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1. Introduction

Endoscopic resection is one of the accepted methods for treating early gastric cancer [1–3]. Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) has a disadvantage: to ensure complete resection and to gather accurate pathological information, usually for the lesion greater than 20 mm, resection is performed piecemeal and may be associated with local recurrence [4]. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) was first reported in the late 1990s in Japan [5]. ESD has the most crucial advantage for en bloc complete resection possible, especially for large lesions [4, 6]. The method of ESD was performed for lesions with a negligible risk of lymph node metastasis [7, 8] at the mucosa and submucosa. Also, ESD could be applied as a therapeutic and diagnostic tool for gastric lesions in cases where the forceps biopsy pathology is discrepant from the endoscopic findings [9].

Despite these interesting advantages, ESD was also considered a high risk at the starting point with skillful endoscopic techniques and terrible complications such as perforation and bleeding [10, 11]. However, ESD remains challenging, and it has become widespread reaching institutes in many parts of the world by hands-on workshops with ex vivo and in vivo animal training models under the supervision of ESD masters [12–20]. The current study is aimed at further investigating the feasibility, efficacy, and safety of ESD of gastric lesions and at evaluating clinical outcomes with respect to the learning curve of early experience.

2. Patients/Material and Methods

This study was conducted as a retrospective review with the patients referred to the surgical department with treated gastric lesions by ESD between January 2017 and May 2019 and identified in the hospital electronic document system. This study passed the ethical research process from the Human Ethics Committee of Thammasat University (Faculty of Medicine) with a reference number: MTU-EC-SU-0-076/62.

The patients who had a gastric lesion underwent careful endoscopic evaluation (GIF-H290Z; Olympus Corporation). The morphological endoscopic classification was made according to Paris classification [21] using white light, narrow-band imaging (NBI), chromoendoscopic technique...
with indigo carmine dye, and magnifying endoscopy. If the gastric lesion prompted doubts about the possible deep invasion, complementary endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) staging was performed. All gastric lesions underwent endoscopic biopsies to confirm their pathology. The patients were informed of the treatment options and consented to undergo ESD.

ESD was performed as follows: The patient was placed under general anesthesia in a left lateral decubitus position. Flexible endoscopy (GIF-HQ190; Olympus Corporation) was performed using a flexible overtube (MD-48518; Sumius; Sumitomo Bakelite Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The lesion was carefully inspected to determine the precise margins. Subsequently, multiple mucosal markings were generated around the lesion using a dual knife (KD-650L; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The injection solution was prepared with 200 ml Glyceol (glycerin 10%, fructose 5%, and NaCl 0.9%) and 1 ml of indigo carmine dye. The lifting solution was endoscopically injected into the submucosal layer adjacent to the lesion. The lesion was subsequently completely resected and removed via endoscopic circumferential mucosal incision and careful submucosal dissection using a dual knife, a flexible endoscope with soft straight distal attachment at tip of the scope (D-201-11804; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), and a VIO300D device (Erbe Elektromedizin GmbH, Tübingen, Germany). Control of bleeding was obtained with coagulation via a dual knife and Coagrasper hemostatic forceps (FD-410LR; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 1).

All procedures were done by a single operator who had experience in performing over 1,000 endoscopic procedures.
had attended and discussed live cases with expert Japanese ESD masters over 400 cases, had practiced on an ex vivo model, and completed gastric ESD training course on live animal models without complication. The data recorded the patient characteristics, ESD procedures, postoperative courses, the results of the histopathological examination, and short-term outcomes.

3. Results

Thirteen patients with gastric lesions who were informed of the therapeutic procedures and consented to undergo ESD were included in this study (Table 1). The mean age was 62.6 (range 52 to 79). There were two males and 11 females. Only one had received prior therapy with EMR. The majority of the lesions (53.85%) were located in the lower third of the stomach. The macroscopic type of lesion, based on the Paris classification, was 0-I found in more than half the lesions without ulceration. The average size of the lesion was 27.5 mm.

The mean operative duration was 145.5 minutes over all of en bloc resection. For adverse events, post-ESD bleeding developed within 72 hours in one patient. The endoscopic examination demonstrated a bleeding vessel at the base of the resected part, which was treated with epinephrine injection and electrocautery. The mean postoperative hospitalization was 5.2 days. The final pathological diagnosis of gastric ESD was adenoma (n = 2), low-grade dysplasia (n = 3), high-grade dysplasia (n = 4), and adenocarcinoma (n = 4). In the gastric adenocarcinoma group, the depth of invasion was intramucosal (n = 1), in the submucosal layer with less than 500 \( \mu \text{m} \) (n = 2) and more than 500 \( \mu \text{m} \) (n = 1). The mean follow-up for patients was 502 days (range, 1-767) without recurrence in all patients (Tables 2 and 3).

