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Abstract

This thesis consists of three parts. In the first part we review the quantization of Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity in curved spacetime. In the second part we calculate the Feynman propagators of the Faddeev-Popov ghosts for Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity in the covariant gauge. In the third part we investigate the physical equivalence of covariant Wightman graviton two-point function with the physical graviton two-point function.

The Feynman propagators of the Faddeev-Popov ghosts for Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity in the covariant gauge are infrared (IR) divergent in de Sitter spacetime. We point out, that if we regularize these divergences by introducing a finite mass and take the zero mass limit at the end, then the modes responsible for these divergences will not contribute to loop diagrams in computations of time-ordered products in either Yang-Mills theories or perturbative quantum gravity. We thus find effective Feynman propagators for ghosts in Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity by subtracting out these divergent modes.

It is known that the covariant graviton two-point function in de Sitter spacetime is infrared divergent for some choices of gauge parameters. On the other hand it is also known that there are no infrared problems for the physical graviton two-point function obtained by fixing all gauge degrees of freedom, in global coordinates. We show that the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function is equivalent to the physical one in the sense that they result in the same two-point function of any local gauge-invariant quantity. Thus any infrared divergence in the Wightman graviton two-point function in de Sitter spacetime can only be an gauge artefact.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Quantum field theory in de Sitter spacetime has been actively studied recently due to its relevance to inflationary cosmologies [1]. Furthermore, the current observations indicate that our Universe is expanding in an accelerated rate and may approach de Sitter spacetime asymptotically [2]. The original part of this thesis consists of two parts. In the first part we find effective Feynman ghost propagators for Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime. In the second part we find the equivalence of the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function with arbitrary value of gauge parameters to a infrared finite physical Wightman graviton two-point function.

In order to study higher-order quantum effects for Yang-Mills theories or perturbative gravity, one needs to introduce Faddeev-Popov ghosts except in unwieldy gauges such as the axial gauge in Yang-Mills theories [3]. In this thesis we shall study the Feynman propagators for the ghosts in Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime. We shall find that ghosts and anti-ghosts for Yang-Mills theories satisfy the minimally-coupled massless scalar field equation. It is known that there is no Feynman propagator for minimally-coupled massless scalar fields that respects de Sitter invariance [4], due to infrared (IR) divergence of the Feynman propagator for these fields in de Sitter spacetime. We also show that the Feynman propagator for ghosts in perturbative quantum gravity is also infrared divergent in de Sitter spacetime. Thus if the ghost fields in these theories were physical fields, we would need to break de Sitter invariance of the vacuum for these fields [5]. However we shall see that this problem can be circumvented because they are unphysical fields. The interaction between the Yang-Mills/gravitational field and the ghosts is such that, if we regu-
larize the infrared divergences by introducing a small mass term, the modes responsible for the infrared divergences will not contribute in the computation of time-ordered products of physical fields. For this reason, we propose that one should regularize the infrared divergences of the Feynman propagators for the ghosts and anti-ghosts in these theories and then take the limit where the regularization is removed. This proposal is equivalent to using the effective Feynman propagators obtained by subtracting the regularized modes responsible for the infrared divergence in perturbative calculations.

In fact this effective Feynman propagator for Yang-Mills theories has been derived and used in a different context. It was used in calculating the covariant graviton propagator two-function in de Sitter spacetime [6]. It may be noted that even though the physics there is very different from the physics we are considering, the mathematics involved is the same for both these cases.

Infrared divergences in graviton two-point functions have been a matter of contention for over two decades [7]. Since linearized gravity has gauge invariance, it is important to determine whether or not these IR divergences are gauge artefacts. The graviton two-point function obtained by fixing all the gauge degrees of freedom is called the physical graviton two-point function. The physical graviton two-point function in spatially flat coordinate system was analyzed in Ref. [8]. It was found that this two-point function is IR divergent. Infrared divergence of the physical graviton two-point function in spatially flat coordinate system was further studied in Ref. [9]. The physical graviton two-point function in global coordinate system was obtained in Ref. [10]. It was found that this physical graviton two-point function is IR finite. The physical graviton two-point function in hyperbolic coordinate system was also found to be IR finite [11]. Thus the IR divergence of the physical graviton two-point function in spatially flat coordinate system occurred due to the coordinate system used and thus was not a physical effect. However we will not study the IR divergences of the physical graviton two-point functions in this thesis.

In this thesis we will present a work relevant to the IR divergences in the graviton two-point functions that occur for some choices of gauge parameters. Allen found that in the covariant gauge the graviton two-point function has IR divergences for certain values of the gauge parameters [12]. Thus if a gauge is chosen with one of these values of gauge parameters, we shall get IR divergences. The graviton two-point function used by Antoniadis and Mottola [13] was IR divergent as they used one of these values of gauge parameters. Covariant graviton two-point function with different values of gauge parameters was obtained in Ref. [6]. Covariant graviton two-point function with arbitrary values of gauge parameters was obtained in Ref. [14].
In this thesis we shall show that the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function with any choice of gauge parameters is physically equivalent to the physical one obtained in Ref. [10].

For this purpose, we will split the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function into vector, tensor and scalar parts [14]. It will be shown that apart from a part of tensor part, that also contributes to the physical graviton two-point function, all the contributions are pure gauge in the following sense. A contribution to a Wightman graviton two-point function will be said to be pure gauge if at least in one of its two slots it is a sum of tensors obtained by symmetrized derivatives acting on vectors. So a pure gauge contribution will be of the form: \( \nabla_a K_{ba}^{1}(x, x') + \nabla_b K_{ab}^{1}(x, x') + \nabla_a K_{ab}^{2}(x, x') + \nabla_b K_{ab}^{2}(x, x') \) for some \( K_{ba}^{1}(x, x') \) and \( K_{ab}^{2}(x, x') \). The two-point function of a local gauge-invariant tensor field will be the same for two Wightman two-point functions if they differ from each other by a pure gauge contribution. The scalar part has in fact already been shown to be pure gauge in a restricted gauge in Ref. [17]. In this thesis we show that this is true for any value of the gauge parameters. We also show that the contributions coming from the vector part and a part of the tensor part are also pure gauge. Thus the only non-gauge contribution will come from the other part of the tensor part. This contribution will be shown to be exactly equal to the physical graviton two-point function obtained in Ref. [10].

This physical graviton two-point function obtained in Ref. [10] suffers from no IR divergences. Now if any graviton Wightman two-point function in linearized gravity in de Sitter is physically equivalent to that obtained in Ref. [10], as we show in this thesis, then any IR divergences in the covariant graviton Wightman two-point function in linearized gravity in de Sitter spacetime for example as noticed in Ref. [13], has to be a gauge artefact. Thus the IR divergences will not show up in the graviton Wightman two-point function of any local gauge-invariant tensor field, e.g., the linearized Weyl tensor [15].

The rest of the thesis is organised as follows: We shall review Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity in Chapters 2 and 3, respectively. Then after reviewing some basic properties of de Sitter spacetime in Chapter 4 we shall go on to study ghost fields in de Sitter spacetime. We shall derive the explicit expression for the effective ghost propagator for Yang-Mills theories in Chapter 5 as a warm up for the derivation of the explicit expression for the effective ghost propagator for perturbative quantum gravity in Chapter 6. Then we shall describe a general method for calculating the Wightman two-point function for free field theories in Chapter 7. We shall apply this formalism to linearized quantum gravity in Chapter 8. Finally we shall show explicitly that the covariant graviton Wightman two-point function with any
choice of gauge parameters is physically equivalent to the physical one obtained in Ref. [10] in Chapter 9. We shall conclude the thesis in Chapter 10.

We shall do our calculations for Feynman ghost propagators on $S^4$ and then analytically continue the result to de Sitter spacetime in Chapters 5 and 6. However we shall do our calculations directly in de Sitter spacetime while calculating the Wightman two-function in Chapters 7 and 9. So in Chapter 5 and 6 propagator means a Feynman propagator obtained by analytical continuation from $S^4$ and in Chapters 7 and 9 a two-point function means a Wightman function calculated directly in de Sitter spacetime.

The signature we adopt is $(-,+,+,+)$ and we denote a spacetime point as $x$, the spatial component of $x$ as $\mathbf{x}$ and its temporal component as $t$. We define the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature by

\begin{align}
R^d_{cab}A_d &= [\nabla_b \nabla_a - \nabla_a \nabla_b]A_c, & (1.1) \\
R_{bd} &= R^a_{bad}, & (1.2) \\
R &= g^{ab}R_{ab}, & (1.3)
\end{align}

where

\begin{align}
\nabla_b A_c &= \partial_b A_c - \Gamma^d_{bc}A_d, & (1.4) \\
\Gamma^d_{bc} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{da} [\partial_b g_{ac} + \partial_c g_{ab} - \partial_a g_{bc}]. & (1.5)
\end{align}
Chapter 2

Yang-Mills Theories

In this chapter we shall discuss the quantization of Yang-Mills theories in general curved spacetime. After reviewing the quantization of Yang-Mills theories we shall also discuss the BRST symmetry for Yang-Mills theories.

2.1 Basic Formalism

Yang-Mills theories were originally proposed to explain occurrence of isospin [18]. They have been used to unify the electromagnetism and the weak force into a single electro-weak force [19]. Quantum Chromodynamics, which is an asymptotically free non-abelian gauge theory, is now thought be the theory of strong interaction [20]. Thus except gravity all the forces of nature can be described by Yang-Mills theories. Apart from electromagnetism which is invariant under $U(1)$ symmetry all the other gauge theories describing forces in nature are invariant under $SU(N)$ symmetry. So we shall first review $SU(N)$ Lie groups and some of their properties (see Chapter 15 of Ref. [21]). From now on we shall use the convention that the repeated group indices are summed over. An element $u$ of $SU(N)$ can be written as

$$u = \exp[ig\Lambda^AT_A].$$

Here $T_A$ are $N \times N$ traceless Hermitian matrices, which satisfy

$$Tr(T_AT_B) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{AB},$$

The matrices $T_A$ are the generators of $SU(N)$ Lie algebra, which is given by

$$[T_A, T_B] = if_{ABC}T_C,$$
where \( f_{AB}^C \) are called the structure constants. Strictly speaking \( T_A \) form a basis of the defining representation of the \( SU(N) \) Lie algebra.

These structure constants are antisymmetric in their lower indices: as
\[
[T_A, T_B] = -[T_B, T_A],
\]
we have
\[
f_{AB}^C = -f_{BA}^C. \tag{2.5}
\]
They also satisfy the Jacobi identity: as
\[
[T_A, [T_B, T_C]] + [T_B, [T_C, T_A]] + [T_C, [T_A, T_B]] = 0,
\]
we have
\[
f^{E}_{AD}f^D_{BC} + f^{E}_{BD}f^D_{CA} + f^{E}_{CD}f^D_{AB} = 0. \tag{2.7}
\]
We can now define matrices \( \tau_A \) which form a basis for the adjoint representation of this Lie algebra: as
\[
(\tau_A)^B_C = if_{AC}^B. \tag{2.8}
\]
Now from the Jacobi identity given in Eq. (2.7), \( \tau_A \) satisfy the Lie algebra given in Eq. (2.3),
\[
[\tau_A, \tau_B] = if_{AB}^C\tau_C. \tag{2.9}
\]

Having reviewed some properties of \( SU(N) \) Lie algebra, we shall briefly review \( SU(N) \) Yang-Mills theories (see Chapter 9 of Ref. [22]). We start from a matter field \( \phi(x) \) which forms a \( N \)-dimensional vector in the defining representation space of the Lie algebra. So it transforms under a spacetime dependent \( SU(N) \) transformation \( u(x) \) as follows:
\[
\phi^u(x) = u(x)\phi(x). \tag{2.10}
\]
We now also want a derivative of \( \phi(x) \) that transforms like \( \phi(x) \). However \( \nabla_a \phi(x) \) does not do so. So we define a derivative \( D_a \phi(x) \) called the covariant derivative which transforms like \( \phi(x) \). It is defined to be
\[
D_a \phi = [\nabla_a - igA_a] \phi, \tag{2.11}
\]
where \( A_a \) is defined to be a matrix-valued gauge field. It can be given by
\[
A_a = A_a^A T_A. \tag{2.12}
\]
Now we expect the covariant derivative to transform like \( \phi(x) \). So if \( A_a^u \) is the transformed gauge field, we have
\[
[\nabla_a - igA_a^u] u \phi = u D_a \phi. \tag{2.13}
\]
So we have
\[
[\nabla_a - igA_a^u]u\phi - uD_a\phi = \left((\nabla_a u) - igA_a^u u + igu A_a\right)\phi = 0. \tag{2.14}
\]
Now we have
\[
igA_a^u u = (\nabla_a u) + igu A_a. \tag{2.15}
\]
Multiplying by \(-ig^{-1} u^{-1}\) from the right-hand side, we get
\[
A_a^u = uA_a u^{-1} - ig^{-1}(\nabla_a u)u^{-1}. \tag{2.16}
\]
Now we expand \(u\) and \(u^{-1}\) as
\[
u = 1 + ig\Lambda^A T_A + O(\Lambda^2), \tag{2.17}
u^{-1} = 1 - ig\Lambda^A T_A + O(\Lambda^2). \tag{2.18}\]
The gauge field \(A_a^u\) can now be written, to first order in \(\Lambda^A\): as
\[
A_a^u = [1 + ig\Lambda^A T_A]A_a[1 - ig\Lambda^B T_B] - ig^{-1}(\nabla_a ig\Lambda^A T_A)
= A_a + ig\Lambda^A [T_A, T_B]A_b + \nabla_a \Lambda^A T_A
= A_a - gf_{AB} T_C \Lambda^A A_B^C + \nabla_a \Lambda^A T_A
= [A_a^A + \nabla_a \Lambda^A + gf_{BC} A_a^B \Lambda^C] T_A. \tag{2.19}
\]
So if the infinitesimal transformation of \(A_a\) is given by
\[
\delta_A A_a = T_A \delta_A A_a^A, \tag{2.20}
\]
then we have
\[
\delta_A A_a^A = \nabla_a \Lambda^A + gf_{BC} A_a^B \Lambda^C. \tag{2.21}
\]
This is the gauge transformation of the gauge fields.

Now we define \(F_{ab}^A\) as follows:
\[
[D_a, D_b]\phi = ig^{-1} F_{ab}^A \phi, \tag{2.22}
\]
where
\[
F_{ab} = F_{ab}^A T_A. \tag{2.23}
\]
Thus we get
\[
F_{ab}\phi = g^{-1} [g\nabla_a A_b - g\nabla_b A_a - ig^2 [A_a, A_b]]\phi
= T_A[\nabla_a A_b^A - \nabla_b A_a^A + gf_{BC} A_a^B A_b^C]\phi. \tag{2.24}
\]
So we get
\[
F_{ab}^A = \nabla_a A_b^A - \nabla_b A_a^A + gf_{BC} A_a^B A_b^C. \tag{2.25}
\]
Now by construction $[D_a, D_b] \phi$ transform like $\phi$:

$$[D_a, D_b] \phi \rightarrow u[D_a, D_b] \phi.$$  \hfill (2.26)

This implies that $F^A_{ab}$ transforms as follows:

$$F_{ab} = uF_{ab} u^{-1}.$$ \hfill (2.27)

This is because it follows from Eq. (2.26)

$$F_{ab} \phi \rightarrow u F_{ab} u^{-1} u \phi = u F_{ab} \phi.$$ \hfill (2.28)

The classical action for the gauge field is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_c,$$ \hfill (2.29)

where $\mathcal{L}_c$ is the classical Lagrangian, which is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_c = -\frac{1}{2} Tr(F_{ab} F^{ab}).$$ \hfill (2.30)

In this thesis we define the Lagrangian to be a scalar rather than a scalar density that would include the measure $\sqrt{-g}$. It can be seen to be invariant under $u$ transformations,

$$Tr(F_{ab} F^{ab}) \rightarrow Tr(u F_{ab} u^{-1} u F^{ab} u^{-1}) = Tr(F_{ab} F^{ab}).$$ \hfill (2.31)

This classical Lagrangian can also be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_c = -\frac{1}{4} F^A_{ab} F^B_{ab},$$ \hfill (2.32)

because

$$\mathcal{L}_c = \frac{-1}{2} Tr(F^A_{ab} F^{abB} T_A T_B)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4} F^A_{ab} F^{abB} \delta_{AB}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4} F^A_{ab} F^B_{ab},$$ \hfill (2.33)

here we have used Eq. (2.2).
2.2 Gauge Fixing

In this section we discuss gauge-fixing for Yang-Mills theories. Fixing a gauge is essential in Yang-Mills theories before they can be quantized. To see this we first shall briefly review the quantization of the scalar field theory. If we consider a massive free scalar field on a (3 + 1) dimensional spacetime, then the Lagrangian of this scalar field is given by

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\nabla_a \phi \nabla^a \phi - m^2 \phi^2 \right].
\]  

(2.34)

We can canonically quantize this theory by first defining conjugate momentum \( \pi(x) \) as

\[
\pi = \sqrt{-g} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_0 \phi} = -\sqrt{-g} g^0_a \nabla_a \phi,
\]  

(2.35)

then by imposing the following canonical commutation relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
[\phi(x, t), \pi(x', t)] &= i \delta(x, x'), \\
[\phi(x, t), \phi(x', t)] &= 0, \\
[\pi(x, t), \pi(x', t)] &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(2.36)

where \( \delta(x, x') \) is defined as

\[
\int d^3x \delta(x, x') f(x) = f(x'),
\]  

(2.37)

for any compactly supported smooth function \( f(x) \). Note here that \( d^3x = dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 \).

To apply the above procedure to Yang-Mills theories, we would have to define

\[
\pi^a_A = \sqrt{-g} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_0 A^a_A}.
\]  

(2.38)

However, the conjugate momentum \( \pi^0_A \) corresponding to \( A^a_0 \) is constrained to vanish:

\[
\pi^0_A = \sqrt{-g} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_0 A^0_A} = 0.
\]  

(2.39)

So we can not quantize Yang-Mills theories simply by imposing the canonical commutation relations, that are the straightforward generalization of those used in the scalar field theory. In fact the constraint \( \pi^0_A = 0 \) is closely related to the fact that the initial Lagrangian given in Eq. (2.32) is invariant under gauge transformations \[23\],

\[
\delta_A A^a_A = \nabla_A A^a + g f^A_{BC} A^B_a \Lambda^C.
\]  

(2.40)
However as is well known, we can overcome this problem by fixing the gauge and this can be achieved by adding a gauge-fixing term and a ghost term to the original classical Lagrangian [24].

Let us explain the general procedure for obtaining a Lagrangian which can be quantized following the canonical quantization procedure [25]. We start by choosing a gauge-fixing function, \( G[A]^A \). In the classical theory one imposes the condition \( G[A]^A = 0 \). In the quantum theory one adds a gauge-fixing term and a ghost term to the classical Lagrangian. The gauge-fixing term is obtained by first squaring this gauge-fixing function \( G[A]^A \) in such a way that it forms a scalar in the representation space of the Lie algebra and then multiplying it by \(-1/(2\alpha)\). To find the ghost Lagrangian we first take the gauge transformation of the gauge-fixing function \( G[A]^A \) and then change the \( \Lambda^A \) to ghost fields \( c^A \). After that we contract any free index left with anti-ghosts \( \bar{c}^A \) to form a scalar quantity in the representation space of the Lie algebra and then multiply it by \( i \). The scalar thus obtained is called the Faddeev-Popov ghost Lagrangian [24]. Both the ghost fields and anti-ghost fields obey Fermi-Dirac statistics. The Faddeev-Popov ghost Lagrangian is also needed for ensuring the unitarity of the theory (see Chapter 16 of Ref. [21]). In fact Faddeev-Popov ghosts were first conjectured by Feynman using unitarity [26] and later derived by Faddeev and Popov by using path integral [24].

In the classical theory, in Lorentz gauge the gauge-fixing condition is given by

\[
G[A]^A = \nabla_a A^{Aa} = 0. \quad (2.41)
\]

In the quantum theory the gauge-fixing term \( \mathcal{L}_g \) corresponding to this gauge-fixing condition is obtained by first squaring this gauge-fixing condition in such a way that it forms a scalar quantity and then multiplying it by \(-1/(2\alpha)\).

\[
\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} [\nabla_a A^a_c \nabla_b A^{A_c b}]. \quad (2.42)
\]

To obtain the ghost Lagrangian we first take the gauge transformation of the gauge-fixing function:

\[
\delta_A G[A]^A = \nabla^a [\nabla_a \Lambda^A + gf^A_{BC} A^B_a \Lambda^C], \quad (2.43)
\]

and then change \( \Lambda^A \) to \( c^A \),

\[
\delta_{(c)} G[A]^A = \nabla^a [\nabla_a c^A + gf^A_{BC} A^B_a c^C]. \quad (2.44)
\]

Finally we contract with anti-ghosts \( \bar{c}^A \), in such a way that it forms a scalar quantity,

\[
\bar{c}_A \delta_{(c)} G[A]^A = \bar{c}_A \nabla^a [\nabla_a c^A + gf^A_{BC} A^B_a c^C]. \quad (2.45)
\]
After dropping total derivative terms, and multiplying by \( i \) we obtain the ghost Lagrangian,

\[
L_{gh} = -i \nabla^a \tau_A D_a c^A,
\]

(2.46)

where \( D_a c^A \) is the covariant derivative for the fields in adjoint representation with generators \( \tau_A \),

\[
D_a c^A = \nabla_a c^A + g f^A_{BC} A^B a^C.
\]

(2.47)

The total Lagrangian is given by the sum of the classical Lagrangian, the gauge-fixing term and the ghost term,

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{gh}.
\]

(2.48)

### 2.3 BRST Symmetry for Yang-Mills Theories

The original classical Lagrangian is invariant under gauge transformations. After fixing the gauge this invariance is broken. However the total Lagrangian, which is obtained as a sum of the original classical Lagrangian, the gauge-fixing term and the ghost term is now invariant under a transformation called the BRST transformation [27]. To see this we first rewrite the gauge-fixing term in terms of an auxiliary field \( B^A \), as:

\[
\mathcal{L}_g = \left[ B A \nabla_a A^A a + \frac{\alpha}{2} B_A B^A \right].
\]

(2.49)

It is possible to recover the original gauge-fixing term from this using the field equation for \( B^A \). Now the BRST transformation for Yang-Mills theory is given by

\[
s = \epsilon s,
\]

(2.50)

where \( \epsilon \) is an anti-commuting complex number and

\[
\begin{align*}
sA^A_a &= i[\nabla_a c^A + g f^A_{BC} A^B a^C], \\
sB^A &= 0, \\
s^B &= B^A, \\
s^C &= -\frac{ig}{2} f^A_{BC} c^B c^C.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.51)

As the BRST transformation is fermionic in the sense that it depends on a fermionic parameter \( \epsilon \), it is important to fix a convention as to how the
transformation $s$ acts on the fields. We let them act from the left on the fields, for example
\[ s(\tau^A c_A) = s(\tau^A)c_A - \tau^A s c_A. \] (2.52)

Now these transformations can be seen to satisfy
\[ (s)^2 = 0. \] (2.53)

The action of $(s)^2$ on $B^A$ and $\tau^A$ trivially vanishes.
\[ s(sB^A) = 0, \] (2.54)

and
\[ s(s\tau^A) = sB^A = 0. \] (2.55)

Its action on $c^A$ is given by
\[
(s)^2 c^A = -\frac{ig}{2} s[f_{BC}^A c^B c^C] \\
= \frac{g^2}{4} [-f_{BC}^A f_{EF}^A c^E c^F c^C + f_{BC}^A f_{EF} c^B c^E c^F] \\
= 0.
\] (2.56)

We have used the Jacobi identity given by Eq. (2.7) and the fact that $c^A$ are anti-commutating in the last equality. Similarly we have
\[
(s)^2 A_a^A = i s[\nabla_a c^A + g f_{BC}^A A_a^B c^C] \\
= \frac{g}{2} f_{BC}^A \nabla_a (c^B c^C) - g f_{BC}^A (\nabla_a c^B) c^C \\
- \frac{g^2}{2} f_{BC}^A f_{EF}^A c^E c^C + \frac{g^2}{2} f_{BC}^A f_{EF} A_a^B c^E c^F \\
= 0,
\] (2.57)

where we have used the Jacobi identity given by Eq. (2.7) and the fact that $c^A$.

The original classical Lagrangian is invariant under the BRST transformation, as the BRST transformation for the original classical Lagrangian is just the gauge transformations with $\Lambda^A$ replaced by $c^A$:
\[ s L_c = 0. \] (2.59)
We also note that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\mathcal{S}\left[\tau_A \left[\nabla_a A^{Aa} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^A\right]\right] \\
&= B_A \left[\nabla_a A^{Aa} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^A\right] + i\nabla^a \tau_A D_a c^A \\
&= \left[B_A \nabla_a A^{Aa} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B_A B^A\right] - i\nabla^a \tau_A D_a c^A \\
&= \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{gh},
\end{align*}
\]

up to a total divergence term. The invariance of the sum of the gauge-fixing term and the ghost term can now be deduced from the fact that their sum is written as a total BRST variation. Thus the BRST variation of the sum of the gauge-fixing term and the ghost term vanishes due to Eq. (2.53), as:

\[
\mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{gh}] = (s)^2 \left[\tau_A \left[\nabla_a A^{Aa} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^A\right]\right] = 0.
\]

However, as the original classical Lagrangian is also invariant under the BRST transformation, so the total Lagrangian will also be invariant under the BRST transformation:

\[
\mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{gh}] = 0.
\]

The invariance of Yang-Mills theory under BRST symmetry is crucial in selecting the physical states.

