Efficacy of multi-groove silicone drains in single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery and their effect on C-reactive protein: a single-center experience
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Background: The purpose of this study was to systematically evaluate the effectiveness and safety of a multi-groove silicone drain in single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery and its effect on postoperative serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed 122 surgical cases who underwent standard lobectomy and lymph node dissection for primary lung cancer between May 2020 and December 2020. A total of 62 patients received 19-F multi-groove silicone drains (experimental group) and 60 patients received 24-F conventional chest drains (control group). According to the different thoracic drainage approaches, the clinical efficacy in the perioperative period, postoperative complications, and postoperative serum CRP levels were compared between the 2 groups.

Results: In this study, thoracic drainage volume, the average visual analog scale (VAS) pain scores in incisions, the rate of primary healing at the site of incisions, and the pulmonary infection rate in the multi-groove silicone drain group were significantly lower than those in the conventional chest drain group (P<0.05), but there was no significant difference in the average hospital stay time, arrhythmia rates, and chest tube removal time between the 2 groups. At postoperative day 1, the levels of serum CRP in the 2 groups were further increased (P>0.05), and the comparison between the 2 groups showed that the levels of serum CRP in the multi-groove silicone drain group at 72 h after the operation were significantly lower than those in the conventional drain group (P<0.05).

Conclusions: Our results showed that a multi-groove silicone drain is feasible and relatively safe in single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery for most patients. However we should take cautious in those patients with higher susceptibility of postoperative active bleeding. In patients undergoing lung cancer surgery in the clinical treatment process, the use of a multi-groove silicone drain can improve the quality of life of patients. Due to a small number of included studies and unclear bias, the above results should be verified by high-quality, large-sample randomized controlled studies.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the most common types of malignant tumor, with a sharply increasing incidence and mortality rate. Worldwide, lung cancer has become the leading cause of death among other cancers, and its mortality rate has increased 65.23% compared with that in the 1990s (1). In recent years, with the significant development of low-dose helical computed tomography (CT), the percentage of stage I and stage II lung cancers was among the highest in all lung cancer cases after lung screen trial (2). Along with the improvement of thoracoscopic surgery techniques and equipment, single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery for the radical treatment of lung cancer has gained considerable attention from thoracic surgeons. In contrast with multiports surgery, single port surgery is considered as less postoperative pain involved, less intercostal nerves injury and more exciting outcome. Additionally, the good results of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) combined with single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery are firmly believed to have contributed to lowering the incidence of perioperative complications and shortening the length of chest tube removal time (3,4). The chest tube, as one of the most important elements in the ERAS program after lung cancer surgery, has attracted growing attention from clinicians. Drainage is established with negative intrapleural pressure through constantly draining air and fluids from the chest cavity to allow a full expansion of the residual lung. Owing to the large diameter and relative rigidity of these tubes, patients often experience pain at the entry site through the skin and muscles, as well as between the ribs. At the same time, conventional chest drains have some inherent drawbacks, such as poor wound healing, pain in incisions that can limit ambulation and deep breathing, and leakage of fluids or air around the drainage orifice, among other issues (5). Omitting the chest tube was tested in several selected patients, however, due to the drawback of postoperative thoracic bleeding could not be observed, this procedure needs to be validated in large cohort (6). As a result, all of these problems can delay postoperative recovery. Hence, evaluating the chest tube used in single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery has received increasing attention. A recent study by Wang etc. using a multi-groove silicone drain combined with negative-pressure drainage system after lung surgery has been reported (7). He showed his system can replace the conventional chest tube. We undertook a study to analyze almost the same multi-groove silicone drain combined with ordinary thoracic drainage bottle in lung surgery and found that this multi-groove silicone drain seems to be effective and can be used as an alternative in most patients, however this drain can be obstructed in extreme cases.

In recent years, foreign researchers have explored the relationship between postoperative serum C-reactive protein (CRP) level and complications after surgery (8,9). It is commonly believed that CRP can act as an acute-phase response protein (10). At present, some scientific inquiries have already proven the close relationship between CRP and the progression and development of malignancies (11-13). Now CRP is pervasively used in multiple aspects of lung cancer patients. Hence, we presumed that CRP may be correlated with different thoracic drains approach.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate our experience with the use of small and soft 19F multi-groove silicone drains in single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery and its effect on CRP levels. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-21-1801).

