A STUDY OF THE CRANK FUNCTION IN RAMANUJAN’S LOST NOTEBOOK

MANJIL P. SAIKIA

ABSTRACT. In this note, we shall give a brief survey of the results that are found in Ramanujan’s Lost Notebook related to cranks. Recent work by B. C. Berndt, H. H. Chan and W. -C. Liaw have shown conclusively that cranks was the last mathematical object that Ramanujan studied. We shall closely follow the work of Berndt, Chan and Liaw and give a brief description of their work.

1. Introduction

This note is divided into three sections. This section is a brief introduction to the results that we discuss in the remainder of the note and to set the notations and preliminaries that we shall be needing in the rest of our study. In the second section, we formally study the crank statistic for the general partition function. We state and prove a few results of George E. Andrews and Frank G. Garvan [4] and then show conclusively that Ramanujan had also studying the crank function much earlier in another guise. We follow the works of Bruce C. Berndt and his collaboraters ([8, 9] and [10]) to study the work of Ramnaujan dealing with cranks. In the final section, we give some concluding remarks.

Although no originality is claimed with regards to the results proved here, but in some cases the arguments may have been modified to give an easier justification.

1.1. Motivation. The motivation for the note comes from the work of Freeman Dyson [15], in which he gave combinatorial explanations of the following famous congruences given by Ramanujan

\begin{align}
  p(5n + 4) &\equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \\
  p(7n + 5) &\equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \\
  p(11n + 6) &\equiv 0 \pmod{11},
\end{align}

where \( p(n) \) is the ordinary partition function defined as follows.

**Definition 1.1 (Partition Function).** If \( n \) is a positive integer, let \( p(n) \) denote the number of unrestricted representations of \( n \) as a sum of positive integers, where representations with different orders of the same summands are not regarded as distinct. We call \( p(n) \) the partition function.

In order to give combinatorial explanations of the above, Dyson defined the rank of a partition to be the largest part minus the number of parts. Let \( N(m, t, n) \) denote the number of partitions of \( n \) with rank congruent to \( m \) modulo \( t \). Then Dyson conjectured that

\[ N(k, 5, 5n + 4) = \frac{p(5n + 4)}{5}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4, \]

and

\[ N(k, 7, 7n + 5) = \frac{p(7n + 5)}{7}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 6, \]

which yield combinatorial interpretations of (1.1) and (1.2).

These conjectures were later proven by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer. The generating function for \( N(m, n) \) is given by

\[ \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(m, n)a^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(aq; q)_n(q/a; q)_n}{(q/a; q)_n}. \]
Here $|q| < 1$, $|q| < |a| < 1/|q|$. 

However, the corresponding analogue of the rank doesn’t hold for (1.3), and so Dyson conjectured the existence of another statistic which he called crank. In his doctoral dissertation F. G. Garvan defined vector partitions which became the forerunner of the true crank.

Let $P$ denote the set of partitions and $D$ denote the set of partitions into distinct parts. Following Garvan, [17] we denote the set of vector partitions $V$ to be defined by

$$V = D \times P \times P.$$ 

For $\vec{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \in V$, we define the weight $\omega(\vec{\pi}) = (-1)^{\#(\pi_1)}$, the crank($\vec{\pi}$) = $\#(\pi_2) - \#(\pi_3)$, and $|\vec{\pi}| = |\pi_1| + |\pi_2| + |\pi_3|$, where $|\pi|$ is the sum of the parts of $\pi$. The number of vector partitions of $n$ with crank $m$ counted according to the weight $\omega$ is denoted by

$$N_V(m, n) = \sum_{\vec{\pi} \in V; |\vec{\pi}| = n, \text{crank}(\vec{\pi}) = m} \omega(\vec{\pi}).$$

We then have

$$\sum_m N_V(m, n) = p(n).$$

Let $N_V(m, t, n)$ denote the number of vector partitions of $n$ with crank congruent to $m$ modulo $t$ counted according to the weights $\omega$. Then we have

**Theorem 1.2** (Garvan, [17]).

$$N_V(k, 5, 5n + 4) = \frac{p(5n + 4)}{5}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4,$$

$$N_V(k, 7, 7n + 5) = \frac{p(7n + 5)}{7}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 6,$$

$$N_V(k, 11, 11n + 6) = \frac{p(11n + 6)}{11}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 10.$$

On June 6, 1987 at a student dormitory at the University of Illinois, G. E. Andrews and F. G. Garvan [4] found the true crank.