### 2.4 Physical States

In Abelian gauge theory in flat spacetime, we get negative norm states in the Fock space. For example, for free Abelian gauge theory in the Feynman gauge the creation and annihilation operators, \(a_a(k)\) and \(a_b^\dagger(k')\) satisfy,

\[
[a_a(k), a_b^\dagger(k')] = ig_{ab}\delta(k, k'),
\]

so we have

\[
[a_0(k), a_b^\dagger(k')] = -i\delta(k, k'),
\]

It is possible to restrict our Fock space to positive norm states in Abelian gauge theory by using Gupta-Bleuler formalism \[28\]. In this formalism physical states \(|\phi_p\rangle\) are defined by requiring that

\[
\partial_a A^{ (+)a}(x)|\phi_p\rangle = 0,
\]
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where $\partial_a A^{(+)}(x)$ is the positive frequency part of $\partial_a A^a(x)$. It can be shown that

$$\Box \partial_a A^a(x) = 0,$$

(2.66)

even if the field $A^a$ interacts with charged matter. Thus, this method works for Abelian gauge theory in Minkowski spacetime, even if interactions are included. However, it does not work for non-abelian gauge theories in Minkowski spacetime as $\partial_a A^a(x)$ does not satisfy the free field equation for non-abelian gauge theories and hence one cannot define its positive frequency part. It also fails in case of Abelian gauge theory in general curved spacetime as it is not always possible to define the positive frequency part of $\nabla_a A^a(x)$ uniquely in general curved spacetime.

However, it is possible to remove these negative norm states in case of non-abelian gauge theory in Minkowski spacetime by the Kugo-Ojima criterion [25]. Kugo-Ojima criterion states that the physical states $|\phi_p\rangle$ must be annihilated by $Q$ [25],

$$Q|\phi_p\rangle = 0,$$

(2.67)

where $Q$ is the BRST charge, which is the Noether charge corresponding to invariance of the total Lagrangian under the BRST transformation. The Kugo-Ojima criterion divides the Fock space into two parts, the physical part which is annihilated by $Q$ and the unphysical part which is not annihilated by $Q$. The physical part in turn has a trivial part which is composed of those states which are obtained by the action of $Q$ on states that are not annihilated by $Q$, $|\phi_t\rangle = Q|\phi_{up}\rangle$, where $Q|\phi_{up}\rangle \neq 0$. These trivial physical states are orthogonal to all physical states,

$$\langle \phi_p | \phi_t \rangle = \langle \phi_p | Q|\phi_{up}\rangle = 0.$$

(2.68)

Thus in Minkowski spacetime the only relevant physical states for non-abelian gauge theory are those that are annihilated by $Q$ and are not obtained by the action of $Q$ on any other state.

In curved spacetime it is expected that BRST symmetry will play an important role in defining the physical states [29]. However, in this thesis we shall show that the Feynman propagator for ghost fields in de Sitter spacetime suffers from IR divergences. Due to this problem the definition of BRST symmetry for Yang-Mills theories in de Sitter spacetime might become non-trivial. However, if BRST symmetry is defined for Yang-Mills theories in de Sitter spacetime then the Kugo-Ojima criterion can be used to obtain the physical subspace, in analogy to what is done in case of Minkowski spacetime.
Chapter 3

Perturbative Quantum Gravity

In this chapter we shall discuss the quantization of perturbative quantum gravity in general curved spacetime. We shall also discuss the BRST symmetry for perturbative quantum gravity in this chapter.

3.1 Basic Formalism

According to General theory of Relativity, gravity is caused by the curvature of spacetime \([30]\). The Lagrangian for gravity with a cosmological constant \(\lambda\), is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_c = \frac{\sqrt{-g^{(f)}}}{16\pi G} [R^{(f)} - 2\lambda].
\]  

(3.1)

We have denoted the full metric as \(g^{(f)}_{ab}\) to distinguish it from the fixed background metric \(g_{ab}\). The scalar curvature corresponding to this full metric is denoted by \(R^{(f)}\). We adopt units such that

\[
16\pi G = 1.
\]  

(3.2)

The Lagrangian given by Eq. (3.1) is invariant under the following infinitesimal transformations originating from its general coordinate invariance:

\[
\delta_{\Lambda}g^{(f)}_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}g^{(f)}_{ab},
\]  

(3.3)

where the Lie derivative \(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}t_{ab}\) for any tensor \(t_{ab}\) is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}t_{ab} = \Lambda^c \nabla_c t_{ab} + t_{ac} \nabla_b \Lambda^c + t_{bc} \nabla_a \Lambda^c.
\]  

(3.4)
In perturbative gravity one writes the full metric in terms of a fixed background metric and small perturbations around it. We also denote the small perturbation around the fixed background metric as $h_{ab}$. So we can now write,

$$g^{(f)}_{ab} = g_{ab} + h_{ab}. \tag{3.5}$$

This small perturbation is regarded as a field that is to be quantized. The covariant derivative along with the raising and lowering of indices will be with respect to the background metric.

For perturbative quantum gravity, we first write the Lagrangian in terms of the full metric $g^{(f)}_{ab}$ and then expand $g^{(f)}_{ab}$ in terms of $h_{ab}$ and $g_{ab}$. So we get the gravitational Lagrangian for perturbative quantum gravity in terms of $h_{ab}$. It will in general contain infinitely many terms. Each term containing two derivatives of $h_{ab}$ comes from the Ricci scalar $R^{(f)}$, and there are only finitely many terms of this kind. However, because the inverse of the metric contains infinitely many terms with integer powers of $h_{ab}$, we have infinitely many terms in the Lagrangian for perturbative quantum gravity.

Now as $g_{ab}$ is fixed, the transformation of $g^{(f)}_{ab}$ will be attributed to $h_{ab}$. Thus, the transformation of $h_{ab}$ is now given by

$$\delta_{\Lambda} h_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} g^{(f)}_{ab}$$
$$= \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} g_{ab} + \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} h_{ab}$$
$$= \nabla_a \Lambda_b + \nabla_b \Lambda_a + \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} h_{ab}. \tag{3.6}$$

In the last line we have used $\nabla_c g_{ab} = 0$. To first order in $\Lambda_a$, the Lagrangian for perturbative quantum gravity will be invariant to all orders in $h_{ab}$, under the following transformation,

$$\delta_{\Lambda} h_{ab} = \nabla_a \Lambda_b + \nabla_b \Lambda_a + \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} h_{ab}, \tag{3.7}$$

where the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} h_{ab}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} h_{ab} = \Lambda^c \nabla_c h_{ab} + h_{ac} \nabla_b \Lambda^c + h_{bc} \nabla_a \Lambda^c. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus gravity is somewhat analogous to Yang-Mills theories. Just as in the Yang-Mills theory the Lagrangian was invariant under gauge transformations, here the Lagrangian is invariant under general coordinate transformations given by Eq. 3.5. Due to this analogy one can deal with gravity in a similar way to what was done for Yang-Mills theories.
3.2 Quantization

In the previous section we found that the Lagrangian for perturbative quantum gravity is invariant under the following transformations:

\[ \delta_{\Lambda} h_{ab} = \nabla_a \Lambda_b + \nabla_b \Lambda_a + \mathcal{L}_\Lambda h_{ab}. \]  

(3.9)

In the previous chapter we found that the Lagrangian of the Yang-Mills theory was invariant under a gauge transformation which led to constraints and we were not able to quantize this theory without fixing a gauge. Now as the Lagrangian for perturbative quantum gravity is invariant under the above mentioned transformations, we expect that constraints will exist for perturbative quantum gravity also. In fact it is known that just like in the Yang-Mills case the invariance of the Lagrangian for perturbative quantum gravity leads to the existence of constraints [31]. We are thus not able to quantize it using canonical commutation relations without fixing the gauge. Thus we add a gauge-fixing term and a ghost term to the classical Lagrangian of perturbative quantum gravity, just like what we did in case of Yang-Mills theories (see Chapter 2 of Ref. [32]). We shall explain this procedure below.

In classical theory we impose the gauge-fixing condition,

\[ G[h]_a = (\nabla^b h_{ab} - k \nabla_a h) = 0. \]  

(3.10)

where,

\[ k \neq 1. \]  

(3.11)

For \( k = 1 \), the conjugate momentum for \( h_{00} \) still vanishes, so we take \( k \neq 1 \). For this reason sometimes \( k \) is written as \( 1 + \beta^{-1} \), where \( \beta \) is an arbitrary finite constant [14]. In quantum theory we add a gauge-fixing term and a ghost term to the classical Lagrangian. The gauge-fixing term corresponding to this gauge-fixing condition is obtained by first squaring this gauge-fixing function \( G[h]_a \), in such a way that it form a scalar quantity and then multiplying it by \(-1/(2\alpha)\):

\[ \mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} [\nabla^b h_{ab} - k \nabla_a h] [\nabla_c h^{ac} - k \nabla^a h]. \]  

(3.12)

Now to get the ghost action, we follow the same procedure we followed for getting the ghost action in the case of Yang-Mills theory. First we take the gauge transformation of the gauge-fixing function:

\[ \delta_{\Lambda} G[h]_b = \nabla^a [\nabla_a \Lambda_b + \nabla_b \Lambda_a - 2k g_{ab} \nabla_c \Lambda^c + \mathcal{L}_\Lambda h_{ab} - k g_{ab} g^{cd} \mathcal{L}_\Lambda h_{cd}]. \]  

(3.13)
Next we introduce the Faddeev-Popov ghost field $e^a$, which is a fermionic vector field, and define $\mathcal{L}_c h_{ab}$ to be

$$\mathcal{L}_c h_{ab} = e_c \nabla^c h_{ab} + h_{ae} \nabla_b e^e + h_{bc} \nabla_a e^e. \tag{3.14}$$

Then we change $\Lambda_a$ to $c_a$ in Eq. (3.13)

$$\delta_{(c)} G[h]_b = \nabla^a [\nabla_a c_b + \nabla_b c_a - 2 k g_{ab} \nabla_c e^e + \mathcal{L}_c h_{ab} - k g_{ab} g^{cd} \mathcal{L}_c h_{cd}]. \tag{3.15}$$

Now we contract the free index with anti-ghost field $\overline{c}^b$, which is also a fermionic vector field:

$$\overline{c}^b \delta_{(c)} G[h]_b = \overline{c}^b \nabla^a [\nabla_a c_b + \nabla_b c_a - 2 k g_{ab} \nabla_c e^e + \mathcal{L}_c h_{ab} - k g_{ab} g^{cd} \mathcal{L}_c h_{cd}]. \tag{3.16}$$

Finally we multiply it by $i$ and drop total derivatives to get the ghost Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{gh} = -i \overline{c}^b \nabla^a [\nabla_a c_b + \nabla_b c_a - 2 k g_{ab} \nabla_c e^e + c_c \nabla^c h_{ab} + h_{ae} \nabla_b e^e + h_{bc} \nabla_a e^e - k g_{ab} g^{cd} [c_c \nabla^c h_{cd} + h_{ce} \nabla_d e^e + h_{de} \nabla_c e^e]] \tag{3.17}$$

The total Lagrangian is given by the sum of the classical Lagrangian, the gauge-fixing term and the ghost term:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{gh}. \tag{3.18}$$

### 3.3 BRST Symmetry for Perturbative Quantum Gravity

The original classical Lagrangian is invariant under gauge transformations. However after fixing the gauge this invariance is broken. However the total Lagrangian for the perturbative quantum gravity, which is obtained as a sum of the original classical Lagrangian, the gauge-fixing term and the ghost term is also invariant under BRST symmetry $[33]$. To see this we first rewrite the gauge-fixing term in terms of an auxiliary field $B^a$, as:

$$\mathcal{L}_g = B^a \left[ \nabla^b h_{ab} - k \nabla_a h + \frac{\alpha}{2} B_a \right]. \tag{3.19}$$
The original form of the gauge-fixing term can be obtained from this one using the field equation for \( B^a \). Now the BRST transformation for perturbative quantum gravity is given by
\[
s = \epsilon s, \quad (3.20)
\]
where again \( \epsilon \) is an anti-commuting complex number and
\[
sh_{ab} = i[\nabla_a c_b + \nabla_b c_a + c_c \nabla^c h_{ab} + h_{ac} \nabla_b c^e + h_{bc} \nabla_a c^e], \quad sB_a = 0, \quad s\pi_a = B_a, \quad sc^a = -ic^b \nabla_b c^a. \quad (3.21)
\]
This transformation also satisfies (see Chapter 5 of Ref. [34]),
\[
(s)^2 = 0. \quad (3.22)
\]
The original classical Lagrangian is again invariant under the BRST transformation, as the BRST transformation for the original classical Lagrangian is just the gauge transformation with \( \Lambda^a \) replaced by \( c^a \):
\[
sL_c = 0. \quad (3.23)
\]
We also note that up to a total divergence,
\[
s\left[ c^a \left[ \nabla^b h_{ab} - k \nabla_a h + \frac{\alpha}{2} B_a \right] \right] = B^a \left[ \nabla^b h_{ab} - k \nabla_a h + \frac{\alpha}{2} B_a \right] +
\]
\[
-ic^a \nabla^b [\nabla_a c_b + \nabla_b c_a - 2k g_{ab} \nabla_c c^e] + c_c \nabla^e h_{ab} + h_{ac} \nabla_b c^e + h_{bc} \nabla_a c^e -
\]
\[
k g_{ab} g^{cd} (c_e \nabla^e h_{cd} + h_{ac} \nabla_d c^e + h_{de} \nabla_a c^e)] = L_g + L_{gh}. \quad (3.24)
\]
The invariance of the gauge-fixing term and the ghost term can be now deduced from the fact that their sum is written as a total BRST variation and that the BRST variation of a total BRST variation vanishes:
\[
s[L_g + L_{gh}] = (s)^2 \left[ c^a \left[ \nabla^b h_{ab} - k \nabla_a h + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} B_a \right] \right] = 0. \quad (3.25)
\]
However as the original classical Lagrangian was also invariant under the BRST transformation, so the total Lagrangian will also be invariant under the BRST transformation:
\[
s[L_c + L_g + L_{gh}] = 0. \quad (3.26)
\]
The invariance of perturbative quantum gravity under BRST symmetry is also crucial in selecting the physical states of the theory. It is possible to define physical states in perturbative quantum gravity, at least formally using Kugo-Ojima criterion (see Chapter 5 of Ref. [34]). Thus, the state \( |\phi_p\rangle \) is said to be a physical states if

\[
Q |\phi_p\rangle = 0.
\]  

(3.27)

The only relevant physical states in perturbative quantum gravity again are those states which are annihilated by \( Q \) and are not obtained by the action of \( Q \) on any other state.

In this thesis we will show that the Feynman propagator for ghost fields in perturbative quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime also suffers from IR divergences. Thus just like the Yang-Mills case, due to IR divergences the definition of BRST symmetry for perturbative quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime might become non-trivial.
Chapter 4

de Sitter Spacetime

In this chapter, we shall review some basic properties of de Sitter spacetime (see Chapter 5 of Ref. [30]). We shall start by reviewing properties of different tensors in de Sitter spacetime and then present some basic coordinate systems used in de Sitter spacetime.

4.1 Properties of de Sitter Spacetime

The vacuum Einstein equations with a cosmological constant $\Lambda$ are given by (see chapter 3 of Ref. [30]).

$$G_{ab} = -\Lambda g_{ab}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $G_{ab}$ is the Einstein tensor which is given by

$$G_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ab}. \quad (4.2)$$

Constant curvature spacetimes are solutions to Eq. (4.2), and are characterized by the condition (see Chapter 5 of Ref. [30])

$$R_{abcd} = \frac{1}{12} R \delta_c^a g_{bd} - \delta_d^a g_{bc}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $R$ is a constant. The spacetimes with $R = 0$, $R < 0$ and $R > 0$ are called the Minkowski spacetime, the anti-de Sitter spacetime and de Sitter
spacetime, respectively. Now for the constant curvature spacetimes, we have

\[ R_{bd} = R^a_{\text{bad}} = \frac{1}{12} R[\delta^a_d g_{bd} - \delta^a_d g_{ba}] = \frac{1}{4} R g_{db}. \tag{4.4} \]

So the Einstein tensor \( G_{ab} \) for the constant curvature spacetimes is given by

\[ G_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} R - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ab} = -\frac{1}{4} g_{ab} R. \tag{4.5} \]

Now if we compare Eq. (4.2) with Eq. (4.5), we see that we can view Eq. (4.5) as a solution of the vacuum Einstein field equations with a cosmological constant \( \Lambda \) given by

\[ \Lambda = \frac{1}{4} R. \tag{4.6} \]

As de Sitter spacetime is defined to be a spacetime of constant positive curvature, so for de Sitter spacetime the cosmological constant is positive. This cosmological constant is related to a constant called the Hubble constant \( H \), as follows:

\[ \Lambda = 3H^2. \tag{4.7} \]

It is called the Hubble constant as it was used by Hubble for the measure of the expansion of the universe \[36\]. So for de Sitter spacetime, in terms of the Hubble constant \( H \), we have

\[ R = 12H^2, \tag{4.8} \]
\[ R_{ab} = 3H^2 g_{ab}, \tag{4.9} \]
\[ R^a_{\text{bcd}} = H^2[\delta^a_c g_{bd} - \delta^a_d g_{bc}], \tag{4.10} \]
\[ G_{ab} = -3H^2 g_{ab}. \tag{4.11} \]

### 4.2 de Sitter Spacetime Metric

de Sitter spacetime, which is defined to be a spacetime of constant positive curvature, has the topology \( R \times S^3 \) and can be viewed as a hyperboloid in five dimensional Minkowski spacetime (see Chapter 5 of Ref. [30]). If
the Cartesian coordinates in the five dimensional Minkowski spacetime are $X, Y, Z, W, T$ and the metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + dW^2 + dX^2 + dY^2 + dZ^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

with $-\infty < W, X, Y, Z, T < \infty$ then de Sitter spacetime is the hypersurface given by the following equation,

$$X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + W^2 - T^2 = \alpha^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

Here $\alpha$ is called the radius of de Sitter spacetime and is related to the Hubble constant by $\alpha = H^{-1}$, as we shall see. De Sitter spacetime inherits the five dimensional Lorentz invariance of five dimensional Minkowski spacetime, which is $SO(4, 1)$ \[37\]. This is called de Sitter group and is defined to be the group of linear transformations in five dimensions which preserve Eq. (4.13).

A convenient parametrisation of this hypersurface is given by

$$T = \alpha \sinh(\alpha^{-1}t),$$  
$$W = \alpha \cosh(\alpha^{-1}t) \cos \psi,$$  
$$X = \alpha \cosh(\alpha^{-1}t) \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi,$$  
$$Y = \alpha \cosh(\alpha^{-1}t) \sin \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi,$$  
$$Z = \alpha \cosh(\alpha^{-1}t) \sin \psi \cos \theta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

with $0 \leq \psi, \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ and $-\infty < t < \infty$. Then substituting Eq. (4.14) in the Minkowski metric given by Eq. (4.12), we get

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \alpha^2 \cosh^2(\alpha^{-1}t) [d\psi^2 + \sin^2 \psi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

The singularities at $\psi = 0, \pi$ and $\theta = 0, \pi$ are those singularities that occur in polar coordinates. Apart from them this coordinate system is regular in the whole of de Sitter spacetime and covers all of de Sitter spacetime. If we rescale by letting $t \rightarrow \alpha t$, then the metric given in Eq. (4.15) becomes

$$ds^2 = \alpha^2 [-dt^2 + \cosh^2 t [d\psi^2 + \sin^2 \psi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.16)

If we further perform the transformation,

$$\chi = \frac{\pi}{2} - it,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.17)

then this rescaled de Sitter metric given in Eq. (4.16) becomes

$$ds^2 = \alpha^2 [d\chi^2 + \sin \chi^2 [d\psi^2 + \sin^2 \psi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.18)
This is in fact the metric on a four dimensional sphere denoted by $S^4$, of radius $\alpha$. It may be noted that for $\chi$ to be real in Eq. (1.17), time $t$ has to be imaginary. So we are using imaginary time in Eq. (1.18).

We can also write the de Sitter metric as follows:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \exp(2\alpha^{-1}t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$t = \alpha \log \frac{(W + T)}{\alpha}, \quad (4.20)$$
$$x = \alpha \frac{X}{W + T}, \quad y = \alpha \frac{Y}{W + T}, \quad z = \alpha \frac{Z}{W + T}.$$  

Here $-\infty < x, y, z < \infty$ and $0 < t < \infty$. However these coordinates only cover half of de Sitter spacetime as $t$ is not defined for $W + T \leq 0$.

Now if the FRW metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)d\Omega^2, \quad (4.21)$$

where $d\Omega^2$ is the spatial part of the metric. It can be shown that the Hubble constant given in Eq. (4.7), is related to $a$ by (see Chapter 5 of Ref. [35])

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \quad (4.22)$$

So for Eq. (4.19), the Hubble constant is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{\alpha}. \quad (4.23)$$

Unless specified otherwise, from now on we shall choose units such that $H^2 = 1$, to simplify calculations.
Chapter 5

Ghosts for Yang-Mills Theories in de Sitter Spacetime

In this chapter we shall examine the ghost propagators for Yang-Mills theories in de Sitter spacetime. We shall use the fact that Feynman propagators in the Euclidean vacuum [4] in de Sitter spacetime can be obtained from the corresponding Green’s function on the $S^4$ by analytical continuation [38].

5.1 Ghosts for Yang-Mills Theories

We have already presented the ghost Lagrangian for Yang-Mills theories in general spacetime in Eq. (2.46). So we can start from the following ghost Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{gh} = -i \nabla^a \overline{c}_A D_a c^A. \quad (5.1)$$

Now as the covariant derivative $D_a c^A$ is given by

$$D_a c^A = \nabla_a c^A + g f^{A}_{BC} A^B_a c^C, \quad (5.2)$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{gh} = -i [\nabla^a \overline{c}_A \nabla_a c^A + g f^{A}_{BC} \nabla^a \overline{c}_A A^B_a c^C]. \quad (5.3)$$

This can now be written as a free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{gh}^{free}$ and an interaction part $\mathcal{L}_{gh}^{int}$, where the free Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{gh}^{free} = -i \nabla_a \overline{c}_A \nabla^a c^A. \quad (5.4)$$
The equation of motion obtained from this free Lagrangian for ghosts and anti-ghosts are

\[ \Box c^A = 0, \quad (5.5) \]

\[ \Box \bar{c}^A = 0. \quad (5.6) \]

These are the equations of motion for the minimally-coupled massless scalar fields.

As is well known, there is ambiguity in the selection of positive frequency solutions and thus an ambiguity in selecting the vacuum state in curved spacetime [39]. However, for de Sitter spacetime there is a de Sitter invariant vacuum state called the Euclidean vacuum [4] in which the Feynman propagator can be obtained from the Green’s function on the four sphere by analytical continuation [38]. We shall use the Euclidean vacuum state for calculating the Feynman ghost propagator for Yang-Mills theories.

Let \( |0 \rangle \) be the Euclidean vacuum state. Then the Feynman propagator for the free ghost fields would be given by

\[ \langle 0 | T[c^A(x)\bar{c}^B(x')] | 0 \rangle = i\delta^{AB} D_0(x, x'), \quad (5.7) \]

where \( D_0(x, x') \) would satisfy

\[ \Box D_0(x, x') = -\delta^4(x, x'). \quad (5.8) \]

However, it is well known that there is no Feynman propagator for minimally-coupled massless scalar fields that respects de Sitter invariance [4]. We can see that there is no Euclidean vacuum for these fields as follows. To find the Feynman propagator in the Euclidean vacuum, we first find the Green’s function on \( S^4 \). Now a complete set of basis for any scalar function on \( S^4 \) is the scalar spherical harmonics \( Y^{L\sigma} \) which satisfy [40],

\[ -\Box Y^{L\sigma} = L(L + 3)Y^{L\sigma}, \quad (5.9) \]

where \( L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \cdots \) and \( \sigma \) represents all the other labels. The scalar spherical harmonics are normalized as:

\[ \int d^4 x \sqrt{|g|} Y^{L\sigma} Y^{'L\sigma'} = \delta^{L L'} \delta^{\sigma \sigma'}. \quad (5.10) \]

We define \( \delta(x, x') \) as:

\[ \int \sqrt{g(x')} d^4 x' f(x') \delta^4(x, x') = f(x), \quad (5.11) \]
for any function $f(x')$. We can mode expand $\delta(x, x')$ as:

$$
\delta^4(x, x') = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} Y^L \sigma(x) Y^{*L \sigma}(x'),
$$

(5.12)

because if we mode expand any function $f(x')$ on $S^4$ as:

$$
f(x') = \sum_{L'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma'} \lambda_{L' \sigma'} Y^{L' \sigma'}(x'),
$$

(5.13)

where $\lambda_{L' \sigma'}$ are constants, then use Eq. (5.10), we find

$$
\sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \int \sqrt{g} d^4 x' f(x') Y^L \sigma(x) Y^{*L \sigma}(x') = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{L \sigma} Y^L \sigma(x) = f(x).
$$

(5.14)

If Eq. (5.8) were to be satisfied, the Green’s function $D_0(x, x')$ would have to be decomposed into spherical harmonic modes as follows:

$$
D_0(x, x') = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} k_L Y^L \sigma(x) Y^{*L \sigma}(x').
$$

(5.15)

where $k_L$ is a constant. Now substituting Eqs. (5.12) and (5.15) into Eq. (5.8) we get,

$$
\sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} k_L Y^L \sigma(x) Y^{*L \sigma}(x') = - \sum_{L} \sum_{\sigma} Y^L \sigma(x) Y^{*L \sigma}(x').
$$

(5.16)

From Eqs. (5.9) and (5.16), we get

$$
k_L = \frac{1}{L(L + 3)}.
$$

(5.17)

So formally we can write

$$
D_0(x, x') = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{Y^L \sigma(x) Y^{*L \sigma}(x')}{{L(L + 3)}}.
$$

(5.18)
However, $D_0(x, x')$ is actually not well defined because $L(L + 3) = 0$ for $L = 0$. If we regulate this propagator by adding a small mass $m^2$ to Eq. (5.8), then we get

$$[\Box - m^2]D_{m^2}(x, x') = -\delta(x, x').$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.19)

Then following what we did for the minimally-coupled massless scalar field, we get

$$D_{m^2}(x, x') = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{Y^{L\sigma}(x)Y^{*L\sigma}(x')}{L(L + 3) + m^2}. \hspace{1cm} (5.20)$$

This propagator given by Eq. (5.20) diverges in the zero mass limit. Such divergences are called infrared divergences. As the volume of the unit $S^n$ is given by

$$V = \frac{2\pi^{n+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}, \hspace{1cm} (5.21)$$

so the volume of the unit $S^4$ is

$$V = \frac{8\pi^2}{3}. \hspace{1cm} (5.22)$$

Now from Eqs. (5.10) and (5.22), the $L = 0$ mode will be given by

$$Y^0 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi^2}}. \hspace{1cm} (5.23)$$

The $L = 0$ mode, which is a constant mode, is the cause of infrared divergence as its contribution diverges in the zero mass limit. Thus by substituting Eq (5.23) into Eq. (5.20), we find

$$D_{m^2}(x, x') = \frac{3}{8\pi^2m^2} + \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{Y^{L\sigma}(x)Y^{*L\sigma}(x')}{L(L + 1) + m^2}. \hspace{1cm} (5.24)$$

This propagator obviously diverges in the zero mass limit.

