Effects of electrical muscle stimulation in frail elderly patients during haemodialysis (DIAL): rationale and protocol for a crossover randomised controlled trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction  The phenomenon of population ageing is accompanied by increases in the number of elderly haemodialysis patients worldwide. The incidence of frailty is high in the haemodialysis population and is associated with poor clinical outcome. Although several interventions have been developed for use in general haemodialysis patients, the efficacy of such rehabilitation programmes in frail elderly patients on haemodialysis has not been elucidated. Here, we examined whether electrical muscle stimulation (EMS) would show beneficial effects in frail elderly patients on haemodialysis.

Methods and analysis  This is a randomised, two-period, controlled crossover trial, which will enrol 20 patients. Haemodialysis patients aged ≥65 years and defined as frail (ie, Short Physical Performance Battery score 4–9), will be randomly assigned to either group 1 (EMS intervention beginning in treatment period I, followed by reallocation as controls in treatment period II after a 5-week washout period) or group 2 (opposite schedule) in a 1:1 ratio. The two intervention periods will last 5 weeks each with an intervening washout period of 5 weeks. In the EMS intervention group, the treatment will be applied to the skeletal muscle of the entire lower extremity for 5 weeks, three times/week for 30–40 min during haemodialysis. The primary outcome of this study is the change in quadriceps isometric strength after the interventions. The secondary outcomes are the changes in physical function, physical activity, difficulty in activities of daily living, body composition, cognitive function, depressive symptoms, quality of life, blood test results and the clinical safety and feasibility of EMS therapy.

Ethics and dissemination  This study has been approved by the institutional review board/ethics committee of Kitasato University Allied Health Sciences. This study will be reported in peer reviewed publications and at conference presentations.

Trial registration number  UMIN000032501.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This is the first randomised controlled trial of a rehabilitation programme during dialysis in elderly haemodialysis patients.
► This is a single-blinded study and will enrol 20 patients.
► The main objective is to determine the clinical efficacy of electrical muscle stimulation in frail elderly haemodialysis patients.
► The primary outcome is the change in quadriceps isometric strength after intervention.
► This study will provide the first evidence of the efficacy of a rehabilitation programme in elderly haemodialysis patients.

INTRODUCTION

Frailty in the elderly is defined as the cumulative deterioration of multiple physiological systems, which leads to impaired homeostasis and reduced capacity to withstand stress.1–4 Frailty in the elderly is a global public health problem, which is a predictor of mortality and hospitalisation risk, regardless of comorbidities and disability status.5–6

Engagement in individually tailored appropriately structured exercise training has been shown to be beneficial for haemodialysis patients.7 However, frail elderly haemodialysis patients encounter several barriers in exercising, including time restriction associated with continuous dialysis treatment, limited physical capacity and low adherence to conventional exercise programmes.8–10 Therefore, it is necessary to develop effective rehabilitation programmes for such patients.

Electrical muscle stimulation (EMS) is a new method for rehabilitation that can be...
used by patients while lying down; this method has no time restriction, requires no volitional effort and places no haemodynamic stress on the patient. Recent studies reported that intradialytic EMS can improve muscle strength, exercise capacity and health-related quality of life (QOL) in haemodialysis patients without associated adverse events. However, little is known about whether EMS improves physical function in frail haemodialysis patients.

This study (designated as the DIAL trial, for ‘DIALysis’) will evaluate whether EMS during dialysis may be beneficial to reduce physical function impairment in frail elderly haemodialysis patients.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Trials design**

This is a randomised, prospective, two-period, single-blinded, controlled crossover trial. Permuted block randomisation will be performed with a 1:1 allocation. Figure 1 shows a flow chart of the study, designed according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials Extended Non-Drug guidelines and the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials 2013 guidelines for clinical trial protocols.

**Objectives**

This study will examine the clinical efficacy of EMS during haemodialysis to improve physical function in frail elderly haemodialysis patients. In addition, this study will examine additional health-related benefits of EMS and evaluate the clinical safety and feasibility of using EMS in frail elderly haemodialysis patients.

**Study setting**

This single-centre study will be organised and administered by the Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kitasato University, Sagamihara, Kanagawa, Japan. This study will screen approximately 60% patients in the facility.

