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Stress Oximetry: Description of a Test to Determine Readiness for Discontinuing Oxygen Therapy in Infants with Chronic Lung Disease
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Background. In infants with CLD there are no objective tests to monitor an infant’s progress towards weaning out of oxygen inhalation therapy (O₂IT). A test involving staged maneuvers of increasing respiratory stress while decreasing oxygen support, termed Stress Oximetry (StressOx), has been used at our center for weaning O₂IT. Objective. To report the clinical utility of “StressOx” in evaluating readiness for discontinuing O₂IT in infants with CLD. Methods. A retrospective review was done of StressOx tests administered at our center from 2002-2008. StressOx was performed based on a consistent clinical protocol in all eligible infants on O₂IT. O₂IT was generally discontinued after infant had passed two StressOx tests and subsequently infants were monitored for a minimum of 7 days to determine successful weaning. Results. There were 279 infants with 899 tests that met inclusion criteria. An average of 3 tests per infant was done, one week apart. The test had a specificity of 97.4% and a positive predictive value of 99.6% in determining success of discontinuing O₂IT. Conclusions. StressOx appears to be a clinically useful test that may help in determining an infant’s ability to successfully wean out of O₂IT. Further validation of this test is warranted.

1. Introduction

Oxygen inhalation therapy (O₂IT) is important in the management of chronic lung disease (CLD) in infants [1, 2]. However, increased exposure to oxygen radicals and hyperoxia is implicated in many neonatal diseases [3-5]. Therefore, judicious use of oxygen therapy is needed to ensure optimal outcomes [6, 7]. The decision to discontinue oxygen inhalation therapy is largely subjective and there are no standards regarding acceptable saturation range or adequate periods of observation needed after this change [8, 9]. At the time of discharge a “car seat test” is performed but the reliability of this to predict desaturations in a simulated car ride has been questioned [10]. Furthermore, oxygen saturations are not commonly monitored once the infant is discharged home breathing room air, and any resulting adverse consequences have not been well studied [11-15]. An objective method for assessing an infant’s ability to tolerate discontinuing O₂IT is therefore needed.

Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) is the most common condition responsible for CLD in infants [16]. Walsh et al. have described and validated a test to help classify BPD based on a standardized physiology-based protocol of oxygen wean at 36 weeks’ postmenstrual age (PMA) [17, 18]. But there are no guidelines for determining the best time to wean O₂IT in an infant with established BPD or CLD [19]. Currently, in most NICUs, when an infant is weaned out of O₂IT, there are no objective, standardized indicators of success or failure [20]. The length of time for monitoring after discontinuing O₂IT is also varied, and short or long term consequences of discontinuing O₂IT have not been reported [15, 21].
A “stress test” may be defined as a structured, step-wise 
escalation of physiological burden on an organ system in 
order to safely identify its physiologic reserve or characterize 
its threshold for decompensation. A well-known example is 
“exercise testing” for assessment of cardiac function [22]. 
Similar “stress tests” have been used effectively in detecting 
laten cardiorespiratory problems in children and adults [23, 
24]. However, their use in neonates has not been described.

In premature and newborn infants, the main stressors 
are crying, activity, and oral feeding [25]. Based on the 
principle of “stress tests” we have devised a “Stress Oximeter” 
(StressOx) test that incorporates various infant stressors such 
as crying and feeding to assess the cardiopulmonary reserve 
of infants with CLD on O₂ IT. This test has been in use in 
our NICU for over 2 decades. The aim of this study was 
to describe StressOx and report its utility in assuring safe 
and successful discontinuation of O₂ IT prior to discharge in 
infants with CLD.

2. Materials and Methods

This was a single-center retrospective study at the University 
of Connecticut Health Center (UCHC) in Farmington. Inclu-
sion criteria were availability of complete data for infants at 
≥34 weeks’ postmenstrual age (PMA) who were on O₂ IT for 
≥ 14 days with CLD of any cause [19]. Infants with oxygen 
requirements due to congenital heart disease, neurologic 
conditions, complex genetic syndromes, and chromosomal 
amanies were excluded. This study was approved by the 
UCHC Institutional Review Board.

