Introduction

Desmostylians are a group of extinct mammals known from the Lower Oligocene to the Upper Miocene marine strata of the northern Pacific Rim [1–6]. Their paleoecology has remained ‘mysterious’ since their first discovery [7]. Their unique osteological and dental morphologies (e.g. [8,30,32–35]) have hindered a consensus on their life style. Many researchers have notably discussed the desmostylian semi-aquatic [2,14–17] or essentially aquatic [18–19] mode of life, a question of peculiar interest to infer their paleoecology (e.g. diet and locomotion). Previous studies have proposed different reconstructions of their posture [12–13,17,19–20], which resulted in conflicting interpretations on their mode of life. Depending on authors, they have been either referred to as close to that of extinct ground sloths and/or polar bears (Figure 1A; [17]), bears [14], hippopotamids (Figure 1B–C; [12–13,20–21]), sirenians (Figure 1D; [22]), or pinnipeds (Figure 1E–F; [11,18,23–25]).

Bone inner structure is known to be a powerful tool to infer the mode of life of extinct animals, and notably the degree of adaptation to an aquatic life in lineages that secondarily invaded the marine realm (e.g. [26–31]). The histology and microanatomy of desmostylians are extremely poorly known despite a few mentions in the literature [8,30,32–35]. This paper presents the first histological and microanatomical analysis of various desmostylians (Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia, Ashoroa and Desmostylus) and discusses their lifestyle, in the light of these new data.
Materials and Methods

Materials

Four genera of desmostylians (of the seven known; [4]) were studied for this research (Table 1; see also Figure 2): Behemotops [36] (AMP 22 and 52), Paleoparadoxia [37] (AMP AK0011, 1001 and 1002), Ashoroa [2] (AMP 21/UHR 31990) and Desmostylus [7] (GSJ F07743, 07745-4, 07745-7, 07748-1 and UHR 18466; see Table 1 for details). Information on institutional abbreviations appearing in the inventor numbers of the concerned specimens is available in the supporting material (Text S1). Eleven ribs, four humeri, five femora and eight vertebrae were analyzed (cf. Table 1). The morphology of most specimens (AMP 21/UHR 31990, AMP 22, GSJ F07743 and UHR 18466) was already well described in the literature [4,13,19–21,38–39]. One rib (GSJ F07745-4) and one femur (GSJ F07745-7) have not been described yet, but the associated skull was already described in [40]. Other specimens (AMP 52, AMP AK0011, 1001, 1002 and GSJ F07748-1) have not been described at present (see below).

Behemotops is either a paleoparadoxiid (Figure 2A; [13]) or the most basal desmostylian (Figure 2B; [4]) and has been found in the United States (Behemotops proteus) and in Japan (B. katsai). Behemotops katsai is a large taxon among desmostylians (estimated body length [EBL] of 290 cm; [13]) known from the marine strata of the Late Oligocene of Ashoro, Hokkaido, Japan [39]. Two ribs, a femur and two vertebrae from the holotype of Behemotops katsai [39] (AMP 22), as well as thoracic ribs (AMP 52) were histologically examined. The latter, which were found as unassembled, were tentatively referred to Behemotops sp., despite the absence of diagnostic features in the rib morphology, based on the fact that all the desmostylian fossils discovered from the same locality (Upper Morawan Formation, Ashoro, Hokkaido, Japan) belong to this genus.

Paleoparadoxia, one of the largest desmostylians (EBL ≈ 303 cm; [13]), is known from the marine strata of the Middle Miocene of Japan and North America [13]. Two ribs (AMP AK1001 and 1002) and a humerus (AMP AK0011) discovered in the Tonokita Formation (Middle Miocene) in Akan, Hokkaido, Japan, were sampled for this study. These materials were referred to Paleoparadoxia sp. since the humerus shows several diagnostic characters of Paleoparadoxia [13] – an anteriorly bent lateral epicondylar crest and a small diameter of the humeral trochlea – and since these bones were found in association with a tooth belonging to this genus. The species could not be determined.

Ashoroa, the smallest (EBL = 168 cm; [13]) and one of the oldest desmostylians known to date [21], is known from marine strata of the Lower Morawan Formation (early Late Oligocene) in Ashoro, Hokkaido, Japan [21]. The single species of the genus was discovered in Japan. A rib, a humerus, a femur and three vertebrae from the holotype of Ashoroa laticosta [21] (AMP 21/UHR 31990) were sampled.

The middle-sized Desmostylus (EBL = 271 cm; [13]) is the most derived desmostylian (Figure 2A; [13]) or one of the most derived desmostylians (Figure 2B; [4]). Two species (Desmostylus hesperus and D. japonicus) have been recognized and the status of a possible third species (D. coalingensis) is discussed ([13] contra [4]). D. hesperus (and D. coalingensis) has(ve) been discovered in North America [1] and both D. hesperus and D. japonicus in the marine strata of Japan. Most of our sample (five ribs, a humerus, three femora and three vertebrae) were taken from an incomplete skeleton (GSJ F07745, i.e. GSJ F07745-4 and 07745-7) and from two nearly complete skeletons of Desmostylus hesperus (UHR 18466 and GSJ F07743), classically referred to as the ‘Utanobori specimens’ (GSJ F07743 and -45; [20,40]) and the ‘Keton specimen’ (UHR 18466; e.g. [19–20,38]), which are the best-preserved desmostylian fossils in the world. The former was discovered in the Tachikaraushinaí Formation, Kamitokushibetsu, Utanobori, Esashi, Hokkaido, and the latter in the Naihoro Coal-bearing Formation (Middle Miocene) in the Keton River, South Sakhalin. Additionally, an isolated femur (GSJ F07748-1) from the Tachikaraushinaí Formation was histologically examined. This bone was referred to Desmostylus cf. hesperus as it shows several diagnostic characters of the genus Desmostylus: a flat femoral shaft, a short femoral neck, a strong anterior projection of the greater trochanter, a distal position of the lesser trochanter and a shallow trochanteric groove [13], and because it was found in the same locality as other bones referred to this species.

Ontogenetic stages of our samples (except the material that was too fragmentary) were determined based on teeth, neurocentral sutures of vertebrae and possible occurrence of epiphyseal fusions of long bones (c.f. [41]). Deciduous teeth and neurocentral sutures in vertebrae and epiphyseal fusions in long bones are absent in Paleoparadoxia AMP 0011, AMP AK1001, 1002, Ashoroa AMP 21/UHR 31990, and Desmostylus UHR 18466, GSJ F07748-1, while neurocentral sutures of vertebrae and epiphyseal fusions on long
bones are present in Behemotops AMP 22 and Desmostylus GSJ F07743, 07745 (i.e. GSJ F7745-4 and -7). In Behemotops AMP 22, third molars (M3) are present. Therefore, the former were referred to as adults and Behemotops AMP 22 as a subadult, and the others as juveniles. Only the ontogenetic status of AMP 52, which only comprises unassociated ribs, could not be determined.

For comparative purposes, ribs from 19 mammal taxa (18 extant and one extinct), humeri from 62 extant mammal taxa, femora from 16 extant mammal taxa and vertebrae from 11 extant mammal taxa with various phylogenic positions and ecologies were examined (Tables 2–5).

All necessary permits were obtained for the described field studies. We obtained permissions from the various museums/institutions (i.e. AMP, GSJ, IPB, NSM, UFGK, UHR, UMIT and ZFMK) to access the collections. All fossil specimens were collected by the respective museums/institutions, and all extant specimens stored at these museums/institutions were donated by zoos and/or aquariums.

