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Abstract – In this letter we propose discrete wave turbulence (DWT) as a counterpart of classical statistical wave turbulence (SWT). DWT is characterized by resonance clustering, not by the size of clusters, i.e. it includes, but is not reduced to, the study of low-dimensional systems. Clusters with integrable and chaotic dynamics co-exist in different sub-spaces of the k-space. NR-diagrams are introduced, a graphical representation of an arbitrary resonance cluster allowing to reconstruct uniquely dynamical system describing the cluster. DWT is shown to be a novel research field in nonlinear science, with its own methods, achievements and application areas.
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Introduction. – In the years between 1960 and 1990 a great volume of research has been performed in the area of wave interactions [1], a comprehensive account of theory and experiment can be found in [2]. The notion of a wave kinetic equation was introduced [3]. Using some statistical assumptions, general methods for deriving kinetic equations and their stationary solutions (energy spectra) were developed and statistical wave turbulence (SWT) theory was founded [4], with finite-size effects left aside. Their preliminary studies were performed in [5,6], where it was established that nonlinear resonances are divided into dynamically independent, non-intersecting clusters. Explicit constructing of resonance clustering became a challenge of great intricacy, because no analytical methods for solving resonance conditions were known (the problem in its general form is equivalent to Hilbert’s 10th problem [7]). Brute-force computer computations do not help either, while integers to be dealt with are too big. The problem has been recently solved [8]. For a complete resonance set, classical resonance curves [9] are not anymore a suitable representation. Instead, representation by a hyper-graph on a plane was introduced in [10] (for 3-wave systems), which allows to extract uniquely the dynamical system describing each cluster. The study of resonant clustering yielded a model of laminated turbulence [11] which gives a kinematic explanation of co-existence of STW and DWT in turbulent wave systems. These and other results, both for 3- and 4-wave systems, are reviewed below, as well as their physical relevance and application areas.

Why are predictions of SWT theory often not corroborated? – The SWT theory assumes weak nonlinearity, randomness of phases and infinite-box limit, i.e. the resonance broadening \( \Omega \) is greater than the spacing \( \delta_\omega \) between adjacent wave modes

\[
\Omega > (\partial \omega/\partial k)2\pi/L,
\]

where \( L \) is the box size. Additionally, the existence of an inertial interval \( (k_0,k_1) \) is assumed, where energy input and dissipation are balanced. If \( k \gg k_1 \), dissipation suppresses the nonlinear dynamics (see fig. 1, the upper panel). If \( k \ll k_0 \), finite-size effects take place which are due to boundary conditions and should be regarded separately.

In the last decade, this standard view proved to be incomplete while finite-size effects are well observable within the inertial interval ([12–14], etc.). As was shown in [11], this is due to the notorious small-divisor problem: each small divisor leaves a gap in the power spectrum, shown as an empty circle in fig. 1, lower panel. The radius \( R \) of each circle can be computed using the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem [15] for a big class of dispersion functions, which covers various types of water waves, oceanic planetary waves, drift waves in laboratory plasma etc. Gaps corresponding to exact and quasi-resonances are shown by yellow squares. Some gaps (blue diamonds) correspond to discrete modes that do not take part in resonances and just keep their initial energy on the corresponding time-scale [5]. Accordingly, for 3- and
4-wave resonance conditions taken in the form
\[ \omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega_3 + \Omega, \quad k_1 + k_2 = k_3, \quad \text{and} \quad (2) \]
\[ \omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega_3 + \omega_4 + \Omega, \quad k_1 + k_2 = k_3 + k_4, \quad \text{correspondingly,} \quad (3) \]
correspondingly, 3 types of solutions of (2), (3) must be distinguished:

I: exact resonances, \( \Omega = 0 \);

II: quasi-resonances, \( 0 < R \leq \Omega \leq (\partial \omega / \partial k)2\pi / L \);

III: approximate interactions, \( \Omega \gg (\partial \omega / \partial k)2\pi / L \).

