COVID-19: pathogenesis, advances in treatment and vaccine development and environmental impact—an updated review
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Abstract
Diseases negatively impact the environment, causing many health risks and the spread of pollution and hazards. A novel coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has led to a recent respiratory syndrome epidemic in humans. In December 2019, the sudden emergence of this new coronavirus and the subsequent severe disease it causes created a serious global health threat and hazards. This is in contrast to the two aforementioned coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 (in 2002) and middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus MERS-CoV (in 2012), which were much more easily contained. The World Health Organization (WHO) dubbed this contagious respiratory disease an “epidemic outbreak” in March 2020. More than 80 companies and research institutions worldwide are working together, in cooperation with many governmental agencies, to develop an effective vaccine. To date, six authorized vaccines have been registered. Up till now, no approved drugs and drug scientists are racing from development to clinical trials to find new drugs for COVID-19. Wild animals, such as snakes, bats, and pangolins are the main sources of coronaviruses, as determined by the sequence homology between MERS-CoV and viruses in these animals. Human infection is caused by inhalation of respiratory droplets. To date, the only available treatment protocol for COVID-19 is based on the prevalent clinical signs. This review aims to summarize the current information regarding the origin, evolution, genomic organization, epidemiology, and molecular and cellular characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 as well as the diagnostic and treatment approaches for COVID-19 and its impact on global health, environment, and economy.
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Introduction
In late December 2019, a disease outbreak caused by a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) started in Wuhan, China, and rapidly spread to more than 200 countries (Lai et al. 2020). By the 11th of February 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced the official name for the disease that is caused by this new coronavirus, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Later, the causative virus was named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by the Coronavirus Study Group (CSG) of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), which is responsible for the official classification of viruses and viral taxonomy (Yu et al. 2020). Subsequently, due to its rapid spread across many countries, this epidemic of SARS-CoV-2 infection was classified as a pandemic by the WHO on March 11, 2020 (Gautret et al. 2020).

The name SARS-CoV-2 covers the evolutionary history of coronavirus that causes severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Similar to other coronaviruses (CoVs), SARS-CoV-2 particles are spherical (when imaged at the atomic
level using cryogenic electron microscopy) and have spike proteins projecting from their surface (Kaniyala Melanthota et al. 2020). These spikes allow the virus to fuse with the host cell membrane after binding to human cells; then, they undergo structural changes (Xu et al. 2020a). Through protein modeling experiments, Zhang et al. (2020a) revealed that SARS-CoV-2 has sufficient affinity for angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which is found on the surface of human alveolar cells II, to suggest their involvement in the mechanism of viral entry (Xiu et al. 2020).

Coronaviruses are positive-sense single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) enveloped viruses, with a size range 65–125 nm in diameter (Pal et al. 2020). Coronaviruses belong to the Coronavirinae family, Coronaviridae subfamily, and order Nidovirales (Pooladanda et al. 2020). Numerous large prominent projections are present on the outer surface of the viral envelope, giving the virus a crown-shaped appearance (in Latin, corona means crown) (Li et al. 2020). A previous study conducted by Enjuanes et al. (2006) showed that coronaviruses are classified into four major genera: alpha (α), beta (β), gamma (γ), and delta (δ). While alpha (α) and beta (β) strains of coronavirus infect mammals, causing mild to severe respiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms, gamma (γ) coronavirus strains infect avian species, and delta (δ) coronavirus strains infect both mammals and avian species. Cui et al. (2019) reported that, so far, seven coronavirus strains have been identified that cause human infections, including four human CoVs (HCoV-NL-63, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, and HKU1), SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2. The four human CoV viruses cause mild respiratory and gastrointestinal infections. Only SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 have been reported to cause severe lower respiratory tract infections that can lead to pneumonia (Khan et al. 2020).

The spread of the novel coronavirus, at different time intervals, posed serious health threats to both humans and animals, and has led to severe economic losses and considerable environmental changes (Dhama et al. 2020a; Swelum et al. 2020). In late December 2019, in Wuhan City, Hubei province, China, several people suffering from severe pneumonia due to unknown etiology were reported (Qun 2020). Enjuanes et al. (2006) previously carried out a genomic sequencing study on an unknown etiological pathogen isolated from these patients with pneumonia, which revealed a virus with 79.5% identity to SARS-CoV. Chinese scientists first named this virus novel Coronavirus-2019 (nCoV-2019). From December 31, 2019, to February 8, 2021, the WHO reported 106,008,943 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 2,316,389 million deaths due to this disease, for a mortality rate of 2.19%.

An epidemic outbreak of SARS-CoV beginning in Guangdong province, China, affected people in 32 countries and resulted in more than 8,422 cases and 919 deaths (a case fatality rate of 11%) (WHO 2003). In 2012, the emergence and spread of MERS-CoV from Saudi Arabia to 27 nations resulted in 2,449 cases and 858 deaths (a case fatality rate of 34.4%) (Singal et al. 2020). Similarly, Jung and Saif (2015) reported that, in 2013, the emergence and spread of porcine epidemic diarrhea coronavirus (PEDV) in the USA killed more than 10% of the piglet population under 1-year old. This virus has a high fatality rate, almost 100%, and seriously impacted pig farming in the USA.

**Structure of SARS-CoV-2**

Coronaviruses are round in shape, with an average diameter of 80–120 nm, and possess numerous club-shaped (17–20 nm) glycoprotein spike-like projections on the surface of their viral envelope. The virus particle contains four major structural proteins, glycoprotein spikes (S), an envelope protein (E), matrix protein (M), and nucleocapsid (N) protein. Nonstructural proteins (nsps), such as 3-chymotrypsin-like protease, papain-like protease, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, are also encoded by the open reading frame (ORF) region.

Chan et al. (2020), Wu et al. (2020), and Yang et al. (2020a) demonstrated that the glycoprotein spikes mediate viral attachment to host cell receptors, depending upon the receptor-binding domain (RBD). Depending on their attachment, the S protein is cleaved into two subunits, namely, the N-terminal S1 and C-terminal S2 subunits, by a host protease. The S1 subunit contains a signal peptide and receptor-binding domain (RBD). The S2 subunit contains a conserved fusion peptide (FP), heptad repeat (HR) peptides, a transmembrane domain (TM), and a cytoplasmic domain. The S1 subunit of SARS-CoV-2 showed a 70% identity to the S1 subunits of Beta coronaviruses (SARS-CoV) isolated from humans and bats (Jin et al. 2020).

Xia et al. (2020) found that the S1 subunit, which contains the RBD, mediates viral attachment to ACE2, which is the key receptor used to infect human cells. Xia et al. (2020) demonstrated that the S2 subunit plays an important role in mediating viral fusion and entry into the host cell; heptad repeats 1 and 2 (HR1, HR2) can interact with six helical bundles, thereby bringing the viral and cellular membranes close enough to promote fusion. The ACE2-binding affinity of the RBD in the S1 subunit of SARS-CoV-2 is 10–20-fold higher than that of SARS-CoV (Xia et al. 2020), leading to the higher infectivity and transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 (Walls et al. 2020). The M glycoproteins are preglycosylated polypeptides, with a size range of 25–30 kDa (221–262 amino acids), that give shape to the viral envelope (Armstrong et al. 1984).

The E protein is a small polypeptide, 8.4–12 kDa in length (76–109 amino acids), that is an integral membrane protein (Sohag et al. 2020). Godet et al. (1992) reported that E protein facilitates assembly of the virus particle. The helical N protein,
43–50 kDa in size, encloses the long unsegmented negative-strand RNA genome (Chang et al. 2006). N protein is the most abundant and is a highly immunogenic phosphorous protein of SARS-CoV-2 making it an ideal marker for diagnostic assays. A fifth structural protein called hemagglutinin (HE) projects from the surface of the viral envelope and enhances S-protein-mediated cell entry through the mucosa (Fehr and Perlman 2015). Recently, Chen et al. (2020a) described the SARS-CoV-2 virion as a 50–200-nm diameter, positivesense, single-stranded RNA betacoronavirus. Additionally, Li and De Clercq (2020) reported high similarity with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV.

