Large-scale discovery of mouse transgenic integration sites reveals frequent structural variation and insertional mutagenesis
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Transgenesis has been a mainstay of mouse genetics for over 30 yr, providing numerous models of human disease and critical genetic tools in widespread use today. Generated through the random integration of DNA fragments into the host genome, transgenesis can lead to insertional mutagenesis if a coding gene or an essential element is disrupted, and there is evidence that larger scale structural variation can accompany the integration. The insertion sites of only a tiny fraction of the thousands of transgenic lines in existence have been discovered and reported, due in part to limitations in the discovery tools. Targeted locus amplification (TLA) provides a robust and efficient means to identify both the insertion site and content of transgenes through deep sequencing of genomic loci linked to specific known transgene cassettes. Here, we report the first large-scale analysis of transgene insertion sites from 40 highly used transgenic mouse lines. We show that the transgenes disrupt the coding sequence of endogenous genes in half of the lines, frequently involving large deletions and/or structural variations at the insertion site. Furthermore, we identify a number of unexpected sequences in some of the transgenes, including undocumented cassettes and contaminating DNA fragments. We demonstrate that these transgene insertions can have phenotypic consequences, which could confound certain experiments, emphasizing the need for careful attention to control strategies. Together, these data show that transgenic alleles display a high rate of potentially confounding genetic events and highlight the need for careful characterization of each line to assure interpretable and reproducible experiments.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
designs that attempt to combine linked alleles (e.g., a conditional allele with a cre transgene), obviating a long and possibly fruitless breeding exercise. Second, it enables the design of allele-specific genotyping assays, which assist in colony management and determination of zygosity. Finally, it alerts the investigator to potential confounding effects of insertional mutagenesis through the direct disruption of the coding sequence of endogenous genes, indirect effects on the regulation of nearby genes, or complex structural variations (inversions or duplications) that can accompany the integration event. Cases of insertional mutagenesis with dramatic phenotypic consequences have been reported. For example: the Tg(TFAP2A-cre)1Will allele inserted into the Hhat gene, disrupting its function, leading to a variety of severe developmental abnormalities in homozygous embryos including holoprosencephaly with acrania and agnathia, reflecting a disruption of the hedgehog signaling pathway (Dennis et al. 2012). Given the utility of this line in targeting branchial arches of the developing face, this could confound the interpretation of experimental data if the correct breeding scheme and controls are not included. Because so few insertion sites have been mapped, the scale of this issue is unknown. A prior report using FISH found that transgenes tend to insert into G-positive band regions (Nakanishi et al. 2002), which typically have reduced gene density, but the mapped transgenes were not assessed for expression levels, so it is unclear if these data are representative of transgenes used in the wider scientific community. More recently, targeted locus amplification (TLA) (de Vree et al. 2014; Hottentot et al. 2017) has been employed to identify the insertion site for seven Cre driver lines (Cain-Hom et al. 2017), only one of which was found to insert into an annotated gene. However, because of the small sample size, it is not clear if this rate of mutagenesis is representative of the genome-wide rates in larger collections representing a variety of transgene types.

