Viromics of extant insect orders unveil the evolution of the flavi-like superfamily
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Abstract

Insects are the most diversified and species-rich group of animals and harbor an immense diversity of viruses. Several taxa in the flavi-like superfamily, such as the genus *Flavivirus*, are associated with insects; however, systematic studies on insect virus genetic diversity are lacking, limiting our understanding of the evolution of the flavi-like superfamily. Here, we examined the diversity of flavi-like viruses within the most complete and up-to-date insect transcriptome collection comprising 1,243 insect species by employing a *Flaviviridae* RdRp profile hidden Markov model search. We identified seventy-six viral sequences in sixty-one species belonging to seventeen insect, one entognathan, and one arachnidan orders. Phylogenetic analyses revealed that twenty-seven sequences fell within the *Flaviviridae* phylogeny but did not group with established genera. Despite the large diversity of insect hosts studied, we only detected one virus in a blood-feeding insect which branched within the genus *Flavivirus*, indicating that this genus likely diversified only in hematophagous arthropods. Nine new jingmenviruses with novel host associations were identified. One of the jingmenviruses established a deep rooting lineage additional to the insect- and tick-associated clades. Segment co-segregation phylogenies support the separation of tick- and insect-associated groups within jingmenviruses, with evidence for segment reassortment. In addition, fourteen viruses grouped with unclassified flaviviruses encompassing genome length of up to 20 kb. Species-specific clades for Hymenopteran- and Orthopteran-associated viruses were identified. Forty-nine viruses populated three highly diversified clades in distant relationship to *Tombusviridae*, a plant-infecting virus family, suggesting the detection of three previously unknown insect-associated families that contributed to tombusvirus evolution.
Introduction

During the last decade, the scientific interest in arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) has broadened to include arthropod- and, in particular, insect-specific viruses (Bolling et al. 2015). Whereas the arthropod vector in the arboviral transmission cycle was originally noted for its function to transmit the virus to vertebrates, it is becoming more apparent that the evolutionary origins of arboviruses may lie in arthropods. Arthropod-specific viruses could thus be regarded as precursors of arboviruses, even if they appear to be phylogenetically distinct (Marklewitz et al. 2015). In major groups of viruses, arthropod-associated members moreover seem to represent a greater sample from a common ancestral diversity than vertebrate-specific members. For instance, within bunya- and mononegaviruses, known vertebrate pathogenic viruses are embedded in a diversity of novel arthropod-specific viruses discovered only in the past years (Käfer et al. 2019; Li et al. 2015). The great majority in the phylum Arthropoda are insects. Insects are the most abundant and diversified animal group with an estimated number of ~5.5 million species representing about eighty percent of the world’s species (Stork 2018). Metagenomic studies revealed an enormous virus diversity in insects (Li et al. 2015; Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016; Shi, Lin, Tian, et al. 2016; Käfer et al. 2019), yet, only a fraction of the tremendous diversity of insect species has been analyzed.

The genus Flavivirus (sensu stricto, relates to ICTV-classified species) within the Flaviviridae family hosts an extensive list of human-pathogenic arboviruses, transmitted by mosquitoes and ticks. On the other hand, all other established Flaviviridae genera (Pegivirus, Pestivirus, and Hepacivirus) contain only vertebrate-infecting viruses that are not associated with arthropod vectors and show a lower intra-genetic diversity in comparison to the genus Flavivirus. New
hepaci- and pegi-like viruses within virus discovery studies have only been reported to occur in primates and mammals (Porter et al. 2020). Recent findings of viruses that have different genome organizations from classical flaviviruses but group within the family Flaviviridae in phylogenetic analyses based on the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) gene, indicate that the evolution of this family is complex and not well understood. One of these groups contains viruses that have been found in bees, flies, and aphids, as well as in plant and nematode hosts (Kobayashi et al. 2013; Bekal et al. 2014; Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016; Teixeira et al. 2016; Webster et al. 2016; Remnant et al. 2017; Kondo et al. 2020; Faizah et al. 2020). This group contains viruses with genomic length of 16–23 kb as opposed to 10 kb in classical flaviviruses, albeit with a similar organization. Another unclassified virus group contains segmented viruses, tentatively named “jingmenviruses”, which were recently discovered in ticks and several insects, as well as in humans with reported febrile illness (Kuivanen et al. 2019; Wang, Bo Wang, et al. 2019). This data suggest that non-hematophagous insect hosts played an important role in flavivirus evolution. However, systematic studies in insects are lacking.

The Flaviviridae and Tombusviridae families are grouped in the so-called flavi-like superfamily (Koonin et al. 2015), however, the evolution of host associations within and between both families remains elusive. Tombusviruses are known to infect angiosperm plants in more than fifteen different orders, mainly causing leaf mottling and deformations, and stunting. Virus spread occurs by contact between plants, pollen, or seeds, or by contact to infected soil or water (Herrera-Vásquez et al. 2009; Mehle & Ravnikar 2012). There is little knowledge if virions can also be mechanically transmitted by arthropods perhaps acting as carriers for seeds or pollen.

Current knowledge on flavi-related viruses is mainly driven by interests in human or domestic
animal health, and strategies to combat their associated repercussions. The same pattern occurs similarly in the tombus-related viruses with research lines mostly drawn across mitigation strategies for plant viral disease. Despite the need for a broad understanding of flavivirus evolution (Blitvich & Firth 2017) and RNA virus evolution in general (Koonin & Dolja 2018), only few studies have previously focused on a systematic and comprehensive search in non-typical tombusvirus hosts, i.e. plant hosts, or non-hematophagous flavivirus hosts, other than mosquitoes or ticks (Kobayashi et al. 2013; Bekal et al. 2014; Teixeira et al. 2016; Shi, Lin, Tian, et al. 2016; Webster et al. 2016; Remnant et al. 2017; Parry & Asgari 2019; Kondo et al. 2020). Crucially too, understanding the evolution of the flavi-like superfamily requires a unified sampling strategy of large organismic host groups in higher taxonomic ranks, such as orders or classes, and in a variety of geographic locations. However, sampling is often performed on a basis of limited geographical sites or organismic groups for reasons of capacity. Also, the practice of sample pooling, though assisting in the era of next-generation sequencing, introduces doubts in ascertaining species-specific host associations as well as in genome assembly (especially for segmented viruses) when individual samples are not retained. Here, we explored the diversity of flavi-related viruses in the largest insect collection of transcriptomes sampled worldwide representing all extant insect orders (Misof et al. 2014). Our findings unveil the evolution of the flavi-like superfamily within insects, contributing new coding-complete viral genomes and previously unknown insect host associations, even at the order level.
Results

