Background and Purpose: The signal information per voxels of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for vessel wall could reflect the pathologic features of atherosclerotic vessels. The aim of this study is to evaluate the usefulness of magnetic resonance voxel-based histogram (VBH) of atherosclerotic basilar artery in patients with isolated pontine infarctions (PIs).

Materials and Methods: Wall and lumen of basilar artery were segmented from high resolution MR of 42 patients with isolated PI and 10 normal volunteers. VBHs were obtained after normalization by dividing the intensity of segmented wall with the intensity of non-infarcted area of pons. The variables of VBH included area (A), mean signal intensity (SI), standard deviation (SD), kurtosis (K), and skewness (SK) and area stenosis [AS; Awall/(Awall + Alumen)] were compared according to the MRI-modified American Heart Association (AHA) atherosclerotic plaque schema, and between the subgroups of PI (lacunar: LPI and paramedian: PPI).

Results: According to the MRI-modified AHA atherosclerotic plaque schema, Awall/T1 (mean area of wall on T1-weighted MRI), SIwall/T1, SDwall/T1, SKwall/T1, Kwall/T1, Alumen/T1, and AST1 showed statistical differences. AHA IV–VII showed higher Awall/T1, SIwall/T1, and AST1 than normal control. PPI showed statistical differences in Awall/T1, SIwall/T1, SKwall/T1, and Awall/T2 than those of normal control after post hoc test, whereas LPI in Awall/T1 and Awall/T2 (P < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test, Dunnett T3 procedure).

Conclusions: VBH analysis can provide the quantitative information with regard to volume as well as composition of the atherosclerotic plaque in the basilar artery. The difference in patterns of VBH might be further useful in characterizing PIs with presumably different pathogenesis.
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as a result of “small vessel disease”. According to Erro et al., patients with PPI have a higher frequency of basilar artery stenosis and they have a worse prognosis than patients with LPI.7

The assessment of basilar artery stenosis can be made with several vascular imaging modalities including digital subtraction angiography, computed tomography angiography (CTA), and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA).13 However, such imaging modalities have presented simple degree of luminal narrowing, which have drawbacks in characterizing atherosclerotic plaque. Especially during the earlier stage of atherosclerosis development, the vessel wall can remodel to expand outward, maintaining a normal arterial lumen despite plaque growth, referred as “positive remodeling.”

In this respect, high-resolution magnetic resonance (HRMR) imaging has emerged as a promising technique to evaluate atherosclerotic disease in vivo.14–16 HRMR is a useful tool for imaging the vessel wall and atherosclerotic plaque of intracranial and extracranial arteries even in cases without narrowing of the lumen.14,16,17 Klein et al. reported that HRMR detected basilar artery atherosclerosis in 42% of patients with isolated PI and normal appearing basilar artery on time-of-flight (TOF) MRA. An atherosclerotic plaque was detected on HRMR in 61.5% of patients with PPI, whereas basilar lumen narrowing was shown on TOF MRA in only 35%. These suggested that HRMR was more sensitive than angiography in detecting atherosclerosis, and PPI could be mostly caused by large artery disease.16 Interestingly, another recent study using HRMR had shown that LPI or a penetrating artery occlusion may also occur secondarily to basilar artery atherosclerosis,15 suggesting that basilar atherosclerotic plaque could be in fact much more frequent in LPI than previously thought. However, the previous studies using HRMR were performed with visual assessment until recently. When atherosclerotic change began, even the luminal size or area of vessel wall was similar to that of normal vessel; the component of vessel could be different. Kurtosis and skewness of VBH could reflect the change of component. The aim of this study is to evaluate the usefulness of VBH for HRMR of atherosclerotic basilar artery in patients with isolated PI.

Materials and Methods

Patient characteristics

Institutional review board approval was obtained, and the requirement for informed consent was waived for this retrospective study and written informed consents were obtained from normal control volunteers. Between January 2007 and December 2011, 42 consecutive patients (M:F = 25:17 age range, 45–85 years; mean age ± standard deviation, 65.1 ± 10.6 years) with an acute isolated PI were included for this study. In order to exclude artery-to-artery embolization and cardiogenic embolic infarct, the patients were excluded if they presented with extensive infarcts involving the neighboring midbrain and medulla oblongata, had previous cerebellar and supra tentorial infarcts, or also had a potential source of a cardiogenic embolism such as atrial fibrillation, valvular heart disease, or congestive heart failure. Demographic features and risk factors were recorded including hypertension (defined as receiving medication for hypertension or blood pressure >140 mmHg on systolic and >90 on diastolic pressure on repeated measurements), diabetes mellitus (defined as receiving medication for diabetes mellitus or fasting blood sugar is above 126 mg/dl or postprandial 2 hour above 200 mg/dl), and their current cigarette smoking status. To assess the clinical status, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score was measured at the time of patients’ admission. The modified Rankin Scale and duration of admission were measured at the time of their discharge to assess the clinical outcome.

