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Abstract
Linear chains of quantum scatterers are studied in the process of lengthening, which is treated and analysed as a discrete dynamical system defined over the manifold of scattering matrices. Elementary properties of such dynamics relate the transport through the chain to the spectral properties of individual scatterers. For a single-scattering channel case, some new light is shed on known transport properties of disordered and noisy chains, whereas the translationally invariant case can be studied analytically in terms of a simple deterministic dynamical map. The many-channel case was studied numerically by examining the statistical properties of scatterers that correspond to a certain type of transport of the chain, i.e. ballistic or (partially) localized.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Nk, 11.80.Gw, 72.20.Dp, 72.15.Rn, 73.50.Bk

1. Introduction
Linear chains of scatterers represent a useful model of macroscopic structures such as multilayered structures, real-life wires, nano-tubes, etc. This is the reason that the scattering chains have attracted a lot of scientific attention from the early 1980s to the present time. Past studies mainly focused on the chain of randomly chosen scatterers and its average properties. For good reviews on this topic see [1–4], where the main approach of theoretical analysis is the transfer matrix formalism [5]. Some other interesting articles discussing linear chain of scatterers with some disorder using the same approach are [6–10]. There were also attempts to understand the chains using purely the scattering matrix approach, although they have only partially used its advantages. For original references along these lines see [11–13]. A considerable breakthrough in the understanding of localization in disordered wires was made using the Dorokhov–Mello–Pereyra–Kumar (DMPK) scaling equation [14, 15], which gives the scaling of the distribution of transmission-like quantities of individual modes with the chain length. More recently there was some renewed interest in scattering formalism, for example in the stability analysis of the scattering matrix merging procedures [16], and the random walk in the scattering chains [17].
In this paper, we examine linear chains of abstract quantum scatterers. The scatterers on the chain can be any conservative open quantum systems generating wave dynamics, and having two identical waveguides attached on the left/right side which connect to the previous/next scatterer (see figure 1). We shall leave out from discussion all geometric parameters of the systems and the waveguides and work purely algebraically to make results as general as possible. We are interested in general on-shell transport properties of these chains in the process of lengthening, namely in what we shall refer to as the dynamical approach to scattering. We work within the scattering matrix formalism in order to avoid divergences present in, e.g., the transfer matrix formalism, and to take advantage of the compactness of the phase space manifold of scattering matrices. The presented dynamical approach enables intuitively clear insight into the finite and infinite chains. In the following sections, besides discussing dynamical properties of lengthening, we also derive some interesting transport properties of the chain in three different cases: translationally invariant chain, chain with weak disorder and chain with strong disorder. Some of our results can be understood as re-derivation of known transport properties of one-dimensional lattices from a simple new dynamical perspective, in particular for the case of single-channel scatterers. However, in the case of multi-channel scatterers we report some new, numerical, results on the scaling of Haar measures of scattering matrices corresponding to ballistic and localized dynamics.

2. Scattering matrix formalism

In this section, we give a short sketch of scattering formalism in quantum chains, but the reader can see [18] for details. The stationary Schrödinger equation for the open quantum problem composed of the scatterer and two infinite waveguides is written symbolically as

\[
\hat{H}\psi = E\psi,
\]

where \(\hat{H}\) represents the Hamiltonian of the system. The wavefunctions in the left waveguide \(\psi_L\) and in the right waveguide \(\psi_R\) are expanded in the channel basis \(\{|e_n^\pm\}_n\)

\[
|\psi_{L,R}\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^d a_{n}^{L,R} |e_n^+\rangle + b_{n}^{L,R} |e_n^-\rangle,
\]

where superscripts + and − correspond to the phase propagation from left-to-right and right-to-left, respectively. The number of basis mode functions involved in the expansion, denoted by \(d\), is also called the number of (scattering) channels. The smoothness of the wavefunction on the boundary between the waveguides and the scatterer gives the condition which connects the wavefunctions on both sides of the scatterer. The connection between the two sides expressed in vectors of expansion coefficients \(a_{n}^{L,R} = \{a_{n}^{L,R}\}_{n=1}^d\) and \(b_{n}^{L,R} = \{b_{n}^{L,R}\}_{n=1}^d\) can be given in the form of a scattering matrix \(S\) or a transfer matrix \(T\):
independent and so we use either

\[ S\psi_{\text{in}} = \psi_{\text{out}}, \quad \psi_{\text{in}} = \begin{bmatrix} a^L \\ b^L \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi_{\text{out}} = \begin{bmatrix} a^R \\ b^R \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3) \]

