OBSCURING ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI WITH NUCLEAR STARBURST DISKS

D. R. BALLANTYNE

Department of Physics, The University of Arizona, 1118 East 4th Street, Tucson, AZ 85721; drb@physics.arizona.edu

Received 2008 April 30; accepted 2008 June 12

ABSTRACT

We assess the potential of nuclear starburst disks to obscure the Seyfert-like AGNs that dominate the hard X-ray background at $z \approx 1$. Over 1200 starburst disk models, based on the theory developed by Thompson et al., are calculated for five input parameters: the black hole mass, the radial size of the starburst disk, the dust-to-gas ratio, the efficiency of angular momentum transport in the disk, and the gas fraction at the outer disk radius. We find that a large dust-to-gas ratio, a relatively small starburst disk, a significant gas mass fraction, and efficient angular momentum transport are all important to produce a starburst disk that can potentially obscure an AGN. The typical maximum star formation rate in the disks is $\sim 10 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Assuming no mass loss due to outflows, the starburst disks feed gas onto the black hole at rates sufficient to produce hard X-ray luminosities of $10^{43}$–$10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The starburst disks themselves should be detectable at mid-infrared and radio wavelengths; at $z = 0.8$, the predicted fluxes are $\sim 1$ mJy at 24 $\mu$m and $\sim 10$–30 $\mu$Jy at 1.4 GHz. Thus, we predict a large fraction of radio/X-ray matches in future deep radio surveys. The starburst disks should be easily distinguished from AGNs in future 100 $\mu$m surveys by the Herschel Space Observatory with expected fluxes of $\sim 5$ mJy. Any AGN-obscuring starbursts will be associated with hot dust, independent of AGN heating, resulting in observable signatures for separating galactic and nuclear star formation. Finally, because of the competition between gas and star formation, nuclear starbursts will be associated with lower luminosity AGNs. Thus, this phenomenon is a natural explanation for the observed decrease in the fraction of obscured AGNs with luminosity.

Subject headings: galaxies: active — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: formation — galaxies: Seyfert — galaxies: starburst — X-rays: diffuse background

1. INTRODUCTION

All active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are powered by accretion onto a central supermassive black hole (Lynden-Bell 1969; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Pringle 1981; Balbus 2003). However, the observational manifestation of this accretion can vary dramatically from object to object. For example, the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of rapidly accreting quasars (Elvis et al. 1994) are very different from the Galactic center source Sgr A* (Melia & Falcke 2001) or the black hole at the center of M87 (Ho 1999). In this case, the different observational properties are a result of the two distinct mechanisms through which energy is liberated in radiatively efficient (for the quasars) and inefficient (for Sgr A* and M87) accretion flows. Another important parameter is the orientation of the black hole–accretion disk system to the line of sight. As a result, blazars (AGNs that are viewed down the axis of a radio jet) show significantly different SEDs and variability properties than all other AGNs (Bach et al. 2007). Finally, obscuration along the line of sight is another key parameter in determining the observational characteristics of an AGN. The vast majority of local, optically selected AGNs show evidence for being obscured (e.g., Maiolino & Rieke 1995; Ho et al. 1997), and these are called type 2 AGNs, while the unobscured sources are defined as type 1 AGNs. Observationally, the obscuration is revealed as significant soft X-ray absorption (e.g., Tozzi et al. 2006), the disappearance of the optical broad permitted lines (Khachikian & Weedman 1974), and a reddened continuum (Wilkes et al. 2005). Despite its near ubiquity, the origin of the obscuration around AGNs is still unclear. Infrared emission from dust near the sublimation temperature (e.g., Rieke 1978; Neugebauer et al. 1979; Barvainis 1987; Sanders et al. 1989) and variability in the X-ray–absorbing column density (Risaliti et al. 2002, 2005) both point to a location $\sim 1$ pc from the central engine, although it is difficult to rule out contributions from the host galaxy at larger scales (Maiolino & Rieke 1995; Ballantyne et al. 2003; Rigby et al. 2006). It is also possible that the origin of the obscuration may arise through a variety of processes for AGNs with different luminosities or at different points in their evolution (Ballantyne et al. 2006b).

Perhaps the most significant challenge facing the parsec-scale absorber (or obscuring “torus” in the AGN unification model; Antonucci 1993) is to account for the observed 4 : 1 type 2/type 1 ratio of AGNs required to fit the hard X-ray background (Gilli et al. 2007). This fact requires the obscuring material to cover $\sim 80\%$ of the sky as observed from the central black hole and thus have a structure so that its vertical scale height is of the same magnitude as its radius, i.e., $H/r \sim 1$. Krolik (2007) and Shi & Krolik (2008) have argued that the AGN emission absorbed and reradiated in the infrared by dust in the torus can provide enough pressure support to inflate a structure to the required scale. Similarly, Chang et al. (2007) suggested that X-ray heating of the outer accretion disk may be sufficient to result in an $H/r \sim 1$ geometry. Another explanation, and the one considered here in more detail, is feedback from a nuclear starburst disk (Fabian et al. 1998; Wada & Norman 2002; Thompson et al. 2005).

There are many reasons to consider starburst disks as a source of obscuration in AGNs. At the most basic level, gas to fuel the black hole must be funneled toward the center of the galaxy and is therefore likely to cause star formation en route. In fact, recent adaptive optics observations by Davies et al. (2007) have shown the presence of a poststarburst population at parsec scales in nine local Seyfert galaxies, indicating that this may indeed be a common phenomenon. In addition, recent models of AGN tori have suggested that the obscuring region may be clumpy (Nenkova et al. 2002; Dullemond & van Bemmel 2005; Hönig et al. 2006), a common trait of star-forming regions in starburst galaxies.
A more profound aspect of star formation as a source of AGN obscuration is that it provides a connection between the black hole–accretion disk environment and the host galaxy (e.g., Kawakatu & Wada 2008). It is now accepted that the correlations between the black hole mass and bulge properties in early-type galaxies (Magorrian et al. 1998; Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Tremaine et al. 2002) are evidence for a significant connection between the growth of the black hole and the buildup of a galactic bulge (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998; Fabian 1999; Kauffmann & Haehnelt 2000; Wyithe & Loeb 2003; Murray et al. 2005; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Fabian et al. 2008). Therefore, it is expected that as the black hole and galaxy bulge are growing, there will be significant accretion onto the black hole that is obscured by star formation in the host galaxy (e.g., Hopkins 2005). Indeed, the hard X-ray background, emitted by accreting black holes throughout the history of the universe, has a very hard spectrum, indicative of being dominated by obscured AGNs (Setti & Woltjer 1989; De Luca & Molendi 2004; Gilli et al. 2007). Interestingly, the redshift distribution of the obscured AGNs that dominate the X-ray background peaks at $z \sim 1$, very similar to the peak in the cosmic star formation history (Tozzi et al. 2001; Barger et al. 2002; Hopkins 2004; Barger et al. 2005). This result may imply a connection between the obscuring material and the evolution of the host galaxy (Fabian et al. 1998; Franceschini et al. 1999; Ballantyne et al. 2006a). In fact, there is tentative evidence that the fraction of obscured AGNs does increase with $z$ (Ballantyne et al. 2006a; Treister & Urry 2006; La Franca et al. 2005). Thus, if star-forming disks do provide a significant amount of AGN obscuration at $z \sim 1$ (where the X-ray background sources are most common), then by studying the properties and evolution of the absorbing material, we can directly probe the evolution of the underlying host galaxy.

