The Arabidopsis thaliana CONSTANS-LIKE 4 (COL4) – A Modulator of Flowering Time
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Appropriate control of flowering time is crucial for crop yield and the reproductive success of plants. Flowering can be induced by a number of molecular pathways that respond to internal and external signals. In Arabidopsis, expression of the key florigenic signal FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) is positively regulated by CONSTANS (CO), a BBX protein sharing high sequence similarity with 16 CO-like proteins. Within this study, we investigated the role of the Arabidopsis CONSTANS-LIKE 4 (COL4), whose role in flowering control was unknown. We demonstrate that, unlike CO, COL4 acts as a flowering repressor in long days (LD) and short days (SD) and acts on the expression of FT and FT-like genes as well as on SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1). Reduction of COL4 expression level leads to an increase of FT and APETALA 1 (AP1) expression and to accelerated flowering, while the increase of COL4 expression causes a flowering delay. Further, the observed co-localization of COL4 protein and CO in nuclear speckles supports the idea that the two act as an antagonistic pair of transcription factors. This interaction may serve the fine-tuning of flowering time control and other light-dependent plant developmental processes.
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INTRODUCTION

Flowering is controlled by a network of well-established molecular pathways, which respond to internal and external signals, such as cold, light duration and quality, ambient temperature, as well as biotic and abiotic stresses (reviewed in: Andres and Coupland, 2012; Matsoukas et al., 2012; Leijten et al., 2018). In Arabidopsis, flowering is promoted mainly by the expression of the flowering integrators FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), SUPPRESSOR OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1/AGL20) and, redundantly to FT, its homolog TWIN SISTER OF FT (TSF) (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2001; An et al., 2004; Valverde et al., 2004; Yamaguchi et al., 2005). In Arabidopsis and other species, including tomato, tobacco, and rice, the FT protein (Corbesier et al., 2007) and FT-like protein ATC (Huang et al., 2012) are known to move from the leaf vascular tissue into the shoot apical meristem (SAM) mediated by FTIP1 (Liu et al., 2012). In the SAM, the formation of an FD-14-3-3 complex with FT or ATC and the other FT-homolog TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL), induces or delays flowering, respectively, by changing the expression of the meristem identity genes APETALA1 (API) and CAULIFLOWER (CAL) as well as LEAFY (LFY) and AGAMOUS-LIKE 24 (AGL24) (Abe et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Hanano and Goto, 2011; Taoka et al., 2011).
Central to the regulation of flowering is CONSTANS (CO), a nuclear zinc finger transcription factor belonging to the BBX protein family (Yanovsky and Kay, 2002, reviewed in Crocco and Botto, 2013; Gangappa and Botto, 2014). The CO protein acts in the vascular tissue of leaves, directly enhancing the expression of FT and SOC1 under long-day conditions (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2001; Valverde et al., 2004; Corbesier et al., 2007). Thereby the flowering promotion by CO is dosage dependent. Reduction in CO level leads to late flowering in LD while overexpression promotes flowering in LD and SD (Putterill et al., 1995; Onouchi et al., 2000; Robson et al., 2001; Takada and Goto, 2003; Jiang et al., 2008).

The CO expression and protein stability is regulated by the circadian clock and the photoperiod. In LD, accumulation of CO mRNA follows a diurnal cycle at the end of the light period, while in SDs CO mRNA level peaks during the night (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2001; Searle and Coupland, 2004; Valverde et al., 2004) due to the activity of photoreceptor complexes. Thereby expression of CO gets promoted by the blue light photoreceptor modul FK1-GI (FLAVIN-BINDING KEICH REPEAT F-BOX 1; GIGANTEA) which degradates CO-repressors, such as CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 (CDF1) (Imazumi et al., 2005; Sawa et al., 2007; Demarsy and Fankhauser, 2009; Fornara et al., 2009; Song et al., 2012, 2014). In addition the blue light photoreceptors CRYPTOCHROME 1/2 (CRY1/CRY2) and the far-red light-absorbing phytochrome A (PHYA) control the CO protein stability by repression of the CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1/SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA-105 (COP1/SPA) E3 ubiquitin ligase at the end of the day. While the red light-absorbing phytochrome B (PHYB) together with COP1/SPA targets CO to the proteasome in the morning and in the dark (Laubinger et al., 2004, 2006; Jang et al., 2008; Lazaro et al., 2012, 2015). Thus the circadian clock regulated transcription and the photoperiod dependent posttranslational control results in accumulation of CO-protein only in LD at dusk and remains low in SDs. Thereby the quantitative balance between the flowering activator CO and flowering repressors, such as the plant specific TEMPRANILLO (TEM1 and TEM2), is essential in the control of FT activation or repression, respectively (Castillejo and Pelaz, 2008; Osnato et al., 2012).

