“Invisible” QCD axion rolling through the QCD phase transition
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\begin{abstract}
Visible matter in the current Universe is a consequence of the phase transition of the strong force, quantum chromodynamics (QCD). This phase transition has occurred at the Universe temperature around $T_c \approx 165$ MeV while it was expanding. Strongly interacting matter particles are quarks above $T_c$, while they are pions, protons and neutrons below $T_c$. The spin degrees of freedom $\bar{u}(u$ and $d$ quarks and gluons) just above $T_c$ are converted to 3 (pions) after the phase transition. This phase transition might have been achieved mostly at supercooled temperatures. The supercooling was provided by the expansion of the Universe. We obtain the effective bubble formation rate $\alpha(T) \approx 10^{4.5}$ MeV and the completion temperature of the phase change (to the hadronic phase), $T_f \approx 126$ MeV. During the phase transition, the scale factor $R$ has increased by a factor of 2.4. This provides a key knowledge on the energy density of “invisible” QCD axion at the full hadronic-phase commencement temperature $T_f$, and allows for us to estimate the current energy density of cold dark matter composed of “invisible” QCD axions.
\end{abstract}
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1. Introduction and summary

An “invisible” QCD axion [1–4] attracted a great deal of attention because of its solution to the strong CP problem [5] and its role as cold dark matter (CDM) candidate in the evolving Universe [6–8]. The invisible axion is a descendent of the Peccei–Quinn (PQ) symmetry, while the earlier electroweak scale axion [9,10] is not relevant for the present study which relates the cosmic axion density to the detection possibility. For the strong CP solution, only one axion is needed, which is the phase of a complex singlet field \cite{1} in the Standard Model. Recently it attracted a great deal of attention in the lattice community, where it became possible to accommodate the effects of fermion loops now \cite{11}.

The “invisible” QCD axion $a$ (or $\theta = a/f_a$) has arisen as a favored CDM candidate based on the reasonable estimates on the energy of bosonic collective motion (BCM) in the Universe \cite{12}. An important parameter for this determination is the time $t_1$ when the temperature dependent axion mass equals the Hubble parameter, $m_a = 3H$. The usual axion window for CDM assumes the misalignment angle $\theta_1 = O(1)$ at cosmic time $t_1$ corresponding to the Universe temperature $\approx 1$ GeV, which decreases to the current value by a factor $\approx 10^{-19}$ for the case of “invisible” axion \cite{13}. \footnote{Based on this number, the Rochester-Brookhaven and Univ. of Florida groups started to detect “invisible” axions \cite{14}. The recent ADMX report reached the line roughly a factor 2 above the KSVZ line at $m_a \approx 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ eV \cite{15}.}

In this estimation, there are five important facts to be stressed. Firstly, the effects of anharmonic terms are important for large values of $\theta$ \cite{16,17}. If the initial $\theta_1$ is close to $\pi$, then there was a long period of time when $\theta$ did not roll, i.e. the presence of bottle-neck period. Second, after the QCD phase transition, $\theta$ undergoes a decrease for which the diminishing factor is now reliably given in Ref. \cite{18}. Third, the QCD phase transition undergoes under the thermodynamic principles, asking for the basic equation for the QCD phase transition in the evolving Universe. The fourth is obtaining the finishing time $t_f$ of this QCD phase transition. The fifth is relating these to the axion energy density after the QCD phase transition. Here we discuss the last three questions. Another new feature here is that different $\theta_1$’s are used for different axion masses, in contrast to one value of $\theta_1$ for any axion mass in the previous studies \cite{16,17}.

