Preliminary comparison of the registration effect of 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT in image-guided radiotherapy of Stage IA non–small-cell lung cancer
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ABSTRACT

In this study, we compared the registration effectiveness of 4D cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and 3D-CBCT for image-guided radiotherapy in 20 Stage IA non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients. Patients underwent 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT immediately before radiotherapy, and the X-ray Volume Imaging software system was used for image registration. We performed automatic bone registration and soft tissue registration between 4D-CBCT or 3D-CBCT and 4D-CT images; the regions of interest (ROIs) were the vertebral body on the layer corresponding to the tumor and the internal target volume region. The relative displacement of the gross tumor volume between the 4D-CBCT end-expiratory phase sequence and 4D-CT was used to evaluate the registration error. Among the 20 patients (12 males, 8 females; 35–67 years old; median age, 52 years), 3 had central NSCLC and 17 had peripheral NSCLC, 8 in the upper or middle lobe and 12 in the lower lobe (maximum tumor diameter range, 18–27 mm). The internal motion range in three-dimensional space was 12.52 ± 2.65 mm, accounting for 47.8 ± 15.3% of the maximum diameter of each tumor. The errors of image-guided registration using 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT on the x (left–right), y (superior–inferior), z (anterior–posterior) axes, and 3D space were 0.80 ± 0.21 mm and 1.08 ± 0.25 mm, 2.02 ± 0.46 mm and 3.30 ± 0.53 mm, 0.52 ± 0.16 mm and 0.85 ± 0.24 mm, and 2.25 ± 0.44 mm and 3.59 ± 0.48 mm (all P < 0.001), respectively. Thus, 4D-CBCT is preferable to 3D-CBCT for image guidance in small pulmonary tumors because 4D-CBCT can reduce the uncertainty in the tumor location resulting from internal motion caused by respiratory movements, thereby increasing the image-guidance accuracy.

KEYWORDS: 4D-CBCT, Stage IA non–small-cell lung cancer, image-guided radiotherapy, 3D-CBCT, registration
Among the different modalities available, 3D-CBCT is the conventional method used in image guidance. However, the internal motions of the tumor and the normal organs caused by respiratory movements could have a significant impact on the delivery accuracy of radiotherapy [6]. Furthermore, the acquisition time of 3D-CBCT is longer than one breath cycle, which adversely affects the clarity of the acquired images. This is particularly true for small-sized tumors and tumors adjacent to the diaphragm, which have large ranges of internal motion, resulting in blurring of the image boundaries [7] and reduced accuracy of image guidance.

To address these problems, 4D-CBCT based on respiratory movements has recently been applied clinically [8]. Although 4D-CBCT can provide additional data related to respiration, it remains unclear whether these data can be translated into enhanced radiotherapy accuracy. The few model simulation studies and clinical trials [7, 9–11] that have investigated this issue have arrived at different conclusions. Moreover, 4D-CBCT still has the following drawbacks: (i) The duration of 4D-CBCT is longer than that of 3D-CBCT, which increases the inconsistency between image guidance and radiotherapy implementation; (ii) significantly more data are acquired by 4D-CBCT than by 3D-CBCT, which increases the complexity of data processing; (iii) when performing 4D-CBCT image registration, the image sequences, target ROI, and registration methods (automatic or manual registration, clip box or mask) vary between different research institutes [7, 10, 12, 13], and a standardized procedure is still lacking; and (iv) 4D-CBCT might increase the radiation exposure of the patient [14].

Current studies on 4D-CBCT are rare and their results are inconsistent. For example, in a simulated model and in eight patients, Hugo et al. observed that 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT were equally effective at increasing the accuracy of radiotherapy [9]. In contrast, Sweeney et al. performed a retrospective analysis of 21 lung cancer patients and found that 4D-CBCT increased the accuracy of image guidance more than 3D-CBCT did [7].

