Toothpick ingestion and migration into the liver through the colonic hepatic flexure: case presentation, management, and literature review
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Introduction
Foreign body ingestion is responsible for around 1500 cases of death in the United States every year [1]. It is mainly prevalent in certain high-risk population such as pediatric patients [2–4], elderly patients with dental prosthesis [5], patients with psychiatric disorders or neurodevelopmental delay, and body pakers [6–8]. On presentation, one should consider the fact that a foreign body can range from medical devices (needles, surgical instruments especially endoscopic ones etc.) to ingested synthetic foreign bodies or organic ones (animal bones, wooden toothpicks etc.) [9]. Around 136 cases of toothpick ingestion causing gastrointestinal perforation have been reported, of which more than 15 presented with migration into the liver necessitating surgical management [10, 11]. In what follows, we describe the first case of toothpick ingestion, with migration into the liver through the colonic hepatic flexure, how it presented and how it was managed, followed by a brief literature review.

Case Presentation
This is the case of a 61-year-old female patient, previously healthy, presenting with 2 months history of myalgias, arthralgias, fatigue, and abdominal discomfort. She was febrile 38.8°C, but had no pertinent signs on her physical examination. Blood tests were carried out at first, showing white blood cell of 11,000/µL, neutrophils 70%, C-reactive protein of 198 mg/L. Blood cultures, urine analysis and culture, stools analysis and culture, and chest X-ray were all normal. Widal and Wright tests were negative. An abdominal ultrasound failed to reveal any suspicious findings. CT-scan of the abdomen showed a hepatic lesion in segment V, with air bubbles, measuring 33 mm, corresponding to an abscess with fat stranding around the colonic hepatic flexure, and a possible fistulous tract.
extending inferiorly to the colon (Figs 1 and 2). The presence of a foreign object was also suspected within the abscess cavity. Serologies for Echinococcus granulosus and multilocularis were negative. After 2 weeks of antibiotics therapy and nonresolving symptoms, an MRI was carried out showing the same cavity now 60-mm long, with probable communication inferiorly with the colon. At this point, surgical intervention was decided.

Right extended subcostal incision was made. After adhesiolysis, the hepatic flexure of the colon was found adherent to segment V of the liver. Fine dissection was carried out, and liberation of the adherent segment was
performed. An indurated area was noticed at the site of adhesion with a fine wooden tip protruding. Dissection around the foreign object revealed a toothpick embedded in the liver parenchyma (Fig. 3). Wedge resection around it was performed and hemostasis (Fig. 4). Upon reexploration, no overt perforation in the colon was identified, so we decided to put few imbrication sutures along the previously adherent serosa. Two drains were inserted before closure of the abdomen.

The patient was kept NPO for 5 days then diet was resumed. She was discharged on day 7 with no postoperative complications.

**Discussion**

Foreign bodies ingestion can sometimes be difficult to diagnose. Patients most commonly present either with bowel obstruction or with complications related to perforation, migration, and intraabdominal fistulas [12]. Patients will report a history of recurrent abdominal pain, fever of unknown origin, like our patient, nausea, obstruction, diarrhea etc. [13–17]. The diagnostic work-up usually consists of EGD, colonoscopy, computed tomography scan, and ultrasound, but failure to detect the toothpick was reported in 35% of the cases. About 58% require surgical intervention with an overall mortality of 9.6% [18]. Around 18 cases of intrahepatic toothpick with abscess formation were reported in the literature, with duodenal perforation as the site of penetration in eight cases, the stomach in 6 cases, the sigmoid colon in one case, and unknown entry site in three cases [11, 14, 19–32].

Steinbach et al. demonstrated various therapeutic options with respect to the toothpick location. Endoscopy showed success mainly when the toothpick location was the stomach (75%), duodenum (70%), and large bowels (45%). Laparotomy was necessary in extraintestinal locations (80%), small bowels (75%), large bowels (50%), duodenum (30%), and stomach (25%). The role of laparoscopy was still limited to the extraintestinal and small bowel locations (20%), and large bowels (10%). Accordingly, an algorithm was devised for management, in relation to the toothpick location [18].

