The molecular environment of the massive star forming region NGC 2024: Multi CO transition analysis
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ABSTRACT

Context. Sites of massive star formation have complex internal structures. Local heating by young stars and kinematic processes, such as outflows and stellar winds, generate large temperature and velocity gradients. Complex cloud structures lead to intricate emission line shapes. CO lines from high mass star forming regions are rarely Gaussian and show often multiple peaks. Furthermore, the line shapes vary significantly with the quantum number J, due to the different probed physical conditions and opacities.

Aims. The goal of this paper is to show that the complex line shapes of 12CO and 13CO in NGC 2024 showing multiple emission and absorption features, which vary with rotational quantum number J can be explained consistently with a model, whose temperature and velocity structure are based on the well-established scenario of a PDR and the “Blister model”.

Methods. We present velocity-resolved spectra of seven 12CO and 13CO lines ranging from J_up = 3 to J_up = 13. We combined these data with 12CO high-frequency data from the ISO satellite and analyzed the full set of CO lines using an escape probability code and a one-dimensional full radiative transfer code.

Results. We find that the bulk of the molecular cloud associated with NGC 2024 consists of warm (75 K) and dense (9 × 10^3 cm^-3) gas. An additional hot (~300 K) component, located at the interface of the HII region and the molecular cloud, is needed to explain the emission of the high-J CO lines. Deep absorption notches indicate that very cold material (~20 K) exists in front of the warm material, too.

Conclusions. A temperature and column density structure consistent with those predicted by PDR models, combined with the velocity structure of a “Blister model”, appropriately describes the observed emission line profiles of this massive star forming region. This case study of NGC 2024 shows that, with physical insights into these complex regions and careful modeling, multi-line observations of 12CO and 13CO can be used to derive detailed physical conditions in massive star forming regions.
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1. Introduction

High-mass star forming regions are very complex. The interaction of OB stars, which are often deeply embedded, with the surrounding molecular cloud via radiation and outflows and condensations of cold gas, still largely unaffected by the star forming activities, lead to a complex density and temperature structure, causing intricate shapes of the observed emission lines. Some CO lines in such regions (e.g., M 17, Stutzki & Guesten et al. 1990, W3, Kramer et al. 2004 and Mon R2, Giannakopoulou et al. 1997) have multiple peaks, most likely due to absorption by foreground material. The line shapes vary significantly, depending on the rotational level and the observed isotopes, which trace different regimes of physical conditions and optical depths.

The massive star forming region we selected for our study is the HII region NGC 2024 and its associated molecular cloud, which is located at a distance of 415 pc (Anthony-Twarog 1982). It is a part of Orion B, a well-studied giant molecular cloud (e.g. Maddalena et al. 1986; Lada et al. 1991; Kramer et al. 1996; Mitchell et al. 2001).

* Current address: California Institute of Technology, Owens Valley Radio Observatory, Big Pines, CA 93513 USA.

A possible scenario for the three-dimensional structure of the NGC 2024 region was proposed by Barnes et al. (1989), who combined observations from optical to radio wavelengths to construct a model of the cloud (Fig. 1). In their model, the HII region sits in front of the bulk of the cloud but is partly obscured in the optical by a very prominent ridge of cold molecular material. This geometry provides an explanation for the complex line shapes, which may consist of contributions from foreground and background regions, including self-absorption. The ionizing sources of NGC 2024 are invisible at optical wavelengths because they are obscured by the dust ridge. IRS2 b, a late O or early B star, has been identified as the primary ionizing source of NGC 2024 through observations in the near infrared (Bik et al. 2003). The extinction (A_v) through the dust ridge along the lines-of-sight to stars inside the HII region are in the range of 15 to 25 mag. Furthermore, the HII region is expected to expand into the molecular cloud and to trigger star formation. Indeed some protostellar condensations (FIR 1 to 7) have been detected close to the HII region (Mezger et al. 1988 & 1992). Their masses, derived from sub-millimeter continuum emission, range from 1.6 to 5.1 M_☉ (Visser et al. 1998). Because these masses correspond to visual extinctions between 270 mag and 870 mag and the optical
depth of the dust ridge at the near side of the H II region is only 15–25\,map, it is very likely that these condensations are located in the dense molecular cloud (DMC) behind the HII region. Massive outflows have been detected close to the source FIR 5 (e.g., Richer 1990; Sanders & Willner 1985) giving additional evidence for ongoing star formation.

Detailed investigations of the molecular cloud associated with NGC 2024 were performed by Graf et al. (1990, 1993), who studied multiple \(^{12}\)CO lines (up to \(J = 7–6\)) and its isotopologues. They derived temperatures of 23.5 K and 67.4 K for the foreground and the background component, as defined by Barnes et al. (1989), respectively. The corresponding column densities are \(5.4 \times 10^{22} \, \text{cm}^{-2} (A_v = 56 \, \text{mag})\) and \(2.0 \times 10^{23} \, \text{cm}^{-2} (A_v = 210 \, \text{mag})\). Furthermore they found different velocities of 9 km s\(^{-1}\) and 11 km s\(^{-1}\) for the foreground and the background component, respectively. The peak velocities of the main component of the \(^{12}\)CO lines, \(V_{\text{LSR}} = 13 \, \text{km s}^{-1}\), differ significantly from those of the optically thin lines (e.g., of \(^{18}\)O), which are at a velocity of 11 km s\(^{-1}\). Graf et al. (1993) suggested that the blue side of the \(^{12}\)CO lines are absorbed by the dust ridge at a velocity of 9 km s\(^{-1}\).

