Design and characterization of a heterobifunctional degrader of KEAP1
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1. Introduction

Cellular oxidative stress occurs when cells are exposed to excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) and as a consequence, the cellular redox balance cannot be maintained [1]. Chronic exposure to oxidative stress leads to diverse pathologic conditions such as respiratory, autoimmune, and neurodegenerative disorders [2]. Cells are equipped with a sophisticated antioxidant system to combat and eliminate harmful ROS and maintain redox homeostasis [3]. The Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1) - nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) signaling pathway senses reactive oxygen species and regulates cellular oxidative stress. Inhibiting KEAP1 to activate the NRF2 antioxidant response has been proposed as a promising strategy to treat chronic diseases caused by oxidative stress. Here, we developed a proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC) that depletes KEAP1 from cells through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. A previously developed KEAP1 inhibitor and thalidomide were incorporated in the heterobifunctional design of the PROTAC as ligands for KEAP1 and CRBN recruitment, respectively. Optimization of the chemical composition and linker length resulted in PROTAC 14 which exhibited potent KEAP1 degradation with low nanomolar DC50 in HEK293T (11 nM) and BEAS-2B (<1 nM) cell lines. Furthermore, PROTAC 14 increased the expression of NRF2 regulated antioxidant proteins and prevented cell death induced by reactive oxygen species. Together, these results established a blueprint for further development of KEAP1-targeted heterobifunctional degraders and will facilitate the study of the biological consequences of KEAP1 removal from cells. This approach represents an alternative therapeutic strategy to existing treatments for diseases caused by oxidative stress.
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A B S T R A C T

The Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1) - nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) signaling pathway senses reactive oxygen species and regulates cellular oxidative stress. Inhibiting KEAP1 to activate the NRF2 antioxidant response has been proposed as a promising strategy to treat chronic diseases caused by oxidative stress. Here, we developed a proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC) that depletes KEAP1 from cells through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. A previously developed KEAP1 inhibitor and thalidomide were incorporated in the heterobifunctional design of the PROTAC as ligands for KEAP1 and CRBN recruitment, respectively. Optimization of the chemical composition and linker length resulted in PROTAC 14 which exhibited potent KEAP1 degradation with low nanomolar DC50 in HEK293T (11 nM) and BEAS-2B (<1 nM) cell lines. Furthermore, PROTAC 14 increased the expression of NRF2 regulated antioxidant proteins and prevented cell death induced by reactive oxygen species. Together, these results established a blueprint for further development of KEAP1-targeted heterobifunctional degraders and will facilitate the study of the biological consequences of KEAP1 removal from cells. This approach represents an alternative therapeutic strategy to existing treatments for diseases caused by oxidative stress.

BTB and the Kelch domain in the KEAP1 complex, enabling the dimerized Kelch domains to recognize and capture cytosolic NRF2 [9]. The Kelch domain adopts a classic β-propeller structure and in the KEAP1 homodimeric complex, interacts with the high-affinity ETGE or low-affinity DLG motifs in the Neh2 domain of the NRF2 protein [10].

Under basal conditions, NRF2 is sequestered by a homodimer of KEAP1/Cullin3/Rbx1 E3 ligase, resulting in NRF2 polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation [11]. Under oxidative stress, multiple cysteines in KEAP1 are modified by ROS, leading to a conformational change in KEAP1 which prevents NRF2 binding and ubiquitination. NRF2 then accumulates in the cytosol and translocates into the nucleus. In the nucleus, NRF2 binds to antioxidantsensitive AREs and other co-factors to initiate antioxidant gene expression [12]. Therefore, inhibiting KEAP1 to activate NRF2 signaling has been proposed as a potential therapeutic avenue to treat chronic disorders caused by oxidative stress [13].

To this extent, several electrophilic small molecules that covalently modify Cys151 in the KEAP1 BTB domain have been clinically
developed but all displayed adverse off-target effects, probably due to the promiscuous potential of these compounds to react with cysteines on other proteins [14]. To overcome the off-target promiscuity, direct and non-covalent inhibitors of KEAP1-NRF2 interaction have been developed that activate NRF2 signaling both in vitro and in vivo, but to date, none of them have proceeded to clinical trials [14].

Instead of inhibiting the active site of the target protein, removal of the whole protein from cells is an alternative strategy for therapeutic development [15]. In the past two decades, targeted protein degradation using proteolysis-targeting chimeras (PROTAC) has received great interest from both academic researchers and pharmaceutical industries [16]. PROTACs eliminate their target proteins by harnessing the cellular ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS). A heterobifunctional PROTAC consists of a ligand that binds to the protein of interest (POI) conjugated to an E3 ligase ligand. The E3 ligase ligand recruits an E3 ligase to mediate the ubiquitination of the POI. The E3 ligase complex then dissociates and the ubiquitylated POI is subsequently degraded by the proteasome [17].

