Decellularized bone extracellular matrix and human dental pulp stem cells as a construct for bone regeneration
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ABSTRACT
Dental pulp tissue represents a source of mesenchymal stem cells that have a strong differentiation potential towards the osteogenic lineage. The objective of the current study was to examine in vitro osteogenic induction of dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) cultured on hydrogel scaffolds derived from decellularized bone extracellular matrix (bECM) compared to collagen type I (Col-I), the major component of bone matrix. DPSCs in combination with bECM hydrogels were cultured under three different conditions: basal medium, osteogenic medium and medium supplemented with growth factors (GFs) and cell growth, mineral deposition, gene and protein expression were investigated. The DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs cultured in basal medium showed that cells were viable after three weeks and that the expression of runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX-2) and bone sialoprotein (BSP) were significantly upregulated in the absence of extra osteogenic inducers compared to Col-I hydrogel scaffolds. In addition, the protein expression levels of BSP and osteocalcin were higher on bECM with respect to Col-I hydrogel scaffolds. Furthermore, DPSCs/bECM hydrogels cultured with osteogenic or GFs supplemented medium displayed a higher upregulation of the osteo-specific markers compared to Col-I hydrogels in identical media. Collectively, our results demonstrate that bECM hydrogels might be considered as suitable scaffolds to support osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs.

1. Introduction
Current treatment options to repair bone defects due to trauma, degenerative diseases, severe infections and tumours are based on autologous or allogeneic bone grafts [1,2]. Although autologous bone grafts remain the gold standard, they are limited by morbidity at the donor site and availability of graft material [3,4]. Allogeneic bone grafts may provide an alternative treatment, but the risk of potential immunogenic responses, disease...
transmission, reduced osteoinductive characteristics, availability limitations and ethical/religious issues limit their use [3,4]. Hence, there is the need for alternative materials to treat bone defects [5,6].

Recent research has attempted to identify synthetic or natural materials which mimic the properties of the native bone microenvironment to act as bone graft substitutes [7–9]. Natural materials, including collagen and scaffolds composed of the extracellular matrix have recently been considered as cell delivery systems for bone repair [10].

Cell-matrix interactions induce signalling essential for cell behaviour, making extracellular matrix (ECM) composition a crucial factor in stem cell differentiation [11,12]. Proliferation and lineage-specific differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are triggered by various factors including the ECM [13]. Thus, several studies have proposed the use of biological scaffolds composed of decellularized ECM to induce osteogenic differentiation of MSCs [14,15].

ECM scaffolds consist of functional and structural proteins such as laminin and collagen, along with growth factors, proteoglycans, glycosaminoglycans and glycoproteins [16]. While there are several common ECM components, the detailed composition of the ECM varies for each tissue type [17]. ECM scaffolds derived from bone are principally composed of collagen type I, with smaller amounts of collagen III, collagen V and adhesion proteins such as fibronectin, vitronectin and proteoglycans such as decorin and hyaluronan [18]. Bone ECM also contains pro-inflammatory cytokines, growth factors of the TGF-β family, several BMPs, FGFs and angiogenic growth factors including VEGF [7].

In this context, it was recently reported that tissue-specific hydrogels derived from decellularized and demineralised bovine bone extracellular matrix (bECM) possess distinct mechanical and biological properties, including osteogenic functionality and have the potential for clinical use in the near future [19].

More recently, we showed that bECM hydrogel scaffolds were also able to stimulate odontogenic differentiation of human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) by upregulating the expression levels of the odonto/osteogenic-specific genes [20]. Additional studies with bECM hydrogel scaffolds have shown the efficacy of bone-derived ECM hydrogels with incorporated growth factors to augment bone formation within the ex vivo chick femoral defects [3,4]. Moreover, Gothard et al. showed that alginate/bECM hydrogel scaffolds in combination with adult human bone marrow stromal cells (HBMSCs) were able to provide in vivo tissue mineralisation and bone formation [21].

Recently, DPSCs have been considered as a source of cells for bone engineering due to their availability and high capacity of in vitro expansion [12,22,23]. Moreover, DPSCs are able to differentiate into bone-like tissues when seeded on scaffolds in animal models [24,25] and are able to regenerate bone in human grafts [26,27]. Taken together, these studies prompted us to analyse whether a combination of DPSCs and bECM could be used as bone graft substitute for the treatment of bone defects. Therefore, the objective of the current study was to examine the osteogenic capacity of the decellularized bECM hydrogel scaffolds derived from bovine bone using DPSCs. To that end, DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs have been compared to Col-I, the most commonly purified ECM component. Col-I hydrogels at the same concentrations were used as a comparator for three reasons. Firstly, it is the most abundant ECM protein in many adult tissues, including bone, and is easily extracted using enzymatic or acidic methods [28]. Secondly, collagen-based scaffolds are among the most extensively used scaffolds in the clinical application with different forms
including native, cross-linked and gel forms [29]. Thirdly, purified Col-I derived scaffolds are FDA approved for several clinical applications [30].

