Dual herbal combination of *Withania somnifera* and five Rasayana herbs: A phytochemical, antioxidant, and chemometric profiling
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**ARTICLE INFO**

**A B S T R A C T**

**Background:** Traditional medicine adequately emphasis plant resources for addressing a wide variety of human ailments by utilizing the naturally occurring phytoconstituents; in particular medicinal plants or parts of plants in combination have prodigious antioxidant potentials.

**Objective:** The present study aims to analyze methanolic extract of *W. somnifera* (W) individually, and in dual combination with five Rasayana herbs *P. emblica* - (W:P), *B. monnieri* - (W:B), *T. sinensis* - (W:T), *O. basilicum* - (W:O), *A. racemous* - (W:A) in three dual ratios [4:1, 1:1, and 1:4]. The efficacy of the combinations is assessed based on their chemometric profiling.

**Material and methods:** A total of 15 dual combinatorial methanolic extracts together with *W. somnifera* were evaluated for their preliminary phytochemical profiles, antioxidant potentials using DPPH and FRAP assays. Five dual samples were selected and analyzed for High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) image-based chemometric profiling followed by Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA)-Heatmaps.

**Results:** Qualitative phytochemical analysis of combinatorial extracts exhibited a richness for a variety of phytoconstituents. The antioxidant activity was significantly higher for DPPH IC50 (µg/mL): W = 11.56 ± 3.69; W:P/1:4 = 7.89 ± 1.52; W:O/1:4 = 8.995 ± 2.64 and FRAP (µM FeSO4/g): W = 4.56 ± 0.54; W:P/1:4 = 13.34 ± 0.25; W:O/1:4 = 15.32 ± 1.64. Chemometric data acquisition displayed improved secondary metabolite close cluster combination with W:O/1:4 and W:P/1:4 than *W. somnifera* (W) alone.

**Conclusion:** The dual herbal combinatorial study revealed that the methanolic combinatorial extracts phytoconstituents correlated with an increase in the antioxidant potential and would serve as a promising source for phytomedicine.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Institute of Transdisciplinary Health Sciences and Technology and World Ayurveda Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Plants and plant-derived metabolites are treasured resources for the production of new drugs that possess unique properties for a variety of medicinal purposes. Recently, plant-derived drugs have led to a shift from the universal trend of synthetic medicines to herbal medicines [1]. WHO (World Health Organisation) 2019 monograph refers Traditional medicine (TM)/Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) to both indigenous medicines and Indian Ayurveda that includes herbal medicines in the form of herbs, herbal materials, herbal preparations, and finished herbal products, that contains parts of the plant, plant materials or combinations thereof as active ingredients [2]. Free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) are chemical species produced by chemical reactions and metabolic processes in the cells. Free radicals can initiate oxidation of biomolecules leading to cell injury and can persuade numerous diseases in humans [3]. Naturally, rich antioxidant phytochemicals protect the cells from the harmful effects of free radicals and other oxidants hence serving as preventive molecules [4–6]. Medicinal plants synthesize characteristic active antioxidants in the form of bioactive substances like alkaloids, terpenoids, carbohydrates, tannins, steroids, phenol, and flavonoids which produce definite biological action against certain human ailments [7,8].

