Influence of the Dielectric Medium on the Carbonyl Infrared Absorption Peak of Acetylferrocene
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Abstract: The solvent effect on the position of the carbonyl vibrational stretching of acetylferrocene in aprotic media was studied in this work. The solvent-induced shifts in this organometallic compound were interpreted in terms of the alternative reaction field model (SCRF-MO) proposed by Kolling. In contrast to the established trends for carbonyl groups in organic systems, the results suggest that the continuum models for the reaction field are not adequate and that the influence of dipolarity-polarizability described by an inhomogeneous coupling function \( \theta(\varepsilon)L(n^2) \) that assumes optical dielectric saturation is responsible for the carbonyl band shift and, there is empirical evidence that the effect of field-induced intermolecular interaction on band shift, interpreted in terms of the van der Waals forces from the solvent, have a important contribution to this phenomena.
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Introduction

The electronic and vibrational properties of a substance are affected by several microscopic and macroscopic properties of the medium. Consequently, there is considerable interest in the study of
solvent-induced perturbations on characteristic infrared absorption peaks and linear and nonlinear optical properties of organic compounds [1-26]. In effect, a number of semiempirical solvatochromic parameters representing “solvent polarity” have been applied to the quantification of medium effects on these properties [13,27,28]. It is known that vibrational frequencies for a molecule dissolved in a liquid depend principally on the dielectric nature of medium (solvent polarity-dipolarity) when specific local interactions are absent [1,3,4,23,27]. It was shown for solute molecules having large permanent dipole moments, that the solvent effect can be explained well by the Onsager reaction field model [16,24]. This theory provides a means for calculating the energy of equilibrium dielectric solvation of an ideal nonpolarizable dipole (solute molecule) immersed in a dielectric continuum.

In this context, Kolling proposed an alternative solvent reaction field perturbation model (SCRF-MO), based on dielectric continuum concepts, which incorporates several reaction field functions and a molecular orbital treatment that permits estimation of these solvent-induced shifts for carbonyl species in aprotic, aliphatic and monofunctional solvents (“select solvents”). His results clearly demonstrate that solvent dipolarity-polarizability is the dominant effect upon $\tilde{\nu}(C \equiv N)$ of benzonitrile and $\tilde{\nu}(C = O)$ of 2-butanone, ethyl acetate and tetramethylurea in a wide variety of aprotic solvents [1,3,4,29]. However, to our knowledge, this model has not been applied for interpreting the solvent dependence of the carbonyl band of organometallic compounds, although linear solvation energy relationships (LSER) have been used to explain solvent effects on various free-energy-based properties in diverse systems such as coordination and organometallic compounds [30-37]. On these basis, and continuing with our interest in this field, we report in this work a detailed evaluation using FTIR of the solvent effects on the carbonyl absorption peak in acetylferrocene (1, Figure 1) in 24 aprotic solvents, ranging from aprotic nonpolar to aprotic highly dipolar and aromatic classes and the analysis in terms of Kolling’s SCRF-MO generalized model of the experimental solvent shifts. This organometallic compound was selected due to its importance for the synthesis of medicines, optical and electronic materials with exceptional properties [38-42] and because this anisotropic molecule contains an acetyl group conjugated with a cyclopentadienyl ring of the organometallic electron donor ferrocenyl group. Besides, in this work we intend to probe the validity in this organometallic system of the polarity-polarizability model proposed by Kolling [1,3,4,29] and constructed from simplified molecular orbital arguments and reaction field theory as an alternative to interpreting the solvent-induced spectral shift for maximum frequency carbonyl band in small organic molecules.

Figure 1
The reaction field theory introduced by Onsager approximates a solute as a polarizable point dipole in the center of a spherical cavity immersed in a continuous medium with a dielectric constant \([26,43]\). The presence of this solute dipole induces a dipole in the solvent molecules (the medium); this medium is considered as an isotropic homogeneous dielectric continuum, and this induced dipole generates an electric field (reaction field) that will in turn interact with the molecular dipole of solute to lead to net stabilization and thus, the local field at the location of the solute molecule, following Onsager’s formalism \([44]\), is:

\[
\vec{F} = \vec{E}_c + \vec{E}_r = g(\varepsilon)\vec{E} + f(\varepsilon)\frac{\vec{\mu}}{2a^3}
\]  

(1)

