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Abstract—Built-up areas play a significant type of land use associated with the urbanization. Classifying the built-up area by using satellite image, is also a high demand for a relevant organization to investigate the urban sprawl. This study aims to develop an index used to classify the built-up areas accurately. In order to achieve the aim of the study, two objectives were intensively studied to compare the results from several indices used to appropriately classify the built-up areas from satellite data of Landsat 8. The second objective is to develop a built-up area index which is suitable for classifying the built-up areas. This study considers the two study areas, Bangkok and Bangkok International Airport, which encounter the rapid urbanization. This study employs a satellite image of Landsat 8 OLI (Operational Land Imager (OLI)). The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Normalized Difference Built-up Index (NDBI) were intensively examined to present a BUI map correspondingly. On the other hand, Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI) was tested to separate the water areas and wetlands from the built-up areas distinctively. Furthermore, the Modified Built-Up Index (MBUI) were developed based on the integration between the MNDWI and BUI map. As a result, it is clearly shown that the MBUI provides more accurate results of built-up area classification than the BUI. Also, the MBUI presents 82-83% accuracy of both study areas, which are higher than the BUI map. It is to say that MBUI can be employed to classify the built-up areas of the study areas accurately.

Index Terms—Built-up index, modified built-up index, GIS, remote sensing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Satellite image has been used to investigate the environmental phenomena over the world. Satellite remote sensing has a number of potential applications across a broad range of environmental disciplines. Recently, Landsat 8 (OLI), the downloadable data has been intensively studied in a broad range of land use applications. Land use planning and change are the other crucial missions derived from the investigation of Landsat data. In order to plan for the change of the land use and land cover, classification technics have been studied continuously. Satellite images are usually converted into useful information such as land cover maps using two conventional methods: manual interpretation and computer-assisted digital processing. Image interpretation can be done visually which is a time-consuming procedure, particularly with the large area. Based on the digital data emerging in the image, computer-assisted digital processing plays a significant role in land use and land cover classification due to the fast processing and high accuracy. Many authors explored indices conducted to classify land-use and landcover by using remote sensing data. It appeared a standard city classification method using a Built-up Index (BUI) and assessed its performance [1]. The images were classified according to the critical value of the BUI based on the values of the selected samples. It was concluded that Normalized Difference Built-up Index (NDBI) can be defined as the linear combination of the near-infrared band (0.76 ~ 0.90 µm) and the middle infrared (MIR) band (1.55 ~ 1.75 µm), used for extraction of urban built-up land [2]. As a study, it showed the NDBI (Normalized Difference Built-up Index) on the footprints of the NDVI using Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) near-infrared (NIR) band 4 (low reflectance in the built-up area) and mid-infrared (MIR) band 5 (high reflectance in the built-up area) [3]. The output NDBI was further refined by removing vegetation noise using NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index). Additionally, NDBI and NDVI were modified the approaches by employing continuous images of both indices [3] and [4]. The output was a continuous raster in which the pixels with higher values indicated a higher probability of them to represent built-up areas. It was found that the extraction of urban built land can be automatically done with NDBI [5]. However, the weakness of NDBI is that it cannot distinguish built up and bare land. For this reason, formulated a new urban index called Index-Based Built-up Index (IBI), derived from three other indices, SAVI, MNDWI, and NDBI, to map urban areas were created [6]. Also, a new index for mapping built-up and bare land called Enhanced Built-up and Bareness Index (EBBI), compared with IBI showed a high overall accuracy of EBBI in mapping built-up and bare land, while the IBI presented more accuracy in mapping built-up areas [7]. The study used three indices; Normalized Difference Built-up Index (NDBI), Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI), and Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI) to reduce the seven bands Landsat TM7 image into three thematic-oriented bands [8].

This study aims to develop the indices used in classifying built-up areas from agricultural areas. In order to achieve the aim of the study, two objectives were intensively studied to compare the results from several indices used to appropriately classify the built-up areas from satellite data of Landsat 8. The second objective is to develop a built-up area index which is suitable for classifying the built-up areas. Two study-areas where have been encountering the rapid urbanization were selected for this study. The processes of
developing indices were addressed with provided results of the validation.

