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HEAT TRACE ASYMPTOTICS AND COMPACTNESS OF ISOSPECTRAL
POTENTIALS FOR THE DIRICHLET LAPLACIAN

MOURAD CHOUlli§, LAURENT KAYSER¶, YAVAR KIAN†, AND ERIC SOCCORSI‡

Abstract. Let Ω be a $C^\infty$-smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, and let the matrix $a \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n^2})$ be symmetric and uniformly elliptic. We consider the $L^2(\Omega)$-realization $A$ of the operator $-\text{div}(a\nabla \cdot)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We perturb $A$ by some real valued potential $V \in C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ and note $A_V = A + V$. We compute the asymptotic expansion of $\text{tr} (e^{-tA} - e^{-tA_V})$ as $t \downarrow 0$ for any matrix $a$ whose coefficients are homogeneous of degree 0. In the particular case where $A$ is the Dirichlet Laplacian in $\Omega$, that is when $a$ is the identity of $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, we make the four main terms appearing in the asymptotic expansion formula explicit and prove that $L^\infty$-bounded sets of isospectral potentials of $A$ are $H^s$-compact for $s < 2$.
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1. Introduction

In the present paper we investigate the compactness issue for isospectral potentials sets of the Dirichlet Laplacian by means of heat kernels asymptotics.

1.1. Second order strongly elliptic operator. Let $a = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be a symmetric matrix of $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, $n \geq 1$, with coefficients in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We assume that $a$ is uniformly elliptic, in the sense that there is a constant $\mu \geq 1$ such that the estimate

$$
\mu^{-1} \leq a(x) \leq \mu,
$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the sense of quadratic forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$.
We consider a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with $C^\infty$ boundary $\partial \Omega$ and introduce the selfadjoint operator $A$ generated in $L^2(\Omega)$ by the closed quadratic form
\begin{equation}
 a[u] = \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u(x)|^2\,dx, \quad u \in D(a) = H^1_0(\Omega),
\end{equation}
where $H^1_0(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the topology of the standard first-order Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$. Here $\nabla$ stands for the gradient operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$. By straightforward computations we find out that $A$ acts on its domain $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, as
\begin{equation}
 A = -\text{div}(a(x)\nabla). \quad (-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_j(a_{ij}(x)\partial_i)).
\end{equation}
Let $V \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be real-valued. We define the perturbed operator $A_V = A + V$ as a sum in the sense of quadratic forms. Then we have $D(A_V) = D(A)$ by [RS2][Theorem X.12].

1.2. Main results. Put
\begin{equation}
 Z^V_\Omega(t) = \text{tr} \left( e^{-tA_V} - e^{-tA} \right), \quad t > 0.
\end{equation}
Much of the technical work developed in this paper is devoted to proving the existence of real coefficients $c_k(V)$, $k \geq 2$, such that following asymptotic expansion
\begin{equation}
 Z^V_\Omega(t) = t^{-n/2} \left( tc_2(V) + t^{3/2}c_3(V) + \ldots + t^{k/2}c_k(V) + O \left( t^{k/2+1/2} \right) \right), \quad t \downarrow 0,
\end{equation}
holds for $a$ homogeneous of degree 0. In the peculiar case where $a$ is the identity matrix then (1.5) may be refined, providing
\begin{equation}
 Z^V_\Omega(t) = t^{-n/2} \left( t^2d_1(V) + t^2d_1(V) + \ldots + t^{p+1}d_p(V) + O \left( t^{p+1} \right) \right), \quad t \downarrow 0,
\end{equation}
where $d_k(V)$, $k \geq 1$, is a real number depending only on $V$. Moreover we shall see that (1.4)-(1.5) remain valid upon substituting $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $\Omega$ in the definition of $A$ (and subsequently $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $H^1_0(\Omega)$ in (1.2)).

Since $\Omega$ is bounded then the injection $H^1_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact. Thus the resolvent of $A_V$ is a compact operator and the spectrum of $A_V$ is pure point. Let $\{ \lambda^V_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^* \}$ be the non-decreasing sequence of the eigenvalues of $A_V$, repeated according to their multiplicities. We define the isospectral set associated to the potential $V \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ by
\begin{equation}
 \text{Is}(V) = \{ W \in C_0^\infty(\Omega); \quad \lambda^V_k = \lambda^W_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^* \}.
\end{equation}
The computation carried out in §5.2 of the coefficients $d_j(V)$ appearing in (1.6), for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, leads to the following compactness result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $a$ be the identity of $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$. Then for all $V \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and any bounded subset $B \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $V \in B$, the set $\text{Is}(V) \cap B$ is compact in $H^s(\Omega)$ for each $s \in (-\infty, 2)$.

1.3. What is known so far. It turns out that the famous problem addressed by M. Kac in [Ka], as whether one can hear the shape of drum, is closely related to the following asymptotic expansion formula for the trace of $e^{t\Delta_g}$ on a compact Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$:
\begin{equation}
 \text{tr} \left( e^{t\Delta_g} \right) = t^{-n/2} \left( e_0 + te_1 + t^2e_2 + \ldots + t^ke_k + O \left( t^{k+1} \right) \right).
\end{equation}
Here $\Delta_g$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to the metric $g$ and the coefficients $e_k$, $k \geq 0$, are Riemannian invariants depending on the curvature tensor and its covariants derivatives. There is a wide mathematical literature about (1.7), with many authors focusing more specifically on the explicit calculation of $e_k$, $k \geq 0$. This is due to the fact that these coefficients actually provide useful information on $g$ and consequently on the geometry of the manifold $M$. The key point in the proof of (1.7) is the construction of a parametrix for the heat equation $\partial_t - \Delta_g$, which was initiated by S. Minakshisundaram and A. Pleijel in [MP].

