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ABSTRACT: We propose a definition of asymptotic flatness at timelike infinity in four spacetime dimensions. We present a detailed study of the asymptotic equations of motion and the action of supertranslations on asymptotic fields. We show that the Lee-Wald symplectic form \( \Omega(g, \delta_1 g, \delta_2 g) \) does not get contributions from future timelike infinity with our boundary conditions. As a result, the “future charges” can be computed on any two-dimensional surface surrounding the sources at timelike infinity. We present expressions for supertranslation and Lorentz charges.
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1 Introduction

The asymptotic properties of gravity have been studied for decades [1–4] in the context of asymptotically flat spacetimes at null infinity, see [5–10] for recent reviews. Much of these studies are rightly motivated by the need to understand the intricate nature of gravitational radiation. One remarkable outcome of these studies was the discovery of the infinite-dimensional Bondi-Metzner-Sachs (BMS) group of asymptotic symmetries in
asymptotically flat spacetimes at null infinity. Recent works have shown that the BMS group is related to the infrared properties of gravity, namely soft-theorems and memory effects [8]. See references [11–13] for earlier works on these issues and the reviews [8, 9] for further references. The exploration of the connections between the BMS group, soft-theorems, and memory effects have led to enormous activity. Further enlargements of the BMS group [10, 14–17] have also been argued to be relevant.

The BMS symmetries are exact symmetries of General Relativity, in the sense that they leave the action invariant up to a surface term. This strongly suggests that they should be visible in any description, in particular at spatial infinity and timelike infinity, provided boundary conditions at spatial and timelike infinity are compatible with boundary conditions at null infinity. This poses the dynamical question: how to relate boundary conditions at null, spatial, and timelike infinity? The answer to this question remains poorly understood, and therein lies the key to many unresolved issues. The importance of understanding these issues has been stressed by Friedrich in a recent article [18].

On the specific question of BMS symmetries at spatial infinity there has been a lot of progress in recent years, motivated in part by the need to understand the relation between the BMS group, soft-theorems, and memory effects. Earlier investigations of the asymptotic symmetries at spatial infinity [19–22] successfully found boundary conditions that gave Poincaré group as asymptotic symmetries.

This situation was exhilarating on one hand and disappointing on the other. Exhilarating because at least at spatial infinity there are consistent boundary conditions that lead to Poincaré group as asymptotic symmetries whereas at null-infinity this does not seem desirable. Disappointing because the lack of understanding of the BMS symmetries at spatial infinity means that the relation between boundary conditions at null and spatial infinity is incomplete. This had remained a deep puzzle for many years.

Henneaux and Troessaert [23–25] in a series of paper have resolved this tension, both in the cylindrical representation and the hyperbolic representation of spatial infinity. They have proposed boundary conditions at spatial infinity that are invariant under BMS symmetries. The BMS symmetries have non-trivial action on the fields and have generically non-zero charges. They have also related BMS generators at spatial infinity to BMS generators at past and future null infinity. Other works in this direction include [26, 27].

The situation at timelike infinity remains much less developed. Following works at spatial infinity [21, 22], earlier work [28–30] had proposed boundary conditions that gave Poincaré group as asymptotic symmetries. To the best of our knowledge, no attempt has been made to realise BMS symmetries at timelike infinity in the non-linear theory. Motivated by the relation between the BMS group and soft-theorems, these issues were addressed in the linearised gravity in [31, 32], though the main focus in these papers is somewhat different. The main aim of this paper is to present boundary conditions in non-linear general relativity at timelike infinity that realise BMS symmetries in the sense that BMS symmetries have non-trivial action on the fields and have generically non-zero charges. Our work in motivated by the corresponding developments at spatial infinity [23–27].

Such a study is important for several reasons. Over the last two decades, it has been argued in a variety of contexts that stationary black holes also possess an infinite number
of symmetries in the near horizon region \([33–38]\).\(^1\) Typically a class of these symmetries is similar to supertranslations at null infinity. It is believed that global charges associated with supertranslations receive contributions from the horizon as well as from null infinity. Clearly, a complete discussion of conservation laws associated with supertranslations requires a detailed understanding of how the symmetries at the horizon relate to the symmetries at null infinity. However, this has not been understood.\(^2\) Toy model studies include \([39, 40]\). It has been suggested by several authors, specifically by Chandrasekaran, Flanagan, and Prabhu in \([38]\) that timelike infinity can be used to relate symmetries at the horizon to symmetries at null infinity.

In this paper we only focus on timelike infinity with perhaps the simplest boundary conditions that allow for the BMS symmetries. The dynamical questions on the relationship of our boundary conditions to null and spatial infinity is beyond the scope of this work. Issues related to further enlargement of BMS symmetries \([14–17]\) are also beyond the scope of this work. We hope to return to these questions in future works.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we introduce our notion of asymptotic flatness at timelike infinity. Many of the calculations here are direct translations of those at spatial infinity. Having said so, we must add that the literature at spatial infinity is fairly large and confusing. Therefore, it is absolutely essential to work-out things from the start to the end for timelike infinity separately. In section 3, a detailed study of the asymptotic equations of motion is presented. In section 4, expressions for supertranslation and Lorentz charges are proposed. In section 5, the Schwarzschild solution is written in a form such that it manifestly satisfies our boundary conditions. In section 6, some general remarks on supertranslations are made. We close with a brief discussion in section 7. Dynamical questions regarding the non-triviality of our construction, i.e., whether non-trivial radiative spacetimes exist that satisfy our boundary conditions at timelike infinity requires a separate investigation.

2 Asymptotic flatness at timelike infinity

In this section we introduce our notion of asymptotic flatness at timelike infinity. It is based on the corresponding notion introduced by Beig and Schmidt \([41, 42]\) at spatial infinity, which has been extensively studied over the years \([43–47]\). We work with a coordinate based definition. If needed, our results can be readily translated to geometric frameworks. A notion of asymptotic flatness at timelike infinity in the geometrical framework of Ashtekar-Hansen \([21]\) was introduced by Cutler \([28]\) and Porrill \([29]\). A closely related notion in the geometrical framework of Ashtekar-Romano \([22]\) was discussed by

---

\(^1\)The symmetry groups in these papers do not coincide. This is so because different authors preserve different structures: some prefer to preserve a particular geometric structure on the null surface, whereas others preserve the near horizon geometry.

\(^2\)To some extent these issues were explored in \([35, 36]\). In these references, advanced Bondi coordinates are used; however, since advanced Bondi coordinates do not cover future null infinity, the relation between symmetries at future null infinity and the future horizon remains unexplored. These points were recently emphasised in \([39, 40]\).
Gen and Shiromizu [30]. Our notion is different from all these previous works, as we allow a class of spi-supertranslations to act as asymptotic symmetries at timelike infinity.

2.1 Asymptotic metric

To introduce our notion of asymptotic flatness at timelike infinity we start by introducing a set of “polar coordinates” for Minkowski spacetime \( \{ \tau, \rho, \theta, \phi \} \) as follows:

\[
\eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu = -\tau^2, \quad \frac{r}{\ell} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}},
\]

(2.1)

where \( \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\{-1, 1, 1, 1\} \) and \( x^\mu \) are a standard set of cartesian coordinates and \( r^2 = (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 \). In these coordinates flat spacetime metric takes the form:

\[
ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \tau^2 \left( \frac{d\rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} + \rho^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right),
\]

\[
\equiv -d\tau^2 + \tau^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} d\phi^a d\phi^b
\]

(2.2)

(2.3)

where we denote coordinates \( \{ \rho, \theta, \phi \} \) collectively as \( \phi^a \). Metric \( h^{(0)}_{ab} \) is the unit metric on Euclidean AdS\(_3\) hyperboloid \( \mathcal{H} \).

We start by considering a general class of spacetime admitting an expansion at timelike infinity of the form:

\[
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} \tau^n + o(\tau^{-m}),
\]

(2.4)

where \( \ell^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} \), for each \( n \), is a function of \( \frac{x^\mu}{\tau} \). Following Beig and Schmidt [42], this metric can be put in the following more convenient form:

\[
ds^2 = -N^2 d\tau^2 + h_{ab} d\phi^a d\phi^b,
\]

(2.5)

where

\[
N = 1 + \frac{\sigma(\phi^a)}{\tau},
\]

(2.6)

\[
h_{ab} = \tau^2 \left[ h^{(0)}_{ab}(\phi^c) + \frac{1}{\tau} h^{(1)}_{ab}(\phi^c) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} h^{(2)}_{ab}(\phi^c) + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^3} \right) \right].
\]

(2.7)

A derivation of the form of the metric eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7) starting from eq. (2.4) is given in appendix A. We define asymptotically flat spacetimes at timelike infinity as spacetimes admitting an asymptotic expansion as in eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7). Further boundary conditions will be specified below. We will comment on the smoothness of fields \( \sigma, h^{(1)}_{ab}, h^{(2)}_{ab} \), etc. on EAdS\(_3\) hyperboloid \( \mathcal{H} \) at a later stage.

2.2 Supertranslation at timelike infinity

A natural question to ask is what is the set of diffeomorphisms preserving the form of the metric eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7).\(^3\) In particular, if supertranslations are genuine symmetries of

\(^3\)In the context of spatial infinity this question has been analysed in great detail by many authors over the years; see [44, 45] for a concise summary of the earlier results.
general relativity then they should also be realisable at timelike infinity. In order to spell out our boundary conditions explicitly, we start by looking at the action of supertranslations on asymptotic fields.

2.2.1 First order

As shown in detail in appendix B, the diffeomorphism

\[ \tau = \bar{\tau} - \omega(\bar{\phi}^a) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}}\right), \tag{2.8} \]

\[ \phi^a = \bar{\phi}^a + \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} h^{(0)ab} \partial_b \omega(\bar{\phi}^c) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^2}\right), \tag{2.9} \]

preserves the asymptotic form of the metric to order \( \frac{1}{\tau} \). Here \( \omega(\phi^a) \) is an arbitrary function on \( \mathcal{H} \) that determines the higher order terms in the diffeomorphism uniquely. When \( \omega(\phi^a) \) is in the four-parameter class of solutions of

\[ D_a D_b \omega - \omega h^{(0)ab} = 0, \tag{2.10} \]

with \( D_a \) being the covariant derivative on \( \mathcal{H} \) compatible with metric \( h^{(0)ab} \), the transformation eq. (2.8)–eq. (2.9) correspond to a translation. More generally, the above diffeomorphism corresponds to a supertranslation. The four functions satisfying eq. (2.10) are

\[ \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}, \rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi, \rho \sin \theta \cos \phi \right\}, \tag{2.11} \]

representing respectively, the time-translation and three-spatial translations.

Under general supertranslation eq. (2.8)–eq. (2.9), the zeroth order field \( h^{(0)ab} \) does not transform,

\[ h^{(0)ab} \rightarrow h^{(0)ab}, \tag{2.12} \]

whereas the first order fields transform as,

\[ \sigma \rightarrow \sigma, \tag{2.13} \]

\[ h_{ab}^{(1)} \rightarrow h_{ab}^{(1)} + 2D_a D_b \omega - 2\omega h^{(0)ab}. \tag{2.14} \]

We define,

\[ k_{ab} := h_{ab}^{(1)} + 2\sigma h^{(0)ab}. \tag{2.15} \]

It follows from eq. (2.14) that under general supertranslation,

\[ k_{ab} \rightarrow k_{ab} + 2D_a D_b \omega - 2\omega h^{(0)ab}. \tag{2.16} \]

Now, there are two natural set of boundary conditions to consider. First, one can dispose of all the supertranslations by demanding

\[ k_{ab} = 0. \tag{2.17} \]

These are the boundary conditions used in [28–30]. As is clear from eq. (2.16) that with these boundary conditions, supertranslations are not allowed asymptotic symmetries.
the class of diffeomorphisms eq. (2.8)–eq. (2.9) only translations (cf. eq. (2.10)) are allowed asymptotic symmetries.

Second, motivated by the work on spatial infinity [46] and [23, 24] one can choose,
\[ k := h^{(0)ab}k_{ab} = 0. \] (2.18)

The requirement that the trace of \( k_{ab} \) vanishes should be invariant under supertranslations. From eq. (2.16) we therefore deduce that the following differential equation for the function \( \omega \),
\[ (\Box - 3) \omega = 0. \] (2.19)

This is the class of supertranslations we work with in this paper. Here \( \Box \) is the Laplacian on \( \mathcal{H} : \Box = D_a D^a \).

There can be other classes of transformations with appropriately modified notions of asymptotic flatness, e.g., logarithmic translations, superrotations, more general supertranslations, that one can explore. We do not study them in this work. Very likely, our considerations can be extended to include a study of logarithmic translations following, say, [46]. However, how superrotations [31, 32, 53] at timelike infinity can feature in such an analysis is not clear to us. Naively, the introduction of superrotations does not look compatible with the zeroth order equations of motion in the \( 1/\tau \) expansion.

2.2.2 Second order

It is of interest to study the action of supertranslations on the second order fields. At second order the diffeomorphism presented in eq. (2.8)–eq. (2.9) generalises to,
\[ \tau = \tilde{\tau} - \omega(\tilde{\phi}^a) + \frac{1}{\tau} F^{(2)}(\tilde{\phi}^a) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right), \] (2.20)
\[ \phi^a = \tilde{\phi}^a + \frac{1}{\tau} h^{(0)ab} \partial_b \omega(\tilde{\phi}^c) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} G^{(2)a}(\tilde{\phi}^c) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^3}\right), \] (2.21)
where the functions \( F^{(2)}(\tilde{\phi}^a) \) and \( G^{(2)a}(\tilde{\phi}^c) \) are uniquely fixed in terms of the function \( \omega(\tilde{\phi}^a) \) by the requirement that the form of the metric should remain the same to order \( 1/\tau^2 \). We apply the above transformations and expand the metric in eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7) up to second order. Using the boundary condition \( k = 0 \), we find,
\[ h^{(2)}_{ab} \rightarrow h^{(2)}_{ab} - \omega k_{ab} + \omega \epsilon D_c k_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_b k_{ac} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^c k_{bc} - \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_a k_{bc}) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_b k_{ac}) \]
\[ + 2 \sigma \omega h^{(0)}_{ab} + \sigma_b \omega_a - \sigma_a \omega_b - \sigma_a \omega_a - \sigma_b \omega_b + (\sigma \leftrightarrow \omega) \]
\[ + \omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 2 \omega \omega_{ab} + \omega_{ab} \]. (2.22)

Here \( \sigma_a = D_a \sigma, D_a D_b \sigma = \sigma_{ab}, D_a D_b D_c \sigma = \sigma_{cba} \) etc. and similarly for \( \omega \). A detailed derivation is given in appendix B.