MR protocol

A 1.5-Tesla MRI unit (Intera, Philips Medical Systems, The Netherlands) was utilized for the study. All the patients underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) measurement, HRMR, and 3D-TOF MRA within 7 days after the symptom onset. MRA using 3D-TOF technique [repetition time (TR): 18 msec, echo time (TE): 6.9 msec, flip angle: 20°, field of view (FOV): 18 cm × 15 cm, matrix: 236 × 512, slice thickness: 1.3 mm, number of excitation (NEX): 1] was performed to evaluate the basilar arteries. The HRMR comprised T1-weighted images (T1 WIs; TR: 532 msec, TE: 10 msec, FOV: 80 mm × 80 mm, thickness: 2 mm, slice gap: 0.4 mm, NEX: 14, matrix: 512 × 512, resolution: 6.4 pixels/mm, pixel size: 0.02414 mm², scan...
Visual assessment of MRI

All MR images were interpreted on a picture archiving and communications system workstation (PiViewStar; Infiniti, Seoul, Korea) in order to classify PI by two experienced neuroradiologists (H.W.J and H.J.L with 10 and 11 years of experience in Neuroradiology, respectively) independently (Fig. 1). Isolated PIs were classified into PPI and LPI, depending on whether infarct involves the ventral surface of the pons. Two radiologists (H.W.J and H.J.L) independently reviewed MR image for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of basilar artery in order to detect the presence and degree of basilar artery stenosis. The locations of plaque were considered to correspond to the relevant PI in all the patients. The morphology of plaque on wall was classified according to the modified American Heart Association (AHA) atherosclerotic plaque schema based on MRI. AHA Type I & II were regarded as absence plaque on the basis of technical limitations of MRI resolution for basilar artery. AHA Type III was defined as a slight diffuse or eccentric thickening of the wall containing pools of extracellular lipid. AHA Type IV–VIII defined by MR may have high or iso-signal intensity on T1 WI, and varied signal intensities on T2 WI. AHA Type III is classified as such when the criteria for Type IV–V, VI, and VII are excluded. If there was disagreement between two observers, the radiologists reached a consensus after discussion. Shortest and longest inner diameters were measured on HRMR, as well as outer. The compromised perforating artery was defined if atheroma was located at the posterior portion of basilar artery.

VBH

Each T1- and T2-WIs were segmented into vessel wall and lumen using manual region of interest technique, followed by threshold technique to tease out the wall and lumen with Image J program to give same condition for each image and reproducible later on the same data (1.47g, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda) (Fig. 2). By multiplying the mask image (signal intensity of wall = 1, others = 0) to segmented images, we suppressed artifact such as flow-related enhancement. To normalize the MR signal intensity, the intensity of segmented wall image was divided by the intensity of non-infarcted area of pons. And then, the numbers of voxels, area, skewness, kurtosis, and normalized signal intensity of segmented vessel wall and lumens were calculated using the program. VBH for vessel
Fig. 2. Segmentation of vessel wall and lumen. Software: ImageJ1.47q (NIH, USA); (A) raw data, (B) segmentation with ROI and threshold technique, (C) vessel wall, and (D) lumen. ROI, region of interest.

Table 1. Demographic data of patients according to the classification of pontine infarction

|                        | Lacunar PI (n = 16) | Paramedian PI (n = 26) | P value |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age                    | 57.06 ± 12.03        | 67.66 ± 11.38          | 0.004   |
| Sex (Male)             | 12 (75.0%)           | 13 (50.0%)             | 0.195   |
| Hypertension           | 10 (62.5%)           | 19 (73.1%)             | 0.51    |
| Diabetes mellitus      | 6 (37.5%)            | 12 (46.2%)             | 0.750   |
| Cigarette smoking      | 9 (56.2%)            | 9 (34.6%)              | 0.210   |
| Hypercholesterolemia   | 3 (18.8%)            | 7 (26.9%)              | 0.715   |
| GCS                    | 15.00 ± 0.00         | 14.69 ± 1.05           | 0.164   |
| Initial NIHSS          | 2.25 ± 2.21          | 5.15 ± 4.31            | 0.025   |
| Duration of admission  | 11.69 ± 9.81         | 19.34 ± 14.27          | 0.262   |
| mRS                    | 0.938 ± 1.24         | 1.31 ± 1.44            | 0.393   |

