Amyloid Beta (A4) Precursor Protein: A Potential Biomarker for Recurrent Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma
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Background and Aim: Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is one of the most common cancers in Southern China, Southeast Asia. Radiotherapy is the main treatment for NPC. Still, about 20% of patients with NPC have a recurrence. No effective serum biomarkers are available for recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma (rNPC) to date. This study aimed to explore whether amyloid beta (A4) precursor protein (APP) might serve as a valuable diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for patients with rNPC.

Methods: In a previous study, a tandem mass tag–based proteomic test was performed, which screened 59 differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) between nonrecurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma (nrNPC) and rNPC. In this study, a protein–protein interaction was conducted to screen the key proteins among the 59 DEPs. APP was validated and evaluated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in 70 serum samples (recurrence (n = 35) and no-recurrence (n = 35)). Also, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was plotted to evaluate the predictive value of APP.

Results: The area under the ROC curve was 0.666 (95% CI: 0.514–0.818, P = 0.044). The best cutoff point of the relative expression levels for APP was 1.23 (concentration = 16.95 ng/mL), at which the sensitivity was 55.2% and the specificity was 90.9%.

Conclusion: The findings indicated that APP might be a valuable diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for patients with rNPC.
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Introduction

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is one of the most common cancers in Southern China, Southeast Asia, which has posed a serious threat to public health in these areas. Radiotherapy is the main treatment for NPC. With the improvement in radiotherapy techniques, about 20% of patients with NPC still have recurrence. Currently, the diagnosis of rNPC relies mostly on imaging or biopsy due to lack of markers. At present, CEA is a recurrence-related biomarker for colorectal tumors. Some studies reported early predictive biomarkers for NPC, such as HSP27, cathepsin D and keratin 8, AMG and CFB, Galectin-1, SAA, and EBV DNA. However, these biomarkers still lack a high predictive value in the clinic.

In a previous study, 59 DEPs were screened out between nrNPC and rNPC by tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling. In this study, a protein–protein interaction (PPI) analysis was performed to examine whether amyloid beta (A4) precursor...
protein (APP) could be a key protein, which was confirmed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in new serum samples.

Patients and Methods

Patients

According to the criteria established by the Chinese staging system of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in 2008 and the UICC/AJCC staging system in 2010, 70 patients with NPC and histologically proven squamous cell carcinoma were selected, including 35 with rNPC and 35 with nrNPC. All the patients were treated with radiotherapy (a total prescribed dose ≥70 Gy) using a modified linear accelerator in the Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, China, from January 2008 to June 2015. Patients with rNPC were defined as those with tumors growing again after radical radiotherapy 6 months later; tumors reach cCR and pCR during this period.\textsuperscript{14,15} Patients with nrNPC were those with no proven recurrence or metastasis following radical radiotherapy 6 months later.

This study was approved by the Joint Ethics Committee of the Guangxi Medical University Health Authority. All human serum and clinical details were obtained from the Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Guangxi Medical University.

Serum Separation

A total of 3 mL of venous blood was collected from each participant. The samples were allowed to stand for 30 min and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was separated and stored in different tubes at −80°C. One of the tubes was used for discovering different proteins, whereas the others were prepared to validate the target proteins.

PPI Network

A total of 59 DEPs were screened out between nrNPC and rNPC by TMT labeling in a previous study.\textsuperscript{11} All protein identifiers were searched against the STRING database version 9.1 for PPI. Only interactions between the proteins belonging to the searched data set were selected, thereby excluding external candidates. STRING defines a metric called “confidence score” to define interaction confidence; all interactions having a confidence score ≥0.7 (high confidence) were identified. The interaction network from STRING was visualized in Cytoscape. A graph-theoretical clustering algorithm, molecular complex detection (MCODE), was used to analyze the densely connected regions. MCODE is part of the plugin tool kit of the network analysis and visualization software Cytoscape.

ELISA Validation

Human ELISA kits were used for APP (TSZ, USA). The experimental steps were performed as recommended by the manufacturer. The serum samples were analyzed for a total of 70 patients with NPC (35 rNPC + 35 nrNPC).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 21.0 software and GraphPad Prism 7.00. The clinical characteristics among groups were compared using the $\chi^2$ test and Fisher’s exact test for qualitative data and $t$ test for quantitative data. In addition, the APP protein was evaluated for its capacity to distinguish between rNPC and nrNPC by making an ROC curve based on the ELISA scores. All results were considered to be significant when $P$ values were ≤0.05.

Results

Clinical Characteristics

The baseline characteristics of ELISA samples in the study are listed in Table 1. No significant differences were observed between the patients with nrNPC and those with rNPC in terms of sex and clinical stage ($P > 0.05$). However, the age differed significantly between these two groups (41.77 ± 9.46 vs 49.34 ± 9.17, $P = 0.006$).

Protein–Protein Interaction (PPI) Analysis

The PPI analysis was performed, revealing significant PPI among dysregulated proteins. These proteins formed one main cluster, suggesting many interactions within this protein

| Table 1 Clinical Characteristics of ELISA Samples |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| Sex                  | nrNPC | rNPC | $P$ value |
|----------------------|-------|------|-----------|
| Male(n)              | 17    | 27   | >0.05     |
| Female(n)            | 5     | 2    |           |
| Clinical stage       |       |      |           |
| Low (I+II)(n)        | 4     | 4    | >0.05     |
| High (III+IV)(n)     | 18    | 25   |           |
| Mean age ±SD(y)      | 41.77±9.46 | 49.34±9.17 | 0.006     |

Note: Data are expressed as number(n) or year(y).
Abbreviations: nrNPC, nonrecurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma; rNPC, recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma.
set. According to the degree of interaction, APP (degree = 34) was chosen for further study (Figures 1 and 2).

