Performance Assessment of Axial-Flux Permanent Magnet Motors from a Manual Manufacturing Process
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Abstract: Implementation of a new design for the process of assembling an axial-flux permanent magnet synchronous motor (AF PMSM) may lead to unstable motor parameters during operation at low and high speeds. In this paper, experimental data related to the AF PMSM used in an electric traction motor was monitored. The paper presents tracing of machine performance in order to find quality-related issues and to evaluate the assembly process. To assess the manual manufacturing process (low-volume production) and electrical machine performance, several motors, characterized by the same size and topology, were extensively tested. Useful AF PMSM parameters such as continuous torque and continuous current were measured. The winding temperature of the stators was also monitored and carefully examined. An attempt to assess motor performance, based on measurements and aimed at the identification of the weakest parts of the electric motor design is presented. In this paper it can be seen how the subcomponents of the machine and its detailed assembly process and tolerances play key roles in achievement of the designed continuous performance with symmetrical temperature distribution in the stator winding. Selected conclusions drawn from the obtained measurements were explained by a rotor/stator misalignment study using 3-D finite element analysis.
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1. Introduction

The concept of electric vehicles is expanding into the areas of passenger cars, trucks, buses, and special purpose vehicles. This requires engineers to consider the design of electric motors with suitable thermal-management systems, inverters, and motor controls [1–3]. The manufacture of these vehicles requires the development and launch of electrical machines with low noise levels, improved motor performance, high efficiency, a high level of reliability, intensive active cooling of the stator (as well as, in many cases, of the rotor), and a long lifespan [4–6]. Nowadays, lighter machines with higher efficiencies are also required [7]. In order to avoid unsafe operation and to increase lifespans, it is important to use appropriate cooling approaches in electric traction motors. Moreover, tolerance sensitivity analysis of a new group of electrical machines is also important in order to assess their weaknesses [8–10].

When developing a new manual manufacturing process for an electric machine, it is important to test the lifespan of the machines being built and, based on these findings, to define the right test approach [11,12]. The conclusions drawn from these lifespan and performance tests may be very useful in the specification of further modifications or optimization processes aimed at the development of a new electric motor prototype characterized by improved performance [9,12–15].
The main aim of the present paper is to analyze the monitored performance of an AF PMSM for several identical electric motors from a low-volume production line. This analysis should demonstrate differences in the motor’s useful parameters and identify what should be changed in the testing techniques and in the AFPMSM assembly process in order to reduce the standard deviation of each motor’s parameters, such as torque constant, voltage constant, torque ripple, and efficiency. In the case of various measured parameters, the authors made an effort to point out the weakest areas of AF PMSM observed during the motor assembly process. Moreover, the authors demonstrate the relationship of the test differences to potential problems in AF PMSM batches. Many automotive-industry research units, engineers usually come across several serious issues that must be resolved, one of which is the failure of a portion of produced machines during their lifetime. It is common to initially focus forensic investigations on electromagnetic, mechanical, and thermal aspects. However, this is not sufficient to avoid machine failure over time. Based on the authors experience, electromagnetic, mechanical, and thermal analysis must be extended to include motor a sensitivity study. This is especially true because the structure of a high-power-density AF PMSM designed to operate at medium to high speeds requires special techniques to increase its lifespan and to avoid early failures during operation. One of these techniques is based on studying the influence of the tolerances of motor subcomponents during the assembly process, which plays an important role in understanding the machine’s behavior and in identifying motor elements that must be redesigned [16–22].

An AF PMSM with double-stator topology was considered in this paper. From the subject literature it can be seen that, depending on AF PMSM topology, the axial offset of the rotor in the air-gap may cause significant unbalanced axial forces, with minor impacts on other machine parameters such as torque constant [23,24]. Conversely, angular offset between the two stators has a small impact on unbalanced rotor forces but a relatively large one on recirculating currents within the machine, which can lead to uneven heating of the two stators [23,25].

