Key Importance of Small RNA Binding for the Activity of a Glycine-Tryptophan (GW) Motif-containing Viral Suppressor of RNA Silencing*
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Viruses express viral suppressors of RNA silencing (VSRs) to counteract RNA silencing-based host defenses. Although virtually all stages of the antiviral silencing pathway can be inhibited by VSRs, small RNAs (sRNAs) and Argonaute (AGO) proteins seem to be the most frequent targets. Recently, GW/WG motifs of some VSRs have been proposed to dictate their suppressor function by mediating interaction with AGO(s). Here we have studied the VSR encoded by Pelargonium line pattern virus (family Tombusviridae). The results show that p37, the viral coat protein, blocks RNA silencing. Site-directed mutagenesis of some p37 sequence traits, including a conserved GW motif, allowed generation of suppressor-competent and -incompetent molecules and uncoupling of the VSR and particle assembly capacities. The engineered mutants were used to assess the importance of p37 functions for viral infection and the relative contribution of diverse molecular interactions to suppressor activity. Two main conclusions can be drawn: (i) the silencing suppression and encapsidation functions of p37 are both required for systemic Pelargonium line pattern virus infection, and (ii) the suppressor activity of p37 relies on the ability to bind sRNAs rather than on interaction with AGOs. The data also caution against potential misinterpretations of results due to overlap of sequence signals related to distinct protein properties. This is well illustrated by mutation of the GW motif in p37 that concurrently affects nucleolar localization, efficient interaction with AGO1, and sRNA binding capability. These concomitant effects could have been overlooked in other GW motif-containing suppressors, as we exemplify with the orthologous p38 of turnip crinkle virus.

RNA silencing refers to several mechanistically related pathways that result in sequence-specific regulation of gene expression. These pathways are conserved in most eukaryotes and play an essential role in developmental programs, stress responses, and maintenance of genome integrity (1, 2). In plants, as well as in invertebrates and, to a lesser extent, in fungi and probably in vertebrates (3–8), RNA silencing acts as a potent antiviral system. This system is triggered by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses, may correspond to dsRNA replicative intermediates, self-complementary regions of the viral genome, or products of the action of host RNA-dependent RNA polymerases on viral templates (9). The dsRNA triggers are cleaved by Dicer-like (DCL) RNase III-related enzymes into small RNAs (sRNAs) of 21–24 nt (4). These small duplexes are unbound by an RNA helicase, and one strand is incorporated into an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), whose core component is an Argonaute (AGO) protein that is endowed with RNA slicer activity (10–12). After integration into the RISC, the sRNA strand guides annealing and endonucleolytic cleavage of complementary RNA molecules (1). The sRNAs that bind to their cognate RNAs do not only trigger RNA cleavage, but they may also serve as primers for RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity, which generates additional dsRNA targets for DCL enzymes. This results in the production of a new wave of sRNAs, thus leading to amplification of the silencing signal (13–15). In plants, studies with the model organism Arabidopsis thaliana indicate that DCL4 and DCL2 are the most important DCLs involved in virus-induced RNA silencing and that AGO1 is the major antiviral effector molecule, although other AGOs might also participate in viral clearance (16–22).

The abbreviations used are: dsRNA, double-stranded RNA; sRNA, single-stranded RNA; DCL, Dicer-like; GFP, green fluorescent protein; sRNA, small RNA; nt, nucleotide(s); RISC, RNA-induced silencing complex; AGO, Argonaute; VSR, viral suppressor of RNA silencing; TCV, turnip crinkle virus; PLPV, Pelargonium line pattern virus; gRNA, genomic RNA; sgrNA, subgenomic RNA; CP, coat protein; MP, movement protein; GFP-IR, GFP inverted repeat; BiFC, bimolecular fluorescence complementation; mRFP, monomeric red fluorescent protein; sYFP, superyellow fluorescent protein; dpif, days postinfiltration; vsRNA, virus-derived sRNA.
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Main Relevance of sRNA Binding in a GW Motif-containing VSR

In order to counteract RNA silencing-mediated host defenses, viruses have evolved a vast array of proteins called viral suppressors of RNA silencing (VSRs) (23, 24). VSRs are very diverse in sequence and additional function(s), and no correlation among VSR types and viral phylogeny can be established (25). Moreover, differences in VSR activity have been found among viruses of the same genus (26–28) or even among isolates of the same virus species (29, 30). Although many questions remain to be answered, the growing knowledge about the mechanism of action of several VSRs reveals that virtually all stages of the antiviral silencing pathway can be targeted by these viral products and that a given VSR may inhibit or inactive one or more components of the silencing machinery (31, 32). One of the best studied VSRs is the tombusvirus p19 protein that binds sRNAs, the key mediators of RNA silencing, preventing their incorporation into RISC (33, 34). Distinct unrelated VSRs have adopted the same strategy to block RNA silencing (35–38), and some of them have been reported to bind dsRNAs in a size-independent manner (38–40). Inhibition of the 2′-O-methylation that protects sRNAs from degradation or blockage of biogenesis of 21-nt sRNAs would be other examples of the mode of action of VSRs (16, 41). Besides RNAs, protein components of the silencing pathways may be also affected by VSRs. For instance, several VSRs seem to target AGO proteins, such as the 2b protein of cucumber mosaic virus, which was proposed to inhibit RISC activity via physical interaction with the PAZ domain of AGO1 (42). In addition, the polerovirus-encoded F-box protein P0 targets AGO proteins for ubiquitination and subsequent degradation, thereby precluding RISC assembly (43–45). Moreover, ipomovirus P1 protein and protein p38 of turnip crinkle virus (TCV; genus Carmovirus, family Tombusviridae) were recently shown to bind directly and specifically AGO1, affecting the activity of this RISC component (46, 47). In the latter cases, such binding was proposed to be achieved through mimicry of host-encoded GW/WG motif-containing proteins normally required for RISC assembly/function in diverse organisms (48).

Pelargonium line pattern virus (PLPV) belongs to the large and diverse family Tombusviridae and harbors a multipartite, positive-sense ssRNA genome that is encapsidated into isometric viral particles of about 30 nm in diameter (49). The 3,883-nt genomic RNA (gRNA) lacks a 5′ cap structure and a 3′ poly(A) tail and contains five open reading frames (ORFs) (Fig. 1A). The two 5′-proximal ORFs encode two proteins involved in replication, the essential accessory factor p27 and its read-through product p87, which corresponds to the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Two centrally located, small ORFs encode the movement proteins p7 (MP1) and p9.7 (MP2), and the 3′-proximal ORF encodes a coat protein (CP or p37) (50, 51). PLPV genomic organization and derived proteins closely resemble those of members of the genus Carmovirus. However, whereas carmoviruses generate two subgenomic RNAs (sgRNAs) that serve as mRNAs for expression of the MPs and the CP, respectively, PLPV produces only one. In addition, PLPV lacks any AUG codon between the MP1 AUG and CP AUG initiation codons, whereas carmoviruses have 1–8 AUGs in the equivalent region. Moreover, the PLPV MP2 gene contains a non-AUG initiation codon contrary to the canonical AUG present in the MP2 gene of carmoviruses (50, 51). These distinguishing characteristics are shared by other related, poorly characterized, small isometric ssRNA viruses, which has prompted the proposal of their inclusion into a new genus, tentatively named Pelarspovirus, within the family Tombusviridae (50–52).

