Designing Electron Spin Textures and Spin Interferometers by Shape Deformations
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We demonstrate that the spin orientation of an electron propagating in a one-dimensional nanosystem with Rashba spin-orbit (SO) coupling can be manipulated on demand by changing the geometry of the nanosystem. Shape deformations that result in a non-uniform curvature give rise to complex three-dimensional spin textures in space. We employ the paradigmatic example of an elliptically deformed quantum ring to unveil the way to get an all-geometrical and all-electrical control of the spin orientation. The resulting spin textures exhibit a tunable topological character with windings around the radial and the out-of-plane directions. We show that these topologically non-trivial spin patterns affect the spin interference effect in the deformed ring, thereby resulting in different geometry-driven ballistic electronic transport behaviors. Our results establish a deep connection between electronic spin textures, spin transport and the nanoscale shape of the system.
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Introduction – The manipulation and control of the electron spin are essential ingredients for the development of innovative quantum-engineered devices [1–3]. The spin-orbit (SO) coupling [4–6] is particularly attractive in this framework because it offers the promising prospect [7] of an all-electrical intrinsic control over the spin without applying a magnetic field. For low-dimensional nanosystems with structure inversion asymmetry, this coupling between the orbital motion and the electron spin is due to the so-called Rashba SO interaction [4–6]. The Rashba SO is at the heart of a growing research interest for the spin generation, manipulation and detection due to the tantalizing possibilities of tuning the spin orientation through the electron propagation and vice versa to exert a spin control of the electron trajectories. Central consequences of these constituent features are, among the many possibilities, the Spin Hall [8, 9] and the spin galvanic effects [10, 12], the SO driven spin-torque [13, 14], the design of quantum topological states [15], etc.

Charge carriers in materials with Rashba SO are subject to a momentum dependent effective magnetic field which not only results into a spin-dependent velocity but also in a non trivial geometric phase. Such phase is another important mean to manipulate the electron spin. For instance, in the Aharonov-Casher effect [15], magnetic dipoles moving around a tube of electric charge acquire a non trivial Berry [17] or geometric phase [18], being dual to the case of the Aharonov-Bohm [19] effect for charged particles moving in a closed circuit around a magnetic flux. With the development of semiconducting nanostructures, a possibility has emerged to tune quantum states by combining Rashba effects and geometric phases. Considering the role of magnetic field textures in driving a spin geometric phase [20], the effects of quantum geometric phases have been predicted [21] and experimentally observed in the transport properties of semiconducting quantum rings [22, 23]. Such findings opened the path to a spin topological design [24], as demonstrated by the magnetic field driven topological transition in the geometric phase and the consequences on the spin-transport [23, 25].

In view of the rapid progress in nanostructuring techniques, it would be highly challenging and desirable to design nanostructures where the system geometry is the main knob to tailor the electron spin properties. In this Letter, we demonstrate that the curvature of a nanosystem with Rashba SO coupling allows to manipulate and control the spin orientation and the spin transport. We use the prototypical geometry of elliptical quantum rings to unveil complex three-dimensional spin textures in space and how they evolve in the presence of a non uniform curvature. The resulting spin patterns have distinct topological features with tunable windings around the radial and the out-of-plane spin directions along the elliptical ring. Remarkably, we find different types of transitions between quantum states with inequivalent spin textures by varying the curvature strength or the Rashba SO coupling thus indicating a unique path to control the electron spin in curved nanostructures. We then demonstrate a fundamental twist between curvature, spin texture and spin transport: it is the topology of the spin texture with non trivial windings that can drive an all-geometric changeover of the conductance of the loop.

Curvature-driven spin torques – Electrons confined to move along a one-dimensional planarly curved nanostructure [Fig. 1(a)] are subjected to a Rashba SO interaction, due to the inversion symmetry breaking, that, as for the case of a straight nanostructure, couples the orbital momentum and the local spin component that is normal to the electron motion [26]. Since the nanostructure has a non trivial curvature, the local normal spin direction depends on the position and thus generally it does not...
commute with the momentum. Such interplay can be conveniently expressed by introducing the local normal \( \mathbf{N}(s) \) and tangential \( \mathbf{T}(s) \) directions at a given position along the curve, as well as the related local Pauli matrices \( \sigma_N(s) = \mathbf{N}(s) \) and \( \sigma_T(s) = \mathbf{T}(s) \) in the moving frame of the electrons, \( \mathbf{r} \) being the usual Pauli matrices [Fig. 1(a)]. Then, the Hamiltonian for a planarly curved nanostructure with Rashba SO can be written as [26–28]:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{k_F} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \partial_s^2 + \frac{i\alpha_{SO}}{2} \left[ \sigma_N(s) \partial_s + \partial_s \sigma_N(s) \right],
\]