Results

We selected a total of 40 transgenic lines from live colonies in The Jackson Laboratory (JAX) Repository for our study, including four lines distributed through the Mouse Mutant Research and Resource Center (MMRRC) at JAX. All lines are broadly utilized and thus represent important research tools that would benefit from insertion site identification. The list comprises 17 genetic tool strains, including 15 Cre drivers, many of which have demonstrated off-target or unexpected excision activity (Heffner et al. 2012). In addition, we included five lines that lack an allele-specific genotyping assay and 18 critical Alzheimer’s or Parkinson’s disease models (Fig. 1A). We selected lines that were generated through a variety of means (Fig. 1B), including standard small plasmid-based transgenes, a human BAC, a human cosmid, and a transgene generated through lentiviral-mediated transgenesis. The BAC/PAC/cosmid vectors were included both to capture critical lines of interest and to test the feasibility of the TLA process on these larger constructs. The TLA process, depicted in Supplemental Figure S1, was performed essentially as previously described (de Vree et al. 2014; Hottentot et al. 2017; Methods) using primers specific for known elements of each transgenic line (Supplemental Table S1). Sequence reads from each TLA experiment were mapped to the appropriate reference genome (mouse, human, rat; additional based on predicted elements) and sorted to identify regions with the greatest sequence coverage, which indicates the likely insertion locus. Transgene insertion sites result in high sequencing coverage across the transgene and its insertion site(s), and at least one putative fusion fragment across the transgene-genome breakpoint was identified for all 40 lines (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). In some cases, follow-up TLA analysis using additional primers designed based on the initial results was required to identify a fusion fragment at either junction. Insertions were found genome-wide on 17/19 autosomes, with five insertions each identified on Chromosomes 1 and 2 (Fig. 1C). Of note, we had one strain overlapping with the Cain-Hom study [Tg(Vil1-cre)997Gum], and we identified the same integration site (Cain-Hom et al. 2017). Structural variations accompanying the insertion were identified for a majority of lines (30/40), comprising 24 deletions and six duplications (Fig. 1D). As some of the fusion contigs were constructed using single reads, we used PCR and Sanger sequencing to verify fusion fragments identified by TLA. In a small number of cases, the confirmed sequence differed from the...
Table 1. Summary of transgene insertion sites identified in this study

| Allele | JAX Stock # | Target type | Insertion coordinates | Insertion mutation | RefSeq genes affected |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Tg(In2-s-cde/25Mgn) | 4568 | Transgene | Chr 1: 2,048,898-2,048,900 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Ts1-cde/25Mgn) | 4575 | Transgene | Chr 1: 3,230,914-3,231,000 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Nes-cde/1Kln) | 4583 | Transgene | Chr 1: 49,900,714 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Tek-cde/1JFv) | 4582 | Transgene | Chr 1: 68,459,931-68,701,276 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(UBC-cde/ERT2)1Ejb | 4584 | Transgene | Chr 1: 55,326,957 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Vil1-cde/997Gum) | 4586 | Transgene | Chr 1: 997,458-997,500 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(tetO-MAPT) | 4587 | Transgene | Chr 1: 10,458,700-10,458,750 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Prnp-MAPT) | 4588 | Transgene | Chr 1: 11,690,340-11,690,370 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Tgh2-MAPT) | 4589 | Transgene | Chr 1: 12,890,458-12,890,470 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Tgh3-MAPT) | 4590 | Transgene | Chr 1: 13,190,340-13,190,370 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Tgh4-MAPT) | 4591 | Transgene | Chr 1: 13,490,340-13,490,370 | Duplication | None |
| Tg(Tgh5-MAPT) | 4592 | Transgene | Chr 1: 13,790,340-13,790,370 | Duplication | None |

reconstructed fusion contig, highlighting the critical need for independent confirmation of breakpoint sequences. These confirmation assays also provide an allele-specific genotyping assay for each transgenic line for unambiguous identification of hemizygous animals. Overall, we identified both fusion breakpoints for 19/40 transgenes and a single fusion breakpoint for an additional 21, demonstrating the efficiency of the TLA process in identifying the precise insertion sites (Supplemental Tables S1, S2). For deletions where only one fusion fragment could be confirmed, a quantitative PCR loss-of-native-allele (LOA) (Valenzuela et al. 2003; Frendewey et al. 2010) assay was used to confirm the loss of either the gene within the deletion (see below) or a region close to the estimated insertion site (Supplemental Tables S1, S3). We found the transgene insertion event in 21 of 40 lines to be either a deletion of at least one exon of one or more RefSeq genes (12) or an insertion into an intron, likely affecting its normal transcription (9) (Fig. 1E). Transgene insertions and accompanying deletions also affected multiple noncoding features, including lincRNAs, miRNAs, and snRNAs (Supplemental Table S1). Overall, these data indicate a strong enrichment of transgene insertion events in genomic regions of the genome, placing these lines at high risk for confounding phenotypes due to insertional mutagenesis. A majority of the insertion events discovered were accompanied by a deletion (Fig. 1D), which varied in size from a few base pairs to 1.1 Mb in the case of the Tg(Ddx4-cde/1)Dcas (Fig. 2A). As noted above, among the 24 deletions, we identified a high rate of insertional mutagenesis, either deleting or disrupting between one and six mouse genes (Fig. 2B), each with potential phenotypic consequences. In addition, three genes are disrupted through duplication events that accompanied their respective insertion (Supplemental Table S1). Of the total 31 genes disrupted, 16 have a previously reported knockout (KO) phenotype, including
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five with embryonic lethal phenotypes (Fig. 2C), and multiple genes are deleted in three lines, highlighting the potentially confounding effect of the insertion event. For example, in the Tg(Tek-cre)12Flv transgene, we identified a 241-kb deletion in Chromosome 13 that includes four protein coding genes (Mtrr, Fastkd3, 1700001L19Rik, Adcy2) (Fig. 2D,E). We validated both breakpoints and confirmed the deletion of Mtrr, Fastkd3, and Adcy2 by loss-of-native-allele qPCR assays, showing clear loss of one copy for all three genes and in addition confirming the breakpoint between exons 14 and 15 in Adcy2 (Fig. 2F). In addition to the frequent widespread (off-target) activity seen in this line (Heffner et al. 2012), prior reports show that an Mtrr gene trap allele exhibits tran-generational epigenetic effects leading to severe developmental abnormalities when breeding from a female carrier (Padmanabhan et al. 2013). Given the common use of this line to analyze vascular development, the transgene itself could confound analysis depending on the breeding scheme, highlighting the need for proper controls (i.e., Cre-only) in studies using this transgene. Finally, we confirmed the deletion of an additional 13 genes (Fig. 2G; Supplemental Table S1), including two (Immp2l and Cdh18) that appear

