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Altered Peptide Ligands: General Considerations

In the past, a number of studies showed that CD4+ T helper cell activation cannot be regarded as a simple on-off phenomenon. In fact, T cell recognition is degenerate, i.e. single T cells can recognize a large collection of ligands. Consequently, T cellular responses represent a continuum of intensities and thus qualities which are the consequence of differences caused by amino acid substitutions in both major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules and antigenic peptides, i.e. T cell antigen receptor (TCR) ligands.

Altered peptide ligands (APLs) were first introduced into the field of antigen presentation by Evavold and Allen [1] in 1991 through study of the relationship between proliferation and cytokine production of T cell clones. In order to circumvent the problem of selection of T cell clones by their in vitro proliferative responses, they systematically replaced single amino acids in an immunogenic peptide and tested them for their T cell activation capabilities. Initially, studies centered on murine Th1 and Th2 clones with specificity for a peptide from the minor \( \beta^d \) chain of murine hemoglobin (Hb) [1, 2] (table 1). Of note, within the collection of peptides that still bound to MHC molecules, they identified one APL that modified the peptide-MHC complex (pMHC) in such a way that cytokine production became dissociated from prolifera-
tion [1]. In fact, the clones stimulated with this altered peptide did not proliferate but were still able to produce IL-4 at levels similar to those found when T cell clones were stimulated with the cognate peptide. The identified APL was also able to indirectly stimulate B cell activation and function, i.e. B cellular proliferation and antibody production, by fostering T cell-B cell cooperation.

These studies were promptly extended by different research groups to T cell clones with other specificities, such as influenza hemagglutinin, tetanus toxoid and ovalbumin (OVA) [3–6] and also included autoreactive T cells such as those which are frequently found in major human autoimmune diseases. Along those lines, the responses to APLs of myelin basic protein (MBP) and mycobacterial 65-kD heat-shock protein-specific T cells were analyzed and the possibilities of creating novel forms of peptide-based therapies for experimental allergic encephalomyelitis and adjuvant arthritis were evaluated [7, 8].

Evavold et al. [9] coined the term ‘APL’ to better describe antigen-derived peptides bearing single amino acid substitutions that stimulate some, but not all, T cell functions. With APL they were referring to those analogues of the wild-type, immunodominant peptides, in which distinct TCR contact residues had been structurally modified by usually conservative single amino acid substitutions [9]. Given the fast expansion of the research field, it did not take long until it was evident that not all the APLs generated and studied elicited the same responses in T cells. In fact, it turned out that APLs encompass a collection of antagonists, partial agonist as well as putative super-agonists of the immunodominant wild-type peptide [10], while still others without any clearly identifiable activity were revealed.

Partial agonists are incapable of inducing T cell proliferation; however, they can elicit some other features typically associated with T cell activation, such as cytokine release or cytolysis. Particularly for Th2 cells it has been shown that partial agonists are able to dissociate cytokine production and T cell-B cell collaboration from proliferation. Of particular importance in that context, partial agonists were identified, which were able to shift the phenotype of Th cells especially upon secondary stimulation. In studies conducted by Bottomly and coworkers [11], APLs for human collagen IV were found to induce a Th2 instead of the Th1 response, which is triggered by default upon encounter with the wild-type, agonist peptide. Similarly, in Th1 cells, APLs for a pigeon cytochrome C peptide (PCC88–104) and a moth cytochrome C peptide (MCC88–103) were shown to stimulate cytokolytic activity.

---

### Table 1. Model peptides used to study APL function

| Peptide          | MHC     | Role described or differential T cell function elicited by APLs                                                                 | References |
|------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| **Murine (in vitro)** |         |                                                                                                                               |            |
| Hb(64 – 76)      | I-E<sup>k</sup> | IL-4 production and T cell-B cell collaboration (as assessed by B cell proliferation and Ig production) by Th2 clones; cytolysis activity, upregulation of CD25 and of LFA-1 and increased cell volume by Th1 clones; anergy in Th1 and Th2 clones | 1, 10, 28, 37 |
| PCC(88–104)     | I-E<sup>k</sup> | Inhibition of IL-2 production by Th1 clones; upregulation of CD25 and increased cell volume; negative selection of DP thymocytes | 12, 13     |
| OVA(257–264)    | K<sup>b</sup>   | TCR antagonism of cytolysis, cytokine production, Ca<sup>2+</sup> flux and serine esterase release in Th1 clones; induction of positive selection of thymocytes | 4, 6, 48   |
| LCMV GP(33–41)  | H-2D<sup>b</sup> | Induction of positive selection of thymocytes and cytotoxic T cell memory | 49, 197    |
| MCC(88–103)     | H-2E<sup>b</sup> | Inhibition of IL-2 production, upregulation of CD25 and increased cell volume; negative selection of DP thymocytes | 13         |
| huColIV         | I-A<sup>a</sup>, I-A<sup>b</sup> | Differential IFN-γ and IL-4 production and T cell-B cell collaboration (as assessed by Ig production) | 11         |
| **Human (in vitro)** |         |                                                                                                                               |            |
| HA(307–319)     | HLA-DR1 | TCR antagonism causing reduced proliferation of Th1 clones | 3          |
| TTI(830–843)    | HLA-DR1 | TCR antagonism causing reduced proliferation of Th1 clones | 3          |
| HA(307–319)     | HLA-DR5 | TCR antagonism as antigen-specific process | 5          |
| HBC(18–27)      | HLA-A2 | Naturally occurring TCR antagonists: antagonism of cytolytic function | 64         |

PCC = Pigeon cytochrome C; LCMV-GP = lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus-Gag protein; DP = double positive; MCC = moth cytochrome C; HA = influenza hemagglutinin; TT = tetanus toxoid; HBc = hepatitis B virus core; huColIV = human collagen IV.
upregulate IL-2 surface receptor levels and increase cellular volume all in the absence of proliferation or cytokine production [12, 13].

In contrast to partial agonists, it was initially thought that complete antagonists are not delivering any identifiable signals to the T cells by themselves but that they are capable of significantly decreasing T cell proliferation, in a dose-dependent manner, especially when offered to antigen-presenting cells (APCs) simultaneously with the agonist, i.e. in the form of a competitive inhibitor [9]. However, evidence for antagonist-driven active inhibition has accumulated in subsequent studies performed with dual receptor-expressing T cells [14–17], with several studies demonstrating that antagonism induces negative feedback signaling via the phosphatase SHP-1 [18, 19]. Ostrov et al. [5] have shown that such functional differences are sensed by the clonotypic TCR, suggesting that a single peptide can stimulate one T cell clone while antagonizing another. All these studies pointed at differential TCR signaling and thus contributed to the better understanding of the T cell activation processes that was until then considered to represent an all-or-none phenomenon [20].

Mechanism of Action of Altered Peptide Ligands

The mechanisms that account for these differential effects have been thoroughly analyzed in the past but are not yet fully clear as of today. The first model proposed for the mode of action of APLs was rather simplistic and entertained a mechanism in which only one of the signaling modules of the CD3 complex (ζζ vs. εγ and εδ) was activated upon TCR engagement when an APL was presented to the respective TCR. This was very much in contrast to agonist presentation, where full activation of all modules was observed [21]. Nevertheless, once detailed studies regarding early activation events and patterns of phosphorylation of molecules such as ZAP70 were performed, it became obvious that the signaling events comprised a higher complexity. In fact, Allen and coworkers [22] were able to demonstrate that when stimulating Th1 clones specific for Hb with anergy-inducing APLs, the pattern of phosphorylation induced by the phosphotyrosine kinases involved was unique, differing from that obtained by stimulation with the wild-type Hb peptide. In particular, the ratio between the tyrosine-phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated forms of the TCR ζ-chain was always significantly lower when using the APL for stimulation. Furthermore, the association of ZAP70 with the ζ-chain and its phosphorylation were also affected, with the phosphorylated form of the ZAP70 protein being almost absent. This clearly indicated that the subtle changes in the amino acid sequence of the stimulating peptide were unerringly translated into differential TCR signaling events as mirrored by altered engagement of downstream signaling proteins. In the latter experiments, McConnell and coworkers [23] also confirmed their initial findings by applying an APL of moth cytochrome C and showing that acid release, Ca2+-fluxing and T cell proliferation were hierarchical processes all susceptible to alterations by stimulation with the APLs. In this study, acid release measurements performed on a microphysiometer were used together with calcium fluxing experiments as complementary assays for identifying early events during T cell activation [24]. Moreover, other proteins within the TCR signaling pathway but clearly downstream of the ζ-chain were also shown to be differentially activated when T cells were activated by APLs. Amongst them is Lnk, a signal transduction protein linking TCR signaling to PLCγ1, and PLCγ1 itself, therefore providing a link between the alteration of the early phosphorylation events and the subsequent alterations observed with regards to the degree of Ca2+ mobilization and fluxing [25]. Furthermore, differential activation kinetics might also play a decisive role in that context [25–29]. By the same token, accumulating evidence for differential signaling for antagonists has been revealed more recently with activation of SHP-1 taking center stage [18, 19]. Along those lines, Ding and Shevach [30] explored whether differential signaling induced by APLs could be overcome by forced engagement of costimulatory molecules on T cells such as CD28. In this study it was found that proliferation and IL-2 production could be clearly rescued by CD28 ligation; however, CD40L and IL-12Rβ2 chain expression were found to strictly rely on pMHC signaling with the APL applied revealing complete deficiency in providing the full-blown signal usually provided by the wild-type agonist [30]. In contrast, others rather found quantitative but not qualitative differences with regard to CD40L T cell expression imposed by APLs [31]. In addition, evidence for APL-induced modulation of the migratory behavior of lymph node and splenic T lymphocytes, by significantly reducing their adheriveness for E- and P-selectins, has been reported in an experimental model of myasthenia gravis (MG) [32].
APL Design

