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Underground experiments require significant supporting capabilities, including above-ground and underground cleanrooms, radon-reduction systems, and low-background assay systems. These capabilities are required to create and maintain low-radioactive environments for the operation of radiation-sensitive experiments such as those described in Sect. 1.2, 2.1.2, and 2.1.3 for neutrino physics and dark matter. To assess the needed supporting capabilities for future experiments, a survey was sent to all current and planned underground experiments with SNOWMASS white papers. Concurrently, a survey was sent to all current and planned underground facilities. Table 4-1 lists all survey respondents. Based in great part on the responses, Sections 4.1–4.3 below describe facilities' supporting capabilities and the needs of future experiments.

| Experiments                   | Facilities                                      |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| COSINE-100                    | Argo                                             |
| COSINE-200                    | CANDLES                                         |
| DarkSide-20k                  | CDEX                                            |
| DarkSide-LowMass              | CUPID                                           |
| Hyper-Kamiokande              | DARWIN                                          |
| KamLAND-Zen                   | DM-Ice                                           |
| Kton Xe TPC for 0vbb          | LEGEND                                          |
| Majorana Demonstrator        | nEXO [1]                                        |
| NEXT-CRAB                     | NEXT-100                                        |
| NEXT w/ Ba-Tagging            | NEXT-HD                                         |
| PIRE-GEMADARC                | NuDot                                           |
| Snowball                      | PandaX                                          |
| Super-Kamiokande              | SBC                                             |
| A possible neutrinoless-double |                                                |
| beta-decay extension to DUNE  |                                                |

Table 4-1. Survey respondents: List of Experiments and Facilities
4.1 Supporting Facilities for Low-Radioactivity Fabrication and Assembly

A general need for most underground experiments is space for low-radioactivity fabrication and assembly. Cleanrooms (as described in Sect. 4.1.1) and radon-reduced air environments (as described in Sect. 4.1.2) are important supporting facilities to mitigate exposure to ambient background sources.

4.1.1 Cleanroom Capabilities

Dust on or in sensitive detectors can compromise their operation (e.g. by causing electrical shorts or sparking [3]) and increase their radioactive backgrounds since dust particulates may contain $^{238}$U, $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K [4, 5, 6, 7]. Dust may also emanate radon into detector active volumes after detector assembly [6]. It is therefore often critical to minimize exposure of detector materials to dust at all stages of storage, handling, and detector assembly. The higher level of mine dust in underground space increases the level of contamination of the detector surfaces by these particles compared to above ground if dedicated cleanroom spaces are not used.

Detectors for underground experiments have often been assembled in cleanroom laboratories above ground and then transported underground to finalize the assembly. As the need for bigger detectors arises for the future of these experiments (see Sections 1.2 and 2.1.3), larger underground clean areas will be needed for detector assembly, as transport of very large assembled detectors from the surface will become too difficult. Underground clean areas will also be increasingly needed for material storage, screening facilities, and detector development such as crystal growth for solid state detectors [8, 9].

![Cleanroom class requested by future UG experiments](image)

**Figure 4-1.** Cleanroom class requested by future UG experiments

The standard cleanroom ISO-6–7 (class 1000–10000) currently available in different facilities across the world is sufficient for many experiments but not for all experiments. Some experiments require improving these cleanrooms to ISO-5 (class 100) for further suppression against dust fallout onto the detector material.
Table 4-2. Cleanroom spaces for underground facilities

| Laboratory                                | Depth (mwe) | CR Areas (m²) | CR ISO Class |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| Boulby, UK                                | 2850        | 800           | ISO 7        |
| Canfranc, Spain [10]                      | 2400        | 70, 30        | ISO 5-6      |
| Gran Sasso, Italy                         | 3100        | 13            | ISO 7        |
| Gran Sasso, Italy                         | 3100        | 86, 32        | ISO 6        |
| Gran Sasso, Italy                         | 0           | 325           | ISO 6        |
| Gran Sasso, Italy                         | 0           | 62            | (in progress) |
| SNOLAB, Canada                            | 6000        | 4924          | Not relayed  |
| SNOLAB, Canada                            | 6000        | 3159          | Not relayed  |
| SURF, SD, U.S.                            | 0           | 37            | ISO 6        |
| SURF, SD, U.S.                            | 0           | 55            | ISO 5-6      |
| SURF, SD, U.S.                            | 4300        | 120, 56, 55, 41 | ISO 5-6 |
| SURF, SD, U.S.                            | 4300        | 52, 18.3      | ISO 6-7      |
| SURF, SD, U.S.                            | 4300        | 286, 125, 38, 34 | ISO 7 |
| SURF, SD, U.S.                            | 4300        | 90            | ISO 8        |
| Y2L, Korea                                | 1750        | 46, 46        | ISO 7        |
| Yemilab (under construction), Korea       | 2500        | 23            | ISO 5        |
| Yemilab (under construction), Korea       | 2500        | 80, 20        | ISO 7        |
| Kamioka Observatory ICRR, Japan           | 2700        | 66            | Not relayed  |
| PNNL, U.S.                                | 38          | 5×19-60       | ISO 6-7      |

