Seroprevalence of Chikungunya and Zika virus in nonhuman primates: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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**ABSTRACT**

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) and Zika virus (ZIKV) are mosquito-borne viruses that have caused several outbreaks worldwide. Aedes mosquitoes transmit these viruses mainly through sylvatic and urban transmission cycles. In the sylvatic cycle, nonhuman primates (NHPs) can be infected with CHIKV and ZIKV and may play an essential role as reservoirs for virus transmission. To improve our knowledge on the role of NHPs in the sylvatic cycle, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis study on the seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV worldwide in NHPs. According to the PRISMA guidelines, 17 CHIKV and 16 ZIKV seroprevalence studies in NHPs from 3 online databases: PubMed, Embase, and Scopus were selected. Data were extracted, including location and study year, type of NHP, sample size, serological tests, and seropositivity. All included studies have high-quality scores, between 5 and 8, corresponding to the grading criteria. Seroprevalence estimation was pooled using the 'meta' package in the R statistical software. The estimated pooled seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV in NHP was 17% (95% CI: 5-34, \(I^2 = 99\%), p < 0.05) and 6% (95% CI: 2-12, \(I^2 = 92\%), p < 0.05), respectively. Most of the NHPs tested were wild Old World monkeys. The subgroup was analyzed by continent: high seropositive CHIKV and ZIKV were found in African NHPs at 35% (95% CI 9-66.0, \(I^2 = 100\)) and 16% (95% CI 1-44, \(I^2 = 97\)), respectively. While NHPs in America have 7% (95% CI 0-28, \(I^2 = 99\)) and 2% (95% CI 1-3, \(I^2 = 54\)) against CHIKV and ZIKV. In Asia, 6% (95% CI: 5-34, \(I^2 = 96\)) CHIKV seroprevalence and 7% (95% CI 0-20, \(I^2 = 98\)) ZIKV seroprevalence were found in NHP. This study provides a comprehensive overview of the seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV among NHPs in various regions.

1. Introduction

Mosquito-borne viruses such as chikungunya (CHIKV) and Zika viruses (ZIKV) have become public health concerns after causing numerous large outbreaks worldwide. First identified in Tanzania (East Africa) in 1954, CHIKV is an alphavirus belonging to the Togaviridae family that spreads over 100 countries [1]. Common symptoms such as acute onset fever with severe arthralgia occur in approximately 72% - 95% of infected patients. In particular, joint pain developed from CHIKV can last from a few days to months or years [2]. Whereas ZIKV, a flavivirus belonging to the Flaviviridae family, was first isolated in 1947, it is estimated that 1.62 million people are infected in >70 countries [3]. Most ZIKV infection is asymptomatic or presents only mild clinical disease that resolves within a few days; however, several studies have shown that ZIKV is associated with congenital disorders during pregnancy and Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) [4,5]. There is currently no specific antiviral drug treatment or vaccine prevention for these viruses; therefore, only supportive care can be provided when symptoms develop. CHIKV and ZIKV circulate in two transmission cycles, the sylvatic and urban cycles. In the sylvatic cycle, CHIKV and ZIKV spread between NHPs and other wild animals in forest habitats through arboREAL mosquito bites without causing symptoms [6]. A recent study of
seroprevalence in NHPs in Senegal suggests that NHPs play a role as an amplification host for viral replication [7], highlighting the probability that the viral load is amplified before transmission to other hosts. Humans are an incidental host for many arboviruses, and urban transmission was formed based on the viral adaptation of the human population and the preference for vector mosquito feeding [8]. For example, the dengue virus (DENV) has fully adapted to the urban cycle and no longer requires NHP for virus maintenance in some locations [9]. Unlike DENV, the role of NHPs in the CHIKV and ZIKV transmission cycle remains unclear. Insufficient knowledge of these has challenged the potential risk to the NHP community. To delineate a public health control program, investigating the NHPs involved in the CHIKV and ZIKV transmission cycles is essential. Although several studies have investigated evidence of CHIKV and ZIKV infection in NHPs, there is a high heterogeneity between studies with respect to the study site, sampling year and sample size, NHP species and laboratory testing method. In addition, infected NHPs have no disease symptoms and relatively short arbovirus viremia; serological assays are essential to investigate possible CHIKV and ZIKV sylvatic transmission in NHPs [10]. Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis study aims to gather all the available evidence for CHIKV and ZIKV infection in NHPs on three continents: Asia, Africa, and America.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search strategy

