Validation of the diagnostic efficiency of folate receptor-positive circulating tumor cells in lung cancers: a prospective observational study
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Background: This study sought to validate the clinical value of folate receptor-positive circulating tumor cell (FR+CTC) for the diagnosis of lung cancers.

Methods: Seventy-five lung cancer patients and 71 non-malignant participants (including 48 benign lung disease patients and 23 healthy subjects) were enrolled in this study. Three milliliters of the whole blood sample was collected from all the participants (before surgery for cancer patients). FR+CTC analysis was performed using “CytoploRare Detection Kit”. The expression level of serum biomarkers, including carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), cytokeratin fragment 19 (CYFRA21-1), and neuron-specific enolase (NSE), were also tested in cancer and benign lung disease patients.

Results: The median FR+CTC level in lung cancers (10.5 CTC units/3 mL blood) was significantly higher than that of the non-malignant group (5.9 CTC units/3 mL blood, P<0.0001). No significant difference in FR+CTC level was observed between patients with different age, gender, and pathological subtype (P>0.05), except for clinical stage (stages I–III versus stage IV: P=0.0169). With 7.9 CTC units/3 mL blood as the cut-off threshold, FR+CTC showed superior sensitivity (78.7%) and specificity (81.7%) in the diagnosis of lung cancers. The detection rate of FR+CTC was significantly higher compared to CEA (24.0%), CYFRA21-1 (48.0%), and NSE (16.0%). The diagnostic efficiency of FR+CTC was similar in stage I lung cancers (n=25, sensitivity =68.0% and specificity =90.1%).

Conclusions: Our results support that FR+CTC is an independent and efficacious biomarker in the diagnosis of lung cancers. FR+CTC detection can be used to assist in early-stage thoracic cancer diagnosis.
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Introduction

Thoracic cancers, especially for lung cancers, are the leading causes of cancer mortality in developed countries (1). In China, lung cancer is the most lethal malignant disease, causing over 600,000 of deaths in 2015 (2). The 5-year relative survival rate of thoracic cancers was dismal, with only 15.8–19.7% for lung cancers (3). This could be partly due to the late diagnosis (4).

Effective cancer screening method would help improve
Since the National Lung Screening Trial reported that lung cancer screening in the high-risk population with low-dose computed tomography (LDCT) can reduce the cancer-related mortality by 20% compared to chest X-ray (7), LDCT has become the standard method for lung cancer screening. However, the high false-positive rate (8,9), high cost for follow-up (10), and repeated radiation exposure have limited the widespread application of LDCT. Therefore, a more reliable and non-invasive method that can be used in parallel with LDCT assessment to improve the diagnostic performance is urgently warranted.

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are cells that shed from the tumor and entered the bloodstream. As a source of liquid biopsy, CTC is a potential biomarker for non-invasive cancer diagnosis, prediction of treatment efficacy, and monitoring disease progression (11). Indeed, numerous researches have already proved the clinical value of CTC in lung cancer management (12-14). There are currently several major techniques for CTC enumeration and analysis, which include immunomagnetic-based capture, size-based filtration, microfluidic-based separation, etc. Each technique has its strength and weakness (15). For example, CellSearch, the only FDA-cleared platform for CTC enumeration, captures CTCs through immunomagnetic nanoparticles with antibodies that target epithelial cell adhesion molecules (EpCAM) and detects CTCs using fluorescent immunostaining assay (16). As a positive enrichment method, CellSearch has a high specificity in identifying CTCs. However, it cannot detect CTCs that lack EpCAM expression, which greatly hampered its application to cancer types that have a low EpCAM expression level.

Folate receptor (FR) could be a possible substitute to label and detect CTCs. Folate receptor alpha (FRα), a subtype of FR, is highly expressed in lung adenocarcinoma tissues but rarely observed in other blood cells (17,18), making it an ideal target for CTC detection. Several studies had already reported the clinical significance of FR+CTC in lung cancer diagnosis, with sensitivity and specificity ranging from 72.5% to 81.8% and 82.4% to 93.2%, respectively (19-22). The current study aims to validate the feasibility of FR+CTC analysis in the diagnosis of lung cancers.

