Plantago asiatica mosaic virus: An emerging plant virus causing necrosis in lilies and a new model RNA virus for molecular research
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Abstract

Taxonomy: Plantago asiatica mosaic virus belongs to the genus Potexvirus in the family Alphaflexiviridae of the order Tymovirales.

Virion and genome properties: Plantago asiatica mosaic virus (PlAMV) has flexuous virions of approximately 490–530 nm in length and 10–15 nm in width. The genome of PlAMV consists of a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA of approximately 6.13 kb. It contains five open reading frames (ORFs 1–5), encoding a putative viral polymerase (RdRp), movement proteins (triple gene block proteins, TGBp1-3), and coat protein (CP), respectively.

Host range: PlAMV has an exceptionally wide host range and has been isolated from various wild plants, including Plantago asiatica, Nandina domestica, Rehmannia glutinosa, and other weed plants. Experimentally PlAMV can infect many plant species including Nicotiana benthamiana and Arabidopsis thaliana. It also infects ornamental lilies and frequently causes severe necrotic symptoms. However, host range varies depending on isolates, which show significant biological diversity within the species.

Genome diversity: PlAMV can be separated into five clades based on phylogenetic analyses; nucleotide identities are significantly low between isolates in the different clades.

Transmission: PlAMV is not reported to be transmitted by biological vectors. Virions of PlAMV are quite stable and it can be transmitted efficiently by mechanical contact.

Disease symptoms: PlAMV causes red-rusted systemic necrosis in ornamental lilies, but it shows much weaker, if any, symptoms in wild plants such as P. asiatica.

Control: Control of the disease caused by PlAMV is based mainly on rapid diagnosis and elimination of the infected bulbs or plants.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Plantago asiatica mosaic virus (PIAMV), genus Potexvirus, is an emerging virus originally described in 1976 from the weedy plant Plantago asiatica in the Russian Far East (Kostin & Volkov, 1976) and the cultivated plant Nandina domestica in California (Moreno et al., 1976; Zettler et al., 1980). For almost three decades no other natural hosts were known until infections of edible lilies were reported in Japan and subsequently in commercially produced ornamental lilies in the Netherlands. Since then, PIAMV infection has become widespread through the commercial lily trade, and additional natural hosts have been reported from various countries.

Over the last two decades there has been significant work on molecular and biological characterization of PIAMV. Here we summarize current knowledge regarding PIAMV, including its natural and experimental host range, strain differentiation, host interactions, and utility as a plant viral vector to examine virus-host interactions.

2 | HOST RANGE, TRANSMISSION, AND SYMPTOMS

2.1 | Natural host range

PIAMV was first reported from *P. asiatica*, a perennial herbaceous species endemic to north-eastern Asia, by Kostin and Volkov (1976). *P. asiatica* readily establishes in disturbed soils and can be a weed in fields and gardens. For the next 25 or more years, *P. asiatica* was the only known natural host. However, a potexvirus was reported to infect cultivated plants of the ornamental shrub *N. domestica* (heavenly bamboo) in California, USA (Moreno et al., 1976), and later was named Nandina mosaic virus (Zettler et al., 1980). Finally, it was classified as an isolate of PIAMV when its complete genome sequence was determined (Hughes et al., 2005).

Additional natural hosts began to emerge in the early 2000s. Reports of PIAMV infection in edible lilies (*Lilium leichtlinii var. maximowiczii*) in Japan (Komatsu et al., 2008; Ozeki et al., 2006; Sasaki, 2008) and *Primula sieboldii* (Komatsu et al., 2008) were followed later by the emergence of PIAMV in the commercial lily trade. This was reported first in the Netherlands (EPPO, 2011) and in Chile in 2013 (Vidal et al., 2016). However, soon after its first known occurrence in commercial lily hybrids, PIAMV was detected in commercial lily stocks in many other countries in Europe and around the world (Anderson et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2013; Hammond et al., 2015; Harju et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017; Montero-Astúa et al., 2017; Pájtil et al., 2015; Parrarella et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017). Interestingly, the isolates from commercial lily products (“European-like” isolates) have extremely closely related sequences, quite distinct from those obtained from edible lilies in Japan (Hammond & Reinsel, 2018; Komatsu et al., 2017; Ozeki et al., 2006).

Further isolates were found in other plant species and countries, including *P. asiatica* in Korea (Lim et al., 2016), *N. domestica* and *Viola grypoceras* in Japan (Komatsu et al., 2017), *Rehmannia glutinosa* in Korea (Kwak et al., 2018) and Japan (Uehara-Ichiki et al., 2018), *Achyranthes bidentata* and *Stellaria* sp. in Japan (authors’ unpublished data), and *Stellaria media*, *Primula vulgaris*, and *Urtica urens* in the Netherlands (De Kock, Kok et al., 2013). Nucleotide sequences, but as yet no published reports, indicate infections of *Digitalis purpurea* (LC667833) and *Pelargonium inquinans* (LC667834) in Korea, and *Epimedium* sp. (MZ344590) in Canada. The natural hosts are shown in bold in Table 1.

2.2 | Experimental host range

When PIAMV was first discovered in *P. asiatica*, a partial experimental host range was determined, including 24 species from 12 plant families (Kostin & Volkov, 1976; Table 1). However, it remained unknown whether other isolates have a similar host range or not. Recently, the experimental host range of a lily isolate, one of the “European-type” PIAMV isolates in commercial lilies, was determined (Hammond & Rane, 2022), which identified an additional 20 species representing 12 taxonomically diverse plant families not previously reported (Kostin & Volkov, 1976; Zettler et al., 1980; see Table 1). Another recent study showed that PIAMV isolates in distinct phylogenetic clades show differential infectivity to several experimental hosts. Among five isolates tested, only two isolates each can systemically infect *Arabidopsis thaliana* or *P. asiatica* (authors’ unpublished data). Several experimental hosts listed in Table 1 as either locally or systemically susceptible to a lily PIAMV isolate were reported as not susceptible to a nandina isolate (Zettler et al., 1980). Collectively, these findings suggest that PIAMV has a wide host range but that different isolates vary in their ability to infect some hosts.