4. Discussion

ESD is a standard treatment in Japan and became a recommendation in other countries for early gastric cancer worldwide. EMR of lesions larger than 20 mm has a piecemeal resection style due to technical limitations, giving rise to unsatisfactory pathological results and increased recurrence. ESD has the advantage of nullifying these by en bloc resection, especially for large lesions to make satisfactory

| Procedure | n | ESD \(^{\#} = 13\) |
|-----------|---|----------------|
| Age, mean ± SD (years) | 62.6 ± 7.1 |
| Sex, male/female | 2/11 |
| Macroscopic, n (%) | |
| 0-I | 7 (53.85) |
| 0-IIa | 4 (30.77) |
| 0-IIb | 1 (7.69) |
| 0-IIc | 0 (0) |
| 0-III | 0 (0) |
| Mix | 1 (7.69) |
| Location, n (%) | |
| Upper third | 5 (38.46) |
| Middle third | 1 (7.69) |
| Lower third | 7 (53.85) |
| Position, n (%) | |
| Anterior wall | 4 (30.77) |
| Greater curvature | 4 (30.77) |
| Posterior wall | 1 (7.69) |
| Lesser curvature | 4 (30.77) |
| Lesion size, mean ± SD (mm) | 27.5 ± 8.7 |
| Lesion with ulceration, n (%) | 0 (0) |
| Prior treatment, n (%) | |
| EMR \(^{\#\#}\) only | 1 (7.69) |
| Combination (EMR, APC \(^{\#\#}\)) | 0 (0) |

| Procedure | n | ESD \(^{\#} = 13\) |
|-----------|---|----------------|
| Operative duration, mean ± SD (minutes) | 145.5 ± 61.8 |
| En bloc resection, n (%) | 13 (100) |
| Incomplete resection, n (%) | 0 (0) |
| Size of lesion (mm) | 27.5 ± 8.7 |
| Size of resection specimen (mm) | 31.8 ± 10.4 |
| Specimen area/lesion area ratio | 1.16 ± 0.06 |
| Postoperative hospitalization, mean ± SD (days) | 5.2 ± 0.9 |
| Adverse events, n (%) | 1 (7.69) |
| Early (within 24 hours), n (%) | |
| Bleeding | 0 |
| Perforation | 0 |
| Late, n (%) | |
| Bleeding | 1 (7.69) |
| Perforation | 0 |
| Stricture | 0 |
| Pathological diagnosis, n (%) | |
| Adenoma | 2 (15.38) |
| Adenocarcinoma | 4 (30.77) |
| Intramucosal | 1 (7.69) |
| SM \(^{\#}\) < 500 \( \mu \text{m} \) | 2 (15.38) |
| SM \(^{\#}\) ≥ 500 \( \mu \text{m} \) | 1 (7.69) |
| Lymphovascular invasion | 0 (0) |
| Low-grade dysplasia | 3 (23.08) |
| High-grade dysplasia | 4 (30.77) |
| R0 resection | 13 (100) |
| Recurrence, n (%) | 0 (0) |
| Survival, n (%) | 13 (100) |
| Mean follow-up (days) | 502 ± 236 |