In general, the evolution of regulatory genes is an important aspect of diversification of developmental processes. CO-like genes are already present in moss (Zobell et al., 2005) or in green algae. The Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CO homolog CrCO strongly influences growth, cell shape and size, reinforces the circadian clock, and is involved in regulation of the starch synthase GRANULE BOUND STARCH SYNTHASE1 (GBSS1) (Serrano et al., 2009).

In Arabidopsis, 17 CONSTANS-LIKE (COL) genes were identified (Khanna et al., 2009) also referred as BBX1-BBX17. These genes have one or two conserved N-terminal B-Boxes for DNA-protein interaction and a conserved C-terminal CCT (CO, CO-like, TOC1) domain for protein-protein interaction resulting in nuclear localization. The COLs are structurally classified into group 1, that have two functional B-Boxes (CO, COL1-COL5), group 2, that have one functional B-Box and a diverged zinc finger domain [COL9-COL15, (Cheng and Wang, 2005), and group 3, that have only one functional B-Box (COL6-COL8, COL16, Robson et al., 2001; Khanna et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2013). The family of that B-box proteins also comprises additional BBX proteins (BBX18–BBX32) which do not contain the conserved CCT-domain (reviewed in Gangappa and Botto, 2014).

The biological function of the COLs is reported in a broad range of developmental processes: in flowering time, branching, shade avoidance response (SAR), photomorphogenesis or root elongation. Similar to CO, COL5 acts as accelerator of flowering (Hassidim et al., 2009). The COL3 and COL9 are reported to repress flowering in LD (Cheng and Wang, 2005; Datta et al., 2006). COL1 and COL2 are involved in fluence-rate dependent changes in circadian period, similar to what was seen for photoreceptors (Leder et al., 2001). Both, COL3 and COL7 are involved in the red-far-red-light signaling regulating the SAR (Datta et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). In addition, COL7 regulates branching of the shoot and was reported, similar to CO, to regulate the expression of the starch synthase GBSS (Ingkasuwan et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013; Ortiz-Marchena et al., 2014). But so far, the functional importance of COL4 has not been extensively investigated.

Here we demonstrate that Arabidopsis COL4 functions as transcriptional repressor of FT and therefore of flowering. A natural variation of the Arabidopsis COL4-gene, which results in a non-functional protein, was found in the Arabidopsis ecotypes Landsberg (La) and Landsberg erecta (Ler), but not in Columbia (Col) or Wassilewskaja (Ws). This occurrence might partially explain the differences in flowering time between these ecotypes. The results obtained within this study indicate that COL4 is needed for the fine-tuning of the expression of FT and less important also ATC, thus allowing full activity dependent of the photoperiodic pathway to induce flowering.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Arabidopsis thaliana seeds of ecotype Columbia (Col), Landsberg (La-0) and Landsberg-erecta (Ler) and T-DNA insertion lines were obtained from the NASC seed stock center. Individual T-DNA insertion alleles, indicated by dash-numbers, were confirmed by PCR. Lines used were col4-1 (Salk_149180), col4-2 (Salk_092012C), col4-4 (Salk_102886), col1-1 (Salk_024856C), col3-1 (SALK_042011C, Datta et al., 2006), col9-1 (SALK_137159, Cheng and Wang, 2005) and co-10 (SAIL_24_H04). Seeds of co-1, ft-10 and soc1-2 have been described (Redei, 1962; Lee and Amasino, 1995; Lee et al., 2000; Yoo et al., 2005) and were kindly provided by D. Weigel (ft-10), I. Lee (soc1-2), B. Ayres (co-1). All mutant lines were in Columbia background except for co-1 (La-0; Redei, 1962). Double mutants were identified among progeny of appropriate crosses by PCR with gene specific primers (Supplementary Table S4). The allele col4-1a is a natural point mutation in La-0 and harbors a premature stop codon after amino acid position 31 (G + A nucleotide deletion at position 82+83 of the genomic
sequence). The alleles col4

\(^{2}\) and co-1

\(^{2}\) as well as COL4\(^{\text{Col}}\) were backcrossed into Columbia or into La-0, respectively, resulting in at least three independent lines, indicated by dashed letters (col4

\(^{2}\)-A–D; COL4\(^{\text{Col}}\)-A–E).

