Characterization of Pyrethroid Resistance Mechanisms in Aedes aegypti from the Florida Keys
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Abstract. The status of insecticide resistance in Aedes aegypti is of concern in areas where Aedes-borne arboviruses like chikungunya, dengue, and Zika occur. In recent years, outbreaks involving these arboviruses have occurred, for which vaccines do not exist; therefore, disease prevention is only through vector control and personal protection. Aedes aegypti are present on every inhabited island within the Florida Keys. The resistance status of Ae. aegypti in the Florida Keys was assessed to guide knowledge of the best choice of chemical for use during an outbreak. Mosquito eggs were collected using ovitraps placed on Key West, Stock Island, Vaca Key, Upper Matecumbe Key, Plantation Key, and Key Largo. Bottle bioassays were conducted at the Florida Keys Mosquito Control District using Biomist® 30+30 (Clarke Mosquito Control Products, Inc., Roselle, IL). Further bottle testing using malathion and permethrin occurred at the CDC, Fort Collins, CO, in addition to molecular and biochemical assays. Levels of resistance varied between islands with different underlying mechanisms present. Resistance was seen to Biomist® 30+30 but not to permethrin, indicating that piperonyl butoxide (PBO) or the inert ingredients may be involved in resistance. No study has been conducted to date examining the role of PBO in resistance. Key Largo was treated the most with adulticides and expressed the highest levels of alpha and beta esterases, oxidases, glutathione-S-transferases, and frequency of the V1016I knockdown mutation from all sites tested. Knowledge of localized resistance and underlying mechanisms helps in making rational decisions in selection of appropriate and effective insecticides.

INTRODUCTION

Control of mosquitoes is important because of their ability to spread disease, their impact on local economies, and the low tolerance of the public to high numbers of biting mosquitoes. In the absence of vaccines, vector control and/or personal protection remain the mainstays for the prevention of infection with pathogens transmitted by mosquitoes. Organized vector control programs and personal protection strategies rely on the use of insecticides to varying degrees. Vector control programs may incorporate space spraying, outdoor residual spraying, and larvicing as part of their overall strategy. Personal protection strategies may include repellents, insecticide-treated clothing, and the use of screens on doors and windows. In addition to the use of chemicals, sanitation of premises and modification of the environment to inhibit mosquito development may also be undertaken, but is resource intensive and challenging.

During the last 50 years, increased use, overuse, and even misuse of insecticides have led to insecticide resistance both internationally and within the United States. Roman Sawicki1 defined insecticide resistance as a genetic change in response to selection by toxicants that may impair control in the field. When the genetic change is due to a small proportion of resistant individuals or low levels of resistance, it may be only perceptible by sensitive bioassays and be insufficient to impair control of the organism in real-world applications. With this definition, resistance can be recognized before a particular compound is no longer effective for operational use. The number of mosquito adulticides offered is limited in the United States. Only two chemical classes of adulticides, organophosphates and pyrethroids, with differing modes of action are available. In the Florida Keys, both classes of adulticides are used. Surveillance for resistance in local populations needs to be ongoing as changes in insecticide use can lead to changes in selective pressure for development and maintenance of resistance. Furthermore, knowledge of localized resistance and underlying mechanisms helps in making rational decisions in the selection of appropriate and effective insecticides.2

Insecticide resistance has long been a concern in public health programs aimed at preventing disease through the judicious use of insecticides. In the United States, mosquito insecticide resistance was first detected in Aedes nigromaculatus (Ludlow), which demonstrated resistance to dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT) in 1950.3 The first reported case of resistance in Aedes aegypti (L.) occurred in Puerto Rico in 1960 to DDT.4 By 1964, resistance had been reported in Ae. aegypti to benzene hexachloride/cyclodienes, malathion, and DDT in Florida, Surinam, Barbados, Lesser Antilles, and the Virgin Islands.5–8 Since then, 558 reports of resistance to 32 insecticides from seven different chemical classes have been reported for Ae. aegypti from 50 countries to the Arthropod Pesticide Resistance Database maintained by Michigan State University (http://www.pesticideresistance.org/).

The status of insecticide resistance in Ae. aegypti is of particular concern in areas where Aedes-borne arboviruses like chikungunya, dengue, and Zika occur. In recent years, multiple outbreaks involving these arboviruses have occurred. Dengue cases surged in the Americas from 2000 to 2010, resulting in the greatest record of cases ever being reported in a decade.9 From 2010 to 2015, 112 outbreaks of dengue have occurred worldwide.10 For chikungunya, nearly 1 million cases had been reported in the Americas by the end of 2015.11 Zika virus spread throughout the Caribbean and Central and South America in 2015–2016. By the middle of 2016, Zika virus had spread locally in more than 30 countries.12 Outbreaks in the continental United States of dengue and Zika viruses occurred in Key West, FL, and Miami-Dade County, FL, respectively.10 Data documenting levels of insecticide resistance in many dengue-endemic countries are lacking; however, in countries with active monitoring programs, results indicate that insecticide resistance is reducing the ability to control dengue vectors.13
We undertook this study to identify the insecticide resistance mechanisms present in populations of *Ae. aegypti* throughout the Florida Keys to assist the Florida Keys Mosquito Control District in identifying issues that might require changes in current control practices.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