*Withania somnifera*, the revered herb of Indian Ayurvedic medicine known as “Rasayana”, nerve tonic acts as a major...
adaptogen among the medicinal plants [9]. The chemical charac-
terization of roots depicts 12 alkaloids, 40 withanolides, sitoido-
sides (VII, VIII, IX, X). Ashwagandhanolide which are reported as
active markers [10–12]. Ashwagandha is used as anthelmintic,
diuretic, astrigent, narcotic, thermogenic, aphrodisiac [13]. Tino-
spora sinensis (Malabar Gulbel) is defined by big deciduous climber
reported to have two new ligan glucosides namely tinosposes A
and tinosposes B from the stems and in combination with other
herbs to heal muscle rigidity, alleviating pain, and as well as
tranquilizing the mind [14]. Traditionally the stem and leaves juice
is used against ulcerated wounds, piles, and chronic rheumatism
[15]. Phyllanthus emblica is known as Indian gooseberry, the fruits
tonic restores the body’s energy and vigor. Amla is highly nutri-
tious and rich in vitamin C, tannins and flavonoids [16–18], alka-
loids like Emblicanin A and B, gallic acid, phyllatine, phyllatidine.
They act against astrigent, dyspepsia, colitis, hemorrhoids, he-
maturia, hepatoprotective, antiaging, gastroprotective [19], and
also acts as an anti-platelet aggregator, vasodilator, and anti-
atherogenic [20]. Bacopa monnieri suitably termed as ‘Medhya
Rasayana’, well known as nootropic [21], this wonder plant is a
brain tonic to increase the memory and reeve in epileptic disorders
and effective against neurological disorders from ancient times
[22] and contain bacoside A & B, brahmin as the main alkaloid,
nicotine, herpestine [23,24]. Ocimum basilicum in French ‘Herbe
royale’ named for its peculiar pleasant smell [25] has a group of 20
monoterpenes, tripterpenes, sesquiterpenes, flavonoids, phenols,
and steroids [26,27] that acts as hepatoprotective, anti-
hyperglycemic [28]. Asparagus racemosus, “queen of herbs” is an
amazing herb which promotes cellular vitality and the roots are
reported as anti-diabetic, galactagogue, a nutritive tonic that con-
tains 10 different steroidal groups like shatavaroside, alkaloids like
Asparagamine A, and some flavonoids [29,30] and traditionally
indicated in ‘Vata’, hypertension, cardiac disorders [31]. Shatavari
improves stress-mediated reproductive health complications as
well as prevent ageing, longevity, impart immunity and improves
mental functions [32]. Shatavari root tonic is mostly prescribed to
females as a uterine tonic which nourishes and strengthens the
female reproductive system [33,34]. It is evident that the above-
mentioned herbs hold a superior place in the Ayurvedic classics as
“Rasayana” rejuvenator with a wide range of health benefits.
Specific parts of the plants are selected in terms of their potential
availability of phytoconstituent content that acts as cytoprotective,
anticancer, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and immu-
noadjuvant capacity. The traditional herb-based remedy of the
reported plants are ingredients in many formulations and has been
involved in In vitro and In vivo models proving scientific bases for
their pharmacognosy and therapeutic applications. Even though,
several reports and information regarding health benefits of anti-
oxidant are available for the former herbs there is a call for sys-
tematic combinatorial study to improve the plethora of chemical
constituents to enhance the body’s defence against cell mediated
immunity.

The current investigation compares the methanolic extracts of
three (4:1.1, and 1:4) fractional dual herbal combination of roots of
W. somnifera (L) Dunal. - Ashwagandha (W) as a sole entity and
in combination with five diverse most powerful and medically
treasured plants namely fruits of P. emblica - Amla (W:P), leaves of
Bacopa monnieri - Brahmi (W: B), the stem of T. sinensis -Guduchi
(W:T), leaves of O. basilicum -Sweet basil (W:O), roots of A. racemosus
- Shatavari (W:A) to analyze preliminary phyto-
chemical constituents, antioxidant activities, and High-
Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) image-based
chemometric profiling.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents, chemicals, and reference standards

1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,4,6-Tri (2-pyrilyl)-s-
triazine (TPTZ), FeSO₄ 7H₂O, DMSO, FeCl₃, AlCl₃, Benedict’s reagent,
Fehling’s reagent A&B, Analytical grade MeOH solvent was pur-
chased from Sigma—Aldrich, India; catechin (CA), Colchicine, Ole-
anolic acid (OA), Quercetin, Ascorbic acid (AA) were obtained from
Merck, Mumbai, India.

2.2. Collection and authentication of plant materials

The roots of Ashwagandha (Amukkura), Stems of Giloy (Potch-
indil), and roots of Shatavari (Thannirivittu) procured from Siddha
medicinal plants garden (SMPC), Central Council for Research in
Siddha (CCRS), Ministry of AYUSH, Govt. of India, Mettur Dam.
Leaves of Brahmi (Nirbrahmi) and Sweet basil leaves (Thirunee-
trupachai) were collected from CIMH, Kanjikode. Amla fruits
(Nellikai) were collected from a farm site at Coimbatore. All the
plant samples were collected between Aug–Dec 2018. Medicinal
plant species include B. monnieri (LJ)-Wettest. - PLANTAGINACEAE,
W. somnifera (L.) Dunal-SOLANACEAE, O. basilicum L.- LAMIACEAE,
P. emblica L. PHYLLANTHACEAE, A. racemous Wild - ASPAR-
AGACEAE and T. sinensis (Lour.) MERR. - MENSIPERMACEAE. Plants
species were authenticated by the Botanical Survey of India (BSI),
Southern Regional Center, Coimbatore. The voucher number of the
specimens are BSI/ERC/5/23/2019/Tech/3219-3224 (Supplemen-
tary Table S1).