**Eligibility criteria**

The inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study are listed in box 1. The criteria for inclusion in the study are clinically stable elderly patients aged ≥65 years with chronic kidney disease undergoing maintenance haemodialysis therapy three times per week with frailty as defined by mobility limitations according to the Short Physical Performance

---

Figure 1  Study flow diagram. EMS, electrical muscle stimulation.
Battery (SPPB, score 4–9). Cognitive dysfunction will be examined at baseline by Mini-Cog assessment. Baseline Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form will be performed to screen for malnutrition. All patients will provide written informed consent prior to inclusion in the study (table 1).

**Randomisation and blinding**
Enrolment will be implemented at single centre in Japan. Permuted block randomisation will be used, with a computerised random allocation sequence generated using Stata (Stata Corp). To ensure concealment, the block sizes will be not disclosed. Patients will be allocated to the groups by the researcher in charge of this process, with the patients, research investigators, outcome assessors and data analysts blinded to the allocations. Allocation to one of the experimental groups will be achieved by assigning each patient a random number in a sealed opaque envelope. Group allocation will be concealed by ensuring that the results are not be disclosed until after baseline outcome assessment when the patient has been recruited into the trial. Patients, research investigators and outcome assessors will be unblinded to the allocation.

**Box 1 Inclusion or exclusion criteria**

**Inclusion criteria**
- Outpatients with chronic kidney disease undergoing maintenance haemodialysis therapy.
- Aged 65 years or more.
- Frail patients (Short Physical Performance Battery score 4–9).

**Exclusion criteria**
- Cognitive dysfunction.
- Significant myocardial ischaemia during low-intensity exercise.
- Resting heart rate > 120/min.
- Recent hospitalisation (<3 month).
- Resting blood pressure > 180 mm Hg.
- Ongoing orthopnoea.
- Patients with inadequate oxygenation.
- Patients with uncontrolled diabetes.
- Recent embolism.
- Recent cardiovascular events.
- Recent bone fracture (<1 year).
- Skin abnormality at the adaptive site.
- Severe iron deficiency.
- Patients with cardiac pacemakers or implantable cardioverter defibrillator.

**Table 1 Schematic diagram of study schedule**

| Time point      | Study period          | Baseline | Allocation | Treatment | Close-out |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Enrolment       |                       | 0        | 0          | Week 1    | Week 5    |
| Baseline        |                       |          |            | Week 6    | Week 10   |
| Assessment      |                       |          |            | Week 11   | Week 16   |
| Informed consent|                       |          |            | Treatment | Washout   |
| Allocation      |                       |          |            | period I  | period II |
| Interventions   |                       |          |            |           |           |
| Intradialytic EMS|                      |          |            |           |           |
| Control         |                       |          |            |           |           |
| Evaluation items|                       |          |            |           |           |
| Vital sign      |                       | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Physical function|                     | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Physical activity|                      | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| ADL difficulty  |                       | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Body composition |                       | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Cognitive function|                    | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Depressive symptoms |                 | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Quality of life |                       | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Blood samples   |                       | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Safety/feasibility |                   | X        | X          | X         | X         |
| Adverse events  |                       | X        | X          | X         | X         |

ADL, activity of daily living; EMS, electrical muscle stimulation.
results after baseline outcome assessment. However, the data analysts will be blinded to the allocation. The research investigators will record outcome measurements on separate datasheets, enabling standard care without knowledge of the allocation results by the haemodialysis care providers and other non-research staff. To ensure that statistical analyses are performed in a blinded manner, the data analysts will have no knowledge of the codes for assigned groups until after the data have been analysed.

**Intervention**

The study population will be randomly assigned to either group 1 or group 2 in a 1:1 ratio. The study will include two intervention periods, designated as treatment period I and treatment period II, each of which will last for 5 weeks with an intervening 5-week washout period (figure 1). Group 1 will receive the EMS intervention in treatment period I, and then be reallocated to control in treatment period II after the 5-week washout period. Group 2 will receive the control in treatment period I, and then be reallocated to the EMS intervention in treatment period II after the 5-week washout period. A previous study indicated that a 5-week washout period would be sufficient to eliminate carry-over effects. Symptoms and vital signs, including heart rate, blood pressure and dialysis conditions, will be recorded during the EMS interventions (table 1). To confirm the safety of the interventions, the record sheets for each patient will be reviewed to identify haemodynamic compromise, cardiovascular decompensation and musculoskeletal injuries. For patients leaving the clinic before the end of the treatment period, we will count the number of times they received EMS interventions.

