Effect of Dried Distillers Grains With Solubles and Red Osier Dogwood Extract on Fermentation Pattern and Microbial Profiles of a High-Grain Diet in an Artificial Rumen System
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The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) and red-osier dogwood (ROD) extract on in vitro fermentation characteristics, nutrient disappearance, and microbial profiles using the rumen simulation technique. The experiment was a completely randomized design with a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments and four replicates per treatment. A basal diet [10% barley silage, 87% dry-rolled barley grain, and 3% vitamin and mineral supplement, dry matter (DM) basis] and a DDGS diet (as per basal diet with 25% of wheat DDGS replacing an equal portion of barley grain) were supplemented with ROD extract at 0 and 1% (DM basis), respectively. The experimental period was 17 d, consisting 10 days of adaptation and 7 days of data and sample collection. The substitution of wheat DDGS for barley grain did not affect gas production; disappearances of DM, organic matter, and crude protein; total volatile fatty acid (VFA) production; and microbial protein production. However, replacing barley grain with wheat DDGS increased \((P = 0.01)\) fermenter pH and molar proportion of branched-chain VFA, switched \((P = 0.06)\) the fermentation pattern to higher acetate production due to increased \((P = 0.01)\) disappearance of neutral detergent fiber (NDF), and decreased \((P = 0.08)\) methane \((\text{CH}_4)\) production. In the basal barley diet, the ROD extract increased the acetate to propionate (A:P) ratio \((P = 0.08)\) and reduced the disappearance of starch \((P = 0.06)\) with no effect on any other variables. No effects of ROD in the DDGS diet were observed. The number of operational taxonomic unit (OTUs) and the Shannon diversity index of the microbial community had little variation among treatments. Taxonomic analysis revealed no effect of adding the ROD extract on the relative abundance of bacteria at the phylum level with either the basal diet or DDGS diet, while at the genus level, the microbial community was affected by the addition of both DDGS and the ROD extract. *Prevotella* and *Fibrobacter* were the most abundant...
INTRODUCTION

Red osier dogwood (ROD; Cornus sericea) is a native shrub plant that is present across North America and is abundant in low wetlands, pasturelands, and areas where crops and forages do not grow well (1). This plant is rich in bioactive compounds, with total phenolic concentrations of up to 22% of dry matter (DM), including anthocyanins, gallic acid, ellagic acid, quercetin, kaempferol, and cyanin (1). Gallic and ellagic acids have been shown to possess anti-inflammatory (2) and antioxidant (3) benefits (4). In recent years, ROD and its potential as a feed ingredient for livestock have been evaluated in in vitro and in vivo studies utilizing beef cattle and swine. Wei et al. (5) investigated the effect of substituting ROD for silage at 3% and 6–12% in a high-grain diet on in vitro rumen fermentation with varying media pH (5.8 vs. 6.5). They suggested that the inclusion of ROD at a media pH of 5.8 had greater effects on starch digestion than on fiber digestion. This is of particular interest as decreased ruminal starch digestion would alleviate rumen acidosis in animals that are fed high-grain diets, where the rumen pH is consistently below 5.8 and fibrolytic bacteria are compromised, potentially impacting the performance, as well as the health and welfare, of animals. Wei et al. (6) also reported that feeding ROD to beef heifers that are fed high-grain diets decreased the degradability of ruminal protein (i.e., increased the rumen bypass protein) and increased blood antioxidant capacity and the immune response. These authors suggested that the increase in rumen bypass protein was due to the protein-binding capacity of ROD phenols, thereby improving protein efficiency in cattle. However, these previous studies used raw ROD material, and to our knowledge, ROD extract and its effect on rumen fermentation have not been evaluated.

Dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) is the major by-product of ethanol production when corn or wheat grain is used as a substrate for ethanol production. The inclusion of DDGS in feedlot diets is a common practice, given its high protein and high digestible fiber concentrations, in addition to its competitive cost as a feed ingredient. However, diets with high inclusion levels of DDGS, especially wheat DDGS, which have a higher crude protein (CP) content than corn DDGS, often exceed CP requirements for cattle fed with barley grain-based feedlot finishing diets. Feeding CP in excess of cattle requirements is not nutritionally and environmentally desirable as it increases ruminal absorption of ammonia nitrogen (NH$_3$-N) and, thus, increases nitrogen (N) excretion in a volatile form (7). We hypothesize that supplementation of ROD extract in high-grain diets containing DDGS may alter the ruminal fermentation pattern in a desirable manner and increase ruminal bypass protein by reducing the NH$_3$-N concentration due to decreased proteolytic activity. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of wheat DDGS, the supplementation of ROD extract, and their interaction on fermentation pattern, nutrient disappearance, and rumen microbial profile in an artificial rumen stimulation technique (RUSITEC).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design, Diets, and ROD Extract

