Towards a determination of the nucleon EDM from the quark chromo-EDM operator with the gradient flow
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In this proceedings, we lay the foundation for computing the contribution of quark chromoelectric dipole moment (qCEDM) operator to the nucleon electric dipole moment. By applying the gradient flow technique, we can parameterize the renormalization and operator mixing issues associated with the qCEDM operator on the lattice. As the nucleon mixing angle $\alpha_N$ is a key component for determining the neutron and proton electric dipole moments induced by the qCEDM operator, we present the formalism and preliminary results for $\alpha_N$ with respect to the gradient flow time $t_f$. The results are computed on $N_f = 2 + 1$ Wilson-clover lattices provided by PACS-CS [1]. The 3 ensembles have lattice spacing values of $a = \{0.1095, 0.0936, 0.0684\}$ fm, whilst keeping a similar $m_\pi \approx 701$ MeV, and a fixed box size of $L \approx 1.9$ fm.

Table 1: Summary of important lattice parameters for our ensembles, which share a common $m_\pi \approx 701$ MeV and $L \approx 1.9$ fm. The computing of $a$ and $m_\pi$ was performed in [1].

| $L_s^3 \times L_t$ | $a$ [fm]     | $\beta$ | $\kappa_1$ | $\kappa_2$ | $c_{SW}$ | Nconfs |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|----------|--------|
| $16^3 \times 32$   | 0.1095(25)   | 1.83    | 0.13825    | 0.13710    | 1.761    | 800    |
| $20^3 \times 40$   | 0.0936(33)   | 1.9     | 0.13700    | 0.13640    | 1.715    | 790    |
| $28^3 \times 56$   | 0.0684(41)   | 2.05    | 0.13560    | 0.13510    | 1.628    | 650    |

1. Introduction

A nonzero neutron electric dipole moment (nEDM) would indicate that CP symmetry is violated. The current bounds on the nEDM are a few orders of magnitude above what can be induced via the weak sector and any non-zero signal can be attributed to new sources of CP violation. Apart from the theta term, the Standard Model does not provide such CP-violating terms and the nEDM can provide evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM). In lattice QCD, we explicitly compute the neutron and proton EDM induced by individual terms in the QCD action. In these proceedings, we compute a crucial component of the nEDM, the nucleon mixing angle $\alpha_N$, which is induced by the quark chromo-electric dipole moment (qCEDM), an effective CP-violating BSM operator. Renormalization of the qCEDM poses a challenge, as it mixes with operators of the same and lower dimension. By employing the gradient flow [2] to the qCEDM operator for varying flow time parameter $t_f$, we hope in the future to disentangle the mixing.

2. Lattice Parameters

To explore the discretization effects of $\alpha_N$, we use three PACS-CS lattice ensembles of varying lattice spacings, obtained through the ILDG [3]. Having $N_f = 2 + 1$, they were computed with a Iwasaki gauge action, and were used with a non-perturbative O(a)-improved Wilson fermion action. All 3 ensembles exhibit similar box lengths of $L \approx 1.9$ fm and similar pion masses of $m_\pi \approx 701$ MeV. The computation within [1] determined the lattice spacing and pion masses, which has been summarized in Table. 1.

3. The qCEDM and the Two-Point Correlator

The qCEDM operator is defined as the particular bilinear combination:

$$O(\bar{w}, \tau) = \sum_f [\bar{\psi}^f(\bar{w}, \tau) \Gamma^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}_{\kappa \lambda}(\bar{w}, \tau) \psi^f(\bar{w}, \tau)]^{[l]}_{\kappa \lambda}$$

where we have summed over all quark flavors $f$, dirac indices $\mu, \nu$, color indices $k, l$ and spin indices $\kappa, \lambda$. Unlike other common quark bilinears (e.g. currents, twist-two bilinears, etc...), the qCEDM is a quark bilinear which also depends on the gluon field strength tensor $G_{\mu \nu}$. We use the
standard interpolating operators that have the quantum numbers of the neutron

\[
\tilde{N}_\gamma(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) = \epsilon^{abc} \bar{u}_a(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^b_\beta(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) d^c_\gamma(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z),
\]

where \( a, b, c, \alpha', \beta', \gamma' \) are color indices and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma' \) are spin indices. We have define the combination \( \tilde{C} = C \gamma_5 \), where \( C \) is charge conjugation matrix. Using these interpolating operators, the two-point correlation function can be written in terms of the quark fields

\[
G_2(P, t) = \sum_{x, y, z} \langle \bar{u}_a(x, \tau) C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^b_\beta(y, \tau) d^c_\gamma(z, \tau) \rangle \left[ \epsilon^{abc} \bar{u}_a(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^b_\beta(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) d^c_\gamma(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) \right].
\]

