Soil water and fuel permeability of a Cambisol in southern Brazil and its spatial behavior: A case study
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Abstract
The high demand for fuel derived from oil increases the risk of environmental hazard in agricultural fields caused by accidents with the operation of machines and spotting of fuel on the ground. When these accidents occur, a part of the spilled fuel infiltrates and redistributes in the soil according to the fuel’s own physical properties. Most fuel products are light nonaqueous-phase liquids (NAPL) and contain compounds that are toxic and cause damage to the soil and to human health. The transport behavior of fuels in soils has been extensively studied but is lacking knowledge in specific soils with high clay content. This study aimed to evaluate the soil permeability ($K$) to the transport of water and fuels and their spatial variation in a Cambisol in southern Brazil. Furthermore, the question should be addressed whether $K_{\text{water}}$ may be used to estimate $K_{\text{fuels}}$ based on the fluid viscosities. Moreover, a spatial variance analysis should evaluate the variability between replicate repacked samples at a particular location in comparison with spatial variability caused by soil texture and bulk density differences. Results showed that $K_{\text{water}}$ was, on average, 4.5 times smaller than $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ and 1.25 times smaller than $K_{\text{diesel}}$, that the correlation between measured and estimated $K_{\text{fuels}}$ was moderately positive for the clayey soil investigated, and that a minimum set of three repacked parallel cores for each sampling location yields a local variance that is much smaller than the semivariance at any lag distance.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The release of nonaqueous-phase liquid (NAPL) substances into the soil has negative consequences for water resources and human health, as well as other living species, due to their high toxicity and mobility in the soils’ vadose zone (Costa, Nunes, & Corseuil, 2009; Leharne, 2019; Machado, Carvalho, Carvalho, & Mariz, 2016). Nonaqueous-phase liquid is a generic term used to describe a class of organic liquid contaminants that are characterized by their water immiscibility, which can occur for being denser (DNAPL) or lighter (LNAPL) than water (Leharne, 2019). One of the sources for those substances are oil and its derivates, cited by the Brazilian Ministry of Environment (2015) as responsible for almost 40% of the accidents that caused environmental damage in Brazil.

Nonaqueous-phase liquids, such as gasoline and diesel, can move vertically downwards in the direction of the water

Abbreviations: LNAPL, lighter nonaqueous-phase liquid; NAPL, nonaqueous-phase liquid; OC, organic carbon.
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Some aspects of the transport of fuel in soils have been evaluated in respect to the hydraulic permeability coefficient \(K_{\text{water}}\) after their contamination by spills of this Newtonian substance (Gordon, Stavi, Shavit, & Rosenzweig, 2018; Takawira, Gwenzi, & Nyamugafata, 2014). In these studies, \(K_{\text{water}}\) is usually determined with soil core samples in the laboratory by applying Darcy’s law (Gefell et al., 2018; Leharne, 2019). \(K_{\text{water}} = q/Vh\), in which \(q\) is the flux density of water and \(Vh\) is the hydraulic gradient considering a laminar flow regime. Following the same path, experiments were done with different techniques for determining \(K\) with different liquids (e.g., the soil permeability coefficient to fuels’ transport \([K_{\text{fuel}}]\) (Leharne, 2019; van Schaik, 1969). The determination of \(K_{\text{fuel}}\) is important in many fields, such as in the analysis of soil and groundwater contamination with NAPLs from point or nonpoint sources (Comegna et al., 2019; Parnian & Ayatollahi, 2008). However, the determination of \(K\) percolating different liquids through the same sample is a difficult and time-consuming task, as identified by van Schaik (1970), who evaluated it using water and oil. In this case, substantial issues exist, such as the necessity of drying the material to remove the first liquid before the same sample could be saturated with another liquid. The complete desaturation of the material from the first percolated liquid may cause the risk of structural change besides the fact that NAPLs would influence the pore structure. To overcome this, the use of soil cores repacked with material sampled (Schäffer, Schulin, & Boivin, 2013) within a small radius of the particular location in the field would be an alternative.