Initially, APLs were designed by introducing single amino acid substitutions into immunogenic peptides at the sites expected to point to and to interact with the TCR engaging the pMHC complex, as determined by X-ray crystallography. This encompassed alanine scanning of residues of interest [33, 34] followed by more or less conservative substitutions regarding the size and polarity of the most promising ones [25, 35, 36]. Alternatively, conservative substitutions would span all possible residues without a first alanine-scanning step [1, 37]. These approaches were used to generate the first APLs designed, such as the Hb-derived p.E73D designed by Evavold and Allen [1] or the MCC-derived p.K99R designed by Bottomly and coworkers [25], which induced cytokine production without T cell proliferation in the respective specific T cell clones.

A somewhat different algorithm focused on exclusively altering those peptide residues binding to MHC pockets to modify their affinity for the respective MHC molecules. This approach has been applied for peptides derived from tumor- or viral-antigens since a direct correlation between peptide-MHC binding affinity and immunogenicity could already be inferred early on [38–42]. Along those lines, Parkhurst et al. [42] designed a panel of melanoma gp100-derived APLs based on motifs derived from a collection of peptides isolated from HLA-A2 molecules. Several of these APLs, in particular p.T2M, displayed increased in vitro and in vivo immunogenicity. Furthermore, X-ray crystallography and computational modeling confirmed that the increased immunogenicity was indeed mediated by higher stability of the pMHC complex [43]. Ultimately, this also caused significant alterations of the three-dimensional structure between TCR and the pMHC complex [44], indicating altered TCR recognition.

Other approaches taken include the modification of residues adjacent to those directly in the MHC groove both at the carboxyl- and amino-terminal end [45, 46]. These are usually based on observations of natural variations of the particular peptide indicating that modifications of such residues have a direct impact on the peptide-MHC binding affinity.

More recent studies took another important step towards the rational design of APLs by applying straightforward solutions to their in silico design and optimization. By using structural information of common HLA class II molecules, Chen et al. [47] optimized gp100-derived peptides by substituting MHC anchor residues for increased binding to HLA-DR4, hoping to thereby enhance the activity and action of antimelanoma-specific T cells. As planned, the in silico optimized APL revealed increased HLA-DR4 binding as tested in classical pulse/chase experiments using 125I-radiolabeled probes. However, unlike the results with a gp100-specific T cell clone showing superior responses to p.Q56A than to the wild-type peptide, the p.Q56A APL was not consistently superior to the wild-type peptide when reanalyzed by polyclonal T cells derived from 6 patients who had undergone vaccination with the wild-type peptide [47]. Thus, while structural considerations provide facile means to optimize peptide binding strength, the functional consequences of peptide alterations cannot be fully predicted but have to be meticulously analyzed by functional means in polyclonal T cell populations.

Influence of Altered Peptide Ligands on T Cell Development and Polarization

The differential behavior (partial antagonism and antagonism) of APLs together with the notion that they were capable of altering TCR signal strength when compared to the agonist (see above) rendered APLs as perfect tools with which to study T cell selection in the thymus. In fact, independent studies by Jameson et al. [48], Ashton-Rickardt et al. [49], and Hogquist et al. [6], all using fetal thymus organ cultures and testing the effects of APLs helped to demonstrate that during thymic selection pMHC complexes, but not mere MHC molecules alone, are the crucial selection structures for the developing thymocytes. Moreover, these studies also demonstrated that peptides do not only stabilize MHC molecules, but provide important specificity for the positive selection process [6, 48, 49]. Furthermore, just two years before the AIRE gene was described, the use of APLs helped Allen and coworkers [50] to support their model of the two avidity thresholds during positive and negative selection. This model suggested that in the thymus, for each TCR, there could be several ‘lookalike’ peptides that would be able to interact with that TCR, all of them with slightly different affinities. In this way, a ‘window’ of affinity defined by a lower and upper affinity threshold would be set by these peptides. T cells expressing TCR with affinities outside of this window would be either positively or negatively selected. Along those lines, Allen and coworkers [50] used a transgenic mouse model based on a T cell clone specific for the β-chain of murine Hb. These mice expressed the corresponding transgenic TCR β-chain
paired with the endogenous α-chain. This resulted in a unique system in which the clones isolated were reactive against an APL of the Hb\textsubscript{b}\textsubscript{64–76} peptide displaying Ser-69, while being antagonized by the original, wild-type peptide. In this model, the APL became an agonist while the original agonist turned into an antagonist. With this particular setup, it was possible to assess how the endogenous expression of Hb altered the selection of the TCR transgenic T cells in the thymus. Importantly, they found that the T cell populations with high or low avidity for Hb\textsubscript{b}\textsubscript{64–76} were absent in transgenic mice, which was in clear contrast to mice treated with the wild-type control peptide [50]. Consequently, the ‘window of avidity model’ was confirmed since the obtained results were in clear concordance with the findings that low affinity interactions favored positive selection while high-affinity interactions favored negative selection [6, 49]. More recent studies have shown that, in fact, recent thymic emigrants (RTE) respond with increased expansion upon stimulation with low-affinity APL, which is accompanied by increased levels of VLA-4 expression leading to improved migration to inflamed organs [51]. This was reflected by faster and more intensive ERK phosphorylation in RTE compared to mature T cells. The excellent reactivity with the APLs studied might indicate that RTE respond to a broader range of peptides and, during infection, might give rise to an early wave of short-lived effector cells [51].

As mentioned above, APLs were not only used in studies dealing with various aspects of T cell maturation but with great enthusiasm also in those centering on T cellular polarization in which they were, in fact, identified to induce differential polarization patterns (see above). This certainly belongs to the most interesting features of APLs because it identified them as attractive candidates for reshaping the function of pathognomonic T cells. Consequently, APLs were meticulously analyzed for their therapeutic potential in otherwise difficult-to-treat autoimmune diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (MS), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and others.

The first studies demonstrating a polarizing potential of APLs were, however, conducted by the group of Bottomly and colleagues [34] in different model systems. Initially, they studied naïve CD4+ T cells isolated from the lymph nodes of transgenic mice expressing a TCR specific for the MCC peptide 88–103 bound to I-E\textsuperscript{K} or I-E\textsuperscript{B}. Naïve T cells were primed with a constant amount of 5 μg/ml of different APLs thereof. After a resting phase of 48 h, the restimulation of these cultures with wild-type peptide alone led to high production of IL-4 by these T cells primed with APLs, as compared to those primed with the agonist peptide, which almost exclusively produced IFN-γ. In particular, APL p.K99R was shown to induce high levels of IL-4 and this property was again dependent on the peptide dose. Interestingly, very low doses (0.05–0.5 μg/ml) induced predominantly IFN-γ production while intermediate doses (5–50 μg/ml) led to high-level production of IL-4 and high doses (500 μg/ml) again induced a predominance of IFN-γ production.

These results were corroborated by in vivo studies using I-A\textsuperscript{B} mouse strains, which developed a Th2 response upon priming with a huColIV 12-mer peptide, or those expressing the I-A\textsuperscript{B} allele, which developed a Th1 response when stimulated with the same agonist [11]. For the first strain, two APLs were identified, i.e. α2Glu(5) and α2Ala(11) (p.P679E and p.P685A, respectively), both of which completely reversed the Th1 into Th2 phenotype as assessed by the determination of mRNA expression levels for IL-4 and IFN-γ in CD4+ T cells isolated from lymph nodes. Similar results were obtained with the second strain of mice, in which the APL α2Ala(10) (p.G678A) induced IFN-γ in the absence of IL-4 expression, exactly opposite to what was observed for the agonist [11]. Additional information gained from the collagen-induced arthritis model centered on APLs generated from human heat-shock protein 60 (HSP60) [52, 53]. Evidence was provided that APL1 induces CD4+CD25+ Treg but drives CD4+CD25+ activated effector cells into apoptosis [52]. Another HSP60-derived APL, APL2, reportedly induced IL-10 in PBMCs derived from idiopathic arthritis patients [53].

