Quantifying genuine multipartite correlations and their pattern complexity
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We propose an information-theoretic framework to quantify multipartite correlations in classical and quantum systems, answering questions such as: what is the amount of seven-partite correlations in a given state of ten particles? We identify measures of genuine multipartite correlations, i.e. statistical dependencies which cannot be ascribed to bipartite correlations, satisfying a set of desirable properties. Inspired by ideas developed in complexity science, we then introduce the concept of weaving to classify states which display different correlation patterns, but cannot be distinguished by correlation measures. The weaving of a state is defined as the weighted sum of correlations of every order. Weaving measures are good descriptors of the complexity of correlation structures in multipartite systems.
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Introduction – Statistical relations in measurement outcomes, i.e. correlations, are powerful tools to investigate multipartite systems, employed in (quantum) information theory, statistical mechanics, condensed matter theory, network theory, neuroscience, and complexity science [1–4]. Correlations describe global properties which cannot be inferred from the features of the system parts, e.g. phases of many-body systems [5]. They are also resources. Entanglement, a kind of quantum correlation, enables speed-up in quantum information processing [6].

Yet, the very notion of genuine multipartite correlations still generates discussion [7]. There is no consistent way to quantify dependencies which do not manifest bipartite correlations, encoding joint properties of $k > 2$ particles instead, while witnesses of multipartite entanglement of at least order $k$ have been proposed [8–15]. A further problem is that computing correlations is not always sufficient to fully describe multipartite correlation patterns. Equally correlated networks of multivariate variables can display different structures and properties [16, 17]. Also, quantum states can be correlated in inherently inequivalent ways [18–20].

Here we propose a framework to describe genuine multi-partite correlations in classical and quantum systems. We identify distance-based measures which satisfy a set of desirable properties when parts of the systems are added or discarded, and local operations are performed. We show that adopting the relative entropy allows for simplifying computations and meeting even stronger constraints. We then introduce the notion of weaving to classify multipartite states by studying how correlations scale with their order. The weaving of a state is given by the weighted sum of genuine multipartite correlations of any order, inheriting the properties of correlation measures. We compute the weaving of correlated classical and quantum states. In such cases, states which have equal total correlations or highest order correlations, but display a different correlation pattern, take different weaving values.

Quantifying Genuine Multipartite Correlations – A finite dimensional $N$-partite quantum system $S_N = \{S_{[1]}, S_{[2]}, \ldots, S_{[N]}\}$, is described by a density matrix $\rho_N$, being $\rho_{[i]}$ the states of the subsystems $S_{[i]} = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. In this framework, classical probability distributions $p_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N}$ of $N$-variate discrete variables are embedded in density matrices of the form

$$\sum_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N} p_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N} |\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N\rangle \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N|, \quad \sum_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N} p_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N} = 1,$$

where $|\alpha_i\rangle$ are orthonormal basis elements in the Hilbert spaces of each subsystem $S_{[i]}$. The correlations in $S_N$ depend on the tensor product structure of its Hilbert space, induced by the partition $\{S_{[i]}\}$. This is usually dictated by physical constraints, e.g. spatial separation of the subsystems. Indeed, even maximally entangled states are factorizable by changing the system structure [21, 22]. The total correlations in the system represent the information encoded in $\rho_N$ which is inaccessible to an observer knowing the states of each subsystem, $\rho_{[i]}$. We extend the argument to define genuine multipartite correlations of order higher than $k, 2 \leq k \leq N - 1$, as the missing information to a more informed observer, who knows the states $\rho_{[j]}$ of clusters forming a coarse grained partition $\{S_{k_1}, S_{k_2}, \ldots, S_{k_m}\}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j = N, k_j \leq k$, where each cluster $S_{k_j}$ includes up to $k$ subsystems, e.g. $S_{k_j} = \{S_{[1]}, S_{[2]}, \ldots, S_{[k_j]}\}$. Genuine $N$-partite correlations, the highest order, are the information that is still missing when clusters including subsets of up to $N - 1$ subsystems are accessible, $k_j \leq N - 1$. The set of states describing clusters of up to $k$ subsystems is

$$P_k := \left\{ m \sigma_N = \otimes_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{k_j}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j = N, k = \max\{k_j\} \right\}.$$ 

