Fluorescent Bis(guanidine) Copper Complexes as Precursors for Hydroxylation Catalysis †
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Abstract: Bis(guanidine) copper complexes are known for their ability to activate dioxygen. Unfortunately, until now, no bis(guanidine) copper-dioxygen adduct has been able to transfer oxygen to substrates. Using an aromatic backbone, fluorescence properties can be added to the copper(I) complex which renders them useful for later reaction monitoring. The novel bis(guanidine) ligand DMEG 2 tol stabilizes copper(I) and copper(II) complexes (characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction, IR spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry) and, after oxygen activation, bis(µ-oxido) dicopper(III) complexes which have been characterized by low-temperature UV/Vis and Raman spectroscopy. These bis(guanidine) stabilized bis(µ-oxido) complexes are able to mediate tyrosinase-like hydroxylation activity as first examples of bis(guanidine) stabilized complexes. The experimental study is accompanied by density functional theory calculations which highlight the special role of the different guanidine donors.
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1. Introduction

A major topic in bioinorganic chemistry is the analysis, reproduction, and, ultimately, the improvement of the active sites of natural catalytic systems. This study focuses on dioxygen-activating copper complexes, mimicking the enzyme tyrosinase. Relatively, dioxygen itself is an inert molecule and usually has to be activated by selected enzymes [1]. Such enzymes like tyrosinase are biological oxygenation catalysts [2]. Tyrosinase is a type III copper enzyme, that catalyzes the ortho-hydroxylation of the amino acid tyrosine via the corresponding catechol as an intermediate to the subsequent quinone upon further oxidation [3,4]. These reactions are also responsible for the formation of melanin and other pigments in human or animal skin. Tyrosinase consists of a dicopper center that forms a peroxido complex when it reacts with dioxygen [5–10].

Over recent years, many groups have developed model systems to mimic the efficient tyrosinase enzyme [11–16]. Instead of histidines, other N-donor groups are utilized, such as imidazoles [17–20], imines [4,21–24], and pyrazoles [4,19,25,26]. Even though in protein systems only the peroxido species
have been observed by X-ray crystallography and Raman spectroscopy [5–7], Tolman and coworkers discovered the bis(µ-oxido) species of a dicopper complex as another stable intermediate [27]. Both species are discussed for their reactivity since they exist in many cases in an equilibrium at low temperatures [28,29]. Stack et al. used simple imidazole ligands and observed a peroxide complex formed by self-assembly at −125 °C [17]. They later found a bis(µ-oxido) dicopper(III) intermediate prior to a hydroxylation reaction, which was supported by DFT (density functional theory) calculations [20].

If a stable peroxido or bis(µ-oxido) species is obtained, usually the next step involves testing the activity of the reaction system in the hydroxylation of substrates. Workgroups with major advancements in synthesizing catalytically active model systems are Tuczek et al. [4,18,23,30,31], Lumb and Ottenwaelder et al. [32–34], Casella et al. [10,35,36], and Herres-Pawlis et al. [19,25,37,38]. Tuczek et al. developed tyrosinase model systems based on pyridine-imines [18,39]. They also investigated the differences in activity of mono- and binucleating ligands [30] and introduced the most efficient catalyst for phenolic conversion in terms of the turnover number (TON) [21]. The aerobic oxygenation of phenolic substrates with subsequent reactivity patterns mediated by the simple DBED/Cu system was studied by Lumb and Ottenwaelder et al. [32,33]. Using more complicated benzimidazole ligands, the group of Casella introduced a monophenolase reaction with a dinuclear peroxido dicopper complex [40]. They successfully investigated the hydroxylation of ortho-phenolates and phenols [36,41]. The group of Herres-Pawlis et al. presented efficient hydroxylation catalysis with bis(pyrazolyl)methane copper complexes [19,25]. Recently a room-temperature stable bis(pyrazolyl) (pyridinyl)methane copper complex, proved to be the fastest catalyst in such an environment [38].

Many metal complexes with different applications are derived from guanidines since the guanidine unit is one of the strongest N-donors [42]. As mentioned earlier, Cu₂O₂ species are mostly stable as peroxido or bis(µ-oxido) species, in parallel, tris(guanidines) have been reported to form stable (superoxido)copper(II) species [43–45]. Dinuclear tetrakis(guanidine)copper complexes are active catalysts in redox reactions [46–48].

Guanidines are also found in other applications apart from oxygen activation. For the atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), hybrid (guanidine)copper complexes presented a strong activity [49]. Copper guanidinoquinoline complexes have been analyzed to progress the understanding of the entatic state [50,51]. A very versatile class of guanidine ligands is bis(guanidine) ligands [52]. They consist of the two building blocks of a chloroformamidinium chloride (Vilsmeier salt) and a diamine (as a spacer unit). Herres-Pawlis et al. showed that through combining different guanidine and spacer units, a whole library of successful synthesized bis(guanidines) is possible [53]. Copper(I) complexes of bis(guanidines) form bis(µ-oxido)copper(III) structures with dioxygen at low temperatures [9,52,54]. The Cu₂O₂ intermediate species are usually not very stable after oxygenation and decay to a bis(µ-hydroxido)copper(II) and a bis(µ-alkoxido)-bridged dinuclear copper(II) complex in equal amounts, as shown for [Cu(btmgp)I] [55]. An exceptionally stable Cu₂O₂ complex was stabilized by a sterically demanding bis(guanidine) ligand. This species was stable for days and analyzed by Raman and extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy [52]. Although aromatic bis(guanidines) were mentioned only once as being able to form Cu₂O₂ species [56], they were already reported to be active for the ATRP of styrene [57].

An ongoing research topic for copper complexes is the analysis of bubbly flows [58]. Under the background of bubbly flows, it is essential to have a reaction system that reacts in a multiphase environment and can be analyzed with different in-situ spectroscopy methods. The bis(guanidine) copper complex [Cu(btmgp)I] system is suitable for analyses with UV/Vis spectroscopy [59] and displays a strong color change [60]. Therefore, it has been reported in several studies [55,61,62]. It was also analyzed by fluorescence spectroscopy but showed only a weak signal [63]. The copper complexes of the ligand TMG₂tol improved the fluorescence by introducing an aromatic unit into the spacer of the bis(guanidine) [56]. The aim of this work is to introduce an aromatic bis(guanidine) copper complex that forms Cu₂O₂ species, is active for hydroxylation reactions, and is highly fluorescent.
2. Results

The ligand bis(dimethylethleneguanidino)toluene (DMEG$_2$tol) was synthesized by the standard procedure described by Herres-Pawlis et al., based on the studies of Kantlehner et al. [62,64]. The dimethylethylenechloroformamidinium chloride (Vilsmeier salt) was added to the aromatic amine (2-amino-benzyl)amine and after two deprotonation steps the bis(guanidine) ligand was formed, see Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the DMEG$_2$tol ligand in acetonitrile.

