An Efficient Numerical Technique for Solving Time-Fractional Generalized Fisher’s Equation
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This paper extends the existing Fisher’s equation by adding the source term and generalizing the degree \( \beta \) of the non-linear part. A numerical solution of a modified Fisher’s equation for different values of \( \beta \) using the cubic B-spline collocation scheme is also investigated. The fractional derivative in a time dimension is discretized in Caputo’s form based on the \( L_1 \) formula, while cubic B-spline basis functions are used to interpolate the spatial derivative. The non-linear part in the model is linearized by the modified formula. The efficiency of the proposed scheme is examined by simulating four test examples with different initial and boundary conditions. The effect of different parameters is discussed and presented in tables and graphics form. Moreover, by using the Von Neumann stability formula, the proposed scheme is shown to be unconditionally stable. The results of error norms reflect that the present scheme is suitable for non-linear time fractional differential equations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus-based models have been used in different fields of engineering and science. In the last few years, fractional differential equations have been widely used. The main advantage of using fractional order differential equation is its non-local property in mathematical modeling. During the twentieth century, the authors [1–3] added a significant amount of research in the area of fractional calculus. The applications can be seen in different branches of science and engineering, such as finance [4], nano-technology [5], electrodynamics [6], and visco-elasticity. Fisher’s equation is commonly used in epidemics and bacteria, branching Brownian motion, neolithic transitions and chemical kinetics [7–9]. The spatial and temporal propagation of a virile gene in an infinite medium has been explained by Fisher [10]. Several numerical methods for differential equations with Riemann-Liouville and Caputo sense fractional order derivatives have been applied and analyzed [11–13].

The time-fractional Fisher’s equation used in Baranwal et al. [14] has been modified in this paper in two different ways: (1) by introducing the source term or (2) by generalizing the non-linear power.
The Caputo derivative is discretized by the linear dispersive equations by Abede-Rady et al. [19]. The transform method has been applied for solving fractional non-uniform for the construction of craniofacial fractures.

In this work, we have presented a cubic B-spline (CBS) algorithm for numerical simulation of the time-fractional generalization of Fisher’s equation. Caputo’s time fractional derivative is used based on the L1 scheme has been discretized by finite difference formula, whereas spatial derivatives are discretized by CBS functions. The present approach is novel for the numerical results of fractional order PDEs and, to the best of our knowledge, any spline solution of the time-fractional generalization of Fisher’s equation has never yet been studied. Moreover, this scheme is equally effective for homogeneous and non-homogeneous boundary conditions.

This article has been presented in the following manner. Section 2 evolves a brief description of temporal discretization, cubic B-spline functions and spatial discretization. In section 4, the stability of the proposed algorithm has been discussed. The discussion on numerical results of four test problems has been reported in section 5. Concluding remarks of this work are given in section 6.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

Let us consider the interval \([a, b]\) is sub divided into \(N\) finite elements of equal spacing \(h\) determined by the knots \(\tau_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N\) such that \(a = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 \ldots < \tau_{N-1} < \tau_N = b\). The cubic B-spline basis function at the grid points is defined as

\[
\phi_j(r) = \frac{1}{6h^3} \begin{cases} 
(r - \tau_j)^3, & \text{if } r \in [\tau_j, \tau_{j+1}], \\
+3h(r - \tau_j)^2 - 3(r - \tau_{j+1})^2, & \text{if } r \in [\tau_{j+1}, \tau_{j+2}], \\
h^3 + 3h^2(r - \tau_{j+1}) - 3h(r - \tau_{j+1})^2, & \text{if } r \in [\tau_{j+2}, \tau_{j+3}], \\
+3h(r_{j+3} - \tau_{j+3})^2 - 3h(r_{j+3} - r)^2, & \text{if } r \in [\tau_{j+3}, \tau_{j+4}], \\
(r_{j+4} - r)^3, & \text{if } r \in [\tau_{j+3}, \tau_{j+4}]. 
\end{cases}
\]  