This study was carried out according to the guidelines of the preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis (PRISMA) [11]. To prevent the same objective from being achieved with previous publications, the keywords ‘systematic review’ and ‘chikungunya virus’ or ‘zika virus’ were searched in the database. A publication search was performed on the Embase, PubMed, and Scopus databases. The following keywords: chikungunya, CHIKV, Zika, ZIKV, arbovirus, mosquito-borne, seroprevalence, serosurvey, seroepidemiology, prevalence, antibody, animal, NHPs, monkeys, and macaques were established for exploration. References from selected papers were selected for additional studies that may not be included in the database. The import of references and the removal of duplicates were performed using the Endnote version X9 bibliographic software package (Thomas Reuters, New York, NY, USA).

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The focus was on publications of the seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV in NHPs. Two independent reviewers screened the title and abstract of all selected studies. Original articles with a full text published in English were included. Exclusion criteria included studies with duplicate articles, review articles, short reports, clinical studies in animal
Table 1
Seroprevalence of chikungunya (CHIKV) and zika virus (ZIKV) among non-human primates.

| Region                  | Year of study | Non-human primates                                                                 | Assay     | Seropositive (n) | Sample size(n) | % seropositive | References |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| CHIKV seroprevalence studies among non-human primates |               |                                                                                     |           |                  |                |                |            |
| Rhodesia                | 1962          | Vervet Monkey (Cercopithecus aethiops pygerythrus), Baboon (Papio ursinus)           | HI        | 12               | 15             | 80             | [15]       |
| USA                     | Not present   | Chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes), Gorilla (Gorilla gorilla), Macaca mulata, Baboon (Papio sp.) | Plaque-inhibition, CF, HI | 36               | 136            | 26.47          | [46]       |
| Uganda                  | 1969          | Vervet monkeys (Cercopithecus aethiops spp.), Redtail monkeys (Cercopithecus ascanius superschmidt Machie) | HI        | 25               | 36             | 69.44          | [16]       |
| Sri Lanka               | 1987          | Toque macaques (Macaca sinica)                                                      | ELISA     | 0                | 115            | 0              | [25]       |
| Malaysia                | 1996, 1997    | Orangutans (Pongo)                                                                  | ELISA     | 0                | 71             | 0              | [41]       |
| Philippines             | 1999          | Macaca fascicularis                                                                  | PRNT      | 2                | 69             | 2.89           | [17]       |
| Congo                   | 1991 and 2009 | Mandrills (Mandrillus sphine), Mountain gorillas (Gorilla beringei beringei), Grauer’s gorillas (Gorilla beringei graueri), L’Hoest’s monkeys (Cercopithecus hoinetti), Golden monkeys (Cercopithecus kandti), Chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) | ELISA, IFA | 4                | 181            | 2.21           | [18]       |
| Reunion Island, Mauritius and Mayotte. | 2006–2007      | Brown lemur (Eulemur fulus)                                                         | ELISA, IFA | 4                | 181            | 2.21           | [18]       |
| Northern Thailand       | 2008–2009     | Northern pig-tailed macaques (Macaca nemestrina leonina)                            | PRNT      | 4                | 38             | 10             | [29]       |
| Malaysia                | 2009 and 2010 | Long-tailed macaques (Macaca fascicularis)                                          | IFA       | 1                | 147            | 0.7            | [27]       |
| Kenya                   | 1985–2000 and 2014 | Olive baboon (Papio anubis), Vervet monkeys (Chlorocebus aethiops), Blue monkey (Cercopithecus mitis), red-tailed monkey (Cercopithecus ascanius), Yellow baboon (Papio cynocephalus) | PRNT      | 43               | 319            | 13.4           | [19]       |
