Renal collecting duct carcinoma with extensive coagulative necrosis mimicking anemic infarct: report of a case and the literature review
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Abstract
Collecting duct carcinoma (CDC) with a mass of coagulative necrosis is very rare. We report here a case of CDC with extensive geographic coagulative necrosis mimicking anemic infarct with tumor cells embedded around the necrotic foci in a 73-years-old man. Histopathological examination showed that tumor nests near the necrotic foci were arranged as angulated tubules, tubulopapillary and glandular structures. Neoplastic cells had moderate to abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and large hyperchromatic nuclei with prominent nucleoli as Fuhrman nuclear grade 3 or 4. The tumor cells were positive for pan-Cytokeratin, Vimentin, E-cadherin, CD10, and CK7, confirming the diagnosis as CDC. The patient is still alive 6 months later from nephrectomy, a long time following up is needed to learn the prognosis. Conclusively, morphology from different portions of the lesion, immunohistochemical stain and the combination analysis of the radiological features is essential to make a precise pathological diagnosis of CDC. And CDC should also be distinguished from clear cell renal cell carcinoma, renal medullary carcinoma, urothelial carcinoma with glandular differentiation, renal neuroendocrine tumor, renal epithelioid angiomylipoma, renal pigmented paraganglioma and renal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma etc.
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Background
Adult renal tumors comprise a range of distinct clinicopathologic subtypes with differing clinical and/or syndrome associations, gross, microscopic, immunohistochemical characteristics. Collecting duct carcinoma (CDC) of the kidney is an unusual variant of renal cell carcinoma. It originates from the epithelium of the collecting tubule and accounts for less than 1% of the incidence of renal epithelial neoplasms [1]. CDC was firstly reported by Foot and Papanicolaou in 1949 [2]. And it was formally recognized as an unique clinicopathologic subtype of RCC following the report and description of 6 new cases by Fleming and Lewi in 1986 [3].

Unlike the majority of renal cell carcinomas, CDC is characterized by distinct clinicopathological features, aggressiveness and poor prognosis [1]. A male to female ratio of approximately 2:1 with a mean age of occurrence in the sixth decade [1]. Grossly, the tumor is often centrally located in or near the region of the renal pelvis and appears gray or white without extensive hemorrhage [4,5]. The tumor often has irregular infiltrative borders and no extensive hemorrhage and necrosis [5,6]. The most common architectural patterns of CDC include angulated tubules or tubulopapillary structures and glandular structures [6]. High-grade nuclear features with pleomorphism and prominent eosinophilic nuclei as Fuhrman nuclear grade 3 or 4 are common [7]. Occasionally, focal necrosis were noted in the foci [5]. In contrast to previously cases, we present here a CDC case with extensive necrosis and cystic formation in an old male patient, which were seldom observed in this type...
tumor of kidney. The clinicopathological features of this case and the differential diagnosis was also been discussed.

Case presentation
Clinical history
A 73-year-old man was hospitalized because of right flank pain. There was no history of cigarette smoking and no family history of malignancy. No history of occupational exposure to carcinogens and chronic diseases and chronic medications was obtained. On admission the patient appeared to have good general condition without fever, weight loss and dyspnoea. Physical and neurological examinations showed no abnormality. The laboratory results including blood count and classification, liver and renal function, and tumor markers were within the normal range. Computed tomography showed a 2.5×3.4 cm complex solid/cystic mass in the upper right kidney invading renal sinus and peri-renal tissue, which was an ill-defined, heterogeneous mass with central cystic formation (Figure 1, Arrow showed). Chest and abdominal x-ray were normal for lung and other abdominal organs. A preoperative presumed diagnosis was renal coagulative necrosis or tumor and a radical right nephrectomy was performed.

Material and methods
The specimen was fixed in a 10% neutral formalin solution and embedded in paraffin. Four micrometer-thick sections were prepared and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. An Envision two-step assay was used for the immunohistochemistry staining. Commercially available monoclonal antibodies were employed: Pan Cytokeratin (Mouse mAb (AE1/AE3); 1:200), Vimentin (Mouse mAb (V9);1:1000), CD10 (Mouse mAb (56C6); 1:200), E-Cadherin (Mouse mAb(NCH-38);1:200), CK7(Mouse mAb(OV7L12/30);1:200), CK20 (Mouse mAb(Ks20.8);1:200), CD31(Mouse mAb(JC70A);1:200), CD34 (Mouse mAb(QBEnd10); 1:200), Actin (MouseAb(1A4); 1:200), CD56 (Mouse mAb(123C3); 1:200), CgA (Rabbit pAb; 1:200), MelanA (Mouse mAb(A103); 1: 200), HMB45 (Mouse mAb(HMB45); 1: 200), Ki-67 (Mouse mAb(MIB-1);1:200).

