Anxiety, sleep disorders and self-efficacy among nurses during COVID-19 pandemic: A large cross-sectional study
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Abstract

Aim and objectives: To assess the prevalence of anxiety, sleep disorders and self-efficacy and their predicting factors among nurses facing COVID-19.

Background: The spread of COVID-19 throughout the world determined a series of modifications of several National Health Service organisations, with a potential series of psychological consequences among nurses, who were particularly afflicted by this situation of changes and precariousness.

Design: A cross-sectional study was carried out from February–April 2020.

Methods: A total of 1,005 nurses employed in different Italian hospital wards, during the COVID-19 pandemic, were recruited. Analyses were based on descriptive statistics and multivariate logistic regression. The STROBE checklist for cross-sectional studies was used in this study.

Results: The prevalence of sleep disturbances, moderate anxiety and low self-efficacy was 71.4%, 33.23% and 50.65%, respectively. We found a positive correlation between anxiety and sleep quality (0.408; p < .0001) and negative correlations between self-efficacy and anxiety (−0.217; p < .0001) and sleep quality and self-efficacy (−0.134; p < .0001).

The factor independently associated with all variables was gender. Females were more prone to sleep disturbances, anxiety and low levels of self-efficacy than males (p < .05).

Conclusions: The prevalence of anxiety, sleep disorders and low self-efficacy among Italian nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic was high. Healthcare managers should recognise and consider these results to reduce the risk of the onset of major mental problems that could result in post-traumatic stress disorder.

Relevance to clinical practice: Nurses facing major incidents as COVID-19 pandemic are among healthcare personnel exposed to a high risk to develop psychological disturbance that should be assessed and recognised, in order to find helpful coping strategies.
The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), also known as 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), was identified for the first time in China in December 2019 at Wuhan, with a spread of more than 81,000 confirmed cases (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020).

In January 2020, this coronavirus (COVID-19) entered countries that are neighbours to China (Thailand, South Korea, Japan and Australia), and also spread to the United States and Europe, including Italy. On March 11, the WHO declared SARS-CoV-2 a pandemic (Cucinotta & Vanelli, 2020), having infected more than 100,000 people in 100 countries (Callaway, 2020). Data regarding this pandemic have rapidly evolved, with 2,094,069 confirmed cases in the United States (US), 867,624 in Brazil, 536,484 in Russia, 332,424 in India, 297,482 in the United Kingdom, 243,928 in Spain and 236,989 in Italy, with 115,732 deaths in the United States, followed by Brazil (43,332), United Kingdom (41,783) and Italy (34,385) as of 15 June 2020 (Center for System Science and Engineering [CSEE], 2020).

In this situation of precariousness and changes, healthcare workers directly involved in the diagnosis, treatment and care of patients with COVID-19 have been forced to work under higher workload pressure. This resulted in sustained pressing schedules and personnel often being relocated by their home departments to meet the needs of ICU patients to help staff already reduced to a minimum, and sometimes, this work was done without the availability of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE; Nacoti et al., 2020). In addition, the factors associated with social distancing and isolation are known to lead to an increased risk of psychological distress and other mental health symptoms (Bansal et al., 2020). In particular, stress (Huang et al., 2020; Kang, Li, et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2020), insomnia (Kang, Li, et al., 2020; Kang, Ma, et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2020) and anxious states (Huang, & Zhou2020; Kang, Li, et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2020) affected healthcare workers especially if nurses, women, and directly involved at the front line, caring for COVID-19 patients (Lai et al., 2020). Moreover, during the 2003 SARS outbreak, it was reported that the fear of transmitting the infection to relatives, friends and colleagues caused negative psychological reactions among healthcare workers (Bai et al., 2004; Chua et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2007; Maunder et al., 2003) and appeared to be a factor in growing anxiety levels (Nacoti et al., 2020).

What does this paper contribute to the wider global clinical community?

