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Sustainable label-free imaging of brain samples using FxClear-based tissue clearing technique
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SUMMARY

Optical clearing has emerged as a powerful tool for volume imaging. Although volume imaging with immunostaining have been successful in many protocols, yet obtaining homogeneously stained thick samples remains challenging. Here, we propose a method for label-free imaging of brain slices by enhancing the regional heterogeneity of the optical properties using the tissue clearing principle. We used FxClear, a method for delipidation of brain tissue, to retain a larger proportion of lipids at the white matter (WM). Furthermore, the embedding media affected the contrasts for the lipid-rich or extracellular matrix-rich areas, depending on their chemical properties. Thus, we tailored clearing conditions for the enhancement of the refractive indices (RIs) differences between gray and WM, or several pathological features. RI differences can be imaged using conventional light microscopy or optical coherence tomography. We propose that our protocol is simple, reliable, and flexible for label-free imaging, easily implementable to routine histology laboratory.

INTRODUCTION

Acquiring high-resolution images from thick samples in light microscopy has been considered to have great limitations owing to the light scattering due to the high lipid contents and the opaque, dense extracellular matrix (ECM) in thick tissues (Appel et al., 2013; Bolmont et al., 2012). Thus, the advent of tissue clearing technique for the optical examination of thick tissues has revolutionized the 3D histological and pathological tissue examinations (Liebmann et al., 2016; Murata et al., 2017; Nojima et al., 2017; Olson et al., 2016; Ueda et al., 2020). There are many protocols for tissue clearing, and most of them rely on the physical strategy to reduce light scattering, which is primarily caused by the components and arrangement of materials with different refractive indices (RIs) in tissues (Chung et al., 2013; Ertuk et al., 2012; Hama et al., 2011; Ke et al., 2013; Kuwajima et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2016a; Pan et al., 2016; Renier et al., 2014; Susaki et al., 2014). To reduce photon scattering, most tissue clearing techniques remove lipids, which are the main substances causing light scattering in tissue. After lipid removal, reducing the inhomogeneity of the tissue components by immersion in RI matching medium (Chung et al., 2013; Ertük et al., 2012; Hama et al., 2011; Ke et al., 2013; Kuwajima et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2016a; Pan et al., 2016; Renier et al., 2014; Susaki et al., 2014).

The performance of these clearing techniques varies depending on the constitution of the biological tissue. For example, tissue clearing efficiency is different across the gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) regions of the brain because the WM region contains the lipid-rich myelin sheaths. Tissues have unique signatures for their macromolecule content and arrangement; therefore, their optical properties are distinct depending on the types and conditions of the tissues (Lee et al., 2016b; Tainaka et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2014). The contributions of these factors to the tissue-clearing efficacy are different, and the contribution of RI matching on tissue clearing efficacy is the largest in most tissues, suggesting that the primary factors of tissue ECM are the primary factors influencing tissue clarity (Kim et al., 2018). Therefore, changes in the architecture and biological composition of tissues under pathological conditions, such as tissue fibrosis, can be recognized by the differential responses of the affected tissues to the tissue-clearing process.
processes. We tested this possibility using the Active Clarity Technique (ACT) with the use of a CUBIC-based mounting solution and found that it can detect tissue fibrosis with disorganized ECM arrangements (Kim et al., 2018).

While the reduction in the RI difference is desirable after tissue clearing of thick specimens to obtain fluorescence signals (e.g., using fluorescence proteins or dyes), RI differences have been widely used for the detection of tissue or cell structural changes even without labeling (Habaza et al., 2017; Sung et al., 2009; Yi and Backman, 2012). In particular, optical coherence tomography (OCT) is one of the imaging techniques suitable for combined with optically cleared tissue (Baek et al., 2019; Sudheendran et al., 2010). OCT recognizes the intrinsic scattering properties of biological tissues and has been widely used for label-free detection of normal and pathological tissue structures (Aumann et al., 2019; Baek et al., 2019; Bizheva et al., 2007; Brezinski et al., 1996; Hanna et al., 2005). Control of intrinsic tissue scattering through the tissue clearing procedure enables high-resolution OCT imaging of neuronal bundles and blood vessel in thick brain tissue without contrast agents, and enhanced OCT signals can be obtained using tissue clearing agents (Larina et al., 2008; Ren et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2010). However, the OCT system is not easily accessible to many laboratories, and label-free imaging of thick tissues using conventional light microscopes has been less addressed.

In this study, we aimed to further improve/examine the conditions for better recognition of the biophysical properties of the target tissues owing to the differential lipid content, ECM content, and cell compositions using conventional microscopic systems. In our optimized conditions, nerve bundles in the normal brain, brain trauma-induced (TBI) tissue fibrosis, and misfolded proteins accumulate to form amyloid plaques in the Alzheimer’s disease (AD) mouse brain were sensitively recognized without any labeling. Furthermore, we discovered that the use of different RI adjusting media provided differential responses in biological specimens, which will be an additional consideration for the development of diagnostic strategies based on label-free optical imaging using the differences in RI.

