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In the blasting construction of underground engineering in layered rock mass, the mechanism of cut blasting and the propagation law of blasting vibration waves are very complex. In this paper, a new method for predicting the blasting vibration velocity of layered rock mass under multihole cut blasting is proposed. The key steps include determining the equivalent elastic boundary and load, establishing the multidegree freedom model of blasting vibration and its motion differential equation, and solving the motion differential equation by time-history analysis method. Two multihole cut blasting tests of different schemes were carried out in the construction site of layered rock mass, and the measured results of blasting vibration waves were obtained. By comparing the time-history curves of the predicted and measured blasting vibration velocity, it can be seen that the time-history curves predicted by the proposed method can reflect the characteristics and attenuation law of blasting vibration waves, and the predicted waveforms are similar to the measured waveforms. By using the proposed method, the prediction accuracy for the peak velocity of blasting vibration in the two tests is 93% and 94%, respectively, and the prediction accuracy for the dominant frequency of blasting vibration in the two tests is 86% and 94%, respectively. The prediction accuracy of the main characteristic parameters of blasting vibration waves is high. So it can be proved that the prediction method proposed in this paper is feasible in effectiveness and accuracy, which can provide important theoretical guidance for the optimization of blasting design and the control of blasting vibration in underground engineering in layered rock mass.

1. Introduction

The development and utilization of underground space becomes a new era hot issue for civil engineering in the twenty-first century [1]. With the rapid development of economy and urbanization, the urban development space is gradually extending from the ground and its upper space to the high-density underground space, which carries more and more urban functions (e.g., subways, underground pipe networks, underground corridors, underground storage, and underground commercial complexes) [2, 3]. In the past “13th Five-Year” period (2016–2020), the underground space construction area in China increased by 844 million square meters, and its direct investment reached CNY 8 trillion. In addition to China, the development and utilization of urban underground space in other developed countries around the world has been in a rapid growth stage, such as Japan, the United States, Canada, Sweden, Finland, and France. With the large-scale development of urban underground space, more and more underground projects are constructed by drilling and blasting method. In the process of blasting construction of underground engineering, the damage of blasting vibration effect is prominent, which seriously threatens the safety of surrounding buildings and environment [4–12].

Due to the great restriction of the rock mass and high concentration of the charge, the blasting vibration intensity caused by the cut holes as the first blasting section is often the strongest [13, 14]. Cut blasting is a key link in the control of blasting vibration effect. And the research on the cut blasting model and vibration control technology has become a hot and difficult topic in the blasting vibration field in recent years. Li et al. [15] derived an improved calculation model of the vertical cut hole considering the void effect.
based on the theory of elasticity. Wang et al. [16] established a theoretical model to reveal the cavity formation mechanism of wedge cut blasting through theoretical analysis and field test. Gao et al. [17] created numerical models of cut blasting under different initiation positions and analyzed the different cut blasting effect by numerical simulation. Ahn and Park [18] proposed an attenuation model of stress waves induced by spherical blasting source to predict the near-field range and attenuation with numerical simulation method. Esfahani and Goshtasbi [19] put forward a forward loading model of blasting hole in the form of stress waves to simulate and analyze the explosion process by means of two types of software. Gómez et al. [20] developed a full-field solution model of the near-field blasting vibration based on the linear viscoelastic theory. Liu and Chen [21] constructed the blasting vibration waves caused by cut blasting in tunnel excavation according to the waveform function derived from the point source theory. Chen et al. [22] established a calculation model of blasting vibration caused by cut blasting under the condition of cylindrical charge with the methods of theoretical analysis and numerical simulation. Kumar et al. [23] carried out a generalized model of blasting vibration considering the effects of rock parameters based on the statistics of a large number of test data. It can be seen that people have done a lot of theoretical, numerical, and experimental research on the mechanism of cut blasting and its vibration model and achieved important results with a great reference value.

