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Abstract—Correlated memoryless sources produce a principal and an ancillary sequence. The exponential growth of the least expected total number of guesses required to guess the principal sequence is determined when, prior to guessing it, the guesser is allowed to produce guesses (not necessarily terminating with a correct one) of the ancillary.

I. INTRODUCTION

We study a variation on guessing with side-information: How to guess a principal sequence $X^n$, when we are allowed to do so in two phases. In the first we produce guesses of an ancillary sequence $Y^n$ until it is guessed correctly or until we choose to move on to the second phase, in which we must guess $X^n$. The total number of guesses, namely, the sum of the guesses in the two phases, is denoted $G(X^n; Y^n)$. We study its behavior when $((X_i, Y_i))_{i=1}^n$ are IID according to some finite-support PMF $P_{XY}$. We prove the following variational characterization of the least achievable exponential growth of $E[G(X^n; Y^n)]:$

**Theorem 1.** If $((X_i, Y_i))_{i=1}^n$ are IID according to the finite-support PMF $P_{XY}$, then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\min_{G} \log E[G(X^n; Y^n)]}{n} = \sup_{Q_{XY}} \min \left( \left( H(Q_X), \max (H(Q_Y), H(Q_{X|Y})) \right) - D(Q_{XY}||P_{XY}) \right),
$$

where the minimum on the LHS is over all two-phase guessing strategies.

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 can be generalized to the $\rho$-th moment of $G(X^n; Y^n)$: For any $\rho \geq 0$,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\min_{G} \log E[G(X^n; Y^n)^{\rho}]}{n} = \sup_{Q_{XY}} \left( \rho \min \left( H(Q_X), \max (H(Q_Y), H(Q_{X|Y})) \right) - D(Q_{XY}||P_{XY}) \right).
$$

**Example 1.** If $X_i = (Z_i, Y_i)$ with $\{ (Z_i, Y_i) \}$ IID $P_{ZY}$, then the RHS of (2) equals

$$
\rho \max \left( H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_Y), H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_{Z|Y}) \right),
$$

where $H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_Y)$ (and $H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_{Z|Y})$) denote the (conditional) Rényi Entropy of order $1/(1+\rho)$

$$
H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_Y) \triangleq \frac{1}{\rho} \log \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y)^{1/(1+\rho)} \right)^{1+\rho},
$$

$$
H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_{Z|Y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{\rho} \log \left( \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_{ZY}(z, y)^{1/(1+\rho)} \right)^{1+\rho},
$$

with $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ denoting the support set of the marginals $P_Y$ and $P_{Z|Y}$, respectively. In fact, the exponent of (3) is achievable by guessing $Y^n$ until correct and then guessing $X^n$ (see (6) to (8) ahead). For a proof of Example 1 see Appendix A.

When $Y^n$ is deterministic, the problem reduces to the classical Massey-Arikan guessing problem of studying the least $\rho$-th moment of the number of guesses required to learn the realization of a chance variable $X$ of a PMF $P_X$ having finite support $X$. A guess is a question of the form “Is $X = x$?”, and all guesses are answered truthfully. The optimal guess order is in descending order of probabilities, and Arikan [1] showed that

$$
E[G^*(X)^{\rho}] \approx 2^\rho H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_X),
$$

where $G^*$ denotes an optimal guessing order, i.e., a bijection $X \to \{1, 2, \ldots, |X|\}$ minimizing the LHS of (6). Equality in (6) holds up to a factor dominated by $\log |X|$. Consequently, when guessing a sequence $X^n \sim P_X^n$, i.e., whose components $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ are IID $P_X$, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log E[G^*(X^n)^{\rho}]}{n} = \rho H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_X).
$$

Various extensions to the above results are known. In [1], Arikan also examined the setup where—prior to guessing $X^n$—side-information is revealed to the guesser in the form of a sequence $Y^n$ that is jointly IID with $X^n$. He showed that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log E[G^*(X^n | Y^n)^{\rho}]}{n} = \rho H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_{X|Y}),
$$

where $G^*(\cdot | y^n)$ is a guessing order that is optimal for the PMF $P_{X^n|Y^n=y^n}$. Generalizations to the case where only a rate-limited description of $Y^n$ is available to the guesser were studied by Graczyk and Lapidoth [2], who sought a mapping
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\[ \phi_n : Y^n \to \{0,1\}^n \]  

minimizing  

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log E[G^*(X^n | \phi_n(Y^n))]^\rho}{n}. \]  