In order to avoid the contamination of soils with NAPLs in experiments and those troublesome issues, \(K_{\text{fuel}}\) can alternatively be estimated by the expression \(K_{\text{fuel}} = K_e g/\eta_{\text{fuel}}\), in which \(K_e\) is the intrinsic soil permeability, \(g\) is the gravitational acceleration, and \(\eta_{\text{fuel}}\) is the kinematic viscosity of the fuel (Leharne, 2019). In this procedure, \(K_e\) is often first measured with nontoxic fluids, such as clean water or air (Hunt, Ewing, & Ghanbaria, 2014). The condition that \(K_e\) depends solely on soil and fluid properties is assumed crediting of possible effects based on interactions between soil and fluids. Few studies have investigated \(K_{\text{fuel}}\) in agricultural fields with high clay content, in which clayey soils were used as mineral barriers to minimize downward transport and control contamination (Machado et al., 2016). Chevalier and Petersen (1999) presented a table summary of 23 papers that conducted two-dimensional NAPL experiments in the laboratory. Twenty-two of the media tested in these studies were composed of sand and one was composed of glass beads. The majority of these \(K_{\text{fuel}}\) studies reported soil texture classes other than clay (Brown & Anderson, 1983; Budhu, Giese, Campbell, & Baumgrass, 1991; Fernandez & Quigley, 1985, 1988). According to Cardoso (2011), the estimation of \(K_{\text{fuel}}\) may not be appropriate for clayey soils due to strong interactions that can occur between clay minerals and BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene) compounds, causing changes in soil structure that would affect the soil’s permeability. Fernandez and Quigley (1985, 1988) studied the influence of permeating hydrocarbons in the measurement of \(K_{\text{water}}\) for clayey soils observing increase on \(K_{\text{water}}\) in the presence of those liquids. They found \(K\) to be controlled by the viscosity and dielectric constant of hydrocarbons (nonpolar), the latter being low as compared with water (polar). Such influence was also reported by Machado et al. (2016), who indicated the electrical phenomenon that occurs around the clay particles as an important influence on the permeability. The decrease in dielectric constant is related to the reduced thickness of the double layer at the surfaces of the soil minerals and diminishes the dimensions of the porous medium (Budhu et al., 1991; Machado et al., 2016).

An important issue related to permeability coefficients, especially for toxic fluids such as NAPLs, is their spatial variability behavior. When spills occur, it is of major interest to know how the toxic fluids spread out across the landscape and what soil and other variables may underlie their spatial infiltration and percolation pattern. Strong spatial variability has been found for \(K\) percolating NAPLs (Jawitz, Annable, Demmy, & Rao, 2003; Feenstra, 2005). However, not much is known about spatial variability structure of \(K_{\text{fuels}}\). If the spatial design of \(K\) data collection revealed structured behavior, other spatially related covariates could be found to allow coregionalization of \(K_{\text{fuels}}\) in accordance with soil texture and structure (Liang, Zhang, Wang, & Li, 2012; Wendroth, Kosziniski, & Vasquez, 2011; Wendroth, Vasquez, & Matocha, 2011).
Under the hypothesis that the permeability of clayey soils to the transport of different liquids could be reliably estimated based on parallel soil samples, results of $K$ obtained for different fluids and their spatial variability patterns in a clayey Brazilian Cambisol should be compared. Another aim was to examine whether the intrinsic permeability derived from percolation experiment water was useful to estimate permeability of the soil for fuels based on their properties (i.e., viscosity and density).

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling location, soil, and fuel properties characterization

The soil material was collected at the Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), in the Applied Agricultural Sciences Farm, which is located near to Pinhais, Paraná ($−25°22′38″$ and $25°24′46″$ S, $−49°09′05″$ and $−49°06′40″$ W). Disturbed and undisturbed soil core samples were collected in the layer between the 0- and 20-cm depth to measure sand, silt, and clay contents, dry bulk density ($\rho_b$), total porosity ($\varphi$), particle density ($\rho_p$), and saturated permeability coefficients ($K$). For this purpose, a regular 15-m x 15-m grid over an area of 0.5 ha was laid out resulting in 32 sampling locations. In each location, three subsamples were taken as triplicates resulting in a total of 96 samples. Another subgrid of 15 points with 25 x 25 m was designed for organic C (OC) content and macroporosity measurements, where three subsamples were taken as triplicates in the same soil layer (0–20 cm).

Air-dried soil material was sieved through a 2-mm mesh for texture and OC determination. The textural analysis was carried out based on the Bouyoucos hydrometer methodology (Gee & Or, 2002). The soil type was then established according to USDA textural triangle classification (Soil Survey Staff, 1999). Results of $\rho_b$ were determined by the ratio between dry soil mass (105 °C for 48 h) and total soil volume, whereas the solid particle density $\rho_p$ was measured with the pycnometer method using ethyl alcohol. Total porosity, $\varphi$, was computed as $\varphi = 1 - \rho_b/\rho_p$. The microporosity was measured draining water from soil pores with diameters larger than 50 μm using undisturbed cores on a tension table set at 60 cm of suction head. Thereby, the macroporosity was determined by the difference between $\varphi$ and microporosity. The OC concentration was analyzed according to the wet combustion method (Reinheimer, Campos, Giacomini, Conceição, & Bortoluzzi, 2008).

