Introduction

Citrus canker, caused by Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri (Xcc), is one of the most destructive diseases causing severe yield losses in all citrus-producing regions worldwide (Gottwald et al., 2002; Stover et al., 2014). Currently, the primary strategy for control of citrus canker relies on an integrated disease control approach, including production of disease-free nursery stock, eradication programmes and use of antibiotics or bactericides (Gottwald et al., 2002; Graham et al., 2004). However, potential disadvantages of these methods include the high cost, risks to human and animal health, and adverse environmental effects. Breeding resistant cultivars is the most efficient and economical approach in the long term to control citrus canker. Various breeding strategies have been employed to produce disease-resistant cultivars, among which genetic engineering remains the fastest method for improvement of existing citrus cultivars (Gong and Liu, 2013; Grosser et al., 2007).

In plant genetic breeding, resistance genes are usually used to improve plant resistance (van Schie and Takken, 2014). However, no active resistance genes have been identified in citrus because of the high degree of heterozygosity of citrus (Xu et al., 2013) and the wide host range of Xcc (Vojnov et al., 2010). This shortcomings hinders improvement of canker-resistant citrus cultivars through a molecular breeding programme using resistance genes. To suppress or evade plant immunity, most pathogens require cooperation of the host to establish a compatible interaction. In this process, certain host genes are activated by the pathogen to favour pathogen growth and promote symptom development (Boch et al., 2014; Bogdanove et al., 2010; van Schie and Takken, 2014). All plant genes that facilitate infection and support compatibility are considered to be susceptibility genes (van Schie and Takken, 2014). Mutation of a susceptibility gene can render the host resistant to infection by the corresponding pathogen or even confer broad-spectrum resistance (Blanvillain-Baufume et al., 2017; Boch et al., 2014; Gawehns et al., 2013; Li et al., 2012; McGrann et al., 2014), which provides an interesting and alternative strategy in citrus breeding for resistance to citrus canker.

LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES 1 (CsLOB1), the susceptibility gene for citrus canker, plays a critical role in promoting pathogen growth and erumpent pustule formation (Hu et al., 2014). Recently, Jia et al. (2016b) reported that mutation of the coding region of CsLOB1 in Duncan grapefruit (Citrus × paradisi)
successfully generated citrus canker-resistant plants. CsLOB1 belongs to the LBD (LOB Domain) family of proteins, which are key regulators of plant organ development (Xu et al., 2016). Therefore, the potential negative effect of mutating CsLOB1 function on plant development remains to be determined, although no phenotypic changes were observed in edited plants (Jia et al., 2016b). The main transcription activator-like (TAL) effector of Xcc, PthA4, specifically binds to the effector binding element (EBEPthA4) in the CsLOB1 promoter to activate expression of CsLOB1 to favour citrus canker development (Hu et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). In vitro tests show that mutation of the EBEPthA4 decreases and even abolishes the PthA4-inducible activity of the CsLOB1 promoter (Hu et al., 2014). As a result, Xcc-inducible expression of CsLOB1 is repressed. Moreover, for any susceptibility gene targeted by TAL effectors, an attractive possibility is to mutate the EBE in its promoter such that effector binding is abolished, but plant gene function remains intact (van Schie and Takken, 2014). In rice (Oryza sativa L.), genome editing of the EBEs of the susceptibility genes Os171N3, Os14N3 and Os12N3 confers resistance to bacterial blight via repression of pathogen-induced gene expression (Blanvillain-Baufume et al., 2017; Li et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2015). Such mutations do not interfere with the developmental functions of the targeted genes (Li et al., 2012). Thus, editing of the EBEPthA4 of CsLOB1 is a potential strategy for conferring resistance to citrus canker disease (Hu et al., 2014).

Recently, Jia et al. (2016a) reported on genome editing of the EBEPthA4 in the CsLOB1 promoter for improvement of citrus canker resistance in Duncan grapefruit. However, all citrus mutants obtained in that study harboured only a 1-bp insertion and no mutants displayed enhanced resistance to Xcc, which is the most widespread citrus canker pathogen in citrus-growing regions worldwide (Gottwald et al., 2002). The mutations were insufficient to abolish TAL-inducible expression of CsLOB1 and thereby enhance plant disease resistance (Jia et al., 2016a). In contrast, modification of the EBEs of the rice susceptibility gene OsSWEET14 confers resistance to bacterial blight via repression of gene expression, and in all resistant plants, more than four nucleotides were mutated in the EBEs (Blanvillain-Baufume et al., 2017; Li et al., 2012). From the above-mentioned results, we speculated that only PthA4 EBE mutations that repress or abolish the TAL-inducible expression of CsLOB1 may enhance plant disease resistance. Thus, as shown by Hu et al. (2014), mutation of additional nucleotides in the EBEPthA4 of CsLOB1 may be required to confer citrus resistance to Xcc.

