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Abstract

A concrete description of all graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules of rank one and two over the non-isolated singularities of type $y^3_1 + y^2_1 y_3 - y^2_3$ is given. For this purpose we construct an algorithm that provides extensions of MCM modules over an arbitrary hypersurface.
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Introduction

Over a (graded) hypersurface ring, the (graded) maximal Cohen Macaulay modules (shortly MCM modules) can be described by (graded) matrix factorizations of the polynomial that defines the hypersurface. (see [Ei1])

Let $S$ be a polynomial ring over a field $k$ and $f \in S$ an irreducible homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$. Consider the hypersurface ring $R = S/f$ and $M$ a graded MCM module over it. D. Eisenbud proved that $M$ has an infinite, graded, 2-periodic resolution over $R$, of the form

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j=n} R(\alpha_j - d) \xrightarrow{\psi} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j=n} R(\beta_j) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j=n} R(\alpha_j) \to M \to 0,
$$

where the maps $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are the multiplications by some square matrices $A$, $A'$ with homogeneous entries and fulfill $\varphi \psi = \psi \varphi = fId$. We say that the pair
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$(A, A')$ form a graded matrix factorization of the polynomial $f$.

Notice that the matrix factorization alone determine the module only up to shiftings. In order to obtain also some information on the degree, we have to know the coefficients $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$. The rank of the module $Coker \varphi$ is precisely the integer $r$ such that $\det A = f^r$. It follows immediately that the minimal number of generators of a MCM $R$-module of rank $r$ is smaller equal $dr$.

If $k$ is an algebraically closed field, the graded MCM $R$-modules can be described also geometrically. They correspond, by sheafification, to the aCM (arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay) sheaves on the projective cone $Y = \text{Proj} S$ (Grothendieck, see for ex. [KL]). If $Y$ is a smooth curve, the aCM sheaves are exactly the vector bundles.

In [LPP], using the classification of the vector bundles over a smooth elliptic curve realized by Atiyah (see [At]), the authors produced an algorithm to construct matrix factorizations of all indecomposable graded MCM modules over $R = k[y_1, y_2, y_3]/\langle y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 \rangle$. Essential is that a smooth elliptic curve has a tame category of vector bundles.

In [DG], G.-M. Greuel and Yu. Drozd proved that the nodal curve has also a tame category of vector bundles, even a tame category of coherent sheaves.

The aim of this paper is to describe explicitly all graded MCM modules of low ranks (1 and 2) over the affine cone of the nodal curve.

The matrix factorizations of all graded, rank one MCM modules are constructed in section 2. The rank two non–locally free aCM sheaves are described as extensions of rank one aCM sheaves (see section 4). In fact, with the same method, inductively, one can construct indecomposable non–locally free aCM sheaves of any rank.

The main tool is the theorem 1 that gives us a way to construct a matrix factorization of a module $E$, that fits in a graded extension of type

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

where $L$ and $F$ are two graded MCM modules with known matrix factorizations. The computations are made with the help of the computer algebra system Singular (see [GPS]).

We obtain 4 families parametrized by the regular points of the curve and 22 countable families. (Remark: It is known that a graded hypersurface has countable CM–representation type iff it is isomorphic to $A_\infty$; see [GT])

As a direct application of the theorem 1 we prove that, over the affine cone of curves of arithmetic genus 1, the matrix factorizations give information on the stability of the sheafification of graded MCM modules.

In the third section, we use the classification of the vector bundles on the simple node (see [DG]) in order to give matrix factorizations of the locally free rank two graded MCM modules. One can construct them also using the extensions of rank one locally free MCM modules, but knowing the form of
the corresponding vector bundle on the simple node, one can get some extra geometrical properties. For example, one can find the matrix factorizations corresponding to all stable rank two vector bundles. (see [Ba2] and [Ba1]) Other interesting ways of computing matrix factorizations can be found in [BEPP].

The author thanks Prof. Dr. V. Vuletescu and Dr. I. Bourban for helpful discussions on Section 3, respectively on the classification of the vector bundles on the nodal curve. The author is grateful also to Prof. G. Pfister for helpful remarks on the Section 2.
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1 Extensions of MCM modules over hypersurface rings

In the following we show how to construct extensions of two MCM modules with known matrix factorizations. This method will be used in the last section of this paper for the classification of all non–locally free rank two MCM modules over the affine cone of the simple node.

Theorem 1 Let $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ where $k$ is a field and let $R = S/f$ be a hypersurface ring defined by an irreducible homogeneous polynomial $f$. Consider $L, F$ two graded MCM $R$–modules with the matrix factorizations $(A, A')$, respectively $(B, B')$ and the graded extension $0 \to L \overset{\alpha}{\to} E \overset{\beta}{\to} F \to 0$. Then $E$ is a graded MCM $R$–module and has a matrix factorization $(M, M')$, $M$ of the type $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$, $D$ a matrix with homogeneous entries in $S$ such that $A' \cdot D \cdot B' = 0$ in $R$.

PROOF. Denote $s = \mu(L), t = \mu(F), r_1 = \text{rank}(L), r_2 = \text{rank}(F)$.

Consider the following graded diagram:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \to & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j=s} R(\alpha'_j) \overset{i}{\to} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j=s+t} R(\alpha'_j) \overset{\pi}{\to} \bigoplus_{j=s+1}^{j=s+t} R(\alpha'_j) \to 0 \\
& \downarrow A \downarrow \downarrow B \\
0 \to & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j=s} R(\alpha_j) \overset{i}{\to} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j=s+t} R(\alpha_j) \overset{\pi}{\to} \bigoplus_{j=s+1}^{j=s+t} R(\alpha_j) \to 0 \\
& \downarrow p_A \downarrow \delta \downarrow p_B \\
0 \to & \text{Coker} A \overset{\alpha}{\to} E \overset{\beta}{\to} \text{Coker} B \to 0
\end{align*}
$$
where \( \pi \) is the projection on the last \( t \) variables and \( i \) is the natural inclusion.

Let \( \varphi_B : \bigoplus_{j=s+1} R(\alpha_j) \to E \) be a graded map such that \( \beta \circ \varphi_B = p_B \) and consider \( \varphi_A = \alpha \circ p_A \). Define the graded map \( \delta \) as the sum of \( \varphi_A \) and \( \varphi_B \). Then, \( \delta \) makes the above diagram commutative. Using Snake Lemma, we get the graded exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Im} A \xrightarrow{i} \text{Ker} \delta \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Im} B \to 0
\]

and the surjectivity of \( \delta \). Therefore, \( \text{Ker} \delta \) is a graded \( \text{MCM} \) \( R \)-module of rank \( s + t - r_1 - r_2 \) such that \( E \cong j = s + t \oplus j = 1 R(\alpha_j)/\text{Ker} \delta \).

So, there exists \((M_1, M'_1)\) a graded matrix factorization of \( f \) such that \( E \cong \text{Coker} M'_1 \) and such that the following graded sequence is exact

\[
0 \to \text{Im} A \xrightarrow{i} \text{Im} M'_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Im} B \to 0.
\]

Since \( \text{Im} \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \subset \text{Im} M'_1 \), there exist two invertible matrices \( U \) and \( V \) such that \( UM'_1V = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & D' \\ 0 & B' \end{array} \right) \). Denote \( M'' = UM'_1V \). Then \( \text{Coker} M'' \) is a graded \( \text{MCM} \) module isomorphic to \( E \). Thus, there exists the matrix \( B'_1 \) with homogeneous entries such that \((B_1, B'_1)\) is a matrix factorization of \( f \) and \( A'D_1B'_1 = 0 \) in \( R \).

We have therefore a graded commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & \text{Im} A \xrightarrow{i} \text{Im} M'' \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Im} B_1 \to 0 \\
\downarrow{id} & & \downarrow{i} \\
0 & \to & \text{Im} A \xrightarrow{i} \text{Im} M'_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Im} B \to 0
\end{array}
\]

and an inclusion \( \text{Im} B_1 \hookrightarrow \text{Im} B \) of two graded \( \text{MCM} \) modules of the same rank. This means that they are isomorphic, and therefore, there exist two invertible matrices \( U_1 \) and \( V_1 \) such that \( B = U_1B_1V_1 \). Denote \( D = D_1V_1 \) and \( M = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & D \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right) \). Then \( A'DB' = 0 \) in \( R \) and \( \text{Coker} M \cong \text{Coker} M'' \cong E \).

So, if we have two graded matrix factorizations \((A, A')\), respectively \((B, B')\) (together with the corresponding graded maps) of two \( \text{MCM} \) \( R \)-modules and we want an extension from \( \text{Ext}^1(\text{Coker} B, \text{Coker} A) \) we do the following:

1) We decide the degree of the entries of a matrix \( D \) such that \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & D \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right) \) defines a graded map. With the notations from the previous proof, the entry \((i, j)\) of \( D \) should have the degree \( \alpha_i - \alpha'_{s+j} \). Put a zero entry where the degree is negative.

2) We make some linear transformations in order to simplify the entries of \( D \).

3) We impose the condition \( A'DB' = 0 \) in \( R \), that means all entries of \( D \) reduce to zero modulo \( f \). (often is necessary the computer)

4) We check if the obtained extension is non–zero, that means, do not exist matrices \( U \) and \( V \) such that \( D = AU + VB \).

Remark: Sometimes it is worthy to “inspire” from the result at third step.
Concrete examples can be found in the last section of this article. The reverse of theorem 1 is also true.

**Proposition 2** Let $S$, $R$, $f$, $L$ and $F$ as in 1. Let $D$ be a matrix with homogeneous entries in $S$ such that $A' \cdot D \cdot B' = 0$ in $R$. Then there exists a graded MCM module $E$ with a matrix factorization $(M, M')$, $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ and an extension $0 \to L \to E \to F \to 0$.

**PROOF.** Denote $s = \mu(L), t = \mu(F), r_1 = \text{rank}(L), r_2 = \text{rank}(F)$. We know that $AA' = A'A = Id_s$ and $BB' = B'B = Id_t$. The condition $A' \cdot D \cdot B' = 0$ modulo $(f)$ means that there exists the matrix $C$ such that $DB' = AC$ and $A'D = CB$. Then $(\begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}) \cdot (\begin{pmatrix} A' & -C \\ 0 & B' \end{pmatrix}) = f Id_{s+t}$ and $(\begin{pmatrix} A' & C \\ 0 & B' \end{pmatrix}) \cdot (\begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}) = f Id_{s+t}$. So, $\text{Coker } M$, for $M = (\begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix})$ is a MCM $R$–module. From the commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & R^s & \xrightarrow{i} & R^{s+t} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R^t & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow{A} & & \downarrow{M} & & \downarrow{B} & & \\
0 & \to & R^s & \xrightarrow{i} & R^{s+t} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R^t & \to & 0
\end{array}
\]

with $\pi$ the projection on the last $t$ components, using the Snake–Lemma, we get $0 \to L \to E \to F \to 0$.

As a direct corollary of Theorem 1, we give a description of the modules with stable sheafification on a projective curve with arithmetic genus 1.

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a rational curve. For a locally free sheaf on $Y$, $\mathcal{E}$, we define $\deg \mathcal{E}$ to be $\deg \mathcal{E} = \chi(\mathcal{E}) + (p_a(Y) - 1)\text{rank } (\mathcal{E})$.

The vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is called *stable* if for any torsion free quotient $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$, 

\[
\frac{\deg \mathcal{E}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} < \frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}.
\]

A graded MCM module over the affine cone of $Y$ is called *stable* if its sheafification is a stable vector bundle on $Y$.

Denote $S = k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ and let $F$ be the homogeneous polynomial defining the curve $Y$.

Let $M$ be a graded, indecomposable, locally free MCM module over the affine cone of $Y$ and $\mu$ the minimal number of generators of $M$. 

\[
\text{PROOF.}
\]
Consider \((A, A')\) a graded matrix factorization of \(F\) together with the map
\[
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu} R(\alpha_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu} R(\beta_i),
\]
corresponding to \(M\).

We denote with \(\mathcal{M}_{\mu \times \mu}^{\alpha, \beta}(S)\) the set of \(\mu \times \mu\) matrices with a homogeneous entry of degree \(\beta_i - \alpha_j\) on the position \((i, j)\), for all \(i, j = 1, \ldots, \mu\).

Set \(\mathcal{L}_A\) the vector space
\[
\mathcal{L}_A = \{D \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu \times \mu}^{\alpha, \beta}(S)| A'DA' = 0 \text{ modulo}(F)\}
\]
and define the following equivalence on it: two matrices \(D\) and \(D'\) from \(\mathcal{L}_A\) are equivalent \((D \sim D')\) iff there exist two quadratic matrices \(U\) and \(V\) such that \(D - D' = UA - AV\). Denote \(\mathcal{S}_A = \mathcal{L}_A/\sim\).

**Remark 3** If \((B, B')\) is another matrix factorization of \(M\), the vector spaces \(\mathcal{S}_A\) and \(\mathcal{S}_B\) are isomorphic.

Indeed, if \(U\) and \(V\) are the invertible matrices such that \(B = UAV\), we construct the vector spaces isomorphism: \(\theta : \mathcal{S}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_A, \theta(D) = U^{-1}DV^{-1}\).

