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Abstract
To evaluate the perceptions of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) about self-assessment of their disease activity after watching an educational video. Consecutive patients with RA consulting their rheumatologist in six Middle Eastern Countries were invited to watch an educational video developed to teach self-assessment using Disease Activity Score (DAS-28). Then, a rheumatology nurse conducted a semi-structured interview and collected the patients' perception about the understanding of the video, feasibility, capability and confidence in performing self-assessment using Likert-type items. The degree of confidence with self-assessment was correlated to the patients' socio-demographic characteristics. Sixty-two patients were included and had an overall positive reaction to the video. It was easy to understand in 96% and helped facilitate self-assessment in 92% of cases. Self-assessment was considered totally feasible in 74%, and 66% of patients were capable of always doing it, with a confidence of 60% (always) to 34% (sometimes). Confidence was associated with a higher educational level. Nevertheless, 77% of patients felt that the self-assessment would not fully replace the physician’s visit. Open-ended questions identified five themes: better understanding of the disease, easier communication with the rheumatologist, less consultation time, difficulty with the scoring part and importance of practice. Patients with RA felt that self-assessment was feasible and helpful in understanding RA, improving communication with the rheumatologist and shortening the visit time.
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Introduction
Treating rheumatoid arthritis (RA) to target and using composite measures to assess disease activity regularly is the current standard of care in rheumatology [1, 2] and has shown a clear benefit in improving the disease prognosis over the past decade [3–5].

Moreover, empowering patients through education and involving them in disease management through a shared decision process are recommended by major rheumatology associations [6, 7]. Those strategies improve patients’ perception of disease activity in-between visits and enhance treatment adherence. They also allow the rheumatologist to make better informed therapeutic decisions while saving precious time at the consultation.
Many tools for measuring disease activity, such as the Disease Activity Score (DAS-28), are now accessible to patients in various formats such as e-health platforms, web applications, and smartwatches [8–13]. Moreover, using videos to educate patients about using these tools has several advantages over brochures and written text. Education through videos has shown efficacy in modifying patient behavior, mainly when using a standardized patient enacting this behavior. It is also an effective method to convey complicated medical concepts in a simple and accessible manner to a layperson [14]. Furthermore, it is time-efficient, round-the-clock accessible, and allows the learners to proceed at their own pace [15]. It may be of particular interest in times where social distancing is recommended, such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

Our study team developed and validated an educational video in Arabic to teach patients with RA self-assessment of their disease activity using DAS-28 (termed auto-DAS) [16]. The video was based on two previously published videos, both tested with real-life patients, in English [17, 18] and French [19, 20]. It resulted from the collaboration of a team of twenty-three rheumatology experts from the Middle Eastern Arab Countries (MEAC) (study team) with a patient with RA, a medical student, a rheumatology nurse, and a professional technical team. The video featured a real patient as a model and was culturally adapted to the MEAC. It had a seven minutes duration, was easily transferable on the patient’s smartphone, and usable without the need for an internet connection [21].

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate the perceptions of patients with RA about self-assessment of their disease activity using DAS-28 after watching the educational video. The secondary objectives were to collect the patient’s feedback about understanding the video and to identify patients’ characteristics associated with their confidence in self-assessment.

Methods

Study material

The video was created for Arab-speaking patients with RA and was previously validated by the study team and a sample of patients with RA for content [16, 22]. It consisted of a short introductory note about the assessment of disease activity in RA, followed by a demonstration of the evaluation of each of the 28 joints for pain and swelling, performed by a real patient with RA, and finally an explanation about the final score calculation and the categorization into the disease activity levels.

The questionnaire inquiring about the patients’ perceptions about self-assessment after watching the video was designed by 9 rheumatologists among the authors, based on their clinical practice. Validation of the content was made by a panel of 23 rheumatologists from the Arab countries through e-mail discussions. In addition, validation of the format was made by a study nurse and a medical student to ensure that the language was clear and that the questions were not ambiguous [23]. The final semi-structured interview consisted of a unified pre-defined scenario comprising a combination of qualitative open-ended items and Likert-type items with responses from 1 (strongly disagree) to 3 (strongly agree) (Supplementary Table 1).

