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The activation of pyruvate formate-lyase (PFL) by pyruvate formate-lyase activating enzyme (PFL-AE) involves formation of a specific glycyl radical on PFL by the PFL-AE in a reaction requiring S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet). Surface plasmon resonance experiments were performed under anaerobic conditions on the oxygen-sensitive PFL-AE to determine the kinetics and equilibrium constant for its interaction with PFL. These experiments show that the interaction is very slow and rate-limited by large conformational changes. A novel AdoMet binding assay was used to accurately determine the equilibrium constants for AdoMet binding to PFL and PFL-AE alone and in complex with PFL. The PFL-AE bound AdoMet with the same affinity (~6 μM) regardless of the presence or absence of PFL. Activation of PFL in the presence of its substrate pyruvate or the analog oxamate resulted in stoichiometric conversion of the [4Fe-4S]1+ cluster to the glycyl radical on PFL; however, 3.7-fold less activation was achieved in the absence of these small molecules, demonstrating that pyruvate or oxamate are required for optimal activation. Finally, in vivo concentrations of the entire PFL system were calculated to estimate the amount of bound protein in the cell. PFL, PFL-AE, and AdoMet are essentially fully bound in vivo, whereas electron donor proteins are partially bound.

Pyruvate formate-lyase (PFL)2 supplies the citric acid cycle with acetyl-CoA during anaerobic glycolysis by catalyzing the reaction of pyruvate + CoA \( \rightarrow \) acetyl-CoA + formate and is a central enzyme in anaerobic metabolism of Escherichia coli and other facultative anaerobes. PFL is among the growing list of glycyl radical enzymes (1), which play key roles in anaerobic metabolism in microbes, including the reduction of ribonucleotides to deoxyribonucleotides (2), synthesis of benzylsuccinate (3), and conversion of choline to trimethylamine (4). The defining feature of a glycyl radical enzyme is the presence of a stable and catalytically essential glycyl radical in the active site. The glycyl radical is generated by an activating enzyme that belongs to the radical S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet) superfamily; these radical AdoMet activases utilize a [4Fe-4S] cluster and AdoMet to generate the glycyl radical by direct H-atom abstraction. These glycyl radical enzymes and their activating enzymes are notoriously difficult to study due to the oxygen sensitivity of both the glycyl radical in the glycyl radical enzyme and the [4Fe-4S] cluster in the activating enzymes.

PFL is constitutively expressed in E. coli; however, its expression increases 10–12-fold under anaerobic conditions (5, 6). The enzyme is in an inactive state when produced and must be activated by an activating enzyme (PFL-AE) under anaerobic conditions before catalysis can occur (6–8). PFL exists as a dimer with one active site per subunit (6, 9, 10) and has been shown to exhibit half-site reactivity (5, 11–13). X-ray crystal structures of PFL have revealed that each active site is buried ~8 Å from the surface of the enzyme (9, 10). These data, together with the evidence that activation requires direct H-atom abstraction from an active site glycine residue (PFL Gly-734) by a deoxyadenosyl radical generated in the PFL-AE active site (14–18), suggest that significant conformational changes of one or both proteins are required during the activation process. Recent biophysical and biochemical studies indeed support a two-state model for PFL, in which the closed state that has been structurally characterized can be converted to an open state in which the glycyl radical loop of PFL is more solvent-exposed (11). This conversion to the open state is favored in the presence of PFL-AE (11).

PFL-AE is a radical S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet or AdoMet) enzyme that utilizes an iron-sulfur cluster and AdoMet to activate PFL via pro-S hydrogen abstraction from Gly-734 (14, 19). The [4Fe-4S] cluster of PFL-AE is coordinated by the cysteines of a conserved CX3CX3CX motif, with the fourth unique iron coordinated by AdoMet (20–23, 32). PFL-AE cycles between two different oxidation states during hydrogen abstraction, [4Fe-4S]2+/1+, with the [4Fe-4S]1+ being the state capable of reductive cleavage of AdoMet to generate the glycyl radical (12). In vivo, it is believed that PFL-AE is reduced by flavodoxin, which is first reduced by either NADPH flavodoxin

* This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Grant 2R01GM054608-14.
1 To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717. Tel.: 406-994-6160; E-mail: jbroderick@chemistry.montana.edu.
2 The abbreviations used are: PFL, pyruvate formate-lyase; AdoMet, S-adenosylmethionine; EDC, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide·HCl.
oxidoreductase or pyruvate:flavodoxin oxidoreductase (7, 8, 24–26).