2.3. Preparation of combinatorial methanolic extracts

The selected parts of the plants were shade dried, electrically
blended, powdered, and sieved using clean muslin cloth individu-
ally and stored at room temperature until further analysis. The
powdered W. somnifera (W) (20 g) alone and in dual herbal combi-
ination involving three different ratios 4:1, 1:1, and 1:4 with
P. emblica (W:P), B. monnieri (W:B), T. sinensis (W:T), O. basilicum
(W:O), A. racemosus (W:A) (16:4 g, 10:10 g, 4:16 g) respectively
were taken for further process (Supplementary Table S2).
The samples were successively extracted with 250 mL of methanol in a
Soxhlet apparatus at 60 °C. The course was tracked for an overall
24 h and the filtrate was evaporated using a Rotary thin-film
evaporator and the extracts were stored at 4 °C until further analy-
is. The percentage yield for the methanolic combinatorial extracts
was calculated (Supplementary Table S3).

2.4. Qualitative phytochemical analysis of combinatorial extracts

For the Phytochemical screening, methanolic combinatorial
extracts of 1 mg/mL were dissolved in analytical grade DMSO to
qualitatively analyze the important families of secondary metabo-
lites based on their precipitation and coloring reactions following
standard procedures of Trease and Harborne [35,36]. The extracts
were subjected to qualitative analysis to different chemical tests
for the detection of alkaloids [Dragendorff’s (Potassium bismuth iodide)
test, Mayer’s (Potassiummercuric iodide) test, Wagner’s (lodo potas-
sium iodide) test], flavonoids (1% dil. Ammonia solution test, 1% Aluminum chloride test), tannins (5% Ferric chloride test, 10% Lead
Sub acetate test, 10% Gelatin test), carbohydrates (Molisch test,
Fehling’s test, Benedict’s test, cardiac glycosides (Keller kiliani test,
Legal test), Steroids/Terpenoids (Salkowski test, Liebermann
Burchad test), glycoside test, saponins (Froth test), amino acids
2.5. Determination of the antioxidant activities of combinatorial extracts

2.5.1. 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay

The antiradical activity of the dual herbal combinatorial extracts was evaluated using the free radical DPPH assay with minor modifications in Brand-Williams et al., protocol [37]. For each sample, different concentrations (5–200 µg/mL) were mixed with 1.5 mL of methanol and with freshly prepared 1.5 mL of 6 × 10⁻³ M DPPH in methanol solution. After vigorous shaking, the mixtures were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark and the absorbance value was read at 517 nm against the methanol blank. Ascorbic acid (1 mg/mL) was used as the reference standard. The percentage inhibition for DPPH activity was calculated using the following formula:

\[ \text{Inhibition (\%)} = \frac{(A_C - A_S)}{A_C} \times 100. \]

where AC is the absorbance of the control, and AS is the absorbance of the sample.

2.5.2. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) assay

The Ferric reducing antioxidant power of the combinatorial extracts was estimated according to the modified method of Benzie and Strain [38]. A volume of 1.5 mL of the combinatorial extracts (100 µg/mL) was mixed with 1.5 mL freshly prepared FRAP reagent containing 300 mM acetate buffer at pH 3.6 (3.1 g CH₃COONa in 16 mL glacial acetic acid), 10 mM TPTZ solution in 40 mM HCl and 20 mM FeCl₃·6H₂O solution (10:1:1, v/v/v). Further, the reaction mixture was incubated in a water bath for 30 min at 37 °C and the absorbance was measured at 593 nm. The Ferric reducing power of the combinatorial extracts was analyzed relating to the standard calibration curve for Ascorbic acid and FeSO₄ (2–200 µM) and results were expressed in µM Fe²⁺/g (Supplementary Fig. S1). Higher ferric reducing capacity.

2.5.3. Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). The non-linear regression analysis was performed for DPPH assay to calculate the dose–response relation of methanolic dual herbal combinatorial extracts and the Pearson, r two-tailed was evaluated to find out the correlation coefficient and the value p < 0.0001 which was considered to be significant. The FRAP capacity was subjected to a standard nonlinear calibration curve. The statistical and graphical evaluations were performed by GraphPad Prism version 6.0, USA.

