Cerebellar IncRNA Expression Profile Analysis of SCA3/MJD Mice
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Spinocerebellar ataxia type 3 (SCA3) or Machado-Joseph disease (MJD) is the most common autosomal dominant spinocerebellar ataxia in China with highly clinical heterogeneity, such as progressive cerebellar ataxia, dysarthria, pyramidal signs, external ophthalmoplegia, dysphagia, and distal muscle atrophy. It is caused by the abnormal expansion of CAG repeats in the causative gene ATXN3 coding region. Healthy individuals usually have 12–40 CAG repeats, while SCA3/MJD patients over 51 repeats [9, 10]. The abnormally translated

1. Introduction

PolyQ diseases is a group of disorders caused by CAG repeat expansions within the, respectively, responsible genes, including Huntington disease (HD), dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy (DRPLA), spinocerebellar ataxias (SCA1, SCA2, SCA3/Machado-Joseph disease, SCA6, SCA7, and SCA17) [1–3], and the recently discovered Huntington disease-like 2 (HDL2) [4, 5]. Among these, the SCA3/MJD is an autosomal dominantly inherited disorder with high clinical heterogeneity, such as progressive cerebellar ataxia, dysarthria, pyramidal signs, external ophthalmoplegia, dysphagia, and distal muscle atrophy, with wide range of age of onset (AO) from 4 to 75 years old [6, 7].

The SCA3/MJD, the most common case, accounts for 62.64% of autosomal dominant spinocerebellar ataxia in China [8]. The abnormal expansion of CAG in the causative gene ATXN3 coding region causes SCA3/MJD. Healthy individuals usually have 12–40 CAG repeats, while SCA3/MJD patients over 51 repeats [9, 10]. The abnormally translated
polyQ tract leads to a conformational change in ATXN3, resulting in alterations of protein properties, including stability, subcellular location, and easier aggregation [11]. These alterations further lead to loss or gain of function and cause pathogenic effects. To explain the toxic effects, several hypotheses of pathogenic mechanisms, not mutually exclusive, have been presented, including aggregate formation [1, 11–13], disturbance of cellular protein and Ca2+ homeostasis [13–15], dysregulation of transcription [15, 16], axonal transport deficits [17, 18], impairment of mitochondrial function [15, 19, 20], and abnormal neuronal signalling [11].

Long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) is defined as the nontranslatable RNA with the length of 200 nucleotides or above. The lncRNAs used to be regarded as the transcriptional “noise,” the products of RNA polymerase II transcription, and did not have the biological function. However, the emerging evidence has proved their significant roles in the regulation of gene transcription, posttranscriptional regulation, and epigenetic regulation [21, 22]. Previous studies suggested that lncRNAs regulate the gene expression and transcriptional processes by several different functional mechanisms. Some show function as transcriptional regulation in cis or trans, some as an organization of nuclear domains, and others as regulation of proteins or RNA molecules. All the evidence indicated that lncRNAs have great potential to impact physiological and pathological processes. Furthermore, it has been found that some transcripts of lncRNA encode small proteins [23], making the noncoding inappropriate any longer to name this class of RNA.

In recent years, accumulating studies have found that lncRNAs are associated with neurodegenerative diseases. Spinocerebellar ataxia type 8 (SCA8), a kind of slowly progressive ataxia, is caused by the abnormal expansion of (CTG)n within the responsible gene ATXN8. A study proposes that the pathogenesis of SCA8 involves both protein and RNA gain-of-function mechanisms. (CTG)n-expanded ATXN8 encodes a pathogenic protein, and the antisense strand encodes CUG-enriched lncRNA ATXN8OS which is deposited in the nucleus and activates alternative splicing, resulting in an alternation of the expression of GABA-A transport factor 4 (GAT4/Gabt4) and finally loss of the GABAergic inhibition [24].

In a separate study, the expression of lncRNA was compared between the normal brain tissue and the brain tissue of patients with Huntington disease. A total of 35 upregulated and 146 downregulated lncRNA molecules were identified, and NEAT1 was selected by Bioinformatics. Based on the cell-level experiments, it was found that overexpression of NEAT1 was significantly resistant to H2O2-induced cellular damage, providing a new potential strategy for clinical treatment of the Huntington’s disease [25].

