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Abstract

We study the dimensional continuation of the sphere free energy in conformal field theories. In continuous dimension $d$ we define the quantity $\tilde{F} = \sin(\pi d/2) \log Z$, where $Z$ is the path integral of the Euclidean CFT on the $d$-dimensional round sphere. $\tilde{F}$ smoothly interpolates between $(-1)^{d/2} \pi/2$ times the $a$-anomaly coefficient in even $d$, and $(-1)^{(d+1)/2}$ times the sphere free energy $F$ in odd $d$. We calculate $\tilde{F}$ in various examples of unitary CFT that can be continued to non-integer dimensions, including free theories, double-trace deformations at large $N$, and perturbative fixed points in the $\epsilon$ expansion. For all these examples $\tilde{F}$ is positive, and it decreases under RG flow. Using perturbation theory in the coupling, we calculate $\tilde{F}$ in the Wilson-Fisher fixed point of the $O(N)$ vector model in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ to order $\epsilon^4$. We use this result to estimate the value of $F$ in the 3-dimensional Ising model, and find that it is only a few percent below $F$ of the free conformally coupled scalar field. We use similar methods to estimate the $F$ values for the $U(N)$ Gross-Neveu model in $d = 3$ and the $O(N)$ model in $d = 5$. Finally, we carry out the dimensional continuation of interacting theories with 4 supercharges, for which we suggest that $\tilde{F}$ may be calculated exactly using an appropriate version of localization on $S^d$. Our approach provides an interpolation between the $a$-maximization in $d = 4$ and the $F$-maximization in $d = 3$. 
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1 Introduction and Summary

An important problem in $d$-dimensional relativistic Quantum Field Theory (QFT) is to uncover general constraints on the Renormalization Group flow. When an RG trajectory connects a short-distance (UV) fixed point with a long-distance (IR) one, in some $d$ it has been possible to prove that a certain quantity, which characterizes the long-range degrees of freedom, is greater in the UV than in the IR. The first such inequality was proven in two space-time dimensions [1], i.e. for $d = 2$, and is commonly known as the $c$ theorem, since $c$ is the standard notation for the Virasoro central charge of a $d = 2$ Conformal Field Theory. This quantity is also the Weyl anomaly coefficient and is proportional to the Stefan-Boltzmann constant of the theory at finite temperature. Soon after the seminal theorem [1] was established, a quest began for its generalization to $d > 2$. In $d = 4$, there are two Weyl anomaly coefficients, and it was conjectured [2] that the coefficient that multiplies the Euler density (in modern terminology it is called $a$) decreases along RG flow. Over the years, this conjecture received support from studies of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric field theory where $a$ is determined by the $U(1)_R$ symmetry [3], and the correct $U(1)_R$ charges are fixed by
the principle of \( a \)-maximization \[4\]. A general proof of the \( a \)-theorem has become available relatively recently \[5,6\].

There is a considerable similarity between the theorems in \( d = 2 \) and \( d = 4 \), since both of them concern the quantity that can be extracted from the free energy on the Euclidean sphere \( S^d \) of radius \( R \): \( F = - \log Z_{S^d} \). In \( d = 4 \) the Weyl anomaly coefficient \( a \) may be extracted from the logarithmic term, \( F = a \log R + \ldots \). The same is true in \( d = 2 \), where the standard central charge is then defined via \( c = -3a \). Therefore, the two-dimensional \( c \)-theorem is a particular example of a class of \( a \)-theorems that may hold in all even dimensions, where \( a \) is the coefficient of the \( \log R \) dependence of the sphere free energy (alternatively, it is the Weyl anomaly coefficient which multiplies the Euler density). In odd dimensions, however, there is no Weyl anomaly, and therefore the appropriately regularized \( S^d \) free energy \( F \) is completely independent of the radius \( R \). Several years ago it was conjectured that, in odd dimensional RG flows, this regularized free energy \( F \) satisfies inequalities similar to those satisfied by \( a \) in even dimensions \[7–9\]. In the most physically interesting case \( d = 3 \), a proof of the \( F \)-theorem has been presented \[11\], relying on its exact relation with the entanglement entropy across a circle \[12\].

The conjecture \[8\] that \( F_{UV} > F_{IR} \) in \( d = 3 \) was inspired by studies of RG flows with \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supersymmetry, where exact results are available via localization \[13\,15\]. When the \( U(1)_R \) charges of a \( d = 3 \) superconformal theory are not fixed by the superpotential, they are determined via the \( F \)-maximization principle \[8\,14\,16\], which is analogous to the \( a \)-maximization in \( d = 4 \). In this paper we will suggest an explicit connection between the \( a \)- and \( F \)-maximization by finding an appropriate maximization principle in continuous dimension for theories with four supercharges.

When the supersymmetric localization methods cannot be applied, the problem of calculating \( F \) is in general difficult. Results are available for free CFTs and for large \( N \) theories with double trace operators \[9\,17\,20\], but one is often interested in finding \( F \) for non-supersymmetric CFTs that are strongly interacting and contain a small number of fields. For example, the most common second-order phase transition in 3-d statistical mechanics is in the universality class of the Ising model, which may be described by the \( d = 3 \) Euclidean QFT of a real scalar field with a \( \lambda \phi^4 \) interaction.

A well-known generalization of the \( \lambda \phi^4 \) theory is to \( O(N) \) symmetric theory of \( N \) real scalar fields \( \phi^i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), with interaction \( \frac{1}{4}(\phi^i \phi^j)^2 \). For small values of \( N \) there are physical systems whose critical behavior is described by this \( d = 3 \) QFT. When \( N \) is sufficiently

\footnote{For \( d = 1 \), this coincides with the much earlier work on the \( g \)-theorem \[10\], where \( g = \log Z_{S^1} \).}
large, one can develop $1/N$ expansions for scaling dimensions of various operators using the
generalized Hubbard-Stratonovich method \[21–29\]. Similarly, it is not hard to calculate $F$
in these $d = 3$ CFTs, including the $O(N^0)$ correction \[9\].

$$F = \frac{N}{16} \left(2 \log 2 - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}\right) - \frac{\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2} + O(1/N) \approx 0.0638N - 0.0152 + O(1/N) . \quad (1.1)$$

The correction of order $1/N$ has not been found yet. Even if it becomes available, this
asymptotic expansion may not turn out to be very useful for low values of $N$, since the
available results for operator scaling dimensions exhibit rather poor convergence of the $1/N$
expansion. \[2\] For example, \[21–23\]

$$\Delta_\phi = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{0.135095}{N} - \frac{0.0973367}{N^2} - \frac{0.940617}{N^3} + O(1/N^4) \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Delta_\phi^2 = 2 - \frac{1.08076}{N} - \frac{3.0476}{N^2} + O(1/N^3) , \quad (1.3)$$

while the numerical values of these scaling dimensions for $N = 1$ (the 3-d Ising model) are
known to be $\Delta_\phi \approx 0.518$ and $\Delta_\phi^2 \approx 1.41$ \[34–37\]. Discarding the $O(1/N^3)$ term in the
anomalous dimension of $\phi^i$, whose coefficient is very large, we obtain the approximation
$\gamma_\phi \approx 0.38$, which is twice as big as the actual value. The $1/N$ expansion is even less useful
for estimating the dimension of $\phi^2$ in the 3-d Ising model.

Luckily, there exists another approximation scheme – the $\epsilon$ expansion \[38\] – that has
led to better estimates for the IR scaling dimensions of composite operators. Instead of
working directly in $d = 3$, one studies the physics as a function of the dimension $d$. In the
$O(N)$ symmetric theory with the $\frac{1}{4}(\phi^i\phi^i)^2$ interaction, there is evidence that the IR critical
behavior occurs for $2 < d < 4$, and significant simplification occurs for $d = 4 - \epsilon$ where
$\epsilon \ll 1$. Then the IR stable fixed point of the Renormalization Group occurs for $\lambda$ of order $\epsilon$,
so that a formal Wilson-Fisher expansion in $\epsilon$ may be developed \[38\]. The coefficients of the
first few terms tend to fall off rapidly; for example, the anomalous dimension of $\phi^i$ is \[39\]

$$\gamma_\phi = \frac{N + 2}{4(N + 8)^2} \epsilon^2 + \frac{(N + 2)(-N^2 + 56N + 272)}{16(N + 8)^4} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4) . \quad (1.4)$$

Setting $\epsilon = 1$ provides rather precise approximations to the known experimental and numer-

\[2\] A more fundamental approach to the $O(N)$ symmetric CFTs relies on the ideas of conformal bootstrap
\[30–33\], and recently it has led to precise numerical calculations of the operator scaling dimensions in three-
dimensional CFT \[34–36\]. However, this approach has not yet shed light on the 3-sphere free energy $F$.\[3\]
ica results for low values of $N$ \[34, 41\].

This raises the hope that the $4-\epsilon$ expansion of the sphere free energy will also provide a good approximation. In this paper we will demonstrate, through a number of explicit calculations, that this is indeed the case. As a first step, in Section 2 we calculate it for the free conformally coupled scalar and massless fermion. We find that the quantity

$$\tilde{F} = \sin(\pi d/2) \log Z_{S^d} = - \sin(\pi d/2) F$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)$$
is a smooth positive function of $d$ whose $\epsilon$ expansion indeed converges well. For odd integer $d$, $\tilde{F} = (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \log Z_{S^d}$, in accord with the proposal of [9]. It also has a smooth limit $(-1)^{d/2} \pi a/2$ as $d$ approaches an even integer, since the pole in $F$ is canceled by the zero of $\sin(\pi d/2)$. Thus, the definition (1.5) proves to be very convenient for interpolating between the Weyl anomaly $a$ coefficients in even $d$ and the $F$ values in odd $d$. In Section 3 we further demonstrate this by studying the large $N$ CFTs perturbed by double-trace operators in continuous dimension $d$. The sphere free energies in such theories were studied in [9, 17, 19], and their dimensional continuation was carried out in [18, 20]. Using these results, we show that the quantity $\tilde{F}$ defined in (1.5) decreases for double-trace RG flow in all $d$, provided the operator dimensions obey the unitarity bound. Studying other relevant deformations that cause a unitary UV CFT to flow to a unitary IR CFT, we consistently find that $\tilde{F}_{UV} > \tilde{F}_{IR}$ for all dimensions $d$. This raises a tantalizing possibility that the $a$-theorem in even integer dimensions and the $F$-theorem in odd integer dimensions are special cases of the $\tilde{F}$-theorem valid in continuous dimension.

