Expression of quantitative traits characterizing the N₂-fixing symbiosis of nodule bacteria and leguminous plants is associated with operation of the evolutionary derived polygenic systems controlling the symbiotic efficiency (SE) (impact of inoculation on the plant productivity) and nodulation competitiveness (NC) (formation of nodules by rhizobia under mixed inoculation). Optimization of balance between positive and negative symbiotic regulators aimed at an increase of nitrogenase activity and at a complete allocation of its products into the plant metabolism provides the generation of rhizobia strains with high SE and NC. Inactivation of the negative symbiotic regulators often results in a decreased survival of rhizobia under the edaphic stresses but is responsible for a balanced increase of plant biomass and N accumulation. Improvement of symbiotic activity is to be based on the complementary interactions of microorganisms with the genetically engineered plant cultivars which are able for selection from soil of actively fixing N₂ rhizobia strains and for their preferential multiplication in nodules. Construction of highly effective microbe-plant systems should be based on modifications of mechanisms controlling symbiosis development from the plant and bacterial sides providing the maintenance of N₂-fixing zone in nodules and synthesis of NCR proteins activating the bacteroid differentiation.
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INTRODUCTION

The main source of “biological” nitrogen (N₂) is a symbiotic interaction between rhizobia bacteria and the roots of leguminous plants. Rhizobia occur in nodules on the roots, and their N₂ production determines the productivity and environmental safety of farming ecosystems with scarce trophic soil resources. Despite a considerable body of knowledge...
about the genetics of legume–rhizobia symbiosis, knowledge about the mechanisms determining the efficiency of the system has rarely been used in the construction of economically valuable N\textsubscript{2}-fixing systems. This lack is due to the complexity of controlling the quantitative traits involved in symbiosis, which are determined by a large number of functionally heterogeneous bacterial genes, and also depend on genetically polymorphic host plants and on a wide range of uncontrolled environmental factors [1].

The most intensively studied participants in the N\textsubscript{2}-fixing symbiosis are the rhizobia, a group of microorganisms extremely important in practice, as they are used over hundreds of millions of hectares, with \(10^{19}–10^{21}\) bacterial cells entering the soil every year [2]. In this article, we discuss the genetic control of economically valuable rhizobia traits: specifically, the impact on plant productivity, known as symbiotic efficiency (SE), and the ability to form nodules with a mixed inoculation of plants with production and local strains, called nodulation competitiveness (NC). These traits depend on the interaction of rhizobia with plant gene systems, which determine details of the hosting of bacteria in the early stages of symbiosis and of the maximum use of N\textsubscript{2}-fixation products in its later stages. The proposed approach for constructing highly efficient symbioses is based on coordinated modifications of the systems of positive and negative regulation by the bacteria as well as modifications of the mechanisms of their control by the host plants.

**EVOLUTIONARY GENETIC FOUNDATIONS OF EFFECTIVE SYMBIOSIS**

The designing of agronomically valuable microbrial and plant symbiosis must be based on knowledge about the mechanisms of their evolution, an area of research that is currently receiving close attention [1]. The basis of the legume–rhizobia symbiosis is the conjugation of the N\textsubscript{2}-fixation and photosynthetic processes associated with the formation by partners in the unified C/N metabolism system [3]. The evolution of this symbiosis started with the emergence of slow-growing rhizobia (Bradyrhizobium) that retained the photosynthetic ability of the ancestral forms (Rhodopseudomonas), as well as the use of N\textsubscript{2}-fixation products for their own nutrition [4]. The ancestral strains of Bradyrhizobium cannot synthesize the signaling lipo-chito-oligosaccharide Nod factors characteristic of other rhizobia, as they penetrate plants through epidermal breaks, stimulating the development of nodules with a primitive structure, in which N\textsubscript{2}-fixing bacteria remain in an extracellular location. The transition to nutrition from carbon supplied by plants was associated with the loss by rhizobia of their own photosynthetic apparatus. This transition occurred simultaneously with the formation of the system of nod genes that encode the synthesis of Nod factors (NF) activating the early stages of symbiosis: the initiation of nodule primordia and the penetration of rhizobia into plant tissues. The evolution of NF was due to genomic rearrangements and, possibly, the acquisition of genes for the synthesis of chitin substances from organisms unrelated to rhizobia such as fungi or Gram-positive bacteria [5].

