Biological investigation of N-methyl thiosemicarbazones as antimicrobial agents and bacterial carbonic anhydrases inhibitors
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ABSTRACT

The enormous burden of the COVID-19 pandemic in economic and healthcare terms has cast a shadow on the serious threat of antimicrobial resistance, increasing the inappropriate use of antibiotics and shifting the focus of drug discovery programmes from antibacterial and antifungal fields. Thus, there is a pressing need for new antimicrobials involving innovative modes of action (MoAs) to avoid cross-resistance rise. Thiosemicarbazones (TSCs) stand out due to their easy preparation and polypharmacological application, also in infectious diseases. Recently, we reported a small library of TSCs (1–9) that emerged for their non-cytotoxic behaviour. Inspired by their multifaceted activity, we investigated the antibacterial, antifungal, and antidermatophytal profiles of derivatives 1–9, highlighting a new promising research line. Furthermore, the ability of these compounds to inhibit selected microbial and human carbonic anhydrases (CAs) was assessed, revealing their possible involvement in the MoA and a good selectivity index for some derivatives.
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1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has been defined as “a slow tsunami” able to fast blow all currently available antibiotic treatments. The recent public health emergency of the COVID-19 pandemic contributed to the dramatic increase of the AMR phenomena due to the high rate of prescribed antibiotics in hospitalised patients, despite the causative agents being identified in less than one-third of the cases. In addition, COVID-19 containment campaigns led to an overuse of sanitisers and biocides, promoting cross-resistance and reduction or loss of antibiotic sensitivity. Several cases of secondary infections from the bacteria Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus and the opportunistic fungi from Candida species were recorded and are alerting the scientific community. Moreover, besides systemic fungal infections, mycoses of skin, nails, and hair caused by dermatophytes are generating a great concern, since they are estimated to affect a large percentage of the global population. Indeed, even if not lethal, these infections negatively impact the quality of life of patients and can become invasive in immuno-compromised and predisposing conditions. However, although the link between inflammatory skin conditions and COVID-19 is not proven, patients with a defective skin barrier are more susceptible to other infections, worsening the risk of contracting COVID-19-related diseases. Furthermore, resistant phenotypes along with non-standardized treatment protocols impair the outcomes.
especially for diseases due to _Trichophyton_ species, one of the commonest dermatophytes infecting mammals.

In the last two years, no new antibiotics received the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval and few Research & Development (R&D) projects focussed on new therapeutic strategies against the microbial infections referred as to “high-risk” by the World Health Organisation (WHO) and the Centre of Disease Control (CDC). Moreover, the Antimicrobial Resistance Benchmark of 2021 agrees in noticing that the number of new antimicrobials developed is far minor than those losing their effectiveness and few or no drug candidates against _Candida_ species and _H. pylori_, respectively, are in advanced clinical trials to date.

In this frame, drug discovery efforts are addressed on the search for new antimicrobial agents endowed with chemical and mechanistic innovation to enlarge the smaller and smaller clinically available drugs armamentarium and tackle AMR. Among the most promising pharmacological targets, the spotlight has turned to the carbonic anhydrases (CAs), ubiquitous metalloenzymes involved in the CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ balance in multiple biological pathways, since their high conservation rate and druggability.

Also in bacterial and fungal kingdoms, CAs play crucial roles in the growth, pathogenicity, and virulence, and structural differences of the four microbial CA classes (α, β, γ, and δ) with respect to z-isomers in humans (hCA I and hCA II) were observed, laying the foundation for the development of highly selective inhibitors. Notably, relevant proof-of-concept of the CA inhibition and the in vivo antibacterial efficacy confirmed this valuable strategy and several CAs from _S. aureus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, H. pylori_, and other microorganisms were recently detected and characterised, allowing the design of nanomolar inhibitors.

Thiosemicarbazones (TSCs) are a class of compounds widely explored in the medicinal chemistry field due to their relevant broad-spectrum biological activity, often related to their ability to complex metals, such as enzyme cofactors and essential elements for cell life. Besides other biological activities, notable antimicrobial effects against both bacteria and fungal species were reported. Moreover, the high chemical versatility as key intermediates for heterocycles preparation, along with their fast and straightforward syntheses allowed to expand the TSC class and further explore their pharmacological potential.