In Section 4 we depart from the large $N$ limit and consider the specific example of Wilson-Fisher CFTs [38]. We perturb the CFT of $N$ free scalars by the operator $\lambda (\phi^i \phi^i)^2$, which is slightly relevant in $d = 4-\epsilon$. Using perturbative methods similar to those used in [2, 9] for slightly relevant operators on $S^d$, we find the $\epsilon$ expansion of $\tilde{F}$ valid for all $N$:

$$\tilde{F} = N \tilde{F}_s(\epsilon) - \pi \frac{N(N + 2)}{576 (N + 8)^2} \epsilon^3 - \pi \frac{N(N + 2)(13N^2 + 424N + 1840)}{6912 (N + 8)^4} \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5), \hspace{1cm} (1.6)$$

where $\tilde{F}_s$ is the free conformal scalar result (2.5). For the $d = 3$ Ising model ($N = 1$), this expansion converges well and suggests that $F_{3d Ising}/F_s \approx 0.96$. This result, which is consistent with the $F$-theorem, makes $F_{3d Ising}$ the smallest known $F$-value for a unitary CFT.

---

3One should note that the $\epsilon$-expansion is only asymptotic, and extracting precise predictions from the higher orders in perturbation theory typically requires some resummation techniques, see e.g. [42] for a review.
theory in \( d = 3 \). For comparison, we note that \( c_T \), the coefficient of the stress tensor 2-point function, is also known for the 3-d Ising model to be close to the free field value. The conformal bootstrap results give \( c_T^{3d \text{ Ising}} / c_s^8 \approx 0.9466 \) \cite{34, 43}.

In Section 5 we carry out the dimensional continuation of interacting theories with 4 supercharges. We keep the dimension of the anti-commuting directions of superspace fixed, while varying the number of spatial coordinates. In this fashion, theories with \( N = 1 \) supersymmetry in \( d = 4 \) are smoothly deformed into theories with \( N = 2 \) SUSY in \( d = 3 \), and with \( N = (2,2) \) SUSY in \( d = 2 \). In Section 5.1 we study the Wess-Zumino model with superpotential \( W \sim X^3 \). This theory, which could be regarded as the simplest \( N = 2 \) supersymmetric generalization of the Ising model, possesses a weakly coupled IR fixed point in \( d = 4 - \epsilon \). We develop the \( \epsilon \) expansion using perturbation theory, and for \( \epsilon = 1 \) compare it with the exact results from the localization on \( S^3 \) \cite{13, 15}, finding excellent agreement. In \( d = 2 \) the \( W \sim X^3 \) model describes the first member \((k = 1, c = 1)\) of the series of \( N = (2,2) \) superconformal minimal models with central charges \( c = 3k/(k + 2) \) \cite{44}. Setting \( \epsilon = 2 \) we find very good agreement with this exact result.

In Section 5.2 we argue that the supersymmetric localization on \( S^3 \) \cite{13, 15} can be generalized to continuous \( d \). For the Wess-Zumino models that contain only chiral multiplets, we propose an explicit function of their scaling dimensions, defined in (5.22) and (5.23), that has to be maximized in arbitrary \( d \). In \( d = 4 \) our \( \tilde{F} \) maximization reproduces the \( \alpha \)-maximization of [4], while in \( d = 3 \) the \( F \)-maximization of [8, 14, 16]. We compare the \( \epsilon \) expansion for the \( W \sim X^3 \) model with the exact results as a function of \( d \), finding excellent agreement. In Section 5.3 we study a more complicated model with superfields \( X \) and \( Z^i \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots N \), and the \( O(N) \) symmetric superpotential \( W \sim X \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z^i Z^i \). In this model the scaling dimensions are not fixed by the superpotential, and we carry out the \( \tilde{F} \) maximization, making contact with the results of [45] in \( d = 4 - \epsilon \), and of [46] in \( d = 3 \). We show that the \( \epsilon \)-expansion of the anomalous dimension, found in [45], is in agreement with our proposal of \( \tilde{F} \) maximization.

Among the motivations for studying the sphere partition functions for the \( d \)-dimensional Euclidean CFTs where the dynamical fields transform in the vector representation of \( O(N) \) or \( U(N) \), is their conjectured duality with the interacting higher spin theories in AdS\(_{d+1} \) \cite{47, 50} (for a review, see \cite{51}). While so far the duality has been tested in integer dimensions \cite{50, 52, 56}, it may apply in continuous \( d \). In particular, as suggested in [47], it would be interesting to carry out the \( 4 - \epsilon \) expansion in the Vasiliev higher spin theory \cite{57, 62} and compare the results with those obtained in this paper.
2  $\tilde{F}$ for free fields

The eigenvalues and degeneracies of the Laplacian acting on fields of general spin on $S^d$ are known, and so it is not hard to compute $F$ for free fields in arbitrary dimension. In the case of conformally coupled scalars or massless fermions, a shortcut which yields a compact representation of the free energy is to use the known results for the change in $F$ under double-trace flows [17–20] (this will be reviewed in Section 3 below). In this approach, one computes the determinant of the non-local kinetic operator of the auxiliary Hubbard-Stratonovich field, which is essentially the two-point function of a conformal primary of dimension $\Delta$. When $\Delta$ is equal to the dimension of a free conformal field (i.e., $\Delta = d/2 - 1$ for a scalar and $\Delta = (d - 1)/2$ for a spin 1/2 fermion), this two-point function is, as an operator, the inverse of the appropriate kinetic operator on $S^d$, and hence their determinants are inverse of each other. Adopting this approach, one arrives at the following simple representations of $F = -\log Z_{S^d}$ for free conformal scalars and spin 1/2 fermions\footnote{The mass-like term in the scalar kinetic operator arises from conformal coupling to the $S^d$ curvature, and we have set the radius to one.}

$$
F_s = \frac{1}{2} \log \det \left( -\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{4} d(d - 2) \right)
= -\frac{1}{\sin(\pi d/2)\Gamma(1 + d)} \int_0^1 du \sin \pi u \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + u \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - u \right)
$$

(2.1)

$$
F_f = -\frac{1}{\text{tr} \mathbf{1}} \log \det \left( i\nabla \right)
= -\frac{1}{\sin(\pi d/2)\Gamma(1 + d)} \int_0^1 du \cos \left( \frac{\pi u}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1 + d + u}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1 + d - u}{2} \right)
$$

(2.2)

Here $\text{tr} \mathbf{1} = 2^{d/2}$ is the trace of the identity in the Dirac matrices space. For convenience, we have defined $F_f$ to be independent of this factor so that it represents the $F$ value of a single Fermion component. For instance, in $d = 3$, $F_f$ as defined above corresponds to the contribution of a single Majorana fermion. In all examples discussed below, we will not need to continue $\text{tr} \mathbf{1}$ to non-integer dimensions, but rather we will relate theories where $\text{tr} \mathbf{1}$ is held fixed.

These expressions are valid for any $d \geq 2$ and give a natural analytic continuation of $F$ to non-integer dimensions. It can be checked that in odd integer $d$ they reproduce the known
values of $F$ for free fields given in Tables 1 and 2 of [9], e.g. in $d = 3$

$$F_s = \frac{\log 2}{8} - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{16\pi^2} \simeq 0.0638071, \quad F_f = \frac{\log 2}{8} + \frac{3\zeta(3)}{16\pi^2} \simeq 0.10948.$$ \hfill (2.3)

On the other hand, near even $d$, these expressions have simple poles whose coefficients reproduce the known $a$-anomalies. For instance, in $d = 4 - \epsilon$

$$F_s = \frac{1}{90\epsilon} + \ldots, \quad F_f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{11}{180\epsilon} + \ldots$$ \hfill (2.4)

which correspond respectively to the $a$-anomaly coefficient of a real scalar and half of that of a Weyl fermion in $d = 4$ (recall that in (2.2) we have divided by $\text{tr} \mathbf{1}$, so that in $d = 4$ (2.2) corresponds to half the contribution of a Majorana or Weyl fermion).

The presence of the $\sin(\pi d/2)$ factor in the denominator of (2.1)-(2.2) suggests that it is natural to consider the quantity $\tilde{F} \equiv -\sin(\pi d/2)F$, so that

$$\tilde{F}_s = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+d)} \int_0^1 du u \sin \pi u \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} + u\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{d}{2} - u\right),$$ \hfill (2.5)

$$\tilde{F}_f = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+d)} \int_0^1 du \cos \left(\frac{\pi u}{2}\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{1 + d + u}{2}\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{1 + d - u}{2}\right).$$ \hfill (2.6)

While $F$ oscillates between positive and negative values and has poles near even integer dimensions, one can see that $\tilde{F}$ is finite, smooth and positive in the continuous range of dimensions. In particular, since it is a finite quantity\footnote{Of course, there are power law divergences which are regulated away in dimensional regularization.} $\tilde{F}$ is independent of the radius of $S^d$. It smoothly interpolates between $(-1)^{(d-1)/2}$ times the $F$-values in odd $d$, and $(-1)^{d/2}\pi/2$ times $a$-anomaly coefficients in even $d$. For example, in $d = 2, 4, 6$ one gets

$$d = 2 \quad : \quad \tilde{F}_s = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^1 dx \, x^2 = \frac{\pi}{6},$$

$$d = 4 \quad : \quad \tilde{F}_s = \frac{\pi}{24} \int_0^1 dx \, x^2(1 - x^2) = \frac{\pi}{180}$$

$$d = 6 \quad : \quad \tilde{F}_s = \frac{\pi}{720} \int_0^1 dx \, x^2 \left(4 - 5x^2 + x^4\right) = \frac{\pi}{1512}$$ \hfill (2.7)

and similarly for the fermions. Plots of $\tilde{F}_s$ and $\tilde{F}_f$ for $2 \leq d \leq 4$ are given in Fig. 1. $\tilde{F}_f$ can be smoothly continued to $d < 2$, and for $d = 1$ we find $\log 2$, which is the value of the quantity $g = \log Z_{S^1}$ introduced in [10].

The smoothness of $\tilde{F}$ suggests that it is a useful quantity to consider in the framework of
Figure 1: $\tilde{F}$ for free conformal scalar and fermion (the vertical axis is on a logarithmic scale, so we actually plot $-\log \tilde{F}$). $\tilde{F}$ is positive for all $d \geq 2$, and smoothly interpolates between $\pi^2/2(-1)^{d/2}a$-anomalies in even $d$ and $(-1)^{(d+1)/2}F$ in odd $d$. For example, the values $\tilde{F} = \pi/6$ and $\tilde{F} = \pi/12$ correspond respectively to central charges $c = 1$ and $c = 1/2$ for a free scalar and Majorana fermion in $d = 2$.