### 5.2 Effective Propagator

We saw in the previous section that the propagator for the ghost fields suffers infrared divergence in de Sitter spacetime as the free part of the ghost Lagrangian satisfies the minimally-coupled massless scalar field equation, which is known to be IR divergent in de Sitter spacetime. If the ghost fields were
physical fields, we would need to break the de Sitter invariance of the vacuum for these fields \[5\]. However we shall see that this problem can be circumvented because they are unphysical fields and thus do not exist in the final/initial states of any scattering calculations and they only appear in internal loops in the Feynman diagrams. This can be seen as follows.

First we note that if we consider the propagator which is regulated by addition of a small mass, then we observe that the infrared divergence are caused by the \( L = 0 \) mode, which is a constant mode, in the zero mass limit. However this constant mode does not contribute to the loops in the Feynman diagrams. This is because the interaction part of the ghost Lagrangian is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{gh}} = -igf_{BC}^A \nabla^a \eta A_a^B \eta^C, \tag{5.25}
\]

so the anti-ghosts couple to the gauge field through a derivative coupling and this derivative eliminates the constant modes. Now as the ghosts and anti-ghosts only occur in the internal loops in the Feynman diagrams, they are unphysical fields. So the constant modes will always be eliminated in any perturbative calculation.

We propose therefore to use an effective propagator obtained by first subtracting out this constant mode and then taking the zero mass limit of the regulated propagator. Note that, we are free to add any finite constant to this effective propagator, as the contribution coming from any constant will not contribute in the perturbative calculations, due to the reasons mentioned above. It appears likely that the use of this effective propagator will lead to a consistent perturbative theory. However the consistency of the theory is not obvious because it is not clear how the removal of the zero modes from the Faddeev-Popov ghosts will affect the BRST symmetry of the theory.

Thus, we define \( D_{\text{eff}}^{m^2}(x, x') \) by

\[
D_{\text{eff}}^{m^2}(x, x') = D_{m^2}(x, x') - \frac{3}{8\pi^2m^2}. \tag{5.26}
\]

Then from Eqs. (5.24) and (5.26), we have

\[
D_{m^2}^{\text{eff}}(x, x') = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{Y^{L\sigma}(x)Y^{*L\sigma}(x')}{L(L + 1) + m^2}. \tag{5.27}
\]

Clearly this is convergent in the zero mass limit, and its zero mass limit plus any arbitrary finite constant \( C/16\pi^2 \) gives us the effective propagator for the ghost fields \( D_{0}\text{eff}^{\text{f}} \):

\[
\lim_{m^2 \to 0} D_{m^2}^{\text{eff}}(x, x') + \frac{C}{16\pi^2} = D_{0}\text{eff}^{\text{f}}(x, x'). \tag{5.28}
\]

This propagator appears for very different physical reasons in the works of Allen and Turyn on covariant graviton propagator \[6\].
5.3 Explicit Expression for the Effective Propagator

In this section we derive an explicit expression for $D_0^{\text{eff}}$ using the work of Allen and Jacobson [38]. This propagator has been derived and used in a different context, as was mentioned above [6]. Following Allen and Jacobson, we define $\mu(x, x')$ to be the geodesic distance between spacelike separated points $x$ and $x'$ in de Sitter spacetime. Also the variable $z$ is defined as,

$$z = \cos^2 \left( \frac{\mu}{2} \right).$$

(5.29)

In terms of the variable $z$, the solution to Eq. (5.19) is given by (see Sec. 2 of Ref. [38])

$$D_m^2(z) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \Gamma(a_+)\Gamma(a_-) F[a_+, a_-; 2; z].$$

(5.30)

where $a_+$ and $a_-$ are given by

$$a_+ = \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - m^2},$$

(5.31)

$$a_- = \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - m^2}.$$  

(5.32)

This propagator clearly diverges in the zero mass limit, because $\Gamma(a_-) \to \infty$ as $m^2 \to 0$. However we have seen in the previous section that the effective propagator obtained by subtracting the constant $L = 0$ mode from the solution to Eq. (5.19), has no IR divergences in the zero mass limit. So to find the effective propagator explicitly using the work of Allen and Jacobson we shall first verify that the contribution of $L = 0$ mode subtracted from the constant part of the Eq. (5.30) is a finite constant in the zero mass limit.

Let $D_c$ be the constant $z$-independent part of $D_m^2(z)$ given by Eq. (5.30),

$$D_c = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \Gamma(a_+)\Gamma(a_-).$$

(5.33)

We subtract the zero mode contributions given in Eq. (5.23) from Eq. (5.33),

$$D_c - \frac{3}{8\pi^2 m^2} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \Gamma(a_+)\Gamma(a_-) - \frac{3}{8\pi^2 m^2}. $$

(5.34)

We shall verify that this is finite in the zero mass limit. We define

$$p = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - m^2}.$$  

(5.35)
We have

\[
p - \frac{3}{2} = -\frac{m^2}{3} + \mathcal{O}(m^4), \tag{5.36}
\]

We can now write

\[
\Gamma(a_+)\Gamma(a_-) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + p\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - p\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + p\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - p\right)
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{1}{2} + p\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - p\right) \frac{\pi}{\sin\pi\left(\frac{1}{2} - p\right)}
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{1}{2} + p\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - p\right) \frac{\pi}{-\sin\pi\left(\frac{3}{2} - p\right)}
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{1}{2} + p\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - p\right) \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{3}{2} - p\right)} + \mathcal{O}(m^2). \tag{5.37}
\]

Here we have used

\[
\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}. \tag{5.38}
\]

Hence \(\Gamma(a_+)\Gamma(a_-)\) can be approximated as,

\[
\Gamma(a_+)\Gamma(a_-) = \frac{6 - 3m^2}{m^2} + \mathcal{O}(m^2). \tag{5.39}
\]

The zero mass limit of the constant modes contribution subtracted from \(D_c\) can be written as follows

\[
\lim_{m^2 \to 0} \left[ D_c - \frac{3}{8\pi^2 m^2} \right] = \lim_{m^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ 6 - 3m^2 + \mathcal{O}(m^2) - \frac{6}{m^2} \right] = -\frac{3}{16\pi^2}. \tag{5.40}
\]

Thus this is finite in the zero mass limit and there are no infrared divergences. The exact value of this constant is not important as we are free to add any constant we like to this effective propagator, because the constant part of the propagator does not contribute in perturbative calculations. The important point to note here is that it is finite and does not diverge.

Now we can calculate the effective propagator as follows. We first define \(D'_0(z)\) as,

\[
D'_0(z) = \lim_{m^2 \to 0} \left[ \frac{d}{dz} D_m(z) \right], \tag{5.41}
\]
and then define the effective propagator by

\[ D_{0}^{\text{eff}}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} dz D_{0}'(z) + \frac{C}{16\pi^2}, \tag{5.42} \]

where \( C \) is an arbitrary finite constant. Now as

\[ \frac{d}{dz} F[a, b; c; z] = \frac{ab}{c} F[a + 1, b + 1; c + 1; z], \tag{5.43} \]

so Eq. (5.41), becomes

\[ D_{0}'(z) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(1)} F[4, 1; 3; z]. \tag{5.44} \]

With \( C = 0 \), Eq. (5.42) in terms of elementary functions is given by

\[ D_{0}^{\text{eff}}(z) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - z} - 2 \log(1 - z) - \frac{14}{3} \right]. \tag{5.45} \]

This is the effective propagator that can be used to do perturbative calculations.
Chapter 6

Ghosts for Perturbative Quantum Gravity in de Sitter Spacetime

In this chapter we shall calculate the ghost propagators for perturbative quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime. We shall again use the fact the Feynman propagators in the Euclidean vacuum \[4\] in de Sitter spacetime can be obtained from the corresponding Green’s function on the \(S^4\) by analytical continuation \[38\].

6.1 Ghosts for Perturbative Quantum Gravity

Since the ghosts for perturbative quantum gravity are vector fields, we need to review the formalism of Allen and Jacobson for the vector propagators (see Sec. 1 of Ref. \[38\]). Let \(x\) and \(x’\) be two spacelike separated points and let \(\mu(x, x’)\) be the geodesic distance between them as before. One defines the unit tangent vectors \(n_a(x, x’)\) at \(x\) and \(n_a’(x, x’)\) at \(x’\) along the geodesic between these two points as follows,

\[
\begin{align*}
  n_a(x, x’) &= \nabla_a \mu(x, x’), \\
  n_a’(x, x’) &= \nabla_a’ \mu(x, x’).
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.1)  

(6.2)
In addition one defines a parallel propagator \( g_{ac}' \) such that if \( A^a \) is a vector at \( x \), and if \( A^c' \) the vector at \( x' \) obtained by parallelly transporting \( A^a \) along the geodesic, then

\[
A^c' = g_{ac}' A^a. \tag{6.3}
\]

Now as the unit tangents at \( x \) and \( x' \) point away from each other, so we have

\[
g_{ac}' n_a = -n_c'. \tag{6.4}
\]

One also writes the metric at \( x \) and \( x' \) as \( g_{ac} \) and \( g_{a'c'} \), respectively. Now any maximally symmetric bi-tensor can be expressed as a linear combination of \( g_{ac}, g_{a'c'}, n_a, n_{c'} \) and \( g_{ac}' \) with the coefficient of each term depending only on \( z = \cos^2(\mu/2) \). For example a maximally symmetric bi-vector \( V_{ac}(z) \) may be expressed as

\[
V_{ac}(z) = \alpha(z) g_{ac} + \beta(z) n_a n_{c'}. \tag{6.5}
\]

We have already presented the ghost Lagrangian for perturbative quantum gravity in general spacetime in Eq. (3.17). So we can start from the following ghost Lagrangian,

\[
L_{gh} = -i \nabla^a c^b [\nabla_a c^b + \nabla_b c_a - 2k g_{ab} \nabla_c c^c + c_e \nabla^e h_{ab} + h_{ac} \nabla_b c^e + h_{bc} \nabla_a c^e - k g_{ab} g^{cd} [c_e \nabla^e h_{ce} + h_{ce} \nabla^e c^c + h_{de} \nabla_c c^e]]. \tag{6.6}
\]

This Lagrangian can now be written in terms of a free ghost Lagrangian \( L_{gh}^{\text{free}} \) and the Lagrangian for interactions \( L_{gh}^{\text{int}} \). The free part of the ghost Lagrangian is given by

\[
L_{gh}^{\text{free}} = -i \nabla^a c^b [\nabla_a c^b + \nabla_b c_a - 2k g_{ab} \nabla_c c^c]. \tag{6.7}
\]

So the free field equations for the ghosts and anti-ghosts are given by

\[
\nabla^d [\nabla_d c_a + \nabla_a c_d - 2k g_{da} \nabla_c c^c] = 0, \tag{6.8}
\]
\[
\nabla^d [\nabla_d \bar{c}_a + \nabla_a \bar{c}_d - 2k g_{da} \nabla_c \bar{c}^c] = 0. \tag{6.9}
\]

Now we define \( L_a^b(m^2) \) as follows

\[
L_a^b(m^2) = \nabla^b \nabla_a - \delta_a^b \Box - 2\beta^{-1} \nabla_a \nabla^b - m^2 \delta_a^b, \tag{6.10}
\]

where

\[
\beta = \frac{1}{k-1}. \tag{6.11}
\]
Free field equations, Eqs. (6.8) and (6.9), in de Sitter spacetime can be written as:

\[ L^b_a(-6) c_b = 0, \]  
\[ L^b_a(-6) \overline{c}_b = 0, \]  
where

\[ L^b_a(-6) = \nabla^b \nabla_a - \delta^b_a \Box - 2\beta^{-1} \nabla_a \nabla^b + 6\delta^b_a. \]  

(6.14)

Here we have used Eq. (4.10). Here again we choose the Euclidean vacuum for calculating the time-ordered product of fields. Let \( |0\rangle \) be the Euclidean vacuum state, then Feynman propagator for the ghost fields would be given by

\[ \langle 0| T[c_b(x) \overline{c}_{c'}(x')]|0\rangle = iG_{bc'}(x,x'), \]  

(6.15)

where \( G_{bc'} \) would satisfy

\[ L^b_a(-6)G_{bc'}(x,x') = -g_{ac'}\delta(x,x'). \]  

(6.16)

Now as in the Euclidean vacuum state the Feynman propagator can be obtained from Green’s function on the four sphere by analytical continuation, so to find the Feynman propagator we shall first find Green’s function on \( S^4 \).

On \( S^4 \) any smooth vector field can be expressed as a linear combination of vector spherical harmonics \( A^{L\sigma}_a \) and the gradient of the scalar spherical harmonics \( \nabla_{a}Y^{L\sigma} \) (see Sec. 5 of Ref. [6]). The vector spherical harmonics satisfy [40],

\[ -\Box A^{L\sigma}_a = [L(L + 3) - 1]A^{L\sigma}_a, \]  
\[ \nabla^a A^{L\sigma}_a = 0, \]  

(6.17)

where \( L = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \cdots \) and they are normalized as

\[ \int d^4x \sqrt{g} A^{L\sigma}_a A^{L'\sigma'} = \delta^{LL'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'}. \]  

(6.18)

Here all the quantum numbers on a three-sphere \( S^3 \) are denoted by \( \sigma \). The degeneracies for these scalar spherical harmonics \( d_s \) and the vector spherical harmonics \( d_v \) are given by [6]

\[ d_s = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2} (L + 1)(L + 2)(2L + 3), \]  
\[ d_v = \frac{1}{2} L(L + 3)(2L + 3). \]  

(6.19)
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The scalar spherical harmonics satisfy Eq. (5.9) and their gradient covectors are normalized as \( W^{L\sigma}_{a} = \nabla_{a} Y^{L\sigma} / \sqrt{L(L+3)} \) by using Eq. (5.9) and Eq. (5.10) because

\[
\int d^{4}x \sqrt{g} g^{ab} W^{L\sigma}_{a} W^{*L\sigma'}_{b} = \frac{1}{L(L+3)} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{g} \nabla_{a} Y^{L\sigma} \nabla_{b} Y^{*L\sigma'} = -\frac{1}{L(L+3)} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{g} g^{ab} (\Box Y^{L\sigma}) Y^{*L\sigma'} = \delta^{L\sigma}_{LL'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'}. \quad (6.20)
\]

Now on \( S^{4} \), we have (see Sec. 5 of Ref. [6])

\[
g_{ac} \delta^{4}(x, x') = \delta^{(V)4}_{ac}(x, x') + \delta^{(S)4}_{ac}(x, x'), \quad (6.21)
\]

where

\[
\delta^{(V)4}_{ac}(x, x') = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} A_{a}^{L\sigma}(x) A_{c}^{*L\sigma}(x'), \quad (6.22)
\]

\[
\delta^{(S)4}_{ac}(x, x') = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} W_{a}^{L\sigma}(x) W_{c}^{*L\sigma'}(x'). \quad (6.23)
\]

We can show that this is a valid expression for delta-function by first expanding any vector function \( f(x') \) on \( S^{4} \) in terms of \( A_{c}^{L\sigma}(x') \) and \( W_{c}^{L\sigma}(x') \), then using Eq. (6.18) and Eq. (6.20). That is, following a similar line of argument as was used in the scalar delta-function case, we can show that

\[
\int d^{4}x' \sqrt{g(x')} f'(x') g_{ac} \delta^{4}(x, x') = f_{a}(x). \quad (6.24)
\]

We also have (see Sec. 5 of Ref. [6])

\[
G_{ac'}(x, x') = G_{ac'}^{V}(x, x') + G_{ac'}^{S}(x, x'), \quad (6.25)
\]

where

\[
G_{ac'}^{V}(x, x') = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} k_{1} A_{a}^{L\sigma}(x) A_{c'}^{*L\sigma}(x'), \quad (6.26)
\]

\[
G_{ac'}^{S}(x, x') = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} k_{2} W_{a}^{L\sigma}(x) W_{c'}^{*L\sigma'}(x'). \quad (6.27)
\]

Here \( k_{1} \) and \( k_{2} \) are \( L \)-dependent constants which can be determined from the following equations:

\[
L_{a}^{\circ}(-6) G_{ac'}^{V}(x, x') = -\delta^{(V)4}_{ac'}(x, x'), \quad (6.28)
\]

\[
L_{a}^{\circ}(-6) G_{ac'}^{S}(x, x') = -\delta^{(S)4}_{ac'}(x, x'). \quad (6.29)
\]
Thus we get,

\[ k_1 = \frac{1}{(L + 1)(L + 2) - 6}, \quad (6.30) \]

\[ k_2 = -\frac{1}{6} \left[ 1 - \frac{L(L + 3)}{L(L + 3) + 3\beta} \right]. \quad (6.31) \]

So we have,

\[ G^V_{ac}(x, x') = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} A^L\sigma_a(x)A^*_{c\sigma}(x'), \quad (6.32) \]

\[ G^S_{ac}(x, x') = -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} -\nabla_a \nabla_{c'} \left[ \frac{Y^L\sigma_a(x)Y^*_{L\sigma}(x')}{L(L + 3)} \right. \]

\[ \left. -\frac{Y^L\sigma_a(x)Y^*_{L\sigma}(x')}{L(L + 3) + 3\beta} \right]. \quad (6.33) \]

The right-hand side of Eq. (6.32) is infrared divergent and this infrared divergence is caused by the contribution coming from the \( L = 1 \) modes because \((L + 1)(L + 2) - 6 = 0\) for \( L = 1 \). But if we regulate Eq. (6.28) by changing \( m^2 = -6 \) to \( m^2 = -6 + \rho^2 \) thus adding a small mass \( \rho^2 \) to \( m^2 \), then we get

\[ L_0^2(-6 + \rho^2)G^{V(\rho^2)}_{ac}(x, x') = -\delta^{(V)}_{bc'}(x, x'). \quad (6.34) \]

By repeating the above procedure we find that the regulated propagator \( G^{V(\rho^2)}_{ac} \) is given by

\[ G^{V(\rho^2)}_{ac}(x, x') = \sum_{L=1}^\infty \sum_{\sigma} A^L\sigma_a(x)A^*_{c\sigma}(x')/\rho^2, \quad (6.35) \]

In the zero \( \rho^2 \) limit, \( G^{V(\rho^2)}_{ac}(x, x') \to G^{V}_{ac}(x, x') \) and \( G^{V(\rho^2)}_{ac}(x, x') \) thus diverges in the zero \( \rho^2 \) limit. Furthermore, we have seen that this divergence is caused by the \( L = 1 \) modes. So we can write Eq. (6.35) as,

\[ G^{V(\rho^2)}_{ac}(x, x') = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{A^1\sigma_a(x)A^*_{c\sigma}(x')}{\rho^2} + \sum_{L=2}^\infty \sum_{\sigma} A^{L\sigma}_a(x)A^{*L\sigma}_{c}(x')/\rho^2. \quad (6.36) \]

Let \( Q^{\rho^2}_{ac} \) be the solution to (see Sec. 3 of Ref. [38]),

\[ L_0^2(-6 + \rho^2)Q^{\rho^2}_{ac}(x, x') = -g_{bc'}\delta^4(x, x'). \quad (6.37) \]
Then by comparing Eq. (6.34) with Eq. (6.37), we can write the solution to Eq. (6.34) as (see Sec. 5 of Ref. [6]),

\[ G_{ac}^{V(\rho^2)}(x, x') = Q_{ac}^{\rho^2}(x, x') + \frac{1}{6 + \rho^2} \nabla_a \nabla_c D_{0}^{\text{eff}}(x, x'). \]  

(6.38)

Here we have used Eq. (6.23) and the fact that \( L_a^b(-6 + \rho^2) \) is invertible. Here \( D_{0}^{\text{eff}}(x, x') \) is the effective propagator given by Eq. (5.28), as there is no contribution coming from the zero modes for \( D_0(x, x') \) due to the action of derivatives on it.

In Eq. (6.33) there are no zero modes because of the action of derivative on the scalar spherical harmonics. So the only IR divergence in Eq. (6.33) are caused if we choose \( \beta = -n(n + 3)/3 \), where \( (n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots) \). The covariant graviton propagator is IR divergent for the same values of \( \beta \) [12]. The graviton propagator used by Antoniadis and Mottola [13] corresponds to the \( n = 1 \) case and is IR divergent as a result. If we avoid these value of \( \beta \) there will be no IR divergences left in Eq. (6.33).

Now because of Eq. (6.33) we can write the scalar part of the ghost propagator as

\[ G_{ac}^{S}(x, x') = -\frac{1}{6} \nabla_a \nabla_c [D_{0}^{\text{eff}}(x, x') - D_{3\beta}(x, x')]. \]  

(6.39)

There is no contribution from the \( L = 0 \) mode in Eq. (6.33) because of the action of the derivative. So we have used in Eq. (6.39), the same effective propagator that was obtained in Eq. (5.28) for the Yang-Mills case.

### 6.2 Effective Propagator

We have seen in the previous section that the vector part of the ghost propagator is infrared divergent due to the \( L = 1 \) modes. We shall now argue that the \( L = 1 \) modes do not contribute to the calculations of the time-ordered product of the ghost fields. To see that we note that the \( L = 1 \) modes are the Killing vectors on \( S^4 \) [11]. This can be seen as follows. Let a vector \( f_a \) satisfy the Killing equation on \( S^4 \),

\[ \nabla_a f_b + \nabla_b f_a = 0. \]  

(6.40)

Taking the trace of Eq. (6.40), we get

\[ \nabla^a f_a = 0. \]  

(6.41)
So $f_a$ is a divergenceless vector. Now taking the divergence of Eq. (6.40), we find
\[
(-\Box - 3) f_a = 0. \tag{6.42}
\]
Which is the equation for $L = 1$ mode. Conversely, suppose $f_a$ satisfies Eq. (6.42), then
\[
\int d^4x \sqrt{g} (\nabla_a f_b + \nabla_b f_a)(\nabla^a f^b + \nabla^b f^a) = -2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} f_a \nabla_b (\nabla^a f^b + \nabla^b f^a) = 0. \tag{6.43}
\]
We can conclude from this equation that $\nabla_a f_b + \nabla_b f_a = 0$. Thus, $(-\Box - 3) f_a = 0$ implies $\nabla_a f_b + \nabla_b f_a = 0$. In other words, each $L = 1$ mode is a Killing vector and vice versa.

The interaction part of the ghost Lagrangian can be written as:
\[
\mathcal{L} = -i \nabla^a c^b [\mathcal{L}_c h_{ab} - kg_{ab} g^{cd} \mathcal{L}_c h_{cd}]. \tag{6.44}
\]
Since the coupling term of the anti-ghosts to the metric perturbation $h_{ab}$ in Eq. (6.44) is proportional to $\nabla^a c^b + \nabla^b c^a$, and since the $L = 1$ modes are the Killing vectors, the $L = 1$ modes do not contribute to the loop diagrams. Now as ghosts and anti-ghosts are unphysical fields they only occur in loop diagrams and thus there is no contribution from the $L = 1$ modes in any perturbative calculation.

For this reason, as in the Yang-Mills case, we propose to define the vector part of the effective ghost propagator by first subtracting out the contributions coming from $L = 1$ modes from the vector part of the ghost propagator and then taking the $\rho^2 \to 0$ limit.

We denote the contribution coming from the $L = 1$ modes by $Q_{ac'}^{k\rho^2}(x, x')$:
\[
Q_{ac'}^{k\rho^2}(x, x') = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{A^1_\sigma (x) A^{1*}_{c'} (x')}{\rho^2}. \tag{6.45}
\]
Now from Eqs. (6.36) and (6.45), we have
\[
\lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} \left[ G_{ac'}^{V(\rho^2)}(x, x') - Q_{ac'}^{k\rho^2}(x, x') \right] = \sum_{L=2}^\infty \sum_{\sigma} \frac{A^L_\sigma (x) A^{L*}_{c'} (x')}{(L+1)(L+2) - 6}. \tag{6.46}
\]
Clearly this is convergent and has no infrared divergence. As the contribution
$\nabla_a \nabla_{c'} \mathcal{D}^0_{\text{eff}}(x, x')/(6 + \rho^2)$ had no infrared divergence in the $\rho^2 \to 0$ limit, the
infrared divergences in $G^{V(\rho^2)}_{a c'}(x, x')$ come from $Q^{\rho^2}_{a c'}(x, x')$. So we define the
effective propagator $Q^{\text{eff}}_{a c'}(x, x')$ as follows:

$$Q^{\text{eff}}_{a c'}(x, x') = \lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} \left[ Q^{\rho^2}_{a c'}(x, x') - Q^{k \rho^2}_{a c'}(x, x') \right]. \quad (6.47)$$

Now the total effective ghost propagator will be given by

$$G^{\text{eff}}_{a c'}(x, x') = \lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} \left[ G^{V(\rho^2)}_{a c'}(x, x') + G^{S}_{a c'}(x, x') - Q^{k \rho^2}_{a c'}(x, x') \right]$$

$$= \lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} \left[ Q^{\rho^2}_{a c'}(x, x') - Q^{k \rho^2}_{a c'}(x, x') \right]$$

$$+ \lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{6 + \rho^2} \nabla_a \nabla_{c'} \mathcal{D}^0_{\text{eff}}(x, x')$$

$$- \frac{1}{6} \nabla_a \nabla_{c'} \left[ \mathcal{D}^0_{\text{eff}}(x, x') - D_{3\beta}(x, x') \right]$$

$$= Q^{\text{eff}}_{a c'}(x, x') + \frac{1}{6} \nabla_a \nabla_{c'} D_{3\beta}(x, x'). \quad (6.48)$$

This propagator can be used to do calculations in perturbative quantum
gravity. However just like in the Yang-Mills case the consistency of the
perturbation theory is not obvious as it is not clear how the subtraction of
the Killing modes will affect the BRST symmetry of the theory.

