In vitro Activities of Oral Cephem and Telithromycin Against Clinical Isolates of Major Respiratory Pathogens in Japan

The in vitro antibacterial activities of oral cephem antibiotics and ketolide telithromycin against major respiratory pathogens possessing β-lactam-resistant mutations (with in the pBP gene) and/or macrolide-resistant genes (erm and mef) were examined in clinical isolates collected at 66 institutes in all over the Japan between 2002 and 2003. Telithromycin showed the strongest antibacterial activity against methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus strains with and without macrolide-resistant genes, such as ermA or ermC gene. All the cephem antibiotics showed potent antibacterial activity against Streptococcus pyogenes, with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of 0.015 mg/L or lower. Cefdinir had a much higher MICs against genotypic penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae (gPRSP), than cefditoren and cefcapene (8 mg/L cefdinir vs. 1 mg/L cefditoren and cefcapene). The majority of gPRSP harbored either ermB or mefA, and the antibacterial activity of telithromycin against these strains was decreased however some susceptibility was still sustained. Cefditoren exerted the strongest antibacterial activity against β-lactamase-negative ampicillin-resistant Haemophilus influenzae, with an MICs of 0.5 mg/L. These results underline the importance of checking the susceptibility and selecting an appropriate antibiotic against target pathogens.
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INTRODUCTION

Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA), Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae are major causative pathogens of respiratory infectious disease and established causes of pneumonia, otitis media and paranasal sinusitis. In Japan and other Asian countries, S. pneumoniae has been rapidly developing β-lactam resistance by amino-acid substitutions in penicillin-binding proteins (PBP). Isolates of these new strains also frequently show resistance to macrolides conferred by macrolide-resistant genes (1-3). Macrolide resistance in S. pneumoniae is conferred by 23S ribosome methylation by ErmM and/or drug efflux systems by MeF (4). Similarly, encounters with ermA-, ermB-, ermC- or mefA-positive MSSA and S. pyogenes have been growing more common in clinical settings. Clinicians in Japan have also been isolating new strains of H. influenzae, β-lactamase-negative ampicillin resistant strains (BLNAR), with increasing frequency (1, 5). Taking all of these developments together, it seems certain that respiratory pathogens are acquiring β-lactam and macrolide resistance. This makes it all the more important to examine the antibacterial activity against multidrug-resistant bacteria isolated from patients with respiratory tract infections (2, 5-9).

In this study we examine the in vitro activities of 7 antibiotics, oral cephems (cefditoren, cefcapene and cefdinir), macrolide (erythromycin), ketolide (telithromycin) and controls (benzylpenicillin and ampicillin) against clinical isolates of four major respiratory pathogens (MSSA, S. pyogenes, S. pneumoniae, and H. influenzae) collected in Japan between 2002 and 2003.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical isolates

MSSA, S. pyogenes, S. pneumoniae and H. influenzae, which were isolated from the respiratory tract and collected at 66 institutions (20 university hospitals; 34 local central hospitals; 4 clinics; 8 general practitioners) in all over the Japan between 2002 and 2003, were used in this study. Forty-three isolates of MSSA and 49 isolates of S. pyogenes were randomly selected. S. pneumoniae was classified in three categories, based on the mutations of the pbp genes associated with β-lactam resistance. As a result, the following isolates were studied: 34 isolates of genotypic penicillin-susceptible S. pneumoniae (gPSSP) with no mutations in the pbp1a, 2a or 2b genes; 47 isolates of genotypic penicillin-intermediate-resistant S.
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Breakpoint value of each antibiotic for *S. pneumoniae* was cited from the journal issued by the Sensitivity Determination Committee for Antibiotics, Japanese Society of Chemotherapy.

