Comparing the Performance of Calf Circumference, Albumin, and BMI for Predicting Mortality in Immobile Patients
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Background: Immobile patients often suffer from malnutrition and low calf circumference (CC), a potential surrogate marker of low muscle mass, which has been widely explored as a link with mortality among patients with inconsistent results. In addition, studies comparing CC, BMI, and albumin to predict mortality among immobile patients are limited. The aim of our study was to compare the performance of these three parameters for predicting mortality among immobile patients.

Methods: This is a secondary analysis of our previous study consisting of immobile patients, age>18 years. Data were collected between November 2015 and March 2016 by trained nurses through a case report form (CRF) that included clinical data and sociodemographic variables. In addition, anthropometric parameters, including body weight, height and calf circumference, were also measured. The outcome of our study was mortality with follow-up length being 90 days. Multivariate linear and logistic regression analysis were adopted to identify the association between CC and mortality, and a receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was also used to compare the performance of CC, BMI, and albumin.

Results: Of the 387 patients who were included (51.42% male), with an average age of 61.22 (SD=15.18), the prevalence of mortality was 5.43%. The results showed that, after full adjustment, calf circumference was a protective factor for reducing the risk of mortality (OR=0.79; 95% CI:0.65–0.96). Meanwhile, immobile patients with low calf circumference had an increased risk of mortality, compared to those whose condition was normal (OR=4.24; 95%CI:1.07–16.74). Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis showed that CC combined with albumin (AUC=0.812; 95% CI:0.733–0.890) had the highest AUC value compared to calf circumference (AUC=0.759; 95%CI:0.662–0.856), BMI (AUC=0.653; 95%CI:0.538–0.767) and albumin (AUC=0.735; 95% CI:0.638–0.832), respectively, in predicting mortality in immobile patients.

Conclusion: The relationship between calf circumference and mortality was significantly negative and low calf circumference was associated with a high risk of 90-day mortality, compared to those with normal calf circumference. The combined calf circumference with albumin had good discrimination in predicting mortality among immobile patients. Therefore, it can routinely be used in a clinical setting by medical staff to predict mortality in immobile patients, as it is easy to obtain.
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Background
The number of hospital inpatients, especially older inpatients, has increased sharply in recent years due to a progressively aging society. Inpatients often suffer from malnutrition because of a typically significant disease burden and other geriatric
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syndromes, which is a particular consideration for immobile patients. Bed rest and immobility are important risk factors for malnutrition (OR=5.88, 95% CI:2.25–15.4). It is estimated that the prevalence of malnutrition among hospitalized patients ranges from 21%-54%, rising to 60% among older adults. Malnutrition exerts an increased impact on adverse outcomes, including mortality, morbidity, disability, and low quality of life. Therefore, identifying the risk factors for malnutrition and carrying out corresponding interventions is essential to reduce mortality rates. The new criteria of malnutrition, based on the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) and sponsored by several academic organizations, require at least one phenotypic and one etiologic criterion. Phenotypes consist of three criteria: unintentional weight loss, declining muscle mass or low BMI. Among these three criteria, muscle mass is very important because it is a core component of maintaining muscle structure and function, to some extent reflecting patient nutritional status, which is also an essential element to define sarcopenia by low muscle mass. Inpatients experiencing short or long periods of immobilization, usually due to mobility limitations or disease recovery requirements, often suffered from loss of skeletal muscle mass, eventually increasing the risk of malnutrition. There are several methods to assess patient muscle mass in a clinical routine. The common standards are MRI or CT or dual-energy X-ray assessment (DXA), plus bioimpedance analysis (BIA) to measure muscle mass and structure in a community setting. Previously published studies reported that patients with low muscle mass were at increased risk of mortality, compared to those in normal condition, when using different measurement methods. However, these methods have some disadvantages, such as lack of convenience, as well as requiring specialized equipment and being time-consuming and expensive, possibly limiting their application in a clinical setting. Exploring new surrogate measures to accurately reflect muscle mass is urgent.

