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Abstract

Background Seizures arising from the posterior cingulate cortex are rare, and few studies have to characterized the clinical presentation of such seizures within the anatomic context of the limbic network. We aimed to characterize the electrophysiological properties and clinical features of seizures arising from the PCC and surgical outcomes based on data from stereoelectroencephalography (SEEG).

Methods The present retrospective study included 12 patients with medically intractable epilepsy, all diagnosed with posterior cingulate epilepsy via stereoelectroencephalography (SEEG) at Sanbo Hospital between 2014 and 2018. This retrospective analysis included clinical semiology, scalp EEG/SEEG findings and surgical outcomes of twelve intractable PCE patients.

Results Six of the twelve patients reported auras, including vestibular responses (3/6), blurred vision (2/6), and fear and déjà vu (1/6). Clinical semiology included dialeptic seizures (3/12), automotor seizures (4/12) and complex motor behaviours (5/12). The classifications of scalp EEG varied, including bilateral regional posterior temporal-occipital discharges in eight cases, regional anterior-middle temporal discharges in two cases, and no epileptic discharge in two cases. In five patients, ictal onset occurred mainly in the tempo–parietal–occipital area. In ten patients, the seizure onset zone by SEEG occurred in the posterior cingulate gyrus, which spread to the medial temporal, precuneus, inferior parietal lobe and long insular gyrus. However, for the two patients with posterior cingulate lesions, the seizure onset zone was the contralateral hippocampal head that spread to the posterior cingulate lesion. One patient was seizure free after posterior cingulate gyrus lesion resection, but another had 50% fewer seizures by using SEEG-guided radiofrequency thermocoagulation. Nine patients who underwent epilepsy surgery were seizure-free (Engel IA: 7/9; Engel IB: 2/9). One patient showed a 30% reduction in seizures by using SEEG-guided radiofrequency thermocoagulation but not surgical resection.

Conclusions Our findings indicate that the clinical characteristics of posterior cingulate epilepsy vary among patients, and recognition of a posterior cingulate focus is challenging, especially with MRI-negative epilepsies. Scalp EEG is of little use when attempting to localize posterior cingulate seizures. For patients with pharmacoresistant posterior cingulate epilepsy, favourable outcomes (Engel class I) could be observed by using SEEG. The SEEG findings in PCE showed that the seizure onset zone and epileptogenic zone were a set of interconnected regional systems.

Background

Posterior cingulate epilepsy (PCE) is a good example of seizure semiology that can be misleading because the seizure arises in anatomically deep and ‘non-eloquent’ areas. The anatomical location of the posterior cingulate gyrus makes neurophysiological studies with scalp electrodes difficult. The posterior cingulate gyrus is part of the limbic network and a component of the Papez circuit with extensive and complicated functional connectivity[1]. Furthermore, the electroclinical findings with posterior cingulate
epilepsysuggest temporal lobe epilepsy[2]. In 2008, Koubeissi et al. [3] analysed the propagation of parietal cingulate seizures with secondary mesial temporal involvement. Other reports of posterior cingulate epilepsy did not validate the localization with favourable surgical outcomes[1]. Enatsu et al. [4] described six of seven patients who had posterior cingulate ictal onset identified by SEEG evaluations and showed bilateral asymmetric tonic seizures, hypermotor seizures, and dialeptic and automotor seizures. Five patients who underwent epilepsy surgery achieved good seizure freedom.

Stereo-electroencephalography (SEEG) enables precise recordings from deep cortical structures, such as limbic and para-limbic structures and their associated epileptic networks[5]. In recent decades, epilepsy surgery in patients has demonstrated favourable outcomes in terms of seizure freedom and improved neuropsychological development.

In the present study, we investigated the electrical clinical characteristics of epilepsy originating from the posterior cingulate cortex, as well as the surgical results in patients with drug-refractory focal PCE, which was identified by SEEG exploration.

**Methods**

**Patients**

We enrolled twelve consecutive patients who had been diagnosed with focal PCE at the Epilepsy Center of Sanbo Brain Hospital between 2014 and 2018. Nine patients were right-handed males, and three were right-handed females. The twelve patients fulfilled the following criteria: (i) had SEEG recordings covering the posterior cingulate cortex; (ii) the PCG was within the epileptogenic zone; and (iii) the extent of surgical excision or radiofrequency thermocoagulation was limited or mostly limited to within the posterior cingulate cortex. All included patients and caregivers provided written informed consent to participate and for publication.

