Abstract

In allergology, skin diagnostic tests are divided into epicutaneous, cutaneous and intracutaneous. The prick test is one of the cutaneous tests used most often for the diagnosis of hypersensitivity to local anesthetics. In the case of hypersensitivity to the examined anesthetic and reaction to the histamine-containing solution (positive control), inflammation appears with an increase in the local temperature. This warming can be detected objectively with a thermal camera and can be used in the diagnostic process. This study was used to examine the capabilities of the infrared thermography application, in the prick test of both men and women, by comparing temperature changes and the intensity of allergic inflammation in the positive and negative controls in addition with a negative reaction to an allergen. A group of 115 patients—55 male (52%) and 60 female (48%), are included. All are examined for hypersensitivity to Mepivastesin, together with the positive and negative control. Skin temperature changes of the skin were examined with FLIR A320 thermal camera and the FLIR Reporter Professional software 2013—application used to process the thermal camera images and data. The statistical analysis shows a marked temperature difference between men and women. Similarities in temperature values are detected between the negative reactions to dental anesthetic and the negative control. However, the latter substantially differs from the data obtained with the positive control. Results of the research indicate the possible applications of the thermography diagnostics in evaluation of the prick test results.
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List of symbols

- $\Delta Ar$ The change in temperature of the skin after performing the test with the allergen
- $Ar_2$ The post-reaction temperature of the skin after performing the test with the allergen
- $Ar_1$ The pre-reaction temperature of the skin after performing the test with the allergen
- $\Delta Neg$ The change in temperature of the skin after performing the test with the negative control
- $Neg_2$ The post-reaction temperature of the skin after performing the test with the negative control
- $Neg_1$ The pre-reaction temperature of the skin after performing the test with the negative control
- $\Delta Pos$ The change in temperature of the skin after performing the test with the positive control
- $Pos_2$ The post-reaction temperature of the skin after performing the test with the positive control
- $Pos_1$ The pre-reaction temperature of the skin after performing the test with the positive control
- $\Delta Ar'$ The change of the temperature due to the allergic reaction

Introduction

Prick test is a cutaneous test, used to evaluate the hypersensitivity of the organism to immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated reactions. It is performed with allergens, a positive and a negative control, on the skin of the forearm. Reactions are assessed after 20 min, and reactions with papules and erythema more than 3 mm in diameter are considered positive. Standardization of skin test procedures and standard panels for different geographic locations are
encouraged worldwide to permit better comparisons for diagnostic, clinical and research purposes [1].

Infrared thermography examination is a noninvasive contactless diagnostic method for human body through which temperature fluctuations can be shown on a display and recorded accordingly. It can be used in oncology, angiology, rheumatology and other fields in medicine [2].

In the field of allergology, it is used in the diagnostics of different pathological conditions [3].

This diagnostic method can also be applied in the diagnosis of other types of allergic reactions when used in conjuction with skin testing. This is due to the fact that allergic inflammatory reaction, like all other types of inflammatory reactions, is manifested with five basic symptoms—tumor, rubor, calor, dolor and functio laesa. These reactions are due to the inflammatory mediators produced by the cells of the immune system. Mast cells and the histamine they release are the main factors in prick test [4, 5]. Therefore, for the positive control, a solution of histamine is used to imitate a positive allergic reaction.

Materials and methods

The test group consists of 115 patients (other 28 patients were not included, because they are contraindicated for the prick test according to the European standards [1])—55 male (52%) and 60 female (48%), aged 24 ($\pm$ 2 years), in the hours between 8:00 am and 12:00 pm, at the Faculty of Dental Medicine in the Medical University of Sofia. The decision for the number of patients for the test group is made and approved by a licensed statistician. They are tested for hypersensitivity to Mepivastesin—30 mg mL$^{-1}$: containing mepivacaine hydrochloride, natrium chloride and distilled water. For the positive control, 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ histamine dihydrochloride as an active ingredient is used in a solution for the skin prick test. The negative control has no active ingredient, and it requires control, however, using diluent. The National Center for Infectious and Parasitic diseases in Bulgaria produces and manufactures the aforementioned solutions.

Ethical approval and informed consent

The study is approved by the Medical Ethics Board at Medical University—Sofia. All the participants are informed about the purpose of the study and give their written informed consent before its commencement.

The prick test is performed with calibrated plastic lancets (Stallerpointer®) with a 1-mm sharped pointed edge at the terminal part of the lancet.

Temperature changes of the skin are examined with the FLIR A320 thermal camera and the respective software for processing the images and data—FLIR Reporter Professional software 2013.

