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We report a device to fill an array of small chemical reaction chambers (microreactors) with reagent and then seal them using pressurized viscous liquid acting through a flexible membrane. The device enables multiple, independent chemical reactions involving free floating intermediate molecules without interference from neighboring reactions or external environments. The device is validated by protein expressed in situ directly from DNA in a microarray of ~10,000 spots with no diffusion during three hours incubation. Using the device to probe for an autoantibody cancer biomarker in blood serum sample gave five times higher signal to background ratio compared to standard protein microarray expressed on a flat microscope slide. Physical design principles to effectively fill the array of microreactors with reagent and experimental results of alternate methods for sealing the microreactors are presented.

Biology experiments in the early 20th century were performed one at a time in glassware such as test tubes, petri dishes or flasks. In the middle of the century immunoassays, based on 96-well plastic microtiter plates, were prototypical parallel biology experiments1. Currently around a million experiments are performed simultaneously for gene expression analysis2 and around a billion for next generation DNA sequencing3. These high throughput experiments are based on molecules tethered to a surface. However chemical reactions in living cells involve untethered, free floating molecules in aqueous solutions. Many different biochemical reactions occur simultaneously depending on cell type, cell cycle or external stimuli. Unravelling this complexity and its effect on human health requires high throughput experimental platforms that can simultaneously study thousands of biochemical reactions involving untethered, free floating, molecular compounds.

Protein expression in living cells involves untethered intermediate molecules such as mRNA, enzymes, ribosomes, amino acids and polypeptides. Proteins can also be expressed outside of living cells by subjecting gene DNA to ‘cell-free’ in vitro coupled transcription and translation (IVTT) reagent. This is the process used for nucleic acid programmable protein arrays (NAPPA)4,5 to express unique proteins from plasmid DNA containing their full length genes. Proteins are expressed and captured in situ in a microarray format at the time of assay. The microarrays are used to assay thousands of protein interactions simultaneously to discover autoantibody biomarkers correlated to specific diseases6–12 and to detect antibodies to pathogens13,14. To preserve protein function, assays using NAPPA are typically done within hours of expressing fresh proteins without ever allowing them to dry out. Contrast this with conventional protein microarrays based on purified proteins printed from frozen stock and then stored possibly for months before assay.

In situ protein expression for NAPPA is typically carried out on flat microscope slides by flooding the entire microarray surface with IVTT reagent. Spot to spot diffusion currently limits NAPPA density to ~2,500 protein spots per slide. Density can be increased by expressing proteins in an array of micro reaction chambers (microreactors)15. We report a novel device to reliably fill all of the microreactors with reagent and then completely seal them. The device is amenable to production scale processing of microreactor array slides.

Results

Microreactor array processing overview. The microreactor array platform consists of an array of functionalized microreactors in a microscope slide format and a device for filling the microreactors with reagent and then sealing them. Microreactor array slides (slides) are fabricated from silicon wafers using standard isotropic wet etch process with details provided in Methods. Microreactors are 270 μm across, 70 μm deep and 375 μm apart. There are ~14,000 microreactors in a hexagonal array pattern on a single 25.4 mm × 76.2 mm microscope slide format. The silicon surface is oxidized with 95 nanometer silicon dioxide (SiO2) which is the main component of glass. This makes the silicon slide compatible with conventional surface chemistry for functionalizing glass. It also prevents fluorescent signal quenching of bare silicon. Individual microreactors are filled with different unique
functionalizing chemicals using non-contact piezoelectric inkjet dispensing technology. Portions of these chemicals are bound to the functionalized surfaces of the microreactors. Dried printed slides may be stored for later processing. The slides may be soaked in a blocking buffer to wash away remaining unbound chemicals and to mitigate nonspecific binding. A centrifuge or vacuum chamber is used to force entrapped air out of the microreactors and fill them with the blocking buffer. After rinsing and drying, slides are inserted into the fill & seal device, Figure 1. An O-ring is placed around the periphery of the slide for vacuum or pressure sealing. A transparent, flexible, impenetrable, smooth, sealing membrane is placed over the O-ring and slide. A transparent window is placed over the sealing membrane and the assembly is clamped together in a rigid frame using fasteners.