where \( s \) is the arclength of the planar curve measured from an arbitrary reference point, \( m^* \) is the effective mass of the charge carriers, and \( \alpha_{SO} \) is the Rashba SO coupling strength. It is convenient to express the normal and tangential directions to the curve in terms of a polar angle \( f(s) \) as \( \mathbf{N}(s) = \{ \cos f(s), \sin f(s), 0 \} \), and \( \mathbf{T}(s) = \{ \sin f(s), -\cos f(s), 0 \} \). Using the Frenet-Serret type equation of motion [29], \( \partial_s \mathbf{N}(s) = K(s) \mathbf{T}(s) \), where \( K(s) \) is the local curvature, we can immediately relate the polar angle to the local curvature via \( \partial_s f(s) = -K(s) \) [Figs. 1(a,b)]. To proceed further, we use the fact that a spin eigenmode \( |\Psi_E\rangle \) of the Hamiltonian in Eq. 1 evolves in space according to \( i\partial_s |\Psi_E\rangle = G(s)|\Psi_E\rangle \) where \( G(s) = -\langle \alpha_R \sigma_N(s) + c_0 \sigma_0 \rangle, \alpha_R = \frac{m^* \alpha_{SO}}{\hbar^2} \) being the inverse Rashba SO length, \( c_0^2 = \frac{2m^* \alpha_{SO}}{\hbar^2} + \alpha^2_R \) relates to the eigenenergy \( E \). This relation, in turn, allows us to derive the spin-torque exerted on the electron spin through a fundamental equation that links the geometric curvature of the nanostructure, the Rashba SO coupling and the electron spin orientation in the Frenet-Serret frame [30]:

\[
\partial_s \langle \sigma \rangle = \mathbf{h}_{\text{eff}} \times \langle \sigma \rangle.
\]

Here, the local spin orientation is \( \langle \sigma \rangle = \{ \langle \sigma_T \rangle, \langle \sigma_N \rangle, \langle \sigma_z \rangle \} \) while the effective spin-orbit field \( \mathbf{h}_{\text{eff}} = \{ 0, -2\alpha_R, K(s) \} \) lies in the normal-binormal plane and depends on the local curvature and \( \alpha_R \). The spin direction then defines a Frenet-Serret-Bloch sphere which is expressed in terms of the azimuthal and polar angles \( \theta(s) \) and \( \varphi(s) \) [Fig. 1(c)]. Eq. 2 generally implies that due to a non zero curvature, the electron spin acquires a finite out-of-plane binormal \( \hat{z} \) component. More importantly, a non trivial component along the tangential direction appears provided the curvature is not constant. Albeit the derivative \( \partial_s \) of the spin vector locally vanishes if the spin is aligned to the effective spin-orbit field, the variation of the local curvature yields a non-vanishing torque which results into a component of the spin vector parallel to the electron propagation direction. Insights into the electron spin trajectories regulated by Eq. 2 can be gained by looking at the spin velocity vector field around the point on the Frenet-Serret-Bloch sphere indicated by \( \mathbf{h}_{\text{eff}} \) [30]. It exhibits a vortex-like structure whose dynamical behavior reveals the role of key geometrical control parameters as the first and second derivatives of the curvature \( K(s) \) [30].