The experiment was a completely randomized design with a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of four treatments with four replicates, which included two total mixed ration diets; a basal diet (87% dry-rolled barley grain, 10% barley silage, and 3% vitamin and mineral supplement; DM basis) and a wheat DDGS diet (62% barley grain, 25% wheat DDGS, 10% barley silage, and 3% supplement; DM basis), each supplemented with 0% and 1% ROD extract (DM basis), respectively. The ROD extract was supplied by Red Dog Enterprise Ltd. (Winnipeg, MB, Canada) and was prepared using hydrothermal treatment with an extraction temperature of 98°C. The ROD extract contained approximately 6.7% moisture, 21.8% total phenolic content (expressed as gallic acid equivalents), 1.67% gallic acid, 0.70% ellagic acid, 2.75% rutin, 0.32% quercetin malonyl glucoside, and 0.01% quercetin [DM basis; (8)]. The inclusion level of the ROD extract was based on a previous in vitro study carried out in our laboratory (9). The total mixed ration diets were ground through a 4-mm sieve (Arthur Thomas Co., Philadelphia, PA, USA) and weighed (10 g DM) into nylon bags (10 × 20 cm; pore size of 50 μm, Ankom Technology Corp., Macedon, NY, USA). The ROD extract was then added to nylon bags at the desired level and was manually mixed. The chemical composition of the experimental diets is reported in Table 1.

Experimental Apparatus

Two RUSITEC apparatus were used with each unit equipped with eight 920-ml fermenters as described by Czerkawski and Breckenridge (10). Each fermenter had an inlet for the infusion of the buffer and an outport for the collection of effluent. Fermenters were immersed in a water bath maintained at 39°C. The four treatments were randomly assigned to duplicate fermenters within each RUSITEC apparatus. The experimental...
TABLE 1 | Ingredient and chemical compositions of the experimental diets (% of DM unless otherwise stated).

| Item                    | Basal          | DDGS          |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| **Ingredient**          |                |               |
| Barley silage           | 10             | 10            |
| Barley grain, ground    | 87             | 62            |
| Wheat DDGS              | 0              | 25            |
| Supplement              | 3              | 3             |
| **Chemical composition**|                |               |
| DM, %                   | 85.4           | 85.0          |
| OM                      | 94.3           | 92.3          |
| CP                      | 13.7           | 19.6          |
| NDF                     | 21.8           | 23.9          |
| ADF                     | 8.4            | 9.1           |
| Starch                  | 52.6           | 38.5          |
| Ether extract           | 2.1            | 2.9           |

DDGS, dried distillers grains with solubles; DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber.

aComposition (DM basis): 38.4% DM, 90.7% OM, 11.9% CP, 47.3% NDF, 24.6% ADF, and 20.5% starch.
bComposition (DM basis): 96.7% OM, 13.6% CP, 19.6% NDF, 6.6% ADF, and 58.1% starch.
cComposition (DM basis): 94.0% OM, 40.2% CP, 28.2% NDF, 9.8% ADF, 4.1% ether extract, and 1.7% starch.
dSupplement consisted of (dietary DM) 0.92% ground barley, 0.83% canola meal, 1.04% calcium carbonate, 0.04% molasses, 0.09% salt, 0.02% feedlot premix (supplied per kilogram of dietary DM: 15 mg Cu, 65 mg Zn, 28 mg Mn, 0.7 mg I, 0.2 mg Co, 0.3 mg Se, 6,000 IU vitamin A, 600 IU vitamin D, and 47 IU vitamin E), 0.06% urea, 0.002% vitamin E (500,000 IU/kg), and 0.02% canola oil.

The period was 17 days, comprising 10 days for adaptation and 7 days for data and sample collection.

On day 1, a bag containing 20 g of wet solid rumen contents, a diet bag containing 700 ml ruminal fluid, and 200 ml of warmed McDougall’s buffer (11) were added to each fermenter. Ruminal liquid and solid were added to inoculate the feed with liquid- and particle-associated microbes. The McDougall’s buffer was modified to contain 0.82% NaH₂PO₄ + H₂O (wt/vol), 0.63% NaHCO₃ (wt/vol), and 0.03% (NH₄)₂SO₄ (wt/vol). The McDougall’s buffer was continuously infused into the fermenters at a dilution rate of 2.9%/h during the entire experimental period. Solid rumen content bags were removed after 24 h of incubation and replaced with a diet bag. Thereafter, each fermenter contained two diet bags with one bag being removed daily and a new bag inserted. Thus, the incubation time for each bag in the fermenter was 48 h. During bag exchange, anaerobic conditions were maintained by the infusion of CO₂ into the fermenters. Fermented gases and accumulated effluents were collected into reusable 2 L gas-tight vinyl collection bags (Curity®; Covidien Ltd., Mansfield, MA, USA) that were attached to each of the effluent vessels and Erlenmeyer flasks (2 L), and production was measured daily.

**Source of Inoculum**

Solid and liquid ruminal contents were collected from different locations in the rumen (6 L per animal) at 2 h after morning feeding from three ruminal fistulated beef heifers (average, 698 ± 65.1 kg body weight). The heifers were fed a high-grain diet containing 15% barley silage, 82% barley grain, and 3% vitamin and mineral supplement (DM basis). The collected rumen contents were immediately filtered through four layers of cheesecloth, pooled, and pH recorded before dispensing into the fermenters.

