Search for merger ejecta emission from late-time radio observations of short GRBs using GMRT
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ABSTRACT
In some cases, the merger of two neutron stars can produce a rapidly rotating and highly magnetised millisecond magnetar. A significant proportion of the rotational energy deposited to the emerging ejecta can produce a late-time radio brightening from interacting with the ambient medium. Detection of this late-time radio emission from short GRBs can have profound implications for understanding the physics of the progenitor. We report the radio observations of five short GRBs - 050709, 061210, 100625A, 140903A, and 160821B using the legacy Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) at 1250, 610, and 325 MHz frequencies and the upgraded-GMRT (uGMRT) at band 5 (1050-1450 MHz) and band 4 (550-900 MHz) after ∼ 2−11 years from the time of the burst. The GMRT observations at low frequencies are particularly important to detect the signature of merger ejecta emission at the peak. These observations are the most delayed searches associated with some GRBs for any late-time low-frequency emission. We find no evidence for such an emission. We find that none of these GRBs is consistent with maximally rotating magnetar with a rotational energy of ∼ 10^{53} erg. However, magnetars with lower rotational energies cannot be completely ruled out. Despite the non-detection, our study underscores the power of radio observations in the search for magnetar signatures associated with short GRBs. However, only future radio observatories may be able to detect these signatures or put more stringent constraints on the model.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Double neutron star (DNS) or neutron star–black hole mergers have been argued to be the most promising progenitors for short-duration Gamma-Ray Bursts (short GRBs; Paczynski 1986; Narayan et al. 1992; Ruffert & Janka 1999). The Gravitational Waves (GWs) discovery from the DNS merger GW 170817 and simultaneous observation of a short GRB 170817A, along with the discovery of its electromagnetic counterparts in various bands, have revolutionised the era of multi-messenger astronomy (Abbott et al. 2017; Goldstein et al. 2017; Savchenko et al. 2017) and has strengthened the hypothesis that short GRBs result from the merger of compact objects.

However, there are uncertainties about the final phase of the merger as GW observations are not sensitive to the post-merger dynamics of neutron star mergers (see, for example, Fig. 1 of Bartos et al. 2013) given the current sensitivities of the detectors. Numerical simulations have shown that the merger remnant may form a rapidly spinning supermassive, highly magnetised neutron star (magnetar) before collapsing to a black hole (Ozel 2011; Giacomazzo & Perna 2013). Whether the situation occurs or not depends crucially on the resultant mass of the remnant and the highly uncertain equation of states (EoS) of dense neutron stars (Ozel 2011; Lasky & Glampedakis 2016; Özel et al. 2016; Lan et al. 2020). With the recent discovery of millisecond pulsar MSP J0740+6620 having the mass of 2.14+0.10−0.09 M⊙ (Cromartie et al. 2020), this mass is often used as the lower limit of maximum neutron star mass. For a binary mass < 3 M⊙, a long-lived supermassive neutron star remnant could be formed (Dai et al. 2006; Giacomazzo & Perna 2013) before collapsing to a black hole.

In the case of a DNS merger, the resultant product will be rapidly rotating with a spin period close to the centrifugal breakup value (P ~ 1 ms). The remnant could also acquire a strong mag-
netic field $\geq 10^{14} - 10^{15}$ G, which may be enhanced by the Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities and the dynamo activity (Duncan & Thompson 1992; Usov 1992; Price & Rosswog 2006; Kiuchi et al. 2015; Guilet et al. 2017). During the merger, when the neutron stars are tidally disrupted, mass is thrown out with sub-relativistic velocities, which is expected to undergo $r$-process nucleosynthesis and produce $UV/opt/IR$ emission resulting in a ‘kilonova’ (Li & Paczyński 1998; Tanvir et al. 2017; Metzger 2019). Signatures of kilonova were seen in the optical - IR afterglow light curves of a few short bursts, including GRB 170817A/AT2017gfo (Andreoni et al. 2017; Evans et al. 2017; Smartt et al. 2017; Tanvir et al. 2017; Valentini et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018). Other short GRBs identified with kilonova signatures are GRB 050709 (Jin et al. 2016), GRB 070809 (Jin et al. 2020), GRB 130603B (Berger et al. 2013; Tanvir et al. 2013), and GRB 160821B (Troja et al. 2016a; Lamb et al. 2019). The ejecta responsible for the kilonova eventually expands into the medium, driving a shock and producing radio synchrotron emission (Nakar & Piran 2011; Piran et al. 2013; Hotokezaka & Piran 2015). This emission is also called ‘kilonova afterglow’ in the literature (Kathirgamaraju et al. 2019).