2.1. Patients. A protocol-based approach to StressOx testing 
has been in use in this NICU for over 2 decades for all infants 
who met the following criteria: (i) PMA of ≥34 weeks; (ii) stable 
on O₂ IT for CLD with nasal cannula flow rates ≥ 
0.5L/min to ≤ 2 L/min with oxygen concentration ranging 
from 21% to 100%; (iii) taking a minimum of 15 ml/kg with 
each oral feeding and showing appropriate growth in the 
preceding week. Oral feeds during the test were with bottle 
with expressed mother’s milk or formula as appropriate for 
the infant. Infants’ were tested weekly when they met the 
above criteria. Tests were stopped when the infant passed 
StressOx test, usually 2 consecutive passes 1 week apart.

2.2. Test Personnel and Reports. All tests were performed by 
one of two trained personnel. Findings from each StressOx 
test were reported using a standardized form. The attending 
neonatologist interpreted the results based on predefined 
criteria and made clinical decisions regarding O₂ IT.

2.3. Equipment and Setup. A Nellcor Oximax N-600 (Nellcor 
Puritan Bennett Inc., Pleasanton, CA) pulse oximeter and a 
cardiopulmonary monitor were used to perform StressOx 
tests. Oximeter limits set were (i) heart rate between 80 
and 210 bpm; (ii) SpO₂ between 90 and 100%; (iii) alarm 
limit at 50 “Sat seconds” where “Sat seconds” is an averaging 
algorithm in the monitor that takes into account the percent 
yoxygensaturation drop below a threshold along with the time 
(seconds) it is breached (Operators’ Manual Oximax N-600, 
pp 141-144, 2005). It is important to note that the SpO₂ set of 
90-100% was only for the purpose of the test and was not the 
target for routine care. The target SpO₂ for routine care was 
90-95%.

2.4. StressOx Procedure (Figure 1). At the outset, fraction 
of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) and nasal cannula flow rates 
were documented. Baseline. Procedure began with baseline 
observations at rest for vital signs, oxygen saturations, and 
work of breathing on current respiratory support (FiO₂, 
nasal cannula). Three staged maneuvers were then performed 
in sequence. Stage 1. On baseline FiO₂ and cannula flow 
the infant was stimulated to active/crying state followed 
by recovery for a minimum of 2 minutes. Test parameters 
were recorded. Stage 2. Respiratory support was completely 
discontinued and test parameters were recorded while the 
infant remained in the quiet awake state in room air for 
a minimum period of 5 minutes. The infant was then 
stimulated to an active/crying state followed by recovery 
within a 2 minute period and test parameters were recorded. 
Stage 3. In the final stage, while still without respiratory 
support, the infant was offered an oral sucking feed by breast 
or bottle and observed until completion of the feed and 
test parameters were recorded. The test was continued as 
tolerated until the infant successfully went through all the 
three maneuvers. At any time if the infant had drop in heart 
rate < 80 bpm, significant increase in work of breathing, or 
persistent (>1 minute) desaturations (SpO₂ <90%), the test 
was discontinued.

2.5. Documentation of Test Parameters. During all 3 stages, 
heart rate, respiratory rate, color-change, and SpO₂ were 
noted in real-time approximately every 30 seconds. Work 
of breathing was documented based on respiratory rate, 
retractions, nasal flaring, accessory muscle use, or head 
bobbing. A “desaturation” was recorded starting at a point 
where SpO₂ fell below 90%. A “desaturation with quick 
recovery” was SpO₂ <90% for <1 minute. A “significant 
desaturation” was SpO₂ <90% for ≥1 minute. Thresholds for 
feeding behavior were based on ability to take a minimum 
of 15 ml/kg/feed within 30 minutes. However, signs of dis-
coordination, especially frequent choking, gagging, or need 
for additional supports for feeding such as pacing or change 
in position, were also taken into account. Data gathered 
for each parameter (saturations, respiratory rate, heart rate, 
work of breathing, etc.) during each stage were recorded in 
a standardized report form as the highest and lowest 
values along with the period of time a parameter was outside 
predetermined thresholds. Since infants were awake during 
this test apnea was not used as an assessment parameter.

2.6. Rationale for Threshold Criteria Used in the Test. The 
thresholds and cutoffs for the various parameters used in this 
test were derived from previously defined norms and have 
a scientific rational basis. The threshold SpO₂ of <90% was 
chosen for the following reasons: (a) SpO₂ is not normally 
distributed and in normal infants <4% of time is spent at 
<90% SpO₂ [1, 14]; (b) SpO₂ of <90% is to be avoided in 
infants with CLD in order to prevent complications [26–29];
Baseline
On current Oxygen – Quiet
Capillary pCO2 within 2 days Of Test - optional

Stage I
On current Oxygen - Crying

Stage II
Off Oxygen – Quiet in RA 5 min
Off Oxygen – Crying in RA 3 min

Stage III
Off Oxygen – Took oral feeds in RA Up to 30 min

Passed Stress oximetry
Took oral feeds >15 ml/kg
No excessive desaturations
No drop in heart rate < 80
No significant work of breathing

*Fail criteria: SpO2 < 90% for > 1 min or increased work of breathing; or heart rate < 80/ min; or oral feed intake < 15 ml/kg.