Both gasoline and diesel oil used in this study are LNAPLs exhibiting densities smaller than that of water. The gasoline used is the common gasoline Type C commercialized in Brazil, which is colorless to yellowish, has a minimum motor octane number (MON) of 82 units, measured by the anti-knock index (AKI), a maximum of 50 mg kg$^{-1}$ (or ppm) S content, 1% (v/v) benzene, 35% (v/v) aromatics, 25% (v/v) olefinic, and maximum vapor pressure of 69 kPa at 37.8 °C (Petrobras, 2020b). The diesel used is a diesel oil Type s50, colorless to yellowish, with 5% addition of biodiesel, maximum of 50 mg kg$^{-1}$ (or ppm) S content, density of 820–850 kg m$^{-3}$ at 20 °C, kinematic viscosity from 2 to 5 mm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 40 °C, minimum derived cetane number (DCN) of 46 units, and minimum electrical conductivity of 25 pS m$^{-1}$ (Petrobras, 2020a).

2.2 | Sample preparations to soil permeability measurement

Based on the mean value of $\rho_b$, obtained in situ from three undisturbed soil cores sampled in each of the 32 locations in a regular 15-m grid, nine soil cores were carefully repacked with the 2-mm sieved material according to the method described in Brazilian Association of Technical Standards (2000), Boivin, Garnier, and Tessier (2004), and Schäffer et al. (2013) for each of these 15-m grid locations. The sieved material was first oven dried at 65 °C for 48 h and then carefully filled into stainless steel cylinders of 3.35-cm height and 4.70-cm diameter. The necessary mass of dry soil for each point in the field was calculated according to the local mean result of $\rho_b$ (Brazilian Association of Technical Standards, 2000). The internal bottom of the cylinders was covered with a thin cloth to avoid loss of particles. Cores were submitted to three wetting and drying cycles in trays by capillary rise keeping the pressure head of 2 cm at the bottom of them. For each location, three groups of three cylinders underwent three saturation and drying cycles with water for consolidating the soil structure before conducting the experiments with the respective liquids. Then, they were saturated with one of the three liquids, resulting in nine cylinders for the three different liquids. This methodology aimed to represent the field conditions creating replicate samples for evaluating the three tested liquids. Thus, from the nine repacked soil cores, three were used for the transport of water, three were used for gasoline, and three were used for diesel oil. This procedure was intended to minimize local sample and field variability in order to identify the effect of the liquid being the major cause of soil permeability differences.

2.3 | Soil permeability measurement with water and fuels

The soil permeability coefficients to the transport of water ($K_{\text{water}}$), gasoline ($K_{\text{gasoline}}$), and diesel oil ($K_{\text{diesel}}$) were measured each for three of the nine repacked cores with 3.35-cm height and 4.70-cm diameter. The core samples were saturated
by capillary rise with each of the three liquids, setting the pressure boundary condition at the bottom at 2 cm (Figure 1).

Repacking a soil sample causes the structure to differ from an undisturbed sample that is taken in situ. Ideally, the same undisturbed soil cores should be used for measuring subsequently $K_{\text{water}}$, $K_{\text{gasoline}}$, and $K_{\text{diesel}}$. However, preliminary experiments showed that this procedure was not attractive because first percolating LNAPLs through the soil cores would ultimately prevent the comparison of $K$ measured with these liquids. The reasons for this prevention are that in previous tests (a) fissures developed throughout the sample, changing its structure when measurements were done first with gasoline or diesel, and water afterwards; and (b) measuring $K_{\text{water}}$ first complicated the percolation of fuels afterwards, because of the remaining partial water saturation of soil cores and the liquids’ differing physicochemical properties (fuel density [$\rho$], dynamic viscosity [$\eta$], and surface tension). The NAPLs (gasoline and diesel oil) have nonpolar molecular compounds that are not dissolved in a polar solvent. These two reasons explain why measuring $K_{\text{fuels}}$ in samples with initial water content larger than zero would have implied multiphase flow in the experimental medium and was therefore not considered in this study. Some computational approaches, such as flow simulation, multiphase computational fluid dynamics, and numerical methods would have yielded estimates that were not relevant for this study, since the main aim was to analyze measured $K_{\text{fuels}}$ data using a simple falling-head permeameter method.