II. METHOD AND STUDY AREA

The study areas present the urban areas, Bangkok International Airport (Fig. 1 (a)), and Bangkachao (Fig. 1 (b)). Formerly, these areas were agricultural lands, whereas the development in terms of infrastructures and facility transforms these areas towards urbanization. For this reason, the mixed land use between built-up areas and agricultural areas is a distinctive reason for this study. This also challenges to examine the classification technics to discriminate the built-up area separated from the agriculture lands.

In order to achieve the aim of the study, satellite image, Landsat 8 OLI data, was used to generate several indices to classify the built-up areas from the following workflow of the methodology (Fig. 2). All indices were recoded and calculated the response to the algorithms. As expected, Built-Up Index (BUI) was calculated by considering two significant indices, NDBI and NDVI. Simultaneously, enhancing the accuracy of built-up areas regardless of the water areas in the data, the MNDWI was generated to extract the water areas from others. Therefore, the Modified Built-Up Index was generated by the integration between MNDWI and BUI to extract the built-up areas from the agricultural areas precisely.

A. Thematic Oriented Index

In this study considers the following indices used to extract the built-up areas, which are

1) Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). This is primarily due to the internal structure of plant leaves. High reflectance in NIR and high absorption in Red spectrum, these two bands are used to calculate NDVI. So, the following formula gives Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI).

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{Red}}{\text{NIR} + \text{Red}} \quad (1)
\]

The NDVI value varies from -1 to 1. Higher the value of NDVI reflects high Near Infrared (NIR), means dense greenery.

2) Normalized difference built-up index (NDBI). It was proposed that the NDBI method and Landsat TM data were used to determine the urban area [3]. For Landsat OLI data, NDBI can be calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{NDBI} = \frac{\text{MIR} - \text{NIR}}{\text{MIR} + \text{NIR}} \quad (2)
\]

NDBI value lies between -1 to +1. A negative value of NDBI represents water bodies whereas higher value represents build-up areas. NDBI value for vegetation is low.

3) Built-up Index (BUI). Build-up Index (BUI) is the index for analysis of urban pattern using NDBI and NDVI. BUI is the binary image with only higher positive value indicates built-up and barren thus, allows BUI to map the built-up area automatically.

\[
\text{BUI} = \text{NDBI} - \text{NDVI} \quad (3)
\]

4) Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI). It was proposed that the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) would delineate open water features, which is expressed as follows [9]:

\[
\text{NDWI} = \frac{\text{GREEN} - \text{NIR}}{\text{GREEN} + \text{NIR}} \quad (4)
\]

where GREEN is a green band such as Landsat 8 data band 3, and NIR is a near infrared band such as Landsat 8 data band 5. This index maximizes reflectance of water by using green light wavelengths and minimizes low reflectance of NIR by water features. Therefore, water features are enhanced with positive values and vegetation and soil are presented as zero or negative values.

Fig. 1. Study areas, (a.) Bankachao and (b.) Bangkok International Airport.
However, the extracted water information in these regions was often mixed up with built-up land noise because many built-up lands also have positive values in the NDWI image. To remedy this problem, it is able to modify the NDWI by using a middle infrared (MIR) band such as Landsat 8 data band 5 to substitute the NIR band in the NDWI [10]. The MNDWI is expressed as follows:

\[
MNDWI = \frac{(\text{GREEN} - \text{MIR})}{(\text{GREEN} + \text{MIR})}
\]  

(5)

B. Arithmetic Manipulation of Recoded Indices

Regarding the above indices, the NDVI, NDBI, and MNDWI, were transformed into Binary index, which represents as 0 and 254 by considering two criteria before transforming as followed.

If “Mean value of index” > 0
  If “Index value” >= Mean value of index
    Recode = 254
  Else Recode = 0
Else
  If “Index value” >= (Mean value of index)^5 +(-0.02)
    Recode = 254
  Else Recode = 0

If the mean value of the index shown as a positive value, the DN (Digital Number) values, where are greater than the mean value must be transformed to 254, while the DN value is smaller than the mean value presenting as zero.