2.3 | Transmission

No biotic vector of PIAMV is known. As with other potexviruses, PIAMV is readily transmitted by mechanical inoculation with sap extracts (Conijn, 2014; De Kock, 2013), but also spreads rapidly between infected and previously healthy lilies planted in a common container by uptake and probably exudation through the roots, and is remarkably stable in contaminated planting media (Conijn, 2014; De Kock, 2013). PIAMV is also transmitted between lilies during bulb washing and packing, which may be the major route of infection in commercial lilies (Chastagner et al., 2017; De Kock, Kok et al., 2013; De Kock, Slootweg et al., 2013). Fields in which PIAMV-infected lilies were previously grown can retain viable virus, able to infect up to 8% of lily stocks previously thought to be free from PIAMV (De Kock, Slootweg et al., 2013). PIAMV can also systemically infect lily plants by mechanical inoculation or sap injection into stems (Tanaka et al., 2019).

2.4 | Symptoms

The natural hosts of PIAMV vary in the degree of symptom expression. *P. asiatica* symptoms are mottling, mottled chlorosis (e.g., Lim et al., 2016; authors’ unpublished data; Figure 1a), or inconspicuous. Similarly, other PIAMV-infected species may show minimal symptoms under some environmental or nutritional conditions. Symptoms on lily cultivars may vary widely, with some showing only mild foliar mottling while others show...
| Family               | Species                                | Common name                        | PIAMV local | PIAMV upper | Reference                          |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Plantaginaceae      | *Plantago asiatica*                    | Ribwort plantain                   | +           | +           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Plantago lanceolata*                  |                                   | +           | (+)         | Hammond and Rane (2022), Kostin and Volkov (1976) |
|                     | *Antirrhinum majus*                    | Snapdragons                        | +           | -           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
| Berberidaceae       | *Nandina domestica*                    | Heavenly bamboo, nandina           | +           | +           | Moreno et al. (1976)              |
| Liliaceae           | *Lilium leichtlinii var. maximowiczii* | Edible Asiatic lily                | +           | +           | Ozeki et al. (2006)               |
|                     | *Lilium hybrids*                       | Ornamental lilies (Asiatic, Oriental, and tiger lilies) | +           | +           | Anonymous (2010), Hammond (2018) |
|                     | *Plantago asiatica*                    | Ribwort plantain                   | +           | +           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Plantago lanceolata*                  | Snapdragons                        | +           | (+)         | Hammond and Rane (2022), Kostin and Volkov (1976) |
|                     | *Antirrhinum majus*                    | Snapdragons                        | +           | -           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Nandina domestica*                    | Heavenly bamboo, nandina           | +           | +           | Moreno et al. (1976)              |
| Primulaceae         | *Primula sieboldii*                   | Siebold primrose                   | +           | +           | Komatsu et al. (2008)             |
|                     | *Primula acaulis*                      | Primrose                           | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane 2022()           |
| Urticaceae          | *Urtica urens*                         | Annual nettle                      | +           | +           | Hammond (2018)                    |
| Orobanchaceae       | *Rehmannia glutinosa*                 | Chinese foxglove                    | +           | +           | Kwak et al. (2018)                |
| Caryophyllaceae     | *Stellaria media*                      | Common chickweed                   | +           | +           | Hammond (2018)                    |
|                     | *Dianthus superbus*                    | Large pink                         | +           | +           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
| Violaceae           | *Viola grypoceras*                     | Cyclamen-leaved violet             | +           | +           | Komatsu et al. (2017)             |
|                     | *Viola wittrockiana*                   | Pansy                              | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane 2022()           |
| Amaranthaceae       | *Achyranthes bidentate var. fauriei*  | Ox knee                            | +           | +           | Hammond (2018)                    |
|                     | *Amaranthus albus*                     | Pigweed amaranth                   | +           | -           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Amaranthus paniculatus*               | Red amaranth                       | +           | -           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Amaranthis retroflexus*               | Redroot amaranth                   | +           | +           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Atriplex hortensis*                   | Garden orache                      | +           | -           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Celosia spicata*                      | Wheat celosia                      | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Gomphrena globosa*                    | Annual globe amaranth              | +           | -           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Gomphrena haageana*                   | Perennial globe amaranth           | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
| Solanaceae          | *Nicotiana benthamiana*                |                                   | +           | +           | Ozeki et al. (2006)               |
|                     | *Nicotiana edwardsii*                  |                                   | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Nicotiana. megalosiphon*              |                                   | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Nicotiana occidentalis*               |                                   | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Physalis alkekengi var. franchetii*  | Chinese lantern                    | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Salpiglossis* (hybrid)                | Stained glass flower               | (+)         | -           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Solanum lycopersicum*                 | Tomato                             | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
| Asteraceae          | *Centaurea cyanus*                     | Bachelor’s button                  | +           | -           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Echinacea purpurea*                   | Purple coneflower                 | (-)         | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Tagetes patula*                       | Mexican marigold                   | +           | -           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Zinnia elegans*                       | Zinia                              | +           | -           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Emilia coccinea* (syn. E. sagittata)  | Scarlet tasselflower               | +           | +           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
|                     | *Xanthium strumarium* (syn. X. sibiricum) | Rough cocklebur                        | +           | +           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |
| Lamiaceae           | *Monarda hybrida*                      | Lambada bee balm                   | +           | +           | Hammond and Rane (2022)           |
|                     | *Ocimum basilicum*                     | Basil                              | +           | +           | Kostin and Volkov (1976)           |

(Continues)
necrotic spotting or streaking on both foliage and sepals (Figure 1b), and in some cases also on the flowers. As lilies are commonly also infected with cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), lily symptomless virus (LSV), and/or lily motte virus (LMoV), it is not clear whether the variability in symptoms is more dependent on cultivar, the environment, or interactions with other viruses. However, symptom severity is enhanced by significant temperature fluctuations (ibIbul, 2016), and mixed infections with LSV and LMoV can result in a much more severe symptoms, including significant stunting (Chastagner et al., 2017). Symptoms in PIAMV-infected lilies may also be mistaken for severe nutrient deficiency or chemical phytotoxicity (Chastagner et al., 2017).

