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Integral membrane protein structure: transmembrane α-helices as autonomous folding domains
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The transmembrane region of many integral membrane proteins is made up of a bundle of hydrophobic α-helices. Such a structure could result from a two-stage folding process, during which preformed transmembrane helices with independent stability pack without topological rearrangement. This view was originally prompted by experiments in which fragments of transmembrane regions were separately refolded into lipid bilayers and subsequently brought together to yield a functional protein. Other lines of evidence, including the existence of ‘one-helix’ miniproteins, gene-fusion experiments, helix-driven oligomerization of bitopic proteins, and sequence rearrangements in the course of evolution support this view. Although it forms a useful basis for structural predictions, the limitations of the two-stage folding hypothesis are not clearly defined, and the proportion of integral membrane proteins to which it applies remains uncertain. The papers discussed in the present review illustrate recent progress along these lines.

Introduction

The way in which integral membrane proteins (IMPs) achieve their three-dimensional (3D) structure largely remains a matter of conjecture. There are a number of reasons for this, the most prominent of which are the extreme scarcity of high-resolution IMP structures and the great technical difficulties involved in obtaining direct structural information on the early steps of IMP folding. Because structurally well characterized IMPs are rare, it is both tempting and dangerous to try to extrapolate from these few data general rules which might help in understanding the structure and behaviour of other IMPs. One such attempt at generalization is the two-stage folding model [1,2]. This model postulates that the transmembrane region of many IMPs results from the packing of preformed, hydrophobic helices, each of which represents a small autonomous folding domain (see below).

The two-stage folding hypothesis has many implications (see Fig. 1) and has proven to be a useful tool for interpreting a number of experimental observations relating to, for example, IMP biosynthesis and oligomerization [3,4,5,6] and IMP evolution [6]. It also raises numerous questions, in particular regarding its generality. Most IMPs whose sequences are known contain at least one stretch of amino acid residues with sufficient overall hydrophobicity to form a stable transmembrane helix. However, neither the number of these segments that do form transmembrane helices nor the proportion of transmembrane regions that are pure α-helix bundles (rather than incorporating other structural elements) has been established. Similarly, many experiments have shown that some IMPs can be synthesized as fragments that insert independently into the membrane and subsequently assemble into a functional protein. It is not clear however to what extent insertion of the constitutive helices occurs independently in vivo.

This review discusses recent results that have a bearing on these questions. Some support the concept of two-stage folding, whereas others question its generality. The choice of articles has been guided mainly by the wish to illustrate the progress that has been made on various aspects of the problem. Space constraints mean this review cannot be exhaustive. For this reason, some relevant issues, such as model building and the formation of transmembrane channels by synthetic peptides or by toxins, have had to be largely, if not totally, omitted.

Transmembrane α-helices as autonomous folding domains

It is useful first to briefly recall the main arguments on which the concept of two-stage folding is founded.
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and which underlie the whole discussion (Table 1). Hydrophobic environments induce polypeptides to take up periodic secondary structures, a process that is driven by the formation of main-chain hydrogen bonds [7]. For a short peptide (20–30 residues long) spanning the core of a lipid bilayer, this imposes the formation of an α- (or 3_{10}) helix. The few plasma membrane proteins whose transmembrane secondary structure is known with certainty are made up from bundles of α-helices [6•]. Closed barrels, composed of β-sheets rolled upon themselves, can also satisfy main-chain hydrogen bonding in the membrane interior. All bacterial proteins whose 3D structures are known are folded into β-barrels, the lumens of which form aqueous pores (reviewed in this issue, pp 501–507). Two-stage folding does not apply to β-barrels. Insertion of isolated β-strands (or pairs of β-strands) into lipids would be energetically very costly, because of the large number of unsatisfied hydrogen bonds. In keeping with this expectation, it seems that pores fold before, or during, membrane insertion [6•,8].