Figure 2. Deletions accompanying transgenic insertions. (A) Profile of sizes of deletions identified at integration loci. (B) For integrations that occur in genes, the profile of the number of genes affected by the insertion event. (C) Illustration of the potential impact of transgene insertions into genes, including the number of genes with reported knockout (KO) alleles, the number of KO alleles with a reported phenotype, and number of genes shown to be essential for life. (D) Genome-wide and zoomed Chr 13 view of TLA reads mapped to the mouse genome. (E) Schematic of the insertion locus in the Tek-cre [Tg(Tek-cre)12Flv] line. Blue bars indicate the 5′ and 3′ limits of the deleted region, with the relative orientation of transgene copies adjacent to the breakpoint as determined from sequence-confirmed fusion fragments. Locations of qPCR probes to confirm copy number are shown. (F) Results of LOA qPCR assays showing the expected loss of one copy of Mtrr and Fastkd3 and exon 15 of Adcy2, which lie within the deletion. Adcy2 exon 14, which lies outside of the deletion, has the expected two copies. WT copy number is arbitrarily set at 1, thus a value of 0.5 would indicate loss of one copy. (G) LOA assays for 13 other genes/loci deletions identified in this study. Strains are indicated by Stock # above the gene symbol for each test. For strains 4191 and 4631, the complete loss of Immp2l and Cdh18, respectively, is consistent with the homozygous maintenance of these lines.
null due to the maintenance of the line as a homozygote. One transgene [Tg(PDGF-B-APPSw1nd20Lms)] showed insertion into the gene Znhtb20, but a recent report clearly shows that despite the insertion of more than 10 copies of the transgene, expression of the protein in heterozygous transgenic mice is comparable to WT, suggesting other regulatory mechanisms to maintain a uniform level of expression (Tosh et al. 2017). Therefore, in some cases the consequences of intron insertion require independent validation. Together, these data show that transgene insertions are often associated with large mutagenic deletions, affecting one or more genes, potentially confounding interpretation of results unless the proper control strategies are employed.

