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1. Introduction

Sour cherry (Prunus cerasus L.) is an allotetraploid species, originating from a natural hybridization between sweet cherry (P. avium L.) and ground cherry (P. fruticosa Pall.) [1]. The distribution of European sour cherry ranges from the Mediterranean islands to northern Russia, and, within the range there is a wide diversity of different plant habitats and fruit characters [2]. Sour cherries (P. cerasus L.) are most popular as fruit crop and in fruit industry. In 2009, Serbia (having cultivated area with 38,000 hectares and with annual production of 105,353 t) was the sixth largest producers of this species in the world [3]. Also, Serbia can be regarded as important frozen and fresh fruit cherry exporter [4]. According to above author, the most common cultivars in Serbian sour cherry orchards were “Oblačinska” and “Cigančica” (also called “Cigány maggy” or “Cigány”) that belong to P. cerasus L. The “Oblačinska” is an autochthonous and heterogeneous Serbian cultivar, whereas “Cigančica” native to Hungary and grown worldwide [4–6]. Both cultivars displayed great phenotypic variability.

The fruit of the above cultivars, especially of “Oblačinska”, is of “morello” type, small to medium in size, with dark red and thin skin. The flesh is red, medium firm, juicy, quite sour, aromatic, and of high quality. In addition, the “Oblačinska” is highly resistant to leaf spot and to bitter rot [7]. Also, this cultivar is self-compatible and characterized by regular and high yield. From this point, the numerous positive traits of both cultivars should make it interesting for plantation in other countries.

Fruit of sour cherry is a drupe, round or heart-shaped, glabrous, and with pedicel attached [6]. After pollination and fruit set, fruit developed through growth and maturation. Growth represents a quantitative process, which conducts to increase of fruit weight and volume [8]. Fruit maturation is characterized by changes in physiological, biochemical, and morphological treats of the fruit, which determine the qualitative characteristics of any cultivar and finally its depreciation during senescence. Changes in cherries upon ripening are easily apparent by looking at their color change from green to red [9]. Fruit ripening is associated with important chemical changes, and color change is mainly influenced by the concentration and distribution of different anthocyanins in the skin [10]. From this point, total anthocyanins, that is, color in red fruit increases during ripening [11]. Color of red fruit is indicator of maturity and important
parameter of commercial harvest date [12]. Additionally, skin of sour cherry fruits was generally bright-to-dark red in color and exhibits less color variation than sweet cherries [6].

Numerous studies have been done on physical and chemical change in sweet cherries during maturation [12–14], while studies on sour cherries are rare. Also, no detailed study concerning physical and chemical properties of sour cherry has been performed up to now. The aim of this study was to determine some physical and chemical properties of two sour cherry cultivars during different stages of ripening.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Experimental Design. Present study was carried out at village Prislonica (43°57’ N, 20°26’ E, 322 m above sea level) near Cacak (Western Serbia) during 2010 and 2011 on “Oblaćinska” and “Cigančica” sour cherry cultivars produced by suckers. The orchard was established in 2004. The tree densities on the experimental area were 1,250 trees ha⁻¹ (planting spacing 4 m × 2 m). Annually, standard cultural practices (pruning, thinning, fertilization, pest control, and treatments) were performed, except irrigation. Fertilizers were applied according to soil analyses. This way ensured the optimum conditions for trees to grow and bear fruit.

Weather conditions of Cacak are characterized by the average annual temperature of 11.3°C and total annual rainfall of 690.2 mm. Soil at the orchard is classified as vertisol according to Serbian Soil Taxonomy. Soil texture is clay-loam, moderate in organic matter, and total nitrogen (NTOT) (1.97% and 0.17%, resp.); soil pH in 0.01 M KCl was 6.67 and no soluble salt problem in 0–30 cm soil depth. The tree densities on the experimental area were 1,250 trees ha⁻¹ (planting spacing 4 m × 2 m). Annually, standard cultural practices (pruning, thinning, fertilization, pest control, and treatments) were performed, except irrigation. Fertilizers were applied according to soil analyses. This way ensured the optimum conditions for trees to grow and bear fruit.

