A Suitable Constitutive Model for Solid Tire Analysis under Quasi-Static Loads using Finite Element Method
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Abstract. The rubber solid tire was built using three different compound layers. In order to develop solid tire, the finite element method (FEM) was employed to model and analyze its performance. The constitutive model was important to describe the simulated results of FEM; therefore, the hyperelastic material models were implemented for each compound of solid tire layers. The second order Ogden model was the most agreement with the compression test of the rubber compound specimens. The R$^2$ of internal, middle and tread compound layer was 0.990, 0.988 and 0.989, respectively. The solid tire model was developed and tested with the compressive loads. Particularly, the compressive loads on the solid tire were performed with the experiment regarding to the simulation. The solid tire model which was complied with the Ogden model had good agreements with the experimental results. It had an average error less than 8.49%. The Ogden model which was implemented with the mechanical property of rubber compound of solid tire was found that it would be advantageous to design and develop the novel solid tire in the future work.
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1. Introduction

The tire testing had employed the finite element method (FEM) to simulate the test in place of the physical experiment [1-3]. The FEM could be used well to develop and design the tires which it was caused the decrease of cost and time consuming by using the trial and error method. In order to receive the accurate results, the constitutive models were important to develop for description of the deformable behavior of rubber material of the tires. The constitutive model was satisfied for the rubber tire analysis, such as the Mooney-Rivlin, Arruda-Boyce, Yeoh and Ogden. Mohsenimanesh et al. [4] used Mooney-Rivlin model to describe the deformable behavior of rubber material which was the tread of tractor tire for analyzing the contact pressure distribution. Alkan et al. [5] created the pneumatic tire model using the Mooney-Rivlin material model for analyzing the vertical stiffness of tire. Yang and Olatunbosun [6] had analyzed the racing tire using FEM. The Yeoh model was good to describe the stress-strain characteristic of the racing tire material. The vertical stiffness of racing tire was optimized for the tire improvement. Behroozi et al. [7] created the aircraft tire model for the tire burst and inflation analysis using FEM with the Yeoh material model. Baranowski et al. [8] had implemented Ogden formulation with the rubber material of the trucks supporting military operations tire. Baranowski et al. [9] also had applied Ogden formulation for the rubber material of pneumatic tire model under the dynamic analysis comprising of the radial reflection test, bounce test, and blast loading test. Wei and Olatunbosun [10, 11] selected Yeoh model for the pneumatic tire to analyze the ride comfort assessment, cornering performance, and relaxation length for a constant slip angle of the rolling tire model. These constitutive models which were carried out to use with the FEM were obtained by implementation in the stress-strain characteristic of tire material. The tensile test specimen was referred to the thin rubber material which was the components of the pneumatic tires.

The solid tire was produced deliberately to support the heavy load; therefore, it was concerned with the compressive load unavoidably. In order to obtain the stress-strain characteristic of the solid tire material, the compression test should be used to test material properties of the solid tires. The compressive specimens had a cylindrical shape which was referred to the ASTM D575-91 [12]. They were difficult to cut directly from the solid tires; therefore, they were prepared from the rubber compounds which were used to produce the solid tires. The curing time for solid tires was more than the specimen; therefore, the moving die rheometer (MDR) was used according to the ASTM D5289 [13] to characterize the rubber compound curing. Hu et al. [14] had been designed the compression packer which was recommended to use the rubber material B75. They had determined that the optimized constitutive model for this material and the Ogden and Arruda-Boyce were adopted for the constitutive model. The Ogden model was suitable for a large strain that happening on the B75 material. Sesso et al. [15] characterized a rubber membrane which was used as the air-oil separator in vibration dampers of helicopter blades. The Ogden model was a material model for describing the mechanical behavior of membrane under oil pressure conditions by the FEM for evaluation its lifetime. Wu et al. [16] had used the explicit finite element method to analyze a sliding lead rubber bearing (SLRB). The Mooney-Rivlin model was implemented for the rubber component of SLRB and could reproduce the vertical stiffness and hysteresis behavior of the SLRB. Zhou et al. [17] created the O-ring model for analyzing the seal capacity using FEM. The Mooney Rivlin model was used to explain the deformable behavior of nitrile-butadiene rubber which was used for the material of O-ring. Some constitutive models were developed to characterize the stress-strain behavior of rubberlike material under the compression test. The response in compression test which was the deformation of the slightly compressible rubbers was proposed [18]. Although the constitutive models were applied and developed for the compression test result of rubber material, they were lack of the constitutive model of the rubber solid tires. Therefore, the simulation of solid tire was not widely used in the solid tire industry to develop their current products. This research had developed the approach to determine the constitutive model of the solid tires for the compression test by FEM. The solid tire model which had the suitable material property also was developed for simulation of the compression test. The finite element model of solid tire will be advantageous to develop the good quality of solid tire for manufacturing in the future.