Here, \(\vec{E}_c\) and \(\vec{E}_r\) are the cavity and the reaction field, respectively, \(a\) is the spherical solvent cavity dimensions, \(\vec{\mu}\) is dipole moment vector, \(g(\varepsilon)\) is a function that depends on bulk dielectric constant and \(f(\varepsilon)\) is the Onsager dielectric continuum function of the reaction field with dependence in bulk dielectric constant and gives a measurement of the strength of the reaction field \([44]\). In terms of molecular orbital theory (MO) \([43-46]\), the electrostatic solute-solvent interaction is considered as an additional term in the Hamiltonian of the isolated molecule \(H_0\) and then, the Hamiltonian for the molecule with the reaction field of the solvent \((H_p)\) is represented by the standard perturbation statement as \([3,4]\)

\[
H_p = H_0 - \hat{\mu} \frac{\mu}{2a^3} f(\varepsilon)
\]  

(2)

where, \(\hat{\mu}\) is the dipolar operator and \(f(\varepsilon)\) is the Onsager reaction field function, however, it can be considered as a general dielectric continuum function in terms of bulk dielectric constant \(\varepsilon\) and/or optical dielectric constant \((n^2)\), according to an alternative reaction field model proposed by Kolling formulated in terms of a simplified molecular orbital treatment for the influence of a polar solvent upon the IR absorption for the carbonyl group of 2-butanone, ethyl acetate and tetramethylurea \([3,4,29]\). In this model, different dielectric continuum functions \(f(\varepsilon)\) has been used, such as the Kirkwood-Bauer \([47]\), Block-Walker \([48]\), Brady-Carr \([49]\), McRae \([50]\), London-Onsager \([44]\), Marcus \([51]\), Born \([52]\) and Bekarek-Kolling \([1,53]\) and can be seen in Table 1. Then, the total energy with the corresponding energy contribution from the reaction field \((E_p)\) is \([3,4]\):

\[
E_p = \langle \Psi | H_0 | \Psi \rangle - \mu^2 f(\varepsilon) \frac{f(\varepsilon)}{a^3}
\]  

(3)

Here, the first term represents the total energy of molecule in ground state without perturbation \((E_0)\). In Kolling’s model \([3]\), the vibrational energy \(E_v\) shift of carbonyl group from its value in gas phase as a consequence of the solvent perturbation is proposed as \(\Delta E_v = C(E_p - E_0)\), that in terms of the band shift for the carbonyl:

\[
v_{exp} = v_g - K \cdot f(\varepsilon)
\]  

(4)

where, \(v_g\) is the value for vibrational carbonyl band in the gas phase.
Table 1 Defining functions for the MO reaction field of the solvent in dielectric constant ($\varepsilon$) and/or ($n$) refractive index

| Name               | Symbol          | Function                                                                 |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Born               | $B(\varepsilon)$ | $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$                                               |
| Kirkwood-Bauer     | $K(\varepsilon)$ | $(\varepsilon - 1)/(2\varepsilon + 1)$                                |
| Block-Walker       | $\theta(\varepsilon)$ | $\frac{3\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon}{\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon - \varepsilon + 1} - \frac{6}{\ln \varepsilon - 2}$ |
| Brady-Carr         | $\theta_p$      | $\varepsilon - n^2 + 1$                                               |
| Marcus             | $M'(\varepsilon, n^2)$ | $\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$                              |
| McRae              | $M(\varepsilon, n^2)$ | $\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 2} - \frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 2}$ |
| London–Onsager     | $L(n^2)$        | $\frac{n^2 - 1}{2n^2 + 1}$                                             |
| Bekarek-Kolling    | $K(\varepsilon)L(n^2)$ | $\left(\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{2\varepsilon + 1}\right) \left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{2n^2 + 1}\right)$ |
| Brady-Carr-Kolling | $\theta(\varepsilon)L(n^2)$ | $\left(\frac{3\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon}{\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon - \varepsilon + 1} - \frac{6}{\ln \varepsilon - 2}\right) \left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{2n^2 + 1}\right)$ |

Results and Discussion

The infrared carbonyl band shape of acetylferrocene analyzed in low polarity solvents (cyclohexane, carbon tetrachloride, carbon disulfide, benzene, diethyl ether, tetrahydrofuran, 1,4-dioxane, ethyl acetate) and solvents with low absorbance in the carbonyl region is mainly Lorentzian (some examples are shown in the Figure 2). However, the values obtained for apparent full width at half height (FWHH) and the integrated intensity of carbonyl band of acetylferrocene shown a great variation between 8.7 to 29.6 cm$^{-1}$ and 1.84 to 4.82 $\times$ 10$^6$ L mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively. It is clear from the values of shape parameters and the frequency of the band maximum that the solvents have a pronounced effect on the carbonyl stretching band of acetylferrocene compound.

