Targeted photodynamic therapy treatment of in vitro A375 metastatic melanoma cells
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ABSTRACT

Metastatic Melanoma (MM) is a deadly form of skin cancer and many photodynamic therapy (PDT) studies have noted limitations in relation to effective photosensitizer (PS) drug uptake in tumors. The focus of this study was to develop a PS multicomponent nanoparticle drug conjugate carrier system which specifically targets MM cells via biomarkers to actively enhance PS delivery and so improve MM PDT. An antibody-metallated phthalocyanine-polyethylene glycol-gold nanoparticle drug conjugate, was successfully synthesized and characterized. PS active drug targeting PDT experiments at 673 nm were conducted within in vitro cultured MM. Results noted that this drug conjugate enhanced the PDT treatment of MM, through improved subcellular localization of the PS, as well as noted significantly improved cytotoxic and late apoptotic cellular death in cells. The results from this study demonstrate that through the bio-active antibody PS drug targeting of MM, the efficacy of PDT treatment for this cancer can be enhanced.

INTRODUCTION

Melanoma represents about 10% of all skin malignancies, however due to its ability to metastasize and spread, it accounts for more than 80% of all skin cancer related deaths, with approximately 100000 fatalities annually [1, 2]. There are currently various cancer treatments available for melanoma such as chemotherapy, surgery, biological therapy and radiotherapy or immunotherapy, however, these treatments are sometimes invasive and induce harsh unwanted side effects. Therefore, investigation into unconventional forms of treatment for melanoma such as PDT administered alone or in combination with other therapies need to be conducted to reduce the side effects and be non-invasive [3]. In relation to immunotherapy the standard of care of MM patients, include immunomodulating modalities such as anti-PD-1 drugs (nivolumab, pembrolizumab) and anti-CTLA-4 antibody ipilimumab which have provided “proof of concept” for further research in the field of immunooncology, however to date this treatment option still remains unavailable [4].

PDT involves the administration of a light sensitive non-toxic drug known as a PS which passively localizes in tumor cells [3, 5] When this PS is irradiated with visible red light (620 - 690 nm), it becomes excited and so forms cytotoxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) [3, 5]. ROS accomplishes photo-cytotoxicity by promoting cellular oxidative stress, which induces cell death and so causes tumor destruction [5].

PDT is a feasible treatment modality for cancer, however, in relation to MM various studies have noted some PS drawbacks [2, 3]. Since conventional PS drugs can only passively diffuse into tumor cells, it has been noted that sometimes they are absorbed by healthy tissues, causing undesirable side effects and tend to be absorbed in lowered concentrations than originally administered [6]. Additionally, PSs are recognized as foreign matter by biological barriers and so in vivo tend to be destroyed by immune system barriers, resulting in a poor drug uptake [7]. Moreover, solid melanoma tumors are seemingly more resistant to PDT, due to poor absorption of PS drugs and limitations of laser light being able to reach these cells [3]. Literature has noted positive PDT treatments of MM
with zinc sulphonated phthalocyanine (ZnPcS$_{\text{mix}}$) PSs, due to their longer light wavelength absorption peaks above 650 nm, being able to penetrate deep seated tumors, rich in melanin, however noted that ZnPcS tends to aggregate, due to their poor water solubility and sulpho purity, so this limited their overall PDT effectivity [8].

Numerous studies have reported that gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) can be utilized as drug carriers in PDT applications to improve PS passive uptake in tumor cells, due to their abilities to avoid biological barriers, ease of functionalization, as well as abilities to promote photothermal cell death induction due to their metallated content.[9–12] Additionally, studies have noted that Melanoma Inhibitory Activity (MIA) is an antigen, which is specially overexpressed on melanoma cells only, thus making it a highly specific and sensitive biomarker for MM drug uptake targeting [13]. Thus, the conjugation of a MM tumor-targeting antibody (Ab) such as Anti-MIA, onto a sulphonated ZnPcS PS carrying AuNPs surface would seem highly desirable, in order to promote drug solubility, as well as active MM tumor targeting uptake in order to enhance PDT seems promising [14–16]. Furthermore, studies have reported that Zinc phthalocyanine tetra-sulphonated acid (ZnPcS$_4$) is more soluble than ZnPcS$_{\text{mix}}$, due to its tetra sulphonated groups [8]. Additionally, studies have determined the threshold for acute toxicosis of parenterally administered ZnPcS$_4$ in mice and evaluated the compound’s safety in a phase I clinical trial of ZnPcS$_4$ based PDT in pet dogs with naturally occurring tumors. These animal studies confirmed the good tolerability and systemic safety of ZnPcS$_4$, as no changes in immunological, behavioral and organic parameters could be detected upon treatment with the non-photoactivated ZnPcS$_4$ and so show the extraordinary photoactive potential of the ZnPcS$_4$ as a photosensitizer for PDT [17–20].

