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Abstract

Heavy metals are extremely toxic and their presence in the environment is a known risk factor. Out of them, cadmium is known for its fatal effects on the environment, humans and soil. Bioremediation offers an economical solution for detoxifying such metals. So, the present study aimed to isolate Bacillus sp. and Cupriavidus sp. from the cadmium contaminated soils and studied their cadmium bioremediation potential. Strains that have exhibited good tolerance upto 1000 ppm and 1500 ppm of cadmium concentration and good absorption to cadmium were studied by scanning electron microscopy. An increase in the size of the bacterial cells was observed. The absorption of cadmium by bacterial cells was further confirmed by atomic absorption spectroscopy and found that the sorption rate of Bacillus sp. ECd004 was 87% and of Cupriavidus sp. SCd005 was 90%. Furthermore, these strains were exposed to cadmium contaminated soil in the form of bioformulations and their role in the rate of seed germination of Vigna radiata and Cicer arietinum and impact on seedlings growth was determined. Seed germination and growth rate was found to be double in comparison to the negative control. This investigation proves their efficacy to use in highly cadmium contaminated soils making them a suitable choice for bioremediation.
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INTRODUCTION

Industrial rebellion has expedited the ecosystem pollution exorbitantly due to the discharge of toxic heavy metals. Cadmium is one of the most toxic heavy metal which has entered in aquatic streams, rivers, ponds through industrial operations such as electroplating, smelting, manufacturing of batteries etc. Many agricultural practices such as use of pesticides, phosphate fertilizers, sewage sludge etc. also supplement the remarkable amounts of cadmium in soil. Toxicity of cadmium is a well-known risk factor. Accumulation of cadmium leads to reproductive system failure, brain damage, and kidney damage to human beings\(^5\). Renal damage was also reported due to cadmium toxicity\(^7\). International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has declared cadmium as carcinogen\(^3\). The physical methods such as soil isolation and chemical processes such as soil washing were used earlier for removing cadmium are neither cost effective nor they are feasible. Hence, there is a need to develop a cost effective and eco-friendly solution to this problem. Biological methods using microorganisms are the most promising because of their efficiency, and feasibility\(^4\). Microorganisms have developed the resistance or different ways of dealing with them such as oxidation, bioleaching and absorption. Many findings were reported for biological remediation of cadmium. *Alcaligenes eutrophus* CH34 bacterial strain was grown on a pilot plant which degraded upto 90% of the cadmium\(^5\). Bacterial strain *Rhodotorula* sp. Y11 was able to degrade 11.38 mg/g of cadmium\(^6\). Recent studies revealed that *Staphylococcus xylosus* and *Pseudomonas* sp. were able to degrade 278mg/g and 250 mg/g of cadmium respectively\(^7\). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain KUCd1 showed its ability to remove about 75% and 89% of cadmium\(^8\). *Spirogyra* and *Oscillatoria* sp. were studied for sequestration of cadmium from industrial wastewater\(^9\). Seeds of *Vigna radiata* were investigated by irrigating the soil with untreated wastewater and compared with biologically treated wastewater and found that growth attributes were significantly enhanced due to treatment with biologically treated water which decreases Total dissolved solids, Total suspended solids and metal content in soil\(^10\). *Lepidium sativum* L. and *Eruca sativa* were irrigated by wastewater and found that heavy metals especially cadmium have started accumulating in their edible parts and roots\(^11\). All these reports showed that microorganisms have ability to remediate the cadmium but when exposed to industrial level, the degradation does not reach to expected limit. So, current investigation mainly focusses on the significant solution of this problem by remediating the high concentration of cadmium by bacterial cells with respect to its cadmium biosorption ability by using Scanning Electron Microscopy and Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. Furthermore, the cadmium removal efficiency of strains was checked by treating industrial effluents and demonstrating the effects of prepared bioformulations on seed germination as well as seedling growth of *Vigna radiata* and *Cicer arietinum*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample collection and analysis

