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1. Introduction

The experimental characterisations of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (Iijima, 1991) and in particular single-walled CNTs (SWNTs) (Iijima & Ichihashi, 1993) in the early 1990s were landmark moments in 20th century science. The potential uses of these remarkable nanostructures are now becoming realised, as their synthesis is now routinely performed on the industrial scale. The initial successes in this respect were generally experimental techniques that were previously well established in other fields. This is particularly true of the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and arc-discharge processes. The original experimental characterisation of SWNTs was in fact accomplished using nanotubes synthesised with the former method (Iijima & Ichihashi, 1993). The understanding of the way in which CNTs nucleate and grow was therefore synergic with the evolution and refinement of these synthetic methods. Indeed, the original mechanisms of CNT nucleation and growth were conceived from experimental observations. The most prevalent of these today is the vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) mechanism (Saito, 1995). According to this mechanism, SWNT nucleation growth is postulated to consist of three distinct stages. The first of these features a mixed carbon/catalyst vapor phase, from which co-condensation yields liquid catalyst-carbide nanoparticles. Typical catalysts in the growth of SWNTs are traditionally transition metals such as Fe, Ni, Co, Mo, and alloys thereof (see (Journet et al., 1997; Moisala et al., 2003; Harris, 2007), and references therein). The precipitation of atomic carbon from this liquid carbide phase takes place once the carbide phase is saturated with carbon. This precipitation yields the formation of solid phase CNTs. Due to the inherent limits in spatial and temporal resolutions that are furnished by experimental techniques and instrumentation, there inevitably remain questions regarding the VLS mechanism and CNT growth that, for now, cannot be answered from an experimental standpoint. There are several infamous examples in this respect. For instance, the mechanism of so-called ‘catalyst-free’ SWNT nucleation growth remains unknown, following the recent
experimental reports demonstrating the growth of CNTs in the absence of a transition metal catalyst. The factors that govern ‘chirality-controlled’ growth (i.e. growth that produces a specific \((n,m)\) chiral SWNT, as opposed to a broad distribution of \((n,m)\) SWNTs) have also remained elusive to date.

It is in this respect that theoretical models of CNT growth have recently come to the fore by complementing, and in some cases pre-empting, experimental understanding of SWNT nucleation and growth. The most notable theoretical approach in this respect is molecular dynamics (MD). In this work, we will highlight the recent advances made in our understanding of SWNT nucleation and growth mechanisms gained from quantum mechanical MD (QM/MD) simulations. Following a brief review of experimental SWNT synthesis (§1.1) and previous theoretical investigations of SWNT nucleation and growth (§1.2), we will briefly outline the quantum chemical approach used in our simulations (§2).

A discussion of QM/MD simulations of SWNT nucleation on both Fe and Ni catalysts will be presented in §3. SWNT nucleation as the result of gas-phase acetylene, C and \(\text{C}_2\) adsorption, as well as the decomposition of the Fe- and Ni-carbide phases will be considered here. More recent simulations concerning the mechanism of SWNT nucleation on Si-based catalysts, particularly \(\text{SiO}_2\), \(\text{SiC}\) and Si, will then be discussed in §3.4. In §4 we will discuss QM/MD simulations concerning the continued growth of SWNTs on Fe and Ni catalysts. Finally, insights gained from recent QM/MD simulations regarding the issue of chirality-controlled growth will be the subject of §5.

### 1.1 Experimental synthesis of SWNTs

An exhaustive review of experimental techniques of CNT and SWNT synthesis lies beyond the scope of the current work. Instead, we will provide a cursory overview of two relevant experimental techniques pertinent to the simulations presented in this work, viz. the CVD and arc-discharge techniques on transition metal catalysts. We will also briefly summarise recent experimental reports concerning the synthesis of CNTs on ‘non-traditional’ catalysts such as \(\text{SiO}_2\), \(\text{SiC}\) and \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\). For more extensive reviews of this area, we direct the reader to the several books (Dresselhaus et al., 1996; Dresselhaus et al., 2001) and reviews (Teo et al., 2004; Yoshinori, 2004) that are concerned with experimental synthesis.

The CVD process is widely used throughout the areas of material science and solid-state physics. Put simply, it involves a substrate material being deposited and subsequently exposed to a chemical reagent. This reagent subsequently decomposes or reacts on the substrate surface, yielding a desired chemical deposit. In the context of SWNT synthesis, the substrate is traditionally a thin (typically < 100 nm) layer of transition metal nanoparticles, and the reagent is a carbonaceous gas such as methane, acetylene or ethanol. These gaseous reagents are believed to decompose on the catalyst nanoparticle surface, thus providing a source of atomic carbon, before dissolving and diffusing into the metal catalyst. As will be shown in §3, however, there is currently a lack of consensus over exactly how these initial stages of SWNT nucleation occur. The metal catalyst layer itself may be deposited via a number of different techniques. However, SWNT synthesis is only successful with relatively small nanoparticle diameters (ca. 5 nm or less) (Teo et al., 2004). The catalytic species that are typically used for the synthesis, or growth, of SWNTs include first row transition metals such as Fe, Ni, Co and Mo (Journet et al., 1997; Moisala et al., 2003; Harris, 2007). These metals, and alloys thereof, are employed today in the industrial scale CVD synthesis of SWNTs. However, the alkaline earth metals Mg and Ca, as well as Ir and W have also shown catalytic capabilities in the context of CVD SWNT synthesis (Esconjauregui et al., 2009). Most recently, so-called ‘catalyst-free’ synthesis of SWNTs has been reported (see
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(Homma et al., 2009), and references therein). In these cases, SWNTs have been synthesised via CVD in the absence of the metal catalyst layer. It follows then that the cracking/decomposition of the gaseous reagent takes place on the supporting substrate itself. The precise mechanism underpinning this un-catalysed reagent decomposition is as yet not unknown. While a number of such covalent CVD ‘catalysts’ have been reported (including Ge (Takagi et al., 2007), Al₂O₃ (Liu et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010a) and even other nanocarbon structures (Homma et al., 2009)) the majority of experimental investigation in this area has so far focused upon SiO₂ (Bachmatiuk et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2009a; Liu et al., 2009b; Liu et al., 2010b).

In the most general terms, the arc-discharge method involves an electrical discharge between two electrodes through a particular gas (in the process of which this gas is broken down). This method was employed in the synthesis of C₆₀ fullerene (Krätschmer et al., 1990; Heath, 1992), before becoming a popular synthetic method for SWNTs. Indeed, the first experimental characterisation of SWNTs (Iijima & Ichihashi, 1993) employed arc-discharge synthesis. In both fullerene and CNT synthesis, the arc-discharge method involves the electrical discharge between two carbon electrodes (one of which is vaporised), usually in the presence of some inert buffer/carrier gas. In the case of SWNT synthesis however, it is preferable that a metal-doped anode is used, otherwise multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) are formed. Thus, both the carbon and metal vapors may co-condense, forming liquid phase metal carbide particles. In this sense, the arc-discharge technique constitutes a ‘pure’ example of the VLS mechanism of SWNT growth. The most common catalytic metals employed in this arc-discharge SWNT synthesis are the same as those employed in the traditional CVD synthesis of SWNTs (i.e. Fe, Co, Mo etc.) (Journet & Bernier, 1998). There is great variability, however, regarding the yield and diameter distribution and of the synthesised nanotubes with different catalyst metals. The same may be said regarding the pressure and composition of the environmental buffer gas (Journet & Bernier, 1998; Farhat et al., 2001).

1.2 Theoretical investigations of SWNT growth

The first foray of theoretical investigation aimed at understanding CNT nucleation and growth took place in the 1990s (see (Irle et al., 2009), and references therein). Amongst the first of these undertakings was that of Smalley et al., who demonstrated that a single metal atom (Ni or Co) has the ability to prevent the closure of an extended sp²-hybridised carbon structure, simply by ‘scooting’ around the open edge (Thess et al., 1996). Despite the simplicity of this ‘scooter mechanism’, it revealed for the first time this most fundamental property that is now commonly ascribed to the catalytic nanoparticle during SWNT growth. Another early discovery made by Smalley et al. concerned the observation of SWNT growth during the Boudouard reaction (2CO → C + CO₂) on nanoparticle Mo catalysts (Dai et al., 1996). It was in this investigation that the now well-known ‘yarmulke’ mechanism was proposed. This mechanism postulates that a SWNT ‘cap’ fragment exists on the catalyst nanoparticle surface prior to the continued growth of the nanotube, a fact that has since been corroborated independently on a number of occasions (see (Irle et al., 2009), and references therein).

It was not until 2002 that MD simulations were employed successfully in simulating the SWNT nucleation and growth processes. In a series of investigations, Shibuta and co-workers simulated Ni-catalysed SWNT nucleation (Shibuta & Maruyama, 2002; 2003). The roles of both Ni vapor and condensed Ni nanoparticles in the earliest stages of the SWNT nucleation process were therefore established. These theoretical investigations proved
remarkably consistent with contemporary experimental work. Moreover, the previous prediction made by Smalley et al. regarding the catalyst preventing closure of the nascent SWNT was realized in situ. In a somewhat different approach, Bolton and co-workers investigated the relationship between diffusion and precipitation of carbon from Fe nanoparticles, and SWNT nucleation in a series of investigations (Ding et al., 2004a; b; Ding et al., 2006a; Ding et al., 2006b). Notably, the nucleation of SWNTs from Fe ‘carbide’ was demonstrated at temperatures between 800 and 1400 K (Ding et al., 2004a). According to this investigation, the formation of the SWNT cap structure was preceded by three distinct stages: (1) the incorporation of carbon into the Fe nanoparticle bulk; (2) the saturation of the Fe nanoparticle with atomic carbon, and (3) the formation of polyyne chains and small graphitic ‘islands’ on the Fe nanoparticle surface. It was also noted that at lower temperatures (below 600 K), encapsulation of the nanoparticle, rather than the formation of a well-defined cap structure, took place. These efforts were complemented by investigations in 2007 (Shibuta & Maruyama, 2007a; b) in which an inverse relationship between the melting behaviors of catalyst nanoparticles and the carbon-catalyst interaction was observed. This was in agreement with an earlier independent investigation (Ding et al., 2006b). These authors have also investigated the relative behaviors of Fe-, Ni- and Co-carbide nanoparticles (Shibuta & Maruyama, 2007b), and observed that the Co-C interaction exceeds both the Fe-C and Ni-C interactions. This correlation suggests that a stronger catalyst-carbon interaction may yield more defective synthetic SWNTs.