RESULTS
FxClear-based tissue delipidation for the higher contrast imaging of WM
Previously, we have reported the FxClear protocol, which utilizes detergent-based delipidation of paraformaldehyde-fixed samples (Choi et al., 2019). Delipidation by the FxClear protocol does not allow for the complete removal of lipids; therefore, the resultant samples were less transparent (Figure 1A). However, they appeared to show increased contrast between the GM and lipid-rich WM compared to that of the ACT samples that exhibited uniform clearing with more extensive delipidation (Figure 1B). We confirmed that the less transparent regions in FxClear-processed brain slices were well-matched with the nerve bundles in the WM and striatum, which are labeled by TuJ1 and MBP antibodies (Figure 1C). As a primary test, we simply monitored how the contrast between the GM and WM changes during RI tuning. We selected fructose and iodixanol as RI matching compounds, which are highly soluble in water and display high RI ranging from 1.43 to 1.47. The reduction of RI of the matching solution to the suboptimal level progressively enhanced the contrast between the transparencies of the GM and WM in both ACT- and FxClear-processed samples followed by RI matching immersion (Figure 1D). Interestingly, when we used fructose as an RI matching medium, the transparency of the GM and WM was significantly affected by the RI value in FxClear-processed samples, and the transparency ratio of GM to WM (GM/WM) was larger with the FxClear-processed brain slices than with the ACT-processed samples (Figures 1D and S1). These results indicate that the transparency of the tissue is dependent on multiple factors including the characteristics of tissues (GM vs. WM), tissue processing protocol (ACT vs. FxClear), and RI value.

Effects of RI matching media on the imaging of WM
Next, we tested the effects of different RI matching reagents (fructose, sucrose, histodenz, and iodixanol) on the contrast of the transparencies between the GM and WM (Figure 2). Although we adjusted all the media with the same RI (1.43), there were significant differences in transparency depending on the degree of delipidation and the kinds of media, resulting in a different transparency ratio with significantly higher ratio enhancement by RI matching with iodixanol (Figures 2A–2C and S2A). The use of iodixanol, which showed the highest GM:WM ratio, provided a strong contrast that was sufficient for visualization of the nerve bundles in 1 mm serial slices of the mouse brain with trans-illumination light microscopy or stereo microscopy (Figures S2B and S2C). Iodixanol also exhibited RI-dependent transparency of the WM and GM, similar to that by fructose, but we found that the ratio of GM/WM in different RI values remained
constant (ratio = 1.5 with ACT, 2.8 with FxClear-processed tissues; Figure S1). The hippocampal regions of the human brain slices were also visualized with FxClear and iodixanol incubation, showing high contrast of the nerve fiber areas (Figures 2D and 2E). Notably, the laminar organization of the parahippocampal gyrus can easily be determined by this method (Figure 2E). Collectively, these results indicate that different RI adjusting media exhibit different features and can be chosen for reduction or enhancement of tissue RI differences. We chose two reagents, fructose, and iodixanol, for further comparison.

Comparison of de-lipidation methods for label-free imaging with RI differences

To compare whether other de-lipidation methods used in other clearing techniques are applicable to label-free imaging, we selected two clearing methods, CUBIC and iDISCO (Renier et al., 2014; Susaki et al., 2014). We modified the de-lipidation protocols from these methods to adopt them in our procedure. In the case of CUBIC, the RI of reagent 1 is approximately 1.435 because it contains 25% N,N,N,N'-tetraakis(2-hydroxypropyl) ethylenediamine which exhibits both RI adjusting and delipidation effects. Thus, we simply used reagent 1 and incubated the brain slices for 1 hr. Quantification of %Transparency in gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM). Data are represented as mean ± SD. Unpaired two-tailed t-tests on data between the GM and WM, n = 6, ns, not significant, *p < 0.05, **p = 0.0033, ***p = 0.0007, ****p < 0.0001. Scale bar = 100 μm.

Figure 1. FxClear-based tissue processing for label-free brain imaging

(A and B) Comparison of mouse brain slices (1 mm) processed with ACT or FxClear protocol. Digital image of optically cleared tissue with RI matching (A) and non-matching (B).

(C) Correlative trans-illumination imaging (gray or pseudocolored in green) of FxClear-processed samples with immunostaining of nerves (TuJ1, red) and myelin sheets (MBP, green). Scale bar = 1 mm. Inset, Scale bar = 50 μm.

(D) Images after the immersion of ACT or FxClear-processed brain slices in fructose solution with different RI (1.39–1.47) for 1 hr. Quantification of %Transparency in gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM). Data are represented as mean ± SD. Unpaired two-tailed t-tests on data between the GM and WM, n = 6, ns, not significant, *p < 0.05, **p = 0.0033, ***p = 0.0007, ****p < 0.0001. Scale bar = 100 μm.
ascending series, incubated the slices with DCM briefly (15-min) and rehydrated them with methanol des-
scending series, and immersed them in iodixanol. Figure 3 A shows the time spending in each treatment
step of the clearing protocols. The samples were incubated in the RI matching solutions after delipidation,
and the transmittance images were photographed using conventional light microscopes (Figure 3 B). CU-
BIC- and iDISCO-processed brain sl ices show high tissue transparency ( Figure 3 C) and good contrast be-
tween GM and WM, while CUBIC-processed slices exhibited significantly inferior contrast comparing to the
FxClear- or iDISCO-processed brain slices (Figure 3 D).