The asymptotic expansion formula (1.6) was proved by Y. Colin de Verdière in [Co] by adapting (1.7). An alternative proof, based on the Fourier transform, was given in [BB] by R. Bañuelos and A. Sá Barreto. The approach developed in this text is rather different in the sense that (1.5) is obtained by linking the
heat kernel of $e^{-tA}$ to the one of $e^{-tA}$ through Duhamel’s principle. The asymptotic expansion formulae (1.6) and (1.7) are nevertheless quite similar, but, here, the coefficients $d_k$, $k \geq 1$, are stated as integrals over $\Omega$ of polynomial functions in $V$ and its derivatives. This situation is reminiscent of [BB][Theorem 2.1] where the same coefficients are expressed in terms of the tensor products $\hat{V} \otimes \ldots \otimes \hat{V}$, where $\hat{V}$ is the Fourier transform of the potential $V$. Since the present work is not directly related to the analysis of the asymptotic expansion formula (1.7), we shall not go into that matter further and we refer to [BGM, Ch, Gi2, Ka, MS] for more details.

As will appear in section 5, the proof of the compactness Theorem 1.1 boils down to the calculation of the four main terms in the asymptotic expansion formula (1.5). This follows from the basic identity
\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\lambda_k^V t} = \text{tr} (e^{-tA}) = \text{tr} (e^{-tA}) + Z^V_\Omega (t),
\]
linking the isospectral sets of $Av$ to the heat trace of $A$. Compactness results for isospectral potentials associated to the operator $\Delta_x + V$ were already obtained by Brüning in [Br][Theorem 3] for a compact Riemannian manifold with dimension no greater that 3, and further improved by Donnelly in [Don]. Their approach is based on trace asymptotics borrowed to [Gi1][Theorem 4.3]. Our strategy is rather similar but the heat kernels asymptotics needed in this text are explicitly computed in the first part of the article.

1.4. Outline. Section 2 gathers several definitions and auxiliary results on heat kernels and trace asymptotics needed in the remaining part of the article. The asymptotic formulae (1.5)-(1.6) are established in Section 3. Finally section 5 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some notations used throughout this text and derive auxiliary results needed in the remaining part of this paper.

2.1. Heat kernels and trace asymptotics. With reference to the definitions and notations introduced in §1 we first recall from [Ou] that the operator $(-Av)$, where $V \in C_0^\infty (\Omega)$, generates an analytic semi-group $e^{-tA}$ on $L^2(\Omega)$. We note $K^V$ the heat kernel associated to $e^{-tA}$, in such a way that the identity
\[
(e^{-tA} f) (x) = \int_\Omega K^V (t, x, y) f(y) dy, \ t > 0, \ x \in \Omega,
\]
holds for every $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Let $M_V$ be the multiplier by $V$. Then we have
\[
e^{-tA} = e^{-tA} - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} M_V e^{-sA} ds, \ t > 0,
\]
from Duhamel’s formula. From this and (2.1) then follows that
\[
K^V (t, x, y) = K(t, x, y) - \int_0^t \int_\Omega K(t-s, x, z) V(z) K^V(s, z, y) dz ds, \ t > 0, \ x, y \in \Omega,
\]
where $K$ denotes the heat kernel of $e^{-tA}$. Upon solving the integral equation (2.2) with unknown function $K^V$ by the successive approximation method, we obtain that
\[
K^V (t, x, y) = \sum_{j \geq 0} K^V_j (t, x, y), \ t > 0, \ x, y \in \Omega,
\]
with
\[
K^V_0 (t, x, y) = K(t, x, y) \text{ and } K^V_{j+1} (t, x, y) = - \int_0^t \int_\Omega K(t-s, x, z) V(z) K^V_j (s, z, y) dz ds \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Thus, for each $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \Omega$, we get by induction on $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ that
\[
K^V_j (t, x, y) = (-1)^j \int_\Omega \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \ldots \int_0^{t_{j-1}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^j K(t_{i-1} - t_i, z_{i-1}, z_i) V(z_i) \right] K(t_j, z_j, y) dz_j dt_j,
\]
where \( t_0 = t, z_0 = x \), and \( du^j = du_1 \ldots du_j \) for \( u = z, t \). From this, the following reproducing property
\[
\int_\Omega K(t - s, x, z)K(s, z, y)dz = K(t, x, y), \quad t > 0, \ s \in (0, t), \ x, y \in \Omega,
\]
and the estimate \( K \geq 0 \), arising from [Fr], then follows that
\[
|K_j^V(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{||V||^2 t}{j!} K(t, x, y), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \Omega, \ j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Therefore, for any fixed \( x, y \in \Omega \), the series in the rhs of (2.3) converges uniformly in \( t > 0 \).

Having said that we consider the fundamental solution \( \Gamma \) to the equation
\[
\partial_t - \text{div}(a(x)\nabla \cdot) = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_j(a_{ij}(x)\partial_i \cdot) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
Then there is a constant \( c > 0 \), depending only on \( n \) and \( \mu \), such that we have
\[
\Gamma(t, x, y) \leq (ct)^{-n/2} e^{-c|x-y|^2/t}, \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]
according to [FS]. Further, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 below, it follows from the maximum principle that
\[
0 \leq K(t, x, y) \leq \Gamma(t, x, y), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \Omega.
\]
Thus, for all fixed \( t > 0 \), the series in the rhs of (2.3) converges uniformly with respect to \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \Omega \) according to (2.6) and (2.7), and we have
\[
\int_\Omega K^V(t, x, x)dx = \sum_{j \geq 0} A^V_x(t) \text{ where } A^V_x(t) = \int_\Omega K^V_j(t, x, x)dx, \ j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Since \( \sigma(e^{-tA^V}) = \{e^{-t\lambda^V_k}, k \geq 1\} \) from the spectral theorem then \( e^{-tA^V} \) is trace class by [Kat]. On the other hand, \( e^{-tA^V} \) being an integral operator with smooth kernel (see e.g. [Da]), we have
\[
\text{tr}(e^{-tA^V}) = \int_\Omega K^V(t, x, x)dx = \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-t\lambda^V_k}, \ t > 0.
\]
Notice that the right identity in (2.10) is a direct consequence of Mercer’s theorem (see e.g. [Ho]), entailng
\[
K^V(t, x, x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-t\lambda^V_k} \phi^V_k(x) \times \overline{\phi^V_k(y)}, \ t > 0, \ x, y \in \Omega,
\]
where \( \{\phi^V_k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \) is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions \( \phi^V_k \) of \( A^V \), associated to the eigenvalue \( \lambda^V_k \).