The pioneering MD investigations in this area relied on the reactive empirical bond order (REBO) force field (Brenner, 1990; 1992; Brenner et al., 2002), which is itself based upon the Tersoff interactive potential (Tersoff, 1988; 1989). While the use of this force-field makes MD simulations on nanosecond timescales possible, it nonetheless has several notable deficiencies with respect to the chemistry of SWNT nucleation. For instance, π-conjugation and aromatic stabilization of carbon (central to the formation and extension of an sp²-hybridised carbon network, such as a CNT), charge transfer effects and the near-degeneracy of transition metal d-orbitals (crucial in the case of transition metal catalysts) cannot be accurately described by the REBO or Tersoff potentials. One infamous outcome of the former of these deficiencies is the overestimation and underestimation of the sp³- and sp-hybridised carbon fractions, respectively, during the self-assembly of fullerenes at high temperature (Zheng et al., 2004; Irle et al., 2006). On a few occasions (Gavillet et al., 2001; Raty et al., 2005), more reliable simulations based on Carr-Parinello MD (CPMD) have been reported. The description of atomic interaction and bonding in these latter investigations relied on density functional theory (DFT), and so therefore significantly exceeded that given by the REBO potential. However, this advantage incurs a substantial increase in the computational cost of the calculation. Thus, these simulations employed generally unphysical assumptions, or model systems, in order to alleviate these computational costs. In addition, the timescales of these simulations were restricted to less than 25 ps, and as such can hardly be considered to be sufficient in the context of SWNT growth. While these simulations nevertheless represent Herculean efforts, relatively few conclusions regarding the mechanisms of SWNT nucleation and growth have been gained as a result. Ideally, the most suitable approach to the simulation of SWNT nucleation and growth would provide a compromise between quantum mechanical accuracy, and the computational efficiency provided by semi-empirical, or classical, force-field based methods. In the subsequent section, we will turn to one such method, the density-functional tight-binding (DFTB) method, and provide a brief picture of its formulation.
2. QM/MD method: Theoretical background

In this section we will present a brief account of the main theoretical methods and algorithms employed in the QM/MD simulations that are presented in §3-5. Our approach is based on the DFTB method. In essence this method is a two-centre approximation to the popular DFT method, which has its origins in the 1990s (Porezag et al., 1995; Seifert et al., 1996). Consequently, for systems consisting of hundreds of atoms (such as those considered here), DFTB is ca. three orders of magnitude faster than traditional DFT methods. In DFT theory, the atomic/molecular energy is given as,

$$E_{\text{DFTB}} = \sum_{\text{occ}} \varepsilon_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \neq B} E_{\text{rep}}^{AB} \sum_{A \neq B} \text{atoms}$$

where $\varepsilon_i$ is the $i$th Kohn-Sham eigenvalue (obtained from the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix in the atomic orbital basis), and $E_{\text{rep}}^{AB}$ describes the repulsive force between nuclei $A$ and $B$. It is noted that the Hamiltonian matrix elements from which the $\varepsilon_i$'s are computed via diagonalization need only be computed once (i.e. during the development of a particular set of DFTB parameters). The Hamiltonian matrix elements and $E_{\text{rep}}^{AB}$ potentials are subsequently stored in memory and recalled for each subsequent DFTB calculation. This results in a significant reduction in the computation time compared to traditional DFT. Since DFTB is based upon DFT, it inherits both the strengths and weaknesses of DFT. Molecular geometries and vibration frequencies calculated using DFTB are therefore generally reliable. On the other hand, DFTB poorly describes systems exhibiting dispersive or multi-reference characters. The description of conduction bands etc. is also limited with DFTB, as it is a minimal basis set method. In addition, although the standard DFTB method describes homonuclear and ionic systems very well, it is unable to describe accurately systems exhibiting a degree of charge transfer. To this end, the self-consistent-charge DFTB (SCC-DFTB) method was developed (Elstner et al., 1998). The SCC-DFTB energy is given as,

$$E_{\text{SCC-DFTB}} = \sum_{\text{occ}} \varepsilon_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \neq B} E_{\text{rep}}^{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \neq B} \gamma_{AB} \Delta q_A \Delta q_B$$

The SCC-DFTB energy includes a 2nd order contribution to the DFTB energy involving the charge fluctuation, $\Delta q_a = q_a - q_a^0$, where $q_a$ and $q_a^0$ are the molecular and lone-atom nuclear charges, respectively. The SCC-DFTB molecular orbitals (MOs) are iteratively optimized until the corresponding energy of equation (2) becomes self-consistent with respect to $\Delta q_A$ and $\Delta q_B$. Typically this iterative solution incurs an increase in computational time of approximately one order of magnitude with respect to DFTB. In these cases it is common that self-consistency with respect to $\Delta q_A$ and $\Delta q_B$ cannot be attained. However, this convergence issue is improved dramatically by introducing a finite electronic temperature during the convergence of the MOs. In such a case, the variational SCC-DFTB energy becomes,

$$E_{\text{SCC-DFTB}} + T_e 2k_B \sum_{\text{orbitals}} \sum_{i} \left[ f_i \ln f_i + (1 - f_i) \ln (1 - f_i) \right]$$
where $T_e$ is the electronic temperature, and the population of the $i$th MO is now defined using the Fermi-Dirac distribution,

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T_e} + 1\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Note that this occupation is a continuous function of the $i$th MO energy, $\varepsilon_i$, and $\mu$ is the chemical potential. This function is continuous over $[0,1]$ (and typically varies near the Fermi level).

The MD method essentially involves the discrete integration of Newton’s equations of motion as a function of time. Since its conception (Alder & Wainwright, 1957; Rahman, 1964), it has been applied with great success in fields as diverse as molecular physics, materials science and biological sciences. The discretization of time in MD integration may be achieved in a number of different ways. One such method is the Velocity-Verlet algorithm (Swope et al., 1982), which is perhaps the most popular MD integration scheme today. In this algorithm both the nuclear coordinates and velocities are updated at each iteration of the integration, using coordinates/velocities of the previous iteration,

$$x(t + \Delta t) \approx x(t) + v(t)\Delta t - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla U(x(t))\Delta t^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5a)

$$v(t + \Delta t) \approx v(t) - \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \nabla U(x(t)) + \nabla U(x(t + \Delta t)) \right] \Delta t$$  \hspace{1cm} (5b)

where $U$ is the derivative of the electronic potential energy (in this case calculated using DFTB). Discrete integration of the equations of motion in this fashion results in the micro-canonical, or NVE, ensemble (in which the number of atoms, $N$, the volume, $V$, and the total energy, $E$, of the system are held constant). We will limit the present discussion to MD in which $N$, $V$, and the system temperature, $T$, are held constant throughout the simulation. Placing these restrictions on the MD system results in what is otherwise known as the NVT ensemble. There are several popular methods (more commonly known as thermostats) by which the MD temperature is maintained, and each results in the re-scaling of nuclear velocities in some way. Of particular note are the thermostats of Anderson (Andersen, 1980), Berendsen (Berendsen et al., 1984) and the method of velocity scaling (Woodcock, 1971). In the present context, we employ the Nosé-Hoover chain thermostat (Nose, 1984; Hoover, 1985; Martyna et al., 1992; Martyna et al., 1996), in which the Hamiltonian of the system is augmented with a term representing a heat-bath that is coupled to the degrees of freedom of the system. The augmented equations of motion thus sample microcanonical and canonical distributions in the extended and original systems, respectively. However, care must be taken when deciding the strength at which the Nosé-Hoover chain thermostat is coupled to the MD system. Coupling that is too weak will result in inadequate temperature control, whereas coupling that is too strong is known to result in high-frequency temperature oscillations, and consequently unreliable dynamics.

3. QM/MD simulations of SWNT nucleation

We turn now to a discussion of recent QM/MD simulations of SWNT nucleation. This discussion will focus on the mechanism of SWNT nucleation on a number of different
catalyst nanoparticles, including Fe, Ni, SiO$_2$, SiC and Si. SWNT nucleation resulting from both model CVD and arc-discharge processes will also be discussed. In this way we will demonstrate that, at the atomic level, the mechanism of SWNT nucleation is surprisingly invariant to both the experimental method employed, and several pertinent environmental factors. We begin with the case of CVD on Fe catalyst nanoparticles.

3.1 Acetylene CVD and SWNT nucleation on Fe catalysts

Today, CVD synthesis of SWNTs is perhaps the most popular method of choice on the commercial scale. The most typically employed gaseous precursors include acetylene, ethanol and methane (almost always in the presence of some inert buffer/carrier gas). Yet little was known regarding the atomistic mechanism of such carbonaceous CVD processes until relatively recently. Such knowledge was furnished entirely by theoretical MD simulations, and in particular QM/MD simulations. Here we will focus on the mechanism of Fe-catalysed acetylene CVD elucidated from such recent QM/MD simulations.

In order to investigate the Fe-catalysed acetylene (C$_2$H$_2$) CVD process, we employed an Fe$_{38}$ catalyst nanoparticle. The diameter of this nanoparticle is ca. 0.7 nm, and so is of comparable diameter to experimental SWNT diameter distributions (Sugai et al., 2003). Gas-phase C$_2$H$_2$ molecules were initially adsorbed onto the equilibrated catalyst nanoparticle (see Fig. 1a), after which the resultant 30C$_2$H$_2$-Fe$_{38}$ model complex was relaxed at 1500 K for 500 ps. During the C$_2$H$_2$ adsorption process the occasional abstraction of atomic H by the Fe catalyst surface was observed, thus forming C$_2$H radicals. Similarly, abstraction of atomic H by adjacent C$_2$H$_2$ molecules was also observed, resulting in both C$_2$H and C$_2$H$_3$ moieties. Both abstraction processes are endothermic, with barriers between ca. 20 – 35 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The direct formation of H$_2$ was however not observed, despite the abstraction of atomic H by the catalyst surface. This is not surprising, considering the high endothermicity of the H$_2$ formation process (using SCC-DFTB, this barrier is estimated to be ca. 35-50 kcal mol$^{-1}$). Such endothermic processes are inherently difficult to observe in MD simulations on this time scale. The radical products C$_2$H and C$_2$H$_3$ are extremely reactive, and therefore rapidly initiated oligomerisation between adjacent C$_2$H$_2$ species. Such oligomerisation is exothermic by ca. 18 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 1b).

