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Abstract

Background Ataxia-telangiectasia (A-T) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder caused by mutations in the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) gene. A-T patients manifest considerable variability in clinical and immunological features, suggesting the presence of genetic modifying factors. A striking heterogeneity has been observed in class switching recombination (CSR) in A-T patients which cannot be explained by the severity of ATM mutations.

Methods To investigate the cause of variable CSR in A-T patients, we applied whole-exome sequencing (WES) in 20 A-T patients consisting of 10 cases with CSR defect (CSR-D) and 10 controls with normal CSR (CSR-N). Comparative analyses on modifier variants found in the exomes of these two groups of patients were performed.

Results For the first time, we identified some variants in the exomes of the CSR-D group that were significantly associated with antigen processing and presentation pathway. Moreover, in this group of patients, the variants in four genes involved in DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) repair signaling, in particular, XRCC3 were observed, suggesting an association with CSR defect.

Conclusion Additional impact of certain variants, along with ATM mutations, may explain the heterogeneity in CSR defect phenotype among A-T patients. It can be concluded that genetic modulators play an important role in the course of A-T disease and its clinical severity.
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Introduction

Ataxia-telangiectasia (A-T), also known as Louis-Bar syndrome (OMIM #208,900), is an autosomal recessive disorder caused by mutations in the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) gene encoding a serine/threonine-protein kinase (ATM) [1, 2]. A-T patients exhibit a broad range of clinical manifestations, including progressive cerebellar ataxia, oculocutaneous telangiectasia, variable immunodeficiency, radiosensitivity, and susceptibility to malignancies [3, 4]. Other phenotypes such as infections, pulmonary diseases, insulin-resistant diabetes, growth failure, gonadal atrophy, cutaneous abnormality, and metabolic and cardiovascular disease have also been reported in these patients [3, 5–9]. The ATM protein plays a major role in DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) repair, cell cycle regulation, and genomic stability [10, 11]. Furthermore, ATM plays important role in B and T cell development (particularly in antigen receptor rearrangement) and class switching recombination (CSR) in mature B cells [12, 13].

Overall, A-T patients manifest significantly variable clinical and immunological features without genotype–phenotype correlation, involving modifying factors. Based on serum immunoglobulins (Ig) profile, patients with A-T could...
be assigned to one of the following subgroups: normal Ig level, IgA deficiency, hypogammaglobulinemia, and hyper IgM (HIgM) phenotype known as Ig CSR defect (CSR-D) [14–17]. The most frequent immunodeficiencies in A-T individuals are related to IgG2 and IgA deficiencies [15, 18]. On the other hand, a minority of A-T patients present HIgM phenotype, who manifest low switched Igs (IgG, IgA, and IgE) with normal or increased IgM [19, 20]. In our previous study performed in 2017, we showed that about 20% of A-T patients show Ig CSR-D [21]. Generally, A-T patients with HIgM experience a more severe course of the disease leading to a lower quality of life and shorter survival [22].

Some previous studies have confirmed the role of ATM in the CSR mechanism [12, 13, 23]; however, the causative pathogenesis of CSR-D phenotype compared to patients with normal CSR (CSR-N) in A-T patients remains unclear. T cell abnormality and absence of germinal center activation due to cellular defect has been proposed, which was failed when compared between CSR-D and CSR-N A-T patients [24]. It has been hypothesized that the type or the location of ATM mutations may be the cause of CSR defect in some A-T patients, but the observation of different CSR phenotypes in patients with the same mutations falsified this anticipation [16]. On the other hand, other genetic factors could be involved in CSR-D in A-T patients. Nevertheless, no data is available to determine the molecular level on the modification of ATM activity by other signaling proteins. Towards a better understanding of the phenomenon of CSR, we classified our A-T patients into two groups based on CSR status and compared the genotype of the two groups by whole-exome sequencing (WES). In this study, for the first time, we investigated variations in genes other than ATM that might be attributed to CSR-D phenotype in A-T patients. The majority of the variants we found have known roles in the CSR mechanism, suggesting them as potential candidates for further investigation in the future.

