Some aspects of the organization of medical and psychological rehabilitation of rescuers in the republic of Kazakhstan
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ABSTRACT

The last decades in the world are characterized by a steady increase in the number of natural and man-made emergencies. The Republic of Kazakhstan is not an exception to the global patterns of emergency situations. In the period from September 4 to October 6, 2017, a comprehensive study of the state of health of 551 rescuers in Almaty (Kazakhstan) was carried out according to reports on registered diseases, their outcomes and causes of temporary disability for 2012-2017, and the need for rehabilitation based on a specially designed questionnaire. The study shows the need to develop effective measures for the organization of medical and psychological rehabilitation of rescuers.
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INTRODUCTION

The work of a rescuer in dealing with the aftermath of natural and man-made emergencies is one of the most dangerous professions characterized by high traumatism and mortality. On average, 17.7 thousand emergency situations are registered in Kazakhstan annually. Rescue services are the first to respond during emergencies, and the professional duties of rescuers are related to the performance of physically and psychologically hard work.

The working conditions of rescuers are characterized by considerable physical exertion, exposure to extreme temperatures, various toxic substances, etc. (1-4). An analysis of foreign literature shows, that the direct fulfillment of professional duties (for example, extinguishing fires) is associated with a quarter of all accidents, as well as respiratory system diseases and oncological diseases. One-third of those whose professional activities are associated with high risk are observed non-specific abnormalities, accompanied by a moderate decrease in the functional reserves of the body, state of neuro-psychological stress and, in general, stress adaptation mechanisms (5-7). A high incidence of depressive state and post-traumatic mental disorders is noted in liquidators of the consequences of the collapse of the New York shopping center (8). As possible social risks and medico-psychological consequences are most often mentioned: increased frequency and complication of clinical manifestations of post-traumatic stress disorders; an increase in the incidence of chronic alcohol intoxication; drug abuse; the emergence of family conflicts; diseases of a psychogenic nature, obtained during the performance of official duties; the increase in the number of cases of inadequate aggressive reactions and suicidal behavior (9).

Thus, work in conditions of emergency situation leads to pronounced violations, which are manifested, on the one hand, in somatic pathology, and on the other, in the deterioration of psychophysiological indicators and changes in psychological status, which indicates the need for the organization of rehabilitation for rescuers working in acute and chronic stress conditions.
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METHODS

To achieve the goal, the following research methods were used:
1. Sociological survey of rescuers, who took part in the aftermath of emergency situations of natural and man-made nature.
2. Information-analytical analysis of legislative and regulatory documents, reports and current documents, modern scientific-bibliographic sources.
3. Statistical.

The research material was tools of public health policy and regulation, statistical compendiums of the Ministry of Public Health, the Committee for Emergency Situations of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Kazakhstan. To study various aspects of the organization of medical and psychological rehabilitation, we conducted a comprehensive study of the health status of rescuers of the largest metropolis of Kazakhstan, Almaty, based on data from reports on registered diseases, their outcomes and causes of temporary disability for 2012-2017 and rehabilitation needs based on a specially designed questionnaire.

RESULTS

The criterion for inclusion in the study - mandatory participation in rescue and emergency work in the aftermath of emergencies. The criterion of exclusion - work as a rescuer for less than 1 year. The period of 1 year was regarded by us as sufficient for the formation of disorders due to participation in the aftermath of emergencies.

The study involved 551 rescuers, including firefighters - 271 (49.1%), climbers (mine-rescue worker) - 69 (12.5%), divers - 37 (6.7%), health workers/psychologists - 54 (9.8%), others - 120 (21.9%).

Distribution by gender revealed the prevalence of men (87%), which is associated with the specificity of the exposure of female rescuers to an increased risk of both physical and psychological trauma, which must be taken into account when conducting rescue operations (13).

The age of the examined - 19-60 years, the average age - (33.88 + 0.75) years. The work experience as a rescuer is 0-13 years, the average work experience (4.43 + 0.28) years.

In the structure of the general morbidity of rescuers, the main classes of diseases were ranked as follows: 1st place (41%) - respiratory diseases; 2nd place (11%) - diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue; 3rd place (10%) - traumas, poisonings and some other consequences of exposure to external causes (Figure 1).

