Gas versus solid-phase deuterated chemistry: HDCO and D$_2$CO in massive star-forming regions
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ABSTRACT

Context. The formation of deuterated molecules is favoured at low temperatures and high densities. Therefore, the deuteration fraction ($D_{\text{frac}}$) is expected to be enhanced in cold, dense prestellar cores and to decrease after protostellar birth. Previous studies have shown that the deuterated forms of species such as N$_2$H$^+$ (formed in the gas phase) and CH$_3$OH (formed on grain surfaces) can be used as evolutionary indicators and to constrain their dominant formation processes and timescales.

Aims. Formaldehyde (H$_2$CO) and its deuterated forms can be produced both in the gas phase and on grain surfaces. However, the relative importance of these two chemical pathways is unclear. Comparison of the deuteration fraction of H$_2$CO with respect to that of N$_2$H$^+$, NH$_2$, and CH$_3$OH can help us to understand its formation processes and timescales.

Methods. With the new SEPIA Band 5 receiver on APEX, we have observed the $J = 3 ightarrow 2$ rotational lines of HDCO and D$_2$CO at 193 GHz and 175 GHz toward three massive star-forming regions hosting objects at different evolutionary stages: two high-mass starless cores (HMSC), two high-mass protostellar objects (HMPOs), and one ultracompact HII region (UCHII). By using previously obtained H$_2$CO $J = 3 ightarrow 2$ data, the deuteration fractions HDCO/H$_2$CO and D$_2$CO/H$_2$CO are estimated.

Results. Our observations show that singly deuterated H$_2$CO is detected toward all sources and that the deuteration fraction of H$_2$CO increases from the HMSC to the HMPO phase and then sharply decreases in the latest evolutionary stage (UCHII). The doubly deuterated form of H$_2$CO is detected only in the earlier evolutionary stages, with D$_2$CO/H$_2$CO showing a pattern that is qualitatively consistent with the pattern of HDCO/H$_2$CO, within current uncertainties.

Conclusions. Our initial results show that H$_2$CO may display a similar $D_{\text{frac}}$ pattern as that of CH$_3$OH in massive young stellar objects. This finding suggests that solid-state reactions dominate its formation.
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1. Introduction

In molecular cloud cores, the formation of deuterated molecules is favoured at low temperatures ($T \leq 20$ K) and at high densities ($n \geq 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$). It is thus expected that the deuteration fraction ($D_{\text{frac}}$, the relative abundance between a species containing D as compared to the same species containing H) is enhanced in cold and dense prestellar cores. $D_{\text{frac}}$ should then decrease after protostellar birth, when the young stellar object heats the central region of the core (Caselli 2002). For low-mass star-forming cores, observations of deuterated species produced in gas-phase reactions, such as H$_2$D$^+$ and N$_2$D$^+$, have confirmed this theoretical scenario (Crapsi et al. 2005; Caselli et al. 2008).

Recent studies show that high $D_{\text{frac}}$ values are also typical for high-mass star-forming cores and that the $D_{\text{frac}}$ of some species could also be an evolutionary indicator in the intermediate- and high-mass regime (e.g. Busquet et al. 2010; Fontani et al. 2011; Sakai et al. 2012). High-mass star-forming regions can be divided into the following evolutionary stages: high-mass starless cores (HMSCs), high-mass protostellar objects (HMPOs), and ultracompact HII regions (UCHIIIs; e.g. Beuther et al. 2007; Tan et al. 2014). By studying several deuterated species in 27 massive cores, Fontani et al. (2011, 2015) found that species formed exclusively in the gas (N$_2$H$^+$) showed different evolutionary trends from those formed partially (NH$_3$) or totally (CH$_3$OH) on grain mantles. The abundance of N$_2$D$^+$ is indeed higher in HMSCs, and it drops by about an order of magnitude during the HMPO and UCHII stages. This is due to the higher gas temperatures found in the latter objects, which allow the destruction of H$_2$D$^+$ via the endothermic reaction H$_2$D$^+ + H_2 \rightarrow H_3^+ + HD$ (see Gerlich et al. 2002; Fontani et al. 2011). In contrast, deuterated methanol, formed only on grain surfaces, is detected towards HMPOs and externally heated HMSCs only, possibly as a result of evaporation or sputtering of grain mantles. Therefore, while $D_{\text{frac}}$(N$_2$H$^+$) can be used as an indicator of the initial conditions in starless or pre-stellar cores, high values of $D_{\text{frac}}$(CH$_3$OH) are a good probe of the earliest protostellar phases (Fontani et al. 2015). The deuteration fraction of NH$_3$, whose
formation pathways occur both in the gas-phase and on grain surfaces, does not show statistically significant changes with evolution, and therefore there is no dominant formation pathway for NH$_3$ and its deuterated forms.