\footnote{We thanks the anonymous referee for suggesting us to add these comments.}
A non-trivial consistency check on this expression is presented in appendix D. There we consider doing a supertranslation on flat spacetime. We begin with (for flat spacetime)

$$\sigma = 0, \ h^{(1)}_{ab} = 0, \ h^{(2)}_{ab} = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

We note that $\sigma$ does not change under supertranslations. Thus for the supertranslated spacetime too $\sigma = 0$. From eq. (2.14), it follows that for the supertranslated spacetime

$$h^{(1)}_{ab} = k_{ab} = -2\omega h^{(0)}_{ab} + 2\omega_{ab}, \quad (2.24)$$

and from eq. (2.22), it follows that

$$h^{(2)}_{ab} = \omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 2\omega \omega_{ab} + \omega_{bc} \omega^{bc}. \quad (2.25)$$

In appendix D, we check that the expression in eq. (2.24) for $k_{ab}$ and eq. (2.25) for $h^{(2)}_{ab}$ are consistent with the second order equations of motion.$^5$

3 Asymptotic expansion of the equation of motion

Einstein’s equations can be split into 3+1 form, providing a set of three equations appropriately projected along normal direction to constant $\tau$ hypersurface. The split provides the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints, and the evolution equation for the metric on the 3-dimensional $\tau = \text{constant}$ hypersurface. These equations read,

$$H \equiv \frac{1}{N} \partial_{\tau} K + K_{ab} K^{ab} - \frac{1}{N} h^{ab} D_a D_b N = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$H_a \equiv D_b K^b_a - D_a K = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$H_{ab} \equiv R_{ab} + \frac{1}{N} \partial_{\tau} K_{ab} - 2K_{ac} K^c_b + K K_{ab} - \frac{1}{N} D_a D_b N = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Here $D$ is the covariant derivative compatible with metric $h_{ab}$ and $K_{ab} = \frac{1}{2N} \partial_{\tau} h_{ab}$ is the extrinsic curvature of the constant $\tau$ hypersurface.

These equations can be expanded in inverse powers of $\tau$ as,

$$H \equiv H^{(0)}_{\tau^2} + H^{(1)}_{\tau^3} + H^{(2)}_{\tau^4} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^5} \right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$H_a \equiv H^{(0)}_a_{\tau} + H^{(1)}_a_{\tau^2} + H^{(2)}_a_{\tau^3} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^4} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

$$H_{ab} \equiv H^{(0)}_{ab}_{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau} H^{(1)}_{ab}_{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} H^{(2)}_{ab}_{\tau} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^3} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

The expansion coefficients at zeroth, first, and second order are summarised in the following subsections. A detailed derivation of these results is given in appendix C.

$^5$An expression for corresponding transformation of $h^{(2)}_{ab}$ at spatial infinity was reported in equation (4.111) of [46]. All the $\omega-\omega$-terms, the analog of the third line in eq. (2.22), are missing there. We note that the action of supertranslations at the second order has not been much discussed in the literature; comments appear in [46, 47], though neither of these papers present any details on this specific calculation. We hope that the reader will find our appendices B and D useful. The action of translations was discussed in [42].
3.1 Zeroth and first order

At zeroth order, the Hamiltonian and the momentum constraints are identically satisfied. The evolution equation implies that the three-dimensional metric \( h^{(0)}_{ab} \) on \( \mathcal{H} \) must satisfy,

\[
H^{(0)}_{ab} = R^{(0)}_{ab} + 2 h^{(0)}_{ab} = 0. \tag{3.7}
\]

This condition implies that \( \mathcal{H} \) is maximally symmetric with \( R^{(0)} = -6 \) and the Riemann tensor is given by,

\[
R^{(0)}_{abcd} = R^{(0)}_{6} \left( h^{(0)}_{ac} h^{(0)}_{bd} - h^{(0)}_{ad} h^{(0)}_{bc} \right) = - h^{(0)}_{ac} h^{(0)}_{bd} + h^{(0)}_{ad} h^{(0)}_{bc}. \tag{3.8}
\]

Thus \( \mathcal{H} \) is Euclidean AdS\(_3\) space, as noted earlier.

At first order, the Hamiltonian constraint gives,

\[
H^{(1)} = (-\Box + 3) \sigma = 0. \tag{3.9}
\]

The momentum constraint gives,

\[
D^b k_{ab} = D_a k. \tag{3.10}
\]

The evolution equations \( H^{(1)}_{ab} = 0 \) gives,

\[
(\Box + 3) k_{ab} = D_a D_b k + k h^{(0)}_{ab}. \tag{3.11}
\]

Boundary conditions presented in eq. (2.18) further simplify these equations to

\[
D^b k_{ab} = 0, \quad (\Box + 3) k_{ab} = 0. \tag{3.12}
\]

3.2 Second order

At second order, the Hamiltonian constraint takes the form,

\[
h^{(2)} = 12 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{4} k^{ab} k_{ab} - k^{ab} D_a D_b \sigma - D_c \sigma D^c \sigma, \tag{3.13}
\]

where \( h^{(2)} \) is the trace of \( h^{(2)}_{ab} \), \( h^{(2)} = h^{(0)ab} h^{(2)}_{ab} \). In arriving at this equation we have used the boundary condition \( k = 0 \) cf. eq. (2.18) and the first order equations of motion. The momentum constraint reads,

\[
D_b h^{(2)b}_{a} = \frac{1}{2} k^{bp} (D_b k_{pa}) + D_a \left( -\frac{1}{8} k^{bc} k_{bc} + 8 \sigma^2 - k^{ab} D_a D_b \sigma - D_c \sigma D^c \sigma \right). \tag{3.14}
\]

The evolution equation \( H^{(2)}_{ab} = 0 \) yields,

\[
(\Box + 2) h^{(2)}_{ab} = S^{(kk)}_{ab} + S^{(k\sigma)}_{ab} + S^{(\sigma\sigma)}_{ab}, \tag{3.15}
\]
where the non-linear source terms have the following expressions,

\[ S_{ab}^{(kk)} = \left( D_c k_d(a D_b) k^{cd} \right) - \frac{1}{2} D_a k_c k^{cd} D_b + \left( D_c k_{ad} \right) \left( D_c k_d b \right) - \left( D^c k_{ad} \right) \left( D^d k_{bc} \right) \]

\[ \left( D_c k_{d(a b)} - D_c(a b) \right), \]

\[ S_{ab}^{(k\sigma)} = -D_a D_b \left( k_{d(c} D_c \sigma \right) + 4 D_c \sigma \left( -D_c k_{ab} + D_{(a d)}(b) \right) - 4 \sigma k_{ab} \]

\[ + \left( -2 h_{ab}^{(0)} k_c D_c \sigma + 4 k_{cd} h_{ab}^{(0)} D_d \sigma \right), \]

\[ S_{ab}^{(\sigma\sigma)} = D_a D_b \left( 5 \sigma^2 - D_c \sigma D^c \sigma \right) + h_{ab}^{(0)} \left( 18 \sigma^2 + 4 D_c \sigma D_c \sigma \right) + 4 \sigma D_a D_b \sigma. \] (3.16)

(3.17)

(3.18)

The second order equations of motion, in the form presented above, with more restrictive boundary condition \( k_{ab} = 0 \) take a particularly nice form and can be concisely presented in terms of the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensors, as is the case at spatial infinity \([45, 48, 49]\). These results are presented in appendix E.

4 Charges at timelike infinity

Next we would like to understand contributions from timelike infinity to the Iyer-Wald global charges \([50, 51]\) (see also \([38]\)) for supertranslations and Lorentz symmetries. To this end, we compute contributions from timelike infinity to the Lee-Wald symplectic form. This computation is presented in section 4.1. We find that with our boundary conditions this contribution vanishes. It has been suggested by several authors\(^6\) that this should be the case with appropriate boundary conditions at timelike infinity. As a result, “future charges” can be computed on any two-dimensional topologically-spherical surface surrounding the “sources” at timelike infinity. We present charge expressions in section 4.2. Some further properties of these charges are studied in section 4.3.

What are these sources at timelike infinity? Note that bound objects (and fields) reach timelike infinity. Fields close to these bound objects do not become weak and cannot be regarded as asymptotic fields in the usual sense. In the \( \tau \to \infty \) limit, it is convenient to regard individual bound systems, gravitationally unbound relative to each other, as finite number of points on the timelike infinity hyperboloid \( \mathcal{H} \). These points serve as sources for the charge integrals. This picture will become more clear in section 5 where we discuss the Schwarzschild solution in the \( \tau \to \infty \) limit.

4.1 Contributions to the Lee-Wald symplectic form

Consider a spacetime with no horizons. The components of the boundary are the past and future null infinity \( \mathcal{J}^- \), \( \mathcal{J}^+ \) and the points (in the Penrose diagram in figure 1) past and future timelike infinity \( i^- \), \( i^+ \), and spatial infinity \( i^0 \). Since the global charge variation is invariant under local deformations of the Cauchy surface \( \Sigma \), one can deform \( \Sigma \) in the far future to \( i^+ \cup \mathcal{J}^+ \). Then, the first variation of the Iyer-Wald global charges satisfies

\[ \delta Q_{\xi}(\Sigma) = \delta Q_{\xi}(\mathcal{J}^+) + \delta Q_{\xi}(i^+). \] (4.1)
Figure 1. Consider a spacetime with no horizons. The components of the boundary are $J^-, J^+$ and the points at infinity $i^-$, $i^0$, and $i^+$. Since the first variation of global charges is invariant under local deformations of the Cauchy surface $\Sigma$, one can deform $\Sigma$ in the far future to $i^+ \cup J^+$. Then, the first variation of the Iyer-Wald global charges satisfies $\delta Q_\xi(\Sigma) = \delta Q_\xi(J^+) + \delta Q_\xi(i^+)$. With our boundary conditions $\delta Q_\xi(i^+) = 0$.

With our boundary conditions we now show that $\delta Q_\xi(i^+) = 0$. This is schematically shown in figure 1. Recall that

$$\delta Q_\xi(\Sigma) = \Omega(g, \delta g, \mathcal{L}_\xi g). \tag{4.2}$$

The computation proceeds as follows. The Lee-Wald symplectic form \cite{50, 51} is

$$\Omega(g, \delta g_1, \delta g_2) = \int_\Sigma \omega(g, \delta g_1, \delta g_2) = \int_\Sigma \omega^\gamma n_\gamma \sqrt{h} \, d^3x, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\omega^\gamma = P^{\gamma\nu\alpha\beta\mu\delta} [\delta_2 g_{\nu\alpha} \nabla_\beta \delta_1 g_{\mu\delta} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)],$$

$$P^{\gamma\nu\alpha\beta\mu\delta} = g^\gamma\mu g^\nu\nu g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^\gamma\beta g^\nu\nu g^{\alpha\delta} - \frac{1}{2} g^\gamma\nu g^\alpha\beta g^{\mu\delta} - \frac{1}{2} g^\nu\alpha g^\gamma\beta g^{\mu\delta} + \frac{1}{2} g^\nu\alpha g^\gamma\beta g^{\mu\delta}, \tag{4.4}$$

and where $n^\gamma$ is the unit normal to the hypersurface $\Sigma$,

$$n = -Nd\tau, \tag{4.5}$$

and $\nabla_\alpha$ is the covariant derivative compatible with the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. We choose the hypersurface $\Sigma$ to be a $\tau = \text{constant}$ surface. The volume factor $\sqrt{h} \, d^3x$ grows as $\tau^3$ in the $\tau \to \infty$ limit. The aim, therefore, is to determine how $\omega^\gamma n_\gamma$ behaves in the $\tau \to \infty$ limit. On $\tau = \text{constant}$ hypersurface,

$$- \omega^\gamma n_\gamma = N\omega^\tau = \omega^\tau (1 + O(1/\tau)). \tag{4.6}$$
As a result, the problem simply reduces to analysing the behaviour of $\omega^\tau$ in the $\tau \to \infty$ limit. For our purposes, the $\omega^\gamma$ expression can be written in a more convenient form as follows,

$$\omega^\gamma = g^{\gamma\mu} g^{\alpha\beta} (\delta g_{\mu\alpha} \nabla_\beta \delta_{1\mu\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma\beta} g^{\mu\alpha} (\delta g_{\mu\alpha} \nabla_\beta \delta_{1\mu\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma\beta} g^{\mu\delta} (\delta g_{\mu\delta} \nabla_\beta \delta_{1\mu\beta})$$

or

$$\omega^\gamma = g^{\gamma\mu} g^{\alpha\beta} (\delta g_{\mu\alpha} \nabla_\beta \delta_{1\mu\beta})$$

where we have simply raised and lowered the indices in a convenient form and have converted some terms to the determinant $g$ of the metric. In this form, each of the terms in $\omega^\tau$ can be easily evaluated. The following expressions are useful:

$$\delta g_{\tau\tau} = \frac{2\delta\sigma}{\tau} + o(1/\tau), \quad \delta g^{\tau\tau} = \frac{2\delta\sigma}{\tau} + o(1/\tau), \quad \delta g^{ab} = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \delta h^{(1)ab} + o(1/\tau^3),$$

and for the four-dimensional Christoffel symbols the following expressions are useful:

$$\Gamma^c_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} h^{cd} \partial_c h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\tau} \delta^c_{\alpha} + o(1/\tau),$$

$$\Gamma^{\tau\tau} = \frac{1}{2} h^{\tau\tau} \partial_\tau h_{\tau\tau} = -\frac{\sigma}{\tau^2} + o(1/\tau^2),$$

$$\Gamma^{\tau ab} = -\frac{1}{2} h^{\tau\tau} \partial_\tau h_{ab} = \tau h_{ab}^{(0)} + o(\tau).$$

Using these expressions, the first term in eq. (4.7) for $\gamma = \tau$ becomes,

$$\delta_2 g^{\alpha\beta} (\nabla^\tau \delta_{1\alpha\beta}) = \delta_2 g^{\tau\tau} (\nabla^\tau \delta_{1\tau\tau}) + \delta_2 g^{ab} (\nabla^\tau \delta_{1ab})$$

$$= \left( \frac{2\delta\sigma}{\tau} + \cdots \right) \left( \frac{2\delta\sigma}{\tau^2} + \cdots \right) - \left( \frac{\delta h^{(1)ab}}{\tau^3} + \cdots \right) \left( \frac{\delta h^{(1)ab}}{\tau^3} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tau^3} \left( \delta_2 h^{(1)ab} \delta h_{ab}^{(1)} - 4\delta\sigma \delta_2 h^{ab} \right) + o(1/\tau^3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tau^3} \left( \delta_2 k^{ab} \delta_1 k_{ab} - 2\delta_2 \sigma \delta_1 k - 2\delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 k + 8\delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 \sigma \right) + o(1/\tau^3).$$

The second term becomes,

$$\delta_2 \ln g (\nabla^\tau \delta_{1\tau} g^{\tau\beta}) = \left( \frac{2\delta\sigma}{\tau} + \frac{h_{ab}^{(0)} \delta h^{(1)ab}}{\tau} + \cdots \right) \left( \frac{4\delta\sigma}{\tau^2} - \frac{h_{ab}^{(0)} \delta h_{ab}^{(1)ab}}{\tau^2} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tau^3} \left( -4\delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 \sigma + 4\delta_1 k \delta_2 \sigma + 10\delta_2 k \delta_1 \sigma - \delta_1 k \delta_2 k \right) + o(1/\tau^3).$$
The third term becomes,
\[ \delta_2 g^{\tau \beta} (\nabla_\beta \delta_1 \ln g) = \delta_2 g^{\tau \tau} \partial_\tau (\delta_1 \ln g) \]
\[ = \left( \frac{2 \delta_2 \sigma}{\tau} + \ldots \right) \left( - \frac{2 \delta_1 \sigma}{\tau^2} - \frac{h^{(0)}_{ab} \delta_1 h^{(1)}_{ab}}{\tau^2} + \ldots \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\tau^3} (8 \delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 \sigma - 2 \delta_2 \sigma \delta_1 k) + o(1/\tau^3). \] (4.15)

