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Abstract

The NHS Long Term Plan aims to transform how we tackle cardiovascular disease (CVD) in England. Improving the detection and treatment of high-risk conditions has the potential to unlock considerable health gains. Stroke is the fourth leading cause of death in the UK with approximately 100,000 people having a stroke each year. This human burden is mirrored by the cost to treat stroke, accounting for approximately 3–5% of all healthcare expenditure. Atrial fibrillation (AF) contributes to one in five strokes in the UK and is associated with greater disability and mortality that non-AF-related strokes. While two-thirds of people with AF experience symptoms, one-third do not, with many only becoming aware of the condition when they have a stroke. AF is relatively easy to diagnose, and treatment with anticoagulants can reduce risk of stroke by two-thirds. It is estimated that up to 500,000 people in the UK have undiagnosed AF. This leads to significantly increased risk of stroke, heart failure and renal disease and increases mortality when compared to those without AF. With an ageing population, it is predicted that the number of people aged >55 years living with AF will more than double by 2060.

The UK National Screening Committee currently advises against screening for AF in the over 65s, concerned whether screening asymptomatic people has the same benefit of screening those with symptoms. Guidance from the European Society of Cardiology suggests systematic screening may be considered in those over the age of 75 years or at a high risk of stroke, with opportunistic screening recommended for those over 65 years. In general practice, opportunistic testing for AF was found to be more effective than routine care, and more cost-effective than systematic screening, based on the modelling for the cost of prevented strokes. Despite disagreement on whether to screen for AF in asymptomatic groups, some experts advocate testing for AF, and in some areas, this may be incentivised. This project helps inform the roll out of programmes where health services choose to run them. The authors recognise that the evidence around screening for AF will become clearer after the publication of ‘The SAFER – Screening for AF Study’, which is due to complete in 2026 and aims to determine whether systematic AF screening in over 65-year-olds in general practice is beneficial or not.

To reduce the incidence of AF-related stroke through the increased detection of AF, in 2017 NHS England commissioned the 15 Academic Health Science Networks (AHSNs) to procure 6,000 mobile electrocardiography (ECG) devices, for distribution to community settings across the county. This paper outlines the experience and learning from one AHSN, aiming to review the feasibility of using mobile ECG devices in a range of settings for opportunistic testing for asymptomatic groups at increased risk of AF. It also aims to provide insight into the effectiveness of different healthcare and non-healthcare settings at detecting possible AF.

Methods

The Health Innovation Network (HIN) as the AHSN for south London was responsible for the distribution of 400 mobile ECG devices across the 12 boroughs of south London. Of the ECG devices available through the project, three-quarters of devices selected by HIN were Kardia Mobile (Alivecor) a credit card sized, single-lead ECG device that uses ultrasonic signal that is received via the microphone of a compatible smartphone or tablet. The app has a built-in AF detection algorithm that provides an instant
increased risk was identified as all those aged 65 years or older (one possible AF in seven people tested), or those aged 65 years or older (one possible AF in 10 people tested), and GP or bradycardic (heart rate <50 bpm), regardless of heart rhythm. In such cases users were advised to treat a few minutes and then retest up to twice. If unclassified readings persisted, users were advised to treat in the same way as a possible AF finding. In such cases, a PDF of any abnormal traces detected using Kardia Mobile could be printed or emailed for advice from a general practitioner (GP), or appropriately trained healthcare professional, depending on the setting. Possible AF highlighted by WatchBP were treated the same way if an irregular pulse had been detected manually. WatchBP was used for non-healthcare settings without an NHS Mail email address which was required to securely transfer the PDF of the trace from Kardia Mobile. As each device only identifies possible AF, pathways for onward referral for 12-lead ECG to confirm diagnosis were confirmed with each setting, ensuring timely and appropriate onward referral. Kardia Mobile usage was captured and reported to HIN monthly through the national AHSN project. WatchBP usage was collected manually by users and reported monthly to HIN by the lead from each setting.

Results
All 400 mobile ECG devices were distributed across the 12 boroughs of south London between January 2018 and March 2019, with the majority being deployed between May 2018 and March 2019. A total of 14,835 pulse rhythm checks were performed using both mobile ECG devices, detecting 597 people with possible AF (Table 2). Kardia Mobile was used to test 10,413 people, detecting 537 people with possible AF. WatchBP was used to perform 4,422 checks, finding 60 people with possible AF. As only 86% of Kardia Mobile users registered their devices with the AHSN, any activity performed by the remaining 14% of users (42 Kardia Mobile devices) that were not registered was not captured, which would have increased these numbers further. A breakdown of usage by device can be seen in Table 3. Device usage was fed back to users each month and learning was collected, allowing training materials and processes to be regularly improved. Device settings were grouped to enable interpretation into effectiveness of different settings at identifying possible AF.

