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Abstract: These days, it is not common for people to have time to do physical activities regularly because of their own work. So, they perform physical activities all at once, which is often called the “weekend warrior”. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the association of the “weekend warrior” and other physical activity patterns with metabolic syndrome. Data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey were used, and 27,788 participants were included. The participants were divided into inactive, weekend warriors, and regularly active based on physical activity patterns. The risk of metabolic syndrome in each group was analyzed using multiple logistic regression. The inactive and weekend warrior groups showed a higher likelihood of developing metabolic syndrome than the regularly active groups (weekend warrior: odds ratio (OR) 1.29, confidence interval (CI) 1.02–1.65; inactive: OR 1.38, CI 1.25–1.53). According to the physical activity patterns, the weekend warrior group showed a dose-response relationship compared to the regularly active group (only moderate: OR 1.85, CI 1.25–2.72; only vigorous: OR 1.41, CI 0.93–2.14; both: OR 0.84, CI 0.56–1.27). This study found increasing the amount of physical activity and performing vigorous-intensity physical activity helped manage metabolic syndrome in the weekend warrior group.
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1. Introduction

Physical activity can prevent obesity by improving health and reducing the risk of cardiovascular diseases and cancer by controlling chronic diseases such as hypertension and diabetes [1–4]. Especially, increased physical activity has been associated with biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction in (pre)diabetes than in normal glucose metabolism [5,6]. In addition, the combination of physical activity and dietary habits produce synergistic effects not only in the prevention and treatment of obesity at all ages, but also in the health status of certain patients [7]. Since these physical activities ultimately contribute to improving quality of life, prolonging healthy lifespan, and reducing the burden of individual medical expenses, many countries encourage people to follow physical activity guidelines [8,9]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behavior [10], adults are recommended to perform 150 to 300 (min/week) of moderate-intensity physical activity, 75 to 150 (min/week) of vigorous-intensity physical activity, or an equivalent combination of two intensity physical activities. Considering that performing physical activity only on weekends or part-time may be a more convenient option, a previous study has shown that it is important to achieve the recommended level of physical activity, regardless of the number of exercise sessions per week [11]. However,
it is unclear whether performing the same amount of physical activity consistently over many days and concentrating these activities into fewer days has the same benefits.

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome has been on the rise in the last 12 years, especially in men [12]. According to the Korean Society of the cardiometabolic syndrome in 2018, about 23% of adults have metabolic syndrome, and the prevalence when separated by sex is 27.9% for males and 17.9% for females. In addition, the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome is 45.3% high in the elderly over the age of 65. Metabolic syndrome refers to a condition wherein hypertension, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and abdominal obesity occur simultaneously [13], which causes insulin resistance and obesity, and increases cardiovascular disease risk factors [14–16]. The WHO has announced that the risk factors for metabolic syndrome contribute to increasing the risk of chronic diseases. To combat this challenge effectively, the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Adult Treatment Panel III suggested that weight control, increased physical activity, and diet-related lifestyle changes are essential [17].

Meta-analysis results of studies on physical activity and metabolic syndrome advocate vigorous-intensity physical activity that substantially exceeds the level recommended by the WHO [18,19]. It also suggests that a mix of moderate and vigorous-intensity physical activity, rather than an inactive group, can provide similar benefits in preventing metabolic syndrome [19]. However, the minimum weekly frequency and amount of physical activity for individuals with no time to spare, which are associated with metabolic syndrome, remain unknown. There are studies on disease-related mortality between those who focus on physical activity once or twice a week (i.e., weekend warrior) and those who exercise multiple days over a week (i.e., regularly active) [20,21]; however, there are only a few studies examining the prevalence of disease between the two groups.

This study aimed to examine the association between physical activity patterns and metabolic syndrome and to determine whether the type of physical activity and time to exercise for a week in the weekend warrior group have a potential relationship with the prevalence of metabolic syndrome.