Thus, this study ZnPcS$_4$ PS drug was conjugated onto the surface of amine functionalized AuNPs, which had Anti-MIA antibodies bound to its surface in order to actively improve PS drug delivery and increase its uptake and absorption within MM target tumor cells. The outcome of this study clearly enhanced PDT treatment for this type of skin cancer (Figure 1) [17, 18].

**RESULTS**

**Molecular characterization of the final PS drug conjugate**

**UV-Visible spectroscopy**

The absorption spectra of the final PS drug conjugate were read using the spectrum/purity scan mode within the 400-800 nm spectral region (Figure 2). 500 µM of ZnPcS$_4$ noted two major Q bands of emission (634 and 674 nm) within the far-red spectral range and AuNP-PEG5000-CSH-NH$_2$ noted a peak absorption band of 520 nm, which equates to 2.85 × 10$^{15}$ particles/ml [21]. When both of these absorption spectra were compared to the final PS drug conjugate, both absorption peaks still remained prominent, however lowered in absorption, confirming that ZnPcS$_4$ had been successfully bound to AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH$_2$, and the PS ROS integrity, as well as AuNP photothermal properties had remained intact. When comparing the major absorption peak fold falls of the PS drug conjugate to ZnPcS$_4$ and AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH$_2$ controls, it was determined that 0.89 × 10$^{15}$ AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH$_2$ particles/ml had been successfully bound to 227 µM of ZnPcS$_4$ PS drug. Since, the major absorption peaks of the final PS drug conjugate at 520 and 673 nm in relation to ZnPcS$_4$ and AuNP control peaks did not broaden much, this suggests the final PS drug conjugate had good size distribution with minimal aggregation [22]. However, since the absorption peaks of the final PS drug conjugate did slightly broaden; this is indicative of definitive bonding between all the chemical components, as there is an obvious increase in molecular size [23]. Moreover, the slight shift in the resonance peak position of AuNP at 520 nm, within the final molecular conjugate was also indicative that ZnPcS$_4$ and Anti-MIA Ab had successfully bound to its surface [23]. Finally, over 8 weeks of the experimental assays the absorption spectra Q bands of 520, 634 and 674 nm stayed consistent, suggesting the final PS drug conjugate remained photostable and retained its photothermal properties after molecular synthesis and overtime (Figure 3).

With reference to Figure 4, the protein direct UV 280 nm spectral region of the final PS drug conjugate noted a 5.8 absorbance fold fall when compared to control 200 µg/ml Anti-MIA Ab within the same spectral range. This finding suggests that only 34 µg/ml of Anti-MIA Ab was successfully bound to the final molecular PS drug conjugate. According to literature, amine (NH$_2$) functional groups produce a high absorption peak at a wavelength spectrum of 195 nm, whereas the condensation of an amine with a carboxylic acid to produce an amide peptide bond (CO-NH) tend to produce a high absorption peak at a wavelength spectra of 220 nm [24]. The AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH$_2$ control noted a high NH$_2$ group absorption peak at a wavelength spectrum of 195 nm, which is expected since it was NH$_2$ functionalized. Additionally, the Anti-MIA Ab control also noted a high NH$_2$ group absorption peak at 195 nm, which is predictable considering its n’ terminal has NH$_2$ functional groups. Whereas, the final PS drug conjugate noted lowered NH$_2$ group absorption peak at 195 nm, when compared to that of the AuNP control, suggesting that NH$_2$ group on the AuNP had condensed with the activated carboxylic group on the activated c’ terminus of the Abs to produce a CO-NH bond. The final CO-NH bond was additionally confirmed, by observing the high 220 nm absorption peak within the final PS
drug conjugates spectra. Moreover, since the final PS drug conjugate still noted the presence of NH₂ functional groups at the 195 nm absorption peak, this suggested that the n’ terminus active targeting sites of the Anti-MIA Ab within the final multicomponent active nano PS molecular drug conjugate remained unaffected, correctly orientated (i.e. bound to the AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ at c’ terminus) and so were considered functional for active

Figure 2: UV-Visible absorption spectra of the final molecular PS drug conjugate (ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA Ab) and various controls within the 400 to 800 nm spectral region.
PS drug delivery. Lastly, the final PS drug conjugate had a higher absorption spectra within the 250 to 280 nm range than when compared to AuNP and ZnPcS₄ controls, suggesting possible ligand absorption between the sulphonated ZnPcS₄ PS and AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ had occurred in order to create di-sulphide bond [25].

