Chitosan-coated liposomes loaded with butyric acid demonstrate anticancer and anti-inflammatory activity in human hepatoma HepG2 cells
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Abstract. Butyric acid (BA) has been reported to induce anticancer effects on hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells both in vitro and in vivo. However, its delivery and release in cancer tissues must be optimized. On the basis of these requirements, we prepared liposomes coated with chitosan and uncoated liposomes and both types were loaded with BA through a thin-film hydration method. The liposomes coated or uncoated with chitosan had a mean hydrodynamic size of 83.5 and 110.3 nm, respectively, with a homogeneous size distribution of the particles. For evaluation of the biological effects of the nanoformulations, the hepatoblastoma (HB) HepG2 cell line was utilized. BA-loaded liposomes coated with chitosan showed a considerable higher cytotoxicity than both uncoated liposomes and free BA, with IC₅₀ values, after 72 h of incubation, of 7.5, 2.5 and 1.6 mM, respectively. Treatment of HepG2 cells for 5 h with the BA-loaded liposomes coated with chitosan at 5 mM lowered the extent of the increase in IL-8, IL-6, TNF-α and TGF-β expression of approximately 64, 58, 85 and 73.8%, respectively, when compared to the untreated cells. The BA-loaded liposomes coated with chitosan had marked capacity to be internalized in human HB cells showing an increased cytotoxic activity when compared with free BA and important anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting production of cytokines with a central role in liver cell survival.

Introduction

The liver has a key role in the metabolism and detoxification of drugs. This role induces the exposure of the liver to metabolites and toxins that, in turn, can cause chronic inflammation (1) and in some cases the development and pathogenesis of acute and chronic hepatic diseases (2-4). Chronic inflammation of the liver causes cellular damage and the deposit of a high amount of lipids in the hepatocytes which is associated with a higher risk of steatohepatitis, fibrosis and cancer (5-9). In detail, liver tissue consists of several cell components involved in inflammatory processes. These cell components include leukocytes and Kupffer cells, that can be stimulated by toxins or other inflammatory reactions to produce cytokines such as transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-6 (10). Among these, TNF-α activates several intracellular pathways regulating inflammation, cell death and proliferation (11,12). TNF-α is mainly produced in response to lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and other bacterial products. During hepatocyte injury, Kupffer cells are able to produce TNF-α which increases the secretion of IL-6 in an autocrine manner with subsequent induction of hepatocyte proliferation. IL-6 is crucial for hepatocyte homeostasis and mitogenic activity; in fact, it is involved in liver regeneration but extended activation of the IL-6/IL-6-R signaling pathway is crucial in the initiation and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (13,14). In patients affected by HCC, a high amount of macrophages infiltrating in the tumor is correlated with the negative prognosis of the disease. This finding can be due to IL-6 hypersecretion that, in turn, increases the generation of HCC progenitor cells (HcPCs). Moreover, TGF-β is a growth factor with pro-fibrogenic and immunosuppressive activity (15,16). Therefore, its expression induces hepatocyte death and metabolic modulation of cells involved in the wound-healing response such as hepatic stellate cells and liver
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fibroblasts (17,18). Overexpression of TGF-β is involved in several liver diseases (19) and could be directly involved in the tumorigenic process (20).