Mosquito eggs were collected using nonlethal and lethal ovitraps. Nonlethal ovitraps were produced by the Florida Keys Mosquito Control District and included a black plastic cup lined with seed germination paper. SpringStar® Inc. (Saint Louis, MO) provided the lethal ovitraps for testing which were covered under an experimental use permit granted by the state of Florida (Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters Office of Pesticide Programs-2010-0576; Federal Register Log-8840-8). The Trap-N-Kill™ (SpringStar® Inc., Saint Louis, MO) was augmented with ovitrap strips impregnated with 80 mg of bifenthrin and lined with red felt strips for egg collection. Nonlethal ovitraps were placed on Key West, Stock Island, Vaca Key, Upper Matecumbe Keys Plantation Key, and Key Largo (Figure 1) in 2010. Additional eggs were collected using nonlethal ovitraps in 2012 from Upper Matecumbe to augment results from molecular assays. Lethal ovitraps were deployed only on Key West because of the experimental use permit. All ovitraps were placed in the field and allowed to sit for 3 days. Egg papers were removed and sent to the CDC, Division of Vector-Borne Diseases, Arboviral Disease Branch, in Fort Collins, CO, where eggs were hatched by placement in a vacuum chamber for 1 hour. Larvae were kept in 30.5 × 30.5 × 30.5 cm cages (BioQuip). Larvae, pupae, and adults were kept in environmental chambers at 37°C 85–90% relative humidity and a 14:10 light dark cycle. Adults were fed 10% sucrose solution until they were 3–5 days old.

Preliminary bioassays were conducted in the laboratory of the Florida Keys Mosquito Control District using the Public Health Entomology Research and Education Center (PHEREC) bottle bioassay protocol for *Biomist*® 30+30. This assay tests formulated products, not technical-grade active ingredients as in the CDC bottle bioassay. *Biomist 30+30* is a formulated product composed of 30% permethrin (35:65 cis:trans), 30% piperonyl butoxide (PBO), and 40% other ingredients. According to the label, 3.79 L of *Biomist 30+30* contains 1.13 kg of permethrin. A stock solution was made by diluting 1 mL of *Biomist 30+30* in 100 mL of acetone which contains 2.99 mg/mL of permethrin. From this stock solution, 1.44 mL was diluted in 100 mL, resulting in a test solution with a concentration of 43 μg/mL of permethrin. The test solution for *Biomist 30+30* used in the assay only takes into account the amount of permethrin in the product and not the other components of the formulation. The interior surface of a 250-mL Wheaton bottle was coated with 1 mL of the test solution resulting in a final concentration of 43 μg/mL of permethrin per bottle. Three bottles were treated with the test solution, and one bottle was treated with acetone only to serve as the control. After permitting the acetone to evaporate, between 10 and 20 *Ae. aegypti* of both sexes were placed into bottles and mortality recorded every 15 minutes. For comparative purposes, eggs from the known susceptible *Ae. aegypti* Orlando strain and resistant *Ae. aegypti* Puerto Rico strain were obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Center for Medical, Agricultural, and Veterinary Entomology, in Gainesville, FL. Eggs were reared in the same manner as the larvae from Florida Keys Mosquito Control District, and adults were subjected to PHEREC bottle bioassays.

CDC bottle bioassays were conducted in Fort Collins using the protocol of Brogdon and McAllister using technical-grade active ingredients. In brief, 15 μg permethrin (25:75 cis:trans), 10 μg bifenthrin, or 50 μg malathion, dissolved in...
acetone, was applied to 250-mL glass bottles. Enzyme inhibitors were used to determine the presence of metabolic resistance mechanisms. Piperonyl butoxide inhibits oxidase activity, S.S.S.-tributylphosphorothioate (DEF) inhibits esterase activity, and diethyl maleate (DEM) inhibits glutathione transferase activity. Bottles were treated with 400 μg PBO, 125 μg DEF, or 80 μg DEM in conjunction with 10 μg bifenthrin. All insecticides were purchased as technical-grade material from ChemService (Westchester, PA). Five bottles were used for each assay, four bottles were treated for each compound, and one untreated bottle containing only acetone was used as the control. After bottles were prepared, a combination of 25–35 male and female mosquitoes was introduced into each bottle. Mortality was considered to have occurred if the mosquito could not stand or fly. Observations of mortality were recorded at T = 0, 5, 10, and 15 and every 15 minutes thereafter until all mosquitoes were dead or 2 hours had elapsed.

