Focal TURP Therapy for Prostate Cancer
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Introduction

For the past decades, the various screening, biopsy and imaging techniques used for prostate cancer have paved the way for early diagnosis, even leading to over-treatment in certain cases. As a result, efforts have been made to find the ideal focal treatment for prostate cancer. The wide range of options for focal treatment includes: cryotherapy, HIFU, vascular-targeted photodynamic therapy, percutaneous laser ablation, brachytherapy and even robotic surgery. The clinical case described below involved focal TURP as the alternative therapy for the focal treatment of prostate cancer.

Clinical Case

A 58-year-old male patient presents with elevated PSA level. Past medical history of prostate biopsy (12 samples) in 2009 with a PSA level of 8.8ng/ml and a new biopsy (16 samples) in 2013 with a PSA level of 21.20ng/ml (tests performed at a different center). Diagnosis of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) in both tests. In 2013, the patient was treated with 0.5mg/day of Dutasteride, reducing the PSA level to 7.75ng/ml. The treatment was continued during 2015 and in 2016 his PSA level reached 18.09ng/ml, his prostate volume was 52 grams, had a maximum flow rate of 8ml/sec, and the digital rectal examination was negative for suspicious lumps.

A multiparametric prostate MRI was requested and identified a Pi-RADS IV lesion on the right lobe, suspecting of prostate cancer (Figure 1). We suggested the patient to undergo focal TURP of the entire right lobe, including the suspicious lesion. After the surgery, it would then be possible to obtain the anatomic pathology report of the whole lesion, unblock the urinary flow and perform the eventual focal treatment of the tumour. The monopolar focal TURP of the right prostate lobe was performed, reaching the periprostatic tissues (Figure 2). The urinary catheter was kept for 14 days. One-month post-surgery the maximum flow rate reached 23ml/sec, not manifesting incontinence and maintaining the quality of erections.

The anatomic pathology report revealed Gleason prostate cancer (Figure 3) with negative margins.
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Figure 1: Multiparametric MRI: a 6.13 by 14.22 nodule is observed (inside the red circle) in transition zone (A), conditioning the mobility of water molecules with diffusion technique (B).
A new MRI was requested 45 days after the focal TURP at the same center, and no lesions compatible with prostatic neoformations were observed, while the whole resection of the right lobe was observed (Figure 4). A PET scan was performed 60 days later, showing no Choline uptake. The patient is now under post-treatment control. In 60 days, the PSA level went from 18.09ng/ml down to 6.8ng/ml.

Figure 3: Histopathological study. prostate cancer (A), prostate capsule (B) and peri-prostatic fatty tissue (C) are observed. after close chip examination, no capsular invasion was found.

Figure 4: Comparison of pre- and post-focal TUR MRI. A: pre-focal TURP coronal slice, B: post-focal TURP coronal slice, C: pre-focal TURP axial slice, D: post-focal TURP axial slice.
Discussion

The treatment guidelines of the European Association of Urology establish prostate cryotherapy and HIFU ("high-intensity focused ultrasound") as focal therapeutic options for clinically localized prostate cancer patients who are not eligible for RP. The ideal candidates for prostate cryoablation are those with an organ-confined disease, prostate size ≤40ml, serum PSA level ≤20ng/ml, and a biopsy Gleason score <7. Other options (vascular-targeted photodynamic therapy, percutaneous laser ablation, brachytherapy and radiofrequency) are still at an initial stage. Reuter et. al. 8,9 published over 1,000 radical transurethral prostactetomies in 2012, and Morita 10 suggests prostate TUR as focal prostate cancer therapy.

Unlike other focal therapies, the focal TURP resects prostatic tissue, leaving no treated tissue in situ. When performing the anatomic pathology study of the tumour that is focally treated, if the sample obtained reveals a higher Gleason score or if capsular invasion is evidenced, the patient may be switched from one focal therapy to another treatment, without waiting for disease progression to occur. The other forms of focal treatment cause a local inflammatory process which fail to unblock the patient’s urinary flow and can even worsen the obstruction. In relation to the surgical technique, the focal TURP is a more laborious procedure than a traditional TUR, since hemostasis is more difficult to restore and entails a higher risk of irrigation fluid absorption. Since the procedure is under direct supervision of a urologist, rectal lesions should be less than in other forms of focal treatment.

It is a low-cost procedure which may be performed by any urologist with experience in prostate TUR. Prospective trials are needed to determine whether it really stands as a possible alternative therapy for the focal treatment of prostate cancer. It appears to be an appealing option since it unblocks the patient, whole tumor sample is taken, is a low-cost procedure and resection margins can be assessed through anatomic pathology.
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