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ABSTRACT

Based on data from the ESA Gaia Data Release 2 (DR2) and several ground-based, multi-band photometry surveys we have compiled an all-sky catalogue of 39 800 hot subluminous star candidates selected in Gaia DR2 by means of colour, absolute magnitude, and reduced proper motion cuts. We expect the majority of the candidates to be hot subdwarf stars of spectral type B and O, followed by blue horizontal branch stars of late B-type (HBB), hot post-AGB stars, and central stars of planetary nebulae. The contamination by cooler stars should be about 10%. The catalogue is magnitude limited to Gaia G < 19 mag and covers the whole sky. Except within the Galactic plane and LMC/SMC regions, we expect the catalogue to be almost complete up to about 1.5 kpc. The main purpose of this catalogue is to serve as input target list for the large-scale photometric and spectroscopic surveys which are ongoing or scheduled to start in the coming years. In the long run, securing a statistically significant sample of spectroscopically confirmed hot subluminous stars is key to advance towards a more detailed understanding of the latest stages of stellar evolution for single and binary stars.
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1. Introduction

Hot subdwarf stars (sdO/Bs) have spectra similar to those of main sequence O/B stars, but are subluminous and more compact. The formation and evolution of these objects is still unclear. In the Hertzsprung–Russell diagram hot subdwarfs are located at the blueward extension of the horizontal branch (HB), the so called extreme or extended horizontal branch (EHB; Heber 1986) and are therefore considered to be core helium-burning stars (see Fig. 1).

To end up on the EHB, stars have to lose almost their entire hydrogen envelopes in the red-giant phase, most likely via binary mass transfer. Consequently, hot subdwarfs have turned out to be important objects to study close binary interactions and their companions can be substellar objects such as brown dwarfs, all kinds of main sequence stars, white dwarfs, and maybe even neutron stars or black holes (Maxted et al. 2001; Geier et al. 2010, 2011b; Kupfer et al. 2015; Kawka et al. 2015). Close hot subdwarf binaries with massive white dwarf companions are verification sources for gravitational wave detectors like LISA (Kupfer et al. 2018) and candidates for the progenitors of type Ia supernovae (Maxted et al. 2000; Geier et al. 2007, 2013). They are possibly ejected by such supernovae as hypervelocity stars (Geier et al. 2015a). Hot subdwarfs dominate old stellar populations in blue and ultraviolet bands. Their atmospheres are peculiar and can be used to study diffusion processes, such as gravitational settling or radiative levitation (O’Toole & Heber 2006; Geier 2013). Furthermore, several types of sdO/Bs are known to pulsate and turn out to be well suited for asteroseismic analyses (e.g. Charpinet et al. 2011). For a comprehensive review of the state-of-the-art hot subdwarf research see Heber (2016).

The sdO/B stars were initially found in surveys that were searching for faint blue stars at high Galactic latitudes (Humason & Zwicky 1947) and subsequently in larger-area surveys like the Tonantzintla survey (TON; Iriarte & Chavira 1957; Chavira 1958, 1959), the Palomar Haro Luyten survey (PHL; Haro & Luyten 1962), and the Palomar-Green (PG) survey (Green et al. 1986). The Kitt Peak-Downes (KPD) survey covered parts of the Galactic plane for the first time (Downes 1986). Kilkenny et al. (1988) published the first catalogue of spectroscopically identified hot subdwarf stars, which contained 1225 sdO/Bs.

More hot subdwarfs have been discovered subsequently in spectroscopic surveys like the Hamburg Quasar Survey (HS; Hagen et al. 1995), the Hamburg ESO survey (HE; Wisotzki et al. 1996), the Edinburgh-Cape Survey (EC; Kilkenny et al. 1997) and the Byurakan surveys (FBS, SBS; Mickaelian et al. 2007; Mickaelian 2008). Østensen (2006) compiled the hot subdwarf database containing more than 2300 stars.

Since then the number of known hot subdwarfs has again increased. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) in particular has provided spectra of almost 2000 sdO/Bs (Geier et al. 2015b; Kepler et al. 2015, 2016). New samples of hot subdwarfs have also been selected from the EC survey and the GALEX all-sky survey photometry in the UV (e.g. Vennes et al. 2011).

The catalogue is only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/621/A38

* The catalogue is only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/621/A38
Furthermore, large-area photometric and astrometric surveys have been conducted in multiple bands from the UV to the far infrared. Combining these data, Geier et al. (2017) compiles an updated catalogue of 5613 hot subdwarf stars.

