Average Intensity and Beam Quality of Hermite-Gaussian Correlated Schell-Model Beams Propagating in Turbulent Biological Tissue
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The propagation characteristics of a Hermite-Gaussian correlated Schell-model (HGCSM) beam in the turbulence of biological tissue are analyzed. The average intensity, spectral degree of coherence, and the dependence of the propagation factors on the beam orders, transverse coherence width, fractal dimension, characteristic length of heterogeneity, and small length-scale factor are numerically investigated. It is shown that the HGCSM beam does not exhibit self-splitting properties on propagation in tissues due to the strong turbulence in the refractive index of biological tissue. The larger the beam orders, the fractal dimension, and the small length-scale factor are, or the smaller the transverse coherence width and the characteristic length of heterogeneity are, the smaller the normalized propagation factor is, and the better the beam quality of HGCSM beams in turbulence of biological tissue is. Moreover, under the same condition, the HGCSM beam is less affected by turbulence than of Gaussian Schell-model (GSM) beam. It is expected that the results obtained in this paper may be useful for the application of partially coherent beams in tissue imaging and biomedical diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the propagation of laser beams in biological tissues has been a hot topic of tissue optics research, which plays an important role in medical diagnosis and treatment [1–8]. The structures that comprise biological tissues span several orders of magnitude in size and are composed of a wide range of materials. However, there are differences in the refractive indices of the components in living tissues, and the non-uniformity of the materials leads to a complex spatial distribution of refractive indices over a range. Such heterogeneity gives rise to turbulence and optical turbidity. So far, several power spectral models of the refractive index of animal tissues have been reported, which can be used to quantitative study light propagation and scattering in the tissues [9–13]. For example, Gao et al. investigated the degree of polarization and coherence of a random electromagnetic beam on propagation in tissue by using the power spectrum of tissue turbulence that fits the classical Kolmogorov model, and found that the refractive index fluctuations of biological tissues are much larger than those of the atmosphere, the degree of polarization changes greatly although the propagation distance is very short [14, 15]. Based on a
fractal continuous power spectrum model of tissue refractive-index variation, Wu et al. found that the GSM beam is less affected by turbulence than the Laguerre-Gaussian Schell-model (LGSB) beam and Bessel-Gaussian Schell-model (BGSM) beam beams [16]. Yu and Zhang investigated the beam spreading and wander of a partially coherent Lommel-Gaussian beam propagation in turbulent tissue using a power spectrum model of the tissue considering the structural length-scale and fractal dimension, and the results reveal that laser beam with low-order vortex suffers less turbulence interference [17]. Shi et al. experimentally investigated light transmission in mouse brain tissues, and found that the transmittance of Gaussian and Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) beams is not significantly different, while the transmittance of circularly polarized LG beams increases significantly and is affected by the topological charge [18, 19]. Duan et al. analyzed the influences of the spatial correlation length on the relative spectral shift of GSM vortex beam propagation in turbulent tissue, and discovered that the spatial coherence of beams can accelerate spectral changes and slow down spectral jumps [20]. In addition, the propagation characteristics of anomalous hollow vortex beam [21], quasi-diffraction-free beam [22], partially coherent circular flattened Gaussian vortex beam [23], and other laser beams [24, 25] in biological tissue have been studied. From the above theoretical and experimental studies, it can be found that due to the strong fluctuation of tissue refractive index, the laser beams can only transport a very short distance within the tissue, and the properties of the optical field change rapidly. Partially coherent beams and vector beams may be effective means to resist the destructive effect of tissue turbulence and to improve the information and energy transfer of the laser within the tissue.

Based on the sufficient condition for devising genuine correlation functions of partially coherent beams proposed by Gori et al. [26, 27], Chen et al. introduced a class of partially coherent beam with a special spatial correlation function named Hermite-Gaussian correlated Schell-model (HGCSM) beam in 2015 [28]. The propagation properties of the HGCSM beam in free space, focusing optical system, Kolmogorov and non-Kolmogorov turbulent atmosphere have been investigated in detail [28–31]. It was found that the HGCSM beam exhibits many extraordinary properties, such as self-splitting on propagation in free space, splitting and combining in focusing optical system, and turbulent atmosphere, and self-healing properties when a portion of the spot is obscured. With these properties, such beams may overcome refractive index turbulence to some extent, as well as transmit deeper. However, as far as we know, the statistical properties of a HGCSM beam propagating in biological tissue have not been given. The aim of this paper is to investigate the intensity evolution and beam quality of the HGCSM beam in turbulent tissue.