Following these oligomerisation reactions, extended $sp^2$-hybridised carbon networks ultimately form on the catalyst surface. The cross-linking of neighboring polyyne chains drove this process, and resulted in the formation of pentagonal and hexagonal carbon rings. In all cases, pentagonal rings were formed first – an observation that will frequently recur in §3.2-3.4. Such a cross-linking process is depicted schematically in Fig. 2. Also depicted in Fig. 2 is the polyyne cross-linking mechanism (pertaining to SWNT growth) proposed by Eres (Eres et al., 2009). While both processes are distinctly similar, no hexagonal rings were formed in the cross-linking process in the present work.

Fig. 3 shows the ultimate product of this H-abstraction and polyyne oligomerisation/cross-linking process, viz. the formation of an extended $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network. The structure of this network generally fell into one of three categories. The most ‘successful’ structure regarding SWNT nucleation is structure (i), in which the network extends over the catalyst surface. In essence such a structure constitutes a SWNT cap-fragment, similar to the ‘yarmulke’ cap proposed by Smalley and co-workers (Dai et al., 1996). However, structure (i) was only observed at relatively low H/C ratios (see §3.2-3.3 for examples of SWNT nucleation in the absence of H). In cases of higher H/C ratios (i.e. more H), structure (ii) was typically observed, due to the passivative effect of H at the unsaturated edge of the carbon network. It is assumed that this graphene-like sheet may coalesce to form an open nanotube
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Fig. 1. The initial stages of simulated acetylene CVD on Fe$_{38}$ catalyst nanoparticles at 1500 K. a) Oligomerisation results in the formation of extended polyyne chains on the catalyst surface within 30 ps. Brown, cyan and grey spheres represent Fe, C and H atoms, respectively. $sp^2$-hybridised C atoms are represented by magenta spheres. b) Examples of C$_2$ oligomerisation, and their associated energetics. Examples of both the C$_2$H + C$_2$H $\rightarrow$ C$_4$H$_3$, and C$_2$H$_2$ + C$_2$H$_2$ $\rightarrow$ C$_4$H$_4$ oligomerisation reactions are depicted. The C$_2$H precursors here are occasionally produced via the abstraction of atomic H onto the catalyst surface. All energies and bond lengths given in kcalmol$^{-1}$ and Å, respectively. Transition state imaginary frequencies are given in cm$^{-1}$.

according to the mechanism proposed by Eres (Eres et al., 2009) (see Fig. 2). Structure (iii) was also observed as a result of the polyyne oligomerisation/cross-linking process. In this case, the process yielded ‘islands’ of $sp^2$-hybridised carbon. Assumedly, QM/MD relaxation of such structures over longer time scales (i.e. several nanoseconds) would yield a more consistent network, such as that typical of structure (i). Thus, it is demonstrated here that SWNT nucleation is not necessarily preceded by a carbon cap-structure, or a liquid carbide phase. The latter conclusion will be corroborated in §3.2.

3.2 SWNT nucleation on Fe & Ni catalysts via adsorption of gas-phase C$_2$

It was observed in §3.1 that the removal/sequestration of hydrogen from feedstock acetylene molecules was the most problematic issue in these QM/MD simulations. Presumably the same problem would exist regardless of the type of carbonaceous precursor employed in this respect (be it acetylene, methane, ethanol, etc.). This difficulty arises due to a problem inherent to MD methods, since such methods have difficulty overcoming large energy barriers on the global potential energy surface (PES). Although, in the limit of infinite time, an MD simulation will sample all possible geometrical configurations, and consequently will have overcome all such barriers on the global PES,
in practice, such sampling is obviously not possible. To this end, we will discuss an alternative QM/MD approach to the problem of SWNT nucleation on Fe catalysts (Ohta et al., 2009).

Fig. 2. Oligomerisation, or cross-linking, of extended polyyne chains on the catalyst surface leads to carbon ring formation. a) Explicit example of cross-linking resulting in pentagonal ring formation observed in QM/MD simulations. Red lines indicate newly formed C-C bonds, blue lines indicate broken C-C bonds. Number 1 or 2 indicates reaction step. b) Cross-linking reaction proposed by Eres (Eres et al., 2009), resulting in the formation of a graphene-type structure. (Reprinted with permission. © 2009 American Chemical Society)

In the present approach, the hydrogen was simply removed from the gas-phase carbonaceous molecules prior to their interaction with the catalyst nanoparticle. Fig. 4 depicts this adsorption process, and the process of constant temperature annealing that followed. In this case, the MD relaxation of this Fe\textsubscript{38}-carbon system was continued for 410 ps. Here C\textsubscript{2} moieties have been employed, which are considered to be essentially equivalent with the gas-phase acetylene feedstock molecules discussed in §3.1. However, the absence of hydrogen here is not unrealistic, considering the known products resulting from the vaporisation of graphite/graphene via arc-discharge or laser-ablation processes. In addition, the use of C\textsubscript{2} allowed the atomistic mechanism of SWNT nucleation to be probed more easily than before. Fig. 4a shows that this SWNT nucleation process may be partitioned into three distinct stages. To begin with, C\textsubscript{2} units adsorbed onto the Fe\textsubscript{38} catalyst surface from the gas-phase. The relatively weak Fe-C interaction energy facilitated the subsequent diffusion of these C\textsubscript{2} units over the catalyst surface. As a natural consequence of this diffusion, C\textsubscript{2} units ultimately began to interact with each other, coalescing to form longer polyyne chains (i.e. Fe-C\textsubscript{2r}-Fe structures) on/over the catalyst surface. This was apparently the rate-limiting-step of the nucleation process, in that it was ca. 100 ps before the second stage of the nucleation mechanism took place (Fig. 4b). This second stage featured the initial ring condensation processes on the catalyst surface, while the subsequent third stage consisted entirely of additional ring condensation events, resulting in the formation of a SWNT cap-fragment. The exact mechanism of this initial ring condensation process is depicted in Fig. 4c. Fig. 4b shows that there was generally a preference for the formation of pentagonal rings, as opposed to hexagonal or heptagonal rings, during the initial stages of SWNT nucleation.
Indeed, the initial ring structure formed was pentagonal. This fact is consistent with knowledge regarding the formation mechanism of fullerenes at high temperatures (Irle et al., 2006). The longevity of these pentagonal rings, however, is attributed to the high positive curvature of the catalyst nanoparticle surface (due to its small diameter) (Fan et al., 2003). In effect, the growing $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network attempts to ‘mould’ itself to its supporting catalyst substrate from its very beginnings.

![Fig. 3. An extended $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network is formed on the catalyst surface following polyyne oligomerisation. QM/MD simulations indicate that structures (i), (ii) and (iii), are typically formed. Structure (i) is akin to the ‘yarmulke’ SWNT cap fragment proposed by Smalley et al. (Dai et al., 1996). Structure (ii) is typically formed in the presence of higher H concentrations, and points to the possibility that SWNT nucleation may take place in the absence of a SWNT cap fragment. Structure (iii) features a catalyst nanoparticle covered with $sp^2$-hybridised carbon ‘islands’. Color conventions as in Fig. 1; pink spheres represent $sp^2$-hybridised carbon atoms.]

The initial pentagonal ring observed in Fig. 4 acted as an anchor, or cornerstone, for all subsequent ring condensation events. This period of ring condensation (stage three of the nucleation process) consisted of a periodic process (Fig. 4d) in which adjacent polyyne chains interacted on the catalyst surface due to their diffusion, thus extending the $sp^2$-hybridised carbon structure. This process is best illustrated by the initial ring condensation event, in which two adjacent polyyne chains coalesced, resulting in a ‘Y-junction’. This initial $sp^2$-hybridised carbon atom was, in essence, the nucleus of the final SWNT itself, since all subsequent ring condensation was based around it. The first pentagonal ring formed following the sinusoidal-type diffusion of the two arms of the Y-junction. With respect to the original $sp^2$-hybridised carbon atom, the most energetically favorable interaction corresponded to the interaction between the second carbon atoms of each arm. This therefore explains the observed preference for pentagonal ring formation observed during the initial stages of SWNT nucleation. It is noted, however, that this interaction was only the most favorable due to the approximate 120° bond angle provided by the single $sp^2$-hybridised carbon atom. In subsequent ring condensation events, this single carbon atom was often replaced with a C-C moiety, thus this bond angle was modified (or removed entirely). The most energetically favorable interaction therefore corresponded to that between carbon atoms at varying positions along the arms of the Y-junction structures.

As will be discussed in §4, extended polyyne chains play a dominant role not only in SWNT nucleation, but also in the subsequent ‘continued’ growth of SWNT structures. In this sense, SWNT growth is therefore very similar to the self-assembly of fullerenes (Irle et al., 2006). This leads to the conclusion that such polyyne chains are essential for both the conception and the extension of any $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network. Of course, the crucial difference
between SWNT and fullerene nucleation here is the presence of the catalyst nanoparticle. In particular, it is noted here that the QM/MD simulation presented in Fig. 4 once again verifies the original proposal of Smalley et al., i.e. that one of the fundamental roles of the catalyst nanoparticle here is preventing the closure of the growing sp²-hybridised structure (Thess et al., 1996).