The fourth term becomes
\[ \delta_2 \ln g \nabla^\tau \delta_1 \ln g = - \left( \frac{2 \delta_2 \sigma}{\tau} + \frac{h^{(0)}_{ab} \delta_2 h^{(1)}_{ab}}{\tau} + \ldots \right) \partial_\tau \left( \frac{2 \delta_1 \sigma}{\tau} + \frac{h^{(0)}_{ab} \delta_1 h^{(1)}_{ab}}{\tau} + \ldots \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\tau^3} \left( 16 \delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 \sigma - 4 \delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 k - 4 \delta_1 k \delta_2 \sigma + \delta_1 k \delta_2 k \right) + o(1/\tau^3). \] (4.16)

The fifth term becomes
\[ \delta_2 g_{\alpha \beta} \left( \nabla^\alpha \delta_1 g^{\tau \beta} \right) = \delta_2 g_{\tau \tau} \left( \nabla^\tau \delta_1 g^{\tau \tau} \right) + \delta_2 g_{ab} \left( \nabla^a \delta_1 g^{\tau b} \right) \]
\[ = \left( \frac{2 \delta_2 \sigma}{\tau} + \ldots \right) \left( - \frac{2 \delta_1 \sigma}{\tau^2} + \ldots \right) + \frac{2}{\tau^3} \left( \delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 h^{(1)}_{ab} h^{(0)}_{ab} + \ldots \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\tau^3} \left( 2 \delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 k - 16 \delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 \sigma \right) + o(1/\tau^3). \] (4.17)

Most of these terms cancel out upon \((1 \leftrightarrow 2)\) anti-symmetrisation. The final expression for \(\omega^\tau\) reads,
\[ \omega^\tau = 2 \tau^3 \left( \delta_1 \sigma \delta_2 k - \delta_1 k \delta_2 \sigma \right) + o(1/\tau^3). \] (4.18)

Using the boundary condition, \(k = 0\), the \(O(1/\tau^3)\) term in eq. (4.18) vanishes. Hence, in the \(\tau \to \infty\) limit
\[ \Omega(g, \delta_1 g, \delta_2 g) = 0. \] (4.19)

This implies,
\[ \delta Q_\xi (i^+) = 0. \] (4.20)

To summarise: we have shown that with our notion of asymptotic flatness, timelike infinity does not contribute to the Lee-Wald symplectic form. Hence, the contribution to the first variations of the Iyer-Wald charges from timelike infinity is zero. It has been suggested by several authors that this should be the case. The result is entirely expected on physical grounds. Thus, eq. (4.1) simplifies to
\[ \delta Q_\xi (\Sigma) = \delta Q_\xi (J^+). \] (4.21)

The contribution from null infinity, \(\delta Q_\xi (J^+)\), is well studied; for a review see [5]. One of key ideas in the subject is that the integral over null infinity can be written as the difference of the localised charges [38]
\[ Q_\xi (J^+) = Q^{loc}_\xi (J^+) - Q^{loc}_\xi (J^+) \], (4.22)
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where \( J^+_\pm \) are respectively the future and past 2-sphere limits of null infinity \( J^+ \). In the following we will be interested in \( Q_{\xi}^{bc}(J^+_+) \), which is what we call “future charges”. Timelike infinity hyperboloid \( H \) reaches \( J^+_+ \) in the \( \rho \to \infty \) limit.

### 4.2 Charges

Since the contributions to the Lee-Wald symplectic form from timelike infinity vanishes, it follows that “future charges” can be computed on any two-dimensional topologically-spherical surface surrounding the “sources” at timelike infinity. To keep the notation simple, we denote them by simply \( Q_{\xi}^{\text{loc}}(J^+_+) \), instead of \( Q_{\xi}^{bc}(J^+_+) \).

Motivated by the corresponding expressions at spatial infinity [46], we propose expressions for supertranslation and Lorentz charges at timelike infinity and show appropriate conservation properties. We do not present a first principal derivation for these expressions. Such a derivation can be given, for example, by relating the expressions below to the corresponding expressions to null infinity, but such a calculation is not attempted in this work.

We begin by observing some elementary properties of the \( 1/\tau \) expansion of the Weyl tensor projected on \( \tau = \text{constant} \) hypersurface. In four spacetime dimensions, the Weyl tensor expressed in terms of the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar takes the form,

\[
W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (g_{\alpha\mu}R_{\beta\nu} + R_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu}R_{\beta\mu} - R_{\alpha\nu}g_{\beta\mu}) + \frac{R}{6} (g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu}g_{\beta\mu}).
\] (4.23)

Let \((\tau, \phi^a)\) be the four-dimensional spacetime coordinates associated to the 3+1 split. Then, for a general spacetime coordinates \( x^\mu = x^\mu(\tau, \phi^a) \) we define

\[
e^\mu_a = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \phi^a}.
\] (4.24)

The vectors \( e^\mu_a \) with \( \{a = 1, 2, 3\} \) are tangent to \( \tau = \text{constant} \) hypersurface. The projected electric part of the Weyl tensor on \( \tau = \text{constant} \) hypersurface is defined as,

\[
E_{ab} = W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} e^\alpha_a n^\beta e^\mu_b n^\nu.
\] (4.25)

For vacuum spacetimes, with \( R_{\alpha\beta} = 0 = R \), Gauss-Codazzi equations give,

\[
E_{ab} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} e^\alpha_a n^\beta e^\mu_b n^\nu = -\mathcal{L}_n K_{ab} + K_{ac} K^c_b + N^{-1} D_a D_b N,
\] (4.26)

where \( \mathcal{L}_n \) is the Lie-derivative with respect to the unit normal \( n^\mu \).

Given the expansions for the extrinsic curvature components and the lapse function \( N \) in powers of \( 1/\tau \), we can obtain the expansion of the electric part of the Weyl tensor. A calculation gives,

\[
E_{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{\tau} E_{ab}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} E_{ab}^{(2)} + \cdots,
\] (4.27)

where the zeroth order expansion coefficient identically vanishes and the first order expansion coefficient is,

\[
E_{ab}^{(1)} = \sigma_{ab} - \sigma h_{ab}^{(0)}.
\] (4.28)
The first order electric part of the Weyl tensor satisfies the following properties on $H$,

\[
E_{ab}^{(1)} = E_{ba}^{(1)}, \quad \text{symmetric} \tag{4.29}
\]
\[
E_{a}^{(1)a} = \Box \sigma - 3\sigma = 0, \quad \text{traceless} \tag{4.30}
\]
\[
D_b E_{a}^{(1)b} = 0, \quad \text{divergence-free} \tag{4.31}
\]

upon using the first order equations of motion. It then follows that for conformal Killing vectors $\xi^a$ on $H$, $E_{ab}^{(1)} \xi^a$ is a conserved current. The four translations induce four conformal Killing vectors $\xi^a = D^n \omega$ on $H$ (recall when $\omega$ represents a translation for $\omega_{ab} - h_{ab}^{(0)} \omega = 0$), and this conserved current can be used to construct “future charges” $\text{[29, 30]}$, 

\[
Q_\xi = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_C \sqrt{q} \, d^2 x \, E_{ab}^{(1)} \xi^a r^b \tag{4.32}
\]

where $C$ is a two dimensional topologically-spherical surface surrounding sources on $H$. The induced metric on $C$ is $q_{ab}$ and $r^a$ is the unit outward normal to $C$ in $H$. These charges are “conserved” in the sense that the integral can be done on any topologically-spherical surface $C$ of $H$ surrounding the sources, and the answer is independent of the choice of $C$.

Clearly for supertranslations, such a construction does not work as $D^n \omega$ is not a conformal Killing vector on $H$. Fortunately, a slight modification of this construction works $\text{[46]}$. We have,

\[
E_{ab}^{(1)} \xi^a = E_{ab}^{(1)} \omega^a = \sigma_{ab} \omega^a - \sigma \omega_b. \tag{4.33}
\]

Next consider $2D^n (\omega_{[a} \sigma_{b]})$ for translations $\omega_{ab} - h_{ab}^{(0)} \omega = 0$, i.e.,

\[
2D^n (\omega_{[a} \sigma_{b]}) = D^a (\omega_{a} \sigma_{b}) - D^a (\omega_{b} \sigma_{a}) = 3\omega \sigma_b + \omega_a \sigma_b - \omega_b \sigma_a - \omega_b (3\sigma) \tag{4.34}
\]
\[
= 3\omega \sigma_b + \omega_a \sigma_b - \omega_b \sigma - 3\omega_b \sigma \tag{4.35}
\]
\[
= \sigma_{ab} \omega^a + 2\omega_b - 3\sigma \omega_b. \tag{4.36}
\]

Hence, for translations,

\[
E_{ab}^{(1)} \xi^a - 2D^a (\omega_{[a} \sigma_{b]}) = 2(\sigma \omega_b - \omega \sigma_b). \tag{4.37}
\]

The key point is that the term $D^a (\omega_{[a} \sigma_{b]}) \xi^a r^b$ when integrated over $C$ only contributes a total divergence and therefore is zero. Hence,

\[
\int_C \sqrt{q} \, d^2 x \left( E_{ab}^{(1)} \xi^a - 2D^a (\omega_{[a} \sigma_{b]}) \right) \xi^a r^b = \int_C \sqrt{q} \, d^2 x \, E_{ab}^{(1)} \xi^a r^b = 2 \int_C \sqrt{q} \, d^2 x \, (\sigma \omega_b - \omega \sigma_b) r^b. \tag{4.38}
\]

This last expression admits generalisation for supertranslations. The current $(\sigma \omega_b - \omega \sigma_b)$ is conserved for supertranslations as well, since $(\Box - 3) \omega = 0$, implying

\[
D^b (\sigma \omega_b - \omega \sigma_b) = 0. \tag{4.39}
\]

Hence, we can define a charge for supertranslation $\omega$ as

\[
Q_\omega = -\frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_C \sqrt{q} \, d^2 x \, (\sigma \omega_b - \omega \sigma_b) r^b. \tag{4.40}
\]

For translations this expression reduces to the previous expressions $\text{[29, 30]}$. 
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Expression for Lorentz charges is relatively easier to propose. One of the second order equation of motion, namely eq. (3.14), automatically gives a conserved tensor,

\[ J_{ab} = -h_{ab}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} k^c_{a} k^{bc} + h_{ab}^{(0)} \left( -\frac{1}{8} k_{cd} k^{cd} + 8a^2 - k_{cd} D^c D^d \sigma - D_c \sigma D^c \right) \]  

(4.41)

with \( D^a J_{ab} = 0 \). For a Killing vector \( \xi^a \) on \( \mathcal{H} \) representing a four-dimensional rotation or boost we define,

\[ Q_\xi = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_C \sqrt{-g} d^2 x J_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b. \]  

(4.42)

These charges match with \([29, 30]\) upon setting \( k_{ab} = 0 \) and noting the fact that the second order magnetic part of the Weyl tensor is related to \( J_{ab} \) by the asymptotic symmetries. Supertranslation charges defined in eq. (4.40) can also be written as

\[ Q_\omega = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_C d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left( \sigma a \omega - \sigma \omega a \right) r^a = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3 x \partial_a \left[ \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left( \sigma a \omega - \sigma \omega a \right) \right], \]  

(4.44)

where \( V \) is the part of \( \mathcal{H} \) surrounded by \( C \). Now, we wish to compute the Poisson bracket between Lorentz charges and supertranslation charges. Identifying \( \chi = \xi \) (a Lorentz transformation) and \( \chi' = \omega \) (a supertranslation), eq. (4.43) becomes,

\[ \{ Q_\xi, Q_\omega \} = -\delta_\chi Q_\omega. \]  

(4.45)

Using which the Poisson bracket becomes,

\[
\{ Q_\xi, Q_\omega \} = -\frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3 x \partial_a \left\{ \delta_\xi \left[ \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left( \sigma a \omega - \sigma \omega a \right) \right] \right\} \\
= -\frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3 x \partial_a \left[ \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left\{ \xi^b D_b \left( \sigma a \omega - \sigma \omega a \right) - \left( \sigma^b \omega - \sigma \omega^b \right) D_b \xi^a \right\} \right] \\
= -\frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3 x \partial_a \left[ \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left\{ \sigma^a \left( \xi^b D_b \omega \right) + \omega \xi^b D_b \sigma^a - \left( \xi^b D_b \sigma \right) \omega - \sigma \left( \xi^b D_b \omega \right) \right\} - \left( \sigma^b \omega - \sigma \omega^b \right) \right] D_b \xi^a \right\} \\
= \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3 x \partial_a \left[ \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left\{ \sigma^a \left( -\xi \cdot D_b \omega \right) - \sigma \left( -\xi \cdot D_b \omega + \omega \cdot D_a \xi \right) \right\} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3 x \partial_a \left[ \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left\{ \sigma^a \left( \mathcal{L}_\xi \omega \right) - \sigma \mathcal{D}^a \left( \mathcal{L}_\xi \omega \right) \right\} \right] \\
= -\frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3 x \partial_a \left[ \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left\{ \sigma^a \left( \mathcal{L}_\xi \omega \right) - \sigma \mathcal{D}^a \left( \mathcal{L}_\xi \omega \right) \right\} \right]
\]
\[
Q_\omega + \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3x \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} D_\alpha \left[ \omega \xi^b D_\sigma \sigma^a - (\xi^b D_\sigma^a) \omega^a - \sigma^b \omega D_\sigma \xi^a \right] = Q_\omega' + \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3x \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left[ \omega \xi^b D_\sigma \sigma^a - (\xi^b D_\sigma^a) \nabla \omega - \sigma^b \omega D_\sigma \xi^a \right] \\
= Q_\omega + \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3x \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left[ \omega \xi^b [D_\alpha, D_\beta] \sigma^a + 3 \omega \xi^b \sigma_b - 3 (\xi^b D_\sigma^a) \omega - \sigma^b \omega [D_\alpha, D_\beta] \xi^a \right] \\
= Q_\omega + \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_V d^3x \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left[ \omega \xi^b R^{(0)}_{ab} \sigma^a + 3 \omega \xi^b \sigma_b - 3 (\xi^b D_\sigma^a) \omega - \sigma^b \omega R^{(0)}_{ab} \xi^a \right] \\
= Q_\omega',
\] (4.46)

where \( \omega' = \mathcal{L}_{-\xi} \omega \). We have used the result, \( \delta \xi \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} = (1/2) \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} h^{(0)}_{ab} \delta \xi h^{(0)}_{ab} = 0 \).

One could attempt the calculation the other way round, i.e., identifying \( \chi' = \xi \) (a Lorentz transformation) and \( \chi = \omega \) (a supertranslation). That calculation is more involved. We expect to recover \( Q_\omega' \) possibly with terms that only contribute to a total divergence on \( C \). At spatial infinity the technology for identifying total divergence on the cuts of de Sitter hyperboloid is fairly well developed, see e.g., [49]; at timelike infinity some further technical work is required.