The cut blasting models or vibration models caused by cut blasting mentioned in the above literature are summarized in Table 1. In Table 1, $\sigma_{\theta R}$ is the tangential stress on the wall of empty hole B; $P_{A_{\max}}$ is the peak pressure on the hole wall of cut hole A; $R_A$ is the distance from the measuring point to the centre of cut hole A; $r_A$ is the radius of cut hole A; $\beta$ is the attenuation index for the stress wave; $\theta_A$ is the horizontal angle between the measuring point and the centre of cut hole A; $\theta_B$ is the horizontal angle between the measuring point and the centre of empty hole B; $F$ is the net force from inclined cut holes perpendicular to the free surface pointing outwards; $N$ is the number of cut holes; $P_r$ is the perpendicular force exerted on the lateral side; $\theta$ is the angle of inclination; $Q_w$ is the quality factor in the frequency domain; $a_1$ and $a_2$ are the model coefficients; $R$ is the distance from the measuring point to the hole centre; $r_o$ is the radius of the cut hole; $V(t)$ is the final blasting vibration velocity at time $t$; $\Delta t_i$ is the delay time between the current blasting section and the previous section; $Q_s$ is the charge weight of the $i$th blasting section; $R_i$ is the distance from the measuring point to the hole centre of the $i$th blasting section; $\omega_i$ is the angular frequency of the $i$th blasting section; $c_1$, $c_2$, and $c_3$ are the coefficients related to the geological and topographical conditions of the site; $PPV$ is the peak particle velocity; $Q$ is the charge weight; $d_1$, $d_2$, and $d_3$ are the parameters related to the detonation velocity of explosive; $l$ is the charge length; $GSI$ is the geological strength index; $D$ is the scaled distance; $W$ is the unit weight.

The rock mass with layered structure in nature accounts for 2/3 of the land surface. Most underground projects are related to layered rock mass. The mechanism of cut blasting and the propagation law of blasting vibration waves in layered rock mass with different physical and mechanical properties are very complex. The above research results are generally put forward on the assumption that the propagation medium is simplified to the same type of rock mass, which have great limitations when directly applied to blasting engineering in layered rock mass of different types and thicknesses. The existing models of blasting vibration caused by cut blasting have large prediction errors in practical underground engineering applications, so they cannot effectively optimize blasting parameters and control blasting vibration.

In this paper, a new method for predicting the vibration velocity caused by multihole cut blasting in layered rock mass is proposed. The key steps of the method include determining the equivalent elastic boundary and load, establishing the multidegree freedom model of blasting vibration and its motion differential equation, and solving the motion differential equation by time-history analysis method. The effectiveness and accuracy of the proposed method are proved by the field test results. This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the equivalent elastic boundary and load of single-hole and multihole cut blasting are determined, respectively. In Section 3, the multidegree freedom model of blasting vibration and its motion differential equation are established, and the predicted blasting vibration waves are obtained by time-history analysis method. In Section 4, the field test is carried out to verify the proposed method. In Section 5, the main conclusions are summarized and discussed.

### 2. Determination of Equivalent Elastic Load of Multihole Cut Blasting

#### 2.1. Equivalent Elastic Load of Single-Hole Cut Blasting

When the cut blasting occurs inside the rock mass, the rock mass around the cut hole will be damaged to varying degrees. Taking the cut hole as the centre, it can be divided into the crushed zone, fracture zone, and elastic vibration zone from near to far according to the damage degrees of the rock mass. The diagram of blasting action zones is shown in Figure 1. First, the strong shock wave and the gas with high temperature and high pressure produced by the blasting operation act on the hole wall to form the crushing zone. With the attenuation of the shock wave to stress wave, radial and circumferential fractures are produced in surrounding rock mass, forming the fracture zone. When the stress wave propagates to the rock mass outside the fracture zone, it can only cause the rock particles to vibrate elastically until the energy is completely absorbed by the rock, and the corresponding zone is the elastic vibration zone.

