AHLs Regulate Biofilm Formation and Swimming Motility of Hafnia alvei H4
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The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of N-acyl homoserine lactones (AHLs) in the regulation of swimming motility of Hafnia alvei H4 and its biofilm formation on 96-well plate, glass and stainless-steel surfaces. The luxI gene, which codes for an enzyme involved in AHL synthesis, was deleted to generate a luxI mutant (ΔluxI). The mutant produced no AHL, and the relative expression of the luxR gene was significantly (P < 0.05) decreased. In addition, qRT-PCR analysis showed that the relative expression of the luxR gene in ΔluxI was stimulated by the presence of exogenous AHLs (C4-HSL, C6-HSL, and 3-o-C8-HSL) added at concentrations ranging from of 50–250 µg/ml. Among the three AHLs, C6-HSL had the strongest effect. The ability of ΔluxI to form biofilm on 96-well plate, glass and stainless-steel surfaces was significantly reduced (P < 0.05) compared with the wild type (WT), but was increased when provided with 150 µg/ml C4-HSL, whereas C6-HSL and 3-o-C8-HSL had no effect. Scanning electron microscopy analysis of the biofilm revealed less bacteria adhering to the surface of stainless-steel and fewer filaments were found binding to the cells compared with the WT. Furthermore, ΔluxI also exhibited significant (P < 0.05) decrease in the expression of biofilm- and swimming motility-related genes, flgA, motA and cheA, consistent with the results observed for biofilm formation and swimming motility. Taken together, the results suggested that in H. alvei H4, C4-HSL may act as an important molecular signal through regulating the ability of the cells to form biofilm, as well as through regulating the swimming motility of the cell, and this could provide a new way to control these phenotypes of H. alvei in food processing.
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INTRODUCTION

Quorum sensing (QS) is a cell-to-cell communication system used by bacteria, and it is widely by both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (Ammor et al., 2008). Bacteria secret several kinds of chemical compounds that can act as signaling molecules [autoinducers (AIs)]. N-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL), also known as AI-1, is secreted by Gram-negative bacteria, and the communication mechanism of this compound involves AHL synthase (LuxI) and the transcription factor LuxR, which is responsible for controlling gene expression in the presence of AHLs. The
LuxI/LuxR system has become the model system of AHL-mediated quorum sensing, and the quorum-sensing system of Gram-negative is based on this system. LuxI synthesizes AHLs and it is encoded by the luxI gene. LuxR is encoded by the luxR gene, and it acts by binding to AHLs, thereby stimulating the expression of these genes in the presence of AHLs. The LuxI/LuxR complex is responsible for the up- or down-regulation of multiple target genes, such as those that code for pectinase, cellulase, and protease (Swift et al., 2001). Autoinducer-2 (AI-2) is synthesized from 4,5-dihydroxy-2,3-pentanedione (DPD) by LuxS, and it is used by Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria in interspecies communication. Peptides and derived peptides, generally serve as signaling molecules in Gram-positive bacteria (Bai and Rai, 2011).

Biofilm is a bacterial self-protection growth pattern and it is formed by the aggregation of bacterial cells within an extracellular matrix, which is mainly made of exopolymers (EPS) (Wang J. et al., 2016), and the adherence of bacterial cells to a solid surface depends on the EPS that the cells secrete (Jung et al., 2013). In general, some pathogens and spoilage bacteria can adhere to the solid surfaces that can come into contact with food, such as the surfaces of food processing machines and packaging materials. These bacteria may then form biofilms, and the biofilms will allow the cells to become more resistant to cleaning treatments, and enable them to contaminate the food during subsequent processing (Gounadaki et al., 2008; Bai and Rai, 2011). This will effectively facilitate the transmission of the bacteria to the consumers via the contaminated food, eventually causing infections. Biofilms have been recognized as a frequent source of bacterial infections (Costerton et al., 1999). According to a report by Janssens et al. (2008), nearly 80% of persistent bacterial infections in the US were found to be related to biofilms. The formation of biofilm is a multi-step process, which consists of initial attachment, irreversible attachment, early development of biofilm architecture (microcolony formation), maturation and dispersion (Srey et al., 2013). Quorum sensing appears to be involved in all the steps of the process. Promotion and inhibition of biofilm formation by exogenous AHLs have been reported for Shewanella baltica (Zhao et al., 2016), Serratia A2 and Aeromonas B1 (Zhang et al., 2016), Vibrio parahaemolyticus (Bai and Rai, 2016), and Pseudomonas sp. HF-1 (Wang et al., 2012), suggesting that QS has a regulatory role in biofilm formation.