Types I and II are the subject of DWT theory while Type III is covered by SWT theory. Resonance clusters of the first two types include low-dimensional systems but are not reduced to them: some clusters consist of hundreds or even thousands connected triads or quartets. These considerations justify the two-layer model of laminated turbulence, consisting of discrete and continuous (statistical) layers, presented in [11], where the notion of DWT was first introduced.

**Exact resonances.** – Minimal possible clusters in three- and four-wave systems are triads and quartets correspondingly, they are called primary clusters. Cluster of arbitrary structure can be constructed from primary clusters, as well as its dynamical system. All constructions below for concreteness are presented for 2D-wave vectors.

**Resonance clustering in three-wave systems.** To construct complete resonance clustering in a given spectral place, three consequent steps have to be performed.

**At the first step,** each resonant mode with wave vector \( k = (m, n) \) is presented by a node of the two-dimensional integer lattice \( (m, n) \) and every three nodes constituting a solution of (2) are connected by lines. The resulting graph is called geometrical structure (see [10], fig. 1, upper panel).

**At the second step,** topological structure is extracted, which consists of all connected components found in the geometrical structure. Primary clusters are shown as triangles and their dynamical systems have standard form
\[ \mathbf{B}_1 = Z \mathbf{B}_2^* \mathbf{B}_3, \quad \mathbf{B}_2 = Z \mathbf{B}_1^* \mathbf{B}_3, \quad \mathbf{B}_3 = -Z \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{B}_2, \quad (4) \]
differing only in magnitudes of the coupling coefficient \( Z \). Due to Hasselmann’s criterion of nonlinear wave instability [16], dynamical properties of the \( \omega_3 \)-mode with the highest frequency differ from those with smaller frequencies. We follow [17] denoting \( \omega_3 \)-mode as \( A \)-mode and two other as \( P \)-modes.

**At the third step,** a hyper-graph with marked arcs, is constructed whose (hyper-)vertexes are triangles constructed at the previous step (see fig. 2, upper panel). To simplify graphical presentation for bigger clusters, we propose a NR-diagram presentation (NR for nonlinear resonance), without letters and arrows but with two types of half-edges instead: bold lines for \( A \)-mode and dotted lines for \( P \)-mode (see fig. 2, lower panel).

Given a NR-diagram, the corresponding dynamical system is constructed by coupling 4, 3 or 2 systems of the form (4) and equaling appropriate \( B_i \) and \( B_j \). For instance, the dynamical system of the two-triad cluster shown in fig. 2 (it is unique, up to the change of indices 1 \( \leftrightarrow \) 2 and 4 \( \leftrightarrow \) 5) reads
\[ \begin{cases} B_1 = Z B_2^* B_3, & B_2 = Z B_1^* B_3, & B_3 = -Z B_1 B_2, \\ B_4 = \tilde{Z} B_5^* B_6, & B_5 = \tilde{Z} B_4^* B_6, & B_6 = -\tilde{Z} B_4 B_5, \end{cases} \]
\[ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} B_1 = B_4 \text{ (PP-connection)}, & B_3 = B_5 \text{ (AP-connection)} \end{cases} \]
We have studied resonance clustering in data sets computed for various 2D-wave systems (different types of water waves, oceanic and atmospheric planetary waves, drift waves, etc.) in the model spectral domain \( |m|, |n| \leq 10^3 \). In average, about 80–90% of all clusters consist of only one or two connected triads, though bigger clusters of a few thousand connected triads were also observed. Some clusters have integrable dynamics [18,19], depending on coupling coefficients \( Z \) and/or on initial conditions and/or connection type, while dynamics of other clusters is chaotic.

**A fact of the major importance is:** chaotic behavior is observable already in the systems consisting of two triads (AA-connection via one vertex, \( Z/\tilde{Z} = 0.75 \), work in progress). On the other hand, clusters of special form may be integrable for arbitrary finite number of triads [19].

