Risk Factors Associated with Amputation-Free Survival in Patient with Diabetic Foot Ulcers
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Purpose: To determine the 1-year survival rate, 1-year amputation-free survival rate and the risk factors of amputation for patients with diabetic foot ulcers. Materials and Methods: One hundred seventy-three patients with diabetic foot ulcers were included in our study. Mean patient age was 67.5 (range, 29 to 87, SD ±11.4) years. 74% of the patients were male. Time from study entry to amputation and time to death were evaluated separately as censored event times by Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank tests. A multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was carried out for determining the risk factors of amputation. Results: The survival rate and amputation-free survival rate were 96.5% (n=167), 65.9% (n=114), respectively, over one year study period. Severity of ulcer was the strongest significant risk factor of amputation [hazard ratio (HR): 7.99; confidence interval (CI): 3.12 to 20.47]. Peripheral artery disease was also independent risk factor of amputation (HR: 2.64; CI: 1.52 to 4.59). Conclusion: In assessing the prognosis of diabetic foot ulcers, clinicians should consider the severity of ulcer and presence of peripheral artery disease. Our study provides important insights into clinical practice and supplementary information for both physicians and patients.
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INTRODUCTION

A diabetic foot is a foot that exhibits any pathology that results directly from diabetes mellitus or any long-term complication of diabetes mellitus.1,2 The decisive factors for the etiology of the diabetic foot ulcers are diabetic neuropathy, macroangiopathy and the combination of neuropathy with macroangiopathy.3 Diabetic foot can present several types such neuropathic alone, mixed neuropathic-ischemic, or ischemic with infection.4,5 Furthermore, diabetic foot is one of the most common complication associated with diabetes. It is estimated that approximately from 15 to 25% of diabetes patients develop diabetic foot ulcers in the course of their disease.6 Based on recent studies, the annual incidence of diabetic foot ulcers
from diabetic patients ranges from 1.0 to 4.1%. Diabetic foot ulcer is a frequent comorbidity of diabetes, and often results in amputation. Winkley, et al. reported that being older [hazard ratio (HR) 1.07, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.04-1.11], and moderate ischemia (HR 2.74, 95% CI 1.46-5.14) were associated with higher mortality. Furthermore, ulcer severity was the only explanatory factor significantly associated with amputation (HR 3.18, 95% CI 1.53-6.59). Morbach, et al. also reported that age (HR 1.08, 95% CI 1.06-1.10), male gender (HR 1.65, 95% CI 1.18-2.32), and peripheral artery disease (PAD) (HR 1.44, 95% CI 1.05-1.98) were significant predictors for death. In addition, age (HR 1.05, 95% CI 1.01-1.10), and PAD (HR 35.34, 95% CI 4.81-259.79) were significant predictors for the first major amputation. Mortality following amputation ranges from 13 to 40% at 1 year, 35 to 65% at 3 years, and 39 to 80% at 5 years, being worse than most malignancies. Therefore, amputation-free survival is important in assessing the management of diabetic foot ulcers.

The purpose of this study is to determine the survival rate, amputation-free survival rate, and the risk factors of amputation for patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital. The study inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with diabetic foot ulcers, who visited or referred to our clinic, a tertiary referral center, for the complex foot and ankle disease from March 2003 to October 2012; 2) patients evaluated for ankle brachial pressure index (ABPI) for ischemia. Exclusion criteria were 1) patients who did not meet the definition of diabetic foot ulcers; 2) patients who did not know whether alive or not by our medical records or telephone interview.

We reviewed age, gender, type of diabetes, type of treatment for diabetes, and duration of diabetes using medical record.

**Risk factors for mortality and amputations**

The literature lists smoking, peripheral artery disease, severity of ulcer, duration of ulcer, inadequate sugar control (high level of glycosylated hemoglobin), and the presence of diabetic complications (e.g., macrovascular, microvascular, nephropathy, neuropathy, and cerebrovascular) as risk factors for mortality and amputation of patients who suffered diabetic foot ulcers. Therefore, we reviewed such risk factors using medical record.