**Elliptical quantum rings**— We next investigate the spin texture in a nanostructure with non-uniform curvature by considering the example of a quantum ring of length \( L \) with an elliptical shape and a ratio \( a/b \) between the minor (a) and the major (b) axes of the ellipse. This is a paradigmatic case of a nanostructure with positive but non-uniform curvature that can be suitably enhanced (suppressed) at the positions nearby the poles of the major (minor) axes. In order to single out the spin textures of the eigenmodes of the Hamiltonian in Eq. 1 at different regimes of Rashba SO and \( a/b \) ratios, we introduce a tight-binding model obtained by discretizing Eq. 1 on a lattice [30] and study the overall character of the spin textures by evaluating the local spin orientation amplitudes. Consistently with the gyroscope equation (Eq. 2), we find that the spin patterns as obtained from the tight-binding Hamiltonian are independent of the
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FIG. 1. Schematics of (a) the profile of a planarly curved nanowire and (b) the related curvature \( K(s) \). (c) denotes the Bloch sphere in the moving frame of the electrons with the vectors associated to the electron spin orientation and the effective field \( \mathbf{h}_{\text{eff}} \).
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FIG. 2. Contour map of the winding number of the local spin orientation around (a) the normal \( N \) direction in the moving frame and (b) the out-of-plane \( z \) axis as a function of the ellipse ratio \( a/b \) and the scaled inverse SO length \( L\alpha_R/(2\pi) \). The white circles at \( a/b = 0.4 \) indicate specific points of presented spin textures (see Fig. 3).
eigenenergies, and thus the profile of a single spin eigenmode is uniquely determined by $\alpha_R$ and the ratio $a/b$. We then characterize “topologically” the resulting spin texture by counting the number of windings around the normal $\hat{N}$ and the binormal $\hat{z}$ directions the spin traces over the Frenet-Serret-Bloch sphere in a single loop. Fig. 2 shows the corresponding phase diagram in the $a/b$ and $\alpha_R$ parameter space. We observe two distinct spin texture regimes. There is a region of the parameter space (black area), corresponding to configurations with strong SO or quasi-constant curvature, where the electron spin is pinned nearby the quasi-static effective field $\hbar_{\text{eff}}$ and thus does not exhibit any winding in the Frenet-Serret-Bloch sphere. For $a/b$ below $\sim 0.5$, i.e. for a sizable non-uniform curvature profile, the electron spin is not able to follow the periodic motion of the effective spin-orbit field and manifests windings both around the normal and the binormal directions. It follows that one can switch from a configuration without any winding to another with winding around the normal $\hat{N}$ and/or the binormal $\hat{z}$ directions through a pure geometric effect by tuning the ratio $a/b$.

Remarkably, the onset of the spin textures with a finite number of windings can be achieved not only via a shape deformation, but also by an all-electrical control of the Rashba spin-orbit inverse length $\alpha_R$. Below a critical threshold of the $a/b$ ratio, indeed, we find that an increase in $\alpha_R$ has a twofold effect. First, it leads to an increase in the winding number around the normal direction that clearly exhibits constant plateaus [Fig. 2(a)]. Second, the winding number around the binormal direction has an irregular comb-like structure with a switchable on and off behavior that is driven by a small variation of the Rashba spin-orbit inverse length [Fig. 2(b)]. To highlight the features of the spin textures we show in Fig. 3 the spin trajectories in the Frenet-Serret-Bloch frame and the spin textures in the loop reference frame for few representative values of the $a/b$ and $\alpha_R$ parameters. Starting from a regime of SO, such as $L\alpha_R = 1$, we observe in Fig. 3(a) that the spin orientation is pinned around $\hbar_{\text{eff}}$ exhibiting a slight modulation of $\langle \sigma_x \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_z \rangle$ and a small $\langle \sigma_T \rangle$ tangential component that changes its sign when moving along the loop. For a slightly larger SO, i.e. $L\alpha_R = 4$, a first transition in the winding number around the $N$ direction occurs [Fig. 3(b)], with a spin texture that has a change of sign for $\langle \sigma_T \rangle$ by crossing the regions of small curvatures, close to the minor axis of the ellipse, and a slight sign change of $\langle \sigma_z \rangle$ nearby the pole of the major axis. Upon reaching $L\alpha_R = 12$ the spin pattern exhibits non trivial windings around the radial direction [Fig. 3(c)]. Hence, a further increase of $\alpha_R$ allows a transition to a configuration with windings both around $N$ and $z$ spin directions, with a spin texture that modulates in sign in all the three components [Fig. 3(e)]. For intermediate $\alpha_R$ the spin texture has many windings along the loop [Fig. 3(f)].

**Geometric control of the spin transport**—The geometrical and the dynamical quantum phases are the key physical quantities that determine spin interference effects and thus the electronic transport in a cyclic quantum motion. From the spin-torque equation (Eq. 2), we can derive the non-adiabatic Aharonov-Anandan (AA) geometric phase $g_{AA}$, as well as the dynamical phase $d$ acquired
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**FIG. 3.** Evolution of the spin orientation trajectories on the Bloch sphere and of the three dimensional spin textures along the ellipse shaped ring at a given ratio $a/b = 0.4$ and for various values of the SO coupling (white circles in Fig 2), i.e. $L\alpha_R = 1, 4, 8, 10, 12, 50.1$ corresponding to the panels (a)-(f), respectively. The blue portion of the trajectory stands for the part of the elliptical loop with larger curvature. The dots mark the positions on the loop with the maximum and minimum values of the local curvature.
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**FIG. 4.** Contour map of the cosine of the geometric phase (a), the spin component of the dynamical phase (b) and the total phase (c) contributing to the conductance as a function of the ellipse ratio $a/b$ and the scaled spin-orbit coupling $L\alpha_R/(2\pi)$.
by an electron moving along a closed loop as \cite{30}:

\[
g_{AA} = \pi \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int q_{NT} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{\langle \sigma_z \rangle}{\rho} [K(s) + q_{NT}] ds \right) d = d_\sigma + d_E
\]

where \(\rho\) is the local density of the spin eigenmode,

\[
n_{NT} = \frac{\langle \sigma_N \rangle \partial_s (\langle \sigma_T \rangle - \langle \sigma_T \rangle \partial_s \langle \sigma_N \rangle)}{\langle \sigma_T \rangle^2 + \langle \sigma_N \rangle^2},
\]

while \(d_\sigma = \int \alpha_R \langle \sigma_z \rangle^2 ds\) and \(d_E = c_0 L\) are the spin and energy dependent components of the dynamical phase. From Eq. 3 it follows that the nature of the spin texture affects the geometric and the dynamical phase in a very different manner. The geometric AA phase is half the solid angle spanned by the spin vector and consists of three terms: the first \(\pi\) term is the adiabatic spin geometric phase the electron would acquire when pinned at the pole of the Frenet-Serret-Bloch sphere, i.e. along the direction of the effective spin-orbit field. The second term corresponds, in units of \(\pi\), to the winding number of the normal and tangential spin components around the out-of-plane direction. Due to the reflection symmetry of the ring with respect to its major axis, the latter is always an even integer, and therefore does not yields parity changes of the AA phase. Finally, the third term yields the non-adiabatic part of the AA phase, which is directly proportional to the tilt of the electron spin in the out-of-plane \(\hat{z}\) direction \cite{21, 23}. For a circular quantum ring with \(a/b \equiv 1\) this term is gradually suppressed as the Rashba spin-orbit inverse length increases, and thus the geometric phase reduces to the adiabatic \(\pi\) spin geometric Berry phase. An analogous behavior occurs also in the case of elliptical quantum rings [the blue area in Fig. 3(a)] in the parameter space region where the spin orientation is pinned close to the quasi-static effective SO field direction. However, as soon as the electronic spin starts to wind in the Frenet-Serret-Bloch sphere around the radial and the binormal direction, the contributions in the non adiabatic term yield rapid variations of the spin geometric phase as either the \(a/b\) ratio or \(\alpha_R\) are changed. A closer inspection of the non adiabatic terms in \(g_{AA}\) reveals that the contribution proportional to \(\sim \langle \sigma_z \rangle q_{NT}\) induces a cascade of phase slips, and therefore it represents the major player for the rapid variations of the spin geometric phase in Fig. 3(a). A similar behavior is also obtained for the spin dependent part of the dynamical phase that exhibits a typical oscillating behavior at a given \(a/b\) as a function of the Rashba SO in the adiabatic regime for \(a/b \sim 1\), while it turns into rapidly phase fluctuating patterns in the non adiabatic region with winding of the spin textures [Fig. 3(b)].

The geometrical and dynamical phases yield the interference pattern in the quantum conductance. As for the case of the conventional ring \cite{21, 23, 31}, the conductance of a single ballistic elliptical ring symmetrically coupled to two contact leads can be obtained by means of the Landauer approach and it is given by

\[
G = \frac{e^2}{\pi} \left[ 1 + \cos(d_\sigma + g_{AA}) \right].
\]

Remarkably, we observe that in the non adiabatic regime with topological non-trivial spin textures the interference between the geometrical and dynamical phases leads to a smooth behavior of the conductance. The resulting pattern is marked by distinct geometrically driven channels of electronic transport with an almost constant conductance or exhibiting a changeover from destructive to constructive interference as the \(a/b\) ratio decreases (Fig. 4(c)). From the analysis of the phase interference in the conductance we observe that the regular pattern of the non adiabatic regime mainly arises from the out-of-plane spin component due to a cancellation between the winding term in \(g_{AA}\) and the dynamical phase \(d_E\). It is then the spatial behavior of \(\langle \sigma_z \rangle\) that dictates the conductance interference pattern by allowing a \(\pi\) shift in the region of the parameters space where both a winding around the radial and the binormal directions occurs. Such windings make the spin spanning a solid angle with a large amplitude on the Frenet-Serret-Bloch sphere thus reducing the adiabatic \(\pi\) contribution of the geometric phase. This result sets a tight connection between the changeover of the conductance and the topological character of the spin texture as one can directly observe by comparing the phase diagram in Fig. 2 and the patterns in Fig. 4(c). Evidences of a different electronic transport in the shape deformed ring can be also obtained by tuning the Rashba SO coupling through an applied gate voltage at a given \(a/b\) ratio. The conventional oscillatory behavior in the adiabatic regime becomes damped and amplitude modulated as one enters the region of non trivial windings (e.g. \(a/b < 0.5\)) where the variation of the Rashba SO coupling cannot lead to a complete destructive-constructive interference in the conductance due to the counteraction of the \(q_{NT}\) term to the dynamical spin part.