The activation of PFL by PFL-AE involves intriguing issues of protein–protein interactions, associated protein conformational changes, and protected generation and transfer of highly reactive carbon radical species. In this publication, we provided biophysical insight into interactions between PFL and PFL-AE using surface plasmon resonance under anaerobic conditions, and we explore the roles of AdoMet and PFL substrates on this interaction. Our own data together with some previously published work has allowed us to estimate the degree to which the PFL system components are bound in complexes in vivo and to provide a more complete understanding of the conditions under which PFL activation occurs.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Protein Preparation and Small Molecules**—PFL-AE and PFL was grown and purified as published previously (12, 20, 27, 28). PFL-AE was quantified using $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 39.4 \text{ mm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which was in agreement with the Bradford assay (29) using a correction factor of 0.65 (19). Two batches of PFL-AE were prepared for AdoMet binding assays and PFL activation assays. Iron assays were performed on both batches, and iron content was determined to be $2.83 \pm 0.03/\text{protein}$ for AdoMet binding assays and $3.96 \pm 0.02/\text{protein}$ for PFL activation assays by a previously published method (30). PFL was quantified using either the Bradford assay or $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 178 \text{ mm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, with both techniques giving identical values (29). The PFL-AE and PFL extinction coefficients were obtained using the ExPASy ProtParam tool. S-adenosylmethionine was synthesized using AdoMet synthetase and purified as described previously (22).

**PFL and PFL-AE-binding Studies**—All experiments were carried out in triplicate under anaerobic conditions using a Biacore X-100 with the Plus package in a Coy chamber (Coy Laboratories, Grass Lake, MI). The ligand protein (PFL-AE) was immobilized PFL-AE was regenerated using 20 mM HEPES, 500 mM KCl, 0.005% polysorbate 20, 200 mM imidazole, pH 7.4, with a regeneration time of 180 s, which completely removed the PFL and restored the preinjection baseline. Typical experiments included two blank cycles with buffer followed by three trials, each separated by one blank cycle. Five experimental PFL concentrations were prepared for each trial by making a 3-fold dilution with a maximum concentration of 10 $\mu$M PFL dimer. Triplicate experiments resulted in similar $R_{\text{max}}$ values indicating that PFL-AE was not damaged during regeneration. Sensograms for PFL-AE and PFL binding in single cycle kinetics mode were fit to a Langmuir 1:1 interaction model using BLAevaluation software (from GE Healthcare) available on the Biacore X-100 plus package.

**AdoMet Binding Studies**—CD experiments were run in triplicate under anaerobic conditions using a Jasco-710 spectropolarimeter at room temperature. Visible region measurements were collected using a 1-cm path length cuvette, and far-UV spectra were run using a 0.1-mm path length cuvette. For visible region scans, the sensitivity of the Jasco-710 was set to 100 millidegrees, with a data pitch of 0.1 nm, in continuous scan mode at a speed of 100 nm/min, with a response of 1 s, a bandwidth of 1.0 nm, and an accumulation of three scans. The parameters for far-UV scans were exactly the same as the performed in the visible region except a scan rate of 50 nm/min was used from 195 to 260 nm. The buffer used for all CD experiments was 20 mM HEPES, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 7.4, and PFL-AE concentrations were in the range of 50–120 $\mu$M in the visible region and 30 $\mu$M in the far-UV region. During AdoMet binding experiments, small volumes of concentrated AdoMet were titrated into the cuvette, and CD data were collected from 300 to 800 nm. A control experiment was also performed where buffer was titrated in place of AdoMet to show AdoMet binding was responsible for the changes in the CD spectrum and to provide data for dilution correction of the AdoMet binding experiments. The AdoMet binding results are an average of triplicate data analyzed using the change in ellipticity at 400 nm, which was divided by the maximum change in ellipticity and fit to Equation 1:

$$y = \frac{[\text{Et}] + [\text{Lt}] + K_D - ([\text{Et}]^2 - 2[\text{Et}][\text{Lt}]) + 2[\text{Et}][K_D + [\text{Lt}]]^2 + 2[\text{Lt}][K_D + K_D^2])^{1/2}}{2[\text{Et}]}$$