Our search, based on an amino acid profile hidden Markov model (pHMM) of the *Flaviviridae* RdRp gene, yielded a broad diversity of previously unknown viruses. In total, 162 putative viral sequences showing 18–61% amino acid identity to flaviviruses and 22–68% amino acid identity to tombusviruses were identified. The pHMM search, while based on a *Flaviviridae* RdRp model, delivered hits for both *Flaviviridae* and *Tombusviridae*, confirming the grouping of the two families in the flavi-like superfamily and their phylogenetic clustering within the *Kitrinoviricota* phylum (Wolf et al. 2018). An overview unrooted phylogeny is shown in Fig. 1 that includes *Amarillovirales* and *Tolivirales*, the two virus orders of *Flaviviridae* and *Tombusviridae*, respectively. Extensive filtering and verification of the pHMM hits based on sequence length (>300 nucleotides), taxonomic grouping, and presence of a continuous open reading frame containing the conserved canonical glycine–aspartate–aspartate (GDD) motif resulted in seventy-six unique viral sequences (n = twenty-seven flavi-related and n = forty-nine tombus-related) that were included in downstream phylogenetic and genome organization analyses. An average of 1.5 sequences per insect transcriptome with a maximum of six sequences per insect transcriptome were identified. Average read number per assembled sequence was 19,518 reads, with a minimum of twenty-seven and a maximum of 1,756,653 reads per assembled sequence. These viral sequences were identified in sixty-one species belonging to seventeen insect orders (such as Trichoptera, Embioptera, and Odonata), one entognathan order (Diplura), and one arachnidan order (Scorpiones), with nearly each detected virus associated with a different insect species. Transcriptomes consisted of both individual specimens (n = twenty-seven) and pools of two to sixty individuals of the same species (n = thirty-four). Details of host associations, taxonomic classification, and sampling location and date are given in Table S1. Novel viruses were named
after the host order, viral family, and the designation “OKIAV” (for “1KITE insect-associated virus”), followed by the sample ID, e.g. Hymenopteran flavi-related virus OKIAV350, in conformity with viruses previously identified in the same sample set (Käfer et al. 2019).

**Genome and phylogenetic analyses of novel flavi-related viruses**

The four viral sequences Dipteran flavi-related virus OKIAV1492, Embiopteran flavi-like virus (OKIAV324), Plecopteran flavi-related virus OKIAV325, and Coleopteran flavi-related virus OKIAV323 established four lineages in phylogenetic sister relationship to members of the genus *Flavivirus* (Fig. 2). Coleopteran flavi-related virus OKIAV323 branched basal to the genus *Flavivirus* and to the unclassified flavi-related viruses, and was identified in a longhorn beetle (*Pempsamacra* sp.). Dipteran flavi-related virus OKIAV1492 was found in a black fly (*Simulium meridionale*) and shared a recent ancestor with the classical insect-specific flaviviruses. Black flies feed on vertebrates, e.g. birds and humans (DeFoliart & Rao 1965), supporting the notion that the genus *Flavivirus* (*sensu lato*) diversified within blood-feeding arthropods. Embiopteran flavi-like virus was found in a webspinner and grouped with Plecopteran flavi-related virus OKIAV325, detected in a stonefly, as well as with viruses from marine hosts, such as sharks and molluscs, and a bat host. Embiopteran flavi-like virus comprised a coding-complete flavivirus genome with a typical genome organization as shown in Fig. 3. Putative protein cleavage sites were similar as in other flaviviruses and are listed in Table 1. Exact size of corresponding proteins may differ from classical flaviviruses.

**Genome and phylogenetic analyses of novel jingmenviruses**

We have identified nine jingmenviruses, of which eight grouped with the insect-associated clade and one was placed on a long branch basal to the tick-associated clade based on phylogenetic
analyses of RdRp proteins (Fig. 2). A search for non-RdRp genome segments yielded in total fourteen additional segments. Coding-complete genomes, each with four segments, were assembled for the following three viruses: Dipteran jingmenvirus (OKIAV332), Trichopteran jingmenvirus (OKIAV337), and Neuropteran jingmenvirus (OKIAV339). As shown in Fig. 4, these genomes have a typical jingmenvirus-like genome organization. However, segment 2 and segment 4 of Neuropteran jingmenvirus differed from those of other insect-associated jingmenviruses as the respective ORFs did not overlap (Garry & Garry 2020). Overlapping ORFs are generally encountered in insect-associated jingmenviruses but have also been observed in some tick-associated jingmenviruses (Temmam et al. 2019).

Our data on a novel jingmenvirus in bristletails (Campodea silvestrii), which established a new deep branch (Dipluran jingmen-related virus OKIAV326) in addition to the insect- and tick-associated clades, indicated that the group of jingmenviruses is more diversified than previously shown (Zhang et al. 2020) (Fig. 2). Dipluran jingmen-related virus OKIAV326 shared a most recent common ancestor with the tick-associated jingmenviruses and not as expected with the insect-associated jingmenviruses. This topology was confirmed by additional phylogenetic analyses based on an alignment containing only jingmenviruses (Fig. S1).