Methods

Almost all specimens (both desmostylians and comparative material; see Tables 1–5) were scanned using either a medical helical CT scanner (RADX-PRATICO, 0.6 mm resolution, 120 kV, 30 mA) at the Graduate School of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University (Japan) or a high-resolution helical CT scanner (GEphoenixX-ray vtomexs, 28–200 μm resolution, 180 kV, 120 mA) at the Institute for Paleontology, University of Bonn (Germany). Image segmentation and visualization were performed using VG-Studio Max (Volume Graphics) version 2.0.

Thin-sections and/or polished sections were made based on the methodology described in [42] and [43]. Prior to sectioning, all desmostylian specimens were photographed and standard measurements were taken. Thin-sections were taken at mid-shaft for long bones and at about mid-length for the ribs (cf. Figure 3). For ribs, additional thin-sections were taken in proximal and distal parts for desmostylian taxa to examine variations along the bone (Figure 3A–F). Thin-sections were photographed with a digital film scanner (Canon Pixus Mp 800) and analyzed with Leica DMLP and Nikon Optiphot2-pol microscopes. Microscopic photos were taken with a Nikon Coolpix 5000. Fracture surfaces of four ribs (UHR 18466) and of a polished section of a femur (GSJ F07748-1) of Desmostylus were examined. Quantifications and the analysis of the distribution of bone density were calculated using the software Bone Profiler [44]. We examined three parameters provided by this software to show the bone density distribution: C, P and S. C is the global bone compactness for the whole sectional area. P is the relative distance from the centre of the section to the point of inflection, where the most abrupt change in compactness is observed. P is thus proportional to the size of the medullary cavity. S is the reciprocal of the slope at the inflection point and generally reflects the width of the transition zone between the cortical bone and the medullary region (see details in [44]). Additionally, maximal diameter (MD) of each cross section was measured.

Figure 2. Two phylogenetic hypotheses of desmostylians. A, Phylogenetic hypothesis following Inuzuka 2005 [13]. B, Phylogenetic hypothesis from Beatty 2009 [4]. C–F, skeletal illustrations of various desmostylians. C, Behemotops. D, Paleoparadoxia. E, Ashoroa. F, Desmostylus. Illustrations by Tatsuya Shimura. Studied taxa in bold. Material of Paleoparadoxia is not identified at the species level.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.g002
A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on the parameters cited above using the statistic software R (http://www.r-project.org/). A Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) was also performed, in order to infer the lifestyle of the desmostylians based on our comparative material, following the methodology described in [45].

The phylogenetic significance of these parameters was tested for the three skeletal elements (rib, humerus and femur). Random tree generation was used in MESQUITE [46] following the method described in [45]. The taxa were incorporated into a consensual phylogenetic tree (consistent with [4,47–51]; also see supplementary information in Text S2–4). The number of steps for the three characters were analyzed and compared to that obtained for 9999 trees generated by randomization of terminal taxa. The number of trees (random and reference) at least as short as the reference tree divided by 10000 gave the probability that the character does not show any phylogenetic signal (H0). H0 is rejected when this number is less than 5% and the phylogenetic signal is thus considered significant.

Gross morphometric data of ribs were measured (Figure 4) in order to quantify the relative development of their periosteal cortices and to assess, on a comparative basis, the possible occurrence of pachyostosis (i.e. increase in bone volume morphologically observable), based on the methodology described in [30]. Two parameters were measured for this purpose: 1) Rib length, an index corresponding to the sum of rib chord + mean rib arrow (i.e. mean of the length of two vectors projected perpendicularly from the chord to the inner and outer rib surfaces at maximum rib bend) and 2) Rib mean circumference, measured at the proximal, middle, and distal thirds of the bone. Cortical development index (CD) corresponds to the division of rib mean circumference by rib length (see [30]). The 6th to 8th ribs were analyzed for each desmostylian taxon (except Behemotops; see below) to evaluate the CD values. In Behemotops, only the 8th rib was examined because

| Species                  | Abb | Collection number     | Part            | C    | P    | S    | MD (mm) |
|--------------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|---------|
| Behemotops katsuiei      | Bk  | AMP 22 (Holotype)     | Femur           | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 72.9    |
|                          |     |                       | 8th rib         | 0.859| 0.088| 0.239| 37.8    |
|                          |     |                       | Fragmentary rib | 0.877| 0.321| 0.125| 40.8    |
|                          |     |                       | 10th th. vert.  | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A.    |
|                          |     | AMP 52                | Fragmentary rib | 0.964| 0.123| 0.083| 42.0    |
| Paleoparadoxia sp.       | Ps  | AMP AK0011            | Humerus         | 0.991| 0.187| 0.056| 71.5    |
|                          |     | AMP AK1001            | Fragmentary rib | 0.888| 0.225| 0.126| 32.0    |
| Ashoroa laticosta        | Al  | AMP 21/URH 31990 (Holotype) | Humerus | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 47.3    |
|                          |     |                       | 6th rib         | 0.904| 0.348| 0.002| 31.0    |
|                          |     |                       | 4th thor. vert. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A.    |
|                          |     |                       | 10th thor. vert.| N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A.    |
|                          |     |                       | 2nd lumbar vert.| N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A.    |
| Desmostylus hesperus     | Dh  | UHR18466 (Keton Specimen) | Humerus        | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 70.5    |
|                          |     |                       | 5th rib         | 0.611| 0.786| 0.137| 47.2    |
|                          |     |                       | 6th rib         | 0.599| 0.719| 0.189| 38.3    |
|                          |     |                       | 11th rib        | 0.586| 0.845| 0.051| 37.9    |
|                          |     |                       | 13th rib        | 0.477| 0.809| 0.068| 38.2    |
|                          |     | GSJ F07743 (Utanobori specimens) | Humerus       | 0.464| 0.788| 0.057| 41.0    |
|                          |     |                       | 4th thor. vert. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A.    |
|                          |     |                       | 10th thor. vert.| N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A.    |
|                          |     |                       | 2nd lumbar vert.| N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A.    |
|                          |     | GSJ F07745-4 (Utanobori specimens) | Ribs          | 0.633| 0.673| 0.119| 27.8    |
|                          |     | GSJ F07745-7 (Utanobori specimens) | Femur         | 0.596| 0.631| 0.162| >38.0   |
|                          |     | GSJ F07748-1          | Femur           | 0.690| 0.606| 0.102| 82.6    |

Bone elements from same specimen number indicates same individual. Abbreviations: °, micro CT; ▲, medical CT; †, thin-section; ††, fracture surface; thora., thoracic; vert., vertebra; N.A., not applicable. Abbreviations for the parameters: C, bone compactness; MD, maximum diameter of cross section; S, width of the transition zone between the cortical bone and the medullary region; P, proportional to the size of the medullary cavity. Abb: list of abbreviations used in Figures 9–11, 13.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.t001

Table 1. List of desmostylian specimens.
which are slightly more limited in the proximal section. As a result osteons are notably small, due to substantial endosteal deposits, outermost cortex. The vascular spaces (i.e. lumen) of the secondary perpendicularly to the periosteal surface are present in the trabecular struts are completely remodeled and thickened by bone (parallel-fibred; see above). In the medullary region, the completely remodeled bone, the medial side still shows primary osteons occur, but simple vascular canals are dominant. The histological features of the cortex are similar to those of Behemotops (Figure 6B–C). Both simple vascular canals and trabeculae with small intertrabecular spaces. It is much tighter in the endosteal-endochondral than in the periosteal territory. The trabecular are antero-posteriorly oriented; they also display a circumferential orientation, except in the innermost part of the bone (Figure 5E–F).