Brain MRI

- Basal ganglia lacune: 6 (37.5%) vs. 12 (46.2%) (P = 0.750)
- Posterior fossa lacune: 3 (18.8%) vs. 4 (15.4%) (P = 1.000)

GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NIH, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; PI, pontine infarction.

and lumen were produced (Bins = 100). The pixel information, including area (A), mean (SI), and standard deviation (SD) of signal intensity, kurtosis (K), and skewness (SK), were compared, according to the MRI-modified AHA atherosclerotic plaque schema and subgroups of PI. Area stenosis [Awall/(Awall + Alumen)] were calculated as to evaluate the atherosclerotic degrees of vessels.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS v13.0 for Windows (SPSS; Chicago, Illinois, USA). Fishers’ exact test was applied to examine the difference in categorical variables, because the sample size was small. For the comparison of atherosclerotic parameters, statistical significance was evaluated by using the Kruskal-Wallis test. For multiple comparisons according to atherosclerosis and PI, post hoc tests (Dunnett T3 procedure) were performed. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results

There were hypertensions in 29 (69.1%), diabetes mellitus in 18 (42.9%), cigarette smoking in 18 (42.9%), and hypercholesterolemia in 10 (23.8%) patients. The initial NIHSS score ranged from 1 to 18 (mean = 4.37 ± 3.94). As depicted on DWI, 16 (38.1%) of 42 patients had LPI and 26 (61.9%) had PPI. In comparison between PPI and LPI, there was no statistical difference of demographic data except age and initial NIHSSs (Table 1).

Eight patients (19.0%) without identified plaques on basilar artery were graded as AHA Type I & II. Eighteen patients (42.9%) with slight diffuse or eccentric thickening of the wall were graded AHA Type III. Sixteen patients (38.1%) were graded as AHA Type IV–VIII. The inner diameter of patients with basilar artery stenosis showed narrow lumen than that of patients without basilar artery stenosis.
On projection image of TOF MRA, one of AHA Type I & II, four of AHA Type III, and six of AHA Type IV–VIII showed atherosclerotic plaque ($P = 0.053$), (Table 2).

To compare the data of VBH with normal controls, 10 volunteers undertook HRMR examinations of basilar artery using the same protocols as the patients with PI. The mean inner luminal diameter was 3.21 ± 0.31 mm, and the outer diameter was 4.62 ± 0.47 mm. On $T_1$ VBH for vessel wall, the mean was shifted to right side according to the MRI-modified AHA atherosclerotic plaque schema. AHA, American Heart Association; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; VBH, voxel-based histogram.

($P < 0.001$). On projection image of TOF MRA, one of AHA Type I & II, four of AHA Type III, and six of AHA Type IV–VIII showed atherosclerotic plaque ($P = 0.053$), (Table 2).

To compare the data of VBH with normal controls, 10 volunteers undertook HRMR examinations of basilar artery using the same protocols as the patients with PI. The mean inner luminal diameter was 3.21 ± 0.31 mm, and the outer diameter was 4.62 ± 0.47 mm. On $T_1$ VBH for vessel wall, the mean was shifted to right side according to the MRI-modified AHA atherosclerotic plaque schema (Fig. 3).

In comparison of the parameters of VBH according to the MRI-modified AHA atherosclerotic plaque schema, $A_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$ (mean area of wall on $T_1$WI), $\text{SI}_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$, $\text{SD}_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$, $\text{SK}_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$, $K_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$, $A_{\text{lumen}}^{T_1}$ and the $\text{AST}_1$ showed statistical differences ($P < 0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test). Although, post hoc test (Dunnett T3 procedure) showed no statistical differences between normal control and AHA Type I & II, $A_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$, $\text{SI}_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$, $\text{SD}_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$, $\text{SK}_{\text{wall}}^{T_1}$,
Table 3. Comparison of parameters of voxel-based histographic analysis, according to the MRI-modified AHA atherosclerotic plaque schema