**Theorem 4** Let \(Y \subset \mathbb{P}^2\) be a projective curve with arithmetic genus 1 and let \(M\) be a graded, indecomposable, locally free MCM module over the affine cone of \(Y\). The following statements are equivalent:

1. \(M\) is a stable module;
2. \(\dim \mathcal{S}_A = 1\) for \((A, A')\) a matrix factorization of \(M\);
3. \(\dim \mathcal{S}_A = 1\) for all \((A, A')\) matrix factorizations of \(M\).

**PROOF.** A vector bundle \(\mathcal{E}\) on a curve with arithmetic genus 1 is stable if and only if is simple, that means \(\dim(\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}))=1\) (see a proof in [B]). The theorem 1 implies that \(\dim(\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})) = \dim \mathcal{S}_A\) for \((A, A')\) a matrix factorization of \(M\), so the first two statements are equivalent. The previous remark implies the equivalence of the last two statements.

### 2 Rank one, graded, MCM modules

We know that the minimal number of generators of a rank one graded MCM \(R\)–modules is smaller equal to 3, the degree of \(f\). Therefore, they are two or three minimally generated.

The line bundles on the simple node \(Y = \text{Proj}R\) corresponding to the locally free modules are described in [DG] as \(\mathcal{B}(d, 1, \lambda)\) with \(\lambda \in k^*\) (\(\lambda\) run over all
regular points of the curve \( Y \) and \( d \) the degree of the bundle. The tensor product of two line bundles is given by:

\[
\mathcal{B}(d, 1, \lambda) \otimes \mathcal{B}(d', 1, \lambda') = \mathcal{B}(d + d', 1, \lambda \cdot \lambda').
\]

### 2.1 Two–generated graded MCM \( R \)-modules

Let \( s = (0 : 0 : 1) \) be the unique singular point of the curve \( V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^2_k \) and denote \( V(f)_{\text{reg}} = V(f)\setminus \{s\} \). Then \( V(f)_{\text{reg}} = \{ (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1), \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1^3 - \lambda_2^3 = 0, \lambda_1 \neq 0 \} \cup \{ (0 : 1 : 0) \} \).

For any \( \lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1) \) in \( V(f) \) denote:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\lambda} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3 & y_2 y_3 + \lambda_2 y_5^2 \\ y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3 & y_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + 1)y_1 y_3 + (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1)y_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\psi_{\lambda} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + 1)y_1 y_3 + (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1)y_3^2 & -(y_2 y_3 + \lambda_2 y_5^2) \\ - (y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3) & y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

If \( \lambda = (0 : 1 : 0) \) let be:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\lambda} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + y_3 & y_2 y_5^2 \\ y_3 & y_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\psi_{\lambda} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1^2 & - y_2^2 \\ - y_3 & y_1 y_3 + y_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

For any \( \lambda \in V(f)_{\text{reg}}, \) we consider also the following graded maps defined by the above matrices:

\( \psi_{\lambda} : R(-2)^2 \rightarrow R \oplus R(-1) \) and \( \varphi_{\lambda} : R(-2) \oplus R(-3) \rightarrow R(-1)^2. \)

Define \( \mathcal{M}_{-1} = \{ \text{Coker } \varphi_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in V(f)_{\text{reg}} \}, \mathcal{M}_1 = \{ \text{Coker } \psi_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in V(f)_{\text{reg}} \} \) and \( \mathcal{M} = \{ \text{Coker } \varphi_s, \text{Coker } \psi_s \}. \)

**Theorem 5** (1) For all \( \lambda \in V(f), (\varphi_{\lambda}, \psi_{\lambda}) \) is a matrix factorization of \( f; \)
(2) Every two–generated non-free graded MCM \( R \)-module is isomorphic, up to shifting, with one of the modules from \( \mathcal{M}_{-1} \cup \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M} \); 
(3) Every two different \( R \)-modules from \( \mathcal{M}_{-1} \cup \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M} \) are not isomorphic;
(4) All the modules from \( \mathcal{M}_{-1} \cup \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M} \) have rank 1;
(5) The modules from \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) are the syzygies and also the duals of the modules from \( \mathcal{M}_{-1}. \)

**PROOF.** (1) Since \( \varphi_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda} = \psi_{\lambda} \varphi_{\lambda} = f \cdot 1_2 \) for any \( \lambda \in V(f), \) the first statement is true.
(2) Let $M$ be a two-generated non-free graded MCM $R$-module and consider $(\varphi, \psi)$ a graded reduced matrix factorization of it, that means $\varphi \psi = \psi \varphi = f \cdot 1_2$ and $\det \varphi \cdot \det \psi = f^2$. Since $f$ is irreducible, we may consider $\det \varphi = \det \psi = f$, and so, $\psi$ is the adjoint of $\varphi$. Therefore, it is sufficient to find $\varphi = (\varphi_{11} \varphi_{12})$ such that $\det \varphi = f$ and $\varphi_{11}$ and $\varphi_{21}$ are two linearly independent linear forms.

Applying some elementary transformations on the matrix $\varphi$, we may suppose that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{11} &= y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{21} = y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in k \\
\varphi_{11} &= y_1 - \lambda y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{21} = y_3, \quad \lambda \in k.
\end{align*}
\]

Let us consider the first case, when $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & -\lambda_1 y_3 & \varphi_{12} \\ y_2 & -\lambda_2 y_3 & \varphi_{22} \end{pmatrix}$.

Notice that $(\det \varphi)(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1) = 0$. Therefore $\lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1)$ is a point on the curve $V(f)$. We want to show that $\varphi \sim \varphi_{\lambda}$.

For this, consider the product $\psi_{\lambda} \cdot \varphi$ that has the form $\psi_{\lambda} \cdot \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $g = (y_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + 1)y_1 y_3 + (\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1) y_3^2) \cdot \varphi_{12} - (y_2 y_3 + \lambda_2 y_3^2) \cdot \varphi_{22}$. Since $g \cdot (y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3) = \varphi_{12} \cdot f - (y_2 y_3 + \lambda_2 y_3^2) \cdot \det \varphi = f \cdot (\varphi_{12} - y_2 y_3 - \lambda_2 y_3^2)$ and $f$ is irreducible, we can write $g = f \cdot g_1$ with $g_1 \in k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$. Therefore, we have $\psi_{\lambda} \varphi = f \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Multiplying at left with $\psi_{\lambda}$, we obtain $\varphi = \varphi_{\lambda} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, that implies $\varphi \sim \varphi_{\lambda}$.

The second case ($\varphi_{11} = y_1 - \lambda y_2$ and $\varphi_{21} = y_3 ; \lambda \in k$) can be treated exactly as above, replacing $\psi_{\lambda}$ with $\psi_{\lambda_0}$, where $\lambda_0$ denotes the point $(0:1:0)$.

(3) Because of the degrees of the entries, no module from $\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \{\text{Coker } \psi_s\}$ is isomorphic with a module from $\mathcal{M}_{-1} \cup \{\text{Coker } \varphi_s\}$.

Since any two equivalent matrices have the same fitting ideals, for the rest, it is enough to consider the following fitting ideals:

- The modules from $\mathcal{M}_{-1} \cup \mathcal{M}_1$:
  
  $\text{Fitt}_1(\varphi_{\lambda}) = \text{Fitt}_1(\psi_{\lambda}) = \langle y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3, y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3, y_3^2 \rangle, \lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1) \in V(f)$
  
  $\text{Fitt}_1(\psi_{\lambda_0}) = \text{Fitt}_1(\psi_{\lambda_0}) = \langle y_1, y_3, y_3^2 \rangle, \lambda_0 = (0 : 1 : 0)$.

- The modules from $\mathcal{M}$: $\text{Fitt}_1(\varphi_s) = \text{Fitt}_1(\psi_s) = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$.

(4) Follows from Corollary 6.4, [Ei1].

(5) By construction, the modules of $\mathcal{M}_1$ are the syzygies of the modules of $\mathcal{M}_{-1}$. Since $\varphi_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi_{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\text{Coker } \psi_{\lambda} \cong (\text{Coker } \varphi_{\lambda})^\vee$.

**Theorem 6** Let $Y = \text{Proj } R$, that is the simple node singularity. Then:

1. The coherent sheaves associated to the modules from $\mathcal{M}_1$ give all the isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree 1 over $Y$;
(2) The coherent sheaves associated to the modules from $\mathcal{M}_{-1}$ give all the isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree -1 over $Y$.

(3) The coherent sheaves associated to the modules from $\mathcal{M}$ are not locally free.

**Proof.** (3) The only singular point of $V(f)$ is $(0 : 0 : 1)$. So, by [TJP](1.3.8), it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Fitt}_1(\psi_s)R_{(y_1,y_2)} \neq R_{(y_1,y_2)}$. Indeed, $\text{Fitt}_1(\psi_s)R_{(y_1,y_2)} = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle R_{(y_1,y_2)}$, so $\text{Coker} \psi_s$ and its dual, $\text{Coker} \varphi_s$ are non-locally free.

(1) Any line bundle of degree one on $Y$ has the form $\mathcal{O}_Y(P)$, with $P$ regular point of $Y$. Following the proof of Theorem 3.8 from ([LPP]), we obtain that the graded MCM $R$-module corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_Y(P)$ is a module from $\mathcal{M}_1$, for any regular point $P$ of $Y$.

(2) It follows from (5) and (1).

### 2.2 Three-generated graded MCM $R$-modules

For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1)$ in $V(f)$ let be:

$$\alpha_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_2 - \lambda y_3 & y_1 - \lambda y_3 \\
y_1 & y_2 + \lambda y_3 & (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1) y_3 \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - (\lambda_1 + 1) y_3
\end{pmatrix}$$

and $\beta_\lambda$ the adjoint of $\alpha_\lambda$.

Consider also the maps $\alpha_\lambda : R(-2)^3 \rightarrow R(-1)^3$, given by the matrices $\alpha_\lambda$.

**Theorem 7** For all $\lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1) \in V(f)$, $(\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda)$ is a matrix factorization of $f$ and the set of three-generated graded MCM $R$-modules

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \{ \text{Coker} \alpha_\lambda \mid \lambda \in V(f)_{\text{reg}} \setminus \{\lambda_0\} \}$$

has the following properties:

1. All the modules from $\mathcal{M}_0$ have rank 1.
2. Every two different modules from $\mathcal{M}_0$ are not isomorphic.
3. Every three-generated, rank 1, graded MCM $R$-module is isomorphic with one of the modules from $\mathcal{M}_0$ or to Coker $\alpha_s$.

**Proof.** Clearly $\alpha_\lambda \beta_\lambda = \beta_\lambda \alpha_\lambda = f \cdot 1_3$ for any $\lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1) \in V(f)$. 

(1) Since \( \det(\alpha \lambda) = f \), by Corollary 6.4 ([Ei1]), \( \text{Coker } \alpha \lambda \) has rank 1.

(2) Suppose that there exist two invertible matrices, \( U \) and \( V \), with entries in \( k \), such that \( U \alpha \lambda = \alpha \xi V \) for \( \lambda, \xi \in V(f) \). With the help of computer (we use \texttt{SINGULAR}) we obtain that \( \lambda = \xi \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LIB "matrix.lib"; option(redSB);} \\
\text{ring } r=0,(y(1..3),u(1..9),v(1..9),a,b,c,d),(c,dp); \\
\text{ideal } I=a3+a2-b2,c3+c2-d2; \\
\text{qring } Q=std(I); \\
\text{matrix } A[3][3]= 0, y(1)-a*y(3), y(2)-b*y(3), \\
\text{ } y(1), y(2)+b*y(3), (a2+a)*y(3), \\
\text{ } y(3), 0, -y(1)-(1+a)*y(3); \\
\text{matrix } B=subst(A,a,c,b,d); \\
\text{matrix } U[3][3]=u(1..9); \text{matrix } V[3][3]=v(1..9); \text{int } i; \\
\text{matrix } C=U*A-B*V; \\
\text{ideal } I=flatten(C); \\
\text{ideal } J=\text{ideal(det}(U)-1); \\
\text{for (i=1;i<=3;i++) } \\
\{ J=J+\text{transpose(coeffs}(I,y(i))[2]); \} \\
\text{ideal } L=\text{std}(J); \text{L; }
\]

The first two entries of the ideal \( L \) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
L[1]=b-d \\
L[2]=a-c
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore \( a = c \) and \( b = d \), that means \( \lambda = \xi \).

(3) Let be \( M \) a three-generated, rank one, graded MCM \( R \)-module and \( (\varphi, \psi) \) the corresponding graded reduced matrix factorization. We can suppose \( \det \varphi = f \) and \( \det \psi = f^2 \). So, all entries of \( \varphi \) have degree 1. Since \( f \in \langle y_1, y_3 \rangle \), by [Ei2], \( \varphi \) has generalized zeros. Thus after some elementary transformations,

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 & a & b \\ \varphi_4 & c & d \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}, \{\varphi_3, \varphi_4\} \) linearly independent.