**Fermentation Characteristics and N Fraction**

Fermenter media pH was measured during bag exchange using a calibrated pH meter (Orion model 260A, Fisher Scientific, Toronto, ON, Canada). During the sampling period, the effluent was collected for the analysis of VFA, NH₃-N, and soluble N. To determine VFA concentration, 5 ml of effluent was added to 1 ml of 25% metaphosphoric acid (HPO₃)₂ (wt/vol) in screw-capped vials and stored at −20°C until analysis. The VFA concentration was determined using a gas chromatograph (model 5890, Hewlett-Packard Labs, Palo Alto, CA, USA), with a capillary column (1-µm phase thickness, 30 m x 0.32 mm i.d., Zebron ZB-FFAP, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), a flame ionization detector, and trans-2-butenioic acid as an internal standard. To measure NH₃-N concentration, 5 ml of effluent was added to 1 ml of 1% H₂SO₄ (wt/vol) in screw-capped vials and stored at −20°C until analysis using the modified Berthelot method (12). Daily VFA and NH₃-N production were calculated by multiplying the concentration of the fermentation end product in the effluent by the daily production of effluent.

Large and small peptide N were determined by measuring soluble N in the effluent using tungstic acid (TA) and trichloroacetic acid (TCA), as described by Winter et al. (13) and Li et al. (14). Four milliliters of effluent was mixed with 1 ml of 10% (wt/vol) sodium tungstate and 1 ml of 1.07 N sulfuric acid to measured TA soluble N (TA-N). TCA soluble N (TCA-N) was determined using a gas chromatograph (model 5890, Carlo Erba Instruments, Milan, Italy). The large peptide N concentration was calculated as the difference between TA-N and TA-N, while the small peptide N (including amino acid; AA) concentration was calculated by the difference between TA-N and NH₃-N.

**Nutrient Disappearance and Gas Production**

Dry matter disappearance (DMD) was determined from day 11 to day 15 of the sampling period. After 48 h of incubation, the bags were removed, gently squeezed, and washed under running cold tap water until the water was clear. The bags were oven-dried at 55°C for 48 h and weighed to determine the DMD. The residue in the bags was removed, pooled by using the fermenter, and ground through a 1-mm sieve (standard model 4, Arthur Thomas Co., Philadelphia, PA, USA) for chemical analysis. The experimental diets and the residue from the bags were analyzed...
for DM (method no. 930.15) and ash content (method no. 942.05) according to the AOAC (15). Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) concentration was determined according to the method described by Van Soest et al. (16) using heat-stable amylase and sodium sulfite and expressed inclusivity of residual ash. The concentration of acid detergent fiber (ADF) was determined according to method no. 973.18 (15). A combustion analyzer (NA 2100, Carlo Erba Instruments, Milan, Italy) was used for total N analysis (method no. 990.03; 15), and the CP was calculated as N × 6.25. The starch was determined by enzymatic hydrolysis of α-linked glucose polymers as reported previously (17). The fat content was determined using ether extraction (Extraction Unit E-816, Büchi Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland; 15; method no. 920.39). Nutrient disappearance was calculated as the difference between nutrient concentration in the substrate before and after incubation.

Daily gas production (GP) was measured during bag exchange in the morning using a wet-type gas meter (Alexander-Wright, London, UK). From day 11 to day 15, a gas sample (20 ml) was collected from each bag using a 26-gauge needle (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) which is injected into evacuated 6.8-ml Exetainer vials (Labco Ltd., High Wycombe, Bucks, UK). The methane (CH₄) concentration in the gas samples was determined, as described by Avila-Stagno et al. (18), using a Varian CP-4900 mICRO Gas Chromatograph equipped with a GS-CarbonPLOT 30 m × 0.32 mm × 3 μm column and a thermal conductivity detector (Agilent Technologies Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada).

**Microbial Protein Synthesis**

Feed particle-associated (FPA) bacteria, feed particle-bound (FPB) bacteria, and effluent liquid-associated bacteria (LAB) were evaluated to determine microbial protein synthesis. The bacteria were labeled with ¹⁵N, and on day 10 of the experiment, samples were collected from the effluent and substrate residue to determine the analysis of background ¹⁵N. On day 11, (¹⁵NH₄)₂SO₄ (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA; minimum ¹⁵N enrichment 1 g/L) was added to the McDougall’s buffer instead of (NH₄)₂SO₄ until the end of the sampling period, and sodium azide was added to effluent flasks to stop microbial activity (3 ml/flask per day; 0.1% wt/vol final concentration).

On days 16 and 17, 35 ml of effluent were sampled for LAB determination. The effluents were centrifuged at 20,000 × g at 4°C for 30 min, and the resulting pellets were washed using deionized water and centrifuged three times (20,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C). The pellets were suspended in distilled water and freeze-dried until N and ¹⁵N analysis. FPA bacteria and FPB bacteria were measured by removing the bags from the fermenters and gently squeezing to expel excess liquids. Thereafter, bags were weighed and placed individually in plastic bags with 20 ml of phosphate buffer and were processed two times in a Stomacher 400 Circulator laboratory blender (Seward Medical Ltd., London, UK) for 1 min each time. The bags were then manually squeezed and washed two times with phosphate buffer. The squeezed and rinsed liquid (FPA fraction) was centrifuged at 500 × g at 4°C for 10 min to remove large feed particles, and the supernatant was centrifuged (20,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C) to isolate a bacterial pellet, which was washed three times as previously described. The pellets were suspended in distilled water and freeze-dried until N and ¹⁵N analysis. The washed feed residues (FPB fraction) were oven-dried at 55°C for 48 h for DMD, and they were also ball ground (MM400; Retsch Inc., Newtown, PA, USA) for N and ¹⁵N analysis. The flash combustion method (Model 1500; Carlo Erba Instruments, Milan, Italy) was used for total N determination. The ¹⁵N enrichment was measured by ¹⁵N continuous flow measurement using a combustion analyzer interfaced with a mass spectrometer (VG Isotech, Middlewich, UK).