A large percentage of the current scientific work on electrical machines focuses on numerical and analytical design. Following extensive characterization and validation testing, a given machine is usually ready for mass production. However, for engineers assessing electrical machine performance based on test data, there is little guidance available to help improve the design in terms of durability. In small and medium research units of AF PMSM hybrid electric vehicle (HEV) and in electric vehicle (EV) traction motors in the global automobile market, engineers have come across a number of difficult challenges as shown in [26]. A small portion of the existing academic literature discusses improvements in the process of the design of an electrical machine based on monitoring of the machine’s performance, with even less attention paid to the tracing the performance of same-batch electric motors in order to find quality-related issues and identify assembly-process defects. To bridge this gap, the authors conducted the necessary research. The presented measurements can be used to point out the weakest parts of the motor, which may constitute a reason for reduced efficiency and lifetime found in AF PMSMs. The study of assembly tolerances explains the influence of rotor/stator sensitivity on motor performance.

2. Experimental Measurement

A three-phase PMSM, based on axial flux technology, that can be used in conjunction with custom-built or standard industrial inverters is shown in Figure 1a. Designed AFPMSMs combine high performance with reduced weight and size that are ideal for electric and hybrid electric vehicles [27–29]. These machines are characterized by the following key features: high torque and power density, low cogging torque, compact design with flat front and back faces for easy mounting, a through shaft, an integrated resolver for rotor position feedback; liquid cooling for enhanced performance.

The experimental facility, prototype instrumentation and experimental methods used to monitor the machine’s parameters and to provide empirical validation with a numerical model (based on the finite element method (FEM)) are shown in Figure 1b, describing a classic back-to-back arrangement. The DC inputs of the inverters was connected to the same DC bus with 75 kW and 400 VDC, configured
to provide constant voltage to the inverter’s input. On the motor side, an IGBT based industrial sine-wave drive using field-oriented control was configured in torque control mode which took power from the DC bus and converted it into 3-phase AC power, thus driving the device under test (DUT). The mechanical power in the shaft was used to move the test cell dyno working in speed mode as a generator, thereby converting the power, minus the losses of all the elements, back to the DC link through another sine-wave IGBT based inverter. The main advantage of the back-to-back array was that the DUT could be submitted to rated performance values by taking only a fraction of the power from the grid to feed the losses of the inverters, electrical machines and power supply. More details about the measurement methods are described in the references [30].

![Figure 1](image.png)

Figure 1. Test of the PMSM motor based on axial flux technology: (a) the AFPMSM prototype under test; (b) Schematic of the experimental set-up facility.

The tested machines were capable by design of delivering nominal power of 64 kW when driven by an inverter with sinusoidal voltage with controllable frequency and amplitude. The active electrical power consumed in each phase of the tested motor was measured by a precision power analyzer connected through external shunts to measure phase currents, while voltage was sensed internally. General specifications for the test motors are listed in Table 1.

| Parameter                      | Value   | Unit  |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Maximum speed                 | 8000    | rpm   |
| Nominal torque                | 145     | Nm    |
| Nominal output power          | 64      | kW    |
| Maximum phase current         | 300     | A_{RMS} |
| Nominal phase current         | 180     | A_{RMS} |
| Slot/poles                    | 45/10   | -     |
| Electrical steel grade        | M330-35A| -     |
| Magnet pole pitch             | 120     | Elec.deg. |
| Magnet thickness              | 10      | mm    |
| Magnet grade                  | N33EH   | -     |
| Air-gap                       | 1.125   | mm    |
| Active outer diameter         | 245     | mm    |
| Active inner diameter         | 140     | mm    |
| Slot height/width             | 20/7    | mm    |
| Yoke height                   | 8.5     | mm    |

