A Novel Four-Arm Planar Spiral Antenna for GNSS Application
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ABSTRACT This letter introduces a novel four-arm planar spiral antenna which provides a perfect circular polarization radiation for Global Navigation Satellite System applications. The antenna mainly consists of four parts: an Archimedes spiral radiation area, an impedance matching area composed of an appropriately sized metal coupling disc and quasi-coaxial structures, a wideband four-output-ports feed network with equal magnitude and consistent 90\degree phase shift, and a set of chokes with unequal height. The metal coupling disc and quasi-coaxial structures are used as an impedance transformer to provide broadband matching between the 50\Omega input impedance and the high impedance of the radiation area. The novel choke can ensure the radiation characteristics and stable phase center of the antenna in broadband range. Based on these designs, the proposed four-arm planar spiral antenna shows the impedance bandwidth (VSWR<1.5) and 3-dB axial ratio bandwidth of 1.1-1.7GHz, and simultaneously realizes radiation gain greater than 7.4 dBi and phase center deviation (PCD) less than 1.5mm.

INDEX TERMS Four-arm spiral antenna, Novel choke, Broadband, Stable phase center, GNSS application.

I. INTRODUCTION

In satellite navigation communications, the performance of the antenna determines the stability of the system. Circular polarization (CP) antennas have been widely used due to their advantages in reducing interference and polarization mismatch. At present, many single-band, dual-band and triple-band CP antennas are used in the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS). In order to consider the influence of design cost and mutual coupling, broadband circularly polarized antennas that can cover the whole frequency band (1.164-1.612GHz) of GNSS system have gradually become a trend [1-2].

Spiral antennas get increasingly attentions for their good characteristics of broadband and circular polarization. Most of previous studies have been on double-arm spiral antennas because they have lower structural complexity than multi-arm ones (in fact, always four-arm). However, with the development of satellite communications, the multi-mode excitation capability of the four-arm spiral antenna makes it widely applied to monopulse direction finding systems [3]. Moreover, for high-precision GNSS, the highly symmetrical structure of the four-arm spiral antenna enables better phase stability [4].

The difficulty in the spiral antenna design is the broadband impedance matching. Because the inherent impedance of the spiral antenna is greater than 90\Omega, an impedance transformer is required between the spiral antenna and the 50\Omega input port. For two-arm spiral antennas, they are often fed by infinite baluns [5], vertical to parallel coaxial baluns [6] and the latest improved Dyson-style baluns [7-8] in recent years. In order to solve the matching problem of the four-arm spiral antenna, the impedance should be reduced to about 50\Omega, available methods are as followed: feeding the spiral hole by a four-port coaxial beam, modifying the metal groove ratio of the spiral hole [9], using double-layer spiral and thicker dielectric substrate [10].

Influenced by multipath and surface waves, many antennas have an unstable phase center which is very important for wide-beam antenna design. To deal with it, the choke is often applied in antennas. A typical choke consists of equal deep concentric rings on a circular metal ground plane [13-14]. In [16], a new type of broadband ground plane that works in a broadband 1.15-1.6 GHz is presented. Its height is shallower...
than that of a choke and its volume is 40% smaller than that of an equivalent choke antenna. In [17], an antenna with better multipath suppression and a smaller size is realized, by adopting a sawtooth structure and a vertical small choke at the bottom. However, these designs require more complicated corrugated rings, which increase the complexity and are difficult to manufacture.

This letter proposes a novel quasi-coaxial-fed four-arm planar spiral antenna, which is suitable for GNSS application. The impedance transformation is completed by loading a coupling metal disc and a new type quasi-coaxial structure as the balun. A broadband network with stable amplitude and phase difference is provided to obtain a good broadband circular polarization performance. What’s more, the chokes of uneven depths are located around the antenna therefore a stable phase center and high front-to-back ratio can be achieved. Through verification, the proposed antenna exhibits good matching and radiation characteristics in 1.1-1.7 GHz, and the simulation and measured results agree well, verifying the feasibility of the design.

