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Sds22 regulates aurora B activity and microtubule–kinetochore interactions at mitosis
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We have studied Sds22, a conserved regulator of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) activity, and determined its role in modulating the activity of aurora B kinase and kinetochore–microtubule interactions. Sds22 is required for proper progression through mitosis and localization of PP1 to mitotic kinetochores. Depletion of Sds22 increases aurora B T-loop phosphorylation and the rate of recovery from monastrol arrest. Phospho–aurora B accumulates at kinetochores in Sds22-depleted cells juxtaposed to critical kinetochore substrates. Sds22 modulates sister kinetochore distance and the interaction between Hec1 and the microtubule lattice and, thus, the activation of the spindle assembly checkpoint. These results demonstrate that Sds22 specifically defines PP1 function and localization in mitosis. Sds22 regulates PP1 targeting to the kinetochore, accumulation of phospho–aurora B, and force generation at the kinetochore–microtubule interface.

Introduction

The kinetochore mediates the attachment of microtubules to mitotic chromosomes and mediates the critical force generation and signaling systems necessary for proper mitotic chromosome segregation. This multiprotein machine consists of at least 80 conserved proteins required for microtubule attachment and dynamics associated with DNA, and the spindle assembly checkpoint (Cheeseman and Desai, 2008; Santaguida and Musacchio, 2009). Several kinetochore proteins are signaling molecules required for posttranslational modification of kinetochore, chromosome and microtubule proteins that are critical for kinetochore assembly and function. A series of protein kinases including aurora B, Plk1, Bub1, BubR1, and Mps1 have been implicated in critical phosphorylation events at the mitotic kinetochore. In at least some cases, phosphorylation targets are known, and modification sites have been mapped. However, reversibility is a critical component of dynamic signaling pathways, and there is much less known about the protein phosphatases involved in kinetochore assembly and function. Mutations in protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) rescue mutations in worms and yeast aurora B, suggesting that aurora B activity is antagonized by PP1 (Sassoon et al., 1999; Hsu et al., 2000; Emanuele et al., 2008). In Schizosaccharomyces pombe, PP1 (Dis2) mutations cause defects in chromosome segregation (Kinoshita et al., 1990) and interfere with silencing of the spindle assembly checkpoint (Vanoosthuyse and Hardwick, 2009). PP1-α and PP1-γ, two of three human PP1 isoforms, are localized at mitotic kinetochores (Trinkle-Mulcahy et al., 2003, 2006), and targeting of PP1-γ to chromatin by a REPOMan is required for proper chromosome decondensation in anaphase (Vagnarelli et al., 2006). KNL1, a conserved component of the kinetochore, binds and targets PP1-γ to the kinetochore and antagonizes aurora B activity at centromeres, suggesting that multiple pathways exist for targeting PP1 isoforms to different compartments at different times (Liu et al., 2010). Inhibition of PP1 activity during interphase allows activation of aurora B and phosphorylation of chromatin-associated histone H3, suggesting that PP1 inhibits aurora B autoactivation and holds it in an inactive state during interphase (Murnion et al., 2001).

Phosphatase specificity is achieved through association of a catalytic subunit with accessory subunits that can drive localization and thus modulate activity and specificity. In budding
yeast, several proteins modulate Glc7p/PP1 function (Pinsky et al., 2006). One of these, Sds22, was originally identified in fission yeast using high copy suppression of S. pombe dis2 (Ohkura and Yanagida, 1991). It is a highly conserved, essential protein that physically interacts with PP1 in yeasts and metazoa (Stone et al., 1993; MacKelvie et al., 1995; Renouf et al., 1995; Dinischiotu et al., 1997). Sds22 binds PP1 using a non-canonical PP1-binding motif, an extended helix (Ceulemans et al., 2002). Sds22 is required for proper mitosis in budding and fission yeasts, suggesting that it interacts with and regulates the function of PP1 during mitosis (Ohkura and Yanagida, 1991; Stone et al., 1993; MacKelvie et al., 1995; Peggie et al., 2002; Pinsky et al., 2006).

In this study, we have directly examined the effect of depleting Sds22 from human cells using RNAi and found effects on cell cycle progression, aurora B regulation, and the interaction between microtubules and kinetochores. Our data suggest that Sds22 plays a critical role in defining the activity of aurora B by regulating the levels of phospho–aurora B at kinetochores.

