We report a rare case of vascular injury secondary to a damaged Hot Shears™ tip cover. Two 1 mm holes in the tip cover resulted in perforations in the obturator and external iliac veins during pelvic node dissection. Bleeding was controlled with bipolar coagulation and a 5 mm metal clip in the obturator and iliac vein, respectively. The rest of the procedure was completed uneventfully. Frequent integrity assessment of this accessory is necessary. Its function is important in order to carry out safe dissection in proximity to delicate structures. When injuries arise from areas not directly involved in the dissection, immediate inspection of the instruments should be mandatory.
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INTRODUCTION

This decade has witnessed the surge in popularity of robot assisted surgery. Due to benefits for both patients and surgeons, it has gained wide acceptance. As such, it has been considered as one of the surgical therapeutic options for prostate cancer patients.

Despite all the benefits, a robot is still a machine with mechanical parts and accessories. Questions have thus been raised on its stability and reliability, however, there have been reports of minimal error and failure rates.1-3 This report aims to show that more attention should be given not only to the mechanical parts but also to its accessories as well. Extra caution should be exercised in the maintenance and care of the equipment to eliminate unnecessary complications during and after the operative procedure.

CASE REPORT

The patient was 63 years old with clinical T1c prostate cancer. Serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) was 7.59 ng/mL and biopsy showed gleason 7 (3 + 4) 40% involvement in 1 of 12 cores. MRI was negative for extracapsular extension, semi-
nal vesicle involvement or enlarged pelvic lymph nodes. Bone scan was likewise negative. We performed pelvic lymph node dissection as an integral part of radical prostatectomy, especially for intermediate to high risk patients.

In the operating room, the patient was under general anesthesia in an exaggerated trendelenburg position. Two 12 mm, three 8 mm and one 5 mm ports were used. The four-arm da Vinci S Surgical System® (Intuitive Surgical, Inc., Sunnyvale, California, USA) was utilized. The right arm was loaded with Hot Shears™ (Intuitive Surgical, Inc., Sunnyvale, California, USA), left arm with PreCise™ bipolar forceps and the third arm with ProGrasp™ forceps. The surgery began with node dissection on the left which was unremarkable. On dissection of the contra lateral obturator lymph nodes, bleeding was noted from the obturator vein which was controlled by bipolar coagulation (Fig. 1). It was initially dispelled as a surgical error. On further dissection of the nodes from the obturator nerve with the Hot Shears™ a small perforation was noted on the right external iliac vein despite a safe distance between the instrument and the vein (Fig. 2A). The vein was grasped with the bipolar forceps and a 5 mm metal clip was applied tangentially (Fig. 2B). Pressure was decreased to 5 mmHg, and no further bleeding was noted. The rest of the procedure was completed uneventfully. After the operation, inspection of the instruments revealed two 1mm holes on the Hot Shears™ tip cover which explains the perforation on the iliac and obturator veins (Fig. 3). Jackson-Pratt drain was placed on the pelvic cavity, as done routinely. Drainage was 27 cc on the first day and 30 cc on the 2nd day. Drain was pulled out on the third day, and the patient was discharged.

**DISCUSSION**

The Tip Cover plays an important role in the robotic instrument. It is a silicon boot applied on the Hot Shears™ which serves as an insulation for the metallic segment of the En-
Active Electrode Monitoring system has been developed to minimize the risk of non-targeted tissue burns, and it provides safety by combining added electrical insulation, conductive shielding, and an electronic current monitoring system. Stray currents are absorbed by additional electrical insulation and conductive shielding. This technology may be adapted for robotic instrumentation to prevent unwanted tissue burns.

Many reports have cited the Da Vinci system to be very reliable. University of Chicago experience for robotic prostatectomy device failure resulted in case conversion; procedure abortion and surgeon handicap in 0, 0.5%, and 0.4% of procedures, respectively. A multi-institution study conducted by Dr. Lavery and his associates showed that critical robotic equipment malfunction is extremely rare in institutions that perform high volumes of robotic prostatectomies, with a nonrecoverable malfunction rate of only 0.4%.

Commonly, it is the robotic arms that encounter problems as reported by Koliakos, et al. There is no report on problems encountered with accessories which are often disregarded but play crucial roles in the course of surgery. As shown in this case, two unnecessary vascular injuries would have been avoided if the tip cover was intact. Consequences could have been even more severe if bowel or large arteries were injured.

Despite the reliability of the da Vinci Surgical System®, there should always be a degree of precautionary care in its use. It is more so when injuries arise from areas not directly involved in the dissection, and immediate inspection of the instruments should be done. As preventive measure, the Tip Cover™ accessory should be inspected regularly in the course of surgery.

Hemostasis can be attained by a multitude of devices or techniques. Bipolar cautery, monopolar cautery, ultrasonic instrumentation, vessel sealing devices, ligasure, enseal, titanium clips, locking clips, and hemoelastic agents are examples of these. We use monopolar and bipolar cautery, surgical, fibrin glue, titanium clips and locking clips. For the iliac vein injury, a titanium clip applied tangentially so as not to completely occlude the vessel proved to be simple and effective, averting conversion or intracorporeal suturing.

This is reminiscent of an issue encountered in pure laparoscopy- non-targeted tissue burns secondary to insulation failure or capacitive coupling. Many attempts to replace monopolar electrosurgery for laparoscopic dissection with lasers, bipolar electrosurgical instruments and ultrasonic energy probes have been made. However, these devices are slower, less versatile and more expensive than the former.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a grant of the Korea Healthcare technology R&D Project, Ministry for Health, Welfare & Family Affairs, Republic of Korea (A084120).

REFERENCES

1. Koliakos N, Denaeyer G, Willemsen P, Schatteman P, Mottrie A. Failure of a robotic arm during da Vinci prostatectomy: a case re-
port. J Robot Surg 2008;2:95-6.

2. Lavery HJ, Thaly R, Albala D, Ahlering T, Sholha A, Lee D, et al. Robotic equipment malfunction during robotic prostatectomy: a multi-institutional study. J Endourol 2008;22:2165-8.

3. Zorn KC, Gofrit ON, Orvieto MA, Mikhail AA, Galocy RM, Shalhar AL, et al. Da Vinci robot error and failure rates: single institution experience on a single three-arm robot unit of more than 700 consecutive robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomies. J Endourol 2007;21:1341-4.

4. Vancaillie TG. Active electrode monitoring, How to prevent unintentional thermal injury associated with monopolar electrosurgery at laparoscopy. Surg Endosc 1998;12:1009-12.