In this paper, we make use of the analytic starburst disk models developed by Thompson et al. (2005) to investigate the properties of starburst disks as a source of obscuring material in the type 2 AGNs found at $z \sim 1$. In the paper by Thompson et al. (2005) the models were able to successfully describe many of the observed properties of ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs), and it was noted that under certain conditions, the photosphere of the starburst disks may reach $H/r \sim 1$. Here we adapt the model to consider the much less intense star-forming disks that might be expected to be found around the Seyfert-like luminosity AGNs that dominate the population at these redshifts (Ueda et al. 2003; Barger et al. 2005). We search for the range of physical parameters these starburst disks must have in order for them to provide significant obscuration. Both radio and far-IR fluxes are predicted to determine if the disks can be (or have already been) detected by current observational surveys. Section 2 provides a brief review of the Thompson et al. (2005) models and then describes how the theory was altered and applied to this problem. Section 3 presents the results of our calculations and describes both the physical and observational properties of starburst disks that may obscure an average AGN. The results are discussed in § 4, and we present our conclusions in § 5. When necessary, the following $\Lambda$-dominated cosmology is assumed in this paper: $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $\Omega_m = 0.3$ (Spergel et al. 2003).

2. MODELS OF NUCLEAR STARBURST DISKS

2.1. Review of Starburst Disk Models

The properties of the nuclear starburst disks are calculated using the one-dimensional analytic theory developed by Thompson et al. (2005), and we solve the equations presented in their Appendix C. Here we will briefly review the main assumptions and ideas behind the theory and refer readers to the paper by Thompson et al. (2005) for a complete discussion.

The starburst disks are calculated as a single phase medium at a radius $r$ from the center of a galaxy with a velocity dispersion $\sigma$ and a supermassive black hole with mass $M_{\text{BH}}$. Further, the disk is assumed to be rotating at the Keplerian rate at all radii, and the gravitational potential is the sum of the point mass of the black hole and an isothermal sphere describing the galactic bulge. The star formation in the disk is a local phenomenon and is assumed to always adjust so that Toomre’s $Q$ parameter is unity; that is, $Q = \kappa c_s / \pi G \Sigma_g = 1$, where $\kappa$ is the epicycle frequency, $\Omega$ is the Keplerian frequency, $c_s$ is the sound speed, and $\Sigma_g$ is the gas surface density. This condition on $Q$ allows the gas density $\rho$ at any radius to be immediately written as a function of $\Omega(r)$. The vertical support of the disk is the infrared (IR) radiation pressure of the starburst against dust (the UV optical depth is always much greater than unity in these disks, so the vast majority of the radiation is reradiated in the mid and far-IR). Therefore, these starbursts are locally radiating at their Eddington limit. The vertical optical depth of the disk in the IR is $\tau = \Sigma_g c_s / 2$, where $\kappa(T, r)$ is the Rosseland mean opacity.

Fuel is fed to the outer radius of the disk at a rate of $M_{\text{inj}}$. An unspecified global torque acts on the disk, allowing the removal of angular momentum and gas to accrete slowly inward at a rate $\dot{M}$. This torque is assumed to allow the radial velocity of the gas to be equal to a constant fraction $m$ of the local sound speed; thus, $\dot{M} = 2\pi r \Sigma_m n_c$. However, gas can be removed from the disk by local star formation, so that the accretion rate through the disk can also be written as $\dot{M} = M_{\text{out}} - \int_r^{\text{out}} 2\pi r' \Sigma_r dr'$, where $\Sigma_r$ is the star formation rate (SFR) per unit area. Therefore, there is a competition between star formation using up the gas in the disk and the torque attempting to transport material through the disk. As discussed in Thompson et al. (2005), this can be understood by considering the advection timescale through the disk, $\tau_{\text{adv}} = r/v_r$, and the star formation timescale, $\tau_s = 1/(\dot{M}/\Sigma_r)$, where $v_r$ is the radial velocity of the gas and $\dot{M}$ is the star formation efficiency. If $\tau_{\text{adv}} < \tau_s$, then material can move through the disk quickly enough that it can fuel a black hole while forming stars. Thompson et al. (2005) showed that by equating these timescales at the outer radius $R_{\text{out}}$ one derives a critical accretion rate, $M_{\text{crit}}$, below which nearly all the gas is converted into stars at large radii.

The model disks are calculated from $R_{\text{out}}$ inward until a point is reached where dissipation by disk accretion dominates the heating over star formation. At this point, $Q = 1$ can no longer be maintained and the starburst disk structure calculation is terminated. The actual value of the inner radius depends on the particular parameters of the model but is typically $<1$ pc.

2.2. A Survey of Starbursts

Thompson et al. (2005) calculated starburst disk structures appropriate for ULIRGs, but the X-ray background is dominated by AGNs whose host galaxies must have, on average, SFRs much lower than ULIRGs (Ballantyne & Papovich 2007). Thus, we have to calculate new starburst models for this different scenario. Since it is clear that it is possible for starburst disks to potentially obscure an AGN (Thompson et al. 2005), these new models are
designed to cover a wide range of parameter space to determine the variety of properties that AGN-obscuring starburst disks may have.