Fifty wastewater samples were collected from the various industrial cities of Punjab viz. areas of Mohali, Chandigarh, and Ludhiana. Samples from various industries such as electroplating industry, steel industry and battery manufacturing industries were collected where effluent from the industries is discharged. All the samples were tested for physicochemical parameters including biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids, total suspended solids and chloride and determined by following the method of American Public Health Association\(^12\). The samples were tested and quantified for cadmium concentration through spectrophotometric assay as described by M.R. Ullah\(^13\) using Alizarin red S solution

Isolation and screening of cadmium resistant bacteria

The samples were employed for isolation of cadmium resistant bacteria which was done using the method of Marjan et al\(^14\). Isolation was done by serially diluting the samples and then inoculating by using spread plate technique in nutrient agar medium supplemented with cadmium chloride. The plates were incubated for 24 hrs. at 37°C. The microorganisms which showed growth were purified further by streak plate method. The isolated stains were checked for their pollution reduction capabilities by preparing their inoculum following the method
of Krishnaswamy et al., and incubating them with sterilized wastewater. Furthermore, to select potent cadmium resistant bacteria, the stains were exposed to high concentrations of cadmium ranging from 100 ppm-1500 ppm.

**Characterization of Selected Strains**

Bacterial isolates were identified through various morphological, biochemical tests which were performed in accordance with standard methodology from Bergey’s Manual. For molecular characterization, PCR amplification was performed by Merck - Genei PCR mix by using universal primers 27F and 1495R. 16S rRNA sequencing was done by using 3730xl DNA Analyzer. 16S rRNA sequences were further analyzed for homology using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool and phylogenetic trees were constructed using MEGA-X software.

**Study of biosorption potential using Scanning electron microscopy and AAS**

SEM was performed following the method of Fischer, E.R. Six-hour old culture was taken, and centrifugation was done at 11,200g at 4°C for 5 min. Then pellet was washed in phosphate buffer for 3 times and was fixed with 0.25 % glutaraldehyde solution for 1 hour. Again, washed the cells with same buffer for 3 times and centrifugation was done at 11,200g at 4°C for 5 min. The samples were dehydrated with acetone in series of 30-90% and finally, suspended in 100% acetone. Mounting of samples was done on the SEM stubs by using silver tape and coated with a thin layer of gold. The samples were observed at different magnifications.

**Biosorption studies of bacterial cultures by AAS**

Biosorption ability of bacterial isolates was determined by using Atomic Absorption Spectrometry and following the method of Khan et al.

**Cadmium Biosorption of live bacterial isolates**

The bacterial strains were grown in 100 ml of Nutrient broth supplemented with 2 ppm of cadmium at optimum temperature and pH to determine their biosorption efficiency for 24 hrs. Control was prepared by adding 2 ppm of cadmium without the organism. Samples (5 ml) were withdrawn from every flask on the day 2, day 4, day 6 and day 8, and centrifugation of broth was done at 1008 g for 5 min. The pellets were weighed and divided into two parts, one part was digested with acid (acid digested, 0.2 N HNO₃) to release absorbed cadmium and washing of second part was done by using EDTA (0.5 M) in order to gather cadmium adsorbed on the cell surface. Uptake values were determined and standard absorption curve was prepared to measure cadmium concentration with the help of AAS at 228.8 nm.

**Removal of Cadmium by Heat inactivated bacterial cells**

To estimate the cadmium biosorption capacity of heat inactivated bacterial cells, they were inoculated on nutrient broth (HiMedia, Mumbai) and incubation was done in shaking conditions at 37°C. After the incubation of 24 hrs., the bacterial cells were autoclaved in order to inactivate them. Centrifugation was done at 11,200 g for 10 min. Cells were rinsed with deionized distilled H₂O and dried out in oven at 80°C. The bacterial cells (0.5 g) were added in 2ppm Cd solution (100 ml) and incubation was done in shaker at 37°C and centrifuged at 1008 g for 5 min. Quantity of Cd was measured by using both supernatants and pellets by AAS.

**Cadmium removal from industrial effluent**

The ability of the bacterial isolate to reduce cadmium from industrial wastewater was also checked. The experiment was performed in three different sets in which effluents of electroplating, battery and steel industry were treated with both the strains. Distilled water and bacterial culture were taken as positive control and industrial effluents without bacterial cultures were taken as negative control. 10 ml of samples were taken out from each flask after incubation of 2, 4, 6 and 8 days and were employed to measure the concentration of cadmium in the wastewater.

