Correction

Correction: Greffeuille, V.; et al. Persistent Inequalities in Child Undernutrition in Cambodia from 2000 Until Today. Nutrients 2016, 8, 297

We would like to submit the following as a correction to our recently published paper [1] because of the use of the wrong cut-off for overweight. The prevalence of overweight has been corrected all over Table 5, and subsequently the column concerning overweight in Table 7.
Table 5. Prevalence of overweight in children in the four surveys according to their social characteristics.

|                          | 2000  | 2005  | 2010  | 2014  | 2014–2000 | 2014–2005 | 2014–2010 |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| **CHILD’S SEX**          |       |       |       |       |           |           |           |
| Male                     | 3.0 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.4) | 2.2 (0.4) | 2.7 (0.4) | −0.3      | 0.5       | 0.5       |
| Female                   | 3.6 (0.6) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.4) | −2.0 *    | 0.1       | −0.1      |
| OR (Male:Female)         | 0.84 (0.53–1.33) | 1.48 (0.85–2.56) | 1.3 (0.73–2.3) | 1.77 * (1.04–2.99) |           |           |           |
| **MOTHER EDUCATION**     |       |       |       |       |           |           |           |
| None                     | 3.0 (0.1) | 2.0 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.6) | −1.1      | −0.1      | 0.5       |
| Primary                  | 2.8 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.4) | −0.2      | 0.6       | 0.4       |
| Secondary+               | 1.4 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.5) | 0.8       | 0.8       | −0.4      |
| OR (Secondary:None)      | 0.46 (0.11–1.97) | 0.69 (0.27–1.77) | 1.94 (0.76–4.93) | 1.25 (0.57–2.74) |           |           |           |
| **RESIDENCE**            |       |       |       |       |           |           |           |
| Urban                    | 2.5 (0.7) | 1.4 (0.7) | 3.1 (0.8) | 3.2 (0.8) | 0.7       | 1.8       | 0.1       |
| Rural                    | 3.4 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | −1.4 *    | 0.1       | 0.3       |
| OR (Urban:Rural)         | 0.73 (0.38–1.4) | 0.76 (0.27–2.17) | 1.85 * (1.01–3.38) | 1.6 (0.89–2.87) |           |           |           |
| **WEALTH QUINTILE**      |       |       |       |       |           |           |           |
| Poorest                  | 2.9 (0.8) | 2.4 (0.6) | 1.9 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.3) | −1.7 *    | −1.2 *    | −0.7      |
| Poorer                   | 2.8 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.6) | 1.8 (0.6) | 1.9 (0.5) | −0.9      | −0.3      | 0.1       |
| Middle                   | 2.7 (0.7) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.7) | 0.1       | 2.2 *     | 1.7 *     |
| Richer                   | 4.2 (1.0) | 1.4 (0.6) | 1.9 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.5) | −2.5 *    | 0.3       | −0.2      |
| Richest                  | 4.5 (1.2) | 2.2 (0.8) | 3.2 (0.9) | 3.6 (0.9) | −0.9      | 1.4       | 0.4       |
| OR (Richest:Poorest)     | 1.56 (0.71–3.42) | 0.9 (0.37–2.17) | 1.71 (0.76–3.82) | 3.11 * (1.48–6.52) |           |           |           |
| Total                    | 3.4 (0.4) | 2.2 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) | −1.2 *    | 0.0       | 0.2       |

* Significant differences (p < 0.05); ** Trends over time indicate absolute differences between survey years in each subgroup of the population.
Table 7. *p*-values and odds ratio of contributing factors to stunting, wasting, overweight, and anemia in the 2014 DHS survey.

|                     | Stunting n = 3886 | Wasting n = 3886 | Underweight n = 3886 | Overweight n = 4302 | Anemia n = 3798 |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|                     | *p*-Value OR ** (95% CI) | *p*-Value OR ** (95% CI) | *p*-Value OR ** (95% CI) | *p*-Value OR ** (95% CI) | *p*-Value OR ** (95% CI) |
| Age in months *     | <0.001 1.22 (1.16–1.28) | - | <0.001 1.23 (1.16–1.29) | - | <0.001 0.77 (0.69–0.86) |
| Gender (ref = female) * | Ns. | - | Ns. | - | Ns. |
| Wealth index        | <0.001 0.83 (0.78–0.89) | 0.015 0.89 (0.82–0.98) | <0.001 0.84 (0.7–0.90) | 0.038 1.22 (1.01–1.47) | <0.001 0.85 (0.79–0.91) |
| Living area (ref = rural) | - | - | - | - | 0.034 0.77 (0.60–0.98) |
| BMI of mother       | <0.001 0.63 (0.52–0.78) | <0.001 0.56 (0.41–0.76) | <0.001 0.49 (0.40–0.60) | - | 0.017 0.81 (0.6–0.96) |
| Low birth weight (ref = normal birth weight) | <0.001 2.12 (1.51–2.98) | <0.001 2.30 (1.49–3.55) | <0.001 2.59 (1.83–3.66) | - | - |
|                     | 0.039 1.35 (1.02–1.76) | <0.001 1.46 (1.22–1.75) | - | - | - |