H. alvei is a Gram-negative, short-rod-shaped, flagellated bacterium that belongs to the family Enterobacteriaceae, which is considered as an opportunistic pathogen of humans and animals (Tan et al., 2014). However, despite being classified as a member of the Enterobacteriaceae family, H. alvei is still far from being virulent and pathogenic (Vivas et al., 2008). H. alvei is a common bacterial food contaminant (Liu et al., 2006), and it has been frequently isolated from spoiled food products, especially in chill-stored proteinaceous raw food, like refrigerated spherical fish paste (Tan et al., 2014), vacuum-packed beef (Bruhn et al., 2004) and raw milk (Viana et al., 2009). The strong tendency of H. alvei to adhere to solid surface and to form biofilm has been reported by Viana et al. (2009) and Hou et al. (2017), and it is considered to be a potentially important factor that causes food contamination and food spoilage. Therefore, it is necessary to look for effective ways to control biofilm formation. To our knowledge, fewer studies have studied the regulatory mechanism of quorum sensing of H. alvei with respect to biofilm formation and the motility of the cells in an artificial medium. Understanding more about the mechanism by which quorum sensing can impact biofilm formation will open up a new way to tackle the problem of food contamination by bacteria, and help safeguard better food quality and prevent food-poising.

In our previous study, we isolated a strain of H. alvei (H. alvei H4) from spoiled instant sea cucumber, and identified three kinds of AHLs secreted by this bacterium. These AHLs are C4-HSL, C6-HSL, and 3-o-C8-HSL. In addition, we also detected a significant influence of AHLs on the biofilm formation of H. alvei H4 (Hou et al., 2017). In this study, a luxI mutant of H. alvei H4 was constructed to conduct further research on the regulatory roles of C4-HSL, C6-HSL, and 3-o-C8-HSL in biofilm formation and swimming motility of H. alvei H4.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are presented in Table 1. Chromobacterium violaceum CV026, and H. alvei H4 were routinely cultured at 30°C, while Escherichia coli was grown at 37°C. All strains were grown in LB medium (Luria Bertani, 10 g/l tryptone, 5 g/l yeast extract, 10 g/l NaCl) supplemented with antibiotics where appropriate (50 µg/ml ampicillin and 34 µg/ml chloramphenicol in the case of E. coli culture or 20 µg/ml chloramphenicol for the H. alvei H4 mutant).

Construction of ΔluxI Strain

To construct a luxI-deficient strain of H. alvei H4, a chloramphenicol resistance marker (CmR) was inserted into the genomic DNA of H. alvei H4 at the luxI locus. Briefly, a 608-bp upstream homologous recombination arm and a 654-bp downstream homologous recombination arm of the luxI gene were amplified from the gDNA of H. alvei H4 and then cloned into the plasmid pUC19 to yield the construct pUC19-ΔluxI. The two DNA fragments were linked by a BamHI restriction.

| Strains and plasmids | Relevant characteristic(s) | Source/references |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| C. violaceum CV026   | mini-Tn-5 mutant of ATCC31532, violacein reporter, KmR | McClean et al. (1997) |
| H. alvei H4          | ΔluxI                     | This study        |
| E. coli2155          | Wild type                 | Purchased from Takara |
| E. coli TOP10        | H4 derivative, ΔluxI::CmR | Purchased from Takara |
| PVD442               | General cloning strain, StrR | Songon         |
| Puc19                | Suicide plasmid, SacB, oriT, AmR | Purchased from Takara |

TABLE 1 | Strains and plasmids used in this study.
site. The chloramphenicol resistance maker (Cm\textsuperscript{R}) was amplified from the plasmid pKD3, and then cloned into pUC19-\textDelta luxI at a site between the upstream and downstream homologous recombination arm fragments, yielding the construct pUC19-\textDelta luxI-Cm. Target fragment in pUC19-\textDelta luxI-Cm was subcloned and ligated into the suicide plasmid pCVD4422 to yield the construct pCVD442-\textDelta luxI-Cm, which was then introduced into \textit{E. coli} 2155 by electroporation. Conjugation between \textit{E. coli} 2155 harboring pCVD442-\textDelta luxI-Cm and \textit{H. alvei} H4 was then performed. The mutant colonies obtained were verified by PCR and DNA sequencing. Primers used in this section see Table 2.