TLA analysis also revealed additional structural variations around the insertion site, including six instances of duplications and one inversion accompanied by a duplication and large deletion. In many duplication cases, fusion fragments were only identified on one end of the transgene insertion, so the exact extent of the duplication could only be estimated through read depth. However, we were able to confirm additional copies of parts of the duplication could only be estimated through read depth. The inversion itself contains all of exon 5 but deletes 23 kb including exons 6 and 7 of E2f1 proximal to the transgene insertion location, all of Ncbl3, 17000003F1Rik, and a portion of the 3' UTR of Cbfa2t2. As a result, the structural variation disrupts the E2f1 gene, with the concomitant duplication of exon 5 in the opposite orientation. We used an LOA assay to confirm the disruption of exon 5 (Fig. 3B), but this does not capture the duplication of the inverted exon, as the inverted fragment is smaller than the amplicon of the qPCR probe. The copy number of E2f1 exons 4 and 6 are unaffected, as they surround the structural variation. In addition, an LOA assay shows the duplication of exon 6 of Cbfa2t2, and an LOA for exon 2 of 17000003F12Rik, which resides in the deleted portion of the duplicated fragment, shows the normal two copies as expected (Fig. 3B). Together, these data illustrate the potential complex structural variations that can occur with transgene integration.

Because TLA isolates all DNA fragments in close proximity to the transgene integration site, it is possible to identify components of the transgene itself, in addition to the surrounding mouse sequence. The only limitation is the selection of reference genomes for mapping. In this study, we typically mapped to genomes predicted to be part of the transgene, based on the published description of the transgene construction. While for the most part we were able to identify construct elements described in the original publications, unexpected components were seen in several transgenic lines. For example, we found that an entire human growth hormone (hGH) gene (also known as hgh), which is transcribed as a poly(A) sequence, was present in four lines [Tg(Ins2-cre)25Mgn, Tg(Alb-cre)21Mgn, Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln, and Tg(Lck-cre)[548][Xm]] (Fig. 4B), previously reported for [Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln (Declercq et al. 2015)]. Of note, publications for these lines reference a vector originally described in Orban et al. (1992), which clearly describes the minigene structure of the cassette. Similarly, TLA and PCR validation of the Tg(Ins2-cre)25Mgn line reveals the presence of the entire mouse Mti gene sequence (Fig. 4B), despite its description as a “metallothionein poly(A) signal” in the original publication (Madison et al. 2002). The source plasmid does indeed describe it as containing the poly(A) and several introns (Sauer and Henderson 1990). Although the impact of the presence of the Mti minigene is unclear, there is evidence that the Nes-cre hGH minigene is expressed and that this expression is responsible for some of the metabolic phenotypes observed in mice carrying Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln (Galichet et al. 2010; Giusti et al. 2014). These data indicate that TLA has the added potential to expand on and confirm reported transgene composition, and in some cases can correct, clarify, and/or update the record for these strains.

In our initial analysis, we identified several cases where mapped sequences were fused to unknown sequences. Further analysis revealed that some of these fusions were with the Escherichia coli genome and not vector sequences, suggesting that fragments of contaminating E. coli DNA were co-integrating with the transgene. To assess the frequency of this phenomenon, we mapped all of the TLA data to the E. coli genome (K-12) and found evidence for co-integration in 10/40 strains, with total composition ranging from as little as 300 bp to more than 200 kb (Fig. 4C). Some of the small fragments identical between samples align to cloning vectors in the NCBI database, including pBACE3.6, which is documented to be the cloning vector for both the 12467-Lrrk2*G2019S and 18442-SCNA models. However, for lines with significant E. coli genome contribution, it is likely that this is the result of contamination in the microinjection preparation of the construct. While the impact of this finding for these specific lines is unclear, prior reports have shown that bacterial sequences can contribute to transgene silencing (Scarle and Stambrook 1997; Chen et al. 2004).

