Suitable Drilled Paddy Varieties and Sowing Windows for Drilled Paddy in Sub Mountain Zone of Pune District of Maharashtra
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ABSTRACT

The field experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. There were sixty treatment combinations comprising of five sowing windows viz., 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th and 26th MW and four varieties viz., VDN-3-51-18 (Indrayani), VDN-99-29 (Phule Samruddhi), IET-13549 (Bhogawati) and RDN-99-1 (Phule Radha). The gross and net plot size were 3.60 m x 3.60 m and 3.00 m x 3.00 m, respectively. The periodical observations on growth, micrometeorological parameters and yield contributing characters were recorded to assess the treatment effects. Sowing of kharif paddy during 24th MW improved all the growth components viz., plant height, number of tiller plant⁻¹ with yield component viz., length of spike, number of spikelet plant⁻¹, number of grain plant⁻¹, grain weight plant⁻¹, test weight, grain yield and straw yield which is at par with 25th and 26th MW respectively. The highest grain and straw yields and harvest index were recorded during sowing of paddy in 24th MW which is at par with 25th and 26th MW. The highest grain and straw yields and harvest index were recorded with the variety VDN-99-29 (Phule Samruddhi).
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Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important staple food grain crops of the world, which constitute the principle food for 60 per cent of the world’s population and 2/3rd of Indian population. Total area under rice in India is 45.05 million hectares with annual production of 103.27 million tonnes, though production is large, the per hectare yield is very poor i.e. 2.29 tonnes ha⁻¹, as compared to other rice growing countries like Egypt (6.45 tonnes ha⁻¹), USA (5.63 tonnes ha⁻¹), Japan (4.73 tonnes ha⁻¹) and China (4.74 tonnes ha⁻¹) (Wailes and Chavez, 2012). India is the world’s second largest rice producer and consumer next to China. In the North-Western Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP), transplanted rice is predominantly cultivated. Transplanting requires at least 25 ha-cm of water for puddling operation, which creates a dense clay layer in the sub-soil to prevent seepage losses. The current practice of excessive exploitation of groundwater has led to a decline in the quality of natural resources i.e. land and water.
Drilled paddy with reduced tillage is an efficient resource conservation technology that holds great promise in the Indo-Gangetic Plain because of the following advantages, Saving in water up to 25% in DSR, Saving in energy up to 27% of diesel as pumping energy is saved for field preparation, nursery raising, puddling and reduced frequency of applying irrigation water, Saving of 35 to 40 man days / ha, Enhanced fertilizer use efficiency due to the placement of fertilizer in the root zone, Early maturity of crops by 7-10 days helps in the timely sowing of succeeding crops (De Datta, 1986), Reduction in methane emissions and global warming potential, Little disturbance to soil structure, Enhanced system productivity.

In Pakistan, rice transplantation is usually performed by hired expensive labor, which is not specialized to maintain the required plant population to achieve higher productivity (Mann et al., 2007). To overcome this problem, direct seeding of rice seems only viable alternatives in rescuing farmers (Aslam et al., 2008). This technique reduces labour needs by more than 25% in term of working hours.

The input requirements and the investment in direct seeded rice are much lower than in transplanted rice (Sunil et al., 2002). Direct seeded rice, if managed properly, can yield as high as transplanted rice (Hayat et al., 2003; Ali et al., 2007).

In Maharashtra, rice is cultivated over an area of 15.13 lakh hectares and an annual production of about 28.43 lakh tonnes with a productivity of 1879 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2013). Delay in sowing results in reduction of plant height, productive tillers, filled grain panicle-1 and grain yield (Shah and Bhurer, 2005). These results are in line with those reported by Akbar et al., (2010), Padhi (1995) and Mahajan et al., (2009).

### Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during kharif, 2019 at Agricultural Research Station Farm, Vadgaon Maval, Tal. Maval, Dist. Pune to study Studies on the effect of sowing windows on different varieties of drilled paddy in relation to climate change.