2. The catalogue of known hot subdwarf stars

In addition to the hot subdwarfs classified as sdO/B from the database of Østensen (2006), which was fairly complete up to the date of publication, Geier et al. (2017) adds the subdwarf candidates from the FBS survey (Mickaelian 2008), the sample of hot subdwarfs identified in the course of the Kepler mission (Østensen et al. 2010), the large sample of sdO/Bs spectrosopically identified from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) DR7 during the MUCHFUSS project (Massive Unseen Companions to Hot Faint Underluminous Stars from SDSS, Geier et al. 2015b), an unpublished sample of spectrosopically classified sdO/Bs selected from SDSS DR8-10, the sdO/B candidates from SDSS DR12 (Kepler et al. 2016), the candidate sample from SDSS DR10 classified as narrow-line hydrogen stars (NLHS) by Gentile Fusillo et al. (2015), the large sample of sdO/Bs from the complete EC survey (Kilkenny et al. 1997; O’Donoghue et al. 2013; Kilkenny et al. 2015, 2016), a sample of several hundred sdO/Bs selected from GALEX, GSC, and 2MASS photometry by R. H. Østensen and E. M. Green classify via follow-up spectroscopy, the sample of sdO/Bs selected from the Guide Star and the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) catalogs by Vennes et al. (2011), the samples of Oreiro et al. (2011) and Perez-Fernandez et al. (2016) selected using Virtual observatory tools and multi-band photometry, and the first sample of sdO/Bs discovered by the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fibre Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST) survey (Luo et al. 2016).

Adding multi-band photometry, ground based proper motions and light curves from diverse surveys, Geier et al. (2017) cleans this spectroscopic catalog and introduces a general classification scheme based on spectra and colour-indices. However, due to the limited coverage and complicated selection biases of the samples included in the catalogue, it was far from being complete and very inhomogeneous.

To study the diverse populations of hot luminous stars, an unbiased, all-sky sample of those objects is needed. The Gaia mission Data Release 2 (DR2; Gaia Collaboration 2018a) now provides us with the data needed to achieve this for the first time. Here we present the first all-sky catalogue of hot subluminous star candidates based on Gaia DR2.

3. Constructing the Gaia catalogue

The European Space Agency (ESA) astrometric mission Gaia measures positions, parallaxes, and proper motions for the about 1% of the stars in the Galaxy visible from Earth down the Gaia G magnitudes of ~20.7 mag (Gaia Collaboration 2016). The first data release from the Gaia mission has been published by Gaia Collaboration (2016). However, due to yet uncorrected chromatic effects, the bluest objects were excluded and only approximately 60 stars of the hot subdwarf catalogue by Geier et al. (2017) are part of the bright TGAS sample (Tycho-Gaia astrometric solution, Michalik et al. 2015) with preliminary parallaxes and proper motions, almost all of them composite sdB binaries.

By contrast, Gaia (DR2; Gaia Collaboration 2018a) is more complete by orders of magnitude, and it provides precise astrometry (Lindegren et al. 2018) as well as integrated photometry from the blue and red spectro-photometers $G_{BP}$ (3300–6800 Å), $G_{RP}$ (6400–10000 Å), and $G$ (3300–10000 Å) passband photometry (Evans et al. 2018, see Fig. 1).

Using TOPCAT (Taylor 2005), we first cross-matched the hot subdwarf catalogue from Geier et al. (2017) with Gaia DR2 to see where the known hot subluminous stars are located in the Gaia parameter space. From this exercise we derived empirical selection criteria, which we adopted to select the full Gaia catalogue of hot subluminous stars from the Gaia data as outlined in the following sections. We have also refined our selection based on lessons learned from the compilation of the Gaia DR2 catalogue of white dwarfs most recently published by Gentile Fusillo et al. (2018) and attempted to reduce the likely overlap with the white dwarf cooling sequence.

3.1. Gaia colour cut

Hot subluminous stars have colours similar to main sequence stars of spectral type O and B. In the Gaia colour space the sdO/B stars compiled by Geier et al. (2017) occupy the region $−0.7 < G_{BP} - G_{RP} < 0.7$, where single stars are located at $G_{BP} – G_{RP} ≤ 0.0$ and the composite binaries with cool main sequence companions are found in the redder region (see Fig. 2, left panel).

To avoid significant contamination with WDs and low-mass main-sequence (MS) stars, we restricted the input sample for this catalogue to objects with $G < 19$ mag and $G_{BP} – G_{RP} < 0.7$. MS stars of spectral type M are both faint and numerous especially in dense regions around the Galactic plane. Due to their faintness and intrinsically very red colours, photometry in the $G_{BP}$ passband is often so poor, that the $G_{BP} – G_{RP}$ colour index appears to be very negative mimicing a faint blue object. The same systematics can be seen for cool WDs. Numerous stars with unrealistically negative $G_{BP} – G_{RP}$ are found in the Gaia catalogue for $G$ magnitudes close to the detection limit.
3.2. Absolute magnitude selection

The ground-breaking nature of the Gaia mission is related to its performance in measuring stellar parallaxes for stars far beyond the solar neighbourhood. It is straightforward to calculate the absolute magnitude of a star by combining its apparent magnitude with a precise parallax determination. Absolute magnitudes and colours can then be used to disentangle hot subluminous stars from the much more luminous MS stars and the much less luminous WDs (see Fig. 1).

Applying a colour-cut $G_{BP} - G_{RP} < 0.7$, we selected all stars from Gaia DR2 with $G < 19$ mag with a parallax ($\pi$) uncertainty $\epsilon_{PLX}$ better than 20% and selected only stars with absolute magnitudes $M_G = G + 5 \times (\log \pi + 1)$ typical for sdO/B stars. Figure 2 (right panel) shows the absolute Gaia DR2 magnitudes of 5003 objects from the hot subdwarf catalogue from Geier et al. (2017), which have spectroscopic classifications. Based on this figure, we selected 2 750 896 objects with $-1.0 < M_G < 7.0$ mag.

