Effects of green and red light in $\beta_L$-crystallin and ovalbumin
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The effects of visible light on biological systems have been widely studied. In particular, the alterations of blue light on the ocular lens have recently attracted much attention. Here, we present a study about the effects produced by green and red light on two different proteins: $\beta_L$-crystallin and ovalbumin. Based on differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), circular dichroism (CD), dynamic light scattering (DLS), and fluorescence emission measurements, we found that both wavelengths induce structural changes in these proteins. We also observed that $\beta_L$-crystallin aggregates. Our work may advance our understanding about conformational and aggregation processes in proteins subjected to visible radiation and the possible relationship with cataracts. While blue light has been considered the only harmful component in the visible spectrum, our findings show the possibility that lower energy components may be also of some concern.

The effects produced by visible light (an electromagnetic radiation with wavelengths between 380–750 nm) on biological systems have been investigated for several years$^{1-9}$. Very recently, Y. Kuse et al.$^{10}$ reported a severe damage caused by blue light (from emitting diodes, LEDs) in murine cone photoreceptor-derived cells. This study may have a potential warning for humans, because we spend more and more time in front of screens that emit a large amount of blue light. M. Hori et al.$^{11}$ reported, also recently, that such visible radiation kills eggs, larvae, pupae, and adults of Drosophila melanogaster. The authors claim that blue light is even more harmful for insects than UV radiation. Furthermore, other authors have found that overexposure to blue light may have detrimental effects on human corneal epithelial and retinal photoreceptors cells compared to other visible light wavelengths$^{12,13}$.

Even lower energies of visible light (red and green) have consequences in the physiology, growth and reproduction of some organisms$^{3,4,6}$. For instance, exposure to green light causes reduction in the radial expansion of fungi, growth of HeLa cells, retinal DNA breaks in rats, hypersensitivity of a gene in transgenic tobacco, modulated changes in the activity of collagenase enzyme and enhancement of antioxidant activity of barley$^{5,7,14-16}$. Red light from monochromatic low-intensity sources can stimulate plant development, affect the expression level of oxidative stress enzymes of E. coli in seawater and DNA synthesis in HeLa cells, as well as the growth of other types of bacteria, yeast and different species$^{17-19}$.

All in all, it has been found that the mechanisms responsible for some disturbances by specific wavelengths show the following outcomes: an increase in the reactive oxygen species (ROS), epithelial cell injury, double stranded DNA breaks (“ladder” of base pair fragments), activation of photoreceptor specific cell damage, and modifications of protein expression levels$^{15-13,15}$.

In this context, our main concern is to understand how the above effects can take place considering the fact proteins have null absorbance at visible light wavelengths$^{20}$. This is relevant because proteins are plenty in cells and any disturbance in them has considerable implications in the cell functioning and health of organs. For instance, it has been shown that long-term cromatic exposure to blue light is one of the risk factors for development of age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Różanowska & Sarna confirmed that exposure to light accelerates the photoreceptors damage and speculated that rhodopsin is the essential protein involved in the injury of the retina$^8$. In addition, it has been reported that UV light induces covalent scission of the Trps indole ring and aggregation of crystallin proteins, which are factors in the etiology of cataract formation$^{21,22}$.

In the present work, we explore the effects of green and red light on two different proteins: $\beta_L$-crystallin and ovalbumin. $\beta_L$-crystallin is a complex protein of mostly dimers. It constitutes one of the three principal proteins in the human lens and takes part in the focusing of light on the retina, keeping the transparency and refractive
characteristics of the lens. It has been suggested that one function of this protein is to maintain the solubility of other \(\beta\)-crystallins that are strongly modified during aging\(^{23–27}\). According to its size, pH, ionic strength and concentration in the lens, there are, in fact, three different \(\beta\) crystallin complexes: \(\beta\)Low\(_1\) (\(\beta\)\(_L1\)), \(\beta\)Low\(_2\) (\(\beta\)\(_L2\)), and \(\beta\)High (\(\beta\)\(_H\)). Such parameters also determine their stability. Under equilibrium conditions, \(\beta\)-crystallin can unfold to a bimodal transition with a stable intermediate or a state without intermediate\(^{23,24}\). These proteins self-associate mainly into dimers (\(\beta\)\(_L2\)), tetramers (\(\beta\)\(_L1\)) and high-order oligomers (\(\beta\)\(_H\)) which can undergo fast subunit inter-change. \(\beta\)\(_L\)-crystallin exhibits N-terminal extensions or arms and it is composed of two domains connected by a short linker; the N-terminal domain of each monomer interacts with the C-terminal domain of the other. Each domain consists of two similar polypeptide chains folded to give a ‘Greek key’. The core of each domain is extremely hydrophobic with a high amount of aromatic residues\(^{21,23,28,29}\).

