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The Genome Sequence of the Leaf-Cutter Ant *Atta cephalotes* Reveals Insights into Its Obligate Symbiotic Lifestyle
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Abstract

Leaf-cutter ants are one of the most important herbivorous insects in the Neotropics, harvesting vast quantities of fresh leaf material. The ants use leaves to cultivate a fungus that serves as the colony’s primary food source. This obligate ant-fungus mutualism is one of the few occurrences of farming by non-humans and likely facilitated the formation of their massive colonies. Mature leaf-cutter ant colonies contain millions of workers ranging in size from small garden tenders to large soldiers, resulting in one of the most complex polymorphic caste systems within ants. To begin uncovering the genomic underpinnings of this system, we sequenced the genome of *Atta cephalotes* using 454 pyrosequencing. One prediction from this ant’s lifestyle is that it has undergone genetic modifications that reflect its obligate dependence on the fungus for nutrients. Analysis of this genome sequence is consistent with this hypothesis, as we find evidence for reductions in genes related to nutrient acquisition. These include extensive reductions in serine proteases (which are likely unnecessary because proteolysis is not a primary mechanism used to process nutrients obtained from the fungus), a loss of genes involved in arginine biosynthesis (suggesting that this amino acid is obtained from the fungus), and the absence of a hexamerin (which sequesters amino acids during larval development in other insects). Following recent reports of genome sequences from other insects that engage in symbioses with beneficial microbes, the A. cephalotes genome provides new insights into the symbiotic lifestyle of this ant and advances our understanding of host–microbe symbioses.
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Introduction

Ants are one of the most successful insects on earth, comprising up to 20% of all terrestrial animal biomass and at least 25% of the entire animal biomass in the New World Tropics [1]. One of the most conspicuous and prolific Neotropical ants are the leaf-cutter (Tribe: Attini), so-called because of their leaf-cutting behavior [2]. Leaf-cutter ants are unique among ants because they obligately farm a specialized, mutualistic fungus that serves as their primary food source [3]. Using a complex system of trails, foraging ants seek out and cut leaves (Figure 1A) that they use to manure a fungal crop in specialized subterranean fungus gardens (Figure 1B) within their colonies. Fungus farming by ants is exclusive to the New World and is thought to have evolved once 50 million years ago [4], culminating in the leaf-cutter ants. A single mature colony of the genus Atta can fill a volume of up to 600 m³ and their fungus gardens can support millions of workers capable of harvesting over 400 kg of leaf material (dry weight) annually [1]. These ants are thus one of the most widespread and important polyphagous insect herbivores in the Neotropics.

The importance of leaf-cutter ants in Neotropical rainforest ecology lies in their ability to substantially alter arboreal foliage through their extensive leaf-cutting activities. Estimates suggest that leaf-cutter ants remove 12–17% of the total leaf production in tropical rainforests [1]. As a group, they harvest more plant biomass than any other Neotropical herbivore including mammals and other insects. As a result, leaf-cutter ants are a major human agricultural pest, responsible for billions of dollars in economic loss each year [5]. These ants do, however, have a positive impact on rainforest ecosystems, as they contribute to rapid soil turnover through their nest excavation activities [6], stimulate plant growth by cutting vegetation [7], and help to recycle organic carbon [1].

In addition to their importance in Neotropical ecosystems, leaf-cutter ants also serve as a model for understanding the ecology and evolution of host-microbe symbioses [8]. In return for receiving a continuous supply of leaf-material, protection from competitors, and dispersal, the fungus these ants grow provide nutrients in the form of specialized hyphal swellings called gongylidia. Gongylidia, which contain a mixture of carbohydrates, amino acids, proteins, lipids, and vitamins [9], is the sole food source for developing larvae. The fungus garden is also known to harbor other microbial symbionts including nitrogen-fixing bacteria that provide both fungus and ants with nitrogen [10], and a diverse community of fungus garden bacteria that appear to help the fungus degrade plant biomass [11]. The complexity of the leaf-cutter ant symbiosis is further highlighted by the presence of a specialized microfungal pathogen that exploits the ant-fungus mutualism [12,13]. As a result, the leaf-cutter ant symbiosis comprises at least three established mutualists and one specialized pathogen. With the reported presence of additional microbial symbionts from Acromyrmex leaf-cutter ants [14–19], and the isolation of numerous microbes from other fungus-growing ants [20–22], this ant-microbe symbiosis is perhaps one of the most complex examples of symbiosis currently described.

Leaf-cutter ants in the genus Atta are also known for their morphologically diverse caste system (Figure 1C), which reflects their complex division of labor [23,24]. For example, the overall body size of Atta cephalotes workers varies tremendously (i.e., head widths (HW) ranging from 0.6 mm to 4.5 mm [23]), and these differences correspond to the tasks performed by workers. The smallest workers (HW 0.8–1.6 mm) engage in gardening and brood care as their smaller mandibles allow them to manage the delicate fungal hyphae and manipulate developing larvae. Some of these workers are also responsible for processing plant material collected by foragers by clipping large pieces of leaf material into smaller fragments to manure the fungus. Larger workers (HW >1.6 mm) are responsible for foraging, as they have mandibles powerful enough to cut through leaves and other vegetation [24]. The largest workers form a true soldier caste, which are involved primarily in nest excavation and colony defense [23,24].