Materials and Methods

Patients

In this study, we recruited 20 unrelated A-T patients (11 females and 9 males) from the Iranian Immunodeficiency Registry Center at Children’s Medical Center Hospital in Tehran, Iran [25]. Diagnosis of A-T patients was performed according to the European Society for Immunodeficiency (ESID) guideline [26], including ataxia and at least two of the following: oculocutaneous telangiectasia, elevated alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), lymphocyte A-T karyotype with translocation chromosome 7:14, and cerebellar hypoplasia on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Classification of Patients Based on CSR

Based on serum Ig levels, A-T patients studied were classified into 2 groups: CSR-D and CSR-N. A-T patients who had a normal serum IgA, IgG, IgM, and IgE were classified as CSR-N. On the other hand, A-T patients with decreased IgG, IgA, and IgE levels (at least 2SD below normal for age), but normal to increased IgM and/or D (at least 2SD above normal for age) levels, were classified as CSR-D. A-T patients with other types of antibody deficiency (e.g., IgA/IgG subclass deficiencies) were not included since they present residual CSR function. The amplification of Sμ-Sα fragments from genomic DNA by nested PCR strategy and in vitro sCD40L+rIL-4-induced B-cell proliferation by cell culture was performed to evaluate the capabilities of CSR toward IgA and IgE production in all patients, respectively, as described in our previous study [22]. Of note, each A-T individual’s samples have run on a separated gel to take an overall quantitative measure (%); therefore, the exposure of gels was not the measured values and does not have any impact on this quantitative outcome; all gels were counted also in overexposure and triplicate experiments to avoid selection bias/sample bias and reported in as groups classified (CSR-D and CSR-N).

Whole-Exome Sequencing and Bioinformatic Analysis

The patient’s peripheral blood was obtained, and DNA was extracted using the salting-out method, as previously described [27]. For all patients, WES was performed to detect single nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, and copy number variations using a pipeline described previously [28, 29]. Candidate variants were evaluated by the Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD) algorithm, and an individual gene cutoff given by using the Mutation Significance Cutoff (MSC) was considered for impact predictions [30]. The Gene Damage Index (GDI) server and the Human Gene Connectome (HGC) were used to making a combined effect prediction [30]. The pathology of all disease attributable gene variants was re-evaluated using the updated guideline for interpretation of molecular sequencing by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) criteria [31, 32].

Case–Control Association Analysis

We used Genome-Wide Analysis Toolkit (GATK) Haplotypecaller for joint variant calling on all 20 samples. We then performed a case–control (CSR-D vs CSR-N) association analysis on the variant allele frequencies (AFs) using
the SnpSift CaseControl tool taking into account four different genetic testing models including trend, allele count, dominant, and recessive models [33]. The statistical tests used were the Cochran-Armitage test for trends and Fisher’s exact test for the allele count, dominant, and recessive models. Fisher’s exact test between case and control was also repeated at the gene level by aggregating allele counts across all variants annotated to the same gene in the genome. Cochran-Armitage and Fisher’s exact statistical tests were performed to identify statistically significant variants between two groups of A-T patients. A q-value (using Bonferroni correction) of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Next, functional annotation and pathway enrichment analysis for significant genes/variants identified from all methods were performed by “EnrichR” (comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis extracting resources from Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)) and “DAVID” (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery extracting Protein ANalysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships (PANTHER) data), which is a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis database (20, 21).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted by SPSS software package version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Median and interquartile range (IQR) were calculated and compared for demographic data and laboratory findings of A-T patients using the Mann–Whitney U test. To analyze the categorical variables from the frequency table, the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was performed.

Results

Clinical Characterization

Based on patients’ immunologic profiles, we considered 20 unrelated A-T patients (10 A-T patients with normal CSR (CSR-N) and 10 A-T patients with CSR defect (CSR-D)) with the median interquartile range (IQR) age of 5.0 (4.2–7.7) years old at the time of diagnosis. All the patients suffered from ataxia and telangiectasia. Other presentations of our patients were recurrent infections (60%) predominated by respiratory infections (50%), followed by diarrhea (35%), dermatologic manifestations (30%), hepatosplenomegaly (35%), and autoimmunity (15%). We found that the frequency of total infections and respiratory infections in A-T patients with CSR-D were significantly higher than in the CSR-N group ($p=0.020$ and $p=0.025$, respectively). Increased serum level of AFP was seen in all patients, but there was no significant difference between the serum AFP concentrations of the two subgroups. The main demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of the patients are provided in Table 1. In addition, the distribution of immunoglobulins for each patient in both groups is shown in Figure S1.

To further ensure the accuracy of patient classification, cell culture and nested PCR were used to confirm the capabilities of CSR toward IgE and IgA in all A-T patients, respectively, as described in our previous study [22]. As expected, IgE production (Figure S2) was perturbed in patients with CSR-D, but not in the CSR-N group. Moreover, pooled data of the CSR-D group prove that IgA memory B-cells or plasmablasts decreased in these patients and indicate another line of evidence toward the possibility of CSR defects compared to other AT patients with IgA memory B-cells or plasmablasts which the machinery of CSR and DNA repair must function correctly to produce these B cell subsets (Figure S3).