Related to the third question, the conservation of Gibb’s free energy during the QCD phase transition is adopted. One can understand why it restricts the evolution so much just by counting the number of degrees in the quark and gluon(8q&g)– and hadronic(h–)}
The zero temperature expression of $\chi$, i.e. in the $h$-phase, was given in [19] and the high temperature expression was given in [9,20]. The recent estimates of $\chi$ around the QCD phase transition have been performed from the lattice calculation, including the temperature effect [11,21]. The lattice calculation must give the earlier zero temperature value [19]. The earlier high temperature expression gave a temperature dependence but its overall coefficient was not given [22]. We calculated this overall coefficient by the relativistic degrees given at $t_f$ as described above. We used the powers $T^{-\frac{4}{16}}$ [22] for $T > m_\rho \simeq 770$ MeV and $T^{-\frac{4}{21}}$ for $T_c < T < 770$ MeV. An important aspect to be noted is that the QCD phase transition has occurred during the evolution of the Universe, as shown by the red curve in Fig. 1. If the Universe evolution does not allow a complete evolution of this phase transition, the current Universe may look like a Swiss cheese and the homogeneous one has never arisen. The fraction of $h$ phase, $f_h$, is shown in the lavender square. Time $t_f$ is the completion time of the QCD phase transition.

Multiplying all these factors, we obtain the current vacuum angle $\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}$:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}} \simeq \tilde{\theta}_1 \cdot r_{f/1} \cdot \left( \tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}/\tilde{\theta}_f \right).$$

(1)

This expression shows how to estimate $\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}$ from the initial misalignment angle $\tilde{\theta}_1$ if we know two factors $r_{f/1}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}/\tilde{\theta}_f$. In this paper, $r_{f/1}$ is calculated and $\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}/\tilde{\theta}_f$ is estimated in [19]. $\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}$ is an important parameter, appearing in the expression of the current CDM axion energy density.

2. QCD phase transition

In our study of QCD phase transition, it is sufficient to consider up and down quarks, $u$ and $d$. The chiral symmetry breaking is proportional to light quark masses $m_u m_d/(m_u + m_d)$ since it should vanish if any one quark is massless. Even if the QCD scale $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ is a few hundred MeV, the axion mass should take into account the chiral symmetry breaking in terms of the current quark masses $m_u$ and $m_d$ in the $q\bar{q}$-phase. In most literatures, axion energy density uses the parameters of the $h$-phase, i.e. $m_{\rho}$ and $f_{\rho}$. This expression should encode the chiral invariance in case of $m_u = 0$ or $m_d = 0$. In the lattice calculation, topological susceptibility $\chi$ is calculated for $(q\bar{q})$ for quark fields $q_i$. Summarizing these for two flavors [23].

Quark and gluon phase with $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$:

$$f_{\Delta u}^2 m_u^2 = \frac{\left(\sin^2 \bar{\theta} / \bar{\theta}^2\right)}{2Z \cos \bar{\theta} + 1 + Z^2 m_u^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 / \left(2 \bar{\theta}^2\right)},$$

Hadronic phase in terms of $f_{\Delta u}^2 m_{\rho 0}^2$:

$$f_{\Delta u}^2 m_{u0}^2 = \frac{Z \left(\sin^2 \bar{\theta} / \bar{\theta}^2\right)}{2Z \cos \bar{\theta} + 1 + Z^2 f_{\rho 0}^2 m_{\rho 0}^2 / \left(2 \bar{\theta}^2\right)},$$

Lattice susceptibility $\chi$: $f_{\Delta u}^2 m_u^2 = \chi / \left(2 \bar{\theta}^2\right)$.

where $Z = m_u / m_{\rho}$ and $\chi \simeq (76$ MeV)$^4$ [11,21] and $\left(1/\bar{\theta}^2\right)$ is simply denoting the axion field operator divided by $f_{\rho 0}^2$. The

$^2$Addition of the strange quark $s$ would change parameters at a 5% level, viz. $m_s / m_u \simeq 1/20$.

$^3$For $\tilde{\theta}$ near 0, the coefficient in the $q\bar{q}$ phase becomes $(m_u \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 / 1 + Z^2)$. 

---

Fig. 1. A view of susceptibility $\chi$ (the blue curve) and axion energy density $\rho_\rho$ (the red curve), $\rho_\rho$ is in units of $1/\rho_\rho^2(t)$ near $\bar{\theta} = 0$ for $C = 1.5$ from $t_c$ to the finishing point $t_f$ of the phase transition. Below the $\rho$ meson scale, we used two temperature powers to connect to the $h$-phase value at $T_f$ smoothly. In the logarithmically enlarged inset, two different powers show a cusp. For $m_\rho(0) < 3.58 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}$, we have $t_1 > t_\rho$, for interpretation of the colors in the figure(s), the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 2. Formation of hadronic bubbles at $T < T_c$. In the right figure, four scales of bubbles formed at four different time scales are shown.