Another interesting model represents experimental autoimmune MG in which autoantibodies become directed against AChR (acetylcholine receptors) of skeletal muscles which cause muscular weakness and excessive fatigue. Two peptides comprising sequences of the human AChR (p195–212 and p259–271) have been shown to stimulate peripheral blood (PB) lymphocytes of patients with MG. A dual altered peptide ligand combining the two single amino acid analogs of p195–212 and p259–271 were shown to inhibit the activation of lymphocytes by myasthenogenic peptides and to ameliorate the clinical course of experimental autoimmune MG [32, 54, 55]. Active suppression by the dual APL was mediated by modulation of cytokine secretion, leading to increased TGF-β and IL-10, and decreased IFN-γ and IL-2 secretion. Significantly, the suppressive phenotype could be adoptively transferred by cells of treated animals [55]. In addition, the same group of authors have shown that the dual APLs also induce CD4+CD25+ [56] as well as CD8+CD28– [57] T cells. The CD4+CD25+ T cells,
which upregulate a 50-kDa ERK-like protein upon dual APL stimulation [58], seem to maximize their regulatory function by coexpressing CTLA-4 and – along with the secretion of TGF-β – induce apoptosis of effector T cells [59].

Naturally Occurring Protein Modifications Leading to APL Formation

Given that most APLs differ from their agonists only in one single amino acid, it is not difficult to envision that ‘natural mechanisms’ exist by which such derive peptides could be generated in biological systems, including the human body. These might encompass simple transcriptional or translational errors introduced by the replication machinery of the respective cells involved as well as posttranslational modifications of proteins produced within the body. Moreover, this also includes substances introduced into the body but derived from environmental sources, such as inhaled proteins, dietary proteins, or proteins derived from microorganisms in the course of an infection or simply from the microbiome. As a matter of fact, single mutations found in viral but also parasitic genomes, e.g. those in HIV [60, 61] and hepatitis B and C virus [62–64] or plasmodium [65], and resulting in the production of such APLs were indeed described. These mutations were proposed as passive mechanisms by which microorganisms might counteract the T cellular immune response by inducing anergy in pre-existing human T cells that are specific for the original/wild-type epitopes.

Compatable with these findings, several ‘naturally occurring’ APLs have been identified and linked to the establishment and/or development of distinct autoimmune diseases, including celiac disease (CD) and RA; however, such APLs are not the result of bona fide mutations but are rather the consequence of posttranslational modifications changing the chemical composition of proteins and/or immunodominant peptides generated thereof. Post-translational modifications have been shown to encompass, among other mechanisms, deamidation of asparagine to aspartic acid or iso-aspartic acid, as suggested by McAdam et al. [66] and Mamula et al. [67]. Moreover, Hill et al. [68] have shown related phenomena in RA in which deamination of arginine leads to the formation of citrullinated proteins, which in turn leads to increased peptide:MHC affinity and thus to the enhanced activation of CD4+ T cells in HLA-DR4 IE transgenic mice. Critical events seem to be those in which the affected arginine, which becomes modified to citrulline, engages the positively charged P4 anchoring pocket. Citrullination modifies the positively charged imino side chain group to an uncharged carbonyl group and thereby dramatically increases the affinity of the modified peptide for binding to the HLA-DRB1*0401 allele, known to be strongly associated with RA. This explains how posttranslational peptide modification could shape the immune response to otherwise innocuous antigens/peptides and also illustrates how the additional T cell help might drive (auto)antibody production. This assumption fits well with the strong correlation between the appearance of anti-citrulline antibodies and certain HLA alleles highly enriched within the collective of RA patients, which also clearly argues for the important role of T cell help for anti-citrulline antibody production and their contribution to mounting high titers of anti-citrulline antibodies [69]. Notably, citrullination is not a phenomenon exclusively restricted to the formation of arthritogenic peptides as it has also been described to occur in proteins typically associated with other autoimmune diseases. It also affects MBP-derived peptides and might thus also be of relevance for diseases of the central nervous system, such as MS [70].

What are the triggers for such posttranslational peptide modifications? Of relevance in this context are the findings by Ireland et al. [71] revealing that protein immunization with hen egg white lysozyme (HEL) leads to the presentation of citrullinated HEL peptides, which can be recognized with specific T cell hybridomas. In their studies, citrullination of proteins resulted from the action of peptidyl arginine deiminases (PAD), a group of enzymes overexpressed in inflammatory cells such as neutrophils, monocytes and macrophages [72]. The authors hypothesized that the massive inflammatory response induced by supplementation of the model antigen HEL with complete Freund’s adjuvants might be the driver for the preferential formation of citrullinated, immunodominant peptides. Crucial for the further understanding of the generation, presentation and recognition of citrullinated peptides will be the better characterization of the regulators of the PAD. In a transgenic system of HEL overrepresentation, in which HEL was conjugated to the TM region of Ld under the IE promoter, the authors showed that apart from the native, immunodominant HEL48–62 peptide, also the citrullinated version of the peptide, i.e. HEL48–62 cit61, became copresented. As a read-out they used T cell clones specific for either of the peptide forms. In marked contrast to the double-edged presentation by DC, B cells only presented the unmodified,
native peptides, indicating that different APCs might considerably differ in their capability to generate and thus present citrullinated peptides, which might be a function of PAD activity. Notably, B cell starvation, which represents a strong inducer of autophagy, also leads to the presentation of citrullinated peptides in this cell type [73].

Deamidation processes, along with the formation of immunogenic peptides, are also typical features of another autoimmune disease, i.e. CD. CD is an enteropathy that precipitates when genetically predisposed individuals become exposed to the cereal storage protein gluten, derived from barley, rye and wheat, or to its component gliadin. Predisposed individuals carry either a HLA-DQ2 or a HLA-DQ8 haplotype [74–77]. The great majority of patients with CD develop IgA autoantibodies directed against the extracellular matrix-associated enzyme tissue transglutaminase 2 (TG2) [78, 79]. TG2 can bind gliadin peptides and link these peptides covalently to transglutaminase by the formation of iso-peptide bonds between Q and K residues [80]. Notably, TG2 activity is increased in the affected gut tissues of CD patients [81] and T cells specific for gliadin can be isolated from the lamina propria of patients [82, 83]. In this way, gliadin transforms from an innocuous to an immunogenic protein, eventually resulting in a Th1 T cell response against the gliadin components.

Importantly, TG2 has also strong deamidation activity converting glutamine residues into glutamic acid, thus converting polar into negatively charged side chains. Fully compatible with this finding, T cells isolated from lamina propria samples of patients [84, 85] recognize deamidated gliadin peptides with high specificity. Furthermore, deamidation renders these peptides more antigenic than their nonmodified analogues [84, 85]. In fact, deamidation is selective for proline/glutamine-enriched sequences and a wide range of gluten-derived peptides containing such sequences that are targeted by TG2 have been identified and are able to activate responses by lamina propria-resident T cells isolated from patients [86–88].

Of particular interest in that context, structural analyses of the HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8 molecules have shown that both molecules contain anchoring pockets that preferentially bind negatively charged residues. These are P4, P6 and P7 for HLA-DQ2; and P1 and P9 in the case of HLA-DQ8 [89, 90]. Consequently, the posttranslational modification of gliadins by TG2 enhances the affinity of the respective peptides derived from them for binding to these HLA molecules [84, 88, 91].

In the case of patients with an HLA-DQ2 background, α-gliadin has been found to be the major antigen. Two independent groups have demonstrated with PB- [92] or gut biopsy-derived T cells [93] from CD patients that only one dominant α-gliadin T cell epitope exists, which comprises amino acid residues 57–73, containing a single deamidation site at residue 65 [92, 93]. Of particular interest, an extended 33-mer peptide spanning residues 57–88 and containing six partially overlapping sequences from three T cell epitopes has proven to be highly immunogenic after deamidation by TG2 [87, 94, 95]. This 33-mer peptide is currently being studied for the development of blocking analogue peptides (pharmacological antagonists) suitable for patients with a HLA-DQ2 haplotype. Although the 33-mer peptide contains several epitopes, it binds with a 1:1 stoichiometry to the respective MHC molecules, suggesting that possible secondary structures outside the HLA/TCR groove may play an important role for the further stabilization of its interaction [96]. Based on this sequence, two promising peptides have been derived. Both harbor a double leucine to lysine substitution at positions 11 and 18, the second peptide represents a homodimeric form of the first peptide. Using in vitro T cell activation assays, both peptides have shown strong blocking activity for the 33-mer peptide as well as for several α-gliadin and γ-gliadin peptides [96].

