Paul Durcan’s Self-Portraits as Dialogues

Cathy Roche-Liger
One of the hallmarks of Paul Durcan’s aesthetics is hybridity. This contemporary Irish poet refuses categories between the different forms of art and writes an intermedial poetry in which the pictorial dimension is prominent. His love for painting is visible in his very first poem (unpublished), a poem about Vincent Van Gogh, triggered by a revelation during a stay in France when he was a teenager, in 1960. Referring to his discovery of la Pointe du Raz, and indicating a pictorial way of looking at the world, he later declared: “I felt that all I was looking at had been created by Vincent Van Gogh”.

In the preface to his 1991 ekphrastic book inspired by the collection of the National Gallery of Ireland, Crazy About Women (below-mentioned CAW), he wrote:

An artist such as myself with the two spouses of poetry and picture-making is not looked upon favourably by the chaperones of art. [...] Art is not a prison with poetry in one cell, picture-making in another cell and so on. [...] The challenge of art is to be inclusive and Crazy About Women, born out of a lifetime’s romance with the National Gallery of Ireland, is my attempt to be so inclusive as to make the intercourse between what is painted and what is written as reciprocal as it is inevitable.

Such words indicate both his passion and an intimate conception of ekphrasis as a dialogue, a real exchange and interaction between the verbal and the visual. The majority of the many ekphraseis present throughout his work have been inspired by portraits and self-portraits, thus revealing a special interest in this genre traditionally centred on the “(re)presentation” of a human person, and in particular of his face. The poet has also transformed the still-life and landscapes into portraits and/or self-portraits. He has created a large and varied gallery of portraits – of strangers, friends and loved ones – and has depicted himself repeatedly. What kind of mirrors does he use to look at himself and create self-portraits? How does he both magnify himself and expose his imperfections and frailty in his self-portraits while playing with the genre itself? How does he create a dialogue between word and image, between portraits and self-portraits, between his representations and those of others or other paintings, and
how does he generate new visions through self-portrayal? To answer these questions, this essay will first analyze the double paradoxical movement of magnification and self-exposure. It will then examine how self-portrayal is conceived as a dialogue, further blurring the line between portrait and self-portrait.

**Paul Durcan’s self-portraits between whimsical magnification and exposure**

Throughout his work, Durcan has represented himself many times. His self-portraits are either presented as such as in “Self-Portrait, Nude with Steering Wheel”, or as part of poems as in “The Lamb in the Oven”, or superimposed upon portraits of others and upon pictorial works of art as in ekphraseis such as “The Vision of Saint Hubert (after Brueghel)”\(^4\). Though not all his self-depictions may be considered as pictorial, it is often the case. Most are both textual and pictorial, even if the degree of their pictorial saturation can vary, ranging from mere pictorial references or fleeting “painterly effects”—via his framing by the structure of a door or to his reflection in a mirror for instance as in “A Day in the Cave” or “October Early Morning Haircut”\(^6\)—to minimal and full ekphraseis of invented or transformed works of art or of photographs. In “Self-Portrait ’95”\(^8\) for example, the only pictorial element is the title itself, through the reference to the painting genre. Here, the poet expresses his sense of alienation:

> Paul Durcan would try the patience of the Queen of Tonga
> When he was in Copacabana he was homesick for Annaghmakerrig;
> When he got back to Annaghmakerrig
> He was homesick for Copacabana.

He plays with the reference both to the song by Edmundo Ros and to the historical event it relates, through which the Queen of Tonga (1900–1965) brought her country to international attention when she attended the 1953 coronation of Queen Elizabeth II in London. The poet gives voice to his own paradoxical feelings towards Ireland, while indirectly describing himself as the Irish version of this queen. Moreover, Tonga’s Queen Sålote wrote poetry and songs, contributing to the preservation and creative use of the Tongan language. By playing with the multiple pictorial, musical or historical references suggested through this four-line condensed poem, the apparently self-deprecating self-portrait turns out to be a way to put into relief his own creative whimsical abilities. Finally, while underlining his complex feelings towards his native land, he reasserts his Irishness\(^9\). This deceptively simple and straightforward poem turns out to be a multilayered self-portrait exemplifying the complexity both of the poet and of the genre along with the games on visions implied and at stake when the artist represents himself. Perceiving this complexity requires close scrutiny, reminiscent of such that is necessary to the task of self-portrayal itself. It reminds us that it is not a truth in which one may place blind trust, but the way the poet wishes to stage himself; it reminds us too that (self-)portrayal is an intimate process and act which may work as a (public) statement as well as a shared intimacy.