Approximately 300 μl of degassed reagent is injected into a reagent inlet/outlet port and forced through a thin fluid gap between the slide and sealing membrane. Vacuum is applied to the fluid gap, through a reagent outlet port, before injecting the reagent. Microreactors are filled with reagent at a rate of greater than 10,000 microreactors per second. The functionalizing chemicals stay in place during filling since they are bound to the surface. High viscosity, pressurized, incompressible, sealing liquid is injected onto the sealing membrane through an inlet port in the window. The sealing membrane wipes excess reagent from the slide surface as the sealing liquid spreads out across the membrane. Excess reagent is forced out through inlet or outlet reagent ports and ~200 μl may be recovered. After spreading out across the whole surface, the pressurized sealing liquid continues to apply even pressure onto the slide surface through the sealing membrane. This uniformly seals all of the microreactors into isolated reaction containers.

The process of filling and sealing all of the microreactors takes less than five seconds. It is actuated entirely by opening or closing valves and is therefore amenable to automation via electronic actuators. Reagent reacts with functionalizing chemicals in the microreactors possibly creating untethered intermediate molecules that are contained for extended incubation periods. After incubation, the slide is removed from the fill & seal device for further chemical processing such as washing, blocking or labeling. To preserve molecular functionality, the slide is typically never allowed to dry out during an assay. The whole slide may be flooded with sample fluid to detect probe (query) molecules by their affinity to specific chemical products (targets) in the microreactors. After chemical processing the slide may be dried and imaged using a fluorescent microarray scanner for example.

Alternate methods for sealing microreactors. Three alternate methods for sealing microreactors were evaluated. Devices were fabricated to test the alternate methods. The alternate methods use the same process of filling microreactors with reagent as the preferred device of Figure 1. All of the alternate methods also use a sealing membrane but differ in how they actuate the membrane, either by: 1) silicone rubber, 2) gas or 3) hydraulic oil. Figure 2 is a schematic representation of the device based on silicone rubber. It is similar to the device reported previously with the addition of the reagent outlet port and the sealing membrane. The reagent outlet port enables applying vacuum separately from the inlet port. Previously vacuum was applied through...
the reagent at the inlet port. This introduced air into the reagent which interfered with filling of the microreactors. The sealing membrane isolates the microreactors from the porous silicone rubber and thus reduces diffusion after sealing the microreactors. The other two alternate methods are similar to Figure 1 with either gas or hydraulic oil replacing the viscous sealing liquid. Pros and cons of the various approaches are summarized in Discussion.

Experimental evaluation of fill & seal methods. The alternate fill & seal methods were experimentally evaluated for NAPPA and compared to the preferred method of Figure 1 and to flat glass. Printing mixture, containing capture antibodies, is printed into microreactors followed by plasmid DNA. To clearly detect diffusion, spots containing printing-mixture & plasmid DNA are surrounded by spots containing just the printing-mixture. Any expressed proteins that diffuse away from a DNA spot are captured by the antibodies in neighboring spots and therefore easily detected. Fluorescently labeled proteins expressed from the DNA are displayed in the subarrays of Figure 3. The top subarray (A) was expressed using the preferred fill & seal device of Figure 1. There is negligible signal in the adjacent spots indicating negligible diffusion and capture of expressed proteins. This is significant since the signal at a given spot is due exclusively to the analyte at that spot and not from its neighbors. This reduces false positives during data analysis. Brightness and contrast are adjusted at the right third of subarray (A) to show actual printing density and locations of the adjacent spots. Brightness and contrast of the image as a whole is adjusted to help visualize diffusion to compare the different methods.