Finally, putting (1.4) and (2.9)-(2.10) together, we find out that
\[
Z^V_\Omega(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} A^V_j(t), \ t > 0.
\]
we get from the parabolic maximum principle (see e.g. [Fr]) that $u_y(t, x) \leq \max_{z \in \partial \Omega} \Gamma(s, z, y)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Therefore we have

$$u_y(t, x) \leq \max_{z \in \partial \Omega} (cs)^{-n/2} e^{-c|z-y|^2/s} \leq \max_{0<s\leq t} (cs)^{-n/2} e^{-c|z-y|^2/s}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

by (2.7). Now the desired result follows readily from this and (2.8) upon noticing that $s \mapsto (cs)^{-n/2} e^{-c|z-y|^2/s}$ is non-decreasing on $(0, 2c\delta^2/n)$.

**Remark 2.1.**

a) The functions $K(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $\Gamma(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ being symmetric for all $t > 0$, the statement of Lemma 2.1 remains valid for $x \in \Omega_\delta$ and $y \in \Omega$ as well.

b) A result similar to Lemma 2.1 can be found in [Mi] for the Dirichlet Laplacian, which corresponds to the operator $A$ in the peculiar case where $a$ is the identity matrix. This claim, which was actually first proved by H. Weyl in [We], is a cornerstone in the derivation of the classical Weyl’s asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues counting function (see e.g. [Dod]).

c) We refer to [Co] for an alternative proof of Lemma 2.1 that is based on the classical Feynman-Kac formula (see e.g. [SV]) instead of the maximum principle.

Let us extend $V \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by setting $V(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, and, with reference to (2.3)-(2.4), put

$$\Gamma_0^V(t, x, y) = \Gamma(t, x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{j+1}^V(t, x, y) = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(t-s, x, z)V(z)\Gamma_j^V(s, z, y)dsdz, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Armed with Lemma 2.1 we may now relate the asymptotic behavior of $A_j^V(t)$ as $t \downarrow 0$ to the one of

$$B_j^V(t) = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_j^V(t, x, x)dx, \quad t > 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $A_j^V(t) = B_j^V(t) + O(t^k)$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

**Proof.** Choose $\delta > 0$ so small that $\text{supp}(V) \subset \Omega_\delta$, where $\Omega_\delta$ is the same as in Lemma 2.1, and pick $t \in (0, 2c\delta^2/n)$. Then, for all $x, y \in \Omega$, we have

$$|\Gamma_j^V(t, x, y) - K_j^V(t, x, y)| \leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\delta} |\Gamma(t-s, x, z)V(z)||\Gamma(s, z, y) - K(s, z, y)|dsdz$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\delta} |\Gamma(t-s, x, z) - K(t-s, x, z)||V(z)|K(s, z, y)dsdz,$$

by (2.4) and (2.12). This, together with Lemma 2.1 and part a) in Remark 2.1, yields

$$|\Gamma_j^V(t, x, y) - K_j^V(t, x, y)| \leq ||V||_\infty (ct)^{-n/2} e^{-c|z-y|^2/t} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Gamma(s, x, z)|dz + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Gamma(s, z, y)|dz \right),$$

for all $t > 0$ and a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$. Here we used the estimate $0 \leq K \leq \Gamma$ and the fact that the function $s \mapsto (cs)^{-n/2} e^{-c|z-y|^2/s}$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 2c\delta^2/n]$. Further, due to (2.7), there is a positive constant $C$, independent of $t$, such that

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Gamma(s, x, z)|dz + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Gamma(s, z, y)|dz \leq Ct, \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \Omega,$$

so we obtain

$$|\Gamma_j^V(t, x, y) - K_j^V(t, x, y)| \leq (2C||V||_\infty)^j t(c)^{-n/2} e^{-c|z-y|^2/t}, \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \Omega,$$

by (2.14). Similarly, using (2.6) and arguing as above, we get

$$|\Gamma_j^V(t, x, y) - K_j^V(t, x, y)| \leq (2C||V||_\infty)^j t^{1/2} (c)^{-n/2} e^{-c|z-y|^2/t}, \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \Omega,$$

by induction on $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Now the result follows from this, (2.9) and (2.13).
2.3. The case of a homogeneous metric with degree 0. We now express the function \((t, x) \in \mathbb{R^*_+ \times \mathbb{R^n}} \mapsto \Gamma^y_j(t, x, x), j \in \mathbb{N^*}\), defined by (2.12), in terms of the heat kernel \(\Gamma\) and the perturbation \(V\), in the particular case where \(a\) is homogeneous of degree 0. The result is as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume that \(a\) is homogeneous of degree 0. Then for every \(j \in \mathbb{N^*}, t > 0\) and \(x \in \mathbb{R^n}\), we have

\[
\Gamma^Y_j(t, x, x) = (-1)^j t^{-n/2} \int_{(\mathbb{R^n})^n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \ldots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} \prod_{i=1}^j \Gamma(s_{i-1} - s_i, x + w_i - w_{i-1}) V(x + \sqrt{t}w_i) dh_{s_1} \ldots dh_{s_{j-1}} \times \Gamma(s_j, x + w_j, x) \mathrm{d}s^j \mathrm{d}w^j,
\]

with \(s_0 = 1, w_0 = 0\), and \(d\beta^j = d\beta_1 \ldots d\beta_j\) for \(\beta = s, w\).