Results

Sds22 is required for proper chromosome segregation

Previous work in budding and fission yeasts has suggested that Sds22 functions in concert with Glc7p or Dis2, the yeast PP1 proteins, most likely to modulate the phosphorylation of chromosomal and/or kinetochore proteins (Peggie et al., 2002; Pinsky et al., 2006). To assess the role of Sds22 in mitotic progression in human cells, we established an RNAi protocol that depleted levels of Sds22 to ≤10% of endogenous levels of proteins (Fig. 1 A). The G2/M population was specifically increased in cells depleted of Sds22 from 36–60 h after initiation of RNAi depletion (Fig. 1 B). To further define this phenotype, we monitored progression through mitosis using differential interference contrast or fluorescence microscopy of HeLa cells stably expressing GFP–CENP-A (Jaqaman et al., 2010) and measured the time from nuclear envelope breakdown (NEB) until anaphase (Videos 1–3). This analysis revealed a delay of between 20 and 50 min in Sds22-depleted cells compared with controls (Fig. 1 C). Notably, all cells showed at least a 20 min delay in mitosis. We also observed an approximately threefold increase in the number of cells entering anaphase before complete alignment of sister kinetochores in Sds22-depleted cells (P < 0.01; Fig. 1 D, ii and iv). Similarly, we observed an approximately threefold increase in the number of cells with visible lagging chromosomes in the spindle midzone during anaphase (Fig. 1 D, iii and v). In separate experiments, we examined (a) segregation of chromosomes using histone-H2B fluorescent protein fusions, (b) mitotic spindle size, bipolarity, and morphology using cells expressing mCherry-tubulin, and (c) spindle pole separation and duplication using metaphase cells expressing centrin-GFP and stained with anti-tubulin and DAPI. These analyses revealed a reproducible increase in spindle pole to pole distance (6.4 ± 2.5%; P < 0.049 by Kolmogorov-Smirnov) in Sds22-depleted cells. These results suggest that Sds22 is required for proper mitotic progression and functions to mediate the proper alignment of chromosomes on the metaphase plate and efficient segregation of chromosomes in anaphase. The phenotypes we observed were consistently weaker than those previously reported for depletion or inhibition of aurora B and the other members of the chromosome passenger complex (Adams et al., 2001; Giet and Glover, 2001; Ditchfield et al., 2003; Hauf et al., 2003); we never observed more than four misaligned pairs in 293 cells followed by time-lapse microscopy.

Sds22 functions at kinetochores

Our functional analysis suggests that Sds22 might function at kinetochores, possibly through kinetochore-associated PP1 (Trinkle-Mulcahy et al., 2003). Sds22 is known to interact with PP1 in vivo and in vitro (Ceulemans et al., 2002; Peggie et al., 2002). Human cells express three closely related isoforms of PP1 (PP1-α, PP1-γ, and PP1-β or PP1-δ), and in vitro assays suggest that there is no specificity between Sds22 and any specific PP1 isoform (Ceulemans et al., 2002). Therefore, we generated N- and C-terminal GFP-tagged forms of Sds22 and five cell lines stably expressing either GFP-Sds22 (C103) or Sds22-GFP (D103). Both constructs and all cell lines behaved identically in our experiments.

We first confirmed that GFP-Sds22 binds PP1 in HeLa cell lysates (Fig. S1 A) and analyzed immunoprecipitates from a GFP-Sds22 cell line (D103) by mass spectrometry. GFP-Sds22 immunoprecipitates contained isoform-specific peptides from all three forms of PP1, suggesting that Sds22 binds all forms of PP1 in human cells (Fig. S1 B).

We next compared the localization of Sds22-GFP to other mitotic markers by immunofluorescence either in transiently transfected cells or in five separately isolated stable cell lines. In all cases, we observed a diffuse localization in interphase cells with some concentration in the nucleus (Fig. 2 A). In mitotic cells, we observed a specific concentration of Sds22-GFP near kinetochores starting in prometaphase, continuing through metaphase and anaphase, and disappearing after the completion of cytokinesis (Fig. 2 A). Sds22-GFP was overexpressed relative to endogenous levels in our stable cell lines (Fig. 2 B), but we observed no significant defects in cell cycle progression or mitotic chromosome segregation in these cells. Sds22-GFP co-localized with the known kinetochore components PP1-γ and was easily resolved from aurora B, a component of the chromosome passenger complex that concentrates at the inner centromere at prometaphase and metaphase (Fig. 2, C and D). Turnover of Sds22-GFP at mitotic kinetochores measured by FRAP (see Materials and methods) was rapid (t1/2 = 0.39 ± 0.16 s; compared with turnover in cytoplasm, t1/2 < 0.10 s), suggesting that Sds22 is not a core component of the kinetochore. We also measured turnover of PP1-γ-GFP at mitotic kinetochores using the same technique and found a similar value (t1/2 = 0.88 ± 0.42 s). As these measurements are affected by the very high turnover in the cytoplasm, they are consistent with the idea that Sds22 and PP1 form a complex at the kinetochore. Our antibody generated against recombinantly expressed full-length Sds22 (Fig. 2 B) failed to detect endogenous Sds22 by immunofluorescence but reacted with overexpressed Sds22-GFP at kinetochores (Fig. S1 C).
To determine whether Sds22 was required for PP1 localization at kinetochores, we examined the localization of PP1-β and -γ in mitotic chromosome spreads. In both cases, PP1 isoform localization was decreased in cells depleted of Sds22 (Fig. 2 E), indicating that PP1 isoforms require Sds22 for proper kinetochore localization. This dependence is reciprocal, as depletion of any of the individual PP1 isoforms by isoform-specific siRNA reduced the number of mitotic kinetochores in chromosome spreads with concentrated GFP-Sds22 (Fig. 2 F). Together, these data suggest that Sds22 and PP1 isoforms associate at the kinetochore and are mutually required for localization at the mitotic kinetochore.