**Preparation of bioformulation**

Bioformulations of isolated cultures were prepared to check whether they promote and enhance the growth of the plants grown in contaminated samples. Firstly, carrier material (peat) was powdered after passing through 0.15 mm sieve and was neutralized to pH 7 by using calcium carbonate. The carrier material was then packed into autoclave bags and sterilized. The inoculum was used as starter culture for growing the bacterial cells at 37°C for 24 hrs. to attain maximum cell population up to 10⁹ CFU/ml. The inoculant packets were prepared by mixing the
sterilized carrier material with bacterial cells. The formulations were prepared by following the method of Hasan et al.19. 50 g of carrier was mixed with 20 ml of bacterial broth culture (1.0×10^8 cells/ml). The prepared bioformulation was incubated in laminar air flow for 24 hrs. and packed in polythene bags and sealed. The packets were stored in cool place till further use.

**Study of effect of bioformulation on Vigna radiata and Cicer arietinum**

Seeds of Vigna radiata and Cicer arietinum were chosen for the study of effect of bioformulations on seed germination rate, shoot and root length of seedlings. 20g of bioformulation was mixed with 40 ml of water (1:2 ratio, as recommended by ICAR, Goa)20. Seeds were soaked in the bioformulation for 1 hour so that a thin layer is uniformly coated on to the surface of seeds. Then, seeds were shade dried for 30 min. prior to sowing in soils collected for the study (from contaminated sites: electroplating, steel and battery industry). The effect of bioformulations on seeds germination rate as well as on root and shoot length of seedlings was compared with positive control (garden soil) and negative control (soil without bioformulation). The experiments were performed in three sets in which seeds of V. radiata and C. arietinum were treated with formulations.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Sample Analysis**

The range of BOD values from electroplating industry samples was 31-79 mg/l. Similarly, in samples from steel industry and battery industry the range for BOD was 32-99 mg/l and 31-100 mg/l respectively. The permissible limit for BOD is 35 mg/l. So, industrial, samples were not in acceptable limit and can impose harmful effect on aquatic lives. COD values ranged from 250-986 mg/l in electroplating industry samples. Battery industry samples and steel industry exhibited COD range of 240-955 mg/l and 330-990 mg/l respectively. The permissible limit for COD is 250 mg/l. The range of BOD and COD was quite high in all samples and do not comply with corporations like Food Machinery and Chemical Corporation21 and World Health Organization standard22. Total dissolved solids values ranged from 540-1305 mg/l in electroplating industry samples and 784-2372 mg/l in steel industry samples. Battery industry samples exhibited a range of 412-2395 mg/l. TSS values ranges from 30 mg/l to 460 mg/l in electroplating industry samples and 50-899 mg/l in steel industry samples. Battery industry samples, TSS values varied from 20-799 mg/l. The difference in TDS and TSS values of samples is due to the type of contaminants and salts as well as the treatment processes which are used to achieve the permissible limits. There is direct relationship between cadmium concentration and suspended matter due to the association of particulate matter23. For chloride estimation, samples from electroplating industry exhibit the range of 120-795 mg/l, steel industry samples showed the range of 25-837 mg/l whereas samples from battery were in range of 94-643 mg/l. Cadmium is extremely toxic beyond 0.7 mg/l concentration. Samples of electroplating industry were polluted with cadmium in concentration range of 1.42-3.9 mg/l. Steel industry exhibited the range of 1.8-3.77 mg/l whereas samples from battery showed the range of 1.54-3.97 mg/l. One finding was reported in which adverse effects of cadmium on crop was investigated such as the effect of cadmium accumulation on wheat grains was reported and suggested that children are more prone to its toxic effects24.