**Microbial Community**

High-throughput sequencing techniques were used to evaluate FPA microbial communities. Thirty milligrams of the FPA samples were obtained for total DNA extraction and were analyzed with the QIAamp Fast DNA Stool Mini Kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as per the instructions provided by the manufacturer. Before the DNA extraction, the freeze-dried rumen samples were bead beat for 2 min at 50 Hz to break down any fiber particles that may block the DNA-binding column during DNA extraction. The concentration and purity of the DNA were checked using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and the DNA samples were stored at −20°C until sequencing analysis. The PCR technique and DNA sequencing were completed following the method defined by Walters et al. (19). Amplification of the V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was conducted using modified 515-F and 806-R primers. About 99% OTUs of Greengenes 13.8 from the 515F/806R region of the sequence database were used, and no difference in methanogens was found among treatments. A two-step PCR was followed to produce the 16S rRNA gene amplicons, which were then exposed to Illumina paired-end library preparation and cluster generation. The Illumina MiSeq instrument was used for sequencing (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The R package DADA2 (Version 1.4) denoising method and QIIME2 were used for treating the raw data for gene sequencing according to Bolyen et al. (20). Primer sequences were removed, forward and reverse reads were truncated at 225 bp, and the read quality was checked using QIIME2. The number of OTUs (richness) and the Shannon index (diversity) were also calculated. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was carried out using the R packages vegan (Version 2.4-4) and phyloseq (Version 1.20.0) according to the Bray–Curtis similarity distances. Ruminal bacterial fold change at the genus level with a 5% threshold was considered using the R package Deseq2 (21).

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA) as repeated measures according to a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments. The model included wheat DDGS, ROD extract, and their interaction as fixed effects, with the fermenter and the RUSICTEC apparatus as random effects. Sampling days were used as repeated measures for GP profiles, fermenter pH, VFA production and profiles, NH₃-N production, and DMD parameters. Several covariance structures, such as the compound symmetry, heterogeneous compound
symmetry, autoregressive, heterogeneous autoregressive, and unstructured, were carried out for repeated measures, and a low Akaike's information criterion value was chosen. Results were declared as least square means, and the significance was reported at \( P \leq 0.05 \) and a trend was reported at \( 0.05 < P \leq 0.10 \), unless otherwise stated.

**RESULTS**

**Fermentation Characteristics and N Fraction**

Fermenter pH was higher (\( P = 0.01 \)) with DDGS than the basal diet, with the supplementation of ROD extract having no effect (Table 2). Total VFA production and the molar proportion of acetate, propionate, and butyrate were not affected by the diets or supplementation with the ROD extract, except for a tendency for the butyrate to be higher (\( P = 0.08 \)) with ROD extract supplementation; no interaction between the ROD extract and the diets was observed. Basal diet had a lower (\( P = 0.01 \)) molar proportion of branched-chain VFA (BCVFA) and valerate but a higher (\( P = 0.01 \)) proportion of caproate compared to the DDGS diet, with the supplementation of the ROD extract reducing (\( P = 0.01 \)) caproate in both diets. The production of NH\(_3\)-N was higher (\( P = 0.01 \)) with DDGS compared to the basal diet, with no observed effect of the ROD extract. The large peptide N was higher (\( P = 0.01 \)) with DDGS compared to the basal diet, with the opposite outcome (\( P = 0.02 \)) observed for the small peptide N fraction. No interactions were observed in the N fraction.

**Nutrient Disappearance and Gas Production**

There was no significant effect of DDGS and ROD extract on nutrient disappearance of DM, organic matter (OM), ADF, and CP (Table 3). In contrast, the NDF disappearance was increased (\( P = 0.01 \)) by partially replacing barley grain with wheat DDGS. No interactions were observed except for a tendency (\( P = 0.06 \)) of starch disappearance. The NDF disappearance was (\( P = 0.10 \)) higher but starch disappearance was lower (\( P = 0.06 \)) when supplementing ROD extract in the basal diet, but with no effect in the DDGS diet. No effects of DDGS or ROD extract supplementation on GP and CH\(_4\) production (mg/d) were observed. There was a tendency for DDGS to have higher CH\(_4\), expressed as percentage of gas (\( P = 0.05 \)) and mg/g digested basis (\( P = 0.08 \)), compared to the basal diet. No interactions between the basal diet and ROD were observed.