**Sds22 modulates aurora B function at centromeres and kinetochores**

PP1 is thought to antagonize the activation of aurora B by modulating the activation of kinase activity by phosphorylation (Murnion et al., 2001; Rosasco-Nitcher et al., 2008). To determine whether loss of PP1 at kinetochores through Sds22...
depletion might increase aurora B activity, we arrested cells in monastrol for 4 h, switched the cells into medium without monastrol, and allowed them to recover for 60 min. We released cells from monastrol arrest into either control media or media containing either 0.3 or 1 µM ZM447439 (ZM), a small molecule inhibitor of aurora kinases (Ditchfield et al., 2003), to assess the dependence of recovery on aurora B kinase activity (Fig. 3 A). After 60 min of recovery, cells were fixed and stained to reveal DNA, microtubules, and kinetochores and scored as being monopolar or in prometaphase or metaphase (Fig. 3 B). Monastrol-treated cells showed a high frequency of monopolar cells and syntelic attachments (Lampson et al., 2004). After release into normal medium, control RNAi cells progressed toward metaphase, whereas control RNAi cells treated with 0.3 ZM progressed much more slowly, and recovery was largely inhibited in cells released into 1 µM ZM (Fig. 3 C). In cells depleted of Sds22 and released into control medium, we observed a higher frequency of metaphase cells than in control cells, which is consistent with an increased level of aurora B activity. Release of Sds22-depleted cells into 0.3 µM ZM (where aurora B is partially inhibited) increased the number of cells in metaphase and generated a distribution of phenotypes very similar to that seen in the absence of ZM. To assess whether alternative recovery pathways are activated in Sds22-depleted cells, we released cells into 1 µM ZM. These cells recovered from monastrol very slowly, and no acceleration of recovery
in Sds22-depleted cells was observed. Thus, Sds22 depletion accelerates recovery from monastrol, and this recovery is completely dependent on activity of aurora B.

Activation of aurora B kinase occurs through the phosphorylation of T232 in the kinase activation loop, a modification that can be detected with anti–phospho–T232 aurora B antibody (Yasui et al., 2004; Sessa et al., 2005; Rosasco-Nitcher et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008). We examined the levels of T232 phosphorylation after depletion of Sds22 and observed increased staining with anti–phospho–T232 aurora B antibody (Fig. 3, D–F). We repeated our monastrol recovery and ZM treatment protocol and again observed increased anti–phospho–T232 staining in cells released into control or 0.3 µM ZM medium (Fig. S2). All detectable anti–phospho–T232 staining was lost in cells released into 1 µM ZM, which is consistent with a complete inhibition of aurora B function (Fig. S2). We conclude that Sds22 depletion results in an increase in aurora B kinase activity and the rate at which downstream effects of aurora B activity occur.

**Dynamic localization of phospho–aurora B at centromeres and kinetochores**

To determine how increased amounts of phospho–T232 aurora B might drive recovery from monastrol, we arrested cells with nocodazole and examined the localization of aurora B in chromosome spreads using immunofluorescence. Aurora B was localized to centromeres as previously described, but phospho–T232 aurora B was localized to distal to centromeres, near kinetochores (Fig. 4 A). To confirm this result, we examined the localization of phospho–T232 aurora B during monastrol recovery.
in whole cells and its dependence on Sds22. In control cells, phospho-T232 aurora B concentrated between bioriented kinetochores that were well separated and attached to microtubule ends (Fig. 4 B). In Sds22-depleted cells, phospho-T232 aurora B localized along the extended centromere, but still appeared, at the limit of the resolution of the imaging, to be distinct from the inner kinetochore. Mono- and syntetrically attached kinetochore pairs in control cells also had phospho-T232 aurora B concentrated at the centromeres, and again, cells depleted of Sds22 consistently showed a broader localization, with some examples of phospho–aurora B localizing at kinetochores (Fig. 4 C). In laterally attached pairs, we reproducibly observed phospho-T232 aurora B proximal to kinetochores in all cells, but this effect was most pronounced in Sds22-depleted cells. Finally, direct comparison of bulk aurora B and phospho-T232 aurora B revealed similar trends: concentrated colocalization of bulk and phospho-T232 aurora B at centromeres of control cells and extension of phospho-T232 aurora B along the centromere in Sds22-depleted cells (Fig. 4 D).

We next determined whether changes in phospho–aurora B localization were caused by significant changes in the localization of bulk aurora B. Aurora B localization in unaligned pairs was, as expected, concentrated in the inner centromere, and we never observed a substantial change in the localization of total aurora B in Sds22-depleted cells (Fig. 4 A). We next compared the localization of aurora B and the inner kinetochore marker anti-centromere antibody (ACA) by 3D structured illumination (3DSIM; Gustafsson, 2000; Schermelleh et al., 2008) using an OMX microscope. In control and Sds22-depleted cells, aurora B was still largely localized between sister kinetochores, although some kinetochore pairs in Sds22-depleted cells had concentrations of aurora B kinase near the kinetochore (Fig. S3 B). We examined the same cell by 3D deconvolution on a conventional microscope, conventional imaging on OMX, and 3DSIM on OMX. This analysis showed that the subtle differences in aurora B localization were visible by conventional microscopy but made much clearer in 3DSIM, suggesting that the detailed localization we observed were not artifacts of the imaging or processing methods. We conclude that Sds22 depletion does not significantly change the distribution of aurora B on centromeres but increases the phosphorylation of a small portion of aurora B proximal to kinetochores.

