Immunogenic tumor cell death induced by chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract. It has been reported that chemo-radiotherapy can induce immunogenic tumor cell death (ICD), which triggers T-cell immunity mainly mediated by high-mobility group box 1 protein (HMGB1) and calreticulin. However, there is still limited information to support this theory relating to chemotherapy alone. In the present study, the expression of HMGB1 and calreticulin was evaluated by immunohistochemistry in pre-treatment biopsy specimens and surgically resected specimens, which were obtained from patients with breast cancer (n=52) and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) (n=8) who had been treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC). We also analyzed HMGB1 and calreticulin expression in breast cancer cell lines treated with chemotherapeutic drugs. As a result, both HMGB1 and calreticulin expression levels were significantly upregulated after NAC in both breast cancer and ESCC tissues. However, no significant correlation was observed between HMGB1 expression and pathological response after NAC or between HMGB1 expression and patient survival. Furthermore, although overall survival in the high infiltration group of CD8-positive T cells was significantly superior to that in the low infiltration group in breast cancer patients, there were no correlations between the number of CD8-positive T cells and HMGB1 or calreticulin expression levels. In addition, chemotherapeutic drugs induced upregulation of HMGB1 and calreticulin in all tested cell lines. Our findings indicate that chemotherapy alone can significantly induce ICD regardless of the degree of pathological response after chemotherapy.

Introduction

Breast cancer and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) are well known to be sensitive to chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy, and their combination with surgery has been proven to have clinical benefits (1-6). A multidisciplinary procedure including chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgery is regarded as standard care for breast cancer and ESCC patients. In clinical practice, in such patients it is generally accepted that there are responders and non-responders to chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy, and limited information exists describing the mechanisms and biomarkers to predict the responders.

Although chemo-radiotherapy (CRT) is aimed at directly inducing apoptosis or necrosis, there is accumulating evidence to support the novel concept that CRT may induce the immunogenic tumor cell death (ICD) of tumor cells (7-18). CRT could trigger uptake of antigenic components by dendritic cells (DCs) and transfer antigenic signals to T-cell-mediated immunity, resulting in the expansion of antigen-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes and production of tumor-specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs). We and others have shown that high-mobility group box 1 protein (HMGB1) and calreticulin induced by cytotoxic stresses such as CRT are important mediators to induce ICD (19). However, whether ICD can be induced by chemotherapy alone in the clinical setting remains unclear.

Here, we evaluated i) whether expression of HMGB1 and calreticulin correlates with clinical outcomes in response to chemotherapy, ii) whether the number of CD8-positive (CD8\textsuperscript{+}) T cells correlates with expression of HMGB1 and calreticulin, and iii) whether chemotherapy alone upregulates HMGB1 and calreticulin in clinical and \textit{in vitro} settings.
Materials and methods

Patients and samples. The expression levels of HMGB1, calreticulin, and CD8 were evaluated by immunohistochemistry in pre-treatment biopsy specimens and surgically resected specimens obtained from breast cancer patients (n=52) and ESCC patients (n=8) who had undergone treatment with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) between 2005 and 2015 at the Department of Organ Regulatory Surgery, Fukushima Medical University Hospital. Clinical and pathological information was retrospectively obtained by review of the medical records, with the last follow-up being in February 2016. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the period from the date of surgery to the date of death. The median follow-up time was 51.0 months. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Fukushima Medical University (reference 2329 for ESCC and 2444 for breast cancer).

Cell lines. Breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 [estrogen receptor (ER)-negative and HER2-negative], MCF-7 (ER-positive and HER2-negative) and SK-BR-3 (ER-negative and HER2-positive) were obtained as previously described (20), and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 µg/ml streptomycin.