Since no way to accomplish the permeability experiments on nine parallel undisturbed soil cores collected site specifically existed (three for each liquid), the permeability measurements were carried out on three parallel repacked samples for each of the three liquids (Figure 1). This methodology was chosen because there existed no truly identical undisturbed samples with the same structure, and the effect of different liquids on permeability could therefore not have been distinguished from the effect of local variation. Instead, by repacking nine soil cores for each sampling location and obtaining 3 parallel measurements for each liquid with 3 replicates, the variation among samples was quantified.

The $K_{\text{water}}$, $K_{\text{gasoline}}$, and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ were measured in analogy to Darcy’s law, which was established originally for water in laminar flow regime at 20 °C for sand columns. Thereby, $K_{\text{water}}$ and $K_{\text{fuels}}$ were determined using the falling head method (Schramm, Warrick, & Fuller, 1986):

$$K = \frac{L}{\Delta t} \ln \left( \frac{h(t=0) + L}{h(t=t) + L} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which $K$ is the saturated soil permeability coefficient (m s$^{-1}$) for water, gasoline, or diesel, $h$ is the liquid pressure head (m) at $t = 0$ and at $t = t$, $L$ is the length (m) of the soil core, and $\Delta t$ is the recorded time (s) for the liquid transport through the sample.

The dynamic diesel oil viscosity ($\eta$) was measured at different temperatures using the Ford cup viscometer No. 2 apparatus (Brazilian Association of Technical Standards, 1986). Thereafter, the kinematic viscosity ($\nu$) was determined with the ratio $\eta/\rho$ (Figure 2a). Moreover, the water viscosity was calculated according to MacIntyre’s (1997) equation (Figure 2b) and the gasoline viscosity according to the Brandão, Martins, and Lamego (2014) equation (Figure 2c). With $K$ and $\nu$ data of water, the intrinsic soil permeability ($K_e$) results (Leharne, 2019) were calculated by

$$K_{e-\text{water}} = \frac{K \eta_{\text{water}}}{g \rho_{\text{water}}} = \frac{K_{\text{water}} \nu_{\text{water}}}{g}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

in which $K_{e-\text{water}}$ is the intrinsic soil permeability coefficient (m$^2$) measured with water, $\eta_{\text{water}}$, $\nu_{\text{water}}$, and $\rho_{\text{water}}$, are, respectively, the dynamic viscosity (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), kinematic viscosity (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$), and density (kg m$^{-3}$) of water, and $g$ is the gravity (m s$^{-2}$).
Equation 2 was also applied to find $K_e$ measured with gasoline and diesel oil experiments. Furthermore, the permeability of each LNAPL ($K_{fuel}$) was estimated using an inverse relationship of Equation 2 ($K_{fuel} = K_{e-water} \times \eta_{fuel}$), for further comparison with measured $K_{fuel}$ data. According to these equations, this estimation assumes that $K_e$ measured with water and LNAPLs do not differ and that $K_e$ depends solely on soil and fluid properties, which is discrediting of possible interaction effects between soil and these liquids. Nevertheless, a special case occurs with clays (we observed clay contents of up to 60% in our field), where $K_e$ can also depend on the mineral interactions with the particular fluid due to its physicochemical properties and the soil-specific surface area (Cardoso, 2011; Machado et al., 2016).

The performance of estimating $K_{fuel}$ was evaluated by using systematic and random errors with the Pearson correlation ($r$) and the RMSE, $\text{RMSE} = [(1/n)\Sigma(Y_{r-est} - Y_{r-obs})^2]^{1/2}$, in which $Y_{r-est}$ is the $r$th estimated variable, $Y_{r-obs}$ is the $r$th observed variable, and $n$ is the number of analyzed values (Armindo & Wendroth, 2019; Moreno, Armindo, & Moreno, 2019).