### Table 1. Antibacterial activity of oral cephems, erythromycin and telithromycin against methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA)

| Macrolide-resistant gene | No. of strains | Drug | Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (mg/L) | Breakpoint (mg/L)* | Resistance rate (%) |
|--------------------------|----------------|------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                          |                |      | Range | MIC<sub>50</sub> | MIC<sub>90</sub> |                    |                     |
| erm<sub>A</sub> or erm<sub>C</sub> | 13             | Cefditoren | 1     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|                          |                | Cefcapene | 1-2  | 1 | 2 | 0.5 | 100 |
|                          |                | Cefdinir | 0.25-0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 |
|                          |                | Erythromycin | 1-128 | 8 | >128 | 0.5 | 100 |
|                          |                | Telithromycin | 0.03-0.13 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 2 | 0 |
|                          |                | Cefditoren | 0.5-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|                          |                | Cefcapene | 1-2 | 1 | 2 | 0.5 | 100 |
|                          |                | Cefdinir | 0.25-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 |
|                          |                | Erythromycin | 0.13-32 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 3.3 |
|                          |                | Telithromycin | 0.015-0.13 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 2 | 0 |

* Resistance rate of 0% indicates no MIC<sub>50</sub> or MIC<sub>90</sub> were determined.

Of the 43 MSSA isolates used in this study, 10 isolates (23.3%) and 3 isolates (7.0%) carried either *ermA* or *ermC*, respectively, while none of the strains carried both genes. Telithromycin showed the most potent antibacterial activity against MSSA, followed by cefdinir, cefditoren, and cefcapene in descending order (Table 1). The antibacterial activity of erythromycin against MSSA was markedly attenuated by the presence of the *erm* genes, whereas the MIC<sub>50</sub> of telithromycin was only 0.06 mg/L, even in the presence of the *erm* genes, with no cross-resistance to erythromycin.
Antibacterial activity against *S. pyogenes*

As shown in Table 2, 6 isolates (12.2%) and 17 isolates (34.7%) of the *S. pyogenes* strains carried the *ermB* and *mefA* genes, respectively. The MIC of the cephem antibiotics was no more than 0.015 mg/L against all the isolates of *S. pyogenes* tested. The MIC90 of telithromycin was increased when the isolates carried either *ermB* or *mefA*. Especially high resistance against telithromycin (MIC=64 mg/L) was observed in 4 of 6 isolates carrying the *ermB* gene.

Antibacterial activity against *S. pneumoniae*

Subdivision of the gPSSP, gPISP, and gPRSP strains based on the presence of the *ermB* and *mefA* genes revealed that *S. pneumoniae* carried either *ermB* or *mefA* at a high frequency (Table 3). The β-lactams showed potent antibacterial activity against gPSSP, and cefditoren showed the strongest antibacterial activity against gPSSP, gPISP, and gPRSP of all 3 β-lactam antibiotics. The presence of the *ermB* and *mefA* genes within *S. pneumoniae* strains did not affect the activity the β-lactams. Telithromycin and erythromycin showed no attenuation in antibacterial activity even in the presence of a mutated *pbb* gene, while telithromycin exerted the strongest antibacterial activities against all three of the *S. pneumoniae* strains. However, the antibacterial activity of telithromycin was weakened in the presence of either the *ermB* or *mefA* gene.

Antibacterial activity against *H. influenzae*

Cefditoren and cefcapene showed stronger antibacterial activity than cefdinir, telithromycin, and erythromycin against BLNAS (Table 4). The antibacterial activities of the oral cephamers were weaker against BLNAR than against BLNAS, whereas the MIC90 of cefditoren was 0.3 mg/L, the most potent level measured among all the antibiotics tested in this study. Telithromycin showed an equal MIC90 against BLNAR and BLNAS, and the same result was obtained for erythromycin.

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence of drug-resistant pathogens differs greatly between countries, presumably in accordance with differences in the dosage and usage of antibiotics. In this study, we focused on the antibacterial activities of three different types of antibiotics (cephem, macrolide, and ketolide) against recently isolated pathogens from respiratory tract infections carrying various drug-resistance genes in the Japanese population. The different frequencies of drug-resistant pathogens between Japan and neighboring Asian countries have also been considered.