Immunohistochemistry. HMGB1, calreticulin and CD8 immunostaining was conducted using the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex method. Each paraffin section was dewaxed, followed by antigen retrieval with Target Retrieval Solution (10 mmol citrate buffer at pH 6.0; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) in an autoclave (121°C, 15 min). The sections were cooled at room temperature for 30 min and endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide. Thereafter, the sections were incubated with diluted normal blocking serum for 20 min and incubated with one of the following: mouse anti-human HMGB1 mAb (cat. no. SAB1403925, clone 2F6, 3 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, Tokyo, Japan) overnight at 4°C; mouse anti-human calreticulin mAb (cat. no. ab22683, 5 mg/ml; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 2 h at 37°C; or mouse anti-human CD8 mAb (cat. no. M7103, 1.6 µg/ml; Dako) overnight at 4°C. Thereafter, the EnVision kit (cat. no. K4001; Dako) was used for anti-mouse secondary antibody staining according to the manufacturer's protocol and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine was used to detect specific bindings. The grade of HMGB1 and calreticulin expression was scored as 0 (0-10% positive), 1+ (>10-30% positive), 2+ (>30-80% positive), or 3+ (> 80% positive) in tumor cells (Fig. 1) in serial sections using five randomly selected areas at a magnification of x400. The CD8+ T cells were expressed as the mean values in four randomly selected areas at a magnification of x400. The CD8+ T cells were expressed as the mean values in four randomly selected areas at a magnification of x400. Microscopic analyses were evaluated independently by two investigators (K.A. and K.K.) who had no prior knowledge of the clinical data.

In vitro treatments of breast cancer cell lines with chemotherapeutic drugs. Three breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, and SK-BR-3, were incubated with RPMI-1640 medium in 6-well plates. Tumor cells were grown to subconfluency and treated with chemotherapeutic drugs, paclitaxel (0.1-1 µM) or doxorubicin (0.1-1 µM), in serum-free medium (AIM V®; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Wilimington, DE, USA) on day 0. Dying cells were analyzed by Annexin V and 7-aminomycin D (7-AAD) (both from BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, USA) by flow cytometry, and the proportion of dying cells was determined using either Annexin V-positive or 7-AAD-positive cells. Supernatants of treated breast cancer cell line cultures were measured for HMGB1 contents by ELISA (Shino-Test Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), and cell surface expression of calreticulin was evaluated by flow cytometry with R-phycocerythrin-conjugated anti-calreticulin mAb (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA).

Statistical analysis. A paired t-test was used to determine the differences in the HMGB1 score, calreticulin score, and the number of CD8+ T cells before and after NAC. A Chi-square test was used for the evaluation between chemo-response and HMGB1 score, calreticulin score, and the number of CD8+ T cells, as well as between the number of CD8+ T cells and both HMGB1 and calreticulin scores. An unpaired t-test was used to determine HMGB1 and calreticulin expression between the control cell lines and the target cell lines treated with chemotherapeutic drugs. Cumulative survival was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method, and the differences between the two groups were analyzed by a log-rank test. All statistical analyses were two-sided and conducted using Graphpad Prism v6.0 (Graphpad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

HMGB1 and calreticulin expression before and after NAC. To evaluate HMGB1 and calreticulin expression within the tumor microenvironment induced by chemotherapy alone, immunohistochemical analysis was conducted in pre-treatment biopsy specimens and surgically resected specimens obtained from NAC-treated breast cancer and ESCC patients. Concerning NAC, breast cancer patients (92%, n=48) were treated with 5-fluorouracil-epirubicin-cyclophosphamide (FEC or CEF) with/without docetaxel or paclitaxel, and trastuzumab was added for those with HER2-overexpressing tumors (Table I). All ESCC patients were treated with 5-fluorouracil + cisplatin. In order to semi-quantitatively evaluate HMGB1 and calreticulin expression, we classified the patients into 4 grades (0, 1+, 2+ and 3+), as described in Materials and methods section. The representative immunostainings using anti-HMGB1 and anti-calreticulin mAbs are shown in Fig. 1.

Both HMGB1 and calreticulin expression was significantly upregulated after NAC compared to pre-treatment samples in breast cancer and ESCC (Fig. 2). Summarized data from all samples showed that the degree of HMGB1 and calreticulin expression was significantly upregulated after NAC compared to the pre-treatment samples in breast cancer and ESCC (Fig. 3). Thus, it is strongly suggested that chemotherapy alone could upregulated HMGB1 and calreticulin expression in the tumor microenvironments in breast cancer and ESCC.