Generation of COL4 Overexpression Lines

For overexpression analysis, the rps5a::gCOL4 plasmid was generated, carrying the full-length genomic sequence of COL4 of Columbia in the modified binary destination vector pB7WG2 (Karimi et al., 2002; Eriolova et al., 2009). Floral dip-transformation (Clough and Bent, 1998) of the plasmid into col4

\(^{2}\) or co-1 plants resulted in at least three independent transgenic plant lines (col4

\(^{2}\)-A COL4oe-16, -19, -21, -23, -26, -27; co-1 COL4oe-1, -2, -8) selected by growth on plates containing BASTA.

Growth Conditions and Flowering Time

Seeds were sterilized, stratified for 2–3 days at 4 °C, and plants were grown on plates on solid 0.5× Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal salt medium (Duchefa, Brussels, Belgium). Plants were analyzed on plates or transferred to soil (“Einheitserde,” Klasman, Switzerland) 10 days after germination. Alternatively, seeds were directly sown on soil. Plants were grown in Conviron growth chambers with mixed cold fluorescent and incandescent light as indicated for each experiment (80 or 130 µmol m

\(^{-2}\) s

\(^{-1}\), 21 ± 2°C) under long day (LD, 16 h 8 h day/night cycle) or short day (SD, 8 h 16 h day/night cycle) photoperiods. Flowering time was scored as described (Möller-Steinbach et al., 2010). Each presented flowering time analysis represents one out of at least two performed experiments.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Conservation analysis of COL4 across the green lineage, was performed with sequences harvested from Phytozome 12 and NCB> Blast search. Potential homologs were selected using a threshold of 20% sequence similarity, removing duplicate sequences or splice variants and prioritized sequences with motifs in the N-terminus, such as MASMKL (AS at the position of 2nd potential translational start side of COL4) and CDSCDK (BBox1 of COL4). Sequence Alignment was done by using MEGA6 with default parameters (pairwise alignment gap opening = 10.0, pairwise alignment gap extension = 0.1, multiple alignment gap opening = 10.0, multiple alignment gap extension = 0.2, and minimum gap separation distance of 4), further refined manually and used for construction of the phylogenetic tree in MEGA6 by the maximum likelihood method with 500 bootstrap replicates and the Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model.

RNA Isolation and Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted as previously described (Hennig et al., 2003; Leroy et al., 2007; Alexandre et al., 2009). For RT-PCR analysis, 1 µg RNA was treated with DNase I (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland) and transcribed into cDNA using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, Nunningen, Switzerland) according to manufacturer's instructions. RT-qPCR analysis was performed with gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table S5) on three technical replicates with the TaqMan® Gene expression assay (Fermentas, Lucerne, Switzerland) and the Universal Probe Library set (UPL) (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer's instructions and results were analyzed in normalization to PP2A as described earlier (Exner et al., 2009). The presented data represents the mean of at least two experiments.

Transient Expression of COL4 in Nicotiana benthamiana Leaves

To ectopically express proteins of full length COL4 (MDP-COL4) or truncated COL4 (MAS-COL4), as well as CO protein, the full length coding sequence or the truncated coding sequence, respectively, were inserted into the respective binary destination vectors pB7YWG (YFP) or pEarly102 (CFP-HA), resulting in the constructs MDP-COL4-CFP (35S:MDP-COL4-CFP), and MAS-COL4-YFP (35S:MAS-COL4-YFP), as well as CO-YFP (35S:CO-YFP). For subcellular localization analysis, Agrobacterium (GV3101) harboring the fusion protein constructs were grown in liquid LB-cultures under selective conditions at 28°C for 48 h, centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min at RT and resuspend in 10 mM MgCl

\(_{2}\) to an OD 600 of 0.8 to 1. Agrobacterium cells harboring the fusion protein constructs and Agrobacterium cells harboring a construct for the expression of the p19 silencing suppressor were mixed at equal ratio, infiltrated into the lower epidermis of N. benthamiana leaves and plants were incubated for 3–4 days before fluorescence imaging using a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope.

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM)

Sub-cellular localization studies were conducted using a 40× 1.1 numerical aperture water-immersion objective on a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope equipped with an argon laser (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Jena, Germany). CFP fluorescence was excited with the 458 nm argon laser line and detected between 462 and 500 nm. YFP fluorescence was excited with the 514 nm argon laser line and detected between 518 and 557 nm. Auto-fluorescence of chloroplasts was excited with the 514 nm argon laser line and recorded between 662 and 721 nm. Image processing was done using ZEN 2011 software (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Jena, Germany) and ImageJ software.