Like NH$_3$, formaldehyde (H$_2$CO) may also be produced both in the gas phase and on grain surfaces. The two main pathways for the production of H$_2$CO (and its deuterated counterparts) involve CH$_3$' (viz. CH$_3$D$^+$ and CHD$_2^+$) in the gas phase and multiple hydrogenation (viz. deuteration) of CO in the ices (see e.g. Roberts & Millar 2007). The gas phase pathway is similar to the one of N$_2$H$^+$ with the main difference that deuteration of H$_2$CO can also occur at warmer temperatures (30–50 K, see Parise et al. 2009), whereas $T < 20$ K are needed to increase the deuteration of N$_2$H$^+$ via H$_2$D$^+$. The ice phase formation route of H$_2$CO is similar to that of CH$_3$OH and their deuterated forms. Although laboratory work and observational studies of low-mass protostars suggests an important contribution from grain surface chemistry for the production of H$_2$CO (Watanabe 2005; Roberts & Millar 2007; Bergman et al. 2011), the relative importance of the dust grain vs. gas-phase formation routes remains uncertain. Furthermore, D$_2$CO measurements in intermediate- and high-mass star-forming regions are lacking (D$_2$CO has been firmly detected toward NGC 7129-FIRS 2 and tentatively toward the MonR2 ultra-compact HII region; Fuente et al. 2005; Treviño-Morales et al. 2014), and it is thus unknown whether the chemistry of H$_2$CO and of its deuterated counterparts is governed by the same mechanisms in both low-mass and more massive objects.

In this Letter, we report the first detection of doubly deuterated formaldehyde, D$_2$CO, toward high-mass star-forming cores. These are the initial findings of a search for HDCO and D$_2$CO emission toward three high-mass star-forming regions at different evolutionary stages using the new SEPIA Band 5 receiver available at the Atacama Pathfinder EXperiment (APEX$^1$) telescope. The targets were extracted from the sample of Fontani et al. (2011), for which the deuteration fraction of other molecules (e.g. N$_2$H$^+$, CH$_3$OH, and NH$_3$) has been measured (Fontani et al. 2015). The evolutionary stages of our sample reach from the initial conditions in HMSCs to the HMPs phase and the UCHII regions. The source sample and the observations are described in Sect. 2, while our results are presented in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we discuss our results and place them in context with respect to previous findings in low-mass star-forming regions. In Sect. 5 we summarize our conclusions.

2. Observations

2.1. Selected targets

The selected sources are extracted from Fontani et al. (2011) and are AFGIS142, IRAS 05358+3543, and G5.89-0.39. These are the sources with the brightest lines of H$_2$CO within each evolutionary stage. We note that this selection may introduce biases, therefore a follow-up study with a larger sample is needed. The bolometric luminosities of the objects are $10^{3.8}$, $10^{3.8}$, and $10^{4.1}$ $L_\odot$ and the integrated gas masses are 210, 300, and 300 $M_\odot$ for AFGIS142, IRAS 05358, and G5.89, respectively (Fontani et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2016; Beuther et al. 2002b, 2005; Tang et al. 2009). AFGIS142 is a high-mass star-forming region (distance of $2.14 \pm 0.05$ kpc; Burns et al., in prep.) that hosts several dense cores at different evolutionary stages: the central core (CC, classified as an HMSC), the western core (WC, an HMSC core), and the eastern core (EC; see Busquet et al. 2011; Fontani et al. 2011). We centred our single-pointing observations on CC. However, because the separation between WC and CC is $9\arcsec$ and because the APEX beam is $\sim 34\arcsec$ at 190 GHz, WC and CC were also covered in our observations. The NH$_3^+$ (1–0) emission in AFGIS142 shows two velocity components at $-2$ and $-4$ km s$^{-1}$, associated with CC and WC, respectively (Busquet et al. 2011).