Figure 2. IR Carbonyl Band Behavior of Acetylferrocene in Some Aprotic Solvents: ( ) Cyclohexane, (•) Benzene, (♦) Tetrahydrofuran, and (◊) Carbon tetrachloride.
Table 2. Carbonyl IR absorption band (in cm⁻¹) for acetylferrocene, 2-butanone and ethyl acetate in aprotic solvents at 298 K.

| Solvent                        | Ethyl acetate c | 2-Butanone d | Acetylferrocene e |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Acetonitrile (●)              | 1736.5          | 1714.1       | 1668.3            |
| Bromobenzene (●)              | -               | -            | 1671.2            |
| Butyl methyl ether (●)        | -               | -            | 1679.4            |
| Butyronitrile (●)             | 1736.7          | 1714.4       | 1670.2            |
| Carbon disulfide (●)          | 1741.2          | 1719.8       | 1674.1            |
| Chlorobenzene (●)             | -               | -            | 1671.7            |
| Chlorobutane (●)              | -               | -            | 1662.0            |
| Cyclohexane (●)               | 1749.6          | 1726.1       | 1682.8            |
| Cyclohexene (●)               | -               | -            | 1681.3            |
| Diethyl ether (●)             | 1743.5          | 1721.2       | 1679.9            |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide (●)        | 1744.6          | 1721.6       | 1679.7            |
| 1,4-Dioxane (●)               | 1731.3          | 1710.6       | 1663.5            |
| Isooctane (●)                 | 1750.1          | 1726.8       | 1683.7            |
| Tetrahydrofuran (●)           | 1739.0          | 1716.2       | 1675.1            |
| Benzene (□)                   | 1740.2          | 1719.0       | 1672.7            |
| Ethylbenzene (□)              | -               | -            | 1676.5            |
| Nitrobenzene (□)              | 1736.3          | 1714.4       | 1669.9            |
| Toluene (□)                   | 1739.5          | 1717.7       | 1676.0            |
| Carbon tetrachloride (►)      | 1742.4          | 1721.0       | 1675.5            |
| Chloroform (►)                | 1731.7          | 1710.3       | 1662.5            |
| Dichlorometane (►)            | 1733.4          | 1712.7       | 1665.4            |
| Tetrachloroethylene (►)       | 1744.1          | 1721.2       | 1667.8            |
| Pyridine (◊)                  | 1736.2          | 1714.0       | 1662.9            |

In spite of this, in this work we attempted initially to rationalize the carbonyl band shift of this organometallic compound in terms of reaction field models proposed by Kolling which incorporate alternative dielectric continuum and inhomogeneous functions as elements in the formulation of a simplified SCRF-MO perturbation description for the aprotic solvent effect upon carbonyl band frequency maximum of diverse organic solute. In this work, the results were analyzed strictly with the formalism developed by Kolling [4,29]. The influence of the solvent on the shape parameters of carbonyl band of acetylferrocene in IR and Raman spectroscopy is under development in our group and its interpretation is postponed to future communications.

In Table 2 are presented the results obtained for acetylferrocene (ActF, 1) in 24 different pure aprotic solvents as well as the solvent parameters (\(n^2\), \(\varepsilon\)) used for testing the various continuum models (see Table 1). Prior to this analysis, an empirical comparative study were made between \(\tilde{v}(C=O)\) data reported in the literature [3,4] for 2-butanone (2-But) and ethyl acetate (ActEt) in the same solvents used in this work (see Table 2), and after of these values with the corresponding values of acetylferrocene (ActF). As expected, an excellent linear correlation was found between \(\tilde{v}(C=O)\)
values for ethyl acetate and 2-butanone \( n = 17 , r = 0.99 \). This result is consistent with a similar solvent environmental influence upon the carbonyl stretching vibrational mode in both organic probes. In fact, Kolling has proposed that similar reaction field variables for the influence of solvent polarity are involved in modeling the solvent-induced shift for these molecules. On the other hand, although there is a linear tendency (see equations 5 and 6), the statistical quality of the fit of the \( \tilde{v}(C = O) \) of acetylferrocene vs \( \tilde{v}(C = O) \) of 2-butanone or ethyl acetate regressions declined substantially in comparison with early results (graphs omitted). Similar results were obtained with the values reported [29] for tetramethylurea. On the basis of the correlation coefficient and standard deviation of slope, it can be anticipated that, a same solvent works different upon the carbonyl group of each solute and different reaction field variables are involved in the solvatochromic behavior between acetylferrocene and these organic carbonyl compounds. However, the interpretation and significance of these results will be discussed later, because it requires a more detailed analysis of the \( \tilde{v}(C = O) \) values of acetylferrocene as a function of reaction field parameters.