* Age and gender were included in the model even if non-significant (Ns.); ** OR indicated the nature of the effect of explanatory variables on the dependent variable when it changes from one category to the next.
These corrections induced a few and limited changes in the text of the results and discussion sections. As a consequence of this correction, the following sentences should be corrected:

**Results**

- On page 4
  The prevalence of overweight (OW) did not change significantly from 2005 to 2014 being equal or lower than 2.2% (Table 5). Significant differences were observed according to child’s sex and wealth index in 2014 only, prevalence being higher in males than in females and lower in the poorest than in richest households. OW increased over time in urban areas and decreased in rural areas with a higher prevalence of OW in urban areas from 2010.

- On page 5
  The multivariate analysis indicated that, in the 2014 survey, the significant factors contributing to undernutrition, i.e., stunting, wasting, and underweight, were birth weight, BMI of mothers, and wealth index, with the risk of being stunted, wasted, and underweight higher in children who had a low birth weight, a mother with low BMI, and the lowest category of wealth (Table 7). Age was a contributing factor for stunting and underweight, with a higher risk in older children. Being younger, **being a male and belonging to the richest quintile** were risk factors for overweight in children. Being younger, living in a rural area, having a mother with low BMI, and belonging to the poorest wealth quintile were associated with anemia. Wasting and stunting were risk factors for having anemia.

**Discussion**

- On page 13
  Undernutrition was a public health problem in Cambodian children under five years of age in all four surveys conducted from 2000 to 2014. Stunting, underweight, and anemia were the most worrisome nutritional problems in both male and female children, affecting one third, one fourth, and more than half of children, respectively. The prevalence of these three nutritional problems decreased consistently over the 14-year period. Wasting also decreased from 2000 to 2010 but slightly increased again thereafter to affect one child in 10, thus still representing a mild health problem in 2014. Globally, undernutrition was higher in the poorest children, children living in rural areas and, except for wasting, in children with mothers with no education in all four surveys. Overweight prevalence did not exceed 2.2% over the last ten years and inequalities towards overweight between gender and wealth quintiles appeared only recently in 2014.

- On page 14
  The prevalence of overweight **stayed stable and didn’t exceed 2.2% in all surveys from 2005. This prevalence of overweight is lower than the prevalence in other countries of the SEA region. In Vietnam, two recent surveys indicated a prevalence of about 7% in children under five, while a study in Indonesia reported a prevalence of 6.2% in urban settings and 3.2% in rural settings for children aged six months to two years. In Thailand in 2011, 4.2% of children aged six months to three years in urban areas and 7.1% in rural areas were overweight or obese, and a study in Malaysia indicated 8% of overweight or obesity in children 0–13 years old.**

- On page 14
  **It is interesting to note that whereas the global prevalence of overweight did not increase over the last 15 years, inequalities for overweight between socioeconomic subgroups appeared in 2014, with a higher prevalence in the richest wealth group compared to other wealth groups. Moreover, the prevalence of OW in 2014 was higher in boys than in girls. We also observed significant inequalities between rural and urban areas from 2010, due to a constant increase of overweight in urban areas from 2005. Thus, in 2014, the urban prevalence of overweight was more than double that in 2005. Furthermore, in the 2014 survey, overweight was significantly related to low age, being a male and belonging to the richest wealth quintile. No significant link was identified between maternal high BMI and children’s overweight contrasting with several studies that reported maternal obesity as**
one of the strongest risk factors for child obesity. The level of education of the mother was not related to child overweight whereas overweight in women was related to their low education level.

These changes have no material impact on the conclusions of our paper. We apologize for any inconvenience caused to our readers.
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