**Isolation and screening of potential isolates**

A total of twenty different strains were obtained depending upon their ability to grow in the presence of cadmium which were further subjected to pollution reduction ability and found that out of twenty, strain no. 1, 2, 4 and 18 has reduced 74%,72%,73% and 74 % of BOD values respectively. The percentage of COD values reduced was 78%, 76%,77% and 79% respectively for above mentioned strains. The similar results were obtained for TDS and TSS values, mentioned strains have reduced 45%,43%,49% and 52% of TDS and 69%,72%,68% and 69 % of TSS respectively. Cadmium concentration was significantly reduced to 69%,72%,70% and 70% respectively. Furthermore, these strains were tested for their cadmium tolerance ability and found that ECd004 and SCd005 has ability to tolerate upto 1000 ppm and 1500 ppm of cadmium concentration and selected for further study. Thus, it is evident that selected strains have good removal efficiency of cadmium, making bioremediation a good choice for removal of toxic pollutants from environment.
Biochemical and Molecular characterization

ECd004 showed its positive response for Catalase, VP test, urease test, oxidase test, casein hydrolysis test, butyrate disk test, acetate utilization test, lecithinase and litmus test and negative result for coagulase, indole, citrate, as well as methyl red, starch hydrolysis, H2S production test and DNase test. In contrast, the strain SCd005 showed its positive result for urease, indole, starch hydrolysis test, casein hydrolysis test, acetate utilization test and litmus test whereas negative result for lecithinase, catalase, citrate, coagulase, VP, MR, oxidase test, H2S production, gelatin hydrolysis test and DNase test.

The strain ECd004 belongs to *Bacillaceae* Family, *Bacillales* Order, *Bacilli* Class, *Firmicutes* Phylum, showed 95% identity with the strain *Bacillus cereus CCM* 2010 when BLAST was performed. The generic characters of strain ECd004 showed similarity with those of *Bacillus cereus* with high bootstrap value of confidence. The strain SCd005 belongs to Class *Proteobacteria* Phylum *Betaproteobacteria*, Order *Burkholderiales* and Family *Burkholderiaceae*,

![Fig. 1 (a). Phylogenetic tree for ECd004. The scale bar indicates 1 change per nucleotide position (evolutionary distance).](image1)

![Fig. 1(b). Phylogenetic tree for SCd005. The scale bar indicates 0.5 changes per nucleotide position (evolutionary distance).](image2)
Genus: *Cupriavidus*, showed 98% identity with the strain *Cupriavidus plantarum* ASC 64 (as per BLAST). Phylogenetic analysis of strains is shown in Fig. 1(a) and 1(b). The accession numbers were obtained by submitting the sequences in GenBank MT672514 and MT081519 for ECd004 and SCd005 respectively. Whole genome studies of *Cupriavidus* sp. HMR-1 isolated from waste water proves it to be having resistance towards multiple heavy metals especially cadmium\(^25\). Additionally, one more finding of *Cupriavidus compinesis* S14E4C exhibited its ability to tolerate cadmium upto 19.5mM\(^26\). Genomic screening of *Bacillus cereus* NWUAB01 revealed that it can tolerate 200 mg/L of Cd\(^27\).

**Study of biosorption potential using Scanning electron microscopy and AAS**

Scanning electron microscopy is widely used to observe changes in cell growth patterns (size and shape). The change in cell size and shape of *Bacillus* sp. (ECd004) and *Cupriavidus* sp. (SCd005) was studied by using SEM. The results in Fig. 2 (a) and 1 (b) showed that the size of bacterial strains have increased which is the clear evidence of biosorption of cadmium in the bacterial cells. Similar finding was reported by Vicentin et al.\(^28\) in which the growth pattern of *Cupriavidus necator* was observed in the absence and presence of cadmium by using SEM and found that no exopolysaccharide production is responsible for cadmium biosorption. In another study by Khan et al.\(^18\) the tremendous increase in size of *Salmonella enterica* 43C, isolated from industrial effluent was observed and confirmed the biosorption of cadmium within the bacterial cells. In a finding reported by Zolgharnein, et al.\(^29\)

![Scanning electron microscopic images of a) ECd004 (b) SCd005 (Absence and presence of cadmium).](image-url)
clumping of cells of *P. aeruginosa* strain MCCB 102 was observed under SEM after the adsorption of the cadmium. The aggregation of cells was also reported in cadmium treated *Lactobacillus plantarum* MF042018, confirming the deposits of cadmium on surface of cells\(^3\). Like all these studies, clumping of cells were reported in ECd004 and SCd005 which is due to the biosorption and toxicity of cadmium internally. The binding of Cd with bacterium is guided by various moieties such as carboxyl, amino and phosphate.