\[
\tilde{v}_{\text{(AcTF)}} = (1.22 \pm 0.17)\tilde{v}_{\text{(2-But)}} - (418.11 \pm 288.34) \text{cm}^{-1}
\]
\[n = 17; \quad r = 0.88\]  

\[
\tilde{v}_{\text{(AcTF)}} = (1.08 \pm 0.15)\tilde{v}_{\text{(AcEt)}} - (212.34 \pm 262.20) \text{cm}^{-1}
\]
\[n = 17; \quad r = 0.88\]

**Figure 3.** Dependence of the Frequency of the C=O stretching band for acetylferrocene as a solute in aprotic solvents with specific reaction field functions: (a) Kirkwood-Bauer function \( K(\varepsilon) \), (b) Block-Walker \( \theta(\varepsilon) \), (c) Bekarek-Kolling \( K(\varepsilon)L(n^2) \) and (d) Brady-Carr-Kolling \( \theta(\varepsilon)L(n^2) \). Solvent family designations are as follows (reference 81): aprotic nonpolar, dipolar, and highly dipolar (select solvents) (●); polychloroalkanes (►), aromatics (□) and pyridine (◊).
Kolling found that a two-term MO perturbation statement in both Kirkwood-Bauer function \( K(\varepsilon) \) and a cross-product function \( K(\varepsilon) \cdot L(n^2) \) link well for ethyl acetate, tetramethylurea and 2-butanone, where the first term is the most relevant [3,4,29]. However, a poor correlation is obtained when the full data set from Table 2 is used with the Kirkwood function (see Figure 3a). Furthermore, this result is not improved if the aromatics and polychloroalkanes data are excluded from this analysis (“nonselect solvents”).

On the other hand, a plot of the carbonyl frequency \( \tilde{\nu} \) for acetylferrrocene as a function of the Born reaction field parameter results in a poorly defined nonlinear trend, which is not shown in this work. These results are similar to those reported for 2-butanone and ethyl acetate [3,4]. All reaction field functions \( f(\varepsilon, n^2) \) shown in Table 1 have been constructed on the common assumption that the dielectric properties outside the cavity of solute are homogeneous. However, these properties of solvent in the cybotactic region of a solute must be very different from that of bulk solvent and thus the dielectric properties are not uniform [54]. This non-uniformity of dielectric properties can be induced by strong nonlinear electrostatic interactions between solute and solvent, in consequence, the solvent molecules close to the dipole solute can be fully oriented and saturation of the orientational polarization becomes significant and reduces the local permittivity.

An interesting model that modifies the Onsager equation considering non-uniformity, and that shows satisfactory correlation with experimental results reported in literature, was proposed by Block and Walker [2,48,49,55]. When the \( \tilde{\nu}(C=O) \) data are treated by Block-Walker function \( \theta(\varepsilon) \), an approximated linearity occurs for 13 aprotic solvents out of the total set of 24 liquids (see Figure 3b) and eq. 7 was derived

\[
\tilde{\nu}(cm^{-1}) = \left(1688.39 \pm 1.71\right) - \left(49.4 \pm 6.1\right) \cdot \theta(\varepsilon);
\]

\[
n = 13; \quad r = 0.93
\]  

(7)

The outlying points of this regression include the 1,4-dioxane, pyridine, benzene, monoalkyl and halide aromatic hydrocarbons, CHCl\(_3\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and “pseudoaromatics” (CCl\(_4\), CS\(_2\), tetrachloroethylene) [56]. This deviation from the main sequence for the select group can be due to diverse factors not considered in this model, such as acid-base solvent properties, specific interactions (CHCl\(_3\) and CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) are weak proton donors), stereospecific solvation and weak intermolecular complexes having high polarizabilities and quadrupole moments [3,57-59]. In fact, in these solvents the carbonyl band is broader than in select solvents, but unexpectedly the mono-substituted aromatic solvent nitrobenzene (highly polarizable solvent) fall within of this correlation.