During the last few years, great emphasis has been given to the ‘gut-liver axis’, and on the role of intestinal bacterial flora in inducing positive or negative effects on liver health protecting tissue from inflammatory injuries (21). For example, saccharolytic fermentation (carried out mainly by Lactobacillus spp) of unabsorbed and indigestible carbohydrates of soluble dietary fibres, is an essential mechanism leading to the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (i.e. acetate, propionate and butyrate) that display a well-known anti-inflammatory action in liver tissues (22-24). Among the SCFAs, intestinal epithelial cells derive the most useful energy for processes such as proliferation and differentiation, secretion of mucus, decrease in inflammation in different organs, from butyric acid (BA). Specifically, BA decreases the production of cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α and TGF-β, that have a central function in liver inflammation and are involved in triggering fibrosis as well as cancer (25,26). However, oral administration of BA is clinically not easy due to both its unpleasant taste and inefficient intestinal absorption (27,28). Nevertheless, in the last few years some randomized controlled trials have demonstrated the beneficial effects of BA after oral administration even though an important limitation in these clinical trials was its low bio-availability due to the interference of gut microbiota that can change its concentration and absorption (29). The recent use of nanotechnology in medicine has brought important advances in the delivery of drugs in inflamed and cancer tissues. In light of this, liposomes are lipidic nanocarriers able to protect a drug from the external environment, enzymatic attack and immune recognition with consequently increased bioavailability, controlled drug delivery, biodistribution in targets such as cancer tissues and reduced toxicity (30-32). Liposomes and other nanocarriers are directly involved in altering the biodistribution of certain anticancer agents that cannot be efficiently delivered, in their free formulation, in cancer tissues or cannot be appropriately adsorbed by the gut (33). Liposomes loaded with bioactive molecules, such as polyphenol or SCFAs, and orally administered can represent important tools with which to enhance bio-drug plasma concentration and specific delivery to the liver (34,35). For the oral administration of liposomes, surface liposome coating with natural polymers could be an efficient strategy to increase gut absorption and, among these, chitosan is one of the most promising. Chitosan is a natural polysaccharide derived from chitin and due to its properties, such as hydrophilicity, bioadhesivity, biocompatibility, biodegradability and low toxicity can be considered as a novel drug delivery system that improves the oral bioavailability of drugs by prolonging the residence time at the site of intestinal absorption (36). Moreover, chitosan induces a redistribution of cytoskeletal F-actin and tight junction protein ZO-1 via interaction between its positive charges and the enterocyte surface negative charges, which results in increased paracellular permeability for hydrophilic macromolecules. In the present study, we investigated the in vitro anticancer activity and anti-inflammatory properties of chitosan-coated and -uncoated liposomes loaded with BA in hepatoblastoma (HB) HepG2 cells (37).

Materials and methods

Materials. Butyric acid, cholesterol, sodium phosphatidylcholines, fluoresceineamine (FA), Spectra/Por Biotech cellulosic ester membrane (cut-off 5 kDa) and ethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich/Merck KGaA (Milan, Italy). To carry out synthesis and characterization, we used purified and distilled water by reverse osmosis (Milli-Q Plus; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Milan, Italy).

Methods.

Synthesis and chemical characterization of liposomes and fluorescent liposomes. Thin-film hydration technique was used to prepare liposomes either loaded or not with BA and chitosan-coated or uncoated, as previously described (38) but with some modifications (36). Specifically, as an example for the synthesis of uncoated liposomes loaded with BA, we used chloroform to dissolve sodium phosphatidylcholines (SPC)/cholesterol/butyric acid (20/5/4, w/w) and rotary evaporation at 37°C to dry and form a thin film. The organic solvent was completely removed by drying under vacuum. To hydrate the thin film we used 20 ml of 50 mM citric acid and this solution was shaked and mixed. Finally, the pH of the suspension was adjusted to 6.8 with 50 mM Na2CO3 and, in order to obtain small and homogeneous liposomes, the obtained nanocarriers were sonicated for 10 min (1 mHz) by using a sonicator (Sonics VCX 500 Vibra Cell™; Sonics & Materials, Inc., Newton, CT, USA). An aliquot of liposomes loaded with BA was added with the same volume of chitosan (0.1%) in PBS (phosphate-buffered saline; pH 6.8) and then incubated at 4°C for 1 h to prepare the chitosan-coated liposomes loaded with BA.

For the biological studies of cellular internalization and imaging by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) fluorescently, chitosan-coated and -uncoated liposomes were prepared with a solution of 0.1 mg/ml of fluoresceineamine (FA) in PBS to the lipid solution before preparing liposomes as described before. The particle sizes and zeta (ζ) potentials of the final products were measured with a Zetasizer ZS nano series ZEN 3600 (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, UK) and 50 runs were carried out for each measurement for ζ potential analysis, whereas the default refractive index ratio (1.52) and 5 runs for each measurement (1 run lasting 100 sec) were used in the calculations of the particle size distribution.