The copper salts CuI, CuBr, CuCl, CuCl$_2$, [Cu(MeCN)$_4$](OTf), and [Cu(MeCN)$_4$](PF$_6$) were added to the ligand DMEG$_2$tol (L1) to obtain the copper complexes [Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)I] (C1), [Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)Br] (C2), [Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)Cl] (C3), [Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)Cl$_2$] (C4), [Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)]$_2$(OTf)$_2$ (C5), and [Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)]$_2$(PF$_6$)$_2$ (C6), respectively, see Scheme 2.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the copper complexes C1–C4, by adding the copper salts CuI (for C1), CuBr (for C2), CuCl (for C3), and CuCl$_2$ (for C4) to the ligand L1. Adding the copper salts [Cu(MeCN)$_4$](OTf) and [Cu(MeCN)$_4$](PF$_6$) with weakly coordinating anion results in the formation of complexes C5 and C6.

Single crystals for complexes C1–C5 were obtained by slow-cooling of acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran solutions which were heated up beforehand or by gas-phase diffusion of diethyl ether. All complexes were analyzed by IR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. The molecular structures of the complexes in the solid state were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The crystals obtained for complex C6 were not suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction.
2.1. Molecular Structures in the Solid State

The molecular structures in the solid state of the complexes C1–C4 and the cationic unit of C5 are shown in Figure 1. The complexes C1–C4 consist of the DMEG$_2$tol ligand that coordinates the central copper atom with the corresponding halide.

Figure 1. Molecular structures of the complexes C1–C4 and the cationic unit of complex C5 in the solid state (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

In the structure of complex C5, a dinuclear dicationic, see Figure 1, unit is present where two ligands each coordinate with their guanidine moieties’ two copper atoms. Selected values of the molecular structures, determined by X-ray diffraction are listed in Table 1, for the crystallographic data see Table 3.

Table 1. Selected bond length [Å], angles [°] and key parameters of complexes C1–C5.

|          | C1         | C2         | C3         | C4         | C5         |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Cu(1)–N$_{\text{gua,aliph}}$ | 2.058(5)   | 2.025(2)   | 2.013(3)   | 1.963(2)   | 1.887(2)   |
| Cu(2)–N$_{\text{gua,aliph}}$ | -          | -          | -          | -          | 1.878(2)   |
| Cu(1)–N$_{\text{gua,arom}}$ | 1.992(4)   | 2.082(2)   | 2.097(3)   | 1.989(2)   | 1.893(2)   |
| Cu(2)–N$_{\text{gua,arom}}$ | -          | -          | -          | -          | 1.885(2)   |
| Cu–X$^a$ | 2.476(1)   | 2.327(1)   | 2.200(1)   | 2.260(1)/2.251(1) | -  |
| Cu(1) . . . Cu(2) | -          | -          | -          | -          | 2.732(1)   |
| N–Cu(1)–N | 97.5(1)    | 95.4(1)    | 94.8(2)    | 92.6(1)    | 178.1(1)   |
| N–Cu(2)–N | -          | -          | -          | -          | 178.9(1)   |
| $\tau_4$ $^b$ | -          | -          | -          | -          | 0.55       |
| $\varepsilon$ (gua$_{\text{aliph}}$) $^c$ | 0.94       | 0.94       | 0.94       | 0.97       | 0.96       |
| $\varepsilon$ (gua$_{\text{arom}}$) $^c$ | 0.97       | 0.96       | 0.96       | 1.00       | 0.98       |
| Guanidine twist (gua$_{\text{aliph}}$) $^d$ | 16.2       | 17.8       | 17.6       | 16.6       | 16.8       |
| Guanidine twist (gua$_{\text{arom}}$) $^d$ | 12.7       | 12.8       | 12.6       | 6.4        | 12.7       |

$^a$ X = I (C1), Br (C2), Cl (C3), Cl1/Cl2 (C4), OTf (C5). $^b$ $\tau_4 = \frac{360 - (\alpha + \beta)}{4}$. $^c$ $\varepsilon = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}}$ with $a = d(C_{\text{gua}}=N_{\text{gua}})$ and $b = c = d(C_{\text{gua}} = N_{\text{gua}})$. $^d$ The angles between the planes represented by $N_{\text{gua}}$, $N_{\text{amine}}$, $N_{\text{amine}}$, and $C_{\text{gua}}$, $C_{\text{alk}}$, $C_{\text{alk}}$. Average value of the four twist angles for each guanidine moiety of both ligands in the molecular structure.
The dimethylethyleneguanidine (DMEG) moieties are bridged through a toluene, resulting in an asymmetric structure. To compare the influence of the adjacency to the aromatic part, the guanidine unit binding via the methylene group and the guanidine unit binding directly to the aromatic group are identified as \(N_{gua,aliph}\) and \(N_{gua,arom}\), respectively.

All Cu–N bond lengths of the complexes C1–C4 are in a range of 1.963(2) to 2.097(3) Å with the Cu(I)–N_{gua,arom} bond being generally longer than the Cu(I)–N_{gua,aliph} bond, with the exception of C1, where it is the opposite. This exception of the iodine complex has been observed earlier for the bis(tetramethylguanidino)toluene (TMG\textsubscript{2}tol) copper complex [56]. The largest bite angle of the metal center and the two N-donor atoms is 97.5(1)° for complex C1, and the lowest is 92.6(1)° for the complex C4, which has two halides at the metal center, explaining the lower bite angle. The trend of a decreasing bite angle from C1 to C3 is in agreement with the decreasing copper halide Cu–X bond lengths of smaller halides. To determine the coordination environment of the four-fold coordinated complex C4, the \(\tau_4\) factor was used [65]. A square-planar coordination environment has a \(\tau_4\) value of 0, whereas an ideal tetrahedral coordination environment is represented by a value of 1. The \(\tau_4\) value of 0.55 of complex C4 proposes a distorted tetrahedral geometry.

Complex C5, which consists of two ligands and copper atoms and the weakly coordinating triflate, crystallized in the space group Fdd2 and is the highest symmetry structure of all the complexes presented here. The four Cu–N_{gua} bond lengths are the shortest with a range of 1.887(2) to 1.893(2) Å due to the small coordination number. The bite angles of the two N-donor atoms and the metal centers are 178.1(1)° and 178.9(1)° indicating a close to linear coordination. The intermetallic interaction in the molecular structure of C5 is weak, as the Cu···Cu distance is only 2.732(1) Å, being shorter than Cu···Cu distances of the dinuclear cations of bis(guanidine) copper structures studied previously [62].

