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Abstract

Conditioned diffusion models have demonstrated state-of-the-art text-to-image synthesis capacity. Recently, most works focus on synthesizing independent images. While for real-world applications, it is common and necessary to generate a series of coherent images for story-telling. In this work, we mainly focus on story visualization and continuation tasks and propose AR-LDM, a latent diffusion model auto-regressively conditioned on history captions and generated images. Moreover, AR-LDM can generalize to new characters through adaptation. To our best knowledge, this is the first work successfully leveraging diffusion models for coherent visual story synthesizing. It also extends the text-conditioned method to multimodal conditioning. Quantitative results show that AR-LDM achieves SoTA FID scores on PororoSV, FlintstonesSV, and the adopted challenging dataset VIST containing natural images. Large-scale human evaluations show that AR-LDM has superior performance in terms of quality, relevance, and consistency. Code available at this https URL

*Contribution during internship at Alibaba Group.

1. Introduction

Recently advanced diffusion models [31] such as DALL·E 2 [24], Imagen [29], and Stable Diffusion [26] have shown unprecedented text-to-image synthetic capacities. These models focus on single-image generation, while many real-world use cases like comic drawing require models to generate a series of coherent images according to a long story description. Text-to-image models offer extreme freedom to guide creation through natural language. Simply generating each image according to every single caption will result in poor relevance and consistency. Textual Inversion [4] and DreamBooth [28] have focused on creating a specific unique concept to guide consistent generation results across images. Re-Imagen [2] is able to generate specific entities with reference to retrieved image text pairs in a training-free manner. However, how to generate a series of coherent images illustrating a multi-sentence paragraph is still underexplored.

In this paper, we mainly focus on two tasks: story visualization [16] and story continuation [20]. Story visualization aims at synthesizing a series of images to describe a story containing multiple sentences. Story continuation is a vari-
ant of story visualization with the same goal as story visualization, but additionally based on a source frame (i.e., the first frame). This setting addresses the generalization issue and limited information issue in story visualization, allowing models to generate more meaningful and coherent images. Story visualization and continuation are challenging tasks requiring both vision-language understanding and image generation. Previous works are mainly based on GANs and auto-regressive models, and utilize contextual text encoders to improve consistency. While, as the saying goes, “A picture is worth a thousand words,” it is impossible for a single caption to exploit all necessary information for image generation. There are thousands of reasonable illustrations for a given story. For example, for the story shown in Fig. 1, the captions of the third and fourth frames do not describe the detail of the “car” or background. The key to generating coherent stories is to preserve as many details across images as possible. The main limitation of existing work is that the generation is guided only by contextual text conditions without leveraging previously generated images.

In this work, we propose Auto-Regressive Latent Diffusion Model (AR-LDM) to leverage diffusion models to synthesize coherent stories. Specifically, we employ a history-aware encoding module containing a CLIP text encoder [22], and a BLIP multimodal encoder [15]. For each frame, AR-LDM is guided by a multimodal condition containing both the current caption embedding and previously generated image-caption history embedding. This allows AR-LDM to generate relevant and coherent images. As shown in Fig. 1, AR-LDM shows strong multimodal understanding and image generation ability. It is able to precisely generate the scene as captions described in high quality, as well as keeping a strong consistency across frames. Additionally, we also explore adapting AR-LDM to preserve consistency for unseen characters (i.e., characters referred by a pronoun, like the man in the last frame of Fig. 1) within the stories. This adaptation can largely alleviate the inconsistent generation results caused by uncertain descriptions of unseen characters.

To evaluate our method, we utilize two widely accepted datasets, FlintstonesSV and PororoSV, as our test bed. While all existing story visualization and continuation datasets are cartoon images¹, we adopt the VIST [10] dataset for this task to better evaluate real-world story synthesis capacity. VIST contains story-in-sequence (SIS) captions that better match real-world use cases, and also provides description-in-isolation (DII) style captions. Quantitative evaluation results show our method achieves SoTA performance in both story visualization and continuation tasks. In particular, AR-LDM achieves an FID score of 16.59 on PororoSV, with a relative improvement of 55% over previous story visualization methods. AR-LDM also boosts story continuation performance with a relative improvement of approximately 20% on all evaluation datasets. We also conduct large-scale human evaluations to test our method’s visual quality, relevance, and consistency, which shows that humans mostly prefer our synthesized stories over previous methods.