**Biosorption studies using atomic absorption Spectroscopy**

**Cadmium Biosorption of live bacterial isolates**

Cadmium biosorption efficiency (E) of *Bacillus* sp. ECd004 was 0.5, 0.9, 1.20 and 1.65 mg/l after 2, 4, 6 and 8 days. For *Cuprividus* sp. SCd005 it was 0.4, 0.7, 1 and 1.51 mg/l after 2, 4, 6 and 8 days of incubation respectively. The amount of cadmium found within *Bacillus* sp. after incubation of 2, 4, 6 and 8 days was 0.4, 0.75, 0.92 and 1.21 mg/l, respectively. While 0.12, 0.2, 0.37 and 0.44 mg/l of cadmium was found to be adsorbed on the bacterial surface after incubation of 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively. For *Cuprividus* sp. the cadmium found within the cells was 0.28, 0.56, 0.86 and 1.28 mg/l and cadmium adsorbed on the surface was 0.18,0.20,0.14 and 0.22 mg/l after incubation of 2, 4, 6 and 8 days of incubation Fig. 3(a) and 3(b). The results were in close pattern with one study on cadmium uptake by *Salmonella enterica* 43C which was isolated from industrial effluent, exhibit 83.4 % of cadmium removal efficiency\(^1\). Free Cells of *Klebsiella* sp. isolated from wastewater were observed for their cadmium removal efficiency which was 170 mg/g of cadmium\(^3\).

---

![Graph](image-url)  
**Fig. 3.** Biosorption capability of a) *Bacillus* sp.ECd004 b) *Cuprividus* sp. SCd005 growing in culture medium supplemented with 2 mg/l of Cadmium.
Removal of Cadmium by heat inactivated bacterial cells

Heat inactivated cells of *Bacillus* sp. ECd004 were observed removing 0.54, 0.72, 1.02 and 1.34 mg/l cadmium from aqueous medium, out of which 0.24, 0.31, 0.42 and 0.52 mg/l cadmium was detected adsorbed on outer surface whereas 0.30, 0.42, 0.56 and 0.79 mg/l cadmium was found accumulated within the cells after 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively and heat inactivated cells of *Cuprividus* sp. SCd005 were observed removing 0.34, 0.74, 1.01 and 1.12 mg/l cadmium from aqueous medium, out of which 0.12, 0.29, 0.31 and 0.34 mg/l cadmium was detected adsorbed on outer surface whereas 0.24, 0.56, 0.72 and 0.78 mg/l cadmium was found accumulated within the cells after 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 4(a) and 4 (b). The results were in close pattern with one study in which *Salmonella enterica* 43C was isolated from industrial effluent, exhibited good adsorption of cadmium on surface\(^{18}\). Thermal inactivated cells of *P. agglomerans* were investigated for removal of cadmium and found that its rate of removal was well comparable with live cells\(^{32}\). In another finding heat inactivated forms of *Lentinus edodes* biosorption capacity of 57.7 \(\pm\) 1.1 mg/ g was noted\(^{33}\). Thus, species performed well even in inactivated state which proves their potential to remove cadmium either by accumulation or adsorption on the surface.