In view of these inconsistencies in the present body of knowledge, this study was designed to compare the effectiveness of image registration in 4D-CBCT with that in 3D-CBCT, when they were used for online image guidance during radiotherapy in patients with small pulmonary tumors. Our aim was to provide additional evidence for the clinical applications and value of 4D-CBCT.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical considerations**

This study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of the Shenzhen Hospital of Southern Medical University (Approval No. ERC-SZH-20162), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients before they were enrolled. Good clinical practice guidelines, the Declaration of Helsinki, and local laws were complied with throughout the study.

**Patient characteristics**

This study included 20 consecutive patients, from March 2014 to June 2016, with Stage IA non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who were medically inoperable or unwilling to undergo surgery and hence received stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR). Of these 20 patients, 12 were males and 8 were females; they were aged between 35 and 67 years, with a median age of 52 years; 8 cases presented with tumors in the upper or middle lobe and 12 in the lower lobe; 3 cases presented with central NSCLC and 17 with peripheral NSCLC. Of these 17 peripheral NSCLC patients, 9 had tumors located >1 cm from the chest wall, and 8 of the 17 had tumors located ≤1 cm from the chest wall. The maximum tumor diameter was between 18 and 27 mm among 20 patients. Of the 20 patients, 13 had normal pulmonary function, 5 had mild impairments, 1 had moderate impairments, and 1 had severe impairments (see Table 1). Patients with peripheral lesions that were located >1 cm from the chest wall (n = 9) received 54 Gy in 3 fractions, and patients with peripherally located lesions ≤1 cm from the chest wall (n = 8) or central lesions (n = 3) received 50 Gy in 4 fractions.

**Image acquisition and tumor motion analysis**

The patients lay down in a supine position, with hands raised and crossed above the head, after which their positions were fixed by low-temperature thermoplastic membrane fixation (Klarity Medical Products, Ohio, US) and a MultiFix™ Baseplate (Klarity Medical Products, Ohio, US). Then a Philips Big Bore 16 spiral CT scanner (Philips, UK) was used to acquire 10 image sequences at different respiratory phases during abdominal breathing (scanning conditions: 120 kV, 200 mA and slice thickness of 3 mm). The amplitude

| Table 1. Characteristics of 20 Stage IA NSCLC patients in this study |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Characteristics                  |       |
| Gender (n)                       |       |
| Male                             | 12    |
| Female                           | 8     |
| Age (years)                      | 35–67 (median: 52) |
| Location* (n)                    |       |
| Central                          | 3     |
| Peripheral (>1 cm from chest wall)| 9     |
| Peripheral (≤1 cm from chest wall)| 8     |
| Location (n)                     |       |
| Upper/Middle lobe                | 8     |
| Lower lobe                       | 12    |
| Pulmonary function (n)           |       |
| Normal                           | 13    |
| Mild impairments                 | 5     |
| Moderate impairments             | 1     |
| Severe impairments               | 1     |

*Central or peripheral location is based on the RTOG definition.
of the tumor motion was determined by measuring the tumor movement in the 10-phase 4D-CT sequences using the Pulmonary Toolkit for Oncology Software (Philips, UK). The motion ranges of the tumor centroid in the left–right, superior–inferior and anterior–posterior directions were measured on the frontal, vertical and sagittal axis for all 10 phase sequences registered by this software.

Treatment planning design
The 10 image sequences were transferred to the Monaco planning system (Elekta, Stockholm, Sweden), and the gross tumor volume (GTV) was outlined in the sequence image at the end-expiratory phase, where breathing motion and motion artefacts are expected to be smallest [6]. The GTVs outlined in the 10 sequence images were projected onto the end-expiratory phase and combined to form the internal target volume (ITV). The ITV was expanded outward by 5 mm to form the planning target volume (PTV). Monte Carlo dose calculation was performed on the sequence images at the end-expiratory phase to obtain the required dose. The images, which included end-expiratory phase sequences containing the GTV, ITV and PTV outlines, were transferred to the X-ray Volume Imaging system (XVI, version 4.5). The patients then received image-guided radiotherapy using an Elekta Axesse linear accelerator equipped with kilovolt CBCT (Elekta, Sweden).