To further explore the pathogenesis of SC3/MJD at RNA level, the lncRNAs specifically expressed in SCA3/MJD mice were investigated in this study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. SCA3/MJD Mice. SCA3/MJD mouse model (B6; CBA-Tg (ATXN3+) 84.2Cce/1bezj; ID: 012075) from Jackson Laboratory was used, and the second generation was used in this study. The CAG repeats in the first generation mice are 84, and the ATXN3 gene is widely expressed in various organs of the body, including the cerebellum, cerebral cortex, heart, lung, spleen, liver, and skeletal muscle [26, 27]. The SCA3/MJD adult mice (32 weeks old) of the second generation, in which carrying ATXN3 positive rate is about 50%, and comparable age, number, and weight wild-type mice were used for experimental analysis. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Xiangya Hospital of Central South University.

2.2. Validation of Genotype of SCA3/MJD Mice. Validation of genotype was conducted in the second generation. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), agarose gel electrophoresis, and capillary electrophoresis sequencing were used for genotype validation. Genomic DNA was extracted from mice tails. CAG repeats were amplified using a pair of primers 5’-CCAGTGACTCTTGTGAGTTG-3’ (forward) 5’-TGCCCTTTCACATGGATGTTGA-3’ (reverse). The amplification reactions contained 1 μL genomic DNA (50 ng/μL), 0.2 μL Taq DNA polymerase (Takara, Japan), 0.2 μL dNTPs, 0.2 μL of each primer (100 ng/μL), 7.2 μL sterile water, and 1.0 μL 10X buffer (TaKaRa, Japan), for a total of 10 μL. The amplification was performed in Mastercylers (Eppendorf AG, 22331 Hamburg, Germany) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95.0°C for 5 minutes, followed by 38 cycles of 95.0°C for 30 seconds, 59.0°C for 30 seconds, and 72.0°C for 30 seconds. PCR products were detected by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (120v, 30 min), and the results of PCR amplification were observed on imaging system after 15 minutes of ethidium bromide (EB) staining. Capillary electrophoresis sequencing was used for testing the repeats number of (CAG)n and performed on ABI 3730XL DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

2.3. Validation of Phenotype of SCA3/MJD Mice. The phenotype was validated using the footprint and rotating tests. For footprint pattern analysis, the hind paws of mice were painted with black ink and the forepaws were painted with red ink. The mice walked along a narrow corridor paved with white paper. Pretraining was conducted for one week before the formal test. Mice were tested three times with 5-minute intervals. Stride length, hind paw width, front paw width, and front/hind footprint overlap were measured. For rotation, mice were placed on a rotating rod and must maintain its balance. The interval from the start of the rod rotating to the mice falling from the rotating rod was recorded. Mice were tested on separate trials at fixed speeds including 10 r/min and 20 r/min.

2.4. lncRNA-Seq. lncRNA-Seq, a high-throughput sequencing, was performed in BGI. After extracting the total RNA from mice cerebellum (three SCA3/MJD mice versus three wild-type mice), mRNA and noncoding RNAs are enriched by removing rRNA from the total RNA. By using the fragmentation buffer, the mRNAs and noncoding RNAs are fragmented into short fragments (about 200–500 nt), then
the first-strand cDNA is synthesized by random hexamer-primer using the fragments as templates, and dUTP is substituted by dUTP during the synthesis of the second strand. Short fragments are purified and resolved with EB buffer for end reparation and single nucleotide A (adenine) addition. After that, the short fragments are connected with adapters, and then the second strand is degraded using UNG (uracil-N-glycosylase) finally [28]. After agarose gel electrophoresis, the suitable fragments are selected for the PCR amplification as templates. During the QC steps, Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and ABI StepOnePlus real-time PCR system are used in quantification and qualification of the sample library. At last, the library could be sequenced using Illumina HiSeq TM 2000 or other sequencers when necessary. Differential expression analysis for both predicted novel lncRNA and lncRNA from the database has proceeded. It was compared between SCA3/MJD and wild-type mice (one by one, grouped randomly) through the Cuffdiff software to calculate the FPKM value of the gene or transcript in both samples and to detect the presence of differential expression. Also, group differential expression analysis was also performed by NOIseq method.

