Hemiarthroplasty or total hip arthroplasty in fracture neck of femur? A dilemma in young elderly
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Abstract
The debate over the preference of total hip arthroplasty (THA) over hemi hip arthroplasty (HHA) for displaced fracture neck of femur has been going on for decades, with studies in favour and against of both. We have done a study with three years follow up in fracture neck of femur patients. We have divided all patients into early and late presenters (cut off 6 weeks) and then observed the results of THA and HHA in all four groups. This could be a more specific deciding factor in choosing a correct implant for surgery. The shortcoming of our study was the small sample size and the short observation period. The early presenters (< 6 weeks) and late presenters (>6 weeks) were randomly allocated to undergo THA or HHA. These were then followed up for 3 years to observe the effectiveness of the operative procedure, assess the post-operative radiographs, functional outcome, the rate and cause of revision surgeries and the rate and cause of mortality of patient. In comparison to HHA, THA had longer duration of surgery and more blood loss. But, both the surgery had almost similar mortality rates (10% vs. 8.57%). Limb lengthening was more common in THA group in both early and late presenters. Although more THA patient were ambulant immediately after surgery, but after 3 years the rates were similar in both THA and HHA group. The Harris Hip Score was always better in THA than HHA group in both early (mean 78.69 vs 70.72) (p=0.0005) and late (mean 73.58 vs 64.75) (p=0.041) presenters. In our study there was no progression of osteolysis in Early THA and Early HHA group at the end of 3 years. In the Late THA group 50% and late HHA group 18% of patients migrated from adequate cementation to inadequate cementation at the end of 3 years. It was concluded that THA had better results in early presenting cases with one having some advantage over the other; but, in late presenting patients THA definitely had advantage of more pain relief, better function and less revisions.
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Introduction
Fracture of the femur neck is also called "a fracture of necessity" among the Orthopaedic fraternity, in other words it is a kind of fracture that has to be operated upon for optimal results. Optimum treatment for fracture neck of femur in elderly has been a matter of debate since long and many studies have shown that replacing the head is a better choice than trying to save the head. The goal of treatment of these fractures is the restoration of pre-injury function, without associated morbidity. Although both Hemiarthroplasty and Total Hip Arthroplasty are approved treatments for displaced femoral neck fractures, in the physiologically elderly, the most common treatment is to perform a Hemiarthroplasty. However, in a select group of hip fracture patients who have concurrent osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis, a Total Hip Arthroplasty has been the treatment of choice. The improved functional capacity and greater predictability of Total Hip Arthroplasty prostheses have broadened the indications for joint arthroplasty surgery in displaced femoral neck fractures over recent years.

In light of possibly enhanced function following Total Hip Arthroplasty, the question now is whether there is a role for a Total Hip Arthroplasty, rather than Hemiarthroplasty, in ambulatory patients with displaced femoral neck fractures, even if the patient has a “normal” articular surface at the time of the fracture.

Another problem in underdeveloped places is late presentation of the patients to hospital, sometimes as late as 12 weeks in our study, probably due to poverty, bad transportation and overall negligent attitude of the attendants.
These neglected fractures are not same as the fresh ones. The patient has been immobilised for a longer period and very frequently on radiographs, the neck is found to be resorbed and a thin shell of femoral head lying in the acetabulum. Our study aimed to compare the results of THA and HHA done in both the early (<6 weeks) and late presenting cases (>6 weeks). The results could help in deciding the choice of surgery by the time of presentation of patients.

Specific objectives of the study
1. Effectiveness of the operative procedure – assessed by immediate post-operative pain relief and walking, limb length discrepancy and post-operative infections.
2. To assess the post-operative radiographs at the serial follow ups for femoral stem cementing quality and acetabular erosions.
3. Functional outcome of the operative procedure – assessed by “Harris Hip Score (HHS)”.
4. Time taken to return back to normal living – assessed by ambulation rate of the patients with/without support.
5. To assess the rate and cause of revision surgeries.
6. To assess the rate and cause of mortality of patient, if any.