Standard NAPPA on flat glass is compared to NAPPA on microreactor array in Figure 3B. The same set of plasmid DNA was printed on flat glass (B) at the same time as microreactor arrays (A). Printing conditions were optimized for microreactor arrays. Genes were expressed into their corresponding proteins by flooding the whole array with IVTT reagent and then incubating for three hours. Proteins on the substrates in (A) and (B) were fluorescently labeled, scanned and displayed using identical conditions. There is more diffusion on flat glass (B) vs. microreactor array (A). However standard NAPPA typically has lower diffusion if the printing conditions are optimized for flat glass

Protein subarrays expressed using the three alternative methods: silicone rubber, gas and hydraulic oil are shown in Figure 3 (C, D and E) respectively. Signal to background ratio of the various sealing methods are plotted in Figure 4.
Validation of microreactor arrays as a clinical screening platform.

Some cancer patients produce autoantibodies as a clinical screening platform. Autoantibodies can be detected in blood serum samples using immunoassays such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or NAPPA. NAPPA has dynamic range and limit of detection comparable to ELISA\(^\text{19}\). We compared sensitivity of detecting anti-p53 autoantibodies using NAPPA on microreactor array vs. flat glass. Full length genes for p53 protein were printed on both microreactor array and flat glass and processed as described in Methods. Printing conditions were optimized for their respective substrates, i.e. piezoelectric dispensing for microreactor arrays and pin-spotting for flat glass. The two types of substrates were then probed with serum sample from the same colon cancer patient at four serum dilutions from 1:50 to 1:900. Signal to background ratio of anti-P53 response is five times higher for NAPPA on microreactor array vs. standard flat glass, Figure 5. Signal to background ratios in Figure 5 are much lower than Figure 4 since serum has many different proteins that bind nonspecifically to the surface and increase background.

A bitmap image rendered in fluorescently labeled p53 protein expressed in microreactors from plasmid DNA for the p53 gene is shown in Figures 6. The image illustrate fidelity of the microreactor array platform with density greater than 10,000 protein spots expressed on a single microscope slide format with no diffusion or evaporation during three hours incubation. Moreover, the ability to control different protein levels by adjusting the amount of printed DNA, demonstrates the nuanced ability of these microreactors to test reactions under quantitative conditions.

Discussion

Previous work. The microreactor array is a synergy of microplate and microarray technology. It miniaturizes microplates down to the scale of microarrays allowing tens of thousands independent biochemical reactions simultaneously on a single microscope slide format. It is based on an array of microreactors that are accessed in parallel by a thin sheet of reagent and then sealed by viscous liquid acting through a flexible sealing membrane. To our knowledge, this microfluidic configuration is the first of its kind. It does not follow the conventional ‘lab-on-a-chip’ paradigm, i.e. a miniaturized, serial, 2D network of micro-channels emulating an electronic circuit or conventional chemical processing plant. Such platforms are also known as ‘micro total analysis systems’ (μTAS), ‘micro electrical mechanical systems’ (MEMS) or ‘microreactors’\(^\text{25–29}\). They were originally developed for polymerase chain reaction (PCR)\(^\text{30–31}\). Various planar microreactor array type platforms have also been developed for PCR\(^\text{32–43}\) and other life science applications\(^\text{44–52}\) but none use the unique microfluidic configuration presented here. Cell-free in vitro protein expression has been done in various microreactor formats\(^\text{53–59}\) but never using the present configuration for filling and sealing the microreactors.

Microreactor filling. Physical design principles to effectively fill the array of microreactors with reagent are presented based on fluid mechanics nondimensional analysis. Reagents in the life sciences are typically aequous solutions. Water has high surface tension which dominates other forces at the small dimensions of microreactors making them difficult to fill with reagent. For the microreactor array platform, reagent is forced into microreactors by first applying vacuum to a thin fluid gap between the microreactor array slide surface and sealing membrane and then quickly injecting reagent, under pressure, into this gap. This approach fills microreactors by overcoming surface tension through a combination of vacuum, pressure, inertial, wetting and viscous forces. Kinetic and potential energy of the reagent overcomes its surface energy. Similar issues, concerning filling small features with fluids, arise in other fields such as plastic injection molding and microimprint lithography\(^\text{60}\).
Weber number $We = \frac{\rho dv^2}{\gamma}$ characterizes relative influence of inertia vs. surface tension of fluid with density $\rho$ and surface tension $\gamma$ flowing with velocity $v$ in a channel with hydraulic diameter $d$. Weber number is used to analyze thin film flows and the formation of droplets and bubbles. The Weber number for the microreactor array platform is $We = 0.02$ meaning that inertial forces have low influence on filling microreactors compared to surface tension.