\(^{\#}\)ESD: endoscopic submucosal dissection; \(^{\#\#}\)EMR: endoscopic mucosal resection; \(^{\#\#}\)APC: argon plasma coagulation.
| Patient number | Age (years) | Sex | ASA | Macroscopic | Location | Tumor size (mm) | Ulceration | Procedure time (minutes) | Pathological diagnosis | R0 | Depth | Adverse events | Hospital stay (day) | Recurrence | Survival | Remarkable |
|----------------|-------------|-----|-----|-------------|----------|----------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|----|-------|--------------|-----------------|------------|----------|------------|
| 1              | 58          | Female | 1   | 0-IIa       | Lower, greater curvature | 24            | No           | 118                    | High-grade dysplasia   | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 4               | No          | Alive     |
| 2              | 62          | Female | 1   | 0-I         | Lower, anterior wall     | 27            | No           | 135                    | Low-grade dysplasia    | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 5               | No          | Alive     |
| 3              | 69          | Male | 2   | 0-I         | Lower, anterior wall     | 25            | No           | 120                    | Adenocarcinoma         | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 6               | No          | Alive     |
| 4              | 68          | Female | 1   | 0-IIb       | Lower, posterior wall    | 25            | No           | 130                    | High-grade dysplasia   | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 5               | No          | Alive     |
| 5              | 57          | Female | 1   | 0-I         | Upper, anterior wall     | 22            | No           | 168                    | Low-grade dysplasia    | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 5               | No          | Alive     |
| 6              | 79          | Female | 1   | 0-IIa       | Middle, greater curvature| 28            | No           | 70                     | High-grade dysplasia   | Yes | Intramucosal | Bleeding on the 3rd day | 7               | No          | Alive     |
| 7              | 63          | Female | 1   | 0-I with scar (previous EMR) | Lower, lesser curvature | 30            | No           | 185                    | Adenocarcinoma         | Yes | Submucosa (<500 μm) | No           | 6               | No          | Alive     |
| 8              | 53          | Female | 1   | 0-I         | Upper, posterior wall    | 20            | No           | 170                    | Adenoma                | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 4               | No          | Alive     |
| 9              | 65          | Female | 2   | 0-IIa       | Lower, lesser curvature | 28            | No           | 105                    | Adenocarcinoma         | Yes | Submucosa (≥500 μm) | No           | 6               | No          | Alive     | Surgery |
| 10             | 65          | Female | 1   | 0-IIa       | Upper, greater curvature | 26            | No           | 155                    | High-grade dysplasia   | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 4               | No          | Alive     |
| 11             | 63          | Female | 1   | 0-I         | Upper, greater curvature | 22            | No           | 140                    | Adenoma                | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 4               | No          | Alive     |
| 12             | 60          | Male | 1   | 0-I         | Upper, lesser curvature | 55            | No           | 317                    | Adenoma                | Yes | Intramucosal | No           | 6               | No          | Alive     |
| 13             | 52          | Female | 1   | 0-IIa+0-IIc | Lower, lesser curvature | 25            | No           | 78                     | Adenocarcinoma         | Yes | Submucosa (<500 μm) | No           | 5               | No          | Alive     |
proving skill and preventing unwanted complications. Nowadays, gastric ESD has been further used for pre-malignant epithelial lesions and the role of lesions where pathologic findings are in odds with the endoscopic interpretations in terms of clarifying the final pathologic diagnosis.

Although ESD is a novel, challenging technique, it carries the risks of serious complications, long procedural duration requiring substantial training, and expertise development. ESD is still gradually expanding worldwide over recent years to improve the quality of treatment modality for gastric lesions [6, 12–20]. In this retrospective report, the patients underwent an ESD procedure for gastric lesions, and their characteristics, postoperative courses, the results of the histopathological examination, and outcomes were analyzed. The mean diameter of the lesion was 27.5 mm without ulceration. All resected specimens were completed en bloc resection without recurrence. For early gastric cancer in Thailand, the incidence is low, and this study reported four patients underwent ESD following the Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines [2]. The final pathological report showed adequate endoscopic treatment in one intramucosal case and two cases of lesions that invade the submucosa less than 500 μm. One patient was diagnosed with adenocarcinoma that invades into the submucosa more than 500 μm proceeding to surgery. The final pathology of laparoscopic-assisted distal gastrectomy demonstrated no residual tumor and negative for all lymph node dissections.

The average duration of the procedure was 145.5 minutes. The factors that related to longer procedural time were large lesions, the upper part of the stomach, and scarring from previous EMR. The duration of five gastric ESD having lesions located at the upper part showed longer than the average procedural time (140, 155, 168, 170, and 317 minutes). The technical demands of ESD are more difficult in the upper part due to bending and the retroflexion position of the endoscopy. The previous EMR had created fibrotic scarring, and it presented more difficulty in the step of submucosal dissection. However, the experience of ESD procedures in this study may be taken as rather a small number.

Regarding complications, one patient had an adverse event. The patient received ESD for an intramucosal lesion with high-grade dysplasia. She had been treated with aspirin and clopidogrel for underlying heart disease and had discontinued before the procedure. The 0-IIa gastric lesion was removed from the greater curvature of the middle part within 70 minutes. On the third day of postoperation, the patient developed bleeding, and reendoscopy was done. The point of bleeding was detected from the base of the resected area and stopped. Procedure-related bleeding may be associated with factors, including age and anticoagulant drugs [22]. The patient was discharged on the 7th day without other complications and did not require blood transfusions.

Currently, ESD is the advanced, minimally invasive procedure that allows for en bloc curative resection of superficial lesions of the stomach and provides pathological data for proper management. ESD is technically demanding and may be associated with severe complications. It requires training and experience with a learning attitude that may prove skill and prevent unwanted complications. In this study, the ESD procedure of the stomach is a feasible, safe, minimally invasive treatment option with organ preservation. This study is an early experience with a small number of patients. Further studies are required to reassure that ESD can be encouraged as the standard treatment for superficial gastric lesions in Thailand.

Data Availability

The patient data used to support the findings of this study are restricted by the Human Ethics Committee of Thammasat University (Faculty of Medicine) in order to protect patient privacy. Data are available from Prasit Mahawongkajit (prasit_md@yahoo.com) for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data.

Ethical Approval

Prasit Mahawongkajit met the 4 criteria in the guidelines of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE).