Implementation of image guidance
For each patient, 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT were performed immediately before radiotherapy treatment (scanning conditions: rotation angle was 200°; tube voltage was 120 kV; tube current was 20 mA; filter type was S20; slice thickness was 3 mm; 1320 frames were acquired within 4 min at the rate of 16 ms per frame). The images acquired using 4D-CBCT were divided into 10 sequences on the basis of the different respiratory phases, and XVI was used to superimpose the 10 image sequences to form a composite image sequence. As the acquisition time of CBCT is relatively long, the images acquired using 3D-CBCT can be regarded as imaging of the motion trajectory for the tumor and normal organs during the respiratory cycle. The image quality of the CBCT was evaluated by one radiation oncologist and one radiotherapy technician with more than 2 years’ experience in IGRT for lung cancers, both of whom have good command of the XVI system. After the image quality of the CBCT was considered to be clear, without difficulties in registration, two methods of image registration were performed as described below.

Registration based on 4D-CBCT
A clip box was used to select the vertebral body on the layer corresponding to the tumor as the ROI. Next, automatic bone registration was performed for the 4D-CBCT and 4D-CT end-expiratory phase sequences. Then, the mask function was used to select images within the ITV region as the ROI, and automatic soft-tissue registration was performed on the 4D-CBCT composite image sequence and ITV. After registration, the relative displacement of the GTV between the 4D-CBCT end-expiratory phase sequence and the 4D-CT end-expiratory phase sequence was used to evaluate the accuracy of 4D-CBCT registration.

Registration based on 3D-CBCT
The same method was used to perform automatic bone registration of the 3D-CBCT and 4D-CT end-expiratory phase sequence images. The mask function was also used for automatic soft-tissue registration on the 3D-CBCT images and ITV. Then, the images of the 4D-CBCT end-expiratory phase sequence were displaced based on the 3D displacement data obtained from the automatic soft-tissue registration. The relative displacement of the GTV between the displaced 4D-CBCT end-expiratory phase sequence and 4D-CT was used to evaluate the accuracy of 3D-CBCT registration.

Figure 1 is a workflow showing the process of registration based on 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT briefly. The procedures were followed for the two representative cases described in Fig. 2.

Statistical methods
SPSS 22.0 statistical software was used to perform statistical analysis. Data were compared between the two groups by using paired-sample t-tests. P < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

RESULTS
Range of internal motion of tumors
For each patient, 10 time-phase images were recorded using 4D-CT, which revealed the range of internal motions of the tumor for each 3D direction. The range of internal motions on the x (left–right), y (superior–inferior) and z (anterior–posterior) axes for 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT were statistically significant. The differences between all 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT values were statistically significant (see Table 2).

Relative displacement in 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT registration
The errors of image-guided registration on the x (left–right), y (superior–inferior) and z (anterior–posterior) axes for 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT were 0.80 ± 0.21 mm and 1.08 ± 0.25 mm (P < 0.001), 2.02 ± 0.46 mm and 3.30 ± 0.53 mm (P < 0.001) and 0.52 ± 0.16 mm and 0.85 ± 0.24 mm (P < 0.001), respectively. The errors on three-dimensional space for 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT were calculated to be 2.25 ± 0.44 mm and 3.59 ± 0.48 mm (P < 0.001), respectively. The differences between all 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT values were statistically significant (see Table 3).