IRAS 05358+3543, located at 1.8 kpc (Snell et al. 1990), contains three dust condensations (mm1, mm2, and mm3) within an area of $9\arcsec \times 4\arcsec$ (Beuther et al. 2002a). According to Leurini et al. (2007), mm1 splits into mm1a, a hot core and a massive circumstellar disk with $T \sim 220$ K, and mm1b, which is at an earlier stage of evolution. Source mm2 is a low-to-intermediate mass protostar, while mm3 is an HMSC. Our observation is centred on mm1, but all three dust condensations are covered within the APEX beam.

G5.89-0.39 is a shell-like UCHII region (diameter of $4\arcsec$) found at 2.99$^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$ kpc (Sato et al. 2014). Sub-arcsecond observations reveal at least five dust condensations (Su et al. 2009). We centred our observations on the SMA-N dust condensation. Several energetic outflows and maser activity have been detected toward G5.89-0.39 (see e.g. Hunter et al. 2008; Fish et al. 2005).

2.2. IRAM-30 m H$_2$CO observations

Previous observations of these objects were performed using the IRAM-30 m telescope (details can be found in Fontani et al. 2011, 2015). The frequency setups included the 303–202, 322–211, and 211–200 transitions of H$_2$CO. The spectroscopic information of these transitions is shown in Table A.1 (Müller et al. 2005). The H$_2$CO line emission is very strong in all three sources, with a measured $T_{MB} > 5$ K (top panels in Fig. A.1).

2.3. APEX SEPIA observations

The three sources were observed with the APEX SEPIA receiver (Swedish-ESO PI receiver for APEX; Billade et al. 2012)$^2$. We carried out single-pointing observations of HDCO and D$_2$CO using the position-switching observing mode. The J2000 central coordinates used in our observations were [RA, Dec] = (05:30:48.0, +33:47:54) for AFGIS142, [RA, Dec] = (05:39:13.0, +33:55:51) for IRAS05358+3543, and [RA, Dec] = (18:00:30.5, -24:04:01) for G5.89-0.39. The observed transitions of HDCO (at 185 GHz and 193 GHz) are shown in Table A.1 (Müller et al. 2005). The H$_2$CO line emission is very strong in all three sources, with a measured $T_{MB} > 5$ K (top panels in Fig. A.1).

$^1$ This publication is based on data acquired with the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX). APEX is a collaboration between the Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, the European Southern Observatory, and the Onsala Space Observatory.

$^2$ Observations were done as part of Science Verification in July 2015 (project E-095.F-9808A), and in November–October 2015 and May–June 2016 within projects E-096.C-0484A and E097.C-0897A.

$^3$ See http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS
Table 1. Calculated column densities and ratios (deuteration fractions) for the three species.

| Name         | Type   | N(H$_2$CO) (10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$) | N(HDCO) (10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$) | N(D$_2$CO) (10$^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$) | N(HDCO)/N(H$_2$CO) | N(D$_2$CO)/N(H$_2$CO) | N(D$_2$CO)/N(D$_2$CO)/N(HDCO) |
|--------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| AFGL5142     | HMSC   | 317 (10)                      | 11 (3)                        | 3.4 (2)                        | 0.035 (0.01)      | 0.011 (0.01)          | 0.31 (0.3)                  |
| IRAS 05358+3543 | HMPO | >130 (2)                      | 13.0 (1.4)                    | 2.6 (1.7)                      | <0.10 (0.01)      | <0.020 (0.01)        | 0.20 (0.2)                  |
| AFGL5142     | HMPO   | 40 (2)                        | 14 (3)                        | 2.5 (1.6)                      | 0.34 (0.09)       | 0.063 (0.04)         | 0.18 (0.15)                 |
| G5.89-0.39   | UCHII  | 1740 (170)                    | 25 (2)                        | <2                             | 0.014 (0.002)     | <0.001               | <0.08                       |

Notes. The errors for the calculated column density values are the formal fit uncertainties from the least-squares fits performed by MADCUBAIJ. Systematic uncertainties dominate the formal fit uncertainties, see Sect. 3, paragraph 5. Calculated values based on the two velocity components of AFGL5142, corresponding to the previously identified HMSC and HMPO objects by Busquet et al. (2011), are shown separately.