On the other hand, when the inductive polarization (or polarizability) of solvent is considered as dominant parameter, a completely scattered distribution of values without discernible pattern was observed in this work (graph omitted). This behavior coincides with the reported by others authors, and is consistent with a possible coupling between the dipolarity-polarizability influences in the reaction field [4,9,27,49,53-59]. It is noteworthy that this coupling differs among the specific \( f(\varepsilon, n^2) \) statements, for example, Brady-Carr [9], Marcus [51] and McRae [50] models are based on the distortional component acting in opposition to the orientational influence of the solvent and therefore the dipolar reaction field component is formally equivalent to an effective dipolar permittivity, \( \varepsilon \), implicitly defined by eq. 8, as proposed by Kolling [4].
To confirm these ideas, we tested the correlation of $\bar{v}(C=O)$ with these $f(\varepsilon_p)$ parameters. Although, the graphs are not presented herein, the Marcus, McRae and Brady-Carr correlations with the $\bar{v}(C=O)$ data for acetylferrocene shown a high degree of scattering. Likewise, similar trends were obtained when the $\varepsilon_p$ dipolarity parameter was substituted for $\varepsilon$ in the Block-Walker $\theta(\varepsilon)$ and Kirkwood $K(\varepsilon)$ reaction field functions. Another alternative form for the coupling between the contributions from solvent dipolarity and polarizability was proposed empirically by Bekarek [53] and theoretically by Kolling [29]. This reaction field model function $K(\varepsilon,n^2)$ includes both solute permanent dipole-solvent permanent dipole and solute permanent dipole-solvent polarizability interactions and assumes the form of product function (see Table 1). In fact, Bekarek [53,60,61] has added this coupling function $K(\varepsilon,n^2)$ to the Buckingham equation to interpret a variety of experimental solvent-dependent IR stretching vibrations wavenumbers with excellent results, and therefore polarizability contribution of solvent is the dominant factor as electrostatic perturbation within the reaction field function. However, such relationship had little success for the characteristic IR peak of $CN$ group in benzonitrile and $C=O$ group in acetone, ethyl acetate and 2-butanone [1,3,4].

When the experimental data of acetylferrocene was correlated with this term, called here Bekarek-Kolling function $K(\varepsilon,n^2)$, a successful correlation was found in this case. The resulting equation to the same 13 solvents for $\theta(\varepsilon)$ as for $K(\varepsilon)$ is

$$f(\varepsilon_p) = f(\varepsilon) - f(n^2)$$

(8)

with a standard deviation of 1.5 cm$^{-1}$ in the intercept and a correlation coefficient of 0.95, is clear that the eq. 9 had a better statistical fit than eq. 7. However, as shown in Figure 3c, the polychloroalkanes and aromatic solvents are displaced downward from the main sequence for the select solvent group, and they are statistically outliers and it will be discussed later.

Another more sophisticated approach was proposed by Brady and Carr [49] to estimate the effect of the medium on electronic absorption bands of bathochromic probes. These authors have considered -empirically- the optical dielectric saturation effect on this product function using the Block-Walker $\theta(\varepsilon)$ parameter as dipolarity function with successful results [49]. With these results in mind, the $\theta(\varepsilon)L(n^2)$ product function was applied to data in Table 2 and separated linear functions are obtained - as seen in figure 3d - for select and nonselect solvents (polychloroalkanes and aromatics). In this case the frequency of carbonyl band shows a stronger correlation to the same 13 aprotic select solvents for $K(\varepsilon)$, $\theta(\varepsilon)$ and $K(\varepsilon)L(n^2)$. The relationship is

$$\bar{v}(cm^{-1}) = 1695.4 - (276 \pm 28) \cdot K(\varepsilon)L(n^2)$$

(9)

with a standard deviation of 0.79 cm$^{-1}$ and correlation coefficient of 0.98. This correlation was better than those obtained with all $f(\varepsilon)$ and $f(\varepsilon,n^2)$ terms contained in Table 1, thus demonstrating its predominant influence on solvent-induced IR carbonyl band shift in acetylferrocene. This has important consequences because both polarity and polarizability depend on the intermolecular distances and under dielectric saturation situation these distances are affected. Then, the results allow
suggest that the spatial distribution of the solvent dipoles is inhomogeneous in the vicinity of solute (acetylferrocene) and it is induced by a coupled effect of saturation of the orientational and distortional polarization [54,61].