So far, VSR activity has been identified in four of the 12 genera currently included in the family Tombusviridae, particularly Aureusvirus, Carmovirus, Dianthovirus, and Tombusvirus. Despite their phylogenetic closeness, the available information indicates that different genera employ distinct proteins and/or strategies to inhibit RNA silencing. Whereas the CPs of several carmoviruses have been reported to act as VSRs (27, 53, 54), tombusviruses and aureusviruses encode small, unrelated proteins (p19 and p14, respectively) that perform such specific tasks (40, 55). The dianthoviral suppressor seems unique in that it consists of multiple components, including the replication proteins (p27 and p88) and the viral RNA templates (56). Moreover, the dianthoviral MP probably functions as a secondary VSR (57). To further explore the diversity of silencing suppression within Tombusviridae, our first goal in the present work was to identify the PLPV VSR, a role that was found to be played by p37, the viral CP. Investigations to understand the molecular bases of the suppressor function of p37 were subsequently undertaken. Through site-directed mutagenesis of distinct sequence motifs in the protein, including a conserved GW motif at the N terminus, a series of suppressor–competent and -incompetent forms of p37 was generated, some of which retained the particle assembly capacity, whereas others did not. This series was used to analyze the relevance of p37 functions for viral infection and the relative contribution of diverse protein properties (binding to dsRNAs, virion formation, subcellular localization, self-interaction, and interaction with certain AGO proteins) to the suppressor activity. The results have provided valuable insights into the mode of action of p37 that seems to rely on the ability to bind sRNAs rather than on interaction with AGOs, despite the protein having an apparently bona fide GW motif. Moreover, the obtained data reveal considerable overlap of sequence signals in p37 related to distinct protein properties. This situation can be present in other GW motif-containing VSRs, as we illustrate with the orthologous TCV p38, and can lead to misinterpretations of results or to deficient understanding of the molecular bases of VSR activity.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

DNA Constructs—Individual PLPV ORFs (p27, p87, p7, p9.7, and p37) were PCR-amplified from a plasmid containing a full-length PLPV infectious cDNA (58) using specific primer pairs and the Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche Applied Science). The amplification of ORF p87 was performed from plasmid p27tyr, a full-length PLPV clone in which the amber stop codon of ORF1 was mutated to a tyrosine codon (51). The amplification products, containing proper restriction sites at the 5′ ends, were inserted between the cauliflower mosaic virus 3S promoter and the terminator sequence of the Solanum tuberosum protease inhibitor II gene (PoPit) and cloned into the binary vector pMOG800 (59).
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**TABLE 1**

| Primer | Position | Sequence | Restriction site | Constructs |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| CH358  | 7–17 (S) | 5′-OCGGATCCAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | BamHI | 35S:p27 |
| CH192  | 710–728 (AS) | 5′-CCGCTACGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | BamHI | 35S:p9.7 |
| CH357  | 7–17 (S) | 5′-GTCGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | PstI | 35S:p8 |
| CH339  | 2287–2304 (AS) | 5′-CCGCTACGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | PstI | 35S:p7 |
| CH360  | 2274–2293 (S) | 5′-CCGCTACGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | PstI | 35S:p37 |
| CH361  | 2448–2465 (AS) | 5′-CCGCTACGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | PstI | 35S:p37 |
| CH362  | 2621–2647 (S) | 5′-GTCGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | BamHI | 35S:p37 |
| CH363  | 3618–3637 (AS) | 5′-GTCGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | PstI | 35S:p37 |
| CH364  | 2371–2389 (S) | 5′-GTCGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | PstI | 35S:p9.7 |
| CH365  | 2618–2637 (AS) | 5′-GTCGACAGCTGAGAATTTTTGTTCTCTTG-3′ | PstI | 35S:p37 |

*Primer positions match those in the complement of the PLPV genome or TCV strain CH518. All primer sequences correspond to the PLPV plus strand. In case of ambiguous sequences, the plus strand is shown.*

Distinct mutations were introduced by PCR with the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) into the p37 gene of (i) a PLPV infectious cDNA inserted into pUC18 just downstream from a T7 RNA polymerase promoter (58) and (ii) the same PLPV infectious cDNA flanked by the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and the PoPit and cloned into pMOG800 (51). Such mutations led to the replacement by Ala of the following p37 amino acid residues: Trp at position 28 (mutant p37-W28), Arg at positions 67, 68, and 70 (mutant p37–2R67), Lys at position 26 (mutant p37–K26), or three Arg residues at positions 67, 68, and 70 (mutant p37–3R67). The mutagenized full-length PLPV clones were used as templates for PCR amplification of the mutant p37 genes that were cloned individually into pMOG800 as described above for the wild-type (WT) p37 gene. In addition, similar pMOG800-based constructs, which allowed expression of WT and mutant p37 molecules tagged at their C termini with the hemagglutinin (HA) peptide, were generated by standard PCR and cloning procedures (60). Binary constructs carrying a green fluorescent protein (GFP) inverted repeat (GFP-IR) or allowing expression of GFP, tombusviral p19, or TCV p38 have been described earlier (27, 61). Mutations in sequences encoding the GW motifs of TCV p38 were introduced with the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit and proper oligonucleotides.

To analyze protein subcellular localization, WT p37 gene and mutant versions were PCR-amplified, and the amplification products, bearing proper restriction sites at the ends, were fused in frame to the 5′ end or the 3′ end of the GFP gene. Such fusions were inserted between the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and the PoPit and cloned into plasmid pMOG800.

For bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays, WT and mutant p37 genes were PCR-amplified using oligonucleotides with appropriate restriction sites and cloned into vectors pROK2-sYFPN and pROK2-sYFPC to allow expression of the p37 protein fused to the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) N- and C-terminal halves (amino acids 1–154 and 155–238, respectively) (62). In addition, pROK2-based constructs that allowed expression of AGO1 and AGO4 tagged with sYFP halves were used in some BiFC experiments (62). A pROK2-based construct for expression of fibrillarin fused to monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) has been described elsewhere (63).

All constructs were routinely sequenced with an ABI PRISM DNA sequencer 377 (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) to avoid unwanted modifications. The primers used to generate the distinct recombinant plasmids are listed in Table 1.

**Agrobacterium-mediated Transient Gene Expression and Virus Inoculation**—All binary plasmid constructs were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain C58C1 CH32 by electroporation. *A. tumefaciens* infiltration was performed on the abaxial side of leaves from 2-week-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants (27). In co-infiltration assays, equal volumes of the corresponding bacterial cultures (OD600 = 0.5) were mixed before infiltration.

PLPV was agroinoculated to plants using the pMOG800-based constructs with full-length PLPV cDNAs and the procedure described above. Alternatively, virus mechanical inoculation was performed. With this aim, RNA transcripts were synthesized in vitro from the pUC18-derived full-length PLPV clones with T7 RNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) following
digestion of plasmids with Smal. The resulting transcripts were gently rubbed onto carborundum-dusted leaves as reported before (51). Plants were maintained under greenhouse conditions (16-h day at 24 °C and 8-h night at 20 °C) until leaf samples were harvested.

**Fluorescence Imaging and Microscopy**—In assays aimed at identification of suppressor activity, visual detection of GFP fluorescence in agroinfiltrated leaf patches was performed using a fluorescence stereomicroscope (MZ61F Leica). Pictures were taken with a digital camera DFC300 FX Leica. In BiFC and subcellular localization assays, GFP, mRFP, or reconstituted sYFP fluorophores of tagged proteins were monitored in epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana*-infiltrated tissue at 72 h postinfiltration using a Leica TCS SL confocal microscope with an HCX PL APO ×40/1.25–0.75 oil CS objective. GFP and sYFP fluorescence was recorded by excitation with a 488-nm argon laser line with emission being collected through a band pass filter from 505 to 550 nm. In the case of mRFP, excitation was performed by means of a 543-nm green neon laser line, and fluorescence emission was collected at 610–630 nm.

**RNA Extraction, Virion Purification, and Northern Blot Analysis**—Total RNA was extracted from plant tissue with buffer-saturated phenol and then fractionated with 2 M LiCl (64). Virions were purified by pelleting through a sucrose cushion (65). For detection of GFP mRNA or PLPV RNAs, Northern blot analyses were performed as reported previously (50), using radioactive DNA probes corresponding to the GFP gene or to nt 3095–3883 of the PLPV genome, respectively. Virion preparations were resolved in non-denaturing agarose gels and subjected to Northern blot analysis with the PLPV probe. In order to check the presence of GFP-specific sRNAs, the RNA fraction soluble in 2 M LiCl was electrophoresed in denaturing polyacrylamide gels (20%), electrobotted to Hybond-XL membranes (GE Healthcare), and subjected to hybridization with a radioactive GFP-specific riboprobe (27). Hybridization signals were visualized by autoradiography or with a PhosphorImager (Fujifilm FLA-5100, GE Healthcare).