3. Results

In Fig. A.1 we present the HDCO and D$_2$CO lines observed toward AFGL5142, IRAS 05358+3543, and G5.89-0.39. The H$_2$CO 3$_0$3−2$_0$2 data obtained with the IRAM 30 m observation are also shown for comparison. While the HDCO lines (upper energy levels of 18.5−50.4 K) are clearly detected in all sources with peak intensities ≥35 mK, the D$_2$CO 3$_0$3−2$_0$2 transition is only observed toward AFGL5142 and IRAS 05358+3543, that is, at the earlier HMSC/HMPO stages. The 3σ upper limit measured for the D$_2$CO 3$_0$3−2$_0$2 line toward G5.89-0.39 is ≤6 mK.

In Table A.2 we report the derived parameters of the observed lines of H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO. For AFGL5142, the D$_2$CO 3$_0$3−2$_0$2 transition shows two velocity components at −2.077 km s$^{-1}$ and −3.658 km s$^{-1}$ (see Table A.2). We thus fitted the entire observed H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO line using two Gaussian line profiles with fixed central velocities at −11.5 km s$^{-1}$.

In Table A.2 we report the derived parameters of the observed lines of H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO. For AFGL5142, the D$_2$CO 3$_0$3−2$_0$2 transition shows two velocity components at −2.077 km s$^{-1}$ and −3.658 km s$^{-1}$ (see Table A.2). We thus fitted the entire observed H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO line using two Gaussian line profiles with fixed central velocities at −2.077 km s$^{-1}$ and −3.658 km s$^{-1}$.

Since the H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO lines toward G5.89-0.39 show a slight asymmetry in their line profiles, we also fitted these data using two Gaussian line profiles centred at 8.5 and 11.5 km s$^{-1}$.

For IRAS 05358+3543, H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO show only one velocity component that peaks at −16 km s$^{-1}$.

To estimate the molecular column densities of H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO, we used the MADCUBAIJ software that assumes LTE conditions (Martín et al. 2011; Rivilla et al. 2016). We adopted a source size of 6′′/0 as measured from NH$_3$(2, 2) and following Fontani et al. (2015). This source size is consistent with the size obtained in other high-density tracers such as N$_2$H$^+$(1−0) and C$^{34}$S(7−6) (see Hunter et al. 2008; Busquet et al. 2011). We have attempted to derive the excitation temperature of the gas, $T_{\text{exc}}$, using the four APEX HDCO transitions (Table A.1). Most of the observed $J = 3 \rightarrow 2$ lines of H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO most likely trace gas with similar physical conditions given that their $E_u$ and $A_u$ are similar. Hence, the determination of $T_{\text{exc}}$ is highly uncertain because only few lines are available.

We thus assumed a $T_{\text{exc}}$ value of 28 K, which is the average temperature obtained from NH$_3$ for IRAS 05358+3543 and AFGL5142 (Fontani et al. 2011)$^4$. We note that the assumed value of $T_{\text{exc}}$ can change the derived column densities, but not their ratios. When we assume a $T_{\text{exc}}$ value in the 20−40 K range, the variation of the column density values compared to the $T_{\text{exc}} = 28$ K case is below 50%. Lower and higher excitation temperatures cannot fit the observed transitions properly. We also estimated the column densities with different source sizes. With a source size of 2′′ we cannot fit the observed transitions properly because of optical depth effects. The estimated column densities decrease by factors of 2−3 for a source size of 10′′.

4 Fontani et al. (2011) do not report any temperature for G5.89-0.39 and therefore we assume the same $T_{\text{exc}}$ of 28 K for this source.

4. Discussion

As proposed by Fontani et al. (2011, 2015), the different trends observed for $D_{\text{trac}}$(N$_2$H$^+$), $D_{\text{trac}}$(CH$_3$OH), and $D_{\text{trac}}$(NH$_3$) as a function of evolution in high-mass star-forming regions are likely due to the way deuteration occurs for the different species: in the gas phase for N$_2$H$^+$, on the grain surface for CH$_3$OH, and via a mixture of the two for NH$_3$. For H$_2$CO, D$_2$CO is expected to be a product of material processed on solid ices since its formation timescales are longer than the depletion timescales in the pre-stellar phase (see Taquet et al. 2012). Consequently, D$_2$CO should show an evolutionary trend similar to that derived for $D_{\text{trac}}$(CH$_3$OH), which peaks at the HMPO phase (Fontani et al. 2015). If HDCO were to show a similar behaviour to D$_2$CO, we could conclude that HDCO also forms mostly on dust grains. This hypothesis would be supported by the fact that HDCO is detected in hot cores and hot corinos, while N$_2$H$^+$ (only formed in the gas phase) is not (see e.g. Fuente et al. 2005).