To gain a better understanding of the biology of leaf-cutter ants, we sequenced the genome of Atta cephalotes using 454 pyrosequencing technology [25] and generated a high-quality de novo assembly and annotation. Analysis of this genome sequence reveals a loss of genes associated with nutrient acquisition and amino acid biosynthesis. These genes appear to be no longer required because the fungus may provide these nutrients. With the recent reports of genomes from other social hymenopterans [26,27] and insects that engage in microbial mutualisms [28,29], the A. cephalotes genome contributes to our understanding of social insect biology and provides insights into the interactions of host-microbe symbioses.

Results/Discussion

Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation of the Atta cephalotes Genome

Three males from a mature Atta cephalotes colony in Gamboa, Panama were collected and sequenced using 454-based pyrosequencing [25] with both fragment and paired-end sequencing approaches. A total of 12 whole-genome shotgun fragment runs were performed using the 454 FLX Titanium platform in addition to two sequencing runs of an 8 kbp insert paired-end library, and one run of a 20 kbp insert paired-end library. Assembly of these data resulted in a genome sequence of 290 Mbp, similar to the 300 Mbp genome size previously estimated for A. cephalotes [30]. The genome is spread across 42,754 contigs with an average length of 6,788 bp and an N50 of 14,240 bp (Table 1). Paired-end
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sequencing (8 kbp and 20 kbp inserts) generated 2,835 scaffolds covering 317 Mbp with an N50 scaffold size of 5,154,504 bp. The disparity between contig and scaffold size may be accounted for by the number of repeats present in this genome (see below) leading to an inflated assembly size due to chimeric contigs. Based on the total amount of base pairs generated and its predicted genome size, we estimate that the coverage of the *A. cephalotes* genome is 18-20X.

To determine the completeness of the *A. cephalotes* genome sequence, we performed three analyses. First, we compared the *A. cephalotes* genome annotation against a set of core eukaryotic genes using CEGMA [31], and found that 234 out of 248 core proteins (94%) were present and complete, while 243 (98%) were present and partially represented. Second, we analyzed the cytoplasmic ribosomal proteins (CRPs) in the *A. cephalotes* genome and identified a total of 89 genes (Text S1). These encode the full complement of 79 CRPs known to exist in animals, nine of which are represented by gene duplicates (RpL11, RpL14, RpS2, RpS3, RpS7, RpS13, RpS19, RpS28) or triplicates (RpL22). The presence of a complete set of these numerous genes, which are widely distributed throughout the genome, confirmed the high-quality of the *A. cephalotes* genome sequence (Text S2). Finally, we found that the genome of *A. cephalotes* contains 66 of the 67 known oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) nuclear genes in insects (Text S3). The only OXPHOS gene missing, *cox7a*, we found to be also missing in the two ants *Camponotus floridanus* and *Harpegnathos saltator* and the honey bee *Apis mellifera*. The presence of this gene in the jewel wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* (along with other holometabolous insects), suggests an aculeate Hymenoptera-specific loss, rather than a lack of genome coverage for *A. cephalotes*.

We also generated an annotation for the *A. cephalotes* genome using a combined approach of electronically-generated annotations followed by manual review and curation of a subset of gene models. Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs) generated from a pool of workers consisting of different ages and castes from a laboratory-maintained colony of *A. cephalotes* was used in conjunction with the MAKER [32] automated annotation pipeline to generate an initial genome annotation. This electronically-generated annotation set (OGS1.1) contained a total of 18,153 gene models encoding 18,177 transcripts (See Materials and Methods), 7,002 of which had EST splice site confirmation and 7,224 had at least partial EST overlap. The MAKER-produced gene annotations were used for further downstream review and manual curation of over 500 genes across 16 gene categories (Table S1). Significant findings from this annotation are highlighted below, with additional details of our full analysis described in Text S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15, S16, S17, S18, S19, S20.

**Figure 1. The leaf-cutter ant *Atta cephalotes*.** Leaf-cutter ants harvest fresh leaf material which they cut from Neotropical rainforests (a) and use them to grow a fungus that serves as the colony’s primary food source (b). These ants display a morphologically diverse caste system that reflects a complex division of labor (c) correlated to specific food source (b). These ants have also evolved one of the most complex forms of division of labor, with colonies composed of different-sized workers specialized for different tasks. To gain insight into the biology of these ants, we sequenced the first genome of a leaf-cutter ant, *Atta cephalotes*. Our analysis of this genome reveals characteristics reflecting the obligate nutritional dependency of these ants on their fungus. These findings represent the first genetic evidence of a reduced capacity for nutrient acquisition in leaf-cutter ants, which is likely compensated for by their fungal symbiont. These findings parallel other nutritional host–microbe symbioses, suggesting convergent genomic modifications in these types of associations.