Apart from deimination, deamidation and citrullination events, several additional posttranslational changes of protein and peptide sequences isolated from MHC molecules have been reported, including phosphorylation and nitration of tyrosine residues [97, 98], the addition of saccharides and also of small chemical groups functioning as haptons, e.g. trinitrophenyl residues [99]. The drivers for many posttranslational modifications might be activated macrophages, dendritic cells or B cells providing nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species along with the formation of other strong cell stress-inducing molecules. In fact, infections might promote autoimmunity by inducing neo-epitopes to which autoreactive T cells, which are present in the periphery, start to react. The extent and quality of macrophage and dendritic cell activation might play a critical role in that context. Modifications might take place in several antigen-presenting compartments, including late vesicular compartments but also recycling early vesicles [100].

Apart from the above-described autoimmune diseases, hapten-specific T cells also play a major role during the development of metal and drug hypersensitivities [101–103]. Significantly, it has been shown that the undesired reactivity of hapten-specific T cells can be altered by simply modifying the culprit hapten, thereby inducing T cell anergy in the reactive T cell clones. Such 'altered hap-
ten-peptide ligands’ have been investigated by Preckel et al. [104, 105] showing that OVA-derived SIINFEKL peptides modified with DNP instead of TNP induce a reversible, anergic state in SIINFEKL-TNP-specific T cells. The findings with altered hapten-peptide ligands add to the overall concept that chemical modification of an immunodominant peptide, especially when the relevant TCR contact site(s) are concerned, might induce an altered T cell response when presented to and recognized by the specific TCR. These experiments demonstrate that, apart from variations in the primary amino acid sequence of immunodominant peptides, also secondary, chemical modifications, e.g., introduced by reactive groups or compounds, might impact on peptide recognition and in turn influence T cell function. Furthermore, it also shows potential ways of how to influence the reactivity of drug-specific or autoreactive T cells, as those present in RA (see above) [68] and drug hypersensitivity [103].

**Type B Peptide Binding and Type B T Cells as a Form of Alternative Peptide Presentation and Recognition**

Yet another major mechanism of how alternative peptides might become presented and induce altered T cell responses has been meticulously described by Unanue and coworkers [106–109]. Most interestingly, they have identified two sets of T cells, which differ in their recognition of the same immunodominant peptide segments of HEL, amino acid residues 48–61. Type A T cells are conventional T cells, which recognize the MHC-bound peptide resulting from the processing of the conformationally intact HEL protein in the intracellular processing compartment. Such T cells also recognize the immunodominant peptide when offered to APCs as exogenous peptide and bound to cell surface-expressed MHC, indicating that these peptides do not necessarily require intracellular processing to become properly recognized by specific T cells. This is very much in contrast to type B T cells, which exclusively recognize peptides bound exogenously but not those derived from intracellular processing of the whole protein [110]. Unanue and colleagues [106, 109] suggested that the type B state of pMHCs might result from a unique/alternative conformation of the involved peptides, which can only be engaged when destabilized exogenous proteins/peptides bind to surface-expressed or early endosomal-resident MHC class II proteins. The accidental generation of type B pMHCs in the periphery along with the activation of type B T cells could be an important trigger for autoimmune reactions and might be the motor for the expansion of type B T cells. According to this hypothesis, inflammatory conditions in the periphery might create a milieu, which promotes cell death and extracellular protein destabilization and degradation, favoring the formation of a pool of proteins/peptides amenable to take on ‘type B configuration’ upon binding to cell surface-expressed MHC molecules. Under physiological conditions, no such extracellular protein degradation environment is present, which might explain why type B-reactive T cells could escape negative selection in the thymus, can populate the periphery and assume an autoimmune phenotype when entering into chronically inflamed tissues, for example. Biochemically, discrimination between proteins/peptides bound to surface-expressed MHC molecules versus MHC molecules expressed in the MIIC is possible and can be assessed by their stability upon exposure to the nonionic detergent sodium lauryl sulfate (SDS) [111, 112]. It is well known that proteins/peptides bound to surface-expressed MHC molecules generally give rise to SDS-unstable pMHCs and are the resultant of partially folded molecules/peptides binding to receptive MHC class II molecules [113]. This is in contrast to SDS-stable complexes, which result from intracellular processing of whole proteins and give rise to long-lived pMHCs. Conformational flexibility and/or destabilization of a protein/peptide when associating with a receptive, surface-expressed MHC molecule might thus favor alternative modes of peptide binding, possibly taking advantage of different registers for association with MHC molecules when compared to the immunodominant peptides derived from proteins processed intracellularly in the MIIC. This mode of peptide binding is independent of the classical protein-processing machinery and can thus also be observed with chemically fixed APCs. That type B T cell reactivity is the mere result of posttranslational protein modification (see above) has been heavily disputed by Unanue and coworkers [114].

**Altered Peptide Binding Influenced by Cofactors Such as MHC Loading Enhancers**

The amounts of immunogenic peptides presented to antigen-specific T cells upon primary and secondary encounter has a strong impact on the subsequent functional output of the respective T cells. Of interest in that respect is the observation that the degree of peptide loading can be modulated by substances impacting on the ’receptivity’ of cell surface-expressed MHC molecules. Under
steady-state conditions in professional APCs, the efficient loading of MHC class II molecules with peptides is accomplished by the chaperone molecule DM [115]. This process takes place in the acidic environments of the MHC class II peptide loading compartments (MHC) in APCs [116, 117]. Notably, exogenously administered peptides applied with the intention to modulate immune responses face the intrinsic problem that they are becoming rapidly degraded by proteases present in almost all bodily fluids. The in vivo half-life of immunogenic peptides can, however, be prolonged by substances catalyzing and thus accelerating DM-independent peptide loading onto cell surface-expressed MHC class II molecules or those residing in early endosomal compartments [118]. In the past, several groups of small molecules catalyzing peptide exchange in a DM-independent manner, and thus acting as 'MHC loading enhancers' (MLE), have been identified. This collection includes simple alcohols [118], short linear peptides of 2–7 amino acids in length [119], circular peptides [120], adamantyl compounds [121], inorganic metal complexes and other small-molecule enhancers [122]. What are the mechanistic principles of these substances? MLE are believed to interfere with and to interrupt the hydrogen bonds, which are formed between peptides and MHC class II molecules and thereby facilitate peptide exchange [118]. By that mechanism MLE would also turn already empty MHC molecules from a peptide-nonreceptive into a peptide-receptive state, and by doing so they facilitate their loading with peptides present only in the extracellular environment. This not only enhances the reactivity against already immunogenic peptides by increasing the number of pMHC complexes, but might also induce immune responses against antigens, which would otherwise remain ignored by the immune system. Since several drugs, such as the antiviral and anti-Parkinsonian drug rimantadine [123, 124], as well as the antidiabetics saxagliptin [125] and vildagliptin [126], are in fact based on the adamantyl-like cage structure, it can be envisioned that they themselves or their metabolites might impact on the repertoire of peptides presented in individuals taking the respective drug. Other MLE, such as those based on di-peptides, depend for their generation on dipeptidyl peptidases, which in fact also have prominent representatives in the immune system such as dipeptidyl peptidase IV, also called CD26 [127, 128], a molecule highly expressed on T lymphocytes [129]. The generation and repertoire of dipeptides might, apart from pharmacological modulators and the activity of peptides, also depend on the availability of appropriate substrates, i.e. those ingested as nutrients or those that are a part of microorganisms. That MLE-catalyzed peptide exchange indeed leads to enhanced biological responses also in vivo has been very convincingly shown in a report by Dickhaut et al. [130] demonstrating superior tumor-specific immune responses against NY-ESO 1 protein upon s.c. application of NY-ESO peptide in the presence of adamantyl ethanol. Similar improvements have been shown with the whole encephalitogenic MBP protein [122, 130, 131]. Thus, enhanced loading of peptides increasing the presentation by APC might per se represent a mechanism to alter TCR signaling and thus modify subsequent T cellular responses.

**Allergen-Derived Altered Peptide Ligands and Their Function**

Evidence for the natural occurrence of APLs of major allergens has been described in the past. In the field of allergies, the phenomenon of cross-reactivity has been well described not only for B cell epitopes, but also for T cell epitopes [132–139] in particular between major pollen and major food allergens [140–143]. A thoroughly studied system is that of Bet v 1, the major birch (*Betula verrucosa*) pollen allergen. Jahn-Schmid et al. [132, 144–146] identified Bet v 1 142–156 as the immunodominant T cell epitope. Moreover, they have shown that Bet v 1 142–156 reactive TCL and TCC also become activated in response to homologous peptides derived from major food sources, such as apple (Mal d 1), cherry (Pru av 1), carrot (Dau c 1), celery (Api g 1) and hazelnut (Cor a 1). The homology between Bet v 1 142–156 and the cross-reactive peptides ranges between 73 and 80%, which indicates differences in 5–8 amino acids, with 33–66% of the amino acid exchanges being conservative. Some of these T cell epitopes become exposed upon digestion and remain fairly stable, e.g. Api g 1, Mal d 1 and Cor a 1, enabling them to activate a distinct fraction of Bet v 1-specific TCC [147]. Together, these are excellent examples for the occurrence of 'natural APLs' of an immunodominant aeroallergen. While primary stimulation with these peptides did not provide any evidence for differential T cell activation [132], it remains to be shown whether preexposure to the respective food-derived peptides would alter T cell function upon re-encounter with the immunodominant Bet v 1 epitope.