As \( f \in \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \cap \langle \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \rangle \), we can suppose that \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_3 \) have non-zero coefficient of \( y_1 \). So, we can choose \( \varphi_i, i=1,4 \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_1 = y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3, \ & \varphi_2 = y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3 \text{ or } \varphi_1 = y_1 - \lambda y_2, \varphi_2 = y_3 \\
\varphi_3 = y_1 - \xi_1 y_2, \ & \varphi_4 = y_2 - \xi_2 y_3 \text{ or } \varphi_3 = y_1 - \xi y_2, \varphi_4 = y_3.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( \det \varphi = f \), the points \( (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1), (\xi_1 : \xi_2 : 1), (\lambda : 1 : 0), (\xi : 1 : 0) \) lay in \( V(f) \). Therefore \( \lambda = \xi = 0 \).

For any \( \lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1) \) in \( V(f) \), we write \( \varphi_{1\lambda} = y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3, \varphi_{2\lambda} = y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3 \) and for \( \lambda = (0 : 1 : 0) \) we write \( \varphi_{1\lambda} = y_1, \varphi_{2\lambda} = y_3 \). Then \( \varphi \) has the form:
$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \varphi_{1\lambda} & \varphi_{2\lambda} \\
\varphi_{1\xi} & a & b \\
\varphi_{2\xi} & c & d
\end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a, b, c, d \text{ linear forms.}
$$

Notice that, since $f \notin \langle y_1^2, y_1 y_3, y_3^2 \rangle$, it is not possible that $\lambda = \xi = (0 : 1 : 0)$. To finish the proof, we need two helping results:

**Lemma 8** Let $M$ be a three-generated, rank one, graded MCM $R$-module and $(\varphi, \psi)$ a matrix factorization of $M$, $\varphi$ having the above form. Then there exists $\lambda' \in V(f) \setminus \{(0 : 1 : 0)\}, a', b', c', d'$ linear forms such that the matrix

$$
\varphi' = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \varphi_{1\lambda'} & \varphi_{2\lambda'} \\
y_1 & a' & b' \\
y_3 & c' & d'
\end{pmatrix}
$$

together with its adjoint matrix $\psi'$ form another matrix factorization $(\varphi', \psi')$ of $M$.

**PROOF.** We have to prove that after some elementary transformation the matrix $\varphi$ will become $\varphi'$, that means, there exist two invertible $3 \times 3$ matrices $U$ and $V$, with entries in $k$, such that $U \varphi' = \varphi V$. For this, it is sufficient to prove that there exist two invertible $3 \times 3$ matrices $U, V$ such that the first column of $U^{-1} \varphi V$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 \\ y_3 & \end{pmatrix}$.

Considering $U = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$ and $V = (v_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$, the above condition lead to the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{1\lambda} v_{21} + \varphi_{2\lambda} v_{31} &= y_1 u_{12} + y_3 u_{13} \\
\varphi_{1\xi} v_{11} + a v_{21} + b v_{31} &= y_1 u_{22} + y_3 u_{23} \\
\varphi_{2\xi} v_{11} + c v_{21} + d v_{31} &= y_1 u_{32} + y_3 u_{33}.
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, $\varphi(0, 1, 0) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{21} \\ v_{31} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $\det(\varphi(0, 1, 0)) = f(0, 1, 0) = 0$, we may choose a non-zero solution $(v_{11}, v_{21}, v_{31})$ which can be completed to an invertible matrix $V$ and such that also the corresponding $(u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{32}, u_{33})$ can be completed to an invertible matrix $U$.

**Lemma 9** Let $M$ be a three-generated, rank one, graded MCM $R$-module and
(\varphi, \psi) \text{ a matrix factorization of } M, \varphi \text{ having the form:}

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \varphi_{1\lambda} & \varphi_{2\lambda} \\
y_1 & a & b \\
y_3 & c & d
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(a, b, c, d\) are linear forms. Then \((\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda)\) is another matrix factorization of \(M\).

**PROOF.**
We make some elementary transformations to simplify the entries \(a, b\) and \(c\).
First, we eliminate the variable \(y_1\) using the first column and the first line. Since \(\lambda \neq (0 : 1 : 0)\), using the first line we can eliminate the variable \(y_21\) from \(b\). To "kill" the new \(y_1\) in \(a\), we subtract the first column from the second one. Therefore, instead of \(b\) we can write \(by_3\) with \(b \in k\).

Consider the following polynomials (2-minors of \(\alpha_\lambda\) and \(\varphi\)):

\[
\gamma = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & by_3 \\ y_3 & d \end{vmatrix}, \quad \delta = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & a \\ y_3 & c \end{vmatrix}, \quad \check{\gamma} = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1)y_3 \\ y_3 & -y_1 - (\lambda_1 + 1)y_3 \end{vmatrix}, \quad \check{\delta} = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_2 + \lambda_2y_3 \\ y_3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}.
\]

Since \(\det \varphi = \det \alpha_\lambda = f\), it holds the equality: \(\varphi_{1\lambda}(\check{\gamma} - \gamma) = \varphi_{2\lambda}(\check{\delta} - \delta).\) (*)

So \(\varphi_{1\lambda} | \check{\delta} - \delta\). But \(\check{\delta} - \delta = -c(y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3) - y_3(y_2 + \lambda_2 y_3 + \lambda_1 c - a)\) and \(a, c \in \langle y_2, y_3 \rangle_k\). Therefore, \(a = y_2 + \lambda_2 y_3 + \lambda_1 c\) and \(\check{\delta} - \delta = -c\varphi_{1\lambda}\). Replacing \(\check{\delta} - \delta\) in (*) we get \(\check{\gamma} - \gamma = -c(y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3)\). But \(\check{\gamma} - \gamma = y_1(-y_1 - (\lambda_1 + 1)y_3) - d - y_3^2(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1 - b)\) and \(c \in \langle y_2, y_3 \rangle_k\). Therefore \(d = -y_1 - (\lambda_1 + 1)y_3, b = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1\) and \(c = 0\). This shows that \(\varphi \sim \alpha_\lambda\).

Using Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 the proof of the theorem 7 is finished.

**Theorem 10** Let \(Y\) be the projective cone over \(R\).

1. The coherent sheaves associated to the modules from \(\mathcal{M}_0\) give all the isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree 0 over \(Y\);
2. The coherent sheaf associated to \(\operatorname{Coker} \alpha_\epsilon\) is not locally free. Together with the sheafifications of the modules from \(\mathcal{M}\) give all the isomorphism classes of rank one, non-locally free aCM sheaves.

**PROOF.** By computing \(\operatorname{Fitt}_2(\alpha_\lambda)R_{(y_1, y_2)}\) we find that \(\operatorname{Coker} \alpha_\lambda\) is locally free if and only if \(\lambda\) is a regular point of \(Y\). In the previous subsection we have proved that the modules from \(\mathcal{M}_{-1}\) and \(\mathcal{M}_1\) have degree \(-1\), respectively 1. After some shiftings, they give all line bundles of degree \(3t - 1\) and \(3t + 1\), with
$t \in \mathbb{Z}$. The remaining rank one graded MCM $R$-modules (from $\mathcal{M}_0$), define the line bundles of degree $3t$.

1) We prove first that the sheafification of Coker $\alpha_\xi$ has degree 0, where $\xi = (-1 : 0 : 1)$. For this, we compute $(\operatorname{Coker} \alpha_\xi \otimes \operatorname{Coker} \alpha_\xi)^\vee$, using the computer. The following two procedures were used in [LPP].

```plaintext
LIB "matrix.lib"; option(redSB);

proc reflexivHull(matrix M)
{ module N=mres(transpose(M),3)[3];
  N=prune(transpose(N));
  return(matrix(N));}

proc tensorCM(matrix Phi, matrix Psi)
{ int s=nrows(Phi); int q=nrows(Psi);
  matrix A=tensor(unitmat(s),Psi);
  matrix B=tensor(Phi,unitmat(q));
  matrix R=concat(A,B);
  return(reflexivHull(R));}

ring R1=0,(y(1..3)),(c,dp);
ideal i=y(1)^3+y(1)^2*y(3)-y(2)^2*y(3);
qring S1=std(i);
matrix M[3][3]= 0, y(1)+y(3), y(2),
                y(1), y(2), 0,
                y(3), 0, -y(1);
tensorCM(M,M);
_[1,1]=0
```

This means that Coker $\alpha_\xi$ is a self dual module, so the matrix $\alpha_\xi$ corresponds to $\mathcal{B}(0,1,-1)$. The graded map $\alpha_\xi : R(-2)^3 \rightarrow R(-1)^3$, should have therefore the degree of the form $3t$. From the graded exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\operatorname{Coker} \alpha_\xi)^\vee \rightarrow R(1)^3 \rightarrow R(2)^3 \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker} \alpha_\xi \rightarrow 0$$

we see that the degree of Coker $\alpha_\xi$ is $9 - 3t$. But there exists a graded isomorphism between Coker $\alpha_\xi$ and Coker $\alpha_\xi \otimes R(-3)$. So, $(9 - 3t) - 9 = 3t$, that means $t = 0$.

2) Let us now consider Coker $\alpha_\lambda$, with $\lambda = (a : b : 1)$ an arbitrary regular point on the nodal curve. Its sheafification has degree of the form $3t$.

Consider also the module Coker $\psi_\xi$, with the corresponding graded map $\psi_\xi : R(-2)^2 \rightarrow R \oplus R(-1)$. As we have seen in the previous subsection, this module has the degree 1. The bundle corresponding to Coker $\psi_\xi$ has the form $\mathcal{B}(1,1,\mu)$, the one corresponding to Coker $\alpha_\lambda$ has the form $\mathcal{B}(3t,1,\mu')$. 
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By Serre duality, for any two vector bundles $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}$ on the simple node,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) = \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = H^1(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

So, $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{B}(1, 1, \mu), \mathcal{B}(3t, 1, \mu')) = H^1(\mathcal{B}(-1, 1, \mu^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(3t, 1, \mu')) = H^1(\mathcal{B}(3t - 1, 1, \mu^{-1}\mu'))$. But $\dim_k(H^1(\mathcal{B}(3t - 1, 1, \mu^{-1}\mu')) = 1$ iff $3t - 1 = -1$, that means $t = 0$ ([B], Kapitel 3, 4.1).

We prove that $\dim_k(\text{Ext}^1(\text{Coker \, } \psi_\xi, \text{Coker \, } \alpha_\lambda)) = 1$, for any $\lambda = (a : b : 1)$ regular point of the simple node. With this we are done.

According to the theorem 1, a module $M$ with a graded extension

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker \, } \alpha_\lambda \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Coker \, } \psi_\xi \rightarrow 0,$$

is the cokernel of a graded map $T : R^5(-2) \rightarrow R^3(-1) \oplus R \oplus R(-1)$, given by a square $5 \times 5$ matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_\lambda & D \\ 0 & \psi_\xi \end{pmatrix}$. $D$ is a $3 \times 2$ matrix with linear entries, of the form $D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \\ d_5 & d_6 \end{pmatrix}$, such that $\beta_\lambda D \varphi_\xi = 0$ in $R$.

We make some linear transformations on $T$, in order to obtain a simple form of $D$. First of all, we can eliminate the variable $y_1$ in all entries of $D$, by subtracting one of the first three columns multiplied with some constant. By subtracting the last line from the first one we eliminate the variable $y_2$ in the entry $d_1$. We add in this way $y_1$ to the entry $d_2$, but we can ”kill” it using the second column. In the same way, using the last line and the third column of $T$, we eliminate $y_2$ also in the entry $d_5$. By subtracting the first column from the last one, we eliminate the variable $y_3$ in $d_6$. We ”kill” the new $y_1$ in the entry $d_4$ using the last line.

Let us now study the relation $\beta_\lambda D \varphi_\xi = 0$ in $R$. For simplicity, we use for this the computer.

The procedure context returns the ideal given by the coefficients of $y_1$ in the entries of a matrix, after it reduces the entries to the polynomial $y_1^3 + y_2^2y_3$. This procedure will be used also in the last section.