(1)

For example, given $N = 3$, the set $P_1$ consists of the product states $\otimes_{j=1}^{3} |\psi_{[j]}\rangle$. $P_2$ includes $P_1$ and the products of bipartite and single-site states, i.e. $\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1$ and their permutations, while $P_3$ contains $P_2$ and the non-factorizable density matrices $\sigma_3$. The complete chain reads $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \ldots \subset P_{N-1} \subset P_N$, where $P_N$ is the Hilbert space of the global system (Fig. 1). Note that a pure state in $P_k$ is a $k$-producible state.
Genuine multipartite correlations of order higher than \( k \) are then quantified by the distance of the global state to the set \( P_k \),

\[
D^k_{\text{min}}(\rho_N) := \min_{\sigma \in P_k} D(\rho_N, \sigma),
\]

where the function \( D \) is non-negative, \( D(\rho, \sigma) \geq 0 \), and contractive under completely positive and trace preserving (CPTP) maps \( \Phi, D(\rho, \sigma) \geq D(\Phi(\rho), \Phi(\sigma)), \forall \rho, \sigma \). Then, any distance identifies a measure of \( k \)-partite correlations,

\[
D^k(\rho) := D^{k-1}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N) - D^{k-1}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N).
\]

As expected, the total correlations are given by the distance to the set of statistically independent \( N \)-partite states, which equals the sum of the correlations of any order:

\[
D^\text{min}(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} D^k(\rho).
\]

Correlations of order higher than \( k \) are then given by the distance to \( P_k \in P_k \), \( \tilde{P}_k \) defined by the closest product state to the global state is the product \( \rho \in \text{supp} \mathcal{C} \), the closest product state to the global state is the product \( \rho \in \text{supp} \mathcal{C} \),

\[
S^k(\rho_N) = S^{k-1}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N) - S^{k-1}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N),
\]

For systems invariant under subsystem permutations, the subadditivity of the von Neumann entropy, \( S(\rho_j) + S(\rho_i) \geq S(\rho_j) \), makes the closest product state \( \tilde{P}_k^N \) to be the most “compact” one, being the tensor product state of \( [N/k] \) clusters of \( k \) subsystems and a cluster of \( N - [N/k] k = N \mod k \) subsystems,

\[
D^\text{min}(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} S^k(\rho) + (1 - \delta_{N \mod k = 0}) S(\rho_{N \mod k = 0}) - S(\rho_N).
\]

We now verify the consistency of the framework. We identify reasonable properties characterizing measures of \( k \)-partite correlations, applicable for any order \( k \), by generalizing the ones proposed for \( N \)-partite correlations [7]. We show that the quantities in Eqs. (2,3), for any distance \( D \), satisfy the criteria 0D-4D of invariance and monotonicity under local operations and changes in the system partition. We also prove that, by adopting the relative entropy, stronger yet desirable constraints 0S-5S are met:

00-OS) The measures of \( k \)-partite correlations are faithful. They are non-negative, \( D^k(\rho_N) \geq 0 \), and vanish if and only if the state does not have \( k \)-partite correlations.

1D) Adding a disjoint \( n \)-partite system, \( S_{N+n}^k := S_N \cup S_n \), cannot create correlations of order higher than \( n \). If the state of \( S_N \) does not have correlations of order higher than \( n, \rho_N = \rho_n \otimes \rho_n \), the state of \( S_{N+n}^k \) is \( \rho_N \otimes \rho_n \), which does not have correlations of order higher than \( n \), the largest factor of the product being still a state of \( n \) or fewer subsystems. Thus, \( D^{n}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N) = D^{n}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N) = 0 \).

1S) Adding a disjoint \( n \)-partite system cannot increase correlations of order higher than \( n \). One has \( S_{n+1}^{n-}\rho(n) = S(\rho_n||\rho^n_N) = S(\rho_n \otimes \rho_n ||\rho^n_N \otimes \rho_n) \geq S(\rho_n \otimes \rho_n ||\rho^n_N) = S_{N+n}^{n}(\rho_{N+n}) \). For example, given \( N = 3 \), adding a bipartite system, \( n = 2 \), cannot increase the tripartite correlations.

