Bloom syndrome in a Mexican American family with rhabdomyosarcoma: evidence of a Mexican founder mutation
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INTRODUCTION

Bloom syndrome (BS; MIM 210900) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder that clinically manifests as growth retardation, immunodeficiency, facial and cranial abnormalities, sun sensitivity, diabetes mellitus, reduced fertility, and increased cancer predisposition. This syndrome was first reported in 1954 by dermatologist Dr. David Bloom who reported development of telangiectasia in children with short stature (Bloom 1954), and knowledge of the phenotype was greatly expanded by German and Passarge (1989). The cancer phenotype observed in BS patients is broad and likely recapitulates the various malignancies present in the general population, albeit with a propensity for earlier onset and development of additional primary cancers (German 1997).

Abstract

Bloom syndrome is a rare autosomal recessive disorder with less than 300 cases reported in the literature. Bloom syndrome is characterized by chromosome instability, physical stigmata, growth deficiency, immunodeficiency, and a predisposition to cancer, most commonly leukemias, although solid tumors are reported as well. Bloom syndrome occurs in multiple ethnic groups with a higher incidence in persons of Ashkenazi Jewish origin. Few patients of Hispanic ethnicity have been reported. We report here a Mexican American family with a BLM pathogenic variant, c.2506_2507delAG, previously reported in a single patient from Mexico. In this family of four siblings, three have phenotypic features of Bloom syndrome, and BLM gene mutation was homozygous in these affected individuals. Our proband developed a rhabdomyosarcoma. Analysis of surrounding markers in the germline DNA revealed a common haplotype, suggesting a previously unrecognized founder mutation in the Hispanic population of Mexican origin.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
The BLM gene, responsible for BS in an autosomal recessive manner (Ellis et al. 1995; Hickson 2003), encodes for one of five human RecQ helicase family members (Hanada and Hickson 2007). A molecular hallmark of BS is the 10-fold increase in sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs). Because of this increase of SCEs in BS cells, BLM was hypothesized to act as an antirecombinogenic protein, suppressing spontaneous homologous recombination (HR) (Chaganti et al. 1974). In addition to increased SCEs, BS cells also have increased chromosome breaks and gaps, mutations, and micronuclei. Biochemical studies using synthetic oligonucleotide structures showed that BLM acts on specific DNA structures (Mohaghegh et al. 2001), suppresses crossing-over during HR by dissolution of these structures (Wu and Hickson 2003), and promotes branch migration (Karow et al. 2000) and annealing of complementary single-stranded DNA (Cheok et al. 2005)—all processes involved in HR.

The increased incidence of cancer observed in BS patients is believed to be caused by increased chromosomal instability, as exemplified in the marked increase in SCEs and issues in appropriate chromosomal segregation.

Although Bloom syndrome has been reported in multiple races and ethnicities from around the world (German and Passarge 1989), it is most commonly reported in persons of Ashkenazi Jewish background, largely because of the presence of a founder mutation, blmAsh, a unique deletion and insertion at nucleotide 2281. Interestingly, Ellis et al. (1998) reported this same mutation in five Spanish-speaking families, not self-reporting as Jewish in the United States, Mexico, and El Salvador. It is uncertain, although possible, that these individuals descended from the Ashkenazi population many generations ago. However, Individuals of non-Ashkenazi descent with Bloom syndrome overall are reported in much smaller numbers with only two other previously reported from Hispanic individuals in a single report, one from the United States and one from Mexico (German et al. 2007).

**Case Report**

Our proband was a Hispanic male child, born in the United States in close proximity to the Mexican border. The patient presented at the age of 3 yr, 3 mo with a several-month history of a right-sided scrotal mass. The patient had previously been seen by a physician for failure to thrive; however, no genetic testing was performed at that time. The patient displayed short stature, failure to thrive, and developmental delay. Both height and weight were below the first percentile for age. The child was thin with notably little subcutaneous fat. Microcephaly was noted and the patient had a long thin face with coarse facial features. Multiple hyperpigmented lesions were noted in multiple body areas. He had left-sided cryptorchidism. He had normal serum glucose level and no history of diabetes.