Ovalbumin is the main protein of chicken egg white and the first crystallographic model for a native protein. It is a member of the serpin super-family, however, it has not any protease inhibitory property, and its function is still unknown\(^30\). Unlike the \(\beta\)\(_L\)-crystallin this protein lacks a N-terminal leader sequence. Ovalbumin has four domains, three of them contain alpha-helix and beta strands, and the fourth is mostly alpha-helix. This protein shows a metastable folding intermediate, which is not the most thermodynamic favoured conformation\(^{30,31}\).

We exposed these two globular proteins to different time intervals of red and green light. We analyzed the effects produced by the radiation, measuring the changes in the calorimetric response, particle size distribution, secondary structure, and fluorescence emission. Although both proteins show an increase in enthalpy due to the radiation, indicating that cohesion increases, aggregation is observed only in \(\beta\)\(_L\)-crystallin.

**Results**

**Enthalpy change of irradiated proteins.** Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) has been acknowledged as a highly sensitive technique to study thermally induced protein folding and unfolding transitions. With this technique, conformational changes in proteins are thermodynamically characterized. To investigate about these changes in \(\beta\)\(_L\)-crystallin and ovalbumin after exposure to red and green light, we evaluated the calorimetric profiles of such native and irradiated proteins (Fig. 1). The position of the calorimetric peaks is similar to those reported in the literature with different buffers\(^{32,33}\). However, it is evident that the area under the curve (H) increases as the
irradiation time increases (from 305 to 732 kJ/mol for βL-crystallin (a), and from 867 to 2,252 kJ/mol for ovalbumin (b)). Both wavelengths have a notorious calorimetric effect on βL-crystallin (Fig. 1a), which is more pronounced with the green light, especially at intermediate times. In contrast, red light causes a major effect on ovalbumin (Fig. 1b) with a significant response at the largest exposure time (see the insets). These results illustrate that both types of light induce changes in these proteins, with the consequent increase in enthalpy. We will discuss this point in detail at the Discussion section.

Particle size distribution analysis. In order to determine the nature of the conformational changes of the proteins by exposure to red and green light, the particle size distribution was measured in terms of dynamic light scattering (DLS). Figure 2 shows how the particle size distribution of βL-crystallin (a–b) and ovalbumin (c–d) changes upon this radiation. First, we observe that βL-crystallin, which self-associates mainly into dimmers, reduces its size after exposure, indicating that light promotes more packing. But the most important effect is a significant aggregation at the highest dose. While the second protein seems to shrink also, exposure to red and green light does not produce aggregation.

Analysis of βL-crystallin and ovalbumin secondary structures. To gain insight about the secondary structure disturbance of the studied proteins, we analyzed the residues percentage in a given structural conformation. Figure 3 shows the CD spectrum of βL-crystallin (a) and ovalbumin (b) in a phosphate buffer after irradiation. It can be observed that red light on βL-crystallin gradually decreases the α-helix content and significantly increases the β-sheets, confirming the results obtained by DLS. In contrast, red light slightly increases the α-helix with no evident changes in beta-sheets for ovalbumin. According to our results, green light does not produce significant and consistent changes as the dose in both proteins is increased. The detailed secondary structure information of these spectra is presented in Table 1.