FT-IR spectroscopy

FT-IR spectroscopy has various applications in structural identification, and can be used for qualitative analysis of molecular ligand and absorption bond formations, as well as peptide bond identification within the final PS drug conjugate (Figure 5) [26–29]. FT-IR
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characterization results revealed the presence of Au-S ligand exchange bonding, since the spectra of control conjugate ZnPcS₄-AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ noted a C-S (1050-1200 cm⁻¹) stretch shift, suggesting AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ lost their C-S groups to bond with ZnPcS₄ [26, 27]. Moreover, the presence of Au-S ligand exchange bonding was confirmed since the FT-IR spectra of ZnPcS₄-AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ noted a loss of a C-S (1200 cm⁻¹) sharp band when compared to ZnPcS₄ alone, suggesting ZnPcS₄ lost its C-S groups to bond with AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ [28]. Finally, the FT-IR spectra of ZnPcS₄-AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ noted a sharp S-S (500-540 cm⁻¹) bend suggesting that ligand absorption between the sulphonated ZnPcS₄ and AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ occurred to form a weak di-sulphide bond [29].

Furthermore, the final molecular conjugate (ZnPcS₄ - AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ - Anti-MIA Ab) was also subjected to FT-IR analysis for confirmatory amide bond analysis, by noting and identifying the formation of amide bonds when compared to the FT-IR spectra of ZnPcS₄ alone. The FT-IR spectra of ZnPcS₄ noted the presence of an amide bond at 1640-1650 cm⁻¹, suggesting that the amine (NH₂) functionalized group on the AuNPs and the activated CO-NH bond formed between the zeta potential of the final PS drug conjugate was found to be 28.6 ± 3.73 mV, proposing that it was moderately stable with a slightly positively charge, hinting at the fact that it should remain stable within an in vivo environment, as well as be passively be taken up and retained within tumorous cells more selectively [30, 31].

Subcellular localization

Immunofluorescent studies noted a far more predominant localization of ZnPcS₄ PS (red) in the cytoplasm (green) and nuclei (blue) of MM cells, which received the final PS drug conjugate, than when compared control PS drug administration alone (Figure 8). These findings suggest that the targeting affinity of the PS drug conjugate in relation to Anti-MIA Ab biomarker specify for MM cells was functional, and so improved the subcellular localization and concentration uptake within these cells.

ZnPcS₄ PS PDT dose response assay

In order to establish the IC₅₀ of the ZnPcS₄ PS, various concentrations of ZnPcS₄ PS and/or PDT laser irradiation (at a wavelength of 673 nm using a fluency of 10 J/cm²) were applied to MM cells and results were reported in the form of Trypan Blue Exclusion cell...
viability tests (Figure 9) and Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) cytotoxicity and membrane integrity assay results (Figure 10).

The Trypan Blue Exclusion cell viability test (Figure 9) noted control MM cells, which received various concentrations of ZnPcS₄, with no laser irradiation applied to them, that there was an insignificant dose dependent decrease in cell viability. This suggested that the ZnPcS₄ in its inactivated form, when administered alone lacks dark toxicity. Additionally, control MM cells which received laser irradiation alone, also noted no cellular damage. Experimental groups of MM cells which received 0.25 µM of ZnPcS₄ and laser irradiation, showed no significant decreases in cell viability. However, cells which received 1, 2.5, 5 and 10 µM of ZnPcS₄ with laser irradiation showed dose dependent significant decreases in cell viability, when compared to the cells only control. The LDH cytotoxicity and membrane integrity assay (Figure 10) reported similar significant results within experimental groups which received 1, 2.5, 5 and 10 µM of ZnPcS₄ with laser irradiation, while all control groups noted insignificant findings.

These results indicate that ZnPcS₄ is an excellent PS for the use of PDT on MM, since it is capable of

Figure 6: FT-IR spectra analysis for confirmatory amide (CO-NH) bond confirmation between AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ and the activated carboxylic group on the C' terminus of the Anti-MIA Ab within the final PS drug conjugate.