Materials and Methods
Study Area: Department of Orthopaedics, Patna Medical College & Hospital, Patna, India
Study Population: Patients attending the outpatient and emergency department with displaced fracture neck of femur.

Inclusion criteria
- Patients with displaced fracture neck of femur (Garden Type III and IV).
- Age group between 60 to 80 years of age.
- Patients were initially ambulating before the fractures.
- Patients with sound state of mind with no psychiatric treatment going on.
- Patients with no other diseases that would limit the patient to bed after operation.

Exclusion criteria
- Patients with ipsilateral femoral shaft fractures.
- Patients with any fracture of long bones in the lower extremity.
- Patients with fractures of spine.
- Any Intra-thoracic or Intra-abdominal injuries, i.e., polytrauma patients.
- Patients with diagnosed renal Osteodystrophy, Osteomalacia, or pathologic fracture (i.e., malignancy). In patients with underlying concomitant comorbid conditions which predispose to severe osteopenia the outcome of surgery maybe significantly different.

Study period: May 2011 to September 2017
Sample Size: A total of 55 patients with fracture neck of femur were admitted into the trial. Out of which 20 were treated with Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) and 35 with Bipolar Hemi Hip Arthroplasty (HHA)
Sample Design: Simple Random Sampling.
Study Design: Prospective Study.
Study Tools: Total Hip Arthroplasty set: Cemented Femoral stem & Uncemented Acetabular cup; Metal head on Polyethylene liner; same manufacturer.
Hemi Hip Arthroplasty set: Cemented, Non modular; same manufacturer.

Study Techniques: The study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, Patna Medical College and Hospital. All the patients received verbal and written information about the purpose and procedure of the study and written informed consent was obtained

Plan of Analysis of Data: The total numbers of patients were first divided into those presenting early (within 6 weeks) and late (after 6 weeks). Then again they were selected randomly to undergo Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) and Bipolar Hip Hemi Arthroplasty (HHA). Total four groups were formed after the collection of data:
1. EARLY THA - THA done in patients presenting within 6 weeks.
2. EARLY HHA - HHA done in patients presenting within 6 weeks.
3. LATE THA - THA done in patients presenting after 6 weeks.
4. LATE HHA - HHA done in patients presenting after 6 weeks.

Results
The patients were minimum followed up for 3 years. A total of 55 patients were admitted, out of which 9 patients were excluded from the observational data due to loss of follow up or death. 46 patients were taken as total for computing all data, except the mortality rate which was computed on total 55 cases. The average age of patient, was 72.39 years. A total of 26 males (56.5%) and 20 females (43.47%) were admitted. The frequency of fracture went from being more common among males (80%) in the earlier age group, to being more common among females (56.25%) in higher age group. Average duration of surgery and average blood loss are given in table 1.

Ambulation at day 1 and then at 3 years (assisted and independent), post-operative infection rate and revision rate are given in table 2. A cut off of 1 cm was decided as any lengthening below 1 cm could be compensated by pelvic tilt and go unnoticed and would not cause any discomfort to the patient. The results are given in table 2.

Femoral stem cement evaluation was done at day 0, 1 year and 3 years by “Barrack Femoral component cementation quality grading system [25]”. Acetabular erosion was graded on the basis of its radiographic appearance given by “Baker’s [30]”. Both the results are given in table 3 and 4 respectively. We have chosen the “Harris Hip Score” system for evaluation of mid-term clinical outcomes and the results are given in table 5.

The cause of revision surgeries in all patients were looked into and found. 2 patients in the early HHA group had hip pain, 1 patient in Late THA had infection and femoral stem loosening, while, in late HHA 1 patient required revision for hip pain and 2 patients for hip pain and infection. The mortality rates are given in table 1. The deaths among the Total Hip Arthroplasty group were due to cardiovascular complications. In the Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty group the deaths were due to cardiovascular complications and due to uncontrolled diabetes.