2D-2S) Local CPTP maps \( \Pi_i \Phi_{[j]}(\rho) = I_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \Phi_{[j]} \otimes \ldots \otimes I_N \), cannot create correlations of any order \( k \), and cannot increase the amount of correlations higher than any order \( k \). Local operations do not change the tensor product structure of a state, \( \rho_N \in P_k \Rightarrow \Pi_i \Phi_{[j]}(\rho) \in P_k, \rho_N \notin P_k \Rightarrow \Pi_i \Phi_{[j]}(\rho) \notin P_k \), so they cannot create correlations of any order, \( D^k(\rho) = 0 \Rightarrow D^k(\Pi_i \Phi_{[j]}(\rho)) = 0, \forall k \). Contractivity under CPTP maps guarantees \( D^{n}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N) \geq D^{n}_{\text{min}}(\rho_N) \), \( \forall k \). This also implies monotonicity of the highest order of non-zero correlations, \( D^k(\rho_N) \geq D^k(\Pi_i \Phi_{[j]}(\rho)) \), \( k = \max|k| : D^{n}_{\text{min}}(\rho) = 0 \). Note that an operation on a cluster of \( n \) subsystems \( \Phi_n, n > 1 \), can create correlations of order up to \( k + n - 1 \) from already existing \( k \)-partite correlations. A state with non-zero \( k \)-partite correlations reads \( \rho_{[j]}^m = \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j = N, k = \max|k| \geq k \). A map \( \Phi_{[j]} \) applied to one subsystem of the largest cluster \( \max|k| \) and other \( n - 1 \) subsystems generates correlations of order up to \( \max|k| + n - 1 \). For example, the \( k \)-qubit state \( |a_k\rangle = a|0\rangle^{\otimes k} + \sqrt{1-a^2}|1\rangle^{\otimes k} \), \( a \in (0,1) \), has genuine \( k \)-partite correlations \( S^k(\rho_k) \approx 2a^2 \log \alpha_a^2 + (1 - a^2) \log(1 - a^2) \). Correlating the state with an ancillary target qubit by a CNOT gate creates the state \( |a_{k+1}\rangle = a|0\rangle^{\otimes k + 1} + \sqrt{1-a^2}|1\rangle^{\otimes k + 1} \).
which has $k + 1$-partite correlations, $S^k(\{a_{i,k+1}\}) = S^k(\{a_{i,k}\})$.

3D) Partial trace of $n$ subsystems cannot increase correlations of order higher than $k < N - n$. Let $\bar{\rho}_{N}^c$ be the closest $N$-partite state with up to $k$-partite correlations. Then, by contractivity of the distance function, $D^{k+1-N}(\rho_N) = D(\rho_N, \bar{\rho}_{N}^c) \geq D(\rho_{N-n}, \bar{\rho}_{N-n}^c)$.  

3S) Partial trace of $N - k$ subsystems can create up to $k$-partite correlations from existing $N$-partite correlations. Let us consider the classical $N$-bit state $\rho_N^c = (\langle 0| \otimes \langle 0|^N + |1| \otimes |1|^N)/2$, which has $N$-partite correlations. The marginal state $Tr_{N-k}\rho_N^c = (\langle 0| \otimes \langle 0|^k + |1| \otimes |1|^k)/2$, $\forall k$, has genuine $k$-partite correlations. Contractivity ensures $S^N(\rho_N) \geq S^k(\rho_N)$. The property is then proven, as $k$-partite correlations are not necessarily present in $\rho_N^c$. One has $S^k(\bar{\rho}_N^c) = [N/(k - 1)] - [N/k] + \rho_{N \mod k,0} - \delta_{N \mod (k - 1),0} = [N/(k - 1)] - [N/k]$. For example, given $N = 5$, the global state does not have genuine 4-partite correlations, $S^3(\bar{\rho}_N^c) = 2$, $S^3(\rho_N^c) = S^4(\bar{\rho}_N^c) = 1$, $S^4(\rho_N^c) = 0$. Indeed, the state $\bar{\rho}_N^c$ has more information about the global state $\rho_N^c$ than $\rho_N^c$, thus there are genuine 3-partite correlations, but the state $\bar{\rho}_N^c$ is not more informative than the 3-vs-2 product (the relative entropy distance to the global state is equal). Genuine 4-partite correlations are distiled by tracing away one subsystem, $S^4(\bar{\rho}_N^c) = 1$.