2. Methods

2.1. Data

The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) cross-sectional data from 2016 to 2020 were used in this study. The KNHANES was conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KDCA) since 1998. The KNHANES provides reliable data for evaluating and developing health policies and programs in Korea. This survey includes each year as a survey sample and collects information on socioeconomics, quality of life, health-related behaviors, healthcare utilization, anthropometric measures, biochemical and clinical profiles for non-communicable diseases [22]. It provides a representative sample of the South Korean population using a stratified, multi-stage, cluster sampling design based on sex, age, and geographic area. This study did not require approval from the ethics committee because it used publicly accessible data in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Participants

In total, 39,738 participants were enrolled in the KNHANES from 2016 to 2020. Among them, individuals younger than 19 years of age were excluded due to a lack of data on physical activity (N = 7610). Participants with missing data on metabolic syndrome and physical activity patterns were also excluded from the list of eligible participants (N = 4143). A total of 27,788 participants were finally considered for analysis, excluding 197 participants with missing covariate data (Figure 1).
2.3. Variables

The primary variable in this study was the physical activity pattern. Physical activity patterns were assessed using the following six questions: (1) Do you usually perform physical activities of vigorous intensity where your heart beats very fast or you are out of breath for at least 10 min, except for work and location movement?”, (2) “In a week, how many days do you usually perform physical activities of vigorous intensity like above?”, (3) “How long do you usually perform physical activities of vigorous intensity like above?”, (4) “Do you usually perform physical activities of light-to-moderate intensity where your heart beats a little fast or experience dyspnea for at least 10 min, except for work and location movement?”, (5) “In a week, how many days do you usually perform physical activities of light-to-moderate intensity as mentioned above”, (6) “How long do you usually perform physical activities of light-to-moderate intensity as mentioned above”. Examples of vigorous intensity include running, jumping rope, hiking, basketball games, swimming, and badminton. Examples of light-to-moderate intensity exercises include jogging, fast walking, weight training, golf, dance sports, and pilates. The total amount of Moderate-Vigorous Physical Activity MVPA (min/wk) was calculated by multiplying the frequency and duration of the session. The total (weighted) MVPA was obtained by calculating the sum of the light-to-moderate intensity duration in minutes and vigorous intensity multiplied by 2. The study population was classified according to the individual levels and patterns of physical activity. Participants were classified into two groups: physical activity (MVPA ≥ 150 min/week) and inactivity (MVPA < 150 min/week) according to the WHO guidelines for physical activity and sedentary behavior [10]. Physical activity groups were further classified according to the frequency of MVPA sessions per week: weekend warriors (≤2 sessions/week) or regularly active (≥3 sessions/week) (Figure 1).

Metabolic syndrome was used as the dependent variable. Its definition was provided by the Third Report of the NCEP Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) [17]. It was used to determine metabolic syndrome and its composition and the specific waist circumference (WC) values provided by the WHO and the Korea Obesity Society. These five components were: (1) abdominal obesity (WC ≥ 90 cm in males and ≥85 cm in females), (2) high blood pressure (systolic ≥ 130 mmHg or diastolic ≥ 85 mmHg), (3) low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level (<40 mmHg/dL in males and <50 mm/dL in females), (4) high-triglyceride level
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(≥150 mg/dL), and (5) high-glucose level (≥100 mg/dL). Of the five components, three or more participants were classified as a group with metabolic syndrome, which is a cut-off that was widely used [17]. Such components were collected via standardized physical examination by medical technicians serving in the survey.

We controlled for covariates such as sociodemographic characteristics (sex and age), socioeconomic status (marital status, educational level, household income, region, occupation), and health behaviors (smoking status and drinking status) of the participants.

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

Independent variables were compared using the chi-square test to identify the association of the “weekend warrior” attitude and other physical activity patterns with metabolic syndrome. After adjusting for covariate variables, multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the association between physical activity patterns and metabolic syndrome. Moreover, we performed a subgroup analysis stratified by metabolic syndrome and physical activity pattern variables. Furthermore, multiple logistic regression analysis was used to examine the association of weekend warriors with metabolic syndrome, with regularly active participants as the reference group, except for inactive participants. The results were reported as odds ratios (ORs) and confidence intervals (CIs). Two-sided p-values were used to evaluate statistical significance, which was set at p < 0.05. SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for all analyses, and all estimates were calculated using sample weighting procedures, strata, and clusters assigned to the study population.