Recently, focussed libraries of _N_-methyl TSC derivatives have been investigated as anti-MAO-B agents for a perspective application in Parkinson’s disease treatment, resulting in a relevant micromolar inhibitory activity. In particular, compounds 1–9, depicted in Figure 1, emerged for their non-toxic profiles, opening the door to different scenarios of biological investigation.

Hence, TSCs 1–9 were tested as antimicrobial agents towards Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial strains and fungal microorganisms, including representatives of opportunistic _Candida_ species and dermatophytes. In the end, the ability of this library to inhibit carbonic anhydrases was assessed through the well-validated stopped-flow CO$_2$ hydrase assay. The expectation of interaction with these enzymes is justified by the propensity of TSC function to act as ligand donors, coordinating different transition metal ions, such as zinc or others, by its hydrazine nitrogen and sulphur atom. Furthermore, the presence of an additional heterocatom close to the TSC function (as the thiophene sulphur in compounds 8 and 9) is hypothesised to confer an increased flexible multi-dentate chelating ability, generating complexes with different coordination geometries.

![Figure 1. Molecular structures of _N_-methyl TSCs 1–9 investigated in this work.](https://ppb2.gdb.tools, accessed on 25 January 2022)

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Chemistry

Compounds 1–9 were prepared via a clean and high-yielding synthetic approach by reacting the suitable methylketones and _N_-methylthiosemicarbamide in ethanol with a catalytic amount of acetic acid as previously reported.

#### 2.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

In vitro antimicrobial activity of TSCs 1–9 was assessed against 8 Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial strains, namely _B. subtilis_ (PeruMyCa 6), _S. aureus_ (ATCC 6538), _B. cereus_ (ATCC 12826), _E. coli_ (ATCC 10536), _E. coli_ (PeruMyCa 2), _E. coli_ (PeruMyCa 3), _P. aeruginosa_ (ATCC 15442), and _S. typhi_ (PeruMyCa 7); 4 yeasts from _Candida_ species, namely _C. tropicalis_ (YEPGA 6184), _C. albicans_ (YPEA 6379), _C. albicans_ (YPEG 6138), and _C. parapsilosis_ (YPEA 6551); and 10 dermatophyte species, namely _T. mentagrophytes_ (CCF 4823), _T. tonsurans_ (CCF 4834), _T. rubrum_ (CCF 4879), _T. rubrum_ (CCF 4933), _T. mentagrophytes_ (CCF 5930), _A. quadrididum_ (CCF 5792), _A. crocatum_ (CCF 5300), _A. curreyi_ (CCF 5207), _A. insigilale_ (CCF 5417), and _A. gypseum_ (CCF 6261).

The tested microbial strains are from the ATCC (https://www.atcc.org/), CCF (Culture Collection of Fungi, from the Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic), and PeruMyCa (from the Department of Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology, University of Perugia, Italy) cultures and are available upon request. The antimicrobial activities of TSCs 1–9 were compared to reference drugs: ciprofloxacin (CIP), fluconazole (FLU), and griseofulvin (GRI) for antibacterial, antifungal, and antidermatophytal activities, respectively. Tested compounds were prepared as 3 mg/mL stock solution in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) and then used in the range 1.56–50 μg/mL. Each experiment of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) evaluation was performed in triplicate. Geometric means and MIC ranges were calculated. MICs on bacterial strains were determined according to the broth microdilution method of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Susceptibility testing against yeasts and filamentous fungi was performed according to the CLSI protocols. The experimental conditions were already reported.

#### 2.3. In silico targets investigation

Protein targets prediction was performed for representative compounds of the TSC library through the online PPB2 tool (https://ppb2.gdb.tools, accessed on 25 January 2022) by inserting
CO2 concentrations ranged from 1.7 to 17 mM for the determinations. We prepared the inhibitor (0.1 mM) in distilled-deionized water and dilutions up to 0.01 nM were done with the blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeation properties of TSCs 1–9 were evaluated using the online BBB prediction tool (https://www.cbligand.org/BBB, accessed on 25 January 2022) and the scores were determined using the MAACS fingerprint. TSCs 2–9 resulted in having “positive” BBB permeation properties, as shown by the obtained score values >0.02, whereas compound 1 was found “negative”, but “positive” by all the other available algorithms. The SwissADME server (http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php, accessed on 29 January 2022) was used to predict the Log Kp values for skin permeation assessment. All tested compounds displayed values ranging from −6.05 to −7.29 cm/sec, resulting in a good permeative property.