Measurements of continuous torque, continuous current, and even winding temperature in each stator disk were investigated in depth. The test consisted of a series of measurements at varying speeds and loads. The machines were driven mainly at two points of interest, one at 500 rpm (constant...
torque) and the other, well inside the field of weakening region, at 6000 rpm (constant power), with a line-to-neutral RMS (root mean square) input current between 166 and 190 A. The device speed was dependent on the excitation frequency and machine number of poles, while the torque was proportional to $I_q$ (proportional to the current below base speed). In order to filter out electrical noise, mechanical vibration and torque ripple, the reading was time-averaged with FPGA-based hardware. This involved the acquisition of different electrical, thermal, and mechanical variables in real time and, prior to all of them being saved together in vector data, performance of a check of the data stability to avoid retention of an inaccurate value for one of the parameters. At each speed value (and for a given input voltage, coolant inlet temperature, and flow) the continuous performance test consisted of finding the mechanical torque—and thus power, that would plateau the winding temperature at the maximum allowable value of 170 °C. The plateau was defined by temperature changes of less than 1.0 K within a window of 5 min. Typical input parameters were 400 VDC and 55 °C coolant inlet, 8.0 LPM water-ethylene glycol (50/50). As per the voltage input of the motor, this can be studied separately for the fundamental component and the total signal including all the harmonics. For the first one it is useful to employ a phasor diagram as in classic synchronous machine theory, while for the later and being a PWM signal, the total RMS component is the relevant parameter employed. The coolant jacket was characterized by two serpentine channels fed in parallel, with a corrugated cross section in order to increase the surface area of the coolant jacket hence improving heat transfer. The coolant medium was a mix of water and glycol.

The winding temperature was measured using one class B PT100 platinum resistance thermometer (accuracy rating ±0.5 °C) placed in each stator end winding.

3. Overview of Motor Topology, Assembly, and Design Methodology

The AF PMSM topology was described in detail in [27]. Figure 2a represents the shape of the motor disk, consisting of a single rotor sandwiched between two stators, where two sets of three phase windings are connected in parallel. The winding is constructed of a resin-impregnated bundle of copper wires inserted into each slot and subsequently cured. A diagram of the winding of the AF PMSM is presented in Figure 2b. The stators were manufactured from a continuous strip of stamped electrical steel and wound on a mandrel (this creates a laminated stack in order to reduce eddy current losses). Once the stator cores, winding, magnets, and rotor core were built, all of these components were assembled manually. It should be noted that the iron losses in each actual electric motor cannot be the same because in each motor the stator core material was stamped, formed, and welded prior to being fixed to a casing using screws, these processes introduce stress and strain into the material, potentially influencing iron loss, which in turn can affect motor performance. The frilling and tapping of the stator core for fixing can also create local hotspots due to lamination short-circuiting.

The magnets employed were set manually and glued into the non-conducting rotor core. The magnetization vectors of each pole were set in opposite axial directions.

Customers often require distinct capabilities in the production of electrical machines as a result of specific requirements regarding electric motor design. Investments in the development of innovative electric motor technologies encompass differences in equipment, complexity, and operation quality. Nevertheless, two issues still need to be discussed. From the economic point of view, the motor manufacturing process cannot always be automated at the first stage of prototyping or even of the stage of testing motors for a low-volume automobile market. Second, a manual manufacturing process is required. Thus, in the event of changes made to manufactured electric motors, the risk of AF PMSM failure may be high. All tested AF PMSMs are characterized by the same design and each electric motor batch was built in a different months. Thus the magnet and stator batches and impregnation processes may affect electric motor performance. In the next section, each electric motor batch is discussed in an attempt to interpret the test results. Some of the conclusions were interpreted by means of finite element analysis of rotor/stator misalignment in AF PMSMs.
with a different colors (each month a new batch of AFPMSMs was tested; each batch may have been affected by a slightly different procedure of production and manual device assembly). Moreover, each batch number of AF PMSMs was marked numbered 1 to 67, were selected for testing. Electric motors, the risk of AF PMSM failure may be high. All tested AF PMSMs are characterized by the continuous current needed to maintain the average torque in N·m obtained for each AFPMSM. The motor topology of the AF PMSM: (a) Major components; (b) winding sequence; due to rotational symmetry, only 1/5 of a section is shown with the wound stator core.

4. Results and Discussion of the Performance Investigation

The parameters of all motors were measured via experiment. An example of typical operation illustrated by speed and torque is presented in [31]. The continuous current needed to maintain the measured continuous torque at constant low and high speeds is shown in Figure 3. Electric motors, numbered 1 to 67, were selected for testing. Moreover, each batch number of AF PMSMs was marked with a different colors (each month a new batch of AFPMSMs was tested; each batch may have been affected by a slightly different procedure of production and manual device assembly).