Cell viability. To evaluate the cytotoxicity of all substances on human hepatoblastoma (HB) HepG2 cells (HB-8065™; ATCC®, American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA), through their mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity, a modified MTT test [3-(4,5-dimethyliazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions (Dojindo Molecular Technologies Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). Specifically, the culture medium used to grow the HepG2 cell line (HB-8065 ATCC) was Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Sigma Aldrich S.L.R., Milan, Italy) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Pen-Strep and cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 10,000 cells per well at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. After 24 h of growth, the following formulations were added to the cells in full medium: empty liposomes (Lip), empty chitosan-coated liposomes
(Chit-Lip), uncoated liposomes loaded with BA (Lip-BA), chitosan-coated liposomes loaded with BA (Chit-Lip-BA), free BA (BA); for both loaded nanocarriers and free BA the fatty acid was tested always at the corresponding concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 10 mM. Cells were then incubated from 24 to 72 h under standard conditions and subsequently the cells were washed three times with PBS at pH 7.4 and incubated with 100 µl with a MTT solution (0.5 mg/ml in cell culture medium) for 4 h at 37°C. Tecan Infinite M200 plate-reader (Tecan Group, Ltd., Mannedorf, Switzerland) with I-control software (Tecan Infinite i-control 1.8.50.0) was used to acquire the absorbance at 450 nm. The relative cell viability (%) was calculated by the formula \( \frac{[A_{\text{test}}]}{[A_{\text{control}}]} \times 100 \), where \( [A_{\text{test}}] \) is the absorbance of the test sample, and \( [A_{\text{control}}] \) is the absorbance of the control cells incubated solely with culture medium. After this evaluation was carried out, the Micro BCA protein assay kit (Pierce) was utilized to quantify total protein content. This method provides washing of the cells with ice-cold PBS, and the incubation of these for 15 min in 150 µl of lysis buffer (0.5% v/v Triton X-100 in PBS), and 150 µl of Micro BCA protein assay kit reagent (prepared following the instructions of the manufacturer) and finally the absorbance was measured at 562 nm. The cytotoxicity measurements were then normalized by the amount of total protein content in each well.

**Cellular uptake studies.** Imaging by confocal laser scanning microscope. The culture medium used to grow the HepG2 cells (HB-8065 ATCC) was DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Pen-Strep and cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 5x10³ cells/well at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere for 24 h. For the imaging studies, we followed the same procedure described in the literature (39,40) where the medium was replaced with 0.3 mg/ml of Chit-Lip-FA solution in culture medium and incubated for 0.5, 4, 8 and 24 h; after incubation time with fluorescent liposomes, the cells were washed three times with PBS and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 20 min. Concanavalin A tetramethylrhodamine conjugate (Invitrogen, Life Technology; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was used to stain the membrane at a final concentration of 100 µg/ml. After washing in PBS, human cells were blocked with 1% BSA in PBS for 20 min and washed three times with PBS. For data acquisition, we used a confocal microscope (C1 Nikon; Nikon Cor., Tokyo, Japan) with EZ-C1 software (Nikon Corp.) and 60x or 100x oil immersion objective, imaging of the fluorescent liposomes was evaluated by excitation/emission at 492/518 nm, and the cell membrane with excitation/emission at 555/580 nm.

**Quantification of uptake.** The culture medium used to grow HepG2 cells (ATCC HB-8065) was supplemented with DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% Pen-Strep and cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 5x10³ per well at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere for 24 h. For uptake quantification, we followed the same protocol used in our previous work (32). Subsequently, each well with the proper cell concentration was washed and 0.1 ml of 1 mg/ml solution of either fluorescent uncoated (Lip-FA) or chitosan-coated (Chit-Lip-FA) liposomes was added to the culture medium and cells were incubated for a time ranging from 0.5 to 24 h. After this time, the supernatant was removed and cells were washed three times with 10 mM PBS and, then, the lysate with 0.1 ml of 0.5% Triton X-100 in 0.2 N NaOH. The fluorescence of the cell lysate (\( \lambda_{\text{exc}} = 485 \text{nm}, \lambda_{\text{em}} = 535 \text{nm} \)) allowed the evaluation and quantification of the membrane-bound and internalized fluorescent liposomes, using a calibration curve ranged from 0.001 up to 0.6 mg/ml of fluorescent liposomes dispersed in a cell lysate solution (10⁶ untreated cells dissolved in 1 ml of the Triton X-100/0.2 N NaOH solution). For both calibration curve and Lip-FA/Chit-Lip-FA cellular uptake determination, the fluorescence was measured at the proper wavelengths by using a spectrofluorometer (xMark Microplate spectrofluorometer; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy).