**Genome structure of SARS-CoV-2**

The genomes of coronaviruses range from 29.9 to 30 kb and consist of a long, nonsegmented, single-stranded, positive-sense RNA (++ssRNA) with a 5′ cap and a 3′ polyadenylated (poly-A) tail, and encode 9,860 amino acids (WHO 2003; Chang et al. 2006; Gorbalenya et al. 2020). The 5′- and 3′-UTRs of SARS-CoV-2 are 265 and 358 nucleotides long, respectively. The UTR sequences at the 5′ and 3′ termini of SARS-CoV-2 are >83.6% identical to those of other betacoronaviruses (Chan et al. 2020; Kumar et al. 2020).

Recent studies conducted by Chan et al. (2020) and Wu et al. (2020) showed that the SARS-CoV-2 genome contains 14–16 ORFs (ORF1a/b, ORF3a, ORF6, ORF7, ORF8, and ORF9) encoding 27 proteins. ORF1a/b, located at the 5′ terminus, accounts for two-thirds of the length of the genome and encodes 15–16 nsps (nsp1–10 and nsp12–16). Devi et al. (2020) reported that frame-shift signals located between ORF1a and ORF1b lead to the production of two polypeptides, pp1a and pp1ab, that are processed either by viral-encoded chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) or main protease (Mpro) into 16 nsps that aid in viral replication. The other ORFs account for the remaining one-third of the viral genome near the 3′-terminus, and they encode four structural proteins (S, E, M, and N), and 5–8 accessory proteins (ORF3a, ORF3b, P6, ORF6, ORF7a/b, ORF8b, and ORF9b and ORF1).

According to Pachetti et al. (2020), the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRps), also named nsp12, is a key component of the virus replication/transcription machinery that shares high homology with nsp12 from SARS-CoV, suggesting conserved function. Xia et al. (2020) revealed that the viral RNA genome is used as a template for direct translation of the poly-protein 1a/1ab (pp1a/pp1ab), which encodes the nsps that form the replication-transcription complex.

Genomic organization and structural features of SARS-CoV-2 are represented in Fig. 1.

**Origins of coronaviruses**

Coronaviruses causing human infections originate from wild animals, including bats, pangolins, and camels and are transmitted to humans through intermediate hosts, such as civet cats, camels, and pangolins (Dhama et al. 2020b; Konda et al. 2020; Yuan et al. 2020). Cauuchemez et al. (2013), Zhou et al. (2020), and Wu et al. (2020) reported that SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV originated from bats and were transmitted to humans through intimate contact with other hosts, including civet cats and camels.

The origin of SARS-CoV-2 is still unclear and has been debated among researchers while cross-species jumping has been implicated in its origin, and this virus has been reported from cats, dogs, tigers, lions, and minks (Dhama et al. 2020b; Tiwari et al. 2020). According to Andersen et al. (2020), there are two theories on the origin of SARS-CoV-2.

First theory: a few scientists believe that SARS-CoV-2 emerged through laboratory manipulations of SARS-CoV-like coronaviruses. The RBD of SARS-CoV-2 is optimized for binding ACE-2, which is distinct from other coronaviruses (Astuti and Ysrafil 2020; Zhang et al. 2020a). However, the genetic data on SARS-CoV-2 does not show any evidence of a laboratory origin.

Second theory: SARS-CoV-2 is thought to have originated from the wet seafood market in Wuhan, China (Wu et al. 2020). Wild animals sold in the market may be a source of infection. Genomic sequencing of a virus from *Rhinolophus affinis* bat (bat-RaTG13) showed 96% identity with the genomic sequence of SARS-CoV-2 (Chibber et al. 2020). Similarly, genome sequencing of a pangolin coronavirus obtained from illegally imported Malayan pangolins in Guangdong province, China, had a sequence identical to that of SARS-CoV-2. However, Zhang et al. (2020b) showed that the genomic sequence of bat-RaTG13 is more similar to that of SARS-CoV-2 than to the pangolin coronavirus. Most researchers agree that bats or pangolins are the primary reservoirs of coronaviruses, but the transmission route of SARS-CoV-2 to humans from this primary reservoir is still under study (Dhama et al. 2020b; Hafez and Attia 2020).

**Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 and organs affected**

Coronaviruses infect the lower respiratory tract as well as other organs using ACE2 as a key cell receptor for infection (Dhama et al. 2020c; Ni et al. 2020). Human infection occurs following the inhalation of respiratory droplets produced by symptomatic and asymptomatic infected patients (Cauuchemez et al. 2013; Astuti and Ysrafil 2020). Moreover, Li et al. (2003) and Shang et al. (2020) reported that SARS-CoV-2, SARS, and HCoV-NL63 utilized ACE2 as a key receptor, while MERS-CoV uses dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) as a key receptor for host cell attachment and infection.
Li et al. (2019), Skariyachan et al. (2019), and Xia et al. (2020) reported that coronavirus entry also depends on cellular proteases, including human airway trypsin-like protease (HAT), cathepsins, and transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2), which cleave the viral spike protein leading to further structural changes that enhance infection. Mousavizadeh and Ghasemi (2020) and Shang et al. (2020) reported that entry and attachment of the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 to the host cell receptor (ACE2) result in viral fusion with the host cell membrane and release of the viral RNA into the host cell cytoplasm. The sites of SARS-CoV-2 infection include body organs with ACE2 receptors, such as the lower respiratory tract, kidney, heart, liver, and intestinal tract (Chiocchetti et al. 2020; Dhama et al. 2020c). Moreover, Mousavizadeh and Ghasemi (2020) stated that cytoplasmic viral replication and transcription involved a coordinated process of RNA synthesis, mediated by viral replicase, which is a huge protein complex encoded by the 20-kb replicase gene. The viral structural proteins (S, E, M, and N) and nonstructural proteins (viral nucleic acid RNA, and other enzymes) are processed in the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus of the host cell. These proteins assemble at the host cell membrane to form mature viral progeny, which are released through the secretory vesicles via exocytosis (Li et al. 2019) and can infect surrounding cells. Some of these viral progeny may enter the bloodstream, causing primary viremia.

A recent study by Dhama et al. (2020c) demonstrated that dissemination and lodgment of the virus in different body organs (i.e., the heart, kidney, intestine, and liver) containing ACE2 receptors trigger strong host immune responses, causing uncontrolled production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IFN-α, INF-γ, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-18, IL-3, TNF-α, TGF-β, IL-2, IL-10, MCP1, IL-1RA, GCSF, IP-10, MCAP-1, and MIP-1α, and chemokines, such as CCL2, CCL3, CXCL8, CXCL9, and CXCL10, into the blood. Prompetchara et al. (2020) added that this uncontrolled production of chemokines and cytokines causes pulmonary/alveolar tissue damage, leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and after ends with the death of the patient. Immunopathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 is illustrated in Fig. 2.

**Incubation period**

The incubation period for SARS-CoV is 2–7 days and may extend up to 10 days and is 2–14 days for MERS-CoV (Leung et al. 2004).

**Symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 viral infection**

COVID-19 can induce relatively nonspecific symptoms, and some infected people may be entirely asymptomatic.
However, fever is the most common symptom (88%), followed by dry cough (68%). Fatigue, sore throat, phlegm or respiratory sputum production, shortness of breath, loss of the sense of smell, muscular and articular pain, headache, chills, hemoptysis, and diarrhea are other symptoms, as well as vomiting and cyanosis, which are less common, and the disease signs are more severe in immunocompromised patients (Dhama et al. 2020c; Di Wu et al. 2020; Fung et al. 2020).