Growth factors such as FGFb [31,32] and EGF [32,33] have been used to promote osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs. We hypothesised that the combination of bECM hydrogels with growth factors or osteogenic supplements could more effectively induce the osteogenic differentiation of human DPSCs than bECM hydrogels alone. Thus, the first aim of this study was to evaluate if bECM hydrogels are sufficient to induce osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs without the addition of osteogenic supplements. The second was to determine if osteogenic supplements or growth factors were able to enhance osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs. To these ends, we analysed the effects of bECM hydrogel scaffolds upon osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs in the presence or absence of extra osteogenic inducers (growth factors or osteogenic medium), by observing changes in osteo-specific genes and protein expression.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Hydrogels preparation

Decellularized and demineralised bECM was prepared from bovine bone as described previously [3,4,19–21]. Briefly, liquid nitrogen was used to freeze and fragment bovine cancellous bone. Then, cancellous fragments were subsequently demineralised using a solution of 0.5 M HCl at RT for 24 h. After demineralization, a solution of chloroform/methanol was used to remove lipids. Then, demineralised powder was decellularized by 24 h of agitation in 0.05% Trypsin/0.02% EDTA at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. To obtain bECM digests, powdered demineralised and decellularized bone was combined with 1 mg/mL pepsin in 0.01 M HCl for a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. Then, the suspension was stirred at RT for 96 h.

Gelation of bECM was achieved by neutralising the pH and salt concentration of the pepsin digests at 4 °C followed by incubation at 37 °C for 1 h (Supplemental Material, Figure S1) as previously described [19]. Neutralisation of the required digest volume occurred by addition of one tenth of the digest volume of NaOH (0.1 N) and one-ninth of the digest volume of PBS (10X). To determine whether different concentrations of bECM could influence the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs, we prepared different concentrations of bECM. In addition, due to the fact that type I collagen is currently considered the gold standard in the field of tissue engineering [34], we prepared hydrogel scaffolds at the same concentrations of Col-I (Supplemental Material, Figure S2). Concentrations of 3, 4, 6 and 8 mg/mL bECM were prepared at 4 °C and transferred to plates. Col-I hydrogels used in this work were made following manufacturer’s instructions (Corning).

2.2. Rheological characterisation of bECM hydrogels

The rheological characteristics of bECM and collagen type I hydrogels were determined using a Physica MCR 301 rheometer (Anton Paar, Hertford, UK). Pre-gel solutions at 4 °C were placed between 50 mm parallel plates separated by a 0.2 mm measuring gap. The plates were pre-cooled within a humidified chamber to 4 °C and were then warmed to 37 °C during the first 75 s of each measurement run. A 20-min time course experiment was performed, during which the samples were subjected to an oscillatory strain of 1% at a constant angular frequency of 1 rad s⁻¹ with readings taken every 30 s.
2.3. Dental pulp stem cell cultures

The protocol for the isolation of DPSCs was approved by the Ethical Committee of Calabrodental Dental Clinic, Crotone, Italy. All subjects enrolled in this research have responded to an Informed Consent which has been approved by the Ethical Committee of Calabrodental Dental Clinic (ethical agreement number CBD-008/TRI/2015). Human third molars were extracted from healthy donors (age 20–25).

DPSCs were isolated as previously described [20]. Briefly, teeth were immersed and washed twice using antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin). The dental pulp tissue was separated from crown and root using an excavator. Then, the tissue was washed twice with sterile PBS and dissected with a scalpel into small pieces. Subsequently, to generate single cells suspension, pulp fragments were dissociated enzymatically with type I collagenase (3 mg/mL) and dispase (4 mg/mL) for 60 min at 37 °C. Then, the cell suspension was filtered through 70 μM Falcon strainers (Becton Dickinson). After filtration, cells were pelleted, seeded on culture dishes, and incubated in alpha-MEM culture medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen), 2 mM glutamine (Gibco), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen). Cells were incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ and the medium was changed every 48 h.