We emphasize that our prediction can be immediately tested in the laboratory. Indeed, considering InAs quantum rings with a typical length \(L \sim 300\) nm, \(\alpha_{SO} \sim 10^{-11}\) eV m, and the effective electron mass \(m^* \sim 0.05m_e\), we have \(L/\alpha_R (2\pi) \sim 2\). Taking into account the gate tunability of \(\alpha_{SO}\) \cite{22} and the modification of the ellipse lengths, one can directly access regimes of \(L/\alpha_R (2\pi)\) in the range of \(\sim [2, 10]\). By also considering that, apart from conventional material geometries, nanostructuring methods have recently achieved a level of control that even enables the synthesis of complex three-dimensional nanoarchitectures resembling biological structures \cite{36}, our findings anticipate an unbound potential for new device concepts of flexible spin-orbitronics where the electron spin and the electronic transport are directly controlled by the system geometry.
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I. APPENDIX

In this section we present the derivation of the spin-torque equation for the spatial evolution of the local spin orientation in a generic planarly curved nanowire with Rashba spin-orbit coupling. We also demonstrate how the spin velocity vector field behaves around the positions corresponding to the locally vanishing effective spin-orbit torque. Then, we present the expressions for the geometric and dynamical phases acquired by an electron going around a loop having a non-trivial geometric curvature and Rashba spin-orbit coupling and derive the conductance for the quantum loop. Finally, we provide the details for the continuum-to-lattice mapping of the Hamiltonian for a curved nanowire and the methodology applied to determine the phase diagrams.

A. Gyroscope equation for the local spin orientation

We consider a generic planarly curved one-dimensional nanostructure in the presence of Rashba spin-orbit coupling described by the Hamiltonian $H_{k,p}$. From the structure of $H_{k,p}$, it follows that:

$$H_{k,p} = H_l^2 - \frac{\alpha_{SO}}{4\gamma} \sigma_0$$

with $\sigma_0$ being the identity matrix, $\gamma = \frac{k^2}{2m^*}$ and $H_l$ reads:

$$H_l = \left(i\sqrt{\gamma} \partial_s + \frac{\alpha_{SO}}{2\sqrt{\gamma}} \sigma_N(s)\right)$$

Hence, $H_l$ and $H_{k,p}$ have common eigenstates with an eigenvalue relation given by $E_{k,p} = E_l^2 - \frac{\alpha_{SO}}{4\gamma}$. Let us introduce the local spin orientation for a given spin eigenmode $|\Psi_E\rangle$ as the corresponding expectation value of the spin operators in the Frenet-Serret reference frame, i.e., $\langle \sigma \rangle = \{\langle \sigma_T \rangle, \langle \sigma_N \rangle, \langle \sigma_z \rangle \}$. To determine the equations for the spatial derivative of the local spin components it is convenient to use the relation $H_l|\Psi_E\rangle = E_l|\Psi_E\rangle$ for the spin eigenmode wavefunction in such a way to single out the spatial derivative as

$$i\partial_s|\Psi_E\rangle = G(s)|\Psi_E\rangle$$
$$i\partial_s|\Psi_E\rangle = -\langle \Psi_E|G(s)$$

with $G(s) = -(\alpha_R \sigma_N(s) + \epsilon_0 \sigma_0)$, $\epsilon_0^2 = \frac{2m^* E}{\gamma} + \alpha_R^2$ relates to the eigenenergy $E$, and $\alpha_R = \frac{\alpha_{SO}}{2\gamma}$. From the Frenet-Serret equations for the normal and tangential directions to the curved nanostructure, $\partial_s N(s) = K(s)T(s)$ and $\partial_s T(s) = -K(s)N(s)$, it follows that $\partial_s \sigma_N(s) = K(s)\sigma_T(s)$ and $\partial_s \sigma_T(s) = -K(s)\sigma_N(s)$. Hence, taking into account the Eqs. 4 for the spatial evolution of the eigenmode, one can obtain the general expression for the spatial derivative of the expectation value of the spin components

$$\partial_s \langle \sigma \rangle = i\langle [G, \sigma] \rangle + \langle \partial_s \sigma \rangle$$

with $[A,B]$ indicating the commutator of $A$ and $B$.