**Methods**

**Study design**

This was a prospective study conducted at The Affiliated Wuxi No. 2 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University and the Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital Affiliated to Tongji University School of Medicine. Seventy-five lung cancer patients were enrolled from January 2015 to March 2018. All cancer patients were confirmed by histopathological examination. None of the patients had received any anti-cancer treatment before the study or had a prior cancer history within 5 years. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer 7th Tumor, Node, Metastasis Staging System was used for clinical disease staging. In addition, 48 patients with benign lung disease (diagnosed based on multidisciplinary examination including imaging, laboratory tests, and lung biopsy) and 23 healthy subjects (individuals who exhibited no evidence of any clinically detectable disease after health checkups) were recruited. The Ethics Committees of participating institutes have approved the study (approval number: 2014W-12). Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

**FR+CTC analysis**

Three milliliters of the whole blood sample was collected with 4 mL EDTA anticoagulant tubes (REF 367861, Beckman Dickson, NJ, USA). Samples were temporarily stored at 4–10 °C and processed within 24 hours after blood withdrawal. FR+CTC enumeration was performed using “CytoploRare Detection Kit” provided by Genosaber Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instruction. In brief, erythrocytes were lysed and CTCs were negatively enriched by immunomagnetic depletion with leukocyte-specific magnetic beads. Next, CTCs were labeled with a tumor-specific probe which is a folic acid conjugated to a synthetic oligonucleotide sequence. The folic acid was used as a ligand to target the tumor-specific FRα, and the synthetic oligonucleotide was used as a template for CTC enumeration. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was then performed to enumerate FR+CTC in the samples. A serial of standards containing 10^{-14} to 10^{-9} M oligonucleotides (corresponding to 2 to 2×10^5 CTC units/3 mL blood), was used for FR+CTC quantification.

**Analysis of routine serum biomarkers**

An extra 3 mL of peripheral blood was collected from all cancer and benign lung disease patients for tumor biomarker analysis. Well-established tumor biomarkers for thoracic cancers, including carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), cytokeratin fragment 19 (CYFRA21-1), and neuron-specific enolase (NSE), were analyzed by flow fluorescence assay (Tellgen, Shanghai, China) on the day of sample collection.
Statistical analysis

The comparison of FR+CTC levels between two groups was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. The diagnostic efficiency of FR+CTC and biomarkers were analyzed using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Youden index was calculated to determine the optimal cut-off thresholds to discriminate cancer patients from non-malignant participants. All P values were based on two-sided testing, with a value less than 0.05 indicating a statistically significant difference. Statistical analyses were performed with the Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

Patients’ characteristic

Seventy-five lung cancer patients and 71 non-malignant participants were enrolled in this study (Table 1). Non-malignant participants included 48 benign lung disease patients and 23 healthy subjects, in which benign lung disease included bronchiectasis (n=9), chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (n=2), connective tissue disease (n=1), interstitial diseases (n=4), lung infection (n=1), pulmonary cryptococcosis (n=1), pulmonary inflammatory diseases (n=4), and pulmonary tuberculosis (n=26).

FR+CTC expression level

The median FR+CTC levels of lung cancer patients and non-malignant participants were 10.5 and 5.9 CTC units/3 mL blood (mean: 20.0 and 6.0 CTC units/3 mL blood), respectively (Figure 1A). For benign lung disease patients and healthy subjects, the corresponding median FR+CTC levels were 6.5 and 4.9 CTC units/3 mL blood (mean: 6.4 and 5.0 CTC units/3 mL blood). The FR+CTC level of lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the control group (P<0.0001). For patients with early-stage (stage I) lung cancer, the median FR+CTC level was 10.3 CTC units/3 mL blood. The FR+CTC level of stage I lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the control group (P<0.0001, Figure 1B).

For all lung cancer patients, no significant difference in FR+CTC level was observed between patients with different age (<60 versus ≥60 years, P=0.1980, Figure 2A), gender (male versus female, P=0.5799, Figure 2B), and pathological subtype (adenocarcinoma versus squamous cell carcinoma, P=0.1330, Figure 2C). However, stage IV lung cancers showed a significantly higher FR+CTC level compared to stage I–III lung cancers (P=0.0169, Figure 2D).