Optimization of the size expansion for the simple analysis
Previously, we have reported that FxClear-based delipidation by electrophoretic tissue clearing (ETC)
causes mild expansion of the tissues, and subsequent washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and
incubation with RI adjustment media (CUBIC-mount) gradually returned the samples to the original size
(Kim et al., 2018 ). Unlike CUBIC-mount, fructose and iodixanol showed an opposite trend in their effect
on the tissue size (Figure S3 A). Incubation of ETC samples with fructose shrunk the samples considering
the original size with increasing ionic strength of the washing buffer. Iodixanol inversely expanded the tis-
sue regardless of the ionic strength of the washing buffer ( Figure S3 B). Considering that tissue swelling is
associated with (hyper-)hydration of the tissues, the size differences appeared to be caused by the dissim-
ilar ability of fructose and iodixanol to promote tissue hydration. Changes in the tissue size also affect the
transparency of the tissues (Kim et al., 2018); therefore, we used 0.1× PBS washing condition, which provided a minimal difference in the tissue sizes in the fructose and iodixanol groups.

**Observation of FxClear-processed samples using OCT**

Next, we quantified the light penetration depth and the contrast between the GM and WM using a lab-made swept-source OCT system (Figure S4 A). In the OCT images, the contrast between the GM and WM was more evident in the brain slices subjected to RI matching using fructose and iodixanol than those subjected to non-RI matching (Figure 4A). For the contrast measurement, we selected four regions from the en-face image at a certain depth position that can cover the entire region of the GM and WM. The en-face images of each sample were extracted from each volume data at a depth position where the GM and WM could be clearly distinguished (Fix and FxClear: 0.26 mm from the top surface; fructose and iodixanol: 0.53 mm from the top surface). Owing to the high contrast, optical coherence microscopy (OCM) imaging showed the clearest and highly contrasted image of fiber bundles in the specimen processed with FxClear and immersed in iodixanol (Figures 4B and S4B). Next, we analyzed the penetration depth of light by imaging samples of 2 mm thickness with the depth range-enhanced OCT system. The surface of the sample in all sub-volume from the OCT volume data was flattened, and we obtained a signal profile according to the lateral direction (Figure 4C). In this analysis, we found that the high attenuation rate of the fixed sample limited the imaging depth to approximately 1.5 mm, whereas the FxClear process increased the imaging depth to >2.5 mm by reducing the attenuation rate. Immersion of FxClear samples in fructose or iodixanol further reduced the attenuation rate. Although the signal strength at the surface of the specimen was substantially lower than that of the fixed or FxClear samples, the immersion of the samples in the RI matching solution...
Figure 4. OCT and OCM images of mouse brain slices, and the results of their analysis

(A) en-face intensity (left) and pseudo-colored (right) images obtained after averaging OCT volume data in the z-direction. (B) OCM images showing fiber bundles (image size: 320 μm × 320 μm).

(C) Averaged signal profiles according to z-direction yellow boxed region in (A) each condition. Signal decreases from near 0 mm owing to the light scattering by the tissue and increases from near 2 mm by noise. Sudden reductions in signal strengths in the shaded area in the graphs indicate the bottom margin of the imaging system.

(D and E) Signal attenuation obtained from (C) and light penetration depth, respectively. The penetration depth is defined as the depth that became 0 dB after subtracting the background signals from the averaged signal profiles. Data are represented as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc test (Fixation as control) on GM and WM data, n = 3 individual condition, ns, not significant, **p < 0.01, ***p = 0.004, ****p < 0.0001.

(F) The contrast between the GM and WM. Data are represented as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc test (Fixation as control) on data, n = 3 individual condition, ns, not significant (p = 0.0766), ****p < 0.0001.
maintained the maximal imaging depth at approximately 2.5 mm (Figures 4D and 4E). In particular, the immersion of FxClear samples in fructose or iodixanol further reduced the attenuation rate because of the improved signal-to-noise ratio (Figure 4F). Taken together, these data suggest that RI matching including delipidation improves imaging depth and quality in label-free imaging systems.

