Mechanisms and Modulation of Sepsis-Induced Immune Dysfunction in Children
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Summary paragraph/Abstract

Immunologic responses during sepsis vary significantly among patients and evolve over the course of illness. Sepsis has a direct impact on the immune system due to adverse alteration of the production, maturation, function, and apoptosis of immune cells. Dysregulation in both the innate and adaptive immune responses during sepsis leads to a range of phenotypes consisting of both hyperinflammation and immunosuppression that can result in immunoparalysis. In this review, we discuss components of immune dysregulation in sepsis, biomarkers and functional immune assays to aid in immunophenotyping patients, and evolving immunomodulatory therapies. Important research gaps for the future include: 1) Defining how age, host factors including prior exposures, and genetics impact the trajectory of sepsis in children, 2) Developing tools for rapid assessment of immune function in sepsis, and 3) Assessing how evolving pediatric sepsis endotypes respond differently to immunomodulation. Although multiple promising immunomodulatory agents exist or are in development, access to rapid immunophenotyping will be needed to identify which children are most likely to benefit from which therapy. Advancements in the ability to perform multidimensional endotyping will be key to developing a personalized approach to children with sepsis.
Introduction

According to the international consensus guidelines, sepsis is defined as a life-threatening state of organ dysfunction that is caused by a dysregulated host response to a pathogen. Globally, sepsis contributes to more than 20% of all deaths in the pediatric age group, with children <5 years of age being particularly vulnerable. Mortality in severe sepsis varies widely by geographical region, and can be as high as: 21% in North America, 29% in Europe, 32% in Australia/New Zealand, 40% in Asia, 11% in South America, and 40% in Africa. The majority of pediatric patients (67%) present with multi-organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) at the onset of sepsis, with a further 30% also developing MODS in the first 7 days of sepsis. Among survivors across all age groups, up to 28% may have mild disability, and 17% may have moderate disability at the time of hospital discharge.

Immunologic responses during sepsis vary significantly among patients and evolve over the course of illness. Immune cell activation and inflammatory responses can result in a spectrum of immune dysregulation characterized by excess inflammation, compensatory immune suppression, and immune-mediated organ damage. Although the immune system matures significantly throughout childhood, our understanding of how this underlying immunologic maturation impacts the features and outcomes of sepsis in children is evolving. In this review we discuss the key components of immune dysregulation in sepsis, biomarkers to aid in immunophenotyping patients during acute illness and recovery, and evolving immunomodulatory therapies.

Immune dysregulation in sepsis

Sepsis has a direct impact on the immune system due to adverse alteration of the production, maturation, function, and apoptosis of immune cells. Invading pathogens are recognized by the host immune system through pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) on innate immune cells (monocytes and neutrophils) and somatic tissues. Invading microbes, both commensal and pathogenic, express pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which are recognized by PRRs, including toll-like receptors (TLRs), nucleotide-binding and oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs), and retinoic acid-inducible gene (RIG)-I-like receptors (RLRs). Similarly, host tissue components that are released after cell damage and breakdown are recognized as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). Overall, activation of innate immune cells through these mechanisms results in release of pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, IFN-gamma, and TNF-α. There is additional activation of the complement system that together with the cytokine storm can result in further damage to host tissue and worsening multi-organ failure. If this initial phase of sepsis does not resolve promptly, overactivation of the immune system can be accompanied by an excessive anti-inflammatory and potentially immunosuppressive response that can result in an increased risk of secondary infections.