**Proof.** The main benefit of dealing with a homogeneous function \(a\) of degree 0 is the following property:

\[
\Gamma(ts, x, y) = t^{-n/2} \Gamma\left(s, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right), t, s > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R^n}.
\]

From this and the following the identity arising from (2.12) for all \(t > 0\) and \(x, y \in \mathbb{R^n}\),

\[
\Gamma^Y_j(t, x, y) = (-1)^j t^{-n/2} \int_{(\mathbb{R^n})^n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \ldots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} \prod_{i=1}^j \Gamma(t(s_{i-1} - s_i, z_{i-1}, z_i)V(z_i)) \Gamma(ts_j, z_j, y) dz^j dz^i d\beta^j d\beta^i
\]

with \(z_0 = x\), then follows that

\[
\Gamma^Y_j(t, x, y) = (-1)^j t^{-n/2} \int_{(\mathbb{R^n})^n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \ldots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} \prod_{i=1}^j \Gamma(s_{i-1} - s_i, \frac{z_{i-1}}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{z_i}{\sqrt{t}}) V(z_i) \Gamma(s_j, \frac{z_j}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) dz^j dz^i d\beta^j d\beta^i.
\]

Thus, by performing the change of variables \((z_1, \ldots, z_j) = \sqrt{t}(w_1, \ldots, w_j) + (x, \ldots, x)\) in the above integral, we find out that

\[
\Gamma^Y_j(t, x, y) = (-1)^j t^{-n/2} \int_{(\mathbb{R^n})^n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \ldots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} \prod_{i=1}^j \Gamma(s_{i-1} - s_i, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} + w_i - w_{i-1}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} + w_i) V(x + \sqrt{t}w_i) dh_{s_1} \ldots dh_{s_{j-1}} \times \Gamma(s_j, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} + w_j, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) d\beta^j d\beta^i.
\]

Finally, we obtain the desired result upon taking \(y = x\) in the above identity and recalling that \(\Gamma = \Gamma_a\) verifies

\[
\Gamma_a\left(t, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} + z, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} + w\right) = \Gamma_a\left(-\frac{z}{\sqrt{t}}, -\frac{w}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\Gamma_a\left(t, z, w\right) = \Gamma_a\left(\sqrt{t}, -x\right)\Gamma_a\left(t, z + x, w + x\right) = \Gamma_a\left(t, z + x, w + x\right),
\]

for all \(t > 0\) and \(x, z, w \in \mathbb{R^n}\).

If \(a\) is the identity matrix \(I\), then \(\Gamma(t, x, y)\) is explicitly known and coincides with the following Gaussian kernel

\[
G(t, x - y) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/(4t)}, t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R^n}.
\]

This and Lemma 2.2 entails the following:

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that \(a = I\). Then, using the same notations as in Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
\Gamma^Y_j(t, x, x) = (-1)^j t^{-n/2} \int_{(\mathbb{R^n})^n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \ldots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} \prod_{i=1}^j G(s_{i-1} - s_i, w_i - w_{i-1}) V(x + \sqrt{t}w_i) dh_{s_1} \ldots dh_{s_{j-1}} \times G(s_j, w_j) d\beta^j d\beta^i.
\]

for all \(t > 0\) and \(x \in \mathbb{R^n}\), where \(G\) is defined by (2.15).
3. Asymptotic expansion formulae

In this section we establish the asymptotic expansion formulae (1.5)-(1.6). The strategy of the proof is, first, to establish (1.5)-(1.6) where

\[ Z(t) = \exp(e^{-tH_{V}} - e^{-tH}), \quad t > 0, \]

is substituted for \( Z_{V}(t) \), and, second, to relate the asymptotics of \( Z_{V}(t) \) as \( t \downarrow 0 \) to the one of \( Z(t) \).

Here \( H \) is the selfadjoint operator generated in \( L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \) by the closed quadratic form

\[ h[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{2} dx, \quad u \in D(h) = H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \]

and \( H_{V} = H + V \) as a sum in the sense of quadratic forms. It is easy to check that \( H \) acts on its domain \( D(H) = H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \), the second-order Sobolev space on \( \mathbb{R}^{n} \), as the rhs of (1.3). Moreover we have \( D(H_{V}) = D(H) \) since \( V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \). In other words \( H \) (resp., \( H_{V} \)) may be seen as the extension of the operator \( A \) (resp., \( A_{V} \)) acting in \( L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \), and, due to (2.12) and (3.1), we have

\[ Z(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} H^{V}_{j}(t), \quad t > 0, \quad \text{where} \quad H_{j}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Gamma^{V}_{j}(t,x,x) dx, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \]

In light of this and Lemma 2.2, we apply Taylor’s formula to \( V \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \), getting for all \( j \geq 1 \) and \( p \geq 1 \),

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{p} V(x + tw_{k}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \int_{|\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}|=\ell} \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}! \ldots \alpha_{j}!} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{\alpha_{k}} V(x) w_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} + t^{p} R_{j}^{p}(t,x,w_{1},\ldots,w_{j}), \]

where

\[ R_{j}^{p}(t,x,w_{1},\ldots,w_{j}) = \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}=p} \frac{p}{\alpha_{1}! \ldots \alpha_{j}!} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s)^{p-1} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{\alpha_{k}} V(x + stw_{k}) w_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} ds. \]

For the sake of notational simplicity we note

\[ \alpha^{j} = (\alpha_{1}^{j}, \ldots, \alpha_{j}^{j}) \in (\mathbb{N}^{n})^{j}, \quad \alpha^{j}! = \prod_{k=1}^{j} \alpha_{k}^{j}! \quad \text{and} \quad W_{j}^{\alpha^{j}} = \prod_{k=1}^{j} w_{k}^{\alpha_{k}^{j}}, \]

so that (3.3)-(3.4) may be reformulated as

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{p} V(x + tw_{k}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \int_{|\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}|=\ell} \frac{W_{j}^{\alpha^{j}}}{\alpha_{1}! \ldots \alpha_{j}!} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{\alpha_{k}} V(x) + t^{p} R_{j}^{p}(t,x,w_{1},\ldots,w_{j}), \]

with

\[ R_{j}^{p}(t,x,w_{1},\ldots,w_{j}) = \sum_{|\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}|=p} \frac{pW_{j}^{\alpha^{j}}}{\alpha_{1}! \ldots \alpha_{j}!} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s)^{p-1} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{\alpha_{k}} V(x + stw_{k}) ds, \quad j, p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}. \]

Next, with reference to (3.5) we define for further use

\[ c_{\alpha^{j}}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{j}!} \int_{|\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}|=\ell} \int_{0}^{1} \ldots \int_{0}^{1} W_{j}^{\alpha^{j}} \left[ \prod_{s_{1}=1}^{j} \Gamma(s_{1} - s_{1}, x + w_{1} - x, x + w_{1}) \right] \Gamma(s_{j}, x + w_{j}, x) dw_{j}^{j} ds_{j}^{j}, \]

where, as usual, \( s_{0} = 1, w_{0} = 0, \) and \( dw_{j}^{j} \) stands for \( du_{1} \ldots du_{j} \) with \( u = s, w, \) and we put

\[ \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^{j}}(V) = \int_{\Omega} c_{\alpha^{j}}(x) \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{\alpha_{k}^{j}} V(x) dx, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}. \]