Bone inner structure of Desmostyliya

| Order      | Species              | Abb | Common name | E     | Collection number | C   | P   | S   | MD (mm) |
|------------|----------------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Sirenia    | Trichechus manatus   | Tm  | Manatee     | PA    | NSM M 34694*      | 0.994 | 0*  | 0*  | 77.8    |
| Halitherium schinzii | Halitherium schinzii | Hs  | N.A.        | PA    | IPB M2384*        | 0.980 | 0*  | 0*  | 50.0    |
| Hyracoida  | Procavia capensis    | Prc | Rock hyrax  | T     | NSM M 34971*      | 0.638 | 0.599 | 0.020 | 2.5     |
| Tubulidentata | Orycteropus afer     | Ora | Aardvark     | T     | NSM M 34334*      | 0.563 | 0.648 | 0.040 | 8.8     |
| Artiodactyla | Capra aegagrus       | Ca  | Goat        | T     | UFGK unnumbered   | 0.758 | 0.587 | 0.113 | 11.8    |
|            | Rangifer tarandus    | Rt  | Caribou     | T     | IPB M47*          | 0.720 | 0.509 | 0.083 | 19.2    |
|            | Ovis aries           | Ova | Sheep       | T     | UFGK unnumbered   | 0.617 | 0.764 | 0.107 | 15.2    |
|            | Hippopotamus amphibius | Hpa | Hippopotamus | SA    | AMP R22*A        | 0.731 | 0.499 | 0.072 | 39.6    |
| Perissodactyla | Equus caballus      | Ec  | Horse       | T     | UFGK unnumbered   | 0.747 | 0.653 | 0.142 | 36.3    |
| Carnivora (Pinnipedia excluded) | Meles meles | Mm  | European badger | T     | IPB M4002*        | 0.719 | 0.637 | 0.060 | 7.6     |

| Order      | Species              | Abb | Common name | E     | Collection number | C   | P   | S   | MD (mm) |
|------------|----------------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Martes foina | Martes foina         | Mf  | Beech marten | T     | IPB M4004*        | 0.691 | 0.501 | 0.138 | 2.2     |
|            | Canis lupus familiaris | Cl  | Dog         | T     | UFGK unnumbered   | 0.805 | 0.738 | 0.051 | 9.9     |
|            | Tremarctos ornatus   | To  | Spectacled bear | T     | ZFMK 97.275*      | 0.757 | 0.635 | 0.022 | 13.0    |
| Ursus maritimus | Ursus maritimus     | Um  | Polar bear   | SA    | ZFMK 2005.356*    | 0.690 | 0.616 | 0.085 | 12.2    |
| Pinnipedia | Phoca vitulina       | Pv  | Harbor seal  | D     | IPB M 60          | 0.469 | 0.761 | 0.106 | 7.8     |
|            | Zalophus californianus | Zc  | California Sea lion | D     | ZFMK 49.98*       | 0.556 | 0.736 | 0.135 | 13.7    |
|            | Mirounga leonina     | Ml  | Elephant seal | D     | ZFMK 62.105*      | 0.303 | 0.888 | 0.092 | 25.3    |
| Rodentia   | Castor fiber         | Cf  | Beaver       | SA    | IPB M2*           | 0.820 | 0.401 | 0.063 | 4.7      |
| Cetacea    | Balanoptera brydei   | Bb  | Bryde’s whale | D     | NSM M 32599*      | 0.665 | 0.651 | 0.118 | 45.8    |

Bone elements from same specimen number indicates same individual. Abbreviations: *: micro CT; #: medical CT; ♦: thin-section; †: extinct species; *, original meaningless values set at 0 to conceptualize these osteosclerotic bones as having an infinitely-small medullary cavity and abrupt transition (Laurin, per. comm. 2012); N.A.: not applicable. Abb: list of abbreviations used in Figure 9; E: ecological categories; T: terrestrial; SA: semi-aquatic shallow swimmers or divers; PA: exclusively aquatic poorly active swimmers; D: essentially or exclusively aquatic deep divers.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.t002

Ribs anterior to this one are not known so far (see also [39]). The morphological data of Paleoparadoxia ribs were taken based on [13].

Results

(a) Qualitative Analysis

Desmostylians. Behemotops (Figure 5). Ribs display a particularly dense inner organization with an extremely compact and thick cortex and a compacted medullary region. There is no open medullary cavity but rather a relatively narrow zone (the pseudo-medullary cavity) with several irregularly shaped cavities separated by thick trabeculae (Figure 5A). The outer part is rather thin consisting of parallel-fibered bone tissue with multiple LAGs (lines of arrested growth; it corresponds to lamellar-zonal bone [LZB]) and with a moderate degree of vascularization consisting of longitudinally-oriented primary osteons (Figure 5B–C). The inner part consists of Haversian bone with no remnant of primary bone (Figure 5B). In the proximal section of a rib, all regions are completely remodeled except the outermost cortex on the lateral side. In the mid-shaft section, while the lateral side exhibits completely remodeled bone, the medial side still shows primary bone (parallel-fibred; see above). In the medullary region, the trabecular struts are completely remodeled and thickened by endosteal deposits (Figure 5D). Many Sharpey’s fibers directed perpendicularly to the periosteal surface are present in the outermost cortex. The vascular spaces (i.e. lumen) of the secondary osteons are notably small, due to substantial endosteal deposits, which are slightly more limited in the proximal section. As a result of the increase in endosteal bone deposits, cortical compactness increases from the proximal to the distal part of the rib, and the widths of the cavities in the pseudo-medullary cavity decrease.

Despite the poor resolution of the femur virtual section, this bone also appears very compact, but seems to show a small open medullary cavity.

The vertebrae are cancellous (Figure 5E–F). They consist almost exclusively of spongiosa, the outer layer of compact cortical bone being thin. This spongiosa consists of a tight network of thin trabecular with small intertrabecular spaces. It is much tighter in the endosteal-endochondral than in the periosteal territory. The trabecular are antero-posteriorly oriented; they also display a circumferential orientation, except in the innermost part of the bone (Figure 5E–F).

Paleoparadoxia (Figure 6). Thin-sections of the ribs and of the humerus both show a very compact inner organization (Figure 6A, D). The cortices are almost entirely compact. The medullary region only consists of small cavities (especially in the humerus) separated by thick trabecular struts (Figure 6A, D, F). The histological features of the cortex are similar to those of Behemotops (Figure 6B–C, E). Both simple vascular canals and primary osteons occur, but simple vascular canals are dominant. The vascular network shows a longitudinal organization in both the ribs and the humerus (Figure 6B, E). Generally, vascularization is rather abundant, especially in the ribs.

