Fertilization Program and Resin Foam Soil Amendment Effects on Sod Establishment
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Abstract. Two field studies (winter and summer) were performed to evaluate the effect of three different fertilizer programs and a urea formaldehyde resin foam (UFRF) soil amendment on sod establishment and anchorage. Fertilizer treatments involved were 1) a quick release (QR) granular fertilizer (12–12–17); 2) a slow release (SR) fertilizer (27–5–7); and 3) a foliar (FL) fertilizer (20–20–20). The application rate was 50, 30, 0.35 g·m–2 for QR, SR, and FL, respectively. The substrate consisted of sandy loam soil, and in half of the plots UFRF flakes were incorporated in the upper 100 mm at a rate of 20% v/v. The effects of the fertilizer and soil amendment on sod establishment were evaluated through measurements of the dry weight of clippings and roots and the visual quality of the turf. Sod anchorage was measured by determination of the vertical force required to detach a piece of sod. For each treatment the initial and final pH, EC, available P, exchangeable K, Ca, Mg, and Fe were also determined. It was found that FL reduced clipping yield but retained turf visual quality similar to the other fertilizer treatments except in winter, when it resulted in the worst quality ratings. However, FL fertilizer promoted root growth and provided high vertical detachment force values and therefore enhanced sod establishment. Slow release fertilizer resulted in moderate top growth and visual quality of the turf during winter, but delayed sod establishment. Quick release fertilizer increased top growth and improved turfgrass visual quality during the winter, but root growth and vertical detachment force were reduced, indicating poorer sod establishment. UFRF did not enhance sod establishment since there was a negative effect on root growth when temperatures were below 10 °C, with however affecting vertical detachment force. Differences in soil P, K, Ca, Mg and Fe between treatments were inconsistent between the two studies, except for final K concentration, which was higher for QR fertilization than SR and FL. Foliar fertilization can enhance sod establishment compared to QR and SR, by accelerating sod anchorage and root growth. QR can be used in late autumn to improve winter green-up of the sod. UFRF does not improve or accelerate sod establishment and possesses a minimal capacity to improve soil properties of sandy loam soils.

Optimization of sod establishment methodology is of major importance since in most cases sodding is the preferred method of turfgrass establishment technique when time is a limiting factor or there is a need for rapid establishment to reduce erosion. Successful sodding is highly correlated with root growth and intrusion into the underlying substrate, while the rate of establishment is related to the time needed for the roots to provide anchorage of the sod to the substrate.

Sod transplanting success and anchorage efficiency is governed by several factors including soil texture, soil moisture and fertilization. Peacock and Dudeck (1985) found that St. augustine [Stenotaphrum secundatum (Walt.) Kuntze] sod transplanted to loamy fine sand exhibited greater rooting strength than sod grown on organic soil, while King and Beard (1969) reported similar vertical force values for ‘Merion’ kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.) sod grown on organic and loamy soils. Dunn and Engel (1970) recorded higher shear strength values for kentucky bluegrass sod grown on a mineral soil than on organic soils and Schmidt et al. (1986) reported that less vertical pull was required for kentucky bluegrass sod grown on a sandy compared to a loamy soil. King and Beard (1972), reported that laying sod on a moist surface enhanced root growth compared with sod transplanted onto dry soil.

Soil amendments improve turfgrass growth and have the potential to enhance sod establishment by altering the substrate’s physical and chemical properties as well as the moisture and nutrient holding capacity. Urea-formaldehyde resin foam (UFRF) is a synthetic organic soil amendment that has recently been used for turfgrasses (Mooney and Baker, 1999; Nektarios et al., 2003). UFRF is a porous material with a water holding capacity of up to 60% (v/v); it is lightweight (18 to 30 kg·m–3) and biodegradable over a period of 10 years (Baader, 1999). Even though the N percentage of UFRF varies from 30% to 38%, the soluble part is restricted to only 0.3% (Werminghausen, 1972). UFRF is expected to release about 6 g·m–2 of N/year when the incorporation rate is 20% v/v (Baader, 1999). Mooney and Baker (1999) tested different proportions of UFRF (0%, 7.5%, 15%, 22.5% and 30% v/v) in pure sand, sand mixed with peat (80:20), and sand mixed with sandy loam soil (80:20), to evaluate the impact of UFRF on soil physical properties with respect to the guidelines of the U.S. Golf Association. These authors found a positive effect of UFRF on the golf green rootzones tested. The main benefit of UFRF was increased water retention and the researchers concluded that for sand-soil golf rootzone mixes the incorporation of 7.5% and 15% UFRF was fully consistent with the specifications.