Genetic Characterization

Pathogenic mutations in the ATM gene were detected in all 20 patients using WES (null or deleterious mutations in 90% of CSR-D and 80% of CSR-N). So, CSR-N patients did not have significantly higher missense/hypomorphic mutations compared to the CSR-D group. We detected a homozygous mutation in the ATM gene for 16 out of 20 patients (80%), while a compound heterozygous mutation was found in four patients (20%, 2 CSR-D and 2 CSR-N patients), as described in Table S1.

We next performed a detailed analysis of additional variants found in other genes except for ATM. For identifying possible mutations associated with the CSR defect, we compared variant distributions among the two groups of CSR-D and CSR-N patients using SnpSift [33]. We obtained 1645 variants (1074 unique genes) that were statistically significantly different between the two groups CSR-D and CSR-N ($q$-values < 0.05). To understand the potential functional consequences of the 1645 variants, enrichment analysis was performed (Fig. 1).

All subgroups of the three gene ontology (GO) categories (biological process, cellular component, and molecular function) were assessed for enrichment. The GO cellular component enrichment revealed an association with the plasma membrane ($q=0.00014$) (Table S2). Pathway enrichment for the KEGG annotated pathways showed a significant representation of genes in the autoimmune thyroid disease pathway ($q=0.0052$), allograft rejection ($q=0.0204$), graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) ($q=0.0253$), phagosome ($q=0.0356$), and antigen processing and presenting ($q=0.044$) pathways (Table S3). Assessment of PANTHER protein classes revealed that our gene list is positively correlated with immunoglobulin production ($q=0.0272$). Finally,
among Jensen disease annotations, our gene list was significantly associated with various cancers, including carcinoma, kidney, liver, melanoma, breast, and endometrial malignancies (Fig. 2 and Table S4).

Next, we investigated the potential pathogenic consequence of the variants found associated with CSR defect status in A-T patients. Among the 1645 variants, those with a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05 in the Asian population from gnomAD (https://www.gnomad.broadinstitute.org), Greater Middle East Variome Project (http://igm.ucsd.edu/gme/), and Iranome dataset (http://www.iranome.ir) which is the most comprehensive catalog of genomic variations in the Iranian population to date and also those that were not considered as damaging based on Sorting

Table 1 Demographic, clinical, and laboratory features between A-T patients with CSR-N and A-T patients with CSR-D

| Parameter                        | Total patients (n = 20) | Patients with CSR-N (n = 10) | Patients with CSR-D (n = 10) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Age at the study time, years (IQR) | 9.0 (7.25–10.7)        | 8.5 (6.25–11.7)              | 9.0 (7.25–11.0)              | 0.241   |
| Age at diagnosis, years (IQR)    | 5.0 (4.25–7.7)         | 5.5 (4.0–7.2)                | 4.8 (4.0–7.0)                | 0.432   |
| Age at onset of ataxia, years (IQR) | 1.2 (0.75–2.0)        | 1.0 (0.8–2.3)                | 1.0 (0.8–2.3)                | 0.324   |
| Age at onset of infection, years (IQR) | 1.8 (1.0–2.8)        | 2.0 (1.0–2.25)               | 1.5 (1.25–1.6)               | 0.371   |
| Age at onset of telangiectasia, years (IQR) | 4.0 (2.0–6.0)        | 4.0 (2.0–6.8)                | 3.8 (1.75–6.0)               | 0.223   |
| Delay diagnosis, years (IQR)     | 3.5 (1.0–5.2)         | 3.7 (1.25–5.5)               | 3.0 (1.0–5.0)                | 0.724   |
| Sex, N (%)                       |                        |                              |                              |         |
| Male                             | 9 (45.0)               | 7 (70.0)                     | 2 (20.0)                     | 0.035*  |
| Female                           | 11 (55.0)              | 3 (30.0)                     | 8 (80.0)                     |         |
| Consanguinity, N (%)             | 16 (80.0)              | 8 (80.0)                     | 8 (80.0)                     | 0.752   |
| Mortality, N (%)                 |                        |                              |                              |         |
| Alive                            | 17 (85.0)              | 9 (90.0)                     | 8 (80.0)                     | 0.221   |
| Dead                             | 3 (15.0)               | 1 (10.0)                     | 2 (20.0)                     |         |
| Infections (%)                   | 12 (60)                | 3 (30)                       | 9 (90)                       | 0.020*  |
| Respiratory infection (%)        | 10 (50)                | 2 (20)                       | 8 (80)                       | 0.025*  |
| Diarrhea (%)                     | 7 (35)                 | 1 (10)                       | 6 (60)                       | 0.057   |
| Skin manifestation (%)           | 6 (30)                 | 2 (20)                       | 4 (40)                       | 0.628   |
| Hepatosplenomegaly (%)           | 7 (35)                 | 1 (10)                       | 6 (60)                       | 0.057   |
| Autoimmunity (%)                 | 3 (15)                 | 1 (10)                       | 2 (20)                       | 0.531   |
| Malignancy (%)                   | 1 (5)                  | 0 (0)                        | 1 (10)                       | 0.305   |
| AFP, ng/ml (IQR)                 | 125.0 (95.0–300.0)     | 121.0 (91.0–301.2)           | 126.0 (95.0–303.0)           | 0.142   |
| IgG, mg/dl (IQR)                 | 740 (460.0–1180.0)     | 840.0 (522.2–990.7)          | 905.0 (21.0–215.7)           | <0.001* |
| IgG1 (mg/dl)                     | 652.0 (98.0–773.0)     | 767.0 (737.2–1019.5)         | 98.0 (65.0–347.0)            | <0.001* |
| IgG2 (mg/dl)                     | 36.0 (28.0–97.0)       | 65.5 (29.75–153.25)          | 32.0 (20.0–82.0)             | 0.189   |
| IgG3 (mg/dl)                     | 33.0 (7.0–74.0)        | 68.5 (31.0–83.5)             | 7.0 (4.0–33.0)               | 0.009*  |
| IgG4 (mg/dl)                     | 4.0 (1.0–19.0)         | 15.0 (4.5–28.5)              | 1.0 (1.0–4.0)                | 0.004*  |
| IgA, mg/dl (IQR)                 | 25.0 (0–95.5)          | 58.5 (31.0–100.7)            | 4.5 (2.25–7.0)               | 0.001*  |
| IgM, mg/dl (IQR)                 | 175.0 (118.0–420.0)    | 97.0 (61.0–168.7)            | 428.0 (135.2–630.2)          | 0.002*  |
| IgE, IU/ml (IQR)                 | 3.0 (1.0–8.0)          | 3.0 (1.75–9.0)               | 2.8 (1.0–7.75)               | 0.153   |