**Autofluorescence-based imaging of FxClear-based de-lipidated whole tissue using selective plane illumination microscope**

While RI difference in FxClear-processed tissue was successfully captured and imaged with the above optical devices, we wondered whether autofluorescence in the WM can be also imaged from the tissues, as previously demonstrated with other optical clearing methods (Morozov et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2017; Renier et al., 2016). Using a home-made selective plane illumination microscope (SPIM) based on the Huisken et al. (Huisken and Stainier, 2007), FxClear-processed entire hemi-sectioned mouse brain was successfully imaged (Figure 5A and Video S1). We observed the fiber projection profiles in the brain. In high-resolution imaging with SPIM, detailed structures of fibers projections in the cerebellum (Figure 5A), hippocampus (Figure 5B), and corpus callosum (Figure 5C) were visualized (Video S2). In addition, vasculature in the cerebral cortex was also noticeable (Figure 5C'), which was less profound in our previous RI-based imaging. Thus, it appeared that autofluorescence is more sensitive to imaging vascular structures in the brain. Hence, FxClear-based delipidated tissue could be applied for label-free 3D imaging based on their regional differences in RI and autofluorescence.

**Differentiation of injury areas via different RI adjustment media**

Next, we tested whether a new protocol can be used for label-free identification of various pathological signatures in biological tissues. We first damaged the cerebral cortex with a liquid nitrogen-frozen probe to induce TBI, which caused rapid bleeding and progressive recovery with permanent tissue damage (Figure 6A). Our optimized protocol sensitively recognized the damaged area as early as 3 days after TBI. In fructose medium, the TBI injury spot became dark and clearly distinguishable from the injury periphery. The injured regions in iodixanol became brighter with spotty dark areas in TBI day 3 samples, which were remnants of blood clots after the cardiac perfusion procedure, but the clots appeared to have

![Figure 5. SPIM imaging of an intact mouse brain hemisphere](image-url)

(A) A sagittal view of the mouse brain hemisphere. Scale bar = 2 mm (a–c) High-magnification views of the red boxed regions in (A). (a–c) Three-dimensional rendering of the selected subvolume in (A) Scale bar = 200 μm.
been removed in TBI day 7 samples (Figures 6A, 6B, and S6A). By 2 weeks after injury, the damaged area became increasingly opaque, and transparency markedly reduced in both RI media (Figures 6B and 6C). Accordingly, the ratio of the TBI regions and WM (TBI/WM) progressively decreased, whereas the GM/WM ratio remained relatively stable (Figure 6D). Owing to the high contrast, the injured area was easily identifiable, and we measured the time course changes in the injury volume over 2 weeks, demonstrating

Figure 6. Label-free imaging of various pathological tissue
(A) Images of the whole (top) and sliced (bottom) mouse brain 3 and 14 days after cryogenic TBI. Scale bar = 1 mm.
(B) Brightfield images of brain slices (3- or 14-days after injury) immersed in fructose or iodixanol media. Scale bar = 500 μm.
(C) Quantification of %Transparency in GM, WM, and TBI region. Data are represented as mean ± SD, One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc test (WM as control) on data, n = 4–7 individual condition, *p = 0.035, **p = 0.0036, ***p < 0.001, ns, not significant.
(D) Quantification of %Transparency ratios of GM:WM and TBI/WM in each group. Data are represented as mean ± SD. Unpaired two-tailed t-test on data between individual pairs, n = 4–7, ns, not significant, *p < 0.05.
(E) Quantification of injury region volume change after the injury. Data are represented as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test on data, n = 4 individual group, ns, not significant, ***p < 0.001.
(F) Immunostaining of brain slices obtained 3 or 14 days after TBI: Collagen type IV (green) and Hoechst (blue). Scale bar = 250 μm.
(G) Comparison of label-free images between the normal and 5xFAD AD model mouse brain slice. Insets show large magnification views. Scale bar = 250 μm. Scale bar in insets = 25 μm.
(H) High magnified images of Aβ in an AD brain slice with Congo red staining (red). Scale bar = 10 μm.
the value of the method for the quantitative and qualitative evaluation (Figure 6E). To associate TBI-induced brain damage and optical alterations, we used typical markers representing the injury penumbra (accumulation of activated microglia labeled with Iba1 antibody) and injury periphery (activation of astrocytes labeled by GFAP antibody) and explored their distribution (Figure S6B). These labeling clearly distinguished the different sub-regions, but FxClear-based transparency imaging did not efficiently segregate these sub-regions. Collagen type IV staining as a marker for ECM deposition/rearrangement was well-matched with the transparency imaging, suggesting that these optical features are primarily affected by ECM condensation (Figure 6F).

Next, we tested whether our protocol can capture the pathological features such as misfolded protein aggregates, such as amyloid-beta (Aβ) plaques in AD mouse brain. Figure 6G shows stitched wide-field transillumination images of representative cortex coronal plane from control or 5xFAD AD model mouse brain which were FxClear-processed and immersed in fructose media, demonstrating that Congo red-labeled Aβ-plaques were selectively found only in the AD mouse brain (Video S3). A large magnification image clearly showed that the Congo red-labeled area can also be distinguished with dark spots in the transillumination imaging (Figure 6H).

