The vicious cycle towards violence: focus on the negative feedback mechanisms of brain serotonin neurotransmission
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INTRODUCTION

The human capacity for unchecked aggressiveness and violence inflicts an awful and costly burden on society. Unfortunately, the current intervention strategies and treatment options for curbing these problematic behavioral expressions are largely inadequate. Hence, a more fundamental knowledge about the social and neurobiological determinants of aggression is desperately needed. In particular, the interaction between environmental factors and the neurochemical substrates that specifically underlie the shift towards escalated and maladaptive forms of aggressive behavior (e.g., violence) need to be unraveled. Experimental laboratory models of aggression in rodents and other animal species are indispensable to obtain this goal. However, most animal studies of aggression have traditionally been concerned with the ultimate and proximate mechanisms of normal adaptive aggressive behavior, while clinically the focus is predominantly on violent individuals and excessive or inappropriate forms of human aggression. Besides several ethical, funding and translational constraints, the lack of biologically relevant and valid animal models of these pathological forms of aggressive behavior is one important reason for the gap in our knowledge about the neurobiological roots and mechanisms of violence in humans. Therefore, new experimental models in preclinical research are now being developed that focus more on provoking escalated and uncontrolled forms of aggressive behavior in order to approximate the behavior of direct concern in public health and criminal justice (Miczek et al., 2004, 2007; Haller and Kruk, 2006; Nelson and Trainor 2007).

ANIMAL MODELS

One major methodological obstacle for developing valid animal models of violence is the fact that most laboratory animal studies of aggression are conducted in rodent strains that are very placid and docile. In virtually all laboratory mouse and rat species, the aggressive behavioral traits (including the underlying genetic components) have been dramatically compromised due to selection and inbreeding in the course of the century-long domestication process. Consequently, to obtain appreciable levels of offensive aggression in these timid and predominantly serene laboratory strains, several procedural manipulations have been employed to enhance their general tendency to display offensive aggressiveness. Obviously, some of these procedures have been adopted with the intent to mimic the conditions under which violent behavior in humans occur. Generally, these procedures can be categorized into manipulations at the (A) behavioral/environmental, (B) neurophysiological (C) neuropharmacological and (D) genetic level. An overview of these various procedural manipulations/paradigms that heighten aggression, and its similarity to the symptomatology, etiology, neurobiology and pharmacology of human violent behavior according to the general validity criteria of animal models (face, construct and predictive), are listed in Table 1 and the reader is referred to another paper in this special issue (Natarajan et al., in review) for a brief description of these various animal models.

Clearly, several of these models have a reasonable construct-validity with the violence-provoking conditions and mechanisms in humans. However, while the listed experimentally-heightened forms of aggressive behavior may to some extent resemble more intense forms when compared to the already low species-typical rates of...
aggression in these laboratory animals, they mostly still fall into the normative range when compared to the patterns and levels of their wild ancestors. Indeed, much higher levels and broader-ranges of spontaneous and normal adaptive resident-intruder offensive aggression are encountered in feral or semi-natural populations of rats and mice as compared to their laboratory-bred conspecifics (de Boer et al., 2003). Therefore, an increase in the intensity of aggression as reflected by shorter attack latencies and/or enhanced frequency and duration of attacks is only one quantitative aspect of an individual’s aggressive behavioral tendency and does not automatically reflect abnormal forms of aggression that are characteristic of violence. More productive and clinically relevant animal models of pathological expression of aggression should demonstrate intense and injurious aggression that exceed normal species-typical levels and patterns (Miczek et al., 2004; Haller and Kruk, 2006; Nelson and Trainor, 2007). In other words, forms of aggressive behavior...
that is not subject to inhibitory control anymore and has lost their adaptive function in social communication. This out of control, out of content and out of context aggressive behavior is expressed in:

1. The disappearance of the normal investigatory and threatening sequence of acts and postures, and immediately engage in the ultimate consummatory phase of aggression i.e., the physical attack-bite bout (reflected in decreased attack-bite latencies and high attack/threat ratios).

2. Persistence in the aggressive attack-biting mode even though the intruder displays submissive supine and crouching/defeat postures that normally terminates the aggressive attack bout in the resident (quantifiable by disorganized temporal and sequential structure of social and aggressive behavior or perseverance of the aggressive attack-biting bout).

