The Annual Cycle in Scintillation Timescale of PMN J1726+0639
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ABSTRACT
We discovered rapid intra-day variability in radio source PMN J1726+0639 at GHz frequencies, during a survey to search for such variability with the Australia Telescope Compact Array. Follow-up observations were conducted over two years and revealed a clear, repeating annual cycle in the rate, or characteristic timescale, of variability, showing that the observed variations can be attributed to scintillations from interstellar plasma inhomogeneities. The strong annual cycle includes an apparent “standstill” in April and another in September. We fit kinematic models to the data, allowing for finite anisotropy in the scintillation pattern. The cycle implies a very high degree of anisotropy, with an axial ratio of at least $13 : 1$, and the fit is consistent with a purely one-dimensional scintillation pattern. The position angle of the anisotropy, and the transverse velocity component are tightly constrained. The parameters are inconsistent with expectations from a previously proposed model of scattering associated with plasma filaments radially oriented around hot stars. We note that evidence for a foreground interstellar cloud causing anomalous Ca ii absorption towards the nearby star Rasalhague ($\alpha$ Oph) has been previously reported, and we speculate that the interstellar scintillation of PMN J1726+0639 might be associated with this nearby cloud.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Sufficiently compact (sub-milliarcsecond-scale), centimetre-wave radio sources scintillate, as a result of inhomogeneities in the ionized interstellar medium (ISM) (e.g. Lovell et al. 2008). Scintillation can be thought of as a spatial flux density pattern – i.e. the source projected through a transparent plasma “screen” – that drifts relative to the Earth. For sources at cosmological distances, the velocity of the pattern is essentially that of the screen (Cordes & Rickett 1998), and therefore the change in the velocity of the Earth as it orbits the Sun can strongly affect the characteristic variability timescales. Such an annual modula­tion has been reported for more than a dozen sources (Dennett-Thorpe & de Bruyn 2001, Jauncey & Macquart 2001, Rickett et al. 2001, Bignall et al. 2019, and references therein; Said et al. 2020; Oosterloo et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021). For many of these, the annual cycles have been shown to be consistent with highly anisotropic, essentially one-dimensional scattering (e.g. Walker et al. 2009; Bignall et al. 2019; Oosterloo et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021), and the orientation of the respective anisotropy axes along with the projected velocity of the screen were determined.

Interstellar scintillations of extragalactic sources (generally active galactic nuclei, AGN) on timescales of less than an hour are rarely observed, whereas Lovell et al. (2008) showed that slower, intra- and inter-day, variations are displayed by a large fraction of compact sources. Broad-band intra-hour variability (IHV; also used to denote an "intra-hour variable" source) due to weak or refractive scintillation implies scattering within tens of parsec of the observer (e.g. Dennett-Thorpe & de Bruyn 2001). Such nearby scattering offers the possibility to reliably determine the nature and origin of the scattering material. The more distant structures responsible for slower scintillations are generally more difficult to precisely locate, due to the complexity of the ionised ISM along the line-of-sight, and the assumption of variations dominated by a single, “thin” scattering screen may not hold in these cases.

An earlier suggestion that the scattering plasma in the foreground of J1819+3845 might be associated with the nearby A star Vega (Dennett-Thorpe & de Bruyn 2002), along with the recent discovery of another IHV source, PKS B1322–110 (Bignall et al. 2019), just 8.5 arcminutes away from Spica, the Sun’s closest B star neighbour, prompted Walker et al. (2017) to examine possi-
ble connections between the known intra-hour variables and hot stars. A conclusion of that study was that the scintillations of both J1819+3845 and PKS 1257–326 are due to plasma associated with nearby A stars — the stars being Vega in the case of J1819+3845, and Alhakim (ι Cen), in the case of PKS B1257–326. The picture that was suggested by Walker et al. (2017) is of plasma filaments that are radially oriented around the host star, and co-moving with it. The annual cycle subsequently observed for PKS B1322–110 supports this picture, albeit with slightly more ambiguity in the fitted parameters, compared with the two aforementioned sources, due to the low ecliptic latitude of PKS B1322–110. The estimated probability of chance association of Spica with the scintillation of PKS B1322–110 is ~1% (Bignall et al. 2019), whereas for the two earlier established cases, the probability of chance associations is ~10−4 (Walker et al. 2017).