(Eq. 1)

The total PFL-AE concentration is represented by the variable $\text{Et}$ in the equation below. $\text{Lt}$ represents the total AdoMet concentration titrated during the assay, and $K_D$ is the equilibrium constant.

**PFL Activation Studies**—EPR spectra were measured on a Bruker ER-200D-SRC spectrometer at 12 and 60 K for PFL-AE.
and PFL, respectively, with a frequency of 9.37 GHz. The EPR microwave power was set to 0.06 milliwatt (for examining the glycyl radical of PFL) and 1.59 milliwatt (for PFL-AE) with a modulation frequency of 100 kHz and a 5 gauss modulation amplitude for all samples; all spectra were the sum of four scans.

PFL activation reactions were carried out under anaerobic conditions in an mBraun box with \(1 \text{ ppm O}_2\). PFL-AE was added to an EPR tube at \(100 \mu\text{M}\) with a volume of 350 \(\mu\text{l}\) in 100 mM Tris, 250 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT, 100 \(\mu\text{M}\) 5-deazariboflavin, pH 7.4 and photoreduced for a time course of 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 60 min with a 500-watt halogen bulb. Photoreduced PFL-AE was then added to PFL to make a 1:1 ratio at a final concentration of \(50 \mu\text{M}\) each of PFL-AE and PFL in the presence of \(500 \mu\text{M}\) AdoMet. One PFL substrate was then added to each EPR sample (10 mM pyruvate, 10 mM oxamate, 100 \(\mu\text{M}\) CoA, or no substrate), and components were mixed. Samples were pipetted into a clean EPR tube and wrapped in foil before being incubated for 20 min to provide time for the reaction to go to completion. EPR samples were then flash frozen in liquid N\(_2\) and stored in a liquid N\(_2\) Dewar until the EPR spectrum could be measured. The concentration of the PFL glycyl radical was determined using a \(K_2(\text{SO}_3)\text{NO}\) standard according to previously described methods (11, 12, 34). The \(K_m\) values for PFL substrates have been determined previously to be 2 \(\mu\text{M}\) for pyruvate and 7 \(\mu\text{M}\) for CoA (5). Equilibrium constants have also been determined for PFL small molecule binding, yielding a \(K_D\) of 2 \(\mu\text{M}\) for oxamate and 100 \(\mu\text{M}\) for pyruvate (10). Under the conditions employed during these experiments, we can therefore confidently say that the substrates are at sufficiently high concentrations to interact with PFL and should be close to fully bound.

**In Vivo Concentrations of the PFL System**—Protein purifications and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis studies provide information on the number of protein copies per cell for the PFL system in *E. coli* grown under anaerobic conditions in minimal medium and supplemented with glucose (5, 6, 24, 26, 35). A more recent study using cell microscopy determined cell volume for *E. coli* cells under some of the most commonly used growth conditions (36). We selected the cell volume that corresponded to growth under anaerobic conditions in minimal media and supplemented with glucose to determine the *in vivo* concentrations for proteins of the PFL system.

**RESULTS**

**PFL-AE Binding Interactions with PFL**—Surface plasmon resonance binding experiments were performed under anaerobic conditions to investigate the interaction between PFL and the oxygen-sensitive PFL-AE. We determined the \(K_D\) for this interaction to be \(1.1 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{M}\) at 25 °C (Fig. 1). The association rate for complex formation was determined to be \(10^{28} \pm 34 (\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})\). When compared with other biological systems, this rate is very slow and on the low end for protein-protein interactions; this indicates that the association rate is limited by large conformational changes rather than by diffusion (37).