Fig. 4. SWNT nucleation occurs via three distinct stages, according to QM/MD simulations. a) QM/MD relaxation of a 30C₂ – Fe₃₈ model system at 1500 K yields a distinct SWNT cap fragment after 410 ps. Color conventions as in Fig. 1. b) SWNT nucleation is driven by successive ring condensation events on the catalyst surface. The preferential formation of pentagonal rings in this structure is attributed to the curvature of the catalyst surface, and the diffusion dynamics of extended polyyne chains. c) The SWNT nucleus. A single sp²-hybridised carbon atom acts as the cornerstone of all subsequent ring formation events in the nascent SWNT structure. (Adapted from (Ohta et al., 2009). Reprinted with permission. © 2009 American Chemical Society)

3.3 SWNT nucleation from amorphous Fe & Ni carbide precursors

According to the VLS mechanism, CNT nucleation and growth are preceded by a gaseous carbon/catalyst phase which co-condense forming a catalyst-carbide nanoparticle. Images of transition metal carbide nanoparticles have been obtained using transmission electron microscopy on several occasions (Yoshida et al., 2008; Yoshida et al., 2009) (see Fig. 5). Yet, to date there is no experimental evidence indicating that this carbide phase necessarily precedes the nucleation and growth of SWNTs. Indeed, QM/MD simulations discussed in §3.1-3.2 indicate that, for nanoparticle catalysts of ca. 1 nm, a carbide phase is not formed at 1500 K. The thermodynamic stability of bulk transition metal carbide nanoparticles have also been drawn into question from a number of independent approaches. Assumedly then, SWNT nucleation may occur in the absence of a carbide phase. Such disparities between theoretical
and experimental assertions give reason for further study of the role of the carbide phase with respect to SWNT nucleation and growth. QM/MD simulations of SWNT nucleation from amorphous Fe- and Ni-carbide nanoparticles at 1400 K are depicted in Fig. 6. It is evident from this figure that, upon MD relaxation at constant temperature, the amorphous carbide phase almost immediately decomposes (within ca 5 – 10 ps), yielding segregated Fe/Ni-carbon systems. This phenomenon is known to take place regardless of temperature, or the carbon concentration in the amorphous carbide phase (Page et al., 2010d). Fig. 6 also indicates that the SWNT nucleation mechanism in this case (from a Ni-carbide) is the same as that presented in §3.1-3.2. For example, the almost immediate precipitation of carbon from the nanoparticle bulk to the surface leads to the formation of extended polyyne chains over the nanoparticle surface. The oligomerisation/cross-linking etc. of these chains then leads to the formation of primarily pentagonal and hexagonal rings (Fig. 6a) as the SWNT cap fragment is formed. The initial ring structure in all cases here is invariably a pentagonal ring. Thus, the SWNT nucleation mechanism on Fe/Ni catalysts is evidently independent of the type of metal catalyst, temperature, and origin/type of the feedstock carbon employed. While the fact that SWNT nucleation originates from a Ni-carbide structure is not unexpected (since it has been predicted in several prior REBO-based MD investigations (Shibuta & Maruyama, 2002; 2003)), what is remarkable is the invariance of the SWNT nucleation mechanism with respect to these pertinent experimental factors. Despite this invariance, differences in the ultimate product of this nucleation process are evident with respect to both the catalyst type and the simulation temperature. The kinetics of SWNT nucleation was also affected by the type of catalyst employed – explicitly, SWNT nucleation from the decomposition of Ni-carbide proceeded more quickly, compared to that from Fe-carbide (see Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). It was observed that at higher temperatures (2000 K, as opposed to 800 or 1400 K), the populations of pentagonal and hexagonal rings in the SWNT cap fragment were approximately equal. On the other hand, at lower temperatures a distinct preference towards pentagonal ring formation existed. These differing ring populations were ascribed to the effect of temperature on the SWNT nucleation dynamics. At higher temperatures, the growing polyyne chains on the catalyst surface are more thermally excited, and thus exhibited larger amplitude vibrational motion. Considering the pentagonal ring mechanism given in Fig. 4c, this increased motion makes the formation of a C-C bond between tertiary carbon atoms (with respect to the $sp^2$-hybridised ‘cornerstone’ carbon atom) more likely. Hence, hexagonal ring formation is more probable in this case. Perhaps the most important difference observed between the kinetics of SWNT nucleation from Fe- and Ni-carbide nanoparticles, however, pertains to the relative rates of SWNT nucleation. It was recently established that SWNT nucleation is significantly more labile on Ni catalysts, compared to Fe catalysts. This observation may be directly attributed to the relative strengths of the catalyst-carbon interactions. This point will be a recurring theme throughout the present work, as it dominates many aspects of both SWNT nucleation and growth. For example, the catalyst-carbon interaction strengths, calculated using SCC-DFTB, are 1.78 and 1.06 eV for Fe-C and Ni-C, respectively. For comparison, the C-C interaction strength is 9.14 eV. Therefore, Fe-C bond formation is more favorable than Ni-C bond formation, in a thermodynamic sense. Consequently, C-C bond formation during the decomposition of Fe-carbide nanoparticles is impeded, which in turn impedes the nucleation of the $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network. This argument also explains other phenomena related to
SWNT nucleation, such as the lifetimes of the bulk and subsurface carbide intermediate species (Page et al., 2010d) (see Fig. 7). In particular, the average time required for

Fig. 5. TEM images of intermediate structures observed during SWNT growth experiments. a) A SWNT cap-fragment bound to a crystalline Fe$_3$C nanoparticle with an approximate diameter of 2 nm. (Adapted from Yoshida et al., 2008). Reprinted with permission. © 2008 American Chemical Society) b) A MWNT growing from a (Fe,Mo)$_{23}$C$_6$ nanoparticle catalyst. In this case the nanoparticle diameter is ca. 6 nm. (Adapted from Yoshida et al., 2009). Reprinted with permission. © 2009 American Chemical Society)

Fig. 6. The kinetics of SWNT nucleation from Ni-carbide are enhanced relative to those from Fe-carbide. Nevertheless, the mechanism of SWNT nucleation is identical in both cases. a) The evolution of a computed SWNT nucleation trajectory from a Ni$_{77}$C$_{39}$ carbide nanoparticle at 1400 K. The SWNT cap fragment is formed within 300 ps following repeated ring condensation events. b), c) The evolution of two Fe$_{58}$C$_{58}$ carbide nanoparticles at 1400 K. The stronger Fe-C interaction impedes the formation of C-C bond, and therefore impedes the SWNT nucleation process itself. Both trajectories show examples of the formation, and subsequent destruction, of pentagonal carbon rings. Color conventions as in Fig. 1; grey spheres represent Ni atoms. (Adapted from Page et al., 2010d). Reprinted with permission. © 2010 American Chemical Society)
precipitation of all carbon from the nanoparticle bulk to the nanoparticle surface/subsurface in the case of Fe-carbide always exceeds that for Ni-carbide, except at low temperatures. At 800 K, the reverse is the case, since the Ni-carbide nanoparticle exists in the solid phase, while the Fe-carbide nanoparticle is liquid. These QM/MD findings therefore support recent claims that a subsurface carbide structure (in which a high density of carbon exists at, or just below, the nanoparticle surface) precedes SWNT nucleation and growth (Amara et al., 2006; 2008b; a; Harutyunyan et al., 2008; Amara et al., 2009).

3.4 A new breed of catalysts: SWNT nucleation on SiO$_2$, SiC and Si
The mechanism of SWNT nucleation on traditional, transition metal catalysts such as Fe, Ni and Co has now been the subject of both experimental and theoretical scrutiny for approximately a decade. Since 2009, however, a number of experimental reports (Takagi et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008; Bachmatiuk et al., 2009; Homma et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2009a; Liu et al., 2009b; Liu et al., 2010a; Liu et al., 2010b) have established non-traditional nanomaterials to be catalytically active in the context of SWNT nucleation and growth from methane and ethanol CVD. Si-based materials, and in particular SiO$_2$, have been remarkably
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successful in this respect. Yet it has only been since 2011 that the atomistic mechanisms of SiO$_2$, SiC- and Si-catalysed SWNT nucleation have been established. These QM/MD investigations will be the focus of this section.

QM/MD simulations of methane CVD on SiO$_2$ nanoparticles at 1200 K (Page et al., 2011b) is outlined in Fig. 8. Due to the inherently low catalytic activity of SiO$_2$ itself, CH$_x$ radicals ($x = 0 – 3$ and is chosen randomly) were supplied to the SiO$_2$ instead of CH$_4$. This approach was motivated by the prior conclusion that CH$_4$ decomposes pyrolytically prior to adsorption on the SiO$_2$ surface (Liu et al., 2009b). In contrast to CVD using traditional transition-metal catalysts, a complex chemical process was observed on SiO$_2$. Most notably, CO was produced as the primary chemical product via the carbothermal reduction of the SiO$_2$ nanoparticle, a fact that is consistent with recent experimental observations (Bachmatiuk et al., 2009). The production of each CO molecule first required hydrogen-abstraction from neighboring C, Si or O atoms. Ultimately, the insertion of carbon into/removal of oxygen from the SiO$_2$ nanoparticle resulted in the local formation of amorphous SiC. However, this carbothermal reduction was limited to the outer regions of the catalyst, with the core of the particle remaining ‘oxygen rich’. The amorphous SiC regions were composed predominantly of extended polyyn chains ‘anchored’ in place by native Si atoms.

Fig. 8. CH$_4$ CVD on SiO$_2$ nanoparticles at 1200 K leads to SWNT nucleation via a VSS mechanism. a) Snapshots at 0 and 35 ps showing the CVD process. b) CO is the major chemical product of the CH$_4$ CVD process. The production of CO first requires the natural removal of H from the CO carbon atom. C$_{SixOy}$ and H$_{SixOy}$ are the concentration of C and H on the SiO$_2$ nanoparticle, respectively. c) Evolution of SWNT nucleation on SiO$_2$ nanoparticles. Contrary to nucleation on transition-metal catalysts, nucleation here requires the saturation of the solid-phase catalyst with carbon. Blue, red and black spheres represent Si, O and C, respectively. Yellow spheres represent C atoms involved in SWNT nucleation. (Adapted from (Page et al., 2011b). Reprinted with permission. © 2011 American Chemical Society)
Consequently, these polyyne chains exhibit restricted vibrational and translational mobility, compared to the equivalent precursor structures observed during transition-metal catalysed SWNT nucleation. This observation supports the previous claim by Homma and co-workers that SWNT nucleation on solid, covalent catalysts requires a ‘carbon-covered’ catalyst nanoparticle in order for nucleation to take place (Homma et al., 2009). The pentagonal-ring-first mechanism, established by QM/MD simulations and discussed in §3.1-3.3, therefore played no role in the current context. Similarly, the liquid carbide phase that is central to the VLS mechanism of SWNT, discussed in §3.3, is absent in the case of SiO\textsubscript{2}-catalysed SWNT nucleation. This conclusion followed an analysis of the instantaneous Lindemann index (Lindemann, 1910) of the SiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticle during the CVD process. At all times, the Lindemann index revealed that the SiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticle existed as a solid phase structure. Moreover, QM/MD relaxation of this nanoparticle at elevated temperatures (up to 3000 K) indicated that nanoparticle SiO\textsubscript{2} decomposes from the solid phase at sufficiently high temperatures (Page et al., 2011a). This sublimative phenomenon here rules out the VLS mechanism as an explanation of SiO\textsubscript{2}-catalysed SWNT nucleation and growth entirely. Instead, QM/MD simulations point to a vapor-solid-solid (VSS) mechanism explaining SWNT nucleation and growth in this case. The mechanisms of SWNT nucleation and growth on traditional and non-traditional catalysts are therefore of fundamentally different natures. Subsequent experimental results (Liu et al., 2011) have since corroborated this proposed VSS mechanism.