Each starburst disk calculation has five input parameters that were varied through different values: \( \log (M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\odot}) = 7, 7.5, 8, 8.5 \) (the galactic velocity dispersion is then calculated through the \( M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma \) relation: \( M_{\text{BH}} = 2 \times 10^\sigma \); see, e.g., Tremaine et al. 2002); \( m = 0.0075, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, 0.1, 0.2 \); \( R_{\text{out}} = 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 \) pc; \( f_{\text{gas}} = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9 \) (the gas fraction determines \( M_{\text{gas}} \) and decreases to smaller \( r \); see Thompson et al. 2005); and the dust-to-gas ratio of the gas (either 1, 5, or 10 times the local ISM value). As emphasized by Thompson et al. (2005), the starburst disk structure is very sensitive to the temperature dependence of the Rosseland mean opacity. Similarly, the overall opacity value is an important parameter, as increasing the opacity can result in a larger temperature without necessarily enhancing the SFR. There are numerous observational hints that the region around an AGN may have enhanced metallicity (Hamann & Ferland 1999; Nagao et al. 2006; Arav et al. 2007), as well as increased grain growth (Chang et al. 2007 and references therein). Therefore, it is important to calculate starburst disks with differing dust-to-gas ratios. Following Thompson et al. (2005) we use the Rosseland mean opacity curve calculated by Semenov et al. (2003) with the following parameters: a density of \( 10^6 \) cm\(^{-3} \), “normal” silicates, homogeneous distribution of dust materials, and spherical particles. To determine the opacity at different dust-to-gas ratios, this basic curve, appropriate for typical ISM values, was multiplied by the desired ratio (Ferguson et al. 2007).

Starburst disk models were run for each permutation of the input parameters, resulting in a total of 1260 distinct starburst disk structures. However, before analyzing the properties of these disk models, we must select the models that are most likely to both fuel and obscure a central active nucleus (i.e., ones where \( \tau_{\text{adv}} < \tau_{\text{r}} \)). The potential for obscuring an AGN arises when the central temperature of the starburst disk surpasses the sublimation temperature of the dust (Thompson et al. 2005). At that point, the opacity of the gas drops precipitously and the SFR rises dramatically in order to keep \( Q = 1 \). In this situation, the effective temperature of the disk (roughly the surface temperature) may remain below the sublimation temperature, leading to a significant vertical opacity gradient in the disk. Thompson et al. (2005) argued that, although the disk may remain thin with \( H/r < 1 \), the photosphere of the disk could puff up to \( H/r \sim 1 \). Therefore, each of the 1260 models was searched to determine if there existed a region that satisfied both of the following conditions:

1. The central temperature was greater than 900 K.
2. The SFR was greater than 10% of the SFR at \( R_{\text{out}} \).

The second criterion was necessary to exclude a small number of models that marginally exceeded the temperature condition but did not reach the sublimation temperature. Only 512 models, or 41% of the calculations, produced an inner starburst that satisfied both of the imposed conditions. A histogram of the radius at which the SFR reached its maximum within this region is shown in Figure 1. The histogram shows that the most common radius to find this burst of star formation is \( r \approx 1–3 \) pc. Such radii are very similar to the scales of the obscuring medium measured in nearby AGNs (Jaffe et al. 2004; Tristram et al. 2007). Thus, these starburst disk models reproduce the size scales necessary to obscure AGNs. It is worth emphasizing that in choosing the range of model parameters, we made no a priori assumptions about what values would be more (or less) likely to produce these parsec-scale starbursts, but only considered those values to be typical of observed Seyfert-like galaxies. The distributions of observables shown below are derived solely from the models shown in Figure 1, which we consider to be those that are most likely to obscure the central AGN.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Physical Properties of the Starburst Disks

As a guide to the physical structure of one of the starburst disks, Figure 2 plots the radial dependence of several interesting variables from one representative model. The details behind the models are described by Thompson et al. (2005), but it is worth emphasizing here that star formation occurs throughout the disk (solid line), from \( R_{\text{out}} = 100 \) pc to the inner radius at \( \leq 1 \) pc. However, the central temperature of the disk (short-dashed line) increases to smaller radii as the rising density causes the disk to become more optically thick to the stellar radiation. Eventually, this temperature reaches the sublimation temperature of the dust, and the opacity drops (dotted line), resulting in a significant burst of star formation. Since the effective temperature (dot-dashed line) is still below this sublimation temperature, a significant vertical opacity gradient will exist in this region. Thus, this is the key region in which star formation can puff up the disk photosphere to \( H/r \sim 1 \), and not at larger radii, even if the SFRs are comparable. This inner burst of star formation nearly exhausts the gas supply in these models, but a small amount survives to make its way onto the inner accretion disk to feed the black hole (long-dashed line).

We now turn to the physical properties for the ensemble of “successful” models shown in Figure 1. What is necessary for these disks to be able to obscure an AGN on parsec scales? The solid lines in Figure 3 show the distributions of the input parameters derived from those models that have a parsec-scale burst of star formation. These plots show that successful models can be produced at every value of the input parameters, but parsec-scale starbursts are more commonly generated if the disks have...
specific properties. For example, Figure 3a shows that more massive black holes can more easily produce such starbursts. This is perhaps unsurprising since in these $Q = 1$ structures a larger black hole mass results in a denser and hence hotter starburst disk (Thompson et al. 2005). In contrast, Figure 3b shows that a smaller starburst disk is more likely to have the inner ring of star formation. Smaller starburst disks retain a larger fraction of their gas at a given radius than larger disks. Thus, the opacity is greater and the temperature can more easily reach the sublimation temperature of dust. The smallest $R_{\text{out}}$ considered, 50 pc, is an interesting number as it is roughly the same size as the star-forming regions found around Seyfert galaxies by Davies et al. (2007). The models considered here do show that such small starburst disks are more likely to produce an AGN-obscuring region than larger disks, in agreement with the observations.