As a result of this evolution, a complex system of sym genes developed in rhizobia, including nod genes for the formation of NF, nif genes for the synthesis of nitrogenase, and fix and dct genes for the regulation of the synthesis of nitrogenase and the supply of energy for its activity. These genes appear to be positive regulators of SE, because an increase in their activity usually leads to an increase in the N\textsubscript{2}-fixation rate as well as an increase in the weight of plants, the number of seeds produced, and the amount of N\textsubscript{2} accumulated. For example, in allalla rhizobia (\textit{Sinorhizobium meliloti}), the amplification of dct genes (which control the transport into bacteroides of dicarboxylic acids as sources of energy for nitrogenase) and some nif genes is accompanied by an increase in N\textsubscript{2} accumulation by 70%–80% in plants, although their weight increases by only 15%–20% [6, 7]. The imbalance in biochemical and growth processes can be overcome by the promotion of the synthesis by rhizobia of growth-promoting substances such as vitamins, cofactors, and lumichrome, compounds that increase the ratio of the biomass between the aboveground and underground organs of plants. Analysis of the development of annual allalla \textit{Medicago truncatula}, inoculated with effective strains of \textit{S. meliloti} under conditions of salt stress, provided an indication of the promise of this approach, demonstrating that the habit of plants is closely related to their SE [8]. Consequently, the transition of plants to symbiotrophic nutrition with N\textsubscript{2} should be based on a restructuring of the regulation of root and sprout meristems, as well as coordination with the development of nodule primordia, which is determined by systemic factors, including regulatory proteins, microRNAs, and phytohormones produced by both partners (Fig. 1) [9].

An important condition for effective symbiosis is the successful competition of production strains of
rhizobia with local strains, which are resistant to local edaphic stresses and usually have high virulence combined with low N2-fixing activity [11]. The analysis of rhizobia mutants with NC disorders indicated an extensive system of cmp genes involved in the control of this trait, localized in different replicons. The expression of these genes is associated with adaptively significant properties of rhizobia, including rate of reproduction, use of various sources of food and energy, antibiotic activity, adsorption on the roots, and rate of nodule formation [12, 13]. The cmp genes on the S. meliloti chromosome are arranged in clusters, indicating that these genes, despite their functional heterogeneity, have a common evolutionary history. This evolutionary history appears to be based on the joint transfer of cmp genes in microbial populations, as well as on symbiosis-specific forms of selection, which act on bacteria in plant–soil systems [14].

A sharp increase in the SE is associated with the transition of rhizobia into intracellular bacteroids, which are unable to reproduce in the soil after leav-

**Table 1**

| Properties of the bacterial gene systems | Quantitative symbiotic traits |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                        | Symbiotic efficiency         | Nodulation competitiveness |
| Positive regulators                    |                              |
| Functions                              | Synthesis of nitrogenase (\(nif\)), its supply with electrons and energy (\(fix, dct\)) | Formation of surface and antibiotic factors responsible for colonization of rhizosphere/rhizoplane as well as for virulence and stress-resistance |
| Genomic location                       | In compact extrachromosomal clusters | In different replicons including several “loose” chromosome clusters |
| Expression outside symbiosis           | Demonstrated for some genes (\(dct\)) | Revealed for the majority of genes |
ing dying nodules. This transition occurs under the action of NCR proteins formed by plants, which are rich in cysteine, and are similar to defensins, molecules involved in protecting plants from parasites. Bacteria also have genes that determine the differentiation of bacteroids, including bacA and miniE [15]. An increase in SE, associated with the departure of bacteroids from independent life, can be considered as a manifestation of interspecific altruism, a complex form of group adaptation associated with increases in the integrity of the supraspecific system transformed into a holobiont [16, 17]. However, rhizobia also retain the ability to efficiently use soil niches, an ability that is usually correlated with reduced SE. This correlation is determined by the negative regulators of symbiosis, which, along with positive regulators, can be used to create economically valuable rhizobia strains (Table 1).

