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Abstract

Wood-based substrates are a viable option for producing crops in containers, but seed propagation in such substrates has not been sufficiently examined. Seed germination and seedling development in processed whole pine tree (Pinus taeda L.) substrates were evaluated using the Phytotoxkit and seedling growth tests. Substrates compared using the Phytotoxkit included a reference soil, aged (WPTA) and fresh (WPTF) whole pine tree, aged (PNA) and fresh (PNF) pine needles, pine bark (PB), peat moss (PM), and saline pine bark (SPB). Substrates evaluated using the seedling growth test included WPTA, WPTF, PB, and a peat-lite (PL) substrate. Seed germination percentage and total root length were evaluated for garden cress (Lepidium sativum L.), white mustard (Sinapis alba L.), and sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] in repeated Phytotoxkit experiments (2010 and 2011). Seed germination percentage was lowest for garden cress in PNF, but similar among all substrates for white mustard and sorghum. Total root length was similar or greater in WPTA compared with PM for all species. Seedling emergence percentage and total root length were evaluated for lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.), and oat (Avena sativa L.) in repeated seedling growth experiments (2010 and 2011). Seedling emergence percentage varied among substrates and was substantially greater in PL and WPTA compared with PB and WPTF in 2010. Total root length was greatest in PL compared to the other substrates for all species in both years. In addition, PL had significantly lower air space and greater container capacity compared with the other substrates.
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Species used in this study: loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.), garden cress (Lepidium sativum L.), white mustard (Sinapis alba L.), sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench], lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.), oat (Avena sativa L.).

Significance to the Horticulture Industry

Wood-based substrates can be used for container production and stem cutting propagation, yet these substrates have not been thoroughly evaluated for seed propagation. There are concerns that pine tree-based substrates may have an inhibitory effect on seed germination due to compounds present in the wood and needles. Seed germination and initial seedling growth were evaluated in traditional (peat moss and pine bark) and processed whole pine tree (aged and fresh) substrates. Seed germination percentages were similar among traditional and whole pine tree substrates, whereas seed germination was inhibited in fresh pine needles. In a second study, seedling root development was greater in a peat-lite substrate compared with pine bark and whole pine tree substrates (aged and fresh). Whole pine tree substrates (aged and fresh) can be used for seed germination and initial seedling establishment, but further research is required to examine cultural methods (irrigation, fertility, etc.) for enhancing seedling development in these substrates.

Introduction

Wood-based materials have been evaluated extensively as alternative substrate components for nursery and greenhouse crop production. A wood-based material is predominately composed of wood (secondary xylem), yet may contain various proportions of other plant parts including bark and leaves. Pine trees have been the prominent material for such scientific evaluations in the United States, particularly in the southeastern United States where pine plantations are widespread. Ongoing interest in alternative substrates has sparked similar research efforts for evaluating a wide range of plant species as a source of substrate components.

Nursery and greenhouse crop production has been demonstrated in wood-based substrates composed of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) (Fain et al. 2008, Wright et al. 2008), spruce (Picea spp.) (Gruda and Schnitzler 2004), melaleuca (Melaleuca quinquenervia (Cav.) S. T. Blake) (Brown and Duke 2000, Ingram and Johnson 1983), and various other tree species (Murphy et al. 2011, Rau et al. 2006). Additionally, stem-cutting propagation has been evaluated in whole pine tree substrates (Witcher et al. 2014). Nevertheless, reduced plant performance in high wood content substrates (compared with pine bark and/or peat-based substrates) has been observed and linked to various factors. Nitrogen immobilization has been reported in wood-based substrates due to high levels of microbial growth (Gruda et al. 2000, Jackson et al. 2009). In order to offset reduced nitrogen availability in wood-based substrates, supplemental nitrogen applications can be used to provide sufficient concentrations for both microbial and plant requirements (Fain et al. 2008, Jackson et al. 2008). Less-than-ideal water and nutrient retention properties have also been reported in wood-based substrates, although these issues can be minimized by processing materials into a finer particle size or blending with peat moss (Fain et al. 2008, Jackson et al. 2010). Although nutrient and water availability can be readily managed in wood-based substrates, concerns persist about potential phytotoxicity due to compounds present in wood.