**Microbial Protein Synthesis**

The production of total microbial N and LAB was not affected by the inclusion of DDGS, whereas FPA production decreased (\( P = 0.06 \)) and the FBP production increased (\( P = 0.01 \)) with the addition of DDGS compared with the addition of basal diets (Table 4). The production of microbial N was not affected by supplemental ROD extract, and the efficiency of microbial N synthesis did not differ among treatments. No interactions between DDGS and ROD extract diets were observed.

**Microbial Community**

The number of OTUs (Figure 1, Observed) and the Shannon diversity index (Figure 1, Shannon) showed that the microbial community had little variation among treatments (variance in the mean of Shannon diversity index = 0.002, variance in the median of Shannon diversity index = 0.009). However, the addition of ROD extract to the DDGS diet resulted in the highest diversity in bacterial species (Median Shannon index = 3.7), while the lowest diversity (highest homogeneity) was observed with the basal diet (Median Shannon = 3.5). Similarly, the NMDS plot depicted in Figure 2 showed no separation or absence of specific clustering of FPA microbial communities among treatments, indicating a high similarity between samples taken from the various diets in terms of the identified bacterial genera.

Taxonomic analysis, as shown in Figure 3, showed that the phylum Firmicutes exhibited the highest abundance (abundance = 62.7) among all other phyla irrespective of the diet, followed by the phylum Bacteroidetes (abundance = 24.6). Other phyla existed with very low abundance (range of 3.9–0), indicating that the addition of the ROD extract had no effect on the relative abundance of the bacteria at the phylum level. At the genus level, Prevotella (median = 26.4) and Fibrobacter (median = 5) were the most abundant genera in the basal diet; however, Treponema became the most abundant genus (Figure 4) with the addition of the ROD extract. The dominant genera associated with the DDGS diet were Acidaminococcus (median = 4.9), Megasphaera (median = 4.8), Shuttleworthia (median = 18.2), and Lactobacillus (median = 6.8). However, Selenomonas (median = 27.9), and Schwartzia (median = 4.2) were the dominant genera when the ROD extract was added to the DDGS diet.

The log2 fold change analysis indicated that the majority (97.3%) of the microbial community that differed (\( P = 0.05 \)) between the basal and DDGS diets were upregulated while only five (2.6%) were downregulated (Figure 5). The upregulation was most prevalent in Prevotella (phylum Bacteroidetes), while Selenomonas (phylum Firmicutes) showed the highest downregulation. The addition of ROD extract resulted in changes in the microbial community in both the basal or DDGS diets, although the impact was greatest in the DDGS diet. Supplementation of the ROD extract in the DDGS diet increased all genera that belonged to the phylum Firmicutes (especially genera Selenomonas) but decreased the genus Prevotella, which belongs to phylum Bacteroidia. Adding ROD extract to the basal diet resulted in the upregulation of Fibrobacter (phylum Fibrobacteres), whereas Shuttleworthia (phylum Firmicutes) was the most downregulated genus.

**DISCUSSION**

**Effects of Wheat DDGS**

The wheat DDGS diet exhibited higher rumen pH than the basal diet, which is likely reflective of its lower starch content. Increasing dietary starch concentration is well-established to have a negative effect on rumen pH (22). Relative change between pH and VFA concentration appeared to be similar with a 2% increase in pH and a 2% decrease in
### Table 2
Effect of wheat dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) and the red osier dogwood (ROD) extract on fermentation and nitrogen fraction in RUSITEC.

| Item                        | Basal* | DDGS* | P-value | SEM |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|---------|-----|
| pH                          | 5.74   | 5.75  | 0.02    | 0.01 |
| Total VFA, mM/d             | 45.6   | 44.0  | 1.42    | 0.63 |
| VFA, mol/100 mol            |        |       |         |     |
| Acetate (A)                 | 27.8   | 28.9  | 0.33    | 0.10 |
| Propionate (P)              | 41.2   | 39.9  | 0.58    | 0.25 |
| Butyrate                    | 20.1   | 21.0  | 0.42    | 0.39 |
| BCVFA                       | 2.1    | 2.2   | 0.04    | 0.01 |
| Valerate                    | 5.8    | 5.2   | 0.30    | 0.01 |
| Caproate                    | 3.3    | 2.6   | 0.14    | 0.01 |
| A:P                         | 0.67   | 0.72  | 0.02    | 0.06 |
| NH₃-N production, mg/d      | 54.7   | 54.6  | 2.10    | 0.01 |

SEM, standard error of the mean; Inter, interaction between DDGS and ROD; VFA, volatile fatty acid; BCVFA, branched-chain VFA (isobutyrate + isovalerate); DM, dry matter; N, nitrogen; NH₃-N, ammonia, N.

*The diet consisted of barley silage, barley concentrate, and wheat DDGS in the ratios of 10:90:0 and 10:65:25, respectively, for the basal and DDGS diets (DM basis); the ROD extract was added with 0 (–ROD) or 1% dietary DM (+ROD).