| Table 1: Perioperative data | THA | HHA |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|
| Duration of Surgery         | 126.86 min | 90.26 min |
| Average Blood loss          | 412.5 ml  | 226.25 ml  |
| Mortality                   | 10%  | 8.57%  |
Table 2: Postoperative data

|                     | EARLY THA | EARLY HHA | LATE THA | LATE HHA |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Total cases         | 13        | 18        | 12       | 12       |
| Limb Lengthening > 1 cm | 15.3%   | 11.1%     | 16.66%   | 0%       |
| Ambulation at Day 1 (Assisted) | 76.9%   | 72.22%    | 66.66%   | 50%      |
| Ambulation at 3 Years (Total) | 100%    | 94.44%    | 91.66%   | 91.66%   |
| Ambulation at 3 Years (Assisted) | 25%     | 5.55%     | 8.33%    | 16.66%   |
| Post-Operative infection | 0.07%   | 0.05%     | 8.33%    | 16.66%   |
| Revision Surgery    | 0%        | 11.1%     | 8.33%    | 25%      |

Table 3: Femoral stem cement evaluation by Barrack’s grading system

|                     | EARLY THA | EARLY HHA | LATE THA | LATE HHA |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Day 0               |           |           |          |          |
| Grade A - 75%       | Grade A - 66.6% | Grade A - 50% | Grade A - 66.6% |
| Grade B - 25%       | Grade B - 16.6% | Grade B - 33.3% | Grade B - 25% |
|                     | Grade C - 16.6% | Grade C - 16.6% | Grade C - 8.3% |
| Year 3              |           |           |          |          |
| Grade A - 50%       | Grade A - 55.5% | Grade A - 50% | Grade A - 58.3% |
| Grade B - 50%       | Grade B - 27.7% | Grade B - 33.3% | Grade B - 16.6% |
|                     | Grade C - 16.6% | Grade C - 8.3% | Grade C - 25% |
|                     | Grade D - 8.3% | Grade D - 8.3% | Grade D - 8.3% |

Table 4: Radiographic evaluation for acetabular erosion by Baker

|                     | EARLY THA | EARLY HHA | LATE THA | LATE HHA |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| 6 Months            | 100% - Grade 0 | 100% - Grade 0 | 100% - Grade 0 | 100% - Grade 0 |
| 3 Years             |           |           |          |          |
| 100% - Grade 0      | 88.8% - Grade 0 | 100% - Grade 0 | 75% - Grade 0 | 25% - Grade 1 |
| 11.1% - Grade 1     | 100% - Grade 0 | 100% - Grade 0 | 75% - Grade 0 | 25% - Grade 1 |

Table 5: Harris Hip Score (Mean)

|                     | EARLY THA | EARLY HHA | LATE THA | LATE HHA |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| 3 YEARS             | 78.69     | 70.72     | 73.58    | 64.75    |

Discussion

The discussions regarding the preferred implant in fracture neck of femur patients has been going on since decades. The three choices of Osteosynthesis, hemi hip arthroplasties and total hip arthroplasties have been discussed in many articles. In a study by Barnes [1] in 1976, out of 1183 displaced fractures (Type III & IV) 33% fractures failed to unite and 27.6% of united fractures had late collapse of the head. Skinner [2] in 1986 reported 23% failure in displaced fractures and 27% avascular necrosis after 3 years in those united by Osteosynthesis. The fate of Osteosynthesis in this age group has Lead to its unpopularity among surgeons. HHA and THA are more valid options that require further discussions.

Squires [3] in 1999 demonstrated, in a retrospective study, that THA performed for acute femoral neck fractures yielded 86% good or excellent results as compared to only 12% good or excellent results in a matched group of patients after HHA. Of the patients in the THA group 77% noted that they could walk over a mile, while only 27% of the patients in the HHA group could do the same. Bhandari [4] in 2005 did cross sectional survey to map the preferences of North American surgeons for displaced fractures, and, THA was found to be preferred for obtaining better functions and more pain relief. Blomfeldt [5] in 2007 found The health-related quality of life measure was in favour of the total hip replacement group but did not reach statistical significance (p=0.818 at four months and p=0.636 at 12 months). These results indicate that a total hip replacement provides better function than a bipolar hemiarthroplasty as soon as one year post-operatively, without increasing the complication rate.

