W aspect (L1.a=53.8°C vs. L2.a/L3.a=40.5°C and H1.a=70.5°C vs. H2.a/H3.a=42.6°C in Table 5 y Fig.5.a).

- **Campinas:** As in Mendoza, the higher surface temperatures are recorded in scenarios with low albedo levels (1). The resulting differences are: $\Delta T_{s\_road} \leq 5.5ºC$ (L1.a=37.1°C vs. L2.a/L3.a=31.6°C); $\Delta T_{s\_wall} \leq 3.5$ (L1.a=34.7°C vs. L2.a/L3.a=31.2°C); $\Delta T_{s\_roof} 12.9\leq °C$ in low H/W and until 24.3°C in high H/W aspect (L1.a=47.1°C vs. L2.a/L3.a=34.2°C y H1.a=61.1°C vs. H2.a/H3.a=36.8°C in Table 5 y Fig.5.b).

The UWG model shows the following behavior patterns in both cities. The scenarios with high albedo (2) and combined (3) levels have identical behaviors. This means that the numerical model does not show sensitivity in this aspect. In addition, the modification of

![Mendoza](image1.png)

![Campinas](image2.png)

**Figure 5.** Box plot diagram of surface temperatures in the both cities studied. Black columns indicate high H/W scenarios and gray columns indicate low H/W scenarios. a. Mendoza city. b. Campinas city.

*Source: Elaborated by the author, 2019.*
albedo levels has a greater impact on surface temperatures – first in high H/W aspect -, second, on walls and finally on roads with low H/W scenarios.

Finally, when the levels are of albedo were modified, the two cities show the same tendency to decrease surface temperatures; however, the impact potential is greater in Mendoza due to the high solar radiation during the summer period.

Vegetation presence: a = with vegetation; b = without vegetation

- In both cities the presence of urban green modifies the thermal performance of road surfaces ($\Delta T_s_{\text{calle}} \leq 5^\circ C$) in low H/W scenarios with low albedo level (L1.a vs L1.b in Mendoza and Campinas in Table 6). This is due to the fact that the vegetation percentage is relatively low (23 and 26% respectively) in the eval-

Table 5. Surface temperatures: maximum (max), minimum (min), average (med) of 24 scenarios. According to enveloped type: roofs ($T_s_{\text{roof}}$), vehicular and pedestrian circulations ($T_s_{\text{road}}$) and facades ($T_s_{\text{wall}}$)
Discussion and conclusions

The UWG model is a very efficient tool in terms of runtime since it builds modified climate databases with geometric and morphological parameters of a referential city in shorter simulation processes compared to other urban simulators in the cities of Mendoza and Campinas. This was also demonstrated by Mao et al. (2018) who verified the limited natural time to accelerate calibration processes in the city of Abu Dhabi. However, it has been demonstrated that the UWG simulations produced mesoscale UHIs following the assumption that the air in the UCL was well-mixed. For these reasons, the integration of microscale UHIs and thermal comfort simulations is necessary to increase the reliability of the analysis’ outcome as described in Kim et al. (2018).

As discuss Hong et al. (2020), computational tools empowered with rich urban data sets can model the performance of buildings at the urban scale to provide quantitative insights for decision makers on urban energy planning. These tools can also scale building energy retrofits at scale, to achieve efficiency, sustainability, and resilience of urban buildings. In this sense, the UWG model is versatile and compatible with building energy simulators such as the EnergyPlus because their databases have an *epw extension. As demonstrated by several authors, this information allows a greater veracity and adjustment of studies on a building’s thermal behavior in an urban environment, as on the effect of the heat island in the construction of building energy consumption profiles (Palme & Salvati, 2018; Salvati et al., 2019; Sola et al., 2018). The UWG model has a great capacity to sensitively predict the results of the application of different mitigation strategies on the surface temperatures of the elements of the urban-building envelopes (walls, roofs and road). This is a useful knowledge to determine the degree of comfort of an urban space, as well as the possible energy savings in different climatic contexts. Due to the seasonal climatic rigor of the city of Mendoza, the modification in H/W and albedo recorded greater impact potential on surface temperatures than in Campinas. For example, when raising albedo levels in the enveloped of a scenario, surface temperatures of roofs decrease in up to 28 °C in Mendoza and 24.3 °C in Campinas (in Mendoza H1.a = 70.5 °C vs. H2.a / H3.a = 42.6 °C and in Campinas H1.a = 61.1 °C vs. H2.a / H3.a = 36.8 °C). This result evidences the great potential that the level of albedo produces on the surface temperatures of the roof and consequently on the buildings’ energy consumption. This result evidences the great potential that the level of albedo produces on the surface temperatures of the roof and consequently on the buildings’ energy consumption.

Another strength detected in this work is that the UWG model can reflect the impact of anthropogenic heat flux derived from building densification, a parameter that most urban simulators do not consider in their energy budget calculations, but one which represents an important tool for urban guidelines. Although the veracity of anthropogenic heat predicted by the UWG model depends on the fitting of diverse inputs and considerations as discussed in Bueno et al. (2014), having this output is important in order to assess the impact over urban climate derived from the application of densification strategies on cities. Among the weaknesses detected, particularly in the scenarios analyzed in this work during the summer period, the UWG model showed a low sensitivity to the modification of air temperatures between urban overheating mitigation strategies. In addition, the UWG model is not sensitive to the modification of optical properties in walls because the scenarios with high albedo and combined albedo presented identical behavior, both in their air and surface temperatures. This conclusion is based on findings obtained in previous works carried out by Alchapar et al. (2017), in which it was sought to determine the thermal benefit of mitigation strategies of ICU over the city of Mendoza and Campinas through the use of ENVI-met software. The research with ENV-met found differences in air temperature between scenarios of 3.5 °C for Mendoza and 5 °C in Campinas.

When modifying the vegetation parameters, the UWG model showed sensitivity (ΔTs_calle = 5 °C) in road surface temperatures only in scenarios with low albedo and low H/W for both cities. This fact is explained because the calculation of the energy balance of the UWG model considers the vegetation and trees in a simplified way, assuming that a certain fraction of the absorbed solar radiation is transformed into latent heat and does not contribute to the increase of temperatures in the canyon (Mao et al., 2017). In addition, it assumes that trees are lower than buildings, so they do not participate in the calculation of surface temperature of roofs and facades. To avoid these short-
comings, the UWG model is constantly updated and developed, a process that results in a robust and operational software for predicting urban climatic conditions and for analyzing the interactions between buildings and their urban environment.

These findings are coincident with investigations of Bande et al. (2019) in Abu Dhabi, which showed that the UWG model tends to overestimate the canyon temperature during the summer and has a more realistic estimation in the winter season. Anyway, ENVI-met has better estimations of temperatures during the summer season compared to the UWG. The study also showed that the UWG weather file contributes a more detailed energy model and that ENVI-met needs improvement in calculating the anthropogenic heat and in calculation of the mean radiant temperature.

Although this research is in an initial stage, the work showed that, in order to explain the behaviors of urban surfaces and the interactions with the climate, the use of climatic simulations with different scales of analysis is essential. That is why future works propose the use of the energy balance model -UWG- simultaneously with the microclimate model -ENVI-met- to enhance its capabilities.
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