Eosinophils and Cancer – Mini Review
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**Mini Review**

Eosinophils are a type of granulated white blood cells produced in the bone marrow that makes up about 1-5 percent of the total number of white blood cells. These granulated cells are generated by the process of hematopoiesis in the bone marrow and then migrate into the blood stream and extravasate to tissue sites [1]. They circulate at low levels in the blood in healthy individuals but may be detected outside blood vessels in organs such as lower GI tract, ovary, uterus and lymph nodes but not in the lung skin or esophagus. As a key player of the immune system eosinophils play an important role in combating parasitic infections in vertebrates and potentially cancer cells. Eosinophils can also play a pro-inflammatory role and participate in the pathogenesis of allergic disorders including asthma. Eosinophils secrete chemokines and other cellular mediators participate in immunomodulation and tissue remodeling.

The focus of this review is to discuss the role of eosinophils in cancer. A study published in the Journal of Clinical Oncology examined the relationship between eosinophils in peripheral blood and the incidence of colorectal cancer [2]. The researchers found that a higher number of peripheral blood eosinophils were associated with a reduced risk of dying from colorectal cancer, especially in patients who never smoked and in males. Although the study could not identify the mechanism to explain this relationship, one plausible theory is that a more active immune system reduces the risk of developing colorectal cancer. Another study published in the journal Modern Pathology in 2016, Prizement et al. [3] looked at how eosinophils might predict outcomes for patients with colorectal cancer. Taghizadeh et al. [4] found no associations between objective allergy markers and cancer in a total population but reported positivity and a high number of eosinophils with association to reduced risk to die of cancer in specific subgroups. Although staging of colorectal cancer typically is based on information about the tumor itself, lymph node involvement, and the presence of metastases (cancer spread to other sites), often two patients with the same staging will have dramatically different outcome. The authors of this study examined whether eosinophils levels in or around a colorectal tumor helps predict outcome. They concluded that a higher numbers of eosinophils around the primary colorectal tumor were associated with an improved patient outcome, and that they should be routinely counted during tumor examination, as higher numbers of eosinophils around the primary colorectal tumor helps predict outcome. They concluded that the infiltration of tumors with eosinophils, especially in stromal tissue, may be an important prognostic factor in colorectal cancer.

As multifunctional leukocytes, eosinophils have also been indicated in anti-cancer immunity. Published studies have suggested an association between allergic conditions and a trend of decreased risk in numerous malignances. Moreover, eosinophil infiltration in tumor tissue is considered an independent prognostic factor. Eosinophils are often recruited to tumor sites, where eosinophil granule proteins and cytokines are released upon activation, which in turn damage and kill tumor cells. On the one hand eosinophil-mediated organ damage has been of concern [5] eosinophil infiltration in tumor tissue is considered an independent prognostic factor. Eosinophils are often recruited to tumor sites, where eosinophil granule proteins and cytokines are released upon activation, which in turn damage and kill tumor cells. In the last decade, a number of patents based on potential cancer therapy using eosinophilic cytokines have been awarded [6]. Highlighting the promising targeted therapies with eosinophilic cytokines as a novel perspective to combat cancer [7]. While recently it has become apparent that immune system cells and cytokines play essential roles in both mammary gland development as well as breast cancer [7-10]. A study by Peter MS et al indicated that few disorders specifically affect breast skin, but the nipple-areola complex should be approached with a different set of diagnostic considerations [11]. While another study by Andersen et al showed Eosinophil counts associate with hematological malignancies and mortality even below the definition of eosinophilia. They deduced that physicians encountering patients with mild-to-moderate eosinophilia according to traditional definitions conferred maximally increased risks of subsequent/subclinical hematological malignancy [12].

Although earlier studies in mice suggested that eosinophil-derived IL-4 was required for mounting a Th2 response during immune reactions to intraperitoneally injected Schistosoma mansoni eggs, [13] more recent studies have shown that ablation of eosinophils from mice had negligible outcomes, or even inhibitory effects, on helminth larval or egg expulsion in Δdbl-GATA or PHIL mice [13]. These experimental findings imply that eosinophil-derived cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors have no specific role in the regulation of parasitic worm diseases, in contrast to the classically held notion that eosinophils are important in parasitic worm expulsion. Karagiannis et al. [14] hypothesized that the unique properties of IgE, a class of tissue-
resident antibodies commonly associated with allergies, which can trigger powerful immune responses through strong affinity for their particular receptors on effector cells, could be employed for passive immunotherapy of solid tumors such as ovarian and breast carcinomas. A possible interaction between macrophages and eosinophils in ductal morphogenesis is considered, along with the roles of other chemokines. This role of macrophages in normal development also appears to be subverted by tumors of the mammary gland to promote the escape of the tumor cells from the local environment and enhance their rate of metastasis. These data emphasize the dual role of macrophages in the promotion of epithelial growth in normal and cancer states [15] Eosinophils are correlated to linked to a favourable prognosis in colorectal, breast and prostate cancers [16].