|                | Normal Control (N, n = 10) | AHA I & II (A, n = 8) | AHA III (B, n = 18) | AHA IV–VIII (C, n = 16) | P value | Post hoc        |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| **T₁WI wall**  |                           |                      |                     |                         |         |                 |
| Area, mean (mm²) | 9.38 ± 1.35               | 11.68 ± 2.36         | 14.54 ± 2.56        | 16.96 ± 7.23            | 0.001   | NB, NC          |
| Signal intensity, mean | 0.55 ± 0.04               | 0.65 ± 0.11          | 0.68 ± 0.07         | 0.82 ± 0.12             | <0.001 | NB, NC, AC      |
| Signal intensity, SD   | 0.14 ± 0.04               | 0.17 ± 0.11          | 0.19 ± 0.08         | 0.20 ± 0.06             | 0.025   |                 |
| Skewness         | 0.64 ± 0.26               | 0.56 ± 0.53          | 0.48 ± 0.43         | 0.14 ± 0.20             | 0.001   | NC, AC          |
| Kurtosis         | −0.41 ± 0.34              | −0.39 ± 1.67         | −0.21 ± 0.99        | −0.78 ± 0.69            | 0.009   | BC              |
| Lumen, mean area (mm³) | 5.45 ± 1.42               | 8.34 ± 3.45          | 8.50 ± 4.11         | 5.90 ± 3.00             | 0.056   |                 |
| Areal stenosis*     | 0.63 ± 0.04               | 0.59 ± 0.06          | 0.64 ± 0.11         | 0.74 ± 0.10             | 0.002   | NC, AC          |
| **T₂WI wall**  |                           |                      |                     |                         |         |                 |
| Area, mean (mm²) | 11.36 ± 2.66              | 13.48 ± 2.88         | 15.18 ± 3.66        | 19.29 ± 7.54            | 0.004   | NB, NC          |
| SI mean           | 1.07 ± 0.19               | 1.07 ± 0.16          | 1.15 ± 0.19         | 1.31 ± 0.29             | 0.038   |                 |
| SI SD             | 0.30 ± 0.06               | 0.31 ± 0.13          | 0.29 ± 0.08         | 0.30 ± 0.09             | 0.864   |                 |
| Skewness         | 0.16 ± 0.36               | −1.41 ± 0.58         | 0.15 ± 0.54         | 0.11 ± 0.35             | 0.546   |                 |
| Kurtosis         | −0.59 ± 0.46              | −0.31 ± 0.47         | −0.11 ± 1.46        | −0.62 ± 0.40            | 0.403   |                 |
| Lumen, mean area (mm³) | 6.61 ± 2.03               | 8.87 ± 2.51          | 10.75 ± 4.48        | 7.00 ± 7.32             | 0.029   | NB              |
| Areal stenosis*     | 0.63 ± 0.07               | 0.60 ± 0.07          | 0.60 ± 0.09         | 0.73 ± 0.09             | 0.002   | AC, BC          |

AHA, American Heart Association; SD, standard deviation; SI, signal intensity; WI, weighted imaging; *, Awall/(Awall + Alumen); **, NB, NC, AC, and BC, statistically significant between N and B, between N and C, between A and C, and between B and C, respectively.

Discussion

These results suggested that VBH of HRMR has the possibility to overcome the shortcomings of preexisting luminographic modalities, by taking into account the arterial wall and lumen at the same time.19,20 MR luminographies such as TOF MRA or contrast-enhanced MRA (CE MRA) are clinically useful and easily interpreted for the presence of luminal narrowing.3,21 In similarity to conventional angiography, the measurement of stenosis rate can be determined by the gold standard criteria such as European Carotid Stenosis Trial, North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial. Apart from clinical usefulness, MRA has several pitfalls. First, the accuracy of the measurement of stenosis rate is doubtful since flow-related artifact may result in an apparent reduction in a vessel’s caliber or complete loss of visualization.21 Second, it shows minimal diameter reduction or even a normal diameter when atherosclerotic plaque develops mainly toward the outer side. Third, projection image does not visualize the arterial stenosis correctly and stenotic lesions can be hidden depending on image acquisition plane.22,23 In addition, the measurement results were dependent on the variability between readers due to different experience or methodology.