In this study, we designed and characterized a PROTAC to target KEAP1 for proteasomal degradation. The PROTAC exploits a known non-covalent KEAP1 inhibitor (1) which we linked to thalidomide, the ligand for cereblon (CRBN)/Cullin4A/Rbx1 E3 ligase. Initially, a series of PROTACs were conceived and synthesized with varying linker lengths to assess their ability to degrade KEAP1. The PROTAC with the optimal linker was then characterized for its ability to degrade KEAP1 in various cell lines and activate an NRF2-mediated antioxidant response in cellular assays.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Chemistry

Chemistry experimental is included in the supplementary information section.

2.2. Biology

2.2.1. Cell culture conditions

HEK293T, HCA7, A549, and BEAS-2B cell lines were obtained from the ATCC. The HepG2-ARE reporter cell line was purchased from BPSBioscience. HEK293T, HCA7, A549 cells were cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA) and penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA). BEAS-2B cells were cultured in LHC-9 medium (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA) with penicillin/streptomycin. HepG2-ARE reporter cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplied with 1% non-essential amino acids (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA) and penicillin/streptomycin. HEK293T, HCA7, A549 cells were cultured in LHC-9 medium (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA) with penicillin/streptomycin. HepG2-ARE reporter cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplied with 1% non-essential amino acids (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA), 1 mM Na pyruvate (Gibco, Invitrogen Corp., USA), penicillin/streptomycin plus 600 μg/mL of Geneticin (Roche, Switzerland). All cell lines were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO2.

2.2.2. Expression and purification of recombinant human KEAP1-Kelch domain

BL21(DE3) cells were transformed with a pOPINK plasmid encoding the His-GST-KEAP1 Kelch domain (312–624) and grown in 1 L YT cultures to OD600 0.8 in a shaking incubator at 37 °C. The temperature was reduced to 20 °C for 45 min and KEAP1 expression was induced by the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG (Gold Biotechnology, USA). Cultures were harvested by centrifugation after overnight incubation at 20 °C. For purification, cells were resuspended in high-salt binding buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 10% sucrose, 10% glycerol) supplemented with leupeptin, lysozyme, DNasel, MgCl2, and PMSF and lysed by sonication. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 40,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. Protein purification was initiated by passing supernatant over Ni-NTA His-bind resin (Merck Millipore, USA) in gravity flow columns equilibrated with high-salt binding buffer. Ni-NTA His-bind resin was washed with 3 × 10 mL of high-salt binding buffer, and 1 × 6 mL of high-salt binding buffer supplemented with 10 mM imidazole. The protein was then eluted with high-salt binding buffer supplemented with 300 mM imidazole and further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column (Cytiva, USA) in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl. Protein samples were concentrated, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C.

2.2.3. Homogeneous time resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay

The HTRF assay was performed in a Greiner white 1536-well plate (Interpath, Australia) with buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 0.005% BSA, 0.1% Tween-20, and 1 mM TECP. In the assay, 0.4 nM GST-KEAP1 Kelch domain, 1.25 mM biotin labeled NRF2 peptide (B2QLDDEETFGL82) and a serial dilution of test compounds was mixed and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Thereafter, the HTRF mix (37.5 ng/mL anti-GST terbium (Tb) and 180 ng/mL streptavidin-d2) was added and incubated for 2 h. Signal was measured on a PheraSTAR (BMG LABTECH, Germany) using an HTRF module (excitation: 337 nm; emission 1: 665 nm; emission 2: 620 nm).

2.2.4. Immunoblotting

Sample preparation and immunoblotting were performed as previously reported [18]. Briefly, cells were harvested and lysed followed by electrophoresis using SDS PAGE (Invitrogen and 4–12%). Samples were then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Cytiva, USA) and blocked with 5% skim milk for 1 h at room temperature. Proteins were detected by the following antibodies: anti-KEAP1 (sc-365626), anti-GCLM (sc-55586), and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated anti-β-actin (sc-47788) purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, USA). Anti-CRBN (DH83S) was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Cell Signaling Technology, USA), anti-NQO1 (ab34173) from Abcam (Abcam, USA), and anti–HO-1 (MA1112) was purchased from Invitrogen (Invitrogen, USA). HRP conjugated anti-mouse (NA931) and anti-rabbit (NA934) secondary antibodies were purchased from Amersham (Amersham, USA).

2.2.5. ARE-luciferase activity assay

HepG2-ARE cells were seeded into a 96-well plate at a density of 1 × 104 cells/well and incubated overnight. Cells were then treated with the indicated concentration of compounds for 4 h and then lysed. Luciferase activity was measured using the Luciferase Reporter Assay Kit (Promega, USA) and a luminometer (Promega, USA) as previously described [19].

2.2.6. Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from BEAS-2B cells using Qiagen RNeasy Plus Kits (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a SuperScript IV kit (Thermo Fisher, USA). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using SyberGreen (Bioline, Australia) on the QuantStudio 12 K Flex Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher, USA). Relative RNA levels were normalized to the 18S internal control using the delta-delta-Ct statistical method. Primers for RT-PCR are listed in Table S1.