The twisting angle of the guanidine units can be compared by determining the angles between the plane of the three N atoms \(N_{gua}, N_{amine}, N_{amine}\) and the three carbon atoms \(C_{gua}, C_{alk}, C_{alk}\) within the guanidine unit. The guanidine twist of the aliphatic guanidines for all complexes C1–C5 is between 16.6 and 17.8°. The guanidines close to the aromatic units have a smaller twisting angle of 12.6 to 12.8°, with the exception of the Cu(II) complex C4, which has an angle of 6.4°. The free rotation of the guanidine units closer to the aromatic unit is smaller, resulting in a smaller twisting angle. The additional chloride in complex C4 increases the hindering effect and may cause the exceptionally smaller value.

2.2. Oxygen Activation

Bis(guanidine) copper(I) model complexes are known to form bis(\(\mu\)-oxido)copper(III) complexes when reacting with dioxygen [55,67]. Scheme 3 shows the proposed structure of the bis(\(\mu\)-oxido) copper(III) species formed by the Cu(I) complexes C1, C5, and C6.
Scheme 3. Activation of dioxygen with the copper(I) complexes C1, C5, and C6 to form the bis(μ-oxido)copper(III) complexes O1, O5, and O6.

The Cu(III) species of a bis(guanidine) is usually only stable at low temperatures [56, 67] with an exception which is stable at ambient temperatures for several days [52]. The DMEG2tol bis(μ-oxido)copper(III) complexes are only stable long enough to be analyzed by UV/Vis and Raman spectroscopy at temperatures below 200 K. The Cu(III) species then decays. The formation and decay processes have been analyzed for Cu(I) complexes of the simple bis(guanidine) ligand btmgp [55].

The UV/Vis spectra of the complexes O1, O5, and O6 were observed when adding the complexes C1, C5, and C6 to an O2-saturated solution of dichloromethane (DCM)/acetonitrile (MeCN) (9:1, v/v) at 195 K. The UV/Vis spectra, measured after the maximum absorption was achieved (after 4 min), are represented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. UV/Vis spectra of the bis(μ-oxido)dicopper(III) complexes O1, O5, and O6, measured in DCM/MeCN (9:1, v/v) at 195 K.

The UV/Vis spectrum shows two peaks at 275 and 365 nm (for O1) or 375 nm (for O5 and O6). These signals seem to be shifted from the expected characteristic peaks at around 300 and 400 nm, which are assigned to the π→π* → Cu2O2 and the oxygen σ* → Cu2O2 ligand-to-metal charge transfer bands (LMCT), respectively [9, 68]. Comparing the extinction coefficients found for the 375 nm band of O5 and O6 of 7000 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ to similar complexes of an earlier work with the TMG2tol ligand of 12,000 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹, suggests that the oxido species does not fully form at 195 K because it already interferes with the decay of the oxido species. The formation of the bis(μ-oxido)dicopper(III) species O5 and O6 was followed by UV/Vis spectroscopy. The highly intense band at 275 nm gains its enormous intensity by π→π* transitions of the aromatic system.

The UV/Vis spectrum of the bis(μ-oxido)dicopper(III) species reaches its maximum 4 min after initiation.
2.3. Raman Spectroscopy

The oxygenated complex C5 was analyzed by Raman spectroscopy to confirm the formation of the bis(µ-oxido)dicopper(III) species O5 since the characteristic 400 nm feature is shifted to 375 nm. The measurements were carried out with the dioxygen isotopes ^16O_2 and ^18O_2 in propionitrile at 188 K, see Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Raman spectrum of the precursor C5 and the bis(µ-oxido) complex O5, measured in propionitrile at 188 K. * represent solvent peaks.](image)

In the Raman spectra a shift of the Cu_2O_2 signal from 603 cm^{-1} to 574 cm^{-1} was observed upon isotope substitution. This difference of 29 cm^{-1}, originating from oxygen-based vibration, is assigned to the breathing core of Cu_2O_2 cores [69]. Raman measurements with dioxygen isotope substitution of the bis(guanidine)copper complexes [Cu(TMG_2tol)I] [56] and [Cu(btmgp)I] [70] showed a difference in the Cu_2O_2 peaks of 28 cm^{-1} and 25 cm^{-1}, respectively. The Raman shifts of the dioxygen isotopes ^16O_2 and ^18O_2 are in agreement with the structure of complex O5 and are in the same range of those of other bis(µ-oxido)dicopper(III) species, reported earlier [29].

2.4. Density Functional Theory

For a detailed analysis of the electronic and vibrational characteristics of the desired systems, we performed density functional theory (DFT) [69,71,72] and natural population analysis (NBO) [73–75] calculations.

In previous publications, we recommended the use of the hybrid meta GGA functional TPSSh [76] together with the triple-valence basis set def2-TZVP [77] and empirical dispersion correction with Becke-Johnson damping [78,79] for the calculation of bioinorganic copper complex systems [55,62,80,81].

Table 2 summarizes the characteristic bond lengths of the optimized complexes, the NBO charges, and the charge-transfer energies. The optimized structures are in accordance with the molecular structures in the solid state: The Cu–N_{gua,aliph} bond lengths are longer than the Cu–N_{gua,arom} bond lengths for the complexes C1–C3 whereas in the cation of C5 these bond lengths are equal. This observation is in accordance with the experimental results. The NBO analysis yields NBO charges and the charge-transfer energies of the complexes. NBO charges do not describe real charges but, rather, the relative electronic situation. In all Cu(I) complexes, the guanidine N-donors possess a similar charge in the range of −0.67 to −0.71 e^− units and also the copper atoms (0.72 to 0.77e^−). In the Cu(II) complex the N_{gua,aliph} donor is slightly more negative than the N_{gua,arom} donor and the copper atom possesses a NBO charge of 1.14 e^− units. The Cu–N_{gua,arom} donor coordinates with shorter bond lengths and this is in accordance with the charge-transfer energies: Shorter bond lengths correspond to larger charge-transfer energies.
Table 2. Selected bond length [Å] of the optimized geometry, NBO charges (in e⁻ units) and charge-transfer energies (in kcal/mol) of the complexes C1–C4, the cation of C5 and the [Cu₂O₂(DMEG₂tol)₂]²⁺ cation (TPSSh/def2-TZVP, GD3BJ).