Certain organic or inorganic compounds found in soil, compost, or other substrates used for growing plants can be phytotoxic. In substrates composed of various tree compo-
ments, phytotoxicity may occur due to the presence of organic phenolic and terpenoid compounds or inorganic metal compounds (Harkin and Rowe 1971, Sjöström 1993). Seed germination tests and seedling growth tests are universally accepted procedures for determining the phytotoxic potential of a material. Such tests are simple to conduct, relatively inexpensive (compared to laboratory chemical analysis), and reproducible. Compounds detrimental to plant development may be identified with these tests, whereas such a response would not be obvious simply by reviewing a chemical analysis. Although a single standard has not been identified for the germination test, the most common procedures involve seeds exposed to a liquid extract of a substrate or seeds placed in direct contact with a substrate or substrate solution (Archambault et al. 2004, Kapanen and Itävaara 2001, Macias et al. 2000, Ortega et al. 1996). The direct contact method accounts for any phytotoxic compounds bound to the solid particles, in addition to those dissolved in water (Naasz et al. 2009).

A wealth of knowledge is available on using seed germination and seedling growth tests for evaluating compost maturity and quality (Emin and Warman 2004, Hartz and Giannini 1998, Kapanen and Itävaara 2001, Murillo et al. 1995), yet little information exists on such tests for the phytotoxic effects of non-composted tree components such as wood, bark, and leaves. Rau et al. (2006) evaluated tomato seedling growth after 30 days in wood substrates derived from five tree species and concluded plant dry weight decreased as the polyphenolic concentration of the wood increased. Ortega et al. (1996) demonstrated that higher phenolic levels in oak bark significantly reduced seedling growth of six vegetable species. In the same study, two types of germination bioassays, liquid extract and direct contact, were conducted to determine their applicability for determining potential phytotoxicity. In both methods, seed germination was negatively affected in the presence of higher concentrations of phenolic compounds. The investigators concluded direct contact was the optimum method due to its similarity to actual production procedures. Gruda et al. (2009) treated tomato and lettuce seeds with leachate extracted from a pine tree substrate and found that washing the substrate reduced the phytotoxic effects, indicated by increased germination percentage and radicle growth in the washed substrates. Nektarios et al. (2005) investigated the allelopathic effects of pine needles in seed germination and seedling growth tests. In this study, the phytotoxic effect was more pronounced for fresh pine needles compared with senesced and decaying pine needles. Similar results were reported by Gaches et al. (2011a), wherein lettuce seedlings exhibited reduced growth when exposed to fresh pine needle leachate compared with exposure to aged pine needle leachate. In all three studies, the investigators posited that phytotoxic compounds within the wood/needles were responsible for the reduced germination and growth rates.

Factors other than substrate chemical properties may also be responsible for reduced seed germination and seedling growth. Naasz et al. (2009) conducted lettuce seed germination and tomato seedling growth tests using the bark of seven tree species. The degree of phytotoxicity varied among the barks, but the investigators concluded that air space in the bark substrate, rather than select chemical and biochemical properties, had the greatest effect on plant growth.

Seed germination tests are used for detecting phytotoxicity associated with substrate chemical properties, whereas seedling growth tests account for phytotoxicity associated with the individual or combined effects of substrate chemical and physical properties (Gong et al. 2001, Naasz et al. 2009). Seeds have nutritional reserves that will support growth for short periods after germination. As a result, nonamended substrates can be evaluated, minimizing the number of variables involved in plant development.

A commercially-available seed germination test, the Phytotoxkit [MicroBioTests Inc., Mariakerke (Ghent), Belgium], is a standardized, sensitive, rapid, reproducible, and cost-effective procedure for determining the potential phytotoxicity of a solid substrate. The Phytotoxkit includes all the materials required to perform a phytotoxicity test: a sterile reference soil (control) and seeds of three biosensor plant species specifically selected for rapid germination and sensitivity to a variety of factors. The Phytotoxkit is designed for contact between the seed and substrate solution and for direct observation and measurement of germinated seeds and root/shoot growth. The Phytotoxkit test may be a useful laboratory procedure for scientists evaluating alternative horticultural substrates. The objective of this study was to evaluate seed germination and seedling development in nonamended whole pine tree substrates using the Phytotoxkit and seedling growth tests.

Materials and Methods

Two biological tests (Phytotoxkit and seedling growth) were used to assess potential phytotoxicity in whole pine tree substrates compared with traditional substrate components. Each test was conducted as an individual experiment in 2010 and in 2011 (four experiments total) at the USDA-ARS Thad Cochran Southern Horticultural Laboratory in Poplarville, MS.