![Geometry of the proposed antenna.](image1)

**FIGURE 1.** Geometry of the proposed antenna.

II. DESIGN OF THE ANTENNA

As shown in Fig. 1, the novel spiral is composed of four parts: a spiral antenna and a feed network are placed separately on the top and bottom, feed structure with a metal disc and four quasi-coaxial lines are fixed in the middle of the chokes, and chokes with uneven depths on both sides. A printed circuit board (PCB) is placed on the metal disc, separated by a media of the same size as the disc. The feed network connected to four quasi-coaxial lines is at the back of the choke. Detailed discussion is as follows.

A. FOUR-ARM SPIRAL

This article uses a four-arm planar Archimedes spiral antenna, and its structure is shown in Fig. 2. The equation for the inner and outer edge lines of one of the spiral arms is:

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_1 &= a(\phi - \delta/2) + r_0 \\
  r_2 &= a(\phi + \delta/2) + r_0
\end{align*}
\]

Where \(r_0\) is spiral starting radius (mm), \(a\) is growth rate (mm/rad), and \(\phi\) is azimuth (rad). For a perfectly self-complementary structure, the width of the gap between two adjacent arms is equal to the width of the spiral arm, that is, \(\delta = 45^\circ\). The remaining arms are formed by rotating \(90^\circ\), \(180^\circ\), and \(270^\circ\) around the axis perpendicular to the spiral plane.

The impedance of an \(N\)-arm self-complementary spiral antenna to the ground can be obtained as follows [22]:

\[
Z_{\text{free}} = \frac{\eta_0}{4 \sin(\pi/N)}
\]

Where \(\eta_0\) is the free space wave impedance of 120\(\Omega\). In practice, the spiral antenna is printed on a dielectric substrate with \(\varepsilon_r = 4\). The half-space impedance can be approximated as \(Z_{\text{free}}/\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}\), where \(\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}\) is the effective permittivity of the dielectric substrate, usually \((\varepsilon_r+1)/2\). Therefore, the actual impedance of the antenna is usually less than the theoretical value [23] about 100\(\Omega\), as shown in Fig. 3.

The radiation characteristic of the antenna is determined by its distributed current. As Fig. 4 depicts, the current on the proposed four-arm spiral antenna gradually attenuates from the center to the end. But due to the truncation effect, there is still a small amount of current at the end of the spiral, which will deteriorate the radiation characteristics of the antenna. Usually, an end loading can be used to reduce the negative impact of reflected current. However, no matter loading resistance or absorbing material, they both pose a risk of radiation efficiency decrease. In this paper, the tapered end loading is adopted to improve the radiation performance.
B. CONFIGURATION OF FEED

From the analysis above, it can be known that the impedance of the four-arm spiral antenna with self-complement structure is about 100 Ω, which is difficult to match with the feeding network. In order to solve this problem, we designed a new feed structure, and antenna is excited using four quasi-coaxial lines which consist of a copper rod core and a hollow dielectric medium. A metal disc serves as an induction capacitor to adjust the input impedance of the antenna. The distance between the spiral arm plane and the disc is represented as parameter $h_{\text{disc}}$. The radius of the disc is the parameter $r_{\text{disc}}$. Perform simulation research by changing the geometric parameters to observe the changes on the impedance performance of the antenna. Unless specially indicated, only one geometrical parameter is varied each time and the rest are kept unchanged.

1) EFFECTS OF RADIUS OF THE DISC

Fig. 5 shows the real and imaginary parts of the input impedance when only the disc radius $r_{\text{disc}}=10$ mm, 15 mm, 20 mm, 25 mm, and 30 mm are changed, and the parameter disc spacing $h_{\text{disc}}=3$ mm remains unchanged. The smoother the antenna impedance curve, the better its frequency characteristics. In order to provide a better match for the subsequent network and antenna overall structure design, we choose $r_{\text{disc}}=25$ mm. Because the real part of the impedance is about 50Ω in the 1.1-1.7GHz bandwidth, the imaginary part of the impedance tends to 0Ω, and the curve is relatively flat.