2.2.7. MS proteomics

BEAS-2B cells were treated with DMSO, 0.13 μM of PROTAC L4, or inhibitor 2 for 48 h. Samples were then harvested and lysed with 5% SDS (in 50 mM TEAB) for label-free proteomics. BCA assay was used to confirm protein concentration and 20 μg of protein per sample was processed via micro S-traps (ProtiFi) as described by the manufacturer (with the exception that chloroacetamide was used for alkylation) and digested with trypsin/Lys-C. Samples were cleaned using SDS pre-packed stage tips (GLScience) and approximately 100 ng of peptide was injected onto a custom Dionex-PAL nanoflow pump connected to a Q-TOF pro (Bruker). Peptides were separated using a 48 min gradient
(solvent A, 0.1% formic acid; solvent B, 99.9% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid) on a C18 analytical column (IonOpticks, Aurora Elite 15 cm × 75 μm ID, 1.7 μm AUR3-15075C18). Data-independent PASEF acquisition was performed (100–1700 m/z scan range, 0.6–1.6 Vs/cm², and 100 ms ramp and accumulation time) and library-free searching was performed with DIA-NN (v1.8) using the reviewed Homo sapiens uniprot database (UP000005640). MS1 and mass accuracy was set to 15 ppm and the precursor FDR was set at 1%. Protein quantification was performed using the DIA-NN in-built MaxLFQ algorithm (using a TopN = 3). Downstream data processing and analysis was performed using the DEP package in R. Protein abundance in 14 and 2 treatment samples was compared to the DMSO control group. Proteins with more than 1.5-fold change and adjusted P value less than 0.01 were considered significant.

2.2.8. Flow cytometric detection of cell viability

BEAS-2B cells were seeded in a 48-well plate at a density of 1.2 × 10^5 cells/well and incubated overnight. Cells were then pre-treated with the indicated concentration of compounds for 24 h, followed by 50 μM tert-butyl hydroperoxide (tBHP) treatment, a chemical that can generate ROS rapidly in cells, for another 24 h. Cells were then harvested and stained with 1 μg/mL propidium iodide (PI). Data were acquired using a BD FACSymphony flow cytometer and analyzed using BD FlowJo v10 software. Cells were first gated on FSC-A and SSC-A to exclude debris and then gated on FSC-H and FSC-A to exclude doublets. Viability was assessed as %PI negative cells.

2.2.9. PAMPA membrane permeability assay

This assay was performed by BioDuro-Sundia using the following standard protocol. Working solutions of each compound were prepared from 10 mM stock solution in DMSO diluted to a final concentration of 10 μM in PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 1% DMSO). 1% (w/v) lecithin/dodecane was added to the donor side of the Multi Screen Filter Plate, then 10 μM control or test compound working solution is added. The receiver side of the Multi Screen Filter Plates was filled with PBS buffer containing 1% DMSO. The plates were kept at room temperature for 24 h. Samples were collected from the donor and receiver sides. The donor sides samples were diluted 20-fold with PBS (1% DMSO). All receiver and diluted donor side samples were mixed with ACN/MeOH (1:1, v/v) containing 25 ng/mL terfenadine and 50 ng/mL tolbutamide as internal standards. Samples were vortexed and then centrifuged at 4 °C. An aliquot of the supernatant was transferred to a 0.65 mL tube for LC-MS/MS analysis. The MS detection was performed using a SCIEX API 4000 instrument. Each compound was analyzed by reversed phase HPLC using a Kinetex 2.6μ C18 100 Å column (3.0 mm × 30 mm, Phenomenex). Mobile phase – Solvent A: water with 0.1% formic acid, solvent B: ACN with 0.1% formic acid. Analysis of the compound was determined on the basis of the peak area ratio (compound area to IS area) for the two sides; LogPe = Log (C^*-Ln(1-[drug]acceptor/[drug]equilibrium)) where C = VD*VA/((VD + VA)*Area*time) and % Recovery = (Total compound mass in donor and receiver compartments at the end of the incubation/Initial compound mass in the donor compartment) x 100.