Targeted genome engineering, which allows the introduction of precise genetic modifications directly into a commercial cultivar, offers a powerful tool for plant genetic breeding. Among presently available genome-editing technologies, the CRISPR/ Cas9 system has been utilized widely for genome editing in many plant species, including citrus (Belhaj et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2015; Jia and Wang, 2014; Jia et al., 2016a,b; Ma et al., 2015; Samanta et al., 2016; Weeks et al., 2016). In this study, using CRISPR/Cas9 technology, we report improvement of citrus canker resistance via promoter-targeted modification of the susceptibility gene CsLOB1 in Wanjincheng orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck). Of the mutant plants obtained, 42% showed modifications of the PthA4 EBE. Homozygous mutants were generated directly from infected citrus explants. Disease resistance and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analysis showed that genome editing of the CsLOB1 promoter rendered modified plants resistance to citrus canker via disruption of Xcc-mediated CsLOB1 induction. This study provides an efficient approach for generation of canker-resistant cultivars through modification of the CsLOB1 promoter in citrus.

Results

Heterozygosity of the CsLOB1 promoter in Wanjincheng orange

The CsLOB1 promoter of sweet orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck) ‘Valencia’ (Li et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2013) and Duncan grapefruit (Hu et al., 2014; Jia et al., 2016a) contains a G nucleotide at the first site after the 3' end of the PthA4 EBE. In addition, sweet orange ‘Valencia’ (Abe and Benedetti, 2016; Hu et al., 2014) and Duncan grapefruit (Jia et al., 2016a) also harbour an allele of the promoter that lacks this nucleotide. In this study, on the basis of this indel (insertion/deletion), the CsLOB1 allele containing the G nucleotide was designated CsLOB1G and the allele lacking this nucleotide was designated CsLOB1−. To design efficient ‘single-guide RNAs’ (sgRNAs) for CRISPR/Cas9-induced modification of the CsLOB1 promoter, the sequence characteristics of the promoter in the target material, Wanjincheng orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck), were investigated in detail. Using Chandler pummelo (Citrus grandis Osbeck) and Satsuma mandarin [Citrus unshiu (Swingle) Marcow.] as controls, high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis of the indel showed that three types of indel were present among the three species (Figure 1a). Direct sequencing analysis confirmed that Wanjincheng orange harboured both CsLOB1G and CsLOB1−, whereas Satsuma mandarin and Chandler pummelo only carried CsLOB1G and CsLOB1−, respectively (Figure 1b). The results indicated that CsLOB1G and CsLOB1− in Wanjincheng orange possibly originated from mandarin and pummelo, respectively (Xu et al., 2013). A ~500-bp fragment of the CsLOB promoter, including the 5' untranslated region, amplified from Wanjincheng orange was T-cloned and subjected to sequencing. Sequencing analysis showed that the CsLOB1G and CsLOB1− promoters shared an identical PthA4 EBE sequence and, except for the G indel, the 40-bp nucleotide sequence adjacent to the 5' and 3' ends of the EBEPthA4 was identical in the two types (Figure S1). Statistical analysis indicated that Wanjincheng orange contains at least three copies of CsLOB1G and one copy of CsLOB1− (Table S1), suggesting that this gene shows high heterozygosity in citrus. Other indels were also identified in the promoter (Figure S1).

Efficient modification of the EBE induced by CRISPR/Cas9 in Wanjincheng orange

Based on the sequence characteristics of the CsLOB1 promoter in Wanjincheng orange, five sgRNAs were designed to target the PthA4 EBE (Figure 2a). Five corresponding Cas9/CsLOB1sgRNA plasmids were constructed (Figure 2b) and were transformed separately into the Wanjincheng orange genome by Agrobacterium-mediated epicotyl transformation. In total, 110 independent transgenic plants were identified using β-glucoronidase (GUS) histochemical staining and PCR analysis (Figure S2, Table S2). All of the transgenic plants were subjected to Sanger sequencing. Sequencing results showed that mutation rates were 11.5%–64.7% among the five sgRNA constructs, and a total of 38 transgenic plants showed mutations at the target sites (Table S2). These mutants comprised 28 chimera mutants, two biallelic mutants, two homozygous mutants and six heterozygous mutants (Tables 1, S3). Based on the allele mutation types, 60.5%, 20.9% and 18.6% of the mutations were deletions,
Figure 1 Genotype of the CsLOB1 promoter in Wanjincheng orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck). (a) High-resolution melting analysis of the CsLOB1 promoter in Wanjincheng orange (blue curve), using Chandler pummelo (C. grandis; red curve) and Satsuma mandarin (C. unshiu; grey curve) as controls. (b) Direct sequencing analysis of the CsLOB1 promoter in Wanjincheng orange. Chromatograms for CsLOB1\textsuperscript{G}/CsLOB1\textsuperscript{G}, CsLOB1\textsuperscript{G}/CsLOB1\textsuperscript{0} and CsLOB1\textsuperscript{G}/CsLOB1\textsuperscript{G} in Satsuma mandarin (SM), Wanjincheng orange (WJ) and Chandler pummelo (CP) are shown. The indel tested is indicated by a square and arrow.