Correlation of HMGB1 and calreticulin expression with pathological responses after NAC and patient survival. Since the number of patients with breast cancer was enough to evaluate the clinical data, the evaluations of the response
Table I. Clinical course and prognosis of the breast cancer cases depending on the chemotherapy regimen.

| Regimen                                      | No. of patients | No. of patients with recurrence | Relapse-free survival [mean (range) months] | No. of deaths | Overall survival [mean (range) months] |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| FEC + docetaxel                              | 14              | 2                               | 32 (8-108)                                 | 1             | 34 (1-114)                            |
| FEC + paclitaxel                             | 14              | 5                               | 53 (1-114)                                 | 3             | 65 (3-114)                            |
| FEC + docetaxel + trastuzumab               | 5               | 2                               | 25 (4-38)                                  | 1             | 27 (5-38)                             |
| FEC + paclitaxel + trastuzumab              | 5               | 2                               | 70 (22-96)                                 | 1             | 76 (53-96)                            |
| FEC                                          | 5               | 1                               | 75 (13-106)                                | 0             | 79 (33-108)                           |
| CEF                                          | 4               | 2                               | 73 (15-104)                                | 1             | 70 (18-104)                           |
| FEC + paclitaxel + vinorelbine              | 1               | 1                               | 3                                            | 1             | 14                                    |
| Docetaxel                                    | 1               | 0                               | 15                                           | 0             | 15                                    |
| Paclitaxel + carboplatin                     | 1               | 0                               | 45                                           | 0             | 45                                    |
| Paclitaxel + cisplatin                       | 1               | 0                               | 32                                           | 1             | 32                                    |
| Paclitaxel + trastuzumab                    | 1               | 0                               | 22                                           | 0             | 22                                    |

FEC, 5-fluorouracil (500 mg/m², intravenous injection on day 1) -epirubicin (60-100 mg/m², intravenous injection on day 1) -cyclophosphamide (500 mg/m², intravenous injection on day 1) / every 3 weeks; CEF, cyclophosphamide (75 mg/m², internal use on day 1-14) -epirubicin (60 mg/m², intravenous injection on day 1 and 8) -5-fluorouracil (500 mg/m², intravenous injection on day 1 and 8) / every 4 weeks.

![A: Representative immunostainings for HMGB1 and calreticulin in breast cancer (A) and ESCC (B) cases using anti-HMGB1, anti-calreticulin mAbs. The grade of HMGB1 and calreticulin expression was scored as 0 (0-10% positive), 1+ (>10-30% positive), 2+ (>30-80% positive), or 3+ (>80% positive) based on the tumor cells. Sections in individual sample were observed at a magnification of x400. HMGB1, high-mobility group box 1 protein; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.](image)
rate and survival rate were performed in the breast cancer specimens only. Patient and tumor characteristics in the breast cancer cases are shown in Table II. Tumors were classified according to the TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors (UICC 7th edition) and histological criteria made by the Japanese Breast Cancer Society for assessment of therapeutic response was used to evaluate the pathological response to NAC (21). As shown in Table III, there was no significant correlation between HMGB1 score in the pre-treatment samples and pathological response, or between HMGB1 score in the post-treatment samples and pathological response. OS in the responder group to NAC was significantly superior to that in the non-responder group (Fig. 4A). However, there was no significant difference in survival between HMGB1-high and HMGB1-low scores in the pre-treatment samples or the post-treatment samples (Fig. 4B). Similarly, calreticulin expression in the pre- and post-treatment samples did not affect pathological response and OS (Table IV and Fig. 4C).

Correlation of infiltrating CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells before and after NAC with HMGB1 and calreticulin score, and patient survival in breast cancer patients. Representative immunostaining using CD8 mAb is shown in Fig. 5A. There was no significant correlation between the number of CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells before and after NAC (p=0.9228) (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, there were no significant differences in the number of CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells before and after NAC between the cases with pathological grade 0 and 1 or those with grade 2 and 3 (Fig. 5B). We next evaluated the correlation between the number of CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells before/after NAC and HMGB1 score before/after NAC, and no correlations were observed (Fig. 5C, left). Similarly, the number
of CD8+ T cells before/after NAC did not affect calreticulin score before/after NAC (Fig. 5C, right). However, OS rates in the high infiltration group of CD8+ T cells (>50 counts/field) before and after NAC were significantly superior to those in the low infiltration group (<50 counts/field) (Fig. 5D).