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)**—Preparation of crude protein extracts from agroinfiltrated tissue, labeling of synthetic double-stranded sRNAs or of long dsRNAs, binding reactions, and electrophoresis in polyacrylamide gels were performed as described earlier (27, 38). For generation of long dsRNAs, transcripts of opposite polarity produced with T7 and T3 RNA polymerase, respectively, from a Bluescript KS(+) -based plasmid with a 250-bp DNA insert were used. Detection of HA-tagged proteins was done through Western blot (66), using 10 µl of each protein extract and an anti-HA antibody (Roche Applied Science). Signals from EMSAs or Western blots were recorded by autoradiography or with a PhosphorImager (Fujifilm FLA-5100, GE Healthcare).

**RESULTS**

**PLPV p37 Efficiently Inhibits RNA Silencing**—To identify potential PLPV-encoded suppressor(s), we tested the ability of the distinct PLPV proteins to block the silencing of a GFP reporter gene transiently expressed from a binary T-DNA vector agroinfiltrated in *N. benthamiana*. This type of assay has been widely employed to recognize both plant and animal suppressors (55, 67). In the absence of a functional VSR, GFP expression from the Ti-plasmid is recognized as exogenous by the host and is silenced within 3 days postinfiltration (dpif). If the VSR is operative, the expression level of GFP is stabilized for a considerably larger period. Leaf patches infiltrated with the GFP plasmid in combination with an empty vector or with constructs allowing expression of PLPV replication (p27 and p87) or movement (p7 and p9.7) proteins showed high expression of GFP at 2 dpif (data not shown), which was almost completely silenced at 5 dpif according to observations of GFP fluorescence (Fig. 1B). In contrast, co-expression of GFP with PLPV p37 maintained high levels of fluorescence at 5 dpif and beyond, similar to that found with the tombusviral p19 that was used as a positive control of suppressor activity in parallel assays (Fig. 1B).

To confirm the visual observations, Northern blot analysis of RNA extracted from the infiltrated tissue was carried out with a GFP probe. The results corroborated that the fluorescence patterns reflected changes in the steady state levels of GFP mRNA. Similar GFP mRNA accumulation was detected at 2 dpif in the patches infiltrated with any of the plasmid combinations (data not shown). However, at 5 dpif, GFP mRNA accumulation was very low in patches expressing GFP alone or in combination with p27, p87, p7, and p9.7, whereas GFP mRNA levels in patches co-expressing GFP and p37 were high and comparable with those found with p19 (Fig. 1C, top). As expected from a silencing process, the reduced levels of GFP mRNA observed at 5 dpif in tissue expressing GFP either alone or together with any PLPV protein but p37 correlated with elevated levels of GFP sRNAs. Conversely, GFP sRNAs were hardly detected in the patches co-expressing GFP and p37 or p19 (Fig. 1C, bottom). Collectively, the results allowed identification of p37 as the VSR encoded by PLPV.

**Mutations in a GW or an Arginine-rich Motif at the N Terminus of p37 Abolish the Suppressor Function of the Protein**—As reported in distinct studies, one or few point mutations may severely impair the suppressor function of a VSR (27, 46, 68). In order to obtain suppressor-competent and -incompetent forms of p37 that would allow us to investigate properties of the protein critical for its role as VSR, several mutant versions were generated, and their ability to inhibit RNA silencing was subsequently evaluated. One of the mutants harbored a Trp to Ala change that affected a GW motif present at the N terminus of p37 (Fig. 2A). Such a motif is conserved in the homologous proteins of pelarspoviruses and of several carmoviruses (Fig. 2B, inset), and its relevance for the suppressor function of TCV p38 (which possesses another relevant, non-conserved GW motif at its C terminus) has been recently highlighted (46). Three additional mutant proteins bearing Ala replacements in basic residues at the N terminus were also engineered: mutant p37–2R15, mutant p37–K46, and mutant p37–3R78 (Fig. 2A). Co-expression of the mutant proteins with GFP in transient assays revealed that p37–2R15 and p37–K46 maintained a suppressor activity comparable with that of the WT protein, whereas such activity was abolished by the Ala replacements present in p37–2R15 and p37–3R78 (Fig. 2B). These results revealed a pivotal role of the N-terminal GW motif and of an arginine-rich motif for the silencing suppressor function of p37.
Uncoupling of the VSR and the Encapsidation Functions of p37 Reveals That Both Are Required for Effective Systemic Spread of the Virus—
In order to test whether abolishing the suppression function had effects on PLPV infectivity, the above p37 mutations were introduced into the viral genome context. *N. benthamiana* plants were inoculated mechanically using transcripts derived from pUC18-based (WT and mutant) PLPV constructs. Local and systemic leaves were harvested at 7 and 30 days postinoculation, respectively. Northern blot analysis revealed the presence of viral RNAs in local samples of plants inoculated with WT PLPV and with PLPV mutants p37–2R15 and p37–K26, whereas the accumulation level of such RNAs in samples from plants inoculated with PLPV mutants p37–2R15 and p37–3R67 was negligible (Fig. 3A, left). Equivalent results were obtained when *N. benthamiana* plants were virus-inoculated through infiltration of *A. tumefaciens* strains carrying the corresponding pMOG800-based (WT and mutant) full-length PLPV constructs (data not shown). These results indicated that the suppressor function is critical for viral infectivity. In accordance with the lack of local infection, the suppressor-deficient PLPV mutants p37–2R15 and p37–3R67 were not detected in systemic leaves (Fig. 3A, right). Remarkably, whereas the suppressor-competent virus PLPV p37–K26 became systemic, the likewise suppressor-competent PLPV p37–2R15 did not. This suggested that p37 amino acid replacements in the latter mutant affected protein function(s) other than RNA silencing suppression that could be crucial for systemic viral dispersion. One possibility was that the encapsidation function was impaired in mutant p37–2R15 because particle assembly has been reported to be required and dispensable, respectively, for the systemic and local spread of distinct Tombusviridae in different hosts (69, 70). To test this possibility, an attempt to purify viral particles from all of the assayed mutants was done. To this end, *N. benthamiana* leaves agroinoculated with the distinct PLPV constructs were harvested at 3 days postinoculation. We reasoned that, at this early time, the triggering of RNA silencing would be just getting started, and, thus, suppressor-deficient mutants could still accumulate at detectable levels. Northern blot hybridization confirmed this assumption (Fig. 3B, left), and the infected tissues were employed for virion purification. Viral particle preparations could be readily obtained from tissue infected with the WT virus, PLPV p37–K26 (suppressor-competent and able to systemically infect), or PLPV p37–2R15 (suppressor-competent and unable to systemically infect), whereas attempts to purify viral particles from plant tissue infected with mutant PLPV p37–2R15 (suppressor-competent and unable to systemically infect) or p37–3R67 (suppressor-incompetent and unable to systemically infect) were unsuccessful (Fig. 3B, right). Altogether, the results indicated that the p37 VSR function is required but not sufficient for effective PLPV systemic infection and that the encapsidation function must be also preserved. In addition, we cannot discard the possibility that particle formation, although not strictly required for local infection, somehow promotes stability and/or cell to cell movement of the virus because the accumulation level of PLPV mutant p37–2R15 in inoculated leaves was usually lower than that observed for WT PLPV or for mutant p37–K26 (Fig. 3A, left). It should also be mentioned that local and systemic infections established by PLPV p37–K26 were generally delayed with respect to that of the WT virus (compare accumulation levels of mutant p37–K26 versus WT in Fig. 3A), suggesting that the engineered mutation has slight detrimental effects on virus fitness.