| Senegal                 | 2010          | African green monkeys (Chlorocebus sabaeus), Patas monkeys (Erythrocebus patas), Guinea baboons (Papio papio) | PRNT      | 96               | 116            | 82.76          | [20]       |
| Senegal                 | 2010–2012     | Chlorocebus sabaeus, Erythrocebus patas, Papio papio                               | PRINT     | 479              | 667            | 72             | [7]        |
| Brazil                  | 2013–2014     | Aotidae, Atelidae, Callithrichidae, Cebidae, Pitheciidae                          | PRINT     | 11               | 207            | 5.3            | [23]       |
| Caribbean island        | 2013, 2019    | African green monkey (Chlorocebus sabaeus)                                         | ELISA, PRNT | 0                | 858            | 0              | [24]       |
| Thailand                | 2018          | Northern pig-tailed macaques (Macaca leonina), Stump-tailed macaques (Macaca arctoides), Long-tailed macaques (Macaca fascicularis) | PRINT     | 1                | 62             | 1.6            | [10]       |
| Cameroon and Congo      | 1999 and 2016 | Allam’s swamp monkey (Allenopithecus nigroviridis), Agile mangabe (Cercopithecus agilis), Red capped mangabe (Cercopithecus torquatus), Angolan colobus (Colobus angolensis), Mantled guereza (Colobus guereza), Black colobus (Colobus satanus), Tshuapa red colobus (Pilocolobus thollont), Red tailed monkey (Cercopithecus ascanius), montacched monkey (Cercopithecus cephus), Hamlyn’s monkey (Cercopithecus hamlyni), L’Hoeest’s monkey (Allochrocebus leucophaeus), Blue monkey (Cercopithecus mitis), Moniya monkey (Cercopithecus mana), De Brazzi’s monkey (Cercopithecus neglectus), Greater spot-nosed monkey (Cercopithecus mitis), Crested mona monkey (Cercopithecus mona), Preus’s monkey (Allochrocebus preussi), Wolf’s monkey (Cercopithecus wolfii), Tantalus monkey (Chlorocebus tantalus), Patas monkey (Erythrocebus patas), Grey-cheeked mangabe (Lophocebus albigena), Black mangabe (Lophocebus aterrimus), Mandrill (Mandrillus leucophaeus), Northern talapoin (Miopithecus spp.), Olive baboon (Papio anubis) | IgG Luminex beads assay | 67               | 2100           | 3.2            | [21]       |
| ZIKV seroprevalence studies among non-human primates |               |                                                                                     |           |                  |                |                |            |
| Nigeria                 | 1971, 1972    | Monkeys (Data not show)                                                             | HI, NT    | 20               | 30             | 67             | [30]       |
| Bornoo, Malaysia        | 1995, 1997    | Orangutans                                                                          | PRINT     | 6                | 71             | 8.5            | [26]       |
| South Africa            |               |                                                                                        |           |                  |                |                |            |
| (Gambia, Tanzania, Zambia) | 1985,1986   | Chacma-Kinda hybrid b aboons (Papio kindae x Papio ursinus griseipes), Yellow baboons (Papio cynocephalus), African green monkey (Chlorocebus sabaeus) | ELISA     | 6                | 239            | 3              | [31]       |
| Brazil                  | 2012 through 2017 | Leontopithecus chrysomelas, Sapajus xanthosternos                                    | HI        | 6                | 110            | 5.45           | [34]       |
| Brazil                  | 2012 through 2017 | Family Aotidae, Atelidae, Callithrichidae, Cebidae, Pitheciidae                   | PRINT     | 6                | 207            | 2.9            | [23]       |
| Brazil                  | June 2015 and January 2016 | Capuchin monkeys (Sapajus libidinosus), Free-ranging monkey (Sapajus flavus)         | PRINT     | 2                | 49             | 4.08           | [35]       |
| West-Central Brazil     | February 2017 to March 2018 | Ateles marginatus, Sapajus cay                                                    | PRINT     | 3                | 78             | 3.8            | [36]       |

(continued on next page)
models, vaccine trials, case reports, and abstracts alone. The total number of studies searched was compared between two reviewers.

