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Abstract: In Kenya, 51.2% of estimated women population of 11 million aged 15 years and older are at risk of developing cervical cancer. Research shows that screening a woman even once between the ages of 35 and 40 years reduces her lifetime risk of cervical cancer by 25–36%. However, the effective cervical cancer screening in developing countries is as low as 18.5%. Low levels of utilization of Cervical Cancer Screening Services (CCSS) among health care workers have been documented. This study sought to determine the utilization of cervical cancer screening services by healthcare workers (HCWs) in selected health facilities in Machakos County. It was also thought imperative to determine individual HCW attributes influencing utilization of cervical cancer screening services in selected health care facilities in the county. The study adopted a descriptive cross-sectional study design involving 271 female health workers drawn from all the level 4 and level 5 facilities within the county. Respondents were stratified according to level of facility and according to their cadres. Data was collected via self-administered questionnaires and a facility assessment tool. Data analysis used SPSS version 21. Association was subjected to binary logistic regression. Only 25% of HCWs had utilized cervical cancer screening services. HCWs who had certificate (OR = 0.05, p-value < 0.01), diploma (OR = 0.04, p-value < 0.01) and degrees (OR = 0.09, p-value = 0.01) were less likely to utilize CCSS as compared to HWCs who had attained graduate studies or higher. Also health workers aged 30 years and below (OR = 0.12, p-value = 0.01) and those aged between 31 and 40 years (OR = 0.30, p-value = 0.05) were less likely to utilize CCSS as compared to healthcare workers who were aged more than 50 years. The study recommends establishment of tailor-made sensitization programs on young female health care worker aimed at increasing their uptake of CCSS services among these group.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background Information

Cervical cancer (CC) is a slow-onset malignancy found in the interior lining of the cervix, at the junction of the vagina and uterus [1]. CC is has been majorly linked to high-risk HPV types 16 and 18, early sexual debut, high parity, multiple sexual partners and co-infection with HIV [2]. Others include Chlamydia trachomatis, herpes simplex virus type 2, immunosuppressant, diet, smoking [3, 4]. This infection results in transformation of the cervical epithelial cells first, to precancerous lesions and then to frank cancer [5]. Worldwide CC, is the fourth most common cancer affecting women after breast, colorectal and lung cancers and the second common reproductive tract cancer among women [6]. In sub-Saharan Africa, 34.8 new cases of cervical cancer
per 100,000 women are diagnosed yearly and 22.5 per 100,000 women diagnosed with cervical cancer die annually [7]. In Kenya cervical cancer is not only the second cause of reproductive tract cancer among women but also the leading cause of cancer deaths among women aged between 15 and 49 years [8]. It is estimated there are 4,802 CC cases annually and causes 2,451 deaths annually in Kenya [9]. The National Cervical Cancer Prevention Strategic Plan (NCCPSP) seeks to ensure that Women of Reproductive Age (WRA) have access to cervical cancer prevention and control services thereby reducing the incidence of overt cancer with its impact on health and development [8].

CC is preventable and its morbidity and mortality could be greatly reduced using preventative health methods such as HPV vaccination and safe sexual practice and most importantly secondary prevention through screening [5]. Cervical cancer screening is estimated to prevent up to 80% of CC in countries where screening is routine [10]. Research has demonstrated that screening a woman even once between the ages of 35 and 40 years reduces her lifetime risk of cervical cancer by 25–36% [11]. Furthermore screening enables early detection and treatment of pre-malignant lesions and referral for cervical cancer management which will improve length and quality of life of the health care workers, besides being affordable and cost effective [11].

In limited resource settings WHO-approved, strategy for cervical cancer screening in low resource settings is visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) or visual inspection with Lugol’s iodine (VILI) [10]. Pap smear is the most commonly used method for cervical cancer screening and is only available in urban areas but these facilities experience frequent shortages of materials needed for taking Pap smears [12]. Screening for cancer of the cervix recommended for all sexually active females [13]. Therefore health workers are expected to act as role models in uptake of preventive services like cervical cancer screening [14].

1.2. Problem Statement

Worldwide, low level of coverage in cervical cancer screening services and wide inequalities in the utilization of those services has been reported [15, 7]. Crude coverage of cervical cancer screening in developed countries is at 93.6% while in developing countries the crude coverage is only 44.7% [15].

However, previous studies in several countries have documented low levels of utilization of cervical cancer screening services among health care workers [4, 16, 14]. Worrisomely, health care workers like the general population continue to be diagnosed with cervical cancer when it is too late when little on nothing can be done, or when the burden for treatment is too high to manage [17, 18, 19]. In Kenya, uptake of cervical cancer screening services is low, at 3.5% [9]. Furthermore, individual factors that influence effective utilization of the standardized screening services among health care workers was not adequately documented either in Kenya or in selected health facilities in Machakos County, hence the need for this study.

1.3. Objectives

1. To establish the proportion of health care workers who utilize cervical cancer screening services in selected health facilities in Machakos County
2. To determine individual factors influence on utilization of cervical cancer screening services among the health care workers in selected health facilities in Machakos County.