Upper and lower bounds on the least value that (10) can take are given in [2, (36) and (37)]. When \( Y^n = X^n \), the optimal value is [2, Theorem 1]

\[ \sup_{Q_X} \inf_{Q_{U|X} : D(Q_{U|X} || P_X) \leq R} \left( \rho H(Q_X|U) - D(Q_X||P_X) \right). \]  

Weinberger and Shayevitz [3] examined one-bit descriptions

\[ \phi_n : Y^n \to \{0,1\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \]  

and derived bounds on the optimal guessing efficiency

\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \min_{\phi_n} \frac{E[G^*(X^n | \phi_n(Y^n))]^\rho}{E[G^*(X^n)]^\rho}. \]  

Burin and Shayevitz [4] further considered a setup where the guesser is only revealed a noisy observation of \( \phi \)

As in [5, Proposition 6], we first note that the cost of \( \pi = (G, \tilde{G}) \) is denoted \( G(X^n; Y^n) \), so

\[ G(X^n; Y^n) \triangleq G(Y^n) + \tilde{G}(X^n | Y^n). \]  

We seek the least achievable exponential growth of \( E[G(X^n; Y^n)] \), i.e.,

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{\pi} \frac{\log E[G(X^n; Y^n)]}{n}. \]  

We will show that in this case

\[ \pi = (G, \tilde{G}). \]  

The total number of guesses required by \( \pi = (G, \tilde{G}) \) is

\[ \sup_{Q_{X,Y}} \left( \min \{ H(Q_X), \max \{ H(Q_Y), H(Q_X|Y) \} \right) \] 

\[ - D(Q_{X,Y} || P_{X,Y}) \right) \]  

In this section we prove Theorem 1, namely, that if \( \{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \) are IID \( \sim P_{X,Y} \), then the limit in (20) exists and equals

\[ \sup_{Q_{X,Y}} \left( \min \{ H(Q_X), \max \{ H(Q_Y), H(Q_X|Y) \} \right) \] 

\[ - D(Q_{X,Y} || P_{X,Y}) \right) \]  

\[ \text{Proof. As in [5, Proposition 6], we first note that the cost incurred by the guesser for not knowing the (joint) empirical type of } (X^n, Y^n) \text{ is at most polynomial in } n. \text{ Consequently, the expectation of the total number of guesses } G^*(X^n; Y^n) \text{ induced by an optimal two-phase guessing strategy can be upper-bounded by}

\[ E \left[ G^*(X^n; Y^n) \right] \leq E \left[ G^*_\pi(X^n; Y^n) \right] \text{poly}(n), \]  

where \( G^*_\pi(\cdot; \cdot) \) is the total number of guesses required to guess \( X^n \) using an optimal two-phase strategy with access to the empirical type of \( (X^n, Y^n) \); and where \( \text{poly}(n) \) denotes a monomial in \( n \). Since the guesser is free to ignore the type,

\[ E \left[ G^*_\pi(X^n; Y^n) \right] \leq E \left[ G^*(X^n; Y^n) \right], \]  

and hence

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log E[G^*(X^n; Y^n)]}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log E[G^*_\pi(X^n; Y^n)]}{n}, \]  

whenever the limit on the RHS exists.

To prove Theorem 1, we evaluate the RHS of (24). We do so by first studying our problem when, rather than IID \( \sim P_{X,Y} \), the pair \( (X^n, Y^n) \) is drawn uniformly over a type class \( T^{(n)}(Q_{X,Y}) \), where \( Q_{X,Y} \) is known and belongs to the family \( T_n(X \times Y) \) of joint types on \( X \times Y \) of denominator \( n \). This situation arises when \( (X^n, Y^n) \sim P_{X,Y}^{n} \) and we condition on \( (X^n, Y^n) \in T^{(n)}(Q_{X,Y}) \). We will show that in this case
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ G^*_T(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY}) \right] \\
= \min \left( H(Q_X), \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{X|Y}) \right) \right),
\]
where the convergence is uniform w.r.t. \( Q_{XY} \). Once (25) is established, the RHS of (24) can be evaluated by averaging over the empirical type of \((X^n, Y^n)\) as follows:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{E}[G^*_T(X^n; Y^n)]}{n} \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{E}[G^*_T(X^n; Y^n)]}{n} \\
= \sup_{Q_{XY}} \left( \min \left( H(Q_X), \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{X|Y}) \right) \right) - D(Q_{XY} \| P_{XY}) \right),
\]
where (a) is due to (24); (b) is justified in Appendix B; (c) follows from (25); and (d) holds because every PMF can be approximated by a type of sufficiently large denominator, and because the functions in (29) are all continuous w.r.t. \( Q_{XY} \).