There is a similarly strong trend shown in Figure 3c involving the Mach number $m$. A larger value of $m$ reduces $T_{\text{adv}}$ and thus causes more efficient mass accretion through the starburst disk. Figure 3 shows that an inner burst of star formation at parsec scales is more common at higher Mach numbers. In fact, $\sim 50\%$ of such models had $m \geq 0.1$. As with the smaller values of $R_{\text{out}}$, efficient mass accretion results in a larger fraction of gas at parsec scales, which increases the opacity and hence temperature of the gas. The same reasoning can also be applied to the dust-to-gas factor shown in Figure 3d, where clearly a larger dust-to-gas ratio results in a more common inner parsec-scale burst of star formation. An enhanced dust-to-gas ratio increases the vertical opacity to the starburst radiation, leading to larger temperatures and thus increasing the likelihood of surpassing the sublimation temperature.
The gas fraction at $R_{\text{out}}$ (Fig. 3c) is another important parameter for the existence of a parsec-scale starburst, with $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.1$ producing only ~2% of the “successful” models. The mass accretion rate at $R_{\text{out}}$ is directly related to $f_{\text{gas}}$, so that a larger accretion rate onto the outer disk is the result of increasing $f_{\text{gas}}$. Hence, it is easier to exceed the $M_{\text{crit}}$ crucial for feeding and obscuring the black hole. A larger $f_{\text{gas}}$ at $R_{\text{out}}$ will also likely result in a larger fraction of gas on parsec scales, and the extra heating argument described above will hold. The accretion rate varies as $f_{\text{gas}}^2$ (Thompson et al. 2005); thus, models with $f_{\text{gas}} \sim 0.5$ are adequate to produce potentially AGN-obscuring starburst disks.

It is clear from the physics of the starburst model that in order to produce this parsec-scale burst of star formation that may obscure an AGN, the temperature of the disk must exceed the sublimation temperature of dust producing a sudden drop of the vertical opacity. Figure 3 shows that this situation is more likely to occur if one of the following is true: a high-mass black hole, a smaller starburst disk, efficient angular momentum transport in the disk, a reasonable gas mass fraction, or a large dust-to-gas ratio. However, not all of these conditions have to be simultaneously satisfied. For example, the dotted lines in Figure 3 show the distribution of $R_{\text{out}}$ and $f_{\text{gas}}$, and the dust-to-gas factor for the subset of models where $\log (M_{\text{sub}}/M_\odot) = 7$ and $m = 0.025$, two nonideal conditions for the existence of the inner parsec-scale starburst. In this case, the small starburst disks and large dust-to-gas ratios are basically required in order to generate a potentially AGN-obscuring starburst. Therefore, while it may be harder to produce a parsec-scale burst of star formation around a smaller mass black hole, it is not impossible if the other properties of the starburst disk are favorable.

### 3.2. Star Formation Rates

We now understand the conditions necessary for the starburst disk to produce a potentially AGN-obscuring ring of star formation. Focusing in on the star formation events themselves, Figure 4 plots the distribution of maximum SFRs found in these parsec-scale starbursts. The plot shows that just over half the models have maximum SFRs $<20 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (with values ~10 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ most common), rates that are very typical of luminous IR galaxies (LIRGs; Wang et al. 2006), but much less than those observed in ULIRGs ($\geq 100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$; Rodríguez Zaurín et al. 2008). However, the star formation per unit area in the model bursts are very high ($\sim 10^6 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$), exceeding those observed in ULIRGs ($\sim 10^3 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$; Graciá-Carpio et al. 2008). Thus, these parsec-scale starbursts are basically miniature ULIRGs—small regions of very efficient star formation, but because the area is so small, the maximum SFRs can be LIRG-like. Interestingly, Ballantyne & Papovich (2007) showed that the host galaxies of the AGNs that dominate the X-ray background must, on average, have SFRs $<100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ to avoid violating the cosmic IR background. This constraint is consistent with the predictions of Figure 4, where ~80% of the models have maximum SFRs less than 100 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

The distribution of SFRs shows a small number of models with maximum SFRs $>300 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. The Figure 4 inset indicates that only 5% of the models present such ULIRG-like SFRs. These massively star-forming disks only occur when $\log (M/M_\odot) = 8.5$, $m = 0.1$ or 0.2, and $f_{\text{gas}} > 0.90$. Clearly, significant gas resources are necessary to power such bursts of star formation.

### 3.3. Observational Consequences

#### 3.3.1. AGN Properties

As mentioned in § 3.1, if $\tau_{\text{sub}} < \tau_*$, then a small amount of gas survives its passage through the starburst disk and will feed onto the subparsec accretion disk and may ultimately accrete onto the black hole. Under the assumption that the accretion rate at the innermost edge of the starburst disk is the same as the accretion onto the black hole, the bolometric luminosity of the resulting AGN can be estimated from $L_{\text{bol}} = \eta M c^2$, where $\eta = 0.1$ is the radiative efficiency of the accretion disk. This is not a very
realistic assumption, since outflows from the central regions of AGNs are commonly observed, as well as being a standard prediction from numerical simulations of accretion flows (e.g., Crenshaw et al. 2003; Hawley & Krolik 2006). However, these outflows ensure that the accretion rate onto the black hole is always less than the accretion rate at the outer edge of the accretion disk; thus the luminosities calculated from the predicted $\dot{M}$ are strict upper limits. A further, implicit assumption in this calculation is that there are no other sources of fuel for the black hole aside from that provided by the starburst disk.

Keeping those assumptions in mind, we plot in Figure 5 the Eddington ratio $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ distribution from the models with parsec-scale starbursts. Here $L_{\text{Edd}} = 4\pi GM_B\sigma_T m_p/c^2$ is the Eddington ratio for spherical accretion, where $m_p$ is the proton mass and $\sigma_T$ is the Thomson cross section. The histogram shows a lognormal-like distribution with a peak at $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 0.5$. In all, about 20% of the models have Eddington ratios less than 0.1, ~60% have ratios $0.1 \leq L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \leq 1$, while ~20% predict super-Eddington accretion. Since these ratios will be upper limits, we find that the majority of the Eddington ratios predicted by fueling from the model starburst disks are consistent with the values inferred from obscured $z \sim 1$ AGNs (e.g., Ballo et al. 2007).

While the Eddington ratio is an interesting parameter, it is not a true observable. Assuming a standard radiatively efficient AGN spectrum, bolometric corrections can be used to determine the AGN luminosity in the 2–10 keV X-ray band. Recently, Vasudevan & Fabian (2007) determined the 2–10 keV bolometric correction for a number of nearby AGNs with both X-ray and UV data. These authors found that the bolometric correction may depend on the Eddington ratio of the AGN, with a value of $L_{\text{bol}}/L_X \sim 20$ for $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} < 0.1$, while $L_{\text{bol}}/L_X \sim 55$ for larger values, where $L_X$ is the AGN luminosity in the 2–10 keV band. Employing these bolometric corrections, strict upper limits to $L_X$ can be calculated for our models, and its distribution is plotted in Figure 6. Over 90% of the predicted X-ray luminosities fall between $L_X = 10^{43}$. 