**Table 1. General assembly statistics for the genome of the leaf-cutter ant *Atta cephalotes*.**

| Statistic              | *Atta cephalotes* |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Number of contigs      | 42,754            |
| Average length of contigs | 6,788 bp         |
| Total length of contigs | 290,223,730 bp   |
| Contig size N50        | 14,240 bp         |
| Number of scaffolds     | 2,835             |
| Average length of scaffolds | 112,054 bp     |
| Total length of all scaffolds | 317,672,992 bp |
| Scaffold size N50      | 5,154,504 bp      |
| Total coverage         | 18-20X            |
| Predicted genes (OGS1.2) | 18,093           |
In addition to the *A. cephalotes* genome sequence, we also recovered an 18-20X coverage complete and circular mitochondrial genome, which showed strong whole sequence identity to the mitochondrial genome sequence reported for the solitary wasp *Diadegma semiclausum* [33]. A synteny analysis of the predicted genes on the *A. cephalotes* mitochondrial genome showed near-identical gene order with that of *A. mellifera* [34] (Text S4).

Repetitive DNA

The *A. cephalotes* assembly contains 80 Mbp of repetitive elements, which accounts for 25% of the predicted assembly (Table S2). The majority of these are interspersed repeats, which account for 70 Mbp (21%). Many of these repeats are transposable elements (TEs), with DNA TEs the most abundant and accounting for 14.3 Mbp (4.5%). A large number of retroid element fragments were also identified, with Gypsy/DIRS1 and L2/CR1/Rex as the most abundant. However, the majority of interspersed elements (51.8 Mbp) were similar to *de novo* predictions that we could not be classified to a specific family (Table S2). Improvements to the assembly, integration of repeat annotation evidence, and manual curation will be necessary to determine if these elements represent new TE families or complex nests of interspersed repeats.

Given the obligate association between *A. cephalotes* and its fungal cultivar, we investigated the possibility that the *A. cephalotes* genome might contain transposable elements commonly found in fungi. This was done by re-analyzing the genome using a TE library optimized for the detection of Fungi and Viridiplantae. We did not find evidence for any high-scoring or full-length retroid or DNA TEs from either of these taxa present in the *A. cephalotes* genome.

Our estimate that 25% of *A. cephalotes* assembly contains repetitive elements may be ambitious because our assembly spans 317 Mbp and the estimated genome size for *A. cephalotes* is 300 Mbp [30]. These predictions are, however, more similar to other ant species [27] and *N. vitripennis* [35] than to *A. mellifera* [28], which lacks the majority of retroid elements and other transposable elements (TE) found in *A. cephalotes*.

Global Compositional Analysis

Eukaryotic genomes can be understood from the perspective of their nucleotide topography, particularly with respect to their GC content. Previous work has shown that animal genomes are not uniform, but are composed of compositional domains including homogeneous and nonhomogeneous stretches of DNA with varying GC composition [36]. A global composition analysis was performed for *A. cephalotes* and the compositional distribution was compared to those of other insect genomes, as described in Text S3. This analysis revealed that *A. cephalotes* has a compositional distribution similar to other animal genomes, with an abundance of short domain sequences and few long domain sequences. *A. cephalotes* also has the largest number of long GC-rich domain sequences when compared to other insect genomes, with over six times the number of long GC-rich domain sequences than the *N. vitripennis* genome. When genes are mapped to compositional domains in the *A. cephalotes* genome, we find that they are uniformly distributed across the entire genome, in contrast to *N. vitripennis* and *A. mellifera*, which have genes occurring in more GC-poor regions of their genomes.

DNA Methylation

The methylation of genes has been reported for other hymenopterans including *A. mellifera* [37] and *N. vitripennis* [35]. In insects, it is thought that this process contributes to gene silencing [37], but recent reports suggest a positive correlation between DNA methylation and gene expression [38,39]. DNA methylation is thought to involve three genes: *dnmt1*, *dnmt2*, and *dnmt3* [40], although the precise role of *dnmt2* remains unresolved. We found all three genes as single copies in *A. cephalotes*, which is similar to the other ants [27] but in contrast to *A. mellifera* and *N. vitripennis* where *dnmt1* has expanded to two and three copies, respectively [35] (Text S6). *dnmt3* is known to be involved in caste development in *A. mellifera* [41], and the presence of this gene in *A. cephalotes* may therefore indicate a similar role.

RNAi

RNA interference is a mechanism through which the expression of RNA transcripts is modulated [42]. We annotated a total of 29 different RNAi-related genes in *A. cephalotes*, including most of the genes involved in the microRNA pathway, the small interfering RNA pathway, and the piwi-interacting RNA pathway (Text S7). All detected RNAi genes were found as single copies except for two copies of the gene *loquacious*. One of these contains three double-stranded RNA binding domains characteristic of *loquacious* in *D. melanogaster* [43], whereas the other contains only two. It is not known what role this second *loquacious*-like gene plays in *A. cephalotes* and future work is needed to deduce its role.