PLPV p37 Is Able to Bind sRNAs and This Ability Correlates with Its Suppressor Function—
As indicated in the Introduction, the ability to bind sRNA duplexes seems to be crucial for the function of distinct VSRs (33, 37, 38, 40, 71). To assess whether
PLPV p37 shares this ability, first, the WT and mutant versions of the protein were fused to an HA tag and transiently co-expressed with GFP in N. benthamiana leaves. The HA-tagged proteins exhibited exactly the same behavior as the unfused partners in these suppressor assays: the WT and mutant proteins p37–2R15 and p37-K26 showed equivalent, high suppressor activity, whereas mutants p37-W28 and p37–3R67 were incapable of preventing silencing (data not shown). Next, N. benthamiana leaves were separately agroinfiltrated with the constructs of the HA-tagged proteins to prepare crude protein extracts that, at 3 dpif, showed comparable accumulation levels of the corresponding p37 molecules (Fig. 4A), indicating that the engineered mutations did not affect protein stability. These crude extracts were employed to perform EMSAs with sRNAs. A shift in sRNA mobility was observed when extracts from leaves expressing HA-tagged p37 WT were used (Fig. 4B), indicating that the protein is able to bind sRNAs. Interestingly, extracts containing p37–2R15 and p37–K26, both functional in silencing suppression, also showed sRNA binding activity, whereas those containing p37-W28 and p37–3R67, which had no detectable suppressor function, failed to form complexes with sRNAs (Fig. 4B). Subtle differences in the mobility of the protein-sRNA complexes were detected among suppressor-competent p37 variants, suggesting small conformational alterations probably caused by the introduced mutations.

Some VSRs have been reported to bind dsRNAs irrespective of their size (5, 38–40). Such VSRs may compromise DCL-mediated processing of long dsRNAs (38). To further explore dsRNA binding capabilities of PLPV p37, we thus tested whether this protein was also able to bind large dsRNAs. In contrast with the results with double-stranded sRNAs (Fig. 4B), no shift in long dsRNA mobility was observed when p37 WT extracts were used (Fig. 4C). Indeed, the outcome of such an EMSA was identical to that observed with extracts from tissue agroinfiltrated with an empty vector (negative control), whereas a clear shift was detected when extracts from tissue

**FIGURE 2. Effect of amino acid replacements in PLPV p37.** A, scheme of PLPV p37 mutants. The amino acid sequence of the N terminus of WT p37 is shown at the top, and the amino acid substitutions introduced in each mutant are specified below. Dashes indicate identical residues. Inset, partial alignment of PLPV p37 with homologous proteins of pelarspoviruses (green) and carmoviruses (blue) to illustrate conservation of the N-terminal GW motif. Amino acid residues typically found surrounding GW motifs of AGO-binding proteins (Gly, Trp, Ser, Thr, and charged amino acids, prominently Asp, Lys, and Glu) have been boxed in the context of GW motifs of PLPV and TCV proteins. PelRSV, Pelargonium ringspot virus; PCRPV, Pelargonium chlorotic ringspot virus; ELV, elderberry latent virus; RLDV, Rosa rugosa leaf distortion virus; CarMV, carnation mottle virus; PFBV, Pelargonium flower break virus; CCFV, cardamine chlorotic fleck virus; SCV, saguaro cactus virus; HCRSV, hibiscus chlorotic ringspot virus; JINRV, Japanese iris necrotic ring virus. B, evaluation of suppressor activity of p37 molecules. N. benthamiana plants were agroinfiltrated with constructs for expression of GFP either alone (Ø) or in combination with WT (positive control) or mutated p37 forms. GFP fluorescence and Northern blot hybridization for detection of the GFP mRNA or derived sRNAs at 5 dpif are shown at the left and right, respectively. Ethidium bromide staining of RNA is shown as a loading control in the Northern blot assay.
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expressing TCV p38, a VSR that is able to bind dsRNAs in a size-independent manner (38), were employed (Fig. 4C). To rule out the possibility that the in vitro conditions for dsRNA binding could substantially differ from those found in vivo, we tested whether PLPV p37 was able to prevent sRNA generation from hairpin transcripts transiently expressed in plants. To this end, N. benthamiana leaves were agroinfiltrated with GFP-IR and systemic tissue (30 days postinoculation (d.p.i.)) of N. benthamiana plants mechanically inoculated with WT and mutant PLPV transcripts. B, detection of PLPV by Northern blot hybridization in total RNAs from local (7 dplf) and systemic tissue (30 days postinoculation (d.p.i.) of N. benthamiana plants mechanichally inoculated with WT and mutant PLPV transcripts. B, detection of PLPV by Northern blot hybridization in total leaves of N. benthamiana plants agroinoculated with WT and mutant constructs and collected at 3 dplf. Detection was performed on total RNA extracts (left) or on virion preparations (right). Virus titers, estimated from the autoradiogram on the left, were considered to normalize the loading of the virion preparations. In all panels, the positions of the genomic (g) and subgenomic (sg) PLPV RNAs are indicated at the left. Ethidium bromide staining of RNA was used as a loading control of total RNA samples.

FIGURE 3. Evaluation of infectivity and virion formation of PLPV mutants. A, detection of PLPV by Northern blot hybridization in total RNAs from local (7 dplf) and systemic tissue (30 days postinoculation (d.p.i.)) of N. benthamiana plants mechanically inoculated with WT and mutant PLPV transcripts. B, detection of PLPV by Northern blot hybridization in total leaves of N. benthamiana plants agroinoculated with WT and mutant constructs and collected at 3 dplf. Detection was performed on total RNA extracts (left) or on virion preparations (right). Virus titers, estimated from the autoradiogram on the left, were considered to normalize the loading of the virion preparations. In all panels, the positions of the genomic (g) and subgenomic (sg) PLPV RNAs are indicated at the left. Ethidium bromide staining of RNA was used as a loading control of total RNA samples.

dimerization, specific subcellular localization, or interaction with AGO proteins, have been proposed to be important in some instances (34, 42, 46, 47, 73–75). Thus, experiments were conducted to assess the potential relevance of the latter features in p37 suppressor function.

To investigate the subcellular localization of p37 in plant cells, this PLPV product was tagged at its N or C terminus with GFP and transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves via agroinfiltration. The green fluorescence derived from the p37 WT:GFP (or GFP:p37 WT; data not shown) fusion was evenly distributed through the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Fig. 5, A panels). Within the latter organelle, a central region showed a bright fluorescence, which corresponded to the nucleolus, as confirmed by the use of an mRFP-tagged fibrillarin as nucleolar marker (Fig. 5, A2–A4). The distribution pattern of p37 tagged with GFP differed from that of an unfused GFP, used as control, which showed the typical cytoplasmic and nuclear distribution but was excluded from the nucleolus (Fig. 5, F panels). The same approach was employed to analyze the subcellular localization of p37 mutants. Intracellular distribution of mutants p37–K26 and p37–3R15 was essentially identical to that of the WT protein (Fig. 5, D and E panels), whereas mutants p37-W28 and p37–2R15 maintained the cytoplasmic and nuclear localization but had lost the nucleolar one (Fig. 5, B and C panels). These results indicated that targeting of PLPV p37 to the nucleolus is apparently dispensable for its suppressor function, because mutant p37–2R15, which is capable of inhibiting RNA silencing, does not retain nucleolar localization.

Next, the potential of p37 to self-interact was analyzed through BiFC assays. To this end, constructs allowing transient expression of p37 fused to the N- or C-terminal part of the sYFP protein were generated. Co-expression of the two resulting fusion proteins, sYFP-n-p37 WT and sYFPc-p37 WT, in N. benthamiana leaves gave rise to clear YFP-derived fluorescence within the plant cells, indicating reconstitution of the YFP and, thus, demonstrating that p37 is able to dimerize (Fig. 6, A panels). The same approach was employed to evaluate the dimerization capability of p37 mutants, and the results showed that, like the WT protein, all of them were able to interact with themselves (Fig. 6, B–E). For most p37 molecules, the distribution of fluorescence in these assays was essentially identical to that displayed by the corresponding GFP-tagged protein, the p37–K26 self-interaction being the only exception, with no nucleolar signal. Control experiments in which the distinct fusion proteins were co-expressed with unfused sYFP halves (e.g. in Fig. 6F) did not yield significant fluorescence signals, substantiating the reliability of the fluorescence observations.