Still, as observed in other cases, the polychloroalkanes and aromatics solvents are linearly displaced downward from this sequence and the corresponding regression is (eq. 11)

$$\bar{\nu} = 1681.9 - (240 \pm 32) \cdot \theta(\varepsilon) L(n^2);$$

$$n = 8; \quad r = 0.95$$

This behavior for the aromatics, “pseudoaromatics” and polychloroalkanes with respect to dipolarity-polarizability continuum models has been reported before and are consistent with solute-solvent interactions having higher electronic polarizabilities or quadrupole moments than expected from these dielectric models [1,4,25,56,59,62].

However, a reasonably good correlation that include 19 solvents (see eq. 12) is obtained if a polarizability correction term is considered empirically for these solvents within of the London-Onsager function \(L(n^2)\) from a constant contribution of the polarizability-hyperpolarizability effect to the distortional component, as was reported for polarizables nonselect solvents [4,9,63]. This approximation is very reasonable since the polarizability-hyperpolarizability contribution was as much as 50% correction in polychloroalkanes and 30% for aromatic solvents to the components in the reaction field functions in solvatochromic effects studies [4,9]. For the 24 solvents in this study, the mean \(L(n^2)\) of 0.212 was taken as polarizability-hyperpolarizability correction term as proposed by Kolling, and the London-Onsager function becomes \(L^* (n^2) = L(n^2) + 0.212\) for aromatic, pseudoaromatic and polychloroalkane solvents [27]. With these alternative values for cross-term function a new trend is obtained [4].

$$\bar{\nu} = (1688.6 \pm 1.1) cm^{-1} - 244.5 \cdot \theta(\varepsilon) L(n^2);$$

$$n = 19; \quad r = 0.95$$

Again the solents nitrobenzene, CHCl$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, pyridine and C$_2$Cl$_4$ showed anomalous behavior and data points for these solvents are outliers for the regression in equation 12. These deviations can possibly be due at operative additional contributions stabilizing and non-stabilizing from Lewis acid-base properties, mesomeric effect (nitrobenzene) and hydrogen bond donor acidity that can becomes to make an important reaction field effect for these cases. However, expressions for this influence are still unknown considering that in the continuum models the solvent is represented as an isotropic medium with electric permittivity \(\varepsilon\). This magnitude is affected by both long-range effects of dipole-dipole correlations and short-range effects of local molecular ordering which are affected too by factors such as molecular non-sphericity and solvent association [25]. Although, these continuum models are adequate for carbonyl organic molecules and benzonitrile [1,3,4,27,29] and other organic compounds [64-67], it is very difficult to accept that only with this parameter can be represented the polarity of solvent in complex molecular systems where short-range specific interactions are operative [68,69] and nevertheless, when coupling of dipolarity-polarizability-hyperpolarizability of solvent is operative too under dielectric saturation effect promoted by interaction with the massive distribution of charge of
organometallic solute (acetyl ferrocene). In this same direction, our results demonstrate that these homogeneous models are not appropriate to describe the dipolar interactions of acetylferrocene in select solvents and, in consequence, the solvent-induced shift of the carbonyl band.

**Figure 4.** Plot of the frequency of the C=O stretching band for acetylferrocene versus the dipolarity-polarizability parameter of solvents ($\pi^*$). Solvent family designations are as follows: aprotic nonpolar, dipolar, and highly dipolar (select solvents) (●); polychloroalkanes (►), aromatics (□) and pyridine (◊).

Nevertheless, the idea of different variables for reaction field to describe the influence of solvent upon the frequency of carbonyl band of acetylferrocene, 2-butanone and ethyl acetate was confirmed as follows: equation 4 was proposed for acetylferrocene and 2-butanone [4], tetramethylurea [29] or ethyl acetate [4] assuming dependence only on $\theta(\varepsilon)L(n^2)$ in each case, the relationships obtained from combination of these equations were probed with the data of Table 2 and data of reference 29, a completely scattered distribution of points was observed in all case (correlation coefficients lower than 0.89).

\[
\bar{v}_{\max}^{\text{ActF}}(\text{cm}^{-1}) = (1.08 \pm 0.15)\bar{v}_{\max}^{\text{ActEt}} - (212.9 \pm 261.6) \text{cm}^{-1};
\]
\[
n = 17; \quad r = 0.88
\]  