This velocity shift between background and foreground component can be explained by a “Blister Model” (Israel 1978; Zuckerman 1973). In such a model, an OB star is assumed to be located close to the surface of the molecular cloud forming an HII region. The ionization front of such an HII region moves slowly into this cloud establishing a high pressure gradient. Because of this pressure gradient the ionized gas moves away from the molecular cloud. Therefore hydrogen recombination lines appear at negative line of sight velocity offsets of about 3 km s\(^{-1}\) relative to the molecular lines, if the HII region is located at the near side of the molecular cloud (Israel 1978). In the case of NGC 2024 the ionized material cannot flow into space, but pushes on the foreground material. We therefore expect that the foreground component is at somewhat lower velocities than the bulk of the molecular material. The assumption that the velocity structure of NGC 2024 is indeed caused by a “Blister” is buttressed by the fact that the H109\(\alpha\) recombination line appears at 7 km s\(^{-1}\) (Israel 1978), and thus even more blueshifted.

\(^{13}\)CO 2–1 and \(^{12}\)CO 2–1 emission observed by Graf et al. (1993), follows the 1.3 mm continuum very well and peaks close to the far infrared sources detected by Mezger et al. (1988 & 1992). Contrary to the \(^{13}\)CO and \(^{12}\)CO lines, the map of the integrated intensity of optically thicker \(^{13}\)CO 7–6 line shows features similar to those seen in the 6 cm continuum map (Crutcher et al. 1986), which traces the ionized gas. This indicates that the \(^{12}\)CO 7–6 mainly originates from a photo dominated region (PDR) at the surface of the molecular cloud and not from embedded protostellar objects. The excitation conditions in this PDR were studied using the integrated intensity of far infrared line emission observed with the ISO satellite (Giannini et al 2000). They studied [NII], [NIII], and [OIII] lines from the HII region and high-J CO lines, [CII], and [OI], which originate (in part) from the PDR. Comparison with the predicted line ratios of a PDR model (Burton et al. 1990) revealed a density of \(10^6 \, \text{cm}^{-3}\) and a UV field of \(3 \times 10^4 \, G_0\) at the surface of the molecular cloud.

PDR models for high densities and high UV fields, as relevant for NGC 2024, predict a column density for hot CO (>100 K) of the order of \(10^{16}–10^{17} \, \text{cm}^{-2}\) (see, Röllig et al. 2007\(^1\)). High-J CO lines (in this paper we refer to all lines with frequencies >1 THz as high-J) would be emitted exclusively from such hot and dense material, because of their high critical density (>10\(^7\) \, \text{cm}^{-2}\) and high energy of the upper level \((E_{\text{up}} \geq 250 \, \text{K})\).

In this paper we combine twelve transitions of \(^{12}\)CO and \(^{13}\)CO, ranging from \(J_{\text{up}} = 3\) to \(J_{\text{up}} = 19\) to trace molecular material NGC 2024 under very different physical conditions. The goal of this paper is to find, based on the above mentioned scenarios (“Blister Model” and PDR) a model for the velocity, density and temperature structure of NGC 2024, which reproduces the observed line intensities and line shapes consistently. For this purpose we observed seven CO lines spectrally resolved which are presented in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, we first examine the physical conditions of the gas, which emits the high-J CO lines, using an escape probability code and compare the result with the expectation from PDR models. The results of the escape probability calculations are used as input of a five component radiative transfer model (Sec. 4.2). An interpretation of the model is given in Sect. 5.

2. Observations

2.1. Observations of \(^{12}\)CO \(J = 13–12\) at APEX

The \(^{12}\)CO 13–12 (\(\nu = 1496.922909 \, \text{GHz}\), Müller et al. 2001) observations were carried out on November 22, 2005 at the APEX 12 m telescope (Güsten et al. 2006), located on Llano de Chajnantor, Chile. We used the CO N+ Deuterium Observations Receiver (CONDOR, Wiedner et al. 2006), a heterodyne receiver that operates at THz frequencies (1.25–1.53 THz). The typical double sideband (DSB) receiver noise temperature was between 1500 K and 1900 K. The mean atmospheric transmission at zenith during the observations was \(-0.2\). As a backend, we used the APEX fast fourier transform spectrometer (FFTS), which has an intrinsic resolution of 60 kHz.

The expected diffraction limited main beam size of a 12 m telescope at 1.5 THz is 4.3\,\arcsec. Because the accuracy of the primary surface derived from planet observations at frequencies between

\(^1\) All of their results are available under http://www.ph1.uni-koeln.de/pdr-comparison
Table 1. Positions of the $^{12}$CO $J = 13–12$ observations.

| Position | $\Delta \alpha$ [″] | $\Delta \delta$ [″] |
|----------|---------------------|------------------|
| FIR 5    | 0.0                 | 0.0              |
| IRS 3    | 3.3                 | 16.0             |
| #1       | 8.8                 | 28.6             |
| #2       | 13.8                | 41.9             |
| IRS 2    | 24.6                | 68.5             |

The (0, 0) position is $\alpha = 5^h41^m44.18^s$, $\delta = -1^o55'38.0''$ ($J = 2000$, Mezger et al. 1988).

350 GHz and 1500 GHz (with CONDOR) is 18 $\mu$m (Güsten et al. 2006), we expect some of the power to be directed into an error beam. We assume that the error beam is approximately 80″, which is derived from the approximate size of the individual panels of the telescope (~70 cm). To determine the efficiency for the calibration to the main beam temperature ($T_{MB}$), we measured the continuum of Moon and Mars and compared the observed values with models. These measurements lead to beam efficiencies of 0.4 and 0.09–0.11 for the Moon and Mars, respectively. The different beam efficiencies arise because Mars is about one-fourth the size of the error beam (18.2″ on the date of the observations), and thus these observations suffer from beam dilution. A more detailed discussion of the beam sizes and coupling efficiencies is given in Volkeng et al. (2008), & Wiedner et al. (2006).

Since NGC 2024 is an extended source, clearly larger than the error beam (Graf et al. 1993, Kramer et al. 1996), we use a beam efficiency of 0.4 for the calibration to $T_{MB}$. Pointing and focusing were determined from observations of Mars, and we estimate a pointing accuracy better than 7″.