Immunodetection

Tobacco leaf material was harvested 2–3 days after infiltration and ground in liquid nitrogen. Infiltrated leaf tissue and non-infiltrated leaf tissue (as control) was incubated in SDS-buffer (0.1 g / 1 ml buffer) for 20 min at 60°C. The PAGE run with 10 µl
sample on a 10% SDS-Gel for 1 h at 20 mA. A wet-immunoblot was performed at 4°C at 100 V in 1 h on Nitrocellulose membrane activated by Blotting-buffer (20% EtOH, 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine). Anti-HA (1:5000, Roche) and anti-rabbit (1:10000, HRP coupled, Roche) were used to detect MDP-COL4-CFP. The anti-GFP (1:5000, rat, Jackson ImmunoResearch) and anti-rat (1:10000, HRP coupled, Roche) were used to detect MDP-COL4-CFP and MAS-COL4-YFP. Results were visualized by detection of chemiluminescence (ECL-detection kit, Roche) in a gel imager (Alpha Innotech).

RESULTS

COL4 Is a Flowering Repressor Acting Antagonistically to CONSTANS

In Arabidopsis, the function of CO as a key floral activator is not conserved in other COL genes (see above). While some are also flowering activators, such as COL5, others are repressors of flowering, such as COL3 and COL9. Here, we analyzed the flowering time of two independent col4 mutants in the Columbia wild-type background. The col4-1 allele carried a T-DNA insertion in the 1st exon, directly after the translational start site (Figure 1A). The col4-2 allele carried a T-DNA insertion in the single intron of COL4 (Figure 1A). Both were knockout alleles, since no full-length transcript was detected in these lines indicating the loss of full length COL4 protein, referred to as MDP-COL4. M-D-P represent the three first amino acids (aa) of the protein (Supplementary Figure S1). In the col4-1 allele but not in col4-2, mRNA transcript of a truncated COL4 was observed, most likely referred to as MAS-COL4, starting with the 3 aa M-A-S. Both alleles disclosed a small but significant acceleration of flowering when days to bolting (DTB) and rosette leaf number (RL) at bolting were determined. In LD, both alleles flowered 2–3 ± 0.5 days earlier compared to wild-type (28 ± 0.8 DTB, Figure 1B). This effect was stronger in SD (Figure 1D), where both alleles flowered around 14 days earlier compared to wild-type (118 ± 3.4 DTB). In addition, the early flowering phenotype was reflected in number of RL. The col4 alleles had less leaves at bolting compared to wild type in LD and SD (Figures 1C,E). Because both alleles disclose the same flowering behavior, the observed truncated transcript results rather in non-functional protein or in protein with different function than regulation of flowering. More important, the earlier flowering was rather a result from reduction of the juvenile and adult phase than growth defects, which can be seen in reduced number of juvenile (jRL) and adult leaves (aRL, Figures 1C,E). To corroborate our results, we also investigated the flowering time of other mutants of class group 1 COL-genes (all in the Columbia background) under our growth conditions. The selected mutants were reported in the literature as being early flowering (col1-1, col9-1), late flowering (co-1), or normal (col1-1). In our experiments, we could confirm these results, with the exception of col1-1 (being in Col-background), which also flowered earlier (Supplementary Figures S2A,B). The phenotype of the col4 alleles can be seen in Figure 1F. A third tested col4 allele (col4-4) carried the T-DNA insertion 1000 bp upstream of the translational start site, which did not affect flowering time (Supplementary Figures S2E,F). However, we have strong evidence that COL4 joins the group of COL genes encoding for flowering modulators, in the case of COL4 as repressor of flowering.

A Natural COL4 Mutation Is Worldwide Distributed in Arabidopsis Ecotypes

To monitor the role of COL4 on a natural and global range, we searched for potential COL4 polymorphisms in Arabidopsis using the 1001 Genomes Dataset (The 1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016). Nearly 1000 worldwide distributed accessions contained the full length COL4 sequence coding for a functional COL4 protein (MDP-COL4, Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S1A). Several accessions, however, disclosed natural variations in
**COL4**, which caused premature stop-codons in the first exon of the sequence and most likely lead to loss of function of MDP-COL4 protein (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S1B). Of these, nearly 80 accessions had lost a GA at pos. 82–83 of the genomic COL4 sequence. Two accessions (IP-San-10 (Spain, ID9579) and Tä-KB-6 (Germany, ID9809)) had lost the 4 bp GAGA motif at pos. 82–85 of the genomic sequence. Nearly 30 accessions had lost a C at position 23 of the genomic sequence. The accessions KBG1-14 (Germany, ID9788) and Vär2-1 (Sweden, ID7516), as well as IP-Cdo-0 (Spain, ID9532) even displayed two deletions (C, pos. 23, GA, pos. 82–83, the latter: C, pos. 23, GAGA, pos. 82–85) causing a stop-codon at amino-acid-position 9. However, no clear correlation between the geographical distribution of the ecotypes and the occurrence of the natural COL4 mutations could be detected (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S3). Only, the C-deletions seemed to occur mostly in ecotypes central and north to Europe, while the GA-deletions occurred mostly in ecotypes west and south to Europe. On a finer scale, the ecotypes containing the GA-deletions were mainly from Spain and Sweden, the GAGA- C-GAGA or C-GA-deletion were from Germany, Sweden and Spain, the C-deletion were mainly from Germany and Sweden, and the other nonsense mutations were from Bulgaria, France and Italy (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S3, Supplementary Tables S1A,B).