**AHLs Production**

\textit{Chromobacterium violaceum} CV026 was used as the biosensor strain to detect the production of AHLs by \textit{H. alvei} H4 WT and \textDelta luxI, since in the presence of AHLs, \textit{C. violaceum} CV026 would produce a purple pigment that could be easily detected. This assay was performed as described by Viana et al. (2009). Briefly, AHLs were extracted from the corresponding bacterial culture. Aliquot (100 ml) of an overnight culture was centrifuged at 8000 \texttimes g for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was added to an equal volume of ethyl acetate containing 0.1% acetic acid (v/v) followed by thorough mixing. The mixture was then incubated at 25°C for 2 h with shaking at 180 rpm. After that, the ethyl acetate layer was removed and freeze-dried under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in 1 ml ultra-pure water. To prepare the plates for the assay, 80 ml LB agar medium was cooled to about 60°C, mixed with 20 ml overnight culture of CV026, and then poured into sterile plates (20 ml per plate). Holes were punched into the solidified medium at the center of each plate using a sterile 1 ml pipette tip, and 60 l were punched into the solidified medium at the center of each plate for the assay, 80 ml LB agar medium was cooled to about 60°C, mixed with 20 ml overnight culture of CV026, and then poured into sterile plates (20 ml per plate). Holes were punched into the solidified medium at the center of each plate using a sterile 1 ml pipette tip, and 60 l of the AHL extract was dispensed into the hole. The plates were incubated at 30°C until the purple zone appeared around the point of AHL application.

**Biofilm Formation on 96-Well-Plate**

Biofilm formation was measured using the microplate assay as described by Hou et al. (2017), but with some modifications. Briefly, culture of \textit{H. alvei} H4 WT and \textDelta luxI were grown to an OD\textsubscript{600} value of 1.0, and then diluted 100-fold in LB medium. The diluted culture was dispensed into a 96-well plate polypropylene microtiter plate (Corning, NY, United States) using 200 l per well. After incubation at 30°C for 24 h, the OD\textsubscript{600} of the culture was measured. After that, the culture medium was removed and washed off unattached cells by washing each well with 250 l of 10 mM PBS (pH 7.2). A total of three washes were performed. This was followed by the addition of 250 l anhydrous methanol and a 15-min incubation to fix the cells. Subsequently, 250 l of 0.1% (v/v) crystal violet solution was added to each well and the plate was incubated at room temperature for 15 min and rinsed three times with deionized water (250 l per rinse). The crystal violet was dissolved by the addition of 200 l 33% glacial acetic acid followed by shaking at 300 rpm for 15 min. Biofilm formation was finally analyzed by measuring the absorbance of the plate at OD\textsubscript{590 nm} using a Spectra M2 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, United States). Biofilm was finally analyzed by measuring the absorbance of the plate at OD\textsubscript{590 nm} using a Spectra M2 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, United States). Biofilm formation was finally analyzed by measuring the absorbance of the plate at OD\textsubscript{590 nm} using a Spectra M2 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, United States).

**Biofilm Formation on Glass Surface**

To examine the effect of quorum sensing on biofilms formed by \textit{H. alvei} H4 on the glass surface, biofilm formation was investigated as described by Cai et al. (2018) with some modifications. Briefly, an overnight bacterial culture was diluted 100-fold in fresh LB medium, and 2 ml of the diluted culture was added to a glass tube (1 cm \texttimes 10 cm). As a control, 2 ml of LB was added to a separate glass tube. Both tubes were incubated at 30°C for 24 h without shaking. After incubation, the culture medium was gently removed, and each tube was washed three times with 2.5 ml PBS, and then with 2.5 ml anhydrous methanol followed by drying at 60°C for 15 min. The tube was then stained with 2.5 ml 0.1% CV (v/v) for 15 min at room temperature followed by three washes with 2.5 ml ultra-pure water and drying at 60°C. The remaining CV on the inner surface of the tube was dissolved in 1.0 ml of 33% glacial acetic acid (v/v) with vortexing, and 200 l of the sample was transferred to a new 96-well plate and the optical density of the sample at 590 nm was measured with a microplate reader (Spectra M2; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, United States).

**Biofilm Formation on Stainless Steel**

Stainless steels (type: 304) were cut into strips (1 cm \texttimes 3 cm \texttimes 0.2 mm) and processed as described by Tapia-Rodriguez et al. (2017). An overnight culture of \textit{H. alvei} H4 WT and \textDelta luxI were diluted 100-fold with LB medium to a cell density of about 10\textsuperscript{6} CFU/ml. Aliquot (10 ml) of the diluted culture was placed in a test tube (1.5 cm \texttimes 10 cm) containing

| TABLE 2 | Primers used for the construction of \textDelta luxI. |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| **Primer name** | **Primer sequences** |
| Upstream of luxI | ATAGAAATTCTCGAGCATCACATTGATGTCAGACCTGAAAGATTTT (EcoRI-SalI) |
| Downstream of luxI | ATAGGAATCCATCTGAGATTGAGATTGACGTTTAT (BamHI-HI) |
| Chloramphenicol resistance gene region | ATAGGAATCCATCTGAGATTGAGATTGACGTTTAT (BamHI-HI) |