In addition to substrate improvement, fertilization programs may enhance establishment of sod rooting and anchorage by optimizing either the release properties or absorption pathways of the different nutrients. Fertilizer placement at the sod surface, rather than at the interface between the sod and substrate, provided better rooting strength of St. Augustine sod (Peacock and Dudeck, 1985). However, in kentucky bluegrass sod, incorporation of the fertilizer into the substrate did not increase root production, compared to placement at the sod surface (King and Beard, 1972). Increasing N application from 5 to 10 g·m–2 reduced the rooting strength of st. augustine sod (Peacock and Dudeck, 1985). In contrast, the increase of N fertilization from 2.5 to 10 g·m–2 in Fijigreen bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon × C. transvaalensis) provided more root weight at a depth of 0 to 100 mm during the first year (Snyder and Cisar, 2000). Rodriguez et al. (2001), reported that several N–P–K ratios affected shoot growth in bermudagrass cultivars, but not root growth. Sufficient N application during sod production had a positive carry on effect on visual quality and clipping yield after transplanting (Cisar et al., 1992).

The sod industry uses various types of fertilizers during sod establishment. QR fertilizers are used because they are inexpensive, SR fertilizers because they minimize traffic and foot printing over the wet areas of newly established sod, and FL fertilizers because empirical observations have indicated better rooting. Therefore the objective of the present study is 1) to evaluate the impact of UFRF amendment on the establishment and anchorage of the sod, 2) to compare the impact of three different fertilizer schedules to enhance sod anchorage and establishment.

Materials and Methods

Two field studies were conducted at the Agricultural University of Athens, Greece. Study I was initiated on 15 Oct. 2001 and lasted until 3 Feb. 2002. It occupied a total area of 144 m2 and comprised 36 plots of 2 × 2 m each. Study II was performed at the same experimental site, but recorded sod establishment during the summer months from 22 June until 29 Sept. 2002. The experimental field was drained by a 100 mm, uniform, gravel layer incorporating three drainage trenches with 50 mm perforated pipes. The substrate was filled above the drainage layer at a depth of 300 mm. Half of the plots were
filled with a sandy loam soil (Entisols, Orthent; Theoharopoulos, 1992) having 78.8% sand, 8.0% silt, 13.2% clay, 0.168 w/w organic matter, and a CEC of 6.23 cmol kg⁻¹, which served as the control. The remaining plots were filled with the same sandy loam soil amended with UFRF (Fytofoam, Fytofoam Hellas LTD, Athens, Greece) in the form of flakes. The flakes had a bulk density of 18 kg m⁻³ and were incorporated into the upper 100 mm of the soil profile at a rate of 20% (v/v). After UFRF incorporation the experimental plots were sowed with a mixture that included tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea ‘Houndog’), Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis ‘Empirina’), perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne ‘Chagall’), red fescue (Festuca rubra ‘Herald’), and hard fescue (Festuca ovina ‘Nordic’) in a proportion of 40%, 30%, 15%, 10%, and 5% (w/w), respectively. Each experimental plot was isolated from the adjacent plots and surrounding area by PVC sheets, to prevent mechanical and hydrological continuity.

In both studies, a starter granular fertilizer (9.6N–11.9P–12.5K) was incorporated into the substrates at a rate of 50 g m⁻². Fertilizer programs included 1) QR granular fertilizer (Complesal 12–12–17, having 6.5% NH₄-N and 5.5% NO₃-N; 6.3N–5.2P–14.1K–1.2Mg–8S; Agrevo Hellas S.A., Athens, Greece), 2) SR fertilizer (Olympia 27–5–7, 27N–2.18P–5.8K–0.05Mn–0.05Zn–0.0005Mo–0.02B; Miller Chemical & Fertilizer Corp., Hanover, PA), and 3) FL fertilizer (Nutrileaf 60, 20–20–20; Fytofoam Hellas LTD, Athens, Greece) in the form of Poly Plus-N. Of the total N, 5.2% was NH₄+-N, 6.0% NO₃--N, and 8.8% urea-N.