We now state the main result of this section.
Proposition 3.1. Let \( p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\} \). Then, under the assumption (1.1), \( Z^V(t) \) and \( Z^V_0(t) \) have the following asymptotic expansion

\[
\sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \ell^{\ell/2} \mathcal{P}_\ell(V) + O(t^{p/2}) \text{ as } t \downarrow 0,
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{P}_\ell(V) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \left( -1 \right)^j \sum_{|\alpha'| = \ell - 2j} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}(V),
\]

the coefficients \( \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}(V) \) being given by (3.8)-(3.9).

Proof. In view of (2.13) and Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
t^n B^V_j(t^2) = (-1)^j \sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \ell^{\ell/2} \sum_{|\alpha'| = \ell - 2j} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}(V) + O(t^{p/2}), \quad t > 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^*.
\]

hence

\[
t^n B^V_j(t^2) = (-1)^j \sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \ell \sum_{|\alpha'| = \ell - 2j} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}(V) + O(t^p), \quad t > 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^*.
\]

Summing up the above identity over all integers \( j \) between 1 and \((p - 1)/2\), we find that

\[
t^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p-1)/2} B^V_j(t^2) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \ell \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p-1)/2} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}(V) + O(t^p)
\]

As a consequence we have \( t^n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p-1)/2} B^V_j(t^2) = t^p \sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \ell \mathcal{P}_\ell(V) + O(t^p) \), hence

\[
t^n \sum_{j \geq 1} B^V_j(t^2) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \ell t^\ell \mathcal{P}_\ell(V) + O(t^p).
\]

Next, bearing in mind that \( V \) is supported in \( \Omega \), we see that \( \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}(V) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c_{\alpha'}(x) \prod_{k=1}^j \partial^{\alpha_k} V(x) dx \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{N}^* \). This entails

\[
t^n \sum_{j \geq 1} H^V_j(t^2) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{p-1} \ell t^\ell \mathcal{P}_\ell(V) + O(t^p),
\]

upon substituting (3.2) (resp., \( H^V_j(t^2) \)) for (2.13) (resp., \( B^V_j(t^2) \)) in the above reasoning. Finally, putting (2.11), (3.10) and Proposition 2.1 (resp., (3.2) and (3.11)) together we obtain the result for \( Z^V_0 \) (resp., \( Z^V \)). \( \square \)

Proposition 3.1 immediately entails the:

Corollary 3.1. Let \( V_0 \in C^\infty_0(\Omega) \). Then, under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, each \( V \in Is(V_0) \) verifies

\[
\mathcal{P}_\ell(V) = \mathcal{P}_\ell(V_0), \quad \ell \geq 2.
\]

In the particular case where \( a = I \), (3.8) may be rewritten as

\[
(3.12) \quad c_{\alpha'}(x) = c_{\alpha'} = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} W_{j}^{s_{j}} \prod_{k=1}^j G(s_k - s_{k+1}, w_k - w_{k+1}) dw^j ds^j,
\]
Lemma 4.1. Let \( I \sigma = \int_{\Omega} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{|\alpha|} V(x) dx \),

Thus we have

\[ \mathcal{P}_{\alpha j}(V) = c_{\alpha j} P_{\alpha j}(V), \quad P_{\alpha j}(V) = \int_{\Omega} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{|\alpha|} V(x) dx, \]

from (3.9), hence Proposition 3.1 entails the:

**Proposition 3.2.** Assume that \( a = I \). Then, for any \( p \in \mathbb{N}^* \), the asymptotics of \( Z^V(t) \) and \( Z_{\Omega}(t) \) as \( t \downarrow 0 \) have the expression

\[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} t^\ell \mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(V) + O(t^{p+1}), \]

where

\[ \mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(V) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} (-1)^j \sum_{|\alpha| = 2(\ell-j)+1} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha j}(V) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} (-1)^j \sum_{|\alpha| = 2(\ell-j)+1} c_{\alpha j} P_{\alpha j}(V), \]

the coefficients \( \mathcal{P}_{\alpha j}(V) \), \( c_{\alpha j} \) and \( P_{\alpha j}(V) \) being defined by (3.12)-(3.13).

**Proof.** Upon performing the change of variables \((w_1, \ldots, w_j) \rightarrow (-w_1, \ldots, -w_j)\) in the rhs of (3.12) we get that \( c_{\alpha j} = (-1)^{|\alpha|} c_{\alpha j} \). Therefore \( c_{\alpha j} = 0 \) hence \( \mathcal{P}_{\alpha j}(V) = 0 \) by (3.13), for \(|\alpha| \) odd. As a consequence we have

\[ \mathcal{P}_{2\ell+1}(V) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} (-1)^j \sum_{|\alpha| = 2(\ell-j)+1} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha j}(V) = 0. \]

Thus, applying (3.10) where \( 2(p+1) \) is substituted for \( p \), we find out that

\[ t^n \sum_{j \geq 1} B_j^V(t^2) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{2p+1} t^\ell \mathcal{P}_{\ell}(V) + O(t^{2(p+1)}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} t^\ell \mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(V) + O(t^{2(p+1)}), \]

which, in turns, yields

\[ t^{n/2} \sum_{j \geq 1} B_j^V(t^2) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} t^\ell \mathcal{P}_{2\ell}(V) + O(t^{p+1}). \]

Now the result follows from this by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.1.** It is clear that the asymptotic formula stated in Proposition 3.1 (resp., Proposition 3.2) for \( Z^V \) remains valid upon substituting \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c_{\alpha j}(x) \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{|\alpha|} V(x) dx \) (resp., \( c_{\alpha j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \partial^{|\alpha|} V(x) dx \)) for \( \mathcal{P}_{\alpha j}(V) \), if \( V \) is taken in the Schwartz class \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