\[ T\psi_L = \psi_R, \quad \psi_L = \begin{bmatrix} a^L \\ b^L \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi_R = \begin{bmatrix} a^R \\ b^R \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4) \]

with superscripts L and R corresponding to the left and the right side of the scatterer. Following the definitions (3) and (4), it is convenient to express the scattering matrix \( S \) and the transfer matrix \( T \) as block matrices:

\[ S = \begin{bmatrix} r^L & t^R \\ r^L & t^L \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5) \]

where \( r^{L,R} \) represent the reflection matrices and \( t^{L,R} \) the transmission matrices for incidence of the left and right side of the scatterer. The matrices of this form are sometimes called two-way (port) scattering and transfer matrices because they connect two distant openings of the scatterer. Purely from definitions (3) and (4), we obtain explicit relations between the scattering matrix and the transfer matrix:

\[ T[S] = \begin{bmatrix} r^L - r^R r^{R-1} r^L & r^R r^{R-1} \\ -r^{R-1} r^L & r^{R-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad S[T] = \begin{bmatrix} -x_4^{-1} x_3 & x_4^{-1} \\ x_1 - x_2 x_4^{-1} x_3 & x_2 x_4^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6) \]

From the conservation of probability currents, it follows (see, e.g. [5]) that the matrices \( S \) and \( T \) fulfill the following relations:

\[ S^\dagger S = SS^\dagger = 1, \quad T^\dagger KT = TK^\dagger = K, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (7) \]

implying that the scattering matrix \( S \) is unitary, \( S \in U(2d) \), and the transfer matrix \( T \) is hyperbolic, \( T \in U(d,d) \). Then by introducing transport probability matrices corresponding to the reflection \( \Pi_x \) and transmission \( \Sigma_x \),

\[ \Pi_x = t^{x\dagger} t^x, \quad \Sigma_x = t^{x\dagger} t^x, \quad \Pi_x + \Sigma_x = 1, \quad x = L, R, \quad (8) \]

we define the two basic measures of transport of the scatterer: (i) the average transmission (reflection) probability \( T \) (\( R \)) as

\[ R = (\Pi_x), \quad T = (\Sigma_x) = 1 - R, \quad x = L, R \quad (9) \]

and (ii) the standard deviation of the transmission (reflection) probability \( \sigma_T^2 \) (\( \sigma_R^2 \)) as

\[ \sigma_R^2 = \frac{1}{d+1} \left[ (\Pi_x^2) - R^2 \right] = \sigma_T^2 = \frac{1}{d+1} \left[ (\Sigma_x^2) - T^2 \right], \quad x = L, R, \quad (10) \]

where we have used the symbol \( (\bullet) = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}[(\bullet)] \). We see that only two quantities can be independent and so we use either \((R, \sigma_R)\) or \((T, \sigma_T)\) as a measure of transport.

We build the chain of scatterers recursively by connecting additional scatterers to one end of the chain. This procedure is illustrated in figure 2. The chain’s length is measured in units of the number of scatterers composing the chain. An existing chain of length \( n \) with the scattering matrix \( S_n \) is extended with an additional elementary scatterer described by a generating scattering matrix \( S \), forming a chain of length \( n + 1 \), and with the scattering matrix \( S_{n+1} \):

\[ S = \begin{bmatrix} r^L & t^R \\ r^L & t^L \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_n = \begin{bmatrix} r_n^L & t_n^R \\ r_n^L & t_n^L \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11) \]
Figure 2. Schematic picture of a linear chain of scatterers. It is build by recursive lengthening of
the initial chain $S_1$ with scatterers $S$. Scatterer $S$ can be taken as fixed or $n$-dependent.

The recurrence relation for the scattering matrices of the chain then reads

$$S_{n+1} = S_n \odot S,$$

(12)

where we introduced a binary operation $\odot$ representing concatenation of the scattering
matrices. This is explicitly written as

$$r_{n+1}^L = r_n^L + t_n^R r_n^L L_{n-1}^{-1} l_n^L,$$

(13)

$$r_{n+1}^R = r_n^R + t_n^L r_n^R L_{n-1}^{-1} l_n^R,$$

(14)

with matrix expressions $L = 1 - r_n^R r_n^L$ and $L' = 1 - r_n^L r_n^R$. Note that the unitary scattering matrices $U(2d)$ form a group [19] with the operation $\odot$. We think of the recurrence (12) as a
discrete dynamical system defined over the space of scattering matrices. We study two types of chain generation: either we take a fixed (static) generating scattering matrix $S$, or $S$ is taken randomly during the growth of the chain. The iteration (12) can be written in the transfer
matrix formalism in terms of matrix products as

$$T_{n+1} = T T_n,$$

(15)

where $T = T[S]$ is the generating transfer matrix and $T_n = T[S_n]$ is the transfer matrix of
the chain of length $n$. But as can be read from the relations (7), matrix elements of $T_n$ are not
bounded in size. This implies that the map (15) is generally unstable and so numerically of
limited use.