In contrast, provided its surface is sufficiently hydrophobic, an isolated α-helix spanning the membrane can be expected to be stable by itself. Estimates of transfer free energy indicate that each individual transmembrane α-helix in known structures (bacteriorhodopsin (BR) and bacterial reaction centres (RCs)), would be more stable when spanning the membrane than in the aqueous phase. Indeed, BR fragments can be inserted into lipid vesicles, where they form transmembrane α-helices, and can subsequently be reassembled into functional BR molecules (see below). This observation led to the proposal that the native structure of BR and similar proteins results from a two-stage folding process: in the first stage, hydrophobic segments insert into the membrane as α-helices; in the second stage, they pack without major rearrangement into an α-helix bundle [1,2]. In such a model, individual α-helices are considered as autonomous folding domains, able to achieve a defined structure in the absence of the rest of the protein, even though they lack the structural complexity of the larger folding domains found in soluble proteins. The principal arguments in favour of the folding autonomy of transmembrane helices are summarized in Table 1.

New high-resolution and medium-resolution structures

New structures are the touchstone of ideas about IMP folding. The past year has seen some very interesting progress along familiar lines, as well as unexpected results which may require a revision of our ideas about IMP folding. The porin/β-barrel family has two new members whose structures have been established at atomic resolution by X-ray crystallography [9••]. Both have 16 transmembrane β-strands. The family of proteins folded into a transmembrane α-helix bundle now includes the photosystem I RC and rhodopsin. New, very unexpected medium-resolution data on the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (AChR) are discussed in a later section.

A 3D electron-density map of photosystem I RC has been established by X-ray crystallography at 6Å resolution [10••]. Of the 28 expected transmembrane helices, 21 are resolved, as are most of the prosthetic groups involved in electron transfer and half of the 90-odd chlorophylls. Part of the structure shows approximate twofold symmetry and the arrangement of some of the chlorophylls is reminiscent of that in bacterial RCs, with which PSI is thought to share a distant ancestry (see this issue, pp 508–514).

The projection structure of rhodopsin has been established at 9Å resolution by high-resolution electron microscopy (EM) [11••]. It is compatible with the expected seven-helix topology. Four of the helices are nearly per-
Table 1. Principal arguments in favour of the folding autonomy of hydrophobic transmembrane α-helices.

| Observation | Comments |
|-------------|----------|
| **Analysis of membrane-spanning segments in proteins of known 3D structure** | Known structures represent only a very small subset of IMP structures and functions. |
| Lipid-facing segments in known structures strictly respect two constraints: a periodic secondary structure satisfying most main-chain hydrogen bonds; and the strongly hydrophobic character of lipid-facing surfaces. | Similar constraints apply to polypeptide segments buried within the transmembrane region of the protein, away from both lipids and water, but not to segments facing the aqueous phase inside hollow (pore-forming) proteins (e.g. the interior loop in porins). Sequence segments that are not by themselves hydrophobic, such as the strands making up a β-barrel, must be inserted in a concerted way. |
| Individual membrane-spanning helices are overall hydrophobic and predicted to partition more favourably into a lipid rather than an aqueous phase. | A transmembrane position may be just one among several possible arrangements for the isolated segment; e.g. an isolated helix may distribute between juxta- and transmembrane positions. During biosynthesis, membrane insertion of two or more helices may be simultaneous (double-helical hairpin hypothesis). |
| **One-helix proteins** | In many cases, the transmembrane nature and even the existence of these proteins is still hypothetical. |
| Organelle membranes contain many very short proteins (often 30–40 residues), predicted to each contain a single hydrophobic transmembrane α-helix. | By design, constructs in which the carboxy-terminal end of the protein under study is replaced with a reporter protein cannot detect some hypothetical structures, such as two successive transmembrane β-strands. Such a structure would not affect the distribution of other extramembrane loops on each side of the membrane. The constitutive strands of a β-barrel would not be expected to form stable transmembrane β-strands in the absence of their partners. |
| **Topologies by gene fusion** | One of the strongest arguments in favour of the idea of transmembrane helices behaving as autonomous folding domains. |
| Despite occasional ambiguities, topologies deduced from gene-fusion experiments generally are consistent with the assumption that all transmembrane segment are hydrophobic α-helices. The approach has been validated by the consistency of most of the observations with other sources of topological information, particularly in the case of the L subunit of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* RC, where the actual topology is known from crystallographic studies. | The existence of loops nevertheless stabilizes the folded structure, even if it does not direct folding. Weaker helix–helix interactions are sufficient to ensure specific association, which may be a factor favouring structural plasticity. |
| **Fragmented proteins** | As in BR, the order of the helices would be the same as in the sequence, but their 3D arrangement would be somewhat different, with the third helix slanted into the middle of the bundle. These observations should lead to major improvements in current 3D models of G-protein coupled receptors [13,14]. |
| A host of experiments indicates that natural or synthetic fragments containing one or more putative transmembrane helices are able to insert into membranes and to recognize their partners within a protein or an oligomer. | As regards crystallographic studies of other IMPs whose structures are known to near atomic resolution, further evidence has been obtained in favour of a change of con- |
formation of BR during proton pumping [15*]. The orientation of helices in resting-state BR has been further defined using neutron diffraction (FA Samatay et al., unpublished data) [16] and model building (P Tuffery et al., unpublished data) [17*]. In addition, extensive two-dimensional NMR studies have been made of the structure of various proteolytic fragments of BR in organic media or SDS micelles (see below).