At this stage, by combining the Frenet-Serret equations for the spin operators and the Eq. 5 and considering that $[G, \sigma_N] = 0$, $[G, \sigma_T] = 2i\alpha_R \sigma_z$, $[G, \sigma] = -2i\alpha_R \sigma_T$, and $\partial_s \sigma_0 = 0$, it follows:

$$\partial_s \langle \sigma_N \rangle = K(s)\langle \sigma_T \rangle$$
$$\partial_s \langle \sigma_T \rangle = -2\alpha_R \langle \sigma_z \rangle - K(s)\langle \sigma_N \rangle$$
$$\partial_s \langle \sigma_z \rangle = 2\alpha_R \langle \sigma_T \rangle$$

(6)

The previous relations for $\partial_s \langle \sigma \rangle$ can be rewritten in a compact gyroscope-like form by introducing the effective spatial dependent field $h_{\text{eff}} = \{0, -2\alpha_R, K(s)\}$ in the basis of the spin components $\langle \sigma \rangle = \{\langle \sigma_T \rangle, \langle \sigma_N \rangle, \langle \sigma_z \rangle \}$ as

$$\partial_s \langle \sigma \rangle = h_{\text{eff}} \times \langle \sigma \rangle.$$ 

For completeness, we notice that from Eq. 5 one can also obtain that the local electron density $\rho(s) = \langle \Psi|\Psi \rangle$ is spatially constant as $\partial_s \langle \sigma_0 \rangle = 0$. Moreover, since the spatial derivative of the spin vector is perpendicular to $\sigma$ it follows that the amplitude of the local spin component $\langle \sigma \rangle^2$ is spatially uniform, i.e. $\partial_s \langle \sigma \rangle = 0$.

B. Character of the spin-orientation velocity field

We have shown that the amplitude of the spin is constant along the profile of the nanostructure, and thus the spin trajectory can be analyzed by introducing a Bloch sphere in the Frenet-Serret reference frame, where a point on the sphere identifies the spin orientation at a given position $s^*$ through the angles $\{\theta(s^*), \phi(s^*)\}$ (see Fig. 1 in the main text of the manuscript). In spherical coordinates the spin components can be expressed as

$$\langle \sigma_N(s) \rangle = \sigma \cos[\theta]$$
$$\langle \sigma_z(s) \rangle = \sigma \sin[\theta] \cos[\phi]$$
$$\langle \sigma_T(s) \rangle = \sigma \sin[\theta] \sin[\phi].$$

With this, the Eqs. (3) reduce to two independent equations for the derivative of the coordinates $\{\theta(s), \phi(s)\}$

$$\dot{\theta} = -K(s) \sin[\phi]$$
$$\dot{\phi} = -2\alpha_R - K(s) \cos[\phi] \frac{1}{\tan[\theta]}.$$

In order to analyze the character of the possible stable points for the spin orientation trajectories we search for solutions where the polar $\theta$ and azimuthal $\phi$ velocities are vanishing. Assuming that the curvature is non singular along the nanostructure, the polar and the azimuthal velocities are zero at the points $P_{1,2}$ such that $\phi = \frac{\phi_{1,2}}{\kappa_{1,2}} = 0$ or $\pi$ and $\theta = \theta_{1,2}(s) = \arccos[\pm 2\alpha_R K(s)]^I$. It is worth pointing out that the vanishing of the effective torque occurs for points that lie in the normal-binormal plane for the spin components independently on the geometric properties of the nanostructure. On the other hand, the critical
The eigenvalues are imaginary for any value of the curvature. One can easily obtain the cinematic parameters (velocity and acceleration) of these points on the sphere by evaluating the first and second derivatives as

$$v_{\tilde{\theta}_{1,2}}(s) = \pm \left[ K'(s) \right] \frac{2\alpha_R}{(4\alpha_R^2 + K(s)^2)}$$

$$a_{\tilde{\theta}_{1,2}}(s) = \pm \frac{2\alpha_R[(4\alpha_R^2 + K(s)^2)K''(s) - 2K(s)K'(s)^2]}{(4\alpha_R^2 + K(s)^2)^2}.$$