Figure 7: DLS hydrodynamic radius distribution graph of final molecular drug conjugate consisting of ZnPcS₄ - AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ - Anti-MIA Ab.
substantial cell death induction. However, in order to establish if the PS when administered in a drug carrying conjugate, was capable of targeted and improved PDT, the IC_{50} of 2.5 µM ZnPcS\textsubscript{4} PS with laser irradiation applied was chosen, as it reported a significant decrease of 38%** in cell viability and 48%** cellular cytotoxicity. Thus, in relation to the below final conjugate studies: the concentration of the final PS drug

| Sample                           | Mean Z-Average Diameter Measured by DLS (nm) | Polydisersity Index (PDI) | Zeta Potential (mV)               |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| AuNP                            | 11.06 ± 1.02                                | 0.247 (Monodisperse)     |                                   |
| ZnPcS\textsubscript{4}          | 15.44 ± 2.23                                | 0.406 (Monodisperse)     |                                   |
| Expected average ZnPcS\textsubscript{4} - AuNP | 41.94 ± 1.63                                |                           |                                   |
| Result average ZnPcS\textsubscript{4} - AuNP | 42.94 ± 3.625                               | 0.289 (Monodisperse)     |                                   |
| Anti-MIA Ab                     | 3.21 ± 1.83                                 | 0.352 (Monodisperse)     |                                   |
| Expected average ZnPcS\textsubscript{4} - AuNP - Anti-MIA Ab | 49.36 ± 2.73                                |                           |                                   |
| Result average ZnPcS\textsubscript{4} - AuNP - Anti-MIA Ab (final molecular drug conjugate) | 45.20 ± 6.458                               | 0.306 (Monodisperse)     | 28.6 ± 3.73 (Moderately stable)   |

**Figure 8: Subcellular localization results of ZnPcS\textsubscript{4} PS alone verses PS drug conjugate within in vitro cultured MM cells. (Blue = nuclei, green = membrane proteins and red = localization of ZnPcS\textsubscript{4} PS drug).**
conjugate that was administered to cells consisted of 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ PS, bound to 0.425 µg/ml Anti-MIA Ab with 1.11 × 10¹³ AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ particles/ml in 0.001 M PBS.

ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA ab final PS drug conjugate PDT response

Morphological assessment

Changes in cellular morphology of various control group and PDT experimental groups, were assessed 24 hours post irradiation by light microscopy by comparing these images to the MM cells only control (Figure 11). No significant morphological damage was noted in the cells control group which received laser treatment only, suggesting laser treatment alone doesn’t induce phototoxicity. Control cell groups which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ or 2.5 µM final PS drug conjugate only, no significant change in cellular morphology was observed, signifying that the final molecular drug conjugate exhibited no dark toxicity.

Within the PDT treated control groups, which received with 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, changes in morphological structure, such as loss of cellular shape, detachment and free-floating cells was observed. These results are supported by studies by Conde

Figure 9: Trypan blue exclusion cell viability results of control and experimental groups of ZnPcS₄ at various concentrations with and without laser irradiation at 673 nm at 10 J/cm²

Figure 10: LDH cellular cytotoxicity assay results of control and experimental groups of ZnPcS₄ at various concentrations with and without laser irradiation at 673 nm at 10 J/cm².
et al. (2012) whom noted that within PDT applications AuNPs have laser light induced photothermal properties, which assist in tumor destruction [12]. However, the most significant cellular damage was noted within the PDT treated experimental group, which received 2.5 µM of the final PS drug conjugate, as cells appeared to be completely detached, rounded up and cellular debris was seen. These findings suggest that the final PS drug conjugate Anti-MIA Ab actively enhanced cellular uptake of the ZnPcS₄ and so enhanced the PDT treatment outcome. These findings correlate with studies by Hong et al. (2016), which highlight that NP PS drug uptake and absorption can be improved, when NPs are functionalized with targeting biomolecules, which allow for active and specific targeted delivery in tumor cells and so enhance PS cellular localization and overall PDT outcome [32].

**Trypan blue exclusion test**

In order to determine the effect, the final PS drug conjugate had during PDT response assays, various control and experimental groups were subjected to Trypan blue exclusion staining (Figure 12). The MM cells only control group noted 98% viable cells and so this was used as reference-base line to statistically compare other results against. Control groups which received laser irradiation only, no substantial decrease in viability was found, suggesting laser irradiation alone, had no effect on cellular viability. Similarly, control cells which received 2.5 µM
ZnPcS₄ only, noted no significant decrease in cell viability, suggesting that this PS drug when administered at this concentration, lacks dark toxicity in cells. Additionally, there was no significant viability decrease observed in cell control groups which received control 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ or 2.5 µM final PS drug conjugate administration alone. These findings indicate that even when the ZnPcS₄ was bound to either AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ or 2.5 µM final PS drug conjugate administration alone. These findings indicate that when the ZnPcS₄ was bound to either AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, as well as Anti-MIA Ab, it remained stable (in its inactive form) and so lacked dark toxicity.