RA - Room Air

Figure 1: Schema for Stress Oximetry Test.

(c) SpO2 of >=90% is used by most centers as the threshold for passing for room air challenge tests [30–32]. The averaging time settings of an oximeter are important because a longer averaging time (16–20 sec) will not detect desaturation dips but a very short averaging time (<4 sec) will give more alarms [21]. We chose an averaging time of 10 seconds to avoid both problems. The use of “Sat seconds” algorithm available in most oximeters is another useful strategy in decreasing irrelevant monitor alarms.

2.7. Interpretation of Findings. Interpretation of the test was done by the attending neonatologist using predetermined criteria based on the documented data on test report forms. The criteria were determined based on general consensus after review of literature [20, 25, 28, 33–40]. Criteria for failing StressOx test were as follows: (i) “significant desaturation” with SpO2 <90% for ≥1 minute; (ii) >5 “desaturations with quick recovery” along with two additional respiratory symptoms (increased work of breathing, tachypnea >80 breaths/minute) or inability to take in appropriate feed volume; or (iii) for infants taking >15 ml/kg/feed as pretest baseline, inability to complete the same volume during a 30-minute feeding time in room air. Criteria for passing StressOx test were as follows: (i) maintained SpO2 ≥90%, with no significant change in work of breathing or (ii) having <5 “desaturation with quick recovery” with up to one additional respiratory symptom (work of breathing or tachypnea). In either case, respiratory performance had to be accompanied by adequate feeding as demonstrated by ability to take oral feeds of ≥15 ml/kg/feed or pretest baseline volume.

2.8. Frequency of the Test and Follow-Up. Once an infant met criteria for testing, StressOx was performed weekly until the infant passed the test and was taken out of O2IT or
was discharged on oxygen. Infants who failed the test were returned to their baseline level of respiratory support. In most infants, once they passed the StressOx test for two consecutive weeks, respiratory support was discontinued and the hospital was further monitored for a minimum of 7 days in the hospital to observe SpO\textsubscript{2}, feed intake, and growth before discharging home. Any infant that subsequently showed significant desaturations (SpO\textsubscript{2} < 90% for >1 minute), poor oral intake (<120 ml/kg/d), or poor growth (<15 g/day) was returned to previous level of respiratory support with O\textsubscript{2}IT and weekly testing was restarted. In some instances, based on performance on StressOx, changes in respiratory support and medical management were made. Criteria for discharge home without O\textsubscript{2}IT included absence of significant desaturations for 7 days after passing StressOx and discontinuation of O\textsubscript{2}IT, adequate oral intake to maintain age appropriate weight gain, absence of apnea episode for 7 days, and ability to regulate body temperature in an open crib. The local NICU definitions of hypoxemia and need for O\textsubscript{2}IT did not change during the study period.

2.9. Test Sequences. In most instances, a passed test followed by a failed test would have a repeat StressOx done until two consecutive pass tests. The outcome of oxygen-need after two passed tests was used to categorize true and false positive test.

2.10. Interrater Reliability. Tests were randomly selected to be done in the presence of both observers and their independent scores were reviewed for agreement of findings related to pass or fail test results based on observation data from StressOx test documented on standardized forms. Interrater reliability was measured using the kappa score.

3. Results

3.1. StressOx Tests Done. Of 3,349 admissions to the NICU between 2002 and 2008, StressOx was administered to 415 infants for a total of 1,545 tests. After applying exclusion criteria, 279 infants and 899 tests were analyzed (Figure 2). Of the 240 infants who passed the test, 239 remained off need for O\textsubscript{2}IT and only 1 needed to be placed back within 7 days. Of the 39 infants that did not pass the test before discharge, 2 were taken off despite test results and remained off for > 7 days. The 37 that did not pass the test before discharge were sent home on supplemental oxygen and close follow-up with pulmonologist. A modified StressOx was used by the pulmonologist in the outpatient clinic for determining ongoing need for O\textsubscript{2}IT.