2.6. Explorative phytoconstituents data analysis of the combinatorial extract

2.6.1. High-performance thin-layer liquid chromatography (HPTLC) fingerprint processing

Densitometric HPTLC analysis was performed for alkaloids (Colchicine), flavonoids (Quercetin), phenol (Catechin), terpenoids (Oleanolic acid) for development of the characteristic fingerprint profile. The methanolic extracts were dissolved with HPTLC grade methanol and a concentration of 10 µg of the sample and 10 µg standard solutions were loaded using a Hamilton syringe to form a 6.0 mm band length on 10 × 10 cm TLC plate pre-coated with Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (E. Merck, Mumbai, India) in a semiautomatic CAMAG LINOMAT 5 instrument. Linear rising progression was done using 20 × 10 cm CAMAG Twin tank with their respective mobile phases solvent mixtures for former mentioned (v/v/v/v): Ethyl acetate: MeOH: water (10:1.35:1); MeOH: GAA: Formic acid: Water (3:0.9:0.9:0.5); Toluene: Ethyl acetate: MeOH: Formic acid (6:6:1:0.1); Toluene: ethyl acetate: MeOH: Acetone (14:4:1:1) up to 70.0 mm for 20 min at room temperature. The plates were oven-dried at 60 °C for 5 min and post derivatization agents were sprayed as formerly mentioned: Anisaldehyde sulphuric acid; 1% Ethanolic AlCl₃; 5% Alcoholic FeCl₂; anisaldehyde sulphuric acid reagents respectively and oven-dried at 120 °C for 20 min. The images were documented using CAMAG Visualizer at UV 254 nm, 366 nm, and visible light. The Spectro densitometric analysis was done by CAMAG TLC scanner 3 linked with WinCATS software.

2.6.2. Fingerprint image analysis using chemometric techniques

Chemometric techniques are used to explore the chemical profile of alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, and phenolic compounds present in the combinatorial extracts according to the process described by Ristivojević et al., [39]. The image of the HPTLC plate was subsequently handled by the Image J program, inbuilt FIJI version 1.52v (NIH, Wisconsin, USA) public image processing program by java platform [40,41]. The image is split into three filter channels: primary colors red, green, and blue filter channels were deionized, baseline drift removed and rectangular selection tool used to outline the image. Finally, the line profile plots were achieved and the plots were combined to draw a single-channel 2D graph, intensity (x-axis) by pixel distance (y-axis) with distance along the fixed-line. The images were combined alongside with their plot profiles with R² values as independent variables for each object considered.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was employed to reduce the dimensionality of data hyperspace and Hierarchical Cluster analysis (HCA) and Heatmaps for sample clustering based on their chemical fingerprint variabilities. The data matrix was constructed with Microsoft Excel and PCA was performed using the JMP 15.1.0 statistical discovery software (SAS Institute Inc., NC, USA). The Agglomerative hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) and heat maps were performed by NCSS 2020 20.0.1 (Utah, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Qualitative phytochemical analysis of the methanolic combinatorial extract

Preliminary phytochemical analysis of the dual herbal combination of methanolic extracts are summarized in (Table 1). W. somnifera (W) shows a high presence for carbohydrates, cardiac glycosides, amino acids, organic acid, coumarins whereas it possesses a moderate presence for alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids, and saponins. Combinatorial methanolic extracts of W:B/1:1 and W:O/1:4 showed a high presence for alkaloids, amino acids but a low level of representation in the other combinations. Tannins and phenol were remarkably high for all W:P samples, W:B/1:1 showed high for tannins while moderate presence was seen in W:O/1:4 samples. Flavonoids were high for all 1:1 samples and moderate presence was shown in other combinations. Cardiac glycosides, terpenoids, saponins, proteins were present strong for W:O/1:4 samples. W:T/1:4 showed a high presence for phenol constituents. The combinatorial extract W:A/4:1 showed a high presence for flavonoids and coumarins. Anthraquinone glycosides were absent for all of the samples.
3.2. Antioxidant assay determination for methanolic combinatorial extracts

3.2.1. DPPH radical scavenging activity

The antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts obtained from *W. somnifera* (W) and combinatorial extracts along with the standard ascorbic acid are visualized in Fig. 1a-d. The low IC₅₀ value indicates maximum scavenging activity for W:P/1:4 (7.89 μg/mL) and W:O/1:4 (8.99 μg/mL) and W:A/4:1 (11.01 μg/mL) in comparison with *W. somnifera* (W) (11.56 μg/mL) and standard Ascorbic acid (15.68 μg/mL). The IC₅₀ value indicating moderate scavenging activity was noticed for W:T/1:4 (30.27 μg/mL) and W:B/1:1 (99.87 μg/mL) respectively. According to their fractional combination for each antioxidant concentration tested the reaction kinetics were plotted and represented in Fig. 1. The Pearson correlation coefficient showed a good correlation between ascorbic acid standard and the combinatorial extracts. Out of all the extracts, significant correlation (p < 0.0001) was exhibited for [W:P/1:4] R² = 0.9152; [W:O/1:4] R² = 0.8480 and [W] R² = 0.7517 respectively (Fig. 1d).