Then, the evolution of the $\tilde{\theta}_{1,2}(s)$ is strongly interconnected to the geometry of the nanosystem not only through the strength of the curvature but also through the derivatives of the curvature. This provides a direct connection between the geometric curvature of the structure and the cinematic of the points where the velocities of the local spin components vanish. To further understand the character of the spin vector flow we determine the Jacobian $J$ of the first derivatives of the velocities at the points $P_{1,2}(s)$:

$$J = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mp K(s) & 0 \\ \frac{K(s)^2}{(\alpha_R^2 + K(s)^2)} & \pm K(s) \end{array} \right).$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $J$ provide informations on the character of the solutions around the points $P_{1,2}$. We find that the eigenvalues $E_J$, independently on the positions 1, 2, are given by

$$E_J = i\frac{K(s)^2}{\sqrt{4\alpha_R^2 + K(s)^2}} \{ -1, 1 \}.$$

The eigenvalues are imaginary for any value of the curvature and the renormalized Rashba coupling $\alpha_R$ and thus the solutions for $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\varphi$ to the linear order are oscillating. This result implies that around the points $P_{1,2}$ the trajectories of the velocity fields can form closed loops, namely they have a vortex like profile. When the curvature varies along the profile of the one-dimensional nanostructure the vortex moves back and forth along the Frenet-Serre-Bloch sphere from the north(south) pole to the equator.

### C. Aharonov-Anandan geometric and dynamical phases

In order to determine the geometric phase acquired by an electron moving along a closed loop, we follow the original approach proposed by Aharonov-Anandan for any cyclic evolution of a quantum system [13]. A spin eigenmode $|\Psi(s)\rangle$ of $H_{k,p}$ evolves in space according to the Eq. [4]. Now, if we assume that the evolution is along a closed curve of length $L$, the wave functions $|\Psi(s)\rangle$ at the initial and final positions of the loop are related by a phase factor $e^{i\beta}$, with $\beta$ real, such as $|\Psi(L)\rangle = e^{i\beta}|\Psi(0)\rangle$. Then, we can define $|\Psi(s)\rangle = e^{-ik(s)}|\Psi(s)\rangle$ in such a way that $b(L) - b(0) = \beta$. It immediately follows that $|\tilde{\Psi}(L)\rangle = |\tilde{\Psi}(0)\rangle$ and from Eq. [4] that

$$-\partial_s b(s) = \frac{\langle \Psi|G(s)|\Psi\rangle}{\langle \Psi|\Psi\rangle} - \langle \tilde{\Psi}|i\partial_s \tilde{\Psi}\rangle.$$

The total phase $\beta$ acquired by the charge carrier along the loop is given by the sum of a geometric $g_{AA}$ and dynamical part $d$ [13] as

$$g_{AA} = \int_0^L \frac{\langle \Psi|G(s)|\Psi\rangle}{\langle \Psi|\Psi\rangle} ds$$

$$d = -\int_0^L \frac{\langle \psi|G(s)|\Psi\rangle}{\langle \psi|\psi\rangle} ds. \quad (7)$$

Now, we are interested in obtaining $g_{AA}$ for a generic closed curve. In doing this, we aim to obtain an expression that directly links the geometric phase to the local spin orientation of the evolving quantum state. The wave-function $|\Psi(s)\rangle$ can be generally expressed in the form

$$|\Psi_E\rangle = \left( \begin{array}{c} \exp[-if(s)/2] \exp[i\theta(s)]A_R(s) \\ \exp[if(s)/2] \exp[i\theta(s)]A_L(s) \end{array} \right)$$

where $f(s) = \int_0^s K(\tilde{s})d\tilde{s}$, and $\{A_L, A_R\}$ are real. This structure for $|\Psi(s)\rangle$ is convenient because the expectation values of the local spin $\langle \sigma \rangle$ in the Frenet-Serre reference frame is related to the components of the wave-function by the following relations:

$$\tan[\theta_R - \theta_L] = \frac{\langle \sigma_T \rangle}{\langle \sigma_N \rangle} \quad (9)$$

$$A_R^2 - A_L^2 = \langle \sigma_z \rangle \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, the local density is given by $\rho(s) = A_R^2 + A_L^2$ and $\int_0^L K(s)ds = 2\pi$. The phase difference $(\theta_R - \theta_L)$ acquires a shift $2\pi W_{NT}$ that is multiple of $2\pi$ going around the loop from 0 to $L$, with $W_{NT}$ given by

$$W_{NT} = \int_0^L q_{NT} ds.$$

with $q_{NT} = (|\sigma_N|\partial_s |\sigma_T|)^{-1}[(\sigma_T)^2 + (\sigma_N)^2]$ corresponding to the winding of the normal and tangential spin components around the binormal direction. Hence, one can show that

$$|\tilde{\Psi}(s)\rangle = \left( \begin{array}{c} A_R(s) \\ \exp[if(s)] \exp[-i(\theta_R(s) - \theta_L(s))]A_L(s) \end{array} \right).$$

