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Abstract

We study finite action anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections on the multi-Taub-NUT space. Under a technical assumption of generic asymptotic holonomy, we establish the curvature and the harmonic spinor decay rates and compute the index of the associated Dirac operator.

This is the first in a series of papers proving the completeness of the bow construction of instantons on multi-Taub-NUT spaces and exploring it in detail.
1 Introduction

This paper establishes core analytic results needed for proving the completeness of the bow construction [Che11] of instantons on Asymptotically Locally Flat (ALF) spaces. In general, for an oriented Riemannian four-manifold $M$, we call a connection $A$ on a rank $n$ Hermitian bundle $\mathcal{E} \to M$ an instanton if it has square integrable, anti-self-dual (ASD) curvature $F_A$. Our focus is on the case when $M$ is a prototypical ALF space: the multi-Taub-NUT space. The bow construction, just as the AHDM-Nahm construction [AHDM 78, Nah83, Nah84], relates instantons on ALF spaces to solutions of a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations on a collection of line segments and additional linear data on their boundary. In [Che11] this data is conveniently organized in terms of a 'bow'. In comparison, the equivariant version of the ADHM construction, studied by Kronheimer and Nakajima [KN90], relates instantons on Asymptotically Locally Euclidean (ALE) spaces to solutions of algebraic equations originating from a quiver. In both cases, the rank of the bow or quiver system is determined by the dimension of the space of $L^2$ harmonic spinors twisted by an instanton connection on the ALF or ALE space. In this paper we compute this dimension via an index theorem, as a first step in proving the above correspondence. Establishing the asymptotic form of the connection...
is crucial both for the index calculation and for finding the asymptotic form of these harmonic spinors.

In order to establish the asymptotic form of the connection, we first prove quadratic decay of the curvature. The curvature of the known $U(1)$ instantons on ALF spaces decays quadratically [Rub86], so the decay rate we establish is sharp. There has been extensive work by numerous authors analyzing the decay rates of the curvature of Yang-Mills and instanton connections. Uhlenbeck [Uhl82, Uhl79] showed that a finite energy Yang-Mills connection on $\mathbb{R}^4$ has quartic curvature decay. This result has been generalized in many directions, including [FU91], [IN90], [DK90] Sec.4.4.3, and [Rad93]. In particular, Groisser and Parker [GP97] extend the quartic decay to asymptotically flat spaces (as defined in [GP97 Sec.1]), and their proof readily extends to ALE spaces.

The decay rate is sensitive to the asymptotic form of the metric, especially to the asymptotic volume growth. For example, Mochizuki [Moc14] studied the case of doubly periodic instantons, proving $|F| = O\left(\frac{1}{r^{1+\epsilon}}\right)$. Here $r$ denotes the distance to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^2$ pulled back to $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \times S^1$. A case very closely related to the one we consider here is that of a monopole on $\mathbb{R}^3$, for which Jaffe and Taubes [JT80, Thm. 10.5] and Jarvis [Jar98, Thm. 19] proved quadratic decay of the curvature.

Proving quadratic decay for ALF spaces is more delicate than proving quartic decay in the ALE case. To see this, consider the ordinary differential equation on $[1, \infty)$:

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{p}{r^2}\right)y = 0.$$  

The solutions of this equation are $c_1 r^{3/4 + \sqrt{p+4}} + c_2 r^{3/4 - \sqrt{p+4}}$, and the decay rate is determined by the coefficient $p$. The analogous equation for Yang-Mills equations is the Bochner formula

$$\nabla^* \nabla F_A - \epsilon^i_j \epsilon^*_j R_{ij} F_A - \epsilon^i_j [F_{ij}, F_A] = 0.$$  

Here $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the Riemann curvature, which decays cubically for ALF spaces, and therefore will not critically affect our estimates. The $\text{ad}(F_{ij})$ term, however, is analogous to the $\frac{p}{r^2}$ term, with $p$ completely unknown. Hence, the unknown magnitude of the curvature, which we wish to bound, appears to play an important role in establishing bounds. Terms with faster than quadratic decay are negligible in such analyses, making the ALE case significantly simpler. In particular, the Sobolev and Hardy inequalities for ALE spaces are stronger than for ALF spaces. These inequalities, coupled to a Moser iteration argument, readily imply that instantons on ALE spaces decay faster than $r^{-q}$ for some $q > 2$. Once such decay is demonstrated, the ALE decay problem is effectively linearized and readily solved.

As proved in [Min10, CC15] ALF spaces whose Riemann curvature decays faster than quadratically are asymptotic to the multi-Taub-NUT metric described below. In this paper, we therefore focus our attention on the case of the
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manifold $M$ a $k$-centered Taub-NUT (TN$_k$) space [151, 152, 63, 77, 78]: the general ALF (with faster than quadratic Riemann curvature decay) case then follows from essentially the same argument. TN$_k$ is a hyperkähler four-manifold with a triholomorphic isometric circle action, which has $k$ fixed points, $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\}$. The quotient of TN$_k$ by this circle action is $\mathbb{R}^3$, and the quotient map $\pi_k : \text{TN}_k \to \mathbb{R}^3$ defines a principal circle fibration in the complement of the fixed points:

$$S^1 \to \text{TN}_k \setminus \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\} \xrightarrow{\pi_k} \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\}. \quad (1)$$

This $S^1$ fibration has Hopf number $-1$ over any small sphere centered at any fixed point $\nu_\sigma$. Over spheres of large radius, this fibration restricts to a circle bundle of Hopf number $-k$. The circle bundle (1) admits a connection one-form $\varpi$ with curvature $d\varpi = \pi_2^k(\ast_3 dV)$, where $\ast_3$ denotes the Hodge star operator on Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $V$ is the following function on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\}$:

$$V(x) = \ell + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2 |x - \nu_\sigma|}, \quad (2)$$

here $\ell$ is a real positive constant fixed throughout this paper. The hyperkähler metric on TN$_k$ has the following form found by Gibbons and Hawking [78]:

$$g = V d\mathbf{x}^2 + \frac{\mathbf{x}^2}{V}. \quad (3)$$

In local coordinates $(x, \tau) \in U \times S^1$ with $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^k$ an open contractible neighborhood and $\tau \in [0, 2\pi)$ a homogeneous coordinate along the circle fiber, we have

$$\varpi = d\tau + \pi_k^i \omega, \quad (4)$$

with $\omega$ a one-form on $U$ satisfying

$$d\omega = \ast_3 dV = - \ast_3 \frac{kd\tau}{2r^2} + O(r^{-3}). \quad (5)$$

Here $r = |x|$. (For the sake of brevity, in the rest of the paper we shall use $\omega$ to denote $\pi^i_k(\omega)$ as well, thus writing $\varpi = d\tau + \omega$, just as we used $V$ for $\pi^i_k(V)$ in Eq. (3) and $\nu_\sigma$ for $\pi^i_k(\nu_\sigma)$ in (1), hoping this will cause no confusion). The most important properties of TN$_k$ that we use in this paper are that it is a complete, hyperkähler Riemannian manifold (with an ASD Ricci flat metric) with cubically decaying Riemannian curvature and an asymptotic tri-holomorphic circle action.

Our analysis assumes a generic asymptotic holonomy condition (see Definition 8 on page 12): there exists a ray in the base $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that the holonomy of the instanton connection around every circle fiber over that ray is generic (i.e. belongs to a common compact subset of the regular $U(n)$ adjoint orbit). In other words, we assume that the eigenvalues of the holonomy over some ray in the base $\mathbb{R}^3$ are distinct with the distance between distinct eigenvalues bounded
below by a positive constant. There are known instantons, such as e.g. those found by Etesi and Hausel in [EH03], that do not satisfy our assumption. In fact, these latter have asymptotic holonomy approaching identity at infinity. We limit ourselves here to the generic case and intend to address the general case in the future.

Under the generic asymptotic holonomy assumption we prove in Section 4 the following:

**Theorem A.** Let $M$ be an ALF space and $A$ an instanton connection with generic asymptotic holonomy. Let $o \in M$, and let $F_A$ denote the curvature of $A$. Then there exists $C > 0$ so that $|F_A(p)| \leq C \text{dist}(p,o)^2$ for any $p \in M$.

Using this quadratic decay property, we prove in Section 5 that the asymptotic form of the instanton connection is that of a direct sum of the known $U(1)$ instantons:

**Theorem B.** Let $A$ be an instanton on a Hermitian bundle $E \to TN_k$ with generic asymptotic holonomy. Then, outside of a compact set, $E$ splits as a direct sum of line bundles, and the connection has the form

$$A = \bigoplus_a \left( -i(\lambda_a + \frac{m_a}{2|x|}) V + \pi_k^* \eta_a \right) + O(|x|^{-2}),$$

with $\lambda_a \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\eta_a$ a connection on a line bundle $W(a)$ (defined in Thm. 23) over $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$, for some compact set $K$. Moreover $W(a)$ restricted to $S^2$ has Chern number $m_a$.

The fact that all $U(1)$ instantons have this form was proved in [H00] (see also [HHM04]).

We fix the orientation of $TN_k$ by setting $d\text{Vol} = V d\text{Vol}_{S^3} \wedge \varpi$. With respect to this orientation, the Kähler forms are self-dual, and the Riemann curvature is anti-self-dual. Under the Clifford action of the volume form the spin bundle $S \to TN_k$ splits as $S = S^- \oplus S^+$ with $S^-$ and $S^+$ denoting respectively, the negative and the positive eigenvalue eigen-bundles of the chirality operator $\gamma^5 := -c(d\text{Vol})$. (Here $c$ denotes the Clifford action, and this common sign convention ensures that the chirality operator is compatible with the Hodge star action on two-forms: $\gamma^5 c(\eta) = c(\ast \eta)$.) Due to hyperkählerity, the bundle $S^+$ is trivial. Let $D^{\pm}_A$ denote the restriction of the Dirac operator $D_A$ to sections of $S^\pm \otimes E$.

In Section 6 we prove decay estimates for the $L^2$ harmonic spinors, which will be needed in our subsequent analysis of the bow construction.

**Theorem C.** Let $A$ be an instanton on $TN_k$ with generic asymptotic holonomy and $\psi \in \text{Ker}(D^+_A) \cap L^2$, then $|\psi|$ decays exponentially if the asymptotic holonomy has no invariant vectors, i.e. if for all $a$, $\frac{a}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$; $|\psi|$ decays quadratically otherwise.

With our orientation and chirality conventions, for an instanton $A$ one has $\text{Ker}(D^+_A) \cap L^2 = \{0\}$. Hence, in order to compute the dimension of $\text{Ker}(D_A) \cap L^2$
it suffices to compute the $L^2$ index of $D_A^-$. We prove the following index theorem in Section 7:

**Theorem D.** The $L^2$–index of $D_A^-$ is

$$\text{ind}_{L^2}D_A^- = \sum_a \left( \left\{ \frac{\lambda_a}{\ell} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} (m_a - k_1 \left\lfloor \frac{\lambda_a}{\ell} \right\rfloor) - k_2 \left( \frac{\lambda_a}{\ell} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \text{tr} \ F \wedge F,$$

(6)

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not greater than $x$ and $\{ x \} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$.

Our techniques for analyzing the decay of harmonic spinors in the Fredholm case follow closely the work of Agmon [Agm82]. We introduce a new iterated maximum principle to treat the non-Fredholm case. The decay of harmonic spinors on spaces with quadratically decaying Green’s operator (such as one finds in ALE spaces) was also considered in [Fee01]. Our treatment of the index theorem follows closely the approach of [Ste93] (see also [SSZ]). One might also apply the general fibered boundary index formula of [LMP06], which would also require evaluating the $\eta$-invariant boundary term, as in [H74].

**A Perspective**

Moduli spaces of instantons on multi-Taub-NUT are gaining significance in both mathematics and physics. They play a central role in the Geometric Langlands correspondence for complex surfaces. The original versions of this correspondence [BF10, BF12] focused on instantons on ALE spaces, however, the physics picture [Tan10, Wit10] reveals that instantons on multi-Taub-NUT tell a richer story. These instanton moduli spaces are also significant in quantum field theory, since they appear as Coulomb branches of three-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theories [SW96, dBHOO97, NT16], and as both the Coulomb branches and the Higgs branches of Seiberg-Witten theories with impurities [GW09, COS11]. A mathematical treatment of these spaces and their relation to bows appeared in [NT16].

These interpretations of the instanton moduli space give precise predictions for the dimension of their $L^2$ cohomology. See, e.g. [MRB15] for the case of monopole moduli spaces, [Nak94, BF10] for the case of instantons on ALE spaces, and [Tan10, Wit10, CHZ14, Nak15] for our case of instantons on ALF spaces. It is a challenging problem to verify these predictions by directly computing the $L^2$ cohomology. In fact, since the direct study of instanton moduli spaces presents numerous analytic challenges, it is desirable to have a simpler description of these spaces. The bow construction [Che11] delivers such a description by suggesting that instantons are in correspondence with bow solutions and that instanton moduli spaces are isomorphic to bow moduli spaces. The bow moduli spaces are much more amenable to computation. For example, their asymptotic metric was found in [Che11] and, for the metric on the moduli space
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of a low rank bow representation, it was computed explicitly in [Che09]. In this sequence of papers, we set out to prove that, indeed, bow moduli spaces are isometric to the moduli spaces of instantons on multi-Taub-NUT space.

Another significant application of instantons on multi-Taub-NUT space is in string theory where they deliver a description of the effective dynamics of the Chalmers-Hanany-Witten brane configurations [CH97, HW97]. This relation provides significant information about the instantons themselves, as demonstrated in [Wit09].

2 Analytic Preliminaries: Moser Iteration

Let \((M, g)\) be a smooth complete \(n\)-dimensional manifold with bounded geometry, i.e. its injectivity radius is bounded below, and its Riemann curvature tensor \(\mathcal{R}\) has norm bounded above: \(\|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^\infty(M)} < \infty\). Let \(\delta(M)\) denote the injectivity radius of \(M\). In such geometries, the following local Sobolev embedding theorem for geodesic balls holds with uniform Sobolev constant.

**Proposition 1** ([Aubin, Chapter 2, Lemma 2.24]). Let \(M\) be a manifold of bounded geometry. Then there exists \(S_M > 0\), depending only on \(\delta(M)\) and \(\|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^\infty(M)}\), such that for all \(p \in M\), \(R < \delta^2(M)\), and all \(f \in C^\infty_c(B_R(p))\), one has

\[
S_M \|d\xi\|_{L^2(B_R(p))}^2 \geq \|\xi\|_{L^{2n}(B_R(p))}^2.
\]

We remark that the multicenter Taub NUT spaces have bounded geometry. We will estimate curvature \(F_A\) and related quantities using Moser iteration. For the convenience of the reader and to clarify dependence on various constants, we recall the theorem and standard proof in the form we need. (See [CW, Lemma 1.2, p. 54].)

**Proposition 2.** Let \(M\) be a complete Riemannian \(n\)-manifold \((n > 2)\) of bounded geometry. Let \(\lambda > 0\) and \(R < \frac{\delta(M)}{2+2\lambda}\). Suppose \(f\) is a nonnegative function satisfying

\[
\Delta f \leq w^2 f, \tag{8}
\]

for a nonnegative function \(w \in L^\infty(B_{(1+\lambda)R}(p))\). Set \(W := R\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_{(1+\lambda)R}(p))}\), and \(\rho_k := (\frac{n}{n-2})^k\). Then

\[
\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_R(p))} \leq R^{-n} S_M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\lambda^{-2} + W^2) \frac{2 \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} 4^\frac{k+1}{k+2}}{(1 - (\frac{1}{4})^\frac{k}{k+2})^n} \|f\|_{L^1(B_{(1+\lambda)R}(p))}. \tag{9}
\]

**Proof.** Set \(R_k := R + 2^{-k} \lambda R\). Let \(\eta(s)\) denote a \(C^1\) cutoff function such that \(\eta(s) = 1\) for \(s \leq \frac{3}{2}\), \(\eta(s) = 0\) for \(s \geq 2\), and \(|d\eta| < 4\). Define radial cutoff functions by

\[
\eta_k(x) = \eta(1 + \frac{2^{k-1}}{\lambda} (\text{dist}(x, p) / R - 1)). \tag{10}
\]
Then $\eta_k$ is identically 1 on $B_{R_k}(p)$, it vanishes identically on the complement of $B_{R_k-1}(p)$, and

$$|d\eta_k(x)| \leq \frac{2^{k+1}}{\lambda R} \chi_{B_{R_k-1}(p)},$$

(11)

where $\chi_B$ denotes the characteristic function of $B$.

Let $\rho_k$ be any positive number for now and multiply (8) by $\eta_k^2 f^{2\rho_k-1}$ and integrate. Integration by parts and manipulation yields

$$\int_M w^2 f^{2\rho_k} \eta_k^2 dv \geq \int_M f^{2\rho_k-1} \eta_k^2 \Delta f dv$$

$$= \frac{2\rho_k - 1}{\rho_k^2} \|\eta_k d(f^{\rho_k})\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \frac{2}{\rho_k} \langle f^{\rho_k} d\eta_k, \eta_k d(f^{\rho_k}) \rangle_{L^2(M)}$$

$$= \frac{2\rho_k - 1}{\rho_k^2} \|d(\eta_k f^{\rho_k})\|_{L^2(M)}^2 - \frac{1}{\rho_k^2} \|f^{\rho_k} d\eta_k\|_{L^2(M)}^2$$

$$- \frac{2\rho_k - 2}{\rho_k^2} \langle d(\eta_k f^{\rho_k}), f^{\rho_k} d\eta_k \rangle_{L^2(M)}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\rho_k} (\|d(\eta_k f^{\rho_k})\|_{L^2(M)}^2 - \|d(\eta_k f^{\rho_k})\|_{L^2(M)}^2),$$

(12)

By the definition of $W$,

$$\int_M w^2 f^{2\rho_k} \eta_k^2 dv \leq \frac{W^2}{R^2} \int_M f^{2\rho_k} \eta_k^2 dv.$$

(13)

Applying (7) and (13) to (12) yields

$$\|\eta_k f^{\rho_k}\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \leq R^{-2} S_M 4^{k+1} \int_{B_{R_k-1}} (W^2 + \lambda^{-2}) f^{2\rho_k} dv.$$

(14)

Hence

$$\|f\|_{L^{2^{\rho_k+1}}(B_{R_k}(p))} \leq S_M R^{-2} 4^{k+1} (\lambda^{-2} + W^2) \|f\|_{L^{2\rho_k}(B_{R_k-1}(p))}.$$

(15)

Now fix $\rho_k = (\frac{n}{n-2})^k$. We rewrite (15) as

$$\|f\|_{L^{2^{\rho_k+1}}(B_{R_k}(p))} \leq (4^{k+1} S_M) \frac{1}{\rho_k} R^{-\frac{2}{\rho_k}} (\lambda^{-2} + W^2) \frac{1}{\rho_k} \|f\|_{L^{2\rho_k}(B_{R_k-1}(p))}.$$

(16)

Iterating gives

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_R(p))} \leq R^{-\frac{n}{2}} S_M^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda^{-2} + W^2) \frac{n}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(B_{(1+\lambda)B}(p))} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k+1}}{\rho_k}.$$

(17)
In order to replace the $L^2$ norm of $f$ by the $L^1$ norm on the right-hand side of (17), we shall use [CW, Lemma 4.1, p. 27]. Set $\phi(s) := \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_r(p))}$. Then

$$
\phi(R) \leq R^{-\frac{n}{2}} S_M^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda^{-2} + W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(B_{(1+\lambda)R}(p))} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{k+1}{4k}} \lambda R
$$

$$
\leq (\lambda R)^{-\frac{n}{2}} S_M^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1 + \lambda^2 W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \phi((1 + \lambda)R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1(B_{(1+\lambda)R}(p))} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{k+1}{4k}} \rho
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \phi((1 + \lambda)R) + (\lambda R)^{-n} S_M^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1 + \lambda^2 W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1(B_{(1+\lambda)R}(p))} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} 4^{\frac{k+1}{4k}}, \quad (18)
$$

where we have used the arithmetic/geometric mean inequality at the last step.

Introducing

$$
A = S_M^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1 + \lambda^2 W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} 4^{\frac{k+1}{4k}},
$$

we write (18) for $\lambda \leq 1$ as

$$
\phi(s) \leq \frac{1}{2} \phi(t) + (t - s)^{-n} A \|f\|_{L^1(B_t(p))}. \quad (19)
$$

[CW, p. 27, Lemma 4.1] states that the inequality (19) implies

$$
\phi(s) \leq (t - s)^{-n} \frac{2A}{(1 - (\frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{n}})^n} \phi(t).
$$

Hence

$$
\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_{R}(p))} \leq R^{-n} S_M^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda^{-2} + W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} 4^{\frac{k+1}{4k}} \|f\|_{L^1(B_{(1+\lambda)R}(p))}, \quad (20)
$$

as desired.

The following corollary is a typical application of Proposition 2. Here we set $r$ to be the distance to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

**Corollary 3.** Suppose for some $\rho > 0$, $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_\rho(0))$ is a nonnegative function satisfying $\Delta f \leq w^2 f$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_\rho(0)$, and $w^2 \leq \frac{c^2}{(c^2 + 1)}$ in $B_{\tilde{R}}(y)$ for some $\tilde{R} < |y| - \rho$, and some $c > 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ so that for every $x \in B_{\tilde{R}}(y)$,

$$
f(x) \leq \frac{C(1 + c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(R - |y - x|)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}(y)} f(\nu) d\nu. \quad (21)
$$

In particular, if $w^2(x) \leq \frac{c^2}{(c^2 + 1)}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_\rho(0)$, then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_\rho(0),

$$
f(x) \leq C(1 + c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |x|^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(\nu) d\nu. \quad (22)
$$
Proof. Let \( x \in B_{\tilde{R}}(y) \). In \( B_{\tilde{R} - |y-x|/2}(x) \), \( r > |x| - \frac{\tilde{R} - |y-x|}{2} \). Hence \( w^2(z) < \frac{c^2}{(\tilde{R} - |y-x|/2)^2} \), for \( z \in B_{\tilde{R} - |y-x|/2}(x) \). Now set \( R = \frac{\tilde{R} - |y-x|}{4} \) and \( \lambda = 1 \) and apply Proposition \( \[ \] \) to estimate

\[
|f(x)| \leq \frac{C(1 + c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(R - |y - x|)^{3/4}} \int_{B_{2R}(x)} f \, dv \leq \frac{C(1 + c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(R - |y - x|)^{3/4}} \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}(y)} f \, dv,
\]

proving the first claim. The second claim is an immediate consequence of the first, choosing \( x = y \) and \( \tilde{R} = \frac{1}{2}(|x| - \rho) \).

3 Decay of Yang-Mills Curvature

Our preliminary estimates for the curvature decay require only the weaker hypothesis that the connection is smooth, finite action Yang-Mills. In this section we work under this weaker hypothesis.

We call a smooth connection \( A \) a Yang-Mills connection if its curvature satisfies the Yang-Mills equation \( d_A^* F_A = 0 \). Note that the Bianchi identity, \( d_A F_A = 0 \), implies that any connection with anti-self-dual curvature is also a Yang-Mills connection. Given a local orthonormal frame \( \{ e_j \} \) and coframe \( \{ e^j \} \), let \( \varepsilon^j \) denote exterior multiplication on the left by \( e^j \) and let \( \varepsilon^*_j \) denote the adjoint operation - interior multiplication by the metrically dual vector field. (In general, for a differential form \( \omega \), \( \varepsilon(\omega) \) will denote the exterior multiplication on the left by \( \omega \), while \( \varepsilon^*(\omega) \) will denote the adjoint operation.) With this notation, when \( A \) is Yang-Mills, its curvature \( F = F_A \) satisfies the Bochner formula:

\[
0 = (d_A d_A^* + d_A^* d_A) F = \nabla^* \nabla F - \varepsilon^i \varepsilon^*_j R_{ij} F - \varepsilon^i \varepsilon^*_j [F_{ij}, F],
\]  

where \( \mathcal{R} \) denotes the Riemann curvature operator.

We recall in our context, Uhlenbeck’s \( \varepsilon \)-regularity theorem for Yang-Mills connections.