To further select hot subluminous stars and filter out the main sequence, we applied the following empirically derived cuts to this sample:

$$
M_G \leq 1.0 \text{ mag} : \quad M_G \geq 11.76 \times (G_{BP} - G_{RP}) + 2.53
$$

$$
1.0 < M_G \leq 3.8 \text{ mag} : \quad M_G \geq 5.6 \times (G_{BP} - G_{RP}) + 1.84
$$

$$
M_G > 3.8 \text{ mag} : \quad M_G \geq 16 \times (G_{BP} - G_{RP}) - 1.8
$$

This selection resulted in 18 221 objects. Figure 3 (left panel) shows the selected sample. To make sure that the selection includes as many composite sdB binary candidates as possible, we carefully shifted the red edges of the selection area gradually and stopped as soon as the number of objects increased drastically as consequence of the contamination from main sequence stars.

3.3. Reduced proper motion selection

The absolute magnitude selection is only applicable to stars with good parallaxes and distances of less than $\sim 1$–2 kpc from the Sun. However, the majority of the known sdO/B stars are located further away. To select a sample of candidate hot subluminous stars at larger distances, we used the reduced proper motion as proxy for the distance and selection criterion. Gentile Fusillo et al. (2015) showed that this selection method is well suited to separate hot subdwarf from white dwarf candidates.

Figure 3 (right panel) shows the distribution of the reduced proper motions in the $G$-band for the stars from the hot subdwarf catalogue (Geier et al. 2017). Based on this distribution we selected stars with reduced proper motions $H_G = G + 5(\log \mu + 1)$ between 5 and 15 ($\mu$ total proper motion). Due to the high contamination from main sequence stars we restricted our colour selection in this case to objects with $G_{BP} - G_{RP} < -0.05$. At low Galactic latitudes ($-20^\circ < b < 15^\circ$) we imposed another colour criterion $G_{BP} - G_{RP} > -0.7$ to exclude cool M dwarfs or brown dwarfs with low flux in the $G_{BP}$-band, which are more numerous in the dense region around the Galactic plane (Gaia Collaboration 2018b; Evans et al. 2018).

The reduced proper motion selection yielded unphysically too many objects in the direction of the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds (LMC and SMC), which are very dense and contain many hot and massive stars in the selected colour range. Since the astrometry of the sources in these regions might be affected by systematics, we cut out the sky region around the LMC and SMC from our selection. After removing the contaminant sources, we were left with a total of 61 812 stars.

3.4. Multi-band photometry cleaning

As can be seen in Fig. 2 the Gaia passbands are too broad and red to allow for a temperature-sensitive colour-selection comparable to that provided by SDSS photometry (Geier et al. 2011a, 2017). To improve the target selection and remove stars with spectral types later than B, we cross-matched our sample with large-area, multi-band photometric catalogues, some of which only became available very recently.

Due to incompleteness, we did not use the cross-matches provided by Gaia DR2. Instead, we selected the closest matching sources adopting a radius of 5 arcsec and the epoch J2000.
coordinates for the Gaia targets provided by CDS. Since hot subluminous stars have significantly lower proper motions than white dwarfs, mismatches due to stellar motion are negligible.

We took NUV and FUV photometry data from the GALEX DR6/7 (Bianchi et al. 2011) and restricted to sources with good photometry. We removed measurements with bad quality flags nuv_artifact, fuv_artifact of 2,8,10, and >128. Optical photometry was obtained from the AAVSO Photometric All Sky Survey (APASS DR9; Henden et al. 2016) in the VBgriz-bands, the Sloan Digital Sky Survey DR12 (Alam et al. 2015) in the ugriz-bands (restricted to sources with quality flag 0 smaller than 1), the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS) PS1 survey (Chambers et al. 2016) in the grizy-bands, and the SkyMapper Southern Sky Survey DR1.1 (Wolf et al. 2018) in the uvgriz-bands.

We based our empirical selection on comparison with the colours of known hot subdwarfs from the Geier et al. (2017) catalogue. Figure 4 shows the colour–colour diagrams and the selection criteria are provided in Table 1.

The fraction of objects removed by this selection (see Table 2) ranged from 22% to 27%. Only the GALEX/PS1 selection removed as many as 46% of the pre-selected objects. The reason for this is the larger coverage of the Galactic plane by the PS1 survey, where more sources are affected by blending and reddening.

### 3.5. Crowded region cleaning

Since our selection includes many sources in dense regions close to the Galactic plane, additional quality criteria must be imposed to remove blends and objects that have erroneous astrometry (see Gentile Fusillo et al. 2018). To achieve this, we first made use of quality flags provided by Gaia DR2. The most important ones for our selection are phot_bp_rp_excess_factor, astrometric_sigma5d_max and astrometric_excess_noise.