Ashoroa laticosta (Figure 7). The rib morphology of Ashoroa differs from that of other desmostylians (Figures 3, 4; see also [21]). It is much broader, especially distally, and evokes sirenian ribs.
Table 3. List of comparative humerus specimens.

| Order            | Species                  | Abb | Common name            | E     | Collection number |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----|------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| **Sirenia**      | *Trichechus manatus*     | Tm  | Manatee                | PA    | NSM M 34694*      |
|                  | *Dugong dugon*           | Dud | Dugong                 | PA    | Laurin et al. (2011) |
| **Hyracoidea**   | *Procavia capensis*      | Pc  | Rock hyrax             | T     | NSM M 34971*      |
| **Tubulidentata**| *Orycteropus afer*       | Ora | Aardvark               | T     | NSM M 34334*      |
| **Artiodactyla** | *Hippopotamus amphibius* | Ha  | Hippopotamus           | SA    | AMP R22*          |
|                  | *Sus scrofa*             | Ss  | Domestic pig          | T     | Laurin et al. (2011) |
|                  | *Capra falconeri*        | Caf | Markhor                | T     |                   |
|                  | *Ovis ammon*             | Ova | Sheep                  | T     |                   |
|                  | *Ammotragus lervia*      | Aml | Barbary Sheep          | T     |                   |
|                  | *Antilope cervicapra*    | Ac  | Blackbuck              | T     |                   |
|                  | *Redunca fulvorufula*    | Dad | Mountain reedbuck      | T     |                   |
|                  | *Kobus leche*            | Kl  | Lechwe                 | T     |                   |
|                  | *Bison bison*            | Bb  | American bison         | T     |                   |
|                  | *Taurotragus oryx*       | To  | Common eland           | T     |                   |
|                  | *Bosea oryx oryx*        | Ot  | Nilgai                 | T     |                   |
|                  | *Rangifer tarandus*      | Rt  | Caribou                | T     |                   |
|                  | *Capreolus capreolus*    | Cc  | Roe deer               | T     |                   |
|                  | *Cervus elaphus*         | Ce  | Red deer               | T     |                   |
|                  | *Dama dama*              | Dad | Fallow deer            | T     |                   |
|                  | *Axis axis*              | Axa | Chital                 | T     |                   |
|                  | *Syncerus caffer*        | Sc  | African buffalo        | T     |                   |
| **Perissodactyla**| *Equus burchelli*       | Eb  | Plains zebra           | T     |                   |
| **Carnivora (Pinnipedia excluded)**| *Meles meles*     | Mm  | European badger       | T     | UMMT 08361* |
|                  | *Canis lupus*            | Cl  | Gray wolf              | T     | Laurin et al. (2011) |
|                  | *Panthera leo*           | Pnl | Lion                   | T     |                   |
|                  | *Vulpes vulpes*          | Vv  | Red fox                | T     | NSM M 36987*      |
|                  | *Procyon lotor*          | Prl | Raccoon                | T     | NSM M 34935*      |
|                  | *Prionailurus bengalensis*| Pb  | Leopard cat            | T     | NSM M 19834*      |
|                  | *Acinonyx jubatus*       | Aj  | Cheetah                | T     | NSM M 37279*      |
|                  | *Uncia uncia*            | Uc  | Snow leopard           | T     | NSM M 33876*      |
|                  | *Nyctereutes procyonoides*| Np  | Raccoon dog            | T     | NSM M 37371*      |
|                  | *Gulo gulo*              | Gg  | Wolverine              | T     | NSM M 33044*      |
|                  | *Paguma larvata*         | Pal | Masked palm civet      | T     | NSM M 36806*      |
|                  | *Amblonyx cinereus*      | Anm | Oriental small-clawed-otter | SA | Laurin et al. (2011) |
|                  | *Lutra lutra*            | Li  | European otter         | SA    | NSM M 16201*      |
|                  | *Enhydra lutris*         | El  | Sea otter              | SA    | UMMT 12247*       |
|                  | *Ursus thibetanus*       | Ut  | Asian black bear       | T     | NSM M 35844*      |
|                  | *Ursus maritimus*        | Um  | Polar bear             | SA    | ZFMK 2005.356*    |
| **Pinnipedia**   | *Phoca sibirica*         | Ps  | Baikal seal            | D     | NSM M 29710*      |
|                  | *Phoca caspica*          | Phc | Caspian seal           | D     | NSM M 30044*      |
|                  | *Zalophus californianus* | Zc  | California Sea lion    | D     | NSM M 29641*      |
|                  | *Otaria flavescens*      | Of  | South American sea lion| D     | NSM M 29890*      |
|                  | *Callorhinus ursinus*    | Cu  | Northern fur seal      | D     | NSM M 29642*      |
|                  | *Arctocephalus australis*| Aa  | South American fur seal| D     | Laurin et al. (2011) |
|                  | *Mirounga leonina*       | Ml  | Elephant seal          | D     |                   |
|                  | *Leptonychotes weddellii | Lw  | Weddell seal           | D     | NSM M 29643*      |

* Bone Inner Structure of Desmostyla
### Table 3. Cont.

| Order      | Species                        | Abb | Common name       | E                      | Collection number | C    | P     | S     | MD (mm) |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| Rodentia   | Myocastor coypus               | Mc  | Coypu             | SA                     | Laurin et al. (2011) | 0.814| 0.446| 0.077| 9.2     |
|            | Ondatra zibethicus             | Oz  | Muskrat           | SA                     |                    | 0.825| 0.411| 0.031| 4.3     |
|            | Cavia porcellus                | Cp  | Guinea pig        | T                      |                   | 0.757| 0.49  | 0.079| 3.7     |
|            | Marmota marmota                | Mam | Alpine marmot     | T                      |                   | 0.567| 0.67  | 0.022| 7.5     |
|            | Hydrochoerus hydrochaeris      | Hh  | Capybara          | SA                     |                   | 0.599| 0.626| 0.044| 18.5    |
|            | Hystric Maximus cristata      | Hc  | Crested porcupine | T                      |                   | 0.735| 0.505| 0.04  | 12.3    |
| Erinaceidae| Erinaceus europaeus            | Ee  | European hedgehog | T                      |                   | 0.611| 0.666| 0.03  | 4.8     |
| Macropodida| Macropus rufogriseus           | Mr  | Red-necked wallaby| T                      |                   | 0.599| 0.632| 0.025| 10.5    |
|            |                                 |     |                   | T                      |                   | 0.774| 0.466| 0.052| 12.5    |
| Dasyopodida| Zaedyus pichiy                 | Zp  | Dwarf armadillo   | T                      |                   | 0.849| 0.397| 0.091| 6.9     |
| Talpidae   | Galemys pyrenaicus            | Gp  | Pyrenean desman   | SA                     |                   | 0.801| 0.441| 0.027| 1.9     |
| Ornithorhynchidae | Ornithorhyncha anatinus | Oa  | Platypus          | SA                     |                   | 0.88 | 0.289| 0.134| 7.5     |
| Solenodontida | Solenodon paradoxus           | Sp  | Hispanic solenodon| T                      |                   | 0.531| 0.676| 0.063| 6.3     |
| Cercopithecida | Macaca radiata                | Mr  | Bonnet macaque    | T                      |                   | 0.584| 0.633| 0.048| 9.1     |
|            | Chlorocebus aethiops          | Cha | Rivet             | T                      |                   | 0.681| 0.545| 0.036| 12.1    |
| Cetacea    | Delphinus delphis             | Dd  | Short-beaked      | common dolphin        |                   | 0.562| 0.866| 0.151| 30.5    |
|            | Neophocaena phocaenoides      | Nep | Finless porpoise  | D                      | NSM M unnumbered | 0.631| 0.908| 0.048| 23.1    |

Bone elements from same specimen number indicates same individual. Abbreviations: ◊, micro CT; ▲, medical CT; *, thin-section; *, original meaningless values set at 0 to conceptualize these osteosclerotic bones as having an infinitely-small medullary cavity and abrupt transition (Laurin, per. comm. 2012); N.A., not applicable. Abb: list of abbreviations used in Figure 10. The microanatomical data of some taxa were calculated based on the figures of [74]. E: list of categories as in Table 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.t003