Biopsy of the scrotal mass revealed a paratesticular embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. The hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections from the biopsy material showed a variety of patterns ranging from hypercellular through moderately hypocellular areas, the latter with fibrosis. Especially noteworthy in the hypercellular areas were a mixture of small cells and spindle cells, the latter arranged in short fascicles (Fig. 1A). The cells all showed pleomorphic nuclei with hyperchromasia. Some of the smaller cells also showed very prominent eosinophilic cytoplasm highly reminiscent of rhabdomyoblasts (Fig. 1B). Tumor cells were confined to the paratesticular soft tissue without extension into testicular parenchyma and epididymis. Immunostaining showed strong cytoplasmic positivity with desmin and muscle-specific actin (Fig. 2A,B). Immunostaining with myogenin showed strong nuclear positivity; INI-1 showed retention of nuclear positivity (Fig. 2C,D).

Subsequent molecular analysis performed at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital was negative for t(2;13) and t(1;13) translocation, corresponding to the PAX3/FKHR and PAX7/FKHR fusion products.
This molecular finding and the morphologic features of the tumor were consistent with a rhabdomyosarcoma of embryonal subtype.

Evaluation for metastatic disease was negative, and the tumor was determined to be in a clinical low-risk category, staged Stage I, group 2A. The patient was successfully treated with vincristine, actinomycin-D, and cyclophosphamide for 13 wk, followed by an additional 24 wk of vincristine and actinomycin-D without cyclophosphamide. Radiation therapy was not included based on favorable stage and grade. The patient had moderate thrombocytopenia expected of this type of chemotherapy, but no excessive adverse effects and no evidence of disease recurrence at 4 yr from diagnosis. The patient had hypogammaglobulinemia noted early in his course and was supported with immunoglobulin infusions during and after his treatment. The patient is alive and without disease >8 yr after completion of therapy.

Prior to the diagnosis, the family had resided in South Texas on the United States–Mexico border. Both parents were born in the northern region of Mexico in proximity to the United

**Figure 1.** (A) Tumor histology: hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) section of tumor showing a mixture of spindle and small round cells with hyperchromatic pleomorphic nuclei (H&E, 200×). (B) Small cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm (blue arrows) highly suggestive of rhabdomyoblasts (H&E, 400×).

**Figure 2.** Immunohistochemical (IHC) studies. (A,B) IHC stain for desmin and muscle-specific actin, respectively, showing strong cytoplasmic positivity (200×). (C,D) IHC stain for myogenin and INI1, respectively, demonstrating nuclear positivity (200×).
States–Mexico border and had immigrated to the United States. The patient had three siblings: two brothers and one sister. None of the siblings had been previously evaluated for a genetic disorder, although the two male siblings were noted to have facial features similar to our patient. There was no report of consanguinity within the family. The patient, siblings, and mother were available for genetic analysis. The father was unavailable for genetic analysis.

RESULTS

A 2-bp deletion mutation in exon 12 of BLM, c.2506_2507delAG (BLM\textsuperscript{mex}) was observed to be homozygous in our proband and in his older and younger brothers (Table 1; Fig. 3A). His older sister and mother were heterozygous for this mutation.

The mutation status of each of the siblings was confirmed in a CLIA-certified clinical laboratory. Subsequently whole-exome analysis was performed on all available family members using the NCBI reference genome GRCh37. Analysis of the BLM locus utilized RefSeqID:NM 000057.2. Copy-number variant (CNV) analysis was carried out and confirmed that none of the available family members had other deletions of the BLM gene. Known single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) flanking the BLM gene at Chr 15: 88122296–95997063 were analyzed and found to be nearly identical in the three siblings known to be homozygous for the BLM\textsuperscript{mex} mutation (Fig. 3B).

Based on the results, the haplotype of SNPs surrounding the BLM allele inherited from the father could be imputed and we noted that both parents carried the same haplotype surrounding the BLM\textsuperscript{mex} allele (Fig. 3C). Other regions of the genome were evaluated for potential identity by descent, but the regions were not sufficiently similar to suggest the parents were closely related. However, the presence of the identical haplotype surrounding the BLM\textsuperscript{mex} mutation in each of the carriers and affected individuals suggests the possibility of a founder mutation that initially arose in a common ancestor of the parents. Variant properties are summarized in Table 1.