2.3. Data extraction and evaluation of the quality of studies

The full text of all eligible studies was reviewed by two study authors, after which the following information was extracted and recorded in excel: author, year of sampling, year of publication, geographical region, NHP species, serological test, sample size, number of seropositive samples, and percentage of seropositivity. The data were re-checked and confirmed by two reviewers. If a difference between the two reviewers occurred, the result was determined by a third-party reviewer. The quality of each eligible study was evaluated using our grading system. Four criteria were used to evaluate the quality of the publication. For each criterion, eligible studies were assigned a score of 2. The classification criteria used in this study include the objective and the research question (clear = 2, unclear = 1), the details of the sampling method (clear = 2, unclear = 1), sample size (>100 = 2, <100 = 1), and the validation of the serological test (neutralization test/ plaque reduction neutralization test = 2, hemagglutination test/ ELISA and another test = 1). These grading criteria were adapted from other published studies [12]. Two reviewers graded and recorded each included study's quality and total scores. The quality score results of two reviewers were compared, and a third-party reviewer adjusted inconsistent results.

2.4. Statistical analysis

A meta-analysis was performed to combine 1) CHIKV seroprevalence and 2) ZIKV seroprevalence between studies. Heterogeneity was observed at the collection site, sample size, species of NHPs, and serological test. Consequently, we applied the random effects model with Freeman-Turkey double arcsine transformation to obtain variance stability [13]. The pooled seroprevalence with a 95% confidence interval of CHIKV and ZIKV in NHPs was presented in forest plots using the ‘meta’ package in the R statistical software version 4.0.2. Publication bias was evaluated using Egger's test and presented in funnel plots. An asymmetry funnel plot with p < 0.05 in Egger's test indicates evidence of publication bias [14].

### Table 1 (continued)

| Region                      | Year of study       | Non-human primates                                                                 | Assay               | Seropositive (n) | Sample size (n) | % seropositive | References |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| Northeast Brazil            | June 2015 to December 2016 | Capuchin monkeys (Sapajus libidinosus), Marmosets (Callithrix jacchus), Common squirrel monkeys (Saimiri sciureus), Common woolly monkey (Lagothrix lagotricha), Spider monkey (Atelis paniscus), Night monkey (Aotus sp.) | PRNT                | 2                | 117             | 1.7            | [37]       |
| Zambia                      | 2009, 2010          | Chacma baboons (Papio ursinus), Zambian malbrouck monkeys ( Chlorocebus cynocephalus), Yellow baboons (Papio cynocephalus) | PRNT                | 33               | 96              | 34.4           | [32]       |
| Malaysia                    | 2009 through 2010, 2016 | Long-tailed macaques (Macaca fascicularis) | PRNT                | 0                | 118             | 0              | [36]       |
| Southeast Brazil            | 2015 and 2018       | Callicercus jacchus, Alouatta g. clamitans, Leonotisricus rosalia, Brachyteles arachnoides | PRNT                | 6                | 62              | 9.67           | [10]       |
| St. Kitts, West Indies Caribbean island | 2013 and 2019     | Chlorocebus aethiops sabaeus                                                                                     | ELISA, PRNT         | 0                | 590             | 0              | [24]       |
| Costa Rica                  | 2000 to 2008, 2014 to 2015 | howler monkeys (Alouatta palliata), spider monkeys (Atelis Geoffroyi), squirrel monkeys (Saimiri oerstedii), white-faced monkey (Cebus imitator) | micro-PRNT          | 0                | 86              | 0              | [39]       |
| Cameroon and Congo          | 1999 to 2006        | Allan's swamp monkey (Allocebus nigroviridis), Agile mangabey (Cercocebus agilis), Red capped mangabey (Cercocebus torquatus), Angolan colobus (Colobus angolensis), Mantled guereza (Colobus guereza), Black colobus (Colobus satanus), Tshuapa red colobus (Piliocolobus tholloni), Red tailed monkey (Cercocebus exposus acunaius), mounted male monkey (Cercocebus cephus), Hamlyn's monkey (Cercocebus hamlyni), L'Hoest's monkey (Allocebus ursinus), Blue monkey (Cercocebus mitis), Mona monkey (Cercocebus mona), D. brazzai's monkey (Cercocebus neglectus), Greater spot-nosed monkey (Cercocebus nictitans), Crested mona monkey (Cercocebus mona), Preuss's monkey (Allocebus preussi), Wolf's monkey (Cercocebus wolfi), Tantalus monkey (Chlorocebus tantalus), Patas monkey (Erythrocebus patas), Grey-checked mangabey (Lophocebus albigena), Black mangabey (Lophocebus aterrimus), Mandrill (Mandrillus leucophaeus), Northern talapoin (Miopithecus spp.), Olive baboon (Papio anubis) | Luminex assay       | 65               | 2100            | 3              | [21]       |
| Côte d'Ivoire               | 2006 and 2016       | King colobus (Colobus polykomos), Western red colobus (Piliocolobus badius), Sooty mangabey (Cercocebus atys), Chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes verus) | ECLIA, PRNT         | 3                | 48              | 6.25           | [33]       |
3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of included studies