We have chosen the Harris Hip Score (HHS) System for evaluation of mid-term clinical outcomes because of its high validity and accuracy, but also for its easy comparison with other evaluation scales (Soderman Dai) [6, 7]. Henning [8] deems that satisfactory and better clinical outcomes are over 50 points by HHS, but for Lestrange [9], fair clinical outcomes are HHS values over 70 points. The study by Blomfeldt [10] had better HHS in THA group (87.2 ) than HHA group (79.4) and also had significant improvement in HHS in THA group at 1 year. Macaulay [10] in 2007 showed better HHS in THA (84) than HHA (81.1). Mouzopoulos [11] in 2008 had similar results THA (83.7) vs. HHA (79.5). Van den Bekerom [12] showed similarly in 2010 with HHS scores of 75.2 in THA and 71.9 in HHA. At the end of 3 years, we similarly had better results of HHS in THA in both early and late groups; 78.69 (Early THA) and 73.58 (Late THA) as compared to 70.72 (Early HHA) and 64.75 (Late HHA). THA had statistically significant better functional results in Early presenting cases (mean 78.69 vs 70.72) (p=0.0005) and also late presenting cases (mean 73.58 vs 64.75) (p=0.041). Our scores were less as compared to above studies, probably because of the non-compliance of the rural patients to strict physiotherapy protocols, still the patients with THA performed better in the HHS scoring.

In a study by Jaswinder Pal Singh Walla [13] in 2012, 96% of the THA patients and 100% of the HHA patients were complete weight bearing by 4 weeks. In our study THA had better pain relief immediately after surgery as the ambulation protocols, still the patients with THA performed better in the HHS scoring.

Van den Bekerom [14] in 1988 had 0.38% deep infection rate in THA done in 575 patients. The infection rate in a study by Philips [15] in 2006 was only 0.57%; he emphasised the advantage of doing the arthroplasties in a specialist hospital only. Pulido [16] had similar results of 0.7% infection rate after THA and also that 65% of the cases occurred in the first year. A large study done on 1727 patients by Blom [17] in 2003 had
1.08% infection rate in primary THA and was 2.1% in revision THAs. V K Chaplin [18] reported 1.8% infection rate in a study done 490 cases. In a retrospective study of 150 patients in Tehran (2014) by Sadegh [19] showed 2.66% infection rate after HHA. In our study, there was no infection in the Early THA and Early HHA groups, while we had 8.33% and 16.66% infection rate in the Late THA and Late HHA group. This contrast in the infection rate in the late presenting cases can be due to a lengthier surgical time, more blood transfusions, Negligent attitude of the care giving attendants or due to the small sample size.

Campbell’s operative orthopaedics book states and several studies have shown that Leg lengthening up to 1 cm is well tolerated and of more than approximately 1 cm frequently is a source of significant patient dissatisfaction despite an otherwise technically satisfactory operation. If lengthening exceeds 2.5 cm, sciatic palsy and limping with a vaulting-type gait may result. In a study by C S Ranawat [20] in 2007, 100 hips were followed for LLD. 14% had LLD after 1 month of surgery which reduced to 9% at 15 years follow up. Love and Wright [21] reported up to 18% of patients had lengthening of more than 1.5 cm, of whom 6% required shoe correction. Williamson and Reckling [22] reported LLD of 16 mm in their series and up to 27% patients needed a shoe lift for correction. In a study by H K Yoon [23] in 2009 in post-operative lengthening after HHA found that Limb-lengthening by hemiarthroplasty may be a cause of pain but with little effect on the overall functional outcome. In our study, we had more cases with limb lengthening in THA group than HHA group (average 20.8% vs. 11.1%) and more so in the early cases. Overall, THA is more susceptible to post-operative limb lengthening and can be a cause of dissatisfaction to the patients.