The $\epsilon$-expansion. To further support this claim, in the rest of the paper we will present several examples of computations of $\tilde{F}$ in interacting theories that have a natural continuation to non-integer dimensions. As a first illustration that the $\epsilon$-expansion of $\tilde{F}$ provides reasonable approximations, we can consider the explicit expansion of $\tilde{F}_s$ and $\tilde{F}_f$ in $d = 4 - \epsilon$. A straightforward calculation starting from (2.5), (2.6) yields the result

\[
\tilde{F}_s = \frac{\pi}{180} - \frac{\pi (9 + 16\gamma + 240\zeta'(-1) + 480\zeta'(-3))}{2880} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2),
\]

\[
\tilde{F}_f = \frac{11\pi}{720} - \frac{\pi (21 + 44\gamma + 480\zeta'(-1) - 480\zeta'(-3))}{2880} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2).
\]

The numerical expansions are

\[
\tilde{F}_s = \frac{\pi}{180} + 0.0205991 \epsilon + 0.0136429 \epsilon^2 + 0.00690843 \epsilon^3 + 0.00305846 \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5)
\]

\[
\tilde{F}_f = \frac{11\pi}{720} + 0.0388187 \epsilon + 0.0163383 \epsilon^2 + 0.00484844 \epsilon^3 + 0.00116604 \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5)
\]

Setting $\epsilon = 1$ in these expressions gives $\tilde{F}_s \simeq 0.0617$ and $\tilde{F}_f \simeq 0.1092$, which are quite close to the exact values (2.3) in $d = 3$.

As an aside, we note that, since $\tilde{F}_s$ and $\tilde{F}_f$ are smooth functions of $d$, it is not hard to develop their large $d$ expansions. Using the asymptotic expansion of the $\Gamma$-function for large
argument, we find
\[
\tilde{F}_s = 2^{1-d} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} d^{-3/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{3(3\pi^2 - 16)}{4\pi^2 d} + \frac{5(29\pi^4 - 352\pi^2 + 1536)}{32\pi^4 d^2} + \ldots \right] \tag{2.10}
\]
and
\[
\tilde{F}_f = 2^{1-d} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} d^{-1/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\pi^2 - 16}{4\pi^2 d} + \frac{\pi^4 - 160\pi^2 + 1536}{32\pi^4 d^2} + \ldots \right] \tag{2.11}
\]
Note that at large \( d \) this implies
\[
\frac{\tilde{F}_f}{\tilde{F}_s} = d - 2 + \frac{8}{\pi^2} + \frac{8(\pi^2 - 12)}{\pi^4 d} + \ldots \tag{2.12}
\]
which agrees with the structure found by a numerical interpolation of the \( a \)-anomaly coefficients [63].

### 2.1 Free massive fields

It is straightforward to derive the value of \( \tilde{F} \) for free massive fields for arbitrary \( d \). The eigenvalues and degeneracies for the scalar laplacian on the unit \( d \)-dimensional sphere, \( S^d \), are
\[
\lambda_n = n(n + d - 1), \quad d_n = \frac{(2n + d - 1)\Gamma(n + d - 1)}{n!\Gamma(d)}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.13}
\]
The free energy for a scalar of mass \( m \) is then
\[
F_s(m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \log \left( n(n + d - 1) + \frac{1}{4}d(d - 2) + m^2 \right). \tag{2.14}
\]
The \( m = 0 \) case corresponds to the conformally coupled scalar. Taking a derivative with respect to \( m^2 \) allows for a direct evaluation of the sum, and one gets
\[
\frac{\partial F_s(m)}{\partial m^2} = -\frac{1}{2\Gamma(d)} \Gamma \left( \frac{d - 1}{2} + i\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d - 1}{2} - i\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \right) \cosh \left( \pi \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \right) \tag{2.15}
\]
and so
\[
\bar{F}_s(m) = \bar{F}_s + \int_0^{m^2} dm^2 \frac{\partial F_s(m)}{\partial m^2}, \tag{2.16}
\]
where $\tilde{F}_s$ is the value corresponding to the conformal scalar (2.5). For example, in $d = 3$ one obtains, in agreement with [9],

$$\tilde{F}_s(m) = \frac{\log 2}{8} - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{16\pi^2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \int_0^{m^2} dm^2 \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \coth \left( \frac{\pi \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}}{4} \right).$$

(2.17)

In $d = 4$

$$\tilde{F}_s(m) = \frac{\pi}{180} - \frac{\pi}{24} m^4.$$  

(2.18)

Similarly, the eigenvalues and degeneracies for the Dirac operator on $S^d$ are

$$\lambda_n = \pm (n + \frac{d}{2}), \quad d_n = \frac{\Gamma(n + d)}{\Gamma(d)n!}.$$  

(2.19)

and so

$$F_f(m) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \log \left( (n + d/2)^2 + m^2 \right).$$  

(2.20)

This leads to

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{F}_f(m)}{\partial m^2} = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(d)} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + im \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - im \right) \frac{\sinh(\pi m)}{m}.$$  

(2.21)

and finally

$$\tilde{F}_f(m) = \tilde{F}_f + \int_0^{m^2} dm^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_f(m)}{\partial m^2}.$$  

(2.22)

In $d = 3$ one obtains [9],

$$\tilde{F}_f(m) = \frac{\log 2}{8} + \frac{3\zeta(3)}{16\pi^2} + \frac{\pi}{8} \int_0^{m^2} dm(1 + 4m^2) \tanh(\pi m)$$

(2.23)

and in $d = 4$

$$\tilde{F}_f(m) = \frac{11\pi}{720} + \frac{\pi}{12} m^2 + \frac{\pi}{24} m^4.$$  

(2.24)

# 3 Double-trace flows in large $N$ CFT’s

Let us a consider a CFT perturbed by the square of a primary scalar operator of dimension $\Delta$

$$S_{\text{CFT}_\Delta} = S_{\text{CFT}} + \lambda \int d^d x O^2_\Delta.$$  

(3.1)

We assume that the CFT has a large $N$ expansion, so that for large $N$ correlation functions factorize: $\langle O^2_\Delta O^2_\Delta \rangle \simeq \langle O_\Delta O_\Delta \rangle^2$. For example, the CFT could be a matrix-type theory and
$O_\Delta$ a single-trace operator, or we could consider a vector model with $O_\Delta$ being a bilinear in the fundamental fields.

A standard way to analyze the perturbed CFT is to introduce an auxiliary Hubbard-Stratonovich field $\sigma$

$$S_{\text{CFT},\lambda} = S_{\text{CFT}} + \int d^dx \sigma O_\Delta - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int d^dx \sigma^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Then one can show that, for $\Delta < d/2$, the perturbed CFT flows to a large $N$ IR fixed point where $O_\Delta \sim \sigma$ has dimension $d - \Delta + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$ [17, 64]. If $\Delta > d/2$, then the theory has a formal large $N$ UV fixed point, where $O_\Delta \sim \sigma$ has again dimension $d - \Delta + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$.

At the fixed point, the quadratic term in $\sigma$ in (3.2) can be neglected, and one can develop a $1/N$ perturbation theory using the induced kinetic term for $\sigma$

$$S^{(2)}(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^dx d^dy \sigma(x)\sigma(y)\langle O_\Delta(x)O_\Delta(y)\rangle_0 \quad (3.3)$$

where the subscript '0' denote correlators in the unperturbed CFT. Then, to leading order in the $1/N$ expansion, the change in the sphere free energy induced by the “double-trace” deformation is given by the determinant of the non-local kinetic operator for the $\sigma$ field

$$\delta F_\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \log \det \langle O_\Delta O_\Delta \rangle_0 + \mathcal{O}(1/N) \quad (3.4)$$

where $\delta F_\Delta$ denotes the change in $F$ due to the $O_\Delta^2$ perturbation. The two-point function of a primary of dimension $\Delta$ on the sphere is fixed by conformal invariance to be (up to unimportant overall factors)

$$\langle O_\Delta(x)O_\Delta(y)\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{s(x,y)^{2\Delta}} \quad (3.5)$$

where $s(x,y)$ is the chordal distance on $S^d$. Expanding this two-point function in spherical harmonics, one ends up with the following expression for the determinant in (3.4) [17, 18]

$$\delta F_\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \log \frac{\Gamma(n+\Delta)}{\Gamma(n+d-\Delta)} \quad (3.6)$$

where $d_n$ are the scalar degeneracies given in (2.13) [6]. Note that for $\Delta = d/2 - 1$, the  

---

6Here we assume that the sum is evaluated using dimensional regularization, where the sum over degeneracies $d_n$ vanishes [18]. In this approach, the conformal anomaly arises as a pole in dimensional regularization close to even integer $d$.
eigenvalues coincide with the inverse of the eigenvalues for the conformally coupled laplacian (2.13), which implies that for this value of $\Delta$ the formula (3.6) can be also used to obtain the value of $F$ for a free conformal scalar, as explained in the previous section.

Taking a derivative with respect to $\Delta$, performing the sum and integrating back yields the final answer [18]

$$\delta F_{\Delta} = \Gamma(-d) \int_0^{\Delta - \frac{d}{2}} du \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{2} - u\right) - \Gamma \left(\frac{d}{2} + u\right)}{\Gamma \left(1 - u - \frac{d}{2}\right) - \Gamma \left(1 + u - \frac{d}{2}\right)} \right]$$

(3.7)

where we have used the identity $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \pi / \sin(\pi z)$. Equivalently, in terms of $\tilde{F}$:

$$\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + d)} \int_0^{\Delta - \frac{d}{2}} du \sin \pi u \Gamma \left(\frac{d}{2} + u\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{d}{2} - u\right) .$$

(3.8)

Note that setting $\Delta = d/2 - 1$ and changing the overall sign (since this computes the determinant of the two-point function rather than the kinetic operator), this indeed agrees with (2.5).

Similarly, one can consider a CFT perturbed by the square of a spin 1/2 operator of dimension $\Delta$. Introducing a fermionic Hubbard-Stratonivich field and computing the determinant of its induced kinetic operator, one arrives at the final result [19][20]

$$\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta, f} = \frac{2\text{tr}1}{\Gamma(1 + d)} \int_0^{\Delta - \frac{d}{2}} du \cos(\pi u) \Gamma \left(\frac{d + 1}{2} + u\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{d + 1}{2} - u\right) .$$

(3.9)

Setting $\Delta = (d - 1)/2$ and changing overall sign, this reproduces the free fermion result in (2.6).

A simple example of such double-trace flow is provided by the $O(N)$ symmetric scalar field theory with quartic interaction

$$S = \int d^d x \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \phi^i \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \phi^i \phi^i \right)^2 \right] .$$

(3.10)

For $2 < d < 4$, this theory flows to the well-known Wilson-Fisher IR fixed point, which can be studied in the framework of the $\epsilon$-expansion in $d = 4 - \epsilon$. At large $N$, the quartic interaction term can be viewed as a double-trace deformation of the type described above, with $\Delta = d - 2$, and it is straightforward to compute $\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta}$ using eq. (3.8). For $d = 3$, one
obtains
\begin{equation}
\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=1} = -\frac{\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/N) .
\end{equation}

It is known that the UV fixed points of the $O(N)$ non-linear sigma model in $d > 2$ provide an alternative description of the same critical CFT. The 3d $F$-theorem $F_{UV} > F_{IR}$ then implies that the critical CFT should satisfy
\begin{equation}
(N - 1)F_s < F_{\text{critical}} < NF_s
\end{equation}
where the right inequality comes from the description as IR fixed point of the quartic theory, and the left one from the non-linear sigma model point of view. Equivalently, this implies in $d = 3$ that $-F_s < \delta F_{\Delta=1} < 0$, which is indeed seen to be true from eq. (2.3) and (3.11). A natural question is whether the quantity $\tilde{F}$ also satisfies $\tilde{F}_{UV} > \tilde{F}_{IR}$ in continuous dimensions. This would imply by the same logic that $-\tilde{F}_s < \delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=d-2} < 0$. Using (3.8) and (2.5), one can verify that this is indeed true in the whole range $2 < d < 4$. This provides some evidence for the validity of the $\tilde{F}$ theorem in continuous $d$.