2.6. In silico absorption evaluation
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2.4. Expression and purification of the bacterial CAs

CAs of interest were produced in E. coli (DE3) competent cells through the suitable vector expression and, then, purified, as previously reported.

2.5. Carbonic anhydrase inhibition studies

An Applied Photophysics stopped-flow instrument was used for assaying the CA catalysed CO2 hydration activity. Phenol red (at a concentration of 0.2 mM) was used as indicator, working at the absorbance maximum of 557 nm, with 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) (pH 7.40) for the strains.
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CAs of interest were produced in E. coli (DE3) competent cells through the suitable vector expression and, then, purified, as previously reported.

2.5. Carbonic anhydrase inhibition studies

An Applied Photophysics stopped-flow instrument was used for assaying the CA catalysed CO2 hydration activity. Phenol red (at a concentration of 0.2 mM) was used as indicator, working at the absorbance maximum of 557 nm, with 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) (pH 7.40) for the strains.

3. Results and discussion
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2.6. In silico absorption evaluation
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2.4. Expression and purification of the bacterial CAs

CAs of interest were produced in E. coli (DE3) competent cells through the suitable vector expression and, then, purified, as previously reported.

2.5. Carbonic anhydrase inhibition studies

An Applied Photophysics stopped-flow instrument was used for assaying the CA catalysed CO2 hydration activity. Phenol red (at a concentration of 0.2 mM) was used as indicator, working at the absorbance maximum of 557 nm, with 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) (pH 7.40) for the strains.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Antimicrobial activity of TSCs 1–9 against bacterial, fungal, and dermatophyte species

The polypharmacology of TSCs prompted us to evaluate the antibacterial activity of TSCs against bacterial, fungal, and dermatophyte species (in vitro).

In particular, the antibacterial activity of TSCs 1–9 was assessed by determining MIC values on three Gram-positive strains (B. subtilis, S. aureus, and B. cereus) and five Gram-negative (three E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and S. typhi), including environmental isolates collected in Perugia (Italy) and ciprofloxacin (CIP) was used as a reference control. Data are reported in Table 1.

All the compounds resulted to be inactive at the highest tested concentration tested (50 µg/mL) versus B. cereus and B. subtilis, E. coli, and S. typhi isolates. Interestingly, a different activity profile is displayed by all the other available algorithms. The SwissADME server (http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php, accessed on 29 January 2022) was used to predict the Log Kp values for skin permeation assessment. All tested compounds displayed values ranging from −6.05 to −7.29 cm/sec, resulting in a good permeative property.

TSCs 1–9 were also tested against yeasts clinical isolates: two strains belonging to C. albicans (YPEGA 6379 and YEPGA 6183), C. tropicalis (YPEGA 6184), and C. parapsilosis (YPEGA 6551) with

| Cpd  | BuS | Sau | Eco 1 | Eco 2 | Pae | Sty |
|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| 1    | >50 | 39.68 (25–50) | >50 | 9.92 (6.25–12.5) | >50 | >50 |
| 2    | >50 | >50 | >50 | 4.95 (3.12–6.25) | >50 | >50 |
| 3    | >50 | >50 | >50 | 7.87 (6.25–12.5) | >50 | >50 |
| 4    | >50 | 39.68 (25–50) | >50 | 19.84 (12.5–25) | >50 | 39.68 (25–50) |
| 5    | >50 | >50 | >50 | 2.45 (1.52–3.12) | >50 | >50 |
| 6    | >50 | >50 | >50 | 15.75 (12.5–25) | >50 | >50 |
| 7    | >50 | 39.68 (25–50) | >50 | 4.95 (3.12–6.25) | >50 | >50 |
| 8    | >50 | 39.68 (25–50) | >50 | 9.92 (6.25–12.5) | >50 | >50 |
| 9    | >50 | >50 | >50 | 31.49 (25–50) | >50 | >50 |
| CIP  | <0.125 | 0.62 (0.49–0.98) | <0.125 | 1.23 (0.98–1.95) | 0.62 (0.49–0.98) | 1.23 (0.98–1.95) |

