Heat shock protein 90β in the Vero cell membrane binds Japanese encephalitis virus
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Abstract. The pathogenesis of Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) is complex and unclearly defined, and in particular, the effects of the JEV receptor (JEVR) on diverse susceptible cells are elusive. In contrast to previous studies investigating JEV in rodent or mosquito cells, in this study, we used primate Vero cells instead. We noted that few novel proteins co-immunoprecipitated with JEV, and discovered that one of these was heat shock protein 90β (HSP90β), which was probed by mass spectrometry with the highest score of 60.3 after questing the monkey and human protein databases. The specific HSP90β-JEV binding was confirmed by western blot analysis under non-reducing conditions, and this was significantly inhibited by an anti-human HSP90β monoclonal antibody in a dose-dependent manner, as shown by immunofluorescence assay and flow cytometry. In addition, the results of confocal laser scanning microscopic examination demonstrated that the HSP90β-JEV binding occurred on the Vero cell surface. Finally, JEV progeny yields determined by plaque assay were also markedly decreased in siRNA-treated Vero cells, particularly at 24 and 36 h post-infection. Thus, our data indicate that HSP90β is a binding receptor for JEV in Vero cells.

Introduction

Japanese encephalitis (JE) is an acute and severe viral infection of the human central nervous system that is caused by JE virus (JEV) and is transmitted between animal hosts and humans by mosquitoes (mostly of the genus Culex) (1). It is prevalent throughout Eastern and South Eastern Asia and has expanded onto the Cape York Peninsula of Northern Australia (2-4); it has affected approximately 60% of the global population in endemic countries at risk of exposure to JEV. JE primarily occurs in children under 15 years of age and the elderly when protective immunity decreases (2,5). Fortunately, most JEV infections are subclinical with a symptomatic-to-asymptomatic ratio of 1:25-1,000 (6,7). With the broad administration of inactivated and attenuated live vaccines, the annual JE incidence is estimated to range from 50,000-175,000 cases. Approximately 20-30% of cases are fatal, and approximately 30-50% of survivors suffer serious neurologic, cognitive, or psychiatric complications several years thereafter (8-10). Therefore, JE remains a significant health threat to the public worldwide.

The JE causal agent, JEV, is a member of the genus Flavivirus, of the family Flaviviridae. The virion is spherical, small in size (40-60 nm) and contains an electron dense core ~30 nm in diameter that is surrounded by a lipid bilayer envelope. The viral genome is composed of a positive-sense, 10,976-nucleotide, single-stranded RNA, which features a methylated cap at the 5' end and does not contain a 3' poly (A) tail (11). Flanked by hundreds of nucleotides in non-coding regions (NCRs) on both termini, the viral RNA encodes a single long open reading frame (ORF) and translates into a large polyprotein precursor that is subsequently processed into structural (C, M and E) and non-structural (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B and NS5) proteins by cellular and viral proteinases (12).

In viral encoded proteins, the envelope E protein on the virion surface is the most crucial protein involved in virus-host interactions, particularly during attachment to the cell receptor, virus penetration, cell tropism and virulence, as well as haemagglutination and neutralization in human protective immunity (13). The E protein consists of 500 amino acid residues, appears as approximately 53 kDa, and is N-glycosylated (14). An exact crystal structure of the JEV E protein ectodomain (1-406) was previously produced and the major conformational properties previously suggested were confirmed based on a related flavivirus [tick borne encephalitis virus (TBEV)] E protein counterpart (15,16). In brief, the E protein is present on the virion surface as an anti-parallel homodimer with a convex external curvature and is anchored to the viral envelope (lipid membrane) at the distal end. Each E protein subunit is composed of 3 domains based on antigenicity (13). Domain I is a discontinuous domain composed of 3 fragments (1-51, 137-189 and 293-311 amino acids from the amino terminal end) and is the central domain with an 8-stranded β-barrel located in the centre of the E protein molecule. Domain II is the
dimerization domain on the mature virion, forms 2 loops from regions 52-136 and 190-289, projects along the virus surface between the homodimer subunit transmembrane regions, and contains a highly conserved fusion loop that likely inserts into target cell membranes (17). Domain III (311-411) lies at the E protein C-terminus, forms a constant β-barrel composed of 7 head-to-tail β-strands, and maintains an immunoglobulin-like fold (18). It contains the receptor-binding domain, which is connected to the central domain I by a flexible region and is a major target of neutralizing antibodies (19).