Sds22 regulates phosphorylation of aurora B substrates

We next tested a series of antibodies raised to known aurora B phosphoepitopes on MCAK, Hec1, and CENP-A as reporters of aurora B activity toward kinetochore substrates. Surprisingly,
Sds22 modulates generation of force at the kinetochore

Previous work has shown that dephosphorylated MCAK concentrates at kinetochores, where it may contribute to force generation by driving microtubule depolymerization (Andrews et al., 2004). In addition, dephosphorylated Hec1, a microtubule-binding component of kinetochores, has an increased affinity for the microtubule lattice and increases the distance between sister kinetochores.
(Cheeseman et al., 2006; DeLuca et al., 2006). Based on our results in Fig. 5 (A and B), we predicted that in Sds22-depleted cells, decreased phosphorylation of MCAK and Hec1 would increase force generation, kinetochore affinity, and distance between sister kinetochores. Consistent with this, Sds22-depleted metaphase cells showed a notable increase in distances between sister kinetochores (Fig. 6 A). Most importantly, using a frequency histogram, we observed a distribution of interkinetochore distances between 1.2 and 1.6 μm, which likely reflect the sampling of a dynamic, oscillating system at fixed time points. Depletion of Sds22 by RNAi caused the sister kinetochore distance to shift to a single population centered around 1.6 μm. An increase in sister kinetochore distance after Sds22 depletion was also observed plotting the mean distances in each cell (Fig. 6 B) and in living CENP-A–GFP-expressing HeLa cells using automatic sister kinetochore identification and tracking (Fig. S5 D; Jaqaman et al., 2010). We next measured the distance between sister kinetochores in two stable cell lines that express GFP-Sds22 at levels five times endogenous levels (Fig. 2 B) and compared these distances with those measured in normal HeLa cells or a HeLa cell line that stably expresses GFP. Both the normal and GFP-expressing HeLa lines contained two populations of sister kinetochore pairs distances centered around 1.4 and 1.6 μm, and a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test revealed little difference between the two distributions (Fig. 6 C). In contrast, overexpression of Sds22-GFP (C103) or GFP-Sds22 (D103) shifted the distribution of sister kinetochores to smaller distances, the opposite effect of that observed in cells depleted of Sds22 (Fig. 6, A and C). Control and Sds22-depleted cells treated with nocodazole had interkinetochore distances that were not statistically distinguishable (control RNAi, 0.786 ± 0.006 μm [n = 66 cells]; Sds22 RNAi, 0.811 ± 0.006 μm [n = 64 cells]; P < 0.05). Together with the absence of effect on Hec1 and CENP-A targeting (Fig. 5, B and C), these data suggest that Sds22 depletion does not substantially change the architecture of the kinetochore, although more subtle changes might occur, possibly mediated by changes in phosphorylation of CENP-A. Nonetheless, our data demonstrate that Sds22 modulates the distance between sister kinetochores, most likely by regulating the phosphorylation of important regulators of microtubule binding and dynamics like MCAK and Hec1 by aurora B.

To further dissect the interaction of kinetochores and microtubules, we next assessed the stability of microtubules in Sds22-depleted cells after cooling cells on ice and observed no detectable effect on microtubule attachment (unpublished data), indicating that Sds22 does not significantly destabilize microtubule–kinetochore attachments. This is consistent with our results from live cell imaging (Fig. 1, C and D) showing that Sds22 depletion causes a mild arrest in mitosis where most chromosomes successfully migrate to the metaphase plate. However, a cold-stable stability assay might not detect subtle changes in kinetochore–microtubule interaction. To determine whether Sds22 depletion directly affected kinetochore–microtubule interactions, we coexpressed GFP-Hec1 and mCherry-tubulin fusions in living HeLa cells and measured Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) between GFP and mCherry using time-correlated single photon counting fluorescence lifetime microscopy (Wouters et al., 2001; Duncan et al., 2004). This assay measures the interaction between Hec1, a critical microtubule interaction component, and the surface of the microtubule. Hec1 is known to be a microtubule-binding protein whose affinity for the microtubule lattice is modulated by phosphorylation, most likely by aurora B (Cheeseman et al., 2006; DeLuca et al., 2006). The fluorescence lifetime (τ1/2) of cells expressing GFP-Hec1 alone was distributed around 2,000 ps, similar to the fluorescence lifetime of native GFP (Fig. 6 E; Pepperkok et al., 1999). Coexpression of mCherry-tubulin with GFP-Hec1 produced a significant shift in the distribution of GFP τ1/2, centered at ~1,300 ps, with a minor population remaining at ~1,900 ps. The substantially shorter τ1/2 is indicative of FRET between GFP and mCherry. Depletion of Sds22 in the same cells restored the longer lifetime, suggesting a loss of FRET and, thus, a change in the relative arrangement of Hec1 and microtubules in Sds22-depleted cells.

We are not able to distinguish a change in fluorophore orientation from a simple change in relative distance between Hec1 and the microtubule lattice in our FRET assay; however, a change in kinetochore–microtubule interactions might explain the mitotic delay observed in Fig. 1 C. We note that Sds22 depletion causes 33% of cells to divide with misaligned chromosomes but that all cells suffer a mitotic delay (Fig. 1 D). Thus, although most Sds22-depleted cells can align their chromosomes, they still delay in metaphase. Our FRET analysis is consistent with this and suggests that the majority of kinetochores in living metaphase cells experience a change in proximity of Hec1 and tubulin after Sds22 depletion (Fig. 6 E). Together, these data indicate that Sds22 depletion perturbs the interactions of most kinetochores with microtubules.