Multiple cells of both the innate and adaptive immune system have been found to be dysfunctional during sepsis. Neutrophils, which play a key role in host defense against...
bacterial pathogens, have been shown to have altered chemotaxis and recruitment to
the site of infection, impaired phagocytic activity, defects in the production of reactive
oxygen species (ROS), and altered secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Each of these
alterations in neutrophil function may contribute to failure to control the initial infection.
Concurrently, exaggerated production of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), a chromatin
mesh that serves to contain pathogens, may stimulate autoinflammation and exacerbate
end-organ damage. Reduced expression of monocyte human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DR,
an important antigen-presenting molecule on innate immune cells, often correlates with
decreased responsiveness to ex vivo stimulation with bacterial endotoxin and may increase
the risk of secondary infection in patients with sepsis. In addition, increased apoptosis of
antigen presenting cells (APCs) has been demonstrated in septic patients with secondary
infections and may play a role in T-cell anergy.

CD4+ T-cells, key components of the adaptive immune system, are significantly reduced in
non-survivors of sepsis due to apoptosis and splenic removal. Among remaining CD4+ cells,
cytokine production in both T helper 1 (Th1) and T helper 2 (Th2) subpopulations is
diminished. T-regulatory cells (Treg), a potentially immunosuppressive subset of CD4+ T
cells, may play a role in suppressing excessive inflammation in sepsis. However, evidence
suggests that Tregs may be more resistant to sepsis-related apoptosis than other T-cell
populations and may therefore contribute to immunoparalysis. Still, the role of Treg cells
in perpetuating sepsis-induced immune suppression has not been as clearly established in
children as it has in adults. Similar to CD4+ T-cells, depletion of B-cells, especially the
CD5+ B1a-type cells, is also associated with worse outcomes in septic patients. Deletion
of innate response activator (IRA) B cells is associated with hypercytokinemia and delayed
clearance of pathogenic bacteria. The timeline of these changes, based on adult data,
has been recently reviewed by Martin et al. In brief, there is leukocytosis (increase in
neutrophil and monocyte populations) in the first days after sepsis onset followed by a
state of lymphopenia with decreased numbers of both adaptive and innate immune cells.
Even when cell counts recover, there can be lasting immunoparalysis characterized by
reduced functionality of immune cells that increases the risk of secondary infections and
death.

There are developmental differences in host innate and adaptive immune responses from the
neonatal period to adulthood that may impact how sepsis affects children. For example,
an imbalance in the inflammatory and compensatory anti-inflammatory responses may play
a greater role in septic shock and MODS in children compared to adults. Inflammatory
response is attenuated in neonatal mice with sepsis, and while macrophages from young
children produce greater amounts of both pro-inflammatory TNF-α and anti-inflammatory
IL-10 compared to adults, the resulting IL-10/TNF ratio is higher in children. Multiple
studies have demonstrated that adaptive and innate immune suppression in children within
the first 2 days of septic shock is associated with adverse outcomes. And similar to
adults, clinical studies have demonstrated that higher initial pro-inflammatory responses
in children are associated with impairment of innate immunity, represented by decreased
monocyte HLA-DR expression, and adverse infection-related outcomes.
In summary, dysregulation in both the innate and adaptive immune responses during sepsis leads to a range of phenotypes consisting of both hyperinflammation and immunosuppression. This heterogeneity in sepsis phenotypes is likely responsible for the failure of multiple immunomodulatory therapies trialed in patients with sepsis. Precise and rapid characterization of the unique disturbances in the immune response in children with sepsis may promote personalized therapy and improved outcomes.

**Biomarkers and functional immunologic assays**

In order to characterize sepsis phenotypes in children and develop a personalized treatment plan, biomarkers and immunologic assays to assess the degree of immune dysregulation are needed. The goal of testing would be to diagnose and quantify impaired immunologic function (i.e., hyperinflammation and immunoparalysis) and identify which patients would benefit from immunomodulatory treatments. To date, however, no one specific marker of immune activation or suppression has been consistently associated with risk of poor outcome.

Single cytokine levels can be measured or tracked in the setting of sepsis, although a lag in availability of results hinders clinical applicability. Following trends in IL-6 or TNF levels may help determine the likelihood of recovery, with decreasing IL-6 levels associated with improved prognosis in septic adults\(^{27,28}\). An overproduction of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 or a high IL-10/TNF ratio, may be a predictor of severity and fatal outcome\(^{29}\).

