Simulation Analysis for Concrete Hyperbolic Arch Dam of Gucheng Reservoir
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Abstract—Concrete arch dam as an important hydraulic structures, in recent years has been widely used in water conservancy projects. Gucheng Reservoir is located in the ancient city of Yanqing County in Beijing, where is 10 km from the dam to the county, control drainage area above the dam 119 km$^2$, which is an irrigation project, combined with the utilization of water projects for flood control, power generation and so on. In this paper, general finite element calculation software for concrete hyperbolic arch dam of Gucheng reservoir simulation analysis gives arch stress, deformation distribution in the course of construction and operation. The results for the design and construction of concrete hyperbolic arch dam structure provides a frame of reference, which has a certain theoretical significance. Arch upstream surface of the circumferential stress are substantially compressive stress, in addition, the first principal stress value smaller of the arch, which is able to meet strength requirements. The structure is safe and reliable. Meanwhile the deformation values is smaller of the arch, also to meet stiffness requirements.
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I. ENGINEERING SITUATION

Gucheng Reservoir is located in the ancient city of Yanqing County in Beijing, where is 10 km from the dam to the county, control drainage area above the dam 119 km$^2$, which is an irrigation project, combined with the utilization of water projects for flood control, power generation and so on[1]. Reservoir design flood level is 55.47 m and corresponding to the downstream water level is 4.55 m; Check flood level is 56.76 m and corresponding downstream water level is 4.55 m. Project by dams, factories, irrigation and power generation water pipes, sediment scuppers, two dams and other buildings[2]. The dam is a concrete hyperbolic arch dam, the maximum height of 65 m, the dam bottom thickness 14 m, cross-sectional thickness arch higher than 0.22, the maximum central angle 104°25' and minimum central angle 66°, arch concrete strength class C20.

II. ARCH CALCULATION MODEL

A. Model Parameters

The concrete arch dam of Gucheng reservoir uses the concrete strength class C20, elastic modulus $E = 25.5$ GPa, Poisson's ratio $\mu = 0.167$[3]. Bulk density $\gamma = 24$ kN/m$^3$. The rock for dam is dolomitic limestone. Rock elastic modulus $E = 18$ GPa[4], Poisson's ratio $\mu = 0.27$.

B. Model Element

Concrete arch dam and bedrock structure model uses 8-node isoparametric block element[5]. The element is applied to three-dimensional model entity structure, which has plasticity, creep, swelling, stress stiffening, large deformation and large strain characteristics. Element has eight nodes and each node has three translational degrees of freedom[6-7].

C. Model Size

The size of the entire calculation model is down the river to fetch 200 m, perpendicular to the direction of the river to take 145 m, simulation range model is 200 m $\times$ 200 m $\times$ 145 m[8-9]. Arch and rock element division shown in Fig.1.
D Calculation Condition

Considering the arch structure during operation of the mechanical characteristics[10], the main consideration of the following four kinds of calculation condition is that case 1 (weight), case 2 (design water level, tail water level and weight), case 3 (check flood level, tail water level and weight), case 4 (design flood level, tail water level, weight and earthquake response).

III. ARCH STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

A Stress Analysis

Each case of the arch about the first principal stress conditions of contour map and vertical stress of contour map, such as Fig. 2 to Fig. 9.

Figure 1. Arch and bedrock FEM division

Figure 2. Cloud map of arch's first principal stress under case 1 (Pa)

Figure 3. Cloud map of arch's vertical stress under case 1 (Pa)

Figure 4. Cloud map of arch's first principal stress under case 2 (Pa)

Figure 5. Cloud map of arch's vertical stress under case 2 (Pa)
As can be seen from Fig. 2 to Fig. 9, in the role of water pressure and weight, the first maximum principal stress and vertical stress present in arch dam and rock bottom junction. Under case 4, due to the earthquake, the largest arch dam is the first principal stress 1.67MPa, the maximum vertical stress is 2.15MPa, which appear in the upstream face of the bottom of the arch at the junction with the foundation, but it only occurs in within a few regions, belonging to the local stress concentration, there is no significant impact on the overall performance of the dam.

### B Deformation Analysis

By deformation analysis of the concrete arch dam of Gucheng reservoir, we calculated radial displacement of each condition maps arch, and the dam radial displacement cloud shows in Fig. 10 to Fig. 13.
From the Fig.10 to Fig.13 can be seen that under its own weight, the radial displacement of the arch of the basic layered distribution. The maximum radial displacement occurs at the top of the dam. Under the combined effect of water pressure and weight, the maximum radial displacement occurs in the middle of the arch crown arch, but the smaller radial displacement values appear in the junction that is bedrock and abutment.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In summary, the use of the concrete arch dam in Gucheng reservoir scheme is reasonable. Arch upstream surface of the circumferential stress are substantially compressive stress, in addition, the first principal stress value smaller of the arch, which is able to meet strength requirements. The structure is safe and reliable. Meanwhile the deformation values are smaller of the arch, also to meet stiffness requirements.
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