A possible sub-kpc dual AGN buried behind the galaxy curtain
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ABSTRACT

Although thousands of galaxy mergers are known, only a handful of sub-kpc scale Super Massive Black Hole (SMBH) pairs have been confirmed so far, leaving a huge gap between the observed and predicted numbers of such objects. Here we present a detailed analysis of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey optical spectrum and of near-infrared diffraction limited imaging of SDSSJ1431+3358. This is a local radio–quiet type 2 Active Galactic Nucleus (AGN) previously selected as double AGN candidate on the basis of the double–peaked [OIII] emission line. The near-infrared AO–assisted observations were obtained at the Large Binocular Telescope with the LUCI+FLAO camera. We found that most of the prominent optical emission lines are characterized by a double–peaked profile, mainly produced by AGN photoionization. Our spectroscopical analysis disfavors the hypothesis that the double-peaked emission lines in the source are the signatures of outflow kinematics, leaving open the possibility that we are detecting either the rotation of a single Narrow Line Region (NLR) or the presence of two SMBHs orbiting around a common central potential. The latter scenario is further supported by the high-spatial resolution NIR imaging: after subtracting the dominant contribution of the stellar bulge component in the host galaxy, we detect two faint nuclear sources at \( r < 0.5 \) kpc projected separation. Interestingly, the two sources have a position angle consistent with the one defined by the two regions where the [OIII] double peaks most likely originate. Beside the discovery of a promising sub-kpc scale dual AGN, our analysis shows the importance of an appropriate host galaxy subtraction in order to achieve a reliable estimate of the incidence of dual AGNs at small projected separations.
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1. Introduction

In the last few decades the presence of super massive black holes (SMBHs) at the centers of massive galaxies and the existence of correlations between their masses and their host galaxy bulges were observationally uncovered by different authors (e.g. Soltan 1982; Haehnelt & Rees 1993; Kormendy & Richstone 1995; Faber 1999; O’Dowd et al. 2002; Häring & Rix 2004; Ferrarese & Ford 2005; Kormendy & Ho 2013; Savorgnan et al. 2016). As a result of gas accretion onto the SMBH, a large amount of energy can be released, leading to the ignition of an Active Galactic Nucleus (AGN, Soltan 1982; Hopkins et al. 2007; Ueda et al. 2014). Galaxy interactions are one of the main processes that can drive gas inflows towards the nuclear region, thus triggering the AGN activity (Hernquist 1989; Barnes & Hernquist 1991; Mihos & Hernquist 1996; Barnes 2002; Springel 2005; Li et al. 2007; Hopkins & Hernquist 2009; Capelo & Dotti 2017; Blumenthal & Barnes 2018). Therefore, if SMBHs are ubiquitously present in the center of galaxies, the formation of dual AGNs (kpc separation) is expected in a large fraction of merging/merged galaxies. Following the ΛCDM cosmological paradigm predictions, in which galaxies grow hierarchically through (minor and major) mergers, dual AGNs should be located in a large number of sources.

Many of the systematic searches conducted so far are based on the quest for double–peaked narrow optical emission lines originating from two distinct narrow-line regions (NLRs). These studies yield a detection rate of few per cent of confirmed dual AGNs (see e.g. Shen et al. 2011; Fu et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2011). The incidence of confirmed dual AGN in merging system samples (Koss et al. 2012; Teng et al. 2012; Mezcua et al. 2014) or among the candidates selected by radio surveys (Burke-Spolaor 2011; Fu et al. 2015a,b; Burke-Spolaor et al. 2018) is slightly higher, but still below 10%, hence quite low with respect to the expectations based on the merger rate of galaxies (e.g. Springel et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2005; Van Wassenhove et al. 2012; Capelo et al. 2017). However, it is important to note that all these observational studies suffer from biases that could significantly reduce the detection rate of dual AGN. Some of these biases (e.g.: the wavelength used, the incompleteness of the samples and the difficulty in resolving the pairs at small projected sepa-
...are due to dual nuclei or to kinematical effects occurring in the surrounding of a single AGN, i.e. jet-cloud interactions or outflows (Heckman et al. 1984; Whittle et al. 2005; Rosario et al. 2010; Gabayni et al. 2017), a rotating, disk-like NLR (Xu & Koss 2009; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2011), or the combination of a blubbly NLR and extinction effects (Crenshaw et al. 2010). Therefore, follow-up observations, including high-spatial resolution imaging combined with spatially-resolved spectroscopy, have been used by different authors to further investigate the kinematical origin of double–peaked emission lines (see e.g. Fu et al. 2011, 2012; McGurk et al. 2015; Tingay & Wayth 2011; Comerford et al. 2012; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2015).

In principle, high spatial resolution (sub-arcsec) observations allow to identify dual AGNs down to sub-kpc scale. Nevertheless, a strong limitation is that strict observability constraints are often needed to achieve the required sub-arcsec imaging quality, which limits the number of dual AGN candidates that could be investigated in details so far. This is particularly true for radio–quiet objects, which represent the majority of the AGN population. Optical and Near-Infrared (NIR) imaging performed with Adaptive Optics (AO) systems requires both optimal seeing conditions and the presence of at least one bright point-like source within much less than one arc minute by the target. X-ray observations could reach the sub-arcsec spatial resolution with the Chandra sub-pixel imaging technique (see e.g. Fabbiano et al. 2018). However, in this case, a rather large amount of net counts (at least 500-1000) are required, limiting the studies to very few bright nearby dual AGN candidates.

