Gate tunable magneto-resistance of ultra-thin WTe$_2$ devices

Xin Liu$^1$, Zhiran Zhang$^1$, Chaoyi Cai$^2$, Shibing Tian$^1$, Satya Kushwaha$^3$, Hong Lu$^1$, Takashi Taniguchi$^3$, Kenji Watanabe$^3$, Robert J Cava$^2$, Shuang Jia$^4$ and Jian-Hao Chen$^1$

$^1$ International Center for Quantum Materials, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People’s Republic of China
$^2$ Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, United States of America
$^3$ High Pressure Group, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan
$^4$ Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, People’s Republic of China

E-mail: liux23@pku.edu.cn (X Liu), jiashuang@gmail.com (S Jia) and chenjianhao@pku.edu.cn (J-H Chen)

Keywords: transition metal dichalcogenide, electronic device, solid-dielectric gate, magneto-resistance

Supplementary material for this article is available online

Abstract

In this work, the magneto-resistance (MR) of ultra-thin WTe$_2$/BN heterostructures far away from electron–hole equilibrium is measured. The change of MR of such devices is found to be determined largely by a single tunable parameter, i.e. the amount of imbalance between electrons and holes. We also found that the magnetoresistive behavior of ultra-thin WTe$_2$ devices is well-captured by a two-fluid model. According to the model, the change of MR could be as large as 400 000%, the largest potential change of MR among all materials known, if the ultra-thin samples are tuned to neutrality. The change of MR of such devices is found to be determined largely by the density of electron–hole compensation, and the results support the picture that the XMR arises from such near-perfect compensation of electrons and holes, i.e. a two-fluid picture. However, electrolyte gating experiments on thinner WTe$_2$ samples (~70 nm) have shown non-saturating XMR that deviates from the two-fluid theory. Here, we have carried out careful experiments with solid-dielectric gated ultra-thin WTe$_2$ samples (~10 nm) that are far away from charge neutrality. We find that in this regime, the MR of the samples can still be well explained by the two-fluid model, and the sample shows 2D weak anti-localization effects at low temperatures. We also found that the change of MR of the ultra-thin WTe$_2$ is determined largely by the density difference between the electron and hole carriers, pointing to possible future application of this material in electric-field tunable, variable sensitivity magnetic field sensors.

Introduction

WTe$_2$ is a layered transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) with a distorted structure that preserves inversion symmetry in the out-of-plane direction, contrasting with TMDCs with 2H phases, such as 2H-MoS$_2$ [1]. The material in its pristine bulk form is a semimetal [2, 3]. It exhibits rich physics such as extraordinary large and non-saturating magneto-resistance (XMR) [4], superconductivity under high pressure [5, 6], and may be a type-II Weyl semimetal (WSM) at a particular level of electron doping [7–10]. Furthermore, reports on thin films of WTe$_2$ show the tunability of magneto-resistance revealing interesting new phenomena such as the transition from weak anti-localization to weak localization [11], the depletion of holelike carriers in the suppressed-MR regime [12], long-range field effect [13], the topological insulator-like behavior [14], and negative longitudinal MR indicating WTe$_2$ a type-II WSM [15]. The origin of the XMR in WTe$_2$, together with its potential application in magnetic field sensing and in information storage, has attracted much attention in the scientific and technical community [16–21]. The majority of the research in XMR has been carried-out in bulk WTe$_2$ samples with near-perfect electron–hole compensation, and the results support the picture that the XMR arises from such near-perfect compensation of electrons and holes [17], i.e. a two-fluid picture. However, electrolyte gating experiments on thinner WTe$_2$ samples (~70 nm) have shown non-saturating XMR that deviates from the two-fluid theory [18]. Here, we have carried out careful experiments with solid-dielectric gated ultra-thin WTe$_2$ samples (~10 nm) that are far away from charge neutrality. We find that in this regime, the MR of the samples can still be well explained by the two-fluid model, and the sample shows 2D weak anti-localization effects at low temperatures. We also found that the change of MR of the ultra-thin WTe$_2$ is determined largely by the density difference between the electron and hole carriers, pointing to possible future application of this material in electric-field tunable, variable sensitivity magnetic field sensors [22–24].