3. Results

3.1. Design and binding affinity of KEAP1 PROTACs

Davies et al. disclosed the KEAP1 inhibitor (1, Fig. 1A) bearing a 3-phenylpropanoic acid scaffold. It displayed tight KEAP1 binding and potent induction of the NRF2 antioxidant response both in vitro and in vivo [20]. We selected this compound as the parental KEAP1 recruitment warhead for our PROTAC design. Instead of using the enantiopure molecule 1, we employed the racemic version (R,S-stereochemistry at the 3-position of the propanoic acid) (Fig. 1B), in the design of the KEAP1 targeted PROTAC, due to synthetic tractability. To ensure the feasibility of our approach, we synthesized and evaluated compounds 1 and 2 in a KEAP1-Kelch domain – NRF2 peptide HTRF biochemical assay. As expected, the racemic compound 2 was 2-fold less potent than the enantiopure compound 1 (IC50 0.037 μM; 2, IC50 0.077 μM), supporting our decision to utilize the racemic version 2 as the KEAP1 ligand in the design of the PROTAC. The co-crystal structure of compound 1 and the KEAP1-Kelch domain revealed the methoxy group on the 7-position of benzotriazole ring was solvent exposed, suggesting this

Fig. 1. Design of a CRBN-based KEAP1 degrader. (A) The structure and activity of the KEAP1 inhibitor 1 reported by Davies et al. [20]. (B) Activity of the synthesized racemic KEAP1 inhibitor 2 incorporated in the KEAP1 degrader. (C) KEAP1 inhibitor 1 co-crystalized with the KEAP1-Kelch domain [20]. The red circle highlights the linker attachment site used in the design of a PROTAC. (D) Design and structure of CRBN based KEAP1 degraders used in this study. IC50 (SD) values shown represent 3 independent experiments using recombinant KEAP1-Kelch domain in a HTRF biochemical assay. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
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would be a suitable position for conjugation of the E3 ligase ligand via a linker (Fig. 1C) [20]. The CRBN E3 ligase has been widely exploited in the development of PROTACs targeting other proteins of interest and its ligands are well characterized [16]. We therefore incorporated thalidomide as the CRBN recruitment ligand in our KEAP1-targeted PROTAC (Fig. 1D).

To synthesize the series of PROTACs bearing different linker lengths and types, intermediate 25 and thalidomide functionalized with a fluoro or carboxylic acid in the 4-position 40–41 were employed as key intermediates (Scheme 1). Intermediate 25 was synthesized using a procedure adapted from literature [20, 21] starting from phenol 18. Phenol 18 was alkylated and brominated to give 19 followed by methylation of the amino group and reduction of the nitro group to give the amine 20. Diazotization of 20 and a Heck reaction gave the cinnamoyl benzotriazole intermediate 22. Conjugate addition of the boronic acid to 22 gave the aryl derivative 23, followed by a Mitsunobu reaction, and deprotection of the benzyl ether gave intermediate 25. Intermediate 25 was then O-alkylated with various alkyl halide linkers bearing an azide or Cbz-protected amines to afford 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, and 38. The azide or Cbz-amine groups were then subjected to hydrogenation conditions to give the corresponding amines 27, 31, 33, 35, 37, and 39. Finally, the thalidomide component was installed via an amide coupling or a SnAr reaction with thalidomide derivatives 40–41, and then the tert-butyl group was deprotected to afford the PROTACs 3–14.

The binding affinity of synthesized PROTACs to the KEAP1-Kelch domain was evaluated using the HTRF biochemical assay (Table 1). In general, the attachment of a linker conjugated to a CRBN ligand did not significantly impair the binding affinity of the resulting PROTACs to KEAP1 (0.059–0.15 μM). The exceptions were derivatives 4, 5, and 12, which showed a greater than 3-fold reduction in KEAP1 binding affinity compared to inhibitor 2. The inhibitory activity against KEAP1 supported our PROTAC design strategy and warranted further characterization of these analogs in cell-based assays to assess KEAP1 degradation.

3.2. KEAP1 degradation by PROTACs in HEK293T cells

The ability of the synthesized PROTACs to degrade KEAP1 was assessed in HEK293T cells. Cells were treated with 1 μM PROTAC for 5 or 24 h, and KEAP1 levels were then analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig. 2A and S1). PROTACs possessing a longer linker length (>7 atoms, 8 to 13) resulted in a robust reduction in KEAP1 protein levels at both time points compared to PROTACs with shorter linker lengths (3 to 7). To study their efficacy in more detail, PROTACs 8 to 13 were evaluated
5

Table 1
Activity of KEAP1 PROTACs against the KEAP1-Kelch domain.

| Cmpd | Linker | X   | IC50 (SD) μM |
|------|--------|-----|--------------|
| 2    |        |     | 0.077 (0.003) |
| 3    |        | NH  | 0.150 (-0.001) |
| 4    |        | NH  | 0.267 (0.012) |
| 5    |        | O   | 0.223 (0.006) |
| 6    |        | O   | 0.147 (0.006) |
| 7    |        | O   | 0.143 (0.012) |
| 8    |        | O   | 0.066 (0.006) |
| 9    |        | O   | 0.086 (0.002) |
| 10   |        | O   | 0.127 (0.006) |
| 11   |        |     | 0.129 (-0.001) |
| 12   |        | O   | 0.563 (0.029) |
| 13   |        | O   | 0.059 (0.001) |

* IC50 (SD) values represent 3 independent experiments against recombinant KEAP1-Kelch domain in a HTRF biochemical assay.