However, the nature of the merger remnant will also play a crucial role in the evolution of the shock. In the case of a black-hole central engine, the velocity of the shock is limited by the initial kinetic energy of the kilonova ejecta. The flux will be highly boosted if the resultant product of the merger is a rapidly rotating and highly magnetised supra-massive neutron star or a magnetar (Metzger & Bower 2014) as opposed to a black hole formed by direct collapse. This type of neutron star remnant is very unstable, and it generally tends to convert its rotational energy into kinetic energy via spin-down process (Zhang & Mészáros 2001; Metzger et al. 2008; Siegel et al. 2014). This energy is directly imparted to re-energise the kilonova ejecta and accelerate it to mildly relativistic velocities. The interaction of the re-energised ejecta with the ambient medium produces a brighter radio emission visible from cosmological distances. The radio emission usually peaks at $\sim 5$ – 10 years since the burst trigger time, which resulted in upper limits of $\sim 200$ – $500 \mu$Jy. They constrain the number density values to be $n_0 \leq 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$. Another study by Horesh et al. (2016) targeted observations of two kilonova-associated short GRBs (GRB 060614; Jin et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2015 and GRB 130603B; Berger et al. 2013; Tanvir et al. 2013; Jin et al. 2013; Piran et al. 2014) with the ATCA 2.1 GHz and the VLA 6 GHz. These observations resulted in an upper limit of 150 and 60 $\mu$Jy observed at 7.9 and 1.3 years since the burst, respectively. Later, Fong et al. (2016) observed a sample of nine bursts with the VLA at 6 GHz and reported upper limits of 18 – 32 $\mu$Jy. Their study constrained the number density value to be $n_0 \leq 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ for a large value of rotational energy $E_{rot} \sim 10^{53}$ erg and ejecta mass of $M_{ej} \sim 10^{-2}$ $M_\odot$. The sample in Schroeder et al. (2020) consisted of nine GRBs (at $z < 0.5$) observed using VLA at 6 GHz within 2 – 13 years since the burst. This study quoted upper limits of 3 – 19.5 $\mu$Jy on the flux density. In Ricci et al. (2021), a large sample of short GRBs was observed with ATCA at 2.1 GHz and VLA at 3 and 6 GHz. This work rules out the presence of a powerful magnetar as a merger remnant. Schroeder et al. (2020) and Ricci et al. (2021) put stringent constraints on the number density values between 0.002 to 0.2 cm$^{-3}$ for rotational energy $\leq 10^{52}$ erg and ejecta mass $\leq 0.12 M_\odot$.

Our study presents radio observations of five short GRBs at the rest-frame timescale of $\sim 2$ – 11 years after the burst to search for merger ejecta emission at late times. As the spectrum of the late-time merger ejecta emission is expected to peak in the MHz frequency range (around 600 MHz), we performed an extensive search using the legacy GMRT and uGMRT at frequencies below 1.4 GHz. To model the light curve, we employ a model of energy injection by a magnetar central engine, following Metzger & Bower (2014) and account for Doppler correction and transition into a deep Newtonian phase following Huang & Cheng (2003). Further, our model also accounts for the evolution of the bulk Lorentz factor. Although we do not find any evidence of radio emission in the short GRBs in our sample, we derive crucial limits on the energetics of the bursts and the parameters of the ambient medium.

In section 2, we discuss the selection criteria of the short GRBs in our sample, followed by the radio data acquisition and reduction procedure in Section 3. Section 4 introduces the magnetar model and the changes incorporated in our model compared to the previous studies. The results of this work and the summary are presented in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

### 2 SAMPLE SELECTION

In previous studies by Metzger & Bower (2014); Horesh et al. (2016); Fong et al. (2016); Schroeder et al. (2020) and Ricci et al. (2021), the fields of short GRBs were observed a few years after the burst in 1.4, 3, and 6 GHz with the VLA and 2.1 GHz with the ATCA. In our study, we implemented changes in the observing strategy. Our objective was to use the legacy GMRT in 325 and 610 MHz and the uGMRT in bands 5 and 4 for this study as the spectrum of the late-time merger ejecta emission is expected to peak in the lower frequency radio regime. The uGMRT with much wider bandwidth (while the legacy GMRT worked with the bandwidth of 32 MHz, the bandwidth was improved up to 400 MHz in uGMRT) and improved sensitivity than the legacy GMRT, helps to reduce the rms of the image.

The sample selection and observational criteria are mentioned below.

1. We chose nearby bursts ($z < 0.5$) around 2 to 11 years since the burst for the proposed period of GMRT observations. 18 bursts fulfilled this criterion.

2. We extrapolated the VLA limits of the GRBs available in Horesh et al. (2016); Fong et al. (2016) to the GMRT bands and found that five GRBs (080905A, 050724, 130603B, 090515, and 150101B) were below the GMRT detection limit and were thus removed from the sample.