In this study, wall area measurement using VBH analysis was well-correlated with the MRI-modified AHA grading, showing good agreements with pathologic progression. From the original AHA classification...
Table 4. Comparison of the visual assessment of vascular MRI, TOF MRA, and transcranial Doppler of the basilar artery, according to the classification of pontine infarction

|                | Lacunar PI (n = 16) | Paramedian PI (n = 26) | P value |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| AHA classification |                     |                        |         |
| I & II         | 6 (37.5%)           | 2 (7.7%)               |         |
| III            | 7 (43.8%)           | 11 (42.3%)             | 0.009   |
| IV–VIII        | 3 (18.8%)           | 13 (50.0%)             |         |
| Posterior location of plaque | 4 (25.0%)   | 16 (61.5%)             | 0.029   |
| Shortest luminal diameter | 3.22 ± 0.79  | 2.80 ± 1.09            | 0.400   |
| Longest luminal diameter | 3.44 ± 0.71  | 3.35 ± 0.61            | 0.897   |
| Outer diameter | 5.33 ± 0.84         | 5.69 ± 1.10            | 0.331   |
| TOF MRA        |                     |                        |         |
| Stenosis (−)   | 13 (81.2%)          | 18 (69.2%)             | 0.485   |
| Stenosis (+)   | 3 (18.8%)           | 8 (30.8%)              |         |

AHA, American Heart Association; PI, pontine infarction; TOF MRA, time of flight magnetic resonance angiography.

Table 5. Comparison of parameters of voxel-based histogram analysis, according to the classification of pontine infarction

|                     | Normal control (N, n = 10) | LPI (A, n = 16) | PPI (B, n = 26) | P value | Post hoc <0.05** |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| T₁WI wall           |                             |                 |                 |         |                 |
| Area, mean (mm³)    | 9.38 ± 1.35                 | 13.38 ± 3.26    | 15.86 ± 5.96    | <0.001  | NA, NB, AB      |
| Signal intensity, mean | 0.55 ± 0.39              | 0.66 ± 0.14     | 0.77 ± 0.11     | <0.001  | NB, AB          |
| Signal intensity, SD | 0.15 ± 0.04                | 0.18 ± 0.08     | 0.18 ± 0.07     | 0.077   |                 |
| Skewness            | 0.64 ± 0.26                | 0.47 ± 0.48     | 0.30 ± 0.37     | 0.090   | NB              |
| Kurtosis            | −0.41 ± 0.34               | −0.14 ± 1.24    | −0.28 ± 0.99    | 0.781   |                 |
| Lumen, mean area (mm³) | 5.45 ± 1.42            | 8.21 ± 4.15     | 7.03 ± 3.26     | 0.130   |                 |
| Areal stenosis*     | 0.63 ± 0.04                | 0.63 ± 0.10     | 0.69 ± 0.10     | 0.126   |                 |
| T₂WI wall           |                             |                 |                 |         |                 |
| Area mean (mm³)     | 11.36 ± 2.66               | 16.09 ± 4.99    | 16.62 ± 6.39    | 0.013   | NA, NB          |
| Signal intensity, mean | 1.09 ± 0.17              | 1.08 ± 0.16     | 1.24 ± 0.26     | 0.050   | AB              |
| Signal intensity, SD | 0.30 ± 0.06                | 0.28 ± 0.12     | 0.31 ± 0.08     | 0.612   |                 |
| Skewness            | 0.16 ± 0.36                | 0.05 ± 0.42     | 0.01 ± 0.54     | 0.839   |                 |
| Kurtosis            | −0.59 ± 0.46               | −0.57 ± 0.46    | −0.21 ± 1.24    | 0.376   |                 |
| Lumen, mean area (mm³) | 6.61 ± 2.03            | 11.75 ± 6.80    | 9.77 ± 5.65     | 0.002   | NB, AB          |
| Areal stenosis*     | 0.63 ± 0.07                | 0.60 ± 0.09     | 0.60 ± 0.08     | 0.963   |                 |

LPI, lacunar pontine infarction; PPI, paramedian pontine infarction; SD, standard deviation; WI, weighted imaging; *\(A_{\text{wall}}/(A_{\text{wall}} + A_{\text{lumen}})\); **, NA, NB, and AB, statistically significant between N and A, between N and B, and between A and B, respectively.

Based on histopathological findings, AHA classification was modified for MRI finding.\(^{18,24,25}\) According to AHA classification for MRI, Type I & II are indistinguishable from the near-normal carotid wall. Type III is characterized by a slight diffuse or eccentric thickening of the wall containing pools of extracellular lipid. Therefore, AHA Type III appeared diffuse thickening of wall with slightly high signals on T₁WI. AHA Type
**Fig. 4.** T₁ (A) and T₂ (B) voxel-based histograms (VBHs) of wall of patients with paramedian (red) and lacunar (solid) pontine infarction. On T₁ VBH for vessel wall (A), the mean was shifted to right side compared with normal control (dot).