In this study, we used CFU-F derived cells capable of differentiating in at least three mesenchymal lineages in vitro. To generate CFU-F enriched cultures, cells were seeded at low density and cultured until colonies appeared. All the colonies were then trypsinized and further expanded in culture. Cells from passage 2–4 were employed in the study. The multi-differentiation capacity of these stem cells in different inductive media was demonstrated in our previous studies [35,36].

2.4. DPSC seeded hydrogels

Once the hydrogels were formed, 0.5 × 10⁵ DPSCs in a 1 mL suspension were added to the surface of the gels (Supplemental Material, Figure S3). Twenty-four hours after seeding, the culture medium was replaced with basal medium, osteogenic medium or medium supplemented with growth factors (GFs) every 24 h in the first two days of preparation and then every second day after that. Subsequently, the DPSCs/bECM or DPSCs/Col-I hydrogel constructs were cultured for 3 weeks. The basal medium was composed of α-MEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin as described above for DPSCs isolation [20]. Osteogenic medium was composed of α-MEM, 20% FBS, 0.2 mM L-ascorbic acid-2-phosphate (Sigma), 100 nM dexamethasone (Sigma), 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma), 100 U/mL penicillin, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin and 0.25 mg/mL amphotericin B. The growth factor-supplemented medium was composed of α-MEM, 10% FBS, 20 ng/mL Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF, Invitrogen) and 40 ng/mL Fibroblast Growth Factor-basic (FGFb, Invitrogen). DPSCs were seeded on Col-I hydrogels with the same protocol used for the bECM hydrogels.

2.5. Live/dead staining

DPSCs/hydrogel constructs 3, 7, 14 and 21 days post seeding (n = 24) were incubated with live/dead staining solution that was composed of fluorescein diacetate (1 μg/mL) and
propidium iodide (20 μg/mL) at RT for 20 min. Then, cells were monitored using a Leica TCS/SP2 confocal microscope system. Cell viability has been measured by Accuchip cell counter kit using the PI standard method of dead-cell staining combined with advanced image analysis (Adam, Nanoentek). Briefly, bECM or Col-I hydrogels containing cells \((n = 24)\) were rinsed three times with PBS and digested with 1 mL of a solution containing 3 mg/mL type I collagenase (Gibco) and 4 mg/mL dispase (Gibco) in HBSS for 60 min at 37 °C with regular agitation. Then, recovered DPSCs were centrifuged and analysed by Accuchip cell counter kit.

### 2.6. Flow cytometry analysis

DPSCs cultured on bECM were analysed for the expression of MSC-related cell surface markers as previously described [20,36,37]. Briefly, \(5 \times 10^5\) DPSCs were stained with FITC/PE/APC-conjugated primary antibodies on ice for 30 min prior to being analysed on a NAVIOS instrument (Beckman Coulter). The antibodies used were PE mouse anti-human CD13, APC mouse anti-human CD29, FITC mouse anti-human CD44, FITC mouse anti-human CD73, PE mouse anti-human CD90, APC mouse anti-human CD105, PE mouse anti-human CD146, APC-H7 mouse anti-human CD45 and PE mouse anti-human HLA-DR. All fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies used were purchased from Becton Dickinson (BD). Mouse IgG1-FITC, IgG1-PE, IgG1-APC and IgG1-APC-H7 were used as isotype controls.

For intracellular staining of osteopontin (OPN), osteocalcin (OSC) and bone sialoprotein (BSP) [38], bECM or Col-I Hydrogels containing cells were washed three times in PBS, and digested with 1 ml of solution containing 3 mg/mL type I collagenase (Gibco) and 4 mg/mL dispase (Sigma) in Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) for 1 h at 37 °C with regular agitation. Recovered cells were centrifuged, washed and fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (Sigma) for 20 min at 4 °C and then permeabilized with a solution containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 5 minutes. After blocking with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma) for 30 min at RT, the cells were washed and incubated with indirect or direct fluorescent-conjugated antibodies. The primary antibodies used were: Phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-OPN and FITC-conjugated anti-OSC (R&D Systems), and rabbit anti-BSP (Abcam). The secondary antibody was anti-rabbit FITC purchased from Abcam. For negative controls, cells were stained with an isotype control antibody. Cells were labelled with fluorescence-conjugated antibodies against OPN, osteocalcin or with isotype controls. For BSP, cells were labelled with an antibody against BSP or with isotype control, followed by detection with Alexa 488–conjugated secondary antibody. Labelled cells were analysed by flow cytometry using a NAVIOS instrument (Beckman Coulter) and all data analysed using FlowJo vX0.7 software. Histograms show the geometric mean fluorescence intensity. The total number of samples used in this assay is shown in Table S1 (Supplemental Material). \(n = 8\) constructs were used to obtain the results in section 3.3, \(n = 4\) were used to obtain the results in section 3.4 and \(n = 4\) were used to obtain the results in section 3.5. The number of controls (TCPS) used in each results section are indicated in Table S1.