- Anxiety and sleep disorders have a great impact on the psychophysical health of nurses, affecting professional performance and patient safety. Conversely, better self-efficacy may play an important role in reducing anxiety and stress.
- This study found that the prevalence of anxiety, sleep disorders and low levels of self-efficacy was consistent among Italian nurses providing care to patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19. Further, there was a correlation among these factors. Gender was an independent predictive factor, with females more likely to suffer these effects.
- Assessing the risk factors associated with psychological disturbances could aid in finding helpful coping strategies to inform support services.
suicidal tendencies (Mieda & Sakurai, 2013; Rosado et al., 2015). In China, during this current pandemic, more than 70% of healthcare workers reported psychological issues, including insomnia, anxiety and depression (Lai et al., 2020), and they were at a higher risk of developing these outcomes than the general population (Huang & Zhao, 2020). In particular, chronic sleep disturbances have been associated with absenteeism (Kuppermann et al., 1995), increased medical errors, malpractice risk and early retirement (Kessler et al., 2011; Kling et al., 2010; Nanda et al., 2017; Ohayon & Paiva, 2005). Xiao et al. (2020) conducted a study among medical staff treating patients with COVID-19 and reported how levels of anxiety, stress and self-efficacy were strictly dependent on sleep quality and social support. It is reasonable to assume that when faced with these psychosocial health issues, protective psychosocial shields or strengths like resilience, belief in self-efficacy, and coping strategies could limit the severity of disease in these individuals (Farber et al., 2000). In particular, self-efficacy is an important factor that influences an individual's ability to exert self-control (Bray et al., 2015; Najmi et al., 2013), which represents, in turn, the prime factor for the cultivation of resilience and other psychosocial strengths among individuals (Morrison & Pidgeon, 2017). Previous research has reported significant associations between self-efficacy and mental health (Hu et al., 2020) and well-being (Yuksel et al., 2019), and it is an important element in promoting health-related intentions and behaviours (Sheeran et al., 2016). These aspects should be recognised, considered and adequately addressed by healthcare managers (Dong et al., 2017) to reduce the risk of the onset of major mental disorders that could result in post-traumatic stress disorder.

Among healthcare workers, nurses play a pivotal role in public health and, as a result, are faced with fears of virus contagion during direct contact with patients and the potentially ensuing series of psychological consequences, especially among those providing care in contexts characterised by change and precariousness (Fernandez et al., 2020). Additional sources of stress for nurses are the separation from their family, sleep deprivation and heavy workloads created by health system demands and staff shortages (Fernandez et al., 2020), as well as being relocated to units outside of their usual practice areas, such as specialised pandemic clinics (Seale et al., 2009).

However, to our current knowledge, evidence addressing the factors that influence sleep quality, anxiety and self-efficacy levels among nurses on the front line against COVID-19 is limited; thus, our study aimed to investigate these effects in order to provide insight into these risk factors.

3 | METHODS

3.1 | Objectives

The aim of this study was to describe the prevalence of anxiety, sleep disorders and low levels of self-efficacy among Italian nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. We also investigated the potential predictors of these symptoms with respect to the demographic and occupational characteristics of the study sample.

3.2 | Design

A multicentre, cross-sectional study was conducted in all Italian regions.

In order to grant adequate and complete reporting of research, we used the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guideline (von Elm et al., 2008) for cross-sectional studies (File S1).

3.3 | Participants

We first asked for the participation of at least two hospitals (between public, private or affiliated) for each Italian Region. No exclusion criteria were applied for hospitals: each hospital who accepted to participate was included as centre of data collection. Finally, a total of 42 Italian hospitals gave their agreement to take part of the survey. We did require the appropriate ethics committee to approve the study (the initial approval was granted by the Coordinating Centre of Policlinico of Rome “Tor Vergata”).

A previously trained nurse researchers’ team administered a questionnaire to a convenience sample of nurses who were employed in different hospital wards during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nurses who assisted COVID-19 patients during the study period (both in hospital and in territorial health services), able to read and understand the Italian language and who, after understanding the purpose of the study, agreed to participate and provided informed consent, were enrolled.