Currently, anthropometric parameters, such as calf circumference (CC), which has been recommended by the revised European consensus on the definition and diagnosis for assessing muscle mass, were used to assess nutritional status. It is demonstrated that CC has good discrimination and was validated when compared with DXA for detecting muscle mass. The association between CC and mortality has been widely explored in several studies. Sousa et al reported that low CC was a predictor for mortality among cancer patients, with the hazard ratio figure being 3.01 (95%CI:1.52–5.98). de Sousa et al also reported similar results among older adults with Alzheimer’s disease. Whereas, recently, Aliberti et al reported that low muscle mass assessed by CC (<33 cm for women or <34 cm for men) was not associated with an increased risk of mortality. A similar study was conducted by Tarnowski et al who reported that low CC did not significantly increase the risk of mortality among emergency patients. Given these controversial results, there is a need for more studies to explore this issue. In addition, there are few studies comparing the discrimination performances between the different nutritional parameters, BMI, albumin, and CC, for predicting mortality in immobile patients. Therefore, our study has two objectives: (1) to explore the association between CC and mortality among immobile inpatients. (2) to compare discrimination performance between BMI, albumin, and CC for predicting mortality and to develop a good predictive model for mortality in patients with immobility. We hypothesized that exploring and comparing the performance of these nutritional parameters can assist clinicians in establishing a predictive system that stratifies immobile patient groups at high risk of mortality, and help guide clinicians in performing early effective interventions.

Methods

This was a secondary analysis of a cohort study derived from our previously published study that explores the influencing factors of pressure injuries among immobile inpatients.

Participants

Twenty-five public general hospitals were contacted from November 2015 to March 2016. The inclusion criteria required that participants (1) were immobile inpatients aged 18 years or older, and (2) needed to be immobilized due to disease, and sedation after surgery. Participants were excluded if they were unconscious and/or unable to provide informed consent. As a secondary analysis, we only analyzed participants who had completed an assessment of CC within 24 hours after hospital admission. According to our previous study, the definition of immobility was a confirmation that patients had to conduct most of their basic physiological needs at their bedside, with the exception of medical examination with active or passive bedside sitting or standing. After screening, 387 participants from 13 hospitals were included in this...
study. Table S1 summarizes the initial 25 hospitals and total sample contacted, and Table S2 provides the detailed information of 13 hospitals and 387 participants in this present study.

Data Collection
Eligible participants were enrolled in our study by trained nurses at each hospital. Characteristics, including clinical and sociodemographic variables, were collected by trained researchers via case report form (CRF). Sociodemographic variables included gender, age, education, ethnicity, and smoking. In addition, clinical variables consisted of red and white blood cell count, hemoglobin, neutrophils, leukenkocytes, fasting plasma glucose level, pneumonia, bedsores, urinary tract infection, surgical operation, primary diagnosis and bed-rest days. We also recorded the Charlson Comorbidity Index, which was used to categorize comorbidities of patients according to the international classification of disease diagnosis. Furthermore, anthropometric parameters, including body weight, height, and CC, were also measured by trained nurses 24 hours after admission. BMI is equal to body weight divided by the square of height. For CC measurements, well-trained nurses followed a standard procedure to measure CC using inextensible tape when the patient lay down on the bed in the supine and relaxed position. Patients were measured in both the left and right leg. We recorded both values and calculated the average of both values for statistical analysis. In this study, calf circumference was measured as both a continuous variable with 0.1 cm intervals, and also categorized into low CC and normal CC with the cutoff value at 31cm.33

Outcomes
The outcome in our study was mortality, including during hospital stay or follow-up three months after enrollment. When patients died in the hospital, we obtained this information through the hospital case management system; otherwise, we used cell phone interviews to obtain it.

Ethical Considerations
As reported before, this study was approved by the ethics review boards of 25 cooperating hospitals in China (S-700). Written informed consent was obtained after all patients agreed to enroll.

Data Analysis
The data were displayed as categorical and continuous variables with frequency or proportion and mean (standard deviation) or median (IQR), respectively. We used Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney tests and Chi-square or Fisher’s Exact tests, if necessary, to compare the differences between two groups (low CC versus normal CC; survivors versus non-survivors). Multivariate linear and logistic regression was also used to identify the association between nutritional variables (CC, BMI and albumin) and mortality. We also listed different models by adjusting different parameters. Model 1 was unadjusted; Model 2 adjusted age, gender and education; Model 3 adjusted Model 2 plus included smoking, red and white blood cell count, hemoglobin, neutrophils, leukenkocytes, pneumonia, urinary tract infection, Charlson Comorbidity Index (≥2), antibiotics, glucocorticoids and surgery. Meanwhile, we used a generalized additive model (GAM) and smooth curve fitting analysis to detect whether there was a non-linear relationship between calf circumference and 90-day mortality. A receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was employed to compare discrimination performance between CC, BMI, albumin, and CC combined with albumin. All analysis was performed using statistical software packages R and EmpowerStats software, with a significant difference in cutoff value at less than 0.05.

