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Abstract
Introduction: Our aim was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of low-dose mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) in dialysis patients.

Methods: We systematically searched PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane libraries for clinical trials on the use of MRAs in dialysis patients. Review Manager 5.3 software was used to analyze relevant data and evaluate the quality of evidence.

Results: We identified nine randomized controlled trials including 1128 chronic dialysis patients. In terms of safety, when hyperkalemia was defined as serum potassium level ≥5.5 mmol/L, low-dose MRAs were significantly associated with hyperkalemia (relative risk [RR] 1.76, 95% confidence intervals [CI] 1.07–2.95, P = .02); however, when hyperkalemia was defined as serum potassium level ≥6.5 mmol/L, no significant association was observed between low-dose MRAs and hyperkalemia (RR 1.40, 95% CI 0.83–2.37, P = .20; RR 1.98, 95% CI 0.91–4.30, P = .09, respectively). Use of low-dose MRAs can reduce cardiovascular mortality by 54% compared with the control group (0.46, 95% CI 0.28–0.76, P = .003). Similarly, the RR of all-cause mortality for the low-dose MRAs group was 0.48 (95% CI 0.33–0.72, P = .0003).

Conclusion: Low-dose MRAs may benefit dialysis patients without significantly increasing moderate to severe hyperkalemia.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, CV = cardiovascular, CVD = cardiovascular diseases, ESRD = end-stage renal disease, HD = hemodialysis, HF = heart failure, MRAs = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists, PD = peritoneal dialysis, RR = relative risk.
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1. Introduction
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) in chronic dialysis patients has long been a question of great interest worldwide. In some large-scale observational studies, the prevalence of CVD in patients with end-stage renal disease is approximately 80%.\textsuperscript{1,2} Meanwhile, CVD (such as heart failure, myocardial infarction, and sudden cardiac death) is the main cause of death in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD),\textsuperscript{3} accounting for 45% of the total deaths.\textsuperscript{1,4}

The renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) is an important driver of the development and progression of hypertension, diabetes, CVD, and kidney disease. Currently,
drugs that act on RAAS, such as ACEI/ARB, have been widely used in these diseases.

There is evidence that excessive activation of aldosterone, the final product of RAAS, plays a crucial role in regulating fluid retention, inflammation, oxidative stress, and fibrosis. Thus, the mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs, such as spironolactone, eplerenone) have a significant effect on the treatment of hypertension, edema, and improvement of target organ fibrosis. In patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction and heart failure (HF), MRAs can significantly reduce morbidity and mortality. However, because of MRAs’ serious side effects of hyperkalemia, there is very little published research about patients with ESRD.

Hyperkalemia is an electrolyte abnormality with potentially fatal consequences. It is well known that fatal arrhythmia is the most noticeable consequence of hyperkalemia. In addition, studies have shown that hyperkalemia is associated with increased mortality, tubular acidosis, and peripheral neuropathy. In patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis, higher serum potassium is associated with increased risk of hospitalization, emergency department visit, and death. Angelo et al. leveraged data from Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) to assess associations between pre-dialysis serum potassium levels and outcomes. Noteworthily, after adjustment, there was a close positive correlation between serum potassium level and the complex arrhythmia outcome.

Of course, in clinical settings, the application of MRAs in dialysis patients has been greatly limited. Although some studies have shown that additional use of spironolactone in dialysis patients is beneficial both on LVMI and cardiovascular (CV) morbidity, its use in dialysis patients is still limited by hyperkalemia. Results from earlier randomized controlled trial (RCTs) did not demonstrate a strong association between MRAs and hyperkalemia. Recently, a large US data analysis showed that MRAs are little used in ESRD patients with HF and were associated with a higher risk of death. To date, there has been little agreement on whether it is safe for dialysis patients to use MRAs. It is worth mentioning that the risk of hyperkalemia caused by MRAs is often related to its dose. Therefore, we performed an analysis on low-dose MRAs to assess their risk of hyperkalemia and the value of cardiovascular benefits.

2. Methods

2.1. Inclusion criteria

(1) Type of study: randomized controlled trial; (2) study subjects: (a) more than 18 years old; (b) receiving maintenance dialysis, including hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis; (c) receiving low-dose MRA, including spironolactone 25 mg/d or spironolactone 50 mg × 3/week or eplerenone 50 mg/d.