### 2.7. RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR were performed as previously described [20,39]. See supplemental material for further details. The specific primers used for the amplification of runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX-2), bone sialoprotein (BSP), osteopontin (OPN),
osteocalcin (OCN) and HPRT are shown in Table 1. \( n = 24 \) constructs were used to obtain the results in Section 3.3, \( n = 12 \) were used to obtain the results in Section 3.4 and \( n = 12 \) were used to obtain the results in Section 3.5. The number of controls (TCPS) used in each results section are indicated in Table S1.

### 2.8. Immunofluorescence analysis

DPSCs were cultured on bECM hydrogels (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL) and Col-I hydrogels (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL) for 3 weeks (\( n = 24 \), Table S1). Subsequently, DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs were rinsed with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at RT as described previously [36]. After washing three times with PBS, the cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 10 min at RT. The cells were then washed three times with PBS and blocked with 3% BSA for 30 min at RT. The DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs were subsequently incubated for 12 h with indirect or direct fluorescent-conjugated antibodies at 4 °C. The primary antibodies used were FITC-conjugated anti-OCN (R&D Systems), and rabbit anti-BSP (Abcam). The secondary antibody was anti-rabbit FITC purchased from Abcam. For negative controls, cells were stained with an isotype control antibody. The cell nuclei were stained with a 1 \( \mu \)g/mL solution of 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma) for 5 min. The cells were subsequently mounted with anti-fading medium (ProLong Antifade; Invitrogen) and observed by confocal microscopy (Leica; TCS SP5). The samples were not sectioned and all images (\( n > 5 \) fields for sample) were displayed as maximum intensity z-projections.

### 2.9. Von Kossa staining

DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs (\( n = 24 \)) cultured for 3 weeks were washed two times with phosphate-buffered saline and fixed in 10% formalin prior to incubation with silver nitrate. Subsequently, Von Kossa staining kit was used to visualise the formation of the mineralised matrix (Bio-Optica).

### 2.10. Alizarin red

DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs (\( n = 24 \)) cultured for 3 weeks were washed once with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) for 15 min at RT. After washing thrice
with PBS, an aqueous solution of 5 mg/mL Alizarin red S (Sigma) was added to the DPSCs/hydrogel constructs for 30 min. Then, DPSCs/hydrogel constructs were washed twice with H₂O for 5 min and were analysed by microscopy.

### 2.11. Statistical analysis

A total of 208 DPSCs/hydrogel constructs were analysed in this study (Supplemental Material, Table S1). Results were presented as means ± standard deviations from three independent experiments. The significance of differences between groups was evaluated by one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons. Analyses were conducted using the GraphPad Prism software package (Graphpad Software Inc). Values were considered statistically significant if \( p < 0.05 \).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Rheology of bECM hydrogels

Hydrogels were prepared from bECM at concentrations ranging from 3 to 8 mg/mL (Figure 1(A)). The rheological characteristics of the bECM hydrogels were determined using a parallel plate rheometer and compared to collagen type I hydrogels of the same concentrations (4, 6 and 8 mg/mL). In each case, the storage \( G' \) and loss \( G'' \) modulus of the hydrogels increased after the pepsin digests (or pre-gel collagen type I solutions) were neutralised and the temperature was increased from 4 to 37 °C. Solid-like behaviour was confirmed since the storage modulus was greater than the loss modulus by a factor of approximately 10 for the bECM hydrogels and a factor of approximately 20 for the collagen type I hydrogels (Figure 1(B)–(D)). The bECM and Col-I hydrogels showed an increase in rate of gelation with increasing concentration wherein bECM and Col-I had storage moduli of 59.3 ± 17.5 Pa and 58.5 ± 5.0 Pa, respectively, at 4 mg/mL and 145.1 ± 65.7 Pa and 454.7 ± 101.3 Pa at 8 mg/mL.