**Lemma 4** ([Tian, Theorem 2.2.1]). Let \( A \) be a smooth Yang-Mills connection with \( L^2 \) curvature on a Hermitian vector bundle \( \mathcal{E} \) over a Riemannian 4-manifold of bounded geometry. There exist constants \( \epsilon, C > 0 \) and \( R \in (0, \delta(M)^2) \) such that for every \( p \in M \), if \( \rho \in (0, R) \) is small enough so that

\[
\int_{B_\rho(p)} |F_A|^2 \, dv < \epsilon,
\]

then

\[
|F_A|^2(p) \leq \frac{C}{\rho^4} \int_{B_\rho(p)} |F_A|^2 \, dv.
\]

**Remark 5.** The constants \( \epsilon, C, \) and \( R \) in this theorem depend only on the injectivity radius, the magnitude of the Riemannian curvature (through the
expression for $\Delta |F_A|^2$, and the Sobolev constant $S_M$. (See [Wong] for an exposition in which the dependence of the constants is made explicit, in the context of harmonic maps).

Once we have bounded $|F_A|$, we may replace $\epsilon$-regularity arguments with Moser iteration arguments.

**Proposition 6.** Let $M$ be a Riemannian 4-manifold of bounded geometry. Let $E \to M$ be a Hermitian vector bundle over $M$ with smooth finite action Yang-Mills connection $A$. Then there exists $C > 0$ depending only on $\delta(M), S_M$, and $\|R\|_{L^{\infty}}$ and the rank of $E$ such that $\|F_A\|_{L^\infty} < C\|F_A\|_{L^2}$. Moreover, for any choice of basepoint $o \in M$, $|F_A(p)| \to 0$ as $\text{dist}(p,o) \to \infty$.

**Proof.** From equation (25), we see that $\|F_A\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|F_A\|_{L^2}$, with $C = C^4 \delta(M)^{-4}$. For any $\eta$ less than the $\epsilon$ appearing in (24), let $K_\eta$ be a compact subset of $M$ such that $\int_{M \setminus K_\eta} |F_A|^2 dv < \eta$. Then for all $p$ of distance at least $R < \frac{\delta(M)}{2}$ from $K_\eta$, we have from (25)

$$|F_A|^2(p) \leq \frac{C\eta}{R^4},$$

(26)

thus $F_A$ is indeed $L^\infty$. The norm decay follows from selecting an exhausting sequence of compact sets $K_{\eta_k}$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \eta_k = 0$.

---

**4 Instanton Connections**

In the previous section we proved that, on a complete four-manifold of bounded geometry, Yang-Mills connections with square integrable curvature have curvature vanishing at infinity. In this section we specialize to self-dual (SD) and anti-self-dual (ASD) connections with square integrable curvature on $\text{TauNUT}_k$, and we impose the generic asymptotic holonomy assumption. We first prove that generic asymptotic holonomy around the Taub-NUT fiber, as defined in Section 4.1, implies that the asymptotic holonomy exists and its conjugacy class is the same for every direction. In Section 4.2 we show curvature decays at least as fast as $r^{-3/2}$. Section 4.3 sharpens this result to quadratic curvature decay.

**4.1 Holonomy**

As in [1], the multi-Taub-NUT metric admits an isometric $S^1$ action with $k$ fixed points $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\}$, and the quotient of $\text{TauNUT}_k$ by the $S^1$ action is $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\pi_k : \text{TauNUT}_k \to \mathbb{R}^3$ again denote the projection to this quotient. We now consider the holonomy of the instanton around the $S^1$ fibers $\pi_k^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\}$.

Let $A$ be an instanton, let $p \in \pi_k^{-1}(x)$, and let $H_p$ denote the holonomy of $A$ around $\pi_k^{-1}(x)$ with base point $p$. Thus $H_p$ is the unitary transformation $H_p : \mathcal{E}_p \to \mathcal{E}_p$ obtained by parallel translation around $\pi_k^{-1}(x)$ (in the direction $\partial_x$). Let $\{e^{2\pi i a_n(x)}\}_a$ be the eigenvalues of $H_p$. These eigenvalues depend on $x$ and not on the choice of the point $p \in \pi_k^{-1}(x)$.
The holonomy of a tensor product of bundles is the tensor product of the holonomies. In particular, if $E$ has holonomy $H_p$ with eigenvalues $e^{2\pi i a}$, $a = 1, \ldots, n$, then $ad(E) \subset E \otimes E^\ast$ has holonomy $Ad(H_p)$ with eigenvalues $e^{2\pi i (a\mu - b\mu)}$, $a, b = 1, \ldots, n$. When $H_p$ belongs to the regular adjoint orbit, i.e., its eigenvalues are distinct (equivalently $\mu_a - \mu_b \not\in \mathbb{Z}$ for $a \neq b$), then the centralizer of $H_p$ in $ad(E_p)$ is a Cartan subalgebra, $Z_p \subset ad(E_p)$. This subspace is invariantly defined and is the fiber of a subbundle $Z$ of $ad(E)$. Equivalently, $Z$ is the holonomy eigen-subbundle of $Ad(H)$ with eigenvalue 1.

Consider a simple curve $c$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\}$ that is unit speed in the Euclidean metric. Choose a trivialization $S^1 \times c$ of the $S^1$ bundle $\pi_k^{-1}(c)$. Choose a frame for $E$ along the circle $\pi_k^{-1}(c(0))$ such that the eigenvalues of the connection matrix $A(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})$ all have norm $\leq 1$. Extend this frame to the 2-dimensional cylinder $\pi_k^{-1}(c)$, by requiring it to be covariant constant along $\{\tau\} \times c$ for each value $\tau$. In such a frame, the connection matrix $A$ of the connection pulled back to $\pi_k^{-1}(c)$ satisfies

$$A(\tau,s)(c'(s)) = 0,$$

and thus $A \wedge A = 0$. (27)

Hence, for all $v$ tangent to the cylinder,

$$F(c'(s),v) = dA(c'(s),v),$$

and

$$A(\tau,s) = A(\tau,0) + \int_0^s F(c'(u),\cdot)(\tau,u)du.$$ (29)

Since $c$ is unit speed in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $|F(c',\partial_\tau)| \leq \sqrt{V}|F|$, and

$$|A(\tau,s) - A(\tau,0)| \leq \int_0^s |F(\tau,c(t))|\sqrt{V}dt.$$ (30)

In particular,

$$|A(\tau,s) - A(\tau,0)| \leq |s| \sup_{t \in [0,s]} \sqrt{V}|F(\tau,c(t))|. $$ (31)

The (unitary) solutions of $(\frac{d}{ds} + A(\tau,s)(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}))\Pi(\tau,s) = 0$, $\Pi(0,s) = \text{Id}$ satisfy

$$|\Pi(\tau,s_1) - \Pi(\tau,s_2)| \leq \|A(\cdot,s_1) - A(\cdot,s_2)\|_{L^1([0,\tau])}$$

$$+ \int_0^{\tau} |A(u,s_1)||\Pi(u,s_1) - \Pi(u,s_2)||du.$$ (32)

Gronwall’s inequality ([Teschl], Lemma 2.7]) then yields

$$|\Pi(\tau,s_1) - \Pi(\tau,s_2)| \leq \|A(\cdot,s_1) - A(\cdot,s_2)\|_{L^1([0,\tau])} \exp[\|A(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^1([0,\tau])}]

\leq e^{2\pi}\|A(\cdot,s_1) - A(\cdot,s_2)\|_{L^1([0,\tau])} \exp[\|A(\cdot,s_1) - A(\cdot,s_2)\|_{L^1([0,\tau])}]

\leq e^{2\pi}\|\sqrt{V}F(\cdot,c(\cdot))\|_{L^1([0,\tau] \times [s_1,s_2])} \exp[\|\sqrt{V}F(\cdot,c(\cdot))\|_{L^1([0,\tau] \times [s_1,s_2])}],$$ (33)
where we have used the bound on the eigenvalues $A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})$ on $\pi_k^{-1}(0)$ in the second inequality.

Since $H_{c(s)} = \Pi(2\pi, s)$, we have

$$\|H_{c(s)} - H_{c(0)}\|_{\text{sup}}$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|H_{c(\frac{j}{N})} - H_{c(\frac{j+1}{N})}\|_{\text{sup}}$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{2\pi}\|\sqrt{V F(\cdot, c(\cdot))}\|_{L^1([0,2\pi] \times [\frac{j+1}{N}, \frac{j}{N}]^2)} \|\sqrt{V F(\cdot, c(\cdot))}\|_{L^1([0,2\pi] \times [\frac{j-1}{N}, \frac{j}{N}]^2)}$$

$$= e^{2\pi}\|\sqrt{V F(\cdot, c(\cdot))}\|_{L^1([0,2\pi] \times [0,s])}.$$

**Definition 7.** Let $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{6}$. Let $(\mathcal{E}, A) \to \mathcal{T}_N^k$ denote a rank $n$ Hermitian bundle with connection. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_k\}$ be any set. We say that $A$ has $\kappa$-generic holonomy in $\mathcal{U}$ if on each circle fiber $\pi_k^{-1}(x)$ of $\pi_k^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$, the eigenvalues $\{e^{2\pi i \mu_a(x)}\}_a$ (with $\mu_a(x)$ defined mod $\mathbb{Z}$) of the holonomy $H(x)$, satisfy

$$\inf \{|\mu_a(x) - \mu_{a'}(x) - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a \neq a'\} \geq \kappa, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (35)$$

**Definition 8.** We say that a connection $A$ on $\mathcal{T}_N^k$ has generic asymptotic holonomy if there exists a ray $\rho : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and there exist $t_0 > 0$, $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{6}$ so that $A$ has $\kappa$-generic holonomy in $\rho([t_0, \infty))$.

In particular, for a connection with generic asymptotic holonomy the stabilizer of its holonomy over any point of that given ray $\rho([t_0, \infty))$ is the Cartan subgroup of the gauge group.

Observe that the generic asymptotic holonomy condition involves a single ray in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and imposes no a priori conditions that are global over the manifold’s end. We will show in Proposition 15, however, that this generic asymptotic holonomy condition involving a single ray implies that the holonomy is $\kappa$-generic on the complement of a compact set. Towards this goal we next determine lower bounds on the distance between holonomy eigenvalues in terms of the curvature.

**Lemma 9.** Let $h(t)$ be a continuous family of unitary matrices such that the eigenvalues $\{e^{2\pi i \mu_a(t)}\}_j$ of $h(t)$ satisfy \[(35)\], for some $\kappa \leq \frac{1}{6}$. Suppose that $\|h(0) - h(s)\|_{\text{sup}} < \epsilon \leq \sin \pi \kappa$. Then the eigenvalues $\{e^{2\pi i \mu_a(s)}\}_a$ of $h(s)$ satisfy

$$\inf \{|\mu_a(s) - \mu_{a'}(s) - q| : q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a \neq a'\} > \kappa - \epsilon. \quad (36)$$

**Proof.** The eigenvalue condition can also be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{2} |e^{2\pi i \mu_a(0)} - e^{2\pi i \mu_{a'}(0)}| = \sin(\pi(\mu_a(0) - \mu_{a'}(0))) > \sin(\pi \kappa),$$

12
for \( a \neq a' \). The condition \( \|h(0) - h(s)\|_{\text{sup}} < \epsilon \) implies \( |e^{2\pi i\mu_a(s)} - e^{2\pi i\mu_a(0)}| < \epsilon \), and therefore

\[
\sin(\pi(\mu_a(s) - \mu_a'(s))) > \sin(\pi\kappa) - \epsilon \\
\geq \sin(\pi(\kappa - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(2\epsilon))) > \kappa - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(2\epsilon).
\]

The observation that \( \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(2\epsilon) < \epsilon \) when \( 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \) completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 10.** If \( c : [0, s] \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_k\} \) is a unit speed curve with \( H_c(0) \) satisfying the \( \kappa \)-generic condition \( (35) \), and \( \int_0^s e^{2\pi\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon}} \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} V|F(\tau,c(t))|^2 d\tau dt} \leq \epsilon \), with \( \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \), then the eigenvalues \( \{e^{2\pi i\mu_a(s)}\}_{a} \) of \( H_c(s) \) satisfy

\[
\inf \{|\mu_a(s) - \mu_a'(s) - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a \neq a'\} \geq \kappa - \epsilon. \quad (37)
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 9 and Equation \( (33) \) followed by Cauchy-Schwartz,

\[
\inf \{|\mu_a(s) - \mu_a'(s) - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a \neq a'\} \\
\geq \kappa - e^{2\pi} \|\sqrt{V} F(\cdot, c(\cdot))\|_{L^1([0,2\pi] \times [0,s])} \\
\geq \kappa - \sqrt{2\pi} e^{2\pi} \int_0^s \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} V|F(\tau,c(t))|^2 d\tau dt}.
\]

\( \square \)

### 4.2 First Bound

In this subsection, we exploit more features of the geometry of \( TN_k \). The holonomy enters our analysis as an effective potential as seen in the following lemma.

**Lemma 11.** Let \( (B, \nabla) \) be a rank \( n \) Hermitian vector bundle with Hermitian connection over a circle \( (S^1, d\tau) \) of length \( 2\pi \). Let \( \{e^{2\pi i\mu_a}\}_{a=1}^n \) be the eigenvalues of the holonomy \( H_p, \) for one (and hence every) base point \( p \in S^1 \). The eigenvalues of \( i\nabla_{\partial_a} \) on \( L^2 \) sections of \( B \) are

\[
\text{Spec}(i\nabla_{\partial_a}) = \{\mu_a - m : m \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq a \leq n\}. \quad (38)
\]

Assume further that the eigenvalues satisfy \( \inf_{a} \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mu_a - m| \geq \kappa \). Then for every smooth section \( \sigma \) of \( B \),

\[
\int_{S^1} |\nabla \sigma|^2 d\tau \geq \kappa^2 \int_{S^1} |\sigma|^2 d\tau. \quad (39)
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \{v_1, \cdots, v_n\} \) be an orthonormal eigenbasis of \( B_0 \) for \( H_0 \) with eigenvalues \( \exp(2\pi i\mu_a) \). Let \( v_a(\tau) \) denote the covariant constant extension of \( v_a \), for each \( a \). Then \( v_a(\tau + 2\pi) = e^{2\pi i\mu_a} v_a(\tau) \). For any section \( \sigma \) write

\[
\sigma(\tau) = \sum_a \sigma_a(\tau) e^{-i\tau \mu_a} v_a(\tau),
\]

where

\[
\|\sigma(\tau)\|^2 \geq \kappa^{-2} \int_{S^1} |\sigma(\tau)|^2 d\tau.
\]

Thus

\[
\int_{S^1} |\nabla \sigma|^2 d\tau \geq \kappa^2 \int_{S^1} |\sigma|^2 d\tau.
\]
where the coordinate functions $\sigma_a$ are periodic of period $2\pi$. Then $\nabla = \partial_\tau$ in this frame, and

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} |\nabla \sigma(\tau)|^2 d\tau = \sum_a \int_0^{2\pi} |\sigma_a'(\tau) - i\mu_a \sigma_a|^2 d\tau.
$$

Fourier expanding $\sigma_a(\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_{ak} e^{ik\tau}$,

$$
\int_{S^1} |\nabla \sigma(\tau)|^2 d\tau = \sum_a \sum_k \int_0^{2\pi} |\sigma_{ak}|^2 (k - \mu_a)^2 d\tau \\
\geq \kappa^2 \int_{S^1} |\sigma(\tau)|^2 d\tau.
$$

Moreover, we see that $\{e^{i\tau(m - \mu_a)}v_a : m \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq a \leq n\}$ gives a complete eigenbasis for the $L^2$ sections of $B$ with the claimed eigenvalues. \hfill $\square$

Consider now a set $\mathcal{U}$ with $\kappa$–generic holonomy, as in Definition \ref{def:generic-holonomy}. We again let $Z$ denote the 1 eigenspace of $Ad(H)$ in $ad(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{U}}$. Let $B$ denote its orthogonal complement. Then over $\mathcal{U}$,

$$
ad(\mathcal{E}) = Z \oplus B,
$$

where $B$ is the subbundle on which the logarithms of the eigenvalues of the holonomy have distance at least $\kappa$ from $Z$. This decomposition is preserved by $\nabla_{\partial_\tau}$. The generic holonomy hypothesis implies that $Z$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $ad(\mathcal{E})$. This decomposition induces a corresponding decomposition of the curvature and its covariant derivatives as

$$
F_A = F_A^Z + F_A^B, \quad \nabla^q F_A = (\nabla^q F_A)^Z + (\nabla^q F_A)^B,
$$

where $F_A^Z$ is a two-form with coefficients in $Z$, $F_A^B$ is a two-form with coefficients in $B$, $(\nabla^q F_A)^Z$ is a tensor with coefficients in $Z$, and $(\nabla^q F_A)^B$ is a tensor with coefficients in $B$.

**Remark 12.** We fix an origin for $\mathbb{R}^3$ and will henceforth let $r$ denote both the radial function on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and its lift to $M = TN_k$.

**Lemma 13.** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be either the spin bundle or $(T^*M)^{\otimes q} \otimes \Lambda^2 T^*M$ for some $q \geq 0$. For each $\kappa > 0$ and each $\delta \in (0, \kappa)$, there exists a compact set $K_\delta \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for all $x \in K_\delta$ if $(\mathcal{E}, A)$ has $\kappa$–generic holonomy at $x$, then for every section $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{V} \otimes ad(\mathcal{E})$,

$$
\int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} |\nabla_{\partial_\tau} \sigma|^2 d\tau \geq (\kappa - \delta)^2 \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} |\sigma^B|^2 d\tau.
$$

Here $\sigma^B$ denotes the unitary projection of $\sigma$ onto $\mathcal{V} \otimes B$.
Proof. For any open set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k\}$, over which the $S^1$ bundle is trivial, the tangent bundle has a local coordinate frame defined in $\pi^{-1}_k(W)$. The coordinates can be chosen with $O(\frac{1}{r})$ Christoffel symbols. (For example, $(x, \tau)$ of (3) and (4) are such coordinates, since the $(\mathbb{R}^3 -)$gradient of the harmonic function $V$ of Eq. (2) is $O(r^{-2})$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$.) In this ($S^1$-periodic) frame, a tangent vector field $v$ which is parallel around the $S^1$ fiber satisfies an equation of the form $dv = Av$, where $A = O(r^{-2})$. The fundamental theorem of calculus then implies the holonomy of the Levi-Civita connection is $I + O(r^{-2})$. This in turn implies the holonomy of $\mathcal{V}$ is $I + O(r^{-2})$. Hence the logarithm of the eigenvalues of the holonomy of $\mathcal{V} \otimes B$ have distance at least $\xi = \kappa - O(r^{-2})$ from $\mathbb{Z}$. Choose $K_\delta \supset B_R$, for the ball $B_R$ of sufficiently large radius $R$ to ensure that on $B_R^\circ$, $\xi > \kappa - \delta$. Then Lemma 14 yields the desired inequality. \hfill \Box

In order to take advantage of holonomy information and the geometry of $\text{TN}_k$, it will be convenient for many estimates to first integrate quantities over the $\text{TN}_k$ circle fiber, and then compute on the $\mathbb{R}^3$ base. Given sections $\psi_1, \psi_2$ of a Hermitian bundle over $\text{TN}_k$, define functions $\Phi(\psi_1)$ and $Q(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ to be

$$\Phi(\psi_1)(x) := \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} |\psi_1|^2 d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad Q(\psi_1, \psi_2)(x) := \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle d\tau. \quad (44)$$

In order to obtain decay estimates for $|F_A|^2$, it suffices to obtain decay estimates for $\Phi(F_A)$ as the following lemma shows.

**Lemma 14.** Let $\psi$ be a smooth section of a Hermitian bundle over $\text{TN}_k$. Suppose that for some $W \geq 0$, 

$$\Delta |\psi|^2 \leq W^2 |\psi|^2. \quad (45)$$

Let $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. Then $r^\alpha |\psi|^2$ is bounded if $r^\alpha \Phi(\psi)$ is bounded.

**Proof.** For any $p \in M = \text{TN}_k$, by Ineq. (17) of the proof of Proposition 2

$$|\psi|^2_{L^\infty\left( B_{\delta(M)}(p) \right)} \leq c_4 S^2 M^{-2} \frac{1}{\delta(M)^4} (1 + W^2)^2 |\psi|^2_{L^2\left( B_{\delta(M)}(p) \right)}, \quad (46)$$

where $c_4$ depends only on dimension (and not on geometry). We now estimate, outside of a compact set,

$$|\psi|^2_{L^2\left( B_{\delta(M)}(p) \right)} \leq |V \Phi(\psi)|_{L^1\left( \delta(M) / (\pi_k(p)) \right)} \leq \left( r(p) - \frac{\delta}{2} \right)^{-\alpha} \| V r^\alpha \Phi(\psi) \|_{L^1\left( B_{\delta(M)}(\pi_k(p)) \right)}. \quad (47)$$

Hence by (40), $r^\alpha |\psi|$ is bounded. \hfill \Box
We next seek estimates for $\Phi(F_A)$. Using
\[
\Delta_{TN}(\pi_k^* f) = \pi_k^*(V^{-1}\Delta_{R^3} f),
\] (48)
for $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$. We compute:
\[
V^{-1}\Delta_{R^3} \frac{1}{2}\Phi(\psi) = -\Phi(\nabla\psi) + Q(\nabla^*\nabla\psi, \psi).
\] (49)
In particular, for Yang-Mills connections,
\[
V^{-1}\Delta_{R^3} \frac{1}{2}\Phi(\psi) = -\Phi(\nabla F_A) + Q(\epsilon^i\epsilon^j R_{ij}, F_A) + \epsilon^i\epsilon^j [F_{ij}, F_A].
\] (50)
Equation (50) implies for some computable $c_1, c_2 > 0$,
\[
\frac{1}{2V} \Delta_{R^3} \Phi(F_A)(x) \leq -\Phi(\nabla F_A)(x) + c_1 \|R\|_{L^\infty(\pi^{-1}_k(x))} \Phi(F_A)(x) + c_2 \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\pi^{-1}_k(x))} \Phi(F_A^B)(x).
\] (51)
If we further assume that $x \in K_\delta$ (introduced in Lemma 13) and that $A$ has $\kappa$–generic holonomy at $x$, then Lemma 13 implies
\[
\frac{1}{2V} \Delta_{R^3} \Phi(F_A)(x) \leq -((\kappa - \delta)^2 - c_2 \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\pi^{-1}_k(x))}) \Phi(F_A)(x) + c_1 \|R\|_{L^\infty(\pi^{-1}_k(x))} \Phi(F_A)(x).
\] (52)

**Proposition 15.** Let $A$ be a Yang-Mills connection with generic asymptotic holonomy. Then $r^{-\frac{2\kappa}{3}}|F_A|$ is bounded, and for some $\kappa > 0$, $A$ has $\kappa$–generic holonomy in the complement of a compact set.

**Proof.** By Proposition 6 given $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{12})$, there exists a compact set $K_{2, \delta} \supset K_\delta$ so that $c_2 \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\pi^{-1}_k(x))} < \frac{\delta^2}{2}$, for $x \in K_{2, \delta}$. When $A$ has $2\delta$–generic holonomy at $x \in K_{2, \delta}$, we use the cubic decay of the Riemann curvature $R$ to bound the right-hand side of the Bochner estimate (52) by
\[
\frac{1}{2V} \Delta_{R^3} \Phi(F_A)(x) \leq \tilde{c} r^{-3} \Phi(F_A)(x).
\] (53)
By Corollary 3 if $A$ has $2\delta$–generic holonomy in $B_R(y) \subset K_{2, \delta}$, for some $R > 1$ and some $y \in K_{2, \delta}$, then for some $c_3 > 0$ depending only on $\tilde{c}$,
\[
\Phi(F_A)(x) \leq \frac{c_3^2}{(R - |x - y|)^3} \int_{B_R(|x - y|)} \Phi(F_A)dv 
\leq \frac{c_3^2}{(R - |x - y|)^3} \int_{B_R(y)} \Phi(F_A)dv,
\] (54)
for any \( x \in B_R(y) \). Hence, for any unit vector \( u \),

\[
\int_0^{R-1} \sqrt{V} \Phi(F_A)(y + tu) \, dt \leq 2c_3(1 - R^{-\frac{1}{2}})\|V\|_{L^\infty(B_R(y))}^{1/2}\|\Phi(F_A)\|_{L^1(B_R(y))}^{1/2}.
\]

(55)

Set

\[
\epsilon := 2e^{2\pi}c_3\sqrt{2\pi}\|V\|_{L^\infty(B_R(y))}^{1/2}\|\Phi(F_A)\|_{L^1(B_R(y))}^{1/2}.
\]

Corollary 10 and equation (55) imply that if \( A \) has \( \xi \)-generic holonomy at \( y \) and \( 2\delta \)-generic holonomy in \( B_R(y) \), then if \( \epsilon < \xi \), \( A \) has \( (\xi - \epsilon) \)-generic holonomy at \( y + (R - 1)u \).