Our contribution can be summarized as follows:

1. We propose a history-aware auto-regressive conditioned latent diffusion model AR-LDM, which first leverages diffusion models for story synthesis.
2. We propose a multimodal conditioning module, extend the use of text conditioned diffusion model to a broader field as a general-purpose image decoder.
3. We go beyond cartoon stories and adopt the VIST dataset for real-world story synthesis.
4. For more practical application, we additionally propose a simple but efficient adaptation method to allow AR-LDM generalizing to unseen characters.

2. Related work

2.1. Text-to-Image Synthesis

Recent advances in text-to-image synthesis mainly focus on generative adversarial networks (GANs) [5], auto-regressive models, and diffusion models. GANs like Stackgan [41], Attngan [38], Mirrorgan [21], and MX-Scene [3], and Parti [39] can be easily scaled up and have also shown their excellent image synthetic capacity. Recently, success in diffusion models has attracted many researchers’ attention. As likelihood-based models, diffusion models do not suffer from mode-collapse and potentially unstable training as GANs, and can generate more diversified images. Additionally, diffusion models are more parameter-effective than auto-regressive models. Unlike prior diffusion models mostly relied on text conditioning, AR-LDM extends the text conditional generation method to multimodal conditioning. Concurrent work M-VADER [37] shares a similar idea with us, but they focus on single image synthesis and do not utilize the auto-regressive method.

2.2. Story Synthesis

StoryGAN [16] firstly proposes the story visualization task. Most story visualization models are based on GANs and comprise context text encoder, image generator, separate image, and story discriminators. The context text encoder and story discriminators mainly aim to preserve image consistency. DUCO-StoryGAN [19] uses dual learning and copy-transform to improve story visualization. The copy-transform mechanism first incorporates features from

¹ the DiDeMoSV [20] dataset is a cartoon-style real-world dataset.
Figure 2. Overview of proposed AR-LDM. The blue blocks represent the perceptual compression models; the orange blocks denote the history-aware conditioning network. Illustration inspired by [12].

3. Method

3.1. Preliminaries

Diffusion models [31] define a Markov chain of forward diffusion process \( q \) to gradually add Gaussian noise sampled to real data \( z_0 | q(z) \) in \( T \) steps. In particular, \( z \) in this paper denotes latent representations instead of pixels. The forward process \( q(z_t | z_{t-1}) \) at each time step \( t \) is:

\[
q(z_t | z_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(z_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t}z_{t-1}, \beta_t \mathbf{I})
\]

\[
q(z_{1:T} | z_0) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(z_t | z_{t-1})
\]

in which \( \beta_t \in (0, 1) \) denotes the step size. Note \( \beta_{t-1} < \beta_t \).

Diffusion models learn a UNet [27] denoted as \( \epsilon_{\theta} \) to reverse the forward diffusion process, constructing desired data samples from the noise. Let \( \alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t \) and \( \bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i \). We can reparameterize the denoising process \( p(z_{t-1} | z_t) \) also as a Gaussian distribution. It can be estimated by \( \epsilon_{\theta} \) and has a form of the following:

\[
p_{\theta}(z_{t-1} | z_t) = \mathcal{N}(z_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(z_t, t), \Sigma_{\theta}(z_t, t))
\]

\[
\mu_{\theta}(z_t, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}(z_t - \beta_t \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, t))
\]

The learning objective of diffusion models is to approximate the mean \( \mu_{\theta}(z_t, t) \) in the reverse diffusion process. We can use variational lower bound (ELBO) [13] to minimize the negative log-likelihood of \( p_{\theta}(z_0) \) [8], the simplified objective can be written as a denoising objective:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{z_0, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), t} \left[ \| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, t) \|^2 \right]
\]

During inference, [9] proposes to use classifier-free guidance to obtain more relevant generation results.

\[
\hat{\epsilon} = w \cdot \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, \varphi, t) - (w - 1) \cdot \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, t)
\]

where \( w \) is guidance scale, \( \varphi \) denotes the condition.