Phytotoxkit test — 2010. The Phytotoxkit contained a reference soil (RS) and seeds of three biosensor plant species: one monocot species (sorghum) and two dicot species (garden cress and white mustard). Seed germination percentages of the selected test species were determined prior to the experiment using 50 seeds per species [garden cress (82%); white mustard (90%); sorghum (78%)]. Substrates were evaluated with the Phytotoxkit included aged (WPTA) and fresh (WPTF) whole pine tree, aged (PNA) and fresh (PNF) pine needles, saline pine bark (SPB), and RS. Whole pine tree substrates were produced from 20- to 25-cm (7.9 to 9.8 in) diameter loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) trees harvested and chipped on September 29, 2009 (WPTA) and May 26, 2010 (WPTF) in Macon County, AL. The chips were then ground (within 1 to 2 days of the respective harvest date) with a Williams Crusher hammer mill (Meteor Mill #40; Williams Patent Crusher and Pulverizer Co. Inc., St. Louis, MO) to pass a 0.95-cm (0.38 in) screen. Processed materials were stored in covered plastic tubes until use. Pine needles were collected from a 12-year-old loblolly pine plantation in Stone County, MS, either fresh needles (PNF) collected directly from trees or aged needles (PNA) collected from the ground surrounding the same trees. Pine needles were hammer-milled (model 30; C.S. Bell Co., Tiffin, OH) to pass a 0.47-cm (0.19 in) (PNA) or 0.95-cm (0.38 in) (PNF) screen. Saline pine bark [pine bark soaked overnight in a sodium chloride (NaCl) solution (16 dS m⁻¹) for garden cress and sorghum; 30 dS m⁻¹ for

J. Environ. Hort. 33(1):20–28. March 2015
for white mustard) was included to produce a negative effect on seed germination and initial root growth for verification of the procedure.

All substrates were passed through a 2-mm (0.08 in) sieve to eliminate coarse particles. Three 95-mL (3.2 oz) samples (loosely filled) of each substrate were collected in coffee-filter-lined containers (SVD-250; T.O. Plastics, Clearwater, MN), bottom-saturated to the upper substrate surface with deionized water (NaCl solution used for SPB) for 1 hour, and then drained. Samples were transferred to individual test plates (3 plates per substrate) and covered with filter paper onto which 10 seeds of a test species were placed in a single row. A clear plastic cover was placed on each test plate, then test plates were incubated vertically in a dark growth chamber at 25 C (77 F) for 4 (garden cress) or 5 (white mustard and sorghum) days. Plates were digitally scanned and analyzed using ImageTool software (ImageTool Version 3.0; UTHSA, San Antonio, TX). Data collected included seed germination percentage (percentage) and total root length (mm). A laboratory analysis was conducted on all substrates to determine pH, soluble salts, nitrate (NO₃-N), ammonium (NH₄-N), P, Ca, Mg, K, Na, B, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, Al, and Mo using the Saturated Media Extract method (Warncke 1998). Inductively coupled plasma-emission spectrometry was used to analyze all elements except N. Nitrate (NO₃-N cadmium reduction) and NH₄-N were determined by spectrophotometric flow injection analysis.

Germination data were analyzed with generalized linear models using the binary distribution and a logit link function using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS (Version 9.3; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Total root length data were analyzed with linear models using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. The ten seeds in each plate were analyzed as subsamples. Differences between treatment means were determined using the Shaffer-Simulated method (P < 0.05). Data from SPB were not included in the overall statistical analyses, but separate statistical analyses were conducted to test the sensitivity of the Phytotoxkit by comparing seed germination percentage and total root length between RS and SPB.

Phytotoxkit test — 2011. A second Phytotoxkit experiment was conducted in 2011, with design and procedural differences described below. Seed germination percentages of the selected test species were determined prior to the experiment [garden cress (90%); white mustard (94%); sorghum (96%)]. Substrates included WPTA, WPTF, PNA, PNF, pine bark (PB), peat moss [(PM); Fertilome Pure Canadian Peat Moss; Check Garden Products, Austin, TX], SPB, and RS. The methods for processing the whole pine tree substrates were altered for 2011 in order to produce a substrate with 10% pine needles by weight, considered a high proportion for a typical whole pine tree harvest. Whole pine tree substrates were produced from 5.0- to 6.4-cm (2.0 to 2.5 in) diameter P. taeda trees harvested in Pearl River County, MS. The main stems were chipped on July 29, 2010 (WPTA) and March 14, 2011 (WPTF) with a wood chipper (Liberty WC-6; Mesa, AZ) and a combination of chopped stems:needles (9:1, by weight) was ground (the following day) with a hammer mill (Model 30; C.S. Bell Co., Tiffin, OH) to pass a 0.63-cm (0.25 in) screen. Pine needles were collected on March 14, 2011, directly from trees (PNF) or from the ground (PNA) surrounding the same trees and hammer-milled to pass a 0.47-cm (0.19 in) or 1.2-cm (0.5 in) screen, for PNA and PNF, respectively. Saline pine bark was prepared using a NaCl concentration of 16 dS·m⁻¹ for garden cress and 30 dS·m⁻¹ for white mustard and sorghum. Test plates were incubated at 25 C (77 F) for 5 (garden cress and sorghum) or 6 (white mustard) days.

