Operation technological process research in the cleaning system of the grain combine
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Abstract

The purpose of this study is to increase the efficiency of the technological process of a combine harvester cleaning system. It involved the simulation of the actual work process, the identification of problem areas and the uniform airflow distribution across the width of the sieve mill. The method for determining the class of ‘air-grain heap’ flow in the combine harvester cleaning system is presented in order to provide the mathematical description of its technical work process. As a result, the volumetric (Q = 8.10^–6 ÷ 2.5·10^–5) and mass (W = 0.01 ÷ 0.3) concentration of a grain heap in different sections of the sieve mill of the combine harvester cleaning system. The experimental measurements of the airflow speed on the sieve mill’s surface for the existing structures of the cleaning system in modern grain harvesters were 3.75 ÷ 10.2 m/s. The data obtained will be used in the future to implement a mathematical model for a complete description of the technological process of a combine harvester cleaning system using methods based on two-phase flow mechanics.

Introduction

In the grain harvesters (GH), the separation of the grain heap from coarse impurities (spikelets, straw residues, etc.) and light impurities (husks, small weed seeds, etc.) takes place in the cleaning system by means of an air sieve. The existing designs of air-sieve cleaning systems have several significant disadvantages in relation to the quality of the technological process for separating the grain heap from impurities. Many variables can be present, such as increased throughput, improper adjustments, uneven crop ripening, uneven grain heaps to be cleaned, different concentration of particles (grain and impurities). We can also add moisture and clogging of the grain heap, uneven distribution of the grain heap along the sieve mill’s width, when harvesting on slopes. All these issues are due to the uneven distribution of the airflow and the width of the sieves due to the imperfection of existing designs of the cleaning system and the lack of a complete theoretical description and methods for substantiating design and technological parameters (Alferov, 1987; Kutzbach and Quick, 1999; Kutzbach, 2001; Rademacher, 2003; Feiffer et al., 2005; Kelemen et al., 2005; Miu and Kutzbach, 2008; Tuktarov et al., 2012; Voicu et al., 2008; Kotov and Chaus, 2010; Mudarisov and Badretdinov, 2013; Vasilevskij et al., 2013; FAO Report, 2014; Mirzazadeh et al., 2015; Baran et al., 2016; Špokas et al., 2016; Korn and Herlitzius, 2017; Sorochenko, 2017; Aldoshin and Lybin, 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Badretdinov and Mudarisov, 2019; Badretdinov et al., 2019; Korn, 2020).

Wang et al. (2018) proposed a fatigue life analysis method and an optimization method for designing the cleaning system in order to improve the fatigue life of the cleaning system in combine harvesters. The resulting model of the cleaning system dynamics which was developed identified the key factors that affect the fatigue life of the main components of the cleaning device.

In their work, Korn and Herlitzius (2017) described the possibility of using a coupled CFD-DEM approach to simulate the process of separating grain from impurities in a cleaning device of a combine harvester. A strategic approach to create a reliable simulation model was needed to account for a large number of influencing factors, their interactions, a wide range of scattering of properties of the biogenic particles, and the resulting complexity of the separation process. Numerical results have shown the applicability of the numerical method by comparing it with similar experiments. However, potential deviations were noted, which underscore the need for further research to improve parameterization and modeling (Korn and Herlitzius, 2017; Korn, 2020).

Ostrikov et al. (2018, 2020) investigated the relationship between the cleaning effect of a combine harvester’s cleaning system and its influencing factors. A model was developed based on the rate of cleaning losses and the parameters of the clean system. The results were checked to measure the cleaning efficiency of...
each group under various combinations of conditions. We analyzed the direct or indirect relationship between the rate of cleaning losses and the parameters in the experiment for each operating state. Based on the experimental data obtained, the rate of losses was predicted for several sets of factors.

Mirzazadeh et al. (2015) carried out experimental research on a grain harvester cleaning system mock-up with various design and technological parameters (grain supply, fan speed, sieve gaps).