According to the current band theory (CB theory) [15], the main active area responsible for radiation is an annular area whose perimeter on the spiral plane corresponds to a wavelength at the operating frequency $f$, that is, $2\pi r = 2\pi r_{\text{first}} = \lambda_f$. When the radius of the main active area at the corresponding frequency point is greater than $r_{\text{disc}}$, the disk does not function as a reflector, so it produces bidirectional radiation in the positive and negative Z directions, as shown in Fig. 6 (a). On the contrary, as the frequency increases, the radius becomes smaller than the radius of the disc (i.e., $r_{\text{first}} < r_{\text{disc}}$), the disc acts as a reflector. As shown in Fig. 6 (b), the antenna has positive Z directional radiation.
2) EFFECTS OF DISTANCE BETWEEN THE DISC AND ANTENNA.

![Graph showing impedance vs frequency for different disc heights]

The effect of the distance \( h_{\text{disc}} \) (between the spiral arm plane and the disc), on the impedance performance is shown in Fig. 7 where \( h_{\text{disc}} \) changing from 1 mm to 5 mm. As it depicts, the real and imaginary parts of the antenna input impedance hardly change, when the radius of the disc is constant.

C. DESIGN OF CHOKE

![Diagram of a conventional equal-depth metallic choke]

Most multipath signals in the environment arrive from below the antenna or low elevation angles. Therefore, the antenna is designed with a sharply reduced pattern, that is, low back lobe and low sidelobe to suppress multipath signals [16]. And to prevent the surface wave generated by the multipath signal from affecting the antenna performance [17], the choke ground plane is usually used with the reference antenna. It is necessary to suppress surface waves near the antenna, so a choke is used together with the antenna.

As shown in Fig. 8, conventional choke is a corrugated metal structure composed of several concentric metal rings of equal height on a metal base. Changes in the structure of the choke will affect two types of surface waves: transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) surface waves. On the one hand, TE surface wave requires surface impedance to be capacitive. On the other hand, TM surface wave requires surface impedance to be inductive [15]. The suppression of TE surface wave is to use the metal surface of the choke [18]. However, the corrugation depth of the choke controls the TM surface wave. The surface impedance of the choke is calculated by analogy with the input impedance of a short-circuit transmission line [18]

\[
Z_s = jZ_0 \tan kd
\]  

In (4), \( Z_0 = 377 \, \Omega \) is the inherent impedance of air or free space, \( d \) is the ripple depth, and \( k \) is the phase constant.

Next, analyzing the influence of the choke on the propagation of TM surface waves under different corrugation depths. When the corrugation depth is \( 0 \leq d \leq \lambda/4 \), the surface impedance is inductive, and it supports the propagation of TM surface waves. But when the corrugation depth is \( \lambda/4 \leq d \leq \lambda/2 \), the surface impedance is capacitive, so this is the characteristic of suppressing TM surface wave required in the choke, and as the depth \( d \) is closer to \( \lambda/4 \), TM The suppression of surface waves is stronger [15]. Based on the above analysis, it is recommended to use a corrugated structure with a depth of \( \lambda/4 \) to suppress multipath signals.

For broadband antennas, different operating frequencies correspond to different wavelengths. If the same ripple depth...
is used, it can only suppress surface waves near the corresponding frequency point. Therefore, different ripple depths are required to suppress TM surface waves of different frequencies. As shown in Fig. 9, a new type of choke is designed. Its corrugation depth is different, which has a good suppression effect on different working frequencies. The final parameters after optimization are shown in Table I. In order to verify the suppression effect of the uneven-depth choke slot we designed, the GNSS antenna is simulated with full-wave simulation in the three cases without choke, traditional choke (corrugation depth is \( \lambda/4 \)), and new choke. The calculation results are shown in Fig. 10.