*The underlined are restriction sites.*
To measure the swimming motility of *H. alvei* × performed at 3.0 kV under 5000 and 50000 subjected to dehydration under a graded series of tert-butanol. Followed by washing with 0.1 M PBS. The stainless steel was washed with sterile 0.1 M PBS, and then spread onto an LB agar plate. The plate was incubated at 30°C for 24 h and the colonies appearing on the plate were then counted.

**Biofilms Detected by SEM**

*H. alvei* H4 WT and ΔluxI were separately incubated in LB medium for 16 h at 30°C with shaking at 150 rpm. The culture was then diluted to a final density of about 1.0 × 10⁷ CFU/ml. First, 1 ml of the diluted culture was dispensed onto a sterile stainless steel (1 cm × 1 cm × 0.2 mm) placed inside a 24-well microtiter plate and cultured at 30°C for 24 h. The biofilm deposited on the stainless steel was then prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis according to the method described by Nithya et al. (2010). Briefly, the stainless steel was gently washed with sterile 0.1 M PBS, and the biofilm was fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution for 2 h followed by washing with 0.1 M PBS. The stainless steel was subjected to dehydration under a graded series of tert-butanol. This was followed by critical point drying, gold sputtering and SEM observation (Quanta 450, Waltham, MA, United States) performed at 3.0 kV under 5000 and 50000× magnifications as described previously (Hou et al., 2018).

**Swimming Motility Assay**

To measure the swimming motility of *H. alvei* H4 and ΔluxI, motility agar (2.6 g/l agar, 10 g/l tryptone, 5 g/l NaCl) (Zhu and Winans, 1998) was used. *H. alvei* H4 or ΔluxI was incubated in LB medium at 30°C until the OD₆₀₀ nm of the cultures reached 1.0. Aliquot (3 μl) of the culture was spotted at the center of the motility agar plate followed by incubation at 30°C. The extent of motility was assessed by measuring the diameter of the zone spread from the point of inoculation. To detect the effect of AHLs on the swimming motility of ΔluxI, C4-HSL, C6-HSL, and 3-o-C8-HSL were added to separate motility agar plates. The final concentrations of C4-HSL, C6-HSL, and 3-o-C8-HSL in the plates were 150, 200, and 100 μg/ml, respectively. Swimming motility was determined as described above.

**Real-Time PCR Assay**

*H. alvei* H4 WT and ΔluxI was cultured in LB medium the absence or presence of AHLs (C4-HSL, C6-HSL, and 3-o-C8-HSL) at 30°C with shaking at 150 rpm for 16 h. When the OD₆₀₀ nm of the cultures reached 1.65, the cells were harvested and subjected to total RNA extraction using a RNAPrep Pure Bacteria Kit (DP430, TIANGEN, Beijing). About 500 ng of total RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA using a PrimeScript™ Reagent kit (RR047, Takara, Japan). The reaction mixture consisted of 2 μL template cDNA, 12.5 μL SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ (RR420, Takara, Japan), 0.5 μL each of the forward and reverse primers (10 mM) (Table 3), and 9.5 μL RNA free water. Amplification was performed with a Step-One Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, United States) and consisted of 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 95°C for 30 s, and extension at 60°C for 45 s. The 16S rRNA gene was used as housekeeping control. The result was analyzed by 2⁻ΔΔCT method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008).

**Statistical Analysis**

Three replicate trials were carried out for each sample, and all the experiments were repeated three times. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS18.0 software, and expressed as means ± standard deviations (SDs). Statistical significance was considered at the *P* < 0.05 level. All graphs were drawn with OriginPro 8.6 software.

**RESULTS**

**AHLs Production by luxI Mutant**

Pigment production assay showed a violet zone in the center of the plate when CV026 was incubated with the ethyl acetate extract prepared from the culture supernatant of *H. alvei* H4 but not from the culture supernatant of ΔluxI (Figure 1), indicating the lack of AHLs production by ΔluxI. The assay demonstrated the dependence of AHLs production on a functional luxI gene.

