The Relationship Between Lateral Femoral Condyle Index and Noncontact Anterior Cruciate Ligament Rupture
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Purpose: The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between distal femoral morphology and noncontact anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture and the differences between digital X-ray imaging systems (DR) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to evaluate distal femoral morphology.

Methods: A retrospective case-control study was performed on 120 patients. Two age- and sex-matched cohorts (each n = 60) were analyzed: primary ACL ruptures and a control group consisting of isolated meniscal tears. The lateral femoral condyle index (LFCI) was measured by DR and by MRI to quantify femoral sphericity. Differences among two groups were compared, and diagnostic performance of the risk factors was assessed. In addition, differences between DR and MRI to evaluate LFCI were examined.

Results: The LFCI by MRI was smaller in the knees with primary ACL rupture (median, 0.71; range, 0.62–0.78) than that of the control group (median, 0.77; range, 0.66–0.85) (p < 0.01). The LFCI was also significantly smaller in the knees with primary ACL rupture (median, 0.72; range, 0.63–0.77) than that of the control group (median, 0.79; range, 0.65–0.84) (p < 0.01) by DR. A cutoff of 0.74 of MRI yielded a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 78% to predict an ACL rupture, and of 0.75 of DR yield a sensitivity of 87% and a specificity of 77% to predict an ACL rupture.

Conclusion: This study showed that a decreased LFCI is associated with an ACL rupture, and both DR and MRI measurements can effectively predict the risk of ACL rupture. This helps expand the scope of the application of the LFCI and helps clinicians identify susceptible individuals who may benefit from targeted ACL rupture prevention counseling and intervention.
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Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is common in young and active patients, and it can seriously affect the quality of life of young patients and athletes, bringing a certain social and economic burden.1–3 Some studies suggest that the causes of ACL injuries are related to social, environmental, anatomical, hormonal, neuromuscular and biomechanical factors.4–6 Anatomic factors such as the angle of the tibial plateauretrograde, the width of the intercondylar fossa of the femur, and the matching relationship between the tibial plateau and the femoral condyle have been proved to have a clear correlation with the rupture of the ACL.7,8 Although posterior femoral condylar offset reportedly influences range of motion and other aspects of knee joint kinematics, and this offset has an influence on ACL rupture,9–11 there is still a lack of independent and accurate method to evaluate the shape of femoral condyle.

Hodel et al quantified posterior femoral condylar depth as the lateral femoral condyle index (LFCI), and demonstrated that the decreased LFCI is a risk factor for primary ACL rupture.12 However, due to the high cost of MRI, this method is difficult to be widely applied, and the sample size of previous studies is small, so further study is still needed.

The principal aim of this study was to assess the relationship between LFCI and primary ACL rupture. The secondary aim was to compare the accuracy of measurement by MRI with that by DR.
Materials and Methods
A retrospective analysis was performed on 420 patients who received arthroscopic knee surgery for non-contact simple ACL rupture or isolated meniscus tear in authors’ hospital from 2015 to 2018, and they were divided into 2 groups: (1) primary ACL ruptures, (2) a control group consisting of patients with isolated meniscal tears. The ACL rupture cases were matched to control group according to sex and age and then assessed for the eligibility criteria listed in Figure 1. The minimum follow-up time was 24 months for all the patients. All patients underwent preoperative X-ray and MRI examination, and were evaluated by radiologists and surgeons to determine the presence of ACL rupture or meniscus tear. All patients included in the study underwent knee arthroscopy, and the presence of combined injury was reconfirmed during the operation.

MRI Measurements
All patients enrolled in the study underwent MRI 3.0T (Philips Ingenia 3T) examination before surgery. The imaging consisted of three standard imaging planes (sagittal, coronal, and cross-sectional), each of which was 3mm thick. Measurement of LFCI based on the method proposed by Hodel12 (Figure 2).

DR Measurements
All patients completed lateral X-ray images of the knee using the Siemens DR system, and the knee flexion was 30°. According to the calculation method of Pfeiffer et al,10 X-ray images of the medial and lateral femoral condyle and posterior condyle overlap <6mm were included in the study (Figure 3).

420 screened knees who underwent arthroscopy and MRI and DR at the authors’ institute (01/2015-01/2019)

Primary ACL ruptures (n = 94) Control group (n = 336)
Exclusion:
- Multiligamentous rupture (n=7)
- Osteoarthritis outerbridge >2 (n=6)
- Follow-up <2y (n=6)
- ACL rupture (n=1)
- Knee fracture (n=5)
- Malrotated radiographs(n=9)
Exclusion:
- Previous ligament rupture (n=8)
- Osteoarthritis outerbridge >2 (n=30)
- Follow-up <2y (n=12)
- Ligament rupture occurred during follow-up (n=3)
- Malrotated radiographs(n=22)

Primary ACL ruptures (n = 60) Control group (n = 261)

After matching of gender and age

Primary ACL ruptures (n = 60) Control group (n = 60)

Figure 1 Flowchart and eligibility.
Abbreviations: ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; DR, digital X-ray imaging systems.
Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 24; IBM). According to the preliminary results, G*power (version 3.1.9.4; Franz Faul) was used to calculate the sample size of at least 29 patients in each group (α, 0.05; power, 0.8). Case controls were matched by sex (exact match) and age (maximum fluctuation range within 2 years). Students’ t-test was used to analyze the differences between groups, and to analyze whether there are differences in ACL rupture prediction based on MRI and DR. The receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was used to determine the

Figure 2 Lateral femoral condyle index (LFCI) measurement by MRI. On the midsagittal image of the lateral condyle, the anterior and posterior profiles of the lateral condyle of the femur are approximately circular. The best-fitting circle is drawn to the subchondral bone from most inferior point to most anterior point (extension circle, b)-respectively, most inferior point and most posterior point (flexion circle, a)-and give them the most consistent overlap with the spherical profile of the lateral condyle of the femur. The diameter of the flexion circle was divided by the diameter of the extension circle to calculate the LFCI as follows: LFCI = a/b. Example of LFCI in (A) ACL rupture group of 0.69 and (B) control group of 0.83.