| Bond lengths          | C1  | C2  | C3  | C4  | Cation of C5 | [Cu₂O₂(DMEG₂tol)₂]²⁺ |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|----------------------|
| Cu–N_{gua,aliph}     | 2.038 | 2.055 | 2.043 | 1.900 | 1.901         | 1.896                |
| Cu–N_{gua,arom}      | 2.008 | 1.996 | 2.010 | 2.011 | 1.909         | 1.916                |
| Cu–X [a]             | 2.481 | 2.315 | 2.182 | 2.133/2.157 |               |                      |
| Cu…Cu                |     |     |     |     | 2.595         | 2.734                |
| Cu–O                 |     |     |     |     | 1.804 / 1.800 |                      |
| NBO charges          |     |     |     |     |               |                      |
| Cu                    | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 1.14 | 0.77          | 1.36                 |
| N_{gua,aliph}        | −0.71 | −0.69 | −0.67 | −0.61 | −0.71         | −0.64                |
| N_{gua,arom}         | −0.71 | −0.68 | −0.67 | −0.53 | −0.74         | −0.71                |
| O                     |     |     |     |     | −0.96         |                      |
| CT energies          |     |     |     |     |               |                      |
| N_{gua,aliph} → Cu   | 15.2 | 19.4 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 48.7          | cov. [b]             |
| N_{gua,arom} → Cu    | 28.5 | 32.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 49.7          | cov. [b]             |

[a] X = I (C1), Br (C2), Cl (C3), Cl1/Cl2 (C4). [b] In these complexes, natural population analysis (NBO) identified the Cu–N bonds as covalent bonds and so no charge-transfer energies could be obtained.

In the Raman experiment we obtain the breathing mode at 603 cm⁻¹ and, after isotope exchange, at 574 cm⁻¹. DFT predicts the breathing mode to be at 629 cm⁻¹, shifting to 604 cm⁻¹ upon isotope exchange. The isotope shift hence amounts to 25 cm⁻¹ which is in good agreement with the experimental value of 29 cm⁻¹.

Figure 4 depicts the optimized structure of the bis(µ-oxido) species and the characteristic bond lengths of the core. In comparison to the analogous [Cu₂O₂(TMГ₂tol)₂]²⁺ oxo complex cation, the Cu–N bond lengths are significantly shortened (Cu–N bond lengths in [Cu₂O₂(TMГ₂tol)₂]²⁺ cation: 1.902 and 1.938 Å) and the Cu…Cu distance is slightly shortened (Cu…Cu in [Cu₂O₂(TMГ₂tol)₂]²⁺ cation: 2.746 Å) [56]. The NBO analysis shows that the N_{gua,arom} donor (−0.71 e⁻ units) is slightly more basic than the N_{gua,aliph} donor (−0.64 e⁻ units). This trend has already been observed in the Cu₂O₂ species with the TMГ₂tol ligand [56]. The NBO charge of the copper ions is 1.36 e⁻ units and is in accordance with the literature [82].

Figure 4. Optimized geometry of the [Cu₂O₂(DMEG₂tol)₂]²⁺ cation (TPSSh/def2-TZVP, GD3BJ, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).
2.5. Catalysis

The presented copper complexes are model complexes for the enzyme tyrosinase, which is an effective oxygenation biocatalyst [3,4]. To test whether the complexes are active for substrate oxygenation, complex O1 was tested in the hydroxylation of 8-hydroxyquinoline, see Scheme 4.

![Scheme 4](image)

Scheme 4. Hydroxylation of 8-hydroxyquinoline to 7,8-quinolinediol and the subsequent oxidation to 7,8-quinolinedione.

The reaction was carried out following a standard protocol [22,23]. First, the precursor O1 was prepared by adding complex C1 to a dioxygen saturated solution of tetrahydrofuran (THF) at 195 K. After the formation of the bis(µ-oxido)dicopper(III) species was confirmed via in-situ UV/Vis spectroscopy, 25 equivalents of 8-hydroxyquinoline and 50 equivalents of triethylamine were added.

After the addition of the precursor, the characteristic peak of 7,8-quinolinedione at 413 nm was observed, as shown in Figure 5. Taking the arguments of the unexpectedly lower extinction coefficient, shown in Section 2.1, into account, we can assume that the bis(µ-oxido) complex has already partly decayed and is only present at 58%, when the maximum extinction was measured. The concentration of the formed product was calculated with the known extinction coefficient of 1000 L mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) at 413 nm for 7,8-quinolinedione [83]. This results in a turnover number of 23 for the conversion of 8-hydroxyquinoline. The timeframe between the last measurement of the formation of O1 and the first measurement after the addition of the substrate is 5.5 s. The process of hydroxylation and subsequent oxidation was extremely fast, as it occurred in less than 5.5 s, even at a temperature of 195 K.

![Figure 5](image)

Figure 5. Formation of the 7,8-quinolinedione at 195 K in tetrahydrofuran (THF).

2.6. Fluorescence Measurements

The complex C1 and two other bis(guanidine) ligands were investigated by fluorescence spectroscopy, see Figure 6. The complexes [Cu(btmgp)]I [62,64] and [Cu(TM2tol)]I [56] have been synthesized and characterized in earlier studies, but, in this study, the fluorescence has been measured with newly prepared complexes for a better comparison.
All measurements have been carried out at 298 K in acetonitrile at an excitation wavelength of 370 nm. The samples have been prepared in an inert atmosphere with closed cuvettes. The maximum fluorescence intensity of \([\text{Cu(TMG}_{2}\text{tol})I]\) at 455 nm is more than tenfold of the one found at 450 nm for \([\text{Cu(btmgp})I]\). This effect is caused by the delocalized \(\pi\)-electrons system of the aromatic unit. This effect is enhanced in complex C1, with DMEG units, compared to the open methyl groups of the TMG units. The measured fluorescence intensity of complex C1 at 475 nm is ten times the measured fluorescence intensity of \([\text{Cu(TMG}_{2}\text{tol})I]\).

3. Discussion

Ligand and complex synthesis are completed straightforward but the hydroxylation activity was completely unexpected since phenolate hydroxylation tests with the related TMG_{2}tol system completely failed \([56]\). A further remarkable feature of the reported DMEG_{2}tol stabilized bis(\(\mu\)-oxido) dicopper(III) complexes is the shifted UV feature at 375 nm. Normally, this should appear at 400 nm whereas the LMCT of the isomeric side-on peroxido dicopper(II) species can be found at 350 nm \([14]\). However, the Raman measurements support the identification of these species as bis(\(\mu\)-oxido) species through the characteristic \(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_2\) breathing mode cores \([69]\). Since, already, at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\), the decay of the species competes with its formation, no detailed kinetics can be reported. The related TMG_{2}tol bis(\(\mu\)-oxido) species is stable at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) for a longer period \([56]\). The most notable feature is the hydroxylation activity for the special substrate 8-hydroxyquinoline. Up to now, only some bis(pyrazolyl)methane stabilized peroxido species are able to hydroxylate this challenging substrate to its corresponding quinone \([19,37,38]\). It must be noted that the hydroxylation velocity seems to be enormous since the reaction is accomplished in a few seconds at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\). Further kinetic analysis was prevented by the limited stability of the \(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_2\) species.