![Graph showing phase center offset and FBR with varying frequency (GHz)](image)

**FIGURE 10.** (a) Phase center stability and (b) Front-to-back ratio comparison at different choke types.

As shown in the figure, the new-type choke slot antenna has good phase center stability (less than 1.5mm) and front-to-back ratio (greater than 25dB) in the 1.1-1.7GHz frequency band; The traditional choke slot has a good suppression effect at the center frequency point. When the frequency changes, the effect starts to deteriorate. The antenna phase center stability and front-to-back ratio deteriorate without choke slot. The simulation results are consistent with the theory.

### D. Feed Network Configuration

The input current of the adjacent arms of the multi-arm helical antenna should satisfy the same amplitude and phase difference of 90° in sequence, with good CP performance and stable phase center [20]. The performance of the feed network, especially the stability of the amplitude difference and the phase difference, has a huge impact on the performance of the final antenna. The 90° phase shift bandwidth of the traditional \( \lambda/4 \) phase shifter is extremely narrow and cannot be matched to a broadband antenna. In order to feed the proposed antenna, a broadband feed network consisted of three Wilkinson power dividers and three broadband phase shifters was designed [21]. The network includes a 180° phase shift divider and two 90° phase shift dividers. The specific characteristic impedance of each section of the transmission line of the designed feeder network is shown in Fig. 11. Fig. 12 is a schematic diagram of the distribution of network transmission lines.

![Diagram of proposed feed network](image)

**FIGURE 11.** Schematic of the proposed feed network.

![Photo of proposed feed network](image)

**FIGURE 12.** Photo of the proposed feed network.
According to [9], when the characteristic impedance of the port $Z_0 = 50 \, \Omega$, to achieve a broadband $90^\circ$ phase shift requires: $Z_1 = 61.9 \, \Omega$, $Z_2 = 125.6 \, \Omega$; the conditions for achieving a $180^\circ$ phase shift are $Z_3 = 80.8 \, \Omega$, $Z_4 = 62.8 \, \Omega$. Then, by appropriately adjusting the width of each microstrip line, a broadband feeder network with a center frequency of 1.4 GHz is realized. Fig. 13 (a) and (b) show the analog amplitude response and phase shift of each output port in the 1.1-1.7 GHz band. The designed network has excellent performance, the amplitude change is less than 0.5 dB, and the phase shift unbalance is less than 3°.

III. FABRICATED ANTENNA AND MEASUREMENTS

According to [9], when the characteristic impedance of the port $Z_0 = 50 \, \Omega$, to achieve a broadband $90^\circ$ phase shift requires: $Z_1 = 61.9 \, \Omega$, $Z_2 = 125.6 \, \Omega$; the conditions for achieving a $180^\circ$ phase shift are $Z_3 = 80.8 \, \Omega$, $Z_4 = 62.8 \, \Omega$. Then, by appropriately adjusting the width of each microstrip line, a broadband feeder network with a center frequency of 1.4 GHz is realized. Fig. 13 (a) and (b) show the analog amplitude response and phase shift of each output port in the 1.1-1.7 GHz band. The designed network has excellent performance, the amplitude change is less than 0.5 dB, and the phase shift unbalance is less than 3°.

A. CONFIGURATION OF THE ANTENNA

According to the above simulation design and numerical analysis results, the main parameters of the proposed antenna are as follows:

| TABLE II | PARAMETERS OF THE DESIGNED ANTENNA |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
|         | Dielectric substrate of radome, $\varepsilon_1$ | 3.3 |
|         | Substrate thickness of radome, $h_1$ | 1mm |
|         | Dielectric substrate of spiral, $\varepsilon_2$ | 4.1 |
|         | Substrate thickness of spiral, $h_2$ | 1.5mm |
|         | Radius of the disc, $r_{disc}$ | 25mm |
|         | Width/gap of the spiral and disc, $h_{disc}$ | 3mm |
|         | Number of turns for spiral | 1.25 |
|         | Overall radius of the antenna, $r$ | 115mm |
|         | Start radius of the antenna, $r_{in}$ | 4mm |
|         | Growth rate of the spiral, $\alpha$ | 5.6 |
|         | Dielectric substrate of feed network, $\varepsilon_3$ | 3.55 |
|         | Substrate thickness of feed network, $h_3$ | 1.524mm |