In the GH cleaning system, the technological process of airsieve separation of the grain heap from impurities can be mathematically described as a complex system of polydisperse two-phase flow, taking into account the forces of gravity, friction, and inertia. One phase is the fan airflow, while the other involves the solid particles (elements of the grain heap). In particular, particles can have different concentrations and physical and mechanical properties (mass, density, geometric dimensions, moisture, elasticity, wind resistance, etc.). The differences between the phases determine the nature of the interaction between the airflow and particles. Also, the inertia and windiness of particles (dense-seeds, light-chaff, chaff) make them follow different trajectories. Due to aerodynamic drag force, light particles are separated by the airflow, while the heavier ones are predominantly moved (separated from lighter particles) due to the action of gravity and inertia of the sieves, while the aerodynamic drag force has no significant action (Kutzbach and Quick, 1999; Kutzbach, 2001; Rademacher, 2003; Feiffer et al., 2005; Kelemen et al., 2005; Miu and Kutzbach, 2008; Steponavičius et al., 2008; Voicu et al., 2008; FAO Report, 2014; Mirzazadeh et al., 2015; Špokas et al., 2016; Korn and Herlitzius, 2017; Aldoshin and Lylin, 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Badretdinov et al., 2019; Ospanov et al., 2019; Korn, 2020).

**Materials and methods**

**Formulation of the problem**

Today, unfortunately, harvesting operations are performed without taking into account agrotechnical requirements, with significant losses of the harvested crop (Figure 1) and, therefore, high costs. For an accurate mathematical description of the GH purification system technological process, it is necessary to identify the presence and intensity of exchanges between the phases (gas - particles), particle concentration, etc. Therefore, it is necessary to establish the mode of interaction between the air and the grain heap using Reynolds number of the Rep particle, the volume concentration of particles Q, and the design and technical parameters of the cleaning system. In turn, in order to determine these parameters, it is necessary to know the amount of material to be cleaned (throughput), the speed of the airflow and particles, as well as the coefficients of their aerodynamic resistance (Korn and Herlitzius, 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Badretdinov et al., 2019; Korn, 2020).

**Determination of the air-grain heap flow class in the cleaning system of a combine harvester**

Air-screen systems for separating grains from impurities are widely used in combine harvesters. For the mathematical description of the cleaning system technological process in a combine harvester, we represent the airflow as gas and the grain heap as solid particles and further describe their joint movement as a heterogeneous polydisperse flow. This flow is polydisperse and heterogeneous because the grain heap is heterogeneous too, since it contains solid particles (pure grain and weeds) with different physical and mechanical geometric parameters. For an accurate mathematical description of this flow, it is necessary to determine the class of the air-grain mixture flow.

The concentration of particles in polydisperse heterogeneous flows is an extensive physical characteristic. Dispersed phase concentrations are divided into mass, volume, and counting.

The interaction of the airflow with solid particles (grain heap) is characterized by a relatively small volumetric content of grain heap particles. The total mass of all particles is much higher than the mass of the airflow itself. The mass concentration of particles for such flows, where the mass of particles is more massive than the mass of the airflow, practically does not change with an increase in the dispersed phase, content but it tends asymptotically to unity. Therefore, the mass concentration \( \mathcal{W} \) of the grain heap is characterized by a relatively small volumetric content of grain heap particles.
particles can be calculated using the expression:

\[ W = \frac{Q \rho_p}{\rho}, \quad (1) \]

The volumetric \( Q \) and the counting \( K \) concentration of the grain heap particles can be expressed as:

\[ \frac{K \cdot p \cdot d^3_p}{Q} = \frac{6}{V \cdot S}, \quad (2) \]

where \( K \) is the counting concentration of particles (the number of polydisperse solid particles of the grain heap per unit volume in the cleaning system), pcs/m³; \( \rho \) is air density, kg/m³; \( \rho_p \) - density of solid particles (grain), kg/m³; \( d_p \) - equivalent diameter of solid particles, m.

To determine the type of flow in a combine harvester cleaning system during experimental studies of polydisperse heterogeneous flows, the volume \( Q \) and mass \( W \) consumption concentration can be determined as follows (Aldoshin and Lylin, 2018; Borodin and Zhangabay, 2019).