While some of the small number of transgene insertion sites currently known were discovered following the serendipitous identification of an unexpected transgene-specific phenotype, systematic phenotyping of transgenic lines to assess the impact of transgene insertion has not been reported. Taking advantage of the high-throughput KOMP2 Phenotyping platform at JAX (White et al. 2013; de Angelis et al. 2015; Dickinson et al. 2016; Karp et al. 2017; Meehan et al. 2017), we asked whether we could detect phenotypes in a selection of seven Cre driver lines from the lines examined above. Cohort genotypes varied according to colony maintenance strategy (see Methods), and we created a reference population by pooling WT C57BL/6J and control mice from lines maintained as hemizygotes. As shown in Figure 5 (full table of results in Supplemental Table S5), we identified 66 significant phenotypes among strains, with Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln displaying the most phenotype hits (21) and Tg(Vil1-cre)[997]Gum displaying the least (two). Physiology phenotypes were most common, both Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln and Tg(Ins2-cre)25Mgn showing 19 and 13 abnormalities, respectively. As noted above, metabolic phenotypes in Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln mice have been reported by others (Galichet et al. 2010; Giusti et al. 2014), and a recent paper suggests these phenotypes are due to the presence of the hGH minigene (Declercq et al. 2015). The same hGH minigene is also found in Tg(Ins2-cre)25Mgn allele, possibly explaining the metabolic phenotypes observed. This line is reported to develop age-related impaired glucose tolerance of unknown etiology (Lee et al. 2006), and thus the presence of the hGH minigene should be explored as a possible explanation. Both Tg(Alb-cre)21Mgn and Tg(Lck-cre)[548][Xm] also carry this minigene, but do not show the same number of phenotypic hits, suggesting that expression of the minigene varies between transgenes, and thus it cannot be assumed that its presence alone is necessarily confounding. It is interesting that Tg(Vav1-cre)[2]Klo displayed only two hits despite landing in the Cmmd10 gene, for which a KO allele is homozygous lethal. This is consistent, however, with the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium (IMPC) phenotyping data.
(www.mousephenotype.org), which shows no significant phenotypes in Commd10tm1a(EUCOMM)Wtsi/+ mice. In contrast, the Tg(Wnt1-cre)11Rth transgene inserts into the histone gene H2afv and shows 11 phenotypic hits, which span several domains (Fig. 5), including four significant behavioral phenotypes. Currently, there are no reports of targeted mutations of phenotypes for this gene.

**Discussion**

Despite widespread use of transgenic lines in the scientific community, the consequences of random transgene insertions in these lines is largely unknown. Here, expanding on prior work, we show that TLA represents a rapid and efficient means to precisely identify the site of insertion, and critically, the corresponding molecular consequences. These events are associated with structural variations, primarily deletions and duplications, including a deletion of greater than 1 Mb and a complex structural variation that includes a simultaneous duplication, deletion, and inversion. While TLA simplifies the discovery process, reconstruction of the full transgene structure is difficult due to the high copy concatenation of the transgene, coupled with complex structural variation that can accompany insertion. Given that these contigs are
built using relatively short-read sequencing technology, including several instances where the fusion was covered by one read, it is critical to validate each putative fusion fragment using PCR-sequencing, and we indeed found small differences in the actual fusion sequence in a few lines. Thus, TLA should be considered a “first pass” tool for integration locus discovery, and full reconstruction of both the integration consequence and the transgene itself require substantial follow-up effort. Targeted long-read sequencing approaches (Pacific Biosciences, Oxford Nanopore), might prove to be a useful complement to TLA for full characterization of transgenic alleles. However, such reconstruction is not necessary for typical use, as the key elements of chromosome location, 

**Figure 4.** TLA reveals additional passenger cassettes and fragments in transgenes. (A,B) View of TLA reads (indicated in gray above the gene model) that map to the human growth hormone (GH1, also known as hGH) and the mouse metallothionein (Mt1) gene for two transgenes (Ins2-cre and Vil1-cre, respectively), showing the inclusion of the entire gene structure, including coding exons. (C) Reads for nine transgenes mapped to the *Escherichia coli* genome indicating a variable level of coinintegration into the transgene integration site. Deep coverage for discrete loci shared between multiple lines indicates sequences that are part of the transgene vector. The amount of *E. coli* coinintegration ranges from a few hundred bp to more than 200 kb. Short names for each transgene are used for readability and are defined in Supplemental Table S1.
breakpoint, and structural variation are easily obtained with TLA and PCR validation alone.

In contrast to a recent similar screen on a small number of Cre driver lines (Cain-Hom et al. 2017), we found that a high percentage (50%) disrupt annotated genes, the majority of which are protein coding. Many of these genes, when mutated, are known to result in observable phenotypes, including four that are homozygous lethal. At face value, this is unexpected as only 3% of the genome is protein coding, and thus we would expect a similar hit rate with truly random insertion. However, many of these insertions...
are accompanied by substantial deletions, which would increase the odds of hitting a gene. In addition, our set of transgenic lines is not an unbiased selection of random transgenic animals; they are lines selected for robust transgene expression and activity. Genic regions of the genome are likely to support active transgene expression, as opposed to intergenic stretches and regions of heterochromatin. While we do observe that larger transgenes derived from BAC (or similar) constructs, which contain a larger complement of genetic elements required for proper expression, can insert into and/or delete coding genes [e.g., Tg(Cspg4-cre)1Alki], our sample size is too small to determine if the rate is different than that of smaller transgenic constructs.