LIB"matrix.lib"; LIB"homolog.lib"; LIB"linalg.lib";

proc simple(ideal P)
{ int j,i; poly F;
 list L=0;
 for(j=1;j<=size(P);j++)
 { L=factorize(P[j]);
   if(size(L[1])>2)
   {F=1;
    for (i=2;i<=size(L[1]);i++)
    {if (L[1][i]==y(2) or L[1][i]==y(3))
     { L[1][i]=1;}
    }
\[ F = F \cdot L[1][i] \cdot (L[2][i]); \]
\[ P[j] = F; \]
return(P);}

proc condext(matrix A,B,D)
{ matrix Aa=adjoint(A); matrix Ba=adjoint(B); matrix G=Aa*D*Ba;
  ideal g=flatten(G);
  matrix V; int k,j; ideal P=0; list L=0;
  for(j=1;j<size(G);j++)
  { g[j]=reduce(g[j],std(y(1)^3+y(1)^2*y(3)-y(2)^2*y(3)));
    V=coef(g[j],y(1));
    for(k=1;k<=1/2*size(V);k++)
      { P=P+V[2,k];}
  }
P=interred(P); P=simple(P);
return(P);}

We define the ring \( R \) and the matrices \( \psi, \xi, \phi, \xi, \alpha, \lambda \).

\[
\text{ring } R=0,(y(1..3),d(1..6),a,b),(c,dp(3),dp(6),dp(2));
\]
\[
\text{ideal } i=y(1)^3+y(1)^2*y(3)-y(2)^2*y(3), a3+a2-b2;
\]
\[
\text{qring } S=\text{std}(i); \text{ ideal } P;
\]
\[
\text{matrix } psi[2][2]= \begin{bmatrix} y(1)^2 & -y(2)*y(3) \\ -y(2) & y(1)+y(3) \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\text{matrix } phi[2][2]= \begin{bmatrix} y(1)+y(3) & y(2)*y(3) \\ y(2) & y(1)^2 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\text{matrix } A[3][3]=0,y(1)-a*y(3), y(2)-b*y(3),
\]
\[
y(1),y(2)+b*y(3), \quad (a2+a)*y(3),
\]
\[
y(3), \quad 0,-y(1)-(a+1)*y(3);
\]

We define the matrix \( D \) and put the condition \( \beta_\lambda D \phi_\xi = 0. \)

\[
\text{matrix } D[3][2]=d(1..6);
\]
\[
P=\text{condext}(A,psi,D); P;
\]
\[
P[1]=y(2)*d(6)-y(3)*d(3)-y(3)*d(5)*a
\]
\[
P[2]=y(2)*d(2)+y(2)*d(5)+y(3)*d(1)*a+y(3)*d(1)-y(3)*d(5)*b
\]
\[
P[3]=y(2)*d(1)-y(2)*d(4)+y(3)*d(1)*b-y(3)*d(3)-y(3)*d(5)*a^2-
\]
\[
-y(3)*d(5)*a
\]
\[
P[4]=d(1)*b-d(2)*a^2-d(4)*b-d(5)*a^2-d(6)*b
\]
\[
P[5]=-d(1)*a-d(1)+d(2)*b+d(4)*a+d(4)*d(5)*b+d(6)*a+d(6)
\]

From \( P[1] \), we obtain \( y_3|d_6 \), but we have already eliminated the variable \( y_3 \) in \( d_6 \), so we can suppose that \( d_6 = 0 \). Under this condition, \( P[1] \) implies that \( d_3 = -ad_5 \).

From \( P[2] \) we obtain that \( y_2|d_1(a+1)-d_5b \), but \( d_1 \) and \( d_5 \) have no \( y_2 \). Therefore, \( d_1(a+1) - d_5b = d_2 + d_5 = 0 \). Since \( a \neq 0 \), \( P[5] \) implies that \( d_4 = d_1 \) and
P[4] implies $d_5 = d_1 b/a^2$. Since $d_1$ has the form $d_1 = a_1 y_3$, with $a_1 \in k$ and we want a nonzero extension, we can choose $a_1 = a^2$. The matrix $T$ becomes:

$T = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 - a y_3 & y_2 - b y_3 & a^2 y_3 & - b y_3 \\
y_1 & y_2 + b y_3 & (a^2 + a) y_3 & - b y_3 & a^2 y_3 \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - (a + 1) y_3 & b y_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1^2 & - y_2 y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & - y_2 & y_1 + y_3
\end{pmatrix}.$

Since there are no matrices $U, V$ such that $D = \alpha \lambda U + V \psi_\xi$ the extension defined by $T$ is nonzero. This proves that $\dim_k(\Ext^1(\Coker \psi_\xi, \Coker \alpha \lambda)) = 1$, and with this we are done.

**Corollary 11** Every three-generated, rank 2, indecomposable, graded MCM R-module is isomorphic to one of the modules $\Coker \beta_{\lambda}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1)$ a point on $V(f)$.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be a three-generated, rank two, indecomposable, graded MCM $R$-module and $(\varphi, \psi)$ a matrix factorization of $M$. Then $\Coker \psi$ is a three-generated, rank one, graded MCM $R$-module. Therefore, it is isomorphic to one of the modules from $\mathcal{M}_0 \cup \{\Coker \alpha \lambda\}$ and $\Coker \varphi$ is isomorphic to one of the modules from $\{\Coker \beta_{\lambda}\lambda = (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1), \lambda \in V(f)\}$.

We present a short overview over the results concerning the rank one graded MCM $R$–modules:

The rank one graded MCM $R$-modules corresponding to the line bundles on $Y$ are given by the cokernel of some graded maps defined by the following matrices, with $(\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1)$ a regular point on $Y$:

$\begin{pmatrix}
y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3 & y_2 y_3 + \lambda_2 y_3^2 \\
y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3 & y_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + 1) y_1 y_3 + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1) y_3^2
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 + y_3 & y_2^2 \\
y_3 & y_1^2
\end{pmatrix},$

$\begin{pmatrix}
y_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + 1) y_1 y_3 + (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1) y_3^2 & - y_2 y_3 - \lambda_2 y_3^2 \\
-(y_2 - \lambda_2 y_3) & y_1 - \lambda_1 y_3
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
y_1^2 & -y_2^2 \\
-y_3 & y_1 + y_3
\end{pmatrix}.$
The rank one graded MCM $R$-modules corresponding to the non-locally free aCM sheaves are given by the following set of matrices:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 y_3 \\ y_2 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 \\ y_2 y_1 + y_1 y_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 - y_2 y_3 \\ y_2 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 & y_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 & 0 \\ y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$  

3 Rank two, graded, MCM modules

In the following there are described all isomorphism classes of rank two, indecomposable MCM modules over $R = k[y_1, y_2, y_3]/(f), f = y_3^3 + y_1^2 y_3 - y_2^2 y_3$.

In the case of locally free MCM modules, we use the classification of vector bundles over the projective cone $Y = \text{Proj} R$ (see [DG]).

The matrix factorizations of the non–locally free, rank two, MCM modules are computed using Proposition 1.

3.1 The classification of rank two locally free MCM $R$–modules

There are two types of rank two, indecomposable, vector bundles on $\text{Proj} R$:

- $\mathcal{B}(a, 2, \lambda)$, with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in k^*$
- $\mathcal{B}(d, 1, \lambda)$, with $d$ a 2-cycle with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in k^* ($d = (a, b), a ≠ b$).

To generate the first type of rank two vector bundles it is sufficient to know the bundle $\mathcal{B}(0, 2, 1)$ and the line bundles, because, for any $\lambda \in k^*$,

$$\mathcal{B}(a, 2, \lambda) \cong \mathcal{B}(a, 1, \lambda) \otimes \mathcal{B}(0, 2, 1).$$

The fact that the bundle $\mathcal{B}(0, 2, 1)$ is uniquely determined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(0, 2, 1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

provide a way to determine the graded MCM $R$-module corresponding to it. Using the matrix factorizations of the rank 1 MCM modules and a SINGULAR–procedure that computes the tensor product of two locally free MCM modules, one can construct matrix factorizations for all bundles $\mathcal{B}(a, 2, \lambda)$.
The second type of rank two vector bundles can be generated by the bundles $\mathcal{B}((0, n), 1, \lambda)$ and the line bundles, using the tensor product formula: $\mathcal{B}((a, b), 1, \lambda) \otimes \mathcal{B}(1, \mu) \cong \mathcal{B}((a+c, b+c), 1, \lambda \mu^2)$.

For $d = (a, b)$ and $e = (c, d)$ two 2-cycles with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$, we have:

$$\mathcal{B}(d, 1, \lambda) \otimes \mathcal{B}(e, 1, \mu) \cong \mathcal{B}(f_1, 1, \lambda \cdot \mu) \oplus \mathcal{B}(f_2, 1, \lambda \cdot \mu),$$

where $f_1 = (a+c, b+d)$ and $f_2 = (a+d, b+c)$. If $f_i = (\alpha, \alpha) (i = 1 \text{ or } 2)$, then $\mathcal{B}(f_i, 1, \lambda \cdot \mu)$ splits as: $\mathcal{B}(f_i, 1, \lambda \cdot \mu) = \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 1, \sqrt{\lambda \cdot \mu}) \oplus \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 1, -\sqrt{\lambda \cdot \mu})$.

Therefore, inductively, we can obtain all $\mathcal{B}((0, n), 1, \lambda)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, if we know the bundles $\mathcal{B}((0, 1), 1, \lambda)$. By duality, $(\mathcal{B}(d, 1, \lambda)^\vee \cong \mathcal{B}(-d, 1, 1^{-1}))$ we obtain also $\mathcal{B}((0, n), 1, \lambda)$ with $n$ negative integer.

The bundles $\mathcal{B}((0, 1), 1, \lambda)$ are uniquely determined by the existence of the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{B}((0, 1), 1, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(1, 1, -\lambda) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Using this we can compute the graded MCM $R$-modules corresponding to them. So, inductively, one can obtain all rank two graded indecomposable locally free MCM $R$-modules.

In the sequel we determine the module $M_2$ corresponding to $\mathcal{B}(0, 2, 1)$.

**Lemma 12** Let be $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & -y_2 & -y_3 & 0 \\ -y_2 y_3 & y_1 & 0 & -y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & 0 \\ y_2 y_3 y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & 0 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & -y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -y_2 y_3 & y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & y_2 y_3 y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$. Then $(\psi, \varphi)$ is a matrix factorization of $\Omega^1_R(m)$, where $m$ is the unique graded maximal ideal of $R$, $m = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$. More, the following exact sequence

$$\xrightarrow{\psi} R(-3) \oplus R(-2)^3 \xrightarrow{\rho} R(-1)^3 \xrightarrow{(y_1 y_2 y_3)} m \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

is a graded minimal free resolution of $m$. In particular, $\Omega^1_R(m)$ has no free summands.
PROOF. Clearly $\varphi \psi = \psi \varphi = f \cdot 1_4$ and the above sequence is a complex. Let $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in R$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^3 y_i u_i = 0$. We show that $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix}$ is an element of $\text{Im} \rho$. Subtracting multiples of the second and third columns of $\rho$ from $u$ we may suppose that $u_1$ depends only on $y_1$. As the maps are graded, we may suppose that $u$ is graded, so $u_1 = ay_1^k, a \in k, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $a \neq 0$, $s + 1 \geq 3$, so, subtracting from $u$ multiples of $\begin{pmatrix} y_1^2 \\ -y_2 y_3 \\ y_1^3 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Im} \rho$, we reduce to the case $u_1 = 0$. Then $y_2 u_2 + y_3 u_3 = 0$ and, since $\{y_2, y_3\}$ is a regular sequence in $R$, $u$ is a multiple of the fourth column of $\rho$.

To show that $\text{Ker} \rho \subset \text{Im} \psi$, it is enough to prove that $\text{Ker} \rho \subset \text{Ker} \varphi$.

Denote by $\rho_3$ the third row of $\rho$ and choose $\nu$ an element of $\text{Ker} \rho$. Since $y_1 \gamma = y_2 y_3 \rho_3$ and $\rho_3 \nu = 0$ we get $\gamma \nu = 0$ and $\nu$ is an element of $\text{Ker} \varphi$.

Because no entry of $\varphi$ or $\psi$ is unite, $\Omega^1_R(m)$ has no free summands.

**Proposition 13** There exists a graded exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{i} \Omega^2_R(m) \otimes R(3) \xrightarrow{\pi} m \rightarrow 0$$

and $\Omega^2_R(m) \otimes R(3)$ corresponds to the bundle $B(0, 2, 1)$.

**PROOF.** 1) We prove the existence of the exact sequence (4).

Define the map $i : R \rightarrow \Omega^2_R(m) \otimes R(3)$ by $i(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y_3 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ (it is the fourth column of $\psi$) and let $\pi : \Omega^2_R(m) \otimes R(3) \rightarrow m$ be the projection on the first component. Since $\Omega^2_R(m) \otimes R(3) = \text{Im} \psi \otimes R(3) \subset R \oplus R(1)^3$, $i$ and $\pi$ are graded morphisms. Clearly, $i$ is injective, $\pi$ is surjective and $\text{Im} i \subset \text{Ker} \pi$. We prove that $\text{Ker} \pi \subset \text{Im} i$.

Let $v = \psi \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$ be an element in $\text{Ker} \pi$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Im} \rho$.

Denote by $\psi'$ the $4 \times 3$ matrix obtained from $\psi$ by eliminating the last column. Then $v = \psi' \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y_3 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Since the columns of $\psi' \rho$ are in $R \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y_3 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $v$ is in $\text{Im} i$.

2) We prove the indecomposability of the $R$-module $M_2 = \Omega^2_R(m) \otimes R(3)$.

If it would decompose, it would be isomorphic to $\text{Coker} \theta$, with $\theta$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$, $A$ and $B$ quadratic matrices with determinant equal to $f$. They define rank 1, graded MCM $R$-modules, so, they are equivalent to one of the matrices $\{\varphi \lambda, \psi \lambda \mid \lambda \in \text{V}(f)\}$. Since $\theta \sim \varphi$, $\text{Fitt}_2(\theta) = \text{Fitt}_2(\varphi) = m^2$.

But the elements of degree 2 from $\text{Fitt}_2(\theta)$ are given just by $l^A_1 \cdot l^B_1, l^A_1 \cdot l^B_2, l^A_2 \cdot l^B_1, l^A_2 \cdot l^B_2$ where $l^A_1, l^A_2$ respectively $l^B_1, l^B_2$ are the entries of $A$ and $B$ of degree 1. The ideal generated by them can not be $m^2$, that is minimally generated by 6 elements. Therefore, $M_2$ is indecomposable.
3) To prove that $M_2$ is locally free, it is sufficient to notice that $\text{Fitt}_2(\varphi) R_{(y_1,y_2)} = R_{(y_1,y_2)}$ and $\text{Fitt}_3(\varphi) = 0$.