However, subsequently, we sequenced the COL4 gene from the most common laboratory strains Columbia (Col), Wassilewskaja (Ws), Landsberg (La), and Landsberg erecta (Ler), the latter three flower significantly earlier than Columbia in DTB and RL (Supplementary Figures S2C,D). In the accessions Col and Ws, the full length COL4 sequence was detected, suggesting a functional COL4 protein (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S4A). In contrast, Ler and La-0 harbored the premature...
stop codon in the COL4-sequence at amino acid 32, due to the GA-bp-deletion at position 82–83 of the genomic sequence (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S4A). This COL4 allele will hereafter be named \textit{col4}^{1a}.

Interestingly, in sequence alignments the second occurring ATG (ATG2) in the open reading frame of COL4 corresponded to the translational start site of COL3, the closest homolog of COL4 (Figures 3B,C). Hence, COL4 seems to contain an N-terminal extension which is unique to Arabidopsis COL4 within the group 1 COL proteins (Figure 3B). Within this N-terminal extension, several motifs were predicted by using MEME-suite\(^6\); Supplementary Figure S4B), motifs such as LKXXE (present in many actin binding proteins, Howard et al., 1998), INSNTR, KKRFRERK (containing a mono-partite NLS, Dingwall and Laskey, 1991), indicating that COL4 protein presents nuclear localization signals and protein binding sites at the N-terminus in addition to the ones at the C-terminus.

To define the uniqueness of the N-terminal extension of Arabidopsis COL4, a phylogenetic analysis was performed by extracting homologous COL4 protein sequences from Phytozome (see footnote 1) and the NCBI BLAST database\(^6\). Homologs of COL4 proteins could be identified across the green lineage, from Brassicaceae, Malvids, Malpighiales, Fabids, Rosids, Asterids, and monocots, as well as from mosses and green algae (Supplementary Table S2). Nevertheless, the mentioned N-terminal extension was unique to Arabidopsis COL4 within the homologous COL4 proteins (Supplementary Figure S5). Interestingly other long N-terminal extensions were found for homologs of Arabidopsis COL2 and COL5 in grape vine (\textit{Vitis vinifera}), populus (\textit{Populus trichocarpa}), strawberry (\textit{Fragaria vesca}), switchgrass (\textit{Panicum virgatum}) and citrus plants (\textit{Citrus clementina}, Supplementary Figure S5, Supplementary Table S2).

**COL4 Expression Is Under Diurnal Control**

To investigate the diurnal regulation of COL4 expression, we carried out RT-qPCR-analysis with RNA harvested over a 24 h period from 6-days old wild type seedlings grown in LD conditions. Under these growth conditions, the COL4 mRNA was preferentially expressed during the night, and mRNA levels decreased continuously during the day (Figure 4A). The expression of \textit{CO} disclosed the expected peak toward the end of the day (ZT16) and was therefore anti-cyclically expressed to \textit{COL4}. In addition, analysis of \textit{COL4} expression in LD grown wild type Columbia seedlings aged between 5 and 18 days after germination disclosed a gradual increase with seedlings’ age (Figure 4B).

To further investigate the biological function of \textit{COL4} in flowering time regulation, we tested the expression level of flowering time regulators in \textit{col4}-1. The circadian expression rhythm of the flowering activator \textit{FT} was not altered in \textit{col4}-1. It still had its expression peak at ZT16 in LD (Figure 4C). Interestingly, however, its expression level was clearly increased in 6-days old \textit{col4}-1 seedlings at ZT16 (Figure 4C). To further corroborate this result, \textit{FT}, \textit{FD}, \textit{ATC}, and \textit{TSF} expression were analyzed in 10 days-old seedlings of \textit{col4}-1 and wild type (Figure 4D). We detected an up-regulation of the florigen \textit{FT} and \textit{FD} as well as milder up-regulation of the anti-florigen \textit{ATC} at ZT16, whereas the expression of \textit{TSF} remained as in wild type. The \textit{FT}/\textit{FD} protein complex plays a strong role in flowering time initiation in LD by controlling the expression of \textit{API} in the SAM. Consistently, we found an up-regulation of \textit{API1} expression in seedlings of \textit{col4}-1 mutant (Figure 4D), suggesting that the transition to flowering in \textit{col4} occurred earlier due to higher \textit{FT} and \textit{FD} level and subsequently higher \textit{API1} expression. The increased expression of \textit{FT} and \textit{API1} in the \textit{col4} mutant