The experiment was laid out in Split plot with twenty treatment combinations and three replications. The treatment comprising five sowing windows viz., SW₁: 22nd MW (28th May to 3rd June), SW₂: 23rd MW (4 June to 10 June), SW₃: 24th MW (11 June to 17 June), SW₄: 25th MW (18 June to 24 June), SW₅: 26th MW (25 June to 1 July) as main plot treatment and four paddy varieties viz., V₁: VDN-3-51-18 (Indrayani), V₂: VDN-99-29 (Phule Samruddhi), V₃: IET-13549 (Bhogawati), V₄: RDN-99-1 (Phule Radha) as sub plot treatment. The gross plot size was 3.60 m x 3.60 m and net plot size was 3.00 m x 3.00 m.

The field experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. There were sixty treatment combinations comprising of five sowing windows viz., 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th and 26th MW and four varieties viz., VDN-3-51-18 (Indrayani), VDN-99-29 (Phule Samruddhi), IET-13549 (Bhogawati) and RDN-99-1 (Phule Radha). The gross and net plot size were 3.60 m x 3.60 m and 3.00 m x 3.00 m, respectively.

### Results and Discussion

#### Effect of sowing times windows

The mean plant height was significantly influenced by different sowing window is presented in Table 1. The kharif paddy sown during 24th MW has recorded significantly highest plant height at harvest (83.9cm) and it was at par with 25th MW (82.9cm), 26th MW.
(80.7cm) and 23rd MW (80.0cm) respectively and significantly superior over 22nd MW (76.6cm) at harvest. The number of tillers plant\(^{-1}\) was significantly influenced due to different sowing windows at harvest (Table 1). The sowing of drilled paddy at 24th MW recorded significantly higher number of tillers (23) over rest of the sowing windows.

The number of spikelets plant\(^{-1}\) of drilled paddy was influenced significantly due to different sowing windows. The number of spikelets plant\(^{-1}\) was maximum (22.1) when sown at 24th MW which was significantly superior over rest of the sowing windows 26th MW (19.1), 23rd MW (18.8), and 22nd MW (17.2) except it was at par with sowing at 25th MW (20.6). The length of spikelet of paddy was recorded highest when sown during 24th MW (23.2cm) which is at par with 25th MW (22.4cm) and 26th MW (22.2cm) which is superior over 23rd MW (20.5cm) and 22nd MW (19.5cm). Significantly, the lowest length of spikelet was observed in 22nd MW (19.5cm). The test weight of paddy was not influenced significantly due to different sowing windows of paddy (Table 1). The significantly higher test weight was observed with variety Phule Samruddhi during total growth period i.e. 15DAS (25.3cm), 30DAS (42.8cm), 45 DAS (56.6cm), 60 DAS (71.8cm), 75 DAS (87.8cm), 90 DAS(88.5cm) and at harvest (89.3cm) over rest of the varieties except it was at par with Indrayani at 75 DAS (84.2cm), 90 DAS(84.9cm) and at harvest (85.8cm). The mean maximum number of tillers plant\(^{-1}\) (24.0) was found with variety Phule Samruddhi which was significantly superior over rest of the paddy varieties. The number of spikelets plant\(^{-1}\) of drilled paddy was influenced significantly due to different sowing windows of drilled paddy (Table 1).