2.4 Spatial variability of soil permeability

Despite the fact that $K_{water}$, $K_{gasoline}$, and $K_{diesel}$ could not be measured for the same soil sample, comparison of local variance among the three parallel cores used for the same given liquid, with variance between samples obtained over a spatial range of lag distances, should reveal whether results of $K$ from the three groups of parallel cores (nine sample cores) for each location were comparable or not. The quality of comparison between mean results of $K_{water}$, $K_{gasoline}$, and $K_{diesel}$ is reflected in the CV for the same liquid at the local scale. The representation of local process behavior and its dissimilarity from the behavior found for other locations is manifested in the ratio between local variance and semivariance over increasing lag distances. Many studies suggest that $K$ data are not normally distributed, but rather log-normally distributed due to their dependence on the soil structure (Bouma, Jongerius, Boersma, Jager, & Schoonderbreek, 1977; Brejda et al., 2000; Kutílek & Nielsen, 1994; Nielsen & Wendroth, 2003; Papanicolaou et al., 2015; Warrick & Nielsen, 1980). Summary statistics and geostatistical analyses were performed using the software R and the geoR package (Ribeiro & Diggle, 2001). The soil attributes that did not follow normal distribution were analyzed using the Box–Cox ($\lambda$) transformation. According to Ribeiro and Diggle (2001), this transformation is performed applying the expression $Y^* = \log(Y)$ for $\lambda = 0$, and $Y^* = (Y^{\lambda} - 1)/\lambda$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, in which $Y^*$ and $Y$ are the transformed and original data, respectively. Based on the maximum log-likelihood ($\beta$), Akaike information criterion (AIC), and Bayesian information criterion (BIC), semivariogram models (Gaussian, Matérn, spherical, wave, and exponential) were fitted to the experimental semivariograms describing their nugget ($C_0$), sill ($C$), and range ($a$) effects (Silva, Armindo, Brito, & Schaap, 2017). These models were then applied to kriging maps. Additionally,
TABLE 1 Summary statistics for the measured soil properties

| Property               | N  | Max.    | Min.    | Mean    | CV  | 1st qua | 3rd qua | Sk  | Kurt |
|------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|-----|------|
| Sand, %                | 32 | 43.8    | 18.8    | 29.82   | 22.5| 22.5    | 25.0    | 33.7| 0.29 | −0.72|
| Silt, %                | 32 | 30.0    | 16.3    | 23.45   | 20.0| 16.4    | 20.0    | 26.6| 0.17 | −1.21|
| Clay, %                | 32 | 60.0    | 31.2    | 46.81   | 41.2| 41.2    | 53.7    | −0.01| 0.99 | −0.52|
| Carbon, kg dm⁻³        | 32 | 1.455   | 1.166   | 1.316   | 1.365| 1.821   | 2.344   | 0.16| 2.11 |
| ρ_b, kg dm⁻³           | 15 | 0.540   | 0.425   | 0.48    | 0.61 | 0.457   | 0.51    | 0.07| 1.06 |
| Macro, m³ m⁻³          | 15 | 0.18    | 0.09    | 0.12    | 0.12 | 0.100   | 0.13    | −0.05| 0.87 |
| K_water, log₁₀ (mm h⁻¹)| 32 | 2.084   | 1.171   | 1.542   | 1.821| 2.344   | 2.344   | 0.16| 2.11 |
| K_gasoline, log₁₀ (mm h⁻¹)| 32 | 2.893   | 1.485   | 2.126   | 1.821| 2.344   | 2.344   | 0.16| 2.11 |
| K_diesel, log₁₀ (mm h⁻¹)| 32 | 2.325   | 0.864   | 1.568   | 2.126| 1.821   | 1.852   | −0.25| 2.08 |
| Kₑ_water, μm²          | 32 | 5.70    | 0.689   | 2.06    | 1.01 | 2.77    | 1.14    | 3.55| 5.52 |
| Kₑ_gasoline, μm²       | 32 | 152.9   | 6.95    | 40.13   | 15.3 | 47.10   | 1.56    | 4.96| 1.56 |
| Kₑ_diesel, μm²         | 32 | 23.50   | 1.08    | 6.62    | 7.65 | 8.73    | 1.52    | 5.52| 5.52 |

Note. φ, total porosity; Macro, macroporosity; ρ_b, bulk density; K, soil permeability coefficient; Kₑ, intrinsic permeability coefficient; carbon, organic C; 1st qua, first quartile; 3rd qua, third quartile; Sk, Skewness; Kurt, kurtosis.

FIGURE 3 (a) Mean soil permeability coefficients (K) measured for water, gasoline, and diesel flows and (b) the CV of the permeability results. Results were calculated based on nine values for each liquid and field location.

| (a) | (b) |
|-----|-----|
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3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil properties and permeability to liquids’ flux

The summary statistics obtained for physical and chemical properties of the soil, as well as permeability coefficients for the transport of water and fuels, are presented in Table 1. Based on the contents of sand, clay, and silt, the texture of the Cambisol investigated was classified as clayey (Soil Survey Staff, 1999). According to the classification presented by Warrick and Nielsen (1980), the CVs were low for φ and ρ_b and medium for the other studied properties, as found by Wang and Shao (2013) and Papanicolaou et al. (2015).