The absolute number of primary diseases for the study period - 1703, and labor losses - 41558 days. In the structure of days of labor losses, respiratory diseases occupied the 1st place (36%); 2nd place - traumas, poisonings and some other consequences of external causes (23%); 3rd place - diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue (10%). Places from the 4th to the 6th took pregnancy, childbirth and the postpartum period, circulatory system diseases and diseases of the digestive system. Their share in the overall morbidity structure ranged from 6 to 4.6%. These 6 classes of diseases gave 86.0% of all days of labor losses.
The most common causes leading to disability were circulatory system diseases - 47.2%; diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue - 11.1%; tuberculosis, endocrine system diseases and neoplasms - 8.3% each. These 3 classes of diseases accounted for 88.9% of the total number of cases of rescuers’ disability.

In the structure of mortality by disease classes, 50% of cases accounted for the death of rescuers from traumas; 21.4% for mortality from neoplasms; 20% of cases are deaths from coronary heart disease.

The study of the health status of rescuers allowed us to establish priority areas for the provision of medical care and rehabilitation:
- primary prevention of diseases of the respiratory system, circulatory system and traumatism prevention;
- comprehensive medical and psychological rehabilitation, aimed at restoring the ability to work and preventing the disability of rescuers.

Questioning of rescuers, who participated in emergency response showed, that 85.7% of respondents indicated, that they had to undergo mandatory medical examinations: once a year, 1 hour before the start of the work shift (during the emergency response before and after the work shift), while 6.7% of respondents do not undergo mandatory medical examinations, 7.6% find it difficult to answer (Table 1).

The overwhelming majority of respondents 90.4% note health problems, psycho-emotional state after participating in rescue and emergency work, and only 6.5% of respondents answered this question negatively (Table 2).

The majority of surveyed rescuers 201 (40.3%) report psycho-emotional and psychosomatic diseases after participating in rescue and emergency work, which indicates the leading role of psychological factors in the development of somatic pathology and the possibility of health recovery through influencing the psycho-physiological state of a person (Table 3).

82.6% of respondents noted, that they needed medical rehabilitation, and only 6.9% noted, that there was no need for rehabilitation (Table 4).

---

**Table 1: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “Do mandatory medical examinations conduct to You?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)**

| Do mandatory medical examinations conduct to You? (1 time per year, 1 hour before the start of the work shift, other) | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Yes                                                           | 472              | 85.7       |
| No                                                            | 37               | 6.7        |
| Difficult to answer                                           | 42               | 7.6        |
| **Total**                                                     | **551**          | **100**    |

**Table 2: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “Did any health problems, psycho-emotional state after participating in rescue and emergency work observe at You?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)**

| “Did any health problems, psycho-emotional state after participating in rescue and emergency work observe at You?” (in absolute numbers and percentages) | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Yes                                                                                                                             | 498              | 90.3       |
| No                                                                                                                              | 36               | 6.5        |
| Difficult to answer                                                                                                             | 17               | 3.1        |
| **Total**                                                                                                                        | **551**          | **100**    |

**Table 3: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “If yes, then what kind of health problems were?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)**

| If yes, then what kind of health problems were? | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Wounds (contusions, traumas, injuries)          | 114              | 22.9       |
| Lesions from the effects of chemical, bacteriological, radiation factors                                                   | 7                | 1.4        |
| Acute somatic and infectious diseases           | 75               | 15.1       |
| Exacerbation of chronic diseases               | 86               | 17.3       |
| Psycho-emotional and psychosomatic diseases     | 201              | 40.3       |
| Other                                           | 15               | 3          |
| **Total**                                       | **498**          | **100**    |
Table 4: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “In your opinion, during the work as a rescuer, did You need medical rehabilitation?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| In your opinion, during the work as a rescuer, did You need medical rehabilitation? | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Yes                                                                               | 456              | 82,6       |
| No                                                                                | 38               | 6,9        |
| Difficult to answer                                                               | 57               | 10,3       |
| Total                                                                             | 551              | 100        |

Table 5: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “What form of rehabilitation did You need?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| What form of rehabilitation did You need?                                          | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Outpatient care                                                                     | 184              | 40,4%      |
| Inpatient care                                                                      | 132              | 28,9%      |
| Rehabilitation treatment                                                             | 71               | 15,6%      |
| Spa treatment                                                                       | 69               | 15,1%      |
| Total                                                                               | 456              | 82,7%      |

Table 6: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “Assess on a scale the quality of the medical rehabilitation You received” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| Assess on a scale the quality of the medical rehabilitation You received            | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Very good                                                                          | 97               | 34,3%      |
| Good                                                                               | 81               | 28,6%      |
| Moderately                                                                         | 54               | 19,1%      |
| Bad                                                                                | 7                | 2,5%       |
| Very bad                                                                           | 5                | 1,8%       |
| Difficult to answer                                                                | 39               | 13,7%      |
| Total                                                                              | 283              | 100%       |

Table 7: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “Do psychological assistance provide to You before/after participating in emergency response?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| Do psychological assistance provide to You before/after participating in emergency response? | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Yes                                                                                         | 375              | 68,1%      |
| No                                                                                          | 127              | 23%        |
| Difficult to answer                                                                         | 49               | 8,9%       |
| Total                                                                                       | 551              | 100%       |

283 (62.1%) of needy rescuers received medical rehabilitation, 173 (37.9%) did not receive rehabilitation due to the lack of specialists in rehabilitation in the community; difficulties in obtaining a rehabilitation quota in the framework of the guaranteed volume of free medical care; the lack of a specialized rehabilitation center, as well as the respondent’s refusal of rehabilitation and other reasons.