For later reference, let us also work out the explicit $\epsilon$ expansion of $\delta \tilde{F}$. Using (3.8), a short calculation yields the result
\begin{equation}
\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=d-2} = -\frac{\pi}{576} \epsilon^3 - \frac{13\pi}{6912} \epsilon^4 + \left( \frac{\pi^3}{13824} - \frac{647\pi}{414720} \right) \epsilon^5 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6) , \quad d = 4 - \epsilon .
\end{equation}
Setting $\epsilon = 1$, this yields the estimate $\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=d-2} \approx -0.0140$, while the exact $d = 3$ result (3.11) is $\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=1} = -0.0152 \ldots$. Including a few more orders in the $\epsilon$-expansion quickly improves the agreement with the exact answer.

The quartic $O(N)$ theory (3.10) was also recently reconsidered in the range $4 < d < 6$. In $d = 4 + \epsilon$, the model has a formal UV fixed point at negative coupling. It was recently proposed that the same interacting CFT can be described as the IR fixed point of a $O(N)$ symmetric cubic theory with $N + 1$ scalars in $d = 6 - \epsilon$, which is unitary for sufficiently large $N$ [65]. Then, the condition $\tilde{F}_{UV} > \tilde{F}_{IR}$ implies in $4 < d < 6$ that $NF_s < \tilde{F}_{\text{critical}} < (N+1)F_s$, or, in terms of $\delta \tilde{F}$
\begin{equation}
0 < \delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=d-2} < \tilde{F}_s , \quad 4 < d < 6 .
\end{equation}
This was checked to be true in $d = 5$ [65]. Using (3.8) and (2.5), we have verified that in fact it holds in the whole range $4 < d < 6$. This provides additional evidence for the validity of the $\tilde{F}$ theorem in continuous $d$. 

13
Let us also work out the expansion of $\delta \tilde{F}$ near six dimensions:

$$
\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta = d - 2} = \frac{\pi}{1512} + \frac{\pi \left(- \frac{31}{2} - 30 \gamma - 378 \zeta'(-1) + 378 \zeta'(-5)\right)}{45360} \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad d = 6 - \epsilon.
$$

(3.15)

The leading contribution is indeed equal to $\pi/2$ times the anomaly coefficient of a free massless scalar in $d = 6$ (see (2.7)), in precise agreement with the description of the critical CFT in terms of the cubic theory in $d = 6 - \epsilon$. Subtracting the contribution of one free scalar, we get

$$
\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta = d - 2} - \tilde{F}_s = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + d)} \int_{d/2 - 2}^{1} du \sin \pi u \Gamma \left(\frac{d}{2} + u\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{d}{2} - u\right) = -\frac{\pi}{960} \epsilon^2 - \frac{19\pi}{43200} \epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4).
$$

(3.16)

This subtraction will allow for a more direct comparison with the perturbative calculation for the cubic scalar theory in $d = 6 - \epsilon$ in the next section.

Another interesting CFT example is the Gross-Neveu model [66] in the dimension range $2 < d < 4$

$$
S = \int d^d x \left(\bar{\psi}_i \partial \psi^i + \frac{g}{2} (\bar{\psi}_i \psi^i)^2\right),
$$

(3.17)

where $\psi^i$ are $\tilde{N}$ Dirac fermions. This theory has perturbative UV fixed points in $d = 2 + \epsilon$. At large $N = 2^{[d/2]} \tilde{N}$, one can study these fixed points using the Hubbard-Stratonovich approach described above, in the whole range $2 < d < 4$ (for $d > 4$, the fixed points become non unitary). Remarkably, it was found that this critical fermionic theory has an alternative, “UV complete”, description in terms of the IR fixed points of a Gross-Neveu-Yukawa (GNY) model in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ [67–69]. The GNY model includes an extra propagating scalar field interacting with the fermions via the Yukawa interactions

$$
S_{GNY} = \int d^4 x \left(\bar{\psi}_i \partial \psi^i + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \mu \sigma)^2 + g_1 \sigma \bar{\psi}_i \psi^i + \frac{1}{24} g_2 \sigma^4\right).
$$

(3.18)

The existence of the two alternative descriptions of the same CFT imply that, if $\tilde{F}_{UV} > \tilde{F}_{IR}$, then

$$
N \tilde{F}_f < \tilde{F}_{GN} < N \tilde{F}_f + \tilde{F}_s.
$$

(3.19)

At large $N$, in terms of $\delta \tilde{F}_\Delta$ defined in (3.8), this implies

$$
0 < \delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta = d - 1} < \tilde{F}_s, \quad 2 < d < 4.
$$

(3.20)
Note that in this case $\Delta = d - 1$, which is the dimension of the $\bar{\psi}_i \psi^i$ operator in the free CFT. This inequality was checked to be true in $d = 3$ \cite{footnote1,footnote2}, where $\delta F_{\Delta=2} = +\frac{\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2}$. Using the dimensionally continued results \cite{footnote3,footnote4}, we have verified that it holds in the full range $2 < d < 4$ (and it is violated for $d > 4$, where the theory becomes non-unitary). Finally, since it will be useful in the next section, let us quote the explicit expansion of $\delta F_{\Delta=d-1}$ near four dimensions. Setting $d = 4 - \epsilon$ and expanding for small $\epsilon$, one finds

$$
\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=d-1} = \frac{\pi}{180} - \frac{\pi \left( 9 + 16\gamma + 240\zeta'(1) + 480\zeta'(-3) \right)}{2880} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)
$$

(3.21)

The first two terms are the same as the free scalar result in $d = 4$, which is a nice test that near four dimensions one gets an extra propagating scalar, as in \cite{footnote1}. This suggests that it is useful to consider the difference

$$
\delta \tilde{F}_{\Delta=d-1} - \tilde{F}_s = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+d)} \int_{d/2-1}^1 du u \sin \pi u \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + u \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - u \right) = -\frac{\pi \epsilon^2}{96} - \frac{\pi}{192} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4).
$$

(3.22)

This result will be compared with a perturbative calculation in the GNY model in the next section.

4 Weakly coupled fixed points in the $\epsilon$-expansion

4.1 $O(N)$ scalar theory in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ and the Ising model

The action for the $O(N)$ quartic scalar field theory in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ is

$$
S = \int d^d x \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \phi^i_0 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{4} \left( \phi^i_0 \phi^i_0 \right)^2 \right)
$$

$$
= \int d^d x \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \phi^i \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda \mu^\epsilon}{4} \left( \phi^i \phi^i \right)^2 + \frac{\delta_\phi}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \phi^i \right)^2 + \frac{\delta_\lambda \mu^\epsilon}{4} \left( \phi^i \phi^i \right)^2 \right).
$$

(4.1)

Here we have written the first line in terms of bare fields and coupling, and the second line in terms of renormalized fields and dimensionless coupling $\lambda$, with $\mu$ the renormalization scale. The counterterms $\delta_\phi$ and $\delta_\lambda$ are known up to five loop order in dimensional regularization \cite{footnote5,footnote6}. The leading terms read

$$
\delta_\lambda = \frac{N + 8 \lambda^2}{8 \pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ldots, \quad \delta_\phi = -\frac{N + 2 \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ldots.
$$

(4.2)
The corresponding $\beta$-function in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ is

$$\beta = -\epsilon \lambda + \frac{N + 8}{8\pi^2} \lambda^2 - \frac{3(3N + 14)}{64\pi^4} \lambda^3 + \ldots \quad (4.3)$$

Then, one can see that there is a perturbative IR fixed point at a critical coupling $\lambda_*$ given by

$$\lambda_* = \frac{8\pi^2}{N + 8} \epsilon + \frac{24(3N + 14)\pi^2}{(N + 8)^3} \epsilon^2 + \ldots \quad (4.4)$$

For $N = 1$ and $\epsilon = 1$, this fixed point describes the Ising model in $d = 3$.

We now want to conformally map the theory to $S^d$ and compute the sphere free energy $F$ at the IR fixed point. The action of the model on the sphere is the same as (4.1), provided we covariantize it and add the conformal coupling term $\frac{d(d-2)}{4} (\phi^i\phi^i)$. The free energy to order $\lambda^3$ is then given by

$$F - F_{\text{free}} = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4^2} \left( \lambda^2 + 2\lambda \delta \lambda \right) \mu^{2\epsilon} \int d^d x d^d y \sqrt{g_x} \sqrt{g_y} \langle \phi^4(x)\phi^4(y) \rangle_0$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda^3 \mu^{3\epsilon}}{6 \cdot 4^3} \int d^d x d^d y d^d z \sqrt{g_x} \sqrt{g_y} \sqrt{g_z} \langle \phi^4(x)\phi^4(y)\phi^4(z) \rangle_0 + O(\lambda^4) \quad (4.5)$$

where $F_{\text{free}} = NF_s$, and $\phi^4 \equiv (\phi^i\phi^i)^2$. Here we have used the fact that $\langle \phi^4 \rangle_0$, being a one-point function in a (free) CFT, vanishes. Note that the wave function renormalization $\delta \phi$ does not enter at this order (it will affect the order $\lambda^4$ and higher). The two and three point functions of the free theory on the sphere read

$$\langle \phi^4(x)\phi^4(y) \rangle_0 = 8N(N + 2) \left( \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1)}{4\pi^{d/2}} \right)^4 \frac{1}{s(x,y)^{2(d-4)}}$$

$$\langle \phi^4(x)\phi^4(y)\phi^4(z) \rangle_0 = 64N(N + 8)(N + 2) \left( \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1)}{4\pi^{d/2}} \right)^6 \frac{1}{[s(x,y)s(y,z)s(z,x)]^{2d-4}} \quad (4.6)$$

where $s(x,y)$ is the chordal distance. In the stereographic coordinates where the metric of $S^d$ with radius $R$ is $ds^2 = \frac{4R^2dx^\mu dx^\mu}{(1+x^2)^2}$, it reads

$$s(x,y) = \frac{2R|x-y|}{(1+x^2)^{1/2}(1+y^2)^{1/2}} \quad (4.7)$$
Let us recall the integrals [2,9]

\[ I_2(\Delta) = \int d^d x d^d y \sqrt{g_x} \sqrt{g_y} \frac{1}{s(x, y)^{2\Delta}} = (2R)^{2(d-\Delta)} \frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - \Delta \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1+d}{2} \right) \Gamma (d - \Delta)} \]

\[ I_3(\Delta) = \int d^d x d^d y d^d z \sqrt{g_x} \sqrt{g_y} \sqrt{g_z} \frac{1}{[s(x, y) s(y, z) s(z, x)]^{\Delta}} = R^{3(d-\Delta)} \frac{8\pi^{3(1+d)/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{d - 3\Delta}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(d) \Gamma \left( \frac{1+d-\Delta}{2} \right)^3}. \]