The value of phot_bp_rp_excess_factor \(((f_{BP} + f_{RP})/f_G\), where \(f\) is the flux the respective passbands\) indicates whether the Gaia bands are consistent with an isolated source and can be used to flag objects with unreliable colours or bright sky background (Evans et al. 2018). astrometric_sigma5d_max is a five-dimensional equivalent to the semi-major axis of the Gaia position error ellipse and indicates where one of the parameters is particularly uncertain (Lindegren et al. 2018).

astrometric_excess_noise is a measure of the residuals in the astrometric solution for the source. It can be used to identify single objects with unreliable parallax measurements, but might also indicate the presence of unresolved companions in astrometric binary systems (Lindegren et al. 2018).

The astrometric quality flags of our selection indicate a high quality of the derived parameters. The mean value of astrometric_sigma5d_max is 0.83 ± 0.31 with the maximum at 1.87 indicating a high quality for all sources. The mean value of astrometric_excess_noise is 0.34±0.53 mas and only 2% of the sample have values in excess of 2 mas. Because a significant fraction of the hot subdwarf population are wide binary systems, which should be detectable by Gaia in future releases, we refrain from imposing a cut in astrometric_excess_noise.

The values of phot_bp_rp_excess_factor showed a much wider spread indicating a significant fraction of objects with unreliable photometry. To remove contaminant sources we followed the approach of Gentile Fusillo et al. (2018) and excluded objects with values higher than 1.7 + 0.06 × \((G_{BP} – G_{RP})^2\).

However, in dense regions still contaminant sources remained. This became apparent, when looking at the spatial distribution of objects from the absolute magnitude selected sample. This sample reaches out to distances of about 2 kpc, but still shows overdensities towards the LMC and SMC.

To filter out obviously spurious sources, we calculated a density parameter for all sources as described in Gentile Fusillo et al. (2018). The number of Gaia DR2 targets around each source was calculated and converted to a target density per square degree. For objects with density values higher than 50/000 we applied as stricter quality criterion a parallax uncertainty e_P/LX better than 10%. The threshold value was adjusted to remove the spurious sources towards the LMC/SMC.
Fig. 4. Colour–colour diagrams of the known, spectroscopically classified sdO/B stars from Geier et al. (2017) catalogue: sdB and sdOB type (grey), sdO type (blue), sdO/B composite binaries with cool main-sequence companions (red). Upper left panel: GALEX/APASS. Upper right panel: GALEX/PS1. Lower left panel: SDSS. Lower right panel: SkyMapper.

Table 1. Colour-selection.

|        |                |                |
|--------|----------------|----------------|
|        | SDSS           | SkyMapper      |
|        | u - g < 0.7    | u - g < 1.0    |
|        | g - r < 0.1    | g - r < 0.4    |
|        | NUV - g < 2.0  | NUV - g < 1.7  |
|        | g - r < 0.3    |

Table 2. Colour-selection statistics.

|        | Total number | Removed |
|--------|--------------|---------|
|        | SDSS         | 7037    |
|        | SkyMapper    | 21077   |
|        | GALEX/APASS  | 8530    |
|        | GALEX/PS1    | 16798   |
|        |              | 1554    |
|        |              | 4852    |
|        |              | 2265    |
|        |              | 7730    |

Table 3. Catalogue statistics.

|        |                |
|--------|----------------|
|        | Total          |
|        | 39800          |
|        | Absolute magnitude selection |
|        | 8760           |
|        | Reduced proper motion selection |
|        | 31040          |
|        | Objects with multi-band photometry |
|        | 30728          |

and at the same time not to exclude too many sources. Applying all those additional quality filters, we selected 39800 unique sources, which constitute our final Gaia catalogue.

3.6. Additional data

To complement the Gaia data and the multi-band ultraviolet and optical photometry of the large all-sky surveys, we cross-matched our final Gaia catalogue with other recent releases of multi-band photometric catalogues ranging from the optical to the infrared (see Table A.1). Additional optical photometry data was obtained from the VST-ATLAS (DR3; Shanks et al. 2015) and the Kilo-Degree (KiDS DR3; de Jong et al. 2015) surveys in the ugriz-bands as well as the
VST Photometric $H_{\alpha}$ Survey of the Southern Galactic Plane and Bulge VPHAS+ (DR3; Drew et al. 2014) in the $ugriH_{\alpha}$-bands and the INT Photometric $H_{\alpha}$ Survey of the northern Galactic plane IPHAS (DR2; Barentsen et al. 2014) in the $H_{\alpha}ri$-bands.

Near Infrared photometry was obtained from the 2MASS All-Sky Catalog of Point Sources (Skrutskie et al. 2006) in the $JHK$-bands, the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS Large Area Survey DR9; Lawrence et al. 2007; UKIDSS-DR6), the VISTA Hemisphere Survey (VHS DR5, McMahon et al., in prep.), the VISTA Kilo-degree Infrared Galaxy Survey (VIKING DR4; Edge et al. 2013) in the $ZYJHK_S$-bands as well as the the Vista Variables in the Via Lactea Survey VVV (DR4; Minniti et al. 2010). Far infrared photometry was obtained from the AllWISE data release (Cutri 2014) in the four WISE-bands.

The Galactic reddening $E(B - V)$ and the Galactic dust extinction $A_V$ from the maps of Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011)
are also provided. Finally, the spectroscopic classifications for the stars in common with Geier et al. (2017) are provided.