4. TWO PARAMETER INTEGRALS

In this section we collect useful properties of two parameter integrals appearing in the proof of Theorem 1.1, presented in section 5. As a preamble we consider the integral

\[ I_n(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s_1} f(w_1, w_2) G(1-s_1, w_1) G(s_1-s_2, w_1-w_2) G(s_2, w_2) dw_1 dw_2 ds_1 ds_2, \]

where \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( G \) is defined by (2.15). For all \( \sigma \in \sigma_n \), the set of permutations of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and all \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we write \( \sigma z = (z_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, z_{\sigma(n)}) \). Similarly, for every \( w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we note \( \sigma(w_1, w_2) = (\sigma w_1, \sigma w_2) \) and \( f \circ \sigma(w_1, w_2) = f(\sigma(w_1, w_2)) \). The following result gathers several properties of \( I_n \) that are required in the remaining part of this section.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \). Then it holds true that:

i) \( I_n(f) = I_n(Sf) \), where \( S \) denotes the “mirror symmetry” operator acting as \( Sf(w_1, w_2) = f(w_2, w_1) \);

ii) \( I_n(f) = I_n(f \circ \sigma) \) for all \( \sigma \in \sigma_n \);
iii) If there are $f_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, such that
\[ f(w_1, w_2) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_k(w_1^k, w_2^k), \quad w_i = (w_1^i, \ldots, w_n^i), i = 1, 2, \]
and if any of the $f_k$ is an odd function of $(w_1^k, w_2^k)$, then we have $I_n(f) = 0$.

Proof. i) Upon performing successively the two changes of variables $\tau_1 = 1 - \tau_2$ and $\tau_2 = 1 - \tau_1$ in the rhs of (4.1), we get that
\[ I_n(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(w_1, w_2)G(\tau_1, w_1)G(\tau_2 - \tau_1, w_1 - w_2)G(1 - \tau_2, w_2)dw_1dw_2d\tau_2d\tau_1 \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 c f(w_1, w_2)G(\tau_1, w_1)G(\tau_2 - \tau_1, w_1 - w_2)G(1 - \tau_2, w_2)dw_1dw_2d\tau_2d\tau_1, \]
so the result follows by relabelling $(w_1, w_2)$ as $(w_2, w_1)$.

ii) In light of (2.15) we have $G(t, w) = G(t, \sigma^{-1}w)$ for all $t > 0$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\sigma \in \sigma_n$, hence $I_n(f)$ is equal to
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 f(w_1, w_2)G(1 - s_1, \sigma^{-1}s_1)G(s_1 - s_2, \sigma^{-1}s_1 - \sigma^{-1}s_2)G(s_2, \sigma^{-1}s_2)dw_1dw_2ds_1ds_2, \]
according to (4.1). The result follows readily from this upon performing the change of variable $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) = \sigma^{-1}(w_1, w_2)$.

iii) This point is a direct consequence of the obvious identity $I_n(f) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} I_1(f_k)$, arising from (2.15) and (4.1). \qed

We turn now to evaluating integrals of the form
\[ I_{\alpha, \beta} = I_{\alpha, \beta}(s_1, s_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\alpha y^\beta g(1 - s_1, x)g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \]
for $\alpha + \beta$ even, where $g$ denotes the one-dimensional Gaussian kernel defined by (2.15) in the particular case where $n = 1$. This can be achieved upon using the following result.

Lemma 4.2. For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

i) $I_{1,1}(s_1, s_2) = 2(4\pi)^{-1/2}(1 - s_1)s_2$;

ii) $I_{\alpha, \beta}(s_1, s_2) = 2(1 - s_1)s_2 [2(\alpha - 1)(\beta - 1)(s_1 - s_2)I_{\alpha-2, \beta-2}(s_1, s_2) + (\alpha + \beta - 1)I_{\alpha-1, \beta-1}(s_1, s_2)]$;

iii) $I_{\alpha, \beta}(s_1, s_2) = 2(1 - s_1) [(\alpha - 1)s_1I_{\alpha-2, \beta}(s_1, s_2) + \beta_2I_{\alpha-1, \beta-1}(s_1, s_2)]$;

iv) $I_{\alpha, \beta}(s_1, s_2) = 2(1 - s_1) [(\alpha + \beta - 1)s_1I_{\alpha-2, \beta}(s_1, s_2) - 2(\beta - 1)s_2(s_1 - s_2)I_{\alpha-2, \beta-2}(s_1, s_2)]$;

v) $I_{2\alpha, 0}(s_1, s_2) = (4\pi)^{-1/2}(2\alpha)!/(\alpha!)s_1^\alpha (1 - s_1)$;

vi) $I_{0, 2\alpha}(s_1, s_2) = (4\pi)^{-1/2}(2\alpha)!/(\alpha!)s_2^\alpha (1 - s_2)$.

Proof. a) In light of the basic identity
\[ zg(t, z) = -2t\partial_z g(t, z), \quad t > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}, \]
we have
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xyg(1 - s_1, x)g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy = -2(1 - s_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\partial_x g(1 - s_1, x)g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy \]
\[ = 2(1 - s_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1 - s_1, x)\partial_x g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy. \]
by integrating by parts. Thus, applying (4.3) once more, we obtain that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xyg(1-s_1, x)g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy \\
= 2(1 - s_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1-s_1, x) \partial_y g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy \\
= 2(1 - s_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1-s_1, x)g(s_1 - s_2, x - y) \partial_y g(s_2, y)dx dy + 2(1 - s_1)(4\pi)^{-1/2} \\
(4.4)
= 2(1 - s_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1-s_2, y) \partial_y g(s_2, y)dy + 2(1 - s_1)(4\pi)^{-1/2},
\]
with the help of the reproducing property. On the other hand, an integration by parts providing
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1-s_2, y) \partial_y g(s_2, y)dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1-s_2, y)g(s_2, y)dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(1-s_2, y)g(s_2, y)dy \\
= -(4\pi)^{-1/2} - \frac{s_2}{1-s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1-s_2, y) \partial_y g(s_2, y)dy,
\]
we get that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} yg(1-s_2, y) \partial_y g(s_2, y)dy = -(4\pi)^{-1/2}(1-s_2) \). Thus Part i) follows from this and (4.4).