5 The Schwarzschild solution near timelike infinity

In this section, we write the Schwarzschild solution near timelike infinity in the Beig-Schmidt form eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7). The Schwarzschild metric in standard static coordinates takes the form

\[
ds^2 = - \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) dt^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2,
\] (5.1)

where \( d\Omega^2 = (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \) is the round metric on the unit two-sphere. We begin by introducing \((\tau_0, \rho_0)\) coordinates defined as follows:

\[
t = \tau_0 \sqrt{1 + \rho_0^2}, \\
r = \rho_0 \tau_0.
\] (5.2) (5.3)

These coordinates do not bring the Schwarzschild solution near timelike infinity in the Beig-Schmidt form as in eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7). A chain of further coordinate transformations outlined in appendix A are required (as expected). In coordinates \((\tau_0, \rho_0)\) the non-zero components of the metric takes the form to leading order in \(1/\tau_0\):

\[
g_{\tau_0\tau_0} = -1 + (2GM) \left( \rho_0^{-1} + 2\rho_0 \right) \frac{1}{\tau_0} + \mathcal{O}(\tau_0^{-2})
\] (5.4)
\[
g_{\rho_0\rho_0} = 4GM + \mathcal{O}(\tau_0^{-1})
\] (5.5)
\[
g_{\rho_0\rho_0} = \tau_0^2 \left( 1 + \rho_0^2 \right)^{-1} + (2GM)(1 + \rho_0^2)^{-1} \left( \rho_0^{-1} + 2\rho_0 \right) \tau_0 + \mathcal{O}(1)
\] (5.6)
\[
g_{\theta\theta} = \rho_0^2 \tau_0^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(1)
\] (5.7)
\[
g_{\phi\phi} = \rho_0^2 \tau_0^{-2} \sin^2 \theta + \mathcal{O}(1).
\] (5.8)
Since \( g_{00} \) term does not fall-off as \( \mathcal{O}(\tau_0^{-1}) \), the metric is not in the Beig-Schmidt form at \( \mathcal{O}(\tau_0^{-1}) \). To fix this, following appendix A we do the transformation,

\[
\rho_0 = \rho_1 + \frac{G(\rho_1)}{\tau_1}, \tag{5.9}
\]

\[
G(\rho_1) = 4GM(1 + \rho_1^2), \tag{5.10}
\]

\[
\tau_0 = \tau_1. \tag{5.11}
\]

In the new coordinates \((\tau_1, \rho_1)\) the non-zero metric components take the form,

\[
g_{\tau_1 \tau_1} = -1 + (2GM) \left( \rho_1^{-1} + 2\rho_1 \right) \frac{1}{\tau_1} + \mathcal{O}(\tau_1^{-2}) \tag{5.12}
\]

\[
g_{\rho_1 \tau_1} = \mathcal{O}(\tau_1^{-1}) \tag{5.13}
\]

\[
g_{\rho_1 \rho_1} = \rho_1^2(1 + \rho_1^2)^{-1} + (2GM)(1 + \rho_1^2)^{-1} \left( \rho_1^{-1} + 6\rho_1 \right) \tau_1 + \mathcal{O}(1) \tag{5.14}
\]

\[
g_{\theta \theta} = \rho_1^2 \tau_1^2 + 8GM\rho_1(1 + \rho_1^2)\tau_1 + \mathcal{O}(1) \tag{5.15}
\]

\[
g_{\varphi \varphi} = \rho_1^2 \tau_1^2 \sin^2 \theta + 8GM\rho_1(1 + \rho_1^2) \sin^2 \theta \tau_1 + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{5.16}
\]

The above metric is in the Beig-Schmidt form, though it does not satisfy our boundary condition \( k = 0 \). To bring the metric in the requisite form, we do a general supertranslation and call the final coordinates \((\tau, \rho)\):

\[
\tau_1 = \tau - F(\rho), \tag{5.17}
\]

\[
\rho_1 = \rho + \frac{1 + \rho^2}{\tau} \partial_\rho F(\rho), \tag{5.18}
\]

\[
F(\rho) = -GM \left( \rho + 2\sqrt{1 + \rho^2 \sinh^{-1} \rho} \right). \tag{5.19}
\]

\( F(\rho) \) does not satisfy \( \Box F = 3F \). The resulting metric is in the requisite Beig-Schmidt form at first order in the expansion in inverse powers of \( \tau \), and

\[
h^{(1)}_{ab} = -2\sigma h^{(0)}_{ab}. \tag{5.20}
\]

That is, not only \( k = 0 \), but the full \( k_{ab} \) is zero. The field \( \sigma \) takes the value,

\[
\sigma = -(GM) \left( \rho^{-1} + 2\rho \right), \quad \Box \sigma = 3\sigma. \tag{5.21}
\]

From these transformations, we see that as \( \tau \) goes to \( \infty \) for fixed \( r, \rho \) goes to 0. Thus, the horizon \( r = 2GM \) intersects the timelike infinity hyperboloid \( \mathcal{H} \) at the origin \( \rho = 0 \). Note that the function \( \sigma \) is singular at \( \rho = 0 \).

The four functions satisfying

\[
D_a D_b \omega - h^{(0)}_{ab} \omega = 0, \tag{5.22}
\]

are \( \{ \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}, \rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi, \rho \sin \theta \cos \phi \} \) representing respectively, the time-translation and three-spatial translations. The charge integral

\[
Q_\omega = -\frac{1}{4\pi G} \oint_C \sqrt{q} \, d^2 x \, (\sigma \omega_b - \omega \sigma_b) r^b, \tag{5.23}
\]

on \( \rho = \text{constant spherical surface} \) \( C \) for time-translation \( \omega = \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \) gives \( M \).
Figure 2. Horizon $H^+$ intersecting the timelike infinity hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}$. In the limit $\tau \to \infty$ the intersection shrinks to a point.

6 Some final remarks

In the previous section we saw that for the Schwarzschild solution the fields $\sigma$ and $h^{(1)}_{ab} = -2\sigma h^{(0)}_{ab}$ are singular at $\rho = 0$. The singularity is such that the charge integral is finite even on a $\rho = \epsilon$ surface $C$. Thus, for the region $r > 2GM$ of the Schwarzschild solution, timelike infinity is the hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}$ minus the origin. This indicates that for a system composed of individually bound systems, gravitationally unbound relative to each other, timelike infinity for the spacetime region describing outside the world-tubes of these system can be taken to be $\mathcal{H}$ minus one point each for the individually bound system. These points act as sources for the charge integrals.

For simplicity we focus on only one bound system, represented as a black hole, and take the horizon to intersect the timelike infinity hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}$ at the origin $\rho = 0$. We excise the point $\rho = 0$: $i^+ = \mathcal{H}\{\rho = 0\}$. The horizon is a blow up of the point $\rho = 0$ as schematically shown in figure 2. Having excised the point $\rho = 0$, the fields are all smooth at timelike infinity. The considerations of section 4 can be carried over. The first variation of the Iyer-Wald charges at timelike infinity vanishes

$$\delta Q_\xi (i^+) = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

This is schematically shown in figure 3.

Let us comment on the general form of the solutions for $\omega$, $\sigma$ and relate it to the Green’s function discussion of [53]. The supertranslation function $\omega$ and the field $\sigma$ both satisfy the equation $(\Box - 3)f = 0$. Expanding in spherical harmonics, we have

$$f(\rho, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} f_l(\rho)Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi). \quad (6.2)$$

The equation for functions $f_l(\rho)$ admits two classes of solutions. The first set takes the form,

$$f_l^{(1)}(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{c_l} {\binom{l - 1}{l} 2} 1 \left( \frac{3 + l - 2 + l - \rho^2}{2} \right), \quad (6.3)$$
Figure 3. For a black hole formed by gravitational collapse, components of the boundary are \( J^- \), \( J^+ \), \( H^+ \) and the points at infinity \( i^- \), \( i^0 \), and \( i^+ \). Since the first variation of global charges is invariant under local deformations of the Cauchy surface \( \Sigma \), one can deform \( \Sigma \) to \( J^+ \cup i^+ \cup H^+ \) in the far future. Then, \( \delta Q_\xi(\Sigma) = \delta Q_\xi(J^+) + \delta Q_\xi(i^+) + \delta Q_\xi(H^+) \). With our boundary conditions \( \delta Q_\xi(i^+) = 0 \).

where \( \mathbf{2F1} \) is the standard hypergeometric function where \( c_l \) is a convenient normalisation. In the \( \rho \rightarrow 0 \) limit these solutions go as \( f_1^{(I)}(\rho) \sim \frac{1}{c_l} \rho^l \). In the \( \rho \rightarrow \infty \) limit they behave as \( f_1^{(I)}(\rho) \sim \rho \). These functions correspond to supertranslations:

\[
\omega(\rho, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} c_{lm} f_1^{(I)}(\rho) Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi).
\]

(6.4)

This can be seen as follows. For Minkowski space, in outgoing coordinates \( (u,r,\theta,\varphi) = (t-\tau, r, \theta, \varphi) \), the time-translation takes the form,

\[
\partial_u = \partial_t = \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} \partial_\tau + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \partial_\rho = \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \partial_\tau - \frac{n \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}}{\tau} \partial_\rho.
\]

(6.5)

In the \( \tau \rightarrow \infty \) limit and then \( \rho \rightarrow \infty \) limit, \( \partial_u \sim \rho \partial_\rho \). Thus the expected behaviour of \( f(\theta, \varphi) \partial_u \) is indeed the one captured by the supertranslations eq. (2.8)–eq. (2.9) with \( \omega(\rho, \theta, \varphi) \) given in eq. (6.4). A general null infinity supertranslation \( f(\theta, \varphi) \partial_u \) correspond to

\[
f(\theta, \varphi) \partial_u = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} c_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) \partial_u.
\]

(6.6)
This construction, from the function \( f(\theta, \phi) \) to \( \omega(\rho, \theta, \phi) \) via eq. (6.4), is the same as the Green’s function construction of reference [53].\(^7\)

The second independent set of solutions for the functions \( f_l(\rho) \) takes the form

\[
f_l^{(II)}(\rho) = \rho^{l-1} {_2F_1} \left(-1 + \frac{l}{2}, 1 - \frac{l}{2}; l; -\rho^2 \right).
\]

(6.7)

In the \( \rho \to 0 \) limit these solutions go as \( f_l^{(II)}(\rho) \sim \rho^{l-1} \). Explicitly first few of these functions are

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_0^{(II)}(\rho) &= \rho^{-1} + 2\rho, \\
    f_1^{(II)}(\rho) &= \rho^{-2}(1 - 2\rho^2)\sqrt{1 + \rho^2}, \\
    f_2^{(II)}(\rho) &= \rho^{-3},
\end{align*}
\]

(6.8)

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_3^{(II)}(\rho) &= \rho^{-4}, \\
    f_4^{(II)}(\rho) &= \rho^{-5}, \\
    f_5^{(II)}(\rho) &= \rho^{-6}, \\
    \text{etc.}
\end{align*}
\]

For \( l > 2 \), in the \( \rho \to \infty \) limit they behave as \( f_l^{(II)}(\rho) \sim \text{const.} \). Our \( \sigma \) for the Schwarzschild solution matches with \( f_0^{(II)}(\rho) \). Motivated by the corresponding discussion at spatial infinity, it is natural to speculate that the most general \( \sigma \) consists of the linear sum of the functions

\[
\sigma(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} d_{lm} f_l^{(II)}(\rho) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi).
\]

(6.11)

Note that such a \( \sigma \) is singular at \( \rho = 0 \).

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we have initiated the study of supertranslations at timelike infinity. Largely developing on the previous works at spatial infinity, we have proposed a definition of asymptotic flatness at timelike infinity in four spacetime dimensions. We presented a thorough study of the asymptotic equations of motion and the action of supertranslations on asymptotic fields. We showed that the Lee-Wald symplectic form \( \Omega(g, \delta g, \delta_2 g) \) does not get contributions from the future timelike infinity with our boundary conditions. As a result, the “future charges” can be computed on any two-dimensional surface surrounding the sources at timelike infinity. We presented expressions for supertranslation and Lorentz charges. For general spacetimes we expect

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{future charges} & \xrightarrow{u \to +\infty} \text{Bondi charges at } \mathcal{J}^+ \quad \xrightarrow{u \to -\infty} \text{spatial infinity charges}.
\end{align*}
\]

(7.1)

Whether radiative spacetimes with non-trivial supertranslation charges exist that satisfy this hierarchy is open to argument [9].

Our work offers several opportunities for future research. We list a few directions.

It is very much desirable to understand the relation between timelike infinity and null infinity. We expect our charge expressions can be matched with appropriate expressions for supertranslation and Lorentz charges at \( \mathcal{J}_+^+ \) (the future endpoint of the future null infinity) following [23, 26].

\(^{7}\)A proof can be explicitly written using the addition theorem of spherical harmonics.
Can our boundary conditions we used to give a prescription for relating supertranslations at future null infinity to supertranslations at the horizon, thereby making the general idea mentioned in section 7 of [38] more precise? Note that this viewpoint differs from that of [36] where global Bondi coordinates were used to link generators at the past null infinity $J^-$ and the future horizon $H^+$.

Finally, there are other classes of transformations, e.g., logarithmic translations, superrotations, more general spi-supertranslations etc. that we have not considered in this work. One would like to understand their action/role at timelike infinity. For much of our non-linear analysis we used the boundary condition $k = 0$. Is it desirable to relax this condition?

We hope to return to some of these problems in our future work.
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A Asymptotic form of the metric

We begin by considering a general class of spacetimes admitting an expansion at timelike infinity of the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \ell_{\mu \nu}^{(n)} \left( \frac{x^\sigma}{\tau} \right) \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \cdots ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

where

$$\tau^2 = -\eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

and where $x^\mu$ are a set of Cartesian coordinates on flat spacetime at infinity. This class of the spacetimes can be put in a more convenient form as in eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7). In this appendix we do so explicitly, following Beig and Schmidt [41]. The form eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7) is our starting point for defining asymptotically flat spacetimes at timelike infinity.

The ten functions in $\ell_{\mu \nu}^{(n)}$ at any given order $n$ are functions of the dimensionless coordinate $(x^\sigma/\tau)$. To avoid cumbersome notation, henceforth in all the expressions we shall simply write $\ell_{\mu \nu}^{(n)}$ without mentioning its dependence on $(x^\sigma/\tau)$.