**Sds22 depletion engages the spindle checkpoint**

Perturbation of kinetochore–microtubule interactions can engage the spindle checkpoint. Therefore, we measured the levels of the mitotic checkpoint protein BubR1 on prometaphase and metaphase kinetochores in control and Sds22-depleted cells. Prometaphase cells had many kinetochores with high levels of BubR1, and there was no detectable difference in BubR1 levels between control and Sds22-depleted cells (Fig. 6 F). However, in metaphase Sds22-depleted cells, we observed low levels of BubR1 localizing at all kinetochores, suggesting that interactions between kinetochores and microtubules were partially perturbed in these cells. We counted the frequency of this phenotype and cells with bright BubR1 staining at a subset of kinetochores, as is typical of cells with a few unaligned chromosomes (Fig. 6 G). In late prometaphase, we observed no significant difference in the distribution of these phenotypes. However, in cells where all chromosomes were aligned at the metaphase plate, we observed a significant increase in cells where all kinetochores had low, detectable BubR1 staining. As these aligned kinetochores show changes in microtubule interaction (Fig. 6 E) and checkpoint engagement (Fig. 6 G), our data suggest that Sds22 depletion systematically perturbs the interaction of microtubules and kinetochores, most likely by continuous overactivation of aurora B through prometaphase and metaphase. There is substantial evidence suggesting that aurora B directly regulates the components...
Discussion

To understand the localized regulation of aurora B at the mitotic centromere, we have explored the function of Sds22, a known modulator of PP1 activity during mitosis (Peggie et al., 2002). We find that Sds22 modulates aurora B function at centromeres...
and kinetochores and thus defines timely progression through mitosis. Sds22 binds PP1 and is required for correct localization of PP1 to the kinetochore. Sds22/PP1 regulates the level of aurora B activity and rate of correction of erroneous kinetochore–microtubule attachments, likely by modulating the amount of activated phospho-T232 aurora B localized at the kinetochore. Phospho-T232 is elevated in prometaphase and metaphase in Sds22-depleted cells, showing that Sds22 plays a critical role in balancing aurora B activity in unaligned and aligned sister kinetochores. Sds22 depletion causes a loss of phosphorylation on Hec1 and MCAK and an increase in interkinetochore distance in aligned sister kinetochores. We conclude that Sds22, through its binding of PP1 and regulation of aurora B phosphorylation, regulates the interaction of kinetochores and microtubules and thus the engagement of the spindle assembly checkpoint and progression through mitosis.

As loss of Sds22/PP1 from the kinetochore results in significant increase of phospho–aurora B, our results suggest that Sds22/PP1 antagonizes the autophosphorylation of aurora B at centromeres and kinetochores, most likely by dephosphorylating aurora B on T232. Sds22/PP1 might gain access to centromeric phospho–aurora B through its high turnover at the kinetochore. In the absence of Sds22/PP1, the amount of phospho–aurora B increases, leading to increased aurora B activity at centromeres and kinetochores. Functionally, we observe the consequences of aurora B activation as increased recovery from monastrol and perturbation of the kinetochore–microtubule interface in aligned sister kinetochore pairs.

Aurora B phosphorylates a large number of centromere and kinetochore proteins, making it difficult to determine whether changes in interkinetochore distance are the result of direct effects on Hec1 and MCAK or the result of other changes in the centromere or kinetochores. However, we observe no change in kinetochore targeting of CENP-A or Hec1 (Fig. 5) and no significant change in interkinetochore distances in nocodazole, suggesting that the fundamental components of the centromere and kinetochore are not substantially altered in Sds22-depleted cells. Although more detailed studies will be needed, the simplest current model is that Sds22 depletion changes the phosphorylation state of Hec1 and MCAK and thus alters force generation across sister kinetochore pairs.

**Spatiotemporal regulation of aurora B**

Previous results have highlighted changes in aurora B levels throughout the cell cycle (Kimura et al., 1998). In our study, we have demonstrated a much finer level of control of aurora B in which the specific phosphorylation and activity of aurora B are determined by both the attachment state of individual kinetochore pairs and the localization of Sds22/PP1 to the kinetochore. Before biorientation has been established, we detect a small population of aurora B at the kinetochore that is active through phosphorylation on the kinase T-loop. This population is reduced or not detected in aligned, bioriented kinetochore pairs (Fig. 4). This dynamic regulation of aurora B suggests that the activities of aurora B and PP1 are finely balanced across kinetochore pairs and may respond dynamically to changes in distance between sister kinetochores.

Recently, a FRET-based aurora B probe has been used to demonstrate a gradient of aurora B activity at the centromere and kinetochore and to suggest that the separation between aurora B at the centromere and kinetochore-associated substrates defines the phosphorylation state of aurora B targets (Liu et al., 2009). Our results are consistent with this idea and suggest that Sds22/PP1 may contribute to defining the gradient of aurora B activity. However, we have demonstrated that Sds22/PP1 also determines the appearance of an additional pool of activated aurora B at the kinetochore, where it is presumably near substrates at the kinetochore and microtubule end. Thus, especially before biorientation, activated aurora B is proximal to its substrates and well positioned to modulate microtubule–kinetochore interactions.

**Force generation and error correction**

The phenotypes we have measured are the result of Sds22-dependent changes in aurora B kinase activity and, thus, reflect changes in the level of aurora B phosphorylation of many centromere and kinetochore-associated substrates (Cheeseman et al., 2002). Notably, Sds22 depletion causes a loss of phosphorylation of Hec1 and MCAK and, as predicted (Andrews et al., 2004; Lan et al., 2004; Ohi et al., 2004; Cheeseman et al., 2006; DeLuca et al., 2006), increased sister kinetochore distance (Figs. 5 and 6). However, Sds22 depletion also increases aurora B phosphoactivation, accelerates recovery from monastrol, and modulates interactions between microtubules and kinetochores (Figs. 3 and 6). Our results are certainly consistent with evidence that MCAK and Hec1 are critical downstream substrates for aurora B. However, we suggest that MCAK and Hec1 have critical roles in force generation at the kinetochore–microtubule interface but that other kinetochore components are involved with correction of improper microtubule–kinetochore attachments during biorientation. Our data further suggest that separate pathways involving aurora B and Sds22/PP1 mediate force generation and error correction at the kinetochore. Modulation of aurora B activity through Sds22/PP1 can be used to identify and characterize these other components.