To specify the target places on the skin (through the thermography application) where the test is performed, a brace for the forearm is formulated and constructed with 3D printing technology (Fig. 1).

This brace ensures repeatability, reliability and unambiguity of the results. The patient puts his forearm in the brace, and the 2nd, 3rd and 4th circular zones (Fig. 2) are chosen as the location for the test—one for the allergen, one for the negative control and the other for the positive control.

The brace has two supports for the forearm at the base, ensuring fixed position (Fig. 3) of the forearm with minimum contact, while warranting patient comfort. The minimum contact as opposed to contact with a wider surface minimizes errors in the variance of temperatures.

The brace has been constructed as to allow the following dimensions of a forearm: length—35 cm, wide—15 cm and thickness—12 cm. Over the supports of the brace, a plastic rectangle exists, containing 5 holes with a fixed distance between them. The rectangle is adjustable, so it can cater to the specific anatomy of the patients forearm. There is a clear gradient between the temperature of the skin and the ambient temperature of the brace. Due to this, the reactions are differentiated by their clearly visible borders in the thermographic images. Thus, the places of the contact of the allergen with the skin can be clearly seen, and therefore, the temperature in these areas (Ar) (Fig. 4) is evaluated.

The brace is constructed of aluminum rods with diameter 6 mm in addition with plastic elements created on a 3D printer using the fused deposition modeling technique.
The prick test procedure is performed as set out by the rules stated in the European standards [1]. After anamnesis is taken, the patients forearm is placed in the brace. The initial thermographic image is recorded and examined, in order to detect superficial blood vessels below the skin surface which is to be tested. Trauma may occur of the superficial blood vessels of patients with little subdermal adipose during the pricking. It is of upmost importance that the latter trauma is carefully avoided in order to have valid results and data for the diagnostic test. On the thermography application, the blood vessels are seen as long thin zone of increased temperature compared with the surrounding tissue (Fig. 5). Zones which are contraindicated for the procedure are areas of the skin with tattoos and any other skin changes.

Fig. 5 Thermographic image of the forearm, arrows are showing the blood vessels with demarcated borders

Areas with superficial blood vessels should not be included in the examined areas. For this reason, the forearm can be repositioned (Fig. 6).

The initial thermography picture is also used for the analysis of the results. It provides information for the temperature prior to the test and the normal temperatures. Using the software, these areas are marked, and the results for the average temperatures in the three areas of examination are obtained.

After securing the forearm correctly in the brace, the three areas are marked with a skin marker and the patients forearm is released from the brace. The prick test is performed by placing a drop of the allergen onto the skin and after this area is pricked with the plastic lancet once. The procedure is repeated with the positive and the negative control. After 20 min, the forearm is secured into the brace again, in the same position as it was during the first test, by using the marks on the skin to achieve this.

The second image is captured after the test, and it provides information regarding the temperature changes when the reactions had occurred (Fig. 7).

The papules and erythema are measured, to assess whether there is a positive reaction to the allergen. If the diameter of the latter is 3 mm or above, then it is considered as a positive reaction.

**Analysis of the average recorded temperatures**

The zones of the reaction are specifically marked using the software, and then, the software calculates the average temperature. For every marked zone, the change in
The temperature of the skin after performing the test is calculated by using the following formula:

\[ \Delta A_r = A_{r2} - A_{r1} \]

where \( A_{r2} \) is the post-reaction temperature and \( A_{r1} \) is the pre-reaction temperature.

The same calculation is performed for the negative and positive tests as follows

\[ \Delta N_{eg} = N_{eg2} - N_{eg1} \]

where \( N_{eg2} \) is the post-reaction temperature and \( N_{eg1} \) is the pre-reaction temperature.

\[ \Delta P_{os} = P_{os2} - P_{os1} \]

where \( P_{os2} \) is the post-reaction temperature and \( P_{os1} \) is the pre-reaction temperature.

There is no allergic reaction in the negative control. Patient induced factors; the environment and trauma from the pricking can affect the temperature. The negative control is used to compare the other two reactions and to assess them against each other. The change of the temperature due to the allergic reaction can be calculated using \( \Delta A_{r'} \), where

\[ \Delta A_{r'} = \Delta A_r - \Delta N_{eg} \]

In the case of a positive reaction, it is expected that \( \Delta A_{r'} \) is greater than zero. The greater the inflammation, a higher value of \( \Delta A_{r'} \) is expected. In the cases with the absence of an allergic inflammation, \( \Delta A_{r'} \) is expected to be less or around zero. Due to the many factors that affect the skin temperature during this 20-min period, it is expected to have some deviations in the value.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis is performed using the SPSS 17.0 software. Paired two-tailed \( t \)-test is used to identify the differences between the temperatures in the fields before and after the prick test and for difference in the results of male and female temperatures. Significance level of a hypothesis test is set as \( p = 0.05 \).

