Regeneration of the retina: toward stem cell therapy for degenerative retinal diseases
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Degenerative retinal diseases affect millions of people worldwide, which can lead to the loss of vision. However, therapeutic approaches that can reverse this process are limited. Recent efforts have allowed the possibility of the stem cell-based regeneration of retinal cells and repair of injured retinal tissues. Although the direct differentiation of pluripotent stem cells into terminally differentiated photoreceptor cells comprises one approach, a series of studies revealed the intrinsic regenerative potential of the retina using endogenous retinal stem cells. Muller glial cells, ciliary pigment epithelial cells, and retinal pigment epithelial cells are candidates for such retinal stem cells that can differentiate into multiple types of retinal cells and be integrated into injured or developing retina. In this review, we explore our current understanding of the cellular identity of these candidate retinal stem cells and their therapeutic potential for cell therapy against degenerative retinal diseases. [BMB Reports 2015; 48(4): 193-199]

RETINAL DEGENERATIVE DISEASE AND SEARCH FOR RETINAL REGENERATION

Degenerative retinal diseases are a heterogeneous group of eye diseases that can eventually cause permanent visual loss. Currently, millions of patients worldwide suffer from degenerative retinal diseases (1). Damage to any type of retinal neuron results in irreversible changes, and therapeutic modalities that can reverse these degenerative processes have not been available. However, recent progress in stem cell research has provided emerging hope for visual restoration in degenerative retinal diseases using cellular therapeutic approaches. One representative example of such a possibility was provided by a recent study on the transplantation of retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells that were derived from embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (2). A similar trial is underway by a Japanese stem cell research group using induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs).

Interestingly, both clinical studies target Stargardt’s macular dystrophy and dry age-related macular degeneration, in which RPE cell loss is the major pathophysiology. Although the molecular mechanisms that underlie various degenerative retinal diseases vary, they share a common endpoint: the irreversible loss of photoreceptor cells. Therefore, the transplantation of photoreceptor cells is mandatory for visual restoration in most degenerative retinal diseases. Accordingly, developing strategies for the regeneration of photoreceptor cells has been a highlighted area of interest in ophthalmology and regenerative medicine. Studies have identified two major sources of stem cells for photoreceptors: pluripotent stem cells (e.g., ESCs and iPSCs) and tissue-specific stem cells in retinal tissue (3-9). Although the multipotential differentiation of pluripotent stem cells (ESCs or iPSCs) allows the possibility of specific differentiation into photoreceptor cells, little success have been reported in this area of research, mostly because of procedural difficulties and such risk factors as tumor formation and viral integration. On the other hand, the possibility that endogenous retinal stem cells can be used for retinal regeneration has been suggested by several lines of investigation using retinal injury models (3-7). However, unlike other types of well-defined tissue-specific stem cells in other organs in the body, identification of the cells responsible for the retinal regenerative process has been hampered by a lack of definitively identifying retinal stem cells. Therefore, the present review primarily focuses on current studies that are directed toward identifying endogenous retinal stem cells at the cellular level and recent progress in cellular therapeutic trials using these cells.

THE RETINA AND ENDOGENOUS STEM CELLS

The retina is composed of nine different neural or glial cells in a highly coordinated manner (Fig. 1). Because of such a sophisticated structure, especially in adult mammals, traditional studies have failed to identify any regenerative potential in the retina after various types of retinal injury. However, further in-depth studies that utilized retinal injury models indicated that cells can differentiate into multiple types of retinal cells in...
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Fig. 1. Anatomy of human eye. Ciliary pigment epithelial cells and retinal pigment epithelial cells are located between retina and choroidal vascular cells. Muller glial cells are located within the retina, extending their cell body vertically throughout the retina.

the injured retina. Therefore, regeneration of the retina is now becoming an emerging possibility.

These endogenous stem cells in the retina include Muller glial cells and ciliary pigment epithelial (CPE) cells, which were identified using injury models of the retina in fish and amphibians. These cells exhibited the potential for retinal regeneration and multi-lineage differentiation, suggesting the possibility that photoreceptor cells derived from these cells may be utilized for transplantation like the clinical transplantation of full-thickness cornea or limbal stem cells. In the present paper, emphasis is placed on the proliferative potential and differentiation potential of several candidate stem cells that are derived from the adult mammalian eye, especially human eye, and the potential of photoreceptor replacement therapy for degenerative retinal diseases.