Fluorescence emission spectra. To further explore the change in the molecular properties of the irradiated proteins, we evaluated the interaction of aromatic residues with its microenvironment by fluorescence experiments (see Fig. 4). When tryptophan and tyrosine are excited, the native proteins show a maximum fluorescence emission at 333 and 334 nm, for βL-crystallin (a) and ovalbumin (b), respectively. After βL-crystallin is exposed to red and green light, the fluorescence intensities increase. These increments are due to conformation changes of the protein to a more compact structure, as confirmed by the DLS and calorimetry results. Indeed, it is well known that the environment affects the fluorescence intensity and wavelength of the maximum emission (λmax)\(^3\). When the solvent becomes more polar (for example, by the addition of water), λmax shifts to the red and the intensity reduces; when the solvent turns into a less polar liquid, λmax shifts to the blue and the intensity increases. Furthermore, the quantum yield of the fluorescence is more sensitive to the environment that λmax\(^3\). Since the protein shrinks by visible radiation, it is clear that the aromatic amino acids (more importantly, tryptophan) bury inside it, so the environment becomes less perturbed by the polar solvent (water), increasing therefore the intensity of the fluorescence (in other words, this effect is closely similar to the one described above: the intensity goes up because the protein suffers a structural modification as if a less polar solvent was added). Of course, this change in intensity...
Figure 3. Circular dichroism study. β\textsubscript{1}-crystallin (a) and ovalbumin (b) in phosphate buffer were exposed to red and green light to different time intervals. The native signal is shown in black lines. Evident and consistent changes in protein secondary structure are observed after irradiation with red light. However, the effect of the green light is not so significant and regular with the doses in both proteins. See the text and Table 1 for details.

| Native          | α-helix (%) | β-sheet (%) |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Native          | 10.4        | 38.0        |
| β\textsubscript{1}-crystallin |            |             |
| Green 5.5 h     | 11.9        | 36.9        |
| Red 5.5 h       | 11.7        | 37.8        |
| Green 11 h      | 4.4         | 48.5        |
| Red 11 h        | 2.7         | 49.4        |
| Green 21 h      | 9.0         | 38.3        |
| Red 21 h        | 2.3         | 51.1        |
| Native          | 20.2        | 30.0        |
| Ovalbumin       |             |             |
| Green 5.5 h     | 20.7        | 30.5        |
| Red 5.5 h       | 18.6        | 33.0        |
| Green 11 h      | 21.3        | 29.7        |
| Red 11 h        | 19.6        | 32.9        |
| Green 21 h      | 21.4        | 30.8        |
| Red 21 h        | 22          | 30.5        |

Table 1. Secondary structure obtained from circular dichroism for β\textsubscript{1}-crystallin and ovalbumin exposed to different time intervals to red and green light. The proportion of secondary structures was estimated from the deconvolution of CD spectra using the DICHROWEB online server with the CONTIN algorithm\textsuperscript{37}.  

\textsuperscript{37}
is very minor (but measurable) so we cannot resolve a shift to shorter wavelengths for \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \). With respect to the second protein, ovalbumin, we observe the same effect but with less strong intensities.

**Discussion**

In this study we investigated the effect of red and green light in \( \beta_{L} \)-crystallin and ovalbumin. Our findings show that this radiation produces conformational modifications in both proteins. They get a bit more packed presumably due to an alteration of the hydrogen-bond network (see Figs 2 and 4). Since an additional thermal energy is needed to break new links, the calorimetric enthalpy increases (see Fig. 1). Simultaneously, the secondary structure composition of proteins is modified upon such radiation (see Fig. 3). These changes are evident in \( \beta_{L} \)-crystallin and barely noticeable in ovalbumin. In the case of \( \beta_{L} \)-crystallin, visible light promotes aggregation. Since according to our DLS experiments ovalbumin does not aggregate but the enthalpy does increase, it is evident that the cohesion responsible for the enthalpy increment is due to protein shrinkage. Our findings show that \( \beta_{L} \)-crystallin and ovalbumin exposed to red and green light for periods of time greater than 5 hours give rise to conformational and thermodynamic changes. It is captivating to discover that alterations normally produced by X and UV radiation at very short times \(^{35} \) can be achieved with lower energies, especially red light. We believe our results may give clues for understanding some of the effects. Interestingly, \( \beta_{L} \)-crystallin aggregates upon low energy radiation, which to the best of our knowledge is the first time reported. According to the data in the literature, 40 J cm\(^{-2} \) of visible light is equivalent to outdoors midsummer sunlight exposure of approximately 15 min in Houston, TX \(^{36} \). This irradiance does not taken to account UV and IR. However, it is easy to estimate that the maximum dose for green or red light in this study is equivalent to 10.2 J cm\(^{-2} \). Then, around 20 mins under sunlight corresponds to the maximum dose in our experiments. It will be interesting to further investigate whether or not our findings correlate with the phenomenon of cataract formation in human eyes.