### 2.5. Quantitative Real-Time PCR

The total RNA from mice cerebellum (six SCA3/MJD mice versus six wild-type mice) was reversely transcribed to cDNA with a kit (Thermo Scientific, RevertAid First Strand cDNA #K1622). The 44 most differentially expressed lncRNAs were screened for further validation by qRT-PCR assays (Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix, CFX96, Bio-Rad, USA). β-Actin was used as an internal reference in the qRT-PCR analyses. The primers (see Table S1 in the Supplementary Material) were designed using Primer3 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/). qRT-PCR assay was performed in triplicate in a volume of 20 μL containing 1 μL of cDNA. The relative expression level of lncRNA was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method and Ct > 35 were excluded. A Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for statistical analyses, and p < 0.05 was considered statistical significance.

### 2.6. Bioinformatics Analysis

We conducted some biological analysis of the differentially expressed lncRNAs by search and comparison of databases such as NONCODE v5 (http://www.noncode.org/index.php), FANTOM5 (http://fantom.gsc.riken.jp/5/), STRING v.10.0 (http://version10.string-db.org/), and Gene Ontology Consortium (http://www.geneontology.org/) to predict the location, distribution, and function of these lncRNAs.
expression identified by the one by one differential expression analysis in two or three groups were chosen for further validation. The lncRNAs were sorted based on their FPKM and p values in the group differential expression analysis. For both differential expression analyses, a total of 44 lncRNAs were chosen for further experiments because they were statistically significant.

3.3. qRT-PCR. lncRNA number TCONS_00031478 was excluded due to Ct > 35. In the rest 43 of the chosen lncRNAs, 3 of the lncRNAs were validated to be differential expression between SCA3/MJD and WT mice cerebellum. It turned out that two of the three are the known lncRNAs number n297477 \((p = 0.016)\) and number n297609 \((p = 0.041)\) (NONCODE v5.0: http://www.noncode.org/), and the remaining one belonged to a novel lncRNA (number TCONS_00072962) \((p = 0.036)\). 2\(^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}\) method was used for calculating the relative expression level of each lncRNA. When compared with wild-type mice, the two known lncRNAs, n297477 and n297609, were upregulated by 3.329-fold and 6.182-fold, respectively, in the cerebellum of SCA3/MJD mice (see Figure 1), while the expression level of novel lncRNA TCONS_00072962 was downregulated in the cerebellum of SCA3/MJD mice, which was nearly one-third of that in control mice.

4. Discussion

In this study, 2964 upregulated lncRNAs and 4376 downregulated lncRNAs were identified specifically in the SCA3/MJD mice using lncRNA-Seq analysis. Additionally, differentially expressed three lncRNAs, including one novel lncRNA and two known lncRNAs, were further characterized. The lncRNA n297477 is transcribed from the antisense strand of the chr11: 6270375–6271530, which is highly expressed in mouse heart, hippocampus, liver, lung, spleen, and thymus. According to the records in database FANTOM5, n297477 is considered one of the transcripts of the TMED4 gene whose promoter is located in the sense strand at position 142–392 (chr11: 6270517–6270767) and TATA box starts at 377 (chr11: 6270712) (http://www-bimas.cit.nih.gov/molbio/proscan/). According to the database STRING v.10.0, Tmed4 and ubiquitin C may be functional partners to each other, whereas the ubiquitin C might be a functional partner of ataxin3 encoded by the SCA3/MJD pathogenic gene ATXN3 (Table 2; http://version10.string-db.org/cgi/network.pl?all_channels_on=1&hide_disconnected_nodes=0&hide_node_labels=0&network_display_mode=svg&network_flavor=evidence&targetmode=proteins&identifier=9606.ENSPO0001376956; http://version10.string-db.org/cgi/network.pl?all_channels_on=1&block_structure_pics_in_bubbles=0&direct_neighbor=1&hide_disconnected_nodes=0&hide_node_labels=0&network_display_mode=svg&network_flavor=evidence&targetmode=proteins&identifier=9606.ENSPO0000404042).