Seedling growth test — 2010. Substrates included WPTA, WPTF, PB, and a peat-lite (PL) mix [peat moss (Fertilome Pure Canadian Peat Moss):perlite (Coarse grade; SunGro Horticulture, Bellevue, WA):vermiculite (Medium grade; SunGro Horticulture, Bellevue, WA) 3:1:1, by vol]. Pine bark was passed through a 5-mm (0.2 in) screen, while WPTA and WPTF were prepared as described in the 2010 Phytotoxkit test. Individual cells were cut from 72-cell sheets (PROP-72-RD; T.O. Plastics Inc., Clearwater, MN) and filled with substrate (36 replications per substrate), substrates were randomized in 72-cell trays (36 cells per tray), and thoroughly wetted under mist. Two seeds of a single test plant species [lettuce, Lactuca sativa L. ‘Buttercutch’, and tomato, Solanum lycopersicum L. ‘Better Boy’) were sown in each cell. Plant species were chosen based on standards developed for conducting phytotoxicity tests using plants as the test species (Kapanen and Itävaara 2001, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1996). Seed germination percentages of the selected test species were determined prior to the experiment using 50 seeds per species [lettuce (87%) and tomato (95%)]. Trays were grouped by species and placed in separate growth chambers [25 C (77 F) day/21 C (70 F) night] with no light until germination occurred, thereafter receiving a 14-h light (375-415 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) and 10-h dark photoperiod. All trays were hand-watered as needed and all 4 trays of individual test species were watered equally.

At 11 (tomato) and 12 (lettuce) days after sowing (DAS), seedling emergence percentage was recorded and seedlings were thinned to 1 per cell. At 35 (tomato) and 39 (lettuce) DAS, roots were washed and digitally scanned for analysis of total root length using WinRhizo software (WinRhizo Version 2007d; Regent Instruments Inc., Quebec, Canada). Substrate air space, container capacity, total porosity, and bulk density were determined using the North Carolina State University porometer method (Fonteno et al. 1995). A laboratory analysis was conducted on all substrates to determine pH, soluble salts, nitrate (NO₃-N), ammonium (NH₄-N), P, Ca, Mg, K, Na, B, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, Al, and Mo using the Saturated Media Extract method (Warncke 1998). Inductively coupled plasma-emission spectrometry was used to analyze all elements except N. Nitrate (NO₃-N cadmium reduction) and NH₄-N were determined by spectrophotometric flow injection analysis.

Seed emergence percentage was analyzed with generalized linear models using the binary distribution and a logit link function using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. Total root length and porometer data were analyzed with linear models using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. Differences between treatment means were determined using the Shaffer-Simulated method (P < 0.05).

Seedling growth test — 2011. A second seedling growth experiment was conducted in 2011, with design and procedural differences described below. Substrates included WPTA and WPTF (prepared as described in the 2011 Phytotoxkit test), PB [passed through a 5-mm (0.2 in) screen], and PL. Test plant species were ‘Green Ice’ lettuce, ‘Jenny’ oat, and...
'Brandywine' tomato. Seed germination percentages of the selected test species were determined prior to the experiment [lettuce (100%), oat (74%), and tomato (100%)]. Seeds were covered with 2.5 mL (0.5 tsp) of substrate and the flats were placed in growth chambers [22 C (72 F) day/18 C (64 F) night for oat and lettuce; 25 C (77 F) day/21 C (70 F) night for tomato] and subjected to a 14-h light (349-387 μmol·m–2·s–1) and 10-h dark photoperiod. Seedling emergence percentage was recorded at 8 (oat) or 9 (lettuce and tomato) DAS and seedlings were thinned to 1 per cell. The experiment was terminated at 14 (oat), 25 (tomato), or 33 (lettuce) DAS and roots were washed and digitally scanned for analysis.

Results and Discussion

Phytotoxkit tests. Preliminary statistical analyses were conducted to assess the sensitivity of the Phytotoxkit, comparing seed germination percentage and total root length between RS and SPB. Garden cress (2010) and white mustard (2010 and 2011) germination percentage was significantly lower in SPB compared with RS (Table 1). Total root length was reduced for white mustard and sorghum in both years. These results suggest the Phytotoxkit could be used for assessing salinity, but the Phytotoxkit may also be a useful tool for identifying other sources of phytotoxicity. The Phytotoxkit has been used in previous studies for evaluating the phytotoxic potential of trace and heavy metals in sewage sludge (Oleszczuk 2010) and herbicide contaminated soil (Sekutowski and Sadowski 2009).