In vitro treatment of breast cancer cell lines with chemotherapeutic drugs. To further evaluate HMGB1 and calreticulin expression following treatment with chemotherapeutic drugs, three breast tumor cell lines were treated with paclitaxel or doxorubicin in vitro, and the production of HMGB1 and surface expression of calreticulin, along with the proportion of dying cells (Fig. 6A), were analyzed. As shown in Fig. 6B and C, chemotherapeutic drugs alone induced variable levels of HMGB1 production (Fig. 6B)

Table II. Patient and tumor characteristics of the breast cancer cases (n=52).

| Characteristics                  | n  |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Age (years)                      |    |
| Mean                             | 53.4|
| Range                            | 26-75|
| Sex                              |    |
| Male                             | 1  |
| Female                           | 51 |
| Tumor                            |    |
| T1                               | 7  |
| T2                               | 24 |
| T3                               | 7  |
| T4                               | 14 |
| Lymph node metastasis            |    |
| N0                               | 2  |
| N1                               | 41 |
| N2                               | 7  |
| N3                               | 2  |
| Stage                            |    |
| I                                | 0  |
| II                               | 27 |
| III                              | 23 |
| IV                               | 2  |
| Histological classification      |    |
| Invasive ductal carcinoma        | 41 |
| Non-invasive carcinoma           | 1  |
| Mucinous carcinoma               | 2  |
| Medullary carcinoma              | 1  |
| Metaplastic carcinoma            | 2  |
| Invasive micropapillary carcinoma| 1  |
| Spindle cell carcinoma           | 1  |
| Others                           | 1  |
| Missing                          | 2  |
| Subtype                          |    |
| Luminal                          | 21 |
| HER2                             | 5  |
| Triple-negative                  | 17 |
| Luminal + HER2                   | 8  |

*Tumor, lymph node metastasis and stage are according to the TNM classification for breast cancer (UICC, 7th edition).

Table III. Correlation between HMGB1 score and pathological response.

| Before NAC                  |          |          |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| HMGB1 score                | Grade 0, 1 | Grade 2, 3 |
| 0                         | 23       | 18       |
| 1+                        | 2        | 4        |
| 2+                        | 1        | 2        |
| 3+                        | 0        | 0        |
| P-value                   | 0.4648   |          |

| After NAC                  |          |          |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| HMGB1 score                | Grade 0, 1 | Grade 2, 3 |
| 0                         | 5        | 8        |
| 1+                        | 5        | 6        |
| 2+                        | 8        | 6        |
| 3+                        | 8        | 4        |
| P-value                   | 0.5076   |          |

HMGB1, high-mobility group box 1 protein; NAC, neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Table IV. Correlation between calreticulin score and pathological response.

| Before NAC                  |          |          |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Calreticulin score         | Grade 0, 1 | Grade 2, 3 |
| 0                         | 0        | 0        |
| 1+                        | 0        | 0        |
| 2+                        | 18       | 17       |
| 3+                        | 8        | 7        |
| P-value                   | 0.9017   |          |

| After NAC                  |          |          |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Calreticulin score         | Grade 0, 1 | Grade 2, 3 |
| 0                         | 0        | 0        |
| 1+                        | 0        | 0        |
| 2+                        | 3        | 3        |
| 3+                        | 23       | 21       |
| P-value                   | 0.9167   |          |

NAC, neoadjuvant chemotherapy.
and surface calreticulin expression (Fig. 6C) depending on the drug and cell line, regardless of approximately the same proportion of dying cells.

**Discussion**

The present study contains novel findings supporting the concept that ICD can be induced by chemotherapy alone in patients with breast cancer and ESCC. Firstly, both HMGB1 and calreticulin expression were significantly upregulated after NAC. Secondly, chemotherapeutic drugs induced the upregulation of HMGB1 and calreticulin in several tested breast cancer cell lines.