By combining the results of the four spectroscopic samples quoted above (Wang et al. 2009; Smith et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2010; Ge et al. 2012), there are ∼930 AGNs at z ≤ 0.15 with a double–peaked [OIII]5008Å line and only 5% of them have been followed-up with sub-arcsec spatial resolution imaging in the optical and/or NIR bands (i.e. Fu et al. 2012; McGurk et al. 2015). For only a small fraction of them (~17%), a companion within 3″ (less than 10 kpc of projected separation at z≤0.15) has been directly discerned in the sub-arcsec resolution imaging and thus further investigated through spatially-resolved spectroscopy as possible dual AGN. Indeed, as we will discuss in this paper, the fraction of dual AGNs on kpc/sub-kpc scale could be actually higher than what inferred by searching for a companion directly visible on sub-arcsec imaging. Even the high resolution imaging may miss the presence of multiple nuclear components if their emission is dimmed by dust and gas and/or blended with a dominant diffuse stellar bulge component from the host galaxy.

In this paper we present the results obtained on a radio–quiet1 dual AGN candidate: SDSS J143132.84+435807.20 (type 2, z = 0.09604, hereafter SDSS J1431+4358), which belongs to the samples of Wang et al. (2009) and Ge et al. (2012). The NIR follow-up was performed with the LUCI camera, in diffraction–limited mode, at the Large Binocular Telescope (LBT). As we will show, in order to maximize the possibility of identifying multiple nuclei, the dominant stellar contribution should be adequately taken into account and subtracted.

This galaxy shows a clear double–peaked [OIII] emission line in the SDSS–DR7 optical spectrum (Wang et al. 2009; Ge et al. 2012), which prompted a follow up by Nevin et al. (2016) with spatially resolved long-slit spectroscopy. In Section 2, we summarize the previous results, while in Section 3 we present our analysis of the SDSS–DR15 spectrum, along with the observations and the analysis we performed on the LBT and on the Swift – X-ray Telescope data of SDSS J1431+4358. The results are presented in Section 4. In Section 5, we combine the spectroscopic information with the NIR results in light of the most plausible physical scenarios for SDSS J1431+4358. Section 6 presents our conclusions.

Throughout the paper we assume a flat ΛCDM cosmology with H₀ = 69.6 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, ΩM = 0.7 and ΩΛ = 0.3. All the magnitudes are in the Vega system. Errors are given at 68 per cent confidence level.

1 The source is undetected down to about 1 mJy (5σ) at 1.4 GHz in the VLA FIRST survey (Becker et al. 1995).

2 The SDSS–DR15 acronym means that the spectrum was downloaded by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 15 website: http://skyserver.sdss.org/dr15/en/home.aspx
2. SDSS J1431+4358

SDSS J1431+4358 is a local (z=0.09604) bulge-dominated galaxy (see also Sect. 3.2) which, from a visual inspection, appears isolated and undisturbed (see also Ge et al. 2012). It belongs to a sample of 87 SDSS–DR7 type 2 AGNs with double-peaked [OII] profiles selected and analyzed by Wang et al. (2009). In that work, the [OII] line was fit with a blue-shifted (λ_{0}=2.89±0.33Å) and a red-shifted (λ_{0}=3.23±0.35Å) component, neither of which is at the systemic velocity of the host galaxy. The corresponding fluxes of the blue-shifted and red-shifted components as estimated by Wang et al. (2009) are: $F_{\text{[OII]}blue,\text{obs}}=(66±9)\times10^{-17}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $F_{\text{[OII]}red,\text{obs}}=(60±9)\times10^{-17}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The source is also included in the double-peaked emission-line galaxy sample selected by Ge et al. (2012). These authors investigated the nature of each peak component through the BPT diagram (Baldwin et al. 1981) and inferred that both the blue and the red component for SDSS J1431+4358 are produced by AGN photoionization.

SDSS J1431+4358 was further observed by Nevin et al. (2016) with the Apache Point Observatory Dual Imaging Spectrograph (0.42”/pixel in the blue channel, 0.4/”pixel in the red channel). The authors investigated the origin of double–peaked narrow lines for a sample of double–peaked type 2 AGNs at z<0.1 through spatially resolved long–slit spectroscopy. Observations at two position angles were obtained for each source in order to constrain the orientation of the NLR. As quoted by Nevin et al. (2016), while they were unable to directly identify dual AGNs with long–slit data alone, they defined a classification method to distinguish NLR kinematic classification as rotational or outflow-dominated. As for SDSS J1431+4358, the two positional angles used during the observations were orthogonal (PA$_{\text{obs1}}$=87 deg and PA$_{\text{obs2}}$=177 deg) and one of them is coincident with the photometric major axis of the host galaxy, as derived from the SDSS r-band photometry (PA$_{\text{gal}}$=87 deg). Following Nevin et al. (2016), the inferred NLR position angle is PA$_{\text{NLR}}$=59 deg. By analyzing the double–peaked profiles at each spatial position, the authors found the possible presence of a broad [OII] emission line component (σ >500 km s$^{-1}$) in the spectrum collected along the positional angle of PA$_{\text{obs1}}$=87 deg. Although the very large uncertainties associated to the velocity dispersion value (σ=578±283 km s$^{-1}$) make the detection of a broad [OII] component only tentative, Nevin et al. (2016) classified the NLR kinematics as possibly outflow-dominated (see also Müller-Sánchez et al. 2011). As it will be discussed in the next sections, although the spectral analysis does not rule out the presence of an ionized outflow component, we find that this mechanism can hardly be the main origin of the double–peaked emission lines observed in the optical spectrum of SDSS J1431+4358.