All patients underwent a rigorous evaluation performed in three steps as previously described:

(i) Pre-surgical evaluation included detailed history, neurological examination, long-term video-EEG monitoring (VEEG), high-resolution MRI and SEEG. PET scans were performed in eleven patients. MEG and neuropsychological testing were performed in nine patients. The clinical characteristics of the included patients are shown in Table 1.

(ii) For every patient, all types of seizures were recorded. SEEG exploration planning was performed based on noninvasive data, including the hypothetical epileptogenic zone(s) (Ez) and the lesion when it was present. The patient’s head was fixed in a standard stereotactic frame, and depth electrode targeting and trajectory were determined using a robotic system (ROSA; Medtech, Montpellier, France). The electrodes consisted of 8, 10, 12 and 16 cylindrical 2.0 mm-long platinum contacts with diameters of 0.80 mm and
intervals of 1.5 mm. Weco-registered postoperative CT with preoperative MRI to confirm the final location of the SEEG contacts and electrodes.

The VEEG and SEEG data were independently reviewed by two epileptologists. We classified the seizure semiology at the ictal stage based on the semiological seizure classification proposed by Lüders et al. [6]. The seizure onset zone and propagations[7] were retrospectively analysed from SEEG data and monitoring reports. We paid particular attention to electroanatomical relationships between the posterior cingulate cortex and adjacent structures.

(iii) Tailored resection: A patient management conference was held for each individual after enough seizures had been recorded (at least three to five seizures) to discuss the results and implications of the SEEG study and to collectively decide on a plan for resection. Subsequent to this meeting and approximately 8–12 weeks after removal of the SEEG electrodes, patients underwent a standard craniotomy for tailored resection of the hypothetical EZ.

(l) Follow-up: Seizure outcomes were evaluated according to Engel's classification[8], neurological examination, EEG, high-resolution MRI and neuropsychological testing.

| Patient | Age/Sex | MRI | PET | MEG | WAIS-IV (IQ/MLQ) | Surgery | Pathology | follow-up | Engel’s classification |
|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----|------------------|---------|-----------|------------|----------------------|
| 1       | 17/M    | 15  | -   | PCG | No               | Ll, RSC and PHG | RT-FC    | 20         | III                  |
| 2       | 25/F    | 14  | -   | -   | Pre-PIQ/MQ:85/86; Post-PIQ/MQ:87/88 | Ll, RSC, RC | FC      | 26         | III                  |
| 3       | 35/F    | 16  | PCG | Ll, P and PCG | Pre-PIQ/MQ:110/110 | Ll, RSC and RC | 16         | III                  |
| 4       | 22/M    | 18  | RL, PCG| RL, TPO | Pre-PIQ/MQ:90/90 | RL, PCG, RSC, Prec | FCDIb     | 36         | IA                    |
| 5       | 13/M    | 10  | RL, TP | No | NO | Pre-PIQ/MQ:90/80 | RL, PCG, PC, Ca | brain injury | 44       | Ia                    |
| 6       | 12/M    | 0.2 | BI, TPO | No | NO | RL, PC, PC, PCG | FCDIc | 66         | Ia                    |
| 7       | 18/M    | 5   | -   | -   | Pre-PIQ/MQ:60/54 | Ll, PCG, Ca | FCDIc | 34         | Ia                    |
| 8       | 7/M     | 6   | Ll, thalamic infaction | No | Pre-PIQ/MQ:72/45 | Ll, PCG, Ll, Pre FC | RT-FC | 12 | Ia                   |
| 9       | 16/M    | 13  | Ll, PCG | Ll, T | Pre-PIQ/MQ:119/128 | Ll, RSC, RT-FC | - | 6 | Ia                   |
| 10      | 24/F    | 10  | RL, ATL | RL, TP | Pre-PIQ/MQ:101/96 | RL, PCG | FCDIc | 48 | Ia                   |
| 11      | 18/F    | 7   | RL, ATL | Ll, P and RL, T | Pre-PIQ/MQ:78/75 | Ll, PCG, RSC, PCa | FCDib | 41 | lc                   |
| 12      | 31/M    | 3.5 | -   | Ll, TP | Ll, TO | No | Ll, PCG, RSC, Ca | FCDIc | 34 | Ia                   |

