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Abstract. Nerve block can eliminate spasms and chronic pain. Kilohertz frequency alternating current (KHFAC) produces a safe and reversible nerve block. However, KHFAC-induced nerve block is associated with an undesirable onset response. Optical inhibition using infrared (IR) laser light can produce nerve block without an onset response, but heats nerves. Combining KHFAC with IR inhibition [alternating current and infrared (ACIR)] produces a rapidly reversible nerve block without an onset response. ACIR can be used to rapidly and reversibly provide onset-free nerve block in the unmyelinated nerves of the marine mollusk Aplysia californica and may have significant advantages over either modality alone. ACIR may be of great clinical utility in the future.
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Introduction

Patients affected with neurological disorders are prone to chronic pain or spasmodic muscle contractions. Drugs or surgery can block undesirable neural activity, however, drugs have a slow time course and may have undesirable side effects, and surgery is usually irreversible. An ideal block would be fast and reversible over extended periods.

A promising new technology is kilohertz high-frequency alternating current (KHFAC), which reversibly blocks action potentials while still preserving nerve viability. KHFAC is effective in vertebrate myelinated axons, and in unmyelinated axons from the marine mollusk Aplysia. Nerve block obtained with KHFAC is consistently associated with an initial "onset response," during which the nerve fires rapidly for milliseconds to seconds. The onset response may cause brief but intense muscle contractions and pain. To improve the clinical utility of KHFAC, the onset response should be eliminated.

Increasing neural temperature can induce action potential block. Modeling studies suggest that the block is due to altered ion channel kinetics. Studies show that infrared (IR) laser block is fast, reversible, and has high spatial resolution in rat myelinated nerves and in Aplysia unmyelinated nerves.

We combined KHFAC and optical inhibition using IR lasers [alternating current and infrared (ACIR)] to produce fast and reversible nerve block without an onset response.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Animal Preparation

Unmyelinated nerves of Aplysia were used. Aplysia can be maintained for many hours and have previously been used to define appropriate parameters for optical block in myelinated rat sciatic nerve. Animals 300 to 400 g were used, as their nerves are 4 to 7 cm, with a diameter of 0.5 to 1.5 mm, comparable to rat sciatic nerve. Animals were anesthetized with isotonic magnesium chloride. The pleural-abdominal nerves were maintained in Aplysia saline at room temperature after dissection. All experiments were performed in vitro.

2.2 Experimental Setup

Suction electrodes and optical fibers were placed on the nerve (Fig. 1): a monopolar electrode for stimulation (a); 2 en passant electrodes for bipolar proximal recording (b); 2 optical fibers for IR block (c); 2 en passant electrodes for KHFAC block (d); and 2 electrodes for bipolar distal recording (e). En passant electrodes were chosen for convenience; cuff electrodes have previously been used successfully. Electrodes were filled with Aplysia saline before suctioning the nerve into the electrode to preserve nerve viability. An Ag/AgCl wire was inserted in each electrode. Electrical stimulation to generate nerve action potentials was controlled by a pulse generator (A310 Accupulser, WPI Instruments, Sarasota, Florida) via a stimulus isolator (WPI A360, WPI Instruments). KHFAC block was delivered by a controlled constant-current function generator (Model 6221, Keithley Instruments, Cleveland, Ohio) using a sinusoidal waveform. An inductor (8.2 H) was placed across the function generator outputs to minimize DC leakage. The nerve compound action potential (CAP) was monitored using AxoGraph X (AxoGraph Scientific).

For IR block, 600-μm multimode optical fibers (P600-2-VIS-NIR, Ocean Optics, Dunedin, Florida) were placed on either side of the same nerve cross section to produce more uniform IR exposure. They were coupled to 2 Capella lasers (Lockheed Martin AcuLight, Bothell, Washington) centered at 1860 and 1863 nm due to different wavelength tuning ranges, but with similar water absorption coefficients. The optical fibers gently touched the nerve sheath, producing a 600-μm spot at the nerve surface. The fibers had a numerical aperture of 0.22 (i.e., a beam divergence of 25.4 deg in air), so the spot was slightly larger at the axons due to divergence and scattering through the typically 100-μm thick sheath. Since the onset response travels both antegrade and retrogradely from the KHFAC block electrodes,

*Address all correspondence to: Hillel J. Chiel, E-mail: hjc@case.edu
applying the lasers to block the onset response near the proximal electrodes allowed the distal electrodes to serve as a control for the same KHFAC block. Varying placement of the optical fibers between the proximal and blocking electrodes (c) are shown schematically (see text).

2.3 Experimental Protocol

Three experiments were performed on three different nerves, using an A-B-A protocol. During protocol A, a train of action potentials was blocked by KHFAC; protocol B added IR inhibition to generate onset response block. Protocol A was repeated as a control. A current just above the stimulation threshold produced CAPs of sufficient amplitude to assess block effectiveness. The minimum amplitude KHFAC waveform at which block was observed was consistently at a frequency of 10 kHz and amplitude ranging from 10 to 15 mA (peak-to-peak). For the two lasers, radiant exposures per pulse ranged from 0.177 to 0.254 J/cm². Both lasers were switched on at the same time and emitted laser light for 30 s before the KHFAC waveform was applied, using 200-μs pulses at 200 Hz, to allow the temperature to reach a higher value.11 Nerve health was assessed before and after every experiment by comparing the propagating CAPs traveling down the length of the nerve.

3 Results

Figure 2 shows that application of KHFAC induced both an onset response (top panel and left box), and that it completely blocked the CAP (middle box), which returns after KHFAC was turned off (right box).

When only KHFAC is applied [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), left panels], the onset response is visible in both the proximal and distal recordings. When IR is also applied, the onset response is blocked in the proximal recording only [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), middle panels, shaded rectangles]. The onset response was still present at the distal recording because the onset response’s propagation to that electrode was unaffected by the laser [Figs. 1(d) and 1(e)]. Although the onset response was present in the distal recording, the CAP was blocked by the laser [Fig. 3(c)]. The onset response reappeared proximally as soon as the laser was turned off [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), right panels]. After ACIR, CAPs were triggered and had the same amplitude as before ACIR, demonstrating its reversibility. ACIR produced complete onset response block in each experiment (N = 3). The onset response, which has previously been shown to be variable over time,1,2 was not identical before, during, and after block, but the block of the onset response was always complete.

4 Discussion

Complete and reversible block without an onset response was obtained with ACIR. Previous studies using IR inhibition alone have found that the nerve physiology was unaffected by a similar protocol.10,11 We also observed that the CAP
was of similar size before and after treatment, suggesting that the nerve’s physiology was unaffected. Future studies will be necessary to determine if chronic exposure to this treatment has a deleterious effect on nerves. It will be critical to establish the safety of different optical protocols. Preliminary studies have indicated that a higher radiant exposure can lead to optical inhibition within 100 ms. A continuous wave laser may be more efficient than the pulsed lasers with 4% duty cycles used in this study. ACIR is a promising method of providing fast reversible block and may have application in the treatment of chronic pain and muscle spasticity.
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