The Pharmacokinetics, Tissue Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion of Pinostrobin in Rats: Ultra-High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Coupled With Linear Trap Quadrupole Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry Studies
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Pinostrobin is a natural flavonoid found in various plants, well known for its wide range of pharmacological activities. However, there are few reports regarding the pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, metabolism, and excretion of pinostrobin in rats after oral administration as a single compound. Therefore, we established a method using ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with linear trap quadrupole orbitrap mass spectrometry (UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS) to determine pinostrobin and its metabolites in rat plasma, urine, feces, bile, and tissue homogenates. Pharmacokinetic parameters were measured. The large apparent volume of distribution implied that pinostrobin preferentially bound to tissues and preferably remained within the body. Based on previous pharmacological studies of its anti-ulcer, anti-HP, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activities, pinostrobin is mostly distributed in the gastrointestinal tract, indicating its potential as an effective component of traditional Chinese medicines for the treatment of peptic ulcers. Furthermore, 30 flavonoid metabolites were screened using UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS. The metabolism pathways (mainly hydroxylation, demethylation, glucuronidation, and sulfation) of pinostrobin in rats have also been proposed. A small amount of pinostrobin in its parent form is excreted through the urine, feces, and bile, indicating that it is mainly metabolized in vivo. In this study, we systemically investigated the pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, metabolism, and excretion of pinostrobin in rats. Our results provide a significant basis for the clinical development and application of pinostrobin as well as traditional Chinese medicines containing pinostrobin.
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INTRODUCTION

Peptic ulcers are a common disease encountered in the clinic. Epidemiology estimated the morbidity rate of peptic ulcer and its related disorders to be as high as 10%, especially in the past 10 years, and its incidence continues to increase (Lanas and Chan, 2017; Kuna et al., 2019). For the past few years, Lindera reflexa Hemsl., Boesenbergia rotunda (L.) Mansfield, Dysphania graveolens, and Telosyns graveolens have been used to treat gastrointestinal disorders, including peptic ulcers. Flavonoids, especially pinostrobin, are the main active components of these plants that contribute to the effective treatment of peptic ulcer (Meckes et al., 1998; Sun et al., 2016; Déciga-Campos et al., 2017; Kanchanapiboon et al., 2020).

Pinostrobin, a widely studied dietary bioflavonoid, was discovered in the heartwood of pine (Pinus strobus L.) more than 7 decades ago (Erdman, 1944). In addition to Pinaceae, pinostrobin has also been found in more than 10 families such as Lauraceae, Zingiberaceae, Fabaceae, and Polygonaceae (Patel and Bhutani, 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Gómez-Betancur et al., 2015; Dzyem et al., 2017; Vasas et al., 2020). Pinostrobin content has been found to control the quality of Linderae Re exae Radix. It is found more abundantly in roots than other secondary metabolites, such as pinocembrin and pinosylvin (Wang et al., 2016). Pinostrobin is known to have various pharmacological activities (Patel et al., 2016), including antiulcer, anti-Helicobacter pylori (Bhamarapravati et al., 2006), antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anticancer (Jaudan et al., 2018; Jones and Gehler, 2020; Sopanaporn et al., 2020), antiinflammatory, anti-viral (Wu et al., 2011), antimicrobial (Hernández Tasco et al., 2020), anti-Alzheimer’s, anti-protozoal, anti-inflammatory (Déciga-Campos et al., 2017), antimutagenic, antiplatelet (Zhang et al., 2017), antiproliferative (Siekmann et al., 2013; Jadaun et al., 2017), antileukemic (Smolarz et al., 2005), anti-oestroporotic (Gu et al., 2017), and antiparasitic properties (Vechi et al., 2020). Moreover, pinostrobin can protect the gastric mucosa by reducing the ulcer area and mucosal content and reducing or eliminating submucosal edema and leukocyte infiltration. Pinostrobin has a significant, dose-dependent protective effect on ethanol-induced gastric mucosal injury by scavenging free radicals produced by ethanol through the activation of cellular antioxidant defenses (Abdelwahab et al., 2011). Meanwhile, it exhibits nontoxic and nongenotoxic effects (Charoenesin et al., 2010). However, to the best of our knowledge, the pharmacokinetic processes of pinostrobin have not yet been explained clearly.

Pharmacokinetic profiling, including absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) processes, is vital to understanding the in vivo behavior and mechanism of action of compounds (Zeng et al., 2019). To date, there have been only a few studies regarding the determination of pinostrobin in rat plasma using high-performance liquid chromatography-ultraviolet (HPLC-UV) or HPLC-MS/MS after intravenous and intragastric administration, and only some of the pharmacokinetic parameters of pinostrobin have been reported (Hua et al., 2011; Sayre et al., 2013; Sayre et al., 2015). Hence, it is meaningful to explore the ADME processes of pinostrobin in rats after oral administration as a single compound.

In this study, we systemically investigated the pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution, metabolism, and excretion properties of pinostrobin in rats after a single oral administration. The pharmacokinetic parameters were consistent with those reported in previous studies. Pinostrobin was mostly distributed in the gastrointestinal tract. The amount of pinostrobin excreted via urine, feces, and bile in the parent form was less than 1.567%. Thirty flavonoid metabolites were identified or partially identified in biosamples collected after dosing. In addition, we proposed metabolic pathways in rats. This study provides helpful information for the clinical study of pinostrobin and traditional Chinese medicines containing pinostrobin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Materials

Pinostrobin was isolated from the roots of L. reflexa Hemsl. in our laboratory. Its structure (Figure 1) was unequivocally elucidated using spectroscopic methods (IR, MS, 1H-NMR, and 13C-NMR) (Chen et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2016). The absolute stereochemistry of pinostrobin was found to be 2S by comparing the ECD spectra (Supplementary Figure S1) with previously published data (Gaffield, 1970; Su et al., 2003). Its purity was determined to be above 98% by normalizing the peak area using an HPLC-diode array detector. Isoliquiritigenin (HPLC ≥ 98%) obtained from Shanghai Yuanye Bio-Technology Co., Ltd., was used as the internal standard (IS). Ultrapure water was prepared using a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Milford, MA, United States). Heparin sodium was purchased from Beijing Dingguo Changsheng Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. Other reagents used were of HPLC grade. Acetonitrile, methanol, and formic acid were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fairlawn, NJ, United States).

Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats, weighing 200 g ± 20 g (Certificate No. SCXK 2015-0004), were obtained from the Henan Experimental Animal Center (Zhengzhou, China). All animals were maintained on a 12/12 h light/dark cycle in an environmentally controlled breeding room (temperature 22°C ± 2°C, relative humidity 55% ± 5%). They were acclimated for one week before the initiation of dosing, with free access to food and water, and then fasted overnight (12 h) with water ad libitum prior to experimentation. The animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Experimental Animal Ethics Committee of the Henan University of Chinese Medicine. A single 48.51 mg/kg dose of pinostrobin was separately administered orally to each group (pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, and excretion groups). The samples from pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, and excretion groups were used for metabolism studies.
Pharmacokinetic Study
Pinostrobin solution was prepared in 0.9% sterile saline containing 2% polysorbate 80 (v/v). Pinostrobin was administered orally to five male rats. The volume of the dosing solution administered was adjusted according to the body weights recorded before dose administration. At 0 (prior to dosing), 0.133, 0.167, 0.33, 0.50, 1, 1.33, 1.67, 2, 4, 6, and 12 h after dosing, blood samples (∼400 µL) were collected from each animal via the fossa orbital vein using a heparinized 1.5 mL polythene tube. Plasma samples were obtained by immediate centrifugation (4,000 rpm for 10 min, 4°C) and stored at −80°C until analysis.