Methods

General materials

Patients who underwent single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery in our hospital from July 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020 were retrospectively analyzed. According to the Chinese Medical Association guidelines for the clinical diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer (edition 2018), all patients underwent a standard lobectomy and mediastinal lymph node dissection. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (I) All patients underwent preoperative chest enhanced CT for the confirmation of the clinical stage, and all patients were pathologically considered as lung cancer in intraoperative frozen section analysis which was performed by an expert in thoracic surgery; (II) patients aged 18–75 years old; (III) reserves and compensatory capacity of the heart, lung, liver, and kidneys of all the patients were carefully evaluated before the operation, and all patients were confirmed to tolerate lung cancer operations; (IV) patients who did not smoke cigarettes in the past 2 weeks; (V) patients whose coagulation was normal and those that did not take anticoagulants in the past 2 weeks. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by ethics board of
Study methods

Multi-groove silicone drain group
After adequate preparations, surgical treatment was conducted. Patients were placed in the lateral position and generally received one-lung ventilation. The single port method was applied: a single, muscle-sparing 3 to 4 cm incision was made through the 4th or 5th intercostal space according to different heights and somatotype characteristics. No other incision was made. All of the instruments and camera were inserted through this incision. At the end of lobectomy and lymphadectomy, a multi-groove silicone drain was inserted through the incision (14). The multi-groove silicone drain is X-ray opaque and made of medical grade silicone equipped with 4 longitudinal grooves so that tissues and thrombus do not completely occlude the grooves. The multi-groove silicone drain we used is manufactured by “Ai Ze” corporation, China (Figure 1). The drains used in this study were 19 Fr and 6.3 mm in diameter. All of the chest drains were inserted from the incisions straight to the top of the chest through the anterior mediastinum pathway. All of the drains were connected to water-seal bottles without negative pressure, and analgesia pumps were not routinely used after the operation.

Postoperative bedside chest X-rays are shown in Figure 2. Postoperatively, the patients were treated by routine ERAS procedures. Drainage volume was recorded the morning after the operation day by nurses. On the basis of visual analog scale (VAS) score, the average pain scores in incisions were recorded by 2 experienced nurses, and the averages for postoperative day 1 and discharge day were calculated (15). Lung re-expansion, subcutaneous emphysema, and residual effusion in the chest after chest tube removal were confirmed by chest X-ray or chest CT. Postoperative pulmonary infections were defined as follows: high temperature above 38.5 °C; persistent symptoms such as cough, expectoration, and gasp; some pulmonary infiltration inflammation in postoperative chest X-ray or chest CT (16). We recorded complications after surgery such as pulmonary complications, arrhythmia rates, and the bleeding situation in the perioperative period.

Conventional chest drain group
Radical surgery for lung cancer was completed by the same thoracic surgeon. At the end of surgery, we use a conventional chest drain as an alternative. The conventional chest drains used were 24 Fr and 8 mm in diameter. The postoperative recovery plan were the same as the experimental group. Chest tube removal and discharge criteria
The removal of chest tubes was standardized by protocol after lung resection. Patients with no air leakage lasting for 24 h and total light yellow fluid drainage <400 mL per 24 h were considered for chest tube removal. X-ray or chest CT showing residual lung re-expansion and no obvious pneumonitis, along with no patient complaints were acceptable criteria for discharge (7,17).

Observation indexes
Postoperative thoracic drainage volume, arrhythmia rates,
the average VAS pain scores in incisions, the rate of primary healing at the site of incisions, average hospital stay time, pulmonary complications, and chest tube removal time during the perioperative period were collected. In addition, levels of serum CRP in the 2 groups of patients before the operation, 24 h after operation, and 72 h after the operation were also included.