Assays to measure increased levels of detoxifying enzymes, protein, and the presence of the altered target site for organophosphates were conducted according to published protocols. Oxidases were indirectly measured using a heme-peroxidase assay using the substrate 3,3′,5,5′-tetra-methylbenzidine (TMBZ). A working solution of TMBZ was prepared by dissolving 50 mg of TMBZ in 25 mL of 100% acetone and adding 75 mL of 0.25 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0; pH adjusted with acetic acid). The TMBZ substrate (200 μL) was added to 100 μL of mosquito homogenate, followed by 25 μL of 3% hydrogen peroxide. Absorbance values of plates were read at λ = 620 nm after a 10-minute incubation period using a SpectraMax M3 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). For α and β nonspecific esterases, 100 μL of a 3-mmol/L solution of α- or β-naphthyl acetate (56 mg α- or β-naphthyl acetate dissolved in 20 mL of acetone then diluted with 80 mL of pH 7.2 potassium phosphate buffer) was added to each well. Following a 20-minute incubation period, 100 μL of 0-dianisidine (100 mg 0-dianisidine in 100 mL water) was added to each well. After 4 minutes, the absorbance value for each well was determined at λ = 540 nm. Glutathione-S-transferase was measured using 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) (20 mg CDNB dissolved in 20 mL acetone and 90 mL KPO4 buffer added) and reduced glutathione (61 mg reduced glutathione in 100 mL KPO4 buffer). In brief, 100 μL of the reduced glutathione was added to 100 μL of the mosquito sample, followed by 100 μL of CDNB. Absorances were read at T = 0 and 5 minutes with 340 nm as the wavelength. A competitive assay that measures insensitivity of ace-tycholineesterase was used to detect the presence of the altered target site mechanism that confers organophosphate and carbamate resistance. This competitive assay incorporated propoxur (ChemService) with the substrate acetylcholine iodide (ATCH) (75 mg ATCH, 21 mg propoxur, 10 mL acetone, and 90 mL KPO4 buffer). One hundred microliters of the substrate was added to 100 μL of the mosquito sample, followed by 100 μL of 5,5′-dithio-bis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) (13 mg DTNB in 100 mL KPO4 buffer). Absorances were read at T = 0 minutes and at T = 24 hours with a wave-length of 414 nm.

The protein assay was performed using 20 μL of mosquito homogenate to which 80 μL of KPO4 buffer was added. The assay used is based on the Bradford method (1976) of protein quantification in which 200 μL of Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) was added, and the absorbance was read at λ = 620 nm. A standard curve using bovine serum albumin was calculated to quantitate the total protein present in each mosquito. The preferred gender to test for insecticide resistance is females as they are the ones to transmit pathogens; however, because of the low numbers of individuals available for testing, both females and males were used. The protein assay was used to estimate the size of each individual and used to correct values obtained from the other microplate assays. Variations in mosquito size influence enzyme levels, unless the enzyme is being over or under expressed. Differences in assay values by location of mosquito collection were compared using a one-way analysis of variance. If normality failed using this method, then a Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks was performed. When differences were detected with this test, a pairwise multiple comparison procedure was performed using Dunn’s method. Dunn’s method was not capable of comparing several locations. These locations were compared using a t-test. A Mann–Whitney rank-sum test was used if normality failed. The statistical package used was SigmaPlot (SYSTAT Software Inc., Palo Alto, CA).

Molecular assays to look for mutations in the para sodium channel gene, domain II associated with resistance to pyre-throids were used on Ae. aegypti adults that were stored in the −80°C freezer. The melting curve assay was used to detect the Ile 1016, Gly 1016, Val 1011, and Met 1011 mutations in Ae. aegypti. Primers and protocols were those described previously. Mosquito genomic DNA was extracted using DNAzol (Molecular Research Center Inc., Cincinnati OH). Individual mosquitoes were homogenized in 200 μL of DNAzol using a Kontes pellet pestle cordless motor with disposable pestles (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The homogenate was incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes, and then centrifuged for 1 minute at 10,000 rpm to remove insoluble tissue. The resulting viscous supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5-mL microtube. The DNA was precipitated from the homogenate by adding 100 μL of 100% ethanol. The samples were mixed by inversion to ensure a homogenous solution and incubated at room temperature for 3 minutes. The samples were centrifuged for 2 minutes at 14,000 rpm or until a visible pellet could be seen. The DNA precipitate was washed twice with 1 mL of 75% ethanol, allowed to air-dry for 5–15 seconds, and then resuspended in 50 μL of H2O.