Figure 2 CRISPR/Cas9-mediated modification of the CsLOB1 promoter in Wanjincheng orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck). (a) Schematic structure of CsLOB1. CsLOB1 contains two exons indicated by grey rectangles. The translation initiation codon (ATG) and termination codon (TGA) are shown. The putative TATA box is in bold. The PhTA4 effector binding element (EBE) sequence is in italics. The indel distinguishing CsLOB1\textsuperscript{G} and CsLOB1\textsuperscript{0} is in blue. The directions of sgRNAs (S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5) are indicated by long thin arrows. The protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sites are shown. (b) Schematic diagram of pCas9/CsLOB1sgRNA vectors. 35S, Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter from tobacco; gus:npt-II, fusion of β-glucuronidase and neomycin phosphotransferase genes; nos, nos terminator; pcoCas9, plant codon-optimized SpCas9 gene; AtU6, Arabidopsis U6-1 polymerase III promoter; LB, left border; RB, right border. (c) Representative chromatograms of CsLOB1 promoter mutations. ‘\(\Delta\)Nbp’ indicates the number of deleted nucleotides; ‘*’ indicates an insertion; ‘CM’ indicates chimera mutations.
Insertions and substitutions, respectively (Table 2). All of the mutant plants carried modifications of CsLOB1G, whereas only 31.6% of the mutant plants harboured modifications of CsLOB1 (Table S3). Sixteen of the targeted mutant plants harboured modifications in the EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} region (Table S3). Among these 16 mutant plants, 1- to 50-bp deletions were detected, and short (≤2 bp) insertions and substitutions were also observed (Figures 2c, S3).

Large-scale (more than 30 clones per mutant line) sequencing demonstrated that in individual mutant lines, the mutation rates of the EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} in CsLOB1G and CsLOB1 were 8.8%–100% and 0%–100%, respectively (Table S4). The mutation rate of the EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} was 100%, 86.0%, 32.5%, 90.7%, 83.8% and 32.4% for S2-6, S2-12, S4-8, S4-11, S4-13 and S5-13, respectively. Notably, the entire EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} sequence was deleted from the genome of the homozygous mutant S2-6 (Figure 2c). In the biallelic mutant S2-5, a 182-bp fragment just upstream of the EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} was deleted from CsLOB1G, although no modification in the EBE was detected (Figure S4). Thus, the line S2-5 and the 16 lines containing modifications of the EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} were selected for further analysis.

Expression characteristics of CsLOB1 in mutant plants

To determine the effects of EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} modification on expression of CsLOB1 in the mutant plants, we investigated the CsLOB1 expression characteristics in mutant lines after inoculation with Xcc. At 1 day postinoculation (dpi), the relative expression levels of CsLOB1 in most mutant lines showed no obvious difference from that of the wild type (Figure S5). However, in S2-5, S2-12 and S5-13, the relative expression level of CsLOB1 was markedly lower than that of the wild type and no inducible expression was detected in the line S2-6 (Figure 3a). At 3–9 dpi, the relative expression level of CsLOB1 in S2-5 and S2-12 remained lower than that of the wild type, although no significant difference was detected compared with the wild type (Figure 3b). For S2-6 and S5-13, the pathogen-inducible expression levels of CsLOB1 were considerably lower than that of the wild type during the inoculation period (Figure 3b). These results showed that the mutations harboured in these lines successfully repressed expression of CsLOB1 activated by Xcc infection.

The 714-bp transcripts of CsLOB1G and CsLOB1 containing the coding sequence (Figure S6) were further investigated in the mutants by sequencing analysis (Figure 3c). In S2-5, S2-6 and S5-13, the proportions of mRNAs of CsLOB1G and CsLOB1 were similar to those of the wild type, in which most transcripts detected were CsLOB1G mRNAs. No transcripts of CsLOB1G were detected in the biallelic mutant S2-12, which was consistent with the occurrence of EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} mutation only in CsLOB1G (Figure 4a). These results showed that CsLOB1G response to Xcc infection is dominant in Wanjincheng orange.