NEGATIVE REGULATORS OF SYMBIOSIS

The first data on the presence of negative regulators of symbiosis in rhizobia were obtained by analyzing mutants with an increased N₂-fixation intensity or an increase in the number of nodules and rate of nodule formation [18]. The nolr gene was among one of the first negative regulators of nodulation identified. The product of this gene blocks the synthesis of NF in the absence of host plants. Tn5 mutants of this gene are characterized by an increase in NC, which, under conditions of mixed inoculation with a strain tester, increased from 20%–25% in the parent strain to 70%–75% when a mutant for the nolR gene was present [19]. An even more dramatic increase in NC, from 10% to 90%, was observed in a mutant for the praR gene, a negative regulator of biofilm formation, which determines the adsorption of bacteria on the roots [20].

Data on the negative regulation of symbiosis late stages were first obtained when analyzing mutants with increased SE, induced in rhizobia by physical and chemical mutagens [18] as well as by gene tagging [21]. Analysis of a collection of Tn5 mutants obtained from S. meliloti demonstrated a series of SE-antagonistic eff genes [22]. The functions encoded by these genes include the assimilation of “nonsymbiotic” (not used by bacteroids) food sources such as glucose, because a disorder of its assimilation is associated with a loss of catabolite repression, which limits the flow of dicarboxylic acids from plant cytoplasm into bacteroids [23, 24].

Another function of the SE-negative regulators is the conversion of carbohydrates obtained from plants into reserve nutrients such as poly-β-hydroxybutyrate and glycogen. The disruption of this process worsens the survival rate of rhizobia in the soil but improves the energy supply of N₂-fixing bacteroids [25]. A similar effect is caused by an increase in the respiratory activity of red mutants of S. meliloti, which appear to lose the ability to use energy sparingly, an ability that is important for survival in soil [26]. An increase in SE may also be the result of the loss of components of the bacterial surface, which determine their resistance to edaphic stresses (such as thermal and osmotic stress); however, when interacting with plants, they appear to be elicitors of immune responses that limit the reproduction of rhizobia in nodules [27, 28].

CONTROL OF EFFICIENCY OF SYMBIOSIS BY PLANTS

Plant hosts are as important in determining the quantitative traits of symbiosis as microsymbionts. According to a two-way analysis of variance, nonadditive (specific) interactions of the genotypes of the partners are highly significant for determining SE. The contribution of SE to the total variation in the productivity indicators of plants inoculated with bacteria is maximum when this productivity is highest [29].

Plants, like bacteria, control the SE at two levels: induction of nodule development and N₂-fixing activity. An extensive system of genes associated with symbiosis has been found in leguminous plants, di-
vided into Sym genes, which control microbial signal reception and initiation of nodule primordia, and nodulin genes, which are responsible for the construction of cell and tissue structures for hosting symbionts and the formation of a combined nitrogen–carbon exchange system [1].

One of the most important traits controlled by these genes is the preferred inoculation of plants with certain rhizobia genotypes, a phenomenon known as Host preference (Hop), manifested during mixed infection. Analysis of the differential expression of plant genes at the early stages of symbiosis showed that the Hop trait is associated with the formation of flavonoid inducers of NF synthesis and with their reception, as well as with the rate of initiation of nodule primordia [30]. Genes affecting these processes control the selection of highly virulent rhizobia strains by plants, which correlates with the mutations in nod genes but not with the presence of 16S rRNA genes [31]. Consequently, the creation of legume varieties exhibiting high SE is quite feasible because of the rejection of rhizobia that do not fix N₂ at the early stages of symbiosis.

