Spatial distribution and determinant factors of anaemia among women of reproductive age in Ethiopia: a multilevel and spatial analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective  The aim of this study was to assess the spatial distribution and determinant factors of anaemia among reproductive age women in Ethiopia.

Methods  An in-depth analysis of the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey data was undertaken. Getis-Ord Gi* statistics were used to identify the hot and cold spot areas for anaemia among women of reproductive age. A multilevel logistic regression model was used to identify independent predictors of anaemia among women of reproductive age.

Results  Older age (adjusted OR [AOR]=0.75; 95% CI 0.64 to 0.96), no education (AOR=1.37; 95% CI 1.10 to 1.72), lowest wealth quintile (AOR=1.29; 95% CI 1.01 to 1.60), currently pregnant (AOR=1.28; 95% CI 1.10 to 1.51), currently breast feeding (AOR=1.09; 95% CI 1.025 to 1.28), high gravidity (AOR=1.39; 95% CI 1.13 to 1.69) and HIV positive (AOR=2.11; 95% CI 1.59 to 2.79) are individual factors associated with the occurrence of anaemia. Likewise, living in a rural area (AOR=1.29; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.63) and availability of unimproved latrine facilities (AOR=1.18; 95% CI 1.01 to 1.39) are community-level factors associated with higher odds of anaemia. The spatial analysis indicated that statistically high hotspots of anaemia were observed in the eastern (Somali, Dire Dawa and Harari regions) and north-eastern (Afar) parts of the country.

Conclusion  The prevalence rate of anaemia among women of reproductive age varied across the country. Significant hotspots/high prevalence of anaemia was observed in the eastern and north-eastern parts of Ethiopia. Anaemia prevention strategies need to be targeted on rural residents, women with limited to no education, women who are breast feeding, areas with poor latrine facilities and women who are HIV positive.

INTRODUCTION

Anaemia refers to a low haemoglobin level (<110 g/L for pregnant women and <120 g/L for non-pregnant women).1 If an individual’s haemoglobin level is low, the red blood cells are unable to carry adequate oxygen for the body’s physiological needs.1 Anaemia is a major public health problem in women and children under 5 years of age.2 Worldwide, 38% of pregnant women and 29% of non-pregnant women were anaemic in 2011.2 Pregnant women in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) experience high rates of anaemia, in which the highest prevalence rates are reported in Central and West Africa (56%), South Asia (52%) and East Africa (36%).2 Similarly, a large proportion of non-pregnant women were reportedly anaemic in West and Central Africa (48%), South Asia (47%) and East Africa (28%).2 Anaemia can have negative effects on a woman’s health including maternal mortality and severe morbidity,3 depression,4,5 raised blood pressure,6,7 as well as negative influences on the infant including low birth weight and preterm birth.8 Thus, anaemia remains one of the global health priority areas at the global level, particularly in resource-limited settings.8 Reducing anaemia is considered as an essential part of improving the health of women, and the WHO has set a global target of achieving 50% reduction of anaemia among women of reproductive age by 2025.10
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Anaemia is also a common problem in Ethiopia; the recent Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey (EDHS 2016) reported 29% prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women and 24% among women of reproductive age; these prevalence rate ranging from 16% to 59% across different parts of the country.\textsuperscript{11} Likewise, in several pocket studies from different parts of the country, researchers reported varied anaemia prevalence rates among pregnant women, which ranged from 17% in the north,\textsuperscript{12} 32% in the south\textsuperscript{13} and up to 44%\textsuperscript{14} and 57%\textsuperscript{15} in the eastern part of Ethiopia. Similarly, in different studies, there was reported to be a 16%\textsuperscript{16} prevalence of anaemia among non-pregnant women and 29%\textsuperscript{17} and 30%\textsuperscript{18 19} among women of reproductive age.