### 3. Results

Table 1 presents the participants’ general characteristics. In the study population, 3609 (13.0%) individuals were regularly active, 594 (2.1%) were weekend warriors, and 23,585 (84.9%) were inactive. Among them, 715 (19.8%) and 155 (26.1%) in the regularly active and weekend warrior groups, respectively, and 6927 (29.5%) in the inactive group had metabolic syndrome.

**Table 1. General characteristics of the study population.**

| Variables                  | Metabolic Syndrome | p-Value |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                            | Total N | %     | Yes N | %     | No N | %     |
| Physical activity patterns |         |       |       |       |       |       |
| Regularly active           | 3609    | 13.0  | 715   | 19.8  | 2894  | 80.2  |
| Weekend warrior             | 594     | 2.1   | 155   | 26.1  | 439   | 73.9  |
| Inactive                   | 23,585  | 84.9  | 6967  | 29.5  | 16,618 | 70.5  |
| Sex                        |         |       |       |       |       |       |
| Male                       | 12,302  | 44.3  | 4008  | 32.6  | 8294  | 67.4  |
| Female                     | 15,486  | 55.7  | 3829  | 24.7  | 11,657 | 75.3  |
| Age                        |         |       |       |       |       |       |
| 19–29                      | 3504    | 12.6  | 289   | 8.2   | 3215  | 91.8  |
| 30–39                      | 4240    | 15.3  | 750   | 17.7  | 3490  | 82.3  |
| 40–49                      | 5089    | 18.3  | 1256  | 24.7  | 3833  | 75.3  |
| 50–59                      | 5313    | 19.1  | 1622  | 30.5  | 3691  | 69.5  |
| 60–69                      | 5001    | 18.0  | 1914  | 38.3  | 3087  | 61.7  |
| 70+                        | 4641    | 16.7  | 2006  | 43.2  | 2635  | 56.8  |
Table 1. Cont.