In particular, if

\[
2e^{2\pi}c_3\sqrt{2\pi}\|V\|_{L^\infty(B_R(y))}^{1/2}\|\Phi(F_A)\|_{L^1(B_R(y))}^{1/2} < \delta,
\]

then \( A \) has \( (\xi - \delta) \)-generic holonomy in \( B_{R-1}(y) \). If in addition

\[
\epsilon^{2\pi}2\pi\sqrt{\sqrt{V}\Phi(F_A)}\|V\|_{L^\infty(B_R(y))} < \delta,
\]

then the resulting upper bound on \( \int_{R-1}^R \sqrt{\Phi(F_A)(y + tu)} \, dt \) combined with (55) implies that \( A \) has \( (\xi - 2\delta) \)-generic holonomy in \( B_R(y) \). By Proposition 6 there exists a compact set \( K_{3,\delta} \supset K_{2,\delta} \) such that (56) and (57) hold whenever \( B_R(y) \subset K_{3,\delta} \).

(i) if \( B_R(y) \subset K_{3,\delta} \),

(ii) if \( A \) has \( 2\delta \)-generic holonomy in \( B_R(y) \), and

(iii) if \( A \) has \( \xi \)-generic holonomy at \( y \) with \( \xi > 4\delta \),

then

\[
A \text{ has } (\xi - 2\delta) \text{ - generic holonomy in } B_R(y).
\]

(58)

Given \( y \in K_{3,\delta}^c \) so that \( A \) has \( \xi \)-generic holonomy at \( y \) with \( \xi \geq 8\delta \), set

\[
R_\delta(y) := \sup\{ R : B_R(y) \subset K_{3,\delta}^c, \text{ and } A \text{ has } 2\delta \text{-generic holonomy in } B_R(y) \}.
\]

Then (i)-(iii) are satisfied for \( R = R_\delta(y) \) and therefore \( A \) has \( (\xi - 2\delta) \)-generic holonomy on \( B_{R_\delta(y)}(y) \). Hence \( A \) has \( (\xi - 3\delta) \)-generic holonomy on \( B_{R_{\delta}+(\beta)}(y) \), for some \( \beta > 0 \). By the assumption of maximality of \( R_\delta(y) \), we conclude that \( \forall \beta > 0, B_{R_{\delta}+(\beta)}(y) \cap K_{3,\delta} \neq \emptyset \). We conclude that if \( A \) is \( 8\delta \)-generic at \( y \), then \( A \) is \( 6\delta \)-generic in every \( B_R(y) \subset K_{5,\delta}^c \).

By hypothesis, \( A \) has generic asymptotic holonomy, so there is a ray \( \rho \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), which without loss of generality is assumed to start at the origin, and a closed ball \( B_N(0) \), that contains all the \( \{ \nu_j \} \), such that, for some \( \kappa > 0 \), \( A \) has \( \kappa \)-generic holonomy on \( \rho([0, \infty)) \cap B_N^c(0) \). We fix coordinates so that \( \rho \) is the positive \( z \) axis. Set \( \delta = \frac{\kappa}{\pi^2} \). By increasing \( N \) if necessary, we may assume \( K_{3,\delta} \subset B_N(0) \).
Take $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho(t) \in B_N^z(0)$ for $t \geq t_0$. By the argument above (see [15]), $A$ has $\kappa - 2\delta = \frac{2}{k}\kappa$-generic holonomy on balls centered at points of $\rho$ if these balls are contained in $B_N^z(0)$. These balls cover the open half-space $z > N$. By continuity of the holonomy, $A$ has $\frac{2}{k}\kappa$-generic holonomy on the closed halfspace. In particular, $A$ has $\frac{2}{k}\kappa$-generic holonomy on the four rays $(t, 0, N), (-t, 0, N), (0, t, N)$, and $(0, -t, N), t \geq 0$. Iterating the argument, we deduce that $A$ has $\frac{2}{k}\kappa - 2\delta = \frac{6}{k}\kappa$-generic holonomy on the four closed half spaces $x \geq N$, $x \leq -N$, $y \geq N$, and $y \leq -N$. The first of these half spaces contains the ray $(N, 0, -t), t \geq 0$, on which $A$ therefore has $\frac{6}{k}\kappa$-generic holonomy. One more application of the above argument proves $z \leq -N$ has $\frac{6}{k}\kappa - 2\delta = \frac{8}{k}\kappa$-generic holonomy. Hence, outside a cube of side length $2N$, $A$ has $\frac{8}{k}\kappa$-generic holonomy.

We now apply (63) to deduce $r^3\Phi(F_A)$ is bounded. Lemma 14 then implies $r^3|F_A|^2$ is bounded.

Next, we obtain estimates for $\nabla^kF_A$. Given a one-form $w$, let $l(w)$ denote left tensor multiplication by $w$. In an orthonormal frame we have

$$\left|\nabla^* \nabla, \nabla \right| = -2l(e^b)(F_{ab} + R_{ab})\nabla_a.$$

Here we have used that both $F_A$ and $R$ are Yang-Mills on $TN_k$. Hence

$$\nabla^* \nabla \nabla^kF_A = \nabla^k(\varepsilon^i e^s_j R_{ij} F_A - \varepsilon^i e^s_j [F_{ij}, F_A]) = -2 \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \nabla^m l(e^b)(F_{ab} + R_{ab}) \nabla_a \nabla^{k-1-m}F_A,$$

implying

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla^k F_A|^2 = -|\nabla^{k+1} F_A|^2 + \langle \nabla^k(\varepsilon^i e^s_j R_{ij} F_A - \varepsilon^i e^s_j [F_{ij}, F_A]), \nabla^k F_A \rangle$$

$$- 2 \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \langle \nabla^m l(e^b)(F_{ab} + R_{ab}) \nabla_a \nabla^{k-1-m}F_A, \nabla^k F_A \rangle.$$

This yields the inequality for some $C_k > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla^k F_A|^2 \leq -|\nabla^{k+1} F_A|^2 + C_k \sum_{m=0}^{k} |\nabla^m R||\nabla^{k-m} F_A||\nabla^k F_A|$$

$$+ C_k \sum_{m=0}^{k} |\nabla^m F_A||\nabla^{k-m} F_A)|^2 |\nabla^k F_A|.$$

By [Min10] Proposition A.2, for all integers $q \geq 0$, $\exists C_{q,R} < \infty$ such that

$$\|s^{3+q} \nabla^q R\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{q,R}.$$

We may similarly bound the covariant derivatives of $F_A$ as follows.
Proposition 16. Let $A$ be a Yang-Mills connection with generic asymptotic holonomy, satisfying $\|r^\alpha F_A\|_{L^2(M)} < \infty$, for some $\alpha \geq 0$. Then for all integers $m \geq 0$,

$$\|r^{\alpha + m} \nabla^m F_A\|_{L^2} < \infty,$$

(64)

$$\|r^{\alpha + m + \frac{2}{3}} \nabla^m F_A\|_{L^\infty} < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \|r^{\alpha + m + 1} (\nabla^m F_A)B\|_{L^2} < \infty. \quad (65)$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to [Min10, Proposition A.2]. We induct on $C$. via the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Hence, Corollary 3 yields for some constants

Lemma 14 then implies

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}}(x))} \leq \frac{C_{q-1,1}}{R^{3+2\alpha}} \int_{B_R(x)} f dv \leq \frac{C_{q-1,2}}{R^{3+2\alpha}} \int_{B_R(x)} r^{2\alpha} f dv < \frac{C_{q-1,3}}{R^{3+2\alpha}}.$$

Hence

$$\|r^{2(q-1)+3+2\alpha} \Phi(\nabla q^{-1} F_A)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

(66)

Lemma 13 then implies $\|r^{q-1+\frac{2}{3}+\alpha} \nabla q^{-1} F_A\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, and the pointwise estimate of (65) for $m = q - 1$ follows.

Let $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ be a smooth function identically 1 on $[0, 1]$ and supported on $(-\infty, 2)$. Let $\eta_{n,N} := \min\{r^{q+\alpha}, n^{q+\alpha}\} \phi(\frac{r}{R})$. Then taking the inner product of $\eta_{n,N} \nabla q^{-1} F_A$ with (60) (for $k = q - 1$) yields:

$$\|\eta_{n,N} \nabla q^1 F_A\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\eta_{n,N} \nabla q^1 F_A, d\eta_{n,N} \otimes \nabla q^{-1} F_A)_{L^2}$$

$$= \langle \nabla q^{-1} (\varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j \mathcal{R}_{ij} F_A - \varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j [F_{ij}, F_A]) \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$- 2 \sum_{m=0}^{q-2} \nabla^m \{l(e^b)(F_{ab} + \mathcal{R}_{ab}) \nabla q^{-2-m} F_A, \eta_{n,N} \nabla q^{-1} F_A\}_{L^2}.$$  (67)
Hence

\[ \frac{1}{2} \| \eta_{n,N} \nabla^q F_A \|^2_{L^2,2} \leq 2 \| d\eta_{n,N} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|^2_{L^2} \]

\[ + C_{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \| r \eta_{n,N} \nabla^m \nabla^{q-1-m} F_A \|_{L^2} \| r^{-1} \eta_{n,N} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|_{L^2} \]

\[ + C_{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \| r \eta_{n,N} (\nabla^m F_A) (\nabla^{q-1-m} F_A)^B \|_{L^2} \| r^{-1} \eta_{n,N} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|_{L^2} \]

\[ \leq 2 \| (q + \alpha) |dr| r^{q+\alpha-1} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|^2_{L^2} \]

\[ + C_{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \| r^{q+\alpha-2-m} \nabla^{q-1-m} F_A \|_{L^2} \| r^{q+\alpha-1} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|_{L^2} \]

\[ + C_{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \| r^{-m-\alpha-\frac{\beta}{2}} \eta_{n,N} (\nabla^{q-1-m} F_A)^B \|_{L^2} \| r^{q+\alpha-1} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|_{L^2}. \quad (68) \]

By Proposition 11 for some \( \kappa > 0 \), \( E \) has \( \kappa \)-generic holonomy in the complement of a compact set. Choosing \( \delta = \frac{\kappa}{2} \) in Lemma 11 there exists a compact set \( K_\delta \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that

\[ \frac{1}{4} \| \eta_{n,N} \nabla^q F_A \|^2_{L^2,2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \| \sqrt{V} \eta_{n,N} \nabla_{\partial_r} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|^2_{L^2,2} \geq \frac{\kappa^2}{16} \| \sqrt{V} \eta_{n,N} (\nabla^{q-1} F_A)^B \|^2_{L^2(K_\delta)}. \quad (69) \]

Hence

\[ \frac{1}{4} \| \eta_{n,N} \nabla^q F_A \|^2_{L^2,2} \leq 2 \| (q + \alpha) |dr| r^{q+\alpha-1} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|^2_{L^2} \]

\[ + C_{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \| r^{q+\alpha-2-m} \nabla^{q-1-m} F_A \|_{L^2} \| r^{q+\alpha-1} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|_{L^2} \]

\[ + C_{q-1} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \| r^{-m-\alpha-\frac{\beta}{2}} \eta_{n,N} (\nabla^{q-1-m} F_A)^B \|_{L^2} \| r^{q+\alpha-1} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|_{L^2} \]

\[ + \frac{\kappa^2}{16} \| r^{-m-\alpha-\frac{\beta}{2}} \eta_{n,N} (\nabla^{q-1} F_A)^B \|^2_{L^2(K_\delta)} - \frac{1}{4} \| \sqrt{V} \eta_{n,N} \nabla_{\partial_r} (\nabla^{q-1} F_A)^B \|^2_{L^2} \]

\[ + \frac{4C_{q-1}^2}{\kappa^2} \| r^{q+\alpha-1} \nabla^{q-1} F_A \|^2_{L^2}. \quad (70) \]

The inductive hypothesis and (69) imply that every term on the right-hand side of (70) is bounded above, uniformly for all \( N \) and \( n \). Hence, we may take the limit as \( N, n \to \infty \) to deduce \( r^{q+\alpha} \nabla^q F_A \in L^2 \), and by an additional application of (69), \( r^{q+\alpha} (\nabla^{q-1} F_A)^B \in L^2 \). The proposition now follows by induction. \( \square \)
**Corollary 17.** Let $A$ be a Yang-Mills connection with generic asymptotic holonomy, satisfying $\|F_{A}\|_{L^2(M)} < \infty$. Then for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r$ outside a compact set,

$$|(\nabla^j F_{A})^B| < \frac{C(j, m)}{r^m}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (71)

**Proof.** For $r$ outside a compact set, $\Phi(r^{m+j+1+\frac{j}{2}} (\nabla^{m+j+1} F_{A})^B)$ is bounded by \hspace{1cm} (66) (for $\alpha = 0$). Applying Lemma 11 $m$ times yields $\Phi(r^{m+j+\frac{j}{2}} (\nabla^{j+1} F_{A})^B)$ is bounded. By the Sobolev embedding theorem for the circle, $r^{m+j+\frac{j}{2}} |(\nabla^j F_{A})^B|$ is bounded. \hspace{1cm} \hfill \Box

4.3 Quadratic Curvature Decay

In this subsection we sharpen our curvature decay to quadratic decay. We first recall the Kato-Yau inequality for closed self-dual and anti-self-dual forms and a Hardy inequality for $T^k_N$.

**Lemma 18** (Kato-Yau inequality \hspace{1cm} [IN90, CGH90]). Let $h$ be a closed anti-self-dual form, over a four-manifold, with coefficients in a Hermitian bundle, then for any unit vector $u$

$$\frac{3}{2} |\nabla_u h|^2 \leq |\nabla h|^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (72)

**Proof.** Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be an oriented orthonormal frame. The bundle-valued form $h$ is closed, therefore $d_A h = 0$ and this Bianchi identity, combined with anti-self-duality, imply

$$-h_{12;1} + h_{13;4} + h_{41;3} = 0, \quad h_{12;2} + h_{13;3} + h_{14;4} = 0,$$

$$h_{12;3} + h_{31;2} - h_{14;1} = 0, \quad h_{12;4} - h_{31;1} + h_{41;2} = 0.$$  

Hence

$$|h_{12;1}|^2 + |h_{13;1}|^2 + |h_{14;1}|^2 \leq 2(|h_{13;4}|^2 + |h_{41;3}|^2 + |h_{12;2}|^2 + |h_{12;3}|^2 + |h_{31;2}|^2).$$

Hence

$$\frac{3}{2} |\nabla^1 h|^2 \leq |\nabla h|^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} \hfill \Box

Choose $e_1 = u$, and the lemma follows.

Let, as usual, $H^2_1(T^k_N) = \{ f \in L^2(T^k_N) : df \in L^2(T^k_N) \}$. Similarly define $H^2_1(T^k_N, W)$ for any Hermitian vector bundle equipped with a connection. We will simply write $H^2_1$ when the bundle and connection are clear.

**Lemma 19** (Hardy inequality for $T^k_N$). For all $f \in H^2_1(T^k_N)$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{f}{r \sqrt{V}} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right\|^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (73)
Proof. The proof is the same as for $\mathbb{R}^3$ and extends to any ALF space by a minor modification of the proof of Proposition 3.7 in [DS13]. In the notation of (44), we write
\[
\int_M \frac{f}{r \sqrt{V}} \, dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi \left( \frac{f}{r} \right) \, dx.
\]
Hardy’s inequality for $\mathbb{R}^3$ followed by Kato’s inequality for $L^2(S^1)$ gives
\[
\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi \left( \frac{f}{r} \right) \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial \sqrt{\Phi(f)}}{\partial r} \right|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right)^2 V \, dx = \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right\|^2.
\]

**Theorem 20.** Let $A$ be an instanton with generic asymptotic holonomy. Then $\| r^2 F_A \|_{L^\infty} < \infty$.

**Proof.** We begin with an integrated Bochner formula:
\[
0 = \| \nabla (\eta F_A) \|^2 - \| d\eta \| F_A \|^2 - \langle \varepsilon^i \varepsilon_j \mathcal{R}_{ij} \eta F, \eta F \rangle - \langle [F_{ij}, \eta F_{jk}], \eta F_{ik} \rangle.
\]
This equation holds for all $\eta$ with $\eta F_A \in H^1$. Choosing $\eta = \eta_n(r) = r_p n^2 r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $p \leq 1$ yields
\[
\| \nabla (r^p n^2 r^{\frac{1}{2}} F_A) \|^2 = \left\| d(r^p n^2 r^{\frac{1}{2}}) F_A \right\|^2 + \langle \varepsilon^i \varepsilon_j \mathcal{R}_{ij} r^p n^2 r^{\frac{1}{2}} F, F \rangle + \langle [F_{ij}, r^p n^2 r^{\frac{1}{2}} F_{jk}], F_{ik} \rangle.
\]
Write
\[
\| \nabla (\eta F_A) \|^2 = \| \nabla^0 (\eta F_A) \|^2 + \| \nabla \hat{e}_1 (\eta F_A) \|^2,
\]
where $\hat{e}_1$ is a unit vector in the radial direction and $\nabla^0$ denotes the summand of the covariant derivative in the directions orthogonal to $\hat{e}_1$. The Hardy inequality (73) and the Kato inequality give
\[
\| \nabla \hat{e}_1 (\eta F_A) \|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\eta F_A}{r \sqrt{V}} \right)^2.
\]
By Proposition 15 and Corollary 17, we have
\[
\langle [F_{ij}, \eta_n F_{jk}], \eta_n F_{ik} \rangle (x) \leq C_1 |F_A| |F^B|^2 \eta_n^2 \in L^1,
\]
with $L^1$ bound independent of $n$ since $|F^B| = O(r^{-m})$, $\forall m$. Combining this estimate and (76) with (75) gives
\[
\frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{r^p n^2}{\sqrt{V}} F_A \right\|^2 + \| r^p n^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^0 F_A \|^2 \leq \| d(r^p n^{\frac{1}{2}}) F_A \|^2 + C_2.
\]
Here we have used the cubic decay of the Riemann curvature $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$.
Now we use the Bianchi identity to estimate $\|r_n^p r \frac{1}{2} \nabla^0 F_A\|^2$ from below. Let $\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3, \hat{e}_4$ be an oriented orthonormal frame around a point $x \in \text{TN}_k$, with $\hat{e}_1$ the unit radial vector field. The Bianchi identity implies

$$0 = \langle F_{12:3} - F_{13:2} - F_{14:1}, F_{14} \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (78)

Summing over cyclic permutations and applying Cauchy-Schwartz yields

$$|\nabla^0 F_A| F_A \geq -\frac{1}{2} \hat{e}_1 |F_A|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (79)

Multiply this inequality by $r_n^p V^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and integrate to obtain, for some $c > 0$ independent of $n$ and $p$,

$$\sqrt{2} r_n^p r^{1/2} \nabla^0 F_A \|r_n^p r^{-1/2} V^{-1/2} F_A\| \geq \int |F_A|^2 (p t_{n,p} + 1) \frac{r_n^{2p}}{rV} dv - c,$$  \hspace{1cm} (80)

where, for $\chi_n$ the characteristic function of $\{x : r(x) \leq n\}$,

$$t_{n,p} = \frac{\int |F_A|^2 \chi_n r_n^{2p} r^{-1} V^{-1} dv}{\int |F_A|^2 r_n^{2p} r^{-1} V^{-1} dv}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (81)

In integrating (79), we have used

$$\text{div} \left( \frac{r_n^{2p}}{2V} |F_{1m}|^2 \hat{e}_1 \right) = r_n^{2p} V^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \hat{e}_1 |F_A|^2 + (p \chi_n + 1) \frac{r_n^{2p} |F_{1m}|^2}{rV}$$  \hspace{1cm} (82)

$$+ O \left(|F_{1m}|^2 r_n^{2p} r^{-2}\right).$$

For fixed $n$, the integral of the divergence term in (82) vanishes since $F_A \in H^1$ (and can be seen even more readily from the bound for $r^{\frac{1}{2}} F_A$ obtained in Proposition 15). Squaring both sides yields

$$\|r_n^p r^{1/2} \nabla^0 F_A\|^2 \geq \frac{(p t_{n,p} + 1)^2}{2} \int |F_A|^2 r_n^{2p} r^{-1} V^{-1} dv - c_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (83)

Inserting (83) into (77) gives, for some $c_3 > 0$ independent of $n$ and $p$,

$$[1 - p^2 + p^2 (t_{n,p} - 1)^2] \int |F_A|^2 r_n^{2p} r^{-1} V^{-1} dv \leq c_3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (84)

Hence, for all $p < 1$, we may take the limit as $n \to \infty$ in (84) to deduce

$$\int |F_A|^2 r_n^{2p} r^{-1} V^{-1} dv \leq \frac{c_3}{1 - p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (85)

Let $p = 1 - \frac{1}{N}$ in Equation (85), then

$$\int_{r \leq e^N} |F_A|^2 r V^{-1} dv \leq c_3 e^2 N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (86)
Hence
\[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \int_{e_m r \leq x \leq e_{m+1}} |F_A|^2 rV^{-1} \, dv \leq c_3 e^2. \tag{87} \]

Thus, the average value of \( \int_{e_m r \leq x \leq e_{m+1}} |F_A|^2 rV^{-1} \, dv \) is less than \( c_3 e^2 \). Set
\[ J := \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \int_{e_m r \leq x \leq e_{m+1}} |F_A|^2 rV^{-1} \, dv \leq 2c_3 e^2 \right\}. \]

Since (87) holds for all \( N \) large, \( J \) is an infinite set. For each \( m \in J \), \( m \) sufficiently large, inequality (54) implies for each \((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3\) with \(|y| = \frac{e^{m+1} - e^m}{2}\) and \(|x - y| < \frac{e^{m+1} - e^m}{2}\) such that for all \( r \geq r \) holds for all large \( p \), there is a uniform pointwise upper bound for \( r^2 |F_A| \) on infinitely many spherical annuli. If \( r^{1+p} |F_A| \) is not uniformly bounded for all \( p \leq 1 \), then it must achieve local maxima between some of these annuli, for some \( p \). At a critical point of \( r^{1+p} |F_A| \) we have
\[ 0 = (1 + p) |F_A| + r \frac{\partial |F_A|}{\partial r}. \tag{90} \]

By the the anti-self-dual Kato-Yau inequality (82) and the Kato inequality (81) implies that at a critical point
\[ |\nabla F_A|^2 \geq \frac{3}{2V} |\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial r} F_A|^2 \geq \frac{3(1 + p)^2 |F_A|^2}{2V r^2}. \tag{91} \]

At a maximum point of \( r^{1+p} |F_A| \) we have
\[
0 \geq -\frac{1}{2} \Delta (|F_A|^2 r^{2+2p}) = r^{2+2p} |\nabla F_A|^2 + \frac{(2p^2 + 5p + 3)}{V} r^{2p} |F_A|^2 \\
+ \frac{(2 + 2p)r^{2p+1}}{V} \frac{\partial |F_A|^2}{\partial r} + O(r^{2p-1} |F_A|^2) - r^{2+2p} (\langle [F_{ij}, F_{jk}], F_{ik} \rangle) \\
= r^{2+2p} |\nabla F_A|^2 - \frac{(2p^2 + 3p + 1)r^{2p}}{V} |F_A|^2 + O(r^{2p-1} |F_A|^2) \\
\geq r^{2p} \frac{(1 - p^2)}{2V} |F_A|^2 + O(r^{2p-1} |F_A|^2), \tag{92} \]
by (91). Dividing by \( r^{2p} \) we find that \( \exists \lambda > 0 \) such that
\[
(1 - p) |F_A|^2 \leq \lambda r^{-1} |F_A|^2.
\]
(93)
Hence, at a local maximum, we must have \( 1 - p \leq \lambda r^{-1} \). Let \( 1 < N_0 \in J \) such that \( \lambda r^{-1} < \frac{1}{\ln(r)}, \forall r > e^{N_0} \). Let \( N - 1 \in J, \) with \( N - 1 > N_0 \). For \( p = 1 - \frac{1}{r^2} \), \( (1 - p) \geq \frac{1}{\ln(r)} \) when \( r \leq e^N \). Therefore \( r^{2+2p}|F_A|^2 \) has no local maximum for \( e^{N_0} \leq r \leq e^N \). Hence
\[
\|r^{1+p}F_A\|_{L^\infty((\pi^{-1}(x : x \in \Sigma \cap \{x : e^{N_0} \leq |x| \leq e^{N+N+1}\}))\}} \leq \max \left\{ \|r^{1+p}F_A\|_{L^\infty(\pi^{-1}(|x| = e^{N_0})}, \sqrt{\tilde{C}_3} \right\}.
\]
(94)
For \( r \leq e^N, r^{1+p} \geq r^2 e^{-1} \). Hence we deduce
\[
\|r^2F_A\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq e \max \left\{ \|r^2F_A\|_{L^\infty(\pi^{-1}(|x| = e^{N_0})}, \sqrt{\tilde{C}_3} \right\},
\]
(95)
and the theorem follows.