Results
Clinical Characteristics in Two Groups (C C≥31cm versus CC<31cm)
Table 1 describes the baseline characteristics of our study. There were 387 participants (51.42% male) who were included, with an average age of 61.22 (SD=15.18); the prevalence of 90-day mortality was 5.43%. Only 13.18% were current smokers, and the majority of the sample was non-smoking, with 9.3% being past smokers. Of this population, 116 (30.61%) participants had a primary diagnosis of orthopedic disorders and fractures, 41 (10.82%) participants had suffered a stroke and 29 participants had cancer. A total of 140 (36.18%) participants had a higher Charlson Comorbidity Index score, and the median of bed-rest day in this study population was 11.00 (5.00–18.50). The average BMI was 23.98kg/m²; albumin was 35.38g/l; and CC was 32.57cm. Only a small percentage of the sample had a urinary tract infection (2.84%), and a minority of patients had bedsores (4.65%), with 19.64% who had pneumonia. The distribution of education
| Calf Circumference | Overall | CC≥31 cm | CC<31 cm | Standardize Diff. | P-value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|----------|-------------------|---------|
| N                  | 387     | 264 (68.2%) | 123 (31.8%) |                   |         |
| Age (SD, years)    | 61.22 (15.18) | 59.22 (14.66) | 65.50 (15.44) | 0.42 (0.20, 0.63) | <0.001 |
| Albumin (SD, g/L)  | 35.38 (6.00) | 35.98 (5.83) | 34.15 (6.18) | 0.30 (0.08, 0.53) | 0.001 |
| BMI (SD, kg/m²)    | 23.98 (3.55) | 24.92 (3.18) | 21.96 (3.46) | 0.89 (0.67, 1.11) | <0.001 |
| Red blood cell (SD, 10⁴/μL) | 3.91 (1.58) | 3.93 (0.75) | 3.87 (2.57) | 0.03 (-0.19, 0.25) | 0.746 |
| Hemoglobin (g/L, SD) | 115.90 (22.78) | 118.74 (23.31) | 109.98 (20.48) | 0.40 (0.18, 0.62) | <0.001 |
| White blood cell (SD, 10⁴/μL) | 9.66 (4.33) | 9.60 (4.42) | 9.77 (4.14) | 0.04 (-0.18, 0.26) | 0.549 |
| Neutrophils (SD, 10⁴/μL) | 7.57 (4.14) | 7.44 (4.21) | 7.83 (3.99) | 0.09 (-0.12, 0.31) | 0.286 |
| Leukomonocyte (SD,10⁴/μL) | 1.29 (0.92) | 1.33 (1.01) | 1.20 (0.69) | 0.14 (-0.08, 0.36) | 0.214 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (SD, mmol/L) | 6.85 (2.51) | 6.74 (2.45) | 7.10 (2.64) | 0.14 (-0.11, 0.40) | 0.101 |
| Calf circumference (SD, cm) | 32.57 (3.96) | 34.49 (3.12) | 28.46 (1.91) | 2.33 (2.06, 2.60) | <0.001 |
| Gender (n, %)      |         |          |          |                   |         |
| Male               | 199 (51.42%) | 148 (56.06%) | 51 (41.46%) | 0.30 (0.08, 0.51) | 0.007 |
| Female             | 188 (48.58%) | 116 (43.94%) | 72 (58.54%) |                   |         |
| Ethnicity (n, %)   |         |          |          |                   |         |
| Han                | 369 (66.24%) | 250 (94.70%) | 119 (96.75%) | 0.10 (-0.11, 0.32) | 0.372 |
| Other              | 188 (33.76) | 14 (5.30%) | 4 (2.25%) |                   |         |
| Education (n, %)   |         |          |          |                   |         |
| Illiterate         | 49 (12.66%) | 22 (8.33%) | 27 (21.95%) | 0.45 (0.23, 0.66) | <0.001 |
| Primary            | 107 (27.65%) | 69 (26.14%) | 38 (30.89%) |                   |         |
| Middle school and above | 171 (44.19%) | 129 (48.86%) | 42 (34.15%) |                   |         |
| College degree or above | 60 (15.50%) | 44 (16.67%) | 16 (13.01%) |                   |         |
| Bedsores (n, %)    |         |          |          |                   |         |
| No                 | 369 (95.35%) | 249 (94.32%) | 120 (97.56%) | 0.16 (-0.05, 0.38) | 0.158 |
| Yes                | 18 (4.65%) | 15 (5.68%) | 3 (2.44%) |                   |         |
| Pneumonia (n, %)   |         |          |          |                   |         |
| No                 | 311 (80.36%) | 226 (85.61%) | 85 (69.11%) | 0.40 (0.19, 0.62) | <0.001 |
| Yes                | 76 (19.64%) | 38 (14.39%) | 38 (30.89%) |                   |         |
| Primary diagnosis (n, %) |         |          |          |                   |         |
| Orthopedic disorders and fractures | 116 (30.61%) | 81 (31.40%) | 35 (28.93%) | 0.25 (0.03, 0.47) | 0.377 |
| Cancer             | 29 (7.65%) | 21 (8.14%) | 8 (6.61%) |                   |         |
| Stroke             | 41 (10.82%) | 29 (11.24%) | 12 (9.92%) |                   |         |
| Hypertension and lung disease | 30 (7.92%) | 21 (8.14%) | 9 (7.44%) |                   |         |
| Phlebitis          | 83 (21.90%) | 48 (18.60%) | 35 (28.93%) |                   |         |
| Other              | 80 (21.11%) | 58 (22.48%) | 22 (18.18%) |                   |         |
| Urinary tract infection (n, %) |         |          |          |                   |         |
| No                 | 376 (97.16%) | 261 (98.86%) | 115 (93.50%) | 0.28 (0.07, 0.50) | 0.003 |
| Yes                | 11 (2.84%) | 3 (1.14%) | 8 (6.50%) |                   |         |
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was 12.66% for illiterate, 27.65% for primary school education, and 44.19% for middle school and above. For complete blood count at hospital admission, the average red blood cell count was 3.91; hemoglobin was 115.90mg/L; white blood cell count was 9.66; neutrophils, 7.57; and leukomonocyte, 1.29. Regarding medication use, 293 (75.71%) participants were treated with antibiotics, and 140 (36.27%) participants used glucocorticoids for treatment.