**Methods**

**Proteins solution preparation.** \( \beta_{L} \)-crystallin from bovine eye lens (C5163 Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and albumin from chicken egg white (ovalbumin, A5253 Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were prepared at 25 °C. A stock solution of each protein was prepared as explained below. The concentration was verified by absorbance at 280 nm using a NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotomer (Thermo Scientific, USA).

---

**Figure 4. Fluorescence emission spectra.** \( \beta_{L} \)-crystallin (a) and ovalbumin (b) in phosphate buffer were exposed to red and green light to different time intervals. The intensity changes of the fluorescence are not due to heat generated by the radiation (the temperature during irradiations was 23 ± 2 °C). The black lines represent the signal of the native protein and the red and green lines the irradiated proteins. The protein concentration was 0.1 mg/mL in 10 mM phosphate buffer. The excitation wavelength was at 280 nm, and emission spectra were measured from 290–500 nm. The intensity of the fluorescence emission increases in both proteins, and there is not a shift in the maximum emission wavelength.
Irradiation. Protein solutions were separately exposed to green (490–540 nm) and red (600–650 nm) light-emitting diodes (LEDs), LED-P3G-200/41 and LED-P3R-120/41, respectively. Four LEDs of the same wavelength were placed in an aluminium support and connected in parallel to a DC power supply (E3632A, Agilent) at 2.83 V for green and 2.05 V for red light. The intensity emitted was \(4 \times 10^2\) lm, which was measured by a LX-1108 Lutron light meter. The samples were placed in a Petri dish on top (at a distance of 2.5 cm) of the LED arrangement, and irradiated for 5.5, 11 and 21 h (2.67, 5 and 10.21/cm², respectively). The temperature during irradiations was 23 ± 2 °C which was monitored with a NI USB-TC01 thermocouple. Native and light-irradiated samples were obtained from the same stock. After irradiations, the samples were stored for 24 h at 4 °C before further analysis.

Calorimetric analysis. Heat capacity profiles of the samples (native and irradiated) were recorded using a calorimeter (Microcalorimeter, NanoDSC, TA Instruments, USA) which was interfaced to a PC. Irradiated proteins were previously prepared by dissolving 2 mg of protein per millilitre of PBS buffer (0.01 M phosphate buffer, 0.0027 M potassium chloride and 0.137 M sodium chloride) with Milli-Q water, at pH 7.2. Before the samples were loaded into the differential scanning calorimetry capillaries, they were degassed at 635 mmHg for 5 mins at 25 °C and 500 r.p.m. The β-L-crystallin solution was heated from 30 to 80 °C and the ovalbumin 45 to 95 °C. The samples were measured at constant pressure (3 atm) and the heating rate was 1 °C/min. Each experiment was performed three times using different samples prepared under the same conditions. Data were analyzed using the software provided with the calorimeter.

Dynamic light scattering. Particle size distribution was determined at 25 °C using a Zetasizer NanoZS (Malvern, UK). The protein suspensions of 1 mL (at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL in phosphate buffer) were poured into disposable polystyrene cuvettes and exposed to a 633 nm He-Ne laser. Each measurement was performed two times, but each one is the average of twelve iterations.

Circular dichromism. Circular dichromism (CD) spectroscopy was used to analyze the secondary structure of the proteins. CD spectra were recorded on a J-1500 spectropolarimeter (JASCO Inc., Easton, MD, USA) using a 0.1 cm path length cell over the 190–240 nm range. The concentration of protein was 0.1 mg/mL in 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, and the temperature regulated at 25 °C by a Peltier temperature-controlled cell holder (PTC-435, JASCO). CD spectra of the native and irradiated proteins were acquired every 0.1 nm with 1 s averaging time per point and a 1 nm band pass. Three measurements were made for each sample. Each spectrum was obtained as an average of three scans to reduce noise and smoothed before structure analysis was performed. The secondary structure prediction was performed using the CONTIN algorithm and SMP180 (optimised for 190–240 nm) reference sets, accessed through the Dichroweb server.37

Fluorescence measurements. Intrinsic fluorescence of proteins was recorded on a Shimadzu RF-5301PC spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Columbia, MD, USA) equipped with a thermostatic cell chamber and constant stirring. The steady-state fluorescence emission spectra were recorded between 290–500 nm after exciting at 280 nm, 5 nm slit and at 25 °C. The protein concentration was 0.1 mg/mL in 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.2.
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