| Variables                  | Metabolic Syndrome | p–Value |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                            | Total             | Yes     | No     |        |
|                            | N     | %    | N     | %    | N     | %    | <0.0001 |
| Marital status             |       |      |       |      |       |      |         |
| Married                    | 19,029 | 68.5 | 5602  | 29.4 | 13,427 | 70.6 |         |
| Single, widow              | 7311   | 26.3 | 1762  | 24.1 | 5549   | 75.9 |         |
| Divorced, Separated        | 1448   | 5.2  | 473   | 32.7 | 975    | 67.3 |         |
| Educational level          |       |      |       |      |       |      | <0.0001 |
| Middle school or below     | 8038   | 28.9 | 3335  | 41.5 | 4703   | 58.5 |         |
| High school                | 9186   | 33.1 | 2325  | 25.3 | 6861   | 74.7 |         |
| College or over            | 10,564 | 38.0 | 2177  | 20.6 | 8387   | 79.4 |         |
| Household income           |       |      |       |      |       |      | <0.0001 |
| Low                        | 5105   | 18.4 | 1995  | 39.1 | 3110   | 60.9 |         |
| Mid–low                    | 6750   | 24.3 | 2023  | 30.0 | 4727   | 70.0 |         |
| Mid–high                   | 7633   | 27.5 | 1943  | 25.5 | 5690   | 74.5 |         |
| High                       | 8300   | 29.9 | 1876  | 22.6 | 6424   | 77.4 |         |
| Region                     |       |      |       |      |       |      | <0.0001 |
| Metropolitan               | 12,275 | 44.2 | 3228  | 26.3 | 9047   | 73.7 |         |
| Urban                      | 10,294 | 37.0 | 2828  | 27.5 | 7466   | 72.5 |         |
| Rural                      | 5219   | 18.8 | 1781  | 34.1 | 3438   | 65.9 |         |
| Occupational categories    |       |      |       |      |       |      | <0.0001 |
| White                      | 7027   | 25.3 | 1515  | 21.6 | 5512   | 78.4 |         |
| Pink                       | 3637   | 13.1 | 927   | 25.5 | 2710   | 74.5 |         |
| Blue                       | 6333   | 22.8 | 2106  | 33.3 | 4227   | 66.7 |         |
| Inoccupation               | 10,791 | 38.8 | 3289  | 30.5 | 7502   | 69.5 |         |
| Current smoking status     |       |      |       |      |       |      | <0.0001 |
| Non–smoker                 | 16,676 | 60.0 | 4208  | 25.2 | 12,468 | 74.8 |         |
| Ex–smoker                  | 6163   | 22.2 | 2002  | 32.5 | 4161   | 67.5 |         |
| Current–smoker             | 4949   | 17.8 | 1627  | 32.9 | 3322   | 67.1 |         |
| Current drinking status    |       |      |       |      |       |      | <0.0001 |
| Never or occasionally      | 7621   | 27.4 | 2532  | 33.2 | 5089   | 66.8 |         |
| 2–4 times/month            | 14,105 | 50.8 | 3371  | 23.9 | 10,724 | 76.1 |         |
| 2–4 times/week             | 6062   | 21.8 | 1934  | 31.9 | 4128   | 68.1 |         |
| Year                       |       |      |       |      |       |      | 0.0055  |
| 2016                      | 5558   | 20.0 | 1571  | 28.3 | 3987   | 71.7 |         |
| 2017                      | 5549   | 20.0 | 1474  | 26.6 | 4075   | 73.4 |         |
| 2018                      | 5724   | 20.6 | 1594  | 27.8 | 4130   | 72.2 |         |
| 2019                      | 5740   | 20.7 | 1645  | 28.7 | 4095   | 71.3 |         |
| 2020                      | 5217   | 18.8 | 1553  | 29.8 | 3664   | 70.2 |         |

Table 2 reports the findings of logistic regression analysis for the association of physical activity patterns with metabolic syndrome. Compared to the regularly active group, both the weekend warrior group (OR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.02–1.65) and inactive group (OR: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.25–1.53) had higher odds of metabolic syndrome, which was statistically significant.

Table 2. Results of factors associated between physical activity patterns and metabolic syndrome.

| Variables        | Metabolic Syndrome |         |
|------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                  | OR                 | 95% CI  |
| Physical activity patterns |                   |         |
| Regularly active | 1.00               |         |
| Weekend warrior  | 1.29 (1.02 – 1.65) |         |
| Inactive         | 1.38 (1.25 – 1.53) |         |
| Variables              | Metabolic Syndrome |
|------------------------|--------------------|
|                        | OR     | 95% CI |
| **Sex**                |        |        |
| male                   | 1.90   | 1.73-2.08 |
| female                 | 1.00   |        |
| **Age**                |        |        |
| 19–29                  | 1.00   |        |
| 30–39                  | 2.88   | 2.40-3.45 |
| 40–49                  | 4.37   | 3.64-5.25 |
| 50–59                  | 5.60   | 4.65-6.74 |
| 60–69                  | 6.19   | 5.11-7.49 |
| 70–                    | 6.81   | 5.62-8.25 |
| **Marital status**     |        |        |
| Married                | 1.00   |        |
| Single, widow          | 1.21   | 1.10-1.33 |
| Divorced, Separated    | 1.00   | 0.87-1.15 |
| **Educational level**  |        |        |
| Middle school or below | 1.62   | 1.45-1.81 |
| High school            | 1.19   | 1.08-1.30 |
| College or over        | 1.00   |        |
| **Household income**   |        |        |
| Low                    | 1.00   |        |
| Mid–low                | 0.91   | 0.82-1.01 |
| Mid–high               | 0.86   | 0.77-0.96 |
| High                   | 0.81   | 0.72-0.91 |
| **Region**             |        |        |
| Metropolitan           | 1.00   |        |
| Urban                  | 1.06   | 0.98-1.14 |
| Rural                  | 1.12   | 1.02-1.24 |
| **Occupational categories** |    |        |
| White                  | 1.00   | 0.91-1.11 |
| Pink                   | 1.00   | 0.90-1.12 |
| Blue                   | 0.85   | 0.77-0.93 |
| Inoccupation           | 1.00   |        |
| **Current smoking status** |    |        |
| Non–smoker             | 1.00   |        |
| Ex–smoker              | 0.99   | 0.89-1.09 |
| Current–smoker         | 1.21   | 1.09-1.35 |
| **Current drinking status** |    |        |
| Never or occasionally  | 1.00   |        |
| 2–4 times/month        | 0.89   | 0.82-0.97 |
| 2–4 times/week         | 1.06   | 0.95-1.17 |
Table 2. Cont.