### Table 4. List of comparative femur specimens.

| Order      | Species                        | Abb | Common name       | E                      | Collection number | C    | P     | S     | MD (mm) |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| Artiodactyla | Hippopotamus amphibius         | Ha  | Hippopotamus      | SA                     | AMP R22▲          | 0.794| 0.448| 0.022| 69.7    |
|            | Rangifer tarandus              | Rt  | Caribou           | T                      | IPB M47▲           | 0.441| 0.742| 0.014| 29.0    |
|            | Lama guanicoe                  | Lg  | Guanaco           | T                      | IPM M7388▲         | 0.461| 0.727| 0.024| 30.3    |
|            | Sus scrofa                     | Ss  | Domestic pig      | T                      | IPM M56            | 0.564| 0.655| 0.014| 23.8    |
|            | Capreolus capreolus            | Cc  | Roe deer           | T                      | IPM M1452          | 0.479| 0.716| 0.010| 14.3    |
| Carnivora  | Meles meles                    | Mm  | European badger   | T                      | IPB M4002▲         | 0.559| 0.654| 0.020| 11.5    |
|            | Vulpes vulpes                  | Vv  | Red fox           | T                      | IPB M12            | 0.553| 0.678| 0.017| 10.4    |
|            | Procyon lotor                  | Pl  | Raccoon           | T                      | NSM M 34935▲       | 0.66 | 0.576| 0.016| 12.7    |
|            | Ursus arctos                   | Ur  | Snow leopard      | T                      | NSM M 33876▲       | 0.647| 0.592| 0.012| 20.1    |
| Pinnipedia | Zalophus californianus         | Zc  | California Sea lion| D                      | NSM M 29641▲       | 0.817| 0.439| 0.071| 25.5    |
|            | Phoca vitulina                 | Pv  | Harbor seal       | D                      | IPB M 60           | 0.574 |0.700.063| 22.0    |
|            | Leptonychotes weddelli         | Lw  | Weddell seal      | D                      | NSM M 29643▲       | 0.66 | 0.662| 0.054| 44.9    |
| Rodentia   | Castor fiber                   | Cf  | Beaver            | SA                     | IPB M2▲            | 0.742| 0.488| 0.066| 29.0    |

Bone elements from same specimen number indicates same individual. Abbreviations: ◊, micro CT; ▲, medical CT; N.A., not applicable; Abb: list of abbreviations used in Figure 11. E: list of categories as in Table 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.t004
Ribs are very dense with no true medullary cavity, like those of *Behemotops* and *Paleoparadoxia* (Figure 7A). The lamellar-zonal bone tissue (LZB) is only poorly vascularized (Figure 7B), with a few primary osteons and simple vascular canals longitudinally oriented. In all sections, the thickness of the cortex is not homogenous in the whole section. The lateral side is thicker, suggesting an osseous

![Figure 3. Desmostylian material sectioned in this study (ribs, humeri and femora). A–G. ribs in lateral view. H–J. limb bones in caudal view. A–B, Behemotops katsuiei. AMP 22. C–D, Paleoparadoxia sp. AMP AK1001 (C) and AMP AK1002 (D). E, Ashoroa laticosta AMP 21/UHR 31990. F–G, Desmostylus hesperus UHR 18466 (F) and GSJ F07745-4 (G). H, Paleoparadoxia sp. humerus AMP AK0011. I–J, Desmostylus hesperus femora GSJ F07748-1 (I) and GSJ F07745-4 (J). Sectional planes are represented by dashed lines.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.g003)

**Table 5. List of comparative vertebrate specimens.**

| Order               | Species                  | Common name     | Collection number |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Sirenia             | *Trichechus manatus*     | Manatee         | ZFMK 73.223      |
| Artiodactyla        | *Rangifer tarandus*      | Caribou         | IPB Ma47         |
|                     | *Choeropsis liberiensis* | Pygmy hippopotamus | ZFMK 65.570    |
|                     | *Hippopotamus amphibius* | Hippopotamus    | AMP R22          |
| Carnivora (Pinnipedia excluded) | *Tremarctos ornatus* | Spectacled bear | ZFMK 97.275     |
|                     | *Ursus maritimus*        | Polar bear      | ZFMK 2005.356    |
|                     | *Panthera leo*           | Lion            | ZFMK 2006.031    |
| Pinnipedia          | *Phoca vitulina*         | Harbor seal     | IPB M 60         |
|                     | *Zalophus californianus* | California sea lion | ZFMK 49.98   |
|                     | *Mirounga leonina*       | Elephant seal   | ZFMK 62.105      |
| Rodentia            | *Castor fiber*           | Beaver          | ZFMK 2006.607    |

Bone elements from same specimen number indicates same individual. Abbreviations: ◆, micro CT. ◊

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.t005
of compact bone is extremely thin. It cannot be determined if this feature is a result of ontogeny (as has been observed in squamate vertebrae; cf. [32]) or a characteristic of this taxon.

Comparative Materials

Concerning both ribs and humeri, three main conditions are observed in the microanatomical structure: 1) highly compact bones with no true medullary cavity (e.g. in *Trichechus manatus* [manatee]; Figures 9C, 10C); 2) tubular bones, with a rather thick cortex and a large open medullary cavity (e.g. in *Nyctereutes procyonoides* [raccoon dog]; Figures 9E, 10D–F); 3) spongy bones, with at least most of the cortex and the whole medullary area consisting of spongiosa (e.g. in *Mirounga leonina* [elephant seal]; Figures 9G–J, 10H). The femoral samples showed only two main conditions: the tubular (Figure 11C–F) and spongy (Figure 11G–H) types. Intermediary states are of course observable between these three main conditions, with notable variations in the thickness of the compact cortical layer and of the trabeculae (e.g. much thicker cortical bone in *Hippopotamus amphibius* [hippopotamus] than in *Lama guanicoe* [guanaco]), and in the relative area of the medullary region that is spongiosa. Three main conditions are observed in the microstructure of the vertebrae: 1) bones with thick layers of compact bone surrounding the neural canal and the whole bone and a spongiosa with a tightly packed trabecular network (especially in *Trichechus manatus*, but also, to a lesser extent, in *Hippopotamus amphibius*; Figure 12A–B); 2) extremely thin surrounding layers of compact bone and wide spongiosa with a loose trabecular network (e.g. in all pinnipeds we examined Figure 12C–D); 3) an intermediate state relative to both the thickness of the surrounding compact layers and tightness of the trabecular network (in the terrestrial taxa we analyzed; Figure 12E–F).
(b) Statistical Analysis

**Cortical Development Index (CD).** Variations of the CD values are detected among desmostylian ribs (Figure 4). The CD values in most desmostylian ribs vary between 0.11 and 0.18. The values are higher in *Ashoroa* ribs, varying between 0.16 and 0.26. In each desmostylian skeleton, the CD value decreases from the 4th to the 8th rib.

**Phylogenetic Significance.** The phylogenetic significance of the four quantitative characters (C, MD, P and S) used for the statistical analysis was tested. The combination of the four parameters for the ribs showed no phylogenetic signal (with a probability of 0.09, H0 was accepted). However, the phylogenetic signal was tested independently for the four parameters since the value of probability was rather low. It revealed a clear absence of phylogenetic significance for P and S (probabilities of 0.87 and 0.77 respectively) and a strong phylogenetic signal in C and MD (probabilities of 0.0005 and 0.0001 respectively).

The humerus showed a phylogenetic significance for the whole tree (probability of 0.0082), with C being the only parameter with no phylogenetic signal (probability of 0.09 versus 0.005 for P, 0.0018 for S and 0.0001 for MD).

Concerning the femur, the whole tree does not show a phylogenetic signal (probability of 0.1269). A phylogenetic signal is only found for S and MD (probabilities of 0.0001 and 0.0181 respectively versus 0.29 and 0.67 for C and P respectively).