![Graph](image-url)

**Fig. 4.** Biosorption of Cd by using heat inactivated cells of a) Bacillus sp. ECd004 , b) Cuprividus sp.SCd005.
Fig. 5. Biosorption of Cadmium by a) *Bacillus* sp. ECd004 (Electroplating) b) *Bacillus* sp. ECd004 (Battery) c) *Bacillus* sp. ECd004 (Steel).
Fig. 6. Biosorption of Cadmium by a) *Cupriavidus* sp. Scd005 (Electroplating) b) *Cupriavidus* sp. Scd005 (Battery) c) *Cupriavidus* sp. Scd005 (Steel).
Cadmium removal from industrial effluents

The cadmium concentration in electroplating, battery and steel wastewater was 2.12, 1.97 and 2.01 mg/l. At industrial level, *Bacillus* sp. ECd004 was able to remove 0.5, 0.9, 1.23 and 1.82 mg/l (90.2%) of cadmium from electroplating effluent and 0.32, 0.46, 1.25 and 1.81 mg/l of cadmium from battery effluent as well as 0.24, 0.39, 1.09, 1.76 mg/l of cadmium from steel industry wastewater after 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively. Also 0.7, 1, 1.56 and 1.93 mg/l from distilled water after incubation of 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 5 (a), 5 (b) and 5 (c).

*Cuprividus* sp. SCd005 was able to remove 0.47, 0.99, 1.27 and 1.68 mg/l of cadmium (87%) from electroplating wastewater and 0.29, 0.36, 1.12 and 1.51 mg/l of cadmium from battery effluent as well as 0.20, 0.49, 1.32, 1.65 mg/l of cadmium from steel industry wastewater after 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively. Also 0.6, 1.02, 1.35 and 1.75 mg/l from distilled water after incubation of 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively. In 3rd container, there was only industrial wastewater. The microorganisms present naturally in electroplating wastewater was able to remove 0.03, 0.07, 0.1 and 0.27 mg/l and in battery and steel wastewater, 0.05, 0.09, 0.12, 0.36 mg/l and 0.02, 0.08, 0.17, 0.34 mg/l after 2, 4, 6 and 8 days, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 6 (a), 6 (b) and 6 (c).

**Effect of bioformulations on germination rate of seed**

The effect of bioformulations was checked on germination ability of *Vigna radiata* and *Cicer arietinum* seeds. The seeds were grown in all three industry soil samples i.e., electroplating, battery and steel. The positive control (seeds grown in normal garden soil) was taken. The seed germination rate of positive control was compared with negative control (Seeds grown in industry soil) and bioformulations (Seeds grown in industry soil but treated with bioformulations). It is clear from the Fig. 7 (a), 7 (b) and 7 (c) that the prepared bioformulations are competent for enhancing the germination rate in seeds.

The *Vigna radiata* seed germination rate (in soil of electroplating industry) in positive control was 90%, in negative control it was 25% whereas the seed treated with bioformulations have shown the rate of 76% and 72%. Similar
results were obtained in seeds grown in battery and steel industry soil in which positive control was 87% and 89%, the negative control was 25% and 22% whereas with bioformulation I it was 72% and 69% and with bioformulation II, the rate was 68% and 72%. The results obtained were significant (ANOVA p < 0.0001).

The experiment was also done with seeds of Cicer arietinum in which seed germination rate (in soil of electroplating industry) in positive control was 82%, in negative control it was 32% whereas the seed treated with bioformulations have shown the rate of 79% and 74%. Similar results were obtained in seeds grown in battery and steel industry soil in which positive control was 80% and 85%, the negative control was 35% and 36% whereas with bioformulation I it was 72% and 68% and with bioformulation II, the rate was 70% and 64%. The results obtained were significant (ANOVA, p < 0.0001). The results are shown in Fig. 8 (a), 8 (b) and 8 (c). Similar finding was reported by Neddy et al.\textsuperscript{34} in which \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} KP717554 showed the improvement in germination rate of seeds of \textit{B. juncea} in the presence of cadmium. Additionally, \textit{Serratia marcescens} S217 was investigated for its plant growth promoting properties and found that the growth of rice seedling was increased with respect to its shoot as well as root length, also it showed the decrease in residual amount of Cd in soil when plants were grown in contaminated soil\textsuperscript{35}. Thus, the present study reports that the isolated strains have ability to enhance seed germination rate in cadmium toxic conditions

\textbf{Effect of Bioformulations on the growth of seedlings}

The parameters such as root as well shoot length were taken in account for checking the impact of bioformulations on seedlings growth. Both \textit{V. radiata} and \textit{C. arietinum} were studied for the same. Shoot length of \textit{Vigna radiata} seeds grown in electroplating industry soil samples was 13 cm in positive control and was 6cm in negative control, whereas it was 12 cm and 11 cm with