In the remainder of this section we prove (25). Achievability is straightforward: Setting \( \mathcal{G} \) to be empty yields the exponent \( H(Q_X) \) (cf. [5, Example 1]), whereas setting \( \mathcal{G} \) to be \( \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY}) \) yields the exponent \( \max(H(Q_X), H(Q_{X|Y})) \), because with this choice of \( \mathcal{G} \) Phase 1 and Phase 2 require roughly \( 2^n H(Q_X) \) and \( 2^n H(Q_{X|Y}) \) guesses, respectively. This strategy also results in a uniform convergence w.r.t. \( Q_{XY} \).

Having proved that the RHS of (25) is achievable, we now show that no two-phase guessing strategy \( \pi_T = (G_T, \tilde{G}_T) \) (cognizant of \( Q_{XY} \)) can do better. Let the exponential growth of the expected number of guesses in Phase 1 and Phase 2 under \( \pi_T \) be denoted \( E_Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) \) and \( E_X|Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) \),

\[
E_Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ G_T(Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY}) \right],
\]
and let \( E_X|Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) \) denote the exponential growth of the expected total number number of guesses,

\[
E_X|Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \log \mathbb{E} \left[ G_T(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY}) \right],
\]
where the exponent in Phase 2 when \( Y^n \) is revealed in Phase 1. To conclude the proof, we will show that the RHS of (33) is lower-bounded by the RHS of (25). This is clearly the case when \( E_Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) \) equals \( H(Q_Y) \) because of (34). We therefore focus on the case where \( E_Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) < H(Q_Y) \). We will show that

\[
E_Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) < H(Q_Y) \Rightarrow E_X|Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) = H(Q_X),
\]
and thus conclude the proof, because in this case the RHS of (33) is at least \( H(Q_X) \).

We establish (35) via its contrapositive,

\[
E_X|Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) < H(Q_X) \Rightarrow E_Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) = H(Q_Y),
\]
by proving that

\[
E_X|Y(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) < H(Q_X) \Rightarrow |\mathcal{G}| = \Theta(|\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})|),
\]
where \( |\mathcal{G}| = \Theta(|\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})|) \) indicates that for some \( \alpha > 0 \) and all sufficiently large \( n \),

\[
|\mathcal{G}| \geq \alpha |\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})|.
\]
To show (37) we also argue by contraposition and therefore assume that \( |\mathcal{G}| = o(|\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})|) \), i.e.,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{G}|}{|\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})|} = 0.
\]
We define the indicator variable

\[
E \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } Y^n \in \mathcal{G} \\
1 & \text{else},
\end{cases}
\]
and observe that (39) implies

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[E = 1] = 1,
\]
and consequently,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} H(E) = 0. \quad (42)
\]
Since
\[
H(X^n) - H(X^n | E) = I(X^n; E) \leq H(E), \quad (43)
\]
we infer from (42) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (H(X^n) - H(X^n | E)) = 0. \quad (45)
\]
This and (41) implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n | E = 1) \quad (46)
\]
as can be seen by expanding \( H(X^n | E) \) and noting that
\[
\frac{1}{n} H(X^n | E = 0) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}|. \quad (47)
\]
To conclude the proof that (39) implies the negation of the LHS of (37) we proceed as follows:

\[
E_{X|Y}(\pi_T; Q_{XY}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}[\hat{G}_T(X^n \mid Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}(n)(Q_{XY})] \quad (48)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}[\hat{G}_T(X^n \mid Y^n) \\
&\quad \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}(n)(Q_{XY}), E = 1] \quad (49) \\
&\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_i/2(X^n \mid E = 1) \quad (50) \\
&\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n \mid E = 1) \quad (51) \\
&\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n) \quad (52) \\
&= H(Q_X), \quad (53)
\end{align*}
\]
where (a) follows from the law of total expectation and (41); (b) holds by the relationship between guessing and Rényi Entropy, namely (6); (c) follows from the monotonicity of the Rényi Entropy in its parameter; and (d) holds by (46).