ROC analysis

With 7.9 CTC units/3 mL blood as the cut-off threshold, FR+CTC showed a sensitivity of 78.7% and specificity of 81.7% in the diagnosis of lung cancers (AUC =0.8746, 95% CI: 0.8199–0.9293, P<0.0001, Figure S1A). For stage I lung cancer (n=25), the sensitivity and specificity of FR+CTC were 68.0% and 90.1%, respectively, with 8.7 CTC units/3 mL blood as the cut-off threshold (AUC =0.8592, 95% CI: 0.7777–0.9406, P<0.0001, Figure S1B).

Comparison of the diagnostic performance of FR+CTC with routine serum biomarkers

The sensitivity and specificity of FR+CTC in differentiating lung cancers from benign lung diseases were compared with that of other clinical available tumor biomarkers which
include CEA, CYFRA21-1, and NSE. As shown in Table 2, the sensitivity of FR+CTC was the highest among all tested biomarkers (Figure 3A), although the specificity was relatively lower than other biomarkers (Figure 3B). For stage I lung cancer, the sensitivity of FR+CTC was superior over other tumor biomarkers.

Discussion

To improve the prognosis and quality of life of thoracic cancer patients, the development of an effective screening method is needed so that patients could benefit from early diagnosis and in-time treatment (5). In this study, we showed that FR+CTC is a possible biomarker to assist in the diagnosis of lung cancers, with high sensitivity (78.7%) and specificity (81.7%). The results were in concordance with the previous studies (19-22). It should be noted that the detection platform used in our study is PCR-based. Background noise could lead to the virtual positive value which did not exactly represent the presence of CTCs. Only CTC levels higher than the determined cut-off value (7.9 CTC units/3 mL blood) are considered to be positive for CTC. FR+CTC levels were not associated with clinical factors such as age, gender, and pathological subtype. However, stage IV lung cancers showed a significantly higher FR+CTC level compared to stage I-III lung cancers, probably due to the higher tumor burden in metastatic diseases. Notably, the diagnostic efficiency of FR+CTC in stage I lung cancer (AUC =0.8592) was comparable to that of all-stage lung cancer (AUC =0.8746), indicated that FR+CTC was released into and existed in the circulation at the early stage of lung cancer. This is particularly favorable for cancer screening as the ability of the biomarker to determine malignancy is not limited by cancer stage.

Compared to CellSearch, FR+CTCs showed advantages in lung cancers (Table 3). According to a previous study, the detection rate of CellSearch in advanced lung cancer was only 22.5% (23). This is probably due to the high frequency of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in lung cancer, which leads to the loss of EpCAM expression in cancer cells (24,25). In contrast, the performance of FR+CTC in lung cancer diagnosis was superior in this study. The detection rate of FR+CTC (78.7%) was comparable to that of Isolation by Size of Tumor Cells method (80%, a size-based filtration method) (23).

Compared to routine serum biomarkers, such as CEA, CYFRA21-1, and NSE, the sensitivity of FR+CTC was the highest (78.7%) in lung cancers. The sensitivity of FR+CTC slightly decreased in stage I lung cancer (68.0%), but the performance was still superior over other tumor biomarkers.
Figure 2 Correlation of FR+CTC with clinicopathological features. Comparison of FR+CTC levels between lung cancer patients with (A) different age; (B) different gender; (C) different pathological subtype; and (D) different clinical stage. Lines indicate the median and inter-quartile range of FR+CTC level. * indicates P<0.05. FR+CTC, folate receptor-positive circulating tumor cell.

In previous studies, FR+CTC in combination with the above-mentioned serum biomarkers can significantly improve the diagnostic efficiency of lung cancer (21,22). Unfortunately, the exact values of serum biomarker expression level for the negative cases were not recorded in this study and thus the corresponding analysis cannot be carried out.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this pilot study validated the performance of FR+CTC quantification in lung cancer diagnosis. FR+CTC detection can be used to assist LDCT in early-stage thoracic cancer diagnosis.
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Figure S1 ROC curves of FR+CTC in the diagnosis of (A) lung cancer; and (B) stage I lung cancer. FR+CTC, folate receptor-positive circulating tumor cell; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.