3. We excluded bursts that did not show an X-ray plateau or, early extended emission (EE), or kilonova signature (reported at the time of sample selection). This resulted in eliminating GRBs 050509B, 060524A, 130603B, 090515, and 150102A were below the GMRT detection limit and were thus removed from the sample.

4. We excluded bursts for which afterglow modelling indicated the possibility of a low ambient density ($10^{-4}$ cm$^{-3}$) (GRBs 150101B and 061006). The results of this work and the summary are presented in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.
The selected sample of five GRBs (section 2) was observed in radio frequencies using the legacy GMRT (Swarup 1991; Ananthakrishnan 2005) and uGMRT (Gupta et al. 2017). Two GRBs (GRBs 050709 and 061210) were observed with the legacy GMRT in 610 and 325 MHz (31_109, PI: L. Resmi). Further, we observed three GRBs (GRBs 100625A, 140903A, and 160821B) with the uGMRT (Gupta et al. 2017). These selected samples of five GRBs (section 2) were observed in radio frequency imaging in each image plane on a source-free region to estimate the map rms. The values of spectral luminosity are given in Table 3 and shown in figure 1.

3 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The selected sample of five GRBs (section 2) was observed in radio frequencies using the legacy GMRT (Swarup 1991; Ananthakrishnan 2005) and uGMRT (Gupta et al. 2017). The properties of the individual bursts are summarised in Table 1.

We now summarise our model for the merger ejecta from magnetar-powered mergers (34_096, PI: L. Resmi), either in band 5 or band 4 or both bands. The observational details of all five GRBs are given in Table 3.

4 LATE-TIME RADIO EMISSION FROM MAGNETAR-Powered MERGER EJECTA

We now summarise our model for the merger ejecta from magnetars and corresponding late-time radio emission. Simulations have shown that the dynamical mass ejection occurs in the case of DNS mergers while a comparable or larger amount of mass may be ejected in the outflows from the accretion disk (Hotokezaka et al. 2013). The modeling of kilonova emission from GRB 130603B (Berger et al. 2013; Tanvir et al. 2013) led to the inference that a higher amount of mass (~ 0.03 ~ 0.08 M☉) can be associated with such dynamical ejection. We next examine the dynamics of this ejecta and how it gives rise to radio emission.

4.1 Shock dynamics

We consider a simple ejecta model with initial velocity β₀ expanding into a homogeneous ambient medium of density n₀. Due to energy injection from the magnetar, the merger ejecta can achieve sub-relativistic velocities. Therefore, adopting an exhaustive dynamical model applicable to asymptotic ultra-relativistic and non-relativistic regimes is crucial. This is more important because the peak flux is
Table 2. Other observations of the GRBs in this sample available in the literature

| GRB    | Telescope Name | Frequency (GHz) | $T_{\text{obs}}^\dagger$ (years) | Flux density$^\dagger$ (µJy) | $L_{\nu}$ (erg/s/Hz) | References         |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 050709 | VLA            | 1.4            | 2.53                             | < 349                         | < 2.92 x 10$^{29}$  | *Metger & Bower (2014)* |
|        | VLA            | 3.0            | 12.57                            | < 31                          | < 2.60 x 10$^{28}$  | Jin et al. (2016) |
| 061210 | VLA            | 6.0            | 7.85                             | < 27                          | < 2.33 x 10$^{29}$  | *Klose et al. (2019)* |
| 140903A| ATCA           | 2.1            | 1.86                             | < 153                         | < 8.80 x 10$^{28}$  | *Troja et al. (2016a)*|
| 160821B| VLA            | 6.0            | 5.99                             | < 17.5                        | < 1.01 x 10$^{29}$  | *Troja et al. (2016a)*|

$^\dagger$ time is calculated since burst trigger time.

Table 3. Details of the legacy-GMRT/uGMRT observations

| GRB    | Frequency (MHz) | UT Date      | $T_{\text{obs}}^\dagger$ (min) | Flux density$^\dagger$ (µJy) | $L_{\nu}$ (erg/s/Hz) | References |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| 050709 | 610             | 2016-11-21   | 09 00 00                         | < 360                         | < 2.97 x 10$^{29}$  | legacy GMRT |
|        | 325             | 2017-01-21   | 04 30 00                         | < 481                         | < 3.97 x 10$^{29}$  | legacy GMRT |
| 061210 | 610             | 2016-11-29   | 18 15 00                         | < 165                         | < 1.41 x 10$^{28}$  | legacy GMRT |
|        | 325             | 2016-11-28   | 16 00 00                         | < 369                         | < 3.15 x 10$^{29}$  | legacy GMRT |
| 100625A| band 5          | 2018-07-24   | 18 45 00                         | 8.1                           | > 5.08 x 10$^{29}$  | uGMRT*     |
| 140903A| band 5          | 2018-07-24   | 12:30:00                         | 3.9                           | < 5.25              | uGMRT      |
|        | band 4          | 2018-07-24   | 08 30 00                         | 3.9                           | > 105               | uGMRT      |
| 160821B| band 5          | 2018-07-24   | 15 30 00                         | 1.9                           | < 46.5              | uGMRT      |

$^\dagger$ time is calculated since burst trigger time.