Ig immunoglobulin, IQR interquartile range, AFP alpha fetoprotein
Normal ranges for AFP: < 20 ng/ml
Normal ranges for IgG: 500–1300 (mg/dl)
Normal ranges for IgG1: 280–1120 (mg/dl)
Normal ranges for IgG2: 30–630 (mg/dl)
Normal ranges for IgG3: 40–250(mg/dl)
Normal ranges for IgG4: 11–620 (mg/dl)
Normal ranges for IgA: < 1 m: 7–94; 1 to 12 m: 10–131; 1 to 3 years: 19–220; 4 to 5 years: 48–345; 6 to 7 years: 41–297; 8 to 10 years: 51–297; 11 to 13 years: 44–395; adults: 70–400 (mg/dl)
Normal ranges for IgM: 1 to 3 m: 12–87; 4 to 6 m: 25–120; 7 to 12 m: 36–104; 1 to 11 years: 55–210; adults: 40–230 (mg/dl)
Normal ranges for IgE: < 144 (IU/ml)
*P-value < 0.05 is statistically significant
Intolerant From Tolerant (SIFT) and Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD) criteria were excluded to narrow down the list, as variants with a higher chance of being damaging and potentially related to the phenotype of interest were found. Finally, there were seven variants whose specifications are provided in Table 2. Among these seven genes, major histocompatibility complex II (MHC II), namely human leukocyte antigen (HLA) DR-Beta-5 (HLA-DRB5) is considered as important protective factors in antigen processing and presentation pathway, suggesting that the underlying cause of CSR-D is related to the dysfunction in processes related to antigen processing and presentation. The other 6 genes have no known functional connection to the CSR process (Table 2). Our results suggest a potential undiscovered association between these genes and the pathways involved with the CSR mechanism that should be further investigated in the future.