Genetic markers which differ between insect- and tick-associated jingmenviruses and which are present on genomic segments other than the RdRp could not be analyzed for Dipluran jingmen-related virus OKIAV326 as only the RdRp-encoding segment 1 was identified. Siphonapteran jingmen-related virus OKIAV340 grouped with Wuhan flea virus and both viruses were found in the same host species suggesting that this cluster of jingmenviruses is associated with fleas (order Siphonaptera). Of note, Shuangao insect virus 7 was identified in a mix of two dipteran and one
neuropteran insects that belong to the Psychodidae and Chrysopidae families (Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016), the same families of the hosts of its sister taxa, Dipteran jingmenvirus and Neuropteran jingmenvirus. However, Dipteran jingmenvirus and Shuangao insect virus 7 were closer associated (Fig. 2), suggesting that Psychodidae species are the likely hosts for Shuangao insect virus 7.

In addition to the establishment of host-specific clusters, the geographical distribution of jingmenviruses expanded significantly with the inclusion of data from this study, adding Australia, USA, Japan, and countries of Central Europe to the areas where jingmenviruses have been detected in previous studies (see Table 2 for detailed host associations and sampling sites).

Segment co-segregation phylogenetic analyses shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 reveal a consistent phylogenetic grouping among segment proteins for viruses that occur in the same host group, thus confirming the observation of intra-host adaptation for the tick-associated jingmenviruses (Temmam et al. 2019) and extending the phylogenetic congruence to some of the insect-associated jingmenviruses. All phylogenetic co-segregation topologies were identical for Wuhan aphid virus 1 and Wuhan aphid virus 2, two jingmenviruses that were both encountered in aphids. The RdRp, capsid, and NS3 phylogenies were also topologically consistent for the grouping of Shuangao insect virus 7 and Dipteran jingmenvirus, supporting the hypothesis of a dipteran host of Shuangao insect virus 7. Phylogenetic incongruence among the remaining jingmenviruses suggests an independent evolutionary scheme of reassortment events of the segments coding for the different proteins.

**Genome and phylogenetic analyses of a novel clade of unclassified flavirelated viruses**

We have identified fourteen viruses within the clade of unclassified flaviviruses with a genome
length ranging from 16–26 kb, marked as LGFs for “large genome flaviviruses” in Fig. 2. This clade is highly diversified, especially in comparison to pestiviruses branching as sister clade and associated with a wide diversity of arthropod hosts. Two novel host-specific subgroups were established based on four viruses detected in hymenopterans and three viruses detected in orthopterans, marked as HAFs and OAFs for Hymenoptera- and Orthoptera-associated flaviviruses, respectively. Hymenopteran flavi-related virus OKIAV356 did not group within the HAF clade as confirmed by tree inference analyses based on a clade-specific alignment. Whereas HAFs and OAFs formed host-specific subclades, LGFs detected in other insects did not form such groups, e.g. viruses detected in Odonata or Diptera did not group together. The nearly coding-complete genome of Odonatan flavi-like virus (OKIAV365) is comparable to the genome of Soybean cyst nematode virus 5 in Fig. 3. However, as LGFs show very limited similarity to flaviviruses and as no complete genome annotation for any LGF is available, annotations were only possible for the NS3 and NS5 genes.

**Genome and phylogenetic analyses of novel tombus-related viruses**

We detected forty-nine tombus-related viruses, which, together with other invertebrate viruses, established three distinct phylogenetic clades in distant relationship to the family Tombusviridae (Fig. 7). The three clades were provisionally named Gopeviruses, Suriviruses, and Aspoviruses. The clade of Gopeviruses was mostly populated by viruses identified within this study and showed several host-specific subclades. The Dipteran tombus-related viruses OKIAV386, -OKIAV387, and -OKIAV388 grouped together, and the subclade was named flower-feeding Diptera-associated tombus-related viruses (FF-DATs), stemming from three different Brachycera species that feed on nectar and pollen. The larvae of *Pterodonta mellii* are parasitic to spiders, which could explain the close relationship between Dipteran tombus-related virus OKIAV386 and Hubei tombus-like...
virus 30 that was found in spiders (Shi, Lin, Tian, et al. 2016). Six viruses were identified in a wingless ectoparasite of bees, *Braula coeca*, and group in a monophyletic subclade of wingless Diptera-associated tombus-related viruses (W-DATs). The sister phylogenetic relationship of subclade W-DATs to Fig wasp tombus-like virus 1 and to the subclade of Hymenoptera-associated tombus-related viruses (HATs) indicated that the HATs subclade probably harbors a larger diversity of hymenopteran viruses, yet to be discovered. Both the W-DATs and HATs clades consist of viruses identified in monospecific insect hosts. The short genetic distance within the W-DATs and HATs subclades suggested a co-evolutionary relationship between hosts and viruses. Brachycera species host the viruses identified within the Diptera-associated tombus-related subclade (DATs), with the exception of Dipteran tombus-related virus OKIAV407 that stems from a Nematocera species.

Within the clade of Suriviruses, the insect-associated virus diversity dropped significantly, as this clade contains mostly viruses with non-insect arthropod hosts, such as Crustacea and Myriapoda. Only three viruses from this study fell within this clade, each stemming from a host of a different insect order (Fig. 7). Interestingly, Leptomonas pyrrhocoris tombus-like virus 1 has a protozoan origin, found in the trypanosoma species *Leptomonas pyrrhocoris* (Grybchuk et al. 2018). It has been suggested that a possible transmission route for this parasite to acquire Leptomonas pyrrhocoris tombus-like virus 1 is through the parasite’s host, firebugs (order: Hemiptera, species: *Pyrrhocoris apterus*) (Grybchuk et al. 2018). Yet, no closely related virus of hemipteran origin was detected in our sample set.

