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Abstract: Increase in population and the desire to seek new opportunities has contributed to urban growth, putting pressure on facilities in urban centres. Bungoma town, being the headquarter of Bungoma County has undergone radical changes in its physical form, not only in territorial expansion, but also through internal physical transformation. In the process of urbanization, physical characteristic of the town is gradually changing as cropland (agricultural land), vegetation and wetland has been converted to built-up areas. This new urban fabric needs to be analysed to understand the impact of these changes. The aim of this research was to evaluate the suitability of Bungoma town setting, model its growth and predict the future growth of the town based on land cover changes (1985-2015). Landsat satellite images were classified with five land cover classes followed by change detection. To simulate land cover map for Bungoma town in 2030, Markov Chain model and Cellular Automata Markov (CA-Markov) model were used. It was found that built-up area increased over the study period. The major contributors to this change are cropland, vegetation and wetland land cover types. The CA-Markov model results showed that 52% of the total study area will be converted into built-up area, 19% to cropland, 20% to vegetation, 5% to open spaces and 3% to wetland by 2030. This would have negative implication on food security in the region which is a major source of income for the inhabitants. There is need therefore for proper land use planning in the area. In addition, vertical urban development should be encouraged to control rapid expansion of the town.
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1. Introduction

Urbanization is one of the most evident human induced global changes worldwide. In the past 200 years, the world population has increased six times while urban population has multiplied 100 times [1]. Like many other towns in the world, Bungoma town has also face rapid growth without any systematic planning. The town has undergone radical changes in its physical form, not only in territorial expansion but also through internal physical transformation over the last decades [2]. These have created entirely new kinds of urban fabric.

According to Kenya’s Concept Paper on National Spatial Plan, Kenya’s population is quickly urbanizing and it is estimates that about 50% of the total population would live in urban areas by the year 2050 [3]. Even though urbanization is fundamental to modernization, economic growth, and development, but at the same time, it has some repercussions on the physical environment [17-18]. Urban areas are already showing signs of strain resulting from high population growth that are not commensurate with infrastructure, service provision, and employment creation. Nearly all Kenyan towns are characterized by serious urban sprawl, poverty, informality, and environmental deterioration, among other negative attributes. In the last 30-40 years, Bungoma has experienced tremendous growth with an average population annual growth rate of 3.2% which is higher
compared to Kenya average national growth of 3.4% [6]. It is
evident that land use conversion and management in the
urban fringes has been of great concern all over the world. In
Kenya, for instance, authorities responsible for land use
management and other stakeholders are facing a dilemma as
to what would be the most economically viable,
technologically feasible, and sustainable land uses in fertile
urban rural fringes. According to Musa and Odera, (2015),
understanding the changes is critical for planners and
resource managers as this will help control and restrict urban
growth from eating into the vital but scarce agricultural land.
Several approaches have been used to integrate GIS with
urban growth modelling [9]. Cellular automata Markov
chain, urban land-use modeling has been explored in Idrisi
software in a couple of studies [10]. Recently significant
effort has been made to integrate urban models in open
source modelling platforms such as XULU (eXtendable
Unified Land Use) [7, 11]. The current GIS urban modelling
techniques are technology driven and further refinement in
understanding urban forms is necessary. Different cities have
different driving factors of urban land use change. The tools
should help in designing effective urban planning policies for
sustainable development to be achieved. With the shift of
urban modelling from the conventional top-down approach to
the current practices in addressing localities, models such as
those using diffusion-limited aggregation and cellular
automata techniques have demonstrated considerable
potential in the mutual benefits of urban modelling and GIS
[8].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in Bungoma County, Kenya.
Bungoma town is located 450 km from the Kenyan capital
Nairobi, on the Great North road to Kampala in Uganda. Its
geographical coordinates are latitude 0.5694°N, longitude
34.5611°E. The area covers approximately 59.97 km².

2.2. Data Collection

Topographical maps, soils, Geology, Digital Elevation
Model (DEM), River Network, Road Network and Landsat
satellite images of 1985, 2000 and 2015 downloaded from
U.S. Geological Survey website were used in this study.

Landsat satellite images of 30m resolution for the years
1985, 2000 and 2015 were collected from the official website
of US Geological Survey (USGS) and processed. Pre-
processing involved layer stacking, image enhancement and
sub-setting the area of interest. The clipped images were then
classified into five land cover types using ERDAS imagine
software. This resulted into various land cover maps. Google
earth and GPS points were used to determine classification
accuracy. The overall accuracy assessment range of 72% to
82% was achieved. In addition, the study used different
parameters such as distance from transportation network, soil
type, geology and slope to provide a good understanding of
the suitable location of the town using Multi-Criteria
Decision Model (MCDA) and Geographical Information
System (GIS).