3.2. Patient Characteristics. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The personnel-time needed for a single test was ≈40 minutes and infants had a mean of 3 tests prior to discharge. There was no difference in test use between infants based on race, sex, ethnicity or plurality of gestation. The majority (n=266, 95%) of infants had CLD because of prematurity and BPD. However a notable minority (n=13, 5%) of infants were mature infants with CLD secondary to meconium aspiration, pneumonia, or sepsis.
Table 1: Patient Characteristics of infants undergoing StressOx test.

| Characteristic                              | Mean (SD) | Range    |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Gestational age at birth (wks.)             | 28.1 (3.5)| 22 - 41  |
| Birth Weight (gm)                           | 1200 (710)| 435 - 4458|
| Age at 1st test (days)                      | 60 (23)   | 15 - 169 |
| Postmenstrual age at 1st test (wks.)        | 36.8 (2.3)| 34.0 - 50.1|
| Number of tests per patient                | 3.3 (1.8) | 1 - 9    |
| Age at last test (days)                     | 78 (30)   | 15 - 225 |
| Postmenstrual age at last test (wks.)       | 39.2 (2.8)| 34.0 - 55.1|
| Nasal cannula O2 flow at last test (L/min)  | 1 (0.5)   | 0.25 - 3.0|
| FiO2 at last test                           | 0.52 (0.27)| 0.21 - 1.0|
| pCO2 at last test                           | 48.2 (5.6)| 32.6 - 66.5|
| Weight at last test (gm)                    | 2111 (545)| 1190 - 4720|

Above data are based on 279 patients and 899 tests from years 2002 to 2008.

Table 2: Ability of StressOx test to determine need for oxygen inhalation therapy (O₂IT).

| Able to come out of O₂IT and thrive as indicated by extended inpatient monitoring | Yes N = 241 | No N = 38 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| StressOx Test Passed (N = 240)                                                  | 239         | 1         |
| Failed (N = 39)                                                                 | 2           | 37        |

Total 279 patients studied. Two infants who failed StressOx and yet were able to come out of O₂IT had no respiratory compromise during the test but failed due to choking episodes during the feed resulting in inability to complete the required amount of feed.

Sensitivity: \( \frac{239}{239+2} = 99.2\% \).
Specificity: \( \frac{37}{37+1} = 97.4\% \).
Positive predictive value: \( \frac{239}{239+1} = 99.6\% \).
Negative predictive value: \( \frac{37}{37+2} = 94.9\% \).

3.3. Specificity and Positive Predictive Value. Majority of infants were successfully weaned off oxygen prior to discharge based on the result of StressOx test. Needing oxygen therapy after two successful passes was very unusual and discontinuation of oxygen despite failing the test was only done in only 2 infants. The final decision for discontinuation of O₂IT was based on the last StressOx test performed and we used the results of this test to determine sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, and positive predictive value (Table 2).

3.4. Interrater Reliability of the Test. Based on 20 random tests that were observed by both personnel, there was agreement among the two in 19 tests (95%). The kappa score for interrater reliability was 0.9.

3.5. Adverse Effects of the Test. Various maneuvers of the test are part of routine care of the hospitalized infant. There were 1,545 tests administered during the study period with no adverse consequences directly related to the test. The state of high activity or crying that was used in the performance of this test was mostly induced by routine infant-care maneuvers such as taking temperature, cleaning or changing clothes or diapers.

4. Discussion

The Stress Oximetry Test described in this paper is a screening test used to identify the ability of infants with CLD to wean from O₂IT and thrive. It requires only routine bedside care-equipment and can easily be performed by NICU personnel. StressOx was safe and highly specific, the interobserver reliability was high, and the test was useful clinically.

Use of O₂IT is closely related to the diagnosis and treatment of CLD in infants [41]. Despite its central role in diagnosis and management of BPD there is very little information on criteria for discontinuing O₂IT or the parameters that need to be monitored to ensure the safety and success of this intervention. Duration of oxygen therapy was first used to diagnose BPD by Northway [42, 43] and has since been essential in other suggested modifications of criteria for this diagnosis [19, 44, 45]. A physiologic definition of BPD at 36 weeks’ PMA using a step-wise decrease in oxygen supplementation has been standardized and validated by Walsh [17, 18]. It is important to distinguish StressOx test from the test proposed by Walsh for the physiologic definition of BPD. The test by Walsh is primarily a diagnostic test designed to diagnose and categorize BPD, whereas StressOx is a prognosticating tool designed to help with weaning and
discontinuation of O₂ IT in patients with previously diagnosed BPD or CLD [17, 18]. Walsh's test refers primarily to oxygen supplementation levels and levels of saturations in the infant without reference to the infant's state of activity or feeding, but StressOx refers to a combination of cardiorespiratory signs along with the infant's state of activity especially feeding and crying.