Mutant plants show enhanced resistance to citrus canker

To characterize the canker resistance of the citrus mutants, the line S2-5 and the 16 lines containing modifications of the EBE\textsubscript{PhA4} were first evaluated for resistance to Xcc by pinprick inoculation. Disease development was determined at 5 and 9 dpi (Figures 4b, S7). In S2-5 and S2-12, eruption of pustules on the leaf surface was much slower than that of the wild type and, consequently, diseased lesions were markedly smaller than those in the wild type. Narrow rings of pustules around the puncture sites were observed in S5-13 and no pustules were detected in S2-6 at 5 dpi. At 9 dpi, some cells around the puncture sites showed hypertrophy in S2-6. During the inoculation period, no pustules in S2-6 and S5-13 developed into typical cankers, whereas a few small cankers were observed in S2-5 and S2-12 (Figures 4b, S7). For the wild type, typical canker symptoms developed at all inoculation sites. These observations showed that mutations in the CsLOB1 promoter inhibited the development of pustules induced by Xcc infection, and line S2-6 showed the strongest resistance to canker development.

Statistical analysis showed that diseased lesions in S2-5, S2-6, S2-12 and S5-13 were significantly smaller than those in the wild type at 9 dpi (Figure 4c). The lesion area in S2-6 and S5-13 was 0.17 ± 0.02 mm$^2$ and 0.30 ± 0.10 mm$^2$, respectively, which was not significantly different from the puncture size of the inoculating pin (0.20 mm$^2$); thus, pathogen spread on the leaf surface was entirely suppressed. Other mutants showed no difference in area of the diseased lesion compared with the wild type (Figure S8). Estimation of disease severity (Figure 4d) revealed that the disease index of S2-5 (14.0%), S2-6 (1.5%), S2-12 (16.2%) and S5-13 (8.7%) was significantly lower than that of the wild type (83.5%) at 9 dpi. The disease severity of these lines was reduced by 83.2%–98.3% compared with that of the wild type. Bacterial growth assay showed that Xcc populations in the four mutant lines were similar to that in the wild type up to 1–4 dpi (Figure 4e). After that time, Xcc populations in mutant plants were significantly smaller than that in the wild type (Figure 4e), indicating that bacterial growth in mutant plants was inhibited.

Resistance of edited plants to canker citrus was further confirmed using in vivo infiltration (Figure 5). No pustules or canker symptoms were detected in S2-6 up to 12 dpi. In S2-5 and S2-12, pustules and canker symptoms were significantly reduced compared to that in the wild type during the inoculation. A few
small pustules were found in S5-13 at 12 dpi. A comparison of these results with those of the in vitro assay (Figure 4) revealed that these four edited lines had strong and stable resistance to citrus canker and the line S2-6 showed the highest degree of resistance.

Off-target mutation analysis

The potential off-target effect of CRISPR/Cas9 was evaluated in S2-5, S2-6, S2-12 and S5-13 by Sanger sequencing. Employing the CRISPR-P Web tool (Lei et al., 2014), 205 putative off-targets mediated by the S2 and S5 sgRNAs were detected in the citrus genome. Eleven putative off-target loci, which contained a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) (NGG or NAG) sequence and showed high sequence similarity to the S2 and S5 target sites, were chosen for further examination of potential off-target effects (Tables 3, S5). Mutations in all of the putative off-target loci were detected in the mutant lines tested. However, the off-target frequencies were low (5.0–10.0%) and all of the mutations consisted of 1-bp point mutations.