2. Constitutive Models

The constitutive models of the hyperelastic material were explained by the strain energy function which was related to the stress-strain characteristic. This research had used the constitutive models to describe the
rubber material properties comprising of the polynomial, Mooney-Rivlin, Yeoh, Arruda-Boyce, and Ogden model.

2.1. Polynomial Model

The polynomial model is formulated in terms of the two strain invariants of the left Cauchy-Green deformation tensor which is written by the following equation.

$$ U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{ij}(\tilde{I}_1 - 3)^i(\tilde{I}_2 - 3)^j + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{D_l}(J_{el} - 1)^{2l} $$

(1)

where, $C_{ij}$ is the material constant, $\tilde{I}_1$ is the first invariant of the deviatoric strain, $\tilde{I}_2$ is the second invariant of the deviatoric strain, $D_l$ is the compressibility, and $J_{el}$ is the elastic volume ratio.

2.2. Mooney-Rivlin Model

The simplest polynomial model is Mooney-Rivlin model which is written the strain energy function as follows:

$$ U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i0}(\tilde{I}_1 - 3)^i + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{D_l}(J_{el} - 3)^{2l} $$

(2)

where, $C_{i0}$ is the material constant which described the shear behavior of material, $\tilde{I}_1$ is the invariant of the deviatoric strain, $D_l$ is the constant of material compressibility, and $J_{el}$ is the elastic volume ratio.

2.3. Yeoh Model

The Yeoh model [19] is expressed the strain energy function as follows:

$$ U = \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_{i0}(\tilde{I}_1 - 3)^i + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{D_l}(J_{el} - 3)^{2l} $$

(3)

where, $C_{i0}$ is the material constant which described the shear behavior of material, $\tilde{I}_1$ is the invariant of the deviatoric strain, $J_{el}$ is the elastic volume ratio.

2.4. Arruda-Boyce Model

The Arruda-Boyce model is based on an explanation of a molecular chain network. The strain energy is equal to the summation of strain energy of the individual chain orientation randomly in space [20]. It is written by the following equation.

$$ U = \mu \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{C_i}{\lambda_i^{2l}} (\bar{I}_1^i - 3^i) + \frac{1}{D} \left( \frac{I_{el}^{2l-1}}{2} - \ln(J_{el}) \right) $$

(4)

where $\mu$ is the initial shear modulus, $C_1 = 0.5, C_2 = 0.05, C_3 = 0.0105, C_4 = 0.0027, C_5 = 0.0077, \lambda$ is the locking stretch, $\bar{I}_1$ is the invariant of the deviatoric strain, $D$ is the double inverse bulk modulus at small strain, and $J_{el}$ is the elastic volume ratio.

2.5. Ogden Model

The Ogden model proposes the strain energy function based on principle stretches for incompressible material [21, 22]. The strain energy potential of Ogden has been written the important relation as follows:

$$ U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha_i} \left( \lambda_1^{\alpha_i} + \lambda_2^{\alpha_i} + \lambda_3^{\alpha_i} - 3 \right) + 4.5K \left( I^{1/3} - 1 \right)^2 $$

(5)
where $\bar{\lambda}_i = J^{-1/3}\lambda_i$, $J = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3$, $\lambda_i$ is the deviatoric principle stretches, $J$ is the Jacobian determinant, $K$ is the initial bulk modulus, and $\mu_i, \alpha_i$ is constant.

3. Experiments

This research was set up the compression tests for rubber specimens and solid tires. The compression test of specimens was performed in order to achieve the stress-strain characteristic of rubber compounds of the solid tire layers while the compression test of solid tire was performed to investigate the vertical deformation of solid tires.

3.1. Rubber Compounds

The solid tires were produced by V.S. Industry Tyres Co., Ltd. It was assembled by three natural rubber layers and steel wires (Fig. 1). Each rubber layer of solid tire was the different compounds. The industrial code of compound was M058, M047 and M067 for the internal, middle and tread layer of solid tires, respectively. The layers of solid tire were hard and difficult to cut for the preparation of specimen; therefore, the rubber compounds which were regarded to build the tire layers were prepared specimens for the standard test methods in compression. The specimen preparation was accorded to ASTM D575-91 which had the diameter and thickness of 28.6 and 12.5 mm, respectively. Figure 2a shows the rubber specimen which is produced from the rubber compounds. This specimen was much smaller than the solid tires; therefore, the curing time was also much less than the solid tires. In order to characterize the rubber compound curing, the MDR was performed according to the ASTM D5289. The compression test had been performed by the tensile and compression tester (INSTRON, Model: 5969) according to ASTM D575-91 on five pieces of specimen for each rubber compound. Each compression test was performed repeatedly three times to reduce the Mullins effect. Figure 2b shows the compression test of the rubber specimen by the tester. The vertical deflection of specimens was specified at -50% of their thickness for each compression test. The final test results would be collected to investigate the stress-strain characteristic of rubber compounds. The true stress vs. strain graph of each rubber compound was plotted for the curve fitting with the constitutive models.

