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INTRODUCTION

Small bowel imaging is important in the evaluation of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, inflammatory disease of the small bowel and tumours. The main methods of small bowel imaging have been either enteroscopy or small bowel barium studies in the evaluation of luminal pathology. Angiography is a diagnostic option in the context of suspected small intestinal bleeding. Push enteroscopy allows examination of only 80 to 120 cm of the small bowel beyond the ligament of Treitz, while intra-operative enteroscopy requires general anesthesia and laparotomy. Small bowel series and enteroclysis have limited sensitivity, and in particular, could not detect flat lesions such as angiodysplasia[3].

Wireless capsule endoscopy was first reported by Iddan et al[4] in 2000, and it represents a major advancement in the imaging of the small intestine. It is able to capture video-images of the mucosal surface of the entire length of the small intestine directly, and has been reported to be virtually pain-free since it is essentially propelled forward through the gastrointestinal tract by peristalsis, without the need for any air-insufflation.

Thus far all published data on the use of capsule endoscopy have been from Western countries, with only 2 case reports on its use from India. One was a case of ileal angiodysplasia[5] while another was that of small intestine tuberculosis[6].

In this prospective study, we aimed to determine the clinical utility, safety and tolerability of capsule endoscopy in the evaluation of suspected small bowel disease in an urban Southeast Asian population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

Consecutive patients with suspected small bowel pathology seen at the Division of Gastroenterology, Changi General Hospital, Singapore, were recruited. Indications for capsule endoscopy included obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, assessment of extent of small intestine involvement in Crohn’s disease, evaluation of chronic abdominal pain and assessment for small bowel pathology in the presence of malabsorption. Patients with the following conditions were excluded from the study: pregnant subjects, suspected small bowel obstruction, presence of cardiac pacemaker, subjects expected to undergo MRI examination before elimination of capsule and subjects with swallowing difficulty. The study was approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained from all patients before commencement of the study.

Given diagnostic imaging system

The given diagnostic imaging system is comprised of the 26 mm by 11 mm M2A® capsule which contains a miniscule color video-camera equipped with a localization feature, a data recorder which is a portable, battery operated external receiving/recording unit that receives data transmitted by the capsule and subsequently allows data downloading, the Rapid® Workstation, a modified personal computer which has been designed for storage, processing and presentation of captured images as well as generation of reports. The technical details have previously been described in an earlier paper[7].

M2A® capsule ingestion, data capture and follow-up

After a 12-hour fast, subjects underwent capsule examination with the M2A® capsule according to the standard procedure. Briefly it involved attaching 8 sensory arrays to the abdomen
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RESULTS

Demographics

During the period from mid February to May 2003, 16 consecutive patients with suspected small bowel pathology and no contraindication to capsule endoscopy were recruited. Their mean age was 55.6 years (range: 19 to 82 years) with a male to female ratio of 9:7. Table 1 summarizes the patient profile, indication for capsule endoscopy and the results of the study.

Nine patients had obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, having presented with anaemia and melaena. All underwent both gastroscopy and colonoscopy. These investigations did not reveal significant pathology that could have accounted for the bleeding. Push enteroscopy was done in 2 of these patients, and small bowel series were done in another 4. Apart from small bowel diverticula seen in small bowel series in one patient, the rest of the small bowel imaging were normal.

Six patients were diagnosed to have Crohn’s disease on the basis of characteristic clinical, endoscopic and histologic features. Capsule endoscopy was performed to determine the extent of small bowel involvement in these patients.

One patient had a problem of recurrent abdominal pain and capsule endoscopy was performed to exclude any small intestine pathology after previous endoscopies did not reveal any significant lesions.

Table 1: Patient characteristics and results of capsule endoscopy

| SN. | Age/sex | Indication for capsule endoscopy | Results of capsule endoscopy |
|-----|---------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1   | 66/male | Extent of small bowel involvement in Crohn’s disease | Erythema in terminal ileum |
| 2   | 30/male | Extent of small bowel involvement in Crohn’s disease | Edematous small bowel |
| 3   | 62/female | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Small bowel angiodysplasia |
| 4   | 39/male | Extent of small bowel involvement in Crohn’s disease | Ulcers and strictures in ileum |
| 5   | 28/male | Extent of small bowel involvement in Crohn’s disease | Normal small bowel |
| 6   | 74/female | Extent of small bowel involvement in Crohn’s disease | Gastric erosions; normal small bowel |
| 7   | 62/male | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Gastric erosion; normal small bowel |
| 8   | 69/male | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Jejunal ulcer; small bowel angiodysplasia |
| 9   | 59/female | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Mild gastritis and duodenitis; normal small bowel |
| 10  | 82/female | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Gastritis; small bowel angiodysplasia |
| 11  | 54/female | Extent of small bowel involvement in Crohn’s disease | Normal small bowel |
| 12  | 69/male | Evaluation for small intestine pathology in context of chronic abdominal pain | Normal small bowel |
| 13  | 71/female | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Jejunal ulcer |
| 14  | 66/female | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Bleeding jejunal ulcer |
| 15  | 46/male | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Normal small bowel |
| 16  | 19/male | Obstructive gastrointestinal blood loss | Small bowel erosions and foci of erythema |
throughout, rather then subject him to surgery. For the remaining 15 patients, the capsule was excreted within a week, six of these patients actually did not notice the passage of the capsule, but an abdominal x-ray done at one week proved that the capsule had not been retained.