Small differences in the PIAMV genome can affect symptoms in model plants. Six distinct isolates (Li1–Li6) were obtained from a single infected L. leichtlinii var. maximoviczii plant following multiple single local lesion transfers in Tetragonia expansa (Komatsu et al., 2008). Isolates Li1 and Li6 differed significantly in symptom production in Nicotiana benthamiana, with Li1 inducing necrotic local lesions, leading to systemic necrosis; in contrast Li6 caused no lesions in either inoculated or systemically infected leaves (Ozeki et al., 2006). Infectious clones of Li1 and Li6 had no appreciable differences in the speed of virus systemic movement; however, Li1 RNA accumulation exceeded that of Li6 (Ozeki et al., 2006). Exchange of a single amino acid residue in the replicase differing between Li1 and Li6 approximately equalized RNA concentrations of the mutants, but caused a reversal of symptom type, indicating that symptom type is not correlated with replication levels (Ozeki et al., 2006).

Two PIAMV cultures were isolated in the United States from different lily cultivars and maintained by serial passage in N. benthamiana without single lesion passaging. Each showed varying symptoms within and between single plants of N. benthamiana, typically chlorotic to necrotic local lesions, followed by systemic mottle or mosaic. Some leaves with mosaic developed necrotic patches, often spreading down the veins into the petiole and the main stem; other upper leaves developed narrow areas of white tissue surrounding areas of mosaic (authors' unpublished data). This suggests the presence of a mixture of sequence variants that compete for predominance within the same plant, similar to the occurrence of Li1–Li6 in L. leichtlinii or variations of Alternanthera mosaic virus (AAMV) in Phlox stolonifera (Lim et al., 2010).

Naturally infected V. grypoceras showed obvious mosaic symptoms (Komatsu et al., 2017). N. domestica from Japan showed primarily leaf narrowing (Figure 1c; Komatsu et al., 2017), while that found in the United States showed the systemic mosaic without leaf distortion on the first or second leaves produced after inoculation, and intermittently on subsequently developing nandina leaves (Zettler et al., 1980). PIAMV infecting R. glutinosa from both Korea and Japan was always found in combination with other viruses (Kwak et al., 2018; Uehara-Ichiki et al., 2018). Because PIAMV inoculated to virus-free R. glutinosa...
yielded no clear symptoms, mosaic, veinal necrosis, and chlorotic or necrotic local spots reported in naturally infected plants were caused by coinfection with another virus (Figure 1d; T. Uehara-Ichiki, National Agriculture and Food Organization [NARO], Ibaraki, Japan, personal communication). Naturally infected Achyranthes bidentata and Stellaria sp. found in Japan showed only mild mosaic, barely distinguishable from asymptomatic plants (authors' unpublished data).

Some experimental hosts were systemically infected latently, whereas others were infected locally but not systemically, either with or without any obvious symptoms. Several Nicotiana species, notably N. benthamiana, N. edwardsonii, N. megalosiphon, and N. occidentalis, developed clear systemic mosaic that frequently became necrotic in a significant proportion of the leaves, with symptom severity varying both within and between individual plants (Figure 1e). Plantago lanceolata became systemically infected without obvious symptoms, but in contrast to other systemic hosts, including Monarda hybrida and Celosia spicata, the level of virus significantly declined over time (Hammond & Rane, 2022; authors’ unpublished data).

3 | TAXONOMY AND GENOME DIVERSITY OF ISOLATES

3.1 | Taxonomy of the species

Plantago asiatica mosaic virus is a member of the genus Potexivirus in the family Alphaflexiviridae, in the order Tymovirales. The flexuous PIAMV virions are approximately 490–530 nm long and 10–15 nm wide (Figure 1f). Its genome contains five open reading frames (ORFs), characteristic of potexviruses. Phylogenetic analysis based on the amino acid sequence of its replicase revealed that PIAMV is related to other potexviruses, including tulip virus X (TVX), hosta virus X, and hydrangea ringspot virus. TVX, which also infects ornamental plants including tulips, lilies, and lemon balm, is the most closely related (Tzanetakis et al., 2005; Yamaji et al., 2001). The nucleotide identity of the whole genome between PIAMV and TVX is almost 70%, below the demarcation criteria for distinct potexvirus species (72%), but it is one of the highest identities between different species of the genus (Komatsu et al., 2008; Yamaji et al., 2001). TVX has recently been detected from ornamental lily cultivars from which PIAMV has been repeatedly detected (Jo & Cho, 2018). Although there are no reports of intermediate virus isolates of these closely related species, PIAMV and TVX may be considered as a phylogenetically related group of monocot-infecting potexviruses.