surfaces during the assembly stage, as shown in Figure 4-1. Table 4-2 lists the cleanroom sizes and ISO classes available in underground and surface laboratories worldwide.

For these future detectors’ development and assembly, multiple-sites monitoring of the dust concentration within the cleanrooms as well as the dust fallout rate over time is also recommended. Particle detectors should be distributed in strategic areas to sample the air within the room over time with prompt feedback. Witness plates should also be distributed in these areas to be measured under optical and/or x-ray fluorescence microscopy to enable an accurate modelling and tracking of the dust content within the room and its deposition onto the detector materials (which can be confirmed later with tape-lift measurements). The lowest requirements on dust fallout rate is at the level of 100 ng/cm² over the duration of experiment assembly for inner detector surfaces with a requirement of $\sim 10^{-17}$ g (U, Th) /cm² on U and Th from dust. These requirements are modestly lower than the sensitivity of the current assay techniques for dust deposition, which are primarily limited by systematic effects such as cross-contamination. Performing assays in situ may be one method to improve sensitivity to meet these needs.
4.1.2 Radon-reduced Cleanrooms and Other Spaces

Radon-daughter plate-out onto detector surfaces during storage, handling, or detector assembly provides additional long-lived radioactive contamination for underground experiments. Contamination with $^{210}\text{Pb}$ ($t_{1/2} = 22.3$ year) contributes to experimental backgrounds long after the initial plate-out via its beta decay [11, 12, 13, 14], alpha decay [15, 16, 7, 17] and recoiling daughters [11, 18, 19, 20, 21, 7, 22]. Due to nuclear recoil momentum, decay daughters are generally embedded tens of nm into the detector material surfaces after the initial parent depositions. The contaminants are therefore not easily removed with remedial cleaning after the assembly is complete. Techniques such as acid etching or electropolishing may be performed in some cases with relatively good efficiencies at removing some of the implanted radon daughters ($^{210}$Pb, $^{210}$Bi, $^{210}$Po) [23, 24, 25, 26, 17, 27]. The best approach remains mitigation against the deposition of radon daughters onto the detector material surfaces.

The air in underground laboratories typically has a high radon concentration ($\sim 100$ Bq/m$^3$), although some underground sites (such as Boulby and KURF) have low radon concentrations similar to outdoors ($\sim 5$ Bq/m$^3$) throughout their entire facilities. Many experiments require cleanroom areas for detector fabrication and assembly with radon concentrations below that of outside air. Larger future detectors requiring lower levels of radon-daughter plate-out will also necessitate larger cleanrooms underground with even lower radon concentrations. Table 4-3 lists the current low-radon cleanrooms worldwide along with additional spaces with radon concentrations reduced to lower than outside air. In general, these facilities have been built to meet the needs of specific near-term experiments. Future experiments described above in Sect. 2.1.3, such as liquid noble detectors, tend to need reduced-radon cleanrooms with areas 100–200 m$^2$, while several next-generation experiments (such as DarkSide-LowMass and future phases of NEXT) require lower radon concentrations ($1–5$ mBq/m$^3$) than are currently available. These lowest radon concentrations desired are at, but not beyond, the capabilities of the most sensitive radon monitors so far produced.