$^\ddagger$ Upper limits correspond to 3-σ confidence.

$^*$ uGMRT frequencies are the average frequencies (band 5 - 1250 MHz and band 4 - 650 MHz) at which the maps were created.

expected at the deceleration of the fireball. We follow the comprehensive treatment developed by Pe’er (2012) for the evolution of the blast wave as the ejecta interacts with the surrounding medium.

Following Pe’er (2012), we solve the equation for the evolution of bulk Lorentz factor ($\Gamma$) with the swept-up mass $m$

\[
\frac{dT}{dm} = -\frac{\dot{\gamma}(\Gamma^2 - 1) - (\dot{\gamma} - 1)\Gamma^2}{M_{ej} + m[2\Gamma - (\dot{\gamma} - 1)(1 + \Gamma^{-2})]},
\]

where $\beta$ is the velocity of the ejecta divided by the speed of light $c$, $\gamma(\beta)$ is the Lorentz factor of the blast wave, $\dot{\gamma}$ is the adiabatic index of the shocked gas, and $M_{ej}$ is the mass of the dynamic ejecta from the merger. We used the same functional form for $\dot{\gamma}(\gamma\beta)$ given in Pe’er (2012). The mass swept up from the ambient medium, $m$, is given by,

\[
dm = 4\pi r^2 n_0 m_p,
\]

where $r$ is the radius of the blast-wave, $n_0$ is the ambient density, and $m_p$ is the mass of proton. Blast-wave radius and the observed time $t_{obs}$ are related by,

\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{(1 - \beta^2)}{\beta c}.
\]

where $z$ is the redshift of the GRBs and $c$ is the velocity of light.

We assume that the entire magnetar rotational energy $E_{rot}$ is converted to the kinetic energy of the merger ejecta of mass $M_{ej}$, i.e., $E_{rot} = E_{kin} = (\gamma_0 - 1)M_{ej}\Gamma^{-2}$, where $\gamma_0$ is the Lorentz factor corresponding to the initial velocity. Rotational energy of the magnetar depends on its period $P$ as $E_{rot} = 3 \times 10^{52} \text{erg} \left(\frac{P_{\text{ms}}}{10^{-3}}\right)^{-2}$, for a mass of $1.4 M_\odot$. Therefore, for a magnetar of ~1 ms rotation period, the ejecta can achieve mildly relativistic velocities given by

\[
y_0 - 1 = 1.7 \left(\frac{E_{rot}}{3 \times 10^{52} \text{erg}}\right) \left(\frac{M_{ej}}{0.01 M_\odot}\right)^{-1}.
\]

Using this assumption, we solve for the above three simultaneous differential equations and obtain the temporal evolution of the radius $r(t)$ and velocity $\beta(t)$ of the blast wave. Since we aim to obtain limits on the energy input from a potential magnetar central engine, our basic parameters for the dynamics are $E_{rot}$ and $M_{ej}$ in addition to $n_0$.

We do not consider the initial phase where the dynamical ejecta is accelerated by the magnetar inclusion of this phase can modify the flux before and around the deceleration epoch (see Liu et al. (2020) for details). In addition, our model does not include the impact the jet preceding the ejecta has created in the ambient medium, leading to radio flares well before the epoch of deceleration of the ejecta (Margalit & Piran 2020). Although both of these can influence the light curve, including them is beyond the scope of this paper.

4.2 Synchrotron emission

Afterglow radiation emerges from the region behind the shock front (shock downstream), where the bulk kinetic energy of the outflow is converted to thermal energy. So, the synchrotron flux downstream of the shock depends on the fraction of shock thermal energy in non-thermal electrons ($\epsilon_e$) and magnetic field ($\epsilon_B$). In addition, the flux depends to some degree on the power-law index $p$ characterising the distribution of electrons in energy space. Changes in the value of $p$ do not influence the result significantly, but that on $\epsilon_e$ and $\epsilon_B$ will.
However, as the observations have only resulted in upper limits, it is crucial to bring down the free parameters of the problem. Therefore, considering near equipartition in the shock downstream, we assume $\epsilon_e \sim \epsilon_B \sim 0.1$ (Beniamini & van der Horst 2017). We also assume that the electrons are distributed as a power-law of index $p = 2.2$ in energy.