The Insulin Signaling Pathway

The insulin signaling pathway is a highly-conserved system in insects that plays a key role in many processes including metabolism, reproduction, growth, and aging [44]. An analysis of the insulin signaling system in *A. cephalotes* reveals that it has all of the core genes known to participate in this pathway (Text S8). One of the hallmarks of *A. cephalotes* biology is its complex size-based caste system and, although virtually nothing is known about the genetic basis of caste development in this ant, it is currently thought that it is intrinsically linked to brood care and the amount of nutrients fed to developing larvae [1]. Given the importance of the insulin signaling system in nutrition, it is likely that this pathway is involved in caste differentiation in *A. cephalotes*, as has been shown for *A. mellifera* [45].

Yellow and Major Royal Jelly Proteins

The yellow/major royal jelly proteins are encoded by an important class of genes and in *A. mellifera* they are thought to be integral to many major aspects of eusocial behavior [46]. For example, members of these genes are implicated in both caste development and sex determination. An analysis of this gene family in *A. cephalotes* revealed a total of 21 genes, 13 of which belong to the yellow genes and 8 of which encode major royal jelly proteins (MRJPs) (Text S9). In general, the yellow genes display one-to-one orthology with yellow genes in other insects like *Drosophila melanogaster* and *N. vitripennis*. With eight members in the MRJP subfamily, which is restricted to Hymenoptera, the number of MRJP genes in *A. cephalotes* is similar to the number reported for other Hymenoptera [35,46]. However, five of the eight genes in *A. cephalotes* are putative pseudogenes. This may indicate that a high copy number of MRJPs may be an ancestral feature and that *A. cephalotes* is in the process of losing these genes. The loss of MRJPs may be a common theme among ants, as the recently reported genome sequences for *C. floridanus* and *H. saltator* revealed only one and two MRJP genes, respectively [27].

Wing Polyphenism

Wing polyphenism is a universal feature of ants that has contributed to their evolutionary success [1]. The gene network
that underlies wing polyphenism in ants responds to environmental cues such that this network is normally expressed in winged queens and males, but is interrupted at specific points in wingless workers [47]. We therefore predict that the differential expression of this network between queens and workers may be regulated by epigenetic mechanisms as has been demonstrated in honey bees [41]. In A. mellifera, developmental and caste specific genes have a distinct DNA methylation signature (high-CpG dinucleotide content) relative to other genes in the genome [48]. Because A. cephalotes has more worker castes than other ant species [23] (Figure 1C), we predict that the DNA methylation signature of genes underlying wing polyphenism will also be distinct relative to other genes in its genome. To test this prediction, we analyzed the sequence composition of wing development genes in A. cephalotes, and found that they exhibit a higher CpG dinucleotide content than the rest of the genes in the genome (Text S10). Previous experiments have shown that genes with a high-CpG dinucleotide content can be differentially methylated in specific tissues or different developmental stages [49]. Therefore, DNA methylation may facilitate the caste-specific expression of genes that underlie wing polyphenism in A. cephalotes. This may be a general feature of genes that underlie polyphenism.

Desaturases

An important aspect of the eusocial lifestyle is communication between colony members, specifically in differentiating between individuals that belong to the same colony and those that do not. Nestmate recognition in many ants is mediated by cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) [50], and nearly 1,000 of these compounds have been described. In ants, CHC biosynthesis involves Δ9/Δ11 desaturases, which are known to produce alkenic components of CHC profiles [51]. We analyzed the Δ9 desaturases in the genome of A. cephalotes and detected nine genes localized to a 200 kbp stretch on a single scaffold in addition to four other Δ9 desaturase genes on other scaffolds (Text S11). In contrast, the seven genes found in D. melanogaster are more widely distributed along one chromosome. The number of Δ9 desaturase genes in A. cephalotes is similar to the 9 and 16 found in A. mellifera and N. vitripennis, respectively. A phylogenetic analysis of these genes supports their division into five clades, with eight Δ9 desaturase genes falling in a single clade suggesting an expansion of these genes possibly related to an increased demand for chemical signal variability during ant evolution (Text S11). Interestingly, the phylogeny also supports an expansion in this type of Δ9 desaturase genes within N. vitripennis but not in A. mellifera.

Immune Response

All insects have innate immune defenses to deal with potential pathogens [52] and A. cephalotes is no exception with a total of 84 annotated genes found to be involved in this response (Text S12). These include the intact immune signaling pathways Toll, IMD, Jak/Stat, and JNK. When compared to solitary insects like D. melanogaster and N. vitripennis, A. cephalotes has fewer immune response genes and better resembles what is known for the eusocial A. mellifera [53]. The presence of other defenses in A. cephalotes, such as antibiotics produced by metapleural glands [54–56], may account for the paucity of immune genes. Furthermore, social behavioral defenses may also participate in the immune response, as has been suggested for A. mellifera [53].