2.2. Observations of mid-$J$ CO lines with KOSMA

In addition to the $^{12}$CO 13–12 transitions, we used archival, so far unpublished, maps of $^{12}$CO 6–5 ($\nu = 691.5$ GHz), $^{12}$CO 3–2 ($\nu = 345.7$ GHz), $^{13}$CO 6–5 ($\nu = 661.1$ GHz) and $^{13}$CO 3–2 ($\nu = 330.6$ GHz). The observations were conducted between January 27 and February 2 1998 at the Kölner Oberobservatorium für Submillimeter Astronomie (KOSMA), located on Gornengrat, Switzerland. The beam sizes ($HPBW$) of these observations are ~50″ and 82″ at 690 GHz and 345 GHz, respectively. To map the central part of the molecular cloud associated with NGC 2024, we used a dual-channel SIS receiver (Graf et al. 1998) with typical DSB receiver noise temperatures of 100 and 400 K for the $J = 3–2$ and $J = 6–5$ lines, respectively. As backends, we used two acousto optical spectrometers (AOS). The medium resolution spectrometer (MRS) has a bandwidth of 0.3 GHz and a resolution of 360 kHz. The low resolution spectrometer (LRS) has a bandwidth of 1 GHz and a resolution of 1150 kHz. The forward efficiency, $F_{rad} = 0.93$, was determined by skydips. For the $J = 3–2$ observations, we used beam efficiencies ($B_{rad}$) of 0.59 and 0.62 for the $^{12}$CO and the $^{13}$CO line, respectively. For the $J = 6–5$ observations, the beam efficiencies were 0.40 and 0.48, respectively.

2.3. Observations of mid-$J$ CO lines with NANTEN2

Simultaneous observations of $^{12}$CO 7–6 ($\nu = 806.65$ GHz) and $^{12}$CO 4–3 ($\nu = 461.04$ GHz) were carried out with the NANTEN2 4m telescope at Pampa La Bola, Chile. We obtained a 2′ × 2′ map, which was centered on NGC 2024 IRS 3. The observations were carried out in December 2007, using the dual-channel 460/810 GHz test receiver, which had DSB receiver temperatures of ~750 K and ~250 K for the upper and the lower channel, respectively. Two AOS with bandwidths of 1 GHz and channel widths of ~560 kHz were used as backends. The beam sizes ($HPBW$) of the observations were 25″ and 37″ for the $^{12}$CO 7–6 and $^{12}$CO 4–3 observations, respectively. The beam efficiencies are 0.5 and 0.45 for 460 and 810 GHz, respectively, and a forward efficiency of 0.86 was measured at both frequencies. The position of IRS 3 was taken to be $\alpha = 5^h41^m44.40^s$, $\delta = -1^o55'22.8''$ ($J = 2000$, Mezger et al. 1992). Pointing was checked on IRc2 in Orion A right before the observations and is expected to have an accuracy of <7″.

3. Observational results

To be able to compare the observed lines with each other, we convolved the maps observed with the KOSMA telescope and the NANTEN2 telescope to a spatial resolution of 80″, which is the spatial resolution of the $J = 3–2$ spectra as well as the approximate size of the error beam of the $^{12}$CO 13–12 observations. Since 70%–80% of the radiation is expected to come from the error beam at these high frequencies, we considered 80″ as the spatial resolution of the $^{12}$CO 13–12 observations as well. An analysis of the maps of the lower-$J$ lines will be given in a subsequent paper.

The spectra are shown in Fig. 2, and the line parameters are listed in Table 2. While most of the lines have a Gaussian line profile ($^{12}$CO 13–12, $^{13}$CO 6–5) or relatively weak blue shifted shoulders ($^{12}$CO 7–6, $^{12}$CO 6–5, $^{13}$CO 3–2), the $^{12}$CO 4–3 and 3–2 lines show complex line shapes with absorption notches, enhanced emission from the red shifted wing, and an additional bump at ~4.5 km s$^{-1}$. The emission of the $J = 3–2$ and $J = 6–5$ transitions of $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO is fairly uniform in line intensity and shape at all five positions, and the integrated intensity of these lines drops towards IRS 2 only by ~30%. This uniformity is expected, since the separation between the positions of FIR 5 and IRS 2 is only 73″, which is on the order of the spatial resolution of the observations. The $^{12}$CO 13–12 line peaks towards the southern three positions (FIR 5, IRS 3 and position #1) and declines noticeably towards the north, indicating that high-$J$ CO emission originates from a rather compact region around IRS 3.

Because of the different and complex line shapes of the $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO lines, we give the centroid velocity of the spectra in the following. The velocities of the $^{13}$CO lines are ~11 km s$^{-1}$, whereas the velocity of $^{12}$CO 3–2 and $^{12}$CO 6–5 is ~12 km s$^{-1}$. The $J = 13–12$ line of $^{12}$CO is found at a velocity of ~13 km s$^{-1}$, although there is a variation in the velocity of about 1 km s$^{-1}$ with position. The velocity of the $^{13}$CO lines is uniform throughout all five positions, whereas the velocity of $^{13}$CO 3–2 and $^{12}$CO 6–5 declines from south to north. This is due to a redshifted outflow detected in these two lines, which is strongest at the southern positions and reaches velocities up to ~20 km s$^{-1}$. This outflow was detected previously by Sanders & Willner (1985). The lack of a blueshifted wing in our spectra is consistent with previous observations (e.g., Richer 1990 & Richer et al. 1992). One explanation given by Richer et al. (1989)
is that the outflow exists in a very complex region close to the ionization front of the HII region. North of its origin lies very dense gas seen in 1.3 mm map (Mezger et al. 1992) and HCO\(^+\) (Richer et al. 1989). Any material ejected by the protostar in this direction might be retarded by dense gas and/or destroyed by strong UV-radiation.

The \(^{12}\)CO 13–12 data displayed in Fig. 2 are binned to a resolution of 0.48 km s\(^{-1}\), which is sufficiently high, because the line width of the \(J = 13–12\) line is on the order of 2.5 km s\(^{-1}\). At this resolution we detected the line in four out of five positions with an S/N ratio >3\(\sigma\). At the position of IRS 2, we can claim only a tentative detection of 7.5 ± 3 K.

