Accuracy of transvaginal sonography in evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding in perimenopausal women
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ABSTRACT

Background: AUB is a commonly encountered complaint in peri- and postmenopausal women visiting OBG OPDs and accounts for about 70% of all gynaecological complaints. Key to management depends on the cause of AUB. Protocol has changed over the years from performing investigations randomly to a condition specific approach. This study aims to evaluate and compare the efficacy of transvaginal sonography and hysteroscopy in perimenopausal women with AUB and note its relation with histopathology.

Methods: A prospective study was conducted on 103 women of 40-55 years presenting to the OBG OPD of Father Muller Medical College Hospital, Mangalore. All patients underwent a transvaginal scan to note the endometrial thickness followed by a hysteroscopy and biopsy. Endometrium was sent for histopathology. Findings of these modalities were correlated.

Results: The diagnostic value of transvaginal sonography in relation to histopathology had a sensitivity and specificity of 33.33% and 95.95% for atrophic endometrium, 52.5% and 62.9% for proliferative, 29.41% and 79.41% for secretory, and 55.55% and 74.19% for hyperplastic. When compared to histopathology, hysteroscopy had a sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 92.3% for atrophic, 26.19% and 96.96% for proliferative, 27.07% and 98.59% for secretory, and 100% and 64.94% for hyperplastic endometrium. It had a sensitivity of 77.77% and a specificity of 92.92% for endometrial polyps. Agreement between TVS and histopathology based on kappa co-efficient was fair for atrophic endometrium but poor for proliferative, secretory and hyperplastic endometrium. Agreement between hysteroscopy and histopathology was moderate for atrophic endometrium and for endometrial polyps. It was fair for proliferative, secretory and hyperplastic endometrium.

Conclusions: TVS seems to be a good initial method for evaluation of AUB. However, a subsequent hysteroscopy with biopsy is advisable to evaluate the cause of AUB.
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INTRODUCTION

Abnormal uterine bleeding is defined as any deviation from the normal menstrual cycle that includes change in regularity, frequency of menses, duration or amount of bleeding during or in between periods.¹ It is one of the most common complaints for which a woman visits the gynaecologist and accounts for about 70% of all gynaecological consultations in peri and postmenopausal women.² The perimenopausal period is often characterised by menstrual irregularities which could include heavy bleeding, prolonged bleeding, missed
periods, spotting between periods, etc. In most cases these irregularities are the result of normal hormonal changes that take place during the transition from the reproductive to the non-reproductive period. However, frequent episodes of irregular bleeding could be an indicator of an underlying pathology. In such cases, further evaluation is necessary to rule out serious pathology (such as carcinoma) and decide the course of management.3

The International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics working group on menstrual disorders has proposed a classification (PALM-COEIN) for causes of AUB.4 AUB could occur due to structural (endometrial polyps, adenomyosis, fibroids, and hyperplasia/malignancy) or non-structural (coagulopathy, ovarian dysfunction, endometrial, iatrogenic) causes. Of note, AUB is the most common presentation of endometrial hyperplasia, a precursor of endometrial carcinoma.5 USG is recommended as a first line diagnostic tool in evaluating pathology in women with AUB.6 It is inexpensive, non-invasive and convenient.7 Hysteroscopy directly evaluates the uterine cavity and can accurately detect structural causes.8 Hysteroscopy is recommended to further evaluate the endometrium in women with AUB when the endometrial echo is normal on TVS.9

Hysteroscopy followed by endometrial biopsy is currently the gold standard for evaluating cases of AUB. Hysteroscopy, while a highly sensitive method, has the drawbacks of being invasive, more expensive and requiring anaesthesia. Cycling of the endometrium occurs based on the erratic production of oestrogen by the perimenopausal ovaries, and as a result, TVS needs to be carefully timed at the end of a bleeding episode so that the endometrial echo will be as thin as it is throughout the month.10 Therefore, there is a need for further research on the efficacy of TVS in evaluating AUB in perimenopausal women.