The percentage of those with a urinary tract infection, pneumonia and who were female was significantly higher in the low CC group than in the normal CC group (all P<0.05). Additionally, the rate of 90-day mortality was higher in the low CC group than the normal CC group, with a significant difference (11.38% versus 2.65%, P<0.001). Those with low CC were likelier to be older in age; and to have lower albumin and BMI (all P<0.05). There were significant differences between the low CC group and the normal CC group in terms of medications (antibiotics and glucocorticoids, both P<0.05). However, there was no significant difference between these two groups in terms of red and white blood cell count, neutrophils, leukomonocyte, fasting plasma glucose levels, ethnicity, bedsores, being a smoker, having had surgery, primary diagnosis and Charlson Comorbidity Index score.

### Univariate Regression Analysis

Table 2 shows the univariate regression analysis between the different variables and 90-day mortality. The results showed that age, pneumonia, Charlson Comorbidity Index (≥2), glucocorticoids and fasting plasma glucose levels were significantly increased risk factors for 90-day mortality. However, albumin, BMI, leukomonocyte, surgery and calf circumference were significant protective factors for mortality. In addition, when we categorized calf circumference into low CC (<31cm) and normal CC (≥31cm), it indicated that patients with low CC had an incremental risk of 90-day mortality (P= 0.0011).