3.2. Auto-Regressive Latent Diffusion Model

As we discussed in Sec. 1, different from single caption text-to-image task, synthesizing coherent stories requires the model to be aware of history descriptions and scenes. For instance, consider a story “A red metallic cylinder cube is at the center. Then add a green rubber cube at the right.” present in [16]. The second sentence alone cannot give enough guidance to generate a coherent image. It is crucial for the model to understand the history caption, the scene, and the appearance of the “red metallic cylinder cube” in the first generated image. The key point of designing a strong story synthesis model is to make it capable of incorporating history captions and scenes for current image generation.

In this work, we propose auto-regressive latent diffusion model (AR-LDM) to achieve better consistency...
across frames. As shown in Fig. 2a, AR-LDM leverages the history captions and images for future frame generation. For a certain story with a length of \( L \), let \( C = [c_1, \ldots, c_j, \ldots, c_L] \) be input captions and \( X = [x_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_L] \) be the image targets, each caption \( c_j \) is corresponding to an image \( x_j \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W} \). Existing works assume conditional independence between each frame and generate the whole visual story according to the captions. While AR-LDM gets rid of this assumption by additionally conditioned on history images \( x_{<j} \) and directly estimating the posterior based on the chain rule, which has a form of

\[
P_{\text{AR}}(X|C) = \prod_{j=1}^{L} P(x_j|x_{<j}, C) \
\approx \prod_{j=1}^{L} P(x_j|x_{<j}, c_{\leq j}) \
= \prod_{j=1}^{L} P(x_j|\tau_0(x_{<j}, c_{\leq j})) \
= \prod_{j=1}^{L} p_{\theta}(z_{j}^{[\theta]}|\tau_0(D(z_{0}^{[\theta]}), c_{\leq j}))
\]

where \( p_{\theta} \) is the reverse diffusion process reparameterized by the generative network \( \theta \), and \( \tau_0 \) denotes the history-aware conditioning network. \( D \) denotes the decoder of the perceptual compression model (i.e., VQ-VAE), which also contains an encoder \( \mathcal{E} \). To avoid abuse of notations, we use \( z_{j}^{[\theta]} \) to denote the latent diffusion variable at \( j \)-th frame and \( t \)-th diffusion step. It should be noted that AR-LDM is based on a causal method that is only conditioned on current and previous captions instead of the entire captions. It offers greater flexibility and practicality in real-world applications such as comic drawing. AR-LDM allows users to generate images and iteratively refine prompts in a back-and-forth, dialogue-like manner, which enables them to add all desired details before moving on to the next image. The \( \approx \) in Eq. (5) reflect the assumption that in a storytelling scenario, the details for image \( x_j \) only come from history and current captions rather than future captions. Fig. 2b shows the detailed architecture of AR-LDM.

**Generative network** Following [26], AR-LDM also performs the forward and reverse diffusion processes in an efficient, low-dimensional latent space. The latent space is approximately perceptually equivalent to high-dimensional RGB space, while the redundant semantically meaningless information in pixels is eliminated. Specifically, perceptual compression models consisting of \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( D \) are trained to encode the real data into the latent space and reverse, such that \( D(\mathcal{E}(x)) \approx x \). AR-LDM uses latent representations \( z = \mathcal{E}(x) \) instead of pixels during the diffusion process. The final output can be decoded back to pixel space with \( D(z) \). The separate mild perceptual compression stage only eliminates imperceptible details, allowing the model to achieve competitive generation results at a much lower cost.

**History-Aware Conditioning Network** We use a history-aware conditioning network to encode the history caption-image pairs into a multimodal condition \( \varphi_j = \tau_0(x_{<j}, c_{\leq j}) \) to guide denoising process \( p_{\theta}(z_{j}^{[\theta]}|\varphi_j) \) directly through cross attention, ensuring AR-LDM can effectively handle long stories generation in a complexity of \( O(L) \). The estimated noise in Eq. (3) can be rewritten as \( \epsilon_\theta(z_{j}^{[\theta]}, \varphi_j, t) \). Therefore, \( P(x_j|x_{<j}, C) \) in Eq. (5) can be simplified as \( p_{\theta}(z_{j}^{[\theta]}|\varphi_j) \). The conditioning network consists of CLIP [22] and BLIP [15], in charge of current caption encoding and previous caption-image encoding, respectively. BLIP is pre-trained using vision-language understanding and generation tasks with large-scale filtered clean web data. BLIP utilizes the cross-attention module to deeply integrate visual and language modalities. It is able to ground the entities generated in history frames, allowing the generative network to refer to history scenes.