Genotypes were determined in a single-tube PCR using two different “allele-specific” primers and the reverse primer (Table 1). PCR was performed in a 25-μL volume in low-profile 96-well unskirted white PCR plates (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Each reaction contained 12.5 μL of 2× Q™ SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) (final concentrations = 50 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.4, 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 0.625 units iTaq®.
DNA polymerase (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA), 3 mM MgCl₂, 1× SYBR Green I, and 10 nM fluorescein), 25 pM of each primer, ∼40 ng of template DNA, and sterile filtered ddH₂O water added to make a final 25-μL volume. The melting curve PCR reaction was performed on an Eppendorf Mastercycler ep realplex 4 S (Eppendorf, Enfield, CT). The thermal cycling conditions were modified from the experimental procedure to the following: 1) 95°C for 4 minutes (first denature), 2) 95°C for 10 seconds (denature in cycle), 3) 60°C for 10 seconds (anneal), 4) 72°C for 30 seconds (extension), 5) cycle to step (2) 39 times, 6) 95°C for 10 seconds, and 7) ramp from 65°C to 95°C at a rate of 0.2°C/10 seconds (melting curve).

Each of the four single nucleotide polymorphism loci had three different genotypes. The Iso 1011/Iso 1011 homozygote has a single peak at 80°C, the Val 1011/Iso 1011 heterozygote has two peaks at 80°C and 85°C, and the Val 1011/Val 1011 homozygote has a single peak at 85°C. A single peak at 72°C indicates a Met 1011/Iso 1011 homozygote, whereas two peaks at 77°C and 82°C indicate a Met 1011/Iso 1011 heterozygote. An Ile 1016/Iso 1016 homozygote has a single peak at 80°C, the Ile 1016/Val 1016 heterozygote has two peaks at 80°C and 88°C, and the Val 1016/Val 1016 has a single peak at 88°C. Two peaks at 79°C and 84°C indicated a Gly 1016/Val 1016 heterozygote, whereas a single peak at 79°C indicated a Val 1016/Val 1016 homozygote. Frequencies of the Val 1011, Met 1011, Ile 1016, and Gly 1016 alleles were estimated along with 95% CIs.

RESULTS

Using the updated WHO guidelines, populations were classified as resistant or susceptible: 98–100% mortality indicates susceptibility, 90–97% mortality suggests resistance may be developing, and mortality less than 90% indicates resistance. In the PHERECC bottle bioassays conducted at Florida Keys Mosquito Control District, phenotypic resistance was detected to Biomist 30+30 in mosquitoes collected from Vaca Key and Key West compared with the susceptible and resistant strains from the United States Department of Agriculture (Figure 2). Using the susceptible Ae. aegypti Orlando strain as a reference point, the diagnostic time in which 100% mortality should be observed is 30 minutes. For both Vaca Key and Key West, 100% of mosquitoes tested were able to survive past the diagnostic time, indicating a very high level of resistance. Survivors were still seen at the conclusion of the test from both sites signifying that resistance to Biomist 30+30 is fixed in these populations. CDC bottle bioassay testing showed no phenotypic resistance to malathion or permethrin in mosquitoes collected from Key West as 100% mortality was seen at the diagnostic time (Figure 3). The diagnostic time of 30 minutes for malathion and permethrin was determined using the susceptible Ae. aegypti (Rexville, Puerto Rico) colony. Resistance is developing to bifenthrin in mosquito populations in Key West.

Table 1

| Primers for allele-specific PCR | Sequence (5'-3')  | Product size (bp) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Val 1011                        |                 |                 |
| Val1011f                        | ATTTGTATGCTTGTGGGTGACG | –               |
| Iso1011r                        | CGGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 104             |
| Val1011r                        | CGGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGGGCGCCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 84              |
| Met 1011                        |                 |                 |
| Met1011f                        | GTCCTGTATCCGTTCCTTTTT | –               |
| Iso1011r                        | CGGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 62              |
| Met1011r                        | CGGGGCAGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 82              |
| Ile 1016                        |                 |                 |
| Val1016f                        | GCGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 102             |
| Ile1016f                        | GCGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 62              |
| Ile1016r                        | GCGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | –               |
| Gly 1016                        |                 |                 |
| Gly1016f                        | ACCGACAAATTGGTTCCC | –               |
| Val1016r                        | GCGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 60              |
| Gly1016r                        | GCGGGCAGGGCGGCGGGGGGGGGCGGCTACTTACCTAGATTCCGAC | 80              |
mosquitoes collected from lethal ovitraps in Key West, with only 90.2% mortality at the diagnostic time of 75 minutes. Enzyme inhibitors were run in conjunction with bifenthrin to determine the presence of metabolic resistance mechanisms. For DEM, PBO, and DEF, 100% mortality was seen at 15, 75, and 60 minutes, respectively (Figure 4). Resistance to bifenthrin was abolished using DEM and DEF, which suggests that glutathione transferase and esterase are playing a role in resistance.