3.1.1. CHIKV seroprevalence in NHPs

A total of 704 studies were found during our database search for CHIKV seroprevalence in NHPs. Twenty-eight duplicate studies were removed and 687 articles were excluded due to conflicting study objectives and unclear methodology. The remaining 17 studies were reviewed. The schematic flow of the study selection process is presented in Fig. 1, and the characteristics of the included studies are presented in Table 1. All 17 included studies published between 1964 and 2021 were conducted on three continents: Africa (Southern Rhodesia [15], Uganda [16], Congo [17], Reunion Island, Mauritius, and Mayotte [18], Kenya [19], Senegal [7,20], Cameroon and Congo [21]), America (United States of America [22], Brazil [23], Saint Kitts [24]), and Asia (Sri Lanka [25], Malaysia [26,27], Philippines [28], Thailand [10,29]). Most of the studies were of high quality, with scores of 7 and 8. Ten studies used a plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) to measure CHIKV antibodies. The remaining studies detected CHIKV antibodies with a Hemagglutination Inhibition Test (HI), Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), Luminex beads, and immunofluorescence Assay (IFA). The NHPs tested were Old World monkeys (96%) belonging to the genus Allenopithecus, Cercopithecus, Chlorocebus, Erythrocebus, Eulemur, Gorilla, Macaca, Mandrillus, Pan, Papio, and orangutans (Pongo).

3.1.2. ZIKV seroprevalence in NHPs

Regarding the seroprevalence of ZIKV in NHPs, 684 articles were found in the database search. We excluded 17 duplicate studies and 646 articles after screening the titles and abstracts. Furthermore, a study was added to the screened article reference list that was consistent with our study objective. The full text of the 22 remaining articles was examined and 6 articles were excluded due to the absence of serological tests and unclear experimental data. The schematic flow of the ZIKV study selection process is presented in Fig. 2. Data from the 16 included studies were extracted (Table 1). Most eligible studies have met the quality criteria. The scores obtained from these studies ranged from 5 to 8. All 16 studies published between 1977 and 2022 were carried out in Africa (Nigeria [30], Gambia, Tanzania, Zambia [31,32], Cameroon and Congo [21], Côte d’Ivoire [33]), Americas (Brazil [23,34–38], Saint Kitts [24], Costa Rica [39]), and Asia (Malaysia [26,40], Thailand [10]). Old World monkeys were the most recruited NHP species (76%), and nearly all studies measured ZIKV antibodies by PRNT. Other serological tests, such as HI, ELISA, Electrochemiluminescence Immunoassay (ECLIA), and the Luminex assay, were also performed.