TLA-based discovery of transgenic insertion sites provides a number of practical benefits that should improve quality control for both public repositories and the end user. For example, allele-specific assays can be developed at the integration site to distinguish all genotype classes, allowing for homozygous mating strategies unless precluded by insertional mutagenesis. End users of Cre lines can use knowledge of the genetic locus before attempting to mate to a floxed target allele that is linked to the Cre line, selecting an alternative unlinked line, or scaling their breeding to assure identification of rare recombinants.

Our findings further illustrate the need to use proper controls in all experiments that include transgenic lines. Several studies have shown that expression of Cre itself can have phenotypic or toxic effects (Loomstra et al. 2001; Naiche and Papiaomnou 2007; Bersell et al. 2013; Lexow et al. 2013). Given these findings, it is clear that animals/embryos expressing Cre alone must be included as a control, and our results provide additional evidence that this control strategy is essential. Therefore, the potentially confounding impact of frequent insertional mutagenesis in Cre driver lines can be managed with the proper use of controls, depending on the research question and phenotype. For transgenic lines that are employed as disease models, a 1g-only control is not possible. In many cases, the original publication included results from multiple founders corroborating the findings of the line that ultimately became the “standard” for subsequent studies. It is interesting, however, that most studies published with an “established” model do not include the same level of independent corroboration, despite significant differences in study design, including analysis of additional phenotypes, inclusion of additional mutant alleles, and/or the use of a distinct genetic background. It is plausible that in those scenarios, effects of insertional mutagenesis not seen in the original publication might manifest, confounding the interpretation of the data. Typically, multiple alleles are not deposited in a public repository for distribution or retained at all, and thus reproduction of results with independent transgenic lines is impossible, notwithstanding the practical and financial challenge of reproducing every study with multiple transgenic lines. Thus, it seems prudent, and now feasible, for investigators to determine the insertion site of the transgenic line used in their study if independent corroboration is not possible.

One potential use of TLA is to confirm the content of a given transgenic line, providing a level of quality control not available through other means. This includes clarification of the specific details of the constructs components (e.g., hGH or Mt1 minigenes) that are either omitted or reported incorrectly. It is worth noting that in the case of both “poly(A)” signals reported here, a careful review of the literature clearly shows that the original content of the vectors is correctly reported (Sauer and Henderson 1990; Orhan et al. 1992), but this information was omitted or incorrectly cited in subsequent descriptions of the construct or mouse strain.

Given the number of years and hands involved, this type of “information mutation” is not surprising. Indeed, we have seen that ~20% of all lines submitted to the JAX Repository carry alleles or have been bred to mouse strains not reported by the donating investigator. TLA provides an additional tool for assuring the content and nature of the allele for both investigators sharing their strain and for repositories distributing strains to scientists around the world.

Primary phenotyping of a subset of Cre drivers included in this study demonstrates the potential scope of “endogenous” phenotypes in transgenes in common use. While the impact of insertional mutagenesis is clear, for most KO alleles only homozygous mutants are carefully phenotyped, and thus potential confounding heterozygous phenotypes are unclear. Moreover, some transgenes delete multiple genes, and the combinatorial effect on phenotype would require independent evaluation. Finally, the transgene-specific caveats of passengers (minigenes, genes on BACs, E. coli genome, etc.) require specific testing. As noted above, the use of proper controls can mitigate most concerns arising from insertional mutagenesis, passenger cassettes, or transgene toxicity, assuming it does not directly impact the phenotype of interest. However, with the emergence of high-throughput phenotyping pipelines (Dickinson et al. 2016; Karp et al. 2017; Meehan et al. 2017), it is now feasible to broadly characterize the phenotypes of a larger collection of transgenic tool lines, perhaps in parallel to insertion site discovery. The high efficiency of insertion site identification enabled by TLA suggests the possibility that random transgenesis, and its consequence, could be used as a tool for discovery. Indeed, despite the challenges, many transgene insertion discovery efforts were inspired by the presence of unexpected phenotypes in these lines. The frequent complex structural variation and impact on multiple genes and non-coding features provide an alternative to single-gene targeted mutagenesis efforts such as the IMPC, while the presence of the transgene simplifies discovery versus spontaneous mutants with similar complex alleles. Moreover, insertion sites that do not damage gene features but support tissue-specific expression could be useful loci to establish as “safe harbors” for targeted transgenesis.