For the construction of \( \alpha_{\gamma} \), we project out the positive parity nucleon states by setting \( P = T^+ = \frac{1 + \gamma_4}{2} \). We define our three-point correlation function as the two-point correlator with an insertion of the qCEDM operator which is summed over space-time

\[
G_3(P, t) = \sum_{x, y, z} \langle \bar{u}_a(x, \tau) C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^b_\beta(y, \tau) d^c_\gamma(z, \tau) \rangle \langle \epsilon^{abc} \bar{u}_a(x, \tilde{\tau}) C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^b_\beta(y, \tilde{\tau}) d^c_\gamma(z, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle \left[ \epsilon^{abc} \bar{u}_a(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^b_\beta(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) d^c_\gamma(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}_z) \right].
\]

By selecting \( P = T^+ \gamma_5 \) for this correlation function (and \( P = T^+ \) for the two-point correlator), we ensure the non-zero contribution to the states present in the spectral decomposition are consistent. By virtue, this ensures that the ground state of the two- and three- point correlation functions have the same energy. When performing the Wick contractions for the three-point correlation function, 10 independent terms are present. The results shown in this paper only include the 6 “connected diagrams” shown in Fig. [b], for which the qCEDM is inserted on a propagator associated with the nucleon. We exclude the contributions coming from the 4 “disconnected diagrams” shown in Fig. [a], for which the qCEDM operator is inserted on a quark propagator loop that is disconnected from the propagators associated with the nucleon. By performing a spectral decomposition of the two- (\( n=2 \)) and three- (\( n=3 \)) point correlation functions, the “effective mass” functions can be used to determine the mass of the nucleon

\[
G_{n=2,3}(t) = Z_{n=2,3} e^{-M_{\text{eff}} t} \left[ 1 + O(e^{-\Delta M t}) \right] \quad \rightarrow \quad M_{\text{eff}}(n=2,3)(t) = \log \frac{G_{n=2,3}(t)}{G_{n=2,3}(t+1)},
\]

where \( \Delta M \) is the difference between the first excited state and the ground state of the nucleon. The region in which a plateau has occurred in the effective mass at large \( t \) allows us to extract the ground-state mass \( M_{\text{eff}} \). To average over the statistical noise, we fit the effective mass function over a region of \( t \) beginning at where the data has plateaued, and ending where the noise is starting to dominate over the signal. In Fig. [2(a)], we compare the effective mass function computed using the two-point function (green), with the effective mass computed using the three-point function (red) computed on the \( 28^3 \times 56 \) lattice. In the region where both correlation functions have plateaued to the ground state, the data points and their respective fit bands agree within the statistical error. We observe that the effective mass function of the three-point correlator reaches a plateau at a shorter source-sink separation \( t_{\text{sep}} \) compared to the two-point function. This indicates that the excited-state
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(a) Connected diagrams of three-point functions.
(b) Disconnected diagrams of three-point functions.

Figure 1: All 10 diagrams that contribute to the three-point correlation function. The square box with cross symbol represents the qCEDM operator and the symbols $U$ and $D$ distinguish between the terms where the qCEDM operator is inserted between $u$ or $d$ quarks. Blue lines are sequential quark lines. We do not compute the disconnected contribution in Fig. 1(b) for this proceeding.

Figure 2: Effective mass function $M_{\text{eff}}(t_{\text{sep}})$ and nucleon mixing angle $\alpha_N$ computed on the $a = 0.0684$ fm, $28^3 \times 56$ lattice ensemble. The bands in the left figure correspond to a constant fit in a region where the data points have plateaued to the ground state.

contamination effects (e.g. the $O(e^{-\Delta M_f})$ term in [3,5] are being suppressed simply by summing over the insertion of a qCEDM operator. The nucleon mixing angle $\alpha_N$ provides a measure of how to “rotate” the nucleon spinors of the standard CP conserving theory, to a theory that includes a CP-violating term (in this case, the qCEDM). To compute the nucleon mixing angle $\alpha_N$, we construct the ratio between the three- and two- point correlation functions $\alpha_N(t_{\text{sep}}) \equiv -G_3(t_{\text{sep}})/G_2(t_{\text{sep}})$, which plateaus to $\alpha_N$ in the large $t_{\text{sep}}$ limit. Full derivations can be found in Refs. [4, 5, 6, 7]. In Fig. 2(b), we show the individual contributions coming from the $U$ (violet) and $D$ (cyan) diagrams (defined in Fig. 1(a)) to $\alpha_N(t_{\text{sep}})$. The figure clearly demonstrates that sum of the $D$ diagrams (cyan) are the major contributor to $\alpha_N$. 