Statistical methods

Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) 26.0 software was used to analyze the data. The measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (\(\bar{x} \pm s\)), and the comparison between the 2 groups was performed using the \(t\)-test. The count data was expressed as a percentage (%), and the \(\chi^2\) test was used for comparisons. Fisher’s exact test was used to calculate the p-value if the chi-square test was not suitable. The inspection level was \(\alpha=0.05\).

Results

Clinical characteristics

A total of 122 patients were enrolled in the study. Patients were classified into the multi-groove silicone drain group (n=62) and the conventional chest drain group (n=60) according to the different drainage methods. The baseline characteristics of the 2 groups were compared and no significant differences were found (P>0.05; Table 1).

Intraoperative situation

All of the patients underwent successful surgery. With regard to blood loss, average operation time, and rate of pleural adhesion, a comparison of both groups revealed that there were no differences (Table 2).

Postoperative situation

Postoperative recovery situation

The thoracic drainage volume and the average VAS pain scores in incisions in the multi-groove silicone drain group were significantly lower than those in the conventional chest drain group (P<0.05). The average hospital stay time and chest tube removal time showed no differences between the 2 groups (P>0.05; Table 3). Meanwhile, we compared the variations in the amount of postoperative drainage volume over time between the 2 different kinds of drains in patients after lobectomy and lymph node dissection for primary lung cancer. Postoperative day 1–5 drainage volumes were retrospectively compared between two groups, respectively (Figure 3).

Postoperative complications

Our study showed that about one third of patients experience postoperative complications in our groups. Early complications usually consist of atelectasis, pneumonia, prolonged air leakage, pulmonary edema, acute respiratory distress syndrome, arrhythmia, and bleeding. Late complications mainly include bronchopleural fistula, wound infection, and empyema (18). Due to adequate preoperative preparations and intraoperative care such as pulmonary rehabilitation, smoking cessation, aerosol inhalation, correction of electrolyte disorders, and intensive nutritional support, life-threatening complications including acute respiratory distress syndrome, malignant ventricular arrhythmia, empyema, and bronchopleural fistula did not occur. The pulmonary infection rate and the rate of primary healing at the site of incisions in the multi-groove silicone drain group were lower than those in the conventional chest drain group (P<0.05). However, there were significant differences in terms of arrhythmia and overall pulmonary complications between the 2 groups (Table 4). Among all patients, through only observation or the use of anti-arrhythmic drugs, 19 patients with arrhythmia recovered. There were 2 patients with atelectasis after bedside X-ray who improved through active inhalation drug therapy, passive turn over and patting of the body, or bronchoscopy suction. There were 24 patients with pulmonary infection which was cured by vibratory therapy, allowing patients to clear their own secretions, and anti-infection treatments. There were 9 patients with air leakage 5 days after the operation, which could be stopped by intrapleural injection of hypertonic glucose solution or iodophor diluent after the residual lung fully re-expanded. There was only 1 patient with thoracic hemorrhage in the multi-groove silicone drain group who was treated with a small 8-F Abel drainage tube in the subsequent 2 weeks after discharge without being treated with repeated thoracotomy. There were no patients with fat liquefaction, wound infection, and deep venous thrombosis due to obesity or uncontrolled diabetic blood sugar.

Changes in serum CRP level after surgery

The level of serum CRP after surgery was higher than that
### Table 1 Comparison of clinical data of patients between the multi-groove silicone drain and conventional drain groups