In the 2010 experiment, garden cress seed germination percentage was lowest in PNF (10%), but germination percentage was similar among all other substrates, ranging from 90 to 97% (Table 2). White mustard seed germination percentage was 100% in all substrates, while sorghum seed germination percentage was similar among all substrates, ranging from 77 to 93%. Garden cress total root length was numerically greatest in WPTA [57 mm (2.2 in)] and lowest in PNF [12 mm (0.5 in)], yet each was statistically similar to the remaining substrates. Total root length for white mustard was similar among all substrates. Sorghum total root length was greatest in RS [94 mm (3.7 in)] and WPTA [98 mm (3.9 in)], while total root length was similar among the remaining substrates.

In the 2011 experiment, PM and PB were included so that direct comparisons could be made with commercially available substrate components. Such comparisons allow investigators to determine how the results may relate to current horticultural production practices. In this experiment, garden cress seed germination percentage was lowest in PNF (10%), but garden cress germination percentage was similar among all substrates, ranging from 80 to 97% (Table 2). White mustard seed germination percentage was 100% in all substrates, while sorghum seed germination percentage was similar among all substrates, ranging from 87 to 97%.

### Table 1. Mean seed germination percentage and total root length of three biosensor species to compare the sensitivity of the Phytotoxkit in experiments conducted in 2010 and 2011.

| Substrate          | Germination percentage (%) | Total root length (mm) |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|                    | Garden cress | White mustard | Sorghum | Garden cress | White mustard | Sorghum |
| Reference soil     |              |               |         |              |               |         |
| Saline pine bark   |              |               |         |              |               |         |
| 2010               |              |               |         |              |               |         |
| Reference soil     | 97a†         | 100a          | 93a     | 44a          | 50a           | 94a     |
| Saline pine bark   | 20b          | 40b           | 83a     | 32a          | 2b            | 58b     |

†Means followed by different letters within columns of each experiment indicate significant difference at \( P < 0.05 \) using the Shaffer-Simulated method.

### Table 2. Mean seed germination percentage and total root length of three biosensor species evaluated in 2010 using a Phytotoxkit.

| Substrate          | Germination percentage (%) | Total root length (mm) |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|                    | Garden cress | White mustard | Sorghum | Garden cress | White mustard | Sorghum |
| Reference soil     | 97a†         | 100a          | 93a     | 44ab         | 50a           | 94a     |
| Aged pine needles  | 93a          | 100a          | 90a     | 41ab         | 30a           | 60b     |
| Fresh pine needles | 10b          | 100a          | 77a     | 12b          | 39a           | 65b     |
| Aged whole pine tree | 97a         | 100a          | 93a     | 57a          | 42a           | 98a     |
| Fresh whole pine tree | 90a         | 100a          | 83a     | 47ab         | 60a           | 62b     |

†Means followed by different letters within columns indicate significant difference at \( P < 0.05 \) using the Shaffer-Simulated method.

†Pine needles (Pinus taeda) collected from the ground surrounding the trees. Hammer-milled to pass a 0.47-cm (0.19 in) screen.

†Pine needles (P. taeda) collected directly from trees. Hammer-milled to pass a 0.95-cm (0.38 in) screen.

†Processed whole pine (P. taeda) trees harvested and chipped on September 29, 2009.

†Processed whole pine (P. taeda) trees harvested and chipped on May 26, 2010.
Garden cress total root length ranged from 18 (PNF) to 66 mm (PB), but was similar for PB, RS, and WPTA. White mustard total root length was greatest in PB (89 mm (3.5 in)) and lowest in PNF (41 mm (1.6 in)). Sorghum total root length was significantly greater in RS compared with WPTA and PM, but similar to the remaining substrates.

Substrate pH ranged from 4.8 (PNA) to 6.1 (WPTA) in 2010 and 4.1 (PNA) to 5.4 (PB) in 2011 (Tables 4 and 5). Seed germination may be inhibited when seeds (various species) are subjected to a pH below 3 or above 7 (Koger et al. 2004, Shoemaker and Carlson 1990). Nevertheless, substrate pH likely did not significantly affect seed germination percentage in either year due to the high germination percentages exhibited in all substrates except PNF. Substrate soluble salt concentration ranged from 19 (RS) to 192 ppm (PNA) in 2010 and from 79 (PM) to 568 ppm (PNF) in 2011. These values are within acceptable ranges for plug production (Cavins et al. 2000) and should not adversely affect seed germination percentage or early seedling root growth.