Figure 2. The motor topology of the AF PMSM: (a) Major components; (b) winding sequence; due to rotational symmetry, only 1/5 of a section is shown with the wound stator core.

Figure 3. Measurements at 500 and 6000 rpm for several AFPMSMs: (a) Continuous current; (b) continuous torque.
Figure 4 shows the torque constant $k_T$ for each tested electric motor, $k_T$ defined as [23]

$$k_T = \frac{T_{av}}{I}$$

(1)

where $k_T$ is the torque constant in N·m/A, $I$ is the RMS current in A, and $T_{av}$ is the electromagnetic average torque in N·m obtained for each AFPMSM.

![Figure 4. Torque constant vs. continuous torque measured at two different speeds for each AF PMSM tested: (a) 500 rpm; (b) 6000 rpm.](image)

The standard deviation of the population of measured shaft torque for tested electrical machines at low- and high-speed operation was 4.56 and 3.21, respectively. The average torque of 67 AF PMSMs operating at low and high speeds was 157 and 106.7 Nm, respectively. The population’s standard deviation was computed as

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{av i}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{av i}\right)^2}$$

(2)

where $N$ is the number of tested AFPMSMs.

The phase-to-neutral voltage input of the motors at 500 rpm is $23 V_{RMS}$ for the fundamental component and $149 V_{RMS}$ including the harmonics. For the high speed point at 6000 rpm, those values are $138 V_{RMS}$ and $169 V_{RMS}$, respectively. This is included in Table 2.

| Parameter                                         | Value   | Unit  |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Phase-to-neutral fundamental voltage at 500 rpm   | 23      | $V_{RMS}$ |
| Phase-to-neutral RMS voltage at 500 rpm (up to 100th harmonic) | 149     | $V_{RMS}$ |
| Phase-to-neutral fundamental voltage at 6000 rpm  | 138     | $V_{RMS}$ |
| Phase-to-neutral RMS voltage at 6000 rpm (up to 100th harmonic) | 169     | $V_{RMS}$ |

The temperature of each stator winding of an AF PMSM operating at 500 and 6000 rpm was measured using a PTSE temperature sensor (type PT100) placed in the center of the slot during manufacturing. In addition to monitoring the temperature in the winding, the sensors were also used to activate protection against overheating of the winding. For this purpose, once a temperature higher than 170 °C, with an acceptable deviation of ±1 K, was reached, the test was stopped. Thus it can be observed that the temperatures of the monitored stators for the vast majority of AF PMSMs fell within the temperature acceptability range as shown in Figures 5 and 6. The difference between the stator’s windings (here designated stator-1 and stator-2) appears alarming; however, most of the AF PMSMs operated at a stable temperature. At low speeds the temperature distribution phenomena...
in both stators were similar in all tested batches. When one stator reached a temperature of 170 °C the temperature in another in most of the AF motors was above 160 °C. Different phenomena of temperature distribution could be seen at high speeds, where almost all AFMs reached 170 °C in stator-1, whereas the temperature of stator-2 ranged between 150–165 °C in most of AFMs.

![Figure 5](image5.png)

**Figure 5.** Winding temperature measured for each stator at 500 rpm for each AFPMSM: (a) Stator-1; (b) stator-2.

![Figure 6](image6.png)

**Figure 6.** Winding temperature measured for each stator at 6000 rpm for each AFPMSM: (a) Stator-1 (b) Stator-2.

Temperature differences between stator windings are shown in Figure 7 at low and high speeds. The temperature differences related to stator-2 show which stator was hotter or colder (negative values mean that stator-2 was hotter than stator-1). The absolute temperature difference for most electric motors ranges between 0.2 K and 21 K, depending on the motor being tested. The temperature difference may be caused by an imbalanced in current (which is explained by the FEA of stator and rotor misalignment, see below). Heat may significantly increase the winding temperature, dangerously affecting the insulation of the conductors in the armature-and reducing the life expectancy of the winding. It was also observed that the front and back casing temperatures (not shown in this study) were different in almost every tested AF PMSM. Slight offsets between front and back temperatures could have been caused by the test rig itself acting as a heat sink. Other reasons for these temperature differences may include small differences in resistance between the front and back stators causing asymmetrical loss distribution within conducting regions of the AF PMSM, small differences between flow rates in the front and back casings and differences in thermal contact resistances. Additionally,
marginal airflows differences in the end-winding area—close to where the sensors are placed, created a greater “cooling down” effect in one sensor than in the other. This effect explains why the differences in ΔT at high and low speeds is sometimes considerable.