Tissue Distribution Study
To investigate the tissue distribution of pinostrobin, rats were randomly divided into 11 groups of five rats each. Before and after oral administration of pinostrobin, the heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, stomach, small intestine, and large intestine samples were collected at 0 (prior to dosing), 0.083, 0.33, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h after dosing. Tissue samples were washed with normal saline and dried with filter paper. The chyme in the gastrointestinal tract (including the stomach, small intestine, and large intestine) was removed before washing. Subsequently, the samples were accurately weighed to obtain their wet weight and stored at −80°C until analysis.

Excretion Study
Rats were randomly divided into two groups (n = 5). One group was used in the urinary and fecal excretion study. Five rats were orally administered pinostrobin and housed individually in stainless-steel metabolic cages, which allowed the separate collection of urine and feces. Urine and fecal samples were collected before administration and at time intervals of 0–2, 2–4, 4–6, 6–8, 8–12, 12–24, 24–36, and 36–54 h after dosing. The specimens were stored at −80°C after measuring the urine volume and the dry weight of feces for each time interval.

The other group was used in the biliary excretion study. Five rats were anesthetized and a cannula was implanted into the bile duct to collect bile. After the oral administration of pinostrobin, bile samples were collected at 0–3, 3–5, 5–7, 7–9, 9–12, 12–16, 16–20, and 20–24 h after dosing and stored at −80°C after recording the volume for each time interval.

Instrument and Analytical Conditions
An ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-linear trap quadrupole orbitrap mass spectrometry (UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS) method was applied using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UPLC tandem LTQ Orbitrap XL Hybrid mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Chromatographic
separation was performed on a Hypersil GOLD C18 column (2.1 mm × 50 mm, 1.9 μm) in tandem with a guard column and a UPLC filter cartridge (2.1 mm × 0.2 μm; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a column temperature of 30°C. The mobile phase comprised 0.1% formic acid (v/v) aqueous solution (A) and acetonitrile (B). The elution gradient was set as follows: 0–15.0 min, 28.0–100.0% B; 15.0–15.1 min, 100.0–28.0% B; 15.1–18.0 min, 28% B. The flow rate was 0.3 mL/min, and the injection volume was 5 μL, with the autosampler conditioned at 7°C.

Mass spectrometry was performed in the positive ion mode. The ion source parameters were set as follows: capillary temperature, 350°C; ion spray voltage, 4.2 kV; capillary voltage, 49 V; tube lens voltage, 105 V; and the sheath (N2) and auxiliary gas (He) flow rates of 40 and 10 arbitrary units, respectively. For pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, and excretion studies, selected ion monitoring (SIM) was used with the ion m/z 271.09607 indicating pinostrobin and m/z 257.08026 indicating isoliquiritigenin (Figure 1). For the metabolism study, full scanning was used with a scan range of m/z 80–1,500. The normalized collision energy for collision-induced dissociation (CID) was adjusted to 30% of the maximum. The isolation width of the precursor ions was m/z 2.0, and the default values were used for other CID parameters. Accurate masses were calibrated according to the manufacturer’s guidelines using a standard mixture of caffeine, MRFA, and Ultramark 1621. Data acquisition and processing were performed using Xcalibur 3.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Mass Frontier 7.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) (Sun et al., 2016).

**Preparation of Standard and Quality Control Samples**

The stock solutions of pinostrobin (1.0 mg/mL) and IS (0.4 mg/mL) were separately prepared in methanol and stored at 4°C. Standard solutions of pinostrobin at desired concentrations were prepared by serial dilution of the stock solution with methanol every 2 weeks. The IS solution was diluted with methanol to 400 ng/mL. All solutions were kept at 4°C before use. Calibration standards were prepared by spiking 20 μL of the appropriate standard solution, 50 μL IS solution, and 180 μL of blank rat plasma, urine, fecal, bile, or tissue homogenate samples. Calibration standards were prepared at concentrations of 4, 10, 50, 100, 500, 1,000, and 2,000 ng/mL for plasma, urine, fecal, and bile; 8, 20, 100, 200, 1,000, 2,000, and 4,000 ng/g for the heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney; 8, 20, 100, 200, 1,000, 2,000, 4,000, and 10,000 ng/g for the stomach, small intestine, and large intestine. The quality control (QC) samples included lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), low, middle, and high QC. They were prepared in the same manner using blank biological samples of 4, 10, 400, and 2,000 ng/mL for plasma, urine, fecal, and bile samples; 8, 20, 800, and 4,000 ng/g for the heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney; and 8, 20, 1,000, and 10,000 ng/g for the stomach, small intestine, and large intestine, respectively. Calibration and QC samples were stored at 4°C until analysis.

**Sample Pretreatment**

For the pharmacokinetic study, an aliquot of 200 μL of rat plasma samples (blank plasma, calibration standards, QC samples, and pharmacokinetic plasma samples) was vortexed with IS (50 μL, 400 ng/mL) and then with a solution of methanol–acetonitrile (1.0 mL, 5 : 95, v/v) for extraction. The mixture was vortexed for 5 min and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred into a clean tube and evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The dried residue was reconstituted with 100 μL of methanol and vortexed at 2,000 rpm for 5 min. After centrifugation at 13,600 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, 5 μL of the supernatant was injected into the UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS system for analysis. To study tissue distribution, each weighed tissue sample was homogenized in ice-cold physiological saline solution (1 : 2, w/v). The subsequent steps were identical to those described above for the treatment of plasma samples. For the excretion study, the fecal samples were pulverized with a mortar and pestle and homogenized in ice-cold physiological saline solution (1 : 2, w/v). Bile, urine, and homogenized fecal samples were processed using a similar method as the plasma samples.

**Method Validation**

The method was validated for specificity, linearity, sensitivity, accuracy and precision, extraction recovery, and matrix effect according to the FDA guidance for industry on bioanalytical method validation. Specificity was assessed by comparing the chromatograms of the standard-spiked samples with the biosamples from six different sources. Calibration curves were plotted as the peak area ratio (drug/IS) versus the pinostrobin nominal concentration using weighted least-squares linear regression analysis. The lower limit of quantification was defined as the lowest concentration on the calibration curve and evaluated by analyzing the samples prepared in six replicates. Precision and accuracy were assessed with six replicates at four QC levels in three separate runs for three consecutive days using calibration curves that were established daily. Precision was expressed as the relative standard deviation (RSD, %), and accuracy was expressed as the relative error (RE %). Matrix effects are usually due to the influence of coeluting compounds on the actual analyte ionization process (Cappiello et al., 2008). Blank biosamples were used to evaluate the matrix effect at three QC levels (n = 6). The matrix effect was calculated by comparing the peak area ratio of the analyte relative to the IS in the analyte-spiked postextracted sample with that acquired using a neat solution (Zeng et al., 2019). Extraction recoveries of pinostrobin through the protein precipitation procedure were also evaluated at three QC concentrations (n = 6) and determined by comparing the peak areas obtained from blank biological matrices spiked with analyte before and after extraction.

