Expression levels of the hypothalamic AMPK gene determines the responsiveness of the rats to electroacupuncture-induced analgesia
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Abstract

Background: Although electroacupuncture (EA) relieves various types of pain, individual differences in the sensitivity to EA analgesia have been reported, causing experimental and clinical difficulties. Our functional genomic study using cDNA microarray identified that 5′-AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), a well-known factor in the regulation of energy homeostasis, is the most highly expressed gene in the hypothalamus of the rats that were sensitive to EA analgesia ("responder"), as compared to the rats that were insensitive to EA analgesia ("non-responder"). In this study, we investigated the causal relationship between the hypothalamic AMPK and the individual variation in EA analgesia.

Methods: Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were divided into the responder and the non-responder groups, based on EA-induced analgesic effects in the tail flick latency (TFL) test, which measures the latency of the tail flick response elicited by radiant heat applied to the tail. Real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed to quantify the expression levels of AMPK mRNA in the hypothalamus of the responder and non-responder rats. Further, we examined whether viral manipulation of the AMPK expression in the hypothalamus modulates EA analgesia in rats.

Results: The real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that mRNA expression levels of AMPK in the hypothalamus of the responder rats are significantly higher than those of the non-responder rats, validating the previous microarray results. Microinjection of dominant negative (DN) AMPK adenovirus, which inhibits AMPK activity, into the rat hypothalamus significantly attenuates EA analgesia (p < 0.05), whereas wild type (WT) AMPK virus did not affect EA analgesia (p > 0.05).

Conclusions: The present results demonstrated that levels of AMPK gene expression in the rat hypothalamus determine the individual differences in the sensitivity to EA analgesia. Thus, our findings provide a clinically useful evidence for the application of acupuncture or EA for analgesia.
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Background

Acupuncture has been traditionally used for thousands of years in East Asia including China, Korea and Japan to relieve pain and is now viewed as an alternative method of medicine in Western countries [1,2]. Electroacupuncture (EA) is a modified technique that utilizes electrical stimulation to enhance the analgesic effects of acupuncture [3,4]. Previous studies have shown that acupuncture or EA stimulation at specific acupoints (e.g. ST36 and HI4) relieves various types of pain including acute thermal, inflammatory and chronic neuropathic pain, which were known to be mediated by activation of the descending pain inhibitory system [3,5-7]. However, there have been many reports showing individual differences in the sensitivity to EA analgesia, which cause experimental and clinical difficulties: About 30-40% of rats were insensitive to EA in an acute thermal pain test, tail flick latency (TFL) test [5,8,9]. The similar results could
be observed in the rat models of inflammatory and neuropathic pain [10,11].

Using cDNA microarray study in the rat hypothalamus, a center of the descending pain inhibitory system, we previously identified several genes that mediate the individual variation in the sensitivity to EA analgesia [12]: The expression levels of 5'-AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), dopamine beta-hydroxylase (DBH), acetylcholinesterase T subunit (AChET) in the hypothalamus of the responder rats were significantly higher than those of the non-responder rats. Since cDNA microarray alone could be subject to errors through cross-hybridization, the gene expressions for further study should be validated using new RNA samples [13]. Indeed, our previous study using real-time RT-PCR confirmed that the mRNA expressions of AChET and DBH in the responder group were greater than those in the non-responder one [14]. We also demonstrated that overexpression of AChET [15] or DBH [16] in the rat hypothalamus by viral gene transfer significantly potentiated EA analgesia. However, the post-microarray validation of AMPK and its functional role in EA analgesia have not been studied, despite the highest expression of AMPK in the responder rats as compared to the non-responders among the above three genes.

AMPK has a key role in the regulation of energy balance at both the cellular and whole-body levels, placing it at the center stage in studies of metabolic disorders [17]. Recently, AMPK has also been identified as a potential target for therapy of acute and chronic pain [18,19]. In the present study, we investigated the relationship between the hypothalamic AMPK and the individual variation in EA analgesia by using real-time RT-PCR and genetic manipulation. We report here that the expression levels of AMPK gene in the hypothalamus play an important role in determining the individual differences in the sensitivity to EA analgesia in rats.

Methods

Animals
Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (7 weeks old) (Daehan biolink, Chungbuk, Korea) were housed in cages (3-4 rats per cage) with water and food available ad libitum. The room was maintained with a 12 h-light/dark cycle (a light cycle: 08:00-20:00, a dark cycle: 20:00-08:00) and kept at 23 ± 2°C. All animals were acclimated in their cages for 1 week prior to any experiments. All procedures involving animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Kyung Hee University [KHUASP(SE)-12-013] and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain [20].