2. Methodology

This was a descriptive cross sectional research that utilized quantitative research method of data collection and analysis conducted in 4 level 4 and 1 level 5 public health facilities among female health workers. A minimum sample of 251 female health workers was targeted, however 271 health workers responded to the study instruments. Stratified sampling was used to sample health workers proportionate to number of health workers in each level and professional cadres. Data was collected through face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires.

Authority to carry out the study was sought from NACOSTI. Approval to conduct research was sought from Kenyatta University Ethical Review Committee; and permission to collect data was sought from the authorities in each hospital. Respondents consented to participate with confirmation of confidentiality. Collected data was cross-checked for completeness and any missing entries corrected upon completion of each interview.

Data coding, entry and analysis was done using the SPSS version 20 software. The questionnaires were inspected to detect errors and omissions and corrected immediately. Multiple binary logistic regression used to test the association between CCSS utilization and individual characteristics of health workers. Findings were presented as text, graphs and tables.

3. Findings and Discussion

3.1. CCSS Utilization

Only a quarter 69 (25%) of the respondents had previously utilized CCSS services while 203 (75%) had never utilized CCSS. This shows that CCSS utilization in the county among health workers were relatively higher as compared to screening prevalence in developing countries which is 18.5% [15, 7]. However, this proportion was significantly higher compared to the general uptake of CCSS in Kenya which is estimated to be as low as 3.2% [9]. This was also similar to other previous studies in several countries that documented low levels of utilization of cervical cancer screening services among health care workers [14, 4, 16, 19]. But this was still low since health workers are expected to be role models in developing awareness, confidence and compliance of women for CCSS especially if they have been screened themselves [20-22].
3.2. Socio-Demographic Characteristics and CCSS Utilization

Health workers who had certificate (Adjusted Odds Ratio [AOR] = 0.05, p-value < 0.01), diploma (AOR = 0.04, p-value < 0.01) and degree (AOR = 0.09, p-value = 0.01) were less likely to utilize CCSS compared to HCWs who had attained postgraduate studies. This showed that an increase in education level results to an increase in CCSS utilization. This finding was similar to a study in Cameroon where access to CCSS was found to be determined by women’s level of education which effectively determined the level of knowledge on cervical cancer and its prevention [27]. Contrary observation was made also among HCWs in Ethiopia which showed that there were no significant difference in CCSS utilization among HCWs with diploma and those with degrees [19].

HCWs aged 30 years and below (OR = 0.108, p-value = 0.009) and those aged between 31 and 40 years were less likely to utilize CCSS as compared to nurses who were aged more than 50 years. Health workers aged above 50 years had the highest proportion (47.6%) of CCSS utilization. This showed that older HCWs were more likely to utilize CCSS as compared to younger ones. This could be due to the fact that elderly women may receive more frequent gynecologic care and the common belief that cervical cancer only occurs in older women, making them more responsive to their health and young HCWs not seeing themselves as susceptible [14]. Similar findings were made in Nigeria [24-26].

| Characteristic                  | Categories          | Not utilized CCSS | Utilized CCSS | AOR (95% CI)          | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Marital status                 | Married             | 142               | 71.7%         | 56                    | 28.3%   | 1.35 (0.55-3.30) | 0.52    |
|                                | Divorced            | 7                 | 87.5%         | 1                     | 12.5%   | 0.12 (0.01-1.68) | 0.12    |
|                                | Never married (Ref)| 54                | 81.8%         | 12                    | 18.2%   | 0.79 (0.39-1.61) | 0.52    |
| Profession                     | Clinical            | 136               | 72.7%         | 51                    | 27.3%   | 0.79 (0.39-1.61) | 0.52    |
|                                | Non-clinical (Ref)  | 67                | 78.8%         | 18                    | 21.2%   | 0.79 (0.39-1.61) | 0.52    |
|                                | Certificate         | 33                | 66.0%         | 17                    | 34.0%   | 0.79 (0.39-1.61) | 0.52    |
| Education level                | Diploma             | 139               | 79.4%         | 36                    | 20.6%   | 0.79 (0.39-1.61) | 0.52    |
|                                | Degree              | 28                | 75.7%         | 9                     | 24.3%   | 0.79 (0.39-1.61) | 0.52    |
|                                | Postgraduate (Ref)  | 3                 | 30.0%         | 7                     | 70.0%   | 0.79 (0.39-1.61) | 0.52    |
| Number of children             | 0                   | 38                | 79.2%         | 10                    | 20.8%   | 1.64 (0.48-5.62) | 0.43    |
|                                | 1 - 2               | 108               | 80.6%         | 26                    | 19.4%   | 0.82 (0.39-1.71) | 0.60    |
|                                | 3 – 5 (Ref)         | 57                | 63.3%         | 33                    | 36.7%   | 0.82 (0.39-1.71) | 0.60    |
|                                | <30                 | 74                | 90.2%         | 8                     | 9.8%    | 0.12 (0.02-0.64) | 0.01    |
|                                | 31-40               | 61                | 81.3%         | 14                    | 18.7%   | 0.30 (0.09-1.00) | 0.05    |
|                                | 41-50               | 46                | 63.0%         | 27                    | 37.0%   | 0.58 (0.25-1.36) | 0.21    |
|                                | >50 (Ref)           | 22                | 52.4%         | 20                    | 47.6%   | 0.58 (0.25-1.36) | 0.21    |
|                                | <10                 | 102               | 88.7%         | 13                    | 11.3%   | 0.58 (0.25-1.36) | 0.21    |
| Age                            | 10 - 20             | 57                | 70.4%         | 24                    | 29.6%   | 0.88 (0.36-2.14) | 0.78    |
|                                | >20 (Ref)           | 44                | 57.9%         | 32                    | 42.1%   | 0.88 (0.36-2.14) | 0.78    |
3.3. CCSS Knowledge and CCSS Utilization