Current smoking status was divided into current smoker, ex-smoker, and non-smoker. The degree of ischemia was assessed using the ABPI. PAD was defined by an ABPI <0.9. A handheld Doppler was used to identify foot pulses (Vasoguard, Nicolet Vascular Inc., Madison, WI, USA) and measure the brachial and ankle systolic pressure together with a sphygmomanometer after patient rest for 5 minutes. The severity of ulcer was determined using the Wagner Classification System (Table 1).

| Grade 0 | Skin lesions absent, hyperkeratosis below or above bony prominences |
| Grade 1 | Skin and immediate subcutaneous tissue are ulcerated |
| Grade 2 | Lesions are deeper and may penetrate to tendon, bone or joint capsule |
| Grade 3 | Deep tissues are always involved, osteomyelitis may be present |
| Grade 4 | Gangrene of some portion of the toes or forefoot |
| Grade 5 | The entire foot is gangrenous |

**Searching strategy**

We searched the records for ICD-10 codes including E10.7 “diabetic foot, type 1”, E11.7 “diabetic foot, type 2”, E14.70 “diabetic foot with ulcer”, E14.71 “diabetic foot with ulcer and gangrene”, and E14.78 “diabetic foot with multiple complications”. Two hundred fifty five patients met these diagnoses. After implementation of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 173 patients were enrolled in our study.

**Demographic of patients**

We reviewed age, gender, type of diabetes, type of treatment for diabetes, and duration of diabetes using medical record.
tective pain sensation and sensory neuropathy was made using a Semmes-Weinstein monofilament testing. Neuropathy was defined as inability to perceive 10 g of force or application of a 5.07 monofilament at any sites of the forefoot (great toe and base of first, third, and fifth metatarsals). Cerebrovascular complications were defined as the presence of any event of neurologic deficiency, whether persistent or resolved.

Minor amputations were defined as removal of a part of the foot distal to the transverse tarsal joint with preservation of the talus and calcaneus. Major amputations were defined as transtibial amputation.

After all data were collected, we identified current status of survival and amputation using medical record. Patients who visited our hospital within 1 month from end of data collection period for any causes were regarded as alive patients. Forty-four patients whose current status of survival remained unknown, therefore, we contacted patients or their family members by telephone, and asked patient’s current status.

Statistical analysis
Baseline variables were described depending on their distributions by means, standard deviations (SDs), ranges, or frequency tables. Time from the study entry to amputation and time from the study entry to death were evaluated separately as censored event times by Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank tests. To assess the relationship between the baseline variables and incidence of death and amputation, Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was separately carried out for each variable. A multivariate Cox regression model was fitted with diabetes-associated amputations as the dependent variable and adjusted for clinical and demographic characteristics. The following factors were included in the analysis as possible predictors or confounders: gender, age, duration of diabetes, smoking status, presence of peripheral artery disease, severity of ulcer, duration of ulcer, glycosylated hemoglobin level, and diabetic complications such as macrovascular, microvascular, nephropathy, neuropathy, and cerebrovascular complication. Null hypotheses of no difference were rejected if p-values were less than 0.05. All analyses were carried out using SPSS 18.0 window version (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS
The mean duration of follow up was 14.6 (range 1 to 84, SD±15.9) months. One hundred sixty-five (95.4%) patients were type 2 diabetes, and 80 (46.2%) patients were treated with insulin injection. The mean duration of diabetes was 18.9 (range, 0 to 42, SD±11.4) years. Fifty-nine (34.1%, n=59) patients underwent an amputation over one year study period. Twelve (6.9%) underwent major amputations (amputation above the ankle), and there were forty-seven (27.1%) minor amputations (below the ankle) (Table 2).

