Production of Al based Composites Reinforced with FeAl Intermetallic Particles by Mechanical Alloying
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Abstract: In this research project, Al matrix composite materials reinforced with 5 and 10 (wt.%) of FeAl intermetallic particles were synthesized by Mechanical Alloying (MA) at a speed of 250 RPM for 2, 5, 10 and 15 h of milling time. The results showed that composite materials can be obtained at low energy conditions with improved dispersion of the reinforcement in the Al matrix compared to those obtained by conventional techniques. The composites powders obtained by mechanical alloying at different milling times were studied by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) in order to characterize the morphology and particle size. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) was used to study the structural evolution of the system as the initial powders were subjected to different milling times, thus obtaining the evolution of the present phases, changes in lattice parameters and crystallite size. This work demonstrates the viability of the MA technique to produce composite materials with a homogenous distribution of the reinforcement particles with a great degree of control in the process, which would be very difficult to reproduce by conventional synthesis methods.
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Introduction

Aluminum is one of the most used materials in today’s industry due to its low weight, malleability and corrosion resistance (Khakbiz and Akhlaghi, 2009), it is also one of the most abundant materials available in nature. But, its strength, stiffness and low mechanical properties at high temperatures, excludes its usability from some high performance applications (Sadeghian et al., 2011). Recent studies have succeeded in improving the mechanical properties of aluminum through the dispersion of ceramic particles and oxides in an aluminum matrix (Sadeghian et al., 2011) by mechanical milling.

Mechanical Alloying (MA) is a unique solid state reaction process that develops between the surface of the powders at room temperature, consequently, it can be used to synthesize alloys impossible or difficult to obtain by conventional methods, all this due to its uniqueness to process new materials. The mechanical alloying process has attracted a lot of attention and inspired numerous investigations due to its promising results. With respect to composite materials, the mechanical alloying technique is capable of producing powders with a superior homogeneity compared to other methods (Suryanarayana et al., 2001). Furthermore, it has been proved to be very effective to disperse the reinforcement phase in the matrix while promoting a very fine grain size ideal for improving the mechanical properties of the composite materials (Gilman and Benjamin, 1983). The mechanical alloying process consists in a mixture of powders placed in a container and subjected to high-energy collisions by the balls over a period of time to reach conditions where welding and fracture events become stable, another of the attributes of the MA technique is that it allows the extension of solubility limits of the alloys, thus achieving supersaturated solid solutions with improved mechanical properties.

On the other hand, intermetallic compounds have emerged as one of the most promising materials for engineering applications due to their high Young’s modulus, hardness, mechanical strength and corrosion resistance (Shuai et al., 2021). Additionally, FeAl intermetallics have attracted much attention due to its resistance to high temperatures (Grosdidier et al., 2006; Godlewksa et al., 2003; Martinez et al., 2006), being low-cost materials with a relatively low density (5.56 g/cm³) as well as exhibiting good mechanical properties and excellent corrosion resistance in oxidizing
Materials and Methods

Al matrix powders reinforced with 5 and 10 (wt.%) of FeAl intermetallic particles were synthesized by MA in a planetary ball mill (pulverisette) by subjecting them to a milling time of 15 h at a speed of 250 rpm using 10 mm diameter balls, with a ball to powder ratio of 10:1. The initial powders (Fig. 1) were weighted and encapsulated in stainless steel vials under an argon atmosphere with methyl alcohol (CH₄O) as a Process Control Agent (PCA). XRD and SEM analysis were obtained for the samples of Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl after 2, 5, 10 and 15 h of milling. The final milled powders were cold compacted and sintered at an optimized temperature of 500 for 3 h in an argon atmosphere and subsequently, underwent Vickers microhardness testing with a load of 10 g in a Shimadzu micro hardness tester. The average crystallite size was obtained from Scherrer’s equation (Eq. 1) in function of milling time:

\[ L = \frac{K \lambda}{B \cos \theta} \]  

(1)

Where:
- \( L \) = The size of crystallite
- \( K \) = The proportionality constant (0.9)
- \( \lambda \) = The X-ray wavelength (0.1518 nanometers for copper radiation)
- \( B \) = The peak width
- \( \theta \) = The diffraction angle

Lattice parameter for the synthesized samples were calculated from the Bragg’s Law (Eq. 2):

\[ d = \frac{n \lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \]

(2)

Where:
- \( d \) = The interplanar spacing
- \( n \) = 1
- \( \lambda \) = The wavelength of the x-rays
- \( \theta \) = The Bragg angle

Results

Figure 1 shows the initial powders morphology and particle size. Scanning electron micrographs show a predominant spherical and lamellar shape morphology for Al powders meanwhile the FeAl intermetallic powders exhibit an irregular morphology (Table 1).