**Definition 1.3** (Crank). For a partition $\pi$, let $\lambda(\pi)$ denote the largest part of $\pi$, let $\mu(\pi)$ denote the number of ones in $\pi$, and let $\nu(\pi)$ denote the number of parts of $\pi$ larger than $\mu(\pi)$. The crank $c(\pi)$ is then defined to be

$$c(\pi) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda(\pi) & \text{if } \mu(\pi) = 0, \\
\nu(\pi) - \mu(\pi) & \text{if } \mu(\pi) > 0.
\end{cases}$$

Let $M(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$ with crank $m$, and let $M(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$ with crank congruent to $m$ modulo $t$. For $n \leq 1$ we set $M(0, 0) = 1$, $M(m, 0) = 0$, otherwise $M(0, 1) = -1, M(1, 1) = M(-1, 1) = 1$ and $M(m, 1) = 0$ otherwise. The generating function for $M(m, n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(m, n) q^m = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty(q/a; q)_\infty}.$$ (1.4)

The crank not only leads to combinatorial interpretations of (1.1) and (1.2), but also of (1.3). In fact we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.4** (Andrews-Gravan [4]). With $M(m, t, n)$ defined as above,

$$M(k, 5, 5n + 4) = \frac{p(5n + 4)}{5}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4,$$

$$M(k, 7, 7n + 5) = \frac{p(7n + 5)}{7}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 6,$$

$$M(k, 11, 11n + 6) = \frac{p(11n + 6)}{11}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 10.$$
Thus, we see that an observation by Dyson lead to concrete mathematical objects almost 40 years after it was first conjectured. Following the work of Andrews and Garvan, there has been a plethora of results by various authors including Garvan himself, where cranks have been found for many different congruence relations. We see that, the crank turns out to be an interesting object to study and in this thesis, we shall devote considerable attention to some of the claims of Ramanujan in his lost notebook in the next chapter.

1.2. Notations and Preliminaries. We record here some of the notations and important results that we shall be using throughout our study. For each nonnegative integer \( n \), we set

\[
(a)_n := (a; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a)_\infty := (a; q)_\infty := \lim_{n \to \infty} (a; q)_n, |q| < 1.
\]

We also set

\[
(a_1, \ldots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n
\]

and

\[
(a_1, \ldots, a_m; q)_\infty := (a_1; q)_\infty \cdots (a_m; q)_\infty.
\]

Ramanujan’s general theta function \( f(a, b) \) is defined by

\[
f(a, b) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{n(n+1)/2} b^{n(n-1)/2}, \quad |ab| < 1.
\]

Our aim in Chapter 2 is to study the following more general function

\[
F_a(q) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty}.
\]

To understand the theorems mentioned above and also the results of Ramanujan stated in his Lost Notebook and the other results to be discussed here, we need some well-known as well as lesser known results which we shall discuss briefly here. A more detailed discussion can be found in [2, 7] and [13].

One of the most common tools in dealing with \( q \)-series identities is the Jacobi’s Triple Product Identity, given by the following.

Theorem 1.5 (Jacobi’s Triple Product Identity). For \( z \neq 0 \) and \(|x| < 1\), we have

\[
\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (1 + x^{2n+2})(1 + x^{2n+1}z)(1 + x^{2n+1}z^{-1}) \right\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n^2} z^n.
\]

For the sake of completeness, we sketch the proof of this result following Andrews [1]. However, from now on most of the proofs of results that are stated in this section shall be omitted.

Proof. We have the following two identities by Euler which can be easily verified.

(1.5) \[
\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^n z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n(n-1)/2} z^n}{(1 - x) \cdots (1 - x^n)},
\]

and

(1.6) \[
\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^n z)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{(1 - x) \cdots (1 - x^n)},
\]

where \(|x| < 1\) and in (1.6), \(|z| < 1\).

Now, it is easy to see via algebraic manipulations that the Jacobi’s Identity follows from (1.5) and (1.6) for all \(|x| < |z|\). The complete argument with no such restriction easily follows from analytic continuation. \( \square \)

It is now easy to verify that Ramanujan’s theta function \( f(a, b) \) satisfies Jacobi triple product identity

(1.7) \[
f(a, b) = (-a; ab)_\infty (-b; ab)_\infty (ab; ab)_\infty
\]

and also the elementary identity
Lemma 1.11. If
\[ f(a, b) = a^{n(n+1)/2}b^{n(n-1)/2}f(a(b)^n, b(ab)^{-n}), \]
for any integer \( n \).

We now state a few results that are used to prove some of the results discussed in the next chapter.