Although our sample and statistics are limited, our results show a trend for the deuteration fractions HDCO/H$_2$CO and D$_2$CO/H$_2$CO to progressively increase from the HMSC to the HMPO stage by factors ≥3−10, and to subsequently decrease at the UCHII phase (by factors ≥20; see Fig. 1). This behaviour is similar to the trend observed for $D_{\text{trac}}$(CH$_3$OH), and since...
the measured HDCO/H$_2$CO are similar and D$_2$CO/H$_2$CO ratios are 4–21 times higher than those predicted by Roberts & Millar (2007) for the production of HDCO and D$_2$CO via gas-phase reactions, surface chemistry very likely plays an important role in the formation of HDCO and D$_2$CO.

Rodgers & Charnley (2002) indeed proposed a grain surface formation scenario for these molecules in which H$_2$CO and its deuterated forms represent intermediate steps in the formation of CH$_3$OH and deuterated-CH$_3$OH via hydrogenation and D-addition reactions. The extent to which surface chemistry is responsible for the production of HDCO and D$_2$CO in these sources can be estimated by using the F parameter,

$$F = \frac{[\text{HDCO}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}]}{[\text{D}_2\text{CO}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

From the HDCO/H$_2$CO and D$_2$CO/H$_2$CO ratios in Table 1, we find that $F \sim 0.1-0.5$ for HMScs, $F \sim 1.8$ for HMPos and $F \geq 0.2$ for UCHIIIs. As explained by Rodgers & Charnley (2002), low $F$ values are consistent with grain surface chemistry. However, $F$ values in the range 1.6–2.3 could also be explained by gas-phase chemistry. At the HMPO stage we therefore cannot rule out a contribution to the HDCO/D$_2$CO production via the gas phase neutral-neutral reactions CH$_2$D + O $\rightarrow$ HDCO + H and CHD$_2$ + O $\rightarrow$ D$_2$CO + H. Note that these reactions occur in the forward direction at temperatures ~30–50 K because the formation reactions of CH$_3$D and CHD$_2$ are exothermic (Turner 1990).

Table 1 also shows that the D$_2$CO/HDCO ratio remains constant at ~0.2 within the uncertainties, regardless of the source or evolutionary stage. As for the low-mass regime, the ratio HDCO/D$_2$CO lies well below the statistical value given as D-species/D$_2$-species $= 4 \times$ (D-species/H-species)$^{-1}$, consistent with the grain surface formation scenario (see Ceccearelli et al. 2014).

When we compare the HDCO/H$_2$CO and D$_2$CO/H$_2$CO ratios from Table 1 with those collected by Ceccearelli et al. (2014) for low-mass star-forming regions (see their Fig. 5), we find that the ratio HDCO/H$_2$CO $\sim 3$–5 in the high-mass regime, whereas the same ratio is close to 1 in low-mass star-forming regions. This may be a consequence of the shorter timescales available in the high-mass regime for the formation of D$_2$CO in the ices (Taquet et al. 2012; Rodgers & Charnley 2002). In the scheme of Rodgers & Charnley (2002), D$_2$CO is formed after HDCO through two hydrogen-deuterium exchanges experienced by H$_2$CO in the ices. The higher HDCO/H$_2$CO ratio in high-mass star-forming regions therefore suggests that HDCO may not have had enough time to have been converted into D$_2$CO as a consequence of the faster evolution of high-mass protostars as compared to their low-mass counterparts.

