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Abstract

In this paper, we study the relevant structure of the algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$ which makes the BTZ black hole possible in the anti-de Sitter space $AdS = SO(2, n)/SO(1, n)$. We pay a particular attention on the reductive Lie algebra structures of $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$ and we study how this structure evolves when one increases the dimension.

As in [1] and [2], we define the singularity as the closed orbits of the Iwasawa subgroup of the isometry group of anti-de Sitter, but here, we insist on an alternative (closely related to the original conception of the BTZ black hole) way to describe the singularity as the loci where the norm of fundamental vector vanishes. We provide a manageable Lie algebra oriented formula which describes the singularity and we use it in order to derive the existence of a black hole and to give a geometric description of the horizon. We also define a coherent structure of black hole on $AdS_2$.

This paper contains a “short” and a “long” version of the text. In the short version, only the main results are exposed and the proofs are reduced to the most important steps in order to be easier to follow the developments. The long version contains all the intermediate steps and computations for the sake of completeness.
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Chapter 1

Short version

1.1 Structure of the algebra

1.1.1 The Iwasawa component

Our study of $AdS_l = SO(2,l-1)/SO(1,l-1)$ will be based on the properties of the algebra $G = so(2,l-1)$ endowed with a Cartan involution $\theta$ and an Iwasawa decomposition $G = A \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus K$.

In this section we want to underline the most relevant facts for our purpose. The part we are mainly interested in is the Iwasawa component $A \oplus \mathcal{N}$ where

\[ \mathcal{N} = \{X_{+0}, X_{0+}, X_{++}, X_{+-}\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1a)
\[ A = \{J_1, J_2\}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1b)

where $k$ runs from 3 to $l-1$. The commutator table is

\[ [X_{0+}, X_{0+}] = \delta_{kk'} X_{++} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.2a)
\[ [X_{0+}, X_{+-}] = 2X_{+0} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.2b)
\[ [J_1, X_{0+}] = X_{0+} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.2c)
\[ [J_1, X_{+-}] = X_{+-} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.2d)
\[ [J_1, X_{++}] = X_{++} \]
\[ [J_2, X_{++}] = X_{++} \]

We see that the Iwasawa algebra belongs to the class of $j$-algebras whose Pyatetskii-Shapiro decomposition is

\[ A \oplus \mathcal{N} = (A_1 \oplus_{ad} \mathcal{Z}_1) \oplus_{ad} (A_2 \oplus_{ad} (V \oplus \mathcal{Z}_2)), \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

with

\[ A_1 = \langle H_1 \rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (1.4a)
\[ A_2 = \langle H_2 \rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (1.4b)
\[ \mathcal{Z}_1 = \langle X_{+-} \rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (1.4c)
\[ \mathcal{Z}_2 = \langle X_{++} \rangle \]
\[ V = \langle X_{0+}^k, X_{0+}^k \rangle_{k \geq 3} \]

where

\[ H_1 = J_1 - J_2 \]
\[ H_2 = J_1 + J_2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)
1.1.2 Dimensional slices

Since the elements of $Z_K(A)$ is a part of $H$ (see later), they will have almost no importance in the remaining\(^1\). The most important part is

\[
A \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{N}} = \langle J_1, J_2, X_{\pm, \pm} \rangle \oplus \langle X_{0, \pm}^4, X_{\pm, 0}^4 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle X_{0, \pm}^l, X_{\pm, 0}^l \rangle.
\]

for every dimension \(1.6\)

We use the following notations in order to make more clear how does the algebra evolve when one increases the dimension:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{N}_2 &= \langle X_{+, -}, X_{++} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{N}_k = \langle X_{0+}^k, X_{+0}^k \rangle \\
\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2 &= \langle X_{-, +}, X_{-, -} \rangle, \quad \bar{\mathcal{N}}_k = \langle X_{0-}^k, X_{-0}^k \rangle \\
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2 &= \langle \mathcal{N}_2, \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2 \rangle, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k = \langle \mathcal{N}_k, \bar{\mathcal{N}}_k \rangle
\end{align*}
\]

for \(k \geq 3\). The relations are

\[
\begin{align*}
[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2] &\subseteq A \\
[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k] &\subseteq \bar{\mathcal{N}}_k, \\
[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k] &\subseteq A \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2 \\
[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k] &\subset Z_K(A)
\end{align*}
\]

for \(k \geq 3\).

As a consequence of the splitting and these commutation relations,

\[
\mathcal{G} = Z_K(A) \oplus A \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2 \bigoplus_{k \geq 3} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k
\]

is a Killing-orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(2, l - 1)$.

1.1.3 Reductive decomposition

Let $Q$ be the following vector subspace of $\mathcal{G}$:

\[
Q = \langle Z(K), J_2, [Z(K), J_1], (X_{0+}^k)_P \rangle_{k \geq 3}.
\]

Then we choose a subalgebra $H$ of $\mathcal{G}$ which, as vector space, is a complementary of $Q$. In that choice, we require that there exists an involutive automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that

\[
\sigma = (\text{id})_H \oplus (-\text{id})_Q.
\]

In that case the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = H \oplus Q$ is reductive, i.e. $[Q, Q] \subset H$ and $[Q, H] \subset Q$.

Remark 1.

The space $Z(K)$ is given by the structure of the compact part of $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$, the elements $(X_{0+}^k)_P$ are defined from the root space structure of $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$ and the Cartan involution. The elements $J_1$ and $J_2$ are a basis of $A$. However, we need to know $H$ in order to distinguish $J_1$ from $J_2$ that are respectively generators of $A_H$ and $A_Q$.

Thus the basis (1.10) is given in a way almost independent of the choice of $H$.

\(^1\) We will however need them in the computation of the coefficients (1.49).
1.2 Some properties

In this section we list some important properties which can be derived from the given commutators relation. We refer to [3] for detailed proofs.

The space $Q$ can be set in a nice way if we consider the following basis:

\begin{align*}
q_0 &= (X_{++})_{\mathcal{E}(K)} &\in K \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_2 \\
q_1 &= J_2 &\in Q \cap A \\
q_2 &= -[J_1, q_0] &\in P \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_2 \\
q_k &= (X_{0+}^k)_{\mathcal{P}} &\in P \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_k.
\end{align*}

These elements correspond to the expression (1.10).

Corollary 2.

We have $q_0 \in K$ and $q_i \in P$ if $i \neq 0$ and the set $\{q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_l\}$ is a basis of $Q$. Moreover, we have $Q \cap \tilde{N}_k = \langle q_k \rangle$.

The basis $\{q_i\}$ of $Q$ allows to write down a nice basis for $\mathcal{H}$:

\begin{align*}
J_1 &= r_k = [J_2, q_k] \\
p_1 &= [q_0, q_1] & p_k &= [q_0, q_k] \\
s_1 &= [J_1, p_1] & s_k &= [J_1, p_k].
\end{align*}

The $\mathfrak{so}(2, l-1)$ part of the algebra is generated by the elements

\[ [q_i, q_j] = -\frac{1}{4} ([X_{0+}^i, X_{0-}^j] + [X_{0-}^i, X_{0+}^j]) = r_{ij}. \]

The vectors $q_i$ have the property to be intertwined by some elements of $\mathcal{H}$. Namely, the adjoint action of the elements

\begin{align*}
X_1 &= p_1 = -[J_2, q_0] &\in P \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \\
X_2 &= s_1 = [J_1, X_1] &\in K \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \\
X_k &= -r_k = -[J_2, q_k] &\in K \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_k
\end{align*}

intertwines the $q_i$’s in the sense of the following proposition.

Proposition 3 (Intertwining properties).

The elements defined by equation (1.15) satisfy

\begin{align*}
ad(J_1) q_0 &= -q_2 & (1.16a) \\
ad(J_1) q_2 &= -q_0. & (1.16b) \\
ad(X_1) q_1 &= q_0 & (1.17a) \\
ad(X_1) q_0 &= q_1. & (1.17b) \\
ad(X_2) q_2 &= q_1 & (1.18a) \\
ad(X_2) q_1 &= -q_2. & (1.18b) \\
ad(X_k) q_k &= -q_1. & (1.19a) \\
ad(X_k) q_1 &= q_k. & (1.19b)
\end{align*}
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This circumstance allows us to prove many results on $q_1 = J_2$ and propagate them to the others by adjoint action. The following result is proven using the intertwining elements.

**Theorem 4.**

We have

$$\text{ad}(q_i)^2 q_j = q_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.20)

if $i \neq j$ and $i \neq 0$. If $i = 0$, we have

$$\text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_j = -q_j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.21)

Being the tangent space of $AdS$, the space $Q$ is of a particular importance. We know that the directions of light like geodesics are given by elements in $Q$ which have a vanishing norm[4]. These elements are exactly the ones which are nilpotent. We are thus led to study the norm of the basis vectors $q_i$ as well as the order of the nilpotent elements. The following three properties will be central in the deduction of the causal structure:

1. the elements $\{q_i\}_{i=0, \ldots, l-1}$ are Killing-orthogonal,
2. if $E$ is nilpotent in $Q$, then $\text{ad}(E)^3 = 0$,
3. up to renormalization, the elements in $Q$ that have vanishing norm are of the form

$$E = q_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} w_i q_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.22)

where $w \in S^{l-2}$.

From now, it will be convenient to work with the following Killing-orthogonal basis of $G$:

$$B = \{J_1, J_2, q_0, q_2, p_1, s_1, q_k, p_k, r_k, s_k\}_{k=3, \ldots, l-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.23)

From a computational point of view, we will need the following exponentials in order to describe the geometry of the geodesics. The action of $e^{x q_0}$ on $A$ is

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} J_1 = \cos(x) J_1 + \sin(x) q_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.24a)

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} J_2 = \sin(x) p_1 + \cos(x) q_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.24b)

on $N_2$ we have

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} q_2 = \cos(x) q_2 - \sin(x) J_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.25a)

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} q_0 = q_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.25b)

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} p_1 = \cos(x) p_1 - \sin(x) q_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.25c)

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} s_1 = s_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.25d)

and the action on $N_k$ is

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} q_k = \cos(x) q_k + \sin(x) p_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.26a)

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} p_k = \cos(x) p_k - \sin(x) q_k,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.26b)

$$e^{\text{ad}(x q_0)} p_k = \cos(x) p_k - \sin(x) q_k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.26c)
1.3 The causally singular structure

1.3.1 Closed orbits

The singularity in $AdS_l$ is defined as the closed orbits of $AN$ and $A\tilde{N}$ in $G/H$. This subsection is intended to identify them.

**Proposition 5.**
The Cartan involution $\theta: G \rightarrow G$ is an inner automorphism, namely it is given by $\theta = \text{Ad}(k_\theta)$ where $k_\theta = e^{\pi\theta_0}$.

One check the proposition setting $x = \pi$ in the exponentials given earlier. In the following results, we use the fact that the group $K$ splits into the commuting product $K = \text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(l-1)$.

**Lemma 6.**
For every $a_n \in AN$, there exists $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $[ane^{\pi\theta_0}] \in [A\tilde{N}]$.

**Proof.** Let $k \in K$ such that $ank \in A\tilde{N}$. The element $k$ decomposes into $k = st$ with $s = e^{\pi\theta_0} \in \text{SO}(2)$ and $t \in \text{SO}(n) \subset H$. Thus $[ans] \in [AN]$. \qed

**Lemma 7.**
If $[an] = [s]$ with $s \in \text{SO}(2)$, then $s = e$.

**Proof.** The assumption implies that there exists a $h \in H$ such that $an = sh$. Using the $KAN$ decomposition of $H$, such a $h$ can be written under the form $h = ta'n'$ with $t \in \text{SO}(n)$. Thus we have $an = sta'n'$. By unicity of the decomposition $kan$, we must have $st = e$, and then $s = e$. \qed

Using the fact that $k_\theta ANk_\theta \subset A\tilde{N}$, we also prove the following.

**Lemma 8.**
If $an \in AN$ and if $[ank_\theta] = [s]$ with $s \in \text{SO}(2)$, then $s = k_\theta$.

**Theorem 9.**
The closed orbits of $AN$ in $AdS_l$ are $[AN]$ and $[ANk_\theta]$ where $k_\theta$ is the element of $K$ such that $\theta = \text{Ad}(k_\theta)$. The closed orbits of $AN$ are $[AN]$ and $[ANk_\theta]$. The other orbits are open.

**Proof.** Let us deal with the $AN$-orbits in order to fix the ideas. First, remark that each orbit of $AN$ pass trough $[SO(2)]$. Indeed, each $[ank]$ is in the same orbit as $[k]$ with $k \in K = \text{SO}(2) \otimes \text{SO}(n)$. Since $\text{SO}(n) \subset H$, we have $[k] = [s]$ for some $s \in \text{SO}(2)$.

We are thus going to study openness of the $AN$-orbit of elements of the form $[e^{\pi\theta_0}]$ because these elements are “classifying” the orbits. Using the isomorphism $dL_{g^{-1}}: T_{[g]}(G/H) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, we know that a set $\{X_1, \ldots, X_l\}$ of vectors in $T_{[e^{\pi\theta_0}]}AdS_l$ is a basis if and only if the set $\{dL_{e^{-\pi\theta_0}}X_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,l}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{Q}$. We are thus going to study the elements

$$dL_{e^{-\pi\theta_0}}X|_{e^{\pi\theta_0}} = dL_{e^{-\pi\theta_0}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \pi(e^{-itX}e^{\pi\theta_0}) \right]_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \pi\left( \text{Ad}(e^{-\pi\theta_0})e^{-itX} \right) \right]_{t=0} = -\text{pr}_{\mathcal{Q}} e^{-\text{ad}(\pi\theta_0)}X$$

when $X$ runs over the elements of $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. 

\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \pi\left( \text{Ad}(e^{-\pi\theta_0})e^{-itX} \right) \right]_{t=0} = -\text{pr}_{\mathcal{Q}} e^{-\text{ad}(\pi\theta_0)}X
Taking the projection over $Q$ of the exponentials given by equations (1.24)-(1.26), we see that an orbit through $[e^{xq}]$ is open if and only if $\sin(x) \neq 0$. It remains to study the orbits of $[e^{yq}]$ and $[e]$. Lemma 7 shows that these two orbits are disjoint.

Let us now prove that $[AN]$ is closed. A point outside $\pi(AN)$ reads $\pi(ans)$ where $s$ is an elements of $SO(2)$ which is not the identity. Let $O$ be an open neighborhood of $ans$ in $G$ such that every element of $O$ read $a'n's't'$ with $s' \neq e$. The set $\pi(O)$ is then an open neighborhood of $\pi(ans)$ which does not intersect $[AN]$. This proves that the complementary of $[AN]$ is open.

The same holds for the orbit $[AN\theta]$.

The orbit $[ANk\theta]$ and $[ANk\delta]$ are closed too because $ANk\theta = k\theta AN$.

\[ \square \]

### 1.3.2 Vanishing norm criterion

In the preceding section, we defined the singularity by means of the action of an Iwasawa group. We are now going to give an alternative way of describing the singularity, by means of the norm of a fundamental vector of the action. This “new” way of describing the singularity is, in fact, much more similar to the original BTZ black hole where the singularity was created by identifications along the integral curves of a Killing vector field[5]. The vector $J_1$ in theorem 10 plays here the role of that “old” Killing vector field.

Discrete identifications along the integral curves of $J_1$ would produce the causally singular space which is at the basis of our black hole.

What we will prove is the

**Theorem 10.**

We have $S \equiv \|J_1^{*}\|_{[g]} = \|pr_{Q}\ Ad(g^{-1})J_1\| = 0$.

Thanks to this theorem, our strategy will be to compute $\|pr_{Q}\ Ad(g^{-1})J_1\|$ in order to determine if $[g]$ belongs to the singularity or not. The proof will be decomposed in three steps. The first step is to obtain a manageable expression for $\|J_1^{*}\|$.

**Lemma 11.**

We have $\|(J_1^{*})_{[g]}\| = \|pr_{Q}\ Ad(g^{-1})J_1\|$ for every $[g] \in AdS_{i}$.

**Proof.** This is a direct application of the formula for the Killing-induced norm on an homogeneous space[6].

**Proposition 12.**

If $p \in S$, then $\|J_1^{*}\|_{p} = 0$.

**Proof.** We are going to prove that $pr_{Q}\ Ad(g^{-1})J_1$ is a light like vector in $Q$ when $g$ belongs to $[AN]$ or $[AN]$. A general element of $AN$ reads $g = a^{-1}n^{-1}$ with $a \in A$ and $n \in N$. Since $Ad(a)J_1 = J_1$, we have $Ad(g^{-1})J_1 = Ad(n)J_1$. We are going to study the development

\[ Ad(e^{Z})J_1 = e^{ad(Z)}J_1 = J_1 + ad(Z)J_1 + \frac{1}{2} ad(Z)^2J_1 + \ldots \]  

(1.28)

where $Z = \ln(n) \in \mathcal{N}$. The series is finite because $Z$ is nilpotent and begins by $J_1$ while all other terms belong to $\mathcal{N}$. Notice that the same remains true if one replace $\mathcal{N}$ by $\mathcal{N}$ everywhere.

Moreover, $Ad(e^{Z})J_1$ has no $X_{0+}$-component (no $X_{0-}$-component in the case of $Z \in \mathcal{N}$) because $[X_{0+}, J_1] = 0$, so that the term $[Z, J_1]$ is a combination of $X_{0+}$, $X_{++}$ and $X_{+-}$. Since the action of $\text{ad}(X_{+})$ on such a combination is always zero, the next terms are produced by
action of $\text{ad}(X_{0+})$ on a combination of $X_{0+}, X_{++}$ and $X_{+-}$. Thus we have

$$\text{Ad}(e^Z)J_1 = J_1 + aX_{++} + bX_{+-} + c_kX_{+0}^k$$

(1.29)

for some\(^2\) constants $a$, $b$ and $c_k$.

The projection of $\text{Ad}(e^Z)J_1$ on $Q$ is made of a combination of the projections of $X_{0+}, X_{++}$ and $X_{+-}$. The conclusion is that $\text{pr}_Q(e^{ad(Z)}J_1)$ is a multiple of $q_0 + q_2$, which is light like. The conclusion still holds with $\bar{N}$, but we get a multiple of $q_0 − q_2$ instead of $q_0 + q_2$.

Now we have $\text{Ad}(k_0)J_1 = J_1$ and $\text{Ad}(k_0)(q_0 ± q_2) = −(q_0 ± q_2)$, so that the same proof holds for the closed orbits $[ANk_0]$ and $[AN\bar{k}_0]$. □

**Remark 13.**

The coefficients $a$, $b$ and $c_k$ in equation (1.29) are continuous functions of the starting point $an \in AN$. More precisely, they are polynomials in the coefficients of $X_{++}, X_{+-}, X_{0+}$ and $X_{0+}$ in $Z$. The vector $\text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(g^{-1})J_1 = (a + b)(q_0 + q_1)$ is thus a continuous function of the point $[g] \in [AN]$.

If $[g] \in [AN] \cap [AN\bar{N}]$, then $\text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(g^{-1})J_1$ has to vanish as it is a multiple of $q_0 + q_1$ and of $q_0 − q_1$ in the same time. We conclude that in each neighborhood in $[AN]$ of an element of $[AN]$, there is an element which does not belong to $[AN]$.

**Proposition 14.**

If $\|J_1\|_p = 0$, then $p \in S$.

**Proof.** As before we are looking at a point $[g] = [(an)^{-1}s^{-1}]$ with $s = e^{xq_0}$. The norm $\|J_1\|$ vanishes if

$$\|\text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(e^{xq_0}) \text{Ad}(an)J_1\| = 0.$$  

(1.30)

We already argued in the proof of proposition 12 that $\text{Ad}(an)J_1$ is equal to $J_1$ plus a linear combination of $X_{++}, X_{+-}$ and $X_{0+}$. A straightforward computation yields

$$\text{pr}_Q e^{ad(xq_0)}(J_1 + aX_{++} + bX_{+-} + \sum_k c_kX_{+0}^k)$$

$$= (a + b)q_0 + (a - b)\sin(x)q_1 + (\sin(x) - (a + b)\cos(x))q_2 + \sum_k c_k \sin(x)q_k.$$  

(1.31)

The norm of this vector, as function of $x$, is given by

$$n(x) = (a + b)\sin(2x) + (4ab - C^2 - 1)(1 - \cos(2x)),$$

(1.32)

where $C^2 = \sum_k c_k^2$. Using the variables with $u = a + b$ and $v = (1 + c^2 - 4ab)/2$,

$$n(x) = u\sin(2x) + v\cos(2x) - v.$$  

(1.33)

Following $u = 0$ or $u \neq 0$, the graph of that function vanishes two or four times between 0 and $2\pi$. Points of $[AN]$ are divided into two parts: the red points which correspond to $u \neq 0$, and the blue points which correspond to $u = 0$. By continuity, the red part is open.

Let $P = an \in AN$. We consider the unique $x_0$, $x_1$, $x_2$ and $x_3$ in $[0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$[Pe^{x_0q_0}] \in [AN]$$

(1.34a)

$$[Pe^{x_1q_0}] \in [ANk_0]$$

(1.34b)

$$[Pe^{x_2q_0}] \in [AN]$$

(1.34c)

$$[Pe^{x_3q_0}] \in [AN\bar{k}_0].$$  

(1.34d)

\(^2\)One can show that every combinations of these elements are possible, but that point is of no importance here.
They satisfy \(x_0 = 0, x_1 = \pi\) and \(x_3 = x_2 + \pi\) modulo \(2\pi\). Now, we divide \([AN]\) into two parts. The elements of \([AN] \cap [AN]\) and \([AN] \cap [AN_k]\) are said to be of type \(I\), while the other are said to be of type \(II\). We are going to prove that type \(I\) points are exactly blue points, while type \(II\) points are the red ones.

If \(P\) is a point of type \(II\), we know that the \(x_i\) are four different numbers so that the norm function \(n_P(x)\) vanishes at least four times on the interval \([0, 2\pi]\), each of them corresponding to a point in the singularity. But our division of \([AN]\) into red and blue points shows that \(n_P(x)\) can vanish at most four times. We conclude that a point of type \(II\) is automatically red, and that the four roots of \(n_P(x)\) correspond to the four values \(x_i\) for which \(P e^{x_0}\) belongs to the singularity. The proposition is thus proved for points of type \(II\).

Let now \([P]\) be of type \(I\) (say \(P \in [AN] \cap [AN]\)) and let us show that \(P\) is blue. We consider a sequence of points \(P_k\) of type \(II\) which converges to \(P\) (see remark 13). We already argued that \(P_k\) is red, so that \(x_0(P_k) \neq x_2(P_k)\) and \(x_1(P_k) \neq x_3(P_k)\), but

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0(P_k) - x_2(P_k) &\to 0 \quad (1.35a) \\
x_1(P_k) - x_3(P_k) &\to 0. \quad (1.35b)
\end{align*}
\]

The continuity of \(n_Q(x)\) with respect to both \(x \in [0, 2\pi]\) and \(Q \in [AN]\) implies that \(P\) has to be blue, and then \(n_P(x)\) vanishes for exactly two values of \(x\) which correspond to \(P e^{x_0} \in S\).

Let us now prove that everything is done. We begin by points of type \(I\). Let \(P\) be of type \(I\) and say \(P \in [AN] \cap [AN]\). The curve \(n_P(x)\) vanishes exactly two times in \([0, 2\pi]\). Now, if \(P e^{x_0} \in [AN_k]\), thus \(x_1 = \pi\) and we also have \(P e^{x_0} \in [AN_k]\), but \(P\) does not belong to \([AN_k]\), which proves that \(n_P(x)\) vanishes at least two times which correspond to the points \(P e^{x_0}\) that are in the singularity. Since the curve vanishes in fact exactly two times, we conclude that \(n_P(x)\) vanishes if and only if \(P e^{x_0} \in S\) belongs to the singularity.

If we consider a point \(P\) of type \(II\), we know that the values of \(x_i\) are four different numbers, so that the curve \(n_P(x)\) vanishes at least four times, corresponding to the points \(P e^{x_0}\) in the singularity. Since the curve is in fact red, it vanishes exactly four times in \([0, 2\pi]\) and we conclude that the curve \(n_P(x)\) vanishes if and only if \(P e^{x_0}\) belongs to the singularity.

The conclusion follows from the fact that

\[
AdS_l = \left\{ |P e^{x_0}| \text{ st } P \text{ is of type I or II and } x \in [0, 2\pi] \right\}.
\]

Proof of theorem 10 is now complete.

1.3.3 Existence of the black hole

We know that the geodesic trough \([g]\) in the direction \(X\) is given by

\[
\pi \left( g e^{sX} \right)
\]

and that a geodesics is light-like when the direction \(X\) is given by a nilpotent element in \(Q[1]\).