As a control, we next looked for overlapping variants in both CSR-N and CSR-D groups compared to the reference genome, i.e., variants specifically found in A-T. After filtering and extraction of common variants among the individuals in each of the two groups, we obtained 2153 variants that were overlapping between common variants of CSR-N and CSR-D groups. We performed enrichment analysis to assess the potential functional consequences of these variants. The GO biological process enrichment revealed an association with the external encapsulating ($q = 0.0011$) and extracellular structure organization ($q = 0.0034$) (Table S5). The GO biological process enrichment showed association with the glycerophospholipid flippase activity ($q = 0.0106$), alpha-1,4-glucosidase activity ($q = 0.0420$), phosphatidylcholine flippase activity ($q = 0.0420$), phosphatidylinositol trisphosphate phosphatase activity ($q = 0.0420$), and transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase activity ($q = 0.0420$) (Table S6). The GO cellular component enrichment revealed an association with the collagen-containing extracellular matrix ($q = 0.0014$), an integral component of the plasma membrane ($q = 0.0290$), cytoplasmic vesicle membrane ($q = 0.0290$), and endoplasmic reticulum lumen ($q = 0.0399$) (Table S7). Pathway enrichment for the KEGG annotated pathways showed a significant representation of genes in the ECM-receptor interaction ($q = 0.0004$) and protein digestion and absorption ($q = 0.0295$) (Table S8). Comparison of these results with the pathway enrichment results performed on the non-overlapping variants between CSR-N and CSR-D patients (Fig. 2) showed little similarity between the enriched pathways. This confirms the specificity of our approach in extracting potential candidates that might explain the phenotypic differences between the CSR-N and CSR-D subtypes.
Variants Involved in DSB Repair Pathway

DSB repair pathway is an integral part of the CSR mechanism [34], and A-T patients are known to have defects in this pathway. A supervised analysis also was conducted on all variants of DSB repair pathway-related genes with significantly different frequencies between the two patient groups. Four genes related to this pathway including MutL Homolog 1 (MLH1), X-Ray Repair Cross Complementing 3 (XRCC3), RAD23 Homolog B (RAD23B), and FA Complementation Group M (FANCM) were identified (Fisher’s exact \( q < 0.05 \)). Three variants in FANCM were identified as protective alterations against CSR defect, while all variants of RAD23B, XRCC3 and MLH1 increased the risk. The list of the variants of these four genes, along with their characteristics, is provided in Table 3. All these variants were exonic and missense and had a MAF above 1% in our dataset. Also, according to SIFT and MutationTaster predicted annotations, these variants are tolerated and polymorphism, respectively. Among

Fig. 2 The results of GO, KEGG, and Jensen enrichment analysis on 1074 candidate genes. A The top 10 enriched GO cellular components for candidate genes. B The top 10 enriched KEGG pathways for candidate genes. C The top 10 enriched Jensen diseases for candidate genes.
these variants, the XRCC3 variant is the only variant found in all CSR-D patients as heterozygous or homozygous, while it was not observed in any of the CSR-N patients (Fig. 3). Howbeit, we did not observe differences in the severity of clinical and immunological profiles between homozygous and heterozygous variants of XRCC3 in the CSR-D group. XRCC3 is involved in the homologous recombination repair (HR) pathway of DSB DNA repair [35], suggesting a strong candidate for explaining variation in CSR mechanism among A-T patients.

Next, to assess the specificity of our results in the candidate pathway approach, we looked for DSB pathway-related variants among overlapping common variants between CSR-N and CSR-D patient groups. We observed that all of the identified variants shared in both the CSR-N and CSR-D groups were indeed common polymorphisms in the Iranian population (MAF ~ 1), and no variants were found that could be significant in terms of frequency and function (all were synonymous). Indeed, this finding further confirms the relevance of the non-overlapping variants we found in the DSB repair pathway as described in Table 3.

### Table 2 Identification of significant variants based on MAF and SIFT/CADD criteria between A-T patients with CSR-N and A-T patients with CSR-D. Hom homozygous, Het heterozygous

| Gene   | Chr | Pos      | dbSNP ID  | Ref | Alt | Exonic func | Nuc/AA change          | MAF     | Cases (CSR-D) | Controls (CSR-N) |
|--------|-----|----------|-----------|-----|-----|-------------|------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------|
| **Protective** |     |          |           |     |     |             |                        |         |               |                  |
| HLA-DRB5 | 6   | 32,522,172 | rs1136744 | G   | A   | Missense    | c.C103T/p.R35C          | 0.0436  | -             | 3 Hom            |
| KIR3DL1  | 19  | 54,819,832 | rs139070113| G   | T   | Missense    | c.G475T/p.G159W         | 0       | -             | 2 Hom, 2 Het     |
| GOLGA8J  | 15  | 30,093,429 | rs201797381| A   | C   | Missense    | c.A1829C/p.H610P        | 0.018   | -             | 2 Hom, 1 Het     |
| MUC6    | 11  | 1,016,779  |           | G   | A   | Missense    | c.C6022T/p.H2008Y       | 0       | 1 Het         | 4 Het            |
| **Risk** |     |          |           |     |     |             |                        |         |               |                  |
| GXYLT1  | 12  | 42,087,868 | rs200973030| C   | T   | Missense    | c.G1148A/p.C383Y        | 0.0003  | 7 Het         | 1 Het            |
| MUC4    | 3   | 195,779,671| rs200412534| C   | G   | Missense    | c.C2640G/p.D880E        | 0.0258  | 1 Hom, 2 Het  | 1 Het            |