In undertaking this study, our aim was to estimate the accuracy of transvaginal sonography as a means to evaluate abnormal uterine bleeding in the perimenopausal age group taking hysteroscopic guided endometrial biopsy as the gold standard.

METHODS

A prospective study was conducted from June 2018 to May 2019 on women in the 40-55-year age group who presented to the OBG OPD of Father Muller Medical College Hospital, Mangalore with complaints of AUB after ethical clearance. 103 women were enrolled in the study after fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

- Women between 40 and 55 years of age with no identifiable pelvic pathology and having AUB.

Exclusion criteria

- Pregnancy
- Known genital malignancy
- Acute pelvic infection
- History of bleeding disorders
- Systemic causes of bleeding
- History of drug intake.

Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. A detailed history with emphasis on menstrual history was taken. Per speculum examination was done to rule out cervical and vaginal causes. Per vaginal examination was done to rule out uterine, cervical and adnexal pathology. After routine laboratory investigations, these patients were subjected to a transvaginal ultrasound scan using a 7.5 MHz probe. Details regarding endometrial thickness and presence of any uterine or adnexal pathology were recorded. The participants were then subjected to a diagnostic hysteroscopy under short general anaesthesia. The hysteroscopic findings were documented and classified as atrophic, secretory, proliferative and hyperplastic. Any polyps, fibroids or locally unhealthy areas found on hysteroscopy were also recorded. Then a biopsy of the endometrium was done using a curette and the endometrial pattern was studied. The histopathologic findings of the endometrium obtained were classified as atrophic, secretory, proliferative, hyperplastic without atypia and hyperplastic with atypia.

Statistical analysis

The data collected was analysed to find the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy taking histopathology as the standard. Kappa co-efficient was used to determine the agreement between the three diagnostic techniques evaluated i.e. transvaginal sonography, hysteroscopy and histopathology.

RESULTS

It was observed in our study that AUB was common in multiparous women (87%), 9% were primiparous and 4% were nulligravid (Table 1).

Table 1: Parity.

| Parity   | No. of women | Percentage of women (%) |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------|
| P0       | 4            | 3.9                     |
| P1       | 9            | 8.7                     |
| P2       | 69           | 67                      |
| P3 and above | 21       | 20.4                    |
| Total    | 103          | 100                     |

Among the different presentations of AUB, 70.9% of women complained of heavy menstrual bleeding alone.
while 17.5% complained of both heavy and prolonged menstrual flow. 5 women (4.9%) had menstrual cycles lasting <21 days (polymenorrhea) and 2 women (1.9%) complained of menstrual cycles lasting >35 days (oligomenorrhea). Only 1 woman had intermenstrual bleeding (Table 2).

Table 2: Presenting complaints.

| Complaints                  | No. of women | %    |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------|
| Heavy menstrual bleeding    | 73           | 70.9 |
| Prolonged menstrual bleeding| 1            | 0.9  |
| Heavy + prolonged menstrual bleeding | 18 | 17.5 |
| Intermenstrual bleeding     | 1            | 0.9  |
| Polymenorrhea               | 5            | 4.9  |
| Oligomenorrhea              | 2            | 1.9  |
| Postcoital bleeding         | 2            | 1.9  |
| Vaginal discharge           | 1            | 0.9  |

Figure 1: Endometrial thickness on TVS.

On transvaginal ultrasound, it was found that most of the women studied had an endometrial thickness of 4-8 mm (43.7%) followed by endometrial thickness of 8-12 mm (25.2%). Endometrial thickness of less than 4 mm was least common, found in only 5 participants. 17 women (16.5%) had an endometrial thickness of 12-16 mm while 10 women (9.7%) had an endometrial thickness of 16 mm or more (Figure 1).

