Pre-Eruptive Coronal Resorption and Congenitally Missing Teeth in a Patient with Amelogenesis Imperfecta: A Case Report
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

This clinical report describes a male with autosomal recessive generalized hypoplastic amelogenesis imperfecta. This case is unusual in coronal resorptions prior to tooth eruption. This finding has been reported in some cases of autosomal recessive, autosomal dominant and X linked amelogenesis imperfecta (AI). In reported cases, the defects were usually small and occurred in a maximum of 2 teeth per person. In our case, pre-eruptive coronal resorptions affected three second molar teeth from both jaws. On the other hand; congenitally missing teeth and malocclusion were present in this case. Recall evaluations at 3 month intervals occurred for a period of 2 years and then prosthetic management began. (Eur J Dent 2009;3:140-144)
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\textbf{INTRODUCTION}

Amelogenesis imperfecta (AI) is a developmental, often inherited disorder affecting dental enamel. It usually occurs in the absence of systemic features and comprises diverse phenotypic entities.\textsuperscript{1} AI has an estimated prevalence of approximately between 1:8000 and 1:700.\textsuperscript{2} As in hereditary disorder, clustering in certain geographic areas may occur, resulting in a wide range of reported prevalence. In general, both the deciduous and permanent dentitions are diffusely involved.\textsuperscript{3,4}

Although AI is considered to primarily affect the enamel, further alterations could include unerupted teeth,\textsuperscript{1,4-8} congenitally missing teeth,\textsuperscript{4,8} taurodontism,\textsuperscript{1,4,6,7,9,10} pulpal calcifications,\textsuperscript{1,5,6,11} crown and root resorption,\textsuperscript{1,4-8} cementum deposition,\textsuperscript{5,6} truncated roots,\textsuperscript{4} dental and skeletal open bite,\textsuperscript{6,12} interradicular dentinal dysplasia,\textsuperscript{4,7} gingival hyperplasia\textsuperscript{5,8} and follicular hyperplasia.\textsuperscript{6}
As mentioned above, additional dental pathologies such as eruption failure accompanying amelogenesis imperfecta and crown resorptions, may be in question. In literature reports, crown resorption in pre-eruptive teeth has been demonstrated in one or a few teeth at maximum. This article presents a male with generalized hypoplastic amelogenesis imperfecta, who has crown resorptions in multiple pre-eruptive teeth accompanying congenital tooth loss.

**CASE REPORT**

20 years old male patient referred to the Department of Prosthodontic Dentistry in Ataturk University for aesthetic and tooth sensitivity complaints. His medical history and general physical condition were unremarkable. His hair, skin, and nails appeared normal. The pregnancy and the post-natal period had been uneventful. Patients parents were examined and showed unaffected permanent dentitions. No evidence of a similar condition could be elicited in the family history. The patient lived in a non-fluoridated area and had never taken fluoride supplements. Clinically, the permanent teeth were yellowish in color with a rough enamel surface as a result of mild hypoplasia. The incisal edges were thin and the teeth were widely spaced (Figure 1). Both upper second molars and lower first and second molars were clinically not visible. A general enlargement of the gingival tissues was not diagnosed but periodontal pockets were detected on the adjacent fully erupted teeth. Because of poor oral hygiene, presence of plaque accumulation and related chronic marginal gingivitis was in question.

The panoramic radiograph obtained at the referral showed permanent dentition which was affected by multiple intracoronal radioluencies in both upper second molars and lower right second molar. Whereas, the defects of the both upper second molars were limited to enamel and dentin; in the lower right second molar the lesion involved the pulp chamber (Figure 2). The clinical examination of the patient revealed that the soft tissues overlying the unerupted affected teeth were intact. Lower left first and second molars were congenitally missing. Lower right first molar tooth was surgically removed and a residual mesial root was visible in radiography. Upper left third molar was congenitally missing, upper and lower third molars were present but unerupted. The contrast between enamel and dentin was normal and all teeth were affected from some degree of taurodontism but pulp stones were not visible in radiography. In recall evaluation after 1 year, no changes were determined in preeruptive resorption of second molars and in eruption of

![Figure 1. Pretreatment view of teeth in occlusion.](image1)

![Figure 2. Panoramic radiograph of the patient at the first referral.](image2)
third molars (Figure 3). Upper right first molar and upper left canine were restored due to caries lesion and lower right second molar was extracted.