---

\(^{6}\text{meme-suite}\)

\(^{6}\text{https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/}
was consistent with the observed decreased number of juvenile and adult leaves.

**COL4 Functions Upstream of the Flowering Time Activators FT and SOC1**

To analyze the genetic interaction of COL4, FT, and SOC1 (FT target), the flowering time of the double mutants *ft-10 col4-1* and *soc1-2 col4-1* in LD and SD was analyzed (Figure 5). In LD, *ft-10 col4-1* and *soc1-2 col4-1* flowered approximately at the same time with similar number of RL and DTB than the corresponding late flowering single mutant alleles *ft-10* or *soc1-2* (Figures 5C,D), suggesting an epistatic effect of COL4 on FT and SOC1. The mutant phenotypes can be seen in Figure 5E. Therefore, in LD, COL4 most likely acts in the same pathway than FT and SOC1 and delays flowering by repression of FT and SOC1 expression.

In SD, the double mutant *ft-10 col4-1* flowered at the same time than *ft-10* (Figures 5C,D). Compared to the situation in LD, where the wild-type flowered dramatically earlier than the mutants (Figure 5A), the delay of flowering of these mutants in SD was less pronounced (Figures 5C,D). Since FT is lowly expressed in SD, it is believed to have no significant impact on flowering. Hence, flowering is triggered through other SD-specific florigens, one of them is suggested to be SOC1. In our experiments, the *soc1-2 col4-1* double mutant flowered slightly but significantly earlier than *soc1-2* (121 DBT/90 RL and 134 DBT/97 RL respectively, p < 0.05), and both flowered later than wild-type Columbia (102 DBT/70 RL) and the *ft-10* single mutant (115 DBT/80 RL, Figures 5C,D) suggesting an additive effect of COL4 and SOC1 on flowering in SD. Taken together, our results strongly suggest that COL4 functions as a repressor of flowering upstream of FT and SOC1 in LD. In SD, COL4 seems to contribute to flowering time in a SOC1 independent way, most likely through repression of FT and FT-like genes, such as ATC.

**COL4 Expression Modifies Flowering Time**

Under our conditions, La-0 and Ler flowered much earlier than Col in SD. To address the question if this was at least partly due to the altered COL4 gene in La-0 and Ler, the *COL4* gene in *La-0* was introgressed into La-0, and this resulted in a delay in flowering compared to La-0 in LD and SD (Table 1). Thus, the introgression of *COL4* could partially rescue the early flowering phenotype of La-0. In a reciprocal experiment, the *col4* mutant allele was introgressed into Columbia, resulting in several independent Columbia *col4* plant lines all showing accelerated flowering in LD and SD (Figures 6A–D). These results strongly suggest that COL4 protein was not functional as transcriptional repressor of flowering.

We also overexpressed COL4 under control of the rps5a-promoter (Erilova et al., 2009) in *col4* introgression lines (*COL4oe*, Figure 7). These plants showed a delay in flowering in LD and SD (Figures 7A,B,D,E and Supplementary Table S3A). *COL4* overexpression in *co-1* mutant (*co-1 COL4oe*) did not further contribute to a flowering delay (Figures 7C,F and Supplementary Table S3B). These results support the idea that COL4 fine-tunes regulation of flowering time by acting as a repressor of flowering.

To further confirm our hypothesis, the expression of flowering time genes in *COL4oe* plants grown in LD was analyzed. We detected decreased *FT* expression in the *COL4oe*-lines, compared to *col4* mutant (Figure 8A), but expression of *ATC* and *FD* remained high at ZT16. We also detected a second peak of *FT* expression.
in the middle of the light period in Figures 4C, 8B. Nevertheless, COL4 overexpression (Figure 8C) caused a shift in the FT/ATC balance toward ATC (Table 2). The change in expression and corresponding ratios between FT, FD, and ATC might explain the accelerated flowering of col4-mutants and the strong late flowering of COL4-oe lines in LD.