Our case is the first reported case of colonic perforation at the level of the hepatic flexure, leading to intrahepatic migration of the ingested toothpick. A high clinical suspicion should be raised whenever atypical abdominal symptoms are present. Long-standing fever with no origin or focus, abdominal pain, obstruction, perforation in young healthy patients etc. The patient’s age, mental capabilities, clinical characteristics, and socioeconomic status should also raise questions. The surgeon should be prepared for life-threatening situations such as major vascular involvement [17], as well as highly specialized and technically challenging procedures if the toothpick involves the hepatic hilum for instance [12].

The approach to foreign body ingestion and its complications should not be underestimated as the diagnostic work-up can be highly inconclusive and the surgical intervention extremely challenging. One should not hesitate to differ any potentially complicated case to a tertiary center where diagnostic and therapeutic means are readily available. The surgeon must know his limitations and should assess the situation before intervening. Early diagnosis and extraction are mandatory to improve the prognosis, hence, early referral to tertiary centers sometimes should not be delayed. Advancement in endoscopic,
l laparoscopic, and other minimally invasive techniques might preclude the need for an invasive laparotomy. However, it is important to note that in 35% of the time for laparotomy as an exploratory procedure cannot be ignored.

**Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

**Authorship**

AEA: wrote the article and assisted in the surgical intervention. YP: gathered the clinical data, did the literature review, and assisted in the surgical intervention. IH: consultant surgeon and case supervisor. MK: reviewed the article and underwent the surgical intervention.

**References**

1. Bekkerman, M., A. H. Sachdev, J. Andrade, Y. Twersky, and S. Iqbal. 2016. Endoscopic Management of Foreign Bodies in the Gastrointestinal Tract: A Review of the Literature. Gastroenterol. Res. Pract. 2016: 8520767.
2. Kim, J. K., S. S. Kim, J. I. Kim, S. W. Kim, Y. S. Yang, S. H. Cho, et al. 1999. Management of foreign bodies in the gastrointestinal tract: an analysis of 104 cases in children. Endoscopy 31:302–304.
3. Hachimi-Idrissi, S., L. Corne, and Y. Vandenplas. 1998. Management of ingested foreign bodies in childhood: our experience and review of the literature. Eur. J. Emerg. Med. 5:319–324.
4. Panieri, E., and D. H. Bass. 1995. The management of ingested foreign bodies in children-a review of 663 cases. Eur. J. Emerg. Med. 2:83–87.
5. Abdullah, B. J. J., L. K. Teong, J. Mahadevan, and A. Jalaludin. 1998. Dental prosthesis ingested and impacted in the esophagus and orolaryngopharynx. J. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 27:190.
6. Ikenberry, S. O., T. L. Yue, M. A. Anderson, V. Appalaneni, S. Banerjee, T. Ben-Menachem, et al. 2011. Management of ingested foreign bodies and food impactions. Gastrointest. Endosc. 73:1083–1091.
7. Blaho, K. E., K. S. Merigian, S. L. Winbery, L. J. Park, and M. Cockrell. 1998. Foreign body ingestions in the Emergency Department: case reports and review of treatment. J. Emerg. Med. 16:21–26.
8. Velitchkov, N. G., G. I. Grigorov, J. E. Losanoff, and K. T. Kjossev. 1996. Ingested foreign bodies of the gastrointestinal tract: retrospective analysis of 542 cases. World J. Surg. 20:1001–1005.
9. Abu-Wasel, B., K. M. Eltawil, V. Keough, and M. Molinari. 2012. Liver abscess caused by toothpick and treated by laparoscopic left hepatic resection: case report and literature review. BMJ Case Rep. 2012:2012: bcr2012006408.
10. Selivanov, V., G. F. Sheldon, J. P. Cello, and R. A. Crass. 1984. Management of foreign body ingestion. Ann. Surg. 199:187.
11. Chiang, T. H., K. L. Liu, Y. C. Lee, H. M. Chiu, J. T. Lin, and H. P. Wang. 2006. Sonographic diagnosis of a toothpick traversing the duodenum and penetrating into the liver. J. Clin. Ultrasound 34:237–240.
12. Brunaldi, V. O., M. O. Brunaldi, R. Masagao, C. Silva, H. Masuda, and J. E. Brunaldi. 2017. Toothpick inside the common bile duct: a case report and literature review. Case Rep. Med. 2017:5846290.
13. Sealock, R. J., S. Sabounchi, and D. Y. Graham. 2013. Toothpick perforation of the intestines presenting as recurrent abdominal pain: possible roles of abdominal ultrasound and MRI. Clin. Med. Insights Case Rep. 6:131.
14. Currò, G., S. Lazzara, A. Cogliandolo, S. Latteri, and G. Navarra. 2017. Fever of unknown origin due to intrahepatic wooden toothpick. Clin. Case Rep. 5:208–209.
15. Izumi, J., K. Satoh, W. Iwasaki, T. Miura, and S. Fujimori. 2017. Small bowel obstruction caused by the ingestion of a wooden toothpick: the CT findings and a literature review. Intern. Med. 56:657–660.
16. Tombolini, F., V. Lacetera, and G. Muzzonigro. 2015. Enterovesical fistula caused by a toothpick. Case Rep. Urol. 2015:902673.
17. Ben-Ishay, O., K. Haloon, R. Khouri, and Y. Kluger. 2017. Trans-colonic foreign body penetration of the retrohepatic vena cava. Report of a case and review of the literature. Trauma Case Rep. 9:49–51.
18. Steinbach, C., M. Stockmann, M. Jara, J. Bednarsch, and J. F. Lock. 2014. Accidentally ingested toothpicks causing severe gastrointestinal injury: a practical guideline for diagnosis and therapy based on 136 case reports. World J. Surg. 38:371–377.
19. Griffiths, F. E. D. 1955. Liver abscess due to foreign-body migration from the alimentary tract a report of two cases. Br. J. Surg. 42:667–668.
20. Rafizadeh, F. 1981. Pyogenic liver abscess secondary to a toothpick penetrating the gastrointestinal tract. J. Med. Soc. N. J. 78:377–378.
21. Bloch, D. B. 1984. Venturesome toothpick: a continuing source of pyogenic hepatic abscess. JAMA 252:797–798.
22. Pedersen, V. M., J. P. Geerdsen, J. Bartholdy, and H. Kjaergaard. 1986. Foreign body perforation of the gastrointestinal tract with formation of liver abscess. Ann. Chir. Gynaecol. 75:245–246.
23. Allimant, P., C. Rosburger, B. Zeyer, G. Frey, E. Morel, M. Bietiger, et al. 1990. An unusual cause of hepatic abscess. Ann. Gastroenterol. Hépatol. 26:5.
24. Tsui, B. C., and J. Mossey. 1997. Occult liver abscess following clinically unsuspected ingestion of foreign bodies. Can. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 11:445–448.