| Variables | Metabolic Syndrome |
|-----------|--------------------|
|           | OR  | 95% CI       |
| Year      |     |               |
| 2016      | 1.00|               |
| 2017      | 0.89| (0.79 – 1.00) |
| 2018      | 0.98| (0.87 – 1.09) |
| 2019      | 1.06| (0.95 – 1.19) |
| 2020      | 1.09| (0.98 – 1.22) |

Table 3 reports the subgroup analysis stratified by independent variables, which are physical activity patterns. In males, the association with metabolic syndrome increased only in the inactive group (OR: 1.41, 95% CI: 1.24–1.60). However, in females, both the weekend warrior group (OR: 1.70, 95% CI: 1.00–2.89) and the inactive group (OR: 1.43, 95% CI: 1.20–1.70) showed a higher presence. Additionally, the strong association between women’s weekend warrior group (OR: 1.70, 95% CI: 1.00–2.89) and metabolic syndrome indicate the sex-dependent effect of heterogeneity.

Table 3. Results of subgroup analysis stratified by independent variables.

| Metabolic Syndrome |
|--------------------|
| Regularly Active | Weekend Warrior | Inactive |
| OR    | OR         | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI |
| Sex   |           |           |        |
| male  | 1.00      | 1.14     | (0.87  | 1.50 | 1.41 | (1.24 – 1.60) |
| female| 1.00      | 1.70     | (1.00 – 1.43 | 1.20 | 1.70 |
| Age   |           |           |        |
| 19–29 | 1.00      | 1.29     | (0.62  | 2.70 | 1.38 | (0.97 – 1.96) |
| 30–39 | 1.00      | 1.14     | (0.64  | 2.02 | 1.07 | (0.81 – 1.41) |
| 40–49 | 1.00      | 1.11     | (0.62  | 1.98 | 1.81 | (1.41 – 2.32) |
| 50–59 | 1.00      | 1.49     | (0.94  | 2.36 | 1.58 | (1.26 – 1.98) |
| 60–69 | 1.00      | 1.45     | (0.81  | 2.58 | 1.33 | (1.08 – 1.64) |
| 70    | 1.00      | 0.99     | (0.32  | 3.03 | 1.00 | (0.73 – 1.35) |
| Marital status |           |           |        |
| Married| 1.00      | 1.35     | (1.02  | 1.79 | 1.38 | (1.22 – 1.56) |
| Single, widow| 1.00    | 1.10     | (0.64  | 1.89 | 1.31 | (1.04 – 1.67) |
| Divorced, Separated| 1.00    | 1.19     | (0.37  | 3.86 | 1.96 | (1.14 – 3.36) |
| Household income |           |           |        |
| Low    | 1.00      | 3.78     | (1.24  | 11.52 | 1.40 | (1.03 – 1.91) |
| Mid–low| 1.00      | 0.77     | (0.45  | 1.30 | 1.25 | (1.00 – 1.56) |
| Mid–high| 1.00     | 1.45     | (0.92  | 2.27 | 1.23 | (1.01 – 1.49) |
| High   | 1.00      | 1.27     | (0.89  | 1.82 | 1.63 | (1.38 – 1.93) |
| Occupational categories |           |           |        |
| White  | 1.00      | 1.24     | (0.83  | 1.87 | 1.46 | (1.20 – 1.76) |
| Pink   | 1.00      | 1.29     | (0.66  | 2.51 | 1.30 | (0.97 – 1.75) |
| Blue   | 1.00      | 1.52     | (0.94  | 2.45 | 1.44 | (1.13 – 1.85) |
| Inoccupation| 1.00   | 1.07     | (0.61  | 1.87 | 1.38 | (1.16 – 1.63) |