3.4 | Data collection

The following instruments were used for data collection between February–April 2020:

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI; Buysse et al., 1989). The 19-item PSQI is an instrument for measuring sleep quality in seven domains: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication and daytime dysfunction. Respondents were asked to self-rate each of these seven domains for the past month using a 4-point Likert format with responses from 0–3, where a higher score meant worse sleep quality in each domain. A global score from 0–5 can be obtained from the PSQI, with a higher score indicating poorer sleep. Items asking a bed mate or roommate to evaluate the respondent’s sleep were not used in this study.

The Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS; Zung, 1971) is a 20-item instrument used to self-assess anxiety. Each item uses a 4-point Likert scale for responses, from “almost never” to “very often.” Final scores of 0 to 20 indicate a probable very low level of anxiety, 21–40 indicate low levels of anxiety, 41–60 mean moderate anxiety levels and 61–80 indicate high levels.
The primary aim of the study was to assess sleep disturbances, anxiety and self-efficacy levels among nurses assisting patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. As we did not know the percentage of participation and in order to ensure that all regions of the north, centre and south of Italy were represented, the minimum required sample size (n = 357) was calculated considering a population size of at least 5000 subjects, with an error margin of 5%, a 95% confidence interval and an estimated response rate of 50%.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Characteristics of the study sample

A total of 1200 questionnaires were administered, and 1005 nurses, equally distributed among regions of the north, centre and south of Italy (24.08%, 37.11% and 38.81%, respectively), completed the structured questionnaire, for a response rate of 83.75%. The mean age of the sample was 40.2 ± 10.80 years (range: 22–65 years), and about half of them were married (47.66%). Most participants were female (65.97%), with no children (49.75%), employed in general hospital wards (42.19%) of a public hospital (81.89%), engaged in a clinical role (86.47%) and had a first-level degree (83.78%). Less than half (37.21%) attended a course on major incidents or were relocated after the COVID-19 emergency (Table 1).

4.2 | Scores of PSQI, SAS and GSE

Table 2 shows the median (IQR) scores on the PSQI, SAS and GSE, which were 9 (6–11), 37 (32–43) and 29 (26–32), respectively. The percentage of nurses with a PSQI > 5 was 75.72%, indicating poor sleep quality. More than 65.37% of the sample had low levels of anxiety (21–40), and almost half of them were distributed between the two groups of high and low levels of self-efficacy.

4.3 | Scores correlation

Table 3 reports the correlation found among the scores for the PSQI, SAS and GSE, with a strong positive correlation between the SAS and PSQI (0.408; p < .001) and negative correlations between the GSE and SAS (−0.217; p < .001) and the PSQI and GSE (−0.134; p < .001).
4.4 | Association among variables

As reported in Table 4, there was a statistically significant difference in the PSQI, SAS and GSE scores between males and females, with higher levels of sleep disturbance and anxiety reported by females ($p < .05$).

Older age, male gender, attending post-registration courses and a longer length of working experience were associated with higher levels of self-efficacy ($p = .0193$, $p = .0023$, $p = .0155$ and $p = .0168$, respectively). Conversely, being relocated seemed to be a factor not associated with sleep disturbances, anxiety or self-efficacy.

Anxiety levels were higher among clinical nurses working in general hospital wards (median = 38; IQR, 33–43), territorial health services (median = 37.5; IQR, 32–42) and emergency (median = 37; IQR, 32–43) areas than with those involved in outpatient services (median = 36; IQR, 31–42) or management/education/research. The levels were also higher among those who had more than two children (median = 37; IQR, 32–41) or none (median = 38; IQR, 32–43) than those with one child (median = 35; IQR, 31–41) or two children (median = 36; IQR, 32–43).

4.5 | Multiple logistic regression analysis

Multiple logistic regression (Table 5) showed that after controlling for confounders, the factor of gender was independently associated with anxiety, sleep disorders and self-efficacy. Females were more prone to developing disturbances than males, with low sleep quality OR = 1.36; 95% CI: 1.00–1.86; $p = .04$; moderate/high anxiety levels OR = 1.96; 95% CI: 1.45–2.64; $p < .0001$; and low self-efficacy levels OR = 1.36; 95% CI: 1.04–1.78; $p = .02$.

### Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics and working data of the study sample ($n = 1005$)

| Characteristics                        | Value          |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| Age mean ± SD                          | 40.2 ± 10.80   |
| Working region n (%)                   |                |
| North                                  | 242 (24.08)    |
| Centre                                 | 373 (37.11)    |
| South and island                       | 390 (38.81)    |
| Type of hospital n (%)                 |                |
| Public                                 | 823 (81.89)    |
| Private                                | 182 (18.11)    |
| Gender n (%)                           |                |
| Male                                   | 342 (34.03)    |
| Female                                 | 663 (65.97)    |
| Marital status n (%)                   |                |
| Unmarried                              | 526 (52.34)    |
| Married                                | 479 (47.66)    |
| Number of children n (%)               |                |
| 0                                      | 500 (49.75)    |
| 1                                      | 161 (16.02)    |
| 2                                      | 275 (27.36)    |
| >2                                     | 69 (6.87)      |
| Level of education n (%)               |                |
| First-level degree (bachelor in nursing or equivalent title) | 842 (83.78) |
| Second-level degree (MSN or PhD)       | 163 (16.22)    |
| Post-registration courses (specialist university programmes) n (%) | 490 (48.76) |
| Years of work experience n (%)         |                |
| <10                                    | 408 (40.6)     |
| 10–20                                  | 268 (26.67)    |
| >20                                    | 329 (32.74)    |
| Working area n (%)                     |                |
| Emergency                              | 388 (38.61)    |
| General hospital ward                  | 424 (42.19)    |
| Outpatient                             | 91 (9.05)      |
| Territorial Health Services            | 54 (5.37)      |
| Management/education/research          | 48 (4.78)      |
| Nursing role n (%)                     |                |
| Clinical                               | 369 (86.47)    |
| Management                             | 262 (26.07)    |
| Relocated after COVID-19 emergency n (%) | 262 (26.07) |
| Attending major incidents training n (%)| 374 (37.21)    |

### Table 2: Scores of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) and General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE) in the total cohort

| Scale                  | Median (IQR) | Value (%) |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| PSQI                   |              |           |
| (>5: low quality) n (%)| 761 (75.72)  |
| (<5: good quality) n (%)| 244 (24.28)  |
| SAS                    |              |           |
| (0–20: very low level) n (%) | 4 (0.4)    |
| (21–40: low level) n (%)     | 657 (65.37) |
| (41–60: moderate level) n (%) | 334 (33.23) |
| (61–80: high level) n (%)  | 10 (1)       |
| GSE                    |              |           |
| (<29: low level) n (%)   | 509 (50.65)  |
| (>30: high level) n (%)  | 496 (49.35)  |

### Table 3: Score correlations measured with Spearman’s correlation coefficient

|        | PSQI | SAS | GSE |
|--------|------|-----|-----|
| PSQI   | 1    | 0.408 <0.0001 | −0.134 <0.0001 |
| SAS    | 0.408 <0.0001 | 1 | −0.217 <0.0001 |
| GSE    | −0.134 <0.0001 | −0.217 <0.0001 | 1 |