Let us study the geodesics issued from the point \(e^{-x_0}\). They are given by

\[
l^w_x(s) = \pi \left( e^{-x_0} e^{sE(w)} \right)
\]

where \(E(w) = q_0 + \sum w_iq_i\) with \(|w| = 1\). According to our previous work, the point \(l^w_x(s)\) belongs to the singularity if and only if

\[
n^w_x(s) = \left\| \text{pr}_Q e^{-\text{ad}(sE(w))} e^{\text{ad}(x_0)} J_1 \right\|^2 = 0.
\]

\[
\square
\]
As we saw, the use of theorem 10 leads us to study the function
\[ n^w_\varphi (s) = s^2 \sin^2(x) B(\text{ad}(E)^2 q_2, q_2) + s^2 \cos^2(x) B(\text{ad}(E) J_1, \text{ad}(E) J_1) - 2s \cos(x) \sin(x) B(\text{ad}(E) J_1, q_2) + \sin^2(x) B(q_2, q_2). \] (1.40)

The problem now reduces to the evaluation of the three Killing products in this expression. Using the relations
\[ q_1 \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{A}, \quad [\hat{N}_k, \hat{N}_2] \subset \hat{N}_k \]
\[ q_2 \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \hat{N}_2, \quad [\hat{N}_2, \hat{N}_2] \subset \mathcal{A} \]
\[ q_k \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \hat{N}_k, \quad [\hat{N}_k, \hat{N}_k] \subset \mathcal{A} \oplus \hat{N}_2, \] (1.41)
and the fact that \( \text{ad}(E) J_1 = w_2 q_0 + q_2 \) we find
\[ \frac{n^w_\varphi (s)}{B(q_2, q_2)} = (\cos^2(x) - w_2^2)s^2 - 2 \cos(x) \sin(x)s + \sin^2(x). \] (1.42)

We have \( n^w_\varphi (s) = 0 \) when \( s \) equals
\[ s_\pm = \frac{\cos(x) \sin(x) \pm |w_2 \sin(x)|}{\cos^2(x) - w_2^2}. \] (1.43)
If \( w_2 \sin(x) \geq 0 \), we have\footnote{The solutions (1.44) were already deduced in \cite{1} in a quite different way.}
\[ s_+ = \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x) - w_2} \quad \text{and} \quad s_- = \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x) + w_2}, \] (1.44)
and if \( w_2 \sin(x) < 0 \), we have to exchange \( s_+ \) with \( s_- \).

If we consider a point \( e^{xq_0} \) with \( \sin(x) > 0 \) and \( \cos(x) < 0 \), the directions \( w \) with \( |w_2| < |\cos(x)| \) escape the singularity as the two roots (1.44) are simultaneously negative. Such a point does not belong to the black hole. That proves that the black hole is not the whole space.

If we consider a point \( e^{xq_0} \) with \( \sin(x) > 0 \) and \( \cos(x) > 0 \), we see that for every \( w_2 \), we have \( s_+ > 0 \) or \( s_- > 0 \) (or both). That shows that for such a point, every direction intersect the singularity. Thus the black hole is actually larger than only the singularity itself.

The two points with \( \sin(x) = 0 \) belong to the singularity. At the points \( \cos(x) = 0 \), \( \sin(x) = \pm 1 \), we have \( s_+ = -1/w_2 \) and \( s_- = 1/w_2 \). A direction \( w \) escapes the singularity only if \( w_2 = 0 \) (which is a closed set in the set of \( \|w\| = 1 \)).

### 1.4 Some more computations

As we saw, the use of theorem 10 leads us to study the function
\[ n^w_\varphi (s) = \| \text{pr}_\mathcal{Q} \text{Ad} (e^{-sE(w)}) X \|^2 = 0 \] (1.45)
where \( E(w) = q_0 + w_1 q_1 + \ldots + w_{l-1} q_{l-1} \) \( w \in S^{l-2} \) and (see equation (1.29))
\[ X = e^{\text{ad}(xq_0)} \text{Ad}(na) J_1 = e^{\text{ad}(xq_0)} (J_1 + a X_{++} + b X_{+-} + \sum_{k=3}^{l-1} c_k X_{++}^k). \] (1.46)
A long but straightforward computation shows that
\[ n^w_{\mathcal{H}}(s) = \| \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad} \left( e^{-s E(w)} \right) X \|^2 = a(E)s^2 + b(E)s + c \] (1.47)
where
\begin{align*}
a(E) &= -B(\text{ad}(E)X, \sigma \text{ad}(E)X) \quad (1.48a) \\
b(E) &= -2B(X_Q, \text{ad}(E)X_H) \quad (1.48b) \\
c &= B(X_Q, X_Q) \quad (1.48c)
\end{align*}
More precisely,
\begin{align*}
a(E) &= B(q_0, q_0) M \left( w_2^2 + \cos(x)w_2 + \cos^2(x) \right) \quad (1.49a) \\
b(E) &= -2M \sin(x)(w_2 + \cos(x)) \quad (1.49b) \\
c &= M \sin^2(x) \quad (1.49c)
\end{align*}
where \( M = (a^2 - b^2 - \sum_k c_k^2) \). The important point to notice is that these expressions only depend on the \( w_2 \)-component of the direction. Notice that \( c = 0 \) if and only if the point \([g]\) belongs to the singularity because \( s = 0 \) is a solution of (1.45) only in the case \([g] \in \mathcal{S}\).

**Remark 15.**
*Importance of the coefficients (1.49).* If \( v \in \mathcal{F}_1 \), there is a direction \( E_0 \) in \( \text{AdS}_l \) which escapes the singularity from \( v \). Thus the polynomial \( a(E_0)s^2 + b(E_0)s + c \) has only non positive roots. From the expressions (1.49), we see that the polynomial corresponding to \( \iota(v) \) is the same, so that the direction \( E_0 \) escapes the singularity from \( \iota(v) \) as well.

This is the main ingredient of the next section.

### 1.5 Description of the horizon

#### 1.5.1 Induction on the dimension

The horizon in \( \text{AdS}_l \) is already well understood [2, 7]. We are not going to discuss it again. We will study how does the causal structure (black hole, free part, horizon) of \( \text{AdS}_l \) includes itself in \( \text{AdS}_{l+1} \) by the inclusion map
\[ \iota : \text{AdS}_l \rightarrow \text{AdS}_{l+1}. \] (1.50)

**Lemma 16.**
The direction \( E_0 \) in \( \text{AdS}_l \) escapes the singularity from \( v \in \text{AdS}_l \) if and only if it escapes the singularity from \( \iota(v) \) in \( \text{AdS}_{l+1} \).

**Proof.** The fact for \( v \) to escape the singularity in the direction \( E_0 \) means that the equation
\[ a_v(E_0)s^2 + b_v(E_0) + c_v = 0 \] (1.51)
where the coefficients are given by (1.49) has no positive solutions with respect to \( s \). Since these coefficients are the same for \( v \) and \( \iota(v) \), the equation for \( \iota(v) \) is in fact the same and has the same solutions.

\[ \square \]
Lemma 17. We have
\[ \iota\left(\text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_1)\right) \subset \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{1+1}) \] (1.52)
or, equivalently,
\[ \text{Adh}(BH_{1+1}) \cap \iota(\text{AdS}_1) \subset \iota\left(\text{Adh}(BH_1)\right). \] (1.53)

Proof. Let \( v' \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_1) \) and \( O \), an open set of directions in \( \text{AdS}_1 \) that escape the singularity. The coefficient \( a_1(E) \) is not constant on \( O \) because the coefficient \( M = a^2 - b^2 - C^2 \) is only zero on the singularity (see equation (1.49a)). Thus we can choose \( E_0 \in O \) such that \( a_1(E_0) \neq 0 \). We consider \( a_{1+1}(E_0) \), the coefficient of \( s^2 \) for the point \( \iota(v') \) in the direction \( E_0 \). From the expression (1.49a) we know that \( a_{1+1}(E_0) = a_1(E_0) \). The coefficients \( b(E_0) \) and \( c \) are also the same for \( v' \) and \( \iota(v') \).

Since \( a(E_0) \neq 0 \) and \( v' \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_1) \), we have two solutions to the equation \( a(E_0)s^2 + b(E_0)s + c = 0 \) and both of these are outside \( \mathbb{R}^+_0 \). This conclusion is valid for \( v' \in \text{AdS}_1 \) as well as for \( \iota(v') \in \text{AdS}_{1+1} \). Then there is a neighborhood of \( \iota(v') \) on which the two solutions keep outside \( \mathbb{R}^+_0 \). That proves that \( \iota(v') \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{1+1}) \).

For the second line, suppose that \( v \in \iota(\text{AdS}_1) \) does not belong to \( \iota(\text{Adh}(BH_1)) \), thus \( v \in \iota\left(\text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_1)\right) \subset \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{1+1}) \). In that case \( v \) does not belong to \( \text{Adh}(BH_{1+1}) \).

\[ \square \]

Proposition 18. We have
\[ \mathcal{F}_{1+1} \cap \iota(\text{AdS}_1) \subset \iota(\mathcal{F}_1) \] (1.54)

Proof. If \( v = \iota(v') \in \mathcal{F}_{1+1} \), there is a direction \( E_0 \) in \( \text{AdS}_{1+1} \) which escape the singularity from \( v \). That direction is given by a vector \( (w_1, \cdots, w_l) \in S^l \). Since the coefficients \( a(E), b(E) \) and \( c \) do only depend on \( w_2 \), a direction \( (w_1', \cdots, w_{l-1}', 0) \) with \( w_2' = w_2 \) escapes the singularity from \( v' \). This proves that \( v' \in \mathcal{F}_1 \).

\[ \square \]

Lemma 19. We have
\[ \mathcal{H}_{1+1} \cap \iota(\text{AdS}_1) \subset \iota(\mathcal{H}_1). \] (1.55)

Proof. First,
\[ v \in \mathcal{H}_{1+1} \cap \iota(\text{AdS}_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1+1} \cap \iota(\text{AdS}_1) \subset \iota(\mathcal{F}_1) \] (1.56)
from proposition 18. Now, let’s take \( v' \in \mathcal{F}_1 \) such that \( v = \iota(v') \). We have to prove that \( v' \in \mathcal{H}_1 \). Let us suppose that \( v' \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_1) \), so \( v \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{1+1}) \) because of lemma 17. This is in contradiction with the fact that \( v \in \mathcal{H}_{1+1} \).

\[ \square \]

Corollary 20. We have
\begin{align*}
1 & \ i(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1+1}, & 3 & \ i(BH_1) \subset BH_{1+1}, \\
2 & \ i(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1+1}, & 4 & \ i(\mathcal{H}_1) \subset \mathcal{H}_{1+1}.
\end{align*}

Proof. We have \( \text{Ad}(i(g^{-1}))J_1 = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})J_1 \), so that the condition of theorem (10) is invariant under \( i \). Thus one immediately has \( i(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1+1} \) and \( i(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{1+1} \).
An element \( v \) which does not belong to \( BH_{t+1} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{I}_{t+1} \), but if \( v \) belongs to \( \iota(AdS_l) \cap \mathcal{I}_{t+1} \), it belongs to \( \iota(\mathcal{I}) \) by proposition 18 and then does not belong to \( \iota(BH_l) \). Thus \( \iota(BH_l) \subset BH_{t+1} \).

Now if \( v' \in \mathcal{I}_l \), let us consider \( O \), a neighborhood of \( v = \iota(v') \) in \( AdS_{l+1} \). The set \( \iota^{-1}(O \cap \iota(AdS_l)) \) contains a neighborhood \( O' \) of \( v' \) in \( AdS_l \). Since \( v' \in \mathcal{I}_l \), there is \( \bar{v} \in O' \) such that \( \bar{v} \in BH_l \). Thus \( \iota(\bar{v}) \in O \) belongs to \( BH_{t+1} \) by the first item.

\[ \square \]

Let \( X \in G_{t+1} \) such that \([X, J_1] = 0\), and let \( R \) be the group generated by \( X \). The following results are intended to show that such a group can be used in order to transport the causal structure from \( AdS_l \) to \( AdS_{l+1} \).

The key ingredient will be the fact that, since \( X \) commutes with \( J_1 \), we have

\[
\text{Ad} \left( (g^{*E})^{-1} \right) J_1 = \text{Ad} \left( (e^{\alpha X} g^{*E})^{-1} \right) J_1. \tag{1.57}
\]

**Lemma 21.**

A group \( R \) as described above preserves the causal structure in the sense that

1. \( R \cdot \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I} \)
2. \( R \cdot BH \subset BH \)
3. \( R \cdot \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H} \).

**Proof.** From equation (1.57), we deduce that a direction \( E_0 \) will escape the singularity from the point \([g]\) if and only if it escapes the singularity from the points \( r[g] \) for every \( r \in R \). The first three points follow.

Now let \( v \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( r \in R \) and let us prove that \( r \cdot v \in BH \). By the third point, \( r \cdot v \in \mathcal{I} \). Let now \( O \) be a neighborhood of \( r \cdot v \). The set \( r^{-1} \cdot O \) is a neighborhood of \( v \) and we can consider \( \bar{v} \in BH \cap r^{-1} \cdot O \). By the second point, \( r \cdot \bar{v} \) is a point of the black hole in \( O \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 22.**

Combining corollary 20 and lemma 21 we have

1. \( R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{t+1} \)
2. \( R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{t+1} \)
3. \( R \cdot \iota(BH_l) \subset BH_{t+1} \)
4. \( R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}_{t+1} \).

**Theorem 23.**

If moreover the one parameter group \( R \) has the property to generate \( AdS_{l+1} \) (in the sense that \( R \cdot \iota(AdS_l) = AdS_{l+1} \)), then we have

1. \( R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{t+1} \)
2. \( R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{t+1} \)
3. \( R \cdot \iota(BH_l) = BH_{t+1} \)
4. \( R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}_{t+1} \).

**Proof.** The inclusions in the direct sense are already done in the remark 22.

Let \( r = e^{\alpha X} \) be an element of \( R \). Since, by assumption, we have \([X, J_1] = 0\), the action of \( r \) leaves invariant the condition of theorem (10):

\[
\text{Ad} \left( (g^{*E})^{-1} \right) J_1 = \text{Ad} \left( (e^{\alpha X} g^{*E})^{-1} \right) J_1. \tag{1.58}
\]
1.5. DESCRIPTION OF THE HORIZON

1 Let \([g] \in \mathcal{J}_{t+1}\), there exists a \(r \in R\) such that \(r[g] \in \iota(AdS_t)\). There exists an element \(g' \in G_t\) such that \(rg = \iota(g')\). Now \([g'] \in \mathcal{J}_t\) because

\[
\text{Ad}(g'^{-1})J_1 = \text{Ad}(\iota(g'^{-1}))J_1 = \text{Ad}((rg)^{-1})J_1 = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})J_1, \tag{1.59}
\]

but by assumption the norm of the projection on \(Q\) of the right hand side is zero.

2 If \(v\) is free in \(AdS_{t+1}\), there is a direction \(E_0\) escaping the singularity from \(v\) and an element \(r \in R\) such that \(v' = r \cdot v \in \iota(AdS_t)\). The point \(v'\) is also free in \(AdS_{t+1}\) as the direction \(E_0\) works for \(r \cdot v\) as well as for \(v\). Thus by proposition 18 we have

\[
v' \in \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \cap \iota(AdS_t) \subset \iota(\mathcal{F}_t) \tag{1.60}
\]

and \(v \in R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{F}_t)\).

3 If \(v \in BH_{t+1}\), the point \(r \cdot v \in \iota(AdS_t)\) also belongs to \(BH_t\). If \(r \cdot v = \iota(v')\), then \(v' \in BH_t\) from if \(v' \in \mathcal{F}_t\), then \(\iota(v') \in \mathcal{F}_{t+1}\).

4 If \(v \in \mathcal{H}_{t+1}\), there exists a \(r \in R\) such that \(v' = r \cdot v \in \iota(AdS_t)\) and moreover, \(v'\) belongs to the horizon in \(AdS_{t+1}\) since the horizon is invariant under \(R\). Thus \(v'\) belongs to \(\iota(AdS_t) \cap \mathcal{H}_{t+1} \subset \iota(\mathcal{H}_t)\) by lemma 19. Now, \(v \in R \cdot \iota(\mathcal{H}_t)\).

\[\square\]

1.5.2 Examples of surjective groups

Theorem 23 describes the causal structure in \(AdS_t\) by induction on the dimension provided that one knows a group \(R\) such that \(AdS_{t+1} = R \cdot \iota(AdS_t)\). Can one provide examples of such groups? The following proposition provides a one.

Proposition 24.

If \(R\) is the one parameter subgroup of \(SO(2, l)\) generated by \(r_{l,l+1}\), then we have

\[
R \cdot \iota(AdS_t) = AdS_{t+1}. \tag{1.61}
\]

Proof. If one realises \(AdS_n\) as the set of vectors of length 1 in \(\mathbb{R}^{2,n-1}\), \(AdS_t\) is included in \(AdS_{t+1}\) as the set of vectors with vanishing last component and the element \(r_{l,l+1}\) is the rotation in the plane of the two last coordinates. In that case, we have to solve

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  u' \\
  t' \\
  x'_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  x'_{l-2} \\
  0
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  u \\
  t \\
  x_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{l-2} \\
  x_{l-1}
\end{pmatrix} \tag{1.62}
\]

with respect to \(\alpha, u', t'\) and \(x'_i\). There are of course exactly two solution if \(x'^{2}_{l-2} + x'^{2}_{l-1} \neq 0\). \(\square\)

In fact, many others are available, as the one showed at the end of [2]. In fact, since, in the embedding of \(AdS\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{2,n}\), the singularity is given by \(t^2 - y^2 = 0\), almost every group which leaves invariant the combination \(t^2 - y^2\) can be used to propagate the causal structure. One can found lot of them for example by looking at the matrices given in [4].
1.5.3 Backward induction

Using proposition 18, lemma 19, corollary 20 and the fact that the norm of \( J_1^* \) is the same in AdS as in \( \iota(AdS_l) \subset AdS_{l+1} \), we have

1. \( \iota(\mathcal{F}_l) = \mathcal{F}_{l+1} \cap \iota(AdS_l) \),
2. \( \iota(\mathcal{H}_l) = \mathcal{H}_{l+1} \cap \iota(AdS_l) \),
3. \( \iota(\mathcal{S}_l) = \mathcal{S}_{l+1} \cap \iota(AdS_l) \).

These equalities hold for \( l \geq 3 \). For \( l = 2 \) we can take the latter as a definition and set

\[
\mathcal{S}_2 = \{ v \in AdS_2 \text{ st } \iota(v) \in \mathcal{S}_3 \}. 
\]

The Iwasawa decomposition of \( SO(2,1) \) is given by \( A = \langle J_2 \rangle, N = \langle X_+ \rangle, K = \langle q_0 \rangle \) where \( X_+ = p_1 - q_0 \). Notice that we do not have \( \iota(X_+) = X_{++} \). Instead we have \( X_+ = \frac{1}{2}(X_{++} + X_{--}) \). Thus \( \mathcal{S}_2 \) is not given by the closed orbits of \( AN \) in \( AdS_2 \).

The light-like directions are given by the two vectors \( E = q_0 \pm q_1 \). In order to determine if the point \( [e^{-a J_2} e^{-a X_+} e^{-x q_0}] \) belongs to the black hole, we follow the same way as in section 1.3.3: we compute the norm \( \left\| \text{pr}_Q e^{-s \text{ad}(E)} e^{x \text{ad}(q_0)} e^{a \text{ad}(X_+)} e^{a \text{ad}(J_2)} J_1 \right\| \) and we see under which conditions it vanishes. Small computations show that, for \( E = q_0 + q_1 \),

\[
\text{pr}_Q e^{s \text{ad}(E)} X = \left( (\cos(x) - a \sin(x)) s + (\sin(x) + a \cos(x)) \right) q_2. 
\]

Its norm vanishes for the value of \( s \) given by

\[
s^+ = \frac{a \cos(x) + \sin(x)}{(\sin(x) - 1) a - \cos(x)}. 
\]

The same computation with \( E = q_0 - q_1 \) provides the value

\[
s^- = \frac{a \cos(x) + \sin(x)}{(\sin(x) + 1) a - \cos(x)}. 
\]

For small enough \( a \), the sign of \( s^+ \) and \( s^- \) are both given the sign of \( -\tan(x) \) that can be either positive or negative. Thus there is an open set of points in \( AdS_2 \) which intersect the singularity in every direction and an open set of points which escape the singularity.
Chapter 2

Long version

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Anti de Sitter space and the BTZ black hole

The anti de Sitter space (hereafter abbreviated by $AdS$, or $AdS_l$ when we refer to a precise dimension) is a static solution to the Einstein’s equations that describes a universe without mass. It is widely studied in different context in mathematics as well as in physics.

The BTZ black hole, initially introduced in [8, 9] and then described and extended in various ways [10–12], is an example of black hole structure which does not derives from a metric singularity.

The structure of the BTZ black hole as we consider it here grown from the papers [7, 13] in the case of $AdS_3$. Our dimensional generalization was first performed in [1]. See also [4] for for a longer review. Our point of view insists on the homogeneous space structure and the action of Iwasawa groups. One of the motivation in going that way is to embed the study of BTZ black hole into the noncommutative geometry and singleton physics [14, 15].

2.1.2 The way we describe the BTZ black hole

We look at the anti de Sitter space as the homogeneous space

$$AdS_l = \frac{SO(2,l-1)}{SO(1,l-1)} = G/H.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

We denote by $G = so(2,l-1)$ and $H = so(1,l-1)$ the Lie algebras and by $\pi$ the projection $G \to G/H$. The class of $g$ will be written $[g]$ or $\pi(g)$. We choose an involutive automorphism $\sigma : G \to G$ which fixes elements of $H$, and we call $Q$ the eigenspace of eigenvalue $-1$ of $\sigma$. Thus we have the reductive decomposition

$$G = H \oplus Q.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

The compact part of $SO(2,l-1)$ decomposes into $K = SO(2) \times SO(l-1)$. Let $\theta$ be a Cartan involution which commutes with $\sigma$, and consider the corresponding Cartan decomposition

$$G = K \oplus P,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

where $K$ is the $+1$ eigenspace of $\theta$ and $P$ is the $-1$ eigenspace. A maximal abelian algebra $A$ in $P$ has dimension two and one can choose a basis $\{J_1, J_2\}$ of $A$ in such a way that $J_1 \in H$ and $J_2 \in Q$. 
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Now we consider an Iwasawa decomposition
\[ G = K \oplus A \oplus N, \] (2.4)
and we denote by \( R \) the Iwasawa component \( R = A \oplus \bar{N} \). We are also going to use the algebra \( \bar{N} = \theta N \) and the corresponding Iwasawa component \( \bar{R} = A \oplus \bar{N} \).

The Iwasawa groups \( R = AN \) and \( \bar{R} = A\bar{N} \) are naturally acting on anti de Sitter by \( r[g] = [rg] \). It turns out that each of these two action has exactly two closed orbits, regardless to the dimension we are looking at. The first one is the orbit of the identity and the second one is the orbit of \([k_\theta]\) where \( k_\theta \) is the element which generates the Cartan involution at the group level: \( \text{Ad}(k_\theta) = \theta \). In a suitable choice of matrix representation, the element \( k_\theta \) is the block-diagonal element which is \(-1\) on \( SO(2) \) and \(1\) on \( SO(l-1) \). The \( AN \)-orbits of \( \mathbb{I} \) and \( k_\theta \) are also closed. Moreover we have
\[ [ANk_\theta] = [k_\theta AN] \]
\[ [A\bar{N}k_\theta] = [k_\theta A\bar{N}] \] (2.5)
because \( A \) is invariant under \( \text{Ad}(k_\theta) \) and, by definition, \( \text{Ad}(k_\theta)N = \bar{N} \). We define as singular the points of the closed orbits of \( AN \) and \( A\bar{N} \) in \( AdS \).

The Killing form of \( SO(2,l-1) \) induces a Lorentzian metric on \( AdS \). The sign of the squared norm of a vector thus divides the vectors into three classes:
\[ ||X||^2 > 0 \rightarrow \text{time like}, \]
\[ ||X||^2 < 0 \rightarrow \text{space like}, \] (2.6)
\[ ||X||^2 = 0 \rightarrow \text{light like}. \]

A geodesic is time (reps. space, light) like if its tangent vector is time like (reps. space, light).

If \( E_1 \) is a nilpotent element in \( Q \), then every nilpotent in \( Q \) are given by \( \{\text{Ad}(k)E_1\}_{k \in SO(l-1)} \). These elements are also all the light like vectors at the base point. A light like geodesic trough the point \( \pi(g) \) in the direction \( \text{Ad}(k)E_1 \) is given by
\[ \pi(ge^{s\text{Ad}(k)E_1}). \] (2.7)

One say that points with \( s > 0 \) are in the future of \( \pi(g) \) while points with \( s < 0 \) are in the past of \( \pi(g) \).

We say that a point in \( AdSi \) belongs to the black hole if all the light like geodesics trough that point intersect the singularity in the future. We call horizon the boundary of the set of points in the black hole. One say that there is a (non trivial) black hole structure when the horizon is non empty or, equivalently, when there are some points in the black hole, and some outside.

All these properties can be easily checked using the matrices given in [1, 4]. In this optic, I wrote a program using Sage[16] which checks all the properties that are shown in this paper. It will be published soon.

As far as notations are concerned, we denote by \( X_{\alpha\beta} \) the basis of \( N \) and \( \bar{N} \) corresponding to our choice of Iwasawa decomposition. We have \( \text{ad}(J_1)X_{\alpha\beta} = \alpha X_{\alpha\beta} \) and \( \text{ad}(J_2)X_{\alpha\beta} = \beta X_{\alpha\beta} \).

### 2.1.3 Organization of the paper

One of the main goal of this paper is to reorganize all this structure in a coherent way. Then we use it efficiently in order to define the singularity of the BTZ black hole, to prove that one has a genuine black hole in every dimension, and to determine the horizon.
2.2. STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRA

In section 2.2, we list the commutators of $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$ with respect to its root spaces and we organize them in such a way to get a clear idea about the evolution of the structure when the dimension increases. We prove that, when one passes from $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$ to $\mathfrak{so}(2, n+1)$, one gets four more vectors in the root spaces and that these are Killing-orthogonal to the vectors existing in $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$ (this is the “dimensional slice” described in subsection 2.2.3).