**APPENDIX**

**A. Derivation of (3)**

We prove that when \( X \) has the form \((Z, Y)\) with \( Z \) and \( Y \) of some arbitrary joint PMF \( P_{ZY} \),

\[
\sup_{Q_{XY}} \left( \rho \min \left( H(Q_X), \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{XY}) \right) \right) - D(Q_{XY} \mid P_{XY}) \right) \quad (54)
\]
equals

\[
\rho \max \left( H(1/(1+\rho)\left( P_Y, H_1/(1+\rho)\left( P_{Z|Y} \right) \right) \right. \quad (55)
\]

Using the given form of \( X \), we first provide an alternative expression for (54):

\[
\begin{align*}
&\sup_{Q_{XY}} \left( \rho \min \left( H(Q_X), \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{XY}) \right) \right) - D(Q_{XY} \mid P_{XY}) \right) \\
&= \sup_{Q_{XY}} \left( \rho \min \left( H(Q_{Z|Y}), \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{Z|Y}) \right) \right) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \quad (56)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\geq \sup_{Q_{XY}} \left( \rho \max \left( H(Q_{Z|Y}), H(Q_{Z|Y}) \right) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \quad (57)
\end{align*}
\]
where (a) holds because \( H(Q_{Z|Y}) \) is lower-bounded by \( \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{Z|Y}) \right) \). Having established that (54) equals (57), it now suffices to show that (57) equals (55). To that end, we first argue that (57) is upper-bounded by (55):

\[
\begin{align*}
&\sup_{Q_{Z|Y}} \left( \rho \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{Z|Y}) \right) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \\
&\overset{(a)}{=} \sup_{Q_{Z|Y}} \left( \max \rho H(Q_Y) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \quad (58)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq \max \left( \sup_{Q_{Z|Y}} \left( \rho H(Q_Y) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \right) \\
&\overset{(b)}{=} \max \left( \sup_{Q_{Z|Y}} \left( \rho H(Q_Y) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \right) \quad (59)
\end{align*}
\]
where (a) holds because \( \max(a, b, c) \) equals \( \max(a, d, b) \) and \( \max(a, b, c) \) equals \( \max(a - c, b - c) \) for any real \( a, b, c \) and positive \( d \); in (b) we independently optimize the arguments to \( \max(\cdot, \cdot) \); and (c) follows from the variational characterization of the Rényi Entropy [5, Proposition 8].

To conclude the proof, we show that (55) lower-bounds (57). We do so by proving that (57) is lower-bounded by both \( \rho H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_Y) \) and \( \rho H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_{Z|Y}) \) (the claim then follows because (55) is the maximum of the two):

\[
\begin{align*}
&\sup_{Q_{Z|Y}} \left( \rho \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{Z|Y}) \right) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \\
&\geq \sup_{Q_{Z|Y}} \left( \rho H(Q_Y) - D(Q_{Z|Y} \mid P_{Z|Y}) \right) \quad (62)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \rho H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_Y), \quad (63)
\end{align*}
\]
where (a) holds because \( \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{Z|Y}) \right) \geq H(Q_Y) \). Likewise, \( \max \left( H(Q_Y), H(Q_{Z|Y}) \right) \geq H(Q_{Z|Y}) \), so (54) is also lower-bounded by \( \rho H_{1/(1+\rho)}(P_{Z|Y}) \).
B. A Proof of (27)

We prove (27) by showing that for sufficiently large $n$,

$$\mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n)] \approx \max_{Q_{XY} \in T_n(X \times Y)} \left(2^{-nD(Q_{XY} \| P_{XY})}\right) \mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})].$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)

Concretely,

$$\mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n)] \approx \max_{Q_{XY} \in T_n(X \times Y)} \left(2^{-nD(Q_{XY} \| P_{XY})}\right) \mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})].$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

$$\leq \sum_{Q_{XY} \in T_n(X \times Y)} \mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})] \mathbb{P}[(X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})]$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

$$\leq \ poly(n) \max_{Q_{XY} \in T_n(X \times Y)} \left(2^{-nD(Q_{XY} \| P_{XY})}\right) \mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})],$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

where (a) follows from the law of total expectation; (b) is due to Sanov’s Theorem [6, Theorem 11.4.1]; and (c) holds because there are at most polynomially many types of denominator $n$. Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n)] = \sum_{Q_{XY} \in T_n(X \times Y)} \mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})] \mathbb{P}[(X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})]$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

$$\geq \max_{Q_{XY} \in T_n(X \times Y)} \mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})] \mathbb{P}[(X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})]$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)

$$\geq \frac{1}{poly(n)} \max_{Q_{XY} \in T_n(X \times Y)} \left(2^{-nD(Q_{XY} \| P_{XY})}\right) \mathbb{E}[G^*_n(X^n; Y^n) \mid (X^n, Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(Q_{XY})].$$  \hspace{1cm} (70)

where (a) follows from dropping all terms but one; and (b) is again due to Sanov’s Theorem.
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