In the present case, we have \( \Delta = 2d - 4 \), and so

\[ F - F_{\text{free}} = -\frac{1}{32} \left( \lambda^2 + 2\lambda \delta \lambda \right) 8N(N + 2) \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1)^4}{256\pi^{2d}} \mu^{2\epsilon} I_2(2d - 4) \]

\[ + \frac{\lambda^3}{384} 64N(N + 8)(N + 2) \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1)^6}{4096\pi^{3d}} \mu^{3\epsilon} I_3(2d - 4). \]

Setting \( d = 4 - \epsilon \), we obtain the expansions

\[ \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1)^4}{256\pi^{2d}} \mu^{2\epsilon} I_2(2d - 4) = \frac{1}{18(4\pi)^4} + \frac{1}{72(4\pi)^4} \left( \frac{43}{3} + 4\gamma + 4 \log \left( 4\pi \mu^2 R^2 \right) \right) \epsilon + \ldots \]

\[ \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1)^6}{4096\pi^{3d}} \mu^{3\epsilon} I_3(2d - 4) = \frac{1}{3(4\pi)^6\epsilon} + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^6} \left( \frac{29}{18} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \log(4\pi \mu^2 R^2) \right) + \ldots \]

Inserting these into (4.9), and using the explicit form of the counterterm (4.2), one can verify that the \( 1/\epsilon \) pole in \( I_3 \) is cancelled, as expected from renormalizability of the theory. If we remove the dimensional regulator, then we get the \( d = 4 \) result

\[ F^{d=4} - F_{\text{free}}^{d=4} = -\frac{N(N + 2)}{72(4\pi)^4} \lambda^2 + \frac{N(N + 2)(N + 8)}{72(4\pi)^6} \left( 5 + 2\gamma + 2 \log(4\pi \mu^2 R^2) \right) \lambda^3 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \]

from which we find

\[ R \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( F^{d=4} - F_{\text{free}}^{d=4} \right) = \frac{N(N + 2)(N + 8)}{18(4\pi)^6} \lambda^3 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \]

which agrees with known results for the conformal \( a \)-anomaly of the \( \phi^4 \) theory [71]. We also note that the Callan-Symanzik equation \( \left( \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right) (F^{d=4} - F_{\text{free}}^{d=4}) = 0 \) is satisfied to this order (here \( \beta \) is the 4d beta-function, i.e. eq. (4.3) with \( \epsilon = 0 \)).

On the other hand, in the case of the \( d = 4 - \epsilon \) fixed points which is our main interest here, using (4.9), (4.10), and the expression for the critical coupling \( \lambda = \lambda_* \) given in (4.4),
we obtain the result

\[
F - F_{\text{free}} = -\frac{N(N + 2)}{288(N + 8)^2} \epsilon^2 - \frac{N(N + 2)(13N^2 + 424N + 1840)}{3456(N + 8)^4} \epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \tag{4.13}
\]

Note that the term proportional to \(\log(\mu^2 R^2)\) has cancelled out, consistently with conformal invariance of the fixed point theory (we do not have a conformal anomaly in \(d = 4 - \epsilon\)). Equivalently, in terms of \(\tilde{F} = -\sin(\pi d/2) F\), we thus have \([1.6]\). A non-trivial test of this result comes from comparing with the double-trace formulae at large \(N\). Indeed, expanding \([1.6]\) to leading order at large \(N\), we find agreement with the expansion \([3.13]\) in \(d = 4 - \epsilon\).

We can now use \([1.6]\) to obtain an estimate for \(F\) in the 3d Ising model. Setting \(N = 1\) and \(\epsilon = 1\), and using the expansion \([2.9]\) for \(\tilde{F}_s\), we obtain\(^7\)

\[
F_{3d \text{ Ising}} = \frac{\pi}{180} + 0.0205991 \epsilon + 0.0136429 \epsilon^2 + 0.00670643 \epsilon^3 + 0.00258524 \epsilon^4 \approx 0.06099 \tag{4.14}
\]

Note that the correction in \([1.6]\) due to interactions is quite small. Recalling that, in \(d = 3\), \(F_s \approx 0.0638\) (see \([2.3]\)), our result implies

\[
\frac{F_{3d \text{ Ising}}}{F_s} \approx 0.956. \tag{4.15}
\]

Thus, the \(F\) value of the 3d Ising model appears to be rather close to the free field value. This is in line with the recent bootstrap results for the stress tensor two point function coefficient \(c_T\), which yield \(c_T^{3d \text{ Ising}}/c_T^{3d \text{ free scalar}} \approx 0.9466 \ [34, 43]\). The fact that \(0 < F_{3d \text{ Ising}} < F_s\) is consistent with the \(F\)-theorem in \(d = 3\). It is natural to propose that \(F_{3d \text{ Ising}}\) is the lowest possible value of \(F\) in a unitary 3-dimensional CFT.

We can further use \([1.6]\) to study the ratio \(\frac{F_{3d O(N)}}{N F_s}\) for \(N > 1\). As \(N\) is increased, this ratio first decreases slightly, attaining a minimum of \(\approx 0.9551\) for \(N = 3\). After that it begins to increase, and for large \(N\) it approaches 1. A plot of the ratio as a function of continuous \(N\) is shown in Fig. 2. Interestingly, the same qualitative behavior as a function of \(N\) (a slight decrease followed by increase) is also found in conformal bootstrap calculations of \(\frac{c_T^{3d O(N)}}{N c_T^{3d \text{ free scalar}}} \ [35]\). The non-monotonicity in \(N\) can also be seen in the behavior of \(\gamma_\phi\), which increases slightly from \(N = 1\) to \(N = 2\) and then begins to fall \([35]\).

We may consider further decreasing \(d\) and comparing with the known exact results in \(d = 2\). The \(N = 1\) fixed point, i.e. the \(\phi^4\) theory, is expected to be continuously connected to

\(^7\)Since we have found the effects of interaction up to \(\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)\), for consistency we keep only the terms up to \(\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)\) in the expansion of \(\tilde{F}_s\).
the 2-d Ising model [72], which has central charge $c = 1/2$ known to be the smallest possible $c$ for a unitary 2-d CFT. The $N = 2$ fixed point should connect with the $d = 2$ theory of a compact scalar field, which has $c = 1$. For $N > 2$ the $d = 2$ theory is the $O(N)$ non-linear sigma model which is not conformal. In terms of $\tilde{F}$, $\tilde{F}_{2d, \text{Ising}} = \pi/12 \approx 0.2082$. If we take our result (1.6) in $d = 4 - \epsilon$, set $N = 1$, $\epsilon = 2$, and divide by the free scalar contribution $\tilde{F}_{2d, \text{free scalar}} = \pi/6$, we obtain

$$\frac{\tilde{F}_{2d, \text{Ising}}}{\tilde{F}_{2d, \text{free scalar}}} \approx 0.4,$$

which is not too far off the expected value of 0.5, considering that we only have the first few orders in the $\epsilon$ expansion. Similarly, setting $N = 2$, $\epsilon = 2$ in (1.6) we find $\frac{\tilde{F}_{O(2)}}{\tilde{F}_{2d, \text{free scalar}}} \approx 0.81$; this is not far off the exact result 1. A plot of the $\epsilon$-expansion prediction for $\tilde{F}$ as a function of $d$ for $N = 1$, normalized by the free scalar result is given in Fig. 3.

Let us mention that another possible approach is to dimensionally continue the ratio

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Plot of the ratio $\frac{F_{3d, O(N)}}{NF_6}$ as a function of $N$, showing a clear minimum around $N = 3$.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Plot of the result for $\tilde{F}_{\text{Ising}}$ from the $\epsilon$-expansion to order $\epsilon^4$, normalized by the free scalar field value given in (2.5).}
\end{figure}
\( \tilde{F}/\tilde{F}_{\text{free}} \), instead of \( F \) itself. From our result (4.13) in \( d = 4 - \epsilon \), we have

\[
\frac{\tilde{F}}{NF_s} = 1 - \frac{N+2}{268(N+8)^2} \pi \epsilon^3 + \frac{(N+2)(13N^2+424N+1840)}{3456(N+8)^4} \pi \epsilon^4 + \ldots
\]

(4.17)

Expanding to quartic order in \( \epsilon \), and then setting \( \epsilon = 1, N = 1 \), yields

\[
\frac{F_{3d \text{Ising}}}{F_s} \approx 0.975,
\]

(4.18)

which is slightly different from our estimate (4.15) above. This is not surprising, given that this approach essentially involves a partial resummation of (4.13). Clearly, higher orders in the \( \epsilon \) expansion, potentially coupled with some kind of resummation technique, may be necessary to obtain a more precise estimate of \( F_{3d \text{Ising}} \). Nevertheless, we believe that the conclusion that \( F_{3d \text{Ising}} \) is a few percent below the free scalar value is robust.

### 4.2 Gross-Neveu-Yukawa model

Another interesting example of weakly coupled fixed points in \( d = 4 - \epsilon \) is provided by the GNY model (3.18). The one-loop \( \beta \)-functions for the renormalized couplings \( g_1, g_2 \) are

\[
\beta_{g_1} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} g_1 + \frac{N + 6}{32\pi^2} g_1^3,
\]

\[
\beta_{g_2} = -\epsilon g_2 + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{3}{2} g_2^2 + Ng_1^2 g_2 - 6N g_1^4 \right),
\]

(4.19)

where \( N = \tilde{N}\text{tr}1 \), and \( \tilde{N} \) is the number of Dirac fermions (i.e., the model has a \( U(\tilde{N}) \) global symmetry). There is a stable IR fixed point at the critical couplings

\[
(g_1^*)^2 = 16\pi^2 \frac{\epsilon}{N + 6}, \quad g_2^* = 16\pi^2 R(N) \epsilon
\]

\[
R(N) = \frac{24N}{(N + 6) [N - 6 + \sqrt{N^2 + 132N + 36}]}.
\]

(4.20)

The \( O(\epsilon^2) \) corrections to the critical couplings and to the operator anomalous dimensions were found in [73] using the two-loop beta functions.