Discussion
This study supports that using Kardia Mobile and WatchBP to opportunistically test groups at increased risk of AF is feasible across a range of different healthcare and non-healthcare settings, allowing more of the population to receive pulse rhythm checks to detect possible AF. Testing across these settings delivered 14,835 pulse rhythm checks to individuals who may otherwise have gone untested. Five-hundred and ninety-seven people, detecting 537 people with possible AF. Perhaps unsurprisingly, settings which included visiting housebound older people (one possible AF in seven people tested), and those seen in a range of specialist hospital-based medical outpatient clinics (one possible AF in 10 people tested), and GP

| Table 1. Meta-analysis pooled data assessing accuracy of electrocardiography device against pulse palpation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Pulse palpation** | **Sensitivity (95% CI)** | **Specificity (95% CI)** |
| Blood pressure machine | 0.92 (0.85–0.96) | 0.82 (0.76–0.88) |
| Smartphone application | 0.98 (0.92–1.00) | 0.92 (0.88–0.95) |
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Device settings were grouped to enable interpretation into effectiveness of different settings at identifying possible AF.

Discussion
This study supports that using Kardia Mobile and WatchBP to opportunistically test groups at increased risk of AF is feasible across a range of different healthcare and non-healthcare settings, allowing more of the population to receive pulse rhythm checks to detect possible AF. Testing across these settings delivered 14,835 pulse rhythm checks to individuals who may otherwise have gone untested. Five-hundred and ninety-seven people, detecting 537 people with possible AF. Perhaps unsurprisingly, settings which included visiting housebound older people (one possible AF in seven people tested), and those seen in a range of specialist hospital-based medical outpatient clinics (one possible AF in 10 people tested), and GP
practices (one possible AF in 17 people tested) found the greatest prevalence of possible AF. Podiatrists are ideally placed to carry out opportunistic checks for undiagnosed AF as part of a routine podiatry assessment and when encountering visual symptoms that suggest an underlying circulatory disorder. The detection rate in community podiatry (one possible AF in 22 people tested) may be due to patients being older, and often with diabetes and existing cardiovascular risk factors. People with a serious mental illness have a life expectancy 15–20 years less than the general population, and are nearly twice as likely to suffer a stroke as the general population. This may, in part, explain the findings of one possible AF for every 26 people tested and supports further exploration of opportunistic testing in mental health settings.

Mobile ECG devices were used in several adult public health settings including AF awareness events, third sector health improvement services offering health checks to the general public, alongside activity centres for older people and a pop-up health shop offering health checks to adults of any age. The younger reported population within the section of the prison that the checks were performed in may explain the low detection rate observed in this setting. Although only one possible AF was detected in 774 people in the prison population, using WatchBP devices in prisons or sections of prisons with an older population at greater risk may increase the detection rate, and prison should not be discounted where this is the case. Using Kardia Mobile was not an option as the accompanying smart phone or tablet was not permitted within prisons. In an urgent care centre, a total of 391 people were tested over a 4-week period by two student nurses, detecting three individuals with possible AF who were followed up in the hospital’s rapid AF clinic. Testing for AF in urgent care with Kardia Mobile was feasible and acceptable to staff and service users. The younger population (mean age of 40 years) may account for the low detection rate observed in this setting. The lower proportion of possible AF detected by users of WatchBP, when compared to Kardia Mobile, may be explained by these devices being predominantly used by non-healthcare settings and often targeting adults of any age.

However, it is important to recognise limitations of this study. The small sample sizes in some settings limits the reliability of findings which need to be interpreted with caution. While users were discouraged from testing people who already had a diagnosis of AF, it’s not possible to ensure this advice was always followed. The self-reporting of WatchBP usage also allows room for error. Data is not available on how many of those identified with possible AF went on to receive an AF diagnosis and treatment. Further investigation is therefore required to confirm the predicted conversion rate from possible AF to confirmed AF.

