Ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2 sequence analysis and phylogenetic comparison of seven cockroach species in northwestern Iran
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Abstract

Objectives: The current study was conducted to identify cockroach species (Blattodea) of northwestern Iran in public places using morphological characteristics and ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2 (rDNA-ITS2). Sequences were analyzed with Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) searches, Neighbor-Joining methods based on and Tamura-Nei phylogenetic analyses. In addition, eight cockroach rDNA-ITS2 sequences from China, India, Iran and the United States obtained from GenBank were compared to those obtained in this study.

Results: Specimens collected in Iran were identified as *Periplaneta americana* (L.), *Shelfordella lateralis* (Walker), *Blatta orientalis* (L.) (Blattodea: Blattidae), *Blattella germanica* (L.), *Supella longipalpa* (F.) (Blattodea: Ectobiidae), *Polyphaga aegyptiaca* (L.), and *Polyphaga saussurei* (Dohrn) (Blattodea: Corydiidae). rDNA-ITS2 nucleotide sequence analysis showed 100% similarity between *P. aegyptiaca* and *P. saussurei* species collected from Iran despite morphological differences. However, ITS2 sequence of *P. americana* submitted from China showed 30.49–31.71% difference to *P. americana* sequences from Iran and the United States. The results highlight the importance of morphological identification of cockroach species before conducting molecular techniques.
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Introduction

Cockroach is one of the most important urban pests in the world. They are mostly known for their role in allergies and can transmit some diseases to human [1–4]. Mirzayans reported 24 species of cockroaches in Blattidae, Ectobiidae, and Corydiidae families in Iran [5]. More recent surveys by Hanafi-Bojd, Sadaghiyani and Hashemi-Aghdam, Oshaghi reported 3 families, 14 genera, and 26 species in Iran [6, 7]. Two more species are *Parcoblatta* sp. [8], and *Polyphaga* sp. [7].

Mitochondrial and nuclear molecular markers are used for the precise identification of cockroach species and their phylogenetic relationship [9]. rDNA-ITS2 might be appropriate for mushrooms and Diptera, but it does not mean that it is appropriate for (some) cockroaches [10–12]. Ribosomal DNA has not been used frequently for identification of cockroach species [13]. ITS2 length varies among different cockroach species [13], and ITS2 sequences are often more polymorphic between species than within species [14]; therefore, it could be useful for molecular identification of sibling species [15].

A better understanding of the cockroach ecology and taxonomy is essential for the successful pest control program. Thus, a routine survey of the cockroach population in northwestern Iran will greatly contribute to the success of the control program. This study was designed to identify morphologically and molecularly cockroach species in northwestern Iran and to determine the phylogenetic relationships (using rDNA-ITS2 sequences)
among these species. New set of primers were designed as universal primers to amplification of rDNA-ITS2 fragment in cockroach species.

Main text

Methods

Cockroach collection and morphological identification

The majority of cockroaches used in this study were collected manually by searching their shelters using flashlight at night from 30 locations in Urmia, Iran (37°33′19″N 45°04′21″E) in 2013–2015. Plastic bottle traps and sticky cards (n=10 in each location) baited with sugar, biscuits and dried breads were used to collect cockroaches in houses, hospitals, dormitories and landfill. Trappings were conducted from 6:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. in 2015. Cockroaches were captured from the plastic bottle traps and transferred to containers individually. The inner surface of the plastic bottle traps was coated with butter to prevent escape.

Adult cockroaches were killed at −20 °C and identified using morphological keys [5, 6]. Voucher specimens were deposited in the Entomology Laboratory at the School of Public Health (SPH), Urmia Medical Sciences University (UMSU), Urmia, Iran.

DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from the thorax of individual cockroach species, stored in 70% ethanol, using YTA Genomic DNA Extraction Mini Kit (Yekta Tajhiz Azma, Tehran, Iran). Based on manufacturer’s instruction, a 25-mg tissue sample was removed from the thorax of each cockroach with a surgical blade and homogenized in 200 μL TG1 buffer by grinding with a micropestle containing liquid nitrogen. After adding 20 μL proteinase K, the mixture was incubated at 60 °C for 1–2 h. 200 μL of TG2 buffer was added and re-incubated for 10 min at 70 °C, after which 200 μL cold ethanol was added. The mixture was transferred to TG Mini Column and centrifuged for 1 min at 8000 rpm. The flow-through was discarded and TG Mini Column was transferred to a new Collection Tube. DNA was washed with 500 μL of W1 and 750 μL of wash buffers by centrifuging for 1 min at 14,000 rpm. Total DNA was eluted to the elution tube by adding 100 μL elution buffer or ddH2O (pH 7.5–9.0) and stored at 4 °C or −20 °C until use [16].

Primer designing and PCR amplification

Cockroach-specific primers (5.8S TGGGTGATGGAA GAACGC and 28S ATTCAGCGGTTAGCTC at 5′) were designed based on cockroach rDNA sequences available in the GenBank (GenBank ID: AF005243, KF899831, and EU306665) using the softwares Gene Runner (Hastings Software Inc. 1994) and Standard Nucleotide BLAST [17].

PCR reactions of ITS2 fragment were performed in a total volume of 25 μL master mix. Each reaction contained 2 μL genomic DNA, 12.5 μL PCR Master Mix (Yekta Tajhiz Azma, Tehran, Iran), 0.2 μL Taq polymerase, 1 μL each primer (forward and reverse), and 8.3 μL ddH2O. The PCR amplification profile was set as follows: initial template denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at 54 °C for 1 min, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, with a final 10-min elongation step at 72 °C. The PCR products (5 μL) were run on 1.5% agarose gel stained with safe stain (Yekta Tajhiz Azma Co. Cat No. YT0001, Tehran, Iran), and bands were visualized by UV trans-illumination (Syngene GBOX/EF, Cambridge, England). A total of 30 specimens were subjected to sequencing with an ABI-377 automatic sequencer (SeqGen, Torrance, Canada), using the same amplification primer.

Eight rDNA-ITS2 sequences of Blatta orientalis L. (GenBank IDs: EU306665, KF899833), Periplaneta americana (L.) (KF899831, AF321248, and AJ577262) and Blattella germanica L. (KF899832, AF005243, and AF321244) from China [18], India [19], central Iran [20] and the United States [13], belong to seven species collected in the current study, were also obtained from GenBank. These sequences and 30 sequences of the seven Iranian cockroach species were aligned, and a Mantis religiosa L. (Mantodea: Mantidae) ITS2 sequence (GenBank ID: AY859585) [21] was used as out-group. The sequences obtained from GenBank were compared to the sequences obtained in this study.

Sequence analysis

The acquired ITS2 sequences were annotated according to the previously submitted sequences using the ITS2 annotation tool, version 3.0.13 [22]. For rDNA-ITS2 sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses two online software programs, BLAST [23], Clustal Omega [24], and an offline software, Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis 7 (MEGA7) [25], were utilized.

The phylogenetic tree was constructed using both maximum likelihood and neighbor-joining methods based on Tamura-Nei model [26, 27]. The percentages of replicating trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are indicated for each branches [28].

Results

Three-hundred-twenty-one cockroaches representing 6 genera and 7 species were collected in the current study (Table 1). Blattella germanica was the most frequently collected species, whereas Polyphaga aegyptiaca L.
Table 1 Species and frequency of cockroaches collected from Urmia, West Azerbaijan Province, Iran

| Family  | Species                      | Number collected (% total collected) |
|---------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Blattidae | Blatta orientalis L.       | 23 (7.2)                             |
|         | Shelfordella lateralis Walker | 62 (19.3)                           |
|         | Periplaneta americana L.    | 57 (17.8)                            |
| Ectobiidae | Supella longipalpa F.    | 19 (5.9)                             |
|         | Blattela germanica L.      | 146 (45.5)                           |
| Corydiidae | Polyphaga aegyptiaca L.   | 7 (2.2)                              |
|         | Polyphaga saussurei Dohrn   | 7 (2.2)                              |

Polyphaga saussurei Dohrn were the least frequently collected.