Children appear to experience a milder form of COVID-19 than do adults (Dong et al. 2020a; Garazzino et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2020a). Even in immunocompromised children due to different underlying conditions, the course of illness is benign and mild symptoms or asymptomatic are present (El Dannan et al. 2020).

Changes in host cell immunity caused by SARS-CoV-2

The role of herd immunity or both cell-mediated and humoral immune responses during SARS-CoV-2 infections is not yet precisely illustrated. However, SARS-CoV-2 might induce a T-cell-mediated protective immune response.

Recent studies by Shi et al. (2019), Andersen et al. (2020), and Diao et al. (2020) revealed that, upon entry and replication of SARS-CoV-2, uncontrolled stimulation of CD4+ and CD8+ cells can result in the production of large amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, GCSF, IP-10, and MCP-1) and macrophage inflammatory proteins (IFN α, INF-γ, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL18, IL-3, TNF-α, TGF-β, IL-2, IL-10, MCP1, and IL-1RA) in the patient’s blood, in addition to chemokines (CCL2, CCL3, CXCL8, CXCL9, and CXCL10), which might play a significant role in the progression of COVID-19. It is crucial to identify the functions of these cytokines, and the different viral mechanisms that cause tissue damage and contribute to multiple organ failure in patients with severe COVID-19 (Dhama et al. 2020c; Keam et al. 2020).

Diao et al. (2020) and Qin et al. (2020) reported that the sustained, substantial reduction in peripheral lymphocyte counts, mainly CD4+, CD8+, NK, and B cells, in COVID-
19 patients, suggest possible suppression of the cellular immune response with disease progression. This progression is associated with a high risk of developing secondary bacterial infections. This reduction in peripheral lymphocyte counts is known as lymphopenia; however, the underlying mechanism is unknown. Samaddar et al. (2020) reported that the humoral immune response also plays an important role in controlling COVID-19. IgM antibodies start to appear within 7–14 days after viral infection and then gradually decrease with the progression of the disease. IgG antibodies start to appear in the patient’s blood after 14 days and remain for an extended time period. The sustainable existence of IgG antibodies against the viral S-protein as a specific neutralizing antibody response plays an important role in determining disease outcomes.

**Reproduction number and transmission rate**

Fraser et al. (2009) defined the virus reproduction rate (R0) as the expected number of cases directly generated by one case in a population where all individuals are susceptible to infection. Recently, Read et al. (2020) demonstrated that viruses with an R0 value >1 cause serious epidemics or pandemics. In contrast, an R0 value <1 guarantees that the transmission will fade. SARS-CoV-2 has R0 values greater than one, typically in the range of 3.3–5.5, which is slightly higher than that of SARS-CoV (R0 2–5) (Chen et al. 2020b; Liu et al. 2020a). The R0 of MERS-CoV was 0.69 (95% CI 0.42–0.80), which is relatively low, but still poses a risk of causing a pandemic. The R0 of influenza virus is 1.1–2.3.

**Clinical picture**

According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the clinical spectrum of COVID-19 varies from asymptomatic to symptomatic and is classified as mild, moderate, and severe based on the clinical spectrum (Pan et al. 2020). Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19) typically present with high-grade fever, a dry cough, malaise, and dyspnea as major symptoms, and with headache, nasal congestion, runny nose, sore throat, myalgia, vomiting, and diarrhea as minor symptoms (Dhama et al. 2020c; Hoang et al. 2020).

Huang et al. (2020a) and Yang et al. (2020b) showed that the occurrence and frequency of the clinical manifestations of COVID-19 varied in different regions. Patients with severe COVID-19 develop pneumonia (Vabret et al. 2020), and death occurs due to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), septicemia, and multiple organ failure (Huang et al. 2020b).

**Epidemiology**

An early epidemiological investigation on the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in mainland China suggested that the infection spread from the local Huanan seafood market in Wuhan, Hubei province, China. A cluster of pneumonia cases was reported in the last week of December 2019. Since then, the virus has spread rapidly, infecting millions of people. This drew global health attention to SARS-CoV-2.

**Patients**

On December 8, 2020, the WHO reported 66,729,375 confirmed cases and more than 1,535,982 deaths due to COVID-19, for a global mortality rate of 2.30% (WHO 2020). Recent studies from the CDC (2020) and Raoult et al. (2020) demonstrated that COVID-19 cases varied among different nations from different continents. American and European countries were more affected by COVID-19 than Asian and African countries. The highest mortality rates due to COVID-19 were reported in people with distinct chronic diseases, comorbidities, and weak immune systems (Arumugam et al. 2020).

**Geographical distribution**

In late December 2019, a new coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, emerged in Wuhan City province in mainland China. By mid-January 2020, an epidemic outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 had spread to other cities of China, infecting thousands of people (Hafez and Attia 2020). By the third week of February 2020, there was a sudden increase in COVID-19 cases in South Korea and Italy, which verified the global spread of the epidemic (Zhang et al. 2020b).

The virus has spread to 215 countries across the world (Chiocchetti et al. 2020). There is no clear evidence as to why the pathogenicity and infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 varied in different countries, but the variation may be associated with a combination of factors, including difference in national strategies adopted to restrict people’s movement, isolation and quarantine procedures, genetic differences, and population immunity (Kolifarhood et al. 2020; Pachetti et al. 2020). Generally, the American and European countries were more severely affected than Asian and African countries which may be attributed to many factors as older population, weak public support, and unfavorable cold and humid climate (WHO 2020). In 2002–2003, SARS-CoV-1 virus emerged in Guangdong, China, and spread to 29 countries, including Canada, Hong Kong, Chinese Taipei, Singapore, and Vietnam, infecting about 8,098 people and resulting in 774 deaths (Ding et al. 2003).

**Age distribution and sex ratio**

According to the CDC (2020) and Verity et al. (2020), hospitalization due to COVID-19 increased with age, with a 1% chance for individuals 20–29 years, 4% for individuals 50–59 years, and 18% for those older than 80 years. The 30–79-
year age group was found to be more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Males are more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection than females (Vellingiri et al. 2020). Raoult et al. (2020) revealed that the male to female ratio for SARS-CoV-2 infection was 0.99:1 in Wuhan and 1.041 in China. Onder et al. (2020) reported that the highest mortality rate due to SARS-CoV-2 infection was in populations 70–80 years of age.

Deaths, case fatality rates, and mortality

American (USA and Brazil), India, Russian Federation, and European (UK, France, Spain, and Italy) countries reported the highest fatalities. China reported 4,440 deaths, which is the highest death rate among Asian countries. The highest mortality rate, 14.8%, was reported in patients aged ≥80 years.

Recently, the CDC (2020) and Raoult et al. (2020) reported a 2.8% fatality rate in males, compared with 1.7% in females. Epidemiological analysis of new corona pneumonia cases by the CDC (2020) in Mainland China reported higher fatality rates in people with distinct underlying preconditions including 10.5% for cardiovascular disease, 7.3% for diabetes, 6.3% for chronic respiratory diseases, 6% for hypertension, and 5.6% for cancer.

In the USA, the CDC reported that 80% of the deaths associated with COVID-19 were among adults older than 65 years. The fatality rate due to SARS-CoV-2 infection was lower than that for MERS-CoV (34%) and SARS-CoV (9.6%), but the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 is very high and is constantly increasing, which raises a red flag from an epidemiological point of view.

Epidemiological curve

From December 2019 to the October 6, 2020, SARS-CoV-2 infections increased across the globe, with various epicenters. In an earlier outbreak, Wuhan City was the epicenter, which then shifted to South Korea, Italy, Spain, and the USA. Countries such as China, Italy, Saudi Arabia, and South Korea were able to control the spread of SARS-CoV-2. Currently, countries in America and Europe are more severely affected, with the highest case numbers and death rates (WHO 2020).

SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis

The CDC recommends the collection of upper respiratory tract specimens (nasal, nasopharyngeal, or oropharyngeal swab) and lower respiratory samples, such as expectorated productive cough and broncho-alveolar lavages, from patients who are intubated. However, collection of these clinical specimens requires direct patient contact, which possesses a high risk of transmission to the healthcare workers.

Many diagnostic tools have been developed to detect the presence of SARS-CoV-2 to differentiate the diagnosis of this viral disease from common cold infections (Afzal 2020; Cheng et al. 2020; Karthik et al. 2020; Kubina and Dziedzic 2020).

Among these tools is (1) real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR). Ai et al. (2020) reported that respiratory samples such as nasopharyngeal swabs and oropharyngeal sputum or swabs could be used in this test to detect the presence of viral RNA. The test takes 3 h to 2 days, with an average time of 7 h, to obtain results; (2) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), which is a serological assay that can be used to detect the presence of recent viral infections, yields results within 2 days (Chhikara et al. 2020), and (3) computed tomography (CT), which is a helpful tool for COVID-19 diagnosis (Salehi et al. 2020). Typical features of CT in patients with COVID-19 include bilateral multilobar ground glass opacities, with peripheral, asymmetric, and/or posterior distribution. Lee et al. (2020b) added that subpleural dominance, crazy paving, and consolidation might develop as the disease evolves.

Diagnosis methods of SARS-CoV-2 are illustrated in Fig. 3.

Laboratory findings

Blood and biochemical tests

Recently, Fan et al. (2020) reported that hematological examination of COVID-19 patients showed lymphopenia, with a decrease in CD4+ and CD8+ cells, eosinopenia, and an increase in the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR). Similarly, Mardani et al. (2020) reported that biochemical analysis of patient serum showed increases in serum aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), bilirubin, and prolactin as well as elevated levels of inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and ferritin.

Molecular and serological tests

Recently, the CDC (2020) recommended using a qRT-PCR assay to detect and quantitatively analyze viral nucleic acids from clinical specimens. This assay can produce a report within 4–6 h. It is a sensitive method that is widely used in laboratories worldwide.

Serological diagnostic tests, such as ELISA and rapid diagnostic tests (RDT), are also used to detect anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and antigens in patient blood samples (Carter et al. 2020). ELISA can be used to detect the N and S antigens of SARS-CoV-2. It is based on sandwich ELISA and allows rapid quantification of the N and S antigens. RDTs are used to detect IgM and IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in
patient serum. The tests are a rapid method that can produce a report within 10–15 min and require a limited instrument.

However, its diagnostic use for detecting acute SARS-CoV-2 infection may be limited. The SARS-CoV-2 RDT kit has a sensitivity of 89% and ~91% specificity (Li et al. 2019). Currently, the WHO and CDC do not recommend using RDT kits for the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. A recent study conducted by Broughton et al. (2020) reported a clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas12a-based assay for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 from patient samples within 30–40 min. It involves reverse transcription-loop-mediated isothermal amplification (Rt-LAMP) to pre-amplify the viral or control RNA. Then, Cas12a is used for the transcleavage assay. The accuracy of the near-real-time deforestation detection system (DETER) is comparable to that of RT-qPCR and does not require expensive laboratory instrumentation. It uses similar sample collection procedures and RNA extraction methods as those for RT-qPCR. A CRISPR-based specific high sensitivity enzymatic reporter unlocking technique (SHERLOCK) has also been used for the detection of SARS-CoV-2. It can detect the SARS-CoV-2 target sequence in a concentration range of 20–200 aM (10–100 copies per microliter) (Kang et al. 2020). This test was applied to purify patient RNA samples used for qRT-PCR and yielded results using a dipstick in less than 1 h, without an expensive instrument. The SHERLOCK technique is more accurate and takes less time than RT-qPCR (Joung et al. 2020).

**Histological examination**

Histopathological hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of lung biopsy tissues from patients with COVID-19 showed pulmonary edema, with bilateral diffuse alveolar damage, fibromyxoid exudates, and interstitial mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltration, dominated by lymphocytes, in both lungs (Kang et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020b). Moreover, Tian et al. (2020) reported the desquamation of pneumocytes with hyaline membrane formation, indicating ARDS in COVID-19 patients. Multinucleated syncytial cells with atypical enlarged pneumocytes, nuclei, and prominent nucleoli, along with the presence of amphophilic cyttoplasmic and nuclear granules, suggest the presence of intracytoplasmic and intranuclear viral inclusion bodies. The pathological characteristics of COVID-19 are similar to those reported in SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infections (Kang et al. 2020; Omolo et al. 2020).

**Radiological findings**

In the early stages of COVID-19, a CT scan of the chest often show multiple patchy ground glass opacities (GGO) in the...
Challenges in the control of SARS-CoV-2 infections

A pandemic outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 poses a serious threat and challenges for reducing the spread of COVID-19 (Hafez and Attia 2020; Malik et al. 2020). The lack of antiviral drugs, vaccines, healthcare setups with proper ventilation, and appropriate diagnostic tools; shortages of medical supplies and protective instruments; and limited skilled healthcare workers and manpower in various nations has created serious challenges in controlling COVID-19, mainly:

1. Strict implementation of infectious disease control measures within hospitals and communities is crucial to protect all healthcare workers and people in a community.
2. Epidemiological experts should conduct training and provide important guidelines for the management and control of COVID-19-infected patients during hospitalization.
3. Most SARS-CoV-2-infected patients should not receive therapy, and treatment of patients without current or future medical needs should be stopped entirely.
4. Trust between people and institutions and between the local population and the government should be maintained. The local communities should adhere to medical advice and respect temporary restrictive measures.
5. Antagonisms between the different countries and their federal governments and local governments should be immediately stopped. Antagonism and a lack of trust during this crucial period will affect scientific collaboration and the control of SARS-CoV-2. Hence, different countries should share their experiences and have distinct scientific activities regarding vaccine and drug development for the treatment of COVID-19 patients.

Vaccine development

A study conducted by Barrett et al. (2009) defined vaccination as a biological procedure that provides active acquired immunity against a particular infectious disease. Bardiya and Bae (2005) reported that vaccination, as a medical process, is considered the most effective prophylactic method for preventing infectious diseases. According to Plotkin (2005), there are seven main vaccine types: (1) live attenuated, (2) inactivated, (3) subunit (Liljeqvist and Ståhl 1999) or recombinant protein (Cox 2012), (4) polysaccharide, (5) toxoid, (6) RNA/mRNA (Kreiter et al. 2011), and (7) DNA vaccines (Liu 2003). All vaccination mechanisms depend on stimulating the immune response to recognize a threat, destroy it, form target foreign agent-specific immune memory, and then supply the body with efficient prophylaxis against the infection (Florindo et al. 2020).

There are nearly 174 vaccine candidates under trials in preclinical phase, based on varying vaccine platforms, including DNA, RNA, S protein, and/or protein subunits, while 63 vaccine candidates are under clinical evaluation as of January 29, 2021 (Thanh et al. 2020; Yatoo et al. 2020; WHO 2021). By January 2021, eight COVID-19 vaccines become authorized for use after passing phase III. Two vaccines are based on mRNA technology, where the RNA instructs the cells to produce SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins to trigger the immune response as Moderna, USA (stored at −30 °C), managed by Moderna in collaboration with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (Moderna 2020) and Pfizer-BioNTech (stored at −70 °C) (Gudadappanavar and Benni 2020).