To obtain the synchrotron spectral energy distribution, we first calculate the downstream magnetic field as $B_t^2 = 8\pi = 4\epsilon_B n_0 \gamma (\gamma - 1)$ and the minimum Lorentz factor $\gamma_m$ of the electron distribution as $\gamma_m = 1 + \epsilon_e (p - 2) / (p - 1) \left( m_p / m_e \right) (\gamma - 1)$ (Pe'er & Zhang 2006).

At sufficiently late times, the minimum Lorentz factor approaches unity and remains a constant. To circumvent numerical artefacts appearing from assuming $\gamma_m = 1$, we terminate the evolution of $\gamma_m$ at 2. For example, for $\epsilon_e = 0.1$, and $p = 2.2$, $\gamma_m$ drops to 2 when $(\gamma - 1) = 0.02$. For $E_{rot} = 3 \times 10^{52}$ erg, $M_{ej} = 0.05 M_\odot$, and $n_0 = 0.01$ cm$^{-3}$, this value of $\gamma(t)$ occurs at $\sim 106$ years since the merger.

We follow Rybicki & Lightman (Rybicki & Lightman 1979) to calculate the characteristic synchrotron frequency $\nu_m$ corresponding to the electron of Lorentz factor $\gamma_m$, and the flux density $f_m$ at $\nu_m$ given by.

$$\nu_m = \frac{4190 \text{ MHz}}{(1+z)} \frac{B(t)}{\text{mG}} \gamma_m(t)^2 \gamma(t),$$

$$f_m = 240 \frac{\mu y}{(1+z)} n_0^{-2/3} B(t) \frac{\gamma(t)}{\text{mG}} \frac{r_{pc}(t)^3}{d_{\text{L,Gpc}}}.$$

where $r_{pc}(t)$ is the radius in parsec and $d_{\text{L,Gpc}}$ is the luminosity distance in gigaparsecs.

Synchrotron self-absorption may be relevant for MHz frequencies for some parts of the parameter space. To estimate the self-absorption frequency ($\nu_a$), we calculate the rest-frame optical depth $\tau_{\nu_a}$ at frequency $\nu_a$ from Rybicki & Lightman (1979). We define $\nu_a$ as $\tau_{\nu_a} = 1$, where $\nu_a \propto \nu^{-5/3}$ for $\nu < \nu_m$ and $\nu^{-p+3/2}$ for $\nu > \nu_m$. Resulting equations for $\nu_a$ for $p = 2.2$ are given by.

$$\nu_a = \frac{130 \text{ MHz}}{(1+z)} n_0^{-2/3} B_{\nu_a}^{1/5} \epsilon_e^{-1} (\nu(t) - 1) 4/5$$

and for $\nu_a > \nu_m$

$$\nu_a = \frac{398 \text{ MHz}}{(1+z)} n_0^{-2/3} B_{\nu_a}^{1/5} \epsilon_e^{-2/5} \gamma(t)^{7/10} \epsilon_e^{-2/5} \gamma(t)^{7/20}$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is the bulk Lorentz factor of the blast-wave respective, which we obtain from solving the simultaneous differential equations eq. 1 and 3. At later epochs where the blast wave is non-relativistic, $r$ evolves as $t^{2/5}$. In the expressions for $\nu_m$ and $\nu_a$, an additional redshift factor from $r(t)$ corresponds to the cosmological time dilation.

The synchrotron spectrum then is calculated following Zhang (2018). In figure 2, we present light curves for various values of $E_{rot}$, $M_{ej}$, and $n_0$. The light curve peak corresponds to the fireball’s deceleration or the transition from optically thick to the thin regime, whichever is later. All the light curves we have shown are optically thin throughout; hence, the peaks correspond to the epoch of deceleration.

A higher $E_{rot}$ or $n_0$ leads to higher downstream thermal energy and, therefore, a higher flux at a given epoch. An increase in any of the parameters reduces the deceleration time (i.e., the peak of the light curve). Larger energy leads to a higher initial velocity, reducing the time required for deceleration. Higher $n_0$ increases the rate at which mass is swept up, leading to a lower deceleration time. A larger $M_{ej}$ leads to a lower initial velocity, resulting in a lower downstream thermal energy and, subsequently, lower flux.

The deceleration epoch also increases because larger $M_{ej}$ requires a higher mass to be collected for deceleration. The lower ejecta velocity further reduces the deceleration time.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our data analysis did not lead to the detection of any radio emission from the GRBs we observed. Observed flux density limits for the merger remnant can be translated to an upper limit for the rotational energy of a potential magnetar remnant. In figure 3, we show the model light curves of GRB 050709 for band 4 and band 3 along with the GMRT 3$\sigma$ flux density limits of the GRBs as mentioned in table 3. Along with the constraints on ambient density from afterglow data Fong et al. 2015; Jin et al. 2016, a long-lived magnetar with rotational energy above $5 \times 10^{52}$ erg can be ruled out for this burst.