Enzyme assays were conducted on *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes from Upper Matecumbe Key, Stock Island, Key Largo, Key West, and Vaca Key. Survivors from the lethal ovitraps deployed in Key West were also tested. Females (median 119.76 μg protein/mL) and males (median 85.44 μg protein/mL) were significantly different in size, with males being smaller. Males and females were compared separately. Females were available from Key Largo (N = 2), Key West (N = 55), and as survivors of lethal ovitraps (N = 30). Female mosquitoes from Key Largo were omitted from statistical analysis because of low sample size. Key Largo and Key West are 140 km apart, representing the populations that have the greatest distance between them. Males were available from Key Largo (N = 20), Vaca Key (N = 9), Stock Island (N = 21), Key West (N = 25), and Upper Matecumbe Key (N = 30).

Alpha esterase levels (Figure 5) were normally distributed, and there was no statistical difference detected between females from Key West (median 0.233 μg α/μg protein) and lethal ovitraps survivors in Key West (median 0.238 μg α/μg protein), but both sites were significantly different from the colony (median 0.283 μg α/μg protein). Beta esterase levels were not normally distributed. Lethal ovitraps survivors (median 0.430 μg β/μg protein) had higher β esterase levels than the general population from Key West (median 0.228 μg β/μg protein) and the colony (median 0.330 μg β/μg protein). Oxidase levels were also not normally distributed and were higher in the general population from Key West (median 0.0783 μg oxidase/μg protein) than in the lethal ovitraps survivors (median 0.0157 μg oxidase/μg protein) and the colony (median 0.0170 μg oxidase/μg protein). Glutathione-S-transferase levels were not significantly different between Key West in general (median 0.0783 μg GST/μg protein) and the ovitrap survivors (median 0.0157 μg GST/μg protein), but both were significantly higher than the colony (median 0.0178 μg GST/μg protein). Using absorbance ranges described in Scott and McAllister for the acetylcholinesterase (ACE-1) mutation, the frequency of the mutation in Key West and ovitrap individuals was 0.036 (95% CI: 0.096) and 0.35 (95% CI: 0.125), respectively. This target site mutation is associated with organophosphate/carbamate resistance.
Figure 5. Box plots characterizing the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles of enzyme levels measured in female Aedes aegypti collected from lethal and nonlethal ovitraps in Key West, FL, compared with susceptible colony. Outliers lie outside the 10th and 90th percentiles as symbols. Identical letters denote no significant difference at $P < 0.05$.

(median 0.469 \( \mu g \) \( \beta / \mu g \) protein), Stock Island (0.356 \( \mu g \) \( \beta / \mu g \) protein), and Key Largo (median 0.370 \( \mu g \) \( \beta / \mu g \) protein) when compared with the colony (median 0.297 \( \mu g \) \( \beta / \mu g \) protein), except again for Vaca Key (median 0.277 \( \mu g \) \( \beta / \mu g \) protein). For oxidase, mosquitoes from Upper Matecumbe Key (median 0.00135 \( \mu g \) oxidase/\( \mu g \) protein), Key West (median 0.00141 \( \mu g \) oxidase/\( \mu g \) protein), Stock Island (median 0.00136 \( \mu g \) oxidase/\( \mu g \) protein), and Key Largo (median 0.00135 \( \mu g \) oxidase/\( \mu g \) protein).
(protein) were not significantly different from each other but were significantly higher than the colony (median 0.00102 μg oxidase/μg protein) and Vaca Key (median 0.00042 μg oxidase/μg protein). Levels of GST were significantly higher for all sites: Upper Matecumbe Key (median 0.0466 μg GST/μg protein), Key West (median 0.0527 μg GST/μg protein), Vaca Key (median 0.110 μg GST/μg protein), Stock Island (median 0.0406 μg GST/μg protein), and Key Largo (median 0.196 μg GST/μg protein).

Figure 6. Box plots characterizing the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles of enzyme levels measured in male *Aedes aegypti* collected from ovitraps in Monroe County, FL, compared with susceptible colony. Outliers lie outside the 10th and 90th percentiles as symbols. Identical letters denote no significant difference at $P < 0.05$. 
GST (μg protein) than the colony (median 0.01 μg GST/μg protein). Key Largo generally had the highest levels of all the enzymes tested with Upper Matecumbe Key, with Key West having the second highest and Vaca Key having the lowest levels. For ACE-1, only Stock Island (0.2045 frequency, 95% CI: 0.085) and Vaca Key (0.111 frequency, 95% CI: 0.073) had individuals that were heterozygous for the mutation, whereas the population from Upper Matecumbe Key, Key Largo, and Key West were all homozygously susceptible.