4D-4S) Distilling $n$ subsystems by fine graining cannot create correlations of order higher than $k + n$, for any $k$. Fine graining a subsystem into a cluster of $n$ subsystems, $S_i \rightarrow S_i^r = \{S_i^{r_j}\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, changes the system partition into $S_{N-n} = \{S_1^{r_1}, \ldots, S_{N-n}^{r_1}, S_1^{r_2}, \ldots, S_{N-n}^{r_2}, \ldots, S_1^{r_n}, \ldots, S_{N-n}^{r_n}\}$. If the state of the system $S_N$ has correlations of order up to $k$, $\rho_N = \rho_{k \lessdot N} \otimes (\otimes_{i \leq k} \rho_i)$, $\sum_j l_j = N - k$, the fine-graining map creates at most correlations of order $k + n$, $\rho_{N+n} = \rho_{k+n \lessdot N} \otimes (\otimes_{i \leq k} \rho_i)$. Hence, $D^{k+1-N}(\rho_{N+n}) = D^{k+1-N}(\rho_N) = 0$.

5S) Total correlations are superadditive. It is given a coarse grained partition $\{S_{k_1}, S_{k_2}, \ldots, S_{k_L}\}$, $\sum_{j=1}^L k_j = N$. The total correlations in each cluster $S_{k_j} = \{S_{1}^{k_j}, S_{2}^{k_j}, \ldots, S_{N}^{k_j}\}$ are quantified by the multi-information between the single subsystems forming the cluster, $S^{1-k_j}(\rho_{k_j}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_j} S(\rho_{S_{i}^{k_j}}, \rho_{S_{i+1}^{k_j}}, \ldots, \rho_{S_{k_j}^{k_j}})$, a non-negative extension of the bipartite mutual information [25–27]. Exploiting subadditivity, one has $\sum_{j=1}^L S(\rho_{k_j}) - \sum_{j=1}^L S(\rho_{k_j}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^L S(\rho_{k_j}) - S(\rho_N) = S^{1-N}(\rho_N)$, where the inequality is saturated for product states $\rho_N = \otimes_j \rho_{k_j}$. That is, the sum of the total correlations in each cluster is upper bounded by the total correlations in the global system. For product states, subadditivity also implies additivity for correlations higher than $k$, for every $k$, $\rho_N = \otimes_j \rho_{k_j} \Rightarrow \bar{\rho}_{N}^c = \otimes_j \rho_{k_j} \Rightarrow S^{k-N}(\rho_N) = \sum_j S^{k-j}(\rho_{k_j})$.

While being intuitive and simple to phrase, the discussed properties are not met by heavily employed measures and indicators of multipartite correlations. Covariances of local observables $\{O_i, O_j\}$ do not satisfy such criteria. They can vanish, for any choice of $\{O_i\}$, in presence of classical and quantum multipartite correlations [7, 28, 29]. An alternative correlation witness measures the ability of multipartite systems to extract work from local environments [7], yet being still unproven whether the quantity satisfies properties 0D-4D. Another measure of correlations above order $k$ is the (relative entropy) distance of the global state to the state with maximal von Neumann entropy, among the ones with the same marginal states of $k$ subsystems, $S(\rho_N||\bar{\rho}_N^c) = \max S(\sigma_N) [30–34]$. Remarkably, independent lines of thinking converged to the very same definition. However, such measure, as well as a related one given by the trace norm of the cumulant of the state [29, 35], violates contractivity under local operations in both classical and quantum scenarios [17, 36]. This happens because local operations do change a state whilst preserving its tensor product structure, thus changing the set of states with the same $k$-marginals.