4) From the exact sequence (4) we get the following exact sequence of vector bundles on $Y = \text{Proj} R$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0.$$ 

$\widetilde{M}_2$ is an indecomposable vector bundle of rank 2, so it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(0,2,1)$.

**Theorem 14** The rank two vector bundles of type $\mathcal{B}(a,2,\lambda)$, with $\lambda \in k^*$ and $a$ an integer, are sheafification of the $R$-modules $(M_2 \otimes L)^\vee \otimes R(k)$, with $L$ in $\mathcal{M}_0 \cup \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_{-1}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. More, the modules corresponding to

$$\begin{cases} 
\mathcal{B}(0,2,\lambda), \lambda \neq 1, & \text{have 6 generators;} \\
\mathcal{B}(-1,2,\lambda), & \text{have 4 generators;} \\
\mathcal{B}(1,2,\lambda), & \text{have 4 generators.}
\end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** The first statement follows directly from the previous proposition.

The modules corresponding to the bundles $\mathcal{B}(0,2,\lambda)$ are $(M_2 \otimes \text{Coker} \alpha_\lambda)^\vee$ and we can compute their matrix factorizations using the computer.

```plaintext
proc M2(ideal I) 
{ matrix A=syz(transpose(mres(I,3)[3]));
  return(transpose(A));}

setring S1;
ideal I=maxideal(1); matrix C=M2(I);
ring R2=0,(y(1..3),a,b),(c,dp);
ideal I=y(1)^3+y(1)^2*y(3)-y(2)^2*y(3),a3+a2-b2;
qring S2=std(I);
matrix A[3][3]= 0, y(1)-a*y(3), y(2)-b*y(3),
y(1), y(2)+b*y(3), (a2+a)*y(3),
y(3), 0, -y(1)-(a+1)*y(3);
matrix C=imap(S1,C);

We compute the dimension of the matrix corresponding to $(M_2 \otimes \text{Coker} \alpha_\lambda)^\vee$.

nrows(tensorCM(C,A));
```

$\text{tensorCM}$ is defined in the previous section 6.
The same, the modules corresponding to $B(-1, 2, \lambda)$ are $(M_2 \otimes \text{Coker} \varphi_\lambda)^\vee$. We compute the size of the matrix corresponding to this module.

\[
\text{matrix } B[2][2] = y(1) - a*y(3), y(2)*y(3) + b*y(3)^2 , \\
y(2) - b*y(3), y(1)^2 + (a+1)*y(1)*y(3) + (a^2+a)*y(3)^2;
\]

\[
\text{nrows(tensorCM(C,B));}
\]

\[
4
\]

\[
B= y(1)+y(3) , y(2)^2 , \\
y(3) , y(1)^2;
\]

\[
\text{nrows(tensorCM(C,B));}
\]

\[
4
\]

By duality, it follows also the last statement.

Let $\xi = (-1 : 0 : 1)$ and $\lambda_0 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ two regular points on $Y$. We consider the graded maps $\psi_\xi : R(-2)^2 \to R \oplus R(-1)$ and $\alpha_\lambda : R(-2)^3 \to R(-1)^3$, given by the matrices with the same name. (see the previous section)

**Lemma 15** If we denote by $\mu_0$ the regular point on the nodal curve $Y$ such that $\widetilde{\text{Coker}} \psi_\xi \cong B(1, 1, \mu_0)$, then $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) = B(3, 1, -\mu_0^3)$ and the line bundle $B(1, 1, -\mu_0)$ is given by $\text{Coker} \psi_{\lambda_0}$.

**PROOF.** By 6, the degree of $\text{Coker} \psi_{\lambda_0}$ is 1. We denote $\widetilde{\text{Coker}} \psi_{\lambda_0}$ by $B(1, 1, \theta)$. Let us compute, the tensor product $(\text{Coker} \alpha_\xi \otimes \text{Coker} \psi_\xi)^\vee$.

\[
\text{matrix } N[2][2] = y(1)^2, -y(2)*y(3), \\
y(2) , y(1) - y(3);\]

\[
\text{matrix } L = \text{tensorCM}(M,N);
\]

\[
\text{print(L);}
\]

\[
y(1), -y(3), \\
y(2)^2, -y(1)^2+y(1)*y(3)
\]

After some elementary transformations, the matrix $L$ becomes $\psi_{\lambda_0}$, so we have obtained that $(\text{Coker} \alpha_\xi \otimes \text{Coker} \psi_\xi)^\vee \cong \text{Coker} \psi_{\lambda_0}$. By sheafification, it becomes $B(0, 1, -1) \otimes B(1, 1, \theta) \cong B(1, 1, -\mu_0)$, therefore $\theta = \mu_0$.

Let us now compute the reflexive hull of $\text{Coker} \psi_{\lambda_0} \otimes \text{Coker} \psi_{\lambda_0} \otimes \text{Coker} \psi_{\lambda_0}$.

\[
\text{matrix } L[2][2] = y(1)^2, -(y(1)^2+y(2)^2), \\
y(2)^2, -y(1)^2+y(1)*y(3);\]

\[
\text{tensorCM}(L, \text{tensorCM}(L,L));
\]

\[
_{{[1,1]}=0}
\]
This means that \((\text{Coker } \psi_{\lambda_0} \otimes \text{Coker } \psi_{\lambda_0} \otimes \text{Coker } \psi_{\lambda_0})^\vee \cong R\), so the line bundle \(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)\) is \(B(3, 1, -\mu_3^3)\).

Let us now determine the graded MCM modules corresponding to the bundles \(B((0, 1), 1, \lambda)\) with \(\lambda \in k^*\). Consider the module \(\Omega_R^1(M_2) = \text{Coker } \psi\), where \(\psi : R(-4)^3 \oplus R(-3) \to R(-3) \oplus R(-2)^3\) is given as in lemma 12.

**Lemma 16** Consider \(\lambda\) a regular point on the curve.

(1) \((\Omega_R^1(M_2) \otimes \text{Coker } \alpha_{\lambda})^\vee\) has

\[
\begin{cases}
4 \text{ generators, if } \lambda = (1 : 0 : 1); \\
3 \text{ generators, otherwise;}
\end{cases}
\]

(2) \((\Omega_R^1(M_2) \otimes \text{Coker } \varphi_{\lambda})^\vee\) has 5 generators;

(3) \((\Omega_R^1(M_2) \otimes \text{Coker } \psi_{\lambda})^\vee\) has 5 generators;

**PROOF.** We define the module \(\Omega_R^1(M_2)\) by:

\[
\text{matrix } D = \text{transpose(syz}(C));
\]

and use the procedure \text{tensorCM} as before.

We compute the matrix corresponding to the MCM module \((\Omega_R^1(M_2) \otimes \text{Coker } \varphi_{\xi})^\vee\).

\[
\text{matrix } D = \text{transpose(syz}(C));
\]

\[
\text{matrix } B[2][2] = y(1) + y(3), \ y(2) \ast y(3), \ y(2), \ y(1) \ast 2;
\]

\[
\text{matrix } A = \text{tensorCM}(D, B);
\]

After some linear transformations, the matrix \(A\) it becomes:

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{\xi} & y_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -y_3 & 0 \\
0 & \psi_{\xi} & y_1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Observation: This matrix is linear equivalent to the matrix $T$ obtained in the proof of Theorem 10, for $a = -1$ and $b = 0$.

**Proposition 17**  
(1) The graded module corresponding to $B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0)$ is $(\Omega^1_R(M_2) \otimes \text{Coker} \varphi_\xi)^{\vee \vee} \otimes R(2)$.

(2) $\Omega^1_R(M_2) = B((-4, -5), 1, \mu_0^{-9})$.

**PROOF.** (1) Since $\beta_\xi \left( \begin{array}{c} y_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ y_3 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \varphi_\xi = 0$ in $R$, by 2, there exists the graded extension

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker} \alpha_\xi \rightarrow \text{Coker} A \rightarrow \text{Coker} \psi_\xi \rightarrow 0.$$ 

By sheafification, it becomes

$$0 \rightarrow B(0, 1, -1) \rightarrow B \rightarrow B(1, 1, \mu_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\widetilde{B}$ is $\text{Coker} A$. We tensorise it with the locally free sheaf $B(0, 1, -1)$ and we get:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow B \otimes B(0, 1, -1) \rightarrow B(1, 1, -\mu_0) \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Since $B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0)$ is uniquely determined by the existence of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0) \rightarrow B(1, 1, -\mu_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

the vector bundle $B \otimes B(0, 1, -1)$ is isomorphic to $B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0)$. But this means that $B$ is isomorphic to $B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0)$.

Consider the map $\varphi_\xi : R(-2) \oplus R(-3) \rightarrow R(-1)^2$ as in the subsection 1.1, such that the degree of $\text{Coker} \varphi_\xi$ is -1.

From the exact sequence (3) we see that $\deg(\Omega^1_R(M_2)) = -9$. Therefore the $R$-module corresponding to $B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0)$ is $(\Omega^1_R(M_2) \otimes \text{Coker} \varphi_\xi)^{\vee \vee} \otimes R(2)$. It is the cokernel of the graded map $A : R(-2)^5 \rightarrow R(-1)^3 \oplus R \oplus R(-1)$, defined by the matrix $A$ (see above).

(2) The previous statement implies that

$$\Omega^1_R(M_2) = B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0) \otimes (\text{Coker} \varphi_\xi)^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-2).$$

Using 5 and 6 we obtain that $(\text{Coker} \varphi_\xi)^{\vee} = \text{Coker} \psi_\xi = B(1, 1, \mu_0)$. Therefore

$$\Omega^1_R(M_2) = B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0) \otimes B(1, 1, \mu_0) \otimes B(-6, 1, \mu_0^{-6}) = B((0, 1), 1, \mu_0) \otimes B(-5, 1, \mu_0^{-5}) = B((-5, -4), 1, \mu_0^{-9})$$. 
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3.2 The classification of non–locally free, rank 2, MCM R–modules

It is known that on a smooth curve any vector bundle of rank \( r \geq 2 \), say \( \mathcal{E} \), fits in an extension
\[
0 \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0
\]
where \( \mathcal{F} \) is a vector bundle of rank \( r - 1 \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) is a line bundle.

Over an isolated curve singularity, any coherent sheaf \( \mathcal{C} \) of rank \( r \) has an extension of type
\[
0 \to \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_2 \to 0
\]
where \( \mathcal{C}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{C}_2 \) are coherent sheaves of rank 1, respectively \( r - 1 \). It is possible that \( \mathcal{C}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{C}_2 \) are non–locally free but \( \mathcal{C} \) is vector bundle.

The theorem 1 describe the extensions of graded MCM modules over a hypersurface ring. It gives us an algorithm to compute matrix factorizations of the non–locally free, rank two, indecomposable, graded MCM modules over the ring \( R \). Their minimal number of generators is smaller equal to 6. The one that are three minimally generated, are isomorphic, up to shiftings, to \( \text{Coker} \, \beta_s \), as it was proved in 11.

3.2.1 6–generated

For each \( m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1 \), define the matrix:

\[
\delta_m = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 & y_3^m & -y_3^m \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 & 0 & y_3^m & -y_3^m \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 & 0 & 0 & y_3^m \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Theorem 18** There are countably many isomorphism classes of graded, indecomposable, rank two, non–locally free MCM \( R \)-modules that are minimally 6–generated.

They are cokernel of graded maps defined by the matrices

\[
\{\delta_m, \delta_m^t | m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1\}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( M \) be a graded, indecomposable, rank two, non–locally free MCM \( R \)-modules with \( \mu(M) = 6 \). Then, up to a shifting, \( M \) fits in a graded
extension of the type

\[ 0 \to \text{Coker } \alpha_s \to M \to \text{Coker } \alpha \otimes R(k) \to 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

or in one of the type

\[ 0 \to \text{Coker } \alpha \otimes R(k) \to M \to \text{Coker } \alpha_s \to 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

with \( k \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda = (a : b : 1) \in V(f) \).

In the above graded exact sequences we consider, as in the previous section, the graded maps \( \alpha : R(-2)^3 \to R(-1)^3 \), for which \( \text{Coker } \alpha \) has degree 0. So \( \text{Coker } \alpha \otimes R(k) \) has degree \( 3k \).

The modules \( M \) with extensions of type (6) are duals of some modules from the first extension. Therefore, it is enough to prove the statement for the modules with an extension of type (5).

By Theorem 1, \( M \) has a matrix factorization \((S, S')\), with \( S = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha_s & D \\ 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right) \), where \( D \) has homogeneous entries and it fulfills \( \beta_s \cdot D \cdot \beta_\lambda = 0 \mod (f) \).

The corresponding graded map \( S \), is defined as \( S : R(-2)^3 \oplus R(k-2)^3 \to R(-1)^3 \oplus R(k-1)^3 \), so, the matrix \( D \) should have homogeneous entries of degree \( 1 - k \). If \( k \geq 2 \), the extension splits, if \( k = 1 \) the module \( M \) decomposes. We need, therefore, to consider only the negative shiftings of \( \text{Coker } \alpha \).