A key form of pyrethroid resistance is target site insensitivity. Overexposure to pyrethroids may result in the reduction in binding capacity and the action potential of the sodium channel being modified. Insects that do not experience paralysis and maintain coordinated activity following pyrethroid exposure are considered to have knockdown resistance (kdr). Frequencies for each of the possible kdr mutations tested listed are in Table 2. Molecular assays were run on mosquitoes from Upper Matecumbe Key, Stock Island, Key Largo, Key West, Vaca Key, Plantation Key, and survivors from the lethal ovitraps. No mutation at the Gly 1016 site was detected. The highest frequency among all populations was 0.711 (95% CI: 0.108) for Met 1011. The range for Met 1011 was 0.885 (95% CI: 0.340) on Vaca Key to 0.231 (95% CI: 0.089) from survivors of the lethal ovitraps. The overall frequency of Ile 1016 was 0.340 (95% CI: 0.050) with a range of 0.214 (95% CI: 0.071) on Vaca Key to 0.425 (95% CI: 0.186) on Key Largo. The overall frequency of Val 1011 was 0.372 (95% CI: 0.054) with a range of 0.283 (95% CI: 0.101) on Upper Matecumbe Key to 0.438 (95% CI: 0.214) on Plantation Key. In general, although the frequency of the mutations was high for Met 1011 across the Keys, the survivors from the lethal ovitraps had the lowest frequency of this mutation (95% CI: 0.231, 0.089).

**DISCUSSION**

*Aedes aegypti* vector control is currently the main approach for controlling and preventing *Aedes*-borne arboviruses. Vector control strategies depend greatly on the application of chemical insecticides through ultralow volume or indoor space spraying to target adult mosquitoes and larviciding to target larvae. Reliance on insecticides has resulted in the development of resistance in *Ae. aegypti* to both classes of adulticides used in the continental United States (http://www.pesticideresistance.org/). Insecticide resistance is becoming an increasing problem that can affect control efforts during a disease outbreak. Routine resistance testing and determination of the underlying resistance mechanisms are

**Table 2**

Frequencies of Ile 1016, Gly 1016, Val 1011, and Met 1011 alleles and genotypes associated with knockdown resistance in *Aedes aegypti* from the Florida Keys, Monroe County, FL

|              | Ile/Ile (AA) | Ile/Val (AG) | Val/Val (GG) | N     | Frequency AA | 95% CI |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------|
| Key Largo    | 5           | 7            | 8            | 20    | 0.425        | 0.186  |
| Key West     | 5           | 11           | 14           | 30    | 0.325        | 0.125  |
| Plantation Key | 0          | 9            | 7            | 16    | 0.281        | 0.138  |
| Stock Island | 0           | 14           | 6            | 20    | 0.350        | 0.153  |
| Upper Matecumbe Key | 0 | 23           | 5            | 28    | 0.411        | 0.152  |
| Lethal ovitraps | 2          | 20           | 10           | 32    | 0.375        | 0.130  |
| Vaca Key     | 0           | 15           | 20           | 35    | 0.214        | 0.071  |
| Overall frequency | 12          | 99           | 70           | 181   | 0.338        | 0.049  |

|              | Gly/Gly (GG) | Gly/Val (GT) | Val/Val (TT) | N     | Frequency GG | 95% CI |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------|
| Key Largo    | 0            | 0            | 20           | 20    | 0.000        | NA     |
| Key West     | 0            | 0            | 30           | 30    | 0.000        | NA     |
| Plantation Key | 0          | 0            | 16           | 16    | 0.000        | NA     |
| Stock Island | 0            | 0            | 20           | 20    | 0.000        | NA     |
| Upper Matecumbe Key | 0 | 0            | 29           | 29    | 0.000        | NA     |
| Lethal ovitraps | 0          | 0            | 32           | 40    | 0.000        | NA     |
| Vaca Key     | 0            | 0            | 35           | 35    | 0.000        | NA     |
| Overall frequency | 0          | 0            | 190          | 190   | 0.000        | NA     |

|              | Val/Val (GG) | Val/Ile (GA) | Ile/Ile (AA) | N     | Frequency GG | 95% CI |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------|
| Key Largo    | 0            | 17           | 3            | 20    | 0.425        | 0.186  |
| Key West     | 0            | 23           | 7            | 30    | 0.383        | 0.137  |
| Plantation Key | 0          | 14           | 2            | 16    | 0.438        | 0.214  |
| Stock Island | 0            | 20           | 0            | 20    | 0.500        | 0.219  |
| Upper Matecumbe Key | 0 | 17           | 13           | 30    | 0.283        | 0.101  |
| Lethal ovitraps | 3          | 19           | 12           | 34    | 0.368        | 0.124  |
| Vaca Key     | 0            | 20           | 13           | 33    | 0.303        | 0.103  |
| Overall frequency | 3          | 130          | 50           | 161   | 0.372        | 0.054  |