Until recently, J1819+3845, PKS B1257–326 and PKS B1322–110 were the only IHV sources with well-studied annual cycles. The advent of widefield cm-wavelength telescopes (namely, Aperif at Westerbork, and ASKAP) has led to the discovery of multiple intra-hour variable sources at frequencies near ~1 GHz (Oosterloo et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021). IHV at such low frequencies implies scattering within a few parsec of the observer. The implications of these recent discoveries are discussed further in Section 4.

To test the proposed association between interstellar scintillation and plasma around hot foreground stars, we undertook a survey with the Australia Telescope Compact Array to search for more intra-hour scintillating AGN among 506 compact radio sources. Among them, PMN J1726+0639 (Griffith et al. 1995) was found to show large intra-hour variations in its flux density. In this paper we report the results of tracking the rate of flux density variations in PMN J1726+0639 for just over two years, from February 2018 to March 2020. In Section 2 we describe the observations and extraction of flux density measurements. Our method of inferring variability rates in Section 3 allows us to characterise the scintillation rate during slow phases of the annual cycle, where traditional methods of analysis struggle. We fit the kinematic parameters of the annual cycle to the data, for the case assuming totally anisotropic scattering (i.e. an elliptical characteristic scintillation pattern). In Section 4 we compare the results to the predictions of the model connecting the scintillations with nearby hot stars, and discuss the implications. Conclusions are presented in Section 5.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

IHV of PMN J1726+0639 was discovered from several short snapshots over a few hours, observed with the ATCA on two separate days in August 2017, and confirmed in November 2017. In order to measure an annual cycle in the rate of scintillation, and to test whether it repeated the following year, we subsequently observed PMN J1726+0639 with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) at 26 epochs. The epochs are not evenly spaced, as more data during the low-rate (long timescale) periods are required to best constrain the annual cycle, as discussed in Bignall et al. (2019). The observations were performed by switching between two frequency tunings; the ATCA back-end enables 2 × 2 GHz-wide bands to be observed simultaneously. The resultant quasi-simultaneous spectra extend from approximately 4.3 GHz to 11 GHz. The initial flagging of radio frequency interference (RFI) and calibration of the data was done in a standard way using the Miriad software package1, with bandpass, polarization leakage and initial gain corrections derived from the ATCA primary calibrator PKS 1934–638. The data on PMN J1726+0639 were then split into 150 s time slices, and each slice independently self-calibrated in Miriad. The nearby ATCA calibrator 1705+018 was observed every half hour during the monitoring runs, and each scan self-calibrated independently just as for PMN J1726+0639, for comparison in order to check that the variations observed for PMN J1726+0639 are not an instrumental effect. As PMN J1726+0639 is isolated and unresolved with the ATCA at the observed frequencies, flux densities were estimated by averaging visibilities over all baselines. An example of the light curves obtained is shown in Figure 1, and for comparison the light curves obtained for the calibration source 1705+018 at the same epoch are also shown. For the present analysis, the data products for PMN J1726+0639 from each epoch are between 22 and 90 spectra, of 150 s integration time each. The summary of these data is given in Table 1.