The amplified fragment size using designed primers was 340 bp in Supella longipalpa (F.), 385 bp in P. aegyptiaca and P. saussurei, 412 bp in P. americana and S. lateralis, 418 bp in B. orientalis, and 592 bp in B. germanica. Results from ITS2 annotation tool showed different ITS2 sizes, varying from 179 bp in S. longipalpa to 431 bp in B. germanica. Also, the 128-bp upstream and 33-bp downstream of ITS2 sequences were 5.8 s and 28 s, respectively. These sequences were submitted to GenBank under the accession numbers KY817789 to KY817818, representing the first records for some species in Iran and the world.

The numbers of cockroach rDNA-ITS2 sequences deposited in GenBank were low, which limited our ability to conducted more BLAST analyses and comparisons to species collected in Iran. Sequence similarity within species of B. germanica, S. lateralis, B. orientalis, S. longipalpa, P. aegyptiaca, and P. saussurei, collected from Urmia, was 99.55–100%, whereas the identity within P. americana sequences was 97.61–100%. BLAST comparison of B. germanica sequences from Iran and the United States showed 99.53–100% similarity. The sequence of B. orientalis from India was only 45.28% similar to those isolated from B. orientalis collected in this study, but showed more similarity (98.36–98.83%) to those of B. germanica from GenBank (KF899832, AF005243, and AF321244). The similarity between sequences of P. americana from Iran (KF899831) and the United States (AF321248) was 97.21–100%, but the similarities of the Iranian and American sequences to the Chinese sequence (AJ577262) were 68.70% and 68.29–69.51%, respectively. Moreover, the sequence sizes of rDNA-ITS2 region in P. americana (from Iran, the United States, and China) and P. saussurei (from Iran) were the same as S. lateralis (from Iran) and P. aegyptiaca (from Iran), i.e. 251 bp and 224 bp, respectively. Sequence similarity analysis showed 88.80% identity between P. americana and S. lateralis, whereas it was 99.55% in P. saussurei and P. aegyptiaca.

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the seven Iranian cockroach species were clustered into two main branches (Fig. 1). Periplaneta americana, B. orientalis and S. lateralis, members of Blattidae, were clustered in the first branch. However, B. germanica and S. longipalpa were placed in two separate plural. Polyphaga aegyptiaca and P. saussurei were clustered in the same clade and branch (Fig. 1). Interestingly, B. orientalis from India (EU306665) was placed in the B. germanica cluster, whereas P. americana from China (AJ577262) was clustered in a separate clade near Blattidae.

Discussion

The cockroach fauna in Urmia is unknown, it is not included in Iranian checklist of cockroaches [5, 7].

Several sequences of species obtained in this study were not completely similar to those of the same species obtained from GenBank. The sequence of B. orientalis from India (EU306665) was similar to that of B. germanica obtained in this study. While, these two species belongs to two different cockroach families with high distinct in morphology, rDNA-ITS2 size and sequence. Phylogenetic analysis also revealed that sequence of P. americana from China (AJ577262) was clustered in a separate clade from P. americana from Iran and the United States. It seems those are morpho-taxonomic mistakes in cockroach species identification. These mistakes suggested it is good advice to ensure cockroach species identification before any molecular analysis and depositing the sequences to the GenBank.

This study showed that rDNA-ITS2 sequence analysis of P. aegyptiaca and P. saussurei is 100% similar in size (224 bp) and sequence. A high degree of rDNA-ITS2 sequence and size similarity, despite morphological differences in body shape and color, also had been reported between Anopheles hyrcanus (Pallas) and Anopheles pseudopictus (Grassi) (Diptera: Culicidae) (Ponçon et al. 2008). Such similarity suggested that P. aegyptiaca and P. saussurei may be the same species although they are considered separate species based on morphological characteristics. However, only ITS2 marker is not sufficient for these conclusion and need to study with other molecular markers.