3.2.2. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) assay

The FRAP potential of methanolic extracts of *W. somnifera* (W) and combinatorial extracts in comparison with standard ascorbic acid are visualized in Fig. 2. The results are expressed in the FeSO₄ equivalent calibration curve R² = 0.9839, and Ascorbic acid R² = 0.9638 (Supplementary Fig. S1). The outcomes exhibited a greater rate of ferric reducing capacity for all the *P. emblica* combinatorial W:P samples and especially high for the W:P/1:4 (138.34 μM FeE/g) sample followed by a moderate rate for W:O/1:4 (153.2 μM FeE/g) sample. Ascorbic acid showed the highest ferric reducing antioxidant ability 163.48 μM FeE/g while *W. somnifera* (W) showed low quantity to reduce Fe³⁺ ions with 4.56 μM FeE/g respectively (Supplementary Table S2).

3.3. HPTLC – chemometric analysis of selected combinatorial extracts

HPTLC analysis for the selected combinatorial extracts (W: P/1:4; W:O/1:4, W:B/1:1, W:A/4:1, W:T/1:4) demonstrated a promising antioxidant potential within their combination along with *W. somnifera* (W) with reference to their respective standards for secondary metabolites like alkaloids (Colchicine), flavonoids (Quercetin), phenol (Catechin) and terpenoids (Oleic acid) visualized at UV 366 nm (Fig. 3-7a). The HPTLC image J software processed are depicted in Fig. 3-7b [Reference standard - similarly interpreted for samples (Supplementary Figs. S3–S6)] to generate Red (R), Green (G), and Blue (B) filter channel line profile plots for each sample chromatograms in track order to perform full chemometric analysis by refining their selectivity for the spots that are equivalent to their fluorescence color. The best filter channel was selected from each of the secondary metabolites based on their observed bands in a display for alkaloids (red), flavonoids (blue), phenol (blue), and terpenoids (red) to combine and compare the line profile plots of the samples.

The explorative study revealed that the first two Principal Components (PCs) of all sources of variability (score plot and loading plot of data matrix) showed easier viewing of differences between the methanolic combinatorial extracts, *W. somnifera* (W), and phytoconstituent standards. PC’s three dimensional (3D) graphical representation of the coordinates shows an optimal conception of the distribution, relative to 95% confidence ellipses as represented in Fig. 3-7d.

### Table 1
Phytochemical analysis of methanolic extracts of combinatorial samples.

| S. No | Phyto constituents Analysed | W | WP | WB | WT | WO | WA |
|-------|---------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|
|       |                           | WP1 | WP2 | WP3 | WT1 | WT2 | WT3 |
| 1.    | Alkaloid test              | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 1a.   | Dragendorff                | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 1b.   | Mayer’s test               | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1c.   | Wagner’s test              | +  | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 2.    | Tannins test               | +  | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 2a.   | FeCl₃ test                 | +  | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 2b.   | Lead sub acetate           | -- | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 2c.   | Gelatin test               | -- | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 3.    | Flavonoids test            | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 3a.   | Ammonia                    | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 3b.   | AlCl₃ test                 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 4.    | Carbohydrate test          | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 4a.   | Molisch test               | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 4b.   | Fehling’s test             | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 4c.   | Benedict’s test            | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 5.    | Cardiac glycoside          | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 5a.   | Keller-killiani            | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 5b.   | Legal test                 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 6.    | Steroids/Terpenes          | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 6a.   | Salkowski                  | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 6b.   | Iaibemman Burch            | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 7.    | Glycoside test             | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 8.    | Anthraquinone              | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 9.    | Saponins Frothing         | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 10.   | Amino Nonhydren            | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 11.   | Protein Biuret             | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 12.   | Phenol Ellagic test        | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 13.   | Organic test               | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 14.   | Inorganic test             | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 15.   | Coumarin                   | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |

+++ High, ++ Moderate, + low, -- Absent; the experiments were conducted in triplicates, and classification was based on the intensity of color and amount of precipitate formed. *W. somnifera* (W) along with combination [4:1:1], [1:1:2], [1:4:3] of *P. emblica* W:P (WP), *B. monnieri* W:B (WB), *T. sinensis* W:T (WT), *O. basilicum* W:O (WO), and *A. racemosa* W:A (WA).
HPTLC chromatogram mean pixel intensity and mean values were calculated for each zone of all samples and standards, alkaloids (7 samples x 306 variables), flavonoids (7 samples x 347 variables), phenol (7 samples x 477 variables), and terpenoids (7 samples x 440 variables) are used as the dataset for chemometric analysis. The mutual projection of factor scores for the most important component (PC1) followed by PC2 represented up to 78.1% (PC1) and 13.8% (PC2) for alkaloid, 70.2% (PC1) and 13.2% (PC2) for phenol, 71.8% (PC1) and 13.2% (PC2) for terpenoids respectively.

