Boundary Shape Inversion of Two-Dimensional Steady-State Heat Transfer System Based on Finite Volume Method and Decentralized Fuzzy Adaptive PID Control
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Abstract: A shape identification scheme was developed to determine the geometric shape of the inaccessible parts of two-dimensional objects using the measured temperatures on their accessible surfaces. The finite volume method was used to calculate the measured point’s temperature in the forward problem. In the inversion problem, the decentralized fuzzy adaptive Proportion Integral Differential(PID) control (DFAC) algorithm was used to compensate for the inversion boundary by using the difference between the measurement temperature and the calculation temperature. More accurate inversion results were obtained by introducing the weighted and synthesized normal distribution. In the inversion problem, the effects of the initial guess, the number of measuring points, and the measurement error were studied. The experiment calculation and analysis showed that the methods adopted in this paper still maintain good validity and accuracy with different initial guesses and decrease the number of measuring points and the existence of measurement errors.

Keywords: Inverse problem; Inverse Geometry Problem; heat transfer; Fuzzy Adaptive Control PID algorithm; inverse heat conduction problem

1. Introduction

In studies of heat conduction problems (forward problems), the geometric shape of the studied object, thermal conductivity, initial conditions, boundary conditions, and inner heat source are all known. The temperature field in the domain can then be directly obtained using numerical heat transfer analysis. Accordingly, if the temperature values at specific points in the system are known but one or more of the initial conditions, boundary conditions, geometry, inner heat source, or thermal conductivity are unknown, these unknowns’ details can be estimated using inverse problem methods. There are inverse heat conduction problems (IHCPs) that are well known to be ill posed. The IHCP has been successfully applied in many industrial and engineering fields [1–11].

Inverse geometry problems (IGPs) are considered to be inverse problems in which the geometric shapes of some parts of a studied object are unknown and must be determined based on measured temperature data in other parts of the object. In most cases, these temperatures are measured on the outer surfaces of the solid body using a set of thermocouples or a thermal image scanning system. These IGPs can be distinguished by three important aspects [12]: (1) defects in...
Graduation PSO. Converging measured results GA better solutions the CGM, locally CGM, on also computationally deteriorate. of boundary detection Appl. problems. Different limits of unsteady two-dimensional non-dimensional heat conduction points. Huang et al. [19–21] used the BEM with CGM/LM to study irregular geometrical inverse problems. The boundary identification problems they studied included frost growth on an evaporating tube and irregular geometric boundary identification with time in two-dimensional unsteady heat conduction problems. Fan et al. [18] determined the geometric shape of flat plate using the CGM. Fan et al. [22] used the FEM and the CGM to study the internal defects of a two-dimensional pipeline and discussed the effects of the initial guess and measurement errors on the inversion results. Li Bin et al. [23,24] used the BEM and the CGM to study the geometrical shapes of the inner walls of a two-dimensional cylinder. They discussed the influence on the inversion results of the inner wall shape of the initial guess, the measurement error, and the number of measured points. Tian [25] solved a two-dimensional steady-state thermal boundary problem using PSO. Xiao, Lin et al. [26,27] introduced an improved PSO algorithm that can search for more solutions simultaneously, which makes it possible to give an unbiased estimate that provides a better way to find the optimal solution in the search space. Wang et al. [28] applied a hybrid CGM with SPSO to solve two-dimensional steady-state boundary shape identification, which improved the convergence speed. Partridge et al. [29] applied the GA to estimate the size and location of a dermatome based on the information of skin surface temperature. Zhu [30] applied the CGM and GA to study the inversion of two-dimensional boundary conditions and analyzed the shortcomings of the CGM and GA.

The CGM is currently the most popular gradient-based optimization algorithm. However, the CGM, which is a local search algorithm, also has drawbacks, such as that it easily converges to a locally optimal solution. The inversion result depends crucially on the initial guess. More importantly, when the temperature measurement information is not complete or there is a large measurement error, the inversion results obtained based on the gradient optimization method may deteriorate. In addition, when the number of inversion points is larger, the calculation of the gradient matrix is difficult and time consuming, which directly affects the engineering application of gradient-based optimization algorithms.

Non-gradient-based optimization algorithms are usually global search algorithms and have good adaptability to overcome the difficulty of gradient-based optimization algorithms easily converging to a local minimum. Moreover, they do not involve the calculation of a Jacobian partial derivative matrix in the inversion process. However, this class of methods often has high computational costs in the search process and has a slow convergence rate in the latter stage, which limits its application in IHCPs. In particular, when the measurement information is incomplete or there is a large measurement error, the inversion results obtained according to such methods will also have some gaps.