#### 3.2. bECM supports cell attachment and growth of DPSCs

Human MSCs derived from dental pulp (DPSCs) were first characterised using flow cytometry to confirm their mesenchymal phenotype, prior to seeding on bECM hydrogels. FACS analysis showed positive expression of cell surface markers of MSCs including CD13, CD29, CD44, CD73, CD90, CD105 and CD146. Negative expression was demonstrated for CD45 and HLA-DR (Figure 2(A)). DPSCs were seeded onto bECM hydrogels (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL), and the distribution of cells was compared to those cultured on Col-I hydrogels or tissue culture polystyrene (TCPS). DPSCs spontaneously formed aggregates when cultured on 3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL bECM or Col-I hydrogels compared to TCPS (Figure 2(B) and Supplemental Material, Figures S3 and S4). DPSCs cultured on bECM hydrogels were viable after 21 days as shown by live/dead staining (Figure 2(C)). There were only a few DPSCs that were positive for Ethidium homodimer III (Supplemental Material, Figure S5), signifying low numbers of dead cells. Moreover, by day 7 of culture, the percentages of living cells in 3, 4, 6 and 8 mg/mL bECM hydrogels were 95, 94, 94 and 92%, respectively, with no statistical difference compared to Col-I hydrogels or TCPS (3 mg/mL Col-I, 96%; 4 mg/mL Col-I, 96%; 6 mg/mL Col-I, 94%; 8 mg/mL Col-I, 92%; TCPS, 94%, Figure 2(D)).
Figure 1. Macroscopic appearance and rheology of bECM hydrogels. (A) Macroscopic view of bECM hydrogels at 3, 4, 6 and 8 mg/mL, scale bar = 1 cm. Rheological characterisation of bECM and collagen type I hydrogels at concentrations of (B): 4 mg/mL, (C): 6 mg/mL and (D): 8 mg/mL. Note: Gelation kinetics were determined by monitoring changes in the storage modulus ($G'$) and loss modulus ($G''$) after inducing gelation. Data represent mean ± standard deviation for $n = 3$.
Figure 2. bECM supports cell attachment and growth of DPSCs. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of MSC surface markers CD13, CD29, CD44, CD73, CD90, CD105, CD146, HLA-DR and CD45 for DPSCs cultured on bECM. The percentage of positive cells for each marker is shown, and the isotype control is indicated. The logarithm of the X-axis represents the intensity of the fluorescent signal, and the number of cells is given on the Y-axis. (B) Representative distribution of DPSCs cultured on bECM (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/ml), Col-I hydrogels (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/ml). Cultures were photographed after 3 weeks, scale bar = 100 μm. Red arrows indicate cell aggregates. (C) Live/dead analysis of cells/scaffold constructs 3, 7, 14 and 21 days after cell seeding in basal medium or in medium containing growth factors (FGFβ + EGF) by confocal laser scanning microscopy. (D) Percentages of cell viability at day 7 of DPSCs cultured on bECM (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/ml) hydrogels with respect to Col-I (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/ml) hydrogels or TCPS measured by the PI method using cell counter (Please see the online article for the colour version of this figure: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09205063.2017.1301770). Note: N.S. Not statistically significant.
3.3. **bECM hydrogels upregulate early, intermediate and late osteogenic markers of DPSCs in basal culture conditions**

To evaluate if the bECM hydrogels influenced the osteodifferentiation of DPSCs, cells were cultured in basal medium on the surface of 3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL bECM and Col-I hydrogels for 3 weeks. DPSCs seeded on the flask surface (TCPS) with basal medium or osteogenic medium were used as controls. The mRNA expression levels of Runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX-2), bone sialoprotein (BSP), osteopontin (OPN) and osteocalcin (OCN), four markers specific for osteogenic differentiation, were up-regulated in both bECM (up to 2-, 2.5-, 10- and 8.6-fold, respectively) and Col-I hydrogel cultures (up to 1.3-, 1.4-, 9.2- and 6.1-fold, respectively) compared to TCPS cultured in basal medium, indicating the suitability of the both hydrogel scaffolds for osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs (Figure 3(A)). However, the expression levels of RUNX-2 and BSP were significantly greater on the 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel compared with Col-I at the same concentration. In addition, the expression of mRNA for RUNX-2 and BSP were higher on the 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel (2- and 2.6-fold, respectively) compared to DPSCs seeded on TCPS and cultured in osteogenic medium (Figure 3(A)). Results demonstrated that OCN was strongly upregulated in cells seeded on bECM (up to 8.6-fold) and Col-I hydrogels (up to 6.1-fold) compared to TCPS cultured in the basal medium. Conversely, DPSCs seeded on TCPS and cultured in osteogenic medium expressed higher levels of OCN (5.2-fold) compared to both DPSCs/hydrogel constructs (Figure 3(A)). Protein expression analysis confirmed real-time reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) studies: flow cytometry revealed upregulation of OPN, OCN and BSP in DPSCs cultured on both bECM (up to 3.8-, 2.6- and 2-fold, respectively) and Col-I hydrogel cultures (up to 4.4-, 1.9- and 1.2-fold, respectively) compared to TCPS (Figure 3(B)). Moreover, the protein expression levels of BSP were greater on the 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel (3-fold) compared with Col-I at the same concentration and the expression of protein for OPN and BSP were higher on the 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel (1.5- and 1.4-fold, respectively) compared to DPSCs seeded on TCPS and cultured in osteogenic medium. As previously observed for OCN gene expression, DPSCs seeded on TCPS and cultured in osteogenic medium expressed higher levels of OCN protein (up to 2-fold) compared to both DPSCs/hydrogel constructs (Figure 3(B)).