**Results of the PCA**

**Rib.** The analysis on ribs (Figure 9A) shows the two main axes of the PCA explaining 82.3% of the variance (61.6 and 21.2% respectively). The first axis mainly discriminates based on S and C (projections of 0.34 and 0.33 respectively). It clearly separates sirenians and all desmostylians except *Desmostylus* from the other taxa. This is due to the strong compactness of their ribs and the absence of true medullary cavity, which confers them a compactness profile that is subhorizontal rather than S-shaped (with Bone Profiler), so that the P and S values have no real significance. Pinnipeds are also clearly separate from the others, because of their low compactness and spongy inner structure. On this axis, *Desmostylus* shows values similar to those of terrestrial taxa but closer to those of pinnipeds, like for the cetacean and the polar bear, rather than closer to those of the other desmostylians, like the hippopotamus. The second axis exclusively discriminates based on MD and P (projections of 0.23 and 0.21 respectively). *Ashoroa* separates from *Behemotops* and *Paleoparadoxia* probably because of its smaller medullary area. A second analysis without taking the size into consideration (Figure 9B; explaining 96.1% of the variance)
better distinguishes Desmostylus from the terrestrial taxa (except Ovis aries [sheep] that shows peculiar microanatomical features). Desmostylus appears rather closer to the aquatic taxa.

Humerus. The two main axes of the PCA (Figure 10A) explain 73.5% of the variance (44.8 and 28.7% respectively). The first axis almost exclusively discriminates based on C and P (projection of 0.30 and 0.30 respectively). The graph clearly shows how sirenians and, to a lesser extent, Paleoparadoxia separate well from the other taxa. This result is linked to the presence of strong osteosclerosis and the absence of true medullary cavity in these taxa. The nearest taxon from the comparative sample is Ornithorhynchus (platypus), which are clearly distinguished from the others. Conversely, Ursus maritimus (polar bear), Mirounga, Desmostylus, and Delphinus delphis (common dolphin) show the strongest contrary trend, as a result of the spongy organization of their humerus. The second axis essentially distinguishes based on S (projection of 0.24) and MD (projection of 0.21). The larger sections with the widest transition zone between the cortical bone and the medullary cavity (e.g. Mirounga, Delphinus, Callorhinus [northern fur seal], and Hippopotamus) show the highest values. A second analysis, without MD was conducted and explains 94.1% of the variance (Figure 10B). Both analyses isolate Desmostylus from most of the comparative taxa and group it with Neophocaena phocaenoides (finless porpoise) and Ursus maritimus.

Femur. For the femur, the two main axes explain 88.9% of the variance (62.9 and 25.9% respectively). The first axis correlates with the four variables with a relatively high intensity (projections of 0.28, 0.25, 0.22, and 0.20 for C, P, S and MD respectively). The second axis discriminates based on MD, P, S and, to a lesser extent, C (projections of 0.19, 0.16, 0.16 and 0.11 respectively). The graph clearly separates Desmostylus from the other taxa, probably as a result of its spongy organization and absence of medullary cavity (Figure 11A). The combination of the two analyses (with and without MD; the second graph explaining 98.9% of the variance; Figure 11B) highlights that it is S, as a result of the spongy bone inner organization, that essentially distinguishes aquatic taxa (or of the compact inner structure for the semi-aquatic Castor fiber [beaver]) from the others, and Desmostylus much more than pinnipeds.

Results of the LDA

It must be pointed out that, considering the relative small size of our sample and resulting very small number of specimens for some lifestyle categories, the results described above have to be considered with caution. This analysis was very efficient for the

Figure 6. Paleoparadoxia sp. histological and microanatomical features (rib: AMP AK1001; humerus: AMP AK0011). A–C, mid-shaft section of fragmentary rib. A, whole section. B, cortex in natural light (NL). C, detail of the cortex of the matrix of cortical bone in polarized light (PL). D–F. mid-shaft section of humerus. D, whole section. E, cortex in PL. F, trabeculae in the core of the section. Periphery of the bone at the top. PBR; primary bone remnant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.g006
femur (for which the category ‘exclusively aquatic poorly active swimmers’ [PA] was not represented). It correctly attributed the habitat for 14 (over 16) taxa (88%–100% of the ‘Terrestrial’ [T], 70% of the ‘semi-aquatic shallow swimmers or divers’ [SA] and ‘essentially or exclusively aquatic deep divers’ [D]). The lifestyle of *Desmostylus* was clearly inferred as D. However, the graphical observation of the distribution of the taxa along the two first linear discriminant axes showed *Desmostylus*, although much closer to D than to any other category, clearly outside of D. For the rib and the humerus, the results were much more ambiguous, especially as a result of the difficulty to discriminate the SA, who were highly overlapping with T and D. The habitat was correctly attributed only for 60% (12 over 21–91% of the T, 0% of the SA, 50% of the D, 0% of the PA) and 81% (51 over 63–95% of the T, 30% of the SA, 70% of the D, 100% of the PA) of the taxa, for the rib and humerus respectively. For some categories represented by very few taxa, one wrong attribution could have a strong negative impact on the percentage values given above. The rib was the least discriminant bone in our study. For ribs, the PA category could not even be discriminated at all based on the two specimens available. For these two bones, the lifestyle of the desmostylians could not be inferred reliably. However, the graphical observation of the distribution of the taxa along the two first linear discriminant axes showed desmostylians outside of the T and SA groups. *Desmostylus* is intermediary between D and the T and SA groups for the humerus and within the D category for the rib. The other desmostylians are distributed between T and SA from the one hand and PA from the other hand (Figure 13).

**Discussion**

**Morphological Differences in Desmostylian Ribs**

The cortical development index (CD) was significantly higher in the ribs of *Ashoroa* (0.16–0.26) than in those of the other desmostylians (0.11–0.18; see Figure 4); Buffrénil et al. (2010) [30] previously reported that CD values higher than 0.18 characterize a pachyostotic condition. *Ashoroa* ribs (at least from the 4th to the 6th thoracic ones) can therefore be considered as pachyostotic. The 8th rib of *Behemotops* also shows a relatively high CD value (0.16; cf. Figure 4). However, as no more anterior rib is preserved, and as CD values appear higher in ribs anterior to the 8th in the other desmostylians (cf. Figure 4), it seems highly probable that *Behemotops* ribs are also pachyostotic, although it cannot be proven yet. Pachyostosis in aquatic mammals is only known in some basal cetaceans (e.g. [28,53]) and sirenians [30], which are highly aquatic mammals. Therefore, the presence of pachyostosis in *Ashoroa’s* ribs strongly suggests a fully aquatic mode of life for this taxon.

**Phylogenetic Signal**

MD appears to have a phylogenetic significance in the three bones analyzed. This parameter is mainly an indicator of size. But it also provides information about the general shape of the bones by describing the thickness of a rib and the width of the midshaft of a long bone. The fact that the other parameters show a phylogenetic significance only for some bones and not for all is rather surprising. This might result from the fact that, although these parameters dealing with the bone microanatomical features and size show some degree of phylogenetic significance (which is in accord with previous studies; see e.g. [31,45,54]), the latter is probably rather limited. It seems indeed that the functional requirements are the main drivers of bone microanatomical features.