**Theorem 1.6** (Ramanujan). If
\[ A_n := a^n + a^{-n}, \]
then
\[ \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty(q/a; q)_\infty} = 1 - \sum_{m=1, n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m q^{m(n+1)/2+mn}(A_{n+1} - A_n). \]

It is seen that the above theorem is equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.7** (Kac and Wakimoto). Let \( a_k = (-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2} \), then
\[ \frac{(a; a)_\infty^2}{(q/x; q)_\infty(q^2; q)_\infty} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k(1-x) \frac{1-xq^k}{1-x}. \]

Several times in the sequel we shall also employ an addition theorem found in Chapter 16 of Ramanujan’s second notebook [5, p. 48, Entry 31].

**Lemma 1.8.** If \( U_n = a^{n(n+1)/2}b^{n(n-1)/2} \) and \( V_n = a^{n(n-1)/2}b^{n(n+1)/2} \) for each integer \( n \), then
\[ f(U_1, V_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} U_k f \left( \frac{U_{N+k}}{U_k}, \frac{V_{N-k}}{U_k} \right). \]

Also useful to us are the following famous result, called the quintuple product identity and Winquist’s Identity.

**Lemma 1.9** (Quintuple Product Identity). Let \( f(a, b) \) be defined as above, and let
\[ f(-q) := f(-q, -q^2) = (q; q)_\infty, \]
then
\[ f(P^3Q, Q^4/P^3) - P^2f(Q/P^3, P^3Q^5) = f(-Q^2) \frac{f(-P^2, -Q^2/P^2)}{f(PQ, Q/P)}. \]

In [14], Shawn Cooper has studied the history and all the known proofs till 2006 systematically. In particular, mention may be made of Andrews’ nifty proof where he uses the \( \psi_6 \) summation formula to derive the above result. Zhu Cao has recently developed a new technique using which he proves Lemma 1.9 in [11].

**Lemma 1.10** (Winquist’s Identity). Following the notations given earlier, we have
\[ a, q/a, b, q/b, ab, q/(ab), a/b, bq/a, q; q)_\infty = f(-a^3, -q^3/a^3) \frac{f(-b^3q, -q^2/b^3) - bf(-b^2q^2, -q/b^3)}{-ab^{-1}f(-b^3, -q^3/b^3) \frac{f(-a^3q, -q^2/a^3) - af(-a^2q^2, -q/a^3)}}. \]

Like Lemma 1.9, this result is also very well known and was first used to prove (1.3) by Winquist. Recently Cao, [12] gave a new proof of this result using complex analysis and basic facts about \( q \)-series.

In order to prove a few results in Chapter 4, we shall make use of the following very useful lemma, whose proof can be found in [2].

**Lemma 1.11** (Bailey’s lemma). A pair of sequences \((\alpha_n(a, q), \beta_n(a, q))\) is called a Bailey pair with parameters \((a, q)\) if
\[ \beta_n(a, q) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_r(a, q)(q; q)_{n-r}(aq; q)_{n+r}}{(q; q)_{n-r}(aq; q)_{n+r}}. \]
Suppose \((\alpha_n(a, q), \beta_n(a, q))\) is a Bailey pair with parameters \((a, q)\). Then \((\alpha'_n(a, q), \beta'_n(a, q))\) is another Bailey pair with parameters \((a, q)\), where
\[ \alpha'_n(a, q) = \frac{(\rho_1, q)_n(\rho_2, q)_n(aq, \rho_1\rho_2, q)_n^{n}}{(aq, \rho_1; q)_n(\rho_2, q)_n^{n}} \alpha_n(a, q), \]
Theorem 2.3

\[
\beta_n'(a, q) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(\rho_1; q)_j (\rho_2; q)_j (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2; q)_{n-j} (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2)^j}{(q; q)_{n-j} (aq/\rho_1; q)_n (aq/\rho_2; q)_n} \beta_j(a, q).
\]

Now, we have almost all the details that we need for a detailed study of various results related to crank in the following chapters.

2. Crank for the Partition Function

In this chapter, we shall systematically study the claims related to cranks, that are found in Ramanujan’s Lost Notebook. We closely follow the exposition of Bruce C. Berndt, Heng Huat Chan, Song Heng Chan and Wen-Chin Liaw [8, 9] and [10]. We also take the help of the wonderful exposition of Andrews and Berndt in [3]. This chapter is an expansion of [20].