|              | Met/Met (GG) | Met/Ile (GA) | Ile/Ile (AA) | N     | Frequency GG | 95% CI |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------|
| Key Largo    | 10           | 10           | 0            | 20    | 0.750        | 0.329  |
| Key West     | 17           | 13           | 0            | 30    | 0.783        | 0.280  |
| Plantation Key | 9          | 5            | 2            | 16    | 0.719        | 0.352  |
| Stock Island | 8            | 12           | 0            | 20    | 0.700        | 0.307  |
| Upper Matecumbe Key | 23 | 7            | 0            | 30    | 0.883        | 0.316  |
| Lethal ovitraps | 3          | 6            | 17           | 26    | 0.231        | 0.089  |
| Vaca Key     | 20           | 6            | 0            | 26    | 0.885        | 0.340  |
| Overall frequency | 90          | 59           | 19           | 168   | 0.677        | 0.108  |

NA = not applicable. Also provided are the sample sizes and 95% CIs around allele frequency estimates.
essential in determining which insecticide is effective in controlling local mosquito populations.

In this study, we characterized the presence of resistance from multiple localities within Monroe County, FL. For each island that was sampled, Ae. aegypti had varying levels of resistance with different underlying mechanisms present. Bottle assays conducted at both Florida Keys Mosquito Control District and the CDC demonstrated resistance to type I pyrethroids. Even though the results of PHEREC bottle assays indicated phenotypic resistance to Biomist 30+30 (Figure 2), the CDC bottle bioassays showed no phenotypic resistance to permethrin or malathion (Figure 3), but resistance was detected for bifenthrin (Figure 4). The profiles for enzyme expression in the populations differed from island to island despite the usage of the same chemicals throughout the entire county. This was also true for the presence of the kdr and ACE-1 target site mutations. Variability in resistant phenotypes and genotypes at a small geographical scale elucidates the focal and complex nature of insecticide resistance.

From 2005 to 2010, adulticides used in Monroe County, FL, contained either the active ingredient permethrin or naled. In 2011, treatment with malathion began in conjunction with permethrin and naled. In general, the cumulative number of km² treated with permethrin across all islands was greatest in 2009 and for naled in 2005 and 2008. The largest cumulative number of km² treated for permethrin, naled, and malathion occurred in Key Largo with 1142.1, 376.4, and 285.6 km², respectively. Key Largo exhibited the highest expression of α and β esterases, oxidases, GSTs, and frequency of the V1016I mutation from all islands tested. The least amount of area treated with permethrin occurred in Stock Island with 11.1 km². For naled, no treatment occurred in Vaca Key for multiple years. Expression of (α) and (β) esterases, oxidases, and acetylcholine esterase in mosquitoes from Vaca Key was not significantly different from that in mosquitoes from the susceptible colony even though the mosquitoes exhibited the highest levels of protein of all sites tested. The lowest treatment with malathion of 3.0 km² occurred in Upper Matecumbe Key.

Male and female Ae. aegypti from Key West were assessed using the results from the biochemical assays. Males had significantly higher levels of β esterase (median 0.469), oxidase (median 0.00141), GST (median 0.0473), and ACE-1 (median 0.00320) than females. Yet, the males were significantly smaller (median 0.00141) than females. In a study by Arnaud and Haubr (median 0.00320) and AcE-1 (median 0.00320) than females. Yet, the males were significantly smaller (median 0.00320) than females. In a study by Arnaud and Haubr.
adjuvants which enhance or modify the capability of pesticide formulations to affect significant toxicologic endpoints.\textsuperscript{34} Ingredients present within a pesticide formulation are often regulated differently, with inert ingredients sometimes being unregulated despite their known toxicity.\textsuperscript{35} Manufacturers also do not generally identify inert ingredients on product labels as they consider the ingredients to be proprietary. Differences in mortality between bottle assays conducted at the Florida Keys Mosquito Control District and the CDC may also be the result of variances between collection date and location. The intensity of pesticide-centered vector control practices might be the result of variances between collection date and location.\textsuperscript{36} A study by Verhaeghen et al.\textsuperscript{37} reported that variances in the genetics and biology of vector populations in certain ecological locales might influence and seasonal fluctuation in insecticide resistance. Variability in phenotypic and genotypic resistance can exist between blocks in the same community.