There are a number of different factors contributing to the burden of anaemia, with iron deficiency the main cause of the disease.\textsuperscript{20} Other micronutrients (vitamin A, vitamin B\textsubscript{12}, and folate), chronic bleeding, acute or chronic infections and parasitic infections (hookworm and malaria) are also known to cause anaemia.\textsuperscript{16 21–23} Based on the geographic distribution and disease burden in LMIC, about half of anaemia cases are attributable to a deficiency of iron and the remainder may be due to diseases like parasitic infections, malaria and HIV.\textsuperscript{24} A recent systematic review revealed that the proportion of anaemia caused by iron deficiency was below 50% in LMIC, with regional variations, poor sanitary conditions and subsequent increased occurrence of infections also contributing to anaemia.\textsuperscript{25}

In Ethiopia, varied prevalence rates of anaemia among women have been observed with different factors across different parts of the country.\textsuperscript{12 15} For instance, large family size, low education status, rural residence, hookworm infestation and HIV infection were identified as factors contributing to anaemia in northern Ethiopia,\textsuperscript{12 26} while in studies from the eastern area, it was reported that multigravida, third trimester of pregnancy and intestinal infestation were factors contributing to anaemia during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{14 27} The variation in rates of anaemia among women in Ethiopia might be due to the presence of diverse contextual and geographically variable factors including diet and the incidence of communicable diseases.\textsuperscript{9}

To date, spatial analyses have not been conducted to identify areas with hotspots (high prevalence rates) of anaemia among reproductive age women in Ethiopia. Assessing the geographic distributions of anaemia and the impact of risk factors on disease prevalence by area is important to prioritise and design targeted prevention and intervention programmes to address anaemia in women.\textsuperscript{28} In addition, the burden of anaemia has been used as a measurable indicator of soil-transmitted helminthiasis, so understanding the geographical distribution of anaemia can help target prevention and control mechanisms for parasitic infections such as these.\textsuperscript{29}

Thus, the aims of this study were to assess the spatial distribution and determinant factors of anaemia among women of reproductive age women in Ethiopia.

**METHODS**

**Patient and public involvement**

This study used a publicly available data set (EDHS 2016); therefore, there were no patients or members of the public involved.

**Study design and setting**

An in-depth analysis of the EDHS 2016 data was undertaken for this study. EDHS 2016 was a population-based cross-sectional study conducted across the country. It is the fourth national survey conducted in all parts of Ethiopia (in nine regional states [Tigray, Afar, Amhara, Oromia, Somali, Benishangul-Gumuz, Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples’ Region [SNNPR], Gambella and Harari] and two city administrations [Addis Ababa and Dire Dawa]).\textsuperscript{11} In Ethiopia, the states are administratively further subdivided into zones, zones into Woredas and Woredas further into the lowest unit called Kebeles.

**Sampling and data measurements**

In the 2016 EDHS, stratified and cluster multistage sampling was used, and it was intended to be representative at the regional and national level in terms of appropriate demographic and health indicators. In the first stage, 645 clusters of enumeration areas (EAs) (202 urban and 443 rural) were identified using probability proportional to the size of EAs. In the second stage, a random sample of 18008 households were selected from all the
identified EAs. A total of 15683 women aged 15–49 years were interviewed, and haemoglobin levels were measured for 14923 of them Figure 1. Data collection took place from 18 January 2016 to 27 June 2016. The sample size for EDHS was determined based on the multistage sampling procedure, taking into consideration the sampling variation. SEs were computed using the Taylor linearisation method. The design effect, which is the ratio between the SE with the given sample design and the SE that would result if a simple random sample had been used, was determined.11

Haemoglobin levels of the women were measured using HemoCue, which is the standard test used in the EDHS 2016, and all haemoglobin values were adjusted for both altitude and smoking status.11 Pregnant women with a haemoglobin value <110 g/L and non-pregnant women with a haemoglobin value <120 g/L were considered anaemic.1 Similarly, anaemia was classified according to its severity as severe (haemoglobin value <70 g/L), moderate (70 – 99 g/L) in all women and mild (100.0 – 109 g/L) in pregnant women and non-pregnant women (100 – 119 g/L).1