Table 3: Causes of AUB (FIGO classification). (n≠103 as some patients had more than 1 pathology)

| FIGO class              | No. of women | %    |
|-------------------------|--------------|------|
| Polyp                   | 21           | 20.4 |
| Adenomyosis             | 20           | 19.4 |
| Leiomyoma               | 54           | 52.4 |
| Malignancy and hyperplasia | 16 | 15.5 |
| Coagulopathy            | 0            | 0    |
| Ovulatory dysfunction    | 5            | 4.8  |
| Endometrial             | 4            | 3.8  |
| Iatrogenic              | 1            | 1.0  |
| Not yet classified       | 3            | 2.9  |

Of the 103 women studied, 54 (52.4%) had leiomyomas, 20 (19.4%) had adenomyosis and 21 (20.4%) had endometrial polyps. 16 women (15.5%) were diagnosed as having endometrial hyperplasia or carcinoma. 5 women were diagnosed with ovulatory dysfunction. Only 1 case of AUB could be attributed to iatrogenic causes and none were attributed to coagulopathy (Table 3).

Table 4: Distribution of hysteroscopic findings.

| Hysteroscopic finding                  | Number | %    |
|----------------------------------------|--------|------|
| Proliferative (dull pink)              | 12     | 11.6 |
| Secretory (bright pink)                | 11     | 10.6 |
| Hyperplasia (fluffy)                   | 44     | 42.7 |
| Atrophic                               | 11     | 10.6 |
| Polyps                                 | 12     | 11.6 |
| Fibroid                                | 1      | 0.9  |
| Normal                                 | 12     | 11.6 |
| **Total**                              | **103**| **100**|

On hysteroscopy it was found that the nearly 43% of the women studied had hyperplastic endometrium. 12% of women had proliferative endometrium. Secretory and atrophic endometrium were found in 11% of women each, 12 women were found to have endometrial polyps. In 12% of women, no abnormality was detected on hysteroscopy (Table 4).

Table 5: Endometrial pattern on histopathology.

| Type of endometrium                      | No. of cases | %    |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| Proliferative endometrium               | 41           | 39.8 |
| Secretory endometrium                   | 35           | 34.0 |
| Endometrial hyperplasia without atypia  | 10           | 9.7  |
| Endometrial hyperplasia with atypia     | 0            | 0    |
| Atrophic endometrium                    | 3            | 2.9  |
| Disordered proliferative endometrium    | 2            | 1.9  |
| Pill induced changes                    | 3            | 2.9  |
| Endometrial polyps                      | 9            | 8.7  |
| **Total**                                | **103**      | **100**|

The most common endometrial pattern was proliferative, seen in 41 (39.8%) of the women studied. This was followed by a secretory endometrial pattern in 34% of women. An additional 2.9% of women showed disordered proliferative endometrium. 9.7% showed endometrial hyperplasia without atypia while none of the women studied showed endometrial hyperplasia with atypia. Three women had atrophic endometrium on histopathology and three women showed pill induced changes (Table 5).

Out of 41 patients with endometrium showing proliferative phase, 51.2% showed ET of 4-8 mm, 26.8% showed ET of 8-12 mm, 12.2% had ET of 12-16 mm and 4.8% had ET >16 mm.
Table 6: Endometrial thickness on TVS versus histopathology.

| ET (mm) | No. of cases | Proliferative | Secretory | Hyperplasia w/o atypia | Hyperplasia with atypia | Atrophic | Disordered proliferative | Pill induced | Polyps |
|---------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| <4      | 5            | 2             | 2         | 0                     | 0                      | 1        | 0                      | 0           | 0      |
| 4-8     | 45           | 21            | 16        | 5                     | 0                      | 1        | 0                      | 0           | 2      |
| 8-12    | 26           | 11            | 11        | 0                     | 0                      | 1        | 1                      | 0           | 2      |
| 12-16   | 17           | 5             | 3         | 3                     | 0                      | 0        | 1                      | 2           | 3      |
| >16     | 10           | 2             | 3         | 2                     | 0                      | 0        | 0                      | 1           | 2      |

Table 7: Diagnostic value of TVS in comparison to histopathology.