3. Chain of single-channel scatterers

Here we discuss chains of linearly connected single-channel ($d = 1$) scatterers. We describe
the chain and the individual scatterers in terms of $2 \times 2$ unitary scattering matrices $S$ parametrized as

$$S(A, \alpha_L^r, \beta_L^r, \beta_R^r) = \begin{bmatrix} A e^{i \alpha_L^r} & B e^{i \beta_R^r} \\ B e^{i \beta_L^r} & -A e^{i (\beta_L^r + \beta_R^r - \alpha_L^r)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \sqrt{1 - B^2},$$

(16)

where $A$ and $B$ are square roots of the reflection and transmission intensity, respectively, $\alpha_L^r$ is the reflection phase and $\beta_L^r, \beta_R^r$ are the transmission phases. Therefore, any unitary $2 \times 2$ matrix represents a physically legitimate scattering matrix. Note that $T[S] = B^2, R[S] = A^2$ and
$\sigma_R = \sigma_T = 0$. In introduced parametrization (16), the scattering matrix $S_n$ of the chain of
length $n$ and the generating scattering matrix $S$ read

$$S_n = S(A_n, \alpha_n^1, \beta_n^1, \beta_n^R), \quad S = S(A, \alpha_L^1, \beta_L^1, \beta_R^R).$$

(17)

The recurrence relation/map for the chain generation (12) can now be written out explicitly.
We discuss two cases of chain generation. In the first case the chain is translationally invariant
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with fixed $S$ and in the second case $S$ is chosen randomly at each iteration step creating a random (disordered) chain. The appropriate explicit form of the map differs a bit for the two cases.

3.1. Static generating scattering matrix

Here we consider an initial scatterer with the scattering matrix $S_1$ and the generating matrix $S$ which is constant along the chain. The infinite chain represents a quantum particle in a one-dimensional periodic structure on a half-line with a given initial condition. For a scalar periodic potential, the problem is discussed in a standard literature on quantum mechanics using the transfer matrix approach, see, e.g. [p 367][20]. In a related problem on a doubly-infinite line, the spectrum has a form of energy bands and the standard Bloch theorem applies. In such a case, the explicit form of the recurrence relation for the chain generation (12), by using a new variable $\chi_n = \beta_n^L + \beta_n^R - \alpha_n^L + \alpha$, reads

$$A_{n+1} = \sqrt{A_n^2 + A^2 + 2A_n A \cos \chi_n},$$

(18)

$$\chi_{n+1} = \chi_n + 2\lambda + \arg\{(1 + A_n A e^{-i\chi_n})(A_n + A e^{-i\chi_n})\},$$

(19)

$$\beta_{n+1}^L = \beta_n^L + \beta_n^R + \arg\{(1 + A_n A e^{-i\chi_n})\},$$

(20)

$$\beta_{n+1}^R = \beta_n^R + \beta_n^L + \arg\{(1 + A_n A e^{-i\chi_n})\},$$

(21)

where we have introduced a parameter $\lambda = (\beta_n^L + \beta_n^R)/2$ of the generating scattering matrix.

The relevant parts of this system of discrete equations are expressions (18) and (19) representing an autonomous two-dimensional dynamical system. Note that this dynamical system would not change if we restrict ourselves to the case of time-reversal invariant (symmetric) $S$ matrices for which $\beta_n^L \equiv \beta_n^R$. Depending on parameters of the generating matrix $S$, namely $A$ and $\lambda$, we distinguish two topologically different types of dynamics: (i) quasi-periodic or ballistic with an elliptic fixed-point and (ii) convergence to a single attractive fixed-point, called localization. The phase space portraits for both types of dynamics are plotted in figure 3. The study of our two-dimensional dynamical system shows that the type of dynamics depends on the sign of the following discriminant:

$$D = A^2 - \sin^2 \lambda,$$

(22)

yielding for $D < 0$ the ballistic and for $D > 0$ the localized dynamics. The expression $D$ is a discriminant in the eigenvalue problem of the transfer matrix $T[S](\det(T[S] - \kappa I) = 0)$:

$$\kappa_{1,2} = \frac{e^{\pm i\frac{\lambda}{A}}}{\sqrt{1 - A^2}}(\cos \lambda \pm \sqrt{D})$$

(23)

and it is easy to see that, suitably ordering $\kappa_{1,2}$,

$$D < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \kappa_1 = \kappa_2^* \quad \text{and} \quad |\kappa_{1,2}| = 1, \quad D > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa_1| < 1 < |\kappa_2|.$$  

(24)

We can conclude that a growing chain in the transfer matrix formalism (15) for $D < 0$ will be numerically stable and for $D > 0$ some matrix elements of the transfer matrix $T_n[S_n]$ will diverge.