The corresponding loading plots are displayed according to each component variables Rf value that contributed to the highest variances among the samples. The line profile plots of Rf values constituted in Fig. 3c is 0.06, 0.43, 0.75, 1.0, 1.19 for alkaloid shaw et the most important component (PC1) followed by PC2 represented up to 78.1% (PC1) and 13.8% (PC2) for alkaloid, 70.2% (PC1) and 13.2% (PC2) for phenol, 71.8% (PC1) and 13.2% (PC2) for terpenoids respectively.

Similarly, Rf values portrayed in Fig. 4c is 0.05, 0.22, 0.48, 0.80, 1.34 for flavonoids revealed greater influence for W:O/1:4 combinatorial extracts, Rf 0.48 standard quercetin content was meager for W. somnifera (W) in comparison with other samples. The formatted loading matrix for PC1 of the pixel intensities was in the order W:O/1:4 > W:B/1:1 > W:T/1:4 > W:P/1:4 > W:A/4:1 > W:O/1:4 > STD and PC2 represented their negative and low variability impact are shown in (Supplementary Table S5).

For phenolic components, the Fig. 5c describes Rf 0.03, 0.35, 0.72, 0.97, 1.40 values displayed high intensities for all the combinatorial extracts but specifically distinguishing Rf 0.97 for W:O/1:4 sample from other extracts. Standard catechin represents PC1 with the negative value among the other combinatorial extracts. The formatted loading plot for PC1 for phenol variabilities represented in the hierarchical order that differed less in their intensities mean values W:B/1:1 > W:T/1:4 > W:P/1:4 > W:A/1:4 > W:O/1:4 > STD and PC2 represented high for the standard are shown in (Supplementary Table S7).
The chromatogram of terpenoids within Fig. 6c detailed Rf peaks 0.05, 0.32, 0.47, 0.68, 0.77, 1.36 exposed samples W:O/1:4 followed by W:T/1:4 then W:B/1:1 and Rf 0.05 showed lower negative PC2 for W:P/1:4 samples and standard Oleanolic acid Rf 0.47 was lower for sample W. somnifera (W). The formatted loading plot for terpenoids PC1 displayed in the hierarchical order W:B/1:1 > W:T/1:4 > W:A/1:4 > STD > W:O/1:4 > W:T/1:4 > W:P/1:4 > W and PC2 was represented high for W. somnifera (W) for its low impacts of intensity are shown in (Supplementary Table S8). The PCA datasets of squared cosines of variables (normalized covariance interaction via cosines) for the secondary metabolites includes the differences of combinatorial extracts are compared and expressed in consecutive three PCs through the bar graph shown in (Supplementary Fig. S5-S6 and Table S5-S8).

An agglomerative hierarchical cluster algorithm (HCA)-Heatmaps was achieved in addition to PCA to cluster similar objects (secondary metabolites) more effortlessly with the samples and to further elucidate PCA results. The best results were obtained by implying group average and Euclidean distance to compare the hierarchical cluster analysis by cophenetic correlation for the variables (Fig. 7). The Cophenetic Correlation Coefficient for sample variables are CPCC = 0.9829 and for phytoconstituents are CPCC = 0.9886 by comparing their dendrograms. It is obvious from the heatmap result that W:P/1:4 combinatorial sample shows close clusters with W:O/1:4 distance value (2.397), W:O/1:4 (2.867), W:A/4:1 (3.403), W (4.544) explaining their clustering pattern for the phytoconstituents. They were followed by W:T/1:4 (6.698) and STD (12.04) with distant clustering for secondary metabolites. The phytoconstituents phenol and flavonoids displayed close distance value around (2.689) whereas alkaloids (5.234) and terpenoids (6.295) where clustered distantly are shown in (Supplementary Tables S9 and S10).

4. Discussion

From the results, it is inferred that the methanolic extracts of the roots of W. somnifera (W) individually and in three fractions of dual combinations [4:1 (1), 1:1 (2), 1:4 (3)] with fruits of P. emblica (W:P), leaves of B. monnieri (W:B), the stem of T. sinensis (W:T), leaves of O. basilicum (W:O) and roots of A. racemosus (W:A) expressed different levels of antioxidant phytoconstituents within their combinations.

