Clinical Evaluation of Autologous and Allogeneic Stem Cell Therapy for Intrauterine Adhesions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Objective: Intrauterine adhesions (IUAs) are a major cause of female infertility. Stem cells can be used to restore endometrial function owing to their regenerative abilities. We compared the safety and efficacy of autologous and allogeneic stem cell treatments in patients with recurrent IUA after conventional therapy based on a systematic review of the related literature.

Methods: The PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases were systematically searched. All analysis were performed using Review Manager 5.4. We compared improvements in endometrial thickness, pregnancy rates, menstruation, and side effects after autologous and allogeneic stem cell therapy. The study was registered with PROSPERO, CRD 42022322870.

Results: Our search returned 154 reports, 10 of which met the inclusion criteria, representing 116 patients. Of these, 44 patients in two studies were treated with allogeneic stem cells and 72 patients in eight studies were treated with autologous stem cells. Improvements in endometrial thickness and pregnancy rates after intrauterine device treatment were compared between the autologous and allogeneic stem cell groups. Endometrial thickness increased more after autologous stem cell IUA treatment (mean difference, 1.68; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.30–2.07; P < 0.00001), and the pregnancy rate was also improved (relative risk, 1.55; 95% CI: 1.19–2.02, P < 0.001). No obvious and serious adverse reactions were observed during stem cell therapy in either group.

Conclusions: This meta-analysis and systematic review of the results of randomized trials of autologous and allogeneic stem cell treatments for IUA suggests that autologous stem cells have a better effect in improving the endometrium thickness and pregnancy rate.

Systematic Review Registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/, identifier CRD42022322870.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Intrauterine adhesions (IUAs) are a type of endometrial fibrosis caused by the invasion of exogenous pathogens after endometrial injury. Once the endometrial basal layer is damaged, fibrous tissue forms and the interstitial tissue disappears. Further, tissue bridges connect across all directions of the uterine wall, forming adhesions (1). Patients with IUA often have oligomenorrhea, amenorrhea, a thin endometrium, few glands, and can even suffer from infertility (2). Dilation and curettage after miscarriage is the major pathogenic factor of IUA, with an incidence ranging from 15% to 40% (3). In addition, an increasing number of cases IUA have been associated with abdominal and hysteroscopic myomectomy, diaphragmatic resection, and other types of intrauterine surgery (4). Asgari et al. (5) found that the incidence of postoperative adhesion in the laparoscopic group and open-surgery group was 21% and 19%, respectively. Moreover, Laganà et al. (6) performed a prospective study including 38 and 24 patients who underwent laparoscopic and caesarean myomectomy, respectively; 19.4% of the women in both groups developed IUA. Severe endometrial dysfunction can lead to this reproductive defect in women of childbearing age, which was once considered to be a terminal condition causing infertility (7).

The main purpose of clinical treatment for IUA is to restore the endometrial morphology, improve endometrial function, and prevent re-adhesion (8). Hysteroscopic endarterectomy is the most common treatment for IUA. One study found that the re-adhesion rate was 30% in cases of mild and moderate IUA and was 62.5% in cases of severe IUA. In addition, the ratio of pregnancy following treatment was only 22.5–33.3%, which is not satisfactory (9).

In recent years, stem cells have been found to have self-renewal and multi-directional differentiation potential, with broad prospects for the treatment of tissue damage involving the uterine cavity (10). Allogeneic stem cells are widely used in experiments because of their convenient extraction and easy access. However, owing to ethical considerations and immune rejection issues, autologous stem cells remain the first choice for human clinical applications.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) act as conducting cells, regulating host cells via signals delivered through extracellular vesicles (Evs) or exosomes (Exo), thereby improving liver fibrosis and promoting regeneration (11). Through animal experiments, Yao et al. (12) found that Exos from bone marrow-derived MSCs (BMSCs) might regulate the repair of the damaged endometrium through the transforming growth factor-β1/SMAD signaling pathway. Therefore, the concept of cell-derived Evs or Exo-based acellular therapy has been attracting attention. Cell-free therapy can be safely administered in high doses, resulting in the infiltration of target organs. However, Exos are rarely used in clinical practice at present. Cao et al. (13) and Zhang et al. (14) used allogeneic umbilical cord blood MSCs to treat IUA, and found that patients exhibited increased menstrual flow, increased endometrial thickness, and increased pregnancy rates. Moreover, Lee et al. (15), Santamaria et al. (16), and Singh et al. (17) found that autologous stem cell therapy could also improve these indicators.