Fig. 1: (Colour on-line) Schematic representation of classical SWT (upper panel) and both SWT and DWT (lower panel). Here \( E, k \) and \( (k_0, k_1) \) are notations for energy, wave number and inertial interval correspondingly.
mean that clusters with chaotic and integrable dynamics co-exist, in different sub-spaces of the k-space.

Summarizing, an arbitrary cluster appearing in a 3-wave system can be represented by a NR-diagram with 1 type of vertices and two types of half-edges: bold lines (A-connection) and dotted lines (P-connection). Each edge identifies then connection types AA, AP or PP. The presentation is sufficient to reconstruct uniquely the dynamical system of an arbitrary cluster.

Resonance clustering in four-wave systems. Any 3-wave resonance generates energy transport over the scales in the k-space; this is not the case for 4-wave systems where three types of energy transport are observed: a) over scales, b) over angles, and c) mixed cascades, including both scale and angle resonances [15]. Correspondingly, our NR-diagram representation of a 4-wave system has two types of vertices — circles for angle resonances and squares for scale resonances. Another important dynamical difference between 3- and 4-wave systems is that for quartets, no generic criterion of instability is known [16]; however, for the special case of $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ or $\omega_3 = \omega_4$, the 3-wave criterion can be used, of course. To keep information about the vertices corresponding to the terms on the left and right side of (3), in geometrical representation each quartet consists of two edges (bold lines) connecting vertices from the same side of (3) and two diagonals (dashed lines). Accordingly, in NR-diagram representation, connections are possible via a vertex (a single bold line), edge (double bold line), edge-diagonal (double, bold and dashed line), diagonal-diagonal (double, dashed and dashed line), notated as V-, E-, ED- and DD-connections correspondingly (see fig. 3). Notice that connection types A and P in a 3-wave system, shown in corresponding NR-diagrams, define dynamical characteristics of a connection. In a 4-wave system, connection types V, E and D do not hold dynamical information in the general case, only in some particular cases.

Diagrams for DWT vs. diagrams for SWT. – Various diagram techniques, beginning with Feynman diagrams, are widely used for description of STW. NR-diagram is a tool to represent DWT; main differences between these two types of diagrams can be formulated as follows. 1) In a Feynman diagram each vertex represent a particle, which corresponds to a single wave in the topological representation of a cluster, while in NR-diagram vertexes are primary clusters — resonant triads or quartets, depending on the order of resonance in the wave system under consideration. 2) Only a sum of all Feynman diagrams represents possible interactions of a given particle with other particles. On the contrary, one NR-diagram represents completely a resonance cluster. 3) A Feynman diagram does not allow computing the amplitudes of the scattering process, it only gives a contribution corresponding to one term in the perturbation expansion. On the contrary, NR-diagram allows to reconstruct uniquely dynamical system whose solutions are the amplitudes of resonance cluster.

Energy transport in DWT. – The two-layer model of laminated turbulence gives only a kinematic explanation for the generic co-existence of SWT and DWT. Numerical simulations with Hamiltonian dynamical equations [14] demonstrate the same. But we do not
know anything about dynamical mechanisms underlying
this co-existence. The problems to cope with are the following:
In SWT, energy flow is described by energy
spectrum $k \rightarrow w$ which, in particular, means that $a)$ waves
with wavelengths of the same order also have energies of the
same order; $b)$ the magnitude of the initial energy
of the system, $E_0$, is not important —only the fact that $E_0 \approx$ cons is used; and $c)$ wave phases are random.