| Epoch | Date | D.o.Y. | MJD (mean) | #points |
|-------|------|--------|------------|---------|
| 1     | 2018/02/10 | 41 | 58159.04 | 39 |
| 2     | 2018/02/11 | 42 | 58159.99 | 66/65 |
| 3     | 2018/02/24 | 54 | 58172.93 | 54/57 |
| 4     | 2018/04/05 | 94 | 58212.74 | 84/83 |
| 5     | 2018/05/11 | 130 | 58248.69 | 90 |
| 6     | 2018/07/23 | 203 | 58321.53 | 71 |
| 7     | 2018/08/12 | 224 | 58342.36 | 60/59 |
| 8     | 2018/08/18 | 230 | 58348.29 | 45/43 |
| 9     | 2018/08/26 | 238 | 58356.38 | 54/55 |
| 10    | 2018/08/27 | 239 | 58357.45 | 66/65 |
| 11    | 2018/10/07 | 280 | 58398.25 | 56 |
| 12    | 2018/12/09 | 343 | 58461.09 | 86/85 |
| 13    | 2019/03/31 | 89(+365) | 58572.8 | 88 |
| 14    | 2019/04/08 | 97(+365) | 58580.74 | 80 |
| 15    | 2019/05/30 | 149(+365) | 58632.62 | 85 |
| 16    | 2019/06/19 | 231(+365) | 58714.4 | 79/80 |
| 17    | 2019/08/27 | 239(+365) | 58722.36 | 81/82 |
| 18    | 2019/09/01 | 244(+365) | 58727.39 | 75/74 |
| 19    | 2019/09/06 | 249(+365) | 58732.48 | 22 |
| 20    | 2019/09/13 | 256(+365) | 58739.35 | 80/79 |
| 21    | 2019/09/22 | 263(+365) | 58748.28 | 84 |
| 22    | 2019/10/07 | 280(+365) | 58763.28 | 73/70 |
| 23    | 2019/10/26 | 299(+365) | 58782.27 | 53 |
| 24    | 2019/12/08 | 342(+365) | 58825.11 | 82/81 |
| 25    | 2020/03/10 | 69(+731) | 58917.83 | 82/81 |
| 26    | 2020/03/29 | 88(+731) | 58936.79 | 83/82 |

1 The calibration method is described at https://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/miriad/userguide/node87.html
this method over traditional methods to estimate characteristic variability timescale is its ability to constrain the rate near "standstill" periods, where the lightcurves show essentially no variability due to the relative velocity component of the scintillation pattern with respect to the observer being zero in the direction perpendicular to the elongation of the pattern, for a highly anisotropic scintillation pattern. Accurate determination of the zero-crossing times, or standstills, if present, in the annual cycle is crucial to determine the kinematic parameters (cf. Figure 5).

Although we have detailed spectral information as shown in Figure 1, the variations are partially correlated across the entire band. Based on the large amplitude of the variations, up to ~50%, we are likely observing at frequencies close to the transition between weak and strong scattering, rather than in asymptotically weak scattering. Furthermore, the smoothness of the variations suggests the finite source size is smoothing over the scintillation pattern, thus the underlying amplitude for scintillation of a point source would be higher still. The source size, its flux density and core dominance, as well as the scintillation itself are all frequency dependent. In order to avoid taking a computationally expensive account of inter-channel correlations, while still using the information at different frequencies, as was done for Bignall et al. (2019) we selected only two, widely separated 0.5 GHz-wide bands, centred at 5.5 GHz and 10 GHz for the present analysis. These are assumed independent, and 0.5 GHz is chosen as the width where the empirical uncertainty of the mean over the interval (which includes both noise and real variations with frequency) approaches the expected thermal noise in the interval. This value is ~0.3 mJy for both sub-bands.

3.2 Modelling the annual rate curve

As described in Bignall et al. (2019), for a highly anisotropic scintillation pattern, the absolute rate of the variations,

\[ R = \frac{\nu^{\perp}}{a_\perp}, \tag{1} \]

is determined by the effective transverse velocity (Cordes & Rickett 1998),

\[ \nu^{\perp} = \nu_{\text{screen}} - \nu_\|, \tag{2} \]

and \( a_\perp \), defined as the half-width at half-maximum (HWHM) of the auto-correlation function (ACF) of the spatial structure of the scintillation pattern. The infinitely anisotropic (1D) model has three parameters: the position angle of anisotropy in the scintillation pattern, \( \rho \); the transverse scintillating screen velocity component perpendicular to that elongation, \( \nu^{\perp} \); and, the characteristic size of the pattern \( a_\perp \).