Because the ultimate goal of reduced-radon cleanrooms is to ensure a low level of radon-daughter plate-out onto detector surfaces is not exceeded, monitoring of the radon daughter plate-out is also needed in many cases (especially since such plate-out rates depend not only on the radon concentration but also on the material charge and geometry). Such monitoring is typically achieved through a distribution of low-background alpha detectors. Desired sensitivities for many experiments are lower than 0.1 mBq/m$^2$ activity of $^{210}$Po during a full construction period, implying that monitoring that can provide direct short-term feedback of use must be modestly better than the best sensitivity currently available. [28, 27]

Some experiments require lower radon concentrations in the air surrounding their detectors (often in gaps within shielding layers). Modane supplies air with a concentration of 15 mBq/m$^3$ to its experiments, while Canfranc supplies 220 m$^3$/hr air with 1 mBq/m$^3$ [10]. Y2L provides purge gas with a concentration of 1 Bq/m$^3$ to its HPGe detectors. Several experiments use liquid nitrogen boil-off as described above.

4.2 Assay needs

Underground experiments including dark matter searches and neutrinoless double beta decay experiments continue to require extreme detector radiopurity. Of particular interest are the primordial radionuclides, $^{40}$K, $^{232}$Th, and $^{238}$U which are present in most raw materials. For each of these experiments, materials are carefully screened and selected to comprise the detectors and their shielding. Once materials are selected, accurate and precise characterization is an important component in the modeling and analysis of their data. A complimentary suite of assay capabilities, including High Purity Germanium (HPGe) Gamma-
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Table 4-3. Radon-reduced spaces for underground facilities

| Laboratory            | Depth (mwe) | CR Area (m²) | CR ISO Class | Rn Concentration (mBq/m³) | Other Areas                          |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Canfranc, Spain [10]  | 2400        | 70           | ISO 5-6      | <5                        | 1 mBq/m³ to experiments             |
| Gran Sasso, Italy     | 3100        | 13           | ISO 7        | 10                        |                                     |
| Gran Sasso, Italy     | 3100        | 86           | ISO 6        | 50                        |                                     |
| Gran Sasso, Italy     | 3100        | 32           | ISO 6        | 50                        |                                     |
| Gran Sasso, Italy     | 0           | 325          | ISO 6        | (in progress)             |                                     |
| Gran Sasso, Italy     | 0           | 62           | ISO 6        | (in progress)             |                                     |
| Modane, France        | 4800        | 16           | (planned)    | 15 mBq/m³ to experiments  |                                     |
| SNOLAB, Canada        | 6000        | ISO 6        | (in progress)|                           |                                     |
| SURF, SD, U.S.        | 4300        | 45           | ISO 7        | 100                       |                                     |
| SURF, SD, U.S.        | 0           | 55           | ISO 5-6      | 500                       |                                     |
| Y2L                   | 1750        | 46           | ISO 7        | 1000                      | HPGe array room                     |
| Yemilab (planned)     | 2500        | 23           | ISO 5        | planned                   | planned                             |
| Yemilab (planned)     | 2500        | 80           | ISO 7        | planned                   | planned                             |
| U. Alberta, Canada    | 0           | 100          | ISO 8?       | 100?                      |                                     |
| SD Mines, U.S.        | 0           | 15           | ISO 5-6      | 20                        |                                     |

Ray Spectroscopy, Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), alpha screening, and radon emanation is required to determine which radionuclides are present in a material and at what levels. [6, 29]

The surveyed current and planned experiments relayed a variety of needed sensitivities for sample assays, with most next-generation experiments aiming for ∼100 nBq/kg assay capability for inner detector materials. However, KAMLAND-ZEN related their requirement of achieving on the order of 1 nBq/kg.

4.2.1 High-Purity Germanium Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy

Gamma-ray spectroscopy using HPGe detectors has historically been the workhorse of low-background efforts. These detectors are located in every underground lab around the world. Low-background counting of gamma rays to determine the radionuclides embedded within materials is sensitive down to 10 µBq kg⁻¹ levels. Counting times for these detectors are routinely on the order of 1–2 weeks, with some up to a month in duration. Samples must be of sufficient mass to collect emission statistics but also must fit within the shielding of the detectors, which vary in size. HPGe is a non-destructive assay technique, so it can be used to assay final components.

For samples of smaller mass and activity, Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) may be used [30]. Samples are first activated in a reactor, and then analyzed over a few weeks using HPGe detectors. This technique is effectively destructive to a low background sample as the sample is unusable after it is activated.