**ELISA Results**

A preliminary analysis was performed to assess the potential value of APP as a serum biomarker for rNPC. The serum samples of 70 patients with NPC (35 rNPC + 35 nrNPC) were initially collected because some samples contained a low concentration, beyond the reach of the ELISA kit; only 51 patients could be identified with the concentrations of APP (29 rNPC + 22 nrNPC). For ELISA data, the relative expression levels (log10-transformed) of APP concentrations were normally distributed. The results showed that the relative expression levels of APP were significantly different between patients with rNPC and those with nrNPC (1.18 ± 0.55 vs 0.84 ± 0.30, \( P = 0.008 \), Figure 3), which was in agreement with the present discovery set.11

**ROC Curve Results**

An ROC curve (Figure 4) was plotted to evaluate the predictive value of APP; the area under the ROC curve was 0.666 (95% CI: 0.514–0.818, \( P = 0.044 \)). The best cutoff point of the relative expression levels for APP was 1.23 (concentration = 16.95 ng/mL), at which the sensitivity was 55.2% and the specificity was 90.9%. These data suggested that APP might be a promising and useful protective marker for patients with rNPC.

---

**Figure 1** All identified protein name identifiers were searched against the STRING database version 9.1 for PPI. We found the high connective degree of APP (degree=34).

**Figure 2** The cluster included 19 proteins (A2M, ACTN4, ALB, ALDOA, APP, CFD, F13A1, FN1, HRG, KNG1, PF4, PLG, PPBP, SERPINA1, SERPINE1, SERPING1, TIMP1, VWF) among which APP and SERPINE were up-regulated, the others were down-regulated.

**Figure 3** The complement and coagulation cascades of all proteins were visualized by STRING software.
Discussion

The lack of biomarkers for distinguishing rNPC in an early stage is a huge challenge. However, imaging techniques, such as computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging, has limited accuracy and cannot confirm the nature of the lesion. Moreover, a biopsy is an invasive approach with some side effects. Developing a noninvasive blood-based test may be a revolutionary step in tumor diagnosis. In this study, a comprehensive PPI analysis was conducted to identify promising biomarkers that can be further studied as noninvasive tests for diagnosing rNPC.

NPC is a multifactorial disease, including host genetics, chronic infection by EBV, environmental factors, and others. Further, 20% of patients with NPC still have a recurrence even after effective treatments. A well-known cause for local recurrence is radioresistance. Figuring out the molecular mechanism and the potential pathways involved in rNPC carcinogenesis would be very important for improving the diagnosis and treatment of rNPC.

APP was famous as the Alzheimer’s disease–associated amyloid precursor protein, which was reported to be overexpressed in various cancers, such as pancreatic cancer, prostate cancer and colon carcinoma. A published report demonstrated that APP was one of EGFR-regulated secreted proteins in NPC; it validated that APP was upregulated in not only NPC cells but also NPC tissue. The pathogenesis of NPC may involve the upregulation of secretory APP by EGFR. Interestingly, the result was in agreement with previous findings, implying that APP would be a promising biomarker.

Interestingly, a previous study concluded that A2M could be a potential biomarker for rNPC. Moreover, A2M and APP were reported to be connected to each other. They both were factors related to Alzheimer’s disease. A2M formed a stable complex with APP with a binding energy score. Some studies explored the effect of mutations on the APP–A2M interaction, revealing that the abrogation of this interaction was possibly associated with cancer. A weakened interaction could be related to oncogenesis. Furthermore, some other reports were also in agreement with the aforementioned findings, which showed that clarifying the relationship between A2M and APP was essential to the monitoring and treatment of tumors.

The results strongly indicated crucial roles of some special proteins in the recurrence of rNPC. They might be potential biomarkers for estimating the risk of recurrence for NPC. Most dysregulated proteins in the present study, which were reported to be involved in tumorigenesis, are worth further investigation.

This study had some limitations. First, all the serum samples were collected at different times and stored at –80°C in a refrigerator, leading to the loss of some vital proteins. Second, as mentioned earlier, the age differed significantly between these two groups (41.77 ± 9.46 vs 49.34 ± 9.17, P = 0.006). However, the results of Spearman correlation analysis indicated that the concentrations of APP showed no correlation with age, sex, and...

Figure 3 APP significant difference between patients with rNPC compared to nrNPC in the levels of serum (P=0.008).

Figure 4 The area under the ROC curve was 0.666 (95% CI: 0.514–0.818, P = 0.044). The best cutoff point of the relative expression levels for APP was 1.23 (concentration = 16.95 ng/mL), at which the sensitivity was 55.2% and the specificity was 90.9%.
clinical stage of patients with NPC. Therefore, it was believed that the difference in APP expression between the two groups was not due to age difference. Third, the validation cohort was constructed from a small population of only 70 patients, all treated at a single institution, leading to bias in the results. Hence, the findings should be validated using a larger population from multicenter institutions in the future.

Conclusions
In conclusion, 59 DEPs were identified from serum samples between rNPC and nrNPC. APP was overexpressed in rNPC and hence might be a potential noninvasive biomarker for confirming rNPC. This finding might be of great importance for improving the management of patients with NPC.
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