CELLULAR IDENTITY OF RETINAL STEM CELLS

Muller glial cells
Identification of Muller glial cells as a candidate for retinal stem cells: Muller glial cells are the most abundant non-neuronal cells in the retina, providing structural and metabolic support for neural and vascular cells by extending their cell body vertically throughout the retina (10). Muller glial cells share common progenitor cells with other types of retinal neurons (Fig. 2). Muller glial cells have been extensively studied for their potential to regenerate the retina in fish and amphibian eye models (11). However, unlike in fish and amphibians, few studies have identified the significant response of Muller glial cells to retinal insult in mammalian eye models. Instead, Muller glial cells were shown to be activated by various kinds of retinal insults and eventually act as a key player in various pathologic processes, especially in retinal proliferative disorders, such as proliferative diabetic retinopathy (13, 14), macular holes (15, 16), and proliferative vitreoretinopathy (17, 18).

However, recent studies have shown that Muller cells in mammals, such as chicks (19) and rats (20, 21), may also differentiate into certain retinal cell types, such as cone and rod photoreceptors, raising the possibility that they may play a role as retinal stem cells. Accordingly, studies have focused on identifying and characterizing Muller glial cells in the human retina, but limited access to pure human Muller glial cells and the limited life span of primary Muller glial cells have hindered research (22). Limb and colleagues recently established the immortalized human Muller glial cell line (MIO-M1), the cellular identity of which was verified by its expression of Muller glial cell markers (i.e., glutamine synthetase, α-smooth muscle actin, cellular retinaldehyde binding protein [CRALBP], and EGFR [epidermal growth factor receptor]) and electrophysiological response (12). They found that CRALBP- and nestin-positive Muller cells can be immortalized and maintain their characteristics after 50 subculture passages. Subsequent studies revealed that human Muller cells share certain characteristics with neural stem cells, can proliferate more than 20 passages, and express mRNA that encode retinal progenitor markers, such as Sox2, Pax6, Chx10, and Notch1 (5). Moreover, these cells formed a neurosphere when they were plated at a low density (500-800 cells per cm²) on an extracellular matrix gel in the presence of fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF2) or retinoic acid, and the signals played a critical role in their proliferation and differentiation.
role during the early stages of eye development. The clonality of the cells was confirmed by limiting the dilution of the cells and secondary sphere formation. Notably, when these cells were transplanted into subretinal spaces in dystrophic Royal College of Surgeons (RCS) rat and neonatal Lister hooded rats, these cells survived and migrated into a photoreceptor cell layer, inner nuclear layer, and ganglion cell layer. Furthermore, these transplanted cells expressed cell type-specific proteins in each corresponding layer of the retina in neonatal Lister hooded rats but not dystrophic RCS rats, indicating the possible regeneration of retinal tissue under specific physiological conditions (5).

Signals that regulate Muller glial cells: With identification of the potential of retinal regeneration in Muller glial cells, subsequent studies focused on the specific signals that can regulate the differentiation of Muller glial cells. For example, based on the finding that Notch-1 controls the development of retinal ganglion cells in the embryonic retina but needs to cease for the maturation of retinal ganglion cells (23), Becker et al. designed a study to differentiate retinal ganglion cells by blocking Notch-1 (4). The study showed that the inhibition of Notch signaling with γ-secretase caused human Muller glial cells to acquire neural morphology and express retinal ganglion cell markers, such as Br3, Isl-1, and Hdc (4). Additionally, they showed that Muller glial cells can differentiate into photoreceptor cells when cultured on basement membrane protein in the presence of FGF2, taurine, retinoic acid, and insulin-like growth factor-1, and these cells expressed photoreceptor markers, such as Crx, nuclear receptor subfamily 2, group E, member 3 (NR2E3), rhodopsin, and recoverin (7).