Now, it follows that the geometric phase in Eq. [7] can be directly obtained by expanding the derivative of $|\Psi(s)\rangle$ and using the relations between the components and the spin amplitudes. The resulting expression is

$$g_{AA} = \pi \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int q_{NT} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(\sigma_z)}{\rho} [K(s) + q_{NT}] ds \right).$$

Finally, by taking the expression of $G(s)$ we can immediately obtain the dynamical phase as:

$$d = d_\sigma + d_E \quad (11)$$

$$d_\sigma = \int \frac{\alpha_R (\sigma_N)}{\rho} ds$$

and $d_E = c_0 L$ are its spin and energy dependent components.
D. Conductance of an elliptical quantum loop

In this section we derive the expression of the quantum conductance for the elliptical ring assuming that it is symmetrically coupled to contact leads in the limit of low bias applied voltage. In order to obtain the conductance we employ the Landauer formula and follow the approaches of Refs. [21, 31] assuming fully transparent contacts between the leads and the ring, and neglecting backscattering effects that lead to resonances. The performed analysis for the one-dimensional elliptical ring is based on semiclassical method that gives direct access to the local spin dynamics and the geometric phases in the ballistic regime. Such approach has been demonstrated to successfully reproduce the key features of the electronic transport for a realistic quasi two-dimensional ring to successfully reproduce the key features of the electronic transport for a realistic quasi two-dimensional nanostructure and the methods for the computation of the spin texture. The phase diagrams corresponding to the spin textures and the geometric phase of the spin eigenmode for the elliptical shaped ring have been obtained by solving the Rashba model Hamiltonian on an effective lattice system. By employing a conventional discretization procedure from the derivative in the lattice, one can show that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{k,p}$ can be mapped into an effective lattice model [25] that reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_j \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger t_{\delta\sigma,\sigma'} + \delta\sigma_{j,j+1} c_{j+1,\sigma'} + h.c., \quad (14)$$

where $c_{j,\sigma}, c_{j,\sigma}$ are operators creating and annihilating, respectively, an electron at the $j$-th site with spin projection $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ along the $z$-axis, $t = \hbar^2 f^{\lambda}_{\upsilon} \sigma(s) ds$ is the hopping amplitude between nearest-neighbor sites with $d$ being the distance between two neighboring sites. We assume in the computation that the curvature does not change the hopping amplitude for nearest-neighbor distances. This aspect does not influence the qualitative outcome of the results. The local Rashba spin-orbit coupling connecting nearest-neighbour sites is $\delta\sigma_{j,j+1}$ and can be written in terms of the set of Pauli matrices $\tau$ as $\delta\sigma_{j,j+1} = i\frac{\hbar}{2m^*} [\tau_x q^i + \tau_y q^j]$. In the equation above, $q^i_x = \cos f(s_j) + \cos f(s_{j+1}) + \sin f(s_j) + \sin f(s_{j+1})$, which are determined by the position of the sites along the curved line and the specific geometrical shape encoded in the function $f(s)$ via the curvature $K(s)$. The model Hamiltonian has been then diagonalized assuming that the closed curve has a given length $L$ and is composed of a given number of sites $N$. The computation of the expectation values of the local spin components of the eigenmodes has been performed for a number of sites $N$ varying from 500 to 1000. The results do not depend qualitatively from the number $N$ and are fully consistent with the prediction of the gyroscope equation obtained for the continuum model.
In the appendix we provide details about the derivation of the gyroscope-like equation for the spatial evolution of the local spin orientation in a generic planarly curved nanowire with Rashba spin-orbit coupling. We demonstrate how the spin velocity vector field behaves around the positions corresponding to the locally vanishing effective spin-orbit torque. Then, we derive the expression for the geometric phase acquired by an electron going around a loop having a non trivial geometric curvature and Rashba spin-orbit coupling. We derive the expression for the quantum conductance of an elliptical ring symmetrically coupled to two contact leads. Finally, we provide the details for the continuum-to-lattice mapping of the Hamiltonian for a curved nanowire and the methodology applied to determine the phase diagrams.