2.1. Cranks and Dissections in Ramanujan’s Lost Notebook. As mentioned in Chapter 1, the generating function for \( N(m, n) \) is given by

\[
\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(m, n) a^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(aq; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty}.
\]

Here \(|q| < 1\). Ramanujan has recorded several entries about cranks, mostly about (2.9). At the top page 179 in his lost notebook [19], Ramanujan defines a function \( F(q) \) and coefficient \( \lambda_n, n \geq 0 \) by

\[
F(q) := F_n(q) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty}.
\]

Thus, by (2.9), for \( n > 1 \),

\[
\lambda_n = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M(m, n) a^m.
\]

Then Ramanujan offers two congruences for \( F(q) \). These, like others that are to follow are to be regarded as congruences in the ring of formal power series in the two variables \( a \) and \( q \). Before giving these congruences, we give the following definition.

Definition 2.1 (Dissections). If

\[
P(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n
\]

is any power series, then the \( m \)-dissection of \( P(q) \) is given by

\[
P(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n_j=0}^{\infty} a_{n_j+m+j} q^{n_j+m+j}.
\]

We now state the two congruences that were given by Ramanujan below.

Theorem 2.2 (2-dissection). We have

\[
F_n(\sqrt{q}) = \frac{f(-q^3; -q^7)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} + \left( a - 1 + \frac{1}{a} \right) \sqrt{q} \frac{f(-q, -q^7)}{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( \mod a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} \right).
\]

We note that \( \lambda_2 = a^2 + a^{-2} \), which trivially implies that \( a^4 \equiv -1 \mod \lambda_2 \) and \( a^8 \equiv 1 \mod \lambda_2 \). Thus, in (2.11) \( a \) behaves like a primitive 8th root of unity modulo \( \lambda_2 \). If we let \( a = \exp(2\pi i/8) \) and replace \( q \) by \( q^2 \) in the definition of a dissection, (2.11) will give the 2-dissection of \( F_n(q) \).

Theorem 2.3 (3-dissection). We have

\[
F_q(q^{1/3}) = \frac{f(-q^2, -q^7) f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^3; q^9)^\infty} + \left( a - 1 + \frac{1}{a} \right) q^{1/3} \frac{f(-q, -q^8) f(-q^4, -q^5)}{(q^3; q^9)^\infty} \left( \mod a^3 + \frac{1}{a^3} \right).
\]
Again we note that, \( \lambda_3 = a^3 + 1 + \frac{1}{a^3} \), from which it follows that \( a^9 = -a^6 - a^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda_3} \). So in (2.12), \( a \) behaves like a primitive 9th root of unity. While if we let \( a = \exp(2\pi i/9) \) and replace \( q \) by \( q^3 \) in the definition of a dissection, (2.12) will give the 3-dissection of \( F_a(q) \).

In contrast to (2.11) and (2.12), Ramanujan offered the 5-dissection in terms of an equality.

**Theorem 2.4 (5-dissection).** We have

\[
F_a(q) = \frac{f(-q^2,-q^3)}{f^2(-q,-q^4)} f^2(-q^5) - 4 \cos^2(2n\pi/5)q^{1/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f(-q,-q^4)} + 2 \cos(4n\pi/5)q^{2/5} \frac{f^2(-q^5)}{f^2(-q^2,-q^3)} - 2 \cos(2n\pi/5)q^{3/5} \frac{f(-q,-q^4)}{f^2(-q^2,-q^3)} f^2(-q^5). \tag{2.13}
\]

We observe that (2.15) has no term with \( q^{4/5} \), which is a reflection of (1.1). In fact, one can replace (2.15) by a congruence and in turn (2.11) and (2.12) by equalities. This is done in [8]. Ramanujan did not specifically give the 7- and 11-dissections of \( F_a(q) \) in [19]. However, he vaguely gives some of the coefficients occurring in those dissections. Uniform proofs of these dissections and the others already stated earlier are given in [8]. Ramanujan gives the 5-dissection of \( F(q) \) on page 20 of his lost notebook [19]. It is interesting to note that he does not give the alternate form analogous to those of (2.11) and (2.12), from which the 5-dissection will follow if we set \( a \) to be a primitive fifth root of unity. On page 59 in his lost notebook [19], Ramanujan has recorded a quartet of two power series, with the highest power of the numerator being \( q^{31} \) and the highest power of the denominator being \( q^{22} \). Underneath he records another power series with the highest power being \( q^5 \). Although not claimed by him, the two expressions are equal. This claim was stated in the previous chapter as Theorem 1.6.

In the following, we shall use the results and notations that we discussed in Chapter 1. It must be noted that some of these results have been proved by numerous authors, but we follow the exposition of Berndt, Chan, Chan and Liaw [8].