Device fabrication and measurement

The ultra-thin WTe$_2$ samples measured in this letter are mechanically exfoliated from bulk WTe$_2$ crystal and transferred on to thin h-BN single crystals placed...
on 300 nm SiO$_2$/Si substrates [25]. We found that using single crystal BN substrates resulted in an increase in the mobility of our samples which are 10 nm thick or less (see section S4 at supporting information for details (stacks.iop.org/TDM/4/021018/mmedia)). WTe$_2$ bulk crystals are synthesized using chemical vapor transport technique [4] and h-BN bulk crystals are grown by the method described in [26]. The thin h-BN (thickness ~ 15 nm) surface is free of dangling bonds, greatly alleviates the influence of surface charge traps in the SiO$_2$ layer, and could substantially improve quality of low-dimensional devices. Standard electron-beam lithography technique is used to pattern electrodes, consisting of 6 nm Cr and 60 nm Au, on the WTe$_2$ samples to form multi-terminal field effect devices (FEDs). We have taken particularly careful measures to ensure that the samples do not expose to ambient conditions at all. The sample preparation process, device fabrication process and electrical transport measurement are done in inert atmosphere, or with the sample capped with a protection layer. The protection layer consists of 200 nm thick polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) or a bilayer of 200 nm PMMA and 200 nm MMA. Electrical- and magneto-transport measurements were carried out in a Quantum Design PPMS-9 with standard lock-in technique.

We shall focus our discussion on one device (sample A) in the main text; data for other WTe$_2$ devices are shown in supporting information. An atomic force microscopy (AFM) micrograph of a 10 nm-thick WTe$_2$ device as well as its height section profile is shown in figure 1(a). Figure 1(b) shows the $I$–$V$ characteristics of the WTe$_2$ FED at 1.9 K under zero magnetic field and zero back gate voltage, measured with two-probe configurations (between source and drain electrodes shown in the inset of figure 1(a)). The source–drain current ($I_{sd}$) varies quite linearly with the applied voltage $V_{sd}$ from $-0.8$ mV to 0.8 mV, with resistivity of $4.26 \times 10^{-4}$ $\Omega \cdot$ cm, indicating an Ohmic contact to a metallic sample. Raman spectra of the device (see section S3 in supporting information) obtained in a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRam HR Evolution system after the transport experiments showed that the WTe$_2$ sample is indeed in the 1T$'$ phase [27] and has not degraded.

**Magneto-transport mechanism of WTe$_2$ FET devices**

Figures 2(a) and (b) show the longitudinal magneto-resistance $MR(B) = (\rho_x(B) - \rho_x(B = 0))/\rho_x(B = 0)$ and Hall resistance $\rho_{xy}$ of the sample at $T = 1.9$ K, with the magnetic field applied perpendicular to the sample surface (along the $c$ axis), and at different back gate voltages. All measurements of magneto-resistance are done in four-probe configurations to eliminate contact effects. The sample exhibits a positive $MR(B)$ and quasi-linear $\rho_{xy}(B)$ under all the gate voltages investigated in this experiment. For small magnetic field, $MR(B)$ has a cusp-shape which will be discussed later. For magnetic fields larger than 1 T, $MR(B)$ can be fitted by a power law behavior, $MR \propto B^\gamma$, with the exponent $\gamma$ between 1.62 and 1.69 for different gate voltages, which is smaller than the exponent $\gamma \sim 1.94$ observed in an 112 nm-thick sample (see section S1 in supporting information), and smaller than the exponent $\gamma \sim 2$ observed bulk crystals [4]. We will show later in this report that weak anti-localization should be taken into account (see figure 6) and the exponent $\gamma$ is not a constant of magnetic field for electron–hole uncompensated samples (see section S7 in supporting information).

It has been found by transport [28–30] and ARPES [16, 17, 31, 32] experiments that bulk WTe$_2$ crystals have 4–9 carrier pockets; however, researchers are just starting to examine how these pockets evolve as the sample is getting thinner [33]. Here we started out to analyze the data with the ansatz that there are two major types of carriers in the sample, one type is electrons and the other type is holes. We will show that this ansatz captures the major-
ity of the physics in the high field magnetoresistance of ultra-thin WTe$_2$ samples, and that it is also consistent with low field magnetoresistance data.