To initiate JEV pathogenesis, the JEV E protein and the cellular receptor on the surface of susceptible cells form an initial interaction (20-24). Therefore, determining the cellular receptor is a prerequisite for future investigations into precise molecular events involved in JEV binding and entry into cells, which has always been an intriguing basic virology field. Research has advanced over the past few decades. Early in 1994, researchers discovered that a 74 kDa molecule from Vero (African green monkey kidney) cells can bind JEV without further characterization (20). Later, researchers found that a 57 kDa protein from BHK-21 (baby hamster kidney) cells (21) and a GAG protein in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells (22) may be involved in JEV attachment or entry. In previous studies from our laboratory, we generated several pieces of evidence and, thus, hypothesised that heat shock cognate protein 70 (HSC70) may act as a JEV binding receptor on mosquito C6/36 cells (23). It has been reported that heat shock protein (HSP70), which is present in the membrane fraction from mouse neuroblastoma cells (Neuro2a), is the putative receptor for JEV (24). In addition, using a mouse microglial cell line, researchers have demonstrated that the extracellular matrix protein, laminin, and several other candidate receptor molecules, including HSP70, HSP90, GRP78, CD14 and CD4, are involved in JEV entry (25). Nevertheless, these studies are limited to rodent or mosquito cells, and the interacting cellular proteins from human or at least primate cells are necessary to elucidate the functional role of E protein with interacting cellular proteins. Herein, we discovered that HSP90β in the monkey cell (Vero) membrane binds JEV particles.

Materials and methods

JEV propagation, purification and titration. The JEV strain SA14 (isolated in Xi’an, China in the 1950’s, and stored in a refrigerator in our laboratory ever since) was propagated in C6/36 cells (ATCC® CRL-1660™; Institute for Microbial Epidemiology, Army of Microbial Epidemiology, Army of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China) cultured at 28°C in a closed incubator in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% foetal calf serum (FCS; HyClone Products). The virus particles were condensed in aliquots and preserved at -80°C for use in subsequent experiments.

The condensed JEV was titrated by plaque formation assay with a semi-solid overlay. BHK-21 cells (ATCC® CCL-10™) were obtained from Ms. L. Jia (The National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products). Vero cell membrane proteins were prepared as previously described (26) with minor modifications. Briefly, the cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FCS in an incubator (Hera Cell; Heraeus Holding GmbH, Hanau, Germany) with 5% CO2 at 37°C. Confluent cell monolayers were washed 3 times with PBS, treated with 1 mM EDTA in PBS (pH 7.2) for 3 min at 37°C, and subsequently resuspended in ice cold Buffer M (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol) containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) on ice for 40 min with intermittent shaking, and lysed using 15-20 strokes and a Dounce homogeniser. The nuclei and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 500 x g and 4°C for 10 min. The membrane-bound proteins in the supernatant fraction were condensed through further centrifugation at 36,000 x g and 4°C for 30 min. The pellet was dissolved in Buffer M without β-mercaptoethanol. The concentrations of the membrane proteins were determined using a BCA kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA).