3. Observations and Data Analysis

3.1. The SDSS–DR15 optical spectrum

We analyzed the publicly available SDSS–DR15 optical spectrum of SDSS J1431+4358 (see Fig. 1) focusing on the presence and the properties of double–peaked emission line profiles. We first fitted the host galaxy spectral stellar continuum using the penalized Pixon-Fitting method (pPXF, Cappellari & Emellem 2004; Cappellari 2017) with MILES single stellar population (SSP) models (Vazdekis et al. 2010). We did not allow pPXF to fit also the nebular emission lines, since we do it in a second stage using our own procedures. The obscuration of the active nucleus allowed us to determine the redshift of the host galaxy (z=0.09597±0.09597) through the stellar absorption lines. In Fig. 1 the best-fitting MILES model (red line) is overlaid on the rest–frame SDSS–DR15 spectrum (black line). The residuals obtained by the subtraction of the stellar component from the observed spectrum are also shown (Fig. 1, green line). In the following, we present the analysis performed on the residual spectrum. We verified that our results do not depend (within the 1σ uncertainties) on the subtracted stellar continuum by running the spectral fitting subtraction several times and with different templates.

For the spectral modeling we used the Python package SHERPA$^{3}$. To investigate the presence and the properties of the double–peaked profiles, we mainly focussed on the [OIII], [SII], the Hβ + [NII],[[OIII]], and the [NII],[SII],[OIII], [SII], and [OIII] emission lines. For the remaining and less prominent emission lines visible in the spectrum (i.e. [OII] and [OI], see Fig. 1) the spectral fitting analysis does not allow us to reach reliable conclusions. In particular, the [OII] emission line is a doublet (λ3727Å and λ3730Å); these emission lines are too close in wavelengths and would require a higher spectral quality (both in term of spectral resolution and S/N) to detect and properly model any double peak. Regarding the [OI] emission line, it could be equally well fitted by two narrow Gaussian components or by a single one plus broad wings. A broad component is often observed for the [OI], which is generally interpreted as an evidence that the emitting clouds are optically thick to the ionizing radiation (Osterbrock 1991). In the observed spectrum the Hβ line is only seen in absorption. However, once we subtract the dominant galaxy contribution, as shown in Fig. 1, a weak narrow emission component emerges. Due to low signal-to-noise ratio (S/N<3 at the emission line peak), it is not possible to statistically distinguish if the Hβ emission line profile is better reproduced by a single or a double emission line components. We performed the spectral fitting of the residual spectrum in the

3. https://sherpa.readthedocs.io/en/latest/
rest–frame 4820–4900Å spectral range by assuming both a single and double Gaussian component. In the first case, we found that the H\(β\) emission line can be well reproduced by a narrow \((FWHM\sim390\text{ km s}^{-1}, F_{H\beta}\sim19 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})\) Gaussian component at the systemic velocity of the host galaxy. The best fit parameters found by assuming two Gaussian components are reported in Table 1. In this case, since the widths of the emission components result unconstrained, we assumed that they are of the same order, in units of km s\(^{-1}\), of those estimated for the strongest narrow emission lines present in the spectrum (see below).

![Fig. 2. Residual spectrum of SDSS J1431+4358 (green symbols in this figure and in Fig. 1) around the \([\text{OIII}]\lambda4960\text{Å}, \lambda5008\text{Å} emission line region. The spectrum is shown in the rest-frame and the wavelengths reported for the transitions are in vacuum. Grey dashed vertical lines mark the position of the emission line at the systemic velocity of the host galaxy as derived by the stellar absorption lines. Panel a): the black solid line is the model obtained by adding to the basic model a narrow \((FWHM\sim3\sigma)\) component for both the H\(\alpha\) and \([\text{NII}]\lambda6585\text{Å}\) emission lines. The results of the fit reported in Table 1. In this case, since the widths of the emission components are in vacuum. Grey dashed vertical lines mark the position of the emission line at the systemic velocity of the host galaxy. Panel a): the black solid line is the model obtained by adding to the combination of a power-law continuum plus two Gaussian emission line components for each of the \([\text{OIII}]\) emission lines. Panel b): relevant residuals, shown in terms of sigmas.

![Fig. 3. Residual spectrum of SDSS J1431+4358 (green symbols in this figure and in Fig. 1) around the \(H\alpha + [\text{NII}]\lambda6550\text{Å}, \lambda6585\text{Å} emission line region. The spectrum is shown in the rest-frame and the wavelengths reported for the transitions are in vacuum. Grey dashed vertical lines mark the position of the emission line at the systemic velocity of the host galaxy. Panel a): the black solid line is the model obtained by the combination of a power-law continuum plus two Gaussian emission line components for both the H\(\alpha\) and for each of the \([\text{NII}]\) emission lines. Panel b): relevant residuals, shown in terms of sigmas.

![Fig. 4. Residual spectrum of SDSS J1431+4358 (green symbols in this figure and in Fig. 1) around the \([\text{[SII]}\lambda6718\text{Å}, \lambda6733\text{Å} emission line region. The spectrum is shown in the rest-frame and the wavelengths reported for the transitions are in vacuum. Grey dashed vertical lines mark the position of the emission line at the systemic velocity of the host galaxy. Panel a): the black solid line is the model obtained by the combination of a power-law continuum plus two Gaussian emission line components for each of the \([\text{SII}]\) emission lines. Panel b): relevant residuals, shown in terms of sigmas.