**Theorem 2.5.** Recall that \( F(q) = F_q(q) \) as defined earlier, then we have

\[
F_a(q) \equiv \frac{f(-q^6,-q^{10})}{(-q^4;q^4)_\infty} + \left( a - 1 + \frac{1}{a} \right) q \frac{f(-q^2,-q^4)}{(-q^4;q^4)_\infty} (\mod A_2). \tag{2.14}
\]

We note that (2.14) is equivalent to (2.11) if we replace \( \sqrt{a} \) by \( q \). We shall give a proof of this result using a method of rationalization. This method does not work in general, but only for those \( n \)-dissections where \( n \) is small.

**Proof.** Throughout the proof, we assume that \( |q| < |a| < 1/|q| \) and we shall also frequently use the facts that \( a^4 \equiv -1 \mod A_2 \) and that \( a^8 \equiv 1 \mod A_2 \). We write

\[
\frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty(q/a; q)_\infty} = (q; q)_\infty \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(aq^n)_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \right)^k \left( \frac{(q/q^a)_\infty}{(q^a)_\infty} \right)^k. \tag{2.15}
\]

We now subdivide the series under product sign into residue classes modulo 8 and then sum the series. Using repeatedly congruences modulo 8 for the powers of \( a \), we shall obtain from (2.15) the following

\[
\frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty(q/a; q)_\infty} \equiv \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(-q^2; q^4)_\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + aq^n)(1 + q^n/a) (\mod A_2), \tag{2.16}
\]

upon multiplying out the polynomials in the product that we obtain and using the congruences for powers of \( a \) modulo \( A_2 \).

Now, using Lemma 1.8 with \( \alpha = a, \beta = q/a \) and congruences for powers of \( a \) modulo \( A_2 \), we shall find after some simple manipulations

\[
(q; q)_\infty(-aq; q)_\infty(-q/a; q)_\infty (aq; q)_\infty(q/a; q)_\infty \equiv f(-q^6, -q^{10}) + (A_1 - 1)qf(-q^2, -q^{14}) (\mod A_2). \]

Using (2.16) in the above, we shall get the desired result.

Using similar techniques, but with more complicated manipulations, we shall be able to find the following theorem.
Theorem 2.6. We have
\[ F_a(q) \equiv \frac{f(-q^6, -q^{21})f(-q^{12}, -q^{15})}{(q^{27}; q^{27})_\infty} + (A_1 - 1)q^{2}f(-q^3, -q^{24})f(-q^{12}, -q^{15}) \]
\[ + A_2q^{3}f(-q^3, -q^{24})f(-q^{6}, -q^{21}) \pmod{A_3 + 1}. \]

When \( a = e^{2\pi i/9} \), the above theorem gives us Theorem 2.3. For the remainder of this section, we shall use the following notation
\[ S_n(a) := \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a^k. \]

We note that, when \( p \) is an odd prime then
\[ S_{(p-1)/2}(a) = a^{(1-p)/2}\Phi_p(a), \]
where \( \Phi_p(a) \) is the minimal, monic polynomial for a primitive \( p \)th root of unity. We now give the 5-dissection in terms of a congruence.

Theorem 2.7. With \( f(-q) \), \( S_2 \) and \( A_n \) as defined earlier, we have
\[ F_a(q) \equiv \frac{f(-q^{10}, -q^{15})}{f^2(-q^5, -q^{20})} f^2(-q^{25}) + (A_1 - 1)q^{2}f^2(-q^5, -q^{20}) \]
\[ + A_2q^{2}f(-q^{25}) - A_1q^{3}f(-q^5, -q^{20}) f^2(-q^{25}) \pmod{S_2}. \]

In his lost notebook [19, p. 58, 59, 182], Ramanujan factored the coefficients of \( F_a(q) \) as functions of \( a \).

In particular, he sought factors of \( \Phi_9(q) \), and \( \alpha, \beta, N \) as defined earlier. We now give the 7-dissection of \( F_a(q) \).

Theorem 2.8. With usual notations defined earlier, we have
\[ \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(qa; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty} = \frac{1}{f(-q^7)} \frac{(A^2 + (A_1 + 1)qA + A_2q^2B^3 + (A_1 + 1)q^3AC)}{f(-q^7; q^7)_\infty} \]
\[ - A_1q^3BC - (A_2 + 1)q^6C^2 \pmod{S_3}, \]
where \( A = f(-q^{21}, -q^{28}) \), \( B = f(-q^{35}, -q^{14}) \) and \( C = f(-q^{42}, -q^7) \).