\[ Q_g = \frac{Q_{Vp}}{Q_{Vg}}, \quad (3) \]
\[ W_g = \frac{W_{Mp}}{W_{Mg}}, \quad (4) \]

where \( (Q_{Vp}, Q_{Vg}) \) are volumetric and \( (W_{Mp}, W_{Mg}) \) are mass flow rates of grain heap particles and airflow through the platform \( S \) (surface area of the sieve mill of the cleaning system of the combine harvester):

\[ G_{Vp} = K \cdot \frac{p \cdot d^3_p}{6} \cdot V \cdot S = K \cdot Q_e \cdot V \cdot S, \quad (5) \]
\[ G_{Vg} = U \cdot S, \quad (6) \]
\[ G_{Mp} = K \cdot \frac{p \cdot d^3_p}{6} \cdot V \cdot S = K \cdot \rho_p \cdot Q_e \cdot V \cdot S = K \cdot m_p \cdot V \cdot S, \quad (7) \]
\[ G_{Mg} = \rho \cdot U \cdot S, \quad (8) \]

where \( V \) is the speed of the grain heap, m/s; \( U \) is the airflow speed, m/s; \( S \) is the surface area of the sieve of the cleaning system, m²; \( Q_e \) - is the volume of the grain heap, m³; \( m_p \) is the mass of the grain heap, kg.

It is necessary to know the throughput \( q \) (kg/s) or the productivity \( q_m \) (t/h) of the combined harvester cleaning system, i.e., separation of the grain heap per unit of time in order to calculate the volumetric flow rate of grain heap particles (the volume of particles in an elementary flow volume).

The throughput of the number of particles per unit of time \( q_{pcs} \) (pcs/s), taking into account the mass of 1000 pieces of grain material \( m_{1000} \), is determined as follows:

\[ q_{pcs} = \frac{q \cdot 1000}{m_{1000}}, \quad (9) \]

This formula calculates the counting concentration of the grain heap (pcs/m³):

\[ K = \frac{q_{pcs}}{V \cdot S}, \quad (10) \]

Figure 2. The division into sections (I-V) to determine the concentrations (\( Q \) and \( W \)) in the combine harvester cleaning system.
Considering formula (6) into formula (5) and supplying formula (10), the formula (2) for determining the volume concentration will be written in the final formula:

$$Q = \frac{q_{pcs} \cdot p \cdot d_{p} \cdot q_{pcs} \cdot Q_{s}}{6 \cdot U \cdot S} = \frac{q_{pcs} \cdot Q_{s}}{U \cdot S},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The mass concentration of a grain heap in the cleaning system of a combine harvester supplying to expression (1) taking into account (11) is determined:

$$W = \frac{q_{pcs} \cdot Q_{s} \cdot \rho_{p} \cdot q_{pcs} \cdot m_{p}}{U \cdot S \cdot \rho} = \frac{q_{pcs} \cdot m_{p}}{U \cdot S \cdot \rho},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Figure 2 shows the division into sections to calculate concentrations (Q and W) in the cleaning system of a combine harvester. The volume (Q) and mass (W) concentrations of the grain heap were calculated in the II-IV sections taking into account the yield (Y) from 10 to 40 c/ha and the speed (s) of the combine (5 km/h), (Figure 2) in the cleaning system of the combine harvester. This data is reported in Table 1.

| Yield (Y) [centner per hectare] | Volume concentration (Q) | Sections | Mass concentration (W) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
|                                 | II | III | IV | II | III | IV |
| 10                              | 0.000061 | 0.000055 | 0.000008 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| 15                              | 0.000092 | 0.000082 | 0.000012 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| 20                              | 0.000123 | 0.000110 | 0.000016 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.02 |
| 25                              | 0.000154 | 0.000137 | 0.000020 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.02 |
| 30                              | 0.000185 | 0.000165 | 0.000025 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| 35                              | 0.000216 | 0.000192 | 0.000029 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.03 |
| 40                              | 0.000247 | 0.000222 | 0.000033 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.04 |

The most suitable method to describe the process of interaction of the airflow with a grain heap in a combine harvester cleaning system is in our opinion a mathematical model of a polydisperse heterogeneous two-phase gas flow with particles, described by the trajectory (Lagrangian) approach. Mathematical modeling takes into account the volumetric concentration of grain heap, Reynolds number of particles, the physical properties of airflow, the physical and mechanical parameters of the grain heap, as well as the speed of the phases.

The mathematical description of the air-grain heap flow is based on some assumptions and simplifications associated with the mathematical approximation and description of physical parameters and processes. These assumptions and simplifications must be observed and performed with absolute accuracy in the mathematical modeling of the separation of a grain heap by airflow in the cleaning system of a combine harvester.

One of the possible ways to increase the separation efficiency of air-sieve cleaning could be the creation of fresh air flows in their chamber. The structure of air flows and the distribution of their speeds above sieves depend on the fan and air duct design.