Unsatisfactory germination percentages were observed in PNF in both years. Compounds (phenols, terpenoids, and organic acids) found in needles of certain Pinus spp. can have an inhibitory effect on seed germination (Alvarez et al. 2005). Nektarios et al. (2005) reported pine needles (P. halepensis L.) had an inhibitory effect on initial radicle growth and seedling development of two turfgrass species (Festuca arundinacea Schreb. and Cynodon dactylon [L.] Pers.) and two biosensor species (Avena sativa L. and Lemma minor L.). In their experiments, the inhibitory effects were more pronounced in fresh pine needles compared with decaying pine needles. Gaches et al. (2011a) evaluated seed germination and early radicle growth for lettuce seeds subjected to leachates of fresh and aged pine needles. In their study, seed germination was not affected, but radicle growth was reduced in the fresh pine needle leachate compared with the aged pine needle leachate. In both studies, the authors posited that compounds within fresh pine needles are responsible for the observed phytotoxicity.

In our experiments, PNF had a greater concentration of potassium compared with the other substrates in both years. The PNF potassium concentration is considered high for greenhouse substrates (Bailey et al. 2002), but no published data were found indicating a high potassium concentration would inhibit seed germination. High concentrations of other minerals (phosphorus, iron, manganese, and aluminum) were observed in PNF, but could not be considered inhibitory to seed germination or initial root growth due to their presence in PNA and other substrates in the experiments. Inhibitory effects observed for seed germination and initial root growth are likely caused by compounds present in PNF, but these compounds probably break down over time resulting in less inhibitory effects in aged pine needles.

Overall, germination percentage in WPTA and WPTF were similar to germination percentage in RS in both years, and similar to PM and PB in 2011. The whole pine tree material used in 2011 was composed of 10% (by weight) fresh pine needles, yet did not exhibit any inhibitory properties. Gruda et al. (2009) treated lettuce and tomato seeds with aqueous extracts of a pine tree substrate (containing no needles) and found that seed germination percentage and radicle length were lower in a cold water extract compared with distilled water. They also noted that washing the pine tree substrate before collecting the extracts improved seed germination percentage and radicle length. In our study, garden cress and white mustard seed germination percentage and total root length were similar for RS, WPTA, and WPTF in both years.

Although seed germination percentage and total root length tended to be numerically greater using aged whole pine tree material compared with fresh material, there were exceptions. White mustard total root length was actually numerically greater for WPTF in both years and for sorghum in 2010, where total root length was greater in a peat-lite substrate compared with fresh whole pine tree substrate. Taylor et al. (2012) also noted that T. patula growth was greater in a peat-lite substrate compared with fresh whole pine tree substrate and a substrate composed of equal parts fresh pine tree substrate and peat moss. These investigators believed that several factors, including phytotoxic compounds in the wood-based materials, may be responsible for reduced plant growth. In our experiments, whole pine tree substrates did not exhibit any effects that could be definitively interpreted as phytotoxic, especially when compared with PM and RS. Nevertheless,
Table 4. Chemical properties of substrates prior to use in assessing seed germination of biosensor plant species using a Phytotoxkit and seedling growth test in 2010.

| Substrate                        | pH  | Soluble salts | NO$_3$-N | NH$_4$-N | P   | Ca  | Mg  | K   | Na  | B   | Fe  | Mn  | Cu  | Zn  | Al  | Mo  |
|----------------------------------|-----|---------------|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Reference soil                   | 5.4 | 19            | 0.5      | 3.6      | 4.3 | 24.8| 2.5 | 7.1 | 24.2| 0.12| 0.22| 0.10| 0.03| 0.04| 1.86| < 0.05|
| Aged pine needles$^y$            | 4.8 | 192           | < 0.5    | 1.0      | 15.4| 30.1| 19.0| 47.7| 6.3 | 0.37| 0.92| 5.41| 0.05| 0.51| 5.77| < 0.05|
| Fresh pine needles$^x$           | 5.5 | 70            | 1.0      | 6.2      | 26.8| 15.7| 26.3| 343.3| 8.8 | 0.48| 3.46| 7.31| 0.04| 1.97| 10.56| < 0.05|
| Aged whole pine tree$^w$         | 6.1 | 51            | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 3.3 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 22.2| 2.2 | 0.15| 0.27| 0.05| 0.01| 0.03| 0.76| < 0.05|
| Fresh whole pine tree$^v$        | 5.7 | 141           | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 2.1 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 58.7| 3.3 | 0.19| 0.76| 0.57| 0.02| 0.07| 0.99| < 0.05|
| Peat-lite$^u$                    | 4.7 | 70            | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 0.2 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 128 | 0.18| 0.70| 0.06| 0.03| 0.04| 0.61| < 0.05|
| Pine bark                        | 4.9 | 128           | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 6.4 | 11.8| 4.7 | 48.8| 8.7 | 0.29| 9.90| 0.45| 0.06| 0.12| 22.69| < 0.05|

$^z$Extractions using the Saturated Media Extract method (Warncke 1998).