### Table 3
Effect of wheat DDGS and the ROD extract on nutrient disappearance and gas production (GP) in RUSITEC.

| Item                        | Basal* | DDGS* | P-value | SEM |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|---------|-----|
| Nutrient disappearance, %   |        |       |         |     |
| DM                          | 76.3   | 77.5  | 0.69    | 0.43 |
| OM                          | 77.5   | 78.6  | 0.71    | 0.83 |
| NDF                         | 24.5   | 27.6  | 1.07    | 0.01 |
| ADF                         | 22.5   | 25.3  | 1.46    | 0.76 |
| Starch                      | 90.8   | 88.9  | 0.81    | 0.19 |
| CP                          | 82.5   | 83.1  | 1.06    | 0.64 |

SEM, standard error of the mean; Inter, interaction between DDGS and ROD; DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; CH₄, methane; GP, gas production.

*The diet consisted of barley silage, barley concentrate, and wheat DDGS in the ratios of 10:90:0 and 10:65:25, respectively, for the basal and DDGS diets (DM basis); the ROD extract was added with 0 (–ROD) or 1% dietary DM (+ROD).

VFA concentration, indicating that the change in pH was primarily associated with decreased total VFA production. The increased NDF disappearance of the DDGS diets could be explained by a large fraction of digestible NDF in wheat DDGS (14) as well as the higher fermenter pH observed in the DDGS diets. Rumen cellulolytic bacteria are sensitive to rumen pH and adversely impacted at pH <6.0 (23), with pH of 5.8, 5.6, and 5.2 associated with mild, moderate, and severe subacute rumen acidosis, respectively (24).

In the rumen, the end products of feed substrates include VFA, gas (CH₄), and microbial biomass. The production of VFAs plays an important role in supplying the animal with the energy needed for vital physiological processes (25). The main factors affecting total VFA production in the rumen are diet composition and the digestibility and fermentability of feedstuffs.
TABLE 4 | Effect of wheat DDGS and the ROD extract on microbial N synthesis in RUSITEC.

| Item                          | Basal a | DDGS a | SEM | P value | DDGS  | ROD  | Inter |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------|-----|---------|-------|------|-------|
|                               | −ROD    | +ROD   |     |         |       |      |       |
| Total Microbial N, mg/d       | 81.4    | 80.2   | 84.4| 86.6    | 5.74  | 0.38 | 0.92  |
| LAB                           | 66.8    | 64.9   | 71.0| 71.2    | 5.20  | 0.36 | 0.87  |
| FPA                           | 12.1    | 12.7   | 9.7 | 11.0    | 1.10  | 0.06 | 0.38  |
| FPB                           | 2.3     | 2.8    | 4.1 | 4.3     | 0.16  | 0.01 | 0.12  |
| EMPS, mg N/g OM digested      | 10.2    | 10.0   | 10.8| 11.2    | 0.70  | 0.18 | 0.82  |

SEM, standard error of the mean; Inter, interaction between DDGS and ROD; N, nitrogen; LAB, liquid-associated bacteria; FPA, feed particle-associated bacteria; FPB, feed particle-bound bacteria; EMPS, efficiency of bacterial N synthesis; OM, organic matter.

The diet consisted of barley silage, barley concentrate, and wheat DDGS in the ratios of 10:90:0 and 10:65:25, respectively, for the basal and DDGS diets (DM basis); ROD extract was added with 0 (−ROD) or 1% dietary DM (+ROD).

FIGURE 1 | Box plots of the number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) and the Shannon diversity index for feed particle-associated (FPA) samples by treatment. Treatments were as follows: wheat dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) diet without adding red osier dogwood (ROD) extract; DDGS&ROD (wheat DDGS diet with ROD extract); HG (basal diet without adding ROD extract); and HG&ROD (basal diet with ROD extract).

(26). The lack of difference between basal and DDGS diets in total VFA production and OM disappearance suggested that the two diets had similar nutrient fermentability. Rumen VFA profiles and fermentation patterns (i.e., acetate to propionate [A:P] ratio) in cattle offered diets containing wheat DDGS vary, likely depending on the composition and the proportion of wheat DDGS in the diets (27–29). A higher A:P ratio with DDGS than the basal diet was also observed by Beliveau and McKinnon (29), who reported that the substitution of wheat DDGS from 0%, 7%, 14–21% of dietary DM for barley grain increased the A:P ratio due to increased molar proportion of acetate and decreased propionate proportion. In the present study, however, the trend of increased acetate concentration and the A:P ratio in the DDGS compared to those of the basal diet was lower than expected, given the higher rate of NDF disappearance. Additionally, increased BCVFA apparent with the DDGS diet likely resulted from increased ruminal protein degradation associated with a high dietary CP content. The formation of BCVFA occurs during protein degradation as a result of branched-chain AA deamination (30). The higher valerate concentration with DDGS diets is in agreement with another study on RUSITEC using wheat DDGS with a similar treatment design but with the addition of a plant essential oil [cinnamaldehyde; (28)]. This may be explained by the higher...
soluble fraction (e.g., potentially high in sugars) in wheat DDGS than the parent material (31), leading to an increase in the concentration of valerate in the rumen (32).