The PDT treated groups, which consisted of cells with the addition of either 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄, 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ or 2.5 µM final PS drug conjugate showed varying significant decreases in cell viability. The PDT treated control group which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ with laser irradiation noted a 46%* decrease in cell viability, whereas the PDT treated control group which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ with laser irradiation noted an even more significant decrease of 69%** in cell viability. These findings are indicative that the bound AuNPs to the PS promoted PDT photothermal induced cellular damage, than when compared to PS drug administration alone [32]. However, the most significant decrease of 84%*** in cellular viability, was noted in PDT treated experimental group which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, and /or Anti-MIA Ab, pre-PDT, which resulted stable and had no cytotoxic effects on cells. All PDT treated groups reported highly significant cellular cytotoxicity values which varied over the different groups. Within PDT treated control groups which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ PS drug only, a significant increase of 45%*** cellular cytotoxicity was noted. However, within PDT treated control groups which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, a significantly higher increase of 59%*** was found. These findings are supportive of previous results, whereby it has been stated that the binding of AuNPs to PSs stimulate PDT photothermal activities, which in turn are cytotoxic to cells [32, 33]. However, the most significant cellular cytotoxicity of 73%*** was noted in PDT treated experimental groups, which received 2.5 µM final PS drug conjugate administration. These findings suggest that the conjugation of Anti-MIA Ab to ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, actively enhanced PS uptake in MM cells and so improved cellular cytotoxicity post-PDT treatment outcomes significantly.

**Flow cytometry Annexin V–FITC/PI cell death pathway detection assay**

This assay was performed in order determine if the final PS drug conjugate was capable of enhanced PDT induced cell death, due to its functionalized active...
Ab targeting abilities and AuNP photothermal promoting nanocarrier, when compared to ZnPcS₄ PS drug or AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ administration alone within various control and experimental groups. Flow cytometry scatter grams acquired for the various control and experimental groups were thoroughly analysed, and results have been graphically presented in Figure 14. The control of cells only was used to statistically compare results of viable cells, whereas the necrosis and apoptosis (early and late) controls were used to statistically compare cells undergoing these various modes of cell death. All PDT control and experimental treated groups showed significant modes of cell death when compared to the viable cells only control group, however these significant increases in percentage values varied over the different groups in relation to the mode of cell death each group had undergone.

Within PDT treated control groups which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ PS drug, only 52%** of the cells were found viable and the remainder were reported in a 32%** early apoptotic and 16%* in a late apoptotic phase of cell death. These results suggest that the administration of ZnPcS₄ PS drug only with PDT activation has the ability to induce 48% apoptotic cell death in MM cells. These results coincide with studies conducted by Robertson et al. (2010) which also reported that PDT laser activated ZnPcS₄ administered to melanoma skin cancer cells significantly decreased proportion of viable cells, as well as significantly increased the number of cells undergoing early apoptosis [34]. Nevertheless, studies by Noguchi et al. (2015) have stated that cells undergoing early apoptosis modes of cell death, often remain in an autophagy phase and so have the ability to recover [35]. Thus, ideally, this early mode of apoptotic cell death is not favourable in PDT cancer treatments, as cells can regenerate themselves and so there is a possibility of cancer re-occurrence.

Whereas, within PDT treated control groups which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, a more significant increase of 27%*** in late apoptosis was noted, with a 40%*** in early apoptosis, 5%** undergoing necrosis and only 28%** of cells being found viable. The improved result of MM cells in late apoptosis when compared to the cells only or PDT treated groups which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ PS was again attributed to presence of the AuNPs. Studies by El Hussein et al. (2015), support these findings stating that within PDT assays performed on cancer cells, that spherical-oval shaped AuNPs demonstrated the ability to activate a PDT photo thermal apoptotic cell death effects, through notable decreases in cell viability and proliferation, as well as an increase in cytotoxicity [36].