$qg$-phase expression is consistent with the symmetry expression $\rho \propto \delta m_u \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^3/(1 + Z)$ in case $\theta \simeq 0$ [14]. The susceptibility presented in Eq. (2) gives the axion mass and also the effect of anharmonic terms. Of course, the vacuum is taken at $\theta = 0$ but also large effects of the anharmonic terms are present when we take large values of $\theta$ in Eq. (2). The factor $(1/2 - \theta^2)$ is not included in the definition of $\chi$ and is written just for a reference that its coefficient is the axion mass if divided by $f_a^2$ in the limit $\theta = 0$. The coefficient in the $qg$-phase is negligible near the region $\theta = \pi$. It will be very difficult to discuss this region because of this singular behavior. Except this singular region, we presented the susceptibility for $\theta = 1$ if not explicitly stated.

If we use $m_u \simeq 2.5 \text{ MeV}, Z = 1/2$ [24] and $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \approx \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{(3)} \simeq 332 \text{ MeV}$ [25], the values in Eq. (2) for the $qg$ and the $h$ phases are $(88 \text{ MeV})^4$ and $(77 \text{ MeV})^4$, respectively. Note that the values calculated in the $h$-phase, the second and third lines of (2), are almost identical. This confirms the validity of the lattice calculation in the $h$-phase [11,21,26].

Early works on the QCD phase transition in the lattice community were dominated by quenched results [27], and claimed the first order phase transition as, “It is numerically well-established the phase transition is the first order in the quenched limit, and there is strong numerical evidence for first order in the chiral limit” [28]. On the other hand, the cross over transition was observed in Ref. [29]. The recent developments in saving computing time, using Möbius parameters, confirmed the crossover phase transition [31] because of the failure of growth of susceptibility “$\chi$ as $Z$ when the volume is increased from $32^3$ to $64^3$”, and claimed “the QCD phase transition is not first order but a cross-over.” The critical temperature was given as $154 \pm 1 \pm 8 \text{ MeV}$, where chiral quarks appear above $164 \text{ MeV}$. The cross-over begins with the second order without growing $\chi$ and finishes as the first order in the end. Since the hint of the cross over transition appears around $164 \text{ MeV}$ [31], we use the critical temperature $T_c$ given in [21]

$$T_c = 165 \text{ MeV}. \quad (3)$$

So, if $h$-phase bubbles form inside the $qg$-phase, formation of one typical bubble size will be dominated, which we take as $R_0^3$. Here, we adopt two basic principles: (i) two phases ($qg$- and $h$-phases) coexist in the principle of conserved Gibb’s free energy [30], and (ii) pion bubbles start to expand at supercooled temperatures. The phase transition is completed into the $h$-phase by the time $t_f$ in the evolving Universe. Contributions to energy density and entropy from light degrees below $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, in the initial and final states, are

$$\rho = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g^4_a T^4$$

Before $s = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g^4T^3$ , $g^4_a = 51.25$

$$N_h = \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} g^4T^3$$

After $s = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g^4T^3$ , $g^4_a = 17.25$,

$$N_h = \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} g^4T^3$$

where $\zeta(3) \approx 1.202$, for one family of quarks and leptons, gluons, one set of pions, photons, and more neutrinos. Note that the ratio of entropy to number density is $s/N = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} \zeta(3) \approx 3.60174$.