Nine viruses from the present study were found within the clade of Aspoviruses (Fig. 7). Six of them are potentially coding-complete viral genomes (genome organization shown in Fig. 8A) and
each belongs to a host of a different insect order. The genomes of these viruses showed similar organizations to the ones of tombusviruses, though with longer regions of overlapping ORFs. All tombusviruses share the common characteristic of amber codon readthrough in ORF1 which results in the expression of a larger protein (reviewed in (Sit & Lommel 2015)). Amber codons (UAG triplets) appeared in the predicted protein 1 of Hemipteran aspovirus (OKIAV417) and in the predicted protein 2 of Odonatan aspovirus (OKIAV411). We could not verify whether those two codons undergo readthrough, but it seems a likely outcome in both cases as this is a common observation for ORF1 across all tombusviruses. Viruses of the genus Machlomovirus involve an additional readthrough event in ORF3 (Scheets 2016). Fig. 8B shows five different types of genome organizations of incomplete tombus-related sequences listed in Table 3. Because some of the tombus-related viruses (Hubei tombus-like virus 28 and Wuhan insect virus 35 and -21), as well as the genus Dianthovirus within the Tombusviridae family have a segmented genome, we searched for the presence of additional genome segments in our data. However, we only identified monopartite tombus-related viral sequences.
Discussion

In this study, we discovered sequences ascribable to seventy-six novel insect-associated viruses in seventeen insect, one entognathan, and one arachnidan host orders, related to two of the largest families within the Kitrinoviricota phylum: Flaviviridae and Tombusviridae. Our data contribute to the known flavi-related insect-specific viral diversity introducing new insect host associations of non-typical flavivirus hosts, such as the Mantophasmatodea, Trichoptera, and Neuroptera orders. Phylogenetic relationships and distances suggest the establishment of two new taxonomic genera within the Flaviviridae family (Fig. 2). In addition, our sequences populate three highly diversified phylogenetic clades grouping as sister clades to the Tombusviridae (Fig. 7), each as diverse as to signify taxonomic assignment of a new family.

Phylogenetic inference of identified sequences in relationship to flaviviruses revealed the new sequences were widely distributed across the phylogeny and did not group with the established genera of the family Flaviviridae (Fig. 2). Interestingly, no novel virus from the present study was identified within the genera Flavivirus (sensu stricto). The same applied to the vertebrate-infecting virus genera Pestivirus, Pegivirus, and Hepacivirus. Despite the large number of diverse Diptera species (n = eighty-one) that were sampled in the course of the 1KITE project (Misof et al. 2014), the sampling did not include any members of Culicidae, the family that includes all species of mosquitoes. Ticks were also not included in the sampling, which explains the absence of viral sequences within the Flavivirus genus in traditional arthropod hosts altogether. However, the absence of viruses from this genus (s.s.) in such a comprehensive sample of insects covering all extant orders is remarkable. Taken together with the occurrence of Dipteran flavi-like virus OKIAV1492 in a blood-feeding black fly (Simuliidae, Simulium meridionale), these findings
provide evidence that the evolution of the genus *Flavivirus* (s.l.) is likely associated with blood-feeding arthropods. Viruses of this genus mainly diversified in Culicidae and Ixodida. Whether the ancestors of this genus originated in vertebrates or in arthropods before they acquired their dual-host tropism is still unclear as insect-specific viruses as well as crustacean-, fish-, and cephalopod-infecting viruses have been found in basal phylogenetic relationship to the genus *Flavivirus* (s.s.) (Soto et al. 2020).

Until now, jingmenviruses have been described in a set of hosts that, apart from mammals, includes ticks, mosquitoes, fleas, and non-bloodfeeding insects (Maruyama et al. 2014; Qin et al. 2014; Ladner et al. 2016; Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016; Pauvolid-Corrêa et al. 2016; Shi, Lin, Tian, et al. 2016; Villa et al. 2017; Emmerich et al. 2018; Kuivanen et al. 2019; Wang, Bo Wang, et al. 2019; Temmam et al. 2019; Kholodilov et al. 2020; Ternovoi et al.; Shen et al. unpublished data). This group of viruses has not been taxonomically classified yet but is phylogenetically related to the genus *Flavivirus* based on NS3 and NS5 protein similarities. Yet, their genomes, unlike flaviviruses, are segmented and generally consist of four segments (with the exception of Guaico Culex virus that has an additional fifth segment, however experimentally shown to be dispensable for genome replication (Ladner et al. 2016)). We identified jingmenviruses in hosts with a variety of ecological lifestyles such as drain flies, booklice, aquatic insects, scorpions, and soil-dwelling bristletails, thereby enriching the current knowledge of jingmenvirus host range.

Previous phylogenetic reconstructions separated jingmenviruses into two clades according to their host associations: the insect- and the tick-associated clades (Temmam et al. 2019). In addition to the distinct host range, the insect- and tick-associated clades were shown to differ by a number of genetic features. For example, conserved nucleotide stretches in the 5’ and 3’ UTRs, and a 3’-end
Segmentation within the Flaviviridae-related viruses is suggested to have evolved only once because of the monophyletic nature of the jingmenvirus clade (Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016).
Although the non-structural proteins NS3 and NS5 share sequence similarities with flaviviruses, homologs of the jingmenvirus structural proteins, glycoprotein and capsid, are yet unknown (Qin et al. 2014). Explanations for the unrelatedness to the flavivirus structural proteins may lie within the hypothesis that two segments of an ancestral flavivirus genome were perhaps co-packaged or captured by structural “orphan” proteins of a co-infecting virus (Qin et al. 2014).

A series of previously described viruses with distinct genome organization (similar to flaviviruses, but with substantially larger genome length: 16–23 kb) (Kobayashi et al. 2013; Bekal et al. 2014; Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016; Teixeira et al. 2016; Webster et al. 2016; Remnant et al. 2017; Kondo et al. 2020; Faizah et al. 2020), share homologous sequence regions with flaviviruses mainly in the protease, helicase, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) genes. We identified fourteen viruses that together with the previously described viruses formed the phylogenetic clade of LGFs within the Flaviviridae (Fig. 2). The distinct genomic length and organization (Fig. 3), as well as the phylogenetic distances of LGFs (Fig. 2) signify their classification as a separate genus within the Flaviviridae family. LGFs show a remarkably broad spectrum of host associations, ranging from gentian plants to nematodes and other arthropods. LGFs grouped as sister clade to the genus Pestivirus which contains vertebrate-infecting viruses only, livestock pathogenic viruses, with genomes of approximately 12 kb. The observed genetic divergence of LGFs was much greater than that of pestiviruses reflected by multiple highly diverse clades separated by long branches suggesting that the putative insect-specific LGF viruses are ancestral to the mammalian pestiviruses.