Capillary number $Ca = \frac{\mu v}{\gamma}$ characterizes relative influence of viscous vs. surface tension forces. The microreactor array platform has low capillary number $Ca = 0.001$ meaning that reagent flow dynamics at velocity $v$ are heavily influenced by surface tension $\gamma$ compared to dynamic viscosity $\mu$. The following key conditions are identified for complete filling of small features: high Capillary number, low aspect ratio features and high surface wetting of the features vs. the opposing surface. Aspect ratio is relative depth to width ratio of microreactors. Generally, aspect ratios less than one are required for complete filling of microreactors. A higher wetting (hydrophilic) microreactor array slide surface compared to the opposing surface (hydrophobic) promotes filling of the microreactors.

Reynolds number $Re = \frac{\rho dv}{\mu}$ characterizes relative influence of inertial vs. viscous forces. Physical design parameters are interdependent and must be balanced for good filling of the microreactors. High reagent injection velocity increases Capillary number to help overcome surface tension with viscous forces. However Weber number also increases with velocity resulting in incomplete filling of microreactors due to inertial forces which can break up reagent flow. This manifests itself as foaming and is exacerbated by gas dissolved in the reagent. Inertia can also cause reagent to skim across the top of the microreactors instead of flowing down into them. Complete filling of microreactors is therefore assisted by relatively low Weber number and relatively high Capillary number. The ratio of Weber to Capillary numbers also corresponds to Reynolds number $Re = \frac{We}{Ca}$ which should therefore be low for complete filling of microreactors. Regent flow in the fluid gap of the microreactor array platform has relatively low Reynolds number $Re = 20$ resulting in laminar flow. Low Reynolds number corresponds to low reagent injection velocity $v$ high kinematic viscosity $\frac{\mu}{\rho}$ and small reagent fluid gap $\frac{d}{2}$.

Physical parameter values for water are used here to calculate the non-dimensional numbers. Filling microreactors with actual reagents may be assisted by thickening agents to increase viscosity (lower $Re$) or surfactants to decrease surface tension (higher $Ca$). Small concentrations of surfactants can dramatically decrease surface tension.

Microreactor sealing. Microreactors are sealed with viscous liquid acting through a flexible sealing membrane, Figure 1. Viscous liquid has the appropriate combination of physical properties to seal the microreactors: incompressible flow to displace excess reagent from the microreactor array surface followed by isotropic pressure to seal all of the microreactors uniformly. This is the first time that viscous liquid is used in this manner and is a key advancement for this process. It provides a simple elegant solution to a challenging problem. As shown in Figures 3 & 4, alternate methods for sealing microreactors are not effective in preventing diffusion between microreactors. Using silicone rubber is the best alternate method but it is not completely effective. Silicone rubber presses down uniformly on the microreactor surface invariably entrapping reagent in small pockets that prevent complete sealing of the microreactors in those areas. Using either gas or hydraulic oil is not effective since each one quickly spreads out across the sealing membrane entrapping a layer of reagent that again prevents complete sealing of the microreactors. Compared to the preferred method of using viscous sealing liquid, the alternate methods clearly demonstrate the need for an effective means of first wiping reagent away from the surface before sealing the microreactors.

Conclusion. For NAPPA, the microreactor array platform provides an array of miniaturized reaction chambers that can be individually programmed to produce any desired protein. NAPPA is commonly used to screen antibody affinity to those proteins in serum samples from healthy vs. diseased individuals. The microreactor array platform has higher signal to background ratio of antibody response compared to standard NAPPA on flat microscope slides, Figure 5. It can therefore detect serum antibodies with higher sensitivity and potentially improve the accuracy of clinical studies correlating antibody response to disease.