Including the Hubble expansion, $\bar{\theta}$ evolves according to

$$\ddot{\bar{\theta}} + 3H\dot{\bar{\theta}} + m_a^2(t) \sin \bar{\theta} = 0,$$

where the $\bar{\theta}$ is $\theta/f_a$ and $m_a(t)$ is the temperature dependent axion mass. At a cosmic time scale $m_a \sim 3H$, $\bar{\theta}$ is negligible and Eq. (5) determines an angle $\bar{\theta}$, which was known before as $T_1 \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}$ [16,17]. We will present new numbers below. At temperature $T_1$, the QCD phase is in the $qg$-phase with the current quark masses, and hence the axion number determined is at the time when the single particle effect is dominant. In this region, the scattering effects in scattering experiments between single particles are expanded in powers of $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/\Lambda_{QCD}$ where $Q^2$ is the momentum transfer in the high energy scattering, where $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \approx 332 \text{ MeV}$ for three light quarks [25]. On the other hand, after the QCD phase transition, it belongs to the many body phenomena where the quantity susceptibility $\chi$ is used. Fortunately, now there exist numbers on the susceptibility from lattice calculations at the level $\chi \simeq 76 \text{ MeV}$ [11,21,26].

In the left panel of Fig. 2, formation of a typical size $h$-phase bubble in the $qg$-phase region at temperature $T_0$ is shown. Here, the $h$-phase fraction is $f_h$ and the $qg$-phase fraction is $(1 - f_h)$. For the temperature–time relation in the evolving Universe, we use the spin degrees given in Eq. (4) and for comparing the number densities in the $qg$- and $h$-phases we use $g^4_a = 37$ and $g^4_h = 1.5$.

In the right panel of Fig. 2, four scales of bubbles are illustrated at four different time scales.

Here, we use the field theoretic idea of bubble formation [32–34], even though not using their first order form, but will solve a phenomenological differential equation of $f_h$, the fraction of $h$-phase bubbles in the Universe, introducing the rate $\alpha$. It is consistent with the observation of cross-over phase transition [31].

\footnote{For one set of pions, we can use $g^4_a = 3$ but counting just the number of pions, disregarding the charge differences, it is more convenient to use $g^4_a = 1$ and move the factor 3 in the other equations.}
Depending on the independent thermodynamic variables, energies have different names,

\[ dU = dQ - PdV + \mu dN, \]  
\[ dA = -SdT - PdV + \mu dN, \]  
\[ dG = -SdT + VdP + \mu dN, \]

where \( U, A, \) and \( G \) are internal energy, free energy, and Gibbs free energy, respectively, and \( \mu \) is the chemical potential (the energy needed to add one particle to a thermally and mechanically isolated system [30]), and \( N \) is the number density. Different energies are used for different physics: \( dU = 0 \) for the first law of thermodynamics, \( dA = 0 \) in the expanding Universe, and \( dG = 0 \) in the first order phase transition.\(^5\) In the beginning of the phase transition, we use \( dG = 0 \).

**Phase change between q&g- and h-phases**:

\[ \delta G = -g_q \delta \mu_q + g_h \delta \mu_h = 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where both signs of \( \delta \mu_q \) and \( \delta \mu_h \) are taken to be positive for one particle increment, and \( g_q, g_h \) are the Gibbs free energies in the q&g and h phases. During the QCD phase transition, therefore, the temperatures of quarks, gluons, and pions remain the same. Thermalization of hadrons with leptons changes temperature a bit and we use the resultant cosmic temperature as \( T \). To apply Eq. (9), we must know the pressure in both phases. Pressure in the q&g phase is given in Eq. (4) as ‘Before’. But, we cannot use ‘After’ of Eq. (4) because it corresponds to particles well separated while our case of strong interaction is for the overlapping waves. We first calculate pressure for the overlapping waves and then use the conservation of Gibbs free energy to estimate the transition rate \( \alpha \). These are calculated step by step:

- First, calculate the average pion energy which does not depend on the overlapping nature of waves. At \( T_c \), Eq. (9) gives

\[ N_q \delta \mu_q = N_\pi \delta \mu_\pi \rightarrow 37 \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} T_c^3 \cdot T_c = N_\pi \cdot E_\pi, \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( E_\pi \) is the pion energy. Thus, we obtain the number density \( N_\pi \) for the average pion energy \( \langle E_\pi \rangle \).