We give in subsection 2.2.4 an original way to describe the space $\mathcal{Q}$ without reference to $\mathcal{H}$. The space $\mathcal{Q}$ is usually described as a complementary of $\mathcal{H}$. Here we show that it can be described by means of the root spaces and the Cartan involution $\theta$. The space $\mathcal{H}$ is then described as $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}]$. In some sense, we describe the quotient space $AdS = G/H$ directly by its tangent space $\mathcal{Q}$ without passing through the definition of $\mathcal{H}$. Of course, the knowledge of $\mathcal{H}$ will be of crucial importance later.

The subsection 2.2.5 is devoted to the proof of many properties of the decompositions $G = H \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ and $G = K \oplus P$.

The first important result is the proposition 32 that shows that the elements of $\mathcal{Q}$ are ad-conjugate to each others: there exist elements of the adjoint group which are intertwining the elements of $\mathcal{Q}$. We also provide an orthonormal basis $\{q_i\}$ of $\mathcal{Q}$, we compute the norm of these elements and we identify the nilpotent vectors in $\mathcal{Q}$ (these are the light-like vectors). In the same time, we prove that the space $G/H$ is Lorentzian.

The second central result is the fact that nilpotent elements in $\mathcal{Q}$ are of order two: if $E \in \mathcal{Q}$ is nilpotent, then $\text{ad}(E)^3 = 0$. That result will be used in a crucial way in the proof of the black hole existence, as well as in the study of its properties.

In section 2.3, we define and study the structure of the BTZ black hole in the anti de Sitter space. First we identify the closed orbits of the Iwasawa group (theorem 65) and we define them as singular. In a second time, we provide an alternative description the singularity: theorem 68 shows that the singularity can be described as the loci of points at which a fundamental vector field has vanishing norm. We also provide in lemma 69 a convenient way to compute that norm on arbitrary point of the space.

We prove, in section 2.3.3, that our definition of singularity gives rise to a genuine black hole in the sense that there exists points from which some geodesics escape the singularity in the future and there exists some points from which all the geodesics are intersecting the singularity in the future.

In section 2.5, we provide a geometric description of the horizon (theorem 83). We show that, if we see $AdS_l$ as a subset of $AdS_{l+1}$, the space $AdS_{l+1}$ is generated by the action on $AdS_l$ by a one parameter group which leaves the singularity invariant. This action thus leaves invariant the whole causal structure and we are able to express the horizon in any dimension from the well known horizon in $AdS_4$.

2.2 Structure of the algebra

2.2.1 The Iwasawa component

Our study of $AdS_l = \text{SO}(2, l-1)/\text{SO}(1, l-1)$ will be based on the properties of the algebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{so}(2, l-1)$ endowed with a Cartan involution $\theta$ and an Iwasawa decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus K$. In this section we want to underline the most relevant facts for our purpose. The part we are mainly interested in is the Iwasawa component $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ where

\[
\mathcal{N} = \{X^k_{+0}, X^k_{0+}, X_{++}, X_{+-}\} \quad \text{(2.8a)}
\]
\[
\mathcal{A} = \{J_1, J_2\}, \quad \text{(2.8b)}
\]
where \( k \) runs from 3 to \( l - 1 \). The commutator table is

\[
\begin{align*}
[X_{0+}^k, X_{0+}^{k'}] &= \delta_{kk'}X_{++} \\
\{J_1, X_{+0}^k\} &= X_{++}^k \\
\{J_1, X_{++}^k\} &= X_{++} \\
\{J_2, X_{++}^k\} &= X_{++} \\
\{J_2, X_{+0}^k\} &= X_{++} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(2.9a)

(2.9b)

(2.9c)

(2.9d)

We see that the Iwasawa algebra belongs to the class of \( j \)-algebras whose Pyatetskii-Shapiro decomposition is

\[
\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{A}_1 \oplus_{ad} \mathcal{Z}_1) \oplus_{ad} (\mathcal{A}_2 \oplus_{ad} (\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_2)),
\]

(2.10)

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_1 &= \langle H_1 \rangle \\
\mathcal{Z}_1 &= \langle X_{++} \rangle \\
\mathcal{A}_2 &= \langle H_2 \rangle \\
\mathcal{Z}_2 &= \langle X_{++} \rangle \\
\mathcal{V} &= \langle X_{0+}^k, X_{+0}^k \rangle_{k \geq 3}
\end{align*}
\]

(2.11a)

(2.11b)

(2.11c)

The general commutators of such an algebra are

\[
\begin{align*}
\{H_1, X_{+0}^k\} &= X_{++}^k \\
\{H_2, X_{+0}^k\} &= X_{++}^k \\
\{H_1, V \} &\subset V \\
\{H_2, X_{++} \} &\subset V \\
\{H_2, X_{0+}^k \} &\subset V \\
\{X_{0+}^k, X_{++}^l \} &= \Omega(X_{+0}^k, X_{+0}^l)X_{++}^k
\end{align*}
\]

(2.13a)

(2.13b)

(2.13c)

(2.13d)

In the case of \( so(2, n) \), we have the following more precise relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{H_1, X_{0+}^k\} &= -X_{0+}^k \\
\{X_{+0}^k, X_{0+}^l\} &= -2X_{+0}^l
\end{align*}
\]

(2.14a)

(2.14b)

and the link between \( \mathcal{N} \) and \( \bar{\mathcal{N}} \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\{\theta X_{+0}^k, X_{++}^l\} &= 2X_{+0}^l \\
\{\theta X_{0+}^k, X_{0+}^l\} &= 2J_2 \\
\{\theta X_{++}, X_{++}^l\} &= 4H_2 = 4(J_1 + J_2) \\
\{\theta X_{++}^k, X_{0+}^l\} &= 2X_{0+}^l \\
\{\theta X_{+0}^k, X_{+0}^l\} &= 4H_2 = 4(J_1 + J_2) \\
\{\theta X_{+0}^k, X_{+0}^l\} &= 2X_{+0}^l
\end{align*}
\]

(2.15a)

(2.15b)

(2.15c)

(2.15d)

(2.15e)

(2.15f)

The relations between the higher dimensional root spaces are

\[
\begin{align*}
[X_{0+}^i, X_{-0}^j] &= -\delta_{ij}X_{--} \\
[X_{0+}^i, X_{+0}^j] &= \delta_{ij}X_{++} \\
[X_{+0}^i, X_{+0}^j] &= -\delta_{ij}X_{++} \\
[X_{+0}^i, X_{-0}^j] &= \delta_{ij}X_{--}
\end{align*}
\]

(2.16)

\footnote{The “new” vectors which appear in \( AdS_l \) with respect to \( AdS_{l-1} \) are \( X_{0+}^{l-1} \) and \( X_{+0}^{l-1} \). Such an element appears for the first time in \( AdS_4 \) and is not present when one study \( AdS_3 \).}
2.2. STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRA

The space \( Z_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \) of the elements of \( \mathcal{K} \) which commute with all the elements of \( \mathcal{A} \) is given by the elements \( r_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} [X_{i+}, X_{j-}] \) for every \( i, j \geq 3, i \neq j \) realise the \( \mathfrak{so}(l-1) \) algebra. We will say more about them in subsection 2.2.2.

We deduce the following relations that will prove useful later

\[
[\theta X^k_{0+}, X^l_{0+}] = -2X^k_{0+} \\
[\theta X^k_{0-}, X^l_{0-}] = -2X^k_{0-} \\
[\theta X^k_{++}, X^l_{0+}] = -2X^k_{0+} \\
[X^k_{--}, X^l_{0-}] = -2X^k_{0-}.
\]

(2.17)

2.2.2 The compact part

The compact part of \( \mathfrak{so}(2, l-1) \), the algebra \( \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(l-1) \) is well known. What is interesting from our point of view is to write the commutation relations between the elements of \( \mathfrak{so}(l-1) \) and the roots.

We define the following elements that are non vanishing:

\[
[X^i_{0+}, X^j_{0-}] = [X^i_{0-}, X^j_{0+}] = 2r_{ij}.
\]

(2.18)

One immediately has \( \theta r_{ij} = r_{ij} \), so that \( r_{ij} \in \mathbb{K} \). We also have \( r_{ij} \in G_0 \) so that \( r_{ij} \in Z_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \) and they act on the root spaces. The action is given by

\[
[r_{ij}, X^k_{0+}] = 0 \quad \text{if } i, j \text{ and } k \text{ are different} \quad (2.19a)
\]

\[
[r_{ij}, X^k_{0+}] = 0 \quad \text{if } i, j \text{ and } k \text{ are different} \quad (2.19b)
\]

\[
[r_{ij}, X^k_{0+}] = X^i_{0+} \quad \text{if } i \neq j \quad (2.19c)
\]

\[
[r_{ij}, X^k_{0+}] = -X^i_{0+} \quad \text{if } i \neq j \quad (2.19d)
\]

\[
[r_{ij}, X_{\pm}] = 0. \quad (2.19e)
\]

The elements \( r_{ij} \) satisfy the algebra of \( \mathfrak{so}(n) \).

Remark 25.

If \( \sigma \) is an involutive automorphism which commutes with \( \theta \) and such that \( \sigma J_1 = J_1, \sigma J_2 = -J_2 \), then one has \( \sigma r_{ij} = r_{ij} \). We will see later that this fact makes \( r_{ij} \in \mathcal{H} \).

We know\(^2\) that \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{N}} \) where \( \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{A} \oplus Z_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \). Let us perform a dimension count in order to be sure that the vectors \( r_{ij} \) generate \( Z_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \). When we are working with \( AdS_l \), we have

\[
\dim(\mathcal{A}) = 2 \\
\dim(\mathcal{N}_2) = 4 \\
\dim(\bigoplus_k \mathcal{N}_k) = 4(l-3) \quad (2.20)
\]

\[
\dim((r_{ij})) = \frac{1}{2}(l-4)(l-3).
\]

The last line comes from the fact that we have the elements \( r_{34}, r_{35}, \ldots, r_{45}, \ldots \) The first such element appears in \( AdS_5 \). Making the sum, we obtain \( \frac{l(l+1)}{2} \), which is the dimension of \( \mathfrak{so}(2, l-1) \). Thus we have

\[
\mathcal{G} = Z_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}_2 \oplus \bigoplus_k \mathcal{N}_k \quad (2.21)
\]

\(^2\)See [17] for example.
where $Z_K(A)$ is generated by the elements $r_{ij}$.

### 2.2.3 Dimensional slices

Since the elements of $Z_K(A)$ is a part of $\mathcal{H}$ (see later), they will have almost no importance in the remaining. The most important part is

$$ A \oplus N \oplus \bar{N} = \langle J_1, J_2, X_{\pm, \pm} \rangle \oplus \langle X_{0, \pm, \pm}^4, X_{0, \pm, \pm}^3 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle X_{0, \pm, \pm}^l, X_{0, \pm, \pm}^{l-1} \rangle. $$

We use the following notations in order to make more clear how does the algebra evolve when one increases the dimension:

$$ N_2 = \langle X_+, X_- \rangle, \quad N_k = \langle X_{0,+,0}^k, X_{0,-,0}^k \rangle $$

$$ \bar{N}_2 = \langle X_-^+, X_+^+ \rangle, \quad \bar{N}_k = \langle X_{0,-,0}^{-k}, X_{0,+,-}^{-k} \rangle $$

for $k \geq 3$. The relations are

$$ [N_2, N_2] \subseteq A, \quad [N_2, N_k] \subseteq \bar{N}_k $$

$$ [\bar{N}_k, \bar{N}_k] \subseteq A \oplus N_2, \quad [\bar{N}_k, \bar{N}_k] \subseteq Z_K(A) $$

As a consequence of the splitting and the commutation relations, we have many Killing-orthogonal subspaces in $\mathfrak{so}(2, l-1)$:

$$ N_k \perp \bar{N}_k \quad \text{(2.25a)} $$$$ A \perp N_2 \quad \text{(2.25b)} $$$$ A \perp \bar{N}_k \quad \text{(2.25c)} $$$$ N_2 \perp \bar{N}_k \quad \text{(2.25d)} $$

In order to check these relations, look at the trace of action of $\text{ad}(X) \circ \text{ad}(Y)$ on the various spaces:

$$ \text{ad}(A) \circ \text{ad}(N_2): \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial} \begin{cases} A \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow \bar{N}_2 \\ \bar{N}_2 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \\ N_k \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \\ Z_K(A) \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow \bar{N}_2, \end{cases} \text{(2.26)} $$

and

$$ \text{ad}(A) \circ \text{ad}(N_k): \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial} \begin{cases} A \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \\ \bar{N}_2 \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \\ \bar{N}_k \rightarrow A \oplus N_2 \rightarrow N_2 \\ \langle r_{kl} \rangle \rightarrow \bar{N}_l \rightarrow \bar{N}_l \end{cases} \quad \text{(2.27)} $$

and

$$ \text{ad}(\bar{N}_2) \circ \text{ad}(\bar{N}_k): \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial} \begin{cases} A \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \\ \bar{N}_2 \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \rightarrow \bar{N}_k \\ \bar{N}_k \rightarrow A \oplus \bar{N}_2 \rightarrow \bar{N}_2 \oplus A \\ \langle r_{kl} \rangle \rightarrow \bar{N}_l \rightarrow \bar{N}_l \oplus A \end{cases} \quad \text{(2.28)} $$

---

3 We will however need them in the computation of the coefficients (2.254).
and
\[
\text{ad}(J_1)^2|_{\tilde{N}_2} = \text{ad}(J_2)^2|_{\tilde{N}_2} = \text{id}|_{\tilde{N}_2}.
\] (2.29)

As a consequence,
\[
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z}(A) \oplus A \oplus \tilde{N}_2 \bigoplus_{k \geq 3} \tilde{N}_k
\] (2.30)
is a Killing-orthogonal decomposition of \(\mathfrak{so}(2, l - 1)\).

### 2.2.4 Reductive decomposition

Let \(Q\) be the following vector subspace of \(G\):
\[
Q = \langle \mathcal{Z}(K), J_2, [\mathcal{Z}(K), J_1], (X_{0+}^k)p \rangle_{k \geq 3}.
\] (2.31)

Then we choose a subalgebra \(H\) of \(G\) which, as vector space, is a complementary of \(Q\). In that choice, we require that there exists an involutive automorphism \(\sigma: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}\) such that
\[
\sigma = (\text{id})_H \oplus (-\text{id})_Q.
\] (2.32)

In that case the decomposition \(\mathcal{G} = H \oplus Q\) is reductive, i.e. \([Q, Q] \subset H\) and \([Q, H] \subset Q\).

From definition (2.31), it is immediately apparent that one has a basis of \(Q\) made of elements in \(\mathcal{K}\) and \(\mathcal{P}\), so that one immediately has
\[
[\sigma, \theta] = 0.
\] (2.33)

If \(X \in \mathcal{G}\), the projections are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
X_H &= \frac{1}{2}(X + \sigma X), \\
X_K &= \frac{1}{2}(X + \theta X), \\
X_Q &= \frac{1}{2}(X - \sigma X), \\
X_P &= \frac{1}{2}(X - \theta X).
\end{align*}
\] (2.34)

In particular \(\theta H \subset H\) since \(\theta\) and \(\sigma\) commute.

We introduce the following elements of \(Q\):
\[
\begin{align*}
q_0 &= (X_{0+})_{\mathcal{KQ}} \\
q_1 &= J_2 \\
q_2 &= -[J_1, q_0] = -(X_{0+})_{\mathcal{PQ}} \\
q_k &= (X_{0+}^k)_{\mathcal{P}}.
\end{align*}
\] (2.35)

In order to see that \([J_1, q_0] = (X_{0+})_{\mathcal{PQ}}\), just write the \(\mathcal{PQ}\)-component of the equality \([J_1, X_{0+}] = X_{0+}\). We will prove later that this is a basis and that each of these elements correspond to one of the spaces listed in (2.31).

Since \(X_P = (\theta X - X)/2\), we have
\[
[q_i, q_j] = -\frac{1}{4}([X_{0+}^i, X_{0-}^j] + [X_{0-}^i, X_{0+}^j]) = r_{ij}.
\] (2.36)

From equations (2.25b) and (2.25c), we have \(q_1 \perp q_2\) and \(q_2 \perp q_k\). Using the other perpendicularity relations \(\mathcal{K} \perp \mathcal{P}\) and (2.25a), (2.25b), (2.25c), we see that the set \(\{q_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq l - 1}\) is orthogonal.
The space $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as generated by the elements
\[
J_1 \quad r_k = [J_2, q_k] \\
p_1 = [q_0, q_1] \quad p_k = [q_0, q_k] \\
s_1 = [J_1, p_1] \quad s_k = [J_1, p_k].
\] (2.37)

Elements (2.35) and (2.37) will be studied in great details later.

**Remark on the compact part**

Elements of $K$ are elements of the form $X + \theta X$. A part of the elements inside $Z_K(A)$, these elements are of two kinds:
\[
X_{++} + X_{--} \quad (2.38a) \\
X_{+-} + X_{-+} \quad (2.38b)
\]
on the one hand, and
\[
X^k_{0+} + X^k_{0-} \quad (2.39a) \\
X^k_{+0} + X^k_{-0} \quad (2.39b)
\]
on the other hand. The first two are commuting, so that $Z(K)$ is two dimensional when one study $AdS_3$. That correspond to the well known fact that the compact part of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2)$ is $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$ which is abelian. These elements, however, do not commute with the two other. For example, the combination
\[
(X_{++} + X_{--}) - (X_{+-} + X_{-+})
\] (2.40)
does not commute with the elements of the second type. Now, one checks that the combination
\[
(X_{++} + X_{--}) + (X_{+-} + X_{-+})
\] (2.41)
commutes with all the other, so that it is the generator of $Z(K)$ for $AdS_{\geq 4}$. This corresponds to the fact that the compact part of $\mathfrak{so}(2, n)$ is $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n)$. In other terms,
\[
Z(K) = \langle X_{++} + X_{--} + X_{+-} + X_{-+} \rangle \oplus \underbrace{(X_{++} + X_{--} - X_{+-} - X_{-+})}_{\text{only for } AdS_3}.
\] (2.42)

Notice that, for $AdS_{\geq 4}$, we can define $q_0 = (X_{++})_{Z(K)}$ as $K = \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(l - 2)$ for $AdS_l$. The case of $AdS_3$ is particular because $Z(K)$ is of dimension two and we have to set by hand what part of $Z(K)$ belongs to $Q$ (the other part belongs to $\mathcal{H}$). From what is said around equation (2.41), we know that $q_0$ is a multiple of $X_{++} + X_{--} + X_{+-} + X_{-+}$.

Dimension counting shows that $\dim Q = l$ and general theory of homogeneous spaces shows that $Q$ has to be seen as the tangent space of the manifold $G/H$.

**2.2.5 Properties of the reductive decompositions**

We are considering the two reductive decompositions $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus Q = K \oplus \mathcal{P}$ in the same time as the root space decomposition (2.30). We are now giving some properties of them.
2.2. STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRA

We know that $K \cap Q = \langle q_0 \rangle$ belongs to $\tilde{N}_2$. As a consequence, the elements $X^k_{00}$ and $X^k_{0\alpha}$ have no $KQ$-components and

\begin{align}
[J_2, \tilde{N}_k]_{PH} &= 0 \\
[J_2, \tilde{N}_k]_{PQ} &= 0 \\
pr_{PQ} X^k_{00} &= 0 \\
pr_{PH} X^k_{0\alpha} &= 0.
\end{align}

(2.43)

Since $X^k_{00}$ and $X^k_{0\alpha}$ are not eigenvectors of $\theta$, they have a non vanishing $P$-component. We deduce that

\begin{align}
pr_{PH} X^k_{00} &\neq 0 \\
pr_{PQ} X^k_{0\alpha} &\neq 0.
\end{align}

(2.44)

As a consequence of compatibility between $\theta$ and $\sigma$, we have

\begin{align}
[J_1, (X_{\alpha\beta})_H] &= \alpha(X_{\alpha\beta})_H \\
[J_1, (X_{\alpha\beta})_Q] &= \beta(X_{\alpha\beta})_Q
\end{align}

(2.45)

and

\begin{align}
[J_2, (X_{\alpha\beta})_H] &= \beta(X_{\alpha\beta})_Q \\
[J_2, (X_{\alpha\beta})_Q] &= \alpha(X_{\alpha\beta})_H.
\end{align}

(2.46)

So $X_Q$ itself is an eigenvector of $\text{ad}(J_1)$. In the same way, we prove that

\begin{align}
[J_1, (X_{\alpha\beta})_P] &= \alpha(X_{\alpha\beta})_K \\
[J_1, (X_{\alpha\beta})_K] &= \alpha(X_{\alpha\beta})_P
\end{align}

(2.47)

because $J_1 \in P$.

Corollary 26.
The vector $X_{++}$ has non vanishing components in $H \cap P$, $H \cap K$, $Q \cap P$ and $Q \cap K$.

Proof. Since $\text{ad}(J_2)$ inverts the $H$ and $Q$-components of $X_{++}$ (equation (2.46)), they must be both non zero. In the same way $\text{ad}(J_1)$ inverts the components $P$ and $K$ of vectors of $H$ and $Q$ (equations (2.47)).

Lemma 27.
We have $(X^k_{0+})_{PQ} = (X^k_{0+})_{PH} = 0$ and consequently, $(X^k_{0+})_P = (X^k_{0+})_Q$.

Proof. Consider the decomposition of the equality $[J_1, X^k_{0+}] = 0$ into components $PH, PH, KQ, KH$. Since $J_1 \in P \cap H$, the $KH$ and $PQ$ components are

\begin{align}
[J_1, (X^k_{0+})_{PH}] &= 0 \\
[J_1, (X^k_{0+})_{KQ}] &= 0.
\end{align}

(2.48a)

(2.48b)

In the same way, using the fact that $J_2 \in P \cap Q$, we have

\begin{align}
[J_2, (X^k_{0+})_{PH}] &= (X^k_{0+})_{KQ} \\
[J_2, (X^k_{0+})_{KQ}] &= (X^k_{0+})_{PH}.
\end{align}

(2.49a)

(2.49b)

Since $\text{dim}(K \cap Q) = 1$, the component $(X^k_{0+})_{KQ}$ has to be a multiple of $(X_{++})_{KQ}$. Thus we have

\begin{align}
0 = [J_1, (X^k_{0+})_{KQ}] = \lambda[J_1, (X_{++})_{KQ}] = \lambda(X_{++})_{PH},
\end{align}

(2.50)
but \((X_{++})_{PQ} \neq 0\), thus \(\lambda = 0\) and we conclude that \((X^k_{0^+})_KQ = 0\). Now, equation (2.49b) shows that \((X^k_{0^+})_PH = 0\). □

**Lemma 28.**
We have \(\sigma X^k_{0^+} = X^k_{0^+}\).

*Proof.* Since we know that \(\sigma G_{\alpha\beta} \subset G_{\alpha,-\beta}\), the work is to fix the sign in

\[
\sigma X^k_{0^+} = \pm X^k_{0^-} = \pm \theta X^k_{0^+}.
\] (2.51)

Lemma 27 states that \((X^k_{0^+})_P = (X^k_{0^+})_Q\). Thus the \(Q\)-component of \(\theta X^k_{0^+}\) is \(- (X^k_{0^+})_Q\), which is also equal to the \(Q\)-component of \(\sigma (X^k_{0^+})\). That fixes the choice of sign in equation (2.51). □

The following is an immediate corollary of lemma 28 and the fact that \(\theta\) fixes \(P\) and \(K\) while \(\sigma\) fixes \(H\) and \(Q\).

**Corollary 29.**
We have

\[
\begin{align*}
(X^k_{0^+})_H &= (X^k_{0^-})_H \\
(X^k_{0^+})_Q &= -(X^k_{0^-})_Q \\
(X^k_{0^+})_P &= -(X^k_{0^-})_P \\
(X^k_{0^+})_K &= (X^k_{0^-})_K.
\end{align*}
\] (2.52)

*Proof.* Since \(\sigma\) acts as the identity on \(H\) and changes the sign on \(Q\), we have

\[
\sigma X^k_{0^+} = \sigma ((X^k_{0^+})_H + (X^k_{0^+})_Q) = (X^k_{0^-})_H - (X^k_{0^-})_Q,
\] (2.53)

but lemma 28 states that \(\sigma X^k_{0^+} = X^k_{0^-} = (X^k_{0^-})_H + (X^k_{0^-})_Q\). Equating the \(H\) and \(Q\)-component of these two expressions of \(\sigma X^k_{0^+}\) brings the two first equalities.