For Jean-Luc Nancy, each portrait is a self-portrait inasmuch as it involves and fulfills a relation to a self, to oneself\(^10\). But for him, the adage attributed to Cosimo de Medici “ogni pit tore dipinge sè” (“every painter paints himself”) cannot be separated from its opposite: “every self-portrait is first of all a portrait”. It “is not the representation of a self: each time it is the enactment of the subjectivity or of the being-one self in itself [...] It is
the implementation of exposure, that is to say of our exposure”\textsuperscript{11}. Moreover, to him, showing the outside aspect of someone and diving inside someone are both opposite and complementary sides in portraits\textsuperscript{12}. He goes further and indicates that “in his portrait [...] the other retreats. He retreats while showing himself”\textsuperscript{13}. Though this paradox cannot be resolved, and a part of irrepresentability will always remain, to some extent, a painted portrait shows the inner self in a way that cannot be shown in another way. The aim of the portrait or of the self-portrait is an “unveiling” that would “bring the self out of the painting” on the condition that it would “bring to light” the core, “the structure of the subject”; “painting or representing is therefore no longer reproducing, not even revealing, but producing the exposed-subject”\textsuperscript{14}. Durcan plays with this idea of portrayal in particular, or pictorial representation in general as an “unveiling” enabling the viewer to discover the true self of the persons represented. He whimsically expresses this idea in his ekphraseis of both “Sleeping Nude, after Sarah Longley” and “A Portrait of the Artist’s Wife / A Self-Portrait”, after William Leech, where the viewer could, but does not, discover this reality: “If you were to glance up now / [...] You would see me as I am”\textsuperscript{15}. Though Durcan plays with that notion, he is also aware of how powerful the act of (self-)portrayal can be. Nonetheless, he does not choose mimesis to show himself as he is but transforms himself to better disclose an inner truth that would or could otherwise stay hidden. The movement implied by these transformations is also a way of exemplifying this unveiling through self-representation as a process and enabling the reader to witness it.

6 To do so, he can metamorphose himself, for example turning himself into an animal to expose his shortcomings\textsuperscript{16}. In “The Lamb in the Oven” for instance, he describes Cita nursing a lamb and his resulting feelings of “jealousy” by depicting himself as an insect through a painterly effect created by his framing by a window:

\textit{At the kitchen window my charcoal jowls Peeping in, grinding their molars; [...] At the windowpane of jealousy I woo you [...]}

7 The mirror he uses – here a window – is not mimetic, reflecting external features resembling his outer appearance, but one displaying an image corresponding to an inner feeling or truth – here jealousy – and bringing it to light. He does not look at himself in it, but the metamorphosed self through which he unveils himself appears when he is looking through it, “Peeping in”, looking inside the room and into himself. As a consequence, the mirror itself is transformed; it is no longer a mere “kitchen window” but becomes “the windowpane of jealousy” as if it had been contaminated by the poet’s feeling.

8 The mirror he uses for self-examinatory purposes and to bring out an inner truth can also be that of painting. His \textit{ekphrasis} “The Holy Family With St John”\textsuperscript{18} opens with the poet admiring the painting attributed to Granacci, and “[reveling]” in it\textsuperscript{19}. This vision of the quintessential family reveals, by way of contrast, his own loneliness. It triggers the depiction of himself as a man “without a family”, and leads to his transformation into a leaf to highlight this loneliness. He becomes “– a leaf in driftwood –“\textsuperscript{20}. This condensed self-portrait of the lonely poet as a leaf appears as a painterly effect framed by the dashes which separate it from the rest of the stanza.