4. Catalogue properties

The catalogue contains 39 800 unique sources distributed over the whole sky. Only the central parts of the Galactic plane, which are affected by large reddening and absorption and have not been covered by Gaia DR2, and the region around the LMC/SMC, which has been removed, are not fully covered (Fig. 5). 31 040 objects have been selected by colour (see Fig. 7 upper left panel) and reduced proper motion. The much cleaner sample selected by colour and absolute magnitude (see Fig. 7 upper left panel) consists of 8760 objects (see Fig. 6, see also Table 3).

To estimate the fraction of stars later than spectral type B, we assumed that the multi-band photometry cleaning removes most of those objects. 30 728 objects have sufficient multi-band photometry (Fig. 8) and the remaining 9072 objects are predominantly located in the dense and reddened regions close to the Galactic plane. We estimate the level of contamination in these regions to be around 50% (see Sect. 3.4). This translates into a total contamination fraction of about 11% for the full sample.

To estimate the contamination fraction independently, we crossmatched the catalogue with the SIMBAD database. 4885 objects have classifications in SIMBAD. Only 18 objects have spectroscopic classifications of F or later. 434 objects are classified as A/DA-type corresponding to a fraction of 9%.

We also used the SIMBAD classifications to estimate the number of main-sequence O and B stars in our sample. Stars so classified have been checked against their absolute magnitudes in the $G$-band, which have now been calculated for stars with parallax measurements better than 30%. Taking into account uncertainties, we assumed that O/B-type MS-stars have absolute magnitudes brighter than 1.0 mag (Wegner 2006). Adopting this criterion we find 53 stars, that is 1% of the sample. There is also some overlap with the Gaia WD catalogue of Gentile Fusillo et al. (2018). A fraction of 4% of our sample is also in the WD catalogue (with probabilities of being WDs exceeding 75%), mostly including objects at the faint end of our magnitude range.

Our Gaia catalogue contains 4169 objects or 74% of the hot subdwarf catalogue of Geier et al. (2017). The objects, that are in the catalogue of Geier et al. (2017), but not in our Gaia catalogue, are excluded for several reasons. 82% of those objects are either fainter than $G = 19$ mag or redder than $G_{BP} - G_{RP} = -0.05$. The remaining objects are predominantly (fraction of

---

**Fig. 7.** Upper left panel: Gaia colour–magnitude diagram of the reduced proper motion selected sample. Upper right panel: Gaia colour–magnitude diagram of the absolute magnitude selected sample. Lower panel: Gaia colour-absolute magnitude diagram.
66%) misclassified WDs with $M_G > 7 \text{ mag}$. Only 75 objects remain unaccounted for and will be inspected in detail before the next release of the spectroscopic catalogue.

Restricting the hot subdwarf catalogue from Geier et al. (2017) only to single-lined, spectroscopically classified sdO/Bs, 81% are also found in our Gaia catalogue. Checking the absolute magnitudes of the stars from the hot subdwarf catalogue, which are not part of the Gaia catalogue, we found that about one third of those objects are actually misclassified WDs. Taking this into account, about 90% of the known single sdO/Bs are in our Gaia selection.

The Gaia $G$ magnitude of a hot subdwarf at 1.5 kpc, would be $\sim 17 \text{ mag}$. Down to this magnitude, the parallax precision is usually better than 20%; thus, all the single subdwarfs, above the Galactic plane should be in our Gaia catalogue. In the Galactic plane, at $\sim 1 \text{ kpc}$ we could find an $E(B - V) \sim 1$, which corresponds to $\sim 3.5 \text{ mag}$ of extinction in the $G$ band, meaning that a hot subdwarf at this distance would be fainter than 19 mag, which is more than allowed by our magnitude limit.

We therefore consider our Gaia catalogue to be complete to about 90% for single-lined sdO/B stars at high Galactic latitudes and moderate star densities with a magnitude limit of $G < 19 \text{ mag}$. The level of completeness close to the Galactic plane should be much lower and cannot really be estimated without spectroscopic follow-up. Our selection is biased against hot subdwarfs with cool MS-companions in composite binaries. The absolute magnitude selected subsample should also be volume-complete to a similar level up to $\sim 1.5 \text{ kpc}$ at high Galactic latitudes (see Fig. 9).

5. Conclusions

Based on data from Gaia DR2 and several ground-based, multiband photometry surveys we compiled an all-sky catalogue of 39 800 candidates for hot subluminous stars. We expect the majority of those objects to be hot subdwarf stars of spectral type B and O, followed by blue horizontal branch stars of late B-type (HBB), hot post-AGB stars, and central stars of planetary nebulae. About 5% of the sample are likely to be WDs or main-sequence stars of spectral-type B and O. About 10% of the sample may be stars cooler than corresponding to spectral type B. The catalogue is magnitude-limited to $G < 19 \text{ mag}$ and covers...
the whole sky except a small region around the LMC and SMC. Except from the Galactic plane, we expect the catalogue to be fairly complete up to about 1.5 kpc.