Next, we obtain the $E_{rot}$-$n_0$ space for all bursts in our sample. This exercise becomes difficult due to a large number of model parameters and limited observational inputs. Therefore, as mentioned in section 4.2, we fixed the microphysical parameters relevant to the radiation spectrum from the shock downstate at $\epsilon_e = 0.1$, $\epsilon_B = 0.1$, and $p = 2.2$.

Since the radio flux is directly proportional to both $\epsilon_B$ and $E_{rot}$, a lower $\epsilon_B$ will lead to a shallower upper limit of $E_{rot}$ for a given $n_0$ and $M_{ej}$. We considered near equipartition between the magnetic field and electrons and fixed $\epsilon_B = 0.1$. A lower value of $\epsilon_B$ in the downstream is not unlikely and increases the allowed maximum value of $E_{rot}$. On the other hand, if the ejecta mass reduces, $E_{rot}$ upper limit gets tighter.

For the ultra-relativistic shocks of GRB afterglows, a wide range of $\epsilon_B$ values are inferred (Santana et al. 2014). However, an equipartition magnetic field is commonly found in galactic SNRs (Vink 2012), where the shock is sub-relativistic. Theoretical calculations of magnetic field amplification due to shock-generated turbulence result in a higher value of $\epsilon_B$ (Kulsrud & Anderson 1992). The late-time merger ejecta shocks are expected to be mildly relativistic or non-relativistic. Therefore, though there’s a deviation in GRB afterglows, we chose to fix the $\epsilon_B$ at 0.1.

Numerical simulations provide a range of values for the dynamical ejecta mass (Shibata & Hotokezaka 2019). However, we fix the ejecta mass at 0.05$M_\odot$, estimated for GW170817 by various authors (Cowperthwaite et al. 2017; Drout et al. 2017; Waxman et al. 2018). This is a conservative upper limit to the dynamical ejecta mass from Shibata & Hotokezaka (2019).

For each burst, we calculate the model flux density $f_\nu(t)$ on a grid of $E_{rot}$ and $n_0$ as described below, compare it with the GMRT upper limits, and obtain the parameter space allowed by the observations.

(i) Rotational energy: For the magnetar rotational energy, we choose a wide range from $5 \times 10^{51}$ erg to $10^{53}$ erg that is theoretically allowed as a function of the mass and the equation of state of the neutron star. The maximum rotational energy of a stable magnetar is around $10^{53}$ erg, after which the magnetar collapses into a black hole.

(ii) Number density: As short GRBs occur in diverse environments, we consider a wide range for the number density, from $10^{-5}$ to 1 cm$^{-3}$. Whenever available, we have also considered the limits.
of $n_0$ from afterglow modeling (Fong et al. 2015; Jin et al. 2016; Troja et al. 2016a, 2019).

It must be noted that assumptions on $\epsilon_B$ and $M_{ej}$ can significantly affect the results. For the optically thin spectral regime of $\nu_m \leq \nu \leq \nu_c$, we can arrive at the equation 8 for the fireball in the non-relativistic phase.

$$E_{\text{rot}} \geq \text{const} \frac{f_{\text{UL}}^{2.6}}{\epsilon_B^{2.6}} \epsilon_B^{-2.0} M_{\text{ej}}^{3.4} n_0^{-1.2},$$

(8)

for $p = 2.2$. $f_{\text{UL}}$ is the 3-$\sigma$ flux density upper limit. The preceding constant factor is a combination of fundamental constants, frequency of observation, and distance to the burst.

### 5.1 Allowed maximum rotational energy of magnetars

In figure 4, we present the allowed region of the $E_{\text{rot}} - n_0$ plane for each burst. The maximum rotational energy of a magnetar $\sim 10^{53}$ erg (Metzger et al. 2015) is indicated by a horizontal line. The pink shaded region indicates the 1-$\sigma$ uncertainty of the number density of ambient medium available in the literature based on afterglow modelling as mentioned in Table 4. As the afterglow data for most of these bursts are sparse, the inferred $n_0$ is subject to assumptions of downstream magnetic field (represented by $\epsilon_B^{3/2}$) of the afterglow fireball. However, a lower limit to the ambient density can still be obtained, assuming the highest possible $\epsilon_B^{AG}$. Hence, the ambient density inferred from afterglow data still has the potential to constrain the rotational energy of the magnetar.