9 Furthermore, the images of others (re)presented in paintings can work as mirrors in which he can recognize (a part of) himself. In consequence, he can superimpose himself onto the representations of other people. This appropriation of the painting can lead to a reversal or a change of the meaning of the painting. In his \textit{ekphrasis} entitled “The
Vision of Saint Hubert”, he becomes the stag of the painting by Brueghel and Rubens to represent his physical and mental urge to possess his former wife again. Moreover, the hunting hounds of the painting become the symbol of the poet’s self-pity: “I gathered round me all my Dogs of Self-Pity, / Long, lean, Bloodhounds of Self-Pity”\(^{21}\). He plays with the process of identification with the painting, abolishes the distance separating him from the work of art, projects himself onto it, and transforms the sense of the vision conveyed by the painting. The “intercourse”\(^{22}\), the dialogue with the work of art, and the ekphrastic process of interaction bring forth his own revelation: that he must leave Nessa alone and love her forever but yet from a distance.

In addition, the mirror he uses to look at and into himself can be the eyes of other people, the way they look at him, how they describe and consider him. In confronting his own self-portrayal with the perceptions of others, both positive and negative points of view can coexist, and create a double paradoxical movement in poems such as “Self-Portrait, Nude with Steering Wheel” and “Exterior with Plant, Reflection Listening, after Lucian Freud”, an \textit{ekphrasis} of \textit{Interior with Plant, Reflection Listening (Self-Portrait)}\(^{23}\).

In “Self-Portrait, Nude with Steering Wheel”, the general movement is one of self-exposure and self-deprivation. This movement is built through the contrast between the mirror offered by the reported words of the poet’s lover, and the mirror in which he looks at himself to depict himself. Here, Durcan plays with the idea according to which we become the reflection sent back to us by others. With self-derision, he systematically takes the counterpart of his lover’s positive point of view:

\begin{verbatim}
I am forty-five and do not Know how to drive a car – And you tell me I am cultured.
[...
Forty-five years sitting in the passenger seat With my hands folded primly in my lap – And you think I am liberated.
Forty-five years getting in and out of cars And I do not know where the dipstick is – And you tell me that I am a superb lover.
\end{verbatim}

He eroticizes the car, playing with sexual innuendo, to further imply he is a clumsy inhibited lover. The process of self-deprivation leads him to depict himself naked through the minimal \textit{ekphrasis} that concludes the poem and echoes its title: “Nude, with a steering wheel in my hands”\(^{24}\). Durcan symbolically and literally discloses himself through the poetical dialogue with his lover, as if this dialogue were sexual foreplay leading to his undressing. His nudity echoes and mimics the process of disclosure which self-portrayal implies, or can be perceived as the “the implementation of exposure”, to put it in Nancy’s words\(^{26}\). Though he be naked, he is nonetheless holding a wheel, which symbolizes what remains of his manliness, as well as of his ability to direct and control his life. Moreover, although he takes the counterpart of his lover’s positive view, such a representation still appears on the page.

In “Exterior with Plant, Reflection Listening, \textit{after Lucian Freud}”\(^{27}\), the poet plays with expressions of admiration. His choice of setting his poem “Across the street from Oisin Kelly sculpture of Larkin” is a way of introducing a sculptural element of homage and elegy that foreshows his \textit{ekphrasis} of “the most elegiac photograph ever taken of my mother”. This also helps him turn his poem into a monument to her memory\(^{28}\). In contrast with these artistic forms of appraisal, the poet introduces a meeting in the street with a man ironically described as “self-righteous”. The latter’s direct speech enables the poet to present himself in both a positive and a negative way that makes him different from the figures deserving only admiration: “‘My wife and daughters are admirers of yours / But I have to tell you that I am not / An admirer of yours.’” In that
sense, it makes him a mixture of people comprising people like his mother but also people considered or portrayed in a negative, critical way, like his father who is satirically associated with Mussolini and Fascism through his mother’s supposedly reported speech: “Telling me how Daddy played in 1938 / A game of golf with Mussolini in Milan / In the Garden of Fascism Golf Club.” Nevertheless, the double perspective offered by the passer-by is replaced with the final vision of the poet’s image reflected in the “passenger window” of a car, even if the self-portrait is not the central element which is the ekphrasis of the photographic portrait glorifying his mother:

I take out my pocket camera and snap her  
And when I get back the print from the camera shop  
It is the most elegiac photograph ever taken of my mother,  
A face on the wind, all epic and ephemera,  
And over her shoulder you can see a man with a pocket camera,  
His reflection,  
In the passenger window of a passing Nissan Bluebird.

This self-portrayal is presented as the unintentional result of chance since the mirror in which his image appears is not an intended device but the “passenger window”, not even the windscreen, of a “passing” car (my italics). Through this reflection echoing that of Lucian Freud in his self-portrait, Durcan associates himself with the painter, but also with his “glamorous” mother since he includes himself in her photographic portrait. The fact that he does not state that it is his reflection but that of “a man with a pocket camera” contributes to further the merging in L. Freud’s image. What could first appear as a reflection in a sort of concave mirror, giving more importance to everything but him, transpires to be a way of magnifying himself. Isolated on a line, the element “His reflection” is put into relief, which indicates how important this “reflection” really is. But whimsically, the poet chooses to delay the discovery of this other version of himself by belatedly noticing his own presence in the photograph.

Therefore, in “Exterior with Plant, Reflection Listening, after Lucian Freud”, the poet turns the ekphrasis of L. Freud’s self-portrait into a succession of portraits like that of his mother or of people in the street, before finally including his own self-portrait in the description of his mother’s photographic portrait. L. Freud’s self-portrait is deconstructed and reconstructed in an indirect manner in this non-mimetic ekphrasis through “a bunch of flowers” echoing the ubiquitous plant in the painting at the beginning of the poem, through the man heard in the street by the poet, and through the poet’s reflection in the window of the car at the end. This intertwining of portraits and self-portraits corresponds to a tendency in Durcan’s work where he frequently blurs the already unclear line separating portraits and self-portraits. In consequence, he plays with the notion of vision and with the (re)presentation of a self. He establishes a dialogue between himself and others: between his own perception and that of others, between his own representation, characteristics and features, and those painted by others. To better highlight the importance of such a tendency, this article will now analyze further this blurring of the distinction between portraits and self-portraits. It will mainly focus on the intertwining of ekphrasis and self-portrayal to note how Durcan creates a playful, fruitful dialogue through words and between words and image. It will argue that such a process can be considered as a form of connection sought by the poet.
Self-portrayal as a dialogue further blurring the line between portrait and self-portrait

16 In line with his rejection of the separation between the different forms of art, Durcan generates generic indeterminacy and voluntarily blurs the already thin and permeable frontier between portraits and self-portraits. He entitles poems which are portraits “self-portraits” as “Self-Portrait as an Irish Jew” which is the poet’s portrait of Thomas Joyce, the father of the painter Mark Joyce, Durcan’s son-in-law. Conversely, he transforms portraits or self-portraits of other people into a self-portrait by superimposing his image onto the portraits or self-portraits of others. In “The Repentant Peter, after Francisco de Goya”, Durcan transposes the representation of the saint into a self-portrait through which he depicts himself in a slightly grotesque manner, underlining his vulnerability:

Last thing I do before getting into bed Is kneel down beside bed In my Marks & Spencer’s women’s pyjamas, Which I purchased thinking they were men’s pyjamas, A womanless man on a shopping spree.

17 This foreshadows the final vision in which his frailty is emphasized by the repetitions:

You taught me that like you I am destitute animal, Frailer Than plump lamb under candlelit chestnut, Frailer Than mother cat wheezing in cartwheel, Frailer Than galaxies of geese, And that behind all my sanctimonious lechery, Behind all my petty pleading, Behind all my hysterical beseeching, It is all night, with only daylight above it.