**EMS protocol**

EMS will be performed on each leg using belt electrode skeletal muscle electrical stimulation (area per leg 50×5.5 cm and 36×5.5 cm) (General Therapeutic Electrode skeletal muscle electrical stimulation (area per leg 50×5.5 cm and 36×5.5 cm) (General Therapeutic Electrode; Homer Ion, Tokyo, Japan).27 28 Belt electrode skeletal muscle electrical stimulation will be applied to the muscles of the entire lower extremity, including the gluteal muscle, quadriceps femoris, hamstrings, tibialis anterior and triceps surae muscles. Five silicon-rubber electrode bands will be applied to the patients at the waist and on distal parts of the bilateral thighs and ankles. The anodes will be placed on the distal thigh with the cathodes to the muscles of the entire lower extremity, including the gluteal muscle, quadriceps femoris, hamstrings, tibialis anterior and triceps surae muscles. Five silicon-rubber electrode bands will be applied to the patients at the waist and on distal parts of the bilateral thighs and ankles. The anodes will be placed on the distal thigh with the cathodes on the waist and ankle. The stimulator current waveform will have a frequency of 20 Hz, pulse width of 250 μs and duty cycle of 5 s with a pause for 2 s to produce cocontractions in the muscle groups of the lower extremities.27 29 All lower extremity muscles will be stimulated simultaneously. The output intensity will be individually tailored to the maximum tolerable value without discomfort or pain to induce visible muscle contractions. Patients will be placed in the supine position with a pillow under the knees. EMS will be performed for 30–40 min per day, 3 days per week for 5 weeks between the first and second hours of dialysis. The Borg rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale and numerical rating scale of pain (NRS) will be recorded every 10 min during EMS. The current intensity will be set to the maximum intensity at the beginning of each EMS session by the investigator. Thereafter, the investigator will adjust the current intensity every 10 min to maintain the maximum tolerable intensity while simultaneously recording the RPE scale and NRS scores. The electrical current intensity and time will be recorded for each EMS session. If any patients refuse EMS therapy, we will assess the reason for refusal and evaluate the persistence rate of the EMS intervention.

**Control protocol**

Patients will not receive EMS interventions in the control period. Outcomes will only be assessed before and after 5 weeks of treatment period I or II.

**Outcomes**

As it is a good predictor of exercise capacity, activity of daily living (ADL) performance and mortality, the change in quadriceps isometric strength (QIS) after in comparison to before the intervention (ie, from baseline to 5 weeks and from 10 weeks to 15 weeks) was selected as the primary outcome of this study.30 31 A handheld dynamometer (μ-Tas; ANIMA, Tokyo, Japan) will be used to assess maximal QIS with the patient on a bed with the knee joint in 90° flexion and hip joint in 90° flexion. Maximal isometric voluntary contractions of the quadriceps for 5 s will be collected three times for both legs. The right and left quadriceps will be tested consecutively, and the highest peak strength values on the right and left sides will be averaged and expressed as both absolute value (kgf) and relative to dry weight (%).32 The secondary outcomes in the trial will be the changes in physical function, physical activity, difficulties in ADL, body composition, cognitive function, depressive symptoms, QOL, differences in blood test results between before and after the interventions and the clinical safety and feasibility of EMS therapy during dialysis.

Handgrip strength (kg), SPPB score (points), usual gait speed (m/s), and maximum gait speed (m/s) will be measured as determinants of physical function.

Physical activity will be measured using an accelerometer worn around the waist (Lifecorder; Suzuken, Nagoya, Japan) continuously for 1 week before and after each of treatment periods I and II. The average number of steps per day will be analysed.

Difficulties in ADL will be determined using a questionnaire consisting of 12 items based on self-rated mobility difficulty developed for patients undergoing haemodialysis therapy.31 The difficulty in ADL score will be defined as the total score from the 12 items in the questionnaire and will range from 12 to 60 points.