All the primary antibodies and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from DAKO Inc., Denmark.

Pathological findings
Gross examination of the kidney revealed a kidney measuring 10.0 × 7.5 × 5.5 cm. On cut section of the specimen, a 2.5 × 2.5 × 2.0 cm pale mass was mainly found in the upper part of kidney and near hilus renalis. The mass appeared as a firm grayish yellowish, solid and cystic nodule with irregular borders and extensive geographic coagulative necrosis, infiltrating renal sinus and peri-renal fat (Figure 2A).

Histological examination revealed extensive geographic coagulative necrosis with the contour of the necrotic tissues and a large amount of debris of the dead cells. This image was firstly considered as kidney anemic infarct by some pathologists. A few atypical cell nests embedded in the edge of the necrotic foci and the wall of the cyst were carefully found, which caused the caution as a tumor (Figure 2B). More 10 samples taken from the whole rest mass had been analyzed, and there were much more tubules or angulated glands irregularly infiltrating the renal parenchyma with a prominent interstitial growth pattern, which were interweaved with the normal kidney tubules around the necrotic foci (Figure 2C). It was confirmed to be a malignant tumor that many cells in the irregular glands showed moderate to abundant eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm and large hyperchromatic nuclei with prominent nucleoli, which were of Fuhrman nuclear grade 3 or 4. (Figure 2D). On rare occasions, Hobnail nuclei were noted in the fibrous background (Figure 2E). Microabscess like necrosis was occasionally seen with scatted atypical cells (Figure 2F). The desmoplasia was accompanied by a predominantly lymphocytic or occasionally mixed inflammatory infiltrate including neutrophils and eosinophils.

Immunohistochemical staining showed atypical cell nests were positive for both CK (Figure 3A) and Vimentin
Figure 2 Morphology of this renal tumor. (A) Macroscopic appearance of the tumor. A global firm grayish yellowish tumor in the upper part of kidney and near hilus renalis, involving the renal medulla and the cortex. (B) Histological feature of the tumor showed large areas of geographic coagulative necrosis of kidney (H&E × 100). (C) Tubules or angulated glands irregularly infiltrating the renal parenchyma with a prominent interstitial growth pattern (H&E × 200). (D) Tumor cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and large hyperchromatic nuclei with prominent nucleoli (H&E × 400). (E) Hobnail nuclei were noted in the fibrous background (H&E × 400). (F) Microabscess like necrosis was occasionally seen with scattered atypical cells (H&E × 400).

Figure 3 Immunohistochemistry staining for the invasive tumor. (A) pan-CK, (B) Vimentin, (C) E-Cadherin and (D) CD10 staining (IHC × 200).
Collecting duct carcinoma (CDC) of the kidney is a rare renal cell carcinoma. Occasionally, focal necrosis were noted in the foci [5], while CDC with extensive coagulative necrosis is very rare. In this case, because of the extensive geographic coagulative necrosis, it was easily to be misdiagnosed as renal anemic infarct at the first sight.

As known, kidney anemic infarcts tend to be wedge-shaped foci with the occluded vessel at the apex and the periphery of the kidney forming the base, and there are no cellular atypia in the surrounding normal tissue [8]. In this case, with sufficient resections from different portions, the atypical tumor cells were seen to infiltrate the upper and middle renal calyces, and involve the glomeruli and the vascellum. The coagulative necrosis foci was also different from infarct either in shape or the characteristics. The difference between CDC and kidney anemic infarct was shown in Table 1. Immunohistochemical staining showed that the tumor cells were positive for CK, Vimentin, partly positive for E-cadherin, weakly positive for CD10 and CK7, which was basically consistent with the literature [9]. After the exclusion of the other tumors of kidney, we made the final diagnosis as kidney collecting duct carcinoma.

The diagnostic criteria of CDC have not yet been fully established, it is often difficult and, to some extent, is one of exclusion (See Table 2 in detail). CDC is an aggressive tumor, sometime with extensive multi-organ metastasis including the lung, liver, spleen, bone marrow, adrenal gland, para-aortic lymph node, proximal ureter, and meninges, resulting in complex presentation [10,11]. Therefore the prognosis of CDC is poor, with approximately 70% of patients dying of disease progression within 2 years after diagnosis [12,13]. In this case, the patient is still alive 6 months later from nephrectomy, so that a long time following up is needed to judge the exact prognosis.