5 Asymptotic Form of an Instanton

Consider a finite energy Yang-Mills connection \( A \) with generic asymptotic holonomy. By Proposition [13] there exists a compact \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \), with \( \{v_a\}_{a=1}^k \subset K, \) such that \( \forall x \in K, \) the eigenvalues \( \{e^{2\pi i \mu_a(x)}\}_a \) of \( H_p \), with \( p \in \pi^{-1}_K(x) \) are distinct. Let \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K \) be an open contractible set, and let \( (x, \tau) \in U \times [0, 2\pi) \) be local coordinates for \( \pi^{-1}_K(U) \). Let \( \{v_a\}_a \) be a smooth unitary holonomy eigenframe of \( \mathcal{E} \) over the section of the circle bundle defined by
\[
\Sigma := \{(x, 0) : x \in U\}.
\]
We extend this eigenframe by parallel translation (over one period in positive \( \tau \) direction) to a frame over \( \pi^{-1}_K(U) \), albeit discontinuous at \( \Sigma \). Define
\[
\{e^{-i\tau \mu_a(x)} v_a(\tau) =: w_a(\tau)\}_a,
\]
thus obtaining a smooth and, of course, continuous frame of \( \mathcal{E} \) over \( \pi^{-1}_K(U) \). In the frame \( \{w_a\} \), the connection matrix, \( A \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) \), is diagonal, with
\[
A \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) = -i \operatorname{diag}(\mu_a).
\]
(96)
Note, that this choice of the frame depends on the choice of the log branch in defining \( \mu_a \) for each holonomy eigenvalue \( e^{2\pi i \mu_a} \). We reconsider this choice at the end of this section.
It is useful to observe that for $a \neq b$,
\[
\langle F_A(\partial_x, \partial_y) w_a, w_b \rangle = \langle F_A(\partial_x, \partial_y) w_a, w_b \rangle = O(r^{-m}),
\]
for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by Corollary 17.

Define the projection operator acting on $L^2(\pi^{-1}_k(x), \Lambda^2 T^* M \otimes ad(\mathcal{E}))$ (for $|x|$ large) by
\[
\Pi_0 := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C (z - i \nabla \pi)^{-1} dz,
\]
where $C$ is a small circle around 0 in $\mathbb{C}$ with radius $\rho < \frac{1}{2} \min \{|\mu_a - \mu_b + m| : m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a \neq a'\}$. For sufficiently large $|x|$, $\Pi_0$ is the projection onto the $O(r^{-2})$ eigenspace of the self-adjoint operator $i \nabla \pi$. To see this, we recall that as noted in Lemma 13, the holonomy of $\Lambda^* T^* M$ around the circle fibers is $I + O(r^{-2})$. On the other hand, the holonomy eigenvalues on $ad(\mathcal{E})$ are $\{e^{2\pi i (\mu_a - \mu_b)}, 1 \leq a, b \leq n\}$. The tensor product $\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes ad(\mathcal{E})$ then has holonomy eigenvalues $\{e^{2\pi i (\mu_a - \mu_b + O(r^{-2}))}, 1 \leq a, b \leq n\}$. Hence by Lemma 11, the eigenvalues of $i \nabla \partial_x$ are $\{\mu_a - \mu_b + m + O(r^{-2}) : m \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq a, b \leq n\}$. For $r$ sufficiently large, we see that only $O(r^{-2})$ eigenvalues are contained within the contour $C$. Set
\[
\Pi_1 = I - \Pi_0.
\]
The subbundle $\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes B$ is holonomy invariant with holonomy eigenvalues $\{e^{2\pi i (\mu_a - \mu_b + O(r^{-2})), a \neq b}\}$. Thus all the eigenvalues of $i \nabla \partial_x$ on this summand have norm larger than $\rho$ for $r$ large. Hence
\[
\Pi_1 F_A = F_A^B + \Pi_1 F_A^Z.
\]

**Proposition 21.**
\[
|\nabla_{\partial_x} \Pi_1 F_A| + |\Pi_1 F_A| < \frac{C}{r^m}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}
\]

**Proof.** The proof is essentially the same as that of Corollary 17.

**Corollary 22.**
\[
|\nabla_{\partial_x} F_A| = O(r^{-4}).
\]

**Proof.** By construction, $|\nabla_{\partial_x} \Pi_0 F_A| = O(r^{-2}|F_A|)$ and $|\nabla_{\partial_x} F_A| = O(r^{-4})$. Hence
\[
|\nabla_{\partial_x} F_A| \leq |\nabla_{\partial_x} \Pi_0 F_A| + |\nabla_{\partial_x} \Pi_1 F_A| = O(r^{-4}).
\]
and integration by parts, we have
\[
\partial_k \mu_a(x) = \partial_k \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} (\nabla_{\partial_k} w_a, w_a) d\tau
\]
\[
= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} [(F(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a, w_a) + (\nabla_{\partial_k} \nabla_{f_k} w_a, w_a) + (\nabla_{\partial_\tau} w_a, \nabla_{f_k} w_a)] d\tau
\]
\[
= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} [(F(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a, w_a) + i \mu_a \langle \nabla_{f_k} w_a, w_a \rangle + i \mu_a \langle w_a, \nabla_{f_k} w_a \rangle] d\tau
\]
\[
= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} (F(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a, w_a) d\tau.
\]  
(102)

Hence
\[
|d\mu_a| < \frac{c}{r^2}.
\]  
(103)

The final integrand in (102) is almost constant in \( \tau \) since
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(F_A(\partial_\tau, \partial_j) w_a, w_a) = \langle (\nabla_{\partial_\tau} F_A)(\partial_\tau, \partial_j) w_a, w_a \rangle
\]
\[
+ \langle F(\nabla_{\partial_\tau}, \partial_\tau, \partial_j) w_a, w_a \rangle + \langle F(\partial_\tau, \nabla_{\partial_\tau}, \partial_j) w_a, w_a \rangle = O(r^{-4}),
\]  
(104)

by Corollary 22.

Hence
\[
id\mu_a = \langle F(\partial_\tau, \cdot) w_a, w_a \rangle + O(r^{-4}).
\]  
(105)

We also compute
\[
2\pi i \Delta_{\pi^2} \mu_a(x) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \partial_k \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} (F(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a, w_a) d\tau
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^3 \left( \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} \langle (\nabla_{f_k} F)(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a + F(\nabla_{f_k} f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a + F(f_k, \nabla_{f_k} \partial_\tau) w_a + \langle F(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a, \nabla_{f_k} w_a \rangle d\tau
\]
\[
+ \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} [(F(f_k, \partial_\tau) \nabla_{f_k} w_a, w_a) + \langle F(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a, \nabla_{f_k} w_a \rangle] d\tau \right).
\]  
(106)

The Yang-Mills equation implies the vanishing of \( \sum_{k=1}^3 \langle \nabla_{f_k} F \rangle(f_k, \partial_\tau) \). Since \( |w_a|^2 = 1 \), we can write \( \nabla_{f_k} w_a = \gamma_{ka}^b w_b \) with \( \gamma_{ka} \in i\mathbb{R} \). Using Prop. 21 or (97), for \( m = 6 \) gives
\[
\int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} [(F(f_k, \partial_\tau) \nabla_{f_k} w_a, w_a) + \langle F(f_k, \partial_\tau) w_a, \nabla_{f_k} w_a \rangle] d\tau
\]
\[
= \int_{\pi^{-1}_k(x)} [(\Pi_0 F)(\partial_\tau, \partial_\tau) \gamma_{ka}^a w_a, w_a) + \langle (\Pi_0 F)(\partial_\tau, \partial_\tau) w_a, \gamma_{ka}^a w_a \rangle] d\tau + O(r^{-6})
\]
\[
= O(r^{-6}).
\]  
(107)
Computing covariant derivatives in the remaining two terms in (106) we conclude
\[
\Delta \mathcal{R} \mu_a(x) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} (F(f_k, V_\nu \partial_\tau + \frac{1}{2} V^{-2} (s dV)_{jk} f_j) w_a, w_a) d\tau + O(r^{-6}).
\]
(108)

Rotating coordinates (preserving orientation) at \(x\) so that \(\nabla V\) is in the direction opposite to \(\partial_1\), we rewrite (108) as
\[
- \Delta \mathcal{R} \mu_a(x) = \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \frac{V_1}{V} (F(f_1, \partial_\tau) - F(f_2, V^{-1} f_3)) w_a, w_a) d\tau + O(r^{-6}).
\]
(109)

If the connection is not only Yang-Mills, but is self-dual, then this yields \(\Delta \mathcal{R} \mu_a = O(r^{-6})\). Since \(V_1\) is \(O(r^{-2})\), we have in the anti-self-dual case:
\[
\Delta \mathcal{R} \mu_a(x) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \frac{V_1}{V} F(f_1, \partial_\tau) w_a, w_a) d\tau + O(r^{-6}) = O(r^{-4}).
\]
(110)

We next use the bounds (108) and (110) to obtain information about the asymptotic behavior of \(\mu_a\). Let \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3\), with \(|x| = 2R\). Let \(\eta\) satisfy \(\eta(s) = 1\) for \(s \leq \frac{1}{2}\), \(\eta(s) = 0\) for \(s \geq 1\), and \(|\eta'| \leq 4\). Set
\[
\eta_R(y) := \eta \left( \frac{|y|}{R} \right).
\]
(111)

Let \(u\) be a \(C^2\) function on \(\mathbb{R}^3\) satisfying \(|\Delta u| = O(r^{-4})\). Then we have
\[
\int_{B_R(x)} \frac{\eta_R(x - y) \Delta u(y)}{4\pi |x - y|} dv_y = u(x)
\]
\[
+ \int_{B_R(x)} \left( \frac{\Delta \eta_R(x - y)}{4\pi |x - y|} + \frac{\nabla \eta_R(x - y) \cdot \nabla |x - y|}{2\pi |x - y|^2} \right) u(y) dv_y.
\]
(112)

Hence for some \(C_1, C_2 > 0\),
\[
|u(x)| \leq C_1 R^{-2} + C_2 R^{-3/2} \sqrt{\int_{B_R(x)} |u|^2 dv}. \quad (113)
\]

Differentiating (112) yields
\[
|\nabla u(x)| \leq \tilde{C}_1 R^{-3} + \tilde{C}_2 R^{-5/2} \sqrt{\int_{B_R(x)} |u|^2 dv}. \quad (114)
\]

In order to apply these results to \(\mu_a\), we first decompose \(\mu_a\) in spherical harmonics:
\[
\mu_a = \sum \mu_a^k(r) Y_k \left( \frac{x}{r} \right), \quad (115)
\]
where $Y_k$ is a spherical harmonic corresponding to a harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree $k$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $Y_0 = 1$. Then we still have $|\Delta \mu_k^a| = O(r^{-4})$ for all $k$. For $\mu_a^0$ this gives us
\[
\partial_r (r^2 \partial_r \mu_a^0) = O(r^{-2}).
\] (116)

Integrating (116), we see $\lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 \partial_r \mu_a^0 =: -\frac{\Theta}{r^2}$ exists and is finite. Hence \( \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 \partial_r (\mu_a^0 - \frac{\partial_a}{2r}) = 0 \). Moreover
\[
\partial_r r^2 \partial_r (\mu_a^0 - \frac{\partial_a}{2r}) = O(r^{-2}),
\] (117)

since $-\frac{\partial_a}{2r}$ is harmonic. Integrating (117) from $\infty$ to $r$ now yields
\[
\partial_r \left( \mu_a^0 - \frac{\partial_a}{2r} \right) = O(r^{-3}).
\] (118)

Let $\frac{\Delta}{r} := \limsup_{r \to \infty} \mu_a^0(r)$. Then we may integrate the equality $\partial_r (\mu_a^0 - \frac{\lambda_a}{r} - \frac{\partial_a}{2r}) = O(r^{-3})$ from $\infty$ to $r$ to deduce
\[
\mu_a^0 = \frac{\lambda_a}{r} + \frac{\partial_a}{2r} + O(r^{-2}).
\] (119)

Now we consider $\mu_a^1$. It satisfies
\[
\partial_r r^{-2} \partial_r (r^2 \mu_a^1) = O(r^{-4}).
\] (120)

Integrating (120) from $\infty$ to $r$ yields
\[
\partial_r (r^2 \mu_a^1) = O(r^{-1}).
\] (121)

Hence integrating from any fixed $O(1)$ to $r_0$ to $r$ yields
\[
|\mu_a^1| = O\left( \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \right).
\]

Set $\tilde{\mu}_a = \mu_a - \mu_a^0 - \mu_a^1 Y_1$. Taking the $L^2$ inner product of the equation $\Delta \tilde{\mu}_a = O(r^{-4})$ with $\phi^2 \tilde{\mu}_a$, for some compactly supported radial function $\phi$ gives
\[
\|\nabla (\phi \tilde{\mu}_a)\|^2 - \|d\phi \tilde{\mu}_a\|^2 \leq C \int \frac{\phi^2 |\tilde{\mu}_a|^2}{(r^2 + 1)^2} dv \\
\leq C\epsilon \int \frac{\phi^2 |\tilde{\mu}_a|^2}{(r^2 + 1)^2} dv + C\epsilon^{-1} \int \frac{\phi^2}{(r^2 + 1)^3} dv.
\] (122)

For functions with vanishing $Y_0$ and $Y_1$ coefficients in their spherical harmonic expansion, Hardy’s inequality strengthens in dimension 3 to
\[
\|\nabla (\phi \tilde{\mu}_a)\|^2 \geq \frac{25}{4} |\phi \tilde{\mu}_a| r^2 \|.
\] (123)
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Choose $\phi = \phi_n = \frac{r^2}{m(r+1)}$ for $r \leq n$, $\phi_n = \frac{n^3 r}{m(n+1)^2}$ for $n \leq r \leq n^4$, and $\phi_n = \frac{n^{-3} r^4}{m(n+1)}$ for $r \geq n^4$. ($\eta_R$ is defined in (111).) Observe that

$$\int_{n^4}^{r} |d\phi_n|^2 \hat{\mu}_a^2 dv = O(n^{-2} \ln(n+1)^{-2}).$$

Hence (122) and (123) imply

$$\left(25 - \frac{9}{4} - C\epsilon\right) \left\| \phi_n \mu_a^2 \right\|^2 - C \int \frac{\phi_n^2 dv}{(r^2 + 1)^3} = o(n^{-2}). \tag{124}$$

Since $\int \frac{r^2 dv}{(r^2 + 1)^{3/2} (r+1)} < \infty$, we may take the limit of (124) as $n \to \infty$ to deduce

$$\left\| \frac{r^2 \hat{\mu}_a}{m(r+1)} \right\|^2 < \infty. \tag{125}$$

Inserting (125) into (113) we deduce

$$\mu_a = \frac{\lambda_a}{\ell} + \frac{\vartheta_a}{2r} + O\left(\frac{\ln(r)}{r^2}\right). \tag{126}$$

From (114) and (126) we see that

$$d\mu_a = -\frac{\vartheta_a dr}{2r^2} + O\left(\frac{\ln(r)}{r^3}\right). \tag{127}$$

**Theorem 23.** Let $A$ be a finite action self-dual or anti-self-dual connection on a Hermitian bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{T}N_k$. Assume that $A$ has generic asymptotic holonomy. Then there are real constants $\vartheta_a$ and $\lambda_a$, with $\frac{\lambda_a - \lambda_b}{r} \not\in \mathbb{Z}$ for $a \neq b$, such that

$$\mu_a = \frac{\lambda_a}{\ell} + \frac{\vartheta_a}{2r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \tag{128}$$

where $O(\frac{1}{r^2})$ is used in the $C^1$ sense: the derivative is $O(\frac{1}{r^3})$. There exists a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, such that outside of $\pi_k^{-1}(K)$, $E$ splits as a direct sum of line bundles, $E = \oplus_a \pi_k^* W(a)$, where each $W(a)$ is a line bundle over $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K$.

With respect to this splitting, $A$ has the form

$$A = \oplus_a \left( -i(\lambda_a + \frac{m_a}{2r}) \frac{d\tau + \omega}{V} + \pi_k^* \eta_a \right) + O(r^{-2}), \tag{129}$$

with $\eta_a$ a connection on $W(a)$, and $m_a := \ell \vartheta_a + \frac{\lambda_a}{r} k$. Moreover, when $A$ is anti-self-dual, $m_a \in \mathbb{Z}$. With respect to the splitting,

$$F_A = i \text{diag} \left( \frac{\vartheta_a dr \wedge (d\tau + \omega)}{2r^2} + \epsilon \frac{\vartheta_a}{2} dVol_{S^2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), \tag{130}$$

with $\epsilon = -1$ in the ASD case and $+1$ in the SD case.
Proof. Let $A$ denote the connection matrix in the $\{w_a\}$ frame. Let $\vartheta$ denote the matrix with diagonal entries $\vartheta_a$. Then by (105) and (127)
\[ F(\partial_\tau, \partial_r) = \frac{i\vartheta}{2r^2} + O\left(\frac{\ln(r)}{r^3}\right). \] (131)
Hence (110) yields
\[ \Delta R_3^{a}(x) = iV_1 \frac{\vartheta_a}{r} + O\left(\frac{\ln(r)}{r^3}\right). \] (132)
In particular, $\Delta R_3^{a}(x)$ is $O\left(\frac{\ln(r)}{r^3}\right)$ radial. Hence we may sharpen (121) to
\[ \partial_r \left(r^2 \mu_1^a(x)\right) = O\left(\frac{\ln(r)}{r^2}\right), \] (133)
and $\mu_1^a = O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$. Hence
\[ \mu_a = \frac{\lambda_a}{r} + \frac{\vartheta_a}{2r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right). \] (134)
In (113), let $u = \mu_a - \frac{\lambda_a}{r} - \frac{\vartheta_a}{2r} = O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$. Then $\int_{B_{2R}} |u|^2 dv = O(R^{-1})$, and $|\nabla u| \leq \tilde{C} R^{-3}$. Hence
\[ d\mu_a = -\frac{\vartheta_a dr}{2r^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right). \] (135)
Equation (128) (in the $C^1$ sense) follows from Equations (134) and (135). Equation (130) follows from (105).

Consider now the connection matrix $A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) = [A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b]$. We compute
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} w_a, w_b) = -i(\mu_a - \mu_b)A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b + \langle F(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b w_a, w_b \rangle - i\mu_{a,j} \delta_{ab} \] (136)
Hence
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (e^{i(\mu_a - \mu_b)} A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b) = e^{i(\mu_a - \mu_b)} \langle F(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b w_a, w_b \rangle - i\mu_{a,j} \delta_{ab} \] (137)
When $a \neq b$, $\langle F(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b w_a, w_b \rangle = O(r^{-N})$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by (97). Hence, integrating (137) from 0 to $2\pi$, the periodicity of $A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b$ implies
\[ A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^b = O(r^{-N}), \text{ for } a \neq b. \] (138)
When $a = b$ we have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^a = \langle F(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})_a^a w_a, w_a \rangle - i\mu_{a,j} = O(r^{-4}). \] (139)
Here we have used (105) to obtain the $O(r^{-4})$ estimate in (139).

The quadratic curvature decay, and Corollary (10) guarantees that there exists a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the holonomy eigenvalues are distinct in $K^c$ and such that we can choose a logarithm for the holonomy eigenvalues continuously in $K^c$. On $K^c$, $E$ splits into an orthogonal sum of the holonomy eigenline bundles $l_a$:

$$E|_{TN_k \backslash K} = l_1 \oplus l_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus l_n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (140)

The $l_a$ can be obtained as the pullback of bundles from $\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \pi_k(K)$, as we now show. Define $W(a)$ to be the line bundle on $\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash K$, whose fiber at $x$ is

$$W(a)_x := \text{Ker}(\nabla \partial_a + i \mu_a(x)) \subset C^1(\pi_k^{-1}(x), E).$$  \hspace{1cm} (141)

This definition depends on the choice of the branch of the log of the holonomy eigenvalues $\ln(e^{2\pi i \mu_a})$ on $K^c$. $W(a)$ inherits a connection from $E$ as follows. A choice of local holonomy eigenframe $\{w_b\}_b$ defines local sections $\tilde{w}_a$ of each $W(a)$ by $\tilde{w}_a(x) = w_a(x, \cdot)$. Define

$$\nabla^W_{X} \tilde{w}_a(x) = \frac{\langle \nabla_{X^h} w_a, w_a \rangle_{L^2(\pi_k^{-1}(x))}}{||w_a||_{L^2(\pi_k^{-1}(x))}} \tilde{w}_a(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (142)

where $X^h$ denotes the horizontal lift of $X$, with $X^h = X - \omega(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ in a local trivialization of the circle bundle. Write

$$\nabla^W_{X} \tilde{w}_a = (A^0(X)^a_{a} + i \mu_a \omega(X)) \tilde{w}_a,$$  \hspace{1cm} (143)

where $A^0(X)^a_{a}(x)$ denotes the average value of $A(X)^a_{a}$ on $\pi_k^{-1}(x)$. Let $\eta_a$ denote the connection defined by $\nabla^W_{X}$ on $W(a)$. Let $\nabla \pi \eta_a$ denote the covariant derivative defined by $\pi \eta_a$. By definition,

$$\nabla \pi \eta_a w_a = (A^0(X)^a_{a} + i \mu_a \omega(X)) w_a,$$

and

$$\nabla \pi \eta_a w_a = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (144)

Hence

$$(\nabla - \nabla \pi \eta_a)^a_a = (A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})^a_{a} - A^0(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})^a_{a} - i \mu_a \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})) dx^j - i \mu_a d\tau$$

$$= -i \mu_a (d\tau + \omega) + O(r^{-4}),$$

where we have used (139) to obtain the second equality. Expand

$$\mu_a V = \mu_a (l + \frac{k}{2r}) + O(r^{-2}) = \lambda_a + \frac{l\theta_a + \frac{1}{2r}}{2r} + O(r^{-2})$$

$$= \lambda_a + O(r^{-2}).$$
to deduce (129). By (144), we have
\[ \pi^* F_{\eta a} = (F_A)^a + id\mu_a \wedge (d\tau + \omega) + i\mu_a d\omega + O(r^{-5}). \] (145)
Restrict now to the case where \( A \) is ASD. Choosing \( \{X, Y\} \) to be an oriented orthonormal basis of \( T_p S^2 \) (in the radius one metric), (145) and (5) imply
\[ \pi^* F_{\eta a} (X_h, Y) = (F_A)^a (X_h, Y) + i\mu_a d\omega (X, Y) + O(r^{-5}). \]
Integrating this equality over \( S^2 \), we see that
\[ l\theta_a + k\frac{\lambda a}{\ell} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} F_{\eta a} = \int_{S^2} c_1(W(a)). \]
Therefore \( m_a = l\theta_a + k\frac{\lambda a}{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z} \) as claimed.

As mentioned in the beginning of this section, the identification of \( l_a \) as pullbacks \( l_a = \pi^* W(a) \) of a line bundle \( W(a) \) over \( \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K \) depends on the choice of the branch of the log of the holonomy eigenvalue. This is clear from their definition in Eq. (141). Making a different choice changes \( \lambda_a/\ell \) by an integer and changes \( m_a \) by that integer multiple of \( k \). This choice is indeed significant for the bow construction and will be discussed at length in the third paper in this series. Let us mention here two natural choices:

1. One can choose to have all \( \lambda_a \in [0, \ell) \). In this case the monopole charges \( m_a \) take any integer values. Renumbering the line bundles, one can choose \( 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots < \lambda_n < \ell \).
2. One can instead choose to have \( 0 \leq m_a < k \). In this case, \( \lambda_a \) are any real numbers. Renumbering, one can choose \( 0 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n < k \).