Numerous tombus-related viruses have recently been described in non-plant hosts, such as marine invertebrates and terrestrial arthropods, demonstrating both mono- and bipartite genome
organizations (Shi, Lin, Tian, et al. 2016). These viruses show a large genetic diversity and branch basal to the plant-associated Tombusviridae suggesting that the RNA virome of angiosperm plants evolved via horizontal virus transfer from invertebrates (Shi, Lin, Tian, et al. 2016; Dolja & Koonin 2018; Wolf et al. 2018). Phylogenetic analyses of the 49 newly identified tombus-related viruses in this study showed that the sequences fell within three highly diversified major clades, each being as diverse as the family Tombusviridae, and shared a most recent common ancestor with the family Tombusviridae (Fig. 7). Our findings corroborate the horizontal virus transfer theory of plant virus evolution via multiple events of horizontal virus transfer from different invertebrate hosts (Dolja & Koonin 2018). As the origin of invertebrates precedes that of angiosperm plants, the members of Tombusviridae possibly evolved after being passed on to angiosperm plant hosts from invertebrates due to the tight biological associations formed between these two host groups (Dolja & Koonin 2018). Also, the absence of tombus-related viruses in unicellular eukaryotic hosts indicates the absence of a common viral ancestry that preceded the last eukaryotic common ancestor (Dolja & Koonin 2011). Despite the position of Leptomonas pyrrhocoris tombus-like virus 1 within the clade of Suriviruses (Fig. 7), the transmission route of this virus likely involves the trypanosomatid firebug host (Grybchuk et al. 2018). These findings together with the novel viruses identified in insect hosts within the present study suggest that insect-associated viruses played an ancestral role in plant-insect virus exchange but are not involved in current virus transmission (Dolja & Koonin 2011, 2018).

With the given ease of generating environmental and organismic metagenomic data, large projects of virus sequence discoveries will accompany us in the future years. The number of uncultivated virus genomes has surpassed that of viral genomes stemming from cultivated isolates (Roux et al. 2019). An important effort has been made to define the minimum information that should
accompany uncultured viral genomes with their sequence publication (Roux et al. 2019). Crucially also, the ICTV has made an important step to align with the current flow of metagenomic sequence information, allowing the classification of viruses that stem from metagenomic sources (Simmonds et al. 2017). Nevertheless, efforts towards elaborate examinations of sequence information should extend to protect the quality of published sequence information.
Materials and Methods

Virus identification

Screening of insect transcriptome data to identify and filter viral sequences was done as described previously (Käfer et al. 2019). In brief, worldwide collected samples from 1,243 insects and other arthropods were sequenced in an Illumina HiSeq2000 platform (Misof et al. 2014). RNAseq raw data were assembled using SOAPdenovo-Trans-31kmer (version 1.01) (Li et al. 2010), and checked for quality and cross contaminations with VecScreen (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/vecscreen/), and UniVec database build 7.0 (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/vecscreen/univec/). Nucleotide assemblies were translated in all six open reading frames (ORFs) with the fastatranslate program within the package EXONERATE (version 2.2.0) (Slater & Birney 2005). The ORF library was computationally screened for viral sequences with the software HMMER version 3 (Eddy 2011), utilizing an amino acid profile hidden Markov model (pHMM) built from a T-coffee alignment (Expresso mode) (Notredame et al. 2000) of 138 Flaviviridae RdRp sequences. The transcriptome data stem from the 1KITE consortium (NCBI Umbrella BioProject accession number: PRJNA183205, “The 1KITE project: evolution of insects”). The detection of distant evolutionary homologs by utilizing pHMMs comes with the downside of inflating the results with redundant sequences. Filtering out redundant matches included a two-fold approach as previously described (Käfer et al. 2019): a) by aligning all hits to the initial flavivirus template RdRp alignment and subsequently removing sequences that were too short and/or did not overlap with the core RdRp motifs. Phylogenetic tree inference with PhyML v.3.2.0 (Guindon et al. 2010) assisted further in identifying erroneously matched sequences by inspecting the topologies for instances of long branch attraction; b) all sequences were compared with blastp of the BLAST+ suite v.2.2.28 (Camacho et al. 2009) against the non-
redundant NCBI protein database, which had been filtered for viral sequences beforehand. The combination of results from both approaches and a cut-off of 300 nucleotides that served as the minimum sequence length yielded the final viral sequences.

**Genome assembly and annotation**

Search for further viral sequence information was performed using full genome sequences of related viruses downloaded from GenBank (*Table S1*). In particular, for segmented viruses (jingmenviruses, the genus *Dianthovirus* of *Tombusviridae*, Hubei tombus-like virus 28, and Wuhan insect virus 35 and -21), the corresponding sequences for non-RdRp segments were downloaded from GenBank and served as the basis to create per-segment sequence databases. Insect transcriptome assemblies were matched to amino acid libraries per genomic segment using diamond version 0.9.26 (Buchfink et al. 2015). A read-mapping step using Geneious (Geneious v.9.1.8, Biomatters, 581 Auckland, New Zealand, https://www.geneious.com) was applied to all viral sequences (flavi-, tombus-, and jingmen-associated) in order to verify the assemblies and potentially elongate them at their 5’ or 3’ ends. Genome annotations were carried out using the webservice mode of InterProScan software (Jones et al. 2014) for protein domain predictions. Cleavage sites were predicted by aligning obtained sequences to known flaviviruses as well as by the webservice mode of SignalP-5.0 (Armenteros et al. 2019) for host signalase site prediction.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

