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A. WCoRD Algorithm

The detailed implementation of the proposed Wasserstein Contrastive Representation Distillation (WCoRD) method is summarized in Algorithm 1.

\textbf{Algorithm 1} The proposed WCoRD Algorithm.
1: \textbf{Input}: A mini-batch of data samples \(\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n\).
2: Extract features \(h^T\) and \(h^S\) from the teacher and student networks, respectively.
3: Construct a memory buffer \(B\) to store previous computed features.
4: Global contrastive knowledge transfer:
5: Max. the GCKT loss in Eqn. (11) over \(\theta^S\) and \(\phi\).
6: Local contrastive knowledge transfer:
7: Min. the LCKT loss in Eqn. (13) over \(\theta^S\).
8: Min. the task-specific loss over \(\theta^S\).

B. Baseline Methods and Model Architectures

B.1. Baseline Methods

We compare WCoRD with a number of baseline distillation methods, detailed below.

- Fitnets: Hints for thin deep nets [11];
- Knowledge Distillation (KD) [5];
- Attention Transfer (AT) [19];
- Like what you like: Knowledge distillation via neuron selectivity transfer (NST) [6];
- A gift from knowledge distillation: fast optimization, network minimization and transfer learning (FSP) [18];
- Learning deep representations with probabilistic knowledge transfer (PKT) [9];
- Paraphrasing complex network: network compression via factor transfer (FT) [7];
- Similarity-preserving knowledge distillation (SP) [17];
- Correlation congruence (CC) [10];
- Variational information distillation for knowledge transfer (VID) [1];
- Relational knowledge distillation (RKD) [8];
- Knowledge transfer via distillation of activation boundaries formed by hidden neurons (AB) [4];
- Contrastive representation distillation (CRD) [16] via NCE [2].

Note that the hyper-parameter setup for these baseline methods follows the setup in CRD [16].

B.2. Model Architectures

In experiments, we utilize the following model architectures.

- Wide Residual Network (WRN) [20]: \(\text{WRN-}d-w\) represents wide ResNet with depth \(d\) and width factor \(w\).
- resnet [3]: We use ResNet-\(d\) to represent CIFAR-style resnet with 3 groups of basic blocks, each with 16, 32, and 64 channels, respectively. In our experiments, resnet8x4 and resnet32x4 indicate a 4 times wider network (namely, with 64, 128, and 256 channels for each of the blocks).
- ResNet [3]: \(\text{ResNet-}d\) represents ImageNet-style ResNet with bottleneck blocks and more channels.
- MobileNetV2 [12]: In our experiments, we use a width multiplier of 0.5.
- vgg [13]: The vgg networks used in our experiments are adapted from their original ImageNet counterpart.
- ShuffleNetV1 [21], ShuffleNetV2 [15]: ShuffleNets are proposed for efficient training and we adapt them to input of size 32x32.
- InceptionNet-v3 [14] is used for the teacher network in the privileged distillation experiment.
WCoRD. We fixed the LCKT module by choosing without KD. Our method achieves better performance.

C. Additional Results

In Table 1, we report additional results of the baseline distillation methods when combined with KD, and the standard deviation of the results of both CRD and WCoRD, with or without KD. Our method achieves better performance.

We also tested the importance of the GCKT module in WCoRD. We fixed the LCKT module by choosing \( \lambda_2 = 0.1 \), and then we adjust \( \lambda_1 \) from 0 to 1.0. Results are summarized in Table 2. Our model is fairly robust towards different choices of \( \lambda_1 \). Also, without the help of the GCKT module, models only with LCKT cannot obtain a very good performance.

| Teacher | CRD | CRD+KD | WCoRD | WCoRD+KD |
|---------|-----|--------|-------|----------|
| Teacher | 75.61 | 75.61 | 72.34 | 76.11 ± 0.09 |
| Student | 73.26 | 71.98 | 69.06 | 75.88 ± 0.07 |

Table 1: Results with standard deviation of both the CRD and WCoRD methods.

| \( \lambda_1 \) | Result |
|-----------------|--------|
| 0               | 79.12  |
| 0.05            | 80.11  |
| 0.07            | 82.15  |
| 0.1             | 83.50  |
| 0.15            | 83.33  |
| 0.2             | 83.78  |
| 0.5             | 84.2   |
| 0.8             | 84.5   |
| 1.0             | 84.3   |

Table 2: AUC (%) of student network ResNet-8x4 with different \( \lambda_1 \) values on the GCKT term.
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