Adults reared from eggs collected from lethal ovitraps expressed significantly increased levels of β esterase, oxidase, and GST but decreased levels of α esterase when compared with the susceptible colony. Interestingly, female mosquitoes from the lethal ovitraps were significantly smaller with a median of 101.5 μg/mL of protein than the median of 134.3 μg/mL for the susceptible colony. Resistance to bifenthrin was seen in the bottle bioassay, with 90.2% mortality at the threshold time. The use of inhibitors demonstrated that phenotypically esterases, oxidases, and GSTs were contributing to resistance as complete susceptibility was recovered at the threshold time. This correlates with the biochemical assays in which levels of all of these enzymes were overexpressed. It has been shown that larvae exposed to constant insecticides or pollutants have increases in tolerance to various insecticides and induction of multiple detoxification genes. The use of insecticides in agriculture represents an important selective force likely to affect all types of pyrethroid resistance mechanisms.\textsuperscript{38} In Benin, it was reported that Anopheles gambiae females frequently lay eggs in larval habitat sites located around agricultural settings.\textsuperscript{39} The authors suggested that larvae from these sites underwent selection pressure from agricultural pesticides, favoring the emergence of resistance. The presence of other agrochemicals, urban or industrial pollutants, and plant compounds in larval habitats is expected to affect pyrethroid tolerance by modulating mosquito detoxification systems.\textsuperscript{38} Gene expression analyses have implied that insecticide detoxification and possibly cuticular thickness are both amplified in mosquitoes emerging from polluted environments.\textsuperscript{40}

Usually kdr limits the effectiveness of pyrethroids to varying degrees whether the insecticide contains a α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl moiety (type II pyrethroid) or comprises a wide structural variety lacking the α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl group (type I pyrethroid).\textsuperscript{24} Type II pyrethroids usually prolong the inactivation of the voltage-gated sodium channel significantly longer, and their effects are less reversible than type I.\textsuperscript{41} Farnham et al.\textsuperscript{42} found that the kdr factor confers a relatively consistent level of resistance throughout various pyrethroid structures and toxicities. This suggests that the mutation is not specifically sensitive to structural differences within the pyrethroid molecule. Geographic differences exist between the kdr mutations tested. The V1016I mutation is only found in the Americas, whereas the V1016G mutation is found in Asia. The overall frequency of the V1016I and M1011I mutations was 34.0% and 71.3%, respectively. Saavedra-Rodriguez et al.\textsuperscript{23} found strong association of permethrin resistance to the V1016I mutation and that individuals with the M1011I mutation did not appear to be associated with elevated pyrethroid resistance.

Estep et al.\textsuperscript{43} tested mosquitoes from one island from Monroe County, Big Coppitt Key. The use of topical bioassays showed Big Coppitt Key had 6-fold permethrin resistance when compared with the susceptible ORL1952 strain. Knockdown resistance genotyping for the V1016I mutation demonstrated a frequency of 11%. Big Coppitt Key had the lowest levels of permethrin resistance and V1016I frequency compared with 26 sites tested in eight different counties throughout Florida in the study. Miami Beach in Miami-Dade County had the highest frequency level of V1016I mutation of 91%. Our findings showed that the V1016I frequency in Key Largo which is 70 km from Miami Beach was 42.5%, the highest of all sites tested. Patterns and rate of gene flow between Ae. aegypti populations are shaped by mosquito migration through human transference of containers infiltrated with eggs or larvae and through the complex and dynamic spatiotemporal mosaic of insecticide pressure.\textsuperscript{44} Aedes aegypti populations within 150 km of one another experience high rates of gene flow with the spread of alleles at all loci, including resistance loci. Frequencies of resistance alleles increase where insecticides are used. Equally, resistance alleles within the population decrease in regions where insecticides are no longer used, assuming that a negative fitness cost is connected to the allele. Even with high widespread frequencies of pyrethroid resistance alleles and high gene flow, pyrethroid susceptibility can persist locally.\textsuperscript{45}

Given the results of this study, the use of Biomist 30+30 should be discontinued in Key West and Vaca Key for control of Ae. aegypti mosquitoes. The kdr mutation was found in mosquitoes tested from all sites which can impact the efficacy of all pyrethroids. Phenotypic resistance was not observed to malathion in mosquitoes from Key West. A pesticide formulation containing malathion as the active ingredient may be a more viable option for control operations. Formulations synergized with PBO might also be avoided until further testing can be conducted to determine the role of PBO in resistance. Phenotypic resistance data from bottle bioassays quantify the strength of resistance within a population and can elucidate the presence of detoxification mechanisms using inhibitors, but was limited by egg hatch rates in the laboratory. The CDC recommends that only technical-grade active ingredients be used when conducting the bottle bioassay as the adjuvant ingredients in formulated products are unknown, and synergists can disguise the presence of resistance. When resistance is detected, both molecular and biochemical analyses should be performed to elucidate specific enzymes responsible in resistance. Increased enzyme levels observed within the various sites tested are most likely not only contributing to resistance but also could have arisen to external environmental factors. Surveillance for resistance needs to be continuous not only in Monroe County, FL, but across the United States to detect alterations in susceptibility to the active ingredients used for operations, but also to identify effective ingredients if resistance is detected. Resistance monitoring is a vital component of any mosquito control program. During a disease outbreak, it is imperative to know whether the product being deployed is effectual in controlling the mosquito population.
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