Four vector vaccines (adenoviral vectored) in which a harmless virus is engineered to contain the spike gene of SARS-CoV-2 protein manufactured by Oxford-AstraZeneca, England–Sweden (refrigerated at 2–8 °C); Janssen infectious diseases and vaccines—Johnson & Johnson, USA (refrigerated at 2–8 °C); Serum Institute of India (refrigerated at 2–8 °C); and Gamaleya, Russia (freeze storage at −20 °C). Also, two inactivated SARS-CoV-2 virus vaccine in which the virus rendered inert through a chemical process that preserves the virus structure, refrigerated at 2-8 °C (Sinopharm and Sinovac, Beijing, China) (WHO 2021). All the authorized vaccines recommended dosage is 2 doses with 3-4 weeks apart.

The number and progression of COVID-19 vaccine candidates are illustrated in Fig. 4.

Coronavirus vaccine

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has created a serious health problem across the globe. Full control of this virus is almost impossible until an effective vaccine is developed. In general, SARS-CoV-2 infection results in the appearance of virus-neutralizing antibodies against glycoprotein S and RBD. Therefore, many researchers are focusing their investigation on the S protein or RBD as vaccine targets.
About 78 companies worldwide have begun to develop a vaccine. Thanh et al. (2020) reported that various platforms, including nucleic acid (DNA and RNA) vaccines, virus-based subunit vaccines, mRNA vaccines, live attenuated, and inactivated killed virus vaccines, are being investigated. The most advanced vaccines, which have recently moved into clinical trials, include mRNA-1273 from Moderna, Ad5-nCoV from CanSino Biologics, INO-4800 from Inovio, LV-SMENP-DC, and pathogen-specific aAPC from Shenzhen Genoimmune Medical Institute. Recently, China’s CanSino Biologics and the Institute of Biotechnology of the Academy of Military Medical Sciences used five recombinant adenovirus vector types that have completed phase III trials.

Moderna Therapeutics and the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases developed a lipid nanoparticle dispersion containing messenger RNA (mRNA) for its vaccine (mRNA-1273). It began a phase I clinical trial in March 2020 and successfully completed phase III trials. This vaccine encourages the formation of antibodies through the injection of mRNA containing the genetic code for the spike protein found on the virus surface (Pooladanda et al. 2020). Sinopharm and Sinovac Biotech used an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 virus, which completed the three phases. Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 is easy to prepare compared with other vaccines.

All vaccines under development are currently in trials, and it may take 1–2 years or more to bring them to market (Yatoo et al. 2020).

### Treatment and management of COVID-19

Currently, there are no commercially available antiviral drugs or vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. Treatment of patients with COVID-19 is directed towards boosting immunity (Alagawany et al. 2020; Attia et al. 2020; Jayawardena et al. 2020; Schijns and Lavelle 2020), relieving the symptoms and managing severely ill patients through supportive therapy, including artificial oxygen therapy and hemodynamic support (Hafez and Attia 2020). However, scientists are pursuing ways to slow the spread of the virus and find effective treatments for the SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19) (Dhama et al. 2020a; Rabaan et al. 2020; Vellingiri et al. 2020).

Several existing antiviral medications that have been developed previously and/or used as treatments for several diseases, including SARS, MERS (Li and De Clercq 2020), hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), influenza virus (Zumla et al. 2016), malaria, and HIV/AIDS, are being researched as possible COVID-19 treatments and are jumping into clinical trials after only a few in silico studies (Li and De Clercq 2020). More recently, dexamethasone has been suggested to be a lifesaving drug for treating critically ill COVID-19 patients (Ledford 2020; Patel et al. 2020).

### Use of antiviral, antimalarial, and rheumatoid drugs

At present, there is no high-level evidence that favors the use of a single antiviral drug for the treatment of patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19. Thus, several drugs, including chloroquine, arbidol, remdesivir, and favipiravir, are currently undergoing clinical studies to test their efficacy and safety in the treatment of COVID-19 (Dhama et al. 2020a; Rabaan et al. 2020; Vellingiri et al. 2020).
Different antiviral drugs which can be used for the treatment and management of COVID-19 are illustrated in Table 1.

### Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine

Chloroquine is a 4-aminoquinoline drug, and hydroxychloroquine is an analog of chloroquine, and both are used for the treatment of malaria and autoimmune diseases, respectively. Vincent et al. (2005) and Biot et al. (2006) reported the efficacy of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of SARS-CoV infection during the epidemic outbreak of SARS in 2002–2003. Recently, Colson et al. (2020) reported that clinical trials on both drugs in China, South Korea, and France showed that they possess potential pharmacological activities for the treatment of COVID-19 patients.

Al-Bari (2015), Chibber et al. (2020), and Liu et al. (2020b) demonstrated that the molecular mechanisms of action of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine drugs are similar, but they interfere with virus replication in distinct ways. They inhibit binding of the S proteins to host cell ACE2 receptors by blocking terminal glycosylation (Kalra et al. 2020). They increase the pH in intracellular compartments, ultimately inhibiting RNA replication, glycosylation of viral protein, virus assembly, and exocytosis.

According to Savarino et al. (2006), Yan et al. (2013), and Wang et al. (2020a), chloroquine is widely used as an antimalarial and autoimmune disease drug. However, recently, it has been reported as a potential broad-spectrum antiviral drug. Recently, Cortegiani et al. (2020) reported that chloroquine blocks viral infection by increasing the endosomal pH required for virus/cell fusion and interfering with the glycosylation of cellular receptors of SARS-CoV-1. Another recent study conducted by Wang et al. (2020b) revealed that the effective concentration (EC50) of chloroquine against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in Vero E6 cells was 6.90 μM, which could be clinically achieved in the plasma of patients with rheumatoid arthritis after receiving a dose of 500 mg per day.

Chloroquine is a cheap and safe medication that has been used for more than 70 years and could potentially be clinically used against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19). A recent French study by Gautret et al. (2020) was carried out on SARS-CoV-2-positive patients who received 600 mg of hydroxychloroquine daily. The viral load in nasopharyngeal swabs was tested daily in hospital, and a significant reduction/disappearance viral load was observed in the

### Table 1 Drugs affecting COVID-19

| Drug                          | Mechanism of action                                                                 | Dose                                           | References                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine | A. Interfere with the virus replication in distinct ways through preventing the attachment of the S glycoproteins spikes on the host cell ACE-2 receptors, by inhibiting the terminal glycosylation  
B. Increase the pH in the intracellular compartments, ultimately inhibiting RNA replication, glycosylation of viral protein, virus assembly and the process of exocytosis. | 600 mg daily, orally | (Al-Bari 2015; Cortegiani et al. 2020; Gautret et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020a) |
| Lopinavir/ritonavir            | Protease inhibitor drug                                                               | 400 mg for adults, 2 times/day, orally         | (Dong et al. 2020a)                                                       |
| Ribavirin                     | Nucleoside analog antiviral drug targeting the RNA-dependent polymerase enzyme of SARS-CoV, MERS, and interferes with replicating the viral RNA and DNA. | 500 mg for adults, 2–3 times/day intravenously | (Dong et al. 2020a; Khalili et al. 2020)                                    |
| Remdesivir                    | Nucleoside/tide analog (adenosine analog) that blocks the coronavirus RNA polymerase enzymes required to replicate its genetic material (RNS) and thus inhibit viral proliferation in the human bodies | The initial dose of 200 mg of remdesivir and a subsequent dose of 100 mg for nine consecutive days via intravenous infusion | (Beigel et al. 2020; Goldman et al. 2020)                                   |
| Favipiravir                    | RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) inhibitor                                        | Day 1, 1600 mg twice daily; days 2–14, 600 mg twice daily  
Orally                                                          | (Du and Chen 2020)                                                |
| Ivermectin                    | Inhibition of the viral replication                                                  | 200-μg/kg single dose, orally                  | (Caly et al. 2020)                                                      |
| Tocilizumab                   | Antagonizing the effect of interleukin 6 (IL-6) thus hindering IL-6 from exerting its pro-inflammatory effect | Either intravenously at 8-mg/kg body weight (up to a maximum of 800 mg) in two infusions, 12 h apart, or subcutaneously at 162 mg administered in two simultaneous doses, one in each thigh | (Jones et al. 2010)                                    |
hydroxychloroquine-treated patients. This effect was reinforced when combined with azithromycin.