### 6.3 Explicit Expression for the Vector Part

of the Effective Propagator

To find the effective ghost propagator in terms of the variable $z$ we can
proceed as follows: The solution to Eq. (6.37), in terms of $z$, is given by (see Sec. 3 of Ref. [38])

$$Q^{\rho^2}_{a c'}(z) = \alpha^{V(\rho^2)}(z) g_{a c'} + \beta^{V(\rho^2)}(z) n_a n_{c'}, \quad (6.49)$$

where

$$\alpha^{V(\rho^2)} = \left[ -\frac{2z(1-z)}{3} \frac{d}{dz} + 2z - 1 \right] \gamma^{\rho^2}(z), \quad (6.50)$$

$$\beta^{V(\rho^2)} = \alpha^{V(\rho^2)} - \gamma^{\rho^2}(z). \quad (6.51)$$
Here $\gamma^\rho^2(z)$ is given by
\[
\gamma^\rho^2(z) = \frac{3\Gamma(b_+)\Gamma(b_-)}{64\pi^2m^2} F[b_+, b_-; 3; z],
\] (6.52)
where
\[
b_+ = \frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 6 - \rho^2},
\] (6.53)
\[
b_- = \frac{5}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 6 - \rho^2}.
\] (6.54)

It may be noted that we have changed the mass from $m^2 = -6$ to $m^2 = -6 + \rho^2$. The propagator given by Eq. (6.49) clearly diverges as $\rho^2 \to 0$ because then $b_- \to 0$ and so $\Gamma(b_-) \to \infty$.

The contribution from the Killing vectors in the Allen-Jacobson formalism is given by (see Sec. 5 of Ref. [6])
\[
Q^k_{\alpha'}(z) = \frac{15}{16\pi^2\rho^2}[(2z - 1)g_{\alpha\alpha'} + 2(z - 1)n_\alpha n_{\alpha'}].
\] (6.55)
This can be obtained by substituting
\[
\gamma^k = \frac{15}{16\pi^2\rho^2},
\] (6.56)
into Eqs. (6.50) and (6.51). Just like the Yang-Mills case, we first verify that $\gamma^k$ subtracted from the constant part of $\gamma^\rho^2(z)$ given in Eq. (6.52) is a finite constant in the zero $\rho^2$ limit. Let $\gamma_c$ be the constant part of $\gamma^\rho^2(z)$ given in Eq. (6.52), then we have
\[
\gamma_c = \frac{3\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} + q\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} - q\right)}{64\pi^2(6 - \rho^2)},
\] (6.57)
where $q$ is given by
\[
q = \frac{\sqrt{25 - 4\rho^2}}{2}.
\] (6.58)

We subtract the $\gamma^k$ from $\gamma_c$:
\[
\gamma_c - \gamma^k = \frac{3\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} + q\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} - q\right)}{64\pi^2(6 - \rho^2)} - \frac{15}{16\pi^2}\rho^2.
\] (6.59)
We shall verify that $\gamma_c - \gamma^k$ has a finite zero $\rho^2$ limit. Now we have
\[
q - \frac{5}{2} = -\frac{\rho^2}{5} + O(\rho^4).
\] (6.60)
We also have
\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{5}{2} + q \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{2} - q \right) = \left[ \left( \frac{5}{2} + q \right) \left( \frac{5}{2} - q \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} + q \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} - q \right) \right] \\
\times \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + q \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - q \right) \right].
\] (6.61)

We can use the following approximation
\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + q \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - q \right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} - q \right)} = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \left( \frac{5}{2} - q \right)} = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{5}{2} - q \right)} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2). \tag{6.62}
\]

Here we have used
\[
\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}. \tag{6.63}
\]

Thus we find
\[
\gamma_c = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 \rho^2} \left[ 60 - \frac{87}{5} \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \right]. \tag{6.64}
\]

Hence we obtain
\[
\lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} [\gamma_c - \gamma^k] = \lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left[ 60 - \frac{87}{5} \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \right] - \frac{60}{\rho^2} \right] = -\frac{87}{320\pi^2}. \tag{6.65}
\]

The exact value of this constant is not important because we are free to add any finite arbitrary constant to \(\gamma^{a^2}(z)\), as the addition of any finite constant will only generate Killing contributions which will not contribute to the perturbative calculations. The important point to note here is that \(\gamma^{a^2}(z) - \gamma^k\) is finite and so there are no infrared divergences.

Now we can calculate the vector part of the effective ghost propagator by first defining \(\gamma'(z)\) as,
\[
\gamma'(z) = \lim_{\rho^2 \to 0} \frac{d}{dz} \left[ \gamma^{a^2}(z) \right], \tag{6.66}
\]
and then defining the effective \(\gamma^{\text{eff}}\) by
\[
\gamma^{\text{eff}}(z) = \int_0^z dz \gamma'(z) + \frac{C}{64\pi^2}, \tag{6.67}
\]
where $C$ is an arbitrary finite constant. Now as

$$\frac{d}{dz} F[a, b; c; z] = \frac{ab}{c} F[a + 1, b + 1; c + 1; z], \quad (6.68)$$

so Eq. (6.66) now becomes

$$\gamma'(z) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma(6)\Gamma(1)}{6} F[6, 1; 4; z]. \quad (6.69)$$

With $C = 11$, $\gamma_{\text{eff}}(z)$ in terms of elementary functions is given by

$$\gamma_{\text{eff}}(z) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{6}{(1-z)} - 12\log(1-z) + 4\right]. \quad (6.70)$$

The advantage of this choice of $C$ is that it eliminates terms proportional to $z$ in $\alpha_{V_{\text{eff}}}(z)$ and $\beta_{V_{\text{eff}}}(z)$, thus simplifying the calculations. After substituting this value of $\gamma_{\text{eff}}$ into Eqs. (6.50) and (6.51), we get

$$Q_{\text{eff}}^{ac'}(z) = \alpha_{V_{\text{eff}}}(z) g_{ac'} + \beta_{V_{\text{eff}}}(z) n_a n_{c'}, \quad (6.71)$$

where

$$\alpha_{V_{\text{eff}}}(z) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[-\frac{1}{3(1-z)^2} - 12(2z-1)\log(1-z)ight.\nonumber$$

$$\left. - 12 + \frac{4}{3(1-z)}\right], \quad (6.72)$$

$$\beta_{V_{\text{eff}}}(z) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[-\frac{4}{3(1-z)^2} - 24(z-1)\log(1-z)ight.\nonumber$$

$$\left. - 16 - \frac{14}{3(1-z)}\right]. \quad (6.73)$$

### 6.4 Explicit Expression for the Total Effective Propagator

To calculate the total effective propagator we have to add the contribution coming from the scalar part to the effective vector part of the ghost propagator. The scalar part of the ghost propagator cannot be written in terms of elementary functions for a general value of $\beta$. However the corresponding scalar field equation for $\beta = 2/3$ is the conformally-coupled massless scalar field equation. (An equation of the form $[\Box - R/6] \phi = 0$ is called
the conformally-coupled massless scalar field equation as it is invariant under conformal transformations). For this value of $\beta$ the mass takes the value $m^2 = 2$ and the scalar propagator takes a simple form \[42\]:

$$D_2(z) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{1 - z}.$$ (6.74)

Now (see Sec. 1 of Ref. \[38\]), we have

$$\nabla_c f(z) = -\sqrt{z(1-z)} \frac{df(z)}{dz} n_c',$$ (6.75)

and

$$\nabla_a n_c' = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{z(1-z)}} (g_{ac}' + n_a n_c').$$ (6.76)

So we obtain the following result,

$$\nabla_a \nabla_c f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{df(z)}{dz} g_{ac}' + (1 - z) \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{df(z)}{dz} \right) n_a n_c'.$$ (6.77)

Now from Eqs. (6.74) and (6.77) we get

$$\frac{1}{6} \nabla_a \nabla_c D_2(z) = \alpha^S(z) g_{ac}' + \beta^S(z) n_a n_c',$$ (6.78)

where

$$\alpha^S(z) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{3(1-z)^2} \right],$$ (6.79)

$$\beta^S(z) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[ -\frac{2}{3(1-z)} + \frac{4}{3(1-z)^2} \right].$$ (6.80)

So the full effective ghost propagator will be given by the sum of the effective vector contributions given by Eq. (6.78) and the scalar contributions given by Eq. (6.71)

$$G^\text{eff}_{a c'}(z) = (\alpha^V(\text{eff}) + \alpha^S) g_{ac'} + (\beta^V(\text{eff}) + \beta^S) n_a n_{c'},$$

where

$$\alpha^V(\text{eff}) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{3(1-z)} - 3 - 3(2z - 1) \log(1 - z) \right],$$ (6.82)

$$\beta^V(\text{eff}) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ -\frac{4}{3(1-z)} - 4 + 6(1 - z) \log(1 - z) \right].$$ (6.83)

This is the effective ghost propagator for perturbative quantum gravity with $\beta = 2/3$ in de Sitter spacetime, which can be used to do perturbative calculations.
Chapter 7

Symplectic Product and Wightman Two-Point Function

In this chapter we shall first discuss canonical quantization of free scalar field theory and then generalize these results to higher spin fields. We shall first construct the Wightman two-function for free scalar field theory in de Sitter spacetime by canonical quantization. Then we shall formally see how we can use this method to construct the Wightman two-function for higher spin fields. From now on two-point function will mean the Wightman two-function.

7.1 Symplectic Product for Scalar Field Theory

We shall review the scalar field theory in de Sitter spacetime in this section [39]. However we shall proceed in a way slightly different from [39], so that our procedure is easily generalized to higher spin fields. The Lagrangian for a minimally-coupled real massive scalar field theory is given by

$$ L = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\nabla^a \phi \nabla_a \phi - m^2 \phi^2 \right], \quad (7.1) $$

and the classical equation of motion is given by

$$ (\Box - m^2) \phi(x) = 0. \quad (7.2) $$
Now we can define a quantity we call the momentum current \( \pi^c \) as follows:

\[
\pi^c = \sqrt{-g} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_c \phi} = -\sqrt{-g} \nabla^c \phi.
\] (7.3)

Using Eqs. (7.2), we get

\[
\nabla_c \pi^c = -\sqrt{-g} m^2 \phi(x),
\] (7.4)

where

\[
\nabla_c \pi^c = \sqrt{-g} \nabla_c [(\sqrt{-g})^{-1/2} \pi^c].
\] (7.5)

If \( \phi, \phi' \) are two solutions of the field equations, and \( \pi^c, \pi'^c \) the momentum currents conjugate to them, then we define a current \( J^c \) as follows:

\[
J^c = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{-g}} [\phi^* \pi'^c - \phi'^* \pi^c].
\] (7.6)

If \( d\Sigma_a \) is a surface element of the spacelike hypersurface \( \Sigma \), then the symplectic product on this hypersurface can be defined as follows:

\[
(\phi, \phi') = \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma_c J^c.
\] (7.7)

Using Eq. (7.4), we can show that the current \( J^c \) is conserved,

\[
\nabla_c J^c = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_c [\phi^* \pi'^c - \phi'^* \pi^c] = i \nabla_c [\phi^* \nabla^c \phi' - \phi'^* \nabla^c \phi^*]
\]

\[
= i [(\nabla_c \phi^* \cdot \nabla^c \phi' - \nabla_c \phi'^* \cdot \nabla^c \phi^*) + m^2 (\phi'^* \phi' - \phi^* \phi^*)] = 0.
\] (7.8)

Now if we consider a spacetime region with volume \( V \), bounded by a future spacelike hypersurface \( \Sigma^+ \) and a past spacelike hypersurface \( \Sigma^- \), then by Gauss theorem we have

\[
\int_V d^4x \sqrt{-g} \nabla_c J^c
\]

\[
= \int_{\Sigma^+} d\Sigma_c J^c - \int_{\Sigma^-} d\Sigma_c J^c
\]

\[
= 0,
\] (7.9)

and so we have

\[
\int_{\Sigma^+} d\Sigma_c J^c = \int_{\Sigma^-} d\Sigma_c J^c.
\] (7.10)

Let us now consider de Sitter metric which was given by Eq. (4.16):

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + \cosh^2 t [d\psi^2 + \sin^2 \psi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)].
\] (7.11)
If we define \( n_c = (1, 0) \) to be the past pointing unit normal to the constant-time spacelike hypersurface \( \Sigma \) and \( \gamma_{ij} \) to be the metric on it, then we have
\[
n_c n_c = g^{ab} n_a n_b = -1, \tag{7.12}
\]
and
\[
d\Sigma_a J^a = d^3x \sqrt{\gamma} n_a J^a = d^3x \sqrt{-g} J^0. \tag{7.13}
\]
So the symplectic product given in Eq. (7.7) can now be written as:
\[
(\phi, \phi') = -i \int d^3x [\phi^*(x, t) \pi^0(x, t) - \phi'(x, t) \pi^{*0}(x, t)]. \tag{7.14}
\]

### 7.2 Fock Space

Let \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\phi^*_n\} \) form a complete set of solutions to the field equation (7.2), and suppose
\[
(\phi_n, \phi_m) = M_{nm}, \tag{7.15}
\]
\[
(\phi_n, \phi^*_m) = 0, \tag{7.16}
\]
\[
(\phi^*_n, \phi^*_m) = -M_{nm}. \tag{7.17}
\]
The condition given in Eq. (7.16) does not hold in general and so this is a requirement on the complete set of solutions to the field equation, Eq. (7.2). We also chose \( M_{nm} \) to have positive eigenvalues only. This again is not always true and so this is again a requirement on the complete set of solutions to the field equation, Eq. (7.2). Also in de Sitter spacetime these are actually discrete labels. However in de Sitter spacetime these are actually discrete labels.

Now using Eq. (7.14) the infinite dimensional matrix \( M_{nm} \) can be shown to be Hermitian:
\[
M_{nm} = -i \int d^3x [\phi^*_n(x, t) \pi^0_m(x, t) - \phi_m(x, t) \pi^{*0}_n(x, t)]
= [ -i \int d^3x [\phi^*_m(x, t) \pi^0_n(x, t) - \phi_n(x, t) \pi^{*0}_m(x, t)] ]^*
= M^*_{mn}. \tag{7.18}
\]
As \( \phi \) is a real field, we can now expand it as follows:
\[
\phi = \sum_n [a_n \phi_n + a^*_n \phi^*_n]. \tag{7.19}
\]
In quantum field theory when $\phi$ and $\pi^c$ are promoted to Hermitian operators $\hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\pi}^c$, respectively, and the following equal-time commutation relations are imposed,

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \hat{\phi}(x, t), \hat{\pi}^0(x', t) \right] &= i\delta(x, x'), \\
\left[ \hat{\phi}(x, t), \hat{\phi}(x', t) \right] &= 0, \\
\left[ \hat{\pi}^0(x, t), \hat{\pi}^0(x', t) \right] &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(7.20)

then $a_n^*$ and $a_n$ become operators $a_n^\dagger$ and $a_n$ respectively. Here $\delta(x, x')$ is defined by

\[
\int d^3x \delta(x, x') f(x) = f(x'),
\]

(7.21)

for any compactly supported smooth function $f(x)$. So we can now express $\hat{\phi}$ as follows:

\[
\hat{\phi} = \sum_n [a_n \phi_n + a_n^\dagger \phi_n^*].
\]

(7.22)

For this choice of complete set of solutions to the field equation, Eq. (7.2), we define a state called the vacuum state $|0\rangle$, as the state that is annihilated by $a_n$:

\[
a_n |0\rangle = 0.
\]

(7.23)

It may be noted that here $a_n^\dagger$ and $a_n$ will not satisfy the standard commutation relations, however we still call them the creation and annihilation operators respectively, in analogy with those for the simple quantum harmonic oscillator. Many particle states can be built by repeated action of $a_n^\dagger$ on the vacuum state.

It may be noted that the division between $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\phi_n^*\}$ is not unique even after imposing conditions given by Eqs. (7.15)-(7.17) \cite{39}. Due to this non-uniqueness in division between $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\phi_n^*\}$, there is non-uniqueness in the definition of the vacuum state also. This can be seen by considering $\{\phi_n'\}$ and $\{\phi_n'^*\}$ as another complete set of solutions to the field equation, Eq. (7.2), satisfying conditions given by Eqs. (7.15)-(7.17). Now we have

\[
\hat{\phi} = \sum_n [a'_n \phi'_n + a'_n^\dagger \phi'_n^*].
\]

(7.24)

Here the vacuum state $|0'\rangle$ is the state annihilated by $a'_n$,

\[
a'_n |0'\rangle = 0.
\]

(7.25)
Now many particle states can be built by repeated action of $a_n^\dagger$ on $|0\rangle$. As $\phi_n$ and $\phi_n^*$ form a complete set of solutions to the field equation, Eq. (7.2), we can express $\phi_n'$ as a linear combination of $\phi_n$ and $\phi_n^*$,

$$\phi_n' = \sum_m [\alpha_{nm} \phi_m + \beta_{nm} \phi_m^*]. \quad (7.26)$$

By substituting Eq. (7.26) in Eq. (7.24) and comparing the resulting expression with Eq. (7.22), we find

$$a_n = \sum_m [\alpha_{nm} a_m' + \beta_{nm} a_m'^*], \quad (7.27)$$

$$a_n^\dagger = \sum_m [\alpha_{nm} a_m'^\dagger + \beta_{nm} a_m'^*]. \quad (7.28)$$

The two Fock spaces based on these choices of complete set of solutions to the field equation, Eq. (7.2), are different as long as $\beta_{nm} \neq 0$. In particular $a_n|0\rangle$ does not vanish because

$$a_n|0\rangle = \sum_m [\alpha_{nm} a_m' + \beta_{nm} a_m'^*]|0\rangle$$

$$= \sum_m \beta_{nm} a_m'^*|0\rangle \neq 0, \quad (7.29)$$

but,

$$a_n|0\rangle = 0. \quad (7.30)$$

Thus $a_n|0\rangle$ is a one-particle state. In fact we have

$$\langle 0' | a_n^\dagger a_n | 0' \rangle = \sum_m \sum_k \beta_{nm} \beta_{nk}^* M_{mk}. \quad (7.31)$$

We shall use a de Sitter invariant vacuum state called the Euclidean vacuum state and its higher-spin analogues in our analysis [4]. The Euclidean vacuum is often referred to as the Bunch-Davies vacuum [43]. An advantage of using this vacuum state is that the two-point functions reduce to the standard Minkowski two-point functions when de Sitter radius is taken to infinity, after fixing the geodesic distance between two points [44].

### 7.3 Wightman Two-Point Function for Scalar Field Theory

The Wightman two-point function for scalar field theory is given by

$$G(x, x') = \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(x') | 0 \rangle. \quad (7.32)$$
This can be written as:

\[
G(x, x') = \sum_{n,m} \langle 0 | (a_n \phi_n(x) + a_n^\dagger \phi_n^*(x))(a_m \phi_m(x') + a_m^\dagger \phi_m^*(x')) | 0 \rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{n,m} \phi_n(x) \phi_m^*(x') C_{nm}, \tag{7.33}
\]

where \(C_{nm}\) is the commutator,

\[
C_{nm} = \langle 0 | [a_n, a_m^\dagger] | 0 \rangle. \tag{7.34}
\]

Now as \(\hat{\phi}\) and \(\hat{\pi}^0\) are Hermitian, by using the canonical commutation relations given in Eq. (7.20), we get,

\[
[\phi_n, \phi_m] = -i \int d^3x d^3x' [\phi_n^*(x, t) \hat{\pi}^0(x', t) - \phi_m^*(x', t) \hat{\pi}^0(x, t)]
\]

\[
\hat{\pi}^0(x', t) \phi_m^*(x', t) - \phi_n^*(x, t) \hat{\pi}^0(x, t)]
\]

\[
\int d^3x d^3x' [\phi_n^*(x, t) \hat{\pi}^0(x', t) - \phi_m^*(x', t) \hat{\pi}^0(x, t)] \delta(x, x')
\]

\[
= M_{nm}. \tag{7.35}
\]

Now we have

\[
(\phi_n, \hat{\phi}) = \sum_k a_k M_{nk}. \tag{7.36}
\]

Using Eqs. (7.18) and (7.36), we also get

\[
(\hat{\phi}, \phi_m) = [(\phi_m, \hat{\phi})^\dagger = \sum_l a_l^\dagger M_{ml} = \sum_l a_l^\dagger M_{lm}. \tag{7.37}
\]

Now from Eqs. (7.35)-(7.37), we get

\[
\sum_{kl} M_{nk}[a_k, a_l^\dagger]M_{lm} = M_{nm}. \tag{7.38}
\]

Using Eqs. (7.34) and (7.38), we get

\[
\sum_{kl} M_{nk}C_{kl}M_{lm} = M_{nm}. \tag{7.39}
\]

This equation in matrix notation is written as,

\[
M C M = M. \tag{7.40}
\]
Assuming that $M_{nm}$ has only positive eigenvalues, i.e., that it is invertible, we get

$$C = M^{-1}. \quad (7.41)$$

Therefore the two-point function is given by

$$G(x,x') = \sum_{nm} \phi_n(x) \phi^*_m(x') M_{nm}^{-1}. \quad (7.42)$$

### 7.4 Wightman Two-Point Function for Tensor Fields

In this section we shall formally generalize what we did for scalar fields to general non-interacting higher-spin fields, described by tensor fields. Let us denote a tensor field by a shorthand notation, $A_{a_1a_2a_3...a_n} = A_I$. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ for this field $A_I$ will be assumed to be a scalar function of only $A_I$ and $\nabla_c A_I$, as the inclusion of higher derivative terms will lead to problems like non-unitarity of the theory \[45\]. As we are considering only free field theories, we can write the most general Lagrangian for higher-spin free field theories as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{-1}{2} T^{IcJd} \nabla_c A_I \nabla_d A_J - \frac{1}{2} S^{IJ} A_I A_J, \quad (7.43)$$

where $T^{IcJd}$ and $S^{IJ}$ do not depend on $A_I$ and satisfy

$$T^{IcJd} = T^{JdIc}, \quad (7.44)$$
$$S^{IJ} = S^{JI}. \quad (7.45)$$

The equation of motion is now given by

$$\nabla_c [T^{IcJd} \nabla_d A_J] - S^{IJ} A_J = 0. \quad (7.46)$$

We define a momentum current $\pi^{cI}$ conjugate to $A_I$ as follows:

$$\pi^{cI} = \sqrt{-g} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c A_I} = -\sqrt{-g} T^{IcJd} \nabla_d A_J. \quad (7.47)$$

From Eq. (7.46), we have

$$\nabla_c \pi^{cI} = -\sqrt{-g} S^{IJ} A_J. \quad (7.48)$$
Now if $A_I$ and $A'_I$ are two solutions to the field equations, Eq. (7.46), then we define the current $J^c$ as follows:

$$J^c = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{-g}} [A^*_I \pi^{cIJ} - A'_I \pi^{*cIJ}]. \quad (7.49)$$

Now we define a symplectic product on a spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma_c$ with surface element $d\Sigma_c$, as follows:

$$(A, A') = \int d\Sigma_c J^c. \quad (7.50)$$

By using Eq. (7.46), we can show that $J^c$ is conserved,

$$\nabla_c J^c = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_c [A^*_I \pi^{cIJ} - A'_I \pi^{*cIJ}]
= i[\nabla_c A^*_I T^{cJd} \nabla_d A'_J - A'_I T^{cJd} \nabla_d A^*_J]
= i[\nabla_c A^*_I T^{cJd} \nabla_d A'_J - \nabla_d A'_I T^{cJd} \nabla_c A^*_J
+ S^{IJ} (A^*_I A'_J - A'_I A^*_J)]
= 0. \quad (7.51)$$

Now if we again consider a spacetime region bounded by a future spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma^+$ and a past spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma^-$, then using Gauss theorem we can show that

$$\int_{\Sigma^+} d\Sigma_c J^c = \int_{\Sigma^-} d\Sigma_c J^c. \quad (7.52)$$

Let $\{A_{in}\}$ and $\{A^*_m\}$ be a complete set of solutions to the field equation (7.46), and suppose

$$(A_n, A_m) = M_{nm}, \quad (7.53)$$
$$(A_n, A^*_m) = 0, \quad (7.54)$$
$$(A^*_n, A^*_m) = -M_{nm}. \quad (7.55)$$

Here again Eq. (7.54) is a requirement on the complete set of solutions to the field equation (7.46). If the theory has gauge symmetry, $M_{nm}$ will contain zero eigenvalues and thus will not be invertible [23]. However after a suitable gauge-fixing term is added to the classical Lagrangian given in Eq. (7.43), $M_{nm}$ will contain no zero eigenvalues [24] and thus be invertible. In this thesis, we will explicitly invert $M_{nm}$ for linearized quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime, in the covariant gauge.
It can also be shown that $M_{nm}$ is a Hermitian matrix by repeating the argument used in the scalar field theory case. Now after adding a suitable gauge-fixing term and imposing canonical commutation relations, we can also expand $A_I$ in terms of creation and annihilation operators as follows:

$$\hat{A}_I = \sum_n [a_n A_{In} + a_n^\dagger A_{In}^*].$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.56)

Here the vacuum state is defined by requiring it to satisfy

$$a_n |0\rangle = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.57)

It may be noted that, just like in the scalar field theory case, there is still an ambiguity in the division between $\{A_{In}\}$ and $\{A_{In}^*\}$, and thus there is also an ambiguity in the definition of the vacuum state [39]. The Wightman two-point function is now given by

$$G_{II'}(x, x') = \langle 0|\hat{A}_I(x)\hat{A}_{I'}(x')|0\rangle = \sum_{mn} A_{In}(x)A_{I'm}(x')\langle 0|[a_n, a_m^\dagger]|0\rangle.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.58)

As after choosing a suitable gauge-fixing term $M_{nm}$ becomes invertible, thus following a similar line of argument as was used in the scalar field theory case, we can show that

$$M^{-1}_{nm} = \langle 0|[a_n, a_m^\dagger]|0\rangle.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.59)

Therefore we can now write the two-point function as follows:

$$G(x, x')_{II'} = \sum_{nm} A_{In}(x)A_{I'm}(x')M^{-1}_{nm}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.60)

It will also be useful to define a Klein-Gordon type product as follows:

$$\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle = -i \int d\Sigma_a [A_{I_1}^* \nabla^a A_{I_2} - A_{I_2} \nabla^a A_{I_1}^*].$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.61)

In the above mentioned scalar field theory case this Klein-Gordon type product is equal to the symplectic product given in Eq. [7.7], but this is not always the case. For example, for a scalar field theory given by the following Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\mu} [-\nabla_a \phi \nabla^a \phi - m^2 \phi^2],$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.62)

we have

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle = \mu \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.63)
Chapter 8

Spin-2 Field in de Sitter Spacetime

In the previous chapter we derived a formal expression for the Wightman two-point function of tensor fields. In this chapter we shall review certain properties of linearized quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime, which will be used to explicitly calculate the graviton Wightman two-point in covariant gauge in the next chapter.