![Figure 7](image)

Figure 7. Temperature difference (ΔT) between stators of the same motor. The difference is included at two different speeds: (a) 500 rpm; (b) 6000 rpm, both in thermally plateaued conditions.

Figure 8 shows the produced continuous torque of each AF PMSM and the temperature difference between its stators. A large temperature difference can be seen at both high and low continuous torques.

![Figure 8](image)

Figure 8. Temperature differences between winding stators vs. continuous torque at two different speeds: (a) 500 rpm; (b) 6000 rpm.

From Figure 8 it can be observed that most AF motors tested have lower than 10 K temperature differences between stators. Moreover, the continuous torque range for these machines is quite narrow ranging between 155–165 Nm at low-speed, and between 105–111 Nm at high-speed. Because the manufacturing of all these AF PMSMs were the same, the level of stator /rotor misalignment was expected to be similar, making it difficult to get a particular trend in the results for this effect. The stator and rotor misalignment effects on the machine performance are shown in the next section.

Other factors affecting the AF motor performance quality aside from the assembly process are: accurate insertion of the magnets into the rotor core; manufacturing of stator cores with the same repeatability of performance; the same repeatability of cooling system operation during the tests of each AF motor batch; repeatability of the magnetizing processes and air-gap eccentricity.
5. Electromagnetic Finite Element Analysis of Misalignment Effects on Stators and Rotors

Information concerning machine behavior derived from the measurements will help to improve the procedure of assembling the motor’s elements. In order to explain the difference in the parameters of the monitored electric motor, a motor sensitivity study was conducted (using numerical models based on a 3-D finite element model (FEM)) the results of which helped to define the elements of the tested motor that had to be redesigned in order to improve the AF PMSM performance characteristics and increase the motor’s lifespan. Two potential machine faults, rotor misalignment and stator misalignment, are depicted in Figure 9.

![ Representation of 3-D FE models (air regions, non conducting area and winding set are invisible) with a selected mesh size. Two different misalignment effects were investigated: (a) Rotor misalignment; (b) stator misalignment.](image)

A non-linear 3-D finite element analysis (FEA) was conducted in order to investigate the machine’s parameters [32]. Due to periodic symmetry, only 1/5 of the complete motor cross-sections was modeled circumferentially. Due to the phenomenon of misalignment effects, it was not possible to apply symmetry in the axis direction of the AFM. In the transient analysis, the three-phase stator winding was fed by sinusoidal waveforms. Moreover, a three-dimensional FEM was undertaken in order to account for the end-effects as well as for radial segmentation of the permanent magnet. For eddy-current loss reduction within permanent magnets the AFM was designed with five segments of permanent magnets in the radial direction.

Rotor misalignment was characterized by unequal mechanical air-gap lengths $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$, with uniform eccentricity as shown in Figure 9a. This defect was due to manufacturing tolerances and compliances in the bearing system that supported the rotor between the two stators, whereas stator misalignment was characterized by an angular offset ($\beta$) between the two stators, as illustrated in Figure 9b. In this investigation of misalignment effects, the air-gap offset ranged between 0.05–0.6 mm, stator angular offset ranged between $0.25^\circ$–$2^\circ$ mechanical degrees. The air-gap offset was normalized ($\delta_N$) using the following equation:

$$\delta_N = \frac{|\delta + \delta_1|}{\delta} \quad (3)$$

where $\delta$ is the designed air-gap. Moreover, the stator offset was related to electrical degrees. In a case when the AFM showed no stator and rotor misalignment effects, the air-gap lengths were equal ($\delta_1 = \delta_2$) and the angular offset of the stators was $\beta = 0^\circ$.