3.2 | Genome diversity of PIAMV isolates

Phylogenetic analysis showed that PIAMV isolates affecting ornamental lilies worldwide ("European" isolates) are highly genetically homogenous, suggesting a common origin of these isolates (Hammond & Reinsel, 2018). However, PIAMV isolates, in general, have genomic diversity within the species. As stated above, PIAMV has been isolated from a variety of weed plants, including P. asiatica (Komatsu et al., 2008, 2017; Kostin & Volkov, 1976; Lim et al., 2016;
Solovyev et al., 1994), N. domestica (Hughes et al., 2005; Komatsu et al., 2017), and R. glutinosa (Kwak et al., 2018; Uehara-Ichiki et al., 2018). These PlAMV isolates from plants other than ornamental lilies share less than 85% nucleotide identities with lily-infecting European isolates (Hammond & Reinsel, 2018; Komatsu et al., 2017), therefore the ancestral host plant from which lily-infecting isolates were derived is still unclear. Our phylogenetic analysis based on the full-length genomic sequences of PlAMV isolates showed that they were divided into five distinct clades according to their geographical origins and host plants (authors’ unpublished data). Nucleotide identities between PlAMV isolates belonging to different clades are less than 85%. Sequence variability is dispersed throughout the genome, while several insertions/deletions of amino acids were concentrated within the linker region between methyltransferase and helicase domains of the replicase (Komatsu et al., 2017). Recent study has also revealed several positively selected amino acid residues in PlAMV-encoded proteins, including this linker region (authors’ unpublished data). Further studies are needed to identify specific amino acids contributing to intraspecies diversification and adaptation to ornamental lilies, and to understand the evolutionary history of PlAMV leading to genetic diversification within the species.

**4 | GENOME ORGANIZATION AND PROTEINS**

**4.1 | Genome organization and gene expression**

Similar to other potexviruses, the genome of PlAMV has five ORFs (Figure 2a). The first ORF encodes a replicase required for virus replication, the second to fourth ORFs encode the triple-gene-block proteins (TGBps) required for cell-to-cell movement, and the last ORF encodes the coat protein (CP). A distinguishing feature of the PlAMV genome is the overlapping of ORF4 with ORF5, also found in other potexviruses including TVX. There are 5′- and 3′-untranslated regions (UTRs) upstream of ORF1 and downstream of ORF5, respectively. The length and sequence of the 5′- UTRs are well conserved within PlAMV isolates from different hosts, suggesting a critical role in the virus life cycle. There are several indels in the 3′- UTRs among PlAMV isolates. As reported for the Potexvirus type species Potato virus X (PVX), stem-loop structures were identified in both UTRs by RNA folding predictions, which may be important for replication. Indeed, a PlAMV “replicon”, which consists of only the replicase ORF flanked by 5′- and 3′-UTRs, can produce minus-strand genomic RNA (Komatsu et al., 2011). This indicates that both UTRs have essential
cis-elements required for interaction with the replicase, as is the case with PVX (Komarova et al., 2006; Kwon et al., 2005; Kwon & Kim, 2006; Park et al., 2013).

Mechanisms of gene expression of these five ORFs are basically similar to those reported in PVX (Verchot, 2021). Replicase is translated directly from genomic RNA (Yoshida et al., 2019), while other proteins are translated from three subgenomic RNAs (sgRNAs). However, recent study revealed that only two sgRNAs are detected from PIAMV-infected plants, sgRNA1 (about 1.9 kb in length) and sgRNA2 (about 0.8 kb), and movement proteins TGBp1-TGBp3 are mainly translated from a single sgRNA1, which encodes TGBp1 as the 5′-terminal ORF, by leaky scanning (Fujimoto et al., 2022; Figure 2a). This leaky scanning is promoted through a short 5′-UTR of sgRNA1 and the Kozak sequence around its initiation codon (Fujimoto et al., 2022). Similar to other potexviruses, CP encoded in the most 3′-terminal ORF5 is likely to be translated from sgRNA2.

Based on this genome organization and gene expression strategy, a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing vector of PIAMV, widely used as a model virus infecting Arabidopsis, was constructed by fusion of GFP with CP through foot-and-mouth disease virus 2A (FMDV-2A) peptide (Minato et al., 2014). On infection with PIAMV-GFP, GFP is expressed from sgRNA2 as a fusion protein (Figure 2b). An sgRNA duplication strategy, used for the development of other potexvirus vectors (Abrahamian et al., 2021), is difficult to apply to PIAMV due to its overlapping ORF4 and ORF5 (Minato et al., 2014).

### 4.2 Function of the encoded proteins

ORF1 encodes a replicase, which contains three conserved domains: a methyltransferase, a helicase, and an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Figure 2a). Replicase has been shown to be the only protein involved in replication of PIAMV by agrobacterium-mediated transient expression (agroinfiltration; Komatsu et al., 2011). As for other plant RNA viruses such as tomato mosaic virus, red clover necrotic mosaic virus, and tomato bushy stunt virus (Gursinsky et al., 2009; Iwakawa et al., 2007; Komoda et al., 2004), translation and replication of PIAMV was recapitulated by utilizing a cell-free extract of evacuolated BY-2 protoplasts (Yoshida et al., 2019). In this in vitro system, PIAMV replicase forms a high-molecular-weight complex, called the pre-membrane-targeting complex (PMTC), in soluble fractions. The PMTC is probably subsequently targeted to cellular membranes, possibly the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), to form a mature virus replication complex (VRC). Recently, membrane targeting was shown to be mediated by an amphipathic α-helix located downstream from the methyltransferase domain (Figure 2a; Komatsu et al., 2021). GFP fusion to the methyltransferase domain forms a large perinuclear complex, possibly representing the VRC of PIAMV, which was disrupted by mutations in the conserved hydrophobic amino acids of this α-helix. Interestingly, mutation of a proline residue of this membrane-associated helix, which is strictly conserved in potexviruses and forms a kink in the helix, hinders virus replication but does not affect the formation of the large complex (Komatsu et al., 2021). This finding implicates the proline residue in the interaction of the amphipathic α-helix with host factors required for the activation of the VRC. Replicase is also involved in the induction of programmed cell death (PCD) responses, as shown in Section 5.1.

