Noninvasive Monitoring of Glioma Growth in the Mouse
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Abstract

Malignant gliomas are the most common and deadly primary malignant brain tumors. In vivo orthotopic models could doubtless represent an appropriate tool to test novel treatment for gliomas. However, methods commonly used to monitor the growth of glia inside the mouse brain are time consuming and invasive. We tested the reliability of a minimally invasive procedure, based on a secreted luciferase (Gaussia luciferase), to frequently monitor the changes of glioma size.

Gluc activity was evaluated from blood samples collected from the tail tip of mice twice a week, allowing to make a growth curve for the tumors. We validated the correlation between Gluc activity and tumor size by analysing the tumor after brain dissection. We found that this method is reliable for monitoring human glioma transplanted in immunodeficient mice, but it has strong limitation in immunocompetent models, where an immune response against the luciferase is developed during the first weeks after transplant.
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Introduction

Malignant gliomas are among the hardest challenge of modern oncology. Despite all the efforts of scientific community, only modest improvements have been achieved in the last decades. To break the current deadlock, an important tool is represented by in vivo models of gliomas. To be reliable, these models have to grow orthotopically inside the brain of animals, and this make their development not easy to monitor. The available options to monitor the orthotropic growth of gliomas are NMR [1], microPET [2] and bioluminescence analysis on tumors engineered to express a luciferase [3]. All these methods are generally time consuming, expensive and, most importantly, extremely invasive, requiring risky procedures for anesthesia and substrates administration. This greatly limits the frequency of measurements, preventing a reliable analysis of tumor growth dynamic.

A possible alternative to the aforementioned methods is the employment of reporter proteins secreted in liquid fluids, which can be measured frequently over the time, therefore providing a large amount of data with minimally invasive procedures.

Secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) and Gaussia luciferase (Gluc) are the most used liquid fluid reporters. These enzymes can be exploited for different applications and have been used to monitor several processes, including the growth of tumor masses in inaccessible locations [4-6].

Gluc is one of the smallest luciferase (19.9 kDa), isolated from the copepod Gaussia princeps. Unlike the first generation of luciferases, like Photinus pyralis luciferase (Fluc) and Renilla reniformis luciferase (Rluc), Gluc is naturally secreted by cells. Moreover, Gluc activity does not require ATP and, in vitro, is linear with the number of cells [7]. Gluc has a flashing kinetics and its activity tends to rapidly decrease when reacting with its substrate celenterazine [8]. This
disadvantage is, however, largely compensated by its initial activity per mole, that is about 100 times higher than that of Rluc and 1000 times higher than that of Fluc [9-11]. This makes Gluc an excellent choice as body fluid reporter, since its assay is extremely sensitive, about 20,000-fold higher than that of SEAP [12]. Few microliters of blood taken from the mouse tail tip are sufficient to obtain an accurate measurement, making possible to perform daily assays.

A few studies have already reported the use of Gluc as biomarker to monitor neoplastic cell proliferation in various tumour models including intracranial gliomas [7, 13, 14]. However, the extent of the correlation between Gluc levels in blood and tumor burden has not been thoroughly analysed.

The aim of this work is to test the efficiency of Gluc as a blood reporter system to dynamically estimate the tumor size and to monitor the intracranial growth of gliomas in both immunodeficient and immunocompetent mice.

We found that the assay of Gluc in the blood of immunodeficient mice allows the detection of a tumor graft as early as ten days from transplant, and, in average, 60 days before mice showed the first hint of neurological symptoms. We also observed a good correlation between Gluc activity and tumor size evaluated with morphometric and weight analyses. In immunocompetent mice, however, the use of Gluc is limited by the development of immune response that inhibits Gluc activity, impairing its correlation with tumor size.