In summary, AR-LDM can generate image \( \hat{x}_j \) through:

\[
\tau_j = \text{CLIP}(c_j) \\
m_{<j} = [\text{BLIP}(c_1, \hat{x}_1); \ldots; \text{BLIP}(c_{j-1}, \hat{x}_{j-1})] \\
\varphi_j = [\tau_j + c^{\text{type}}; m_{<j} + m^{\text{type}} + m^{\text{time}}] \\
z_{j}^{[\theta]} \sim p_{\theta}(z_{j}^{[\theta]}|\varphi_j) \\
\hat{x}_j = D(z_{j}^{[\theta]})
\]

where \( m_{<j} \) denotes encoded multimodal features from previous captions and generated images. \( c^{\text{type}}, m^{\text{type}} \in \mathbb{R}^D \) are text and multimodal type embedding, respectively. \( D = 708 \) denotes the embedding dimension. \( m^{\text{time}} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D} \) is time embedding. Specifically, the first image \( x_1 \) is provided as input for the story continuation setting.

### 3.3. Adaptive AR-LDM

For real-world applications like comic drawing, it’s necessary to preserve consistency for the new (unseen) characters. As we discussed in Sec. 1, it is challenging because one cannot depict every single detail of the unseen character in captions, and the story synthesis model always suffers from the inconsistent descriptions of a certain unseen character like the generated results of AR-LDM shown in Fig. 7. Inspired by Textual Inversion [4] and DreamBooth [28], we add a new token \(<\text{char}>\) to represent the unseen character, and adapt the trained AR-LDM to generalize to the specific unseen character. Specifically, the embedding of the new token \(<\text{char}>\) is initialized by that of a similar existing word, like “man” or “woman”. Then we finetune the whole parameters of AR-LDM on only a single story composed of 3-5 images of the character.
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4. Experiments

4.1. Datasets

We use three datasets as our testbed, PororoSV [16], FlintstonesSV [18], and VIST [10]. Each story in these datasets contains 5 consecutive frames. For story visualization, we predict all 5 frames from captions. For story continuation, the first frame is assigned as a source frame, and we generate the rest 4 frames with reference to the source frame. We will briefly go through these three datasets, a more detailed introduction can be found in Appendix A.

PororoSV and FlintstonesSV The PororoSV [16] and FlintstonesSV [18] datasets are adapted from Pororo video question answering dataset [11] and Flintstones text-to-video synthesis dataset [6], respectively. Both two datasets contain several recurring characters. While FlintstonesSV is relatively harder than PororoSV, for there are many unseen characters within the stories.

VIST However, there are two major limitations of existing story synthesis datasets: (1) current datasets are all cartoon ones; (2) sentences are isolated descriptions rather than sequential stories. We propose to use the Visual Story Telling (VIST) dataset [10] for real-world story synthesizing. VIST provides two kinds of captions: description-in-isolation (DII) and story-in-sequence (SIS). As shown in Fig. 3, DII captions are more like the ones in PororoSV and FlintstonesSV, every single caption contains detailed information about the image. In contrast, SIS captions describe the five images like a story and merely repeat the content mentioned before. The story-style captions are closer to real-world use cases and require the model to have a better contextual understanding ability.

4.2. Experimental Settings

Our model is initialized by the weight of Stable Diffusion [26], a publicly available text-to-image LDM trained on LAION-5B [30]. We trained AR-LDM for 50 epochs on 8 NVIDIA A100-80GB GPUs for two days. We only freeze the encoder $\mathcal{E}$ and decoder $\mathcal{D}$, and finetune the rest parameters using the AdamW optimizer [17] with an initial learning rate of 0.1 for each frame. A cosine scheduler and 8000 steps learning rate warm-up are used during training. During inference, we sample images using the DDIM scheduler [32] for 250 inference steps with guidance scale $w$ set to 6.0.

5. Results

We evaluate AR-LDM using two settings: (1) quantitative evaluation using automatic metric FID score [7]; (2) large-scale human evaluations regarding visual quality, relevance, and consistency. Note that we report FID scores at a resolution of $64 \times 64$ following [20] for a fair comparison.