Notably, the same study demonstrated the therapeutic potential of Muller glial cells to recover retinal function in a retinal disease model (i.e., when Muller glial cell-derived photoreceptor cells were transplanted into the retina in 3-week-old P23H rats, a model of retinitis pigmentosa). The transplanted cells exhibited migration and integration into the outer nuclear layer of the degenerated retinas, leading to improvement in rod photoreceptor function, reflected by an increase in the a-wave amplitude and slope using scotopic flash electroretinography (7).

Collectively, the evidence suggests that human Muller glial cells can be stimulated by injury, proliferate in vitro, differentiate into photoreceptor cells, and, most importantly, be integrated into retinal tissue for the partial restoration of visual function. Although these findings suggest the possibility that Muller glial cells can be used for retinal regeneration, studies on human Muller glial cells are still limited, primarily because of difficulty culturing primary human Muller glial cells.

Ciliary pigment epithelial cells

Controversy on ciliary pigment epithelial cells as retinal stem cells: The ciliary margins in some non-mammalian vertebrate species have been thought to have stem cells that facilitate neurogenesis throughout life (24). However, much controversy has existed with regard to the possibility that pigment ciliary epithelial cells serve as retinal stem cells in the human eye. Recently, Tropepe et al. found that pigmented CPE cells from mouse and human eyes can form spheres based on limiting-dilution analysis, and a small number of pigmented cells from dissociated primary spheres generated new secondary spheres, giving rise to subsequent colonies in up to six passages (8, 25). Moreover, CPE cells within the sphere were positive for Chx10 and nestin (i.e., markers of retinal progenitor cells). Similarly, sphere-forming CPE cells could differentiate into various types of retinal cells that express markers of bipolar cells, rod photoreceptor cells, amacrine cells, and Muller glial cells. Furthermore, studies examined the in vivo regeneration potential of CPE cells by transplanting cells labeled with green fluorescent protein (GFP) into the vitreous cavity in NOD/SCID mice on postnatal day 1 (i.e., a time when photoreceptors and Muller glia are maximally induced to differentiate in the host eye). Among the 16 mice that received the transplant, 12 had GFP-positive cells 28 days after transplantation, and eight mice showed morphological integration and migration into the distal outer layer of the neural retina and RPE where these integrated cells expressed Rom1, a rod photoreceptor outer segment protein (8).

Although these studies raise the exciting possibility that a subpopulation of human CPE cells are retinal stem cells, unresolved issues need to be considered, including the rarity of retinal stem cells (only 0.018% of single pigmented cells can give rise to clonal colonies), low yield of terminal differentiated cells, and limited potential for integration into the adult mammalian retina. Evidences against the possibility that CPE cells can differentiate into retinal stem cells have also been reported. For example, Cicero et al. argued that human and mouse CPE cells were not stem cells; instead, these cells contain a population of differentiated pigment CPE cells that can proliferate, clonally expand, and self-renew in stem cell medium and express some neuronal markers while retaining features of pigment CPE cells (33). Moreover, adult mammalian CPE cells were reported to have a limited expansion potential, contrary to neural stem cells (9, 27). Similarly, Gualdini et al. expanded rodent CPE cells and evaluated the differentiation potential of photoreceptor cells but failed to differentiate these cells into photoreceptor cells (27). Moreover, Bhatia et al. studied potential retinal stem cells in the human eye by investigating the in situ anatomical distribution of retinal progenitor cell markers. They found that Nestin-positive cells were detected only in the neural retina, which were adjacent to ora serrata, and they expressed Sox2, shh, and chx10 but were not found in ciliary epithelial cells, where only vimentin and Sox2 were expressed (35).

Notably, CPE cells did not express Chx10 when they were plated under adherent conditions (34). This finding is different from the finding in Muller cells, in which Chx10 expression was observed in adherent cultures (5). However, the retinal progenitor markers Chx10 and Rx were expressed only when...
CPE cells were cultured under suspension culture conditions (34, 36). Recent studies showed that somatic cells can be at least partially reprogrammed during the spheroid formation process (37). Therefore, the finding that Chx10 is expressed only in sphere cultures of CPE cells requires further confirmation to exclude the possibility that the suspension culture itself has partial reprogramming effects on CPE cells. Additionally, further studies are needed to determine whether the cells in the regenerating retina directly differentiate from retinal stem cells or are trans-differentiated from cultured primary cells.