The action of a single herb does not usually meet the requirements for therapy in alleviating the severity of disease and typically, in Phytotherapy, preparations of the herbal combination shall provide a multi-targeted holistic therapeutic regime. Thus, the combination of herbal preparations shall provide synergistic biological effects for medicinal needs than mono herbal preparations. Most of the ayurvedic preparations take into consideration, not just the disease condition but also in instilling a generalized health benefit more preventive in nature and hence dual and multiple combinations are preferred. Furthermore, several combinations are more administered according to age, gender, genetics, and environmental factors during the therapy.

The identification of phytochemicals at all stages of extraction are equally important in the study of medicinal plants [42]. Methanol was identified as the most effective solvent for the extraction of phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids, saponins on the selected medicinal plants and their respective parts from the previous studies conducted [43–47] and its efficiency in the extraction of the phytoconstituents.

The criteria as adaptogenic plants were fulfilled by the work carried out by Nirmala et al., on six herbs (Tinospora cordifolia, A. racemosus, Emblica officinalis, W. somnifera, P. longum, and Tinospora chebula) and evaluated against a variety of stressors in
combination with cisplatin and each of the plants’ extracts [48]. Earlier studies on the combination of P. emblica, T. cordifolia, and Ocimum sanctum for treatment of Alzheimer’s disease dementia treatment on normal and memory-impaired rats demonstrating nootropic activity for formulations with combinations [49]. EUMil, a polyherbal formulation made of W. somnifera (L) Dunal, O. sanctum L, A. racemus Wild and E. officinalis Gaertn., is used against chronic stress [50]. The initial studies and substantial work of single formulation based on W. somnifera in comparison with other adaptogenic herbs and polyherbal formulation have been used extensively [51]. Currently, the Ayurvedic intervention for COVID – 19 exposes the rationale for treating asymptomatic individuals with a decoction of the combination of herbs, T. cordifolia, Z. officinale, C. longa, O. sanctum, etc., [52].

Combinatorial bioactive phytocompounds with hydrophilic and lipophilic constituents; carotenoids and flavonoids; carotenoids and phenolic acids; or tocopherols and water-soluble vitamins improve the high antioxidant synergy [53]. Thus, reflecting the adaptogenic herb combination of alkaloid rich W. somnifera with the flavonoids, phenols, saponins rich herbal botanicals. To avoid inappropriate ratios, the dual herbs were combined in their high, equal, and low fractions so the participant compounds increase their capability to scavenge free radicals. Otherwise, it may lead to the formation of hydrogen bonds at active hydroxy groups leading to lower biological effects [54]. Thus, the evaluation of interactions between multiple bioactive compounds has gained interest and popularity [55,56].

Earlier reports of free radical scavenging properties of P. emblica, O. basilicum, B. monnieri, T. sinensis, A. racemous, and W. somnifera divulges as primary antioxidants to protect cells from oxidative stress [16,29,57–61]. The stable free radical DPPH measures the chemical ability of the combinatorial extracts to get reduced by donating hydrogen to the radical [62]. The measured antioxidant activity for the combinatorial extracts compared with W. somnifera (W) is in the following order W:P/1:4 > W:O/1:4 > W:A/4:1 > W > W:T/1:4 > W:B/1:1. Thus, an increase in the phytoconstituents is directly proportional to the concentration of the antioxidant capacity, and decreased effect may be due to some antagonist behavior of the active compounds [63]. The Ferric reducing properties of the combinatorial extracts function by donating hydrogen or electron to Fe (III) [64]. The Ferric reducing potential was distinguishably higher for all P. emblica (W:P) methanolic combinatorial extracts explaining a strong correlation for phenolic compounds and revealing W:P/1:4 sample with increased redox contribution in determining the antioxidant potential [65].

Inclusive assessment with the above findings, HPTLC image-based chemometric analysis was performed for the potential antiradical combinatorial extracts from each of their combinations. The total variance among the phytoconstituents suggests that the digitalized chromatograms of the extracts contributed significantly high towards alkaloids, flavonoids, phenol, and terpenoids in the samples. Furthermore, the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) score plots revealed distinct groups that favor the PC1 axis for the phytoconstituents that make compact clustering of the samples whereas remaining possible variabilities are fed in PC2 with low intensities and negative impact. Through close examination, the methanolic extract of W. somnifera (W) line profile plot explains its low impact on overall secondary metabolites fingerprint in comparison with other dual herbal combinatorial extracts of W:P/1:4 and W:O/1:4 showed high presence for the mentioned secondary metabolites, W:B/1:1 sample had low intensities for alkaloids, W:A/
4:1 showed high values for terpenoids and W:T/1:4 displayed high for phenol explaining the importance of improved phytoconstituents content through herbal combinations. The study revealed the relationship of the Rf values representing maximum peak height for the compound directly representing the intensity of the compound.