Lymphopenia, a low circulating absolute number of lymphocytes, has been observed in patients following sepsis and may be secondary to apoptosis and/or decreased bone marrow production\(^{30,31}\). The degree and duration of lymphopenia is correlated with delayed hospital-acquired infections and death\(^{32}\). Lymphopenia is relatively easy to follow with peripheral blood count testing and may help to identify patients for whom immunostimulatory therapies could be useful\(^{33}\). In children with septic shock, infectious complications were associated with lower lymphocyte counts and reduced ability of lymphocytes to respond to stimulation\(^{24}\).

Functional immune testing, however, remains the gold standard for lymphocyte assessment because it directly measures the capacity of a cell population to respond to an immune challenge\(^{34}\). T-cell anergy or lack of proliferation can be assessed by mitogen stimulation. However, assays require time for incubation and access to flow cytometry with fluorescent probes, limiting their clinical use in rapidly evolving diseases such as sepsis. Quantification of co-inhibitory receptor expression, such as programmed cell death 1 (PD-1), may be an alternate and more accessible way to assess lymphocyte anergy in sepsis\(^{35}\). Overexpression of PD-1 and its ligand PDL-1 has been associated with decreased lymphocyte proliferation capacity, late infectious complications, and mortality\(^{36}\).

The antigen presenting molecule HLA-DR should be expressed on the surface of the vast majority of circulating monocytes. This can be quantified by flow cytometry as a percentage of circulating cells or molecules of HLA-DR per cell. Low HLA-DR is considered a hallmark of sepsis-induced immunosuppression\(^{37,38}\). In adults, HLA-DR <30% or <8,000
molecules/cell is associated with increased risk for nosocomial infection and mortality. The threshold of HLA-DR expression associated with adverse outcomes in children with sepsis is likely similar, though less clear due to limited data\textsuperscript{26}. The trajectory of HLA-DR expression may be more important than an absolute threshold, with lack of improvement in a low initial HLA-DR expression over the first week after sepsis onset being predictive of mortality, including in a study of children\textsuperscript{39}. Unfortunately, monocyte HLA-DR lacks standardization across individual laboratories and is subject to inconsistencies if processing is delayed. In addition, although a marker of immunologic state, it is not directly a functional assay.

HLA-DR expression correlates with TNF-\(\alpha\) secretion after \textit{ex vivo} endotoxin challenge, a potentially more functional assessment of monocyte responsiveness. Whole blood is incubated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and TNF-\(\alpha\) production is measured. Marked reduction in TNF-\(\alpha\) production indicates a decreased innate immune response capacity and has been associated with nosocomial infection, prolonged organ dysfunction, and mortality in children\textsuperscript{25,40,41}. However, care must be taken when performing this assay, as monocytes are prone to rapid adaptation to changes in their microenvironment, such as \textit{ex vivo} testing conditions\textsuperscript{42}.

The discussed measures of immune status and function are somewhat accessible, albeit to different degrees, and have been associated individually with outcomes, most with some study in children. Many of these assays link to immunomodulatory therapeutic agents addressed next. Further innovative approaches including gene expression profiling and complex endotyping are discussed under precision approaches to come.

**Immunomodulatory Therapies in Sepsis**

Since the 1960s, sepsis-induced inflammation has been a major therapeutic target. Initial anti-inflammatory therapies included high dose corticosteroids, antagonists to pro-inflammatory cytokines and endotoxins, TLR blockers, and platelet activating factor inhibitors\textsuperscript{43–45}. However, in the past two decades, numerous studies have shown that specifically targeting the sepsis induced pro-inflammatory response has not led to clear improvement in outcomes. This may be because the hyperinflammatory phase in sepsis confers some benefit, including initiating broad-based and timely immune activation\textsuperscript{46}, or because these therapies were given non-selectively to heterogeneous populations with different sepsis phenotypes. Additionally, our knowledge of the immunoparalysis phase of sepsis as well as the molecular signature of sepsis-induced immune dysregulation has greatly expanded. Utilizing an evidence-based, directed therapy approach to specifically target the major mechanism of immune dysregulation may improve outcomes. We focus herein on the current evidence and available immunomodulatory therapies (Figure 3), while acknowledging the advancements to come in precision endotyping and need for utilization of these subtypes in therapeutic trials and analyses.