3.2. Solid Tire

The solid tires which were tested under the compression loads were all branded KOMACHI. The characteristic of KOMACHI solid tire is described in Table 1. The compression test has been performed by mounting a solid tire on an axle of the tire stiffness tester (Fig. 3). The solid tire was loaded on a measurement table which had the displacement error less than ±0.1 mm. In order to measure the vertical deformation, the solid tire was pressed by three different loads which were comprised of 4.0, 8.0 and 12.0 kN. Each compression test, the solid tire was gradually increased the vertical displacement of three times by the measurement table to obtain the loading target. Because of the Mullins effect on tire tread, the repeating compression on solid tire was performed and the final result was obtained to investigate the solid tire stiffness. The vertical force and displacement were measured by a load cell and position transducer during the measurement table was driven by hydraulic motor to lift and press the solid tire. The experimental data was recorded by the data acquisition and exported to plot the force vs. displacement graph to be benchmarked against the simulation result.
Fig. 1. The testing solid tire in: (a) overview and (b) its section view to show its components.

Fig. 2. (a) The rubber compound specimen and (b) the compression test of the rubber specimen.

Table 1. The characteristics of a KOMACHI solid tire.

| Size (inch) | Rim Size (inch) | Tire Dimension (mm) | Weight (kg) |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 6.00-9      | 4.00E-09        | 145                 | 27          |

Fig. 3. The compression test of solid tire using (a) the tire stiffness tester (EKTRON TEK, Model: PL-2003) with (b) the position transducer and load cell installing under the measurement table.
4. Finite Element Method

The specimen was modeled using FEM and defined each material model which was comprised of the 2nd order invariant, 3rd order deformation, Mooney-Rivlin, Yeoh, Arruda-Boyce, and Ogden model. The 3D specimen model was divided by hexagonal elements which were used by the total number of 48,000 elements to create the finite element model. The vertical displacement was specified to compress on the top surface of the specimen model according to the physical experiment.

The KOMACHI solid tire is modeled in three dimensions using data from the 3D scanner (Artec 3D, Eva) and SolidWorks software (Fig. 4). The internal layer, middle layer and steel wire of solid tire model were meshed using hexagonal elements while the tread layer was meshed only using tetrahedral elements. Consequently, the internal, middle, tread layer and steel wires were built using 28,080, 22,320, 80,871, and 5,760 elements, respectively. The tread layer was set the element size finer than the internal and middle layer of solid tire model. Figure 5 shows the finite element model of the KOMACHI solid tire. The specimen and solid tire deformation cause of the compressive load are governed by the following equations.

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + \gamma_i = 0 \tag{6}
\]

and

\[
\tau_i = a_{ij} n_j \tag{7}
\]

where \(\sigma_{ij}\) is Cauchy stress tensor, \(x_j\) is the Cartesian coordinate, \(\gamma_i\) is the body force, and \(\tau_i\) is the boundary traction components.

Equation 6 can be transformed using FEM [23] and written as follows:

\[
(K_E + K_G) \cdot \Delta \nu = f_{load} + f_{internal} \tag{8}
\]

\[
K_E = \int B^T D B dV_0 \tag{9}
\]

\[
K_G = \int (L_{jpk} \cdot \sigma_{0k}^0 \cdot L_{jql} - 2B_{jpk} \cdot \sigma_{0k}^0 \cdot B_{lqj}) dV_0 \tag{10}
\]

\[
f_{load} = f_{body} + f_{traction} = \int N^T \cdot \gamma dV_0 + \int N^T \cdot \tau dS_0 \tag{11}
\]

\[
f_{internal} = \int B^T \cdot \sigma dV_0 \tag{12}
\]

where \(K_E\) is the elastic stiffness matrix, \(K_G\) is the nonlinear (geometry) stiffness matrix, \(\nu\) is the nodal displacement, \(f_{load}\) is the external load vector, \(f_{internal}\) is the internal load vector, \(D\) is the constant over
the element, \( B \) is the strain interpolation matrix, \( V_0 \) is the element volume, \( S_0 \) is the element surface, \( L_{ijk} \) is the Cartesian different operator, and \( N \) is the shape function matrix.

