Synthesis of Starch-Stabilized Ag Nanoparticles and Hg$^{2+}$ Recognition in Aqueous Media
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Abstract The starch-stabilized Ag nanoparticles were successfully synthesized via a reduction approach and characterized with SPR UV/Vis spectroscopy, TEM, and HRTEM. By utilizing the redox reaction between Ag nanoparticles and Hg$^{2+}$, and the resulted decrease in UV/Vis signal, we develop a colorimetric method for detection of Hg$^{2+}$ ion. A linear relationship stands between the absorbance intensity of the Ag nanoparticles and the concentration of Hg$^{2+}$ ion over the range from 10 ppb to 1 ppm at the absorption of 390 nm. The detection limit for Hg$^{2+}$ ions in homogeneous aqueous solutions is estimated to be ~5 ppb. This system shows excellent selectivity for Hg$^{2+}$ over other metal ions including Na$^{+}$, K$^{+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, and Cd$^{2+}$. The results shown herein have potential implications in the development of new colorimetric sensors for easy and selective detection and monitoring of mercuric ions in aqueous solutions.
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Introduction

Noble metal nanostructures have drawn great interests because of their unique properties. One of them is large optical field enhancements resulting in strong scattering and absorption of light [1]. Remarkable progresses have been made in diverse research fields such as optical spectroscopy, cell imaging, quantum information processing, nanophotonics, and building blocks for nanoscale devices and chemical sensors [2–4]. The interesting optical attributes of metal nanoparticles, as reflected in their bright intense colors, are due to their localized surface-plasmon resonance (LSPR) [5, 6]. So each metal nanoparticle can be considered an optical probe equivalent to up to a million dye molecules. As a result, nanoparticles at nanomolar concentration can clearly be observed by naked eyes, allowing sensitive detection with minimal consumption of materials. Unlike dyes, metal nanoparticles are photostable and do not undergo photobleaching, allowing the nanoparticles to be utilized as ideal color reporting groups for colorimetric sensor design [7].

Among heavy metals, mercury is one of the most commonly encountered toxic pollutants in the environment as a result of natural processes and emissions from coal-burning power plants and gold mining [8]. In aqueous solution, bacteria can transform water-soluble mercuric ion (Hg$^{2+}$) into methylmercury, which subsequently bioaccumulates through the food chain [9]. Methylmercury is known to cause health problems such as sensory, motor, and neurological damage. It is particularly dangerous for children, because it can cause developmental delays [10]. Although the traditional instrumental techniques, such as absorption spectroscopy, cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry, and gas chromatography, give the direct and quantitative detection of Hg$^{2+}$ concentration [11, 12], it is...
highly desirable to develop facile and quick methods for measuring the level of this detrimental metallic ion in the environment with high sensitivity and selectivity. To date, several methods providing the immediate optical feedback for the detection of Hg$^{2+}$ based upon fluorophores [13–23], chromophores [21], polymer [22], and noble metal-based probes [23–25] have been developed to avoid complicated instrumentation or sample preparation. Hg$^{2+}$ probes [23–25] have been developed to avoid complicated purification. Among the approaches proposed thus far, its optical detection generally relied on the complexation of Hg$^{2+}$ ion to ligands. Various small-molecules chromophores, biomolecules, chemically modified nanoparticles must carefully be designed and selected [13–28], or showed cross-sensitivity toward other metal ions [29]. In this communication, we present a method with colorimetric quantitative recognition of Hg$^{2+}$ with excellent selectivity and sensitivity (5 ppb) based on an erosion reaction of starch-stabilized Ag nanoparticles in aqueous media. The easy synthesis and high stability of the starch-stabilized Ag nanoparticle allow the method to be very simple and easy to implement.

**Experimental Section**

**Chemicals**

All chemicals were of analytical grade and were used as received without further purification. Silver nitrate (AgNO$_3$), sodium borohydride (NaBH$_4$), and soluble starch (linear structure (C$_6$H$_{10}$O$_5$)$_n$) for synthesis of silver nanoparticles were supplied by Beijing Chemical Reagent Company and used as received. Chloroauric acid (HAuCl$_4$) was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$ standard solution was from Chinese National Standard Chemical Center. All the other solutions were freshly made for all the experimental procedures in this work. Ultrapure water was used, and all glassware was cleaned with aqua regia and thoroughly rinsed with ultrapure water prior to use.

**Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles**

Aqueous starch dispersion containing Ag$^+$ ions is prepared by adding 3 mL of a 0.1 M solution of AgNO$_3$ to about 200 mL of 0.20% w aqueous solution of soluble starch, followed by stirring to ensure that the mixture was homogeneous. Next, 6 mL of freshly prepared 0.1 M NaBH$_4$ was added all at once with vigorous stirring. A color change occurred almost immediately. The solution was allowed to stir for an additional 30 min at room temperature, the as-prepared Ag nanoparticles formed and used without purification.

**Characterization**

The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a Bruker D8 advance X-ray diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromatized Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418\text{Å}$). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were recorded by a JEOL 2100 transmission electron microscope equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS). The changes in the UV–vis absorption spectra were monitored at room temperature using a double-beam UV–vis spectrophotometer, Shimadzu UV-240 (Japan) with 1 cm quartz cell. In each measurement, 3 mL reaction solution was used.

**General Procedure for Detection of Metal Ions**

Many tests were carried out to optimize the sensing conditions such as addition time and concentration. In a typical procedure, three steps were involved. First, 1 mL freshly prepared Ag nanoparticles were diluted with deionized water to obtain a 1.5 × 10$^{-4}$ M solution. Second, different amounts of Hg$^{2+}$ or other metal ions were separately injected into series cuvettes containing the silver nanoparticles followed by vigorous stirring. It was found that all the sensing systems were very stable and no precipitates or flocs were observed. Third, 3 mL reaction solution was separately taken out from the cuvette and injected into a standard 1 cm quartz cell for the measurement of UV–vis spectrum.

**Results and Discussion**

Characterizations of the Ag Nanoparticles and Sensing Detection of Hg$^{2+}$

The silver nanoparticles were obtained through a reduction reaction of silver nitrate with NaBH$_4$ in the presence of starch [30, 31]. The XRD pattern is displayed in supporting information. The peaks in this pattern could be assigned to diffraction from the (111), (220), and (311) planes of face-centered cubic (fcc) silver, respectively. No other secondary or amorphous phase was observed. The lattice constant calculated from this pattern was 4.088 Å, in agreement with the data in the literature (Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards file No. 04-0783). The UV–vis absorption spectrum of as-prepared Ag nanoparticles was given in Fig. 1. It showed a characteristic peak centered at 400 nm in the visible light area. Calculated according to the Lambert–Beer’s law, the extinction coefficients of the as-prepared silver nanoparticles is about 1.3 × 10$^5$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ (at 400 nm), which can meet the demand of colorimetric sensing detection. It is expected that a redox
reaction can occur between zero-valent silver and Hg$^{2+}$, for the Standard Electrode Potential of Ag$^+/Ag$ is 0.80 V and Hg$^{2+}$/Hg is 0.85 V [32]. The sensitivity of silver nanoparticles toward Hg$^{2+}$ was hence identified by UV–vis absorption spectra in this work. The sensing begins by adding an aliquot of an aqueous solution of Hg$^{2+}$ at a designated concentration to a solution of the Ag nanoparticles at room temperature. Various concentrations of Hg$^{2+}$ from one stock solution were tested. As indicated in Fig. 2a, the absorbance peak of the Ag nanoparticles could be depressed with the increased concentration of Hg$^{2+}$ ion. A linear relationship ($y = 1.41 - 5.75 \times 10^{-4}x$, $R^2 = 0.9984$), as suggested by Fig. 2b stands between the absorbance intensity of the Ag nanoparticles and concentration of Hg$^{2+}$ ion over the range from 10 ppb to 1 ppm at the absorption of 390 nm. The limit of quantification, at a signal to noise ratio of 3, was down to 5 ppb. Lee et al. [23] reported a colorimetric method to detect Hg$^{2+}$ using DNA–Au NPs in aqueous media whose limit of quantification was 20 ppb. The detection limit for Hg$^{2+}$ ions using colorimetric sensors based on the ruthenium complexes was estimated to be 20 ppb [21].