Explanatory variables (determinant factors)
Both individual-level and community-level factors were used. The individual-level and community-level factors included in this study are presented in online supplementary table 1 with their definition and coding. The variables were selected based on the literature review for factors affecting anaemia,12 14 26 27 and sociodemographic, maternal as well as community level factors were identified as important factors for the occurrence of anaemia. Therefore, all the available variables in the data set were included for the analysis. Individual factors included age, religion, marital status, educational status, body mass index, birth interval, use of contraceptives, wealth index, family size, iron-folate intake and gravidity of women, while the community level factors were residence (urban and rural), region, water source and latrine facility type. Community-level measures could also be driven by aggregating individual level variables, for example, the proportion of women in the community who are in the top quantile of wealth index and proportion of women in the community who have clean water access. Community-level factors describe the group of populations living in similar settings.

The assumption of independence of observation has been taken as a basis to determine which variables are analysed at individual and community level. If the observations at the individual level are independent, variables were treated as individual-level factors. Whereas, if the observations were clustered into higher levels of units and if several women have shared features such as place of residence, types of water source, latrine facility and region that could have the same effect on anaemia among women in the locality, then variables are analysed at the community level.

DATA ANALYSIS
Spatial analysis
Spatial analyses were performed using Geoda V.1.8.10 (geodacenter.github.ib), QGIS V.2.18.0 (qgis.org) and Arc GIS software V.10.1 (arcgis.com), and base files of the administrative regions for Ethiopia were obtained from DIVA (diva-gis.org). The spatial analysis was conducted by joining the occurrence of anaemia (as proportions) with each cluster to the corresponding geospatial location (survey cluster values). The values of Demographic Health Survey data were merged with the geographic poisoning system (GPS) dataset in Geoda software, and these values were imported into the QGIS software. Anaemia proportions were then computed at lower (cluster), zonal and regional levels using QGIS.

The spatial pattern of the rate of anaemia among women of reproductive age was visualised, and a spatially smoothed proportion was obtained through empirical Bayes estimation methods.30 The smoothed proportions present clearer patterns, where the problem was most severe. The spatial empirical Bayes ‘smooth’ estimates technique was able to deal with spatial heterogeneity. The estimation technique guarantees that estimates of neighbouring states are more alike than estimates of states that are further away.31

A standardised prevalence rate, or the ratio of the observed prevalence rate to a national prevalence rate, was determined using Geoda software.31 Geoda implements this in the form of an excess risk estimate as part of the map. The excess risk rate is the ratio of the observed rate to the average rate computed for all the data.31 Furthermore, a spatial analysis was performed to identify the clustering of anaemia in women or hotspot areas (the areas that have higher anaemia prevalence rates compared with the national average) in different regions of Ethiopia. Spatial analysis is an epidemiological method useful to specify geographic areas with high or low rates of disease occurrence and variability over the region or country.32 Getis-Ord Gi* statistics was used for this spatial analysis. Local Getis-Ord Gi* statistics33 are important to identify the hot and cold spot areas for anaemia in reproductive age women using GPS latitude and longitude coordinate readings that were taken at the nearest community centre for EAs or EDHS 2016 clusters.11 An anaemia hotspot refers to the occurrence of high prevalence rates of anaemia clustered together on the map, whereas cold spots refers to the occurrence of low prevalence rates of anaemia clustered together on the map.33 A local Getis-Ord G-statistic tool in ArcGIS was used to calculate the spatial variability of high and low prevalence rates of anaemia among women of reproductive age. An autocorrelation can be classified into positive and negative correlations through the local Getis-Ord G statistics.33 Positive autocorrelation occurs when similar values clustered together on a map (high rates surrounded by nearby high rates or low rates surrounded by nearby low rates). Negative autocorrelation indicates different values clustered together on a map, that is, high values surrounded...
by nearby low values or low values surrounded by nearby high values. Statistical significance of autocorrelation was determined by z-scores and p value with a 95% level of confidence. The distribution and variations of anaemia prevalence rates among women across the country were displayed on the map.