Denote the entries of \( D \) with \( d_1, ..., d_9 \), so that \( D = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} d_1 & d_2 & d_3 \\ d_4 & d_5 & d_6 \\ d_7 & d_8 & d_9 \end{array} \right) \) and denote \( m = 1 - k \). The matrix \( S \) has the form:

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & d_1 & d_2 & d_3 \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 & d_4 & d_5 & d_6 \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 & d_7 & d_8 & d_9 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 - ay_3 & y_2 - by_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 y_2 + by_3 & (a^2 + a)y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - (a + 1)y_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We make some linear transformations, in order to simplify the matrix \( S \).

By subtracting the first 3 columns from the last three, (with a corresponding multiplication factor) we can "kill" the variable \( y_1 \) in all entries of \( D \). We kill \( y_2 \) in \( d_1 \) by subtracting again the third column from the fourth one; the new appeared \( y_1 \) in \( d_7 \) disappear if we subtract the line 5 from the third one.

We eliminate also \( y_3 \) in \( d_1 \), using the line 6 and column 2. So we can consider that \( d_1 = 0 \).
In the same way, we eliminate \( y_2 \) and \( y_3 \) from \( d_4 \), using the column 2 and line 5, respectively line 6 and column 1. So we can suppose also that \( d_4 = 0 \).

Using the first column and the line 5, one can eliminate \( y_3 \) in \( d_7 \). Therefore we consider \( d_7 = a_7 y_2^m \), with \( a_7 \) a constant. Using the first column and the line 4, one can eliminate \( y_3 \) also in \( d_8 \), so \( d_8 = a_8 y_3^m \), \( a_8 \) constant.

The same, using the second column and the line 4, we eliminate \( y_2 \) in \( d_5 \) and we write \( d_5 = a_5 y_3^m \), \( a_5 \in \mathbb{K} \).

Notice that, if we denote the third column of \( S \) with \( c_3 \) and first column with \( c_1 \), \( -c_3 + (1 - a)c_1 \) has on the third position \( y_1 - ay_3 \). So, if we eliminate \( y_2 \) from \( d_9 \) subtracting the fourth line from the third one, we can make again \( a_8 y_2^m \) on the position \([3,5]\) subtracting \(-c_3 + (1 - a)c_1 \) from the column 5. We can destroy the new \( y_1 \) from the position \([2,5]\) with the line 4, but it still remains there a term \( g \cdot y_3 \), with \( g \) a polynomial of degree \( m - 1 \). If \( m = 1 \), \( g \) is a constant, so we do not need to make any other transformations. If \( m \geq 2 \), we eliminate the possible \( y_2 \) from the new \( d_5 \) using the second column and the line 4. So, at the end of these transformations, we get \( d_9 = a_9 y_3^m \), \( a_9 \) constant.

We check now the condition \( \beta_s \cdot D \cdot \beta_\lambda = 0 \) to get more informations on the entries of \( D \). We use the procedure \texttt{condext} that was already defined in the second section.

```
ring R3=0,(y(1..3),d(1..9),a,b),(c,dp(3),dp(9),dp(2));
ideal i=y(1)^3+y(1)^2*y(3)-y(2)^2*y(3),a3+a2-b2;
qring S3=std(i);
matrix A[3][3]=0,y(1)-a*y(3), y(2)-b*y(3),
                  y(1),y(2)+b*y(3), (a2+a)*y(3),
                  y(3), 0,-y(1)-(a+1)*y(3);
matrix B=subst(A,a,0,b,0);
matrix D[3][3]=d(1..9); D[1,1]=0; D[2,1]=0;
ideal P=condext(B,A,D); P;
P[1]=-y(2)*d(8)-y(3)*d(6)-y(3)*d(7)*a^2-y(3)*d(7)*a+
    y(3)*d(8)*b-y(3)*d(9)*a-y(3)*d(9)
P[2]=y(2)*d(7)*b-y(2)*d(8)*a-y(3)*d(2)*a^2-y(3)*d(2)*a+
    y(3)*d(3)*b+y(3)*d(5)*b-y(3)*d(6)*a
P[3]=y(2)*d(7)*a-y(3)*d(2)*b+y(3)*d(3)*a+y(3)*d(5)*a+
    y(3)*d(7)*a*b-y(3)*d(8)*a+y(3)*d(9)*b
P[4]=-y(2)*d(2)+y(2)*d(9)+y(3)*d(2)*b-y(3)*d(3)*a
P[5]=y(2)*d(8)+y(2)*y(3)*d(2)*a+y(2)*y(3)*d(2)+y(2)*y(3)*d(6)-
    y(3)*d(5)*a-y(3)*d(5)*a+y(3)*d(6)*b
P[6]=y(2)*d(7)+y(2)*y(3)*d(3)+y(2)*y(3)*d(5)-y(3)*d(5)*b+
    y(3)*d(6)*a
P[7]=-y(2)*d(2)+y(2)*d(9)+y(3)*d(2)*b-y(3)*d(3)*a

Since no \( y_3 \) appear in \( d_7 \) and \( d_8 \), from the conditions \( P[6] \) and \( P[5] \) we get that \( d_7 = d_8 = 0 \). Then, \( P[1] \) gives \( d_6 = -d_9(a + 1) \).
If a with aa chosen to be aa
From the condition P[3], we obtain that
\[ P[4] = y(2)*d(2) - y(2)*d(9) - y(3)*d(2)*b + y(3)*d(3)*a \]
\[ P[3] = y(2)*d(3) + y(2)*d(5) - y(3)*d(5)*b - y(3)*d(9)*a^2 - y(3)*d(9)*a \]
\[ P[2] = d(2)*a^2 + d(2)*a - d(3)*b - d(5)*b - d(9)*a^2 - d(9)*a \]
\[ P[1] = d(2)*b - d(3)*a - d(5)*a - d(9)*b \]

From the condition P[4], in a similar way as above, one get
\[ P[4] = y(2)*d(2) - y(2)*d(9) - y(3)*d(2)*b - y(3)*d(5)*a \]
\[ P[3] = d(5)*b + d(9)*a^2 + d(9)*a \]
\[ P[2] = d(2)*a^2 + d(2)*a - d(9)*a^2 - d(9)*a \]
\[ P[1] = d(2)*b - d(9)*b \]

tion P[3] becomes
\[ y \]
yhomogeneous polynomial of degree 2.
\[ P = \text{simple}(P); P = \text{interred}(P); P; \]
\[ P[1] = d(2)*b - d(9)*b \]
\[ P[2] = d(2)*a^2 + d(2)*a - d(9)*a^2 - d(9)*a \]
\[ P[3] = d(5)*b + d(9)*a^2 + d(9)*a \]
\[ P[4] = y(2)*d(2) - y(2)*d(9) - y(3)*d(2)*b - y(3)*d(5)*a \]

From the condition P[4], in a similar way as above, one get \( d_2 = a_2 y_3^m \), with \( a_2 \) constant and \( y_3(aa_5 + ba_2) + y_2(a_9 - a_2) = 0 \). Therefore, \( a_2 = a_9 \) and \( aa_5 + ba_9 = 0 \). We write the new form of S:

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 & a_9 y_3^m & -a_5 y_3^m \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 & 0 & a_5 y_3^m & -(a + 1)a_9 y_3^m \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 & -y_3 & 0 & a_9 y_3^m \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 - a y_3 & y_2 - b y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 + b y_3 & (a^2 + a) y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - (a + 1)y_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( aa_5 + ba_9 = 0 \).
If \( a \neq 0 \), \( d_5 = -d_9 b/a \). Coker S do not decomposes, so, \( a_9 \neq 0 \) and can be chosen to be \( a \). We obtain in this way the matrix

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 & ay_3^m & by_3^m \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 & 0 & -by_3^m & -(a^2 + a)y_3^m \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 & -y_3 & 0 & ay_3^m \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 - a y_3 & y_2 - b y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 + b y_3 & (a^2 + a)y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - (a + 1)y_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]
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But in this case, $D = y_3^{m-1}(\alpha_s - \alpha_t)$, therefore, Coker $S$ decomposes, still. Suppose now that $a = b = 0$. The condition that the module Coker $S$ is not locally free is equivalent to $\text{Fitt}_2(S)R_{(y_1,y_2)} \neq R_{(y_1,y_2)}$. (see [TJP])

(Fitt$_2$ is the ideal generated by all 4-minors of the matrix)

To check this, we substitute, in the matrix $S$, the variables $y_1$ and $y_2$ with 0 and the variable $y_3$ with 1. The fitting ideal of the new matrix is zero if and only if Coker $S$ is non–locally free module. We obtain the ideal generated by $a_s^2 - a_r^2$, thus, $a_5 = a_9$ or $a_5 = -a_9$.

As before, $a_9 \neq 0$ and can be chosen to be 1. With this choice, the matrix $S$ becomes $\delta_m$ or $\delta_m^t$.

We prove now the indecomposability.

If $\delta_m$ decomposes, there exist the invertible matrices $U$ and $V$, and there exist $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in V(f)$ such that: $\delta_m \cdot U = V \cdot \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha_{\nu_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{\nu_2} \end{array} \right)$.

Write $U = \left( \begin{array}{cc} U_1 & U_2 \\ U_3 & U_4 \end{array} \right)$ and $V = \left( \begin{array}{cc} V_1 & V_2 \\ V_3 & V_4 \end{array} \right)$.

Let $j - 1$ be the degree of the entries of the matrices $U_1$ and $V_1$ and $j' - 1$, the degree of the entries of $U_2$ and $V_2$. Then, the entries of $U_3$ and $V_3$ should have degree $j - m$, the one of $U_4$ and $V_4$ should have degree $j' - m$.

Since $\det U = \det V = 1$, if $m \geq 2$, $U_3 = 0$ (and $V_3 = 0$) or $U_4 = 0$ (and $V_4 = 0$). If $m = 1$, since $\alpha_s U_3 = V_3 \alpha_{\nu_1}$, we get $U_3 = V_3 = 0$ or $\nu_1 = s$ and $U_3 = V_3 = t \text{Id}$, $t \neq 0$. In both cases, (also for $m \geq 2$), we obtain that $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = s$ and that

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_m^3 - y_3^m \\
0 & y_m^3 - y_3^m \\
0 & y_3^m
\end{pmatrix}
= V_2 \alpha_s - \alpha_s U_2,
$$

that is impossible. (in the right hand-side, the entry $[1,2]$ is in the ideal $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$, so, it can not be $-y_3^m$). Therefore, for any $m$, the matrices $\delta_m$ and $\delta_m^t$ are indecomposable.

With a similar proof, one can show that there not exist two invertible matrices $U$ and $V$ such that $U \delta_m = \delta_m^t V$; more, because of degree reason, it is clear that for two different $m_1$ and $m_2$, $\delta_m$ and $\delta_{m_2}$ do not give isomorphic modules.

This complete the proof of the theorem.

Remark: The proof of the indecomposability of the matrices defined in the following two theorems is very similar with the one made on the former proof. The computations are simple, but laborious and we decided to skip them.

3.2.2 5–generated modules

For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 1$, we define the matrices:
For each $\lambda \in V(f)$ we define the matrix $\alpha_\psi^\lambda$ as follows:

If $\lambda = (a : b : 1)$ then

$$
\alpha_\psi^\lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3^m & -y_3^m \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 & -y_3^m & y_3^m \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 & y_3^m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1^2 + y_1y_3 & -y_2y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -y_2 & y_1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
if $\lambda = (0 : 1 : 0)$,

$$
\alpha_\psi^\lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 & 0 \\
  y_1 & y_2 & 0 & y_2 & -y_2 \\
  y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 & 0 & y_2 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & y_1^2 & -y_2^2 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & -y_3 & y_1 + y_3
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

**Theorem 19** The isomorphism classes of graded, indecomposable, rank two, non–locally free MCM $R$-modules that are minimally 5-generated are given by the matrices:

$$\{\alpha_{\psi_1}^m, \alpha_{\psi_2}^m, \alpha_{\varphi_1}^m, \alpha_{\varphi_2}^m, \alpha_\psi^\lambda | m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1, \lambda \in V(f)\}$$

and their transpositions.

**PROOF.** Let $M$ be a graded, indecomposable, rank two, non–locally free MCM $R$-modules with $\mu(M) = 5$. Then, up to a shifting, $M$ or $M^\vee$ fits in one of the following graded extensions:

\begin{align*}
0 \to \text{Coker } \alpha_s & \to M \to \text{Coker } \psi_\lambda \otimes R(k) \to 0 \quad (7) \\
0 \to \text{Coker } \alpha_\lambda & \to M \to \text{Coker } \psi_s \otimes R(k) \to 0 \quad (8) \\
0 \to \text{Coker } \alpha_s & \to M \to \text{Coker } \varphi_\lambda \otimes R(k) \to 0 \quad (9) \\
0 \to \text{Coker } \alpha_\lambda & \to M \to \text{Coker } \varphi_s \otimes R(k) \to 0 \quad (10)
\end{align*}

with $k \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in V(f)$.

- Consider first the extension (7).

The module $M$ has a matrix factorization $(S, S')$, with $S = (\alpha_\psi^\lambda D \alpha_\psi^\lambda)\alpha_\psi^\lambda$, as in theorem 1.

Denote the entries of $D$ with $d_1, ..., d_6$, so that $D = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Since the corresponding graded map $S$, is defined as

$$S : R(-2)^3 \oplus R(k - 2)^2 \longrightarrow R(-1)^3 \oplus R(k) \oplus R(k - 1),$$

the matrix $D$ should have homogeneous entries of degree $m = 1 - k$.

But, if $k \geq 2$, the extension splits, if $k = 1$ the module $M$ decomposes. We consider, therefore, only the negative shiftings of Coker $\psi_\lambda$.

As in the previous proof, we make some linear transformations to eliminate the variable $y_1$ from all $d_i, i = 1, ..., 6$ and the variable $y_2$ from $d_1, d_3$ and $d_5$. We write $d_1 = a_1 y_3^m$, $d_3 = a_3 y_3^m$ and $d_5 = a_5 y_3^m$ with $a_1, a_3, a_5$ constants.
With this choice, for \( m \) indecomposable, since
\[
P[1] = y(2) * d(6) - y(3) * d(3) - y(3) * d(5) * a - y(3) * d(5) - y(3) * d(6) * b
\]
From \( P[1] \), we find
\[
P[2] = y(2) * d(2) * y(2) * d(5) - y(3) * d(1) * a - y(3) * d(2) * b
\]
\[
P[3] = y(2) * d(1) - y(2) * d(4) + y(3) * d(3) * a + y(3) * d(4) * b
\]
\[
P[4] = d(1) * b + d(2) * a - d(2) * a - d(6) * a - d(6) * a - d(4) * a + d(5) * a + d(5) * a + d(5) * a + d(6) * a + d(6) * a
\]
\[
P[5] = d(1) * a + d(2) * b - d(4) * a + d(4) * a + d(5) * a + d(2) * b - d(2) * b - d(6) * a + d(6) * a - d(2) * b
\]
From \( P[1] \), we find \( d_6(y_2 - b y_3) = y_3^{m+1}(a_3 + a_5 + a a_5) \). Therefore, \( d_6 = 0 \) and \( a_3 = -a_5 - a a_5 \). The same, from \( P[2] \), we have \( d_2 = a_2 y_3^m, a_2 \) constant. More, \( a_2 = -a_5 \) and \( a a_1 = a_5 b \). \( P[3] \) implies \( d_4 = a_4 y_3^m \) and \( a_4 = a_1, a_4 \in K \). So the matrix \( S \) is looking like:
\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & y_2 \\
1 & a_1 y_3^m & -a_5 y_3^m \\
y_3 & 0 & a y_3^m \\
0 & 0 & y_1^2 + (a + 1) y_1 y_3 + (a^2 + a) y_3^2 - y_2 y_3 - b y_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
with \( a a_1 = b a_5 = 0 \).
If \( a \neq 0 \) then \( a_1 = b a_5 / a \). Since \( Coker S \) should not decompose, \( a_5 \) is nonzero, and it can be chosen to be \( a_5 = a \).
With this choice, for \( m \geq 2 \), \( D \) can be written as
\[
D = -y_3^{m-2}(\alpha \psi \lambda + U_2 \psi \lambda)
\]
for
\[
U_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and
\[
U_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -y_3 & 0 \\
-\frac{y_1}{y_3} & 1 & 0 \\
y_1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
So, \( Coker S \) decomposes.
For \( m = 1 \), we obtain the matrix \( \alpha \psi \lambda \), for \( \lambda = (a : b : 1) \). This matrix is indecomposable, since \( D \) cannot be written as combination of \( \lambda \) and \( \psi \).

Consider \( a = b = 0 \). The matrix \( S \) provides a non-locally free module if and only if \( \text{Fitt}_2(S) \cdot R_{(y_1,y_2)} \neq R_{(y_1,y_2)} \). This means that \( a_1^2 = a_3^2 \).
Since \( a_5 \) is nonzero and we can choose it to be 1, we obtain in this way the
matrices $\alpha^{m}_{\psi_{1}}$ or $\alpha^{m}_{\psi_{2}}$.

If $\lambda = (0 : 1 : 0)$, with very similar computations, we obtain only one indecomposable extension, $\text{Coker } \alpha^{\lambda}_{\psi}$.

- In the case of the extension (8), the computations are very similar to the above one and provide the same matrices as the extension (7).

- Consider now the extension (9) (the proof and results in the last case are identical with this one). The matrix $S$ has the form

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & d_1 & d_2 \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 & d_3 & d_4 \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 & d_5 & d_6 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 - ay_3 & y_2y_3 + by_3^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_2 - by_3 & y_1^2 + (a + 1)y_1y_3 + (a^2 + a)y_3^2 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

In this case, the corresponding graded map $S$ is defined as $S : R(-2)^3 \oplus R(k - 2) \oplus R(k - 3) \longrightarrow R(-1)^3 \oplus R(k - 1)^2$.

Therefore, on the first column, the matrix $D$ should have homogeneous entries of degree $m = 1 - k$ and on the second column of degree $m + 1$. So, if $k \geq 3$, the extension splits, if $k = 2$ the module $M$ decomposes. In the case $k = 1$, the first column of $D$ should be 0. The condition $\beta_{s} \cdot D \cdot \psi_{\lambda} = 0$, implies that also the second column annihilates, so the module $\text{Coker } S$ decomposes. Therefore, it is enough to consider $k \leq 0$, that means, $m \geq 1$.

We make again some linear transformations to eliminate the variable $y_1$ from all entries of $D$ and the variable $y_2$ from $d_5$. Using the fourth line and the second respectively third column, we eliminate $y_2y_3$ from $d_2$ and $d_6$. Subtracting the first column from the fourth one we eliminate also the variable $y_3$ from $d_5$, so we can consider $d_5 = 0$. (the new appeared $y_1$ in $d_3$ is killed using the fourth line)

The extension condition $\beta_{s} \cdot D \cdot \psi_{\lambda} = 0$ gives:

```plaintext
D[3,1]=0;
P=condext(B,phil,D); P;
P[1]=d(4)+d(6)*a+d(6)
P[2]=y(2)*d(4)+y(2)*d(6)*a+y(2)*d(6)-y(3)*d(4)*b-y(3)*d(6)*a+b
P[3]=-y(3)*d(1)*b-y(3)*d(3)*a-d(2)*a+d(6)*b
P[4]=y(3)*d(1)*a^2+y(3)*d(1)*a+y(3)*d(3)*b+d(2)*b+d(4)*a
P[5]=y(2)*y(3)*d(3)+y(3)^2*d(3)*b+y(2)*d(2)+y(3)*d(4)*a
P[6]=y(2)*y(3)*d(1)+y(3)^2*d(1)*b-y(2)*d(6)+y(3)*d(2)*a
```
Therefore $d_4 = -d_6(a+1)$. More, from P[5] we see that $y_2 d_2$ and, since we have eliminated $y_2 y_3$ from $d_2$, it must have the form $d_2 = a_2 y_3^{m+1}$, $a_2$ constant. The same, P[6] implies that $d_6 = a_6 y_3^{m+1}$, $a_6$ constant. Furthermore, P[6] implies also that $y_3^m | d_1$, that, from degree reasons means, $d_1 = a_1 y_3^m$. Looking at the coefficients of $y_2 y_3^{m+1}$ and $y_3^{m+2}$ we obtain that $a_1 = a_6$ and $a_1 b + a_2 a = 0$. Similarly, from P[5] we get that $d_3 = a_3 y_3^m$ and $a_3 = -a_2$. So, the matrix $S$ has the form:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & a_1 y_3^m & a_2 y_3^{m+1} \\
y_1 & y_2 & 0 & -a_2 y_3^m & -a_1 (a + 1) y_3^{m+1} \\
y_3 & 0 & -y_1 - y_3 & 0 & a_1 y_3^{m+1} \\
0 & 0 & y_1 - a y_3 & y_2 y_3 + b y_3^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & y_2 - b y_3 & y_1^2 + (a + 1) y_1 y_3 + (a^2 + a) y_3^2
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

If $a \neq 0$ then $a_2 = -ba_1/a$. So $a_1 \neq 0$ and it can be chosen to be $a_1 = a$.

But then we have $D = -y_3^{m-1}(\alpha_\Phi U_1 + U_2 \psi \lambda)$ for $U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 + (a+1)y_3 \\ 1 & 0 & y_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, the module $\text{Coker } S$ decomposes.

If $a = b = 0$, the matrix $S$ provide a non-locally free module if and only if $a_1^2 = a_2^2$. Since $a_1$ is nonzero and we can choose it to be 1, we obtain in this way the matrices $\alpha_{\psi_1}^m$ or $\alpha_{\psi_2}^m$.

With similar computations, one can prove that there are no indecomposable extensions of this type, if $\lambda = (0 : 1 : 0)$.

### 3.2.3 $4$-generated modules

For all $\lambda = (a : b : 1) \in V(f)$, with $a \neq 0$ we define the matrix:

$$\varphi_{\psi \lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 y_3 & -b y_3 & a y_3 \\
y_2 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & a y_1 + (a^2 + a) y_3 & -b y_3 \\
0 & 0 & y_1^2 + (a + 1) y_1 y_3 + (a^2 + a) y_3^2 & -y_2 y_3 - b y_3^2 \\
0 & 0 & -y_2 + b y_3 & y_1 - a y_3
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

If $\lambda = (0 : 1 : 0)$ let be:

$$\varphi_{\psi \lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 y_3 & 0 & y_2 \\
y_2 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & y_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_1^2 & -y_2^2 \\
0 & 0 & -y_3 & y_1 + y_3
\end{pmatrix}.$$
For all \( m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1 \) we define:

\[
\varphi^m_{\psi_1} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 & y_2 y_3 & y_3^m & y_3^m \\
y_2 y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & y_1 y_3^{m-1} + y_3^m & -y_3^m \\
0 & 0 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 \\
0 & 0 & -y_2 & y_1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\varphi^m_{\psi_2} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 & y_2 y_3 & -y_3^m & y_3^m \\
y_2 y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & y_1 y_3^{m-1} + y_3^m & y_3^m \\
0 & 0 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 \\
0 & 0 & -y_2 & y_1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\psi^m_{\varphi_1} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & y_3^{m+1} & y_3^{m+1} \\
y_2 & y_1 & y_3^m & y_3^{m+1} \\
0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 y_3 \\
0 & 0 & y_2 & y_1^2 + y_2 y_3
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\psi^m_{\varphi_2} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & y_3^{m+1} & y_3^{m+2} \\
y_2 & y_1 & -y_3^m & y_3^{m+1} \\
0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 y_3 \\
0 & 0 & y_2 & y_1^2 + y_2 y_3
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\varphi^m_{\varphi_1} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 & y_2 y_3 & y_3^m & -y_3^{m+1} \\
y_2 y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & y_1 y_3^m & -y_3 y_3^m & y_3^{m+1} \\
0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 y_3 \\
0 & 0 & y_2 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\varphi^m_{\varphi_2} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1 & y_2 y_3 & -y_3^m & -y_3^{m+1} \\
y_2 y_1^2 + y_1 y_3 & y_1 y_3^m & y_3 y_3^m & y_3^{m+1} \\
0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 y_3 \\
0 & 0 & y_2 & y_1^2 + y_1 y_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Theorem 20** The isomorphism classes of graded, indecomposable, rank two, non–locally free MCM \( R \)-modules that are minimally 4–generate are given by the matrices

\[\{\varphi^m_{\psi_1}, \varphi^m_{\psi_2}, \psi^m_{\varphi_1}, \psi^m_{\varphi_2}, \varphi^m_{\varphi_1}, \varphi^m_{\varphi_2} | m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1\} \cup \{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in V(f)_{\text{reg}}\}\]
and their transpositions.

**PROOF.** Let $M$ be a graded, indecomposable, rank 2, non–locally free MCM module with $\mu(M) = 4$. With a similar argumentation as in previous theorems, up to a shifting, $M$ or $M^\vee$ fits in one of the following graded extensions:

\[
0 \to \text{Coker } \varphi_s \to M \to \text{Coker } \psi_\lambda \otimes R(k) \to 0 \quad (11)
\]
\[
0 \to \text{Coker } \psi_\lambda \to M \to \text{Coker } \varphi_s \otimes R(k) \to 0 \quad (12)
\]
\[
0 \to \text{Coker } \varphi_s \to M \to \text{Coker } \varphi_\lambda \otimes R(k) \to 0 \quad (13)
\]

with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda \in V(f)$.

• Suppose $M$ has an extension of type (11).

Then the module $M$ has a matrix factorization $(S, S')$, with $S = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_s & D \\ 0 & \psi_\lambda \end{pmatrix}$ and the matrix $D$ has homogeneous entries of degree $m = 1 - k$.

So, if $k \geq 2$, the extension splits, if $k = 1$ the module $M$ decomposes. We need, therefore, to consider only the negative shiftings of Coker $\psi_\lambda$. Denote the entries of $D$ with $d_1, \ldots, d_4$, so that $D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix}$.

Consider first the case $\lambda = (a : b : 1)$. By linear transformations, we can eliminate the variable $y_1$ in $d_1, d_2, d_4$ and $y_2$ in $d_3$. In case that $m \geq 2$, we can eliminate also $y_2y_3$ in $d_4$ and $y_1^2$ in $d_3$. We write $d_3 = y_1d_5 + d_6$ and $d_4 = a_4y_3^m$.

The extension condition $\psi_\lambda \cdot D \cdot \varphi_s = 0 \mod (f)$:

```
matrix D[2][2]=
d(1),d(2),
y(1)*d(5)+d(6),d(4);
phi=subst(phil,a,0,b,0);
P=condext(phi,psil,D); P;
P[1]=y(3)*d(5)*a+y(3)*d(5)-d(6)
P[2]=y(2)*d(1)-y(2)*d(4)+y(3)*d(4)*b+y(3)*d(6)*a
P[3]=y(3)*d(5)*b-d(1)*a-d(2)*b+d(4)*a
P[4]=-d(1)*b-d(2)*a^2-d(2)*a+d(4)*b+d(6)*a
P[5]=y(2)*y(3)*d(5)-y(2)*d(2)+y(3)*d(1)*a+y(3)*d(2)*b
P[6]=y(2)*d(2)*a-y(2)*d(2)+y(2)*d(6)+y(3)*d(4)*a^2+y(3)*d(4)*a+y(3)*d(6)*b
P[7]=y(3)*d(6)*b
We make the substitution $d_3 = d_5(y_1 + (a + 1)y_3)$.(see P[1])
```

```
P=subst(P,d(6),y(3)*d(5)*a+y(3)*d(5));
P=interred(P); P;
P[1]=y(3)*d(5)*b-d(1)*a-d(2)*b+d(4)*a
P[2]=y(3)*d(5)*a^2+y(3)*d(5)*a-d(1)*b-d(2)*a^2-d(2)*a+d(4)*b
P[3]=y(2)*d(1)-y(2)*d(4)+y(3)*d(1)*b+y(3)*d(2)*a^2+y(3)*d(2)*a+y(3)*d(6)*b
```
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\[ P[4] = y(2) \cdot y(3) \cdot d(3) - y(2) \cdot d(5) + y(3) \cdot d(1) \cdot a + y(3) \cdot d(5) \cdot b \]

From \( P[4] \) we get that \( y_3 | d_2 \), and since \( y_2 y_3 \) is eliminated from \( d_2 \), we can write \( d_2 = a_2 y_3^m, \) \( a_2 \) constant. \( P[3] \) implies then that also \( d_1 \) has the form \( d_1 = a_1 y_3^m, \) \( a_1 \) constant. Replacing \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) in \( P[4] \) we get \( d_5 = a_5 y_3^{m-1} \), with \( a_5 \) constant. Further more, \( a_5 = a_2, a_4 = a_1 \) and \( a a_1 + b a_2 = 0. \)

If \( a \neq 0 \), then \( a_2 \) should be nonzero (otherwise the matrix decomposes) and can be chosen to be \( a \). Then \( a_1 = b \). If \( m = 1 \) we get the matrix \( \varphi_{\psi \lambda} \). But, if \( m \geq 2 \), the matrix \( S \), after some simple linear transformations, decomposes.

In case \( a = b = 0 \), the matrix \( S \) corresponds to a non-locally free module iff \( a_1^2 - a_2^2 = 0 \). Choosing \( a_2 = 1 \), we get the matrices \( \varphi_{\psi 1}^m \) and \( \varphi_{\psi 2}^m. \)

If \( \lambda = (0 : 1 : 0) \), with similar calculation, we get only one indecomposable extension, \( \varphi_{\psi \lambda}. \)

- Consider now that \( M \) has an extension of type \( (12) \), that means,

\[ 0 \to \text{Coker} \psi_s \to M \to \text{Coker} \varphi_{\psi \lambda} \otimes R(k) \to 0. \]

The module \( M \) has a matrix factorization \( (S, S') \), with \( S = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_s & D \\ 0 & \varphi_{\psi \lambda} \end{pmatrix} \). Denote the entries of \( D \) with \( d_1, \ldots, d_4 \), so that \( D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} \).

In this case, \( d_3 \) has degree \( m = 1 - k \), \( d_1, d_4 \) have degree \( m + 1 \) and \( d_2 \) has degree \( m + 2 \). So, if \( k \geq 3 \), the extension splits. If \( k = 2 \) the only nonzero entry of \( D \) is on position \([1,3] \) and has degree 1. If \( k = 1 \) the entry \([2,1] \) should be zero. The condition of extension, implies that \( D = 0 \), so \( S \) decomposes.

We consider therefore \( m \geq 1. \)

Let \( \lambda = (a : b : 1) \) be a point on the nodal curve.

By linear transformations over \( S \), we eliminate \( y_1 \) in \( d_1, d_3, d_4, y_2 \) in \( d_4 \) and \( y_1^2 \) in \( d_2 \). We write \( d_2 = y_1 d_5 + d_6 \) and \( d_4 = a_4 y_3^m, a_4 \) constant. More, in case that \( d_5 \) has \( y_2 y_3 \) we eliminate it using the third line.

The extension condition \( \varphi_s \cdot D \cdot \psi_{\lambda} = 0 \) means:

\[
\text{psi}=\text{subst(psi1,a,0,b,0)}; \\
\text{matrix D[2][2]=d(1),y(1)*d(5)+d(6),} \\
\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{d(3), d(4)}; \\
\text{P=condext(psi,phil,D); P;} \\
P[1]=y(3)\cdot d(5)\cdot a+y(3)\cdot d(5)-d(6) \\
P[2]=-y(3)\cdot d(3)\cdot b+d(1)\cdot a-d(4)\cdot a+d(5)\cdot b \\
P[3]=y(3)\cdot d(3)\cdot a^2+y(3)\cdot d(3)\cdot a+y(2)\cdot d(1)-y(2)\cdot d(4)+y(3)\cdot d(4)\cdot b \\
P[4]=y(2)\cdot y(3)\cdot d(3)-y(2)\cdot d(5)+y(3)\cdot d(1)\cdot a+y(3)\cdot d(5)\cdot b \\
P[5]=y(2)\cdot d(1)-y(2)\cdot d(4)+y(3)\cdot d(1)\cdot b+d(6)\cdot a
\]
\[ P[6] = y(3) \cdot d(5) \cdot b^2 - d(6) \cdot a^2 \]

We make the substitution \( d_6 = d_5(a + 1)y_3 \). (see \( P[1] \))

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= \text{subst}(P, d(6), y(3) \cdot d(5) \cdot a + y(3) \cdot d(5)); \\
P &= \text{interred}(P); \\
P[1] &= y(3) \cdot d(3) \cdot b - d(1) \cdot a + d(4) \cdot a - d(5) \cdot b \\
P[2] &= y(2) \cdot d(1) - y(2) \cdot d(4) + y(3) \cdot d(1) \cdot b + y(3) \cdot d(5) \cdot a^2 + y(3) \cdot d(5) \cdot a \\
P[3] &= y(3)^2 \cdot d(3) \cdot a^2 + y(3)^2 \cdot d(3) \cdot a + y(2) \cdot d(1) - y(2) \cdot d(4) + y(3) \cdot d(4) \cdot b \\
P[4] &= y(2) \cdot y(3) \cdot d(3) - y(2) \cdot d(5) + y(3) \cdot d(1) \cdot a + y(3) \cdot d(5) \cdot b
\end{align*}
\]

From \( P[4] \) we see that \( y_3|d_5 \), and since \( y_2y_3 \) is eliminated from \( d_5 \), we can write \( d_5 = a_5y_3^{m+1}, \) \( a_5 \) constant. Since \( d_4 = a_4y_3^{m+1} \), from \( P[4] \), we get that also \( d_1 \) has the form \( d_1 = a_1y_3^{m+1} \). And, therefore, from \( P[2], d_3 = a_3y_3^m \). Furthermore, \( a_4 = a_1, \) \( a_5 = a_3 \) and \( ba_3 + aa_1 = 0 \).

If \( a \neq 0, \) \( a_3 \) should be nonzero, and we can choose it to be \( a \). So \( a_1 = a_4 = -b \).

The matrix \( S \) has the form:

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1^2 + y_1y_3 - y_2y_3 - by_3^{m+1} & ay_1y_3^{m+1} - (a^2 + a)y_3^{m+2} \\
y_1 & a^2y_3^m & -by_3^{m+1} \\
y_2 & y_1 - ay_3 & y_2y_3 + by_3^2 \\
y_1 & y_2 - by_3 & y_1^2 + (a + 1)y_2y_3 + (a^2 + a)y_3^2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and decomposes, after some linear transformations.

If \( a = b = 0 \), the module \( \text{Coker} \; S \) is non-locally free if and only if \( a_1 = a_3 \) or \( a_1 = -a_3 \). We choose \( a_1 = 1 \) and we get the matrices \( \psi^m_{\varphi_1} \) and \( \psi^m_{\varphi_2} \).

If \( \lambda = (0 : 1 : 0) \), one get no indecomposable extensions of this type.

\bullet \; We consider now the last case, when \( M \) has an extension of type (13).

Let \( (S, S') \) be a matrix factorization of the module \( M \) such that \( S = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & D \\ \varphi_2 & \end{pmatrix} \).

As before, denote the entries of \( D \) with \( d_1, \ldots, d_4 \), so that \( D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} \).

This matrix has homogeneous entries of degree \( m \) and \( m + 1 \) on the first column, and of degree \( m + 1 \) on the second one. So, if \( k \geq 2 \), the extension splits. If \( k = 1 \) the first column of \( D \) should be zero. Writing the condition of extension, one get easily that \( D = 0 \), so \( S \) decomposes.

Therefore, it is enough to consider only the negative shiftings of \( \text{Coker} \; \varphi_\lambda \). Let \( \lambda = (a : b : 1) \). By linear transformations, we can eliminate the variable \( y_1 \) in \( d_1, d_2, d_3 \) and \( y_2 \) in \( d_1 \). We eliminate also \( y_2y_3 \) in \( d_2 \) and \( y_1^2 \) in \( d_4 \) and write \( d_4 = y_1d_5 + d_6 \) and \( d_1 = a_1y_3^m \), with \( a_1 \) constant.
The extension condition $\psi_s \cdot D \cdot \psi_\lambda = 0$ gives:

$$\text{matrix } D[2][2]=d(1), d(2), d(3), y(1) \ast d(5) + d(6);$$

$$P=\text{condext}(\phi, \phi_1, D); P;$$

$$P[1]=y(3) \ast d(5) \ast a + y(3) \ast d(5) - d(6)$$

$$P[2]=y(2) \ast d(1) + y(2) \ast d(5) - y(3) \ast d(3) \ast a - y(3) \ast d(5) \ast b$$

$$P[3]=y(3) \ast d(1) \ast b + y(3) \ast d(3) \ast a + y(3) \ast d(5) \ast b + d(2) \ast a$$

$$P[4]=y(3) \ast d(1) \ast a^2 + y(3) \ast d(1) \ast a + y(3) \ast d(3) \ast b + d(2) \ast b + d(6) \ast a$$

$$P[5]=y(2) \ast y(3) \ast d(3) - y(3)^2 \ast d(1) \ast a^2 + y(3) \ast d(1) \ast a + y(3) \ast d(3) \ast b + d(2) \ast b + d(6) \ast a$$

We make the substitution $d_6 = y_3(a + 1)d_5$.

$$P=\text{subst}(P, d(6), y(3) \ast d(5) \ast a + y(3) \ast d(5)); P=\text{interred}(P); P;$$

$$P[1]=y(3) \ast d(1) \ast b + y(3) \ast d(3) \ast a + y(3) \ast d(5) \ast b + d(2) \ast a$$

$$P[2]=y(3) \ast d(1) \ast a^2 + y(3) \ast d(1) \ast a + y(3) \ast d(3) \ast b + y(3) \ast d(5) \ast a^2 +$$

$$y(3) \ast d(5) \ast a + d(2) \ast b$$

$$P[3]=y(2) \ast d(1) + y(2) \ast d(5) - y(3) \ast d(3) \ast a - y(3) \ast d(5) \ast b$$

$$P[4]=y(2) \ast y(3) \ast d(3) - y(3)^2 \ast d(1) \ast a^2 - y(3)^2 \ast d(1) \ast a +$$

$$y(3) \ast d(2) - y(3) \ast d(2) \ast b$$

From $P[4]$ we see that $y_3 | d_2$, and since $y_2y_3$ is eliminated from $d_2$, we can write $d_2 = a_2y_3^{m+1}$, $a_2$ constant. Furthermore, since $d_1 = a_1y_3^m$, we get that also $d_3$ has the form $d_3 = a_3y_3^m$, with $a_3$ constant. $P[1]$ implies that $d_5 = a_5y_3^m$, $a_5$ constant. More, $a_5 = -a_1$, $a_2 = -a_3$ and $a_3 - ba_1 = 0$.

If $a \neq 0$, as before, Coker $S$ decomposes.
If $a = b = 0$, the module Coker $S$ is non-locally free iff $a_1 = a_3$ or $a_1 = -a_3$. If we choose $a_3 = 1$, the matrix $S$ becomes one of $\varphi_1^m$ or $\varphi_2^m$.
If $\lambda = (0 : 1 : 0)$, one get no indecomposable extensions of this type.
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