N = 1005.
|                | N    | PSQI   | SAS   | GSE    |
|----------------|------|--------|-------|--------|
| **Gender**     |      |        |       |        |
| Female         | 663  | 9 (6–12) | 38 (33–43) | 29 (25–32) |
| Male           | 342  | 8 (5–11) | 36 (31–40) | 30 (26–34) |
| *p*-value      | .0042| <.0001 | .0023 |
| **Age**        |      |        |       |        |
| <33 years      | 356  | 8 (6–11) | 37 (32–43) | 29 (25–31) |
| 34–46 years    | 323  | 9 (5–12) | 37 (32–44) | 30 (26–33) |
| >46 years      | 325  | 8 (6–11) | 36 (32–42) | 30 (26–34) |
| *p*-value      | .9014| .071   | .0193 |
| **Working area**|     |        |       |        |
| Emergency      | 388  | 8 (5–10) | 37 (32–43) | 30 (26–33) |
| General hospital| 424  | 8 (6–10) | 38 (33–43) | 29 (25–32) |
| Outpatient     | 91   | 7 (5–9) | 36 (31–42) | 29 (25–32) |
| Territorial Health Services | 54   | 7 (5–11) | 37.5 (32–42) | 30 (26–34) |
| Management/education/research | 48   | 6 (3–9) | 33.5 (30–39.5) | 30 (26–36) |
| *p*-value      | .0002| .0466  | .464  |
| **Years of work experience** | |       |       |        |
| <10            | 408  | 9 (6–11) | 38 (32–43) | 29 (25–32) |
| 10–20          | 268  | 8 (5–11) | 37 (32–43.5) | 30 (26.5–32) |
| >20            | 329  | 8 (6–11) | 36 (32–42) | 30 (26–34) |
| *p*-value      | .5807| .196   | .168  |
| **Attending major incidents training** | |       |       |        |
| Yes            | 374  | 9 (6–12) | 37 (33–43) | 29 (25–32) |
| No             | 631  | 8 (6–11) | 37 (32–43) | 29 (25–32) |
| *p*-value      | .4408| .694   | .540  |
| **Level of education** | |       |       |        |
| First-level degree | 842  | 9 (6–12) | 37 (32–43) | 29 (26–32) |
| Second-level degree | 163  | 8 (5–11) | 36 (31–43) | 30 (26–33) |
| *p*-value      | .1332| .376   | .425  |
| **Post-registration courses** | |       |       |        |
| Yes            | 490  | 8 (6–12) | 37 (32–43) | 30 (26–33) |
| No             | 515  | 9 (5–11) | 37 (32–43) | 29 (25–32) |
| *p*-value      | .9968| .911   | .0155 |
| **Number of children** | |       |       |        |
| 0              | 500  | 9 (6–11) | 38 (32–43) | 29 (26–32) |
| 1              | 161  | 9 (6–12) | 35 (31–41) | 29 (25–33) |
| 2              | 275  | 8 (6–11) | 36 (32–43) | 30 (26–34) |
| >2             | 69   | 8 (5–11) | 37 (32–41) | 29 (25–33) |
| *p*-value      | .669 | .0392  | .308  |
| **Relocated**  |      |        |       |        |
| No             | 743  | 8 (6–11) | 37 (32–43) | 29 (26–33) |
| Yes            | 262  | 9 (6–12) | 38 (33–44) | 29 (26–32) |
| *p*-value      | .0568| .0535  | .684  |
| **Nursing role** |     |        |       |        |
| Clinical       | 869  | 9 (6–11) | 37 (32–43) | 29 (26–32) |
| Management     | 136  | 8.5 (5–11.5) | 35 (31–41) | 30 (26–34) |

(Continues)
Working in the management/education/research area seemed to be a protective factor associated with a lower risk of developing sleep disturbances compared to those working in territorial health services (OR = .40; 95% CI, 0.17–0.96; p = .042).

5 | DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to measure levels of sleep disorders, anxiety and perceived self-efficacy among Italian nurses working during the COVID-19 pandemic and to evaluate the potential predictive factors associated with them.

The prevalence of anxiety, sleep disorders and low levels of self-efficacy was consistent among Italian nurses providing care to patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19.

The results showed alarming rates of sleep disturbances (75.72%) and moderate anxiety (33.23%), and 50.65% of the participants reported low general self-efficacy levels in this study. Most of the respondents were female clinical nurses aged 22–65 years, working in a general ward of a public hospital, and they were equally distributed throughout the northern, central and southern regions of Italy.

Gender was an independent predictive factor for anxiety, poor sleep quality and low self-efficacy. Being female was associated with higher levels of anxiety and sleep deprivation, and in addition, working in a territorial health services setting seemed to be an additional risk factor for poor sleep quality. Women experienced generally lower levels of self-efficacy than men.

The scores from the SAS, PSQI and GSE were all correlated with each other, underlining that self-efficacy has a great impact on anxiety and sleep disturbances, with positive or negative effects occurring when the perceived levels of self-efficacy increased or decreased, respectively.