The observation that the catalytically relevant region of the SiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticle is effectively devoid of oxygen motivated the subsequent QM/MD investigation of SWNT nucleation on pure Si nanoparticles. To this end, a Si\textsubscript{58} nanoparticle of approximate dimension 0.9 × 0.9 × 0.9 nm\textsuperscript{3} was employed as a CVD catalyst at 1200 and 1800 K. Gas-phase C\textsubscript{2} moieties were adsorbed on the surface of this catalyst nanoparticle in the manner described in §3.2. Two different concentrations of carbon, viz. 30 and 100, were employed here, following the observation made regarding the dependence of SWNT nucleation on surface carbon concentration using SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst nanoparticles. The structures of these Si\textsubscript{58}C\textsubscript{30} and Si\textsubscript{58}C\textsubscript{200} model systems, following 100 and 45 ps, are given in Fig. 9. Upon adsorption on the Si\textsubscript{58} surface, these C\textsubscript{2} moieties generally coalesced, forming extended polyyne chains, in an identical fashion to nucleation on Fe, Ni and SiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts. However, the mobility of these polyyne chains in the case of Si\textsubscript{58} was notably restricted, as was observed in the case of SiO\textsubscript{2}. This was also the case at a higher annealing temperature of 1800 K, leading to the conclusion that the effect of temperature (at least below 2000 K) on this SWNT nucleation process was effectively negligible. It was noted that this was not the case at even higher temperatures, as will be discussed below in the context of SWNT nucleation from SiC. Once formed, these polyyne chains themselves gradually coalesced on the nanoparticle surface, ultimately forming extended branched carbon networks. While this is consistent with the initial steps in SWNT nucleation discussed in §3.1-3.3 in an atomistic sense, it is noted that the kinetics of this coalescence on Si\textsubscript{58} is significantly slower, compared to traditional, transition metal catalysts. In particular, in the latter case the rate-limiting step of SWNT nucleation may be considered to be the formation of the SWNT ‘nucleus’ (the initial polygonal carbon ring structure). Following the formation of this structure, the subsequent ring condensation and cap-formation process proceeds relatively quickly. This is not so in the presence of Si nanoparticle catalysts. Fig. 10a shows that, following the formation of the SWNT nucleus on
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Fig. 9. SWNT nucleation on Si catalyst nanoparticles following the adsorption of gas-phase C₂. a) Structures of Si₅₈C₆₀ and Si₅₈C₂₀₀ model complexes at 1200 and 1800 K. Color conventions as in Fig. 8. b) Polygonal ring populations observed using low [C] conditions (i.e. a Si₅₈C₆₀ model system). c) Polygonal ring populations observed using high [C] conditions (i.e. Si₅₈C₂₀₀ model system). It is evident that the initial saturation of the Si catalyst surface with carbon is necessary in order for SWNT nucleation to proceed.

Si₅₈, the subsequent extension of the sp²-hybridised carbon network proceeded at a significantly slower rate. Fig. 9a also illustrates the effect of surface carbon concentration on SWNT nucleation. For example, the formation of polygonal carbon rings in the Si₅₈C₆₀ complex (following the adsorption of 30 C₂ species) is limited to a single hexagonal ring structure after 100 ps. Conversely, an extended network of carbon ring structures was formed in the Si₅₈C₂₀₀ model complex after only 50 ps. Thus, as was the case regarding Si₂O₂

Fig. 10. Radial distributions of carbon in a) Si₅₈C₆₀ and b) Si₅₈C₂₀₀ model complexes at 1200 and 1800 K. The inability of carbon to freely diffuse through the bulk region of the Si nanoparticle is evident. Consequently, the majority of the carbon in both cases resides on the nanoparticle surface, the latter of which is solid. SWNT nucleation cannot therefore proceed via a VLS mechanism.
catalyst nanoparticles, it is evident that the saturation of the Si nanoparticle surface with carbon is also a prerequisite for SWNT nucleation in this case. In this sense then, SWNT nucleation on SiO$_2$ and Si$_{58}$ seemingly proceeds via an identical route – this point will be discussed at greater length below.

Following the adsorption of C$_2$ onto the Si$_{58}$ nanoparticle surface, the resultant surface structure resembled an amorphous SiC phase, while the core of the Si nanoparticle remained pristine. This is evident from Fig. 10a, which shows the radial distribution of carbon within the Si nanoparticle as SWNT nucleation proceeds. This figure also shows that, at higher temperature, the penetration of the Si nanoparticle by adsorbed carbon atoms becomes more probable, and is independent of the surface carbon concentration. Yet, the free diffusion of carbon through the nanoparticle bulk and surface in this case is restricted below 2000 K. The latter observation may be explained with recourse to an analysis of the nanoparticle phase during SWNT nucleation. This is conveniently done in the realm of QM/MD simulations via the Lindemann index (Lindemann, 1910), $\delta$,

$$ \delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \delta_i $$  \hspace{1cm} (6a)

where,

$$ \delta_i = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \left[ \frac{\langle r_{ij}^2 \rangle_T - \langle r_{ij} \rangle_T^2}{\langle r_{ij} \rangle_T} \right] $$  \hspace{1cm} (6b)

Here, $N$ is the number of atoms in the relevant system, $r_{ij}$ is the instantaneous distance between atoms $i$ and $j$, and the brackets denote thermal averaging over a finite interval of time at temperature $T$. It is noted here that $\delta$ describes all atoms in the system, and is thus generally referred to as the ‘global’ Lindemann index. On the other hand, $\delta_i$ pertains only to the motion of atom $i$, and is therefore referred to as the ‘atomic’ Lindemann index. In the current discussion, we will make reference to both $\delta$ and $\delta_i$. The Lindemann index has been used with particular success in the investigation of transition and main group metal species (both bulk and nanoparticle structures) (Ding et al., 2006b; Puri & Yang, 2007; Neyts & Bogaerts, 2009; Wen et al., 2009). From these investigations, the efficacy of the Lindemann index in the prediction of nanoparticle melting points has been established. For example, it is now generally accepted that the ‘threshold’ $\delta$ value, which signifies the transition between the solid and liquid phases, is between 0.10 – 0.15 (Ding et al., 2006b; Puri & Yang, 2007; Neyts & Bogaerts, 2009; Wen et al., 2009). Thus, any system exhibiting a $\delta$ below this threshold value may be considered to be solid, whereas those with $\delta$ above this threshold value are considered to be liquid. In the case of the pristine Si$_{58}$ catalyst nanoparticle, $\delta$ at 1200 and 1800 K were 0.298 and 0.372, respectively. However, upon the adsorption of C$_2$ on the Si$_{58}$ surface, a dramatic decrease in this Lindemann index was observed. At low carbon concentrations (i.e. the Si$_{58}$C$_{60}$ model complex), these same $\delta$ values were 0.093 and 0.231, while at high concentrations (i.e. the Si$_{58}$C$_{200}$ model complex), they were 0.049 and 0.088, respectively. This decrease indicates that the phase of the catalyst nanoparticle here changes from a liquid (when pristine) to solid (when carbon-doped). This therefore makes SWNT
nucleation via the VLS mechanism impossible. Considering this impasse, and the atomistic similarity between SWNT nucleation from Si and SiO$_2$ nanoparticles, it is apparent that both proceed via the VSS mechanism, as opposed to the VLS mechanism.