aMICs are expressed as the geometric mean of three independent replicates. MIC range concentrations are reported within brackets. Tested strains are: BuS: B. subtilis PerumycA 6, Sau: S. aureus ATCC 6538, Bce: B. cereus ATCC 12826, Eco: E. coli ATCC 10536, Eco 1: E. coli PerumycA 2, Eco 2: E. coli PerumycA 3, Pae: P. aeruginosa ATCC 15442, and Sty: S. typhi PerumycA 7. bClinical isolates, bEnvironmental isolates. Ciprofloxacin (CIP) was used as a reference control in these assays. |
respect to fluconazole as a reference drug (data not shown). All compounds resulted to be inactive at the highest tested concentration (MIC values > 50 µg/mL), with the exception of compound 8 which showed a notable MIC value of 9.92 (as the geometric mean of an experiment in triplicate).

Additionally, compounds 1–9 were tested against multiple species of dermatophytes (Trichophytton spp. and Arthroderma spp.) and MIC values are reported in Table 2 with respect to griseofulvin (GRI) as a reference drug.

T. mentagrophytes (CCF 4823) and T. rubrum (CCF 4879) were found to be not susceptible to the TSC library, with the exception of compounds 4 and 6 on the first and second strains, respectively. Contrary, compound 5, characterised by an indole ring, exerts the most potent activity against the tested panel and phe-nylsulfone 2 shows a good profile versus Arthroderma species, highlighting a selective behaviour.

3.2. Target prediction

The interesting biological profile of this class of compounds prompted us to investigate its mechanism of action and molecular targets. Thus, we performed a prediction analysis with the online Polypharmacology Browser PPB265, highlighting, besides MAO-A and MAO-B, numerous putative targets, such as CAs.

3.3. Ca inhibition activity

Our large expertise in the characterisation and study of CAs also in the medicinal chemistry field, supported by the target prediction results and the strong chelating capability of TSCs, led us to investigate the interaction of our compounds library with CA enzymes and their zinc cofactor. Moreover, very recently, a docking study on a TSC derivative in the binding site of a βCA from a Candida species revealed that the zinc-binding ability of TSC moiety is minor than that of the sulfamoyl group present in the compound, ruling the pose, even if a relevant hydrogen-bonding pattern was established by the TSC nitrogen atoms with the enzyme66.

Hence, we assessed the inhibitory activity of compounds 1–9 on a panel of 6 bacterial CAs cloned and purified from S. aureus (Sau/CA), E. coli (Eco/CA and Ecoγ/CA), P. aeruginosa (psCA3-J), and H. pylori (Hp/CA and Hpγ/CA) and the human (h) CA I and II isoforms by means of the stopped-flow technique applied to the CO2 hydrase assay67. The inhibition data, compared to those of the standard sulphonamide inhibitor acetazolamide (AAZ), are reported in Figure 2.

Differently from the pan-isoform CA inhibitor AAZ, absent (K<sub>I</sub> > 1000 µM) or very low (K<sub>I</sub> > 800 µM) inhibitory activity was detected against hCAs I and II for TSCs 4–7 and 1–3, 5, 9, respectively, highlighting a promising selectivity towards bacteria for this series with respect to the nanomolar pan-inhibitor AAZ. Compounds 1, 2, and 9 resulted to be selective on Ecoγ/CA and psCA3-J with a selectivity index (K<sub>I</sub> hCA I/K<sub>I</sub> bacterial CA) of approximately 10 and this activity profile is reflected also in antibacterial susceptibility in E. coli ATCC 10536 for phenyl derivatives 1 and 2.

TSCs 3 and 7 inhibit Ecoβ/CA with good K<sub>I</sub> values, while derivative 5 shows an interesting inhibitory activity on CAs from H. pylori. However, although the promising affinity and selectivity towards specific bacterial CAs, the antibacterial activity does not seem to be highly correlated to a unique CA-inhibition mode of action, even if it surely contributes to the biological profile of such compounds.