In Study I, QR and FL fertilizers were applied at a rate of 50 and 0.35 g m⁻², respectively and 15 and 47 d after sod transplant (DAT), while SR was applied at a rate of 30 g m⁻² 15 DAT. In Study II, QR and FL were applied at a rate of 50 and 0.35 g m⁻², respectively and 6 and 72 DAT. In Study I, a third fertilizer program scheduled for 75 to 80 DAT could not be performed due to the frozen ground and the subsequent snow cover. The recommended application rates of each fertilizer type were used and the N-amount for QR and SR was similar over a period of 2 months, which is the period of SR action (6.3 and 8.1 g m⁻² for QR and SR fertilizer, respectively). Due to the substantially different absorption mode of FL fertilization, the recommended rate was used to avoid phytotoxicity.

In each study, the parameters that were monitored included 1) the growth rate of the sward, 2) the visual quality of the sod, 3) the growth rate of the root system, 4) the anchorage rate of the sod, and 5) soil moisture content. The growth rate of the sod sward was determined as the weight of clippings collected by mowing at 55 mm at approximately weekly intervals, following drying at 75 °C for 24 h. Visual quality ratings of the sod were assessed on a 1 to 9 scale (1 = dead, 9 = ideal and 6.5 = minimum acceptable turf quality) at 7 or 15 d intervals depending on the growth of the grasses. To determine root growth, one soil core was taken from the whole depth of the profile on each sampling date using a metal cylinder, 400 mm long with a 50 mm internal diameter. The substrate was washed off carefully and the roots were oven dried for 24 h at 75 °C for dry weight determination. The anchorage rate of the sod was evaluated by determination of the vertical force required to detach a piece of sod (King and Beard, 1969). To quantify vertical detachment force, six rectangular frames (300 × 300 mm with a 5-mm stainless-steel mesh) were placed in each plot. The sod was cut and fitted into each frame. Vertical force measurements were taken 10, 25, 36, 44, 57, 107 d and 19, 31, 44, 57, 69, 93 d after sod transplant in Study I and Study II, respectively. On each date, a single frame was pulled by a pulley and winch until it detached from the substrate. The force required to detach a frame from the substrate was monitored by an S type load cell (LC101, Omega Engineering Limited, Manchester, U.K.) connected to a digital indicator (DP41-S, Omega Engineering Limited). During vertical force application, the highest reading until the detachment of the sod from the substrate was recorded and the weight of the frame with the detached sod was subtracted to obtain the net vertical detachment force of the sod. The moisture of the substrate was also recorded at the time of vertical force determination by removing intact soil cores, 50 mm in diameter and 280 mm in length, adjacent to each detached frame.

At the initiation and termination of the study pH, electrical conductivity (EC), available P and exchangeable K, Ca, Mg, and Fe were determined for each treatment. Phosphorus was determined colorimetrically using a spectrophotometer (Hitachi U2001) according to Olsen et al. (1954). Exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, and K) and Fe were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (GBC 932 A/A) with hollow cathode lamps in an air-acetylene flame. For Ca and Mg determinations, LaO₂ was added to both the standard and diluted samples to achieve a concentration of 4,500 mg L⁻¹. La. Exchangeable K, Ca, and Mg were determined in the leachate after diluting with 1 M ammonium acetate solution (Summer and Miller, 1996), while Fe was determined in the leachate by DTPA extraction solution (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978).

The experimental design for both studies was a 3 × 2 factorial (three fertilizer programs with and without UFRF) arranged in a randomized complete block design with 6 blocks. The analysis of variance was performed using Statgraphics Plus statistical software (Statistical Graphics Corp., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.) and treatment means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) at a probability level P ≤ 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Visual quality. In Study I, visual quality of the sod was high for the first 61 d after sod transplant (DAT) irrespective of treatment. At the end of the study, the low temperatures of the winter caused a reduction in sod visual quality, which was more pronounced in FL and SR fertilizer programs than QR (Fig. 1). This finding is similar to that observed by Powell (1977), Reeves et al. (1970), and Goatley et al.
(1994), who reported that late-season N applications using quick release fertilizers prolonged the period of acceptable turf colour. In Study II, visual quality was similar for all fertilizer treatments and remained high (>6.5) throughout the study. Differences in visual quality between UFRF amended and nonamended substrates on three sampling dates (Fig. 2) were minor. In both studies visual quality was not influenced by UFRF amendment or by interaction with the fertilization programs (data not shown).

