Introduction
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) research is beginning to focus on earlier stages of disease, prior to formal diagnosis of AD dementia. This focus on early symptomatic disease and populations with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) introduces issues of heterogeneity since patients at these earlier stages have not yet met the more standardizing criteria of an AD diagnosis. Understanding this heterogeneity is the first step in effectively planning studies for these early populations.

Review
One of the barriers to understanding the heterogeneity in these early populations is the difficulty in isolating cognitive domains for measurement. Most neuropsychological (NP) tests require a number of cognitive processes and, therefore, measures of multiple domains. In the previous issue of Alzheimer’s Research & Therapy, Tatsuoka and colleagues [1] get around this problem by identifying the multiplicity of cognitive domains measured by each NP test and also the level of ability in that domain that is required for maximum performance on the test. They use this information in a Bayesian statistical model that is designed to separate out the effects of different cognitive functions on the NP outcomes. Based on NP data collected in MCI subjects in the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) study, the approach yielded several potential combinations of cutoffs for baseline NP test scores and apolipoprotein E (APOE) status values which predict imminent risk of progression to AD over the course of a 24-month period.

Importantly, the authors also illustrate that more commonly applied methods, such as factor analysis and logistic regression, are not able to achieve the same level of success in predicting a diagnosis of AD, presumably because the specific domains are not able to be separated with those models. Separation of specific domains also allows grouping of the specific combinations of deficits into diagnostic subgroups (for example, amnestic single-domain MCI, amnestic multidomain MCI, and non-amnestic multidomain MCI) which then can be analyzed in terms of biomarkers and disease outcomes.

Conclusions
The ultimate validation of the method awaits replication in larger, more diverse MCI populations, as the authors acknowledge, although the method appears promising. Many different statistical approaches are currently being applied to clinical outcomes in order to identify patients who will progress to AD or patients who will have different decline rates over time. The results from these analyses can be used to help us identify a particular population and then a sensitive clinical outcome for study in a clinical trial that will result in improved power for detecting a difference. These results may also be
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helpful for identifying important stratification variables to include in a statistical model for analyzing the data from a clinical trial. Ultimately, these different statistical approaches will be evaluated by comparing their success in predicting conversion or maximizing decline rates in new populations.
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