2.2. Exclusion criteria

(1) Follow-up time was less than 3 months; (2) seriously lost to follow-up and no explanation was provided for the loss to follow-up; (3) study did not provide sufficient data; (4) full text could not be obtained.

2.3. Search strategy

We first searched the electronic databases PubMed, EMBASE, and The Cochrane Library for studies published up to May 2019. No restrictions were imposed on the publication language for articles.

2.4. Study selection

The selection of the study was done independently by two reviewers. Irrelevant researches were removed by browsing the titles, abstracts, and full texts based on inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria. If there were disagreement between two reviewers, we reached consensus through discussion or consultation with experts.

2.5. Data extraction

Data from the included studies was independently extracted by two reviewers according to a predesigned form. Disagreements were resolved by discussion or consensus. We extracted the first author’s name, publication year, design type, follow-up time, enrolled subject characteristics, and safety outcomes: the incidence of serious hyperkalemia (≥ 5.5 mmol/L, ≥ 6.0 mmol/L, or ≥ 6.5 mmol/L), cardio- and cerebrovascular mortality, all-cause mortality, and incidence of breast enlargement or tenderness.

2.6. Quality assessment

The quality of each RCT was assessed by two reviewers via the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed on Review Manager software (RevMan 5.3) provided by the Cochrane website. As dichotomous variables, the incidence of hyperkalemia, severe hypertension, breast enlargement or tenderness, cardio- and cerebrovascular mortality, all-cause mortality, were all assessed by relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). The I² statistic was used to evaluate the statistical heterogeneity. According to the Cochrane Handbook, 0% to 40% might not be important, 30% to 60% may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90% may represent substantial heterogeneity, and 75% to 100% may represent considerable heterogeneity. When I² < 50%, fixed-effect models were used, and when I² ≥ 50%, random-effects models were used.

2.8. Ethical approval

All analyses were based on previous published studies, thus no ethical approval and patient consent are required.

3. Results

3.1. Study selection

We searched 1665 articles in total, and the flowchart of the selection is shown in Figure 1.

3.2. Characteristics and quality assessment

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, nine studies were eventually included, a total of 1128 subjects (554 were
included in the low-dose MRAs group and 574 were included in the control group). The characteristics of each study are shown in Table 1.

Cochrane’s risk-of-bias tool was used to evaluate the risk of bias in each article. The evaluation result of the risk of bias is shown in Figure 2. Overall, the included articles have a relatively low risk of bias. However, two of these articles used an open-label design and had a high rate of loss of follow-up.\[18,23\]

3.3. Hyperkalemia

The baseline potassium level of each study is shown in Table 1. The definition of hyperkalemia varies in different studies. The