Methods

Methods to generate NAPPA protein microarrays using microreactor arrays with the preferred fill & seal device of Figure 1 are presented. Methods to screen for antibodies in patient serum samples and analyze the results are also presented.

Fabricate microreactor arrays. Take appropriate safety precautions. To fabricate microreactor array slides, work in a properly equipped semiconductor fabrication cleanroom (Center for Solid State Electronics Research (CSSER), Arizona State University, Tempe AZ). For biochemical procedures, work in a biosafety level 1 (BSL-1) laboratory (Center for Personal Diagnostics (CPD), Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ). Work in a BSL-2 lab (CPD) for serum screening assays. Acquire appropriate training and certification for the facilities, procedures, equipment, chemicals, samples and wastes. Obtain all chemicals from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis MO) except where noted.

Design photolithography mask for microreactor array slides. Generate a drawing for the photolithography mask using computer aided design (CAD) software (AutoCad, Autodesk, San Rafael CA). Draw a 150 mm diameter circle. Space seven microscope slide format slides 25.4 mm × 76.2 mm apart within the circular outline. Add 50 μm wide cut-lines ‘streets’ between slides for dicing. For each slide, draw ~14,000 130 μm diameter circles for the microreactors in a hexagonal closest packing array pattern with 375 μm center-to-center spacing. Leave at least 1.33 mm empty areas, without features, at the top and bottom of each slide. These areas are used for autofocus by the microarray scanner.  Put numbers along the sides and bottom of each slide to identify rows and columns respectively. Include a logo along the bottom of each slide to orient it during the various processing steps of printing, assaying and scanning. Add 200 μm diameter circles outside of the slides for depth measurement during the etching process.

Fabricate photolithography mask for microreactor array slides. Upload photolithography mask drawing to mask manufacturer (JD Photo-Tools, Oldham UK). Specify “7” × “7” chrome glass”, ‘super-high resolution’ (128 K dpi), ‘darkfield’ and ‘design viewed from glass side’. Fabricate the mask. Clear areas of the mask (no chrome) with 375 μm, wide cut-lines ‘streets’ between slides for dicing. For each slide, draw a 150 mm diameter circle. Space seven microscope slide format slides 25.4 mm × 76.2 mm apart within the circular outline. Add 50 μm wide cut-lines ‘streets’ between slides for dicing. For each slide, draw ~14,000 130 μm diameter circles for the microreactors in a hexagonal closest packing array pattern with 375 μm center-to-center spacing. Leave at least 1.33 mm empty areas, without features, at the top and bottom of each slide. These areas are used for autofocus by the microarray scanner. Put numbers along the sides and bottom of each slide to identify rows and columns respectively. Include a logo along the bottom of each slide to orient it during the various processing steps of printing, assaying and scanning. Add 200 μm diameter circles outside of the slides for depth measurement during the etching process.

Pattern silicon wafers. Obtain 6” (150 mm) silicon wafers (University Wafer, Boston MA) with standard thickness of 675 μm +/− 25 μm. Electrical properties and crystal orientation of the silicon do not matter. Coat one side of each wafer with 300 nm LPCVD low stress nitride. Spin coat nitride with 1 μm AZ 3312 (AZ Electronic Materials Inc., Branchburg NJ) for 45 seconds and hard-bake at 100 °C for 2 minutes. Selectively etch nitride film with reactive ion etch (RIE). Remove photoresist with acetone.

Etch microreactors. Prepare HNA etchant mixture of 49% hydrofluoric acid (HF), 70% nitric acid (HNO3), and (98%) glacial acetic acid (CH3COOH) in the ratio of 2.75 : 1.5 : 1. Isotropically etch wafers for ~50 minutes to 70 μm depth and 270 μm diameter. Etching silicon with HNA is exothermic so agitate the wafers to maintain uniform temperature.