Biot et al. (2006) demonstrated that hydroxychloroquine has in vitro anti-SARS-CoV activity. A recent study by Yao et al. (2020) showed that hydroxychloroquine inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in vitro, with an EC₅₀ of 0.72 μM. Hydroxychloroquine showed a safer clinic profile than chloroquine, allowing for a higher daily dose, particularly during long-term use, but also has obvious concerns with drug-drug interactions (Marmor et al. 2020).

Lopinavir/ritonavir

Lopinavir and ritonavir are protease inhibitors that were shown to be ineffective during the outbreaks of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Pandey et al. 2020). However, they are potential drugs for the treatment of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (Sarkar et al. 2020). A clinical trial of lopinavir at Hong Kong University showed in vitro anti-SARS-CoV activity, at a concentration of 4 mg/ml (Chu et al. 2004).

Ritonavir is used as a boosting agent. According to Stover (2020), current treatment regime guidelines recommend using lopinavir in combination with ritonavir for treatment of the COVID-19 patients. Lopinavir/ritonavir usage in the treatment of COVID-19 patients was shown to reduce the mortality rate to 2.3% from 11%. Dong et al. (2020b) reported that lopinavir/ritonavir is a medication for patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) that is used in combination with other medications to treat adults and children over 14 days of age, who are infected with HIV-1. The dosage of lopinavir/ritonavir permitted for COVID-19 patients is 400/100 mg for adults, respectively, twice per day.

Ribavirin

Ribavirin is a nucleoside analog with broad-spectrum antiviral activity. Recent studies by Jin et al. (2020) and Khalili et al. (2020) reported that ribavirin is a nucleoside analog antiviral drug targeting the RNA-dependent polymerase enzyme of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV that interferes with the replication of viral RNA and DNA.

It has been used in combination with corticosteroids to treat patients with SARS-CoV, hepatitis virus, and viral hemorrhagic fever, including MERS (Yousefi et al. 2020). However, studies of the in vitro and in vivo activities of ribavirin against SARS-CoV-2-infected patients did not show significant reduction/clearance of the virus. Excessive use of this drug produces distinct negative side effects, such as hemolytic anemia, hypocalcemia, and hypomagnesemia.

Currently, it is not recommended for the treatment of patients with COVID-19 (Pandey et al. 2020). However, according to the Chinese 7th edition guidelines, it is recommended for COVID-19 treatment. It is administered intravenously at a dose of 500 mg for adults, 2–3 times/day, in combination with IFN-α or lopinavir/ritonavir (Dong et al. 2020b).

Remdesivir

Remdesivir is a nucleoside/tide analog with broad-spectrum antiviral activity (Goldman et al. 2020). Beigel et al. (2020) reported that this drug blocks coronavirus RNA polymerase, which is required to replicate its genetic material (RNS), and thus inhibits viral proliferation in the human body. During a clinical trial of drugs in a mouse model of SARS-CoV infection, remdesivir improved pulmonary function and reduced viral loads and the severity of lung pathology (Lem et al. 2020). The National Institutes of Health (NIH) reported that early treatment with remdesivir as an antiviral drug significantly reduced the clinical disease and reduced the lung damage of rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta) infected with SARS-CoV-2.

On May 1, 2020, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) made remdesivir available for emergency treatment for severe COVID-19 in hospitalized adults and children. Dolin and Hirsch (2020) reported that the use of remdesivir in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection reduced the time of recovery from a median of 15 days among placebo recipients to 11 days. Wang et al. (2020c) found that remdesivir potently blocks SARS-CoV-2 infection at low micromolar concentrations in vitro, with an EC50 of 0.77 μM.

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of this drug in COVID-19 patients, a phase III clinical trial was launched on February 5, 2020 in China (Diao et al. 2020). Patients in the experimental group received an initial dose of 200 mg of remdesivir and a subsequent dose of 100 mg for nine consecutive days via intravenous infusion in addition to routine treatments. Patients in the control group received routine treatment and the same dose of a placebo (Wang et al. 2020c). The trial results were expected to be concluded by the end of April 2020 (data not shown).

Favipiravir

Du and Chen (2020) reported that favipiravir (commercial brand name, Avigan) has been used for the treatment of influenza in Japan since 2014. Favipiravir is a new type of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) inhibitor, and SARS-CoV-2 depends on RdRp for replication inside the host cell. Recently, Delang et al. (2018) revealed that, in addition to its anti-influenza virus activity, favipiravir could also block the replication of flavi-, alpha-, filo-, bunya-, arena-, noro-, and other RNA viruses. It is converted to an active phosphoribosylated form (favipiravir-RTP) inside the cells and is recognized as a substrate by the viral RNA polymerase, thus inhibiting RNA polymerase activity (Furuta et al. 2017).
On February 14, 2020, a clinical trial for SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) treatment was conducted by the National Clinical Medical Research Center for Infectious Diseases at The Third People’s Hospital of Shenzhen (China). The results indicated that favipiravir had more potent antiviral action than lopinavir/ritonavir, with no significant adverse reactions in the treated group with a total of 80 patients (in the experimental and control groups) (Dong et al. 2020b).

Ivermectin

Ivermectin is an old medication that has been used to treat many types of parasitic infestations, such as head lice, scabies, river blindness, ascariasis, and lymphatic filariasis, since the 17th century, via oral administration or skin application (for external infestations) (Panahi et al. 2015). A recent study (Caly et al. 2020) revealed that ivermectin has a strong anti-viral effect against SARS-CoV-2 through in vitro inhibition of viral replication (in monkey kidney VERO cell culture, with an IC₅₀ of 2.2–2.8 μM. Few more studies have indicated the potent application of ivermectin to treat COVID-19 patients (Formiga et al. 2020; Sharun et al. 2020a).

Tocilizumab

Tocilizumab was developed by Hoffmann–La Roche and Chugai. It is an immuno-suppressive drug that antagonizes the effect of IL-6, a cytokine that plays important roles in the human immune response and has been implicated in the pathogenesis of many diseases. It is used mainly for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (Venkiteshwaran 2009).

Tocilizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against the IL-6 receptor (IL-6R). Jones et al. (2010) reported that tocilizumab binds to soluble and membrane-bound IL-6 receptors, thus hindering IL-6 from exerting its pro-inflammatory effect. In March 2020, China and Italy approved tocilizumab to reduce inflammation in patients with COVID-19. However, until now, there has been no evidence supporting the effectiveness of this drug.

Use of immunomodulating drugs and proteins

Corticosteroids

Zha et al. (2020) reported that corticosteroids are immunomodulating drugs that are frequently used in combination with other antiviral drugs. Systemic administration of corticosteroid drugs has been shown to suppress lung inflammation in 18.6–44.9% of COVID-19 patients (Yang et al. 2020b). However, Russell et al. (2020) reported that its use in critically ill MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV patients resulted in delayed MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV RNA clearance, leading to the need for mechanical vasopressors and renal replacements.

Brown et al. (2020) demonstrated that excessive use of corticosteroid drugs is associated with psychosis, diabetes, and avascular necrosis. Thus, the use of corticosteroid drugs in the treatment of COVID-19 patients is usually not recommended, except under special circumstances (Brown et al. 2020).

Interferons

Interferons (IFNs) possess broad-spectrum antiviral activity. Thus, several interferon candidates, including type 1 alpha interferon (INF-1), have already been investigated for their potential use in the treatment of COVID-19 patients (Huang et al. 2020b; Wang et al. 2020c). Several in vitro and in vivo experiments of INF-1 for the treatment of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV were performed in combination with lopinavir/ritonavir, ribavirin, remdesivir, and corticosteroids (Huang et al. 2020b; Sallard et al. 2020).