Amino acid multiple sequence alignments of the RdRp gene for *Flaviviridae*- and *Tombusviridae*-related sequences were performed using the E-INS-i mode of mafft v7.407 (Katoh & Standley 2013). Alignments were 351 and 900 amino acids long for the *Flaviviridae*- and *Tombusviridae*-related sequences, respectively. Unclassified viral sequences that have previously been reported...
as flavi-related, as well as unclassified flaviviruses and tombusviruses were included in the alignments. The LG amino acid substitution model was selected for both alignments based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), with the options that count empirically amino acid frequencies from the data and allow a proportion of invariable sites. Maximum likelihood phylogenies were inferred in RAxML-NG version 0.7.0 BETA (Kozlov et al. 2019) using 1,000 bootstrap replicates and the transfer bootstrap expectation metric for clade credibility. Trees were visualized using the R package ggtree (Yu et al. 2017). Phylogenetic co-segregation of different jingmenvirus segments was based on maximum likelihood phylogenies of the corresponding viral proteins, following the alignment and tree inference as described above. Tanglegrams were visualized using the R package dendextend (Galili 2015).

**Data availability**

Sequences are available under GenBank accession numbers MW208755-MW208806 and MW314679-MW314716 (see also Table S1).
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Figure legends

Fig. 1. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *Flaviviridae* (order: *Amarillovirales*), and *Tombusviridae* and *Carmotetraviridae* (order: *Tolivirales*). The phylogenetic inference was based on an alignment of the RdRp region using RAxML-NG version 0.7.0 BETA (Kozlov et al. 2019). ICTV-classified viruses are shown in black, unclassified viruses in grey, and new viruses described in this study are marked in red. Red dots represent complete genomes of new viruses described in the present study. Bootstrap values above seventy are marked with an asterisk.

Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of flaviviruses. The phylogenetic inference was based on an alignment of the RdRp region with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, using RAxML-NG version 0.7.0 BETA (Kozlov et al. 2019). ICTV-classified viruses that belong to the *Flaviviridae* family are shown in black, unclassified flavi-related viruses in grey, and new flavi-related viral taxa described in this study are marked in red. Genomic sequence length is noted for every viral taxon and for segmented viruses sequence length corresponds to the RdRp-encoding segment. Viruses with a coding-complete genome described in this study are marked by a black dot. The tree is rooted to the branch leading to *Hepacivirus*. Bootstrap values below seventy are not shown.

Fig. 3. Genome organization of Embiopteran flavi-like virus and Odonatan flavi-like virus. Reference genomes of *Yellow fever virus* and Soybean cyst nematode virus 5 are shown for comparison. Nucleotide positions of ORF start/end and cleavage sites are indicated. Arrows point to cleavage sites: black arrows for sites similar to reference sequences, red arrows for sequence length/position variation in comparison to reference sequences. A complete list of the sequence stretches around the cleavage sites can be found in Table 1.
**Fig. 4.** Genome organization of Dipteran jingmenvirus, Trichopteran jingmenvirus, and Neuropteran jingmenvirus. Nucleotide positions of ORF start/end and cleavage sites are indicated. The genome of Shuangao insect virus 7 is shown for comparison.

**Fig. 5.** Phylogenetic co-segregation of jingmenviruses. Analyses have been performed between RdRp and NS3, capsid, and VP1 genes. Topologically congruent clades are highlighted in color. Branches in black indicate taxa that do not share a common topological pattern in the respective tree pairs. Bootstrap values below seventy are not shown.

**Fig. 6.** Phylogenetic co-segregation of jingmenviruses. Analyses have been performed between NS3, capsid, and VP1 genes. Topologically congruent clades are highlighted in color. Branches in black indicate taxa that do not share a common topological pattern in the respective tree pairs. Bootstrap values below seventy are not shown.

**Fig. 7.** Maximum likelihood phylogeny of the families *Tombusviridae* and *Carmotetraviridae* and related viruses. The phylogenetic inference was based on an alignment of the RdRp region with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, using RAxML-NG version 0.7.0 BETA (Kozlov et al. 2019). ICTV-classified viruses of the families *Tombusviridae* and *Carmotetraviridae* are shown in black, unclassified tombus-related viruses in grey, and tombus-related viral taxa described in this study in red. Genomic sequence length is noted for every viral taxon and for segmented viruses sequence length corresponds to the RdRp-encoding segment. Viruses with a coding-complete genome described in this study are marked by a black dot. The tree is rooted to the branch leading to the lower part of the tree which includes *Tombusviridae* and *Carmotetraviridae*. Bootstrap values
below seventy are not shown.

**Fig. 8.** **A.** Genome organization of tombus-related viral sequences. The genome of *Tomato bushy stunt virus* is shown for comparison. Potential amber codon readthroughs are indicated with “RT”. Nucleotide positions of ORF start/end are indicated. **B.** Five scheme types corresponding to the genome organization for smaller-than-genome viral sequences: I. ORF1 and RdRp ORF overlap for less than 100 bases; II. ORF1 and RdRp ORF overlap for more than 100 bases; III. ORF1 is longer than RdRp ORF; IV. ORF1 and RdRp ORF don’t overlap; V. ORF1 and RdRp ORF are nested. Correspondence of genome scheme to viral sequence is listed in **Table 3**.