| Histopathology of endometrium | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Positive predictive value (%) | Negative predictive value (%) | Accuracy (%) | Kappa |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Atrophic                       | 33.33           | 95.95           | 20                           | 2.06                         | 94.11        | 0.221 |
| Proliferative                  | 52.5            | 62.9            | 47.72                        | 67.24                        | 58.82        | 0.151 |
| Secretory                      | 29.41           | 79.41           | 41.66                        | 69.23                        | 62.74        | 0.095 |
| Hyperplasia/carcinoma          | 55.55           | 74.19           | 17.24                        | 94.52                        | 72.54        | 0.148 |

Table 8: Hysteroscopy and histopathology findings (no. of cases).

| Hysteroscopy | Proliferative | Secretory | Hyperplasia w/o atypia | Hyperplasia with atypia | Atrophic | Polyps | Pill induced | Disordered proliferative |
|--------------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Dull pink    | 11            | 1         | 0                      | 0                      | 0        | 0      | 0            | 0                        |
| Bright pink  | 1             | 10        | 0                      | 0                      | 0        | 0      | 0            | 0                        |
| Fluffy       | 18            | 11        | 10                     | 0                      | 0        | 1      | 2            | 2                        |
| Bald         | 6             | 2         | 0                      | 3                      | 0        | 0      | 0            | 0                        |
| Polyps       | 1             | 3         | 0                      | 0                      | 8        | 0      | 0            | 0                        |
| Normal       | 4             | 7         | 0                      | 0                      | 0        | 1      | 0            | 0                        |
| Fibroids     | 0             | 1         | 0                      | 0                      | 0        | 0      | 0            | 0                        |

Out of 35 patients with secretory endometrium 45.7% showed ET of 4-8 mm, 31.4% had ET of 8-12 mm, 8.6% had ET of 12-16 mm and another 5.7% had ET >16 mm.

Among 10 patients having hyperplasia without atypia on histopathology, 50% had ET of 4-8 mm, 30% had ET of 12-16 mm and 20% had ET >16 mm.

A total 3 patients showed atrophic endometrium on histopathology, out of which 1 had an ET of <4 mm, 1 had an ET of 4-8 mm and 1 had an ET of 8-12 mm (Table 6).

The diagnostic value of transvaginal sonography in relation to histopathology had a sensitivity and specificity of 33.33% and 95.95% for atrophic endometrium, 52.5% and 62.9% for proliferative, 29.41% and 79.41% for secretory, and 55.55% and 74.19% for hyperplastic (Table 7).

This was calculated on the presumption that atrophic is <4 mm, proliferative is 4-8 mm, secretory is 8-12 mm and hyperplasia and carcinoma >16 mm.

On hysteroscopy, dull pink endometrium was found in 12 patients with 11 having proliferative endometrium on histopathology and 1 having secretory endometrium.

A total 11 patients had bright pink endometrium on hysteroscopy out of which 10 had a histopathology finding of secretory endometrium and 1 showed proliferative endometrium.

Fluffy endometrium was found in 44 patients on hysteroscopy. On histopathology, 41% of these patients had proliferative endometrium, 25% had secretory endometrium, 22.7% had hyperplasia without atypia and 2.3% had endometrial polyps.

Out of 11 women with bald endometrium on hysteroscopy, 54.5% had proliferative endometrium on histopathology, 18% had secretory endometrium and 27.2% had atrophic endometrium.

A total 12 patients were found to have polyps on hysteroscopy. 25% of these women showed secretory endometrium on histopathology, 8.3% showed proliferative endometrium and 66.7% showed polyps (Table 8).
When compared to histopathology, hysteroscopy had a sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 92.3% for atrophic, 26.16% and 96.96% for proliferative, 27.07% and 98.59% for secretory, and 100% and 64.94% for hyperplastic endometrium. It had a sensitivity of 77.77% and a specificity of 92.92% for endometrial polyps (Table 9).