The two other are proven the same way. We know that \(\theta X^k_{0^+} = X^k_{0^-}\), but

\[
\theta X^k_{0^+} = \theta ((X^k_{0^+})_P + (X^k_{0^+})_K) = -(X^k_{0^-})_P + (X^k_{0^-})_K.
\] (2.54)

The two last relations follow. □

**An interesting basis of \(Q\)**

Being the tangent space of \(AdS\), the space \(Q\) is of a particular importance. Let us now have a closer look at the vectors that we already mentioned in equations (2.35):

\[
\begin{align*}
q_0 &= (X_{++})_KQ \\
q_1 &= J_2 \\
q_2 &= -[J_1, q_0] = -(X_{++})_PQ \\
q_k &= (X^k_{0^+})_Q
\end{align*}
\] (2.55)

By lemma 27, and the discussion about \(Z(K)\) (equation (2.42)), we can express the elements \(q_i\) without explicit references to \(Q\) itself and each element corresponds to a particular space (once again, the choice of \(q_0\) is not that simple in \(AdS_3\)):
2.2. STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRA

\[ q_0 = (X_{1+})z(K) \quad \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \]  
\[ q_1 = J_2 \quad \in \mathcal{Q} \cap A \]  
\[ q_2 = -[J_1, q_0] \quad \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \]  
\[ q_k = (X_{1+}^k)_p \quad \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_k \]  

(2.56a) \hfill (2.56b) \hfill (2.56c) \hfill (2.56d)

These elements correspond to the expression (2.31). The compact part isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{so}(2, l - 1) \) is then generated by the elements

**Remark 30.**

The space \( Z(K) \) is given by the structure of the compact part of \( \mathfrak{so}(2, n) \), the elements \( (X_{1+}^k)_p \) are defined from the root space structure of \( \mathfrak{so}(2, n) \) and the Cartan involution. The elements \( J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) are a basis of \( A \). However, we need to know \( \mathcal{H} \) in order to distinguish \( J_1 \) from \( J_2 \) that are respectively generators of \( \mathcal{A}_H \) and \( \mathcal{A}_Q \).

Thus the basis (2.56) is given in a way almost independent of the choice of \( \mathcal{H} \).

**Corollary 31.**

We have \( q_0 \in \mathcal{K} \) and \( q_i \in \mathcal{P} \) if \( i \neq 0 \) and the set \( \{q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_l\} \) is a basis of \( \mathcal{Q} \). Moreover, we have \( \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_k = \langle q_k \rangle \).

**Proof.** The first claim is a direct consequence of the expressions (2.56). Linear independence is a direct consequence of the spaces to which each vector belongs. A dimensional counting shows that it is a basis of \( \mathcal{Q} \). \( \square \)

**Magic intertwining elements**

The vectors \( q_i \) have the property to be intertwined by some elements of \( \mathcal{H} \). Namely, the adjoint action of the elements

\[ X_1 = p_1 = -[J_2, q_0] \quad \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \]  
\[ X_2 = s_1 = [J_1, X_1] \quad \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \]  
\[ X_k = -r_k = -[J_2, q_k] \quad \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_k \]  

(2.57a) \hfill (2.57b) \hfill (2.57c)

intertwines the \( q_i \)'s in the sense of the following proposition.

**Proposition 32** (Intertwining properties).

The elements defined by equation (2.57) satisfy

\[ \text{ad}(J_1)q_0 = -q_2 \]  
\[ \text{ad}(J_1)q_2 = -q_0. \]  
\[ \text{ad}(X_1)q_1 = q_0 \]  
\[ \text{ad}(X_1)q_0 = q_1. \]  
\[ \text{ad}(X_2)q_2 = q_1 \]  
\[ \text{ad}(X_2)q_1 = -q_2. \]  
\[ \text{ad}(X_k)q_k = -q_1. \]  
\[ \text{ad}(X_k)q_1 = q_k. \]
Proof. Equation (2.58a) is by definition while equation (2.58b) follows from the first one and the fact that \(\text{ad}(J_2)^2\) acts as the identity on \(\tilde{N}_2\).

The equality (2.59a) is a direct consequence of the fact that \(\text{ad}(J_2)^2\) is the identity on \(\tilde{N}_2\), so that

\[
[X_1, q_1] = -[[J_2, q_0], q_1] = \text{ad}(J_2)^2 q_0 = q_0. \tag{2.62}
\]

For the relation (2.59b), first remark that, since \(q_0 = (X_{++})_{\kappa Q}\), we have

\[
X_1 = -(X_{++})_{\sigma P H}
\]

and we have to compute

\[
[X_1, q_0] = -[(X_{++})_{\sigma P H}, (X_{++})_{\kappa Q}] \tag{2.63}
\]

Using the projections (2.34), we have

\[
(X_{++})_{\sigma P H} = \frac{1}{4}(X_{++} + \sigma X_{++} - \theta X_{++} - \sigma \theta X_{++})
\]

\[
(X_{++})_{\kappa Q} = \frac{1}{4}(X_{++} - \sigma X_{++} + \theta X_{++} - \sigma \theta X_{++}) \tag{2.65}
\]

We compute the commutator taking into account the facts that \(\sigma\) is an automorphism and that, for example, \([X_{++}, \sigma X_{++}] = 0\) because \(\sigma X_{++} \in \mathcal{G}_{++}\). What we find is

\[
[(X_{++})_{\sigma P H}, (X_{++})_{\kappa Q}] = \frac{1}{4} \left( [X_{++}, \theta X_{++}] - \sigma [X_{++}, \theta X_{++}] \right) = \frac{1}{4} X_{++}. \tag{2.66}
\]

Since \([X_{++}, X_{--}] = -4(J_1 + J_2)\), we have \([X_1, q_0] = J_2 = q_1\) as expected.

For equation (2.60a) we use the Jacobi relation and the relation (2.58b).

\[
[q_2, X_2] = [q_2, [J_1, p_1]]
\]

\[
= -[J_1, [p_1, q_2]] - [p_1, [q_2, J_1]]
\]

\[
= -[p_1, q_0]
\]

\[
= -q_1. \tag{2.67}
\]

For equation (2.60b), we use the definition of \(X_2\), the Jacobi identity and the facts that \([p_1, J_2] = q_0\) and \([J_1, q_0] = -q_2\).

We pass now to the fourth pair of intertwining relations. By definition, \(q_k = (X_{0_+}^k)_{\sigma P}\), but taking into account the fact that \(J_2 \in \mathcal{P}\) we can decompose the relation \([J_2, X_{0_+}] = X_{0_+}\) into

\[
[J_2, (X_{0_+})_{\sigma P}] = (X_{0_+})_{\kappa} \tag{2.68a}
\]

\[
[J_2, (X_{0_+})_{\kappa}] = (X_{0_+})_{\sigma P}. \tag{2.68b}
\]

Equation (2.68a) told us that

\[
X_k = -(X_{0_+})_{\kappa}. \tag{2.69}
\]

Now we have to compute \([X_k, q_k] = -[(X_{0_+})_{\kappa}, (X_{0_+})_{\sigma P}]\). We know that \([X_{0_+}, X_{0_-}] = -2J_2 \in \mathcal{P}\).

Thus corollary 29 brings

\[
-2J_2 = [(X_{0_+})_{\kappa}, (X_{0_-})_{\sigma P}] + [(X_{0_+})_{\sigma P}, (X_{0_-})_{\kappa}] = -2[(X_{0_+})_{\kappa}, (X_{0_+})_{\sigma P}] = 2[X_k, q_k], \tag{2.70}
\]

and the result follows.

For equation (2.61b), we have to compute \([X_k, q_1] = [J_2, (X_{0_+}^k)_{\kappa}]\). The \(\mathcal{P}\)-component of \([J_2, X_{0_+}^k] = X_{0_+}^k\) is exactly

\[
[J_2, (X_{0_+}^k)_{\kappa}] = (X_{0_+}^k)_{\sigma P} = q_k. \tag{2.71}
\]

□
These intertwining relations will be widely used in computing the norm of the vectors \( q_i \) in proposition 35 as well as in some other occasions.

Let us now give a few words about the existence and unicity of these elements. The fact that there exists an element \( X_1 \) such that \( \text{ad}(J_2)X_1 = q_0 \) comes from the decomposition (2.86) and the fact that each \( X_{++} \) is an eigenvector of \( \text{ad}(J_2) \). It is thus sufficient to adapt the signs in order to manage a combination of \( X_{++}, X_{+-}, X_{-+} \) and \( X_{--} \) on which the adjoint action of \( J_2 \) creates \( q_0 \). However, the fact that this element has in the same time the “symmetric” property \( \text{ad}(X_1)q_0 = q_1 \) could seem a miracle. See theorem 59.

**Lemma 33.**

An element \( X_1 \) such that \( \text{ad}(X_1)q_1 = q_0 \) can be chosen in \( \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \). Moreover, this choice is unique up to normalisation.

**Proof.** Unicity is nothing else than the fact that \( \dim(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2) = 1 \). Indeed, since \( \mathcal{G} = A \oplus \tilde{N} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{K}(A) \) and \( A \subset \mathcal{P} \), we have \( \mathcal{K} \subset \tilde{N} \). Dimension counting shows that \( \dim(\tilde{N}_2 \cap \mathcal{H}) = 2 \) (because \( \dim(\tilde{N}_2) = 4 \) and \( q_0, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \)). As we are looking in \( \tilde{N}_2 \), we are limited to elements in \( \mathfrak{so}(2, 2) \) (not the higher dimensional slices), so that we can consider \( \mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \). One of these two \( \mathfrak{so}(2) \) factors belongs to \( \mathcal{H} \), so that \( \dim(\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2) = 1 \) and finally \( \dim(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2) = 1 \).

Let now \( X_1 \) be such that \( [X_1, q_1] = q_0 \). If \( X_1 \) has a component in \( \mathcal{Q} \), that component has to commute with \( q_1 \) (if not, the commutator \( [X_1, q_1] \) would have a \( \mathcal{H} \)-component). So we can redefine \( X_1 \) in order to have \( X_1 \in \mathcal{H} \).

In the same way, a \( \mathcal{A} \)-component has to be \( J_1 \) (because \( J_2 \in \mathcal{Q} \) which commutes with \( q_1 \). We redefine \( X_1 \) in order to remove its \( J_1 \)-component. We remove a component in \( \tilde{N}_k \) because \( \tilde{N}_2, \tilde{N}_k \subset \tilde{N}_k \), and a \( \mathcal{K} \)-component can also be removed since its commutator with \( q_1 \) would produce a \( \mathcal{P} \)-component. We showed that \( X_1 \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2 \). \( \blacksquare \)

**Lemma 34.**

An element \( X_k \) such that \( \text{ad}(X_k)q_1 = q_k \) can be chosen in \( \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_k \).

**Proof.** The proof is elementary in three steps using the fact that \( q_1 \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{A} \):

1. A \( \mathcal{P} \)-component can be annihilated because \( \{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}\} \subset \mathcal{K} \) while \( q_k \in \mathcal{P} \),
2. A \( \mathcal{Q} \)-component can be annihilated because \( \{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}\} \subset \mathcal{H} \) while \( q_k \in \mathcal{Q} \),
3. If \( k' \neq k \), a \( \tilde{N}_{k'} \)-component can be annihilated because \( \tilde{N}_{k'}, \mathcal{A} \subset \tilde{N}_{k'} \) while \( q_k \in \tilde{N}_k \).

\( \blacksquare \)

**Killing form and orthogonality**

We define the norm of an element in \( \mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{so}(2, n) \) as

\[
\|X\| = -\frac{1}{2n}B(X, X).
\]  

(2.72)

Notice that \( q_0 \) belongs to the compact part of \( \mathcal{G} \), so that its Killing norm is negative, so that \( \|q_0\| \) is positive.

**Proposition 35.**

We have \( \|q_0\| = 1 \) and \( \|q_i\| = -1 \) (if \( i \neq 0 \)). As a consequence, the space \( \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} \) is Lorentzian.
Proof. We begin by computing the norm of \( q_1 = J_2 \). The Killing form \( B(J_2, J_2) = \text{Tr} \left( \text{ad}(J_2) \circ \text{ad}(J_2) \right) \) is the easiest to compute in the basis \( \mathbb{Z}_K(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N} \) of eigenvectors of \( J_2 \). If we look at the matrix of \( \text{ad}(J_2) \circ \text{ad}(J_2) \), we have one 1 at each of the positions of \( X_{++}, X_{+-}, X_{-+} \), and \( X_{--} \). Moreover, for each higher dimensional slice, we get additional 2 because of \( X_{0+}^k \) and \( X_{+0}^k \). When one looks at \( \mathfrak{so}(2, n) \) we have \( n - 2 \) higher dimensional slices, so that

\[
B(J_2, J_2) = 4 + 2(n - 2) = 2n. \tag{2.73}
\]

The result is that \( B(q_1, q_1) = 6 \), so that \( \| q_1 \| = -1 \).

We are going to propagate that result to other elements of the basis, using the “magic” intertwining elements \( X_1, X_2 \) and \( J_1 \).

Using left invariance of the Killing form, we find

\[
B(q_0, q_0) = B(q_0, -\text{ad}(J_1)q_2) = B(\text{ad}(J_1)q_0, q_2) = -B(q_2, q_2), \tag{2.74}
\]

so that \( \| q_0 \| = -\| q_2 \| \).

Now, the same computation with \( X_1 \) and \( X_k \) instead of \( J_1 \) show that \( \| q_0 \| = -\| q_1 \| \) and \( \| q_1 \| = \| q_k \| \).

\[\square\]

Remark 36.

Using the fact that the basis \( \{ q_i \} \) is orthonormal, we can decompose an element of \( \mathcal{Q} \) by the Killing form. One only has to be careful on the sign: if \( X = aq_0 + \sum_i b_i q_i \), we have

\[
a = B(X, q_0) \]

\[
b_i = -B(X, q_i). \tag{2.75}
\]

Remark 37.

As a consequence, a light like direction reads, up to normalization, \( E(w) = q_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} w_i q_i \) with \( w \in S^{l-2} \).

Other properties

Lemma 38.

We have \( \sigma X_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{G}_{(\alpha, -\beta)} \). In particular, \( X_{0+}^k \) has non vanishing components in \( \mathcal{H} \) and in \( \mathcal{Q} \).

Proof. If one applies \( \sigma \) to the equality \([ J_2, X_{\alpha \beta} ] = \beta X_{\alpha \beta} \), we see that \( \sigma X_{\alpha \beta} \) is an eigenvector of \( \text{ad}(J_2) \) with eigenvalue \(-\beta \). The same with \( \text{ad}(J_1) \) shows that \( \sigma X_{\alpha \beta} \) has \(+1\) as eigenvalue. Thus \( \sigma X_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{G}_{(\alpha, -\beta)} \).

In particular, \( \sigma X_{0+}^k \neq \pm X_{0+}^k \) so that it does not belongs to \( \mathcal{H} \) nor to \( \mathcal{Q} \).

\[\square\]

Notice that, as corollary, we have

\[
\sigma X_{\alpha, \beta} = \pm X_{\alpha, -\beta}. \tag{2.76}
\]

Lemma 39.

We have \( (X_{++})_\mathcal{Q} = (X_{+-})_\mathcal{Q} \) or, equivalently, \( \sigma X_{++} = -X_{+-} \).

Proof. Since \( q_1 = J_2 \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( q_k \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}_k \), the \( \mathcal{Q} \)-component of \( X_{++} \) and \( X_{+-} \) are only made of \( q_0 \) and \( q_2 \). We are going to prove the following three equalities.

1. \( B(X_{++}, q_2) = B(X_{+-}, q_0) \)
2. \( B(X_{++}, q_2) = B(X_{++}, q_0) \)
2.2. STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRA

3. $B(X_{++}, g_0) = B(X_{++}, q_0)$

The first point is proved using the fact that $q_2 = [g_0, J_1]$ and the ad-invariance of the Killing form:

$$B(X_{++}, q_2) = -B(X_{+-}, \text{ad}(J_1)g_0) = B(\text{ad}(J_1)X_{+-}, q_0) = B(X_{+-}, q_0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.77)

One checks the second point in the same way. For the third equality, we know from decomposition (2.42) that $q_0$ is a multiple of $X_{++} + X_{+-} + X_{+-} + X_{--}$. If the multiple is $\lambda$, $B(X_{++}, q_0) = \lambda B(X_{++}, X_{--})$ and $B(X_{+-}, q_0) = \lambda B(X_{+-}, X_{+-})$. Thus we have to prove that the traces of the operators

$$\gamma_1 = \text{ad}(X_{++}) \circ \text{ad}(X_{--})$$
$$\gamma_2 = \text{ad}(X_{+-}) \circ \text{ad}(X_{+-})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.78)

are the same. That trace is straightforward to compute on the natural basis of $G = \mathcal{Z}(A) \oplus A \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. The only elements on which $\text{ad}(X_{--})$ is not zero are $A$, $X_{++}^k$, $X_{+-}^k$ and $X_{++}^{k+1}$, while for $\text{ad}(X_{+-})$, the only non-vanishing elements are $A$, $X_{++}^k$, $X_{+-}^k$ and $X_{+-}$. From equation (2.19e), we have $\gamma_1(r_{ij}) = \gamma_2(r_{ij}) = 0$. Using the commutation relations, we find

$$\gamma_1 J_1 = [X_{++}, X_{--}] = -4(J_1 + J_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.79a)
$$\gamma_1 J_2 = [X_{++}, X_{--}] = -4(J_1 + J_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.79b)
$$\gamma_1 X_{0+}^k = 2[X_{++}, X_{0+}^k] = -4X_{0+}^k$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.79c)
$$\gamma_1 X_{0-}^k = -2[X_{++}, X_{0-}^k] = -4X_{0-}^k$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.79d)
$$\gamma_1 X_{++} = [X_{++}, 4(J_1 + J_2)] = -8X_{++}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.79e)

Thus $\text{Tr}(\gamma_1) = -24$. The same computations bring

$$\gamma_2 J_1 = [X_{++}, X_{--}] = -4(J_1 - J_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.80a)
$$\gamma_2 J_2 = [X_{++}, X_{--}] = 4(J_1 - J_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.80b)
$$\gamma_2 X_{0+}^k = -2[X_{++}, X_{0-}^k] = -4X_{0+}^k$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.80c)
$$\gamma_2 X_{0-}^k = [X_{++}, 4(J_1 - J_2)] = -8X_{--}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.80d)
$$\gamma_2 X_{0+}^k = 2[X_{--}, X_{0+}^k] = -2X_{0+}^k$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.80e)

and $\text{Tr}(\gamma_2) = -24$. Thus we have

$$\text{pr}_{Z(K)}(X_{++}) = \text{pr}_{Z(K)}(X_{--}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.81)

Notice that the lemma is trivial if we consider that $X_{++} - X_{--}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ by definition of $\mathcal{H}$. From an $AdS$ point of view, we define $AdS = G/H$ and we have to define $H$, so from that point of view, lemma 39 is by definition. However, the direction we have in mind is to use the more generic tools as possible. From that point of view, the fact to set $Z(K) \subset Q$ is more intrinsic than to set $X_{++} - X_{--} \in H$.

**Proposition 40.**

We have $(X_{++})_Q = (X_{--})_Q = q_0 - q_2$.

**Proof.** Using the remark 36, the three Killing forms computed in the proof of lemma 39 are expressed under the form

$$\text{pr}_{Z(K)}(X_{++}) = \text{pr}_{Z(K)}(X_{--}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.81)

Notice that the lemma is trivial if we consider that $X_{++} - X_{--}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ by definition of $\mathcal{H}$. From an $AdS$ point of view, we define $AdS = G/H$ and we have to define $H$, so from that point of view, lemma 39 is by definition. However, the direction we have in mind is to use the more generic tools as possible. From that point of view, the fact to set $Z(K) \subset Q$ is more intrinsic than to set $X_{++} - X_{--} \in H$.
Consequently, we have $(X_{++})_Q = \lambda(q_0 - q_2)$ and $(X_{+-})_Q = \lambda(q_0 - q_2)$ for a constant $\lambda$ to be fixed. It is fixed to be 1 by the facts that, by definition, $q_0 = (X_{++})_KQ$ and $q_2 \in P$.

**Lemma 41.**
We have
\[
\begin{align*}
[J_2, q_0] &= (X_{++})_P \neq 0 \\
[J_2, q_1] &= 0 \\
[J_2, q_2] &= (X_{++})_K \neq 0 \\
[J_2, q_k] &= (X_{++}^k)_H \neq 0 \\
[J_1, p_1] &= -(X_{++})_K H \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]
where $k \geq 3$.

**Proof.** Using the fact that $J_2 \in Q \cap P$ and that $X_{++}$ has non vanishing components “everywhere” (corollary 26), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
[J_2, q_0] &= [J_2, (X_{++})_K Q] = (X_{++})_P H \neq 0 \\
[J_2, q_2] &= [J_2, (X_{++})_P Q] = (X_{++})_K H \neq 0 \\
[J_1, p_1] &= [J_1, (X_{++})_P Q] = -(X_{++})_K H \neq 0 \\
[J_2, q_k] &= [J_2, (X_{++}^k)_Q] = (X_{++}^k)_H \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]
(2.83)

**Lemma 42.**
If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $X_{++}^k \in \mathcal{H}$.

**Proof.** The element $pr_Q X_{++}^k$ is a combination of $q_i$. Since \( \text{ad}(J_2) pr_Q X_{++}^k = 0 \), we must have $(X_{++}^k)_Q = \lambda J_2$ by lemma 41. Using the fact that $J_1 \in \mathcal{H}$, the $Q$-component of the equality $[J_1, X_{++}^k] = \alpha X_{++}^k$ becomes
\[
[J_1, \lambda J_2] = \alpha \lambda J_2.
\]
(2.85)
The left-hand side is obviously zero, so that $\lambda = 0$ which proves that $X_{++}^k \in \mathcal{H}$.

Applying successively the projections (2.34), and lemma 39, we write the basis elements of $Q$ in the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{N}}$:
\[
\begin{align*}
q_0 &= \frac{1}{4} (X_{++} + X_{+-} + X_{-+} + X_{--}), \\
q_1 &= J_2, \\
q_2 &= \frac{1}{4} (-X_{++} - X_{+-} + X_{-+} + X_{--}), \\
q_k &= \frac{1}{2} (X_{++}^k - X_{0-}^k)
\end{align*}
\]
(2.86a, 2.86b, 2.86c, 2.86d)
with $k \geq 3$. Notice that none of them has component in $Z_K(A)$.

These decompositions allow us to compute the commutators $[q_i, q_j]$ and $[q_i, J_p]$. Instead of listing here every commutation relations, we will only write the ones we use when we need them.

**Lemma 43.**
We have $[q_0, q_2] = -J_1$. 

---

The text above is the natural form of the document as if you were reading it naturally.
Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the one of equation (2.59b) in lemma 32. Here we use

\[(X_{++})_{PQ} = \frac{1}{4}(X_{++} - \sigma X_{++} - \theta X_{++} + \sigma \theta X_{++})\]  

(2.87)

and we find

\[[q_0, q_2] = -[(X_{++})_{KQ}, (X_{++})_{PQ}] = -\frac{1}{4}[\theta X_{++}, X_{++}]_{\mathcal{H}} = -J_1.\]  

(2.88)

Lemma 44.
We have

\[\[X_1, q_2\] = \[X_1, q_k\] = 0\]  

(2.89)

for \(k \geq 3\).

Proof. The proof is elementary:

\[\[X_1, q_2\] \in [P \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2, P \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_2] \subset \mathcal{K} \cap Q \cap A = \{0\}\]  

(2.90)

\[\[X_1, q_k\] \in [P \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_2, P \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_k] \subset \mathcal{K} \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_k = \{0\}.\]

Corollary 45.
We have

\[\text{ad}(J_1)^2_{\tilde{N}_2} = \text{ad}(J_2)^2_{\tilde{N}_2} = \text{id} \text{ and } \text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_i = q_i.\]

Proof. The action of \(\text{ad}(q_1)^2\) is to change two times the sign of the components \(X_{+-}\). Thus \(\text{ad}(q_1)^2 = \text{id}\) on \(\tilde{N}_2\). The result is now proved for \(i = 0, 1, 2\). For the higher dimensions, we use the fact that \(J_2 = q_1\) and we find

\[q_k = [X_k, q_1] = -[q_1, X_k] = \text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_k\]  

as claimed.

Moreover, the elements of \(\tilde{N}_2\) are build of elements of the form \(X_{\pm\pm}\), so that \(\text{ad}(J_1)^2\) changes at most twice the sign.

Lemma 46.
We have

\[\[X_k, q_0\] = \[X_k, J_1\] = \[X_k, q_2\] = 0\]  

(2.92a)

\[\[J_1, q_k\] = 0.\]  

(2.92b)

Proof. The first claim is proved in a very standard way:

\[\[X_k, q_0\] \in [\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{N}_k, \mathcal{K} \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_2] \subset \mathcal{K} \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_k = \{0\}.\]  

(2.93)

For the second commutator, we use the Jacobi identity and the definition \(X_k = -[J_2, q_k]\):

\[\[J_1, [J_2, q_k]\] = -[J_2, [q_k, J_1]] - [q_k, [J_1, J_2]],\]  

(2.94)
\[ [q_k, J_1] \in [\mathcal{P} \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_k, \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{A}] \subset K \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_k = \{ 0 \}. \tag{2.95} \]

That proves (2.92b) in the same time.

For the third commutator, remark that, since \( q_2 = [q_0, J_1] \), we have
\[
[X_k, q_2] = -[q_0, [J_1, X_k]] - [J_1, [X_k, q_0]]. \tag{2.96}
\]

which is zero by the two first claims. \( \square \)

**Proposition 47.**

If \( E \) is nilpotent in \( Q \), then \( \text{ad}(E)^3 = 0 \).

**Proof.** If \( E_1 \) is a nilpotent element of \( Q \), then every nilpotent elements in \( Q \) are of the form \( \lambda \text{Ad}(k)E_1 \) for some \( k \in K \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). It is then sufficient to prove that one of them is of order two. The element
\[
q_0 - q_2 = \frac{1}{2} (X_{++} + X_{+-}), \tag{2.97}
\]
is obviously of order two because the eigenvalue for \( \text{ad}(J_1) \) increases by one unit at each iteration of \( \text{ad}(q_0 - q_2) \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 48.**

We have \( [J_1, q_k] = 0 \).