Instead of the Cartesian coordinates $x^\mu$, it is more convenient to use $(\tau, \phi^a)$ as a new set of coordinates, with $\tau$ defined in eq. (A.2) and $\phi^a$ are coordinates on hyperboloid $H$. For any set of $\phi^a$ we define functions $\omega^\mu(\phi^a)$, such that,

$$\omega^\mu(\phi^a) = \frac{x^\mu}{\tau} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)
Using this relation we get,

\[ dx^\mu = \omega^\mu d\tau + \tau (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) d\phi^\nu. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)\]

Inserting the above equation in eq. (A.1) we obtain the following expression for the line element,

\[
ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \left[ \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \cdots \right] dx^\mu dx^\nu
\]
\[
= \left[ \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \cdots \right] (\omega^\mu d\tau + \tau (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) d\phi^\nu) \left( \omega^\nu d\tau + \tau (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) d\phi^b \right)
\]
\[
= \left[ \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \cdots \right] \times \left[ \omega^\mu \omega^\nu d\tau^2 + \tau \omega^\nu (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) d\phi^\nu d\tau + \tau \omega^\mu (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) d\phi^\nu d\tau + \tau^2 (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) d\phi^\nu d\phi^b \right]
\]
\[
= - \left[ -\eta_{\mu\nu} \omega^\mu \omega^\nu - \sum_{n=1}^{m} \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \cdots \right] d\tau^2
\]
\[
+ 2 \tau \left[ \eta_{\mu\nu} \omega^\mu (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \omega^\mu (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \cdots \right] d\tau d\phi^a
\]
\[
+ \tau^2 \left[ \eta_{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \cdots \right] d\phi^a d\phi^b. \hspace{1cm} (A.5)\]

Using \( \eta_{\mu\nu} \omega^\mu \omega^\nu = -1 \) and \( \eta_{\mu\nu} \omega^\mu (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu) = (1/2) \partial_\mu (\omega_\mu \omega^\nu) = 0 \), along with the following definitions,

\[
\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(\phi^c) \equiv -\ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \omega^\mu \omega^\nu, \hspace{1cm} (A.6)\]
\[
A_a^{(n)}(\phi^c) \equiv \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \omega^\mu (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu), \hspace{1cm} (A.7)\]
\[
h_a^{(n)}(\phi^c) \equiv \ell_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu), \hspace{1cm} (A.8)\]
\[
h_a^{(0)}(\phi^c) \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \omega^\nu) (\partial_\nu \omega^\nu), \hspace{1cm} (A.9)\]

the asymptotic form of the line element at timelike infinity takes the form,

\[
ds^2 = - \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(\phi^c)}{\tau^n} + O \left( \tau^{-m-1} \right) \right] d\tau^2 + 2 \tau \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{A_a^{(n)}(\phi^c)}{\tau^n} + O \left( \tau^{-m-1} \right) \right] d\tau d\phi^a
\]
\[
+ \tau^2 \left[ h_a^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{h_a^{(n)}(\phi^c)}{\tau^n} + O \left( \tau^{-m-1} \right) \right] d\phi^a d\phi^b, \hspace{1cm} (A.10)\]
\[
= - \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\sigma^{(n)}(\phi^c)}{\tau^n} + O \left( \tau^{-m-1} \right) \right]^2 d\tau^2 + 2 \tau \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{A_a^{(n)}(\phi^c)}{\tau^n} + O \left( \tau^{-m-1} \right) \right] d\tau d\phi^a
\]
\[
+ \tau^2 \left[ h_a^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{h_a^{(n)}(\phi^c)}{\tau^n} + O \left( \tau^{-m-1} \right) \right] d\phi^a d\phi^b. \hspace{1cm} (A.11)\]

Here, \( \sigma^{(n)}(\phi^c) \) are functions of \( \tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(\phi^c) \), e.g., \( \sigma^{(1)} = (\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}/2) \).
Next we show that there exist a coordinate transformation that brings the metric in eq. (A.11) to a form where,

\[\sigma^{(n)}(\phi^c) = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2,\]  
(A.12)

\[A^{(n)}_a(\phi^c) = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.\]  
(A.13)

We achieve this order by order. At first order, we take

\[\phi^a = \bar{\phi}^a + \frac{G^{(1)a}(\bar{\phi}^b)}{\bar{\tau}},\]  
(A.14)

\[\tau = \bar{\tau}.\]  
(A.15)

This yields,

\[d\phi^a = d\bar{\phi}^a - \frac{G^{(1)a}(\bar{\phi}^b)}{\bar{\tau}^2} d\bar{\tau} + \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} \left( \partial_b G^{(1)a} \right) d\bar{\phi}^b,\]  
(A.16)

and

\[\sigma^{(n)}(\phi^c) = \sigma^{(n)}(\bar{\phi}^c) + \frac{G^{(1)\bar{a}}(\bar{\phi}^b)}{\bar{\tau}} \partial_a \sigma^{(n)} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}).\]  
(A.17)

In these new coordinates, line element eq. (A.11) takes the form (keeping track of all the first order terms),

\[ds^2 = - \left[ 1 + \frac{2\sigma^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}) \right] d\bar{\tau}^2 + 2\tau \left[ A^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c) + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}) \right] d\bar{\tau} d\bar{\phi}^a \]

\[+ \bar{\tau}^2 \left[ h^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{h^{(1)}_{ab}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}) \right] d\bar{\phi}^a d\bar{\phi}^b - 2\tau^2 \left[ h^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{h^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}) \right] \frac{G^{(1)a}}{\bar{\tau}^2} d\bar{\tau} d\bar{\phi}^b \]

\[+ 2\tau^2 \left[ h^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{h^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}) \right] \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} \left( \partial_c G^{(1)a} \right) d\bar{\phi}^c d\bar{\phi}^b \]

\[= - \left[ 1 + \frac{2\sigma^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}) \right] d\bar{\tau}^2 + 2 \left[ A^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c) - h^{(0)}_{ab} G^{(1)b} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-1}) \right] d\bar{\tau} d\bar{\phi}^a \]

\[+ \bar{\tau}^2 \left[ h^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{h^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + \frac{2}{\bar{\tau}} h^{(0)}_{cb} \left( \partial_b G^{(1)c} \right) + O(\bar{\tau}^{-2}) \right] d\bar{\phi}^a d\bar{\phi}^b.\]  
(A.18)

Thus setting,

\[A^{(1)}_a = h^{(0)}_{ab} G^{(1)b},\]  
(A.19)

the line element takes the requisite form at the first order in the inverse powers of \(\tau\).

Keeping track of the second order terms, we have

\[ds^2 = - \left[ 1 + \frac{2\sigma^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + \frac{(\sigma^1)^2 + 2\sigma^{(2)}_{\tau^2}}{\bar{\tau}^2} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-3}) \right] d\bar{\tau}^2 + 2\bar{\tau} \left[ A^{(2)}(\bar{\phi}^c) + O(\bar{\tau}^{-3}) \right] d\bar{\tau} d\bar{\phi}^a \]

\[+ \bar{\tau}^2 \left[ h^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{h^{(1)}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}} + \frac{h^{(2)}_{ab}(\bar{\phi}^c)}{\bar{\tau}^2} + O(\bar{\tau}^{-3}) \right] d\bar{\phi}^a d\bar{\phi}^b.\]  
(A.20)
The following coordinate transformation,
\[ \tau = \bar{\tau} + \frac{F^{(2)}(\phi^c)}{\bar{\tau}}, \tag{A.21} \]
\[ \bar{\phi}^a = \phi^a, \tag{A.22} \]
yields
\[ ds^2 = -\left[ 1 + \frac{2\sigma^{(1)}}{\tau} + \frac{(\sigma^{(1)})^2 + 2\sigma^{(2)}}{\tau^2} - \frac{2F^{(2)}}{\tau^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^3}\right) \right] d\bar{\tau}^2 + 2\bar{\tau} \left[ \frac{A^{(2)}_a - \partial_a F^{(2)}}{\tau^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^3}\right) \right] d\bar{\tau} d\phi^a \\
+ \bar{\tau}^2 \left[ h^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{h^{(1)}_{ab}}{\tau} + \frac{h^{(2)}_{ab}}{\tau^2} + \frac{2F^{(2)}}{\tau^2} h^{(0)}_{ab} + O\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^3}\right) \right] d\phi^a d\phi^b. \tag{A.23} \]

Thus, no \((1/\bar{\tau})\) term has been generated in the coefficient of the \(d\bar{\tau} d\phi^a\) term and hence the condition \(A^{(1)}_a = 0\) continues to hold. Furthermore, if we choose,
\[ 2F^{(2)} = 2\sigma^{(2)}, \tag{A.24} \]
then the \((1/\bar{\tau}^2)\) term in the coefficient of the \(d\bar{\tau}^2\) in the metric can be set to \((\sigma^{(1)})^2\). Thus the modified metric has only \([\sigma^{(1)}^2/\bar{\tau}^2]\) term in the coefficient of the \(d\bar{\tau}^2\) and no \((1/\bar{\tau})\) term in the coefficient of the \(d\bar{\tau} d\phi^a\). We can now use,
\[ \phi^a = \bar{\phi}^a + \frac{G^{(2)}a(\bar{\phi}^b)}{\tau^2}, \tag{A.25} \]
\[ \tau = \bar{\tau}, \tag{A.26} \]
and choose the function \(G^{(2)}a(\bar{\phi}^b)\), such that \(A^{(2)}_a h^{(0)ab} = G^{(2)b}\) and hence the \((1/\bar{\tau}^2)\) term in the coefficient of the \(d\bar{\tau} d\phi^a\) can be made to vanishes. Next, setting
\[ \tau = \bar{\tau} + \frac{F^{(3)}(\phi^c)}{\tau^2} \tag{A.27} \]
we can eliminate \((1/\bar{\tau}^3)\) term in the coefficient of the \(d\bar{\tau}^2\).

Proceeding in an identical manner, we can eliminate all terms in the coefficient of \(d\bar{\tau} d\phi^a\) and all terms beyond \(\bar{\tau}^{-2}\) in the coefficient of \(d\bar{\tau}^2\). Thus, metric eq. (A.11) can be reduced to the one satisfying conditions eq. (A.12)–eq. (A.13). Thereby, we arrive at our final form eq. (2.5)–eq. (2.7).

**B Action of supertranslation on asymptotic fields**

We apply the following transformation,
\[ \tau = \bar{\tau} - \omega(\bar{\phi}^a) + \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} F^{(2)}(\bar{\phi}^a) + O\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^2}\right), \tag{B.1} \]
\[ \phi^a = \bar{\phi}^a + \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} h^{(0)ab} \partial_b \omega(\bar{\phi}^c) + \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^2} G^{(2) a}(\bar{\phi}^c) + O\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^3}\right). \tag{B.2} \]
We obtain,
\[
d\tau = \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\tau^2} F^{(2)} + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^3} \right) \right] d\tau + \left[ -\partial_a \omega + \frac{1}{\tau} \partial_a F^{(2)} + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) \right] d\bar{\phi}^a, \quad (B.3)
\]
\[
d\phi^a = \left[ \delta^a_b + \frac{1}{\tau} \partial_b \left( h^{(0)ab} \partial_b \phi \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left( \partial_a G^{(2)a} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^3} \right) \right] d\bar{\phi}^c + \left[ -\frac{1}{\tau^2} \left( h^{(0)ab} \partial_b \phi \right) - \frac{2}{\tau^3} G^{(2)a} + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^4} \right) \right] d\bar{\tau}. \quad (B.4)
\]

The following relations are also obtained,
\[
\sigma(\phi^a) = \sigma(\bar{\phi}^a) + \frac{1}{\tau} h^{(0)ab} \partial_b \phi \partial_a \sigma + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right), \quad (B.5)
\]
\[
h^{(0)}_{ab}(\phi^c) = h^{(0)}_{ab}(\bar{\phi}^c) + \frac{1}{\tau} h^{(0)cd} \partial_d \omega \left( \partial_a h^{(0)}_{ab} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left[ G^{(2) c} \left( \partial_c h^{(0)}_{ab} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( h^{(0)}_{cp} \partial_p \phi \right) \left( h^{(0)}_{qr} \partial_q \phi \right) \partial_c \partial_d h^{(0)}_{ab} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^3} \right), \quad (B.6)
\]
\[
h^{(1)}_{ab}(\phi^c) = h^{(1)}_{ab}(\bar{\phi}^c) + \frac{1}{\tau} h^{(0)cd} \partial_d \omega \left( \partial_a h^{(1)}_{ab} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right). \quad (B.7)
\]

Inserting these expressions in the full metric we can read the changes in the first order fields,
\[
\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \quad (B.8)
\]
\[
h^{(1)}_{ab} \rightarrow h^{(1)}_{ab} - 2\omega h^{(0)}_{ab} + h^{(0)cd} \partial_d \omega \left( \partial_a h^{(0)}_{ab} \right) + h^{(0)}_{ac} \partial_a \left( h^{(0)pq} \partial_q \phi \right) + h^{(0)}_{pa} \partial_a \left( h^{(0)pq} \partial_q \phi \right). \quad (B.9)
\]

This last expression can be more conveniently written as,
\[
h^{(1)}_{ab} \rightarrow h^{(1)}_{ab} + 2D_a D_b \omega - 2\omega h^{(0)}_{ab}. \quad (B.10)
\]

In order to preserve the original form of the metric, we must choose,
\[
F^{(2)} = \omega + h^{(0)ab} \partial_b \phi \partial_a \sigma - \frac{1}{2} h^{(0)}_{ab} \left( h^{(0)ac} \partial_c \omega \right) \left( h^{(0)bd} \partial_d \omega \right), \quad (B.11)
\]
\[
2G^{(2)}_a = -\partial_a F^{(2)} + 2\sigma \partial_a \omega - \left( \partial_b \omega \right) \partial_a \left( h^{(0)bp} \partial_p \phi \right) + 2\omega \partial_a \omega - h^{(1)}_{ab} \partial_a \omega - \partial_a \omega \partial^a \omega \left( \partial_b h^{(0)}_{ab} \right). \quad (B.12)
\]

This results in the transformation for \(h^{(2)}_{ab}\) as,
\[
h^{(2)}_{ab} \rightarrow h^{(2)}_{ab} - D_a \omega D_b \omega + \left[ -\omega h^{(1)}_{ab} + D^c \omega D_c h^{(1)}_{ab} + 2h^{(1)c} D_b D_c \omega \right] + \left( 2F^{(2)} + \omega^2 \right) h^{(0)}_{ab} + 2D_a G^{(2)}_b - 4\omega D_a D_b \omega + D_a D^c \omega D_b \omega + 2D_a D^c \omega D^d \omega \Gamma^{(0)}_{(bd)c} + D^c \omega D^d \omega \left( D_{(a} \Gamma^{(0)}_{(bc)d)} + \Gamma^{(0)p} \Gamma^{(0)}_{(pq)} \right) - \Gamma^{(0)}_{(ac) \Gamma^{(0)}_{(bd)p}}, \quad (B.13)
\]

where we have used the following notation
\[
D_c \Gamma^{(0)}_{pq} := \partial_c \Gamma^{(0)}_{pq} - \Gamma^{(0)}_{cp} \Gamma^{(0)}_{qr} - \Gamma^{(0)}_{cq} \Gamma^{(0)}_{pr} - \Gamma^{(0)}_{cr} \Gamma^{(0)}_{pq}, \quad (B.14)
\]
and the following results

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{ab}^{(0)} + \Gamma_{ac}^{(0)} &= \partial_a h_{pa}^{(0)}, \\
\Gamma_{ac}^{(0)} + \Gamma_{cd}^{(0)} &= \partial_d h_{cd}^{(0)}, \\
\partial_a \partial_a h^{(0),cp} + h^{(0)cp} \partial_a \partial_p h^{(0)} &= D_a D^c \omega - D^d \omega \Gamma_{ad}^{(0)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

Next we simplify eq. (B.13). Defining \( \phi_a = D_a \phi \), for any scalar function \( \phi \), we obtain,