Grow oxide layer. Clean surface in piranha mixture (1:1 mixture sulfuric acid (H2SO4) hydrogen peroxide (H2O2)) for 15 minutes. Clean surface in buffered oxide etch of hydrofluoric acid (HF) and ammonium fluoride (NH4F) (1 : 6 mixture of HF : NH4F) for 10 seconds. Grow 95 nm thin film silicon dioxide (SiO2) layer at 1,000°C for ~1 hour in oxygen furnace (Tystar 4600, Torrance CA).
Dice wafers. Dice wafers along cut-lines (Advotech Company, Inc., Tempe AZ) into 7 individually microreactor array slides. Saw blade kerf is 50 μm so actual slide dimensions are 25.35 mm × 76.15 mm.

Functionalize surfaces. Clean residual organic materials from the microreactor array slides in piranha (1 : 1 mixture of H₂SO₄ : H₂O₂) for 30 minutes. After rinsing with DI water and drying with compressed air, immerse slides in 2% solution of (Aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) in acetone for 30 minutes. Thoroughly rinse slides in acetone and then DI water. Dry the slides with compressed air.

Print DNA into microreactors. Obtain plasmid DNA. Obtain a set of genes to be printed from DNASU Plasmid Repository (Center for Personal Diagnostics, Biodisgn Institute, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ). Clones are sequence verified and inserted in the E. coli pDNR-dual recombination cloning vector open reading frames (ORFs) with the natural stop codon absent and GST-tag appended to C-terminus.

Purify plasmid DNA. Grow E. coli colonies of clone vectors. Harvest E. coli and purify plasmid DNA in 96 microreactor array miniprep (Whatman Filter Plates, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis MO). Transfer miniprep DNA to 384-well microplate.

Normalize plasmid DNA. Normalize miniprep plasmid DNA to 100 ng/µl (Nanodrop 8000, Thermo Scientific, Wilmington DE).

Store plasmid DNA. Store plasmid DNA at 4 °C until printing.

Prepare NAPPA printing-mixture. Prepare 1X printing-mixture. Thaw components and keep them on ice. Mix components together in the following order and proportions: 1X nuclease-free DEPC-treated water (Ambion, Life Technologies, Grand Island NY), 0.66% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% anti-GST antibody (GE Healthcare), 5% BS3 crosslinker (Thermo Scientific, Pierce). Keep mixture on ice. Age printing-mixture at 4 °C for one day to allow partial crosslinking of BS3. Aliquot printing-mixture into 384-well microplate for printing. Keep microplate on ice until ready to print.

Prepare microarray pattern. Predefine a microarray printing pattern for the 96 plasmid DNA and the printing-mixture. Surround each spot containing printing-mixture and plasmid DNA with 6 spots containing just the printing-mixture without the plasmid DNA. Expressed proteins that diffuse away from a plasmid spot are captured by the anti-GST antibodies in the printing-mixture of neighboring spots and then easily detected.

Prepare bitmap pattern. For Figure 6 resize digital RGB image to 108 × 108 pixels using function imresize() (Matlab, MathWorks, Natick MA). Using imrgb2ind() function imind2rgb().

Align microreactor array slides. Align APTES functionalized microreactor array slides on the deck of a non-contact piezoelectric dispensing microarrayer (Rainmaker-au302, Engineering Arts LLC, Tempe AZ). Use the au302 alignment system to align the microreactors of the slides for continuous non-contact dispensing.