Figure 2 presents the logistic regression analysis results comparing the weekend warrior and regularly active groups, excluding those with MVPA < 150 according to the WHO guidelines for physical activity. Excluding the inactive group, the weekend warrior group had a higher OR for metabolic syndrome than the regular active group; however, the difference was not statistically significant. Additionally, upon dividing the types of physical
activity among the weekend warriors, people who only engaged in moderate-intensity physical activity (OR:1.29, 95% CI:1.02–1.65) and those with an MVPA between 150 and 250 (OR:1.80, 95% CI:1.31–2.47) showed a strong association with metabolic syndrome compared to the regularly active group.

Table 4 shows the subgroup analysis stratified by the dependent variable, which is metabolic syndrome. The inactive group for physical activity was considerably associated with a decreased risk of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level (OR:1.36, 95% CI:1.23–1.49) and an increased risk of abdominal obesity (OR:1.21, 95% CI:1.10–1.34), triglyceride levels (OR:1.24, 95% CI:1.13–1.37), and glucose levels (OR:1.18, 95% CI:1.08–1.30). In particular, subjects with triglyceride levels were also more likely to have metabolic syndrome among weekend warriors (OR:1.26, 95% CI:1.01–1.58).

4. Discussion
The aim of this study was not only to study physical activity but also physical activity patterns such as frequency and intensity with metabolic syndrome. We researched whether the physical activity patterns of the “weekend warrior” group were associated
with metabolic syndrome. We found a higher risk of metabolic syndrome in participants in the inactive group and the weekend warriors than in the regularly active group. In addition, previous studies with KNHANES support the same results, based on the mechanism that the risk of metabolic syndrome increases proportionally with age because metabolic syndrome is affected by the aging process [23,24]. People with low-education levels or household incomes are more likely to share housework and livelihood work, leading to increased metabolic syndrome due to stress and economic deprivation [25–27]. This burden of household maintenance, irregular dietary habits, and frequent alcohol drinking during social working life are more common among men than women in Korea [28].

However, when only participants with MVPA ≥ 150 (i.e., people who engaged in physical activity) were compared, we did not observe a statistically significant association between the regularly active group and the weekend warriors. This finding suggests there is no significant effect on metabolic syndrome, whether the subjects performed regular or intense physical activities. However, for participants in the weekend warrior category, only subjects with moderate-intensity physical activity or MVPA less than 250 showed an association with metabolic syndrome compared with the regularly active group. Additionally, depending on the type of physical activity and MVPA, there was a dose-dependent tendency between the regularly active group and weekend warriors. In other words, risk has a dose-dependent effect on physical activity type and MVPA. Therefore, we recommend that participants in the weekend warrior category try to achieve high MVPA and perform not only moderate-intensity physical activity but also vigorous-intensity physical activity.

This study supports the results of previous studies showing that inactive individuals are more likely to have metabolic syndrome than active people [10,29–33]. Similarly, Framingham Heart Study revealed a relationship between physical activity guidelines and several cardiac metabolic risk factors using accelerometer data cross-sectional analysis [31]. The authors found that those who met the total MVPA guidelines had lower WC and triglyceride levels and higher HDL cholesterol [31]. Although previous physical activity guidelines recommend that adults regularly accumulate at least 30 min of MVPA for a week [34], current guidelines do not impose any recommendations on the frequency of MVPA throughout the week, as research on weekend warriors is scarce [10,29]. Therefore, our results support the notion that the frequency of MVPA throughout the week is not as important as the total amount of MVPA [35]. Our findings suggest that guidelines are needed to help people increase their total MVPA and perform vigorous-intensity physical activity.