Proof. Rationalizing and using Theorem 1.7 we find
\[ \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(qa; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty} = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}^2 (qa^2; q)_\infty (q/a^2; q)_\infty (qa^3; q)_\infty (q/a^3; q)_\infty}{(q^7; q^7)_\infty} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{f(-q^7; q^7)_\infty} \frac{f(-a^2, -q/a^2)}{1 - a^2} \frac{f(-a^3, -q/a^3)}{1 - a^3} \pmod{S_3}. \]

Now using Lemma 1.8 with \( (\alpha, \beta, N) = (-a^2, -q/a^2, 7) \) and \( (-a^3, -q/a^3, 7) \) respectively, we find that
\[ \frac{f(-a^2, -q/a^2)}{1 - a^2} \equiv A - q\frac{(a^3 - a^4)}{1 - a^2} B + q^3\frac{(a^3 - a^6)}{1 - a^2} C \pmod{S_3} \]
and
\[ \frac{f(-a^3, -q/a^3)}{1 - a^3} \equiv A - q\frac{(a^4 - a^6)}{1 - a^3} B + q^3\frac{(a^4 - a^6)}{1 - a^3} C \pmod{S_3}. \]

Now substituting (2.19) and (2.20) in (2.18) and simplifying, we shall get the proof of the desired result. \( \square \)

Although there is a result for the 11 dissection as well, but we do not discuss it here.
We state the 11-dissection of \( F_a(q) \) below.
Theorem 2.9. With $A_m$ and $S_5$ defined as earlier, we have
\[
F_a(q) \equiv \frac{1}{(q^{11}; q^{11})\infty(q^{121}; q^{121})_\infty^2} \left( ABCD + \{A_1 - 1\}qA^2BE \\
+ A_2q^2AC^2\{A_3 + 1\}q^3ABD \\
+ \{A_2 + A_4 + 1\}q^4ABCE - \{A_2 + A_4\}q^5B^2CE \\
+ \{A_1 + A_4\}q^7ABDE - \{A_2 + A_5 + 1\}q^{10}CDE^2 \\
- \{A_4 + 1\}q^9ACDE - \{A_3\}q^{10}BCDE \right) \pmod{S_5},
\]
where $A = f(-q^{55}, -q^{66})$, $B = f(-q^{77}, -q^{44})$, $C = f(-q^{88}, -q^{33})$, $D = f(-q^{99}, -q^{22})$, and $E = f(-q^{110}, -q^{11})$.

Although the proof of Theorem 2.9 is not difficult, but it is very tedious involving various routine $q$-series identities and results mentioned in Chapter 1, so we shall omit it here.

2.2. Other results from the Lost Notebook. Apart from the results that we have discussed so far in this chapter, Ramanujan also recorded many more entries in his lost notebook which pertains to cranks. For example, on page 58 in his lost notebook [19], Ramanujan has written out the first 21 coefficients in the power series representation of the crank $F_a(q)$, where he had incorrectly written the coefficient of $q^{21}$. On the following page, beginning with the coefficient of $q^{27}$, Ramanujan listed some (but not necessarily all) of the factors of the coefficients up to $q^{26}$. He did not indicate why he recorded an incomplete list of such factors. However, it can be noted that in each case he recorded linear factors only when the leading index is $\leq 5$.

On pages 179 and 180 in his lost notebook [19], Ramanujan has offered ten tables of indices of coefficients $\lambda_n$ satisfying certain congruences. On page 61 in [19], he offers rougher drafts of nine of these ten tables, where table 6 is missing. Unlike the tables on pages 179 and 180, no explanations are given on page 61. It is clear that Ramanujan had calculated factors beyond those he has recorded on pages 58 and 59 of his lost notebook as mentioned in the earlier paragraph. In [9], the authors have verified these claims using a computer algebra software. Although it is clear that Ramanujan believed all his tables are complete, it has not been verified yet. We explain below these tables following Berndt, Chan, Chan and Liaw [9].

**Table 1.** $\lambda_n \equiv 0 \pmod{a^2 + 1/a^2}$

Here Ramanujan indicates which coefficients of $\lambda_n$ have $a_2$ as a factor. If we replace $q$ by $q^2$ in (2.11), we see that Table 1 contains the degree of $q$ for those terms with zero coefficients for both $f(-q^6,-q^{10})$ and $f(-q^2,q^4)\infty$. There are 47 such values.

**Table 2.** $\lambda_n \equiv 1 \pmod{a^2 + 1/a^2}$

Returning again to (2.11) and replacing $q$ by $q^2$, we see that Ramanujan recorded all the indices of the coefficients that are equal to 1 in the power series expansion of $f(-q^6,-q^{10})$ and $f(-q^2,q^4)\infty$. There are 27 such values.

**Table 3.** $\lambda_n \equiv -1 \pmod{a^2 + 1/a^2}$

This table can be understood in a similar way as the previous table. There are 27 such values.