**Mechanistic studies.** In order to understand the mechanisms of liposome internalization in HepG2 cells, we studied the effects of specific pharmacological treatments, such as bafilomycin A1 [selective reversible inhibitor of vacuolar H⁺-ATPases (V-ATPases) which inhibits autophagy for preventing vacuolar acidification necessary for autophagosome maturation] (41,42), filipin (inhibitor of caveolae-mediated endocytosis), nocardazole (rapidly reversible inhibitor of microtubule polymerization), cytochalasin D (a well-known selective inhibitor of actin polymerization), hyperton sucrose and potassium-free buffer (which inhibit the clathrin-mediated uptake with lower or higher selectivity, respectively) and sodium azide (a metabolic inhibitor of cell respiration).

Cell uptake experiments were performed at 4 h of incubation with fluorescent uncoated (Lip-FA) and chitosan-coated (Chit-Lip-FA) liposomes in the presence of these inhibitors, specifically: 0.45 M sucrose, 0.1 mg/ml of cytochalasin D, 1 mg/ml of nocardazole, 0.1 mg/ml of filipin and 2x10⁻² M of bafilomycin A1. To quantify the energy dependence of the process, we performed other experiments in which cancer cells were incubated with 10⁻² M of sodium azide for 30 min prior to liposome uptake. HepG2 cells were pre-incubated at 4°C for 30 min with inhibitors and then at 37°C for 4 h without them, as previously reported (43). In order to study the effect of intracellular potassium depletion, HepG2 cells were rinsed twice and incubated with a potassium-free buffer solution with the following substances: 0.14 M NaCl, 0.02 M of MES buffer, 10⁻³ M of CaCl₂, and 1 mg/ml of glucose pH 7.4 for 30 min before uptake experiments were performed in the same medium, as previously reported (44).

**Analysis of cytokine expression.** With the ELISA test, it was possible to assess the expression of IL-6, IL-8, TGF-β and TNF-α in human HB cells, following the same procedure previously published by our group (45). Briefly, HepG2 cells (1.2x10⁵ cells/well) were seeded in 12-well plates in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Pen-Strep at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Thereafter, the cells were incubated for 24 h in serum-free medium for 2.5 h. Subsequently, the cells were incubated with or without 0.1 ml of unformulated butyric acid or chitosan-coated or uncoated liposomes both loaded with BA (in all cases, fatty acid was tested at 2.5, 5 and 10 mM) for 5 h before exposure to LPS (40 ng/ml) for 12 h. LPS was used to stimulate inflammation. Thereafter, using a VEGF ELISA kit (Sigma Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Milan, Italy) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, the quantification
of IL-6 and IL-8 was performed. This assay can detect cytokines in a 10-32,000 pg/ml range with a sensitivity less than 10 pg/ml).

**Statistical analysis.** The analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s multiple comparison test in SigmaPlot Software (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) was used to analyze the statistical difference between experimental groups. The lowest acceptable significant threshold, for statistical analysis of all data, was P<0.05.

**Results**

**Synthesis and chemical characterization of the liposomes and fluorescent liposomes.** As reported in Table I, the obtained liposomes showed a specific distribution size linked to the presence or absence of the coating on the surface. In fact, uncoated liposomes either empty or loaded with drug or fluorophore had a mean hydrodynamic size of ~88.5 nm (88.6±4.3, 92.1±4.1, 84.5±3.6 nm, respectively) with a polydispersity index (PDI) always <0.3 indicating a good and homogeneous dispersion of the liposome sizes. On the other hand, the coating of the liposomes with chitosan, as expected, induced an increase in the hydrodynamic size with a mean value of 126 nm (Table I) and with an acceptable PDI. Regarding ζ potential, as clearly shown in Table I, coating of the nanocarriers caused the formation of a net positive surface charge of the liposomes indicating in an indirect manner the presence of chitosan on their surface.