5.1 | Sleep quality

In our sample, being a woman and working on the front lines were associated with poor sleep quality. The median PSQI score of front-line nurses (involved in emergency or general hospital) in this study was 8 (IQR, 5–10 and 6–10, respectively) which was similar to the average of 8.48 ± 3.63 of front-line nurses in Wuhan measured during their COVID-19 outbreak, as reported by Tu et al. (2020). However, the prevalence of poor sleep quality (75.72%) reported in our study is higher than the 60% reported by Tu et al. (2020). One possible explanation for the higher prevalence of sleep disturbance among Italian nurses in comparison with the Wuhan frontline nurses could be related to the period during which we collected data, at the beginning of the COVID-19 outbreak. In fact, although we had information on the experience of China starting to face the pandemic before all other countries, the Italian NHS found itself unprepared, and many front-line workers were forced to fight the virus without adequate PPEs (Cicolini et al., 2020). In addition, nurses’ shifts were longer and more exhausting in Italy because of the shortage of nurses and physicians, which was more evident in the Italian context during the emergency situation (Cicolini et al., 2020). This would be expected to result in sleep deprivation (Gillett et al., 2020) that could have a great impact on patient safety and work injuries as largely documented by previous research (Kling et al., 2010; Yuh, 2011).

Also, the fear for personal safety and the risk of passing the infection to relatives, as well as the general lack of knowledge about virus at that time might also result in sleep disturbances, as reported by Su et al. (2007).

Contrary to the results of similar research (Lai et al. 2020; Su et al. 2007), no increasing reports of sleep disturbances were seen in our study among females and nurses who were relocated from their original work area.
5.2 | Anxiety symptoms

The prevalence of moderate anxiety in our study sample was considerable (33.23%) but not higher than in the study by Tuet al. (2020). Working area, female gender, clinical role and number of children (more than two or none) were associated with severe anxiety. This finding is consistent with studies showing that in the general population, the prevalence of anxiety disorders in women is approximately twice as high as in men. Psychosocial contributors (e.g. stressors) have been discussed as possible causes for the higher rates of anxiety in women (Bandelow & Michaelis, 2015). In this emergency health context, anxiety symptoms could be due to fear of contracting the virus, stress at work, direct exposure to COVID-19 patient care, perceived negative feelings, lack of social support (Su et al., 2007) or worries about infecting their children. In a recent qualitative study conducted at Wuhan Union Hospital, most front-line clinical nurses experienced great psychological pressure when caring for COVID-19 patients, and they were prone to fear and anxiety (Tan et al., 2020), thus explaining our results underlining how working area (frontline or territorial health services) and clinical role are associated with anxiety disorders.

5.3 | Self-efficacy

Respondents in our study were divided between those who reported high levels of self-efficacy (49.35%) and those who reported low levels (50.65%), indicating that half of them believed they had inadequate abilities to cope with the added stress factors during the pandemic. Males, older nurses, those with longer term working experience and those who attended post-registration courses experienced higher levels of self-efficacy, helping them in promoting personal performance in the face of adversity (Amini & Noroozi, 2018).

Our results are all in agreement with those of studies in the literature, where males in our study had higher median values of self-efficacy than females (Handiyani et al., 2019), possibly because of their ability to solve problems and find solutions (Imus et al., 2017). Many researchers have suggested that age could influence self-efficacy given that, in general, a mature person has developed better abilities in thinking and performing a task (Molnari & Monserud, 2009; Robbins & Judge, 2017). Working experience (longer service) was also related to better self-efficacy, as supported by evidence (Gloudemans et al., 2013; Lee & Ko, 2010). Bandura (1997) theorised that individuals with a higher level of education (post-registration courses, in this case) will learn more through formal education so that they get more opportunities to learn to cope with problems.

The findings of a recent study suggested that self-efficacy was a significant predictor of mental health (Yıldırım & Güler, 2020). For this reason, new insight into factors associated with this important element in promoting health-related intentions and behaviours is of paramount importance.