In *S. aureus*, ribosome methylation and the presence of drug efflux systems have been shown to confer resistance against macrolide antibiotics (4). The isolates that exhibited low susceptibility to erythromycin without the *erm* gene in this study may have overexpressed multidrug efflux systems such as MsrA (11). Interestingly, all MSSA strains examined in this study were susceptible to telithromycin, even when they were positive for the presence of the *erm* gene. The expression of the *erm* gene can be inducible or constitutive, and MSSA is resistant to telithromycin when the expression is constitutive (12). Thus, we know that the isolates used in this study might harbor the inducible type of gene expression. The rate of MSSA resistance to telithromycin in Asia, 20%, is higher than the rates in Europe and the U.S.A. (13).

β-lactam resistance has not yet been reported in *S. pyogenes*

### Table 2. Antibacterial activity of oral cephems, erythromycin and telithromycin against *Streptococcus pyogenes*

| Macrolide-resistant gene | No. of strains | Drug | MIC (mg/L) | Breakpoint (mg/L)* | Resistance-rate (%) |
|---------------------------|----------------|------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                           |                |      | Range      | MIC50              | MIC90              |
| *ermB*                    | 6              | Cefditoren | 0.008-0.015 | -                  | 1                  | 0                |
|                           |                | Cefcapene | 0.008-0.015 | -                  | 0.5                | 0                |
|                           |                | Cefdinir | 0.008-0.015 | -                  | 1                  | 0                |
|                           |                | Erythromycin | 1-128       | -                  | 0.5                | 100              |
|                           |                | Telithromycin | 0.06-64    | -                  | 2                  | 66.7             |
| *mefA*                    | 17             | Cefditoren | 0.008-0.015 | 0.008              | 0.015              | 1                | 0                |
|                           |                | Cefcapene | 0.008-0.015 | 0.008              | 0.015              | 0.5              | 0                |
|                           |                | Cefdinir | 0.008-0.015 | 0.008              | 0.015              | 1                | 0                |
|                           |                | Erythromycin | 8-32       | 16                 | 32                 | 0.5              | 100              |
|                           |                | Telithromycin | 0.5-2      | 1                  | 1                  | 2                | 0                |
| None                      | 26             | Cefditoren | 0.008-0.015 | 0.008              | 0.015              | 1                | 0                |
|                           |                | Cefcapene | 0.008-0.015 | 0.008              | 0.015              | 0.5              | 0                |
|                           |                | Cefdinir | 0.008-0.015 | 0.008              | 0.015              | 1                | 0                |
|                           |                | Erythromycin | 0.03-0.25  | 0.06               | 0.13               | 0.5              | 0                |
|                           |                | Telithromycin | 0.015-0.06 | 0.015              | 0.03               | 2                | 0                |

* Breakpoint value of each antibiotic for pneumoniae was cited from the journal issued by that was defined by the Sensitivity Determination Committee for Antibiotics, Japanese Society of Chemotherapy.
Table 3. Antibacterial activity of β-lactams, erythromycin and telithromycin against Streptococcus pneumoniae