Indeed, conformational changes are evident in the crystal structure of PFL-AE upon binding of AdoMet and the 7-mer peptide...
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FIGURE 2. A, visible region circular dichroism of PFL-AE showing the [4Fe-4S] cluster is perturbed upon AdoMet binding under anaerobic conditions. Red, as isolated PFL-AE; blue, PFL-AE with AdoMet (SAM) fully bound. The PFL-AE concentration was 50 μM, and AdoMet concentrations were in the range of 0–140 μM; the assay was performed in 20 mM HEPES, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 7.4 at 25 °C. B, AdoMet binding data for PFL-AE and AdoMet binding to the PFL-AE/PFL complex. PFL-AE and PFL (when present) concentrations were 50 μM each; other buffer conditions were as indicated for A. The data were analyzed using changes in ellipticity at 400 nm divided by total change in ellipticity, which was then plotted as function of AdoMet concentration and fit to the quadratic binding equation. CD parameters were set to a sensitivity of 100 millidegrees, with a data pitch of 0.1 nm, in continuous scan mode at a rate of 50 nm/minute, a scan range of 195–260 nm, in continuous scan mode at a rate of 50 nm/minute, a scan range of 195–260 nm, and an accumulation of three scans; all measurements were performed using a 1-cm path length anaerobic cuvette.

analogue of the PFL active site (32). Conformational changes have also been detected in PFL upon binding of PFL-AE when the active site loop on PFL must unfold to interact with the binding site in PFL-AE (11).

Electrostatic interactions between proteins lead to association rates that are much faster than the rate of diffusion; given the slow rate of association for PFL-AE with PFL, it is therefore reasonable to assume that electrostatic interactions do not play a significant role in PFL-AE and PFL binding (37). These data are further corroborated by activity assay data that show ionic strength does not affect PFL activity in the range of 0.1–1.6 M KCl (38). The dissociation rate for the PFL-AE/PFL complex was determined to be 1.17 ± 0.16 × 10⁻³ s⁻¹, indicating that the complex exhibits reasonable stability. When the same PFL-AE and PFL binding data were examined using affinity analysis, a K_d of 3.4 ± 2.2 μM was determined for the interaction, which is with in error of the equilibrium constant based on association and dissociation rates.

AdoMet Binding Studies with PFL-AE—The CD spectrum of PFL-AE exhibits λ_max values of 305 and 430 nm with shoulders at 345 and 630 nm and λ_min values of 380 and 550 nm. When AdoMet is titrated into a solution of PFL-AE, there are dramatic changes in the CD spectrum with multiple isosbestic points (Fig. 2A). The CD spectrum of PFL-AE with AdoMet bound has λ_max values of 305, 410, 495, and 690 nm with shoulders at 365 and 630 nm and λ_min values of 345 and 560 nm. The spectral changes upon titration with AdoMet have allowed us to determine that PFL-AE in the as isolated form binds AdoMet with a K_d of 7.6 ± 1.9 μM (Fig. 2B). Results from the Knappe lab using reconstituted PFL-AE with similar iron content shows that only holo-PFL-AE binds AdoMet with an equilibrium constant of 3 μM, in close agreement with our data (33). The K_m for AdoMet has been determined previously as 2.8–7 μM (25, 38).

By using our experimentally determined affinity of PFL-AE for PFL, we were able to set up binding experiments in which PFL-AE was essentially fully bound to PFL prior to titrating in AdoMet; in this way we were able to monitor binding of AdoMet to the PFL-AE/PFL complex (Fig. 2). The PFL-AE/PFL complex exhibited essentially the same affinity for AdoMet as PFL-AE alone, with a K_d of 5.7 μM ± 1.7.

We used far-UV circular dichroism studies to see if changes in secondary structure occur during AdoMet binding. Interestingly, there was no difference in PFL-AE secondary structure in the presence or absence of AdoMet (Fig. 3). In either case the protein appears to be well folded. The aggregate data suggests AdoMet binding alters the environment of the iron-sulfur cluster without inducing changes in secondary structure.