Orthology Analysis

A set of shared orthology was determined among A. cephalotes, A. mellifera, N. vitripennis, and D. melanogaster (Figure 2). A total of 5,577 orthologs were found conserved across all four insect genomes, with an additional 1,363 orthologs conserved across the three hymenopteran genomes. A further, 599 orthologs were conserved between A. cephalotes and A. mellifera, perhaps indicating genes that are specific to a eusocial lifestyle. We also found 9,361 proteins that are unique to A. cephalotes, representing over half of its predicted proteome. These proteins likely include those specific to ants or to A. cephalotes.

We then analyzed the proteins that were found to be specific to A. cephalotes and determined those Gene Ontology (GO) [57] terms that are enriched in these proteins, relative to the rest of the genome (Table S3). We found many GO terms that reflect the biology of A. cephalotes and ants in general. For example, we find proteins with GO terms that reflect the importance of communication. These include proteins associated with olfactory receptor activity, odorant binding function, sensory perception, neurological development, localization at the synapse, and functions involved in ligand-gated and other membrane channels.

Gene Comparisons within Hymenopteran Genomes

To focus on Hymenoptera evolution, we compared the A. cephalotes genome to 4 other hymenopteran including the ants C. floridanus and H. saltator, the honey bee A. mellifera, and the solitary parasitic jewel wasp N. vitripennis. We used the eukaryotic clusters of orthologous groups (KOG) ontology [58] to annotate the predicted proteins from all of these genomes and performed an enrichment analysis by comparing the KOGs of the social insects A. cephalotes, C. floridanus, H. saltator, and A. mellifera against the KOGs of the non-social N. vitripennis as shown in Table S4.

A detailed analysis of KOGs within each over- and under-represented category is highly suggestive of A. cephalotes biology (Table S5). One of the most over-represented KOGs in A. cephalotes includes the 69 copies of the RhoA GTPase effector diaphanous (KOG1924). In contrast, all of the other hymenopteran genomes have substantially less copies of this gene. RhoA GTPase diaphanous is known to be involved in actin cytoskeleton organization and is essential for all actin-mediated events [59]. The large number of these genes in A. cephalotes may relate to the extensive cytoskeletal changes that occur during caste differentiation. One of these genes (ACEP_00016791) was found to exhibit high single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) (Text S13). Given that genes involved in caste development in other social insects like A. mellifera also have high SNPs [60,61], this may indicate that this gene is important for
caste determination in *A. cephalotes*. *A. cephalotes* is also significantly over-represented in the dosage compensation complex subunit (KOG0921), the homeobox transcription factor SIP1 (KOG3623), the muscarine acetylcholine receptor (KOG4220), the cadherin EGF LAG seven-pass GTP-type receptor (KOG4299), and the calcium-activated potassium channel slowpoke (KOG1420), relative to *N. vitripennis*. Many of these genes have been implicated in *D. melanogaster* larval development, specifically during nervous system formation [62,63]. As a result, an over-representation of these genes in *A. cephalotes* relative to *N. vitripennis* may indicate their association with a eusocial lifestyle, and in particular, caste and subcaste differentiation.

Genes that were found to be under-represented in *A. cephalotes* relative to *N. vitripennis* include core histone genes, nucleosome-binding factor genes, serine protease trypsins, and cytochrome P450s (Table S5). These findings were confirmed by a domain-based comparison between *A. cephalotes* and all other sequenced insects (Text S14). One of the most under-represented KOGs is trypsin, a serine protease used in the degradation of proteins into their amino acid constituents. Trypsins in *N. vitripennis* are known to be part of the venom cocktail injected into its host, which helps necrotization and initiates the process of amino acid acquisition for developing larvae [35,64]. In contrast to the protein-rich diet of *N. vitripennis*, *A. cephalotes* feed on gongylidia produced by their fungus, which represents a switch to a carbohydrate-rich (60% of mixture) diet [63]. These differences in diet may explain the under-representation of trypsin in *A. cephalotes*, as trypsin is likely not the primary mechanism used to digest nutrients obtained from the fungal cultivar. Our analysis also revealed a reduction of trypsin genes in other social insects relative to *N. vitripennis*, and this may also reflect their diets. For example, honey dew is a major contributor to the honey/pollen diet of *A. mellifera*, while the honey/pollen diet of *N. vitripennis* contains primarily sugars [1], while the honey/pollen diet of *A. mellifera* is composed primarily of carbohydrates, lipids, carbohydrates, vitamins, and some proteins [66]. Because this under-representation of trypsin is consistent across social insects when compared to other sequenced insects (Table S5, Text S14), this reduction may reflect the specific dietary features of these insects, or could indicate a loss of these genes across eusocial insects.