From the above basis, the approximation solution \(Z_N(r, t)\) can be written in terms of linear combination of cubic B-spline base function as follows

\[
Z_N(r, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \mathcal{T}_j(t) \phi_j(r),
\]  

where \(\mathcal{T}_j(t)\)'s are the unknowns to be determined. Four consecutive cubic B-splines are used to construct each element \([\tau_j, \tau_{j+1}]\). The values of cubic B-splines and its derivatives at the
nodal points are given in Table 1. The variation of $Z_N(r, t)$ over the typical component $\{r_j, r_{j+1}\}$ is given by

$$Z_N(r_j, t_n) = \sum_{m=j-1}^{j+1} Y_m(t) \phi_m(r_n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

By plugging the approximation values given in Table 1 into Equation (2.3) at $(r_j, t_n)$, the Equation (1.1) yields the following set of fractional order ordinary differential equations.

$$[(Y_j^{-1}(t) + 4 Y_j^2(t) + Y_{j+1}^1(t))/6 - \frac{1}{h^2} \{Y_j - 2 Y_j + Y_{j+1}\}
- [(Y_j - 4 Y_j + Y_{j+1})/6][1 - ((Y_j - 4 Y_j + Y_{j+1})/6) \beta]
\equiv f(r_j, t_n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

Here, $\beta$ represents the order fractional derivative with respect to time. After some simplification, a recurrence relation for Equation (1.1) with $\beta = 3$ can be written as

$$Y_j^{-1} \left[ \frac{\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{2h^2} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{1296}(T_m)^3 \right]
+ Y_j^1 \left[ \frac{4\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{1296}(T_m)^3 \right]
+ Y_j^2 \left[ \frac{\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{2h^2} - \frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{1296}(T_m)^3 \right]
= Y_{j-1} \left[ \frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2h^2} + \frac{1}{12} \right] + Y_j^1 \left[ \frac{4\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{3} \right]
+ Y_{j+1} \left[ \frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2h^2} + \frac{1}{12} \right] - \frac{1}{1296}(T_m)^4 + f(r_j, t_n)
- \left( \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k \{(Y_j^{-k+1}) - Y_j^{-k+1}\} + 4(Y_j^{-k-1} - Y_j^{-k})
+ (Y_{j+1}^{-k-1} - Y_{j+1}^{-k})/6 \right) \rho_{\Delta t}^{n+1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

where $\lambda_k = [(k + 1)^{\alpha} - k^{\alpha} - 1]$, $T_m = Y_{j-1}^n + 4 Y_j^n + Y_{j+1}^n$, $\gamma = \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}$. Moreover, the truncation error $\rho_{\Delta t}^{n+1}$ is bounded as

$$|\rho_{\Delta t}^{n+1}| \leq \sigma (\Delta t)^{2-\alpha},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

where $\sigma$ is a real constant.

Lemma 2.1. The coefficients $\lambda_k$ in (2.5) possess the following characteristics [31]:

- $\lambda_k > 0$ and $\lambda_0 = 1$, $k = 1 : n$,
- $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > ... > \lambda_k > 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Equation (2.5) is modified as

$$Y_j^{-n+1} \alpha_0 + Y_j^{-n+1} \alpha_1 + Y_j^{-n+1} \alpha_0 = Y_j^{-n} \alpha_1 + Y_j^{-n} \alpha_2
+ Y_j^{-n+1}(1) - \frac{1}{1296}(T_m)^4 + f(r_j, t_n)
- \left( \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k \{(Y_j^{-k+1}) - Y_j^{-k+1}\} + 4(Y_j^{-k-1} - Y_j^{-k})
+ (Y_{j+1}^{-k-1} - Y_{j+1}^{-k})/6 \right) \rho_{\Delta t}^{n+1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

where $\alpha_0 = \frac{\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{2h^2} - \frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{1296}(T_m)^3$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{4\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{1296}(T_m)^3$, $n_1 = \frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2h^2} + \frac{1}{12}$ and

$$n_2 = \frac{4\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{3}$$

From (2.7), the system of $N + 1$ linear equation with $N + 3$ unknown parameters $(T_{-1}, T_0, Y_1, ..., Y_{N+1})^T$ can be obtained. To acquire unique solution of the system, two extra equations are needed. For this purpose, given boundary conditions are used. Thus, the system of linear equations for expression (2.7) becomes

$$P^2 Y^n = Q Y^n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_0
\end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