Apart from these naturally occurring APLs, manufacture of altered peptide ligands of a restricted number of major allergens has been described in the past. The first in the series was an APL described for a T cell clone spe-
Table 2. APL of immunodominant allergen peptides

| Allergen source | Peptide | HLA | Differential T cell response observed | Ref. |
|-----------------|---------|-----|--------------------------------------|------|
| Japanese cedar (Cryptomeria japonica) pollen | Cry j 1335–346 | DRB3*0301 | p.T339G and p.T339Q induced 70% less T cell proliferation, and no IL-4, IL-2 or IFN-γ. p.T339V induced more IFN-γ than wild-type. 339Thr ‘hot-spot’ for altered T cell recognition in Cry j 1 | 148 |
| House dust mite (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus) | Der p 1 141–142 | DRB1*1101, DRB1*1501 | 3/4 of Der p 1 141–142 Variants (p.R95A, p.Y96A and p.Q101A) and 2/6 of Der p 1 171–182 variants (p.N173A and p.Q181A >50%) antagonized proliferation induced by wild-type peptides. p.R95A and p.Y96A as well as p.N173A and p.Q181A also blocked IL-2 and IFN-γ, but not IL-4 production. T cell clones stimulated with wild-type peptide in the presence of p.Y96A provide less help to B cells for IgE production, reflected by less CD40L expression | 149 |
| Spreading pellitory (Parietaria judaica) pollen | Par j 1 147–165 | DRB1*01, DRB1*03, DRB1*04 | p.K52V, p.K57A and p.K60A represent poor stimulators of T cell proliferation, all binding with similar albeit lower affinity compared to wild-type to HLA molecules examined, but only p.K52V and p.K57A inhibit T cell proliferation | 153 |
| Bee venom | PLA 81–92 | DPB 1 | p.F82A, p.V83A, p.K85A, p.Y87A and p.L90A revealed significantly reduced proliferation and cytokine production. p.F82A led to an inverse IL-4/IFN-γ ratio due to preferential IL-4 inhibition. Preincubation with p.F82A induced anergy-specific T cells accompanied by reduced ZAP70 phosphorylation upon restimulation with mAb to CD3/CD28 | 154 |
| Domestic cattle (Bos domesticus) | Bos d 2 127–142 | DRB1*0401 | p.N135D and p.N133K stimulate T cell clones at lower concentrations than wild-type peptide (TCR modulation, CD25 neo-expression). Both APLs induce an increase in the IL-4/IFN-γ ratio. Both APLs induce increased cell death upon culture for 10 days with T cell clones | 157, 158, 210 |

Specific for the Japanese cedar pollen allergen Cry j 1 [148]. This clone recognized the Cry j 1 335–346 peptide in a HLA-DRA/HLA-DRB3*0301-restricted manner (table 2). Out of a series of analogue peptides generated by the nonconservative exchange of single amino acids, five peptides were identified by the authors, which lost their T cell-activating capacity. However, peptide binding assays identified 2 out of these 5 peptides (338Leu and 341Asn) as having also lost their MHC binding capacity. Moreover, residues 337 and 342 were identified to be important for interactions with the TCR and/or the HLA molecules, respectively. Analogue peptides inducing no proliferation were further analyzed to determine whether they functioned as TCR antagonists. Peptides with the substitutions p.T339G and p.T339Q induced 70% less T cell proliferation and no IL-4, IL-2 or IFN-γ secretion when compared to wild-type peptides, which identified them as potential antagonists. Of note, another peptide with the substitution p.T339V induced significantly more IFN-γ, although proliferation rates were similar to the wild-type peptide. Thus residue 339Thr was identified as a ‘hot spot’ for altered T cell recognition within the Japanese cedar pollen allergen Cry j 1 335–346 peptide, which led to altered T cell responses in this model.

The group of Yssel and coworkers [149] analyzed in detail the response of Der p 1-specific T cell clones against modified synthetic peptides directed against the house dust mite major allergen peptides Der p 1 94–104 and Der p 1 171–182. Using three Th2 T cell clones and autologous EBV-LCL clones, they identified in an Ala/Gly-scan those peptide substitutions that failed to induce T cell proliferation when compared to the wild-type peptide. Notably, the lack of the proliferation-inducing capacity of these peptides was in every case also accompanied by the inability to induce cytokine production [149]. Thus, in contrast to the previous report, herein no dissociation between cytokine production and proliferation could be observed. Since the authors did not perform any binding assays to the respective HLA restriction elements with the APL under investigation, they could not really tell whether the observed lack of proliferation was due to a lack of HLA binding, a lack of TCR engagement, or both. Nevertheless, they analyzed whether the identified peptides would antagonize the action of the wild-type peptide when coincubated at 10- to 100-fold excess. Indeed, three out of the four nonstimulatory Der p 1 94–104 peptides and two out of the six Der p 1 171–182 peptides antagonized proliferation of the T cell clones induced by the respective wild-type peptides. The in-depth analysis of amino acid residue 173 in the Der p 1 171–182 peptide modified by alternative amino acid substitutions revealed peptides with varying antagonistic activities, ranging from 9 to 78%. Antagonism was dose dependent, with maximum inhibition detected at a 100-fold molar excess of the antagonist. Remarkably, the antagonists inhibited IL-2 and IFN-γ production more dramatically than IL-4 production. In
addition, to the APL-induced inhibition of proliferation and cytokine production, coincubation with antagonistic peptides also inhibited CD154 (CD40L) neo-expression on the T cell clones as well as IgE production by cocultured B cells. In summary, Yssel and collaborators [149] have convincingly shown that the antagonistic Der p 1 peptides identified also block T cell-dependent class switch recombination and subsequent IgE production by B cells in vitro. They did, however, not find any indication that the antagonistic peptides would induce segregation of proliferation from cytokine production, as has been shown so convincingly for APLs in murine model systems [1, 37]. The direct in vivo application of such HDM-derived antagonists is certainly limited by the fact that house dust mite extracts consist of a considerable number of different major allergens [150–152], which are recognized by a whole collection of different HLA class II molecules. Thus, approaches using more than one APL for clinical therapy would certainly be required.

Antagonistic peptides have also been described for the major allergen of *Parietaria judaica*, Par j 1 [153]. Three T cell lines were used to identify the T cell stimulatory peptide Par j 147–65. Substitutions with alanine or valine residues at amino acid positions of Par j 147–65 which seemed unlikely to represent major anchor residues led to the identification of three peptides with a reduced T cell stimulatory capacity. All three peptides clearly bound to the whole range of HLA class II molecules (HLA-DR1, -DR4, -DR3) examined. In fact, affinity determination revealed that the altered peptides had a lower affinity for HLA-DR1 than the wild-type peptide. The fact that all three peptides identified, i.e. 52 Val, 57 Ala and 60 Ala blocked the response of the T cell lines coincubated with either wild-type peptide or the entire Par j 1 protein, indicated that the peptides did not exert their inhibitory function by blockade of the HLA molecules, but rather by bona fide TCR antagonism. This interpretation was corroborated by the fact that the altered peptides were used in a concentration range that maximally represented a 2-fold excess over wild-type peptides [153]. As the authors put it, this made peptide competition for available HLA-DR molecules (HLA blockade) an unlikely explanation for the observed inhibition in their experiments [153]. De Palma et al. [153] pointed out that altered Par j 147–65 Peptides that downregulate specific T cell responses could eventually also lead to lower IgE production. The promiscuous binding behavior of the Par j 147–65 Peptide and its antagonists, which have been shown to bind to a number of common HLA class II alleles, makes such an approach plausible.