The sowing of drilled paddy at 24th MW recorded maximum number of grains plant\(^{-1}\) (283) and grain weight spikelet\(^{-1}\) (6.11 g) which was significantly superior and it was at par with 25th and 26th MW sowing windows. The grain yield of paddy was influenced significantly due to different sowing window (Table 1). The grain yield was maximum (54.06 q ha\(^{-1}\)) when paddy was sown at 24th MW. Which is at par with 25th MW (51.72 q ha\(^{-1}\)) and 26th MW (50.74 q ha\(^{-1}\)) and 22nd MW was produce lower grain yield (47.84 q ha\(^{-1}\)) and (45.54 q ha\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

**Effect of varieties**

The mean plant height was significantly influenced up to harvesting due to different varieties which is presented in Table 1. The significantly maximum plant height was observed with variety Phule Samruddhi during total growth period i.e. 15DAS (25.3cm), 30DAS (42.8cm), 45 DAS (56.6cm), 60 DAS (71.8cm), 75 DAS (87.8cm), 90 DAS(88.5cm) and at harvest (89.3cm) over rest of the varieties except it was at par with Indrayani at 75 DAS (84.2cm), 90 DAS(84.9cm) and at harvest (85.8cm). The mean maximum number of tillers plant\(^{-1}\) (24.0) was found with variety Phule Samruddhi which was significantly superior over rest of the paddy varieties. The number of spikelets plant\(^{-1}\) of drilled paddy was influenced significantly due to different varieties (Table 1). The variety Phule Samruddhi significantly produced higher numbers of spikelets plant\(^{-1}\) (22.9) while in case of Phule Radha variety significantly lower numbers of spikelets plant\(^{-1}\) (16.5). The spike length of paddy was significantly higher in Phule Samruddhi (24.1cm) which was at par with Indrayani (22.4cm) The variety Phule Radha recorded significantly lower length of spike (19.1cm).

The test weight was differed significantly due to different paddy varieties. Phule Samruddhi recorded significantly higher test weight (22.5 g) and at par with Indrayani (21.0 g) and significantly superior over rest of the varieties (Table 1).
Table 1 Growth and yield parameters of drilled Paddy as influenced by different sowing windows and varieties

| Treatment                          | Mean height of paddy (cm) | Mean numbers of tillers of paddy | No. of spikelet per plant | Spike length (Cm) | Test weight (g) | No. of grains per plant | Wt of grains per spikelet (g) | Grain Yield (q ha⁻¹) | Straw Yield |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| **A. Sowing times (Main):**        |                           |                                  |                           |                   |                 |                        |                               |                      |              |
| T₁: 22MW(28 May to 3 June)        | 76.6                      | 18                               | 17.2                      | 19.5              | 18.3            | 219                    | 5.13                          | 45.54               | 48.63        |
| T₂: 23MW(4 June to 10 June)       | 80.0                      | 20                               | 18.8                      | 20.5              | 19.2            | 239                    | 5.39                          | 47.84               | 52.29        |
| T₃: 24MW(11 June to 17 June)      | 83.9                      | 23                               | 22.1                      | 23.2              | 21.8            | 283                    | 6.11                          | 54.06               | 59.68        |
| T₄: 25MW(18 June to 24 June)      | 82.9                      | 22                               | 20.6                      | 22.4              | 21.0            | 262                    | 5.89                          | 51.72               | 56.33        |
| T₅: 26MW(25 June to 1 July)       | 80.7                      | 20                               | 19.1                      | 22.2              | 20.8            | 253                    | 5.85                          | 50.74               | 56.17        |
| S.E. m ±                          | 1.39                      | 0.80                             | 0.77                      | 0.43              | 0.40            | 9.75                   | 0.11                          | 1.57                | 1.62         |
| C.D. at 5%                        | 4.53                      | 2.62                             | 2.51                      | 1.40              | 1.32            | 31.79                  | 0.37                          | 5.13                | 5.29         |
| **B. Varieties (Sub plot):**      |                           |                                  |                           |                   |                 |                        |                               |                      |              |
| V₁: VDN-3-51-18 (Indrayani)       | 85.8                      | 22                               | 21.0                      | 22.4              | 21.0            | 269                    | 5.89                          | 53.52               | 58.88        |
| V₂: VDN-99-29 (Phule Samrudhdi)   | 89.3                      | 24                               | 22.9                      | 24.1              | 22.5            | 295                    | 6.33                          | 58.51               | 64.59        |
| V₃: IET-13549 (Bhogawati)         | 77.0                      | 19                               | 17.8                      | 20.7              | 19.4            | 229                    | 5.44                          | 45.65               | 49.54        |
| V₄: RDN-99-1 (Phule Radha)        | 71.2                      | 20                               | 16.5                      | 19.1              | 17.9            | 212                    | 5.03                          | 42.23               | 45.48        |
| S.E. m ±                          | 1.41                      | 0.41                             | 0.39                      | 0.37              | 0.35            | 5.03                   | 0.10                          | 0.98                | 1.07         |
| C.D. at 5%                        | 4.08                      | 1.19                             | 1.13                      | 1.07              | 1.01            | 14.53                  | 0.28                          | 2.82                | 3.10         |
| **C. Interaction (A x B):**       |                           |                                  |                           |                   |                 |                        |                               |                      |              |
| SE+ between levels of A           | 3.16                      | 0.92                             | 0.88                      | 0.83              | 0.78            | 11.26                  | 0.22                          | 2.18                | 2.40         |
| C.D at 5%                         | 9.11                      | 2.65                             | 2.53                      | 2.40              | 2.25            | 32.50                  | 0.63                          | 6.31                | 6.93         |
| SE+ between levels of B           | 0.94                      | 0.57                             | 0.56                      | 0.49              | 0.48            | 1.99                   | 0.25                          | 0.84                | 0.87         |
| C.D at 5%                         | 2.72                      | 1.65                             | 1.61                      | 1.42              | 1.38            | 5.76                   | 0.73                          | 2.43                | 2.52         |
| G.M                               | 80.8                      | 20.4                             | 19.6                      | 21.6              | 20.2            | 251                    | 5.67                          | 49.98               | 54.62        |
| C.V                               | 9.89                      | 12.6                             | 10.9                      | 11.5              | 8.92            | 13.4                   | 9.2                           | 10.19               | 10.30        |
The mean number of grains plant\(^{-1}\) and mean grain weight spikelet\(^{-1}\) were significantly affected by different varieties. The mean number of grains plant\(^{-1}\) (295) and mean grain weight spikelet\(^{-1}\) (6.33 g) were found significantly higher in paddy variety Phule Samruddhi.