Materials and Methods

Animal procedures

Mice were handled in agreement with guidelines conforming to current Italian regulations for the protection of animals used for scientific purposes (D.lvo 27/01/1992, no. 116). Procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation of the IRCCS San Martino IST and by the Italian Ministry of Health. The experiments were performed on the Nod/Scid and BALB/c mouse strains. Anesthetized animals were injected by way of a stereotaxic apparatus. Up to 5 µl of suspension, containing from 4x10⁴ to 10⁵ cells preparations, were injected using a Hamilton syringe (Bregma coordinates: anterio-posterior, 1.0 mm; lateral, 1.5 mm left and 2.5 mm below the skull surface). Resorbable suture was used before awakening the animals. Animals were then monitored daily and killed at first sign of neurological symptoms or, in some instance, before it, as soon as they reached planned levels of Gluc activity. Their brains were then explanted and photographed under a Leica fluorescence stereomicroscope (Wetzlar, Germany).

Gluc assays were carried on twice a week, by collecting about 5 µl of blood from the tail tip of mice in tube containing 0.5M EDTA. Mice sera were obtained by centrifuging at 500 RCF blood samples preincubated without EDTA at 37°C for 30 minutes.

To estimate the volume of the tumors inside the brains, the apparent feret diameters of the tumor were calculated from two pictures taken from the dorsal- (upper feret) and from the ventral- (lower feret) side of the brain. We then approximated the tumor volume to that of a sphere with a diameter equal to the average between the upper and lower feret diameter.

Survival curves were determined using Kaplan-Meier analysis and survival between groups was assayed by log-rank test.

Retroviral Vectors

pCAG:DsRed-EGFrVIII and pCAG:DsRed retroviral vectors were previously described [15].

pCAG:mGFP-Gluc vector was obtained as follows. The coding sequence of Gaussia luciferase from the pCMV-GLuc 2 Control Plasmid (New England BioLabs, Hitchin, UK) was cloned into the pCAG:GFP vector (kindly provided by Dr. M. Goetz) upstream the IRES-GFP region. GFP sequence was replaced with a mutated, non-fluorescent, GFP (mGFP) that is detectable by immunostaining techniques. mGFP was obtained by substitution of three nucleotides inducing the mutations T66A and Y67A by PCR.

Cell cultures and Transduction Procedures

Human glioma initiating cells (hGIC) L0306, kindly provided by Dr. R. Galli [16], were engineered with pCAG:DsRed and oCAG:mGFP-Gluc and maintained as spheres in Neurocult Medium supplemented with NeuroCult NS-A (StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, British Columbia, CA), human recombinant fibroblast growth factor 2 (10 ng/ml; PeproTech, Rocky Hill, USA), epidermal growth factor (20 ng/ml; PeproTech, Rocky Hill, USA) and Heparin (2µg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich, Milano, Italy).

HGG[vIII] cells were obtained from INK4a/ARF knock-out BALB/c mice intracranially injected with syngeneic neural progenitor cells transduced with pCAG:DsRed-EGFrVIII as previously described [17]. Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium-F12 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad,CA) with B27 supplement (Invitrogen, Carlsbad,CA), human basic fibroblast growth factor (10 ng/ml; Peprotech, London, UK) and epidermal growth factor (10 ng/ml, Peprotech, London, UK) and plated on Matrigel.
Cultures from tumors were established microdissecting DsRed-positive areas under a fluorescence microscope and trypsinizing them for 20 minutes. Cells were maintained in the medium described above.

**Gaussia luciferase assay**

Gluc activity was evaluated in a reaction set up obtained by combining 5µl of blood sample with a buffer constituted by 17.5 µl of Stop & Glo® Buffer (Promega, Milano, IT) supplemented with coelenterazine, and 17.5 µl of a buffer containing HEPES 75mM; DTT 20 mM, EDTA 100 µM, pH 8.0. The luminometer (Promega Glomax 20/20n) was set to acquire a series of 40 consecutive measures with an integration time of 1 second. Data analysis was performed with the software "R".