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_\omega h_{ab}^{(2)} &= -\omega_i \omega_b - \omega k_{ab} + 2 \sigma \omega h_{ab}^{(0)} + \omega^c D_c k_{ab} + \omega_b^c k_{ac} + \omega_a^c k_{bc} - 2 \sigma c \omega^c h_{ab}^{(0)} - 4 \sigma c \omega^a b \\
&+ (2 \sigma + 2 \omega_i e - \omega_i \omega_b^c + \omega_b^c) h_{ab}^{(0)} - 4 \omega_i \omega_a b + \omega_b^c \omega_a c + \omega_a^c \omega^d \Gamma_{bcd}^{(0)} + \omega_b^c \omega^d \Gamma_{acd}^{(0)} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} (D_a D_a + D_b D_b) F^{(2)} + \sigma a \omega_b + 2 \sigma a \omega_a + \sigma_b \omega_a \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_a h_{bc} + \partial_a \omega b - \frac{1}{2} k_{bc} \omega_a - 2 \sigma c \omega a - \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_a k_{ac}) + \partial_a \omega a - \frac{1}{2} k_{ac} \omega_b \\
&- \omega a c \omega_b^c - \frac{1}{2} \omega c (D_a D_b + D_b D_a) \omega c + \omega a c \omega b + 2 \omega a b \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \omega^d \omega^c (D_b \Gamma_{bap}^{(0)} + D_a \Gamma_{app}^{(0)}) - \omega_a \omega_b \omega^d \Gamma_{bcd}^{(0)} - \omega_b \omega^d \Gamma_{acd}^{(0)} \\
&+ \omega^d \omega^c \partial_c (\Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(ba)}^{(0)}) - \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(ba)}^{(0)} - \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(ba)}^{(0)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

We further obtain,

\[
\begin{align*}
(D_a D_b + D_b D_a) F^{(2)} &= 2 \sigma a b \omega + 2 \omega a b + 2 \sigma a b \omega + 2 \sigma a b \\
&+ \omega^c (D_a D_b + D_b D_a) \sigma c + 2 \sigma c a b \omega_b + 2 \sigma c a b \omega_a + \sigma c (D_a D_b + D_b D_a) \omega c \\
&- \omega a c \omega_b^c - \omega a c \omega_b^c - \omega c (D_a D_b + D_b D_a) \omega c. 
\end{align*}
\]

Combining these expressions we obtain,

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_\omega h_{ab}^{(2)} &= -\omega k_{ab} + \omega^c D_c k_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_b^c k_{ac} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_a^c k_{bc} - \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_a k_{bc}) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_b k_{ac}) \\
&+ 2 \sigma \omega h_{ab}^{(0)} + \sigma a \omega_b - \sigma a \omega_b - \sigma c (\omega_b^c) - \sigma c (\omega_b^c) + (\sigma \leftrightarrow \omega) \\
&+ \omega a \omega b + (\omega a \omega b + \omega a \omega b) h_{ab}^{(0)} - 2 \omega a \omega b + \omega a \omega b \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \omega^d \omega^c \left[ (D_a \Gamma_{bad}^{(0)} - D_a \Gamma_{bcd}^{(0)} - \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} + \Gamma_{(cd)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bp)}^{(0)}) \\
&+ (D_c \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} - \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} - \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)}) \right]. 
\end{align*}
\]

Using

\[
\begin{align*}
D_a \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} - D_a \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} - \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} + \Gamma_{(cd)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bp)}^{(0)} &= R_{(bdca)}^{(0)} - h_{bc}^{(0)} h_{da}^{(0)} + h_{ba}^{(0)} h_{dc}^{(0)}, \\
D_c \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} - D_c \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} + \Gamma_{(cd)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(ab)}^{(0)} - \Gamma_{(ac)}^{(0)} p \Gamma_{(bd)}^{(0)} &= R_{(acdb)}^{(0)} - h_{ac}^{(0)} h_{bd}^{(0)} + h_{ab}^{(0)} h_{cd}^{(0)}. 
\end{align*}
\]
we obtain our final form for $\delta \omega h^{(2)}_{ab}$,
\[
\delta \omega h^{(2)}_{ab} = -\omega k_{ab} + \omega^c D_c k_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^c k_{ac} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^c k_{bc} - \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_a k_{bc}) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^c (D_b k_{ac}) + 2\sigma \omega h^{(0)}_{ab} + \sigma_c (\omega h_{bc}) - \sigma_{c\beta} \omega_{(ab)} - \sigma_c \omega^{(ab)} + (\sigma \leftrightarrow \omega)
\]
\[
+ \omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 2\omega \omega_{ab} + \omega^c \omega_{bc}.
\]

\[\text{(B.23)}\]

C Expansion of the equations of motion

Given the previous series of coordinate transformations, we arrive at the following form of the asymptotic metric, near timelike infinity,
\[
ds^2 = -N^2 d\tau^2 + h_{ab} d\phi^a d\phi^b,
\]
where
\[
N = 1 + \frac{\sigma(\phi^a)}{\tau},
\]
\[
h_{ab} = \tau^2 \left[ h_{ab}^{(0)}(\phi^c) + \frac{1}{\tau} h_{ab}^{(1)}(\phi^c) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} h_{ab}^{(2)}(\phi^c) + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^3}\right) \right].
\]

The future directed unit normal vector to a $\tau = \text{constant}$ surface is,
\[
n_{\mu} = -N \nabla_\mu \tau, \quad n^\mu = \frac{1}{N} \delta^\mu_\tau.
\]

The induced metric on $\tau = \text{constant}$ hypersurface is $h_{ab}$, while the inverse spatial metric has the following expansion,
\[
h^{ab} = \frac{1}{\tau^2} h^{(0)ab} - \frac{1}{\tau^3} h^{(1)ab} - \frac{1}{\tau^4} \left( h^{(2)ab} - h^{(1)ab} h^{(1)cb} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^5}\right).
\]

For any spatial tensor $T^{(n)}_{ab}$ at order $n$ in the expansion, we raise and lower indices with $h^{(0)}_{ab}$, for example,
\[
T^{(n)ab} = h^{(0)ac}h^{(0)bd}T^{(n)}_{cd}.
\]

For a general spatial tensor $T_{ab}$, we have $T^{ab} = h^{ac}h^{bd}T_{cd}$.

The extrinsic curvature $K_{ab}$. The extrinsic curvature of $\tau = \text{constant}$ hypersurface takes the form,
\[
K_{ab} = \frac{1}{2N} \partial_\tau h_{ab} = \tau h^{(0)ab} + \left( \frac{1}{2} h^{(1)ab} - \sigma h^{(0)ab} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \sigma^2 h^{(0)ab} - \frac{\sigma}{2} h^{(1)ab} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right).
\]

Upon raising one and two indices respectively we have
\[
K^a_b = h^{ac} K_{cb} = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \delta^a_b - \frac{1}{\tau^3} \left( \frac{1}{2} h^{(1)ab} - \sigma \delta^a_b \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\tau^4} \left( \sigma^2 \delta^a_b + \frac{\sigma}{2} h^{(1)ab} - h^{(2)ab} + \frac{1}{2} h^{(1)ap} h^{(1)bp} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^5}\right)
\]
\[\text{(C.7)}\]

\[
K^{ab} = h^{ac} K_{cb} = \frac{1}{\tau^2} h^{(0)ab} + \frac{1}{\tau^4} \left( -\frac{3}{2} h^{(1)ab} - \sigma h^{(0)ab} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\tau^6} \left( -2 h^{(2)ab} + 2 h^{(1)ap} h^{(1)bp} + \frac{3}{2} h^{(1)ab} + \sigma^2 h^{(0)ab} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^7}\right).
\]
\[\text{(C.8)}\]
The trace of the extrinsic curvature becomes,

\[ K = \delta^b_a K^a_b = \frac{3}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} h^{(1)} - 3\sigma \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^3} \left( 3\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^{(1)} - h^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} h^{(1)ab} h^{(1)}_{ab} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^4} \right). \]  

(C.10)

**Asymptotic expansion of intrinsic geometry.** For any perturbed symmetric, spatial tensor \( S^{(n)}_{ab} \), we note the following identity

\[ -\partial_a S^{(n)}_{bc} + \partial_b S^{(n)}_{ac} + \partial_c S^{(n)}_{ab} = -D_d S^{(n)}_{bc} + D_b S^{(n)}_{dc} + D_c S^{(n)}_{bd} + 2\Gamma^{(0)p}_{bc} S^{(n)}_{pd}, \]  

(C.11)

where \( D \) denotes covariant derivative compatible with \( h^{(0)}_{ab} \) on \( \mathcal{H} \). Using the above identity, the asymptotic expansion of the Christoffel symbol takes the form,

\[ \Gamma^a_{bc} = \frac{1}{2} h^{ad} \left( -\partial_d h_{bc} + \partial_b h_{dc} + \partial_c h_{bd} \right) \equiv \Gamma^{(0)a}_{bc} + \frac{1}{\tau} \Gamma^{(1)a}_{bc} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \Gamma^{(2)a}_{bc} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^3} \right), \]  

(C.12)

where

\[ \Gamma^{(1)a}_{bc} = -\delta^{(1)a}_{d} \Gamma^{(0)d}_{bc} + \frac{1}{2} h^{(0)ad} \left( -D_d h^{(1)}_{bc} + D_b h^{(1)}_{dc} + D_c h^{(1)}_{bd} + 2\Gamma^{(0)p}_{bc} h^{(1)}_{pd} \right), \]  

(C.13)

\[ \Gamma^{(2)a}_{bc} = -\left( h^{(2)}_{d} - h^{(1)a}_{d} h^{(1)p}_{d} \right) \Gamma^{(0)d}_{bc} + \frac{1}{2} h^{(0)ad} \left( -D_d h^{(2)}_{bc} + D_b h^{(2)}_{dc} + D_c h^{(2)}_{bd} + 2\Gamma^{(0)p}_{bc} h^{(2)}_{pd} \right) - \frac{1}{2} h^{(1)ad} \left( -D_d h^{(1)}_{bc} + D_b h^{(1)}_{dc} + D_c h^{(1)}_{bd} + 2\Gamma^{(0)p}_{bc} h^{(1)}_{pd} \right). \]  

(C.14)

Here, \( \Gamma^{(0)a}_{bc} \) is the non-tensorial Christoffel symbol associated with the zeroth order spatial metric \( h^{(0)}_{ab} \). The other expansion coefficients are tensors and have the following simplified expressions,

\[ \Gamma^{(1)a}_{bc} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -D^a h^{(1)}_{bc} + D_b h^{(1)ca} + D_c h^{(1)ab} \right), \]  

(C.16)

\[ \Gamma^{(2)a}_{bc} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -D^a h^{(2)}_{bc} + D_b h^{(2)ca} + D_c h^{(2)ab} \right) - \frac{1}{2} h^{(1)ad} \left( -D_d h^{(1)}_{bc} + D_b h^{(1)}_{dc} + D_c h^{(1)}_{bd} \right). \]  

(C.17)

The three-dimensional Ricci tensor takes the form,

\[ R_{ab} = \partial_c \Gamma^c_{ab} - \partial_b \Gamma^c_{ca} + \Gamma^c_{ab} \Gamma^d_{cd} - \Gamma^c_{ad} \Gamma^d_{bc} \equiv R^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{1}{\tau} R^{(1)}_{ab} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} R^{(2)}_{ab} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^3} \right). \]  

(C.18)
Here, $R_{ab}^{(0)}$ is the Ricci tensor associated with the spatial metric $h_{ab}^{(0)}$, while the other two expansion coefficients are,

$$
R_{ab}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( D_c D_a h_b^{(1)c} + D_c D_b h_a^{(1)c} - D_c D^c h_{ab}^{(1)} - D_a D_b h^{(1)} \right),
$$

$$
R_{ab}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( D_c D_a h_b^{(2)c} + D_c D_b h_a^{(2)c} - D_c D^c h_{ab}^{(2)} - D_a D_b h^{(2)} \right)
+ \frac{1}{2} D_b \left( h^{(1)cd} D_a h_{cd}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} D_c \left[ h^{(1)cd} \left( -D_d h_{ab}^{(1)} + D_b h_{da}^{(1)} + D_a h_{bd}^{(1)} \right) \right]
+ \frac{1}{4} D_c h^{(1)} \left( -D^c h_{ba}^{(1)} + D_b h_{a}^{(1)c} + D_a h_{b}^{(1)c} \right)
- \frac{1}{4} D_a h_d^{(1)c} D_b h_c^{(1)d} + \frac{1}{2} D^c h_{ad}^{(1)} D_c h_b^{(1)d} - \frac{1}{2} D^c h_{ad}^{(1)} D_d h_b^{(1)c}. \tag{C.20}
$$

These expressions will be used extensively in what follows.

**The Hamiltonian constraint.** The Hamiltonian constraint takes the form,

$$
H \equiv \frac{1}{N} \partial_\tau K + K_{ab} K^{ab} - \frac{1}{N} h_{ab} D_a D_b N = 0, \tag{C.21}
$$

where $D_a$ is the covariant derivative compatible with $h_{ab}$. Expanding out each of these terms we obtain,

$$
H = H^{(0)}(\tau) + H^{(1)}(\tau) + H^{(2)}(\tau) + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^5} \right). \tag{C.22}
$$

where

$$
H^{(0)} = 0, \tag{C.23}
$$

$$
H^{(1)} = (-\Box + 3) \sigma = 0, \tag{C.24}
$$

$$
H^{(2)} = h^{(2)} - 9\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{4} h^{(1)ab} h_{ab}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma h^{(1)} + h^{(1)ab} D_a D_b \sigma + \sigma \Box \sigma + h^{(0)ab} \Gamma_{ab}^{(1)c} D_c \sigma = 0. \tag{C.25}
$$

Using, $k_{ab} = h_{ab}^{(1)} + 2\sigma h_{ab}^{(0)}$, cf. eq. (2.15), the second order coefficient can be simplified, yielding,

$$
H^{(2)} = h^{(2)} - 12\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{4} k_{ab} k_{ab} + k_{ab} D_a D_b \sigma + D_c \sigma D^c \sigma
+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma k - \sigma (\Box - 3) \sigma - \frac{1}{2} D_c \sigma (D^c k) + D_c \sigma D_a k_{ac}. \tag{C.26}
$$

Now upon using our boundary condition $k = 0$ and lower order equations of motion it simplifies to

$$
H^{(2)} = h^{(2)} - 12\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{4} k_{ab} k_{ab} + k_{ab} D_a D_b \sigma + D_c \sigma D^c \sigma = 0. \tag{C.27}
$$
The momentum constraint. The momentum constraint \( H_a = 0 \) takes the form,

\[
H_a \equiv D_b K_a^b - D_a K = 0. \tag{C.28}
\]

This can be expanded as,

\[
H_a = \frac{1}{\tau} H_a^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} H_a^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\tau^3} H_a^{(2)} + \ldots \tag{C.29}
\]

where

\[
H_a^{(0)} = 0 \tag{C.30}
\]

\[
H_a^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} D_b \left( k_a^b - k^b_a \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mp k^b_{pa} \left( D_b k^p a \right) + \frac{3}{2} \right) k_p \left( D_b k^p a \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left( k^c_{ba} \right) D_a k + \frac{\sigma}{2} D_a k \tag{C.31}
\]

\[
H_a^{(2)} = -D_b h_a^{(2)b} + \frac{1}{2} k^{bp} \left( D_b k_p a \right) + \frac{3}{2} k_{bc} \left( D_b k_{bc} k_p a \right) + \frac{1}{4} k_{ba} D_a D_b \sigma - D_c \sigma D^c \sigma = 0 \tag{C.32}
\]