In addition, immunofluorescence analysis showed the higher protein expression level of BSP and OCN in DPSCs cultured on bECM compared to Col-I hydrogels (Figure 3(C)). Moreover, the ability of both DPSCs/hydrogel constructs to form mineralised matrix was analysed by using Von Kossa and Alizarin Red staining. Results demonstrated that both types of DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs were able to form mineral deposits after 3 weeks of culture (Figures 4(A), (B)). Taken together, the results of the present study demonstrated that bECM hydrogels combined with DPSCs are sufficient to induce osteogenic differentiation without the addition of osteogenic supplements.

3.4. **Osteogenic supplements enhance osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs**

In order to further assess the effects of the bECM hydrogels on the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs, we analysed the osteogenic-specific genes expression of DPSCs cultured on 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel after 3 weeks of differentiation in osteogenic medium. We decided to use bECM hydrogel at a concentration of 4 mg/mL since the gene expression levels of
Figure 3. bECM hydrogels upregulate osteogenic markers of DPSCs in basal culture conditions. (A) Relative mRNA expression levels of RUNX-2, BSP, OPN and OCN of DPSCs at 3 weeks measured by qRT-PCR. DPSCs were cultured on bECM and Col-I hydrogels at different concentrations (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL) in the basal medium compared to those seeded on TCPS and cultured in osteogenic medium. Results are represented as fold increase compared to the level expressed in DPSCs seeded on TCPS cultured in the basal medium. *p < 0.05 when compared to TCPS; †p < 0.05 when compared to 4 mg/mL Col-I hydrogel; ‡p < 0.05 when compared to TCPS cultured in osteogenic medium. (B) Flow cytometry analysis at 3 weeks of OPN, OCN and BSP proteins expression in DPSC populations seeded on bECM (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL), Col-I (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL) hydrogels or TCPS cultured in basal medium compared to those seeded on TCPS cultured in osteogenic medium. Cells were labelled with fluorescence-conjugated antibodies against OPN, OCN (solid histograms) or with isotype controls (dotted histograms). For BSP, cells were labelled with an antibody against BSP (solid lines) or with isotype control (dotted lines), followed by detection with Alexa 488–conjugated secondary antibody. Histograms show the geometric mean fluorescence intensity (GMFI) expressed as fold increase with respect to TCPS. Flow cytometry data were analysed using FlowJo vX0.7 software. (C) Representative immunofluorescence analysis of DPSCs cultured on 4 mg/mL bECM and 4 mg/mL Col-I hydrogels stained with OCN and BSP after 3 weeks in basal medium. OCN, osteocalcin; BSP, bone sialoprotein. The cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue); scale bar = 100 μm.
RUNX-2 and BSP were increased at concentration. DPSCs grown in osteogenic medium on a flask surface (TPCS), and on 4 mg/mL bECM or Col-I hydrogels cultured in basal medium have been used as control conditions. Quantitative RT-PCR analyses (Figure 5(A)) revealed that the expression of RUNX-2, BSP, OPN and OCN were significantly higher in DPSCs seeded on bECM hydrogels cultured on osteogenic medium (2.2-, 3.6-, 1.6- and 3.6-fold, respectively) than in basal medium (Figure 5(A)). In DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs, the expression levels of RUNX-2, BSP and OPN were also considerably higher than cells cultured on TCPS with osteogenic medium. The expression level of OCN was increased in DPSCs/bECM scaffold constructs cultured in the osteogenic medium (3.6-fold) compared to those cultured on basal medium. However, the expression of OCN in either bECM or Col-I hydrogels was lower (0.56- and 0.27-fold, respectively) than cells cultured on TCPS with osteogenic medium (Figure 5(A)). Importantly, DPSCs cultured on 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel scaffold with osteogenic medium stimulated higher upregulation of the osteo-specific genes (OCN, 2.1-fold; OPN, 1.5-fold; RUNX-2, 1.5-fold) than on 4 mg/mL Col-I hydrogel cultured in identical medium.