For the major bee venom allergen phospholipase A2 (PLA), an altered peptide ligand specifically inhibiting Th2 cytokine synthesis has been characterized [154]. In this study all residues within the immunodominant PLA81–92 peptide were substituted by alanine. While substitution of the C- and N-terminal amino acids of the PLA81–92 peptide did not have any influence on their T cell stimulatory capacity, similar substitutions at residues 84, 86, 88 and 89 completely abrogated the T cell stimulatory capacity of the respective altered peptides. Notably, alanine substitutions at the residues 82, 83, 85, 87 and 90 reduced proliferation of T cell clones under study. Moreover, cytokine secretion experiments showed that the pattern of IL-4 and IFN-γ production in response to the APLs directly correlated with the proliferation data, i.e. they were generally reduced. However, APL p.F82A stood out with respect to its clearly more prominent reduction of IL-4 than IFN-γ production. This effect of APL p.F82A was confirmed by investigating PBMCs from an allergic donor, in which APL p.F82A, in contrast to the wild-type peptide, did not induce any IL-4 production, while similar amounts of IFN-γ were induced by both peptides, corroborating the preferential decrease of the IL-4:IFN-γ ratio. Of note, the Th2 cytokine repressing nature of APL p.F82A also led to increased levels of IgG4 production in vitro. Binding experiments showed that APL p.F82A, in fact, bound with lower affinity to the restricting HLA-DP molecules. Additionally, the authors found that preincubation of the T cell clone with either the wild-type peptide or APL p.F82A for 16 h in the absence of APC induced a state of anergy in such treated T cells. In fact, subsequent reculture of the thoroughly washed T cells with autologous APC in the presence of wild-type peptide showed both suppressed cytokine production and proliferation. This might be the result of peptide presentation between T cells in the absence of IL-2, resulting in the secretion of high levels of IL-10 which might, in fact, synergize with the IL-2-depriving effect [155, 156]. Finally, biochemical experiments demonstrated reduced ZAP-70 tyrosine phosphorylation in peptide-treated T cells. The authors concluded that such PLA-specific APLs, which alter the pattern of secreted cytokines in restimulated T cell cultures, may improve the efficacy of specific immunotherapy, alone or in combination with the wild-type peptide or even as a component of a recombinant protein [154].

Two different APLs have been defined for the lipocalin Bos d 2 by single amino acid substitutions at position 135 (p.N135D) and 133 (p.Q133K) [157, 158]. These APLs have the peculiar characteristic that they stimulate Bos d 2127–142-specific T cell clones already at much lower
concentrations than the wild-type peptide. This is in contrast to saturating peptide concentrations, at which both wild-type and modified peptides induced a similar degree of proliferation. This was also mirrored in experiments determining the degree of TCR modulation as a sign of T cell activation. APLs efficiently modulated the surface expression of allergen-specific TCR already at lower concentrations and to a larger extent when compared to the wild-type peptide. The differences observed in TCR downregulation experiments were mirrored by CD25 neo-expression and confirmed that compared to the wild-type peptide much lower concentrations of the APL were required to induce a similar degree of T cell activation. Using tetramers loaded with either wild-type peptide or APL, the authors confirmed that the TCR of the allergen-specific T cell clones have a higher affinity for the APL as compared to the tetramers loaded with wild-type peptide. Moreover, the IL-4/IFN-γ ratio was decreased when T cell clones were cultured with APL, revealing the induction of a Th2 to Th1 shift. This might be a reflection of the increased TCR signal brought about by the APL. Notably, the APL induced a higher degree of cell death during a 10-day observational period when compared to the wild-type peptide, which was compatible with previous observations. The authors concluded that the heteroclitic APL developed by them deviate the immune response towards Th1 and induce more T cells to undergo apoptosis or to enter a state of hyporesponsiveness when compared to the wild-type peptide.

A major drawback of these studies was, however, that none of the human-relevant allergens under investigation could be evaluated in a meaningful way in vivo due to the lack of humanized animal models with specificity for them. That APLs, in principle, can impact on allergic inflammation and airway hyperresponsiveness has recently been shown for the model allergen OVA [159]. OVA represents a frequently used model allergen in allergy research (although not human relevant) especially when it comes to test experimental airway hyperresponsiveness. In the report by Janssen et al. [159] several peptide analogues of the immunodominant T cell epitope of OVA323–339 have been generated and characterized. Among the 12 peptide analogues, two failed to stimulate while two partially stimulated OVA-specific DO11.10 T cells. Seven peptide analogues induced similar proliferation and cytokine production by DO11.10 T cells as the wild-type peptide. Notably, the peptide p.Q336A was identified as a superagonist, revealing both increased proliferation rates as well as enhanced IFN-γ and IL-2 production. Thus, p.Q336A induced a shift of the cytokine profile already during primary stimulation. When Th2-biased cell lines obtained after repeated (3×) stimulation with the wild-type peptide were restimulated with p.Q336A, only IFN-γ but no IL-4 and IL-5 was produced by them. This was in contrast to restimulation with the wild-type peptide, which consistently led to high IL-4 and IL-5 but low IFN-γ production. This clearly demonstrated that the superagonistic p.Q336A peptide not only induced a Th1-bias during primary stimulation, but that it is also able to modulate already polarized Th2 cells towards a Th1 phenotype. For the subsequent in vivo studies, the candidate peptides were incorporated into liposomes in order to improve their in vivo half-life, which is otherwise limited by proteases present in bodily fluids. In fact, therapy with liposome-encapsulated p.Q336A peptide analogues of OVA-sensitized mice revealed significantly reduced BAL eosinophilia levels upon rechallenge with OVA. Moreover, peptide therapy with the superagonist significantly reduced IL-4 and IL-5 production in lung-draining lymph node cells, while it did not have any impact on OVA-specific immunoglobulin levels. This study very clearly showed that administration of Th2-skewing peptides might aggravate while Th1-skewing peptides can ameliorate allergic diseases, at least in the experimental setting of OVA-induced hyperreactivity [159].

**Therapy with (Wild-Type) Peptides and APLs**

The recognition of peptides displayed by APCs is crucial for the induction of primary T cell immune responses. In certain diseases, including IgE-associated immune reactions, the T cellular immune response, besides other factors, decides whether an individual will develop a protective (blocking antibodies, Treg cells) or a pathological (IgE-driven) immune response. To appropriately modulate the respective immune response into the desired, protective direction, peptide immunotherapy was introduced as a possible therapeutic modality. In peptide immunotherapy patients are treated with nonmodified, wild-type, immunodominant peptides [160]. The current hypothesis postulates that peptide immunotherapy influences the course of disease mainly by the induction of Tregs producing the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 [161]. Moldaver et al. [162] could even demonstrate that in polysensitized mice (OVA and house dust mite), house dust mite-specific peptide therapy ameliorates OVA-induced asthma and induces IL-10 in the absence of IL-35 induction. However, Briner et al. [163] earlier described...
CD25–CTLA-4+Foxp3– Treg. These piTregs are characterized by the phenotype antigen stimulation is referred to as peptide-induced hyporesponsiveness and/or cell death [164–168]. The observed outcome may also depend on the chosen immunization route, as for example intranasal delivery may lead to mucosal tolerance induction [169].

Notably, IL-10-dependent immunoregulation induced by peptide immunotherapy was observed in other murine models of allergic diseases, such as in birch pollen- [170], house dust mite- [171] and cat dander- [163] mediated allergies. The T cell type supposed to become induced by peptide immunotherapy following persistent antigen stimulation is referred to as peptide-induced Treg. These piTregs are characterized by the phenotype CD25–CTLA-4+Foxp3– [172] and they reportedly express the transcription factors Tbet and Egr2 [173]. In principle, peptide immunotherapy represents an attractive therapeutic strategy, since it entirely focuses on T cell epitopes derived from major allergens (antigens), which are usually not recognized by the patients’ IgE [174] and therefore their application should not bear the risk of anaphylactic reactions triggered by type I immune reactions. Peptides derived from Fel d 1, the major cat dander allergen, have already been tested in clinical studies and, in fact, positively influenced the course of the disease [175–177].

Although, in principle, peptide immunotherapy (the same holds true for APL therapy) seems to be effective, the diverse set of HLA molecules in patients and thus the largely unpredictable course of peptide binding within the overall population of affected individuals represents a major caveat [169]. Consequently, the ensuing T cell responses to both wild-type and variant peptides still need to be empirically determined for each peptide immunogen designed for therapeutic application [178].

Moreover, peptide immunotherapy is also not completely devoid of adverse reactions, in fact, late-phase reactions causing airway hyperreactivity have been documented following the application of therapeutic peptides. In particular, in the first studies applying peptides from the cat allergen Fel d 1, patients developed postinjection symptoms upon treatment with Fel d 1 peptides [179] (table 3). This led to a change to the strategy for peptide design and to the use of shorter peptides in subsequent experiments [175, 176].

Apart from allergies, peptide (altered peptide) immunotherapy was also considered to be a possible therapeutic modality for otherwise hard-to-treat autoimmune and/or inflammatory diseases, such as MS [180]. Notably, Streeter et al. [181] developed a new peptide-based therapy for MS, consisting of a mixture of different T cell epitopes derived from MBP that ameliorated the disease when applied prophylactically and also therapeutically to Obx-DR2 mice.

Very similar to the binding and function of wild-type peptides derived from microbial sources, tumors or allergens, the functional effects induced by APLs also strongly depend on the immune response molecules, i.e. the HLA alleles, they are binding to and which affect their presentation to T cells. Consequently, while a given APL might function as a bona fide superagonist, partial agonist or even as an antagonist on a certain MHC background expressing the appropriate MHC allele, it might cause different, even opposite effects when introduced into another background.