**Immunosuppressive therapies**

Given that sepsis is essentially a dysregulated immune response mediated by both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, a large body of literature has developed surrounding the
use of generalized and individual cytokine-directed therapies. CytoSorb® (CytoSorbents Corporation, New Jersey, USA) is a potential anti-cytokine therapy that has demonstrated utility in a pilot study. The concept of using hemoperfusion to remove damaging immune mediators is not novel. Polymyxin B hemoperfusion (PMX-HP) directed at removing LPS has been studied extensively. Since endotoxin mediated tissue injury and resultant hyperinflammation is a major source of sepsis related mortality, LPS is a seemingly important target. However, a recent metaanalysis confirmed findings from smaller studies that found there was no significant difference in mortality among those who received PMX-HP and those who received standard therapy. The trial of PMX-HP set a precedent for consideration of other immune-filtration modalities as possible treatment options in sepsis. CytoSorb® is an extracorporeal device with a high-flow, low-resistance cytokine adsorbent, containing specially developed polymer beads with a huge adsorption surface and adsorption spectrum between 5 and 60 kDa. In a proof of concept pilot study, extracorporeal cytokine removal was applied for 24 hours in the early stage of septic shock. The trial met safety endpoints and the authors reported that even with a single treatment there were statistically significant reductions in vasopressor requirements, serum procalcitonin, and big-endothelin 1 (BigET-1), compared to controls. However, additional studies are needed to determine how these short-term clinical and biochemical improvements may impact outcomes and whether extracorporal cytokine removal has any benefit in pediatric sepsis.

There is more literature available surrounding the use of cytokine-directed therapy including TNF and IL-1 blockade, as well as IL-7, IL-15 and IFN-γ agonists. As mentioned previously, blockade of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF and IL-1 in heterogeneous populations of patients with sepsis has not led to clear improvement in outcomes. However, in a post-hoc analysis of a subset of adult patients with sepsis and features of macrophage activation syndrome, treatment with IL-1 receptor antagonist significantly decreased mortality. This suggests that improved phenotyping prior to immunomodulation is necessary to identify patients most likely to benefit from cytokine-directed therapies.

**Immunostimulatory therapies**

Immunostimulatory therapies to counter the immunoparalysis stage of sepsis, which is associated with significant late-stage mortality, are receiving increasing attention. As outlined above, immunoparalysis is characterized by dysfunction and apoptosis of numerous immune cells including lymphocytes, monocytes, and macrophages. To protect against fatal opportunistic infections associated with T cell lymphopenia, treatment with IL-7 has been proposed. Interleukin-7 is antiapoptotic and is necessary for lymphocyte survival and expansion. In addition, it induces proliferation of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. The IRIS-7 trial was a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of recombinant human IL-7 (CYT107) in patients with septic shock and severe lymphopenia. The study suggested that CYT107 was safe and well tolerated. Treatment with CYT107 resulted in a 3- to 4-fold increase in the absolute lymphocyte count and in circulating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, but there was no improvement in 28-day mortality. Interleukin-15 is important for natural killer (NK) cell, NKT cell, and memory CD8+ T-cell development and function, and has therefore been proposed for use as an immunostimulatory therapy in sepsis-induced immunoparalysis. The use of IL-15 in sepsis has only been studied.
in the mouse model\textsuperscript{57}, though clinical trials have demonstrated safety and efficacy in cancer patients\textsuperscript{58}. Guo et al reported that mice treated with high-dose IL-15 immediately following the onset of sepsis had worse outcomes, but the study did not test its impact later in disease when immunoparalysis may be expected\textsuperscript{57}. Given these mixed results, further clinical trials need to be performed with close attention to safety outcomes surrounding the use of IL-15. A recent case series, which included two pediatric patients, demonstrated that IFN-\(\gamma\) therapy was well-tolerated and improved immune host defense in sepsis-induced immunosuppression, as measured by HLA-DR expression in monocytes\textsuperscript{59}. A larger, multicenter placebo-controlled trial assessing the effect of IFN-\(\gamma\) therapy on immune function in patients with sepsis has been completed, though results have not yet been published (NCT01649921). Results from such studies will shed further light on the efficacy of IFN-\(\gamma\) therapy in sepsis.