Finally, we examined whether this protocol discriminates against the implanted human glioblastoma (GBM), which has a distinct cellular identity from nearby the mouse brain tissue. However, the implanted GBM cells were not associated with ectopic vasculature or disruption of ECM arrangements and were not recognized by the transparency difference in both fructose and iodixanol solutions (Figure S6C).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we optimized the tissue-clearing protocol for the assessment of brain structures without specific labeling. By using simple transillumination or sophisticated imaging systems such as OCT and OCM, we were able to discriminate nerve fiber bundles that have high lipid content and brain traumatic regions that exhibit blood clots, misfolded protein accumulation such as Aβ plaques, and deposition of ECM proteins, but not implanted GBM cells. High-contrast, label-free imaging was primarily achieved with optimization of delipidation and RI adjustment conditions. To visualize the RI difference-based tissue structures, we used three different optical methods: simple trans-illumination imaging, stereo microscopic imaging, and OCT. Because trans-illumination or stereo microscopic imaging systems are basic equipment for most histological laboratories, our protocol provides a simple and affordable approach to achieve label-free information of brain structures. Loss of depth information would restrict the application of this method to some degree, but this limitation can be overcome partially using stereo microscopy, and the use of OCT provided the best quantifiable results. Quantification of the images obtained from OCT revealed that FxClear with RI adjustment in iodixanol provided the best-fit contrast and sufficient imaging of 3 mm depth without labeling. In addition, a recently introduced imaging system with solely based on the autofluorescence allowed axonal bundles, blood vessels, and neuronal fiber in the mouse brain and structural proteins such as collagen, elastin in mouse lung without labeling (Morozov et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2017; Renier et al., 2016). Similarly, we found that FxClear-based delipidated mouse brain hemisphere can also be utilized for 3D imaging with these autofluorescence signals (Figure 5). Thus, we propose that our current protocol is flexible to obtain various label-free images by different optical devices.

Delipidation in FxClear protocol resulted in better contrast between the GM and WM than other protocols offering better delipidiation such as ACT (Lee et al., 2016a). Delipidation in FxClear protocol is executed by electrophoresis with a buffer containing 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) micelles, and more than 30% of lipids remain in the tissue after the completion of electrophoresis (Choi et al., 2019). SDS cannot remove all types of lipids equally in the biological specimen, resulting in lipid species remaining even after near completion of the reactions. Although charged lipids such as phospholipids are easily removable by SDS, the removal of neutral lipids and fat bodies is less effective (Jensen and Berg, 2017). Also, the dense hydrophobic assembly of lipids reduces the surface-volume ratio, resulting in poor interaction with SDS micelles. Therefore, both the quality and quantity of lipids in the tissue may affect the efficiency of SDS-based delipidation, and fiber-dense regions are less efficiently resolved by SDS-based clearing. In direct comparisons with CUBIC- and iDISCO-based delipidation procedures, the FxClear-based procedure provided rapid, simple protocol with high RI contrast. Although CUBIC-based protocol provided slightly inferior RI contrasts, it provides simplest single step solution. However, because RI adjustment and de-lipidation is not separable in this approach, RI contrast is inevitably changing depending on the incubation...
time. The iDISCO-based procedure requires toxic materials and complex steps although this protocol also provided high RI contrast comparable to the FxClear-based procedure. Therefore, the de-lipidation methods can be selected based on the tissues and the purpose of the experiments.

We applied the FxClear technique as a strategy for designing the delipidation step optimized to enhance the structural contrast of the tissue. To achieve label-free imaging with thick tissue via conventional microscopes, we considered a chemical process, especially, RI matching. However, the RI values of the mounting medium showed no correlation with the tissue transparency (Figure S1). The clearing performance does not solely rely on the RI value of the medium but does reflect some chemical properties of the constituent. Among the RI matching solution, sugar-based RI medium derives moderate tissue transparency compared with RI media consisting of contrast reagents such as iodixanol. Iodixanol shows high hydrophilicity and good aqueous solubility due to the dimers of non-ionic tri-iodinated aromatic compounds (Priebe et al., 1999). Hydrophilic aromatic amides contributed to reducing the RI inhomogeneity due to the efficient solution of the protein backbone amides (Tainaka et al., 2018). On the other hand, the hydrophilicity of the iodixanol also makes poor penetrability to the densely hydrophobic domains such as WH. Therefore, iodixanol seems to have a higher clearing contrast efficiency than fructose. In addition, iodixanol enhances the hyper-hydration of tissues and mildly expands the tissue size. The hyper-hydration effect of iodixanol is achieved by disruption of the charged ECM fiber structures and promotes media diffusivity. Conversely, the degree of hyper-hydration is affected by the hydrophilicity of the tissue. Accordingly, the tissue-clearing effect of the media would be different depending on the lipid content in the region. In comparison, fructose did not show overt tissue expansion but exhibited salt-dependent shrinkage in a given tissue.