The paper is organized as follows. In section The Theories of a HGCSM Beam Propagating in a Turbulent Biological Tissue, the analytical expression for the cross-spectral density function of the HGCSM beam propagating through turbulent biological tissue is derived. The average intensity, the spectral degree of coherence, and the dependence of propagation factors on the parameters of the beam and of the turbulent tissue are analyzed and illustrated by numerical examples in section Numerical Simulations and Analysis. Finally, section Conclusion provides the concluding remarks.

THE THEORIES OF A HGCSM BEAM PROPAGATING IN A TURBULENT BIOLOGICAL TISSUE

In the space-frequency domain, the cross-spectral density function of a HGCSM beam at the source plane \( z = 0 \) is expressed as [28]

\[
W_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, 0) = G_0 \exp \left( -\frac{r_1^2 + r_2^2}{4\sigma_0^2} \right) \mu_0(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1)
\]

where \( \mathbf{r}_1 = (r_{1x}, r_{1y}) \) and \( \mathbf{r}_2 = (r_{2x}, r_{2y}) \) are two-dimensional position vectors of points in the source plane, \( G_0 \) is a constant, \( \sigma_0 \) denotes the transverse beam width of the Gaussian part, and \( \mu_0(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1) \) is the spectral degree of coherence of the HGCSM beam at \( z = 0 \) plane and takes the following form

\[
\mu_0(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1) = \frac{H_{2m}(r_{2x} - r_{1x})/\sqrt{2}\delta_{0x}}{H_{2m}(0)} \frac{H_{2n}(r_{2y} - r_{1y})/\sqrt{2}\delta_{0y}}{H_{2n}(0)} \exp \left[ -\frac{(r_{2x} - r_{1x})^2}{2\delta_{0x}^2} - \frac{(r_{2y} - r_{1y})^2}{2\delta_{0y}^2} \right]
\]

in which \( \delta_{0x} \) and \( \delta_{0y} \) denote the transverse coherence widths along \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively, \( H_m(\cdot) \) represents the \( m \)-th order Hermite polynomial. For \( m = n = 0 \) and \( \delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} \), the HGCSM beam is reduces the GSM beam. When \( m = n = 0 \) and \( \delta_{0x} \neq \delta_{0y} \), the HGCSM beam reduces to the elliptical GSM beam.

We now proceed to consider the propagation of a HGCSM beam in a turbulent biological tissue, as illustrated in Figure 1, where the left plot is the intensity distribution of the beam at the input plane, and the varying colors in the graph on the right indicate the non-uniformity of the refractive index.

Based on the extended Huygens-Fresnel principle [32], and within the validity of the paraxial approximation, the cross-spectral density function of the HGCSM beam propagating through turbulent biological tissue can be expressed as

\[
W(\rho_1, \rho_2, z) = \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^2 \int \int \int W_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, 0) \exp \left[ -\frac{ik}{2z} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \rho_1)^2 - (\mathbf{r}_2 - \rho_2)^2 \right] \\
\times \exp[\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \rho_1) + \psi(\mathbf{r}_2, \rho_2)] d^2 r_1 d^2 r_2,
\]

FIGURE 1 | Illustration of the propagation of a HGCSM beam in a turbulence tissue.
where \( z \) is the propagation distance, \( \rho_1 = (\rho_{1x}, \rho_{1y}) \) and \( \rho_2 = (\rho_{2x}, \rho_{2y}) \) denote the position vectors of points in the \( z \) plane, \( k = 2\pi n_0 \lambda / \lambda \) is the wavenumber with \( \lambda \) being the wavelength of source and \( n_0 \) being the refractive index of propagating medium. \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes ensemble average, superscript "*" stands for the complex conjugate, and \( \psi(\mathbf{r}, \rho) \) is the solution to the Rytov method that represents the random part of the complex phase of a spherical wave propagating in turbulence. The ensemble average term in Equation (3) can be given by [33].

\[
\langle \psi(\mathbf{r}, \rho_1) + \psi^*(\mathbf{r}, \rho_2) \rangle \\
\cong \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2 k^2}{3} \int_0^\infty k^3 \Phi(k) dk \right\} \\
= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\rho_0^2} \left[ (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)^2 + (\rho_1 - \rho_2)^2 + (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)(\rho_1 - \rho_2) \right] \right\},
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the spatial frequency, \( \Phi(k) \) is the power spectrum of the refractive-index fluctuations of the biological tissue, and \( \rho_0 \) is the spherical-wave coherence radius in the turbulent beam. Considering the fractional dimension and structural length-scale of the biological tissue, \( \Phi(k) \) is expressed as [12, 17].