\([\text{OIII}]\lambda4960\text{Å}, \lambda5008\text{Å} emission lines – As shown in the zoomed part of the spectrum in Fig. 1, the \([\text{OIII}]\lambda5008\text{Å} emission line clearly presents a double–peaked profile. We considered the residual spectrum (see Fig. 1) in the rest–frame 4930–5040Å spectral region. This covers both the \([\text{OIII}]\lambda4960\text{Å} and the \([\text{OIII}]\lambda5008\text{Å} emission lines and excludes spectral zones where absorption lines are present. We used a combination of a power-law continuum plus two Gaussian components for each of the \([\text{OIII}]\) emission lines. The emission line centroids and their relative intensities were set to be all independent. We allowed their widths to be free to vary, but we kept the width of the blue (red) \([\text{OIII}]\lambda4960\text{Å} emission line component tied to the blue (red) \([\text{OIII}]\lambda5008\text{Å} line component. The results of the fit are shown in Fig. 2, panels a) and b), where the only significant residuals (\(\sim3\sigma\)) are visible around 5018–5020Å. The addition of a narrow \((FWHM\sim250\text{ km s}^{-1})\) Gaussian emission line component at 5020Å statistically improves the fit at more than 99.99% confidence level. The wavelength of this narrow line coincides with the rest-frame wavelength of the strongest Fe II emission

\(\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}\)
line group emitting between 4930-5050 Å (α6–z6Po transition, multiplet 42), typically observed in the type 1 and Narrow Line Seyfert 1 spectra (see e.g. Véron-Cetty et al. 2004). We checked that the other emission lines associated with the same iron transition, i.e. around at 4924Å and around at 5169Å, are also present in the SDSS J1431+4358 spectrum. With the exception of the iron excess, only very low statistical significance (<2σ) residuals are present in the residual shown in panel b) and no further components are requested by the fit. In spite of this, following the Nevin et al. (2016) results on the possible presence of an outflowing material, we also included two additional broad Gaussian emission line components (see panels c) and d) of Fig. 2). The first one around the 4940-4955Å excess and a second one around the 4990-5000Å excess (both marked as “wing?” in Fig. 2). For both of them we found similar FWHM (~500 km s⁻¹) and similar off-set central wavelength (~700 km/s with respect to the [OIII]λ4960Å and the [OIII]λ5008Å emission lines, respectively.

Best fit values relevant to the [OIII] narrow emission lines are reported in Table 1; we note that they do not depend on the adopted model (with or without broad wings). Our results on the [OIII]λ5008Å lines are in agreement, within the uncertainties, with those obtained by Wang et al. (2009) in terms of peak offsets and line flux values.

\[
\text{Ha} + [\text{NII}]\lambda 6550Å, \lambda 6585Å \text{ emission lines} \quad \text{– As in the case of the [OIII]λ5008Å, also the Hα emission line shows a clear double–peaked profile (see Fig. 3). In order to include in our analysis both the Hα and the [NII] lines, we fitted the residual spectrum of SDSS J1431+4358 between 6525Å and 6615Å. The Hα profile requires two Gaussian components, both of them characterized by a FWHM of the same order as the [OIII]λ5008Å widths. Conversely, the [NII] emission lines can be well fitted by a single broader Gaussian having FWHM similar to the combination of the two (blue and red) [OIII]λ5008Å components (i.e. ~650-700 km s⁻¹). However, we note that the worse resolving power at higher wavelengths (from ~2.5Å@3800Å to ~3.6Å@9000Å), combined with the larger critical electron density for de-excitation of the [NII] transition with respect to the [OIII], can slightly broaden the line profile (Osterbrock 1991). This in turns implies that, with the quality of the current spectra, an intrinsically double–peaked profile for the [NII] emission line would appear as a single broad line. To test if the apparent broadening could be due to the presence of double–peaked lines, we replaced each of them with two emission lines. We thus make the approximation that also the two [NII] emission lines (at 6550Å and 6585Å) are both double–peaked. We then assumed that their widths are of the same order, in units of km s⁻¹, of those estimated for the other narrow emission lines, i.e. [OIII] and Hα. In particular, we tied the [NII] widths to the [OIII] values. In this case, two Gaussian components are indeed clearly requested to reproduce each of the [NII] emission lines. The fitting results are shown in Fig. 3 while the best fit parameters are reported in Table 1.
\]

\[
\text{[SII]}\lambda 6718Å, \lambda 6731Å \text{ emission lines} \quad \text{– The spectral region around the [SII] emission lines is shown in Fig. 4 (panel a), green symbols). As for the [NII] emission lines, we assumed the emission line widths of the [SII] components to be equal to those of the [OIII] emission lines, in units of km s⁻¹. Although with larger uncertainties, we found that also in this case, each of the [SII] emission lines can be well reproduced by the combination of two Gaussian components (see Fig. 4 and Table 1).}
\]

3.2. LBT observations

We observed the dual AGN candidate, SDSS J1431+4358 (KS~13.8 mag) with the Large Binocular Telescope (LBT)-LUCI2 camera (one of the two LBT Utility Cameras in the Infrared, Seifert et al. 2003) with the First Light AO System (FLAO, Esposito et al. 2012) in diffraction limited mode. The observations were performed on 9th May 2018 (Program ID: LBT-2018A-C1349-12, P.I. Severgnini) in the K band using the N30-camera (pixel scale of 0.015 arcsec/pixel) for a total exposure time of 1800 sec and on-source exposure time of 1200 sec. The observations were conducted under a natural seeing condition of 0.7’’ (FWHM), which allowed us to reach an image quality of 0.15’’ (FWHM).