The \(^{12}\)CO 6–5 line is not symmetric, but shows a shoulder at its lower velocity side. Its velocity of ~12 km s\(^{-1}\) is approximately 1 km s\(^{-1}\) redshifted with respect to the \(^{13}\)CO 6–5 line. The difference in velocity can be explained by the two-component model, suggested by previous studies (Barnes et al. 1989; Graf et al. 1993). In this model, the foreground component (\(\tau\) in Fig. 1) is assumed to be at a lower \(v_{\text{LSR}}\) than the background component (9.2 km s\(^{-1}\) and 11.1 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively). Furthermore, the temperature of the foreground component (24 K) is lower than the temperature of 67 K of the background (Graf et al. 1993). Thus, the foreground component adds an absorption feature centered at 9.2 km s\(^{-1}\) to the \(^{12}\)CO 6–5 line emitted from the background component. Therefore, the centroid velocity of the spectra appears redshifted with respect to the background component. In \(^{13}\)CO 6–5, no signs of self-absorption appear, and thus its centroid velocity coincides with the \(v_{\text{LSR}}\) of the background component.

The profile of the \(^{13}\)CO 3–2 line looks similar to the \(^{12}\)CO 6–5 line, but it does not appear redshifted and lies at a velocity of ~11 km s\(^{-1}\). The shape of the \(^{13}\)CO 3–2 lines is dominated by deep absorption notches at velocities of 9.8 km s\(^{-1}\) and 11.9 km s\(^{-1}\), which are caused by material located in front of the HII region. The alternative scenario that the emission is composed of three individual, equally strong (~25 K), velocity shifted cloud components is unlikely, because these components are not seen in most of the other lines. A fourth, weaker peak (~3 K) can be seen at 4.6 km s\(^{-1}\). \(^{13}\)CO 2–1 observations (Kramer et al. 1996) revealed that this component extends further to the north-east and seems to be kinematically distinct from the main component.

The spectra of \(^{12}\)CO 7–6 and \(^{12}\)CO 4–3, convolved to a resolution of 80\(\prime\)\(\prime\), are superimposed on the \(^{12}\)CO 6–5 and \(^{13}\)CO 3–2 in Fig. 2, respectively. The \(^{12}\)CO 4–3 spectrum looks very much like the one of \(^{13}\)CO 3–2. The two absorption notches, the 4.6 km s\(^{-1}\) component, and the redshifted outflow can be seen in both spectra. Even the intensities are nearly identical. The only difference is that the emission from the outflow, i.e., at \(v_{\text{LSR}} > 12\) km s\(^{-1}\), is slightly stronger in \(^{12}\)CO 4–3.

The blueshifted shoulder of the \(^{12}\)CO 6–5 spectrum, interpreted as self absorption, appears similar but more pronounced in \(^{12}\)CO 7–6. However, the \(^{12}\)CO 7–6 line is narrower, because the outflow signal is weaker than in \(^{12}\)CO 6–5. \(^{12}\)CO 7–6 seems to suffer much more from absorption and what is seen as a shoulder in \(^{13}\)CO 6–5 appears as a second peak here. The different strengths of the absorption of \(^{12}\)CO 7–6 and \(^{12}\)CO 6–5 requires a strong gradient in the excitation of those lines. The

![Fig. 2. Spectra of CO emission from pointed observations in NGC 2024. The spectra are all convolved to a resolution of 80\(\prime\)\(\prime\), which is approximately the resolution of the observed \(^{12}\)CO 3–2 and the \(^{13}\)CO 3–2 spectra as well as the resolution of the error beam of the \(^{12}\)CO 13–12 observations. The spectra are taken towards the positions (north to south left to right) as denoted in Table 1. The grey spectra, overlaid on \(^{12}\)CO 6–5 and \(^{13}\)CO 3–2 at IRS 3, are the \(^{12}\)CO 7–6 and the \(^{13}\)CO 4–3 spectra, respectively.](image-url)
velocity of the $^{12}$CO 7–6 (11.5 km s$^{-1}$) line is in between the velocity of $^{12}$CO 6–5 (~12 km s$^{-1}$) and $^{12}$CO 6–5 (~11 km s$^{-1}$). These velocity differences are indeed due to foreground absorption, the velocity dispersion of the $^{12}$CO 7–6 absorbing material is significantly lower than the velocity dispersion of the material which absorbs $^{12}$CO 6–5. The minimum, most likely caused by the foreground absorption, lies at 9.5 km s$^{-1}$, which is 0.3 km s$^{-1}$ blue-shifted with respect to the absorption notch observed in $^{12}$CO 4–3 and $^{12}$CO 3–2.

Graf et al. (1993) observed NGC 2024 in $^{12}$CO 7–6 as well, using the UKIRT telescope, which has a similar spatial resolution. Their spectrum at FIR 5, 16" south of IRS 3, shows a V$_{LSR}$ of 12.8 km s$^{-1}$, clearly red shifted with respect to our observations. However the frequency stability of the Laser system that was used as local oscillator in these early measurements was about 1 MHz (~0.4 km s$^{-1}$). Furthermore, the UKIRT observations were made in a double beam switch mode with a chop throw of 3". Thus their off-position might have been not completely clean, leading to a different apparent line shape. Possible pointing errors cannot be the reason for different velocities reported in Graf et al. (1993) and this work, because no big velocity gradients with position are seen in NGC 2024.

### 4. Modeling results

The aim of this investigation is to show that the major feature of the complex shapes and intensities of all observed $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO lines can be explained consistently with a physically plausible scenario, which is based on the “Blister” model and the PDR scenario (see Sect. 1).

#### 4.1. Escape probability code results

In this section we examine the physical properties of the high-J CO lines using an escape probability code (Stutzki & Winnewisser 1985). By comparing the column density of hot CO required to explain the observed high-J CO emission with the column density expected by PDR models we check, if the assumption of a PDR-scenario is consistent. Furthermore we use the results of this models as a first guess for a more sophisticated five component model (Sect. 4.2), which reduces the free parameter of this model.