**Proof.** The proof is standard:
\[
[J_1, q_k] \in [\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{A}, Q \cap \mathcal{P} \cap \tilde{N}_k] \subset Q \cap K \cap \tilde{N}_k = \{ 0 \}. \tag{2.98}
\]

The following theorem, which relies on the preceding lemmas, will be central in computing the Killing form which appears in the characterization of theorem 68.

**Theorem 49.**

We have
\[
\text{ad}(q_i)^2 q_j = q_j \tag{2.99}
\]
if \( i \neq j \) and \( i \neq 0 \). If \( i = 0 \), we have
\[
\text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_j = -q_j. \tag{2.100}
\]

**Proof.** The case \( i = 1 \) is already done in corollary 45.

We propagate that result to the other \( \text{ad}(q_i)^2 \) with the intertwining elements \( J_1, X_1 \) and \( X_k \).

Let us compute \( \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_i = \text{ad} ([X_1, q_i])^2 q_i \), using twice the Jacobi identity and the properties of \( X_1 \) (in order to be more readable, we write \( XY \) for \([X,Y]\))
\[
\text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_i = (X_1 q_i) \left( (X_1 q_i) q_i \right)
\]
\[
= -(X_1 q_i) \left( (q_1 q_i) X_1 + (q_i X_1) q_i \right)
\]
\[
= (q_1 q_i) (X_1 (X_1 q_i)) + (q_i X_1) (q_1 (X_1 q_i))
\]
\[
+ X_1 ((X_1 q_i) (q_1 q_i)) + q_i ((X_1 q_i) (q_1 X_1)) \tag{2.101}
\]
\[
= (q_1 q_i) q_i - \text{ad}(X_1)^2 q_i + X_1 (q_0 (q_1 q_i)) + q_i (q_0 (q_i X_1)).
\]
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If \( i = 1 \), the only non vanishing term is \( -\text{ad}(X_1)^2 q_1 = -q_1 \). Thus \( \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_1 = -q_1 \).

If \( i = 2 \), the relation (2.89) annihilates the second and fourth terms while \([q_1, q_2]\) commutes with \( q_0 \) because \( q_0 \in Z(K) \). We are thus left with the term \(-q_2\), and \( \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_2 = -q_2 \).

If \( i = k \geq 3 \), we find

\[
\text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_k = -\text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_k - \text{ad}(X_1)^2 q_k + X_1 (q_0(q_1 q_k)) + q_1 (q_0(q_k X_1)).
\] (2.102)

Since \([q_1, q_k] \in K\), it commutes with \( q_0 \). Using the fact that \([X_1, q_k] = 0\), we get \( \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_k = -q_k \).

Let us perform the same computations as in (2.101) with \( q_k \) \((k \geq 3)\) instead of \( q_0 \) and \( X_k \) (equations (2.61)) instead of \( X_1 \). What we get is

\[
\text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_1 = \text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_1 - \text{ad}(X_k)^2 q_1 + X_k (q_k(q_1 q_1)) + q_1 (q_k(q_1 X_k)).
\] (2.103)

If we set \( i = 0 \), taking into account the commutator \([X_k, q_0] = 0\), we have

\[
\text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_0 = \text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_0 + X_k (q_k(q_1 q_0)).
\] (2.104)

As already proved, the first term is \( q_0 \). Now,

\[
[q_k, [q_1, q_0]] \in K \cap Q \cap N_k = \{0\},
\] (2.105)

so that the second term in (2.104) is zero. Thus we proved that \( \text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_0 = q_0 \).

If we set \( i = 1 \), taking into account the relations (2.61), we find

\[
\text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_1 = -\text{ad}(X_k)^2 q_1 + q_1 (q_k(q_1 X_k)) = q_1.
\] (2.106)

If we set \( i = 2 \) and using the fact that \([X_k, q_2] = 0\), we find

\[
\text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_2 = q_2 - q_k (X_k(q_1 q_2)).
\] (2.107)

Using once again the Jacobi identity inside the big parenthesis, we find \( 2q_2 - \text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_2 \). This proves that \( \text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_2 = q_2 \).

We turn now our attention to \( \text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_1 \). We perform the same computation, using the intertwining property (2.58) of \( J_1 \). What we get is

\[
\text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_1 = (J_1 q_1)(q_0 q_2) - \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_1 + q_0(q_2(q_1 q_1)) + J_1 (q_2(q_0 q_0)).
\] (2.108)

If we set \( i = 1 \), we use the already proved property \( \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_1 = -q_1 \), and we obtain

\[
\text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_1 = (J_1 q_1)(q_0 q_2) + q_1 + q_0(q_2(J_1 q_1)) + J_1 (q_2(q_0 q_0)).
\] (2.109)

We claim that all of these terms are zero except for \( q_1 \). First, \([q_2, [q_1, k_1]] \in [N_2, [A, N_2]] \subset A\).

Thus the last term vanishes. The commutator \([J_1, q_1] \) vanishes because \( q_1 = J_2 \). We are done with \( \text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_1 = q_1 \).

If we set \( i = k \) \((k \geq 3)\) in (2.108), we use \( \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_k = -q_k \) and what we find is

\[
\text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_k = (J_1 q_k)(q_0 q_2) + q_k + q_0(q_2(J_1 q_k)) + J_1 (q_2(q_0 q_0)).
\] (2.110)

We already know that \([J_1, q_k] = 0\). We have \([q_2, [q_k, q_0]] = 0\) because

\[
[q_2, [q_k, q_0]] \in [P \cap Q \cap N_k, [P \cap Q \cap N_k, K \cap Q \cap N_k]] \subset [P \cap Q \cap N_k, P \cap H \cap N_k]
\] (2.111)

\subset K \cap Q \cap N_k = \{0\}. 
The remaining terms in (2.110) are \( \text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_k = q_k \).

In order to compute \( \text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_0 \), we write \( q_0 = \text{ad}(X_1)q_1 \). Using twice the Jacobi identity, we get
\[
\text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_0 = X_1((q_1 q_2)q_2) + q_1((X_1 q_2)q_2) + (q_1 q_2)(X_1 q_2) + (X_1 q_2)(q_2 q_1).
\]
Using the fact that \([X_1, q_2] = 0\), we are left with
\[
\text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_0 = X_1(\text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_1) = [X_1, q_1] = q_0
\]
as desired.

### 2.2.6 A convenient basis for the root spaces and computations

The most natural basis of \( \tilde{N}_2 \) is
\[
\tilde{N}_2 = (X_{++}, X_{+-}, X_{-+}, X_{--}),
\]
but the multiple commutators of these elements with \( q_0 \) reveal to require some work.

We provide in this section an other basis for \( \tilde{N} \) that corresponds to the decomposition \( K \oplus P \).

Since \( q_0 \) is central in \( K \), the exponential \( e^{xq_0}X \) is trivial when \( X \in K \) and, since \( q_0 \in K \), the commutator \([q_0, X]\) remains in \( P \) when \( X \in P \).

Here is the new basis:
\[
\mathcal{B} = \{J_1, J_2, q_0, q_2, p_1, s_1, q_k, p_k, r_k, s_k\}_{k=3, \ldots, l-1}
\]
where
\[
q_0 = \frac{1}{4}(X_{++} + X_{-+} + X_{-+} + X_{--}) \in K \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_2 \tag{2.116a}
\]
\[
q_2 = [q_0, J_1] = \frac{1}{4}(-X_{++} - X_{++} + X_{-+} + X_{--}) \in P \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_2 \tag{2.116b}
\]
\[
p_1 = [q_0, q_1] = \frac{1}{4}(-X_{++} + X_{++} + X_{-+} + X_{--}) \in P \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_2 \tag{2.116c}
\]
\[
s_1 = [J_1, p_1] = \frac{1}{4}(X_{++} + X_{++} + X_{-+} - X_{--}) \in K \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_2 \tag{2.116d}
\]
\[
q_k = \frac{1}{2}(X^k_{++} - X^k_{--}) \in P \cap Q \cap \tilde{N}_k \tag{2.116e}
\]
\[
p_k = [q_0, q_k] = \frac{1}{2}(X^k_{-0} - X^k_{+0}) \in P \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_k \tag{2.116f}
\]
\[
r_k = [J_2, q_k] = \frac{1}{2}(X^k_{0+} + X^k_{0-}) \in K \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_k \tag{2.116g}
\]
\[
s_k = [J_1, p_k] = -\frac{1}{2}(X^k_{-0} + X^k_{+0}) \in K \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_k \tag{2.116h}
\]
\[
r_{ij} = [q_i, q_j] \in K \cap H \cap G_0 \tag{2.116i}
\]

and
\[
J_1 \in P \cap H \cap A \tag{2.116j}
\]
\[
q_1 = J_2 \in P \cap Q \cap A \tag{2.116k}
\]
Notice that the elements $p_1$ and $s_1$ are non vanishing by lemma 41. We have

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_2 &= \langle q_2 \rangle \\
\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_k &= \langle q_k \rangle \\
\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap A &= \langle J_2 \rangle \\
\mathcal{P} \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_2 &= \langle p_1 \rangle \\
\mathcal{P} \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_k &= \langle p_k \rangle \\
\mathcal{P} \cap H \cap A &= \langle J_1 \rangle \\
\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_2 &= \langle q_0 \rangle \\
\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \tilde{N}_k &= \emptyset \\
\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap A &= \emptyset \\
\mathcal{K} \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_2 &= \langle s_1 \rangle \\
\mathcal{K} \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_k &= \emptyset \\
\mathcal{K} \cap H \cap A &= \emptyset
\end{align*}

(2.117a–f)

The decomposition of $\tilde{N}_2$ into $\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{P}$ is

\[ \tilde{N}_2 = \langle q_0, s_1 \rangle \oplus \langle q_1, p_1 \rangle. \] (2.118)

The decomposition of $\tilde{N}_k$ into $\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{P}$ is

\[ \tilde{N}_k = \langle r_k, s_k, r_{ij} \rangle_{k,i,j \geq 3} \oplus \langle q_k, p_k \rangle. \] (2.119)

We are now going to compute all the Killing form and commutators in this basis.

**Proposition 50.**

We have

\[ B(p_k, p_k) = -B(q_0, q_0) \]
\[ B(r_k, r_k) = B(q_0, q_0) \]
\[ B(s_k, s_k) = B(q_0, q_0), \]

and then

\[ -\|p_k\|^2 = \|r_k\|^2 = \|s_k\|^2 = 1. \] (2.121)

**Proof.** Using the definitions (2.116) and the theorem 49, we have

\[ B(p_k, p_k) = B(\text{ad}(q_k)q_0, \text{ad}(q_k)q_0) = -B(\text{ad}(q_k)^2q_0, q_0) = -B(q_0, q_0), \] (2.122a)

and

\[ B(r_k, r_k) = B(\text{ad}(q_k)q_k, \text{ad}(q_1)q_k) = -B(q_k, q_k) = B(q_0, q_0). \] (2.122b)

and

\[ B(s_k, s_k) = -B(\text{ad}(J_1)^2p_k, p_k) = -B(p_k, p_k) = B(q_0, q_0). \] (2.122c)

Notice that we are not surprised by the positivity of the norms of $r_k$ and $s_k$ because they belong to the compact part of the algebra.

**Proposition 51.**

The Killing norm in the space $\mathcal{Z}_K(A)$ are given by

\[ B(r_{ij}, r_{kl}) = \begin{cases} 
B(q_0, q_0) & \text{if } \{i, j\} = \{k, l\} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \] (2.123)
Proof. First, we have
\[
B(r_{ij}, r_{ij}) = B([q_i, q_j], [q_i, q_j]) \\
= -B(q_i q_j)^2 q_j q_j \\
= -B(q_j q_j) \\
= B(q_0, q_0).
\]
(2.124)

For the mixed case we have
\[
B(r_{ij}, r_{ik}) = -B(q_i q_j, q_k) = 0.
\]
(2.125)

We suppose now that \(i, j, k\) and \(l\) are four different numbers. The action of \(ad(r_{kl})\) on \(A\) is zero because \(r_{kl} \in Z(A)\). From (2.19a), the action of \(ad(r_{ij}) \circ ad(r_{kl})\) on \(N\) is zero. Since the elements \(r_{ij}\) satisfy the algebra of \(so(n)\), we have \(ad(r_{ij}) \circ ad(r_{kl}) r_{mn} = 0\) when \(i, j, k\) and \(l\) are four different numbers. Finally we have
\[
B(r_{ij}, r_{kl}) = 0.
\]
(2.126)

Lemma 52.
We have \([p_1, J_2] = q_0\).

Proof. The lemma comes from theorem 49 because
\[
[p_1, J_2] = -[q_1, p_1] = ad(q_1)^2 q_0 = q_0.
\]
(2.127)

Lemma 53.
We have \(s_1 = [J_2, q_2]\).

Proof. We use the definition \(p_1 = [q_0, q_1]\) and the Jacobi identity:
\[
[J_1, p_1] = [J_1, [q_0, q_1]] = -[q_0, [q_1, J_1]] - [q_1, [J_1, q_0]].
\]
(2.128)
The first terms vanishes because \(q_1 \in A\) while \([J_1, q_0] = -q_2\) by definition.

Proposition 54.
We have
\[
B(J_1, J_1) = -B(q_0, q_0) \\
B(p_1, p_1) = -B(q_0, q_0) \\
B(s_1, s_1) = B(q_0, q_0),
\]
(2.129)
and then
\[
-\|J_1\|^2 = -\|p_1\|^2 = \|s_1\|^2 = 1.
\]
(2.130)

Proof. Using \(J_1 = [q_0, q_2]\) (lemma 43) we find
\[
B(J_1, J_1) = B(ad(q_2)q_0, ad(q_2)q_0) = -B(ad(q_2)^2 q_0, q_0) = -B(q_0, q_0).
\]
(2.131)
In much the same way, using the definition of \(p_1\) and \(s_1 = [q_1, q_2]\) (lemma 53), we find \(B(p_1, p_1) = B(q_1, q_1)\) and \(B(s_1, s_1) = -B(q_2, q_2)\). 

\[\square\]
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Lemma 55.
We have \([J_1, r_k] = 0\).

Proof. Using the definition of \(r_k\) and the Jacobi identity,
\[
[J_1, r_k] = [J_1, [J_2, q_k]] = -[J_2, [q_k, J_1]] - [q_k, [J_1, J_2]] = 0
\]
because of equation (2.92b) and the fact that \(A\) is abelian.

Now, the Killing norms of the basis \(B\) can be computed.

Theorem 56.
The basis
\[
B = \{q_0, q_2, p_1, s_1, q_k, p_k, r_k, s_k, J_1, J_2\}
\]
given by the definitions (2.115) is orthonormal and
\[
\|J_1\|^2 = \|q_1\|^2 = \|q_2\|^2 = \|p_1\|^2 = \|q_k\|^2 = \|p_k\|^2 = -1
\]
\[
\|r_{ij}\| = \|q_0\|^2 = \|s_1\|^2 = \|r_k\|^2 = \|s_k\|^2 = 1
\]
Proof. The norms are given by the propositions 50, 54, and 35.

For the orthogonality, we know that \(P \perp K, Q \perp H\) (from general theory) as well as \(\tilde{N}_2 \perp \tilde{N}_k, \tilde{N}_2 \perp A\) and \(\tilde{N}_k \perp A\) (equations (2.25a), (2.25b), (2.25c) and (2.25d)). Thus, among the elements of the basis \(B\), the two only ones that could not orthogonal are \(r_k\) and \(s_k\). However, we have \(B(r_k, s_k) = 0\) because
\[
B(r_k, s_k) = B(r_k, \text{ad}(J_1)p_k) = -B(\text{ad}(J_1)r_k, p_k) = 0
\]
by lemma 55.

It turns out that we are able to compute all the commutators using the following techniques

1. the orthonormality of the basis, theorem 56 among with the ad-invariance of the Killing form
2. the Jacobi identity
3. the theorem 49 and the commutators of lemmas 52, 53 and 55.

Computing all the commutators that way is quite long and very few interesting. The interesting point is that it is possible. You can immediately jump to subsection 2.2.8.

1. \(\text{ad}(q_0)J_1 = q_2\). Definition.
2. \(\text{ad}(q_0)J_2 = p_1\). Definition.
3. \(\text{ad}(p_1)J_1 = -s_1\). Definition.
4. \(\text{ad}(q_0)q_k = p_k\). Definition.
5. \(\text{ad}(q_0)J_2 = -r_k\). Definition.
6. \(\text{ad}(p_k)J_1 = -s_k\). Definition.
7. \(\text{ad}(p_1)J_2 = q_0\). Lemma 52.
8 \( \text{ad}(q_2)J_2 = -s_1 \). Lemma 53.

9 \( \text{ad}(J_1)r_k = 0 \). Lemma 55.

10 \( \text{ad}(q_0)p_1 = -J_2 \). We have \( \text{ad}(q_0)p_1 = \text{ad}(q_0)^2q_1 = -q_1 \).

11 \( \text{ad}(q_0)s_1 = 0 \). By the usual techniques, we get \([q_0, s_1] \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{A} = \{0\}\). The following few are obtained in the same way.

12 \( \text{ad}(q_0)r_k = 0 \).

13 \( \text{ad}(q_0)s_k = 0 \).

14 \( \text{ad}(q_2)p_1 = 0 \).

15 \( \text{ad}(q_k)J_1 = 0 \).

16 \( \text{ad}(q_2)p_k = 0 \).

17 \( \text{ad}(q_2)p_k = 0 \).

18 \( \text{ad}(p_1)q_k = 0 \).

19 \( \text{ad}(J_2)p_k = 0 \).

20 \( \text{ad}(q_2)s_1 = -J_2 \). We know that \([q_2, s_1] \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{A} = \langle J_2 \rangle \). Thus \([q_0, s_1]\) is a multiple of \(J_2\). The coefficient is given by \(B([q_2, s_1], J_2)/B(J_2, J_2)\). Using the ad-invariance of the Killing form, we are left to compute \(B(s_1, \text{ad}(q_2)J_2)\). Lemma 53 shows then that the coefficient we are searching if \(B(s_1, s_1)/B(J_2, J_2) = 1\).

21 \( \text{ad}(q_2)q_k = -s_k \). The spaces show that \([q_2, q_k] \in \mathcal{K} \cap (H \cap \mathcal{N}_k = \langle r_k, s_k \rangle \). Thus we have to check the two possible components. First, \(B([q_2, q_k], r_k) = -B(q_2, \text{ad}(q_k)^2 J_2) = 0 \) by theorem 49. For the second, we use the definition of \(s_k\) and the Jacobi identity:

\[
B([q_2, q_k], s_k) = B([q_2, q_k], \text{ad}(J_1)[q_0, q_k])
= B([q_2, q_k], -\text{ad}(q_0)[q_0, J_1] - \text{ad}(q_k)[J_1, q_0])
= -B(\text{ad}(q_2)q_k, \text{ad}(q_2)q_k)
= B(q_k, \text{ad}(q_2)^2 q_k)
= B(q_k, q_k).
\] (2.136)

Finally, what we have is

\( [q_2, q_k] = \frac{B(q_2, q_k)}{B(s_k, s_k)} s_k = -s_k \). (2.137)

22 \( \text{ad}(q_2)r_k = 0 \). From the spaces, \([q_2, r_k] \in \langle q_k \rangle \), but

\( B(\text{ad}(q_2)r_k, q_k) = -B(r_k, [q_2, q_k]) = B(r_k, s_k) = 0 \). (2.138)

23 \( \text{ad}(q_2)s_k = -q_k \). From the spaces, \([q_2, s_k] \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{N}_k = \langle q_k \rangle \), so we compute

\( B([q_2, s_k], q_k) = -B(s_k, [q_2, s_k]) = B(s_k, s_k) \). (2.139)

and

\( [q_2, s_k] = \frac{B(s_k, s_k)}{B(q_k, q_k)} q_k = -q_k \). (2.140)
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24 \( \text{ad}(q_2)r_k = 0 \). From the spaces, \([q_k, q_2] \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{N}_k = \langle q_k \rangle \). Thus we compute

\[
B([q_2, r_k], q_k) = -B(r_k, [q_2, q_k]) = B(r_k, s_k) = 0
\]  

(2.141)

where we used the item 21.

25 \( \text{ad}(J_1)p_1 = s_1 \). From the spaces, \([J_1, p_1] \in \langle s_1 \rangle \). We have

\[
B([J_1, p_1], s_1) = -B(p_1, [J_1, s_1]) = -B(p_1, \text{ad}(J_1)^2 p_1) = -B(p_1, p_1),
\]

(2.142)

thus

\[
[J_1, p_1] = -\frac{B(p_1, p_1)}{B(s_1, s_1)} s_1 = s_1.
\]  

(2.143)

26 \( \text{ad}(s_k)q_k = -q_2 \). From the spaces, \([s_k, q_k] \in \langle J_2, q_2 \rangle \). Thus we have two Killing forms to compute. The first is

\[
B([s_k, q_k], J_2) = B(s_k, [q_k, J_2]) = B(s_k, r_k) = 0
\]

(2.144)

were we used the definition of \( r_k \). The second is

\[
B([s_k, q_k], q_2) = B(s_k, [q_k, q_2]) = B(s_k, s_k).
\]  

(2.145)

where we used item 21. Thus we have

\[
[s_k, q_k] = \frac{B(s_k, s_k)}{B(q_2, q_2)} q_2 = -q_2
\]  

(2.146)

27 \( \text{ad}(s_k)J_2 = 0 \). From the spaces, \([s_k, J_2] \in \langle q_k \rangle \), but

\[
B(\text{ad}(s_k)J_2, q_k) = -B(J_2, [s_k, q_k]) = -B(J_2, q_2) = 0
\]  

(2.147)

where we used item 26.

28 \( \text{ad}(q_2)s_k = -q_k \). From the spaces, \([q_2, s_k] \in \langle q_k \rangle \). We have

\[
B(\text{ad}(q_2)s_k, q_k) = -B(s_k, \text{ad}(q_2)q_k) = B(s_k, s_k)
\]  

(2.148)

where we used item 21. Thus

\[
[q_2, s_k] = \frac{B(s_k, s_k)}{B(q_2, q_2)} q_k = -q_k.
\]  

(2.149)

29 \( \text{ad}(p_1)s_1 = -J_1 \). From the spaces, \([p_1, s_1] \in \langle J_1 \rangle \). We have

\[
B([p_1, s_1], J_1) = -B(s_1, [p_1, J_1]) = B(s_1, s_1),
\]

(2.150)

where we used item 25. Thus,

\[
[p_1, s_1] = \frac{B(s_1, s_1)}{B(J_1, J_1)} J_1 = -J_1.
\]  

(2.151)

30 \( \text{ad}(p_1)r_k = p_k \). We use the definition of \( r_k \) and Jacobi:

\[
[p_1, r_k] = [p_1, [J_2, q_k]] = -[J_2, [q_k, p_1]] - [q_k, [p_1, J_2]] = -[q_k, q_0] = p_k.
\]  

(2.152)

where we used items 18, 7 and 4.
31 \( \text{ad}(q_k)J_2 = -r_k \). From the spaces, \([q_k, J_2] \in \langle r_k, s_k \rangle \). First, we have
\[
B([q_k, q_2], s_k) = -B(J_2, [q_k, s_k]) = 0
\tag{2.153}
\]
where we used item 26. Secondly we have
\[
B([q_k, J_2], r_k) = B(q_k, \underbrace{J_2, r_k}_{\text{ad}(J_2)^2q_k}) = B(q_k, r_k)
\tag{2.154}
\]
Thus
\[
[q_k, J_2] = \frac{B(q_k, q_k)}{B(r_k, r_k)} r_k = -r_k.
\tag{2.155}
\]

32 \( \text{ad}(p_1)p_k = r_k \). We use the definition of \( p_k \) and the Jacobi identity:
\[
[p_1, p_k] = -[q_0, [q_k, p_1]] = \underbrace{[q_k, [p_1, q_0]]}_{=0} = [q_k, J_2]
\tag{2.156}
\]
where we used items 18, 10 and 31.

33 \( \text{ad}(p_1)s_k = 0 \). From the spaces, \([p_1, s_k] \in \langle p_k \rangle \). We have
\[
B([p_1, s_k], p_k) = -B(s_k, \underbrace{[p_1, p_k]}_{=0}) = 0
\tag{2.157}
\]
where we used the item 32.

34 \( \text{ad}(s_1)q_k = 0 \). From the spaces, \([s_1, q_k] \in \langle q_k \rangle \). We have
\[
B([s_1, q_k], q_k) = B(s_1, [q_k, q_k]) = 0.
\tag{2.158}
\]

35 \( \text{ad}(s_1)p_k = 0 \). From the spaces, \([s_1, p_k] \in \langle p_k \rangle \). We have
\[
B([s_1, p_k], p_k) = B(s_1, [p_k, p_k]) = 0.
\tag{2.159}
\]

36 \( \text{ad}(s_1)r_k = s_k \). From the spaces, \([s_1, r_k] \in \langle r_k, s_k \rangle \). Using Jacobi,
\[
[s_1, r_k] = [J_1, p_1], r_k]
= -[\underbrace{[p_1, r_k], J_1}_{=p_k}], 0 - [\underbrace{[r_k, J_1], p_1}_{=0}]
= -[p_k, J_1]
= s_k
\tag{2.160}
\]
where we used items 30, 9 and the definition of \( s_k \).

37 \( \text{ad}(s_1)J_1 = -p_1 \). We have \([s_1, J_1] = -\text{ad}(J_1)^2p_1 = -p_1 \) by theorem 49.
38 $\text{ad}(s_k) = -r_k$. We use the definition of $s_k$ and Jacobi:

$$[s_1, s_k] = [s_1, [J_1, p_k]] = -[J_1, [p_k, s_1]] - [p_k, [s_1, J_1]] = -r_k$$

$$= [p_k, p_1]$$

(2.161)

where we used items 35, 37 and 32.