Discussion

The global citrus industry faces many biotic and abiotic challenges, including bacterial canker and citrus greening disease. Screening for targeted mutants is a useful strategy for plant functional genomics research and for crop improvement. In citrus, however, this approach is problematic for research on gene function and genetic improvement because of phenomena such as male/female sterility, the long juvenile phase, the high degree of heterozygosity and polyembryony (Gong and Liu, 2013; Grosser et al., 2007). Targeted genome-editing technologies, which can precisely and efficiently induce specific mutations, has been used in plant molecular research and for genetic improvement of crops (Li et al., 2015). Previous studies have proven that the CRISPR/Cas9 system induces mutations in citrus (Jia and Wang, 2014; Jia et al., 2016a,b). Using CsLOB1 as the target gene, we accumulated comparative data on CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutation efficiencies, mutation types and cleavage specificity in citrus. A high efficiency of recovery (maximum 64.7%) of mutant plants was achieved, which is similar to that reported for other major crops (Osakabe and Osakabe, 2015). We observed that 42.0% of the mutant plants harboured the desired modifications and 23.5% of these mutants showed resistance to citrus canker. The present study demonstrated that the CRISPR/
characterized by a long juvenile phase and high heterozygosity. With the advantages of both high editing efficiency and homologous mutation, citrus mutants in genes of interest could be generated rapidly (in approximately one month). In addition, mutation frequencies of potential off-target sites were extremely low. Taken together, the present results show that CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutagenesis is precise and efficient in citrus, which will help to accelerate basic research and genetic improvement in citrus.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing usually generates five potential genotypes, namely homozygous, biallelic, heterozygous and chimera mutants and the wild type (Pan et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). All of the five genotypes were detected in the present study. Compared with other crops (Feng et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2015), a high percentage (73.7%) of chimera mutants was observed, whereas only 5.3% of mutants were biallelic or homozygous in our study (Table 1). Similarly, a high percentage of chimera mutants was detected in Duncan grapefruit (Jia et al., 2016a,b). Chimeric mutation is considered to arise after division of the transformed cell (Zhang et al., 2014). In citrus stem transformation, most of the cells competent for transformation are the actively dividing cells located in the cambial ring of explants (Peña et al., 2004). Transformed cells undergoing division do not offer sufficient time for the sgRNA/Cas9 complex to edit all copies of a targeted gene before the first division. In contrast, the continuous activity of the Cas9/sgRNA complex during shoot generation may give rise to the high frequency of chimeric mutations. In addition, chimeric transgenic shoots are frequently generated from fusion of transformed and nontransformed cells, and even from fusion of different transformed cells in citrus stem transformation (Domínguez et al., 2004). As a result, in different genome loci, in which the CRISPR/Cas9 system was integrated, the expression of Cas9 and sgRNA may differ among transformed cells in a single transgenic plant. The expression levels of Cas9 and sgRNA are important factors that affect editing efficiency (Wu et al., 2014). Thus, this transgenic chimera may favour CRISPR/Cas9-mediated chimeric mutation. Finally, most citrus varieties are heterozygous diploids showing high heterozygosity. We speculate that this type of heterozygosity may affect the efficacy of the CRISPR/Cas9 system, probably resulting in the high frequency of chimera mutants. For example, most mutations were induced at the CsLOB1G locus, indicating that the CsLOB1G locus is more susceptible to Cas9/sgRNA editing. In future studies, increased effort to prohibit CRISPR/Cas9-mediated chimeric mutation in citrus is required.

It has been suggested that mutation in both alleles of CsLOB1 is required to generate citrus canker-resistant plants (Jia et al., 2016a,b). Similarly, our data showed that deletion of the entire EBE_{PthA4} sequence from both CsLOB1 alleles conferred the highest level of resistance to citrus canker (Figure 4). Five (A, A*, Aaw, B and C) representative Xanthomonas strains contain distinct TAL effectors, pthA4, pthA*, pthAw, pthB and pthC, respectively, which are essential for pustule formation on citrus (Al-Saadi et al., 2007). All the effectors can induce the expression of CsLOB1 in sweet orange and grapefruit (Hu et al., 2014). The EBEs of pthA* and pthAw were located at the same position as that of PthA4, while the EBEs of PthB and PthC overlaps and starts 6 bp upstream of the EBE_{PthA4} (Hu et al., 2014). Abe and Benedetti (2016) show that PthA1, PthA2 and PthA3 from Xcc appear to have overlapping EBEs in promoters of canker susceptibility genes. Thus, it is predictable that deletion of the entire EBE_{PthA4} sequence or larger deletion comprising the EBE region from both CsLOB1 alleles will render edited plants broad-spectrum resistance to most kinds of citrus canker (Hu et al., 2014).