Acute thermal pain behavior: TFL test
The analgesic effects of EA on acute thermal pain were quantified using the TFL test, which measures the latency of the tail flick response elicited by radiant heat applied to the proximal third of the tail [8,10]. In order to minimize the any possible stress during the TFL testing and EA stimulation, a period of 3 weeks was allowed for adaptation of rats to handling. The rats were individually placed on the palm of an experimenter’s hand and the back was continuously and softly stroked. Then, the rats could be kept calm without the need for anesthetics or holder restrainers [8,21]. For TFL test, the intensity of the light bulb was set such that the baseline reaction time was 3.0 ± 0.5 sec during the pre-test period. In the experimental period, three successive determinations of TFL using the same intensity of the light bulb that had been determined during the pre-test period were conducted at 1-min intervals with a cut-off time of 15 sec, and these values were averaged (pre-EA TFL). For EA stimulation, a pair of stainless steel acupuncture needles (0.25 mm in diameter and 3 cm long) was inserted (5 mm in depth) into the “Zusanli” acupoint (ST36), which is located in the anterior tibial muscle, 5 mm lateral and distal to the anterior tuber- cle of the tibia, and into the point 5 mm distal from the first needle. EA stimulation at this point is known to produce analgesia in rats [3,8]. An electrical stimulator was connected to the two acupuncture needles (cathode to ST36 and anode to the other point), and train-pulses (2 Hz, 0.5 ms pulse duration, 0.2-0.3 mA) were then applied for 20 minutes. The average of three successive TFL determinations (post-EA TFL) was then recorded. The analgesic effects are expressed as percent changes from the pre-EA TFL.

\[
\text{Acquired TFL change (\%)} = \frac{\text{Post-EA TFL} - \text{Pre-EA TFL}}{\text{Pre-EA TFL}} \times 100
\]

The rats showing a TFL increase after EA stimulation that was greater than 30% were classified as responders (mean TFL increase ratio = 59.00%, n = 10), whereas the rats showing less than a 20% TFL increase as non-responders (mean TFL increase ratio = 8.25%, n = 8). Since the other subjects (20-30% TFL increase after EA) are ambiguous for a clear classification, those rats were discarded [15].

Real-time RT-PCR
Rats in both groups were rapidly sacrificed after EA stimulation and TFL test, and the hypothalamus were separated. RNA was then isolated from the hypothalamus using a Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, after which the RNA was quantified using a model ND-1000 apparatus (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). The integrity of the RNA was confirmed by denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis. Single-stranded cDNA was prepared using First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics Korea Applied Science, Seoul, Korea). The integrity of the cDNA was
confirmed by amplifying GAPDH. The real-time PCR was conducted by a LightCycler 480 (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) employing SYBR Green I as the dsDNA-specific binding dye for continuous fluorescence monitoring. The PCR protocol comprised 10 min at 95°C; 45 cycles of 10s at 95°C, 10s at 60°C and 10s at 72°C. After the cycles were finished, the signal of each temperature between 65 and 95°C was also detected to generate a dissociation curve. The sequences of the human primers were AMPK (forward 5'-tgaagccagagaacgtgttg-3', reverse 5'- ataatggtgatccacagc-3') and GAPDH (forward 5'-tgccactcagaagactgtgg-3', reverse 5'-ttccagtctgggatgacctt-3'). The mRNA levels of AMPK were compared by calculating the crossing point (Cp) value and normalized by the reference genes (GAPDH) using the LightCycler 480 Relative Quantification software (Roche).

Production of adenovirus vector
AMPK wild type α subunit (WT) and a dominant negative form (DN), in which Asp^{157} was replaced with alanine, were generated by PCR as previously described [22]. The early region 1-deleted recombinant adenoviral vector encoding AMPK α subunit was generated by introducing AMPK cDNA into the shuttle plasmid pAv1 under the transcriptional control of the cytomegalovirus immediately early enhancer/promoter [23]. The recombinant shuttle plasmid was cotransfected with the early region 1-deleted adenovirus serotype 5 genome, pJM17, and amplified in HEK 293 cells. The recombinant adenoviruses were purified by two centrifugation steps on cesium chloride gradients and dialyzed against 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM MgCl\(_2\), and 10% glycerol. The number of viral particles was assessed by measurement of the optical density at 260 nm [24]. The titers of GFP control, WT and DN AMPK viruses were 1.5 × 10^{12} pfu/ml, 2.0 × 10^{12} pfu/ml and 2.0 × 10^{12} pfu/ml, respectively.