**Results and Discussion**

**Gluc expression does not alter cell proliferation rate and tumor latency of different glioma models**

To be employed as tool for monitor glioma growth, a reporter gene should not induce alterations on cell behavior. A recent report addressed specifically this point on a model of glioma expressing firefly luciferase [18] showing not gross alteration on cell proliferation and in vivo growth. To assess whether also Gluc expression does not perturb glioma cells, we compared the doubling time of the human glioma initiating cells L0306, with that of L0306 transduced with pCAG:mGFP-Gluc construct expressing Gluc (L0306-Gluc). This analysis showed that Gluc does not grossly alter glioma proliferation rate, since the doubling time of L0306 was 3.8±1.3 days before transduction and 3.1±0.6 days after transduction.

Most significantly, the median survival time of Nod/Scid mice following intracranic transplantation of L0306-Gluc (81 days, Figure 1A) did not significantly differed from that of mice transplanted with non-transduced L0306 cells (78 days). Similar results were obtained with the mouse glioma models induced by EGFRvIII overexpression (HGG[vIII] [15]) where the median survival time was 42 days for Gluc-transduced- (Figure 1A) and 41 days for untransduced-HGG[vIII] cells.

**Correlation between glioma growth and Gluc activity**

To evaluate the reliability of Gluc quantification as a method to monitor the growth of intracranic gliomas in mice, 10^5 L0306-Gluc cells were orthotopically transplanted in 18 Nod/Scid mice. Starting from two days after transplant, 5 µl of blood were collected twice a week from the tail tip and assayed for Gluc activity.

![Figure 1.](http://www.jcancer.org)
Since Gluc has a flashing kinetics, its activity (herein referred as [Gluc]) under substrate excess conditions changes rapidly during time. An analysis of our experimental data showed that [Gluc], which value is proportional to the luminescence, decreases over time following the relation:

\[
[\text{Gluc}] (t) = \left[ [\text{Gluc}]_0^{1-\alpha} + (\alpha - 1) k t \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}
\]

To standardize the way of measuring [Gluc] in all blood samples, a series of measurements of luminescence over time was recorded for each sample and used to extrapolate by linear regression from the former relationship the starting activity of Gluc ([Gluc]₀; Figure 1B). This method allows fully exploiting the initial activity of the enzyme, thus making the assay very sensitive compared to methods relying on stabilization of the luciferase activity, which lowers it of one or more order of magnitude.

In 40% of the mice, the level of Gluc activity crossed the detection threshold already at the first experimental point, and the levels became detectable in all mice within 43 days after transplant. By plotting [Gluc]₀ values versus time after transplant, we obtained exponential curves (Figure 2A), suggesting that the tumors were expanding at an exponential rate, with a doubling time of 113±19 hours.

To measure the correlation between [Gluc]₀ and tumors burden, mice were killed when showing different levels of [Gluc]₀. Brains were photographed under an epifluorescence stereomicroscope that allows the visualization of the tumor mass thanks to the DsRed reporter gene expressed by L0306-Gluc cells. A morphometric analysis was then performed to estimate the volume of the tumors. Additionally, tumors were microdissected and weighted. These two independent measurements were combined to obtain an estimated tumor burden and to analyse its correlation with [Gluc]₀. This analysis showed that tumor burden is linearly related to [Gluc]₀ and the regression has a R² of 0.79 (Figure 2B) independently from the localizations of the tumor that varied from mostly dorsal- to mostly ventral-localization and even partly extraencephalic (Figure 2B). This suggests that the localization of the tumor has a minor impact on the level of Gluc activity found in the blood. It should be noticed that the estimation of tumor burden is invariably affected by an error due to the variable tumor cell density inside the tumor masses. This may explain why the trend line of the logarithm of [Gluc]₀ over the time in each animal shows a better correlation coefficient (R²=0.97±0.03; Figure 2A) than that between [Gluc]₀ and the estimated tumor burden in different animals.

Similar results were obtained using a different line of hGIC transplanted in NOD/Scid mice (data not shown).

![Figure 2](http://www.jcancer.org)
Gluc activity assay in immunocompetent mice.