18 The dialogue he creates with the work of art through the ekphrastic poem is complexified by other forms of exchange: an argument with Nessa, his former wife, but most of all former relationships and a new dialogue through poetry with his dead father. This dialogue also has a decidedly accusatory ring to it and can be considered as the way Durcan recognizes past trauma, present consequences, and tries to come to terms with it through writing. Durcan intertwines here different visions and perspectives: Nessa and his Dad’s points of view, the way he perceives himself, his father, and the representation of Saint Peter by Goya. He blurs the frontiers between the pictorial representation of another self, self-portrayal, and the perception of himself by other people.

19 In some cases, it is even impossible to draw a distinction between portrait and self-portrait, the representation of another being or of himself. In “Aristotle with a Bust of Homer, after Rembrandt” for instance, in the first stanza, it is impossible to determine whether the poet makes Rembrandt’s representation of Aristotle talk or if he talks to Homer in identifying with the Aristotle of the painting. Afterwards, nonetheless, the identification appears more clearly, when he touches the bust of Homer and seems to become Aristotle. This identification and dialogue with the work of art lead to an introspection that causes him to flee and fall. Because Durcan offers the reader his personal view of the works of art, this can lead to such an interaction that the line between ekphrasis of the work of art and self-portrayal is blurred. The painting has a strong impact on the poet that he makes the reader witness through his poem, but his words consequently also modifies the reader’s perception of the work of art. This is an exemplification of the dialogue wished by the poet and expressed in the preface of CAW, that is to say of his “attempt to be so inclusive as to make the
intercourse between what is painted and what is written as reciprocal as it is inevitable”.

Likewise, in “The Orientalist, after Kitaj”\textsuperscript{41}, the \textit{ekphrasis} of R. B. Kitaj’s self-portrait as an expatriate\textsuperscript{42}, the line between \textit{ekphrasis} of a self-portrait and self-portrayal is totally blurred. Here, Durcan’s conception of both self-portrayal and \textit{ekphrasis} as dialogues is expressed by the form of the poem itself. In it, two voices echoing, questioning and answering one another can be heard. The second voice, transcribed in italics in each distich, appears as a thought or a deformed echo of the first. This mimics the act of self-portrayal as a process of self-contemplation through which one questions and explores oneself. This process also enables the poet to symbolize his dialogue with R. B. Kitaj, a painter he admires and whose exhibition “The Artist’s Eye” was the inspiration behind his three ekphrastic collections \textit{CAW}, \textit{GMYH}, and \textit{WWE}\textsuperscript{43}.

\begin{quote}
The Ringsend Hermit\textsuperscript{44}. \textit{The Ringsend Hermit} And Orientalist. And what? The Orientalist. \textit{The Orientalist}\textsuperscript{45}.
\end{quote}

Here, the poet includes his self-portrait through the minimal \textit{ekphrasis} composed by the title-effect “The Ringsend Hermit”. Through these words, the reader can picture the poet in front of “The Orientalist” by Kitaj, progressively merging through words in this painting to become “The Orientalist”, just as Kitaj did through his painting. But he can also imagine Durcan recognizing Kitaj as himself, “The Ringsend Hermit”, as another self-portrait he could have painted of himself, before depicting him again as “The Orientalist”. With the echo effects, Durcan creates a questioning that generates multiple visions but in every case, he magnifies himself by associating himself with R.B. Kitaj.