Moreover, some differences in the possible resistance mechanisms of CsLOB1 modifications were observed in edited plants. (1) S2-12 and S2-5 showed that mutation of CsLOB1G alone is sufficient to enhance citrus canker resistance, which indicated that CsLOB1G is a dominant allele in TAL-induced Xcc virulence in Wanjincheng orange. This conclusion is supported by our DNA and mRNA sequencing analyses (Table S1, Figure 3c). Jia et al. (2016a) reported that the ratio of CsLOB1G to CsLOB1F is 1:1 in Duncan grapefruit, whereas in the current study, the ratio was 3:1 in Wanjincheng orange (Table S1). Satsuma mandarin and Chandler pummelo only harbour one type of CsLOB1 (Figure 1). This polymorphism is also detected in other citrus varieties (Abe and Benedetti, 2016). These data indicate that CsLOB1 genes are complex in citrus, which may influence the roles of CsLOB1F and CsLOB1G in different cultivars. In addition, high degrees of heterozygosity and genetic differences are evident among citrus cultivars (Gmitter et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2013). Thus, editing of CsLOB1 genes for citrus canker resistance should be explored on a case-by-case basis in citrus. (2) Surprisingly, the S5-13 chimera mutant showed a high level of resistance and no citrus canker symptoms, although only 32.4% of the modified EBE_{PthA4} was present in the CsLOB1 promoter (Figure 4). We speculate that this mutation occurred possibly in a specific cell layer, such as the L1 cell layer. The plant epidermis originates from the L1 cell layer and is an important early barrier to pathogen infection. Xcc cells within citrus tissues must rupture the epidermis to form a canker (Brunings and Gabriel, 2003), and pthA4 mutants of Xcc do not induce pustule formation on the epidermis (Hu et al., 2014). These data indicate that the epidermis plays a crucial role in inhibiting canker development. Therefore, CsLOB1 mutation in the epidermis cells might be sufficient to confer high resistance. (3) In S2-5, the deletion of the 182-bp sequence upstream of the
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**Figure 4** Identification of citrus canker resistance in Wanjincheng orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck) mutants. (a) Representative sequences of CsLOB1 mutations induced by CRISPR/Cas9. The pthA4 effector binding element (EBE) is in bold italics. The sgRNAs are in upper-case letters, and the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) site is underlined. The indel distinguishing CsLOB1{G} and CsLOB1{M} is in blue. Red dashes indicate deleted nucleotides. Pink letters indicate inserted nucleotides. ‘G’ (') and ‘—’ (‘) indicate mutations of CsLOB1{G} and CsLOB1{M}, respectively. In parentheses, ‘#1’ and ‘#2’ indicate the number of nucleotides deleted and inserted, respectively. Frequency (%) was calculated based on the number of clones with the same mutation out of the total number of clones sequenced. More than forty clones per line were sequenced to investigate mutations. (b, c and d) Assay of resistance to Xanthomonas *citri* subsp. *citri* (Xcc) in mutant plants. Fully expanded leaves of mutant lines and the wild type were treated with 10^5 CFU/mL Xcc. Citrus canker symptoms (b) were recorded by photographing 5 and 9 days postinoculation (dpi). Disease lesion area (c) and disease index (d) of leaves of each mutation line were investigated at 9 dpi. (e) Growth of Xcc in leaves of mutant plants. Values are expressed as means ± standard deviation of six independent experiments. Different letters above bars represent significant differences from the wild type based on Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05). WT, wild type. In (b), bars = 1 mm.
Susceptibility gene editing for citrus canker resistance

(a) Line | Sequence | Genotype | Frequency (%) |
|--------|----------|----------|---------------|
| WT     | tacgcttgagatacaatggcatttctggcttttccctctccatatatgtaaatccttg | G (wt) | 82.8 |
| S2-5   | tacgcttgagatacaatggcatttctggcttttccctctccatatgtaaatccttg | G (d182) | 84.1 |
| S2-6   | tacgcttgagatacaatggcatttctggcttttccctctccatatgtaaatccttg | G (d31) | 86.7 |
| S2-12  | tacgcttgagatacaatggcatttctggcttttccctctccatatgtaaatccttg | G (d14) | 86.0 |
| S5-13  | tacgcttgagatacaatggcatttctggcttttccctctccatatgtaaatccttg | G (d1) | 14.0 |
|        | phA4 EBE  | (wt)     | 17.2 |

(b) WT | S2-5 | S2-6 | S2-12 | S5-13

5 dpi

9 dpi

(c)

Leaf disease area (mm²)

(d)

Disease index (%)

(e)

Bacterial growth (Log 10 cfu cm⁻²)

Days after inoculation
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EBEPthA4 from CsLOB1G enhanced plant resistance to citrus canker. TAL effector-mediated activation of host target genes requires certain accessible host helper proteins, for example, the general transcription factor TFIIA, in which mutation can inhibit exploitation by TAL effectors to activate host target genes (Gu et al., 2009). The 182-bp sequence is predicted to contain specific cis-elements (such as the CAAT box; Figure S1) for binding of similar transcription factors or other TAL effectors that are required for Xcc to activate CsLOB1 expression. The mutation rates (32.5%–90.7%) of the PthA4 EBE in S4-8, S4-11 and S4-13 were comparable to that (32.4%–100%) of the canker-resistant lines S2-6, S2-12 and S5-13 (Figures 4a, S3). However, these lines have no enhanced resistance to citrus canker (Figure S8). Many EBEs overlap with or encompass the TATA box, which is critical for transcriptional regulation (Hu et al., 2014; Pereira et al., 2014), and modification of the TATA box can decrease or even eliminate gene expression (Antony et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2014). Further analysis revealed that S2-6, S2-12 and S5-13 had deletion of the TATA box (Figure 4a), whereas S4-8, S4-11 and S4-13 lacked this mutation (Figure S3). These data indicate that the TATA box plays an important role in the interaction of TAL effectors and target genes and that mutants with TATA box deletion should be one main objective for citrus canker resistance breeding. S2-8, S2-9, S3-5 and S5-3 showed no resistance (Figure S8) although deletion of the TATA box was detected (Figure S3). This was due to low deletion rates (7.5%–21.2%) of the TATA box in these lines (Figures 4a, S3). In addition, deletion of other sequences from EBEs in rice also conferred disease resistance (Blanvillain-Baufume et al., 2017; Li et al., 2012), suggesting that the PthA4 EBE of CsLOB1 may contain other cis-elements that affect citrus canker resistance, which were not detected in the present study.