**Table 4.** $\lambda_n \equiv a - 1 + 1/a \pmod{a^2 + 1/a^2}$

Again looking at (2.11), we note that $a - 1 + 1/a$ occurs as a factor of the second expression on the right side. Thus replacing $q$ by $q^2$, Ramanujan records the indices of all coefficients of $q^2f(-q^2,-q^{14})$ which are equal to 1. There are 22 such values.

**Table 5.** $\lambda_n \equiv -(a - 1 + 1/a) \pmod{a^2 + 1/a^2}$

This table can also be interpreted in a manner similar to the previous one. There are 23 such values.

**Table 6.** $\lambda_n \equiv 0 \pmod{a + 1/a}$

Ramanujan here gives those coefficients which have $a_1$ as a factor. There are only three such values and these values can be discerned from the table on page 59 of the lost notebook.
From the calculation
\[
\frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(aq; q)_{\infty}(q/a; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} = \frac{f(-q)f(-q^2)}{f(-q^4)} \pmod{a + 1/a},
\]
we see that in this table Ramanujan has recorded the degree of \( q \) for the terms with zero coefficients in the power series expansion of \( \frac{f(-q)f(-q^2)}{f(-q^4)} \).

From the next three tables, it is clear from the calculation
\[
\frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(aq; q)_{\infty}(q/a; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}} = \frac{f(-q^2)f(-q^4)}{f(-q^8)} \pmod{a + 1/a},
\]
that Ramanujan recorded the degree of \( q \) for the terms with coefficients 0, 1 and \(-1\) respectively in the power series expansion of \( \frac{f(-q^2)f(-q^4)}{f(-q^8)} \).

**Table 7.** \( \lambda_n \equiv 0 \pmod{a - 1 + 1/a} \)

There are 19 such values.

**Table 8.** \( \lambda_n \equiv 1 \pmod{a - 1 + 1/a} \)

There are 26 such values.

**Table 9.** \( \lambda_n \equiv -1 \pmod{a - 1 + 1/a} \)

There are 26 such values.

**Table 10.** \( \lambda_n \equiv 0 \pmod{a + 1 + 1/a} \)

Ramanujan has put 2 such values. From the calculation
\[
\frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(aq; q)_{\infty}(q/a; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{\infty}}{(-q^3; q^3)_{\infty}} = \frac{f^2(-q)}{f(-q^4)} \pmod{a + 1 + 1/a},
\]
it is clear that Ramanujan had recorded the degree of \( q \) for the terms with zero coefficients in the power series expansion of \( \frac{f^2(-q)}{f(-q^4)} \).

The infinite products \( \frac{f(-q^6, -q^{10})}{(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}, \frac{f(-q^2, -q^{14})}{(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}, \frac{f(-q)f(-q^2)}{f(-q^4)}, \frac{f(-q^2)f(-q^3)}{f(-q^8)} \) and \( \frac{f^2(-q)}{f(-q^4)} \) do not appear to have monotonic coefficients for sufficiently large \( n \). However, if these products are dissected, then we have the following conjectures by Berndt, Chan, Chan and Liaw [9].

**Conjecture 2.10.** Each component in each of the dissections for the five products given above has monotonic coefficients for powers of \( q \) above 600.

The authors have checked this conjecture for \( n = 2000 \).

**Conjecture 2.11.** For any positive integers \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), each component of the \( (\alpha + \beta + 1) \)-dissection of the product
\[
\frac{f(-q^\alpha)f(-q^\beta)}{f(-q^{\alpha+\beta+1})}
\]
has monotonic coefficients for sufficiently large powers of \( q \).

It is clear that Conjecture 2.10 is a special case of Conjecture 2.11 for the last three infinite products given above when we set \( (\alpha, \beta) = (1, 2), (2, 3), \) and \( (1, 1) \) respectively. Using the Hardy-Ramanujan circle method, these conjectures have been verified by O. -Y. Chan.

On page 182 in his lost notebook [19], Ramanujan returns to the coefficients \( \lambda_n \) in (2.9). He factors \( \lambda_n \) for \( 1 \leq n \leq n \) as before, but singles out nine particular factors by giving them special notation. These are the factors which occurred more than once. Ramanujan uses these factors to compute \( p(n) \) which is a special case of (2.9) with \( a = 1 \). It is possible that through this, Ramanujan may have been searching for results through which he would have been able to give some divisibility criterion of \( p(n) \). Ramanujan has left no results related to these factors, and it is up to speculation as to his motives for doing this.