| Study         | Type  | Follow-up (months) | Intervention                  | Control | Sample size (I/C) | Age (yr) | Sex (male, %) | Potassium
|---------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|-----------
| Feniman2015[40] | HD     | 6                  | 25 mg/d spironolactone        | placebo | I: 10             | 52 ± 19.2 | I: 50         | 4.5 ± 0.9 |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 9                 | 56 ± 10.9 |              | 5.0 ± 0.9 |
| Itó2014[23]   | PD    | 24                 | 25 mg/d spironolactone        | None    | I: 78             | 57.4 ± 12.3 | I: 70.5      | 4.3 ± 0.66 |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 80                | 55.6 ± 14.4 | 72.5         | 4.3 ± 0.65 |
| Lin2016[19]   | HD/PD | 24                 | 25 mg/d spironolactone        | placebo | I: 125            | 70.3 ± 10.9 | I: 58.4       | 4.12 ± 0.42 |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 128               | 70.6 ± 8.4  | 62.5         | 3.96 ± 0.51 |
| Matsumoto 2014[18] | HD  | 36                 | 25 mg/d spironolactone        | None    | I: 157            | 67.4 ± 12.3 | I: 72         | 4.16 ± 0.9 |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 152               | 67.7 ± 11.2 | 59.2         | -          |
| Ni2014[20]    | HD/PD | 3                  | 25 mg/d spironolactone        | placebo | I: 40             | 55.7 ± 12.3 | I: 60         | 4.1 ± 1.5  |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 36                | 54.9 ± 14.2 | 50.8         | 3.9 ± 0.9  |
| Raj2018[34]   | HD    | 9                  | 12.5/25/50 mg/d spironolactone | placebo | I: 26             | 53.3 ± 13.5 | I: 73.1       | 4.8 ± 0.6  |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 51                | 56.8 ± 11.5 | 62.7         | 4.8 ± 0.6  |
| Tahan2009[29] | HD    | 6                  | Spironolactone 25mg/3/w       | placebo | I: 8              | 59.5 ± 0.5  | I: 63         | 3.96 ± 0.33 |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 8                 | 56.8 ± 9.3  | 75           | 4.66 ± 0.41 |
| Vukusich2010[24] | HD  | 24                 | Spironolactone 50mg/3/w       | placebo | I: 33             | 60.1 ± 5.2  | I: 61         | 4.7 ± 0.67 |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 33                | 55.6 ± 3.6  | 66.7         | 4.71 ± 0.74 |
| Walsh2015[39] | HD    | 3                  | Eplerenone 50mg/d             | placebo | I: 77             | 62.1 ± 14.6 | I: 61         | 4.7 ± 0.6  |
|               |       |                    |                                |         | 77                | 63.1 ± 13.7 | 63.6         | 4.9 ± 0.6  |

HD, hemodialysis; PD, peritoneal dialysis; -: missing data.

*Baseline serum potassium level.
study by Vukusich et al. was excluded because no hyperkalemia was reported.\[24\] As described above, in order to unify the criteria, we defined hyperkalemia a priori as serum potassium level $\geq 5.5\text{mmol/L}$, $\geq 6.0\text{mmol/L}$, or $\geq 6.5\text{mmol/L}$. When hyperkalemia was defined as serum potassium level $\geq 5.5\text{mmol/L}$, low-dose MRAs were significantly associated with hyperkalemia (RR 1.54, 95% CI 1.05–2.26, $P=0.03$; Fig. 3); when hyperkalemia was defined as serum potassium level $\geq 6.0\text{mmol/L}$ or serum potassium level $\geq 6.5\text{mmol/L}$, no significant association was observed between low-dose MRAs and hyperkalemia (RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.30–3.28, $P=0.91$, Fig. 5, respectively). No significant heterogeneity was observed in any of these models ($I^2=31\%, P=0.18$; $I^2=0\%, P=0.46$; $I^2=23\%, P=0.26$, respectively).

3.4. *Cmortality and all-cause mortality*

A total of 986 subjects from seven studies were included in the analysis; 19 of the 481 subjects in the experimental groups and 44 of the 505 subjects in the control groups died of a cardiovascular-related cause. Use of low-dose MRAs can reduce CV mortality by 54% compared with control (RR 0.46, 95% CI 0.28–0.76, $P=0.003$; Fig. 6). No significant heterogeneity was observed ($I^2=0\%$, $P=0.51$). The RR of all-cause mortality for low-dose MRAs was 0.48 (95% CI 0.33–0.72, $P=0.003$; Fig. 7). There was no significant heterogeneity for all-cause mortality ($I^2=0\%$, $P=0.43$).

3.5. *Breast enlargement or tenderness*

During the follow-up, 37 people in the experimental groups experienced breast enlargement or tenderness compared with four people in the control group. The RR of breast enlargement or tenderness for low-dose MRAs was 6.74 (95% CI 2.86–15.90, $P<0.0001$; Fig. 8).

4. Discussion

MRAs play an important role in chronic HF, especially in patients with left ventricular function changes and HF after myocardial infarction. In terms of kidney disease, MRAs also play a critical role, such as preventing the transition from acute kidney injury to chronic kidney disease,\[25,26\] protecting against diabetic nephropathy,\[27\] and delaying the progression of glomerulonephritis.\[28\] The underlying mechanism by which
Figure 4. Forest plot for hyperkalemia (≥6.0 mmol/L).

Figure 5. Forest plot for hyperkalemia (≥6.5 mmol/L).

Figure 6. Forest plot for CCV mortality. CCV = cardio- and cerebrovascular.