**COL4 Co-localizes With CONSTANS in Nuclear Speckles**

To confirm that, similarly to CO, COL4 is localized to the nucleus and thus likely functions as transcription factor, a fusion between the full length COL4 and the gene encoding for CYAN FLUORESCENT PROTEIN (CFP) was constructed under the control of the 35S-CaMV promoter (35S::MDP-COL4-CFP). A transient expression assay in tobacco leaf cells was used to assess the cellular localization of the MDP-COL4-CFP protein. As expected, the fluorescence of MDP-COL4-CFP was detected predominantly in the nuclei (Figures 9A–C). Additionally, to assess the co-localization of COL4 and CO, the yellow fluorescent fusion protein CO-YFP was transiently expressed under the control of the 35S-CaMV promoter in N. benthamiana leaves together with MDP-COL4-CFP (Figures 9D–F). Both, CO-YFP and MDP-COL4-CFP co-localized solely in the nuclei in nuclear speckles (Figures 9G–I), which might be cajal bodies (Datta et al., 2006).

Additional, the natural MDP-COL4 transcript was detectable only at low level, but testing for MAS-COL4 transcript resulted in a strong expression signal (Supplementary Figure S1). The question arose about the importance of the N-terminal extension and if a potential truncated protein would be synthesized and stable localized to the nucleus. Therefore, the localization of the two potential COL4 variants was evaluated. MDP-COL4-CFP and MAS-COL4-YFP were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves, either co-expressed or in a single expression event. The transient synthesis of the proteins MDP-COL4-CFP and MAS-COL4-YFP could be visualized by excitation of the CFP- and YFP fluorescent tags at different wave lengths, whereby both co-localized solely in the nuclei in nuclear speckles (Figures 10A–G). In addition, the synthesis of both COL4 protein variants was evaluated by immunoblot analysis using different antibodies (Figure 10H; anti-HA for MDP-COL4-CFP; anti-GFP for MDP-COL4-CFP/MAS-COL4-YFP). Due to the long N-terminal extension, MDP-COL4 had a higher molecular weight than MAS-COL4-YFP, resulting in a band shift seen in Figure 10H. In general, COL4 protein, even truncated, seemed to nuclear co-localizes with the CO protein.
For plants the exact regulation of flowering time is critical for their reproductive success, whereby CO acts as a key activator of flowering in Arabidopsis and other plant species. To date, less is known about the biological function of its sequence homolog COL4. Only its role in salt stress response was reported (Min et al., 2014). In this study, we could disclose that the Arabidopsis COL4 functions as flowering repressor in LD and SD. Plants lacking functional COL4 displayed accelerated flowering, while COL4 overexpression delayed it. Interestingly, COL4 and CO, both being nuclear localized, adversely regulate the expression of common target genes, such as the florigen FT, its antagonist ATC, as well as FD or SOC1, and subsequently of the meristem identity gene API. In plants, FT and ATC or TFL1 proteins compete for forming a complex with FD. While the FT/FD interaction promotes flowering (Abe et al., 2005; Wigge et al., 2005; Taoka et al., 2011), ATC/FD form a flowering inhibitory complex (Hanano and Goto, 2011; Huang et al., 2012). In the col4 mutants used in this study, FT and FD expression was upregulated relative to ATC, and the ATC/FD ratio was lower than in wild type. The changed balance of these target genes is the most likely reason for the observed earlier flowering phenotype of col4. Thus, antagonistically to CO, COL4 seems to fine-tune the balanced expression of these target genes to achieve optimal flowering time.

Several lines of evidence suggest that the balanced activity of repressors and activators of flowering can also have other, flowering-independent, functions. Some of these functionally

**DISCUSSION**

But the function of the N-terminal extension and the potential truncated COL4 protein needs to be investigated in the future.

However, the full length COL4 localized to the nucleus into speckles and functioned as transcriptional repressor on the key flowering activator FT explaining the early flowering phenotype of col4 mutants and the delay in flowering of COL4-overexpressing plants.

**TABLE 2 | Ratio of expression between FT/ATC, ATC/ FD and FT/FD.**

| LD     | Ratio  | FT/ATC | ATC/FD | FT/FD |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| (A) LD | Col    | 1.46   | 33.14  | 48.43 |
|        | col4-1 | 2.68   | 15.72  | 42.07 |
| (B) LD | Col    | 3.70   | 3.07   | 11.38 |
|        | col4-1 | 3.11   | 2.78   | 8.65  |
|        | col4-a | 5.49   | 0.56   | 3.09  |
|        | COL4oe-16 | 0.20 | 2.69  | 0.54  |
|        | COL4oe-19 | 0.23 | 0.59  | 1.18  |
|        | COL4oe-26 | 0.87 | 1.49  | 1.31  |

(A–B) Ratio of expression values obtained by RT-qPCR analysis of FT, ATC and FD in 10-days old seedlings (A) and in 24-day old RLs (B) grown in LD.