25. Drnovsek, V., D. Fontanez-Garcia, M. N. Wakabayashi, and B. M. Plavsic. 1999. Gastrointestinal case of the day. Radiographics 19:820–822.

26. Guglielminetti, D., and D. B. Poddie. 1999. Liver abscess secondary to ingestion of foreign body. A case report. G. Chir. 20:453–455.

27. Cheung, Y. C., S. H. Ng, C. F. Tan, K. K. Ng, and Y. L. Wan. 2000. Hepatic inflammatory mass secondary to toothpick perforation of the stomach: triphasic CT appearances. Clin. Imaging 24:93–95.

28. Bilimoria, K. Y., R. K. Eagan, and D. K. Rex. 2003. Colonoscopic identification of a foreign body causing an hepatic abscess. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 37:82–85.

29. Katsinelos, P., G. Chatzimavroudis, C. Zavos, I. Triantafillidis, and J. Kountouras. 2007. A pyogenous gastric abscess that developed following ingestion of a piece of a wooden skewer: successful treatment with endoscopic incision. J. Gastrointest. Liver Dis. 16:113.

30. Binek, J., R. Strub, and A. Zerz. 2007. Chronic abdominal liver abscess caused by toothpick perforation of the gastrointestinal wall. Ultraschall Med. 28:129–130.

31. Liu, H. J., C. H. Liang, B. Huang, S. F. Xie, and G. Y. Wang. 2009. Migration of a swallowed toothpick into the liver: the value of multiplanar CT. Br. J. Radiol. 82:e79–e81.

32. Glick, W. A., K. A. Simo, R. Z. Swan, D. Sindram, D. A. Iannitti, and J. B. Martinie. 2012. Pyogenic hepatic abscess secondary to endolumenal perforation of an ingested foreign body. J. Gastrointest. Surg. 16:885–887.