**Topologies**

**Smooth sailing for MalG and central nervous system myelin proteolipid**

Mapping topologies by gene-fusion techniques has continued to yield results (see [18] and this issue, pp 524–531). Particularly relevant to the present discussion are studies of lac permease [19], the MalG protein [20*] and AChR [21*]. In all three cases, the results obtained are consistent with interpreting the hydrophobic profile in terms of one transmembrane helix per ~20-residue hydrophobic segment. The approach has been validated further by applying it to subunit L of the bacterial RC [22], whose transmembrane topology is known.

Mapping transmembrane topologies by gene-fusion experiments has its limitations however. For instance, the most common method is to replace the carboxy-terminal part of the protein under study with the reporter protein. This approach cannot be expected to reveal the presence of β-strands hairpins (such as have been proposed in models of voltage-gated channel proteins [23–25]) because such features would be unstable in the membrane in the absence of the strands completing the putative β-barrel, as discussed above [20*].

Another proposed deviation from the pure α-helix bundle besides β-strands is the interrupted α-helix, which spans a single leaflet, looping back on itself in the middle of the bilayer. For similar reasons to those discussed above, such an arrangement is expected to be energetically very costly [26]. This consideration was the starting point for revising existing topological models for the major proteolipid of central nervous system myelin (PLP) [27]. The revised topology, which features four α-helices spanning the full thickness of the membrane, has received good support from an examination of the state of the cysteine residues in the proteolipid: the four cysteines predicted to lie outside the cell form disulphide bridges; the four intramembrane cysteines are reduced; and the six cytosolic cysteines are acylated [28*]. There are a number of other proteins for which the proposed topologies deviate from the standard bundle of hydrophobic α-helices, for example, the mitochondrial ADP/ATP exchanger [29], whose structural study might lead to interesting insights.

Topological predictions often remain ambiguous, even if it is assumed that long stretches of hydrophobic residues must correspond to transmembrane α-helices, because there is no well defined threshold separating transmembrane from extramembrane hydrophobic segments [3,30]. Two recent studies on the composition of short [31*,32] and long [33*] extramembrane loops in extracellular versus intracellular compartments may help distinguish between alternative topologies.

**Rough seas for the acetylcholine receptor**

Whereas the above observations are consistent with the topologies of lac permease, MalG (and MalF) or PLP resulting from two-stage folding, some recent observations for AChR do not conform. The nicotinic AChR, the prototypic mediator-gated ion channel, is a complex of five (α3βγδ) homologous subunits, symmetrically arranged around a central pore. The topology of these subunits was a source of lively polemics in the mid-1980s [34]. The outcome was a consensus, questioned by the occasional franc-tireur, in which each subunit features four hydrophobic transmembrane helices (M1–M4). At least one of these, helix M2, was shown by numerous biochemical and molecular genetics experiments to be involved in channel formation, leading to the conclusion that the channel was lined by five M2 helices [35,36]. The three other hydrophobic segments have been shown to be in contact with lipids [37*]. Two experimental investigations have yielded results consistent with a four-helix topology for the AChR subunit. In one, the topology was mapped by fusing the carboxy-terminal domain of prolactin downstream of each of the postulated transmembrane segments [21*], and in the other it was observed that the five residues in M4 that were labelled by lipophilic reagents are distributed with α-helical periodicity [37*].