Weather conditions of Cacak are characterized by the average annual temperature of 11.3°C and total annual rainfall of 690.2 mm. Soil at the orchard is classified as vertisol according to Serbian Soil Taxonomy. Soil texture is clay-loam, moderate in organic matter, and total nitrogen (NTOT) (1.97% and 0.17%, resp.); soil pH in 0.01 M KCl was 6.67 and no soluble salt problem in 0–30 cm soil depth. The contents of P₂O₅ and K₂O in this soil depth were high, that is, 330 mg kg⁻¹ and 350 mg kg⁻¹, respectively.

Twenty representative trees within each replicate were selected for sampling and data collection. The four replicates were arranged in a randomized completely block design.

2.2. Fruit Physical and Chemical Measurements. For each cultivar, 25 homogeneous fruits in four replications were harvested at four ripening stages (S₁ to S₄), according to fruit color and size. Sour cherry fruits at S₁ were in the last growth phase having a light red color, while at S₄ they have reached the commercial ripening stage to be harvested. In S₄-ripening stage, fruits have dark-red skin color. Thus, S₁–S₄ corresponded to S₁–S₄ stages described for cherry fruit growth and ripening on tree by Serrano et al. [15].

The fruit weight (FW) for each fruit during maturation was determined using a digital balance (Tehnika ET-1111, Iskra, Slovenia) to an accuracy of 0.01 g, and results were the mean ± SE (g). For each sour cherry fruit in last stage (S₄), three linear dimensions, length (L), width (W), and thickness (T), were measured by using a digital caliper gauge with a sensitivity of 0.001 cm. The measurement of L was made on the polar axis of fruit, that is, between the apex and stem. The arithmetic mean diameter (Dₐ), geometric mean diameter (D₂₅), fruit volume (FV), sphericity (φ), and surface area (S) was calculated by Mohsenin [16]. The aspect ratio (Ra) was calculated by Maduako and Faborode [17].

Fruit chemical composition measured from S₁ to S₄ in triplicate. Soluble solids content (SSC) was determined by Milwaukee MR 200 hand digital refractometer (ATC, Rocky Mount, USA) at 20°C (°Brix). Titratable acidity (TA), as malic acid (%), was determined by titration to pH 8.1 with N/10 NaOH. On the basis of the measured data, soluble solids/titratable acidity ratio (SS/TA ratio or ripening index—RI) was calculated. The pH was determined by a Cyber Scan 510 pH meter (Nijkerk, Netherlands). All results expressed as the mean ± SE for each chemical properties.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. The data obtained from field measurements and laboratory observations were subjected to an analysis of variance using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), and the LSD test (P ≤ 0.05) procedure was applied. The figures are performed by the Microsoft Excel software (Microsoft Corporation, Roselle, IL, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Evaluation of Physical Properties. Data presented in Figure 1 indicated that FW of “Oblaćinska” continuously increased during ripening period from S₁ to S₄ stage. However, FW in S₁ and S₂ stage was significantly higher than FW in S₁ and S₂. The FW of “Cigančica” also increased from S₁ to S₃ stage, and decreased from S₁ to S₂ (Figure 1). In this case, FW in S₂ and S₃ was higher than FW in S₁. Differences between cultivars within the same stage were significant in S₁ and S₄, that is, “Oblaćinska” showed a larger fruit than “Cigančica” in S₁ and S₄ stages (Figure 1). Other differences were insignificant. At the commercial ripening stage (S₁), the values were 3.48 ± 0.11 g for “Oblaćinska” and 2.66 ± 0.09 g for “Cigančica.” This seems to represent a major advantage for the growers. Similar tendency for fruit weight changes during ripening observed in a previous study on cherry cultivars [14]. Also, earlier work reported a high variability among cherry cultivars regarding this parameter [4, 11, 12, 14, 18]. According to Nikolić et al. [19], fruit weight in different clones of “Oblaćinska” under Belgrade (Serbia) climatic conditions varied between 2.97 g and 5.01 g, whereas...
Khorshidi and Davarynejad [20] reported that fruit weight of “Cigančica” in Iranian conditions was 2.81 g. Obtained results concur with the findings of above authors. Thus, our results seem to indicate that fruit weight has a strong genetic influence, accordingly with Papp et al. [18]. Also, this property may be useful in the separation and transportation of the cherry fruit by hydrodynamic means [21].