3
4. Gradient Flow applied to the qCEDM

In this section, we repeat the extraction process for $M_{eff}$ and $\alpha_N$ as in the previous section, but applying the gradient flow to the qCEDM operator within the two- and three-point correlation functions. When following the gradient flow formalism from [2], the quantity being flowed (both for the gauge and/or fermion fields) is parameterized by the “flow time” dimension $t_f$. Since $t_f$ has a dimensionality of 2, the dimensionless combination of $t_f/a^2$ is the analogous parameter on the lattice. As the gradient flow has the effect of smearing the quark and gauge fields over space-time, the “flow radius” $\sqrt{8t_f/a}$ provides a measure of the root-mean-square smearing radius for the gradient flowed object. The two terms that the gradient flow needs to be applied on in the qCEDM are the gluonic field strength tensor and the quark fields. For the gluonic field strength tensor $G_{\mu\nu}(\vec{w}, \tau)$, we apply the standard gradient flow prescription for the gauge fields (see [2]), which are used to construct the field strength tensor $G_{\mu\nu}(\vec{w}, \tau, t_f)$ at arbitrary $t_f$. For the quark fields, it is more beneficial in lattice QCD to formalize the flow equations in terms of quark propagators

$$S(t_f, \vec{y}, t; \vec{x}, t_0) = \sum_{\vec{w}, \tau} K(t_f, \vec{y}, t; 0, \vec{v}, \tau) S(\vec{v}, \tau, \vec{x}, t_0),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

$$S(\vec{y}, t; s_f, \vec{x}, t_0) = \sum_{\vec{w}, \tau} S(\vec{y}, t; \vec{w}, \tau) K(s_f, \vec{x}, t_0; 0, \vec{w}, \tau),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

where $K$ and $K^\dagger$ are flow operators which satisfies the quark flow equations. In total, the qCEDM operator that has been flowed has the form:

$$O(t_f, \vec{w}, \tau) = \sum_{f, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2} \left[ \psi^f(\vec{w}_1, \tau_1) K(t_f, \vec{w}, \tau; 0, \vec{w}_1, \tau_1) \right] \Gamma^{\mu\nu} K^\dagger(t_f, \vec{w}, \tau; 0, \vec{w}_2, \tau_2) \psi^f(\vec{w}_2, \tau_2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

Since the gradient flow method is only applied to the qCEDM operator, the following three-point function is computed

$$G_3(P, t_f, t) = \sum_{\vec{w}, \tau, \vec{x}} \sum_{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3} \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \text{Tr} \left[ PS_{\text{seq}}(\vec{x}, t, \vec{0}, 0) S(\vec{x}, \tau, \vec{w}_1, \tau_1) K(t_f, \vec{w}, \tau; \vec{w}_1, \tau_1) \right] \Gamma(t_f, \vec{w}, \tau) K(t_f, \vec{w}, \tau; \vec{w}_2, \tau_2) S(\vec{w}_2, \tau_2, \vec{0}, 0),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

where $O(t_f, w)$ and $\Gamma(t_f, \vec{w}, \tau)$ are defined in eq. 3.1, and $S_{\text{seq}}(\vec{x}, t, \vec{0}, 0)$ is collection of contracted propagators that are spectators to the current inserted quark propagator line (blue lines in Fig. 3(a)). As for the unflowed three-point correlator, the projector $P = T_v \gamma_5$ is selected. To begin with, Fig. 3 shows the effective mass function constructed from three-point correlators, computed for different flow times of the qCEDM operator. By increasing the flow time, we see the ground-state plateau region occurs at smaller source-sink separations $t_{\text{sep}}$. This implies that the effect of applying larger flow times of gradient flow, increases the relative size of the ground-state matrix elements to the excited-state matrix elements. In Fig. 4, we present the $U$ (violet) and $D$ (cyan) contributions to $\alpha_N$, both computed at flow times $t_f/a^2 = 0.1$ (left) and $t_f/a^2 = 10.0$ (right). The gray regions, in which the $t_{\text{sep}}$ is less than the flow radius $\sqrt{8t_f}$, should be excluded as the qCEDM operator extends
Figure 3: Effective mass function calculated from the flowed three-point correlators, computed on the $a = 0.0684$ fm, $28^3 \times 56$ lattice ensemble. Different flow times of $t_f/a^2 = \{0, 1, 5, 10\}$ are plotted in purple, green, blue, orange respectively.

Figure 4: Flow time dependence of the flowed nucleon mixing angle $\alpha_N$, computed on the $a = 0.0684$ fm, $28^3 \times 56$ lattice ensemble. The Gray regions show where the source-sink separation $t_{sep}$ is smaller than the flow radius $\sqrt{8t_f}$.

5. Conclusion

In this proceedings, we presented our analysis of the effective mass and the nucleon mixing angle computed using a three-point correlation function of which the current operator is the qCEDM. By applying the gradient flow to the qCEDM, we explored how the effective mass and nucleon mixing angle depends on the flow time parameter. As a result, we obtained an understanding of how the flow time radius $\sqrt{8t_f}$ and the nucleon source-sink separation $t_{sep}$ can affect each other. In addition, determining the flow time dependence of $\alpha_N$ will be crucial for disentangling the op-
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Figure 5: $\alpha_N$ plotted with respect with physical unit of flow time $\sqrt{8t_f}$ (in fm), for the $L = 16, 20, 28$ ensembles in violet, green and yellow.
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