Given our findings, and the potential caveats implied for the use of transgenes, it is tempting to suggest that the community should move away from making, and ultimately using, lines generated by random transgenesis. For Cre lines, knock-in alleles targeting the endogenous locus of a desired driver gene have the added potential advantage of providing greater specificity, desirable given the high rate of off-target activity seen in many transgenic lines (Heffner et al. 2012). To this end, the EUCOMMTOLS program has produced hundreds of new Cre driver lines using this strategy (Murray et al. 2012; Rosen et al. 2015). However, this typically comes at the cost of haploinsufficiency at the driver locus, often a gene that is part of a pathway critical to the development of the cell type or tissue in question. Expression levels in a knock-in might be lower than that of a multicopy transgene, thus sacrificing effectiveness for specificity. The use of neutral locus docking sites or targeted transgenesis facilitated by CRISPR can avoid the mutagenic risk associated with random insertion, but the former is typically a single-copy event and the latter is relatively untested. Thus, while alternatives to random transgenesis exist, they come with their own caveats and do not necessarily provide a suitable alternative. Rather, given the impact of discoveries enabled by transgenic lines, knowledge of the transgenic insertion site is best viewed as one of many critical pieces of information that should be considered in an experimental design.
Methods

Mice

All strains used for the TLA analysis were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory Repository, four of which are distributed from the JAX Mouse Mutant Research and Resource Center (MMRRC). The specific mouse strains and JAX Stock # (and MMRRC Stock # if applicable) are available in Supplemental Table S1. All procedures and protocols (see “Phenotyping” section below) were approved by the Jackson Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee and were conducted in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guideline for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

TLA: isolation of splenocytes

The use of live cells provides greater sensitivity and results in a higher success rate than archived DNA samples. Splenocytes were isolated from each line as previously described (de Vree et al. 2014).

In brief, the spleens were dissected and stored on ice. A single-cell suspension was made using a 40-μm mesh filter suspending the cells in 10% fetal calf serum (FCS)/ phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Following centrifugation at 4°C at 500g for 5 min, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was dissolved in 1 mL 1× Pharm Lyse (BD Biosciences) and incubated at room temperature for 3 min to lyse splenic erythrocytes. To terminate the lysis reaction, 0.5 mL phosphate buffered saline was added, followed by centrifugation at 4°C, 500g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in 0.5 mL PBS. After one final centrifugation step for 2 min, the supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet resuspended in 1 mL freeze medium (PBS with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and 10% fetal calf serum). The samples were stored at −80°C until shipment for TLA processing.

TLA: amplification and isolation of linked chromatin

Targeted locus amplification was performed as previously described (de Vree et al. 2014). In brief, spleen cells were crosslinked using formaldehyde, after which the DNA was digested using the restriction enzyme NlaIII (CATG recognition sequence). Subsequently, the sample was ligated, crosslinks were reversed, and the DNA was purified. To obtain circular chimeric DNA molecules for PCR amplification, the DNA molecules were trimmed with Nsil and ligated at a DNA concentration of 5 ng/μL to promote intramolecular ligation. Nsil has a RCATGY recognition sequence that encompasses the CATG recognition sequence of NlaIII, which ensures only a subset of NlaIII sites were (re-)digested, generating DNA fragments of ∼2 kb and allowing the amplification of entire restriction fragments. After ligation, the DNA was purified, and eight 25-μL PCR reactions, each containing 100 ng template, were pooled for sequencing. Sequences of the inverse primers, stored at −80°C, and the product were sequenced. After ligation, the DNA was purified and the sample was ligated, crosslinks were reversed, and the DNA was resuspended in 0.5 mL PBS. After one final centrifugation step for 2 min, the supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet resuspended in 1 mL freeze medium (PBS with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and 10% fetal calf serum). The samples were stored at −80°C until shipment for TLA processing.