The results of experiments with diallel crossings showed that the heritability of the Hop trait in hop trefoil (Medicago lupulina) is high enough that selection in the plant populations can be used to enhance this trait [32]. However, in most symbiotic systems, the relationship between Hop and SE is not known, as in the case of mixed infections, where plants are inoculated equally with rhizobia-fixing and nonfixing N₂ strains [33]. In natural ecosystems, the evolution of symbiosis generally increases the SE, which is associated with the rapid reproduction of effective strains of rhizobia in planta based on the preferential supply of carbohydrates to nodules actively fixing N₂, as well as suppression of the reproduction of cheating symbionts that do not fix N₂, using protective reactions. Experiments with the cultivation of soybeans in a N₂-free atmosphere (80% Ar + 20% O₂) showed that plants strictly limit the generation of bacteria in nodules from which N₂-fixation products are not obtained [34].

Enhancement of the systemic control of symbiosis, which coordinates the development of nodules with photosynthesis and with the assimilation of nitrogenous substances from the soil, is an important way in which to increase the SE. This control was first shown by analyzing mutants of legumes that form a significantly increased number of nodules (Nod++ phenotype), retaining this ability in the presence of nitrates. This phenotype is known as the nitrate-tolerant symbiosis (Nts) phenotype. These mutants were used to demonstrate that the role of signals migrating between the aboveground and underground plant organs is carried out by CLE proteins synthesized in the roots when exposed by NF, as well as by small regulatory RNAs that are formed in the aboveground organs and migrate to the roots, limiting the number of nodules (Fig. 1).

The practical use of Nod++Nts mutants is limited by their reduced productivity, which is caused by the excessive use of energy for the formation of excess nodules [35]. However, partial relaxation of the negative control of nodulation can be useful for combining intensive N₂ fixation and the absorption of soil N₂. Myxotrophic nutrition with N₂ is typical for leguminous plants of the bean tribe, Phaseoleae (forming deterministic nodules), because of the dissociation of the pathways of assimilation of soil and fixed nitrogen. The former is absorbed through the formation of amides, and the latter is absorbed through the formation of ureids [36]. Such biochemical diversification ensures the effective uptake of fixed N₂ by plants, as the N:C ratio in ureids is two to three times higher than in amides. This allows legumes of the bean tribe to reject the induction of bacteroid differentiation, implemented by legumes of the galegoid complex (forming nondeterministic nodules) using NCR proteins. The possibility of combining the deterministic development of nodules with the deep differentiation of bacteroids was demonstrated in experiments on the transfer of the dfn11 gene from M. truncatula allfalla to the deervetch Lotus japonicus [37].

Analysis of the mechanisms of the systemic regulation of symbiosis has shown that reducing the susceptibility of CLE proteins to the activating effect of nitrate or increases in the resistance of the AP2 regulators to the inhibitory action of miR172 regulatory RNA (Fig. 1) is a promising approach for the enhancement of N₂ fixation. The search for gene stimulators for the development of the N₂-fixing zone of nodules, as well as inducers for the synthesis of NCR proteins, which determine the transformation of rhizobia into bacteroids, appears to be important.

CONCLUSION
As a result of studying the genetic control of quantitative traits of legume–rhizobia symbiosis, it is apparent that several approaches can be used to increase the agronomic value of this system:

1. Activation of bacterial genes as positive regulators of symbiosis, leading to an increased supply of N₂-fixation products to plants.
2. Inactivation of negative regulators of symbiosis, which leads to the inability of microsymbionts to cope with a number of functions of independent life, including resistance to edaphic stresses.

3. Optimization of plant development, aimed at achieving a balance of metabolic and growth processes, which ensures maximum involvement of N$_2$-fixation products in the nutrition of legumes.