Figure 2a also demonstrated that the increased concentration of Hg$^{2+}$ ion may cause a slight blue shift of the surface-plasmon absorption band. It has been reported that mercury ions could radiolytically be reduced in an aqueous silver sol to form a mercury layer around silver particles, accompanied by a moderate broadening and blue shift of the plasmon absorption band [33]. In our experiments, Hg$^{2+}$ ions reacted with silver nanoparticles to form metallic mercury. The freshly generated mercury atoms could strongly be bonded on the silver surface to form a solid amalgam-like structure, which could be accounted for the slight blue shift of the surface-plasmon absorption band of silver nanoparticles. Detailed structural and chemical analyses for the products of the reaction between Hg$^{2+}$ ions and Ag nanoparticles were further carried out with transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high-resolution TEM (HRTEM), and energy-dispersive EDS. Figure 3a, b, the typical TEM images of the as-prepared Ag nanoparticles and its corresponding size distribution, display that its core diameter is $\sim 10–15$ nm. A clearly resolved interplanar fringe of 0.245 nm was observed in the HRTEM image displayed in the inset of Fig. 3a corresponding to the d spacing of the (111) crystal plane of fcc silver (JCPDS card no. 04-0783). Figure 3c, a TEM image of the product after the reaction between the Ag nanoparticles and Hg$^{2+}$, showed its aggregated nature. The HRTEM image, displays in the inset of Fig. 3c, shows a discerned lattice spacing of 0.27 nm. It corresponds to the d spacing of the (110) crystal plane of body-centered tetragonal Hg [34]. EDS spectrum of this product, depicted in Fig. 3d, further suggests that the final product is composed of metallic mercury.

In our experiments, the nanoparticle size is much smaller than the wavelength of the incident field. The particles are subjected to an almost uniform field. A model consisting of a sphere of radius R in a suspending medium is shown in the supporting information (SI-2) [35–38]. According to the Lambert–Beer’s law, the intensity of absorption can be given by

$$A = Kbc = \sigma_{arb}N_Abc = \frac{24\pi^2R^2\varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2^2}{\lambda[(\varepsilon_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_2)^2 + \varepsilon_1^2]}N_Abc$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (1)
where \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) are, respectively, the dielectric functions for the sphere and embedding regions, \( \lambda \) is the incident field wavelength, \( \alpha \) denotes the nanoparticle polarizability, \( N_A \) is the Avogadro number, \( b \) denotes the length of the optical path, and \( c \) is the concentration of the Ag nanoparticles. A linear relationship between the absorption intensity and the concentration of Ag nanoparticles could be observed from the Eq. 1, which supports the quantitative detection of Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\) based on an erosion reaction of Ag nanoparticles.

Selective Detection of Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\)

It was also of interest to determine the selectivity of this system for Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\). This has been evaluated through testing the response of the assay to various environmentally relevant metal ions including Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\), Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ba\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), and Cd\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 4a) at a concentration of \(10^{-4}\) M. Only the Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\) sample shows a significant fading relative to that of the blank, whereas all others remain exhibiting light yellow color without any eye-perceptible change. The absorption spectra of silver colloid before and after the addition of Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ba\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), and Fe\(^{3+}\) were almost unaffected (Fig. 4c). The addition of Cd\(^{2+}\) resulted in a tiny red-shift of the absorption band. This effect could be interpreted in terms of the donation of electron density from the silver particles to the adsorbed Cd\(^{2+}\) [39]. The colorimetric response of Ag NPs to alkali, alkaline earth metal ions, Fe\(^{3+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), and its selectivity for Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\) is illustrated in Fig. 4b. AuCl\(_{4}^{-}\) is more electropositive than Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\), it could make the Ag colloid turn purple owing to the formation of Au particles (Fig. 5). However, this selectivity could directly be visualized with the naked eyes. The possible interference of the mixing cations was also investigated. The fading response of the Ag nanoparticles to Hg\(_{2}^{2+}\) ion was unaffected in a background of ions mixture (see supporting information SI-3).
Conclusions

In conclusion, the starch-stabilized Ag nanoparticles were obtained via a reduction approach. Hg$^{2+}$ ions in aqueous media were recognized by these nanoparticles via a colorimetric method with high selectivity and sensitivity. This approach relies on the simple redox reaction between Ag nanoparticles and Hg$^{2+}$ ion solution. The concentration of Hg$^{2+}$ can be determined by the change of the intensity of the silver absorption peak at room temperature. Influence of various metal ions has also been investigated. Most of molecular chromophores for Hg$^{2+}$ have to be evaluated in organic media or organic–aqueous mixtures owing to their low water solubility. The facile synthesis, high stability, and high water solubility of the starch-stabilized Ag nanoparticle probes allow a reliable assay performed in aqueous environments.
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