Natural compounds as potential algaecides for waterborne paints
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Abstract To eliminate and prevent the formation of phototrophic biofilms on painted walls, chemicals are added to paints. These chemicals, in general, also have toxic effects on the environment. Plants synthesize secondary metabolites which have antimicrobial properties and that may be a sustainable alternative to traditional biocides. In this work, algal species isolated from biofilms formed on deteriorated painted surfaces were taxonomically identified. Observations with the optical microscope revealed the presence of mixed biofilms composed of algae belonging to the Cyanophyta, Chlorophyta, and Streptophyta divisions. The algaecide effect of natural compounds of vegetal origin such as anisole, eugenol, guaiacol, and thymol was evaluated by the microatmosphere technique. Growth inhibition of phototrophic organisms was obtained with thymol in all the concentrations used. Eugenol and guaiacol showed a total growth inhibition at the highest concentration assayed (300 \( \mu \)mol cm\(^{-2}\)) and a decrease in growth at 40 \( \mu \)mol cm\(^{-2}\), while anisole did not exert any inhibitory action at the assayed concentrations. Due to these results, eugenol, thymol, and guaiacol were incorporated in an exterior waterborne paint at a concentration of 2%. Samples painted with these formulations were not colonized by algae, indicating that these compounds could be used as biocides in paint formulations.
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Introduction Phototrophic organisms, such as cyanobacteria and algae, can flourish without recourse to organic nutrients and are found in the majority of external paint biofilms.\(^1\) Their growth on the external surfaces of buildings can cause discoloration and physicochemical deterioration.\(^2\)

Some authors suggested that bacteria were the primary colonizers,\(^3\) while others proposed phototrophic microorganisms for this role.\(^1\) Phototrophic biofilms proliferate especially in walls where atmospheric humidity is high\(^4\) as in La Plata city (Buenos Aires, Argentina),\(^5\) where this study was conducted. These processes have increased significantly, especially in urban areas, due to increasing environmental pollution. Several chemical compounds present in the polluted atmosphere, such as sulfate, nitrate, and ammonia, are nutrients for algae.\(^6,7\)

The Cyanophyta (green–blue algae) and Chlorophyta (green algae) are the most abundant phototrophic organisms colonizing facades and building stones.\(^8\) The high resistance of Cyanophyta to UV light is associated with the production of protective pigments; these pigments are responsible for the aesthetic deterioration of building painted surfaces varying in color from greenish–yellow to dark green and black and from rosy to gray and brown, according to the characteristics of the taxa.\(^9\)
Various biocides are commonly added to exterior and interior paint formulations to provide protection of the dry films against fungal and algal growth. Besides, some products are added as bactericides and as in-can preservatives. In summary, paints could contain about 0.5–1% of a mixture of one to eight different biocides. Triazines and phenylureas are used as algaecides and carbamates as fungicides, while isothiazolinones are used as bactericides in paint formulations for such purposes. Besides the use as film preservatives, some of the biocides are only added as in-can preservatives to increase shelf life of the formulated products until they are used. These biocides can be leached from the materials if rainwater comes into contact with these buildings, this being one of the major sources of biocide pollution concerning urban waters. Because of this, more environmentally friendly ecotoxicological compounds are being sought. In this way, the use of chemicals derived from natural compounds or extracted from live organisms seems to be an interesting substitute to traditional biocides.

Both essential oils from plants and their individual components have been extensively studied because they display a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities. Besides, as they present little or no toxicity to aquatic animals and humans and they are biodegraded in natural environment, they could be a sustainable alternative to traditional biocides in paint formulations. Thymol, eugenol, anisole, and guaiacol are phenolic compounds that occur in essential oils isolated from herbs and spices and exhibit antibacterial and antifungal properties. Although these compounds were used to formulate different antimicrobial coatings for food and pharmaceutic industries, there are few papers that refer to the use of these compounds as algaecide in paints.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the algaecide effect of natural organic products on different algae isolated from phototrophic biofilms formed on painted walls of urban environments and to test the performance of waterborne paints formulated with those substances which have exhibited good algaecide activity.