### Table 3 Identification of significant variants in DSB response pathway between A-T patients with CSR-N and A-T patients with CSR-D. Hom homozygous, Het heterozygous

| Gene   | Chr | Pos      | dbSNP ID  | Ref | Alt | Exonic func | Nuc/AA change          | MAF     | Cases (CSR-D) | Controls (CSR-N) |
|--------|-----|----------|-----------|-----|-----|-------------|------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------|
| **Protective** |     |          |           |     |     |             |                        |         |               |                  |
| FANCM  | 14  | 45,175,386 | rs1367580 | G   | T   | Missense    | c.G2554T/p.V852L        | 0.1835  | 2 Het         | 1 Hom, 5 Het     |
|       | 45,181,697 | rs78211950 | A   | G   | Missense    | c.A4300G/p.I1434V       | 0.1849  | 2 Het         | 1 Hom, 3 Het     |
|       | 45,196,265 | rs3736772 | C   | G   | Missense    | c.C5356G/p.P1786A       | 0.2009  | 2 Het         | 1 Hom, 3 Het     |
| **Risk** |     |          |           |     |     |             |                        |         |               |                  |
| RAD23B | 9   | 107,322,047| rs1805329 | C   | T   | Missense    | c.C683T/p.A228V         | 0.1858  | 1 Hom, 3 Het  | -                |
| MLH1   | 3   | 37,012,077 | rs1799977 | A   | G   | Missense    | c.A655G/p.I219V         | 0.1355  | 6 Het         | -                |
| XRCC3  | 14  | 103,699,416| rs861539  | G   | A   | Missense    | c.C722T/p.T241M         | 0.2435  | 3 Hom, 7 Het  | -                |

### Mutation Accumulation Analysis

Variant-level association analysis is limited to specific variants recurrently appearing in more than one A-T patient. To further extend the association analysis to non-recurring variants, we repeated the association analysis at the gene level. In this regard, we aggregated all variants found in each gene, calculated the total variant allele count per gene in each individual, and performed a Fisher’s exact test between the two groups of cases and controls. The results of the gene-level variant association showed significant differences (Benjamini Hochberg q-value < 0.05) between CSR-D and CSR-N in 110 genes (listed in Table S9). Among these genes, Fanconi anemia Complementation Group M (FANCM) and Mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1 (MDC1) may be related to CSR due to their known roles facilitating a DNA damage response leading to DNA repair [35]. Our results suggest that mutations in FANCM and/or MDC1 may explain the appearance of CSR defects in A-T patients. In addition, HLA-DRB5, human leukocyte antigen B (HLA-B), HECT Domain E3 Ubiquitin Protein Ligase 1 (HECTD1), Moloney Leukemia Virus 10 (MOV10), Kinesin Light
Chain 4 (KLC4), PH Domain and Leucine-Rich Repeat Protein Phosphatase 1 (PHLPP1), and Triggering Receptor Expressed on Myeloid Cells Like 4 (TREML4) genes with known roles in different stages of the antigen processing and presenting pathway also appear in the list of 110 genes with significant accumulation of variants (Table S9).

Discussion

In the present study, we identified variants at loci involved in antigen processing and presentation pathways and DSB repair pathway using a case–control comparative approach between groups of A-T patients differing in class switching recombination. The presence of these variants along with ATM mutations may suggest mechanisms involved in the CSR defect phenotype observed in a subset of A-T patients.

The clinical features of A-T are complex and multi-systemic, including neurological abnormalities, oculocutaneous telangiectasia, recurrent infections, immunodeficiencies, and susceptibility to cancers [3]. In this study, all A-T patients exhibited ataxia and telangiectasia as the main clinical features, but there was no significant difference between the onsets of these manifestations in the two groups. Moreover, we did not observe a significant difference between the onsets of other clinical manifestations in the two groups. Recurrent infections are the most common manifestation associated with immunodeficiency in A-T patients and a major factor for early age morbidity and mortality [5, 15, 36]. We found significantly increased episodes of infections (especially respiratory tract infections) in the CSR-D group compared to the CSR-N group. Since environmental factors should be considered the main modifying factor, the female frequency was higher in previously reported cases with CSR-D compared to CSR-N [21, 22, 37], as was observed in the current assay as well.