| Organism macrolide-resistant gene | No. of strains | Drug      | Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, mg/L) | Breakpoint (mg/L)* | Resistance rate (%) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                                  |                |           | Range | MIC50 | MIC90 |                    |                    |
| gPSSP                            | 7              | Cefditoren| 0.008 | 0.03  | -     | 1                  | 0                  |
| emrB                             |                | Cefcapene | 0.008 | 0.06  | -     | 0.5                | 0                  |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 0.03  | 0.13  | -     | 1                  | 0                  |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | >128 | -     | -     | 0.5                | 100                |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin| 0.03 | -     | -     | 2                  | 0                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.004 | 0.03 | -     | -                  | -                  |
| gPISP                            | 3              | Cefditoren| 0.008 | 0.03  | -     | 1                  | 0                  |
| metA                             |                | Cefcapene | 0.008 | 0.06  | -     | 0.5                | 0                  |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 0.03  | 0.06  | -     | 1                  | 0                  |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | 4-8  | -     | -     | 0.5                | 100                |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin | 0.06 | 0.13  | -     | 2                  | 0                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.004 | 0.015| -     | -                  | -                  |
| gPISP                            | 24             | Cefditoren| 0.004 | 0.03  | 0.015 | 0.03              | 1                  |
|                                  |                | Cefcapene | 0.004 | 0.13  | 0.008 | 0.06              | 0.5                |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 0.03  | 0.13  | 0.03  | 0.13              | 1                  |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | 0.06 | 0.25  | 0.13  | 0.5                |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin | 0.008 | 0.06  | 0.008 | 0.015             | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.004 | 0.015| 0.015 | 0.015             | -                  |
| gPRSP                            | 22             | Cefditoren| 0.03  | 0.25  | 0.13  | 0.13              | 1                  |
| emrB                             |                | Cefcapene | 0.03  | 0.5   | 0.06  | 0.25              | 0.5                |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 0.03  | 0.5   | 0.13  | 0.5               | 1                  |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | 128 | >128  | >128  | 0.5                |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin | 0.03 | 0.13  | 0.25  | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.015 | 0.25 | -     | -                  | -                  |
| gPRSP                            | 8              | Cefditoren| 0.13  | 1     | -     | 1                  | 0                  |
| metA                             |                | Cefcapene | 0.13  | 1     | -     | 1                  | 0                  |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 0.25  | 2     | 1     | 1.0                |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | 1-128| -     | -     | 0.5                |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin | 0.06 | 0.13  | 0.5   | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.06 | 0.25 | -     | -                  | -                  |
| gPRSP                            | 22             | Cefditoren| 0.25  | 1     | 0.5   | 0.5               | 45.5               |
| emrB                             |                | Cefcapene | 0.25  | 1     | 0.13  | 0.13              | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 1-8   | 4     | 8     | 1                 |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | 128 | >128  | >128  | 0.5                |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin | 0.03 | 0.06  | 0.13  | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.5   | 2     | 1     | 64.7               |
| gPRSP                            | 38             | Cefditoren| 0.13  | 2     | 0.5   | 0.5               | 11.8               |
| metA                             |                | Cefcapene | 0.13  | 4     | 0.5   | 0.5               | 5.9                |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 0.5   | 8     | 1     |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | 1-128| 4     | 8     | 1                 |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin | 0.06 | 0.13  | 0.25  | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.5  | 2     | 1     | 92.1               |
| gPRSP                            | 8              | Cefditoren| 0.25  | 1     | -     | 1                  | 0                  |
| None                             |                | Cefcapene | 0.25  | 1     | -     | 0.5               | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Cefdinir  | 2-8   | -     | 1     |
|                                  |                | Erythromycin | 0.06 | 0.13  | -     | 0.5                |
|                                  |                | Telithromycin | 0.015| 0.03 | -     | 2                  |
|                                  |                | Benzylpenicillin | 0.5  | 1     | -     | -                  |

gPSSP, genotypic susceptible S. pneumoniae; gPISP, genotypic penicillin-intermediate-resistant S. pneumoniae; gPRSP, genotypic penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae. *, Breakpoint value of each antibiotic for pneumoniae was cited from the journal issued by that was defined by the Sensitivity Determination Committee for Antibiotics, Japanese Society of Chemotherapy; †, Including one strain with both emrB and metA genes.
but macrolide-resistant strains of this pathogen have been frequently isolated (6-8, 13, 14). Some of the \textit{S. pyogenes} isolates carrying \textit{ermB} have become highly resistant to telithromycin (MIC >32 mg/L) (6-8). In our study, telithromycin and erythromycin showed reduced antibacterial activity against \textit{S. pyogenes} isolates carrying either \textit{ermB} or \textit{mefA}. The incidence of telithromycin-resistant \textit{S. pyogenes} (MIC ≥4 mg/L) differs from region to region, presumably due to the different usage of antibiotics. For example, the incidence in Japan stands at less than 1%, while the incidences in Korea and Europe are generally above 10% (13).