The survival rate was 96.5% (n=167) for diabetic foot ulcers patients over the 1 year study period. All amputation-free survival rate was 65.9% (n=114), and major amputation-free survival rate was 65.9% (n=114), and major amputa-

### Table 2. Demographic and Clinical Patient Characteristics of Diabetic Foot Ulcers Patients

| Characteristic               | Value                        |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **Mean age (yrs)**           | 67.5 (11.4)                  |
| **Sex**                      |                              |
| Male                         | 128 (74)                     |
| Female                       | 45 (26)                      |
| **Type of diabetes**         |                              |
| Type 1                       | 7 (4.6)                      |
| Type 2                       | 165 (95.4)                   |
| **Treatment**                |                              |
| Insulin                      | 81 (46.8)                    |
| Tablet                       | 92 (53.2)                    |
| **Current smoking status**   |                              |
| Non- or ex-smoker            | 94 (54.3)                    |
| Smoker                       | 79 (45.7)                    |
| **Mean duration of diabetes (yrs)** | 18.9 (10.2)               |
| **Mean glycosylated hemoglobin level (%)** | 7.4 (1.5)         |
| **Wagner classification**    |                              |
| Grade 0                      | 16 (9)                       |
| Grade 1                      | 75 (43.4)                    |
| Grade 2                      | 18 (10.4)                    |
| Grade 3                      | 37 (21.4)                    |
| Grade 4                      | 27 (15.6)                    |
| Grade 5                      | 0                            |
| **Duration of ulcer (months)** | 3.7 (5.3)                 |
| **ABPI**                     |                              |
| ≥0.9                         | 124 (71.7)                   |
| <0.9                         | 49 (28.3)                    |
| **Complication**             |                              |
| Macrovascular                | 45 (26)                      |
| Microvascular                | 58 (33.5)                    |
| Nephropathy                  | 71 (41)                      |
| Neuropathy                   | 136 (78.6)                   |
| Cerebrovascular              | 28 (16.2)                    |
| **Amputation**               |                              |
| Major (above the ankle)      | 12 (6.9)                     |
| Minor (below the ankle)      | 47 (27.1)                    |

*ABPI, ankle brachial pressure index. Data are presented as means (SD) or number (%).*
In separate univariate Cox proportional hazards regression models, severity of ulcer and PAD were significant risk increasing factor, and high glycosylated hemoglobin level was a significant preventive factor (Table 3). Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis showed that high grade of ulcer severity (Grade from 3 to 5) was the strongest significant independent predictor of amputation for diabetes patients with diabetic foot ulcers (HR: 7.99; CI: 3.12 to 20.47) (Fig. 1). Multivariate analyses also found PAD (ABI < 0.9) to be a significant risk factor for amputation (HR: 2.64; CI: 1.52 to 4.59) (Fig. 2, Table 4).

### DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to determine the survival rate, amputation-free survival rate, and the risk factors for amputation for patients with diabetic foot ulcers. Our main findings demonstrated that 1-year survival rate of diabetic foot ulcers was about 97% and all amputation-free survival was about 66%. Severity of ulcer was the strongest significant risk factor of amputation for diabetes patients with diabetic foot ulcers (HR: 7.99; CI: 3.12 to 20.47) (Fig. 1). Multivariate analyses also found PAD (ABI < 0.9) to be a significant risk factor for amputation (HR: 2.64; CI: 1.52 to 4.59) (Fig. 2, Table 4).
Table 4. Multivariate Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Models