---

1 The mass-loss rate from an accretion disk depends on the location from where it is launched and how it is driven, both extremely uncertain numbers for almost all AGNs (Crenshaw et al. 2003). Recently, Miller et al. (2008) argued that an outflow from the Galactic black hole GRO J1655–40 was launched from the disk by magnetic processes. The mass-loss rate in this case was estimated to be >5%–10% of the accretion rate.
and $10^{44.5}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is precisely the range of luminosities observed from the AGNs that dominate the hard X-ray background at $z \sim 1$ (Ueda et al. 2003; Barger et al. 2005). It is interesting that although the nuclear accretion process is not modeled, the AGN accretion rates predicted by the competition between star formation and a global feeding process on scales of tens of parsecs gives such good agreement with the observations. Although the details are unclear, this result may support models of AGN feeding through star-forming disks and global, barlike instabilities (see § 4.3).

### 3.3.2. The Starburst Disk

To determine the observational properties of the starburst disk itself, we calculate a simple SED for each model assuming blackbody emission at each radius and a face-on viewing angle (Thompson et al. 2005):

$$L_\lambda = \frac{2\pi R_{in}^2}{h\lambda^3} \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} \frac{2\pi rd\lambda}{\exp [hc/\lambda k_B T_{eff}(r)] - 1},$$

where $R_{in}$ is the inner radius for each model, $h$ is Planck’s constant, and $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant. An example SED, calculated from the same starburst model as that described in Figure 2, is shown in Figure 7. The SED shows two maxima: one at $\sim 30\mu m$ from the star formation at the outer edge of the disk, and one at $\sim 5\mu m$ from the parsec-scale starburst. This shorter wavelength peak is a generic feature of the models with the inner ring of star formation and is due to the loss of dust opacity in this region that limits the reprocessing available for the hot starburst emission. Thus, the effective temperature at these radii moves into the near-IR regime. As these SEDs are calculated assuming that the disk is viewed face-on, the luminosities and fluxes discussed below are upper limits.

Figure 8 plots the distribution of $24\mu m$ flux at $z = 0.8$ predicted from the starburst disk SEDs. The choice of $z = 0.8$ is illustrative but is a common redshift for the population of obscured AGNs that we wish to describe (e.g., Barger et al. 2005). The most common $24\mu m$ flux predicted by the starburst models is $\sim 1$ mJy, but values can be as low as $10\mu m$Jy or as high as $30\mu m$Jy. As mentioned above, these values should be treated as upper limits. The blue shaded region shows where the models with a maximum SFR $\lesssim 30 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, while the red area plots models with a maximum SFR $> 100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. The starburst disks with lower SFRs make up over 50% of the models (Fig. 4) and
The fluxes shown in Figure 8 are well within the sensitivity limits of many of the deep Spitzer surveys over the last several years (Lacy et al. 2004; Rigby et al. 2004; Franceschini et al. 2005; Stern et al. 2005; Alonso-Herrero et al. 2006; Barmbry et al. 2006; Brand et al. 2006; Pérez-González et al. 2008). Thus, if these disks exist around AGNs, they should have been detected by these surveys. However, the AGN itself can heat dust to near- and mid-IR emitting temperatures. The calculations presented in this paper do not include the feedback of the central AGN on the starburst disk. To estimate the 24 μm flux from AGN heating, we make use of the calculations by Ballantyne et al. (2006b), who modeled AGN SEDs from the X-ray to the far-IR assuming a constant density torus at a distance of 1 and 10 pc, respectively (Ballantyne et al. 2006b). These models allow one to easily connect the X-ray luminosity to predicted IR fluxes, making use of the calculations by Ballantyne et al. (2006b).

Another observational consequence of the nuclear starburst disks will be radio emission. There have been numerous attempts to link the properties of AGNs found in deep radio and X-ray surveys (Bauer et al. 2002; Georgakakis et al. 2004; Simpson et al. 2006; Barger et al. 2007; Richards et al. 2007; Rovilos et al. 2007; Park et al. 2008), but the matched sources seems to only comprise a small fraction of all AGNs (e.g., Barger et al. 2007). Radio fluxes at 1.4 GHz can be predicted for the starburst disk by making use of the IR SEDs and the radio-IR correlation (e.g., Donley et al. 2007). We conclude that the nuclear starburst disks will be easily visible in the mid-IR, but since the predicted fluxes are nearly identical to those expected from AGN heating, it will be extremely difficult to positively identify them or measure their properties (see § 4).

The assumption that such intense starbursts will follow the observed radio-IR correlations may be problematic, as this might require very large magnetic energy densities (e.g., Thompson et al. 2006). This problem will be investigated in future work.
blue and red hatched regions, respectively. The radio flux estimates are again upper limits as they originate from the starburst IR SEDs. The most common radio flux predicted for these starburst disks is $\sim 10-30 \, \mu$Jy, values that will again be dominated by disks with maximum SFRs $\lesssim 30 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$. This is an interesting flux because it is just below the sensitivity limit ($\sim 40-50 \, \mu$Jy) of the deepest radio surveys (e.g., Bauer et al. 2002; Barger et al. 2007). Indeed, Bauer et al. (2002) found that the fraction of X-ray AGNs with radio matches increased as they approached their sensitivity limit and suggested that deeper observations will find a higher fraction of matches. This conclusion agrees with the predictions of Figure 9.

Interestingly, Georgakakis et al. (2004) and Richards et al. (2007) find a slight tendency for the fraction of radio/X-ray matches to increase with X-ray obscuration. That is, the more obscured an AGN, the more likely that it will have a radio counterpart in the deep radio surveys. This result, although still tentative (Rovilos et al. 2007), is consistent with our proposed scenario where obscured AGNs should be associated with significant star formation. Future work is needed in the modeling to connect the starburst disks to predictions of X-ray column densities. Further deep radio observations are also required to confirm this trend.