Figure 7. Forest plot for all-cause mortality.
MRAs work may be by reduction of oxidative stress, regulation of vascular tone, or antifibrosis. Although MRAs thus have their cardiovascular and renal benefits, their adoption in ESRD is still stalled, mainly because of severe hyperkalemia. Prior studies have noted the problem of hyperkalemia in ESRD patients treated with MRA. A meta-analysis by Quach et al. of nine trials published up to 2015, which enrolled a total of 829 patients, found the RR for hyperkalemia among patients in dialysis for MRA treatment was 3.05 (95% CI, 1.21–7.70). More recently, the randomized, placebo-controlled, multiple-dosage trial by Raj et al. found that low doses of spironolactone did not increase the risk of hyperkalemia, but when the dose of spironolactone reached 50 mg, the risk of hyperkalemia was significantly higher during the 36-week follow-up. Another important finding, from the placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial by Hammer et al., was that 50 mg spironolactone increased the frequency of moderate hyperkalemia (155 vs 80 events, *P* = .034), but not that of severe hyperkalemia. On the question of hyperkalemia, we define serum potassium level ≥ 5.5 mmol/L as mild hyperkalemia, serum potassium level ≥ 6.0 mmol/L as moderate hyperkalemia, serum potassium level ≥ 6.5 mmol/L as severe hyperkalemia respectively; Surprisingly, we found that low-dose MRAs increased the risk of mild hyperkalemia (RR 1.54, 95% CI 1.05–2.26, *P* = .03); however, it did not increase the risk of moderate to severe hyperkalemia. This observation supports the hypothesis that low-dose MRAs use may be safe because they did not increase the risk of moderate to severe hyperkalemia. However, close monitoring of serum potassium levels is required.

A prior meta-analysis has noted the importance of improvements in CV mortality and all-cause mortality. The RR for CV mortality among patients in dialysis for MRA treatment was 0.34 (95% CI, 0.15–0.75), and for all-cause mortality was 0.40 (95% CI, 0.23–0.69). Consistent with the literature, our research found that participants who reported using low-dose MRAs also had similar results. Our findings suggest that low-dose MRAs may bring survival benefits.

In addition, we also found that the incidence of breast enlargement or tenderness in patients taking spironolactone was 12%. This finding supports evidence from clinical observations of the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES) that gynecomastia or breast tenderness was found in 10% of men who were treated with spironolactone.

The ongoing ACHIEVE RCT (NCT03020303) is planning to recruit 2750 participants and have a mean follow-up of 5 years. The primary outcome is death or hospitalization for HF. Meanwhile, the ALCHEMIST RCT (NCT01848639) is planning to recruit 825 high-risk chronic hemodialysis patients and have a mean follow-up of 2 years to determine if spironolactone (25 mg) reduces death or hospitalization for HF and is well tolerated in patients that require dialysis. These two large, well-designed trials may change medical behavior and improve patient outcomes upon completion.

Several limitations to this meta-analysis need to be acknowledged. First, there were differences in baseline potassium levels of the included studies. Barrera suggests in the latest review that when we analyze blood potassium, it is best to set the threshold of baseline serum potassium levels, clarify the definition of hyperkalemia, and explain the predefined procedures to be used in case of hyperkalemia. Second, the follow-up time is not long enough for survival research. Of the nine studies included, two trials were followed up for 3 months, two trials for 6 months, one trial for 9 months, three trials for 24 months, and one trial for 36 months. Third, an excessive number of people lost to follow-up may have an impact on results. In the trials of Matsumoto and Ito, the rates of loss to follow-up were 32% and 22%, respectively. Fourth, our study is a post hoc analysis of previous experimental studies, and it is difficult to extract individual data from patients, the inability to determine individual clinical outcomes in patients with severe hyperkalemia was also a deficiency of the article.

5. Conclusion

This study has shown that low-dose MRA did not cause moderate to severe hyperkalemia but may bring survival benefits. In general, therefore, it seems that low-dose MRA with strict serum potassium testing may benefit patients. Large RCTs could provide more definitive evidence. In addition, side effects such as breast enlargement or tenderness caused by low-dose spironolactone require more attention.
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