Analysis of genetic admixture confirmed similarity to the Mexican American population as compared to admixture of a known population of individuals from southern California included in the 1000 Genomes Project and known to be of Mexican background. Results of genetic admixture analysis are shown in Figure 4.

DISCUSSION

Here we report a novel case of Bloom syndrome in a Hispanic family with four children with molecular characterization of the BLM gene and surrounding region. The proband presented several phenotypic features consistent with Bloom syndrome and a paratesticular rhabdomyosarcoma. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of rhabdomyosarcoma in a child with Bloom syndrome, although other solid tumors in childhood, including Wilms tumor, osteosarcoma, and medulloblastoma, have been reported (German 1997).

| Table 1. Variant properties |
|-----------------------------|
| Gene | Chromosome | HGVS DNA reference | Variant type | Predicted effect | dbsNP/dbVar ID | Genotype | ClinVar ID | Parent of origin | Comment |
|-----|------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| BLM | 15         | NM_0000057.2        | Pathogenic  | Truncation      | BLM, c.2506_2507delAG | Homozygous | SCV001481971 | Mother and father | Mexican founder mutation |
Sequencing of the \textit{BLM} gene revealed the presence of the \textit{BLM\textsubscript{Mex}} allele that was reported once before in two Hispanic individuals, one from Mexico and the other from New Mexico (German et al. 2007). In this report we document three additional patients with BS due to homozygosity of this mutant \textit{BLM} allele in a family of Mexican descent living near the Texas–Mexico border and demonstrate homozygosity of flanking markers, suggesting a founder mutation.

Bloom syndrome is rare in the general population with the true incidence difficult to determine because of its overall rarity and possible underreporting, especially in distant or minority populations. Initial reports suggested that Bloom syndrome occurred more frequently in persons of Ashkenazi background. Additional analyses have reported broad genetic heterogeneity among individuals and families with Bloom syndrome; however, in a large series by German et al. it was noted that about one-third of observed mutations (19 of 64) were common in two or more individuals, suggesting possible common inheritance and thus founder mutations (German et al. 2007). Such recurring mutations were noted in families of Japanese, Italian, South American, and Spanish American as well as other European and North American heritage. A separate study from Russia reports a recurring heterozygous \textit{BLM} allele (Q548X) in Slavic individuals with an adjacent additional variant, suggesting a founder allele and moreover that the variant is more common in individuals with breast cancer or a family history of breast cancer (Sokolenko et al. 2012). Most patients

\textbf{Figure 3.} Sequence and haplotype analysis at \textit{BLM} locus. (A) Pedigree of family carrying the \textit{BLM} Mexican mutant allele (c.2506_2507delAG). Arrow indicates proband. Filled in shapes are individuals diagnosed with Bloom syndrome. The genotype, as determined by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), is listed below each individual. * indicates the genotype is inferred. (B) A closer look at the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) closest to \textit{BLM} and their potential haplotypes. Each circle represents a single SNP, and each half of the circle corresponds to the allele identity for that individual. Green indicates reference allele, and red indicates alternative allele (listed below the circles). SNPs rs28385010 and rs3815003 are within the \textit{BLM} gene. Blue and pink arrowheads indicate likely paternal and maternal (respectively) \textit{BLM} mutant haplotype. * indicates the genotype is inferred. (C) SNP genotyping surrounding \textit{BLM} using whole-exome sequencing. Each row contains the genotype information for the indicated individual for informative SNPs (i.e., those that had complete genotypes for all five individuals and at least one individual varied from the others) flanking the \textit{BLM} gene on Chromosome 15. Green indicates homozygous reference allele, red indicates homozygous alternative allele, and yellow indicates heterozygosity. SNPs that decrease within the \textit{BLM} sequence are indicated by the arrow.
with Bloom syndrome in the non-Ashkenazi population have compound heterozygous mutations of BLM including those individuals with a recurrent mutation. Consanguinity of families with parents being related at least as second cousins were also reported in both Ashkenazi and non-Ashkenazi families, which presented homozygosity of the BLM mutation. Our finding of a homozygosity at the BLM locus in our patient is therefore notable. As the Hispanic population of Mexican descent is historically an understudied population in genetic disorders, it is possible that the allele we observe with the BLM mutation could be carried by others and could account for other undiagnosed or uncharacterized individuals with Bloom syndrome in this population. The native Mexican population is notably complex with regional genetically defined differentiation and substructure for several other variants of functional or disease significance (Ávila-Arcos 2020). Further studies will be needed to better determine the ancestral origin in terms of ancestral age and region of this reported BLM founder.