Statements a)–c) do not hold in DWT. Indeed, in many
3-wave systems waves with wavelengths of order $k$ and
$k^2$ can interact resonantly (“relaxed” locality, [6]), in
4-wave systems waves with arbitrarily big difference in
wavelengths can resonate (no locality, [8]). This means
that the magnitudes of mode energies are not defined
by the scales in the $k$-space but by the initial values of
mode energies and phases. The importance of phases in
low-dimensional systems has been realized long ago [20],
but no analytical expression for phases was known. The
most compact expressions for energy and phase evolution
in DWT regimes presently known to us read

$$E_{\text{mode}}(T) \sim \frac{1}{\mu} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(2j+1)/2}}{1 - q^{2j+1}} \sin(2i + 1) \pi \frac{T - t_0}{\tau} \right]^2,$$

$$\cot |\varphi(T)| \sim \frac{q}{Z^4} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i}{1 - q^{2i+1}} \sin(2i + 1) \pi \frac{T - t_0}{\tau} \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2j + 1) q^j}{1 - q^{2j+1}} \cos(2j + 1) \pi \frac{T - t_0}{\tau},$$

where $E_{\text{mode}}$ is the energy of a resonant mode in
a 3-wave system and $\varphi$ is the dynamical phase [18] which is
a combination of initial phases corresponding to the
resonance conditions (2). Here $Z$ is the coupling coefficient
from (4) and depends on wave numbers; $\mu, \tau, t_0$ and $q$
are known expressions, including elliptic integrals, elliptic
functions, their nomes and modula. They depend on wave
numbers, initial energy and initial energy distribution
within a triad: not only $E_0$ is important but also the
part of it contained in each mode. Expressions similar
to (5), (6) can probably be obtained for a 4-wave system,
along the lines given in [21]. An important fact is that
for a fixed resonant triad and fixed initial conditions,
$Z, \mu, \tau, t_0, q$ are constants, i.e. (5), (6) can be used directly
for computing exactly energy and phase evolution of
modes in primary clusters. These formulas can also be
used for computing approximately energy and phase evolution in clusters of more general structure (for further
discussion see [22], chapt. 4).

Summary

Phillips pointed out in [23] that SWT theory has
reached its limitations and “new physics, new math-
ematics and new intuition is required” for understand-
ing discrete effects in turbulent wave systems. DWT
theory provides reliable description of these effects
and is indeed a natural counterpart to the classical
SWT. In particular, this means that the classical
notion of wave interactions is not sufficient and might
even be misleading for describing a generic turbulent
wave system while it does not allow to distinguish
between discrete and statistical regimes. Notions of
exact resonances, quasi-resonances and approximate
interactions should be used.

-- DWT is characterized by clustering, and not by the
number of modes in particular clusters which can be
fairly big. This means that, though chaotic dynamics
can be accounted for in DWT, it cannot be generally
described by kinetic equations: each cluster has its
own independent dynamics, sometimes integrable,
sometimes not. Clusters of a given form generate
persisting patterns that are observable in laboratory
experiments ([24], gravity water waves) and are found
in measured data ([25], atmospheric planetary waves).
Their existence might give a better explanation of
such well-known phenomena as the Benjamin-Feir
instability [26] (for a discussion, see [27]) or freak
waves (work in progress).

-- Numerical simulations [28] show (for gravity water
waves) that interactions of types I and II are observable
on the linear time scale, not on the time scale
$O(t/E^4)$ as predicted by SWT theory. This indicates
that DWT theory might provide a more appropriate
foundation for a short-term forecasts.

-- In some physical systems, only SWT is observable [29]
on-site measurements of tidal currents), in others
—only DWT [12] (laboratory experiments). Co-
existence of SWT and DWT has been demonstrated
in numerical experiments [14] (gravity water waves)
where this regime was called mesoscopic wave turbu-
ence. Including additional physical parameters could
yield the transition from SWT to DWT [30] (capil-
larly water waves, with and without rotation). Fluid
mechanics examples do not exhaust the application
areas of DWT, which include (similar to classical
SWT) biology, medicine, astronomy, chemistry,
sociology, etc. Presently, the problem of utmost
importance is to obtain a better understanding of the
SWT $\Leftrightarrow$ DWT energy transport.
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