Cell membrane protein extraction. Vero cells (ATCC® CCL-81™) were obtained from Ms. L. Jia (The National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products). Vero cell membrane proteins were prepared as previously described (26) with minor modifications. Briefly, the cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FCS in an incubator (Hera Cell; Heraeus Holding GmbH, Hanau, Germany) with 5% CO2 at 37°C. Confluent cell monolayers were washed 3 times with PBS, treated with 1 mM EDTA in PBS (pH 7.2) for 3 min at 37°C, and subsequently resuspended in ice cold Buffer M (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol) containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) on ice for 40 min with intermittent shaking, and lysed using 15-20 strokes and a Dounce homogeniser. The nuclei and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 500 x g and 4°C for 10 min. The membrane-bound proteins in the supernatant fraction were condensed through further centrifugation at 36,000 x g and 4°C for 30 min. The pellet was dissolved in Buffer M without β-mercaptoethanol. The concentrations of the membrane proteins were determined using a BCA kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA).

Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) and SDS-PAGE. We thoroughly mixed 160 µg membrane protein extracts with purified JEV (5x10⁶ PFU/ml) in 1 ml microcentrifuge tubes on ice and added an equal volume of 2X IP buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) containing 1% NP-40 overnight at 4°C. The complex was incubated with mouse anti-JEV monoclonal antibody (mAb) 2H4 (created and produced in our laboratory) (27) overnight at 4°C and separated through reacting with protein A/G agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) for 2 h at 4°C in a rocker...
on the lowest setting. The beads were washed 3 times with 1X IP buffer and centrifuged at 3,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C, and the pellets with bound proteins were analysed through 10% SDS-PAGE after boiling for 5 min. The protein bands in the gels were stained by Coomassie brilliant blue (Amresco, Solon, OH, USA).

**Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis.** Novel stained bands of the Co-IP immunocomplex on SDS-PAGE gels were excised for matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight MS (MALDI-TOF MS). Briefly, a gel with a single band was minced into sections at approximately 1 mm² and transferred into a sterile microcentrifuge tube. The gel sections were immersed in a 500 µl preservation solution (50% acetonitrile, 50% distilled water) and mailed to the laboratory in Beijing (see Acknowledgements) at room temperature for further MS processing protocol and analysis. Protein identity was determined based on a score of >50 from the homology search tool MASCOT, where the mass/charge values matched the information available in primate databases (28).

**Western blot analysis for HSP90β-JEV binding.** We routinely used western blot analysis to detect JEV binding with HSP90β from the Vero membrane protein extracts under non-reducing and non-boiling conditions. Due to the unavailability of anti-monkey HSP90β antibodies and the high homogeneity of HSP90β between humans and monkeys, a rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb (Product no. ab32568; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) was used for blotting (1:500 in TBS containing 5% powdered milk). We then used Odyssey™IRDye680-labelled goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5,000; Cat. no. 926-68071; LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). In a parallel blotting test, rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb was replaced by purified JEV (1x10⁷ PFU/ml) followed by the addition of anti-JEV mAb 2H4 (22 µg/ml) and Odyssey™IRDye680-labelled goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5,000; Cat. no. 926-68070; LI-COR Biosciences). The results were scanned and analysed by GelDoc-It™ (Ultra-Violet Products Ltd., Cambridge, UK).

**Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).** Routine CLSM was performed (29) to detect the location of HSP90β-JEV binding. Briefly, Vero cells were prepared on glass coverslips, fixed by paraformaldehyde, and incubated with JEV (1.2x10⁶ PFU/ml) at 37°C for 1 h. After washing 3 times with PBS, a primary antibody (1:10 anti-JEV mAb 2H4, and 1:50 rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb, respectively) was added followed by incubation overnight at 4°C, and the secondary antibody [1:100 FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich™ Cat. no. F9006), and 1:100 Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma-Aldrich™ Cat. no. C2306), respectively (Sigma-Aldrich)] was added followed by incubation in the dark at room temperature for 1 h followed by a PBS wash 3 times. The coverslips were then thoroughly rinsed in deionised water, air dried, placed reversely on a glass slide mounted with mixture of 50% glycerol and an equal volume Hoechst (1:1,000; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China), and the samples were finally observed under an Olympus FV-1000 microscope with the image analysis software package FV1000 Viewer v1.4a (Olympus Corp; Tokyo, Japan).