Table 6: Barrack’s Grading System

| Grade | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| A     | Complete filling of medullary canal, without radiolucent lines between the cement and the bone (white out) |
| B     | Radiolucent lines covering up to 50% of the cement-bone interface |
| C     | Radiolucent lines covering between 50% and 99% of the cement-bone interface or incomplete cement mantle |
| D     | Complete radiolucent lines (100%) at the cement-bone interface and/or absence of cement distally to the end of stem |

The radiographic parameters used most often when assessing the quality of the cementation obtained in a hip arthroplasty include the homogeneity of the cement mantle [25, 26], its distal extension up to the end of the femoral stem [27] and the presence of defects in the cement-bone interface [28]. A grading system that includes all of these parameters was proposed by Barrack [25] to specifically evaluate the femoral component cementation and to identify stems with a risk of loosening. Chambers [29] suggest the grading of the quality of cementation obtained with the Barrack system in only two categories: adequate cementation (Barrack A and B, not associated with early loosening) and inadequate cementation (Barrack C and D, associated with early loosening). In our study there was no progression of osteolysis in Early THA and Early HHA group at the end of 3 years. In the Late THA group 50% of patients with inadequate cementation progressed to Grade C to D over 3 years. In the late HHA group there was 18% patients migrated from adequate cementation to inadequate cementation (Grade C to D) at the end of 3 years. The late presentation of the patients lead to more inadequate cementation and progressive loosening for reason not understood. Radiographic evaluation for acetabular erosion was measured following the classification proposed by Baker [30].

Table 7: Baker’s Classification

| Baker’s Classification of Acetabular Erosion | Description |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Grade 0                                   | No erosion  |
| Grade 1                                   | Narrowing of articular cartilage, no bone erosion |
| Grade 2                                   | Acetabular bone erosion and early migration |
| Grade 3                                   | Protrusio acetabuli |

The THA patients had no acetabular erosions but 11% of the early HHA and 25% of the late HHA group had erosions which were a cause of discomfort to the patients while walking. It was probably due to hard metal bipolar head articulating against the soft acetabular cartilage. Various studies in the past have reported the dislocation rates between 3.5 to 22%. In our study there was no dislocation in any patient in any group. Rogmark [31] & Blomfeldt [5] had reported similar results in their studies. The reason could be the strict adherence to the surgical principles and meticulous closure of posterior capsules and external rotator muscles. The revision rates were higher in the HHA group in both early and late presenters. The assumed cause in late presenters was longer duration of surgery leading to higher infection rates and in hemiarthroplasty group acetabular erosions and pain that required revision surgeries. Squires [3] had similar results of no revision of THA patients as compared to 38% in HHA patients at average 3.8 year follow up. These patients are being followed and a detailed revision status can be updated at 10 years. The mortality rates were comparable in the THA and the HHA group (10% vs. 8.5%). Similar results were shown by Blomfeldt [5] (6.7% THA vs. 5% HHA) and Burgers [32] (13% THA vs. 15% HHA).

**Conclusion**

**THA features**
- Statistically significant better Harris Hip Score in both groups than HHA
- More post-operative pain relief shown by higher ambulation rate on day 1 in both groups than HHA
- No acetabular erosions
- Lesser Revision Surgeries
- Longer duration of surgery
- More blood loss
- More limb lengthening
- Higher infection rate in late THA
- Progression of osteolysis in late THA
- Comparable mortality
- Comparable ambulation rate at 3 years

**HHA features**
- Shorter duration of surgery
- Less blood loss
- Less chances of limb lengthening
- Lower Harris Hip Score in both groups than THA
- Progression of osteolysis in late HHA
- Lesser post-operative pain relief shown by lower ambulation rate on day 1 in both groups than THA
- More acetabular erosions
Higher infection rate in late HHA
Higher revision surgeries
Comparable mortality
Comparable ambulation rate at 3 years

Observing the above data, it has been concluded that in patients of fracture neck of femur presenting within 6 weeks, both THA and HHA could be performed, with THA having advantage of better functional results, slightly higher ambulation rates and less revisions, but with higher chances of limb lengthening and more blood requirement. But in the patients presenting after 6 weeks had definite advantage of THA with much less revision rates due high number of patients having hip pain in HHA group that required revision, higher HHS and lesser infection rates.
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