Results

The main clinical data are detailed in Tables 1 and 2.
Preoperative findings

The mean age at epilepsy onset was 11 years (min–max: 1–18), and the mean epilepsy duration was 10 years (min–max: 1–27). Four patients had normal MRI results before surgery. Preoperative MRI showed a left-sided thalamic infarction in one patient (pt.9). MRI of two patients (pts.7 and 10) showed anterior prelobectomy anterior temporal lesions. Three patients (pts.3,4 and 9) showed posterior cingulate lesions on MRI. Theremaining two showed parieto-occipital lesions. PET and MEG were almost diffuse.

Semiological characteristics

Aura

Six of twelve included patients experienced auras, one patient experienced vestibular responses (pt.3), and three patients (pts. 1, pt. 4 and pt. 10) experienced dizziness and visual aura (i.e., blurred vision in both eyes). Patient 10 experienced complex auditory aura and fear, while one patient experienced fear and déjà vu (pt.7).

Seizure semiology

Five of the 12 included patients experienced complex motor seizures (pts. 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12), three experienced dialeptic seizures (pts. 1, 2 and 3), and four others experienced automotor seizures (pts. 4, 5, 6 and 7). Patients 9, 10, 11 and 12 moved as if they were looking for something followed by alternating
movements of the eyes/head in different directions. Patient 8 exhibited trunk and hip movement accompanied by flapping motions in the bilateral upper limb extremities.

**Interictal and ictal EEG data**

All patients underwent video-EEG monitoring. Interictal epileptiform discharges occurred ipsilateral to the side of the EZ in four patients (pts.5, 8, 9 and 10). Discharges were non-lateralized in six patients, and two patients exhibited no interictal discharge (pts.3 and 11). In seven patients, interictal EEG signals were mainly localized to the temporo-parieto-occipital area. In the remaining five patients, interictal discharges were anterior-middle temporal in two cases and non-localized in the other patients.

In seven patients (pts.1,2,6,7,8,11 and 12), the site of seizure onset was primarily localized in the temporal-parietal-occipital area, but the ictal onset occurred ipsilateral to the EZ in only two of seven cases, and the others were non-lateralized. In the remaining patients, ictal EEG signals were in the temporal area (pts.4 and 9) or generalized.

**Anatomical-electrical-clinical correlations based on SEEG findings**

With respect to ictal semiology, three of twelve patients showed consciousness impairments (pts.1,2 and 3). The ictal SEEG trace of patient 2 revealed that seizure onset was characterized by the appearance of polyspike-slowwave discharge in the posterior cingulate cortex (mesial contacts of electrodes D1–3,V1-4, and T1-4) and precuneus (mesial contacts of electrodes S1–3). Meanwhile, déjà vu aura appeared. Then, seizure activity spread to the inferior parietal lobule (lateral contacts of electrodes S11-12, D12-13, and V10-11). At this time, dialeptic seizures were observed (Fig. 1).

Four patients (pts. 4, 5, 6 and 7) had bilateral hand fumbling. The ictal SEEG recording of patient 7 revealed that seizure onset occurred in the PCC (mesial contacts of electrodes T2-4). After 600–800 milliseconds, ictal activity spread to the Pcu (S5-6) and long insular gyrus (V2-3), and vestibular aura was observed (Fig. 2).

Five of twelve patients were characterized by complex motor seizures with ictal spread to the PCC, MCC, cuneus, mesial temporal structures or long insular gyrus of the ipsilateral hemisphere. The ictal SEEG trace of patient 8 revealed that seizure onset was characterized by the appearance of ripple discharges (medial contacts of electrodes S1-3, V2-3, and D1-2) and then spread to the Pcu (medial contacts of electrodes W2-3) and MCC (medial contacts of electrodes R1-3 and M1-3) in the absence of clinical treatment. After 5 s, the seizure activity had spread to the hippocampus (medial contacts of electrodes B2–3), lingual gyrus and para-hippocampus (medial contacts of electrodes E2-3 and Z2-3), and superior temporal gyrus (V12–13). He attempted to get up from the bed, which was followed by alternating movements of the eyes/head in different directions as if he was looking for something (Fig. 3).