| Explanatory variables | All amputation (n=59) | Major amputation (n=12) | Minor amputation (n=47) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                       | HR (95% CI), p value  | HR (95% CI), p value   | HR (95% CI), p value   |
| Age                   | 1.00 (0.97-1.03), 0.97| 1.03 (0.97-1.09), 0.33 | 0.99 (0.96-1.02), 0.48 |
| Sex (male vs. female) | 0.83 (0.43-1.62), 0.59| 0.36 (0.10-1.26), 0.11 | 1.11 (0.48-2.55), 0.81 |
| ABPI (<0.9)           | 2.64 (1.52-4.59), <0.01| 5.82 (1.47-22.98), 0.01| 2.49 (1.34-4.63), <0.01|
| Severity of ulcer     | 7.99 (1.32-20.47), <0.01| 8.02 (0.97-66.33), 0.05| 9.36 (3.25-26.92), <0.01|
| Glycosylated hemoglobin (>7.5%) | 0.52 (0.29-0.92), 0.02| 1.11 (0.33-3.69), 0.87| 0.45 (0.23-0.88), 0.02|

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ABPI, ankle brachial pressure index.

Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier curves for amputation-free survival of diabetes patients with diabetic foot ulcers over 1 year, depending on mean ABPI value (log-rank test p<0.01). ABPI, ankle brachial pressure index.

The survival rate was 96.5% (n=167) for diabetic foot ulcers patients over the study period of 1 year. All amputation-free survival rate was 65.9% (n=114), and major amputation-free survival rate was 93.1% (n=161) over the study period of 1 year. Winkley, et al. found a survival rate of 84%, and amputation-free survival rate of 84.5% at 18 months in a population-based prospective cohort study of people with their first diabetic foot ulcer. Morbach, et al. observed a 1-year survival rate of 84.6%, and an amputation rate of 15.4%. However, they excluded minor amputations (below the ankle). Our study included all amputations of the lower extremities, and we found that major amputation rate was 6.9% over the study period of 1 year.

A high grade of ulcer severity (Grade from 3 to 5) markedly increased the risk of amputation in our study (HR: 7.99; CI: 3.12 to 20.47). This result was compatible with the clinical observation that a more extensive wound was associated with a more extensive surgical management, as such amputation. Sun, et al. showed that a high grade of Wagner classification strongly increased the risk of amputation [odds ratio (OR): 13.10; CI: 8.74 to 19.65], similar to our study.

PAD is considered to be a marker for atherosclerosis. Hoorwitz defined PAD as ABPI <0.9, included those with absent foot pulses, and found that it was more prevalent among those with known diabetes. The Strong Heart Study with a population cohort of American Indians to investigate risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD) found that the relative risk of CVD mortality in people with PAD was 1.69. Furthermore, Pscherer, et al. showed that PAD was the strongest significant independent predictor of amputation for diabetes.
patients with diabetic foot ulcers (HR: 5.13; CI: 4.27 to 6.16), similarly to our study (HR: 2.64; CI: 1.52 to 4.59).

Previous studies showed that poor diabetes control is a risk factor for limb loss in diabetic patients.5,16 Pscherer, et al.5 found that patients with a mean glycosylated hemoglobin level above 7.5% had 20% higher risk of amputation compared to patients with below 7.5%. However, Winkley, et al.8 showed that having lower glycosylated hemoglobin level was associated with higher mortality (HR: 0.73; CI: 0.56 to 0.96). Similarly, our study showed that mean glycosylated hemoglobin level below 7.5% had 52% higher risk of amputation, compared to patients with above 7.5% (HR: 0.52; CI: 0.29 to 0.92). It is quite reasonable to assume that glycosylated hemoglobin level showed opposite results in earlier studies, because glycosylated hemoglobin serves as a marker for average blood glucose levels for a few months prior to the measurement, and it does not reflect the real risk of amputation for long term complication of diabetic foot ulcers. A higher amputation rate in better long term glycemic control seems counterintuitive. A possible explanation for the result is that patients with more advanced diabetes mellitus could be exposed to better surveillance and more intensive medical treatment. However, this explanation is beyond the scope of this study and need further investigation.8 Consequently, we conclude that clinician should consider the severity of ulcer and the presence of peripheral artery disease when evaluating diabetic foot ulcers. However, recent status of blood glucose is not a significant prognostic factor.
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