\(^5\) In our cross over phase transition, it starts like the first order and ends like the second order.
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**Fig. 3.** (a) \( P_h \) for the overlapping waves versus \( T \), and (b) \( \alpha \) as a function of \( t \) for \( C = 1.5 \).

\[ N_\pi (T_c) = \left( \frac{37}{3.60174 \langle E_\pi \rangle} \right) T_c^3 \simeq 3.8033 T_c^3, \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where the average energy at \( T_c \) for a relativistic boson is used [35]

\[ \langle E_\pi \rangle = \frac{\pi^4}{30\zeta(3)} T_c \simeq 2.701 T_c. \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

- To calculate pressure, consider a perpendicular wall on which force is acted. Momentum change by the wall perpendicular to \( x \)-axis is \( 2E \nu_x \), and the resulting force is \( 2E \nu_x^2 / \Delta x \); thus the force on the unit area is \( 2E \nu_x^2 / \Delta x/area = 2E \nu_x^2 \) (number density) \( \rightarrow \frac{2}{3} E (\nu_x^2 + \nu_\pi^2 + \nu_h^2) \cdot (\text{number density}) \). For strongly correlated pions, therefore, we obtain [36]

\[ P_h(T) = 3.8033 \frac{T^3}{E_{\text{cut}}^\text{eff}} \times \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{E}{e^{-\beta E} - 1} \left[ \frac{4\pi \sqrt{E^2 - m_\pi^2} dE}{(2\pi)^3 E^2} \right] = -0.1285 m_\pi T^3 \int \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}{1 - e^{-\frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}{\nu_x^2}(1-2\nu)}} dx, \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where we considered wavelengths up to the Compton wave length of \( \pi, \lambda_{\text{min}} \leq \lambda \leq 1/m_\pi \). Beyond \( \lambda_{\text{min}} \), it is better to consider quarks and gluons rather than pions. For \( \lambda \geq 1/m_\pi \), pions are considered to be individual particles. At \( T \), the maximum pion energy \( E_\pi \) considered to be \( E_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + T^2} \). Thus, we used \( E_{\text{cut}}^\text{eff} = \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + T^2} \), for which we obtain the solid curve of Fig. 3(a).

- After the h-bubbles are formed, the temperature (obtained by collisions) of the inside h-phase drops faster than that of the outside q-phase because \( g_q \) (inside) < \( g_q \) (outside) and pions are massive. This is illustrated as the temperature inequality in Fig. 2. The expansion of bubbles will be approximated by phenomenological parameters.

- The expanding Universe is the case of different pressures. So, we do not use \( dG = 0 \) but consider \( dA = 0 \),

\[ (-SdT - PdV + \mu dN)_\parallel + (-SdT - PdV + \mu dN)_\parallel = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)
Using $dV_h = -dV_H$,

$$
(P_h - P_{h0}) dV_H = (S_h - S_{h0}) dt + \mu_d dN_H - \mu_q dN_Q
= (S_h - S_{h0}) dt.
$$

(15)

$$
1 \frac{dV_h}{dt} = \frac{(S_h - S_{h0})}{(P_h - P_{h0})} \frac{d}{dt}.
$$

(16)

Since $dV_h/dt$ is negative, the right-hand side of Eq. (16) is always positive and the fraction of h-phase increases. Note that it is close to the change rate of fraction of h phases in the whole Universe. The RHS of Eq. (16) is defined as the rate of formation of the h-phase ball of radius $R_f$ of typical pion size, $\alpha(T)$,

$$
\alpha(T) \approx \frac{-37\pi^2}{45}(P_h - P_{h0}) T^6 MeV, \quad \text{with } T^2 f_{[\cdot]} \approx MeV,
$$

(17)
in which we used $dV/dt = -\frac{1}{3}T/t$ in the radiation dominated (RD) Universe. In Fig. 3(b), $\alpha(T)$ is shown.