B. Performance of the antenna

The simulation design of the antenna is done using full-wave simulation. All microstrip lines of the antenna are silver-plated to prevent oxidation. Fig. 15 shows the simulation and measurement results of the antenna. A vector network analyzer is used to measure the voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) which is less than 1.5 in the entire 1.1-1.7 GHz bandwidth. The radiation character is measured in the anechoic chamber. The measured 3-dB AR bandwidth exceeds 0.6 GHz (1.1 GHz-1.7 GHz), which can cover the entire GNSS frequency band, and the gain exceeds 7.4 dBi. In addition, the designed antenna phase center is stable, and the change is less than 1.5 mm in the required frequency band [11]. The actual processing and testing performance of the antenna is good.
antennas we proposed are used in GNSS, so we focus on giving the simulated and measured radiation patterns at frequencies of 1149, 1176, 1227, 1268, 1575, and 1593 MHz. Due to the choke and the disc, the antenna only radiates to the +Z axis in one direction, and the cross polarization is suppressed to the level of -20 dB. More importantly, the antenna radiation pattern has a wide beam characteristic, as shown in Fig. 16, the gain is greater than 0 dBic in the range of -60° to 60°.

C. COMPARISON
Table III lists the key performance comparisons between the proposed antenna and other similar broadband GNSS antennas. It can be seen that the antenna proposed by comprehensive broadband, dimensions, gain, high front-to-back ratio and phase center stability and other indicators has good performance. Moreover, compared with other spiral antennas, the designed antenna has a simple and effective impedance matching adjustment device. Therefore, considering all the indicators, this antenna has excellent performance and is very suitable for GNSS system.

| Ref. | -10-dB IBW (%GHz) | Dimensions (λo) | Gain (dBic) | FBR (dB) | PCD (mm) |
|------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| [10] | 9.24(1.52-1.67)   | 0.43x0.43x0.03  | 3.2         | 15       | 1.19    |
| [12] | 25.4(1.44-1.86)   | 0.37x0.48x0.01  | 1.3         | 22       | 8.8     |
| [17] | 31.7(1.17-1.61)   | 0.71x0.71x0.46  | 7.0         | 25       | --      |
| [18] | 32.7(1.15-1.60)   | 1.56x1.56x0.28  | 6.0         | 20       | 3.0     |
| [19] | 2.0(1.55-1.59)    | 1.57x1.57x0.05  | 4.5         | 28       | 2.5     |
| [20] | 31.9(1.16-1.61)   | 0.77x0.77x0.55  | 7.5         | 25       | --      |
| This work | 43.8(1.1-1.7)   | 1.14x1.14x0.62  | 7.4         | 25       | 1.5     |

IV. CONCLUSION
In this letter, a novel type of quasi-coaxial-fed four-arm planar spiral antenna is proposed. This design realizes the necessary impedance transformation between the high impedance of the spiral aperture and the input impedance of 50Ω by introducing a metal disc and a quasi-coaxial structure. Broadband feed networks and chokes improve the right-hand circular polarization (RHCP) radiation performance. Simulation and actual measurement results show that the proposed antenna has good matching and radiation characteristics. The low angle (elevation angle=±60°) gain is above 0 dBic, the axial ratio is less than 3 dB, and the phase center change is less than 1.5 mm over the whole GNSS bands. In addition, low profile and firmness make the antenna have broad application prospects in GNSS system.
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