$^y$Pine needles ($Pinus taeda$) collected from the ground surrounding the trees. Hammer-milled to pass a 0.47-cm (0.19 in) screen.

$^x$Pine needles ($P. taeda$) collected directly from trees. Hammer-milled to pass a 0.95-cm (0.38 in) screen.

$^w$Processed whole pine ($Pinus taeda$) trees harvested and chipped on September 29, 2009.

$^v$Processed whole pine ($P. taeda$) trees harvested and chipped on May 26, 2010.

$^u$Peat-lite composed of peat moss:perlite:vermiculite (3:1:1, by vol).

Table 5. Chemical properties of substrates prior to use in assessing seed germination of biosensor plant species using a Phytotoxkit and seedling growth test in 2011.

| Substrate                        | pH  | Soluble salts | NO$_3$-N | NH$_4$-N | P   | Ca  | Mg  | K   | Na  | B   | Fe  | Mn  | Cu  | Zn  | Al  | Mo  |
|----------------------------------|-----|---------------|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Reference soil                   | 5.1 | 165           | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 2.5 | 29.5| 3.2 | 7.3 | 26.0| 0.13| 0.22| 0.09| 0.02| 0.05| 1.21| < 0.05|
| Peat moss                        | 5.2 | 79            | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 0.3 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 12.9| 0.18| 0.27| 0.07| 0.02| 0.06| 0.37| < 0.05|
| Pine bark                        | 5.4 | 116           | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 4.8 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 22.2| 15.8| 0.49| 0.68| 0.03| 0.01| 0.04| 1.75| < 0.05|
| Aged pine needles$^y$            | 4.1 | 211           | 0.6      | < 0.5    | 6.6 | 28.5| 28.2| 42.6| 12.8| 0.38| 0.79| 10.77| 0.05| 0.62| 25.76| < 0.05|
| Fresh pine needles$^x$           | 4.8 | 568           | 1.3      | < 0.5    | 20.2| 43.8| 53.8| 328.6| 7.8 | 0.50| 3.89| 10.68| 0.03| 2.62| 20.83| < 0.05|
| Aged whole pine tree$^w$         | 4.4 | 349           | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 7.3 | 22.5| 11.7| 122.1| 6.2 | 0.35| 2.62| 2.49| 0.04| 0.36| 3.49| < 0.05|
| Fresh whole pine tree$^v$        | 4.7 | 236           | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 3.1 | 13.2| 6.6 | 67.4| 4.9 | 0.26| 5.70| 1.50| 0.04| 0.18| 5.45| < 0.05|
| Peat-lite$^u$                    | 4.9 | 134           | < 0.5    | < 0.5    | 2.5 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 9.6 | 15.6| 0.18| 0.92| 0.21| 0.04| 0.73| < 0.05|

$^z$Extractions using the Saturated Media Extract method (Warncke 1998).

$^y$Pine needles ($Pinus taeda$) collected from the ground surrounding the trees. Hammer-milled to pass a 0.47-cm (0.19 in) screen.

$^x$Pine needles ($P. taeda$) collected directly from trees. Hammer-milled to pass a 1.2-cm (0.5 in) screen.

$^w$Processed whole pine ($Pinus taeda$) trees harvested and chipped on September 29, 2009.

$^v$Processed whole pine ($P. taeda$) trees harvested and chipped on May 26, 2010.

$^u$Peat-lite composed of peat moss:perlite:vermiculite (3:1:1, by vol).
the disparity in plant growth of crops produced in aged and fresh wood-base substrates should be investigated more thoroughly.

Seedling growth tests. Substrate pH ranged from 4.7 (PL) to 6.1 (WPTA) in 2010 and 4.4 (WPTA) to 5.4 (PB) in 2011 (Tables 4 and 5). Substrate soluble salt concentration ranged from 45 (WPTA) to 128 ppm (PB) in 2010 and from 116 (WPTA) to 349 ppm (WPTF) in 2011. In 2010, lettuce seed emergence percentage ranged from 58% (PB) to 85% (WPTA) (Table 6). Tomato seedling emergence percentage was similar for PL and WPTA and both were significantly greater than PB and WPTF. Total root length of both test species was greatest with PL in both test species and was 2.3 to 4.5 times greater than with other substrates. In 2011, seedling emergence percentage was similar in all substrates for lettuce (ranging from 86 to 96 %) and oat (ranging from 83 to 89%) (Table 7). Tomato seedling emergence percentage was greatest in WPTA (92%) and lowest in WPTF (74%). Total root length was greatest in PL for all test species, 2.2 to 11.1 times greater than in the other substrates.