**Immunofluorescence assay (IFA).** When HSP90β-JEV binding on the Vero cell surface was confirmed, a JEV infection inhibition test was performed under impermeable conditions using an IFA with the rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb mentioned above. Well-grown Vero cells were treated with 3.5 mM EDTA instead of trypsin to avoid cell surface protein digestion, washed with PBS, and transferred onto glass coverslips (15 µl, 1x10⁶/ml) in 24-well plates and grown with 10% FCS RPMI-1640 in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. When the confluence reached 50-60%, the cells were washed 3 times with PBS and were fixed in 4% PBS-buffered formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. After a PBS wash, the fixed cells were successively incubated with rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb (two concentrations of 10 µg/ml and 20 µg/ml) at 4°C for 2 h, titrated JEV (MOI=0.1) at 4°C overnight, anti-JEV mAb 2H4 (1:10, primary antibody) at 4°C for 2 h and FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:100, secondary antibody; Bioworld Technology, Inc., St. Louis Park, MN, USA) at room temperature for 1 h. Immunofluorescence was observed under a BH-60 immunofluorescence microscope (Olympus Corp.) after glycerol mounting.

**Flow cytometry (FCM).** FCM was performed using a routine procedure. Briefly, the Vero cells were treated with PBS containing 3.5 mM EDTA, washed 3 times with FCM dilution, and resuspended (adjusting concentration to 1x10⁶/ml). Vero cells (50 µl per tube) were consecutively incubated with rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb (1:100 and 1:50), JEV (MOI=1), anti-JEV mAb 2H4 (1:10) and FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:100) at 4°C for 1 h with gentle shaking. Each incubation step was interspersed by washing 3 times with an FCM dilution solution and centrifugation at 1,000 rpm and 4°C for 5 min. Finally, the cells were fixed in 300 µl 4% PBS-buffered formaldehyde, and immunofluorescence was detected using a flow cytometer (Epics Elite ESP; Beckman Coulter Inc., Indianapolis, IN, USA). All detection experiments were performed in quadruplicate.

**Suppression of HSP90β expression in Vero cells through siRNA.** Several siRNA fragments were synthesised based on the human HSP90β mRNA transcript sequence from the NCBI PDB database. Following the general principles of siRNA design (30), several siRNA fragments were synthesised, subcloned into lentiviral vectors (Cat. no. HPK-LvTR-20; GeneCopeia, Rockville, MD, USA), packaged in the 293Ta lentiviral packaging cell line (Cat. no. Clv-PK-01; GeneCopeia), and the pseudoviruses thus produced infected intact Vero cells in the presence of 5 µg/ml hexadimethrine bromide. We considered HSP90β expression inhibition over 50% effective as void of off-target effects, and the fragment was selected for further experiments. Cells with reduced HSP90β expression were then subjected to JEV infection (MOI=0.1), and progeny virus yields were measured using plaque formation assay at 12, 24, 36 and 48 hours post-infection (hpi).

**Statistical analysis.** The quantitative measurement results are expressed as the means ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA t-test was used to determine statistical differences between the means: a value of P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. These t-tests were
Results of Co-IP and MS analyses. The immunocomplexes, formed by membrane proteins, JEV and anti-JEV mAb, were precipitated, washed, centrifuged and subjected to SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1). The results ostensibly indicated 3 novel protein bands at approximately 43, 55 and 95 kDa, present in the membrane protein sample but not present in the JEV and anti-JEV mAb controls. These gel bands were cut off in sections for further MS analyses.

In MS experiments, the peptide sequences from the gels were quested in the monkey database but generated no matches, as the African green monkey (Cercopithecus aethiops) database is not available. Therefore, the human database (Homo sapiens) had to be used instead, and the 95-kDa-molecule was probed as HSP90β, with a moderate score of 60.3 (Table I), and then selected as an entire functioning protein for further investigation.