In addition to the new $^{12}$CO 13–12 spectra of NGC 2024 presented in this work, the integrated CO intensities from $J_{uv} = 14$ to $J_{uv} = 17$ observed with the ISO satellite towards FIR 5 (Giannini et al. 2000) were taken from literature. The $^{12}$CO 13–12 line does not show any sign of absorption or emission at ~9.5 km s$^{-1}$. Thus, we assume that the emission of $^{12}$CO 13–12, as well as of the other high-J CO lines, is purely determined by the material located behind the HII region. Therefore, we can use an escape probability code (Stutzki & Winnewisser 1985) to model the emission of $^{12}$CO J ≥ 13. In this code, the emitting gas is treated as an isothermal cloud with homogeneous density. For a given set of kinetic temperatures ($T_{kin}$), H$_2$ densities (n(H$_2$)), and $^{12}$CO column densities per velocity interval (N(CO)/Δv), the emitted line strengths (main beam temperatures and integrated intensities), as well as the optical depths (τ) at the line center are calculated.

To match the observed intensities of the high-J lines, a $^{12}$CO column density of 9.5 ± 0.7 × 10$^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ in a velocity interval Δv = 4 km s$^{-1}$, i.e., the width of the $^{12}$CO 13–12 line, is required. This column density is in good agreement with PDR models (see Sect. 1). Constraints on the gas temperature and H$_2$-density, however, are looser; many solutions are possible. The two best fit solutions (both with a χ$^2$ of 2.9) yield a H$_2$-density of 1.3 × 10$^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and a temperature of 250 K and n$_{H_2}$ = 3 × 10$^{23}$ cm$^{-3}$ and T = 410 K, respectively. All models with a χ$^2$ lower than 10 have in common that $T^3$·n is approximately constant.

If we assume that the gas is distributed uniformly throughout the (80") beam (and the area filling factor is one), then this layer of the PDR has a thickness of 5 × 10$^{-4}$ to 1.5 × 10$^{-3}$ cm (=33–100 AU). At a distance of 415 pc, 100 AU corresponds to 0.25", which indicates that this hot component is indeed a thin layer at the, possibly clumpy, surface of the molecular cloud.

#### 4.2. Full radiative transfer model

To get a more complete picture of the source, which explains also the complex line shapes of the lower-J lines we have to use a multilayer radiative transfer model, which treats multiple emission components and self-absorption correctly. With such a radiative transfer model, we fitted the line shapes and intensities

### Table 2. Integrated intensities, V$_{LSR}$ and Δv of the observed lines.

| Position | $T_{mb}$ dv | V$_{LSR}$ | Δv |
|----------|-------------|-----------|-----|
|          | [Kkm s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] |
| $^{12}$CO 7–6 | | | |
| FIR 5 | 120 ± 10 | 12.23 ± 0.3 | 3.34 ± 0.5 |
| IRS 3 | 109 ± 10 | 12.75 ± 0.3 | 3.92 ± 0.5 |
| # 1 | 127 ± 10 | 13.50 ± 0.3 | 4.51 ± 0.5 |
| # 2 | 57 ± 10 | 13.17 ± 0.3 | 3.54 ± 0.5 |
| IRS 2 | <30 | – | – |
| $^{12}$CO 7–6 | | | |
| IRS 3 | 229 ± 10 | 11.5 ± 0.2 | 4.5 ± 0.5 |
| $^{12}$CO 6–5 | | | |
| FIR 5 | 288 ± 10 | 12.0 ± 0.15 | 7.5 ± 0.5 |
| IRS 3 | 289 ± 10 | 11.9 ± 0.15 | 7.2 ± 0.5 |
| # 1 | 279 ± 10 | 11.9 ± 0.15 | 7.0 ± 0.5 |
| # 2 | 265 ± 10 | 11.9 ± 0.15 | 7.2 ± 0.5 |
| IRS 2 | 235 ± 10 | 11.9 ± 0.15 | 7.5 ± 0.5 |
| $^{12}$CO 6–5 | | | |
| FIR 5 | 159 ± 5 | 10.9 ± 0.15 | 2.8 ± 0.5 |
| IRS 3 | 157 ± 5 | 10.9 ± 0.15 | 2.7 ± 0.5 |
| # 1 | 149 ± 5 | 10.8 ± 0.15 | 2.6 ± 0.5 |
| # 2 | 138 ± 5 | 10.7 ± 0.15 | 2.5 ± 0.5 |
| IRS 2 | 106 ± 5 | 10.5 ± 0.15 | 2.3 ± 0.5 |
| $^{12}$CO 4–3 | | | |
| IRS 3 | 257.8 ± 10 | 11.5 ± 0.2 | 11.8 ± 0.3 |
| $^{12}$CO 3–2 | | | |
| FIR 5 | 213 ± 10 | 11.9 ± 0.15 | 10.2 ± 0.2 |
| IRS 3 | 202 ± 10 | 11.9 ± 0.15 | 11.3 ± 0.2 |
| # 1 | 186 ± 10 | 11.6 ± 0.15 | 10.7 ± 0.2 |
| # 2 | 171 ± 10 | 11.0 ± 0.15 | 8.55 ± 0.2 |
| IRS 2 | 155 ± 10 | 10.7 ± 0.15 | 6.52 ± 0.2 |
| $^{12}$CO 3–1 | | | |
| FIR 5 | 146 ± 5 | 10.9 ± 0.1 | 3.36 ± 0.2 |
| IRS 3 | 144 ± 5 | 10.8 ± 0.1 | 3.42 ± 0.2 |
| # 1 | 136 ± 5 | 10.8 ± 0.1 | 3.34 ± 0.2 |
| # 2 | 127 ± 5 | 10.8 ± 0.1 | 3.24 ± 0.2 |
| IRS 2 | 109 ± 5 | 10.7 ± 0.1 | 2.93 ± 0.2 |

Due to the complex line shapes, especially from the lower-J $^{12}$CO lines, the V$_{LSR}$ and Δv have been determined by using the first and second moment of the spectra, respectively.
of all seven $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO lines observed at the position of IRS 3 and the integrated intensities of $^{12}$CO with $J_{up} > 13$, simultaneously. The $^{12}$CO line with $J_{up} > 13$ are the same data we used in the previous section. These data have been observed at the position of FIR 5, which lies 16" south of IRS 3, a separation much lower than the spatial resolution of our observations. We used SimLine (Ossenkopf et al. 2001), a 1-dimensional, spherical radiative transfer code. SimLine computes the profiles of molecular rotational lines for an arbitrary density, temperature and velocity distribution, specified as a set of discrete layers, by integrating the radiative transfer equation numerically. The population of the individual levels of a molecule are computed by solving the full system of balance equations iteratively. By setting the inner radius of the model to a value, which is much larger than the beamsize times the distance of the observations, we used SimLine in a quasi plane-parallel way.