PFL Activation Studies—Although nearly all reports of in vitro PFL activation include the PFL substrate pyruvate or its analog oxamate in the activation mixtures, the roles of these molecules in activation have remained unclear. Previous work has shown that photoreduction of PFL-AE results in time-dependent conversion to the EPR-active [4Fe-4S]¹⁺ cluster state,
and in the presence of PFL, AdoMet, and oxamate, there is stoichiometric generation of the glycyl radical on PFL concomitant with cluster oxidation (12). We reproduced these assays to examine the roles, if any, of PFL substrates on the activation process. Activation assays were carried out by photoreducing PFL-AE for set amounts of time by using deazariboflavin and exposing the PFL-AE mixture to an intense halogen lamp. The PFL-AE reduction was quantified by using EPR spectroscopy, as the amount of the catalytically active \([4Fe-4S]^{1+}\) state can be determined by comparison with a Cu(II)(EDTA) standard; all activation samples described below and illustrated in Fig. 4 for a given time point had the same starting amount of \([4Fe-4S]^{1+}\) cluster. PFL, with or without added PFL substrates, was added to the reduced PFL-AE, and the amount of glycyl radical generated was quantified by EPR spectroscopy. In these assays, samples containing either pyruvate or oxamate exhibited a stoichiometric conversion of \([4Fe-4S]^{1+}\) cluster of PFL-AE to the glycyl radical on PFL (Fig. 4). After PFL-AE was photoreduced for 60 min and mixed with PFL in the presence of pyruvate, the concentration of the glycyl radical was determined to be 44 ± 5 \(\mu M\) and in the presence of oxamate, the glycyl radical was determined to be 46 ± 5 \(\mu M\). A similar activation of PFL in the presence of the PFL substrate CoA resulted in only 12 ± 3 \(\mu M\) glycyl radical, despite the same starting amount of \([4Fe-4S]^{1+}\) cluster as the samples used for PFL activation in the presence of pyruvate or oxamate. Activation of PFL in the absence of PFL substrates yielded 13 ± 3 \(\mu M\) glycyl radical.

**In Vivo Concentrations of Proteins and Small Molecules Involved in the PFL System**—*In vivo* concentrations of the proteins and small molecules involved in the PFL system were calculated for this study to provide a context for equilibrium constants and estimate the fraction of bound proteins and small molecules in *vivo*. Calculations were performed using data published by Knappe *et al.* (5) where the amount of protein per cell was quantified for the PFL system. Advances in cellular microscopy have allowed for the accurate determination of cytosolic volumes of *E. coli* cells under similar conditions (36). When combined this data has allowed us to calculate the *in vivo* concentrations of the proteins involved in the PFL system: 20 \(\mu M\) PFL, 1.1 \(\mu M\) PFL-AE, 2.9 \(\mu M\) flavodoxin, 2.3 \(\mu M\) NADP\(^+\), flavodoxin oxidoreductase, and 648 \(nM\) pyruvate:flavodoxin oxidoreductase. Unfortunately error calculations were not available for the polypeptide or percent soluble proteins measurements in the PFL system, so we are unable to calculate errors associated with *in vivo* concentrations. The *in vivo* concentration of AdoMet has been estimated to be in the range of 50 - 400 \(\mu M\) (39–41). Under these conditions and assuming a AdoMet concentration of 50 \(\mu M\), PFL, PFL-AE, AdoMet, and pyruvate would be essentially fully bound. Only a small fraction of these complexes would have the electron donor flavodoxin bound, however (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The activation of PFL was studied in this work, providing significant new information on the interactions between PFL and its activase, PFL-AE. Surface plasmon resonance binding experiments were carried out under anaerobic conditions, and the data were fit to a 1:1 interaction model with good fits. The \(K_D\) value of 1.1 ± 0.2 \(\mu M\), calculated for PFL and PFL-AE, is nearly identical to the \(K_p\) value previously reported of 1.4 \(\mu M\) and agrees well with previous estimates of the \(K_p\) (11, 14). The
association rate between PFL-AE and PFL is on the low end for biological interactions indicating that the rate of binding is limited by large conformational changes (37).