Ranking correlation patterns by weaving – Having determined how to quantify genuine multipartite correlations, we observe that equally correlated states, in terms of total correlations, can display different values for correlations of some order $k$, and thus different properties. Assuming $N$ even, a product of $N/2$ Bell states, e.g., $|\psi_{N/2}\rangle = [1/\sqrt{2} |00\rangle + |11\rangle]^{N/2}$, has the same total correlations of the $N$-partite GHZ state $|\text{GHZ}_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle^{N/2} + |11\rangle^{N/2})$, as measured by the relative entropy, $S^{1-N}(|\psi_{N/2}\rangle) = S^{1-N}(|\text{GHZ}_N\rangle) = N$, whilst the latter exhibits correlations of higher order. On the same hand, the highest order of correlations is not sufficient to describe multipartite states. Both the GHZ and the $N/2$-excitation Dicke state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\{j\}} |P_{\{j\}}\rangle (|00\rangle^{N/2} \otimes |11\rangle^{N/2})$, where the sum is over the permutations $\{j\}$ of the group $\text{Sym}(N)$, have two bits of $N$-partite correlations. Yet, they have different uses for information processing [37, 38], and it is impossible to transform them into each other by local operations and classical communication [18].

We introduce the concept of weaving to rank classical and quantum multipartite states by a single index, overcoming such ambiguities. The idea is to construct a consistent information-theoretic descriptor of correlation patterns by counting well-defined genuine multipartite correlations of every order. A weaving measure is built as the weighted sum of multipartite correlations,

$$W_D(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \omega_k D^k(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \Omega_k D^{k-N}(\rho_N),$$  

where $\omega_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For any function $D$, a weaving measure is contractive under local operations and partial trace, $W_D(\rho_N) \geq W_D(\Pi_i \delta_0(\rho_N))$, $W_D(\rho_N) \geq W_D(\rho_k)$, as it is a sum of contractive quantities (properties 2D, 3D).
The relative entropy of weaving $W_S(\rho_N)$ is also additive, $W_S(\otimes \rho_i) = \sum_i W_S(\rho_i)$, being a sum of additive terms (property 5S). Weaving is then easy to compute too, being obtained by global and marginal entropies.

The choice of the weights determines the meaning of a weaving measure. For $\omega_k = 1, \forall k$, it is a measure of total correlations. For $\omega_l = \delta_{l1}, \forall l$, it quantifies genuine $k$-partite correlations. As observed, computing correlations is not sufficient to discriminate different multipartite states. Thus, we study correlation scaling. That is, how the information about the global system scales by accessing partitions containing clusters of increasing size. This is captured, for example, by choosing weights proportional to the correlation order. We calculate the relative entropy measures of genuine multipartite correlations and weaving, selecting $\omega_k = k - 1 \Rightarrow \Omega_k = 1, \forall l$, for highly correlated classical and quantum states of $N$ particles (Table I). The quantity unambiguously ranks states with equal total correlations, or $N$-partite correlations. As expected, the weaving of states in tensor product form, e.g. the Bell state products, scales linearly $O(N)$ with the number of particles. Indeed, the correlations in the global state are the sum of the correlations in each product factor. The GHZ state shows super-linear scaling $O(N\log N)$ instead. However, the highest asymptotic value $O(N^2)$ for $N$ qubits is found in the $N/2$ excitation Dicke state. Such state has non-zero correlations at any order, $f_{D,N/2}^k \neq 0, \forall k$ [39], while the GHZ state has zero correlations whenever $[N(k-1)] = [N/k]$. Weaving is proportional to the logarithm of the subsystem dimension $d$.