HSP90β from the Vero membrane binds JEV on the Vero cell surface. First, western blot analysis was used to test the binding between JEV and HSP90β in the Vero membrane. Following non-reducing SDS-PAGE, Vero membrane protein extract samples were transferred onto PVDF membranes and reacted with rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb (as anti-monkey HSP90β mAbs are unavailable) and indicated by fluorescent goat anti-rabbit IgG (Fig. 2A, lane 1). Vero membrane protein samples also reacted alone with JEV and anti-JEV mAb 2H4 (Fig. 2A, lane 2) at the same 90 kDa position, indicating that HSP90β binds JEV. Later, confocal laser scanning microscopy was performed. As shown in Fig. 2B, JEV (green colour, Fig. 2B, panel 2) and HSP90β (red colour, Fig. 2B, panel 3) merger displayed yellow fluorescence on the cell surface (Fig. 2B, panel 4), evidently suggesting that the presence of HSP90β in the cell membrane binds JEV exactly on the cell surface.

Table I. Results of mass spectrometry analysis of the novel bands.

| Serial no. | Reference DESCRIPTION | Score | Accession | Peptides (hits) |
|------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|----------------|
| A, Monkey (Macaca fascicularis) protein database | | | | |
| 1 | Unnamed protein product | 80.5 | 67971184.0 | 8 (80,000) |
| 2 | Unnamed protein product | 40.4 | 67971096.0 | 4 (40,000) |
| B, Human (Homo sapiens) protein database | | | | |
| 1 | Heat shock protein 90 kDa 1, β | 60.3 | 20149594.0 | 6 (60,000) |
| 2 | Unnamed protein product A | 60.2 | 32486.0 | 6 (60,000) |
| 3 | chain A, the 3-dementional structure of glutathione S-transferase | 30.2 | 157831214.0 | 3 (30,000) |
| 4 | Aconitase 2 precursor | 30.2 | 4501867.0 | 3 (30,000) |

The serial numbers listed in this table are not one-to-one matched with gel bands indicated by arrows in Fig. 1.

Figure 1. A representative SDS-PAGE analysis result of the Co-IP experiments. Vero membrane protein extracts were precipitated with JEV and anti-JEV mAb 2H4, and subjected to SDS-PAGE under non-reducing conditions. Three novel bands at approximately 43, 55 and 95 kDa (indicated by red arrows) in the Co-IP lane were noticed, and the gels were excised for mass spectrometry analyses. Co-IP, Co-Immunoprecipitation; mAb, monoclonal antibody; JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus.
Figure 3. Specific anti-human HSP90β mAb inhibited HSP90β-JEV binding on the cell surface in a dose-dependent manner. (A) HSP90β-JEV binding was exhibited using IFA (magnification, x400). (B) Confocal laser scanning microscopic results. JEV (in green colour, panel 2) and HSP90β (in red colour, panel 3) produced yellow colour (panel 4) after merging JEV and HSP90β. JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; mAb, monoclonal antibody.

Figure 2. HSP90β-JEV binding detected by western blot analysis and confocal laser scanning microscopic examination (magnification, x600). (A) Western blot analysis results. A membrane protein component both reacted solely with rabbit anti-human HSP90β (lane 1) mAb and JEV (lane 2) at the same molecular weight position, approximately 90 kDa. (B) Confocal laser scanning microscopic results. JEV (in green colour, panel 2) and HSP90β (in red colour, panel 3) produced yellow colour (panel 4) after merging JEV and HSP90β. JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; mAb, monoclonal antibody.

Figure 3. Specific anti-human HSP90β mAb inhibited HSP90β-JEV binding on the cell surface in a dose-dependent manner. (A) HSP90β-JEV binding was exhibited using IFA (magnification, x400). (B) Histograms of FCM detection at various concentrations of the anti-human HSP90β mAb. (C) HSP90β-JEV binding percentages measured by FCM. *P<0.01. mAb, monoclonal antibody; JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; FCM, flow cytometry.
Moreover, the percentages of HSP90β-JEV binding measured by FCM also significantly decreased (P<0.01) from 76.17±0.69% (0 µg/ml anti-HSP90β mAb) to 19.15±0.35% (20 µg/ml anti-HSP90β mAb) and further to 10.43±0.53% (100 µg/ml anti-HSP90β mAb) compared with the negative controls of 1.70±0.11% (Fig. 3B and C). These results clearly demonstrated that a specific anti-HSP90β mAb inhibited HSP90β-JEV binding in a dose-dependent manner.