The calculation of the sphere free-energy at the \( d = 4 - \epsilon \) fixed points follows the same step as in the previous section. To leading order, only the Yukawa coupling contributes,
since at the fixed point $g_1 \sim \sqrt{\epsilon}$, $g_2 \sim \epsilon$. We have

$$\langle \sigma \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma \tilde{\psi}(y) \rangle_0 = N \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - 1\right)}{4\pi^{d/2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{2\pi^{d/2}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{s(x, y)^{(\frac{4}{d} - 2)}} \tag{4.21}$$

and so

$$F - F_{\text{free}} = -\frac{1}{2} g_1^2 N \mu^2 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - 1\right)}{4\pi^{d/2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{2\pi^{d/2}}\right)^2 I_2 \left(\frac{3}{2} d - 2\right) + \ldots. \tag{4.22}$$

Note that to this order we do not need to worry about the contribution of the counterterms (they will cancel the poles coming from higher order diagrams). Using (4.9), and inserting the fixed point value of the coupling constant, we get

$$F - F_{\text{free}} = -\frac{N}{48(N + 6)} \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \tag{4.23}$$

or, in terms of $\tilde{F} = -\sin(\pi d/2) F$

$$\tilde{F}_{3d, \text{GN}} = N \tilde{F}_f + \tilde{F}_s - \frac{\pi}{96 N + 6} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \tag{4.24}$$

We see that at large $N$ this precisely agrees precisely with the double-trace result (3.22). Note also that the sign of the correction due to interactions is negative, in agreement with the expectation that $\tilde{F}_{UV} > \tilde{F}_{IR}$. Expanding the free field results $\tilde{F}_f$ and $\tilde{F}_s$ to order $\epsilon^2$, we find

$$\tilde{F}_{3d, \text{GN}} = N \left(\frac{11\pi}{720} + 0.0388187 \epsilon + 0.0163383 \epsilon^2\right) + \frac{\pi}{180} + 0.0205991 \epsilon + 0.0136429 \epsilon^2 - \frac{\pi}{96 N + 6} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \tag{4.25}$$

Now setting $\epsilon = 1$, we obtain an estimate for the 3d critical Gross-Neveu model

$$F_{3d, \text{GN}} \approx N \cdot 0.103154 + 0.0516953 - \frac{\pi}{96 N + 6} \frac{N}{96 N + 6} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \tag{4.26}$$

For the case of the $U(1)$ Gross-Neveu model, we should set $N = \tilde{N} \text{tr} 1 = 2^8$. Then, we get the estimate $F_{3d, \text{GN}, U(1)} \approx 0.2498$. The corresponding free field value is

$$2F_f + F_s = \left(\frac{\log 2}{4} + \frac{3\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2}\right) + \left(\frac{\log 2}{8} - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{16\pi^2}\right) \approx 0.282767, \tag{4.27}$$

---

8If we instead define the dimensional continuation so that the number of degrees of freedom is kept fixed, then the lowest possible value is $N = 4$, corresponding to two Dirac fermions in $d = 3$.  
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so that
\[
\frac{F_{3d\text{GN}(1)}}{F_{\text{free}}} \approx 0.883.
\] (4.28)

## 4.3 Cubic $O(N)$ scalar theory in $d = 6 - \epsilon$

Let us now consider the $O(N)$ symmetric cubic scalar field theory in $d = 6 - \epsilon$

\[
S = \int d^d x \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \phi^i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \sigma \right)^2 + \frac{g_1}{2} \mu^2 \sigma \phi^i \phi^i + \frac{g_2}{6} \mu^2 \sigma^3 \right].
\] (4.29)

Again, we omit the explicit counterterms, as we will only do a leading order computation of the free energy.

The one-loop $\beta$-functions for the renormalized couplings $g_1, g_2$ are [65]

\[
\beta_1 = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} g_1 + \frac{(N - 8)g_1^3 - 12g_1^2 g_2 + g_1 g_2^3}{12(4\pi)^3},
\]
\[
\beta_2 = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} g_2 + \frac{-4N g_1^3 + Ng_1^2 g_2 - 3g_2^3}{4(4\pi)^3}.
\] (4.30)

For $N > N_{\text{crit}}$, these have real zeroes corresponding to unitary IR stable fixed points. The solution for the critical couplings has the form

\[
g_1^* = \sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon(4\pi)^3}{(N - 44)z(N)^2 + 1}}, \quad g_2^* = \sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon(4\pi)^3}{(N - 44)z(N)^2 + 1}(1 + 6z(N))}
\] (4.31)

where $z(N)$ is the solution to the cubic equation

\[
840z^3 - (N - 464)z^2 + 84z + 5 = 0
\] (4.32)

with large $N$ asymptotics $z(N) = N/840 + \mathcal{O}(N^0)$.\footnote{The other two solutions have asymptotics $z(N) = \pm \sqrt{5N} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1})$ and they are not IR stable for generic $N$.} As $N$ is reduced, this real solution disappears at the critical value of $N$ where the discriminant of the cubic equation (4.32) vanishes; this happens for $N = N_{\text{crit}} \approx 1038.266$ [65].\footnote{Higher loop corrections show that the value of this critical $N$ is significantly reduced as $\epsilon$ is increased [74].}

It is not difficult to derive the large $N$ expansion of the critical couplings to any desired...
order. The first few terms read

\[
g_1^* = \sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon(4\pi)^3}{N}} \left( 1 + \frac{22}{N} + \frac{726}{N^2} - \frac{326180}{N^3} + \ldots \right) 
\]

\[
g_2^* = 6\sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon(4\pi)^3}{N}} \left( 1 + \frac{162}{N} + \frac{68766}{N^2} + \frac{41224420}{N^3} + \ldots \right) .
\]

Now, let us calculate the first correction to the sphere free energy in \( d = 6 - \epsilon \). We just need the two-point functions in the free theory

\[
\langle \sigma^i \phi^i(x) \sigma^j \phi^j(y) \rangle_0 = 2N \left( \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right)}{4\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right)^3 \frac{1}{s(x,y)^{2\left(\frac{d}{2} - 3\right)}} 
\]

\[
\langle \sigma^3(x) \sigma^3(y) \rangle_0 = 6 \left( \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right)}{4\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right)^3 \frac{1}{s(x,y)^{2\left(\frac{d}{2} - 3\right)}} 
\]

and so we get

\[
F - F_{\text{free}} = -\frac{1}{12} \left( 3g_1^2 N + g_2^2 \right) \left( \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right)}{4\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right)^3 I_2 \left( \frac{3}{2} d - 3 \right) + \ldots 
\]

(4.35)

Using (4.9) and expanding to leading order in \( d = 6 - \epsilon \), we get

\[
F - F_{\text{free}} = \frac{3(g_1^*)^2 N + (g_2^*)^2}{8640(4\pi)^3} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) 
\]

(4.36)

where \( g_1^*, g_2^* \) are the fixed point couplings (4.31). Note that the change in \( F \) is positive in this case. However, in terms of \( \tilde{F} = -\sin(\pi d/2) F \), we have

\[
\tilde{F} = (N + 1) \tilde{F}_s - \frac{\pi}{17280} \frac{3(g_1^*)^2 N + (g_2^*)^2}{(4\pi)^3} \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). 
\]

(4.37)

which is consistent with \( \tilde{F}_{\text{UV}} > \tilde{F}_{\text{IR}} \) (the reason for the change of sign is simply that \( \sin(\pi d/2) = \frac{\pi}{2} + \ldots \) in \( d = 6 - \epsilon \)). Using the large \( N \) expressions (4.33) for the fixed point couplings, it is also easy to check that this result agrees as expected with the double-trace formula (3.16).
5 SUSY theories: comparing localization and $\epsilon$-expansion

5.1 The Wess-Zumino model with cubic superpotential in $d = 4 - \epsilon$

It is known that the usual dimensional regularization is inconsistent with supersymmetry, since it breaks the balance between bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. However, a variant of dimensional regularization, known as dimensional reduction scheme \[75, 76\] is widely used in loop calculations in supersymmetric theories. In this regularization scheme, all tensor and spinor manipulations are done in the fixed integer space-time dimension, and at the end the loop integrals are continued to non-integer $d$ dimensions. This procedure preserves supersymmetry and it is believed to be a consistent regularization technique in supersymmetric field theories. While this scheme is typically employed to regulate loop calculations near a given integer dimension, here we will use it to connect theories with four supercharges in $2 \leq d \leq 4$, in the spirit of the Wilson-Fisher $\epsilon$-expansion. In this way, we will connect $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino models in $d = 4$, to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models in $d = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ models in $d = 2$.

As an explicit simple example, let us consider the Wess-Zumino model with a cubic superpotential for a chiral superfield $X$:

$$S = \int d^d x \left[ \int d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \bar{X} X + \frac{\lambda}{6} \int d^2 \theta X^3 + \frac{\lambda}{6} \int d^2 \bar{\theta} \bar{X}^3 \right]. \quad (5.1)$$

This theory has classically marginal interactions in $d = 4$, and the corresponding $\beta$ function is known to four loop order \[78–81\]. Due to the non-renormalization of the superpotential vertex \[75,82\], the $\beta$-function is completely determined by the wavefunction renormalization of $X$

$$3\lambda \gamma_X = \beta_{4d} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\beta_{4d}$ is the $\beta$-function in $d = 4$, whose first few orders read

$$\beta_{4d} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\lambda^3}{(4\pi)^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\lambda^5}{(4\pi)^4} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7) \quad (5.3)$$

When we continue the model to $d = 4 - \epsilon$, the $\beta$-function becomes simply

$$\beta_{d=4-\epsilon} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \lambda + \beta_{4d}. \quad (5.4)$$

\[11\] This scheme is also used in loop calculations in supersymmetric Chern-Simons matter theories in $d = 3$ (see e.g. \[77\]), for which the usual dimensional regularization cannot be used due to the presence of the Chern-Simons term.
Then, we see that in \( d = 4 - \epsilon \) there is a perturbative IR fixed point given by

\[
\lambda_* = \frac{4\pi \sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{3}} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \ldots\right) .
\]

(5.5)

This is a supersymmetric version of the Wilson-Fisher fixed point for the quartic scalar field theory in \( 2 \leq d \leq 4 \). In \( d = 3 \), it describes the IR fixed point of the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) Wess-Zumino model with cubic superpotential (see e.g. [83]). Note that (5.2) completely determines the dimension of \( X \) at the fixed point, where \( \beta_{4d}(\lambda_*) = \epsilon \lambda_* / 2 \). Then, at the IR fixed point we find

\[
3\lambda_* \gamma X = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \lambda_*
\]

(5.6)

or, writing \( \Delta_X = d/2 - 1 + \gamma_X \)

\[
\Delta_X = \frac{d - 1}{3} .
\]

(5.7)

In particular, in \( d = 4 \) this corresponds to the free field dimension \( \Delta = 1 \), and in \( d = 3 \) it gives \( \Delta = 2/3 \) at the interacting IR fixed point [83]. Another way to derive the exact dimension (5.7) is to note that, in all dimensions the superpotential must have the \( U(1)_R \) charge equal to 2, so that \( R_X = 2/3 \). Continuing the BPS condition \( \Delta_X = \frac{d-1}{2} R_X \) from integer to real \( d \), we then recover (5.7).