In principle, the catalogue can be extended to fainter magnitudes reaching the \textit{Gaia} limit of $G = 20.7$ mag. However, due to much more extreme contamination by faint main-sequence stars and WDs, we will wait for the next \textit{Gaia} releases to do so. The better quality of the astrometry and the availability of the low-res spectrophotometry should make it easier to remove contaminant sources. The same holds for the crowded regions in the Galactic plane. Here also, reddening has to be properly corrected for and we expect to make use of improved 3D reddening maps before digging deeper into those areas.

The main purpose of this catalogue is to serve as a target list for the large-scale spectroscopic surveys with the next generation of multi-fibre instruments which are ongoing or scheduled to start in the next years (SDSS V, Kollmeier et al. 2017; LAMOST, Luo et al. 2015; WEAVE, Dalton et al. 2012; 4MOST, de Jong 2011; DESI, Levi et al. 2013). The final goal is to obtain spectroscopy of a large fraction of those peculiar types of stars, which is a prerequisite for their proper classification, and to study the late stages of stellar evolution based on the population properties of those objects. Since the catalogue also contains quite a large proportion of yet unknown bright hot subluminous stars (207 sources brighter with $G < 11$ mag, 3406 with $G < 15$ mag not listed in Geier et al. 2017), it is also an important source for large-area time-series photometric surveys looking for close binary stars and stellar pulsations.

We note that especially the absolute magnitude selected subsample, containing 8760 objects, is easily accessible via ground-based spectroscopy and it is a powerful tool for studying the hot subdwarf population. Applying stricter cuts on the area, colours, absolute magnitudes, and reduced PMs, cleaner subsamples can be defined.
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### Appendix A: Additional table

#### Table A.1. Catalogue columns.

| Column             | Format | Description                                      | Unit   |
|--------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------|--------|
| NAME_SIMBAD        | A30    | Target name (SIMBAD)                             |        |
| GAIA_DESIG         | A30    | Gaia designation                                 |        |
| RA2000             | F10.6  | Right ascension (J2000) CDS                      | deg    |
| DE2000             | F10.6  | Declination (J2000) CDS                         | deg    |
| RA_ICRS            | F10.6  | Gaia right ascension ICRS (J2015.5)              | deg    |
| DE_ICRS            | F10.6  | Gaia declination ICRS (J2015.5)                  | deg    |
| GOLON              | F10.6  | Galactic longitude                               | deg    |
| GLAT               | F10.6  | Galactic latitude                                | deg    |
| SELECTION          | A20    | Selection method:                                |        |
|                    |        | Colour-absolute magnitude (COLOUR_PLX)           |        |
|                    |        | Colour-reduced proper motion (COLOUR_REDPM)      |        |
| SPEC_SIMBAD        | A20    | Spectroscopic classification (SIMBAD)            |        |
| SPEC_SDCAT         | A20    | Spectroscopic classification hot subdwarf catalogue |    |
| PLX                | F6.4   | Gaia parallax                                    | mas    |
| e_PLX              | F6.4   | Error on PLX                                     | mas    |
| M_G                | F6.4   | Absolute magnitude in the G-band                 | mag    |
| e_M_G              | F6.4   | Error on M_G                                     | mas    |
| G_GAIA             | F6.4   | Gaia magnitude in the G-band                     | mag    |
| e_G_GAIA           | F6.4   | Error on G_GAIA (CDS)                            | mag    |
| BP_GAIA            | F6.4   | Gaia magnitude in the BP-band                    | mag    |
| e_BP_GAIA          | F6.4   | Error on BP_GAIA (CDS)                           | mag    |
| RP_GAIA            | F6.4   | Gaia magnitude in the RP-band                    | mag    |
| e_RP_GAIA          | F6.4   | Error on RP_GAIA (CDS)                           | mag    |
| PMRA_GAIA          | F9.3   | Gaia proper motion $\mu_\alpha \cos \delta$     | mas yr$^{-1}$ |
| e_PMRA_GAIA        | F9.3   | Error on PMRA_GAIA                               | mas yr$^{-1}$ |
| PMDE_GAIA          | F9.3   | Gaia proper motion $\mu_\delta$                  | mas yr$^{-1}$ |
| e_PMDE_GAIA        | F9.3   | Error on PMDE_GAIA                               | mas yr$^{-1}$ |
| EB-V               | F6.4   | Instellar reddening $E(B-V)$                     | mag    |
| e_EB-V             | F6.4   | Error on $EB-V$                                  | mag    |
| AV                 | F6.4   | Interstellar extinction $A_V$                    | mag    |
| FUV_GALEX          | F6.3   | GALEX FUV-band magnitude                         | mag    |
| e_FUV_GALEX        | F6.3   | Error on FUV_GALEX                               | mag    |
| NUV_GALEX          | F6.3   | GALEX NUV-band magnitude                         | mag    |
| e_NUV_GALEX        | F6.3   | Error on NUV_GALEX                               | mag    |
| V_APASS            | F6.3   | APASS V-band magnitude                           | mag    |
| e_V_APASS          | F6.3   | Error on V_APASS                                 | mag    |
| B_APASS            | F6.3   | APASS B-band magnitude                           | mag    |
| e_B_APASS          | F6.3   | Error on V_APASS                                 | mag    |
| g_APASS            | F6.3   | APASS g-band magnitude                           | mag    |
| e_g_APASS          | F6.3   | Error on g_APASS                                 | mag    |
| r_APASS            | F6.3   | APASS r-band magnitude                           | mag    |
| e_r_APASS          | F6.3   | Error on r_APASS                                 | mag    |
| i_APASS            | F6.3   | APASS i-band magnitude                           | mag    |
| e_i_APASS          | F6.3   | Error on i_APASS                                 | mag    |
| u_SDSS             | F6.3   | SDSS u-band magnitude                            | mag    |
| e_u_SDSS           | F6.3   | Error on u_SDSS                                  | mag    |
| g_SDSS             | F6.3   | SDSS g-band magnitude                            | mag    |
| e_g_SDSS           | F6.3   | Error on g_SDSS                                  | mag    |
| r_SDSS             | F6.3   | SDSS r-band magnitude                            | mag    |
| e_r_SDSS           | F6.3   | Error on r_SDSS                                  | mag    |
| i_SDSS             | F6.3   | SDSS i-band magnitude                            | mag    |
| e_i_SDSS           | F6.3   | Error on i_SDSS                                  | mag    |
Table A.1. continued.