8.1 Linearized Quantum Gravity in de Sitter Spacetime

In this section we review the linearized quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime. After dropping total divergences, the Lagrangian for linearized quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime can be written as \[14\],

\[
\mathcal{L}_{gr} = -\frac{1}{4} \nabla_a h^{bc} \nabla^a h_{bc} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_a h^{ac} \nabla^b h_{bc} + \frac{1}{4} \nabla^c h \nabla_c h - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^a h \nabla^b h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ h_{ab} h^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right].
\] (8.1)

This Lagrangian is invariant under the following gauge transformation,

\[
\delta h_{bc} = \nabla_c \Lambda_b + \nabla_b \Lambda_c.
\] (8.2)
\[
\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \left[ \nabla^b h_{ab} - k \nabla_a h \right] \left[ \nabla_a h^{ac} - k \nabla^a h \right].
\]

(8.3)

So after neglecting total derivatives, the sum of this gauge-fixing term and classical Lagrangian for linearized quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime can be written as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{gr} + \mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{4} \nabla_a h^{bc} \nabla_b h_{ac} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) \nabla_a h^{ac} \nabla^b h_{bc} \\
- \left( \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{2\alpha\beta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \nabla^b h \nabla_c h - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta\alpha} \right) \\
\times \nabla^a h \nabla^b h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ h_{ab} h^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right].
\]

(8.4)

This is the Lagrangian of massless spin-2 field in de Sitter spacetime. It will be seen that it is of calculational advantage to first add a mass term and then take the zero mass limit of this massive theory at the end of our calculations. So during most calculations, we shall start from massive spin-2 field and then set the mass to zero at the end of the calculation. The Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \) of massive spin-2 field in de Sitter spacetime is obtained by adding a mass term called the Fierz-Pauli term, to the massless spin-2 field Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{gr} + \mathcal{L}_g + \frac{1}{4} M^2 \left[ h_{ab} h^{ab} - h^2 \right]
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{4} \nabla_a h^{bc} \nabla_b h_{ac} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) \nabla_a h^{ac} \nabla^b h_{bc} \\
- \left( \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{2\alpha\beta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \nabla^b h \nabla_c h - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta\alpha} \right) \\
\times \nabla^a h \nabla^b h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ h_{ab} h^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{4} M^2 \left[ h_{ab} h^{ab} - h^2 \right].
\]

(8.5)
The equation of motion obtained from this Lagrangian is

\[
\frac{1}{2} \Box h_{bc} - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) [\nabla_b \nabla_a h^a_{c} + \nabla_c \nabla_a h^a_{b}] \\
+ \left( \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{\alpha \beta^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) g_{bc} \Box h + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta \alpha} \right) \\
\times [\nabla_c \nabla_b h + g_{bc} \nabla_d h^{ad}] - \left[ h_{bc} + \frac{1}{2} g_{bc} h \right] \\
- \frac{1}{2} M^2 [h_{bc} - g_{bc} h] = 0. \quad (8.6)
\]

### 8.2 Symplectic Product

Now to calculate the symplectic product, we first calculate the momentum current \( \pi^{abc} \), which is given by

\[
\pi^{abc} = \sqrt{-g} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_a h_{bc}}. \quad (8.7)
\]

It can be explicitly written as

\[
\pi^{abc} = \sqrt{-g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^a h_{bc} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) [g^{ac} \nabla_d h^{db} + g^{ab} \nabla_d h^{dc}] \\
- \left( \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{\alpha \beta^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) g^{bc} \nabla^a h - \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\beta + 1}{2\beta \alpha} \right) \\
\times [2 g^{bc} \nabla_d h^{ad} + g^{ac} \nabla^b h + g^{ab} \nabla^c h] \right]. \quad (8.8)
\]

Now if \( h_{bc} \) and \( h'_{bc} \) are two solutions to the field equation, Eq. (8.6), and if \( \pi^{abc} \) and \( \pi'^{abc} \) are the momentum current conjugate to them, then we find using Eq. (7.49) that the conserved current \( J^a \) is given by

\[
J^a = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{-g}} [h_{cb} \pi^{abc} - h'_{cb} \pi'^{abc}]. \quad (8.9)
\]

The symplectic product defined on a spacelike hypersurface \( \Sigma \) is given by

\[
(h, h') = \int d\Sigma_a J^a. \quad (8.10)
\]

Now because of Eq. (7.51), we have

\[
\nabla_c J^c = 0. \quad (8.11)
\]
So for a spacetime region bounded by a future spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma^+$ and a past spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma^-$, because of Eq. (7.52), we have

$$
\int_{\Sigma^+} d\Sigma c J^c = \int_{\Sigma^-} d\Sigma c J^c. \tag{8.12}
$$

### 8.3 Mode Decomposition

In this section we shall decompose $h_{bc}$ into modes. In the next chapter we shall calculate the graviton Wightman two-point in covariant gauge by calculating the contribution to it coming from each of these modes.

We can decompose the field $h_{bc}$ into scalar, vector and tensor parts \[14\],

$$
h_{bc} = C_{bc} + A_{bc} + E_{bc}. \tag{8.13}
$$

Here the scalar part $C_{bc}$ is given by,

$$
C_{bc} = (\nabla_b \nabla_c - \frac{1}{4} g_{bc} \Box) B + \frac{1}{4} g_{bc} h. \tag{8.14}
$$

The vector part, $A_{bc}$ is given by

$$
A_{bc} = \nabla_c A_b + \nabla_b A_c, \tag{8.15}
$$

and satisfies,

$$
A_b^b = 2 \nabla^b A_b = 0. \tag{8.16}
$$

The tensor part $E_{bc}$ satisfies

$$
E_b^b = 0, \tag{8.17}
$$

$$
\nabla^c E_{bc} = 0. \tag{8.18}
$$

Now we want to find the equations of motion for each of these parts. We start from the scalar part $C_{ab}$. First by substituting $h_{ab} = C_{ab}$, where $C_{ab}$ is defined in Eq. (8.14), into the equation of motion (8.6) and then using the formula for $R^d_{bac}$ given in Eq. (4.11), we find the following equation,

$$
g_{ab}[X_1 h + X_2 B] + \nabla_a \nabla_b [Y_1 B + Y_2 h] = 0, \tag{8.19}
$$

where

$$
X_1 = \left[ \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{\alpha^2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{4\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right] \Box - \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3M^2}{8}, \tag{8.20}
$$

$$
X_2 = \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3(1 + \beta)}{4\alpha^2} \right] \Box^2 + 3 \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1 + \beta}{\alpha} \right] \Box + \frac{M^2}{8} \Box, \tag{8.21}
$$

$$
Y_1 = \frac{3 - \alpha}{4\alpha} \Box + \frac{3}{\alpha} - \frac{M^2}{2}, \tag{8.22}
$$

$$
Y_2 = \frac{\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4}{4\alpha \beta}. \tag{8.23}
$$
Now if we define
\[ X_1 h + X_2 B = \mu, \]  
\[ Y_1 B + Y_2 h = \nu, \]  
then we can write Eq. (8.19) as
\[ g_{ab}\mu + \nabla_a \nabla_b \nu = 0. \]  
Taking the trace of Eq. (8.26), we obtain
\[ \mu = -\frac{1}{4} \Box \nu. \]  
Now its divergence, we obtain
\[ \nabla_a [\Box + 4] \nu = 0. \]  
So we have
\[ [\Box + 4] \nu = 4k, \]  
where \( k \) is a constant. Thus we get
\[ \nu = \mu + k. \]  
Now a particular solution to Eqs. (8.24) and (8.25) can be written as
\[ h = h^{(p)} = 0, \]  
\[ B = B^{(p)} = \frac{2\alpha}{6 - M^2 \alpha} k + \frac{2\mu}{2 - M^2}. \]  
Any solution Eqs. (8.24) and (8.25) can be written as a sum of this particular solution and the general solution. The general solution is the solution to the homogeneous equations obtained from Eqs. (8.24) and (8.25) by setting \( \mu = \nu = 0 \). However, \( C_{ab} = 0 \) for the particular solution \( h = h^{(p)} \) and \( B = B^{(p)} \). Since we take the \( M^2 \to 0 \) limit in the end, the special cases \( M^2 = 2 \) and \( M^2 = 6/\alpha \) are not relevant here. Hence we only need to consider the solution to the homogeneous equations given by
\[ X_1 h + X_2 B = 0, \]  
\[ Y_1 B + Y_2 h = 0. \]  
Thus we have
\[ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3(1 + \beta)}{4\alpha \beta} \right) \Box^2 + 3 \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1 + \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) \Box + \frac{M^2}{8} \Box \right] B = 0, \]  
\[ \left[ \left( \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{\alpha \beta^2} - \frac{\beta + 1}{4\alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \Box - \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3M^2}{8} \right] h = 0, \]  
\[ \left[ \frac{3 - \alpha}{4\alpha} \Box + \frac{3 - M^2}{\alpha} \right] B + \left[ \frac{\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4}{4\alpha \beta} \right] h = 0. \]
After eliminating the fourth-order derivative of $B$, we can rearrange these equations as:

\[ \square B = a_1 B + a_2 h, \quad \quad (8.37) \]
\[ \square h = a_3 h + a_4 B, \quad \quad (8.38) \]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_1 &= \frac{12 - 2\alpha M^2}{\alpha - 3}, \\
  a_2 &= \frac{\alpha \beta - 3\beta - 4}{\beta(\alpha - 3)}, \\
  a_3 &= \frac{6(\alpha \beta - 3\beta - 2)}{\alpha - 3} \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{2}\right), \\
  a_4 &= \frac{-9\beta(\alpha \beta - 3\beta - 4)}{\alpha - 3} \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{6} M^2\right). \quad (8.39)
\end{align*}
\]

The equations of motion for the vector part are obtained by substituting $h_{ab} = A_{ab}$, where $A_{ab}$ is defined by Eqs. (8.15) and (8.16), into the equations of motion (8.6). Thus we get,

\[ \nabla_b V^a + \nabla_a V^b = 0, \quad \quad (8.40) \]

where,

\[ V^a = \nabla_b[\nabla^b A^a + \nabla^a A^b] - \alpha M^2 A^a. \quad (8.41) \]

The general solution to this equation is

\[ \nabla_b[\nabla^b A^a + \nabla^a A^b] - \alpha M^2 A^a = f^a \quad (8.42) \]

where $f_a$ is a Killing vector and thus satisfies

\[ \nabla_a f_b + \nabla_b f_a = 0. \quad (8.43) \]

So a particular solution is given by

\[ A_a^{(p)} = -\frac{f_a}{M^2}. \quad (8.44) \]

However $A_{ab} = 0$ for this solution, $A_a = A_a^{(p)}$. So following a similar line of argument as was used for the scalar part, we can set $f^a = 0$. Thus we get

\[ \nabla_b[\nabla^b A^a + \nabla^a A^b] - \alpha M^2 A^a = 0. \quad (8.45) \]
Now by using Eq. (4.10), we can write this equation as

$$[\Box + 3 - \alpha M^2] A_a = 0. \tag{8.46}$$

The equation for the tensor part is obtained by substituting $h_{ab} = E_{ab}$, where $E_{ab}$ is defined by Eqs. (8.17) and (8.18), into the equation of motion (8.6). Thus we get

$$[\Box - 2 - M^2] E_{ab} = 0. \tag{8.47}$$

8.4 Harmonic Modes on de Sitter Spacetime

To find the contribution of the vector and tensor parts to the two-point function we expand the vector and tensor parts into harmonic modes on de Sitter spacetime. The spatial part of these modes is given by the spherical harmonics on $S^3$ and its temporal part is given in terms of the associated Legendre function. We denote the coordinates on $S^3$ by $x$ and define $\chi$ using Eq. (4.17) as $\chi = \pi/2 - it$.

Now we review some properties of scalar, vector and tensor spherical harmonics on $S^3$ [40]. Let the indices on de Sitter spacetime be denoted by $(a, b, c \cdots)$ and on $S^3$ be denoted by $(i, j, k \cdots)$. We denote the scalar, vector and tensor spherical harmonics on $S^3$ by $Y^{\ell \sigma}(x), Y_i^{\ell \sigma}(x)$ and $Y_{ij}^{\ell \sigma}(x)$, respectively. Here $\sigma$ denotes all the indices other than $\ell$. Thus $\sigma$ denotes all the quantum numbers on a two-sphere $S^2$ from now on. It may be noted that $\sigma$ previously denoted all the quantum numbers on a three-sphere (in the chapters on ghost propagators). We also denote the covariant derivative on $S^3$ by $\tilde{\nabla}_i$, the metric on $S^3$ by $\eta_{ij}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{\nabla}^i$ by $\tilde{\nabla}^2$. For scalar spherical harmonics on $S^3$, we have $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3 \cdots$ and

$$-\tilde{\nabla}^2 Y^{\ell \sigma} = \ell(\ell + 2) Y^{\ell \sigma}. \tag{8.48}$$

For vector spherical harmonics on $S^3$, we have $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4 \cdots$ and

$$-\tilde{\nabla}^2 Y_i^{\ell \sigma} = [\ell(\ell + 2) - 1] Y_i^{\ell \sigma},$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}^i Y_i^{\ell \sigma} = 0. \tag{8.49}$$

For tensor spherical harmonics on $S^3$, we have $\ell = 2, 3, 4, 5 \cdots$ and

$$-\tilde{\nabla}^2 Y_{ij}^{\ell \sigma} = [\ell(\ell + 2) - 2] Y_{ij}^{\ell \sigma},$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}^i Y_{ij}^{\ell \sigma} = 0,$$

$$\eta^{ij} Y_{ij}^{\ell \sigma} = 0. \tag{8.50}$$
Next we review some properties of the associated Legendre functions $P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(x)$ \[46\]. The degree $\nu$ can be lowered or raised as
\[
(1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} + \nu x \quad P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(x) = (\nu - \mu) P_{\nu - 1}^{-\mu}(x),
\]
\[
(1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} - (\nu + 1) x \quad P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(x) = -(\nu + \mu + 1) P_{\nu + 1}^{-\mu}(x). \quad (8.51)
\]
Now we define $D_n$ as follows:
\[
D_n = \frac{d}{d\chi} + n \cot \chi. \quad (8.52)
\]
Then we have
\[
- \sin \chi D_n P_{\nu}^\mu(\cos \chi) = \left(1 - \cos^2 \chi\right)\frac{d}{d\cos \chi} - n \cos \chi \quad P_{\nu}^\mu(\cos \chi). \quad (8.53)
\]
So now because of Eqs. (8.51) and (8.53), we have
\[
- \sin \chi D_{-\nu} P_{\nu}^{-\mu} = (\nu - \mu) P_{\nu - 1}^{-\mu}(x),
\]
\[
- \sin \chi D_{\nu + 1} P_{\nu}^{-\mu} = -(\nu + \mu + 1) P_{\nu + 1}^{-\mu}(x). \quad (8.54)
\]
We also have
\[
D_m \sin^n \chi P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(\cos \chi) = \sin^n \chi D_{m+n} P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(\cos \chi), \quad (8.55)
\]
because
\[
\left[\frac{d}{d\chi} + m \cot \chi\right] \sin^n \chi P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(\cos \chi)
\]
\[
= \sin^n \chi \left[\frac{d}{d\chi} + (m + n) \cot \chi\right] P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(\cos \chi). \quad (8.56)
\]
There are two types of solutions to Eq. (8.46) denoted by $A_{\nu,\ell}^{\mu,\sigma}$ with $n = 0, 1$. These modes have $\ell = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$. There are three types of solutions to Eq. (8.47) denoted by $E_{\nu,\ell}^{\mu,\sigma}$ with $n = 0, 1, 2$. These modes have $\ell = 2, 3, 4 \cdots$ (see Sec. 2, 4, 5, 6 of Ref. [47]). Here $\sigma$ denotes all the quantum numbers on a two-sphere. We let the solutions to the minimally-coupled massive scalar field equation in de Sitter spacetime be denoted by $S_{\ell}^{\mu,\sigma}$ with $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, where
\[
[\Box - m^2] S_{\ell}^{\mu,\sigma} = 0. \quad (8.57)
\]
We shall write the solutions on de Sitter spacetime in analogy with the solutions on $S^4$, exploiting the similarity of de Sitter metric given in Eq. (4.16) to the metric on $S^4$, after defining $\chi$ by Eq. (4.17) as $\chi = \pi/2 - it$. 
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So first we review the defining properties of scalar, vector and tensor spherical harmonics on $S^4$ \[40\]. For scalar spherical harmonics on $S^4$, we have
\[
-\Box S^{L\ell\sigma} = [\tilde{L}(\tilde{L} + 3)]S^{L\ell\sigma},
\]
with $\tilde{L} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and $\ell \leq \tilde{L}$. For vector spherical harmonics on $S^4$, we have
\[
-\Box A_b^{L\ell\sigma} = [L(L + 3) - 1]A_b^{L\ell\sigma},
\]
\[
\nabla^b A_b^{L\ell\sigma} = 0,
\]
with $L = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ and $\ell \leq L$. For tensor spherical harmonics on $S^4$, we have
\[
-\Box E_{bc}^{L\ell\sigma} = [L(L + 3) - 2]E_{bc}^{L\ell\sigma},
\]
\[
\nabla^b E_{bc}^{L\ell\sigma} = 0,
\]
\[
g^{bc}E_{bc}^{L\ell\sigma} = 0,
\]
with $\tilde{L} = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ and $\ell \leq \tilde{L}$.

What we use is the fact that the positive-frequency mode function for the Euclidean vacuum in de Sitter spacetime are obtained by solving the same equations as these spherical harmonics under the transformation $\chi = \pi/2 - it$, if we allow $\tilde{L}, L$ and $\tilde{L}$ to be non-integers and unconstrained by the condition $\tilde{L}, L, \tilde{L} \geq \ell$ (see Ref. \[47\]). Comparing Eq. (8.46) with Eq. (8.59), Eq. (8.47) with Eq. (8.60), Eq. (8.57) with Eq. (8.58) and using the similarity of de Sitter spacetime with $S^4$, we can write $\tilde{L}, L$ and $\tilde{L}$ as,
\[
\tilde{L}(\tilde{L} + 3) = -M^2, \\
L(L + 3) = -\alpha M^2 + 4, \\
\tilde{L}(\tilde{L} + 3) = -m^2.
\]

Now $\tilde{L}, L, \tilde{L}$ to the first order in $M^2$ or $m^2$, are given by
\[
\tilde{L} \approx -\frac{M^2}{3},
\]
\[
L \approx 1 - \frac{\alpha M^2}{5},
\]
\[
\tilde{L} \approx -\frac{m^2}{3}.
\]

In the limit $M^2 \to 0$, we have $\tilde{L} = 0$ and $L = 1$, for de Sitter spacetime. Now the scalar, vector and tensor harmonic modes in de Sitter spacetime are given by (see Sec. 2, 4, 5, 6 of Ref. [47]),
\[ S^{l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; m^2) = (\sin \chi)^{-1} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (8.65) \]
\[ A_1^{l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = 0, \]
\[ A_1^{l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (8.66) \]
\[ A_0^{l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = (\sin \chi)^{-2} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \]
\[ A_0^{l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 2)} D_1 P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \nabla_i Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (8.67) \]
\[ E_{XX}^{2l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = 0, \]
\[ E_{X\ell}^{2l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = 0, \]
\[ E_{ij}^{2l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = (\sin \chi)^{-1} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) Y^{l\sigma}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (8.68) \]
\[ E_{XX}^{0l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = (\sin \chi)^{-1} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \]
\[ E_{XX}^{0l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = (\sin \chi)^{-1} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \]
\[ E_{XX}^{0l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = (\sin \chi)^{-1} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \nabla_i Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \]
\[ E_{ij}^{0l\sigma}(\chi, \mathbf{x}; M^2) = (b_1^l \tilde{T}_{ij} + b_2^l \eta_{ij}) Y^{l\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \]
\[ b_1^l(\mathbf{M}^2) = 3 \left( \frac{3}{2(\ell + 3)(\ell - 1)} \left[ -\frac{1}{3} (\sin \chi)^{-1} \right. \right. \right.
\[ + \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 2)} \sin \chi D_2 D_1 \right] P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi), \]
\[ b_2^l(\mathbf{M}^2) = -\frac{1}{3 \sin \chi} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi), \]
\[ \tilde{T}_{ij}^\ell = \nabla_i \nabla_j + \frac{\ell(\ell + 2)}{3} \eta_{ij}, \quad (8.70) \]

We emphasize again that we have merely used the analogy between de Sitter spacetime and \( S^4 \) and not really analytically continued from \( S^4 \) to de Sitter spacetime. If for the modes given by Eqs. (8.65)-(8.70), we let \( \tilde{L} = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \cdots, L = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \cdots, \tilde{L} = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \cdots \) and \( L, \tilde{L} \geq \ell \), where \( \ell \) is a positive integer, then they would be regular at \( \chi = \pi \) and thus Eqs. (8.65)-(8.70) would define valid spherical harmonics. However, in case of de Sitter spacetime these modes do not satisfy all of these conditions,
and as a result they are singular at $\chi = \pi$. But, this point corresponds to imaginary time $t = i\pi/2$, and hence it is not physically relevant in de Sitter spacetime and so we can safely use Eqs. (8.65)-(8.70) for calculating graviton Wightman two-point function in de Sitter spacetime.

These modes given by Eqs. (8.65)-(8.70), regarded as functions on de Sitter spacetime, can be used to decompose the scalar $C_{ab}$, vector $A_{ab}$ and tensor $E_{ab}$ parts of the field $h_{ab}$ in terms of mode functions. The scalar, vector and tensor mode functions that constitute the fields $C_{ab}$, $A_{ab}$ and $E_{ab}$, respectively, are orthogonal to one another with respect to the symplectic product as long as $M \neq 0$ [48]. This implies that $C_{ab}$, $A_{ab}$ and $E_{ab}$ commute with one another upon quantization. Thus the quantization of each of these fields can be considered separately. However in the limit $M \to 0$, the vector modes constituting $A_{ab}$, and tensor modes constituting $E_{ab}$, with $n = 0, 1$ coincide [10]. For this reason, we shall consider the quantization of the vector and tensor parts, $A_{ab}$ and $E_{ab}$ together, but consider the quantization of the scalar part $C_{ab}$, separately from the vector and tensor parts.
Chapter 9

Wightman Graviton Two-Point Function

In this chapter we shall explicitly calculate the contributions to the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function coming from the scalar and vector-tensor sectors.

9.1 Physical Equivalence

In linearized quantum gravity the Wightman two-point function of the graviton field $h_{ab}$ has no physical meaning by itself because this theory has gauge invariance under the gauge transformation,

$$\delta_h h_{bc} = \nabla_c \Lambda_b + \nabla_b \Lambda_c,$$

where $\Lambda_c$ is any vector field on de Sitter spacetime. One can find tensor fields at $x$ that are linear in $h_{ab}$ and are invariant under this gauge transformation [10]. An example of such a tensor field is the linearized Weyl tensor $W_{abcd}(x)$ given by

$$W_{abcd}(x) = \tilde{W}_{[ab][cd]}(x),$$

$$\tilde{W}_{abcd}(x) = \nabla_c \nabla_b h_{ad}(x) + h_{cb}(x) g_{ad}(x).$$

Now, if a Wightman graviton two-point function $G_{bc|c'}(x, x')$ can be written as

$$G_{bc|c'}(x, x') = P_{bc|c'}(x, x') + Q_{bc|c'}(x, x'),$$
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where
\[ Q_{aba'}b' = \nabla_a K^1_{ba'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_b K^1_{aa'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_{a'} K^2_{ab'bb'}(x, x') + \nabla_{b'} K^2_{aba'b'}(x, x'), \] (9.5)

for some \( K^1_{ba'b'}(x, x') \) and \( K^2_{ab'bb'}(x, x') \), then the two-point function of a local gauge-invariant tensor field linear in \( h_{bc} \) will be the same whether one uses \( G_{bcb'b'}(x, x') \) or \( P_{bcb'b'}(x, x') \) as the graviton two-point function.

This motivates the following definition: We say that the two graviton two-point functions, \( G_{bcb'b'}(x, x') \) and \( P_{bcb'b'}(x, x') \) are physically equivalent in linearized gravity if they differ from each other by a two-point function of pure gauge form \( Q_{bcb'b'}(x, x') \).