**Function of PP1 at kinetochores**

PP1 is a well-established regulator of aurora B activity either through competitive dephosphorylation of aurora B substrates or direct regulation of aurora B activity itself (Murnion et al., 2001; Yasui et al., 2004; Rosasco-Nitcher et al., 2008). In human cells, as in yeast, Sds22 appears to have a fairly specific effect on PP1 function in mitosis, as we did not observe pleiotropic effects on cell growth or viability after Sds22 depletion (Fig. 1). There is a reciprocal dependence for the localization of PP1 and Sds22 to kinetochores, and this localization is critical to maintain the proper level of aurora B activity and the correct concentration of phospho–aurora B at the kinetochore. We have no direct data suggesting that Sds22-bound PP1 dephosphorylates aurora B substrates. In fact, loss of Sds22 actually decreases phosphorylation of all kinetochore-associated aurora B substrates we have tested. We do not believe that this occurs through delocalization of kinetochore-bound PP1, as this represents <5% of the total PP1 in the cell (Trinkle-Mulcahy et al., 2003). Other
kinetochore-associated phosphatases, e.g., PP2A, may fulfill this role. Moreover, many other PP1-binding proteins are known, and one or more of these might mediate the dephosphorylation of kinetochore proteins by PP1 (Pinsky et al., 2006). KNL1, a key mediator of kinetochore function, binds and localizes PP1-γ to kinetochores and also antagonizes aurora B activity detected with an aurora B–specific FRET sensor, although its role in modulating endogenous aurora B substrates is not known (Liu et al., 2010). Given the critical requirement for KNL1 in kinetochore microtubule attachment (Desai et al., 2003; Cheeseman et al., 2010), this interaction may play a critical role in error correction. The interaction between KNL1 and PP1 may explain why despite near-complete depletion of Sds22, we only ever observed partial depletion of PP1 from kinetochores (Fig. 2).

The aurora B/Sds22/PP1 regulatory circuit

Both aurora B and PP1-γ turn over rapidly at mitotic kinetochores (Murata-Hori and Wang, 2002; Trinkle-Mulcahy et al., 2003). The dynamic association of these critical regulators with their respective binding sites suggests that the turnover of these enzymes may be a component of the circuitry that defines the final phosphorylation states of their target substrates. The level of phosphorylation of any aurora B substrate in the kinetochore would be set by the distance from the centromere and kinetochore, the pools of aurora B located at the inner centromere and kinetochore and the PP1 located at that kinetochore, and the binding affinities of each enzyme and, thus, the gradient of kinase and phosphatase activities. Our data cannot determine whether free diffusion might set up this gradient of enzymatic activity or whether the chromatin that links the kinetochore and centromere may provide a medium that governs the movement of these competing enzymes. A critical avenue for the future is the determination of the physical and enzymatic properties of all of the components of this regulatory circuit.

Fig. 7 summarizes our data and suggests a model for the effects of Sds22 in aurora B function at centromeres and kinetochores. Normally, the levels of phospho–aurora B at kinetochores are set by a competition between autoactivation by aurora B and deactivation by PP1. Removal of Sds22/PP1 changes this balance and removes the activity that antagonizes aurora B activation. Thus, Sds22/PP1 defines the amount of phospho–aurora B and, through substrates that must still be identified, the stability of the interaction between the microtubule and kinetochore. This same pathway is used before and after establishment of biorientation. In maloriented sister pairs, centromeres and kinetochores are close to one another, allowing autoactivation of aurora B and binding to the kinetochore. Although we have no evidence for how this occurs, this most likely involves inhibition of Sds22/PP1 activity by phosphorylation of PP1 by aurora B or other kinetochore-associated kinases. Indeed, PP1 has previously been shown to be inhibited by CDK1/cyclin B–mediated phosphorylation in S. pombe (Yamano et al., 1994).

Our data suggest that activated, kinetochore-associated aurora B stimulates destabilization of microtubule attachments. Once biorientation is established, the distance between aurora B at the centromere and Sds22/PP1 at the kinetochore increases, relieving the inhibition of PP1 by aurora B and allowing Sds22/PP1 to dephosphorylate kinetochore-associated phospho–aurora B and, thus, protecting established kinetochore-associated microtubules from depolymerization. This model predicts that the inhibition of Sds22/PP1 should cause perturbations in microtubule–kinetochore interactions, as we have observed (Fig. 6). However, this simple circuit is incomplete, as it does not explain how in Sds22-depleted cells, phosphorylation of MCAK and Hec1 decreases, and it suggests that other factors that control phosphatase activity must be involved.

Materials and methods

Tissue culture, siRNA, FACS, and fluorescent protein fusions

HeLa S3 cells were grown in DME supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen) at 37°C with 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator. PP1-γ-EGFP-expressing stable cell line (Trinkle-Mulcahy et al., 2003) was maintained at 300 µg/ml G418, and GFP-Sds22 cell lines were maintained at 1 µg/ml puromycin. Stable HeLa (Kyoto) EGFP–CENP-A cell line (Jaqaman et al., 2010) was maintained in 500 ng/ml puromycin.