Based on the unified constitutive relation of continuum mechanics, the boundary of the fracture zone and elastic vibration zone is regarded as the equivalent elastic boundary, and the blasting load propagating to the equivalent elastic boundary is regarded as the equivalent elastic load. For single-hole cut blasting in semi-infinite rock mass, the expression of the equivalent elastic load is as follows [24]:

\[ \text{Equivalent Elastic Load} = \ldots \]
According to CJ theory of the detonation wave for condensed explosive, the expression of the detonation pressure is as follows [26, 27]:

\[ P_0 = \frac{\rho_d D^2}{(1 + \gamma)} \]  

where \( D \) is the detonation velocity of explosive; \( \rho_d \) is the density of explosive; \( \gamma \) is the isentropic index of explosive; generally, \( \gamma = 3 \).

For the case of coupled charge, the shock wave in rock mass is considered to be the transmission wave of the detonation wave when the explosive is in full contact with the hole wall. According to the propagation law of the shock wave in two different media, the transmission coefficient of the detonation wave can be calculated as follows [28]:

\[ T = \frac{2\rho C_p}{\rho C_p + \rho_d D} \]  

where \( \rho \) is the density of rock mass.

Considering the cases of both coupled charge and uncoupled charge, the expression of the peak pressure on the hole wall is as follows:

\[ P_{\text{max}} = \frac{\tau (\rho C_p + \rho_d D)}{\rho C_p + \rho_d D} \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{2\gamma} \]  

where \( a \) is the charge diameter; \( b \) is the hole diameter.

Under certain conditions, the explosive first excites the shock wave in rock mass and then attenuates into the stress wave. With the increase of distance, the peak stress will decrease rapidly. The relationship between the peak pressure and distance can be expressed as [27]

\[ \sigma_r = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{\tau^\beta}, \]  

where \( \tau \) is the scaled distance, \( r = (r/r_0) \) and \( r \) is the distance from the measuring point to the charge centre; \( \beta \) is the attenuation index, \( \beta = 2 + (\mu/1 - \mu) \) for the shock wave and \( \beta = 2 - (\mu/1 - \mu) \) for the stress wave.
Research shows that the crushed zone under blasting is the result of compression failure of rock mass, and the fracture zone is the result of tension failure of rock mass. The following expressions can be derived:

\[
\sigma_{cd} = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{(r_1/r_0)^{1/2+\mu(1-\mu)}}
\]

\[
\frac{\sigma_{td}}{\lambda} = \frac{\sigma_{cd}}{(r_2/r_1)^{1/2+\mu(1-\mu)}}
\]

where \(\sigma_{cd}\) and \(\sigma_{td}\) are dynamic compressive strength and dynamic tensile strength of rock mass, respectively; \(\lambda = (\mu/1-\mu)\).

Then the radius of the crushed zone and fracture zone under single-hole cut blasting can be obtained:

\[
r_1 = \left(\frac{P_{\text{max}}}{\sigma_{cd}}\right)^{1/2+\mu(1-\mu)} r_0,
\]

\[
r_2 = \left[\frac{\mu\sigma_{cd}}{(1-\mu)\sigma_{td}}\right]^{1/2-\mu(1-\mu)} r_1.
\]

By substituting equations (2), (5), (8), and (9) into equation (1), the equivalent elastic load of single-hole cut blasting can be obtained.

2.2. Equivalent Elastic Load of Multihole Cut Blasting. Without considering the interaction of each hole, the blasting of each cut hole can be regarded as the blasting of a cylindrical charge in semi-infinite medium. So the envelope of the fracture zone caused by multiple cylindrical charges can be regarded as the equivalent elastic boundary of multihole cut blasting. In this paper, the minimum envelope circle of the fracture zones caused by all the cut holes is used as the equivalent elastic boundary of multihole cut blasting. The equivalent elastic boundary diagrams of several typical cut hole arrangements are shown in Figure 2.