3. INITIAL VECTOR

For the initial vector, the initial and boundary conditions of the problem under consideration will help to compute the initial vector $Y^0 = (Y_{-1}^0, Y_0^0, Y_1^0, ..., Y_{N+1}^0)^T$. The approximation (2.2) therefore becomes

$$Z_N(r, 0) = \sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} Y_j(0) \phi_j(r).$$

To determine $Y^0$, the approximation for the derivatives of the initial and boundary conditions is as follows [32]:

- $(Z_R)^j = g'(r_j)$ for $j = 0, N$,
- $(Z_R)^j = g(r_j)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$

This gives the following $(N + 3) \times (N + 3)$ matrix system:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{2h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
Y_0^0 \\
Y_1^0 \\
Y_2^0 \\
\vdots \\
Y_{N+1}^0
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
g'(r_0) \\
g'(r_1) \\
g'(r_2) \\
\vdots \\
g'(r_N)
\end{pmatrix}.$$
4. STABILITY ANALYSIS

The von Neumann analysis is frequently used to determine the requirements of stability, as it is usually simple to apply in a simple way. The solution in single Fourier mode is defined as

$$\Upsilon^e_j = \Upsilon^e k e^{i\gamma jh},$$

(4.1)

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. The approximation solution of generalized Fisher's equation (2.7) can be written as

$$\Upsilon_{j-1}^{n+1}(\alpha_0) + \Upsilon_j^{n+1}(\alpha_1) + \Upsilon_{j+1}^{n+1}(\alpha_0) = \Upsilon_j^n(n_1) + \Upsilon_j^n(n_2)$$

$$+ \Upsilon_j^n(n_3) - \frac{1}{1296}(T_m^4) + f(r, t)$$

$$+ f(r_j, t_n) - \gamma \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^e \left[ (\Upsilon_{j-k+1}^{n-k} - \Upsilon_{j-k-1}^{n-k}) + 4(\Upsilon_{j-k+1}^{n-k} - \Upsilon_{j-k}^{n-k} + (\Upsilon_{j-k}^{n-k} - \Upsilon_{j-k+1}^{n-k}))/6 \right].$$

(4.2)

where $n_1 = \frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2h^2} + \frac{1}{12}$, $n_2 = \frac{4\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{3}{3}$, $n_3 = \frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2h^2} + \frac{1}{12}T_m = \Upsilon_{j-1}^n + 4\Upsilon_j^n + \Upsilon_{j+1}^n$.

Substituting (4.1) into (4.2), we get

$$\Upsilon^{k+1} \left[ \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}(\alpha_0) + \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}(\alpha_1) + \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}(\alpha_0) \right]$$

$$= \Upsilon^k \left[ \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}(n_1) + \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}(n_2) + \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}(n_3) \right] - \frac{1}{1296}(T_m^4) + f(r, t)$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^e \left[ (\Upsilon_{n-k+1} \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)} - \Upsilon_{n-k} \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}) + 4(\Upsilon_{n-k+1} \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)} - \Upsilon_{n-k} \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}) + (\Upsilon_{n-k} \epsilon_{\nu}^{j(1-h)}) / 6 \right].$$

By inserting values of $\alpha_0$, $\alpha_1$ and $n_1$, $n_2$, $n_3$ in above expression, we have

$$\Upsilon^{k+1} = \Upsilon^k \left[ 1 \left( \cos \gamma \eta + 3 \right) + \frac{\alpha}{6} \left( 4 \cos \gamma \eta - 6 \right) + \frac{1}{k^2} \left( \cos \gamma \eta + 2 \right) \right] - \frac{1}{1296}(T_m^4) e^{-i\gamma \eta \eta} + f(r, t) e^{-i\gamma \eta}$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{(\cos \gamma \eta + 3) - \frac{1}{6} \gamma \eta \eta} \left[ 2 \cos \gamma \eta + 4(\Upsilon^{n-1} - \Upsilon^{n-1}) \right]$$

$$\frac{\gamma}{(\cos \gamma \eta + 3) - \frac{1}{6} \gamma \eta \eta} \left[ 2 \cos \gamma \eta + 2 \gamma \eta \eta \right] (T_m^4) (\cos \gamma \eta + 3).$$