The production of an amorphous SiC nanoparticle following the adsorption of C$_2$ on Si nanoparticles warranted the further investigation of the possibility of SWNT nucleation from SiC itself. Moreover, following the conclusion discussed above, viz. that SWNT nucleation on both SiO$_2$ and Si occur via identical pathways, it is reasonable to anticipate that the same applies in the context of SiC. To this end, we have investigated nucleation of SWNT cap fragments as a result of the constant temperature thermal annealing of SiC nanoparticles alone. In this case, a model Si$_{96}$C$_{96}$ nanoparticle was annealed between 1000 and 3000 K. An example of SWNT nucleation observed at 2500 K is illustrated in Fig. 11. It is noted that this temperature is approximately that employed in relevant experiments which demonstrate SWNT growth following the decomposition of SiC crystals (Kusunoki et al., 1997). These QM/MD simulations indicated that SWNT nucleation in this case followed the degradation of the SiC crystalline structure. Indeed, upon annealing even at temperatures as low as 1000 K a well-defined crystallinity was not evident in the model SiC nanoparticle even after a relatively short simulation time (i.e. 10-20 ps). The result of this degradation was the disruption of C-Si bonds, in favor of C-C bonds, which exhibited free diffusion through/over the SiC nanoparticle. This diffusion immediately lead to the elongation/oligomerisation of these polyyne chains with high frequency. However, the frequency of these interactions was concomitantly slower at lower temperatures, such as 1000 K. As is evident from Fig. 11a,b, polygonal ring formation followed the initial period in which the oligomerisation of polyyne chains took place. In this case, the initial polygonal ring formation was the result of the diffusion and subsequent interaction of neighboring C$_3$ and C$_2$ species. Fig. 11b shows that subsequent ring condensation then proceeded reasonably rapidly, with a definite cap structure being formed within ca. 200 ps. However, following the formation of this cap structure, the population of polygonal rings here then decreased - such a phenomenon has not been observed in the case of traditional, transition metal catalyst nanoparticles. In a kinetic sense, therefore, SWNT nucleation resulting from thermal degradation of SiC is anticipated to be less favorable, compared to other traditional catalysts. SWNT nucleation, at the atomic level, is essentially no more than the continual formation of C-C bonds. The origin for these inhibited SWNT nucleation kinetics can therefore be found in thermodynamics, which, at high temperatures, dominate SWNT nucleation. In this sense then, SWNT nucleation is in effect a ‘thermodynamic sink’. From §3.3, it is evident that thermal annealing of amorphous Fe- and Ni-carbide nanoparticles yielded well-defined SWNT cap structures, similar to those observed here. However, SWNT nucleation from Fe- and Ni-carbide nanoparticles also resulted in cap structures exceeding the size of those observed using SiC, both on shorter timescales (generally within ca. 100 ps) and at lower temperatures (below 2000 K). The strengths of the Fe-C, Ni-C and Si-C interactions are 1.78, 1.06 and 6.29 eV/atom, respectively, at the SCC-DFTB level of theory (Page et al., 2010d). Recall that the strength of the C-C interaction, using SCC-DFTB, is 9.14 eV/atom. The weaker interaction of the Fe/Ni catalyst with carbon therefore correlates directly with an increased rate of SWNT nucleation. Once a C-C bond forms in the latter case, it is rarely broken due to its greater thermodynamic stability (even if it is not the most energetically stable ring structure). On the other hand, the Si-C and C-C interactions are, thermodynamically, comparable to each other. Consequently, C-C bonds are more frequently broken during nucleation on SiC nanoparticles.
Fig. 11. Thermal annealing at constant temperature (2500 K) leads to the structural deformation of SiC nanoparticles, ultimately producing SWNT nucleation. a) The first polygonal ring formation event due to the free diffusion of C_n units within the SiC nanoparticle. Color conventions as in Fig. 8. b) Polygonal ring formation formed from the structural decomposition of the SiC nanoparticle at 2500 K. c) Time-averaged $\delta$ values of the SiC nanoparticle between 1000 – 3000 K, computed over an interval of 50 ps. SWNT nucleation below 2600 K evidently occurs while the SiC nanoparticle is in the solid phase. Thus, SWNT nucleation can be explained with recourse to a VSS mechanism.

The dependence of $\langle \delta \rangle$ on simulation temperature for the SiC nanoparticle are depicted in Fig. 11c. From this figure it is evident that the SiC nanoparticle existed in the solid state below 2600 K. However, Fig. 11c suggests that there was undoubtedly some liquid-like character in the SiC nanoparticle at temperatures above 2600 K. In particular, $\langle \delta_i \rangle$ values (not shown) indicate that, between 1000 and 3000 K, the SiC nanoparticle exhibited three distinct behaviors depending on the temperature. Firstly, at lower temperatures (<1400 K) the SiC nanoparticle were unquestionably solid. At intermediate temperatures (between 1400 – 2600 K) a gradual increase in $\langle \delta_i \rangle$ for atoms residing close, or near to, the nanoparticle surface was evident. Surface premelting therefore became prevalent at these temperatures, ultimately causing $\langle \delta \rangle$ to increase slightly. Such surface premelting has been shown to be a prominent phenomenon in the melting dynamics of transition metal nanoparticle species (Neyts & Bogaerts, 2009). In this respect therefore, transition metals and SiC nanoparticles appear to be equivalent. According to established trends regarding transition metal nanoparticle melting, by increasing the temperature further this surface premelting is followed by the complete liquefaction of the nanoparticle. However, rather than undergoing this solid-liquid phase transition, the SiC nanoparticle instead became quasi-solid at temperatures above 2600 K. One probable cause of this unexpected behavior is
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ascribed to the influence of surface chemistry (viz. the formation of C-C bonds, polyyne chains and polygonal carbon rings etc.) on the Lindemann index itself. In extreme cases, the formation of an extended $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network on the SiC nanoparticle surface, in part, solidified the SiC nanoparticle surface, therefore retarding the melting process.

A pronounced similarity is therefore observed regarding the SWNT nucleation mechanisms on SiO$_2$, SiC and Si catalysts. The results discussed here constitute the first evidence of a catalyst independent mechanism with respect to Si-based catalysts. In addition, these results indicate the mechanism of SWNT nucleation on these Si-based catalysts is remarkably different to that established for transition metal catalysts, and centres around a solid phase catalyst nanoparticle. Since the independence of the SWNT nucleation mechanism has been established and accepted in the case of transition metal catalysts, this conclusion is seemingly unremarkable. However, we point out here that with respect to the majority of ‘non-traditional’ catalysts such as SiO$_2$, SiC, Si, Al$_2$O$_3$, ZrO$_2$ and so on, the precise mechanisms of SWNT nucleation remains are in fact unknown at present. Moreover, at first glance there is no reason to suspect that the SWNT nucleation mechanism on such a diverse range of catalyst species should be in any way related, considering their respective physicochemical properties.

4. QM/MD simulations of SWNT growth

We now consider the phenomenon of continued SWNT growth. This is generally defined as the extension of the nanotube sidewall (by the addition of newly created polygonal ring structures) parallel to the axis of growth. Note that this process differs from the process of SWNT nucleation, in which the nascent nanotube cap-fragment is formed. This partitioning of what is actually (in reality) a continuous process is somewhat arbitrary. Nonetheless, it has enabled the precise atomistic mechanism of SWNT growth to be identified and studied.

4.1 SWNT growth on Fe catalysts

Continued SWNT growth has been modeled using QM/MD simulations on a number of occasions (see (Page et al., 2010c) and references therein). The approach employed in these investigations typically was similar to that described in §3.2 (see Fig. 12). Fe-catalyst nanoparticles were thus first annealed at 1500 K, after which ‘simulated’ gas-phase carbon feedstock (in this case, C or C$_2$) was adsorbed at various rates at the base of the growing SWNT, or onto the nanoparticle surface itself. Two such nanoparticles have been employed, viz. Fe$_{38}$ and Fe$_{55}$. In both cases, a model SWNT cap fragment (a C$_{40}$ cap of (5,5) chirality), or short SWNT segment (depicted in Fig. 12) were employed to approximate a SWNT cap fragment formed in situ (such as that shown in Fig. 4). The effect of the nanoparticle diameter on the mechanism and kinetics of continued SWNT growth has therefore been elucidated. Somewhat unsurprisingly, the increase in nanoparticle diameter from 0.70 nm (Fe$_{38}$) to 0.94 nm (Fe$_{55}$) has no effect on the atomistic mechanism of continued SWNT growth. This mechanism is depicted in Fig. 12. From this figure it is evident that, like SWNT nucleation, the continued SWNT growth process was driven by the extension of the $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network. This extension itself was driven by the formation of polygonal carbon rings at the base of the nanotube structure (at the interface between the nanotube and the catalyst nanoparticle), thereby extending the SWNT cap in a unidirectional manner. From Fig. 12 it can be seen that the SWNT growth process took place almost entirely on the
catalyst surface. Only very rarely did carbon penetrate the catalyst surface and diffuse through the subsurface region. Similarly, carbon was never observed to freely diffuse through the bulk of the catalyst nanoparticle. Unsurprisingly, this behavior was no different from the behavior observed during SWNT nucleation on Fe_{38}, a fact that is attributed to the nanoparticles relatively small diameter, and consequently relatively high surface energy. It is also noted here that Fe_{38} and Fe_{55} are both ‘magic number’ metal clusters, and so exhibit unusual stability compared to other nanoparticles of comparable diameter. The SWNT growth depicted in Fig. 12 is an example growth from a ‘floating’ catalyst (most similar to that observed during pure VLS processes, such as arc-discharge). However, it is likely that the mechanism of SWNT ‘root’/’tip’ growth on supported catalyst nanoparticles is similar to that depicted in Fig. 12, since the majority of SWNT growth chemistry is mediated by the nanoparticle surface itself.

Fig. 12. Continued SWNT growth from a (5,5) SWNT fragment on an Fe_{38} catalyst nanoparticle at 1500 K. a) The adsorption of gas-phase carbon atoms at a rate of 1 C / 0.5 ps at the base of the SWNT structure leads to the extension of the \( sp^2 \)-hybridised carbon network via the formation of new polygonal rings at the SWNT base. Growth is mediated entirely by the catalyst surface in this case. Color conventions as in Fig. 1. b) The SWNT length as a function of time at 1500 K. Adsorption of gas-phase carbon atoms results in the addition of ca. 4 Å to the base of the SWNT. (Adapted from (Ohta et al., 2008). Reprinted with permission. © 2008 American Chemical Society)

While the SWNT growth mechanisms on Fe_{38} and Fe_{55} were observed to be the same, this is not so with respect to the kinetics of SWNT growth. QM/MD simulations (Page et al., 2010b) indicate that SWNT growth slows with increasing catalyst nanoparticle diameter – a conclusion that parallels others based on experimental evidence (Huang et al., 2002; Cau et al., 2006; Mora & Harutyunyan, 2008). This phenomenon is ascribed primarily to the relative surface areas and volumes of the two catalyst nanoparticles. In particular, although the diameter of Fe_{55} is only slightly larger than that of Fe_{38}, the increases in surface area and volume are more substantial. Thus, the domain over/through which adsorbed C\( _n \) species may migrate, before being incorporated into the growing SWNT, is concomitantly larger in the case of Fe_{55}. SWNT growth employing the former, smaller catalyst nanoparticle is therefore ca. 19% faster compared to that on Fe_{55}. It is conceded that both of these growth
rates exceed those determined experimentally (Puretzky et al., 2002; Futaba et al., 2005; Sharma et al., 2005; Geohegan et al., 2007; Yao et al., 2007; Xiang et al., 2009) by several orders of magnitude. This is a natural consequence of the relatively unnatural carbon adsorption model that has been employed here. Nevertheless, the error thus induced is systematic, and so these relative trends in growth rates remain valid.

4.2 The importance of interaction energy: Ni versus Fe catalysts

The fact that different SWNT catalyst materials yield different SWNT growth rates has been established experimentally on numerous occasions (Puretzky et al., 2002; Futaba et al., 2005; Sharma et al., 2005; Geohegan et al., 2007; Yao et al., 2007; Xiang et al., 2009). Nevertheless, no clue was gained as to why this was the case until recently. QM/MD simulations (Page et al., 2010a; Page et al., 2010b) again proved to be of value in this respect, and established the single origin of catalyst-dependent SWNT growth kinetics.