ORF2, ORF3, and ORF4 encode TGBps required for cell-to-cell movement. Indeed, disruption of each of these TGBps by mutation of their initiation codon inhibits cell-to-cell movement of PIAMV (Ozeki et al., 2009; Yoshida et al., 2019). Moreover, as shown below in Section 5.2, TGBp1 functions as a viral suppressor of RNA silencing (VSR) by interfering with the amplification step of RNA silencing (Okano et al., 2014; Senshu et al., 2009). Although it remains unknown whether the VSR activity of TGBp1 is required for the cell-to-cell movement of PIAMV, as shown in PVX (Bayne et al., 2005), the stronger VSR activity of PIAMV compared with that of PVX may contribute to the greater stability of the PIAMV vector expressing a foreign gene (Minato et al., 2014). In contrast, mutation of the TGBp1 of AltMV significantly reduced VSR activity, making this virus a more efficient virus-induced gene silencing vector (Lim et al., 2010).

ORF5 encodes the only structural protein, the CP. As with other potexviruses, the CP of PIAMV is involved in cell-to-cell movement (Ozeki et al., 2009). Mutational analyses combined with trans-complementation have revealed that the N-terminal 14 amino acids of PIAMV CP are dispensable for virion formation, but important for viral cell-to-cell movement. PIAMV CP interacts with TGBp1, but this interaction is not sufficient to confer cell-to-cell movement (Ozeki et al., 2009).

### 5 Host defence responses against PIAMV

#### 5.1 Systemic necrosis

In general, defence responses against plant viruses consist of those mediated by NLR (nucleotide-binding and leucine-rich repeat) proteins and RNA silencing (Moon & Park, 2016). To date, there have been no reports of an NLR gene that can completely inhibit infection of PIAMV. However, systemic necrosis, also called systemic hypersensitive response (SHR), caused by PIAMV has been well studied using N. benthamiana as a model plant.

Symptoms of PIAMV isolates Li1 and Li6 on N. benthamiana are strikingly different: Li1 causes systemic necrosis, while Li6 induces asymptomatic systemic infection (Ozeki et al., 2006). Li1-induced necrosis does not prevent systemic infection of the virus, but exhibits defence-related gene expression and PCD that were not observed in Li6-infected plants (Komatsu et al., 2010). Gene knockdown analysis by tobacco rattle virus-induced gene silencing revealed that systemic necrosis requires NbSGT1, NbRAR1, and NbMAPKKKA, a set of genes known to be involved in NLR-mediated disease resistance against plant pathogens. NbMAPKKKβ and NbMAPKKKγ also...
function as positive regulators of PIAMV-induced PCD (Hashimoto et al., 2012). These findings indicate that systemic necrosis is associated with defence responses against PIAMV, suggesting continuity between NLR-mediated hypersensitive responses in incompatible plants and systemic necrosis in susceptible plants (Seo et al., 2006).

Inoculation of chimeric viruses between Li1 and Li6 showed that the systemic necrosis was determined by cysteine at amino acid residue 1154 of Li1 replicase (Ozeki et al., 2006). However, agroinfiltration studies revealed that the elicitor activity of PIAMV replicase resides in its helicase domain (HEL), not its RNA-dependent RNA polymerase domain (POL) that contains the amino acid residue 1154 (Komatsu et al., 2011). Notably, the necrosis-eliciting activity of HEL was also observed in Li6, and inducible-expression analysis demonstrated that the necrosis was induced in a replicase dose-dependent manner. The difference in symptoms between Li1 and Li6 may be attributed to the accumulation level of a non-isolate-specific elicitor HEL, with expression indirectly regulated by amino acid 1154 that controls replication (Komatsu et al., 2011).

The expression of necrotic symptoms induced by PIAMV may be affected by other viral-encoded proteins because they can affect the accumulation level of replicase. Indeed, the PIAMV-Li1 expressing GFP (Figure 2b) does not cause systemic necrosis in N. benthamiana (Minato et al., 2014). Reduced viral replication due to the GFP expression probably decreases the expression level of an elicitor HEL. Expression of necrotic symptoms can differ depending on environmental conditions, including temperature, as shown in inoculation tests to ornamental lilies (Tanaka et al., 2019).

5.2 | RNA silencing

Plant viruses encode VSRs that inhibit various steps of host antiviral RNA silencing (Csorba et al., 2015). The first identified VSR of potexviruses was TGBp1 of PVX, which interferes with spread of the RNA silencing signal (Voinnet et al., 2000). TGBp1s of several potexviruses were shown to possess varying degrees of VSR activity, among which that of PIAMV isolate Li1 was relatively strong (Senshu et al., 2009). Functional analyses using TGBp1 transgenic lines of A. thaliana plants and transient expression in N. benthamiana revealed that PIAMV TGBp1 interacts with RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 6 (RDR6) and Suppressor of Gene Silencing 3 (SGS3), host antiviral factors required for the trans-acting small interfering RNA synthesis pathway (Okano et al., 2014). The RDR6–SGS3 complex amplifies RNA silencing through generation of secondary small interfering RNAs and functions to repress infections of several plant viruses (Csorba et al., 2015; Yoshikawa et al., 2013). PIAMV probably counteracts host antiviral RNA silencing by suppressing the RDR6–SGS3 amplification steps, although the exact roles of the RDR6–SGS3 complex in PIAMV infection and its subcellular localization remain elusive. A previous study showed that TGBp1 of a nandina isolate of PIAMV localized to the nucleus and that leucine residues at amino acids 86 and 89 are essential for nucleolar localization and efficiency of VSR activity (Lim et al., 2010). Further work is needed to reveal the relationship between subcellular localization of TGBp1 and its interaction with the RDR6–SGS3 complex.