Multihole cut blasting includes multihole simultaneous cut blasting and multihole millisecond cut blasting. For multihole simultaneous cut blasting, considering the superposition effect of the stress waves generated by the simultaneous explosion of each cut hole on the equivalent elastic boundary, the equivalent elastic load is expressed as follows:

![Figure 2: Equivalent elastic boundary diagrams of several typical cut hole arrangements. (a) Conical cut holes. (b) Wedge cut holes. (c) Spiral cut holes. (d) Bucket cut holes.](image-url)
\[ P_E(t) = \frac{N_0 r_e^2}{r_e^2} P_e(t), \]  

where \( N \) is the number of cut holes and \( r_e \) is the radius of the equivalent elastic boundary.

\[
P_E(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{r_e^2}{r_e^2} N_1 p_e(t), & 0 \leq t < \tau_1, \\ \frac{r_e^2}{r_e^2} [N_1 p_e(t) + N_2 p_e(t - \tau_1)], & \tau_1 \leq t < \tau_2, \\ \vdots & \\ \frac{r_e^2}{r_e^2} [N_1 p_e(t) + N_2 p_e(t - \tau_1) + \cdots + N_k p_e(t - \tau_{k-1})], & t \geq \tau_{k-1}, \end{cases}
\]

where \( k \) is the number of millisecond blasting sections; \( N_k \) is the delay time between the \((k-1)\)-th blasting section and the previous section; and \( \tau_1 = 0 \), when \( k = 2 \).

3. A New Method for Predicting Blasting Vibration Velocity of Layered Rock Mass under Multihole Cut Blasting

3.1. Multidegree Freedom Model of Blasting Vibration of Layered Rock Mass. Layered rock mass is one of the most common rock masses in underground engineering. Because of its obvious layered interface, the mechanical properties of layered rock mass under blasting load are obviously different from ordinary rock mass. In order to simplify the model, the following assumptions are made when establishing the blasting vibration model of layered rock mass:

(1) The blasting load is the only external excitation load, ignoring the change of hole-wall load on the hole axis and other loads

(2) The rock mass shows arc-shaped horizontal distribution, and the rock mass of the same layer is homogeneous and intact, only considering the influence of the interface between different layers

(3) Under the action of blasting load, the deformation of rock mass outside the equivalent elastic boundary completely satisfies Hooke’s law

(4) Only the movement of layered rock mass in the vertical direction is considered

Based on the above assumptions, the layered rock mass with circular distribution of equivalent elastic load is equivalent to a multilayer elastic half-space system composed of different rock layers with different thickness, as shown in Figure 3. The rock layers where the blasting source is located is marked as \( L_0 \), and the upward rock layers are marked as \( L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n \). For multihole millisecond cut blasting, considering the delay time between each blasting section, the equivalent elastic load is expressed as follows:

\[
F_e(t) = m_i \frac{r_e}{r_{10}} \left( \frac{r_e}{r_{10}} \right)^{2(\mu(1-\mu) \pi r_{10}^2/2)},
\]

respectively, whose heights are marked as \( h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n \), respectively. For convenience of calculation, a part of the layered rock mass, symmetrically along the 45° range of the central axis through the centre of the equivalent elastic boundary, is taken as the modelling object. One unit is taken as the thickness of the model object. Each rock layer is regarded as a particle, and its gravity load is concentrated on the mass centre. Assuming that these particles are supported on the ground by weightless elastic straight rods, a multidegree freedom model of blasting vibration of layered rock mass is constructed, as shown in Figure 4.

The external excitation load of the multilayer elastic half-space system is the equivalent elastic load of multihole cut blasting propagating to the interface of rock layers \( L_0 \) and \( L_1 \), which is recorded as \( F_e(t) \) and has the following expression:

\[
m_i = \frac{1}{4} (r_{1i}^2 - r_{i-1}^2) \rho_i,
\]

where \( r_{1i} \) is the distance from the interface of rock layers \( L_i \) and \( L_{i+1} \) to the centre of the equivalent elastic boundary of multihole cut blasting.

In the multidegree freedom model of blasting vibration of layered rock mass, the weight of each layer of rock mass is

3.2. Prediction of Blasting Vibration Velocity of Layered Rock Mass. According to D’Alembert’s principle [30, 31], the following motion differential equation for the multidegree freedom model of layered rock mass under multihole cut blasting is established:
method is shown in Figure 5. The basic principle of the concentrated impulse method is described as follows \[32\]. It is assumed that \( x(t_i), \dot{x}(t_i), \text{and} \ddot{x}(t_i) \) are, respectively, used to represent the displacement, velocity, and acceleration responses of each rock layer caused by vibration load at the moment of \( t_i \) \((i = 0, 1, ..., s, \ldots)\).