In fact, since the end of the \( k \)-centered Taub-NUT, \( T_{N_k} \setminus \pi^{-1} K \), is contractible to the lens space \( S^3/(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \), there are \( k \) types of line bundles over it. It is \( m_a \mod k \) that distinguishes the topological type of the line bundle \( l_a \).

6 Asymptotic Decay of Harmonic Spinors

In order to recover the bow data from an instanton on \( T_{N_k} \), it is necessary to understand properties of the \( L^2 \)-kernel of the coupled Dirac operator. Of particular importance is the dimension of its kernel and the decay rates of \( L^2 \) harmonic spinors.
6.1 The Dirac Operator

Let $A$ be an instanton connection with generic asymptotic holonomy on $T N_k$. According to Theorem 23 (equation (129)), outside a compact set we have a splitting with respect to which

$$A = \frac{\eta}{\gamma} \left( -i \left( \lambda_a + \frac{m_a}{2r} \right) \frac{dr + \omega}{V} + \pi_k \eta_a \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right),$$

where the values $\lambda_a/\ell$ depend on a choice of gauge in a neighborhood of $\infty$ and are pairwise distinct mod $\mathbb{Z}$. By a choice of gauge at infinity, they can be chosen to lie in the interval $[0, 1)$. We recall that the spinor bundle $S$ of $T N_k$ splits into eigen-sub-bundles of Clifford multiplication by the volume element: $S = S^- \oplus S^+$. We set $\gamma^5 := -c(V dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge d\tau)$, with $\gamma^5|_{S^\pm} = \pm 1$, where $c$ denotes the Clifford action. (Note: In our conventions the defining relation of the Clifford algebra is $c(\alpha)c(\beta) + c(\beta)c(\alpha) + 2g(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ for any one-forms $\alpha$ and $\beta$.) The connection $A$ induces a coupled Dirac operator $D = D_A$ acting on $\Gamma(S \otimes \mathcal{E})$ with the chiral split:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^+ \\ D^- & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D^- : \Gamma(S^- \otimes \mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(S^+ \otimes \mathcal{E})$ and $D^+ : \Gamma(S^+ \otimes \mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(S^- \otimes \mathcal{E})$.

6.2 Harmonic Spinors: the Fredholm Case

In Section 7 we compute the $L^2$-index of $D_A$. However, this operator is not always Fredholm. The following lemma characterizes which instanton connections produce Fredholm Dirac operators.

**Lemma 24.** Let $X$ be a spin manifold equipped with an exhaustion by nested compact sets $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Suppose that $X_1$ is a Riemannian circle bundle, $\pi : X_1 \to Y$. Set $T_j := \inf_{X_j} \frac{1}{\rho_\pi}$, with the circle fiber locally parameterized by $\tau \in [0, 2\pi)$. Assume $T_\infty := \liminf_{j \to \infty} T_j > 0$. Let $A$ be a connection on a bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $X$. Assume $\exists \kappa > 0$ so that the eigenvalues $\{e^{2\pi i \mu_a}\}_a$ of the holonomy $\tilde{H}_p$ of $S \otimes \mathcal{E}$ satisfy $|\mu_a - m| > \kappa$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\forall p \in X_1$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the scalar curvature and $\Sigma^- := \min\{0, \Sigma\}$. Assume further that $\|F_A\|_{L^\infty(X_j)} + \|\Sigma^-\|_{L^\infty(X_j)} \leq \epsilon_j$, with $\lim_{j \to \infty} \epsilon_j = 0$. Then $D_A : H^1_0(S \otimes \mathcal{E}) \to L^2(S \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is Fredholm. In particular, if $A$ is an instanton connection on $T N_k$ with generic asymptotic holonomy and $\frac{\Sigma}{r} \not\in \mathbb{Z}$, $\forall a$, then $D_A$ is Fredholm.

**Proof.** It is well known (see, e.g., [Ang93]) that $D$ is Fredholm if and only if there is a compact set $K \subset X$ and a constant $C_K$, such that $\|Dh\|_{L^2} \geq C_K \|h\|_{L^2}$ for all $h \in C^\infty_c(S \otimes \mathcal{E})$ with compact support $\text{supp}(h) \subset K^c$. For such $h$, the Lichnerowicz formula gives

$$\|Dh\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle h, \left( \frac{\Sigma}{4} + c(F_A) \right)h \rangle_{L^2},$$

34
where \( c(F_A) \) denotes Clifford multiplication by the curvature form \( F_A \). By hypothesis, if \( K = X_j \), then by Lemmas 11 and 13

\[
\| Dh \|_{L^2}^2 \geq \| \nabla h \|_{L^2}^2 - C \| h \|_{L^2}^2 \geq T_j \| \nabla \partial_\kappa h \|_{L^2}^2 - C \| h \|_{L^2}^2 \geq T_j \left( \kappa^2 - C \frac{\epsilon_j}{T_j} \right) \| h \|_{L^2}^2.
\]

Choosing \( j \) sufficiently large yields the desired result. From Lemma 13 and Theorem 20 it is immediate that an instanton on \( TN^k \) with \( \frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \not\in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \forall a \) satisfies the conditions on \( \kappa, \epsilon_a \), and \( T_a \). From Lemma 13 and Theorem 20 it is immediate that an instanton on \( TN^k \) with \( \frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \not\in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \forall a \) satisfies the conditions on \( \kappa, \epsilon_a \), and \( T_a \).

**Proposition 25.** Let \( A \) be an instanton with generic asymptotic holonomy and splitting (147), with \( \frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \not\in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \forall a \), and choose a positive \( \alpha < \inf \{ |\lambda_a| + n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \). Let \( h \in \text{Ker}(D_A) \) with \( e^{-\beta} h \in L^2 \) for some \( \beta < \alpha \). Then \( e^{br} h \in L^2 \) for all \( b < \alpha \), and \( h \) decays pointwise exponentially.

**Proof.** Let \( \eta_n = e^{(b+\beta)r_n} e^{-\beta r} \), where \( r_n = \min\{r, n\} \), and \( b \geq \beta \). Then, using \( D^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + c(F_A) \) and the quadratic decay of \( F_A \), we get

\[
0 = \| D(\eta_n h) \|^2 - \| (d \eta_n) h \|^2 \geq \| \nabla (\eta_n h) \|^2 - b^2 \| \eta_n h \|^2 - C \| \eta_n r^{-1} h \|^2.
\]

Lemmas 11 and 13 and the additional hypothesis on the \( \lambda_a \) imply that, for some compact set \( K_\alpha \),

\[
\| \nabla (\eta_n h) \|^2 \geq \| a \eta_n h \|^2 - a^2 \int_{K_\alpha} |\eta_n h|^2 dv.
\]

Hence for some \( \hat{C} > 0 \),

\[
0 \geq a^2 \| \eta_n h \|^2 - b^2 \| \eta_n h \|^2 - \hat{C} \| \eta_n r^{-1} h \|^2.
\]

Choosing \( b < \alpha \), we have

\[
\hat{C} \| \eta_n r^{-1} h \|^2 \geq (a^2 - b^2) \| \eta_n h \|^2.
\]

Taking the limit as \( n \to \infty \), we see that \( e^{br} h \in L^2 \). To pass to pointwise estimates, we note

\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |h|^2 = -|\nabla h|^2 + \langle c(F_A) h, h \rangle \leq c |F_A|^2 |h|^2.
\]

Hence, applying Proposition 2 to \( f = |h|^2 \) with \( R = \frac{\delta(M)}{8} \), we deduce \( e^{br} h \) is pointwise bounded. \( \square \)
6.3 Harmonic Spinors: the Non-Fredholm Case

In this section, \( A \) is an instanton connection with generic asymptotic holonomy and therefore admitting the decomposition \((147)\). The results of this section will not be used in the rest of this paper, but they will play a role in the third paper in this series. There, the reconstruction of the bow representation from an instanton requires understanding the decay rates of \( L^2\)-zero modes of \( D_A \), whether it is Fredholm or not.

**Proposition 26.** Suppose that \( \lambda_1 = 0 \) and \( h \) is an \( L^2 \) harmonic spinor. Then \( \| r^m\nabla^m h \|_{L^2} < \infty, \forall m \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( \| r^j\nabla^j h \|_{L^2} < \infty, \forall j < m \). Replacing \( F_A \) and its Bochner formula by \( h \) with its Lichnerowicz formula in \((152)\) and using the decay estimates for the curvature of Proposition \((16)\) yields the inequality for some \( C_m > 0 \),

\[
\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla^m h|^2 \leq -|\nabla^{m+1} h|^2 + C_m \sum_{i=0}^{m} (1 + r)^{-2i} |\nabla^{m-i} h| |\nabla^m h|.
\] (149)

Let \( \eta_n \in C^2_c(B_{2n}(0)) \) be a bounded sequence of cutoff functions satisfying \( \eta_n(x) = 1 \) for \( x \in B_n(0) \), and \( \| r^j\nabla^j \eta_n \|_{L^\infty} + \| r^2\Delta \eta_n \|_{L^\infty} < \alpha, \) for some \( \alpha > 0 \) independent of \( n \). Multiplying \((149)\) by \( \eta_n r^{2m+2} \) and integrating by parts yields

\[
\int \eta_n |r^{m+1}\nabla^{m+1} h|^2 dv \leq \tilde{C}_m \int \sum_{i=0}^{m} |r^{m-i}\nabla^{m-i} h| |r^m\nabla^m h| dv.
\] (150)

Take the limit as \( n \to \infty \) to deduce \( |r^{m+1}\nabla^{m+1} h| \in L^2 \). By induction, this is true for all \( m \), since it is true for \( m = 0 \) and for \( \epsilon > 0 \) arbitrary. \( \square \)

Assume for the remainder of this section that \( 0 = \lambda_1 < |\lambda_j|, \forall j > 1 \). Let \( C \) be a circle in \( \mathbb{C} \) with center 0 and radius \( \frac{1}{4} \min \{|\mu_a - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a > 1\} \). Once again define a projection operator \( \Pi_0 := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C (z - i\nabla_{\partial_z})^{-1} dz \), now acting on bundle valued spinors, and set \( \Pi_1 := 1 - \Pi_0 \). Observe that outside a compact set, the decomposition \( E = \oplus_a l_a \) into holonomy eigenline bundles induces a decomposition of \( S \otimes E \) as \( \oplus_a (S \otimes l_a) \). Let \( h = \sum a h_a \) denote the corresponding decomposition of a spinor. Then the analog of equation \((99)\) is

\[
\Pi_0 h = \Pi_0 h_1.
\]

As with Proposition \((21)\) we immediately obtain the following corollary to Proposition \((26)\)

**Corollary 27.** For all \( N, j \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \| r^N \nabla^j_{\partial z} \Pi_1 h \|_{L^\infty} < \infty \).

**Lemma 28.** If \( \lambda_1 = m_1 = 0 \), there exist \( c_A > 0 \) and \( c_{h,N} > 0 \) \( \forall N \) such that \( |\nabla_{\partial z} \Pi_0 h| \leq c_A r^{-2}|h|, |c(F_A)\Pi_0 h| \leq c_A r^{-3}|h|, \) and \( |c(F_A)h| \leq c_A r^{-3}|h| + c_{h,N} r^{-N} \).
Proof. By equation (152), the eigenvalue of $i\nabla_{\tau}$ on the image of $\Pi_0$ is $\mu_1$. By (128), $\mu_1 = O(r^{-2})$, proving the first inequality of the lemma. By the generic asymptotic holonomy condition, only $\lambda_1$ vanishes; therefore the image of $\Pi_0$ is contained in the holonomy $\mu_1$ eigenspace; $m_1 = \lambda_1 = 0$ implies $d\mu_1 = O(r^{-3})$, by (128). The ASD condition implies all curvatures are determined by $F(\partial_r, \cdot)$. Equation (151) now implies that these curvature components are $O(r^{-3})$ on the image of $\Pi_0$, giving the second inequality. Writing $|c(F_A)h| \leq |c(F_A)\Pi_0h| + |c(F_A)\Pi_1h|$, the third inequality now follows from the second and Corollary 27.

**Theorem 29.** Let $A$ be an instanton on $TN_k$ with generic asymptotic holonomy and such that $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $m_1 = 0$. If $h \in \text{Ker}(D_A) \cap L^2$, then $\|r^2h\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$.

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 20 with a few changes. We are now aided by the linearity of the equation $D^2h = 0$ and the prior knowledge of the quadratic curvature decay. On the other hand, we need a substitute for the Kato-Yau inequality. For the final maximum principle argument, we introduce a new iterated maximum principle.

Let $\{\hat{e}_j\}_{j=1}^4$ be an orthonormal tangent frame with $\hat{e}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\partial_r$ and $\hat{e}_4 = \sqrt{r}\partial_r$ and coframe $\{\hat{e}^j\}_{j=1}^4$. Let $\hat{e}^j$ denote Clifford multiplication by $\hat{e}^j$.

From Lemma 28 and Corollary 27,

$$|\nabla_{\hat{e}_4}h|^2 \leq 2|\nabla_{\hat{e}_4}\Pi_0h|^2 + 2|\nabla_{\hat{e}_4}\Pi_1h|^2 \leq O(r^{-4}|h|^2) + O(r^{-N}).$$

Equation (151) gives a refined Kato inequality as follows. First rewrite the Dirac equation $Dh = 0$ as

$$\nabla_{\hat{e}_1}h = c^1c^2\nabla_{\hat{e}_2}h + c^1c^3\nabla_{\hat{e}_3}h + c^1c^4\nabla_{\hat{e}_4}h.$$

Hence

$$|\nabla_{\hat{e}_1}h|^2 \leq 2(|\nabla_{\hat{e}_2}h|^2 + |\nabla_{\hat{e}_3}h|^2) + |\nabla_{\hat{e}_4}h|^2 + 4|\nabla_{\hat{e}_4}h|\sqrt{|\nabla_{\hat{e}_2}h|^2 + |\nabla_{\hat{e}_3}h|^2}$$

$$\leq (2 + r^{-1})(|\nabla_{\hat{e}_2}h|^2 + |\nabla_{\hat{e}_3}h|^2) + 4r|\nabla_{\hat{e}_4}h|^2$$

$$\leq (2 + r^{-1})(|\nabla_{\hat{e}_2}h|^2 + |\nabla_{\hat{e}_3}h|^2) + O(r^{-3}|h|^2) + O(r^{1-N}).$$

We rewrite this as

$$|\nabla_{\hat{e}_1}h|^2 \leq \frac{(2 + r^{-1})}{3 + r^{-1}}|\nabla h|^2 + O(r^{-3}|h|^2) + O(r^{1-N}).$$

(153)

Take the $L^2$ inner product $0 = \langle D^2h, \eta^2h \rangle_{L^2}$, use the Lichnerowicz formula, integrate by parts, apply (152) and Lemma 28 to obtain

$$0 = \|\nabla(\eta h)\|_{L^2}^2 - \|d\eta h\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle c(F)\eta h, \eta h \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$\geq \|\nabla_{\hat{e}_1}(\eta h)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}}\nabla_{\hat{e}_1}h\|_{L^2}^2 - \|d\eta h\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$- \int O(r^{-3}|\eta|^2|h|^2)dv - \int O(\eta^2r^{1-N})dv,$$

(154)
valid for \( \eta \) such that \( \eta h \in H^{1/2}_1 \), including \( \eta \) with \( \| \eta_T r^{-p} \|_{L^\infty} + \| d\eta \|_{L^\infty} < \infty \). We choose \( \eta = \eta_T = r_T^{p} r^{1/2} \), with \( r_T = \min\{r, T\} \), and use Kato’s inequality and (138) to deduce:

\[
\frac{1}{4} \frac{\eta_T h}{r \sqrt{V}} \| \frac{\eta_T \nabla \hat{e}_h}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \frac{\eta_T \nabla \hat{e}_h}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}} \|_{L^2}^2 \leq (p^2 t_{T,p,h} + p t_{T,p,h} + \frac{1}{4}) \left[ \frac{\eta_T h}{r \sqrt{V}} \right]^2 + \int O(r^{-3} \eta_T^2 |h|^2) dv + \int O(\eta_T^2 r^{1-N}) dv, \tag{155}
\]

with \( t_{T,p,h} := \frac{\int |h|^2 \chi_T r_T^{2p} r^{-1}V^{-1}dv}{\int |h|^2 r_T^{2p} r^{-1}V^{-1}dv} \). As in equation (82), a divergence computation yields

\[
\frac{r_T^p V^{-\frac{3p}{2}}}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}} |1| h^2} = \text{div} \left( \frac{r_T^p \| h \|_{L^2}}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}} \right) - \frac{2|\eta|^2}{\sqrt{2}} (p \chi_T + 1) \frac{r_T^p}{r V} + O \left( |h|^2 r_T^{2p} r^{-2} \right). \tag{156}
\]

Integrating this equation and applying Cauchy-Schwarz yields for some \( C > 0 \),

\[
\| \frac{r_T^p h}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}} \|_{L^2} \| \frac{r_T^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}} \nabla \hat{e}_h \|_{L^2} \geq \int \frac{|h|^2}{\sqrt{2}} (p t_{T,p,h} + 1) \frac{r_T^p}{r V} dv - C \int |h|^2 r_T^{2p} r^{-2} dv. \tag{157}
\]

Hence

\[
\| \frac{r_T^{p/2} r^{-1/2} \nabla \hat{e}_h}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}} \|_{L^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} (p t_{T,p,h} + 1)^2 \| \frac{r_T^p}{\sqrt{r V}} h \|_{L^2}^2 - \sqrt{2} C (p t_{T,p,h} + 1) \int |h|^2 r_T^{2p} r^{-2} dv. \tag{158}
\]

Inserting this inequality into (155) gives

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{p}{2} + \frac{p^2}{2} (1 - t_{T,p,h})^2 \right) \max \left( \frac{r_T^p}{\sqrt{2 + r^{-1}}} \right) \| \frac{r_T^p}{\sqrt{r V}} h \|_{L^2}^2 - \sqrt{2} C (p t_{T,p,h} + 1) \int |h|^2 r_T^{2p} r^{-2} dv
\]

\[
\leq \int O(r^{-3} \eta_T^2 |h|^2) dv + \int O(r^{1-N}) \eta_T^2 dv. \tag{159}
\]

Taking the limit as \( T \to \infty \) for \( p \leq 1 \), we deduce \( (1 - p)\| \Phi^{-\frac{1}{2}} h \|_{L^2}^2 \) is uniformly bounded and therefore \( (1 - p)\| \Phi(\Phi^{-\frac{1}{2}} h) \|_{L^1} \) is uniformly bounded. Consider \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Write \( |x| = 2R \). By (49), the Lichnerowicz formula, and Lemma 28 on \( \text{Lich} \) we have

\[
\frac{1}{2V} \Delta (\Phi(h) + R^{-6}) = -\Phi(\nabla h) - Q(c(F_A)h, h) = O(r^{-3} |h|^2) + O(r^{-N})
\]

\[
\leq C \text{B} R^{-3} (\Phi(h) + R^{-6}), \tag{160}
\]
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for some $C_h > 0$ independent of $x$. Corollary 3 now gives $\forall p < 1,$

$$\Phi(h(x)) + R^{-6} \leq \frac{C}{R^3} \int_{B_R(x)} \Phi(h)dv + CR^{-6}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_2}{R^{2+2p}} \int_{B_R(x)} r^{2p-1}\Phi(h)dv + CR^{-6} \leq \frac{\hat{C} R^{-2-2p}}{(1 - p)}.$$  

(161)

Applying Lemma 14 gives

$$|h(x)|^2 \leq \frac{\hat{C} R^{-2-2p}}{(1 - p)}.$$  

(162)

To sharpen this estimate, we next employ the maximum principle.

Fix $p < 2$, and suppose that $\frac{1}{V} r^p \Phi(h)$ has a critical point at $x_p$. Then

$$Q(\nabla \hat{e}_1 h, h)(x_p) = -\frac{p}{2r \sqrt{V}} \Phi(h)(x_p),$$

and therefore

$$\Phi(\nabla \hat{e}_1 h)(x_p) \geq \frac{p^2}{4r^2 V} \Phi(h)(x_p).$$  

(163)

Assume now that $x_p$ is also a local maximum. Applying (49) to $h$ and then using (163) yields at $x_p$

$$V^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} r^p \Phi(h)(x_p) = -r^p \Phi(\nabla h)(x_p) - r^p Q(c(F_A) h, h)(x_p)$$

$$- \frac{p(1 + p)}{2V} r^{p-2} \Phi(h)(x_p) - \frac{2p p^{p-1}}{\sqrt{V}} Q(h, \nabla \hat{e}_1 h)(x_p)$$

$$= -r^p \Phi(\nabla h)(x_p) - r^p Q(c(F_A) h, h)(x_p) - \frac{p(1 - p)}{2V} r^{p-2} \Phi(h)(x_p).$$  

(164)

We now use (163) and then (163) to estimate $V^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} r^p \Phi(h)(x_p)$ as

$$0 \leq V^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} r^p \Phi(h)(x_p)$$

$$\leq \frac{(3 + r^{-1})}{2 + r^{-1}} r^p \Phi(\nabla \hat{e}_1 h)(x_p) - \frac{p(1 - p)}{2V} r^{p-2} + O(r^{p-3}) \Phi(h)(x_p) + O(r^{-N})$$

$$\leq \left(-\frac{(4 - p^2) r^{p-2}}{8V} + O(r^{p-3})\right) \Phi(h)(x_p) + O(r^{-N}),$$  

(165)

where the implied constants in $O(r^{-N})$ are independent of $p \leq 2$. Hence at a maximum, choosing $N = 8 - p$, we have for some $C > 0$,

$$r(2 - p) \Phi(h) \leq C \Phi(h) + O(r^{-5}),$$  

(166)

and either $r \leq \frac{2C}{2 - p}$ or $\Phi(h) \leq O(r^{-5})$ at $x_p$.

Consider a sequence $p_k := 2 - e^{-k}$. We seek to prove that $r^{2p_k} \Phi(h)$ is bounded with bound independent of $k$. Assume this is false. Let the maximum
value of $r^{2p_k} \Phi(h)$ occur at $x_{p_k}$. Then $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{2p_k} \Phi(h)(x_{p_k}) = \infty$. In particular, for $k > k_0$, for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, by (166), $r(x_{p_k}) \leq 2Ce^k$. On the other hand, 
\[
\frac{r^{2p_k}(x_{p_k})}{r^{2p_{k-1}}(x_{p_k})} = \frac{r(x_{p_k})}{r(x_{p_{k-1}})} 2e^{1-k} - 2e^{-k} < (2C)^{2e^{1-k}} e^{2k} e^{1-k} =: A_k. \tag{167}
\]
\[\Phi(r^{p_k}h)(x_{p_k}) \leq A_k \Phi(r^{p_{k-1}}h)(x_{p_k}) \leq A_k \Phi(r^{p_{k-1}}h)(x_{p_{k-1}}). \tag{168}\]
Iterating, we see that
\[\Phi(r^{p_k}h) \leq \Phi(r^{p_{k_0-1}}h)(x_{p_{k_0-1}}) \prod_{k=k_0}^{\infty} A_j. \tag{169}\]
Take the limit as $k \to \infty$ to obtain
\[\|\Phi(r^2h)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \Phi(r^{p_{k_0-1}}h)(x_{p_{k_0-1}}) \prod_{k=k_0}^{\infty} A_k. \tag{170}\]
The product $\prod_{k=k_0}^{\infty} A_k < \infty$. Hence $\Phi(r^2h)$ is bounded and by Lemma 14, $|r^2h|$ is bounded.

7 The Index Theorem

So far we have assumed only that $A$ is an instanton with generic asymptotic holonomy, so by Thm. 23 the values $\exp(2\pi i \lambda a/\ell)$ are pairwise distinct. Thus, by (134) this implies that the connection is $\kappa$-generic outside of some compact set in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and Lemma 11 applies. For the rest of this paper we also assume that

Assumption 30. $\exp(2\pi i \lambda a) \neq 1$ for all $a$.

It implies that the hypotheses of Lemma 24 hold and the Dirac operator $D_A : H^2(TN_k, S \otimes \mathcal{E}) \to L^2(TN_k, S \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is therefore Fredholm. The objective now is to compute its $L^2$-index. The argument follows [SSZ] and [Ste93]:

1. In order to simplify the analysis, we apply a conformal transformation to the original $TN_k$ metric, and modify the connection so that it is asymptotically abelian. This does not change the $L^2$-index of the Dirac operator. The new metric is asymptotically that of a circle bundle with shrinking fiber over an $\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^2$ base. Working with this new metric and connection greatly simplifies error estimates and allows us to use techniques developed in [Ste93] to compute the index. With this metric, $\eta$-invariant type spectral terms are essentially replaced by their readily computed adiabatic limits.