As shown in Table 4-4, there are currently over 60 HPGe detectors serving underground experiments worldwide. If each detector counts a sample for two weeks and each detector requires four weeks of
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Table 4-4. Current Low Background (LB) HPGe systems. Some sensitivities in our survey were not recorded.

| Facility                                      | Depth (mwe) | Number | Sensitivity [U], [Th] (mBq/kg) |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Berkeley Low Background Counting Facility     | 15          | 1      | 6 – 24                          |
| Boulby Underground Laboratory, UK             | 2850        | 6      | < 0.1 – 1                       |
| Canfranc, Spain                               | 2400        | 7      | 0.1 – 1                         |
| China Jinping Underground Laboratory          | 6720        | 3      | Not relayed                     |
| Gran Sasso, Italy                             | 3100        | 8      | 0.016 – 15                     |
| Kamioka Observatory, ICRR, Univ. of Tokyo    | 2700        | 3      | Not relayed                     |
| LAFARA underground laboratory, French Pyrénées| 220         | 5      | Not relayed                     |
| LLNL Nuclear Counting Facility                | 10          | 3      | Not relayed                     |
| Modane, France                                | 4800        | 2      | 0.4 – 4                         |
| Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, US     | 38          | 14     | Not relayed                     |
| SNOLAB, Canada                                | 6000        | 5      | 0.04 – 0.35                    |
| SURF, SD, U.S.                                | 4300        | 6      | 0.05 – 0.7                      |
| Y2L / Yemilab, Korea                          | 1750/2500   | 3      | Not relayed                     |
| Yemilab (planned)                             | 2500        | 80     | planned                         |
| SD Mines, U.S.                                | 0           | 2      | 200 – 2000                      |
4.2 Assay needs

calibrations and background checks per year, the world-wide capability for ultra-low background counting
is approximately 1,400 samples per year. Many experiments need on average 100 samples counted per
year. However, limits of sensitivity for currently available HPGe may not reach the levels required by the
most inner materials in the next generation of dark matter and neutrinoless double beta decay experiments.
Current detector limits are on the order of $10 \mu \text{Bq kg}^{-1}$. HPGe detectors with improved sensitivity (such as
multiple-crystal detectors), or other assay techniques with improved sensitivity, will be needed to provide
assays for next-generation experiments. Furthermore, we cannot realize the full efficiency of having all
world-wide detectors subscribed with the current model of each experiment “owning” detectors. World-wide
collaboration among low background counting labs is needed to fully realize the potential.

4.2.2 Mass Spectrometry

Complementary to HPGe screening are various forms of mass spectrometry. Inductively Coupled Plasma
Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) provides some of the lowest detection limits (sub-ppt, or 0.01 $\mu \text{Bq kg}^{-1}$) \cite{31, 32, 33}
available for $^{232}$Th and $^{238}$U as well as other isotopes of interest to the low-background community \cite{34, 35}.
While ICP-MS can also detect $^{40}$K, it is not nearly as sensitive to that isotope (reaching only ppm levels)
due to interference effects with Ar species produced in the Ar plasma.

One advantage of ICP-MS over HPGe detectors is in the measurement speed. Once the sample is prepared,
ICP-MS takes minutes to analyze one sample, whereas the HPGe detector may take weeks. Additionally,
smaller sample sizes are required with ICP-MS. If laser ablation is utilized, ICP-MS can become a location-
specific technique, although this mode of operation is not as sensitive as one in which a liquid sample is
introduced.

A disadvantage of ICP-MS is in the preparation of the sample (if laser ablation is not used). Optimizing a
sample preparation technique for each new material can be time-consuming. Since digestion or ablation are
required, the technique is destructive.

Most of the underground facilities surveyed either have 1–2 ICP-MS systems on site at their surface facilities,
or have relationships with nearby labs for use of their ICP-MS systems. Most of these ICP-MS systems are
located in cleanroom facilities with dedicated sample-preparation areas. The experiments surveyed either
plan to use these systems or have located other systems within their collaborating institutions.