Markov Chain and CA-Markov model Modules found in
IDRISI Kilimanjaro were utilized to simulate the direction of
future growth and development in Bungoma town. Three
types of data inputs were required, which include Markov
transition areas file, a collection of suitability maps, and
classified land-cover maps of 1985, 2000, and 2015 [5],
[15].The land-cover maps were generated through
classification of satellite images, while the suitability maps
were generated by aggregation of maps (factors and
constraints) through multi-criteria evaluation (MCE) as
explained by Bozkaya et al, (2015). Additionally, the
transition areas files were prepared through Markov module
of the model [4].
3. Results

In this research, three change detection analyses over time were considered. This included changes between 1985-2000, 2000-2015 and 1985-2015.

The land cover classification statistics summary is given in Table 1. Figure 3 shows spatial changes that were experienced throughout the study period.

From Table 1, it is clear that over the years 1985 to 2015 cropland areas increased in large percentage from 21.56% to 55.62% followed by built-up 0.41% to 13.76%. It was also noted that vegetation and wetland decreased in high rate from 63.10% to 20.52% and from 12.89% to 1.69% respectively. Open space land cover type increased by 5.95%. The negative sign implies that the land use is decreasing.

3.1. Gains and Losses by Category

From Figure 4, built-up and Cropland (agricultural area) increased over the years within Bungoma township. This means that large new area was transformed into built-up and Cropland (agricultural area) from vegetation land, open space and wetland. The analysis also indicates that vegetation land cover type decreased in large percentage followed by wetland in all the years. Therefore, an abrupt increase in built-up and agricultural area and decrease in vegetation land cover type is quite clear from this analysis.
3.2. Contributors to Net Change in Built-up Area

Figure 5 shows that vegetation land is mostly converted to built-up area followed by cropland, Open space and wetland, throughout the study period. Increase in population has resulted to vegetation clearing for farming and settlement.

3.3. Transition to Built-Up Area

From Figure 6, it is clear that agricultural (cropland) area and vegetation land near the town is transiting to built-up area as it expand towards north, east and west. Though cropland around the town is transiting to built-up, it has maintained its increase because it has gained from vegetation land type.
3.4. Gains and Losses in Land Cover Types

Based on analysis of Figure 7 and considering the built-up area, the southern part of Bungoma town has experienced a few changes while the north, east and west parts have converted to built-up areas. In these region most of agricultural land and wetland has been converted to built-up areas. It is also noted that there is a massive decrease of wetland along the rivers.

3.5. Analysis of Land Suitability for Urban Development

From suitability analysis, only approximately 4% of the study area is suitable for urban development. The areas that is moderately suitable for urban development is 71% and unsuitable area is approximately 25%. The unsuitable areas for urban development includes areas of Musikoma hills, and wet land along rivers Sio and Khalaba. In this area, urban development should be discouraged. Kanduyi junction and town centre present areas suitable for urban development hence urban densification should be encouraged. Moderately suitable areas cover agricultural land and vegetation land cover (see Figure 8).

3.6. Model Validation and Future Land Use Change Prediction Analysis

Markov Chain and CA-Markov model Modules found in IDRIS Kilimanjaro were used to predict and simulate the direction of future growth and development in Bungoma town. These models were based on using and evaluating land use/land cover maps of previous years and further predict the spatial distribution of future land uses/land cover. The simulated land use changes were developed in IDRISI to monitor the patterns of spatial urban land use. In this study, land use/land cover maps of 1985 and 2000 were selected as input into the Markov chain model to produce a transition matrix Table 2 and conditional probability images for five land categories by analyzing two qualitative land cover images from two different dates.