Bloom syndrome is associated with an increased risk of early-onset cancers, the most common of which is leukemia. An early report by German indicated that three of 19 individuals with Bloom syndrome developed cancers including a child with leukemia (German et al. 1965). Solid tumors in children have been reported including Wilms tumor and medulloblastomas in children and various carcinomas in adults (German 1997). We are not aware of other cases of rhabdomyosarcoma in children with Bloom syndrome; however, this may in fact be in part due to the overall rarity of rhabdomyosarcoma. It is also possible that other genetic pathogenic variants contributed to the cancer phenotype in our proband; however, none was determined.

Rhabdomyosarcoma in young children has also been associated with germline mutation of TP53 (Diller et al. 1995). It is perhaps also notable that in a mouse model heterozygous for loss of the Pch1 gene, additional loss of Blm has been associated with development of rhabdomyosarcoma as well as basal cell carcinoma (Davari et al. 2010). However, no germline pathogenic variant in TP53 or PTCH1 was detected in our patient (data not shown).

Screening and successful early detection of cancers in patients with Bloom syndrome is difficult; however, recent consensus recommendation from the American Association of Cancer Research Working Group in Cancer Predisposition and others have suggested avoidance of radiation, limited sun exposure, and periodic complete blood counts, screening for...
Wilms tumor with ultrasound through age 8, and adherence to human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination recommendations (Cunniff et al. 2017, 2018; Walsh et al. 2017). Long-term follow-up studies of additional patients or cohorts of families with Bloom syndrome will be needed to determine effectiveness of these interventions in enhancing early detection and decreasing cancer morbidity and mortality.

**METHODS**

Clinical suspicion of Bloom syndrome in our proband led to sequence analysis of the BLM gene in a commercial laboratory. A 2-bp deletion mutation was found in exon 12 of BLM, c.2506_2507delAG, causing a frameshift at codon 836 that would normally encode for arginine. After approval from the Institutional Review Board for further investigational genetic studies (HSC2008057H), subsequent sequence analysis of the available family members was performed at the investigators’ laboratory.

To develop a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based diagnostic of the Mexican mutation, we analyzed the sequences of exon 12 of the human BLM gene and identified that c.2506_2507delAG results in the loss of an EcoRII site. Primers (forward: 5′-AACC CCACACTGAGTGAACGAGTCT-3′ and reverse: 5′-GTAAGTTGTTGACACGTACGT-3′) were designed to amplify a 370-bp fragment of exon 12 using standard PCR reaction conditions. PCR products were visualized using a 1.0% agarose gel stained with EtBr. All confirmed amplicons underwent purification using the QIAGEN PCR cleanup kit according to manufacture protocol. Twenty-five nanograms of the product was restriction-digested with EcoRII and results visualized using a 1.5% ethidium bromide–stained agarose gel. Postdigestion, wild-type DNA will resolve into three fragments (229 bp, 83 bp, 58 bp) versus the c.2506_2507delAG variant DNA into two fragments (310 bp, 58 bp).

Upon confirmation that the EcoRII digestion from the proband’s blood DNA served as a diagnostic for this specific Bloom syndrome variant, we repeated the exon 12 amplification and EcoRII digestion on DNA isolated from buccal swabs of three siblings and blood from the maternal parent of the proband. The results from the PCR assay were confirmed by subsequent whole-exome capture sequencing performed on all available family members and confirmed the variant pattern in family. In addition, the sequence variant was also confirmed with an additional blood sample in a clinical laboratory for the purpose of patient care. A summary of sequencing coverage is provided in the Supplemental File.

To confirm the reported ethnicity and ancestry, genetic admixture was determined from whole-exome data by the reported method of using a panel of exonic polymorphisms and compared to previously characterized populations (Wang et al. 2019).

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Data Deposition and Access**

The variant has been submitted to ClinVar (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) and can be found under accession number SCV001481971.
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