We note that for the corresponding problem on an infinite line the ballistic case $D < 0$, and the localized case $D > 0$, correspond to physical energy being positioned inside the (Bloch) energy band, or in the gap, respectively.
In the case of ballistic dynamics, $D < 0$, we find a unique elliptic fixed-point $(A_e, \chi_e)$:

$$A_e = u - \sqrt{u^2 - 1}, \quad u = \frac{\sin \lambda}{A},$$

$$\chi_e = \frac{\pi}{2} + m\pi,$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\chi_e \not\in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. Furthermore, the phase space portrait of ballistic dynamics indicates an existence of an additional integral of motion $F$ of dynamics (18), (19), which can be derived using the correspondence between the scattering and the transfer matrices given by (6)

$$F(A_n, \chi_n) = \frac{\sin \lambda + A_n A \sin(\lambda - \chi_n)}{1 - A_n^2}.$$  

By considering the last expression, we conclude that the scattering matrix of the chain evolves on a one-dimensional manifold.

In the case of localized dynamics, $D > 0$, the whole phase space converges to a single fixed-point attractor $(A_a, \chi_a)$:

$$A_a = 1,$$

$$\chi_a = \lambda + \arg\{iu + \sqrt{1 - u^2}\}, \quad u = \frac{\sin \lambda}{A}.$$

Hence, the chain converges with increasing length to a state of zero transmission (perfect reflection). The convergence is exponential. This can be best seen by locally expanding the dynamics around the fixed point in variables $B = \sqrt{1 - A^2}$ and $\chi_n = \chi_a + \delta \chi_n$ and so we obtain

$$B_n \sim |k_1|^n, \quad \delta \chi_n \sim |k_1|^n.$$  

Note that the norms of all matrix elements converge to limiting values, but the phases do not, with the exception of $r_L$. Similar behaviour was encountered for multi-channel scattering chains.
3.2. Noisy generating matrix

Here we discuss disordered chains that are composed of randomly chosen scatterers. Such chains of scatterers are known as \textit{random chains} or \textit{random wires}. The scattering matrix $S_n$ of a chain of length $n$ is constructed from its initial state $S_1$ by merging with generating matrices $S$ that are randomly chosen on each step of lengthening. To simplify the discussion we define three disjoint sets of generating scattering matrices:

$$\mathcal{M}_b = \{ S \in U(2) : D < 0 \},$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

$$\mathcal{M}_l = \{ S \in U(2) : D > 0 \},$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

$$\mathcal{M}_m = \{ S \in U(2) : D = 0 \}.\hspace{1cm} (33)$$

We call $\mathcal{M}_b$ the set of \textit{ballistic matrices}, $\mathcal{M}_l$ the set of \textit{localized matrices} and $\mathcal{M}_m$ the set of \textit{marginal matrices}. In order to measure the volume of introduced sets, we use a uniquely defined invariant measure over unitary matrices $\mu_H$, called the \textit{Haar measure} [21], and normalized so that $\mu_H(U(2d)) = 1$. The Haar measure of the marginal matrices $\mu_H(\mathcal{M}_m)$ is obviously zero thereby making this set uninteresting for our general discussion. The measures of the other two sets are the following:

$$\mu_H(\mathcal{M}_b) = 1 - \mu_H(\mathcal{M}_l) = \frac{1}{2}.\hspace{1cm} (34)$$

It is important to think about the role of these sets in the construction of the chains. Let us construct a chain of length $n$ in which we use $m(n)$ localized generating matrices from $\mathcal{M}_l$. It is evident that in case the ratio $m(n)/n$ in the limit $n \to \infty$ is finite, then the transmission of the chain converges exponentially towards zero. In the opposite case, when the chain is constructed mostly of ballistic generating matrices from $\mathcal{M}_b$, the transmission can in the worst case decrease algebraically with the length of the chain.