This conception of self-portrayal as a dialogue both with oneself and with others also appears in the \textit{ekphrasis} of a painting by Moyra Barry entitled “Self-Portrait in the Artist Studio”\textsuperscript{46} where the artist mentally connects with an old Master, namely Vincent Van Gogh, while painting her self-portrait. It is presented as a “ritual” leading both to self-knowledge and exposure, but also as an offering when she invites the figure of the Father, that of the Creator, and the viewer along with Him, to share her essence.

\begin{quote}
[... I [...] Sat to myself To perform the ritual of the self-portrait Calling to mind Vincent in Provence, I performed it as a chanson to my studio [... But also as a chanson to my head, Not evading my cowlike eyes, my rosebud mouth, Plucking the fragility of my skull. Father, what you must do is to cup my skull in your hands, In your three hands, And drink from it, Drink from my skull Until you have drunk me To the dregs Of my cerebral juices. You will know, Consumed by my grief, By my humour, The angostura bitters of daughterdom\textsuperscript{47}.
\end{quote}

Self-portraits in general can often be a way for artists to state something about what creation is and to show the process of creation, as the painter painting himself. Durcan chooses to do so here but through the \textit{ekphrasis} of the self-portrait of another person. He underlines the violence of such an act too by the sacrificial dimension he confers on this ritual. Similarly, in “Casa Mariana Trauma”, Durcan voices how traumatic the process of creation can be through the portrayal of a woman who symbolises the Artist. As a fusion between a painter and a poet, this artist could be seen as an indirect way for Durcan to represent himself:

She is wringing locutions, wringing shrunken, Faded, threadbare words To squeeze oil paint out of tubes of language: The trauma of the painter as the poet.\textsuperscript{48}
The poet also expresses the mythopoetic vision of art as a source of isolation for artists in “Portrait of the Artist” or in *ekphraseis* of works of art representing other artists such as “Supper Time” or “Thomas Moore In His Study At Sloperton Cottage”. This aspect is seen too in “St Galganus” when he describes the lack of connection between himself and the teenager, the distance separating them being the result of the former’s status as artist:

```
the space between you and I -
The space of a table - the work of a lifetime:
The work of an artist.
```

Throughout his work, Durcan has repeatedly depicted himself as lonely and alienated, while giving voice to his need for connection or his nostalgia towards lost forms of connection and a lost sense of belonging. In “A Day in the Cave” for instance, he writes: “I suffer from an affliction / Labelled inconsolable loneliness”. In “’Windfall’, 8 Parnell Hill, Cork”, he depicts his alienation, anguish and utter loneliness while contrasting it with former representations of himself as a family man, a “Daddy” first in a description flooded with pictorial elements and then in the minimal *ekphraseis* of the series of photographs in albums:

```
At home I used sit in a winged chair by the window Overlooking the river and the factory chimneys, [...] The river a reflection of itself in its own waters, Goya sketching Goya among the smoky mirrors. The industrial vista was my Mont Saint-Victoire; While my children sat on my knees watching TV Their mother, my wife, reclined on the couch Knitting a bright-coloured scarf, drinking a cup of black coffee, Smoking a cigarette – one of her own roll-ups. [...] Pastels and etchings on the four walls, and over the mantelpiece Van Gogh’s Grave and Lovers in Water. A room wallpapered in books and family photograph albums Chronicling the adventures and metamorphoses of family life [...] Mammy and Daddy holding hands on the Normandy Beaches; Mammy and Daddy at the wedding of Jeremiah and Margot; Mammy and Daddy queueing up for Last Tango in Paris; Boating on the Shannon, climbing mountains in Kerry; Building sandcastles in Killala, camping in Barley Cove; Picnicking in Moone, hide-and-go-seek in Clonmacnoise; Riding horses, cantering, jumping fences; Pushing out toy yachts in the pond in the Tuileries; The Irish College revisited in the Rue des Irlandais; Sipping an orange pressée through a straw on the roof of the Beaubourg; Dancing in Père Lachaise, weeping at Auvers.
```

Durcan does not choose to depict himself as a single uniquely self-examining entity. He prefers to stage himself in a wider context, surrounded by loved ones and art – books, pastels, etchings. He highlights his links and relations with others, connecting himself both with his (lost) family and with other artists. Art and writing are here the only way to reach back and enjoy anew a form of lost felicity. One might speak of substitution. Furthermore, by indirectly associating himself with Goya, Cézanne or Van Gogh, he magnifies himself.