Using second order Hamiltonian constraint \( H^{(2)} = 0 \) and boundary condition \( k = 0 \), together with first order equations of motion, we get

\[
H_a^{(2)} = -D_b h_a^{(2)b} + \frac{1}{2} k^{bp} \left( D_b k_p a \right) + \frac{1}{8} k_{bc} k_{bc} + 8 \sigma^2 - k_a^b D_a D_b \sigma + D_a D_b \sigma = 0. \tag{C.33}
\]

Asymptotic expansion of the evolution equation. The evolution equation of the spatial metric \( h_{ab} \) takes the following form,

\[
H_{ab} := R_{ab} + \frac{1}{N} \partial \tau K_{ab} - 2 K_{ac} K_c^b + K K_{ab} - \frac{1}{N} D_a D_b N = 0. \tag{C.34}
\]

Expanding in powers of \( \frac{1}{\tau} \) we have,

\[
H_{ab} \equiv H_{ab}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\tau} H_{ab}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} H_{ab}^{(2)} + \ldots, \tag{C.35}
\]

where

\[
H_{ab}^{(0)} = R_{ab}^{(0)} + 2 h_{ab}^{(0)} = 0, \tag{C.36}
\]

\[
H_{ab}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \square + 3 \right) k_{ab} = 0, \tag{C.37}
\]

\[
H_{ab}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \square + 2 \right) h_{ab}^{(2)} + T_{ab}^{(kk)} + T_{ab}^{(k\sigma)} + T_{ab}^{(\sigma\sigma)} = 0, \tag{C.38}
\]
and where the non-linear terms are

\[
T_{ab}^{(kk)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (D_c k_{d(a} D_b) k_{cd)} - \frac{1}{2} D_a k^{cd} D_b k_{cd} + (D_c k^d) \left( D_c k^b \right) - (D_c k_{ad}) \left( D^d k_{bc} \right) \right. \\
\left. - k^a b_k p k_{pb} + k^{cd} \left( D_c D_d k_{ab} - D_c D_{(a} k_{b)d} \right) \right],
\]  

(C.39)

\[
T_{ab}^{(k\sigma)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -D_a D_b \left( k^{cd} D_{d(a} \sigma \right) + 4D_c \sigma \left( -D_c k_{ab} + D_{(a} k_{b)c} \right) - 4\sigma k_{ab} \right. \\
\left. + \left( -2h_{ab}^{(0)} k^{cd} D_{d(a} D_{c)b} \sigma \right) + 4k^{cd} h_{ab}^{(0)} D_{d(a} D_{c)b} \sigma \right],
\]  

(C.40)

\[
T_{ab}^{(\sigma\sigma)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ D_a D_b \left( 5\sigma^2 - D_c \sigma D^c \sigma \right) + h_{ab}^{(0)} \left( 18\sigma^2 + 4D^c \sigma D_c \sigma \right) + 4\sigma D_a D_b \sigma \right],
\]  

(C.41)

where we have used the boundary condition \( k = 0 \) and the first order equations of motion.

D A consistency check

In this appendix we perform a non-trivial consistency check on our asymptotic equations of motion and expression eq. (B.23) for \( \delta \omega h_{ab}^{(2)} \). We consider doing a supertranslation on flat spacetime. Thus to begin with we have (for flat spacetime)

\[
\sigma = 0, \quad h_{ab}^{(1)} = 0, \quad h_{ab}^{(2)} = 0.
\]  

(D.1)

We note that \( \sigma = 0 \) does not change under supertranslations. Thus for the supertranslated spacetime too \( \sigma = 0 \) and from eq. (B.10) it follows that

\[
h_{ab}^{(1)} = \delta \omega h_{ab}^{(1)} = k_{ab} = -2\omega h_{ab}^{(0)} + 2\omega_{ab}.
\]  

(D.2)

From eq. (B.23) it follows that

\[
h_{ab}^{(2)} = \omega^2 h_{ab}^{(0)} - 2\omega \omega_{ab} + \omega_a^\omega \omega_{bc}.
\]  

(D.3)

We check that expression eq. (D.2) for \( k_{ab} \) and eq. (D.3) for \( h_{ab}^{(2)} \) are consistent with second order equations of motion.

Recall that \( \Box \omega = 3\omega \), and also we note the following useful relation,

\[
\Box \omega_a = D_b D^b D_{a} \omega = D_b D_a D^b \omega = \left[ D_b, D_a \right] D^b \omega + D_a \Box \omega = \left[ R^{(0)ab}_{\ cba} D^c \omega + 3\omega_a \right] = -2h_{ab}^{(0)} \omega^c + 3\omega_a = \omega_a.
\]  

(D.4)

**Hamiltonian constraint.** The Hamiltonian constraint eq. (C.27) becomes,

\[
h^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} k_{ab} k^{ab}.
\]  

(D.5)

Given expression eq. (D.2) for \( k_{ab} \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{4} k_{ab} k^{ab} = 3\omega^2 - 2\omega \Box \omega + \omega_{ab} \omega^{ab} = -3\omega^2 + \omega_{ab} \omega^{ab},
\]  

(D.6)

which matches with the trace of eq. (D.3), viz.,

\[
h^{(2)} = 3\omega^2 - 2\omega \Box \omega + \omega_{ab} \omega_{ab} = -3\omega^2 + \omega_{ab} \omega_{ab}.
\]  

(D.7)
Momentum constraint. The momentum constraint presented in eq. (C.33) becomes,

\[ \mathcal{D}^b h_{ab}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} k^{bp} D_b k_{pa} - \frac{1}{4} k^{bc} D_a k_{bc}. \]  

(D.8)

On the one hand, the right hand side of eq. (D.8) is

\[ \frac{1}{2} k^{bp} D_b k_{pa} - \frac{1}{4} k^{bc} D_a k_{bc} = -2 \omega^b \omega^p_a + \omega^{bc} D_b D_c \omega^a + 3 \omega^a - \omega^{bc} R_{cdab}^0 \omega^d \]

\[ = -2 \omega^b \omega^p_a + \omega^{bc} D_b D_c \omega^a + 3 \omega^a - \omega^{bc} \left( h_{ac}^{(0)} h_{bd}^{(0)} + h_{bc}^{(0)} h_{ad}^{(0)} \right) \omega^d \]

\[ = -\omega^b \omega^p_a + \omega^{bc} D_b D_c \omega^a. \]  

(D.9)

On the other hand, the divergence of eq. (D.3) yields for the left hand side of eq. (D.8)

\[ \mathcal{D}^b h_{ab}^{(2)} = \mathcal{D}^b \left( \omega^2 h_{ab}^{(0)} - 2 \omega^a b \omega^b + \omega_a^b \omega^c b \right) \]

\[ = 2 \omega^a b \omega^a - 2 \omega^b \omega^a b - 2 \omega \Box a \omega^a + \omega_a^b \omega^c b + \omega_a^c \omega^b c \]

\[ = -\omega^b \omega^a b + \omega_a^b \omega^c b, \]  

(D.10)

which matches with eq. (D.9).

Evolution equation. The evolution equation as presented in eq. (C.38) is decomposed into several terms,

\[ (\Box + 2) h_{ab}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( D_c k_{da} D_b k_{ad} + D_c k_{db} k_{ad} \right) - \frac{1}{2} D_a k^{cd} D_b k_{cd} \]

\[ + \left( D^c k_{ad} \right) \left( D_c k_{bd} \right) - \left( D^c k_{ad} \right) \left( D^d k_{bc} \right) - \frac{1}{2} k^{bp} k_{pb} \]

\[ + \left( k^{cd} D_c D_d k_{ab} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} k^{cd} D_c D_d k_{bd} + \frac{1}{2} k^{cd} D_c D_b k_{ad} \right). \]

(D.11)

We first evaluate the right hand side using eq. (D.2) and then evaluate the left hand side using eq. (D.3) and show the match.

We obtain the following expression for various terms on the right hand side. For “Term 1” we have,

\[ \frac{1}{2} D_c k_{da} D_b k^{cd} = 2 D_c \left( -\omega h_{da}^{(0)} + \omega_{da} \right) D_b \left( -\omega h^{(0)cd} + \omega^{cd} \right) \]

\[ = -2 \omega^c \left( [D_b, D_c] \omega^a - 2 \omega^c \omega_{abc} + 2 \omega_{adc} [D_b, D^c] \omega^d + 2 \omega_{adc} \omega^c_b \right) \]

\[ = 2 h_{ab}^{(0)} \left( \omega^c \omega^c - 4 \omega^c \omega_{abc} + 2 \omega_{adc} \omega^c_b \right), \]  

(D.12)

while “Term 2” follows from interchange of \((a, b)\) in “Term 1”. For “Term 3” we have,

\[ \frac{1}{2} D_a k^{cd} D_b k_{cd} = 2 D_a \left( -\omega h^{(0)cd} + \omega^{cd} \right) D_b \left( -\omega h_{cd}^{(0)} + \omega_{cd} \right) \]

\[ = -6 \omega_a \omega^a + 2 \left\{ [D_a, D^c] \omega^d + \omega^a_d \right\} \left\{ [D_b, D_c] \omega^a + \omega_{bdc} \right\} \]

\[ = 2 \omega_a \omega^c h_{ab}^{(0)} - 4 \omega^c \omega_{abc} + 2 \omega_{adc} \omega^c_b. \]  

(D.13)
For “Term 4” we have,

\[
(D^c k_{cd}) (D_c k^d_b) = 4D^c (-\omega h^{(0)}_{cd} + \omega_{ad}) D_c (D^d (-\omega \delta^d_k + \omega^d_b)) \\
= 4\omega \omega^c h^{(0)}_{ab} - 8\omega^c \omega_{abc} + 4\omega_{ad} \omega^d_b. \tag{D.14}
\]

For “Term 5” we have,

\[
(D^c k_{ad}) (D^d k_{bc}) = 4D^c (-\omega h^{(0)}_{ad} + \omega_{ad}) D^d (-\omega h^{(0)}_{bc} + \omega_{bc}) \\
= 4\omega \omega^c \omega_{ab} - 4\omega^c[\omega_{db}; D_c, D_a] - 8\omega^c \omega_{abc} - 4\omega^c[\omega_{db}, D_a]D_c \omega^d_b + 4[D_c, D_a] \omega^d_b \omega^c + 4\omega_{ad} \omega^d_b \omega^c \\
= -4\omega \omega_{ab} + 8\omega \omega^c h^{(0)}_{ab} - 12\omega \omega_{abc} + 4\omega_{ad} \omega^d_b \omega^c + 4\omega \omega_{bc} \\
= -4\omega \omega_{ab} + 8\omega \omega^c h^{(0)}_{ab} - 8\omega^c \omega_{abc} + 4\omega_{ad} \omega^d_b \omega^c + 4\omega \omega_{bc} \omega^d_b \omega^c. \tag{D.15}
\]

For “Term 6” we have,

\[
h^{(0)}_a k_{pb} = 4 (-\omega \delta^p_a + \omega^p_a) (-\omega h^{(0)}_{pb} + \omega_{pb}) \\
= 4\omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 8\omega \omega_{ab} + 4\omega^2 \omega^p_a \omega_{pb}. \tag{D.16}
\]

For “Term 7” we have,

\[
k^{cd} D_c D_d k_{ab} = 4 (-\omega h^{(0)cd} + \omega^c) D_c D_d (-\omega h^{(0)ab} + \omega_{ab}) \\
= 12\omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 4\omega^c \omega^d \omega h^{(0)}_{ab} - 4\omega \omega^c \omega_{ab} + 4\omega^c \omega^d \omega_{abc}. \tag{D.17}
\]

and finally for “Term 8” we have,

\[
\frac{1}{2} k^{cd} D_c D_d k_{bd} = 2 (-\omega h^{(0)cd} + \omega^c) D_c D_d (-\omega h^{(0)bd} + \omega_{bd}) \\
= 2\omega \omega_{ab} - 2\omega \omega_{ac} \omega^c - 2\omega h^{(0)cd} D_c \{[D_a, D_d] \omega_b \} - 2\omega \omega_{ab} + 2\omega \omega_{bd} \omega_{abc} + 2\omega^c D_c \{[D_a, D_d] \omega_b \} \\
= 6\omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 2\omega \omega_{ab} + 2\omega \omega^c \omega_{abc} - 2\omega^c \omega_{ab} h^{(0)}_{ab}. \tag{D.18}
\]

“Term 9” is obtained by interchanging \((a, b)\) in “Term 8”. Collecting all these expressions we get,

\[
(\Box + 2) h^{(2)}_{ab} = -4\omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} + 8\omega \omega_{ab} + 2h^{(0)}_{ab} (\omega_c \omega^c) - 4\omega^2 \omega_{abc} - 4\omega^c \omega_{abc} + 2\omega_{ad} \omega^d_b. \tag{D.19}
\]

On the other hand, using expression eq. (D.3) for \(h^{(2)}_{ab}\) we obtain,

\[
(\Box + 2) h^{(2)}_{ab} = 2\omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 4\omega \omega_{ab} + 2\omega^c \omega_{ab} + h^{(0)}_{ab} D^c D_c (\omega^2) - 2D^c D_c (\omega \omega_{ab}) + D^c D_c (\omega^d_b \omega_{db}) \\
= 8\omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 10\omega \omega_{ab} + 2h^{(0)}_{ab} (\omega_c \omega^c) + 2\omega^c \omega_{ab} - 4\omega^c \omega_{abc} + 2\omega_{ad} \omega^d_b \omega_{bc} + \omega_{ab} \omega^c_a + \omega^c_{ab} \omega_{bc} - 2\omega \omega_{ab}. \tag{D.20}
\]
We now have the following identity,
\[
\square \omega_{ab} = D^c D_c D_a D_b \omega
\]
\[
= D^c \left( R^{(0)}_{bpc} \omega^p \right) + D_c D_a D_b \omega^c
\]
\[
= \left( -h^{(0)}_{bc} h^{(0)}_{pa} + h^{(0)}_{ba} h^{(0)}_{pc} \right) \omega^{pc} + [D_c, D_a] D_b \omega^c + D_a D_c D_b \omega^c
\]
\[
= -\omega_{ab} + h^{(0)}_{ab} \square \omega + R^{(0)}_{bpc} \omega^p + R^{(0)}_{pa} \omega^p + D_a [D_c, D_b] \omega^c + D_a D_b \square \omega
\]
\[
= -\omega_{ab} + 3 \omega h^{(0)}_{ab} + \left( -h^{(0)}_{bc} h^{(0)}_{pa} + h^{(0)}_{ba} h^{(0)}_{pc} \right) \omega^{pc} - 2 \omega_{ab} + R^{(0)}_{pl} \omega^p + 3 \omega_{ab}
\]
\[
= -3 \omega_{ab} + 6 \h^{(0)}_{ab}.
\]

Thus we obtain,
\[
(\square + 2) h^{(2)}_{ab} = 8 \omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - 10 \omega \omega_{ab} + 2 h^{(0)}_{ab} (\omega_c \omega^c) + 2 \omega^c \omega_{abc} - 4 \omega^c \omega_{abc} + 2 \omega_{acd} \omega^d_{ab} +
\]
\[
+ \omega_{ab} ( -3 \omega^c + 6 \omega^c_{ab} ) + \omega^c_{ab} \left( -3 \omega_{ab} + 6 \omega h^{(0)}_{ab} \right) - 2 \omega \left( -3 \omega_{ab} + 6 \omega h^{(0)}_{ab} \right)
\]
\[
= -4 \omega^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} + 8 \omega \omega_{ab} + 2 h^{(0)}_{ab} (\omega_c \omega^c) - 4 \omega^c \omega_{abc} - 4 \omega^c \omega_{abc} + 2 \omega_{acd} \omega^d_{ab},
\]
which matches with eq. (D.19). A similar calculation at spatial infinity was done in [47].