The task is to assess the rational parameters of the deflection and the centrifugal fan operating modes to optimize the air flows in the fan throat, ensuring their high-quality distribution over the sieves of the air-sieve cleaning of the combine harvester.
Research method: studies of fans of various designs on a mock-up of an air-sieve cleaning system of a combine harvester, multivariate analysis, modeling of the airflow at the outlet of the blower discharge channel, and their subsequent distribution over the sieves.

The irregularity of the airflow along the width of the channel of the air-sieve part of the combined harvester cleaning system contributes to the need to reduce the airflow rate in the sieve area (to reduce grain losses after cleaning). It reduces the process of separating small particles and affects the quality of their separation.

A model was developed for the study and calculation of the work process, the distribution of airflow created by the fan of the GH cleaning system. Based on the 3D model (Figure 4), using the boundary conditions \textit{Slip wall} in a rotating coordinate system, a calculation was made in the FlowVision software package (3.10.01) (Mudarisov and Badretdinov, 2013; Badretdinov et al., 2019).

#### Results

**Results of the numerical implementation of the developed model and substantiation of the design and technical parameters of the fan**

The fan design and technical parameters were substantiated in the FlowVision software package based on the condition of ensuring the airflow rate uniformity at the outlet of the discharge channel along with the diagram of fan speeds and performance. Some fan design parameters, such as shape of impeller blades, number and angle of inclination of blades, and the fan impeller diameter, are considered (Figure 5) (Mudarisov and Badretdinov, 2013; Badretdinov et al., 2019). As can be seen, at the exit of the fan, there are an uneven distribution of the airflow along the width of the outlet channel, and, therefore, a fairly low quality of cleaning of the grain heap and grain loss.

Figure 6 shows that the distribution of the airflow rate across the discharge channel width at the outlet of the fan is uneven. The center is the maximum airflow rate, and the edges correspond to the minimum airflow rates (Table 2).

It can be seen that the airflow rate becomes more uneven at the outlet of the discharge channel, when the fan speed increases, as evidenced by the dispersion values. There is also a substantial deviation from the minimum to the maximum value of the airflow rate (unevenness is more than 19%).

It is necessary to calculate their aerodynamic performance based on modeling the geometric surface to improve work quality indicators. By optimizing the geometric shape of the fan wheel blades, the aerodynamic performance is improved. It is possible to achieve a uniform distribution of the airflow, which will positively affect the processed material quality with no losses (Badretdinov and Mudarisov, 2019).

The concentrations obtained experimentally are used in machine modeling of the technological process of the GH cleaning system operation in the FlowVision program.

Here is the visualization of the airflow velocity trajectories obtained from the results of modeling and calculations in the FlowVision software package (Figure 7).

Figure 7 shows that the airflow generated by the fan passes through two sieve pans. The central part of the airflow is directed towards the upper screening box, while a small part of it is cut off by the airflow guide and directed towards the lower screening box, where airflow speed is lower (up to 3 m/s) than the airflow directed towards the upper screening box. In the front part of the upper sieve mill (1/3 of the surface), the airflow speed is much higher (up to 9 m/s) than on the remaining surface of the sieve mill and amounts to 5…6 m/s (Figures 8 and 9; Table 3) (Badretdinov and Mudarisov, 2019).

![Figure 4. 3D-model of the fan of the grain harvesters cleaning system. Boundary conditions: 1 - airflow exit from the discharge channel; 2 - inlet window of the airflow; 3 - wall with slippage (outer diameter of the fan impeller); 4 - rotating mesh (fan blades); 5, 6 - wall of the fan case.](image)

![Table 2. Results from the calculation of the fan of the existing design of the grain harvesters at different fan speeds.](table)

| Fan speed [rpm] | Min       | Max       | Average value | Outlet airflow speed [m/s] | Dispersion | The average square of switching off | Variation, % |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 400             | 4.21      | 8.18      | 6.91          | 1.79                        | 1.34       | 19.35                             |              |
| 450             | 4.74      | 9.22      | 7.82          | 2.25                        | 1.50       | 19.18                             |              |
| 500             | 5.10      | 10.02     | 8.47          | 2.73                        | 1.65       | 19.51                             |              |
| 600             | 6.19      | 12.24     | 10.32         | 3.98                        | 2.00       | 19.27                             |              |
| 650             | 6.78      | 13.16     | 11.17         | 4.56                        | 2.14       | 19.13                             |              |
| 700             | 7.30      | 14.37     | 12.23         | 5.43                        | 2.33       | 19.05                             |              |
| 800             | 8.51      | 16.46     | 13.99         | 6.91                        | 2.63       | 18.79                             |              |
| 850             | 8.66      | 17.35     | 14.73         | 8.15                        | 2.85       | 19.38                             |              |
Statistical data of the airflow velocity distribution (coefficient of variation) along the width and the length of the upper sieve were determined (Figure 10) under experimental production conditions for combine harvesters (Acros, John Deere, Case, New Holland). The air velocity was measured with a KIMO digital anemometer (Badretdinov and Mudarisov, 2019).