The mean results of the nine values of K_water, K_gasoline, and K_diesel for each field location together with their error bars are presented as log transforms in Figure 3a. The mean K_gasoline (186.8 mm h⁻¹) was 4.5 times higher than the mean K_water (41.09 mm h⁻¹). Similar results were observed by Anderson, Brown, and Thomas (1985) and Souza, Oliveira, Machado, and Sales (2012), who measured permeability of hydrocarbons in clayey soils, and by Schramm et al. (1986), who compared K measured for the transport of water, xylene, kerosene,
isopropyl alcohol, and ethylene glycol. Other examples can be found in Brown, Thomas, and Green (1986), who examined $K$ for the flux of water, acetone, and xylene.

The CV values of measured $K$ were compared with and without log transform, since the log transform tends to reduce the difference between measured values. Wang and Shao (2013) and Papanicolaou et al. (2015) reported that $K$ measured from undisturbed field samples and described by normal distribution can reveal CVs larger than 100%. The authors addressed different $K$ results at the same location to the structural and textural soil heterogeneity that caused large scatter of measured $K$ data and values of CV. Hence, this result shows that the methodology applied provided reproducible $K$ results with the same liquid, since CV values were smaller than 5% (Figure 3b), minimizing the effect of local inherent spatial variability. When a variable presents an asymmetric normal distribution, the mean value is not a reliable representation of the data distribution and, therefore, the analysis can be biased.

The higher values of $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ might be caused by the microcracks as a result of the interaction between clay minerals and gasoline, leading to the development of preferential flow paths (Anderson et al., 1985). When hydrocarbons percolate through clayey soils, an increase in $K_{\text{water}}$ has been observed (Fernandez & Quigley, 1985, 1988). These microcracks were visually identified in the laboratory with smaller effect in samples saturated with diesel oil. Microcracks were not identified in the sample cores saturated with water. The permeability measured for any given soil varied inversely with the liquid’s dielectric constant ($\varepsilon$) (Schramm et al., 1986). The larger the $\varepsilon$ value, the greater the probability of clay adsorbing the liquid, changing the attractive and repulsive forces of some minerals. The $\varepsilon$ value is about 80.0 for water, 9.1 for gasoline, and 2.1 for diesel oil (Cardoso, 2011), indicating that the interaction of these liquids with clay minerals can cause changes within the soil cores (Budhu et al., 1991; Machado et al., 2016). As a result, due to their small $\varepsilon$ values, both gasoline and diesel would percolate faster than water, resulting in $K_{\text{fuels}}$ larger than $K_{\text{water}}$.

The mean $K_{\text{diesel}}$ (51.1 mm h$^{-1}$) was 25% higher than the mean $K_{\text{water}}$, because of the same phenomena as that occurring in case of gasoline, though less intense. Although microcracks were formed, $K_{\text{diesel}}$ was not as enhanced as $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ due to the higher viscosity of diesel. Assuming that oil or gasoline can cause the formation of microcracks and preferential flow paths, this study corroborates the findings of Gordon et al. (2018) and Takawira et al. (2014), who reported larger measured values of $K_{\text{water}}$ after oil spills over the soil surface. According to Gordon et al. (2018), an oil spill can trigger changes in soil structure, which enhances the increase of soil permeability. The magnitude of all $K$ results indicates that in the case of accidental fuel spills on the soil surface and considering a single-fluid condition, the gasoline could arrive at the groundwater faster, relative to water or diesel, manifesting the necessity of immediate control measures to mitigate the consequences of such a mishap.

### 3.2 Errors in the estimation of $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ and $K_{\text{diesel}}$

Estimates of saturated $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ were accomplished with $v$ of gasoline and diesel, $K_{\text{water}}$, and $g$ values. However, this procedure was not a reliable way for estimating $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ (Figure 4a) and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ (Figure 4b), as results for $r$ and RMSE revealed for this soil. The graphs in Figure 4 visualize that the estimation of $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ underestimated the majority of the measured data (from 30 to 782 mm h$^{-1}$), whereas all permeability data (from 7 to 211 mm h$^{-1}$) measured with the transport of diesel oil were underestimated.

The results of $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ suggest that both of them should indeed be measured and not estimated regardless of the soil, since the interaction between minerals and fuels is

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4** Linear correlation between the estimated soil permeabilities for (a) gasoline ($K_{\text{gasoline}}$) and (b) diesel ($K_{\text{diesel}}$) using the soil permeability of water ($K_{\text{water}}$), fuel viscosities, and gravity vs. the 32 mean measured data using these fuels.
not manifested by any variable in Equations 1 and 2, leading to discrepancies between these measured and estimated saturated permeability coefficients because gasoline and diesel had a direct impact on the pore geometry of the Cambisol as opposed to water.