**Cell viability.** As shown in Fig. 1, empty liposomes showed a very slight toxicity against HepG2 cells at all the times and the same concentrations used. Specifically, at a very high concentration of uncoated and coated liposomes, corresponding to 5 mg/ml, after 72 h of incubation only approximately 15-20% of the cells were not viable (P<0.05, compared to the control). This biological behavior is already well known as these lipidic nanocarriers are highly biocompatible and easily metabolized by cells (46). Interestingly, the chitosan coating did not increase cell cytotoxicity against the HB cells, compared to the uncoated ones, in all assessed concentrations indicating a good biocompatibility of the polymer. As reported in the literature (47), free BA showed a marked antitumor effect against human cells without reaching an IC_{50} value after 24 h of incubation at all assessed concentrations; only after 48 and 72 h of incubation the IC_{50} value was obtained in cells treated with free BA (10 and 4.5 mM, respectively) indicating a time-dependent cytotoxicity of the fatty acid against HepG2 cells. On the other hand, formulations of BA encapsulated in both coated and uncoated liposomes induced a significantly improved antiproliferative activity in the HepG2 cells. In detail, uncoated liposomes loaded with BA (Lip-BA) induced an approximately 50% of cell growth inhibition at 10, 5.5 and 2.7 mM after 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation, respectively, indicating an increase in anticancer activity of about 45 and 40% after 48 and 72 h of incubation, respectively, when compared to free BA (Fig. 1). The best results were obtained with the chitosan-coated liposomes (Chit-Lip-BA) that caused an approximately 50% cell growth inhibition at 7.5, 2.5 and 1.6 mM after 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation, respectively, with a 25, 55 and 41% (P<0.05) increased cytotoxic activity when compared to the uncoated liposomes, respectively. Comparing unformulated BA with chitosan uncoated and coated liposomes loaded with BA (Fig. 2), it was obvious that, at 48 (Fig. 2B) and 72 h (Fig. 2C) of incubation, there was a statistically significant difference between Lip-BA and Chit-Lip-BA. These differences, in the same cases, were not significant at 24 h of incubation (at 1.5 and 2.5 mM of BA) (Fig. 2A). However, these findings suggest that BA cytotoxicity against human HB cells was greatly improved by its encapsulation in liposomes coated with chitosan with an IC_{50} value at 48 and 72 h of incubation that was decreased at approximately 75 and 65.5% (P<0.01 for both) when compared to free BA.

**Cellular uptake studies**

**Imaging by confocal laser scanning microscope.** The images obtained with confocal laser scanning microscope (Fig. 3) showed a time-dependent uptake of fluorescent chitosan-coated liposomes with a perimembrane localization after 0.5 and 4 h and a perinuclear localization after 24 h (Fig. 3D). Chitosan-coated liposomes appeared to be located especially on the cell membrane and in the juxtanuclear region (Fig. 3A and B) at a short time of incubation (0.5 and 4 h). Notably, the images showed the overall spheric shape of the fluorescent nanocarrier with a characteristic point-like dispersion in the intracellular microenvironment.

**Uptake quantification.** As shown in Fig. 4A, the uptake of fluorescent-coated and uncoated liposomes was time-dependent in the HepG2 cells with a statistically significant difference between the two different nanocarriers. Importantly, just after

---

**Table I. Physical-chemical characteristics of the liposomes used: hydrodynamic size, polydispersity index (PDI) and ζ potential.**