Decentralized fuzzy inference was successfully applied to invert thermal conductivity by Wang et al. [2], and the accuracy and stability of the algorithm were verified. There are few reports on the application of the decentralized fuzzy adaptive PID control (DFAC) to IGP. In this study, the finite volume method (FVM) was used to solve the forward problem, the DFAC algorithm was used to compensate for the initial guess of the estimate boundary in order to minimize the residual between the calculated and the measured values of the temperature, and the true geometry boundary was obtained. To study the effectiveness and stability of the proposed method, the effects...
of initial guesses, measurement points, and measurement errors on the results were analyzed and discussed.

2. Forward Problem Description (Mathematical formulation)

The two-dimensional steady-state heat transfer system (domain $\Omega$) is shown in Figure 1, which was based on heat transfer from the walls of industrial equipment in real operational environments. The shape of the accessible boundary $\Gamma$ was given but the inaccessible inner boundary $\Gamma_i$ was unknown.

![Figure 1. Physical model of inner wall boundary identification of thermal equipment.](image)

The boundary conditions at $x = 0$ and $x = L$ are adiabatic; the boundary condition of the unknown boundary $\Gamma_i (y = f(x))$ is the robin boundary condition with a fluid temperature of $T_i$ and a heat transfer coefficient of $h_i$. The boundary condition of $\Gamma (y = 0)$ is a combination of convection and radiation, where the ambient temperature is $T_\infty$, the heat transfer coefficient is $h_\infty$, and the absorptivity is $\varepsilon$. The points marked "A" are temperature sensor positions, assuming a uniform distribution of $M$ measurement points set on $\Gamma$. For the domain $\Omega'$, which is the effective absorptivity model of the physical environment (surface radiation), the boundary condition at $\Gamma_r$ is constant temperature $T_r$ and the effective absorptivity is $\varepsilon'$.

Assuming that the material properties of the problem domain $\Omega$ are a constant thermal conductivity $k$, $\Omega$ and $\Omega'$ are gray bodies, and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$, the governing equation of this two-dimensional steady-state heat conduction system can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

(1)

The boundary conditions are as follows:

When $x = 0$ and $x = L$, the heat conduction equation is

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}_{x=0,x=L} = 0.$$ 

(2)

When $y = 0$, the heat conduction equation is

$$-k \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}_{y=0} = h_\infty(T_\infty - T_i) + \varepsilon \varepsilon'(T_r^4 - T_i^4).$$

(3)

Namely,

$$-k \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}_{y=0} = h_\infty(T_\infty - T_i) + h_\infty(T_r - T_i), i = 0, ..., M \xi \in \Omega'.$$

(4)

where the equivalent radiative heat transfer coefficient $h_\infty = \varepsilon \varepsilon'(T_r^2 + T_i^2) \times (T_r + T_i)$. The Stefan–Boltzmann constant $\sigma'$ is $5.669 \times 10^8 \text{W/(m}^2\text{k}^4)$.

When $y = f(x)$, the heat conduction equation is

$$-k \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}_{y=f(x)} = h_i(T - T_i), x \in \Gamma_i.$$ 

(5)
The FVM was used to solve the forward problem of Equations (1) and (2). To simplify the forward problem and remeshing process for IGP\( \, s\), the mesh grid of FVM was fixed to a small value such as 0.001 m.

3. The Inverse Problem

3.1. Objective Function of Inverse Problem

For the physical model of Figure 1, the inaccessible boundary \( \Gamma_1 \) is unknown, while everything else in the forward problem is given. We assumed that \( M \) measurement points are evenly distributed on the boundary \( \Gamma \). There are

\[
T(x_0, 0) = T_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., M. \tag{6}
\]

Then, the geometry identification problem can be transformed to an extreme value problem, and the objective function can be expressed as

\[
J = \sum_{i=1}^{M} [T_i - T_i^*]^2 \tag{7}
\]

where \( T_i^* \) is the measured temperature along the \( \Gamma \), and each \( T_i \) is the calculated value of the forward problem based on the inversion boundary of the unknown boundary. The solutions of IGP\( \, s\) were obtained by minimizing the objective function (7) using the DFAC.

3.2. Stop Criterion

The stopping criterion of the iteration was selected as follows. If the problem contains no measurement errors, the inverse iterative process will terminate when this inequality is satisfied:

\[
J = \sum_{i=1}^{M} [T_i - T_i^*]^2 < \varepsilon_{obj} \tag{8}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{obj} \) is a small positive number to be specified as a solution parameter, such as 0.001.

The known boundary temperatures are measured and always contain measurement errors in practical engineering applications. Therefore, we did not expect the objective function (Equation (12)) to be equal to zero at the final iteration. We assumed that the measurement temperature was composed of the exact temperature and random error, as

\[
T_i^* = T_i^{ex} + \omega \sigma, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., M \tag{9}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the maximum error of the measured temperature, and \( \omega \) is a normal distribution random variable with zero mean and unit standard variation.