2. We express the index as a sum of terms involving the super-trace of the heat kernel $e^{-tD^2}$. The index can be written as a sum of two terms: the bulk and the asymptotic contribution. The bulk involves the classical Atiyah-Singer integrand, while the asymptotic contribution depends on the behavior at infinity of the instanton connection and on the rescaled metric.
3. We approximate the heat kernel by a parametrix, and show that the index can be computed from the parametrix. It follows, as in the compact case, that this approximation can be used to compute the bulk contribution. It requires somewhat more work to show that the approximation can also be used to compute the asymptotic contribution. Our conformal change of the metric facilitates this step as well. Because the local injectivity radius tends to zero exponentially fast in the new metric, we first Fourier expand in the circle fibers. This step simultaneously avoids the introduction of exponentially large errors associated with cutoff functions localizing to geodesic neighborhoods and makes error terms associated with nonzero Fourier coefficients exponentially small.

4. Finally, we compute the index from the parametrix.

### 7.1 Index Preliminaries

Let \( r \) denote the Euclidean distance from the origin in the \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) base of \( TN_k \). Lift the function \( r \) to \( TN_k \). Multiplying the \( TN_k \) metric \( g \) (see (3)) by a smooth conformal factor that equals \( \frac{1}{V r^2} \) for \( r \) large, yields a new metric \( g' \). For large \( r \), the new metric takes the form

\[
g' = \frac{1}{r^2} dr^2 + g_{S^2} + \frac{1}{V^2 r^2} (d\tau + \omega)^2 = dy^2 + g_{S^2} + e^{-2y} V^{-2} (d\tau + \omega)^2,
\]

where \( y = \ln(r) \), \( \omega \) is defined in (4), and \( g_{S^2} \) denotes the standard round metric on the unit 2-sphere.

Observe that if \( \Psi \) is a \( p \)-form (possibly with values in \( ad(E) \)), then

\[
|\Psi|_{g'} = V \frac{p}{2} e^{py} |\Psi|_g,
\]

for \( y \) large. Hence in the \( g' \) metric, we have

\[
|F_A| = O(1).
\]

**Proposition 31.** The Dirac operators associated to \( g = g_{TN_k} \) and \( g' \) have the same \( L^2 \)-index.

**Proof.** Write the conformal factor as \( e^{-2u} \), then near infinity it has the form \( e^{-2u} = \frac{1}{V r^2} \), so the corresponding Dirac operators are related by

\[
D_{g'} = e^{\frac{3u}{2}} D_g e^{-\frac{3u}{2}}.
\]

(See [H74, Section 1.4].) Define an injective map

\[
T : \ker_{L^2_g}(D_g) \rightarrow \ker_{L^2_g}(D_{g'})
\]

\[
h \mapsto e^{\frac{3u}{2}} h.
\]

Since the \( L^2_g \)-solutions of \( D_g h = 0 \) decay exponentially in \( r \) by Proposition 24, \( T \) takes \( \ker_{L^2_g}(D_g) \) to \( \ker_{L^2_{g'}}(D_{g'}) \) and is therefore well-defined. Now, let
Assumption 30 easily implies for some $\kappa > \lim M$

Proof. For some $s$

Lemma 32.

Define shrinking rapidly as $y$

consisting of vectors commuting with $\partial$

connection matrices are constant in the $\TN$ fiber in every local tangent frame consisting of vectors commuting with $\partial_i$. Moreover, by (129),

$$|A - \tilde{A}^{ab}| = O(e^{-Ny}), \quad \forall N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (174)

For large $R$ (such that $\{\nu_j\} \in B_R(0)$), define the modified connection,

$$A^{ab} := \text{diag} \left( -i(\lambda_a + \frac{m_a}{2r}) \frac{d\tau + \omega}{V} + \pi_k^* \eta_a \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (175)

with $\eta_a$ as in Eq. (129). This connection has the convenient property that the connection matrices are constant in the $\TN_k$ fiber in every local tangent frame consisting of vectors commuting with $\partial_r$. Moreover, by (129),

$$|A - A^{ab}| = O(e^{-2y}).$$

Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be supported in $(-\infty, 1)$, identically 1 on $(-\infty, 0]$, with $|\eta'| \leq 2$. For $R$ large, we define a new connection $A_R := \eta(y - R)A + (1 - \eta(y - R))A^{ab}$. Define

$$M_a := y^{-1}([0, s]).$$

For some $s_0 > 0$, $M_{s_0}$ is a circle bundle over a cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ with the $S^1$-fibers shrinking rapidly as $y \to \infty$. $\{M_y\}_y$ is an exhaustion of $\TN_k$ by compact sets.

Lemma 32. $D_{A_R}$ is Fredholm, and index $(D_{A_R}) = \text{index} (D_A)$.

Proof. Set $A(t) := (1 - t)A + tA_R$. We apply Lemma 24 to $D_{A(t)}$, with $X_j := M_{j+s_0}$. In the notation of Lemma 24, $T_j \geq c_1 e^{2j}$, and $c_j \leq c_2$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Assumption 30 easily implies for some $\kappa > 0$, $|\mu_a - m| > \kappa$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall a$. $\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{T_j}{T_j} = 0$. Hence Lemma 24 implies $D_{A(t)}$ is Fredholm. Clearly $t \to D_{A(t)}$ is a continuous family of Fredholm operators. The index is a continuous integer valued function on the space of Fredholm operators, and therefore constant on curves. Hence index $(D_A) = \text{index} (D_{A(0)}) = \text{index} (D_{A(1)}) = \text{index} (D_{A_R})$. \hspace{1cm} \Box

We remark that shifting the index computation to $D_{A_R}$ is not essential; it merely notationally simplifies certain computations by removing numerous exponentially small but nonzero commutators.

For a subset $U$ of $S^2$ such that the $S^1$-bundle of (171) over it is trivial, we choose a local oriented $g'$-orthonormal frame $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)$ with

$$e_1 = e_1 = \partial_\theta, \quad e_2 = \bar{e}_2 - \omega(\bar{e}_2)\partial_\tau, \quad e_3 = \bar{e}_3 - \omega(\bar{e}_3)\partial_\tau, \quad e_4 = e^V \partial_\tau, \hspace{1cm} (176)$$

where $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3\}$ is a local oriented orthonormal frame on $\mathbb{R} \times U$ lifted to $\TN_k$ via the above product structure. The corresponding coframe is $e^1 = dy$, $e^2 = \pi^* \bar{e}^2$, $e^3 = y^* \bar{e}_3$, $e^4 = e^V \partial_\tau$. 
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$e^3 = \pi^* e^3$ and $e^4 = e^{-yV^{-1}}(d\tau + \omega)$, where $\{e^i\}_{i=2}^3$ is dual to $\{\epsilon^j\}_{j=2}^3$ and
\(\pi\) denotes the $S^1$-bundle projection. For example, using spherical coordinates
\((\phi, \theta)\) on $U$, with polar angle $\phi \in [0, \pi]$ and azimuthal angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, and $U$
containing neither North nor South pole, one can take $\epsilon_2 = \partial_\phi$ and $\epsilon_3 = \frac{1}{\sin \phi} \partial_\theta$. We recall that $e^i := c(e^i)$ and that the chirality operator is $\gamma^5 = -e(e^4 e^3 e^4^\dagger) = -c^4 c^3 e^4$.

**Lemma 33.** The $L^2$-index of $D_A^- : \Gamma(S^- \otimes \mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(S^+ \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is given by

$$\text{ind}_{L^2} D_A^- = -\frac{\text{Rank}(\mathcal{E})}{192\pi^2} \int_M \text{tr}_{(TN_k)} \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \text{tr} F \wedge F$$

$$- \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{(2+\delta)y} \int_{\partial M_y} \text{tr} c(\nu) \gamma^5 D_{A_R} e^{-tD^2_{A_R}}(x, x) d\nu_y dt,$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial M_y$, $d\nu_y$ is the induced volume form on $\partial M_y$, and $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

(In this section, $x$ will denote a point in $TN_k$ rather than in $\mathbb{R}^3$). We call the last summand of (177) the asymptotic contribution.

**Proof.** Let $P^R$ denote the $L^2$-unitary projection onto $\text{Ker}(D_{A_R})$, and let $p^R(x, x')$ denote the Schwarz kernel of $P_R$. Then

$$\text{ind}_{L^2} D_A^- := \dim \text{Ker} D_{A_R} \dim \text{Ker} D_{A_R}^+ = -\text{Tr} \gamma^5 P_R = -\int_M \text{tr} \gamma^5 p^R(x, x) d\nu,$$

where $\text{Tr}$ denotes trace over the Hilbert space of $L^2$ sections, and $\text{tr}$ denotes the pointwise trace of endomorphisms of $S \otimes \mathcal{E}$.

Let $k^R(t, x, x')$ denote the Schwarz kernel of $e^{-tD^2_{A_R}}$. Observe that in the
strong operator topology $P^R = \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-tD^2_{A_R}}$. Hence $p^R(x, x') = \lim_{t \to \infty} k^R(t, x, x')$.
This limit is not uniform in $(x, x')$, but for any compact subset $K$, $\int_K \text{tr} \gamma^5 p^R(t, x, x) d\nu = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_K \text{tr} \gamma^5 k^R(t, x, x) d\nu$. (See [Ste89] Lemma 2.2.3], replacing $(D^p D^- w^{-1}) + 1)^{-k+1}$ with $e^{-tD^+ D^-}$). Hence,

$$\int_M \text{tr} \gamma^5 p^R(x, x) d\nu = \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{M_y} \text{tr} \gamma^5 p^R(x, x) d\nu$$

$$= \lim_{y \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{M_y} \text{tr} \gamma^5 k^R(t, x, x) d\nu.$$

Following Callias [C78] Proposition 1, we use the fundamental theorem of cal-
of the small injectivity radius on the analysis. The construction of approximate small injectivity radius. We include this estimate in order to clarify the effects

The heat kernel in this subsection is only suitable for \( t \) vanish as \( y \) the error for to \([\text{Roe}]\) for the computation of the trace asymptotics. We will also estimate the trace of the approximate heat kernel is standard, and we will simply refer

calculus and the divergence theorem to rewrite this as

\[
\int_{M} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} p^{R}(x, x) d\nu = \\
= \lim_{y \to \infty} \left( \int_{\mathcal{B}(x, y, x)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M_{y}} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} k^{R}(t, x, x) d\nu dt + \int_{M_{y}} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} k^{R}(e^{-2(\delta-y)} x, x) d\nu \right) \\
= \lim_{y \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}(x, y, x)} e_{t} \text{tr} \, c^{i} \gamma^{5} D_{A_{R}} k^{R}(t, x, x) d\nu dt + \int_{M_{y}} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} k^{R}(e^{-2(\delta-y)} x, x) d\nu \right). \\
\]

The second equality in this expression follows from

\[
\int_{M_{y}} \frac{d}{dt} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} k^{R}(t, x, x') \big|_{x=x'} d\nu = - \int_{M_{y}} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} (D_{A_{R}}^{2} k^{R})(t, x, x') \big|_{x=x'} d\nu \\
= - \int_{M_{y}} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} (D_{A_{R}} \circ k^{R} \circ D_{A_{R}})(x, x') \big|_{x=x'} d\nu = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_{y}} \text{tr} \, \gamma^{5} (D_{x} + D_{x'}) D_{x'} k \big|_{x=x'} d\nu \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_{y}} e_{t} \text{tr} \, c^{i} \gamma^{5} (D_{A_{R}} \circ k^{R}(t, x, x)) d\nu. \\
\]

Here we have used \( D_{x} \) and \( D_{x'} \) to distinguish 2 different lifts of \( D_{A_{R}} \) to \( M \times M \). Following \([\text{Roe}]\), we now construct an approximate heat kernel of the form

\[
k_{N}^{R}(t, x, x') = \eta(x, x') h_{i}(x, x') \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^{i} \Theta_{j}(x, x'),
\]

where \( h_{i}(x, x') = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{d(x, x')^{2}}{4t}} \) (with \( d(x, x') \) the \( g' \) distance between \( x \) and \( x' \) and \( \eta(x, x') = \bar{\eta}(d(x, x')) \)) is a cut-off function supported in a neighborhood \( \mathcal{N} \) of the diagonal, such that for \( (x, x') \in \mathcal{N} \), \( x \) lies in a normal coordinate neighborhood of \( x' \) and \( x' \) lies in a normal coordinate neighborhood of \( x \). It suffices to choose \( \bar{\eta} \) to be supported on \( [0, \frac{1}{2} e^{-y}] \), identically one on \( [0, \frac{1}{2} e^{-y}] \), and satisfying for \( j = 1, 2, |\frac{d}{x^{j}} \bar{\eta}| \leq c_{j} e^{jy} \), for some \( c_{j} > 0 \). The evaluation of the trace of the approximate heat kernel is standard, and we will simply refer to \([\text{Roe}]\) for the computation of the trace asymptotics. We will also estimate the error for \( t = e^{-2(\delta-y)} \) and show that the error terms in this approximation vanish as \( y \to \infty \). This estimate is also standard, except for the effect of the small injectivity radius. We include this estimate in order to clarify the effects of the small injectivity radius on the analysis. The construction of approximate heat kernel in this subsection is only suitable for \( t \) small relative to \( e^{-2y} \).
To define the $\Theta_j$ inductively, let $\Theta_0(x, x')$ denote parallel translation from $x'$ to $x$ along the radial geodesic. Compute (see [Roe, Lemma 7.12, Lemma 7.13, and Theorem 7.15])

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D^2_{AR} h_t(x, x') \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^j \Theta_j(x, x') = h_t(x, x') \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^j D^2_{AR} \Theta_j(x, x')
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} t^j r^{-j} \sqrt{g'} (r^{j+1} (det g')^{1/4} \Theta_{j+1}(x, x')) 
$$

with $D^2_{AR}$ differentiating in the $x$ variable. Set

$$
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} (r^{j+1} (g')^{1/4} \Theta_{j+1}(x, x')) = -(g')^{1/4} r^j D^2_{AR} \Theta_j(x, x'),
$$

which we solve by integrating along geodesic rays in a radially covariant constant frame. With this choice, (179) reduces to

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D^2_{AR} (h_t(x, x') \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^j \Theta_j(x, x')) = h_t(x, x') t^N D^2_{AR} \Theta_N,
$$

and setting $k^R_N := \eta h_t \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^j \Theta_j$, we have

$$
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D^2_{AR}) k^R_N = \eta h_t t^N D^2_{AR} \Theta_N + (\Delta \eta - 2\nabla \nabla \eta) h_t \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^j \Theta_j.
$$

We now use Duhamel’s principle to estimate the error arising when we use $k^R_N$ to estimate the trace. Let

$$
\epsilon_N(t, x, x') := (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D^2_{AR}) k^R_N(t, x, x').
$$

Then

$$
k^R_N(t, x, x') - k^R(t, x, x') = \int_0^t \int_M k^R(t - s, x, w) \epsilon_N(s, w, x') dwds
$$

$$
= \int_0^t \int_M k^R_N(t - s, x, w) \epsilon_N(s, w, x') dwds
$$

$$
- \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \int_M k^R(t - s - u, x, z) \epsilon_N(u, z, w) \epsilon_N(s, w, x') dzdwdu.
$$

(182)
Taking the trace, we have
\[
\int_{\mathcal{M}_\eta} \left( \text{tr} \gamma^5 k^R_N(t, x, x) - \text{tr} \gamma^5 k^R(t, x, x) \right) dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathcal{M}_\eta} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{tr} \gamma^5 k^R_N(t - s, x, w) \epsilon_N(s, w, x') dw ds dx
\]
\[
- \int \int \int_{\mathcal{M}_\eta} \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{tr} \gamma^5 k^R(t - s - u, x, z) \epsilon_N(u, z, w) \epsilon_N(s, w, x) dz dw du ds dx.
\]
(183)

The last summand can be written as
\[
\int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \text{Tr} \gamma^5 e^{-(t-s)D^2_{\lambda R}} \epsilon_N(u) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_{y+1}} \epsilon_N(s) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_y},
\]
(184)

where \( \epsilon_N(w) \) denotes the operator with Schwarz kernel \( \epsilon_N(w, x, y) \), and \( \chi_X \) denotes the characteristic function of the set \( X \). We have used the fact that \( \epsilon_N \) is supported near the diagonal to insert an additional \( \chi_{\mathcal{M}_{y+1}} \). Using the boundedness of \( e^{-sD^2_{\lambda R}} \) we have
\[
\left| \text{Tr} \gamma^5 e^{-(t-s)D^2_{\lambda R}} \epsilon_N(u) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_{y+1}} \epsilon_N(s) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_y} \right| \leq \left\| \gamma^5 e^{-(t-s)D^2_{\lambda R}} \epsilon_N(u) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_{y+1}} \epsilon_N(s) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_y} \right\|_{Tr}
\leq \left\| \epsilon_N(u) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_{y+1}} \right\|_{HS} \left\| \epsilon_N(s) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_y} \right\|_{HS}.
\]
(185)

Here \( \| \cdot \|_{Tr} \) denotes trace class norm and \( \| \cdot \|_{HS} \) denotes Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

(See [15 Section 2] for a summary of relevant properties of these norms.)

The \( \Theta_j \) can be computed recursively in terms of curvatures and their derivatives (see for example [15 Section 3.3]), and are therefore bounded. Hence, \( \| \cdot \|_{HS} \) gives
\[
|\epsilon_N(t, x, x')| \leq C_0 \eta(x, x') e^{\frac{-d^2(x, x')}{4t}} t^N + C_1 \left( e^{2y} + \frac{d(x, x')}{t} \right) e^{\frac{-d^2(x, x')}{4t}} \chi_{\text{support } d\eta}
\leq \left[ C_0 t^N + C_1 \left( e^{2y} + \frac{1}{2t} \right) e^{\frac{-d^2(x, x')}{4t^2}} \right] \chi_N.
\]
(186)

Here we have used \( d(x, x') \geq \frac{\epsilon y}{4t^2} \) on the support of \( d\eta \). For \( t \leq e^{-(2+\delta)y} \), we have
\[
|\epsilon_N(t, x, x')| \leq \left[ C_0 e^{-N(2+\delta)y} + C_1 \left( e^{2y} + \frac{e^{(2+\delta)y}}{2t} \right) e^{\frac{-d^2(x, x')}{4t^2}} \right] \chi_N = O(e^{-2Ny}).
\]
(187)

Recalling that
\[
\left\| \epsilon_N(s) \chi_{\mathcal{M}_y} \right\|_{HS}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{y+1} \times \mathcal{M}_y} |\epsilon_N(s, x, x')|^2 dx dx' \leq C e^{-2Ny},
\]
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we see that the quantity in (184) is exponentially decreasing. Similarly,
\[
\left| \int_{M_y} \int_0^1 \int_M \operatorname{tr} \gamma^5 k^R_N(t - s, x, y) \epsilon_N(s, y, x') dy ds dx \right| \leq C e^{-2N y},
\]
and we deduce
\[
\int_{M_y} \operatorname{tr} \gamma^5 k^R(t, x, x) dx = \int_{M_y} \operatorname{tr} \gamma^5 k^R_N(t, x, x) dx + O(e^{-N y}),
\] (188)
for \( t \leq e^{-(2+\delta) y} \).

It follows, as in the compact case, (see e.g. [Roe, Chapter 12]) that
\[
\int_{M_y} \operatorname{tr} \gamma^5 k^R \left( e^{-(2+\delta) y}, x, x \right) dx = \int_{M_y} \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}, A_R) \wedge \hat{A}(TN) + O(e^{-N y}).
\] (189)

In dimension four, \( \hat{A} = 1 - \frac{1}{192} p_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{192 \pi^2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \), where \( p_1 \) is the first Pontryagin form, and the Chern character is
\[
\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}, A_R) = \operatorname{Rank}(\mathcal{E}) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} F_{A_R} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} F_{A_R} \wedge F_{A_R}.
\]

Therefore,
\[
\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}, A_R) = \operatorname{Rank}(\mathcal{E}) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} F_{A_R} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} F_{A_R} \wedge F_{A_R}.
\]

\[
\lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{M_y} \operatorname{tr} \gamma^5 k^R \left( e^{-(2+\delta) y}, x, x \right) dx = \int_M \left( \frac{\operatorname{Rank}(\mathcal{E})}{192\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} F_{A_R} \wedge F_{A_R} \right)
\]
\[
= \int_M \left( \frac{\operatorname{Rank}(\mathcal{E})}{192\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} F_{A} \wedge F_{A} \right). \quad (190)
\]

The last equality follows from using Chern-Weil theory to express \( \operatorname{tr} F_{A_R} \wedge F_{A_R} - \operatorname{tr} F_{A} \wedge F_{A} \) as the differential of a rapidly decreasing three-form.

**Lemma 34.** The integral of the first Pontryagin form over \( TN_k \) is
\[
\frac{1}{192\pi^2} \int_{TN_k} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} = \frac{k}{12}. \quad (191)
\]

**Proof.** This is computed in [Haw77] and can also be established by direct calculation. Computing in the original \( g \) metric, we have, as in [NS90], that \( \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \Delta V^{-1}) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4 \cdot \Delta V^{-1} = -2 \frac{\nabla V^2}{V^3} \) and \( \Delta V^{-1} = -\frac{4}{r^2} + O(r_0^2) \) near each center \( \nu_\sigma \). Applying Stokes theorem, the computation of the Pontryagin number reduces to
\[
\frac{1}{192\pi^2} \int \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} = -\sum_\sigma \frac{1}{192\pi} \int_{S^2_{\nu_\sigma}} \nabla_n \left( \frac{\nabla V^2}{V^3} \right) d\operatorname{Vol}_{S^2_{\nu_\sigma}},
\]
where \( S^2_{\nu_\sigma} \) denotes a small sphere centered at \( \nu_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), and \( n \) is the outward unit normal. The integral over each center \( \nu_\sigma \) contributes \( \frac{1}{12} \). Since the Pontryagin forms are invariant under conformal transformations (see [CS74]), the Pontryagin number is the same for the \( g \) and \( g' \) metrics.
7.2 Approximate Heat Kernel for arbitrary $t$, large $y$

In Lemma [33], expression (177) for the index of $D_A^-$ involves two summands: the Atiyah-Singer integrand and the asymptotic contribution. The latter is more subtle; hence we will give more details of the analysis of this term. We first specify an iterative semilocal approximation to the heat kernel. We then prove that substituting the approximation for the exact kernel computes the asymptotic contribution to the index.

Consider an open neighborhood $U \subset M^R_{t+2}$ admitting a section of the $S^1$ bundle, containing a point $p$. Introduce ($p$ and section dependent) coordinates to $U \times \{p\}$ with $x := (y, \phi, \theta, \tau)$ as in Section [131], so that $x(p) = (y(p), \frac{\pi}{2}, 0, 0)$ and so that

$$\pi^{-1}_k \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \\
\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\
\sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) & 0
\end{array} \right) \pi_k(p)$$

has coordinates $(y(p), \phi, \theta, \tau)$. Choose a frame ${e_j}_{j=1}^4$ defined by these coordinates as in the paragraph following (176). Let $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) = (y, \phi, \theta)$ so that $x = (b, \tau)$. We use the continuous Fourier transform in the base variables and the discrete Fourier expansion in $\tau$. Let $v$ denote coordinates dual to the $b$ variables. Write $u = (v, \frac{\tau}{\kappa}) = (v_1, v_2, v_3, \frac{\tau}{\kappa})$, where $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ labels the discrete Fourier modes. We make use of the compact notation

$$\int \ldots du := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ldots dv.$$

For a suitable contour $C$ surrounding $[0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ oriented counterclockwise, we use the Cauchy integral formula to write

$$e^{-tD^2_{A_R}} = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \oint_C e^{-tz}(D^2_{A_R} - z)^{-1}dz.$$

Hence, an approximation of $(D^2_{A_R} - z)^{-1}$ yields an approximation of $e^{-tD^2_{A_R}}$. We iteratively construct an approximation of the Schwartz kernel of $(D^2_{A_R} - z)^{-1}$ of the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^N \int e^{2\pi i(x-x')u} \sigma_z^{j-1}(x)q_j(x, x')\psi(x, x') du$$

$$= \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(b-b')v} e^{i(\tau-\tau')\kappa} \sigma_z^{j-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') dv,$$

where $\sigma_z$ is constructed below from the symbol of $D^2_{A_R}$, $\psi$ is a fiber isomorphism specified below, and the $q_j$ are defined inductively. Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(y-y')v_1} e^{2\pi i(\phi-\phi')v_2} e^{2\pi i(\theta-\theta')v_3} dv_1 dv_2 dv_3$$
represents the (distributional) integral kernel of the delta distribution with respect to the form \(dy \wedge d\phi \wedge d\theta\).