The similar disappearance of DM and OM is consistent with the absence of differences in the disappearance of starch and CP between basal and DDGS diets. Although the NDF disappearance
of the DDGS diet was higher than that of the basal diet, its quantitative contribution to the DM disappearance was smaller compared with starch and CP. The effect of adding wheat DDGS in barley grain-based diet on rumen DM digestibility is inconsistent in the literature (14, 28, 33). The discrepancy among studies may be due to the variation in the chemical composition of wheat DDGS, experimental conditions (14), and starch digestibility in grain vs. NDF digestibility of DDGS (33). Nevertheless, the ruminal NDF digestibility of wheat DDGS has been reported to be higher than that of feed grain or silage.
owing to the high hemicellulose in DDGS, which is more digestible than cellulose. The CP disappearance was high (>82%) in the current study and was not affected by substituting wheat DDGS in the barley grain diet, suggesting that the NDF insoluble N was low. Furthermore, the higher small peptide and NH3-N concentrations with the DDGS diet reflected the higher CP content and is in agreement with a previous study evaluating the effect of partly replacing barley grain with wheat DDGS in a high-grain diet (28).

The production of CH4 has a negative impact on the energy efficiency in ruminants and represents a loss of dietary energy ranging from 2 to 12% of gross energy intake (35). Supplementation of diets with lipids that are not protected from ruminal digestion is one strategy recognized to lower enteric CH4 emissions (36), and the reduction in CH4 by adding wheat DDGS in the place of barley grain is hypothesized due to a higher fat contribution from the DDGS. This has been reported by McGinn et al. (37), who substituted 35% of corn DDGS for barley grain in the diet of growing beef cattle, leading to a 16% (units) reduction in enteric CH4 production, which was attributed to the increased fat content (5.1%) of the diet. Some poly-unsaturated fatty acids in corn DDGS oil (especially linolenic acid) have been reported to specifically inhibit methanogenesis (38). Dugan et al. (39) reported that increasing wheat DDGS inclusion in beef cattle diets increased the percentage of linoleic acid from 51 to 56% of total fatty acids.

Adding wheat DDGS to barley-based diets has been reported to increase microbial protein production associated with improved OM digestibility (14, 28). The failure to increase microbial protein production with the DDGS vs. basal diets could be explained by the similar, but high, OM digestibility between basal and DDGS diets, thus denoting that energy is not a limiting factor in microbial protein synthesis in the present study.

Although the ruminal microbial ecosystem can be affected by numerous factors, such as animal species, sex, stress, and environment (40), the most important factor is the type of diet (41). Henderson et al. (42) indicated that the diversity of the ruminal microbial community could be enhanced by diet complexity. In the current study, the diversity of the microbial community was not different among diets, which is in agreement with the study by Li et al. (28), who reported similar copy numbers of 16S rDNA of *Fibrobacter* and *Prevotella* between basal and wheat DDGS diets. However, when adding a plant essential oil (cinnamaldehyde) to either diet, copy numbers of *Fibrobacter* and *Prevotella* decreased (28). *Prevotella* exhibited upregulation while *Selenomonas* exhibited downregulation with the DDGS diet, indicating that the copy numbers of *Prevotella* may have responded to the higher CP content of the wheat DDGS. This result is in agreement with that from the study of Callaway et al. (43), who indicated that the *Prevotella* population could be enhanced by high CP concentrations. While *Selenomonas* is responsible for the fermentation of monosaccharides and disaccharides, as well as the production of propionate, the decrease in *Selenomonas* population explains the similarity in the propionate concentration.

**Effects of ROD Extract**

It is well-established that supplementing feed additives can alter individual VFA profiles and fermentation patterns (5, 9). For example, it is well-known that feeding monensin to ruminants increases propionate production and decreases the A:P ratio, thus improving energy efficiency (5). The trend of increased acetate concentration and the A:P ratio due to the addition of the ROD extract to the basal diet in the present study is in agreement with the previous batch culture study (5). These findings suggested that starch disappearance has decreased and the NDF disappearance has increased because of adding ROD, which did occur in the current study. The reduction in starch digestibility because of adding the ROD extract was consistent with the changes of the microbial community at the genus level. *Treponema* was observed to be the most abundant genus, replacing the genera *Prevotella* and *Fibrobacter*. *Prevotella* at the genus level is usually dominant in the rumen microbial community associated with high-grain diets (44), as they are able to utilize various nutrients such as starch, proteins, and non-cellulosic polysaccharides (45).

Contrary to the previous batch culture study, where DMD and VFA production decreased by adding ROD to a high-grain diet (5), the disappearances of DM and OM and total VFA production were not affected by adding the ROD extract in the present study. The discrepancy between the two studies may be related to variations in the concentration of phenolic compounds between raw ROD and ROD extract, diet composition, or the *in vitro* technique used. Singh et al. (46) reported that the response of total VFA production to plant polyphenolic extracts varied with the original plant species and the level of inclusion of the extract. In the batch culture study conducted by Wei et al. (5), the fermentation period was shorter (i.e., 24 h), whereas, in the current study using RUSITEC, the data and samples were collected for 7 days followed by 10 days of microbial adaptation, thus indicating that the rumen microorganisms may have adapted to the treatments. Furthermore, the different levels of inclusion of phenolics used and their profiles may also lead to the variation between studies. In the previous study (5), the total amount of phenol used was 31, 62, or 124 mg/L, compared to 33 mg/L of fermentation media in the present study. The phenol composition appeared to be different between raw ROD and ROD extract; the phenolic compounds were identified as having a higher number of active compounds (gallic acid, methyl gallate, catechin, epicatechin, rutin, ellagic acid, and quercetin) in raw ROD compared to the ROD extract (gallic acid, ellagic acid, rutin, quercetin malonyl glucoside, and quercetin). These results suggest that, similar to raw ROD, adding ROD extract to a high-grain diet can impact rumen fermentation and microbial activity, which may vary with the phenolic compound profile. In the present study, the addition of the ROD extract led to a change in the dominant genera bacteria in both the basal and DDGS diets, indicating selective promotion or suppression of specific bacteria performed by the ROD extract.