Materials and methods

Source of algae

Samples of flaking paint from two surfaces showing colonization and biodeterioration signs were taken. One of them was taken from an exterior wall (sample R), with south-southeast orientation, surrounded by abundant vegetation that kept the wall wet and dark. The other sample was taken from a metallic panel exposed to the weather (sample P) on a terrace, with a high incidence of sunlight (Fig. 1). In both cases, flakes were collected by scraping using sterile tweezers and scalpel. The material was placed in physiological solution and transported to the laboratory.

Fig. 1: General aspect of the sources of the algae used in the present work: (a) exterior wall (sample R) and (b) metallic painted panel (sample P)
literature data. Both algae communities and isolated taxa were used in the biocide activity tests.

**Microscopic observations**

Characteristics of the phototropic biofilms and of the isolated taxa were assessed using optic microscopy (OM) (Arcano, China), epifluorescence microscopy (EM) (Olympus BX51, Olympus, Japan), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (FEI Quanta 200, Thermo Fisher, USA). Samples did not require any treatment for observation by OM or EM. On the contrary, prior to SEM observations, the material was fixed in 2.5% v/v glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and metalized with Au.

**Natural organic compounds**

The algaecide properties of four pure compounds (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) which can be obtained from plants were evaluated. Table 1 shows some characteristics of these compounds.

| Compound     | Formula and chemical structure | Characteristics                                                                 |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Anisole      | CH₃OC₆H₅                       | Liquid, colorless, with a similar odor to anise seeds. Many of its byproducts are found in natural and artificial fragrances |
| Guaiacol     | C₆H₄(OH)(OCH₃)                 | Yellowish aromatic oil derived mainly from guaiac (*Guaiacum officinale*). Precursor of diverse artificial flavorings (eugenol, vanilla) |
| Eugenol      | C₁₀H₁₂O₂                       | Derived from guaiacol with an allyl chain substituted. Pale yellow oily liquid extracted from essential oils, such as clove, nutmeg, cinnamon, laurel, basil, and pennyroyal. It is used in odontology as dental cement |
| Thymol       | C₁₀H₁₄O                       | Crystalline colorless substance with a characteristic odor. It is present in essential oils of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) or oregano (*Origanum majorana*). It has disinfectant and fungicide power |

**Algaecide activity tests**

**Microatmosphere test**

Considering that the compounds of interest are volatile substances, the microatmosphere test was used to evaluate their algaecide activity. For this, 200 μL of a 7-day-old algae culture containing $1.2 \times 10^5$ algae mL$^{-1}$ was inoculated uniformly in a Petri dish with BG11 agar. The number of cells was determined using a Neubauer haemocytometer. A sterile disk of filter paper (13 mm) was placed on the center of the inverted Petri dish lid and loaded with 50 μL of the pure tested compound or a dilution in DMSO. The Petri dish was then hermetically sealed using Parafilm M$^{®}$ (Bemis Company Inc, USA) and incubated under controlled photoperiod conditions (16-/8-h light/darkness) and 25°C for 28 days. Partial results were
recorded weekly. Growth control plates were made without filter paper and with filter paper moistened with DMSO to remove inhibition of the algal growth due to the solvent. All the tests were performed in duplicate.

**Formulation, manufacture, and application of waterborne coatings**

An exterior white acrylic waterborne paint was formulated, as listed in Table 2. Base paint was prepared using a high-speed disperser at laboratory scale. Then, it was filtered and fractionated in four portions, one of which was used as a negative control and the remaining as treatments. Pigment volume concentration (PVC) was 57.7% for all paints tested. For treatments, the compounds that exhibited algaecide activity (eugenol, guaiacol, and thymol) were incorporated separately into the base paint (2% w/w). The paints were applied by brushing on specimens of filter paper. After applying three coats of paint, painted specimens were left to dry for 7 days in laboratory environment. Once dry, the samples were cut into squares (2.8 cm side) for bioassays. The presence of the different compounds in the formulation was monitored by ATR-FTIR using a Spectrum One spectrometer (PerkinElmer, USA).

**Algaecide activity of waterborne paints**

Samples of painted filter paper were inoculated in spray form with the phototrophic communities obtained from samples R and P or the isolated taxa given an initial number of approximately $4.2 \times 10^5$ algae mL$^{-1}$. The samples were placed in Petri dishes with BG11 agar and incubated under controlled photoperiod conditions (16-/8-h light/darkness) and 25°C for 28 days. Partial results were recorded weekly. These tests were performed in triplicate.