The first panel of figure 4 depicts the parameter space plot of GRB 050709 in band 4 and band 3, where we can place a tight constraint on the rotational energy $E_{\text{rot}} \sim (1.5 - 2) \times 10^{51}$ erg that is two orders lower than the maximum rotational energy expected from stable neutron star as given in Metzger et al. (2015). For GRB 100625A, the maximum energy possible by a magnetar is $E_{\text{rot}} \sim 5 \times 10^{51}$ erg, which is one order of magnitude below the energy range given in afterglow study (Fong et al. 2013). The maximum rotational energy of GRB 160821B $E_{\text{rot}}$ lies $\sim 0.7 \times 10^{52}$ erg, which is in agreement with the maximum energy of magnetar value given in Schroeder et al. (2020). As GRB 160821B was observed before the predicted time span of the merger ejecta emission, late-time monitoring would lead to a much better constraint on the maximum rotational energy.

GRB 061210 is the only burst in our sample for which afterglow number density constraints are unavailable in the literature. Therefore, we cannot limit the energetics of a potential magnetar.
in this case. For GRB 140903A, the number density strip intersects with the permissible parameter space between $0.6 - 2 \times 10^{52}$ erg for both frequency bands, which indicates its maximum possible energy. After considering the fixed values of $M_{ej}$, $\epsilon_B$ and other canonical parameters, none of the GRBs we analysed is consistent with a magnetar central engine with rotational energy of $\sim 10^{53}$ erg which corresponds to a maximally rotating magnetar.

5.2 Radio emission without magnetar injection

We consider the case where energy injection from a short-lived magnetar energises the kilonova ejecta to mildly relativistic velocities. This would not have happened if the merger resulted in a prompt black hole. Without additional energy injection, the ejecta will remain sub-relativistic, and its deceleration will further be delayed, leading to a late (several 10s of years for typical parameters) onset of the radio emission (Nakar & Piran 2011). In addition, the radio source will be fainter. Therefore, the numbers one can obtain from assuming a prompt collapse are less constraining.

Nevertheless, the shock dynamics remain the same, with the rotational energy being replaced by the original kinetic energy, $1/2 M_{ej} v_{ej}^2$ of the ejecta, where $v_{ej}$ is the initial velocity of the ejecta. Therefore, the upper limits on the energy obtained from our model could be translated to an upper limit on the velocity of the ejecta for a black hole central engine model. For the GRBs we have considered here, this leads to $\beta v_{ej} \leq 0.05 - 2.5$. This range is not very different from the velocity of the merger ejecta derived from the optical observations of the kilonova (Arcavi et al. 2017; Cowperthwaite et al. 2017).

However, it must be mentioned that the kilonova ejecta may have a velocity profile, unlike the single velocity shell we have considered here. The fast-moving ejecta carrying a lower mass can decelerate early enough (in the timescale of months to years) and produce bright X-ray/radio emissions. Several authors explored this model after GRB 170817A (Kathirgamaraju et al. 2019; Hajela et al. 2019; Balasubramanian et al. 2021) and constraints on the velocity profile emerged after the detection of an X-ray excess in GRB170817 (Troja et al. 2020; Hajela et al. 2022).

5.3 Kilonova ejecta detectability in low frequencies

We also see that the fireball turns optically thin for standard parameters before $t_{dec}$. This implies that the expected flux density $f_\nu$ is proportional to $\nu^{-0.6}$ (for a $p = 2.2$) for almost all late-time radio observations done so far; hence MHz frequencies can provide better constraints than GHz frequencies from equally deep kilonova remnant searches. Upper limits in 600 MHz and 6 GHz differing by a factor of 3 can provide similar constraints on the kilonova ejecta and magnetar energy. Because of this, though uGMRT limits are relatively shallower compared to those from VLA and ATCA observations in the literature, we arrived at equally significant constraints from our study. For the maximum rotational energy of potential magnetars, Fong et al. (2016) obtained a range of $2 \times 10^{51} - 5 \times 10^{54}$ erg in a sample of 9 GRBs with VLA upper limits of $\sim 20\mu$Jy at timescales of 1.2 - 7.7 years. For a sample of 9 bursts observed by VLA, Schroeder et al. (2020) constrained the maximum energy of the magnetar to be (0.6 - 17.6) $\times 10^{52}$ erg. Another sample of 17 bursts observed by VLA and ATCA, Ricci et al. (2021) obtained constraints in the rotational energy as (2 - 5) $\times 10^{52}$ erg. Two of the bursts in our sample are common with Schroeder et al. (2020) while three are common with Ricci et al. (2021). The maximum energy values of a magnetar (0.05 - 2) $\times 10^{52}$ erg, obtained in our study with uGMRT data, are consistent with the values inferred by these authors.
Motivated by this, we explored the detectability of kilonova radio emission for uGMRT band-3 (400 MHz). We find that even for the most desirable parameters such as a maximally rotating magnetar \( (E = 10^{53} \text{ erg}) \), low ejecta mass \( (M_{\text{ej}} = 0.001 M_\odot) \), and high ambient density \( (n_0 = 0.5) \), a flux density above 30 \( \mu \)Jy is not possible except for nearby \( (z < 0.06) \) bursts. Therefore, kilonova searches have better chances for detection if attempted for nearby bursts having potentially denser ambient medium inferred from afterglow light curves.