**Fig. S1.** Maximum likelihood phylogeny of jingmenviruses. The phylogenetic inference was based on an alignment of the RdRp region with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, using RAxML-NG version 0.7.0 BETA (Kozlov et al. 2019). Bootstrap values below seventy are not shown.
Table 1. Putative polyprotein cleavage sites of Embiopteran flavi-like virus, Odonatan flavi-like virus, and closely related flaviviruses.

| Cleavage site | JEV                  | WNV                  | YFV                  | Embiopteran flavi-like virus | SbCNV-5 | Odonatan flavi-like virus |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| C/prM         | IAYAGA/MKLSNF        | IASVGA/VTLSN         | LLMTGG/VTLVRK        | LVMVAA/AQFSAD                | ILLGGG/ARFVRK | FIGKET/VKSAA            |
| prM/E         | SKRSRR/SVSVQT        | SRRSRR/SLTVQT        | SRRSRR/AIDLPT        | KTRLR/VAISIP                 | STRGKR/AAAKSS | DRSARP/AHAGRK           |
| E/NS1         | TNVHA/DTGCAI         | VNVHA/DTGCAI         | SLGVGA/DOGCAI        | FWGVKG/DEMVL5S               | ?       | ?                        |
| NS1/NS2A      | QVDAF/NGEMV          | QVNAY/NADMID         | RSWVTA/GEIHAY        | KPVYTS/GYYHDL                | DSVDTA/SLRHL | KGIDDV/YNETNK           |
| NS2A/NS2B     | PNKKR/GWPATE         | PNRKR/GWPATE         | RIFGRR/SIPVNE        | IYRRKR/PKHDDP                | YPFPKR/SSGWNE | ?                        |
| NS2B/NS3      | LKTTKR/GGVFDW        | LQYTKR/GGVLDW        | VRGARR/SG杜兰特W | YGQW/Q/RGTDMD               | SGTERR/VSAEG | ?                        |
| NS3/NS4A      | AAGKR/SAISFI         | ASGKR/SQIGLI         | FAEGRR/GAAEL         | KYAPRL/KHASF                 | LSTGR/GFLKTQ | HANFKR/DNVKKA           |
| NS4A/NS2B     | GVVA/NEYGM           | SAVAA/NEMGW          | VSAVAA/NEGLMG        | NQII/SALIEVK                 | RSAKKE/LEGMD  | GKLKM/LEGLKK            |
| NS2B/NS5      | PSLKR/GRPPG          | PGLKR/GGAKG          | MKTGR/GSANG          | FETPRT/GSSHAE                | SAHAKK/EGKDKA | ?                        |
Table 2. Host associations down to the species level (wherever available) of jingmenviruses shown in Fig. 2.
| Virus                        | Order     | Family     | Species                          | Geographic location [reference]                      |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Shuangao insect virus 7     | Diptera   | Psychodidae| *Chrysopidae* sp.                | China: Zhejiang (Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016)  |
|                             | Neuroptera| Chrysopidae| *Psychoda alternata*             |                                                     |
|                             |           |            | *Diptera* sp.                    |                                                     |
| Dipteron jingmen virus       | Diptera   | Psychodidae| *Clogmia albipunctata*           | USA: North Carolina [this study]                    |
| Neuropteran jingmen virus    | Neuroptera| Chrysopidae| *Pseudomalliada ventralis*       | Austria: near Vienna [this study]                   |
| Trichopteron jingmen virus   | Trichoptera| Conoesucidae| *Costora delora*                | Australia: Victoria [this study]                    |
| Siphonapteran jingmen        | Siphonaptera| Pulicidae| *Ctenocephalides felis*          | USA [this study]                                    |
| related virus OKIAV340       |           |            |                                  |                                                     |
| Wuhan flea virus             | Siphonaptera| Pulicidae| *Ctenocephalides felis*          | China: Hubei (Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016)     |
| Wuhan aphid virus 1          | Hemiptera | Aphididae | *Hyalopterus pruni*              | China: Hubei (Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016)     |
| Wuhan aphid virus 2          | Hemiptera | Aphididae | Mix of *Hyalopterus pruni* and   | China: Hubei (Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016)     |
|                             |           |            | *Aulacorthum magnoliae*          |                                                     |
| Cheliceratan jingmen         | Scorpiones| Euscorpiidae| *Euscorpius scicanus*            | Italy: Sicily [this study]                          |
| related virus OKIAV333       |           |            |                                  |                                                     |
| Psocodean jingmen            | Psocoptera| Pseudocaeciliidae| *Heterocaecilius solocipennis* | Japan: Hokkaido [this study]                        |
| related virus OKIAV331       |           |            |                                  |                                                     |
| Guaico Culex virus           | Diptera   | Culicidae  | *Culex coronator,*              | Brazil: Nhecolandia [Pauvold-Comba et al. 2016]    |
|                             |           |            | *Culex interrogator,*            | Trinidad: Aripo (Ladner et al. 2016)                |
|                             |           |            | *Culex declarator*               | Peru: Loreto (Ladner et al. 2016)                   |
|                             |           |            |                                  | Panama: Soberania, Achiotes (Ladner et al. 2016)   |
| Wuhan cricket virus          | Orthoptera| Tettigoniidae| *Conocephalus sp.*            | China: Hubei (Shi, Lin, Vasilakis, et al. 2016)     |
| Hemipteran jingmen-          | Hemipteran| Cixiidae  | *Tachycixius pilosus*           | Germany: Thuringia                                  |