Figure 3 Lateral femoral condyle index (LFCI) measurement by DR. The best-fitting circle is drawn to the subchondral bone with reference to the method of MRI measurement, and the LFCI was calculated as follows: LFCI = a/b. Example of LFCI in (A) ACL rupture group of 0.69 and (B) control group of 0.89.
optimal threshold of femoral condyle index to predict ACL rupture. The Youden index was used to determine the ideal critical points for sensitivity and specificity.

**Results**

A total of 120 patients who met the inclusion criteria were included in the final analysis (60 with primary ACL rupture, 60 controls). Each group consisted of 44 male patients and 12 female patients. The median age of the ACL rupture group was 35 years old (range, 21–56), while the median age of the control group was 37 years old (range, 22–55).

Measurements by MRI: The LFCI was smaller in the knees with primary ACL rupture (median, 0.71; range, 0.62–0.78) than that of the control group (median, 0.77; range, 0.66–0.85) ($p<0.01$). The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.83 (95% CI, 0.73–0.94), with a sensitivity of 77% and specificity of 78% to predict an ACL rupture. The calculated cutoff of 0.74 (Youden index, 0.53) was associated with an increased risk for ACL rupture.

Measurements by DR: The LFCI was also significantly smaller in the knees with primary ACL rupture (median, 0.72; range, 0.63–0.77) than that of the control group (median, 0.79; range, 0.65–0.84) ($p < 0.01$). The AUC was 0.84 (95% CI, 0.73–0.95), with a sensitivity of 87% and specificity of 77% to predict an ACL rupture. The calculated cutoff of 0.75 (Youden index, 0.63) was associated with an increased risk for ACL rupture.

**Discussion**

Data from this study showed that a decrease in the LFCI was associated with an increased risk of ACL rupture. Specifically, patients with primary non-contact ACL rupture had a smaller index of the external femoral condyle than the control group. Moreover, the critical value of MRI measurement is 0.74, the critical value of DR is 0.75, Both MRI and DR measurements have good diagnostic value.

It has been shown that there is a significant relationship between the shape of the distal femur and the movement of the knee joint. The asymmetry of the internal and external condyles of the distal femur contributes to the rotation of the knee joint during flexion and extension. The increase in the depth of the posterior condyle of the femur will lead to the increase in the length of the lateral and anterolateral knee joint structures, so there will be greater asymmetry and increased degree of rotation in the flexion of the knee joint, which may increase the risk of ACL rupture.

Fernandes et al have confirmed that the increase in antepartum diameter of the lateral femoral condyle can increase the risk of ACL rupture, and the study by Pfeiffer and Burnham showed that the increase in posterior condylar depth of the lateral femoral condyle can also increase the risk of ACL rupture.

In this study, we refer to Hodel’s method to transform the hemispheric shape of the lateral femoral condyle into the LFCI. We found that the smaller the LFCI, the higher the risk of ACL rupture. The higher LFCI, the more consistent anterior and posterior femoral condyle. The smaller the LFCI, the more prominent the posterior femoral condyle. Therefore, the smaller the femoral condyle index is, the larger the offset the femoral condyle is. This asymmetry might be associated with more excessive gliding of the flattened anterior part of the condyle over the convex lateral tibial plateau, thereby resulting in a greater pivoting mechanism. The authors of this study confirmed in previous studies that a higher ratio of anteroposterior diameter to height of the lateral femoral condyle was associated with a greater risk of ACL injury. Therefore, when LFCI decreases, the risk of ACL fracture will increase.

The advantage of the LFCI lies in its independence in evaluating the hemispheric morphology of lateral condyle of femur, which is not affected by the morphology of tibia. In order to expand its clinical application, we simultaneously measured the lateral DR images of the knee of the same patients, and the statistical analysis results showed that the results obtained by MRI were similar to those obtained by DR. Both DR and MRI measurements can effectively predict the risk of ACL rupture and the critical value of LFCI that increases the risk of ACL rupture. Therefore, future studies or clinical applications can consider using knee joint DR for evaluation, because DR costs less, is more acceptable, and has a wider range of applications, making it more suitable for screening patients at risk of ACL rupture. This helps expand the scope of the application of the LFCI and helps clinicians identify individuals susceptible to ACL rupture. At the same time, it may help clinicians to formulate ACL reconstruction strategies and plans more accurately and reduce the risk of ACL re-rupture after reconstruction.
Limitations of this study include the retrospective nature. Although accurate matches were made, the measurement results are still biased to some extent. Prospective studies with a larger sample size are needed in the future to better evaluate the relationship between hemispheric morphology of the lateral femoral condyle and ACL rupture.

**Conclusions**
This study showed that a decreased LFCI is associated with an ACL rupture, and both DR and MRI measurements can effectively predict the risk of ACL rupture. This helps expand the scope of the application of the LFCI and helps clinicians identify susceptible individuals who may benefit from targeted ACL rupture prevention counseling and intervention.
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