6 SUMMARY

We conducted a comprehensive study on the late-time merger ejecta emission of short GRBs using low-frequency radio wavelengths. As proposed by Metzger & Bower (2014), for a magnetar central engine, the ejecta gets re-energised, and the interaction of this ejecta and the surrounding ambient medium can produce a delayed emission, which is expected to peak in the low-frequency radio regime. We, therefore, started a search program with the legacy GMRT/uGMRT in low frequencies to detect the merger ejecta emission in nearby short GRBs and thereby probe the existence of a stable magnetar remnant. Our sample comprises five bursts within \( z \leq 0.5 \) that exhibit complex features in the X-ray light curves. The observations...
were carried out at 610 and 325 MHz frequencies of legacy GMRT and band 5 and band 4 of uGMRT between 2 – 11 years since the burst trigger time.

We found no late-time radio emission near the Swift XRT positions of the GRBs in our sample. Compared to the previous studies, a few improvements are implemented in the observational strategy and the modeling. While the other studies focused on the higher frequency bands of VLA and ATCA, we observed with the low-frequency bands of GMRT as the expected spectrum of the late-time merger ejecta emission peaks around 600 MHz. The observations performed with a telescope like GMRT, which is sensitive to low frequencies, make this an important study. We obtained the dynamics of the decelerating ejecta using the generic model developed by Pe’er (2012), which does not assume either non-relativistic or ultra-relativistic initial velocity. The ejecta dynamics were not considered in the earlier studies by Metzger & Bower (2014); Horesh et al. (2016); Fong et al. (2016). However, our study does not consider the effects of magnetar before the deceleration epoch, and the radio flares originated from the jet preceding the ejecta into the ambient medium.

In calculating the model flux, we have assumed equipartition between electrons and magnetic field. A simplified ejecta structure of a single shell without velocity stratification was considered. We ignored the initial phase, where the ejecta is accelerated to the velocity corresponding to the magnetar rotational energy. The impacts due to the jet in the ambient medium have been ignored.

Our model light curves show that the variation in all the model parameters significantly impacts the light curves. Comparing the 3σ upper limits with the theoretical light curves, we placed constraints on the $E_{\text{rot}} - n_0$ plane, as these two are the most decisive parameters in our model. Along with the inferred ambient medium density from the afterglow, this method allows us to place limits on the maximum rotational energy of the potential magnetar at $E_{\text{rot}} \leq (0.05 - 2) \times 10^{52}$ erg. We can exclude the magnetar central engine with $10^{53}$ erg for all the bursts as the highest possible rotational energy allowed by the radio upper limits lies much below $10^{53}$ erg. As no inferences on $n_0$ are available for GRB 061210 from afterglow literature, the constraints for this burst suffer from large uncertainties. If we consider the maximum energy of the magnetar to be $10^{52}$ erg, only GRB 050709 will be discarded from the probability of having a magnetar central engine. Despite having extended X-ray emission in GRB 050709, which is attributed to the presence of magnetar, it has the lowest maximum allowed energy. This can happen if the equation of state of the neutron star remnant is very soft. The absence of a stable neutron star as the remnant for the significant fraction of short GRBs states that the binary NS merger may directly collapse to BH (Piro et al. 2017), which indicates a softer equation of state or a different merger scenario like NS - BH merger (Janka et al. 1999; Troja et al. 2008; Gompertz et al. 2020).

The late-time merger ejecta emission in short GRBs is a unique tool to get insights into the progenitor system. It can complement the afterglow and kilonovae studies. GRB 170817A, which is the most favourable object to identify the signature of merger ejecta emission due to its proximity (~ 40 Mpc) compared to other short bursts. Using our model, the estimated deceleration time of the kilonova ejecta in GW 170817 is beyond the last observation time of the source presented in Hajela et al. (2022) even for the most promising parameters. In the near future, it may be possible to detect merger ejecta emission from nearby short GRBs with the next-generation radio telescopes and prove the existence of a magnetar central engine. The upcoming Square Kilometer Array (SKA; Bonaldi et al. 2021), in MHz frequencies, with increased sensitivity of $\mu$Jy level, will push the detection limits of merger ejecta emission at late times.
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