**Decreased HSP90β expression in Vero cells reduces JEV progeny yields.** siRNAs subcloned in lentivirus vectors were implemented to reduce HSP90β expression in Vero cells, and one transfected cell line exhibited an over 50% steady inhibition of HSP90β expression (Fig. 4A). Subsequent sequencing data revealed that the 21-nt siRNA (GGAUGACA GCGGUAAGGAUtt) was complementary to the human HSP90β mRNA 1012-1030 nt (GGATGACAGTGTTA GGATAA), which is consistent with a previous report (31).

The MS results (Table I) suggested that novel proteins at scores over 30) based on a monkey protein database (genus *Macaca*). Due to high genetic homogeneity between monkeys and humans, the human protein database (*Homo sapiens*) was quested, and the results revealed that the proteins were HSP90β, an unnamed protein, the three-dimensional structure of glutathione-s-transferase, and aconitase 2 precursor. Possessing the highest score of 60.3 among the four candidates, HSP90β is an entire, functional protein and was then selected for further investigation, though it is generally considered to be a cytoplasmic protein. Considering the inefficient separation of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic fractions during the cell membrane protein extraction procedures, it was not surprising to discover that a few hydrophilic proteins may be present in the hydrophobic fraction. However, the hydrophobic proteins remained dominant in this fraction. This finding is similar to our previous results when using BHK-21 membrane proteins (36).

HSPs are a class of chaperone proteins that assist other proteins in folding properly, stabilise proteins against heat stress, aid in protein degradation and stabilise many proteins required for tumour growth. They are the most highly conserved and expressed cellular proteins across all species (37). As their name implies, HSPs protect cells through increased expression of glutathione s-transferase and aconitase 2 precursor. HSP90β is one such heat-related chaperone protein and the ‘90’ indicates that it weighs approximately 90 kDa. It is found in bacteria and all eukaryotes, but is absent in archaea (39), and its cytoplasmic counterpart is essential for cell viability under all conditions in eukaryotes (40).

**Discussion**

The conventional method for identifying virus receptors is a virus overlay protein-binding assay (VOPBA), where virions or virus attachment proteins (VAPs) are applied (20,32). In a VOPBA, cell membrane protein extracts from virus-susceptible cells are first subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, then incubated with virions or VAPs, and, finally, the specific virus-binding protein is indicated by specific enzyme-conjugated mAbs against the virus. However, VOPBA results cannot distinguish the virus-binding protein but only its size (molecular weight) (33,34). For a successful VOPBA experiment, a single polypeptide must exhibit the tentative receptor activity, bind viruses without other membrane components and maintain binding activity in electrophoresis detergents (32). As it failed in a previous trial of VOPBA on BHK-21 cells in our laboratory, we used a Co-IP assay (35) instead to identify the JEV-binding protein with the advantage of a direct virus-membrane protein interaction under conditions that mimic physiological conditions and more natural conformations to enhance the certainty of protein binding. Due to the possible involvement of third party proteins unrelated to JEV binding in the immunoprecipitates, MS was necessarily introduced to probe the proteins in the precipitates and provide clues to the candidate JEV receptor (JEVR) protein(s) for further verification.