The [4Fe-4S] cluster in PFL-AE undergoes dramatic changes to its CD spectrum as a direct consequence of AdoMet binding (Fig. 2A). However no changes in secondary structure occurred upon AdoMet binding based on far-UV CD measurements (Fig. 3). Therefore, it is assumed that the changes in the visible region CD spectrum are caused by the direct coordination of AdoMet to the unique iron of the [4Fe-4S] cluster (22, 23). These changes in the visible region CD of PFL-AE can be used to accurately determine equilibrium constants for AdoMet binding in the presence and absence of PFL. PFL-AE binds AdoMet with identical affinity within error regardless of whether PFL is bound to PFL-AE, indicating that PFL binding to PFL-AE does not affect AdoMet binding affinity. These data suggest that in vivo, the order of interaction for AdoMet binding to PFL-AE or the PFL-AE/PFL complex does not matter.

The PFL substrate pyruvate and its analog oxamate have been suggested to act as allosteric effectors required for PFL activation (8, 12, 14, 33). We used EPR spectroscopy to monitor PFL activation in the presence and absence of pyruvate, oxamate, and CoA, to determine whether they are required for PFL activation and if they have any direct affect on the amount of active enzyme produced. Our data shows that although PFL substrates are not absolutely required for activation, their presence results in significantly higher glycol radical concentrations. When pyruvate or oxamate are incubated with PFL and reduced PFL-AE, there is a stoichiometric conversion of the [4Fe-4S]1+ cluster from PFL-AE to the glycol radical of PFL. PFL activated in the presence of CoA or no substrate results in 3.7-fold less glycol radical than in the presence of pyruvate or oxamate. The signal for the [4Fe-4S] cluster in PFL-AE is absent in all experiments after the addition of PFL, indicating that in all cases the PFL-AE is being oxidized in the presence of PFL. The lower quantities of glycol radical observed in the absence of pyruvate or oxamate therefore suggests that solvent quenches a portion of the PFL glycol radical. Given that pyruvate and oxamate are known to bind to the active site of PFL (9, 10), we propose that these molecules aid in reinsertion and stabilization of the glycol radical loop in the closed, catalytically active state of PFL (11).

In vivo concentrations for PFL-AE, PFL, flavodoxin, pyruvate:flavodoxin oxidoreductase, and NADP+ flavodoxin oxidoreductase were calculated in this work and compared with $K_D$, values to estimate the amount of bound protein in vivo. Under these conditions, PFL-AE is almost completely bound to PFL. (Table 1). In vivo concentrations of AdoMet have been determined to be in the 50 - 400 μM range, (39 – 41) however there may be less available AdoMet for PFL-AE given the wide-spread use of AdoMet in many enzymatic reactions in E. coli (24, 43 – 46). AdoMet binds to both PFL-AE and the PFL-AE/ PFL complex with the same affinity of ~ 6 μM, so assuming an in vivo AdoMet concentration of 50 μM, PFL-AE would be essentially completely bound with AdoMet in vivo regardless of whether PFL is bound. Only 11% of cellular PFL-AE is estimated to be bound to its electron transfer partner flavodoxin at any given time in vivo, consistent with the idea that flavodoxin needs to bind only transiently to deliver an electron to the [4Fe-4S] cluster of PFL-AE.

Taken together, our data provide important new insights into the process by which a glycol radical activating enzyme (PFL-AE) activates its substrate glycol radical enzyme (PFL). The process involves slow binding associated with large conformational changes, likely involving movement of the glycol radical domain of PFL and a conserved loop of PFL-AE implicated in substrate binding (11, 32). AdoMet can bind to this complex either before or after association, and binding gives rise to changes in the visible region CD spectrum of the [4Fe-4S] cluster of PFL-AE. These changes in visible CD features can be used to monitor AdoMet binding and indicate that the affinity of AdoMet for the PFL-AE:PFL complex is comparable with that for PFL-AE alone. Calculations indicate that in vivo, PFL-AE is nearly completely in the PFL-AE/AdoMet-PFL-flavodoxin complex, awaiting reduction from flavodoxin to initiate catalysis.
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