(13)

\[
\bar{v}_{\max}^{\text{ActF}}(\text{cm}^{-1}) = (1.22 \pm 0.17)\bar{v}_{\max}^{\text{2But}} - (418.5 \pm 281.7) \text{cm}^{-1};
\]
\[
n = 17; \quad r = 0.88
\]  

(14)

\[
\bar{v}_{\max}^{\text{ActF}}(\text{cm}^{-1}) = (0.88 \pm 0.18)\bar{v}_{\max}^{\text{TMU}} - (220.1 \pm 288.8) \text{cm}^{-1};
\]
\[
n = 12; \quad r = 0.85
\]  

(15)

Clearly, this result demonstrates the significant differences observed between these carbonyl groups in organic molecules and the organometallic system. In consequence, the origin of scattering of data observed in equations 5 and 6 is clear.

On the other hand, the empirical $\pi^*$ scale of dipolarity-polarizability of solvent, which provides a qualitative measure of the non-specific part of van der Waals interactions, and is based on the treatment of the solvatochromic shifts of select electronic absorption bands of a variety of molecular probes which have been related to dielectric functions of $n$ and $\varepsilon$ [53]. And now, when this parameter
was related to carbonyl band shift of acetylferrocene a quite satisfactory correlation was observed between $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{exp}}$ and $\pi^*$ for 17 solvents from Table 2 (see Figure 4 and eq. 16).

**Figure 5.** Trends of the frequency of carbonyl band of acetylferrocene with the density cohesive energy density ($\delta^2$). Solvent family designations are as follows: aprotic nonpolar, dipolar, and highly dipolar solvents (●); polychloroalkanes (►), aromatics (□), pyridine (◊) and alkanes (■).

This empirical finding has confirmed the prominent influence of dispersion, induction and dipole-dipole components of van der Waals interactions arising from the solvent upon the fundamental carbonyl vibrational band shift of acetylferrocene.

$$\tilde{\nu} = (1684.4 \pm 1.1) cm^{-1} - (20.3 \pm 1.9) \cdot \pi^*;$$

\[ n = 17; \quad r = 0.94 \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

As proposed by Fowkes [70,71] and Kolling [3] the magnitude of these forces can be computed empirically from the surface tension $\gamma$ and the cohesive energy density $\delta^2$ parameters of solvent. When graphic comparisons were made between the frequency data of acetylferrocene (Table 2) and both parameters $\gamma$ and $\delta^2$, taken from the literature [72] the trend in $\tilde{\nu}(C = O)$ vs $\gamma$ is vague at best for the total data set (scatter diagram is not included herein). On the other hand, the pattern exhibited by the plot of $\tilde{\nu}(C = O)$ versus $\delta^2$ given in Figure 5 is similar to reported before for ethyl acetate [3] and the family trends of solvents become obvious. However, the corresponding wavenumber function based on the acetylferrocene data for main sequence that included 15 solvents is shown by eq. 17.

$$\tilde{\nu} = (1688.1 \pm 1.1) cm^{-1} - (4.36 \pm 0.31) \cdot \delta^2;$$

\[ n = 15; \quad r = 0.95 \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Interestingly in this correlation the intercept $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{exp}}$ and correlation coefficient values coincide with those obtained in the correlation of frequency of band with Brady-Carr-Kolling coupled function (eq. 12). Despite this fact alkanes, chloroalkanes and pyridine solvents are outlying of this regression. This has reinforced the result found early with $\pi^*$ parameter dipolarity-polarizability (eq. 16).
A new interpretation can be given to these results; in this case the van der Waals forces (London dispersion forces, Keesom dipole-dipole interactions and Debye interactions) have a important contribution on the IR carbonyl band shift in acetylferrocene. This is a consequence of the fact that the acetylferrocene molecule has a highly asymmetric charge distribution due to the strongly multipolar ferrocenyl moiety [73], whose electrostatic interactions with solvent molecules generate a potential energy that can promote possibly inhomogeneities in the distribution of dipolar molecules of solvent (dielectric saturation) as suggested by results observed in this work for the frequency dependence of carbonyl band of acetylferrocene with the dielectrically saturated Brady-Carr-Kolling cross function \( \theta(e)L(n^2) \). Equally, in some polar solvents - nitrobenzene for example - the presence of these interactions were revealed also by hard changes induced in the vibrational bandshape (band asymmetry), therefore studies about this influence on bandshape parameters of the carbonyl band of acetylferrocene in IR and Raman spectroscopy are in progress in our group. It is clear that the continuum models proposed by Kolling, Buckingham or Bekarek are adequate to interpret spectroscopic results of carbonyl organic compounds (ethyl acetate, 2-butanol and tetramethyl urea) in aprotic solvent but, in contrast, for acetylferrocene a non-continuum model, not previously described, is required to interpret the IR data. It should be noted that there are more elaborate models to explain shifts of vibrational bands in terms of dipole-dipole, dipole-quadrupole, and dispersion contributions [67-69]. However, using a simple model, but a high predictive power, we have been able to interpret the solvent-induced shifts in this complex organometallic system. It is clear that an implementation of these more elaborate models [67,69] should give more detailed information about the effects of these non-specific forces on vibrational properties of large organometallic molecules, but their implementation is currently very complicated. And then, the methodology proposed by Kolling is very attractive, but it is very important to say that this and other models based on a continuum dielectric do not consider changes in dipole moment upon excitation and the molecular geometry of the solute induced by solvent that can to be a very important influence as proposed by Rivail et al. [74,75] for formaldehyde and other small organic carbonyl compounds. This kind of studies at the ab initio level to consider solvent effects for acetylferrocene - a big organometallic molecule - are actually a challenge for computational chemistry due to the high computational demands.