The most significant increase in indicators of the agronomic value of symbiosis was achieved by the inactivation of its negative regulators, which was demonstrated in the study of SE (manifested in the late stages of symbiosis) and NC (manifested in its early stages). The inactivation of NC-negative regulators causes a three to nine times stronger increase than the amplification of positive regulators, which improves NC by 20%–30% [14]. An increase in SE, achieved by the insertion of Tn5 into eff genes, is accompanied by a balanced increase in the intensity of biochemical and growth processes in plants, which cannot be achieved by amplifying dct and nif genes [24]. The potential utility of this approach is also due to the decrease in the ability of rhizobia to survive independently, which is associated with inactivation of the negative regulators of symbiosis, which determine resistance to edaphic stresses, and can ensure the rapid elimination of genetically modified bacterial strains from the agrosystem.

This work was supported by a grant of the Russian Science Foundation 18-16-00073.

REFERENCES
1. Проворов Н.А., Тихонович И.А. Генетические основы эволюции бактерий – симбионтов растений. – СПб.: Информ-Навигатор, 2016. – 240 с. [Provorov NA, Tikhonovich IA. Genetical foundations of the evolution of root nodule bacteria: symbionts of plants. Saint Petersburg: Inform-Navigator, 2016. 240 p. (In Russ.)]
2. Peoples MB, Brockwell J, Herridge DF, et al. The contributions of nitrogen-fixing crop legumes to the productivity of agricultural systems. Symbiosis. 2009;48(1-3):1-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-009-9135-8.
3. Проворов Н.А., Долгих Е.А. Метаболическая интеграция организмов в системах симбиоза // Журнал общей биологии. – 2006. – Т. 67. – № 6. – С. 403–422. [Provorov NA, Dolgikh EA. Metabolic integration of organisms within symbiotic systems. Journal of general biology. 2006;67(6):403-422. (In Russ.)]
4. Проворов Н.А., Андронов Е.Е. Эволюция клубеньковых бактерий: реконструкция процессов видообразования, обусловленных перестройками генома в системе симбиоза // Микробиология. – 2016. – Т. 85. – № 2. – С. 195–206. [Provorov NA, Andronov EE. Evolution of root nodule bacteria: reconstruction of the speciation processes resulting from genomic rearrangements in a symbiotic system. Microbiology. 2016;85(2):131-139. (In Russ.)].
5. Hirsch AM, Lum MR, Donwne JA. What Makes the Rhizobia-Legume Symbiosis So Special? Plant Physiol. 2001;127(4):1484-1492. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.010866.
6. Онищук О.П., Воробьев Н.И., Проворов Н.А., Симаров Б.В. Симбиотическая активность ризобий люцерны (Sinorhizobium meliloti) с генетическими модификациями системы транспорта дикарбоновых кислот // Экологическая генетика. – 2011. – № 1. – С. 32–42. [Onishchuk OP, Vorobyov NI, Provorov NA, Simarov BV. Symbioticske aktivnost rizobiy lutserni (Sinori zobium meliloti) s geneticheskimi modifikatsiyami sistemy transporta dikarbonovikh kislot. Ecological genetics. 2009;7(2):3-10. (In Russ.)]
7. Онищук О.П., Проворов Н.А., Воробьев Н.И., Симаров Б.В. Оценка фенотипического проявления бактериальных генов, контролирующих эффективность азотфиксирующего симбиоза с растениями // Сельскохозяйственная биология. – 2011. – № 2. – С. 324–350. [Onishchuk OP, Vorobyov NI, Provorov NA, Vorobyov NI, Simarov BV. Оценка фенотипического проявления бактериальных генов, контролирующих эффективность азотфиксирующего симбиоза с растениями. Agricultural Biology. 2011;1(1):32-42. (In Russ.)]
8. Проворов Н.А., Онищук О.П., Курчак О.Н. Габитус и продуктивность люцерны (Medicago sativa L.) в зависимости от инокуляции штаммами Sinorhizobium meliloti, различающимися по соустойчивости // Сельскохозяйственная биология. – 2016. – Т. 51. – № 3. – С. 343–350. [Provorov NA, Onishchuk OP, Kurchak ON. Impact of inoculation with Sinorhizobium meliloti strains differing in salt tolerance on the productivity and habitus of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). Agricultural Biology. 2016;51(3):343-350. (In Russ.)]. https://doi.org/10.15389/agrobiology.2016.3.343rus.