The LUCI data reduction pipeline developed at INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma\(^5\) was used to perform the basic data reduction that includes dark subtraction, bad pixel masking, cosmic ray removal, flat fielding and sky subtraction. Astrometric solutions for individual frames were obtained, and the single frames were then recombined using a weighted co-addition to obtain the final stacked image normalized to 1 sec of exposure. In Fig. 5 (left panel), we show a zoom on the 5’’x5’’ reduced K-band image. The target is clearly resolved (Fig. 5, right panel) and bulge dominated. As expected on the basis of the optical spectrum (see Fig. 1) and on the basis of the R-K color (~2.3 mag), typical of local normal galaxies (e.g. Mannucci et al. 2001; Chang et al. 2006), the host galaxy emission dominates not only the optical bands but also the NIR one. This implies that, if a nuclear component is present, regardless of whether it is a single or a double component, its infrared emission is completely diluted by the host galaxy emission and therefore, it cannot be directly detected without a proper bulge component subtraction from the NIR image.

3.2.1. Photometric fitting residuals: GALFIT

Since the double nuclei could be hidden by the galaxy continuum emission, we then proceeded to fit the galaxy profile and subtracted it from the data. We used the two-dimensional fitting algorithm GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002, 2010) and adopted for the galaxy the 1–D Sérsic profile (Sérsic 1963):

\[
I(r) = I_e \exp \left( -b_n \left( \left( \frac{r}{r_e} \right)^{1/n} - 1 \right) \right)
\]

where \(I_e\) is the pixel surface brightness at radius \(r_e\) and the shape of the profile is determined by the Sérsic index \(n\). This function allows to model an exponential disk \((n=1)\), or a classical bulge component, usually characterized by \(n > 2\), and in particular the de Vaucouleurs profile (de Vaucouleurs 1948) with \(n=4\).

To fit the galaxy profile of SDSS J1431+4358, we convolved a 2–D Sérsic model with the point spread function (PSF). For the PSF, we used the true image of a high S/N point-like source in the LUCI field of view, located at 5.5’’ from the target galaxy (the 1-D profile is shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, blue points). In the fitting procedure we used also a flat background sky and allowed the centroid \((x,y)\), the Sérsic index \(n\), the effective radius \(R_e\) and the total magnitude to vary. The galaxy contribution is

\footnote{The KS magnitude was taken by the final release of the 2MASS Extended objects, https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/Gator/nph-dd.}

\footnote{http://www.oa-roma.inaf.it}
possibly due to the AGN contribution. The residual image pro-

Table 1. Best fit values of the most prominent emission line components in the SDSS–DR15 spectrum of SDSS J1431+4358 (see Fig. 1). The best fit parameters were obtained by imposing the widths of all the blue (red) Gaussian components to be of the same order, in units of km s\(^{-1}\), of the width estimated for the blue (red) [OIII]4500.2Å component, where \(FWHM([OIII]_{\text{blue}}) \approx 288 \pm 42\) km s\(^{-1}\) and \(FWHM([OIII]_{\text{red}}) = 329 \pm 72\) km s\(^{-1}\).

| Spectral line    | \(\lambda_{\text{peak}} [\text{Å}]\) | \(\Delta \lambda_{\text{peak}} [\text{Å}]\) | \(\Delta v_{\text{peak}} [\text{km/s}]\) | \(v_{\text{red}} - v_{\text{blue}} [\text{km/s}]\) | Flux \([10^{-17} \text{erg/cm}^2\text{s}]\) | Luminosity \([10^{40} \text{erg/s}]\) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| H\(\beta\)4892.7Å | 4860.3±2.0                           | ~2.4                                  | ~148                            | ~250                          | ~12             | ~0.3            |
|                  | 4864.3±2.0                           | ~1.6                                  | ~100                            | ~9                            | ~0.2            |                 |
| [OIII]4960.3Å    | 4957.1±1.5                           | 3.2±2.1                               | ~194±128                        | 436±100                       | 13±10           | 0.3±0.6         |
|                  | 4964.3±0.7                           | 4.0±1.0                               | 242±60                          | 31±20                         | 0.7±0.2         |                 |
| [OIII]5008.2Å    | 5005.6±0.5                           | 2.6±0.7                               | ~156±42                         | 366±42                        | 77±15           | 1.8±0.4         |
|                  | 5011.7±0.5                           | 3.5±0.7                               | 210±42                          | 89±28                         | 2.1±0.4         |                 |
| [NII]6549.9Å     | 6545.0±2.0                           | 4.9±2.8                               | ~223±130                        | 310±110                       | 22±14           | 0.5±0.3         |
|                  | 6551.8±1.3                           | 1.9±1.8                               | 87±84                           | 37±24                         | 0.9±0.4         |                 |
| H\(\alpha\)6564.6Å | 6561.5±0.5                          | 3.1±0.7                               | ~142±32                         | 348±30                        | 87±22           | 2.1±0.4         |
|                  | 6569.1±0.4                           | 4.5±0.6                               | 206±26                          | 94±24                         | 2.2±0.4         |                 |
| [NII]6585.3Å     | 6581.5±1.3                           | 3.8±1.8                               | ~173±85                         | 296±87                        | 96±25           | 2.2±0.5         |
|                  | 6588.0±1.4                           | 2.7±1.9                               | 123±90                          | 110±30                        | 2.6±0.5         |                 |
| [SII]6718.3Å     | 6714.3±1.2                           | 4.0±1.7                               | ~179±76                         | 335±120                       | 36±10           | 0.8±0.2         |
|                  | 6721.8±2.4                           | ~3.5                                  | ~156                            | 32±16                         | 0.7±0.3         |                 |
| [SII]6732.7Å     | 6728.8±3.4                           | ~3.9                                  | ~174                            | ~263                          | ~24             | ~0.6            |
|                  | 6734.7±3.4                           | ~2.0                                  | ~89                             | ~40                           | ~0.9            |                 |