Figure 10 show that the airflow is unevenly distributed over the entire area of the sieve, apart from the beginning and end of the sieve where it is more evenly distributed. A substantial scatter is observed in the middle part of the sieve mill. Due to a substantial range of airflow rate scattering in this section, the technological process of pneumatic sieve cleaning of the grain heap may be disrupted. Thus, light impurities can get into the cleaned grain material. The opposite picture is observed along the sieve width. In the middle of the sieve, there is a more even airflow, while uniformity is discontinued at edges.

![Image](https://example.com/image1.png)

**Figure 5.** A) Model for calculating the fan airflow on the existing designs of the grain harvesters (GH) cleaning system (bursts of airflow speed); B) calculating the fan airflow on the existing designs of the GH cleaning system (velocity vector) top view; C) the results from the calculation of the airflow at the outlet of the blower discharge channel on the GH cleaning system (isolates of the airflow velocity distribution); D) the results from the calculation of the airflow at the outlet of the blower discharge channel on the GH cleaning system (diagram of the distribution of the airflow rate).

**Table 3.** Statistical analysis of the distribution of: i) airflow velocity over the upper sieve bed grain harvesters (GH) (standard) along its length; ii) airflow rate distribution at the outlet of the fan GH pressure channel (with deflectors); and iii) airflow speed distribution over the upper sieve mill GH (with deflectors).

| Parameter (speed, m/s) | Min   | Max   | The average value, $\bar{X}$ | Dispersion, $\sigma^2$ | The average square of switching off, $\bar{\sigma}^2$ | Variation, $v$ |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Airflow velocity       | 3.75  | 10.29 | 6.87                        | 3.75                   | 1.94                                                | 28.20           |
| Airflow rate distribution | 12.60 | 16.34 | 14.93                       | 1.44                   | 1.20                                                | 8.03            |
| Airflow speed distribution | 3.72  | 4.21  | 3.96                        | 0.03                   | 0.17                                                | 4.32            |
Table 4 shows a comparative analysis of the statistical data measuring the airflow rate on the sieves of a New Holland TX-65 combine harvester and with New Holland TX-65 deflectors installed.

According to the variation values (Table 4), New Holland TX-65 combine harvester with installed deflectors had a significantly reduced airflow distribution across the width of the sieve mill from (23 ÷ 67)% to (9 ÷ 24)%.

Combine harvester New Holland TX-65 with installed deflectors in the discharge channel of the cleaning system was used to harvest rapeseeds, Yubileinaya variety (direct combining) under the conditions of the Bashkir State Agrarian University Study field at Dmitrievka village, Ufa region in the Republic of Bashkortostan.

Figure 11 shows the sampling during the harvesting of the rapeseed crop, Yubileinaya variety, in the same field and during the same combine harvester operation. Samples were taken from the bunker of a New Holland TX-65 combine harvester.

![Figure 6. The results from the calculation of airflow at the outlet from the discharge channel (along the fan width) on the existing structures of the grain harvesters cleaning system.](image)

![Figure 7. Trajectories of airflow velocity vectors.](image)

| Table 4. Statistical analysis of the change in the air flow rate on the sieves of the New Holland TX-65 combine harvester along the sieve width. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Sieve width** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **Without deflectors** | | | | | |
| Average value, m/s | 1.19 | 1.41 | 1.23 | 1.47 | 1.20 |
| Dispersion | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 0.35 | 0.28 |
| Average square of switching off | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.53 |
| Variation, % | 23.12 | 31.84 | 67.51 | 40.00 | 44.10 |
| **With deflectors** | | | | | |
| Average value, m/s | 1.58 | 1.37 | 1.84 | 1.38 | 1.54 |
| Dispersion | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.14 |
| Average square of switching off | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.37 |
| Variation, % | 17.99 | 17.66 | 9.25 | 13.82 | 23.97 |
Discussion