Substrate physical properties (air space, container capacity, total porosity, and bulk density) were analyzed for both seedling growth experiments (Tables 8 and 9). Peat-lite had the lowest air space and greatest container capacity in both seedling growth experiments (Tables 8 and 9). Peat-lite had the lowest air space and greatest container capacity in both seedling growth experiments (Tables 8 and 9).

| Substrate          | Lettuce (Emergence percentage) (%) | Tomato (Total root length) (cm) |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Peat-lite           | 82a                                | 99a                             |
| Pine bark           | 58b                                | 81b                             |
| Aged whole pine tree | 85a                                | 96a                             |
| Fresh whole pine tree | 71ab                               | 76b                             |

Table 6. Mean seedling emergence percentage and total root length of three biosensor species evaluated in 2010 using a seedling growth test.

*Peat-lite composed of peat moss:perlite:vermiculite (3:1:1, by vol).  
*Means followed by different letters within columns indicate significant difference at \( P < 0.05 \) using the Shaffer-Simulated method.

*Processed whole pine (Pinus taeda) trees harvested and chipped on July 29, 2010.

*Processed whole pine (P. taeda) trees harvested and chipped on March 14, 2011.

Table 7. Mean seedling emergence percentage and total root length of three biosensor species evaluated in 2011 using a seedling growth test.

| Substrate          | Lettuce (Emergence percentage) (%) | Oat | Tomato (Total root length) (cm) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| Peat-lite           | 86a                                | 88a | 81ab                             |
| Pine bark           | 92a                                | 88a | 85ab                             |
| Aged whole pine tree | 86a                                | 93a | 92a                              |
| Fresh whole pine tree | 96a                               | 83a | 74b                              |

*Peat-lite composed of peat moss:perlite:vermiculite (3:1:1, by vol).  
*Means followed by different letters within columns indicate significant difference at \( P < 0.05 \) using the Shaffer-Simulated method.

*Processed whole pine (Pinus taeda) trees harvested and chipped on September 29, 2009.

*Processed whole pine (P. taeda) trees harvested and chipped on May 26, 2010.
noted low air porosity led to increased competition for oxygen among microorganisms and plant roots.

In our seedling growth experiments, substrate air space was significantly lower in PL compared with the other substrates. Total root length was substantially greater in PL, which had significantly greater container capacity compared with the other substrates. Thus, seedlings could have responded more favorably to increased water availability in PL. In both years, seedlings were watered evenly at each irrigation event until all substrates reached saturation. Substrates with greater air space and lower container capacity would drain faster and could possibly limit water availability between irrigations and be a limiting factor in seedling growth.

Jackson et al. (2009) reported high levels of nitrogen immobilization in a pine tree substrate compared with pine bark and peat moss substrates, whereas pine bark had intermediate levels of nitrogen immobilization compared with pine tree substrate and peat moss. Wood-based substrates also have a low cation exchange capacity compared with peat moss and pine bark (Jackson et al. 2010, Raviv and Lieth 2008). Although nitrogen immobilization and low cation exchange capacity could be responsible for reduced root development in WPTA and WPTF, it would not fully account for the significantly lower total root length in PB compared with PL. A combination of nutrient and water availability is likely responsible for reduced root development in PB, WPTA, and WPTF.

We demonstrated seeds of six biosensor plant species could be germinated and seedlings could be established in aged and fresh whole pine tree substrates. Differences in seed germination/emergence percentage and seedling root length could not be solely attributed to compounds in the whole pine tree substrates. An abundance of information has been published regarding producing crops in wood-based substrates, but little emphasis has been placed on seed propagation in wood-based substrates. We determined whole pine tree substrates could be used to germinate and establish young seedlings, yet further research is required to enhance and sustain seedling development in these substrates.

The Phytotoxkit was sensitive to high soluble salt concentrations in pine bark, but further investigations are needed to determine its sensitivity for other potential phytotoxic properties in horticultural substrates. Including traditional substrates as ‘controls’ in a Phytotoxkit evaluation would allow investigators to establish a baseline for inhibitory effects observed in the test. The seedling growth test was successfully used to detect differences in root growth between whole pine tree and peat-lite substrates. The Phytotoxkit and seedling growth tests could be useful tools for researchers evaluating alternative horticultural substrates.

Substrates composed of processed whole pine trees or other wood-based materials have recently become commercially available in the United States, but many growers are reluctant to switch from peat moss substrates due to their proven performance within various production methods. Demonstrating the versatility of whole pine tree substrates, from seed and cutting propagation to crop production, will positively influence growers’ perceptions of these substrates.
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