Analysis of motor characteristics was carried out using magneto-static and transient FE methods with 3-D formulations based on Maxwell’s equations. In order to clearly explain and present the
impact of misalignment effects on motor performance, the thermal condition in the FEM models was set as a constant, and the operating temperature of magnets, iron, and winding was set to 25 °C.

Table 3 presents a comparison between the predicted and measured torque constants of the selected AFM prototype motor. The selection concerned the machine with the least differences between the temperature of both stators and which generated the required torque. The differences seen between measurements and FE analysis can be caused due to different temperature distributions in prototype and FE model, and also the higher flux density distribution is suspected in the prototype motor due to misalignment effect caused by air-gap offset. Moreover, the torque measurements were performed on the shaft, and this is valid as an approximation of the electromagnetic torque if rotor losses are neglected. The latter is a fair assumption as this rotor design has no iron core and the agreement is good, thus validating the FE models used for performance prediction. Based on this agreement, it can be concluded that the selected machine was free of any misalignment effects. The computed and measured values of line-to-line voltage constant and synchronous inductance were 0.616 and 0.62 V·s/rad, and 210 and 231 µH, respectively.

**Table 3.** Comparison between predicted and measured $k_T$ at different RMS currents at 500 rpm. The results obtained at winding temperature of 55 °C.

| Parameter | Values |
|-----------|--------|
| At load RMS current (A) | 24 49 74 100 124 150 175 |
| $k_T$ (Nm/A), measured | 0.926 0.964 0.977 0.975 0.969 0.96 0.947 |
| $k_T$ (Nm/A), predicted, 3-D FEA | 1.053 1.044 1.037 0.977 0.951 0.932 0.905 |

In the numerical calculation (presented below) of rotor/stator misalignment, the resistivity of electric motor materials was assumed as a constant value at 25 °C. If rotor losses are neglected and therefore shaft torque approximated with the electromagnetic one, Figure 10 represents the average values of the electromagnetic torque $T_e$ and torque constant $k_T$ calculated for each geometry asymmetry in the AF PMSM. $T_e$ is calculated using the virtual work method, which is based on stored magnetic co-energy change with a small displacement $\theta$. $T_e$ equals the derivative of the magnetic co-energy $W_{co-eng}$ with respect to angular position at constant current, expressed as follows

$$T_e = \frac{\Delta W_{co-eng}}{\Delta \theta} \bigg|_{i=const}. \quad (4)$$

Figure 10b shows the variation of $k_T$ with both AF PMSM defects, leading to the changes in torque constant. The effects of rotor and stator misalignment increases and reduce $k_T$, respectively. Based on the calculations, the slope of the motor’s torque-current curve, which can be determined from the torque constant, was changed to 1.57% and 5.6%, respectively, due to the rotor and stator offsets.

Changes in torque computed in Figure 10 may have been much larger due to unequal temperature distribution in the stator winding caused by rotor misalignment. The temperature changes may have been caused by unequal leakage flux, resulting in unequal amounts of flux in the air-gaps on either side of the rotor, Figure 11. This is an important characterization, as this unequal flux magnetic distribution in the stators must be accounted for in the AF PMSM design in order to reduce the magnet demagnetization risk caused by increases in temperature and to reduce eddy-current losses.

In Figure 11a, the length of the mechanical air-gap between the stator and magnet has a significant effect on the distribution of the magnetic field in the middle of the mechanical air-gaps. It can be concluded that the AFPMSM with the air-gap offset is characterized by a more pronounced peak than the machine with an equal air-gap. The smallest air-gap significantly increased local minimums and maximums.
This is an important characterization, as imbalanced currents may cause unequal heating of the stator
supply (or a transient related topic). Note that the voltage imbalance is not a power quality issue in the sense of the harmonic components
and is not a cause for concern in terms of overvoltage issues.

Moreover, in one stator misalignment, a large phase current imbalance was found, Figure 12a. This was caused by the phase offset in the back-EMF, which in turn was a direct result of the stator misalignment fault, Figure 12b.