However, the most significant modes of cell death was found within PDT treated experimental groups, which received 2.5 µM final PS drug conjugate administration, whereby only 13%*** of the cells were found viable, 12%*** were undergoing early apoptosis and a staggering 64%*** were found in a late apoptotic cell death phase, with a notable 11% increase in necrotic cells. This highly significant result of MM cells undergoing 65% late apoptosis, when compared to the control groups of cells only and PDT treated control groups which received 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ PS drug only or 2.5 µM ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, can be accredited to the fact that ZnPcS₄ PS drug was conjugated to Anti-MIA Ab and so actively enhanced PS drug uptake. According to studies by Bazak et al. (2015) significant progress has been made in terms of specific receptor based PS drug molecular targeting of cancer cells though the conjugation of PSs...
to nano-carriers, which are further functionalized with Ab biomarkers, to improve PS drug active absorption in targeted cells only, leaving healthy surrounding tissues unaffected and overall improving the late apoptotic cell death mode efficacy induction of PDT tumour treatment [37]. Additionally, studies by Pleshkan et al. (2011) indicated that the use of Anti-MIA Ab biomarker conjugated drugs are a promising anti-tumour vehicle for the successful targeted drug delivery and improved treatment of MM [38].

DISCUSSION

The various molecular characterization assays confirmed that ZnPcS₄ PS drug was successfully conjugated onto the surface of AuNPs via ligand absorption and exchange methods. Additionally, these same assays noted effective c’ terminus amide bonding of Anti-MIA Abs onto the surface of functionalized amine surface AuNPs, leaving the n’ terminus of the Anti-Ab correctly orientated outwards and unobstructed for active tumor biomarker recognition. DLS and ZP results in relation to the final PS drug conjugate noted that the nanoparticle drug system was homogenously pure, monodispersed and moderately stable and so could be considered as an effective PDT PS drug carrier. Moreover, the subcellular localization results reported that the final PS drug conjugate was more efficient at improved ZnPcS₄ concentrated cellular absorption within the cytoplasm and nuclei of cells, due to its Anti-MIA Ab biomarker targeting affinity for MM cells.

The findings from this study suggest that the conjugation of Anti-MIA Ab to ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA Ab: Conjugation of Anti-MIA Ab to ZnPcS₄ - AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂

Working concentration of 0.0005 M ZnPcS₄ (Santa Cruz®: sc-264509A) (%w/v) in 0.001 M Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) was prepared and diluted as needed. 1 ml of AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ (Sigma-Aldrich: 765309) which contained 2.85 × 10¹⁵ AuNPs per ml was added to 1 ml of 0.0005 M stock ZnPcS₄. It was vortexed at 1 500 rpm at room temperature overnight to promote spontaneous ligand exchange (between Au and PS tetra sulphides) and adsorption (disulphide bond between PEG and PS) (Figure 1). It was purified by micro-centrifugation at 15 200 rpm for 1 hour. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet which contained the conjugated ZnPcS₄ and AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ was re-suspended in 1 ml PBS [26, 39].

200 µg/ml of Anti-MIA Ab (Abcam: 166932) was activated using covalent mode carbodiimide crosslinker...
two-step coupling EDC and NHS chemistry [23, 25, 40, 41]. The activated c’ terminus succinimidyl ester on the Anti-MIA Ab reacted was then able to react with the amine group (NH₂) on the AuNPs, already bound in the ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ conjugate, and so when mixed together, formed a stable amide bond [39]. This method ensured the correct orientation of the bio-targeting antibody, i.e.: the c’ terminus was bound to the amine functionalized AuNPs, while the n’ terminus antigenic sites remained free and functional for active targeting (Figure 1). The final PS drug conjugate (ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA Ab) was then subjected to various molecular characterization assays including; UV-Visible and FT-IR Spectroscopy, DLS and ZP, as well as immunofluorescent staining subcellular localization and uptake confirmation assays.

Molecular characterization of final conjugate ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA Ab

UV-Visible spectroscopy

The UV-Visible absorption and fluorescent spectra of the final conjugate ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA Ab and various controls (ZnPcS₄, AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂, Anti-MIA Ab, ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂) were recorded using a Jenway Genova Nano Plus Life Science Spectrophotometer, to observe binding of the Anti-MIA Ab and ZnPcS₄ PS to the surface of the AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂. The absorption and fluorescent spectra were read using the spectrum/purity scan mode within the 198 - 800 nm spectral region, as well as read using the 220 nm protein direct UV option. The Anti-MIA Ab protein and ZnPcS₄ PS concentration, as well as number of bound AuNP to the final conjugate was confirmed, after data interpretation [22]. To determine the photostability of the final conjugate the ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA Ab Soret and Q bands values were measured prior to laser light irradiation experiments over an 8 week period.