Clipping yield. There was a slightly higher overall clipping yield in Study II (Fig. 3 and 4), which was performed in warmer climatic conditions, as observed by others (Baker and Jung, 1968; Peacock, 1975). In both studies, the clipping yields were affected by fertilization type, but not by the UFRF amendment (data not shown). In Study I, the cumulative clipping yield (Fig. 3) increased until 30 DAT and then decreased due to the reduction of air temperature below 10 °C. From 24 DAT, FL fertilizer resulted in lower clipping yields compared to QR and SR fertilizers. The differences observed between the FL fertilizer program compared with QR and SR were most likely due to the lower N (Watschke and Waddington, 1974) of the FL fertilizer program.

In Study II, clipping yields of all treatments were high on the first sampling date, but the growth rate of the sward declined thereafter due to the high summer temperatures (Fig. 4). As in Study I, FL fertilizer resulted in lower clipping yields than QR or SR. The delayed response of the 2nd QR fertilizer application was caused by a reduction in irrigation to prevent the spread of diseases.

Root dry weight. The influence of the fertilizer program and UFRF amendment on root dry weight was not consistent. However, differences were apparent between particular sampling dates, and in both studies root growth was positively influenced by FL fertilization and negatively by UFRF amendment (Table 1).

In Study I, the beneficial effect of FL fertilization on sod rooting was evident at the 2nd sampling date, 25 DAT. The effectiveness of FL fertilization at this stage could be attributed to the fact that the soil had most of its root system removed and consequently the absorption of the nutrients released by QR and SR fertilizers would be limited. However, comparing the results of the clipping yields, it was obvious that the nutrients provided by QR and SR were absorbed by the plants and promoted shoot growth (Fig. 3 and 4). The improved rooting response of FL fertilization could alternatively be attributed to a better absorption of P through foliar application since, it is well established that P promotes root growth (Juska et al., 1965; Powell, 1977) but is tightly retained by the soil particles and is not readily available to the plants. In such a case, the foliar application might have resulted in better P uptake by the plants, inducing prolific root growth. Another explanation might be that FL fertilizer produced low clipping yield (Fig. 3 and 4) and therefore, nutrient and carbohydrate removal by the clippings were minimal. These savings of plant energy might have increased carbohydrate accumulation and contributed to the improvement of root growth (Beard, 1973).

At the 4th and 6th sampling dates of Study I, the nonamended plots provided higher root dry weight than the UFRF amended ones (Table 1). It was also observed that root growth in all UFRF amended plots was reduced between the 3rd and 4th sampling dates, whereas root production in nonamended substrates was either increased or remained stable.

In Study II, root growth was seriously restricted by the high temperatures of the summer and reached a maximum value of 56 g m⁻² 57 DAT, while in Study I, the maximum value was two and half time larger (Table 1). The FL treatment resulted in the highest root production on two out of the six sampling dates (4th and 6th).
Table 1. Root dry weight as affected by fertilizer program and UFRF amendment during Study I and II (QR = quick release fertilizer; SR = slow release fertilizer; FL = foliar fertilizer). Values are the means of six replications. Bars represent the least significant difference (LSD) when treatment means are significantly different at a probability level P ≤ 0.05. Arrows (↑) indicate the application dates of the fertilizer treatments.

Table 2. Sod vertical detachment force as affected by fertilizer type during Study I and II (QR = quick release fertilizer; SR = slow release fertilizer; FL = foliar fertilizer).

Root growth from the 5th to the 6th sampling date was significantly reduced in all treatments due to the increase of top growth (Fig. 4), which is known to deplete root carbohydrates and result in a reduction in root dry weight (Watschke and Waddington, 1975).

**Vertical force.** In Study I the vertical force required to detach a piece of sod was influenced by the type of fertilizer but not by UFRF amendment (data not shown). FL fertilization exhibited a clear advantage and required significantly more vertical force compared to the other fertilizer treatments at the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th sampling dates (Table 2). The QR and SR fertilizer programs exhibited similar vertical force values throughout the whole study and were similar to FL by the end of the study. In general, vertical force adequately described root growth since the correlation coefficient was 0.82 confirming the importance of root growth on sod anchorage (Schmidt et al., 1986; Bingaman et al., 2001). In contrast, in Study II, vertical force values were poorly correlated with root dry weight (the correlation coefficient was 0.35).