The initial conditions at \( t_0 \) are

\[ x(t_0) = 0, \]
\[ \dot{x}(t_0) = 0, (t_0) = 0. \]

The recurrence formula of the displacement is

\[ x(t_{i+1}) = 2x(t_i) - x(t_{i-1}) + \ddot{x}(t_i)(\Delta t)^2, \]

where \( \Delta t \) is the time step. Assuming that the acceleration changes in a straight line from \( t^*_0 \) to \( t_1 \), the following formula can be deduced:

\[ x(t_1) = \int_{t^*_0}^{t_1} \ddot{x}(t)dt = \frac{1}{6} [2\ddot{x}(t_0) + \ddot{x}(t_1)](\Delta t)^2 = \frac{1}{6} \dddot{x}(t_1)(\Delta t)^2. \]

The velocity at \( t_1 \) is approximately expressed as

\[ \dot{x}(t_1) = \frac{x(t_1) - x(t_{i-1})}{\Delta t} + \ddot{x}(t_1)\frac{\Delta t}{2}. \]

By solving formulas (14) (at \( t_1 \)), (17), and (19), \( x(t_i), \dot{x}(t_i), \text{and} \ddot{x}(t_i) \) of any layer \( m_i \) can be obtained. Then, \( x(t_i) \) can be obtained by formula (19). By cycling formulas (16), (14), and (18) in chronological order, all the \( x(t_i), \dot{x}(t_i), \) and \( \ddot{x}(t_i) \) of any layer \( m_i \) from the second moment \( (t_2) \) to the last will be solved. The velocity response of the top layer is the predicted blasting vibration velocity of layered rock mass at the ground surface corresponding to the centre of the cut holes.

4. Verification of the Proposed Method

4.1. An Overview of the Engineering Example. The drilling and blasting method was used in the construction section of a shallow metro tunnel in layered rock mass. To prove the effectiveness and accuracy of the proposed method for predicting blasting vibration velocity of layered rock mass under multihole cut blasting by the field test results, two field tests of cone cut blasting were carried out in the construction site. Emulsion explosive is used with the explosive density of 1200 kg/m³ and the detonation velocity of 3200 m/s. The specific schemes of the two field tests are as follows.

Test 1. Three cut holes are arranged in an equilateral triangle, the hole distance is 35 cm, the hole diameter is 40 mm, the charge diameter is 32 mm, the hole depth is...
1.1 m, the weight of single-hole charge is 0.20 kg, and the three cut holes are detonated simultaneously.

Test 2. Four cut holes are arranged in a square, the hole distance is 40 cm, the hole diameter is 40 mm, the coupled charge mode is adopted, the hole depth is 1.1 m, an empty hole with a diameter of 80 mm is arranged in the centre of the cut holes, the weight of single-hole charge is 0.40 kg, two cut holes are detonated simultaneously, and the delay time is 50 ms.

The layout of cut holes and the distribution of rock layers are shown in Figure 6. The type of the rock layer where the cut holes are located is granite. The density of granite is 2400 kg/m$^3$, the P-wave velocity is 5500 m/s, Poisson’s ratio is 0.22, the dynamic compressive strength is 2000 MPa, and the dynamic tensile strength is 180 MPa. In the two field tests, the distance from the interface of rock layers $L_0$ and $L_1$ to the centre of cut holes is 5 m. The values of relevant parameters of each rock layer in the upper part of granite are shown in Table 2.