There are currently no systematic reviews and meta-analysis evaluating the efficacy and safety of reported clinical trials of autologous and allogeneic stem cells for the treatment of patients with IUA. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to evaluate these clinical outcomes, including menstrual improvement, pregnancy, and changes in endometrial thickness, after the treatment of autologous or allogeneic stem cells, as well as the side effects of each type of treatment. In the analysis, each patient was used as their own control before and after treatment to compare the autologous stem cell group and allogeneic stem cell group.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Search Strategies

We registered our study on PROSPERO (CRD 4202322870). System Review and Meta-Analysis is the preferred reporting item based on the System Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines (18). Two authors (JMC and QYH) independently systematically searched the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases (until January 21, 2022) for relevant studies. We jointly determined the Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms and other search terms for article retrieval. If there was a dispute, the two authors negotiated until reaching a consensus or invited a third author (SL) to make a final judgment. The final search strategy was as follows: stem cell [MeSH Terms] and uterus [MeSH Terms] and endometrial adhesion; LIF, Leukemia inhibitory factor; MSC, Mesenchymal stem cell; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis; RR, Relative risk; VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor.

Abbreviations: ADSC, Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell; BMSC, Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell; $\chi^2$, Chi-square; CI, Confidence interval; Exo, Exosome; Ev, Extracellular vesicle; I², Inconsistency index; IUA, Intrauterine adhesion; LIF, Leukemia inhibitory factor; MSC, Mesenchymal stem cell; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis; RR, Relative risk; VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor.
and abstract were relevant to the topic, but the full text could not be found.

2.3 Data Extraction
Research selection and data extraction were conducted by two independent reviewers (JMC and QYH). Any objection was discussed and submitted to a third reviewer (SL) for confirmation. The extracted content from each article included the (a) first author, year, and country of the study; (b) age, main symptoms, cause, and previous treatment of the patients; (c) changes in IUA score, endometrial thickness, pregnancy rate, and menstrual volume before and after treatment; (d) stem cell type (autologous stem cells or allogeneic stem cells) and stem cell therapy dose; (e) postoperative white blood cell count; and (f) side effects such as headache, nausea, and vomiting, among others.

2.4 Quality Assessment
The ROBINS tool was used to assess the methodological quality and risk of bias of the included trials according to the following eight areas: confounding factors (all outcomes), selection, classification, deviations from intended interventions (assignment), missing data (all outcomes), measurement of outcome (all outcomes), selection of reported result (all outcomes), and overall (19).

2.5 Statistical Analysis
All meta-analysis were performed using Review Manager 5.4 (Cochrane Collaboration, London, UK). First, according to the comparison before and after stem cell treatment, the patients were divided into a treatment and control group. The main binary variables were menstrual improvement after treatment and pregnancy, among others, represented by the relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Continuous variables were as follows: change in endometrial thickness, represented by the mean difference. Second, according to the type of stem cells, patients were divided into autologous and allogeneic stem cell groups.

The Q-test obeyed the chi-square ($\chi^2$) distribution of degrees of freedom. The chi-square value and inconsistency index ($I^2$) were used to evaluate the heterogeneity of each study. An $I^2$ value of 50% indicates moderate heterogeneity and an $I^2 > 75\%$ is highly heterogeneous. When $I^2 < 50\%$, the fixed-effects model was adopted. When $I^2 > 50\%$, subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis were performed using a random-effects model.