From the Transition matrix and aggregated suitability maps created from conditional probability images, future land use/land cover of 2015 was predicted using a base map of 2000 in a span of 15 years (Figure 9). The predicted land cover map of 2015 was validated with the classified land cover map of the same year as shown in Figure 10.
3.7. Per Category Kappa Statistics

The validation was performed using the VALIDATE module of the IDRISI software. The approach involves statistical analysis that indicates the level of agreement between the simulated and classified land-cover map with regard to the quantity of cells in each class as well as the level of agreement between the two maps with regard to the location of the cell in each class [5, 7, 16].
Figure 9. Classified Map (2015) Versus Predicted Map (2015).

\[ K = \frac{P(A) - P(E)}{1 - P(E)} \]  
(1)

\[ K_{location} = \frac{P(A) - P(E)}{P(max) - P(E)} \]  
(2)

\( Kno \) gives the overall accuracy of similarity between two maps. It is defined according to Equation (1) where \( P(A) \) is the proportion of cases in agreement (i.e., correctly allocated) and \( P(E) \) is the proportion of agreement that is expected by chance [12].

\( K_{location} \) is a measure of the similarity of the spatial location of categories of the two compared maps [12]. \( P(max) \) gives the maximal similarity that can be found based upon the total number of cells allocated to each category. \( K_{location} \) is calculated according to Equation (2):

The kappa coefficients of per category show high values (Table 4). This proves that agreement between the two maps is substantial. Only wetland type is showing moderate value.

Using the classified images of 2000 and 2015 in a period of 15 years, the land use/land cover of 2030 was projected and analyzed.

Table 4. Per Category Kappa (K) Statistics

|          | Built-up | Cropland | Vegetation | Open Space | Wetland |
|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|---------|
| Kno      | 0.7944   | 0.9582   | 0.6621     | 0.6797     | 0.5548  |
| Klocation| 0.8448   | 0.9456   | 0.8613     | 0.8954     | 0.9235  |

3.8. CA-Markov Projected Land Cover Map of Bungoma Town (2030)

At the end, Markov transition probability matrix, Markov transition area matrix (Table 5, Table 6), all the suitability images, the CA contiguity filter and the base map (classified map of 2015) were used to project the final land cover map of 2030 (Figure 11).

Table 5. Markov Probability of Changing among Land Cover Types (2000-2015).

|          | Built-up | Cropland | Vegetation | Open Space | Wetland |
|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|---------|
| Built-up | 0.8315   | 0.0791   | 0.0171     | 0.1358     | 0.0012  |
| Cropland | 0.4345   | 0.4756   | 0.3113     | 0.4062     | 0.0462  |
| Vegetation| 0.1685    | 0.4275   | 0.3597     | 0.286      | 0.0042  |
| Open Space| 0.0298    | 0.0146   | 0.1902     | 0.0042     | 0.3024  |
| Wetland  | 0.029    | 0.0638   | 0.0029     | 0.3024     | 0.3024  |
Table 6. Cells Expected to Transition to Different Classes (2000-2015).

|         | Built-up | Cropland | Vegetation | Open Space | Wetland |
|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------|---------|
| Built-up| 1696     | 0        | 344        | 0          | 0       |
| Cropland| 2150     | 11807    | 11617      | 810        | 789     |
| Vegetation| 480      | 13313    | 12006      | 410        | 1786    |
| Open Space| 167      | 382      | 441        | 233        | 4       |
| Wetland | 7        | 2185     | 1539       | 23         | 1627    |

Figure 11. CA-Markov Projected Land Cover Map of Bungoma town (2030). Analysis of the Projected Map of 2030 shows that the area under study will be occupied by land cover types as follows: 52% built-up area, 19% cropland, 20% vegetation, 5% open space and 3% wetland (Figure 11). These changes could be attributed to increased population and faster economic development in the town.

4. Conclusions

Land use and land cover changes have wide range of consequences at all spatial and temporal scales. Identifying the complex interaction between changes and its drivers over space and time is important to predict future developments, set decision making mechanisms and construct alternative scenarios. In the period 1985 to 2015, Cropland area increased in huge percentage from 21.56% to 34.06%) followed by built-up 0.41% to 13.76%. It is also noted that vegetation and wetland decreased in good rate from 63.10% to 42.58% and from 12.89% to 11.20% respectively. Suitability map reveals the three different suitability categories that only approximately 4% of the study area is suitable for urban development. Areas that is moderately suitable for urban development is 71% and unsuitable areas consist approximately 25%. The predicted map of 2030 reveals that the area under study will be occupied by land cover types as follows: 52% built-up area, 19% cropland, 20% vegetation, 5% open space and 3% wetland (See Figure 11). These changes could be related to increment of population and faster economic development in Bungoma town. From this study it is important to note that CA provides important spatio-temporal information of land use and land cover changes especially on urban areas. It also provides the possibility to understand the influence of urban dynamics supported by a set of drivers. Model results have shown remarkable changes in built up areas between the study periods.
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