A-T patients show variable cellular and humoral immune abnormalities [38]. During the last decade, several A-T cases have been reported in whom Ig CSR defect has been implicated [16, 19, 39, 40]. Some previous studies reported that about 10% of the A-T patients present with the HIgM/CSR-D phenotype [19, 41]. In contrast, our previous study showed that the frequency of CSR defect in Iranian A-T patients was higher than in other populations (21.2%) [21]. Previous studies have shown that the CSR junctions in cells of A-T patients are aberrant, indicating a role for ATM in the final steps of CSR, including DNA end modification, repair, and joining [42, 43], which may suggest ATM as a player in the CSR process. However, the majority of A-T patients with ATM mutations do not demonstrate CSR defects. Thus, the cause of this Ig profile in A-T is not entirely understood. The current study supports the notion that A-T patients with hyper IgM level, normal T cell subsets, low ability to IgE switching with stimulation of CD40L and IL-4, and having abrogated IgA memory B-cells or plasmablasts in their periphery can be classified as CSR defects; however, other hypothetical mechanisms including selective apoptosis of IgA, IgG and IgE but not IgM would be an alternative explanation.

Fig. 3 The position (A) and frequency in A-T patients (B) and frequency in the normal population (C) of XRCC3 rs861539 polymorphism across A-T patients with CSR-N and CSR-D phenotypes. CADD: Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion a tool for scoring the deleteriousness of single nucleotide variants. MSC: mutation significance cutoff the lowest expected monogenic disorder based on CADD cutoff value for the specific gene.
mechanism for this phenomenon (A-T patients with Ig deficiency).

Comparing the genotype of CSR-D and CSR-N patients, in the current study, we proposed a new understanding of the abovementioned immunological defect. First, we rejected the hypothesis that the type, zygosity, or the location (affected domain) of ATM mutations may be the cause of CSR-D in A-T patients [16], as we observed similar mutation distributions in the two groups (Table S1 and Figure S4). Moreover, evaluation of the exomes of the two groups in an unsupervised manner revealed 1645 variants with significant allelic differences between the CSR-D and CSR-N groups. These variants represented enrichment terms such as antigen processing and presentation pathway, plasma membrane, autoimmunity, allograft rejection, graft-versus-host disease, and malignancy.

We found several variants in the antigen processing pathway to be associated with the CSR phenotype under study. Antigen processing and presentation is a complex process in which many molecules and proteins are involved [44]. Once a B-cell receptor (BCR) recognizes a particular antigen, proteasomes degrade the antigen, and subsequently, peptide fragments were presented at the cell surface through MHC class II molecules [45]. MHC class II molecules are highly polymorphic and normally expressed only on professional antigen-presenting cells such as B cells, dendritic cells, and mononuclear phagocytes. CD4+ T cells specific for this antigen initiate a cascade allowing the cognate B cell activation. In particular, one of the most important interactions for humoral immune responses is the engagement of CD40 molecule on B cells to the CD40 ligand on follicular helper T cells [45, 46]. At this point, the activated B cells can either differentiate into plasmablasts or get recruited into a specialized region, called germinal centers (GCs) [47]. In the GC, B cells are targeted by clonal expansion, somatic hypermutation, affinity maturation, and CSR, eventually forming antibody-secreting plasma cells [48, 49]. It has been previously suggested that antigen processing and presentation are indirectly related to the quantity and quality of Ig class switching. For instance, patients with CD40/L deficiencies, known as HlM syndrome, display an impaired production of IgG, IgA, IgE, and normal or elevated levels of IgM [50]. It would therefore not be surprising to find other genes in this pathway particularly HLA-DRB5 to play a role in the CSR mechanism.

Several studies have reported that A-T patients with CSR-D present with a more severe course of the disease leading to a lower quality of life at earlier ages and shorter survival than other A-T patients [21, 40, 51]. Moreover, A-T is a genomic instability syndrome leading to an extremely high incidence of malignancies (10–25%) [52–54]. Leukemia and lymphoma account for 85% of all malignancies in A-T patients in childhood [55]. However, adults are susceptible to both lymphoid tumors and various types of solid tumors including breast, liver, gastric, and esophageal carcinomas [56]. Based on our results, it seems that A-T patients with CSR-D harbor additional genomic variants mainly associated with various solid tumors such as kidney, liver, melanoma, breast, and endometrial cancers.