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professor Naohisa Yahagi and the staff in Keio University for the insight and demonstration of ESD procedure. Special thanks are due to Norman Mangnall for the assistance in editing the English version of this report and to Montita Somparkpoom and Chatchanok Lupakchee for their assistance with the data of this manuscript.

References

[1] National Comprehensive Cancer Network, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: gastric cancers, version 1, National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Fort Washington, PA, 2019, http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf.
[2] Japanese Gastric Cancer Association, "Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2014 (ver. 4),” Gastric Cancer, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2017.
[3] H. Ono, K. Yao, M. Fujishiro et al., “Guidelines for endoscopic submucosal dissection and endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer,” Digestive Endoscopy, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 3–15, 2016.
[4] T. Nishizawa and N. Yahagi, "Endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection: technique and new directions," Current Opinion in Gastroenterology, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 315–319, 2017.
[5] T. Gotoda, H. Kondo, H. Ono et al., “A new endoscopic mucosal resection procedure using an insulation-tipped electrosurgical knife for rectal flat lesions: report of two cases,” Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 560–563, 1999.
[6] M. X. Ma and M. J. Bourke, "Endoscopic submucosal dissection in the west: current status and future directions,” Digestive Endoscopy, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 310–320, 2018.
[7] T. Gotoda, A. Yanagisawa, M. Sasako et al., “Incidence of lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: estimation with a large number of cases at two large centers,” *Gastric Cancer*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 219–225, 2000.

[8] T. Hirasawa, T. Gotoda, S. Miyata et al., “Incidence of lymph node metastasis and the feasibility of endoscopic resection for undifferentiated-type early gastric cancer,” *Gastric Cancer*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 148–152, 2009.

[9] H. Lee, H. Kim, S. K. Shin et al., “The diagnostic role of endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric lesions with indefinite pathology,” *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 47, no. 8-9, pp. 1101–1107, 2012.

[10] H. Odagiri and O. Yasunaga, “Complications following endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric, esophageal, and colorectal cancer: a review of studies based on nationwide large-scale databases,” *Annals of Translational Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 8, p. 189, 2017.

[11] I. Saito, Y. Tsuji, Y. Sakaguchi et al., “Complications related to gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection and their managements,” *Clinical Endoscopy*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 398–403, 2014.

[12] F. Aslan, E. Alper, C. Cekek et al., “Endoscopic submucosal dissection in gastric lesions: the 100 cases experience from a tertiary reference center in west,” *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 368–375, 2014.

[13] F. Catalano, V. Mengardo, A. Trecca et al., “The impact of experience on short- and long-term outcomes on gastric ESD: a western series,” *Updates in Surgery*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 359–365, 2019.

[14] D. C. Daoud, N. Suter, M. Durand, M. Bouin, B. Faulques, and D. Renteln, “Comparing outcomes for endoscopic submucosal dissection between Eastern and Western countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 24, no. 23, pp. 2518–2536, 2018.

[15] I. I.-K. Chung, J. H. Lee, S.-H. Lee et al., “Therapeutic outcomes in 1000 cases of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric neoplasms: Korean ESD Study Group multicenter study,” *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 1228–1235, 2009.

[16] J. A. Chirinos Vega, G. Vargas, C. Alcántara, and J. Zapata, “Endoscopic submucosal dissection as treatment for early gastric cancer: experience at two centers in Lima, Peru,” *Revista de Gastroenterología de México*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 393–399, 2018.

[17] T. Oyama, N. Yahagi, T. Ponchon, T. Kiesslich, and F. Berr, “How to establish endoscopic submucosal dissection in Western countries,” *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 21, no. 40, pp. 11209–11220, 2015.

[18] K. B. Cho, W. J. Jeon, and J. J. Kim, “Worldwide experiences of endoscopic submucosal dissection: not just Eastern acrobatics,” *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 17, no. 21, pp. 2611–2617, 2011.

[19] D. M. M. Cardoso, P. M. de Oliveira Campoli, C. Yokoi et al., “Initial experience in Brazil with endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer using insulation-tipped knife: a safety and feasibility study,” *Gastric Cancer*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 226–232, 2008.

[20] P. T. Sattianayagam, P. V. Desmond, C. Jayasekera, and R. Y. Chen, “Endoscopic submucosal dissection: experience in an Australian tertiary center,” *Annals of Gastroenterology*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 212–218, 2014.

[21] Participants in the Paris Workshop, “The Paris endoscopic classification of superficial neoplastic lesions: esophagus, stomach, and colon: November 30 to December 1, 2002,” *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. S3–S43, 2003.

[22] J. P. Lin, Y. P. Zhang, M. Xue, S. J. Chen, and J. M. Si, “Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer in elderly patients: a meta-analysis,” *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2015.