**Fig. 5.** Comparison of T₁ and T₂-weighted vessel wall magnetic resonance imaging between a 59-year-old man with lacunar pontine infarction (A) and a 68-year-old woman with paramedian pontine infarction (B). T₁ voxel-based histograms (C) of paramedian pontine infarction shows increased area (21.12 mm³ vs. 16.23 mm³), higher signal intensity (1.29 vs. 1.00), and right shift of skewness (0.10 vs. 0.23), compared with that of lacunar pontine infarction.

IV–VIII contains various chemical components including lipid or necrotic core, which by MR may have high or iso-signal intensity on T₁WI, and varied SI on T₂WI. As advantage over other modalities in correlation with AHA grading, VBH is useful to estimate early atherosclerotic change. In comparison with the normal control group, early stage of atherosclerosis (AHA Type I & II) had increased area of wall and lumen. Even if there were no definite visible plaque or stenosis, early changes of atherosclerosis of the vessels had impact on wall and lumen. These findings also suggest that there is an ongoing adaptation mechanism of atherosclerotic change, namely “positive remodeling.” In comparison with normal control group, luminal areas of intermediate or advanced atherosclerosis (AHA Type III or IV–VII) were not different, which could suggest the lumen was narrowing due to atheroma protruding into the lumen with progression of atherosclerosis. Ma et al.26
reported that positive remodeling was more commonly seen in advanced basilar atherosclerosis and positive remodeling lesion had greater luminal area and wall itself with significantly larger plaque than non-positive remodeling lesion.

In addition to wall area measurement, signal intensity measurement could be a promising method to analyze the histology of atherosclerotic plaque. The main components of the atherothrombotic plaques are connective tissue, cholesterol compound, variable cells including monocyte-derived macrophages, T-lymphocytes, and smooth muscle cells. And thrombotic materials with platelets and fibrins are added in advanced or ruptured plaques. Varying proportions of these components are present in different plaques, thus giving rise to heterogeneity of the lesions. Vulnerable plaques, which are prone to rupture, contain a high frequency of inflammatory cells and may cause clinically manifest problems. Each voxel of MRI of atherosclerotic vessels represents various composition of atherosclerotic plaque. We hypothesized the pattern of VBH represent the status of atherosclerotic status quantitatively. As VBH based on pixel intensity of interest region have used to estimate the component of histology, the skewness and kurtosis of VBH could represent different biochemical component, even though the volume of vessel wall similarly increased. Increased kurtosis ($K_{\text{wall/T}_1}$) was noted in AHA Type I & II, which is believed to reflect increased numbers of pixel from homogeneous wall component. Whereas decreased skewness ($SK_{\text{wall/T}_1}$) was noted in AHA Type IV–VIII, which is probably due to increased number of pixel from abnormal tissues. This study also demonstrated that signal intensities on T1 WI of PPI subgroup, in addition to mal tissues. This study also demonstrated that signal increased. Increased kurtosis ($K_{\text{wall/T}_1}$) was noted in AHA Type I & II, which is believed to reflect increased numbers of pixel from homogeneous wall component. Whereas decreased skewness ($SK_{\text{wall/T}_1}$) was noted in AHA Type IV–VIII, which is probably due to increased number of pixel from abnormal tissues. This study also demonstrated that signal intensities on T1 WI of PPI subgroup, in addition to wall areas on T1 WI even at 1.5T.

In addition, lumen-intima or adventitia-CSF interface intensities cause considerable variations in measuring area, requiring MRI scale standardization. Especially, as $T_1$WI depicts the boundaries with less clarity, it could not be inappropriate to measure the area of wall. A 3T or higher magnetic field MR machine with optimal flow suppression technique could identify better basilar artery plaques. Second, a relatively small number of patients and normal controls were enrolled in our study, because the incidence of isolated PI was not so high. Therefore, statistical power of this study could be limited.

The current study showed that the wall area of basilar artery in patients with isolated PI measured on both T1- and T2WI increased along with the advanced AHA grading. The mean signal intensity also increased along with AHA grading. However, no differences were found among AHA subgroups, except the stenosis rate, when evaluated from visual assessment of HRMR or TOF MRA. Therefore, the wall area measurement may be the most powerful tools in providing information comparable to histopathological progression of atherosclerotic plaque. Based on these findings, VBH analysis of HRMR could be useful tool to evaluate the progression and the histologic status of atherosclerotic plaque. In conclusion, VBH was useful in the evaluation of atherosclerotic change of basilar arteries in patients with PI. Although it is required to validate the presented VBH for atherosclerotic vessel with optimal MR sequence and post imaging processing program, for use in clinics, the results should serve as the basis for further investigation.
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