Other attempts to develop objective criteria for weaning from O₂ IT have not been successful. In a pilot study with 17 subjects, a room air challenge was proposed by Simoes based on SpO₂ at 40 minutes after discontinuing supplemental oxygen [46]. Other similar approaches have been advocated in which oxygen was discontinued for up to 30 minutes to document that a nadir SpO₂ value does not fall below 80% [31]. A hypoxia challenge test has been described using subnormal (0.15 FiO₂) oxygen and a body plethysmograph but the clinical utility of such a test is impractical in the NICU [47]. Another approach was using modified polysomnography to determine “stable versus unstable” infants but this study with 30 subjects does not provide enough evidence for its regular use [15]. Therefore the aforementioned strategies have not found use in the NICU and there have been no reports on their utility in predicting successful discontinuation of O₂ IT.

In clinical practice, successful weaning of O₂ IT in infants with CLD is determined not only by the infant's ability to maintain oxygen saturations in an “acceptable” range for a “sufficient” period of time, but also by the ability to feed and demonstrate optimal growth. Furthermore, there is great variability in the SpO₂ values considered “acceptable” in US NICUs [48, 49]. The period of time that an infant is monitored after discontinuing oxygen is similarly variable. There is scarce information on how discontinuation of O₂ IT affects subsequent feeding intake and growth. The ability to ingest an adequate amount of oral feeds is closely linked to the ability of an infant to thrive off O₂ IT [25, 34]. Infants with CLD who are well oxygenated at rest may have unsuspected desaturations after discontinuation of caffeine therapy or during oral feeding and other activities [39, 40, 50]. Infants with CLD also have difficulty in coordinating suck, swallow, and breathing [34, 36]. Feeding by mouth may be the most strenuous task undertaken by the newborn infant and is a good indicator of an infant’s respiratory reserve [35, 51]. Choking during feeds may indeed be a sign of respiratory difficulty in an infant [52]. Crying and feeding are the main stressors triggering desaturations in an infant with insufficient pulmonary reserve [12, 37, 38]. Therefore StressOx test provides a more comprehensive assessment of supplemental oxygen needs than methods not incorporating feeding as a key determinant.

There are certain limitations of this study. This report of our current practice is based on a retrospective evaluation of previously collected data on standard forms. Inferences should therefore be drawn with caution. All infants who failed the StressOx test remained on O₂ IT until the next weekly test. It is possible that some of these infants did not continue to require O₂ IT; however this could not be ascertained because these infants were not tried without O₂ IT until the next test. Another potential limitation relates to subjectivity in interpretation of clinical tests. With the StressOx test we have tried to minimize subjectivity by using a standardized data collection form completed by trained personnel and consistently used criteria for test pass/fail with independent interpretation by a neonatologist. Moreover, since oral feeding is an important constituent of the test, problems related solely to feeding anatomy, coordination or maturity unrelated to lung function may have affected the results.

A criticism or limitation of applicability of the weekly tests may be the potential increase in hospital length of stay. In practice however, that has not been the case in this select group of infants who by definition have moderate to severe BPD (need for oxygen therapy at approximately 36 weeks’ postmenstrual age) [19]. Another factor that prolongs hospital stay in these infants is ability to take adequate oral feeds. Since feeding ability is part of evaluation in the StressOx test, we believe that both feeding and respiratory status are simultaneously addressed and therefore there is not additional delay in hospital discharge. However, it is possible that some infants may not need two consecutive passes and the quality of performance during the test may be an important predictor. Realizing this, we have been scoring the quality of the responses and plan to report these findings in the future.

5. Conclusion

Despite the wide use of O₂ IT in the NICU and the recognition of its potential for overtreatment [53, 54], there are currently no standardized procedures to assess the optimal conditions for its safe discontinuation. The StressOx test is an assessment tool that may provide neonatal caregivers, facing decisions regarding oxygen management in infants with CLD, with additional objective data. Further validation of this test is warranted.

Abbreviations

| Acronym | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| BPD     | Bronchopulmonary dysplasia |
| CLD     | Chronic lung disease |
| NICU    | Neonatal Intensive Care Unit |
| O₂ IT   | Oxygen inhalation therapy |
| StressOx| Stress oximetry |
| PMA     | Postmenstrual age |
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