**TABLE 3 | Primers used for RT-PCR.**

| Primer name | Function | Primer sequences |
|-------------|----------|------------------|
| 16S rRNA | 16S ribosomal RNA | 5′-TAOCCGCTGAATGCGTAG-3′, 5′-TOGGTTTACAGCGTGACTA-3′ |
| fliA | Flagella basal body | 5′-GGGTTACACCACATCCTG-3′, 5′-GGTAGGTGGTTGTAATCG-3′ |
| cheA | Chemotaxis protein | 5′-CCAACTCCGTGGTGGCTATG-3′, 5′-GAACATCGGGCGGCAAT-3′ |
| motA | Flagellar motor protein | 5′-TTGTCGGGCGTTGCTTAC-3′, 5′-TTGTCGGGCGTTGCTTAC-3′ |
| luxI | AHLs receptor | 5′-CCTATTGGCGGGCGGATG-3′, 5′-TTGTCGGGCGGCTTAC-3′ |

**FIGURE 1 | Detection of AHL production via the biosensor strain CV026.**

CV026 was cultured in the absence of ethyl acetate extract prepared from LB medium (A), *H. alvei* H4 culture supernatant (B), and ΔluxI culture supernatant (C).
Response of luxR to AHLs

The effect of luxI mutation was further investigated by measuring the level of luxR mRNA in ΔluxI and compared it with that of WT strain. ΔluxI exhibited about fivefold reduction in the level of luxR mRNA relative the WT (Figure 2A), which clearly suggested that the expression of the luxR gene in the mutant was significantly (P < 0.05) inhibited. However, in the presence of exogenous AHLs, the level of luxR mRNA gradually increased with increasing concentrations of AHLs, suggesting that the expression of luxR could be stimulated by the presence of AHLs (Figure 2B). Maximum increase in luxR mRNA level stimulated by AHL ranged from 5.5-fold in the case of C4-HSL to 6.5-fold in the case of C6-HSL, while 3-o-C8-HSL yielded somewhat lower increase (4.5-fold). Thus, C6-HSL appeared to exert the strongest stimulatory effect on the expression of luxR.

Biofilm Formation

Growth of H. alvei H4 was not affected by the deletion of the luxI gene, as shown by the similar growth curves between WT and ΔluxI in the absence of AHLs (Figure 3A). Furthermore, the addition of AHLs to the culture of ΔluxI also had not obvious effect on its growth. This suggested that growth of the bacterial cells was not dependent on the product of the luxI gene. However, deletion of the luxI gene had a significant effect on biofilm formation by H. alvei H4, as shown by the significantly higher level of biofilm formed by the wild type (WT) compared with ΔluxI (Figure 3B). Addition of AHLs to the culture of ΔluxI appeared to cause increase in biofilm formation. The extent of biofilm formation of ΔluxI in the presence of C4-HSL was significantly (P < 0.05) enhanced and almost similar to that of the WT strain. However, C6-HSL seemed to

![Figure 2](image-url)
Growth curve and biofilm formation of wild type (WT) H. alvei H4. (A) Growth curve; (B) Biofilm formation. Wild type H. alvei H4 was cultured in the absence of AHL only. ΔluxI was cultured both in the absence and presence of the indicated AHLs (150 µg/ml C4-HSL, 200 µg/ml C6-HSL and 100 µg/ml 3-o-C8-HSL), respectively. Data are the means ± SEMs (n = 3). Different letters above the columns indicate differences at the P < 0.05 level.

Biofilm formation of ΔluxI was also achieved at the presence of 3-o-C8-HSL, but still far less than that of C4-HSL on ΔluxI and WT strain. The result suggested that C4-HSL could be the AHL with major influence on biofilm formation.

Biofilms on Glass
An intense zone of purple stain was found on the wall of the glass tube used to culture WT strain, indicating the presence of biofilm (Figure 4A). On the other hand, only very slight purple staining was found on the wall of the glass tube that was used to culture ΔluxI, suggesting a lack of biofilm being formed by ΔluxI. Addition of C4-HSL to the ΔluxI culture resulted in obvious enhancement of the purple zone, but this did not occur when either C6-HSL or 3-o-C8-HSL was added to the culture. The result clearly suggested that the capacity of ΔluxI to form biofilm was greatly reduced compared with its WT strain counterpart, and that only C4-HSL was able to restore its biofilm formation capacity.

Biofilms on Stainless Steel
The mutant ΔluxI was also compared with its WT counterpart in term of the ability to form biofilm on the surface of stainless steel. Significantly (P < 0.05) less colonies of ΔluxI were found on the surface of the stainless steel compared with the WT strain, but when ΔluxI was cultured in the presence of C4-HSL, the number of colonies found on the surface of the stainless steel was significantly (P < 0.05) increased, and being comparable with that of WT strain (Figure 5). However, as in the case of biofilm formed on glass surface, C6-HSL and 3-o-C8-HSL had no obvious effect on the ability of ΔluxI to form biofilm on stainless steel surface.