\[
\Phi(k) = \frac{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(D_f/2)}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(D_f/2 + 1/2)} \frac{1}{(1 + k^2)^{D_f/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{k^2 \rho_0^2}{8}\right\}, \quad (5)
\]

\[
G_x = \frac{1}{H_{2m}(0)} \sum_{c_1=0}^{2m} \sum_{c_0=0}^{2m-c_1} \sum_{e_1=0}^{c_1} \frac{(2m - c_1)!}{c_1!} \frac{(c_1)!}{c_1!} \frac{1}{(2m - c_1)!} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{M_{1x} M_{2x}}} \frac{1}{(2 \delta_{xy})} \frac{1}{(1 - F_{3x})^{c_1/2}} \frac{1}{(1 - F_{3y})^{c_2/2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
G_y = \frac{1}{H_{2n}(0)} \sum_{c_2=0}^{2n} \sum_{c_1=0}^{2m-c_2} \sum_{e_2=0}^{c_2} \frac{(2m - c_2)!}{c_2!} \frac{(c_2)!}{c_2!} \frac{1}{(2m - c_2)!} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{M_{1y} M_{2y}}} \frac{1}{(2 \delta_{xy})} \frac{1}{(1 - F_{3x})^{c_2/2}} \frac{1}{(1 - F_{3y})^{c_1/2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}
\]

where \( S \) is strength coefficient of fluctuations, \( D_f \) is the fractal dimension, \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is the gamma function, \( l_c \) is the characteristic length of heterogeneity, \( l_0 \) is the small length-scale filter. On substituting Equation (5) into Equation (4), we obtain the spatial coherence distribution of a laser beam propagating in turbulent biological tissue

\[
\rho_0^{-2} = \frac{\pi^2 k^2}{3} \int_0^\infty k^3 \Phi(k) dk = \frac{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(D_f/2)}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(D_f/2 + 1/2)} \frac{1}{(1 + k^2)^{D_f/2}} \frac{1}{(2 \delta_{xy})}, \quad (6)
\]

where \( a = l_0^2/(8ln2) \), \( b = l_0^2 \), and \( d = D_f/2 \). Then, substituting Equations (1) and (4) into Equation (3) and using the following formulation [34].

\[
H_n(x + y) = 2^{-n/2} \sum_{c=0}^{n} \binom{n}{c} H_{n-c}(\sqrt{2x}) H_c(\sqrt{2y}), \quad (7)
\]

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -(x - y)^2 \right\} H_n(\alpha x) dx = \sqrt{\pi} (1 - \alpha^2)^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha y}{(1 - \alpha^2)^{1/2}} \right), \quad (8)
\]

\[
H_n(x) = \sum_{c=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^c \frac{n!}{c!(n-2c)!} (2x)^{n-2c}, \quad (9)
\]

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -(x - b)^2 \right\} dx = \sqrt{\pi} (2i)^{-n} H_n(ib), \quad (10)
\]

one can obtain the resulting expression of the cross-spectral density function of the HGCSM beam in the output plane

\[
W(\rho_1, \rho_2, z) = G_0 \left( \frac{k}{2z} \right)^2 \frac{\rho_0^2}{\rho_0^2} \frac{\rho_1^2}{\rho_0^2} \frac{\rho_2^2}{\rho_0^2}, \quad (11)
\]

in which

\[
M_{1x} = \frac{1}{4\sigma_0^2} + \frac{ikA}{2z} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2}, \quad (12c)
\]

\[
M_{2x} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} + \frac{ikA}{2z} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} - \frac{F_{2x}}{4M_{1x}}, \quad (12d)
\]

\[
F_{1x} = \frac{ik\rho_{1x}}{z} + \frac{\rho_{1x} - \rho_{2x}}{\rho_0^2}, \quad (12e)
\]

\[
F_{2x} = \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} + \frac{2}{\delta_{0x}}, \quad (12f)
\]

\[
F_{3x} = -\frac{1}{\delta_{0x} \sqrt{M_{1x}}}, \quad (12g)
\]

\[
F_{4x} = -\frac{ik\rho_{2x}}{z} + \frac{\rho_{1x} - \rho_{2x}}{\rho_0^2} + \frac{F_{1x} F_{2x}}{2M_{1x}}, \quad (12h)
\]
Due to the symmetry, $M_{1y}$, $M_{2y}$, $F_{1y}$, $F_{2y}$, $F_{3y}$, and $F_{4y}$ can be obtained by replacement of $\delta_{0x}$, $\rho_{1x}$, and $\rho_{2x}$ in $M_{1x}$, $M_{2x}$, $F_{1x}$, $F_{2x}$, $F_{3x}$, and $F_{4x}$ with $\delta_{0y}$, $\rho_{1y}$ and $\rho_{2y}$.