\textbf{Fig. 8.} Seed germination rate of Cicer arietinum in samples of a) Electroplating industry b) Battery industry c) Steel industry.
bioformulations. The result is shown in Fig. 9 (a). Similar results were observed in seedlings grown in soil of battery and steel industry in which shoot length was 13 cm in positive control and was 8 cm and 5 cm in negative control, whereas it was 12 cm and 11.5 cm with bioformulation I and 10 cm and 9.5 cm with bioformulation II. The root length showed the tremendous increase in size in *Vigna radiata* plants. With both of the formulations the root length (1.7 cm and 1.6 cm in electroplating industry soil) was as compatible as it was in positive control (2 cm). The results are shown in Fig. 9 (b) and 9 (c).

The seedlings grown in battery and steel industry soil also showed the similar results, the positive control was 2 cm and the root length with bioformulation I was 1.5 cm and 1.4 cm and with bioformulation II it was 1.4 cm and 1.2 cm. The results were in close pattern with a study in which effect on growth of tomato plants was checked by using cadmium resistant *Enterobacter* sp. EG16 and *Enterobacte r ludwigii* DJ3. In another finding *Providencia* sp., *Morganella* sp., *Stenotrophomonas* sp., and *Bacillus* sp. were studied for their effect on plant growth and uptake of cadmium by roots of *Sesbania bispinosa*\(^7\). The bacterial strain *Klebsiella pneumoniae* MCC 3091 was studied for promoting the growth of rice seedling growth by lessening the phytotoxicity of cadmium\(^9\).

The *Cicer arietinum* plants were grown in all the three selected soil samples. Root length was more (ranging from 1.9 cm -2.1 cm) than the negative control (ranges from 0.9 cm-1 cm). Shoot length in positive control was 8.5 cm and with bioformulations it ranges from 5.6 -8 cm. The results are shown in Fig. 10 (a), 10 (b) and 10 (c).

The results obtained were significant (ANOVA, \(p < 0.0001\)). The results were in close pattern with a study in which, *Rhodococcus* sp.*Variorax paradoxus* and *Flavobacterium* sp. were isolated from roots of Indian mustard and were studied for stimulating the elongation of roots of *B. juncea* seedlings\(^9\). In another report

---

*Fig. 9.* Plant Growth of *Vigna radiata* in samples of a) Electroplating industry b) Battery industry c) Steel industry.
by Safronova et al.\textsuperscript{40} the effect of \textit{Pseudomonas brassicae} \textit{cearum} AM3 on growth of shoots of \textit{Pisum sativum} was studied and observed that all the strains enhanced the root growth and nutrient uptake processes. In another finding \textit{Enterobacter} sp. CR 191, \textit{Enterobacter} sp. CR201 and \textit{Arthrobacter} sp. TM6 were recognised as IAA-producing bacteria which have enhanced the root elongation of \textit{Ocimum gratissimum} under cadmium noxious conditions\textsuperscript{41}. The present observations revealed that the inhibitory and toxic effects of cadmium is overpowered by Cd resistant strains by increasing mobilization of cadmium in contaminated soil to protect the plants probably owing to exhibition of plant growth promoting properties.

\textbf{CONCLUSIONS}

In the present investigation, \textit{Bacillus} sp. and \textit{Cupriavidus} sp. were screened for cadmium resistance with 87\% and 90\% of cadmium biosorption potentiality respectively and electron micrographs also revealed the increase in size of bacterial cells due to significant absorption of cadmium in them. Furthermore, strains were evaluated for their remediation potential by assessing differences in seed germination rate and seedlings growth parameters. The remarkable finding of supplementing the seedlings growth proves them as the potential isolates of cadmium remediation. Thus, the strains have obvious favourable effects as they significantly reduced high cadmium concentration and their implementation offers an economical and eco-friendly approach for remediation of cadmium contaminated soils for sustainability of agriculture. Future studies of their molecular aspects can make them suitable isolates for restoration of cadmium contaminated areas.
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