As with the 24 $\mu$m flux, a major observational difficulty is determining whether the origin of the radio flux is due to the AGN or to star formation. It has long been known that the radio source population seems to change at a flux of $\sim 1$ mJy, with radio-loud AGNs dominating the counts above this flux and (it was originally thought) star-forming galaxies dominating below this flux (Condon 1984; Windhorst et al. 1985; Seymour et al. 2004). However, as suggested by Jarvis & Rawlings (2004), radio-quiet AGNs will start to contribute at faint radio fluxes, and, indeed, recent observations find that AGNs contribute $\sim 50\%$ of the radio population at the $\sim 50 \, \mu$Jy level (Simpson et al. 2006; Seymour et al. 2008; Smolčić et al. 2008). To estimate the radio flux due to the nuclear emission from the AGN, we use the result of Terashima & Wilson (2003), who showed that for local radio-quiet Seyfert galaxies and quasars, $L_\nu (5 \, \text{GHz}) = 10^{-5} L_\nu (10 \, \text{keV})$. Combining this result with the upper limits for the X-ray luminosities plotted in Figure 6 results in a distribution of radio flux due to the AGN itself (Fig. 9, dashed histogram). To calculate the 1.4 GHz flux, a flat $\alpha = 0$ spectrum was assumed, typical of the core nuclear emission from an AGN (Kellermann & Owen 1988). We find that the AGN radio flux at $z = 0.8$ is predicted to be only a factor of $\sim 3$ lower than the starburst flux, indicating that confusion between AGNs and star formation will be a factor in studying this population. However, if the data are available, methods such as spectral indices, radio morphology, and radio-to-mid-IR flux ratios have been shown to successfully discriminate between the two possible origins (e.g., Donley et al. 2005; Seymour et al. 2008).

As noted above, both 24 $\mu$m and 1.4 GHz observations of distant AGNs will have difficulty distinguishing the presence of a nuclear star-forming disk from the AGN emission. The starburst SEDs show a wide range of emission because the star formation occurs over a broad range of temperatures. Therefore, it is useful to predict how these starbursts’ disks may appear in the far-IR, especially with the imminent launch of the Herschel Space Observatory. Figure 10 plots the 100 and 350 $\mu$m flux distributions from our starburst models at $z = 0.8$. Again, since these predictions are derived from the SED calculated using equation (1), they should be considered upper limits. Both of these distributions predict fluxes $\sim 1-10 \, \text{mJy}$. The models of Ballantyne et al. (2006b) were employed to estimate the contribution from an AGN-heated torus at these wavelengths. At both these wavelengths, the torus emission was predicted to be over an order of magnitude smaller. Those calculations assumed a relatively compact geometry for the AGN absorber. It is possible a more extended Compton-thick absorber could produce greater far-IR emission, but we defer a calculation of such a scenario until later work.

Two Herschel key projects are the PACS Evolutionary Probe\(^3\) that has a planned sensitivity limit at 100 $\mu$m of 1–6 mJy. At 350 $\mu$m the Herschel Multitiered Extragalactic Survey\(^4\) (HerMES) predicts a sensitivity of 10–20 mJy. Thus, we predict that the PACS Evolutionary Probe survey should detect these starburst disks associated with hard X-ray sources. The HerMES survey will likely only detect the very rare high star-forming or low-redshift sources.

To summarize, the nuclear starburst disks proposed in this paper may reveal themselves to observers in multiple ways. They should have already been detected in the mid-IR by Spitzer surveys, but the predicted fluxes are of the same order as those from AGN heating of a non–star-forming torus, leading to the familiar IR spectral decomposition problem. At 1.4 GHz, the starburst disks are predicted to be slightly fainter than the deepest current radio surveys. Thus, as already indicated from previous work (Bauer et al. 2002), the fraction of radio/X-ray matches should increase as surveys probe to fainter flux levels. Unfortunately, the predicted radio flux levels are comparable to what is expected from the central radio-quiet AGN. Determining the radio spectral index or radio-to-IR flux ratios are two promising techniques that have been shown to distinguish between star formation and nuclear activity in radio sources (e.g., Seymour et al. 2008). Finally, the cleanest test may occur in the far-IR, where the contamination from AGN heating is expected to be minimized. Figure 10 shows that these disks should be easily detectable by the planned Herschel surveys at 100 $\mu$m. The question then arises: if star formation is

\(^3\)See http://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/Research/PEP/.

\(^4\)See http://astronomy.sussex.ac.uk/~sjg/Hermes/.
detected in these AGN host galaxies, is there a way to determine if it is originating in the nucleus? We address this question in the next section.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Distinguishing between Nuclear and Galactic Starbursts

In the previous section, some of the observational properties of the nuclear starburst disks were explored, and we showed that they should be detectable at both radio and IR wavelengths. However, at the redshifts of interest \((z \sim 1)\), it is more than likely that star formation will be ongoing at galactic scales within the AGN host galaxy. Thus, unless the galaxy is resolved on a kiloparsec scale or less, any observational detection of star formation signatures from an AGN host galaxy may be ambiguous with regard to its location.

Yet there is a key difference between the nuclear starbursts presented here and the more usual star formation that is ongoing on large scales. As described in §§ 2.1 and 3.1, the crucial parsec-scale burst of star formation only occurs when the central temperature of the disk exceeds the dust sublimation temperature. This region of the disk does not reach these temperatures by heating by an AGN but rather as a result of the increasing density required by a star-forming \(Q = 1\) disk in a black hole potential. Thus, in contrast to typical Galactic star-forming regions, these models predict significant star formation from a region with very hot dust emission, but not AGN-heated dust. Therefore, if such nuclear starbursts are common, there should be more near-IR or hot dust emission, but not AGN-heated dust. Therefore, if such nuclear starbursts are common, there should be more near-IR or 24 \(\mu\)m flux than expected from just pure AGN heating. As mentioned above, if this is true, then it may complicate AGN selection based on power-law–like or hot mid-IR colors (e.g., Donley et al. 2007).