b) Applying (4.3) with \( z = x \) and \( t = 1 - s_1 \) we find that
\[
I_{\alpha,\beta}(s_1, s_2) = 2(1 - s_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\alpha y^\beta \partial_x g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy \\
= 2(1 - s_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\alpha y^\beta g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy \\
- \frac{2(1 - s_1)}{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\alpha y^\beta g(s_1 - s_2, x - y)g(s_2, y)dx dy,
\]
by integrating by parts \( s \), so we get
\[
(1-s_2)I_{\alpha,\beta}(s_1, s_2) = 2(\alpha - 1)(1-s_1)(s_1 - s_2)I_{\alpha-2,\beta}(s_1, s_2) + (1-s_1)I_{\alpha-1,\beta+1}(s_1, s_2).
\]
Doing the same with \( z = y \) and \( t = s_2 \) we obtain that
\[
s_1I_{\alpha,\beta}(s_1, s_2) = 2(\beta - 1)(s_1 - s_2)s_2I_{\alpha,\beta-2}(s_1, s_2) + s_2I_{\alpha+1,\beta-1}(s_1, s_2).
\]
Thus, upon successively substituting \( (\alpha - 1, \beta + 1) \) and \( (\alpha - 2, \beta) \) for \( (\alpha, \beta) \) in (4.6), we find that
\[
s_1I_{\alpha-1,\beta+1}(s_1, s_2) = 2s_2(s_1 - s_2)I_{\alpha-1,\beta+1}(s_1, s_2) + s_2I_{\alpha,\beta}(s_1, s_2)
\]
and
\[
s_1I_{\alpha-2,\beta}(s_1, s_2) = 2(\beta - 1)(s_1 - s_2)s_2I_{\alpha-2,\beta-2}(s_1, s_2) + s_2I_{\alpha-1,\beta-1}(s_1, s_2).
\]
Plugging (4.7)-(4.8) in (4.5) we end up getting Part ii). Further we obtain Part iii) by following the same lines as in the derivation of Part ii), and Part iv) is a direct consequence of Parts ii) and iii).

c) Arguing as in the derivation of Part i) in a), we establish for any \( \alpha \geq 2 \) that
\[
I_{\alpha,0}(s_1, s_2) = 2(\alpha - 1)s_1(1-s_1)I_{\alpha-2,0}(s_1, s_2).
\]
This and the obvious identity \( I_{0,0}(s_1, s_2) = (4\pi)^{-1/2} \) yields Part v) upon proceeding by induction on \( \alpha \). Finally, Part vi) follows from Part v) upon noticing from (4.2) that \( I_{0,\alpha}(s_1, s_2) = I_{\alpha,0}(1-s_2, 1-s_1) \). \( \square \)

Further, for all \( \alpha = (\alpha_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n} \) and \( \beta = (\beta_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n} \) in \( \mathbb{N}^n \), we put
\[
\mathcal{J}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} G(1-s_1, x)G(s_1 - s_2, x - y)G(s_2, y)dx dy \right) ds_1 ds_2,
\]
and establish the:

**Lemma 4.3.** For each \( \alpha = (\alpha_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n} \) and \( \beta = (\beta_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n} \) in \( \mathbb{N}^n \) we have:

i) \( \mathcal{J}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \prod_{k=1}^n I_{\alpha_k,\beta_k}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2. \)
ii) $\mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{I}(\beta, \alpha)$.

iii) $\mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ if any of sums $\alpha_k + \beta_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, is odd.

Proof. Part i) follows readily from the identity $G(s, z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} g(s, z_k)$ arising from (2.15) for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and all $z = (z_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and from the very definitions (4.2) and (4.9). Next, Part ii) is a direct consequence first assertion of Lemma (4.1), while Part iii) follows from the third point of Lemma (4.1). □

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We start by establishing two identities which are useful for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5.1. Two useful identities. They are collected in the following:

Proposition 5.1. Let $V \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be real-valued and assume that $a = 1$. Then, with reference to the definitions (3.12)-(3.13), we have

$$
(4\pi)^{n/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=2} c_{\alpha^2} P_{\alpha^2}(V) = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V|^2 dx
$$

and

$$
(4\pi)^{n/2} \sum_{|\alpha|=4} c_{\alpha^2} P_{\alpha^2}(V) = \frac{1}{120} \sum_{k} \int_{\Omega} (\partial_k^2 V)^2 dx + \frac{13}{360} \sum_{k \neq l} \int_{\Omega} (\partial_k^2 V)^2 dx.
$$

Proof. Since

$$
c_{\alpha^2} = \frac{\mathcal{I}(\alpha^2, \alpha^2)}{\alpha^2!}, \quad \alpha^2 = (\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2),
$$

by (3.12) and (4.9), we know from the two last points in Lemma 4.3 that

$$
c_{\alpha^2} = 0 \text{ if the sum } (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) \text{ is odd for any } k \in \{1, \ldots, n\},
$$

and

$$
c_{\alpha^2} = c_{\alpha^2} \text{ for } \alpha^2 = (\alpha_2^2, \alpha_1^2).
$$

We first compute $\sum_{|\alpha|=4} c_{\alpha^2} P_{\alpha^2}(V)$. In what follows we note $(0, \ldots, \beta, \ldots, 0)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the vector $(\beta_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\beta_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \neq k \leq n$ and $\beta_k = \beta$. In view of (5.3) we apply the first point in Lemma 4.3 for $\alpha^2 = ((0, \ldots, 2, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 0))$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, getting

$$
c_{\alpha^2} = \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{0,0}(s_1, s_2) \int_0^{s_1} I_{2,0}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{2,0}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{120}.
$$

with the aid of Part v) in Lemma 4.2. Similarly, for $\alpha^2 = ((0, \ldots, 2, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0))$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, we use the first part of Lemma 4.2 and obtain that

$$
c_{\alpha^2} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{120} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{1,1}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{12}.
$$

In light of (5.4)-(5.5) we deduce from (5.6)-(5.7) that

$$
\sum_{|\alpha|=2} c_{\alpha^2} P_{\alpha^2}(V) = \frac{1}{6} (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\Omega} \Delta V V dx + \frac{1}{12} (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V|^2 dx.
$$

Taking into account that $\int_{\Omega} \Delta V V dx = -\int_{\Omega} |\nabla V|^2 dx$, we obtain (5.1) from the above line.