**Metabolite Profiling**

Metabolites were profiled using samples from the pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, and excretion studies. Metabolite identification was performed using MetWorks software (Version 1.3 SP4, Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, United States) based on retention times, chemical
composition, and fragmentation patterns on UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS and compared with the available standards and literature to describe the metabolic profiles of pinostrobin in plasma, urine, feces, bile, and tissues.

**Data Analysis**
The pharmacokinetics were calculated using Kinetica software (Version 5.1, Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, United States) with a noncompartmental statistical model. The peak concentration (Cmax) and time to reach Cmax (Tmax) were obtained from actual data. The results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD).

**RESULTS**

**Method Validation**
Owing to the high selectivity and specificity of the SIM mode, no significant endogenous interference was observed at the retention times of pinostrobin (7.17 min) and IS (3.50 min). The calibration curves for all analytes showed good linearity ($r^2 > 0.9923$) over the concentration ranges. Intra- and interday precisions for LLOQ were less than 20%, while those for low, middle, and high QC were within 15%. Intra- and interday accuracies for LLOQ were within −13.0% to 15.3%, while those for low, middle, and high QC were within −13.2% to 10.4%. Extraction recoveries ranged from 81.8% to 107.1%, with an RSD% less than 14.7%. Matrix effects ranged from 81.0% to 106.6%, with an RSD% less than 12.1%. These results (Supplementary Figures S2–S13 and Supplementary Tables S1–S3) indicated that the developed method was reproducible, accurate, and reliable for quantitative analysis of pinostrobin.

**Pharmacokinetics Study**
The validated method for the quantitation of pinostrobin in rat plasma was applied for the pharmacokinetic study in rats after oral administration of pinostrobin at a dose of 48.51 mg/kg. The mean plasma concentration-time curves are shown in Figure 2. The corresponding pharmacokinetic parameters calculated with noncompartmental analysis are listed as the mean ± SD and are shown in Table 1. The results showed that Tmax was 0.133 h after oral administration in rats, and Cmax of pinostrobin was 53.034 ng/mL ± 15.407 ng/mL. The apparent elimination half-life (t1/2) was 4.047 h ± 1.843 h, indicating that pinostrobin had a moderate t1/2. The mean residence time (MRT0–∞) was 5.906 h ± 2.056 h. The AUC0–12h and AUC0–∞ were 721.659 ± 197.849 and 881.114 ± 289.587, respectively. The large apparent volume of distribution (Vz) was 627.480 L/kg ± 111.057 L/kg.

**Tissue Distribution**
In the distribution study, the concentrations of pinostrobin were determined in multiple tissues within 24 h after a single oral administration. Tissue distribution profiles of pinostrobin in rats at different time points are shown in Figure 3. The results indicated that pinostrobin was widely distributed in the gastrointestinal tract and major organs. The highest concentration was observed in the stomach at 2 h,
followed by the small intestine, large intestine, liver, kidney, heart, lung, and spleen. The accumulation of pinostrobin in tissues was in the order of small intestine > large intestine > stomach > heart > lung > liver > spleen > kidney, suggesting that the gastrointestinal tract may be the main target organ of pinostrobin. Combined with the previous pharmacological activities of antiulcer, anti-HP, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidation of pinostrobin, this suggests that it may be an effective component of traditional Chinese medicines for the treatment of peptic ulcer.

**Metabolite Profiling of Pinostrobin**

Thirty flavonoid metabolites were identified or partially identified in all biosamples. Their chromatograms are shown in Supplementary Figures S14–S17. The maximum peak area ratio of metabolites relative to the internal standard (R\text{max}) was used to estimate the content of these metabolites quantitatively. Their retention times, semiquantitative results, and fragment information are shown in Table 2. The proposed metabolic pathways of the above metabolites are illustrated in Figure 4. For these flavonoid metabolites, the subsequent loss of H\text{2}O, CO, and Retro Diels–Alder (RDA) reaction was observed in MS/MS fragmentation (Table 2), which is in line with reported results (Sun et al., 2016). A mass spectrum peak gave rise to [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 271.09607 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{4}) at a retention time of 7.14 min with the same fragmentation pathways as the pinostrobin standard, identified as the parent drug, pinostrobin. MS/MS fragmentation showed the ions at m/z 253.0854 (–18 Da, loss of H\text{2}O), 2.4A\text{+} ions at m/z 229.0854, 1.3A\text{+} ions at m/z 167.0333, 1.4B\text{+} ions at m/z 131.0487, 5A\text{+} ions at m/z 193.0488, and m/z 103.0539 (–28 Da, product of the fragment ions at m/z 131.0487 through the loss of CO) (Sun et al., 2016).

Metabolites M25 and M26 eluted at 2.94 min and 3.09 min, respectively, with the same [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 447.12780 (C_{22}H_{23}O_{10}), 176 Da higher than pinostrobin. The prominent fragment ion at m/z 271.0961 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{4}) was obtained by losing a terminal glucose group (C_{6}H_{8}O_{6}). The other product ions were similar to those of pinostrobin, indicating glucuronidation of pinostrobin. Metabolites M24 and M28 eluted at 2.82 min and 4.08 min, respectively, with the same [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 351.0532 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{4}S), 80 Da higher than pinostrobin. The neutral loss of a sulfate group (SO\text{3}) yielded the major fragment ion at m/z 271.0962 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{4}S), and the other ions at m/z 253.0852 and 2.4A\text{+} ions at m/z 229.0861, 1.3A\text{+} ions at m/z 167.0335, 1.4B\text{+} ions at m/z 131.0487, and 5A\text{+} ions at m/z 193.0492 and m/z 103.0540 were also observed, indicating sulfation of pinostrobin. Metabolites M1 and M20 had a retention time of 0.76 min and 2.57 min, respectively, with the same [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 328.1181 (C_{16}H_{13}O_{5}N), 57 Da higher than pinostrobin. The major fragment ion at m/z 271.0969 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{4}) was produced by losing a glycine group (C_{2}H_{3}NO), and the other fragment ions at 167.0334 and 131.0483 were similar to those of pinostrobin, indicating glycine conjugation of pinostrobin. Metabolites M15 and M29 eluted at 2.18 and 4.55 min, respectively, with the same [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 432.1105 (C_{16}H_{22}O_{7}NS), 161 Da higher than pinostrobin. The fragment ion at m/z 271.0969 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{4}) was due to the loss of a glycine group (C_{6}H_{8}O_{6}NS). The other fragment ions at 167.0333 and 131.0486 were similar to those of pinostrobin, suggesting glycine conjugation of pinostrobin. Metabolite M14 showed [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 378.1000 (C_{16}H_{13}O_{5}NS), with a retention time of 2.07 min. The fragment ion at m/z 271.0956 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{4}) was produced through the loss of a taurine group (C_{4}H_{9}NO_{2}S, –107 Da), and mass signals at 167.0334 and 131.0486 were produced by 1.3A\text{+} and 1.4B\text{+} ions, which were from the ions at m/z 271.0956, indicating taurine conjugation of pinostrobin.