The applied scheme is stable if augment factor $|\Upsilon^{k+1}| \leq 1$, and, from the above expression, we can observe that value of numerator is lesser than denominator for the values of $\gamma, \eta, h$. The scheme become unstable as the approximations grows in magnitude.

$$\Upsilon^{k+1} \leq \Upsilon^k,$$

$$\Upsilon^{k+1} \leq 1.$$

The above result thus reflects that scheme is unconditionally stable.

5. APPLICATIONS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents some examples with different initial and boundary conditions. The numerical results are presented graphically and numerically in figures and tables. The error norms $L^2$ and $L^\infty$ are computed to analyze the precision of the suggested technique as

$$L^2 = \| Z_{\text{exact}} - Z_{\text{approx}} \|_\infty = \sqrt{\frac{1}{h n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \| (Z_j)_{\text{exact}} - (Z_j)_{\text{approx}} \|^2},$$

$$L^\infty = \| Z_{\text{exact}} - Z_{\text{approx}} \|_\infty = \max_j \| (Z_j)_{\text{exact}} - (Z_j)_{\text{approx}} \|.$$

In this manuscript we used, MATLAB 2015b on Intel(R) CORE(TM) i5 CPU with 8GB RAM and 64-bit operating system (window 7) for numerical simulations.

Example 5.1. Consider the fractional order Fisher’s equation (1.1) for $\beta = 3$ subject to

$$\frac{\partial^\beta Z(r, t)}{\partial t^\beta} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 Z(r, t)}{\partial r^2} - Z(r, t)(1 - Z^2(r, t)) = f(r, t).$$

$$IC: \ Z(0, t) = e^{2t}, \ Z(1, t) = 0, \ t \geq 0.$$

$$BCs: \ Z(0, t) = e^{2t}, \ Z(1, t) = 0, \ t \geq 0.$$

and the source term

$$f(r, t) = \exp(2r)(1 - r^2)\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)}{(1 + \alpha)} - 2\nu e^{2t}(1 - 4r - 2r^2) \exp(2t)$$

$$- [r^2(1 - r^2) \exp(2t)](1 - (r^2(1 - r^2) \exp(2t))^3).$$
The approximate solution (2.3) can be written in piecewise form:

\[
Z(r, t_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j \left( r^{3j-3} - r^{3j-2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j \left( r^{3j-1} - r^{3j} \right),
\]

\[
Z_N(r, t) = \begin{cases}  
 r^3 - 0.64r^2 - 0.805r + 0.99997, & r \in [0, 0.1), \\
0.56667r^3 - 0.51r^2 - 0.818r + 1.0004, & r \in [0.1, 0.2), \\
16.75r^3 - 10.22r^2 + 1.124r + 0.87093, & r \in [0.2, 0.3), \\
0.11667r^3 - 0.195r^2 - 0.8945r + 1.0068, & r \in [0.3, 0.4), \\
-0.033333r^3 - 0.015r^2 - 0.9665r + 1.0165, & r \in [0.4, 0.5), \\
-0.066667r^3 + 0.035r^2 - 0.9915r + 1.0206, & r \in [0.5, 0.6), \\
-0.05r^3 + 0.005r^2 - 0.9735r + 1.017, & r \in [0.6, 0.7), \\
-0.033333r^3 - 0.03r^2 - 0.949r + 1.0113, & r \in [0.7, 0.8), \\
-3546.6r^3 + 8511.7r^2 - 6810.3r + 1816.8, & r \in [0.8, 0.9), \\
10640.0r^3 - 29793.0r^2 + 27664.0r - 8525.4, & r \in [0.9, 1]. 
\end{cases}
\]

The exact solution of (5.1) is \(Z(r, t) = t^{2\beta}(1 - r^2) \exp(2r)\).