In a two-fluid model [34], we have

$$\rho_{xx} = \frac{1}{e} \left[ (n_e u_e + n_h u_h) + (n_e u_e + n_h u_h)u_e u_h B^2 \right]$$

where $n_e$ ($n_h$) and $u_e$ ($u_h$) are the electron (hole) carrier density and mobility, respectively. At all the gate voltages, both the MR and $\rho_{xy}$ of the ultra-thin device can be simultaneously fitted by equations (2) and (3), and $n_e$, $n_h$, $u_e$, $u_h$ can be extracted from the fit. Using the least squares method, we determine the values of the four parameters with minimum error (see section S9 in supporting information for details).

Figure 2(c) shows the net charge carrier density $n = n_e - n_h$ as a function of $V_{bg}$, and the dashed line is a linear fit to the data. The induced charge in the sample by the silicon back gate is:

$$n_e = C_g \Delta V_{bg}$$

where $e$ is the elementary charge and $C_g$ is the parallel-plate capacitance of the device per unit area. Thus from the linear fit, we obtained a gate capacitance of $C_g = 1.062 \times 10^{-4} \text{ F m}^{-2}$. Since the dielectric in our device consists of 15 nm of h-BN (relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{h-BN} \approx 3.5$) and 300 nm of SiO$_2$ ($\varepsilon_{SiO_2} \approx 3.9$), one can get the series capacitance for this multilayer system to be $C'_g = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{h-BN}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{SiO_2}} = 1.089 \times 10^{-4} \text{ F m}^{-2}$, in good agreement with our experimental data. The above analysis show that the longitudinal magnetoresistance of the device can be tuned electrostatically and that the phenomenological two-fluid model captures the main feature of the magneto-transport properties of our ultra-thin WTe$_2$ samples. Note that the ultra-thin samples in this study are predominantly electron-doped, with electron densities 5–10 times larger than hole densities; in comparison, thicker samples (the 112 nm-thick sample, see section S1 in supporting information) exfoliated from the same bulk crystal are found to be close to charge neutrality. The imbalance between electrons and holes in the ultra-thin devices are likely due to unintentional doping from the device fabrication process; such imbalance also allows us to access the highly electron-doped regime in ultra-thin WTe$_2$ samples to test the applicability of the two-fluid model [4, 18].
Figure 2(d) shows the gate-dependent mobility for electrons and holes. We note that the electron mobility decreases as the density becomes larger at $T = 1.9$ K, suggesting that charged impurities are not the dominating scattering source in this regime [35]. From figure 2(d) as well as from the inset of figure 2(c), we find that $n_e$ and $u_e$ are being effectively tuned by the gate voltage while $n_h$ and $u_h$ are much less affected by $V_g$, which is likely caused by the fact that the density of states of electrons is much larger than that of holes in this highly electron-doped regime.

Figures 3(a) and (b) show the temperature-dependent MR and $\rho_{xy}$ of the device from $T = 1.9$ K to 150 K and at zero gate voltage. The cusp-shape in MR at small magnetic field diminishes rapidly as the temperature increases, and will be discussed in detail later. At magnetic field larger than 2 T, fitting the MR by a power law behavior $\propto B^\gamma$ results in a temperature-dependent exponent $\gamma$ that changes from ~1.69 at $T = 1.9$ K to 2 at $T = 150$ K. At the meantime, $\rho_{xy}$ remains linear in $B$ with its slope $k$ changes monotonically at different temperatures. We are going to show in this letter that such temperature-dependence of $\gamma$ and $k$ is also well captured by the phenomenological two-fluid model.