| Histopathology of endometrium | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Positive predictive value (%) | Negative predictive value (%) | Accuracy (%) | Kappa |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Atrophic                      | 100            | 92.3           | 33.33                        | 100                           | 92.59        | 0.471 |
| Proliferative                 | 26.19          | 96.96          | 84.61                        | 67.36                         | 69.44        | 0.265 |
| Secretory                     | 27.07          | 98.59          | 90.9                         | 72.16                         | 74           | 0.308 |
| Hyperplasia                   | 100            | 64.94          | 24.44                        | 100                           | 68.51        | 0.274 |
| Polyps                        | 77.77          | 92.92          | 50                           | 97.87                         | 91.66        | 0.565 |

The agreement between TVS and histopathology based on the kappa co-efficient was fair for atrophic endometrium but poor for proliferative, secretory and hyperplastic endometrium. The agreement between hysteroscopy and histopathology was moderate for atrophic endometrium and for endometrial polyps. It was fair for proliferative, secretory and hyperplastic endometrium (Table 10).

### DISCUSSION

In this study 87% were multiparous. 61% were multiparous as per Kumari et al and 71% as per Patil et al. The most common indication for evaluation in our study was heavy menstrual bleeding in 70.9%, which is in accordance with a study conducted by Bhatiyani et al, where 60% patients presented with heavy menstrual bleeding followed by postmenopausal bleeding (12%) followed by intermenstrual bleeding (11%) and heavy and prolonged menstrual bleeding (10%) in that order. However only 0.9% of patients had intermenstrual bleeding in our study and 17.5% of women complained of heavy and prolonged menstrual bleeding. Srinivas concluded that 46% of patients presented with heavy menstrual bleeding, 34% had increased cycle frequency (earlier called polymenorrhea) and 20% of them had presented with continuous bleeding. Heavy menstrual bleeding was the presenting complaint in several studies; Choudhary J et al, (50%), Pillai SS (46.5%), Arnold J et al (43.7%).

According to Choudhary J et al, other bleeding patterns were frequent bleeding (14%), intermenstrual bleeding (18%), heavy prolonged (6%) and irregular bleeding (12%).

The most common pathology on USG was fibroids (52.4%) followed by polyps (20.4%), adenomyosis (19.4%) and thickened ET (15.5%). According to Mishra et al 12.5% had thickened ET, 10% adenomyosis and 18.3% had fibroids. On transvaginal sonography, 43.7% of women showed an endometrial thickness of 4-8 mm, 25.2% had a thickness of 8-12 mm, 16.5% had a thickness of 12-16 mm, and 9.7% were found to have an endometrial thickness of more than 16 mm. These values were in accordance with the study conducted by Srinivas et al, in which endometrial thickness of 4-8 mm, 8-12 mm, 12-16 mm and >16 mm were seen in 28%, 32%, 20% and 10% of subjects respectively. However, only 4.8% of women in this study showed an endometrial thickness of less than 4 mm as against 10% of women seen in the Srinivas et al study. In most studies it revealed ET of 8 mm could be taken as cut off in perimenopausal women and in the present study similar findings were observed.
In this study, the endometrial pattern on histopathology was found to be proliferative in 34% and atrophic in 2.9% which correlated well with the values obtained by Shobitha et al., i.e., 30.4% secretary and 1.8% atrophic. Additionally, secretory endometrium was found in 39.8%, hyperplastic (without atypia) in 9.7% and hyperplastic (with atypia) in none of the patients. This was similar to the results of the Bhatiyani et al., study where 39% had secretory endometrium, 14% showed hyperplasia without atypia and 2% showed hyperplasia with atypia (Table 11).13

### Table 11: Endometrial pattern on histopathology - comparison between different studies.

| Pattern                      | Present study | Bhatiyani et al\(^{13}\) | Shobitha et al\(^{14}\) |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Secretary                    | 39.8%         | 39%                       | 20%                     |
| Proliferative                | 34%           | 16%                       | 30.4%                   |
| Hyperplasia without atypia   | 9.7%          | 14%                       | 45.4%                   |
| Hyperplasia with atypia      | 0%            | 2%                        | -                       |
| Atrophic                     | 2.9%          | -                         | 1.8%                    |