A limitation of Kardia Mobile is that it works through a free app which needs to be downloaded on smart phone or tablet. While some healthcare professionals had access to a work device which can be used alongside Kardia Mobile, many did not. This led to some people using their personal devices, and many unable to take part in the project. Some settings purchased a device

| Table 2. The number of pulse rhythm checks and possible atrial fibrillation findings by setting |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Setting                          | Device type   | Pulse rhythm checks | Possible AF | Detection prevalence | Detection rate |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Older persons community teams             | Kardia Mobile | 369                  | 53          | 14.3%                 | 1 in 7         |
| Hospital outpatient clinics               | Kardia Mobile | 667                  | 67          | 10.0%                 | 1 in 10        |
| GP practices                              | Kardia Mobile | 4,494                | 264         | 5.9%                  | 1 in 17        |
| Community podiatry                        | Kardia Mobile | 767                  | 35          | 4.6%                  | 1 in 22        |
| Fire Safe and Well                        | WatchBP       | 25                   | 1           | 4.0%                  | 1 in 25        |
| Mental health                             | Kardia Mobile | 1,182                | 45          | 3.8%                  | 1 in 26        |
| Community therapy teams                   | Both           | 404                  | 13          | 3.2%                  | 1 in 31        |
| Community nursing teams                   | Both           | 549                  | 14          | 2.6%                  | 1 in 39        |
| Pharmacy                                  | Both           | 2,820                | 57          | 2.0%                  | 1 in 49        |
| Public health events                      | WatchBP       | 2,393                | 44          | 1.8%                  | 1 in 54        |
| Urgent Care                               | Kardia Mobile | 391                  | 3           | 0.8%                  | 1 in 130       |
| Prison                                    | WatchBP       | 774                  | 1           | 0.1%                  | 1 in 774       |
| Total                                     |               | 14,835               | 597         | 4.0%                  | 1 in 25        |

AF = atrial fibrillation; GP = general practitioner.

| Table 3. A breakdown of usage by device |
|----------------------------------------|
| Number of devices  | Pulse rhythm checks | Possible AF | Detection prevalence | Detection rate |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Kardia Mobile       | 300                 | 10,413      | 537                  | 5.1%           | 1 in 19        |
| WatchBP             | 100                 | 4,422       | 60                   | 1.4%           | 1 in 73        |
| Total               | 400                 | 14,835      | 597                  | 4.0%           | 1 in 25        |

AF = atrial fibrillation.
specifically for their Kardia Mobile, which increased usage. There was a noticeable variation in the digital literacy and confidence of users, making Kardia Mobile a challenge to embed in some areas. A limitation of WatchBP is that because the blood pressure takes three times and then reports the average of these three, it takes longer to perform the measurement than using a traditional sphygmomanometer. For this reason, settings that had a very short appointment time with patients reported it difficult to embed these devices into their clinical practice.

Effective communication of positive findings to those being tested is key to reduce anxiety and written information on AF was provided to aid this process. This study and the work of the AHSN AF programme has increased the focus on AF, leading to pathway redesign in some boroughs and interventions to optimise the treatment of AF. The high prevalence of possible AF in housebound older people has prompted a further study focusing on the detection and treatment of AF in this population that is at risk of suboptimal treatment.16

For every 25 people diagnosed with AF and appropriately treated with anticoagulation, one stroke is prevented, saving an average of £6,039 per stroke in health and social care costs over 5 years.17 Any estimated healthcare savings need to be balanced against the cost of the opportunistic testing programme, including the cost of the mobile ECG devices, the cost of confirmatory 12-lead ECGs and the cost of the people’s time to undertake the additional testing. While these costs were not estimated in this project, this is an important consideration when deciding whether to pursue opportunistic testing for AF in each setting.

Conclusion

These findings suggest that the greatest detection rates are to be found in testing groups of older people and those with existing CVD. It is feasible and effective to detect possible AF in several novel settings, such as community podiatry, mental health services and community therapy teams, which warrants further exploration. Manual pulse palpation in these settings may also be beneficial in areas where mobile ECG devices are not available. Although these innovative ECG devices are easy to use and popular with patients, they are only effective within the context of the whole patient pathway. A clear local process that enables timely investigation, diagnosis and treatment is paramount if a reduction in AF-related strokes is to be achieved. The NHS Long Term Plan advocates increased working with voluntary sector partners, community pharmacists and GP practices to provide wider opportunities for the public to test for the high-risk conditions. By estimating the possible AF detection rates, this paper highlights some additional settings which may be equally feasible and as effective to test for AF which warrants further exploration as a means of reducing the incidence of AF-related stroke through increased detection of AF.
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