Another disadvantage is the consideration of a spherical cavity in asymmetric molecules where the cavity shape is an inexact parameter in solvatochromic models. However, random molecular movements in most cases give a more or less spherical cavity even when the molecules are not spherical. This is possibly the reason why good results have been obtained with spherical models [76].

Conclusions

Solvent effects upon the vibrational mode of the carbonyl group in acetylferrocene can be rationalized in terms of the solvent reaction field generalized perturbation model (SCRF-MO) proposed earlier by Kolling. For all the dielectric functions tested as fundamental components in this model, there is evidence that only solvent dipolarity as described by the Block-Walker dielectric saturation model coupled to solvent polarizability-hyperpolarizability (London-Onsager function) is responsible for the carbonyl band shift, which is consistent with the existence of an inhomogeneous environment closed to the solute cavity. Thus, the results suggest that this inhomogeneity is induced by strong solute-solvent interactions and then, the van der Waals contributions to the IR shifts of
acetylferrocene are significant. The carbonyl group in acetylferrocene is perturbed in a distinct manner for dielectric nature of solvent that in carbonyl organic compounds ethyl acetate, 2-butanone and tetramethyleurea.

**Experimental**

Acetylferrocene (99.5%) was purchased from Strem and was used without further purification. The solvents acetonitrile, THF, 1,4-dioxane, toluene, benzene, DMSO, cyclohexane and CCl₄ (HPLC grade) were purchased from Aldrich, Fluka and Merck. Other organic solvents were rigorously dried and fractionally distilled by standard methods and stored over molecular sieves prior to use as summarized by Riddick *et al.* [77]. Purity of the final products was monitored by gas chromatography, and measurements of refractive index and density at 298.15 K [4,77,78]. The water content was generally less than 0.007%. Solutions of acetylferrocene with a concentration of $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ M were prepared under a dry nitrogen atmosphere, to minimize the effect of solute-solute and solute-solvent interactions [79]. The solvents used in this work were limited to those classified as “select” by Abboud *et al.* [80] and Kolling [3], although, some polychloroalkanes and aromatics were also considered. In consequence, in this work the more general physicochemical classification proposed by Chastrette *et al.* [81] has been used (see Table 2).

Five replicate spectra for each solvent solution were measured as recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR-8300 spectrometer, with a high sensitivity pyroelectric detector (DLATGS) at a nominal resolution of 1.0 cm⁻¹. Calibration of the frequency reading was made with polystyrene film (Shimadzu Co.) and a precision for peaks frequency data around 0.5 cm⁻¹ was obtained, such as has been reported in other studies [10]. All experiments were performed at a constant temperature of 298 K. A triangular apodization function was used in all cases. The absorbance spectra of solutions were measured in KBr cells with fixed path lengths between 15 and 25 µm, as determined by fringe patterns of empty cells by HYPER-IR software (Shimadzu Corporation). The analyzed spectra were obtained by subtracting the spectrum of pure solvent from the solution containing acetylferrocene following the procedure reported in the literature [10]. In this work, the infrared carbonyl band shape of acetylferrocene has been determined as described by Hernanz [79]. The position of the carbonyl peak of acetylferrocene in each solvent was determined using the Peak Fit module in the HYPER-IR software (Shimadzu Corporation).
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