After obtaining the cross-spectral density function, we now consider the average intensity and spectral degree of coherence of HGCSM beams. The average intensity of the HGCSM beam propagating through turbulent biological tissue in the output plane is obtained as

$$I(\rho, z) = W(\rho, \rho, z), \quad (13)$$

and the spectral degree of coherence is defined as

$$\mu(\rho_1, \rho_2, z) = \frac{W(\rho_1, \rho_2, z)}{\sqrt{I(\rho_1, z)I(\rho_2, z)}} \quad (14)$$

In addition, the propagation factor (also named $M^2$ factor) is the ratio of the actual space-beam width product of laser beam to the ideal conditions, which takes into account both the beam width and the far-field divergence angle variation on the beam quality. Using the Wigner distribution function, we can obtain the propagation factor of a beam. The propagation

**FIGURE 2** | The average intensity distributions of a HGCSM beam with $m = 5$ and $n = 0$ propagating in free space (A) in the $\rho_x - z$ plane with, $\rho_y = 0$, and (B–G) in the $\rho_x - \rho_y$ plane at different propagation distances.
factors of HGCSM beam propagating in turbulence are given by [30].

\[
M_x^2(z) = 2 \left\{ \left[ \frac{z^2}{k\delta_x^2} (2m + 1) + \frac{z^2}{4k\sigma_0^2} + \sigma_0^2 + \frac{2\pi^2 k^2 z T}{3} \right] \right. \\
- \left. \left[ \frac{z^2}{k\delta_x^2} (2m + 1) + \frac{z^2}{4k\sigma_0^2} + \pi^2 z^2 k T \right] \right\}^{1/2},
\]

where \( T \) is turbulence intensity, which can be expressed as

\[
T = \int_0^\infty \kappa^3 \Phi_1(\kappa) d\kappa = \frac{3}{\pi^2 k^2 z \rho_0^2}. \tag{17}
\]

**FIGURE 3** The spectral intensity distributions of a HGCSM beam with \( m = 5 \) and \( n = 0 \) propagating in turbulent biological tissue (A) in the \( r_z - z \) plane with, \( r_y = 0 \), and (B–G) in the \( r_x - r_y \) plane at different propagation distances.
With the above formulas, one can explore the evolution properties of HGCSM beams in the turbulent biological tissue.

**NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS AND ANALYSIS**

In this section, we analyze the average intensity, the spectral degree of coherence, and the propagation factor of HGCSM beams propagating in the turbulent biological tissue based on the analytical formulas derived in the previous section.

To start, we consider the propagation of HGCSM beams in free space under the condition of $\Phi(\kappa) = 0$. The average intensity distributions of a HGCSM beam propagating in free space are displayed in Figure 2. The calculation parameters of the beam are chosen as $G_0 = 1$, $\lambda = 0.83 \, \mu \text{m}$, $\sigma_0 = 10 \, \mu \text{m}$, $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = 2\lambda$, $m = 5$, and $n = 0$. From Figure 2, it is found that as the propagation distance increases, the HGCSM beam gradually splits into two spots along the $x$ direction, and the distance between these two spots gradually increases. This phenomenon shows the self-splitting property of the HGCSM beam. When the beam orders $m$ and $n$ are both non-zero ($m$ and $n$ are non-negative integers), the HGCSM beam splits into four spots. In addition, the peak value of intensity gradually decreases with the increase of the propagation distance. This is due to the fact that the divergence of the beam.

We now turn to investigate the propagation of HGCSM beams in biological tissues. Figure 3 gives the average intensity distributions of a HGCSM beam propagating in turbulent biological tissue. The parameters of tissues are $n_0 = 1.53$, $l_c = 2 \, \mu \text{m}$, $l_0 = 1 \, \mu \text{m}$, $S = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $D_f = 3$, and the beam parameters are the same as Figure 2. Comparing Figure 3 with Figure 2, it is obvious that unlike the HGCSM beam in free space, the HGCSM beam does not split into two spots when transmitted in biological tissues. It is due to the influence of turbulence, and the beam eventually evolved into an elliptical intensity distribution. Furthermore, in general, the attenuation of the average intensity in the turbulent tissue is larger than in free space when the absorption of the tissue is not taken into account. In other words, the fluctuation of tissue refractive index affects the attenuation of light intensity.