39 $\text{ad}(J_2) s_k = 0$. From the spaces, $[J_2, s_k] \in \langle q_k \rangle$. We have

$$B([J_2, s_k], q_0) = B(J_2, [s_k, q_0]) = 0$$

where we used item 26.

40 $\text{ad}(q_k) p_k = -q_0$. Using the definition of $p_k$ and the theorem 49,

$$[q_k, p_k] = [q_k, q_0 q_k] = -\text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_0 = -q_0.$$  

(2.163)

41 $\text{ad}(q_k) r_k = -J_2$. Using the definition of $r_k$ and theorem 49, we have

$$[q_k, [J_2, q_k]] = -\text{ad}(q_k)^2 J_2 = -J_2.$$  

(2.164)

42 $\text{ad}(p_k) r_k = -p_1$. Using the definition of $r_k$ and Jacobi,

$$[p_k, r_k] = -[p_k, [q_0, q_k]]$$

$$= -[q_0, [q_k, r_k]] + [q_k, [r_k, q_0]]$$

$$= -J_2$$

$$= [J_2, q_0]$$

$$= -p_1$$

(2.165)

where we used the items 41, 12 and the definition of $p_1$.

43 $\text{ad}(p_k) s_k = -J_1$. The spaces show that $[p_k, s_k] \in \langle J_1, p_1 \rangle$. We have

$$B([p_k, s_k], J_1) = -B(s_k, [p_k, s_1]) = B(s_k, s_k)$$

(2.166)

and

$$B([p_k, s_k], p_1) = -B(s_k, [p_k, p_1]) = 0$$

(2.167)

where we used the items 6 and 32. Thus

$$[p_k, s_k] = \frac{B(s_k, s_k)}{B(J_1, J_1)} J_1 = -J_1.$$  

(2.168)
44 \( \text{ad}(r_k)s_k = s_1 \). From the spaces, \([r_k, s_k] \in \langle s_1 \rangle \). We have
\[
B([r_k, s_k], s_1) = B(r_k, [s_k, s_1]) = B(r_k, r_k)
\]
where we used item 38. Thus
\[
[r_k, s_k] = \frac{B(r_k, r_k)}{B(s_1, s_1)} s_1 = s_1.
\] (2.169)

45 \( \text{ad}(J_1)q_2 = -q_0 \). Using the definition of \( q_2 \) and theorem 49,
\[
[J_1, q_2] = -\text{ad}(J_1)^2 q_0 = -q_0.
\] (2.170)

46 \( \text{ad}(J_1)s_k = p_k \). From the spaces, \([J_1, s_k] \in \langle p_k \rangle \). We have
\[
B([J_1, s_k], p_k) = -B(s_k, [J_1, p_k]) = -B(s_k, s_k)
\]
where we used the definition of \( s_k \).

47 \( \text{ad}(J_2)p_1 = -q_0 \). Using the definition of \( p_1 \) and theorem 49, \([J_2, p_1] = -\text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_0 = -q_0 \).

48 \( \text{ad}(J_1)s_1 = q_2 \). We use the definition of \( s_1 \) and Jacobi:
\[
[J_2, s_1] = [J_2, [J_1, p_1]]
= -[J_1, [p_1, J_2]] - [p_1, [J_2, q_1]]
= 0
= -[J_1, q_0]
= q_2
\]
where we used item 47 and the definition of \( q_2 \).

49 \( \text{ad}(J_2)r_k = q_k \). Using the definition of \( r_k \) and theorem 49, \([J_2, r_k] = \text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_k = q_k \).

Proposition 57.
We have \( \mathcal{H} = \langle Q, Q \rangle \).

Proof. The inclusion \( \langle Q, Q \rangle \subset \mathcal{H} \) is by construction. Now every elements in the basis (2.37) can be expressed in terms of commutators in \( Q \) because
\[
J_1 = [q_0, q_2]
\]
\[
s_k = [q_k, q_2]
\]
\[
s_1 = [J_2, q_2]
\]

\( \square \)
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2.2.7 Properties of the basis

The basis $\mathcal{B}$ is motivated by the fact that $\text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_k = -q_k$, so that $e^{\text{ad}(q_0)}$ is easy to compute on $q_k$ and $p_k$. Moreover, $r_k$ and $s_k$ belong to $\mathcal{K}$, so that $[q_0, r_k] = [q_0, s_k] = 0$. The drawback of that decomposition is that the basis elements do not belong to $\mathcal{N}$ or $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ while it will be useful to have basis elements in $\mathcal{N}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$, among other for theorem 65.

At a certain point, we are going to compute the exponentials $e^{\text{ad}(x_0)}X$ when $X$ runs over $\mathcal{N}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$. We are going to extensively use the commutation relations listed in (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15). A particular attention will be devoted to the projection over $\mathcal{Q}$ which will be central in determining the open and closed orbits of $AN$ in $G/H$.

Lemma 58.
The decomposition of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ is

\[
X_{++} = q_0 - q_2 - p_1 - s_1
\]
\[
X_{+-} = q_0 - q_2 + p_1 + s_1
\]

and the decomposition of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ is

\[
X_{0+}^k = q_k + r_k
\]
\[
X_{0-}^k = -q_k + r_k
\]
\[
X_{+0}^k = -p_k - s_k
\]
\[
X_{-0}^k = p_k - s_k
\]

Proof. Using known commutator and the fact that $[\text{ad}(J_1), \text{ad}(J_2)] = 0$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2$, we find the following commutators:

\[
[J_1, q_0] = -q_2
\]
\[
[J_1, q_2] = -q_0
\]
\[
[J_1, p_1] = s_1
\]
\[
[J_1, s_1] = p_1
\]
\[
[J_2, q_0] = -p_1
\]
\[
[J_2, q_2] = s_1
\]
\[
[J_2, p_1] = -q_0
\]
\[
[J_2, s_1] = q_2.
\]

From these properties, we deduce that $q_0 - q_2 - p_1 - s_1$ is proportional to $X_{++}$. Since, by definition, $q_0$ is the $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Q}$-component of $X_{++}$, the proportionality factor is 1. We also know that $X_{+-}$ is proportional to $q_0 - q_2 + p_1 + s_1$. Since $q_0 - q_2 = (X_{++})_\mathcal{Q} = (X_{+-})_\mathcal{Q}$ (proposition 40), the proportionality coefficient is 1. Thus we have

For the elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k$, the commutation relations give

\[
[J_1, q_k + r_k] = 0
\]
\[
[J_1, p_k - s_k] = s_k - p_k
\]
\[
[J_2, q_k + r_k] = q_k + r_k
\]
\[
[J_2, p_k - s_k] = 0
\]

so that

\[
q_k + r_k \propto X_{0+}^k \in \mathcal{N}
\]
\[
s_k + p_k \propto X_{+0}^k \in \mathcal{N}
\]
\[
p_k - s_k \propto X_{-0}^k \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}
\]

We have $r_k = [J_2, q_k] \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H}$, so that the $\mathcal{P}$-component of $q_k + r_k$ is $q_k$. But $q_k = (X_{0+}^k)_\mathcal{P}$ is the $\mathcal{P}$-component of $X_{0+}^k$. The proportionality between $q_k + r_k$ and $X_{0+}^k$ together with the equality of their $\mathcal{P}$-component provide the equality (2.176a).

For the two other, let us suppose that

\[
X_{0+}^k = a(p_k + s_k)
\]
\[
X_{-0}^k = b(p_k - s_k).
\]

We have

\[
X_{0+}^k \in \mathcal{N}
\]
\[
X_{-0}^k \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}
\]
In this case, we have
\[(X^k_{+0})_p = \frac{1}{2}(X^k_{+0} - \theta X^k_{+0}) = \frac{1}{2}((a - b)p_k + (a + b)s_k),\]  
(2.181)
so that \(a = -b\) because \(s_k \in \mathcal{K}\). Now let us look at the \(KQ\)-component of the equality 
\([X^k_{+0}, X^k_{+0}] = -X_{+0}\) taking into account the fact that \(X^k_{+0} \in \mathcal{H}\) and \((X^k_{+0})_{KQ} = 0\). What we have is 
\([([X^k_{+0} p, (X^k_{+0})_Q]) = -q_0\), but \((X^k_{+0})_p = q_k\) and \((X^k_{+0})_p = ap_k\), so that \([ap_k, q_k] = -q_0\). If we replace \(p_k\) by its definition \([q_0, q_k]\), we get 
\[a[q_0, q_k], q_k] = a\ ad(q_k)q_0 = -q_0,\]  
(2.182)
so that \(a = -1\).

The last point comes from \(X^k_{0-} = \theta X^k_{0+}\).

\(\square\)

Notice that this result was already obvious from the decompositions given in (2.116).

### 2.2.8 Some exponentials

It will be important to compute the element \(e^{ad(xq_0)}X\) when \(X\) runs over the vectors of \(\mathcal{B}\). The action of \(e^{xq_0}\) on \(\mathcal{A}\) is
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}J_1 = \cos(x)J_1 + \sin(x)q_2\]  
(2.183a)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}J_2 = \sin(x)p_1 + \cos(x)q_1,\]  
(2.183b)
on \(\mathcal{N}_2\) we have
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}q_2 = \cos(x)q_2 - \sin(x)J_1\]  
(2.184a)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}q_0 = q_0\]  
(2.184b)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}p_1 = \cos(x)p_1 - \sin(x)q_1,\]  
(2.184c)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}s_1 = s_1,\]  
(2.184d)
and the action on \(\mathcal{N}_k\) is
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}q_k = \cos(x)q_k + \sin(x)p_k\]  
(2.185a)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}p_k = \cos(x)p_k - \sin(x)q_k,\]  
(2.185b)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}p_k = \cos(x)p_k - \sin(x)q_k,\]  
(2.185c)

Combining with lemma 58,
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}X^k_{0+} = e^{ad(xq_0)}(q_k + r_k) = r_k + \cos(x)q_k + \sin(x)p_k\]  
(2.186a)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}X^k_{+0} = -e^{ad(xq_0)}(s_k + p_k) = -s_k - \cos(x)p_k + \sin(x)q_k.\]  
(2.186b)
The same way,
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}X_{+0} = q_0 + \sin(x)q_1 - \cos(x)q_2 + \sin(x)J_1 - \cos(x)p_1\]  
(2.187a)
\[e^{ad(xq_0)}X_{+-} = q_0 - \sin(x)q_1 - \cos(x)q_2 + \sin(x)J_1 + \cos(x)p_1.\]  
(2.187b)
The projections on \(\mathcal{Q}\) of all these combinations are immediate.
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2.2.9 Classification of the basis by the spaces

The basis (2.116) allows to generalize the theorem 49.

By very definition, we have

\[ \text{ad}(P^2) P \subset P, \quad \text{ad}(P^2) K \subset K \]

and the same for the couple \((H, Q)\).

The relations (2.24) say that the same is true with the triple \((\tilde{N}_2, \tilde{N}_k, A)\), i.e. \(\text{ad}(A)^2 \tilde{N}_k \subset \tilde{N}_k\) and \(\tilde{N}_k(\tilde{N}_2) \subset \tilde{N}_2\).

Theorem 59.

The basis \(B\) has the property to be stable under the commutators: \([X, Y] \in \{0, \pm B\}\) when \(X, Y \in B\). Moreover, we have

\[
\text{ad}(X)^2 Y = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \text{ad}(X)Y = 0 \\
Y & \text{if } X \in P \\
-Y & \text{if } X \in K.
\end{cases} \tag{2.188}
\]

Proof. This theorem can be immediately checked using the commutators. It is however instructive to see that equation (2.188) can be checked from few considerations. First, remark that, if we look at the decompositions \(G = Q \oplus H = P \oplus K = Z_k(A) \oplus A \oplus \tilde{N}_2 \oplus \tilde{N}_k\), the commutation relations (2.24), we find

\[
\text{ad}(\tilde{N}_2) \circ \text{ad}(\tilde{N}_2): \begin{cases} 
\tilde{N}_2 \to A \to \tilde{N}_2 \\
\tilde{N}_k \to \tilde{N}_k \to \tilde{N}_k \\
A \to \tilde{N}_2 \to A,
\end{cases} \tag{2.189}
\]

\[
\text{ad}(\tilde{N}_k) \circ \text{ad}(\tilde{N}_k): \begin{cases} 
\tilde{N}_2 \to \tilde{N}_k \to A \oplus \tilde{N}_2 \\
\tilde{N}_k \to (A \oplus \tilde{N}_2) \to A \oplus \tilde{N}_k \\
A \to \tilde{N}_k \to A \oplus \tilde{N}_2,
\end{cases} \tag{2.190}
\]

\[
\text{ad}(A) \circ \text{ad}(A): \begin{cases} 
\tilde{N}_2 \to \tilde{N}_2 \to \tilde{N}_2 \\
\tilde{N}_k \to \tilde{N}_k \to \tilde{N}_k \\
A \to 0.
\end{cases} \tag{2.191}
\]

Thus we have \(\text{ad}(X)^2 Y = \lambda Y\) whenever we are not in the cases \((X, Y) \in (\tilde{N}_k, \tilde{N}_2)\) and \((X, Y) \in (\tilde{N}_k, \tilde{N}_k)\). We should check that these cases cannot bring a \(A\)-component. We should also check that, since \(K \cap H \cap \tilde{N}_k\), is two dimensional, \(\text{ad}(X)^2 r_k\) has no \(s_k\)-component as well as \(\text{ad}(X)^2 s_k\) has no \(r_k\)-component.

Let us suppose that the spaces fit. We have \(\text{ad}(X)^2 Y = \lambda Y\) and we still have to check the values of \(\lambda\). Since \(B\) is orthonormal, we have

\[
\lambda = \frac{B(\text{ad}(X)^2 Y, Y)}{B(Y, Y)} = -\frac{B(\text{ad}(X)Y, \text{ad}(X)Y)}{B(Y, Y)}. \tag{2.192}
\]

First, remark that this is zero if and only if \(\text{ad}(X)Y = 0\). Now, there are 4 possibilities following that \(X, Y\) belong to \(P\) or \(K\) because we know that \(B|_K < 0\) and \(B|_P > 0\). The result is that \(\lambda\) is positive when \(X \in P\) and negative when \(X \in K\). Now, the fact that \(B\) is stable under the commutators implies that \(\text{ad}(X)^2 Y \in \{0, \pm B\}\), so that \(\lambda \in \{0, 1, -1\}\).
2.3 The causally singular structure

2.3.1 Closed orbits

The singularity in $AdS_l$ is defined as the closed orbits of $AN$ and $A\tilde{N}$ in $G/H$. This subsection is intended to identify them.

Proposition 60.
The Cartan involution $\theta: G \to G$ is an inner automorphism, namely it is given by $\theta = \text{Ad}(k_0)$ where $k_0 = e^{x_0}$.

Proof. The operator $\text{Ad}(k_0)$ acts as the identity on $K$ because $q_0$ is central in $K$ by definition. Looking at the decompositions (2.119) and (2.118), and taking into account that the result is already guaranteed on $K$, we have to check the action of $\text{Ad}(k_0)$ on $J_1$, $J_2$, $q_k$, $p_k$ and $p_1$. It is done in setting $x = \pi$ in equations (2.183), (2.185) and (2.184c). What we get is that $\text{Ad}(k_0)$ changes the sign on $P$.

Proposition 61.
For each $an \in AN$, there exists one and only one $k \in K$ such that $kan \in A\tilde{N}$. There also exists one and only one $k \in K$ such that $ank \in AN$.

Proof. For unicity, let $an \in AN$ and suppose that $k_1^{-1}an$ and $k_2^{-1}an$ both belong to $A\tilde{N}$. Then there exist $a_1$, $a_2$, $\tilde{n}_1$ and $\tilde{n}_2$ such that $k_1^{-1}an = a_1\tilde{n}_1$ and $k_2^{-1}an = a_2\tilde{n}_2$ and we have

$$an = k_1a_1\tilde{n}_1 = k_2a_2\tilde{n}_2. \tag{2.193}$$

By unicity of the decomposition $KA\tilde{N}$, we conclude that $k_1 = k_2$.

For the existence, let $an \in AN$ and consider the $KAN$ decomposition $\theta(an) = ka'n'$. We claim that $k^{-1}$ answers the question. Indeed, $\theta$ is the identity on $K$, so that $an = k\theta(a'n')$, and then

$$k^{-1}an = \theta(a'n') \in A\tilde{N}. \tag{2.194}$$

One checks the statement about $ank \in A\tilde{N}$ in much the same way.

In the following results, we use the fact that the group $K$ splits into the commuting product $K = \text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(l - 1)$.

Lemma 62.
For every $an \in AN$, there exists $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $[an e^{x_0}] \in [A\tilde{N}]$.

Proof. Let $k \in K$ such that $ank \in A\tilde{N}$. The element $k$ decomposes into $k = st$ with $s = e^{x_0} \in \text{SO}(2)$ and $t \in \text{SO}(n) \subset H$. Thus $[ans] \in [A\tilde{N}]$.

Lemma 63.
If $[an] = [s]$ with $s \in \text{SO}(2)$, then $s = e$.

Proof. The assumption implies that there exists a $h \in H$ such that $an = sh$. Using the $KAN$ decomposition of $H$, such a $h$ can be written under the form $h = tw'n'$ with $t \in \text{SO}(n)$. Thus we have $an = stw'n'$. By unicity of the decomposition $kan$, we must have $st = e$, and then $s = e$.

Lemma 64.
If $an \in AN$ and if $[ank_0] = [s]$ with $s \in \text{SO}(2)$, then $s = k_0$. 
The hypothesis implies that there exists a $h \in H$ such that $ank_\theta = sh$. The element $h$ can be decomposed as

$$h = h_K h_{AN} k_\theta$$

with $h_K \in K$ and $h_{AN} \in AN$ but with no warranty that $h_K$ or $h_{AN}$ belong to $H$. We have

$$an = sh_K h_{AN},$$

so that $sh_K = e$ by unicity of the $KAN$ decomposition. In particular $h_K \in SO(2)$.

Now we want to prove that $h_K = k_\theta$ because it would implies $s = k_\theta$ by the relation $sh_K = e$. If $h_K = e^{yq_0} k_\theta$ we have

$$h = h_K h_{AN} k_\theta = e^{yq_0} k_\theta h_{AN} k_\theta = e^{yq_0} h_{AN}. $$

where $h_{AN} = Ad(k_\theta) h_{AN}$. By unicity of the $KAN$ decomposition in $H$, the latter relation shows that $e^{yq_0}$ has to be the compact part of $H$ and then belong to $K_H$ which is only possible when $y = 0$.

**Theorem 65.**

The closed orbits of $AN$ in $AdS_l$ are $[AN]$ and $[ANk_\theta]$ where $k_\theta$ is the element of $K$ such that $\theta = Ad(k_\theta)$. The closed orbits of $AN$ are $[AN]$ and $[ANk_\theta]$. The other orbits are open.

**Proof.** Let us deal with the $AN$-orbits in order to fix the ideas. First, remark that each orbit of $AN$ pass trough $[SO(2)]$. Indeed, each $[ank]$ is in the same orbit as $[k]$ with $k \in K = SO(2) \otimes SO(n)$. Since $SO(n) \subset H$, we have $[k] = [s]$ for some $s \in SO(2)$.

We are thus going to study openness of the $AN$-orbit of elements of the form $e^{xq_0}$ because these elements are “classifying” the orbits. Using the isomorphism $dL_{q^{-1}} : T_{[q]}(G/H) \to \mathcal{Q}$, we know that a set $\{X_1, \ldots, X_l\}$ of vectors in $T_{e^{xq_0}} AdS_l$ is a basis if and only if the set $\{dL_{e^{-xq_0}} X_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,l}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{Q}$. We are thus going to study the elements

$$dL_{e^{-xq_0}} X' = dL_{e^{-xq_0}} \frac{d}{dt} \left( e^{-tx} e^{xq_0} \right)_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \pi(e^{-tx} e^{xq_0}) \right)_{t=0}$$

$$= -pr_{Q} e^{-ad(xq_0)} X$$

when $X$ runs over the elements of $A \otimes N$. The projections on $Q$ of equations (2.183), (2.186) and (2.187) are

$$pr_{\mathcal{Q}}(e^{ad(xq_0)} J_1) = \sin(x)q_2$$

$$pr_{\mathcal{Q}}(e^{ad(xq_0)} J_2) = \cos(x)q_1$$

$$pr_{\mathcal{Q}}(e^{xq_0} X_{++}) = q_0 + \sin(x)q_1 - \cos(x)q_2$$

$$pr_{\mathcal{Q}}(e^{ad(xq_0)} X_{+-}) = q_0 - \sin(x)q_1 - \cos(x)q_2$$

$$pr_{\mathcal{Q}}(e^{ad(xq_0)} (s_k - p_k)) = \sin(x)q_k$$

$$pr_{\mathcal{Q}}(e^{ad(xq_0)} (q_k + r_k)) = \cos(x)q_k.$$
It is immediately visible that an orbit through \([e^{x_0}]\) is open if and only if \(\sin(x) \neq 0\). It remains to study the orbits of \([e^{x_0}]\) and \([e]\). Lemma 63 shows that these two orbits are disjoint.

Let us now prove that \([AN]\) is closed. A point outside \(\pi(AN)\) reads \(\pi(\text{ans})\) where \(s\) is an elements of \(\text{SO}(2)\) which is not the identity. Let \(O\) be an open neighborhood of \(\text{ans}\) in \(G\) such that every element of \(O\) read \(a'n's't'\) with \(s' \neq e\). The set \(\pi(O)\) is then an open neighborhood of \(\pi(\text{ans})\) which does not intersect \([AN]\). This proves that the complementary of \([AN]\) is open. The same holds for the orbit \([AN]\).

The orbit \([ANk_0]\) and \([AN\tilde{k_0}]\) are closed too because \(ANk_0 = k_0AN\).

\[\Box\]

**Lemma 66.**

We have \([AN] \cap [ANk_0] = \emptyset\).

**Proof.** A representative of an element in \([AN] \cap [ANk_0]\) can be written \(an = a'n'k_0h\), and then we have \(k_0h \in AN\). Decomposing \(h\) into its components \(h_K \in K\) and \(h_{AN} \in AN\), we see that \(k_0h_K \in AN\), which is impossible because \(k_0 \in \text{SO}(2)\) while \(K_H = \text{SO}(l - 1)\).

\[\Box\]

**Proposition 67.**

Let an \(\in AN\). Then there exist unique \(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\) in \([0, 2\pi]\) such that

1. \([ane^{x_0}] \in [AN]\), and \(ane^{x_0} = an\),
2. \([ane^{x_1}] \in [ANk_0]\), and \(ane^{x_1} = ank_0\),
3. \([ane^{x_2}] \in [AN]\), and \(ane^{x_2} = a'nh_K\) (lemma 62),
4. \([ane^{x_3}] \in [AN\tilde{k_0}]\), and \(ane^{x_3} = a'nk_0h_K\).

These numbers satisfy \(x_0 = 0, x_1 = \pi\) and \(x_3 = x_2 + \pi\) modulo \(2\pi\).

Moreover if \(P\) does not belong to \([AN] \cap [AN\tilde{k_0}]\) nor to \([AN] \cap [ANk_0]\), these are four different numbers.

**Proof.** Existence comes from lemma 62. Now we discuss the unicity.

1. Let \(x_0'\) such that \([ane^{x_0'}] \in [AN]\). In that case, there exist \(a'n' \in AN\) and \(h \in H\) such that \(e^{x_0'} = a'n'\). If we decompose \(h = h_{AN}h_K\), unicity of the \(ANK\) decomposition show that \(e^{x_0'} = h_K\), which is only possible when \(x_0' = 0\) and \(h_K = e\).

2. If \(ane^{x_1} = a'n'k_0h\), then there exist \(a''n''\) such that \(e^{x_1} = a''n''k_0h\). Taking the class, \([a'n'k_0] = [e^{x_1}] \in [\text{SO}(2)]\). Then \(e^{x_1} = k_0\) by lemma 64.

3. Let \(x_2\) such that \(ane^{x_2}t = a'n\) with \(t \in \text{SO}(l - 1)\) (proposition 61), and suppose that we have an other \(x_2'\) such that \([ane^{x_2}] \in [AN\tilde{k_0}]\). We have the system

   \[
   \begin{align*}
   ane^{x_2}t &= a'n \\
   ane^{x_2}h &= a''n'h.
   \end{align*}
   \]

   (2.200a) (2.200b)

We extract \(an\) from the first equation and we put the result in the second one. Taking into account the fact that \(t\) commutes with \(e^{x_0}\) and that \(t \in H\) and renaming \(h \rightarrow ht\), if we decompose \(h = h_{AN}h_K\) we find

\[
ane^{(x_2-x_2')q_0} = a''n'ht_{AN}h_K.
\]

(2.201)

By unicity of the decomposition \(AN\tilde{K}\) we find \(h_K = e^{(x_2-x_2')q_0}\). The left hand side belongs to \(\text{SO}(l - 1)\) and the right hand side belongs to \(\text{SO}(2)\), so that one has to have \(x_2' = x_2\).
4 If we consider \( x_3 = x_2 + \pi \) we have \( a e^{x_3 + \pi} t = a' \bar{n} k_\theta \) (with \( t \in SO(l - 1) \)) and if we consider an other \( x'_3 \) such that \( [a e^{x_3 + \pi}] \in [A\bar{N}k_\theta] \), we have the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{an} e^{(x_3 + \pi)} \eta t &= a' \bar{n} k_\theta \\
\text{an} e^{x_3} \eta &= a'' \bar{n}' k \bar{h}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.202a)

(2.202b)

Some manipulations including a redefinition of \( h \) to include \( t \) yield

\[ e^{(x'_3 - x_3)} \eta = k_\theta a_0 \bar{n}_0 k \bar{h}, \]  

(2.203)

but \( k_\theta A\bar{N}k_\theta \subset AN \), thus

\[ e^{(x'_3 - x_3)} \eta = a_1 n_3 h_{AN} h_K \]  

(2.204)

so that unicity of the decomposition \( AKN \) implies, \( e^{(x'_3 - x_3)} \eta = h_K \), so that \( x'_3 = x_3 \).