The use of INF-α and INF-β alone was effective in treating animals, but they failed to improve human disease. The combined use of lopinavir/ritonavir with INF-β improved lung function but failed to reduce the viral load. However, the combination of ribavirin and INFα2α significantly reduced the mortality rate (Li and De Clercq 2020). Thus, INF-1 could be used as a prophylaxis against SARS-CoV-2. Li and De Clercq (2020) and Sallard et al. (2020) reported that the administration of 5 million units of INFα in combination with ribavirin twice a day by nebulization can significantly improve the health of the COVID-19 patients.

Convalescent plasma therapy

Convalescent plasma (CP) therapy is a classic adaptive immunotherapy used in the prevention and treatment of various infectious diseases. Previous studies by Luke et al. (2006) and Arabi et al. (2015) revealed that, over the past two decades, the use of convalescent plasma with systematic corticosteroid therapy in critically ill patients with SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, H1N1, and Ebola virus infections reduced the infection more efficiently than a placebo or no therapy.

An in vitro neutralization test performed on bronchoalveolar lavage samples from critically COVID-19 patients, using convalescent sera from patients who recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection, showed a marked neutralization effect (Thanh et al. 2020). The use of 200 ml of convalescent plasma containing neutralizing antibody titers greater than 1:640 showed optimal results in the treatment of critically ill COVID-19 patients. Thus, CP therapy could be an alternative approach for critically COVID-19 ill patients until antivirals and vaccines are developed (Chen et al. 2020b; Sharun et al. 2020b).
**Traditional herbal medicine and probiotics as treatment**

All the previously recorded data for the usage of herbal medicine and probiotics in facing COVID-19 pandemic missed the preclinical and clinical studies. Several herbal extracts from various plants, including coneflower (*Echinacea*), quina (*Cinchona*), turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), and temulawak (*Curcuma xanthorrhiza*) might have the capabilities to regulate the production and release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, interfere with the development of the virus in host cells, and modify certain molecular pathways related to the RAA system which might be useful as prevention or treatments to fight COVID-19, but this must be given carefully to patients, even if they are healthy, under the specific advice or direct supervision of a clinician.

In addition, further investigations through preclinical and clinical trial evaluations of these herbal agents for COVID-19 should be specifically conducted (Nugraha et al. 2020). Tahir et al. (2020) highlighted the potential of various nutraceuticals and herbal extracts (such as omega-3 fats, β-glucans, amino acids, probiotics, vitamins and minerals) and plant-based compounds as possible treatments for COVID-19. Although strong evidence for the potential of these compounds to combat the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has already appeared in their literature; clinical data are, however, still inconclusive and inconsistencies, since clinical studies did not achieve the desired effects, and these inconsistencies may be attributed to a number of factors, including the dose used, the heterogeneity of the target population, the plasma concentration, the beginning and duration of the treatment, and the route of administration.

Recently, Sytar et al. (2021) described a total of 66 medicinal herbs and crops with different origin native areas which showed antiviral potentials and mentioned that the kaempferol glycosides, the scutellarin, baicain and quercetin flavonoids; the saikosaponins triterpenoids; the lycorine, tetrandrine, fangchinoline, and cepharanthine alkaloids; the triterpene oleanane; and the terpene cycloart-25-ene-3β,24-diol are recommended to be the most promising compounds to develop plant-based effective drugs against the current COVID-19 pandemic though preclinical studies and their clinical evidence is still missing.

Akour (2020) discussed the role of in combating COVID-19 or its associated symptoms, through reviewing its antiviral and anti-inflammatory properties in vitro, animal models, and human trials and concluded that, although there is more evidence that the novel coronavirus affects gut microbiome inducing dysbiosis during its pathogenesis (Li et al. 2021), the use of conventional probiotics for COVID-19 cannot be liberally recommended.

However, the modulation of gut microbiota through the use of probiotics as *Lactobacillus* spp., Bifidobacteria, and *Saccharomyces* is expected to be one of the promising therapeutic approaches to alleviate COVID-19 and/or its associated inflammatory complications. Still, the utility of probiotics as add-on therapy may need to be further studied in well-designed randomized controlled clinical trials to establish their efficacy and safety.

**Impact of COVID-19 on the environment**

COVID-19 has positive and negative indirect effects on the environment (Fig. 5). The adverse influences included the reduction in the environmental sustainability, earth systems, and the total environment (Hafez and Atia 2020; Swelum et al. 2020). The COVID is an immune response to environmental violations by humans through chemicals that are produced annually and their negative and destructive impact on the environment, its results that cannot be expected, and how to combat it, but the virus showed human weakness in facing disaster.

Most countries tried to control the expansion of SARS-CoV-2 via the lockdown, strong social distancing measures, strict traffic restrictions, and self-quarantine measures. These measures cause a great reduction in the air pollution including the concentrations of nitrogen dioxide, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter that have a diameter of less than 2.5 μm or less than 10 μm because of reduction of use of vehicles and stoppage of the production of power plants, industrial facilities, and all businesses due to public confinement (Dantas et al. 2020; Tobias et al. 2020).

However, the level of ozone (O3) increased by more than 50% during lockdown (Tobias et al. 2020). Additionally, the lack of tourists during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has caused a notable positive change in the cleaning and appearance of many beaches in the world. For example, the pollution of air has reduced in New York by about 50%, and emissions have recorded a 25% dropped in China, as well as nitrogen dioxide emissions decreased over Spain, Italy, and the UK (Scalzaretto 2020). Moreover, the noise level was reduced substantially in most countries because of the imposition of quarantine measures by most governments. The lockdown resulted also in clear water in the canals, clear skies, wild animals roaming streets, and pollution drop, particularly in the industrial areas (Capovilla 2020; Corrigan 2020; Ficetola and Rubolini 2020; Hussain et al. 2020). The lockdown during COVID-19 caused a great drop in the global consumption of oil and coal, and subsequent decrease in air pollution (IEA 2020; Ruiz 2020). This is an enhancement in environmental condition benefits COVID-19 contracts’ persons. On the other hand, highly air polluted area has recorded substantial high-rate of mortality due to COVID-19 (Saadat et al. 2020).

On the other hand, one of the positive consequences of COVID is changing the current economic system. For example, the export of environmentally friendly raw materials led
to the country’s capital consumption and global pollution in addition to the collapse of oil prices in March 2020 (The World Bank 2020; IEA 2020; UN 2020). According to global estimates, the welfare fund will suffice for 2–3 years in conditions of low energy prices. If the development system does not change, the consequences of the next crisis could be even direr for the world (The World Bank 2020; UN 2020).

Decision-makers strive to modernize and innovate and develop intensive scientific activities and high-tech with high benefit, directing the economy to the environment. Therefore, the new economy will be less polluting (UN 2020). One of the positive effects of the virus is to reduce environmental pollution, as the economic crisis has led to the closure of institutions, the reduction of transport activity, and the decrease in the standard of living and thus will enhance the environment. It is also possible, with the continuation of the epidemic that environmental pollution will continue to break companies in the knowledge and infrastructure sector and move to primitive roads (The Interpreter, 2020; Swelum et al. 2020). There is no doubt that these positive effects on the environment will benefit the world, as the decrease in oil prices and the increase in the supply of it can be investing in it and providing an increase in it in half and providing the economy instead of investing in expensive new areas (The World Bank 2020).