| General data                              | Multi-groove silicone drain group (n=62) | Conventional chest drain group (n=60) | P    |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|
| Age (years old)                           | 55.23±9.01                             | 56.77±10.92                          | 0.435|
| Gender (male/female)                      | 30/32                                  | 28/32                                | 0.930|
| Past history, n (%)                       |                                        |                                      |      |
| Smoking                                   | 29 (46.77)                             | 27 (45.00)                           | 0.273|
| Hypertension                              | 23 (37.10)                             | 25 (41.67)                           | 0.586|
| Diabetes                                  | 17 (27.42)                             | 19 (31.67)                           | 0.607|
| COPD                                      | 10 (16.13)                             | 9 (15.00)                            | 0.863|
| Maximum diameter of lesion (cm)           | 2.95±0.32                              | 3.05±0.50                            | 0.675|
| Lesion site, n (%)                        |                                        |                                      | 0.096|
| Left upper lobe                           | 10 (16.13)                             | 11 (18.33)                           |      |
| Left lower lobe                           | 12 (19.35)                             | 15 (25.00)                           |      |
| Right upper lobe                          | 21 (33.87)                             | 20 (33.33)                           |      |
| Right middle lobe                         | 4 (6.45)                               | 2 (3.33)                             |      |
| Right lower lobe                          | 15 (24.19)                             | 12 (20.00)                           |      |
| Pathological type, n (%)                  |                                        |                                      | 0.985|
| Squamous carcinoma                        | 10 (16.13)                             | 9 (15.00)                            |      |
| Adenocarcinoma                            | 50 (80.65)                             | 49 (81.67)                           |      |
| Others                                    | 2 (3.23)                               | 2 (3.33)                             |      |
| Pathological stage, (n (%))               |                                        |                                      | 0.833|
| IA                                        | 30 (48.39)                             | 29 (48.33)                           |      |
| IB                                        | 20 (32.26)                             | 19 (31.67)                           |      |
| IIA                                       | 6 (9.68)                               | 5 (8.33)                             |      |
| IIB                                       | 3 (4.84)                               | 6 (10.00)                            |      |
| IIIA                                      | 2 (3.23)                               | 1 (1.67)                             |      |
| Serum C-reactive protein (ng/mL)          | 2.11±0.12                              | 2.16±0.14                            | 0.844|

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

### Table 2 Comparison of the intraoperative situation of patients between the 2 groups

| Intraoperative situation                  | Multi-groove silicone drain group (n=62) | Conventional chest drain group (n=60) | P    |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|
| Operative time (min)                      | 94.17±45.23                            | 99.23±40.56                          | 0.355|
| Intraoperative blood loss (mL)            | 150.23±45.22                           | 158.54±32.45                         | 0.417|
| Pleural adhesion, n (%)                   | 6 (9.68)                               | 5 (8.33)                             | 0.124|
Table 3 Comparison of postoperative recovery situation between the 2 groups

| Postoperative indexes                          | Multi-groove silicone drain group (n=62) | Conventional chest drain group (n=60) | P   |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Chest tube removal time (d)                    | 5.27±1.03                              | 5.48±0.84                        | 0.658|
| Thoracic drainage volume (mL)                  | 624.57±231.15                          | 1045.78±156.95                   | 0.030|
| Average VAS pain scores in incisions (score)   | 1.10±0.50                              | 4.20±0.70                        | 0.010|
| Average hospital stay time (h)                 | 6.58±1.98                              | 6.67±2.07                        | 0.214|

VAS, visual analog scale.

Table 4 Comparison of postoperative complications of patients between the 2 groups

| Complication                              | Multi-groove silicone drain group (n=62) | Conventional chest drain group (n=60) | P   |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Arrhythmia                                | 7 (11.29)                              | 5 (8.33)                         | 0.583|
| Atrial fibrillation                       | 4 (6.45)                               | 3 (5.00)                         | 0.730|
| Supraventricular tachycardia              | 1 (1.61)                               | 1 (1.67)                         | 0.981|
| Ventricular premature beat                | 0                                      | 0                                | 1.000|
| Ventricular fibrillation                  | 0                                      | 0                                | 1.000|
| Sinus bradycardia                         | 2 (3.23)                               | 1 (1.67)                         | 0.580|
| Pulmonary complications                   | 14 (22.58)                             | 21 (35.00)                       | 0.094|
| Atelectasis                               | 1 (1.61)                               | 1 (1.67)                         | 0.748|
| Pulmonary infection                       | 8 (12.90)                              | 16 (26.67)                       | 0.046|
| Prolonged air leakage after surgery       | 5 (8.06)                               | 4 (6.67)                         | 0.521|
| Thoracic hemorrhage                       | 1 (1.61)                               | 0                                | 0.243|
| Primary healing at the site of incisions  | 0                                      | 5 (8.33)                         | 0.027|
| Total                                     | 22 (35.48)                             | 31 (51.67)                       | 0.055|

Data presented as n (%).