40.4% of respondents needed rehabilitation in conditions of polyclinic, and 28.9% - in the hospital. The need for rehabilitation and sanatorium-and-spa treatment is noted by 15.6% and 15.1%, respectively (Table 5).

The number of rescuers, receiving psychological assistance before / after participating in emergency response is 3 times higher than those, who do not visit a psychologist (Table 7).

Psychological assistance “very good” helps in the rehabilitation of the psycho-emotional state of 39.8% of respondents; “good” - 23.2% of respondents; “moderately” - 17.3% of respondents, “bad” and “very bad” - 7.2 and 1.3% of respondents, respectively (Table 8).
Table 8: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “If yes, then how does psychological assistance help in the rehabilitation of Your psycho-emotional state?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| If yes, then how does psychological assistance help in the rehabilitation of Your psycho-emotional state? | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|---|---|---|
| Very good | 149 | 39.8 |
| Good | 87 | 23.2 |
| Moderately | 65 | 17.3 |
| Bad | 27 | 7.2 |
| Very bad | 5 | 1.3 |
| Difficult to answer | 42 | 11.2 |
| Total | 375 | 100 |

Table 9: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “In your opinion, during the work as a rescuer, did You need psychological rehabilitation?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| In your opinion, during your work as a rescuer, did You need psychological rehabilitation? | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|---|---|---|
| Yes | 303 | 55 |
| No | 150 | 27.2 |
| Difficult to answer | 98 | 17.8 |
| Total | 551 | 100 |

Table 19: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “If You received psychological rehabilitation, then where?” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| If You received psychological rehabilitation, then where? | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|---|---|---|
| At the place of work by psychologists | 203 | 79 |
| In the clinic by place of residence | - | - |
| In the hospital | 9 | - |
| In a rehabilitation center | - | - |
| Other | 45 | 17.5 |
| Total | 257 | 100 |

Table 11: Distribution of respondents by answers to the question: “Rate on a scale the quality of the psychological rehabilitation You received” (in absolute numbers and percentages)

| Rate on a scale the quality of the psychological rehabilitation You received | Absolute numbers | Percentage |
|---|---|---|
| Very good | 85 | 33.1 |
| Good | 92 | 35.8 |
| Moderately | 54 | 21 |
| Bad | 2 | 0.8 |
| Very bad | - | - |
| Difficult to answer | 24 | 9.3 |
| Total | 257 | 100 |

More than half of respondents (55%) noted the need for psychological rehabilitation, 27.2% of respondents answered negatively, 17.8% of respondents found it difficult to answer this question (Table 9).

Most respondents 203 (79%) received psychological assistance from in-house psychologists of the emergency services, 9 (3.5%) respondents were provided with inpatient treatment, and 45 (17.5%) indicated other sources (friends, relatives, neighbors, etc.).

The quality of the received psychological rehabilitation was evaluated by 33.1% and 35.8% of respondents as “very good” and “good”, respectively, which indicates a high level of training of psychologists for work in emergency situations (Table 11).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Thus, the study of the health status of rescuers and the conducted survey indicate the importance of a system of early prevention and rehabilitation of rescuers. Content-analysis of legal documents, regulating the provision of medical assistance in emergency situations in Kazakhstan, showed, that there are no specialized rehabilitation teams in the country at the emergency medical care stage, as a result of which victims do not have timely access to rehabilitation (14-20). The rehabilitation of rescuers is carried out in the Republic of Kazakhstan by state organizations of public health within the guaranteed volume of free medical care (21,22).
A rather high need for rehabilitation requires the creation of a specialized center for the rehabilitation of rescuers and people, affected in natural and man-made emergencies. Development of the infrastructure of rehabilitation organizations, standardization of services, systemic training of rehabilitation specialists, creation of specialized medical rehabilitation teams to ensure victims’ early access to rehabilitation are essential for supporting international disability policy and consistent with the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, which has been ratified by Kazakhstan in May 2015.
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