Patient recruitment

We invited consecutive adult patients with RA in six MEAC (Bahrain, Egypt, Jordan, Lebanon, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates (UAE)) to participate in the study during a routine rheumatology visit. The patients who did not understand the Arabic language or could not read the English subtitles were excluded from the study. In addition, patients with a newly made diagnosis (less than 3 months before the study inclusion) were excluded.

Study procedure

During the routine clinic visit, the rheumatologist explained to the patients the purpose of the study. The patients were then interviewed by the rheumatology nurse and watched the video together on an electronic tablet just before starting the interview. The patients were first asked if the video was easy to understand (binary response yes/no). Then, the semi-structured interview was conducted with the nurse. The interviewer reassured the patient that the data will be entirely anonymized and that their identity will not be revealed during the analysis and the publication of the results. The data was recorded on paper then transferred to an Excel sheet.

In parallel, the demographic and disease data were collected, including date of birth, gender, marital status (married/other), educational level (illiterate/elementary school/high school/university), profession (white collar/blue collar/retired/at home), weight, height, duration of RA symptoms, date of RA diagnosis, seropositivity, radiographic damage, current RA treatment (conventional synthetic Disease-Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs (DMARDs)/biologic DMARDs, targeted synthetic DMARDs/corticosteroids), and general comorbidities (smoking, hypertension, osteoporosis, dyslipidemia, diabetes, and fibromyalgia).

Statistical analysis

Four authors (NZ, LK, NS, TA) reviewed the transcribed responses to the open-ended questions, analyzed the
responses and classified them into homogeneous themes. Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion.

The demographic and clinical data and the Likert-type items were presented descriptively. The question about the confidence in self-assessment was transformed in binary responses (“always” versus “sometimes” + “never”) and correlated with the patients’ and disease’s characteristics (bivariate analysis using chi-square or Fisher’s test for categorical variables, and \( T \) test for numerical variables; multivariable analysis using binary logistic regression). The statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS V22.0.

Sample size calculation

Since the study is qualitative, there’s no consensus in the literature about the ideal sample size. Some authors recommend including 12–30 patients if the patients are homogeneous [24, 25]. Since we included patients from different Arab countries, particularly from three global regions (Levant, Gulf and North Africa), we multiplied the above approximate number by three and reached 62 patients.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Central Ethics Committee (Saint-Joseph University, Beirut (Tfem 2018/24) and by the Ethics Committees of all the participating centers. All the patients signed an informed consent form.

Results

All the patients who were invited to participate in the study agreed to be interviewed. Sixty-two patients were included (20 from Lebanon, 13 from Bahrain, 10 from Saudi Arabia, 9 from Jordan, 6 from Egypt and 4 from the UAE). Their mean age (SD) was 51.2 years (13.4), 86% were female, and 40% had a university education (Table 1). The mean disease duration (SD) was 8.4 years (6.4), and the average time since diagnosis was 1.9 years (6.4). The interview lasted around 15 min, in addition to the 7 min duration of the video.

Overall, the reaction to the video was positive (Table 2). The video was found easy to understand in 96% of cases. In addition, 92% stated that the video facilitated self-assessment. Performing their self-assessment was found totally feasible in 74%, and partially feasible in 23% of the patients. Ninety-five percent of the patients felt they were totally (66%) or partially (29%) capable of doing their self-assessment.

The degree of confidence in performing self-assessment was 60% (always confident) and 34% (sometimes confident). Almost half of the patients (47%) said that they had higher confidence in performing self-assessment during a disease flare, rather than during a remission phase (15%). In this regard, they reported that, during a disease flare, they would be absolutely sure that the joint count is correct, regarding both tender and swollen joints. However, during remission, they would not be certain if the joints were absolutely clear of swelling, and they were afraid of underestimating the swollen joint count.

Around half of the patients (45%) said they would always continue to do their self-assessment in the long term, and a third (31%) stated that they would perform it some of the time.

Finally, there was a clear trend in expressing that self-assessment would not replace the physician’s visit, whether fully (77%) or partially (18%).

The confidence in performing self-assessment was associated with marital status (married patients felt more confident, \( p = 0.018 \)), education (university level patients felt more confident, \( p = 0.024 \)), and corticosteroid therapy (patient without corticosteroid therapy felt more confident, \( p = 0.005 \)) in bivariate analysis. Only education remained associated in multivariable analysis (\( p < 0.001 \)).