Metabolite M23 eluted at 2.77 min, with the [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 243.1008 (C_{16}H_{15}O_{3}), 28 Da (CO) lower than pinostrobin. The signals at m/z 123.0439, 107.0489, and 137.0589 demonstrated the existence of 0.3A\text{+}, 0.2B\text{+}, and 0.24A\text{+} fragment ions, suggesting decarboxylation on the C ring. Metabolites M4 and M18 showed the same [M + H]\text{+} ions at m/z 419.1327
| Metabolites | RT (min) | [M + H]+ ppm | Mass shift ppm | Chemical formula | Metabolic pathway | MSn m/z | Source (Tmax, Rmax)a |
|------------|----------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|------------------|
| Parent drug | 7.14     | 271.09607 C16H15O4 | -1.532         | [M-OH + H2O]+ | Glycine conjugation | 253.08542[M + H2O]+, 229.08537[M + H-C6H5O-C2H4]+, 193.04883[M + H-C6H5]+, 173.05914[M + H-C6H5O-C2H4]+, 167.03336[M + H-C6H5]+, 131.04871[M + H-C6H5O-C2H4]+ | Plasma, urine, feces, bile, heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, stomach, small intestine, and large intestine |
| M1         | 0.76     | 328.11810 C18H18O5N | -2.986         | C18H18O5N | Demethylation and glucuronidation | 415.07783[M + H2O]+, 257.08044[M + H-C6H5]+, 131.04860[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 131.04826[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+ | Urine (8–12, 3.563), liver (0.75, 0.075), and plasma (2, 0.011) |
| M2         | 0.84     | 433.11163 C21H21O10 | -0.233         | C21H21O10 | Hydroxylation and glucuronidation | 287.09042[M + H2O]+, 269.07990[M + H-C6H5]+, 131.04401[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 131.04849[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+ | Urine (6–8, 2.671), bile (12–16, 0.539), small intestine (8, 0.090), lung (8, 0.062), and liver (0.33, 0.039) |
| M3         | 0.98     | 463.12338 C22H23O11 | -2.240         | C22H23O11 | Decarboxylation and glucuronidation | 401.12317[M + H2O]+, 383.11270[M + H-C6H5]+, 131.04860[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 131.04910[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+ | Urine (12–24, 4.916), plasma (12, 0.040), kidney (12, 0.015), and lung (12, 0.011) |
| M4         | 1.00     | 419.13272 C15H17O4 | -2.240         | C15H17O4 | Hydroxylation and ketone | 243.10094[M + H2O]+, 105.03316[M + H-C6H5]+, 91.05390[M + H-C6H5]+ | Urine (8–12, 1.238), kidney (12, 0.077), and bile (0–3, 0.001) |
| M5         | 1.04     | 273.12118 C16H15O4 | -1.633         | C16H15O4 | Hydrogenation | 243.10094[M + H2O]+, 105.03316[M + H-C6H5]+, 91.05390[M + H-C6H5]+ | Urine (24–36, 3.059), stomach (1, 0.016), heart (0.085, 0.014), plasma (1.67, 0.010), lung (24, 0.009), and spleen (24, 0.009) |
| M6         | 1.13     | 301.07001 C16H15O4 | -2.174         | C16H15O4 | Decarboxylation and glucuronidation | 287.09058[M + H2O]+, 269.07900[M + H-C6H5]+, 131.04401[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 131.04910[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+ | Feces (4–6, 12.513), large intestine (0.75, 0.423), urine (4–6, 0.352), stomach (0.75, 0.238), small intestine (0.083, 0.091), spleen (0.75, 0.351), and heart (12, 0.038) |
| M7         | 1.17     | 313.10632 C16H15O4 | -2.332         | C16H15O4 | Acetylation | 295.0964[M + H2O]+, 277.08640[M + H-C6H5]+, 267.10165[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 253.0860[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 249.09061[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 130.04819[M + H-C6H5]+, 131.04871[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+ | Plasma (12, 0.040), kidney (12, 0.015), and lung (12, 0.011) |
| M8         | 1.53     | 255.06461 C15H17O4 | -2.256         | C15H17O4 | Demethylation and dehydrogenation | 237.05391[M + H2O]+, 227.06953[M + H-C6H5]+, 209.05901[M + H2O-C6H6]+, 199.07465[M + H-C6H5]+, 181.06406[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 157.06416[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 145.02780[M + H-C6H5]+, 137.02280[M + H-C6H5]+ | Urine (8–12, 1.084, 0.027), stomach (0.33, 0.046), liver (0.33, 0.33), heart (0.33, 0.33), kidney (0.33, 0.33), and large intestine (0.33, 0.119) |
| M9         | 1.55     | 463.12256 C22H23O11 | -2.004         | C22H23O11 | Hydroxylation and glucuronidation | 287.09058[M + H2O]+, 269.07900[M + H-C6H5]+, 253.0860[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 249.09061[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+, 131.04871[M + H-C6H5O-C6H6]+ | Small intestine (8, 0.335), liver (0.33, 0.219), and kidney (0.75, 0.132) |

(Continued on following page)
TABLE 2 | Continued The metabolites in rats after oral administration of 48.51 mg/kg pinostrobin.