BiFC assays were also used to assess potential interactions of p37 with some AGO proteins, particularlyAGO1 and AGO4, two RISC components whose interaction with other VSRs has been reported previously (42, 46, 62, 76). Co-expression of sYFP-n-p37 WT and sYFPc-AGO1 (Fig. 7A) or the reverse combination sYFPc-p37 WT and sYFPn-AGO1 (data not shown) led to equivalent results and supported interaction of the two assayed proteins, with the resulting reconstituted fluorescence being detected through the cytoplasm and nucleus of the agroinfiltrated N. benthamiana cells. Similarly, co-expression of sYFP-n-p37 WT and sYFPc-AGO4 (or sYFPc-p37 WT
and sYFPN-AGO4; data not shown) indicated that p37 is also able to associate with AGO4 (Fig. 7G), although, in this case, fluorescence was mainly detected in the cell nucleus, suggesting that the interaction essentially takes place in this cellular organelle. Next, we investigated whether p37 mutants maintained the capability of interacting with AGO1 and AGO4 as the WT protein. The results of the corresponding BiFC assays showed that all mutants were able to interact with both AGO1 (Fig. 7, B–E) and AGO4 (Fig. 7, H–K), although the intensity of the restored fluorescence was considerably lower in the case of mutant p37-W28 and AGO1 (Fig. 7B). No fluorescence was observed in control experiments in which the distinct YFPC-tagged proteins were co-expressed with unfused YFPN or vice versa (e.g. in Fig. 7, F and L), thus supporting that the fluorescence signals detected corresponded to true interactions.

Collectively, the results of the BiFC assays showed, on one hand, that p37 is able to self-associate. However, such a property is not sufficient by itself to sustain the suppressor function because dimerization of mutants p37-W28 and p37–3R67 was detected despite the fact that these p37 molecules do not block RNA silencing. On the other hand, the obtained data indicated that p37 can interact with AGO1 and AGO4 proteins. Nevertheless, no clear correlation among this capability and the silencing inhibition function of the protein could be established because the observed interactions were fully (p37–3R67 with any AGO) or partially (p37-W28 with AGO1) preserved in suppressor-incompetent p37 molecules.

**DISCUSSION**

Distinct genera in the family Tombusviridae use different proteins as VSRs. In this study, the existence of suppressor(s) of RNA silencing encoded by PLPV, a member of the proposed new genus *Pelarspovirus*, has been investigated. As reported for several species of the related genus *Carmovirus* (27, 53, 54, 77), none of the PLPV non-structural proteins exhibited suppressor activity in co-infiltration assays of *N. benthamiana* plants.
whereas p37, the viral CP, strongly inhibited RNA silencing of a GFP reporter gene.

The available information on VSRs indicates that such viral products may inhibit one or more of the silencing machinery actors, although the primary or most relevant target of a given VSR is often unclear. Distinct analyses performed with engineered p37 variants have allowed the establishment of a direct correlation among the ability of the protein to bind sRNAs and its competence to inhibit RNA silencing (summary in Table 2), suggesting that, as proposed for other VSRs (33, 34, 37, 38, 78),
sequestering of sRNAs is a hallmark feature of the p37 suppressor function. This functional feature most likely precludes slicing of target RNAs by preventing sRNA loading into RISC. In addition, it may hinder amplification of the silencing signal, as suggested by the negligible detection of sRNAs in samples in which sense RNA-triggered RNA silencing was inhibited by suppressor-competent p37 molecules (as by p19) (Fig. 2). The contribution of other properties, such as nucleolar localization, dimerization, or interaction with AGOs, to the suppressor activity of p37 might be disparate (Table 2). Sorting to the nucleolus has been considered as a relevant trait in some VSRs (62, 73, 74), but this supposition has become questionable after

---

**FIGURE 6. In vivo analysis of p37 self-interaction.** WT (A) and mutant p37 molecules (B) were tagged at their N terminus with YFP halves (sYFPN and sYFPC) and transiently co-expressed in N. benthamiana leaves to study self-interaction through a BiFC assay. The tombusviral p19 was also included in these co-infiltration experiments to compensate for the lack of suppressor activity of some p37 molecules. Confocal laser-scanning microscopy was used for the observation of fluorescence at 3 dpi. For each protein combination, the micrograph at the left shows a general view of YFP-derived fluorescence in epidermal cells (inset scale bar, 20 μm), and the micrograph at the right shows a close-up view of individual cells (inset scale bar, 8 μm) with the nucleus (N) marked by an arrow. A negative control combination (sYFPN-p37 WT + sYFPC) is displayed in F.

**FIGURE 7. In vivo analysis of p37 interaction with AGO proteins.** WT and mutant p37 molecules and Argonaute proteins (AGO1 and AGO4) were tagged at their N terminus with YFP halves (sYFPN and sYFPC) and transiently co-expressed in N. benthamiana leaves to study protein-protein interactions through a BiFC assay. Confocal laser-scanning microscopy was used for the observation of fluorescence at 3 dpi. The top and bottom micrographs show a general view of YFP-derived fluorescence in epidermal cells expressing sYFPN-AGO1 and sYFPN-AGO4, respectively, in combination with sYFPC-tagged p37 molecules. Equivalent images were obtained with reverse combinations (sYFPC-AGO1 or sYFPC-AGO4 co-expressed with sYFPN-tagged p37 molecules) (data not shown). A negative control combination is displayed in the top (sYFPC-AGO1 + sYFPN) and bottom (sYFPC-AGO4 + sYFPN) raw. Inset scale bar, 20 μm in all panels.
Main Relevance of sRNA Binding in a GW Motif-containing VSR

TABLE 2
Properties of p37 variants

| p37 variant | RNA silencing suppression | dsRNA binding | Interaction with | Encapsidations |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
|             |                           | Long         | Dimerization    |                |
|             |                           | sRNAs        | AGO1 AGO4       |                |
|             |                           | dsRNA        |                 |                |
|             |                           | Nucleolar localization |                |                |
| p37 WT      | +                         | +            | +               | +              |
| p37-W28    | -                         | -            | -               | -              |
| p37–2R15   | +                         | +            | +               | +              |
| p37–3R67   | +                         | +            | +               | +              |
| p37–3R67   | +                         | +            | +               | +              |
| p37–2R15   | -                         | -            | -               | -              |
| p37–3R67   | -                         | -            | -               | -              |

* NA, not applicable.

broader evaluations (78–80). The observation that p37, besides being targeted to the cytoplasm and nucleus, is targeted to the nucleolus was unexpected. Programs for subcellular localization prediction did not recognize any conventional nucleolar localization signal (or even nuclear localization signal) in the p37 molecule (data not shown), and, to our best knowledge, nucleolar localization has not been reported previously for any p37 ortholog. In any case, such subcellular localization seems to be dispensable for suppressor activity, as deduced from results with mutant p37–2R15 that is able to inhibit RNA silencing despite being excluded from the nucleolus. On the other hand, dimerization has been shown to be a requirement for distinct VSRs, including the well characterized tombusviral p19 (33, 39, 71, 75, 81). Although we have shown here that PLPV p37 is able to interact with itself, all of the assayed protein mutants retained this capacity, and, thus, we could not critically assess whether this property is a prerequisite for the suppressor function. The relevance of dimer formation for the VSR role of some p37 relatives has been anticipated (27, 46), although, as for p37, formal demonstration has yet to be achieved. Finally, we cannot rule out the possibility that the detected interactions of p37 with AGO1 and AGO4 contribute to its suppressor function. However, such interactions by themselves seem to be insufficient to sustain the VSR activity of p37 because the interaction with AGO4 was maintained by all the assayed p37 variants, and the interaction with AGO1, although notably diminished regarding p37–W28, was retained at WT levels in the case of the loss-of-function form p37–3R67. The great importance of the sRNA binding capability over other protein properties is in agreement with recent reports on other VSRs, such as cucumber mosaic virus 2b, which, like p37, exhibits nucleolar localization, self-interaction, and interaction with AGO1 and AGO4 proteins (42, 62, 75, 78, 82).