3. Orienting the attack-bites toward vulnerable-body regions (head and ventral surface) of the opponents resulting in severe wounding and eventually death of the intruder if not stopped by the experimenter (indicated by increased vulnerable attack ratios and inflicted wounds).

4. Losing the ability to discriminate context and/or the type of opponent (resulting in attacking dominant males, females or even anesthetized/dead conspecifics). Inclusion of these core behavioral abnormality criteria for deviant aggressiveness in rodent aggression models will certainly add to the validity of a “violence” model and expansion of our much needed knowledge about the neurobiology of pathological and violent forms of human aggression.

FROM AGGRESSION TO VIOLENCE

Recent experiments in our lab using feral (wild-derived) rats and mice have shown that the above described violent characteristics can be engendered in certain medium to high-aggressive wild-type rats (approximately 8–12% of the animals) upon repeatedly permitting them to dominate intruder conspecifics during resident-intruder contests. Enhanced levels of offensive aggression and an increased probability of winning an aggressive encounter following previous victories (the so-called “trained fighter” or “winner” effect) was originally described by Ginsberg and Allee (1942), and since then has been demonstrated frequently in a wide variety of animal species (see Hsu et al., 2005, for review). Furthermore, this effect is reported to be most pronounced in the more aggressive individuals (Oyegbile and Marler, 2006). Clearly, some of our constitutionally aggressive male wild-type rats gradually develop, over the course of 10–25 repetitive exposures of victorious social conflicts escalated (short-latency, high-frequency and—intensity attacks), persistent (lack of attack inhibition by defeat/submission signals), indiscriminating (attacking female and anesthetized male intruders) and injurious (enhanced vulnerable-body region attacks) forms of offensive aggression (Figure 1).

Similarly, male wild-derived house mice that were artificially selected for short-attack latencies (i.e., high-aggressive SAL mice) show virtually all of the above-mentioned signs of violent aggressive behavior already after 3–5 repeated winning experiences (Haller et al., 2006; Caramaschi et al., 2008; Natarajan et al., 2009a,b). Compared to non-aggressive LAL or low/normal-aggressive aLAL males, SAL mice display very short-latency and high-frequency of biting attacks with approximately half of those aimed at vulnerable-body regions (neck, head and belly). Furthermore, 80–90% of the fighting-experienced SAL males started to attack anaesthetized male intruders as well as (oestrus) females (Figure 2).

Thus, upon positive reinforcing or “pleasurable” victorious social experiences, these constitutionally high-aggressive rats and mice are very prone to show a breakdown of the aggressive behavioral inhibition mechanisms, and transform their initial functional adaptive aggressive behavior into a more violent and pathological form.

SEROTONIN

Obviously, this animal model affords us the opportunity to identify the neural plastic changes in the neurochemical “aggression” control systems that are hypothesized to underlie such a transformation. To date, we have focused in particular on the (auto)-regulatory components of brain serotonin (5-HT) neurotransmission. More than any other neurochemical system, this evolutionary ancient and very well conserved neurotransmitter system is generally considered the primary molecular orchestrator of aggression in a wide variety of animal species (Kravitz and Huber 2003; Miczek et al., 2004; Summers et al., 2005). However, the nature of this linkage is complex and it has proven difficult to unravel the precise role of this amine in the predisposition for and execution of aggressive behavior in both its normal and pathological forms. The big dogma that 5-HT generally inhibits aggression is obsolete now and the much-favored 5-HT deficiency hypothesis of aggression has to be revised. Ongoing research from our and other research groups on the functional status of the 5-HT system before, during and after the execution of normal adaptive and abnormal pathological forms of aggression has led to the following view: display of normal adaptive aggressive behavior aimed at territorial control, social dominance and coherence is positively related to 5-HT neuronal (re)activity, whereas an inverse relationship develops between tonic, trait-like 5-HT activity and pathological forms of aggression (e.g., violence). For example, in our wild-type rats, a clear positive correlation was found between the level of adaptive aggressiveness and basal CSF concentrations of 5-HT and/or its metabolite 5-HIAA (van der Vegt et al., 2003a). Moreover, local tissue as well as microdialysate levels of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in the frontal cortex did not differ significantly between high- and low-aggressive animals, although there was a slight tendency for lower levels in high-aggressive animals (de Boer et al., 2003; Figure 3). This negative correlation between aggression and frontal cortical 5-HT levels became highly significant upon inclusion of samples from the abnormal and excessively aggressive trained fighter animals (Figure 3).