Biofilm by SEM
Biofilm formation of H. alvei H4 WT and ΔluxI on stainless-steel surface was detected by SEM. Obvious reduction in the number of ΔluxI cells on stainless-steel surface was observed compared with WT strain. Addition of C4-HSL to the ΔluxI culture resulted in obvious enhancement of the number of ΔluxI cells, being comparable with that of WT strain.

FIGURE 3 | Growth curve and biofilm formation of wild type (WT) H. alvei H4. (A) Growth curve; (B) Biofilm formation. Wild type H. alvei H4 was cultured in the absence of AHL only. ΔluxI was cultured both in the absence and presence of the indicated AHLs (150 µg/ml C4-HSL, 200 µg/ml C6-HSL and 100 µg/ml 3-o-C8-HSL), respectively. Data are the means ± SEMs (n = 3). Different letters above the columns indicate differences at the P < 0.05 level.

FIGURE 4 | Biofilm formation by H. alvei strains. The image shows the biofilms formed on glass surface by WT and ΔluxI with and without AHLs. The plot shows the extent of biofilm formed on the glass surface as quantitated by OD590 nm. NC, negative control. Data are the means ± SEMs (n = 3). Different letters above the columns indicate differences at the P < 0.05 level.
Swimming Motility

As shown in Figures 2B–D, the concentrations of AHLs, which induce the relative expression of luxR gene to the maximum respectively, were chosen for swimming motility assay, and swimming motility was determined by the expansion of the bacterial colony from the point of application to a greater diameter on the surface of an agar plate. Compared with WT, ΔluxI suffered significant (P < 0.05) loss in swimming motility in the absence of exogenous AHLs (Figure 7). However, upon addition of C4-HSL, the swimming motility of ΔluxI increased and became significantly higher than the level of WT. Addition of C6-HSL also had some enhancing effect on the swimming motility of ΔluxI, but it remained obviously reduced compared with WT. In contrast, the addition of 3-o-C8-HSL appeared to have no real effect on the swimming motility of ΔluxI.

Expression of flgA, motA, and cheA

To further study the molecular mechanism of quorum sensing on biofilm formation, three biofilm formation and swimming motility related genes, flgA, motA and cheA, were chosen for analysis using qRT-PCR. The mRNA levels of all three genes in ΔluxI in the absence of exogenous AHLs were significantly (P < 0.05) reduced compared with those of the WT, with motA being the most severely affected gene (Figure 8). Addition of C4-HSL (150 µg/ml) to the ΔluxI culture restored the mRNA levels of the three genes to the levels comparable with those of the WT strain, except for the cheA gene, which showed lesser, but still significant increase. Similarly, the addition of C6-HSL (200 µg/ml) had some enhancing effect on the mRNA levels of motA and cheA, whereas the addition of 3-o-C8-HSL (100 µg/ml)
A mutation on the quorum-sensing system was characterized successfully constructed in this study, and the effect of this mutation suggested that AHL could stimulate the expression of the genes, but appeared to have no significant effect, suggesting that the C4-HSL might play an important role in the regulation of biofilm formation. The luxI gene was generally recognized as AHL synthase gene, which controls the synthesis of AHLs (Waters and Bassler, 2005; Morohoshi et al., 2007). Indeed, the luxI mutant produced no detectable AHLs as assayed with the biosensor strain CV026 (Figure 1), consistent with the results reported for Aeromonas hydrophila and Acinetobacter nosocomialis (Khajanchi et al., 2009; Oh and Choi, 2015). The complementing strain of luxI was also constructed, and no differences in AHLs production, biofilm formation and swimming motility were found between comp-ΔluxI and WT strain (Supplementary Figure 1).

It is known to us that when local concentration of AHLs is high enough, AHLs would diffuse back into the cell, and induce the expression of luxR gene (Waters and Bassler, 2005; Bai and Rai, 2011). Expression of the luxR gene in the AHL-deficient strain of H. alvei H4 was found to decrease significantly, suggesting that expression of the luxR gene might require induction by AHLs. This hypothesis was confirmed by significant increase in luxR expression in the mutant when it was cultured in the presence of exogenous AHL (C4-HSL, C6-HSL, or 3-o-C8-HSL). The stimulating effect on luxR gene increased with the increase of AHLs concentration, causing the increase in luxR gene expression consequently. Similar result has also been obtained for Aliivibrio fischeri, whereby expression of the luxR gene was observed in the presence of 3-o-C6-HSL, with higher concentrations of the compound leading to more expression of the gene (Ramalho et al., 2016). In addition, there is also a threshold concentration of AHLs, and QS regulator is depressed when the concentration of AHLs exceeds this threshold, as a result of causing the reduction of luxR gene expression (Swift et al., 2001). Similar changes of sinR gene expression affected by AHLs were also obtained by Gao et al. (2012) in Sinorhizobium meliloti. Besides, response of CviR to autoinducer were found depressed when exposed to high concentration AHLs (Swem et al., 2009) in C. violaceum, consistent to the results achieved in the present study (Figures 2B–D).