According to Srinivas et al, TVS in comparison with histopathology as a gold standard revealed a sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 68.42% for proliferative endometrium, 100% and 74.42% for secretary, 28.57% and 100% for hyperplastic endometrium, 0% and 100% for carcinoma and 0% and 100% for atrophic endometrium.14

Diagnostic value of hysteroscopy in comparison to histopathology gave a sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 86.84% for proliferative endometrium, 57.14% and 100% for secretory, 67.86% and 100% for hyperplastic endometrium according to Srinivas et al. For carcinoma and atrophic endometrium both sensitivity and specificity were 100%.14

According to Bhatiyani et al, diagnostic value of hysteroscopy in comparison to histopathology gave an average sensitivity and specificity of 97.78% and 34.55% respectively, which was different for different findings on histopathology report.13

In the study by Choudhary J, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV for endometrial hyperplasia on hysteroscopy was 72.72%, 94.87%, 88.88%, 92.5% respectively.15 Loverro et al, stated the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value was 98%, 95%, 63% and 99%, respectively, for endometrial hyperplasia.20

In the present study, hysteroscopy detected polyps with a sensitivity of 77.77% and positive predictive value of 50% in contrast to the sensitivity of 0% and positive predictive value of 0% found by Srinivas et al.14 Specificity (92.92%), negative predictive value (97.87%) and accuracy (91.66%) of hysteroscopy for the detection of polyps were high and similar results were obtained by Srinivas et al.14

Choudhary J et al, revealed the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV was 100% for polyp and sub mucosal fibroid on hysteroscopy.15 Patil et al, revealed a sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of hysteroscopy for endometrial polyp as 100, 95.78, 55.53 and 100%.21

Using kappa coefficient an agreement test was done between hysteroscopy and histopathology and again between TVS and histopathology.

### Table 12: Agreement between TVS and histopathology - comparison between studies.

| Pathology          | Present study | Srinivas et al\(^{14}\) | Bhatiyani et al\(^{13}\) |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Proliferative      | Poor          | Moderate                | Moderate                |
| Secretary          | Poor          | Moderate                | Moderate                |
| Hyperplastic       | Poor          | Fair                    | Fair                    |
| Atrophic           | Fair          | Poor                    | Poor                    |
| Polyp              | Poor          | Poor                    | Poor                    |

The agreement between transvaginal sonography and histopathology was assessed using the kappa co-efficient and was found to be poor for proliferative, secretory and hyperplastic endometrium and fair for atrophic endometrium. In both the Srinivas et al, and the Bhatiyani et al, study, agreement was moderate for proliferative and secretory endometrium, fair for hyperplastic endometrium and poor for atrophic endometrium and endometrial polyps (Table 12).13,14

### Table 13: Agreement between hysteroscopy and histopathology - comparison between studies.

| Pathology          | Present Study | Srinivas et al\(^{14}\) | Bhatiyani et al\(^{13}\) |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Proliferative      | Fair          | Substantial             | Substantial             |
| Secretary          | Fair          | Substantial             | Substantial             |
| Hyperplastic       | Fair          | Substantial             | Substantial             |
| Atrophic           | Moderate      | Perfect                 |                         |
| Polyp              | Moderate      | Poor                    | Perfect                 |

The agreement between hysteroscopy and histopathology based on kappa co-efficient was fair was proliferative, secretory and hyperplastic endometrium and moderate for atrophic endometrium and endometrial polyps. In the Srinivas et al and Bhatiyani et al, studies, the agreement was substantial for proliferative, secretory and hyperplastic endometrium.13,14 The agreement was perfect for atrophic endometrium and poor for endometrial polyps according to Srinivas et al. In contrast, the Bhatiyani et al, study found the agreement to be perfect for endometrial polyps (Table 13).
CONCLUSION

Although TVS seems to be a good and practical initial method for evaluation of AUB, however, a subsequent hysteroscopy is advisable to completely evaluate the cause of AUB as it allows direct visualisation of the uterine cavity and permits a directed biopsy for histopathological examination.
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