5.4 | Predicting factors

Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that working in management/education/research areas could be considered a protective predictive factor against developing sleep disturbances. These results could be explained by the fact that this group of nurses is not involved in front line and delivering patient care. Also, as noted, males in our study experienced better self-efficacy levels than women. These findings indicated that, during the pandemic, women were more vulnerable to symptoms of anxiety and sleep disorders, as already demonstrated by previous research (Lai et al., 2020; Luo et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020). Also, nurses working in territorial health services were more prone to developing insomnia. In particular, when we correlated the scores of the scales, we observed a strong positive correlation between the SAS and PSQI (0.418; \( p < .001 \)), suggesting that as sleep quality was reduced, anxiety levels increased. The concept that anxiety affects sleep quality is confirmed by reports in the literature (Jarrin et al., 2014; Reeth et al., 2000; Xiao et al., 2020), where some researchers have shown that anxious people generally struggle during sleep and experience frequent awakenings during sleep (Alvaro et al., 2013).

We also observed a negative correlation between the GSE and SAS (\(-0.217; p < .001\)) and between the PSQI and GSE (\(-0.159; p < .001\)), suggesting that as sleep and anxiety scores decreased, self-efficacy grew, and vice versa (\( p < .001 \)), which means that when nurses have better self-efficacy, they may experience fewer health problems. These data are consistent with that from Hu et al.
(2020). It is also consistent with the concept that self-efficacy is a positive mental state that enhances sleep quality (Rutledge et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2020) and that anxiety has a negative effect on self-efficacy because it reduces positive behaviours and initiative (Bandura & Adams, 1977; Miller et al., 2006). These findings highlighted the importance of designing interventions specifically targeted towards female nurses facing the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy, who were found to be suffering anxiety and sleep disorders. Also, because working in territorial health services seems to be a resultant risk factor negatively affecting sleep quality, we suggest further investigations to better understand aspects potentially associated with this disturbance. In this regard, a recent review of De Sio et al. (2020) recommended to implement effective preventive measures aimed at fighting anxiety and insomnia (e.g., mindfulness-based strategies, cognitive behavioural counselling, support groups and debriefing sessions) in the workplace. Of note, cases of suicide among healthcare workers are sometimes reported as an extreme reaction to chronic exposure to these risk factors (Goyal et al., 2020; Sher, 2020). A professional team comprising mental health personnel (e.g., psychotherapists, social workers and psychological counsellors) could be a resource both for the general public and healthcare workers, to help them to deal with the emotional distress and other mental disorders caused by epidemics and other public health emergencies (Duan & Zhu, 2020).

5.5  |  Limitations

The strength of this study is represented by the large sample size of nurses in Italy during the pandemic, but it also presents some limitations that should be discussed. First, the convenience sample of nurses who agreed to participate could limit the generalisability of the results. Second, because of the cross-sectional design of the study, we could not determine causal relationships, only associations in the analysis of predictors of anxiety, sleep disorders and self-efficacy. Third, the present study used the PSQI to measure sleep quality among nurses, but it should be considered that nurses are usually shift workers, so the results could be overestimated with respect to the worse levels reported.

6  |  CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our study reported a high prevalence of anxiety, sleep disorders and low self-efficacy levels among Italian nurses. Specific interventions to prevent exacerbation of these symptoms should be considered in order to promote mental well-being and coping strategies among those who take care of patients in emergency situations and are exposed to a high risk of contagion. This requires clear communication and leadership structures, communication training, psychosocial support, identification of individual attributes and organisational resources to build self-efficacy, which will help achieve improvements in the mental health of nurses. More importantly, it will also help with creating an optimal framework of conditions for clinical work, for example, through the correct allocation and management of human resources to reduce nursing work intensity and job pressures. It is also important for managers to address the correct supply of material resources as PPEs to promote safety in clinical settings.

6.1  |  Relevance to clinical practice

This study highlights the importance to assess and recognise psychological disturbances and related risk factors among nurses facing major incidents, as COVID-19 pandemic, that are exposed to a high risk to develop high levels of anxiety and sleep disturbance, especially if females, working in territorial health services.
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