### The Relationship Between CC, Albumin, BMI, and Low CC for 90-Day Mortality

The results of multivariate regression analysis in an unadjusted model indicated that albumin (OR=0.86;95% CI:0.79–0.94), CC (OR=0.75;95% CI:0.65–0.86) and BMI (OR= 0.85;95% CI:0.75–0.95) were protective factors for 90-day mortality.
Table 2 Univariate Regression Analysis of the Variables Associated with 90-Day Mortality

|                        | Statistics | 90-Day Mortality | P-value |
|------------------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| **Gender (n, %)**      |            |                  |         |
| Male                   | 199 (51.42%)| 1.0 Reference    | 0.7202  |
| Female                 | 188 (48.58%)| 1.17 (0.49, 2.83)|         |
| **Age**                | 61.22 ± 15.18 | 1.07 (1.03, 1.11) | 0.0004  |
| **Ethnicity (n, %)**   |            |                  |         |
| Han                    | 369 (95.35%)| 1.0 Reference    | 0.9802  |
| Other                  | 18 (4.65%)  | 1.03 (0.13, 8.11) |         |
| **Education (n, %)**   |            |                  |         |
| Illiterate             | 49 (12.66%) | 1.0 Reference    | 0.2011  |
| Primary                | 107 (27.65%)| 0.43 (0.12, 1.57) |         |
| Middle school and above| 171 (44.19%)| 0.49 (0.16, 1.53) |         |
| College degree or above| 60 (15.50%) | 0.30 (0.06, 1.64) |         |
| **Primary diagnosis (n, %)** | 116 (30.61%) | 1.0 reference |         |
| Orthopedic disorders and fractures | 29 (7.65%) | 0.56 (0.07–4.71) | 0.5903  |
| Cancer                 | 41 (10.82%) | 0.39 (0.05–3.26) | 0.3845  |
| Stroke                 | 30 (7.92%)  | 2.40 (0.65–8.80) | 0.1881  |
| Hypertension and lung disease | 83 (21.90%) | 0.79 (0.22–2.79) | 0.7120  |
| Phlebitis              | 80 (21.11%) | 0.82 (0.23–2.90) | 0.7574  |
| Other                  | 80 (21.11%) | 0.82 (0.23–2.90) | 0.7574  |
| **Defeces (n, %)**     |            |                  |         |
| No                     | 369 (95.35%)| 1.0 Reference    | 0.9802  |
| Yes                    | 18 (4.65%)  | 1.03 (0.13, 8.11) |         |
| **Pneumonia (n, %)**   |            |                  |         |
| No                     | 311 (80.36%)| 1.0 Reference    | 0.0004  |
| Yes                    | 76 (19.64%) | 5.09 (2.08, 12.50)|         |
| **Urinary tract infection (n, %)** | 376 (97.16%) | 1.0 Reference |         |
| No                     | 376 (97.16%)| 1.0 Reference    | 0.0800  |
| Yes                    | 11 (2.84%)  | 4.18 (0.84, 20.68)|         |
| **Albumin**            | 35.38 ± 6.00 | 0.87 (0.80, 0.94) | 0.0007  |
| BMI (SD, kg/m²)        | 23.98 ± 3.55 | 0.85 (0.74, 0.97) | 0.0178  |
| Red blood cell (SD, 10⁹/L) | 3.91 ± 1.58 | 0.71 (0.39, 1.30) | 0.2674  |
| Hemoglobin (g/L, SD)   | 115.90 ± 22.78 | 0.99 (0.97, 1.01) | 0.3325  |
| White blood cell (SD,10⁹/L) | 9.66 ± 4.33 | 1.03 (0.94, 1.14) | 0.5088  |
| Neutrophils (SD,10⁹/L) | 7.57 ± 4.14  | 1.07 (0.98, 1.18) | 0.1431  |
| Leukomonocyte (SD,10⁹/L) | 1.29 ± 0.92 | 0.13 (0.04, 0.41) | 0.0006  |
| **Smoker (n, %)**      |            |                  |         |
| Never                  | 300 (77.52%)| 1.0 Reference    | 0.7407  |
| Current                | 51 (13.18%) | 0.78 (0.17, 3.50) |         |
| Former                 | 36 (9.30%)  | 2.37 (0.74, 7.59) | 0.1445  |
| **Fasting plasma glucose** | 6.85 ± 2.51 | 1.24 (1.06, 1.46) | 0.0073  |
| No                     | 166 (42.89%)| 1.0 Reference    | 0.0035  |
| Yes                    | 221 (57.11%)| 0.22 (0.08, 0.61) |         |
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CI:0.74–0.97) were protective factors for mortality, whereas low CC (OR=4.71, 95% CI:1.85–12.00) was a risk factor for mortality. These associations were still unchanged after adjusting for age, gender, and education, with the exception of BMI (OR=0.89, 95% CI:0.78–1.02). However, after adjusting the completed variables (age, gender, education, ethnicity, smoking, red blood cell count, hemoglobin; white blood cell count, neutrophils, leukomonocyte, pneumonia, urinary tract infection, Charlson Comorbidity Index (≥2), antibiotics, glucocorticoids and surgery), only CC (OR=0.79, 95% CI:0.65–0.96, P=0.017) was still a protective factor for mortality, together with low CC (OR=4.24, 95% CI:1.07–16.74 P=0.038) being a risk factor for mortality. All detailed information is displayed in Table 3.