We now specify each term in (192). First we specify on \(U\) a frame dependent identification \(\psi(x, x') \in \text{Hom}(S_x \otimes E_x, S_x \otimes E_x)\) of the fibers of the bundle \(S \otimes E\) at \(x'\) and at \(x\). The local frame \(\{e_j = e_j(x, x')\}_{j=1}^4\) on \(U \times \{x'\}\) defines a section of the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames. We lift this to a local section of the principal spin bundle and use it to define a local frame \(\{f_a = f_a(x, x')\}_{a=1}^4\) for the spin bundle. Define \(\psi^S(x, x') = f_a(x, x') \otimes f_a(x', x')\) where \(\{f_a\}\) denotes the dual frame. Take a local unitary \(H_p\) (holonomy) eigenframe frame \(s_i\) of \(E\) on \(U\) and define \(\psi^E(x, x') = s_i(x) \otimes s_i(x')\), where again \(\{s_i\}\) denotes the dual frame. Now set \(\psi = \psi^S \otimes \psi^E\). Observe that

\[
\nabla_{e_j}^S \psi^S(x, x') = \Gamma_j^S(x) \psi^S(x, x'), \quad \nabla_{e_j}^\rho \psi^E(x, x') = A_{j}^{ab}(x) \psi^E(x, x'),
\]

(193)

where \(e_j \otimes \Gamma_j^S(x)\) and \(e_j \otimes A_{j}^{ab}\) are the connection one-forms for the given frames. For the computations below, we define \(\psi^E(x, x')\) as follows. Let \(\tau(x)\) denote the \(\tau\) coordinate of \(x\) and let

\[
V_{x'} := \{x \in U : \tau(x) = \tau(x')\}
\]

denote a section of the \(S^1\) bundle \(U\) containing \(x'\). Pick a unitary eigenbasis \(\{s_a(x')\}_a\), at \(x'\), of the holonomy operator. Extend this basis to a frame on \(V_{x'}\) by radial parallel translation along \(V_{x'}\) with respect to \(\nabla_{e_j}^\rho\). This gives a frame (diagonal with respect to the holonomy) at each point \((y, \phi, \theta, \tau(x'))\). Extend this to a frame \(\{s_a(x, x')\}_a\) over all of \(U\) so that its coefficients in the \(\{e_a\}_a\) frame constructed in the first paragraph of section 5 are constant in each circle fiber. Restricted to \(V_{x'}\), this frame satisfies

\[
\nabla_{e_m}^a s_a(x) = \frac{1}{2} F_{e_m}^{ab}(r_V \epsilon_m) s_a(x, x') + O(r_V(x, x')^2), \quad \forall x \in V_{x'},
\]

(194)

where \(r_V(x, x')\) denotes the distance function on \(V_{x'}\) from \(x\) to \(x'\). (See, for example, \([CS15]\) Lemma 3.18.) By (195),

\[
\partial \tau (\nabla_{e_j}^a s_a, s_a) = O(e^{-2y}).
\]

Hence \(\forall x \in U\),

\[
\nabla_{e_m}^a s_a = \frac{1}{2} F_{e_m}^{ab}(r_V \epsilon_m) s_a + O(r_V(x, x')^2) + O(e^{-2y}).
\]

(196)

We also have from Theorem 23

\[
\nabla_{e_4} s_a = -i(e^y \lambda_a + m_a) s_a + O(e^{-y}).
\]

(197)

Write

\[
e^{-i\tau e^{-2\pi i b \nu}} D_{A_R} e^{2\pi i b \nu} e^{i\tau e} = D_{A_R} + c(du),
\]

(198)
thus determining $c(du)$ to be

$$c(du) = 2\pi iv_1 c^1 + \left(2\pi iv_2 - \omega(\partial_\phi) i\kappa\right)c^2 + \frac{2\pi iv_3 - \omega(\partial_\theta) i\kappa}{\sin \phi} c^3 + e^y V i\kappa c^4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (199)

In order to exploit the holonomy, we modify the decomposition (198). As in Section 5, we have

$$\mu = iA^{ab}(\partial_x) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-y} \partial_x) + O(e^{-2y}),$$

and we set $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1)$. Write analogously to (198)

$$e^{-i\tau \kappa} e^{-2\pi i b \cdot v} D_{\Lambda_R} e^{2\pi i b \cdot v} e^{i\tau \kappa} = \hat{D} + c(\delta u),$$ \hspace{1cm} (200)

with

$$c(\delta u) = 2\pi iv_1 c^1 + \left(2\pi iv_2 - \omega(\partial_\phi) i\kappa - \Lambda\right)c^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sin \phi} \left(2\pi iv_3 - \omega(\partial_\theta) i(\kappa - \Lambda)\right)c^3 + e^y V i(\kappa - \Lambda)c^4$$

$$= 2\pi iv_1 c^1 + 2\pi iv_2 c^2 + \frac{2\pi i}{\sin \phi} v_3 c^3 + e^y V i(\kappa - \Lambda)(c^4 - \frac{e^{-y}}{V} c(\omega)),$$ \hspace{1cm} (201)

and $\hat{D}$ is defined by equation (200). This definition shifts the unbounded part of the connection matrix to the $c(\delta u)$ term. It follows that

$$c(\delta u)^2 = (2\pi v_1)^2 + e^{2y} V^2 (\kappa - \Lambda)^2$$

$$+ \left(2\pi v_2 - \omega(\partial_\phi)(\kappa - \Lambda)\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} \left(2\pi v_3 - \omega(\partial_\theta)(\kappa - \Lambda)\right)^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (202)

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, set

$$\sigma_z = c(\delta u)^2 - z.$$ \hspace{1cm} (203)

Assumption 30 implies that for $R$ large no diagonal entry of $(\kappa - \Lambda)$ is zero, therefore $|\sigma_z| \geq c e^{2y} - |z|$, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

We introduce the differential operator

$$L : = \hat{D}^2 + \{\hat{D}, c(\delta u)\}$$

$$= \hat{D}^2 + L_1 + c(\delta \delta u) + \delta^* \delta u,$$ \hspace{1cm} (204)

where

$$L_1 := -4\pi iv_1 \nabla_{e_1} - 2(2\pi iv_2 - i\omega(e_2)(\kappa - \Lambda))(\nabla_{e_2} - i\omega(e_2)\Lambda)$$

$$- \frac{2}{\sin \phi} (2\pi iv_3 - i\omega(\partial_\theta)(\kappa - \Lambda))(\nabla_{e_3} - i\omega(e_3)\Lambda)$$

$$- 2e^y V i(\kappa - \Lambda)(\nabla_{e_4} + ie^y V \Lambda),$$ \hspace{1cm} (205)

and where $\delta u$ is the covector defined by (201). Then

$$e^{-2\pi i(x-x')u}(D_{A_R} - z)e^{2\pi i(x-x')u} = L + \sigma_z.$$ \hspace{1cm} (206)
Let \( \zeta(t) \) be a smooth function supported in \((-2, 2)\) and identically 1 on \([-1, 1]\), with derivative \(|d\zeta| \leq 2\). Set \( \zeta : M \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) to be

\[
\zeta(p, q) = \zeta \left( e^{\frac{1}{2}y(q)} d(\pi_k(p), \pi_k(q)) \right),
\]

(207)

Here the distance \( d(\cdot, \cdot) \) is with respect to the new metric \( g' \). (In the following construction, the \( e^{\frac{1}{2}y(q)} \) factor in the definition of \( \zeta \) can be replaced by \( e^{\alpha y(q)} \) for any \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). If \( \alpha \geq 1 \), stationary phase arguments no longer imply the error terms associated with derivatives of the cutoffs are rapidly decreasing. The larger we choose \( \alpha \), however, the better our subsequent bounds on connection matrices.) On the support of \( \zeta(x, x') \), the connection matrices in the frame \( \{ s_\alpha(x, x') \}_\alpha \) satisfy

\[
A_R(\tilde{e}_m) = \frac{1}{2} R^{ab}_A(r_V \frac{\partial}{\partial r_V}, \tilde{e}_m) + O(e^{-\frac{3}{2}y}) = O(e^{-\frac{3}{2}y}).
\]

Then

\[
(D^2_{A_R} - z) \int e^{2\pi i(x-x') \cdot u} \zeta(x, x') \sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') du =
\]

\[
\int e^{2\pi i(x-x') \cdot u} (L + \sigma_z) \zeta(x, x') \sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') du =
\]

\[
\zeta(x, x') \int e^{2\pi i(x-x') \cdot u} (\sigma_z^{-j} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') + L\sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x')) du
\]

\[
+ [D^2_{A_R}, \zeta(x, x')] \int e^{2\pi i(x-x') \cdot u} \sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') du.
\]

Set \( q_0(x, x') \in \text{End}(S_x \otimes \mathcal{E}_x) \) to be the identity, and define \( q_j(x, x') \in \text{End}(S_x \otimes \mathcal{E}_x) \) by setting

\[
q_j = - (\sigma_z^j L \sigma_z^{-j} q_{j-1} \psi) \psi^{-1} = (-1)^j \sigma_z^j ((L\sigma_z^{-1})^j \psi) \psi^{-1},
\]

(209)

where the \( \sigma_z^{-1} \) in \( (L\sigma_z^{-1})^j \) denotes the operation of left multiplication by \( \sigma_z^{-1} \). In particular, \( (L\sigma_z^{-1})^j \) denotes a 2\( j \) order partial differential operator which acts on the \( \psi \) factor but not the \( \psi^{-1} \). We define an approximate resolvent kernel by

\[
q_z^N(x, x') := \sum_{j=0}^N \zeta(x, x') \int e^{2\pi i(x-x') \cdot u} \sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') du,
\]

(210)
and let $Q^N_z$ denote the operator with Schwartz kernel $q^N_z$. Then

$$(D^2_{A_R} - z)q^N_z(x, x') = \zeta(x, x') \int e^{2\pi i(x-x')\cdot u} \psi(x, x') du + \zeta(x, x') \int e^{2\pi i(x-x')\cdot u} L\sigma^{N-1}_{-1} q_N \psi(x, x') du$$

$$\quad + \sum_{j=0}^N [D^2_{A_R}, \zeta(x, x')] \int e^{2\pi i(x-x')\cdot u} \sigma^{-j}_{-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') du$$

$$\quad = I + \zeta(x, x') \int e^{2\pi i(x-x')\cdot u} L\sigma^{N-1}_{-1} q_N \psi(x, x') du$$

$$\quad + \sum_{j=0}^N [D^2_{A_R}, \zeta(x, x')] \int e^{2\pi i(x-x')\cdot u} \sigma^{-j}_{-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') du. \quad (211)$$

We now define our approximate heat kernel,

$$k^R_N(t, x, x') := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{-t\zeta}(x, x') \zeta, \quad (212)$$

and let $K_{t, N}$ denote the operator with Schwarz kernel $k^R_N(t, \cdot, \cdot)$.

**Lemma 35.** Let $0 \leq \tilde{\eta} \leq 1$ be a cutoff function supported in $M^c_T$, $T > R + 4$ large. For any $t > 0$ and $N$ large,

$$|\text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta e^{-tD^2_{A_R}} \text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta^{-1} | = \begin{cases} O(e^{-(2N-3)T}), & t \geq e^{-2T} \\ O(t^{1-2T}), & t < e^{-2T} \end{cases} \quad (213)$$

$$|\text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta (dy)D^2_{A_R} e^{-tD^2_{A_R}} \text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta (dy) D^2_{A_R} K^N_{t} | = \begin{cases} O(e^{-(2N+4)T}), & t \geq e^{-2T} \\ O(t^{1-2T}), & t < e^{-2T} \end{cases} \quad (214)$$

**Proof.** For any $\phi \in H^2(S \otimes E)$, one has $\text{Im}((D^2_{A_R} - z)\phi, \phi) = -\text{Im}(z)\|\phi\|^2$. Thus, $\| (D^2_{A_R} - z)\phi \|_{L^2} \geq |\text{Im}(z)|\|\phi\|$. This implies that if $\text{Im}(z) \neq 0$, then $D^2_{A_R} - z$ is injective with closed range, and $\| (D^2_{A_R} - z)^{-1} \|_{\text{op}} \leq |\text{Im}(z)|^{-1}$.

Consider a counterclockwise oriented curve $C_t$ surrounding the spectrum of $D^2_{A_R}$ defined as follows: $C_t$ is the union of a semicircle $\{ z : |z| = 1/t, \text{Re } z \leq 0 \}$ and two horizontal half-lines $y = \pm \frac{1}{t}, x \geq 0$. Observe that $|e^{-tz}| \leq e$ for any $z \in C_t$. Moreover, for all $z \in C_t$,

$$\| (D^2_{A_R} - z)^{-1} \|_{\text{op}} \leq t.$$
Let $\epsilon^N_z := I - (D^2_{AR} - z)Q^N_z$. Then

$$e^{-tD^2_{AR}} - K_t^N = \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}((D^2_{AR} - z)^{-1} - Q^N_z)d\zeta$$

$$= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}(D^2_{AR} - z)^{-1}\epsilon^N_z d\zeta$$

$$= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}Q^N_z \epsilon^N_z d\zeta + \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}(D^2_{AR} - z)^{-1}(\epsilon^N_z)^2 d\zeta.$$ 

Taking the trace yields

$$\text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta (e^{-tD^2_{AR}} - K_t^N) = \text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}Q^N_z \epsilon^N_z d\zeta$$

$$+ \text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}(D^2_{AR} - z)^{-1}(\epsilon^N_z)^2 d\zeta.$$ 

Hence

$$|\text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta (e^{-tD^2_{AR}} - K_t^N)| \leq \left| \text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}Q^N_z \epsilon^N_z d\zeta \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-tRe z} t |\sqrt{\eta} \epsilon^N_z|_{HS} |\epsilon^N_z \sqrt{|\eta|}|_{HS} d\zeta.$$ 

By (211) we can expand the Schwarz kernel $\epsilon^N_z(x, x')$ of $\epsilon^N_z$ as

$$\epsilon^N_z(x, x') = \epsilon^N_{z,1}(x, x') + \epsilon^N_{z,2}(x, x'),$$

with

$$\epsilon^N_{z,1}(x, x') = \int e^{2\pi i(x - x') \cdot u} \zeta(x, x') L_{\sigma^{-N-1}} q_N(x, x') \psi(x, x') d\mu,$$

and

$$\epsilon^N_{z,2}(x, x') := \sum_{j=0}^{N} (A^2_{AR}, \zeta(x, x')) \int e^{2\pi i(x - x') \cdot u} \sigma^{-j-1} q_j(x, x') \psi(x, x') d\mu.$$ 

We are thus left to consider three terms (after applying the arithmetic/geometric mean to eliminate cross terms) to estimate the right-hand side of (215): the contributions from $|\epsilon^N_{z,1}|_{HS}$, $|\epsilon^N_{z,2}|_{HS}$, and $|\text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_t} e^{-t\zeta}Q^N_z \epsilon^N_z d\zeta|$. We will estimate the first term in detail. The remaining terms are similar, except some terms in the trace integral require integration by parts in $z$ before estimating, and some terms in the $|\epsilon^N_{z,2}|_{HS}$ contribution require integration by parts in the phase space variable.

Define the shifted variables

$$v(j) = \left(2\pi v_1, 2\pi v_2 - \omega(\partial_0)(k - \frac{\lambda_j}{\ell}), \frac{2\pi v_3 - \omega(\partial_0)(k - \frac{\lambda_j}{\ell})}{\sin(\phi)} \right).$$
Observe that \( L \) has coefficients that are polynomials of degree at most one in the variables \( v \) and \( e^y(\kappa - \frac{\lambda}{\ell}) \). Also \( \sigma_z^{-1} \) is a rational function of degree \(-2\) in the same variables. Hence \((LS\sigma_z^{-N-1}\tau\psi)^{-1} = (-1)^N((LS\sigma_z^{-1})^N\psi)^{-1}\) is a diagonal matrix whose \( j \)-th entry is a sum of terms of the form

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} p_a(v(j), e^y(\kappa - \frac{\lambda_j}{\ell})) \left( |v(j)|^2 + e^{2y}V^2(\kappa - \frac{\lambda_j}{\ell})^2 - z \right)^{-N_a}.
\]  

(217)

Here \( N + 1 \leq N_a \leq 3N + 3 \), and each \( p_a \) is a Clifford-bundle-valued polynomial in \( v(j) \) and \( e^y(\kappa - \frac{\lambda_j}{\ell}) \) with bounded coefficients and of degree \( d_a < N_a \), with \( N_a - d_a \geq N + 1 \). Let

\[
\epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{2} \min_{\kappa, j} \left\{ (\kappa - \frac{\lambda_j}{\ell})^2 \right\}.
\]

Using the arithmetic geometric mean inequality, \( \prod_j s_j^{\alpha_j} \leq \sum_j \frac{\alpha_j}{\sum_j \alpha_j} \sum_j \alpha_j \), we can estimate \(|e_{z,1}^N(x, x')|\) when \( Re \, z < \epsilon_0 e^{2y} \) for some \( C_N, \tilde{C}_N > 0 \) and for any \( \alpha \in [0, \frac{N}{2} - 2) \) by

\[
|e_{z,1}^N(x, x')| \leq \sum_j \zeta(x, x') C_N \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} |t^\alpha| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dv}{(|v(j)|^2 + e^{2y}(\kappa - \frac{\lambda}{\ell})^2)^{N+1-\alpha}} = \zeta(x, x') \tilde{C}_N \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} |t^\alpha| e^{-(N-2-2\alpha)y}.
\]

Hence, for \( N \geq 4 + 2\alpha \), and \( Re \, z < \epsilon_0 e^{2y} \),

\[
\|\sqrt{\eta}e_{z,1}^N\|_{L^2(M \times M)} = O(t^\alpha e^{-(\frac{N}{2} - 2\alpha)T}).
\]

(218)

Here we have used the fact that (in the \( g' \) metric) \( Vol(M) < \infty \) with \( Vol(M) = O(e^{-T}) \). The \( t^\alpha \) factor comes from the estimate \(|(|v(j)|^2 + e^{2y}(\kappa - \frac{\lambda}{\ell})^2 - z)^{-\alpha}| < t^\alpha \) on \( \tilde{C}_\ell \). This is only useful for \( t \leq O(e^{-2y}) \). Otherwise we will choose \( \alpha = 0 \). Hence,

\[
\int_{C_t: Re \, (z) < 2\epsilon_0 e^{2T}} e^{-t^2t} \|\sqrt{\eta}e_{z,1}^N\|_{HS}\|e_{z,1}^N\|_{HS} |dz| \leq O(t^a e^{-(2N-3-4a)T}).
\]

(219)

When \( w := Re \, z \geq \epsilon_0 e^{2y} \), we have the weaker estimate :

\[
|e_{z,1}^N(x, x')| \leq \sum_j \zeta(x, x') \tilde{C}_N \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(|v(j)|^2 + e^{2y}(\kappa - \frac{\lambda}{\ell})^2 - w)^{N_j-1}dv}{([|v|^2 + e^{2y}(\kappa - \frac{\lambda}{\ell})^2 - w]^2 + t^2)^{N_j-1}}.
\]

We split the \( \kappa \) sum into terms where \( w \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{2y}(\kappa - \frac{\lambda}{\ell})^2 \), and terms where \( w \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{2y}(\kappa - \frac{\lambda}{\ell})^2 \). The first set is infinite and can be treated exactly as the
case of $|z| < \epsilon_0 e^{2y}$. It’s contribution to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm is again $O(e^{-\frac{5}{2}y})$. We estimate the remaining terms as follows.

$$
\sum_j \zeta(x,x') \hat{C}_N \sum_{e^{2y}|\kappa-\frac{\gamma}{w}|^2 \leq 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \left| \begin{array}{c} |\nu|^{N_j-N-1} + |e^{2y}(\kappa - \frac{\gamma}{w})|^{N_j-N-1} \end{array} \right| \right) dv \\
\leq \sum_j \zeta(x,x') \hat{C}_N \sum_{e^{2y}|\kappa-\frac{\gamma}{w}|^2 \leq 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \left| \begin{array}{c} |\nu|^{N_j-N-1} + 1 \end{array} \right| \right) dv \\
\leq \sum_j \zeta(x,x') C_N \sum_{e^{2y}|\kappa-\frac{\gamma}{w}|^2 \leq 2} \frac{1}{w} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{w}{t}\left( \frac{w}{t}\right)^{N_j-N-2} \end{array} \right| \right) dv \\
\leq \sum_j \zeta(x,x') C_N e^{-y} t^{N_j+1} w^{N_j-N+2}. \quad (220)
$$

Hence, for $N \geq 4$ and $\text{Re}(z) \geq \epsilon_0 e^{2T}$,

$$
\| \sqrt{\eta} \xi_{z,1} \|_{L^2(M \times M)}^2 = O(e^{-3T} t^{N_j+1} w^{N_j-N+2}).
$$

These terms contribute to (215) with a term bounded by

$$
O(e^{-3T}) \int_{e^{2T}t}^{\infty} e^{-tw} t^{N_j+2} w^{N_j-N+2} dw = O\left(t^{N_j+2} e^{-\epsilon_0 e^{2T} e^{(2N_j-2N+1)T}}\right) \\
\leq O\left(\max\{t^{N_j+5} e^{-3} e^{(4N+7)T}, t^{N_j+3} e^{-3} e^{3T}\}\right). \quad (221)
$$

Combining (219) and (221) and the corresponding results for the other two contributions then yields

$$
|\text{Tr} \gamma^5 \eta \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_\gamma} e^{-1z} Q_z^N \xi_z^N dz| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_\gamma} e^{-\text{Re} z} |t| \left| \sqrt{\eta} \xi_{z,1} \right|_{\text{HS}}\left| \xi_z^N \sqrt{\eta} \right|_{\text{HS}} dz = \\
\begin{cases}
O(e^{-(2N-3)T}), & \text{for } t \geq e^{-2T} \\
O(t^{N_j-1} e^{3T}), & \text{for } t < e^{-2T}.
\end{cases} \quad (222)
$$

When we consider $D_{AR} e^{-tD_R^2} - D_{AR} K^N_t$ in place of $e^{-tD_R^2} - K^N_t$, the additional $D_{AR}$ increases the allowed homogeneity of the polynomials $p_a$ by 1. The proof then proceeds as before. \qed

Since $e^1$ is the unit outward normal to $M_y$, we are left to compute

$$
- \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}} e^{-(2+\delta)y} \partial M_y \int \text{tr}^1 \gamma^5 D_{AR} e^{-tD_R^3} (x,x) d\nu_x dt = \\
\lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}} e^{-(2+\delta)y} \partial M_y \int_{C_\gamma} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{C_\gamma} \int \sum_{j=0}^N \int e^{-iz} \text{tr}^1 \gamma^5 (\tilde{D} + c(\delta u)) \sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j \psi|_{z=x'} d\nu_x dz dt dt. \quad (223)
$$
The following section is devoted to simplifying (223).