In relation fruit dimensions ($L$, $W$, $T$), $D_a$, $D_s$, and $FV$ in last (final) stage ($S_4$), “Oblačinska” had significantly higher above values than “Cigančica”, whereas $\phi$ values were similar in both cultivars (Table 1). In contrast, $R_s$ was higher in “Cigančica” than in “Oblačinska”. Ansari and Davarynejad [6] reported that some fruit physical properties of “Cigančica” such as fruit shape index, fruit diameter, and fruit length caused by pollination treatment, and varied from 0.85 to 1.00, 18.50 mm to 20.29 mm, and 16.23 mm to 20.21 mm, respectively. Our range values were lower than those obtained by above the authors. The reasons for these differences in fruit dimensions could be due to differences in the growing areas, as previously obtained [1, 5, 8]. The importance of these axial dimensions in determining aperture size of machines, particularly in separation of materials, has been discussed by Mohsenin [16]. These dimensions may be useful in estimating the size of machine components, and it may be useful in estimating the number of fruits to be engaged at a time, the spacing of slicing discs and number of slices expected from an average fruit [22]. Sour cherry fruits have several unique characteristics that set them apart from engineering materials. These properties determine the quality of the fruit, and identification of correlations between changes in these properties makes quality control easier [21].

According to the above authors, knowledge of shape and physical dimensions are important in screening solids to separate foreign materials and in sorting and sizing of cherry fruit.

### 3.2. Evaluation of Chemical Properties.

The SSC significantly increased from $S_1$ to $S_4$ in both sour cherry cultivars, with values at $S_5$ ranging from 16.25 ± 0.39 °Brix in “Cigančica” to 16.80 ± 0.32 °Brix in the “Oblačinska” (Figure 2(a)). In the $S_1$, $S_2$, and $S_3$ ripening stages, “Cigančica” had higher SSC than “Oblačinska”, whereas, in the last stage ($S_4$), “Oblačinska” had higher content of this chemical. In an earlier study of Nikolić et al. [19], soluble solids in different clones of “Oblačinska” varied from 14.07% to 19.06, while in a previous work of Ansari and Davarynejad [6] for “Cigančica”, soluble solids ranged between 14.43% and 16.24%, and/or

| Properties                  | Oblačinska       | Cigančica       |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Fruit length (mm)           | 14.85 ± 0.12 a   | 14.27 ± 0.19 b  |
| Fruit width (mm)            | 15.50 ± 0.09 a   | 15.23 ± 0.15 b  |
| Fruit thickness (mm)        | 17.44 ± 0.10 a   | 16.87 ± 0.22 b  |
| Arithmetic mean diameter (mm)| 15.93 ± 0.09 a   | 15.46 ± 0.16 b  |
| Geometric mean diameter (mm)| 15.89 ± 0.09 a   | 15.42 ± 0.16 b  |
| Fruit volume (cm³)          | 3.48 ± 0.21 a    | 3.28 ± 0.11 b   |
| Sphericity                  | 1.07 ± 0.00 a    | 1.08 ± 0.01 a   |
| Surface area (mm²)          | 793.28 ± 9.57 a  | 747.11 ± 15.86 b|
| Aspect ratio                | 104.42 ± 0.82 b  | 106.81 ± 0.99 a |

Different lower-case letters in some rows represent differences between cultivars for the physical properties at 0.05 probability level by LSD test.
to be grown under Western Serbian climatic conditions or used as parents in future breeding programs. In this sense, “Oblačinska” had higher fruit weight, fruit dimensions, arithmetic mean diameter, geometric mean diameter, fruit volume, surface area, and best chemical attributes, while “Cigančica” was the better cultivar in terms of higher aspect ratio. Sphericity was similar in both cultivars.
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