Traumatic brain scar or Aβ deposits were efficiently detected by our protocol, especially using fructose solution. In the early phase of TBI, the injury core contained blood clots, the debris of dying cells and fibers, and acutely infiltrated immune cells, whereas ECM deposition was mild, while the blood clots were found to be cleared on day 7 of TBI. Fructose or iodixanol has no activity to remove heme-based pigments; therefore, colored blood in the tissue is recognized by trans-illumination imaging. Fructose, but not iodixanol, efficiently detected mild ECM remodeling in the early phase (3 days) TBI samples, and low light transmission was found in the TBI core region where blood clots were not detected. In our previous study with different protocols based on ACT clearing, we failed to achieve TBI core visualization at 3 days (Kim et al., 2018), indicating that our current protocol with fructose is more sensitive to the detection of brain trauma. Furthermore, our protocol provides sensitive enough contrast for recognizing micro deposits of Aβ in AD model mouse brain, suggesting the potential to use of label-free imaging for the diagnosis purposes.

**Limitation of the study**

Although our proposed method can be used to visualize lipid concentration and ECM deposition, which greatly affect the light scattering of the tissue, cellular heterogeneity was not recognized because we could not show the changes in cell composition in the TBI model or GBM implants. This may be because of the similar optical properties of the cells, regardless of their cellular identity, and improvement of signal detection with high-end optical devices may be required. In addition, we performed our OCT and SPIM imaging with home-made systems. Because imaging quality is greatly dependent on the optimization of imaging equipment, it was difficult to directly compare our procedures with several previously published procedures (Ren et al., 2017; Renier et al., 2016).
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Figure S1. Images after immersion of ACT or FxClear-processed brain slices in iodixanol solution with different RI (1.37–1.43) for 1 h, Related to Figure 1

Quantification of %Transparency in gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM); Data are represented as mean ± SD. Unpaired two-tailed t-tests on data between the GM and WM, n = 6. *p = 0.0153, **p = 0.0028, ****p < 0.0001. Ratios of %Transparency of the GM and WM were plotted at the bottom. Data are represented as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA Dunnett’s multiple comparison test (RI 1.37 as control) on data, n = 5–6, ns, not significant, *p = 0.0121, ***p = 0.0001.
Figure S2. Effects of contrast enhancements by FxClear-based delipidation, Related to Figure 2

(A) Comparison between delipidation methods, ACT and FxClear, and RI matching media,

(B) Trans-illumination

(C) Stereo microscope imaging
fructose and iodixanol. Scale bar = 1 mm. Mouse brains were coronally cut, processed and imaged with stereo microscopy. (B-C) Images of mouse brain slices at different levels imaged with transillumination (B) or with stereo microscopy (C). Scale bar = 1 mm. (Ca-Cd) The boxed area in C is magnified and shown in the left panel. Scale bar = 100 μm.

**Figure S3.** Size changes of the brain slices by the FxClear, washing, and subsequent immersion to the RI adjustment reagents, Related to Figure 2

(A) Experimental procedures with summary for different variables (upper panel). Lower graph shows the images obtained in each group. Green dotted lines indicate the outer margin of the slices after PBS washing step, and red dotted lines indicate the outer margin of the slices after
the immersion in RI media. (B) Quantification of slice sizes. Data are represented as mean ± SD. Unpaired two-tailed t-tests on data between individual pairs, n = 9–12, *p = 0.0228, **p = 0.0087, ****p < 0.0001.

**Figure S4.** OCT system and brain tissue OCM images, Related to Figure 4

(A) Schematic diagram of the home-made OCT system used in this study. (B) OCM images obtained in the area marked by the boxes (image size: 320 μm × 320 μm)
Figure S5. Schematic view of the SPIM, Related to Figure 5

The detailed optical setup of the 3D imaging system. This 3D imaging system consisted of exactly the same optical path on both sides of the sample chamber to provide uniform illumination. To create a light sheet collimation lens, 2D galvanometer scanners, cylindrical lens and illumination lens were aligned sequentially. The system also consisted of 4-color fiber lasers of 405 nm, 488 nm, 532 nm, and 638 nm as light sources (Coherent, Inc.). The thickness of the light sheet was normally 6 um, and it can be adjusted about 3~15 um depending on samples. The galvanometer scanners pivotally scanned to eliminate stripe artifacts in images. 4x objective lens (NA0.13), tube lens, motorized emission filter wheel and CMOS area scan camera were provided to detect fluorescence images. The CMOS camera (Basler AG, Germany) has 8 or 12-bit pixel depth at 2.3 MP resolution.
**Figure S6.** Correlative images of TBI sample and GBM xenograft model, Related to Figure 6

(A) Correlative images of blood clots after fixation, FxClear, and RI matching in fructose (left) or iodixanol (right). Scale bar = 500 μm. (B) Immunofluorescence imaging of GFAP (red) and Iba1 (green) with nuclear counter-staining (blue, Hoechst 33342). Scale bar = 250 μm. (C) Correlative images of FxClear, transplanted GFP-labeled GBM, and after RI matching in fructose or iodixanol (left). The right image shows immunofluorescence imaging of collagen type IV (red), GFP (green), and nuclei (blue, Hoechst 33342). Scale bar = 100 μm.
Transparent methods

Animal and human specimens

C57BL/6 male mice (6–12 weeks old) were purchased from OrientBio, Inc. Cryogenic traumatic brain injury (TBI) was induced as previously reported (Kim et al., 2016). Briefly, a metal probe (5 mm diameter) was cooled in liquid nitrogen and placed on the cranium of the mice for 30 s. Animals were then killed at 3 and 14 days after treatment. The mice were transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, SGBio, # SG-B2197S) in PBS. The brains were isolated from mice and post-fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. Further, brain slices from 6-month-old 5xFAD AD model male mice were kindly gifted by Dr. Youngshik Choe (Korea Brain Research Institute). All animal husbandry, care, and euthanasia protocols were in accordance with guidelines from Korea University and have been approved by members of the Korea University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (KOREA-2019-0062, KOREA-2019-0014, KOREA-2016-0194).