In addition to trypsin, cytochrome P450s were also found to be under-represented in both *A. cephalotes* and *A. mellifera*, relative to *N. vitripennis*, with reductions in both CYP3- and CYP4-type P450s (Table S5). P450s in insects are important enzymes known to be involved in a wide range of metabolic activities, including xenobiotic degradation, and pheromone metabolism [67]. We identified a total of 32 and 62 P450s in *A. cephalotes* and *A. mellifera*, respectively, which is similar to the low numbers reported for another insect, the body louse *Pediculus humanus* [29]. These values represent some of the smallest amounts of P450s reported for any insect genome, and may represent the minimal number of P450s required by insects to survive. Comparison of the *A. cephalotes* P450s against those of *A. mellifera* and *P. humanus* reveals that while there are some shared P450s, many are specific to each insect (Text S15).

In *A. mellifera*, the paucity of P450s is thought to be associated with the evolutionary underpinnings of its eusocial lifestyle [68], although an enrichment of P450s in the ants *C. floridanus* and *H. saltator* [27] would seem to contradict this prediction. It is therefore unclear why *A. cephalotes* has a small number of P450s relative to other ants, and future work will be necessary to provide insight into this apparent discrepancy. A SNP analysis of the P450 genes in *A. cephalotes* did reveal that one of these, ACEP_00016463, has 20 SNPs/kbp (Text S13). Since P450s are known to undergo accelerated duplication and divergence [67], the high number of SNPs in this particular P450 may reflect positive selection for new functions.

**Comparative Metabolic Reconstruction Analysis**

Given the tight obligate association that *A. cephalotes* has with its fungal mutualist, one might predict that it acquires amino acids from its fungus in a manner similar to that of the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, which obtains amino acids from its bacterial symbionts [28]. To test this, we performed a metabolic reconstruction analysis using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [69]. *A. cephalotes* contains a nearly identical set of amino acid biosynthesis genes as *A. mellifera*, *C. floridanus*, *H. saltator*, and *N. vitripennis*, all of which are incapable of synthesizing histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysole, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tryptophan, and valine de novo. The only exception is arginine, and only *A. cephalotes* was found to lack the genes necessary for its biosynthesis (Figure 3). Arginine, which is produced through the conversion of citrulline and aspartate [70,71], is predicted to be synthesized at levels too low to support growth in insects [72].

In *A. cephalotes* the 2 genes that catalyze the synthesis of arginine, argininosuccinate synthase (EC 6.3.4.5) and argininosuccinate lyase (EC 4.3.2.1), were not found (Figure 3). The loss of these two genes suggests a dependence on externally-acquired arginine, which we hypothesize, is provided by their fungus. In the carpenter ant *C. floridanus*, arginine is thought to be synthesized from citrulline provided by its endosymbiont *Biochimica floridanus* [73], and this dependency is predicted to play an essential role in maintaining the carpenter ant-bacteria mutualism. An extreme case has been reported for the pea aphid, which has lost its urea pathway and depends entirely on its endosymbiont *Buchnera aphidicola*, for arginine [28]. The loss of arginine biosynthesis in *Atta* may similarly be important for maintaining the leaf-cutter ant-fungus mutualism. In line with this prediction, the fungus the ants cultivate contains all of the amino acids that *A. cephalotes* can not synthesize, including arginine [65].

**Comparison of Hexamerins**

In addition to arginine biosynthesis, *A. cephalotes* may have also lost the need to rely on hexamerins as a source of amino acids during development. In many insects, hexamerin proteins are synthesized by developing larvae and used as amino acid sources during development into the adult stage [74]. Four hexamerins are commonly found across insects, including *hex 70a*, *hex 70b*, *hex 70c*, and *hex 110*. Comparison among the hymenopteran genomes reveals the presence of all hexamerins in varying copy number across all genomes except for *A. cephalotes*, which is missing *hex 70c* (Figure 4) (Text S16). In *A. mellifera*, hexamerins are expressed at different times, with *hex 70a* and *hex 110* expressed during the larval, pupal and adult stage of workers, and *hex 70b* and *hex 70c* only expressed during the larval stage [74]. The specific expression of *hex 70b* and *hex 70c* in larvae may reflect the increased need for these nutrients during early development. Given that *A. cephalotes* larvae feed primarily on gongylidia, it is possible that amino acids supplemented by the fungus over the millions of years of this mutualism has relaxed selection for maintaining larval-stage hexamerins, and thus *hex 70c* may have been lost. Future expression analyses of these genes at different life stages, in different castes, and under different nutritional conditions will likely confirm and elucidate their role.