3.3. KEAP1 degradation mechanism of PROTAC 14

The molecular mechanism underlying PROTAC 14-mediated degradation of KEAP1 was verified in a competition experiment. HEK293T cells were pre-treated with the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 or thalidomide (TOM) to compete with PROTAC 14 for KEAP1 or CRBN binding, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4 and S4, KEAP1 degradation induced by 14 was significantly suppressed by the addition of excess 2 or thalidomide. These results demonstrate that simultaneous binding of PROTAC 14 to KEAP1 and CRBN is required for KEAP1 degradation. In addition, pre-treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 prevented KEAP1 degradation, confirming degradation mediated by 14 was dependent on the cellular proteasomal machinery.

It is known that PROTACs can form unproductive binary complexes at excessive concentrations via saturated binding to the target protein or the E3 ligase, a phenomenon termed the hook effect [23]. To this extent, we evaluated PROTAC 14 in a dose titration experiment (0.01–8 μM) in HEK293T cells. A clear hook effect was observed with 14 at concentrations higher than 1 μM and where the maximum degradation (Dmax = 94%) was observed (Fig. 4B and S5). The observed D50 for KEAP1 in HEK293T cells was 11 nM (Fig. S5).

3.4. Activation of antioxidant response in cell lines induced by 14

We next examined the ability of PROTAC 14 to activate the antioxidant response in different cell lines. In this study, we included compound 13 as a negative control for CRBN recruitment, and tert-butyl ester 16 as a negative control for KEAP1 binding, in addition to the covalent KEAP1 inhibitor sulforaphane (Sul). The KEAP1 inhibitor 2 was included as a benchmark positive control along with its negative control counterpart tert-butyl ester 17 (Fig. S6). HEK293T and HCA7 cell lines treated with PROTAC 14 for 48 h displayed robust degradation of KEAP1 which was not observed with other tested compounds. However, PROTAC 14 did not degrade KEAP1 in the lung cancer cell line A549, which harbors a G333C mutation in the KEAP1-Kelch domain [24] preventing the PROTAC 14 from binding (Fig. 5A and S7). Given that KEAP1 is the recruitment component of the Cullin3/Rbx1 E3 ligase complex, we assessed whether CRBN was able to be degraded by KEAP1 in these cell lines. Immunoblotting showed no change in CRBN levels upon treatment with PROTAC 14, suggesting CRBN E3 ligase is outcompeting KEAP1 in this cellular system (Fig. 5A). In addition, changes in PROTAC linker length and composition (compounds 3–11) did not affect CRBN levels in HEK293T cells (Fig. S8).

To measure the effect on antioxidant gene expression we monitored the protein levels of NRF2 downstream targets, NQO1 and HO-1 in the HEK293T and HCA7 cell lines following PROTAC 14 treatment. NQO1 and HO-1 were both upregulated by 14 in a dose-dependent manner, but to a lesser degree than observed with KEAP1 inhibitor 2 (Fig. 5A and S7). Compound 15 and sulforaphane upregulated NQO1, but not HO-1, expression. As expected, control compounds 16 and 17 showed no impact on KEAP1, NQO1, or HO-1 expression.

To confirm the observed antioxidant response, PROTAC 14 was benchmarked against inhibitor 2 in a HepG2 cell line expressing an NRF2-responsive luciferase reporter, with an antioxidant response element (ARE) upstream of the firefly luciferase gene (Fig. S5B). PROTAC 14 treatment resulted in a dose-dependent increase in luciferase signal with a maximum 3.5-fold induction at 1 μM compared to the DMSO control group. In comparison, the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 showed a 5- to 6-fold increase in luciferase expression and was approximately 2-fold more potent than PROTAC 14.

We next investigated the impact of KEAP1 degradation in BEAS-2B cells, a human non-tumorigenic lung epithelial cell line [25]. Notably, KEAP1 was more effectively degraded by PROTAC 14 in BEAS-2B cells with D50 < 1 nM (Fig. 5A, S7, and S5), compared to KEAP1 degradation in HEK293T and HCA7 cell lines. Furthermore, PROTAC 14 was equipotent to KEAP1 inhibitor 2, as evidenced by the increased expression of both NQO1 and GCLM at all tested concentrations (GCLM was measured in this cell line as HO-1 was not detectable by immunoblotting). The increased potency of PROTAC 14 in the BEAS-2B cell line could be due to the reduced basal levels of KEAP1 expression compared to HEK293T.
and HCA7 cell lines (Fig. S9).

To confirm the effect of PROTAC 14 on the expression of antioxidant genes, quantitative RT-PCR was employed to determine the mRNA levels of NQO1, TALDO1, and GCLM in the BEAS-2B cell line. 48 h treatment with PROTAC 14 or KEAP1 inhibitor 2 at 0.13 μM, resulted in similar levels of mRNA induction, with a 4-fold induction of NQO1 and a 2-fold induction of TALDO1 and GCLM (Fig. 5C). These findings highlight the antioxidant potential of PROTACs targeting KEAP1 for degradation in a lung epithelial model.