Figures 1, 2 explores the comparison of CBS solution with exact solution for Example 5.1 for different parameters. Figure 1A shows the 2-dimensional preview of approximate and exact results for \(t = 0.25\) with \(\alpha = 0.95\), \(h = 0.01\), \(\Delta t = 0.0003\) and \(\nu = 1\). The graph illustrates that exact and approximate outcomes are indiscriminately similar to each other. Figure 1B cites the action of solution obtained for Equation (5.1) with \(\alpha = 0.95\), \(h = 0.01\), \(\nu = 1\) and for various time steps \(t = 0.5, 0.75\), and \(1\) with \(\Delta t = 0.0003\). It is clear from the graph that both solutions are overlapping. Three dimensional preview has been given in Figure 2. While the influence of \(\alpha\) has been discussed for distinct Brownian motion, i.e, \(\alpha = 0.25, 0.5\), and \(0.98\) in Figure 3. It can be observed that as the value of \(\alpha\) increases, the solution profile decreases and as \(\alpha \to 1\), the numerical solution tends to overlap the exact solution. The comparison of numerical and exact outcomes is expressed in Table 2, which shows that both results are consistent with each other and are accurate up to 5 decimal places. The numerical results for \(\alpha\) variation is presented in Table 3. It is clear from tabular data that both results strongly agree with each other, and the accuracy of the scheme is examined by the error norms as shown in Table 4.

Example 5.2. The fractional order Fisher’s equation (1.1) for \(\beta = 3\) can be written as:

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha Z(r, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 Z(r, t)}{\partial r^2} - Z(r, t)(1 - Z^2(r, t)) = f(r, t). \tag{5.4}
\]
The source term is
\[ f(r, t) = \frac{2r^2t^{2-\alpha} \exp(2r)}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} - 2\nu(1+t^2)(1+4r+2r^2) \exp(2r) - [(1+t^2)r^2 \exp(2r)] [1-((1+t^2)r^2 \exp(2r))] \].

The Exact solution of Example 5.2 is
\[ Z(r, t) = (1+t^2)^2 \exp(2r) \].

**TABLE 2 |** The comparison of results for Example 5.1 at different time level.

| \( \alpha = 0.25 \) | \( \alpha = 0.5 \) | \( \alpha = 0.98 \) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Exact            | Approximate       | Exact            | Approximate       | Exact            | Approximate       |
| 0.5              | 0.5               | 0.75             | 0.75             | 1                | 1                |
| 0.5              | 0.5               | 0.89063992      | 0.89067630      | 1.18751990      | 1.18751870      |
| 0.70474800       | 0.70474410        | 1.05712208      | 1.05712430      | 1.40949610      | 1.40949430      |
| 0.81791620       | 0.81791360        | 1.22687444      | 1.22687140      | 1.63583210      | 1.63583120      |
| 0.92483510       | 0.92483210        | 1.38725275      | 1.38725640      | 1.84967040      | 1.84967650      |
| 1.01236010       | 1.01235370        | 1.51854022      | 1.51855310      | 2.02472040      | 2.02472760      |
| 1.06076320       | 1.06075320        | 1.59114490      | 1.59114560      | 2.12152610      | 2.12152150      |
| 1.04122524       | 1.04122550        | 1.56183822      | 1.56183130      | 2.08245010      | 2.08245890      |
| 0.91248989       | 0.91247640        | 1.36873341      | 1.36873350      | 1.82497710      | 1.82497950      |
| 0.61640850       | 0.61644320        | 0.92461286      | 0.92461207      | 1.23281710      | 1.23281410      |

with

\[ IC: \quad Z(r, 0) = r^2 \exp(2r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1. \]

**TABLE 3 |** The comparison of results for Example 5.1 at different values of \( \alpha \) and \( t = 0.5 \).

| \( \alpha \) | Exact | Approximate |
|----------|-------|-------------|
| 0.25     | 0.7071043 | 0.5 |
| 0.8397033 | 0.5937599 | 0.25 |
| 0.9866642 | 0.7047480 | 0.5 |
| 1.1567083 | 0.8179163 | 0.25 |
| 1.3079144 | 0.9248351 | 0.5 |
| 1.4316934 | 1.0123601 | 0.25 |
| 1.5001458 | 1.0607532 | 0.5 |
| 1.4725151 | 1.0412254 | 0.25 |
| 1.2904542 | 0.9124898 | 0.5 |
| 0.8717333 | 0.6116408 | 0.25 |
| 0.0  | 0  | 0  |