The results of $K_e$ measured in the laboratory using water, LNAPLs, and their viscosities also differed, corroborating the results Cardoso (2011) and Souza et al. (2012). These authors reported distinct results of $K_e$ for other clayey soils using different fluids, and the addressed the relationship between $\varepsilon$ and the soil charges (i.e., the fluid electron affinity and particle charges alter soil properties). Hunt et al. (2014) described that $K$ may only be estimated for any fluid from $K_e$ and viscosity when it is ensured that these different fluids similarly wet the same contact surface and the percolating fluid volumes are the same. Apparently, these conditions were not fulfilled in this study.

The discrepancy described between measured and estimated permeability is accented on clay minerals that have high specific surface with a large electrostatic charge—these properties induce clay minerals to adsorb water (Sendner, Horinek, Bocquet, & Netz, 2009). According to those authors, a fluid that wets the solid surface has a condition of no-slip, due to dissolved cations that interact with negative charges on the mineral surface, yielding a viscous drag close to the surface. Otherwise, a nonwetting liquid, which slides on the surface of the mineral, leads to velocity gradients in these regions. Since the fluid slip effect on the clay surface was observed at micro- or nanoscales, we cannot state for sure how relevant it is at the macroscopic level of the percolation experiments conducted in this study. A more obvious reason for the permeability behavior of the three liquids investigated is the creation of microcracks by gasoline and diesel due to their smaller values of $\varepsilon$, which are of a size and geometry substantial enough to strongly affect permeability through this soil structural change.

### 3.3 Spatial variability of soil properties and permeability

In order to validate the assumption that the variance among parallel soil samples used in the permeability experiments is smaller than the variance between nearest sampling locations in the field, the variance for each set of three replicate repacked sample cores was applied. These variances averaged over all sampling locations were 0.0137, 0.0310, and 0.0253 mm$^2$ h$^{-2}$ for $K_{\text{water}}$, $K_{\text{gasoline}}$, and $K_{\text{diesel}}$, respectively, and are plotted (red bullets) together with the semivariograms in Figure 5, where the observed local variances were much smaller than the nugget effect $C_0$ and the experimental variiances at short lag distances.

The black bold lines in this figure denote the best fitted semivariogram models for $K_{\text{water}}$ (exponential), $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ (spherical), and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ (Gaussian). The fitted parameters $C_0$, $C$, and $a$ of the best models for $K$ and $K_e$ obtained for the different liquids, sand, clay, silt, OC, $\rho_b$, $\phi$, and macroporosity are shown in Table 2, together with the results of $\lambda$ and the measures of the goodness-of-fit of the fitted models $\beta$, AIC, and BIC. The results justify the approach of comparing the three different sets of three samples for each specific liquid. In practical terms, the small local variance shows that nine parallel samples, from the same location, are more similar to each other than to any other sample repacked with soil material from any other location at any other distance away from any location. Thus, this approach supports the comparison between different liquids, whereas the spatial aspect was considered through the effect of soil textural differences among different locations.

All estimated ranges ($a$) were longer than the minimum sampling distance, indicating structured spatial variation and confirming that the sampling distance was chosen appropriately for the scale considered in this study. The Box–Cox transformation for $K$ and $K_e$ data was well supported in terms
TABLE 2 Fitted parameters of the best semivariogram models of chemical, physical, and soil hydraulic properties

| Variable     | Model      | $C_0$   | $C$     | $C_0/(C_0 + C)$ | $a$     | $\beta$ | AIC      | $\lambda$ | BIC      |
|--------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|
| $\rho_b$, kg dm$^{-3}$ | Wave       | 0.0030  | 0.0016  | 0.6522          | 45.5    | 43.61   | $-79.22$ | $-73.36$  |
| OC, kg dm$^{-3}$ | Wave       | 0.4393  | 0.2408  | 0.6459          | 54.3    | $-17.51$| 43.0     | $-45.9$   |
| Sand, %      | Wave       | 25.17   | 19.98   | 0.5575          | 42.8    | $-102.3$| 212.6    | $-218.4$  |
| Silt, %      | Wave       | 9.638   | 4.439   | 0.6846          | 20.7    | $-87.0$ | 182      | $-187.9$  |
| Clay, %      | Spherical  | 16.48   | 47.07   | 0.2593          | 46.4    | $-107.5$| 223.1    | $-228.9$  |
| $\varphi$, m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ | Gaussian | 0.0001  | 0.0008  | 0.1111          | 23.3    | 70.73   | $-133.5$ | $-127.6$  |
| Macro, m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ | Spherical | 0.0000  | 0.0007  | 0.0000          | 24.44   | 33.29   | $-58.6$  | $-55.7$   |
| $K_{water}$, mm h$^{-1}$ | Exponential | 0.3106  | 0.8524  | 0.2671          | 39.4    | $-138.0$| 284      | $289.8$   |
| $K_{gasoline}$, mm h$^{-1}$ | Spherical | 0.4193  | 3.9430  | 0.0961          | 24.3    | $-194.7$| 397.3    | $403.2$   |
| $K_{diesel}$, mm h$^{-1}$ | Gaussian  | 0.1396  | 2.2776  | 0.0577          | 28.1    | $-148.0$| 304.1    | $309.9$   |
| $K_{e-water}$, $\mu$m$^2$ | Spherical | 0.00000 | 0.4651  | 0.00000         | 26.9    | $-44.94$| 97.87    | 103.7     |
| $K_{e-gasoline}$, $\mu$m$^2$ | Spherical | 0.7499  | 2.0221  | 0.2706          | 33.2    | $-145.7$| 299.4    | 305.3     |
| $K_{e-diesel}$, $\mu$m$^2$ | Gaussian  | 0.0899  | 1.1041  | 0.0753          | 28.4    | $-83.12$| 174.2    | 180.1     |