Similar to the analysis of the gate dependent magnetoresistance, the MR and $\rho_{xy}$ of the device at different temperatures are fitted simultaneously by equations (2) and (3), and the dependencies of $n_e$, $n_h$, $u_e$, $u_h$ on temperature are extracted from the fit. Figure 3(c) shows the dependence of the net carrier charge $n_e - n_h$ as a function of temperature. It can be seen that by lowering the temperature, the sample rapidly tends to its charge neutrality on cooling from 150 K to 50 K; the trend slows down below 50 K and saturates from 12 K to 1.9 K. The mobilities $u_e$ and $u_h$, on the other hand, increase following a power law of $\mu \propto T^{-\alpha}$ from 150 K to 50 K and then saturate from 12 K to 1.9 K. This suggests a connection between the decrease in $n_e - n_h$ and the increase of $u_e$ and $u_h$, which is consistent with the carrier density dependent measurements at a fixed temperature. Temperature-dependent movement of chemical potential has been seen in multiple semimetal bulk crystals [31, 36–38], and has been attributed to be the cause of a temperature-induced Lifshitz transition for WTe$_2$ bulk crystals [31]. Thus it is not surprising to see such temperature-dependent $n_e - n_h$ in ultra-thin WTe$_2$ samples. A fit to a power law behavior of the decreasing mobility with increasing temperature give an exponent $\alpha$ for electrons ($\alpha = 0.61$) and holes ($\alpha = 0.48$); these values are similar to those for few-layer black phosphorus [39] and dual-gated monolayer MoS$_2$ [40]. However, they are smaller than the theoretically predicted value ($\alpha \sim 1.52$) [41] and smaller than our experimental data obtained from bulk WTe$_2$ samples ($\alpha \sim 1.30–1.51$).
(see section S2 in supporting information). The suppression of $\alpha$ is considered to be caused by a quenching of the characteristic homopolar mode in sandwiched ultra-thin device structures [40]. In the case of WTe$_2$, this means that ultra-thin samples can preserve their mobility, thus preserving their response to magnetic field, much better than their bulk counterparts at room temperature (see section S2 in supporting information), which is good for technological applications.

Since the ultra-thin WTe$_2$ sample is not at the charge neutral point, we expect a saturation of magnetoresistance for high enough magnetic field, if the transport behavior of the sample follows the two-fluid model. Indeed, if we look closely into the MR curves, we confirm such saturation at high magnetic field. Figures 4(a) and (b) show the gate-voltage dependent MR and temperature dependent MR plotted in log–log scale. It can be seen that for all the gate voltages we applied at $1.9 \, \text{K}$, the MR of the sample deviates from the $B^2$ functional form, while conforming to the prediction of the two-fluid model. In figure 4(a), the blue solid line is the difference between the MR data at $V_g = -80 \, \text{V}$ at $T = 1.9 \, \text{K}$ and the $B^2$ behavior, while the wine solid line is the difference of the same data to the prediction of the two fluid model. In figure 4(b), the blue solid line is the difference between the MR data and the $B^2$ behavior, while the wine solid line is the difference between the same data and the prediction of the two fluid model.

Figure 4. (a) Gate-voltage dependent MR plotted on a log–log scale. The orange dashed lines are the two-fluid model fit to the MR at $V_g = -80 \, \text{V}$ at $T = 1.9 \, \text{K}$, the purple dashed line is a $B^2$ MR curve as a guide to the eye; the blue solid line is the difference between the MR data at $V_g = -80 \, \text{V}$ at $T = 1.9 \, \text{K}$ and the $B^2$ behavior, while the wine solid line is the difference of the same data to the prediction of the two fluid model. (b) Temperature dependent MR plotted on a log–log scale. The orange dashed lines are the two-fluid model fit to the MR at $V_g = 0 \, \text{V}$ at $T = 150 \, \text{K}$, the purple dashed line is a $B^2$ MR curve as a guide to the eye; the blue solid line is the difference between the MR data and the $B^2$ behavior, while the wine solid line is the difference between the same data and the prediction of the two fluid model.