Print microreactor array slides. Use either 8 or 16 piezoelectric dispensers (Engineering Arts LLC, Tempe AZ) on the au302 microarrayer. Prime dispensers with DI water. Place the 384-well microplate with printing-mixture on the deck of the au302 microarrayer. Aspirate 2 µl printing-mixture into each dispenser using on-head aspiration syringes. Dispense 12.5 nL drops of printing-mixture in short bursts at 12,500 drops-per-second into microreactors using the predefined microarray pattern defined above. Use non-contact piezoelectric on-the-fly dispensing at uniform print-head speed of 175 mm/sec. Dispense the same number of drops with the same predefined pattern on flat microscope slides for Figure 2B. Clean the piezoelectric tips by flushing DI water through the dispenser while the dispenser tip is submerged in flowing DI water. Within 10 minutes, repeat the cleaning procedure. Clean the piezoelectric tips by flushing DI water through the dispenser while the dispenser tip is submerged in flowing DI water. Within 10 minutes, repeat the cleaning procedure. Clean the piezoelectric tips by flushing DI water through the dispenser while the dispenser tip is submerged in flowing DI water. Within 10 minutes, repeat the cleaning procedure.

Prepare IVTT reagent to microreactor array slides. Insert a microreactor array slide into the fill & seal device of Figure 1 (Biodisgn Institute, ASU, Tempe AZ). Put the O-ring around the slide. Place sealing membrane on top of the O-ring and seal. Place window on top of the sealing membrane and clamp the fill & seal device frame together using fasteners. Apply vacuum to the reagent outlet port and inject 300 µl IVTT reagent into the inlet/outlet port. Inject pressurized sealing liquid into the inlet port in the window to displace the reagent and seal the microreactors.

Prepare IVTT reagent to flat glass slides. Attach gasket (Hybriwell Sealing System item 440904, Grace Biolabs, Bend OR) to the slide. Using manual pipette, inject 150 µl IVTT reagent into the hole at one end of the gasket.

Incubate glass slides. Incubate glass slides and gasket at 30 °C in a chilling incubator (EchoTherm chilling incubator, Torrey Pines Scientific, Carlsbad CA) for 1.5 hours and then 15 °C for 0.5 hours.

Incubate microreactor array slide. Incubate the fill & seal device assembly at 30 °C in a chilling incubator (EchoTherm chilling incubator, Torrey Pines Scientific, Carlsbad CA) for 2 hours and then 15 °C for 1 hour. Incubation times are longer than standard NAPPA on glass slides to accommodate longer heating and cooling times due to the higher thermal mass of the fill & seal device.

Detect fluorescent signals. Fluorescently label proteins. To preserve molecular functionality, do not allow slides to dry out between processing steps. Prepare 5% milk-PBST (0.2% Tween) blocking buffer. Combine 500 ml 1X phosphate buffered saline (1 X PBS) with 25 gm 100% instant nonfat powdered dry milk and 1 ml Tween 20 detergent and mix with magnetic stirring bar for 10 minutes. Store milk-PBST at 4 °C. For each slide, inject 150 ml milk-PBST solution into the inlet/outlet port. Inject pressurized sealing liquid into the inlet port in the window to displace the reagent and seal the microreactors.

Probe serum samples. Following protein expression, block slides in 5% milk-PBST (0.2% Tween) blocking buffer. Combine 500 ml 1X phosphate buffered saline (1 X PBS) with 25 gm 100% instant nonfat powdered dry milk and 1 ml Tween 20 detergent and mix with magnetic stirring bar for 10 minutes. Store milk-PBST at 4 °C. For each slide, inject 150 ml milk-PBST solution into the inlet/outlet port. Inject pressurized sealing liquid into the inlet port in the window to displace the reagent and seal the microreactors.

Image microreactor array slides. Program fluorescent microarray scanner (PowerScanner, TECAN, Männedorf Switzerland) for microreactor array slides. Define coordinates of the empty areas at the top and bottom of slides for auto-focus. Define reflectivity of those areas. Define 70 μm offset for microreactor depth after autofocus. Apply self-adhesive 325 μm thick backing to slides to accommodate 1 mm slide thickness requirement of the scanner. Scan slides at 10 μm resolution, 25% laser power (out of 30 mW) and 25% (out of 800% maximum) photo multiplier tube (PMT) detector gain. Extract data (Array-PRO, Media Cybernetics, Rockville MD). Analyze data (Excel, Microsoft, Redmond)
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