To increase the yield of mitotic cells, cells were collected by mitotic shake off after 18 h in medium containing 330 nM nocodazole or 10 h after release from double-thymidine block. For thymidine block, cells were grown for 18 h in medium containing 2 mM thymidine, washed twice, and released into fresh medium; after 8 h, 2 mM thymidine was added for another 18 h before being released into fresh medium. Finally, after 10 h, mitotic cells were collected by mitotic shake off. For experiments with monastral, cells were incubated for 4 h in 100 µM monastral (Sigma-Aldrich), washed, and released into equilibrated...
medium. ZM was purchased from Tocris Bioscience and used at the specified concentrations.

To measure G2/M or sub-G1 fractions, cells were collected, washed in PBS, and fixed/permeabilized in 70% ethanol at −20°C for >30 min before staining with 50 µg/ml propidium iodide in PBS containing 50 µg/ml RNase A and 0.1% Triton X-100. The cell profile was recorded on a flow cytometer (FACSCalibur; BD) using CellQuest Pro software (BD). Data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.). Clusters of two or more cells were excluded from analysis by gating.

N- and C-terminal fusion proteins of human Sds22 [GenBank accession no. Z50749] with and without a 5x Gly-Ala linker were expressed from a bicistronic vector and selected with 1.5 µg/ml puromycin. Five or more clones of each fusion (C103: Sds22-GFP; D103 GFP-SxGlyAla-Sds22) were selected and analyzed. For immunoprecipitation, DNA per 6-wells; Effective; QIAGEN] were analyzed 48 h after transfection. Double-stranded Sds22 siRNA (5′-CUCUAAAGGAGAGUUGCUUACCC-3′) and medium GC control [Sealth RNAi; Invitrogen] were introduced by oligofectamine (Invitrogen) or alternatively using HiPerFect (Qiagen) introduced by oligofectamine (Invitrogen) or alternatively using HiPerFect (Qiagen).

Sample preparation for proteomic analysis

Cells were lysed in hypotonic buffer (20 mM Tris acetate, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 10 mM Na β-glycerophosphtate, 5 mM Na pyro-phosphate, 1 mM Na orthovanadate, 50 mM NaF, 0.1% 2ME, 0.27 M sucrose, 1% Triton X-100, and 1 µM microcystein), incubated on ice for 10 min, and spun down at 1,000 g for 10 min.

GFP fusion proteins were immunoprecipitated with mouse anti-GFP IgG (Roche), prebound, and dimethyl pimelimidate linked to protein G–IgG (Roche), prebound, and dimethyl pimelimidate linked to protein G–IgG (Roche), prebound, and dimethyl pimelimidate linked to protein G–IgG (Roche), prebound, and dimethyl pimelimidate linked to protein G–IgG (Roche), prebound, and dimethyl pimelimidate linked to protein G–IgG (Roche), prebound, and dimethyl pimelimidate linked to protein G–IgG (Roche). Breads were treated with 0.02% insulin and washed three times with lysing buffer and 0.1% Triton X-100.

Immunoprecipitation eluates were separated on 1D PAGE gel and stained (SimplyBlue; Invitrogen), and protein bands were excised, chopped into ~1 x 1-mm pieces, and destained at RT (2 x 30 min in 10% acetonitrile (ACN)/25 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate buffer [TEAB], pH 8.5). After 10-min dehydration in 100% ACN, proteins in gel were redigested by incubation in 10 mM DTT/TEAB for 30 min at 37°C and alkylated in 50 mM iodoacetic acid/TEAB for 30 min in the dark at RT. For trypsin treatment, gel pellets were dehydrated in 100% ACN and rehydrated on ice (for 1 h) with TEAB buffer containing 10 ng trypsin; excess trypsin was removed, and digestion was performed overnight at 37°C in 50 µl TEAB. Digests were extracted with 2 x 100 µl 20% ACN/5% formic acid (FA) and once with 100% ACN. Combined extracts were evaporated down to ~20 µl. The final solution was diluted to 100 µl with 3% ACN/0.25% TFA and subjected to cleaning and concentrating procedure on homemade C18 tips. Peptides were eluted from C18 tips in 15 µl 70% ACN/0.1% FA, diluted with 0.1% FA, and concentrated again by evaporation. Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry analysis was performed at Proteomics Facility of the Wellcome Trust Biocentre (University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland, UK) using a mass spectrometer (Orbitrap; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Combined peak lists were searched against the National Center for Biotechnology Information database using the Mascot program (version 2.2; Matrix Science).

Immunostaining

For immunofluorescence, cells grown on coverslips were fixed after washing once in 37°C PBS by incubation in 3.7% formaldehyde/PBS, pH 6.8, two times for 5 min at 37°C. Cells were permeabilized in PBS/0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min at 37°C, blocked [2% BSA in TBS/0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.1% normal donkey serum] for 40 min at RT, incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h, washed (TBS/0.1% Triton X-100), and incubated with secondary antibodies for 45 min. If required, cells were stained with 0.1 µg/ml DAPI. After a final set of washes, cells were mounted in p-phenylenediamin/glycerol homemade mounting medium (5% p-phenylenediamine, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.8, and 90% glycerol). For phospho-specific antibodies, heat-inactivated blocking solution (30 min at 55°C) was used. Wash and fixing solutions were supplemented with 500 nM microcystin and 80 nM okadaic acid. For pHecl-1 antibody, cells were permeabilized for 2 min in PHEM buffer and 0.2% Triton X-100 and fixed in PHEM and 3.7% PFA.

For aqueous chromosome spreads, cells were grown on coverslips in the presence of 330 mM nocodazole for 18 h, swelled in 60% water and 40% medium for 7 min, and spun down onto coverslips for 4 min at 1,000 g before being processed for immunofluorescence.