Microinjection of adenovirus into the hypothalamus
Under isoflurane anesthesia, the rat's head was fixed in a stereotaxic instrument (Stoelting, USA). After a longitudinal incision of the scalp, the skull was drilled to make a hole over the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (-3.8 anterior-posterior, 0.5 mediolateral, 9.8 dorsoventral, according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watsons [25]). Two microliters of WT or DN AMPK adenoviruses' viral suspension were injected unilaterally into the hypothalamus at a rate of 0.2 ul/min, using a 10 ul Hamilton syringe (30 gauge beveled needle) attached to a Nano-injector, stepper motorized (Stoelting). The syringe was left in place for 10 min after microinjection and then withdrawn very slowly over 10 min. The skin was sutured with metal wound clips and the rats were allowed to recover from surgery. In a subset of rats, GFP control virus was co-administered with WT or DN AMPK adenovirus to confirm the transfection of viruses and correct injection of adenovirus was verified by Nissle staining (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis
All the data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was done with Prism 5.0 (Graph Pad Software, USA). The unpaired t-test was used for statistical analysis. In all cases, \(p < 0.05\) was considered significant.

Results
Measurement of AMPK mRNA levels in the rat hypothalamus by real-time RT-PCR
For each group (i.e. responder group and non-responder group), 4 subjects were rapidly sacrificed and the hypothalamus was separated. RNA was extracted from the hypothalamus and the real-time RT-PCR was performed. Expression level of AMPK mRNA was normalized by that of a house keeping gene, GAPDH (Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase). As shown in Figure 2, the normalized mRNA levels of AMPK in the responder rats
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are significantly higher than those of non-responder rats ($p < 0.01$).

**Effects of adenoviral gene transfer of AMPK into the hypothalamus on EA-induced analgesia**

In order to determine whether adenoviral gene transfer of AMPK into the rat hypothalamus by itself affects the sensitivity to thermal stimuli, we compared the baseline TFL between the AMPK WT virus-injected and DN virus-injected rats that measured before EA stimulation on days -1, 3, 7 and 14 following viral injection. There were no significant differences in these pre-EA TFL values between the WT virus-injected and DN virus-injected rats during 2-week experimental period ($p > 0.05$, Figure 3).

To see whether WT AMPK virus and DN AMPK virus gene expression in the hypothalamus alter EA-induced analgesic effects, we compared the TFL increase ratio between the WT AMPK virus-injected and DN AMPK virus-injected rats. In consistent with the role of DN AMPK virus transfection in inhibiting AMPK activity [24,26], EA-induced analgesic effects were markedly decreased in a time dependent manner after microinjection of DN AMPK virus into the hypothalamus (Figure 4). DN AMPK virus-injected rats showed a significant decrease in TFL increase ratio after EA at 14 days post-injection as compared to the value at pre-injection day ($p < 0.05$). Conversely, WT AMPK virus-injected rats showed no significant difference in TFL increase ratio between the pre-injection day and the post-injection days ($p > 0.05$). Comparison of the TFL increase ratio shows a significant difference between the WT and DN AMPK virus-injected rats on the 7th ($p < 0.05$) and 14th ($p < 0.001$) days following the injection (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Pain is considered both a sensation and an emotion, showing considerable complexity and subjectivity. In clinical and laboratory settings, the perception of pain bears a poor relationship to the intensity of the noxious stimulus [27]. Therefore, strong interest exists in understanding the individual differences in response to pain and analgesics. To elucidate the genetic contributions to such individual variability in animals and humans, researchers are now employing a variety of approaches, such as microarray analysis, epigenetics and human brain imaging [13,28,29].

The analgesic effects of EA also show marked individual differences in acute, inflammatory and neuropathic pain rats [5,8-11]. To identify and characterize the genes that cause these individual differences in response to EA analgesia, we previously conducted cDNA microarray analysis, using the hypothalamus, a main center of EA analgesia and the descending pain inhibitory system [12]. Among several genes that are more abundantly expressed in the responder rats than non-responder rats, AMPK gene is the most differently expressed between the two groups. In the present study, we confirmed this with a real-time RT-PCR (Figure 4) strongly suggesting that the expression of AMPK in the hypothalamus is closely associated with individual differences in response to EA analgesia. This study further validated the results by using viral gene transfer of AMPK into the hypothalamus (Figure 4). EA-induced analgesic effects were gradually decreased and slightly increased after injection of DN AMPK virus and WT AMPK virus, respectively, producing a significant difference between the two groups at 7 and 14 days post-injection.