During the Co-IP experiments, unsurprisingly, more than one protein precipitated, and the most notable 3 bands (Fig. 1) compared with the controls were subjected to MS analyses. The MS results (Table I) suggested that novel proteins at approximately 95, 43 and 34 kDa are unnamed or hypothetical proteins (scores over 30) based on a monkey protein database (genus *Macaca*). Due to high genetic homogeneity between monkeys and humans, the human protein database (*Homo sapiens*) was quested, and the results revealed that the proteins were HSP90β, an unnamed protein, the three-dimensional structure of glutathione-s-transferase, and aconitase 2 precursor. Possessing the highest score of 60.3 among the four candidates, HSP90β is an entire, functional protein and was then selected for further investigation, though it is generally considered to be a cytoplasmic protein. Considering the inefficient separation of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic fractions during the cell membrane protein extraction procedures, it was not surprising to discover that a few hydrophilic proteins may be present in the hydrophobic fraction. However, the hydrophobic proteins remained dominant in this fraction. This finding is similar to our previous results when using BHK-21 membrane proteins (36).

HSPs are a class of chaperone proteins that assist other proteins in folding properly, stabilise proteins against heat stress, aid in protein degradation and stabilise many proteins required for tumour growth. They are the most highly conserved and expressed cellular proteins across all species (37). As their name implies, HSPs protect cells through increased expression of glutathione-s-transferase and aconitase 2 precursor. HSP90β is one such heat-related chaperone protein and the ‘90’ indicates that it weighs approximately 90 kDa. It is found in bacteria and all eukaryotes, but is absent in archaea (39), and its cytoplasmic counterpart is essential for cell viability under all conditions in eukaryotes (40).
Mammalian cells feature several HSP90 isoform homologues (such as α1, α2 and β), which are defined by their different coding genes (HSP90AA1, HSP90AA2 and HSP90AB1), subcellular locations (cytosol, endoplasmic reticulum, and mitochondria) (41), or extracellular presence (42). Human HSP90α is inducible, mostly forms anti-parallel homodimers, and is 85% identical to the HSP90β amino acid sequence, which is otherwise described as constitutive and a monomer due to several single amino acid mutations in the C-terminal dimerization domain (43-45).

No accurate HSP90β structure is available, even though it shares significant protein homology with its isoform HSP90α, which performs conservative functions in cells by forming homodimers. The crystal structures indicate that HSP90α contains 3 functional domains: a highly conserved N-terminal domain (NTD) of approximately 25 kDa, a middle domain (MD) of approximately 40 kDa and a C-terminal domain (CTD) of approximately 12 kDa. The NTD exhibits a common ATP binding pocket that is shared among HSP90 chaperone family members and is recognized by its natural inhibitor, geldanamycin, and its analogues (46,47), which target the adenosine triphosphatase (ATPase activity) of the NTD region. The MD is involved in client protein binding involving, for example, PKB/Akt1 and eNOS (48,49). The CTD features an alternative ATP-binding site that is only accessible when the N-terminal ATP-binding pocket is occupied (50). The CTD is also involved in protein binding because it includes the tetra-tricopeptide repeat (TPR) motif recognition site and conserved MEEVD pentapeptide that is responsible for co-factor interactions, including the immunophilins, stress-induced phosphoprotein 1 (Stil/Hop), cyclophilin-40, PPS and Tom70 (51-53).

Over the past few years, researchers have reported that several HSP family members (HSP90, HSP70, and HSC70) are putative receptors to certain flaviviruses (23,24,26). Therefore, several HSP family members (HSP90, HSP70, and HSC70) are putative JEVR, HSP70 and its counterparts do not bind JEV on Neuro2A cells (24). Human HSP90β is inducible, mostly forms anti-parallel homodimers, and is 85% identical to the HSP90β amino acid sequence, which is otherwise described as constitutive and a monomer due to several single amino acid mutations in the C-terminal dimerization domain (43-45).