**Results**

The descriptive statistics (Table 1) provide information for the values before and after the prick test. The temperature range of the examined areas before the test is 30.1–36.1 °C. After the test, the temperature range of the reactions is shown in Fig. 8:

- Allergen (negative) is 30.1–34.8 °C (male 30.9–34.8 °C; female 30.1–34.6 °C)
- Negative control is 30.7–35.2 °C (male 30.7–34.7 °C; female 30.8–35.2 °C)
- Positive control is 31.6–35.9 °C (male 32.3–35.9 °C; female 31.6–35.9 °C)

![Temperatures recorded before the test](image1.png)

![Temperatures recorded after the test](image2.png)

**Table 1** Descriptive statistics—information for the values before and after the prick test

|          | Min (°C) | Max (°C) | Mean ± SD (°C) |
|----------|----------|----------|----------------|
| \( A_{r1} \) | 30.1     | 35.7     | 33.4 ± 1.00    |
| \( N_{eg1} \) | 30.1     | 36.1     | 33.6 ± 0.96    |
| \( P_{os1} \) | 31.3     | 35.8     | 33.7 ± 0.94    |
| \( A_{r2} \) | 30.1     | 34.8     | 32.5 ± 1.11    |
| \( N_{eg2} \) | 30.7     | 35.2     | 32.9 ± 1.05    |
| \( P_{os2} \) | 31.6     | 35.9     | 33.9 ± 1.04    |

Min is the minimum temperature value
Max is the maximum temperature value
Mean is the mean temperature value, and SD is the standard deviation

**Fig. 8** Temperatures recorded before and after the test in men and women
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The results show a single positive reaction to Mepivastesin; however, the others were negative.

A statistically significant difference is detected: Pos2 values are greater than Ar2 \( (p < 0.001; \text{male} \ p < 0.001; \text{female} \ p < 0.001) \) and the Pos2 values are greater than Neg2 \( (p < 0.001; \text{male} \ p < 0.0001; \text{female} \ p < 0.001) \).

After statistical analysis (paired \( t \) test), similarities are detected between the changes of the negative reactions to Mepivastesin \( (\Delta Ar) \), and the reactions to the negative control \( (\Delta Neg) \ (p < 0.001) \). Similarly, it is detected that \( \Delta Pos > \Delta Ar \ (p < 0.001) \) and \( \Delta Pos > \Delta Neg \ (p < 0.001) \).

The values of \( \Delta Ar' \) are less than 0.5 °C in 96% of the cases and is more than 0.5 °C in the positive reaction. There is no significant difference between men and women for the values of \( \Delta Ar' \).

Similarly, there is no significant difference in the initial thermographic images between men and women in the initial thermographic images (prior to the test). The mean temperatures of the skin of the forearm for men are: \( Ar_1 = 33.5 \, ^\circ C \), \( Neg_1 = 33.7 \, ^\circ C \), \( Pos_1 = 33.7 \, ^\circ C \), and for women are: \( Ar_1 = 33.2 \, ^\circ C \), \( Neg_1 = 33.5 \, ^\circ C \), \( Pos_1 = 33.6 \, ^\circ C \).

However, in the second thermographic images (after the test), there exists a significant difference between men and women in the three examined areas: the area with the allergen \( (p < 0.001) \), the area of the negative control \( (p < 0.001) \) and the area of the positive control \( (p = 0.006) \). The mean temperatures of the skin of the forearm for men are: \( Ar_2 = 33.0 \, ^\circ C \), \( Neg_2 = 33.3 \, ^\circ C \), \( Pos_2 = 34.1 \, ^\circ C \), and for women are: \( Ar_2 = 32.0 \, ^\circ C \), \( Neg_2 = 32.6 \, ^\circ C \), \( Pos_2 = 33.6 \, ^\circ C \) (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

Changes in temperature can be because of different factors, all of which affect the reactions—mechanical trauma from the lancet (technical factor), circadian rhythms (individual factor), the ambient temperature of the room (environmental factor). Each of the aforementioned factors can produce an individual fluctuation in the temperature; however, together they form the combined difference in the temperature. The final result of this combination can be seen as the temperature difference of the negative control \( (\Delta Neg) \) (Table 2).