3.3. Decentralized Fuzzy Adaptive PID Control Method

3.3.1. Decentralized Fuzzy Adaptive PID Control inversion system

The decentralized fuzzy adaptive PID control inversion system for IGP\( \, s\) was established as shown Figure 2. Then, a multiple-input multiple-output decentralized fuzzy adaptive PID control system was established. The system included \( M \) one-dimensional fuzzy adaptive PID control units (FACUs). \( \Delta T \), which is difference between the calculated temperature and the measured temperature, was used to correspondingly compensate for the guess of the boundary. \( \Delta T_i \) is

\[
\Delta T_i = T_i - T_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., M \tag{10}
\]

where \( T_i \) is the measured temperature at the \( i \)th measurement point, and \( T_i \) is the calculated temperature by solving the forward problem.
ΔT is the input value of FACU, and the components Δui are obtained. Then, after weighting and synthesizing, the compensations of Δyi,j are generated, and the new inverse boundary yit+1,j is updated as follows:

\[ y_{it+1,j} = y_{it,j} + \Delta y_{it,j}, j = 1, 2, ..., N \]  

(11)

where \( it \) denotes the iteration number, and \( N \) represents the unknown boundary discrete points.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** Decentralized fuzzy adaptive Proportion Integral Differential (PID) control inversion system.

3.3.2. Fuzzy Adaptive Control PID units

Each independent measurement point is a single FACU. The FACU model is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Fuzzy adaptive PID control system model.

The measurement and inverted information in the heat conduction system have certain spatial distribution characteristics. Therefore, the inverse heat conduction problem can also be regarded as a kind of feedback control problem, that is, a closed-loop system, where the observation results (measured temperature) of the system are the setting value, the parameters to be solved are the controlled object, and the calculated temperature of the positive problem is the sensor measurement information. \( e = \Delta T \) is obtained according to Equation (10); if \( \Delta T > 0 \), in order to eliminate or reduce the deviation, it is necessary to increase \( y \); if \( \Delta T < 0 \), to eliminate or reduce the deviation, it is necessary to decrease \( y \). Then, \( \Delta u \) is defined as follows:

\[ \Delta u = k_p \cdot \Delta T + k_i \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{it} \Delta T \]  

(12)

where \( k_p \) and \( k_i \) are the feedback factor, and \( k_0 \) and \( k \) are adaptive updates by fuzzy inference.

3.3.3. Weighting and Synthesizing Scheme

\( \Delta u_i \) is the compensation for the boundary of all nodes at an unknown boundary when only the \( it \)th measured temperature is considered. Actually, the value of all nodes at the unknown boundary has a different effect on the temperature of all measured points. So, the whole measured temperature should be considered when compensating for the unknown boundary implementation. The weighting and synthesizing scheme are performed by Equation (13):
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta y_1 \\
\Delta y_2 \\
\vdots \\
\Delta y_N
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \ldots & \alpha_{1,M} \\
\alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \ldots & \alpha_{2,M} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\alpha_{N,1} & \alpha_{N,2} & \ldots & \alpha_{N,M}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta u_1 \\
\Delta u_2 \\
\vdots \\
\Delta u_M
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(13)

where \( \alpha_{ij} \) is the weighting factor, which reflects the influence of \( j \)-th discrete point of \( f(x) \) on the \( i \)-th temperature at the measured point; the larger \( \alpha_{ij} \), i.e., the greater the influence. Based on the normal distribution weighting method, the weighting coefficient \( \alpha_{ij} \) is determined by Equation (14):

\[
\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\exp[-(\frac{|x_j - x_i|}{\Phi})^2]}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \exp[-(\frac{|x_j - x_i|}{\Phi})^2]}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N
\]

(14)

where \( x_i \) are the \( x \)-axis values of the inversion and measurement points, and \( \Phi \) is the variance coefficient of normal distribution. The larger \( \Phi \) is, the smoother the distribution curve of the weighting coefficient is, the more measurement information is effectively used in the inversion process, but the slower the inversion speed is; the smaller \( \Phi \) is, the more centralized the distribution of the weighting coefficient is, the less measurement information is effectively used, but the faster the inversion speed is.