The micrograph of the mixture of Al-5%FeAl powders after 2 h of milling (Fig. 2a) shows two types of deformation mechanisms typical of mechanical alloying; ductile deformation, which forms flatter and elongated particles, characteristic of the deformation that the Al powders experience during the first hours of milling and brittle deformation, which gives rise to the formation of particles with more angular and irregular morphology, which is typical of brittle materials such as intermetallic composites, ductile deformation has been reported by (Abdoli et al., 2008), to be the primary deformation mechanism responsible for the formation of larger particles during the MA process. Figure 2b shows the decrease in the size of the particles and the absence of particles with angular morphology, which is a good indicator of the ductile deformation of the powders as the dominating phenomenon during the current stage of the MA process. However, the presence of large and small conglomerates can be an indication of fracture processes taking place, which are the result of work hardening exhibited by milled powders due to the accumulation of dislocations during the collisions with the balls. This behavior is similar to that reported by (Abdoli et al., 2008), who produced an Al-based composite material by mechanical milling. After 10 h of milling (Fig. 2c), the mixture of Al-5%FeAl powders originated conglomerates as a result of a two-stage process, in the first stage, the ductile spherical Al particles are deformed to adopt a flat flakes morphology and during the second stage, these flat flakes are welded together to give rise to the formation of conglomerates. For the mixture of powders subjected to 15 h of milling (Fig. 2d), it can be observed that the particle size shows a decrease as well as a predominant irregular morphology, indicating that brittle fractures are the primary events occurring during this stage of milling, this is due to the strain hardening.
sustained by the powder particles. Figure 4a shows the variation in particle size decreases approximately from 25 to 10 µm as the milling time has elapsed from 2 to 15 h.

In the scanning electron micrographs shown in Fig. 3, it can be observed that the morphology of the particles underwent a polygonal and flake change to acquire an irregular shape as the milling time progresses. Particularly, Fig. 3a shows that at an early stage, the Al-10%FeAl powders experienced ductile deformation, so large conglomerates are formed, this statement is consistent with what has been reported by (Suryanarayana et al., 2001) in the case of ductile-brittle systems. The mixture of Al-10%FeAl powders after 10 h of milling is shown in Fig. 3c, in this micrograph, larger conglomerates are present, probably caused by the low energy supplied by the milling system mechanics. In the Fig. 3d it can be seen that after 15 h of milling, there was a decrease in the amount of larger conglomerates, this due to the cold work hardening phenomenon of the mechanical alloying process, which causes that in the metal-intermetallic system, the fracture of particles begins to be more dominant than cold welding at the late stages of milling, this is due to the particles being hardened by mechanical work, which leads to fragmentation of the particles. The variation in the average particle size decreases approximately from 30 to 15 µm as the milling time has elapsed from 2 to 15 h (Fig. 4b).

**X-Ray Diffraction**

In the X-ray diffraction patterns at different milling times Fig. 5 and 6, it can be seen that for both compositions, the FeAl peaks are very small in intensity for every stage of milling, prevailing even after 15 h of milling, although a decrease in intensity and tip flattening is observed, which is associated to an amorphization process of the intermetallic phase. With regards to the intermetallic phase, it seems to indicate that there is not a diffusion process taking place, which indicates an ideal behavior for composite synthesis and dispersion of reinforcement particles in the Al matrix. Amorphization in ordered alloys seems to follow the following sequence (Jang and Koch, 1990).

Ordered phase ⇔ Disordered phase (loss of long-range order) ⇔ Crystallite size reduction ⇔ Amorphous phase.