4.2.3 Alpha Screening

Many alpha detectors have negligible backgrounds reduced by operation underground, but backgrounds of
the most sensitive detector for $\alpha$ screening, the XIA UltraLo-1800 \cite{36}, with a sensitivity to surface $^{210}$Po
< 0.1 $\mu \text{Bq m}^{-2}$ \cite{27} are reduced by operation underground by about a factor of 3 \cite{28}. Despite this fact,
relatively few underground sites (Boulby and Kamioka) have underground XIA detectors. No underground
XIA is in North America, although one will be moved underground at SNOLAB soon. Most experiments
require surface-alpha sensitivity that may be achieved with the XIA, but improved sensitivity is needed by
Argo and is important for many experiments wishing to ensure that assembly occurs within the background
requirements, rather than resulting in a need to etch or replace materials after assembly.
4.2.4 Radon Emanation Assays

As described in [37], emanation of radon provides an important radioactive background for most underground physics experiments, so screening candidate materials for Rn directly [38, 39, 40] is an important support for such experiments. Although radon emanation assays do not have improved sensitivity underground, many experimental systems requiring emanation assays are too large and/or fragile to move to an above-ground site for assay, and assaying as-built systems underground may be advantageous (see e.g. [6]). For these reasons, several underground laboratories, including SNOLAB, Boulby, and Canfranc, have radon emanation systems on-site, while SURF has the capability to harvest radon on-site for measurement nearby at South Dakota Mines [6].

The amount of radon emanation capacity worldwide appears sufficient for future experiments so long as this capacity may be efficiently exploited. However, for many experiments, improved radon emanation assay sensitivity would be useful, as many measurements of individual materials at the limit of sensitivity may easily add up to total radon emanation higher than the experiment requirements. Furthermore, ambiguities in interpretation from radon emanation measurements at room temperature when applied to experiments at low temperatures provide a need for future facilities for radon emanation at low temperatures.

4.3 Other Underground Support Needs

Experiments require additional specialized underground support to allow fabrication and assembly of detectors, or to allow experimental specifications to be met during operation. These support capabilities include underground storage of materials, on-site (including possibly underground) machining, and glove boxes for even cleaner detector assembly. These capabilities may require reduced radon environments, as may the detector shielding configurations.

On-site underground fabrication facilities are necessary to prevent cosmogenic activation of completed detector parts. Such facilities may provide benefit to multiple underground experiments at a site. Underground electroforming of copper parts can produce $>10\times$ lower radioactivity than the cleanest commercially available copper, and so is planned for experiments such as CDEX, NEWS-G, LEGEND, NEXT, and nEXO. [1] Experiments such as SBD and SuperCDMS would also benefit from electroplating of clean copper onto pre-machined copper pieces [41]. Underground electroforming capabilities exist at SURF, Canfranc, and PNNL, and facilities are planned for Boulby and SNOLAB. Additional underground fabrication of Ge detectors (to reduce the cosmogenic production of tritium) would also be beneficial for multiple experiments, but there is no such facility currently. Several labs (at least SURF, SNOLAB, and Gran Sasso) have underground machine shops available for general use.

Most underground sites have plenty of non-cleanroom space available for storage of materials that do not need to be kept in clean conditions. Such long-term storage is important for letting cosmogenic activation decay away in materials of detectors used for rare-event searches. Most experiments need only modest storage within cleanroom spaces, with needs captured in the discussion in Sect. 4.1.1. Some of this modest storage must be in low-radon volumes in order to reduce radon-daughter plateout onto parts. Such storage is most easily achieved by bagging materials in radon-impermeable bags or vacuum-tight canisters, and/or placing in gloveboxes or cabinets that are purged with low-radon gas, typically liquid nitrogen boil-off. Radon concentrations at or below 0.1 mBq/m$^3$ are achievable with such purges. [42, 43]
4.4 Conclusions

Several experiments require plants for water purification and radon removal (from the water), scintillator purification and degassing, or chemical spaces with fume hoods. SNLOAB in particular has excellent facilities for such liquid material purification.

4.4 Conclusions

The larger, lower-background experiments planned for the future will require larger support facilities that also enable lower backgrounds than are currently available. Gaps between existing facilities and future needs include the following:

- Some experiments require larger and/or cleaner cleanrooms than currently exist.
- Dust assay sensitivity needs to be improved modestly beyond current techniques, which are currently limited primarily by systematic, procedural contamination issues.
- Some experiments require larger and/or lower-radon reduced-radon cleanrooms than currently exist.
- Existing surface-screening methods for radon-daughter plate-out are not sufficient to inform experiments during assembly as to whether their needs are met.
- Most assay needs may be met by existing worldwide capabilities with organized cooperation between facilities and experiments.
- Improved assay sensitivity is needed for assays of bulk and surface radioactivity for some materials for some experiments, and would be highly beneficial for radon emanation.
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