QM/MD simulations of Ni_{38}-catalysed growth from a C_{40} SWNT cap fragment are summarised in Fig. 13a. Fig. 13b shows a comparison of Fe_{55}- and Ni_{55}-catalysed SWNT growth rates. Once again, in all cases growth was induced by the adsorption of gas-phase carbon atoms at the base of the C_{40} SWNT cap structure at a rate of 1 C /0.5 ps. Comparison of Fig. 12a and 13a shows that the mechanism of SWNT growth, at the atomistic scale, exhibits significant differences. Most notably in this respect is the role of the extended polyyne chains which bridge between the SWNT base and the catalyst surface. In the case of Fe_{38} (Fig. 12a), these chains generally consisted of 3-4 carbon atoms, and were formed as individual C/C_{2} species diffused across the Fe_{38} surface towards the SWNT base. On the other hand, Fig. 13a shows that the polyyne chains bridging between the SWNT base and the catalyst surface in the case of Ni_{38} were far greater in length. Generally, such polyyne chains were observed to be as large as C_{10} for Ni_{38} and Ni_{55} catalyst nanoparticles. In both Fe- and Ni-catalyst cases, continued SWNT growth was driven by the formation of polygonal carbon rings at the base of the SWNT, generally from the interaction of these bridging carbon chains. The length of these carbon chains therefore proved to be a critical factor in the context of the SWNT growth mechanism. For Ni_{38} and Ni_{55} catalysts, the rate of extension of these carbon chains was greater than the rate at which they self-isomerised, or ‘collapsed’ (Page et al., 2010a). In the case depicted in Fig. 13a, the extension and collapse of a single polyyne chain bound to the base of the growing C_{40} cap structure resulted in the formation of a conjugated 6-5-7-5 carbon ring system. Conversely, the rates of polyyne extension and collapse observed using Fe_{38} and Fe_{55} catalyst nanoparticles were generally more equivalent. SWNT growth was thus limited by the rate of polyyne chain extension. Ultimately these mechanistic differences yield Ni-catalysed SWNT growth rates ca. 69–106% greater than those found using Fe-catalysed, for equivalent catalyst nanoparticle size. Somewhat unsurprisingly, the fundamental factor explaining the kinetic differences of Fe- and Ni-catalysed SWNT growth are the same as those which explain the differences in Fe- and Ni-catalysed SWNT nucleation. Fig. 12a and 13a show that, once again, the relative strengths of the Fe-C, Ni-C and C-C interactions correlate exactly with the observed SWNT nucleation kinetics. For example, the rate of SWNT growth is limited by the rate at which the bridging polyyne chains (pictured in Fig. 12a and 13a) can incorporate new carbon. This rate, in turn, is determined by the relative thermodynamics of C-C bond formation in the presence of Fe and Ni atoms. As was discussed in §3.3, the relative weakness of the Ni-C interaction means that, in a thermodynamic sense, the formation of C-C bonds on Ni-
catalysts is a more favorable process compared to that on Fe-catalysts. In this sense, therefore, the strength of the catalyst-carbon interaction constitutes a fundamental, guiding principle for understanding the mechanisms and kinetics of SWNT growth on different catalyst materials.

Fig. 13. Continued SWNT growth from a (5,5) C_{40} SWNT cap on a Ni_{38} catalyst nanoparticle at 1500 K. a) In this case, the extension and collapse of a single bridging polyyne chain results in the formation of an extended conjugated system at the base of the SWNT, including a hexagonal, heptagonal and two pentagonal carbon rings. Color conventions as in Fig. 6. b) Depending on the size of the catalyst nanoparticle, Ni-catalysed SWNT growth is found to be ca. 69 – 106% faster than Fe-catalysed SWNT growth at 1500 K. (Adapted from Page et al., 2010a). Reprinted with permission. © 2010 American Chemical Society

5. SWNT defects, healing and chirality-controlled growth

As has been shown in §2 – 4, there have been significant advances in both experimental and theoretical understanding of SWNT nucleation and growth on a number of different catalyst species. Yet there are still outstanding issues regarding phenomena associated with SWNT growth. The most notable phenomenon at present is that of ‘chirality-controlled’ growth. That is, a method by which a single particular \((n, m)\) chirality SWNT (or, at most a narrow distribution of \((n, m)\) SWNTs) may be synthesised in situ remains elusive to date. At the atomistic scale, chirality-controlled growth equates to growth in which only hexagonal rings are incorporated into the growth SWNT structure. The fundamental principles guiding such chirality-specific synthesis are, as yet, largely unknown. Such chirality-controlled growth is extremely desirable, since the physical, electrical and optical properties of a SWNT are determined entirely by its \((n, m)\) chiral indices. Current experimental SWNT synthesis techniques (such as CVD and arc-discharge) are known to produce a broad distribution of \((n, m)\) SWNTs. While it is possible to subsequently isolate a narrow distribution of \((n, m)\) SWNTs, such techniques invariably damage the SWNT structures by either chemical or physical means (Li et al., 2007; Zheng & Semke, 2007). Such damage potentially limits the
application of these SWNTs in nanoscale devices. An understanding of how to control a SWNTs chirality in situ is therefore critical in this respect.

5.1 SWNT growth: An inherently defective process

It was shown in §2 - 4 that SWNT nucleation and growth are far from linear, ordered processes. On the contrary, they proceed via extremely complex pathways, resulting in disordered and unpredictable dynamics. Considering the temperature at which SWNTs nucleate and grow in CVD and arc-discharge environments (i.e. typically 1000 K or higher), this in itself is not so surprising. Yet it provides the greatest hurdle regarding the control of SWNT chirality, since these nonlinear dynamics result in the formation of a large number of defects in the SWNT structure during nucleation and growth. The high concentration of defect structures must be, to some extent, due to the various assumptions placed on these QM/MD simulations. Nevertheless, the formation of defect structures must also be attributable to the non-equilibrium conditions present during SWNT nucleation and growth. Indeed, by revisiting the discussion of §3.1 - 3.2, it is apparent that the inclusion of defects (such as polyyne chains, non-hexagonal ring structures and vacancies in the sp²-hybridised carbon network) is inherent to the SWNT nucleation process itself. The ‘nucleus’ of the SWNT itself on a transition metal catalyst is actually a pentagonal ring ‘defect’. The subsequent ring condensation process, by which the SWNT cap fragment is formed, also produces a majority of non-hexagonal ring defects. Although this is attributed to the curvature of the catalyst surface imposing itself onto the growing sp²-hybridised carbon network, the further formation of defect structures during growth (see §4) cannot be rationalized in this manner. Nevertheless, the incorporation of defect structures into a growing SWNT effectively alters its chirality, and therefore physical properties. Since it is apparent that SWNT nucleation and growth are inherently defect-inducing processes, it is important to understand the mechanisms by which such defects are removed in situ. QM/MD simulation of such defect removal on transition metal catalysts is the subject of §5.2.

5.2 SWNT healing: A fundamental aspect of chirality-controlled SWNT growth

The removal of SWNT defect structures during growth has been investigated previously using QM/MD (Page et al., 2009). To induce growth gas-phase carbon atoms were adsorbed at the region between a model C₄₀ cap and its supporting Fe₃₈ catalyst surface. The hypothesis of this approach took into account the inherent stability of the C-C bond (relative to the Fe-C bond), and therefore the greater stability of the SWNT as a whole. Due to this stability, the removal, or healing, of defects during growth was anticipated to occur over longer time scales than those considered in prior QM/MD simulations (ca. 50 ps). Three gas-phase carbon adsorption rates were therefore employed, viz. 1 C/0.5 ps 1 C/10 ps and 1 C/20 ps (rates denoted using ‘fast’, ‘slow’ and ‘very slow’). It is noted here that the former of these adsorption rates is the same as that employed in the simulations discussed in §4. Comparison of the three carbon adsorption rates is made in Fig. 14. It is immediate from this figure that the ability of the SWNT to heal itself during growth is directly correlated to the rate of carbon adsorption. As this rate decreases, the number of polygonal ring defects in the growing sp²-hybridised carbon network decreases. Moreover, the active removal of defects from the growing SWNT structure, resulting in hexagonal ring formation is observed for slow and very slow carbon adsorption. This suggests that the kinetics of SWNT growth is more favorable compared to those of defect removal. Fig. 15 shows the reason explaining...
why this is indeed the case. This figure depicts schematically two examples of defect removal during slow SWNT growth. The first of these defects is a conjugated pentagonal-heptagonal ring defect and the second is an adatom defect. In both cases, the defect is removed solely by the self-isomerization of the SWNT cap structure itself. For example, the adatom defect shown in Fig. 15b is formed following the adsorption of a carbon atom onto an existing hexagonal ring at the SWNT base. This adatom defect quickly converted to a heptagonal ring defect, which is evidently significantly more stable (lasting for ca. 15 ps).

Fig. 14. SWNT healing is directly correlated with the rate of carbon adsorption at the SWNT base. Polygonal rings in formed a single SCC-DFTB/MD trajectory using adsorption rates of 1 C / a) 0.5 ps, b) 10 ps and c) 20 ps. At the fastest adsorption rate considered, SWNT growth incorporates several defect ring structures into the SWNT structure. Slowing the adsorption rate to 1 C / 10 ps, the incorporation of defects is suppressed due to the action of the self-isomerisation of the \( sp^2 \)-hybridised carbon network. At the slowest rate considered, SWNT growth occurs solely due to hexagonal ring addition, thereby illustrating chirality-controlled SWNT growth. (Adapted from (Page et al., 2010c). Reprinted with permission. © 2011 American Chemical Society)

The addition of a second carbon atom results in a heptagonal-hexagonal ring rearrangement, which ultimately forms a \( C_2 \) defect at the base of the SWNT. Following a further ca. 10 ps, this \( C_2 \) unit detaches from the SWNT cap, and diffuses away over the catalyst surface. Both instances of SWNT defect removal depicted in Fig. 15 occur in the vicinity of the catalyst surface. The assistance of the catalyst surface is therefore implicated in these cases of SWNT healing. The timescales over which these two examples of self-isomerization take place are between 5 and 25 ps, respectively. This observation is indicative of a fundamental principle regarding the \textit{in situ} control of SWNT chirality. That is, the rate at which defect structures are incorporated into the SWNT structure depends on the relative rates of defect addition (due to growth) and defect removal (due to SWNT self-isomerization).