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/vevolu
| Virus Name                                                                 | Host Order                     | Host Family                | Host Species                        | Location |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| related virus OKIAV329                                                    | Hemipteran                    | Pleidae                     | Plea minutissima                    | [this study] |
| Hemipteran jingmen-related virus OKIAV327                                 | Hemipteran                    | Pleidae                     | Plea minutissima                    | Germany: Lower Saxony [this study] |
| Changjiang Jingmen-like virus                                              | Decapoda                      | Cambarida                   | Procamarus clarkii                  | China: Hubei (Shi, Lin, Tan, et al. 2019) |
| Mogiana tick virus                                                        | Ixodida                       | Ixodidae                    | Rhipicephalus microplus             | Brazil: Uberlandia (Maruyama et al. 2014; Villa et al. 2017; Souza et al. 2018; Paasoa et al. 2019) |
|                                                                 | Artiodactyla                  | Bovidae                     | Bos sp.                             | Trinidad and Tobago (Sameroff et al. 2019) |
| Kindia tick virus                                                         | Ixodida                       | Ixodidae                    | Rhipicephalus geigyi                | Guinea (Ternovoi et al.) |
| Rhipicephalus associated flavi-like virus                                  | Ixodida                       | Ixodidae                    | Rhipicephalus microplus             | China: Yunnan (Kia et al. 2015) |
| Jingmen tick virus                                                        | Chiroptera                     | Pteropodida                 | Pteropus lylei                      | China: Hubei, Heilongjiang (Gin et al. 2014; Jia et al. 2019; Meng et al. 2019) |
| Primates                                                                  | Hominidae                     | Homo sapiens                |                                     | Lao PDR (Temmam et al. 2019) |
| Primates                                                                  | Cercopithecidae               | Piliocolobus rufomitratus   |                                     | Uganda: Kibale National Park (Ladner et al. 2016) |
| Diptera                                                                   | Culicidae                     | Armigeres sp.,              |                                     | Kosovo (Emmerich et al. 2018) |
| Ixodida                                                                   | Ixodidae                      | Anopheles sp.,              |                                     | French Antilles (Temmam et al. 2019) |
|                                                                           |                               | Culex sp.                   |                                     | Cambodia (Temmam et al. 2019) |
|                                                                           |                               | Amblyomma testudinaria,     |                                     | France (Temmam et al. 2019) |
|                                                                           |                               | Dermacentor nuttali,        |                                     | Turkey (Dinçer et al. 2019) |
|                                                                           |                               | Haemaphysalis longicornis,   |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Haemaphysalis campanulata,   |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Haemaphysalis flava,         |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Hyalomma marginatum,         |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Ixodes sinensis,             |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Ixodes granulatus,           |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Ixodes ricinus,              |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Rhipicephalus microplus,     |                                     |               |
|                                                                           |                               | Rhipicephalus sanguineus     |                                     |               |
| Yanggou tick virus                                                        | Ixodida                       | Ixodidae                    | Dermacentor nuttali                 | China: Xinjiang (Shen et al. unpublished data) |
| Alongshan virus                                                           | Ixodida                       | Ixodidae                    | Ixodes ricinus,                 | Finland: south-eastern Finland (Kuivanen et al. 2019) |
|                                                                           | Artiodactyla                  | Bovidae                     | Ixodes persulcatus                 |               |
| Primates | Diptera | Hominidae | Culicidae | Russia: Chelyabinsk, Republic of Karelia (Khodolov et al. 2020) |
|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|          |         | *Bos sp., Ovis aries* | *Homo sapiens* | China: Hulunbuir, Hinggan, Qiighar, Greater Khingan, Jilin (Wang, Bo Wang, et al. 2019; Wang, Wei Wang, et al. 2019) |
|          |         | *Culex pipiens,* | *Culex tritaeniorhynchus,* |                                                |
| Dipluran jingmen-related virus OKIAV326 | Diplura | Campodeidae | *Anopheles yatsushiroensis,* | Germany: North Rhine Westphalia [this study] |
|          |         |           |           |                                                |
Table 3. Genome scheme type to viral sequence correspondence from Fig. 8B. Sequence length for all sequences below is in the range of 2–2.8 kb.

| Type   | Viral Sequences                                                                 |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Type I | Coleopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV396, Hymenopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV377, -OKIAV378, -OKIAV379, -OKIAV390, -OKIAV415, Megalopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV398, Neuropteran tombus-related virus OKIAV373, Odonatan tombus-related virus OKIAV382 |
| Type II| Coleopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV372, -OKIAV397, Dipteran tombus-related virus OKIAV374, -OKIAV400, -OKIAV404, -OKIAV405, -OKIAV409, -OKIAV410, Hymenopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV383, -OKIAV384, Odonatan tombus-related virus OKIAV381, Zygentoman tombus-related virus OKIAV389 |
| Type III| Dipteran tombus-related virus OKIAV374, -OKIAV375, -OKIAV387, -OKIAV388, Hymenopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV385, Raphidiopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV395 |
| Type IV| Coleopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV419, Hymenopteran tombus-related virus OKIAV371, Odonatan tombus-related virus OKIAV420 |
| Type V | Dipluran tombus-related virus OKIAV422 |
A. **RdRp**

- Kindia tick virus
- Mogiana tick virus
- Yangou tick virus
- Jingmen tick virus
- Alongshan virus
- Rhippicephalus associated flavivirus
- Hemipteran jingmen-related virus OKIAV327
- Hemipteran jingmen-related virus OKIAV329
- Chiangjiang jingmen-like virus
- Cheliceratan jingmen-related virus OKIAV333
- Psocodean jingmen-related virus OKIAV331
- Guaico culex virus
- Wuhan cricket virus
- Wuhan aphid virus 1
- Wuhan aphid virus 2
- Wuhan flea virus
- Trichopteran jingmenvirus
- Neuropteran jingmenvirus
- Shuangao insect virus 7
- Dipteran jingmenvirus

B. **RdRp**

- Alongshan virus
- Jingmen tick virus
- Mogiana tick virus
- Kindia tick virus
- Wuhan cricket virus
- Guaico culex virus
- Wuhan aphid virus 2
- Wuhan aphid virus 1
- Wuhan flea virus
- Trichopteran jingmenvirus
- Shuangao insect virus 7
- Dipteran jingmenvirus

C. **RdRp**

- Yangou tick virus
- Alongshan virus
- Mogiana tick virus
- Kindia tick virus
- Jingmen tick virus
- Rhippicephalus associated flavivirus
- Wuhan cricket virus
- Guaico culex virus
- Wuhan aphid virus 1
- Wuhan aphid virus 2
- Trichopteran jingmenvirus
- Dipteran jingmenvirus
- Neuropteran jingmenvirus
- Shuangao insect virus 7
- Wuhan flea virus

**NS3**

- Wuhan aphid virus 1
- Wuhan aphid virus 2
- Wuhan flea virus
- Trichopteran jingmenvirus
- Neuropteran jingmenvirus
- Shuangao insect virus 7
- Siphonapteran jingmen-related virus OKIAV340