### 4.2.1. Constraints on the models

A close look at the data in Fig. 2 and Table 2 reveals an apparent contradiction in the line strengths at $n_{\text{LSR}} = 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The strong ($-50$ K) $^{13}$CO 6–5 emission, which indicates a large column density of hot molecular gas, belies the relatively weak (<15 K) $^{12}$CO 13–12 emission at the same velocity. The possibility that the $^{12}$CO 13–12 line is absorbed by the foreground gas can be ruled out, since the estimated column density ($N_{\text{H}_2} = 2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which corresponds to $A_v = 20–25 \text{mag}$, Bik et al. 2003) is orders of magnitude too low for the derived temperatures (~25 K) to cause this absorption (Graf et al. 1993).

Relatively strong $^{12}$CO 13–12 emission at a $n_{\text{LSR}}$ of ~13 $\text{ km s}^{-1}$, where no other optically thin line shows an emission peak, suggests an error in the frequency calibration. The origin of such an error is puzzling, given that particular care was taken to check calibration during the observations. Immediately prior to observing NGC 2024, we observed Orion FIR 4 to check telescope pointing, and the $^{12}$CO 13–12 emission had the expected velocity, and the pointing, and the $^{12}$CO 13–12 emission had the expected velocity. Therefore the number of effectively free parameters is ~15.

The background material was divided into two subcomponents, denoted by B1 and B2, B1 consists of warm ($T = 75$ K) and dense gas ($n(H_2) = 9 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) with a high column density ($N(H_2) = 7.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). B2 is a thin layer with a steep temperature and density gradient. At the surface of the cloud the temperature reaches 330 K and the density is as high as $2 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Both B1 and B2 have a velocity of $n_{\text{LSR}} = 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a FWHM of the turbulent velocity of $\Delta v_{\text{turb}} = 1.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

The foreground component was divided into three subcomponents, denoted by F1, F2, and F3, to explain the two absorption dips in the $^{12}$CO 4–3 and $^{13}$CO 3–2 spectra and for physical reasons, as mentioned above. The $n_{\text{LSR}}$ of F2 shows a gradient from 9.3 $\text{ km s}^{-1}$ to 9.7 $\text{ km s}^{-1}$ towards the observer, and the $n_{\text{LSR}}$ of F3 is 12 $\text{ km s}^{-1}$. The total column density of the foreground component (F1+F2+F3) has to be consistent with the prediction of the PDR models, and the velocity difference between the background components and the bulk of the foreground material must be negative and in the range of a few $\text{ km s}^{-1}$ in order to be explained by the blister model. Therefore the number of effectively free parameters is ~15.
The complex shapes of the emission lines, especially the deep absorption notches in 12CO 3–2, require depth-dependent gradients in the foreground subcomponents. F2 contains most of the foreground material (>96% of the mass). It consists of a 1.54 × 10^{17} cm deep, 40 K warm gas layer, whose density decreases linearly from 10^{6} cm^{-3} to 3 × 10^{4} cm^{-3}. Subsequently, the F2 gas density stays constant, but the temperature drops to 30 K within the next 1.4 × 10^{16} cm. Simultaneously to the temperature decrease, the v_{LSR} shifts from 9.3 km s^{-1} to 9.7 km s^{-1}. The v_{LSR} of F3 is 12.2 km s^{-1}. F3 is responsible for one of the two absorption dips in 12CO 3–2, as well as the absorption of the 12CO 6–5 intensity. The F1 component represents the radiation heated counterpart of B2 on the nearside of the HII region. This additional gas component does not change the modeled line emission as long as the H2 column density is lower than 4.6 × 10^{20} cm^{-2}, i.e. as long as its column density is smaller than 5 times the column density of B2. Because the existence of such a component is physically reasonable, we assumed that the interface between the bulk of the foreground material (F2) and the HII region is heated similar to the interface at the background, i.e., column density and maximum temperature of F1 and B2 are similar. Density and velocity of F1 are the same as found for F2.

The geometric arrangement of the components B1, B2, F1, and F2 is well defined by our modeling and the temperature- and density trend of these components are shown in Fig. 5. However, the location of F3 is not clear, apart from residing in front of the HII region. The fact that this component is cold and not blueshifted with respect to the background component indicates that this component might be closest to the observer.

A comparison between the observed spectra and the model results is shown in Fig. 4. Given the known complexity of the source and the limits of a five-component model, the model spectra fit the observations quite well. Two spectral features that were not included in the model fit are the redshifted outflow, which can be seen in 12CO 6–5, 12CO 4–3 and 12CO 3–2, and the component at v_{LSR} = 4.5 km s^{-1}. Nevertheless, the main features of the five emission lines can be explained with our rather simple assumptions.