The molecular mechanisms underlying the stronger VSR activity of PIAMV TGBp1 compared with other potexviruses are poorly understood. Studies using PVX and its nonhost A. thaliana have demonstrated that DICER-like proteins DCL2, DCL3, and DCL4, as well as ARGONAUTE proteins AGO2 and AGO5, restrict systemic infection of PVX (Brosseau & Moffett, 2015; Jaubert et al., 2011). Another study showed that susceptibility of A. thaliana to PVX varies depending on the natural variation of AGO2 (Brosseau et al., 2020). These findings suggest that TGBp1 of PIAMV, which can effectively infect A. thaliana, also inhibits activities of these DCLs and AGOs in addition to the RDR6–SGS3 complex.

In addition to a dcl2/dcl4 Arabidopsis mutant that is more susceptible to multiple plant viruses, an ago4 mutant was more susceptible to PIAMV infection, which suggests that DCL2/DCL4 and AGO4 restrict PIAMV infection (Brosseau et al., 2016). Functional analyses using transient expression assays demonstrated that cytosolic AGO4 is involved in this restriction (Brosseau et al., 2016). Experiments on the additional target(s) of PIAMV TGBp1, and comparison of VSR activity between PIAMV and PVX are needed to reveal the role of TGBp1 in successful viral infection.

6 | RESISTANCE GENES EFFECTIVE AGAINST PIAMV

No cultivars of ornamental lilies, in which PIAMV causes severe economic losses, have yet been identified that are completely PIAMV-resistant, although symptom expression and viral infectivity depend on the cultivars (Tanaka et al., 2019). In contrast, laboratory experiments that use PIAMV-based GFP-expression vector and the model plant species A. thaliana have identified several host genes that confer resistance against, or effectively suppress, PIAMV infection (Minato et al., 2014). These include dominant and recessive resistance genes as well as other defence-related genes.

6.1 | Dominant resistance genes

Jacalin-type lectin required for potexvirus resistance 1 (JAX1) is a dominant resistance factor that restricts PIAMV at the single-cell level (Yamaji et al., 2012). JAX1 is a noncanonical lectin-type resistance protein, not a conventional NLR. An active JAX1 was found from five of 45 ecotypes of A. thaliana, including Bay-0, by screening using PIAMV-GFP. In PIAMV-susceptible ecotype Col-0, a premature termination codon in the first exon of the JAX1 gene generates a truncated 36 amino acid protein instead of the full-length 157 amino acid protein. A β-glucuronidase (GUS)-promoter assay showed JAX1 to be highly expressed in the vascular tissue, completely inhibiting systemic infection of potexviruses. This vascular-specific expression and complete inhibition of systemic viral infection resembles that of the jacalin-type lectin gene RTM1 of A. thaliana, which confers resistance against tobacco.
etch virus, but the spectrum of resistance of JAX1 and RTM1 was different; JAX1 confers resistance against potexviruses in general, while RTM1 is effective against potyviruses (Chisholm et al., 2001; Yamaji et al., 2012). An in vitro replication assay based on evacuated BY-2 protoplast extracts revealed that JAX1, but not RTM1, restricts replication of potexviruses by targeting the massive protein complexes required for viral replication (Yoshida et al., 2019). This targeting is mediated via interaction with the viral replicase, and a single amino acid substitution, Q336H, allows PVX infection in JAX1-expressing plants (Sugawara et al., 2013). However, the same mutation in PIAVM severely decreases infectivity in plants either with or without JAX1, suggesting that JAX1-mediated resistance does not easily produce resistance-breaking viral variants (authors’ unpublished data). Jacalin-related lectin genes are widely found in plants and many are involved in disease resistance (Esch & Schaffrath, 2017). However, it remains to be determined whether the antiviral functions of jacalin-related lectins, including JAX1 and RTM1, are conserved in other plants.

6.2 | Recessive resistance genes

In addition to the dominant resistance genes, genetic screening of ethyl methyl sulphonate-mutagenized Arabidopsis lines with PIAVM-GFP revealed recessive resistance genes that encode a plant factor required for successful virus infection (Hashimoto, Neriya, Yamaji, et al., 2016). EXA1 (essential for potexvirus accumulation 1) is the first identified recessive resistance gene against PIAVM infection and inhibits replication at the single-cell level (Hashimoto, Neriya, Keima, et al., 2016). EXA1 contains a GYF domain and an eIF4E-binding motif. As the translation initiation factor eIF4E is the best-known recessive resistance gene against plant viruses, EXA1 may form a translation initiation complex with eIF4E and possibly exerts its function through regulation of translation of PIAVM replicase or of a host factor required for PIAVM replication (Hashimoto, Neriya, Keima, et al., 2016). EXA1 orthologs are found in a wide range of plant species, including tomato, rice, and N. benthamiana, and knockdown of EXA1 orthologs in tomato and N. benthamiana significantly reduced the accumulation of potexviruses and the related lollavirus. This restriction of viral infection is cancelled by complementation with the rice EXA1 gene, indicating that the proviral function of EXA1 is conserved among a wide range of plants (Yusa et al., 2019). However, the effect of EXA1 knockdown in N. benthamiana on virus accumulation differs depending on virus species, suggesting that EXA1 paralogs function redundantly in a virus-specific manner. Interestingly, EXA1 (also referred to as PSIG1) was reported to restrict PCD during bacterial and oomycete infections (Matsui et al., 2017). Localization of PSIG1 (EXA1) to P-bodies supports its role in the suppression of P-body activity, such as translational arrest of viral genomic RNA or nonsense-mediated decay (Mäkinen et al., 2017).