We also identified several variants in the selected DSBs repair pathway with association with the CSR phenotype in our study. DSBs are potentially lethal lesions occurring as a result of exposure to exogenous agents such as radiation and certain chemicals [10]. DSBs also occur as intermediates in various biological events, such as V(D)J recombination and efficient CSR [57, 58]. The most common pathways used to repair DSBs are non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination (HR) [59]. Generally, an early event during the DSB response is the activation of ATM protein, leading to rapid phosphorylation of several proteins involved in DNA repair, cell cycle checkpoint, and transcription regulation. Based on the importance of the DSB repair pathway in CSR and the defect of this pathway in A-T patients, we evaluated genes that are involved in DSB repair pathways, and we observed multiple variants significantly different between the two A-T groups. Remarkably, among these variants, p.T241M variant of the XRCC3 gene, the coding protein involved in homology-directed repair, considered to be a risk factor observed exclusively in the CSR-D group, and in every single case in this group. Indeed, NHEJ and alternative end-joining (A-EJ, using homology-directed repair) are the main pathways involved in the repair of CSR breaks. However, some findings demonstrate that although AID-induced breaks are repaired primarily in the G1 checkpoint by the NHEJ pathway, Igh DSBs that escape repair or have defects in NHEJ can persist into the S phase, where they are considerably resected and become substrates for homology-directed repair using microhomology in the S regions (A-EJ) [60–62]. It seems that A-EJ-mediated repair of IGH breaks that failed NHEJ-mediated CSR attempts would restore an intact IGH allele for the next round of AID targeting and CSR. In fact, these findings suggest that A-EJ contributes to the repair of CSR-related DSBs [60–63]. The main component involved in A-EJ is usually XRCC1 to recruit LIG3; however, recent studies observed XRCC1 independent microhomology-mediated A-EJ with a tight connection of PARP1 and XRCC3 [64, 65]. On the other hand, a few studies reported a role for HR in proliferation and genome stability in early B cell development [66, 67]. Caddle et al. [66] have demonstrated that HR, with a major role of XRCC3, is essential for the promotion of lymphocyte differentiation or maturation. The study showed that the functions of XRCC2, a homolog member of the RECA/RAD51-related protein family that participates in HR, in early B cell development seem to differ from its roles in mature and activated B cells. Indeed, defective HR leads to...
the accumulation of AID-induced DSBs at both IGH and non-IGH loci suggesting that high fidelity repair of AID-inflicted breaks is required for the B cell genome integrity [60, 68]. These were the first results to implicate HR as an important pathway with a defined role in the adaptive immune system. In fact, XRCC2 was transcriptionally upregulated after B cell activation [67]. Therefore, it seems that there is an interrelationship among B cell activation, immunoglobulin class switching, and HR which is more essential in the context of NHEJ monogenic defects. In our study, we found a relationship between XRCC3, as another member of the RECA/RAD51-related protein family, and class switching recombination. Previous studies in cutaneous malignant melanoma and head and neck cancer have also reported this variant as a potential risk factor in DSB repair [69, 70]. Thus, it is postulated that, along with ATM mutations, this variant of the XRCC3 gene plays an important role in CSR defect in A-T patients, which needs to be proved by functional studies in the future. In general, possible roles for homologous recombination, in either normal B cells development or immunodeficiency, remain controversial.

In case–control genetic studies, aggregation analysis is often used as a suitable method to identify genes associated with diseases of interest, even if variants found within them are heterogeneous in nature and position. We found that some variants of two genes were involved in DSB repair, and seven genes related to antigen presentation were significantly different between our two study groups. This highlights the importance of these two mechanisms in CSR. Overall, it seems that the variants of FANCM and MDC1 genes are highly important due to their effect on normal CSR mechanisms. Furthermore, our findings confirm the importance of variants of seven genes involved in antigen processing and presentation, especially HLA-DRB5, in normal CSR. However, it is not clear which genetic variant has larger effects on the CSR mechanism, and further studies are required to elucidate this question with a higher sample size. Apparently, important causal variants in the CSR mechanism cannot be identified until functional validation assays are performed. Our study calls for further investigations of the effect of the identified variants involved in DSB response and antigen processing and presentation pathways at functional levels in A-T patients. Moreover, evaluation of other CSR defect diseases in the same pathway including MRE11 and NBN deficiencies and their severity may empower this observation in future studies.

To date, no evidence is available at the molecular level on potential modification of ATM activity by other signaling proteins, and interaction partners of the ATM protein are not completely recognized [71]. A comprehensive understanding of this field is required for characterizing the pathogenesis of A-T and other ATM-related diseases such as cancer. Research in this area offers a new horizon to increase our knowledge regarding ATM signaling and phenotypic diversity of patients, and perhaps these findings would be helpful in the management and prognostic estimation of the disease.

Conclusion

Given that similar mutations in the ATM gene result in different clinical phenotypes, including different immunological profiles in A-T patients, additional genetic alternations are thought to play important roles in A-T disease outcomes. In the present study, the relationship between the genotype of A-T patients and the CSR defect phenotype was investigated for the first time. Our findings showed that in addition to the ATM gene variants, variants in genes related to this process could help explain CSR defects in A-T patients. Further research at the functional level is required to complete and confirm the findings conclusively.
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