| Liposomes                              | Hydrodynamic size (SD) | PDI (SD) | ζ potential (SD) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|
| Empty liposomes (Lip)                 | 84.5 nm (3.6)          | 0.26 (0.03) | -10.2 mV (1.2)   |
| Empty chitosan-coated liposomes (Chit-Lip) | 126.3 nm (6.4)        | 0.18 (0.01) | 12.2 mV (1.1)    |
| Uncoated liposomes loaded with BA (Lip-BA) | 92.1 nm (4.1)          | 0.18 (0.05) | -9.3 mV (1.5)    |
| Chitosan-coated liposomes loaded with BA (Chit-Lip-BA) | 132.2 nm (2.3)        | 0.22 (0.03) | 15.3 mV (2.1)    |
| Fluorescent uncoated liposomes (Lip-FA) | 88.6 nm (4.3)          | 0.24 (0.02) | -12.3 mV (2.2)   |
| Fluorescent chitosan-coated liposomes (Chit-Lip-FA) | 119.5 nm (3.3)        | 0.19 (0.04) | 13.5 mV (1.6)    |
2 h of incubation, approximately 25.5±3.3 and 47.5±6% of the uncoated and coated liposomes, respectively, were internalized in the HepG2 cells while the maximal internalization was observed at 24 h of incubation. In fact, 65.5±5 and 89.5±2.6% of the uncoated and coated nanocarriers were found to be incorporated in the cells (Fig. 4A) corresponding to a theoretical overall molar concentration of BA of 1.31 and 1.79 mM, respectively.

Mechanistic studies. As it was established that liposomes can be internalized in human HepG2 cells in a time-dependent manner, by the use of a small library of inhibitors of general active transport processes it can be possible to analyze cell uptake, endosomal acidification, caveolae-mediated endocytosis, membrane ruffling and vesicular transport on microtubuli or actin fibers. These inhibitors had differential effects in HepG2 cells on the internalization of fluorescent-coated and -uncoated liposomes. As shown in Fig. 4B, both nanocarriers had the same internalization mechanism in HepG2 cells. In detail, the inhibition of the endocytosis of chitosan-coated liposomes by sodium azide (62% of inhibition) and by cytochalasin D (42% of inhibition) and the absence of any significant effect induced by nocodazole suggested an energy-dependent mechanism of Chit-Lip-FA internalization.
with the involvement of stress fibers, but not of microtubules; filipin did not inhibit their internalization excluding also a caveolae-mediated endocytic mechanism. On the other hand, bafilomycin A1 caused an approximately 38% reduction in endocytosis when compared to the control. Clathrin-selective potassium-free buffer (42% of inhibition) exhibited the same
inhibitory action as the well-known hypertonic sucrose, that inhibits also macropinocytic and caveolar uptake. These findings demonstrate that a clathrin-dependent endocytosis could be the preferential internalization mechanism in human HB cells (Fig. 4B).

Evaluation of cytokine expression. Regarding the anti-inflammatory properties of free or liposome-encapsulated BA, we observed that pre-treatment with all the assessed substances at the corresponding concentrations of 2.5, 5 and 10 mM consistently induced a significant decrease in IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α expression (Fig. 5) when compared to the untreated cells. However, some interesting differences could be recorded. In detail, unformulated BA administration at a concentration of 2.5 mM reduced the increase in the cell amounts of IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α of approximately 11.8, 10.4, 20.4 and 16.7%, respectively, when compared to the LPS-treated HepG2 cells (P<0.001) (Fig. 5). Interestingly, uncoated liposomes loaded with BA consistently induced a higher and statistically significant anti-inflammatory effects than free BA at all assessed concentrations; in fact, they reduced IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α production by 30.0, 18.0, 53.0 and 32.4% at 2.5 mM and 42.0, 33.0, 68.0 and 52.0% at 5 mM of BA concentration, respectively (all values compared to untreated cells, P<0.001). On the other hand, chitosan-coated liposomes loaded with BA caused higher anti-inflammatory effects when compared to both free BA and uncoated liposomes loaded with BA at all the assessed concentrations. In detail, they decreased IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α production of 46.0, 37.0, 70.0 and 45.6% at 2.5 mM and 64.0, 58.0, 85.0 and 73.8% at 5 mM BA concentration, respectively (all values compared to untreated cells, P<0.001). Comparing the two different liposomal formulations, at 5 mM BA concentration, chitosan-coated liposomes encapsulating BA reduced IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α production by 22.0, 25.0, 17.0 and 22.0%, respectively, more than the uncoated liposomes encapsulating BA. These

Figure 3. Cellular images by confocal laser scanning microscope of HepG2 cells (5,000 cells/well) after 0.5 (A), 4 (B), 8 (C) and 24 h (D) of incubation with fluorescent chitosan-coated liposomes at 1 mg/ml. Green signal, fluorescent nanocarrier; Red signal, corresponding to cellular membrane labeled with concanavalin A tetramethylrhodamine conjugate. Scale bar, 50 µm.
results are in agreement with the internalization and cell viability experiments and support the hypothesis that chitosan-coated liposomes improved significantly the anti-inflammatory and anticancer functions of BA in liver cancer cells.