In other studies, certain types of lymphoid and solid tumors have been associated with the infiltration of eosinophils into cancerous tissues [16-18], particularly specific lymphomas and Hodgkin’s disease. Although tumor-related eosinophilia was considered to be an epiphenomenon arising from the spontaneous elaboration of IL-5 from tumor cells, or overproduction of T cells during chemotherapy with IL-2 [19], there is evidence of eosinophil activation as a result of IL-2 antitumor therapy [20,21]. In some cases, tissue eosinophilia is considered to be a positive prognosis for head and neck cancers [22] and advanced bladder cancer [23]. Specifically, in oral squamous cancer, eosinophils are a positive prognosis for early stages of disease (Stages I and II), but an unfavorable prognosis for advanced cases (Stages III-IV) [24,25].

The involvement of eosinophil-derived cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors in neoplasias associated with eosinophilic infiltration is partially understood. In oral squamous cell carcinoma with tumor-associated tissue eosinophilia (TATE), the source of CCL11/eotaxin is apparently eosinophils [26]. The cultured oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line SCC9 secretes chemotactic prostaglandin D2, which promotes eosinophil transmigration, thus providing a signal to recruit eosinophils into tumor masses [27]. Eosinophils that infiltrate into tumors/lymphomas also express IL-6, TGFβ, and CCL24/eotaxin-2 [28]. On-going efficacy, safety evaluations and future first-in-man clinical studies of IgE therapeutics constitute key metrics for this concept, providing new scope for antibody immunotherapies for solid tumors [8].

However, while numerous studies have highlighted a role for eosinophil recruitment and activation in many types of cancers, and that eosinophils express receptors and mediators shared with cytotoxic T cells [29], there are few that implicate eosinophil-derived cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors in the regulation of cancer growth. Interestingly, as described above, a recent study showed that human eosinophils possessed tumoricidal activity toward a colon cancerous cell line in culture by releasing TNF [30]. The tumor-killing effects of eosinophil-derived TNF were the first description of a cytokine, chemokine, or growth factor elaborated by eosinophils implicating a role for these immunomodulators in cancer. The more restricted role of eosinophils and their chemotaxic agent eotaxin during pubertal ductal morphogenesis is also discussed by Gouon-Evans V et al. [31]. A possible interaction between macrophages and eosinophils in ductal morphogenesis is considered, along with the roles of other chemokines. This role of macrophages in normal development also appears to be subverted by tumors of the mammary gland to promote the escape of the tumor cells from the local environment and enhance their rate of metastasis. These data emphasize the dual role of macrophages in the promotion of epithelial growth in normal and cancer states.

Injection of IL-17E increases the efficiency of chemotherapy and results in eosinophilia [32]. Eosinophils express IL-17 as determined by immunocytochemistry and Western blot analysis [33]. Thus, the production of IL-17 from eosinophils may be important in protection of the host against cancers.

Moreover, since eosinophils produce numerous growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), as well as a number of other factors that promote angiogenesis, it is possible that eosinophilic inflammation is implicated in tumor neovascularization [34]. Hypothetically eosinophil-derived cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors may be involved in enhancing T cell-mediated tumor killing, particularly at the level of the local tissue environment where large numbers of infiltrating eosinophils accumulate and are actively degranulating onto tumor cells [35].

Taken together, these findings suggest that eosinophils may serve as important components of the immune system, and their cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors may contribute to augment inflammatory responses in allergy and other conditions. Further studies are awaited to understand the involvement of eosinophil-derived immunomodulatory factors in the regulation of tumor growth and cancer survival, and the extent to which the release of eosinophil derived factors may be manipulated for therapeutic benefit. Karagiannis et al. [14] As described by Samoszuk M [17] eosinophils may play an important role in the host interaction with the tumor, perhaps by promoting angiogenesis and connective tissue formation adjacent to the cancer. In addition, tumor-related eosinophilia provides some interesting clues into tumor biology, particularly with regard to production of cytokines by the tumor cells. It will be interesting to see how this emerging eosinophil role develops as it seems that eosinophils are a double edged sword that have to be used carefully to harness the benefits and keep the detrimental effects at bay in their role of cancer diagnostics.

It has been hypothesised that eosinophil-derived cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors may be involved in enhancing T cell-mediated tumor killing, particularly at the level of the local tissue environment where large numbers of infiltrating eosinophils accumulate and are actively degranulating onto tumor cells. Eosinophils may serve as important components of natural immunity, and their cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors may contribute to augment inflammatory responses in allergy, cancer and other conditions.
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