All teeth had small clinical crowns and axial angle of the teeth were not prepared ideally of 3-6° angle because of the teeth morphology; full-arch fixed denture were planned considering the retention of the denture after cementation.

Maxillary and mandibular anterior and posterior teeth were prepared for metal-ceramic restorations with narrow chamfer finish lines. Laboratory-processed provisional restorations were fabricated at an increased occlusal vertical dimension (3.0 mm), lined with methyl methacrylate acrylic resin (Major C&B-V Dentine, Major, Moncalieri, Italy) and cemented with zinc-oxide eugenol (Temp-Bond; Kerr Corp). The patient used the provisional restorations at the newly established occlusal vertical dimension for 6 months without complications. Final impressions of the prepared maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth were obtained using vinyl polysiloxane impression material (Elite H-D; Zhermack). Working casts were generated from Type IV die stone (Bego Bremer Goldschlagerei Herbst GMBH Germany, 6124166) and mounted onto the articulator (Hager & Werken, Duisburg, Germany) using interocclusal records. Full arch metal-ceramic fixed denture (Ivoclar Vivadent) replacing teeth were fabricated, evaluated intraorally, adjusted to the canine-protected occlusion and cemented with glass ionemer cement (Meron, Voco, Cuxhaven, Germany) in order to increase retention of dentures against short clinic crowns (Figure 4).

Recall evaluations at 6-month intervals occurred for a period of 1 year, and the patient did not experience tooth sensitivity or any other
complication associated with the oral rehabilitation. The patient’s esthetic and functional expectations were also satisfied.

**DISCUSSION**

In patients without AI, crown resorption is a rare but very striking, usually asymptomatic, clinical entity, which is discovered only as incidental radiographic finding. Crown resorption of unerupted teeth may be observed in patients with AI as in our case. Collins et al. found significantly higher crown resorptions in individuals with AI with respect to control group. Also in literature, occlusal resorption of enamel and dentine was identified in Ruston’s case of hereditary enamel hypoplasia. Active resorption in the crowns of unerupted teeth was reported in two siblings affected by autosomal recessive AI and considerable crown resorption was described by Williams and Ogden. In this process the etiology has been remained unclear, although several theories such as occult caries, periapical inflammation of a primary precursor causing epithelial disruption of the succeeding permanent tooth, idiopathic external resorption, and developmental defect due to an inclusion of uncalcified enamel matrix were proposed. The defects in this case were unlikely to be resulted from caries because they were already present before eruption. They were more likely to be resorptive lesions commencing after tooth crown development. It is possible that this situation may have arisen as a result of abnormalities in the follicles.

The prosthetic rehabilitation of AI patients has been previously presented in several case reports. The clinician must carefully balance the esthetic needs of the patient, strength of the restoration, protection of the remaining teeth, and long-term prognosis of the treatment. Treatment planning for patients with amelogenesis imperfecta is related to many factors: the age and socioeconomic status of the patient, the type and severity of the disorder, and the intraoral situation at the time the treatment is planned. Due to poor socioeconomic status, the patient refused all ceramic restorations. Therefore, in the present case, porcelain fused to a precious metal alloy approach was utilized for the restoration of the teeth. Both the marginal fit and the color acceptability of the restorations were satisfactory. Patients and dentists should discuss the advantages and disadvantages of treatment options in deciding the best treatment plan.

Management of amelogenesis imperfecta using fixed prosthodontics in the young adults is not a novel approach, but is possibly an underutilized one. The selected fixed prosthodontic treatment, albeit invasive, was more conservative than other considered alternatives. Other treatment methods involving extractions of remaining teeth and placement of removable prostheses or extractions of remaining teeth combined with implant-supported fixed or removable prosthodontics were considerably more radical and had greater incidence of clinical complications than conventional fixed and removable prosthodontics. This patient wished to retain his natural dentition as much as possible.
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