**Crystallite Size**

The average crystallite size as a function of the milling time corresponding to the Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl compositions are shown in Fig. 7, in the Al-5%FeAl composite shows the crystallite has decreased slightly from 39.4 to 31.32 nm and for the composite Al-10%FeAl decreased from 39.4 to 32.1 nm when subjected to a milling time of 15 h. This decrease in nanometric grain size is associated with the low energy provided by the milling parameters (250 RPM). These results are consistent with those reported by (Rivera et al., 2012), who produced a composite material of Al-2024 matrix reinforced with Al2O3 particles by mechanical alloying from elemental Al, Cu and Mg initial powders mixed with Al2O3 nano particles as a reinforcement phase. The authors reported that both the sample without Al-2024 reinforcement and those reinforced with 1 and 2% by weight of Al2O3 exhibited a reduction in crystallite size from 320 nm to approximately 50 nm.

**Table 1:** Initial powders size and morphology

| Initial powders | Average particle size | Particle morphology       |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Al              | 4 µm                  | Spherical and lamellar    |
| FeAl            | 12 µm                 | Irregular                 |

![Fig. 1: SEM micrographs of the initial powders (a) Al and (b) FeAl](image-url)
Fig. 2: SEM micrographs of the Al-5%FeAl after (a) 2, (b) 5, (c) 10 and (d) 15 h of milling time

Fig. 3: SEM micrographs of the Al-10%FeAl after (a) 2, (b) 5, (c) 10 and (d) 15 h of milling time

![Box plot showing particle size distribution over milling time](image)
Fig. 4: Particle size evolution of the (a) Al-5%FeAl and (b) Al-10%FeAl during mechanical alloying

Fig. 5: XRD patterns of the Al-5%FeAl powders during mechanical alloying

Fig. 6: XRD patterns of the Al-10%FeAl powders during mechanical alloying
**Lattice Parameter**

Figure 8 shows the lattice parameter evolution during the milling process, it can be observed a tendency of being reduced as the milling time increases for both compositions. This reduction in the Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl lattice parameter has been demonstrated to be linked with the displacement of the XRD profile peaks and is usually linked to small contaminations of Fe from the stainless steel vials and balls. Resulting in the dissolution of contamination atoms in the Al matrix either interstitial, substitutional, or from multiple sources (Jacob *et al.*, 2007). The almost linear initial behavior is congruent with the dissolution of a solute element according to Vegard’s rule, but also an asymptotic behavior is observed, showing a saturation limit under such conditions. The results show a lattice parameter value for Al-5%FeAl of 4.035 Å and for Al-10%FeAl of 4.045 Å in 15 h milling time with an initial lattice parameter of 4.065 Å.

**Sintered Samples Analysis**

Multiple nanocomposites have been previously synthesized by the MA technique as well as by a subsequent low temperature processing of amorphous powders, resulting in their recrystallization and precipitation of second phases (Suryanarayana *et al.*, 1993). An important benefit of these materials is the growth inhibition of the grain size. Figure 10 shows the XRD patterns of sintered Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl samples, it is observed that the peak corresponding to the FeAl phase increased in intensity and narrowed after the sintering process for both samples, this phenomenon is due to the recrystallization of the FeAl phase that previously underwent amorphization by the mechanical alloying process. Figure 9 shows the micrographs of the pure Al, Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl cold compacted and sintered at 500°C for 3 h. The microstructure of the pure Al (Fig. 9a) shows the presence of some grains of about 5 µm that are produced during the sintering process. The sintered Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl samples (Fig. 9b and 9c), presented a good distribution and bonding between Al matrix and FeAl particles due to the combined effect of pressure and heat applied during the sintering process. The average size of the FeAl reinforcement for the Al-5%FeAl composition is approximately 5 µm and for Al-10%FeAl is approximately 8 µm, the refinement of the size of the FeAl intermetallic particles is related to fractures that occur during the time of the mechanical alloying process.

**Microhardness Test**

As can be seen in Table 2, the composites of Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl showed a greater increase in microhardness compared to pure Al. The increase in the microhardness value of the composites were mainly attributed to the work hardening mechanism that occurred due to severe plastic deformation during uniaxial consolidation and the sintering process resulting in a stronger bond between reinforcement and matrix. In addition, as the milling time increased the dispersion of FeAl particles in the Al matrix is more homogeneous. In the micrographs sintered samples (Fig. 9), it can be observed a smaller size in the reinforcement particles for the Al-5%FeAl sample than for the Al-10%FeAl sample, which can be attributed to a greater increase in microhardness, confirming that reported by (Geng *et al.*, 2018), that the increase in hardness of particles reinforced Al matrix compounds is related to the mechanical properties of reinforcing particles, but can be further improved by reducing the size and achieving an optimal dispersion of these particles in the aluminum matrix.