9. Azarakhsh M, Lebedeva MA, Lutova LA. Identification and Expression Analysis of Medicago truncatula Isopenentyl Transferase Genes (IPTs) Involved in Local and Systemic Control of Nodulation. Front...
16. Проворов Н.А., Воробьев Н.И. Эволюция полезных
15. Haag AF, Arnold MF, Myka KK, et al. Molecular
14. Онищук О.П., Воробьев Н.И., Проворов Н.А. Но-
13. Pobigaylo N, Szymczak S, Nattkemper TW, Be-
12. Онищук О.П., Симаров Б.В. Генетические основы экосистем. – 2015. – Т. 51. – № 4. – С. 363–370. [Проворов Н.А., Воробьев Н.И., Юргель С.Н., и др. Конструирование высокоэффективных симбиотических штаммов бактерий: эволюционные модели и генетические подходы // Генетика. – 2014. – Т. 50. – № 11. – С. 1273–1285. [Provorov NA, Onishchuk OP, Yurgel SN, et al. Construction of highly effective symbiotic bacteria: evolutionary models and genetic approaches. Russian Journal of Genetics. 2014;50(11):1273-1285. (In Russ.)].
19. Sugawara M, Sadowsky MJ. Enhanced nodulation and nodule development by no1R mutants of Sinorhizobium medicae on specific Medicago host genotypes. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2014;27(4):328-335. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-10-13-0312-R.
20. Frederix M, Edwards A, Swiderska A, et al. Mutation of pra1 in Rhizobium leguminosarum enhances root biofilms, improving nodulation competitiveness by increased expression of attachment proteins. Mol Microbiol. 2014;93(3):464-478. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00722-014-2670-8.
17. Zilber-Rosenberg I, Rosenberg E. Role of microorganisms in the evolution of animals and plants: the hologenome theory of evolution. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008;32(5):723-735. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00123.x.
18. Проворов Н.А., Симаров Б.В., Федоров С.Н. Симбиотические свойства разных типов мутантов клубеньковых бактерий // Известия АН СССР, серия биологическая. – 1985. – № 6. – С. 870–884. [Provorov NA, Simarov BV, Fedorov SN. Geneticheskie svoystva raznikh tipov mutantov klubenkovikh bakteriy. Izvestiya AN SSSR, seriya biologicheskaya. 1985;(6):870-884. (In Russ.)].
11. Доросинский Л.М. Конкурентоспособность клубеньковых бактерий: генетический контроль и адаптивное значение // Прикладная биохимия и микробиология. – 1996;32(8):1157-66. (In Russ.)].
12. Онищук О.П., Симаров Б.В. Генетические основы экосистем. – 2015. – Т. 51. – № 4. – С. 363–370. [Provorov NA, Simarov BV, Fedorov SN. Geneticheskie svoystva raznikh tipov mutantov klubenkovikh bakteriy. Izvestiya AN SSSR, seriya biologicheskaya. 1985;(6):870-884. (In Russ.)].
13. Sharypova LA, Yurgel SN, et al. Construction of highly effective symbiotic bacteria: evolutionary models and genetic approaches. Russian Journal of Genetics. 2014;50(11):1273-1285. (In Russ.)].
23. Sharypova LA, Yurgel SN, Keller M, et al. The eff-482 locus of Sinorhizobium meliloti CXM1–105 that influences symbiotic effectiveness consists of three genes encoding an endoglucanase, a transcriptional regulator and an adenylate cyclase. Mol Gen Genet. 1999;261(6):1032-1044. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380051052.
25. Marroqui S, Zorregueta A, Santamaria C, et al. Enhanced symbiotic performance by Rhizobium tropici glycogen synthase mutants. J Bacte-
26. Юргель С.Н., Шарыпова Л.А., Симаров Б.В. Тn5 мутации Rhizobium meliloti, вызывающие повышение редокс-потенциала свободноживущих клеток и эффективность их симбоза с люцерной // Генетика. – 1998. – Т. 34. – № 6. – С. 737–741. [Yurgel SN, Sharypova LA, Simarov BV. Tn5 mutatsii Rhizobium meliloti vyzyvaushchie povyshenie redox-potentsiala svobodnozhivushchikh kletok i effektivnost ikh simbioza s lutsernoy. Russian Journal of Genetics. 1998;34(6):737-741. (In Russ.)]