Due to the potential role of T-cell exhaustion in sepsis-induced immunosuppression, antagonizing inhibitory immune checkpoints, which limit T-cell function, has been proposed. Immune checkpoint inhibitors are currently approved and in use for treatment of multiple cancers. As previously mentioned, increased PD-1 and PDL-1 have been documented in septic patients compared to healthy controls and in sepsis non-survivors compared to sepsis survivors\textsuperscript{15,60}. Preclinical data supports the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors to improve survival from secondary fungal infections following recovery from polymicrobial sepsis, but inconsistent protection is reported when they are used in primary polymicrobial sepsis\textsuperscript{61}. A phase 1b study of anti-PDL-1 in 24 patients with sepsis suggested it was safe, did not lead to hypercytokinemia, and resulted in a dose-dependent increase in monocyte HLA-DR expression\textsuperscript{62}, but further clinical trials have yet to be performed.

Impaired function of APCs has also been implicated in sepsis-induced immunosuppression. Tolerance to endotoxin and reduced antigen presentation capacity in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), defined by \textit{ex vivo} stimulation or HLA-DR expression, has been documented in children and adults with sepsis\textsuperscript{63}. Reduced HLA-DR has been associated with impaired monocyte function, increased secondary infections, and increased mortality in adults with sepsis\textsuperscript{64}. Consequently, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), a myelopoietic growth factor that impacts the survival, proliferation, and activation of neutrophils and monocytes as well as numerous other immune cells, has been promoted as a potential therapy to restore antigen presentation and reduce immunoparalysis in sepsis. In a small, randomized trial of septic neonates with neutropenia (n=60), GM-CSF therapy increased neutrophil, monocyte, lymphocyte, and platelet counts and was associated with decreased mortality\textsuperscript{65}. A trial in septic children with MODS with severe reduction in TNF response who were treated with GM-CSF had fewer nosocomial infections\textsuperscript{40}.

However, trials in children and adults that did not attempt to select for participants with immunoparalysis did not show similar benefits\textsuperscript{64,66}. A multicenter trial in children with sepsis and endotoxin tolerance is currently enrolling (NCT03769844).

**Precision approaches to pediatric sepsis care**

Sepsis is complex and heterogenous at the individual level, and to move the needle in development of effective therapeutics, we need to stratify patients into clearer
endotypes based on biological features (host factors, comorbidities, genetics)\textsuperscript{67}. Beyond single biomarkers, cell counts, and specific functional assays, broad screening and omics approaches have been used to identify and endotype patients with sepsis. Several investigators have published leukocyte derived mRNA transcriptomic gene expression and discovery-based biomarker studies utilizing modeling to identify subgroups of children with sepsis who have immunologic abnormalities in similar pathways and/or are at risk for particular outcomes\textsuperscript{68,69,70}. Sweeney et al pooled pediatric/neonatal transcriptomic sepsis datasets and noted three clusters: inflammopathic, adaptive, and coagulopathic\textsuperscript{71}. However, the therapeutic implications of these endotypes remain unclear and warrant further study. Additional considerations for endotyping critically-ill children with sepsis include the potential utility of sampling local inflammatory environments (e.g., the upper airway and lung in acute respiratory distress syndrome)\textsuperscript{72}; linking immunologic and other system (e.g., coagulation, hepatobiliary) abnormalities; and prediction of disease trajectories\textsuperscript{73}. Advanced consensus endotype definitions and multi-center cohorts are needed to solidify models for 1) development of rapid platforms/multiplex assays in a clinically actionable timeline and 2) interventional trials to tailor therapeutics in a precision medicine approach to clinical care for children with sepsis. To this point, accessibility and turnaround time of immune profiling and functional assays remains a limitation to applying results to clinical care. Newer technologies are being developed that utilize microfluidic platforms, single cell proteomics (e.g., Olink®, IsoPlexis\textsuperscript{©}), or rapid transcriptomic patterns that may provide more rapid results that can be used in clinical decision-making, monitoring patients over time, and/or assessing response to therapy.