With regard to the desired fluorescence properties, the substitution of TMG against DMEG in the ligand yields a tenfold increase of the fluorescence intensity of the corresponding copper iodide complex, which is useful information for ligand design in general. Moreover, it makes the presented copper(I) complexes valuable for the upcoming studies. Currently, the utilization of the DMEG_{2}tol system for laser-induced fluorescence measurements for the detection of mass transfer reactions is under investigation.

4. Materials and Methods

All reagents were obtained by TCI GmbH (Eschborn, Germany), Sigma-Aldrich GmbH (Taufkirchen, Germany), ABCR GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany), Fisher Chemicals (Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany), and Merck KgaA (Darmstadt, Germany) and used as purchased. Acetonitrile, dichloromethane, and propionitrile were heated under reflux over CaH_{2} and tetrahydrofuran and...
diethyl ether were heated under reflux over sodium. The solvents were then distilled under nitrogen for purification. The solvent was transferred into an inert-gas glovebox for solution preparation. \(N,N'\)-dimethylethylenechloroformamidinium chloride (DMEG-VS) was synthesized as described in the literature [53].

4.1. General Analytic Methods

4.1.1. NMR Spectroscopy

NMR spectra were recorded with a Jeol EX-400, a Bruker (Karlsruhe, Germany) Avance II (400 MHz) or Bruker Avance III (400 MHz) nuclear resonance spectrometer. Measurements were performed in \(\text{CDCl}_3\) and the signals were calibrated to the residual signals of the deuterated solvent (\(\delta_{\text{H}}(\text{CHCl}_3) = 7.26\) ppm).

4.1.2. IR-Spectroscopy

IR spectra as KBr pellets were measured with a Thermo Scientific (Waltham, MS, USA) Nicolet Avatar 380 spectrometer. IR spectra were measured in the range 400–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) at a spectral resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\).

4.1.3. Mass-Spectrometry

ESI mass spectra were obtained with a ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA) LTQ Orbitrap XL. The source voltage was 4.49 kV, and the capillary temperature was 299.54 °C. The tube lens voltage lay between 110 and 130 V.

4.1.4. UV/Vis Spectroscopy

UV/Vis spectroscopy measurements were performed on an Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA) Cary 60 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. The spectra were obtained with a quartz glass immersion probe (Helma, 1 mm) connected via a Cary 50 fibre optic coupler. The reaction took place in a commercial Schlenk measurement cell.

4.1.5. Fluorescence Spectroscopy

The fluorescent emission spectra were recorded on a FL-2500 Fluorescence Spectrophotometer, Hitachi High-Technology Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). A 3 mL quartz cuvette was used as sample cell. The fluorescence intensity was measured with an excitation at 370 nm, an emission range from 220 to 800 nm and a resolution of 1 nm. The spectrophotometer slits for excitation and emission were set at 10 nm.

4.1.6. Elemental Analysis

The elemental analyses were performed with an an Elementar varioEL (Langenselbold, Germany).

4.1.7. X-ray Diffraction Analysis

The single crystal diffraction data for C1–C5 are presented in Table 3. The data for C1–C5 were collected on a Bruker D8 goniometer with APEX CCD detector (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). An Incoatec microsource with Mo-K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å) was used and temperature control was achieved with an Oxford Cryostream 700 (Oxford, UK). Crystals were mounted with grease on glass fibers and data were collected at 100 K in \(\omega\)-scan mode. Data were collected with SMART [84], integrated with SAINT and corrected for absorption by multi-scan methods with SADABS [85]. The structure was solved by direct and conventional Fourier methods and all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with full-matrix least-squares based on \(F^2\) (XPREP [86], SHELXS [87] and ShelXle [88]). Hydrogen atoms were derived from difference Fourier maps and placed at idealized

positions, riding on their parent C atoms, with isotropic displacement parameters \( U_{	ext{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 \) \( U_{	ext{eq}}(\text{C}) \) and \( 1.5 \) \( U_{	ext{eq}}(\text{Cmethyl}) \). All methyl groups were allowed to rotate but not to tip.

In C5 it was not possible to model the disordered solvent molecules (1/2 molecule THF) in an adequate manner, and the dataset was treated with the SQUEEZE routine as implemented in PLATON [89,90].

Full crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary no. CCDC–1858298 for C1, CCDC–1858300 for C3, CCDC–1858301 for C4, and CCDC–1858302 for C5. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: (+44)1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

### Table 3. Crystallographic data and parameters of complexes C1–C5.

| Complex | Empirical formula | Formula mass [g mol\(^{-1}\)] | Crystal size [mm\(^3\)] | Cubic system | Space group | \( a \) [\( \text{Å} \)] | \( \beta \) [\( \text{Å} \)] | \( \gamma \) [\( \text{Å} \)] | \( \alpha \) [\( \text{°} \)] | \( \beta \) [\( \text{°} \)] | \( \gamma \) [\( \text{°} \)] | \( V \) [\( \text{Å}^3 \)] | \( Z \) | \( \rho_{\text{calc.}} \) [\( \text{g cm}^{-3} \)] | \( \mu \) [\( \text{μ} \text{m}^{-1} \)] | \( F(000) \) | \( \kappa l \) range | Number of parameters | \( R_{1} \) [\( l \geq 2\sigma(l) \)] | \( wR_{2} \) (all data) | Goodness-of-fit | Largest diff. peak, hole [e Å\(^{-3}\)] |
|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| C1      | \( \text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{CuN}_{4}\) | 504.88          | 0.23 \( \times 0.23 \times 0.19 \) | orthorhombic | \( Fdd2 \) | 18.055(3)    | 20.599(3)    | 20.530(3)    | 90.000        | 90.000        | 90.000        | 7852(2)      | 16            | 1.708         | 2.698         | 0.7103        | \( +6, +3, \pm 21 \) | 230           | 0.0434        | 0.1043        | 1.095         | 1.304, 1.021 | -1.304, 1.021 |
| C2      | \( \text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{BrCuN}_{4}\) | 547.89          | 0.40 \( \times 0.32 \times 0.30 \) | monoclinic   | \( P2_{1}/c \) | 12.613(2)    | 20.530(3)    | 17.631(3)    | 93.439(2)    | 93.699(3)    | 113.072(3)   | 1895.2(4)    | 1002(2)       | 1.605         | 3.273         | 0.7073        | \( +9, \pm 16, +27 \) | 25339         | 0.0360        | 0.0900        | 1.035         | 0.494, 0.593 | -0.912, 0.494 |
| C3      | \( \text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{CuCl}_{3}\text{CuN}_{4}\) | 413.43          | 0.38 \( \times 0.28 \times 0.14 \) | monoclinic   | \( P2_{1}/c \) | 12.613(2)    | 20.530(3)    | 17.631(3)    | 93.439(2)    | 93.699(3)    | 113.072(3)   | 1871.8(5)    | 1002(2)       | 1.467         | 1.322         | 0.7073        | \( +8, \pm 15, -25 \leq l \leq 24 \) | 21427         | 0.0414        | 0.1059        | 1.035         | 0.524, 0.475 | -0.524, 0.475 |
| C4      | \( \text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{Cu}_{2}\text{CuN}_{4}\) | 448.88          | 0.28 \( \times 0.24 \times 0.19 \) | monoclinic   | \( P2_{1}/n \) | 12.613(2)    | 20.530(3)    | 17.631(3)    | 93.439(2)    | 93.699(3)    | 113.072(3)   | 1871.8(5)    | 1002(2)       | 1.199         | 1.372         | 0.7073        | \( -20, \pm 10, \pm 23 \) | 20515         | 0.0671        | 0.1199        | 1.035         | 0.555, 0.934 | -0.555, 0.934 |
| C5      | \( \text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{Cu}_{2}\text{Cu}_{2}\text{CuN}_{4}\) | 1054.10         | 0.34 \( \times 0.25 \times 0.24 \) | triclinic    | \( P \)       | 14.692(2)    | 17.631(3)    | 17.631(3)    | 93.439(2)    | 93.699(3)    | 113.072(3)   | 2319.2(5)    | 1082(2)       | 2.698         | 3.273         | 0.7103        | \( \pm 18, \pm 19, \pm 19 \) | 2011.8(6)    | 0.0735        | 0.1087        | 1.035         | 0.455, 0.939 | -0.455, 0.939 |