In the phylogenetic tree, three Iranian cockroach families were classified into four clades. It was notable that B. germanica and S. longipalpa belongs to Ectobiidae clustered in two different clades. Recent phylogenetic analyses of cockroaches showed that Ectobiidae are paraphyletic [29–31].
Conclusions
We would like to emphasize the importance of considering both morphological and molecular data in identifying field collected specimens of cockroaches before designing, implementing and evaluating control programs. Incorrect identification of insect species may sometimes lead to the application of incorrect vector control strategies [32] and can have significant consequence to the efficiency of vector and urban pest management programs.

Limitations
The major concerns within this study is the use of only one genetic marker (ITS2) instead of multiple markers such as cytochrome oxidase I (COI) and cytochrome oxidase II (COII) (because of founding limitations for MSc student project). The current study were conducted in Urmia district among seven cockroach species, however, the efficiency of new primers need to evaluate on other cockroach species in large areas.

Fig. 1 Molecular phylogenetic analysis of 30 Iranian cockroach rDNA-ITS2 sequences based on Maximum Likelihood method. The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 1000 replicates is taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analyzed. Bootstrap values < 50% were deleted. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset complete deletion option. Mantis religiosa was used as out-group.

Abbreviations
rDNA-ITS2: ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2; BLAST: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool; MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0; EMBL: European Molecular Biology Laboratory; DDBJ: DNA Data Bank of Japan; COI: cytochrome oxidase I; COII: cytochrome oxidase II.

Authors’ contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: SG and MF. Performed the experiments: MF and HB. Analyzed the data: SG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SG, MF and BN. Wrote the paper: SG, MF, and HB. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
1 Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran. 2 Medical Entomology Department, School of Public Health, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran. 3 Medical Entomology Department, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. 4 Research Center of Health and Environment, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran.

Acknowledgements
Funding of this project (Number 1394-01-34-1938) was provided by Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran.
Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials
Data supporting of this article are included within the article.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Funding
Funding of this project (Number 1394-01-34-1938) was provided by Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 18 December 2018 Accepted: 14 January 2019
Published online: 23 January 2019