For the present analysis, we also extend our fitting to a more general, finitely anisotropic (2D) model of the scintillation rate, as described in Wang et al. (2021), by performing a grid search over the parameter space to minimise \( \chi^2 \). While the data, with clear standstill points in the annual cycle in variation rate, suggest a highly anisotropic scintillation pattern, the 2D model allows us to constrain the degree of anisotropy. In this model we assume that the statistics of the light curves can be described as a Gaussian process, and we have have five free parameters in the fit: two measurements of the spatial auto-covariance ellipse of the projected flux pattern along its principal axes \( a_{\parallel,\perp} \); the orientation of the major axis of this pattern, \( \rho \); and, two components \( \nu_{\text{screen},\perp} \) of the projected...
Figure 2. Observed light curves of PMN J1726+0639, averaged over 0.5 GHz-wide bands near the bottom and top of our bandwidth. The error bars, mostly too small to be seen, estimate the uncertainty of the mean. The points shown in semi-transparent colour were discarded from the analysis.
The best fit model (which is totally anisotropic, 1D) is shown with a thick line.

\[ \chi^2 = \frac{\left( v_{\parallel i} - v_{\parallel \text{screen}} \right)^2}{a_{\parallel}^2} + \frac{\left( v_{\perp i} - v_{\perp \text{screen}} \right)^2}{a_{\perp}^2}, \]

where \( v_{\parallel i} \) is the Earth velocity on \( -i \)th epoch. We minimise the \( \chi^2 \) formed with \( R_i^2 \) rather than \( R_i \) because this yields an (almost, subject to the positivity constraint) linear optimisation problem in \( a_{\parallel,\perp} \), which we solve analytically in every cell of the grid; the remaining three dimensions (PA and \( v_{\parallel \text{screen}}, v_{\perp \text{screen}} \)) are feasible to explore numerically.

### 3.3 Results

Figure 3 presents the inferred absolute rates of scintillation as a function of day of year compared to model rate curves expected for radially elongated plasma associated with hot stars along the line of sight to PMN J1726 + 0639, as well as results of unrestricted modelling. Rates estimated at 5.5 GHz are shown, absolute rates at 10 GHz are higher by a factor of 1.49 ± 0.01 (the relative rates at the two frequencies are the same by construction). We choose to plot the scintillation rates rather than timescales because, for a one-dimensional model, the former are proportional to a component of the effective velocity, \( v_{\perp \text{screen}} \) and are thus expected to be a sinusoidal function of time.

Table 2 summarises the results of our modelling. The data are consistent with only highly anisotropic scintillation, with the ratio \( a_{\parallel} : a_{\perp} \) constrained to be above 13 : 1 for a good fit to the data. Formally the best fit model is infinitely anisotropic. Figure 4 presents the results of the modelling, reparameterised back to the \( (v_{\parallel \text{screen}}, \text{PA}) \) space. The parameters are well constrained with PA = 333° ± 3° (north through east) and \( v_{\parallel \text{screen}} = 5.3 ± 0.8 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The fitted value for the linear scale of the scintillation pattern, \( a_{\perp} = (3.73 ± 0.03) \times 10^4 \text{ km} \) at 5.5 GHz.

As discussed in Bignall et al. (2019) for the case of variations observed for PKS B1322−110, in Wang et al. (2021) for ASKAP fast scintillators, and also found here for the analysis of PMN J1726+0639, errors in the fitted rates formally estimated from the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling result in best fit \( \chi^2 \) values being much larger than expected. The errors are most likely being underestimated due to our statistical model not being entirely adequate. The one-sigma confidence region shown in Figure 4 corresponds to error bars inflated by a factor of 5.4 in order to bring the resultant optimal \( \chi^2 \) to its expected value, i.e. a reduced \( \chi^2 \) of 1, for the best fit finitely anisotropic model. Without this inflation the uncertainties are tiny. We note that our algorithm treats the two light curves as independent, but since the variations in the two bands are partially correlated, the algorithm assigns more confidence to the results than it should. We repeated the analysis using single-band light curves, but the error bars still had to be inflated by a (smaller) factor of 4 to bring the \( \chi^2 \) to its expected value, so the correlated light curves are not the major factor responsible for the underestimated errors. As discussed in Bignall et al. (2019) the assumption of a Gaussian process and the particular parameterisation used for the auto-correlation function may not be an entirely adequate statistical description of the process, but at present we do not have a better choice.