However, for two patients (pts.3 and 4) with posterior cingulate lesions, the seizure onset zone was the contralateral hippocampus. The ictal SEEG recording of patient 4 revealed that seizure onset was
characterized by the appearance of polyspike-slowwave discharge in the left hippocampus head (mesial contacts of electrodes B1–3). Approximately 600 milliseconds later, seizure activity spread to the left isthmus (mesial contacts of electrodes X2–3) and right hippocampus head (mesial contacts of electrodes B’1–3). One second later, dialeptic and automotor activity appeared once the seizure had spread to the right PCC lesion (R’2–3 and S’2–3), precuneus (mesial contacts of electrodes T’2–3) and IPL (lateral contacts of electrodes C’12–13, S’10–13, and V’13–14) (Fig. 4).

Surgery, epileptic outcomes and pathology

Seven patients underwent posterior cingulate gyrus resection following SEEG evaluation. They were seizure free after 24 months of follow-up (Engle I). In this subset of patients, the mean follow-up after resection was 42 months (range 34–64 months). Pathologic abnormalities included type 1 focal cortical dysplasia (5 patients), FCD IIIB (pt. 4) and cerebral injury (pt. 5).

Five patients underwent SEEG-guided radiofrequency thermocoagulation; three patients remained seizure free after 12 months of follow-up, but the remaining patients (pt. 1 and pt. 3) had 50% fewer seizures (Engel III) (Table 1).

However, for two patients with posterior cingulate lesions, the seizure onset zone was the contralateral hippocampus. Patient 4, who underwent posterior cingulate gyrus resection, had seizure freedom after 24 months of follow-up. Patient 3, who underwent SEEG-guided radiofrequency thermocoagulation, had a 50% reduction in seizures.

Discussion

Posterior cingulate epilepsy is a rare and diagnostically challenging form of epilepsy owing to the particular anatomical position of the posterior cingulate [9]. In the present study, we analysed semiological characteristics and EEG/SEEG data to identify anatomic-electrical-clinical relationships in 12 patients with posterior cingulate epilepsy.

Previous studies have revealed that posterior cingulate epilepsy is associated with several types of premonitory auras [10]. In one such study, dyscognitive aura (déjà vu, jamais vu, depersonalization), abdominal aura, gustatory aura, and sensations of falling or movement were reported in three patients. Two patients had multiple auras. The dyscognitive aura and abdominal aura were elicited by stimulation of the limbic structures of the temporal lobe [2]. Our findings are mostly consistent with these previous studies, although visual and vestibular aura occurred more frequently in our patient group, which was elicited by electrical stimulation of the human posterior cingulate cortex [11].

It was difficult to localize the ictal onset areas based on scalp EEG. Previous reports have shown that scalp interictal and ictal EEG lateralize or localize the seizures correctly in 50%-60% of posterior cingulate epilepsy cases [1]. In the present study, we found that interictal or ictal epileptiform discharges were most observed in the temporo-parieto-occipital region but little in the temporal region, which was different from that observed in TLE. Interictal and ictal discharges in temporal areas were detected in the scalp EEG in
only three patients (pts.2, 4 and 10). However, two patients (pts. 2 and 10), whose seizures resembled temporal lobeseizures[4, 9], underwent anterior temporal lobectomy but failed to achieve a seizure-free outcome. We used SEEG to reevaluate patients and found that the seizure onset zone (SOZ) was localized in the posterior cingulate gyrus. Two patients who had undergone posterior cingulate gyrus surgery were seizure-free at the end of the follow-up period.

Therefore, it is difficult to distinguish PCG from non-PCE via scalp EEG, particularly the mesial-temporal lobe epilepsy. SEEG represents a unique method for identifying and characterizing the underlying physiological mechanisms of PCE.

In SEEG methodology, the EZ is defined as the site of origin for epileptic seizures[12]. Therefore, we focused our SEEG analyses mainly on the identification of the brain regions in which specific ictal patterns developed during seizures. The regions associated with the primary organization of ictal discharges and subsequent propagation were correlated with the anatomical positions of the electrodes that displayed the maximal abnormal activity and with the evolution of clinical seizure signs.