| Metabolites | RT (min) | [M + H]⁺ | Chemical formula | ppm | Mass shift | Formula change | Metabolic pathway | MSⁿ m/z | Source (Tmax, Rmax)¹ |
|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------|
| M10         | 1.63     | 285.07507 | C₁₀H₁₂O₅       | -2.385 | 13.9793 | [M-H₂ + O] | Hydroxylation and dehydrogenation | 270.05151[M + H₂CH₃⁺], 267.06436[M + H₂CO⁺], 257.08008[M + H₂CO], 229.08514[M + H₂CO], 225.05389[M + H₂COCH₂OH⁺], 197.05903[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 167.03322[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 145.02779[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 137.02269[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 103.04891[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 97.03387[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 89.02885[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 67.01407[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 59.00905[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 53.00403[M + H₂COCH₂OH] | Urine (24–36, 107.577), small intestine (0.33, 3.436), feces (8–12, 2.019), stomach (0.33, 1.195), plasma (4, 0.200), kidney (12, 0.115), lung (0.33, 0.090), heart (0.33, 0.077), bile (12–16, 0.067), spleen (0.33, 0.060), and large intestine (0.063, 0.000) |
| M11         | 1.93     | 257.08035 | C₁₀H₁₂O₅       | -1.771 | -14.0157 | [M-H₂ + O] | Demethylation | 215.06979[M + H₂CH₃⁺], 179.03320[M + H₂CO⁺], 173.05905[M + H₂COCH₂O], 153.01764[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 131.04865[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 103.04891[M + H₂COCH₂OH] | Urine (0–2, 6.840), feces (36–54, 1.937), plasma (6, 0.674), bile (12–16, 0.221), stomach (12, 0.186), kidney (12, 0.153), liver (6, 0.121), heart (2, 0.121), spleen (24, 0.112), large intestine (0.75, 0.109), lung (1, 0.108), and small intestine (24, 0.103) |
| M12         | 1.95     | 285.07562 | C₁₀H₁₂O₅       | -0.456 | 13.9793 | [M-H₂ + O] | Hydroxylation and dehydrogenation | 257.07992[M + H₂CO⁺], 167.03354[M + H₂CO], 145.02779[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 137.02269[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 131.04865[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 103.04891[M + H₂COCH₂OH] | Urine (8–12, 30.236), bile (0–3, 0.305) |
| M13         | 1.99     | 433.11176 | C₂₂H₂₂O₁₀      | -2.686 | 162.0164 | [M-H₂ + O] | Hydroxylation and dehydrogenation | 257.07996[M + H₂CO⁺], 131.04823[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 131.04865[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 103.04891[M + H₂COCH₂OH] | Urine (8–12, 30.236), bile (0–3, 0.305) |
| M14         | 2.07     | 378.10001 | C₁₀H₁₆O₅NS      | -1.519 | 107.0041 | [M + C₂H₅NO₂S] | Taurine conjugation | 271.09558[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺], 171.06335[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺], 131.04861[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺], 103.04887[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺] | Urine (0–2, 0.502), heart (3–6, 0.146), spleen (0.75, 0.071), plasma (4, 0.053), small intestine (3, 0.027), and stomach (0.3, 0.006) |
| M15         | 2.18     | 432.11047 | C₂₀H₂₀O₇NS      | -1.572 | 161.0147 | [M + C₂H₅NO₂S] | N-Acetylcysteine conjugation | 399.13025[M + H⁺], 381.11737[M + H⁺], 271.09607[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺], 171.06335[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺], 131.04861[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺], 103.04887[M + H₂CH₂NO₂S⁺] | Urine (0–2, 0.502), heart (3–6, 0.146), spleen (0.75, 0.071), plasma (4, 0.053), small intestine (3, 0.027), and stomach (0.3, 0.006) |
| M16         | 2.24     | 287.09088 | C₁₀H₁₂O₅       | -1.811 | 15.9949 | [M + O] | Hydroxylation | 269.08017[M + H₂CO⁺], 255.06470[M + H₂CO], 245.08011[M + H₂CO], 183.02817[M + H₂CO], 173.05904[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 131.04868[M + H₂COCH₂OH], 103.04891[M + H₂COCH₂OH] | Urine (0–2, 0.502), heart (3–6, 0.146), spleen (0.75, 0.071), plasma (4, 0.053), small intestine (3, 0.027), and stomach (0.3, 0.006) |
| M17         | 2.35     | 463.12259 | C₂₀H₂₀O₁₁      | -1.939 | 192.0270 | [M + C₇H₈O₇] | Hydroxylation and glucuronidation | 445.11160[M + H₂CO⁺], 427.10352[M + H₂CO], 287.09064[M + H₂CO], 269.08014[M + H₂CO], 255.06488[M + H₂CO], 245.08002[M + H₂CO], 183.02814[M + H₂CO], 173.05910[M + H₂CO], 131.04861[M + H₂CO], 103.04891[M + H₂CO] | Urine (0–2, 0.502), heart (3–6, 0.146), spleen (0.75, 0.071), plasma (4, 0.053), small intestine (3, 0.027), and stomach (0.3, 0.006) |
| M18         | 2.36     | 419.13333 | C₂₀H₂₀O₅       | -0.784 | 148.0372 | [M + C₇H₈O₇] | Decarboxylation and glucuronidation | 243.10045[M + H₂CO], 137.05922[M + H₂CO], 123.04356[M + H₂CO], 103.04891[M + H₂CO] | Urine (2–4, 0.546) |

(Continued on following page)
| Metabolites | RT (min) | [M + H]^+ | Chemical formula | ppm | Mass shift | Formula change | Metabolic pathway | MS^n m/z | Source (Tmax, Rmax)^a |
|-------------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----|-----------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------------|
| M19         | 2.45   | 433.11200| C_{21}H_{21}O_{10} | -2.132 | 162.0164 | [M-CH₂ + C₆H₆O₆] | Demethylation and glucuronidation | 415.10141[M + H₂O]^+, 397.09091[M + H₂O]^+, 257.08026[M + H₂O]^+, 239.06920[M + H₂O]^+, 215.06953[M + H₂O]^+, 179.03311[M + C₆H₆O₆]^-, 173.05902[M + C₆H₆O₆]^-, 153.01761[M + C₆H₆O₆]^- | Urine (0–2, 203.526), plasma (4, 25.663), bile (0–3, 18.171), kidney (0.75, 4.848), small intestine (3, 3.140), heart (0.75, 0.420), liver (3, 0.241), lung (0.083, 0.186), stomach (8, 0.158), and spleen (2, 0.009) |
| M20         | 2.57   | 328.11697| C_{18}H_{18}O_{5}N | -2.984 | 57.0215  | [M-OH + C₂H₄NO₂] | Glycine conjugation | 271.05838[M + C₆H₆O₆]^-, 167.03299[M + C₆H₆O₆]^-, 131.04858[M + C₆H₆O₆]^- | Bile (3–5, 0.509), urine (8–12, 0.461), small intestine (0.75, 0.298), plasma (4, 0.165), spleen (0.75, 0.103), liver (3, 0.102), stomach (24, 0.069), kidney (0.75, 0.041), large intestine (8, 0.033), heart (3, 0.027), and lung (8, 0.020) |
| M21         | 2.64   | 271.05651| C_{15}H_{12}O_{5} | -2.176 | -0.0364  | [M-CH₄ + O] | Demethylation and methylene to ketone | 253.04883[M + H₂O]^-, 243.06450[M + H₂O]^-, 229.04872[M + H₂O]^-, 225.05394[M + H₂O]^-, 215.06960[M + H₂O]^-, 197.05984[M + H₂O]^-, 187.03836[M + H₂O]^-, 169.06418[M + H₂O]^-, 159.04343[M + H₂O]^-, 153.01765[M + H₂O]^- | Feces (8–12, 1.467), liver (0.33, 1.427), small intestine (6, 1.101), large intestine (8, 0.483), urine (4–6, 0.457), stomach (0.75, 0.249), kidney (0.75, 0.243), spleen (0.75, 0.220), and heart (2, 0.021) |
| M22         | 2.72   | 273.07455| C_{15}H_{12}O_{5} | -4.394 | 1.9793   | [M-CH₂ + O] | Demethylation and hydroxylation | 153.01706[M + H₂O]^-, 121.06379[M + H₂O]^- | Feces (8–12, 1.467), liver (0.33, 1.427), small intestine (6, 1.101), large intestine (8, 0.483), urine (4–6, 0.457), stomach (0.75, 0.249), kidney (0.75, 0.243), spleen (0.75, 0.220), and heart (2, 0.021) |
| M23         | 2.77   | 243.10080| C_{15}H_{10}O_{3} | -3.171 | -27.9949 | [M-CO] | Decarboxylation | 137.05891[M + C₆H₆O₆]^-, 133.06447[M + C₆H₆O₆]^-, 123.04386[M + C₆H₆O₆]^-, 107.04888[M + C₆H₆O₆]^- | Urine (36–54, 136.900), feces (0–2, 0.270), large intestine (2, 0.222), stomach (6, 0.179), small intestine (12, 0.100), liver (2, 0.059), kidney (12, 0.052), spleen (8, 0.038), and lung (12, 0.020) |
| M24         | 2.82   | 351.05319| C_{15}H_{10}O_{3}S | -0.313 | 79.9568  | [M + SO₃] | Sulfation | 271.09619[M + H₂O]^-, 263.08521[M + H₂O]^-, 229.06615[M + H₂O]^-, 193.04921[M + H₂O]^-, 173.05945[M + H₂O]^-, 167.03351[M + H₂O]^-, 153.01761[M + H₂O]^-, 103.05399[M + H₂O]^- | Plasma (2, 0.119), urine (0–2, 0.064) |