Let us now prove the second part. We suppose that \([P]\) does not belong to \([AN]\) \( \cap [A\bar{N}] \). If \( x_0 = x_2 = 0 \), we have \([P] \in [AN] \cap [A\bar{N}] \). If \( x_0 = x_3 \), then we have \( an = a' \bar{n} k_\theta h_K \), and then \([an]\) \( \in [AN]\) \( \cap [A\bar{N}]k_\theta \) since \( h_K \) commutes with \( k_\theta \).

If \( x_1 = x_2 \), then \( an = a' \bar{n} k_\theta h_K \), so that \([an]\) \( \in [AN]\) \( \cap [A\bar{N}]k_\theta \). If \( x_1 = x_3 \), then \( ank_\theta = a' \bar{n} k_\theta h_K \), so that \( an \in [AN] \cap [A\bar{N}] \).

\[ \square \]

2.3.2 Vanishing norm criterion

In the preceding section, we defined the singularity by means of the action of an Iwasawa group. We are now going to give an alternative way of describing the singularity, by means of the norm of a fundamental vector of the action. This “new” way of describing the singularity is, in fact, much more similar to the original BTZ black hole where the singularity was created by identifications along the integral curves of a Killing vector field\(^5\). The vector \( J_1 \) in theorem 68 plays here the role of that “old” Killing vector field.

Discrete identifications along the integral curves of \( J_1 \) would produce the causally singular space which is at the basis of our black hole.

What we will prove is the

**Theorem 68.**

We have \( S = \| J_1^* \|_g = \| pr_\g \ Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \| = 0. \)

Thanks to this theorem, our strategy will be to compute \( \| pr_\g \ Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \| \) in order to determine if \([g]\) belongs to the singularity or not. The proof will be decomposed in three steps. The first step is to obtain a manageable expression for \( \| J_1^* \| \).

**Lemma 69.**

We have \( \| (J_1^*)_g \| = \| pr_\g \ Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \| \) for every \([g]\) \( \in AdS_1 \).

**Proof.** By definition,

\[ (J_1^*)_g = \frac{d}{dt} [\pi(e^{-t J_1} g)]_{t=0} = -d \sigma dR_g J_1. \]  

(2.205)

The norm of this vector is the norm induced from the Killing form on \( \g^6 \). First we have to put \( dR_g J_1 \) under the form \( dL_g X \) with \( X \in \g \). One obviously has \( dR_g J_1 = dL_g Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \), and the norm to be computed is

\[
\| J_1^* \|_g = \| d \sigma dL_g Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \|_g = \| d \sigma dL_g pr_\g Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \|_g \\
= \| dL_g pr_\g Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \|_g \\
= \| pr_\g Ad(g^{-1}) J_1 \|_e
\]  

(2.206)
Proposition 70.
If \( p \in \mathcal{S} \), then \( \| J_s^p \|_p = 0 \).

Proof. We are going to prove that \( \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) J_1 \) is a light like vector in \( Q \) when \( g \) belongs to \([AN]\) or \([AN]\). A general element of \( AN \) reads \( g = a^{-1} n^{-1} \) with \( a \in A \) and \( n \in N \). Since \( \text{Ad}(a) J_1 = J_1 \), we have \( \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) J_1 = \text{Ad}(n) J_1 \). We are going to study the development

\[
\text{Ad}(e^z) J_1 = e^{\text{ad}(Z)} J_1 = J_1 + \text{ad}(Z) J_1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(Z)^2 J_1 + \ldots
\]  

(2.207)

where \( Z = \ln(n) \in N \). The series is finite because \( Z \) is nilpotent (see theorem 47 for more informations) and begins by \( J_1 \) while all other terms belong to \( N \). Notice that the same remains true if one replace \( N \) by \( N \) everywhere.

Moreover, \( \text{Ad}(e^z) J_1 \) has no \( X_{0+} \)-component (no \( X_{0-} \)-component in the case of \( Z \in N \)) because \([X_{0+}, J_1] = 0\), so that the term \([Z, J_1]\) is a combination of \( X_{0+}, X_{++} \) and \( X_{+-} \). Since the action of \( \text{ad}(X_{+-}) \) on such a combination is always zero, the next terms are produced by action of \( \text{ad}(X_{0+}) \) on a combination of \( X_{0+}, X_{++} \) and \( X_{+-} \). Thus we have

\[
\text{Ad}(e^z) J_1 = J_1 + a X_{++} + b X_{+-} + c_k X_{k0}^k
\]  

(2.208)

for some\(^4\) constants \( a \), \( b \) and \( c_k \).

The projection of \( \text{Ad}(e^z) J_1 \) on \( Q \) is made of a combination of the projections of \( X_{0+}, X_{++} \) and \( X_{+-} \). From the definitions (2.55), we have \( \text{pr}_Q X_{++} = q_0 + q_2 \), lemma 42 implies \( \text{pr}_Q X_{0+} = 0 \) and lemma 39 yields \( \text{pr}_Q X_{+-} = -\sigma \text{pr}_Q X_{++} = q_0 + q_2 \). The conclusion is that \( \text{pr}_Q (e^{\text{ad}(Z)} J_1) \) is a multiple of \( q_0 + q_2 \), which is light like. The conclusion still holds with \( N \), but we get a multiple of \( q_0 - q_2 \) instead of \( q_0 + q_2 \).

Now we have \( \text{Ad}(k_0) J_1 = J_1 \) and \( \text{Ad}(k_0)(q_0 \pm q_2) = -(q_0 \pm q_2) \), so that the same proof holds for the closed orbits \([ANk_0]\) and \([ANk_0]\).

Remark 71.
The coefficients \( a, b \) and \( c_k \) in equation (2.208) are continuous functions of the starting point \( an \in AN \). More precisely, they are polynomials in the coefficients of \( X_{++}, X_{+-}, X_{0+} \) and \( X_{0+} \) in \( Z \). The vector \( \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) J_1 = (a + b)(q_0 + q_1) \) is thus a continuous function of the point \([g] \in [AN]\).

If \([g] \in [AN] \cap [AN]\), then \( \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) J_1 \) has to vanish as it is a multiple of \( q_0 + q_1 \) and of \( q_0 - q_1 \) in the same time. We conclude that in each neighborhood in \([AN]\) of an element of \([AN]\), there is an element which does not belong to \([AN]\).

Proposition 72.
If \( \| J_s^p \|_p = 0 \), then \( p \in S \).

Proof. As before we are looking at a point \([g] = [(an)^{-1} s^{-1}] \) with \( s = e^{z \theta} \). The norm \( \| J_s^p \| \) vanishes if

\[
\| \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(e^{z \theta}) \text{Ad}(an) J_1 \| = 0.
\]  

(2.209)

We already argued in the proof of proposition 70 that \( \text{Ad}(an) J_1 \) is equal to \( J_1 \) plus a linear

\[^4\text{One can show that every combinations of these elements are possible, but that point is of no importance here.}\]
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combination of $X_{++}$, $X_{+-}$ and $X_{+0}$. Using the relations (2.199), we see that
\[
\text{pr}_Q e^{ad(xq_0)}(J_1 + aX_{++} + bX_{+-} + \sum_k c_k X_{+0}^k)
\]
\[= (a + b)q_0 + (a - b)\sin(x)q_1 + \left(\sin(x) - (a + b)\cos(x)\right)q_2 + \sum_k c_k \sin(x)q_k.\]
(2.210)

The norm of this vector, as function of $x$, is given by
\[n(x) = (a + b)\sin(2x) + (4ab - C^2 - 1)(1 - \cos(2x)),\]
where $C^2 = \sum_k c_k^2$. Using the variables with $u = a + b$ and $v = (1 + c^2 - 4ab)/2,$
\[n(x) = u\sin(2x) + v\cos(2x) - v.\]
(2.211)

Following $u = 0$ or $u \neq 0$, the graph of that function vanishes two or four times between 0 and $2\pi$, see figure 2.1. Points of $[AN]$ are divided into two parts: the red points which correspond to $u \neq 0$, and the blue points which correspond to $u = 0$. By continuity, the red part is open.

![Figure 2.1: In red, the function $n(x)$ with $u \neq 0$ and in blue, the function with $u = 0$.](image)

Let $P = an \in AN$. By proposition 67, we consider the unique $x_0$, $x_1$, $x_2$ and $x_3$ in $[0, 2\pi]$ such that
\[\left[Pe^{x_0q_0}\right] \in [AN]\]
(2.213a)
\[\left[Pe^{x_1q_0}\right] \in [ANk_0]\]
(2.213b)
\[\left[Pe^{x_2q_0}\right] \in [A\bar{N}]\]
(2.213c)
\[\left[Pe^{x_3q_0}\right] \in [A\bar{N}k_0].\]
(2.213d)

They satisfy $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 = \pi$ and $x_3 = x_2 + \pi$ modulo $2\pi$. Now, we divide $[AN]$ into two parts. The elements of $[AN] \cap [A\bar{N}]$ and $[AN] \cap [A\bar{N}k_0]$ are said to be of type I, while the other are said to be of type II. We are going to prove that type I points are exactly blue points, while type II points are the red ones.

If $P$ is a point of type II, we know that the $x_i$ are four different numbers so that the norm function $n_P(x)$ vanishes at least four times on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$, each of them corresponding to a point in the singularity. But our division of $[AN]$ into red and blue points shows that $n_P(x)$ can vanish at most four times. We conclude that a point of type II is automatically red, and
that the four roots of \( n_P(x) \) correspond to the four values \( x_i \) for which \( Pe^{x_i q_0} \) belongs to the singularity. The proposition is thus proved for points of type II.

Let now \([P]\) be of type I (say \( P \in [AN] \cap [AN] \)) and let us show that \( P \) is blue. We consider a sequence of points \( P_k \) of type II which converges to \( P \) (see remark 71). We already argued that \( P_k \) is red, so that \( x_0(P_k) \neq x_2(P_k) \) and \( x_1(P_k) \neq x_3(P_k) \), but

\[
x_0(P_k) - x_2(P_k) \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1(P_k) - x_3(P_k) \to 0.
\]

(2.214a) (2.214b)

The continuity of \( n_Q(x) \) with respect to both \( x \in [0, 2\pi] \) and \( Q \in [AN] \) implies that \( P \) has to be blue, and then \( n_P(x) \) vanishes for exactly two values of \( x \) which correspond to \( Pe^{x_0 q_0} \in S \).

Let us now prove that everything is done. We begin by points of type I. Let \( P \) be of type I and say \( P \in [AN] \cap [AN] \). The curve \( n_P(x) \) vanishes exactly two times in \([0, 2\pi]\). Now, if \( Pe^{x_1 q_0} \in [ANk_0] \), thus \( x_1 = \pi \) and we also have \( Pe^{x_2 q_0} \in [ANk_0] \), but \( P \) does not belong to \([ANk_0] \), which proves that \( n_P(x) \) vanishes at least two times which correspond to the points \( Pe^{x_0 q_0} \) that are in the singularity. Since the curve vanishes in fact exactly two times, we conclude that \( n_P(x) \) vanishes if and only if \( Pe^{x_0 q_0} \) belongs to the singularity.

If we consider a point \( P \) of type II, we know that the values of \( x_i \) are four different numbers, so that the curve \( n_P(x) \) vanishes at least four times, corresponding to the points \( Pe^{x_0 q_0} \) in the singularity. Since the curve is in fact red, it vanishes exactly four times in \([0, 2\pi]\) and we conclude that the curve \( n_P(x) \) vanishes if and only if \( Pe^{x_0 q_0} \) belongs to the singularity.

The conclusion follows from the fact that

\[
AdS_l = \left\{ [Pe^{x_0 q_0}] \text { st } P \text { is of type I or II and } x \in [0, 2\pi[ \right\}.
\]

(2.215)

\[ \square \]

Proof of theorem 68 is now complete.

2.3.3 Existence of the black hole

We know that the geodesic trough \([g]\) in the direction \( X \) is given by

\[
\pi(ge^{sX})
\]

(2.216)

and that a geodesics is light-like when the direction \( X \) is given by a nilpotent element in \( Q[I] \).

Let us study the geodesics issued from the point \([e^{-x_0 q_0}]\), see figure 2.2. They are given by

\[
l^w_x(s) = \pi(e^{-x_0 q_0} e^{s E(w)})
\]

(2.217)

where \( E(w) = q_0 + \sum_i w_i q_i \) with \( \|w\| = 1 \). According to our previous work, the point \( l^w_x(s) \) belongs to the singularity if and only if

\[
n^w_x(s) = \left\| \mathfrak{F}_Q e^{-\text{ad}(s E(w))} e^{\text{ad}(x_0 q_0)} J_1 \right\|^2 = 0.
\]

(2.218)

We already computed that \( e^{\text{ad}(x_0 q_0)} J_1 = \cos(x) J_1 + \sin(x) q_2 \). By construction, \( E(w) \) is nilpotent and \( \text{ad}(E)^3 = 0 \) by proposition 47. Using the fact that \( [Q, H] \subset Q \) and \( [Q, Q] \subset H \), we collect the terms in \( Q \) in the development of the exponential. The \( Q \) component of

\[
e^{-s \text{ad}(E)} ( \cos(x) J_1 + \sin(x) q_2 )
\]

(2.219)
2.3. THE CAUSALLY SINGULAR STRUCTURE

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Figure 2.2: We are looking at a geodesics issued from one point of the line } & [\text{SO}(2)] = \{e^{xq_0}\}_{x \in [0,2\pi]} \text{. Here, } E(w) = q_0 + w_1q_1 + w_2q_2 + \sum_{k \geq 3} w_k q_k \text{ with } \sum_k w_k^2 = 1. \\
\end{align*}
\]

is

\[
\ell = \frac{s^2}{2} \sin(x) \text{ad}(E)^2 q_2 - s \cos(x) \text{ad}(E) J_1 + \sin(x) q_2. \tag{2.220}
\]

The square norm of that expression is \textit{a priori} a polynomial of order 4. Hopefully, the coefficient of \( s^4 \) contains

\[
B(\text{ad}(E)^2 q_2, \text{ad}(E)^2 q_2), \tag{2.221}
\]

while the coefficient of \( s^3 \) is given by

\[
B(\text{ad}(E) J_2, \text{ad}(E)^2 q_2). \tag{2.222}
\]

Both of these two expressions are zero because the ad-invariance of the Killing form makes appear \( \text{ad}(E)^3 \). Equation (2.218) is thus the second order polynomial given by

\[
n^w_x(s) = s^2 \sin^2(x) B(\text{ad}(E)^2 q_2, q_2) \\
+ s^2 \cos^2(x) B(\text{ad}(E) J_1, \text{ad}(E) J_1) \\
- 2s \cos(x) \sin(x) B(\text{ad}(E) J_1, q_2) \\
+ \sin^2(x) B(q_2, q_2). \tag{2.223}
\]

The problem now reduces to the evaluation of the three Killing products in this expression. Let us begin with \( B(\text{ad}(E)^2 q_2, q_2) \). For this one, we need to know the \( q_2 \)-component of \( \text{ad}(E)^2 q_2 \). We have to review all the possibilities \( \text{ad}(q_i) \text{ad}(q_j) q_2 \) and determine which one(s) have a \( q_2 \)-component.

In this optic, let us recall that \( q_2 \) is characterised by

\[
q_2 \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{N}_2. \tag{2.224}
\]

All the combinations \( \text{ad}(q_i)^2 \) work. Since \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{P} \) is reductive and since \( q_0 \) is the only basis element of \( \mathcal{Q} \) to belong to \( \mathcal{K} \), none of the combinations \( \text{ad}(q_i) \text{ad}(q_0) \) or \( \text{ad}(q_0) \text{ad}(q_i) \) work.
Using the relations
\[ q_1 \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{A}, \quad [\mathcal{N}_k, \mathcal{N}_2] \subset \mathcal{N}_k \]
\[ q_2 \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{N}_2, \quad [\mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_2] \subset \mathcal{A} \]
\[ q_k \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{N}_k, \quad [\mathcal{N}_k, \mathcal{N}_k] \subset \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}_2, \quad (2.225) \]
we check that the only working combinations are \( \text{ad}(q_1)^2 \).

Thus, the only elements \( \text{ad}(q_1) \text{ad}(q_2) \) which have a \( q_2 \)-component are \( \text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_2 \), while theorem 49 says that this component is \( q_2 \) for \( 2 \neq i \neq 0 \) and \(-q_2\) for \( i = 0 \). Therefore, the \( q_2 \)-component of \( \text{ad}(E)^2 q_2 \) is
\[ \text{ad}(q_0)^2 q_2 + w_i^2 \text{ad}(q_1)^2 q_2 + \sum_{k \geq 3} w_k^2 \text{ad}(q_k)^2 q_2 = -w_2^2 q_2 \quad (2.226) \]
where we used the fact that \( \sum w_i^2 = 1 \). Thus we have
\[ B(\text{ad}(E)^2 q_2, q_2) = -w_2^2 B(q_2, q_2). \quad (2.227) \]

Let us now search for the \( q_2 \)-component of \( \text{ad}(E)J_1 \). We have \([q_1, J_1] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}] = 0, [q_k, J_1] = 0 \) (equation (2.92b)), and \([q_2, J_1] = -q_0, [q_0, J_1] = -q_2 \) (equation (2.58)). Then, we have
\[ \text{ad}(E)J_1 = w_2 q_0 + q_2. \quad (2.228) \]
That implies
\[ B(\text{ad}(E)^2 J_1, q_2) = B(q_2, q_2), \quad (2.229) \]
and
\[ B(\text{ad}(E)J_1, \text{ad}(E)J_1) = B(q_2, q_2) + w_2^2 B(q_0, q_0). \quad (2.230) \]
Equation (2.223) now reads
\[ \frac{n_w^w(s)}{B(q_2, q_2)} = (\cos^2(x) - w_2^2)s^2 - 2\cos(x)\sin(x)s + \sin^2(x). \quad (2.231) \]
We have \( n_w^w(s) = 0 \) when \( s \) equals
\[ s_{\pm} = \frac{\cos(x)\sin(x) \pm |w_2 \sin(x)|}{\cos^2(x) - w_2^2}. \quad (2.232) \]
If \( w_2 \sin(x) \geq 0 \), we have\(^5\)
\[ s_{+} = \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x) - w_2} \quad \text{and} \quad s_{-} = \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x) + w_2}, \quad (2.233) \]
and if \( w_2 \sin(x) < 0 \), we have to exchange \( s_{+} \) with \( s_{-} \).

If we consider a point \( e^{i\phi} \) with \( \sin(x) > 0 \) and \( \cos(x) < 0 \), the directions \( w \) with \( |w_2| < |\cos(x)| \) escape the singularity as the two roots (2.233) are simultaneously negative. Such a point does not belong to the black hole. That proves that the black hole is not the whole space.

If we consider a point \( e^{i\phi} \) with \( \sin(x) > 0 \) and \( \cos(x) > 0 \), we see that for every \( w_2 \), we have \( s_{+} > 0 \) or \( s_{-} > 0 \) (or both). That shows that for such a point, every direction intersect the singularity. Thus the black hole is actually larger than only the singularity itself.

The two points with \( \sin(x) = 0 \) belong to the singularity. At the points \( \cos(x) = 0, \sin(x) = \pm 1 \), we have \( s_{+} = -1/w_2 \) and \( s_{-} = 1/w_2 \). A direction \( w \) escapes the singularity only if \( w_2 = 0 \) (which is a closed set in the set of \( \|w\| = 1 \)).

\(^5\) The solutions (2.233) were already deduced in [1] in a quite different way.
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Figure 2.3: Points in \( \pi(K) \) are classified by their angle in SO(2). Red points are part of the singularity, points in the black zone belong to the black hole and points in the green zone are free. The upper and lower boundaries belong to the horizon.

2.4 Some more computations

As we saw, the use of theorem 68 leads us to study the function

\[
|w_{[g]}(s) - \| \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad} (e^{-sE(w)}) X \|^2 = 0 \quad (2.234)
\]

where \( E(w) = q_0 + w_1 q_1 + \ldots + w_{l-1} q_{l-1} (w \in S^{l-2}) \) and (see equation (2.208))

\[
X = e^{ad(xq_0)} \text{Ad}(na)J_1 = e^{ad(xq_0)} (J_1 + aX_{++} + bX_{+-} + \sum_{k=3}^{l-1} c_k X_{+k}). \quad (2.235)
\]

From proposition 47 we have \( \text{ad}(E)^3 = 0 \) and the exponential in equation (2.234) contains only three terms. Using the fact that \( \text{pr}_Q \text{ad}(E)X = \text{ad}(E)X_H \) and we are then lead to study the norm of

\[
|w_{[g]}(s) - \| \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad} (e^{-sE(w)}) X \|^2 = a(E)s^2 + b(E)s + c \quad (2.237)
\]

where

\[
a(E) = -B(\text{ad}(E)X, \sigma \text{ad}(E)X) \quad (2.238a)
b(E) = -2B(X_Q, \text{ad}(E)X_H) \quad (2.238b)
c = B(X_Q, X_Q). \quad (2.238c)
\]

It is convenient to decompose \( X \) into \( X = X_2 + \sum_k c_k X_k \) with \( X_2 \in \tilde{N}_2 \) and \( X_k \in \tilde{N}_k \) as well as \( E = E_2 + \sum_k E_k \). Using the decompositions (2.175), the first part is

\[
X_2 = \text{Ad}(e^{xq_0})(a(q_0 - q_2) + b(p_1 + s_1))
\]

\[
X_k = \text{Ad}(e^{xq_0})(p_k + s_k) \quad (2.239)
\]

where we have renamed \( a, b \) and \( c_k \). Using the exponentials (2.184) and (2.186b) we have

\[
X_2 = aq_0 - b \sin(x)q_1 - a \cos(x)q_2 + a \sin(x)J_1 + bs_1 + b \cos(x)p_1 \quad (2.240a)
\]

\[
X_k = -s_k - \cos(x)p_k + \sin(x)q_k \quad (2.240b)
\]
Let us first look at the case we encounter in $\text{AdS}_3$, i.e. with $X_k = 0$ and $E_k = 0$. In this case, a general direction is given by

$$E_2(w) = E(\theta) = q_0 + \cos(\theta) q_1 + \sin(\theta) q_2.$$  \hfill (2.241)

We achieve the computation of $e^{\text{ad}(E(\theta))} X_2$ using the known commutators:

$$\text{ad}(E_2) X_Q = J_1 (a \sin(\theta) + a \cos(x))$$
$$+ p_1 \left( -a \cos(\theta) - b \sin(x) \right)$$
$$+ s_1 \left( b \sin(x) \sin(\theta) - a \cos(x) \cos(\theta) \right).$$  \hfill (2.242a)

and

$$\text{ad}(E_2) X_H = q_0 \left( a \sin(x) \sin(\theta) - b \cos(x) \cos(\theta) \right)$$
$$- q_1 b \left( \sin(\theta) + \cos(x) \right)$$
$$+ q_2 \left( a \sin(x) + b \cos(\theta) \right).$$  \hfill (2.242b)

Putting together and writing $(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) = (w_1, w_2)$,

$$\text{ad}(E_2) X_2 = J_1 \left( a \cos(x) + aw_2 \right)$$
$$p_1 \left( -b \sin(x) - aw_1 \right)$$
$$s_1 \left( -a \cos(x) w_1 + b \sin(x) w_2 \right)$$
$$q_0 \left( -b \cos(x) w_1 + a \sin(x) w_2 \right)$$
$$q_1 \left( -bw_2 - b \cos(x) \right)$$
$$q_2 \left( bw_1 + a \sin(x) \right).$$  \hfill (2.243)

Using the expression (2.243) among with the norms and collecting the terms with respect to the dependence in $\theta$, we have

$$\frac{B(\text{ad}(E) X_Q, \text{ad}(E) X_Q)}{B(q_0, q_0)} = a^2 \cos^2(x) - a^2$$
$$+ \sin(\theta) \left( -2a^2 \cos(x) \right)$$
$$+ \cos(\theta) \left( -2ab \sin(x) \right)$$
$$+ \cos^2(\theta) \left( a^2 \cos^2(x) - b^2 \sin^2(x) \right)$$
$$+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \left( -2ab \sin(x) \cos(x) \right),$$  \hfill (2.244)

and

$$\frac{B(\text{ad}(E) X_H, \text{ad}(E) X_H)}{B(q_0, q_0)} = -b^2 \left( 1 + \cos^2(x) \right)$$
$$+ \sin(\theta) \left( -2b^2 \cos(x) \right)$$
$$+ \cos(\theta) \left( -2ab \sin(x) \right)$$
$$+ \cos^2(\theta) \left( b^2 \cos^2(x) - a^2 \sin^2(x) \right)$$
$$+ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \left( -2ab \sin(x) \cos(x) \right)$$
$$+ \sin^2(\theta).$$  \hfill (2.245)

and finally, in the case of $\text{AdS}_3$ we have

$$a(E) = B(\text{ad}(E) X_H, \text{ad}(E) X_H) - B(\text{ad}(E) X_Q, \text{ad}(E) X_Q)$$
$$= \left( a^2 - b^2 \right) \left( \cos^2(x) + \sin(\theta) \cos(x) + \sin^2(\theta) \right).$$  \hfill (2.246)
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For investigating the higher dimensional cases, we decompose \( \text{ad}(E)X \) into the four parts \( \text{ad}(E_2)X_2, \text{ad}(E_2)X_k, \text{ad}(X_k)X_2 \) and \( \text{ad}(E_k)X_k \).