Figure 4 depicts the density plot of the spectral degree of coherence of a HGCSM beam at different propagation distances in the biological tissue with $m = n = 5$. Other parameters used in the simulation are the same as Figure 3. It is seen that the spectral degree of coherence of the HGCSM beam exhibits array distribution, and the number of arrays is highest in the plane and decreases as the propagation distance increases. Finally, the array distribution evolves into Gaussian distribution.

To investigate the influence of beam parameters on the beam quality of HGCSM beams in biological tissues, Figure 5 shows the normalized propagation factors of HGCSM beams vs. propagation distance in turbulent biological tissue for different values of the beam orders $m$, $n$, and the transverse coherence.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**FIGURE 4 |** Density plot of the spectral degree of coherence of a HGCSM beam with $m = n = 0$ propagating through a turbulent biological tissue at different propagation planes. (A) $z = 0 \, \mu \text{m}$, (B) $z = 10 \, \mu \text{m}$, (C) $z = 20 \, \mu \text{m}$, (D) $z = 30 \, \mu \text{m}$, (E) $z = 50 \, \mu \text{m}$, and (F) $z = 75 \, \mu \text{m}$.
FIGURE 5 | Normalized propagation factors of HGCSM beams vs. propagation distance in turbulent biological tissue for different values of the mode orders m, n, and the transverse coherence width. (A,C) along x direction, (B,D) along y direction.

width. The calculation parameters are \( m = n = 0, 1, 3, 5 \) in Figures 5A,B, \( \delta_{x_0} \) or \( \delta_{y_0} = 1\lambda, 2\lambda, 3\lambda, 5\lambda \) in Figures 5C,D, and other parameters used in the simulation are the same as Figure 3. Note that the propagation factor remains constant when the laser is propagating in free space. From Figure 5, one can find that normalized propagation factor increases with increasing the propagation distance \( z \). That is, the longer the propagation distance \( z \) is, the poorer the beam quality. The normalized propagation factors of the HGCSM beam with large beam orders or small transverse coherence width increase slower than the HGCSM beam with small beam orders or large transverse coherence width on propagation. In other words, the larger the beam order or the smaller the transverse coherence width, the smaller the influence of refractive-index fluctuations of the biological tissue on the HGCSM beams. In addition, it can also be seen from Figures 5A,B that the HGCSM beam is less affected by turbulence than the GSM beam \((m=n=0)\) under the same condition. The normalized propagation factor in the direction is equal to the normalized propagation factor in the direction when the corresponding beam parameters are the same.

Figures 6A–C, respectively, display the normalized propagation factors of HGCSM beams propagation through turbulent biological tissue vs. propagation distance for different fractal dimension \( D_f \), characteristic length of heterogeneity \( l_c \), and small length-scale factor \( l_0 \). The calculation parameters are \( D_f = 3, 3.3, 3.67, 4 \) in Figure 6A, \( l_c = 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 \mu m \) in Figure 6B, \( l_0 = 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 \mu m \) in Figure 6C, and other calculation parameters are the same as in Figure 4. From Figures 6A–C, one sees that the normalized propagation factor decreases with increasing the fractal dimension, and the small length-scale factor, as well as, decreasing the characteristic length of heterogeneity. This analysis suggests that the larger the fractal dimension and the small length-scale factor are, or the smaller the characteristic length of heterogeneity is, the weaker tissue turbulence is, the smaller the normalized propagation factor is, and the better the beam quality of HGCSM beams propagating in turbulent biological tissue is.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, based on the extended Huygens-Fresnel principle, the expressions for the average intensity and the spectral degree of coherence of HGCSM beams propagation in turbulence of biological tissue are derived. The average intensity, the spectral degree of coherence, and the dependence of the propagation factors on the beam orders, the transverse coherence width, the fractal dimension \( D_f \), the characteristic length of heterogeneity \( l_c \), and the small length-scale factor are numerically investigated. The results show that the HGCSM beam does not exhibit self-splitting characteristics on propagation in tissues due to the strong turbulence in refractive index of biological tissue. The spectral degree of coherence evolves from an array to a Gaussian distribution over a short propagation distance. The larger the beam orders, the fractal dimension and the small length-scale
factor are, or the smaller the transverse coherence width and the characteristic length of heterogeneity are, the smaller the normalized propagation factor is, and the better the beam quality of HGCSM beams in turbulence of biological tissue is. In addition, the HGCSM beam is less affected by turbulence than of GSM beam under the same condition. The results presented in this paper may be helpful to improving the propagation quality of structured beams in tissue optics field.
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