Recent results however have challenged this four-helix topology in two divergent and mutually incompatible ways. One series of experiments relied on electrophysiological measurements and the effect of sulphydryl-blocking agents on AChR molecules in which residues in and around the M2 region had been substituted with cysteines. The results were consistent with M2 lining the channel, but were considered more suggestive of a β-strand than an α-helix [38*].

This conclusion is hard to reconcile with a recent EM study [39**] that yielded a 9Å 3D map of the Torpedo AChR. The map showed five helices, presumably M2, surrounding the pore. Surprisingly, the 15 other expected helices were not apparent in the map — a fact for which the limited resolution of the map does not seem to be responsible. Instead, the author proposed that the five M2 helices are surrounded by a vast (unresolved) β-barrel to which each subunit contributes five or seven strands, yielding an overall structure similar to those of two (water-soluble) bacterial toxins [40,41].

Space limitations preclude a detailed discussion of this proposal. However, it has wide-ranging implications for the assembly of the AChR. For instance, individual subunits (which are synthesized independently) would be expected to have a different topology in the isolated state than when assembled in the complex. As already discussed, it is quite unlikely that unassembled β-strands of a transmembrane β-barrel could be forced to face the
lipids. As segments M1, M3 and M4 are long and highly hydrophobic, it cannot be excluded that, in a truncated protein, they do insert as α-helices, which would explain the gene fusion results [21°]. It is very difficult however to envisage how three of the four transmembrane α-helices (were they to be present in the unassembled subunits) could subsequently rearrange into five to seven β-strands upon oligomerization. Alternative possibilities, such as a transient co-existence (in isolated subunits) of a transmembrane helix (M2) with a seven-stranded β-barrel (that would open to associate with those of other subunits), are almost too embarrassing to mention given the mechanistic problems they would create at the time of insertion and oligomerization. Further investigations into the topology of the AChR and its unassembled subunits, as well as the identification of hypothetical factors that may stabilize the transmembrane structure of unassembled subunits and/or facilitate structural rearrangements, will clearly have important implications for IMPs extending far beyond the AChR field.

As regards the practice of sequence interpretation, rough days are ahead of us if we are to determine whether a stretch of ~25 hydrophobic residues represents a single transmembrane α-helix or three closely spaced β-strands with no intervening hydrophilic residues. Each putative transmembrane segment will have to be examined in more detail than before for tell-tale periodicities in amino acid composition and variability. Improved scales for discriminating protein–lipid from protein–protein interfaces may help in meeting this challenge (FA Samatey, J-L Popot, unpublished data) [42°].

Structural autonomy of integral membrane protein fragments

Further evidence that topology-determining information is dispersed throughout the sequence of IMPs has come from several experiments. For instance, alkaline phosphatase has been fused to fragments containing single lac permease transmembrane segments. Phosphatase activity indicated that each individual segment is able to insert in the plasma membrane of Escherichia coli with its correct orientation [43°]. Similar observations have been made in vitro and in vivo on the three transmembrane segments of hepatitis M protein [44°].

The large degree of structural autonomy of IMP fragments is supported by experiments in which IMPs have been reassembled from fragments that have been separately refolded (in vitro) or synthesized (in vivo). The most recent example concerns the muscarinic AChRs m2 and m3, two members of the seven-helix, G-protein-coupled receptor family [45°]. These sorts of experiments have been reviewed elsewhere [3,6°]. In the most enlightening study to date, it was shown that the first two transmembrane helices in BR can be replaced with synthetic substitutes; these individually form transmembrane α-helices when inserted into artificial lipid vesicles (JF Hunt et al., abstract, Biophys J 1991, 59:400) [46°].

These and earlier studies have shown that denatured proteolytic or synthetic fragments of BR reconstituted in lipid–detergent mixed micelles [47°] and in lipid vesicles (JF Hunt et al., abstract, Biophys J 1991, 59:400) [1°] reform α-helices. Detailed two-dimensional NMR studies have been undertaken on the structure of such fragments in organic media and in SDS micelles [48°–49°, 50°]. The most striking findings are that, even in isotropic organic media, these fragments form α-helices over a length that corresponds closely to that in the native molecule; and, in addition, the conformation of most of the residues in the α-helical section of each peptide is constrained. These observations have interesting implications both regarding the nature of the factors that determine helix length and for model building.