**Discussion**

Butyric acid (BA) is an important fatty acid with possible implications in cancer prevention and therapy, as previously shown (48). Despite its anti-inflammatory, immune-modulating, epigenetic and anticancer properties, its main crucial limits are based on the poor accumulation in target organs such as the liver where its metabolism could have a key function in the treatment of cancer conditions and altered lipidogenesis (49). This short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) is known to modulate the tissue microenvironment by inhibiting interleukin and cytokine secretion by fibroblasts and macrophages but also by cancer cells that can release growth factors that act in an autocrine or paracrine manner in order to stimulate their survival, metastasis and chemo-radioresistance. Interestingly, BA has shown anticancer properties against HCC cells principally based on its histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitory activity (50). Another study demonstrated the effects of BA on E-cadherin, vimentin, N-cadherin markers and on TGF-β1 in HCC cells (51).

In the present study, we produced and characterized biodegradable and biocompatible liposomes encapsulating BA, coated or uncoated with chitosan on their surface in order to increase both human cancer HepG2 cell uptake and BA internalization. Liposomes are among the most versatile and biodegradable nanocarriers used to increase the pharmacokinetic profile, stability and targeting of anticancer agents for both diagnosis and treatment (52). Chitosan is a positively charged polysaccharide at physiological pH with significant clinical applications as carriers of drugs after systemic or oral administration in mice and humans; in fact, chitosan was selected for its ability to resist degradation in
the gastro-intestinal tract and for its muco-adhesive capacity in the intestinal lumen with interesting application for the oral delivery of drugs (53). Following the synthesis methods described above, the liposome distribution size was always in agreement with the presence or absence of surface coating with a mean value of approximately 100 nm that is well studied in the literature as an efficient nanocarrier model for delivery of anti-inflammatory and anticancer agents. Chitosan coating increased the overall liposome diameter of approximately 30-40 nm and changed their surface charge from negative to positive (Table I), an indirect demonstration of the efficient coating process. The presence of chitosan on the liposome surface always achieved the best biological effects in all the experimental conditions; in fact, their cell internalization efficiency was significantly greater than the uncoated liposomes at all incubation times in the HepG2 cells; internalization properties of this type of liposomes were supported by imaging with confocal microscopy. Moreover, on the basis of the results obtained with specific uptake inhibitors, we can hypothesize that both liposomes used the same internalization mechanism in the HepG2 cells characterized by an endocytic manner which use for their uptake the clathrin-coated pits and actin filaments. However, chitosan-coated nanocarriers appeared to be more dependent on these mechanisms when compared to the uncoated liposomes.

Comparing the cytotoxicity of free BA with both nanocarrier formulations, similar results were observed as suggested by greater anticancer effects induced by chitosan-coated liposomes with a reduction in the IC₅₀ values at 72 h of more than 65% when compared to free BA. As evident by previously reported data, BA induces strong anti-inflammatory effects in the liver microenvironment and IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α production in HepG2 cells (1.2x10⁵ cells/well). Cells were treated with or without 0.1 ml of 2.5, 5.0 or 10.0 mM concentration of free BA or uncoated or coated liposomes loaded with BA for 5 h before exposure to lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (40 ng/ml) for 12 h. *P<0.001; **P<0.05; ns, not significant.

Figure 5. Anti-inflammatory properties of free BA (unformulated BA) or uncoated (Lip-BA) or coated liposomes loaded with BA (Chit-Lip-BA) on IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α production in HepG2 cells (1.2x10⁵ cells/well). Cells were treated with or without 0.1 ml of 2.5, 5.0 or 10.0 mM concentration of free BA or uncoated or coated liposomes loaded with BA for 5 h before exposure to lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (40 ng/ml) for 12 h. *P<0.001; **P<0.05; ns, not significant.