### 4 Discussion

The fitted value of \( a_{\perp} = 3.7 \times 10^4 \text{ km} \) corresponds to a distance of \( D \) of the scattering screen of ~ 5 pc if \( a_{\perp} \) is interpreted as the size of the first Fresnel zone \( r_F = \sqrt{D/(2\pi\nu)} \), as would be appropriate for weak scattering (e.g. Walker 1998). Alternatively, following Walker et al. (2017), we might interpret the observed scintillation pattern size as the projection of a \( \theta_z \approx c/\sqrt{2\pi k\nu T_B} \approx 17 \text{ mas-wide} \) Gaussian source (times 2 log 2 as appropriate for the ACF HWHM measure) implied by the observed flux density \( F_\nu \approx 200 \text{ mJy} \) and an assumed brightness temperature of \( T_B = 10^{13} \text{ K} \). In that case the distance is estimated as ~ 9 pc. For PMN J1726+0639 the measured ratio of the time scales at the two frequencies is 1.49 ± 0.01. That is closer to the expected ratio of Fresnel scales (1.35) than the ratio of source sizes (1.92, assuming a constant brightness temperature), so we prefer to interpret \( a_{\perp} \) as the size of the Fresnel zone. We note,

\[ \chi^2 \] to be minimised using \( R_i^2 \), rather than \( R_i \), values (equation 3), solving a linear minimisation problem for \( a_{\parallel,\perp}^2 \) at each cell in the grid search; since \( a_{\perp} \) are restricted to be positive, the optimum can be reached at the boundary, \( a_{\perp} \to 0 \), which corresponds to infinitely anisotropic models.

| PA          | 333° ± 3° |
|-------------|-----------|
| \( v_{\parallel} \) | (5.3 ± 0.8) km s\(^{-1}\) |
| \( a_{\perp} \) | (3.73 ± 0.03) \times 10^4 km |
| \( a_{\parallel} : a_{\perp} \) | > 13 : 1 (best fit is 1D) |
| \( v_{\perp \text{screen}} \) | unconstrained (within ±50 km s\(^{-1}\)) |
Just a little further out, at a distance $3 \text{pc}$, we observe standstills and are thus ruled out. Comparing our figure 5 with the projected orbit at position $90^\circ$ in the Walker et al. (2017) picture, it remains true that there is a low probability of a chance coincidence between hot, nearby stars and the kinematic characteristics displayed by the plasma responsible for the scintillations of J1819+3845, PKS 1257–326 and PKS B1322–110. Similarly, the B3 star Alkaid is surprisingly close to the recently discovered IHV source J1402+5347, with a chance coincidence probability of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ for this pairing taken in isolation (Oosterloo et al. 2020). On the other hand there is no hot star that can plausibly explain the group of IHV sources found by Wang et al. (2021). We conclude, therefore, that although some of the plasma responsible for IHV is likely to be associated with hot stars, the overall picture of the IHV phenomenon must have more to it than just that.