(Continued on following page)
### Table 2 (Continued) The metabolites in rats after oral administration of 48.51 mg/kg pinostrobin.

| Metabolites | RT (min) | [M + H]⁺ | Chemical formula | ppm | Mass shift | Formula change | Metabolic pathway | MSⁿ m/z | Source (Tmax, Rmax)¹ |
|-------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|
| M25         | 2.94     | 447.1279 | C₂₀H₂₃O₁₀        | -1.305 | 176.0321 | [M + C₂H₄O₂]⁺ | Glucuronidation | 429.11697[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 411.10663[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 313.10654[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 229.08516[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 193.04890[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 131.04866[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 103.05376[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₂H₂O-C₂H₂O]⁺ | Urine (0–2, 406.932), bile (0–3, 174.894), plasma (0.133, 59.330), small intestine (3, 25.059), liver (0.083, 7.034), kidney (0.75, 7.225), stomach (0.33, 1.090), heart (0.33, 0.967), lung (0.083, 0.538), and spleen (0.33, 0.039) |
| M26         | 3.09     | 447.1277 | C₂₀H₂₃O₁₀        | -1.908 | 186.0321 | [M + C₂H₄O₂]⁺ | Glucuronidation | 429.11682[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 411.10645[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 313.10657[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 229.08559[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 193.04910[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 131.04883[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺, 103.05399[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂-C₂H₂O-C₂H₂O]⁺ | Urine (0–2, 385.560), bile (0–3, 221.411), plasma (0.133, 92.104), small intestine (3, 35.601), liver (0.083, 14.678), kidney (0.75, 10.093), stomach (0.33, 1.536), heart (0.33, 1.508), lung (0.083, 0.767), and spleen (0.33, 0.086) |
| M27         | 3.14     | 367.0457 | C₁₆H₁₅O₈S       | -6.633 | 95.9517 | [M + O₃S]⁻ | Hydroxylation and sulfation | 287.09122[M + H-SO₃]⁺, 269.08093[M + H-SO₃-C₄H₄O₃]⁺, 131.04861[M + H-SO₃]⁺ | Plasma (0.167, 0.157), urine (0–2, 0.134), liver (0.33, 0.023), kidney (0.33, 0.022), and lung (0.083, 0.006) |
| M28         | 4.08     | 351.0529 | C₁₆H₁₅O₇S       | -1.082 | 79.9668 | [M + SO₃]⁻ | Sulfation | 279.09613[M + H-SO₃]⁺, 251.08553[M + H-SO₃-C₄H₄O₃]⁺, 131.04861[M + H-SO₃]⁺ | Plasma (0.167, 1.786), urine (0–2, 0.554) |
| M29         | 4.55     | 432.1102 | C₂₁H₂₂O₇NS      | 1.988  | 161.0147 | [M + C₂H₄NO₃S]⁺ | N-Acetylcysteine conjugation | 271.09592[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 173.05877[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 167.03326[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 131.04874[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺ | Urine (0–2, 0.289) |
| M30         | 5.01     | 257.0798 | C₁₆H₁₆O₄        | -4.028 | -14.157 | [M-C₄H₄]⁻ | Demethylation | 239.07014[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 215.06995[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 173.05936[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 153.01790[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 145.06441[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 131.04884[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O], 103.05395[M + H₂C₆H₄O₂⁻H₂O]⁺ | Urine (4–6, 17.160), small intestine (0.75, 15.426), liver (0.33, 11.587), large intestine (8, 9.070), kidney (0.75, 8.268), feces (12, 4.799), stomach (0.75, 2.949), spleen (0.75, 1.897), lung (0.75, 1.772), and heart (0.33, 0.858) |

¹Tmax is the time interval to reach the maximum peak area ratio of metabolites. Rmax is the maximum peak area ratio of metabolites relative to the internal standard.

*Sorted by Rmax from large to small.
(C$_{21}$H$_{23}$O$_9$), with a retention time of 1.00 and 2.36 min, respectively, which was 176 Da higher than M23. The prominent fragment ion at $m/z$ 243.1016 (C$_{15}$H$_{15}$O$_3$) was obtained through the loss of a glucose group (C$_6$H$_8$O$_6$). The other product ions were similar to that of M23, indicating decarbonylation and glucuronidation of pinostrobin.

Metabolite M16, with a retention time of 2.24 min, generated [M + H]$^+$ ions at $m/z$ 287.0908 (C$_{16}$H$_{15}$O$_5$), which was 16 Da higher than that of pinostrobin. The product ion at $m/z$ 269.0802 was obtained through the loss of H$_2$O. The RDA reaction of M16 generated $^{2+}A^+$ ions at $m/z$ 245.0801, $^{1+}A^+$ ions at $m/z$ 183.0282, and $^{1+}B^+$ ions at $m/z$ 131.0489. The fragment ions at $m/z$ 131.0489 could be fragmentated to produce the ion at $m/z$ 103.0539 through the loss of CO. The fragment ion at $m/z$ 183.0282 and 131.0488 showed hydroxylation on the A ring. Metabolites M10 and M12 eluted at 1.63 min and 1.95 min, respectively, with the same [M + H]$^+$ ions at $m/z$ 285.0756 (C$_{16}$H$_{13}$O$_5$), 2 Da lower than M16. The fragment ions at $m/z$ 167.0333 and 145.0277 demonstrated the existence of $^{1+}A^+$ and $^{0+}B^+$ fragment ions, suggesting hydroxylation of M16 on the C ring, which indicates the hydroxylation and dehydrogenation of pinostrobin. Metabolite M6 eluted at 1.13 min, with the [M + H]$^+$ ions at $m/z$ 301.0700 (C$_{16}$H$_{15}$O$_6$), 14 Da (−2H + O) lower than that of pinostrobin. The signals at $m/z$ 271.05948 and 131.04871 suggested hydroxylation and a ketone on the A ring of pinostrobin. Metabolites M3, M9, and M17 showed the same [M + H]$^+$ ions at $m/z$ 463.1225 (C$_{22}$H$_{23}$O$_{11}$), with retention times of 0.98, 1.55, and 2.35 min, respectively, which was 176 Da higher than M16. The prominent fragment ion at $m/z$ 287.0906 (C$_{16}$H$_{15}$O$_5$) was obtained through the loss of a glucose group (C$_6$H$_8$O$_6$). The other product ions were similar to that of M16, indicating hydroxylation and glucuronidation of pinostrobin. Metabolite M27 had a retention time of 3.14 min, with the [M + H]$^+$ ions at $m/z$ 367.0458 (C$_{16}$H$_{15}$O$_5$S), 80 Da higher than M16. The neutral loss of a

![ FIGURE 4 | Proposed metabolic pathways of the metabolites in rats after oral administration of 48.51 mg/kg pinostrobin.](image-url)
sulfate group (SO₃) yielded the major fragment ion at m/z 287.0912 (C₁₀H₁₂O₃). The other ions at m/z 269.0809 and 131.0486 were also observed, indicating hydroxylation and sulfation of pinostrobin.