For the critical temperature, we use $T_c = 165 \pm 5 MeV$ [11,21]. At $T_c$, two phases co-exist. So, $\chi$ in the $h$-phase at $T_c$ is equated to the $\chi$ in the qgq-g-phase at $T_c$. Knowing the $\chi$ value in the qgq-g-phase at $T_c$, we extend it to the GeV region via the instanton effect with temperature dependence. In the qgq-g-phase above the $\rho$ meson mass scale, we use the temperature dependence $T^{-8.16}$ [22]. We use this power in the region where quarks and gluons are manifest, i.e. above the $\rho$ meson mass scale. Below the $\rho$ meson mass scale and above $T_c$, the constituent quark mass around 300 MeV are present. We smoothly connect the temperature dependence in this region, between the $\rho$ meson mass scale and $T_c$, by an interim power $T^{-4.21}$ [6]. Then, determine $\theta_f$ by the condition $m_\phi(T_f) = 3H(T_f)$. The temperature $T_f$ is determined as shown in Fig. 4(a). $T_f$ depends on the zero temperature axion mass $m_\phi(0)$. If $m_\phi(0)$ is smaller than $4 \times 10^{-3} eV$, $T_f$ is below the $\rho$ mass scale 770 MeV.

Some time after a bubble is formed, its radius would expand with the light velocity, and its volume would increase with the rate $3R/3t$ where $R(t) = t + R_i$. Here, $R_i$ is the initial radius of the nucleated h-phase ball, $R_i = R(0)$. Therefore, including the Hubble expansion, the differential equation for fraction $f_h$ of h-phase is approximated by the following differential equation, summarizing effectively the above items by two parameters, $\alpha(T)$ and $C$,

$$
\frac{df_h}{dt} = \alpha(1 - f_h) + \frac{3}{1 + C_f h (1 - f_h)} (t + R_f) f_h,
$$

(18)

where $\alpha(T)$ of Eq. (17) is for the formation of the h-phase ball of radius $R_f$. The initial condition is $f_h(t = 0) = 0$. In Eq. (18), $3/(t + R_i)$ takes into account expansion of a bubble(s), starting from radius $R_i$. As time goes on, some bubbles coalesce and the overlapped part should not be considered for expanding. This overlapping part diminishes as $f_h$ approaches 1 since there is not much $(1 - f_h)$ from which new bubbles would form. Near $f_h = 0$ also, there is no coalesce effect since the balls have not expanded yet. Equation (18) introduces a phenomenological parameter $C(>0)$ to take into account these coalesce effects. In Fig. 4(b), we show $f_h(t)$ for several different values of $C$. The $C$ dependence controls the value $T_f$. For example, $C = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2$, and 3 give $T_f = 0.65T_c, 0.647T_c, 0.641T_c, 0.634T_c$, and 0.623$T_c$, respectively. The $C$ dependence is not very dramatic, and we use $C = 1.5$ in Fig. 1 for which the Hubble radius is increased by a factor of $\approx 2.4$ during this QCD phase transition.

As the Universe expands, the QCD phase transition starts at $T_c$, and ends when $f_h = 1$ is reached, whose time scale is denoted as $t_f$ (at temperature $T_f$). It is illustrated as the dashed curve for $C = 1.5$ in the lavender box in Fig. 1. Then the phase transition is complete, after which the Universe goes into the RD in the h-phase.

If $\alpha$ fraction of the current CDM energy density is made of “invisible” axions, the axion energy density is $\times$ times the current critical energy density, i.e. numerically $x \times 0.9935 \times 10^{-32} MeV^4$. In the expanding Universe, from this value at $t$ now the “invisible” axion energy density at $t$ is estimated as $\rho_a(t_f) \cdot (\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}/\tilde{\theta}_f)$ [6]. Since $\rho_a(t_f)$ calculated through the QCD phase transition earlier in this section is $O(10^7 MeV^4 g_f^2)$ from the scale in Fig. 1, $(\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}/\tilde{\theta}_f)^2$ must be of order $10^{-42} x$. Thus, for the “invisible” axion to become CDM, $\tilde{\theta}_{\text{now}}/\tilde{\theta}_f$ must be of order $10^{-21} \sqrt{\chi}$.