While this is not surprising per se, it certainly demonstrates that APLs have to be regarded as precision medicine [182], which can be highly successful in genetically well-defined subgroups of individuals, but not necessarily in the overall population. In that respect the immunomodulatory behavior of APLs is not different from classical, immunodominant peptides. They also tend to unfold their full immunostimulatory repertoire only in the context of the appropriate restriction element(s). However, it requires that APLs become tailored to the individual patient(s), i.e. those expressing the appropriate but lacking possibly incompatible HLA alleles. Of note, some autoimmune and/or inflammatory diseases, such as RA or CD [78, 79, 183, 184], as well as a few allergies, such as allergy to mugwort pollen [132], show strong associations with small sets of HLA alleles.

With today’s high-resolution HLA-typing algorithms, this restriction does not seem to represent a major disadvantage of future APL therapies. In addition, efficacy might be further improved by the use of a mixture of
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| Disease                              | Peptide                          | Patients, dosing and route | Proposed mechanism of action and outcome                                                                 | References |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Perennial allergic rhinitis and asthma | ALLERVAX CAT (Fel d 17–33, Fel d 129–152) | n = 95 each peptide at 7.5, 7.5 or 750 μg/week 4 SC doses | Tolerization of T cells by high concentrations of peptide in the absence of APC. Maintains IFN-γ, but stops IL-4 and IL-2 production. Outcome: reduced NS and LSS compared to placebo (−2.3±4.9 vs. −0.8±0.5 and −2.3±6.0 vs. −0.8±0.6, respectively). Fel d 1-specific IgE and IgG unchanged after 6 weeks of treatment (750-μg dose). AE (750-μg group) included chest tightness, nasal congestion and itchy eyes (16 out of 24 patients). | 179, 198 |
| Perennial allergic rhinitis and asthma | FCIP (Fel d 111–127, Fel d 122–137, Fel d 126–144) | n = 40 1 × 40 μg | ID immunization with T cell epitope-containing peptides induces late skin reactions independently of IgE thereby inducing tolerization to the allergen. Outcome: 9 patients displayed significantly decreased FEV1 after 2–6 of treatment, without showing immediate or late skin reactions to the peptides. A second dose of 80 μg was applied after 2–6 weeks or 12 months in 6 patients (3 and 3, respectively). The first group showed reduced LARs while the second 3 displayed LARs of similar magnitude to those after the initial dose | 199 |
| Perennial allergic rhinitis and asthma | 12 Fel d 1 peptides (16–17 mers) overlapping by 4–10 residues | n = 24 1.25 or 5 μg 1 or 2 doses in 2–14 weeks ID | Tolerance induction preceded by strong T cell activation. Hyporesponsiveness upon secondary stimulation through intramolecular epitope, bystander or infectious suppression. Outcome: dose-dependent FEV1 reduction and loss of LAR with second dose in patients that presented with LAR after first dose. Reduction of IL-4, IL-13 and IFN-γ production by PBMC from the patients after 1 dose | 200 |
| Perennial allergic rhinitis and asthma | 12 Fel d 1 peptides (16–17 mers) overlapping by 4–10 residues | n = 24 total dose: 0 μg CD4+CTLA-4+ cells at injection site (24 h) | Same principle as above. Outcome: significant differences only between baseline and the follow-up treatment groups (4–8 weeks and 8–9 months) but not compared to placebo: increase of IL-10 and reduction of IL-4, IL-13 and IFN-γ production by PBMC; reduction of LAR to whole cat dander and Fel d 1 in both follow-ups; reduction of the early cutaneous reaction to Fel d 1 at second follow-up | 201 |
| Perennial allergic rhinitis and asthma | 11 Fel d 1 peptides (16–17 mers) overlapping by 5–10 residues | n = 8 total dose: 41.1 μg ID | Tolerization with short overlapping peptides should induce a distinct Th cell recruitment to the allergen-challenge sites. Outcome: decreased airway responsiveness and inhibition of late-phase cutaneous reactions to whole cat allergen. Increase of cutaneous CD4+IFN-γ+ and CD4+CD25+ cells but not of CD4+IL-10+ or CD4+CTLA-4+ cells at injection site (24 h) | 202 |
| Perennial allergic rhinitis and conjunctivitis | Cat-PAD (Fel d 140–155, Fel d 155–171, Fel d 155–171, Fel d 145–150, Fel d 145–150, Fel d 145–150, Fel d 121–137, Fel d 131–147, Fel d 111–161) | n = 202 peptide-mix 4 × 75 μg monthly or 8 × 37.5 μg biweekly ID | Suppression of IL-4, IL-13 and IFN-γ and enhancement of IL-10 production creates an environment beneficial for Treg polarization. Outcome: improvement in TRSS compared to placebo after 18–22 (−5.4±5.8 vs. −2.8±5.3) and 50–54 (−6.8±5.7 vs. −2.9±5.6) weeks of treatment. No AE. Follow-up: reduction in TRSS compared to placebo after 1 (−7.1±7.7 vs. −3.0±5.6) and 2 (−5.9±9.5 vs. −2.0±5.7) years as assessed in EEC and TNSS | 175, 176 |
| Perennial allergic rhinitis and asthma | Amb a 1 (3 peptides) | n = 960 75 or 750 μg 1–2 times/week SC | Immunization with long peptides comprising several T cell epitopes, conferring possible protection on population level. Outcome: significant reduction of RCS and NS compared to placebo, reduction of the mean TSS during the overall season. AE comprised no responses in <1% of the patients | 204 |
| Bee venom allergy | Api m 145–62, Api m 147–92, Api m 1133–124 | n = 5 initial total dose: 97.1 μg maintenance dose: 100 μg/week for 3 weeks SC | Induction of tolerance to the whole allergen by inducing T cell anergy and by decreasing the IgE/IgG4-ratio. Outcome: suppression of IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-13 and IFN-γ produced by PBMC after 2 months of treatment in 3 of 5 patients. Significant increase of IgE and IgG4 favoring IgG4 after 6 months of treatment. AE only in patients without improvement (urticaria lips and eyelids, erythema on face, urticarial wheels on chest and thighs) | 205 |
| Bee venom allergy | Api m 145–62, Api m 147–92, Api m 1133–124 | n = 9 initial total dose: 251 μg maintenance dose: 5 × 100 (or 300) μg during next 70 days ID | Long overlapping peptides to overcome the high variation between epitope restriction. Avoidance of IgE response but induction of T cell hyporesponsiveness and immune deviation. Outcome: induction of T cell anergy and of IFN-γ- and IL-10- (but not Th2 cytokine) producing T cells after 42 days of treatment. Increase of specific IgG4 but not IgE levels. AE included pruritus (palms) and erythema (trunk) | 206 |
was changed to 5 mg weekly for 1 month followed by 5 mg monthly for 8 months.

Seven escalating doses (0.1, 1, 5, 25, 50 μg) applied at 30-min intervals. Seven escalating doses (0.1, 1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 100 μg) of each of the three peptides applied at 30-min intervals. Seven escalating doses (0.1, 1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 100 μg) of each of the three peptides applied at biweekly intervals, when indicated with repetitions.

APLs covering more than one important epitope within an immunodominant protein.

The identification of HLA alleles, e.g. in clinical trials, which might be incompatible with APL therapy, seems to be a more challenging task in that respect. Another possible disadvantage of APL-based therapies is their requirement for parenteral application by repeated injections. However, also this potential disadvantage could be dealt with, for instance with the appropriate formulation of peptides, e.g. by lipid coating (liposomes) increasing the peptides’ half-life in vivo [185].

Another unknown possibly influencing the functional effects of APLs is the molecular set-up of the APCs the APLs are binding to. APCs exist in different ‘flavors’ [186].