Notes. Col. (1): Spectral lines (rest–frame vacuum wavelengths). Col. (2): Rest-frame wavelengths of the peaks of the blue and red-shifted emission line components. Col. (3): Doppler shifts of the blue and red emission line components. Col (4): Line of sight velocity offsets of the blue and red-shifted components. Col. (5): Line of sight velocity offset between red and blue peaks. Col. (6)-(7): Fluxes and luminosities, corrected for Galactic extinction, of the blue and red-shifted components.

well reproduced \( \chi^2/d.o.f = 1.03 \) with a Sérsic index \( n = 4.8 \pm 0.1 \) and an effective radius \( R_e = (2.7 \pm 0.1)'' = (4.8 \pm 0.1) \) kpc.

In Fig. 6, we compare the radial profile measured from the K-band image, using circular annuli centered on the nuclear source (red points), and the predicted radial profile derived from the best fitting Sérsic model (blue points). Within ~0.2'' of radius, positive residuals with respect to the Sérsic model are present, possibly due to the AGN contribution. The residual image produced by GALFIT in the central 0.24''x0.24'' region is shown in Fig. 7 (left panel). The image reveals the presence of two possible distinct nuclear components at projected separation of ~0.12'', as measured from the distance of the two brightest pixels. The northern and brighter component has a projected position almost spatially coincident with the host galaxy center. The measured positional angle is \( \approx 50 \) deg, in agreement with the orientation measured for the NLR (Nevin et al. 2016, PA\([OIII] \approx 59 \) deg).
deg). The contour levels in Fig. 7 (left panel) mark the pixels with a value from 2.5 to 4 times the standard deviation of the background.

In order to assess whether two point–like sources at such a small angular separation can be actually resolved by AO assisted LUCI observations, we performed some basic simulations with GALFIT. We modeled the two point-like sources by convolving two Gaussians, having the minimum FWHM value allowed by GALFIT (FWHM=1.5\times10^{-3} arcsec), with the true PSF of the image (see above). We then added the true background r.m.s. derived by the real image. We produced several simulated images for different values of the relative intensity and separation between the two point-like sources. We verified that down to an angular separation of about 0.12" the two simulated sources appear actually resolved. In particular, we found that the two observed NIR blobs can be well reproduced by two sources at ~0.12-0.2" apart (corresponding to ~215-360 pc). The K-band magnitudes of the northern and the southern simulated sources are ~19 mag and ~20 mag, respectively. Since these magnitudes were estimated by imposing that the emission peaks in the simulated images had the same value as the peaks in the real image, they strongly depend on the adopted background noise. Using different background r.m.s. image derived by different zones of the real image, we estimated that the uncertainties on the magnitudes are of the order of 1 mag. As an example of our simulations, in Fig. 7 (right panel) we show one of the simulated residual maps obtained by using two point like sources with a relative distance of 0.12" and with K-magnitudes equal to 19.5 mag and 20.3 mag.

3.3. Swift-XRT observation

As X-ray data were not available for SDSS J1431+4358, we recently were awarded an explorative observation with the Swift – X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005). This observation was carried out through a Target of Opportunity (ToO) request (5 ksec, Target ID: 13292, observation date: 2020 March 18). The 0.3-10 keV image was extracted using the on-line XRT data product generator\(^6\) (Evans et al. 2007, 2009). SDSS J1431+4358 was not detected down to a 3\sigma limiting flux of about 10^{-13} erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). We note that the source was not detected also in the 0.3-4 keV and 4-10 keV images.

4. Results

Our analysis of the SDSS spectrum (Sect. 3.1) confirms the type 2 spectroscopic classification of SDSS J1431+4358 (Wang et al. 2009; Ge et al. 2012). The lack of significant broad emission line components up to the H\(_\alpha\) wavelengths suggests an intrinsic A\(_V\)\(\geq\)3.2 mag (e.g., Gilli et al. 2001).

We found that both the [OIII]\(\lambda\)5008Å and the H\(_\alpha\) emission lines show a clear double–peaked profile, which is well fitted by two narrow Gaussian components. Once we constrain the FWHM of the other emission lines, which are present in the spectrum, to be of the same order of the width of the [OIII] lines, we found that also the [NII] and the [SII] emissions could be accounted for by two gaussian emission line components. The shifts between the blue (red) peak and the systemic redshift are similar, within the uncertainties, for all the observed transitions across the full spectral range (see Table 1). In particular, for the [OIII]\(\lambda\)5008Å and the H\(_\alpha\) emission lines, where the presence of

---

\(^6\) https://www.swift.ac.uk/user_objects/
double components are clearly evident, neither the blue nor the red peaks are consistent with the nominal systemic velocity of the host galaxy (derived independently from the stellar absorption lines). We found that the flux ratios between the blue and red peaks are of the order of one for most of the more prominent emission lines.