**Figure 1** A case of jejunal ulcer due to NSAIDS.

**Figure 2** Terminal ileum ulcer and stricture in a patient with Crohn’s disease.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study, which represented the first Asian series, further confirmed the diagnostic utility, safety and tolerability of wireless capsule endoscopy. In our series, it was able to reveal significant small intestine pathology in 43.8 % (7/16) of the patients. In particular, for obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, it was able to reveal the cause in 55.6 % of the patients. It was remarkably well tolerated, and apart from one patient with delayed capsule excretion, there were no complications. This complication highlighted the need to be vigilant towards the possibility of intestinal obstruction when considering the use of capsule endoscopy.

In a prospective study of 20 patients, Costamagna et al[7] confirmed the superiority of capsule endoscopy over small bowel radiographs in the diagnosis of suspected small bowel disease. Capsule endoscopy was able to detect abnormalities in 17 out of 20 patients, compared to 3 out of 20 using barium studies. Similarly, Scapa[8] in another prospective study found that among 35 patients with suspected small intestine pathology but normal small bowel series, capsule endoscopy was able to detect clinically significant small intestine pathology in 63 % of (22/35) patients.

For the evaluation of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, our results were comparable to other published data. Scapa[9] was able to find a source of bleeding in 75 % (15/20) of his patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Lewis[8] had a positive yield of 55 % (11/20) in the evaluation of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding with capsule endoscopy. Ell[10] detected a definite source in 66 % of his patients.

For the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease, Fireman[11], Eliakim[12] and Herrerias[13] have demonstrated the clinical utility of capsule endoscopy in diagnosis as well as in assessment of the extent of disease. It was particularly relevant where conventional endoscopic and radiological techniques have not identified pathological findings but clinical suspicion based on symptoms and laboratory tests was strong. In our series, only 33 % of the patients with Crohn’s disease had abnormal findings on capsule endoscopy. This probably simply reflected the actual prevalence of small bowel involvement in our series, rather than under-diagnosis, since alternative imagings, such as barium studies when done, did not reveal any small bowel lesions either. In addition it was recognized that about 1/3 of patients with Crohn’s disease had ileocolic disease, about 1/3 had colonic disease and about 1/3 had small bowel disease[14]. An important point to note was that one patient actually had ileal stricture that led to delayed excretion of the capsule. In this case, the presence of small bowel stricture was not suspected, as the patient did not have any clinical evidence of small bowel obstruction. It is therefore suggested that if Crohn’s disease is a diagnostic consideration, then small bowel series or enema should precede the use of capsule endoscopy in order to minimize the risk of capsule retention. It is also worth noting that despite the stricture, the capsule was able to pass out without any ill effect after 31 days. Therefore in the absence of any signs or symptoms of capsule impaction or obstruction, a conservative approach may be adopted, with the use of high dose steroid to reduce the inflammation and oedema so as to facilitate the passage of the capsule, as occurred in this patient with Crohn’s stricture.

Possible complications and limitations exist with any procedure, and capsule endoscopy is no exception, in spite of the high diagnostic yield. The key complication is that of capsule retention proximal to a stricture, and a 5 % retention rate has been reported, with the need for surgery in less than 1 % of patients[15]. Another concern is delayed passage of capsule due to slow transit time, resulting in capsule recording terminating before it has passed to the caecum, and hence incomplete data acquisition. Other limitations included difficulty in determining the exact site of the abnormality in the small bowel, inability to take tissue biopsy, problem of visual clarity due to intestinal fluids and long viewing time of the video, which could take up to 2 hours[16]. It is also costly, with the estimated cost of each capsule being greater than the cost of undergoing colonoscopy in the Singapore context. Thus it is likely that its use would remain selective, being reserved for situations when endoscopy or other imaging modalities have failed to achieve a definite diagnosis. These would include the investigation of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, and in the context where clinical suspicion for Crohn’s disease is strong but the results of all other tests have been equivocal.

In conclusion, our study affirms the diagnostic utility and safety of capsule endoscopy in clinical practice. It has the promise to become a leading method in the evaluation of small bowel pathology, but limitations and the issue of cost need to be addressed.
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