In Fig. 3 we show the integrated intensity of the 12CO lines versus J_{up}. Especially for the low- and mid-J CO lines the model matches the observations quite well. At J_{up} > 10 the scatter of the observed data increases, possibly due to observational uncertainties.
Because the properties of B1 are mostly based on the fits of lines of the foreground material, the gas at the interface is strongly molecular gas. Due to the high UV radiation emitted by the ionized source(s) of NGC 2024, the gas in the interface region has a high temperature, which is deeper inside of the DMC, would have no effect on the modeled lines, either because the upper levels are not populated \((12\text{CO} 13–12, 13\text{CO} 6–5)\), or the lines are already optically thick \((12\text{CO} 7–6 \text{and } 12\text{CO} 6–5)\). Thus, the column density of B1 is just the lower limit for the DMC. Observations of C\(^{18}\)O 2-1 and C\(^{17}\)O 2-1 (Graf et al. 1993) revealed a three times larger \(H_2\) column density than what we found here. The B2 component represents the thin, hot interface between the HII-region and the molecular gas. Due to the high UV radiation emitted by the ionizing source(s) of NGC 2024, the gas at the interface is strongly heated, which may result in temperatures up to 330 K.

The three subcomponents F1, F2, and F3 together represent the foreground material, \(\tau\) in Fig. 1. The F1 subcomponent, as mentioned above, not traced by the lines we observed here, but it represents the counterpart of B2 at the foreground component albeit at lower density. For the other two subcomponents located in front of the HII region, F2 and F3, we assume that matter is distributed uniformly across the area of the beam. Interstellar material, however, shows clumpy structure on all scales. In NGC 2024, substructures on scales down to the resolution of previous observations were detected (Kramer et al. 1996; Lada et al. 1991, see Sect. 1). Therefore, we expect a clumpy structure within the beam as well, which would cause a much more complex structure of the foreground. Such small scale structures must be taken into account for more detailed modeling. For example, dense, cold clumps, smaller than the FWHM of the beam, which mainly affect the \(12\text{CO} 3–2\) line, but due to their low temperature leave the \(12\text{CO} 6–5\) line rather unaffected, are a possible explanation for the narrow absorption notches observed in \(12\text{CO} 3–2\) and \(4–3\), whereas the line shape of \(12\text{CO} 6–5\) is much smoother. This might be especially true for the thin and cold component F3.

However, observations at higher spatial resolution would be necessary to verify this hypothesis.

The velocity difference between the background gas and the bulk of the foreground material is 1.5 km s\(^{-1}\). Assuming that the velocity difference is caused by the pressure of the ionized gas, according to the “Blister model” this velocity shift corresponds to a total momentum per unit area of 5670 g cm\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), which has to be transferred to the F2 component. We calculated the internal pressure of the ionized gas, which pushes the foreground component, using measured electron temperature \((8160\ \text{K})\) and electron density of \(\sim 10^3\ \text{cm}^{-3}\) (Odegard 1985). Such a pressure has to act for approximately 2 \times 10^5 years to accelerate the foreground component to its current velocity. However, the mass of the F1 component is highly unknown, and \(T_e\) and \(n_e\) may have changed in the past, so that this simple calculation may be somewhat debatable, but it shows that the observed velocity structure can be indeed explained by a blister model.

5. Physical interpretation and discussion of the model results

The model discussed above, successfully explaining the observed lines including the profiles of the velocity resolved lines, is the simplest model scenario satisfying the constraints imposed by the blister and PDR picture.

The B1 component is equivalent to the DMC in Fig. 1. Because the properties of B1 are mostly based on the fits of lines with \(J_{\text{up}} < 5\), only the warm gas of the DMC is represented. Cold gas, which is deeper inside of the DMC, would have no effect on the modeled lines, either because the upper levels are not populated \((12\text{CO} 13–12, 13\text{CO} 6–5)\), or the lines are already optically thick \((12\text{CO} 7–6 \text{and } 12\text{CO} 6–5)\). Thus, the column density of B1 is just the lower limit for the DMC. Observations of C\(^{18}\)O 2-1 and C\(^{17}\)O 2-1 (Graf et al. 1993) revealed a three times larger \(H_2\) column density than what we found here. The B2 component represents the thin, hot interface between the HII-region and the molecular gas. Due to the high UV radiation emitted by the ionizing source(s) of NGC 2024, the gas at the interface is strongly heated, which may result in temperatures up to 330 K.

The three subcomponents F1, F2, and F3 together represent the foreground material, \(\tau\) in Fig. 1. The F1 subcomponent, as mentioned above, not traced by the lines we observed here, but it represents the counterpart of B2 at the foreground component albeit at lower density. For the other two subcomponents located in front of the HII region, F2 and F3, we assume that matter is distributed uniformly across the area of the beam. Interstellar matter, however, shows clumpy structure on all scales. In NGC 2024, substructures on scales down to the resolution of previous observations were detected (Kramer et al. 1996; Lada et al. 1991, see Sect. 1). Therefore, we expect a clumpy structure within the beam as well, which would cause a much more complex structure of the foreground. Such small scale structures must be taken into account for more detailed modeling. For example, dense, cold clumps, smaller than the FWHM of the beam, which mainly affect the \(12\text{CO} 3–2\) line, but due to their low temperature leave the \(12\text{CO} 6–5\) line rather unaffected, are a possible explanation for the narrow absorption notches observed in \(12\text{CO} 3–2\) and \(4–3\), whereas the line shape of \(12\text{CO} 6–5\) is much smoother. This might be especially true for the thin and cold component F3.

However, observations at higher spatial resolution would be necessary to verify this hypothesis.

The velocity difference between the background gas and the bulk of the foreground material is 1.5 km s\(^{-1}\). Assuming that the velocity difference is caused by the pressure of the ionized gas, according to the “Blister model” this velocity shift corresponds to a total momentum per unit area of 5670 g cm\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), which has to be transferred to the F2 component. We calculated the internal pressure of the ionized gas, which pushes the foreground component, using measured electron temperature \((8160\ \text{K})\) and electron density of \(\sim 10^3\ \text{cm}^{-3}\) (Odegard 1985). Such a pressure has to act for approximately 2 \times 10^5 years to accelerate the foreground component to its current velocity. However, the mass of the F1 component is highly unknown, and \(T_e\) and \(n_e\) may have changed in the past, so that this simple calculation may be somewhat debatable, but it shows that the observed velocity structure can be indeed explained by a blister model.