E Expansion of the Weyl tensor

In four spacetime dimensions, the Weyl tensor expressed in terms of the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar takes the form,
\[
W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{\alpha\mu} R_{\beta\nu} + R_{\alpha\nu} g_{\beta\mu} - g_{\alpha\nu} R_{\beta\mu} - R_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} \right) + \frac{R}{6} \left( g_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu} g_{\beta\mu} \right).
\]

(E.1)

Let \((\tau, \phi^a)\) be the four-dimensional spacetime coordinates associated to the 3+1 split. Then, for a general set of spacetime coordinates \(x^\mu = x^\mu(\tau, \phi^a)\) we define
\[
e^\mu_a = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \phi^a}.
\]

(E.2)

The vectors \(e^\mu_a\) with \(\{a = 1, 2, 3\}\) are tangent to the \(\tau = \) constant hypersurface. The projected electric part of the Weyl tensor on \(\tau = \) constant hypersurface is defined as,
\[
E_{ab} = W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} e^\alpha_a n^\beta b n^\mu \nu.
\]

(E.3)

For vacuum spacetimes, with \(R_{\alpha\beta} = 0 = R\), it simplifies to,
\[
E_{ab} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} e^\alpha_a n^\beta b n^\mu \nu = -\mathcal{L}_n K_{ab} + K_{ac} K_b^c + N^{-1} D_a D_b N,
\]

(E.4)

where \(\mathcal{L}_n\) is the Lie-derivative with respect to the unit normal eq. (C.4). We have used the fact that \(\tau = \) constant surface is spacelike.
The projected magnetic part of the Weyl tensor is defined as,

\[
B_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon_\alpha^\beta n^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \right) e_\alpha^a n^\beta e_\rho^b n^\nu \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon_\alpha^\beta n^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \right) g^{\rho\gamma} g^{\delta\sigma} W_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} e_\rho^b n^\nu \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon_\alpha^\beta n^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \right) \left( h^{\rho\gamma} + e n^{\rho\gamma} \right) \left( h^{\sigma\delta} + e n^{\sigma\delta} \right) W_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} e_\rho^b n^\nu \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon_\alpha^\beta n^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \right) \left( h^{\rho\gamma} h^{\sigma\delta} W_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} e_\rho^b n^\nu \right).
\]

(E.5)

For vacuum spacetimes,

\[
B_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon_\alpha^\beta n^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \right) \left( h^{\rho\gamma} h^{\sigma\delta} R_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} e_\rho^b n^\nu \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\rho\alpha\beta\mu} n^\rho e_\alpha^a n^\beta e_\mu^b n^\nu \\
= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\rho\alpha\beta\mu} e_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \left( h^{\rho\gamma} h^{\sigma\delta} W_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} e_\rho^b n^\nu \right).
\]

(E.6)

Note that we have used the result, \( \epsilon_{\rho\alpha\beta\mu} n^\rho = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} e^\rho_\alpha e^\sigma_\beta e^\mu_\rho \), where \( \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \) is the three-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor. In what follows we will expand both the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor.

**Expansion of the electric part of the Weyl tensor.** Given the expansions for the extrinsic curvature components and the lapse function \( N \) in powers of \( 1/\tau \), we can obtain the expansion of the electric part of the Weyl tensor. A calculation gives,

\[
E_{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{\tau} E^{(1)}_{ab} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} E^{(2)}_{ab} + \cdots
\]

(E.7)

where the zeroth order expansion coefficient identically vanishes and the first order expansion coefficient is,

\[
E^{(1)}_{ab} = -\sigma h^{(0)}_{ab} + \mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}_b \sigma,
\]

(E.8)

while the second order expansion coefficient is,

\[
E^{(2)}_{ab} = 3\sigma^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} - h^{(2)}_{ab} + \frac{1}{4} h^{(1)}_a h^{(1)}_b - \sigma \mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}_b \sigma - \Gamma^{(1)c}_{ab} \mathcal{D}_c \sigma - \frac{\sigma}{2} h^{(1)}_{ab}
\]

\[
= h^{(2)}_{ab} + 5\sigma^2 h^{(0)}_{ab} + \frac{1}{4} k^{a} b_{pb} - \sigma k_{ab} - \frac{\sigma}{2} k_{ab} - \sigma \mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}_b \sigma \\
- \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}^c k_{ab} + \mathcal{D}_a k^c_b + \mathcal{D}_b k^c_a) \mathcal{D}_c \sigma + 2 D_a \sigma D_b \sigma - h^{(0)}_{ab} \mathcal{D}_c \sigma \mathcal{D}^c \sigma.
\]

(E.9)

For the first order term, we have the following properties,

\[
E^{(1)}_{ab} = E^{(1)}_{ba}, \quad (\text{symmetric})
\]

(E.10)

\[
E^{(1)}_a = -3\sigma + \Box \sigma = 0, \quad (\text{traceless})
\]

(E.11)

\[
\mathcal{D}_b E^{(1)}_a = 0. \quad (\text{divergence-free})
\]

(E.12)
We consider the following combination at the second order
\[ E_{ab}^{(2)} - \sigma E_{ab}^{(1)} = -h_{ab}^{(2)} + 6\sigma^2 h_{ab}^{(0)} - 2\sigma D_a D_b \sigma + 2D_a \sigma D_b \sigma - h_{ab}^{(0)} D_c \sigma D^c \sigma \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} (D^c k_{ab} + D_a k^c_b + D_b k^c_a) D_c \sigma + \frac{1}{4} k^p_a k_{pb} - \frac{3\sigma}{2} k_{ab} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E.13)
For \( k_{ab} = 0 \),
\[ E_{ab}^{(2)} - \sigma E_{ab}^{(1)} = -h_{ab}^{(2)} + 6\sigma^2 h_{ab}^{(0)} - 2\sigma D_a D_b \sigma + 2D_a \sigma D_b \sigma - \frac{1}{2} h_{ab}^{(0)} D_c \sigma D^c \sigma , \]  \hspace{1cm} (E.14)
is also symmetric, traceless, and divergence free upon using second order equations of motion. The trace and divergence equations for \( h_{ab}^{(2)} \) can equivalently be thought of as tracefree and divergence free conditions for \( E_{ab}^{(2)} - \sigma E_{ab}^{(1)} \).

**Expansion of the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor.** We now compute the expansion of the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor starting from eq. (E.6),
\[ B_{ab} = -\epsilon_{acd} h_c^m D_m K_b^d \equiv \frac{1}{\tau_0} B_{ab}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\tau_2} B_{ab}^{(2)} + \cdots . \]  \hspace{1cm} (E.15)
The first order expansion coefficient is,
\[ B_{ab}^{(1)} = \epsilon_{acd} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 D^c h_b^{(1)d} + \delta^d_b D^c \sigma \right) \]
\[ = \epsilon_{acd} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 D^c \left( k^d_b - 2\sigma \delta^d_b \right) + \delta^d_b D^c \sigma \right) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{acd} \left( D^c k^d_b \right) , \]  \hspace{1cm} (E.16)
while the second order expansion coefficient is,
\[ B_{ab}^{(2)} = \epsilon_{acd} \left\{ D^c h_b^{(2)d} - 2\delta^d_b D^c \left( \sigma^2 \right) \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left( k^c_m + \sigma \delta^c_m \right) D^m k^d_b + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab}^{(0)c m} \Gamma_{mp}^{(1)d} k^p_b \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \left( h_{(0)c m} \Gamma_{mp}^{(1)d} k^d_b - D^c \left( \frac{\sigma}{2} k^d_b \right) - D^c \left( \frac{1}{2} k^{dp} k_{pb} \right) \right) \] \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} (E.17)
where, we have used the result, \( h_{(1)c m} h_b^{(1)d} = (k^{dp} - 2\sigma h_{(0)c m} k^{dp} ) k_{pb} - 4\sigma k^p_b + 4\sigma^2 \delta^d_b \). These expressions become much simpler for \( k_{ab} = 0 \), in which case, we have,
\[ B_{ab}^{(1)} = 0, \]
\[ B_{ab}^{(2)} = \epsilon_{acd} D^c \left( h_b^{(2)d} - 2\delta^d_b \sigma^2 \right) . \] \hspace{1cm} (E.19)

\( B_{ab}^{(2)} \) in eq. (E.19) is symmetric,
\[ \epsilon^{(0)abp} B^{(2)}_{ab} = \epsilon^{(0)abp} \epsilon_{acd} D^c \left( h_b^{(2)d} - 2\delta^d_b \sigma^2 \right) \]
\[ = \left( \delta^{(0)}_{ab} \delta^b_{ac} - \delta^{(0)}_{ac} \delta^b_{ab} \right) D^c \left( h_b^{(2)d} - 2\delta^d_b \sigma^2 \right) \]
\[ = \left( D^b h_b^{(2)dp} \right) + 4 \left( D^b \sigma^2 \right) - D^p \left( h_b^{(2)} \right) \]
\[ = D^p \left( 8\sigma^2 - D_c \sigma D^c \sigma \right) + 4 \left( D^b \sigma^2 \right) - D^p \left( 12\sigma^2 - D_c \sigma D^c \sigma \right) = 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (E.21)
where we have used the second order equations of motion. \( B_{ab}^{(2)} \) is traceless,

\[
B_{ab}^{(2)a} = \epsilon_{acd}^{(0)} D^c \left( h_{(2)ad}^{(2)} - 2h_{(0)ad}^{(0)} \sigma^2 \right) = 0, \tag{E.22}
\]

furthermore \( B_{ab}^{(2)} \) is divergence-free,

\[
\mathcal{D}_a B_{b}^{(2)a} = \epsilon^{(0)acd} \mathcal{D}_a \left[ \mathcal{D}_c \left( h_{bd}^{(2)} - 2h_{bd}^{(0)} \sigma^2 \right) \right] = \epsilon^{(0)acd} \mathcal{D}_a \left[ \mathcal{D}_c \left( h_{bd}^{(2)} - 2h_{bd}^{(0)} \sigma^2 \right) \right]
= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{(0)acd} \left( R_{dpac} h_{d}^{(2)p} + R_{dpac} h_{b}^{(2)p} \right) = 0.
\tag{E.23}
\]

The trace and divergence equations for \( h_{ab}^{(2)} \) can equivalently be thought of as tracefree and divergence free conditions for \( B_{ab}^{(2)} \).

**Evolution of the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor.** Here we describe the evolution equation for the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor. It will be advantageous to define,

\[
\text{curl } T_{ab} = \epsilon_{acd}^{(0)} D^c T_{b}^d. \tag{E.24}
\]

It follows that,

\[
\text{curl} \left( \text{curl } T_{ab} \right) = \epsilon_{abcd}^{(0)} D^c \left( \epsilon^{(0)dqj} \mathcal{D}_j T_{qb} \right)
= \epsilon_{abcd}^{(0)} D^c \left( \delta^d_r \delta^q_t \delta^j_a - \delta^d_r \delta^j_a \delta^q_t \right) D^p T_{pq} T_{qb}
= \mathcal{D}^a T_{cb} - \Box^{(3)} T_{ab}
= [\mathcal{D}_r, \mathcal{D}_a] T_{cb} + \mathcal{D}_a (\mathcal{D}^r T_{cb}) - \Box^{(3)} T_{ab}
= R^{(0)}_{c b} T_{m b} + R^{(0)}_{b c} T_{m b} + \mathcal{D}_a (\mathcal{D}^c T_{cb}) - \Box^{(3)} T_{ab}
= -2 T_{ab} + \left( -\delta^c_a \delta^a_c + h_{ab}^{(0)} h_{mc}^{(0)} \right) T_{cm} + \mathcal{D}_a (\mathcal{D}^c T_{cb}) - \Box^{(3)} T_{ab}
= - (\Box + 3) T_{ab} + \mathcal{D}_a (\mathcal{D}^c T_{cb}) + h_{ab}^{(0)} T_{c}^c. \tag{E.25}
\]

Thus, if the tensor \( T_{ab} \) is traceless and divergence free, the above expression yields,

\[
\text{curl} \left( \text{curl } T_{ab} \right) = - (\Box + 3) T_{ab}. \tag{E.26}
\]

Since the combination \( (E_{ab}^{(2)} - \sigma E_{ab}^{(1)}) \) and \( B_{ab}^{(2)} \) are both traceless and divergence free, both of them satisfy the above identity. A calculation then shows that

\[
\text{curl} \left( E_{ab}^{(2)} - \sigma E_{ab}^{(1)} \right) = -B_{ab}^{(2)} - 4 \epsilon_{(a}^{(0) cd} (\mathcal{D}_c \sigma) E_{b) d}^{(1)}. \tag{E.27}
\]
On the other hand,
\[
curl B_{ab}^{(2)} = \epsilon^{(0)}_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} E_{ab}^{(2)}
\]
\[
= \epsilon^{(0)}_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} [\epsilon^{(0)}_{dpa} D^{p} \left( h_{b}^{(2)q} - 2 \delta_{b}^{q} \sigma^{2} \right)]
\]
\[
= -h_{ab}^{(2)} + 6 \sigma^{2} h_{ab}^{(0)} - h_{ab}^{(0)} (D_{c} \sigma D^{c} \sigma) + 2 D_{a} \sigma D_{b} \sigma - 2 \sigma D_{a} D_{b} \sigma
\]
\[
= E_{ab}^{(2)} - \sigma E_{ab}^{(1)}.
\] (E.28)

As a result, the evolution equation in terms of the electric part of the Weyl tensor takes the form
\[
\left( \Box + 2 \right) \left( E_{ab}^{(2)} - \sigma E_{ab}^{(1)} \right) = 4 \text{ curl} \left[ \epsilon^{(0)}_{(a} D_{c)} E_{b)\!d}^{(1)} \right].
\] (E.29)

and equivalently in terms of the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor takes the form
\[
\left( \Box + 2 \right) B_{ab}^{(2)} = 4 \epsilon^{(0)}_{cd(a} E_{b)\!d}^{(1)} D^{c} \sigma.
\] (E.30)

Eq. (C.38) can equivalently be thought of as eq. (E.29) or eq. (E.30). In terms of the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor, the second order equations take much simpler forms. The above analysis is inspired by the corresponding results at spacelike infinity [45, 48, 49].
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