In \( d = 3 \), the sphere free energy of any \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supersymmetric field theory can be computed exactly using the supersymmetric localization [13–15]. Introducing the function

\[
\ell(z) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Li}_2(e^{2i\pi z}) + \frac{i\pi}{2} z^2 - z \log(1 - e^{2i\pi z}) - \frac{i\pi}{12}
\]

(5.8)

\[
\partial_z \ell(z) = -\pi z \cot(\pi z)
\]

the free energy of the model with \( W \sim X^3 \) at the fixed point is given by

\[
F_{W=X^3} = -\ell(1 - \Delta)|_{\Delta=2/3} = 0.290791 \ldots
\]

(5.9)

where we used the fact that the conformal dimension in the IR is fixed by the superpotential.

To test the validity of the \( \epsilon \)-expansion, let us now try to compute perturbatively the sphere free energy of this model in \( d = 4 - \epsilon \). The calculation is very similar to the one for the GNY model described in Section 4.2 In components, the Lagrangian of the \( d = 4 \) Wess-Zumino model reads [79]

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu B)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \hat{\gamma} \psi + \frac{\lambda^2}{16} (A^2 + B^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi} (A + i\gamma_5 B) \psi \]

(5.10)
where $A$ and $B$ are a real scalar and pseudo-scalar, and $\psi$ a Majorana fermion (this has two propagating degrees of freedom in $d = 4$, the same as a Dirac fermion in $d = 3$). To leading order, the only contribution to the sphere free energy comes from the Yukawa interactions. Using the integrals defined in (4.9), we thus get

$$F - F_{\text{free chir}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right)}{4\pi^2} \left( \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}{2\pi^2} \right)^2 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \text{tr} \mathbf{1} I_2 \left( \frac{3}{2} d - 2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3). \quad (5.11)$$

Note that a factor of 2 comes from the fact that the fermions are Majorana (so that there are non-zero Wick contractions $\psi \psi$ and $\bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}$), and an additional factor of 2 takes into account the two Yukawa couplings $\bar{\psi} A \psi$ and $\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 B \psi$. Finally, the factor $\text{tr} \mathbf{1}$ is the trace of identity in the gamma matrices space, which should be set to $\text{tr} \mathbf{1} = 4$ according to the rules of dimensional reduction. Then, plugging in the critical coupling (5.5), expanding to leading order in $\epsilon$ and inserting a factor of $-\sin(\pi d/2)$, we get the result for $\tilde{F}$

$$\tilde{F}_{W=X^3} = \tilde{F}_{\text{free chir}} - \frac{\pi}{144} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.12)$$

The free field contribution corresponds to a free conformal chiral superfield, for which we get (see eq. (2.9))

$$\tilde{F}_{\text{free chir}} = 2 \tilde{F}_s + 2 \tilde{F}_f = \frac{\pi}{24} + 0.118836 \epsilon + 0.0599625 \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.13)$$

Then, we obtain the prediction to quadratic order in $\epsilon$:

$$\tilde{F}_{W=X^3} = \frac{\pi}{24} + 0.118836 \epsilon + 0.0381459 \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \quad (5.14)$$

In $d = 3$, this gives

$$\tilde{F}_{W=X^3}(\epsilon = 1) \approx 0.288, \quad (5.15)$$

which is within 1% of the exact localization result (5.9)! Thus, the $\epsilon$-expansion seems to be a remarkably good approximation (at least in this supersymmetric example), given that we have only performed a leading order calculation in $d = 4 - \epsilon$.

It is also interesting to consider the continuation of the model to $d = 2$. In this case, the IR fixed point corresponds to the $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ SCFT with cubic superpotential, which has $\Delta_X = 1/3$ and central charge $c = 1$. This is the first member, $k = 1$, of the $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ superconformal minimal models in $d = 2$; these theories have superpotentials $W = X^{k+2}$.
and central charges $c = 3k/(k + 2)$. Setting $\epsilon = 2$ in our result (5.14), we obtain

$$\tilde{F}_{W=X^3}(\epsilon = 2) \approx 0.5212 = 0.9953 \frac{\pi}{6},$$

(5.16)

corresponding to central charge $c = 0.9953$. This approximation from the $\epsilon$ expansion is again remarkably close to the exact result $c = 1$.

### 5.2 Interpolating $\tilde{F}$-maximization

In this section we propose a natural extension of the localization on $S^3$ that can be applied to any Wess-Zumino type model with four supercharges on $S^d$, $2 \leq d \leq 4$. As we will show below, our proposal smoothly interpolates between the $a$-maximization in $d = 4$, and the $F$-maximization in $d = 3$.

We start by observing that the function (5.8) appearing in the 3d localization for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric theories has a simple origin. It can be obtained from the one-loop determinants on $S^3$ of free massive scalars and fermions. Indeed, the supersymmetric Lagrangian for a free chiral multiplet with non-canonical dimension $\Delta$ is given by

$$L_{\text{chiral}} = \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi + \Delta(2 - \Delta)\phi^* \phi - i\bar{\psi} \not{\nabla} \psi - \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\right) \bar{\psi} \psi + \bar{F} F$$

(5.17)

where we have assumed that there is no vector multiplet in the theory, and we have set the radius of the sphere to one. Adding a superpotential to the theory does not change the value of the localized partition function, except for constraining the allowed values of the $R$-charges. Then, each chiral multiplet with trial dimension $\Delta$ contribute to the $S^3$ partition function a factor

$$Z_{\text{chiral}}^{S^3} = \frac{\det \left[ -i\not{\nabla} - (\Delta - 1/2) \right]}{\det \left[ -\nabla^2 + \Delta(2 - \Delta) \right]}.$$  

(5.18)

The relevant functional determinants can be obtained from the results (2.17) and (2.23) for free massive fields, and correspond to the free energy contribution

$$F_{\text{chiral}}^{S^3} = 2F_s(m)|_{m^2 = (\Delta - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{3}{2} - \Delta)} + 2F_f(m)|_{m = i(\Delta - \frac{1}{2})} = -\ell (1 - \Delta),$$

(5.19)

where we recall that the value of the scalar mass was defined in (2.14) as the deviation from conformal coupling, and the factor of 2 is because the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral superfield contains a complex scalar and a Dirac fermion.

This suggests a natural generalization of the 3d localization to non-integer $d$. To a given
chiral superfield with trial dimension \( \Delta \), or equivalently trial \( R \)-charge \( R = 2\Delta/(d-1) \), we associate the function

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta) \equiv 2\tilde{F}_s(m)\big|_{m^2=(\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1)(\frac{d}{2}-\Delta)} + 2\tilde{F}_f(m)\big|_{m=i(\Delta-\frac{d}{2}+1)}
\]  

(5.20)

where \( \tilde{F}_s(m) \) and \( \tilde{F}_f(m) \) are the \( \tilde{F} \)-values of free massive fields given in (2.16) and (2.22). The value of the masses above are such that they reduce to \( 5.19 \) for \( d = 3 \), and for the canonical dimension \( \Delta = d/2 - 1 \) they correspond to the usual conformal coupling in dimension \( d \). The function \( \tilde{F}(\Delta) \) can be given a more compact representation in terms of its derivative with respect to \( \Delta \). Using the results in Section 2.1, we find

\[
\frac{d\tilde{F}(\Delta)}{d\Delta} = \frac{\Gamma(d-1-\Delta)\Gamma(\Delta)}{\Gamma(d-1)} \sin \left( \pi(\Delta - \frac{d}{2}) \right).
\]  

(5.21)

Integrating this equation with the boundary condition that \( \tilde{F}(\Delta = d/2 - 1) \) must equal the contribution of a free conformal chiral multiplet, \( 5.13 \), we find

\[
\tilde{F}(\Delta) = 2(\tilde{F}_s + \tilde{F}_f) + \int_{d/2-1}^{\Delta} dx \frac{\Gamma(d-1-x)\Gamma(x)\sin(\pi(x - \frac{d}{2}))}{\Gamma(d-1)}.
\]  

(5.22)

By comparing \( 5.21 \) and \( 5.22 \) to \( 5.8 \), it is straightforward to verify that \( \tilde{F}(\Delta) = -\ell(1-\Delta) \) for \( d = 3 \). Our proposal can be then stated as follows. For a theory with four supercharges including several chiral superfields (and restricting for the time being to theories without gauge fields), the exact value of \( \tilde{F} \) is given by

\[
\tilde{F} = \sum_{\text{chirals}} \tilde{F}(\Delta_i)
\]  

(5.23)

where the trial dimensions \( \Delta_i \) are determined by maximizing \( \tilde{F} \); under the constraint that the superpotential has exact \( R \)-charge 2. In \( d = 3 \), this reproduces the result of \( 14 \) by construction. Let us show that in \( d = 4 \) this is equivalent to the \( a \)-maximization of \( 4 \).

Specializing \( 5.22 \) to \( d = 4 \), we obtain

\[
\tilde{F}_{d=4}(\Delta) = \frac{\pi}{24} + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{1}^{\Delta} dx(x-1)(x-2) = (2\Delta - 3)(2\Delta(\Delta - 3) + 3) \frac{\pi}{24}
\]

\[
= \frac{3\pi}{16} (R - 1) (3(R - 1)^3 - 1)
\]  

(5.24)

where in the second step we have used \( \Delta = 3/2R \). This is indeed the correct expression for
the $a$-anomaly of a $d = 4$ chiral superfield as a function of the $R$-charge of the scalar field $\theta$. Thus, the maximization of (5.23) indeed smoothly connects the 4d $a$-maximization and 3d $F$-maximization.

It is also worth noting that in $d = 2$ our proposal (5.23) correctly reproduces the central charges of the $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ superconformal minimal models. In $d = 2$, from (5.22) and (5.21) we get

$$\tilde{F}_{d=2}(\Delta) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \pi \int_0^\Delta dx = \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - 2\Delta).$$

Thus, we find that the central charge is given by

$$c = 3 \sum_i (1 - 2\Delta_i),$$

in agreement with (44). For example, for the superconformal model with $W = X^{k+2}$, the dimension of $X$ is $\Delta = \frac{d-1}{k+2} = \frac{1}{k+2}$. So we obtain

$$\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_{d=2} \left( \Delta = \frac{1}{k+2} \right) = \frac{3k}{k+2} \frac{\pi}{6},$$

which indeed corresponds to the correct central charge $c = \frac{3k}{k+2}$ (44).

A non-trivial test of (5.23) can be obtained by comparing with the direct perturbative calculation for the $W \sim X^3$ model in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ performed in the previous section. Setting $\Delta = (d-1)/3$ and $d = 4 - \epsilon$, and expanding in powers of $\epsilon$, (5.22) and (5.23) give

$$\tilde{F}_{W=X^3} - \tilde{F}_{\text{free chir}} = -\frac{\pi}{144} \epsilon^2 - \frac{\pi}{162} \epsilon^3 - \frac{\pi (20 - \pi^2)}{3456} \epsilon^4 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5).$$

The leading order term indeed precisely reproduces our perturbative result (5.12). To further test the correctness of our localization proposal, it would be interesting to match the subleading corrections by a direct Feynman diagram calculation in $d = 4 - \epsilon$. It is also interesting to compare the exact localization prediction (5.23) in $2 \leq d \leq 4$ to the $\epsilon$-expansion. In Fig. 4 we plot the exact prediction for $\tilde{F}_{W=X^3}$ normalized by the value for a free chiral superfield, and compare it to the $\epsilon$-expansion: remarkably, keeping only up to order $\epsilon^2$ already provides a very good approximation of the exact result in the whole range $2 \leq d \leq 4$.