3 RESULTS
3.1 Search Results
In total, 154 articles were retrieved, including 129 from PubMed, 14 from Embase, and 11 from the Cochrane database. All of the retrieved articles were imported into Endnote, with 11 duplicates found. A closer look at the titles and abstracts revealed 30 reviews and 52 animal experiments. Full-text review identified four articles that were not randomized controlled trials, and the full text could not be found for eight articles, likely because the clinical study had not been completed. Finally, a total of 10 studies were included in the analysis, including two studies using allogeneic stem cells to treat IUA (13, 14) and eight studies using autologous stem cells to treat IUA (15–17, 20–24). The flow chart of the search process is shown in Figure 1.

3.2 Characteristics of the Included Studies
The 10 studies included for final analysis reported on 116 patients in total, 72 of whom received autologous therapy and 44 of whom received allogeneic therapy. The basic information of these patients is presented in Tables 1, 2. These studies all took place from 2011 to 2021, with patients ranging in age from 20 to 45 years. The main symptoms were infertility and decreased menstrual volume. These patients had undergone one or more curettages and had received IUD lysis and estrogen therapy. However, these treatments were all ineffective. Stem cells combined with hormone therapy were used in all cases, and the menstrual recovery, endometrial repair, and pregnancy rate after treatment were recorded after a follow-up of 3 months to 5 years. In patients receiving allogeneic stem cell therapy, the postoperative white blood cell count was also recorded; however, this was not mentioned in the studies of patients treated with autologous stem cells.

3.3 Methodologic Quality and Risk of Bias
The ROBINS tool was used to evaluate the overall performance of the included studies from eight aspects (Figure 2). By analyzing each study, we found a serious risk of bias for four studies based on confounding factors, four studies based on selection, two studies based on classification, eight studies based on deviations from intended interventions, and one study based on measurement of outcome. A low risk of bias was found for three studies based on confounding factors, four studies based on
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### TABLE 1 | Characteristic of basic information.

| Author            | Country | Year  | Age   | Stem Cells        | Patients | Symptoms               | Etiology                  | Prior repair attempts | Reference |
|-------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------------------|----------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Cao et al         | China   | 2018  | 27-42 | allogeneic UC-MSC | 26       | Infertility            | D&C                      | HSP                    | (13)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Hypomenorrhea          | spontaneous abortion      |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          |                        | D&C                      |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Scant spotting         | Unexplained              |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Infertility            | HSP                      |                        |           |
| Lee et al         | Korea   | 2020  | 36-43 | autologous ADSCs  | 5        | Infertility            | D&C                      | HSC adhesiolysis        | (15)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Hypomenorrhea          | Unexplained              |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Scant spotting         | HSP                      |                        |           |
| Santamaria et al  | Spain   | 2016  | 30-45 | autologous BMDSCs | 11       | Infertility            | HSP                      |                        | (16)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Symptomatic            | Unexplained              |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Infertility            | IU                       |                        |           |
| Sing et al        | India   | 2014  | 25-35 | autologous MNC    | 6        | Infertility            | D&C                      | Hysteroscopic adhesiolysis | (17)    |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Hypomenorrhea          | HT                       |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Scant spotting         | HSA                      |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Pruritis               | Unexplained              |                        |           |
| Zhao et al        | China   | 2017  | 20-38 | autologous BMNCs  | 5        | Infertility            | D&C                      |                        | (24)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Hypomenorrhea          | HSP                      |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Scant spotting         | Unexplained              |                        |           |
| Santamaria et al  | Spain   | 2016  | 30-45 | autologous BMDSCs | 1        | Infertility            | D&C                      | HSP                    | (21)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Symptomatic            | Unexplained              |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Infertility            | IU                       |                        |           |
| Tan et al         | China   | 2016  | 20-40 | autologous menSCs | 7        | Infertility            | Spontaneous abortion     | Adhesiolysis            | (23)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Artificial abortion    | IUD                      |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Intestinal tuberculosis, Symptomatic | HRT                |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Lymphatic tuberculosis, | Hysteroscopic adhesiolysis |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Hysteroscopic surgery  | Hysterotic adhesiolysis   |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Refractory IUA         | Unexplained              |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Infertility            | Infection                |                        |           |
| Sing et al        | India   | 2020  | 24-38 | autologous BMNCs  | 25       | Amenorrhea             | TB                       |                        | (22)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Scanty                 | D&C                      |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Symptomatic            | Hysterotic adhesiolysis   |                        |           |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Infertility            | HT                       |                        |           |
| Ma et al          | China   | 2020  | 22-40 | autologous menSCs | 12       | Refractory IUA         | Curettage                | Adhesiolysis            | (20)      |
|                   |         |       |       |                   |          | Infertility            | Unexplained              |                        |           |