Hierarchical Cluster analysis (HCA) on the other hand elucidated the cophenetic matrix of the combinatorial extracts exposed through a double dendrogram grouping pattern to find variation in the bioactive content. The lower phytoconstituent content for the extracts was placed farther in sub-cluster from other extracts. Thus, the clustering pattern for the Phenol group reveals the largest group of phytochemicals that account to have highest antioxidant activity, and flavonoids are the naturally occurring phenolic compounds that possess ideal structural chemistry for free radical scavenging activities. The alkaloids are biosynthesized from phenylalanine and tyrosine leading to a common share of the scavenging activities. The alkaloids are biosynthesized from phenylalanine and tyrosine leading to a common share of the scavenging activities. The alkaloids are biosynthesized from phenylalanine and tyrosine leading to a common share of the scavenging activities. The alkaloids are biosynthesized from phenylalanine and tyrosine leading to a common share of the scavenging activities. The alkaloids are biosynthesized from phenylalanine and tyrosine leading to a common share of the scavenging activities. The alkaloids are biosynthesized from phenylalanine and tyrosine leading to a common share of the scavenging activities. The alkaloids are biosynthesized from phenylalanine and tyrosine leading to a common share of the scavenging activities.

In the present era where ayurvedic preparations are being tried for several therapeutic interventions for a host of diseases and clinical conditions; there is an imminent need for an appropriate and scientific validation of the various combinatorial preparation that has been used since time immemorial. Chemometric profiling shall prove to be a gold standard tool in the analysis of various phytoconstituents that are incorporated in standardized ayurvedic preparations; which will bring to limelight the chemical nature of the preparations. This will further help in devising other downfall stream analysis for validating the combinatorial efficacies of several ayurvedic preparations which are in vogue for the treatment of ailments. For instance, molecular and cell culture-based validation studies can be sophisticated when the chemical identity of the preparation is evident. In these lines, the present study of chemometric profiling shall open up further avenues for an efficient and foolproof characterization method for several such ayurvedic Rasayanas. The AYUSH-64, an ayurvedic polyherbal formulation developed for treating malaria now has serious attention focused on COVID-19 cases with chosen herbs are W. somnifera, G. glabra, T. cordifolia, and F. longum for accelerating the recovery [66]. For such studies, the chemometric profiling shall be an added asset. This present study is an innovative attempt to characterize the phytoconstituents by chemometric profiling. Erstwhile, very few studies were proposed on plants and plant constituents were not directly used in evaluating ayurvedic preparations. Hence, this study will be significant for deciphering the phytoconstituents through a more structured and analytical method. Furthermore, these findings confirm improvement in efficacy based holistic chemical profiling, while providing an approach for investigation of the dual herbal combinatorial methanolic fingerprint. The coherent
The chromatographic chemometric tool gave an intuitive pictorial imaging for precise efficacy assessment of fingerprints of dual herbal extracts.

5. Conclusion

We are presenting an efficient and effective analytical method, the results on the comparison of the dual herbal combinatorial methanolic extracts of *W. somnifera* (W) with five diverse plant species *P. emblica* (W:P), *B. monnieri* (W:B), *T. sinensis* (W:T), *O. basilicum* (W:O), and *A. racemosus* (W:A) exhibiting comparatively better antioxidant activities due to efficient three fractional methanolic extraction of their phytochemicals. The ability to scavenge chain propagating radicals based on their antioxidant capacity possess most bioactive metabolites that support the results of HPTLC image-based chemometric fingerprinting of alkaloids, flavonoids, phenols, and terpenoids. The PCA and HCA-Heatmaps of the HPTLC data set revealed strong intensity and better clustering patterns for W:O/1:4, W:P/1:4, W:B/1:1, W:A/4:1, and W:T/1:4 in comparison with *W. somnifera* (W) based on their chemicals fingerprint. Naturally, the fractional combination revealed greater depth in differentiating the extracts based on their antioxidant effects by involving in their phytoconstituents contribution. Furthermore, our results showed that the methanolic dual herbal combinatorial extract might have good potential for an array of health-promoting antioxidant benefits leading to a curative path with its wide range of therapeutic applications. The present study has set a precedence for use of chemometric profiling for quantitatively deciphering phytoconstituents. This will be useful to
several ayurvedic formulations which shall have a sound scientific background; hence the correlation of the outcomes of treatment procedures can become predictable and more personalized. In the current era of advancement in genomics; there can be an amalgamation of the chemometric profiling with the genetic data providing a wholesome picture of how several ayurvedic preparation/formulations may influence treatment regime to different subjects.
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