In his 2015 collection, *The Days of Surprise*, which has a strong autobiographical dimension and in which he represents himself repeatedly while recollecting memories, self-portrayal is also a moment of meditation upon life and death, love and estrangement. In “Il Bambino Dormiente” for instance, an *ekphrasis* of Giovanni Bellini’s tempera *Madonna in trono che adora il Bambino dormiente*, he first describes himself as alienated and alone in front of the painting in an empty room. He then merges with it, superimposing himself and his mother on the Christ and the Virgin in this “sacred family portrait” to make peace with his life and death, to reconnect with memories and
the original, primordial form of connection he experienced – the shared bond with his mother – and, as a result, with humanity. Writing appears again as a dialogue, namely here between his present and his past, himself and a work of art. Art is where he seeks and finds “HUMAN RESOURCES”.

In “The Hammock” in the last poem of the 2016 collection *WWE*, a volume through which he expresses his love for and faith in art, ekphrasis and self-portrayal are intertwined once again. Durcan depicts himself alone in a museum space: reclining on a sculpture, enjoying art, remembering and re-experiencing his delightful visits to the Toledo Museum of Art. This moment is presented as out of time, as a peaceful, enchanted rest he wishes to prolong and succeeds in prolonging through writing:

Like any self-respecting, horizontal hobo
Or upright, vertical citizen of the Union
I am a connoisseur of public seating […]
Here I am reposing like a stag tilting in full flight,
Swaying in the breeze under oak tree and maple,
My carefree dangled fingers wine-tasting uncut grass
In urban parkland, watching the auto-traffic […]
And across Monroe Street the façade of the Toledo Museum of Art –
That scintillating flight of steps, the Ionic Columns,
Alexander Calder’s scarlet Stegosaurus stalking the red brick terrace.
I closed my eyes and smiled! O Memory!
O Robert Henri, O Kitaj, O Sherwood Anderson, O hart Crane, O Ohio!
This hammock is a thing of perfect balance and, therefore beauty.
“I balance all, bring all to mind.”
“O commemorate me where there is water”.
I opened my eyes and smiled.
I crossed my feet and played with my prayer beads
With my hands in my pockets. Once I was a minor Football star…
O yes! And later… well… later… later…
I had appointments for this morning but I’m going nowhere.
LIBRA OK.
I’m gonna snooze in my hammock all morning – in my glass Hammock on Monroe.
For an hour or two, know, live and inhale, exhale Peace.

Here it is not his loneliness but a moment of blissful solitude that is presented. This humorous self-depiction is again a way of magnifying himself by associating himself with all the great artists whose works he enjoyed and wrote about. These include not only those exhibited in the museum, but two great Irish poets as well: W. B. Yeats and his mentor, Patrick Kavanagh, with the two quotations respectively from “An Irish Airman Foresees His Death” and “Lines Written on a Seat on the Grand Canal, Dublin”. By quoting these lines, he plays with the way he wants to be remembered after his death. He also presents himself as a sort of new Romantic, electing to bear the Wordsworthian mantle. He finally underlines how, through words and imagination, he can be whoever he wants, and how he can reinvent himself endlessly.

Thus, the poet constantly depicts himself through his work, “[c]hronicling [his] adventures and metamorphoses”, to put it in his words. Through self-portrayal, to paraphrase Nancy’s thought, Durcan both retreats within his portraits while unveiling himself and producing himself. In so doing, he questions himself, explores himself, exposes his weaknesses, but also reinvents himself and enters into dialogue with people who made him what he is, i.e. loved ones and admired artists. He glorifies himself and art by associating himself with them but he also brings to light and makes light of his...
shortcomings and of his frailty. Self-portrayal does not only appear as a self-centered process but as a whimsical, playful process too. It is a way to show interactions through art, and to find the forms of connection the poet is seeking, a sort of dialogue not only between the verbal and the visual, a dialogue which corresponds to his conception of art as a form of “intercourse”\textsuperscript{65}.
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