### TABLE 2 | Characteristics of treatment.

| Author            | Year  | Stem Cells | Cell number | Combination Therapy | Follow-up | Postoperative leukocyte | Reference |
|-------------------|-------|------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Cao et al         | 2018  | UC-MSC     | 1x10^7      | Progynova Progesteron | 30 months | WBC:5.96 ± 1.46x10^9/L neutrophil percentage: 52.2 ± 8.99% C-reactive protein:2.27 ± 0.43 mg/L | (13)      |
|                   |       |            | (4.2x10^5/cm^2) | | | |           |
| Lee et al         | 2020  | ADSCs      | 4.6±0.7 x10^6 | Estradiol valerate Medroxyprogesterone HRT | 23 months | no mention | (15)      |
| Santamaria et al  | 2016  | BMDScs     | 123.56 x10^6 (42-200×10^6 ) | Estradiol valerate Medroxyprogesterone | 6 months | no mention | (16)      |
| Santamaria et al  | 2014  | MNC        | 103.3x10^6 ±20.45 | Estradiol valerate Medroxyprogesterone Estradiol valera | 3, 6, 9 months | no mention | (17)      |
| Zhang et al       | 2021  | UC-MSC     | 1 x 10^7/mL (2 mL) | Progynova Progesterone Dydrogesterone tablets Progesterone Soft Capsules | 27 months | leukocyte: 6.69 ± 1.22x10^9/L lymphocyte: 2.37 ± 0.46x10^9/L neutrophils: 54.78 ± 6.74% | (14)      |
| Zhao et al        | 2017  | BMNCs      | 1 x 10^6 | Progynova | 3 months | no mention | (24)      |
| Nagori et al      | 2011  | BMDScs     | 0.8mL | Progesterone vaginal gel Ethynloestradiol Aspirin | 6 months | no mention | (21)      |
| Tan et al         | 2016  | menSCs     | 1 x10^6 | Oestradiol valerate Progesterone | 3, 4, 6 months | no mention | (23)      |
| Singh et al       | 2020  | BMNCs      | 65.3x10^6±37.2 | Estradiol valerate Medroxyprogesterone | 3, 6, 9 months | no mention | (22)      |
| Ma et al          | 2020  | menSCs     | 10 x10^6 | Estradiol Dydrogesterone | 5 years | no mention | (20)      |
selection, three studies based on classification, one study based on deviations from intended interventions, four studies based on missing data, four studies based on measurement of outcome, five studies based on selection of reported result, and two studies based on overall bias. The other studies had no related information or were judged to be at a moderate risk of bias. In addition, we generated a funnel plot in Review Manager 5.4 to analyze the possibility of publication bias and heterogeneity in the improvement of the endometrium after autologus stem cell treatment and the comparison of pregnancy rates. The results showed that the funnel plot was symmetrical, indicating low sensitivity to publication bias.

3.4 Meta-Analysis
3.4.1 Overall Efficacy of Autologous and Allogeneic Stem Cell Therapy
3.4.1.1 Menstrual Improvement
Of the 10 included studies, menstrual improvement was mentioned in eight studies with a total of 89 patients. Menstrual improvement was evaluated by comparing the changes in the patients’ own menstrual volume before and after the application of stem cell therapy for IUA. The results showed statistical significance (Figure 3). In addition, there was no statistically significant heterogeneity among the included trials ($\chi^2 = 1.42$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.98$; $I^2 = 0\%$).