3.5. Proteomics study of PROTAC 14

Label-free quantitative proteomics was performed to identify the impact of KEAP1 degradation relative to KEAP1 inhibition in the BEAS-2B cell line. The proteomic results showed that PROTAC 14 significantly reduced the abundance of KEAP1, while other Kelch domain proteins such as KBTBD4, KLHDC2, and KLHL11 were not impacted, suggesting PROTAC 14 (and the inhibitor 2 from which it was derived) was highly selective for the KEAP1-Kelch domain (Fig. 6). PROTAC 14 significantly upregulated proteins commonly involved in the antioxidant response including GCLC, GCLM, SRXN1, ALDH3A1, PIR, TXNRD1, and ARK1C family proteins (Fig. 6A). Treatment with the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 did not affect KEAP1 expression and presented a similar pattern of antioxidant protein regulation, but some proteins although differentially expressed, did not achieve significance (Fig. 6B). Notably, NRF2 was not detected in the proteomic experiment, presumably due to its relative instability and short half-life [26].

PROTAC 14 was also found to regulate proteins involved in pathways not related to the antioxidant response (Fig. 6). For example, SQSTM1, another binding partner of KEAP1 [27], was upregulated on treatment with 14. FTL and IREB2 proteins were other examples and participate in iron metabolism but have no known direct connection with the KEAP1-NRF2 signaling pathway [28]. ABHD4, a mitochondrial protein involved in lipid metabolism, was also significantly upregulated
Redox Biology 59 (2023) 102552
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by PROTAC 14, whereas ABHD4 was not upregulated in BEAS-2B cells on treatment with inhibitor 2 (Fig. 6). ABHD4 is upregulated in NRF2 hyperactivated tumors [29], but the exact relationship with KEAP1 and the antioxidant pathway remains to be elucidated. Off-target degradation of ZFP91 induced by PROTAC 14 was also observed (Fig. 6A). ZFP91 is an established substrate of thalidomide-based immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs) [30] and this observation is consistent with other previously reported CRBN-based PROTACs [31]. Levels of TK2, CALM1, TPDS2L1, and PARP16 were also impacted by PROTAC 14 (Fig. 6A), but not the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 (Fig. 6B), possibly due to off-target degradation or an undefined connection with KEAP1-NRF2 signaling.

3.6. Effects of anti-tBHP induced cell death by PROTAC 14 in BEAS-2B cells

We next determined whether KEAP-1 degradation was sufficient to prevent ROS-induced cell death. tert-butyl hydroperoxide (tBHP) was chosen as the oxidative stress agent to impair BEAS-2B cell viability. BEAS-2B cells were pre-treated with PROTAC 14 or inhibitor 2 for 24 h and then exposed to 50 μM of tBHP for 24 h and cell viability was measured by flow cytometry (Fig. 7). PROTAC 14 and inhibitor 2 were equal potent between 500 and 16 nM but PROTAC 14 was less effective at concentrations lower than 16 nM, while the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 still maintained greater than 80% cell viability at similar concentrations. This data is consistent with the potent activation of the NRF antioxidant pathway observed for 2 and 14 (Fig. 5).

3.7. PAMPA evaluation of PROTACs 8 and 14

PROTACs typically have lower membrane permeability than the progenitor compounds on which they are designed [32–34]. Permeability is also a common issue encountered with the design of KEAP1 inhibitors [35,36] due to the requirement for a polar and ionizable carboxylic acid group that mimics the ETGE (and DLG) moiety of the NRF2 substrate [14]. To determine whether cell permeability is a contributing factor to the decreased cell activity of the KEAP1 PROTACs 8 and 14 compared to the KEAP1 inhibitor 2, we performed a parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA). The data shows that the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 and PROTACs 8 and 14 all have limited passive permeability in the PAMPA assay (P < 0.005 10^-6 cm/s) (Table S2). This suggests that passive cell permeability is not a contributing factor to the cell activity of the PROTACs 8 and 14 in comparison to the KEAP1
inhibitor 2. The low permeability shown by all three compounds is somewhat surprising given the potent activity shown in cell assays (Sections 3.2 to 3.7). Active cell membrane transport mechanisms are not measured by PAMPA and therefore could be contributing to cell activity differences between the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 and PROTACs 8 and 14.