The S2 sgRNA more efficiently mediated Cas9 nuclease to delete the TATA box from the CsLOB1 promoter compared with the other four sgRNAs tested. Cas9 nuclease cuts specifically between the third and fourth nucleotides upstream of the PAM (Anders et al., 2014), whereas the cut site mediated by S2 sgRNA was between the second and third bases in the TATA box (Figure 2a). This is likely to be an important factor in the efficacy of S2 sgRNA. The result provides useful information for the design of efficient sgRNAs to modify the EBE of susceptibility genes.

Table 3  Mutation analysis of putative CRISPR/Cas9 off-target sites in Wanjincheng orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck)

| Line | O1 | O2 | O3 | O4 | O5 | O6 | O7 | O8 | O9 | O10 | O11 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| S2-5 | 0/16 | 0/17 | 0/20 | 2/20 | 0/20 | 2/21 | 1/16 | 0/19 | 0/20 | 0/22 | 0/20 |
| S2-6 | 0/20 | 0/20 | 0/22 | 0/20 | 0/21 | 2/22 | 2/20 | 0/18 | 0/19 | 0/16 | 0/17 |
| S2-12 | 0/20 | 0/15 | 0/19 | 1/20 | 1/17 | 1/20 | 1/21 | 0/16 | 0/16 | 0/19 | 0/22 |
| S5-13 | 2/23 | 0/18 | 0/18 | 0/17 | 0/16 | 2/20 | 1/20 | 0/16 | 0/21 | 0/17 | 0/20 |

Figure 5  In vivo assay of citrus canker resistance in Wanjincheng orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck) mutants. Leaves were infiltrated with Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri (Xcc) suspensions. At 6 days postinoculation (dpi), pustules were detected in wild type, but absent or significantly reduced in mutant plants. At 12 dpi, severe canker symptoms were detected in wild type, whereas markedly reduced symptoms were observed in S2-5 and S2-12. No canker symptoms were found in S2-6 and S5-13.
which overlap or encompass the TATA box, for improvement of plant disease resistance. Meanwhile, it also suggests that the cut site should be placed closer to or in targeted bases or elements to efficiently edit them in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome modification.

In summary, we demonstrated that promoter editing of the disease-susceptibility gene CsLOB1 in Wanjincheng orange confers resistance to citrus canker. All mutant plants were morphologically normal compared with the wild type (Figure S9), indicating that modification of the CsLOB1 promoter does not disrupt plant development. Further research is in progress to dissect the different resistance mechanisms among the mutant lines obtained, and to edit other economically important citrus cultivars using the constructs with S2 sgRNA, which showed high efficacy. In addition, the disease resistance of transgenic plants observed under controlled conditions must be confirmed in field trials.

Materials and methods
Plant and pathogen materials
Wanjincheng orange, Chandler pummelo and Satsuma mandarin plants were obtained from the National Citrus Germplasm Repository, Chongqing, China. All wild-type and transgenic plants were grown in a greenhouse maintained at 28 °C.

A type A strain of Xcc, XccYN1, was isolated from naturally infected sweet orange leaves from an orchard in Yunnan province, China. Preparation of the bacterial suspensions for infection experiments was performed as described by Zou et al. (2014).

High-resolution melting analysis
Genomic DNA was extracted from citrus leaves using the Plant DNeasy Prep Kit (Qiagen, Beijing, China). To investigate the genotypes of the CsLOB1 promoter in Wanjincheng orange, the primer pair Hp171-1f/Hp171-1R (Table S6) was designed on the basis of the indel of adenine (G) located just downstream of the PthA4 EBE in the CsLOB1 promoter (Hu et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). The PCR were performed in a final volume of 10 μL, containing 5 μL Precision Melt Supermix for HRM analysis (Bio-Rad #1725112), 0.5 μL of each primer (10 μM) and 2 μL genomic DNA. The PCR protocol was 95 °C for 5 min, then 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s, followed by 94 °C for 30 s and 25 °C for 60 s. Using Chandler pummelo and Satsuma mandarin as controls, indels in the amplified PCR products were analysed using a LightScanner® 96 Hi-Res Melting® system (Idaho Technology, Salt Lake City, UT). Amplicons were subjected to direct sequencing using the Hp171-1R primer. The experiment was repeated three times.