Biofilm formation by the luxI mutant was inhibited, and since the mutant was deficient in AHL, this indicated that biofilm formation might be modulated by AHLs, and is associated with AHL-mediated QS. As reported by Ammor et al. (2008), bacterial phenotype such as resistance to antimicrobial compounds, biofilm formation, bioluminescence; pigment production, virulence gene expression, swimming motility and production of degradative extracellular proteases are regulated by the QS system. Furthermore, the addition of C4-HSL to the ΔluxI culture restored the ability of the mutant cells to form biofilm, while the addition of C6-HSL and 3-o-C8-HSL appeared to have no significant effect, suggesting that the C4-HSL might play an important role in the regulation of biofilm formation in H. alvei H4. Similar result has been reported for A. hydrophila, in which biofilm formation and protease activity, which are inhibited in an AHL-deficient strain, can be stimulated by the addition of C4-HSL to the culture (Khajanchi et al., 2009). Niu et al. (2008) investigated the regulatory role of C12-HSL in the biofilm formation of Acinetobacter baumannii M2 and showed that biofilm formation is obviously inhibited in an

---

DISCUSSION

Mutant strain of H. alvei H4 with defective luxI gene was successfully constructed in this study, and the effect of this mutation on the quorum-sensing system was characterized with respect to AHL production, biofilm formation, and swimming motility. The luxI gene was generally recognized as AHL synthase gene, which controls the synthesis of AHLs (Waters and Bassler, 2005; Morohoshi et al., 2007). Indeed, the luxI mutant produced no detectable AHLs as assayed with the biosensor strain CV026 (Figure 1), consistent with the results reported for Aeromonas hydrophila and Acinetobacter nosocomialis (Khajanchi et al., 2009; Oh and Choi, 2015). The complementing strain of luxI was also constructed, and no differences in AHLs production, biofilm formation and swimming motility were found between comp-ΔluxI and WT strain (Supplementary Figure 1).

It is known to us that when local concentration of AHLs is high enough, AHLs would diffuse back into the cell, and induce the expression of luxR gene (Waters and Bassler, 2005; Bai and Rai, 2011). Expression of the luxR gene in the AHL-deficient strain of H. alvei H4 was found to decrease significantly, suggesting that expression of the luxR gene might require induction by AHLs. This hypothesis was confirmed by significant increase in luxR expression in the mutant when it was cultured in the presence of exogenous AHL (C4-HSL, C6-HSL, or 3-o-C8-HSL). The stimulating effect on luxR gene increased with the increase of AHLs concentration, causing the increase in luxR gene expression consequently. Similar result has also been obtained for Aliivibrio fischeri, whereby expression of the luxR gene was observed in the presence of 3-o-C6-HSL, with higher concentrations of the compound leading to more expression of the gene (Ramalho et al., 2016). In addition, there is also a threshold concentration of AHLs, and QS regulator is depressed when the concentration of AHLs exceeds this threshold, as a result of causing the reduction of luxR gene expression (Swift et al., 2001). Similar changes of sinR gene expression affected by AHLs were also obtained by Gao et al. (2012) in Sinorhizobium meliloti. Besides, response of CviR to autoinducer were found depressed when exposed to high concentration AHLs (Swem et al., 2009) in C. violaceum, consistent to the results achieved in the present study (Figures 2B–D).