**Conclusion**

Here we have presented the first genome sequence for a fungus-growing ant and show that its genomic features potentially reflect...
its obligate symbiotic lifestyle and developmental complexity. An initial analysis of its genome reveals many characteristics that are similar to both solitary and eusocial insect genomes. One hypothesis, based on the obligate mutualism of Atta cephalotes and its fungus, is that its genome exhibits reductions related to this relationship. We have provided some evidence that A. cephalotes has gene reductions related to nutrient acquisition, and these losses may be compensated by the provision of these nutrients from the fungus. For example, the extensive reduction in serine proteases may reflect the lack of proteins in its diet since the fungus primarily provides nutrients in the form of carbohydrates and free amino acids. Furthermore, the loss of the arginine biosynthesis pathway in A. cephalotes may indicate the obligate reliance that it has on the fungus, as arginine is part of the nutrients that it provides to the ant. This type of relationship appears to be conserved in other insect-microbe mutualisms, specifically in the pea aphid [28] and the carpenter ant [73]. Finally, A. cephalotes appears to have lost a hexamerin protein that is conserved across all other insect genome sequences reported to date. Loss of this protein, which is associated with amino acid sequestration during larval development, may be tolerated because larvae have a ready source of amino acids from the fungus. These genomic features may serve as essential factors that have stabilized the mutualism over its coevolutionary history. The sequencing and analysis of this genome will be a valuable addition to the growing number of insect genomes, and in particular will provide insight into both host-microbe symbiosis and eusociality in hymenopterans.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample Collection, DNA Extraction, and Sequencing**

Three males from a single mature A. cephalotes colony were collected in June 2009 in Gamboa, Panama (latitude 9° 7’ 0” N, longitude 79° 42’ 0” W) and designated males A, B, and C. Genomic DNA from these males was extracted using a modified version of a Genomic-tip extraction protocol for mosquitoes and other insects (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). Sequencing was performed using the 454 FLX Titanium pyrosequencing platform [25] at the 454 Life Sciences Sequencing Center (Branford, CT) as follows. A whole-genome shotgun fragment library was constructed for male A and sequenced using a single run, generating 539,113,701 bp of sequence. For male B, a whole-genome shotgun fragment library was also constructed and sequenced using 11 runs, generating a total of 4,209,396,304 bp of sequence. An 8 kbp paired-end library was generated for male B, and sequenced using two runs, generating a total of 539,113,701 bp of sequence. For male B, a whole-genome shotgun fragment library was constructed and sequenced using 11 runs, generating a total of 4,209,396,304 bp of sequence. An 8 kbp paired-end library was generated for male A and sequenced using a single run, generating 349,435,001 bp. In total, 5,916,796,406 bp of sequence were generated for all three ants.
Genome Assembly

All generated sequences were assembled using the 454 GS de novo assembler software (March 06 2010 R&D Release). The *Atta cephalotes* whole genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the project number 48117 and accession ADTU00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, ADTU01000000.

Transcript Sequencing and Assembly

Workers from a healthy *Atta cephalotes* colony (JS090510-01) collected from Gamboa, Panama and maintained in the laboratory of Cameron Currie at the University of Wisconsin-Madison were used to generate transcript sequences. A pool of 169 workers across different age and size classes was selected and total RNA was extracted using a modified version of a phenol-chloroform protocol previously described [75]. This sample was normalized and a fragment library was generated before subsequent sequencing using a single run of a 454 FLX Titanium pyrosequencer [25] at the Genome Center at Washington University (St. Louis, MO), generating a total of 462,755,799 bp of sequence. Transcript sequences were assembled using the Celera assembler (wgs-assembler 6.0 beta) [76] with standard assembly parameters.

Genome Annotation

Annotations for the *Atta cephalotes* genome was generated using the automated genome annotation pipeline MAKER [32]. The MAKER annotation pipeline consists of 4 general steps. First, RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org) and RepeatRunner [77] were used to identify and mask repetitive elements in the genome. Second, gene prediction programs including Augustus [78], Snap [79], and GeneMark [80] were employed to generate ab-initio (non-evidence informed) gene predictions. Next a set of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) and proteins from related organisms were aligned against the genome using BLASTN and BLASTX [81], and these alignments were further refined with respect to splice sites using the computer program Exonerate [82]. Finally, the EST and protein homology alignments and the ab-initio gene predictions were integrated and filtered by MAKER to produce a set of evidence informed gene annotations. This gene set was then further refined to remove all putative repeat elements and to include gene models initially rejected by MAKER but found to contain known protein domains using the program InterProScan [83]. The resulting gene set (OGS 1.1) then became the substrate for further analysis and manual curation. Over 500 genes in OGS 1.1 were manually curated (Table S1), producing OGS 1.2, which is publicly available at the Hymenopteran Genome Database (http://HymenopteraGenome.org/atta/ genome_consortium).