Phenolics have both antioxidant and antimicrobial properties (2, 4); however, studies regarding the effect of these compounds on rumen fermentation and microbial activity are scarce (47).
Furthermore, no other studies have assessed the effect of the ROD extract on the ruminal microbial community. No effect of adding the ROD extract on the relative abundance of bacteria at the phylum level is consistent with the absence of differences in GP, nutrient disappearance, and microbial protein synthesis. However, at the genus level, changes in the microbial community were observed, and it revealed altered microbial activity with the addition of the ROD extract. Such activity may not be necessary to significantly increase rumen nutrient degradation under present experimental conditions, especially with highly fermentable carbohydrates that are present in high-grain diets. Furthermore, the rumen is recognized to be an anaerobic environment; however, some oxygen may enter through feeds causing oxidative stress. Therefore, the ROD extract, which has antioxidants that reduce ruminal oxidative stress, may improve rumen function. However, the absence of effects of feeding the ROD extract on ruminal pH and VFA profiles suggested that low oxidant stress occurred in the rumen under the RUSITEC conditions of the present study.

**Interaction of DDGS Inclusion and ROD Extract**

A number of interaction trends were observed between dietary DDGS and ROD extract supplementation, which were consistent with the study of Li et al. (28), who reported that in vitro rumen NDF digestibility and protozoan counts responded differently to the supplementation of plant essential oil (cinnamaldehyde) when barley grain was partly replaced with wheat DDGS in a high-grain diet. Both plant essential oil and phenols, plant-derived secondary active components, have demonstrated antimicrobial and antioxidant activity in livestock animals (28, 46, 47). The interaction of DDGS with the ROD extract and its effect on the A:P ratio and the disappearances of NDF and starch were expected. A previous study observed that increasing the substitution of ROD for barley silage in a high-grain diet decreased the in vitro propionate concentration and DMD but linearly increased the A:P ratio, suggesting decreased that the starch digestibility (5). In the present study, the basal diet contained higher starch and thus would be more likely to be affected by adding ROD extract. Concurring with the previous study, adding ROD extract increased the NDF disappearance of the basal diet with no effect in the DDGS diet, while reduced starch disappearance was more pronounced with the basal diet compared with the DDGS diet. Decreased rumen starch digestion with the addition of the ROD extract may potentially help alleviate rumen acidosis in beef cattle that are fed high-grain rations. Moreover, Li et al. (28) suggested that wheat DDGS may contain some exogenous components, such as yeast cells, to stimulate rumen microbial activity, thus possibly offsetting the ROD extract activity in diets containing DDGS, which would explain the absence of the effect of DDGS when the ROD extract was added.

The lack of interaction between DDGS and the ROD extract supplementation on protein degradability was somewhat unexpected, as Wei et al. (6) reported that beef heifers that were fed ROD with high phenol concentrations had lower rumen NH$_3$-N concentration. The authors suggested that the supplementation of ROD to beef cattle that are fed high-grain diets may improve microbial protein synthesis or induce an increase in rumen bypass protein due to the protein-binding capacity of ROD phenols. Therefore, differences in the CP content between the basal and DDGS diets (basal vs. DDGS; 13.9 vs. 19.6% CP) were expected to result in differences in NH$_3$-N concentration, microbial protein synthesis, or CP degradability. Gomaa et al. (48) reported that the in situ rumen degradation rate linearly decreased for silage protein, but it was not changed for grain protein when heifers were fed diets containing ROD. Wei et al. (5) suggested that phenols in ROD have a greater protein-binding capacity with soluble protein than with insoluble protein. The percentage of soluble protein was 3.5 and 6.4% of DM, respectively, for wheat grain and wheat DDGS (33).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Replacing barley grain with wheat DDGS in a high-grain diet increased fermenter pH and molar proportion of BCVFA and switched the fermentation pattern to higher acetate production due to increased disappearance of NDF. The DMD and microbial protein production were not affected, whereas CH$_4$ production tended to decrease with the inclusion of wheat DDGS. In conclusion, the substitution of wheat DDGS for barley grain at 25% of DM in high-grain diets potentially mitigates CH$_4$ emissions. The supplementation of ROD extract at the rate of 1% of diet DM did not affect the GP; total VFA production; the disappearance of DM, OM, and CP; and microbial protein synthesis but increased the NDF disappearance, decreased starch disappearance, and increased the A:P ratio of the basal diet without DDGS. The decreased starch fermentability and the increased NDF disappearance as a result of adding the ROD extract suggest that the ROD extract may be used to improve rumen acidosis and fiber utilization for beef cattle that are fed with high-grain diets.
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