Another recessive resistance gene against PIAVM found from A. thaliana is nCBP1, an isoform of elf4E, known to be the loss-of-susceptibility gene for multiple plant viruses (Hashimoto, Neriya, Yamaji, et al., 2016). nCBP1 is required for infection of plant viruses in the families Alpha- and Betaflexiviridae. Whereas nCBP1 is not required for replication at the single-cell level, it is required for cell-to-cell movement of PIAMV (Keima et al., 2017). Accumulation of both TGBp2 and TGBp3 was decreased in the ncbp mutant, which causes the inhibition of cell-to-cell movement (Keima et al., 2017).

6.3 | Other defence-related genes

Similar to various other RNA viruses, potexviruses require intracellular membranes for replication. Confocal laser scanning microscopy has revealed that the replicase of PVX is associated with ER membranes (Tilsner et al., 2013). Similarly, membrane association is important for replication of PIAVM (Komatsu et al., 2021; Yoshida et al., 2019). Membrane-associated replication can cause elevated membrane stress. Indeed, ER-localized TGBp3 of PVX was shown to induce unfolded protein responses (UPR), enhancing protein-folding capacity at ER, especially of the IRE1/bZIP60 pathway (Gaguanca et al., 2016). As well as the IRE1/bZIP60 pathway, the IRE1-independent bZIP17 pathway functions to restrict early stages of PIAVM infection in Arabidopsis plants, indicating that the two arms of UPR signalling inhibit the accumulation of PIAVM (Gayral et al., 2020). Meanwhile, bZIP60 and bZIP28 induce genes that support PIAVM infection, suggesting that plants have intricate regulatory mechanisms of UPR on virus infection (Herath et al., 2020). Although the mechanisms of the viral restriction conferred by UPR signalling remain elusive, UPR may ensure the induction of defence-related proteins by increasing the protein-folding capacity of ER damaged by viral replication.

Another defence-related gene involved in restricting PIAVM infection is non-expressor of PR proteins 1 (NPR1), a key regulator of defence gene expression in the salicylic acid pathway. A plant immune activator, acibenzolar S-methyl (ASM), restricted PIAVM infection at a single-cell level, which requires NPR1 (Matsuo et al., 2019). In ASM-mediated restriction of PIAVM infection, cell death was not induced and DICER-like proteins DCL2, DCL3, and DCL4, critical factors of RNA silencing, were not required (Matsuo et al., 2019).

7 | DETECTION AND CONTROL STRATEGIES

7.1 | Detection

Specific detection of PIAVM infection is necessary because PIAVM may infect various hosts asymptptomatically (Chastagner et al., 2017) or symptoms may be modified in mixed infections with other viruses (Chastagner et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2019; Kwak et al., 2018; Kwon et al., 2019; Sugiyama et al., 2008; Uehara-Ichiketa et al., 2018). However, although bioassays using suitable hosts, including N. benthamiana, N. edwardsonii, Chenopodium quinoa, Chenopodium amaranticolor, Tetragonia tetragonioides, and Gomphrena globosa, are helpful, they
are time-consuming (Hammond et al., 2015; Ozeki et al., 2006; Zettler et al., 1980). Instead, several specific reagents and methods have been developed for PIAMV detection based on serological and nucleic acid-based techniques.

PIAMV-specific polyclonal antisera have been prepared against the purified virus of either lily or nandina isolates (see Hammond, 2018) or against the bacteriolytically expressed CP of a lily isolate (Chen et al., 2013). These antisera have been used for immunodiffusion tests (Zettler et al., 1980), direct tissue blotting, and indirect, antigen-coated plate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; Chen et al., 2013), double-antibody sandwich ELISA (DAS-ELISA; e.g., Hammond et al., 2015; Parrella et al., 2015), or rapid lateral flow assays (LFAs). Some commercial agricultural diagnostic companies produce ELISA reagent kits and/or LFAs for PIAMV detection. For greatest sensitivity in DAS-ELISA testing of lilies, testing leaves at the time of flowering, using leaves from about three-quarters of the height of the flowering stem is recommended, although it can also be used on roots and bulb-scales of stored bulbs preplanting. Notably, both ELISA and LFAs have been found to detect a wide variety of PIAMV isolates from different phylogenetic clades.

Multiple groups have reported reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) detection of PIAMV, using either generic potexvirus primers (van der Vlugt & Berendsen, 2002) followed by sequencing or various PIAMV-specific primers, mainly derived from the replicase- or the CP-encoding regions (e.g., Chen et al., 2013; Hammond et al., 2015). RT-PCR can detect PIAMV in some samples not detected by DAS-ELISA (Hammond et al., 2015). Kim et al. (2019) incorporated a pair of PIAMV-specific primers with primer sets specific for CMV, LMoV, and LSV to detect these four lily-infecting viruses. Multiplex RT-PCR assays have been developed to detect PIAMV, CMV, LMoV, and LSV in lilies (Xu et al., 2021), and PIAMV and four other viruses in *R. glutinosa* (Kwon et al., 2019). An immunocapture (IC)-RT-PCR assay, applied to detect three lily-infecting viruses, CMV, LMoV, and LSV, is also promising (Zhang et al., 2017), but IC-RT-PCR has not been reported for PIAMV detection.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) has also been used and is more suitable for quantifying PIAMV titre than other assays. Tanaka et al. (2019) developed a SYBR Green-based RT-qPCR assay based on primers from a conserved RdRp region and found that an isolate from Oriental lily (PIAMV-OL) can infect ornamental lilies more efficiently than edible lily isolate L1 (Tanaka et al., 2019). Furthermore, a multiplex TaqMan RT-qPCR system for simultaneous detection of PIAMV, CMV, LSV, LMoV, and shallot yellow stripe virus in lilies has recently been reported (Xu et al., 2021). In this case, primers and probes were designed from conserved regions of the CP genes of each virus, and the probes for each virus were labelled with a different fluorescent dye. The sensitivity of the multiplex reaction was equal to that of each uniplex assay and can be applied for the comprehensive detection of viruses from lily production fields (Xu et al., 2021).

A reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) assay was developed (Komatsu et al., 2015) and shown to detect diverse isolates of PIAMV with a 10-fold increase in sensitivity over conventional RT-PCR, without requiring RNA purification. Pricking the leaf sample with a toothpick, followed by dipping it into the reaction mix, resulted in reliable detection in field samples (Komatsu et al., 2015).

One of the most cost-effective assays for simultaneous detection of PIAMV and other lily-infesting viruses is a macroarray prepared on a nylon filter membrane with probes for each virus (PIAMV, CMV, LMoV, and LSV; Sugiyama et al., 2008), which showed similar or greater sensitivity than ELISA and correctly identified mixed infections.

High-throughput sequencing has been used to identify PIAMV and any associated viruses infecting *P. asiatica* (Lim et al., 2016), lilies (e.g., Jo & Cho, 2018; Xu et al., 2017), and *R. glutinosa* (Uehara-Ichiki et al., 2018), yielding several nearly complete genomes.

### 7.2 Control strategies

Control strategies against PIAMV are largely limited to the generation and selection of plant stocks free of PIAMV infection and avoidance of introduction of PIAMV. As PIAMV has no known biological vector (other than human trade in infected plant materials), pesticide applications are unlikely to control its spread.

Many countries have imposed strict standards for testing lily bulbs for import or export. Meristem tip culture, especially when combined with thermotherapy and/or chemotherapy, can result in recovering virus-free plants. However, the plant material in tissue culture for international distribution or micropropagation should also be subjected to rigorous testing and selection. It is known that tissue culture itself can sometimes result in reduction of virus titre below the sensitivity of normal RT-PCR detection. An initially undetectable virus titre can slowly build up over a number of weeks after acclimatization of tissue-cultured material to the greenhouse, therefore retesting plants after several weeks in the greenhouse is needed to select founder material for a nuclear stock.

PIAMV infection can be transferred to previously healthy lily bulbs during the washing and processing that occurs after bulb harvest (De Kock, Slootweg, et al., 2013). To minimize this possibility the bulb lots of highest quality should be treated before bulb lots known to have a higher prevalence of PIAMV infection; the processing equipment itself should also be decontaminated and the wash water treated to minimize transmission in washing subsequent lots (Chastagner et al., 2017; Conijn, 2014; De Kock, 2013; De Kock, Slootweg, et al., 2013). Frequent decontamination of the tools and equipment used at other stages of production is also recommended.

As PIAMV is highly stable and can also be retained in the soil or plant parts, planting in soil or growing medium in which infected plants have previously been grown should be avoided (Chastagner et al., 2017; De Kock, Slootweg, et al., 2013). Heating of contaminated planting medium for a sufficient time at a high temperature will inactivate PIAMV, with a temperature of 65°C maintained for 10 min recommended for bulb wash water (Conijn, 2014), if that is practical.
Weed control in fields where PIAMV-infected plants are, or have been, grown is also important as a number of weed species have been found to maintain infectivity, as have volunteer plants regrowing after harvest of the crop (Chastagner et al., 2017; De Kock, Slootweg, et al., 2013). Maintaining fields fallow for one planting season may minimize sources of infection for the next crop (De Kock, Slootweg, et al., 2013). Moreover, the milled sphagnum used to pack lily bulbs for shipping has been proven to carry PIAMV (authors’ unpublished data) and should be disposed of with caution to avoid contamination.

The possibility of using plant defence activators to minimize PIAMV infections has been studied by Matsuo et al. (2019) using ASM, a functional analog of salicylic acid, which can inhibit infection of tobacco mosaic virus (Chivasa et al., 1997; Murphy & Carr, 2002). Treating N. benthamiana with ASM prior to inoculation with PIAMV reduced the number of infection foci compared to controls, reflecting inhibition of replication, but did not affect cell-to-cell movement; however, there was a delay in long-distance movement into the uninoculated leaves (Matsuo et al., 2019). Future work to further understand the mechanisms may lead to more effective prevention or minimization of the effects of plant virus infection.

8 | CONCLUSION

The economic losses suffered in the ornamental lily industry and the rapid spread of PIAMV through the international trade in lily bulbs spurred interest in research into this rapidly emerging virus. To date, however, the natural host of origin of the strain in commercial lilies remains unidentified but seems to be derived from a single introduction.

The extent of the work on PIAMV that has resulted from this interest has revealed several features that make PIAMV an attractive model system to complement knowledge obtained from other well-studied viruses in the genus Potexvirus. First, PIAMV has a diverse natural host range, encompassing both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous species, whereas other “model” potexviruses infect either primarily monocots of the Poaceae (bamboo mosaic virus and foxtail mosaic virus) or dicots (AlTMV, papaya mosaic virus, pepino mosaic virus, and PVX). Second, PIAMV shows multiple virus–host interactions involving various virus-encoded proteins and five clades of diverse isolates spanning an array of natural host species. These should allow PIAMV gene exchange to determine the factors affecting host range and symptom severity. Finally, a GFP-labelled infectious clone was successfully developed to examine both similarities and differences of host interactions affecting levels of viral replication, cell-to-cell movement, and long-distance movement in the same plant host.

The features of PIAMV summarized in this review therefore recommend PIAMV as a highly flexible model virus system, with an established knowledge base, suitable for addressing many questions in a wide variety of host plants and permitting commonalities and differences between monocot and dicot host systems to be probed with a single model virus.
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