Distinct studies with variant experimental systems led to different models for the mechanism of silencing suppression of TCV p38, a VSR structurally and phylogenetically related to PLPV p37. For instance, TCV p38 has been postulated to exert its action through binding and sequestering of sRNAs (38), inhibition of sRNA methylation (83), interference with DCL4 (16, 38), or induction of stress and defense pathways (84). In a recent study, the N-terminal and C-terminal GW motifs of TCV p38 have been implicated in interaction with AGO1 which, in turn, has been proposed to dictate the suppressor activity of the protein (46). Mutation of the unique, conserved N-terminal GW motif of PLPV p37 notably reduced (suggesting that it is a bona fide GW protein) but did not completely abolish its interaction with AGO1 despite the fact that the engineered amino acid replacement was equivalent to one reported to abrogate TCV p38-AGO1 interaction. These discrepancies probably reflect distinct characteristics of the corresponding proteins. Nevertheless, they could also be due to the fact that the interaction of TCV p38 with AGO1 was tested in vitro using an AGO1 antibody to direct immunoprecipitation of peptides containing either of the two GW motifs of the VSR, whereas we have used an in vivo, BiFC assay with the entire PLPV p37 protein. Although both approaches have limitations, the former one might be more prone to inaccuracies because it obviates the influence of the protein conformation and protein compartmentalization in the tested molecular interaction. In any case, the dsRNA binding capability of TCV p38 molecules bearing mutations in the GW motifs was not analyzed, and an effect of the mutations on this capacity of the protein, similar to that observed for PLPV p37, could not be discarded. Indeed, we have performed EMSAs with the TCV p38-GW mutant forms and have corroborated that such mutants have lost the ability to bind to both small and long dsRNAs (Fig. 8). The lack of sRNA binding capability could actually provide an alternative or complementary explanation to the recovery of infectivity of TCV carrying p38-GW mutations in dcl2–dcl4 plants (46). The knockdown of the two main anti-TCV DCLs (16) in these plants precludes the generation of virus-derived sRNAs (vsRNAs), and, thus, hijacking of vsRNAs by the VSR to prevent viral RNA degradation would be dispensable. Similarly, the TCV p38-GW mutants could show infection recovery in ago1–27 plants (46) because the absence of AGO1 effector protein would make the vsRNAs useless for antiviral defense. Whether the loss of sRNA binding capability provoked by mutation of GW motif(s) contributes, to any extent, to impairment of the suppressor function of p38 has yet to be explored.

In the context of PLPV infection, the need to counteract RNA silencing for effective viral multiplication and dispersion was underlined by viruses bearing mutations that abolished the p37 suppressor activity not being viable. Remarkably, some of the engineered mutations successfully uncoupled the VSR function of p37 from its particle assembly function, and such genetic uncoupling has allowed us to find out that both are strictly required for PLPV systemic spread in N. benthamiana. The requirement of assembled virions for long distance movement seems to be variable in distinct Tombusviridae and hosts (69, 85–90). A recent report on the TCV-A. thaliana pathosystem has demonstrated the key importance of virus particles for egress of the TCV genome from the vasculature of systemic leaves (70). Although this question has not been specifically tackled in this work, a similar scenario seems likely for PLPV in N. benthamiana.
The expression of VSRs during viral infections often results in severe damage of the host. Indeed, many VSRs were initially identified as viral pathogenicity determinants (55, 91). The molecular bases of such an effect might be diverse and seem mostly to be related with viral accumulation enhancement and/or interference with silencing pathways affecting regulation of host gene expression (55, 92, 93). Strikingly, PLPV usually causes asymptomatic infections in their natural hosts (Pelargonium spp.) as well as in experimental hosts, such as N. benthamiana or Nicotiana clevelandii (58, 94). Moreover, PLPV titers are considerably low in infected tissue (65), which could suggest that either the virus lacks an efficient VSR or the expression/activity of such a VSR is modulated during infection. We have shown that PLPV p37 behaves as a strong VSR, at least in transient expression assays. Thus, it seems more plausible that the virus has evolved strategies to minimize the impact that its infection may have on the host because such an impact may adversely affect virus reproduction and/or transmission. One of these strategies may be related to the production of a single, tricistronic sgRNA for the expression of internal and 3’-proximal genes. As we have previously reported (51), the translation of p7, p9.7, and p37 proteins from the sole PLPV sgRNA is accomplished through leaky scanning processes that are facilitated by specific genomic traits (weak context of the AUG initiation codon of the MP1 gene, non-AUG initiation codon of the MP2 gene, and lack of AUG codons in any frame between the initiation codons and the MP1 and CP gene). However, the expression of the 3’-terminal gene, which encodes p37, is probably more inefficient from the tricistronic mRNA than, for instance, the monocistronic sgRNA that typically directs translation of CP in carmoviruses. Down-regulation of p37 expression through this mechanism might contribute to alleviate potential side effects caused by the VSR on host expression.

In conclusion, we have shown here that the PLPV p37 protein inhibits RNA silencing and that this GW motif-containing VSR probably exerts its action mainly through sequestration of sRNAs. The obtained data also caution against potential misinterpretations of results due to overlap of sequence signals related to distinct protein properties. This is well illustrated by the GW motif mutation in p37 that concurrently affects its nucleolar localization, its efficient interaction with AGO1, and its sRNA binding capability. These concomitant effects could have been overlooked in other GW motif-containing suppressors, as we have exemplified with the orthologous p38 protein of TCV. Some other interesting questions derived from the results remain to be investigated. One of them refers to the biological meaning of the nuclear/nucleolar localization of p37, a protein whose known functions (RNA silencing suppression and encapsidation) are expected to be executed essentially in the cellular cytosol. None of these functions is apparently affected by defective nucleolar localization, as indicated by the

FIGURE 8. Analysis of the ability of TCV p38 to bind dsRNAs. N. benthamiana leaves were agroinfiltrated with an empty vector (negative control) or with constructs for the expression of TCV p38 either in its WT version or with mutations in the N-terminal (p38-W26) or C-terminal (p38-W283) GW motif, as described previously (46). The agroinfiltrated tissue was collected at 3 dpif to prepare crude protein extracts. A, EMSA performed using 32P-labeled synthetic 21-nt sRNA (19-nt duplex region and 2-nt 3’ overhangs) and no extract (Ø) or extracts from leaves agroinfiltrated with an empty vector (–) or expressing TCV p38 variants. The positions of free sRNAs and of p38-sRNAs complexes are indicated on the right. B, EMSA performed with a 32P-labeled long (250 bp) dsRNA and extracts from leaves agroinfiltrated with an empty vector (–) or expressing TCV p38 variants. C, Northern blot analyses to evaluate the effect of TCV p38 molecules on the accumulation of hairpin transcripts and hairpin-derived sRNAs. N. benthamiana leaves were agroinfiltrated with GFP-IR (–) or with GFP-IR together with WT and mutant TCV p38 forms. Samples were taken at 4 dpif.
suppressor-competent, virion assembly-competent mutant p37–W28 or the suppressor-competent, virion assembly-competent mutant p37–2R15. Such subcellular targeting could be related to still uncovered functions of p37. On the other hand, we have found that distinct p37 variants exhibit similar interactions with AGO1 or AGO4, irrespective of their suppressor capability. This observation raises doubts about the significance of such interactions for the suppressor function of p37, although the involvement of other AGO proteins (not assayed in the present study) in anti-PLPV defense cannot be ruled out. Further studies are being designed in an attempt to shed new light on these outstanding issues.

Acknowledgments—We thank Tomas Canto (CIB, CSIC, Spain) for the kind gift of pROK2-based plasmids for BiFC. We are also indebted to Steven A. Lommel (North Carolina State University) for providing a binary construct for expression of TCV p38.