There may be evidence for this effect already in the literature. Several observations of both nearby and high-redshift AGNs have shown that the ratio of the absorption-corrected \(L_X\) to a near- or mid-IR luminosity is relatively constant with column density \(N_H\) (Lutz et al. 2004; Rigby et al. 2006; Horst et al. 2008). This is not expected from simple AGN unification and torus models where the observed mid-IR luminosity is predicted to depend significantly on \(N_H\) (Pier & Krolik 1993). Evidently, there is still hot dust emission observed from AGNs at all column densities. This fact has been used to argue that the AGN torus should be clumpy (Horst et al. 2008), but it is also consistent with a nuclear starburst disk around the AGN. To show this we plot in Figure 11 the distribution of the \(L_{\text{2-10 keV}}/L_{\nu}(6 \mu\text{m})\) ratio for the starburst models. This histogram should only be taken as indicative, since both the X-ray and IR luminosities are upper limits. However, taking the results at face value, we find that the peak of the distribution is very similar to the values observed by Lutz et al. (2004) and Rigby et al. (2006). Interestingly, the AGN torus models of Ballantyne et al. (2006b) were not able to reproduce these ratios, as they were not able to produce a sufficient near-IR luminosity. While Lutz et al. (2004) argued that they corrected their data for star formation based on PAH emission, if the starburst is produced in these hot parsec-scale regions, the PAH emission may be overwhelmed (Desai et al. 2007) and the star formation rate underestimated. Thus, nuclear star formation may be another mechanism to explain the ubiquitous presence of hot dust emission even at large column densities.

4.2. Obscuration as a Function of AGN Luminosity

Another interesting aspect of AGN phenomenology is the observed decrease in the fraction of obscured AGNs with increasing AGN luminosity (Ueda et al. 2003; La Franca et al. 2005; Akylas et al. 2006; Treister et al. 2008). This observation has been most commonly explained by the receding torus model (Lawrence 1991; Simpson 2005), which argues that the expansion of the dust sublimation radius with luminosity will push back the torus to larger radii and therefore decrease its covering factor. In this paper, we propose that the AGN obscuration is dominated by a nuclear starburst at a distance of \(~1\) pc. In this section, we investigate if this model can also provide an explanation for the variation of the type 2/type 1 ratio with luminosity.

Figure 12 plots how two of the starburst parameters depend on the estimated X-ray luminosity. The left panel of the figure plots the distribution of the maximum SFRs found in the model starburst disks. The blue and red hatched regions denote the contributions from models with \(42 < \log (L_X/\text{erg s}^{-1}) \leq 43.5\) and \(44 < \log (L_X/\text{erg s}^{-1}) < 44.5\), respectively. This plot shows that the most luminous AGNs are associated with the most intense star formation, consistent with recent observational suggestions (Shi et al. 2007; Watabe et al. 2008). In contrast, the lowest luminosity AGNs are predicted with starburst disks with only modest star formation rates. The right panel of Figure 12 plots the distribution of the input angular momentum parameter \(m\). In this case, the dotted and dashed lines denote the contributions from models with \(42 < \log (L_X/\text{erg s}^{-1}) < 43.5\) and \(44 < \log (L_X/\text{erg s}^{-1}) < 44.5\), respectively. As with the maximum SFRs, these two ranges of X-ray luminosities are separated in the diagram with large values of \(m\) only associated with luminous AGNs. Similarly, starburst disks with weak angular momentum transport (i.e., low values of \(m\)) seem to only be able to feed a low-luminosity AGN.

These results can be used to posit an explanation for the observed decrease in AGN obscuration fraction with luminosity. Recall that in this starburst disk model there is a competition between star formation and gas accretion through the disk. If there is intense star formation within the disk, then this reduces the gas supply available to fuel a black hole. A circumnuclear starburst will therefore more likely be associated with both lower luminosity and obscured AGNs. As the luminosity of the AGN increases, it is necessary to also increase the gas supply...
onto the black hole. This could be done, for example, by more efficient angular momentum transport in the starburst disk (Fig. 12). While higher luminosity AGNs can be accompanied by very intense nuclear starbursts that may also obscure the AGN, such bursts will likely be short-lived. More luminous AGNs, such as quasars, are unlikely to be fueled through these starburst disks, because circumnuclear star formation would consume the necessary gas supply. The obscuration responsible for type 2 quasars would then be generated at larger galactic scales as a result of the powerful events shaping the host galaxy (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2005).

This starburst scenario has an advantage over alternative mechanisms that rely on AGN radiation to produce an obscuring medium (e.g., Krolik 2007; Chang et al. 2007). In these situations, more obscuration is predicted with a larger AGN luminosity, as the vertical pressure support of the obscuring medium is directly related to the intercepted AGN luminosity. A significant outflow will need to develop in order to limit the covering factor. While it is clear that a more detailed model of nuclear starburst disks is required to make quantitative predictions, we find that, at least qualitatively, identifying a parsec-scale starburst as the origin of the obscuration for some AGNs can provide a natural explanation for the observed decrease in the fraction of type 2 AGNs with luminosity.

4.3. Torques and Angular Momentum Transport

One of the most important parameters in determining whether a circumnuclear starburst can both feed and obscure an active nucleus is the efficiency of angular momentum transport through the disk. In this paper we have assumed that a global torque, such as a bar or spiral waves, operates on the starburst and removes angular momentum. We further assume that the resulting accretion rate through the disk can be sustained at a Mach number $m$. The results of §3 show that the likelihood for the formation of a parsec-scale starburst that could obscure an AGN is very sensitive to $m$, with values of 0.1–0.2 being most favorable, but lower values are certainly possible, especially for lower luminosity AGNs. Goodman (2003) argues that spiral waves in an accretion disk could cause inflow with $m/C_2^2 \approx 1$, but it is not clear whether such global coherent structures will be common for these disks. Some insight may be gleaned from the study of gas flows in galactic nuclei (see Wada 2004 for a recent review). High-resolution simulations of the gas dynamics in a nuclear potential are now regularly finding coherent structures such as bars or spirals in the gas flows (Englmaier & Shlosman 2004; Maciejewski 2004). These structures do remove angular momentum and funnel material to the galactic center, although the Mach number is not specified. These gas flows may ultimately become turbulent, which, as argued by Wada & Norman (2002), may also cause further gas accretion.