Again on page 59, Ramanujan lists two factors, one of which is Theorem 1.6. further below this he records two series, namely,
We multiply (2.21) by (1 + a) to get

\begin{align*}
(1 + a)S_1(a, q) &= 1 + (1 + a) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{1 + a q^n} + \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{a + q^n} \right) \\
&= 1 + (1 + a) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{1 + a q^n} + \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{1 + a q^{-n}} \right) \\
&= 1 + (1 + a) \sum_{n \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{1 + a q^n} \\
&= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}(1 + a)}{1 + a q^n} \\
&= \frac{(q; q)_\infty^2}{(-aq; q)_\infty(-q/a; q)_\infty}. \quad (2.23)
\end{align*}

Thus, \( (1 + a)S_1(a, q) = S_2(a, q) = F_{-a}(q) \).

By Theorem 1.7.

Secondly by Theorem 1.6, we have

\begin{align*}
S_2(a, q) &= 1 + \sum_{m=1, n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m q^{m(m+1)/2 + nm} (-a)^{n+1} - (-a)^{-n-1} + (-a)^n + (-a)^{-n} \\
&= \frac{(q; q)_\infty^2}{(-aq; q)_\infty(-q/a; q)_\infty}. \quad (2.24)
\end{align*}

Thus, (2.23) and (2.24) completes the proof.

From the preceding discussion, it is clear that Ramanujan was very interested in finding some general results with the possible intention of determining arithmetical properties of \( p(n) \) from them by setting \( a = 1 \). Although he found many beautiful results, but his goal eluded him. The kind of general theorems on the divisibility of \( \lambda_n \) by sums of powers of \( a \) appear to be very difficult. Also, a challenging problem is to show that Ramanujan’s Table 6 is complete.

### 2.3. Cranks – The final problem.

In his last letter to G. H. Hardy, Ramanujan announced a new class of functions which he called mock theta functions, and gave several examples and theorems related to them. The latter was dated 20th January, 1920, a little more than three months before his death. Thus for a long time, it was widely believed that the last problem on which Ramanujan worked on was mock theta functions. But the wide range of topics that are covered in his lost notebook, [19] suggests that he had worked on several problems in his death bed. Of course, it is only speculation and some educated guess that we can make. But, the work of Berndt, Chan, Chan and Liaw [10] has provided conclusive evidence that the last problem on which Ramanujan worked on was cranks, although he would not have used this terminology.

We have already seen, the various dissections of the crank generating function that Ramanujan had provided. In the preceding section, we saw various other results of Ramanujan related to the coefficients \( \lambda_n \). In order
to calculate those tables, Ramanujan had to calculate with hand various series up to hundreds of coefficients. Even for Ramanujan, this is a tremendous task and we can only wonder what might have led him to such a task. Clearly, there was very little chance that he might have found some nice congruences for these values like (1.1) for example.

In the foregoing discussion of the crank, pages 20, 59-59, 61, 53-64, 70-71, and 179-181 are cited from [19]. Ramanujan’s 5-dissection for the crank is given on page 20. The remaining ten pages are devoted to the crank. Infact in pages 58-89, there is some scratch work from which it is very difficult to see where Ramanujan was aiming them at. But it is likely that all these pages were related to the crank. In [10], the authors have remarked that pages 65 (same as page 73), 66, 72, 77, 80-81, and 83-85 are almost surely related to the crank, while they were unable to determine conclusively if the remaining pages pertain to cranks.

In 1983, Ramanujan’s widow Janaki told Berndt that there were more pages of Ramanujan’s work than the 138 pages of the lost notebook. She claimed that during her husband’s funeral service, some gentlemen came and took away some of her husband’s papers. The remaining papers of Ramanujan were donated to the University of Madras. It is possible that Ramanujan had two stacks of paper, one for scratch work or work which he did not think complete, and the other where he put down the results in a more complete form. The pages that we have analysed most certainly belonged to the first stack and it was Ramanujan’s intention to return to them later. In the time before his death, it is certainly clear that most of Ramanujan’s mathematical thoughts had been only on one topic - cranks.

However, one thing is clear that Ramanujan probably didn’t think of the crank or rank as we think of it now. We do not know with certainty whether or not Ramanujan thought combinatorially about the crank. Since his notebooks contain very little words and also since he was in his death bed so he didn’t waste his time on definitions and observations which might have been obvious to him; so we are not certain about the extend to which Ramanujan thought about these objects. It is clear from some of his published papers that Ramanujan was an excellent combinatorial thinker and it would not be surprising if he had many combinatorial insights about the crank. But, since there is not much recorded history from this period of his life, we can at best only speculate.

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS
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