**Microanatomical Patterns of Desmostylians**

Ribs and long bones of *Behemotops*, *Paleoparadoxia* and *Ashoroa* display a particularly dense inner organization with an extremely compact and thick cortex and a compact medullary region. Except maybe for the femur of *Behemotops*, there is no true medullary
cavity but rather a relatively narrow zone with several irregularly-shaped cavities of various sizes separated by thick trabeculae. The medullary area of Ashoroa's ribs is almost completely filled. This difference between Ashoroa and the other two taxa (Behemotops and Paleoparadoxia) probably explains the differences observed in the PCA (Figure 9A–B and see above). All these three taxa are thus osteosclerotic. The microanatomical features in their ribs and long bones are intermediate between those of sirenians and some semi-aquatic taxa like Ornithorhynchus anatinus; they show a compact structure (cf. Figures 5A, 6A, D, 7A, 9C–D, 10C). Particularly, the ribs of Ashoroa are similar to those of Trichechus manatus in having a completely-filled medullary cavity and a pachyostotic condition. Desmostylus ribs and long bones, on the other hand, show a rather cancellous inner structure. There is no open medullary cavity but a loose spongiosa with thin trabeculae, surrounded by a rather thin compact cortical layer. The microanatomical features of Desmostylus are similar to those of some pinnipeds and cetaceans we examined (Figures 8A–C, 9I–J, 10H, 11G).

Concerning vertebrae, Ashoroa shows features (a relatively thick surrounding layer of compact bone and tightly packed spongiosa; cf. Figure 7C–D) similar to those observed in Trichechus manatus (Figure 12A). Conversely, Behemotops vertebrae (with their tight
trabecular network but rather thin cortex) are more similar to those of *Ursus maritimus* and *Rangifer tarandus* (caribou) (Figures 5E–F, 12E–F). *Behemoctops* seems thus to show a more ‘terrestrial-like’ condition in its vertebral microanatomy. *Desmostylus* vertebral features (extremely thin surrounding layer and relatively loose spongiosa; cf. Figure 8G–H) are similar to those of pinnipeds (Figure 12C–D). Two trends, which differ from what is observed in extant terrestrial taxa, are observed among desmostylian vertebræ. This is consistent with the results obtained from long bones and ribs, and thus in accordance with two distinct adaptations for an aquatic life among desmostylians.

**Bone Histological Patterns of Desmostylians**

Primary periosteal bone shows similar histological features in all the desmostylian bones analyzed. It consists of parallel-fibred bone displaying cyclical growth marks, which is similar to previous reports made on mammalian histology (see [30,55–57]). Various processes can be responsible for osteosclerosis (A.H; pers. obs.). In *Behemoctops, Paleoparadoxia* and *Ashoroa*, there seems to be an excess of secondary bone deposits during remodeling, which fills the intertrabecular spaces, and thus confers on the bones a very high compactness. Osteosclerosis in these taxa appears thus driven by the same processes as in sirenians (cf. [30]). Given that *Ashoroa's* ribs also display pachyostosis (see above), this taxon thus displays pachyosteosclerosis. These results illustrate a similar evolutionary trend between various desmostylian and sirenian ribs in the acquisitions of both osteosclerosis and pachyosteosclerosis through their evolutionary history (see [30]). Conversely, only *Desmostylus* (based on the material we analyzed) displays a spongy inner organization. This appears linked to an intense resorption activity in both the cortical and medullary regions.

Figure 9. Microanatomical clusters of ribs obtained by Principal Component Analysis (PCA). A–B, graphs showing the distribution of the variance in all taxa examined according to the PCA1 and PCA2 axes. A, based on all parameters: C, bone compactness; P, proportional to the size of the medullary cavity; S, width of the transition zone between the cortical bone and the medullary region; and MD, maximum diameter of cross section. B, without the MD parameter. In red arrows are represented the vectors of the microanatomical parameters whose coordinates on the PC are the projections of their eigenvalues. Abbreviations for the taxa in the PCA graphs are described in Tables 1 and 2. C, section of *Trichechus manatus* (manatee). D, section of *Castor fiber* (beaver). E, section of *Hippopotamus amphibius* (hippopotamus). F, section of *Meles meles* (European badger). G, section of *Ursus maritimus* (polar bear). H, section of *Zalophus californianus* (California sea lion). I, section of *Phoca vitulina* (harbor seal). J, section of *Mirounga leonina* (elephant seal). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.g009
Comparisons with Other Mammals

Qualitative comparisons and the results of the PCA provide a basis to discuss lifestyle of these taxa based on their microanatomical features. Concerning ribs, Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia and Ashoroa are clearly distinct from terrestrial taxa as a result of their high compactness and reduced medullary cavity (Figure 9A–B). They are close to but do not group with sirenians, which display much higher compactness indices and more reduced medullary cavities. The closest taxa (sirenians apart) are semi-aquatic ones (particularly Hippopotamus amphibius (hippopotamus). E, section of Procyon lotor (raccoon), F, section of Nyctereutes procyonoides (raccoon dog). G, section of Ursus maritimus (polar bear). H, section of Mirounga leonina (elephant seal). This image (H) is from [7].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.g010

Concerning the humerus, Paleoparadoxia is characterized by a notably high compactness and a small medullary cavity. It is intermediary, again, between sirenians and Ornithorhynchus anatinus (Figure 10A–B), which is semi-aquatic [38]. Desmostylus is close to Ursus maritimus and a cetacean (Neophocaena phocaenoides [finless porpoise]). In the latter, bone microanatomical features are characterized by a relatively thinner cortex and a spongiosa with loosely arranged trabeculae. However, whereas the Ursus maritimus humerus displays a large open medullary cavity, Neophocaena and Desmostylus, like some pinnipeds, lack it.

Concerning the femur, Desmostylus does not group with any extant taxa, but the closest taxa are Phoca vitulina (harbor seal) and Leporonychotes weddelli (Weddell seal) (Figure 11A–B). The bone microanatomical features of these pinnipeds and of Desmostylus are characterized by a rather thin compact cortex, a thick spongiosa with loosely arranged thin trabeculae, and the absence of a large...
open medullary cavity. There is no significant microanatomical difference between these taxa.

The results of the PCA show *U. maritimus* close to aquatic taxa concerning the humerus, and intermediary between aquatic and terrestrial taxa concerning the femur. Despite its morphological features, which do not show particular adaptation for swimming, *U. maritimus* thus displays microanatomical features close to those of active swimmers in its limb bones, particularly the humerus. However, it must be pointed out that, as opposed to these aquatic taxa, *U. maritimus* long bones still display a true medullary cavity. This result, and notably the apparently stronger adaptation of the humerus for an aquatic mode of life, is probably linked to its swimming style because *U. maritimus* uses the forelimbs as the main propulsors during swimming [59].

Desmostylians seem to display similar microanatomies in their fore- and hindlimbs, which suggest a similar involvement in swimming by all the limbs. This hypothesis contradicts a previous study, which, based on morphological data, suggested that *Desmostylus* was a forelimb-dominated swimmer [14]. Results of the LDA are consistent with those of the PCA and reflect the same ecological trends.

**Aquatic Adaptations of Desmostylians**

Previous researchers have proposed distinct hypotheses concerning the mode of life of desmostylians, although they mainly diverged in the interpretation of the degree of adaptation to an aquatic life of these taxa as a whole. Our histological study manages, for the first time, to highlight the variability in ecological patterns within desmostylians, which probably also played a role in the difficulty of understanding the ecology of these taxa. Some researchers proposed that desmostylians were more skillful in swimming than in terrestrial locomotion, like living pinnipeds (e.g., *Phoca vitulina*), which is consistent with the microanatomical features observed in the humerus [59].