Alternatively, a local viscosity mechanism, such as the magnetorotational instability (Balbus & Hawley 1998), may operate in the starburst disk. To determine how this different angular transport process might affect the development of a parsec-scale starburst, calculations of starburst disks were run with a simple $\alpha$ viscosity prescription (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; see also Thompson et al. 2005). For simplicity, a value of $\alpha = 0.3$ was considered with all the other parameters ($M_{\text{BH}}, R_{\text{out}}, f_{\text{gas}}$, and the dust-to-gas factor) varying as before. Fifty percent of the 180
models generated a parsec-scale starburst with the parameters exhibiting very similar trends to the ones shown in Figure 3. However, as found by Thompson et al. (2005) this local viscosity does not move material inward fast enough, and the resulting mass accretion rate remaining to fuel the black hole is much smaller in this scenario than in the global torque models described above. In fact, over half of the “successful” α-disk models resulted in AGNs with \( L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} < 0.002 \). This accretion rate is far too low to fuel the obscured AGN at \( z \sim 1 \) discovered by the deep X-ray surveys.

We conclude that these relatively simple models suggest that in order for starburst disks to be a common source of AGN obscuration and fueling, an efficient angular momentum transport mechanism must operate, and a global structure, such as a bar or spiral arms, is most likely needed to provide such a mechanism.

4.4. Starburst Disks and Unobscured AGNs

The focus of this paper has been on the properties of model starburst disks that may potentially both obscure and feed an AGN. Only 41% of the model disks satisfied the selection criterion (see §. 2.2). Here we discuss the properties of the remaining 59% of models that did not meet the imposed conditions. By construction, these 748 starburst disks do not have a region where the central temperature surpasses the dust sublimation temperature and produces an inner parsec-scale starburst. Therefore, the photosphere of these disks is always thin and will not obscure any central AGNs.

The vast majority of this population of starburst disks are unable to fuel a central black hole at rates necessary to produce a luminous AGN. In fact, 54% of these disks would only produce an AGN with \( L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} < 10^{-3} \) and 75% with \( L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} < 10^{-2} \). These models are ones where \( \tau_v < \tau_{\text{adv}} \), and so nearly all the gas in the disk is converted to stars at distances \(~R_{\text{out}}\). The SFRs are within an order of magnitude of those plotted in Figure 4 but are in the cold, outer regions of the disk. Observationally, such systems would appear as nuclear starbursts within an inactive galaxy.

Interestingly, 25% of these “unsuccessful” starburst disks, or 188 overall, can fuel an AGN sufficient to result in \( L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} > 10^{-2} \). These are models where the central temperature rises toward small radii but just does not obtain the sublimation temperature. There is no parsec-scale burst of star formation, but star formation does occur at modest rates over the entire extent of the disk. Observationally, these models would manifest themselves as unobscured, or type 1, AGNs. However, this class of starburst disks exists in a very small region of the explored parameter space, indicating that very precise conditions are required in order to result in \( \tau_v \leq \tau_{\text{adv}} \). This implies that if a starburst disk is a viable mechanism to fuel the central black hole, an obscured AGN is more likely to be produced than an unobscured one. This conclusion agrees with the observed ratios of obscured to unobscured Seyfert-like AGNs at \( z \sim 1 \) (e.g., Barger et al. 2005; Gilli et al. 2007).

Collecting together the results of all the starburst disk models reveals a possible AGN evolutionary scenario. The results of § 3.1 show that obscured accretion will most likely occur at large values of \( f_{\text{gas}} \). For small values of \( f_{\text{gas}} \), the most likely outcome of a starburst disk will be to turn the gas into stars at large radii and an inactive central black hole. Thus, galactic nuclei may spend a large fraction of their history with small amounts of ongoing nuclear star formation and a dormant black hole. However, stochastic processes at larger radii associated with the assembly of the host galaxy will send gas-rich material toward the nucleus. This material would have a large \( f_{\text{gas}} \) and, on passing through the starburst disk, would result in an obscured, luminous AGN. The gas supply would slowly be eroded, dropping \( f_{\text{gas}} \) and removing the inner parsec-scale starburst, revealing an unobscured AGN. Finally, the gas fraction would drop to low enough levels to cut off the fueling of the black hole, and the system would return to producing stars at large radii until the remaining gas is exhausted. While speculative, this scenario may be a common occurrence during a stochastic phase of galaxy assembly.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we proposed that nuclear starburst disks may be an important contributor to AGN obscuration, especially at \( z \sim 1 \) where there is a large population of obscured AGNs. The analytic model of starburst disks developed by Thompson et al. (2005) was used to explore the properties of such disks and determine if they were a viable and general method to obscure accreting black holes. We found that a range of conditions can produce potentially AGN-obscuring bursts of star formation on parsec scales. These include a high dust-to-gas ratio and a relatively small size scale of the disk, both of which seem to be consistent with current constraints. The parsec-scale bursts of star formation are different from traditional star-forming regions since they are produced in a region with a temperature \(~1000 \text{ K}\). This region is not heated by an AGN but is a result of the assumption of a \( Q = 1 \) stable star-forming disk in a black hole potential. Thus, the observational signature of these nuclear star-forming regions will be additional warm/hot dust emission above what is required by AGN heating. This effect may be an explanation for the observed \( L_2-10 \text{ keV}/L_{\text{IR}}(6 \mu \text{m}) \) ratios seen from both local and high-redshift AGNs. In addition, the competition between star formation and gas accretion results in a natural explanation for the decrease in the fraction of obscured AGNs with luminosity. As an AGN-obscuring nuclear starburst will consume gas that may have been destined for accretion onto a black hole, this model predicts that nuclear starbursts should be closely associated with lower luminosity AGNs. In contrast, high-luminosity AGNs require a significant gas supply, so a nuclear starburst would be a hindrance to fueling the black hole.

The observational signatures of these disks may be most easily found in future deep radio and far-IR surveys. Assuming the radio-IR correlations hold for the circumnuclear starbursts, the expected 1.4 GHz fluxes from these disks are \(~10 \mu \text{Jy} \) at the redshifts where the fraction of obscured AGNs is near its peak. The 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) fluxes are predicted to be \(~1-10 \text{ mJy} \), which will be detectable in future \textit{Herschel} surveys.

Nuclear starbursts may prove to be a compelling method of studying the symbiotic relationship between black holes and their host galaxies in the era of rapid evolution of both populations. While qualitatively interesting, this model needs to be explored further to make robust quantitative predictions. A proper estimation of the vertical structure of the disk is required to estimate covering fractions. Future work should also include incorporating the feedback from the central AGN. Another important future question is to determine the nature and observable properties of the starburst remnants.
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