We now compute $\sum_{|\alpha|=4} c_{\alpha^2} P_{\alpha^2}(V)$. As a preamble we first invoke Lemma 4.2 and get simultaneously

$$
I_{2,2}(s_1, s_2) = 2(1 - s_1)[s_1 I_{0,2}(s_1, s_2) + 2s_2 I_{1,1}(s_1, s_2)] = 4(4\pi)^{-1/2}(1 - s_1)s_2[s_1(1 - s_2) + 2(1 - s_1)s_2],
$$

and

$$
I_{1,1}(s_1, s_2) = 2(1 - s_1)[2s_1 I_{1,1}(s_1, s_2) + s_2 I_{2,0}(s_1, s_2)] = 12(4\pi)^{-1/2}(1 - s_1)^2 s_1 s_2.
$$

By (5.8) and (5.9), we obtain (5.2) from the above line.
As a consequence we have
\[ I_{4,0}(s_1, s_2) = 12(4\pi)^{-1/2}s_1^2(1-s_1)^2, \]
From Part v). Thus, for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) it follows from the first part of Lemma 4.3 and (5.10) upon taking \( \alpha^2 = ((0, \ldots, 4, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 0)) \) in (5.3) that
\[
c_{\alpha^2} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-(n-1)/2}}{2!2!} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{4,0}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{1}{120} (4\pi)^{-n/2}.
\]
Further, choosing \( \alpha^2 = ((0, \ldots, 3, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)) \) we deduce in the same way from (5.9) that,
\[
c_{\alpha^2} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-(n-1)/2}}{3!} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{5,1}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{1}{60} (4\pi)^{-n/2},
\]
and with \( \alpha^2 = ((0, \ldots, 2, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 2, \ldots, 0)) \), we get from (5.8) that
\[
c_{\alpha^2} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-(n-1)/2}}{2!} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{2,2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{1}{40} (4\pi)^{-n/2}.
\]
Finally, upon taking \( \alpha^2 = ((0, \ldots, 2, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 0, \ldots, 0)) \) in (5.3), for \( 1 \leq k \neq \ell \leq n \), we derive from the two last parts of Lemma 4.2 that
\[
c_{\alpha^2} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-(n-2)/2}}{2!} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{2,0}(s_1, s_2) I_{0,2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{1}{72} (4\pi)^{-n/2},
\]
while the choice \( \alpha^2 = ((0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)) \) leads to
\[
c_{\alpha^2} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-(n-2)/2}}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} I_{1,1}(s_1, s_2)^2 ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{1}{45} (4\pi)^{-n/2},
\]
with the aid of the first part. Putting (5.11)–(5.15) together and recalling (5.4)-(5.5) we end up getting (5.2).

Armed with Proposition 5.1 we are now in position to prove Theorem 1.1.

### 5.2. Completion of the proof.

By applying the reproducing property (2.5) to the kernel \( G \), defined in (2.15), we derive from (3.12) for all \( j \geq 1 \) that
\[
c_{\alpha^2} = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_1} \cdots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} G(1-s_1, w_1) \prod_{k=1}^j G(s_k-s_{k+1}, w_k-w_{k+1})^j dw^j ds^j = \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{j!},
\]
where \( s_{j+1} = w_{j+1} = 0 \). In light of (3.13)-(3.14), (5.16) then yields that
\[
\mathcal{P}_2(V) = -c_{\alpha^2=0}P_{\alpha^2=0}(V) = - (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_\Omega V dx.
\]
Next, bearing in mind that the potential \( V \) is compactly supported in \( \Omega \), we notice from (3.13) that
\[
P_{\alpha^1}(V) = \int_\Omega \partial_\alpha^1 V(x) dx = 0, \quad |\alpha^1| \geq 1.
\]
As a consequence we have
\[
\mathcal{P}_2(V) = c_{\alpha^2=0}P_{\alpha^2=0}(V) - \sum_{|\alpha^2|=2} c_{\alpha^2}P_{\alpha^2}(V) = \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{2} \int_\Omega V(x)^2 dx.
\]
Further, as \( \mathcal{P}_6 = -c_{\alpha^3=0}P_{\alpha^3=0}(V) + \sum_{|\alpha^2|=2} c_{\alpha^2}P_{\alpha^2}(V) - \sum_{|\alpha^1|=4} c_{\alpha^1}P_{\alpha^1}(V) \), it follows from (5.1) and (5.16) that
\[
\mathcal{P}_6(V) = - \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla V(x)|^2 dx + \int_\Omega V(x)^2 dx \right).
\]
Finally, since \( \int_{\Omega} \partial_{k} V(x) V(x) dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} \partial_{k} V(x) \partial_{m} V(x) V(x) dx \) for all natural numbers \( 1 \leq k, m \leq n \), by integrating by parts, we see that there is a constant \( C_n \) depending only on \( n \) such that we have

\[
\left| \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} c_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(V) \right| \leq C_n \| V \|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V(x)|^2 dx,
\]

according to (3.13). This, together with the identity

\[
P_{s}(V) = c_{\alpha=0} P_{\alpha=0}(V) - \sum_{|\alpha|=2} c_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(V) + \sum_{|\alpha|=4} c_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(V) - \sum_{|\alpha|=6} c_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(V),
\]

arising from (3.14), and (5.2), (5.16), (5.18), then yield

\[
(5.21) \quad \sum_{|\gamma|=2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial^{\gamma} V(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V(x)^4 dx \leq C'_n \left( |P_{s}(V)| + \| V \|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V(x)|^2 dx \right),
\]

for some constant \( C'_n > 0 \) depending only on \( n \). In light of (5.20)-(5.21) the set \( \mathcal{I}(V_0) \cap \mathcal{B} \) is thus bounded in \( H^2(\Omega) \) from Corollary 3.1. This entails the desired result since \( H^2(\Omega) \) is compactly embedded in \( H^s(\Omega) \) for all \( s < 2 \).
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