Negative photoconductance in SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs heterostructure in the avalanche regime
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In the avalanche regime we observed the negative photoconductance of heterostructures of silicon dioxide films containing cobalt nanoparticles grown on gallium arsenide, SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs. Light irradiation with the photon energy less than the bandgap energy of the GaAs creates holes trapped on defects within the GaAs bandgap, suppresses the avalanche feedback and causes a reduction of the current flowing in the SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs.

Devices based on avalanche processes such as avalanche photodetectors, photomultipliers, avalanche transistors are critical components in high-speed communication systems, optical radars, quantum cryptography, quantum computing, infrared imaging, laser ranging systems, optical radars, quantum cryptography, quantum computing, infrared imaging, laser ranging. Due to the inherent positive feedback mechanism involved in the impact ionization avalanche process, these devices have high photosensitivity and the ability to switch very high currents with less than a nanosecond rise and fall times. Single-photon detection is one of the most challenging goals of photonics. This goal can be achieved by use of detectors working in the avalanche regime. Single-photon avalanche detectors with self-quenching show great suppression in excess noise. Avalanche photodetectors with negative resistance characteristics exhibits the internal radio-frequency-gain effect – the enhanced response in microwave frequencies. Another goal of the avalanche devices such as avalanche transistors and impact avalanche transit time (IMPATT) devices is generation of ultra-narrow pulses and high power signals in microwave, millimeter-wave and terahertz frequencies. Thus, one can conclude that investigation of the avalanche process and manipulation of the impact ionization is very important for various applications.

In this Letter we study influence of light irradiation on the avalanche in (SiO$_2$)$_{40}$Co$_{60}$/GaAs heterostructures and observe the negative photoconductance in the infrared region – the current flowing in the heterostructure decreases under the light irradiation. One needs to note that the negative photoeffect can be observed not only on systems with avalanche process, but on semiconductor structures with quantum wells and in films with metal nanoparticles coated by self-assembled monolayers. In the first case, the effect is due to the electron confinement in well regions. In the second case, the effect is caused by light-induced creation of mobile charge carriers whose transport is inhibited by carrier trapping in transient polaron-like states. Investigation of SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs heterostructures is important because the extremely large magnetoresistance ($10^6$ %) is observed in these structures at the avalanche regime at room temperature.

**Experiment.** Experiments were performed on metal-dielectric heterostructures composed of thin film of amorphous silicon dioxide with cobalt nanoparticles deposited on gallium arsenide substrates (SiO$_2$)$_{100-x}$Co$_x$/GaAs [the abridge notation is SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs]. n-GaAs substrates with thickness of 0.4 mm are of the (001)-orientation type. Electrical resistivity of GaAs chips was equal to $0.93 \times 10^5 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$. Prior to the deposition process, substrates were polished by a low-energy oxygen ion beam. The roughness height of the polished surfaces was less than 0.5 nm. The SiO$_2$(Co) films were prepared by the ion-beam deposition technique using a composite cobalt-quartz target onto GaAs substrates heated to 200°C. The Co concentration in SiO$_2$ matrix was specified by a relation of cobalt and quartz surface areas. The film composition was determined by the nuclear physical methods of element analysis using a deuteron beam. The cobalt to silicon atomic ratio was measured by the Rutherford backscattering spectrometry of deuterons. The oxygen concentration in films was determined by the method of nuclear reaction with deuterons at $E_d = 0.9$ MeV: $^{16}O + d \rightarrow p + ^{17}O$. This technique is described in more detail elsewhere. For the samples studied, the relative content of cobalt $x$ is equal to 60 at. % and the film thickness is 40 nm. The average size of Co particles was determined by the small-angle X-ray scattering and is equal to 3.5 nm. Protective Au layer of a thickness 3-5 nm have been sputtered on SiO$_2$(Co) films. The Au layer was used as a contact in experiments.