**Non-Linear Relationship Analyses**

The association between CC and mortality was analyzed with a generalized additive model (GAM), and the results showed that with an increase in CC, the mortality rate decreased. (Figure 1)

**Prognostic Score Comparison**

Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was conducted to compare the performance of different models in

---

**Table 2 (Continued).**

| Exposure          | Statistics | 90-Day Mortality | P-value |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| CC (SD, cm)       | 32.57 ± 3.96 | 0.75 (0.66, 0.86) | <0.0001 |
| CC (n, %)         |            |                  |         |
| ≥31 cm            | 264 (68.22%) | 1.0 Reference    | 0.0011  |
| <31 cm            | 123 (31.78%) | 4.72 (1.85, 12.01) |         |
| Bed-rest days (IQR) | 11.00 (5.00–18.50) | 1.02 (1.00, 1.04) | 0.0549  |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index (n, %) | |                  |         |
| <2                | 266 (68.73%) | 1.0 Reference    | <0.0001 |
| ≥ 2               | 121 (31.27%) | 7.95 (2.84, 22.27) |         |
| Antibiotics (n, %) |            |                  |         |
| No                | 94 (24.29%)  | 1.0 Reference    | 0.1235  |
| Yes               | 293 (75.71%) | 3.19 (0.73, 13.96) |         |
| Glucocorticoids (n, %) |       |                  |         |
| No                | 247 (63.82%) | 1.0 Reference    | 0.0157  |
| Yes               | 142 (36.18%) | 3.06 (1.24, 7.57) |         |

**Abbreviation:** CC, calf circumference.

---

**Table 3 Multivariate Regression Analysis Between Nutritional Status (CC, Albumin, and BMI) and Mortality in Different Models**

| Exposure          | Non-Adjusted (OR, 95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted I (OR, 95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted II (OR, 95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| BMI               | 0.85 (0.74, 0.97)         | 0.017   | 0.89 (0.78, 1.02)       | 0.088   | 0.89 (0.76, 1.05)       | 0.185   |
| CC (1cm)          | 0.75 (0.65, 0.86)         | <0.001  | 0.79 (0.68, 0.92)       | 0.002   | 0.79 (0.65, 0.96)       | 0.017   |
| Albumin           | 0.86 (0.79, 0.94)         | 0.0006  | 0.86 (0.79, 0.95)       | 0.003   | 0.94 (0.83, 1.07)       | 0.390   |
| CC (n, %)         |                           |         |                         |         |                         |         |
| ≥31 cm            | 1.0 Reference             |         | 1.0 Reference           |         | 1.0 Reference           |         |
| <31 cm            | 4.71 (1.85, 12.00)        | 0.001   | 3.59 (1.32, 9.74)       | 0.011   | 4.24 (1.07, 16.74)      | 0.038   |

**Notes:** Exposure: CC, CC category; albumin; BMI. Non-adjusted model. Adjusted I model adjusted for: age, gender, education. Adjusted II model adjusted for: age, gender, education, ethnicity; smoking; red blood cell; hemoglobin; white blood cell; neutrophils; leukomonocyte; pneumonia; urinary tract infection; surgery; antibiotics, glucocorticoids. Charlson Comorbidity Index.