3.4.1.2 Pregnancy
Nine of the 10 studies followed a design of assessing pregnancy rates after treatment, with a total of 90 patients who had failed to conceive after traditional IUD lysis and estrogen therapy. After receiving stem cell therapy, the patients could conceive either naturally or through embryo implantation. The pregnancy rates increased significantly after stem cell therapy (Figure 4). There was also no obvious significant heterogeneity among studies ($t^2 = 0.00$; $\chi^2 = 2.02$, $df = 8$, $P = 0.98$; $I^2 = 0\%$).

3.4.1.3 Changes in Endometrial Thickness
All 10 studies assessed changes in endometrial thickness, with a total of 129 patients. Although the overall efficacy of stem cell therapy on improving endometrial thickness in patients with IUA was statistically significant, heterogeneity among studies was also significant ($t^2 = 0.84$; $\chi^2 = 65.92$, $df = 13$, $P < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 80\%$). One possible reason is the difference between autologous and allogeneic stem cell treatment, mainly owing to the uneven follow-up time (Figure 5).

3.4.2 Comparison of the Efficacy of Autologous and Allogeneic Stem Cells
3.4.2.1 Pregnancy Rates Between Autologous and Allogeneic Treatment
Two studies used allogeneic stem cell therapy and eight studies used autologous stem cell therapy. Among them, only seven
studies mentioned pregnancy in patients at follow-up. Thus, the seven studies of autologous stem cell treatment for IUA were compared with two studies of allogeneic stem cells for IUA. The pregnancy rate with autologous stem cell therapy was significantly higher than that with allogeneic stem cell therapy. There was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($\chi^2 = 13$, $df = 13$, $P = 0.45$; $I^2 = 0$; Figure 6).

3.4.2.2 Endometrial Thickness Between Autologous and Allogeneic Treatment

Only one allogeneic stem cell study, with 16 patients, and seven autologous stem cell studies, with 40 patients, presented detailed data on changes in endometrial thickness, enabling comparison of the efficacy of the two therapies. The endometrial thickness-repair effect of autologous stem cells in IUA patients was significantly better than that of allogeneic stem cells. In addition, there was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies ($\chi^2 = 7.04$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.42$; $I^2 = 1$; Figure 7).

3.5 Publication Bias and Sensitivity Analysis

The funnel plot was used to evaluate heterogeneity in improvements in endometrial thickness and pregnancy rate, along with publication bias in the comparisons between autologous and allogeneic stem cells for the treatment of IUA. The funnel plot of autologous and allogeneic stem cells was evenly distributed along the vertical direction and was symmetrical, suggesting no obvious bias or heterogeneity (Figure 8). Further, because stem cell therapy for IUA improved endometrial thickness with high heterogeneity in the overall efficacy evaluation, we carried out subgroup analysis according to the follow-up time. Although the improvement in endometrial thickness was statistically significant at 3, 6, and 9 months of follow-up, the heterogeneity was still high (3 months: $\tau^2 = 0.41$, $\chi^2 = 20.38$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.005$, $I^2 = 66$; 6 months: $\tau^2 = 1.78$, $\chi^2 = 5.61$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.02$, $I^2 = 82$; 9 months: $\tau^2 = 1.47$, $\chi^2 = 5.32$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.02$; $I^2 = 81$); thus, the specific reasons for this variability require further analysis with additional samples (Figure 9). Therefore, on the basis of follow-up time, we further conducted subgroup analysis according to autologous and allogeneic stem cell treatments. The results showed that the endometrial thickness of the autologous group after 3 months of follow-up was significantly thicker than that before treatment, with low heterogeneity ($\tau^2 = 0.00; \chi^2 = 2.24$, $df = 5$, $P = 0.82$; $I^2 = 0$). Therefore, we considered that the high heterogeneity of endometrial thickness results in previous studies is mainly due to the combined effect of follow-up time and the use of autologous or allogeneic stem cells (Figure 10).