Transmembrane helices can drive oligomerization

Although the single membrane-spanning helix of bitopic proteins has long been considered a mere hydrophobic anchor, it is increasingly often found to play a role in oligomerization. These findings, and their implications for transmembrane signalling, have been discussed in a number of recent reviews [4°, 5°, 51°]. In the case of the erythrocyte glycoprotein glycophorin A, for instance, it has been shown that the transmembrane anchor, even taken out of its normal protein context, is sufficient to cause oligomerization. SDS-resistant heterodimers have been obtained, in which an authentic molecule of glycophorin associates with a synthetic peptide mimicking its anchor [52]. In more recent studies, gene fusion was used to graft the glycophorin anchor onto a soluble, monomeric protein. Dimer formation was shown to depend on the exact sequence of the anchor [53°] and its sensitivity to amino acid substitutions was used to map the helix–helix interface [54°]. The observed periodicity suggests that the two helices form a right-handed supercoil, rather than the more usual left-handed one. This conclusion is supported by simulated annealing calculations [55°].

The maltose import system of E. coli is a 1:1:2 complex of two integral and one cytosolic protein, MalF, MalG and MalK, respectively [56°]. MalF has eight transmembrane helices and MalG has six. Recent work shows that MalG°, a two-helix fragment of MalG, stabilizes MalF against the degradation by endogenous proteases that is observed when MalF is expressed alone (CJ Panagiotidis et al., personal communication). It is not known whether the MalF–MalG° interaction is mediated simply by helix–helix contacts, because MalG° (128 residues) still harbours a rather extended periplasmic loop. Because earlier work had shown that MalF expressed along with MalG° could subsequently associate with full length MalG [57°], it follows that the MalF–MalG° interaction is reversible.

Another interesting case of association with an incomplete IMP may be offered by subunit D1 of the photosystem II RC, a five-helix protein that binds several cofactors. The kinetics of D1 synthesis exhibit several
pauses (of uncertain origin). These have been postulated to facilitate co-translational binding of prosthetic groups to freshly inserted helices, thereby protecting the incomplete protein against co-translational proteolytic degradation [58].

Plasticity of \( \alpha \)-helical transmembrane regions

It has been shown that deletion of several transmembrane helices from BR or lac permease molecules by biochemical or genetic means results in non-functional species. However, functional complementation is observed when two such molecules bearing different deletions are either reconstituted or expressed simultaneously, even though the complex thus formed has an excess of transmembrane segments [59,60**]. The implication is that the two incomplete bundles are destabilized and hence can engage in intermolecular associations. They recruit missing helices from a complementary molecule, forming normal, but functional, heterodimers. Redundant helices in the complex presumably remain transmembrane, but are expelled to the side of a native-like, hybrid bundle [6*]. An example of redundant helices being inserted within an unfragmented IMP has also been described [61].

Elongation, shortening, fragmentation or fusion of transmembrane regions are likely to have been common events in the course of evolution [6*]. In the cytochrome \( c \) oxidase family, for instance, genes encoding proteins homologous to mitochondrial subunits I and III are fused into a single gene in one of the oxidases of \textit{Sulfolobus acidocaldarius} (M Lübben et al., personal communication). In several other bacteria, subunits I and III are separate, but two of the transmembrane helices appear to have transferred from one subunit to the other, compared with the mitochondrial subunits [62]. It has been suggested recently that BR and G-protein coupled receptors have evolved from a common ancestor by shuffling segments of homologous to mitochondrial subunits I and III are fused on the microassembly of Integral Membrane Proteins. Amnu Rev Biophys Biophys Chem 1990, 29:4031-4037.

The diversity of folding schemes allowed to IMPs within these constraints remains one of the most interesting open questions.

Progress is being made continuously in the development of high resolution EM and in growing two-dimensional and 3D IMP crystals. Our database of atomic structures will inevitably increase, and, with a wider knowledge of structural diversity, the risks inherent in trying to identify general folding principles will diminish. These general rules will remain indispensable for interpreting data gathered on proteins whose high-resolution structure remains beyond our reach.
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