3.2. The pooled and subgroup seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV in NHPs

3.2.1. Pooled CHIKV seroprevalence in NHP

In the 17 CHIKV seroprevalence studies included in NHP studies, 5191 NHPs were collected between 1962 and 2019 for the detection of...
anti-CHIKV antibodies. Eight hundred and thirteen (15.67%) NHPs were seropositive for CHIKV ranging from 0.7 to 82.76% (Table 1). Studies in Senegal, Rhodesia, Uganda and the Philippines presented higher levels of CHIKV seropositivity than in other countries. CHIKV seronegative NHPs were reported in three included studies from Polonnaruwa in Sri Lanka [25], Borneo in Malaysia [41] and St. Kitts in the West Indies [24]. Sixteen per cent (802/4984) of Old World monkeys of the genus *Cercopithecus*, *Chlorocebus*, *Erythrocebus*, *Macaca*, *Mandrillus*, *Pan*, *Papio* and *Patas* were positive for the anti-CHIKV antibody. *Cercopithecus* and *Chlorocebus* monkeys were the main NHPs tested. High CHIKV seropositive rates were found in the genus *Papio* (474/547, 86.65%), *Erythrocebus* (75/101, 74.26%) and *Pan* (23/37, 62.16%). However, Old World monkeys in the genus *Allenopithecus*, *Allochrocebus* and *Miopithecus* were CHIKV seronegative. Two hundred and seven monkeys from the New World were captured and tested for the CHIKV antibody. Of these, 11 monkeys (11/207, 5.3%) of the genus *Atelidae*, *Callitrichidae*, and *Cebidae* were CHIKV seropositive. The pool CHIKV seroprevalence in worldwide NHPs was presented in a forest plot (Fig. 3). Meta-analysis of these 17 included studies showed that the combined seroprevalence of CHIKV in NHP was 17% (95%CI: 5–34). There was significant heterogeneity in CHIKV seroprevalence in NHP (*I^2*: 99%, *p* < 0.01). A subgroup analysis based on different study regions was performed. The result showed that the highest CHIKV seroprevalence was observed in African NHPs at 35% (95% CI: 2–12), with high heterogeneity between studies (*I^2*: 92%, *p* < 0.01) (Fig. 4). In the subgroup analysis, the highest CHIKV seroprevalence was also present in Africa at 16% (95% CI 1–44; *I^2*: 97; *p* < 0.01). In Asia, the pooled seroprevalence of CHIKV in NHP was 7% (95% CI 0–2; *I^2*: 88; *p* < 0.01), which was higher than in America at 2% (95% CI 1–3; *I^2*: 54; *p* < 0.05).

3.3. Publication bias evaluation

We evaluated the publication bias of all included studies using Egger’s test and presented it with funnel plots. No evidence of publication bias was observed in the CHIKV studies (*p* _Egger_ = 0.36, Fig. 5 top). On the other hand, an asymmetrical funnel plot of ZIKV was observed (*p* _Egger_ < 0.05, Fig. 5 lower panel), indicating publication bias in included studies of ZIKV seroprevalence in NHPs.

4. Discussion

CHIKV and ZIKV are emerging mosquito-borne viruses. The human population in several areas, including Asia, Europe and the Americas, has been affected by CHIKV and ZIKV infection. These viruses circulate...
in forest habitats by the sylvatic cycle and in urban areas by the urban cycle. In the sylvatic cycle, CHIKV and ZIKV can infect NHP by feeding arboreal mosquitoes [3,42]. Although several studies have evidence that NHPs are susceptible to CHIKV and ZIKV infection, the potential role of NHPs in the transmission of CHIKV and ZIKV remains ambiguous. To better understand the role of NHPs in emerging viruses, CHIKV and ZIKV, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of the seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV in NHPs. We evaluated 17 and 16 studies worldwide for evidence of CHIKV and ZIKV infection in NHP, respectively. Based on this review, CHIKV and ZIKV can infect multiple NHPs, as illustrated by the pooled seroprevalence, which was 17% and 6%, respectively. We also discovered that NHPs in developing countries, especially Africa, have a high seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV in NHPs. Like Africa, an endemic area for CHIKV circulation has a higher pooled CHIKV seroprevalence in NHP than in the Americas or Asia. The seroprevalence of CHIKV in NHPs has been investigated since 1962 in southern Rhodesia [15] and since 1971 in Uganda [15]. The outcome has suggested that NHPs in these regions have a high CHIKV seropositive rate of up to 70–80%. Evidence of CHIKV seroprevalence studies in Senegal shows that NHPs in these areas were highly infected with CHIKV with a high level of anti-CHIKV antibody titer [7]. CHIKV has been isolated from multiple species of NHP and forest-dwelling mosquitoes in Senegal between 1972 and 1983 [43]. These results suggest that monkeys may serve as amplification hosts in the CHIKV sylvatic cycle rather than reservoirs [7,20]. Although the study in Rhodesia, Uganda, and Senegal presented a high CHIKV seropositive rate in NHP, low CHIKV seropositive rates have been reported in Cameroon, Congo, Reunion Island, Mauritius, and Mayotte. These contradictory results were also observed in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV worldwide in humans by Li et al. [1]. The study reported that the highest CHIKV seroprevalence in humans was found in Cameroon (68%), and the lowest CHIKV seroprevalence was found in Gabon, Mali, and Senegal, ranging from 1 to 3% [1]. For the seroprevalence of ZIKV in NHPs, our results show that high ZIKV seropositive NHPs were found in Nigeria in 1971 and Zambia in 2009. However, these results may be unreliable because NHPs that are ZIKV seropositive in the study in Nigeria also showed DENV-2 infection [30]. A recent publication mentioned that African ZIKV strains have higher transmissibility in mosquito vectors compared to Asian strains, possibly explaining the higher seroprevalence of ZIKV in Africa [44]. Although ZIKV was first isolated from a caged rhesus macaque and a forest mosquito, Aedes Africanus, in Africa [44]. But low seropositive NHPs with ZIKV were observed in some areas such as Tanzania, Cameroon and Congo. In the Americas, CHIKV and ZIKV were introduced in 2013 and 2015, respectively [44,45]. Our study showed that low CHIKV and ZIKV seroprevalence was found in NHPs on this continent. A study conducted in 1966 in the United States reported a high rate of seropositive CHIKV in NHP (26.47%). However, most of the NHPs were imported from Africa, India, Malaysia, Borneo, and Ceylon (Sri Lanka) [46]. Therefore, the high CHIKV seropositivity in these NHPs may result from prior infection in highly endemic areas before immigration. On the other hand, most of the included publications on ZIKV seroprevalence in the Americas were performed in Brazil (6 publications). The differences between the seroprevalence of ZIKV in NHP ranged from 0 to 5.45%. The low seroprevalence of ZIKV in NHPs in Brazil, Costa Rica, and the Caribbean Islands may indicate that the sylvatic cycle of ZIKV in these regions is untraceable. CHIKV was introduced to Asia in 1958 [42]. According to our analysis, CHIKV seropositive NHPs in this region ranged between 0 and 59%. Although CHIKV was reported to cause a significant epidemic in Sri Lanka in 1965, CHIKV seronegative in NHPs was reported in a study in 1987 in Sri Lanka [25]. In Malaysia, NHPs have a low seroprevalence of CHIKV, suggesting that CHIKV may not be an enzootic disease in this area [26,27]. Only three publications performed ZIKV studies in NHPs in Asia. In Malaysia and Thailand, a high