3.6 Safety Evaluation

Among the 10 studies (116 cases), three patients (2.59%) complained of adverse reactions during treatment, including anorexia, mild gastritis, vomiting, and abdominal colic, among others. However, these symptoms subsequently disappeared. In addition, two studies that used allogeneic stem cells to treat IUA recorded the number of white blood cells after surgery. Cao et al. (13) reported white blood cell counts of $5.96 \pm 1.46 \times 10^9$/L, a neutrophil percentage of $52.2 \pm 8.99\%$, and C-reactive protein level of $2.27 \pm 0.43$ mg/L. Zhao et al. (14) reported a white blood...
cell count of $6.69 \pm 1.22 \times 10^9/L$, $2.37 \pm 0.46 \times 10^9/L$ lymphocytes, and $54.76 \pm 6.74\%$ neutrophils. These results showed that the leukocyte-related indices of 44 patients after the operation were all within the normal ranges, indicating safety regardless of whether autologous stem cells or allogeneic stem cells were used for treatment. However, autologous stem cell therapy is a more ethical and acceptable treatment overall.

4 DISCUSSION

In total, 10 studies with 116 patients were included in this meta-analysis. The results showed that treatment of IUA with stem cells has been generally effective and safe in improving menstruation, pregnancy rates, and endometrial thickness, although the latter results were highly heterogeneous among studies. Therefore, we divided the studies into autologous and allogeneic groups, demonstrating that autologous stem cell transplantation is significantly superior in terms of improving the pregnancy rate and endometrial thickness, with statistical significance and low heterogeneity.

In recent years, stem cells have emerged as a new treatment for IUA owing to their ability to differentiate and promote endometrial regeneration (25). Although allogeneic stem cells have the advantages of convenient extraction and large amounts at acquisition, there are still doubts about their immune-regulatory properties. Studies have shown that the application of allogeneic stem cell therapy has certain risks, including abnormal immune reconstitution, secondary tumors, and graft-versus-host disease (26). Masuda et al. (27) also pointed out that in the process of allogeneic stem cells for the treatment of immune rejection, one problem is the secondary histocompatibility antigen mismatch caused by significant immune rejection, and the other is the immune rejection mediated by natural killer cells. Although MSCs can suppress immune rejection (28), Ankrum et al. (29) pointed out that MSCs have an immune evasion capacity rather than an immune privilege property. Therefore, the use of autologous stem cells for treatment is considered to be more acceptable in clinical practice. In the two studies of allogeneic stem cell therapy (30), white blood cell counts following treatment were within the normal range. However, whether immune rejection occurs in the body after allogeneic stem cell transplantation still needs to be explored experimentally. In terms of efficacy alone, the pregnancy rate and endometrial tissue recovery in the autologous stem cell treatment group were better than those in the allogeneic stem cell treatment group.

Unfortunately, the low quantity and high cost of autologous stem cell extraction still limit its clinical application. Therefore, researchers have considered whether Exos could be extracted from low-immunogenic MSCs to solve the challenges associated with using autologous and allogeneic stem cells. MSC-derived extracellular vesicles (MSC-EVs) have certain therapeutic effects on female reproductive dysfunction, such as repairing endometrial damage, inhibiting endometrial fibrosis, regulating immunity, anti-inflammator effects, and inhibiting ovarian granulosa cell apoptosis (31), among others. Gao et al. (32) reported that the EVs secreted by BMSCs might be a promising and attractive tool to ensure the success of infertility treatment by restoring normal reproductive function. Further, integrin, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are valuable indicators of endometrial receptivity (33). Integrin and LIF are regulators of endometrial function and play important roles in embryo implantation (34). Using a rat model, Zhao et al. (35) found that adipose tissue-derived MSC (ADSC)-Exos can maintain the normal uterine

FIGURE 6 | Comparison of pregnancy rate of autologous and allogeneic stem cells in treatment of IUA.

FIGURE 7 | Comparison of endometrium improvement of autologous and allogeneic stem cells in treatment of IUA.
structure and promote endometrial regeneration and collagen remodeling, and could also enhance the expression of integrin-β3, LIF, and VEGF. In addition, Liao et al. (36) concluded that Exos play a role in IUA through angiopoietin, non-coding RNAs, and various signaling pathways (Figure 11). Therefore, Exos extracted from MSCs are expected to be a less immunogenic and more convenient method for the treatment of IUA.