Now we consider chains of single-channel scatterers in which the generating scattering matrix explicitly depends on the position in the chain. In the parametrization (17), the dynamics of the chain’s scattering matrix in the process of lengthening is determined by the system of discrete equations

$$B_{n+1} = \frac{B_n B}{\sqrt{1 + 2 A_n A \cos(\phi_n + \alpha L) + (A_n A)^2}},\hspace{1cm} (35)$$

$$\phi_{n+1} = \phi_n + 2 \lambda + \arg \left\{ (1 + A_n A e^{-i(\phi_n + \alpha L)}) (A_n + A e^{-i(\phi_n + \alpha L)}) \right\}, \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

$$\beta_{n+1}^L = \beta_{n}^L + \beta_{n}^R + \arg \left\{ (1 + A_n A e^{-i(\phi_n + \alpha L)}) \right\}, \hspace{1cm} (37)$$

$$\beta_{n+1}^R = \beta_{n}^R + \beta_{n}^L + \arg \left\{ (1 + A_n A e^{-i(\phi_n + \alpha L)}) \right\}, \hspace{1cm} (38)$$

where we introduce an additional variable $\phi_n = \beta_{n}^L + \beta_{n}^R - \alpha_n = \chi_n - \alpha_n$. This four-dimensional dynamical system can be reduced to a two-dimensional one. It is described by the transmission intensity $B_n$, phase $\phi_n$ and evolution equations (35) and (36). Each iteration step is controlled by three parameters $A = A(n)$, $\lambda = \lambda(n)$ and $\alpha = \alpha(n)$. This is one parameter more in comparison to the static case of constant generating matrix. Just for illustration, we show in figure 4 an example of the chain generated by a noisy scattering matrix $S$ chosen deep enough in the ballistic set $\mathcal{M}_b$. We see that the presence of a small noise does not strongly deform the trajectory, namely \textit{it does not produce localization}. It just induces a small variation around unperturbed trajectory given by the integral of motion (27) for the average generating scattering matrix $\langle S \rangle$. Note a similarity with the Kolmogorov–Arnold–Moser-type stability in Hamiltonian dynamics.
Let us now discuss a general case of random chains with strong disorder. These chains are built using generating matrices from some set $\mathcal{A}$ intersecting the set of localized matrices $\mathcal{M}_1$ so that $\mu_H(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{M}_1) > 0$. We are mainly interested in the transmission properties of asymptotically long chains. Intuitively, we expect that the transmission will converge exponentially towards zero exhibiting exponential localization. In the limit of long chains, the transmission is very small and we can replace the exact evolution with the following approximation:

$$B_{n+1} = B_n f(B(n), \phi_n + \alpha(n)), \quad (39)$$

$$\phi_{n+1} = \phi_n + 2\lambda(n) + 2 \arg\{1 + \sqrt{1 - B(n)^2} e^{-i(\phi_n + \alpha(n))}\}, \quad (40)$$

$$f(x, y) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + 2\sqrt{1 - x^2} \cos(y) + (1 - x^2)}}, \quad (41)$$

where $B(n)$, $\alpha(n)$ and $\lambda(n)$ are parameters of the generation scattering matrix $S \in \mathcal{A}$ in $n$th iteration step. The matrix $S$ is picked randomly at each iteration step and so the difference equations (39) and (40) represent a stochastic dynamical system. By taking into account equation (39), the transmission through the chain of length $n$ can be written as a product

$$B_n = B_1 \prod_{k=1}^{n} f(B(k), \phi_k + \alpha(k)). \quad (42)$$

In order to understand the scaling of the transmission with the length $n$, we introduce the transmission decay rate $I_n = \frac{1}{n} \log(B_n)$, which reads

$$I_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log[f(B(k), \phi_k + \alpha(k))], \quad n \gg 1. \quad (43)$$

We would like to express the distribution of $I_n$ over an ensemble of realizations of the chain in the limit $n \gg 1$, defined as

$$P_n(I) = \langle \delta(I - I_n) \rangle_{\text{stoch}}, \quad (44)$$
where \((\cdots)_{\text{stoch}}\) denotes the average over \(S \in \mathcal{A}\). To obtain this, we need to know the dynamics of the variable \(\phi_n\), determined by (40). The distribution of the position of the dynamical system \(\phi_n\) starting at point \(\phi_1\) is defined by

\[
\rho_n(\phi; \phi_1) = \langle \delta(\phi - \phi_n) \rangle_{\text{stoch}}.
\]

It is meaningful to assume that \(\rho_n\) has a limiting distribution independent of the initial position \(\phi_1\) that is written as

\[
\rho(\phi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n(\phi; \phi_1). \tag{46}
\]