DISCUSSION
Improvement in the accuracy and reliability of dose delivery during radiotherapy is currently the predominant concern of clinicians. The internal motions of the tumor and normal organs caused by respiration significantly decrease the accuracy of thoracic and abdominal radiotherapy by increasing the uncertainty of the exact tumor
To address this problem, several methods have been proposed, including active breathing control [15], gated radiotherapy [16], and dynamic tumor tracking. Among them, 4D-CT based on respiratory phases is the most commonly used method. It records the internal motions of the tumor and normal organs caused by respiratory movements in order to provide more data for outlining the ROI and performing dose calculations [17, 18]. Image guidance is a key technique that is widely used during the administration of radiotherapy. Combining 4D-CT with daily image guidance can shrink the PTV by 37–47%. Hence, it is regarded as the most effective management strategy for improving the reliability of dose delivery in the majority of lung cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy, by decreasing the uncertainty of the exact tumor location [19].

The present study was conducted in patients with Stage IA NSCLC who were medically inoperable or unwilling to undergo surgery. Prospective clinical trials have shown that in patients with medically inoperable Stage I NSCLC, the 3-year local control rate of SABR is >85% and the 3-year overall survival rate is 60% [4, 20–29]. This indicates that SABR is effective [24, 30–33]; therefore, it is the preferred treatment method in early stage NSCLC [4, 30, 34, 35]. Furthermore, because SABR uses short courses of very conformal and dose-intensive radiotherapy, radiation delivery needs to be precise [36–38]. Because of the small tumor size and relatively broad range of tumor motion in early-stage lung cancer, image guidance techniques that can improve treatment accuracy are urgently needed for optimal treatment of these patients.
In this study, 4D-CBCT showed superior image guidance, and the possible reasons for this finding are as follows. First, the composite image sequences of 4D-CBCT and ITV were used for registration, and both can accurately show the internal motion of the tumors. In contrast, although 3D-CBCT can approximate the trajectory of the tumor during respiratory movements, it is akin to

Fig. 2. (a) Case 1: The gross tumor volume (GTV) was outlined in each of 10 sequence images acquired by 4D-CT, as indicated by the different colored lines, and was projected onto the end-expiratory phase sequence. The internal motion of the tumor could be observed. (b) Case 1: Monte Carlo dose calculation was performed on the end-expiratory phase sequence to obtain the required dose. (c) Case 2: The GTV was outlined in 10 sequence images as indicated by the different colored lines. (d) Case 2: A clip box (white dashed box seen in sagittal plane, frontal plane and transverse section) was used to select the vertebral body on the layer corresponding to the tumor (seen in transverse section) as the region of interest (ROI). Next, automatic bone registration was performed for the 4D-CBCT end-expiratory phase sequences or 3D-CBCT and 4D-CT end-expiratory phase sequences. (e) Case 2: the mask function (the red region almost overlaps with the ITV region) was used to select images within the ITV region (contoured by yellow line around the tumor; the purple line indicates the PTV) as the ROI, and automatic soft-tissue registration was performed.

In this study, 4D-CBCT showed superior image guidance, and the possible reasons for this finding are as follows. First, the composite image sequences of 4D-CBCT and ITV were used for registration, and both can accurately show the internal motion of the tumors. In contrast, although 3D-CBCT can approximate the trajectory of the tumor during respiratory movements, it is akin to
limitations of the facilities, a slice thickness of 3 mm is the thinnest one that can currently be achieved. It can be predicted that a more desirable result could be obtained if thinner slice thickness were available. Third, since this study mainly focused on whether 4D-CBCT enhances the accuracy of registration for small lesions in lungs (from the perspective of clinical practice), the physics of the technique (including phantom study and image quality) requires further investigation. In addition, the images acquired by 4D-CBCT and 3D-CBCT represent the movements of the tumor and surrounding normal tissue before the actual irradiation process; however, tumor motion during radiotherapy might be different from that during image guidance performed before radiotherapy. Furthermore, the small sample size of this study might have introduced bias.

CONCLUSION
In summary, 4D-CBCT might be better than 3D-CBCT for image guidance in small pulmonary tumor irradiation. This is because 4D-CBCT can reduce the unreliability of radiation delivery resulting from the internal motion caused by respiratory movements during image guidance, thereby increasing the accuracy of image guidance. However, this requires further investigation in a study with a larger patient population.
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