5.3 SWNT healing: Dependence on catalyst composition and size

In §3.3 and §4.2 it was established that a number of kinetic and mechanistic phenomena associated with SWNT nucleation and growth can be understood with recourse to the relative carbon-catalyst interaction strengths. For example, a stronger carbon-catalyst interaction leads to slower growth rates, and changes the mechanisms of SWNT nucleation and growth. From the previous section, it was seen that the catalyst nanoparticle is implicated in the SWNT healing process (§5.2). It therefore seems reasonable to hypothesize that the carbon-catalyst interaction may also play some role regarding the relative ability of different catalysts to assist in SWNT healing processes. We will presently discuss such a proposal with respect to Fe and Ni-catalyst nanoparticles.
Fig. 15. Examples of SWNT healing observed during SWNT growth on Fe$_{28}$ catalysts at 1500 K. In both cases, defects are removed from the growing SWNT cap solely by the self-isomerisation of the $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network. a) A conjugated pentagonal-heptagonal defect is removed, resulting in the formation of two hexagonal rings at the base of the SWNT cap. b) An adatom defect is removed, forming a hexagonal ring via a heptagonal ring defect intermediate structure. (Adapted from (Page et al., 2009). Reprinted with permission. © 2011 American Chemical Society)
QM/MD simulations of SWNT growth were carried out using Fe\textsubscript{38}, Ni\textsubscript{38}, Fe\textsubscript{55} and Ni\textsubscript{55} catalyst nanoparticles. Growth was induced at 1500 K using a slow carbon supply rate (i.e. 1 C / 10 ps). The average defect formation and defect removal statistics following 300 ps are given in Table 1. For the purpose of this analysis, ‘defect formation’ is defined here as the formation of a new pentagonal or heptagonal ring, the conversion of a hexagonal ring to a pentagonal/heptagonal ring, or the destruction of a hexagonal ring (i.e. ring opening). Conversely, ‘defect removal’ is defined here as essentially the opposite of defect formation, i.e. the formation of new hexagonal rings and the conversion of pentagonal/heptagonal rings to hexagonal rings. From Table 1 it is evident that the rate of defect removal in the case of the four catalysts considered are essentially equivalent after 300 ps. Thus, there is little dependence of the defect removal process on the size, or elemental composition of the catalyst. This is reasonable, since the catalyst nanoparticle was never explicitly involved in the process of healing (as discussed in §5.2). Rather, it plays an implicit role, by saturating dangling bonds at the edge of the SWNT structure, thereby supporting the self-isomerization process. On the other hand, Table 1 shows that the size, and more obviously, the elemental composition of the catalyst nanoparticle directly affects the rate of defect formation during growth. For example, for an equivalent catalyst size, SWNT growth on a Ni catalyst induces ca. 30-35% more total defects, compared to SWNT growth on an Fe catalyst. For a particular type of metal, Table 1 also shows that the number of defects formed during SWNT growth decreases with increasing nanoparticle diameter. It is noted here that these two correlations are consistent with the effect of nanoparticle size and composition on the total SWNT growth rate, as discussed in §4.2. That is, faster growth leads to more defects, whereas slower growth leads to a smaller number of defects.

Table 1. SWNT healing statistics on Fe- and Ni-catalyst nanoparticles for a carbon adsorption rate of 1 C / 10 ps. The net rate of SWNT healing may be considered as the difference between the rates of defect formation and defect removal. All data averaged over 10 SCC-DFTB/MD trajectories, following 300 ps of simulation.

|                      | Fe\textsubscript{38} | Ni\textsubscript{38} | Fe\textsubscript{55} | Ni\textsubscript{55} |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Defect Formation     |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Pentagonal Formation | 3.2                  | 6.1                  | 2.2                  | 4.6                  |
| Heptagonal Formation | 0.2                  | 0.4                  | 0.3                  | 0.2                  |
| Hexagonal→Heptagonal Transformation | 2.7          | 2.8                  | 2.3                  | 2.9                  |
| Hexagonal→Deformation | 1.0                | 0.2                  | 0.6                  | 0.2                  |
| Hexagonal→Pentagonal Transformation | 0.1          | 0.3                  | 0.6                  | 0.1                  |
| Total Defects Formed (\(\Sigma_1\)) | 7.2 | 9.8                  | 6.0                  | 8.0                  |
| Defect Removal       |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Hexagonal Formation  | 3.4                  | 3.3                  | 3.4                  | 2.9                  |
| Heptagonal→Hexagonal Transformation | 1.1          | 1.0                  | 0.8                  | 1.3                  |
| Pentagonal→Hexagonal Transformation | 1.2          | 1.7                  | 1.5                  | 1.6                  |
| Total Defects Removed (\(\Sigma_2\)) | 5.7 | 6.0                  | 5.7                  | 5.8                  |
| Net Healing (\(\Sigma_2-\Sigma_1\)) | -1.5 | -3.8                 | -0.3                 | -2.2                 |
6. Conclusion

We have reviewed our own recent investigations into the phenomena of SWNT nucleation and growth using state-of-the-art QM/MD methods. A summary of the primary conclusions discussed herein is provided in Fig. 16. The significance of the QM/MD method in this context is evident from the insights gained into the nucleation, growth, and defect-healing processes of SWNTs. QM/MD simulations have allowed for a detailed understanding of how small carbon fragments on the catalyst surface coalesce to form longer, extended polyyne chains, which then isomerise and interact to form polygonal carbon rings. This process is critical for the growth and development of SWNTs. The significance lies in the ability to control the chirality and structure of these nanotubes, which has implications for various applications in electronics, materials science, and nanotechnology.
continual polygonal ring condensation on the catalyst surface according to this mechanism. QM/MD simulations also suggest that the removal of defect structures in the growing nanotube occurs via a natural process in which the isomerization of the SWNT $sp^2$-hybridised carbon network itself converts defects into hexagonal rings. This defect-healing occurs closest to the catalyst surface where the local temperature is hottest. As root growth continues, the established hexagonal rings in the cooler regions of the SWNT impart a templating effect on the healing process. Brown and cyan spheres represent Fe and C atoms, respectively. (Adapted from (Page et al., 2010c). Reprinted with permission. © 2011 American Chemical Society). b) SWNT nucleation on Si-based catalysts has been elucidated using QM/MD simulations. These simulations have established that a mechanism fundamentally different to that observed for transition metal catalysts is responsible for SWNT nucleation in this case. In particular, these catalyst nanoparticles remain in the solid phase throughout the nucleation process, and the saturation of the catalyst surface with carbon is a necessary prerequisite for SWNT nucleation. Accordingly, it is concluded that these cases of SWNT nucleation are explained with recourse to a VSS mechanism, as opposed to a VLS mechanism. QM/MD simulations have also established that this mechanism is independent of the catalyst employed, at least with respect to $SiO_2$, $SiC$ and Si catalysts. Blue, red and black spheres represent $Si$, $O$ and $C$, respectively. Yellow spheres represent C atoms involved in SWNT nucleation. Context has therefore been demonstrated. QM/MD simulations of such non-equilibrium, high-temperature processes can provide fundamental knowledge that complements experimental understanding. Moreover, considering the spatial and temporal resolutions furnished by QM/MD methods (i.e. nanometers and picoseconds, respectively), and their physical reliability, such simulations can predate, or correct experimental understanding of these phenomena. This is certainly the case with respect to models of SWNT nucleation and growth. For example, the VLS mechanism of SWNT nucleation and growth on a variety of transition metal catalysts is very widely accepted. Yet it is only since the application of QM/MD in this area that true understanding of various aspects of the VLS mechanism has come to light. One such aspect regards the atomistic processes of SWNT nucleation and growth, which are dominated by the formation and coalescence of extended polyyne chains, and the interaction of these chains with the supporting catalyst surface. Another aspect, which remains under debate at the time of writing, regards the existence and role of the transition metal carbide phase in the context of SWNT nucleation and growth. In particular, recent QM/MD simulations and experiments have challenged the traditional role ascribed to this carbide phase in the SWNT nucleation process. QM/MD methods have also uncovered the phenomenon of defect healing during continued SWNT growth. This phenomenon, by which a SWNT structure consisting entirely of hexagons can be attained, has since been implicated in models of chirality-controlled growth. Most recently, QM/MD simulations have led the way in understanding the manner in which SWNTs nucleate and grow on ‘non-traditional’ catalysts including $SiO_2$, $SiC$ and Si. They have also revealed the atomistic mechanism underpinning the CVD process on the former catalyst species. In this context, QM/MD methods alone have uncovered the remarkable fact that SWNT nucleation on these solid phase catalysts proceeds according to an entirely different mechanism, compared to the traditional picture of SWNT nucleation/growth on transition metal nanoparticles. Yet we do not acquiesce, and claim that QM/MD can offer nothing more in the understanding of SWNT nucleation and growth. There are still many aspects of these
phenomena that remain misunderstood, and others that are currently under debate. The precise atomistic mechanism governing the termination of SWNT growth is once such aspect. Very recently it has been suggested (upon the basis of DFT calculations) that the phenomenon of Ostwald ripening plays an active role in the termination of SWNT growth (Börjesson & Bolton, 2011). Nevertheless, it is clear that, as the computational technology continually advances, the understanding that can be gained from QM/MD simulations of such physical systems can only improve.
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Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), discovered in 1991, have been a subject of intensive research for a wide range of applications. These one-dimensional (1D) graphene sheets rolled into a tubular form have been the target of many researchers around the world. This book concentrates on the semiconductor physics of carbon nanotubes, it brings unique insight into the phenomena encountered in the electronic structure when operating with carbon nanotubes. This book also presents to reader useful information on the fabrication and applications of these outstanding materials. The main objective of this book is to give in-depth understanding of the physics and electronic structure of carbon nanotubes. Readers of this book should have a strong background on physical electronics and semiconductor device physics. This book first discusses fabrication techniques followed by an analysis on the physical properties of carbon nanotubes, including density of states and electronic structures. Ultimately, the book pursues a significant amount of work in the industry applications of carbon nanotubes.