In some simple stochastic processes, we can analytically express \(\rho(\phi)\), but generally this is not the case. If \(\rho(\phi)\) exists then it is straightforward to show, using the central limit theorem [22], that the limiting distribution of \(I_n\) is a Gaussian distribution

\[
P_n(I) = \frac{n}{2\pi \sigma_I^2} \exp \left( -\frac{n(I - \overline{T})^2}{2\sigma_I^2} \right), \quad n \gg 1, \tag{47}
\]

with the first \(\overline{T}\) and the second moment \(\sigma_I^2\) given by

\[
\overline{T} = \langle \log f(B, \phi + \alpha) \rangle, \quad \sigma_I^2 = \langle \log[f(B, \phi + \alpha)]^2 \rangle - \overline{T}^2, \tag{48}
\]

where \(\langle \cdots \rangle\) is an average over the stochastic variables \(B\) and \(\alpha\), and over \(\phi\) distributed with the density \(\rho(\phi)\) (46). The result (47) implies that the transmission decays exponentially with the normally distributed rate (47). A similar result was already reported in [7, 11] using scaling techniques and the transfer matrix formalism, respectively, that are technically complicated compared to our derivation. The theoretical predictions are supported by numerical studies of which two examples are shown in figure 5. There is a good agreement between the measured distribution of \(I_n\) and the one predicted theoretically.

4. Chain of multi-channel scatterers

We continue our discussion with the general linear chains of \(d\)-channel scatterers where the Lie group manifold of unitary \(U(2d)\) scattering matrices is \((2d)^2\)-dimensional [23].
phase space dimension of lengthening dynamics makes analytical discussion very limited, hence our results that we report below are mostly numerical. The scattering matrices of the chain are again generated using the general recurrence relation (12). We limit ourselves to translationally invariant chains with fixed generating scattering matrices. Furthermore, we restrict ourselves to the simplest case of sampling the $S$ matrices from the entire unitary group $U(2d)$ with the corresponding Haar measure. For considering systems with time-reversal symmetry or some unitary (e.g. geometric symmetry), one could follow a similar approach but should first verify that the corresponding sub-space or sub-group of $S$ matrices form a semigroup under the operation $\odot$ (12). Here, our discussion relies on the transfer matrices in the larger extent than in the single-channel case. Let us review some algebraic properties of the transfer matrices. The symmetry (7) yields the following relations between eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the transfer matrix $T$:

$$Tv = \kappa v \iff (Kv)^\dagger T = \frac{1}{\kappa^*} (Kv)^\dagger,$$

that for two eigenvalues $\kappa_{1,2}$ with the corresponding right eigenvectors $v_{1,2}$ the symmetry (7) yields

$$(\kappa_1 \kappa_2^* - 1)v_2^\dagger K v_1 = 0.$$  

This means that for every eigenvalue $\kappa$, with the right eigenvector $v$, there is a corresponding eigenvalue $1/\kappa^*$, with the left eigenvector $Kv$. In case when the eigenvalue lies on the unit circle, $|\kappa| = 1$, and is non-degenerate, the right eigenvector satisfies the relation $v^\dagger K v \neq 0$. However, for the right eigenvector $v$ corresponding to the eigenvalue lying outside the unit circle we have $v^\dagger K v = 0$.

The dynamical system of scattering matrices $S_n$ defined by the recurrence (12) has all Lyapunov exponents [21] equal to zero and so it is not chaotic. This becomes evident from the following discussion. Let us assume that we have a generating scattering matrix $S$ and the corresponding transfer matrix $T[S]$ with the spectrum $\{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_{2d}\}$ and the corresponding right eigenvectors $v_i$. Then we can write the lengthening dynamics of the chain in the transfer matrix formalism as

$$T_n = P \text{diag}[e^{\kappa_i n}]_{i=1}^{2d} P^{-1},$$

where the matrix $P$ has columns $v_i$, $P = [v_i]_{i=1}^{2d}$. We distinguish two types of dynamics of the scattering matrix description of the chain depending on the spectral properties of the transfer matrix:

(i) If all eigenvalues lie on the unit circle, $|\kappa_i| = 1$, $\forall i$ the dynamics of $S_n$ is quasi-periodic. This type of dynamics we call ballistic motion and the corresponding generating scattering matrices form a set of ballistic unitary matrices $M_b$.