### 4.1.8. Raman Spectroscopy

A Tsunami Ti:Sapphire laser system, model 3950-X1BB (Spectra Physics Lasers Inc., CA, USA) was used for the Raman measurements. The fundamental laser line was frequency doubled to 360 nm with an FHG, model GWU2 23-PS (GWU-Lasertechnik Vertriebsges. mbH, Erfstadt, Germany). The laser beam was widened with a spatial filter and then focused on the cuvette inside the cryostat. The focus spot size is around 20 μm. The scattered light was then collected with the UT-3 Raman spectrometer [91]. All spectra were normalized to 1 s integration time and 1 mW laser power. The background was subtracted, and the resulting spectra were corrected with the spectrometer sensitivity for the respective wavelength regions. The experiments were conducted in a clean room with constant temperature (20.0 ± 0.5 °C) and humidity (45% ± 3%). For the measurements, a custom-made half-height Suprasil glass cuvette (Hellma Analytics, Müllheim, Germany) with 1.7 mL sample volume was used. The complex was prepared in an oxygen- and water-free atmosphere (<0.5 ppm) inside a LABstar glovebox (MBraun, Garching, Germany) with a concentration of 5 mM in 99% propionitrile (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany). The solvent was degassed by repetitive “freeze-pump-thaw” cycles and dried over a 3 Å molecular sieve. A newly designed cryostat for Raman measurements at the UT-3, which uses a Proline RP890 chillier ethanol chiller (Lauda, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) with a Peltier module (TEC4-97-49-17-7-05, Thermonamic, China), was used to cool the sample inside the cuvette to a temperature of around −85 °C before oxygenation was performed [70]. \(^{18}\)O\(_2\) (Campro Scientific, Berlin, Germany) was used for oxygenation.
4.1.9. Computational Details

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed with the program suite Gaussian 16, revision A03 [92]. The geometries of the C1–C4, the cation of C5, and the oxido species, see Figure 4, were optimized using the nonlocal hybrid meta GGA TPSSh functional [76] and the triple-zeta basis set def2-TZVP [77] as implemented in Gaussian on all atoms. Furthermore, empirical dispersion correction was used with Becke-Johnson damping factors (GD3B) [78,79,93]. Frequency calculations did not show imaginary values. NBO calculations for the complexes were accomplished by using the program suite NBO 6.0 [73–75].

4.2. Synthesis and Characterization

4.2.1. Synthesis of the Oxido Complexes O1, O5, and O6

The synthesized copper(I) complex was dissolved in 5 mL distilled acetonitrile (C1 (0.20 mmol, 0.101 g), C5 (0.20 mmol, 0.122 g), or C6 (0.20 mmol, 0.121 g) in the glovebox and transferred into a 500 μm gas-tight Hamilton syringe. A total of 9.5 mL DCM was added to a Schlenk measurement cell and cooled to 195 K. The solvent was saturated with dioxygen by bubbling dry dioxygen gas (O2 99.994%) for approximately 10 min through the solvent against ambient pressure. The copper(I) precursor solution (40.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L) was then injected into the oxygenated solution, resulting in a DCM/MeCN (v/v 9:1) solution with an oxido complex concentration of 1.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L. The formation of the oxido species was followed by UV/Vis spectroscopy.

4.2.2. Catalytic Conversion of 8-Hydroxyquinoline with the Oxido Species

The oxido complex O1 was synthesized as described above. Then, a solution of 25 equivalents of 8-hydroxyquinoline and 50 equivalents of triethylamine per oxido complex in 0.2 mL DCM was added to the solution containing the complex O1 at −78 °C. The whole reaction was monitored by in-situ UV/Vis spectroscopy.

Synthesis of 2,2′-(((Dimethylamino)methylene)amino)benzyl)-1,1,3,3-dimethylethleneguanidine (DMEG2tol) (L1)

![Diagram of DMEG2tol (L1)]

The DMEG Vilsmeier salt (13.52 g, 80.0 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (MeCN) (95 mL). After a mixture of 2-aminobenzylamine (39.9 mmol, 4.87 g) and trimethylamine (8.10 g, 80 mmol, 11 mL) was dissolved in MeCN (50 mL), the solution with the Vilsmeier salt was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 3 h, then a solution of NaOH (3.9 g, 97 mmol) in 15 mL water was added. The solvent and NEt3 were removed under vacuum. Afterward, KOH in water (20 mL, 50 wt %) was added for the complete deprotonation of the guanidine units. The guanidine ligand was extracted with MeCN (6 × 25 mL). The combined organic phase was dried with Na2SO4 and purified with activated carbon. After the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, the product was obtained as a yellow solid (11.07 g, 35.2 mmol, 88%).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, 25 °C): δ = 2.50 (s, 6H, CH3), 2.74 (s, 6H, CH3), 3.10 (s, 4H, CH2), 3.14 (s, 4H, CH2), 4.42 (s, 2H, CH2), 6.73 (dd, 3J = 7.8, 4J = 1.1 Hz, 1H, CHarom), 6.82 (td, 3J = 7.4, 4J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, CHarom), 6.96 (td, 3J = 7.7, 4J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, CHarom), 7.41 (dd, 3J = 7.6, 4J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, CHarom) ppm.