We may also consider the $d = 3 - \epsilon$ expansion of models which have classically marginal interactions in $d = 3$. The simplest such theory is the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ model with quartic superpotential $W \sim X^4$. This model is IR free in $d = 3$, but it is expected to have non-trivial IR fixed points in $2 \leq d < 3$. In $d = 2$ it becomes the second member, $k = 2$, of the $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$
superconformal discrete series with central charges $c = 3k/(k + 2)$ [44]. This model with $c = 3/2$ may be regarded as a $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric version of the tricritical Ising model. It is straightforward to derive $\tilde{F}$ for this model in $d = 3 - \epsilon$. The dimension in the IR is fixed to be $\Delta_X = (d - 1)/4$. Inserting this value in (5.22) and expanding in $\epsilon$, we obtain the prediction

$$
\tilde{F} - \tilde{F}_{\text{free chir.}} = -\frac{\pi^2}{64} \epsilon^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{192} (6 \log 2 - 1) \epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)
$$

(5.29)

where the free chiral superfield contribution in $d = 3 - \epsilon$ is

$$
\tilde{F}_{\text{free chir.}} = 2(\tilde{F}_s + \tilde{F}_f) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + 0.370779 \epsilon + 0.248032 \epsilon^2 + 0.149738 \epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4).
$$

(5.30)

It would be interesting to reproduce (5.29) from a direct perturbative calculation in $d = 3 - \epsilon$. Setting $\epsilon = 1$ in (5.29) and including the free field contribution expanded to order $\epsilon^3$, we obtain the estimate $\tilde{F} \approx 1.53 \pi^2/6$ in $d = 2$, corresponding to $c \approx 1.53$ which is close to the exact value 1.5. Thus, we again see that the first few orders of the $\epsilon$ expansion provide rather good approximations to the exact answers.

### 5.3 An example with $O(N)$ symmetry

So far, we have discussed examples where the $R$ charges are completely fixed by the superpotential. As an example where the $\tilde{F}$-maximization is needed to fix the $R$ charges, let us...
consider the model with $N + 1$ chiral superfields and $O(N)$ symmetric superpotential

$$W = \frac{\lambda}{2} X \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z^i Z^i.$$  \hfill (5.31)

This model has classically marginal interactions in $d = 4$, and its RG analysis in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ was carried out in [45]. The $\beta$-function and anomalous dimensions for this model are known up to the four loop order [85]. The first few orders read

$$\gamma_Z = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} - \frac{(N + 2)\lambda^4}{2(4\pi)^4} - \frac{(N^2 - 10N - 4 - 24\zeta(3))\lambda^6}{4(4\pi)^6} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^8),$$

$$\gamma_X = \frac{-N\lambda^2}{2(4\pi)^2} - \frac{N\lambda^4}{(4\pi)^4} + \frac{N(2N + 1 + 6\zeta(3))\lambda^6}{(4\pi)^6} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^8),$$

$$\beta = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \lambda + \frac{(N + 4)\lambda^3}{2(4\pi)^2} - \frac{2(N + 1)\lambda^5}{(4\pi)^4} + \frac{(N^2 + 11N + 4 + 6(N + 4)\zeta(3))\lambda^7}{2(4\pi)^6} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^9).$$ \hfill (5.32)

In $d = 4$ the theory is IR free, while in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ one can see that there is a perturbative IR fixed point with

$$\lambda_* = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{N + 4}} \left( 1 + \frac{2(N + 1)}{(N + 4)^2}\epsilon + \ldots \right)$$ \hfill (5.33)

This is continuously connected to the non-trivial IR fixed point of the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ model with the same superpotential (this model was recently used in [46] to provide a counterexample to a potential $C_T$ theorem in $d = 3$). Note that the anomalous dimensions and $\beta$-function in (5.32) are related by $(2\gamma_Z + \gamma_X)\lambda = \beta_{4d}$, analogously to (5.2). This implies that at the IR fixed point the conformal dimensions are constrained by

$$2\Delta_Z + \Delta_X = d - 1.$$ \hfill (5.34)

This is equivalent to the condition that the $R$-charge of the superpotential equals 2.

As a test of the $\tilde{F}$-extremization procedure, we can use it to derive the conformal dimensions $\Delta_X$ and $\Delta_Z$ in $d = 4 - \epsilon$, and compare the result with the RG analysis. Given the constraint (5.34), the exact $\tilde{F}$ is given by

$$\tilde{F} = N\tilde{F}(\Delta_Z) + \tilde{F}(d - 1 - 2\Delta_Z)$$ \hfill (5.35)

Note that from the RG point of view it would be natural to add the term $\lambda_2 X^3$ to the superpotential, which is also classically marginal in $d = 4$ and consistent with the $O(N)$ symmetry of the model. However, it is consistent to set $\lambda_2 = 0$ since the beta function $\beta_{\lambda_2}$ vanishes at $\lambda_2 = 0$. This follows from general non-renormalization properties of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino models in $d = 4$, which imply $\beta_{\lambda_2} = 3\lambda_2 \gamma_X$, analogously to (5.2).
where we used (5.34), and the value of $\Delta_Z$ should be determined by extremizing $\tilde{F}$. Using (5.21), we obtain

$$
\frac{d\tilde{F}}{d\Delta_Z} = N \frac{\Gamma(d - 1 - \Delta_Z) \Gamma(\Delta_Z) \sin \left( \pi(\Delta_Z - \frac{d}{2}) \right)}{\Gamma(d - 1)} - 2 \frac{\Gamma(d - 1 - 2\Delta_Z) \Gamma(2\Delta_Z) \sin \left( \pi(\frac{d}{2} - 2\Delta_Z) \right)}{\Gamma(d - 1)}. 
$$

(5.36)

Setting

$$
\Delta_Z = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + \gamma_1 \epsilon + \gamma_2 \epsilon^2 + \gamma_3 \epsilon^3 + \ldots
$$

(5.37)

and expanding in powers of $\epsilon$, we can easily solve the equation $d\tilde{F}/d\Delta_Z = 0$ to obtain

$$
\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{N + 4}, \quad \gamma_2 = -\frac{N(N - 2)}{4(N + 4)^3}, \quad \gamma_3 = -\frac{N(N - 2)(N^2 + 20N + 16)}{4(N + 4)^5}. 
$$

(5.38)

One can check that this result precisely agrees with the one obtained from the RG analysis (see eq. (5.32)) providing a non-trivial test of our proposal. Once the conformal dimensions in the IR are known, one can plug them back in (5.22) to obtain the exact $\tilde{F}$. Using (5.38), we obtain in $d = 4 - \epsilon$

$$
\tilde{F} = (N + 1)\tilde{F}_{\text{free chiral}}(\epsilon) - \frac{\pi}{16} \frac{N}{N + 4} \epsilon^2 - \frac{\pi}{24} \frac{N(N + 2)(N + 10)}{(N + 4)^3} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4). 
$$

(5.39)

Expanding $\tilde{F}_{\text{free chiral}}$ to order $\epsilon^3$, this gives for $\epsilon = 1$ and $N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ the values $\tilde{F} = 0.593, 0.876, 1.174, \ldots$, which are very close to the exact 3d results $F = 0.595, 0.872, 1.174, \ldots$ found in [46].

It is also interesting to solve (5.36) at large $N$ and fixed $d$. Setting $\Delta_Z = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + \eta_1/N + \eta_2/N^2 + \ldots$, and solving perturbatively at large $N$, we obtain

$$
\eta_1 = -\frac{2 \sin \left( \frac{\pi d}{2} \right) \Gamma(d - 2)}{\pi \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)},
$$

$$
\eta_2 = 2\eta_1^2 \left( \psi(2 - \frac{d}{2}) + \psi(d - 2) - \psi(\frac{d}{2} - 1) - \psi(1) + \frac{1}{d - 2} \right).
$$

(5.40)

where $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$. This exactly matches the result of [45] obtained by large $N$ methods. In $d = 3$, it gives

$$
\Delta_Z = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\pi^2 N^2} + \frac{32}{\pi^4 N^2} + \ldots,
$$

(5.41)

in agreement with [46]. By plugging (5.40) into (5.22), one can also obtain in principle the
large $N$ expansion of $\tilde{F}$ for any $d$. In $d = 4 - \epsilon$, this can be seen to reproduce the large $N$ expansion of (5.39) as expected. In $d = 3$, the result can be obtained directly from $\tilde{F} = -N\ell(1 - \Delta_Z) - \ell(2\Delta_Z - 1)$. Using (5.41), one gets

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{N}{2} \log 2 + \frac{4}{\pi^2 N} + \frac{64}{3\pi^4 N^2} + \cdots$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.42)$$

Note that in $d = 2$ the $\tilde{F}$-maximization procedure cannot be carried out, since $\tilde{F}_{d=2}(\Delta)$ is linear in $\Delta$ (see eq. (5.25)). This suggests that the superpotential (5.31) does not give a superconformal theory in $d = 2$, unless the conformal dimensions are completely fixed by $W$ and its symmetries. Consider, for instance the series of $D_k$ theories which have $W = XZ^2 + X^{k-1}$ with $k = 4, 5, \ldots$ and $c = \frac{3(k-2)}{k-1}$ [44]. We note that there is no $k = 1$ theory with $W = XZ^2$, where the superpotential does not fix the dimensions. When the superpotential has both terms, then the dimensions are fixed to $\Delta_X = \frac{1}{k-1}$ and $\Delta_Z = \frac{k-2}{2(k-1)}$. The correct central charge then follows from (5.26). We also note that the $D_4$ theory has a superpotential that is marginal in $d = 4$, so it can be studied using the $4 - \epsilon$ expansion.

Another interesting theory is the case $N = 2$ of (5.31), where by a change of variables the theory is equivalent to the model with superpotential $W \sim XYZ$. This model is well-known in $d = 3$ because it is related by the mirror symmetry to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QED with one flavor [83]. In general $d$, the conformal dimensions are fixed to be

$$\Delta_X = \Delta_Y = \Delta_Z = \frac{d - 1}{3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.43)$$

Indeed, note that all the anomalous dimensions in (5.38) vanish for $N = 2$, except for the term which is linear in $\epsilon$ and, therefore, linear in $d$. The exact $\tilde{F}$ for this model is then simply

$$\tilde{F} = 3\tilde{F} \left( \Delta = \frac{d - 1}{3} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.44)$$

In $d = 2$, this corresponds to a superconformal $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ model with $\tilde{F} = \frac{\pi}{2}$, or central charge $c = 3$.
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