Using western blot analysis, we only produced positive results under non-reducing and non-boiled conditions, which is in contrast to the routine reducing method (data not shown). The novel band at approximately 95 kDa from the cell membrane protein extracts was reacted solely with either anti-human HSP90β mAb recognizing the amino acid sequences 1-100 that correspond to the human HSP90β N-terminus (see mAb manufacturer's fact sheet; http://www.abcam.com/hsp90-beta-antibody-e296-ab32568.html) or anti-JEV mAb against E protein at the same position around 90 kDa (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that the HSP90β-JEV complex formed as it was recognised by two different mAbs against the two respective complex components. In addition, the non-reducing and non-boiled conditions imply that the JEV binding activity of HSP90β may depend on the proper conformation of its monomers, which is sensitive to the detergents used in electrophoresis. This conformational requirement may partially explain why the VOPBA produced good results for the similar single peptide chain HSP70 (24,54), but did not demonstrate why HSP90β, which is broadly expressed in mouse brain cells (55), did not bind JEV on Neuro2A cells (24).

For mouse microglial (BV-2) cells, HSP family members, including HSP70, HSP90 and GRP78, are also involved in JEV entry, but play a minor role in virus internalization, which is in contrast to CD4 with a major entry role (25). Furthermore, the confocal laser scanning microscopic examination demonstrated that HSP90β and JEV co-localised along the Vero cell surface (Fig. 2B), though HSP90β is generally defined as a cytosolic protein.

When HSP90β-JEV binding was confirmed, an infection inhibition test was conducted using IFA and FCM with a rabbit anti-human HSP90β mAb at various concentrations. In the IFA experiment, the HSP90β-JEV binding clearly decreased with the increasing anti-HSP90β mAb concentrations, and the binding percentages quantified by FCM also exhibited a significant decrease (P<0.01) from 76.17±0.69% (0 µg/ml anti-HSP90β mAb) to 19.15±0.35% (20 µg/ml anti-HSP90β mAb) and further to 10.43±0.53% (100 µg/ml anti-HSP90β mAb) compared with the negative control of 1.70±0.11% (Fig. 3B). These results clearly demonstrate that the specific anti-HSP90β mAb inhibited HSP90β-JEV binding in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, it is reasonable to presume that the JEV-binding domain of HSP90β is located at the N-terminus, which is recognised by the anti-HSP90β mAb.

To elucidate the mechanisms through which HSP90β influences JEV infection in Vero cells, siRNA technology was used to knock down HSP90β expression instead of functional inhibitors, such as geldanamycin, which interferes with HSP90 ATPase activity (46). Several siRNA fragments directed to the human HSP90β mRNA sequence were synthesised and transfected into Vero cells via lentiviral vectors. We only selected one transfected cell line with over 50% steady inhibition of HSP90β expression (Fig. 4A), whose siRNA sequence is identical to an effective one reported earlier that corresponds to human HSP90β nt 1012-1030 (31). The plaque formation assay results indicated that cells with reduced HSP90β expression produced significantly less JEV progeny, particularly at 24 and 36 hpi (5.6- and 6.5-fold less, respectively).

Another report (56), stating that HSP90β is associated JEVR assembly, but not during the adsorption phase, confirms that HSP90β-JEV interaction at the attachment stage is the predominant factor for the decreased virus production.

In addition to interacting with JEV on the cell surface, HSP90β facilitates enterovirus 71 viral particle assembly in the human glioblastoma cell line SF268 (57). The different roles HSP90β plays during the different viral replication stages may be another intriguing field for investigation.

To summarise, we discovered that HSP90β in Vero cell membranes bound JEV on the cell surface, and the HSP90β-JEV binding as well as virus progeny were inhibited by anti-human HSP90β mAb and siRNA. This is in contrast to the aforementioned reports, which indicate that HSP70 family members are putative JEVR. HSP70 and its counterparts do not react to JEV in Vero cells. Furthermore, JEV seemed to prefer binding larger HSPs from HSC70 in mosquito cells to HSP90 in primate cells. In other words, these high molecular weight HSPs preserve the conservative conformation that distinctly fits JEV, and shifts from HSC70, HSP70 and then to HSP90 over millions years of evolution. To better support this presumption, more information pertaining JEVR binding molecules on human susceptible cells is necessarily needed.
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