**Limitation of the potential of CPE cells as retinal stem cells:** In addition to these issues on the cellular identity of CPE cells, discrepancies have been noted in the clonal proliferation and differentiation potential of various types of retinal cells. For example, one of the major discrepancies concerns the potential of human CPE cells to differentiate into rod photoreceptor cells. Subsequent research by numerous investigators adopted this criterion and reported various ranges of photoreceptor cell differentiation from CPE cells (28-32). Ballios et al. reported that 95% of the cells differentiated into rhodopsin-positive cells, detected by immunostaining after 44 days of differentiation with retinoic acid and taurine (9). In contrast, only 1% of opsin-positive cells were generated from rat CPE-derived cells (26), and no fully differentiated photoreceptor cells were generated from porcine CPE-derived cells (27). Gualdoni et al. used Nrl-GFP transgenic mice and failed to effectively activate the Nrl-regulated photoreceptor differentiation program. No rod photoreceptor cells were evident after 2 weeks of differentiation using any previously reported protocol (34). They found that CPE cells were reversed toward the epithelial phenotype when cultured in a retinal differentiation medium and did not differentiate into photoreceptors. Similarly, they found that the transduction of any single transcription factor gene or multiple transcription factors also failed to generate Nrl-GFP-positive cells. These findings were consistent with data reported by Cicero et al., in which no rod cell markers were detected after 3 weeks of differentiation with retinoic acid and taurine (33).

The reason for this discrepancy is not yet clear. However, it could be based on the detection method, and the duration of culture for differentiation into photoreceptors could also be a factor, especially considering that the developmental maturation of rod photoreceptor cells occurs at very late stages of development compared with other retinal cell types. Similarly, the process for ESCs or iPSCs to differentiate into mature rod photoreceptor cells requires a differentiation period of up to 180 days (38, 39). However, findings of the expression of immature cell markers of spheroid CPE cells with a failure to produce mature rod photoreceptor cells have been common across studies, indicating a limitation in their potential to differentiate into retinal stem cells. Additionally, the in vivo integration and differentiation observed in NOD/SCID mice also needs to be examined further because the findings were limited to postnatal day 1 NOD/SCID mice, and such results were not evident in an adult dystrophic RCS model. Similarly, additional studies on transplanted cells that migrate to and are integrated in the retina are warranted to examine their integrated function in the retina. Collectively, human CPE cells can express a certain range of retinal progenitor markers in spheroid form but appear to have limited potential for retinal neuronal differentiation and self-renewal. However, further studies are needed to determine whether these cells can differentiate into retinal neurons using alternative methods or longer periods of differentiation.

**Retinal pigment epithelial cells**

**Identification of retinal pigment epithelial cells as retinal stem cells:** Retinal pigment epithelial cells are pigment epithelial cells that are located between retina and choroidal vascular cells. Retinal pigment epithelial cells play an essential role in homeostasis and phototransduction in the retina (40). These cells are the most widely studied cell types in the retina because of their long history of being used in primary cell cultures. Accordingly, studies that use RPE cells from many species demonstrated their potential for differentiation into retinal ganglion, amacrine, photoreceptor, and glial cells (41-43). For example, Carr et al. evaluated the differentiation potential of RPE cells by culturing them with retinoic acid (44). They found that both ARPE-19 cells (i.e., an immortalized RPE cell line) and passage 2 primary human RPE cells expressed transcripts that were specific for cone photoreceptor cells (red opsin) and the retinal ganglion cell marker Brn3 after 7 days of culture with retinoic acid but failed to express rhodopsin, blue opsin, or interphotoreceptor retinoid binding protein (IRBP).