5.1. Quantitative Results

Table 2. Story visualization FID score results on PororoSV. We use the results reported by [1,14,23].
Better visual quality, relevance, and consistency can be observed in the visual stories synthesized by AR-LDM.

(a) Comparison of story visualization results between AR-LDM and DUCO-StoryGAN [19]. DUCO-StoryGAN also incorporates features of previously generated images through copy-transform, but we can observe that AR-LDM can faithfully generate high-quality images exactly as the captions described.

(b) Comparison of story continuation results between AR-LDM and StoryDALL-E [20]

Figure 4. Visual story synthesis results on PororoSV. Note the case in Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b is the same one.

Figure 5. Comparison of story continuation results between AR-LDM and StoryDALL-E on FlintstonesSV (upper) and VIST-SIS (lower). Better visual quality, relevance, and consistency can be observed in the visual stories synthesized by AR-LDM.
AR-LDM achieves a series of new SoTA FID scores on Story Continuation and present the results in Tab. 1. and scenes. More cases can be found in Appendix D.1. 

**Ablation Studies** We conduct ablation studies on the proposed auto-regressive multimodal module. Particularly, we use the story continuation task on FlintstonesSV as our test bed, for it requires higher consistency across frames and contains many challenging unseen characters. Starting from a finetuned Stable Diffusion baseline, we utilize a source frame image to guide the generation through CLIP visual encoder and obtain an FID score improvement of 1.09. If we further leverage the source frame image caption pair and use BLIP to encode it into a multimodal embedding to condition the diffusion process, we can observe an improvement of 2.16 compared to the baseline model. Finally, we employ an auto-regressive generation manner and achieve a further improvement of 0.66. However, the FID score is only related to visual quality. Apart from visual quality, AR-LDM also performs better in terms of relevance and consistency, we provide a case in FlintstonesSV to illustrate it. As shown in Fig. 6, compared to other methods, AR-LDM makes significant progress and achieves a SoTA FID score of 16.59, surpassing previous methods and even concurrent diffusion model-based method [23] by a large margin. As shown in Fig. 4a, AR-LDM is able to generate high-quality, coherent visual stories while faithfully reproducing character details and scenes. More cases can be found in Appendix D.1.

**Story Continuation** We test the story continuation performance of AR-LDM and present the results in Tab. 1. AR-LDM achieves a series of new SoTA FID scores on all four datasets. Notably, AR-LDM outperforms MEGA-StoryDALL-E with around half parameters. As shown in Fig. 4b, AR-LDM can preserve consistency through auto-regression generation, like the background of the last two frames in the left case, as well as the blocks in the third and fourth frames in the right case. We further demonstrate this consistency across frames on FlintstonesSV and VIST-SIS datasets in Fig. 5. For example, the jail in the upper left case and the sunglasses in the upper right case. Moreover, we show that AR-LDM can infer from previous captions and scenes. In the lower right case, AR-LDM generates a black-and-white story from the black-and-white source image and caption containing “old looking” and “history”, without relying on captions describing the color of photos, which is more reasonable than the results of StoryDALL-E. More cases can be found in Appendix D.

---

**Table 3.** Ablation study results for story continuation task on FlintstonesSV. All models are finetuned using the same training data and strategies as AR-LDM.

| Models                  | FID  | Δ     |
|------------------------|------|-------|
| Stable Diffusion [26]  | 22.10| -     |
| + CLIP Visual Conditioning | 21.01| -1.09 |
| Stable Diffusion [26]  | 22.10| -     |
| + BLIP Multimodal Conditioning | 19.94| -2.16 |
| + Auto Regression      | 19.28| -2.82 |

Table 4. Human evaluation results of story continuation task on PororoSV, FlintstonesSV, and VIST-SIS datasets. Win means AR-LDM is preferred over StoryDALL-E; Lose for vice-versa; Tie denotes the samples that human annotators can hardly choose.