Before surgery, and at 24 h after surgery the amount of serum CRP in the 2 groups further increased (P>0.05). The comparison between the 2 groups showed that the levels of serum CRP in the multi-groove silicone drain group at 72 h after surgery were significantly lower than those in the conventional drain group (P<0.05; Table 5 and Figure 4).

Discussion

Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery has been extensively used in the treatment of various benign and malignant pulmonary diseases since the 1990s (19,20). Along with the significant development of thoracoscopic surgery skills, techniques, and equipment, single-port video-assisted...
Thoracoscopic surgery is increasingly becoming the first choice for the radical treatment of lung cancer and has gained considerable attention from thoracic surgeons. Compared to the multiple ports approach, 1 intercostal incision is used to perform the surgery, which theoretically lessens the destruction to the intercostal nerves and causes less pain (21). In recent years, the single-port VATS approach has also extended to different kinds of thoracic surgical procedures, such as wedge resection, lobectomy, segmentectomy, minimally invasive esophagectomy, and the excision of mediastinal masses (22). Some studies have clearly confirmed that single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy is an acceptable procedure with a low morbidity and mortality rate (23). However, Borro et al. in 2017 doubted that single-port VATS was correlated with a higher risk of death and the appearance of tumor local recurrence and metastasis in their retrospective review of 276 VATS lobectomies (24). To our knowledge, the increased difficulty of some operative instruments combined with a thoracoscopic camera in a small incision, prolonged operation time, and perhaps some difficulties in handling the intraoperative complications may be responsible for this situation.

Some skilled thoracic surgeons had to relearn disparities between three ports and one port surgery in a short time. With the adaptation to these new surgical skills, surgeons will perform the surgery more proficiently. Now, single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery is becoming a routine surgery not only performed in a limited number of hospitals but also some municipal hospitals (25,26). We recommended there were several ways to develop single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery skills. Firstly, learning to use the surgical instruments by left hand and this behavior will make us more proficiently. Secondly, using a simulated box trainer at home will promote us to learn the skills quickly instead of learning in the operation room.

Anesthesia, nursing care, and surgery are core concepts in ERAS, which is becoming increasingly acceptable to most thoracic surgeons. Postoperative pain relief is indispensable in enhancing the rapid recovery of patients. Postoperative pain may arise from 3 aspects: (I) intercostal nerve compression or damage by chest tubes; (II) chest tube stimulating the diaphragm or pleura; (III) mental stress. Therefore, chest tubes play a crucial role in ERAS.

Traditionally, chest tubes are used to drain both air and fluids through establishing negative pressure in the chest. Safe and effective chest tubes are indispensable to prevent tension pneumothorax, identify latent hemorrhage, and to reduce asymptomatic pleural fluids. In the past 10 years, we have usually inserted two 28- or 34-F chest tubes in the chest cavity at the end of the operation. One 34-F chest tube, which we often called the top chest tube, was directly advanced to the apex of the chest cavity for the sake of draining air smoothly, while the other 28- or 34-F chest tubes, which we often called below chest tubes, were advanced to the lowest point of the thoracic cavity in order to drain fluids (7,17,27). We have used large diameter chest tubes instead of relatively smaller chest tubes in the past few years, mainly because once a large amount of pulmonary alveolar or small bronchiolar fistulas appeared, we were concerned smaller chest tubes would not offer adequate

| Table 5 Comparison of changes in the C-reactive protein levels of patients after surgery between the 2 groups |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Serum C-reactive protein | Multi-groove silicone drain group (n=62) | Conventional chest drain group (n=60) | P |
| Before operation, (ng/mL) | 2.1±0.1 | 2.2±0.1 | 0.844 |
| At 24 h after operation, (ng/mL) | 120.7±4.1 | 140.2±6.9 | 0.098 |
| At 72 h after operation, (ng/mL) | 20.9±5.2 | 85.4±6.2 | 0.015 |

Figure 4 Comparison of C-reactive protein after operation between the 2 groups.
air drainage that exceeded the speed of pulmonary alveolar fistula, thus air could penetrate the muscles of the wound and subsequently form subcutaneous emphysema (28). The majority of cases of subcutaneous emphysema can resolve on their own, though it can cause panic and discomfort among these patients, therefore prolonging their hospital time (29,30). Nevertheless, conventional chest tubes can also lead to several problems, such as intercostal nerve pain, poor incision healing, and high thoracic drainage volume that can potentially cause massive nutritional loss.