The metabolite M30 (pinocembrin), by a retention time of 5.01 min, generated [M + H]⁺ ions at m/z 257.0798 (C₁₀H₁₅O₄), indicating demethylation of pinostrobin. The fragment ion at m/z 239.0701 (C₁₂H₁₅O₃) was obtained through the loss of H₂O. The RDA reaction of M30 generated 2.4A⁺ ions at m/z 215.0699 (C₁₅H₁₇O₄), 1.3A⁺ ions at m/z 153.0179 (C₇H₇O₃), and 1.4B⁺ ions at m/z 131.0488 (C₇H₆O₂). The fragment ions at m/z 131.0488 could be converted to produce the ion at m/z 103.0540 (C₆H₆O) through the loss of CO. The fragment ions at m/z 153.0179 and 131.0488 also indicated demethylation on the A ring.

The metabolite M11 generated the same [M + H]⁺ ions at 257.0804 (C₁₅H₁₅O₄) and fragment ions at m/z 215.0698, 153.0176, and 131.0487 following an RDA reaction, which was identified as the isomer of M30. Metabolites M22, M13, and M19 showed the same [M + H]⁺ ions at m/z 463.1225 (C₂₅H₂₅O₁₅), with a retention time of 0.84 min, 1.99 min, and 2.45 min, respectively, which was 176 Da higher than M30 or M11. The prominent fragment ion at m/z 257.0803 (C₁₃H₁₀O₄) was obtained through the loss of a glucose group (C₆H₁₂O₅). The other product ions were similar to those of M30 and M11, indicating demethylation and glucuronidation of pinostrobin. Metabolite M21 eluted at 1.53 min, with the [M + H]⁺ ions at m/z 271.0595 (C₁₃H₁₁O₃), 14 Da (−2H + O) lower than M30. The fragment ions at m/z 153.0177, 119.0487, and 145.0278 demonstrated the existence of 1.3A⁺, 1.3B⁺, and 1.4B⁺ fragment ions, suggesting conversion of the methylene to a ketone of M30 on the B ring, indicating the demethylation and methylene conversion to the ketone of pinostrobin. Metabolite M8 eluted at 1.53 min, with the [M + H]⁺ ions at m/z 255.0646 (C₁₃H₁₁O₃), 2 Da lower than M30. The mass signals at m/z 145.0277 and 137.0228 demonstrated the existence of 0.4B⁻ and 0.5B⁻ fragment ions, suggesting dehydrogenation of M30 on the C ring, indicating the demethylation and dehydrogenation of pinostrobin. Metabolite M22 with a retention time of 2.72 min generated [M + H]⁺ ions at m/z 273.0746 (C₁₅H₁₅O₄), 16 Da higher than M30. The characteristic fragment ions 1.3A⁻ ions at m/z 153.0171 and 1.3B⁻ ions at m/z 121.0638 showed hydroxylation of M30 on the B ring, suggesting the demethylation and hydroxylation of pinostrobin.

Metabolite M7 had a retention time of 1.17 min and showed [M + H]⁺ ions at m/z 313.1063 (C₁₈H₁₇O₅), 42 Da (COCH₂) higher than pinostrobin. The fragment ion at m/z 130.0349 showed acetylation on the B ring. Metabolite M5 eluted at 1.04 min, with the [M + H]⁺ ions at m/z 273.1121 (C₁₄H₁₂O₄), 2 Da higher than that of pinostrobin. The signals at m/z 91.0539 demonstrated the 1.2B⁻ fragment ions, suggesting hydroxylation on the C ring.

Meanwhile, intestinal bacteria play an essential role in the metabolism of flavonoids, generating ring fission products (Liu et al., 2012; Zeng et al., 2018). In this study, 15 catabolites were detected (Table 3). Their chromatograms are shown in Supplementary Figures S18, S19. Pinostrobin was catabolized into C13 (C₁₅H₁₀O₄) by fission of the fifth bond as well as C4 (C₇H₆O₃) and C14 (C₇H₆O₃) by the fission of the first and fourth bonds. C4 was converted to C10 by demethylation. Meanwhile, C14 was hydroxylated, hydrogenated, and glycine-conjugated, yielding C9 (C₉H₁₀O₃), C12 (C₉H₁₁O₂), and C11 (C₇H₁₂O₃N). Then, C12 was glycine-conjugated and deethylated, generating C7 (C₇H₁₀O₂N) and C15 (C₇H₆O₃). C15 was glycine-conjugated to yield C6 (C₆H₁₀O₄N). The dehydration of C6 produced C5. In addition, C5 could be hydrogenated, carboxylated, and glucuronidated, yielding C8 (C₆H₁₀O₂N), C2 (C₁₀H₁₅O₃N), and C1 (C₁₀H₁₄O₄N). C6 was further converted to C3 by glucuronidation. Proposed pathways of the catabolic metabolites of pinostrobin are illustrated in Figure 5.

Excretion Study

The accumulative excretion ratio of pinostrobin is shown in Figure 6. The 54 h accumulative excretion ratios in urine and feces were 1.51% and 0.033%, respectively. The 24 h accumulative excretion ratio in bile was 0.024%. The excretion peak of pinostrobin in urine samples was observed 2–12 h after oral administration. After 24 h, a small amount of pinostrobin was detected in the urine. In feces, pinostrobin slowly reached its highest levels until 36 h and then gradually decreased. Similar to the urine excretion data, pinostrobin was rapidly excreted from the bile in the parent form from 3 to 9 h.

DISCUSSION

The pharmacokinetic results are consistent with those of previous studies (Hua et al., 2011; Sayre et al., 2013; Sayre et al., 2015). The significantly large Vₚₚ implied that pinostrobin preferentially binds to tissues and preferably remains within the body. Furthermore, pinostrobin showed double peaks in the concentration-time curve, similar to the pharmacokinetics studies of other flavonoid compounds that have been reported, which may be related to enterohepatic circulation or gastric emptying-regulated absorption (Xiong et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2017; Zeng et al., 2019). The accumulative excretion ratios in the urine remained below 1.51%, indicating that very little of the orally administered doses are excreted in the urine. A small amount of pinostrobin was detected in the urine after 24 h, which is consistent with previous reports using HPLC (Sayre et al., 2015). Pinostrobin slowly reached its highest levels in the feces until 36 h and was rapidly excreted from the bile from 3 to 9 h. Similar to urine excretion, the accumulative excretion ratios in the feces and bile are also very low. Therefore, it is likely that pinostrobin is mostly metabolized in vivo and plays a role in different organs.