A previous study on the relationship between metabolic syndrome and related diseases and physical activity patterns among the China rural adults was studied [36]. Our study included a group that insufficient active group as an inactive group, but in a previous study, they studied them separately. It is also a cross-sectional study of rural adults over a short period of one year. Due to these differences, our study results may be slightly different, but the conclusion is that the “weekend warrior” who engaged in moderate to vigorous physical activity for high intensity was associated with a reduced incidence of metabolic syndrome [36]. In addition, this study focused on diseases such as hypertension and diabetes associated with metabolic syndrome [36]. In support of this study, the mechanism between physical activity and metabolic syndrome is also associated with obesity followed by hypertension and diabetes [36–38]. There are mechanisms by which physical activity reverses diabetes-related muscle atrophy, improving metabolic function, and reducing inflammation, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial damage [37]. Moreover, some studies have found that regular physical activity patterns control cholesterol levels and blood pressure to manage weight [38]. Furthermore, it has been reported that physical activity is already a proven way to manage type 2 diabetes and hypertension, which has the potential to lead to the management of metabolic syndrome as well [38].

This study had certain limitations. First, it used data from a cross-sectional study. While our study showed an association between the “weekend warrior” attitude and other
physical activity patterns with metabolic syndrome, our results should be interpreted cautiously because it could be an inverse causal relationship. Therefore, further longitudinal studies are needed to clarify the relationship between physical activity patterns and metabolic syndrome. Second, the KNHANES was collected as a self-report survey. Physical activity as our variable of interest was evaluated using data prone to measurement errors without quantitative measurement tools, such as accelerometers. Although the KNHANES did not have tools to evaluate physical activity, it included all kinds of information about the frequency, duration, and type of physical activity obtained through six questionnaires. Other studies have used this method to minimize bias by using an overall reliable MVPA calculation formula. Therefore, future studies should include both self-reporting and device measurements of physical activity. Third, we recognized that the standard of physical activity was only leisure time, excluding work and locomotion. Therefore, different results may be reported for people who perform extensive physical activity during work and locomotion. Fourth, measurements of acute physiological and metabolic changes that persist after physical activity remain unclear [39–42]. Particularly, HDL-cholesterol can improve from 24 h to 72 h, and improvement in insulin sensitivity can last several days [39–42]. Therefore, future studies should consider the timing of cardiac metabolism and physical activity measurements and be asked not to exercise for 1 day before collecting individual blood samples. Finally, while we adjusted for known confounding factors affecting the relationship between physical activity and metabolic syndrome, we cannot rule out the influence of residual confounding factors such as dietary intake. Since there is a large association between dietary intake and metabolic syndrome, we recommend that future research is used accurate dietary intake data and included it as a confounding variable.

Nonetheless, this study had several strengths. First, this study was based on the KNHANES, the most recent nationally representative data that can generalize the research results to the general adult population in Korea. This is reliable and is performed using a special random cluster sampling. Second, to compare weekend warriors and regularly active groups in more detail, we looked closely at the types of physical activity and MVPA of the participants. These findings encourage weekend warriors to manage their physical activity and time more effectively and help prevent metabolic syndrome.

5. Conclusions
In conclusion, our study emphasizes that the weekend warrior group was more likely to develop metabolic syndrome than the regularly active groups, and encourage them to do vigorous as well as moderate intensity physical activity so that MVPA is high. Therefore, for those with fewer opportunities to engage in regular physical activity on weekdays, physical activities of both moderate and vigorous intensity contribute greatly to the health of the weekend warrior group. We suggest that weekend warrior physical activity patterns should be reflected in future physical activity guidelines and interventions.
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