Multi-groove silicone drains, which are often called Blake drains in foreign countries, have been extensively used for thoracic drainage after cardiac surgeries in both pediatric and adult patients from 2001 (31). However, they have not been commonly used for thoracic drainage in lung cancer surgeries in recent years, mainly because thoracic surgeons did not believe this chest drain could efficiently drain air and fluids from the residual space due to lung resection, so their advantages are still unclear. The multi-groove silicone drain is used for large area drainage and is flexible, made of medical grade silicone equipped with 4 longitudinal grooves so that tissues cannot entirely obstruct the grooves (32). Our institution has used multi-groove silicone drainage instead of conventional 24-F chest tubes for most general thoracic surgeries performed since 2020 and has achieved excellent results.

Wang et al. demonstrated that thoracic drainage volume and poor incision healing in the multi-groove silicone drain group were lower than in the thoracic tube group (7). In our study, thoracic drainage volume, the average VAS pain scores in incisions, the rate of primary healing at the site of incisions, and the pulmonary infection rate in the multi-groove silicone drain group were lower than those in the conventional chest drain group (P<0.05). Because of their soft texture and strong flexibility, multi-groove silicone drains can alleviate pain accompanied with intercostal nerve compression, increase the rate of primary healing at the site of incisions caused by soft tissue extrusion by the drain, and further lessen the psychological, economic burden, and impaired social function of patients due to wound healing (33). Besides, total thoracic drainage volume in the multi-groove silicone drain group was lower than the conventional chest drain group (P=0.030). We postulate that soft texture multi-groove silicone drains in the chest can stimulate less fluids and quickly promote residual lung re-expansion so that there exists no space to generate fluids. More interestingly, in Figure 3, we can see that at postoperative day 1 the thoracic drainage volume in the 2 groups after the operation was almost the same, and the thoracic drainage volume was further increased at 48 h after the operation and reached the peak simultaneously. After postoperative day 2, the thoracic drainage volume begun to decrease. The thoracic drainage volume output mainly consists of vascular exudations, pleural effusions due to chest tube stimulation and impairment of mesothelial cells of the pleura after surgery, and lymphatic fluid due to mediastinal lymph node dissection (34,35). At postoperative day 2, we usually supply patients with a general diet compared with a semi-liquid diet at postoperative day 1. Therefore, lymphatic fluid will increase with a fatty diet. However, we did not encounter chylothorax mainly because we used hemo-lock clips in the mediastinal lymph node dissection field. Then, in accordance with lower vascular exudations and pleural fluid recycling, the thoracic drainage volume will decrease after postoperative day 2. The multi-groove silicone drains not only enhanced the degree of satisfaction but also brought less pain and reduced the level of discomfort of patients during changing the gauze around the wound or removing the drains. The multi-groove silicone drains are believed to be less painful for patients, enabling earlier mobilization after surgery and in the meantime inducing patients to cough strongly and expel sputum gathered in the airway after surgery, therefore reducing the chance of pulmonary infection (28,36). This is in contrast to the conventionally used large sized chest drains, which may hinder the postoperative recovery by limiting ambulation and interfering with effective chest physiotherapy (37,38). There was no significant difference in the average hospital stay time, arrhythmia rates, and chest tube removal time between the 2 groups. In addition, the multi-groove silicone drainage group had a similar percentage of prolonged air leak than those in the conventional chest tube drainage group. The efficacy of air evacuation is considered to be the predominant aspect of chest tube performance after pulmonary surgery. Sakakura et al. displayed that once prolonged air leak happened, suction were necessary tools (36). Suction might help residual lung re-expansion as soon as possible, This result is similar to that in the study of Sakakura et al.