### 7.3 Reduction

The expression (223) contains an enormous number of summands. In this section we show that only those summands corresponding to \( q_0 \) and \( q_1 \) contribute to the index formula. Write

\[
L \sigma_z^{-1} = \sigma_z^{-2} L - \sigma_z^{-3} [L, c(\delta u)^2] + \sigma_z^{-3} [[L, c(\delta u)^2], c(\delta u)^2].
\]  

(224)

The last term in expression (224) is zero order and commutes with \( \sigma_z^{-1} \). Hence, we can write

\[
\sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j \psi = (-1)^j \sigma_z^{-1} (L \sigma_z^{-1})^j \psi
\]

\[
= (-1)^j \sum_{a+b+c=j} \sigma_z^{-1-a-2b-3c} p_{a,b,c}(L, [L, c(\delta u)^2], [[L, c(\delta u)^2], c(\delta u)^2]) \psi,
\]

(225)

where each \( p_{a,b,c}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \) is a polynomial homogeneous of degree \( a, b, c \) respectively in the noncommutative variables \( X_1, X_2, \) and \( X_3 \). Then \( p_{a,b,c}(L, [L, c(\delta u)^2], [[L, c(\delta u)^2], c(\delta u)^2]) \) defines a partial differential operator of order \( \leq 2a+b \). Inserting expression (225) into (223) and performing the contour integration yields

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi i} \int \frac{e^{-tz} \text{tr} \chi^5 (\hat{D} + c(\delta u)) \sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j \psi |_{x=x'} \, du \, dz}{C_t},
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int \text{tr} \chi^5 (\hat{D} + c(\delta u)) e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \sum_{a+b+c=j} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} p_{a,b,c}(L, [L, c(\delta u)^2], [[L, c(\delta u)^2], c(\delta u)^2])}{(a+2b+3c)!} \psi |_{x=x'} \, du,
\]

(226)

where \( p_{a,b,c} = p_{a,b,c}(L, [L, c(\delta u)^2], [[L, c(\delta u)^2], c(\delta u)^2]) \). Observe that as a polynomial in \( u \), \( p_{a,b,c} \) has degree at most \( a+3b+4c \), and this highest degree is obtained only for the summand \( p_{a,b,c}(L, [L, c(\delta u)^2], [[L, c(\delta u)^2], c(\delta u)^2]) \), where \( L_1 \) is defined in (205).

**Lemma 36.** For \( \chi \geq 0, p > \frac{-1}{2} \) and \( a \not\in \mathbb{Z}, \)

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\chi}^{\infty} t^p e^{-t \psi V^2 (\kappa+a)^2} \, dt \leq C_p e^{-2(p+1)y},
\]

for some \( C_p > 0 \). For \( 0 < \delta < 1 \) and \( b \) odd

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}}^{\infty} t^b \left[ e^y (\kappa + a) \right]^b e^{-t \psi V^2 (\kappa+a)^2} \, dt = O \left( e^{-(2q+2-b)y} \right),
\]

For \( b \) even we have

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}}^{\infty} t^b \left[ e^y (\kappa + a) \right]^b e^{-t \psi V^2 (\kappa+a)^2} \, dt
\]

\[
= O \left( e^{-(2q+2-b)y} \right) + O \left( e^{\frac{q}{y} -(2q+2-b)(1+\frac{1}{2})y} \right).
\]
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Proof. We break the integration interval into two pieces \([e^{-(2+\delta)y}, e^{-2y}]\) and \([e^{-2y}, \infty)\). For the latter interval

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{e^{-2y}}^{\infty} t^q \left[e^y V(\kappa + a)\right]^b e^{-te^{2y}V^2(\kappa+a)^2} dt
\]

\[= \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-(2+2q-b)y} \int_1^\infty t^q \left[V(\kappa + a)\right]^b e^{-tV^2(\kappa+a)^2} dt = O \left(e^{-(2+2q-b)y}\right).
\]

To estimate the remaining integral, we first transform the sum via the Poisson summation formula:

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[e^y(\kappa + a)\right]^b e^{-te^{2y}V^2(\kappa+a)^2}
\]

\[= \sqrt{\pi} \left(e^{(h-1)y}V^{-1}t^{-1/2}\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i p a} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p}}\right)^b e^{-t\pi^2e^{-2y}V^2p^2}.
\]

In such formulas, we differentiate before evaluating at \(p\) integer. Hence,

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}}^{e^{-2y}} t^q \left[e^y(\kappa + a)\right]^b e^{-te^{2y}V^2(\kappa+a)^2} dt
\]

\[= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i p a} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p}}\right)^b \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}}^{e^{-2y}} t^q \sqrt{\pi} e^{(h-1)y}V^{-1} t^{-1} e^{-t\pi^2e^{-2y}V^2p^2} dt
\]

\[= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i p a} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p}}\right)^b \int_{e^{-2y}}^{e^{-(2q+2-b)y}} t^{q-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} e^{(h-1)y}V^{-1} t^{-1} e^{-t\pi^2e^{-2y}V^2p^2} dt.
\]

For \(b\) odd, \(p = 0\) does not contribute, and this yields

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}}^{e^{-2y}} t^q \left[e^y(\kappa + a)\right]^b e^{-te^{2y}V^2(\kappa+a)^2} dt = O \left(e^{-(2q+2-b)y}\right).
\]

For \(b\) even, \(p = 0\) contributes and we have

\[
\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}}^{e^{-2y}} t^q \left[e^y(\kappa + a)\right]^b e^{-te^{2y}V^2(\kappa+a)^2} dt
\]

\[= O \left(e^{-(2q+2-b)y}\right) + O \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}y-(2q+2-b)(1+\frac{1}{2})y}\right). \quad (227)
\]

We apply this lemma to eliminate most terms in the expression (223).

Lemma 37.

\[
\lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \int_{j \geq 2} e^{-t\xi_j} \text{tr} \gamma^5(\tilde{D}+c(\delta u)) \sigma_z^{-j-1} q_j \tilde{\psi}|_{\xi=x} \, du \, dz \, d\nu_x \, dt = 0.
\]
Lemma 39. \[ \gamma \text{ above by the relation } k \text{ in the } \text{tr} \text{ record all these terms to the requisite accuracy. We have in order to exploit the preceding lemma. For the convenience of the reader, we and integrals of the following form.} \]
\[ (223), \text{making the change of variables and integrating with respect to } \]

\[ \int_{e^{-(2+8)y}} e^{a+2b+3c-\frac{2}{3}-m-\frac{2}{3}} [e^y V(\kappa - \Lambda)]^y + 3b + 4c - 2m e^{-t e^{2y} V^2 (\kappa - \Lambda)^2} dt \]

\[ = O \left( e^{-y} e^{-c - \epsilon} y \right) + O \left( e^{-\frac{y}{2}} e^{-c - \epsilon} (1 + \frac{1}{2} y) y \right), \tag{228} \]

with \( a + b + c = j \), and \( \epsilon = 1 \) for the \( c(\delta u) \) summand and 0 for the \( \hat{D} \) summand in the \( \text{tr} \gamma^5 c(\nu) (\hat{D} + c(\delta u)) \) term. Moreover, the \( O \left( e^{-\frac{y}{2}} e^{-c - \epsilon} (1 + \frac{1}{2} y) y \right) \) term only appears when \( a + b \) is even. In particular, the terms in \[ (223) \] (as expanded in \[ (226) \]) with \( j + c > 1 + \epsilon \) are exponentially decreasing. \[ \square \]

To obtain further vanishing we require an algebraic lemma.

Lemma 38 \([\text{Roc}, \text{BGV92}]\). If \( \alpha \) is a \( p \)-form, with \( p < 4 \), then \( \text{tr} \gamma^5 c(\alpha) = 0 \).

We need more information about the connection components \( \gamma^m_{ij} := \langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_m \rangle \) in order to exploit the preceding lemma. For the convenience of the reader, we record all these terms to the requisite accuracy. We have

\[ \gamma^4_{44} = 1 - \frac{k}{4 \ell} e^{-y} + O(e^{-2y}), \quad \gamma^2_{33} = - \cot(\phi) + O(e^{-2y}) = O(e^{-2y}), \]

\[ \gamma^4_{23} = \frac{k}{4 \ell} e^{-y} + O(e^{-2y}), \quad \gamma^4_{32} = - \frac{k}{4 \ell} e^{-y} + O(e^{-2y}), \quad \gamma^3_{42} = - \frac{k}{4 \ell} e^{-y} + O(e^{-2y}). \tag{229} \]

Here \( k \) is the number of centers in \( \text{TN}_k \). All other terms not related to the above by the relation \( \gamma^m_{ij} = - \gamma^m_{ij} \) are \( O(e^{-2y}) \).

Lemma 39.

\[ \lim_{y \to \infty} \int e^{-2y} \int \frac{1}{4 \pi^2} \int e^{-t e^{2y} V^2 (\kappa - \Lambda)^2} dt dz d\nu_x dt \]

\[ = \lim_{y \to \infty} \int e^{-2y} \int \frac{1}{4 \pi^2} \int e^{-t e^{2y} V^2 (\kappa - \Lambda)^2} dt dz d\nu_x dt. \tag{230} \]

Proof. By Lemma 38 \[ \text{tr} \gamma^5 c(\delta u) = 0 \], since \( c^1 c(\delta u) \) contains no term which can be written as Clifford multiplication by a \( 4 \)-form. Writing

\[ \hat{D} = c^m (e_m + A^ab_m - \gamma^m_{ii}) + ic^4 \Lambda + \frac{k e^{-y}}{8 \ell} c(e^4 + dV) + O(e^{-2y}), \tag{231} \]

\[ = \frac{k e^{-y}}{8 \ell} c(e^4 + dV) + O(e^{-2y}), \]
we see that the only term Clifford multiplication by a 4-form \( c^1 \hat{D} \) contributes is \( \frac{k}{4\pi i} c(e^1 \wedge e^4 \wedge dVol_{S^2}) + O(e^{-2y}) \), and the result follows.

**Lemma 40.**

\[
\lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int \int e^{-t^2} \text{tr} c^1 \gamma^5 (\hat{D} + c(\delta u)) \sigma_z^{j-1} q_j \psi |_{x'=x''} \ dy \ dz \ d\nu_x dt = 0.
\]

**Proof.** In the proof of Lemma 37, we showed that terms with \( j + c > 1 + \epsilon \) (in the notation of that proof) are exponentially decreasing. Hence for \( j = 2 \), the only possible nonvanishing terms are \( c = 0 \) and \( \epsilon = 1 \) arising in the summand \(-\text{tr} r_c^5 c(\delta u) p_{a, b, 0} (L_1, [L_1, c(\delta u)^2], 1)\). By Lemma 38, \( L_1 \) must provide two additional Clifford terms for the trace to be nonzero. These terms can only come from the spin connection. We write \( \nabla e_j = e_j + A_j + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^m_{jk} c(e^k \wedge e^m) \). In our frame and neighborhood, the only \( \gamma^m_{jk} \) which are not exponentially decreasing are \( \gamma^4_{44} = -\gamma^4_{41} = 1 + O(e^{-y}) \). Hence the only nonexponentially decreasing Clifford term contributed by \( L_1 \) is \( c(e^1 \wedge e^4) \). Both \( c^1 c(\delta u) c(e^1 \wedge e^4) \) and \( c^1 c(\delta u) c(e^1 \wedge e^4)^2 \) can be written as the sum of a scalar and Clifford multiplication by a 2-form. Hence by Lemma 38, \(-\text{tr} r_c^5 c(\delta u) p_{a, b, 0} (L_1, [L_1, c(\delta u)^2], 1)\) is exponentially decreasing, and the lemma follows.

**Lemma 41.**

\[
\lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int \int e^{-t^2} \text{tr} c^1 \gamma^5 \hat{D} \sigma_z^{-2} q_1 \psi |_{x'=x''} \ dy \ dz \ d\nu_x dt = 0.
\]

**Proof.** By (228), the contribution of \( \text{tr} c^1 \gamma^5 \hat{D} \sigma_z^{-2} q_1 \psi \) to (229) is the trace of a bounded endomorphism times \( O(e^{-cy}) \). Hence terms with \( c > 0 \) are exponentially decreasing. Moreover all terms other than the contribution from \( \text{tr} c^1 \gamma^5 \hat{D} \sigma_z^{-2} p_{a, b, 0} (L_1, [L_1, c(\delta u)^2], 1) \), with \( a + b = 1 \) are exponentially decreasing. The only nonexponentially decreasing Clifford term contributed by \( L_1 \) is \( c(e^1 \wedge e^4) \). Hence \( \hat{D} \) must contribute Clifford multiplication by a 3-form in order to have nonzero trace. By (231), the only 3-form \( \hat{D} \) contributes is exponentially decreasing, and the result follows.

For the contribution of the \( q_1 \) term to (229), using (221), we are left with

\[
J_1 := \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int \int e^{-t^2} \text{tr} c^1 \gamma^5 c(\delta u)(\sigma_z^{-2} L - \sigma_z^{-3} [L, c(\delta u)^2]) \psi |_{x'=x''} \ dy \ dz \ d\nu_x dt
\]

\[
= \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int \text{tr} c^1 \gamma^5 c(\delta u) e^{-t(\delta u)^2} \left( \frac{t}{2} L + \frac{t^2}{4} [L, c(\delta u)^2] \right) \psi |_{x'=x''} \ dy \ dz \ d\nu_x dt.
\]

(232)
Lemma 42.

\[ J_1 = \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{e^{-2(\pm \delta)y}}^{\infty} \int \int \text{tr} \gamma^5 c^4 e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \frac{ke^{-y}}{8\ell} c^2 c^4 \ du \ d\nu_x \ dt \]

\[ + \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{e^{-2(\pm \delta)y}}^{\infty} \int \int \text{tr} \gamma^5 c^4 e^y Vi(\kappa - \Lambda) e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \frac{1}{2} c\partial M \ e^3 (F_A(e_2, e_3) + \frac{i\kappa}{2}(\kappa - \Lambda)) \ du \ d\nu_x \ dt. \]

(233)

Proof. From the definition of \( c(\delta u) \) it follows that

\[ tc(\delta u) e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} = \left[ -\frac{i}{4\pi} (c^1 \partial_{v_1} + c^2 \partial_{v_2} + \sin \phi c^3 \partial_{v_3}) + tc^4 e^y Vi(\kappa - \Lambda) \right] e^{-tc(\delta u)^2}. \]

(234)

Inserting (234) into (232) and integrating by parts in \( v \) gives

\[ J_1 = \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{e^{-2(\pm \delta)y}}^{\infty} \int \int \text{tr} \gamma^5 c^4 e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{3} c^j \left( \gamma^m_j c^l e^m + A(e_j) - \omega(\bar{e}_j)i\Lambda \right) \right) \ du \ d\nu_x \ dt \]

\[ + \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{e^{-2(\pm \delta)y}}^{\infty} \int \int \text{tr} \gamma^5 c^4 e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \left( \frac{\cos(\phi)c^2}{2\sin(\phi)} + \frac{t}{4} \left( c^1 \nabla_{e_1} + c^2 \nabla_{e_2} + c^3 \nabla_{e_3}, c(\delta u)^2 \right) \right) \psi |_{x=x'} \ du \ d\nu_x \ dt \]

\[ + \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{e^{-2(\pm \delta)y}}^{\infty} \int \int \text{tr} \gamma^5 c^4 e^y Vi(\kappa - \Lambda) e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} L + \frac{t^2}{4} [L, c(\delta u)^2] \right) \psi |_{x=x'} \ du \ d\nu_x \ dt. \]

(235)

By lemma 38,

\[ \text{tr} \gamma^5 c^4 e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \left( \frac{\cos(\phi)c^2}{2\sin(\phi)} + \frac{t}{4} \left( c^1 \nabla_{e_1} + c^2 \nabla_{e_2} + c^3 \nabla_{e_3}, c(\delta u)^2 \right) \right) = 0, \]

and

\[ \text{tr} \gamma^5 c^4 e^{-tc(\delta u)^2} \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{3} c^j (A(e_j) - \omega(\bar{e}_j)i\Lambda) \right) = 0. \]

By (229), we have

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{3} c^j \left( \gamma^m_j c^l e^m = \left( \frac{ke^{-y}}{2\ell} + O(e^{-2y}) \right) c^2 c^4 - \gamma^m_{33} c^m. \]

In order to simplify the last line of (235), we remove all the terms in \( L \) which are odd in \( v_1, 2\pi v_2 - \omega(\bar{e}_2)(\kappa - \Lambda), 2\pi v_3 - \omega(\bar{e}_3)(\kappa - \Lambda), \) or \( \phi, \) as they integrate to zero. Among the remaining terms, we then identify all the terms in \( L \) which
have a $c^2c^3$ factor and no $c^3$ or $c^4$ factor. By Lemma 38, these are the only terms which do not trace to zero in $\text{tr}c^1\gamma^5c^4(\frac{1}{2}L + \frac{h}{4}[L, c(\delta u)^2])\psi|_{x=x'}$. Using (223), we compute that the remaining $c^2c^3$ term in $L\psi|_{x=x'}$ is

$$c^2c^3 \left( F_A(c_2, c_3) + i\frac{k}{4}(\kappa - \Lambda) + O(e^{-y}) \right),$$

which vanishes in the commutator with $c(\delta u)^2$. The result follows.

\[\square\]

### 7.4 The Asymptotic Contribution

After the reductions obtained in the previous section, the computation of the index reduces to the computation of the sum and integral of (230) and (233).

The elements $\frac{\Lambda}{\ell}$ of the diagonal matrix $\Lambda$ only enter our computations as $\frac{\Lambda}{\ell} + Z$. We denote by $\{\lambda_\nu/\ell\}$ the unique representative of $\frac{\Lambda}{\ell} + Z$ in the interval $[0,1)$, and we let $\{\Lambda\}$ denote the diagonal matrix with entries $\{\lambda_\nu/\ell\}$. We denote $F_A(c_2, c_3)$ by $F_{23}$, and let $F_{23}^0$ denote the zeroth Fourier coefficient of $F_{23}$ in the given frame.

**Theorem 43.** The asymptotic contribution to the index equals

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}} \int_{\partial M_y} \text{tr} c(\nu)(-\gamma^5)De^{-tD^2}(x,x) \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_x \left( \{\Lambda\}^2 - \{\Lambda\}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \text{tr}_x \left( \frac{\{\Lambda\}}{\pi}iF_{23}^0 - iF_{23}^0 \right) d\text{Vol}_{S^2}.$$ 

**Proof.** We must evaluate the sums and integrals corresponding to each one of the three summands in (230) and (233). Start with

$$\int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}} \int_{\partial M_y} \text{tr}c^1\gamma^5c^4 e^{yV}i(\kappa - \Lambda)e^{-te(\delta u)^2} \frac{t}{2} c^2c^3 F_{23}^0 du d\nu d t.$$

$$= \sum \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}} \int_{\partial M_y} \text{tr}c^1\gamma^5c^4 e^{yV}i(\kappa - \Lambda)e^{-te(\delta u)^2} \frac{t}{2} c^2c^3 F_{23}^0 du d\nu d t.$$

$$= \sum \int_{e^{-(2+\delta)y}} \int_{\partial M_y} \text{tr}c^1\gamma^5c^4 e^{yV}i(\kappa - \Lambda)e^{-te(\delta u)^2} \frac{t}{2} c^2c^3 F_{23}^0 du d\nu d t.$$

The Poisson summation formula implies

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} (\kappa + a)e^{-4\pi^2s(\kappa+a)^2} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 2p \sin(2\pi p a)(4\pi s)^{-3/2}e^{-p^2/4s}.$$
This transforms the last integral to
\[-\frac{1}{4}\text{tr}_\epsilon \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 2p \sin(2\pi p\Lambda) \sin \phi \int_{e^{-\delta_0 V}^2} e^{-\delta y} e^{\pi^2 y^2} dt \]
\[= -\text{tr}_\epsilon \frac{i}{4} F_{23} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 2p \sin(2\pi p\Lambda) \sin \phi \int_{0}^{e^{\delta_0 V-2}} e^{-\pi^2 y^2} dt. \]

In the limit as \(y \to \infty\) this reduces to
\[-\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}_\epsilon \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi p\Lambda)}{\pi^2 p} iF_{23} \sin \phi. \quad (236)\]

Recalling the Fourier expansion of the Bernoulli polynomials [EMOTS1] Sec.1.13 for \(x \in (0,1)\):
\[\frac{1}{n!} B_n(x) = -\sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi ipx}}{(2\pi p)^n}, \quad (237)\]
we have \(\frac{1}{2} - \{a\} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi pa)}{\pi p}\), where \(a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}\), and we denote by \(\{a\} \in [0,1)\) the unique representative of \(a + \mathbb{Z}\) in that interval. The sum (236) then reduces, under the Assumption 30, to
\[\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}_\epsilon \left( \frac{\{\Lambda\}}{\pi} - \frac{I}{2\pi} \right) iF_{23}^0 \sin \phi. \quad (238)\]

We recall that in our parametrix construction, we had replaced \(d\text{Vol}_{S^2}\) by \(d\phi \wedge d\theta\). The factor of \(\sin \phi\) in (238) restores the usual volume form, and the contribution of the final summand of (233) to the index is
\[\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \text{tr}_\epsilon \left( \frac{\{\Lambda\} iF_{23}^0}{\pi} - \frac{iF_{23}^0}{2\pi} \right) d\nu. \]

Now we consider the remaining terms.
\[\int_{e^{-(2+\delta_0)^2}} \int \text{tr}_\epsilon e^{-\delta e^y} \left( \frac{ke^{-y}}{4\epsilon} - e^y V \frac{ikt - iF_{23}^0}{2} \right) du \, dv \, dt. \quad (239)\]
The Poisson summation formula implies
\[\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-4\pi^2 s(\kappa + a)^2} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (4\pi s)^{-1/2} e^{-p^2/4s} e^{2\pi ipa}, \quad \text{and} \]
\[\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-4\pi^2 s(\kappa + a)^2} 4\pi^2 s(\kappa + a)^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (4\pi s)^{-1/2} e^{-p^2/4s} e^{2\pi ipa} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p^2}{4s} \right). \]
Computing the integrals and applying Poisson summation formulas as before simplifies (239) to
\[
\frac{e^{-2\pi ip\Lambda}}{16\pi} \sum_{p \neq 0} e^{-2\pi p^2} = \frac{k}{8\pi} \sin \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{\cos 2\pi p\Lambda}{2\pi p^2} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sin \frac{1}{2}((\Lambda)^2 - (\Lambda) + \frac{1}{6}),
\]
where we have used the Bernoulli polynomial Taylor expansion (237),
\[
\sum_{p > 0} \frac{\cos(2\pi pa)}{\pi^2 p^2} = B_2(a) = \{a\}^2 - \{a\} + \frac{1}{6},
\]
Assembling the above results we obtain
\[
\text{ind}_{L^2} D^- = \frac{k}{2} \text{tr}(\{\Lambda\}^2 - (\Lambda)) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \int_{S^2} \left( \frac{\{\Lambda\}}{\pi} i F_{23}^0 - \frac{i F_{23}^0}{2\pi} \right) d\text{Vol}_{S^2} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \text{tr} F \wedge F. \tag{240}
\]
From the asymptotic form of the connection of Theorem 23, we easily evaluate the boundary contribution, given by the first line of (240). Letting \(M = \text{diag}(m_a)\), we have \(\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} F_{23}^0 d\text{Vol}_{S^2} = M - k\Lambda\). Thus we obtain

**Theorem 44.** The index of the Dirac operator \(D_A\) equals
\[
\text{ind}_{L^2} D^- = \text{tr} \left( \frac{k}{2} \{\Lambda\}^2 - \frac{k}{2} (\Lambda) - (\Lambda)(k\Lambda - M) + \frac{1}{2} (k\Lambda - M) \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \text{tr} F \wedge F. \tag{241}
\]

Let’s apply this formula to the abelian instanton on \(\mathbb{T}N_1\). It is given by \(A = -i\frac{1}{2\pi}(d\tau + \omega)\), with curvature \(F = dA = -i(d(s/2\sqrt{v}) \wedge (d\tau + \omega) + (s/2\sqrt{v}) d\omega)\). Therefore, \(\Lambda = s/\ell, M = 0\). and \(\frac{\text{tr} F \wedge F}{8\pi^2} = \frac{1}{2}(s/\ell)^2\). The above index formula reduces to \([s/\ell](|s/\ell| + 1)/2\), in agreement with [Pop81], where the solutions of the Dirac operator on \(\mathbb{T}N_1\) in this background were explicitly found. These solutions were studied more recently in [JS14, JS16].

As another illustration, consider a Whitney sum \(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n L_j\) of line bundles with abelian connection one-forms \(-ia_j = -i\frac{H_j}{2}(d\tau + \omega) + \pi^*_k(\eta_j)\) on \(L_j\), with \(H_j = \lambda_j + \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\eta_j}{2\pi}\) and \(d\eta_j = *_3 dH_j\). Thus, we have an instanton connection one-form \(A = -i\text{diag}(a_j)\). Its second Chern character value is \(\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int F_A \wedge F_A = \frac{1}{2}(k\Lambda^2 - 2AM + \text{diag}((\sum_{\sigma} (v_{j\sigma})^2))\), giving
\[
\text{ind}_{L^2} D^- = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma=1}^k (\frac{(|\lambda_j/\ell| - v_{j\sigma}) (|\lambda_j/\ell| - v_{j\sigma} + 1)}{2}). \tag{242}
\]
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