**TABLE 4 |** Computation of error norms for Example 5.1.

| \( t \) | \( L^2 \) norm | \( L^\infty \) norm | CPU time |
|--------|----------------|---------------------|----------|
| 0.5    | 3.923 \times 10^{-6} | 3.470 \times 10^{-5} | 0.0821   |
| 0.75   | 2.900 \times 10^{-3} | 3.638 \times 10^{-5} | 0.1201   |
| 1      | 1.489 \times 10^{-6} | 8.900 \times 10^{-6} | 0.1601   |

The approximate solution (2.3) can be written in piecewise form:

\[ Z(r, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{3} \phi_{3j-1}(r) + \sum_{j=0}^{3} \phi_{3j-2}(r) + \sum_{j=0}^{3} \phi_{3j-1}(r) + \sum_{j=0}^{3} \phi_{3j}(r), \quad r \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]. \tag{5.5} \]
Example 5.3. For $\beta = 2$, the time fractional Fisher’s equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha Z(r, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 Z(r, t)}{\partial r^2} - Z(r, t)(1 - Z^2(r, t)) = f(r, t).$$

(5.7)

**IC**: $Z(r, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < r < 1,$

**BCs**: $Z(0, t) = 0, \quad Z(1, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0.$

The source term

$$f(r, t) = \frac{24t^4 - \alpha}{\Gamma(5 - \alpha)} \sin(2\pi r) + 4\pi^2 t^4 \sin(2\pi r) - (t^4 \sin(2\pi r))(1 - t^4 \sin(2\pi r)).$$

Exact solution for above conditions is

$$Z(r, t) = t^4 \sin(2\pi r).$$
Thus, the approximate solution (2.3) can be written in piecewise form:

\[
Z(r, t_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j (r) \phi_j(t_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j (r) \phi_j(t_{n-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j (r) \phi_j(t_{n-2}) + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j (r) \phi_j(t_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j (r) \phi_j(t_0)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j (r) \phi_j(t_n), \quad r \in [r_n, r_{n+1}).
\]

(5.8)

### Table 7: Comparison of exact and numerical findings of Example 5.3 at various time stages.

| t    | Exact | Approximate | Exact | Approximate | Exact | Approximate |
|------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|
| 0.6  | 0     | 0.06925     | 0     | 0.06925     | 0     | 0.06925     |
| 0.8  | 0.21951 | 0.21952 | 0.5358 | 0.5358 | 0     | 0     |
| 0.1  | 0.12044 | 0.12043 | 0.39661 | 0.39665 | 0.9687 | 0.9687 |

### Table 8: Comparison of error norms of Example 5.3.

| t    | L^2 norm         | L^∞ norm         | CPU time |
|------|------------------|------------------|----------|
| 0.6  | 2.541x10^-5      | 1.97x10^-4       | 0.0930   |
| 0.8  | 6.371x10^-4      | 6.366x10^-3      | 0.1203   |
| 1    | 5.383x10^-5      | 3.9x10^-4        | 0.1561   |

Thus, the approximate solution (2.3) can be written in piecewise form:

(5.8)
Figure 6A, displays the numerical and exact solution of Example 5.3 for \( t = 0.4, \alpha = 0.96, h = 0.01 \) and \( \Delta t = 0.0001 \). The graphics illustrate that numerical and exact solutions are obviously shown to be indiscriminately comparable to one another. The effect of time concentrations \( t = 0.6, 0.8, \) and \( 1 \) is studied and presented in Figure 6B keeping other parameters constant. It can be seen from graphics that both solutions have symmetrical conduct and their corresponding numerical data are presented in Table 7, which demonstrates that both results are accurate and have negligible error.

Example 5.4. Fisher’s equation with fractional order for \( \beta = 1 \) with \( f(r,t) = 0 \), is

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha Z(r,t)}{\partial t^\alpha} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 Z(r,t)}{\partial r^2} - Z(r,t)(1 - Z(r,t)) = f(r,t). \tag{5.10}
\]

with IC: \( Z(r,0) = \sigma^*, \ 0 \leq r \leq 1 \).