The analysis of the open-ended questions identified five general themes (Fig. 1), of whom three were positive perceptions: watching the video and the idea of self-assessment were associated with a better understanding of the disease (education), an easier communication with the rheumatologist, and less consultation time. The fourth theme was expressing the difficulty with the calculation segment of the video, as the patients found that the scoring part of

| Table 1 | Patients’ characteristics |
|---------|--------------------------|
| Patients’ characteristics | 62 patients |
| Mean age | 51.2 yo (SD 13.4) |
| Female % | 86% |
| University education % | 40% |
| Rheumatoid arthritis mean duration | 8.4 years (SD 6.4) |
| Average time to diagnosis | 1.9 years (SD 6.4) |
| Positive rheumatoid factor | 67% |
| Positive anti-citrullinated proteins antibodies | 46% |
| Bone erosions | 48% |
| Biologic treatment | 32% |
| Targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs | 11% |
| Corticosteroids | 24% |
| Common comorbidities | |
| Smoking | 19% |
| Hypertension | 23% |
| Osteoporosis | 13% |
| Dyslipidemia | 11% |
| Diabetes | 11% |
| Fibromyalgia | 6% |
auto-DAS was challenging. However, the fifth theme was the importance of practice to overcome the scoring challenge. The themes are presented hereafter with some illustrative quotes. The number of times a quote is mentioned is in parenthesis:

- Better understanding of the disease (education): “I can understand my disease better” (9), “I can assess myself and check the level of my disease” (8), “it will make me aware when my RA is active” (3), “it is particularly useful to new patients at the beginning of the disease” (2), “it gives me a good experience” (1), “I can understand my symptoms better and cope better with my disease” (1)

- Easier communication with the physicians: “I will know when I need to visit my doctor” (4), “it will inform me about the joint I need to tell my doctor about” (3), “I can help the doctor” (1)

| Table 2 RA patients’ responses to the closed questions of the interview | Answers N (%) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| How feasible is it for you to do your own assessment of your disease activity using auto-DAS? | Feasible 46 (74) | Partially feasible 14 (23) | Not feasible 1 (2) |
| Do you feel capable of doing your own assessment using auto-DAS? | Always 41 (66) | Partially 18 (29) | Never 2 (3) |
| Do you feel confident in doing your own assessment using auto-DAS? | Always 37 (60) | Sometimes 21 (34) | Never 3 (5) |
| When would you feel more confident in doing your own assessment using auto-DAS? | Remission 9 (15) | Flare 29 (47) | No difference 23 (37) |
| Will you continue to do your own self-assessment using auto-DAS in the long term? | Always 28 (45) | Sometimes 19 (31) | I do not know 11 (18) |
| Do you think that your own evaluation of your disease using auto-DAS can replace the doctor’s visit? | Yes 1 (2) | Partially 11 (18) | No 48 (77) |
| Do you think that the video was helpful in facilitating your self-assessment using auto-DAS? | Yes 57 (92) | Partially 3 (5) | No 0 (0) |

Fig. 1 Thematization of the responses to the open-end questions, with illustrative quotes
• Better time management (less consultation time): “I can spend less time at the doctor’s consultation” (7), “less visit” (2), “less visits mean less cost for me” (1).
• Difficulty with the scoring: “the part about counting the joints was easy, but the part about scoring is difficult to understand” (1), “I found it hard to calculate the auto-DAS” (1), “I can score my DAS with a calculator easier than with a website” (1), “I’m not sure I can do it” (1), “I feel it’s difficult” (1), “I believe that only the doctor can assess my RA” (1), “It’s complicated to use technology” (1), “my wife can help me” (1).
• Importance of practice: “self-assessment needs practice” (34), “I need to gain experience” (3), “I need help” (1), “practicing will make the score easier to perform” (1), “even when challenging in the beginning, self-assessment will get better with practice” (1), “It’s difficult the first time you see it, then it’s easy” (1), “It’s only difficult at the beginning” (1).

The most difficult parts to understand were: data entry and calculation (6%), examining the knees (3%), examining the hands (3%), and checking the swelling in the fingers (2%).

Discussion

Overall, the perceptions of the patients with RA about self-assessment of their disease activity, based on watching the educational video about DAS-28, were positive.