5. Summary

We have observed HDCO and D$_2$CO toward a sample of high-mass star-forming regions at different evolutionary stages. HDCO transitions were detected for all of them, while the D$_2$CO line was detected only for the earlier HMSC and HMPO stages. Our results point toward the idea that H$_2$CO and its deuterated species form mostly on grain surfaces, although some gas-phase contribution is expected at the warm HMPO stage. Interferometric observations are needed to separate the HDCO and D$_2$CO emission originating from the small and dense cores and to distinguish their origin in high-mass star-forming regions.
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Appendix A: Observed H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO lines

In Table A.1 we report the parameters of the observed lines for H$_2$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO. The observing setup (and the observations of Fontani et al. 2011, 2015) also covered other higher excitation lines of the same molecules, but these were not detected (and are not expected to be detectable under the expected excitation conditions).

In Fig. A.1 we present the HDCO and D$_2$CO lines observed toward AFGL5142, IRAS 05358+3543, and G5.89-0.39. The H$_2$CO 3$_{0,3}$→2$_{0,2}$ data obtained with the IRAM 30 m observation are also shown for comparison. While the HDCO lines (upper energy levels of 18.5-50.4 K) are clearly detected in all sources with peak intensities $\geq 35$ mK, the D$_2$CO 3$_{0,3}$→2$_{0,2}$ transition is only observed toward AFGL5142 and IRAS 05358+3543, that is to say, at the earlier HMSC/HMPO stages. The 3$\sigma$ upper limit measured for the D$_2$CO 3$_{2,2}$→2$_{1,1}$ line toward G5.89-0.39 is $\leq 6$ mK.

In Table A.2 we report the parameters derived by fitting single or multiple (in the case of AFGL5142 and G5.89-0.39) Gaussian profiles to the detected lines. For the HDCO, H$_{13}$CO, and H$_2$CO spectra of AFGL5142 and IRAS 05358+3543, we fitted the $v_{LSR}$ and FWHM values based on the D$_2$CO velocity components. For G5.89-0.39, we derived the velocity and width of the two components based on the HDCO 3$_{0,3}$→2$_{0,2}$ line. We did not use these fits directly to calculate the physical parameters. We used the velocities and line widths as initial parameters for the MADCUBAIJ modelling.

---

Table A.1. Transitions of H$_2$CO, H$_{13}$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO observed with APEX SEPIA and the IRAM-30 m telescope.

| Species | Transition | Frequency [GHz] | $E_{up}$ [K] | $A_{ij}$ [s$^{-1}$] | Telescope |
|---------|------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| D$_2$CO | 3$_{0,3}$→2$_{0,2}$ | 174.413 | 16.8 | 1.44e-04 | APEX |
| HDCO | 3$_{1,3}$→2$_{1,2}$ | 185.307 | 25.8 | 1.53e-04 | APEX |
| HDCO | 3$_{2,2}$→2$_{1,2}$ | 192.893 | 18.5 | 1.94e-04 | APEX |
| HDCO | 3$_{2,1}$→2$_{1,0}$ | 193.392 | 50.4 | 1.09e-04 | APEX |
| HDCO | 3$_{1,1}$→2$_{1,0}$ | 193.907 | 50.4 | 1.10e-04 | APEX |
| H$_{13}$CO | 3$_{1,3}$→2$_{1,2}$ | 206.131 | 31.6 | 2.11e-04 | APEX |
| H$_2$CO | 9$_{1,8}$→9$_{1,9}$ | 216.569 | 174.0 | 7.22e-06 | IRAM |
| H$_2$CO | 3$_{0,3}$→2$_{0,2}$ | 218.222 | 21.0 | 2.82e-04 | IRAM |
| H$_2$CO | 3$_{2,2}$→2$_{1,1}$ | 218.476 | 68.1 | 1.57e-04 | IRAM |
| H$_2$CO | 3$_{2,1}$→2$_{0,2}$ | 218.760 | 68.1 | 1.58e-04 | IRAM |
Table A.2. Fitted parameters of the observed H$_2$CO, H$_{13}$CO, HDCO, and D$_2$CO lines.