The observations of Wang et al. (2021) revealed 5 IHV sources in a 2-degree long linear arrangement on the sky, and those authors noted that similar structures could be abundant in the solar neighbourhood. With that result in mind it is interesting to note that there is spectroscopic evidence for a local plasma cloud in the vicinity of the PMN J1726+0639 line-of-sight. Specifically: there is a strong Ca ii (and weak Na i) absorption seen at an unusually high radial velocity ($\sim 26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) towards $\alpha$ Oph (Rasalhague) (Red-...
field et al. 2007, and references therein). High ratios of Ca II : Na I equivalent widths are characteristic of the “fast” interstellar cloud population (e.g. Siluk & Silk 1974). In order to be foreground to α Oph this particular plasma cloud must be closer than 14.9 pc. The same cloud was also detected by Redfield et al. (2007) in the more distant star HR 6594, which lies at an angular separation of about 4 degrees from Rasalhague, demonstrating that the absorber is interstellar and not circumstellar. Based on the lack of absorption features observed towards several other (more distant) stars within a few degrees of α Oph, Redfield et al. (2007) speculated that this intervening plasma cloud might have a filamentary morphology. Interestingly, PMN J1726+0639 lies only 6 degrees from α Oph, in a direction roughly opposite to that of HR 6594, so it is conceivable that a single, elongated plasma cloud could be responsible for both the scintillations of PMN J1726+0639 and the absorption lines detected towards the two stars. Motivated by the discovery of a population of H I absorbers that are kinematically similar to the fast plasma clouds seen in Ca II (Dwarakanath 2004; Mohan et al. 2004), we are conducting further observations to search for an H I absorption counterpart towards PMN J1726+0639.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Monitoring of PMN J1726+0639 has revealed a strong and repeated annual cycle in the rate of its scintillations. The cycle, which includes two standstills, is consistent with a highly anisotropic model of the scintillation. The position angle of the anisotropy, and the component of transverse scattering screen velocity perpendicular to that position angle, are well determined from the annual cycle. Those determinations show that the scintillation of PMN J1726+0639 is not caused by radially oriented plasma filaments around a nearby hot star, as has been previously suggested for three other IHV sources. We speculate that it may instead be associated with a known, local plasma cloud (within ~ 15 pc) that has been previously discovered spectroscopically. Observations with ASKAP over the next few years are expected to reveal many more, and fainter rapidly scintillating sources, offering the possibility to map out local interstellar plasma filaments. Measuring annual cycles allows the kinematics of the scattering plasma to be determined, so that coherent structures responsible for scattering in the solar neighbourhood could be identified and potentially associated with structures seen at other wavelengths.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Australia Telescope Compact Array is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility (grid.421683.a) which is funded by the Australian Government for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. We acknowledge the Gomeroi people as the traditional owners of the Observatory site. Observations reported in this paper were made under ATCA project code C3214.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article are available in the Australia Telescope Online Archive at https://atoha.atnf.csiro.au/ and can be accessed under project code C3214. The derived data generated in this research will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

Bignall H., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 487, 4372
Cordes J. M., Rickett B. J., 1998, ApJ, 507, 846
Dennett-Thorpe J., de Bruyn A. G., 2001, in Laing R. A., Blundell K. M., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 250, Particles and Fields in Radio Galaxies Conference. p. 133
Dennett-Thorpe J., de Bruyn A. G., 2002, Nature, 415, 57
Dwarakanath K. S., 2004, Bulletin of the Astronomical Society of India, 32, 215
Foreman-Mackey D., Agol E., Ambikasaran S., Angus R., 2017, The Astrophysical Journal, 154, 220
Griffith M. R., Wright A. E., Burke B. F., Ekers R. D., 1995, ApJS, 97, 347
Jauncey D. L., Macquart J.-P., 2001, A&A, 370, L9
Lovell J. E. J., et al., 2008, ApJ, 689, 108
Mohan R., Dwarakanath K. S., Srinivasan G., 2004, Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy, 25, 185
Oosterloo T. A., et al., 2020, A&A, 641, L4
Redfield S., Kessler-Silacci J. E., Cieza L. A., 2007, ApJ, 661, 944
Rickett B. J., Witzel A., Kraus A., Krichbaum T. P., Qian S. J., 2001, ApJ, 550, L11
Said N. M. M., Ellingsen S. P., Bignall H. E., Shahala S., McCallum J. N., Reynolds C., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 4615
Siluk R. S., Silk J., 1974, ApJ, 192, 51
Walker M. A., 1998, MNRAS, 294, 307
Walker M. A., de Bruyn A. G., Bignall H. E., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 447
Walker M. A., Tuntsov A. V., Bignall H., Reynolds C., Bannister K. W., Johnston S., Stevens J., Ravi V., 2017, ApJ, 843, 15
Wang Y., Tuntsov A., Murphy T., Lenc E., Walker M., Bannister K., Kaplan D. L., Mahony E. K., 2021, MNRAS, 502, 3294

This paper has been typeset from a TeX/LaTeX file prepared by the author.