We analysed a case series of twelve patients in the present study, seven of whom exhibited temporal lobe seizures[1, 2, 4], and the remaining patients had complex motor behaviours. Our study revealed that the semiology of PCE can involve dialeptic or automotor seizures, which depended upon the seizure spreading to mesial-temporal structures, the precuneus[13] or the IPL. Structural connections via cingulate tracts were found between the IPL and PCC in all subjects with both streamline and probabilistic analyses[14, 15]. These findings are consistent with the previous hypothesis that alterations in consciousness and automatisms in PCE reflect the involvement of the temporal lobes[1, 4, 9].

Complex motor behaviours may also be observed in the latecourse of posterior cortex seizures, once the seizure propagates to more anterior regions[1]. In the present study, the most common clinical characteristics of complex motor behaviours were exploratory gaze movements and bilateral hand fumbling movements. In these patients, complex motor behaviours were observed only when ictal activity assessed by SEEG had spread to the precuneus, MCC, medial temporal lobe and superior temporal gyrus[12, 16]. The cingulum bundle was predominantly composed of cingulate fibres that head in either a rostral or caudal direction, with the majority bifurcating to go in both directions. The PCG interlinks medial parts of the frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes by the cingulum bundle[17]. The highest number of active sites was found in the ventral and dorsal aMCC, whose stimulation triggered a variety of goal-oriented behaviours involving reaching and grasping actions and exploratory gaze movements[11]. Therefore, the clinical characteristics of posterior cingulate epilepsy vary among patients that are different from the mesial-temporal lobe epilepsy[18].

This preliminary impression could suggest a higher specificity of the SEEG method in mapping the EZ[5]. Among this group, seven of twelve patients who underwent PCG resection were seizure-free at the end of the follow-up period. The three of five patients who underwent RF-TC achieved seizure freedom, and the remaining patients had 30%-50% fewer seizures. SEEG methodology is both safe and effective in patients with difficult-to-localize, medically intractable, focal epilepsies[5]. RF-TC selectively disrupts
critical hubs in the epileptic network through contiguous contacts within the range of a single electrode and provides a more curative effect\[19\] in regions such as the small and deeply epileptogenic foci, PCG.

Accurate description of the brain regions involved in seizure genesis is a crucial objective in the context of epilepsy surgery. However, the identification of the EZ is based on the abilities of experienced clinical neurophysiologists to identify the relevant anatomic-electrical-clinical correlations and SEEG patterns. In the present study, two patients (pts. 3 and 4) had posterior cingulate lesions identified by MRI but had contralateral hippocampal onset identified by SEEG in our study. One patient (pt. 4) who underwent posterior cingulate lesion resection was seizure-free. After treating the posterior cingulate lesion using SEEG-guided RT-FC, the discharge of the hippocampus was obviously reduced (pt. 3). On the one hand, postoperative pathology was FCD IIIb (FCD Ic and ganglion glioma), which is often associated with malformations during cortical development, in particular focal cortical dysplasia (FCD), which is most often the basis of epilepsy lesions. Invasive EEG investigations may provide useful information, although in GNT-associated focal epilepsy, the main goal of intracerebral recordings is usually to map the eloquent cortex in the proximity of the neoplasm \[20\].

On the other hand, the posterior cingulate cortex is known to project to the hippocampus via the entorhinal and parahippocampal cortex and to receive direct and indirect connections from the hippocampus. The inferior cingulum bundle is a white matter tract projecting from the PCC to the hippocampus or parahippocampus and the entorhinal cortex \[21, 22\]. Ictal propagation to the cingulate gyrus has frequently been observed among patients with temporal lobe epilepsy \[18\]. However, YC Shih found in patients with left mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis, the left inferior cingulum bundle underwent degeneration in tandem with the left hippocampus volume, whereas intrinsic functional connectivity seems to react by compensating for the loss of connectivity. Their results suggested that increased intrinsic functional connectivity of the contralesional hippocampus was a compensatory response to decreased hippocampal connectivity on the lesion side \[23\]. According to the hypothesis, we speculate that the PCG lesion and hippocampus functional connectivity decreased, but the contralesional PCG and hippocampus have extensive functional connections. Fundamental to the original concept of the EZ was the idea of one or more regions of brain involved in the primary organization of the ictal discharge, rather than a “focus”. Indeed, from the outset of SEEG development, the EZ was seen as a set of interconnected regional systems \[24\]. The now widely accepted notion of epileptogenic networks stems from these early observations and is intrinsically related to the SEEG method of recording. The EZ in the PCG may be an epileptogenic network that is distributed across the limbic system and is complex \[25\].