It can be observed that any small difference between voltages will result in circulating current. This is an important characterization, as imbalanced currents may cause unequal heating of the stator windings, causing one stator to reach its thermal limit before the other. This happens when recirculating current adds to the current in one stator and subtracts from the current in the other. Note that the voltage imbalance is not a power quality issue in the sense of the harmonic components of the electrical supply (or a transient related topic).
For analyzed AF PMSMs, the phase current was imbalanced up to 47% at 175 A, and increased with the AF PMSMs must be executed with high accuracy in order to eliminate stator misalignment effects. Stator and rotor assembly errors or tolerance stack may also cause voltage imbalance. Note that the voltage imbalance is not a power quality issue in the sense of the harmonic components but has a significant impact on motor performance. Recirculating current adds to the current in one stator and subtracts from the current in the other, causing one stator to reach its thermal limit before the other. This happens when misalignment effect that occur in AFMs lead to imbalanced current and voltage. These unwanted effects can lead in turn to unequal temperature distribution, degradation of winding connections, and maximums caused by slotting effects by the stator offset, which are shifted when the stator offset sets. To reduce the risk of voltage imbalance occurrence, the assembly procedure of many AF PMSMs may have been caused by stator offset. It can also be concluded that the accumulation of assembly errors or tolerance stack may also cause voltage imbalance.

From Figures 12 and 13, it can be concluded that the performance difference measured in the many AF PMSMs may have been caused by stator offset. It can also be concluded that the accumulation of assembly errors or tolerance stack may also cause voltage imbalance.

Figure 12. Current and back-EMF waveforms in different operating conditions with 10 electrical degrees of stator offset: (a) Full load; (b) open-circuit at 1000 rpm. Results related to stator-1 indicated by a solid line; to stator-2, by a dotted line.

Figure 13. Imbalance amplitude of phase current and voltage at open-circuit for two different misalignment effects: (a) Normalized air-gap offset; (b) stator offset.

In Figure 13 it can be observed how much the imbalance current and voltage can increase with stator and rotor offsets. To reduce the risk of voltage imbalance occurrence, the assembly procedure of the AF PMSMs must be executed with high accuracy in order to eliminate stator misalignment effects. The magnitude of current imbalance is much higher than the voltage imbalance. For analyzed AF PMSMs, the phase current was imbalanced up to 47% at 175 A, and increased with the...
the load current up to 140% at 350 A [23]. In the case of rotor offset, the imbalance voltage was no higher than 3.5%. The current and voltage imbalance is computed as [23]

\[
I_{imb} = \frac{I_{w1} - I_{w2}}{I_{ref}} \times 100
\]

\[
U_{imb} = \frac{U_{w1} - U_{w2}}{U_{ref}} \times 100
\]

where \(I_{w1}, I_{w2}, U_{w1}, \) and \(U_{w2}\) are amplitudes of current at load condition and line-to-neutral voltage at open circuit, respectively, in the W phase of stator-1 and stator-2, and \(I_{ref}\) and \(U_{ref}\) are the phase current and back-EMF voltage, respectively, for a machine free of any stator/rotor misalignment.

6. Conclusions

The application of AF technology to hybrid vehicles can offer significant increases in torque and power over conventional technologies. From the results of measurements and numerical calculations, it can be concluded that the development of new electric motors requires analysis of the impact of assembly tolerances on motor performance. According to measurements, several axial flux motors can be identified in each batch of electrical machines, in which the highest temperature difference between winding stators was found to be generated in those motors at both the highest and lowest continuous torque (compare Figures 3, 6 and 8, at both low and high speeds). The rest of the motors operated within a narrow range of continuous torque variability. From FEA, it was found that the misalignment effect that occur in AFMs lead to imbalanced current and voltage. These unwanted effects can lead in turn to unequal temperature distribution, degradation of winding connections, and degradation of iron sheets in AFMs. If an AF PMSM is sensitive to manufacturing tolerances caused by stator/rotor misalignment effects, imbalanced temperatures in both the stator and rotor may be encountered more frequently, along with unexpected changes in motor performance.

The observed differences in temperature could also be caused by the level of precision in the equipment used, in inverter hardware changes, inverter set up, changes in machine load or torque measurement equipment, or production quality of a batch of AFMs. Moreover, the measurement method may also influence measurements, leading to, e.g., calibration errors, uncertainty in historical measurements, and uncertainty in the flow rate of cooling.
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