In order to measure the the current change $\Delta j$ we use the lock-in technique with modulation of light beam at the frequency 40 Hz. Fig. 1 shows the current change $\Delta j$ caused by the linear-polarized light irradiation with photon energy $\varepsilon = 1.350 \text{eV}$, 1.387 eV and 1.393 eV versus the voltage $U$ applied on the SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs heterostructure at room temperature. One contact was on the GaAs substrate, and the other (Au contact) – on the SiO$_2$(Co) granular film. The light intensity $P$ is equal to 2.6 mW/cm$^2$. Photon energies are less than the GaAs bandgap energy $E$, the depth of penetration of light into GaAs is high and the light reaches the region of the...
avalanche process in the GaAs. The $\Delta j$ dependencies have different character at positive and negative voltages $U$ applied to the SiO$_2$(Co) film. At positive voltages no changes in the current is observed. At small values of negative voltages the current change $\Delta j$ increases. The avalanche process starts at $|U| = 54$ V and the photocurrent $\Delta j$ begins to decrease. At higher voltages ($|U| > 60$) the light irradiation leads to the suppression of the avalanche process and the $\Delta j$ becomes negative. At voltages $|U| = 54$ V and $58$ V the step-like dependence caused by current filaments is observed.

Spectral dependencies of the current change $\Delta j$ under the light irradiation are presented in Fig. 2(a). At low negative voltages $U$ applied on the SiO$_2$(Co) film ($U = -$20, -$40$ V) the $\Delta j$ grows and the positive photoeffect is observed. The highest growth of the $\Delta j$ exists in the narrow band of photon energies 1.38 - 1.41 eV near the bandgap energy $E$ of the GaAs. Outside of this band the growth of the $\Delta j$ is small. At the avalanche process in the GaAs (negative voltages, $|U| > 54$ V) in the energy band 1.38 - 1.41 eV the photocurrent $\Delta j$ retains its growth with voltage increasing. At the same time, at photon energies $\varepsilon < 1.38$ eV the decrease of the current flowing in the heterostructure is observed and at $|U| > 54$ V the current change $\Delta j < 0$. For $\varepsilon > 1.41$ eV one can observe sharp decrease of the $\Delta j$, but the photocurrent retains positive values. Fig. 2(b) shows spectral dependencies of the $\Delta j$ under the light irradiation of the GaAs without a SiO$_2$(Co) film at photon energies $\varepsilon < 1.38$ eV the negative photoeffect is absent and at photon energies $\varepsilon > 1.41$ eV spectral dependencies of the current change $\Delta j$ do not have the sharp decrease observed on SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs heterostructures [Fig. 2(a)]. We note that maxima of $\Delta j$ in Fig. 2(a) are shifted to lower energies in comparison to maxima of $\Delta j$ in Fig. 2(b).

The photocurrent $\Delta j$ depends on the light intensity $P$ (Fig. 3). Without an avalanche in the heterostructure (negative voltages, $|U| < 54$ V), the $\Delta j$ grows with light intensity increasing. In the avalanche regime ($|U| = 70$ V), the photocurrent changes its sign and the dependence $\Delta j$ versus $P$ has nonlinear character.

**Discussion.** Since the interface region of the GaAs contains oxygen ions leaved after the polished process, then according to$^{22,23}$ in addition to the EL2 defect level there are oxygen-ion levels in the GaAs bandgap. The four-level model [Fig. 1(a)] describes the presence of these levels in the GaAs$^{22}$. The temperature dependence of dark conductivity near room temperature is controlled by the thermal excitation of electrons from level 1 which lies $\varepsilon^{(1)} = 0.48$ eV below the conduction band. The value of $\varepsilon^{(1)}$ corresponds to the activation energy $\varepsilon = 0.47$ eV of the SiO$_2$(Co)/GaAs structure$^{16}$. In thermal equilib-
conduction band

\[ j_e = (1 + \beta(P, \varepsilon)]j_0 + j_e(P, \varepsilon), \]

(1)

where \( j_e \) is the electron current density in a conduction band induced by the light irradiation, \( P \) is the light intensity, \( \varepsilon \) is the photon energy, and \( \beta \) is the function of \( P \) and \( \varepsilon \). The change in the current density caused by light is determined by the difference between the current density \( j_t(P, \varepsilon) \) under the light irradiation of the intensity \( P \) and the current density \( j_t(0, \varepsilon) \) without an irradiation

\[ \Delta j(P, \varepsilon) = j_t(P, \varepsilon) - j_t(0, \varepsilon). \]

(2)

Due to the positive feedback \( \beta \) the current change \( \Delta j(P, \varepsilon) \) can be negative, i.e. negative photoeffect is observed, and can be positive (\( \Delta j(P, \varepsilon) > 0 \)). Taking into account Eqs. (1) and (2), we find that the negative photoeffect is realized, when the feedback contribution to the current decrease is greater than the contribution to the current increase caused by the electron creation in the conduction band and the inequality

\[ \frac{\partial \beta(P, \varepsilon)}{\partial P} < - \frac{1 + \beta(P, \varepsilon)}{j_0 + j_e(P, \varepsilon)} \cdot \frac{\partial j_e(P, \varepsilon)}{\partial P} \]

(3)

fulfills.