As previously reported, we categorized \textit{S. pneumoniae} into gPRSP or gPISP based on mutations in the \textit{pbp2} gene (9). \textit{ermB} and \textit{mefA} have been found to be present at high frequencies in recent Japanese isolates of PISP and PRSP (2, 3). Our results also revealed many gPRSP and gPISP isolates carrying either \textit{ermB} or \textit{mefA}. In Korea and Hong Kong, PRSP accounts for ≥60% of clinical isolates of \textit{S. pneumoniae}, and 50% or more of these isolates carry either \textit{ermB} or \textit{mefA} (3). It thus comes as no surprise that the MIC of telithromycin has already risen to 4 mg/L against newly emerging strains of \textit{S. pneumoniae} in Taiwan (15) and to 2-8 mg/L against strains in other countries (7).

Just as Japan is witnessing a rising prevalence of BLNAR and falling prevalence of \textit{b}-lactamase-producing strains, the very opposite trends are being observed in Korea (1, 3). It might be reasonable to assume that penicillin is more frequently prescribed in Korea than in Japan. Accordingly, the oral cephems such as cefditoren, an agent stable against \textit{b}-lactamase in \textit{H. influenzae}, may be useful and effective in both of these countries. Although macrolide-resistant genes such as \textit{erm} or \textit{mef} have not yet been found in \textit{H. influenzae}, the antibacterial activity of telithromycin and erythromycin was lower than that of cefditoren against the \textit{H. influenzae} isolates analyzed in the present study. Peric et al. demonstrated that more than 98% of \textit{H. influenzae} strains have a macrolide efflux mechanism (16). Thus, the \textit{H. influenzae} isolates investigated in this study might also express efflux pumps, such as AcrAB (17).

In conclusion, the variable antibacterial activity against the clinical pathogens in the presence of drug-resistant genes demonstrated in this study suggests that the inappropriate prescription of antibiotic agents may lead to clinical failure. It will be important to choose suitable antibiotics and to make the most of the ability of the prescribed agents in order to prevent the further emergence of drug-resistant pathogens.

**REFERENCES**

1. Ubukata K. Problems associated with high prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in patients with community-acquired infections. \textit{J Infect Chemother} 2003; 9: 285-91.
2. Ubukata K, Iwata S, Sunakawa K. In vitro activities of new ketolide, telithromycin, and eight other macrolide antibiotics against \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae} having \textit{ermB} and \textit{mefA} genes that mediate macrolide resistance. \textit{J Infect Chemother} 2003; 9: 221-6.
3. Inoue M, Lee NY, Hong SW, Lee K, Felmingham D. PROTEKT 1999-2000: a multicentre study of the antibiotic susceptibility of respiratory tract pathogens in Hong Kong, Japan and South Korea. \textit{Int J Antimicrob Agents} 2004; 23: 44-51.
4. Roberts MC, Sutcliffe J, Courvalin P, Jensen LB, Rood J, Seppula H. \textit{Nomenclature for macrolide and macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B resistance determinants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother} 1999; 43: 2823-30.
5. Hasegawa K, Chiba N, Kobayashi R, Murayama SY, Iwata S, Sunakawa K, Ubukata K. Rapidly increasing prevalence of \textit{b}-lactamase-nonproducing, ampicillin-resistant \textit{Haemophilus influenzae} \textit{b} in patients with meningitis. \textit{Antimicrob Agents Chemother} 2004; 48: 1509-14.

---

**Table 4. Antibacterial activity of \textit{b}-lactams, erythromycin and telithromycin against \textit{Haemophilus influenzae}**

| Organism | No. of strains | Drug | Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (mg/L) | Breakpoint (mg/L)* | Resistance rate (%) |
|----------|----------------|------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|          |                |      | Range | MIC<sub>50</sub> | MIC<sub>90</sub> |                     |
| BLNAS    | 25             | Cefditoren | 0.015-0.03 | 0.015 | 0.03 | 1 | 0 |
|          |                | Cefcapsene | 0.015-0.03 | 0.015 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0 |
|          |                | Cefdinir | 0.25-1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|          |                | Erythromycin | 2-8 | 4 | 8 | 0.5 | 100 |
|          |                | Telithromycin | 1-4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
|          |                | Ampicillin | 0.25-0.5 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0 |
| BLNAR    | 24             | Cefditoren | 0.03-0.5 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 |
|          |                | Cefcapsene | 0.25-8 | 4 | 8 | 0.5 | 91.7 |
|          |                | Cefdinir | 2-32 | 8 | 16 | 1 | 100 |
|          |                | Erythromycin | 2-16 | 8 | 8 | 0.5 | 100 |
|          |                | Telithromycin | 1-8 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 50 |
|          |                | Ampicillin | 1-64 | 2 | 8 | 0.5 | 100 |