Because there were tumor areas composed with clear cells, clear cell renal cell carcinoma (CCRCC) should be eliminated too. CCRCC is a typically globular tumor which commonly protrude from the renal cortex as a round mass, tending to be well-circumscribed expansile lesions invariably with a pseudocapsule. It is in contradistinction to the infiltrative nature of collecting duct carcinoma [1]. Immunohistochemical staining was distinguishable to show that tumor cells of CCRCC diffusely positive for CD10, vimentin and negative for E-cadherin, CK7, CK20, while that of CDC are positive for E-cadherin, CK7, vimentin, partially CD10, and negative for CK20 [9].

Renal medullary carcinoma is another distinctive tumor needed to be distinguished with CDC. Renal medullary carcinoma occurs in younger cohort mainly in the third decade of life, with a strong male predominance (10:1) individuals of African ancestry, and all the patients had hemoglobin abnormalities and much shorter median survival [1,14]. There is marked overlap on clinical features, histology, immuno-phenotype, patterns of metastases, and uniformly aggressive outcome between collecting duct carcinoma and renal medullary carcinoma, suggesting a close interrelationship between them. By some views, renal medullary carcinoma is considered as a distinctive clinicopathologic subtype within the aggressive category of carcinoma of collecting ducts of Bellini [6].

Urothelial carcinoma of the renal pelvis with glandular differentiation or urothelial adenocarcinoma invading the renal parenchyma may elicit desmoplastic response, thus resembling collecting duct carcinoma. In this case, the tumor cells were positive for both CK and vimentin, and weakly positive for CD10. Because urothelial carcinoma cells are positive for CK, not for vimentin and CD10, it was not so difficult to make proper diagnosis with immunohistochemical markers [1].

Renal primary neuroendocrine tumor (NET) also have trabecular nests or solid nests. The tumor cells were largely polygonal with clear cytoplasm and indistinguishable

### Table 1 Comparison of the morphological difference between kidney CDC in this case and anemic infarct of kidney

|                        | Collecting duct carcinoma (CDC) of kidney in this case | Anemic infarct of kidney |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Gross morphology**   | A firm grayish yellowish, solid and cystic nodule with irregular borders and extensive geographic coagulative necrosis | One or more wedge-shaped necrotic foci, with the occluded vessel at the apex and the periphery of the kidney forming the base |
| **Histological features** | Atypical tubules or angulated glands around the coagulative necrotic foci, irregularly infiltrate the renal parenchyma with a prominent interstitial growth pattern; Tumor cells have moderate to abundant eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm and large hyperchromatic nuclei with high nuclear grade | Coagulative necrosis with the contour of the necrotic tissue with no cellular atypical features |
The tumor cells were characteristically pigmented paraganglioma [16] has the typical features of a desmoplastic stroma; large irregular tumor cells with abundant eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm and large hyperchromatic nuclei with prominent eosinophilic nucleoli. The tumor cells were positive for CD56 and CgA, which is helpful to distinguish from the CDC tumor cells. The tumor cells are positive for CD56 and CgA, which is important for the differential diagnosis.

This pattern might be confused with CDC. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma has also other areas demonstrated well defined islands of cartilage, which is totally different from CDC. Herein, sufficient resection from the sample is essential. The lack of immunoreactivity for epithelial markers as cytokeratin AE1/E3, EMA and E-cadherin is the important marker for the differential diagnosis.