The video was easy to understand by most of the patients and was found helpful in facilitating their self-assessment. Around two-thirds of the patients felt capable of performing their self-assessment and were confident in their performance. Married patients felt more confident, which may be due to counting on their partner’s support in helping them in self-assessment. In addition, higher education was associated with higher confidence, which is an expected finding [26]. Finally, the absence of corticosteroid therapy was associated with higher capability in self-assessment. This may reflect a category of patients with less severe RA, thus a lower need to be examined by the rheumatologist.

Compared to the available English and French videos, our video was shorter (seven minutes compared to around twenty minutes in the other videos), making it easier to watch in full. It was also available on the patient’s smartphone and could be viewed without an internet connection, which facilitates access at any time. Our patients’ perceptions about the auto-DAS video were similar to the evaluation of the English and French videos by patients with RA [18–20]. In fact, both evaluations showed that training patients to carry out their self-assessment with DAS-28 was feasible and acceptable and that most patients adhered to reporting their auto-DAS as per an evaluation after six months in the English study. However, in the French study, whose primary endpoint was measured at three years, adherence to self-assessment decreased over time, indicating a necessity to reinforce the communication about auto-DAS. On the other hand, the English study patients felt that their new ability to use the DAS-28 improved their understanding of the disease in 65% of the cases. In addition, the latter study demonstrated better performance with time, as the correlation between the DAS-28 performed by the patients and by a nurse specialist tended to become more and more similar after the study period of six months. This supports our patient’s statement that their assessment would become better with time and practice. Around half of the patients stated that they would continue to do their self-assessment at home, which is in concordance with the French study, which stated that 55% of the French patients would carry on their self-assessment regularly [19].

As in other educational videos, whether in rheumatology [18–20] or other fields, such as vaccination [27] or cancer health literacy [28], the patients really appreciated the education that is offered to them.

The study identified the areas of difficulty in the video. Although the joint count segment seemed feasible, using an online calculator to compute the final score was challenging. However, most of the patients felt that practicing self-assessment will make the calculation easier to perform.

By encouraging self-assessment of disease activity, some physicians may be concerned about a loss of income created by a decrease in patients’ visits, as they may feel confident that their disease is under control and thus cancel their appointments. However, there was a clear report by our patients that the self-assessment will not totally replace the physician’s visit. Moreover, self-assessment of disease activity, when used with the right patients, is likely to improve disease outcomes and patients’ adherence to the management plan [29–31]. Furthermore, in the setting of a busy practice, the precious time saved from shortened or fewer follow-up visits can provide additional consultation time slots to offer care for more patients in more need to see the rheumatologist, potentially increasing both income and patient satisfaction.

The study has some limitations. Forty percent of our patients had a university-level education, which may not represent all practices across the Arab world, thus limiting the external validity of our results. In addition, some patients found difficulty in self-assessment, hence they would be reluctant to remain performing auto-DAS. We believe that education about self-assessment is not intended for all patients and might be offered to some patients’ categories, such as those with higher levels of education or those who have support at home.
Nevertheless, the study also has major strengths. First, the response rate was high, which can be explained by the invitation coming directly from the rheumatologist during a routine visit. Second, our study was multicenter, covering six MEAC. Third, it identified the areas of difficulty in self-assessment, which may help to emphasize these areas when performing patient education. Fourth, the strengths of the self-assessment through the educational video, as identified by the patients, were a better understanding of the disease, better coping with RA, time-saving, and better communication with the physician. In fact, the Treat to Target recommendations stated that a shared decision process would enable the patient to help the physician making better informed decisions about disease management [1]. Finally, our study provides an essential tool for the application of telemedicine. This is particularly important for countries with low health budgets, for patients who live in remote areas and during periods where social distancing is recommended, such as in the COVID-19 pandemic.

Conclusion

The majority of patients with RA felt that self-assessment, based on the educational video, was feasible and helpful in understanding their disease, improving communication with the rheumatologist and shortening the visit time. Most patients stated that it will not replace the physician’s visit but will help improve coping with the disease and informing the physician before making therapeutic decisions. Our findings need to be evaluated in a longitudinal prospective study, to assess adherence to self-assessment and impact on disease prognosis.
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