3. evolution in the bottleneck period and more

We determined $T_1$ by the condition $m_\phi(T_1) = 3H(T_1)$. Then, from $T_1$ to $T_{\text{osc}}$, use the evolution equation of $\tilde{\theta}$,

$$
\ddot{\tilde{\theta}} + 3H \dot{\tilde{\theta}} + m_\phi^2(t) \sin \tilde{\theta} = 0,
$$

(19)

\footnote{It was noted in Ref. [26] that the temperature dependence of topological susceptibility is very different from dilute instanton gas approximation and mimics it from $T > 250 MeV$. Our power 4.21 is partly in accord with this observation.}

\footnote{In Ref. [37], it was argued that $T_f > 0.62T_c$.}
where dot denotes the derivative with respect to $t$. After $t_{osc}$, the harmonic oscillation is an excellent description of the oscillation \cite{17,18}. Fig. 5 shows the factors $r_{osc}/1$ (the upper figure) the ratio of the dot's at the time $t_{osc}$ (the commencement time of the 1st oscillation after the bottle neck period) and at $t$, and $r_{1/osc}$ (the lower figure) the ratio at $t$ and at $t_{osc}$. Three curves are for three axion masses, $m_a = 10^{-3} \text{eV}, 10^{-4} \text{eV}$, and $10^{-5} \text{eV}$. $r_{osc}/1$ does not have a strong dependence on the axion mass, but $r_{1/osc}$ has the axion mass dependence as shown in the lower part in Fig. 5. For $m_a = 10^{-4} \text{eV}$, Fig. 5 shows $r_{osc}/1 = 0.99871$, 0.997407, and $r_{1/osc} = 2.005 \times 10^{-2}$, 2.005 $\times 10^{-2}$, and 1.5346 $\times 10^{-2}$, respectively, for $\dot{\theta} = 0.5, 1.0, 0.90\pi$. Bullets correspond to $\dot{\theta} = 1$ for $m_a = 10^{-4} \text{eV}$, in which case the product is $r_{1/osc}(\theta = 1) \equiv r_{osc}(1) \cdot r_{1/osc}(1) \approx 2.002 \times 10^{-2}$. Fig. 1 takes these effects into account, specifically for $m_a = 10^{-4} \text{eV}$ while the Universe was evolving.

From Fig. 5, we obtain an approximate formula for $r_{1/osc}$ in the range $m_a = [10^{-3} \text{eV}, 10^{-5} \text{eV}],$

$$r_{1/osc} \approx 0.02 \left( \frac{m_{a}}{10^{-4} \text{eV}} \right)^{0.591} \times 0.008$$

(20)

where the error bars are given from possible ranges of curves in Fig. 5. The power 0.591 can be compared to 0.184 of Ref. [17] and 0.5 of Ref. [38]. Our large value is due to our method of obtaining different $T_{i}$'s for different axion masses shown in Fig. 4(a), in contrast to using a unique value for $T_{1}$ \cite{17,38}. We stress again that the overall coefficient 0.02 is for the case of $m_a = 10^{-4} \text{eV}$ and the power in Eq. (20) corrects for the mass difference effect in the range we consider. If one gives $\dot{\theta}, \ddot{\theta}$ is calculated by Eq. (20), and $\rho_\nu (\text{now}) \approx \rho_\nu (t) \cdot \rho_\nu (\text{now}) / \ddot{\theta} f \cdot \rho_\nu (t) / \ddot{\theta} f$ is read in Fig. 1 and $\theta (\text{now}) / \ddot{\theta} f$ is reliably calculated recently in Ref. \cite{18}.

4. Conclusion

We studied the QCD phase transition, satisfying two conditions: coexistence of $q\bar{q}$-g- and $h$-phases with the same Gibbs free energy below $T_c$ and the expansion of the $h$-phase bubbles afterwards by a phenomenological differential equation, Eq. (18). These allow a narrow temperature range for forming $h$-phase bubbles at $T \leq 165 \text{MeV}$. Within this narrow range, we obtain a temperature dependent bubble formation rate $\alpha(T)$. Using this $\alpha(T)$, we obtain the completion temperature of the QCD phase transition, $T_f \approx 126 \text{MeV}$, corresponding to $t_f \approx 63 \mu s$. This provides a key knowledge on the energy density of “invisible” QCD axion at $t_f$ which allows us to estimate the current CDM density of “invisible” QCD axion.
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