3.4. Implementation of Inverse Geometry Problem

The process for solving the IGP is as follows:

1) Initialize the guess of the unknown boundary and set the iterative time \( it = 0 \).
2) Solve the forward problem by FVM: solve Equations (1) and (2) using the FVM and get the calculated temperature \( T_i \) at the inspection surface.
3) Calculate the objective function Equation (7) and judge if the specified stopping criterion is satisfied (Equation (8)). If not, go to step 4.
4) Calculate the optimal positions \( y_i^{n+1} \) of the unknown boundary according to the temperature measurement points’ coordinates using Equation (11).
5) Set \( it = it + 1 \) and repeat steps 2–5 until the stop criterion is satisfied.

4. Experiment and Analysis

In the experiment, a two-dimensional flat plate furnace wall was considered, as shown in Figure 1. The boundary conditions were given except that the inaccessible boundary was unknown. \( T_0 = 20 \degree C, h_o = 10 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K)}, T_i = 150 \degree C, h_1 = 1000 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K)}, \) and \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon' = 0.8 \). The thermal conductivity \( k = 5 \text{ W/(m} \cdot \text{K)}, c = 500 \text{ KJ/(Kg} \cdot \text{K)}, \) and \( \rho = 7930 \text{ Kg/(m}^3 \text{)}. The measurement points \( M \) were located evenly spaced along the accessible boundary.

The function of the unknown boundary was defined as follows:

Case 1:

\[
y = f(x) = 0.1 + \frac{2}{9}x, x \in [0,0.9]
\]

(15)

Case 2:

\[
y = f(x) = 0.2 - 0.1 \sin \left( \frac{2\pi x}{0.9} \right), x \in [0,0.9]
\]

(16)

4.1. Impacts of Initial Guess

The measurement error \( \sigma = 0.0 \degree C \), and the measurement point was taken as \( M = 41 \). The initial guesses were set as 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 for cases 1 and 2. The inversion results of the different initial guesses are shown in Figures 4 and 5, and the average relative error is shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Impacts of initial guess of cases 1 and 2.

| Initial Guess (m) | Case 1 average relative error (%) | Case 2 average relative error (%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.1               | 0.92                              | 0.95                              |
| 0.2               | 0.95                              | 1.04                              |
| 0.3               | 0.89                              | 1.63                              |

Figure 4. Identification results of different initial guess boundaries of case 1.

Figure 5. Identification results of different initial guess boundaries of case 2.

Table 1 and Figures 4 and 5 show that the identification results were insensitive to the initial guesses of the unknown boundaries.

4.2. Impact of the Number of Measurement Points

The measurement error was $\sigma = 0.1$ °C, and the measurement points were taken as $M = 11, 21,$ and 41. The inversion results of different numbers of measurement points are shown in Figures 6 and 7, and the average relative error is shown in Table 2.

The results are as follows:

Case 1: When $M = 11$, the average relative error was 1.6%; when $M = 21$, the average relative error was 1.1%; and when $M = 41$, the average relative error was 1.0%.
Case 2: When $M = 11$, the average relative error was 2.5%; when $M = 21$, the average relative error was 1.6%; and when $M = 41$, the average relative error was 1.4%.

By increasing the measuring points, the average relative error decreased and the inversion accuracy improved.

Table 2. Impacts of different measurement points of cases 1 and 2.

| Measurement Points | Case 1 average relative error (%) | Case 2 average relative error (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 11                 | 1.6                               | 2.5                               |
| 21                 | 1.1                               | 1.6                               |
| 41                 | 1.0                               | 1.4                               |

Figure 6. Identification results of different measurement points for inversion results of case 1e.

Figure 7. Identification results of different measurement points for inversion results of case 2.

4.3. Impact of Measurement Error

The measurement point was set as $M = 41$, and the initial guess = 0.1 m. Impacts of different measurement errors ($\sigma = 0.0, 0.1, 0.5, 1$, and $2$ °C for case 1 and $\sigma = 0.0, 0.1, 0.5$, and $1$ °C for case 2) were analyzed. The inversion results of different measurement errors are shown in Figures 8 and 9.

The results are as follows:

Case 1:
The results showed that when there were some measurement errors, the inversion results were still satisfactory. When the measurement error was less than 1 °C, the accuracy of the inversion solution could still meet the general engineering requirements, and the larger the measurement error, the more distorted the inversion results. Therefore, it was beneficial to improve the inversion results to improve the measurement accuracy as much as possible in the experiment.
5. Conclusions

In this study, the decentralized fuzzy adaptive PID control algorithm and finite volume method were used for inversion of the unknown boundary in a two-dimensional steady-state heat transfer system. Several effect factors were considered, involving different initial guess boundaries, measurement points, and measurement errors. The results of the experiment showed that identification results can be satisfactory even if there are measurement errors. Also, the identification results are insensitive to the initial guesses of the unknown boundaries and the number of measurement points. Through the calculation and analysis of the experiment, the stability and accuracy of the boundary inversion algorithm were verified.
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