Table 3 (continued)

| Disease                        | Peptide       | Patients, dosing and route | Proposed mechanism of action and outcome                                      | References |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Bee venom allergy             | Api m 145–627| n = 12 initial total dose: 131.1 μg. Maintenance dose: 3 × 100 μg (weekly) | High affinity peptides for collection of HLA molecules commonly expressed in the population. Outcome: induction of T cell anergy and IL-10 production, and reduction of IL-13 production after 12 weeks of treatment. Reduction of cutaneous late-phase reactions to allergen challenge. Transient and mild increase of allergen-specific IgG. | 207, 208   |
| Secondary progressive multiple sclerosis | ATX-MS-1467 (MBP83–99, MBP138–144, MBP140–154, MBP156–170) | n = 6 initial total dose: 575 μg. Maintenance dose: 2 × 800 μg weekly or biweekly | Repetitive exposure to the antigenic peptide induced hyporesponsiveness of the Th1 polarized T cells. Outcome: the treatment was well tolerated, there was no change in the EDSS or development of antibody directed against the peptides administered in any patient. Reduction of the T cell proliferation in response to MBP 1 month after the last dose. IL-10 mRNA increase dependent on HLA background. AE: muscle spasms and decreased ability in both legs (n = 2) | 181        |
| Multiple sclerosis            | NBI-5788 (MBP83–99) | n = 14 5, 10 or 20 mg weekly for 4 weeks | Outcome: increased frequency of APL-responsive T cells compared to placebo (35.8 ± 12.8 vs. 6.2 ± 1.3%). APL-specific TCLs from treated patients were predominantly Th2 and showed increased cross-reactivity with MBP83–99 when compared to APL-specific TCL from untreated patients | 209        |
| Relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis | NBI-5788 (MBP83–99) | n = 144 5, 20 or 50 mg weekly for 16 weeks | APL supposed to induce a protective Th2 response. Outcome: existing MRI lesions reduced (5 mg dose: <207 ± 471 ml vs. placebo: >291 ± 698 ml), and patients with new MRI lesions reduced (57%, n = 14, vs. 25%, n = 16) after 4 months of treatment. Immune deviation (n = 7) and induction of IL-5 and IL-13 but not IFN-γ after 4–8 weeks of treatment (5 mg dose). AE in 10% of the patients led to suspension of the study. AE included among others itching, paresthesias (extremities), macules (trunk), exanthematous rash, dyspnea, nausea, abdominal pain, eosinophilia and hives. Anti-IgG titers against NBI-5788 increased in patients showing AE | 190        |
| Multiple sclerosis            | CGP77116 (MBP83–99) | n = 8 50 mg weekly for 36 weeks | The APL may block the MS-specific T cell response by acting as a partial agonist, and/or antagonist or through bystander suppression. APL supposed to induce a protective Th2 response. Outcome: MRI-enhanced lesions unchanged in number. Numbers of APL-responsive T cells were clearly increased (2-fold) in all patients. APL-specific TCL revealed increased Th1/Th0 ratios due to increased IFN-γ production (mean values: 636 vs. 495 pg/ml) and reduced IL-4 production (mean values: 69 vs. 158 pg/ml). The percentage of APL-specific TCL cross-reacting with MBP83–99 was increased from 6.9 to 37.6%. AE included 3 MS exacerbations and systemic hypersensitivity reactions to the APL | 188        |

AE = Adverse effects; BSC = bee sting challenge; EAR = early asthma reaction; EEC = environmental exposure chamber; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 s; ID = intradermal; LAR = late asthma reaction; LSS = lung symptom score; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NSS = nasal symptom score; QOL = quality of life; RCS = rhinoconjunctival symptom score; SC = subcutaneous; TCL = T cell line; TRSS = total rhinoconjunctivitis symptom score; TSS = total symptom score.

* Four escalating doses (5, 10, 25 and 50 μg) applied at 3- to 4-day intervals.
* Five escalating doses (0.1, 1, 5, 10, 25 μg) applied at biweekly intervals.
* Seven escalating doses (1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 μg) at biweekly intervals, when indicated with repetitions.
* Seven escalating doses (0.1, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50 μg) applied at 30-min intervals.
* Seven escalating doses (0.1, 1, 10, 20, 40, 80, 100 μg) of each of the three peptides applied at 30-min intervals.
* Six escalating doses (0.1, 1, 5, 25,50, 50 μg) of each of the 3 peptides applied weekly.
* Four escalating doses (25, 50, 100, 400 μg) of the peptide mixture in weekly or biweekly intervals.
* Only 53 patients completed the double-blind phase and the results correspond to those patients. From patient No. 8 onwards treatment was changed to 5 mg weekly for 1 month followed by 5 mg monthly for 8 months.
Preclinical and Clinical Trials Using APLs

Soon after the first in vitro studies describing the hitherto unprecedented functional effects and thus potential therapeutic possibilities offered by APLs, MBP-specific APLs were taken to clinical trials in an effort to target the immune response in MS patients. In fact, preceding experiments in animal models had shown great promise in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, a rodent disease mimicking to some degree MS in humans [8, 35]. A key feature of the murine and also the human disease is the T cell response to MBP along with the production of Th1 cytokines. As early as 1994, Karin et al. [8] showed that altering one peptide residue within MBP 87–99 (p.K91A), representing a critical site for TCR recognition, resulted in a clear-cut reduction of TNF-α and IFN-γ production, resulting in the amelioration of the disease. In 1995, Nicholson et al. [35] confirmed these initial findings with a different APL for MBP 139–151 (p.W144Q), and also clearly demonstrated that the altered Th response and not a mere HLA blocking mechanism is responsible for the observed beneficial effects.

Therefore, antagonistic APLs were expected to block the pathognomonic Th1 T cell responses, while partial agonists were expected to function through ‘bystander suppression’ by inducing the development of regulatory T cells. However, in the year 2000 the results of two phase II clinical trials in which two different APLs for MBP 83–99 were applied were reported [188, 190] and, unexpectedly, the results differed from expectations in the collectives of MS patients under study (table 3). The first of these trials applied the APL NBI-5788 (p.A91K) subcutaneously at 5, 20 or 50 mg/week for 9 months and included 144 patients. The trial had to be discontinued before it was concluded because approximately 10% of the patients developed systemic hypersensitivity-type reactions that included parasthesias in the extremities, exanthematous rash, dyspnea, nausea, abdominal pain and others (see table 3). Nevertheless, within the 53 patients that completed the double-blind phase of the trial, some improvement was registered in the group receiving the lower 5 mg/week dose of APL. As a matter of fact, the analysis by MRI revealed a reduction in the mean volume of brain lesions in this group, while the volume of the lesions was increased in the placebo-control group (a decrease of 207 ± 471 ml vs. an increase of 291 ± 698 ml). The immunological data were studied only in a small cohort of 7 patients but they showed a clear immune deviation towards a Th2 phenotype in the patients receiving the 5-mg/week treatment. However, the groups receiving higher doses neither showed improvement nor worsening of the disease, but they presented with most of the adverse effects as described above [190]. The second trial, which included only 8 patients and applied APL CGP77116, resulted in a complete failure. Instead of the generation of a protective Th2 response, a substantial expansion of Th1 cells was observed in all of the treated patients. In fact, in 2 of the treated patients the strong expansion of APL-specific T cells together with the weak expansion of MBP-specific T cells could be linked to the observed exacerbation of MS. All exacerbations could be treated by intravenous steroids. Nevertheless, these results cast great concern with regard to the overall design of the therapy.

Retrospectively, the surprising and unexpected failure of the trials was mainly attributed to the extremely high doses of APL administered to the patients in some groups, consisting, in fact, of weekly subcutaneous doses of 20 or 50 mg of APL. It is well known from the literature, that the engagement of a large number of TCRs due to high numbers of specific pMHCs present tends to promote Th1-biased immune responses [34, 191–193]. By the same token, the intentionally induced Th2 responses had apparently only a minor positive effect on the volume of enhancing MRI lesions [188, 190].

Moreover, the failures were also attributed to the fact that single APLs might not necessarily be able to antagonize all pathognomonic T cells with the same epitope specificity but deriving from different clonotypes. De-
spite these initial drawbacks, new attempts to exploit the beneficial effects of APLs have been made recently. For example, in a mouse encephalomyelitis model an APL derived from MBP 87-99 was successfully applied to ameliorate chronic pain [194]. Notably, this APL also reduced mechanical pain hypersensitivity following peripheral nerve injury in Lewis rats when applied as a single dose of 250 μg [195].

Notwithstanding these dramatic initial failures of therapeutic trials applying APLs, a more recent phase I clinical trial conducted by Wraith and coworkers [181] using ATX-MS-1467, a mix of 5 different peptides derived from MBP, was successfully completed in 6 patients in which the doses applied were escalated from 25 to 800 μg either weekly or biweekly. The treatment was found to be safe and well tolerated by the patients enrolled, who were all suffering from secondary progressive MS. Of importance, no worsening of disease progression was observed in these patients. When compared to study entry, the immunological status of the different patients pointed to a reduction in the MBP-specific T cell responses [181].

In a related topic, Cortes et al. [196] reported the successful use of APLs in experimental autoimmune uveitis. Although the APLs were not intended to be used as a potential therapeutic peptide, they clearly provided proof of concept and related therapeutic principles of influencing/ modifying T cell activation and polarization might thus pave the way for novel treatment modalities in the future. A ‘conditio sine qua non’ is certainly the availability of humanized test models (humanized mice) to test and monitor the effects of systemic application of such remedies.

Conclusions

Dysregulated helper but also cytotoxic T cells might be the cause but also the consequence of autoimmune and allergic diseases. Hence, antigen-specific treatment modalities to counteract dysregulation and to turn off pathologic, lymphocyte-driven processes are highly desirable. The APL concept and related therapeutic principles of influencing/ modifying T cell activation and polarization might thus pave the way for novel treatment modalities in the future.
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