### 9.2 Symplectic Product for Vector and Tensor Modes

The Klein-Gordon type product given in Eq. (7.61), for vector and tensor harmonic modes on de Sitter spacetime is given by (see Sec. 8, 9 of Ref. [47]),

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle E^{1\ell\sigma}, E^{1\ell'\sigma'} \rangle &= -\frac{4L(L + 3)\delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'}}{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell - L)\Gamma(\ell + L + 3)}, \\
\langle E^{0\ell\sigma}, E^{0\ell'\sigma'} \rangle &= \frac{3\overline{L}(\ell + 3) + 2}{\ell(\ell + 2)(\ell - 1)(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell - L)\Gamma(\ell + L + 3)}, \\
\langle E^{2\ell\sigma}, E^{2\ell'\sigma'} \rangle &= \frac{\delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'}}{\Gamma(\ell - L)\Gamma(\ell + L + 3)}, \\
\langle A^{0\ell\sigma}, A^{0\ell'\sigma'} \rangle &= -\frac{2(\ell + 3 + L)\delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'}}{\ell(\ell + 2)\Gamma(\ell - L)\Gamma(\ell + 3 + L)}, \\
\langle A^{1\ell\sigma}, A^{1\ell'\sigma'} \rangle &= \frac{2\delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'}}{\Gamma(\ell - L)\Gamma(\ell + 3 + L)}. \quad (9.6)
\end{align*}
\]

The Klein-Gordon type product \( \langle E^{n\ell\sigma}, E^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle \) and \( \langle A^{n\ell\sigma}, A^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle \) vanish for \( n \neq n' \). The vector mode functions corresponding to \( A^{n\ell\sigma}_a \) are given by

\[ A^{n\ell\sigma}_{ab} = \nabla_a A^{n\ell\sigma}_b + \nabla_b A^{n\ell\sigma}_a. \quad (9.7)\]

As shown in Appendix A, the symplectic product for the vector and tensor modes is related to their Klein-Gordon type product as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle A^{n\ell\sigma}, A^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle &= -M^2 \langle A^{n\ell\sigma}, A^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle, \\
\langle E^{n\ell\sigma}, E^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle E^{n\ell\sigma}, E^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle. \quad (9.8)
\end{align*}
\]
Now recall

\[ L \approx 1 - \frac{\alpha M^2}{5}, \quad (9.10) \]

\[ \overline{L} \approx -\frac{M^2}{3}. \quad (9.11) \]

It will turn out that we need \( L \) and \( \overline{L} \) only to the first order in \( M^2 \). Using Eqs. (8.61) and (9.6), we get

\[
(E^{1\ell\sigma}, E^{1\ell'\sigma'}) \approx \frac{2M^2 \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^\sigma \delta^\sigma'}{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + M^2/3)\Gamma(\ell + 3 - M^2/3)}; \\
(E^{0\ell\sigma}, E^{0\ell'\sigma'}) \approx \frac{2M^2 (M^2 - 2) \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^\sigma \delta^\sigma'}{3M^2 (\ell + 2)(\ell - 1)(\ell + 3)\Gamma(\ell + M^2/3)\Gamma(\ell + 3 - M^2/3)}; \\
(E^{2\ell\sigma}, E^{2\ell'\sigma'}) \approx \frac{2M^2 (\alpha M^2 - 6) \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^\sigma \delta^\sigma'}{\ell (\ell + 2)\Gamma(\ell - 1 + \alpha M^2/5)\Gamma(\ell + 4 - \alpha M^2/5)}; \\
(A^{0\ell\sigma}, A^{0\ell'\sigma'}) \approx \frac{2M^2 \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^\sigma \delta^\sigma'}{\Gamma(\ell - 1 + \alpha M^2/5)\Gamma(\ell + 4 - \alpha M^2/5)}; \\
(A^{1\ell\sigma}, A^{1\ell'\sigma'}) \approx \frac{2M^2 \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^\sigma \delta^\sigma'}{\Gamma(\ell - 1 + \alpha M^2/5)\Gamma(\ell + 4 - \alpha M^2/5)}.
\]

(9.12)

To simplify these expressions further, we note

\[
\Gamma(\ell - 3/2)\Gamma(\ell + 3 + M^2/3) \\
\times \Gamma(\ell - 2/3)\Gamma(\ell + M^2/3),
\]

(9.13)

and since \( \Gamma(\ell - M^2/3)\Gamma(\ell + M^2/3) \) is analytic and even in \( M^2 \), we have

\[ \Gamma(\ell - M^2/3)\Gamma(\ell + M^2/3) \approx [\Gamma(\ell)]^2 + \mathcal{O}(M^4). \]

(9.14)

Hence, to the first order in \( M^2 \), we find

\[
\Gamma(\ell + 3 - M^2/3) \\
\approx (\ell + 3)! (\ell - 1)! \left[ 1 - \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right) \frac{M^2}{3} \right].
\]

(9.15)

Similarly, we have

\[
\Gamma(\ell - 1 + \alpha M^2/5)\Gamma(\ell + 4 - \alpha M^2/5) \\
\approx (\ell + 3)! (\ell - 2)! \left[ 1 - \left( \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right) \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right].
\]

(9.16)
We have found the symplectic product for vector-tensor harmonic modes in de Sitter spacetime, in this section. We have also seen that the Wightman two-point function for any free tensor field can be obtained from this symplectic product by using Eq. (7.60). So in the next section we shall use the symplectic product calculated here to find the vector-tensor contribution to the graviton Wightman two-point function.

9.3 Vector-Tensor Contributions

Now we can write the vector-tensor contribution to the Wightman two-point function by using Eq. (7.60) as follows:

\[
G_{aba'b'}(x,x') = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\sigma} A_{n\ell\sigma}^{ab}(x) A_{n\ell\sigma}^{a'b'}(x') \frac{1}{(A_{n\ell\sigma}, A_{n\ell\sigma})} + \sum_{n=0}^{2} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\sigma} E_{n\ell\sigma}^{ab}(x) E_{n\ell\sigma}^{a'b'}(x') \frac{1}{(E_{n\ell\sigma}, E_{n\ell\sigma})},
\]

(9.17)

where for \((n = 0, 1)\), we have defined \(A_{n\ell\sigma}^{ab}\) as,

\[
A_{n\ell\sigma}^{ab} = \nabla_a A_{n\ell\sigma}^b + \nabla_b A_{n\ell\sigma}^a.
\]

(9.18)

In Ref. [10] a physical Wightman graviton two-point function \(P_{aba'v}(x,x')\) was obtained. To do so first de Donder gauge \(\nabla_b h_{ab} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) \nabla_a h = 0\) was imposed. Then it was shown that the trace could be gauged away and thus the conditions \(h^a = 0\) and \(\nabla_a h_{ab} = 0\) were also imposed. Finally it was shown that all the modes apart from \(E_{2\ell\sigma}^{ab}(x)\) could be gauged away. Thus it was shown that only \(E_{2\ell\sigma}^{ab}(x)\) modes contribute to the physical part of the Wightman graviton two-point function. So \(P_{aba'v}(x,x')\) thus obtained was given by

\[
P_{aba'v}(x,x') = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\sigma} 2E_{ab}^{2\ell\sigma}(x) E_{a'b'}^{2\ell\sigma}(x') \frac{1}{(E^{2\ell\sigma}, E^{2\ell\sigma})}.
\]

(9.19)

We will show here that this physical Wightman graviton two-point function given in Ref. [10] is physically equivalent to the Wightman graviton two-point function in covariant gauge. To do so, we write down the tensor-vector part of the Wightman graviton two-point function in covariant gauge,

\[
G[h]_a = \nabla^b h_{ab} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) \nabla_a h,
\]

(9.20)

as

\[
G^{(TV)}_{aba'b'}(x,x') = P_{aba'b'}(x,x') + M_{aba'b'}(x,x') + L_{aba'b'}(x,x'),
\]

(9.21)
where,

\[ M_{aba'b'}(x, x') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{A_{n\sigma}^{1\sigma}(x) A_{n\sigma}^{*\sigma}(x')}{(A_{n\sigma}^{1\sigma}, A_{n\sigma}^{n\sigma})}, \]  

(9.22)

\[ L_{aba'b'}(x, x') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{A_{n\ell\sigma}^{\ell\sigma}(x) A_{n\ell\sigma}^{*\sigma}(x')}{(A_{n\ell\sigma}^{\ell\sigma}, A_{n\ell\sigma}^{n\sigma})} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{E_{n\ell\sigma}^{\ell\sigma}(x) E_{n\ell\sigma}^{*\sigma}(x')}{(E_{n\ell\sigma}^{\ell\sigma}, E_{n\ell\sigma}^{n\sigma})}. \]  

(9.23)

Now substituting Eq. (9.12) into Eq. (9.23), we get

\[ L_{aba'b'}(x, x') \approx \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 3)}{2M^2} \Gamma \left( \ell - \frac{M^2}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \ell + 3 + \frac{M^2}{3} \right) - \frac{1}{2M^2} \Gamma \left( \ell - 1 + \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \Gamma \left( \ell + 4 - \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \right] \]

\[ \times E_{ia\ell\sigma}^{ia\ell\sigma}(x) E_{ia'\ell\sigma}^{ia'\ell\sigma}(x') \]

\[ + \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{2\ell(\ell + 2)(\ell - 1)(\ell + 3)}{3(2 - M^2)M^2} \Gamma \left( \ell + \frac{M^2}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \ell + 3 - \frac{M^2}{3} \right) E_{ia\ell\sigma}^{ia\ell\sigma}(x) E_{ia'\ell\sigma}^{ia'\ell\sigma}(x') \right] \]

\[ + \frac{\ell(\ell + 2)}{2M^2(6 - \alpha M^2)} \Gamma \left( \ell - 1 + \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \Gamma \left( \ell + 4 - \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \]

\[ \times A_{ia\ell\sigma}^{ia\ell\sigma}(x) A_{ia'\ell\sigma}^{ia'\ell\sigma}(x') \]. \]  

(9.24)

The contribution from each mode to \( L_{aba'b'} \) is divergent in the zero mass limit. However we shall see that the terms of order \( 1/M^2 \) cancel out. Now
for small $M^2$, substituting Eq. (9.16) and Eq. (9.15) into Eq. (9.24), we get

$$L_{aba'b'}(x, x') \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} (\ell + 3)! (\ell - 2)! \left[ (\ell - 1)^2 \left( \frac{1}{M^2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right) \right]$$

$$\times E_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma}(x) E_{a'b'}^{1\ell \sigma}(x')$$

$$- \left( \frac{1}{M^2} - \frac{\alpha}{5} \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right) A_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma}(x) A_{a'b'}^{1\ell \sigma}(x')$$

$$+ \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \ell (\ell + 2) (\ell + 3)! (\ell - 2)!$$

$$\times \left[ -4 (\ell - 1)^2 \left( \frac{1}{M^2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right) \right]$$

$$\times E_{ab}^{0\ell \sigma}(x) E_{a'b'}^{0\ell \sigma}(x')$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{M^2} + \frac{\alpha}{6} - \frac{\alpha}{5} \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right) A_{ab}^{0\ell \sigma}(x) A_{a'b'}^{0\ell \sigma}(x') \right] . \quad (9.25)$$

It may be noted that if $E_{ab}^{0\ell \sigma} = A_{ab}^{0\ell \sigma} / 2(\ell - 1)$ and $E_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma} = A_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma} / (\ell - 1)$, in the zero mass limit then the $1/M^2$ singularity will be absent in this limit. In fact we show in Appendix B that to the first order in $M^2$, we have

$$E_{ab}^{0\ell \sigma} = \frac{1}{2(\ell - 1)} \left[ A_{ab}^{0\ell \sigma} + M^2 k_{ab}^{0\ell \sigma} \right] ,$$

$$E_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma} = \frac{1}{(\ell - 1)} \left[ A_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma} + M^2 k_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma} \right] . \quad (9.26)$$

The exact form of $k_{ab}^{n\ell \sigma}$ which is $M^2$-independent, for $n = 0, 1$ is also given in Appendix B. Now if we substitute Eq. (9.26) into Eq. (9.25), and define $N_{aba'b'}^{n\ell \sigma}$ for $n = 0, 1$ as

$$N_{aba'b'}^{n\ell \sigma}(x, x') = A_{ab}^{n\ell \sigma}(x) A_{a'b'}^{n\ell \sigma}(x') + A_{ab}^{n\ell \sigma}(x) k_{ab}^{n\ell \sigma}(x') + k_{ab}^{n\ell \sigma}(x) A_{a'b'}^{n\ell \sigma}(x') ,$$

then in the $M^2 \to 0$ limit, we have

$$L_{aba'b'}(x, x') = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ w_{0\ell \sigma} N_{aba'b'}^{0\ell \sigma}(x, x') + w_{1\ell \sigma} N_{aba'b'}^{1\ell \sigma}(x, x') \right] , \quad (9.27)$$

$$L_{aba'b'}(x, x') = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ w_{0\ell \sigma} N_{aba'b'}^{0\ell \sigma}(x, x') + w_{1\ell \sigma} N_{aba'b'}^{1\ell \sigma}(x, x') \right] , \quad (9.28)$$
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where
\[
\begin{align*}
  w_{0\ell} &= \frac{1}{12} \ell(\ell + 2)(\ell + 3)(\ell - 2)! \times \left[ \frac{-1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{1}{\ell + k} + \frac{\alpha}{6} - \frac{\alpha}{5} \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right], \\
  w_{1\ell} &= \frac{1}{2} (\ell + 3)! (\ell - 2)! \left[ \frac{\alpha}{5} \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \frac{1}{\ell + k} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{1}{\ell + k} \right].
\end{align*}
\] (9.29)

We can now write \( L_{aba'b'} \) as,
\[
L_{aba'b'}(x, x') = \nabla_a v_{ba'b'}^1(x, x') + \nabla_b v_{ba'b'}^1(x, x') + \nabla_a v_{abb'}^2(x, x') + \nabla_b v_{aab'}^2(x, x'),
\] (9.30)

where for \( n = 1, 2, \)
\[
\begin{align*}
  v_{ba'b'}^1(x, x') &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} w_{n\ell} A_{a}^{n\ell\sigma}(x) \left[ \frac{1}{2} A_{b}^{n\ell\sigma}(x') + k_{a'b'}^{n\ell\sigma}(x') \right], \\
  v_{abb'}^2(x, x') &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} w_{n\ell} A_{b}^{n\ell\sigma}(x) \left[ \frac{1}{2} A_{a}^{n\ell\sigma}(x') + k_{a'b'}^{n\ell\sigma}(x') \right].
\end{align*}
\] (9.31)

There is a finite contribution to the Wightman graviton two-point function coming from the \( \ell = 1 \) vector modes. In the small mass approximation this finite contribution can be written as
\[
M_{aba'b'}(x, x') \approx \sum_{\sigma} -\Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \Gamma \left( 5 - \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \times \frac{A_{ab}^{n1\sigma}(x) A_{a'b'}^{n1\sigma}(x')}{2M^2} + \sum_{\sigma} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \Gamma \left( 5 - \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \right) \times \frac{3A_{ab}^{n1\sigma}(x) A_{a'b'}^{n1\sigma}(x')}{2M^2 (6 - \alpha M^2)}
\]
\[
\approx \frac{1}{\alpha M^2} \sum_{n=0}^{1} \sum_{\sigma} b_n A_{ab}^{n1\sigma}(x) A_{a'b'}^{n1\sigma}(x'),
\] (9.32)

where \( b_0 = 30 \) and \( b_1 = -60. \) This has a finite zero mass limit because \( A_{ab}^{n1\sigma} = \nabla_a A_b^{n1\sigma} + \nabla_b A_a^{n1\sigma} = 0 \) in the zero mass limit for \( \ell = 1, \) since for \( L = 1 \) these are Killing vectors. Expanding \( A_{ab}^{n1\sigma}, \) we get
\[
A_a^{n1\sigma}(x) = A_a^{n1\sigma}(x) \big|_{L=1} + (L - 1) \frac{\partial A_a^{n1\sigma}(x)}{\partial L} \big|_{L=1}.
\] (9.33)
Now from Eqs. \((9.10)\) and \((9.33)\), we get
\[
A^{n1\sigma}_a(x) = A^{n1\sigma}_a(x) \big|_{L=1} + \alpha M^2 X^{n1\sigma}_a(x), \tag{9.34}
\]
where
\[
X^{n1\sigma}_a(x) = -\frac{1}{5} \frac{\partial A^{n1\sigma}_a(x)}{\partial L} \big|_{L=1}. \tag{9.35}
\]
Thus \(A^{n1\sigma}_{ab}\) is of order \(\alpha M^2\). So in the zero mass limit, we obtain
\[
M_{aba'b'}(x,x') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\alpha b_n}{2} X^{n1\sigma}_b(\nabla_{a'} X^{n1\sigma}_{b'} + \nabla_{b'} X^{n1\sigma}_{a'})
+ \nabla_{a'} x^{1}_{aba'b'}(x,x') + \nabla_{b'} x^{2}_{aba'b'}(x,x'), \tag{9.36}
\]
where
\[
x^{1}_{ba'b'}(x,x') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{b_n \alpha}{2} X^{n1\sigma}_{b}(\nabla_{a'} X^{n1\sigma}_{b'} + \nabla_{b'} X^{n1\sigma}_{a'}),
\]
\[
x^{2}_{aba'b'}(x,x') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{b_n \alpha}{2} X^{n1\sigma}_{b'}(\nabla_{a} X^{n1\sigma}_{b} + \nabla_{b} X^{n1\sigma}_{a}). \tag{9.37}
\]
Thus, we have calculated the vector-tensor contribution to the Wightman graviton two-point function in this section. In particular, we have shown that it is physically equivalent in linearized gravity to the physical Wightman two-point function of Ref. \([10]\). In the next section we shall calculate the scalar contribution to the Wightman graviton two-point function and find that it is pure gauge.

### 9.4 Equations of Motion for Scalar Modes

The scalar contribution to the Wightman two-point function has been calculated for \(\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4 = 0\) in Ref. \([14]\). The scalar contribution to the Wightman graviton two-point function was found to be a pure gauge contribution for these value of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\). We shall calculate the scalar contribution to the graviton Wightman two-point function for arbitrary values of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\).

Let us recall that the field equations for scalar modes, given by Eqs. \((8.37)\) and \((8.38)\), are
\[
\Box B = a_1 B + a_2 h, \tag{9.38}
\]
\[
\Box h = a_3 h + a_4 B, \tag{9.39}
\]
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where

\begin{align}
    a_1 &= \frac{12 - 2\alpha M^2}{\alpha - 3}, \\
    a_2 &= \frac{\alpha \beta - 3\beta - 4}{\beta (\alpha - 3)}, \\
    a_3 &= \frac{6(\alpha \beta - 3\beta - 2)}{\alpha - 3} \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{2}\right), \\
    a_4 &= \frac{-9\beta(\alpha \beta - 3\beta - 4)}{\alpha - 3} \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{6} M^2\right).
\end{align}

(9.40) (9.41) (9.42) (9.43)

In deriving these equations we have assumed that \( \alpha \neq 3, 0 \) and \( \beta \neq 0 \).

However, the final result for the scalar contribution to the Wightman graviton two-point function is well defined even for these values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \).

Eqs. (9.38) and (9.39) suggest that \( B \) and \( h \) are non-trivial linear combinations of two scalar fields with different masses unless \( \alpha \beta - 3\beta - 4 = 0 \), which leads to \( a_2 = a_4 = 0 \). Let a linear combination of \( B \) and \( h \), \( h + \lambda B \), satisfy the free scalar field equation with a definite mass. Now we have

\[ \Box (h + \lambda B) = a_3 h + a_4 B + \lambda (a_1 B + a_2 h) = (a_3 + \lambda a_2) h + (a_4 + \lambda a_1) B. \]  

(9.44)

As we want Eq. (9.44) to satisfy a free scalar field equation, with a definite mass, the right hand side of Eq. (9.44) must be proportional to \( h + \lambda B \). That is,

\[ \frac{a_4 + \lambda a_1}{a_3 + \lambda a_2} = \lambda. \]

(9.45)

Thus we get the following quadratic equation in \( \lambda \),

\[ \lambda^2 - \frac{a_1 - a_3}{a_2} \lambda - \frac{a_4}{a_2} = 0. \]

(9.46)

Let \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) be the two roots of this equation. Then the product of the roots is given by

\[ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = -\frac{a_4}{a_2}, \]

(9.47)

and the sum of the roots is given by

\[ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{a_1 - a_3}{a_2}. \]

(9.48)

Now the scalar fields \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) defined by

\begin{align}
    \phi_1 &= h + \lambda_1 B, \\
    \phi_2 &= h + \lambda_2 B,
\end{align}

(9.49) (9.50)
satisfy
\[ \Box \phi_1 = (a_3 + \lambda_1 a_2) \phi_1, \]  
(9.51)
\[ \Box \phi_2 = (a_3 + \lambda_2 a_2) \phi_2. \]  
(9.52)

Solving Eqs. (9.49) and (9.50) for \( B \) and \( h \), we get
\[ B = \frac{\phi_2 - \phi_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}, \]  
(9.53)
\[ h = \frac{\lambda_2 \phi_1 - \lambda_1 \phi_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}. \]  
(9.54)

### 9.5 Scalar Contributions

Since the scalar part \( C_{ab}(x) \) depends on two scalar fields \( B(x) \) and \( h(x) \), we need to find \( \langle 0 | h(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle \), \( \langle 0 | B(x) B(x') | 0 \rangle \) and \( \langle 0 | B(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle \) and take the limit \( M \to 0 \) in order to find \( \langle 0 | C_{ab}(x) C_{ab'}(x') | 0 \rangle \).

Let two solutions to the equations of motion Eq. (8.6) be \( C_{\ell \sigma}{}^a{}_b{}_c \) and \( C_{\ell' \sigma'}{}^{a'}{}_b'{}_c' \), given by
\[ C_{\ell \sigma}{}^a{}_b{}_c = (\nabla_b \nabla_c - \frac{1}{4} g_{bc} \Box) B^\ell{}^{a \sigma} + \frac{1}{4} g_{bc} h^\ell{}^{a \sigma}, \]
\[ C_{\ell' \sigma'}{}^{a'}{}_b'{}_c' = (\nabla_{b'} \nabla_{c'} - \frac{1}{4} g_{b'c'} \Box') B^{\ell'}{}^{a' \sigma'} + \frac{1}{4} g_{b'c'} h^{\ell'}{}^{a' \sigma'}. \]  
(9.55)

Here the covariant derivatives \( \nabla_a \) and \( \nabla_{a'} \) only act on quantities at \( x \) and \( x' \), respectively. We also define \( \Box' = \nabla_{a'} \nabla^{a'} \) and \( \Box = \nabla_a \nabla^a \). Also let \( \pi_{\ell \sigma}{}^{a b c}(x) \) and \( \pi_{\ell' \sigma'}{}^{a' b' c'}(x') \) be momentum current conjugate to \( C_{\ell \sigma}{}^a{}_b{}_c \) and \( C_{\ell' \sigma'}{}^{a'}{}_b'{}_c' \), respectively. We can now calculate the scalar contribution to the symplectic product by substituting these in Eq. (8.9). This symplectic product for the scalar part is calculated in Appendix A, and is given by
\[ (C^\ell{}^{a \sigma}, C^{\ell'}{}^{a' \sigma'}) = -K_1 \langle B^\ell{}^{a \sigma}, B^{\ell'}{}^{a' \sigma'} \rangle - K_2 \langle h^\ell{}^{a \sigma}, h^{\ell'}{}^{a' \sigma'} \rangle, \]  
(9.56)
where
\[ K_1 = \frac{3}{4(\alpha - 3)} (2 - M^2)(6 - \alpha M^2), \]  
(9.57)
\[ K_2 = \frac{1}{\beta^2(\alpha - 3)}, \]  
(9.58)
and where \( \langle B^\ell{}^{a \sigma}, B^{\ell'}{}^{a' \sigma'} \rangle \) and \( \langle h^\ell{}^{a \sigma}, h^{\ell'}{}^{a' \sigma'} \rangle \) are defined by Eq. (7.61). We note that \( B^\ell{}^{a \sigma} \) and \( h^\ell{}^{a \sigma} \) are linear combinations of modes with different masses, so
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the Klein-Gordon-type product $\langle B^\ell \sigma, B^{\ell'} \sigma' \rangle$ and $\langle h^\ell \sigma, h^{\ell'} \sigma' \rangle$ are not conserved separately.