The general manual curation process used for generating OGS 1.2 was based on a standardized protocol and conducted as follows. For each gene family, query sequences were obtained first from FlyBase [84] and supplemented with known gene models from the other sequenced hymenopteran genomes, *Apis mellifera* [26] and *Nasonia vitripennis* [35]. BLAST was used to align these gene models against putative sequences in the *A. cephalotes* genome predicted by MAKER. The sequence analysis program Apollo [85] was then used by all annotators to contribute their annotations to a centralized Chado [86] database. In general, putative gene models in *A. cephalotes* were confirmed by investigating the placement of introns and exons, the completeness of sequences, evaluating sequencing errors, and syntenic information. A final homology search was also performed with the putative *A. cephalotes* gene model by comparing it against the non-redundant protein database in NCBI to confirm its match against known insect models.

Repeat Analysis

We used PILER-DF [87], RepeatModeler (Smit A, Hubley R, Green P, RepeatModeler Open-1.0. 2008-2010 http://www. repeatmasker.org), RECON [88], and RepeatScout [89] to generate de novo transposable element (TE) predictions. We found 1,381 de novo repeat predictions including 264 from RepeatScout, 26 from PILER-DF, and 1091 from RECON. We simplified the complexity of our de novo TE predictions by removing elements that were over 80% similar over 80% of their length [90] and also screened out elements with more than 50% sequence identity to Uniprot [91] genes. This resulted in a final *A. cephalotes*-specific repeat library containing 1,252 elements (1048 RECON, 195 RepeatScout, 9 PILER-DF), which were then classified using RepeatMasker (Smit AFA, Hubley R, Green P, RepeatMasker Open-3.0. 1996-2010 <http://www.repeatmasker.org>) and custom scripts that identify TIR and LTR sequences. This curated library was converted to EMBL format, appended to a RepBase [92] library and used to mask the *A. cephalotes* genome assembly.

Orthology Analysis

An orthology analysis was performed between the proteins from *Atta cephalotes* (OGS1.2), *Apis mellifera* (preOGS2) [26], *Nasonia vitripennis* (OGS1.2) [35], and *Drosophila melanogaster* (Release 5.29) [93]. Using these protein sets, we reduced each dataset to contain only the single longest isoform using custom Perl scripts. An all-by-all BLAST was performed using the computer program OrthoMCL [94] and the best reciprocal orthologs, inparalogs, and co-orthologs were determined. We used the MCL v09-308 Markov clustering algorithm [95] to define final ortholog, inparalog, and co-ortholog groups between the datasets. For all OrthoMCL analyses, the suggested parameters were used.

We then annotated those proteins in *A. cephalotes* that did not have any orthologs to the 3 other insects and performed a gene ontology enrichment analysis. This was done by annotating all *A. cephalotes* proteins using Interproscan [83] to generate Gene Ontology (GO) terms. This resulted in 6,971 (41%) proteins receiving at least one GO annotation. GO-TermFinder [96] was then used to determine those proteins that were enriched for specific GO terms in the *A. cephalotes*-specific proteins, relative to the entire *A. cephalotes* OGS1.2 dataset.

KOG Enrichment Analysis

We performed a eukaryotic orthologous groups (KOG) [58] enrichment analysis for the genomes of *Atta cephalotes*, *Camponotus floridanus* [27], *Harpegnathos saltator* [27], *Apis mellifera* [26], and *Nasonia vitripennis* [35]. The KOG database was obtained from NCBI and RPSBLAST [97] (e-value: 1e-05) was used to compare the predicted proteins from *A. cephalotes* (OGS1.2), *C. floridanus* (OGS3.3), *H. saltator* (OGS3.3), *A. mellifera* (preOGS2), and *N. vitripennis* (OGS r.1). Each KOG hit was tabulated according to its gene category, and Fisher’s exact test was then applied to determine which categories were over- or under-represented. This was done for *A. cephalotes*, *C. floridanus*, *H. saltator*, and *A. mellifera* against *N. vitripennis*, respectively, as shown in Table S4. We then determine for each over- and under-represented KOG category in *A. cephalotes* relative to *N. vitripennis*, the specific KOGs within each category that were significantly enriched or under-enriched. This was done by comparing the
total number of *A. cephalotes* KOGs within each of these categories against those in *N. vitripennis* using Fisher’s exact test, as shown in Table S5.

**KEGG Reconstruction Analysis**

The predicted peptides for *Atta cephalotes* were used to reconstruct putative metabolic pathways using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes [69]. This was performed using the KEGG Automated Annotation Server (KAAS), which annotates proteins according to the KEGG database and reconstructs full pathways displaying them as maps. Similar maps were also constructed using KAAS for the predicted peptide sequences of *Camponotus floridanus* (OGS3.3) and *Harpegnathos saltator* (OGS3.3). These maps were compared against the maps currently available in KEGG for *Apis mellifera*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, and *Nasonia vitripennis*. For proteins in *A. cephalotes* that were not found in our KEGG reconstruction analysis, relative to other insects (e.g. argininosuccinate synthase (EC 6.3.4.5) and argininosuccinate lyase (EC 4.3.2.1)), we investigated those reads that were not incorporated into the *A. cephalotes* assembly to confirm that these did not contain potential gene fragments corresponding to these genes.
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