References
1. Moiser B. Hansen’s disease (leprosy) and cockroaches. East Afr Med J. 1947;24(6):230–6.
2. Burgess NR, Chetwyn KN. Association of cockroaches with an outbreak of dysentery. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1981;75(2):332–3.
3. Fortedar R, Shrinivas UB, Verma A. Cockroaches (Blattaria) as vectors of microorganisms of medical importance in hospitals. Epidemiol Infect. 1991;107(1):181–7.
4. Londres ML, Sarinho FW, Miranda PJ, Solé D, Sarinho E. Allergy to cockroaches: challenges in diagnosis. J Clin Lab. 2011;57(11–12):969–74.
5. Mirzayans H. Fauna of Iranian cockroaches (Orthopteroid: Blattaria) based on mitochondrial COII gene sequences. Syst Entomol. 2005;30(4):511–9.
6. Smith MA, Fisher BD, Hebert PD. DNA barcoding for effective biodiversity assessment of a hyperdiverse arthropod group: the ants of Madagascar. Philos Trans R Soc B. 2005;360(1462):1825–34.
7. Dentinger BT, Didukh MY, Moncalvo JM. Comparing COI and ITS as DNA barcode markers for mushrooms and allies (Agaricomycotina). PLoS ONE. 2011;6(9):e25081.
8. Ghodzizadeh S, Djadid ND, Nouroozi B, Bekmohammadi M. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Anopheles and Cellia subgenus anophelines (Diptera: Culicidae) in temperate and tropical regions of Iran. Acta Trop. 2013;126(1):63–74.
9. Mukha D, Wiegmann BM, Schal C. Evolution and phylogenetic information content of the ribosomal DNA repeat unit in the Blattodea (Insecta). Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2002;32(9):951–60.
10. Manonmani A, Townsend H, Adeniran T, Jambulingam P, Sahu S, Vijayakumar T. rDNA-ITS2 polymerase chain reaction assay for the sibling species of Anopheles fluviatilis. Acta Trop. 2001;78(1):3–9.
11. Musters W, Boon K, van der Sande CA, van Heinkhuizen H, Planta RJ. Functional analysis of transcribed spacer of yeast ribosomal DNA. EMBO J. 1990;9(12):3089–96.
12. Firoozyan S, Dinparast Djadid N, Gholizadeh S. Speculation on the possibility for introducing Anopheles stephensi as a species complex: preliminary evidence based on odorant binding protein 1 intron 1 sequence. Malar J. 2018;17(1):366.
13. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215(3):403–10.
14. Ji Y, Zhang DX, He LJ. Evolutionary conservation and versatility of a new set of primers for amplifying the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer regions in insects and other invertebrates. J Mol Ecol Notes. 2003;3(4):581–5.
15. Kuracha MR, Tamalampudi VR, Duvvuri B, Duvvuri SK, Nagaraja Rao P. GenBank: Accession no. EU306665. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/.
16. Musters W, Boon K, van der Sande CA, van Heinkhuizen H, Planta RJ. Functional analysis of transcribed spacer of yeast ribosomal DNA. EMBO J. 1990;9(12):3089–96.
17. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215(3):403–10.
18. Ji Y, Zhang DX, He LJ. Evolutionary conservation and versatility of a new set of primers for amplifying the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer regions in insects and other invertebrates. J Mol Ecol Notes. 2003;3(4):581–5.
19. Kuracha MR, Tamalampudi VR, Duvvuri B, Duvvuri SK, Nagaraja Rao P. GenBank: Accession no. EU306665. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/.
20. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215(3):403–10.
21. Mallatt J, Gibert G. Further use of nearly complete 28S and 18S rDNA genes to classify Ecdyssozoa: 37 more arthropods and a kinorhynch. J Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2006;40(3):772–94.
22. Koetschan C, Forster F, Keller A, Schleicher T, Ruderschis B, Schwarz R, Müller T, Wolf M, Schultz J. The ITS2 Database III—sequences and structures for phylogeny. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38(Database issue):D275–9.
23. Chen Y, Ye W, Zhang Y, Xu Y. High speed BLASTN: an accelerated MegaBLAST search tool. J Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(16):7762–8.
24. Sievers F, Higgins DG. Clustal Omega, accurate alignment of very large numbers of sequences. In: Multiple sequence alignment methods. New York: Springer; 2014. p. 105–16.
25. Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24(8):1596–9.
26. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol. 1987;4(4):406–26.
27. Tamura K, Nei M. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol Biol Evol. 1993;10(3):512–6.
28. Felsenstein D, Carney WP, Iacoviello VR, Hirsch MS. Phenotypic properties of atypical lymphocytes in cytomegalovirus-induced mononucleosis. J Infect Dis. 1985;152(1):198–203.
29. Djeunès M, Klass KD, Eggleston P. Identifying possible sister groups of Cryptocercidae + Isopora: a combined molecular and morphological phylogeny of Dictyoptera. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2015;84:284–303.
30. Legende N, Nei A, Svensson GJ, Robillard T, Pellens R, Gantopoulos P. Phylogeny of Dictyoptera: dating the origin of cockroaches, praying mantises and termites with molecular and controlled fossil evidence. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(7):e0130127.
31. Wang Z, Shi Y, Qiu Z, Che Y, Lo N. Reconstructing the phylogeny of Blattodea: robust support for interfamilial relationships and major clades. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):3903.
32. Van Bortel W, Harbach RE, Trudung HD, Roelants P, Backeljau T, Coomans M. Confirmation of Anopheles vornoro in Vietnam, previously misidentified and mistargeted as the malaria vector Anopheles minuitius. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2001;65(6):729–32.