Unfortunately, in the multi-groove silicone drain group, there was a case of left lower lobe resection complicated by postoperative intrathoracic bleeding that was not suspected until the onset of hypotension. Useful chest drainage should promote recognition of ongoing bleeding quickly because of red blood effusion. However, we did not become aware of this situation until hypotension and then bedside X-ray had confirmed this complication. No minimal
amounts of blood were observed from the thoracic cavity in the drain. We immediately administered fluid resuscitation and prepared for an urgent thoracotomy. Fortunately, blood pressure returned to normal after 1 h. We presumed that blood clots had already obstructed the bleeding point and urgent surgery was not performed. When this multi-groove silicone drain was removed, we clearly confirmed that this drain was found to be completely obstructed by some thrombotic clots and soluble gauze for hemostasis called surgicel. The postoperative course was uneventful and this patient was discharged from hospital 5 days later. After 2 weeks, this patient came to the clinic for a follow-up check. Owing to the function of the cardiac and diaphragm de-fibrosis, the blood clot had become free blood that can be easily drained (39). Under the guidance of ultrasound, we used a small 8-F ABLE catheter to drain the blood that gathered in the chest cavity. About 1,400 mL blood in total was drained and this patient was well after 1 year of follow up. Furthermore, we have never experienced complete drain occlusion when using conventional chest drains. Doctors and nurses should always bear in mind that drains may be obstructed regardless of their size and design, and should regularly check that negative pressure is always maintained.

In recent years, some foreign researchers have explored the relationship between postoperative serum CRP level and complications after surgery (8,9). It is commonly believed that CRP is an acute-phase response protein (10). CRP is mainly produced in the liver in response to IL-1β and IL-6 (40). However, there exists some evidence that CRP also has potential anti-inflammatory functions after lung surgery (41). Furthermore, CRP is not only just a simple inflammatory marker but also a component of innate immunity (10). At postoperative day 1, the amount of serum CRP in the 2 groups further increased (P<0.05), and the comparison between the 2 groups showed that the levels of serum CRP in the multi-groove silicone drain group at 72 h after the operation were significantly lower than those in the conventional drain group (P<0.05). The reasons for high postoperative CRP levels can be varied. The half-life of CRP is about 19 h and its clearance is independent of its concentration (41,42). Hence, considerable variations in CRP levels are possible within 1 or 2 days. However, pulmonary infectious complications do not play a leading role in postoperative patients, especially in the immediate postoperative stage. The levels of serum CRP in the multi-groove silicone drainage group at 72 h after operation were significantly lower than those in the conventional drain group, showing that the incidence of postoperative complications may be lower in the multi-groove silicone drain group. Nevertheless, we did not obtain a statistically significant difference between the 2 groups (P=0.055). Therefore, the main reason for the fluctuation in serum CRP level in the postoperative period needs to be further studied.

On the basis of our clinical experience and some data analysis in recent years, multi-groove silicone drains have some advantages in single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic lung cancer surgery. Firstly, this drain can minimize wound tissue trauma and pain around the incision. Secondly, the flexible drains can be placed in a curved position, advancing from the incision to the apex of the chest cavity, and then stretching to the bottom of the chest cavity to attain complete drainage of the chest cavity. Thirdly, this system has greater potential to shorten the length of the chest tube removal time and the average hospital stay time, therefore, the hospital cost can be diminished. However, this drain can be expensive, and we encountered 1 case in which this drain was completely occluded, highlighting some of the challenges of multi-groove silicone drains. So, the multi-groove silicone drains could be used in most surgical patients. For those patients with higher susceptibility of postoperative active bleeding such as intraoperative pleural adhesion and coagulation function disorder, we should take cautions. Only if this problem was smoothly solved and multi-groove silicone drains could be used pervasively.

This study has several limitations, including its retrospective nature, and we only used this drain in the lobectomy surgical procedure. Therefore, this drain should also be trialed in other complicated surgeries.

**Conclusions**

From this study, the multi-groove silicone drains seem to be effective and can be used as an alternative in most patients. Our findings must be confirmed in a large-sample, prospective randomized controlled study.
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