REFERENCES

1. Baulcombe, D. (2005) RNA silencing. Trends Biochem. Sci. 30, 290–293
2. Pickford A. S., and Cogoni C. (2003) RNA-mediated gene silencing. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 60, 871–882
3. Bivalent-Mehla, S., Vakharia, J., Mehla, R., Abreha, M., Kanwar, I. R., Tikoo, A., Chauhan, A. (2011) Viral RNA silencing suppressors (RSS): novel strategy of viruses to ablate the host RNA interference (RNAi) defense system. Virus Res. 155, 1–9
4. Ding, S. W., and Voinnet, O. (2007) Antiviral immunity directed by small RNAs. Cell 130, 413–426
5. Lu, R., Maduro, M., Li, F., Li, H. W., Broitman-Maduro, G., Li, W. X., and Ding, S. W. (2005) Animal virus replication and RNAi mediated antiviral silencing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 436, 1040–1043
6. Segers, G. C., Zhang, X., Deng, F., Sun, Q., Nuss, D. L. (2007) Evidence that RNA silencing functions as an antiviral defense mechanism in fungi. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 12902–12906
7. Wang, X. H., Aiyari, R., Li, W. X., Li, W. H., Kim, C., Carthew, R., Atkins, P., and Ding, S. W. (2006) RNA interference directs innate immunity against viruses in adult Drosophila. Science 312, 452–454
8. Wu, Q., Wang, X., and Ding, S. W. (2010) Viral suppressors of RNA-based viral immunity: host targets. Cell Host Microbe 8, 12–15
9. Ding, S. W. (2010) RNA based antiviral immunity. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 10, 632–644
10. Baumberger, N., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2005) Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE1 is an RNA slicer that selectively recruits microRNAs and short interfering RNAs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 11928–11933
11. Qi Y., Denli A. M., and Hannon G. J. (2005) Biochemical specialization of the Argonaute protein family. Plant Cell 17, 350–358
12. Ghildiyal, M., and Zamore, P. D. (2009) Small silencing RNAs: an expanding universe. Nat. Rev. Genet. 10, 94–108
13. Liu, C., Wei, Q., and Paterson, B. M. (2001) RNAi as random degradative PCR: siRNA primers convert mRNA into dsRNAs that are degraded to generate new siRNAs. Cell 107, 297–307
14. Moissgärd, G., Parizotto, E. A., Himber, C., and Voinnet, O. (2007) Transitivity in Arabidopsis can be primed, requires the redundant action of the antiviral Dicer-like 4 and Dicer-like 2, and is compromised by viral-encoded suppressor proteins. RNA 13, 1268–1278
15. Deleris, A., Gallego-Bartolome, J., Bao, J., Kasschau, K. D., Carrington, J. C., and Voinnet, O. (2006) Hierarchical action and inhibition of plant Dicer-like proteins in antiviral defense. Science 313, 68–71
16. García-Ruiz, H., Takeda, A., Chapman, E. J., Sullivan, C. M., Fahlgren, N., Brempelis, K. J., and Carrington, J. C. (2010) Arabidopsis RNA-dependent RNA polymerases and dicer-like proteins in antiviral defense and small interfering RNA biogenesis during Turnip mosaic virus infection. Plant Cell 22, 481–496
17. Harvey, J. J., Lewsey, M. G., Patel, K., Westwood, J., Heimstädt, S., Carr, J. P., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2011) An antiviral defense role of AGO2 in plants. PLoS One 6, e14639
18. Jau bert, M., Bhattacharjee, S., Mello, A. F., Perry, K. L., and Moffett, P. (2011) ARGONAUTE2 mediates RNA silencing antiviral defenses against Potato virus X in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 156, 1556–1564
19. Qu, F., Xe, Y., and Morris, T. J. (2008) Arabidopsis DRB4, AGO1, AGO7, and RDR6 participate in a DCL4-initiated antiviral RNA silencing pathway negatively regulated by DCL1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105, 14732–14737
20. Scholthof, H. B., Alvarado, V. Y., Vare-Arreguin, J. C., Ciomperlik, J., Odokonyero, D., Brousseau, C., Jau bert, M., Zamora, A., and Moffett, P. (2011) Identification of an ARGONAUTE for antiviral RNA silencing in Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant Physiol. 156, 1548–1555
21. Wang, X. B., Jovel, J., Udomporn, P., Wang, Y., Wu, Q., Li, W. X., Gasciolli, V., Voinnet, H., and Ding, S. W. (2011) The 21-nucleotide, but not 22-nucleotide, viral secondary small interfering RNAs direct potent antiviral defense by two cooperative Argonautes in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 23, 1625–1638
22. Li, F., and Ding, S. W. (2006) Virus counterdefense: diverse strategies for evading the RNA-silencing immunity. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 60, 503–531
23. Alvarado, V., and Scholthof, H. B. (2009) Plant responses against invasive nucleic acids: RNA silencing and its suppression by plant viral pathogens. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 20, 1032–1040
24. Wu, Q., Wang, X., and Ding, S. W. (2010) RNA silencing suppression caused by triple gene block protein 1 (TGBp1) from various potyviruses during infection. J. Gen. Virol. 90, 1014–1024
25. Cuellar, W. J., Taira, F., Kreuze, J. F., and Valkonen, J. T. P. (2009) Analysis of gene content in sweet potato chlorotic stunt virus RNA1 reveals the presence of the p22 RNA silencing suppressor in only a few isolates: implications for viral evolution and synergism. J. Gen. Virol. 89, 573–582
26. Marques, N. T., Costa, A. A., Lopes, D., Silva, G, and Nolasco, G. (2012) Comparing p20's RNA silencing suppressing activity among five phylogenetic groups of Citrus tristeza virus. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 10.1007/s10658-011-9877-0
27. Martinez-Turiño, S., and Hernández, C. (2009) Inhibition of RNA silencing by the coat protein of Pelargonium flower break virus: distinctions from closely related suppressors. J. Gen. Virol. 90, 519–525
28. Senshu, H., Ozeki, J., Komatsu, K., Hashimoto, M., Hatada, K., Aoyama, M., Kagiwada, S., Yamaji Y., and Namba, S. (2009) Variability in the level of RNA silencing suppression caused by triple gene block protein 1 (TGBp1) from various potyviruses during infection. J. Gen. Virol. 90, 1014–1024
29. Hemmes, H., Lakatos, L., Goldbach, R., Burgján, Y., and Hall, T. M. (2003) Size selective recognition of sRNA by an RNA silencing suppressor. Cell 15, 799–811
30. Ye, K., Malinina, L., and Patzel, D. J. (2003) Recognition of small interfering RNA by a viral suppressor of RNA silencing. Nature 426, 874–878
31. Csorba, T., Bovi, A., Dalmay, T., and Burgján, J. (2007) The p12 subunit of Tobacco mosaic virus replicase is a poten silencing suppressor and compromises both small interfering RNA- and microRNA-mediated pathways. J. Virol. 81, 11768–11780
32. Hemmes, H., Lakatos, L., Goldbach, R., Burgján, Y., and Prins, M. (2007) The N53 protein of Rice hoja blanca tenuivirus suppresses RNA silencing in plant and insect hosts by efficiently binding both siRNAs and miRNAs. RNA 13, 1079–1089
33. Lakatos, L., Csorba, T., Pantaleo, V., Chapman, E. J., Carrington, J. C., Liu, Y. P., Dolja, V. V., Calvino, L. F., López-Moya, J. J., and Burgján, J. (2006) Small RNA binding is a common strategy to suppress RNA silencing by several viral suppressors. EMBO J. 25, 2768–2780
53. Qu, F., Ren, T., and Morris, T. J. (2003) The coat protein of
52. Kinard, G. R., and Jordan, R. (2002) Genome organization of
50. Castaño, A., and Hernández, C. (2005) Complete nucleotide sequence and
51. Castaño, A., Ruiz, L., and Hernández, C. (2009) Insights into the transla-
55. Voinnet, O., Pinto, Y. M., and Baulcombe, D. C. (1999) Suppression of
57. Powers, J. G., Sit, T. L., Heinsohn, C., George, C. G., Kim, K. H., and
56. Takeda, A., Tsukuda, M., Mizumoto, H., Okamoto, K., Kaido, M., Mise, K.,
54. Baumberger, N., Tsai, C. H., Lie, M., Havecker, E., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2007) The polerovirus silencing suppressor P0 targets ARGAONANTE proteins for degradation. Curr. Biol. 17, 1609–1614
50. Castaño, A., Kerényi, Z., Kertész, S., Magna, M., Lakatos, L., and Silhavy, D. (2006) Double-stranded RNA binding may be a general plant RNA viral strategy to suppress RNA silencing. J. Virol. 80, 5747–5756
49. Chao, J. A., Lee, I. H., Chapados, B. R., Debler, E. W., Schneemann, A., and Williamson, J. R. (2005) Dual modes of RNA-silencing suppression by Flock house virus protein B2. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 12, 952–957
47. Mérié, Z., Kerényi, Z., Molnár, A., Barta, E., Válcózsi, A., Bisztray, G., Have-
46. Mérié, Z., Kerényi, Z., Molnár, A., Barta, E., Válcózsi, A., Bisztray, G., Have-
45. Mérié, Z., Kerényi, Z., Molnár, A., Barta, E., Válcózsi, A., Bisztray, G., Have-
44. Baumberger, N., Tsai, C. H., Lie, M., Havecker, E., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2007) The polerovirus silencing suppressor P0 targets ARGAONANTE proteins for degradation. Curr. Biol. 17, 1609–1614
43. Bertolamioli, D., Pazhouhmandeh, M., Marroccho, K., Genschik, P., and Ziegler-Graff, V. (2007) The polerovirus F box protein P0 targets ARGAONANTE1 to suppress RNA silencing. Curr. Biol. 17, 1615–1621
42. Zhang, X., Yuan, Y. R., Pei, Y., Lin, S. S., Tuschl, T., Patel, D. J., and Chua, N. H. (2006) Cucumber mosaic virus-encoded 2b suppressor inhibits Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE 1 cleavage activity to counter plant defense. Genes Dev. 20, 3255–3260
41. Haas, G., Azevedo, J., Moissiardi, G., Geldreich, A., Himber, C., Bureau, M., Fukuhara, T., Keller, M., and Voinnet, O. (2008) Nuclear import of CaMV P6 is required for infection and suppression of the RNA silencing factor DRB4. EMBO J. 27, 2102–2112
40. Castaño, A., and Hernández, C. (2007) Biological activity of transcripts from CDNAs of Pelargonium line pattern virus. Acta Virol. 51, 271–274
39. Kneoster, M., van Loon, L. C., van den Heuvel, J., Hennig, J., Bol, J. F., and Linthorst, H. J. M. (1998) Ethylene-insensitive tobacco lacks nonhost resistance against soil-borne fungi. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 1933–1937
38. Voinnet, O., Pinto, Y. M., and Baulcombe, D. C. (1999) Suppression of
37. Powers, J. G., Sit, T. L., Heinsohn, C., George, C. G., Kim, K. H., and Okuno, T. (2005) A plant RNA virus suppresses RNA silencing at an early initiation step. J. Virol. 77, 511–522
36. El-Shami, M., Pontier, D., Lahmy, S., Braun, L., Picart, C., Vega, D., Hakimi, M. A., Jacobsen, S. E., Cooke, R., and Lagrange, T. (2007) Reiterated WG/GW motifs form functionally and evolutionarily conserved ARGONAUTE-binding platforms in RNAi-related components. Genes Dev. 21, 2539–2544
35. Ikeda, A., Kashiwagi, M., Tani, S., and Tsuchida, K. (2009) An RNA silencing suppressor, NSs protein of Tomato aspermy virus 2b, interacts with the major nucleolar protein fibrillarin and is required for systemic virus infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 11115–11120
34. Verwoerd, T. C., Dekker, B. M., and Hoekema, A. (1989) A small-scale procedure for the rapid isolation of plant RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 17, 2362
33. Johansen, L. K., and Carrington, J. C. (2001) Silencing on the spot: induction and suppression of RNA silencing in the Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression system. Plant Physiol. 126, 930–938
32. Chen, H. Y., Yang, J., Lin, C., and Yuan, Y. A. (2008) Structural basis for RNA-silencing suppression by Tomato aspermy virus protein 2b. EMBO Rep. 9, 754–760
31. Thomas, C. L., Leh, V., Lederer, C., and Maule, A. J. (2003) The polerovirus silencing suppressor P0 targets ARGONAUTE-binding platforms in RNAi-related components. EMBO J. 22, 10403–10413
30. Voinnet, O., Pinto, Y. M., and Baulcombe, D. C. (1999) Suppression of
virus coat protein mediates suppression of RNA silencing in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Virology 306, 33–41.