Figure 11. Microanatomical clusters of femora obtained by PCA. A–B, graphs showing the distribution of the variance in all taxa examined according to the PCA1 and PCA2 axes. A, based on all parameters, B, without the MD parameter. Abbreviations of parameters are written in figure 9. Abbreviations for the taxa in the PCA graph are described in Tables 1 and 4. C, section of *Hippopotamus amphibius* (hippopotamus). D, section of *Nyctereutes procyonoides* (raccoon dog). E, section of *Lama guanicoe* (guanaco). F, section of *Ursus maritimus* (polar bear). G, section of *Phoca vitulina* (harbor seal). H, section of *Leptonychotes weddelli* (Weddell seal).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.g011
Conversely, some of them suggested that they were bottom walkers like hippopotamids [e.g. 12-13,20]. Domning (2002) [17] and Gingerich (2005) [14], on the other hand, regarded desmostylians as slow, heavy, quadrupedal terrestrial or semi-aquatic animals like polar bears. Barnes and Domning (2006) previously evoked different ecologies between paleoparadoxiids and desmostyliids (although with different conclusions) but in an unpublished abstract without further details [60]. Our results allow discussion of these various hypotheses.

Microanatomical features of the ribs and long bones of Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia and Ashoroa differ significantly from those of terrestrial taxa, as well as those of H. amphibius and U. maritimus, in showing relatively high compactness. This pattern is similar to that of sirenians, although the high compactness observed in these desmostylians remains relatively lower than that observed in sirenians. The same trend is observed in Ashoroa vertebrae, which are similar to those of T. manatus. Conversely, whereas its ribs are similar to those of Paleoparadoxia and Ashoroa (i.e. osteosclerotic), Behemotops vertebrae resemble those of U. maritimus. The thin-sections of Paleoparadoxia humerus and virtual sections of Behemotops and Ashoroa femora, strongly suggest a high compactness. However, whereas the medullary cavity is absent in Ashoroa and Paleoparadoxia, a reduced one seems to occur in Behemotops. Desmostylians microanatomical features are also distinct from those of extant terrestrial taxa. Ribs resemble those of some pinnipeds and cetaceans in displaying a spongy inner organization without an open medullary cavity. Long bones, although distinct from those of the extant taxa analyzed, show trends similar to those of some pinnipeds (femur) and intermediate features between those of some cetaceans, pinnipeds and U. maritimus (humerus). Vertebrae are similar to those of pinnipeds in being particularly lightly built.

In Desmostylians, bone microanatomical features thus illustrate trends toward an adaptation for active swimming, via their more spongy organization and lack of a medullary cavity.

The bone microanatomical specializations of desmostylians (i.e. bone mass increase and a spongier inner organization) indicate that all desmostylians were probably predominantly, if not exclusively, aquatic. Our study shows the presence of bone mass increase...
Abbreviations for the desmostylian taxa like in Tables 1.

Figure 13. Result of the Linear Discriminant Analyses (LDA) performed on A- femora, B- ribs and C- humeri. LD1 and LD2: first and second discriminant axis, respectively. Polygons represent the limits of the various ecological categories for comparative materials (see Tables 2–4). Abbreviations for the desmostylian taxa like in Tables 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059146.g013

(BMI; e.g. [29,61]; here osteosclerosis and pachyosteosclerosis) in the long bones of Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia and Ashorea and an increase in compactness in their vertebrae. Osteosclerosis is essentially observed in relatively slow swimmers living in shallow marine environments, either hovering slowly at a preferred depth, or walking on the bottom (e.g. [29,61]). The presence of BMI is rather incompatible with a terrestrial mode of life and rather suggests an essentially or exclusively aquatic life. It can thus be considered that Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia and Ashorea were at least essentially aquatic. It cannot be stated if they were still able to come on land for some occasions, such as giving birth. On the other hand, our study shows that Desmostylus displays microanatomical features (notably the spongious inner organization without an open medullary cavity) characteristic of relatively active swimmers requiring efficient swimming abilities (e.g. manoeuvrability, speed) and relying on a hydrodynamic buoyancy and body trim control [27,29], such as cetaceans and pinnipeds. These taxa display in some of their bones an osteoporotic-like status, i.e. a non-pathological condition with a thinning of the compact cortical bone thickness, and an expansion of the marrow cavity and/or of the spongiosa [27]. The spongiosa appears however looser and the layer of compact cortex thicker in Desmostylus than in extant cetaceans. Desmostylus bone microanatomical features appear thus more similar to those of pinnipeds. These results strongly suggest that Desmostylus was a more active swimmer than the other desmostylians we analyzed.

These results are consistent with those from some previous morphological studies, suggesting differences in the limb morphology between Desmostylus and other desmostylians [21,62]. They notably suggested that all desmostylians show some degree of aquatic adaptation in their morphology, and that Desmostylus is much more aquatic than the other desmostylians ([16,63] contra [60]).

A previous isotopic study suggested that Desmostylus spent much of its time in water, foraging on aquatic vegetation in estuarine or freshwater environments [64]. Our microanatomical data on desmostylians suggest that Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia and Ashorea lived in near-shore shallow water environments, whereas Desmostylus might have also lived in more open marine environments. The microanatomical pattern displayed by Desmostylus is only known in marine taxa; conversely, bone mass increase has also been observed in freshwater taxa; choristoderans (e.g. [29]). Although desmostylian remains are found in shallow marine sediments (e.g. [65–66]), a more open marine life remains possible for Desmostylus.

Our study concludes that all desmostylians were adapted to an aquatic life, that they were probably living in a coastal marine environment, and that only Desmostylus acquired abilities for a more active swimming and thus displayed a distinct mode of life.

Most desmostylians are considered herbivorous taxa feeding on sea grasses [1,36]. This is consistent with the mode of life suggested based on the occurrence of bone mass increase. However, the peculiar microanatomical features of Desmostylus suggest a different feeding strategy. Desmostylus could have fed more at the surface, on floating vegetation; so that it would not have required to control its buoyancy negatively. However, Trichechus, although it also dives in shallow-water environments, often swims at the surface or just below the surface (e.g. [67]), which does not prevent it from displaying bone mass increase [30]. The hypothesis of a link with surface swimming in Desmostylus would thus be consistent only if this taxon was indeed almost exclusively swimming at the surface. It was also suggested that Desmostylus had a dentition pattern suggesting a more abrasive diet than other desmostylians [1] and that it might be a suction feeder feeding on invertebrates like the walrus [68–69]. We could wonder why increased swimming abilities could have been selected in Desmostylus if it did not need to pursue its prey. To conclude, although it cannot yet be elucidated, the microanatomical data agree with the differences observed in Desmostylus dentition pattern, to suggest a different feeding strategy in this taxon, as compared to the other desmostylians.

Bone Microanatomical Evolution among Mammalia

Through the secondary aquatic adaptation of mammalian lineages, sirensians and basal cetaceans acquired bone mass increase (e.g. [28,30]). Conversely, recent cetaceans acquired an osteoporotic-like pattern (e.g. [27,29]). An evolutionary shift in bone microanatomy from bone mass increase to an osteoporotic-like pattern, although observed in some extinct groups of marine reptiles (e.g. mosasauroids [70–71] and plesiosaurs [72]), has so far
only been documented in cetaceans among mammals (e.g. [26,20]). Our study shows a trend toward this change in osseous specialization in Desmostylus and so reveals that such a shift from a highly compact to a spongy inner organization also occurred in the evolutionary history of desmostylians.

Conclusions

(1) Desmostylian bone microanatomical features clearly show that they were essentially aquatic.

(2) Two types of adaptation to an aquatic life are observed within desmostylians. Indeed, while bone microanatomical features in Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia and Ashora are relatively similar to those of sirenians, and suggest an adaptation to shallow marine environments, either hovering slowly at a preferred depth or walking on the bottom, those of Desmostylus resemble those of pinnipeds and suggest adaptation for a more active swimming.

(3) Desmostylians are, with cetaceans, the second mammal group showing a shift in bone microanatomical specialization in their evolutionary history, from bone mass increase (in Behemotops, Paleoparadoxia and Ashora) to a spongy inner organization (in Desmostylus).
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