This study has some limitations. First, this study was definitely limited by the location or number of implanted electrodes designed to treat these epilepsy patients. This spatial limitation in the recordings may have caused difficulties in identifying the whole seizure pathway. Second, the sample size in this study was relatively small. Further studies employing a large number of participants would be helpful to confirm these preliminary results. We should apply CCEP or other methods to precisely track the pathway through which the seizures spread.
Conclusions

The clinical characteristics of PCE are complex and varied. Aura type (e.g., blurred vision in both eyes and vestibular responses), dialeptic seizures, and complex motor seizures are the main indicators of PCE. The classifications of scalp EEG were regional parieto-occipital and posterior temporal, but little lateralization. Analysis of high-quality SEEG data can help to identify the relevant anatomic-electrical-clinical correlations. Our findings indicate that the clinical characteristics of PCE are different among patients and that the final electroclinical phenotype depends on the pattern of seizure spread. For patients with pharmacoresistant posterior cingulated epilepsy, favourable outcomes (Engel class I) could be observed by using SEEG. For patients with posterior cingulate epilepsy, the SEEG findings suggest that the seizure onset zone and epileptogenic zone can be two different areas.
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Figures
Figure 1

A-B-C: SEEG electrode implantation on lateral and medial view of 3D brain of Patient (A-Left lateral, B-Left medial; C-Right medial); D: Ictal SEEG traces show that the seizure onset was recorded from PCG (red arrow) (medial contacts of electrode T1-4, S1-3, D1-4 and V1-3) with déjà vu being observed. After 3 seconds, the ictal activity spread to T8-9, S11-12, D12-13, the clinical sign of dialeptic seizure appeared. E: Ictal onset electrode “T, D, V, S” located left PCG. F: post-RFTC sagittal MRI after RF-TC treatment.
Figure 2

A-B-C: SEEG electrode implantation on lateral and medial view of 3D brain of Patient (A-Left lateral, B-Left medial; C-Right medial); D: Ictal SEEG traces show that the seizure onset was recorded from PCG (red arrow) (medial contacts of electrode T2-4) with no clinical sign being observed. When the ictal activity spreads to Pcu (medial contacts of electrode S5-6), long insular gyrus (medial contacts of electrode V2-3), ITG (lateral contacts of electrode E12-13), PHG (medial contacts of electrode E3-4), Cu (medial contacts of electrode X1-2), the semiology of automotor seizure appeared. E: Ictal onset electrode “T” located left PCG. F: Postsurgery MRI: resection of the posterior cingulate gyrus and precuneus.
Figure 3

A-B: Brain MRI of presurgery; C-D: SEEG electrode implantation on lateral and medial view 3D brain of Patient  

E: Ictal SEEG traces show that the seizure onset was recorded from PCG (red arrow) (medial contacts of electrode S1-3, V2-3, R1-3), RSC (medial contacts of electrode D1-3) and MCC (medial contacts of electrode M1-3) with no clinical sign being observed. After 2 seconds, the ictal activity spread to HH (medial contacts of electrode B2-3), PHG (medial contacts of electrode E2-3 and Z2-3), STG (lateral contacts of electrode V12-13). The clinical sign of complex motor seizure appeared after 12 seconds.  

F: Ictal onset electrode “S, D, V, R, W” located left PCG. F: post-RFTC sagittal MRI after RF-TC treatment.
Figure 4

A-B: Brain MRI of presurgery; C-D: SEEG electrode implantation on right and left Hemisphere medial view of three-dimensional (3D) brain of Patient; E: Ictal SEEG traces show that the seizure onset was recorded from hippocampus (HH, red arrow) (medial contacts of electrode B2-4) with no clinical sign being observed. When the ictal activity spread to X2-3, the X2-3, B’2-3, R’2-3, S’2-3, the clinical sign of dialectic seizure appeared. F: Ictal onset electrode "B" located left HH. G: Postsurgery MRI: resection of the posterior cingulate gyrus and precuneus.