To confirm these results, we measured the expression levels of osteogenic proteins in DPSCs seeded on 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel cultured in basal medium or osteogenic medium compared to 4 mg/mL Col-I hydrogel or TCPS by flow cytometry [38]. Analysis revealed that the protein expression levels of BSP, OPN and OCN were higher in DPSCs seeded on 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel cultured in the osteogenic medium (3.6-, 1.6- and 3.7-fold, respectively) than those in basal medium (Figure 5(B)). Importantly, results show that both BSP and...
OPN protein expression were upregulated in DPSCs grown on 4 mg/mL bECM (2.62- and 2.4-fold) compared to TCPS-cultured cells treated with osteogenic medium. Moreover, the protein expression levels of BSP were greater on the 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel cultured in osteogenic medium (3-fold) compared with Col-I in the same culture conditions.

**3.5. Growth factors affect the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs in combination with bECM hydrogels by upregulating RUNX-2, BSP and OCN**

To assess the influence of growth factors (GFs) on the osteogenic potential of DPSCs/hydrogel constructs, we evaluated the expression of osteo-specific genes in DPSCs cultured on...
4 mg/mL bECM hydrogels with or without growth factors compared to controls. RUNX-2 and BSP mRNA levels of DPSCs cultured on 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogel scaffolds supplemented with growth factors increased significantly (2.3- and 35-fold, respectively) more than those cultured on TCPS in the same culture conditions, whereas a significant reduction in the mRNA expression of OPN was detected in DPSCs cultured on bECM hydrogels or TCPS with GFs (Figure 6(A)). Compared to TCPS in the presence of GFs, the cells/bECM hydrogel constructs exhibited a significant increase in the expression of OCN (2.41-fold). Similar results were obtained for protein expression of OPN, OCN and BSP in DPSCs cultured on 4 mg/mL bECM hydrogels (Figure 6(B)) in the presence of GFs with respect to controls (1.9-, 1.44- and 2.4-fold increase compared to Col-I hydrogels and 5.3-, 5.4- and 1.9-fold increase compared to TCPS cultured in medium containing GFs, respectively). These results showed that the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs cultured on bECM hydrogels is maintained also using a medium containing growth factors.

### 4. Discussion

To overcome the limitations of the existing methods to produce bone graft substitutes [2,40], new strategies include the culture of osteogenic cells on several types of scaffolds [41,42]. In this context, DPSCs have been shown to differentiate into bone-like tissues in animal models when seeded on scaffolds [43,44]. Gronthos et al. first isolated and characterised these stem cells [22] from impacted third molars demonstrating similarities to HBMSCs and suggested their application in musculoskeletal regenerative medicine. Recent studies demonstrated that the osteogenic potential of DPSCs was significantly greater than that of BMSCs and adipose tissue-derived stem cells (ADSCs) [45,46].

DPSCs are a potential source for bone tissue engineering and osteogenic capacity of these cells has been well demonstrated in vitro and in vivo [23,26]. In fact, different studies have shown that DPSCs can differentiate under specific conditions into osteoblasts in vitro, and when implanted in immunocompromised animals can restore critical bone defects and produce bone [47,48]. Moreover, Papaccio’s group have demonstrated that when DPSCs were transplanted into immunocompromised rats, the cells generated tissue structures similar to that observed in adult human bone including an adequate blood supply [43]. The osteogenic capacity of DPSCs has been utilised in combination with different scaffolds to produce bone-like tissues in several promising studies that further indicate the potential of DPSCs for bone regeneration and repair [49].

Although DPSCs are available in limited amounts when compared with BMSCs or ADSCs, the process of stem cell isolation does not require to sacrifice a healthy tooth. In fact, third molars represent the most common source of DPSCs, since these teeth are often extracted for orthodontic reasons and are considered to be medical waste [49,50]. Moreover, DPSCs can be long-term cryopreserved and recovered; this renders them a potentially suitable and reliable source of cells [51].

In the current study, we determined the effects of ECM hydrogel scaffolds derived from bovine bone (bECM) [19] upon DPSCs cultured in basal conditions and in the presence of osteogenic medium or growth factors.