As observed in other types of retinal progenitor cells, RPE cells that are cultured on a spheroid form tend to exhibit a higher stem cell phenotype. Salero et al. reported that a subgroup of RPE cells was activated into multipotent stem cells with the capacity of self-renewal and differentiation into RPE progeny or neural, osteo, chondro, or adipogenic lineage mesenchymal progeny (45). In their report, similar to CPE cells, RPE cells grew more successfully when plated under adherent conditions than under suspension conditions. They found that cultured RPE cells under adherent conditions expressed the early eyefield and forebrain marker Pax6 and RPE marker MITF, but the retinal progenitor markers Chx10 and rhodopsin were not detected. In contrast, when cultured in suspension, a clonal line of RPE cells can produce RPE, adipocyte, chondrocyte, and bone lineage progeny. Because these studies focused on the transition of RPE cells to mesenchymal progeny, photoreceptor cell fate was not examined. Nonetheless, they showed that RPE spheres expressed early eyefield and forebrain markers, thus demonstrating the possibility of differentiating into retinal neurons. Interestingly, Yan et al. demonstrated that the human RPE cell lines ARPE-19 and hTERT-RPE1 can express photoreceptor proteins, such as IRBP, recoverin, arrestin, transducin, Crx, and red opsin, but not rod.
Table 1. Cellular identity of retinal stem cells

| Clonality* | Muller Glial Cells | Ciliary Pigment Epithelial Cells | Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Yes (5)   | Yes (8, 9, 33) or Minimal (34) | Yes (45)                         |
| Expression of retinal progenitor markers | | | |
| Adherent | Nestin, Pax6, Sox2 and Chx10 (5) | Lhx2, Pax6, Sox2 and Nestin (34) | Pax6 (44, 45), Crx (44), Nrl (44), and Mitf (45) |
| Sphere   | Nestin, Sox2, Pax6, Chx10 and shh (5) | Nestin (33), Chx10 (34) | n/a |
| Differentiation potential | | | |
| Retinal ganglion cells (3, 4) | Rod photoreceptor cells (8, 9) | Cone photoreceptor cells (44) |
| Cone and rod photoreceptor cells (7) | Not detected (33, 34) | |
| Transplantation | | | |
| Integration | Retinal ganglion cells in a 4 week old rat glaucoma model (3) | Spheres in postnatal day 1 NOD/SCID mice (8) | n/a |
| Muller glial cells in neonatal Lister hooded rats (5) | Spheres in newborn albino rat (33) | |
| Rod photoreceptor precursors in a 3 week old rat RP model (7) | | |
| Functional recovery | Improvement of retinal ganglion cells function in ERG (3) | n/a | n/a |
| Improvement of rod photoreceptor function in ERG (7) | | |

*Clonality was confirmed by limiting dilution assay and secondary sphere formation assay.

...photoreceptor markers when engineered to express neuroD or ngn1 (46).

Although the differentiation potential of RPE cells into photoreceptor cells has not been fully explored, relatively easy acquisition and culture in vitro highlight the possibility of using RPE cells as a source for transplantation in degenerative retinal degeneration.

**SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Therapeutic approaches to retinal regeneration are highly warranted. The direct differentiation of pluripotent stem cells, such as ESCs and iPSCs, constitutes one promising approach, but many issues need to be resolved before they can be applied in clinical-grade cell therapy, including biological risk and technical difficulties associated with differentiation culture procedures. The use of endogenous retinal stem cells is another promising approach, in which cell therapy for retinal disease and targeting their microenvironment to trigger their own regenerative potential can be developed. However, more studies are needed to elucidate the precise cellular identity of retinal stem cells that are responsible for retinal regeneration (Table 1). Moreover, the cellular phenomena that underlie retinal regeneration require further in-depth examination because changes in cell fate can be induced, making interpretations of data on retinal differentiation and histological integration in the retina difficult. The differentiation potential of candidate retinal stem cells, such as Muller glial cells, CPE cells, and RPE cells, should be further characterized under different conditions that have not yet been tested. Moreover, their physiological significance in the retina should be better defined with regard to their role in retinal regeneration under injury conditions. Studies on the in vivo trafficking of cell fate and behavior under various types of injury stress or homeostatic conditions should further delineate the regeneration process in the retina. Further understanding of the retinal regeneration phenomenon will shed light on the cellular basis of retinal regeneration and expand the horizon for cell therapy for many intractable retinal degenerative diseases.
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