Each finite element model of solid tire layers was defined material model which had the good correlation with the stress-strain characteristic of the rubber compounds. The compressive loads were specified on the solid tire model according to the physical experiment. The RBE2 elements were defined to link the axis of solid tire model with its rim as the steel wheel fitting. The fixed boundary condition was assigned on the solid tire axis as same as the solid tire mounting on the axis of the stiffness tester. The vertical force was specified upward onto a rigid plate to lift and press the solid tire model. The contact boundary was specified between the solid tire model and rigid plate model to mimic the compression test of solid tire by the measurement table of tire stiffness tester. The finite element analysis (FEA) of the compression test on the specimen and solid tire was performed using MSC Marc software which was installed in a personal computer with a Core-i7 CPU and RAM memory of 8 GB.

5. Results and Discussions

The results were explained step by step which was started on the implementation of rubber compound material to the final step as the finite element model of solid tire.

5.1. Curve Fitting Method

The constitutive models of three rubber compounds were determined using the curve fitting method. The six constitutive models which were comprised of the 2nd order invariant, 3rd order deformation, Arruda-Boyce, Mooney-Rivlin, Yeoh, and Ogden were fitted with the stress-strain curve of rubber compounds by a curve fitting tool of MSC Marc software. Figure 6 shows the curve fitting results of six constitutive models for the rubber material code M058, M047 and M067. The Ogden model had a good agreement with the stress-strain curve of each rubber compound more than the other constitutive models. It could be a good description of the large strain behavior for the rubber compounds. Table 2 shows \( R^2 \) results of six constitutive models. The \( R^2 \) of Ogden model which was more accurate than other constitutive models was 0.990, 0.988 and 0.989 for the stress-strain curve of the rubber material code M058, M047 and M067, respectively. The Ogden model which is correlated well with the stress-strain characteristics has the constant value for each rubber compound of the solid tire as shown in Table 3.

5.2. Compression Test

5.2.1. Specimen

The compression test on the rubber specimen model was analyzed to investigate the deformation of each rubber compound. The six constitutive models were defined to be the material property of specimen models. The FEA results of specimen which are specified the Ogden model as the material property are shown in Fig. 7. The Von Mises stress and vertical displacement of specimen were showed by the color contour. The maximum value was yellow while the minimum value was blue. The M067 rubber compound specimen was deformed by the compressive load of 5.0 kN more than the other rubber compounds. The deformation of specimen for six constitutive models is compared with the experimental data as shown by the graphs in Fig. 8. The comparison of graphs could show the accuracy of six constitutive models after they were implemented with the material model of specimen. It was found that the Ogden model showed the very good agreement result with the experimental data. The average error of the Ogden model when compared with the physical experiment was 7.35%, 8.03% and 8.13% for code M058, M047 and M067 of the rubber compound material, respectively. The Ogden model could be correlated very well on each layer material of solid tire. Consequently, it was satisfied for all material layers of the KOMACHI solid tire. The rubber compound code M058 was harder than other rubber compounds. It would use to build the internal layer of the solid tire. The tread layer of the KOMACHI solid tire was design to be softer than other layers. It was the rubber compound code M067. The compound code M047 which was the middle layer of KOMACHI solid tires was also soft. It was designed for supporting the impacts.
5.2.2. Solid Tire

The Ogden model of each rubber compound was defined to be the material property of solid tire layers. The compression test on solid tire was simulated regarding to the physical experiment. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 9. The Von Mises stress and vertical displacement of solid tire were showed by the color contour. The maximum and the minimum value were yellow and blue, respectively. The large deformation of solid tire occurred at the tire tread which contacted to the rigid floor. The maximum Von Mises stress also occurred in the solid tire model when the tire tread contacted to the rigid floor (yellow color). The solid tire model and experimental tire were compared for describing of the deformable behavior which was used the Ogden model as the material property of solid tire. Figure 10 shows the vertical deformation of solid tire which is supported different compression loads. The vertical displacement of solid tire was gradually nonlinear increase following the increased compression load. The FEM was obtained a good agreement with the experimental data. The average error of simulation when compared with the physical experiment was 8.37%, 10.86%, and 6.24% for the solid tire model at the compression load of 4.0 kN, 8.0 kN and 12.0 kN, respectively. The solid tire model which was developed by assigning the Ogden model as material property of each tire layer could characterize well the deformable behavior of the physical solid tire.