**Abbreviation:** CC, calf circumference.
predicting mortality (Figure 2). The results found that the AUC of CC, albumin, and BMI for predicting 90-day mortality was 0.759 (95% CI:0.662–0.856), 0.735 (95% CI:0.638–0.833) and 0.653 (95% CI:0.538–0.767), respectively. The AUC of CC (p=0.0336) was higher than BMI, with statistically significant differences. However, the AUC difference between albumin and BMI was not statistically significant (P=0.25). Moreover, when combining albumin and CC, discrimination was improved with the AUC=0.812 (95% CI=0.733–0.890), which was higher than BMI (P=0.001) (Table 4).

Discussion
Our study indicates that immobile patients with low CC are at increased risk of mortality, compared to those with normal CC; and CC value was a protective factor for mortality. In addition, our study demonstrates that CC performance discrimination was better than BMI in predicting 90-day mortality. When CC was combined with albumin, its discrimination performance was improved, compared to BMI. This finding indicates that combined albumin and CC had relatively good predictive discrimination (ROC=0.812) for predicting 90-day mortality, and by using this tool, we can proactively stratify high-risk groups and carry out effective interventions to improve the outcomes of immobile inpatients.

Immobile patients often suffer from malnutrition, attributed to multiple comorbidities. They present in a high level of a catabolic state, which leads to loss of protein from muscle. In our study, we found that immobile patients with low CC had a higher likelihood of being older in age, and suffering from pneumonia and urinary tract infection. Therefore, immobile inpatients who are older with comorbidities were a high-risk group that needed nutrition screening. BMI was considered a parameter index to assess inpatient nutritional status, with unsatisfactory application, because BMI fails to consistently distinguish between lean and obese patients. As we all know, BMI is reported to have a “U” or “J” relationship with mortality in different populations. In our study, BMI was a protective factor for mortality in an unadjusted model; however, after adjusting a variety of variables, this association was found not to exist, indicating that BMI is not a stable prognostic factor for mortality. In fact, BMI cannot completely reflect nutritional status, especially in older inpatients, because it does not precisely possess an indication of total body muscle mass.

A number of studies demonstrate that many patients with adequate BMI also present with low muscle mass, meaning that we should be cautious in using BMI alone to assess nutritional risk. Easton et al have stated that BMI is a poor indicator for predicting patients dying with frailty. A similar viewpoint was confirmed by Tsai et al.

Our findings indicate that immobile patients with low CC can have an incremental risk of mortality, compared with patients with normal CC, which was in line with numerous studies. Sousa et al conducted a study
of 250 cancer patients and found low CC was an independent predictor of mortality, by using a cutoff value of <34 cm for men and <33 cm for women (HR=3.01; 95% CI=1.52–5.98). Another study also reported similar results (HR=3.39; 95% CI: 1.80–6.39) with a cutoff of <31 cm for both women and men.21 Recently, the cutoff value of defining low CC has varied, with some studies using 31 cm, while other studies used <28 cm.30 On the other hand, some studies used the standard definition of sarcopenia (<34 cm for men and <33 cm for women).31 We suspect this is partly the reason that inconsistent results were generated when using different cutoff values.

The mechanism for the association between muscle mass and mortality is complicated but widely reported. Low muscle mass is a core component of defining sarcopenia that is substantially associated with increased risk of mortality in various populations, such as community-dwelling older adults,22 nursing home residents41 or hospitalized patients.42 To sum up, skeletal muscle is the fundamental basis for human ability to maintain locomotion and respiration.43 Declining muscle mass embodies worsening health and can be attributed to multiple chronic diseases, which in turn results in a weakened immune system and a series of comorbidities,44,45 eventually increasing the risk of mortality. However, multiple interventions, such as nutrition and resistance exercises, can delay disease progression and to some extent reverse this condition.46 Therefore, screening and identifying low CC is essential for improving patient clinical outcomes. The AUC of CC in predicting 90-day mortality was 0.759, which was higher than BMI (P=0.033).