Pinostrobin has been shown to have a significant protective effect against ethanol-induced gastric mucosal injury by scavenging free radicals produced by ethanol by activating cellular antioxidant defense. It can protect the gastric mucosa by reducing ulcer area and mucosal content and reducing or eliminating submucosal edema and leukocyte infiltration (Abdelwahab et al., 2011). Thus, the gastrointestinal tract (including the stomach, small intestine, and large intestine) is considered the primary target organ of pinostrobin in relieving gastrointestinal diseases. Pinostrobin accumulation was higher in the stomach, small intestine, and large intestine than in other tissues. Therefore, pinostrobin may effectively reduce peptic ulcers. In contrast, pinostrobin and its metabolites were poorly distributed in the tissues of the spleen,
TABLE 3 | The catabolic metabolites in rats after oral administration of 48.51 mg/kg pinostrobin.

| Metabolites | RT (min) | [M + H]⁺ | Chemical formula | ppm | MSⁿ m/z | Source (T_max, R_max)ᵃ |
|-------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-----|---------|----------------------|
| C₁          | 0.70     | 338.08725 | C₁₂H₁₇O₈N        | -1.902 | 320.07602[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 144.04382[M + H₂O-H₂O]⁺, 116.04903[M + H₂O-H₂O-CO]⁺ | Urine (36–54, 23.329); feces (4–6, 0.076) |
| C₂          | 0.69     | 190.04930 | C₁₀H₁₀O₄N       | -2.997 | 172.03948[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 144.04449[M + H₂O-CO]⁺, 116.04951[M + H₂O-2CO]⁺ | Urine (36–54, 3.524); bile (20–24, 0.426); stomach (6, 0.024) |
| C₃          | 0.70     | 340.10287 | C₁₅H₁₈O₈N       | 0.521  | 322.09247[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 304.08197[M + H₂O-CO]⁺, 260.09192[M + H₂O-CO-CO]⁺ | Urine (24–36, 20.271); bile (20–24, 0.344) |
| C₄          | 0.71     | 127.03858 | C₆H₇O₃          | -3.075 | 83.04864[M + H-CO₂]⁺ | Urine (24–36, 2.557); liver (6, 0.0.154) |
| C₅          | 0.79     | 162.05447 | C₉H₈O₂N         | -2.993 | 144.03822[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 134.05949[M + H₂O-CO]⁺, 105.03305[M + H₂O-CO-NH₃]⁺ | Urine (36–54, 101.501); feces (12–24, 8.460); bile (20–24, 0.277); stomach (6, 0.054); liver (12, 0.0.038) |
| C₆          | 0.81     | 180.06503 | C₉H₁₀O₃N        | -2.720 | 162.05437[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 134.05962[M + H₂O-CO]⁺, 105.03307[M + H₂O-CO-NH₃]⁺ | Urine (6–12, 109.725); bile (20–24, 2.565); liver (12, 0.076); stomach (3, 0.004) |
| C₇          | 0.88     | 194.08064 | C₁₀H₁₂O₃N       | -2.730 | 176.07001[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 148.07516[M + H₂O-CO]⁺, 120.08035[M + H₂O-2CO]⁺, 91.05382[M + H₂O-2CO-NH₃]⁺ | Urine (36–54, 222.178); bile (20–24, 6.207); liver (12, 0.305); stomach (6, 0.091); feces (0–2, 0.049) |
| C₈          | 0.92     | 164.07057 | C₈H₆O₂N         | -0.214 | 146.05969[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 136.07573[M + H₂O-CO]⁺, 122.05989[M + H₂O-CO-NH₃]⁺ | Urine (24–36, 18.666); feces (2–4, 6.623); bile (16–20, 0.502); large intestine (1, 0.113); stomach (6, 0.0.102); liver (6, 0.0.049) |
| C₉          | 1.10     | 167.07028 | C₉H₁₁O₂          | 0.055  | 149.05870[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 121.06412[M + H₂O-CO]⁺ | Liver (0.083, 0.576); urine (0–2, 0.309); feces (4–6, 0.204) |
| C₁₀         | 1.13     | 141.05414 | C₇H₉O₃          | -3.408 | 105.03319[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 97.06436[M + H₂O-CO]⁺ | Urine (8–12, 0.025) |
| C₁₁         | 1.24     | 206.08061 | C₁₁H₁₂O₃N       | -2.716 | 131.04919[M + H₂O-CO₂]⁺, 103.05421[M + H₂O-CO₂-NH₃]⁺ | Urine (24–36, 9.382); bile (16–20, 3.133); feces (0–2, 0.116); stomach (0.33, 0.015) |
| C₁₂         | 1.38     | 151.07503 | C₇H₇O₂          | -2.159 | 133.04363[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 123.07993[M + H₂O-CO]⁺, 105.06962[M + H₂O-CO-NH₃]⁺ | Urine (12–24, 0.416); feces (0–2, 0.058) |
| C₁₃         | 1.63     | 193.04962 | C₁₃H₁₄O₄        | 0.439  | 123.04360[M + H₂O-CO₂]⁺ | Urine (24–36, 0.028) |
| C₁₄         | 2.73     | 149.05949 | C₁₁H₂O₂         | -1.450 | 131.04880[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 103.05347[M + H₂O-CO]⁺ | Urine (24–36, 0.089); feces (24–36, 0.044) |
| C₁₅         | 2.78     | 123.04377 | C₈H₆O₂          | -2.324 | 105.03307[M + H-H₂O]⁺, 95.04909[M + H₂O-CO]⁺ | Urine (36–54, 0.889); feces (24–36, 0.368); large intestine (2, 0.160); stomach (6, 0.091); liver (6, 0.0.044) |

T_max is the time or time interval to reach in biological samples. R_max is the maximum peak area ratio of catabolic metabolites relative to the internal standard.

ᵃSorted by R_max from large to small.
lung, heart, and kidney. Furthermore, very little pinostrobin was found in any examined tissues within 24 h, indicating no long-term accumulation in the tissues.

Owing to the high sensitivity and resolution of UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS, more flavonoid metabolites and catabolic metabolites were detected in the biosamples. Pinostrobin underwent hydrogenation, hydroxylation, demethylation, decarbonylation, and acetylation to yield several other aglycones, including M5, M16, M11, M30, M23, and M7. Moreover, pinostrobin and the generated aglycones extensively undergo dehydrogenation, hydroxylation, and ketone conversion catalyzed by phase I metabolic enzymes and glucuronidation, sulfation, glycine conjugation, N-acetylcysteine conjugation, and taurine conjugation catalyzed by phase II metabolic enzymes in the gastrointestinal tract, liver, and other tissues. It is noteworthy that glucuronidation, decarbonylation, hydroxylation, demethylation, dehydrogenation, and glycine conjugation play essential roles in the metabolism of pinostrobin in rats.

CONCLUSION

In this study, a series of rapid, reliable, and sensitive UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS methods were established, validated, and applied to
quantify pinostrobin in the plasma, urine, feces, bile, and various tissue samples collected from rats (Fu et al., 2018). After a single oral administration of pinostrobin, the pharmacokinetics showed a large apparent V\textsubscript{z}, indicating that pinostrobin preferentially binds to tissues to exert a therapeutic effect. Pinostrobin was mostly distributed in the gastrointestinal tract, suggesting that it may be an effective component of traditional Chinese medicines to treat peptic ulcers. The excretion study showed that the amount of pinostrobin excreted through the urine, feces, and bile in the parent form was less than 1.567%, indicating that it is mainly metabolized \textit{in vivo}. Using UPLC-LTQ orbitrap-MS/MS, 30 flavonoid metabolites were identified or partially identified in biosamples collected after dosing. In addition, we proposed the metabolism pathways of pinostrobin in rats. This study systematically investigated the pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, metabolism, and excretion of pinostrobin in rats. These results would be helpful for the interpretation of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of pinostrobin as well as traditional Chinese medicines containing pinostrobin. However, further investigations are necessary to better understand the pharmacokinetics of pinostrobin in rats with peptic ulcer and the mechanism of its intervention on peptic ulcer.

FIGURE 6 | Accumulative excretion ratio of pinostrobin in urine (A), feces (B), and bile (C) after oral administration of 48.51 mg/kg pinostrobin (n = 5, mean ± SD).
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