**Results and discussion**

**Taxonomic determination of algae in the sampled biofilms**

The presence of algae is common in walls exposed to conditions of high humidity and illumination. The observations using the OM revealed that both sampled biofilms (R and P) consisted mainly of phototrophic organisms of diverse genera, though amebas and fungal hyphae were also identified in a lower amount. The biofilm formed on the exterior wall (R) showed a higher richness of species than the biofilm formed on the metallic surface (P). In Fig. 2, it is possible to distinguish some of the organisms presented in both samples as shown by the different microscopic techniques used. SEM observations of the biofilms revealed the presence of algae embedded in abundant extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). Taxa of the algae presented in the biofilms are listed in Table 3. Organisms belonging to the Cyanophyta, Chlorophyta, and Streptophyta divisions were found. Some of these organisms were reported in previous works, both in Latin America and in Europe. In both samples, Klebsormidium sp. aff. *K. fluitans* was predominant, and Chlorococcum sp. was also found in high numbers. In the case of sample R, Cyanophyta of the Chroococcales order were found among the most abundant organisms including Chroococcus sp. aff. *C. varius*. Chroococcus is one of the most widespread genera, and it was commonly reported on historic monuments.

### Table 2: Base paint composition

| Components          | % w/w  |
|---------------------|--------|
| **Additives**       |        |
| Oil-based silicone-free defoamer (THYOSIL Q202) | 0.2    |
| Hydroxyethyl cellulose (Cellosolve 52,000) | 0.5    |
| Polycrylic dispersing agent (Polacril D40) | 0.3    |
| 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP-95) | 0.1    |
| Nonionic defoaming surfactant (TRITON™ CF-10) | 0.1    |
| Sodium hexametaphosphate | 0.1    |
| **Pigments**        |        |
| Titanium dioxide    | 6.1    |
| Natural calcium carbonate | 20.0  |
| Precipitated calcium carbonate | 1.6    |
| **Resins**          |        |
| Acrylic emulsion (THYOSIL E 190) | 37.0  |
| Acrylic emulsion (THYOSIL E 195) | 3.7    |
| **Solvents**        |        |
| Water               | 27.2   |
| White spirit        | 2.2    |
| Butyl glycol        | 0.9    |

---
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contributing to their decay. Species isolated from both samples are shown in Fig. 3. *Klebsormidium* sp. aff. *K. fluitans* is a cylindrical filamentous alga, unis-rate, unbranched, consisting of uninucleate cells 5–10 µm wide by 15–20 µm long. *Chlorococccum* sp. is a spherical green alga, of variable size, uninucleate;
these cells can be solitary or in groups not imbedded in sheath. *Leptolyngbya* sp. aff. *L. compacta* (Oscillatoriales, Cyanophyta). Middle row: *Chroococcus* sp. (Chlorococcales, Chlorophyta). Lower row: *Klebsormidium* sp. aff. *K. fluitans* (Klebsormidiales, Streptophyta). (a) Optic microscope (bar: 10 μm), (b) epifluorescence microscope (bar: 10 μm), (c) scanning electron microscope (upper and lower bar: 40 μm; middle bar: 100 μm)

**Algaecide tests**

**Microatmosphere test**

In the microatmosphere test, the inhibitory effect of the four compounds was quantified according to the coverage of the algal growth in the plates. The first experiments were performed with the pure compounds
(400 μmol rendering a concentration in the filter paper of about 300 μmol cm⁻²) and a 1/15 dilution (20 μmol cm⁻²). Anisole did not exhibit any inhibitory effect on algal growth in these conditions; thus, it was discarded from further assays. On the contrary, eugenol, guaiacol, and thymol exhibited different

| Chemical Compound / Concentration(μmol cm⁻²) | 7 days | 28 days |
|---------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Control                                    | ![Image](image) | ![Image](image) |
| DMSO                                       | ++     | +++     |
| Anisole 20                                 | ++     | +++     |
| 300                                         | +      | +++     |
| Eugenol 20                                 | -      | ++      |
| 40                                          | -      | +       |
| 300                                         | -      | -       |
| Guaiacol 20                                | +      | ++      |
| 40                                          | -      | +       |
| 300                                         | -      | -       |
| Thymol 5                                    | -      | -       |