27. Онищук О.П., Шарыпова Л.А., Курчак О.Н., и др. Выявление генов Sinorhizobium meliloti, влияющих на синтез поверхностных полисахаридов и конкурентоспособность // Генетика. – 2005. – Т. 41. – № 12. – С. 1617–1623. [Onishchuk OP, Sharypova LA, Kurchak ON, et al. Identification of Sinorhizobium meliloti genes influencing synthesis of surface polysaccharides and competitiveness. Russian Journal of Genetics. 2005;41(12): 1617-1623. (In Russ.)]

28. Затовская Т.В. Получение и анализ Tn5-мутантов Sinorhizobium meliloti с измененными поверхностными полисахаридами: Автореф. дис. … канд. биол. наук. – СПб., 2012. – 18 с. [Zatovskaya TV. Poluchenie i analiz Tn5-mutantov Sinorhizobium meliloti s izmenennymi simbioticheskimi svoystvami. [dissertation] Saint Petersburg; 2012. 18 p. (In Russ.)]

29. Provorov NA, Tikhonovich IA. Genetic resources for improving nitrogen fixation in legume-rhizobia symbiosis. *Genet Resour Crop Evol*. 2003;50(1):89-99. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022957429160.

30. Meschini EP, Blanco FA, Zanetti ME, et al. Host genes involved in nodulation preference in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)-rhizobium etli symbiosis revealed by suppressive subtractive hybridization. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2008;21(4):459-468. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-4-0459.

31. Jorrin B, Imperial J. Population Genomics Analysis of Legume Host Preference for Specific Rhizobial Genotypes in the *Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viciae* Symbioses. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2015;28(3):310-8. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-09-14-0296-F1.

32. Simonsen AK, Stinchcombe JR. Standing genetic variation in host preference for mutualist microbial symbionts. *Proc Biol Sci*. 2014;281(1797). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2036.

33. Westhoek A, Field E, Rehling F, et al. Policing the legume-Rhizobium symbiosis: a critical test of partner choice. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):1419. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-0163-2.

34. Denison RF, Toby Kiers E. Why are most rhizobia beneficial to their plant hosts, rather than parasitic? *Microbes Infect*. 2004;6(13):1235-1239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2004.08.005.

35. Novak K, Skrdleta V, Kropakova M, et al. Interaction of two genes controlling symbiotic nodule number in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Symbiosis*. 1997;23(1):43-62.

36. Sprent JI. Evolving ideas of legume evolution and diversity: a taxonomic perspective on the occurrence of nodulation. *New Phytol*. 2007;174(1):11-25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02015.x.

37. Van de Velde W, Zehirov G, Szatmari A, et al. Plant peptides govern terminal differentiation of bacteria in symbiosis. *Science*. 2010;327(5969):1122-1126. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184057.

**Information about the authors**

Nikolai A. Provorov — Doctor of Biology, Director. All-Russian Research Institute for Agricultural Microbiology, Pushkin, St. Petersburg, Russia. E-mail: provorovnik@yandex.ru.

Olga P. Onishchuk — Leading Researcher, Laboratory 2. All-Russian Research Institute for Agricultural Microbiology, Pushkin, St. Petersburg, Russia. E-mail: olony@yandex.ru.