Experimental data on the distribution of the airflow rate over the entire sieve area of existing modern GH showed that the airflow is distributed unevenly over the sieve area and has a wide range of variations. The results of other evidence obtained by researchers (Alferov, 1987; Kutzbach, 2001; Miu and Kutzbach, 2008; Steponavičius et al., 2008; Kotov and Chaus, 2010; Mudariso and Badretdinov, 2013; Vastlevskij et al., 2013; Mirzazadeh et al., 2015; Baran et al., 2016; Špokas et al., 2016; Korn and Herlitzius, 2017; Sorochenko, 2017; Aldoshin and Lylin, 2018; Badretdinov and Mudariso, 2019; Korn, 2020) indicates that the technological process of pneumatic-sieve cleaning in the GH violates agrotechnical requirements (Špokas et al., 2016; Aldoshin and Lylin, 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Badretdinov et al., 2019). Due to the increase in productivity (Miu and Kutzbach, 2008; Aldoshin and Lylin, 2018; Badretdinov and Mudariso, 2019) and throughput (Špokas et al., 2016; Badretdinov and Mudariso, 2019), problems arise in terms of quality of the purification system functioning. This can be explained by the complexity of the simultaneous regulation of some design and technological parameters (fan speed and airflow rate, sieve gaps, and angular speed of the sieve drive crank) (Mirzazadeh et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018) and depends on the harvested crop and on its physical and mechanical properties (geometric parameters, humidity, contamination) (Kutzbach and Quick, 1999; Kutzbach, 2001; Feiffer et al., 2005; Kelemen et al., 2005; Steponavičius et al., 2008; FAO Report, 2014; Špokas et al., 2016).

The experimental data were confirmed by theoretical studies (Baran et al., 2016; Badretdinov et al., 2017; Sorochenko, 2017; Badretdinov and Mudariso, 2019) on the mathematical description and modeling of a polydisperse two-phase flow, taking into account concentration, inertia, relaxation time, drag coefficient (Korn, 2020). Similar studies were carried out by other scientists, which are reflected in Korn and Herlitzius’s (2017) works and investigated the possibility of using a coupled CFD-DEM approach to simulate separating grain from impurities in a cleaning device of a combine harvester.

Figure 8. A) Calculated 3D model of the grain harvesters cleaning system with installed deflectors in the blower discharge channel; B) the results from the calculation of the airflow rate distribution over the sieve (filling).
A comparative analysis of theoretical and experimental studies showed that the reliability is more than 0.95 according to the Student’s t-test, which gives reasons to believe that the results can be accepted as reliable.

Some problem areas of the treatment system were identified having simulated and calculated a model of real GH (Miu and Kutzbach, 2008; Voicu et al., 2008; Ermoliev and Muratov, 2011; Mirenko et al., 2011; Baran et al., 2016; Korn and Herlitzius, 2017; Sorochenko, 2017; Badretdinov et al., 2019) using this method. These problems could be solved by changing the design parameters and adding deflectors to the fan discharge channel (patent RU 2621026 C1 and RU 175203), which contributes to a more uniform distribution of the airflow along the width of the channel, and then over the entire area of the GH sieve.

On the basis of the characteristics obtained it is possible to develop recommendations for optimizing the fan design and technical parameters and the cleaning system of the combine harvester as a whole.

The application of this modeling method opens up the possibility of improving the cleaning systems of combine harvesters without high costs and efforts (Badretdinov and Mudarisov, 2019).

The proposed modernization of the cleaning system design is universal and can be installed on any combined harvester cleaning system. Modernization of the cleaning system design, namely the installation of deflectors in the cleaning system blower channel allows the airflow to be evenly distributed in the sieves and better quality with no losses to carry out air-sieve cleaning of the grain heap.
Conclusions

We determined the volumetric ($Q = 8 \times 10^{-6} - 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$) and mass ($W = 0.01 - 0.3$) concentrations of the grain heap in different sections of the sieve mill of the combine harvester cleaning system. The experimental measurements of the airflow velocity on the sieve mill surface for the existing designs of modern combine harvester cleaning systems were $3.75 \pm 0.2$ m/s. The results were implemented in the mathematical model of a complete description of the technological process of a combined harvester cleaning system using a two-phase flow mechanics. The obtained parameters made it possible to use the methods of gas-particles two-phase flows for modeling the technological process of a combine harvester cleaning system.
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