**General Discussion**

Scanning electron micrographs (Fig. 2 and 3) show the decrease in the size of the particles, which indicates...
that the ductile deformation mode is dominating the process. It can also be observed that the morphology of the particles underwent to acquire an irregular shape and a decrease in particle size as the milling time had advanced. The decrease of large conglomerates is due to the cold work hardening phenomenon of the mechanical alloying process, which leads to fragmentation of the particles. Song et al. (2009) reported a decrease in particle size with the course of milling time in an alloy Fe48Al (at.%) mechanically alloying in a planetary mill at 303 RPM and with a ball to powder ratio of 10: 1.

The decrease of large conglomerates is due to the cold work hardening phenomenon of the mechanical alloying process, which leads to fragmentation of the particles. Song et al. (2009) reported a decrease in particle size with the course of milling time in an alloy Fe48Al (at.%) mechanically alloying in a planetary mill at 303 RPM and with a ball to powder ratio of 10: 1.

The lattice parameter evolution graph (Fig. 8), it can be observed a reduced as the milling time increases. This reduction is usually linked to small amounts of Fe released from the stainless steel vials and balls during the MA process.

The sintered samples (Fig. 9b and 9c) show the presence of FeAl particles in the matrix Al and although an amorphization process was observed in the XRD patterns, there wasn’t evidence present that indicates a diffusion of the FeAl phase. This amorphization can be corroborated by the recrystallization process observed in the XRD patterns of the sintered samples (Fig. 10), in which there is a define FeAl peak with narrower high intensity Al peaks, this in turn is congruent with the recrystallization observed in the crystallite.

![Fig. 8: Lattice parameter during mechanical alloying of the Al-5%FeAl and Al-10%FeAl](image)

![Fig. 9: SEM micrographs of the samples sintered (a) Al, (b) Al-5%FeAl and (c) Al-10%FeAl](image)
Previous studies (Ganesh and Chawla, 2005) showed that the strength of the composites increases as the amount of the reinforcement increases. However, the results in this study are different from the previous studies. Since the results show that the Al-10%FeAl sample shows lower hardness values than the Al-5%FeAl sample, this can be attributed to the fact that the sample with higher reinforcement content could have generated greater porosity.

**Conclusion**

Al based composites with a composition 5 and 10 (wt.%) of FeAl intermetallic particles were successfully synthesized using the mechanical alloying technique. XRD and SEM analyses show that it is possible to synthesis Al based composite materials reinforced with intermetallic particles FeAl by mechanical alloying technique with low energy processing parameters that diminishes the possibility of diffusion process taking place between the reinforcement and the matrix.

The crystallite size shows a similar decrease in both compositions, going from 39.4 to 31.32 nm for Al-5%FeAl and from 39.4 to 32.1 nm for Al-10%FeAl, this reduction in crystallite size is correlated to the refinement in particle size that was experienced during the mechanical milling process.

The lattice parameter shows a decrease in magnitude as the milling time elapsed, ranging from 4.065 to 4.035 Å for Al-5%FeAl composite and 4.065 to 4.045 Å for Al-10%FeAl composite, this behavior is attributed to the contamination of Fe particles that were detached from the balls and vials during the mechanical alloying process.

The refinement process and homogenization of the reinforcement particles resulted in a noticeable increase in the hardness in the sintered samples. For Al-5%FeAl composite, the hardness increased by 84% with respect to unreinforced Al samples, while for Al-10%FeAl composite, the hardness increased by 45% with respect to the unreinforced Al samples. The hardness of the FeAl reinforcement and the diminishment in grain size by the dispersion of the reinforcement particles are the main factors involved.

This research demonstrates the possibility of improving properties and reduce the cost of synthesis of Al based composites reinforced with FeAl intermetallic particles through low energy mechanical alloying.
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