Based on these considerations, many researchers have discussed the use of single inhibitors of IL-8, IL-6 or TGF-β, also in association with anticancer drugs. But considering the multiple pathways involved in drug resistance processes as well as in cancer cell progression and survival, an integrated approach able to inhibit in a multiple manner more interleukins and growth factors in the liver, could be an innovative and useful integrative tool in HCC management. Here, we focused our attention on the role of BA as a modulator of the liver microenvironment exploiting its abilities in decreasing inflammation.

the gastro-intestinal tract and for its muco-adhesive capacity in the intestinal lumen with interesting application for the oral delivery of drugs (53). Following the synthesis methods described above, the liposome distribution size was always in agreement with the presence or absence of surface coating with a mean value of approximately 100 nm that is well studied in the literature as an efficient nanocarrier model for delivery of anti-inflammatory and anticancer agents. Chitosan coating increased the overall liposome diameter of approximately 30-40 nm and changed their surface charge from negative to positive (Table I), an indirect demonstration of the efficient coating process. The presence of chitosan on the liposome surface always achieved the best biological effects in all the experimental conditions; in fact, their cell internalization efficiency was significantly greater than the uncoated liposomes at all incubation times in the HepG2 cells; internalization properties of this type of liposomes were supported by imaging with confocal microscopy. Moreover, on the basis of the results obtained with specific uptake inhibitors, we can hypothesize that both liposomes used the same internalization mechanism in the HepG2 cells characterized by an endocytic manner which use for their uptake the clathrin-coated pits and actin filaments. However, chitosan-coated nanocarriers appeared to be more dependent on these mechanisms when compared to the uncoated liposomes.

Comparing the cytotoxicity of free BA with both nanocarrier formulations, similar results were observed as suggested by greater anticancer effects induced by chitosan-coated liposomes with a reduction in the IC₅₀ values at 72 h of more than 65% when compared to free BA. As evident by previously reported data, BA induces strong anti-inflammatory effects in the liver microenvironment and IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α production in HepG2 cells (1.2x10⁵ cells/well). Cells were treated with or without 0.1 ml of 2.5, 5.0 or 10.0 mM concentration of free BA or uncoated or coated liposomes loaded with BA for 5 h before exposure to lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (40 ng/ml) for 12 h. *P<0.001; **P<0.05; ns, not significant.

Figure 5. Anti-inflammatory properties of free BA (unformulated BA) or uncoated (Lip-BA) or coated liposomes loaded with BA (Chit-Lip-BA) on IL-8, IL-6, TGF-β and TNF-α production in HepG2 cells (1.2x10⁵ cells/well). Cells were treated with or without 0.1 ml of 2.5, 5.0 or 10.0 mM concentration of free BA or uncoated or coated liposomes loaded with BA for 5 h before exposure to lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (40 ng/ml) for 12 h. *P<0.001; **P<0.05; ns, not significant.
On the basis of these aims, we investigated the abilities of two BA-loaded nanoformulations in hepatoblastoma (HB) cell uptake and cell viability status. The obtained results consistently showed that the chitosan-coated nanocarriers have the best internalization capabilities and biological activities compared to the uncoated one. These effects are in agreement with previously published research of our group (by loading curcumin) (36). The anti-inflammatory activity obtained with the coated liposomes warrants the possible management of the liver cancer microenvironment through the use of these formulations. Overall, these results prompt us to perform additional experiments in animals to get definitive data concerning the anti-inflammatory properties of our formulations. In details, the expectation in the future is to take benefit of the pharmacological function of liposomes for the oral delivery of BA considering the ability of chitosan-coated nanocarriers, such as nanoemulsions, to accumulate in the liver after oral administration, as recently demonstrated (35). However, one limitation of our study is based on the absence of more biological studies such as gene expression and proteomic studies and our future investigations, planned by our research group, are based on the evaluation of different biological effects of BA in human cancer and non-cancer (such as fatty hepatocytes) liver cells considering the well-known anti-steatotic effects of BA.
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