Regarding the Hβ transition, a weak narrow emission line is visible only in the residual spectrum, while in the observed spectrum the relevant stellar absorption component fully overwhelms it. Due to the low S/N, the emission-line profile can be well fitted by either a single (see Sect. 3.1) or double Gaussian components (see Table 1).

We then investigated the nature of the ionizing source producing the blue and the red peak components through the BPT diagnostic diagram (see Fig. 8). From this figure we can see that both the blue and the red peaks detected in the SDSSJ1431+4358 spectrum occupy the AGN locus, where the gas is mainly photoionised by the AGN emission (see also Ge et al. 2012).

In agreement with the results found by Nevin et al. (2016), our analysis does not rule out the presence of an ionized outflow component; indeed, a faint [OIII] broader (FWHM ~ 500 km s\(^{-1}\)) blue wing is tentatively detected. However, this component can not explain the double-peak profile of the [OIII] line. This latter is indeed well reproduced by two further narrow Gaussian components (blue and red-shifted, respectively) with similar peak intensities.

The K-band emission of SDSSJ1431+4358, as well as the optical one, is fully dominated by the host galaxy contribution. Thanks to the tight sub-arcsec sampling provided by the LBT-LUCI NIR camera in AO mode (0.015″/pixel), we unveiled significant residuals in the nuclear zone (within 0.2 arcsec) with respect to the expectation for a single galaxy model. After subtracting the host galaxy contribution, two spatially distinct NIR components emerge at sub-kpc separation. Intriguingly, the positional angle of the two NIR sources (PA\(_{NIR} \sim 50\) deg) is close, within a typical error of 15 deg, to the one estimated for two emitting NLR (PA\(_{OIII} \sim 59\) deg, Nevin et al. 2016). However, the authors do not provide the physical projected offset of the two NLR centroids.

SDSSJ1431+4358 was not detected in our Swift–XRT observation. The 3σ upper limit on the X-ray flux of about 10\(^{-13}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (see Sect. 3.3) could be an indication of the presence of high obscuration also in the X-ray band. We calculated the most plausible unabsorbed X–flux from the [OIII] de-reddened luminosity (L\(_{OIII}\)). We first applied the Hydrogen Balmer decrement correction to the total luminosity\(^7\) of the [OIII]4500Å emission line.

\(^7\) We considered as total line luminosity the sum of the luminosities of the blue and red components reported in Table 1.
where \((H\alpha/H\beta)_{\text{obs}}\) is the observed line ratio (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). Then, by adopting the \(L_\gamma-L_{\text{OIII}}\) relation reported by Lamastra et al. (2009) and by Panessa et al. (2006), we predicted an unabsorbed 0.3–10 keV flux of the order of about \(5\times10^{-13}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) and \(10^{-12}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively (well above the derived upper limit). We then assumed that the intrinsic X-ray emission/spectrum is a power-law with the typical photon index of \(\Gamma=1.8\) and estimated the amount of absorption that could explain the non detection in the XRT observation. We found that we need a column density of the absorbing gas in excess of \(10^{22}–10^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

5. Discussion

SDSSJ1431+4358 was first selected as a type 2 dual AGN candidate by Wang et al. (2009) on the basis of the double–peaked profile of the [OIII]\(\lambda5008\) Å emission line. The presence of narrow emission lines with double-peaked profiles was subsequently confirmed by Ge et al. (2012), who pointed out that both the blue- and the red-shifted emission lines are produced by AGN photoionization. Our independent analysis corroborates these results.

Interestingly, the misalignment measured by Nevin et al. (2016) between the position of the NLR and the major axis of the host galaxy (\(PA_{\text{host}}=59\) deg and \(PA_{\text{gal}}=87\) deg) disfavors the possibility that double-peaks NLRs are tracing the gas kinematics of the host galaxy.

In these previous works (Wang et al. 2009; Ge et al. 2012; Nevin et al. 2016), the lack of a dual core detection in the SDSS images left equally open the hypotheses of a single SMBH or of double SMBHs. In the first case, the kinematical origin of the detected double-peaked profiles could be due to outflows or to rotational effects of a single NLR disk-like, while in the second case, they could trace the orbital motion of the two SMBHs around a common central potential.

The typical signature for outflowing/inflowing components in integrated galaxy spectra is the presence of fainter and blue/red broader emission lines superimposed on the narrow emission line centered at the systemic velocity (e.g. Harrison et al. 2014, 2016). The spectrosocopical analysis presented here confirms the possible presence of an ionized outflow component, previously detected also by Nevin et al. (2016). However, we found that the same outflow mechanism can hardly explain the presence of two narrow emission lines (blue and red–shifted, respectively) with similar peak intensities (as in the case of SDSSJ1431+4358, see Table 1). The receding sides of ionised outflows traced by optical nebular lines are usually undetected (or extremely faint) due to extinction by dust in the center of the galaxy and in the outflow itself. So, in the case of SDSSJ1431+4358, there are two possibilities: (i) if we ascribe only the blue component to an outflow (in addition to the blue-shifted wing at \(\sim700\) km s\(^{-1}\) tentatively detected in the [OIII] transitions and shown in Fig. 2), then it would mean that the red component of the line traces the systemic NLR emission, which would be redshifted by \(\sim210\) km s\(^{-1}\) with respect to the host galaxy redshift determined via the stellar absorption. (ii) If instead we ascribe both components to a symmetric red- plus blue-shifted outflow, then it would mean that there is no dust obscuration since both components are detected at the same level, and that there is no NLR emission at the systemic velocity. Basically, it would imply that all of the nebular emission comes from an outflow. This would be very odd, and it is a situation that has not been observed even in the most powerful outflows observed so far; there is always a quiescent ISM/NLR component. So the only viable interpretation is that neither of the two components traces an outflow.