These factors are divided into three primary groups [6]:

| \( \Delta Ar \) | Factors of the environment, factors of the individual, technical factors and errors, eventual allergological inflammation |
| \( \Delta Neg \) | Factors of the environment, factors of the individual, technical factors |
| \( \Delta Pos \) | Factors of the environment, factors of the individual, technical factors, allergological inflammation as a direct result of the histamine |

The bold text contain factors who are specific for the parameters in the group

**Environmental factors**

These factors are affected by the place of the examination: area of the room, the ambient temperature, relative humidity, atmospheric pressure, infrared radiation pollution.

**Individual factors**

These factors refer to the individual patient and his/her particular characteristics which could affect the skin temperature. These factors are divided into intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Intrinsic factors are as follows: sex, age, anthropometry, circadian rhythm, hair density, skin infrared emission, medical history, metabolic rate, skin blood flow, genetics, emotions. Extrinsic factors are as follows: pharmaceutical consumption and nutrition, remedies, physical activity.

**Technical factors**

Factors related to the apparatus used during the infrared thermography (IRT) evaluation are: validity, reliability, repeatability, procedure, camera, software, statistical analysis.

According to Bernstein et al. [7], histamine reactivity in the skin varies among individuals, independent of skin test reactivity to allergens. The skin test results to allergens should not be related to the size of the histamine reaction [8]. The size of the wheal is not solely due to histamine, as some subjects with a positive skin prick test reaction show no significant histamine release to these allergens, as assessed by microdialysis technique [9]. There is a high correlation between conventional methods of examination and results obtained from thermal imaging, which opens possibilities for the use of thermovision as a complementary addition to the conventional methods used in medicine. [10].

Before the completion of the test, there is not a statistically significant difference between the temperatures of the skin areas of men and women; however, after the test, there exists a statistically significant difference \( (p < 0.001) \). The temperature of the skin areas of the forearm after the prick test in the allergen area decreases in both genders, however, with different temperature values in each gender. In women, it is relatively higher
According to the newest research of Rok et al. [16], the allergic reactions to dental local anesthetics. The Dencheva et al. (2018) concerning the frequency of positive allergic reactions. This confirms the results of strictor (adrenalin); this is why it is suitable for investigation of the organism linked to the changes in temperature (Fig. 9).

The potential of the infrared thermography in the diagnostic of inflammations is proven by Grozdanova et al. [11] and disturbance fields in the maxillofacial area. The thermographic assessment of the allergic skin test is also used for the patch test. The more intensive reactions indicate an increased temperature [12, 13]. Szwedo and Tomaka calibrate the method and declare that the infrared thermography in the field of medicine should be further developed, as this will improve the evaluation of skin tests [14]. One of the five signs of inflammation is temperature.

Rokita et al. (2011) examined 24 patients with prick tested patients in combination with thermographic analysis; histamine was also used as a positive control. In this study, 7 variables were detected with varying reliability. The results show that the combination of the thermographic image together with the mathematical analysis is a new method to examine the intensity of the reactions [15]. According to the newest research of Rok et al. [16], the infrared thermography is a method which allows highlighting the hypersensitivity patients and automatic correction of the diagnosis.

In our study, a single positive reaction to Mepivastesin was registered. This anesthetic does not contain vasoconstrictor (adrenalin); this is why it is suitable for investigation of allergic reactions. This confirms the results of Dencheva et al. (2018) concerning the frequency of positive allergic reactions to dental local anesthetics. The $\Delta Ar$ can be used for quantitative measurement of the intensity of the reaction. The value of 0.5 for $\Delta Ar'$ can be used as a limit. If it is less than 0.5, the reaction can be accepted as a negative, and if it is greater, it can be accepted as a positive result. The reliability of the results will be 97%. The lack of variation in results between men and women concerning $\Delta Ar'$ proves that it can be used for both genders [17].

**Conclusion**

Using the infrared thermographic imaging methodology, the infrared emission of the skin is measured accurately, which is independent from the other two signs of the inflammation—erythema and papule. Therefore, combining the thermographic diagnostics with the traditional methods of assessing prick tests, a better prospective of the results is successfully achieved. Describing the values of $Ar_3$, $\Delta Neg$, $\Delta Pos$, $\Delta Ar$ and $\Delta Ar'$ provide us a better understanding of the temperature variations of the reactions and for the complex process of inflammation. A software which can automatically calculate $\Delta Ar$ and $Ar'$ should be designed and become a tool in dental offices where allergic tests are common practice. This software can aid the practitioner to assess the allergic reactions. Further studies should be performed in this area.
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