The exact solution of the model for \( \alpha = 1 \) is,

\[
Z(r,t) = \frac{\exp(t)\sigma^*}{1 - \sigma^* + \sigma^* \exp(t)}.
\]

The graphical illustration of exact and numerical solutions for Example 5.4 are shown in Figure 9. Figure 9A shows compatibility of exact and numerical results for \( h = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.02, \alpha = 1, \) and \( \sigma^* = 0.25 \). The multiple curves for exact and numerical solutions for various values of \( \sigma^* = 0.5, 0.7, \) and \( 0.9 \) are shown in Figure 9B. The comparison of exact and approximate solutions acquired by the proposed scheme is expressed in Table 9. The tabular data demonstrate that both solutions are compatible with each other for various values of \( \sigma^* \). Table 10 demonstrates the error norms.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this study, cubic B-spline (CBS) scheme has been successfully implemented to acquire numerical solution of
TABLE 9 | Exact and numerical results of Example 5.4 at different values of \( \alpha^* \).

| \( \alpha^* \) | Exact | Approximate | Exact | Approximate | Exact | Approximate |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 0.5224848 | 0.5224743 | 0.718553 | 0.7185432 | 0.9078134 | 0.9078432 |
| 0.547576 | 0.5473921 | 0.7383282 | 0.7383521 | 0.9158479 | 0.9158980 |
| 0.5710961 | 0.5719883 | 0.7571831 | 0.7571743 | 0.9232413 | 0.9232421 |
| 0.5962826 | 0.5961236 | 0.7750933 | 0.7750659 | 0.9300348 | 0.9300343 |
| 0.6201064 | 0.6201432 | 0.7920452 | 0.7920876 | 0.9362685 | 0.9362651 |
| 0.6433651 | 0.6433321 | 0.8080358 | 0.8080213 | 0.9419815 | 0.9419821 |
| 0.6659669 | 0.6659442 | 0.8230715 | 0.8230342 | 0.9472112 | 0.9472131 |
| 0.6878313 | 0.6878321 | 0.8371669 | 0.8371321 | 0.9519936 | 0.9519527 |
| 0.7088901 | 0.7088870 | 0.8503435 | 0.8503876 | 0.9563626 | 0.9563984 |
| 0.7310585 | 0.7310572 | 0.8638095 | 0.8638451 | 0.9607296 | 0.9607481 |

TABLE 10 | Comparison of error norms.

| \( \alpha^* \) | \( L^2 \) norm | \( L^\infty \) norm | CPU time |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0.5 | 1.706 \times 10^{-5} | 1.591 \times 10^{-4} | 0.0611 |
| 0.75 | 9.38 \times 10^{-6} | 4.4 \times 10^{-5} | 0.1209 |
| 1 | 8.192 \times 10^{-6} | 5.01 \times 10^{-5} | 0.1606 |

a time-fractional modified Fisher’s equation for \( \beta = 2 \) and 3. The temporal derivative is discretized in the Caputo’s sense by means of L1 formula, whereas CBS functions have been used for spatial derivative. The results acquired by the proposed scheme are presented in the form of tables and graphics. Following are the main outcomes of this study.

1. The existing Fisher’s model has been modified by adding source term and by increasing integer power of non-linear term.
2. The influence of \( \alpha \) parameter has been studied for different values and observed that, as the value of \( \alpha \) increases gradually, the solution profile \( Z(r, t) \) tends toward exact solution. The numerical solution overlaps the exact solution as \( \alpha \) approaches 1 as shown in figures.
3. The numerical behavior of the proposed model with different initial and boundary conditions has been observed at different time levels.
4. The comparison of exact and numerical results displayed in graphs reveals that both results show symmetrical behavior and their corresponding numerical data presented in tables clearly elaborate consistency of the results.
5. The results of the study regarding stability of the presented scheme show that proposed scheme is unconditionally stable.

Moreover, the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed scheme is quantified by computing error norms and the numerical results reflect that the proposed scheme is applicable for non-linear time fractional generalized Fisher’s equation.
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