Human hippocampal tissue was obtained from a cadaver for medical student education with no history of brain surgery or deformity under the Korea University Anatomical Donation Program and treated in accordance with an accurate observance of the university guidelines. Human specimens were obtained with informed consent from the donator, and the procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Korea University College of Medicine. The cadaver was perfusion-fixed with 10% formalin in saline for 3 days and kept at 4°C for >2 y.

Glioblastoma mouse orthotopic xenograft model
Glioblastoma (GBM) xenograft model was generated with male, 6-week-old athymic nude mice (Central Lab Animal) as previously reported (Byung et al., 2013; Junseong et al., 2018). All experimental procedures were approved by the Yonsei University College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were anesthetized with a solution of Zoletil (30 mg/kg) and zylazine (10 mg/kg) delivered intraperitoneally. Dissociated U87-GFP cells \(2 \times 10^5\) were implanted into the right frontal lobe of mice at a depth of 4.5 mm using a guide-screw system and Hamilton syringe. Mice were euthanized according to the approved protocol, and their brains were removed without perfusion.

**FxClear**

Tissue clearing by the FxClear method was performed as previously reported (Choi et al., 2019). Briefly, fixed tissues were sliced using a tissue chopper at 1 mm thickness, and the slices were transferred into a cassette and placed in the electrophoretic tissue clearing (ETC) chamber (X-CLARITY, Logos Biosystems, # C30001) containing 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 200 mM boric acid in \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (pH 8.5) (Logos Biosystems, # C13001). ETC was processed under the following conditions: 1.5 A, 37°C for 3 h (mouse brain slice) or 24 h (human hippocampal tissue slice). After clearing, the samples were washed in 0.1–1× PBS overnight at room temperature (25°C) while shaking to remove SDS.

**Delipidation with other clearing methods**

The clearing methods including CUBIC and iDISCO followed the protocol as below (Renier et al., 2014; Susaki et al., 2014). For the delipidation of 1 mm-thick brain slices, the incubation time was empirically determined.
CUBIC ScaleCUBIC-1 reagent 1 was prepared as a mixture of 25 wt% urea (Alfa Aesar, # J75826), 25 wt% N,N,N’,N’-tetrakis(2-hydroxypropyl) ethylenediamine (Sigma Aldrich, # 122262), and 15 wt% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich, # T8787) in dH₂O. Brain slices were incubated in ScaleCUBIC reagent 1 for 24 h with gentle shaking at room temperature.

iDISCO Brain slices were dehydrated in methanol (Merck, #106009) solutions at ascending concentration gradient (20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, 100% and 100% in 0.01M PBS) for 1h each step at room temperature. Brain slices were then treated with 66% dichloromethane (DCM, Sigma Aldrich, # 270997)/ 33% methanol for 3 h, 100% DCM for 15 minutes twice with gentle shaking. DCM was washed out with 100% methanol for 1h and rehydrated with a reverse gradient of methanol 100%, 80%, 60%, 40%, 20% for 1 h each step at room temperature followed by PBS washing for 3h with gentle shaking at room temperature. Finally, samples were incubated in iodixanol (Sigma Aldrich, # D1556) RI matching solution with gentle shaking at room temperature.

**Congo red staining**

Congo red dye was used for detection of β-pleated sheets of Aβ plaques. Briefly, Brain slices were incubated in Congo red (0.01%, Sigma Aldrich, # C6767) stain for 1 h, followed by 50% alcohol for 3 h. All brain slices were washed with PBS and incubated in Fructose (Sigma Aldrich, # F0127) RI matching media for 1 h.

**Immunostaining**
After complete removal of residual SDS, FxClear-processed tissues were incubated with primary antibodies in 6% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA, Millipore, # 82-100-6), 0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich # T8787), and 0.01% (w/v) sodium azide (Sigma Aldrich, # S2002) in 0.1× PBS for 1–2 days in a 37°C shaker. The antibodies used in this study were as follows: Tuj1 (1:1000, Sigma Aldrich, # T8660), MBP (1:500, Abcam, # ab40390), Iba1 (1:1000, WACO, # 019-19741), GFAP (1:1000, Invitrogen, # 13-0300), and collagen type IV (1:500, Millipore, # AB769). Samples were washed several times using 0.1× PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies (1:500, Jackson, # 712-166-150, # 705-165-147, # 715-165-151, Invitrogen, # A21206) for 1–2 days in a 37°C shaker that matched the host of each primary antibody for fluorescence imaging. Samples were immersed in the RI matching solution and equilibrated for at least 1 h. Images were acquired using a TCS SP8 confocal laser-scanning microscope (TCS SP8, Leica) and LSM880 Airyscan (LSM 880, Zeiss) at Ewha Fluorescence Core Imaging Center. Images were processed with LAS X software (Leica, Germany), ZEN software (Zeiss, Germany) and IMARIS program (Bitplane, Belfast, United Kingdom).