Fig. 4: Results of the microatmosphere tests obtained after exposing the algae community to different concentrations of the tested compounds during 7 and 28 days. --: no growth; +: 40–50% coverage; ++: 60–70% coverage; +++: 80–90% coverage. *Plates with the lowest concentration of thymol (5 μmol cm⁻²) are shown.
degrees of growth inhibition, so they were tested in other concentrations. Thymol was effective up to a 1/60 dilution, which means a concentration of 5 μmol cm<sup>-2</sup> in the filter paper, while the lowest effective concentration found for eugenol and guaiacol was 40 μmol cm<sup>-2</sup>. Figure 4 summarizes the results obtained in the microatmosphere test after 7 and 28 days of exposure of the algae to the pure compounds and their dilutions. Several studies referred to thymol as a compound with biocide effect on a wide range of organisms including bacteria, lichens, fungi, larvae of insects, and mite. In agreement with these studies, thymol was observed to be the most effective algaecide, exerting a total inhibition of algae growth in all the concentrations assessed from 5 to 300 μmol cm<sup>-2</sup>. Bactericidal activity of thymol is mostly attributed to the action of its phenolic structure. It is well documented that thymol acts on the cytoplasmic membrane producing its structural disorganization leading to a failure in cellular permeability. Eugenol and guaiacol showed a total growth inhibition at the highest concentration assayed (300 μmol cm<sup>-2</sup>), while lower concentrations (20 and 40 μmol cm<sup>-2</sup>) allowed a low algal growth. Eugenol is a wide-spectrum antimicrobial, mainly against Gram-positive bacteria; it also has antifungal properties. Like thymol, the cytoplasmic membrane is the target site of the eugenol molecule. However, unlike thymol, eugenol interferes with the active sites of acidic permeases.

Several authors have found changes in the shape and structure of spores of fungi and changes in the shape, size, and color of the algae exposed to different chemical compounds including eugenol. Although our results indicate a reduction in algae growth in the presence of 20 and 40 μmol cm<sup>-2</sup> of this compound, no changes in the morphology of the algae were observed. Regarding anisole, variable results were reported. This compound presents low fungicide effectiveness. According to our results, anisole did not present total or partial inhibitory effects on algal growth even when applied pure (300 μmol cm<sup>-2</sup>).

**Algaecide effect of paints with the natural products incorporated**

Considering that in nature microorganisms develop in more or less complex communities, the algaecide capacity of paints formulated with the natural compound was evaluated against different isolated taxa and also against R and P communities. The results are shown in Fig. 5. It can be observed that the development of algal biomass only occurred in the control samples (paint without biocide). It has been reported that in water-based and acrylic paints complex phototrophic biofilms (algae and cyanobacteria) can develop. Besides, it is known that cellulosic components can act as nutrients for microorganisms that produce cellulase enzyme and that inorganic materials leached from the paint, such as phosphates, can aid algal growth on the surface. Despite this, in our experiments a total inhibition of growth of all the algae assayed was achieved when the natural compounds were incorporated in the paint formulation, indicating that these compounds could act as effective algaecides to control algal development on painted walls.

**Fig. 5: Results of the incubation of the filter papers painted with the control paint or paints with 2% of the biocide in plates inoculated with different algae:** R = "R" community; P = "P" community; L = Leptolyngbia sp. aff. L. compacta; K = Klebsormidium sp. aff. K. fluitans; C = Clorococcum sp
Conclusions

Four organic compounds, which can be obtained from plants, were assayed for their algaecide activity on different algae taxa. While anisole did not show any algaecide effect, guaiacol, eugenol, and thymol presented good algaecide properties. When the later compounds were incorporated into a waterborne paint, no algal growth was observed. Thus, from our results, we may conclude that guaiacol, eugenol, and thymol are promising algaecides which may be used in the formulation of exterior waterborne paints to avoid paint deterioration as a consequence of biofilm formation. At the same time, the use of these more environmentally friendly biocides will help in controlling pollution of urban waters due to paint leaching, reducing adverse effects on the environment and human health.

Field-scale experiments will be performed to evaluate the performance of the formulated paints with the natural compounds under real environmental conditions.
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