Note. $\varphi$, total porosity; $\rho_b$, bulk density; $K$, soil permeability coefficient; $K_e$, intrinsic permeability coefficient; OC, organic C; Macro, macroporosity; $C$, sill; $C_0$, nugget; $a$, range; $\lambda$, Box–Cox transformation variable; $\beta$, maximized log-likelihood; AIC, Akaike information criterion; BIC, Bayesian information criterion.

FIGURE 6 Maps of soil permeability ($K$, mm h$^{-1}$) for (a) water, (b) gasoline, and (c) diesel oil and the intrinsic permeability ($\mu$m$^2$) derived from measurements with (d) water, (e) gasoline, and (f) diesel oil of the variable $\lambda$ because the permeability did not reflect normal distribution behavior. The results of $K$ were proportional to $\varphi$ and macroporosity, caused by the negative correlation between $K$ and $\rho_b$ and between $K$ and OC, as well as the positive correlation between $K$ and $\varphi$ and between $K$ and macroporosity. The kriged maps for all properties assessed are presented in Figure 6. Even though resulting in different magnitudes, the maps of $K$ for all liquids showed the similar spatial patterns, mainly in regions with relatively small values (lighter color) for $K_{gasoline}$ (Figure 6b) and $K_{diesel}$ (Figure 6c). However, the difference in the magnitude of the results is verified. The $K_e$ results measured with water (Figure 6d), gasoline (Figure 6e), and diesel (Figure 6f) showed regions with similar spatial pattern. Nevertheless, $K_e$, which should be similar regardless of the fluid used, was spatially different in this clayey soil when measured with fuels and water.
The correlation between regions of $\rho_b$ and regions of $\varphi$ was manifested, as well as between OC and $\varphi$. Lastly, the inverse relation between sand and clay contents was also identified (Supplemental Figure S2). The Pearson correlation coefficients ($r$) obtained crossing the 7,531 pixels of each $K$ map against the correspondent pixels of maps of the analyzed soil properties are presented in Table 3.

The correlation between $K$ and $K_c$ maps ranged from moderate to strong. Notwithstanding, $\rho_b$, $\varphi$, and macroporosity yielded medium to strong correlations and had the largest influence on the spatial results of $K$ and $K_c$. Thus, $K$ is affected by $\rho_b$ depending more on the geometry and distribution of pores than on the texture (Pachepsky & Park, 2015).

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we measured the soil permeability coefficients ($K$) through transport experiments using Newtonian fluids, which were NAPL (water) and LNAPLs (gasoline and diesel), and examined their possible estimation and spatial variation in a clayey Cambisol field soil in southern Brazil. On average, $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ was 4.5 times larger than $K_{\text{water}}$, and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ was 1.25 times greater than $K_{\text{water}}$. The estimation of $K_{\text{gasoline}}$ and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ using the intrinsic soil permeability ($K_c$) measured with water was not reliable, thus suggesting that $K_{\text{fuels}}$ should be measured for clayey soils. The variance among parallel repacked soil cores was smaller than the local variance from the same or neighboring locations at any distance. This result justified the use of the repacking approach for conducting permeability experiments with different NAPLs. Spatial range values for $K_{\text{water}}$, $K_{\text{gasoline}}$, and $K_{\text{diesel}}$ varied from 24 up to 40 m. The correlations between the spatial variability maps of $K_{\text{fuels}}$ based on $K_{\text{water}}$ and fuel’s viscosities were only of limited relevance.
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