Vector construction
The pCas9-GN vector (Figure S10) was used to construct CRISPR/Cas9 expression vectors for citrus transformation. To target the PthA4 EBE region in the CsLOB1 promoter, a series of paired DNA oligos (Table S6) were synthesized by Invitrogen Biotech (Shanghai, China). The synthesized oligos were annealed and inserted into the Bbsl sites of the pUC119-gRNA vector in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol (Cong et al., 2013). Expression of sgRNAs was driven by the ATU6-1 promoter from Arabidopsis thaliana L. Subsequently, the sgRNA expression cassette containing a specific target site was unloaded with BamHI/SalI and inserted into the BamHI/SalI-digested pCas9-GN vector to generate the pCas9/CsLOB1sgRNA plant expression vectors. Five plasmids, each containing a different sgRNA, were constructed (Figure 2b).

Citrus transformation
The Cas9/sgRNA expression binary vectors were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 by electroporation. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Wanjincheng orange epicotyl explants was performed as previously described (Peng et al., 2015). Kanamycin-resistant shoots were first detected by GUS histochemical staining to identify transgenic plants (Zou et al., 2008). The GUS-positive shoots were grafted onto Troyer citrange [Poncirus trifoliata (L.) Raf. × C. sinensis] seedlings in vitro. Integration and expression of the pcoCas9 gene in transformed shoots were further confirmed by PCR analysis. The recovered shoots were further grafted onto Troyer citrange seedlings in the greenhouse.

Sequencing analysis
All transgenic plants as well as the wild type were subjected to PCR using the gene-specific primer pair LOBP-f/LOBP-r (Table S6) to amplify DNA fragments across the target sites. The PCR amplicons were cloned into the pGESTM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) for Sanger sequencing. A minimum of 10 clones per transgenic plant were sequenced. For direct sequencing, the amplified products were purified and subjected to sequencing using the primer pair seq-f/seq-r (Table S6). The sequence chromatograms were analysed with Vector NTI and SnapGene Viewer software.

Gene expression analysis
RNA was extracted using the EASYspin Plant RNA Extraction Kit following the manufacturer’s instructions (Aidlab, Beijing, China). RNA (1 μg) was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Detection of gene expression was performed by qPCR using the 2–ΔΔCT SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). The primers used are listed in Table S6. The PCR were carried out as follows: a pretreatment (95 °C for 5 min) followed by 40 amplification cycles (95 °C for 20 s; 60 °C for 60 s). Experiments were repeated three times. Using the citrus Actin gene (GenBank accession no. GU911361.1) for normalization, the relative expression levels were calculated as described by Zou et al. (2014).

Assay of resistance to citrus canker
The in vitro assay for disease resistance of mutant plants to Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri was performed as described by Peng et al. (2015). Fully mature healthy leaves (about 3 months old) were inoculated with XccYN1. Four leaves per line were tested. Six infected sites, each comprising six punctures, per leaf were made with a pin (0.5 mm in diameter). 1 μL bacterial suspension (1 × 10^7 CFU/mL) was applied to each puncture site. Photographs were taken at 5 and 9 dpi. The area of all diseased spots was assessed with ImageJ 2.0 software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The disease intensity of an individual line was based on 36 punctures in four leaves using the following rating index: 0, <0.25 mm² (the size of the inoculating pin); 1, 0.25–0.75 mm²; 3, 0.75–1.25 mm²; 5, 1.25–1.75 mm²; 7, >1.75 mm². The disease index (DI), indicating the level of resistance to Xcc, was calculated with the formula:

\[ DI = \frac{\text{Total area of disease spots}}{\text{Total area of inoculated sites}} \times 100 \]
DI = \sum \text{[no. of each index x the corresponding index]}/(36 \times 7) \times 100. The experiment was repeated six times.

Growth of Xcc in mutant plants was performed as described by Peng et al. (2015). At 0, 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 dpi, bacterial colonies were counted and used to estimate the number of bacterial cells per unit area (cm\(^2\)) of leaf.

The resistance of mutant plants was further determined by in vivo infiltration (Abe and Benedetti, 2016). Leaves (about 3 months old) were infiltrated with XccYN1 bacterial suspensions (1 x 10\(^5\) CFU/mL). The inoculated plants were monitored daily for appearance of canker symptoms.

Analysis of potential off-target sequences

The Cas9/sgRNA analysis software (http://cbi.hzau.edu.cn/cgi-bin/Crispr/Cas9PR) was used to analyse potential off-target sequences of Cas9/sgRNA. On the basis of these sequences, primers were designed to analyse the potential off-target fragments (Table S7). The PCR products were cloned into the pGEM\(^{TM}-\)T Easy vector for sequencing. The sequence chromatograms were analysed with SnapGene Viewer software.
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