The layers of solid tire were different deformation cause of the different rubber compounds for building the KOMACHI solid tire. The tread and middle layer were softer than the internal layer of solid tire. The heavy load supporting which was the distinctive characteristic of the solid tire was obtained from the rubber compounds. The internal layer should not be deformed because it had been designed to fit with steel wheel drum. The middle layer had been designed to support the impact load or to maximize shock absorption when the solid tire was rolled on the step. The tire tread was available for good contact and traction performance. The contact area on the rigid floor which was simulated by FEM could show the traction performance of solid tire. The tread performance of solid tire is interested and will be studied in the future work.

Table 2. The curve fitting results of six constitutive models.

| Rubber Compound | R² of the Constitutive Model | 2nd Order Invariant | 3rd Order Deformation | Arruda-Boyce | Mooney-Rivlin | Yeoh | Ogden |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|
| M058            | 0.757                       | 0.989               | 0.751                 | 0.175        | 0.988        | 0.990 |
| M047            | 0.761                       | 0.939               | 0.807                 | 0.554        | 0.927        | 0.988 |
| M067            | 0.760                       | 0.927               | 0.800                 | 0.760        | 0.912        | 0.989 |

Table 3. The constants of Ogden model for each rubber compound.

| Rubber Compound | Constant of Ogden Model | μ₁     | μ₂     | α₁     | α₂     | K      |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| M058            | 5,646.43                | 0.0199107 | 0.001682 | 15.4683 | 24,520.00 |
| M047            | 0.000160                | 1,593.16 | 21.5570 | 0.0031 | 12,171.80 |
| M067            | 0.000045                | 1,273.06 | 23.3790 | 0.0036 | 11,412.80 |
Fig. 6. The curve fitting of six constitutive models on stress-strain curve of rubber compound code: (a) M058, (b) M047, and (c) M067.
Fig. 7. The finite element analysis results as follows: (a) Von Mises stress, and (b) vertical displacement of the compression test with compressive load of 5.0 kN on the specimen model.
Fig. 8. The vertical displacement vs. force of six constitutive models and experiment under compression test of specimen for the rubber compound code of: (a) M058, (b) M047, and (c) M067.
Fig. 9. The finite element analysis results as follows: (a) Von Mises stress, and (b) vertical displacement of the compression test with the compressive load of 4.0, 8.0 and 12.0 kN on the solid tire model.
Fig. 10. The vertical displacement vs. force of the solid tire model and experimental tire under the compression test with the vertical load of: (a) 4.0 kN, (b) 8.0 kN, and (c) 12.0 kN.
6. Conclusions

The rubber compounds of the solid tire (KOMACHI) had been carried out to test the stress-strain characteristics. They were three rubber compounds for the internal layer, the middle layer, and the tread layer. The stress-strain curve of rubber compounds was fitted with six constitutive models which were comprised of the 2nd order polynomial, 3rd order polynomial, Mooney-Rivlin, Yeoh, Arruda-Boyce, and Ogden model. The Ogden model was the best fitting model with the stress-strain curve for all rubber compounds. It was precisely shown the R² of 0.990, 0.988 and 0.989 for the internal, middle and tread layer, respectively. The six constitutive models were implemented with FEM for simulation of the compression test on a cylindrical specimen. The vertical displacement which increased following the compressive load was carried out to compare with the simulation results. The compressive load vs. vertical displacement curves were nonlinear increase. The specimen model which was defined the material model as the Ogden model had a very good agreement with the experimental data. It had an error of 7.35%, 8.03% and 8.13% for implementation in the internal layer, middle layer, and tread layer, respectively.

The KOMACHI solid tires were performed the compression test. The three compressive loads were specified which were comprised of 4.0, 8.0 and 12.0 kN. The FEM were employed to create the solid tire model. The tetrahedral and hexagonal elements were used to model the solid tire. The tire layers were assigned the Ogden model for the material properties; therefore, each layer was different constant values. The solid tire model was tested with the compressive loads regarding to the physical experiment. The simulation results were good agreement with the experimental results. The vertical displacement of solid tire was nonlinear increase by the increasing of compressive load. The layers of solid tire were different deformation. The internal layer was harder than other layers; therefore, it was rid of deformation. The tread layer was soft as same as the middle layer then it was distinctly investigated deformation at the contact point between tread and floor. The solid tire simulation for the compression test had a little error when it was compared with the physical experiment. The average error of the FEM was 8.49%. The finite element model of solid tire was satisfied to analyze the tire performance. It was found that the Ogden model was suitable for the material property of solid tire components which were three rubber layers of KOMACHI solid tires. The solid tire model which was developed in this research will be advantage for design and development of the new solid tire for manufacturing in the further work.
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