The results of the present study demonstrate that bECM hydrogels at different concentrations supported cell attachment and growth of DPSCs. Moreover, bECM scaffolds enhance
Figure 6. GFs affect the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs in combination with bECM hydrogels. (A) Relative mRNA expression levels of RUNX-2, BSP, OPN and OCN of DPSCs at 3 weeks measured by qRT-PCR. Cells were cultured on 4 mg/mL bECM or Col-I hydrogel scaffolds in medium supplemented with growth factors or basal medium. Data are shown as the fold increase compared to the level expressed in DPSCs cultured on TCPS in basal medium. *p < 0.05 when compared to TCPS cultured in medium containing GFs; $p < 0.05$ when compared to the respective hydrogels in basal medium; & $p < 0.05$ when compared to TCPS cultured in osteogenic medium. (B) Flow cytometry analysis at 3 weeks of OPN, OCN and BSP expression in DPSCs seeded on 4 mg/mL bECM or 4 mg/mL Col-I hydrogels cultured in medium containing GFs (growth factors; FGFb + EGF) compared to those seeded on TCPS cultured in basal or osteogenic medium. Cells were labelled with fluorescence-conjugated antibodies against OPN, OCN (solid histograms) or with isotype controls (dotted histograms). For BSP, cells were labelled with an antibody against BSP (solid lines) or with isotype control (dotted lines), followed by detection with Alexa 488-conjugated secondary antibody. Histograms show the geometric mean fluorescence intensity (GMFI) expressed as fold increase with respect to TCPS cultured in the basal medium. Flow cytometry data were analysed using FlowJo vX0.7 software.

Osteogenic differentiation of human DPSCs by inducing an upregulation of osteo-specific genes RUNX-2, BSP, OPN and OCN and the corresponding proteins. These bECM hydrogels also improve the mineralised matrix formation of DPSCs probably by enhancing the expression of bone glycoproteins such as OPN and BSP, both involved in the formation of the mineralised matrix [52]. Importantly, the combination of bECM hydrogels with DPSCs is sufficient to induce osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs, without requiring additional
osteogenic factors. We hypothesise that the mechanical properties of bECM, as well as the constitutive molecules and the biological activity of degradation products [19], could be responsible for the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs. Similar results have been shown in another work, in which an increase in mRNA expression of osteoblast-related genes was observed in DPSCs seeded on biocoral scaffolds using medium without the addition of other substances [41].

Here, we observed that both BSP and OPN expression were higher in DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs cultured in basal medium compared to TCPS-cultured cells treated with osteogenic medium. However, at the same time, we observed that DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs expressed lower levels of OCN compared to TCPS cultured in osteogenic medium. We postulate that the early and intermediate osteogenic markers (RUNX-2, BSP, OPN) [53,54], but not the late osteogenic markers, such as OCN [55], can be efficiently upregulated by bECM. Importantly, we observed also that the expression of the osteogenic markers RUNX-2 and BSP were higher in bECM than Col-I hydrogel constructs. These results indicate that bECM may be better suited for supporting osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs than Col-I hydrogels. We hypothesise that the differences observed between bECM and Col-I might be due to the different mechanical and biological properties of these scaffolds [19]. In the second part of this study, using a combination of GFs containing FGFb and EGF, we have observed that DPSCs seeded on bECM have a higher expression of osteogenic markers than those seeded on TCPS or Col-I. The results indicate that growth factors are capable of improving the osteogenic potential of bECM hydrogels. Collectively, our data show that bECM hydrogel scaffolds provide an enhanced environment for the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs.

Our previous study demonstrated the potential of the bECM hydrogel scaffolds to upregulate the expression of odontogenic specific genes in DPSCs [20]. Here, we found that hydrogel scaffolds can also direct the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs by upregulating the expression of the osteogenic markers at both mRNA and protein levels.

Although the results support the potential use of our proposed cell-seeded hydrogel scaffolds in bone tissue engineering, there is no a positive dose-response relationship between protein content in the hydrogels (3, 4, 6, 8 mg/mL) and osteogenic differentiation of the cells. We hypothesise that 6 and 8 mg/mL hydrogels contain a high concentration of bone-formation inhibitory factors such as sclerostin [56] or others bovine peptides that could hamper the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs.

The present work is a preliminary study; further investigations are needed to demonstrate the biocompatibility and osteoconductivity of the DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs in vivo.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we aimed to measure the effect of bECM on osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs. Our results demonstrate that bECM hydrogels facilitated a significant upregulation of markers involved in osteogenesis, indicating that DPSCs are directed towards the osteogenic lineage. The ability of the bECM hydrogel to induce osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs without the addition of growth factors makes it an interesting biomaterial for bone tissue engineering applications.

Overall, the findings from our study have shown some encouraging results which provide proof-of-principle data suggesting that DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs can be used
for bone regenerative purposes. However, further studies are needed to assess the clinical relevance of the DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs.

In conclusion, the DPSCs/bECM hydrogel constructs developed in this study could be considered promising candidates for the treatment of bone defects.
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