Biofilm formation by the luxI mutant was inhibited, and since the mutant was deficient in AHL, this indicated that biofilm formation might be modulated by AHLs, and is associated with AHL-mediated QS. As reported by Ammor et al. (2008), bacterial phenotype such as resistance to antimicrobial compounds, biofilm formation, bioluminescence; pigment production, virulence gene expression, swimming motility and production of degradative extracellular proteases are regulated by the QS system. Furthermore, the addition of C4-HSL to the ΔluxI culture restored the ability of the mutant cells to form biofilm, while the addition of C6-HSL and 3-o-C8-HSL appeared to have no significant effect, suggesting that the C4-HSL might play an important role in the regulation of biofilm formation in H. alvei H4. Similar result has been reported for A. hydrophila, in which biofilm formation and protease activity, which are inhibited in an AHL-deficient strain, can be stimulated by the addition of C4-HSL to the culture (Khajanchi et al., 2009). Niu et al. (2008) investigated the regulatory role of C12-HSL in the biofilm formation of Acinetobacter baumannii M2 and showed that biofilm formation is obviously inhibited in an

---

**FIGURE 7** Swimming motility of wild type and mutant H. alvei H4. Swimming motility was conducted in the absence or exogenous AHLs in the case of WT or both in the absence and presence of AHLs in the case of ΔluxI. The concentrations of C4-HSL, C6-HSL, o-C8-HSL used were 150, 200, and 100 µg/ml respectively. Data are the means ± SEMs (n = 3). Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences at the P < 0.05 level.

**FIGURE 8** Relative expression of several genes related to biofilm formation and swimming motility of H. alvei H4. Expression of the flgA, motA, and cheA genes in WT cultured in the absence of exogenous AHL was compared with those of ΔluxI mutant cultured in the absence and in the presence of 150 µg/ml C4-HSL, 200 µg/ml C6-HSL, or 100 µg/ml 3-o-C8-HSL. The mRNA levels of the genes were measured by qRT-PCR. Data are the means ± SEMs (n = 3). Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences at the P < 0.05 level.
might be regulated by regulating the expression of these genes. (glass and stainless-steel surfaces that we observed (Figures 3–5) reduction in the numbers QS (Marketon et al., 2003; Quiñones et al., 2005). Therefore, the extracellular polysaccharide has been shown to be regulated by P. syringae regulatory role. In H. alvei and it may possibly bind to the LuxR protein and play a regulatory role. In P. syringae and S. meliloti, the production of extracellular polysaccharide has been shown to be regulated by QS (Marketon et al., 2003; Quiñones et al., 2005). Therefore, the reduction in the numbers ΔluxI cells adhering to polystyrene, glass and stainless-steel surfaces that we observed (Figures 3–5) might be caused by the reduction in biofilm formation and extracellular polysaccharide secretion. According to the results achieved in the present research and the studies reported by Nasser et al. (1998) and Andersson et al. (2000), different AHLs in bacteria may regulate different phenotypes, for H. alvei H4, C4-HSL seems to have a more important effect on regulating biofilm formation and swimming motility than C6-HSL and 3-o-C8-HSL, however, in other phenotypes regulated by quorum sensing system, C6-HSL and 3-o-C8-HSL might act as regulators.

Another important factor in the biofilm formation of bacteria is the extent of swimming motility, which contributes to the early development of the biofilm architecture (microcolony formation) (Srey et al., 2013). Bacterial motility depends mainly on the movement of flagella and Brownian motion (Li et al., 2008). Furthermore, some genes such as the flgA, motA, and cheA genes have been shown to be involved in biofilm formation and swimming motility (Lee et al., 2015). The data on swimming motility assay and qRT-PCR analysis of the expression of these three motility-related and biofilm-related genes, flgA, motA, and cheA (Figure 8), appeared to suggest that swimming motility might be regulated by regulating the expression of these genes. Since ΔluxI exhibited significantly less swimming motility and lower expression of the flgA, motA, and cheA genes than WT strain in the absence of exogenous AHLs, these two factors might also be the cause of poor biofilm formation displayed by the mutant. Similar results have also been reported by Gurich and González (2009), whereby deletion of the sinI gene in S. meliloti can result in significant reduction in the expression of the motility genes flaA and flaB, but such reduction can be reversed by the presence of AHLs. In contrast to our data, Wang T. et al. (2016) demonstrated that in Acidovorax citrulli, biofilm formation, motility and adherence of bacterial cells to a solid surface can be significantly promoted when the accR/I gene is defective. Furthermore, in A. nosocomialis, both biofilm formation and motility were found to be modulated by the luxR homologous gene anoR (Oh and Choi, 2015), and not the anoI gene. Therefore, the regulation of QS in biofilm formation their swimming motility might be different for different bacteria.

CONCLUSION

In H. alvei, AHL-mediated QS system plays a key part in swimming motility and biofilm formation on different solid surfaces. We have shown here that among the different AHLs tested, C4-HSL appeared to exert a more significant impact on the modulation of these properties of the cells. Further study focusing on the regulation mechanism of the luxR/I gene, and the screening of effective QS inhibitors for H. alvei, and the application of these inhibitors to food production may bring about economic benefits as well as preventing the spread of food-related incident of infection among the public.
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