In the study, body composition will be determined using InBody S10 (InBody Japan, Tokyo, Japan) with operating frequencies of 1, 5, 50, 250, 500 and 1000 kHz. The body composition of the trunk and each limb will be measured
separately using sensors attached to each of the four segments of the body (right arm, left arm, right leg, left leg). Measurements will be taken with the patient in the sitting position before haemodialysis. Body composition will be determined based on skeletal muscle mass (kg), fat mass (kg), lean body mass (kg), body fat rate (%), skeletal muscle index (kg/m²) and extracellular water/total body water ratio.

The Digit Symbol Substitution Test, with the number of correct number–symbol matches in 90 sec taken as the score (points), will be used to assess cognitive function.33

The short version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Screening Index, which is a self-reported questionnaire, will be used to determine depressive symptoms in the patients.34–36

QOL will be measured using the medical outcome study (MOS) 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey physical functioning scale (SF-36 PF).37 38 Although SF-36 PF provides information related to self-rated health over eight domains of physical and mental health, this study will focus only on physical function.99

Physicians outside the research team and blinded to the allocation results will collect blood samples from the patients at baseline and after 5, 10 and 15 weeks of the therapeutic interventions. All blood samples will be drawn before dialysis at the beginning of the week. Serum creatinine (mg/dL), urea nitrogen (mg/dL), albumin (g/dL), haemoglobin (g/dL), calcium (mg/dL), potassium (mEq/L), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (mg/dL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (mg/dL) will be measured as biochemical parameters.

Adverse events occurring during the study period will be assessed as secondary outcomes, and the feasibility of EMS therapy will be determined by the Borg RPE scale (points), NRS (points), current intensities during EMS (mA) and maximum current intensities in individual EMS sessions (mA). Here, compliance with EMS therapy is defined as the percentage of EMS intervention attempted by the patients (%) (table 2).

Statistical analysis and sample size

Intention-to-treat analyses will be performed. Changes in outcome measures will be expressed as mean±SD deviation or medians (25th percentile–75th percentile). The primary outcome will be examined by paired t-test or Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test as appropriate. The secondary outcomes will be analysed by paired t-test or Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test for continuous variables. For all except interaction analysis, a two-tailed p value <0.05 will be taken to indicate statistical significance. In addition, combined data from Treatment Period I and Treatment Period II will be compared.

The sample size has been calculated using PS Power and Sample Size Calculation software (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA) on the primary outcome measure (ie, change in QIS). Based on the results of previous studies, we plan to study continuous response variables from paired subjects. Previous data indicated that the change in QIS, the outcome between two interventions, is 44 N (4.49 kgf) with SD of 53 N (5.41 kgf). Therefore, 15 patients will be needed for a power of 85% and an alpha value of 5%. Assuming 25% loss to follow-up, it will be necessary to enrol 20 patients in this study. The 20 patients will be randomised based on previous findings regarding similar patients at our institute.

Patient and public involvement

Patient and public involvement will not be performed in development of the research question, outcome and study design; the recruitment to and conduct of this trial. We will disseminate the study results to the study participants after publishing in a peer-reviewed journal. Although the burden of the intervention will not be assessed by study participants, it will not be a heavy burden for study participants because EMS intervention will be performed during dialysis.

ETICS AND DISSEMINATION

The trial was registered at the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry prior to patient recruitment. All patients will receive a thorough explanation of the study and only those patients that provide written consent will be included.

The start date of the study was May 2018. The study results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed manuscripts and international conference presentations.

DISCUSSION

Disease management in frail elderly haemodialysis patients is a matter of increasing concern due to the growing size of this population. There have been no previous reports of rehabilitation programmes with significant beneficial effects in these patients. This study was designed to examine the efficacy of a new rehabilitation programme using EMS therapy in frail elderly haemodialysis patients.

The prevalence of frailty in haemodialysis patients is higher than that in their community-dwelling counterparts.40 This is due to the rapid increase in number of elderly haemodialysis patients,41 42 accelerated ageing due to kidney disease43 44 and the synergistic effects of ageing and kidney disease.42 Thus, frailty in haemodialysis patients is known as a syndrome resulting from multiple physiological changes.