13C[1H] NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3, 25 °C): δ = 35.3 (CH3), 47.1 (CH2), 48.8 (CH2), 120.8 (C-arom), 122.0 (C-arom), 126.0 (C-arom), 126.7 (C-arom), 134.8 (C-arom), 146.9 (C-arom), 154.3 (C-gua), 157.7 (C-gua) ppm.
IR (KBr): 2938 [m, ν(C–H\text{arom})], 2844 [m, ν(C–H\text{aliph})], 1651 [vs, ν(C=N\text{gua})], 1590 (s), 1566 (m), 1548 (m), 1444 (m), 1413 (m), 1390 (m), 1343 (w), 1278 (m), 1118 (w), 1067 (w), 1029 (w), 966 (w), 954 (w), 933 (w), 871 (vw), 777 (m), 744 (m), 724 (m), 710 (m), 647 (w), 605 (w), 571 (w), 438 (w) cm$^{-1}$.

HRMS (ESI$^+$): calcd.: 315.2297, found: 315.2276 (45) \([L1 + H; C_{17}H_{25}N_6]^+\), calcd.: 202.1344, found: 202.1328 (100) \([C_{12}H_16N_3]^+\), calcd.: 187.1110, found: 187.1094 (5) \([C_{11}H_{13}N_3]^+\), calcd.: 161.0952, found: 161.1065 (5) \([C_9H_11N_3]^+\).

Elemental analysis (M = 314.22 g mol$^{-1}$): calcd. for C$_{17}$H$_{26}$N$_6$: C 64.92, H 8.34, N 26.74; found: C 64.32, H 8.43, N 26.42.

[Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)] (C1)

The copper salt CuI (0.571 g, 3.00 mmol) was partly dissolved in acetonitrile (8 mL). A solution of the bis(guanidine) ligand (0.990 g, 3.15 mmol), dissolved in acetonitrile (3 mL), was added. Light yellow crystals were obtained through diffusion of the aprotic solvent diethyl ether (8 mL) after one day (0.855 g, 1.69 mmol, 56%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C): δ = 2.86 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 2.99 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 3.34 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.54 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 4.44 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 6.70 (dd, $J$ = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H, CH$_{\text{arom}}$), 6.76 (td, $J$ = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H, CH$_{\text{arom}}$), 7.03 (dd, $J$ = 7.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H, CH$_{\text{arom}}$), 7.09 (td, $J$ = 7.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H, CH$_{\text{arom}}$) ppm.

$^{13}$C\(^{[1]}\)H NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C): δ = 36.2 (CH$_3$), 38.2 (CH$_3$), 48.3 (CH$_2$), 49.6 (CH$_2$), 119.8 (C$_{\text{arom}}$), 121.3 (C$_{\text{arom}}$), 128.0 (C$_{\text{arom}}$), 129.6 (C$_{\text{arom}}$), 132.0 (C$_{\text{arom}}$), 148.3 (C$_{\text{gua}}$), 162.3 (C$_{\text{gua}}$), 164.4 (C$_{\text{gua}}$) ppm.

IR (KBr): 2873 [m, ν(C–H\text{aliph})], 2830 [m, ν(C–H\text{aliph})], 1607 (s), 1595 [vs, ν(C = N\text{gua})], 1556 (vs), 1475 (m), 1443 (m), 1413 (m), 1387 (m), 1350 (m), 1286 (m), 1261 (m), 1241 (m), 1155 (w), 1111 (w), 1056 (m), 1043 (m), 1019 (m), 960 (m), 935 (m), 885 (m), 809 (m), 771 (m), 752 (m), 720 (m), 648 (m), 615 (m), 569 (m), 453 (m) cm$^{-1}$.

HRMS (ESI$^+$): calcd.: 377.1515, found: 377.1501 (10) \([C_{17}H_{26}^{63}CuN_6]^+\), calcd.: 315.2297, found: 315.2284 (8) \([C_{17}H_{25}N_6]^+\), calcd.: 202.1344, found: 202.1334 (100) \([C_{12}H_{16}N_3]^+\), calcd.: 187.1110, found: 187.1100 (8) \([C_{11}H_{13}N_3]^+\).

Elemental analysis (M = 504.67 g mol$^{-1}$): calcd. for C$_{17}$H$_{26}$N$_6$Cu: C 40.42, H 5.19, N 16.65; found: C 40.39, H 4.90, N 16.52.

[Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)Br] (C2)

A mixture of CuBr (0.035 g, 0.24 mmol) and the ligand L1 (0.101 g, 0.32 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (3 mL) was heated to approximately 70 °C. Colorless needles were obtained after the solution had cooled down (0.065 g, 0.14 mmol, 58%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C): δ = 2.75 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 3.01 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 3.39 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.41 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 4.45 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 6.70–6.77 (m, 1H, CH$_{\text{arom}}$), 6.80 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H, CH$_{\text{arom}}$), 7.04–7.13 (m, 2H, CH$_2$, CH$_{\text{arom}}$) ppm.
$^{13}$C [$^1$H] NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C): $\delta = 35.7$ (CH$_3$), 37.2 (CH$_3$), 48.6 (CH$_2$), 49.6 (CH$_2$), 120.7 (C$_{arom}$), 122.4 (C$_{arom}$), 128.0 (C$_{arom}$), 128.8 (C$_{arom}$), 148.1 (C$_{gua}$) ppm. The signal of the second C$_{gua}$ is too weak due to the low solubility of the complex.

IR (KBr): 2926 [w, $\nu$(C–H$_{arom}$)], 2875 [w, $\nu$(C–H$_{ariph}$)], 2836 [w, $\nu$(C–H$_{ariph}$)], 1615 (m), 1585 [s, $\nu$(C–N$_{gua}$)], 1567 (m), 1515 (m), 1479 (m), 1450 (m), 1384 (vs), 1343 (m), 1277 (m), 1202 (m), 1108 (m), 1043 (m), 961 (w), 748 (m) cm$^{-1}$.

HRMS (ESI$^+$): calcd.: 377.1515, found: 377.1556 (75) [C$_{17}$H$_{26}$CuN$_6$]$^+$, calcd.: 315.2297, found: 315.2329 (25) [C$_{17}$H$_{27}$N$_6$]$^+$, calcd.: 202.1344, found: 202.1363 (100) [C$_{12}$H$_{16}$N$_3$]$^+$, calcd.: 186.1031, found: 186.1050 (65) [C$_{11}$H$_{12}$N$_3$]$^+$.

Elemental analysis (M = 457.67 g mol$^{-1}$): calcd. for C$_{17}$H$_{26}$N$_6$CuBr: C 44.57, H 5.73, N 18.36; found: C 44.76, H 5.66, N 18.45.

[Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)Cl] (C3)

A total of 0.025 g CuCl (0.25 mmol) and the DMEG$_2$tol ligand (0.115, 0.37 mmol) were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (4 mL) and heated to approximately 70 °C. Diethyl ether (1 mL) was layered on this THF solution so it could slowly diffuse into the solution. Colorless needles were obtained after one week (0.051 g, 0.12 mmol, 48%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C): $\delta = 2.72$ (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 3.02 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 3.37 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.41 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 4.46 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 6.77 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, CH$_{arom}$), 6.83 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H, CH$_{arom}$), 7.06–7.12 (m, 1H, CH$_{arom}$), 7.14 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, CH$_{arom}$) ppm.

Due to the very low solubility of the complex, a $^{13}$C NMR spectrum could not be obtained for the complex C3.

IR (KBr): 2879 [w, $\nu$(C–H$_{ariph}$)], 2836 [w, $\nu$(C–H$_{ariph}$)], 1586 [s, $\nu$(C–N$_{gua}$)], 1480 (m), 1451 (m), 1384 (vs), 1343 (m), 1278 (m), 1116 (m), 1042 (m), 961 (m), 887 (m), 797 (m), 747 (m), 616 (m) cm$^{-1}$.

HRMS (ESI$^+$): calcd.: 377.1515, found: 377.1545 (45) [C$_{17}$H$_{26}$CuN$_6$]$^+$, calcd.: 315.2297, found: 315.2320 (20) [C$_{17}$H$_{27}$N$_6$]$^+$, calcd.: 202.1344, found: 202.1357 (100) [C$_{12}$H$_{16}$N$_3$]$^+$, calcd.: 186.1031, found: 186.1050 (27) [C$_{11}$H$_{12}$N$_3$]$^+$.

Elemental analysis (M = 413.22 g mol$^{-1}$): calcd. for C$_{17}$H$_{26}$N$_6$CuCl: C 49.37, H 6.34, N 20.33; found: C 49.32, H 6.18, N 20.45.

[Cu(DMEG$_2$tol)Cl$_2$] (C4)

Copper dichloride (0.067 g, 0.50 mmol) was added to acetonitrile (0.8 mL). The DMEG$_2$tol ligand (0.174 g, 0.55 mmol) was dissolved in THF (2.5 mL) and added to the copper dichloride solution. Dark red crystals were obtained through diffusion of the aprotic solvent diethyl ether (6 mL) after three days (0.107 g, 0.24 mmol, 48%).
After one day, light yellow crystals were obtained (0.171 g, 0.14 mmol, 56%).

IR (KBr): 2939 [m, ν(C−H\text{arom})], 2880 [m, ν(C−H\text{aliph})], 1637 [vs, ν(C=\text{N\text{gua}})], 1614 (vs), 1591 (vs), 1541 (s), 1490 (s), 1446 (m), 1411 (m), 1371 (s), 1286 (s), 1237 (m), 1121 (m), 1074 (m), 1032 (m), 969 (m), 936 (w), 888 (m), 842 (w), 809 (m), 778 (m), 738 (m), 696 (m), 620 (m), 571 (m), 474 (m) cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI\(^{+}\)): calcd.: 412.1204, found: 412.1187 (1) \([\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{26}\text{CuN}_6\text{Cl}]^{+}\), calcd.: 315.2297, found: 315.2285 (48) \([\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_5 = \text{L1} + 1]^{+}\), calcd.: 202.1344, found: 202.1335 (100) \([\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_5]^{+}\), calcd.: 187.1110, found: 187.1100 (4) \([\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_3]^{+}\).

Elemental analysis (M = 448.67 g mol\(^{-1}\)): calcd. for \(\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_6\text{CuCl}_2\): C 45.47, H 5.84, N 18.73; found: C 45.48, H 5.77, N 18.65.

\[
\text{[Cu(DMEG}_{2\text{tol}})]}_2(\text{OTf})_2 \text{ (C5)}
\]

\[
\text{[Cu(MeCN)}_4\text{]}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3) \text{ (0.094 g, 0.25 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (0.25 mL) and the solution was heated to approximately 70 °C. Ligand L1 (0.088 g, 0.28 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (0.75 mL) and added to the hot copper triflate solution. Afterwards tetrahydrofuran (3.5 mL) and diethyl ether (3.5 mL) were slowly added consecutively to the surface of the solution. After one day, light yellow crystals were obtained (0.171 g, 0.14 mmol, 56%).}
\]

\[\text{IR (KBr): 2945 [m, ν(C−H\text{arom})], 2878 [m, ν(C−H\text{aliph})], 1632 [vs, ν(C=\text{N\text{gua}})], 1583 (vs), 1561 (s), 1484 (s), 1448 (s), 1419 (m), 1402 (m), 1348 (m), 1263 (vs), 1224 (s), 1156 (vs), 1067 (w), 1030 (vs), 969 (w), 938 (vw), 883 (vw), 833 (vw), 780 (vw), 753 (m), 722 (vw), 637 (vs), 571 (m), 516 (m), 471 (m) cm\(^{-1}\)).}
\]

HRMS (ESI\(^{+}\)): calcd.: 377.1515, found: 377.1509 (100) \([\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{26}\text{CuN}_6]^{+}\), calcd.: 313.2141, found: 313.2131 (12) \([\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_5]^{+}\), calcd.: 202.1344, found: 202.1335 (9) \([\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_5]^{+}\).

Elemental analysis (M = 1053.44 g mol\(^{-1}\)): calcd. for \(\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{52}\text{N}_{12}\text{Cu}_2\text{F}_6\text{S}_2\text{O}_6\): C 41.01, H 4.97, N 15.95; found: C 42.45, H 5.38, N 16.09.

\[
\text{[Cu(DMEG}_{2\text{tol}})]}_2(\text{PF}_6)_2 \text{ (C6)}
\]

\[
\text{[Cu(MeCN)}_4\text{]}(\text{PF}_6) \text{ (0.093 g, 0.25 mmol) was added to acetonitrile (4 mL), and the solution was heated to approximately 70 °C. Then, a mixture of the DMEG}_{2\text{tol}} ligand (0.085 g, 0.27 mmol) in 2 mL acetonitrile was added to the hot [Cu(MeCN)}_4\text{]}(\text{PF}_6) \text{ solution. Tetrahydrofuran (4 mL) and diethyl ether were added consecutively to the surface of the solution. After one day, light yellow crystals were obtained (0.141 g, 0.15 mmol, 57%).}
\]
Supplementary Materials: The following materials are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2304-6740/6/4/114/s1, Figure S1–S9: NMR Spectra of the Complexes C1–C3, C5–C6. CIF and check CIF files of C1–C5.
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