If the mean value of the index shown as a negative value, the DN values, where are greater than the mean value to the fifth power and added -0.02 to be 254, while the DN value is smaller than zero.

The derived NDVI image was recoded with 254 for all pixels having positive indices (vegetation) and 0 for all remaining pixels of negative indices. Derived NDBI image was recoded with 254 for all pixels having positive indices (built-up area) and 0 for all remaining pixels of negative indices. Finally, the derived MNDWI image was recoded with 254 for all pixels having positive indices (Water) and 0 for all remaining pixels of negative indices.

C. Modified Built-up Index (MBUI)

Considering the recoded indices, they can be integrated to enhance the quality of classification in built-up areas. This study proposes a developed technic, Modified Built-up Index (MBUI), which is integrated between BUI and MNDWI. According to the wetlands, these areas emerge shatteringly in the built-up area. Therefore, this study considers the BUI and MNDWI to enhance the contrast between built-up land and water to extract the built-up areas separately from other classes effectively as the following equation.

\[
MBUI = BUI - MNDWI
\]  

(6)

\[
MBUI = (\text{NDVI} - \text{NDBI}) - MNDWI
\]  

(7)

D. Accuracy Assessment

To compare the extraction accuracy between BUI and MBUI maps with Google Earth images. This study examines the extraction accuracy of BUI (overall accuracy are 0.70 and 0.80 in Table I) and MBUI maps (overall accuracy are 0.82 and 0.83 in Table I) by selecting 210 points, Fig. 3, from systematic random over the study areas.

It is clearly shown that this MBUI provides high accuracy of built-up area classification of both two study areas.
### TABLE I: THE OVERALL ACCURACY OF CLASSIFYING THE BUILT-UP AREAS IN THE STUDY AREAS

|       | BUI  | MBUI |
|-------|------|------|
| Area1 | 0.70 | 0.82 |
| Area2 | 0.80 | 0.83 |

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Index Results and Recoded Indices

The descriptive statistical results were listed in Table II response to the NDVI, NDBI, and MNDWI. These statistical data were recorded by considering the equation 6 to 7.

#### TABLE II: THE STATISTICS SUMMARY OF EACH INDEX TO USE FOR RECODED INDEX.

| Statistic | NDVI | NDBI | MNDWI |
|-----------|------|------|-------|
|           | Area1| Area2| Area1| Area2| Area1| Area2|
| Min       | -0.0844| -0.0911| -0.3458| -0.3660| -0.4881| -0.4561|
| Max       | 0.4588| 0.4594| 0.3053| 0.2979| 0.2924|
| Mean      | 0.1325| 0.1662| -0.0485| -0.0795| -0.0583| -0.0613|
| Std dev.  | 0.1011| 0.0995| 0.0949| 0.0828| 0.1068| 0.1071|

#### B. Comparison of Built-up Areas Extraction between BUI and MBUI

Considering the images present in Fig. 4, it is clearly shown that the MBUI map of both two study areas provides a better-classified result comparing with the real image of RGB map of the study areas.

#### IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

This modified index, it considers the major land-use types of image for extraction. Applying the MNDWI map to enhance the contrast between built-up land and water presents a better result than using the NDWI. According to the built-up land, it reflects the MIR radiation higher than the NIR radiation. Calculating the MNDWI, the built-up land should have negative values while keeping the water values positive. Accordingly, the enhanced water features will no longer have built-up land noise in an MNDWI image. This substitution has no impact on vegetation, as vegetation still has negative value when calculated using the above equations. Therefore, employing the MNDWI instead of NDWI to enhance water features in the built-up land-dominated urban area obviously. Consequently, the BUI map provides high accuracy of both study areas. The integration between MNDWI and BUI as MBUI can enhance the built-up areas distinctively. However, this technic is appropriate to the image which the digital number values
(DN values) show the normal distribution histogram. It is to say that it provides very little values (-0.02) of the difference between the median value and the mean value of the image data. Therefore, the MBUI would be suitable for an image where the digital number values perform as a normal distribution.
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