4. Discussion

Inhibition of KEAP1 and subsequent activation of the NRF2 antioxidant response is an established and promising avenue to treat chronic diseases induced by oxidative stress. Currently, strategies are focused on the development of small molecules that either covalently interact with key cysteines on KEAP1 or directly disrupt the interaction between the KEAP1 Kelch domain and NRF2 [14]. In addition to traditional inhibitors, the PROTAC technology developed over the past two decades provides another strategy that not only inhibits but also deletes the target protein from cells [15]. At the time that this research was completed, Du et al. reported on a CRBN-based KEAP1 heterobifunctional degrader but did not investigate the consequence of KEAP1 depletion on the NRF2 antioxidant pathway in cell assays [37]. In our research, we synthesized and characterized a series of CRBN-based PROTACs targeting KEAP1 for proteasomal degradation and performed various assays to evaluate the effect of KEAP1 degradation on the NRF2 signaling axis. Through medicinal chemistry efforts and optimization of PROTAC linker type and length, a potent heterobifunctional degrader of KEAP1 (14) was identified. PROTAC 14 showed potent degradation of KEAP1 in HEK293T and BEAS-2B cell lines (DC$_{50}$ 11 nM, D$_{max}$ 94% @ 1 μM and D$_{max}$ 90% @ 62.5 nM, respectively) and was benchmarked against the parental inhibitor 2 in different cell lines comparing the activation of the NRF2 signaling pathway. In HEK293T and HCA7 cell lines, 14 effectively decreased KEAP1 protein levels, but NRF2 mediated gene expression was inferior compared to the KEAP1 inhibitor 2. However, in the lung
Antioxidant proteins that were significantly changed following PROTAC expression to a similar level as the KEAP1 inhibitor -epithelial BEAS-2B cells, PROTAC KEAP1 degradation or inhibition rescues BEAS-2B cells from ROS-induced cell death following treatment with tBHP comparable to 2. The proteomics data confirmed that PROTAC 14 effectively reduced KEAP1 protein levels in cells and significantly increased the expression of proteins related to the NRF2 antioxidant response. Lastly, PROTAC 14 was shown to potently rescue BEAS-2B cells from ROS-induced cell death following treatment with tBHP comparable to 2.

The PROTACs investigated were less potent binders to KEAP1 than the parent KEAP1 inhibitor 2, as assessed via HTRF assay. In theory, PROTACs act by degrading the targeted protein via a catalytic mechanism, and therefore 14 would be expected to elicit equivalent or enhanced potency in activating the NRF2 antioxidant response compared to 2. However, PROTAC 14 was consistently less effective than the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 in HEK293T and HCA7 cells. We show that the passive cellular permeability of the KEAP1 inhibitor 2 and the PROATCs 8 and 14 as measured by PAMPA (Table S2) was not contributing to the cell activity differences observed. Considering, the low passive cell membrane permeability, compound 2 and PROATCs 8 and 14 have remarkably potent cell activity, and therefore it cannot be discounted that an active cell membrane transport mechanism (not measured by PAMPA) is contributing to cell activity and the differences observed with 14 (and 8) in comparison to 2. It is also possible that PROTAC 14 is degraded by cellular enzymes, however, compared to PROTAC 8 which has a cell stability liability, PROTAC 14 remains functional up to 72 h (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the formation of a ternary complex between PROTAC, E3 ligase, and the target protein is also a key factor in the observed degradative efficiency of a PROTAC. The ability of PROTAC 14 to induce an active ternary complex remains to be elucidated in further studies via SPR or X-ray crystallography and will be key to the future optimization of a KEAP1 targeted PROTAC.

We observed that PROTAC 14 was more potent in BEAS-2B cells compared to other cell lines. We rationalized that this could be due to the low basal expression level of KEAP1 in BEAS-2B cells (Fig. S9), compared to other cell lines. We show that the low intrinsic expression level of KEAP1 in BEAS-2B cells (Table S2) was not contributing to the differences observed with 14 as measured by PAMPA (Table S2) compared to 8. However, PROTAC 14 which has a cell stability liability, PROTAC 14 remains functional up to 72 h (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the formation of a ternary complex between PROTAC, E3 ligase, and the target protein is also a key factor in the observed degradative efficiency of a PROTAC. The ability of PROTAC 14 to induce an active ternary complex remains to be elucidated in further studies via SPR or X-ray crystallography and will be key to the future optimization of a KEAP1 targeted PROTAC.