| Column | Format | Description | Unit |
|--------|--------|-------------|------|
| z_SDSS | F6.3 | SDSS $z$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_z_SDSS | F6.3 | Error on $z_SDSS$ | mag |
| u_SKYM | F6.3 | SkyMapper $u$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_u_SKYM | F6.3 | Error on $u_SKYM$ | mag |
| v_SKYM | F6.3 | SkyMapper $v$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_v_SKYM | F6.3 | Error on $v_SKYM$ | mag |
| g_SKYM | F6.3 | SkyMapper $g$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_g_SKYM | F6.3 | Error on $g_SKYM$ | mag |
| r_SKYM | F6.3 | SkyMapper $r$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_r_SKYM | F6.3 | Error on $r_SKYM$ | mag |
| i_SKYM | F6.3 | SkyMapper $i$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_i_SKYM | F6.3 | Error on $i_SKYM$ | mag |
| z_SKYM | F6.3 | SkyMapper $z$-band magnitude | mag |
| u_ATLAS | F7.4 | ATLAS $u$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_u_ATLAS | F7.4 | Error on $u_ATLAS$ | mag |
| g_ATLAS | F7.4 | ATLAS $g$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_g_ATLAS | F7.4 | Error on $g_ATLAS$ | mag |
| r_ATLAS | F7.4 | ATLAS $r$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_r_ATLAS | F7.4 | Error on $r_ATLAS$ | mag |
| i_ATLAS | F7.4 | ATLAS $i$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_i_ATLAS | F7.4 | Error on $i_ATLAS$ | mag |
| z_ATLAS | F7.4 | ATLAS $z$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_z_ATLAS | F7.4 | Error on $z_ATLAS$ | mag |
| u_VPHAS | F7.4 | VPHAS $u$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_u_VPHAS | F7.4 | Error on $u_VPHAS$ | mag |
| g_VPHAS | F7.4 | VPHAS $g$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_g_VPHAS | F7.4 | Error on $g_VPHAS$ | mag |
| r2_VPHAS | F7.4 | VPHAS $r$-band magnitude (2nd epoch) | mag |
| e_r2_VPHAS | F7.4 | Error on $r2_VPHAS$ | mag |
| Ha_VPHAS | F7.4 | VPHAS $Ha$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_Ha_VPHAS | F7.4 | Error on $Ha_VPHAS$ | mag |
| r_VPHAS | F7.4 | VPHAS $r$-band magnitude (1st epoch) | mag |
| e_r_VPHAS | F7.4 | Error on $r_VPHAS$ | mag |
| i_VPHAS | F7.4 | VPHAS $i$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_i_VPHAS | F7.4 | Error on $i_VPHAS$ | mag |
| z_VPHAS | F7.4 | VPHAS $z$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_z_VPHAS | F7.4 | Error on $z_VPHAS$ | mag |
| u_KiDS | F8.5 | KiDS $u$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_u_KiDS | F8.5 | Error on $u_KiDS$ | mag |
| g_KiDS | F8.5 | KiDS $g$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_g_KiDS | F8.5 | Error on $g_KiDS$ | mag |
| r_KiDS | F8.5 | KiDS $r$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_r_KiDS | F8.5 | Error on $r_KiDS$ | mag |
| i_KiDS | F8.5 | KiDS $i$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_i_KiDS | F8.5 | Error on $i_KiDS$ | mag |
| g_PS1 | F7.4 | PS1 $g$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_g_PS1 | F7.4 | Error on $g_PS1$ | mag |
| r_PS1 | F7.4 | PS1 $r$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_r_PS1 | F7.4 | Error on $r_PS1$ | mag |
| i_PS1 | F7.4 | PS1 $i$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_i_PS1 | F7.4 | Error on $i_PS1$ | mag |
| z_PS1 | F7.4 | PS1 $z$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_z_PS1 | F7.4 | Error on $z_PS1$ | mag |
| y_PS1 | F7.4 | PS1 $y$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_y_PS1 | F7.4 | Error on $y_PS1$ | mag |
| r_IPHAS | F6.3 | IPHAS $r$-band magnitude | mag |
| e_r_IPHAS | F6.3 | Error on $r_IPHAS$ | mag |
| i_IPHAS | F6.3 | IPHAS $i$-band magnitude | mag |
Table A.1. continued.