Fig. 4. Forest plot of pooled ZIKV seroprevalence among NHPs.
seroprevalence of ZIKV in NHP was presented, and previous research in Malaysia highlighted that orangutans could be infected with ZIKV [26]. The ZIKV antibody was also detected in stump-tailed macaques living in Thailand’s national parks [10], which could be a consequence of spill-over infection from human populations. Old World and New World monkeys were tested for CHIKV and ZIKV antibodies. Of all monkeys tested, the Old World monkeys had a higher percentage of seroprevalence for CHIKV and ZIKV than those found in the New World monkeys. Several factors, including group size, movement between groups, sexual selection (animal mating) in NHP, mosquito vector distribution and laboratory assay, were discovered to influence the seroprevalence rate [47]. PRNT is the gold standard method due to its high specificity and sensitivity [48]. However, ELISA and HI, which are less specific in reducing the cross-reaction between ZIKV and other flaviviruses, were used in many studies that may affect the precision of the results.

5. Limitations

The limitations of this investigation may include the availability of data, the high heterogeneity between searches, and current assessable serological tests. First, the CHIKV and ZIKV seroprevalence data can only be evaluated from publications in online databases. Therefore, unpublished data may be missing from the analysis. Second, this study shows a high heterogeneity in factors such as date and location of study and sampling, serological tests, NHP species, sample size, and so on. Third, there is the issue of cross-reaction between the ZIKV and DENV antibodies. The current gold standard for ZIKV antibody detection recommended by the WHO is the PRNT test with identification criteria. However, the laboratory technique used to differentiate between ZIKV and DENV is less specific. For that reason, the high rate of ZIKV seropositive in NHP reported in some studies may result from cross-reaction with DENV. Finally, limitations in the study, laboratory testing, and sample collection can lead to an underestimating of the seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV infection.

6. Conclusions

This is the first systematic review and meta-analysis of the seroprevalence of CHIKV and ZIKV in NHPs. Evidence of NHPs infected with CHIKV and ZIKV suggests the involvement of NHPs in the transmission cycle and viral maintenance in the environment. Therefore, understanding the reservoirs of these viruses is essential for public health control programs.
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