According to Smith et al. (2012), a flux ratio of order of one between the blue and red peaks could be considered as an indication for the presence of a single, rather than a double, ionizing source. However, we note that, while in the majority of the cases this could be true, it can not be used to discard the presence of two distinct SMBHs in individual systems. Actually, the hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy mergers presented by Blecha et al. (2013) showed that in the presence of dual SMBHs, emission line profiles with almost even peaks may arise at one viewing angle at least. The same authors concluded that AGNs with uneven-peaked narrow emission line profiles have at most a modestly higher probability of containing two SMBHs than AGNs with an even-peaked profiles.

Because of the large amount of nuclear absorption affecting the AGN intrinsic emission (i.e. the power-law plus the broad emission line components), the source appears as a bulge-dominated galaxy up to the NIR band. After subtracting the host galaxy contribution in the high-resolution NIR LBT image, we detect, for the first time, two compact components at a sub-kpc projected separation in the SDSSJ1431+4358 core. While the NIR imaging data alone do not allow us to discard the possibilities that the fainter NIR core can be associated to a smaller merging stellar bulge or to an outflow component, the close spatial alignment between the two NIR cores and the two regions where double–peaked AGN emission lines originate (Nevin et al. 2016) supports the presence of a dual AGN.

Under this hypothesis, the minimum estimated distance of the two SMBHs is about 215–360 pc (see Sec 3.2.1). This implies that the two putative accretion disks (of typical radius less than 0.01 pc) should be undisturbed and could illuminate the surrounding gas forming two NLRs. Thus, the double-peaked profiles could trace the motions of two distinct or semi-detached NLRs orbiting around a common central potential.

An alternative scenario, where double peaks are produced by two almost co-spatial and disturbed NLRs forming a single envelope rotating around the two SMBHs can not be fully discarded, but it is less likely. Indeed, in this case, the narrow emission line regions may be partially destroyed or deformed. A more complex emission line profiles would be expected with respect to the almost regular double-profiles observed for SDSSJ1431+4358 (see e.g. Popovic 2012).

A further possibility is that we are seeing the rotational effect associated only with the brightest and dominant of the two NLRs, i.e. the one that is rotating around the most powerful of the two SMBHs (Popovic 2012; Blecha et al. 2013).

Only future high–resolution and spatially–resolved spectroscopic observations will provide a definitive answer on the nature of SDSSJ1431+4358 and on the origin of its double peaked emission lines. This will allow us to confirm or rule out the spatial coincidence of the double line emission components with the two NIR cores.

6. Summary and conclusions

We presented the spectral analysis of the archival SDSS-DR15 optical spectrum and the analysis of new LBT-LUCI NIR sub-
arcsec observation for SDSSJ1431+4358, a local radio–quiet type 2 AGN previously selected as double AGN candidate.

As for the optical spectrum, all the most prominent emission lines are characterized by a double–peaked profile. On the basis of the flux line ratios, we found that all the emission line components are mainly produced by AGN photoionization. Although the presence of outflowing ionized material can not be disfavoured, because a third blue and broad [OIII] emission line is tentatively detected, it can hardly be the cause of the two relatively narrow components of the double–peaked profiles. Rotational effects due to a single NLR associate to a single SMBH or due to the presence of two SMBHs are the most likely hypothesis for the double-peaked emission lines observed in our target.

The presence of a dual AGNs is strengthened by the high spatial resolution NIR imaging. In particular, the tight sub-arcsec sampling provided by the LUCI-AO camera allowed us to detect significant residuals in the central zone of the galaxy. Once we subtracted the host contribution, two faint central NIR sources emerge. Interestingly, the two central cores have a positional angle similar to the postional angle of the two emitting regions where the [OIII] double peaks most likely originate. The cause of the apparent faintness in the optical and in the NIR bands of the two putative nuclear sources could be explained with the presence of a large amount of intrinsic obscuration affecting the central region.

Although only high–resolution and spatially–resolved spectroscopy will definitely set the spatial coincidence of the dual NIR regions with the double NLR cores, the multi-wavelengths results presented here favor the hypothesis of a double sub-kpc scale SMBH.

Up to now, dedicated spatially–resolved spectroscopy aimed at confirming the presence of a double AGN were carried out only on candidates presenting two cores directly discerned in radio or optical/NIR high-resolution imaging (see e.g. Fu et al. 2012; McGurk et al. 2015; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2015; Das et al. 2018, and references therein). Only a handful of dual AGNs with a separation smaller than about 0.5 kpc has been discovered so far. However, the pre-selection of interesting candidates was generally performed without subtracting the contribution of the host galaxy contribution. The analysis presented here shows that the lack of an appropriate host galaxy subtraction could prevent from unveiling the presence of two distinct cores located in a single merged galaxy. This clearly affects the estimates of their fraction among the AGN population.

Thus, in addition to the discovery of a new promising sub-kpc scale dual AGN, our analysis also suggests that the real fraction of dual AGNs among double–peaked emission line sources could be actually underestimated (Liu et al. 2010; Comerford et al. 2012; Fu et al. 2012; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2015; McGurk et al. 2015).