Natural landmarks around the world can calmly breathe due to less tourism and low income. Excess tourism harmed the sights across the country (Aleksandrova 2018). Because of China’s economic growth before the epidemic and rising income, the Chinese are spending the equivalent of $400 billion on outbound tourism. Besides, the decrease in tourism has led to a decrement in the number of flights, thus reducing polluting gas emissions. The decrement in the number of hotels, restaurants, and transportation trips will be a friendly environment. Working online reduces transportation and urban pollution (UN 2020). The COVID pandemic has demonstrated once again the importance of bringing together and coordinating international efforts to tackle environmental problems and pushed global collaboration to safe the health and economic and/or the environment (IEA 2020; The World Bank 2020).

The negative effects of COVID-19 were associated with safety and cross-contamination. SARS-CoV-2 causes increasing medical waste, inorganic waste as single-use plastic bags, demand for bottled water, plastic packing and packaging, organic waste generated by households, and garbage from personal protective equipment such as masks and gloves (Calma 2020; Tenenbaum 2020). In addition, environmental pollution is increased due to the tendency of the poor population to use their old cars with cheap petrol that pollute the environment (Hussain et al. 2020).

Also, retail, and fast-food chains have prohibited the use of food containers and reusable cups that resulted in increasing plastic demands and waste (Zambrano-Monserrate et al. 2020). Thus, the oil industries have increased the production
of plastics to face the increased demand and overcome economic defaults (Peszkó 2020). In addition, increasing home delivery and online shopping during lockdown deceased trash recycling to the concern about the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in recycling centers (Tenenbaum 2020). The recycling of wastes is an effective and common way to control pollution, save energy, and conserve natural resources (Varotto and Spagnolli 2017; Ma et al. 2019). Additionally, the disinfection routines are strengthened to prevent spreading of SARS-CoV-2. Some of these disinfectants could generate harmful effects on people’s health (Koivusalo and Vartiainen 1997). One of these disinfectants is chlorine which is used in high concentration in wastewater during COVID-19 pandemic. Based on present data, the hazard to water supplies is low. However, according to the WHO, studies of surrogate coronaviruses have indicated that the virus could remain infectious in water contaminated with feces for days to weeks (Tenenbaum 2020).

To assess the negative consequences of COVID-19, consumers’ behavior is tracked based on their quality of life; it includes three components of financial well-being (wages, income, etc.), social (education, health, etc.), and the environment (clean environment, clean products, clean water, etc.). The income level determines the requirements for the three components (Kuznets curve) (Bobylev 2017). This virus harms the financial well-being, through the lack of production and the closure of institutions in the private and public sector, and consequently the decrease in income and the increase in debt and unemployment.

In the next few years, the environmental component of quality of life will decrease, thus reducing the volume of sales in all markets (Bobylev and Goryacheva 2019). In the transportation sector, there is an increase in public transportation, car sharing, and the trend to electric cars because of residents’ fears of infection with the virus. It also reduces gatherings in cafes and restaurants (IEA 2020).

Environmental violations such as fishing, logging, and overfishing are also observed because of the increase in poverty. For example, on the Russian side, the BAT technological revolution that began in January 2019 is threatened with the cancellation (Skobelev 2019). The shift to low-carbon development appears to have stalled around the world as the decline in the prices of fossil fuels because of the global economic recession due to COVID was encouraged. Due to the enormous costs that countries bear in confronting COVID, their interest in sustainable development diminishes (The World Bank 2020).

**The impact of the environment on COVID-19**

The transmission of communicable diseases including COVID-19 is also greatly influenced by the climate parameters including temperature, humidity, rainfall, and wind speed. Positive associations were observed between the speed of wind and crowdedness in cities with high COVID-19 transmission rates (Sahin 2020). Temperature and humidity can predict the rate COVID-19 transmission (Gupta et al. 2020). Temperature and humidity have a significant adverse effect on the number of daily cases of COVID-19 in 30 Chinese provinces; and the interaction effect of temperature and humidity is strong in case of daily COVID-19 cases (Qin et al. 2020). When relative humidity is 67–85.5%, an increasing of environmental temperature by a 1°C in average daily temperature can decrease the daily rate of COVID-19 cases by 36–57%. Similarly, when the average daily temperature is 5.04–8.2°C, an increasing of environmental relative humidity by 1% can decrease the daily COVID-19 cases by between 11 and 22% (Qin et al. 2020).

Additionally, a significant positive association with diurnal temperature with COVID-19 death, and a negative association between humidity and COVID-19 death, was reported by Ma et al. (2019). However, Sobral et al. (2020) found no association between temperature or precipitation and COVID-19 mortality. COVID-19 transmissions have a positive association with PM_{2.5}, PM_{10}, CO, NO_{2}, and ozone levels and a negative association between sulfur dioxide levels (Zhu et al. 2020). Long-term exposure to NO_{2} increases mortality due to COVID-19 in Italy, Spain, France, and Germany (Ogen 2020).

**Ambiguous effects**

The unclear effects of COVID include the level of the individuality of people, as people who live in high-end areas are more vulnerable to infection than those residing in medium-quality housing in places far from urban areas (second homes). So, millions of people rushed to these places and abandoned the big cities. About half of the residents of major cities live in their homes (Skupov 2019) and according to estimates, the total number of “second homes” is around 60 million (Nefedova et al. 2016).

A rural home, where living in clean air far from cities, leads to an increase in the environmental burden in the suburbs (Khovavko 2020). To prevent environmental degradation in these areas, a payment mechanism may be implemented for ecosystem services that are increasingly used in the world (Bobylev and Goryacheva 2019).

**Contribution to the field**

This review aims to summarize the advanced information in the origin, evolution, genomic organization, epidemiology, and molecular and cellular characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 as well as the diagnostic and treatment approaches for COVID-19 and its environmental impact. The present status
and future advances in vaccine development and antiviral therapies for COVID-19 treatment are discussed.

This information and data collected on SARS-CoV-2 will aid in understanding different aspects of COVID-19 and its impact on global health, environment, and the economy.

Conclusions and prospects

The emergence and spread of the new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in 215 countries have created a serious global health threat and environmental hazards. More than 40 million people worldwide have already become victims of SARS-CoV-2, and over 1 million of these people have already lost their lives. The origin of SARS-CoV-2 is controversial, but most researchers believe that it is likely to have originated from wild bats.

Analysis of the whole genome sequences of bat and pangolin viruses showed 95% sequence identity to SARS-CoV-2. Bat and pangolins are considered to be primary natural reservoirs of SARS-CoV-2. Human transmission of this virus occurs via inhalation of respiratory droplets produced by asymptomatic and symptomatic infected people. SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 cause mild to severe respiratory tract infections that can lead to pneumonia.

Identification of coronavirus infections plays a crucial role in proper treatment and management of the pandemic outbreak, reducing the economic and environmental impact of this virus. Currently, there are no effective antiviral drugs or vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. Antiviral drugs, such as ritonavir, arbidol, remdesivir, and favipiravir, which were previously developed to treat patients infected with SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and Ebola, are now being used for the treatment of patients with COVID-19. Complete control of SARS-CoV-2 infection will likely only be possible after successful vaccination. However, although there is no SARS-CoV-2 vaccine currently available, several are under development or in human trials.

Strict implementation of preventive and control measures such as wearing masks, washing hands, maintaining social distancing, isolation and quarantine, and surface disinfection, should help reduce the risk of infection and control the spread of SARS-CoV-2. Further research should be aimed at investigating SARS-CoV-2 in relevant animal models to dissect its replication, transmission, pathogenesis, and control. Scientists worldwide are actively exploring drugs that may be potentially effective in combating COVID-19 and controlling its spread. All stakeholders are trying to find a solution to this worldwide crisis. Finding and developing a suitable vaccine require too much time in addition to the time required for preclinical and clinical trials, governmental and organizational licensing, and production. This directs the decision-makers who face this crisis to use medications already on the market, hoping that these will have a therapeutic effect on patients with COVID-19 throughout all stages of infection.
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