Apparently, tonic brain 5-HT activity decreases as a consequence of acquiring repeated victorious experiences and adopting unchecked forms of aggression. A similar observation was made recently in our feral, artificially-selected high-aggressive mice: Only after repeated resident-intruder fighting experience, serotonin levels in the prefrontal cortex were found to be significantly lower in violent SAL mice (Figure 4; Caramaschi et al., 2008).

Moreover, these data are consistent with microdialysis studies showing lower prefrontal 5-HT values in aggressive individuals only after the fighting had been initiated and when it was terminated (Van Erp and Miczek, 2000) or merely anticipating aggression in individuals who are extensively trained/primed for aggression (Ferrari et al., 2003). Furthermore, these findings accommodate and bridge the aggressive behavior stimulatory role of 5-HT described
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for several invertebrate species (for review see Kravitz and Huber, 2003) and the putative inhibitory role of 5-HT in rodent and primate species. Indeed, a critical evaluation of the CSF 5-HIAA data in aggressive humans and monkeys revealed that the serotonergic deficiency appears to be most readily detected in well-circumscribed groups of individuals who had engaged in impulsive and violent forms of aggressive behavior rather than in individuals with more instrumental (functional) forms of aggression (Coccaro, 1989; Raleigh et al., 1991; Mehlman et al., 1994; Tuinier et al., 1995).

5-HT AUTORECEPTORS

Profound functional changes in the key autoregulatory sites that importantly control firing and 5-HT release of the serotonergic neurons, i.e., presynaptic 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B autoreceptors and serotonergic reuptake transporters (5-HTT), are hypothesized to causally underlie this transition of normal adaptive aggressive behavior into abnormal excessive forms that cause harm and injury. Previously, we and others clearly showed that acute treatment with 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B receptor agonists potently and selectively suppressed aggressive behavior (see Miczek et al., 2004 and Olivier and van Oorschot, 2005 for reviews). Moreover, we showed that these anti-aggressive effects are largely expressed via their action on the inhibitory somatodendritic and terminal autoreceptors, presumably attenuating intruder-activated 5-HT neurotransmission (see de Boer and Koolhaas, 2005 for review). This strongly indicates that the actual display of aggressive behavior, or at least the intent thereof, is associated with (short-lasting) increased 5-HT neurotransmission, a paradoxical view but supported by increased molecular neuronal activation markers (immediate-early gene expression) in 5-HT-positive raphe neurons after performance of aggressive behavior (van der Vegt et al., 2003b; Veening et al., 2005).

This view agrees well with the general hypothesis that activation of the 5-HT system is most strongly related to general motor activity (Jacobs and Fornal, 1999).
More importantly, we found that the broad individual differences in offensive aggression in our wild-type animals are associated with pronounced functional, but not structural, differences in 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B properties: compared to low-aggressive animals, high-aggressive animals are characterized by enhanced somatodendritic 5-HT1A and terminal 5-HT1B autoreceptor activity (de Boer et al., 2001; van der Vegt et al., 2001; Caramaschi et al., 2007).

Furthermore to signify the causality of this correlation, 5-HT1A autoreceptor sensitivity increased or decreased upon enhancing (by repeated victory experiences) or attenuating (by repeated defeat experiences) aggressiveness, respectively.

Currently, we scrutinize the various cellular and molecular mechanisms (i.e., receptor internalization, G/Gα-effector protein coupling, GIRK-channel activation/expression, TREK-1 potassium channel activation/expression) that importantly regulate this inhibitory autoreceptor function to ascertain the main cause of the detected 5-HT1A autoreceptor supersensitivity.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our results support the need to delineate aggression as a highly functional form of social communication from violence. Display of normal adaptive aggressive behavior aimed at territorial control, social dominance and coherence is positively related to 5-HT neuronal (re)activity, whereas an inverse relationship develops between tonic, trait-like 5-HT activity and pathological forms of aggression. Based on our findings we hypothesize that the enhanced inhibitory autoreceptor function is a normal compensatory adaptive response to the more reactive state of the brain 5-HT system in high-aggressive animals. However, an excessive activation or perhaps overshooting of this normal autoreceptor brake function may be a causative link in the cascade of neurochemical events leading to the 5-HT hypofunction characterizing violence as the pathological form of aggression.
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