BLNAS, \textit{b}-lactamase-negative ampicillin-susceptible \textit{H. influenzae}; BLNAR, \textit{b}-lactamase-negative ampicillin-resistant \textit{H. influenzae}. * Breakpoint value of each antibiotic for pneumoniae was cited from the journal issued by that was defined by the Sensitivity Determination Committee for Antibiotics, Japanese Society of Chemotherapy.
6. Morosini MI, Canton R, Loza E, del Campo R, Almaraz F, Baquero F. Streptococcus pyogenes isolates with characterized macrolide resistance mechanisms in Spain: in vitro activities of telithromycin and cethromycin. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003; 52: 50-5.
7. Farrell DJ, Morrissey I, Bakker S, Felmingham D. Molecular characterization of macrolide resistance mechanisms among Streptococcus pneumoniae and Streptococcus pyogenes isolated from the PROTEKT 1999-2000 study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002; 50 (Suppl 1): 39-47.
8. Sunaoshi K, Nakayama E, Kobayashi R, Suzuki E, Tajima T, Ubukata K. Antibiotic susceptibility and T type identification of Streptococcus pyogenes isolated from pediatric outpatients with pharyngotonsillitis. Jpn J Chemother 2004; 52: 401-7.
9. Ubukata K, Chiba N, Hasegawa K, Kobayashi R, Iwata S, Sunakawa K. Antibiotic susceptibility in relation to penicillin-binding protein genes and serotype distribution of Streptococcus pneumoniae strains responsible for meningitis in Japan, 1999 to 2002. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48: 1488-94.
10. Lina G, Quaglia A, Reverdy ME, Leclercq R, Vandenesch F, Etienne J. Distribution of genes encoding resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins among staphylococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999; 43: 1062-6.
11. Ross H, Eady EA, Cove JH, Cunliffe WJ, Baumberg S, Wootten JC. Inducible erythromycin resistance in staphylococci is encoded by a member of the ATP-binding transport super-gene family. Mol Microbiol 1990; 4: 1207-14.
12. Schmitz FJ, Petridou J, Milatovic D, Verhoef J, Fluit AC, Schwarz S. In vitro activity of new ketolides against macrolide-susceptible and -resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates with defined resistance gene status. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002; 49: 580-2.
13. Canton R, Loza E, Morosini MI, Baquero F. Antimicrobial resistance amongst isolates of Streptococcus pyogenes and Staphylococcus aureus in the PROTEKT antimicrobial surveillance programme during 1999-2000. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002; 50 (Suppl 1): 9-24.
14. Okubo T, Iyobe S, Fujiki Y, Sagai H. Antimicrobial activities of macrolides against recent clinical isolates, and analysis of resistance mechanisms. Jpn J Antibirot 2003; 56: 163-70.
15. Hsueh PR, Teng LJ, Wu TL, Yang D, Huang WK, Shyr JM, Chuang YC, Wan JH, Yan JJ, Lu JJ, Wu JJ, Ko WC, Chang FY, Yang YC, Lau YJ, Liu YC, Lee CM, Leu HS, Liu CY, Luh KT. Telithromycin- and fluoroquinolone-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae in Taiwan with high prevalence of resistance to macrolides and beta-lactams: SMART program 2001 data. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003; 47: 2145-51.
16. Peric M, Bozdogan B, Jacobs MR, Appelbaum PC. Effects of efflux mechanism and ribosomal mutations on macrolide susceptibility of Haemophilus influenzae clinical isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003; 47: 1017-22.
17. Sanchez L, Pan W, Vinas M, Nikaido H. The acrAB homolog of Haemophilus influenzae codes for a functional multidrug efflux pump. J Bacteriol 1997; 179: 6855-7.