TLA: sequencing, mapping, and sequence alignment

The primer sets were used in individual TLA amplifications. PCR products were purified and library-prepped using the Illumina Nextera XT protocol and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer. Reads were mapped using BWA-SW, which is a Smith-Waterman alignment tool (Li and Durbin 2010). This allows partial mapping, which is optimally suited for identifying break-spanning reads. The mouse mm10, rat rn5, cow basTaus8, SV40 GCF_000837645.1, rabbit oryCun2, chicken gaiGal4, and human genome version hg19 were used for mapping. Changes between the genome version hg19 and GRCh38 mainly concern an update on high level chromosome assembly and the addition of ‘haplotype alleles.’ For the projects we typically perform, we amplify and analyze ‘local’ DNA sequences, which in general are not affected by this update. Within the aligned data sets, regions were sorted on coverage height to sort out regions with highest coverage, i.e., regions containing sequences that were part of the transgene construct. A visual inspection was performed to discriminate true peaks from background, which yielded fusion fragments(s). Based on the fusion reads present on the outer ends of these fusion fragments, a reconstruction was made of the original transgene construct.

Sequence validation

For all lines where breakpoint-spanning reads were available, TG integration fusions were confirmed by PCR amplification and sequence analysis. The extended reads were analyzed for GC content using ENDMEMO software (http://www.endmemo.com/bio/gc.php), and PCR primers were designed using Primer3 software (Untergasser et al. 2012) to optimize for size and GC content. If the fusion product was larger than 900 bp, the fusion site was confirmed using at least two sets of primers for the long read as well as an internal read to insure adequate coverage of the integration site. PCR amplicons with suitable products were purified and Sanger sequenced. For Vil-cre, because our fusion fragment did not contain sufficient transgene sequence to design a validation primer, we used the primer described in a prior report (Cain-Hom et al. 2017) to confirm the breakpoint of this line.

QPCR analysis

Genomic DNA isolated from tail biopsies was used to analyze loss-of-allele (LOA) or relative concentration qPCR on Applied Biosystem’s Viia7 (Applied Biosystems).

LOA assay design: The premise behind the LOA assay assumes a one-copy difference between a transgene integration and the wild-type (WT) sample, while a gain of allele can be used to show a duplication of the genomic target region. Based on transgene integration site sequences and resultant deletions or duplications, target genomic region q-PCR 5’ nuclease assays were designed using PrimerQuest software (Integrated DNA Technologies). The internal reference control Apob probe contains a VIC (4,7,2’-trichloro-7’-phenyl-6-carboxyfluorescein) reporter dye (ABI, Applied Biosystems) while all experimental assays use FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein)-labeled probes for detection. An NFQ-MGB (Apob) dark or Zen/Iowa Black FQ quencher (IDT) is used for all assays. Primer and probe sequences are provided in Supplemental Table S3.

QPCR samples were then analyzed in triplicate and Cq values for the samples and the internal reference (Apob) were calculated using Viia7 software (QuantStudio Software V1.3, ABI, Applied Biosystems). The means of the Cq values were used to calculate ΔCq values, and these were then used to calculate relative copy number of the recombinant region using the 2−ΔΔCq formula (Livak and Schmittgen 2001).

Phenotyping

We employed a modified version of the IMPReSS pipeline (www.mousephenotype.org/impress) for high-throughput clinical phenotyping assessment, which was developed under the IMPC program (de Angelis et al. 2015; Dickinson et al. 2016; Karp et al. 2017; Meehan et al. 2017). The following seven lines (and genotypes) were characterized: B6.Cg-Tg(Alb-cre)21Mgn/J (HOM), B6.Cg-Tg(Ins2-cre)25Mgn/J (HOM), B6.Cg-Tg(Lck-cre)548Jxm/J (HOM), B6.Cg-Tg(Tal1-cre)221Mgn/J (HOM), B6.Cg-Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln/J (HEMI), B6.Cg-Tg(Vav1-icre)
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