In addition, estrogen, one of the classic chemotherapy drugs for the transcervical resection of adhesions (37), is helpful to prevent postoperative adhesion. In the 10 studies included in our analysis, estrogen was added as part of the treatment following hysteroscopy, and patients recovered well after the surgery. However, in a meta-analysis of three studies, estrogen therapy following hysteroscopy did not reduce IUA in any case (38). This could be related to the inconsistent evaluation standards used by different experimental groups; thus, the most appropriate design of clinical trials to unify the test result standards remains to be further explored.

This study has limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. Incomplete effective evaluation indicators were present. Owing to the small number of research subjects with IUA scores calculated before and after treatment, the analysis was not sufficient, and thus was not included in this meta-analysis. Some of the subjects were not completely randomized and blinded, which might have improved the effectiveness of stem cell therapy for IUA, thereby affecting the results. Compared to the sample size involving autologous stem cell therapy, the allogeneic stem cell therapy group had fewer subjects, and thus the present comparison of the efficacy of the two treatments for IUA is not sufficient to conclusively indicate that autologous stem cell therapy is superior. Moreover, although the current literature indicates that Exos extracted from MSCs can improve efficacy and reduce the immune rejection caused by allogeneic stem cells, the current clinical data are insufficient to analyze the efficacy of Exos for IUA treatment. Therefore, we will continue to analyze...
the efficacy of Exos for the treatment of IUA using available data from animal experiments.

5 CONCLUSION

Infertility caused by the repeated recurrence of IUA remains an unsolved problem in the reproductive field. Stem cell therapy has emerged as a new treatment strategy for IUA. However, there are still immune-related issues and ethical controversies with respect to the application of autologous or allogeneic stem cell therapy. In this meta-analysis, we divided the subjects into autologous and allogeneic groups. By analyzing the overall efficacy, heterogeneity, and safety between the two groups, we found that stem cells increased the menstrual volume, prolonged menstrual time, and increased endometrial thickness and
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**FIGURE 10** | Subgroup analysis of endometrial thickness improvement with autologous stem cell and allogeneic stem cell.
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**FIGURE 11** | Summary of the current clinical efficacy evaluations of and existing problems with autologous and allogeneic stem cells for the treatment of intrauterine adhesions (IUAs). The results show that from the perspective of endometrial thickness improvements and pregnancy rates, autologous stem cell treatment is superior to allogeneic stem cell treatment, but both have drawbacks. Therefore, whether exosomes with lower immunogenicity can be used for the treatment of IUA in the future, to solve the problems of extraction difficulty, small numbers, and immune rejection, remains to be studied. Exosomes are extracellular vesicles released when MVBs fuse with the cell membrane or originate from cell membrane processes. Microbubbles germinate from the plasma membrane. Researchers have pointed out that exosomes mainly function through angiopoietins, such as VEGF and HGF; ncRNAs, including miR30b, miR125a; and various signaling pathways, like Wnt4/b-catenin, NF-KB, VEGF/VEGFR, and PI3K/AKT, which can promote angiogenesis. However, whether exosomes can improve IUA through these means and the mechanism through which exosomes improve IUA have still not been experimentally proven, which requires further study.
pregnancy rates after IUA treatment. However, owing to results based on the increase in endometrial thickness, we conducted a subgroup analysis and compared the autologous group with the allogeneic group. The results showed that the autologous group had a higher pregnancy rate and increased endometrial thickness. Therefore, based on the efficacy, safety, and ethics, autologous stem cell therapy is considered to be superior to allogeneic stem cells for IUA treatment. However, whether there is a difference in immune rejection between autologous and allogeneic groups remains to be further proven. We will thus continue to study the immune rejection of autologous and allogeneic stem cells in the treatment of IUA. In addition, we will further explore the role of Exos, and their effect on autologous and allogeneic stem cells in the treatment of IUA.
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