| Line          | Area [K km s$^{-1}$] | $v_{LSR}$ [km s$^{-1}$] | FWHM [km s$^{-1}$] | $T_{MB}$ [K] |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| **AFGL5142**  |                      |                          |                    |             |
| D$_2$CO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 0.13 (0.01) | $-3.66$ (0.06) | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.10 (0.01) |
|               | 0.14 (0.01) | $-2.08$ (0.05) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.09 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 0.45 (0.01) | $-3.66$ | 1.3 | 0.34 (0.01) |
|              | 0.52 (0.01) | $-2.08$ | 1.4 | 0.35 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{1,3} \rightarrow 2_{1,2}$ | 0.44 (0.04) | $-3.66$ | 1.3 | 0.33 (0.04) |
|              | 0.51 (0.04) | $-2.08$ | 1.4 | 0.34 (0.04) |
| HDCO $3_{2,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,1}$ | 0.07 (0.06) | $-3.66$ | 1.3 | 0.06 (0.01) |
|              | 0.10 (0.06) | $-2.08$ | 1.4 | 0.07 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{2,1} \rightarrow 2_{2,0}$ | 0.07 (0.01) | $-3.66$ | 1.3 | 0.06 (0.01) |
|              | 0.09 (0.01) | $-2.08$ | 1.4 | 0.06 (0.01) |
| H$_{13}$CO $3_{1,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,2}$ | 0.35 (0.01) | $-3.66$ | 1.3 | 0.26 (0.01) |
|               | 0.38 (0.01) | $-2.08$ | 1.4 | 0.25 (0.01) |
| H$_2$CO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 8.81 (0.48) | $-3.66$ | 1.3 | 6.60 (0.48) |
|              | 9.62 (0.51) | $-2.08$ | 1.4 | 6.47 (0.48) |
| **IRAS 05358+3543** |                      |                          |                    |             |
| D$_2$CO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 0.12 (0.01) | $-16.49$ (0.15) | 2.6 (0.4) | 0.04 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 0.57 (0.01) | $-16.49$ | 2.6 | 0.21 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{1,3} \rightarrow 2_{1,2}$ | 0.64 (0.05) | $-16.49$ | 2.6 | 0.23 (0.04) |
| HDCO $3_{2,2} \rightarrow 2_{2,1}$ | 0.08 (0.04) | $-16.49$ | 2.6 | 0.03 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{2,1} \rightarrow 2_{2,0}$ | 0.07 (0.01) | $-16.49$ | 2.6 | 0.03 (0.01) |
| H$_{13}$CO $3_{1,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,2}$ | 0.45 (0.01) | $-16.49$ | 2.6 | 0.16 (0.01) |
| H$_2$CO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 13.70 (0.14) | $-16.49$ | 2.6 | 4.95 (0.09) |
| **G5.89-0.39**  |                      |                          |                    |             |
| D$_2$CO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | $\leq$0.03 | ... | ... | $\leq$0.02 |
| HDCO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 0.84(0.03) | 8.37(0.08) | 3.60 (0.18) | 0.22 (0.01) |
|              | 0.19(0.02) | 11.70(0.08) | 1.60 (0.21) | 0.11 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{1,3} \rightarrow 2_{1,2}$ | 0.73(0.03) | 8.37 | 3.6 | 0.19 (0.01) |
|              | 0.19(0.02) | 11.7 | 1.6 | 0.11 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{2,2} \rightarrow 2_{2,1}$ | 0.18(0.11) | 8.37 | 3.6 | 0.05 (0.01) |
|              | 0.11(0.08) | 11.7 | 1.6 | 0.06 (0.01) |
| HDCO $3_{2,1} \rightarrow 2_{2,0}$ | 0.21(0.03) | 8.37 | 3.6 | 0.05 (0.01) |
|              | 0.07(0.01) | 11.7 | 1.6 | 0.04 (0.01) |
| H$_{13}$CO $3_{1,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,2}$ | 1.87(0.03) | 8.37 | 3.6 | 0.48 (0.02) |
|              | 0.39(0.02) | 11.7 | 1.6 | 0.23 (0.02) |
| H$_2$CO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 50.03(1.61) | 8.37 | 3.6 | 13.05 (0.93) |
|              | 15.16(1.07) | 11.7 | 1.6 | 8.90 (0.93) |

Notes. Errors in peak intensity correspond to the 1σ rms level in the spectra. For the HDCO, H$_{13}$CO, and H$_2$CO spectra of AFGL5142 and IRAS 05358+3543, we fitted the $v_{LSR}$ and FWHM values based on the D$_2$CO velocity components. For G5.89-0.39, we derived the velocity and width of the two components based on the HDCO $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ line.