3.4. Absorption prediction

Finally, additional value to TSCs 1–9 was given by the prediction that they could cross the BBB and be absorbed by skin, as assessed through the BBB Predictor68 and SwissADME69 tools, respectively. These calculations could allow a further investigation for central nervous system (CNS) infections and confirm the applicability in infection-related skin diseases.

### Table 2. MICs of TSCs 1–9 on representative dermatophytal strains.

| Cpd | Tmen | Tto | Trub | Trub | Tmen | Aqu | Acro | Acu | Ains | Agyp |
|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|------|
|     |      |     |      |      |      |     |      |     |      |      |
| 1   | <50  | 9.92|   >50|   >50|   >50| 4.95| 1.56|  1.56|  1.96|  2.47|
| 2   | >50  | >50 | <50  | <50  | <50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| 3   | >50  | 2.45| >50  | >50  | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| 4   | 18.84| 7.87| >50  | <50  | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| 5   | >50  | 2.45| >50  | >50  | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| 6   | >50  | 2.45| 39.68| >50  | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| 7   | >50  | 2.45| >50  | >50  | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| 8   | >50  | 2.45| >50  | >50  | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| 9   | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50 | >50  | >50  |
| GRI | 2.52 | 0.198| 3.175| 1.26| 3.174| 2.47| 1.56| 1.56| 1.56| 1.56|

*MICs are expressed as the geometric mean of three independent replicates. MIC range concentrations are reported in brackets. Tested strains are: Tmen: Trichophytton mentagrophytes CCF 4823, Tto: Trichophytton tonsurans CCF 4834, Trub: Trichophytton rubrum CCF 4879, Trub: Trichophytton rubrum CCF 4933, Tmen: Trichophytton mentagrophytes CCF 5930, Aqu: Arthroderma quadrifidum CCF 5792, Acro: Arthroderma crocatum CCF 5300, Acu: Arthroderma curreyi CCF 5207, Ains: Arthroderma insigulare CCF 5417, and Agyp: Arthroderma gypseum CCF 6261. Griseofulvin (GRI) was used as a reference control in these assays.*
4. Conclusions

In the alarming frame of the COVID-19 pandemic, secondary infections and AMR are becoming a serious concern for Public Health. Several research programmes are now focussing on the development of new antimicrobial agents or the exploration of the antimicrobial properties of in-house libraries to propose new therapeutic strategies.

Encouraged by the widely reported multifaceted pharmacology of the TSC chemical class, we investigated the antimicrobial activity of an in-house small library of TSCs previously developed as anti-MAO agents. Thus, selected derivatives (1–9) were tested on a wide panel of infective bacterial and fungal strains. In particular, biological evaluations were performed on representative Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains, including environmental isolates, fungi belonging to Candida species, and dermatophytes, such as Trichophyton and Arthroderma species.

As regards the antibacterial profiles, several derivatives resulted to be very active against E. coli strains from the ATCC library (MICs range 2.45–9.92 µg/mL) and one environmental isolate (MICs range 7.87–9.92 µg/mL). Unfortunately, the good antibacterial profile is not maintained on a second isolate (MICs >50 µg/mL), suggesting one or more specific molecular targets but also the existence of at least one already developed resistant phenotype.

Although all the compounds were found inactive against Candida spp. at 50 µg/mL, interesting data were collected from the antidermatophytal susceptibility evaluation. In fact, several compounds exhibited potent activity against both Trichophyton and Arthroderma strains and the prediction of a good skin permeation could suggest their applicability in skin infectious diseases.

The investigation of the molecular mode of action of TSC compounds and our expertise in CAs drove us to assess the inhibitory activity of such derivatives towards selected CAs from bacteria. TSCs 1, 2, and 9 were found highly selective in inhibiting specific CA isoforms from E. coli and P. aeruginosa, whereas compounds 3 and 7 were found more potent against CAs of H. pylori. However, despite the interesting affinity and (human/bacterial) selectivity towards distinct CAs, their inhibition is expected to be one of several molecular targets, as suggested by the higher micromolar values of $K_I$s.
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