FT-IR Spectroscopy

FT-IR analysis was performed with the Nicolet iS50 FT-IR Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) to confirm ligand exchange / absorption (by noting and identifying the formation of strong Au-S and weak di-sulphide bonds), as well as confirm amide bond formation (by noting and identifying the formation of amide bonds). The infrared spectra results were recorded using far infra-red solution software at frequencies ranging from 400 - 4000 cm⁻¹ with 25 scans.

DLS and ZP

DLS and ZP measurements were performed using the Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern UK), which has a 4 mW He-Ne laser of 633 nm wavelength. Samples were heterogeneous or homogenous 10 - 50 µg/ml diluted suspensions in water and were analyzed at 25°C, using a 13° and 173° angle.

Subcellular localization

Culture plates were seeded at 2.5 × 10⁵ cells/ml with A375 MM cells, which had sterile coverslips inserted into them and incubated at 37 °C for 4 hours, to allow for cellular attachment. After 4 hours of incubation, the growth media was discarded and replaced with fresh growth media which contained a pre-determined dose response of 2.5 µM PS alone or the final PS drug conjugate to make up a final volume of 3 ml.

The plates were incubated at 37 °C for an additional 20 hours in the dark. After incubation, the primary Ab [200 µg/ml ICAM-1 Mouse Monoclonal IgG1 Ab diluted in 0.01 M PBS in 1% (w/v) BSA and 0.01% (w/v) sodium azide buffer solution, in a ratio 1:200] was added followed by the secondary Ab [200 µg/ml 0.5 ml Goat Anti-Mouse IgG-FITC human absorbed, fluorescein conjugated antibody, diluted in 0.01 M PBS in 1% (w/v) BSA and 0.01% (w/v) sodium azide buffer solution in a ratio 1:200] and then the cells were permanently fixed onto their coverslips. The coverslips were then stained with 50 µl of 1 µg/ml 40-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and coverslips. The coverslips were then stained with 50 µl of 1 µg/ml 40-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and inseted onto glass microscope slides and sealed with nail varnish. The slides were examined using the filter fluorescent settings of a Carl Zeiss Axio Z1 Observer immuno fluorescent microscope. The 358Ex / 461Em filter was used to detect blue DAPI counter stained nuclei in cultured cells, while the 495Ex / 519Em filter was used to detect any green FITC stained ICAM-1 membrane proteins and the 589Ex / 610Em filter was used to detect any Cy5 red auto fluorescent signal that was produced from the ZnPcS₄.

Cell culture and preparation of cell culture plates

Commercially purchased Human malignant melanoma cell line A375 (MM) were obtained from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (ECACC no: 88113005) and cultured in a 175 cm² cell culture flask, which contained Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) basal medium supplemented with 15% (v/v) Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 0.1% (v/v) Amphotericin-β and 0.1% (v/v) Penicillin-Streptomycin. The cultured cells were incubated at 37 °C, with 5% CO₂ tension and 85% humidity.

Once confluent monolayers of cultured cells were obtained, they were detached using Tryple ™Select. The cellular suspensions were then pelleted out and re-suspended in complete cell growth medium. These cellular suspensions were then sub-cultured into 3.4 cm diameter cell culture plates at a seeding ratio of 2.5 × 10⁴ cells/ml, which contained 3 ml of complete cell growth medium.
The culture plates were then incubated for 4 hours to allow for cellular attachment.

This particular cell line was purchased from ECACC in March 2018 and was passaged within these laboratory experiments for fewer than 6 months after receipt and so re-authentication was not required. However, the ECACC cell bank notes that they utilize human cell lines short tandem repeat (STR) loci human cell line profiling methods of characterization to ensure cell line authenticity.

**PDT laser parameters, PS drug addition to culture plates and laser irradiation**

After 4 hours incubation, culture plates were divided into various control and experimental groups, for ZnPcS₄ PDT PS dose response or final PDT PS drug conjugate assays. Then the cell culture media was freshly replaced. The groups which required PS or final PS drug conjugate, had the required concentration added to their culture media and were incubated for an additional 20 hours. Then the groups which required laser treatment (wavelength: 673 nm and fluency: 10 J/cm²) were irradiated for approximately 16 minutes and 8 seconds in 1 ml 0.001 M PBS using a Roithner 1000 mA 673 nm high power semiconductor diode laser (Arroyo 4210). The culture media of all plates was then freshly replaced, and plates were incubated for an additional 24 hours.

**ZnPcS₄, PS PDT dose response assays**

To determine the IC₅₀ of PS PDT treatment alone a concentration range between 0.25 – 10 µM of ZnPcS₄ was administered to various control and experimental culture plate groups, as discussed above. Post laser irradiation (for some groups) and after an additional 24 hours of incubation, following detachment and cellular suspension these groups were subjected to Trypan blue and cytotoxicity analysis. Note: the IC₅₀ of ZnPcS₄ was found to be 2.5 µM.