5.1. Comparison with other models

The properties of the background components agree fairly well with the results obtained by Graf et al. (1993). The main difference between their model and the present one is that Graf et al. (1993) assumed LTE conditions, whereas in the SimLine code, the balance equations for all level populations and energy densities are solved self-consistently (Ossenkopf et al. 2001). In the previous study, an \(H_2\) column density of the background component of \(2.0 \times 10^{23}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\) was found, which is three times more than what we found here. This difference arises because they included rarer isotopes, e.g., \(^{13}\text{CO}\) and \(^{17}\text{CO}\), which are optically thin and trace material located deep inside the cloud. Most of this material is hidden due to the high optical depths in the \(12\text{CO}\) and \(^{13}\text{CO}\) lines we observed. The temperature determined by Graf et al. (1993), 67.4 K, is in good agreement with the 75 K determined in our work. Also the kinematic parameters Graf et al. (1993) report \((v_{\text{LSR}} = 11.1 \ \text{km s}^{-1}\) and \(\Delta v = 1.8 \ \text{km s}^{-1}\) match our results. Since they do not observe high rotational transitions of CO (no lines with \(J_{\text{up}} > 7\)), they have no information about the hot interface region.

Giannini et al. (2000) give a \(CO\) column density of \(2–5 \times 10^{10}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\), which corresponds to an \(H_2\) column density of \(2.1–5.3 \times 10^{22}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\). This column density, which is about 50% lower than the total column density we found \((N_{H_2,\text{total}} = 8.3 \times 10^{22}\ \text{cm}^{-2})\), was derived using an escape probability code. The fact that Giannini et al. fitted only a single, isothermal gas component might explain the different results. In particular the background material will be underestimated in such an approach due to self absorption effects. The kinetic temperature they give \((110–130\ \text{K})\) is in the range of the values we found, but because of their isothermal approach hard to compare with our results.

For the foreground components, we found a total \(H_2\) column density of \(1.07 \times 10^{22}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\), which corresponds to an \(A_v\) of 11.4. This is lower than the visual extinction of 15 to 25 found towards several stellar objects inside the HII region (Bik et al. 2003). However, due to the low spatial resolution of our observations, the beam-averaged column density might be well lower than the values obtained from individual stars. The \(H_2\) column density of the foreground component given by Graf et al. (1993) is a factor of two larger than our value. They also found a temperature of 23.5 K, i.e., slightly lower than our results \((30–40\ \text{K})\), which might be caused by the fact that they assumed LTE conditions.

6. Conclusion

We present the observations of seven \(12\text{CO}\) and \(^{13}\text{CO}\) lines from \(J_{\text{up}} = 3\) to \(J_{\text{up}} = 13\) towards NGC 2024, a well known massive star forming region in Orion. The shapes of these lines range...
from almost Gaussian \(^{13}\)CO \(6\rightarrow 5\), \(^{12}\)CO \(13\rightarrow 12\) to highly complex, multiple peaked \(^{13}\)CO \(3\rightarrow 2\), \(^{12}\)CO \(4\rightarrow 3\), which indicates a complex internal structure of NGC 2024. In our analyses we also included the integrated intensities of \(^{13}\)CO lines with \(J_{\text{up}} \geq 14\) (Giannini et al. 2000).

We modeled the high-\(J\) CO lines, which all seem to be optically thin, using an escape probability code (Stutzki & Winnewisser 1985) and found that these lines are emitted from a hot (\(\geq 250\) K), dense (\(< 3 \times 10^4\) cm\(^{-3}\)), and thin (\(\sim 100\) AU) layer located at the surface of the molecular cloud.

We constructed a model for NGC 2024 using 1D radiative transfer code SimLine (Ossenkopf et al. 2001). The velocity structure of this model is based on the principles of the Blister model and the temperatures and column densities of the components are constrained by the PDR scenario. This model explains the profiles of the observed \(^{12}\)CO and \(^{13}\)CO lines quite well. In our model, the bulk of the molecular gas resides at the back of the HII region and consists of warm (75 K) and dense (\(9 \times 10^5\) cm\(^{-3}\)) material. We also find evidence for a hot (up to 330 K) and thin (400 AU) layer located at the surface of the molecular cloud, from which most high-\(J\) CO emission originates. The molecular ridge in front of the HII region consists of molecular material at lower densities \((3 \times 10^4\) cm\(^{-3}\) to \(10^5\) cm\(^{-3}\)). The column density is of the order of \(10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\), which correspond to an \(A_v\) of 11 mag. This value is in good agreement with measurements of the optical extinction of stars within the HII region (Bik et al. 2003).

Overall, this study shows that for the example of NGC 2024, emission lines with complex and varying line shapes, as often observed in massive star forming regions, can be explained consistently with a rather simple, yet physically reasonable model. To explain the twelve emission lines a model of \(\sim 15\) free parameter is required. Both the relatively large number of successfully fitted line intensities and line profiles and the fact that the multi-layer parameters are consistent with the physical scenario of a blister and a PDR, lead us to the conclusion that we found a plausible model for the warm molecular gas in NGC 2024.

Complex line profiles of low-\(J\) CO lines are commonly observed in massive star forming regions and are usually explained by multi-layer models. This case study of NGC 2024 shows that with physical insight into these complex regions and careful modeling, the complex line-shapes and multi-line observations can be used to derive valuable information on the physical conditions in massive star forming regions.

Acknowledgements. This publication is based on data acquired with the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX). APEX is a collaboration between the Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, the European Southern Observatory, and the Onsala Space Observatory.

The KOSMA 3m observatory is administered by the International Foundation Gornergrat & Jungfraujoch. The universities of Cologne and Bonn are operating jointly the KOSMA 3m telescope and, together with the university of Nagoya, the NANTEN2 observatory. NANTEN2 is financially supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (No. 15071203) and from JSPS (Nos. 14102003 and 18684003), and by the JSPS core-to-core program (No. 17004) and by special funding from the Land NRW. The CONDOR receiver was built by the Nachwuchsgruppe of the Sonderforschungsbereich 494, which is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).