Anti-AIM1 monoclonal antibody (BD) was used at 1:200 dilution. Affinity-purified anti-phospho-MCAK [Andrews et al., 2004] and Sds22 polyclonal antibodies were diluted to 1 µg/ml. Anti-phospho-Ser55-Hec1 antibody was used at 1:1,000 dilution and anti-phospho-Ser44-Hec1 was used at 1:3,000. Rat anti-α-tubulin (AbD Serotec) and mouse α-Hec1 [Abcam] were used at a 1:500 dilution. Human ACA (provided by S. Marshall and Tayside Tissue Bank, University of Dundee), anti-phospho–CENP-A (BD), sheep anti-Bub1R (provided by S. Taylor, University of Manchester, Manchester, England, UK), and anti-phospho-T232 aurora B (Rockland Scientific) were used at antibody concentrations stated by the antibody supplier. Immunofluorescence was performed at the Immunofluorescence Research Laboratories, Inc. [FITC, Texas red, TRITC, or Cy5] or Invi- trogen (Alexa Fluor 488 and 568). Polyclonal sheep anti-P1 antibody was provided by P.T. Cohen (University of Dundee).

To generate Sds22-specific antibodies, full-length human Sds22 was expressed as a GST fusion in bacteria, purified on a glutathione column, cleaved from the GST tag, and injected into rabbits. Antisa were purified on recombinant full-length MBP-Sds22 bound to an amylose column.

Microscopy

Fixed imaging was performed on a microscope (DeltoVision Core; Applied Precision) built around a stand (IX70; Olympus) with a 100x 1.4 NA lens and a camera (CoolSNAP HQ; Photometrics; Andrews et al., 2004; Porter et al., 2007). Fixed cells on No. 1 coverslips were mounted in 0.5% p-phenylenediamine in 90% glycerol. Optical sections were recorded every 0.2 µm. 3D datasets were deconvoluted using constrained iterative restoration (Swedlow et al., 1997; Wallace et al., 2001) as implemented in SoftWoRx software (Applied Precision). Hec1–Hec1 distances for each condition were measured in SoftWoRx for clearly distinguishable metaphase centromere pairs lying along the pole to pole axis using individual optical sections from 3D datasets.

Image intensity analysis for fixed image data was performed in OME (Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences; Swedlow et al., 2007). A region covering all of the kinetochores at mitotic plate was defined, and intensities through all sections were summed and divided by the area of the region for each channel. An intensity value per area for the background obtained in a similar fashion was subtracted, and relative intensities were expressed as a ratio relative to a general kinetochore marker (ACA or Hec1).

For analysis of cell cycle progression, Hela cells expressing CENP-A–GFP were imaged in 35-mm glass-bottom dishes (Microwell; MatTek) or cover glass (Labek) starting 48 h after transfection. Datasets (512 x 512 pixels with 2 x 2 binning, 0.05-s exposure, and five z sections spaced by 20°C for >30 min be- 512 x 512 resolution for 60 s, and fluorescent light was collected on a non-descanned detector (5783P; Hamamatsu Photonics) using a 670-nm long-pass filter. Two photon excitation at 800 nm (Coherent). Filters were placed in front of the microscope stage and stand (Solent Scientific) equipped with a titanium sapphire laser (Chameleon 1; Coherent) providing femtosecond pulses at a 90-MHz repetition rate. Light shielding and environmental control were achieved using a mott black environmental chamber that surrounded the microscope stage and stand (Solent Scientific) maintaining cells at 37°C and limiting stray light from entering the detectors.

Presence of both GFP and mCherry was confirmed using the confocal light path with the 488-nm argon ion and 543-nm HeNe laser lines. Two photon excitation for FIM was performed at 880 nm with a 600 fps scan speed at 512 x 512 resolution for 60 s, and fluorescent light was collected on a non-descanned detector (S738P; Hamamatsu Photonics) using a 670-nm long-pass dichroic mirror and a 528/50-nm band-pass emission filter, such that only GFP was excited and collected. Time correlated single photon counting was performed using a photon-counting card (SPC830; Becker & Hickl), and subsequent analysis was performed with SPCImage (Becker & Hickl).

3DSIM was performed on a microscope system (OMX version 2; Applied Precision) equipped with 405, 488, and 593 solid-state lasers. Images were acquired using a PlanApo Achromat 100x 1.4 NA oil immersion objective lens and back-illuminated 512 x 512 electron microscopy charge-coupled device cameras (Cascade II; Photometrics).
Samples were illuminated by a coherent scrambled laser light source that had passed through a diffraction grating, thus generating interference of light orders in the image plane to create a 3D sinusoidal pattern with lateral stripes ∼0.2 μm apart. The pattern was shifted laterally through five phases and three angular rotations of 60° for each z section. Optical sections were separated by 0.125 μm. Exposure times were typically between 200 and 500 ms, and the power of each laser was adjusted to achieve optimal intensities of between 2,000 and 4,000 counts in a raw image of 16-bit dynamic range at the lowest laser power possible to minimize photobleaching. Each frame acquisition was separated by a 300-ms pause. Multichannel imaging was achieved through sequential acquisition of wavelengths by separate cameras.

Raw 3D images were processed and reconstructed (Gustafsson, 2000; Schermelleh et al., 2008). The channels were aligned in the image plane and around the optical axis using predetermined shifts as measured using a target lens and the SoftwoRx alignment tool.
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