We and others have recently reported that danger signals from dying cells following treatment with radiotherapy or certain chemotherapeutic drugs, such as anthracyclines and oxaliplatin, can induce Toll-like receptor (TLR)-dependent, antigen-specific T-cell immunity (22,23). Additional therapeutic modalities shown to induce ICD include oncolytic virus therapy (24-26) and photodynamic therapy (27,28). Furthermore, among various danger signals released from dying cells in a tumor-bearing mouse model, HMGB1, but not other known TLR4 ligands, could be a mandatory factor to induce tumor antigen-specific T-cell immunity (22,23). Moreover, it has been shown that early membrane exposure of calreticulin induced by certain chemotherapeutic drugs, such as anthracyclines and oxaliplatin (15,23,29-31), could enhance the phagocytosis of dying tumor cells by DCs in vitro (32-34). Both HMGB1 release and calreticulin cell surface expression were found to be required for antigen-specific T-cell response in a murine model. In the present study, we showed for the first time in a human clinical study that conventional chemotherapy alone significantly induced the upregulation of HMGB1 and calreticulin in breast cancer and ESCC, indicating that some degree of ICD was significantly induced in the tumor microenvironment after chemotherapy. Contrary to expectations, no correlation was observed between these expression levels and the number of CD8$^+$ T cells in the present study, although OS in the high infiltration group of CD8$^+$ T cells was significantly superior to that in the low infiltration group in breast cancer patients. In addition, the present in vitro study indicated that there were substantial variations in HMGB1 and calreticulin
production following chemotherapy in the breast cancer cell lines regardless of approximately the same proportion of dying cells. Apetoh et al reported that breast cancer patients with a TLR4 loss-of-function allele relapsed more quickly after chemotherapy than those with a normal TLR4 allele (23). This finding indicates a clinically relevant immune reaction triggered by TLR-dependent ICD induced by chemotherapy, and the possibility of predicting clinical outcome for CRT or chemotherapy by evaluating ICD status. However, in the present study, there was no significant correlation between the degree of mediators (HMGB1 and calreticulin) and pathological response after NAC, or between the degree of the mediators and patient survival. It is therefore likely that the induction of ICD alone is not a suitable biomarker to predict the clinical response to NAC, and a more complicated network is involved in ICD induction. Regarding this problem,
Bruchard et al., recently reported that myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) treated with gemcitabine and 5-fluorouracil produced IL-1β, and MDSC-derived IL-1β induction secretion of IL-17 by CD4-positive T cells, leading to blunt the anticancer efficacy of the chemotherapy (35). Further investigation is required to find a suitable biomarker for the response to NAC.

Taking our present and previous studies into consideration (36), it is clear that some degree of ICD was induced in cancer patients after CRT or chemotherapy alone. Combination therapy of CRT or chemotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors may therefore induce a synergistic effect. It has been shown that the anti-CTLA4 antibody enhances the antigen presentation of DCs activated by HMGB1 and calreticulin, and that anti-programmed death 1/anti-programmed death ligand 1 antibodies enhance the cytotoxic ability of tumor antigen-specific T-cells in the tumor microenvironment, resulting in the improvement of patient survival (37,38).

In conclusion, the findings of the present study indicate that chemotherapy alone can induce ICD in breast cancer and ESCC patients. Hence, a combination therapy of chemotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors may enhance the ICD, resulting in the improvement of both the pathological response and survival of breast cancer and ESCC patients.

Figure 6. In vitro treatment of breast cancer cell lines with chemotherapeutic drugs. (A) The proportion of dying cells [Annexin V(+) or 7-AAD(+)] was analyzed by flow cytometry. (B) HMGB1 in the supernatant after chemotherapy was evaluated by ELISA. (C) Calreticulin expressed on the cell surface after chemotherapy was evaluated by flow cytometry. Representative histograms for these cell lines are shown. *p<0.05, **p<0.001. PTX, paclitaxel; DXR, doxorubicin; HMGB1, high-mobility group box 1 protein. n.s., not significant.
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