**Conclusion**

Sepsis is a disorder of immune dysregulation triggered by infection\textsuperscript{1}. Although accumulation of environmental and infectious exposures modifies the immune system throughout childhood, little is known about how differences in innate and adaptive immunity based on age, comorbidities, prior exposures, and genetics impact the pathophysiology of pediatric sepsis and this remains an area for advancement. Accurate phenotyping of immune function during sepsis and endotyping of disease subtypes may be particularly important in children. Caution must be taken when extrapolating results from adult trials and animal models. To advance effective therapeutics for pediatric sepsis, we must move from undifferentiated immunomodulatory therapies (i.e., a one size fits all) to individual immune phenotyping and directed therapy. Advancements in the ability to perform multidimensional endotyping at the bedside will be central to developing a personalized approach to children with sepsis diagnosis and management.
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Impact bullet points:

- Immunologic responses during sepsis vary significantly among patients and evolve over the course of illness. The resulting spectrum of immunoparalysis that can occur due to sepsis can increase morbidity and mortality in children and adults.

- This narrative review summarizes the current literature surrounding biomarkers and functional immunologic assays for immune dysregulation in sepsis, with a focus on immunomodulatory therapies that have been evaluated in sepsis.

- A precision approach toward diagnostic endotyping and therapeutics including gene expression will allow for optimal clinical trials to evaluate efficacy of individualized and targeted treatments for pediatric sepsis.
Figure 1: Pathways of immune dysfunction associated with sepsis. The illustration depicts the dysregulation at the levels of the innate immune response (complement, neutrophils, monocytes-macrophages) as well as the adaptive immune response (T cells and B cells) that characterizes the immunopathology observed in the setting of sepsis.
Figure 2: Representative monocyte HLA-DR expression before and after GM-CSF treatment in sepsis.
This is an example of polychromatic flow-cytometry utilized to assess monocyte-associated HLA-DR expression pre and post GM-CSF treatment in a sepsis patient. Briefly, whole blood samples from a patient and commercially available flow-cytometry control samples (Streck, Omaha, NE) were stained with fluorochrome-conjugated monoclonal antibodies targeting the CD45, CD3 and HLA-DR surface markers, and hierarchical gating was utilized to evaluate HLA-DR expression on monocytes. Monocytes were identified based on CD45 expression and light scatter characteristics (side-scatter [SSC]) (left panel). Surface expression of HLA-DR on monocytes is demonstrated by utilizing the stacked histogram plot (right panel). The numerical values in the plot depict the frequency of monocytes expressing HLA-DR and response post GM-CSF therapy. Laboratory assays done under IRB-approved protocol at Lurie Children’s.
Figure 3: Targeted immunomodulatory therapies in sepsis.
Schematic depicting therapies trialed to target specific areas of immune dysregulation in sepsis. Treg: regulatory T cell, HLA-DR: human leukocyte antigen-DR, IFN: interferon, TNF: tumor necrosis factor, IL: interleukin, PD: programmed cell death, PDL: programmed cell death ligand.