The suppression of the avalanche process presented in Fig. 1 can be explained in the following way. If the photon energy is less than the bandgap energy \( E, \varepsilon < E \), light irradiation causes a creation of conduction electrons in the conduction band and holes trapped on defects within the bandgap of GaAs (Fig. 4). Localized holes on

![Figure 3: Current change \( \Delta j \) in the SiO\textsubscript{2}(Co)/GaAs heterostructure caused by the light irradiation with photon wavelength \( \lambda = 1.050 \mu m (\varepsilon = 1.181 \text{eV}) \) versus the light intensity \( P \) at different negative voltages applied on the SiO\textsubscript{2}(Co) film.](image)

![Figure 4: (a) Energy level scheme for the GaAs near the interface. (b) Schematic band diagram of the GaAs in the SiO\textsubscript{2}(Co)/GaAs heterostructure at the applied electrical field in the avalanche regime. A — maximum point of the potential barrier, B — start point of the impact ionization, L — energy levels of defects inside bandgap.](image)
the levels \( L \) form the region of immovable positive charge. This region hinders the movement of holes, which create in the avalanche process in the valence band and move to the potential barrier. Consequently, the positive feedback \( \beta(P, \varepsilon) \) decreases. If the value of \( \beta(P, \varepsilon) \) is high to fulfill relation \( 3 \), the current change \( \Delta j \) becomes negative.

For \( \varepsilon < 1.38 \) eV (Fig. 4) the avalanche process is suppressed, the value of the positive feedback \( \beta(P, \varepsilon) \) decreases and the photocurrent \( \Delta j \) becomes negative. For \( \varepsilon > 1.41 \) eV one can observe sharp decrease of the \( \Delta j \), but the photocurrent retains positive values. This decrease is due to the quantum well formed near the interface [Fig. 4(b)]. Electrons which are created by light irradiation in the quantum well form the region of immovable positive charge. Since electron-hole pairs are created and accumulate in the interface region, the light penetration depth in the GaAs has low values and the light irradiation influence on the positive feedback \( \beta(P, \varepsilon) \) of the avalanche process is insignificant. This leads to low values of the \( \Delta j \).

The developed model of the current change caused by light in the avalanche regime can explain the dependence of the photocurrent \( \Delta j \) on the light intensity \( P \) (Fig. 4). Without an avalanche process (|\( U | < 54 \) V) at photon energies lesser than the bandgap energy of the GaAs the light irradiation causes a creation of conduction electrons in the conduction band and holes trapped on defects within the bandgap. In this case, \( \beta(P, \varepsilon) = 0 \) and the photocurrent is determined by the current \( j_c(P, \varepsilon) \) of activated electrons (Eq. 1). In the avalanche regime (|\( U | = 70 \) V) at small values of \( P \) for the case of fulfilment inequality \( 3 \) the feedback contribution to the current decrease is of great values and the current change \( \Delta j \) is negative. At high values of \( P \) the feedback \( \beta(P, \varepsilon) \) is suppressed and the \( \Delta j \) grows.

In summary, the negative photoconductance is observed in SiO\(_2\)(Co)/GaAs heterostructures in the avalanche regime, when the photon energy is less than the energy bandgap of the GaAs. The light irradiation creates holes trapped on defects within the GaAs bandgap. These localized holes hinder the movement of holes created in the impact ionization process in the valence band and reduce the avalanche positive feedback. This leads to the observed decrease of the photocurrent. Thus, in the avalanche regime SiO\(_2\)(Co)/GaAs heterostructures demonstrate not only the extremely large magnetoresistance, but also the negative photoeffect, which can be used in sensitive infrared detectors.
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