The action of \( E_2 \) on \( \tilde{N}_k \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ad}(E_2)r_k &= w_1q_k \\
\text{ad}(E_2)p_k &= -q_k \\
\text{ad}(E_2)q_k &= p_k + w_1r_k - w_2s_k \\
\text{ad}(E_2)s_k &= -w_2q_k .
\end{align*}
\]

Thus

\[
\text{ad}(E_2)X_k = q_k(w_2 + \cos(x)) + p_k(\sin(x)) + r_k(w_1 \sin(x)) + s_k(-w_2 \sin(x)) \quad (2.248)
\]

The same way we find

\[
\text{ad}(E_k)X_2 = \sum_{k=3}^{l-1} \left[ p_k(-aw_k) + r_k(b \sin(x)w_k) + s_k(-a \cos(x)w_k) \right].
\]

Using the relations

\[
\text{ad}(q_k)X_k = -q_2 + \cos(x)q_0 .
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ad}(E)q_0 &= -w_1p_1 + w_2J_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{l} w_k p_k \\
\text{ad}(E)q_1 &= p_1 - w_2s_1 - \sum_{k=3}^{l} w_k r_k \\
\text{ad}(E)q_2 &= -J_1 + w_1s_1 + \sum_{k=3}^{l} s_k \\
\text{ad}(E)q_k &= p_k + w_1r_k - w_2s_k,
\end{align*}
\]

we find

\[
\text{ad}(E_k)X_{k'} = \begin{cases} 
  c_{k'}w_k \sin(x) & \text{if } k \neq k' \\
  -c_kw_k \cos(q_0) - c_kw_kq_2 & \text{if } k = k'
\end{cases}
\]

(2.252)
Putting all together, we find
\[
\text{ad}(E)X = J_1(a \cos(x) + aw_2) \\
+ p_1(- b \sin(x) - aw_1) \\
+ s_1(- a \cos(x)w_1 + bw_2 \sin(x)) \\
+ q_0(- bw_1 \cos(x) + aw_2 \sin(x) + \sum_k c_kw_k \cos(x)) \\
+ q_1(- bw_2 - b \cos(x)) \\
+ q_2(bw_1 + a \sin(x) - \sum_k c_kw_k) \\
+ \sum_k q_k c_k(w_2 + \cos(x)) \\
+ \sum_k p_k(c_k \sin(x) - aw_k) \\
+ \sum_k r_k(c_kw_1 + bw_k) \sin(x) \\
+ \sum s_k(- c_kw_2 \sin(x) - aw_k \cos(x)) \\
+ \sum_{k \geq 3; k' > k} r_{kk'}(c_kw_{k'} - c_{k'}w_k) \sin(x)
\]
(2.253)

It is quite easy but long to compute \(a(E)\), \(b(E)\) and \(c\) from that expression. The results are

\[
\frac{a(E)}{B(q_0, q_0)} = M\left(w_2^2 + \cos(x)w_2 + \cos^2(x)\right) 
\]
(2.254a)

\[
\frac{b(E)}{B(q_0, q_0)} = -2M \sin(x)(w_2 + \cos(x)) 
\]
(2.254b)

\[
\frac{c}{B(q_0, q_0)} = M \sin^2(x) 
\]
(2.254c)

where \(M = (a^2 - b^2 - \sum_k c_k^2)\). The important point to notice is that these expressions only depend on the \(w_2\)-component of the direction. Notice that \(c = 0\) if and only if the point \([g]\) belongs to the singularity because \(s = 0\) is a solution of (2.234) only in the case \([g] \in \mathcal{S}\).

We can avoid the computation of a certain number of terms by exploiting the properties of the decomposition \(\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z}_k(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}_2 \oplus \mathcal{N}_k \oplus \mathcal{A}\). The dependence in \(w_2^2\) of \(a(E)\) is given by the term

\[
B(\text{ad}(q_1)X, \sigma \text{ad}(q_1)X) = B(\text{ad}(q_1)^2X, \sigma X).
\]
(2.255)

This is easily computed using the theorems 59 and 56. The result is that the coefficient of \(w_2^2\) in \(a(E)/B(q_0, q_0)\) is

\[
- \sin^2(x)(a^2 - b^2 - C^2)
\]
(2.256)

where \(C^2 = \sum_{k \geq 3} c_k^2\).

The term which does not depend on \(w\) is

\[
B(\text{ad}(q_0)X, \sigma \text{ad}(q_0)X) = B(\text{ad}(q_0)^2X, \sigma X).
\]
(2.257)

The result is that the independent term in \(a(E)/B(q_0, q_0)\) is

\[
(1 - 2 \cos^2(x))(a^2 - b^2 - C^2).
\]
(2.258)
In the same way, the coefficient of $w^2$ is $B(\text{ad}(q_2)^2X, \sigma X)$ and we find
\[ -\left( \sin^2(x) + 1 \right)(a^2 - b^2 - C^2). \]  

(2.259)

**Remark 73.**
Importance of the coefficients (2.254). If $v \in \mathcal{F}_1$, there is a direction $E_0$ in $\text{AdS}_l$ which escapes the singularity from $v$. Thus the polynomial $a(E_0)s^2 + b(E_0)s + c$ has only non positive roots. From the expressions (2.254), we see that the polynomial corresponding to $\iota(v)$ is the same, so that the direction $E_0$ escapes the singularity from $\iota(v)$ as well.

This is the main ingredient of the next section.

2.5 Description of the horizon

2.5.1 Induction on the dimension

The horizon in $\text{AdS}_3$ is already well understood [2, 7]. We are not going to discuss it again. We will study how does the causal structure (black hole, free part, horizon) of $\text{AdS}_l$ includes itself in $\text{AdS}_{l+1}$ by the inclusion map
\[ \iota: \text{AdS}_l \rightarrow \text{AdS}_{l+1}. \]  

(2.260)

**Lemma 74.**
Let $[g] \in \iota(\text{AdS}_3) \subset \text{AdS}_l$ be outside the singularity. We suppose that there is an open set $\mathcal{O}$ in $S^1$ of directions escaping the singularity from $[g]$. Then there exists an open set $\mathcal{O}'$ in $S^{l-2}$ of directions escaping the singularity.

**Proof.** The proof is a consideration about the coefficients (2.238). The hypothesis means that the points
\[ \pi \left( ge^{sE(\theta)} \right) \]  

(2.261)
do not belong to $\mathcal{S}$ for $s \geq 0$ when $E(\theta) = q_0 + \cos(\theta)q_1 + \sin(\theta)q_2$ and $\theta$ belongs to the given open set $\mathcal{O} \subset [0, 2\pi]$. If $a(E_0) \neq 0$ for some $E_0 \in \mathcal{O}$, the solutions are given by
\[ s_{\pm} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}. \]  

(2.262)

In such a direction, there are two values, both outside of $\mathbb{R}^+$, of $s$ such that $[ge^{sE_0}] \in \mathcal{S}$. By continuity, we can find a neighborhood of $E_0$ in $S^{l-2}$ such that $[ge^{sE}]$ belongs to the singularity only for non positive numbers.

A problem arises when $a(E) = 0$ for every direction $E$ in the open set $\mathcal{O}$. In that case the equation (2.234) has only one solution which is negative by hypothesis. But it could appear that in every neighborhood of $E$, a second solution, positive, appears. If we write $X = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})J_1$, what we have to prove is that the quantity
\[ a(E) = B(\text{ad}(E)X, \sigma \text{ad}(E)X) \]  

(2.263)
is not constant when $E$ runs over $\mathcal{O}$, in particular, there exists a direction $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $a(\theta_0) \neq 0$. We supposed that $[g] \in \iota(\text{AdS}_3)$, so that $X = \text{Ad}(an)J_1$ is given by $X_3$ of equation (2.240a).

\[ ^6\text{When we say "outside" of } \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ we include the case of complex solutions.} \]
The function \( a(E) \) (2.246) is analytic with respect to \( \theta \), thus if it vanishes on an open set \( \mathcal{O} \), it has to vanish everywhere. This can only be achieved with \( a = \pm b \). Now, simple computation show that
\[
c = a^2 - b^2 \sin^2(x) - a^2 \cos^2(x) = (a^2 - b^2) \sin^2(x)
\]
which vanishes when \( a = \pm b \), so that \( a(E) \) can only be constant with respect to \( E \) on the singularity. Thus we conclude that \( a(E) \) is not constant with respect to \( E \in S^1 \) outside the singularity.

This concludes the proof of lemma 74. \( \square \)

**Lemma 75.**

The direction \( E_0 \) in \( AdS_l \) escapes the singularity from \( v \in AdS_l \) if and only if it escapes the singularity from \( \iota(v) \) in \( AdS_{l+1} \).

**Proof.** The fact for \( v \) to escape the singularity in the direction \( E_0 \) means that the equation
\[
a_v(E_0)s^2 + b_v(E_0) + c_v = 0
\]
where the coefficients are given by (2.254) has no positive solutions with respect to \( s \). Since these coefficients are the same for \( v \) and \( \iota(v) \), the equation for \( \iota(v) \) is in fact the same and has the same solutions. \( \square \)

As a warm up, let us prove the following, which is a particular case of lemma 77.

**Lemma 76.**

Let \( [g] \in AdS_l \) be such that there exists an open set \( \mathcal{O} \subset S^1 \) of directions that escape the singularity. Then there is an open set in \( S^{l-1} \) that escapes the singularity from \( \iota[g] \in AdS_{l+1} \).

**Proof.** With the notations of section 2.4, we only have to compute \( a(E) \) when \( E = E_2 \) and \( X \) is general. So we pick the expression (2.254a) and we put \( w_2 = \cos(\theta) \) while \( w_k = 0 \) for every \( k \geq 3 \). What we have is
\[
a(E) = M \left( \cos^2(\theta) + \cos(x) \cos(\theta) + \cos^2(x) \right)
\]
If \( a(E) = 0 \) for every \( \theta \in \mathcal{O} \), then \( M = 0 \) which is impossible since we suppose that the starting point does not belong to the singularity. \( \square \)

**Lemma 77.**

We have
\[
\iota(\text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_l)) \subset \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{l+1})
\]
or, equivalently,
\[
\text{Adh}(BH_{l+1}) \cap \iota(AdS_l) \subset \iota(\text{Adh}(BH_l)).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( v' \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_l) \) and \( \mathcal{O} \), an open set of directions in \( AdS_l \) that escape the singularity. The coefficient \( a_l(E) \) is not constant on \( \mathcal{O} \) because the coefficient \( M = a^2 - b^2 - C^2 \) is only zero on the singularity (see equation (2.254a)). Thus we can choose \( E_0 \in \mathcal{O} \) such that \( a_l(E_0) \neq 0 \). We consider \( a_{l+1}(E_0) \), the coefficient of \( s^2 \) for the point \( \iota(v') \) in the direction \( E_0 \). From the expression (2.254a) we know that \( a_{l+1}(E_0) = a_l(E_0) \). The coefficients \( b(E_0) \) and \( c \) are also the same for \( v' \) and \( \iota(v') \).

Since \( a(E_0) \neq 0 \) and \( v' \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_l) \), we have two solutions to the equation \( a(E_0)s^2 + b(E_0)s + c = 0 \) and both of these are outside \( \mathbb{R}_0^+ \). This conclusion is valid for \( v' \in AdS_l \) as well as for
\( \iota(v') \in AdS_{t+1} \). Then there is a neighborhood of \( \iota(v') \) on which the two solutions keep outside \( \mathbb{R}^+ \). That proves that \( \iota(v') \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{t+1}) \).

For the second line, suppose that \( v \in \iota(AdS_t) \) does not belong to \( \iota(Adh(BH_{t+1})) \), thus \( v \in \iota(\text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_t)) \subset \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{t+1}) \). In that case \( v \) does not belong to \( Adh(BH_{t+1}) \).

\[ \text{Proposition 78.} \]

We have
\[ \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \cap \iota(AdS_t) \subset \iota(\mathcal{F}_t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.269)

\[ \text{Proof.} \] If \( v = \iota(v') \in \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \), there is a direction \( E_0 \) in \( AdS_{t+1} \) which escape the singularity from \( v \). That direction is given by a vector \( (w_1, \cdots, w_t) \in S^t \). Since the coefficients \( a(E), b(E) \) and \( c \) do only depend on \( w_2 \), a direction \( (w'_1, \cdots, w'_{t-1}, 0) \) with \( w'_2 = w_2 \) escapes the singularity from \( v' \). This proves that \( v' \in \mathcal{F}_t \).

\[ \text{Lemma 79.} \]

We have
\[ \mathcal{H}_{t+1} \cap \iota(AdS_t) \subset \iota(\mathcal{H}_t) \] \hspace{1cm} (2.270)

\[ \text{Proof.} \] First,
\[ v \in \mathcal{H}_{t+1} \cap \iota(AdS_t) \subset \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \cap \iota(AdS_t) \subset \iota(\mathcal{F}_t) \] \hspace{1cm} (2.271)

from proposition 78. Now, let’s take \( v' \in \mathcal{F}_t \) such that \( v = \iota(v') \). We have to prove that \( v' \in \mathcal{H}_t \). Let us suppose that \( v' \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_t) \), so \( v \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{F}_{t+1}) \) because of lemma 77. This is in contradiction with the fact that \( v \in \mathcal{H}_{t+1} \).

\[ \text{Corollary 80.} \]

We have
\[ 1 \ \iota(\mathcal{A}_t) \subset \mathcal{A}_{t+1}, \quad 3 \ \iota(BH_t) \subset BH_{t+1}, \]
\[ 2 \ \iota(\mathcal{F}_t) \subset \mathcal{F}_{t+1}, \quad 4 \ \iota(\mathcal{H}_t) \subset \mathcal{H}_{t+1}. \]

\[ \text{Proof.} \] We have \( \text{Ad} \ (\iota((g^{-1})J_1) = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})J_1 \), so that the condition of theorem (68) is invariant under \( \iota \). Thus one immediately has \( \iota(\mathcal{A}_t) \subset \mathcal{A}_{t+1} \) and \( \iota(\mathcal{F}_t) \subset \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \).

An element \( v \) which does not belong to \( BH_{t+1} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \), but if \( v \) belongs to \( \iota(AdS_t) \cap \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \), it belongs to \( \iota(\mathcal{F}_t) \) by proposition 78 and then does not belong to \( \iota(BH_t) \). Thus \( \iota(BH_t) \subset BH_{t+1} \).

Now if \( v' \in \mathcal{H}_t \), let us consider \( O \), a neighborhood of \( v = \iota(v') \) in \( AdS_{t+1} \). The set \( \iota^{-1}(O \cap \iota(AdS_t)) \) contains a neighborhood \( O' \) of \( v' \) in \( AdS_t \). Since \( v' \in \mathcal{H}_t \), there is \( \tilde{v} \in O' \) such that \( \tilde{v} \in BH_t \). Thus \( \iota(\tilde{v}) \in O \) belongs to \( BH_{t+1} \) by the first item.

Let \( X \in \mathcal{G}_{t+1} \) such that \( [X, J_1] = 0 \), and let \( R \) be the group generated by \( X \). The following results are intended to show that such a group can be used in order to transport the causal structure from \( AdS_t \) to \( AdS_{t+1} \).

The key ingredient will be the fact that, since \( X \) commutes with \( J_1 \), we have
\[ \text{Ad} ((ge^{sE})^{-1})J_1 = \text{Ad} ((e^{\alpha X}ge^{sE})^{-1})J_1. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.272)

\[ \text{Lemma 81.} \]

A group \( R \) as described above preserves the causal structure in the sense that
Let now three points follow.

From equation (2.272), we deduce that a direction $\bar{v}$ leaves invariant the condition of theorem (68):

\[
\bar{v} \in \text{AdS} - \ell \cdot \text{O}.
\]

Now let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $r \in R$ and let us prove that $r \cdot v \in BH$. By the third point, $r \cdot v \in \mathcal{F}$. Let now $O$ be a neighborhood of $r \cdot v$. The set $r^{-1} \cdot O$ is a neighborhood of $v$ and we can consider $\bar{v} \in BH \cap r^{-1} \cdot O$. By the second point, $r \cdot \bar{v}$ is a point of the black hole in $O$. \qed

**Remark 82.**
Combining corollary 80 and lemma 81 we have

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \ R \cdot \mathcal{O}_i \subset \mathcal{F}_{t+1} & 3 \ R \cdot \mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{F} \\
2 \ R \cdot BH \subset BH & 4 \ R \cdot \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}.
\end{array}
\]

**Theorem 83.**
If moreover the one parameter group $R$ has the property to generate $\text{AdS}_{t+1}$ (in the sense that $R \cdot \ell(\text{AdS}_t) = \text{AdS}_{t+1}$), then we have

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \ R \cdot \ell(\mathcal{O}_i) = \mathcal{F}_{t+1} & 3 \ R \cdot \ell(BH_1) = BH_{t+1} \\
2 \ R \cdot \ell(\mathcal{F}_i) = \mathcal{F}_{t+1} & 4 \ R \cdot \ell(\mathcal{H}_i) = \mathcal{H}_{t+1}.
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** The inclusions in the direct sense are already done in the remark 82.

Let $r = e^{\alpha X}$ be an element of $R$. Since, by assumption, we have $[X,J_i] = 0$, the action of $r$ leaves invariant the condition of theorem (68):

\[
\text{Ad} \left((ge_x^E)^{-1}\right)J_i = \text{Ad} \left(e^{\alpha X}ge_x^E\right)^{-1}J_i.
\tag{2.273}
\]

1 Let $[g] \in \mathcal{O}_{t+1}$, there exists a $r \in R$ such that $r[g] \in \ell(\text{AdS}_t)$. There exists an element $g' \in G_t$ such that $rg = \ell(g')$. Now $[g'] \in \mathcal{O}_t$ because

\[
\text{Ad}(g')J_i = \text{Ad}(\ell(g'))J_i = \text{Ad}(\ell(rg^{-1}))J_i = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})J_i.
\tag{2.274}
\]

but by assumption the norm of the projection on $Q$ of the right hand side is zero.

2 If $v$ is free in $\text{AdS}_{t+1}$, there is a direction $E_0$ escaping the singularity from $v$ and an element $r \in R$ such that $v' = r \cdot v \in \ell(\text{AdS}_t)$. The point $v'$ is also free in $\text{AdS}_{t+1}$ as the direction $E_0$ works for $r \cdot v$ as well as for $v$. Thus by proposition 78 we have

\[
v' \in \mathcal{F}_{t+1} \cap \ell(\text{AdS}_t) \subset \ell(\mathcal{F}_i)
\tag{2.275}
\]

and $v \in R \cdot \ell(\mathcal{F}_i)$.

3 If $v \in BH_{t+1}$, the point $r \cdot v \in \ell(\text{AdS}_t)$ also belongs to $BH_{t+1}$. If $r \cdot v = \ell(v')$, then $v' \in BH_t$ from if $v' \in \mathcal{F}_i$, then $\ell(v') \in \mathcal{F}_{t+1}$.

4 If $v \in \mathcal{H}_{t+1}$, there exists a $r \in R$ such that $v' = r \cdot v \in \ell(\text{AdS}_t)$ and moreover, $v'$ belongs to the horizon in $\text{AdS}_{t+1}$ since the horizon is invariant under $R$. Thus $v'$ belongs to $\ell(\text{AdS}_t) \cap \mathcal{H}_{t+1} \subset \ell(\mathcal{H}_i)$ by lemma 79. Now, $v \in R \cdot \ell(\mathcal{H}_i)$. \qed
2.5. DESCRIPTION OF THE HORIZON

2.5.2 Examples of surjective groups

Theorem 83 describes the causal structure in $AdS_l$ by induction on the dimension provided that one knows a group $R$ such that $AdS_{l+1} = R \cdot \iota(AdS_l)$. Can one provide examples of such groups? The following proposition provides a one.

**Proposition 84.**

If $R$ is the one parameter subgroup of $SO(2,l)$ generated by $r_{l,l+1}$, then we have

$$R \cdot \iota(AdS_l) = AdS_{l+1}. \quad (2.276)$$

**Proof.** If one realises $AdS_n$ as the set of vectors of length 1 in $\mathbb{R}^{2,n-1}$, $AdS_l$ is included in $AdS_{l+1}$ as the set of vectors with vanishing last component and the element $r_{l,l+1}$ is the rotation in the plane of the two last coordinates. In that case, we have to solve

$$e^{\alpha r_{l,l+1}} \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ t' \\ x'_1 \\ \vdots \\ x'_{l-2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ t \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{l-2} \\ x_{l-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.277)$$

with respect to $\alpha$, $u'$, $t'$ and $x'_i$. There are of course exactly two solutions if $x^2_{l-2} + x^2_{l-1} \neq 0$. □

In fact, many others are available, as the one showed at the end of [2]. In fact, since, in the embedding of $AdS$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2,n}$, the singularity is given by $t^2 - y^2 = 0$, almost every group which leaves invariant the combination $t^2 - y^2$ can be used to propagate the causal structure. One can find lot of them for example by looking at the matrices given in [4].

2.5.3 Backward induction

Using proposition 78, lemma 79, corollary 80 and the fact that the norm of $J_1^\ast$ is the same in $AdS_l$ as in $\iota(AdS_l) \subset AdS_{l+1}$, we have

1. $\iota(\mathcal{F}_1) = \mathcal{F}_{l+1} \cap \iota(AdS_l)$,
2. $\iota(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}_{l+1} \cap \iota(AdS_l)$,
3. $\iota(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{l+1} \cap \iota(AdS_l)$.

These equalities hold for $l \geq 3$. For $l = 2$ we can take the latter as a definition and set

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \{v \in AdS_2 \text{ st } \iota(v) \in \mathcal{S}_3\}. \quad (2.278)$$

The Iwasawa decomposition of $SO(2,1)$ is given by $\mathcal{A} = \langle J_2 \rangle$, $\mathcal{N} = \langle X_+ \rangle$, $\mathcal{K} = \langle q_0 \rangle$ where $X_+ = p_1 - q_0$. Notice that we do not have $\iota(X_+) = X_{++}$. Instead we have $X_+ = \frac{1}{2}(X_{++} + X_{--})$. Thus $\mathcal{S}_2$ is not given by the closed orbits of $AN$ in $AdS_2$.

The light-like directions are given by the two vectors $E = q_0 \pm q_1$. In order to determine if the point $[e^{-\alpha J_2} e^{-\alpha X_+} e^{-\alpha q_0}]$ belongs to the black hole, we follow the same way as in section 2.3.3: we compute the norm

$$\| pr_Q e^{-s \text{ ad}(E)} e^{x \text{ ad}(q_0)} e^{\alpha \text{ ad}(X_+)} e^{\alpha \text{ ad}(J_2)} J_1 \| \quad (2.279)$$
and we see under which conditions it vanishes.

\[ e^{a \text{ad}(X_+)} J_1 = J_1 + a(q_2 + s_1), \quad (2.280) \]

and then

\[ X = e^{x \text{ad}(q_0)} (J_1 + a(q_2 + s_1)) \]
\[ = J_1 \left( \cos(x) - a \sin(x) \right) + q_2 \left( \sin(x) + a \cos(x) \right) + a s_1. \quad (2.281) \]

With \( E = q_0 + q_1 \), we have

\[ e^{s \text{ad}(E)} J_1 = \left( -\frac{1}{2} s^2 + 1 \right) J_1 + s q_2 + \frac{1}{2} s^2 s_1 \]
\[ e^{s \text{ad}(E)} q_2 = -s J_1 + q_2 + s s_1 \]
\[ e^{s \text{ad}(E)} s_1 = -\frac{1}{2} s^2 J_1 + s q_2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} s^2 + 1 \right) s_1. \quad (2.282) \]

Thus

\[ \text{pr}_Q e^{s \text{ad}(E)} X = \left( \left( \cos(x) - a \sin(x) + a \right) s + (\sin(x) + a \cos(x)) \right) q_2. \quad (2.283) \]

Its norm vanishes for the value of \( s \) given by

\[ s^+ = \frac{a \cos(x) + \sin(x)}{(\sin(x) - 1)a - \cos(x)}. \quad (2.284) \]

The same computation with \( E = q_0 - q_1 \) provides the value

\[ s^- = \frac{a \cos(x) + \sin(x)}{(\sin(x) + 1)a - \cos(x)}. \quad (2.285) \]

For small enough \( a \), the sign of \( s^+ \) and \( s^- \) are both given the sign of \( -\tan(x) \) that can be either positive or negative. Thus there is an open set of points in \( \text{AdS}_2 \) which intersect the singularity in every direction and an open set of points which escape the singularity.

As a side note, the singularity \( S^2 \) described here is not given by the closed orbits of \( AN \) or \( \overline{AN} \). Indeed, we show that

\[ \| \text{pr}_Q \text{Ad}(e^{a \text{Ad}(X_+)} J_1) \|^2 = \| \text{pr}_Q (J_1 + a q_2 + a s_1) \| = -4a^2 \neq 0. \quad (2.286) \]

Thus the points of \( N \) are not part of the singularity.
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