Dear Sir,

We appreciate the letter regarding our manuscript titled “Sarcopenia and back muscle degeneration as risk factors for back pain: a comparative study [1].” We thank the readers who are interested in our article and provided valuable feedback. Our reply to comments is as follows:

Question: The Asian Spine Journal recently published an article in volume 14(3), 2020 by Kim et al. [1] “Sarcopenia and back muscle degeneration as risk factors for back pain: a comparative study.” The authors have read the article with great interest. The introduction is well written. Also, the author had well explained about degree of back pain association with back muscle degeneration than with sarcopenia. They developed back muscle degeneration risk index, indicating it to be a useful parameter for evaluation of back pain and muscle degeneration. We gathered valuable information and congratulate the authors for this. However, there are some issues, which needs clarification.

The sample size of the study was not determined which is needed for deriving meaning conclusion. If the sample size is less than the optimum sample size, even the most thoroughly executed study may estimate those effects or associations too imprecisely. And, if the sample size is too large, may even lead to a loss in accuracy [2]. Also, the subjects were taken from one center so, identified risk factors may be unique to that single center. This methodological choice may weaken the generalizability of the study findings [3].

Our reply: The effect size for each group was set to 0.8 [4]. Assuming an acceptable alpha error of 0.5, aiming for the 95% power of the study and two-tailed hypothesis was used [5,6]. And allocation ratio of each group comparison in our paper was 1, 1.12, 1.79, 2.73, and 4.58, respectively. The calculated effect total sample size was found to be 84, 84, 94, 106, and 140, respectively. Participants presented in our study are all exceed effect total sample size.

Question: The author did not mention about normality test. Though, for meaningful conclusions, assumption of the normality should be followed regardless of the sample size. Choosing the wrong representative data and calculating significance level using the value of data set might give erroneous interpretation. If appropriate, then parametric test is used to compare means, otherwise medians are
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used to compare the groups, using nonparametric methods [7]. SPSS provides the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality test of sample size >50 [8].

The authors have reported that comparison of back-pain severity between sarcopenia/non-sarcopenia and high/low back muscle degeneration groups was compared by Student t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test. The statement is confusing regarding use of parametric or non parametric test at the same time.

Our reply: Thank you for your comment. First, all participants of each group in our study were exceed 30, so we didn’t mention about normality test in the literature. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used as a test of normality via IBM SPSS ver. 22.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) [8]. In all groups, the p-value was calculated more than 0.05 and the null hypothesis that it follows the normal distribution was not rejected. So, we used parametric two-sample independent t-test (unpaired Student t-test) in comparison of all groups. We apologize for the confusion by mentioning Mann-Whitney U-test in the literature.

Our reply: We mentioned methodology on measuring lumbar extensor strength in Materials and Methods section (Lumbar extensor strength was measured using a lumbar extension dynamometer in the sitting position with stable pelvis. Warm-up trials and stretching exercises were conducted for 5 minutes before measurements. The testing device was set to an angular velocity of 60° per second, and lumbar extensor strength was measured 5 times and recorded as peak torque [Nm]). Data for measuring intra-class correlation coefficient were obtained using 30 separate participants and calculated using IBM SPSS ver. 22.0 software (IBM Corp.). We obtained excellent reliability (intraclass correlation coefficient=0.862) according to Cicchetti [11]. Moreover, the isokinetic lumbar extensor dynamometer is widely used and its reliability well established [12,13].
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