The largest change of MR measured in our experiment is 850%, in which the 2D electron–hole imbalance is tuned from $8 \times 10^{19} \, \text{cm}^{-3}$ to $3.2 \times 10^{18} \, \text{cm}^{-3}$. If we reached charge neutrality in this particular device, the change of MR could be 8400% (see section S8 in supporting information for the calculation). Furthermore, as fabrication techniques improve, we expect the mobility of ultra-thin WTe$_2$ devices to finally approach that of bulk crystals. (Such rapid improvement of device fabrication techniques has been seen in the field of graphene, where it did not take a long time for the mobilities of graphene devices to improve from 10 000 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ to 100 000 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [25].) Using a fixed mobility value of $1.67 \times 10^5 \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{V}^{-1} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ from [43] for both MR($p/n = 1$) and MR($p/n = 0.1559$), we project a change of MR of 400 000%. Note that this should be a lower bound for the estimation, as the mobility at the neutrality point should be much higher than when $p/n = 0.1559$ (see figure 2(d) and supporting information for more details). Thus we expect ultra-thin WTe$_2$ to be a very useful electric-field-tuned magnetoresistance material in future technological applications.
Continuing the analysis of our observations, figure 6(a) shows the change of conductance \( \Delta \sigma_{xx}(B) = \sigma_{xx}(B) - \sigma_{xx}(B = 0) \) of the ultra-thin WTe\(_2\) device at \( T = 1.9 \) K, after subtracting the classical contribution determined by the two-fluid model, and for the largest gate voltages \( V_g = \pm 80 \) V applied in experiment. The low field magneto-conductance shows a peak that can be strongly modified by the gate voltage. Figure 6(b) shows the \( \Delta \sigma_{xx}(B) \) curves for \( T = 2 \) K, 12 K and 30 K at gate voltage \( V_g = -30 \) V. The dashed lines in (a) and (b) are weak anti-localization fit to the data between \( \pm 1 \) T of magnetic field. (c) and (d) Phase related field \( B_\phi \) as a function of back gate voltage at \( T = 1.9 \) K (c) and at different temperatures at \( V_g = -30 \) V (d).
magneto-conductance can no longer be detected. The magnitude and the temperature dependence of the low field magneto-conductance are characteristics of 2D weak anti-localization, similar to previously reported result in ultra-thin WTe\textsubscript{2} devices [15, 19]. We fit our experimental data to the Hikami–Larkin–Nagaoka (HLN) equation [44]:

\[
\Delta \sigma_{xx}(B) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi^2\hbar} \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_0}{B} - \ln \frac{B_0}{B} \right] - 2\psi \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_0 + B_{so}}{B} + 2 \ln \frac{B_0 + B_{so}}{B} \right] - \psi \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_0 + 2B_{so}}{B} + \ln \frac{B_0 + 2B_{so}}{B} \right]
\]

where \( \psi \) is the digamma function, \( B_0 = \frac{\hbar}{4e}\pi n \) is the characteristic field related to phase coherence length (time) \( \tau_0 \) and spin–orbit interaction terms. \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck constant.

In small magnetic field (\( B < 1 \) T), we find \( B_{so} \) is too large to affect \( \Delta \sigma_{xx}(B) \). Hence, following the literature, we fitted \( \Delta \sigma_{xx}(B) \) curves by setting \( B_{so} = 6 \) T [19]. Figure 6(c) shows the dependence of the fitting parameter \( B_0 \) on \( V_g \) at \( T = 1.9 \) K. It can be seen that \( B_0 \) drops by 50\% if \( V_g \) changes from \( 80 \) V to \( -80 \) V, indicating a significant increase in the phase coherence length of charge carriers as the sample tends to charge neutrality, consistent with the magnetoresistance data at higher magnetic field. \( B_0 \) increases linearly with temperature, which could be a manifestation of strong electron–electron interaction in the material [19, 45, 46].

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have fabricated ultra-thin WTe\textsubscript{2} FEDs with solid gate dielectrics, and found that in electron-dominated regime, ultra-thin WTe\textsubscript{2} samples have a gate tunable magnetoresistance that is consistent with the two-fluid model. We estimate that the value of \( \Delta MR/MR \) could be as high as 400000\% within experimentally accessible parameters, a value much higher than other materials. The tunability of MR by a single parameter (the net charge density \( n = n_e - n_h \)) together with the insensitivity of \( \Delta MR/MR \) to magnetic field and temperature, reveal the potential of ultra-thin WTe\textsubscript{2} as electric-field-tuned magnetoresistance material which could have important application in magnetic field sensing, information storage and extraction, and galvanic isolation.
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