78. González, I., Rakitina, D., Semashko, M., Taliansky, M., Praveen, S., Palukaitis, P., Carr, J. P., Kalinina, N., and Canto, T. (2012) RNA binding is more critical to the suppression of silencing function of Cucumber mosaic virus 2b protein than nuclear localization. RNA 18, 771–782.

79. Chiba, S., Hleibieh, K., Delbianco, A., Klein, E., Ratti, C., Ziegler-Graff, V., Bouzoubaa, S., and Gilmer, D. (2013) The benyvirus RNA silencing suppressor is essential for long-distance movement, requires both zinc-finger and NoLS basic residues but not a nucleolar localization for its silencing-suppression activity. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 26, 168–181.

80. Ruiz-Ruiz, S., Soler, N., Sánchez-Navarro, J., Fagoaga, C., López, C., Navarro, L., Moreno, P., Peña, L., and Flores, R. (2013) Citrus tristeza virus p23: determinants for nucleolar localization and their influence on suppression of RNA silencing and pathogenesis. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 26, 306–318.

81. Bragg, J. N., and Jackson, A. O. (2004) The C-terminal region of the Barley stripe mosaic virus gb protein participates in homologous interactions and is required for suppression of RNA silencing. Mol. Plant Pathol. 5, 465–481.

82. Duan, C. G., Fang, Y. Y., Zhou, B. J., Zhao, J. H., Hou, W. N., Zhu, H., Ding, S. W., and Guo, H. S. (2012) Suppression of Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE1-mediated slicing, transgene-induced RNA silencing, and DNA methylation by distinct domains of the Cucumber mosaic virus 2b protein. Plant Cell 24, 259–274.

83. Vogler, H., Akbergenov, R., Shivaprasad, P. V., Dang, V., Fasler, M., Kwon, M. O., Zhanybekova, S., Hohn, T., and Heinlein, M. (2007) Modification of small RNAs associated with suppression of RNA silencing by tobamovirus replicase protein. J. Virol. 81, 10379–10388.

84. Endres, M. W., Gregory, B. D., Gao, Z., Foreman, A. W., Mlotshwa, S., Ge, X., Pruss, G. J., Ecker, J. R., Bowman, L. H., and Vance, V. (2010) Two plant viral suppressors of silencing require the ethylene-inducible host transcription factor RAV2 to block RNA silencing. PLoS Pathog. 6, e1000729.

85. Dalmany, T., Rubino, L., Burgyán, J., and Russo, M. (1992) Replication and movement of a coat protein mutant of cymbidium ringspot tombusvirus. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 5, 379–383.

86. Hacker, D. L., Petty, I. T., Wei, N., and Morris, T. J. (1992) Turnip crinkle virus genes required for RNA replication and virus movement. Virology 186, 1–8.

87. Molnár, A., Havelda, Z., Dalmany, T., Szutorisz, H., and Burgyán, J. (1997) Complete nucleotide sequence of Tobacco necrosis virus strain DH and genes required for RNA replication and virus movement. J. Gen. Virol. 78, 1235–1239.

88. Reade, R., Miller, J., Robbins, M., Xiang, Y., and Rochon, D. (2003) Molecular analysis of the Cucumber leaf spot virus genome. Virus Res. 91, 171–179.

89. Rubino, L., and Russo, M. (1997) Molecular analysis of the Pothos latent virus genome. J. Gen. Virol. 78, 1219–1226.

90. Sit, T. L., Johnston, J. C., ter Borg, M. G., Frison, E., McLean, M. A., and Rochon, D. (1995) Mutational analysis of the Cucumber necrosis virus coat protein gene. Virus Res. 91, 171–179.

91. Brigneti, G., Voinnet, O., Li, W. X., Ji, L. H., Ding, S. W., and Baulcombe, D. C. (1998) Viral pathogenicity determinants are suppressors of transgene silencing in Nicotiana benthamiana. EMBO J. 17, 6739–6746.

92. Jay, F., Wang, Y., Yu, A., Taconnat, L., Pelletier, S., Colot, V., Renou, J. P., and Voinnet, O. (2011) Misregulation of AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 8 underlies the developmental abnormalities caused by three distinct viral silencing suppressors in Arabidopsis. PLoS Pathog. 7, e1002035.

93. Kasschau, K. D., Xie, Z., Allen, E., Llave, C., Chapman, E. J., Krizan, K. A., Carrington, J. C. (2003) P1/HC-Pro, a viral suppressor of RNA silencing, interferes with Arabidopsis development and miRNA function. Dev. Cell 4, 205–217.

94. Alonso, M., and Borja, M. (2005) High incidence of Pelargonium line pattern virus infecting asymptomatic Pelargonium spp. in Spain. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 10.1007/s10658-005-0803-1.