Now let $\phi_1^\ell \sigma$ and $\phi_2^\ell \sigma$ be positive frequency modes satisfying Eqs. (9.51) and (9.52), respectively. Let us also require that

$$\langle \phi_1^\ell \sigma \phi_1^{\ell'} \sigma' \rangle = \langle \phi_2^\ell \sigma \phi_2^{\ell'} \sigma' \rangle = \delta^{\ell \ell'} \delta^{\sigma \sigma'},$$

(9.59)

then by using Eq. (7.42), we have

$$\Delta_{m_1^2}(x, x') = \sum_\ell \sum_\sigma \phi_1^\ell \sigma(x) \phi_1^{\ell \sigma}(x'),$$

$$\Delta_{m_2^2}(x, x') = \sum_\ell \sum_\sigma \phi_2^\ell \sigma(x) \phi_2^{\ell \sigma}(x').$$

(9.60)

Here $\Delta_{m^2}(x, x')$ is the standard two-point function of the scalar field with squared mass $m^2$, (see Sec. 2 of Ref. [38]) and

$$m_1^2 = a_3 + \lambda_1 a_2,$$

$$m_2^2 = a_3 + \lambda_2 a_2.$$  (9.61)

Now we can expand $\phi_1(x)$ and $\phi_2(x)$ as

$$\phi_1(x) = \sum_\ell \sum_\sigma \phi_1^\ell \sigma(x) a_{1\ell \sigma} + \phi_1^{\ell \sigma}(x) a_{1\ell \sigma}^\dag,$$

$$\phi_2(x) = \sum_\ell \sum_\sigma \phi_2^\ell \sigma(x) a_{2\ell \sigma} + \phi_2^{\ell \sigma}(x) a_{2\ell \sigma}^\dag.$$  (9.62)

We now write the field $C_{ab}(x)$ as follows:

$$C_{ab}(x) = C_{ab}^1(x) + C_{ab}^2(x),$$

(9.63)

where

$$C_{ab}^1(x) = \hat{x}_{ab}^1 \phi_1(x),$$

$$C_{ab}^2(x) = \hat{x}_{ab}^2 \phi_2(x),$$

(9.64)

with the differential operators $\hat{x}_{ab}^1$ and $\hat{x}_{ab}^2$ defined by

$$\hat{x}_{ab}^1 = \frac{-1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left[ \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \Box \right) - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \lambda_2 \right],$$

$$\hat{x}_{ab}^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left[ \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \Box \right) - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \lambda_1 \right].$$  (9.65)
We now expand the fields $C_{ab}^1(x)$ and $C_{ab}^2(x)$, as

$$C_{ab}^1(x) = \sum_\ell \sum_\sigma C_{ab}^{1\ell\sigma}(x) a_{1\ell\sigma} + C_{ab}^{*1\ell\sigma}(x) a_{1\ell\sigma}^\dagger,$$

$$C_{ab}^2(x) = \sum_\ell \sum_\sigma C_{ab}^{2\ell\sigma}(x) a_{2\ell\sigma} + C_{ab}^{*2\ell\sigma}(x) a_{2\ell\sigma}^\dagger,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.66)

where

$$C_{ab}^{1\ell\sigma}(x) = \hat{x}_{ab}^1 \phi_1^{\ell\sigma}(x),$$

$$C_{ab}^{2\ell\sigma}(x) = \hat{x}_{ab}^2 \phi_2^{\ell\sigma}(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.67)

Now from Eq. (9.56), we get

$$\langle C_{ab}^{1\ell\sigma}, C_{ab}^{1\ell'\sigma'} \rangle = -K_1 + \frac{\lambda_2^2 K_2}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^2} \langle \phi_1^{\ell\sigma}, \phi_1^{\ell'\sigma'} \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.68)

$$\langle C_{ab}^{2\ell\sigma}, C_{ab}^{2\ell'\sigma'} \rangle = -K_1 + \frac{\lambda_2^2 K_2}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^2} \langle \phi_2^{\ell\sigma}, \phi_2^{\ell'\sigma'} \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.69)

$$\langle C_{ab}^{1\ell\sigma}, C_{ab}^{2\ell'\sigma'} \rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.70)

Now we note from Eqs. (9.41), (9.43) and (9.47),

$$-\lambda_1 \lambda_2 K_2 = \frac{a_2}{a_1} K_2$$

$$= \frac{3}{4(\alpha - 3)} (2 - M^2)(6 - \alpha M^2)$$

$$= K_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.71)

Substituting Eq. (9.71) into Eqs. (9.68)-(9.69), and using Eq. (9.59), we get

$$\langle C_{ab}^{1\ell\sigma}, C_{ab}^{1\ell'\sigma'} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_2 K_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'},$$

$$\langle C_{ab}^{2\ell\sigma}, C_{ab}^{2\ell'\sigma'} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_1 K_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \delta^{\ell\ell'} \delta^{\sigma\sigma'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.72)

Thus the only non-zero commutators are given by

$$\left[ a_{1\ell\sigma}, a_{1\ell'\sigma'}^\dagger \right] = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 K_2} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'},$$

$$\left[ a_{2\ell\sigma}, a_{2\ell'\sigma'}^\dagger \right] = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 K_2} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.73)
Now using Eqs. (7.60) and (9.73), we get

$$
\langle 0 | C_{ab}(x) C_{a'b'}(x') | 0 \rangle = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 K_2} C^{1\ell \sigma}_1(x) C^{a1\ell \sigma}_b(x') + \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 K_2} C^{2\ell \sigma}_a(x) C^{*2\ell \sigma}_b(x') \right].
$$

Now from Eqs. (9.60), (9.64) and (9.73), we get

$$
\langle 0 | C_{ab}(x) C_{a'b'}(x') | 0 \rangle = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 K_2} x_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma}_1(x) x_{a'b'}^{1\ell \sigma}_1(x') + \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 K_2} x_{ab}^{2\ell \sigma}_2(x) x_{a'b'}^{*2\ell \sigma}_2(x') \right]
= \left[ \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 K_2} x_{ab}^{1\ell \sigma} x_{a'b'}^{1\ell \sigma} \Delta_{m_1^2}(x, x') + \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 K_2} x_{ab}^{2\ell \sigma} x_{a'b'}^{*2\ell \sigma} \Delta_{m_2^2}(x, x') \right].
$$

Thus we have

$$
\langle 0 | \phi_1(x) \phi_1(x') | 0 \rangle = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 K_2} \Delta_{m_1^2}(x, x'),
$$

$$
\langle 0 | \phi_2(x) \phi_2(x') | 0 \rangle = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 K_2} \Delta_{m_2^2}(x, x').
$$

Next we use these to find the Wightman functions for $B(x)$ and $h(x)$. We define $\Delta^\text{av}(x, x')$ and $\Delta^\text{diff}(x, x')$ as:

$$
\Delta^\text{av}(x, x') = \frac{\Delta_{m_1^2}(x, x') + \Delta_{m_2^2}(x, x')}{2},
$$

$$
\Delta^\text{diff}(x, x') = \frac{\Delta_{m_2^2}(x, x') - \Delta_{m_1^2}(x, x')}{m_2^2 - m_1^2}
= \frac{\lambda_2}{a_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}.
$$

Then using Eqs. (9.53), (9.54) and (9.76), we obtain

$$
\langle 0 | h(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{K_2} \left[ \Delta^\text{av}(x, x') - a_2 \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2} \Delta^\text{diff}(x, x') \right],
$$

$$
\langle 0 | B(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle = -\frac{a_2}{K_2} \Delta^\text{diff}(x, x'),
$$

$$
\langle 0 | B(x) B(x') | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{K_2} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \Delta^\text{av}(x, x') + \frac{a_2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \right) \Delta^\text{diff}(x, x') \right].
$$
We have, from Eqs. (9.41), (9.57) and (9.58)

\[ \frac{1}{K_2} = -\beta^2(\alpha - 3), \quad (9.82) \]
\[ \frac{a_2}{K_2} = -\beta(\alpha\beta - 3\beta - 4). \quad (9.83) \]

In the zero mass limit, we obtain from Eqs (9.40)-(9.43)

\[ \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 9\beta^2, \quad (9.84) \]
\[ \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2} = -3\beta, \quad (9.85) \]

This implies

\[ \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \lambda_1 = \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \lambda_2 = -3\beta, \quad (9.86) \]

and hence by Eq. (9.61), we get

\[ \lim_{M^2 \to 0} m_1^2 = \lim_{M^2 \to 0} m_2^2 = 3\beta. \quad (9.87) \]

Now we define \( \Delta_m^{(1)}(x, x') \) as

\[ \Delta_m^{(1)}(x, x') = -\frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \Delta_m^2(x, x'). \quad (9.88) \]

Note that

\[ \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \Delta_m^{av}(x, x') = \Delta_3^2(x, x'), \quad (9.89) \]
\[ \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \Delta_m^{diff}(x, x') = \Delta_3^{(1)}(x, x'). \quad (9.89) \]

So in the zero mass limit, we have

\[ \langle 0| h(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle = \beta^2(\alpha - 3) \Delta_3^2(x, x') \]
\[ -3\beta^2(\alpha\beta - 3\beta - 4) \Delta_3^{(1)}(x, x'), \quad (9.90) \]
\[ \langle 0| B(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle = -\beta(\alpha\beta - 3\beta - 4) \Delta_3^{(1)}(x, x'), \quad (9.91) \]
\[ \langle 0| B(x) B(x') | 0 \rangle = -\frac{\alpha - 3}{9} \Delta_3^2(x, x') \]
\[ -\frac{\alpha\beta - 3\beta - 4}{3} \Delta_3^{(1)}(x, x'). \quad (9.92) \]

By differentiating the formula \( (\Box - m^2) \Delta_m^2(x, x') = 0 \) with respect to \( m^2 \), we obtain

\[ \Box \Delta_m^{(1)}(x, x') = m^2 \Delta_m^{(1)}(x, x') - \Delta_m^2(x, x'). \quad (9.93) \]
So the scalar part of the graviton Wightman two-point function, which is
\[ G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S)}(x,x') = \langle 0 | C_{ab}(x) C_{a'b'}(x') | 0 \rangle, \] (9.94)
can be written as
\[ G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S)}(x,x') = G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S0)}(x,x') + G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S1)}(x,x') + G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S2)}(x,x'), \] (9.95)
where \( G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S0)}(x,x') \), \( G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S1)}(x,x') \) and \( G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S2)}(x,x') \) are given by
\[
G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S0)}(x,x') = \begin{aligned}
&\left( \nabla_{a'} \nabla_{b'} - \frac{1}{4} g_{a'b'}(x') \Box \right) \times \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab}(x) \Box \right) \langle 0 | B(x) B(x') | 0 \rangle \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} (g_{a'b'} \nabla_{a'} \nabla_{b'} + g_{a'b'} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b}) \left[ \frac{4}{3} \Delta_{3\beta}(x, x') \right. \\
&\left. + \beta (\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4) \Delta_{3\beta}^{(1)}(x, x') \right] \\
&- \frac{1}{16} g_{ab} g_{a'b'} [ -\beta (\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 8) \Delta_{3\beta}(x, x') \\
&+ 3 \beta^2 (\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4) \Delta_{3\beta}^{(1)}(x, x')],
\end{aligned} \] (9.96)
\[
G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S1)}(x,x') = \begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4} g_{a'b'} \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \Box \right) \langle 0 | B(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \beta (\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4) g_{a'b'} \nabla_a \nabla_b \Delta_{3\beta}^{(1)}(x, x') \\
&+ \frac{1}{16} \beta (\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4) g_{ab} g_{a'b'} \left[ -\Delta_{3\beta}(x, x') + 3 \beta \Delta_{3\beta}^{(1)}(x, x') \right],
\end{aligned} \] (9.97)
\[
G_{ab'a'b'}^{(S2)}(x,x') = \begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{16} g_{ab}(x) g_{a'b'}(x') \langle 0 | h(x) h(x') | 0 \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{16} g_{ab}(x) g_{a'b'}(x') \left[ \beta^2 (\alpha - 3) \Delta_{3\beta}(x, x') \\
&- 3 \beta^2 (\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4) \Delta_{3\beta}^{(1)}(x, x') \right].
\end{aligned} \] (9.98)

The contributions proportional to \( g_{ab} g_{a'b'} \) cancel out and the scalar part of
the Wightman graviton two-point function can now be written as

\[ G^{(S)}_{aba'b'}(x, x') = \nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla_{a'} \nabla_{b'} \langle 0 | B(x) B(x') | 0 \rangle \]

\[ + \frac{1}{3} g_{ab} \nabla_{a'} \nabla_{b'} + g_{a'b'} \nabla_a \nabla_b \Delta_{3\beta}(x, x') \]

\[ = \nabla_a s^1_{ba'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_b s^1_{a'a'b'}(x, x') \]

\[ + \nabla_a s^2_{abb'}(x, x') + \nabla_{b'} s^2_{a'a'a'b'}(x, x'), \quad (9.100) \]

where

\[ s^1_{ba'b'}(x, x') = \frac{1}{4} \nabla_b \nabla_a \nabla_{b'} \langle 0 | B(x) B(x') | 0 \rangle \]

\[ + \frac{1}{6} g_{a'b'} \nabla_b \Delta_{3\beta}(x, x'), \]

\[ s^2_{abb'}(x, x') = \frac{1}{4} \nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla_{a'} \langle 0 | B(x) B(x') | 0 \rangle \]

\[ + \frac{1}{6} g_{ab} \nabla_b \Delta_{3\beta}(x, x'). \quad (9.101) \]

Thus, we have calculated the scalar contribution to the Wightman graviton two-point function and found it to be of pure gauge form.

### 9.6 Full Graviton Two-Point Function

Now the full Wightman graviton two-point function can be written as:

\[ G_{aba'b'}(x, x') = G^{(S)}_{aba'b'}(x, x') + G^{(TV)}_{aba'b'}(x, x') \]

\[ = P_{aba'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_a s^1_{ba'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_b s^1_{a'a'b'}(x, x') \]

\[ + \nabla_a s^2_{abb'}(x, x') + \nabla_{b'} s^2_{a'a'a'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_a v^1_{ba'b'}(x, x') \]

\[ + \nabla_{b'} v^1_{a'a'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_a v^2_{abb'}(x, x') + \nabla_{b'} v^2_{a'a'a'b'}(x, x') \]

\[ + \nabla_a x^1_{ba'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_{b'} x^1_{a'a'a'b'}(x, x') + \nabla_a x^2_{abb'}(x, x') \]

\[ + \nabla_{b'} x^2_{a'a'a'b'}(x, x'), \quad (9.102) \]

where \( Q_{aba'b'}(x, x') \) is a pure gauge contribution given by Eq. \([9.5]\) with \( K^1_{ba'b'} = s^1_{ba'b'} + v^1_{ba'b'} + x^1_{ba'b'} \) and \( K^2_{a'a'a'b'} = s^2_{a'a'a'b'} + v^2_{a'a'a'b'} + x^2_{a'a'a'b'} \). The physical two-point function obtained by fixing all the gauge degrees of freedom in Ref. \([10]\) is denoted by \( P_{bcb'd'}(x, x') \). Therefore the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function is physically equivalent in linearized gravity to the physical Wightman two-point function.
We established the equivalence of the vector-tensor part of the Wightman graviton two-point function to the physical two-point function $P_{aba'b'}(x,x')$ of Ref. [10] in detail using the mode expansion. In Ref. [17] it was shown that the scalar sector is of pure gauge form for a specific value of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Here we have generalized this to arbitrary value of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Now suppose that a covariant Wightman graviton two-point function has an infrared cut-off $\epsilon$ and that it is divergent in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. As it is physically equivalent in linearized gravity to $P_{aba'b'}(x,x')$, and as $P_{aba'b'}(x,x')$ is not infrared divergent, the two-point function of a local gauge-invariant tensor field will not depend on $\epsilon$, i.e. will not be infrared divergent. This also means that the infrared divergences in the Wightman graviton two-point function for a certain gauge choice, for example as noted in Ref. [13], will not appear in the Wightman two-point function of any local gauge-invariant tensor field. So in this sense these infrared divergences in the Wightman graviton two-point function are gauge artefacts in the context of linearized gravity.
Chapter 10

Conclusion

This thesis consisted of two parts. In the first part we studied the Feynman ghost propagators for Faddeev-Popov ghosts for Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity in de Sitter spacetime and in the second part we analyzed the equivalence of the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function to the physical one obtained in Ref. [10].

We found that the ghosts and anti-ghosts in Yang-Mills theories satisfy the minimally-coupled massless scalar field equation and as a result the Feynman propagator for Yang-Mills theories in de Sitter spacetime is IR divergent. We also found that the Feynman propagator for perturbative quantum gravity is also IR divergent.

However it was shown that if we regularize these propagators by adding a small mass then the modes responsible for these IR divergences do not contribute to the time-ordered product of the fields. We thus found the effective propagators by first subtracting these regularized modes which cause the IR divergences and then taking the zero mass limit. This way we obtained the effective IR finite propagators for Yang-Mills theories and perturbative quantum gravity.

It seems likely that the use of these effective propagators will lead to consistent perturbative theories. However the consistency of these theories is not obvious because it is not clear how the removal of some of the modes from the Faddeev-Popov ghosts will affect the BRST symmetry of these theories. We cannot naively remove the constant or Killing modes from these theories because if we do so, they will be brought back as BRST transformation of some other modes. This point needs further investigation and we would have to modify the original BRST transformations for consistency. It will be interesting to find the modified BRST transformations for these theories.
after constant or the Killing modes are removed.

We have dealt only with integer-spins and it will also be interesting to derive the propagators for the half-integer spin ghost fields in supergravity. At present it is not clear if these propagators will be IR finite or IR divergent. It is also not clear that if they are IR divergent, then we can still use the method we used for integer spin fields to remove the IR divergences.

We analyzed the Wightman graviton two-point function with arbitrary gauge parameters in the second part of this thesis. We found that the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function is physically equivalent to the physical one obtained in Ref. [10], in the sense that they result in the same two-point function of any local gauge-invariant quantity. We then argued that as the physical Wightman graviton two-point function obtained in Ref. [10] is known to be IR finite and the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function is physically equivalent to it, so any IR divergences in the Wightman graviton two-point function, for example as noted in Ref. [13], has to be a gauge artefacts at least in the context of linearized gravity.

We should emphasize that we have only studied the free theory and at present have nothing to say about Wightman two-point functions in the interacting theories. It will be interesting to analyze what happens when interactions are introduced.

It will also be interesting to analyze the equivalence of the covariant Wightman graviton two-point function to the physical one in anti-de Sitter spacetime in arbitrary dimensions. In fact we can also analyze this equivalence for Wightman graviton two-point functions in four dimensional flat spacetime. This is widely believed to hold but has not been done yet and it will be interesting to see if a result similar to the one obtained in case of de Sitter spacetime holds for flat spacetime also.
Appendix A

Symplectic Product

A.1 General Procedure

We want to relate the symplectic product for scalar and vector modes to the Klein-Gordon type product for them. For this purpose the following formula is useful

\[ \nabla^a \nabla_b \phi \nabla^b \phi' - (\phi \leftrightarrow \phi') \cong -\nabla^a \phi \Box \phi' + (\phi \leftrightarrow \phi'), \]  
(A.1)

where \((\phi \leftrightarrow \phi')\) is defined to mean that the preceding expression is repeated but with \(\phi\) replaced by \(\phi'\) and \(\phi'\) replaced by \(\phi\), and \(\cong\) indicates equality up to terms that vanish when they are integrated over the space. To show this we first note that

\[ \nabla^a \nabla_b \phi \nabla^b \phi' - (\phi \leftrightarrow \phi') = Q^a - [\nabla^a \phi \Box \phi' - (\phi \leftrightarrow \phi')], \]  
(A.2)

where \(Q^a\) is a total divergence of an antisymmetric tensor:

\[ Q^a = \nabla_b [\nabla^a \phi \nabla^b \phi' - \nabla^b \phi \nabla^a \phi'] . \]  
(A.3)

This total divergence of an antisymmetric tensor can be converted into a surface term by Gauss’s divergence theorem on the spatial section \(S^3\) because

\[ \int d\Sigma_b \nabla_a F^{ab} = \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \nabla_a F^{a0} \]
\[ = \int d^3x \partial_i (\sqrt{-g} F^{i0}) . \]  
(A.4)

As there is no boundary on \(S^3\), we just drop such terms. We have used Eq. (7.13) here.
A.2 Symplectic Product for the Scalar Modes

We shall calculate the contribution to the symplectic product coming from scalar sector here. Let \( B^\ell\sigma, B^{\ell\sigma'}, h^\ell\sigma, h^{\ell\sigma'} \) be solutions to Eqs. (8.37) and (8.38) and let

\[
C_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} g_{bc} h^\ell\sigma + \frac{1}{4} B_{\ellbc}^\ell, \\
C_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma'} = \frac{1}{4} g_{bc} h^{\ell\sigma'} + \frac{1}{4} B_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma'},
\]

where

\[
B_{\ellbc}^\ell = (4 \nabla_b \nabla_c - g_{bc}/\Box) B_{\ellbc}^\ell, \\
B_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma'} = (4 \nabla_b \nabla_c - g_{bc}/\Box) B_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma'}.
\]

We denote \( C_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma}, B_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma}, B_{\ellbc}^\ell, h_{\ellbc}^\ell \) by \( C_{abc}^\ell, B_{bc}^{\ell\sigma}, B_{\ell\sigma}, h_{\ell\sigma} \) here for simplicity of notation. However it may be noted that they are modes and not fields which will be promoted to operators.

Momentum current conjugate to \( C_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma} \) can be found by substituting \( h_{cb} = C_{bc} \) in Eq. (8.8). Now if the momentum current conjugate to \( C_{\ellbc}^{\ell\sigma} \) is \( \pi_{abc}^\ell \), then we have

\[
\pi_{abc}^\ell = \pi_{abc}^\ell + \pi_{abc}^h,
\]

where we define \( \pi_{abc}^h \) to be the contribution coming from the pure trace part of the scalar modes which is obtained by substituting \( h_{bc} = g_{bc} h/4 \) in Eq. (8.8) and \( \pi_{abc}^\ell \) as the contribution coming from the traceless part of the scalar modes which is obtained by substituting \( h_{bc} = B_{bc}/4 \) in Eq. (8.8). Thus we get

\[
\sqrt{-g} \pi_{abc}^\ell = \frac{4 + 3\beta - \alpha\beta}{8\alpha\beta} (g^{ab} \nabla^c h + g^{ac} \nabla^b h) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1 + \beta}{4\alpha\beta} \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{\alpha\beta^2} \right) g_{bc} \nabla^a h,
\]

\[
\sqrt{-g} \pi_{abc}^h = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^a \left[ \nabla^c \nabla^b B - \frac{1}{4} g^{ab} \Box B \right] + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) \times \\
\left[ g^{ac} \nabla_d \left[ \nabla^d \nabla^b B - \frac{1}{4} g^{ab} \Box B \right] + g^{ab} \nabla_d \left[ \nabla^d \nabla^c B - \frac{1}{4} g^{dc} \Box B \right] \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta + 1}{2\alpha} \right) \left[ g^{bc} \nabla_d \left[ \nabla^d \nabla^a B - \frac{1}{4} g^{da} \Box B \right] \right].
\]

We can simplify \( \pi_{abc}^\ell \) by using

\[
\nabla^d \left( \nabla_d \nabla_a - \frac{1}{4} g_{da} \Box \right) B = \frac{3}{4} \nabla^a (\Box + 4) B,
\]
as follows,

\[
\sqrt{-g} \pi^{abc}_{(B)} = \frac{3}{8} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (g^{ab} \nabla^c + g^{ac} \nabla^b)(\Box + 4)B \\
+ \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1 + \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) g^{bc} \nabla^a (\Box + 4)B \\
- \frac{1}{2} \nabla^a \left( \nabla^b \nabla^c - \frac{1}{4} g^{bc} \Box \right) B.
\] (A.11)

If \( J^a_C \) is the contribution to \( J^a \) defined in Eq. (8.9), from the scalar modes, then we have

\[
J^a_C = - \frac{i}{\sqrt{-g}} \left( C_{cb} \pi^{abc}_{(C)} - C_{cb} \pi^{abc}_{(B)} \right)
\]
\[
= -i J^a_{(1)} - i J^a_{(2)} - i J^a_{(3)},
\] (A.12)

where

\[
J^a_{(1)} = \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{-g}} [h_{cb} \pi^{abc}_{(h)} - h'_{cb} \pi^{abc}_{(h)}],
\] (A.13)

\[
J^a_{(2)} = \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{-g}} [h_{cb} \pi^{abc}_{(B)} - h'_{cb} \pi^{abc}_{(B)} + B_{bc} \pi^{abc}_{(h)} - B'_{bc} \pi^{abc}_{(h)}],
\] (A.14)

\[
J^a_{(3)} = \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{-g}} [B_{bc} \pi^{abc}_{(B)} - B'_{bc} \pi^{abc}_{(B)}].
\] (A.15)
Thus we have

\[ J_a^{(1)} = \left( \frac{4 + 3\beta - \alpha\beta}{16\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1 + \beta}{4\alpha\beta} - \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{\alpha\beta^2} \right) \times (h\nabla^a h' - h'\nabla^a h), \]  

\[ J_a^{(2)} = \frac{4 + 3\beta - \alpha\beta}{4\alpha\beta} \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b B \nabla^b h' - \nabla_a \nabla_b B' \nabla^b h \right) \]
\[ - \frac{4 + 3\beta - \alpha\beta}{16\alpha\beta} \left( \Box B \nabla^a h' - \Box B' \nabla^a h \right) \]
\[ - \frac{3}{16} \frac{4 + 3\beta - \alpha\beta}{\alpha\beta} \left( h'\nabla^a (\Box + 4) B - h\nabla^a (\Box + 4) B' \right), \]  

\[ J_a^{(3)} = \frac{3}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \left( \nabla^a \nabla_b B \cdot \nabla^b (\Box + 4) B' \right) \]
\[ - \nabla^a \nabla_b B' \cdot \nabla^b (\Box + 4) B \]
\[ - \frac{3}{16} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \left( \Box B \nabla^a (\Box + 4) B' \right) \]
\[ - \Box B' \nabla^a (\Box + 4) B \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_b \nabla_c B \cdot \nabla^a \nabla^b \nabla^c B' - \nabla_b \nabla_c B' \cdot \nabla^a \nabla^b \nabla^c B) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{8} (\Box B \cdot \nabla^a \Box B' - \Box B' \cdot \nabla^a \Box B). \]  

(A.16)  

(A.17)  

(A.18)  

To simplify the term \( \nabla_b \nabla_c B \nabla^a \nabla^b \nabla^c B' - (B \leftrightarrow B') \) in \( J_a^{(3)} \), we first note that

\[ \nabla_b \nabla_c B \cdot \nabla^a \nabla^b \nabla^c B' \]
\[ = \nabla_b \nabla_c B \cdot \left[ (g^{ca} \nabla^b B' - g^{cb} \nabla^a B') + \nabla^b \nabla^a \nabla^c B' \right] \]
\[ = \nabla_a \nabla_b B \cdot \nabla^b B' - \Box B \nabla^a B' \]
\[ + \nabla_b \nabla_c B \cdot \nabla^b \nabla^a \nabla^c B'. \]  

(A.19)  

Then by considering this term together with the term obtained by interchanging \( B \) and \( B' \), we have

\[ \nabla_b \nabla_a B \nabla^b \nabla^a \nabla^c B' - \nabla_b \nabla^a \nabla_c B \nabla^b \nabla^c B' \]
\[ = \nabla_b (\nabla^b \nabla_c B \nabla^a \nabla^c B' - \nabla^a \nabla^c B \nabla^b \nabla_c B') \]
\[ - \Box \nabla_b B \nabla^a \nabla^b B' + \nabla^a \nabla^b B \nabla_b \nabla^b B' \]
\[ \cong - \nabla_b \Box \nabla^a \nabla^b B' + \nabla^a \nabla^b B \nabla^b \Box B' \]
\[ - 3 \nabla_b \nabla^a \nabla^b B' + 3 \nabla^a \nabla^b B \nabla_b B'. \]  

(A.20)
From Eqs. (A.19) and (A.20), we have
\[
\nabla_b \nabla_c B' - (B \leftrightarrow B')
\]
\[
\approx \nabla^a \nabla_b B' - \Box B' - \nabla_b \Box B'
\]
\[
+ 3 \nabla^a B' \nabla_b B' - (B \leftrightarrow B')
\]
\[
= -\Box B' + \nabla^a \nabla_b B' (\Box + 4) B' - (B \leftrightarrow B'). \tag{A.21}
\]