The concept of weaving solves issues emerged in previous studies. A measure of “neural complexity” was proposed to study correlation scaling between binary variables [40]. The quantity, which we generalize to the quantum scenario, reads $C(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} k/N S^{1-N}(\rho) - S^{1-N}(\rho_1)$, where the average term is calculated over the $\binom{N}{k}$ clusters of $k$ subsystems $S_k$. A geometric lower bound is given by the weighted distances to the entropy maximisers with same $k$-marginals, $C(\rho_N) \geq C^G(\rho_N) = \sum_k k/NS(\rho_N, \sigma_N^k)$ [16, 17, 34, 41–43]. The interest in complexity measures was spurred by the association with enhanced neuronal activity, evaluating the functionality of equally correlated neural networks. This generated a debate about whether complexity is the resource governing information transmission in the brain [44]. Such quantities have been also applied to study chaotic systems and cellular automata [17]. Yet, complexity measures fall short as benchmarks of multipartite correlations. The neural complexity is not additive under tensor products, e.g. $C(\rho_2 \otimes \rho_1) = 4/3C(\rho_2)$, while the geometric complexity is not contractive under local operations, nor under partial trace, requiring non-analytical methods to be computed [17, 43, 45].

**Conclusion** – We proposed a consistent information-theoretic definition of genuine multipartite correlations, and described how to quantify them. While we did not discuss the distinction between classical and quantum correlations, our result suggests a strategy to characterize genuine multipartite quantum correlations, an open question despite recent progresses [10, 24, 46, 47]. Having defined $k$-partite correlations as in Eq. 2, classical and quantum contributions can be identified via the method employed for total correlations [24], then studying quantum correlations on their own. We also introduced weaving, a descriptor of correlation patterns. Weaving is an alternative to complexity measures, i.e. a measure of how hard is to determine the properties of a system from knowing its parts [48], which satisfies desirable constraints. An important question to address is its operational meaning. Specifically, the quantum contribution to the weaving of a state may be a further computational resource. This would confirm the intuition that interplaying complexity science and (quantum) information theory can advance both disciplines [49].
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Appendix

Genuine $k$-partite correlations of Dicke states

The Dicke states with one and $N/2$ excitations read

$$|\text{D}, 1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i} \mathcal{P}_i (|0\rangle^{\otimes (N-1)} \otimes |1\rangle), \quad (A.1)$$

$$|\text{D}, N/2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\binom{N}{N/2}}} \sum_{i} \mathcal{P}_i (|0\rangle^{\otimes N/2} \otimes |1\rangle^{\otimes N/2}). \quad (A.2)$$

Since they are invariant under subsystem permutations, we can employ the compact expression given in the main text:

$$S^{k\rightarrow N}(\rho_N) = [N/k]S(\rho_k) + (1 - \delta_{N\text{ mod } k, 0})S(\rho_{N\text{ mod } k}) - S(\rho_N). \quad (A.3)$$

Then, the amount of genuine $k$-partite correlations of the Dicke states is given by

$$f^k_{\text{D,1}} = S^{k-1\rightarrow N}(|\text{D}, 1\rangle) - S^{k\rightarrow N}(|\text{D}, 1\rangle),$$

$$S^{k\rightarrow N}(|\text{D}, 1\rangle) = \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{k} \right\rfloor \left( (k-N) \log \left( 1 - \frac{k}{N} \right) - k \left( \log \left( \frac{k}{N} \right) - \log \left( 1 - \frac{k}{N} \right) \right) \right)}{N} - N \log \left( 1 - \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{k} \right\rfloor}{N} \right), \quad (A.4)$$

$$f^k_{\text{D,N/2}} = S^{k-1\rightarrow N}(|\text{D}, N/2\rangle) - S^{k\rightarrow N}(|\text{D}, N/2\rangle),$$

$$S^{k\rightarrow N}(|\text{D}, N/2\rangle) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{N}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \log \left( \frac{k}{N-i} \right) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1-k} \binom{N-1-k}{i} \log \left( \frac{i}{N-i} \right) \\
\frac{N}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{k} \right\rfloor} \binom{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{k} \right\rfloor}{i} \log \left( \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{N}{k} \right\rfloor}{N-i} \right)
\end{array} \right\}. \quad (A.5)$$

One can verify that the Dicke state with $N/2$ excitations displays correlations at any order, for arbitrary $N$, $f^k_{\text{D,N/2}} \neq 0$, $\forall N, k$. 