It was followed by Indrayani, Bhogawati and Phule Radha. The grain yield was significantly higher in Phule Samruddhi (58.51 q ha\(^{-1}\)) and significantly superior over rest of the paddy varieties.

The variety Phule Radha recorded significantly lower grain yield (42.23 q ha\(^{-1}\)). The mean straw yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) was higher in Phule Samruddhi (64.59qha\(^{-1}\)) which is at par with variety Indrayani (58.88qha\(^{-1}\)) which is superior over Bhogawati (49.54q ha\(^{-1}\)) and Phule Radha (45.48qha\(^{-1}\)). The variety Phule Radha recorded significantly lower straw yield (45.48 q ha\(^{-1}\)).

In conclusion the sowing of kharif paddy during 24\(^{th}\) MW favourably influenced all the growth and yield components as compared to sowing of paddy during 23\(^{rd}\) MW, but it was at par with sowing of paddy during 25\(^{th}\)MW and 26\(^{th}\)MW. It would be, therefore, advisable to sowing of Kharif paddy during 24\(^{th}\)MW for obtaining the higher yield and it may extend upt to 26\(^{th}\) MW for obtaining the sustainable yield.

Among the four different varieties of paddy, VDN-99-29 (Phule Samruddhi) recorded significantly higher growth and yield components resulted in increased yield as compared to IET-13549 (Bhogawati) and RDN-99-1 (Phule Radha) but it was at par with VDN-3-51-18 (Indrayani). It would be, therefore, suggested to adopt VDN-99-29 (Phule Samruddhi) or VDN-3-51-18 (Indrayani) varieties for kharif paddy cultivation under sub mountain zone of Pune.
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