The main characteristic parameters of all blasting vibration waves are obtained. In Test 1, the peak velocity and dominant frequency of the predicted blasting vibration wave are 1.14 cm/s and 36.7 Hz, respectively, and the peak velocity and dominant frequency of the measured blasting vibration wave are 1.23 cm/s and 42.6 Hz, respectively. In Test 2, the peak velocity and dominant frequency of the predicted blasting vibration wave are 2.89 cm/s and 41.5 Hz, respectively, and the peak velocity and dominant frequency of the measured blasting vibration wave are 3.09 cm/s and 44.2 Hz, respectively. By using the proposed method, the prediction accuracy for the peak velocity of blasting vibration in the two tests is 93% and 94%, respectively, and the prediction accuracy for the dominant frequency of blasting vibration in the two tests is 86% and 94%, respectively. It can be proved that the method proposed in this paper is feasible in effectiveness and accuracy, which can provide important theoretical guidance for the optimization of blasting design and the control of blasting vibration in underground engineering in layered rock mass.

4.2. Result Analysis. Based on the proposed method of blasting vibration velocity of layered rock mass under multi-hole cut blasting, the predicted results of blasting vibration velocity at the ground surface corresponding to the centre of the cut holes in the two field tests were obtained. The comparison of time-history curves of the predicted and measured blasting vibration velocity is shown in Figure 7.

As can be seen from Figure 7, the time-history curves of blasting vibration velocity predicted by the proposed method can reflect the characteristics and attenuation law of blasting vibration waves, and the predicted waveforms are similar to the measured waveforms.

4.3. Discussion. Compared with the existing models based on simplifying layered rock mass to single rock mass, the method proposed in this paper, which is based on simplifying layered rock mass to multilayer rock mass of different types and thicknesses, is closer to the actual model. Using the proposed method, not only can the specific blasting vibration waves be predicted, but also the main characteristic parameters of blasting vibration can be accurately predicted.

However, it can be also found that the predicted values are generally lower than the measured values by comparing the time-history curves and main characteristic parameters of the predicted and measured blasting vibration velocity. Presumably, the main reasons are as follows:

1. In establishing the equivalent elastic load of multi-hole cut blasting, the load in a certain range near the cut holes is applied as a uniform load on the equivalent elastic boundary, but in fact, the load in the centre of the cut holes is the most concentrated and intense

2. The cut holes have been treated as straight cut holes, but in fact, the angle between the cut holes and the excavation face is not 90°

3. The layered rock mass is considered to be in arc-shaped horizontal distribution and the rock mass of the same layer is considered to be homogeneous and intact, but this is not the case

4. Part of the parameters used in the predicted method are selected according to empirical values
5. Conclusions

(1) A new method for predicting the blasting vibration velocity of layered rock mass under multihole cut blasting is proposed in this paper. The detailed steps of the method include the following: calculate the peak pressure on the hole wall of a single cut hole; construct the blasting load acting on the hole wall of a single cut hole; calculate the radius of the crushed zone and fracture zone under single-hole cut blasting; calculate the equivalent elastic load of single-hole cut blasting; determine the equivalent elastic boundary and load of multihole cut blasting; establish the multidegree freedom model of blasting vibration of layered rock mass; establish the motion differential equation of the multidegree freedom model of blasting vibration; solve the motion differential equation by time-history analysis method.

(2) Two field tests of multihole cut blasting were carried out with different schemes. The field test results show that the time-history curves of blasting vibration velocity predicted by the proposed method can reflect the characteristics and attenuation law of blasting vibration waves, and the predicted waveforms are similar to the measured waveforms. In addition, the prediction accuracy of the main characteristic parameters of blasting vibration waves is high. So the prediction method proposed in this paper is feasible in effectiveness and accuracy, which can provide important theoretical guidance for the optimization of blasting design and the control of blasting vibration in underground engineering in layered rock mass.

(3) The purpose of this paper is to try to put forward a prediction method suitable for blasting excavation engineering in layered rock mass. It should be noted...
that the feasibility of the proposed method needs more tests to verify. In this paper, the equivalent elastic load, the angle between the cut holes and the excavation face, the mechanical parameters of layered rock mass, and other problems are simplified. The actual engineering conditions in layered rock mass will be more complex, and more reasonable prediction methods considering more practical factors need to be further developed on this basis.
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