| Column          | Format | Description                  | Unit |
|-----------------|--------|------------------------------|------|
| e_i_IPHAS       | F6.3   | Error on i_IPHAS            | mag  |
| Ha_IPHAS        | F6.3   | IPHAS Ha-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_Ha_IPHAS      | F6.3   | Error on Ha_IPHAS           | mag  |
| J_2MASS         | F6.3   | 2MASS J-band magnitude      | mag  |
| e_J_2MASS       | F6.3   | Error on J_2MASS            | mag  |
| H_2MASS         | F6.3   | 2MASS H-band magnitude      | mag  |
| e_H_2MASS       | F6.3   | Error on H_2MASS            | mag  |
| K_2MASS         | F6.3   | 2MASS K-band magnitude      | mag  |
| e_K_2MASS       | F6.3   | Error on K_2MASS            | mag  |
| Y_UKIDSS        | F6.3   | UKIDSS Y-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_Y_UKIDSS      | F6.3   | Error on Y_UKIDSS           | mag  |
| J_UKIDSS        | F6.3   | UKIDSS J-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_J_UKIDSS      | F6.3   | Error on J_UKIDSS           | mag  |
| H_UKIDSS        | F6.3   | UKIDSS H-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_H_UKIDSS      | F6.3   | Error on H_UKIDSS           | mag  |
| K_UKIDSS        | F6.3   | UKIDSS K-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_K_UKIDSS      | F6.3   | Error on K_UKIDSS           | mag  |
| Y_VHS           | F8.5   | VHS Y-band magnitude        | mag  |
| e_Y_VHS         | F8.5   | Error on Y_VHS              | mag  |
| J_VHS           | F8.5   | VHS J-band magnitude        | mag  |
| e_J_VHS         | F8.5   | Error on J_VHS              | mag  |
| H_VHS           | F8.5   | VHS H-band magnitude        | mag  |
| e_H_VHS         | F8.5   | Error on H_VHS              | mag  |
| Ks_VHS          | F8.5   | VHS Ks-band magnitude       | mag  |
| e_Ks_VHS        | F8.5   | Error on Ks_VHS             | mag  |
| Z_VVV           | F8.5   | VVV Z-band magnitude        | mag  |
| e_Z_VVV         | F8.5   | Error on Z_VVV              | mag  |
| Y_VVV           | F8.5   | VVV Y-band magnitude        | mag  |
| e_Y_VVV         | F8.5   | Error on Y_VVV              | mag  |
| J_VVV           | F8.5   | VVV J-band magnitude        | mag  |
| e_J_VVV         | F8.5   | Error on J_VVV              | mag  |
| H_VVV           | F8.5   | VVV H-band magnitude        | mag  |
| e_H_VVV         | F8.5   | Error on H_VVV              | mag  |
| Ks_VVV          | F8.5   | VVV Ks-band magnitude       | mag  |
| e_Ks_VVV        | F8.5   | Error on Ks_VVV             | mag  |
| Z_VIKING        | F8.5   | VIKING Z-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_Z_VIKING      | F8.5   | Error on Z_VIKING           | mag  |
| Y_VIKING        | F8.5   | VIKING Y-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_Y_VIKING      | F8.5   | Error on Y_VIKING           | mag  |
| J_VIKING        | F8.5   | VIKING J-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_J_VIKING      | F8.5   | Error on J_VIKING           | mag  |
| H_VIKING        | F8.5   | VIKING H-band magnitude     | mag  |
| e_H_VIKING      | F8.5   | Error on H_VIKING           | mag  |
| Ks_VIKING       | F8.5   | VIKING Ks-band magnitude    | mag  |
| e_Ks_VIKING     | F8.5   | Error on Ks_VIKING          | mag  |
| W1              | F6.3   | W1-band magnitude           | mag  |
| e_W1            | F6.3   | Error on W1                 | mag  |
| W2              | F6.3   | W2-band magnitude           | mag  |
| e_W2            | F6.3   | Error on W2                 | mag  |
| W3              | F6.3   | W3-band magnitude           | mag  |
| e_W3            | F6.3   | Error on W3                 | mag  |
| W4              | F6.3   | W4-band magnitude           | mag  |
| e_W4            | F6.3   | Error on W4                 | mag  |
| DENSITY         | F11.3  | Density parameter           |      |
| GAIA_ASTROMETRIC_EXCESS_NOISE | F8.5 | Gaia astrometric_excess_noise parameter |
| GAIA_ASTROMETRIC_EXCESS_NOISE_SIG | F8.5 | Gaia astrometric_excess_noise significance parameter |
| GAIA_ASTROMETRIC_SIGMA_5D_MAX | F8.5 | Gaia astrometric_sigma_5D_max parameter |
| GAIA_PHOT_BP_RP_EXCESS_FACTOR | F8.5 | Gaia phot_BP_RP_excess_factor parameter |