**OCT and optical coherence microscopy (OCM)**

The OCT system was built using a fiber-based Mach–Zehnder interferometer (MZI) (Supplemental Figure 3A). A commercial 100 kHz swept-source laser (Axsun Technologies, USA) was employed, and the center wavelength of the source was 1060 nm with a bandwidth of 100 nm. The average output power of the source was 20 mW. The sample arm comprised a collimator (Thorlabs Inc., # F240APC-1064, USA), a two-dimensional galvanometric scanner (Thorlabs Inc., # GVS002, USA), and an achromatic lens (f = 50 mm). The light backscattered from the sample interfered with the light that passed through the reference arm, and the
interference signal was detected using a 100 MHz balanced detector (Thorlabs Inc., # PDB415C, USA). The detected signals were sampled using a 12-bit digitizer (Alazar Technologies Inc., # ATS9360, Canada) according to the k-clock signal from the source. However, for the light penetration depth measurement, we used another balanced detector (Thorlabs Inc., # PDB471C, USA) with a detection bandwidth of 400 MHz and sampled the interference signals according to an optical k-clock signal generated by an external MZI clock module to increase the depth range from 3.7 mm to 5.38 mm (Xi et al., 2010). For the light penetration depth measurement, 3D volume data were compensated with the normalized signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) graph according to the depth to consider only the light attenuation by the sample. Then, we selected three sub-volume regions, each from the gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) of a sample. The selected regions were averaged to obtain the average intensity of the GM and WM, and the contrast was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{contrast} = \frac{\text{white}_{\text{mean}} - \text{gray}_{\text{mean}}}{\text{white}_{\text{mean}} + \text{gray}_{\text{mean}}}.$$ 

We also observed changes in local visibility in the neuron fiber bundles using OCM, which is a microscopic version of OCT (Kang et al., 2020). An image of a 320 μm × 320 μm area was acquired using a 20x objective lens (Olympus, # UMPLFLN20XW). The imaging specimen was prepared at a 2 mm thickness for all groups. The sample was placed under the cover glass to enable immersion in distilled water. Images were acquired at a depth of approximately 100 μm from the sample surface.

**Mouse brain hemisphere imaging with selective plane illumination microscope (SPIM)**

The SPIM imaging system was modified and reconstructed with reference to the previously reported paper (Huisken and Stainier, 2007). Imaging system consisted of exactly the same
optical path on both sides of the sample chamber to provide uniform illumination (Figure S5). To create a light sheet collimation lens, 2D galvanometer scanners, cylindrical lens and illumination lens were aligned sequentially. The system also consisted of 4-color fiber lasers of 405 nm, 488 nm, 532 nm, and 638 nm as light sources (Coherent, Inc.). The thickness of the light sheet was normally 6 µm, and it can be adjusted about 3~15 µm depending on samples. The galvanometer scanners pivotally scanned to eliminate stripe artifacts in images. 4x objective lens (NA0.13), tube lens, motorized emission filter wheel and CMOS area scan camera were provided to detect fluorescence images. The CMOS camera (Basler AG, Germany) has 8 or 12-bit pixel depth at 2.3 MP resolution. For mouse hemisphere, a cleared mouse hemisphere sample was prepared with the FxClear method for 24 h delipidation. Imaging was done at 4x magnification, 1-µm step size and a far-red (638 nm) excitation with omission of emission filter. The acquired images were processed software Amira (ZIB, Germany) for 3D image rendering. These scans can provide sufficient information regarding diverse neuroanatomical macrostructures (e.g. white and gray matter, hippocampal region, blood vessels. etc.) without external fluorescent labeling.

**Measurements**

Tissue transparency (%Transparency) was measured using a previously described protocol (Kim et al., 2018). Briefly, 1 mm-thick brain slices were imaged using a transillumination microscopic system (EVOS, Invitrogen, # M5000) with fixed light intensity. We measured the size and transparency of the slices from the images using ImageJ (public domain, National Institutes of Health). The gray value of the cleared sample image was used to measure tissue transparency, and transparency was normalized to the background part of the image.
Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (GraphPad, CA, USA). All data are expressed as Mean ± SD with sample sizes of n ≥ 3, unless stated otherwise. The analysis methods utilized included ordinary one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnet’s post hoc test or Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test. The control condition to determine the statistically significant differences for multiple comparisons, and unpaired two-tailed t-test for comparison of two groups.
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