| Dataset     | Criterion       | Win (%) | Tie (%) | Lose (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|---------|---------|----------|
| PororoSV    | Visual Quality  | 90.6    | 2.2     | 7.2      |
|             | Relevance       | 88.6    | 1.0     | 10.4     |
|             | Consistency     | 70.6    | 9.4     | 20.0     |
| FlintstonesSV| Visual Quality  | 99.4    | 0.2     | 0.4      |
|             | Relevance       | 96.2    | 1.0     | 2.8      |
|             | Consistency     | 93.2    | 6.0     | 0.8      |
| VIST-SIS    | Visual Quality  | 86.6    | 6.6     | 6.8      |
|             | Relevance       | 69.8    | 6.8     | 23.4     |
|             | Consistency     | 81.6    | 8.2     | 10.2     |
| Dataset   | Criterion | Win (%) | Tie (%) | Lose (%) |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|
| PororoSV  | Visual Quality | 41.8    | 17.4    | 40.8     |
|           | Relevance   | 18.0    | 28.6    | 53.4     |
|           | Consistency  | 3.8     | 3.2     | 93.0     |
| FlintstonesSV | Visual Quality | 42.2    | 20.0    | 37.8     |
|           | Relevance   | 24.6    | 26.4    | 49.0     |
|           | Consistency  | 2.6     | 13.2    | 84.2     |
| VIST-SIS  | Visual Quality | 14.6    | 20.6    | 64.8     |
|           | Relevance   | 19.2    | 48.6    | 32.2     |
|           | Consistency  | 3.0     | 46.2    | 50.8     |

Table 5. Human evaluation results of story continuation on PororoSV, FlintstonesSV, and VIST-SIS datasets. Comparison between AR-LDM synthesized visual stories and ground truth references.

Figure 7. Adaptation results for a case AR-LDM failed to properly generate on FlintstonesSV. The underlined texts refer to one specific person and can be replaced by `<char>` in adapted AR-LDM.

5.2. Large-Scale Human Evaluation

We also carry out large-scale human evaluations for the story continuation task on PororoSV, FlintstonesSV, and VIST-SIS datasets in terms of visual quality, relevance, and consistency. It is necessary because the FID score only measures visual quality. We enlist the services of a third-party annotation team to ensure fairness in comparisons. The team consists of five skilled, full-time annotators, whose involvement helps to minimize human error and maintain the quality of evaluations. For detailed human evaluation settings, see Appendix B. The annotation team conducted a comparison between the synthesized stories of AR-LDM and StoryDALL-E. We randomly select 500 samples of each dataset to be evaluated for each criterion. Our evaluation scale is 10 times larger than that of StoryDALL-E, providing a more comprehensive and precise result. The evaluation results are shown in Tab. 4. Owing to the powerful diffusion model, AR-LDM significantly outperforms StoryDALL-E in visual quality. The history-aware conditioning network also largely boosts AR-LDM’s relevance and consistency. Cases in Appendix C can showcase the annotation quality.

5.3. Adapting to Unseen Characters

As shown in Fig. 7, all underlined texts are referring the same character (i.e., the man with a pink hat in the source frame), while the description is inconsistent. As a result, AR-LDM generates three different characters according to every single description. After being finetuned on 3-5 images, adapted AR-LDM can generate consistent characters as well as faithfully synthesize scenes and characters as captions describe. In contrast, simply finetuning Stable Diffusion using the same data cannot obtain satisfying results, because it confuses other characters with `<char>` and fails to generate them. More cases can be found in Appendix E.

6. Limitations

Though AR-LDM achieves an unprecedented synthesis capability, largely outperforms StoryDALL-E in the human evaluation as discussed in Sec. 5.2, we find that AR-LDM is still far behind ground truth visual stories in terms of consistency. As the human evaluation results shown in Tab. 5, AR-LDM is comparable to ground truth visual stories regarding visual quality and relevance; We can also observe that 49.2% of generated stories on VIST are as consistent as ground truth. However, as for more challenging PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets whose frames are sampled from videos, we find that few synthesized visual stories are as consistent as ground truth references. This indicates consistency is a short board of current models, and it still needs to be improved in the future. We believe the gap with ground truth can be narrowed with a stronger conditioning module, additional discussion can be found in Appendix F.

7. Conclusion

We present AR-LDM, the first work employing diffusion models for story visualization and continuation, which demonstrates unprecedented effectiveness in generating coherent visual stories in high quality. AR-LDM incorporates captions and previously generated images into current frame generation through an auto-regressive manner to preserve consistency. The multimodal conditioning also extends the use of text conditioned diffusion model to a wider range as a general-purpose image decoder.
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