We then tested the suitability of Gluc assay in immunocompetent mice. Murine glioblastoma stem cells (HGG[vIII]), induced by the overexpression of EGFRvIII and the DsRed reporter gene in p16/p19 knock-out neural progenitor cells, were orthotopically transplanted in syngeneic adult BALB/c mice. Previous analyses showed that such a model is more aggressive than the L0306 in Nod/Scid mice, and the median survival time of transplanted animals is about 41 days. In contrast to the L0306 transplants, not all the HGG[vIII] transplants resulted in the development of a glioma and the overall penetrance was about 75%.

We therefore transplanted a pool of 24 mice with 4x10^4 HGG[vIII] cells engineered to express Gluc (HGG[vIII]-Gluc). During the first 4 weeks from transplant, 9 animals showed growing levels of [Gluc]_T0, consistent with a doubling time of the tumor mass of 51±20 hours, which reflected the higher aggressivity of the model. Thanks to the very dense series of measurements, in five additional animals we had the possibility to observe irregular patterns of Gluc levels, which underwent to a sudden decrease following a previous phase of growth. The remaining mice did not show any detectable level of Gluc (Figure 3A). From day 27, mice displaying higher Gluc levels started to develop neurological symptoms and were therefore killed. Mice were found to harbor large gliomas expressing DsRed reporter gene (Figure 4A).

Unexpectedly, however, from day 36 some animals with low levels of [Gluc]_T0 also started to display neurological symptoms. Four of them belonged to the group of animals with irregular pattern of Gluc activity, two showed a slight increase of [Gluc]_T0 just before developing symptoms, and other two never showed any detectable level of Gluc. When analyzed, all these animals showed DsRed-expressing tumor masses similar in size to those found in the animals displaying high-level of [Gluc]_T0 (Figure 4B).
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Gluc activity (Figure 3B-C). On the contrary, sera derived either from non-transplanted mice or from mice that did not develop gliomas following HGG[vIII] transplant, were not able to significantly inhibit Gluc activity. Moreover, the further addition of 0.1M glycine in samples where Gluc was inhibited by serum restored the Gluc activity, as expected if the inhibition would depend on neutralizing antibodies (Figure 3B-C). The addition of 0.1M glycine was also able to unmask Gluc activity in sera of mice displaying barely detectable levels of Gluc but harbouring large tumor masses (Figure 3D). Taken together these results indicate that immunocompetent mice tend to develop neutralizing antibody that can perturb the Gluc activity. Accordingly, with this view, [Gluc]T0 was not correlated to tumor size even in those animals displaying exponentially growing [Gluc]T0 levels, as shown by the Pearson correlation coefficient lower than 0.5 (data not shown), suggesting the presence of Gluc antibodies even in these animals. The luciferase monitoring immunocompetent animals can be therefore used as qualitative method to determine whether tumor successfully grafted but cannot be used as a quantitative method to establish the tumor size.

Conclusions

Tumor growth is not easily monitored when the tumor mass is located in inaccessible locations as in the case of gliomas. A precise assessment of the tumor dynamic, however, would be important to evaluate the effects of experimental treatments and may guide the establishment of new treatments. An essential characteristic of a method designed to frequently monitor tumor growth should be a minimal invasiveness, and this criterion is not fulfilled by the currently used methods, which need anaesthesia and long acquisition times. Gluc assay allows to monitor tumor growth in Nod/Scid mice at least twice a week, with a rapid and non-invasive procedure. By exploiting the initial burst of activity of Gluc, our method is highly sensitive allowing to detect the tumor at very early stages. Data collected here allowed for the first time a thorough analysis of the correlation between [Gluc]T0 and tumor burden, determined directly by weight and morphometric assay. The analysis showed this correlation is good and thus we deem that Gluc assay represents an excellent tool to monitor gliomas in vivo. The Gluc assay is, however, not suitable in immunocompetent animals, due to the onset of an immune response against the luciferase.
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