Given that the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) is involved in the pathogenesis of SCA3/MJD [29], it is plausible to speculate that lncRNA may participate in gene expression regulation when it is located close to the transcription start site of the promoter region. Since the lncRNA n297477 and TMED4 gene starting site are separated by 16 bp in the middle, and the gene promoter region is located in the lncRNA coding region, it is highly possible that the n297477 participates in TMED4 expression regulation. We assume that the altered expression of

| Gene name | Associated gene name | Proteins of associated gene |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| VCP       |                      | Valosin containing protein  |
| UBC       |                      | Ubiquitin C                 |
| KCDT10    |                      | Potassium channel tetramerisation domain containing 10 |
| RAD23A    |                      | RAD23 homolog A             |
| RAD23B    |                      | RAD23 homolog B             |
| PARK2     |                      | Parkinson homolog 2         |
| USP13     |                      | Ubiquitin specific peptidase 13 |
| UBE4B     |                      | Ubiquitination factor E4B   |
| STUB1     |                      | STIP1 homology and U-box containing protein 1 |
| SERPINC1  |                      | Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade C (antithrombin), member 1 |
| PSMD4     |                      | Proteasome (prosome, macropain) 26S subunit, non-ATPase 4 |
| HSP90AA1  |                      | Heat shock protein 90 kDa alpha (cytosolic), class A member 1 |
| HGS       |                      | Hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate |
| PSMC2     |                      | Proteasome (prosome, macropain) 26S subunit, ATPase 2 |
| TSG101    |                      | Tumor susceptibility gene 101 |
| UBE2D2    |                      | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2D 2 |
| PSMD14    |                      | Proteasome (prosome, macropain) 26S subunit, non-ATPase 14 |
| CUL1      |                      | Cullin 1                    |
| RPS27A    |                      | Ribosomal protein S27a (156 aa) |

Table 2: The summary of genetic association.
the TMED4 affects its interaction with UBC, which affects the efficiency of ubiquitin C in the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Thus, the lncRNA n297477 may be involved in the regulation of ataxin-3 protein degradation by regulating UPS. The elevated expression of n297477 in the SCA3/MJD mice may serve as a response to the abnormal degradation of toxic ataxin-3.

The lncRNA n297609 is transcribed from the antisense strand of the chr9: 44047488–43856016, which is highly expressed in mouse heart, hippocampus, liver, lung, spleen, and thymus. According to the records in database FANTOM5, n297609 is considered one of the transcripts of the THY1 gene which is highly homologous to humans. The lncRNA n297609 mainly participates in the protein phosphorylation (GO: 0006468), cell adhesion (GO: 0007155), cellular response to heat (GO: 0034605), positive regulation of transcription (GO: 0045893), and negative regulation of cell migration (GO: 00030336). Previous studies pointed out that protein casein kinase 2- (CK2-) dependent phosphorylation can control the stability, nuclear localization, and aggregation of ataxin-3 [30]. Thus, it is logical to assume that the lncRNA n297609 may be involved in biological processes, such as protein phosphorylation, and protein casein kinase 2- (CK2-) dependent phosphorylation plays a crucial role in SCA3/MJD pathophysiology [30]. However, this assumption requires further validation.

Moreover, we also found a novel lncRNA TCONS_ 00072962 with the genomic location at chr7:119737479–119737966, contributing to the expansion of lncRNA expression profiles of the mouse.

5. Conclusions

In summary, we used lncRNA-Seq to profile cerebellar expression in SCA3/MJD mice and identified three potential lncRNAs significantly associated with the disease. These identified lncRNAs will be beneficial for the further understanding of cerebellum gene coexpression network correlating with disease progression. Furthermore, investigation on the lncRNA-associated neuroprotective factors remains to be necessary for the elucidation of the therapeutic target implication.
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