KEAP1 forms a Cullin-Ring E3 ligase [11], and thus theoretically, may be able to degrade CRBN when both are recruited by the PROTAC. However, we did not observe CRBN degradation with our KEAP1-CRBN PROTACs (Fig. 5 and S8), suggesting that the CRBN E3 ligase complex was dominant over the KEAP1-Cul3/Rbx1 complex. This data is consistent with the results from Du et al. [37] showing KEAP1 is degraded by both KEAP1-CRBN and KEAP1-VHL PROTACs. Interestingly, the opposite was found for a CRBN-VHL PROTAC which degraded CRBN and not VHL [38-41], while the MDM2-CRBN PROTAC degraded MDM2 [42]. It is unknown why CRBN and VHL E3 ligases dominate over KEAP1, but KEAP1 was shown to have a modest degradative capacity as measured by PAMPA (Table S2) compared to other cell lines. We show that the low intrinsic expression level of KEAP1 in BEAS-2B cells (Table S2) was not contributing to the differences observed with 14 as measured by PAMPA (Table S2) compared to 8. However, PROTAC 14 which has a cell stability liability, PROTAC 14 remains functional up to 72 h (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the formation of a ternary complex between PROTAC, E3 ligase, and the target protein is also a key factor in the observed degradative efficiency of a PROTAC. The ability of PROTAC 14 to induce an active ternary complex remains to be elucidated in further studies via SPR or X-ray crystallography and will be key to the future optimization of a KEAP1 targeted PROTAC.
the E3 component in a PROTAC to degrade bromodomain and focal adhesion kinase proteins [21,37]. It is postulated that KEAP1 dimerization is required to achieve optimal degradative capacity, as seen with ubiquitination of the native KEAP1 substrate NRF2, with the PROTAC or ternary PROTAC complex needing to engage with both juxtaposed KEAP1-kelch molecules in the homodimeric degradative E3 complex [8,9]. Above all, further optimization of the linker to form an active ternary complex may be required to fully harness the E3 degradative ability of KEAP1 in a PROTAC strategy.

As proof-of-principle, we have demonstrated that PROTAC 14 can efficiently degrade KEAP1 in cells, however, further optimization is required to enhance cell permeability, target selectivity, and pharmacological properties such as metabolism that are an important considerations for efficacy in animal models. The optimization may include rigidifying the linker by introducing heterocyclic scaffolds such as piperazine and piperidines, a strategy that has been used previously to improve cell membrane permeability [43,44]. Carboxylic acid isosteres or a prodrug approach previously applied to KEAP1 inhibitors [35,36] could also be introduced to mask the polar carboxylic acid group to improve cell membrane permeability. Although the stability of the CRBN ligand was improved in our study, the thalidomide ligand could be replaced by other phenyl glutarimide analogs which have been reported to have greater metabolic stability [45]. To overcome undesirable off-target consequences of the CRBN ligand, recruitment of the VHL E3 ligase could be considered in the design of a KEAP1 heterobifunctional degrader [46]. Du et al. have shown that VHL-based PROTAC at high concentrations can degrade KEAP1 [37], proving a VHL-based concept but also suggesting further optimization is required. The incorporation of a VHL ligand may also favor the formation of an active ternary complex between the E3 ligase and KEAP1 to improve degradative efficiency. The switch to a VHL ligand will also enable optimization to be guided by biophysical and structural data [43], whereas this is challenging with CRBN because of the technical difficulties we experienced with the expression of recombinant CRBN constructs.

In conclusion, the KEAP1 targeted PROTAC designed here is a useful tool for studying the biological consequences of KEAP1 degradation. This work established the possibility of degrading KEAP1 as an alternative to existing KEAP1 therapeutic strategies that covalently or directly inhibit KEAP1. Further development of the KEAP1 bifunctional degrader is required to fully understand the implications of KEAP1 degradation in vitro and in animal models, working toward a new treatment for oxidative stress-induced disorders.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| ABHD4 | Alpha/beta-hydrolase domain containing 4 |
| ALDH3A1 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase 3A1 |
| ARE | Antioxidant response element |
| ARK1C | Aldo/keto reductase family1 member C1 |
| BSA | Bovine serum albumin |
| BTB | Broad complex, tramtrack and bric-à-brac |
| CALM1 | Calmodulin 1 |
| CRBN | Cereblon |
| E3 | Ubiquitin ligase |
| FACS | Fluorescence-activated cell sorting |
| FTL | Ferritin light chain |
| GCLM | Glutamate-cysteine ligase modifier subunit |
| HO-1 | Heme oxygenase-1 |
| HRP | Horse radish peroxidase |
| MiDs | Immunomodulatory drugs |
| IREB2 | Iron responsive element binding protein 2 |
| IVR | Intervening region |
| KBTBD4 | Kelch repeat and BTB domain containing 4 |
| KEAP1 | Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 |
| KLHDC2 | Kelch domain containing 2 |
| KLHL11 | Kelch like family member 11 |
| NQO1 | NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 |
| NRF2 | Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 |
| PAMPA | Parallel artificial membrane permeability assay |
| PARP16 | Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 16 |
| PI | Propidium iodide |
| PIR | Pirin |
| POI | Protein of interest |
| PROTAC | Proteolysis-targeting chimeras |
| RBX1 | RING-box protein 1 |
| RING | Really interesting new gene |
| ROS | Reactive oxygen species |
| SQSTM1 | Sequestosome 1 |
| SRXN1 | Sulforodxin 1 |
| TALDO1 | Transaldolase 1 |
| tBHP | tert-butyl hydroperoxide |
| TK2 | Thymidine kinase 2 |
| TOM | Thalidomide |
| TPD52L1 | Tumor protein D52 like 1 |
| TXNRD1 | Thioredoxin reductase 1 |
| UPS | Ubiquitin–proteasome system |
| VHL | Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor |
| ZFP91 | Zinc finger protein 91 |
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