(ii) If there is (at least one) eigenvalue outside the unit circle then the transmission $T[S]$ of the chain decays exponentially towards some plateau value around which it oscillates as the chain is lengthened. Following the definition of the transfer matrix (5) and the relation with the scattering matrix (6), the average transmission probability can be expressed in terms of the lower-right diagonal block $X_n := (x_{i,j})_n$ of the matrix $T_n$ as

$$T_n = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr} \left\{ (X_n^{-1})^\dagger X_n^{-1} \right\}.$$  

The left eigenvectors of $T[S]$ are the rows in the inverse transition matrix $P^{-1} = [u_i]_{i=1}^{2d}$ and the right eigenvector as

$$v_i^\dagger = [\alpha_i, \beta_i] \in \mathbb{C}^{2d}$$

We write the right eigenvector as $v_i^\dagger = [\alpha_i, \beta_i] \in \mathbb{C}^{2d}$ and the left eigenvector as
\( u^T = [\xi_i, \eta_i] \in \mathbb{C}^{2d} \) by introducing the upper halves \( \alpha_i, \zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}^d \) and the lower halves \( \beta_i, \eta_i \in \mathbb{C}^d \) of eigenvectors. Then according to (51) the dynamics of the block \( X_n \) reads

\[
X_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2d} e^{i\beta_i} \eta_i^T.
\]  

(53)

We denote by \( \mathcal{K} = \{ i : |\zeta_i| > 1 \} \) the set of indices corresponding to eigenvalues outside the unit circle. The vectors \( u_i, v_i \) with \( i \in \mathcal{K} \) satisfy the identity \( v_i^T K u_i = u_i^T K u_i \), which implies that the upper and lower halves of these vectors are non-trivial: \( \|\alpha_i\|^2 = \|\beta_i\|^2 \neq 0 \) and \( \|\zeta_i\|^2 = \|\eta_i\|^2 \neq 0 \). We introduce projection matrices \( P^R_u \) and \( P^L_u \) onto the set of vectors \( \{\beta_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{K}} \) and \( \{\eta_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{K}} \), respectively. Then the transmission can be expressed as a sum of non-decaying (oscillating) and decaying terms:

\[
T[S_n] = T_0[S_n] + O(\exp(-\beta I n)), \quad I = \log \max \{|\kappa_m|\},
\]  

(54)

with \( \beta \in [1, 2] \), where the non-decaying term is written as

\[
T_0[S_n] = \text{tr}\left\{ (\tilde{X}_n^u)^{-1} \tilde{X}_n^{-1} \right\}, \quad \tilde{X}_n = (1 - P^L_u) X_n (1 - P^R_u),
\]  

(55)

where we introduce the decay rate \( I \) analogous to that in the single-channel case. If the off-diagonal blocks of the matrix \( X_n \) expressed in terms of introduced projectors are zero, \( (1 - P^L_u) X_n P^R_u = P^L_u X_n (1 - P^R_u) = 0 \), then the coefficient \( \beta = 2 \), otherwise \( \beta = 1 \). The latter is statistically more likely situation. In case the block \( X_n \) is approximately a random matrix then \( T_0[S_n] \sim \#\mathcal{K} / d \), where \# denotes the number of elements of a finite set. The presented dynamics of transmission is called localized motion and the set of corresponding generating scattering matrices are called localized matrices denoted by \( \mathcal{M}_L \). In case that all eigenvalues are out of the unit circle \( \#\mathcal{K} = d \) (\( P^R_u = 1 \)), the transmission decays to zero, and we are talking about total localization, whereas the case \( 1 \leq \#\mathcal{K} \leq d - 1 \) is referred to as partial localization.

The set of generating (unitary) scattering matrices is split into the set of ballistic \( \mathcal{M}_B \) and localized matrices \( \mathcal{M}_L \). An interesting subset of localized matrices are that corresponding to the total localization. These matrices are named totally localized generating matrices and their set is denoted by \( \mathcal{M}^\ast_L \subset \mathcal{M}_L \). The separation between different sets of matrices is done on the ground of eigenvalues of the corresponding transfer matrices and it is interesting to know the Haar measures of these two sets. The measures are obtained numerically by generating unitary matrices uniformly with respect to the Haar measure [21] and checking the spectrum of the corresponding transfer matrix for eigenvalues outside of unit circle. The result is plotted in figure 6. We see that the measure of ballistic matrices decreases very fast with the channel number \( d \). We can accurately fit numerical data with an empirical formula

\[
\mu_H(\mathcal{M}_B) \approx \Omega \exp(-\omega d (d + 1)), \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega \approx 0.7877, \quad \omega \approx 0.4658.
\]  

(56)