The mammalian AMPK is a heterotrimer consisting of an $\alpha$ catalytic subunit and $\beta$ and $\gamma$ noncatalytic subunit [26]. Isolation of AMPK to homogenously revealed that
the catalytic subunit (α) co-purifies with two other non-catalytic subunit (β and γ). The formation of a trimeric subunit complex is necessary for an optimal AMPK activity and it is known that overexpression of wild type α subunit does not exert any positive effect on an endogenous AMPK activity [24,26]. Consistent with these reports, there was no significant increase in EA-induced analgesic effect after WT AMPK virus injection. Conversely, the inhibition of AMPK activity by DN AMPK virus injection significantly decreased the EA analgesia (Figure 4).

AMPK is primarily regulated by cellular AMP/ATP and nutrient levels and plays a central role in the regulation of energy homeostasis and metabolic stress [30]. It has emerged as a promising new drug target for treatment metabolic disorders, including obesity, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease [17]. Several studies also suggested that AMPK activation plays a significant role in important neuronal processes, including the regulation of neuronal plasticity and long-term potentiation, and the protection of neurons from neurodegenerative diseases [31]. Although there has been little research on the role of AMPK in nociception, very recent studies demonstrated that AMPK activation significantly alleviates acute, inflammatory and neuropathic pain through the modulation of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling in the periphery and spinal cord that are related to pain hypersensitivity [18,19,32]. Our data further demonstrated that the hypothalamic AMPK play a role in mediating individual differences in response to EA-mediated analgesia. Thus, these findings not only provide a clinically useful evidence for the application of acupuncture or EA for analgesia, but also suggest an unexpected role of the hypothalamic AMPK in pain modulation.

Figure 3 Time course of pre-EA TFL in WT AMPK and DN AMPK virus-injected rats. The TFL was measured before EA stimulation on days -1, 3, 7 and 14 following viral injection. No significant differences in pre-EA TFL were observed between the WT virus-injected and DN virus-injected rats during the whole experimental period. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. N = 8/group.

Figure 4 Comparison of TFL increase ratio after EA between WT AMPK and DN AMPK virus-injected rats. DN: dominant negative form AMPK virus-injected rats (n = 8); WT: wild-type AMPK α subunit virus-injected rats (n = 8). Pre: before the microinjection of virus; Post: after virus microinjection. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001, WT vs. DN by the unpaired t-test.
It is currently unclear how the hypothalamic AMPK plays a role in EA-induced analgesia as shown in this study. One possible explanation is that AMPK might regulate EA analgesia-related neuropeptides that released in the hypothalamus. AMPK activation in the hypothalamus is positively correlated with neuropeptide Y (NPY) expressions [33] and this hypothalamic NPY has a significant antinociceptive effect [34]. Interestingly, several reports demonstrated that acupuncture or EA stimulation at ST36 decreases NPY levels in the hypothalamus [35,36]. Thus, we cautiously assumed that the responder rats with high AMPK levels, but not non-responders, might maintain sufficient NPY levels in the hypothalamus to be involved in antinociception, although EA stimulation decreased NPY expressions. In addition to this, further studies to explore the relationship between the AMPK and beta-endorphin in the hypothalamus, a well-known EA analgesia mediator, are required. Also, it would be interesting to examine the analgesic effects of EA on pathological pain, such as neuropathic pain [37], the mechanism of which is somewhat different from acute pain (e.g. TFL test). Although the individual differences in the sensitivity of acute nociceptive and chronic neuropathic pain to EA in rats were known to be maintained [10], we believe that studies using pathological pain models could provide a better understanding of EA-induced analgesia and its responsiveness.

Conclusions
In conclusion, we demonstrate that mRNA expression of AMPK in the hypothalamus of the responder rats is significantly higher than the non-responder rats. Furthermore, adenoviral gene transfer of AMPK in the hypothalamus could alter the EA-induced analgesia. Taken together, these results strongly suggest that levels of AMPK gene expression in the rat hypothalamus determine the individual differences in the sensitivity to EA analgesia.
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