There have been a number of studies regarding the adverse events of frailty in patients receiving haemodialysis.45–48 It is important to take physical impairments into account when planning rehabilitation programmes for these patients. A new programme suitable for use in frail haemodialysis patients may reduce the rates of poor outcomes, including hospitalisation, falls and death in this population.
Exercise training is an effective intervention that can ameliorate the marked physiological and functional deterioration seen in frail elderly patients. However, there is insufficient evidence regarding the effectiveness of exercise training in elderly haemodialysis patients. Furthermore, exercise therapy is difficult for frail elderly haemodialysis patients due to functional decline, time restriction and low rates of adherence to the programme. Exercise therapy is recommended for maintenance of physical function in patients (especially frail elderly patients) on haemodialysis. Rehabilitation programmes using EMS were shown to improve physical function, exercise capacity and other health-related outcomes with reduced levels of haemodynamic risk in haemodialysis patients. We postulated that the application of EMS would be effective as a rehabilitation programme in frail elderly haemodialysis patients. Improvement of the condition of the lower extremities muscles is essential for mobility, exercise capacity, daily physical activity and avoiding other factors associated with poor prognosis. Therefore, the primary outcome of this trial is lower extremity muscle strength as this parameter is markedly impaired in frail elderly haemodialysis patients.

This study had some limitations. First, the patient population is relatively small, and all subjects will be Japanese at single centre. Second, the outcome assessors cannot be blinded to the allocation results, which may result in bias favouring EMS therapy.

Table 2 Primary and secondary outcome measures

| Outcome measure | Information |
|-----------------|-------------|
| **Primary**     | ▶ Change in QIS (kgf and %). |
| **Secondary**   | ▶ Change in the handgrip strength (kg). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the SPPB score (points). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the usual gait speed (m/s). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the maximum gait speed (m/s). |
| **Physical function** | ▶ Change in the number of steps of the day (steps/day). |
| **Physical activity** | ▶ Change in the number of steps of the day (steps/day). |
| **ADL difficulty** | ▶ Change in the questionnaire developed for patients undergoing haemodialysis therapy (points). |
| **Body composition** | ▶ Change in the skeletal muscle mass (kg). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the fat mass (kg). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the lean body mass (kg). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the body fat rate (%). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the skeletal muscle index (kg/m²). |
|                 | ▶ Extracellular water/total body water ratio. |
| **Cognitive function** | ▶ Change in the DSST score (points). |
| **Depressive symptoms** | ▶ Change in the 10-item CES-D score (points). |
| **QOL** | ▶ Change in the SF-36 PF score (points). |
| **Blood samples** | ▶ Change in the serum creatinine levels (mg/dL). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the urea nitrogen levels (mg/dL). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the serum albumin (g/dL). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the haemoglobin levels (g/dL). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the calcium levels (mg/dL). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the potassium levels (mEq/L). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the LDL cholesterol levels (mg/dL). |
|                 | ▶ Change in the HDL cholesterol levels (mg/dL). |
| **Safety** | ▶ Episodes of adverse events throughout the study. |
| **Feasibility** | ▶ Borg RPE scale scores during EMS (points). |
|                 | ▶ NRS of pain scores during EMS (points). |
|                 | ▶ EMS current intensities (mA). |
|                 | ▶ Maximum current intensities in individual EMS sessions (mA). |
|                 | ▶ The percentage of EMS intervention attempted by the patients (%). |

ADL, activity of daily living; CES-D, the short versions of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Screening Index; DSST, the Digit Symbol Substitution Test; EMS, electrical muscle stimulation; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NRS, Numerical Rating Scale; QIS, quadriceps isometric strength; QOL, quality of life; RPE, rating of perceived exertion; SF-36 PF, medical outcome study 36-item Short-Form Health Survey Physical Functioning Scale; SPPB, short physical performance battery.
greatest degree of reduction in muscle function occurs, this study may facilitate the development of novel rehabilitation programmes that will be beneficial in frail elderly dialysis patients.

CONCLUSION

The results of this crossover randomised controlled trial examining the effects of EMS therapy in frail elderly haemodialysis patients will facilitate the development of effective rehabilitation programmes for this patient population.
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