| Tumors                     | Clinical features                        | Histopathology                                                                 | Immunohistochemistry                                                                 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CDC                        | A male to female ratio of approximately 2:1 with a mean age of occurrence in the sixth decade | Angulated tubules or tubulopapillary and glandular structures associated with a desmoplastic stroma; Large irregular tumor cells with abundant eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm and large hyperchromatic nuclei with prominent eosinophilic nucleoli | CK(AE1/E3), Vimentin, E-cadherin, CK7, CD10(weakly), CD20, EMA, CEA, Vimentin |
| CCRC                       | Occurs in adults (average 70 years), often associated with VHL disease. The tumor are typically multi-focal and bilateral | Solid, avascular and acinar patterns, containing a network of small thin-walled blood vessels. The tumor cells have abundant clear cytoplasm with round and uniform nuclei | CD10 CK, Vimentin, E-cadherin, CK7 CK20, Vimentin |
| Renal medullary carcinoma  | A rare tumor. Occurs in younger cohort mainly in the third decade of life, all the patients had sickle cell trait and hemoglobin abnormalities | Poorly differentiated tumor cells arrange as sheets and reticular pattern. The cells are eosinophilic with clear nuclei and prominent nucleoli, often mixed with neutrophils and lymphocytes at the margin | CK EMA, CEA, CK7 CK20, Vimentin, CD10 |
| Urothelial carcinoma with glandular differentiation or Urothelial adenocarcinoma | Less common type of urothelial carcinoma. A tumor with mixed glandular and urothelial differentiation is defined | True glandular spaces present, tubular or enteric glands with mucin secretion. Tumor cells have moderate to abundant cytoplasm and large hyperchromatic nuclei | CK CK7 CK20, (in most adenocarcinoma), Vimentin, Desmin |
| NET                        | Rare renal tumor. Occurs in adults (average 60 years), with no sex predilection | Trabecular nests or solid nests. Largely polygonal tumor cells with granular clear cytoplasm and round to oval uniform nuclei with rare mitotic figures | CK CgA, Syn, NSE (weakly), Vimentin, Desmin |
| Epithelioid AML             | More than a half have a history of tuberous sclerosis; both sexes are equally affected, the mean age is 38 years | Round to polygonal tumor cells arranged in sheets, with abundant granular cytoplasm and enlarged vascular nuclei often with prominent nucleoli | Melan-A HMB-45, CK CK7, E-cadherin |
| Pigmented paraganglioma     | Rare renal tumor. Most tumor are small, occurs in adults | Tumor cells arranged in nests (Zellballen), delicate fibrovascular stroma, large round or polygonal tumor cells with round or oval nuclei | CD56 CgA, Syn S-100, (sustentacular cells positive), CK CK8, Vimentin, CD10 Actin |
| Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of kidney | Very rare renal tumor | Undifferentiated spindle to oval shaped cells, and islands of cartilage | S-100, CD99, Vimentin, CK EMA, E-cadherin |

cytoplasmic boundaries [15]. Sometime the large polygonal tumor cells of CDC may be indistinguishable from them. The nuclei of NET are round to oval and uniform in size, the mitotic figures are rare. The positive staining of pan-CK and neuroendocrine marker as CgA and Syn are helpful to make the right diagnosis.

The tumor cells of epithelioid angiomylolipoma (AML) are round to polygonal with abundant granular cytoplasm and enlarged vascular nuclei often with prominent nucleoli, which may be initially misdiagnosed as a high grade carcinoma as CDC. Although almost all the epithelioid angiomylolipoma lack classical tri-phasic AML areas, it can be distinguished from CDC by immunohistochemical positive staining for Melan-A and HMB-45, and partly Actin [1].

Another non-epithelial tumors as renal pigmented paraganglioma and renal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma should be ruled out from the differential diagnosis. Renal pigmented paraganglioma [16] has the typical features of paraganglioma. The tumor cells were characteristically arranged in nests (“Zellballen”) or trabecular patterns bound by a delicate fibrovascular stroma. They are also large round or polygonal with round, oval, hyperchromatic nuclei. Approximately two-thirds of the tumor cells showed some degree of pigmentation. Prussian blue staining is useful to show the abundant iron in cytoplasmic. The tumor cells are positive for CD56 and CgA, which is helpful to distinguish from the CDC tumor cells.

Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of kidney is a very rare tumor of kidney [17], which is consisted of undifferentiated spindle to oval shaped cells, with hyperchromatic nuclei and scanty cytoplasm mainly arranged in Ewing's sarcoma-like, lamellar or hemangiopericytomalike patterns. This pattern might be confused with CDC. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma has also other areas demonstrated well defined islands of cartilage, which is totally different from CDC. Herein, sufficient resection from the sample is essential. The lack of immunoreactivity for epithelial markers as cytokeratin AE1/E3, EMA and E-cadherin is the important marker for the differential diagnosis.
Conclusions
Collecting duct carcinoma of Bellini of kidney is a rare but highly aggressive renal neoplasm arising from the distal portion of the nephron. The tumor cells of CDC may invade the vascular, leading to large area coagulative necrosis, which might be misdiagnosed as kidney infarct. On the other hand, the diagnosis of CDC should not be made before the exclusion of the other kidney lesions.
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