**FIGURE 8 | COL4 overexpression modifies expression of key flowering time genes. (A–B) Gene expression of key flowering time genes in 24-days old Columbia, col4 mutant plants and independent COL4-oe lines, grown in LD. Expression of the genes FT, ATC, and FD at ZT16 (A) and of FT, COL4 after 9 h light period (ZT9) (B) was analyzed by using RT-qPCR. Five plants per independent line were pooled. Dash numbers represent individual transgenic over-expression lines. nd – not determined. Shown are relative expression values ± S.E. All graphs represent the result of at least two experiments. (C) Gene expression of COL4 at the end of night (ZT0) in 24-days old Columbia, col4 mutant plants and independent COL4-oe lines, grown in LD. Expression analysis was performed by semiquantitative PCR.

**FIGURE 9 | Co-localization of COL4 and CO in the nucleus. (A–C) MDP-COL4-CFP localization. (A) MDP-COL4-CFP fluorescence (blue) in the nuclei. (B) Merge of (A), chlorophyll auto-fluorescence (red) and bright-field. (C) Zoom to one nucleus: Merge of MDP-COL4-CFP fluorescence, chlorophyll and bright-field. (D–F) CO-YFP localization. (D) CO-YFP fluorescence (yellow) in the nuclei. (E) Merge of (D), chlorophyll auto-fluorescence (red) and bright-field. (F) Zoom to one nucleus: Merge of CO-YFP fluorescence and bright-field. (G–I) The co-localization of MDP-COL4-CFP and CO-YFP in the nuclei: MDP-COL4-CFP fluorescence (G), CO-YFP fluorescence (H) and merge of (G) and (H). Scale bars: 20 µm. Shown are images of tobacco leaf cells transiently expressing the respective fusion proteins.
antagonistic pairs seem to play roles as general growth regulators, as was discussed for the tomato FT-homolog and TFL1-ortholog (Lifschitz et al., 2006; Shalit et al., 2009; Krieger et al., 2010). Since COL4 was recently found to be involved in salt stress regulation (Min et al., 2014), BROTHER OF FT AND TFL1 (BFT), which was reported to function in a FD-BFT repressor complex under salt stress (Ryu et al., 2014), may be another FT-like gene under the control of the COLA/CO antagonistic pair. This hypothesis, however, still requires experimental evidence. The idea of a more general role of COL4 and COL homologs in plant development gets supported by the findings of our phylogenetic analysis as well as by others (Lagercrantz and Axelsson, 2000; Zobell et al., 2005; Serrano et al., 2009). We found sequence variation matters for the choice of interaction partners. The frequent occurrence of genetic variation in the COL4 sequence, results in two groups of polymorphism, one present in northern Europe and the other in southern Europe. Within in those ecotypes, including the ecotype Landsberg, premature stop-codons in the first exon indicate non-functional full length COL4 but still might lead to the formation of a shorter COL4 protein. Potential dual translation of COL4 transcript was discussed already by Liu et al. (2013). The full-length version of COL4 contains a long N-terminal extension unique to COL4 within the group 1 COLs from Arabidopsis. This N-terminal peptide contains potential phosphorylation sites (S19 and T29), motifs for protein interaction (Howard et al., 1998), as well as additional NLS sequences (NLS-mono-partite: K-(K/R) × 10–12(K/R), Dingwall and Laskey, 1991), loss of which, however, did not prevent nuclear localization but it’s transcriptional role in flowering time. Nevertheless, these protein sequences might contribute to a specific regulation of COL4, which is necessary for its function in flowering time. Such extensions were also detected in homologs of AtCOL2 and AtCOL5 in vine, poplar, wild strawberry, switchgrass and citrus plants. It will be interesting to investigate the evolution of these long N-terminal extensions in correlation with additional functions for COL4 or other COL variants.

Transcription of COL4 is under diurnal regulation and peaks during the night, anticyclical to CO. Further experiments will be necessary to determine whether and how COL4 protein stability is regulated. However, the high similarity of their protein sequences suggests a similar protein regulatory system for COL4 and CO. Both proteins are targeted to the nucleus (this study
also homologs that favor or repress flowering. CO and COL4 might be another such pair. Flowering time control could be more delicate with these antagonistic pairs compared to the simpler situation with only one activator. The main point of this additional control may be to prevent leakiness in the absence of an activator.
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