So we can now write \( J_a^3 \) as
\[
J_a^3 \approx \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{\alpha} \right) \nabla^a \nabla_b (\Box + 4) B'
\]
\[
- \frac{3}{16} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \Box B' (\Box + 4) B'
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{8} \Box B' (\Box + 4) B' - (B \leftrightarrow B')
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{\alpha} \right) \nabla^a \nabla_b (\Box + 4) B'
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{16} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{\alpha} \right) \Box B' (\Box + 4) B'
\]
\[
- (B \leftrightarrow B'). \tag{A.22}
\]

Now we note that using Eq. (8.37), we have
\[
(\Box + 4) B = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 3} B'
\]
\[
\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 3} \frac{\alpha \beta - 3B - 4}{\beta(\alpha - 3)} h. \tag{A.23}
\]

Now we note that using Eq. (8.37), we have
\[
(\Box + 4) B = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 3} B'
\]
\[
\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 3} \frac{\alpha \beta - 3B - 4}{\beta(\alpha - 3)} h. \tag{A.23}
\]

So the terms of the form \( \nabla_a \nabla_b B' \) or \( \nabla_a \nabla_b h' \) or these with the primed and unprimed functions swapped in \( J_a^2 \) and \( J_a^3 \) are
\[
\frac{4 + 3 \beta - \alpha \beta}{4 \alpha \beta} (\nabla^a \nabla_b B' \nabla_b h' - \nabla^a \nabla_b B' \nabla_b h)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{\alpha} \right) \nabla^b \nabla^a B' \nabla_b \left[ \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 3} (2 - M^2) B' + \frac{\alpha \beta - 3B - 4}{\beta(\alpha - 3)} h \right]
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{\alpha} \right) \nabla^b \nabla^a B' \nabla_b \left[ \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 3} (2 - M^2) B + \frac{\alpha \beta - 3B - 4}{\beta(\alpha - 3)} h \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{2 - M^2}{2} (\nabla^a \nabla_b B' \nabla_b B' - \nabla^a \nabla_b B' \nabla_b B). \tag{A.24}
\]

Now from Eq. (A.11), we have
\[
\nabla^a \nabla_b B' \nabla_b B' - \nabla^a \nabla_b B' \nabla_a B \cong -\nabla^a B' \nabla_b B + \nabla^a B' \nabla_b B, \tag{A.25}
\]
so we can write

\[ J_{(2)} + J_{(3)} = J_{(BB)} + J_{(Bh)} + J_{(hh)}, \quad (A.26) \]

where

\[
J_{(hh)} = \frac{3}{16} \frac{(\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4)^2}{\alpha \beta^2 (\alpha - 3)} (h \nabla^a h' - h' \nabla^a h), \quad (A.27)
\]

\[
J_{(BB)} = \frac{3}{4} (2 - M^2)(6 - \alpha M^2) (B \nabla^a B' - B' \nabla^a B), \quad (A.28)
\]

\[
J_{(Bh)} = \frac{1}{16} \frac{4 + 3 \beta - \alpha \beta - 6 - 2 \alpha M^2}{\alpha - 3} (B \nabla^a h' - B' \nabla^a h) - \frac{3}{16} \frac{4 + 3 \beta - \alpha \beta}{\alpha - 3} (2 - M^2)(h' \nabla^a B - h \nabla^a B') + \frac{2}{\alpha - 3} \frac{2 M^2 \alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4}{\beta (\alpha - 3)} (-\nabla^a Bh' + \nabla^a B'h) - \frac{1}{16} \frac{12 - 2 \alpha M^2 \alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4}{\alpha - 3} \beta (\alpha - 3) (B \nabla^a h' - B' \nabla^a h) - \frac{1}{8} \frac{2 \alpha - 3 \alpha (2 - M^2)(\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4)}{\alpha - 3 (2 - M^2)} (\nabla^a B' - h' \nabla^a B), \quad (A.29)
\]

Now we have

\[
J_{(Bh)} = \left[ -\frac{4 + 3 \beta - \alpha \beta - 6 - 2 \alpha M^2}{8 \alpha \beta} \frac{1}{\alpha - 3} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{\alpha} \right) \right] (B \nabla^a h' - B' \nabla^a h) + \left[ \frac{2 - M^2}{2} \frac{\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4}{\beta (\alpha - 3)} + \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{\beta (\alpha - 3)} \frac{3 + \alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4}{\alpha - 3 (2 - M^2)} \right] \times (h \nabla^a B' - h' \nabla^a B) = 0. \quad (A.30)
\]

So the \( B-h \) terms cancel out. The total \( B-B \) contribution is given by \( J_{(BB)} \) and the total \( h-h \) contribution is given by \( J_{(hh)} + J_{(1)} \), which is given by

\[
J_{(1)} + J_{(hh)} = \frac{1}{16} \left[ \frac{3}{\alpha \beta^2} - \frac{3 (\alpha \beta - 3 \beta - 4)^2}{\alpha \beta^2 (\alpha - 3)} \right] (h \nabla^a h' - h' \nabla^a h) - \frac{1}{\beta^2 (\alpha - 3)} (h \nabla^a h' - h' \nabla^a h). \quad (A.31)
\]
So if

\[ C_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} h + \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \Box \right) B, \]

\[ C'_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} h' + \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \Box \right) B', \]

then we can write,

\[ (C, C') = -K_1 \langle B, B' \rangle - K_2 \langle h, h' \rangle, \quad \text{(A.32)} \]

where

\[ K_1 = \frac{3}{4(\alpha - 3)} (2 - M^2) (6 - \alpha M^2), \quad \text{(A.33)} \]

\[ K_2 = -\frac{1}{\beta^2 (\alpha - 3)}. \quad \text{(A.34)} \]

This is the symplectic product between two scalar modes.

### A.3 Symplectic Product for the Vector and Tensor Modes

The equation of motion for the vector modes, Eq. 8.46, can be written as

\[ [\Box + 3 - \alpha M^2] A^b = 0. \quad \text{(A.35)} \]

Let \( A^b_{\mu\nu\sigma} \) and \( A'_{\mu'\nu'\sigma'} \) be two solutions to this equation. We will denote \( A^b_{\mu\nu\sigma} \) by \( A_b \) and \( A'_{\mu'\nu'\sigma'} \) by \( A'_b \) for simplicity of notation. However it may be noted that they are modes and not fields which will be promoted to operators. The momentum current conjugate to \( A_{bc} = \nabla_c A_b + \nabla_b A_c \), can be obtained by substituting \( h_{bc} = A_{ac} \) in Eq. 8.8. Now if the momentum current conjugate to \( A_{bc} \) is \( \pi_{(A)}^{abc} \), then we have

\[ \pi_{(A)}^{abc} = \pi_{(A_4)}^{abc} + \pi_{(A_5)}^{abc}, \quad \text{(A.36)} \]
where,

\[ \sqrt{-g} \pi^{abc}_{(A4)} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^a (\nabla^b A^c + \nabla^c A^b) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} [g^{ac} \nabla_d (\nabla^d A^b + \nabla^b A^d) \]
\[ + g^{ab} \nabla_d (\nabla^d A^c + \nabla^c A^d)] \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} [g^{bc} \nabla_d (\nabla^a A^d + \nabla^d A^a)] , \]  (A.37)

\[ \sqrt{-g} \pi^{abc}_{(A5)} = -\frac{1}{2} [g^{ac} \nabla_d (\nabla^d A^b + \nabla^b A^d) \]
\[ + g^{ab} \nabla_d (\nabla^d A^c + \nabla^c A^d)] \]
\[ + \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta \alpha} [g^{bc} \nabla_d (\nabla^a A^d + \nabla^d A^a)] . \]  (A.38)

If \( J^a_A \) is the contribution to \( J^a \) defined in Eq. (8.9), from the vector modes, then we have

\[ J^a_A = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{-g}} [A_{a}^{\mu} \pi^{abc}_{(A4)} - A'_{a}^{\mu} \pi^{abc}_{(A4)}] \]
\[ = -i J^a_{(4)} - i J^a_{(5)} , \]  (A.40)

where

\[ J^a_{(4)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} [A_{a}^{\mu} \pi^{abc}_{(A4)} - A'_{a}^{\mu} \pi^{abc}_{(A4)}] , \]  (A.41)

\[ J^a_{(5)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} [A_{a}^{\mu} \pi^{abc}_{(A5)} - A'_{a}^{\mu} \pi^{abc}_{(A5)}] . \]  (A.42)

It is well known that the symplectic product vanishes for \( h_{ab} = A_{ab} \) in the theory without the gauge-fixing term (see Ref. [49]). This implies that

\[ -i \int d\Sigma_{a} J^a_{(4)} = 0 . \]  (A.43)

So the only contribution to the symplectic product comes from \( J^a_{(5)} \). Now we can simplify \( \sqrt{-g} \pi^{abc}_{(A2)} \) by using Eqs. (A.35) and (4.10) as

\[ \sqrt{-g} \pi^{abc}_{(A5)} = -\frac{1}{2} [g^{ac}(\Box + 3) A^b + g^{ab}(\Box + 3) A^c] \]
\[ + \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta \alpha} [g^{bc}(\Box + 3) A^a] \]
\[ = M^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (g^{ac} A^b + g^{ab} A^c) + \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta} g^{bc} A^a \right] . \]  (A.44)
Substituting Eq. (A.44) into Eq. (A.42), we get
\[ J_a^{(5)} = -M^2 [A_b \nabla^a A^b - A'_b \nabla^a A^b]. \] (A.45)

Thus we have from Eqs. (A.43) and (A.45),
\[ \int d\Sigma_a J_a^a = -i \int d\Sigma_a J_a^{(4)} - i \int d\Sigma_a J_a^{(5)} = iM^2 \int d\Sigma_a [A_b \nabla^a A^b - A'_b \nabla^a A^b]. \] (A.46)

So we have
\[ (A, A') = -M^2 \langle A, A' \rangle. \] (A.47)

We can write the equation of motion for tensor modes, Eq. (8.47), as
\[ [\Box - 2 - M^2] E_{ab} = 0. \] (A.48)

Let \( E_{n\ell\sigma}^{abc} \) and \( E_{n'\ell'\sigma'}^{abc} \) be two solutions to this equation. We will denote \( E_{bc}^{n\ell\sigma} \) by \( E_{bc} \) and \( E_{bc}^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \) by \( E'_bc \) for simplicity of notation. However it may be noted again that they are modes and not fields which will be promoted to operators. The momentum current conjugate to \( E_{bc} \), can be obtained by substituting \( h_{bc} = E_{ac} \) in Eq. (8.8). Now if the momentum current conjugate to \( E_{bc} \) is \( \pi_{(E)}^{abc} \), then we have
\[ \sqrt{-g} \pi_{(E)}^{abc} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^a E_{bc}. \] (A.49)

If \( J_E^a \) is the contribution to \( J^a \) defined in Eq. (8.9), from the tensor modes, then we have
\[ J_E^a = -\frac{i}{2} [E_{bc} \nabla^a E_{(bc)}^{abc} - E'_bc \nabla^a E_{bc}]. \] (A.50)

So we have
\[ (E, E') = \frac{1}{2} \langle E, E' \rangle. \] (A.51)

### A.4 Summary

In summary,
\[ (A^{n\ell\sigma}, A'^{n'\ell'\sigma'}) = -M^2 \langle A^{n\ell\sigma}, A'^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle, \] (A.52)
\[ (E^{n\ell\sigma}, E'^{n'\ell'\sigma'}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle E^{n\ell\sigma}, E'^{n'\ell'\sigma'} \rangle, \] (A.53)
and if
\[
C_{ab}^{\ell\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} h_{\ell\sigma} + \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \Box \right) B^{\ell\sigma},
\]
\[
C_{ab}^{\ell\sigma'} = \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} h_{\ell\sigma'} + \left( \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \Box \right) B^{\ell\sigma'},
\]
then we can write,

\[
(C^{\ell\sigma}, C^{\ell\sigma'}) = -K_1 \langle B^{\ell\sigma}, B^{\ell\sigma'} \rangle - K_2 \langle h^{\ell\sigma}, h^{\ell\sigma'} \rangle,
\]  \hspace{1cm} (A.54)

where

\[
K_1 = \frac{3}{4(\alpha - 3)} (2 - M^2)(6 - \alpha M^2),
\]  \hspace{1cm} (A.55)
\[
K_2 = -\frac{1}{\beta^2(\alpha - 3)}.
\]
Appendix B

Explicit Form for the Tensor $k_{ab}^{n\ell\sigma}$, for $n = 0, 1$

B.1 General Procedure

We shall calculate explicitly $k_{ab}^{n\ell\sigma}$, for $n = 0, 1$ here, for completeness. It may however, be noted that the explicitly form of $k_{ab}^{n\ell\sigma}$ is not necessary for the main results of this thesis.

Recalling that
\[ A_{ab}^{n\ell\sigma} = \nabla_a A_{b}^{n\ell\sigma} + \nabla_b A_{a}^{n\ell\sigma}, \tag{B.1} \]
we have
\[ A_{\chi\chi}^{n\ell\sigma} = 2D_0 A_{\chi}^{n\ell\sigma}, \quad \tag{B.2} \]
\[ A_{\chi i}^{n\ell\sigma} = D_{-2} A_{i}^{n\ell\sigma} + \tilde{\nabla}_i A_{\chi}^{n\ell\sigma}, \quad \tag{B.3} \]
\[ A_{ij}^{n\ell\sigma} = \tilde{\nabla}_i A_{j}^{n\ell\sigma} + \tilde{\nabla}_j A_{i}^{n\ell\sigma}. \quad \tag{B.4} \]
So we have

\[ A^{\ell \sigma}_{\chi \chi} = 0, \]  
\[ A^{\ell \sigma}_{\chi i} = D_{-2} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)} (\cos \chi) Y_{i}^{\ell \sigma}(x), \]  
\[ A^{\ell \sigma}_{ij} = P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)} (\cos \chi) \left[ \nabla_i Y_{j}^{\ell \sigma}(x) + \nabla_j Y_{i}^{\ell \sigma}(x) \right], \]  
\[ A^{0 \ell \sigma}_{\chi \chi} = 2(\sin \chi)^{-2} D_{-2} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)} (\cos \chi) Y_{i}^{\ell \sigma}(x), \]  
\[ A^{0 \ell \sigma}_{\chi i} = \left[ \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 2)} D_{-2} D_{i} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)} (\cos \chi) \nabla_{i} Y_{i}^{\ell \sigma}(x), \]  
\[ A^{0 \ell \sigma}_{ij} = \frac{2}{\ell(\ell + 2)} D_{-2} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)} (\cos \chi) \nabla_i \nabla_j Y_{i}^{\ell \sigma}(x) + 2 \cot \chi P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)} (\cos \chi) \eta_{ij} Y_{i}^{\ell \sigma}(x). \]

From Eqs. (8.66)-(8.70) and (B.6)-(B.11) we see that the S^3 tensor structure for \( E_{ab}^{\ell \sigma} \) is similar to \( A_{ab}^{\ell \sigma} \) for \( n = 0, 1 \).

Now \( k_{ab}^{n \ell \sigma} \) is defined as:

\[ k_{ab}^{0 \ell \sigma} = M^{-2} \left[ 2(\ell - 1) E_{ab}^{0 \ell \sigma} - A_{ab}^{0 \ell \sigma} \right], \]
\[ k_{ab}^{1 \ell \sigma} = M^{-2} \left[ (\ell - 1) E_{ab}^{1 \ell \sigma} - A_{ab}^{1 \ell \sigma} \right]. \]

We first recall that from Eq. (8.52), we have

\[ D_{n} = \frac{d}{d\chi} + n \cot \chi, \]

and from Eq. (8.54), we have

\[ -\sin \chi D_{-\nu} P_{\nu}^{-\mu} = (\nu - \mu) P_{\nu-1}^{-\mu}(x), \]
\[ -\sin \chi D_{\nu+1} P_{\nu}^{-\mu} = -(\nu + \mu + 1) P_{\nu+1}^{-\mu}(x). \]

**B.2 Explicit Form for the Tensor \( k_{ab}^{1 \ell \sigma} \)**

We can now calculate \( k_{\chi \chi}^{1 \ell \sigma} \) as follows:

\[ k_{\chi \chi}^{1 \ell \sigma} = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ (\ell - 1) E_{\chi \chi}^{1 \ell \sigma} - A_{\chi \chi}^{1 \ell \sigma} \right] = 0, \]
To calculate \( k^{1\ell\sigma}_{\chi i} \), we note that

\[
D_{-2}P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi)
= \frac{\sin \chi}{\sin \chi} D_{-(L+1)}P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) + (L - 1) \cot \chi P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi)
= -\frac{[(L - 1) - (\ell - 1)]}{\sin \chi} P_{L}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) + (L - 1) \cot \chi P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi)
= \frac{\ell - 1}{\sin \chi} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) + \frac{L - 1}{\sin \chi} \left[ P_{L}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right]
+ \frac{L - 1}{\sin \chi} \left[ \cos \chi P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - P_{L}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right]
\approx \frac{\ell - 1}{\sin \chi} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \left\{ (\ell - 1) \frac{3\alpha - 5}{15} M^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} P_{\nu}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right\}_{\nu=1}
- \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \left[ P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \cos \chi P_{2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right].
\]

From this we find

\[
k_{\chi i}^{1\ell\sigma} = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ (\ell - 1) E_{i\chi}^{1\ell\sigma} - A_{i\chi}^{1\ell\sigma} \right] = \frac{\ell - 1}{\sin \chi} q_1(\chi) Y_{i1\ell\sigma},
\]

where

\[
q_1(\chi) \equiv \frac{1}{\ell - 1} \left\{ (\ell - 1) \frac{3\alpha - 5}{15} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} P_{\nu}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right\}_{\nu=1}
- \frac{\alpha M^2}{5} \left[ P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \cos \chi P_{2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right].
\]

Similarly to find \( k^{1\ell\sigma}_{ij} \), we note that

\[
D_{2}P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi)
= \frac{\sin \chi}{\sin \chi} D_{(L+2)}P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \bar{L} \cot \chi P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi)
= \frac{\ell + 3}{\sin \chi} P_{L+2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \bar{L} \cot \chi P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi)
= \frac{\ell + 3}{\sin \chi} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) + \frac{\ell + 3}{\sin \chi} \left[ P_{L+2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right]
- \frac{\ell - 1}{\sin \chi} \left[ \cos \chi P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - P_{L+2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right]
\approx \frac{\ell + 3}{\sin \chi} P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) + \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \left\{ (\ell + 3) \frac{3\alpha - 5}{15} M^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} P_{\nu}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right\}_{\nu=2}
- \frac{M^2}{3} \left[ P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \cos \chi P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right].
\]
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Hence we find
\[ k_{ij}^{1\ell\sigma} = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ (\ell - 1)E_{ij}^{1\ell\sigma} - A_{ij}^{1\ell\sigma} \right] = q_2(\chi) \left[ \nabla_i Y_{j}^{1\ell\sigma} + \tilde{\nabla}_j Y_{i}^{1\ell\sigma(0)} \right], \quad (B.21) \]

where
\[
q_2(\chi) = \frac{3\alpha - 5}{15} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} P^\nu_{(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right)_{\nu=2} - \frac{1}{3(\ell + 3)} \left[ P_2^\nu(\cos \chi) - \cos \chi P_1^\nu(\cos \chi) \right]. \quad (B.22)
\]

### B.3 Explicit Form for the Tensor \( k_{0\ell\sigma}^{0\ell\sigma} \)

Next to find \( k_{\chi \chi}^{0\ell\sigma} \), we use Eq. \( (B.17) \), and get
\[
k_{\chi \chi}^{0\ell\sigma} = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ 2(\ell - 1)E_{\chi \chi}^{0\ell\sigma} - A_{\chi \chi}^{0\ell\sigma} \right]
= \frac{2}{\sin^3 \chi} \left\{ (\ell - 1) \frac{3\alpha - 5}{15} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} P^\nu_{(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right)_{\nu=1} - \frac{\alpha}{5} \left[ P_1^\nu(\cos \chi) - \cos \chi P_2^\nu(\cos \chi) \right] \right\} Y^{0\ell\sigma}
= \frac{2(\ell - 1)}{\sin^3 \chi} q_1(\chi) Y^{0\ell\sigma}. \quad (B.23)
\]

Then we have
\[
k_{\chi \sigma}^{0\ell\sigma} = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ 2(\ell - 1)E_{\chi \sigma}^{0\ell\sigma} - A_{\chi \sigma}^{0\ell\sigma} \right]
= \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 2)} X(\chi) \nabla_i Y^{0\ell\sigma}, \quad (B.24)
\]

where
\[
X(\chi) = \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ 2(\ell - 1) \frac{1}{\sin \chi} D_1 P_{\ell+1}^\nu(\cos \chi) \right.
- \left. \left[ D_2 D_1 + \frac{\ell(\ell + 2)}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{\ell+1}^\nu(\cos \chi) \right]. \quad (B.25)
\]

Noting that
\[
q_1(\chi) = \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{M^2} \frac{\sin \chi}{\ell - 1} \left[ \frac{\ell - 1}{\sin \chi} P_{\ell+1}^\nu(\cos \chi) - D_2 P_{\ell+1}^\nu(\cos \chi) \right], \quad (B.26)
\]
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we find
\[ M^2 X(\chi) = \frac{2(\ell - 1)}{\sin \chi} M^2 D_1 q_1(\chi) \]
\[ = \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \cot \chi \frac{d}{d\chi} + 2 - \frac{(\ell + 1)^2}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \]
\[ = \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \cot \chi \frac{d}{d\chi} + (L + 1)(L + 2) - \frac{(\ell + 1)^2}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \]
\[ + [6 - (L + 1)(L + 2)] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \]
\[ = \alpha M^2 P_{2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi), \quad (B.27) \]
in the \( M \to 0 \) limit. Hence
\[ X(\chi) = \frac{2(\ell - 1)}{\sin \chi} D_1 q_1(\chi) + \alpha P_{2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi). \quad (B.28) \]

Finally to find \( k_{ij}^{0\ell\sigma} \), we write it as
\[ k_{ij}^{0\ell\sigma} = \frac{1}{\ell + 3} \left[ \frac{Z(\chi)}{\ell(\ell + 2)} \nabla_i \nabla_j + W(\chi) \eta_{ij} \right] Y^{0\ell\sigma}, \quad (B.29) \]
where
\[ Z(\chi) = \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ \sin \chi \left[ 3D_2 D_1 - \frac{\ell(\ell + 2)}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right], \quad (B.30) \]
\[ W(\chi) = \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ \sin \chi \left[ D_2 D_1 - \frac{\ell(\ell + 2) - 2}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right]. \quad (B.31) \]

We note
\[ q_2(\chi) = \lim_{M^2 \to 0} \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ \sin \chi \left[ \ell + 3 \right] D_2 P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - P_{L+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right]. \quad (B.32) \]

Then, we have
\[ M^2 Z(\chi) = 2(\ell + 3) D_1 M^2 q_2(\chi) \]
\[ = \sin \chi \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \cot \chi \frac{d}{d\chi} + 2 - \frac{(\ell + 1)^2}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \]
\[ = \sin \chi \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \cot \chi \frac{d}{d\chi} + (\ell + 1)(\ell + 2) - \frac{(\ell + 1)^2}{\sin^2 \chi} \right] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \]
\[ + \sin \chi \left[ 2 - (\ell + 1)(\ell + 2) \right] P_{\ell+1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \]
\[ \approx M^2 \sin \chi P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi). \quad (B.33) \]
Hence, we get
\[ Z(\chi) = 2(\ell + 3)D_1q_2(\chi) + \sin \chi P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi). \] (B.34)

In a similar manner, in the \( M \to 0 \) limit, we find
\[ M^2W(\chi) - 2(\ell + 3)M^2q_2(\chi) \cot \chi \approx M^2 \sin \chi P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi). \] (B.35)

Hence, we get
\[ W(\chi) = 2(\ell + 3)q_2(\chi) \cot \chi + \sin \chi P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi). \] (B.36)

### B.4 Summary

In summary, we find
\[
\begin{align*}
&k^{1\ell\sigma}_{\chi \chi} = 0, \\
k^{1\ell\sigma}_{\chi i} = \frac{\ell - 1}{\sin \chi} q_1(\chi) Y^{\ell\sigma}_i, \\
k^{1\ell\sigma}_{ij} = q_2(\chi) \left[ \hat{\nabla}_j Y^{\ell\sigma}_i + \hat{\nabla}_i Y^{(\ell\sigma)}_j \right], \\
k^{0\ell\sigma}_{\chi \chi} = \frac{2(\ell - 1)}{\sin^3 \chi} q_1(\chi) Y^{\ell\sigma}, \\
k^{0\ell\sigma}_{\chi i} = \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 2)} \left[ 2(\ell - 1) \left( \frac{d}{d\chi} + \cot \chi \right) q_1(\chi) + \alpha P_{2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right] \hat{\nabla}_i Y^{\ell\sigma}, \\
k^{0\ell\sigma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 2)} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{d}{d\chi} + \cot \chi \right) q_2(\chi) + \frac{\sin \chi}{\ell + 3} P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right] \hat{\nabla}_i \hat{\nabla}_j Y^{\ell\sigma} \\
&\quad + \left[ 2q_2(\chi) \cot \chi + \frac{\sin \chi}{\ell + 3} P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right] \eta_{ij} Y^{\ell\sigma}, \quad (B.37)
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
q_1(\chi) &\equiv \frac{1}{\ell - 1} \left\{ (\ell - 1) \frac{3\alpha - 5}{15} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} P_{\nu}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right|_{\nu=1} \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha}{5} \left[ P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \cos \chi P_{2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right] \right\}, \quad (B.38) \\
q_2(\chi) &\equiv \frac{3\alpha - 5}{15} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} P_{\nu}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right|_{\nu=2} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3(\ell + 3)} \left[ P_{2}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) - \cos \chi P_{1}^{-(\ell+1)}(\cos \chi) \right]. \quad (B.39)
\end{align*}
\]
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