**ZnPcS₄ – AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂ – Anti-MIA Ab final PS drug conjugate PDT response assays**

Thus, to determine if the final PS drug conjugate enhanced PDT treatment in comparison to PS PDT treatment alone, 2.5 µM of the final PS drug conjugate and/or laser light irradiation was administered to various control and experimental culture plate groups, as discussed above. Post laser irradiation (for some groups) and after an additional 24 hours of incubation, following detachment and cellular suspension these groups were subjected to various biochemical assays.

**Biochemical assays**

**Morphology**

Post-PDT treatment, the effect on cellular morphology was viewed by light microscopy and observed at 400× magnification using an inverted microscope (Olympus CKX41) that had a digital camera (Olympus C5060-ADUS) attached to it.

**The trypan blue exclusion test**

10 ul of 0.4% (w/v) Trypan Blue stain was mixed with 10 µl of cellular suspensions and loaded onto a disposable Countess® cell counting chamber slide. The number of viable cells per ml was quantified using an Invitrogen Countess® II FL Automated Cell Counter.

**LDH cellular cytotoxicity and membrane integrity assay**

The CytoTox96® Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay (Promega, G1780), measures the amount of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) that is released into culture medium upon cell lysis and so can be used to determine cellular cytotoxicity. Thus, following manufacturer’s instructions, 50 µl of complete cell culture media from each experimental and control culture plate was removed and added to 50 µl of LDH Reconstituted Substrate Mix in a flat 96 well clear bottom plate. LDH absorbance was recorded at 490 nm using a spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Victor3, 1420 Multilabel Counter) and so used to calculate cellular lysis and cytotoxicity.

**Flow cytometry Annexin V-FITC/PI cell death pathway detection assay**

Following manufacturer’s instructions, the Annexin V-FITC/PI cell death detection kit (BD Scientific: BD/556570), was used to detect early or late apoptotic, as well as necrotic phases of cells death in various control and experimental groups, using the BD Accuri™ C6 flow cytometer.

**Statistical analysis**

Graphs represent the mean and the standard deviation of biochemical assays done in duplicate for six independent experiments. The Students t-test and one-way analysis of variances (ANOVA), was used for normal distributed data, whereas the Mann-Whitney test was used for non-normal distributed data. These tests were used to determine the significance difference between control and experimental groups where values in the 95% confidence interval (P < 0.05*, P < 0.01** or P < 0.001****) were accepted as statistically different.

**Abbreviations**

MM: Metastatic melanoma; PDT: photodynamic therapy; PS: photosensitizer; nm: nanometre; ROS: reactive oxygen species; ZnPcS₄/ZnPcS₄: zinc sulpho phthalocyanine; AuNP: gold nanoparticle; MIA: Melanoma Inhibitory Activity; Ab: Antibody; Anti-MIA: Anti-Melanoma Inhibitory Activity; ZnPcS₄: Zinc phthalocyanine tetra-sulphonic acid; AuNP-PEG5000-SH-NH₂: Amine functionalized pegylated gold
nanoparticle; UV: Ultra Violet; µM: Micromolar; PEG: Polyethylene glycol; C: Carbon; S: Sulphur; H: Hydrogen; N: Nitrogen; NH: Amine; ml: millilitre; µg: Microgram; O: Oxygen; n’: terminus Amino terminus; c’: terminus Carboxyl terminus; FT-IR: Fourier Transform Infrared; Au: Gold; DLS: Dynamic Light Scattering; ZP: Zeta Potential; PDI: Polydispersity Index; mV: millivolt; IC₅₀; Inhibitory Concentration of 50%; J/cm²: Joules per cm²; LDH: Lactate Dehydrogenase; FTIC: Fluorescein isothiocyanate; PI: Propidium iodide; M: Molar; PBS: Phosphate Buffered Saline; rpm: rotation per minute; mg: milligrams; EDC: 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide; NHS: N-Hydroxysuccinimide; µl: Microliter; °C: Degree Celsius; mW: milliwatt; He-Ne: Helium-neon; ICAM-1: Intercellular adhesion molecule-1; BSA: Bovine serum albumin; DAPI: 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; ECACC: European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures; DMEM: Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Modified Eagle’s medium; FBS: Foetal Bovine Serum; CO₂: Carbon dioxide; mA: milliamper; BD: Becton Dickinson; ANOVA: Analysis of variances.
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