Even though root dry weight was different between Studies I and II (Table 1), the vertical force required to detach the sod was similar (Table 2). In both studies, the vertical force of 5 kPa, which is considered to be the threshold value for sufficient sod anchorage (Peacock and Dudeck, 1985), was obtained within the first 20 to 25 d, which could be the minimum time for sod establishment under Greek climatic conditions.

**Soil nutrients.** Differences in soil P, K, Ca, Mg, and Fe concentrations between the treatments and the studies were inconsistent, except for K final concentrations, which were higher for QR fertilization in both studies compared to the other fertilization treatments. More specifically, at the final measurement of Study I, K concentration increased in nonamended profiles, while it was high in QR, moderate in SR, and low in FL fertilization (Table 3). The observed differences between fertilization treatments were probably caused by the different amounts of K applied with each fertilization program (7.05 g m⁻² for QR, 1.74 g m⁻² for SR, and 0.058 g m⁻² for FL fertilization, respectively). Similar results were also observed for the final K concentration in Study II. The initial and final concentration of K was within the optimum range, while Mg and Ca concentrations were slightly above optimal level considering a soil CEC of 6.23. In contrast, P concentration was low in all cases according to Christians (1998).

Calcium concentration was reduced with QR fertilization at the final measurement of Study I, indicating that S contained within the QR fertilization (4 g m⁻² compared with none in the other fertilization treatments) might have reacted with and reduced the Ca concentration. A similar reduction of Ca concentration was observed at the initiation and termination of Study II for UFRF amended profiles. In this case, it is probable that the existence of H₂PO₄⁻ within the foam, promoted Ca pre-
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**Fig. 4.** Cumulative clipping yield (g·m⁻²) as affected by fertilizer program during Study II (QR = quick release fertilizer; SR = slow release fertilizer; FL = foliar fertilizer). Values are the means of six replications. Bars represent the least significant difference (LSD) when treatment means are significantly different at a probability level P ≤ 0.05. Arrows (↑) indicate the application dates of the fertilizer treatments.
Table 3. Soil nutrient level (P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe) as affected by fertilizer program and UFRF amendment at the initiation and termination of Study I (QR = quick release fertilizer; SR = slow release fertilizer; FL = foliar fertilizer).

| Treatment a | Nutrient level (mg·kg⁻¹) |
|-------------|--------------------------|
|             | Initial                  | Final                   |
|             | P    | K    | Ca   | Mg   | Fe   | P    | K    | Ca   | Mg   | Fe   |
| UFRF amended| 5.20 a | 93.2 a | 1,418 a | 181 a | 4.22 a | 5.84 a | 89.3 a | 1,152 a | 161 a | 5.36 a |
| Nonamended  | 6.28 a | 93.7 a | 1,391 a | 198 a | 4.69 a | 9.14 a | 78.7 b | 1,091 a | 120 b | 4.55 a |

Table 4. Soil nutrient level (P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe) as affected by fertilizer program and UFRF amendment at the initiation and termination of Study II (QR = quick release fertilizer; SR = slow release fertilizer; FL = foliar fertilizer).

| Treatment a | Nutrient level (mg·kg⁻¹) |
|-------------|--------------------------|
|             | Initial                  | Final                   |
|             | P    | K    | Ca   | Mg   | Fe   | P    | K    | Ca   | Mg   | Fe   |
| UFRF amended| 6.68 a | 100.8 a | 1,378 a | 211 a | 4.97 a | 7.45 a | 90.0 a | 972 b | 143 b | 4.43 a |
| Nonamended  | 5.03 a | 89.0 a | 1,366 a | 170 a | 3.67 a | 6.62 a | 85.0 a | 1,163 a | 149 a | 5.56 a |
| FL           | 5.52 a | 90.5 a | 1,470 a | 189 a | 4.71 a | 8.39 a | 77.0 a | 1,250 a | 129 a | 4.88 a |

Within each treatment, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

Within each treatment, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).
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