A previous study also found that a TPA parameter that indicates muscle mass using CT scan has a good discrimination performance in predicting ICU mortality (AUROC=0.702; 95% CI: 0.555–0.849), which was relatively lower than our result (AUROC=0.759; 95% CI: 0.662–0.855).47 Similarly, Ng et al48 reported that SMI performance for predicting hospital mortality among critically ill patients was relatively moderate (AUC=0.637). Apart from performance, CC has various advantages, such as being simple, convenient and inexpensive, compared to medical imaging methods. In addition, when we combined CC with albumin, the AUC of performance discrimination for predicting mortality was 0.812 (95% CI: 0.733–0.890) with sensitivity being 0.762 and specificity being 0.728, indicating this predictive model performs relatively well in predicting mortality risk in immobile patients.

Our study has multiple clinical implications. First, there is an urgent need to estimate the risk of mortality among immobile patients, generating an opportunity to produce a simple and verified model system to help medical staff create a comprehensive treatment therapy. Our study has demonstrated that this new predictive model can meet these clinical needs. Second, this predictive model only used two parameters, albumin and CC, which can very easily be secured in a busy and complicated clinical setting. Rather than assess muscle mass by using imaging

### Table 4 Prediction Performance of Four Variables for Estimating 90-Day Mortality

|                  | CC+Albumin | CC           | Albumin      | BMI           |
|------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| AUC (95% CI)     | 0.812      | 0.759        | 0.735        | 0.653         |
| CI                | (0.733–0.890) | (0.662–0.856) | (0.638–0.833) | (0.538–0.767) |
| P-value           | 0.001      | 0.033        | 0.250        | -             |
| Cutoff            | -0.275     | 31.125       | 36.35        | 24.515        |
| Sensitivity %     | 0.728      | 0.650        | 0.466        | 0.445         |
| Specificity %     | 0.761      | 0.761        | 0.952        | 0.904         |
| PPV               | 0.150      | 0.111        | 0.102        | 0.085         |
| NPV               | 0.979      | 0.979        | 0.993        | 0.987         |
| PLR               | 2.802      | 2.802        | 1.785        | 1.631         |
| NLR               | 0.327      | 0.327        | 0.102        | 0.213         |

**Abbreviation:** CC, calf circumference.
equipment, medical staff can acquire these parameters using a convenient method that is both economical and not time-consuming.

Limitations

However, we need to be cautious in interpreting these findings. First, the sample size in our study was not large enough, which may have had a potential impact on our results. Second, we did not perform nutritional assessments, such as Nutritional risk (NRS-2002); thus we cannot estimate the association between CC and nutritional risk score. Third, we cannot completely overlook a potential error, in that some inpatients may have had possible symptoms of edema, leading to an overestimation of CC value. Fourth, other important factors, such as different treatment programs, may also have influenced inpatient outcomes. Fifth, our study might have had some selective bias because we only included participants who had completed CC measurements, which is not random sampling. As shown in the supplemental files (Tables S1 and S2), we had initially contacted 25 general public hospitals. However, our study was a secondary analysis that only included immobile patients whose calf circumference was measured. As a result, 12 hospitals were excluded, resulting in 13 hospitals (6 tertiary hospitals, n=358 and 7 non-tertiary hospitals, n=29) that were included for data analysis. Given that the majority of participants (92.5%) came from tertiary hospitals, future studies with larger samples from different levels of hospital should be conducted to verify our results. Sixth, the patients we recruited did have different primary diagnoses. In our final logistic regression model, the result showed that low CC was associated with a high risk of mortality after adjusting Charlson Comorbidity Index. That said, we still cannot totally exclude the possible effect of the disease itself on mortality. Although our study has some limitations, it also has several strengths that merit clinical application. For example, we used comprehensive statistical methods, such as generalized additive model (GAM) and adjusted completed variables in multivariate analysis. Second, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the performance of CC, albumin and BMI to predict mortality in immobile inpatients, which establishes a new predictive model for mortality in immobile patients. Third, we believe that our results can help clinicians to identify immobile patients who are at high risk. More studies with a larger sample size to investigate this important issue will be warranted in the future.

Conclusions

Our study shows that the relationship between calf circumference and 90-day mortality is significantly negative. In addition, immobile patients with a low calf circumference have about a four-fold risk of 90-day mortality, compared to patients with normal low calf circumference. We also found that calf circumferences combined with albumin had a good discrimination in predicting mortality in immobile patients. This finding could, in brief, help clinical staff evaluate high-risk groups for mortality early on and perform effective interventions, such as nutritional recommendations and resistance exercises designed to improve muscle mass.
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