In addition, we observe that the measure of totally localized matrices also decreases with \( d \), however slower, perhaps with a stretch-exponential law. Again we find very accurate empirical formula

\[
\mu_H(\mathcal{M}_L^\ast) \approx Z \exp(-\zeta \sqrt{d}), \quad \text{with} \quad Z \approx 0.711, \quad \zeta \approx 1.034.
\]  

(57)

From these results, we conclude that the probability of partial localization is quickly converging to 1 as \( d \) increases, however we have at present no theoretical explanation or derivation of (56), (57).
Figure 6. The Haar measure of ballistic unitary matrices $\mu_H(M_b \subset U(2d))$ (a), and totally localized unitary matrices $\mu_H(M_l^* \subset U(2d))$ as a function of the number of channels $d$. The fitted functions are $f_b(x) = 0.4658x - 0.2387$ and $f_l(x) = 1.034x - 0.342$ with $x = d(d+1)$ and $x = d^{1/2}$, respectively.

It is also instructive to study the eigenvalues of transfer matrices corresponding to the localized scattering matrices $M_l$ as they give information about the length-scales of the transmission decay $T[S]$. Let us write the spectrum of the transfer matrix $T[S]$ as

$$\Sigma[S] = \{ \kappa : \det(T[S] - \kappa 1) = 0 \}$$

and denote by $d_u(S)$ the number of eigenvalues in $\Sigma[S]$ outside the unit circle. We investigate the distribution of the maximal eigenvalue modulus

$$P_{\text{max}}(t; d) = \int_{U(2d)} d\mu_H(S) \delta(t - \max|\Sigma[S]|), \quad t \geq 1$$

and the distribution of the relative number of eigenvalues outside the unit circle

$$P_u(t; d) = \int_{U(2d)} d\mu_H(S) \delta(t - d_u(S)/d), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

over the set of scattering matrices $S \in U(2d)$ with respect to the Haar measure $\mu_H$. From the distributions $P_{\text{max}}$ and $P_u$, we can learn about the decay rates and the percentage of unstable eigenvalues involved in the decay of transmission by lengthening of the chain, respectively. Both distributions are numerically calculated for several values of $d$ and shown in figure 7. In figure 7(a), we see that $P_{\text{max}}(t)$ has algebraic asymptotics, $P_{\text{max}}(t; d) \sim t^{-3}$ as $t \to \infty$, and is zero on the unit circle $t = 1$. By closer inspection one can find that the distribution $P_{\text{max}}(t)$ has an interesting semiclassical ($d \gg 1$) scaling property

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \sqrt{d} P_{\text{max}}(\sqrt{d} t; d) = P(t), \quad t > 0,$$

with $P(t)$ having asymptotic algebraic dependence

$$P(t) \sim at^{-3}, \quad a = 4.0 \pm 0.02, \quad t \to \infty.$$  

This scaling of $P_{\text{max}}(t)$ implies that the average decay factor increases with the channel number $d$ as $t \sim \sqrt{d}$ and consequently the decay rate (inverse localization length) increases as $I \sim \frac{1}{d} \log(d)$. The scaling law does not work for the single-channel case ($d = 1$), but this is not very surprising. From results for the distribution of the relative number of unstable directions $P_u$, shown in figure 7(b), we see that the dimension $d_u$ on average increases with...
increasing channel number $d$ and the average of the distribution moves towards the border value $d$. We conclude that on average the transmission for larger $d$ decays faster and to a lower asymptotic plateau given by $T_0[S_n]$ (55).

5. Summary and conclusion

We have devised an alternative strategy for the analysis of linear chains of scatterers. Our approach is based on defining the lengthening of the scattering chain as a dynamical system, and connecting its dynamical properties to physical (transport) properties of the chain.

The lengthening dynamics has been shown to be stable for an arbitrary number of scattering channels. We have been able to reduce the single-channel case to a simple two-dimensional dynamical system in which we obtained many results analytically, for example the known regimes of ballistic or localized transport correspond to elliptic or attractive fixed point of lengthening dynamics, respectively. In the general multi-channel case we were only able to give numerical results. We again separate the motion into ballistic and localized on the bases of the generating scattering matrices. In particular, we give accurate numerical results on the scaling of Haar volumes of the sets of scattering matrices corresponding to totally localized and ballistic transport. We have shown that in the regime of large number of scattering channels, the transport is most likely to be partially localized. In addition, we have examined the distributions of eigenvalues of transfer matrices with respect to the Haar measure for the corresponding scattering matrices. Interestingly, we found that the distribution of the maximal eigenvalue modulus satisfies a scaling relation in the regime of large number of channels, corresponding for example to semiclassical situations (such as, e.g., studied in [24]).
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