To measure knowledge levels of individual respondents, a series of eight dichotomous true/false questions were asked. Each respondent who answered a question rightly was deemed knowledgeable and was awarded a score of one and zero otherwise. In the end these scores were added to indicate CCSS knowledge scores with a maximum score of 8 points and minimum 0 points. The results were then rated as high knowledge level (≥7 points), moderate (5 – 6 points) and low (≤ 4 points). 191 (70.2%) of the participants were moderately knowledgeable, 57 (21.0%) were highly knowledgeable while 24 (8.8%) had low knowledge. There was no significant association between CCSS knowledge and CCSS utilization among HCWs in the County. This finding disagrees with a study in Tanzania which revealed that knowledge of cervical cancer and its prevention was a barrier to CCSS among health care workers [3]. Literature indicates that lack of relevant and timely knowledge about cervical cancer in the population and among health care workers is a prime barrier for access to cervical cancer prevention [23]. However, previous studies among female health workers, show that health workers were knowledgeable about several health related issues but this knowledge had not translated to better uptake of health services among these health workers [21, 16, 22, 26].

Table 2. CCSS Knowledge and CCSS Utilization.

| CCSS knowledge | CCSS utilization | Total (N = 272) | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
|                | Not utilized     | Utilized       |              |         |
| Low            | 16 (66.7%)       | 8 (33.3%)      | 24 (8.8%)    | 1.54 (0.54-4.35) | 0.419 |
| Moderate       | 144 (75.4%)      | 47 (24.6%)     | 191 (70.2%)  | 1.0 (0.50-1.99)  | 0.994 |
| High (Ref)     | 43 (75.4%)       | 14 (24.6%)     | 57 (21.0%)   |         |         |

3.4. CCSS Attitude and CCSS Utilization

Perception and attitude towards CCSS was also measured using series of five 5-point Likert scale questions spanning from strongly agree to strongly disagree which were later merged to a binomial agree and disagree items; and further a score was then developed such that positive response was awarded a point while negative response was not which were then summed up to indicate positive, negative or neutral attitude. In the end these scores were added to indicate the CCSS perception and attitude with a maximum score of 5 points and minimum 0 points. The results were then rated as positive attitude (≥4 points), neutral (2 – 3 points) and negative attitude (≤1 point). 217 (79.8%) HCWs had positive attitude, 36 (13.2%) were neutral while 19 (7.0%) had negative attitude. There was no significant association between CCSS attitude and CCSS utilization among HCWs. Contrary observation was made among HCWs in Ethiopia which showed that negative attitudes among HCWs deterred them from utilizing CCSS [19]. Contrary findings were also made among female health workers in Nigeria who were found to have negative attitude towards cervical cancer screening which contributed to low CCSS utilization [27]. These findings however agrees with a study in Nigeria HCWs had good attitude of nurses towards Pap smear but did not did not translate to better utilization [26].

Table 3. CCSS Attitude and CCSS Utilization.

| CCSS attitude | CCSS utilization | Total (N = 272) | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
|                | Not utilized     | Utilized       |              |         |
| Negative      | 14 (73.7%)       | 5 (26.3%)      | 19 (7.0%)    | 1.03 (0.35-2.98) | 0.961 |
| Neutral       | 28 (77.8%)       | 8 (22.2%)      | 36 (13.2%)   | .82 (0.35-1.91)  | 0.647 |
| Positive      | 161 (74.2%)      | 56 (25.8%)     | 217 (79.8%)  |         |         |

4. Conclusions

1. There was low utilization of CCSS services among health workers in Machakos County with only a quarter of healthcare workers having ever been screened. This was better in level 5 hospital as compared to level 4 hospitals but much better than the national CCSS utilization.

2. Healthcare workers who had certificate and diploma were less likely to utilize CCSS as compared to healthcare workers who had attained postgraduate studies healthcare workers. Also healthcare workers aged 30 years and below were less likely to utilize CCSS as compared to healthcare workers who were aged more than 50 years.

Recommendations

1. The findings show that only a quarter of HCWs in the selected health facilities in Machakos County had utilized CCSS. Therefore there is need for more sensitization among HCWs and service providers to increase uptake of available CCSS for them to function as champions not only for the HCWs but also for the general public.

2. It has been shown that utilization was low among HCWs below 30 years; therefore, there is need for the Machakos County to enhance early sensitization and support programs among young female healthcare workers.
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