**Statistical analysis**

The descriptive statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software V.24.0 (www.spss.com) by complex sample analysis. Frequencies, percentage and SD were used for the descriptive analysis. Since some regions with small populations were oversampled, while others with large population were under-represented, the weighted frequencies and percentages (based on population size of each region) were computed as a correction. The detailed weighted procedure was described in EDHS 2016 report.11 The mean and SD were computed for blood haemoglobin level. The mean haemoglobin value was also compared across different independent categorical variables using one-way analysis of variance or independent t-test.

The multivariable multilevel logistic regression model was used to determine the effect of different factors on anaemia among women. The analysis was performed by using SAS V.9.4 software (www.sas.com) using Proc Glimmix with Laplace’s method. For this multilevel analysis, four models were constructed. The first model was constructed without independent variables to assess the effect of community variation on anaemia among women. Individual-level factors were incorporated in the second model. In the third model, community-level factors were included. Finally, both individual-level and community-level factors were included in the fourth model.

The results of fixed effects were presented as OR with 95% CIs. An adjusted OR (AOR) with 95% CIs was computed to identify the independent factors of anaemia among women, and a p value <0.05 was used as a measure of statistical significance. A multicollinearity test was done in order to rule out a significant correlation between variables. If the values of variance inflation factor (VIF) was lower than 10, then the collinearity problem was considered as less likely. The random effects (variance) were measured by intracluster correlation coefficient (ICC) (variance partition coefficient),34 percentage change in variance (PCV)35 and median OR (MOR).36 37 which measure the variability between clusters in the multilevel models. ICC explains the cluster variability, while MOR can quantify unexplained cluster variability (heterogeneity). MOR translates cluster variance into OR scale. In the multilevel model, PCV can measure the total variation due to factors at the community and individual level.35 The ICC, PCV and MOR were determined using the estimated variance of clusters using the following formula34 35.

\[
\text{ICC} = \frac{V}{V + \frac{\pi V}{\sqrt{2}}} \\
\text{MOR} = \exp\sqrt{2 \times \frac{V}{\pi V} \times 0.6745} \sim \exp\left(0.95\sqrt{V}\right)
\]

where \( V \) is the estimated variance of clusters and

\[
\text{PCV} = \left(\frac{V_A - V_B}{V_A}\right) \times 100
\]

where \( V_A \) is variance of the initial model; \( V_B \) is variance of the model with more terms.

The multilevel analysis model is one of the analysis methods that can correctly handle the correlated data.37 A multilevel model evaluates how factors at different levels affect the dependent variable. A multilevel model provides correct parameter estimates by correcting the biases introduced from clustering by producing correct SEs, thus producing correct CI, and significance tests.37

**Ethical consideration**

Publicly available EDHS 2016 data were used for this study. Informed consent was taken from each participant, and all identifiers were removed.

**RESULTS**

**Sociodemographic characteristics**

The data on 14923 women were included in this analysis, including 642 clusters nested in 11 regions. The descriptive statistics of the study participants are presented in table 1. The mean (±SD) age of the respondents was 28.2 years (±9.2 years). The majority of participants lived in a rural area (78%). Nearly two-thirds (66%) of participants were married or living with a partner. Almost half (48%) of the women had no formal education, and around 43% were followers of the Orthodox Tewahdo Christian religion. Only 18% of the households had access to a piped water source for drinking, and 15% had access to an improved latrine facility. Nearly one-third (n=4657; 31.2%) of women were breast feeding at the time of the survey (table 1). The average haemoglobin level among lactating mothers was 126 g/L (± 17 g/L), and about 28.3% (95% CI 25.7% to 31.0%) of these women were anaemic.

**Prevalence rate of anaemia among women**

Among all respondents, the mean (±SD) blood haemoglobin level (adjusted for altitude) was 128 g/L (± 17 g/L). The overall prevalence of anaemia among women of reproductive age across the country was 23.6% (95% CI 22.0 to 25.3). The prevalence of mild, moderate and severe anaemia among all women of reproductive age were 17.8% (95% CI 16.7 to 19), 5.0% (95% CI 4.3 to 5.8) and 0.8% (95% CI 0.5 to 1.2), respectively. There was regional variation in anaemia prevalence among women of reproductive age (p=0.0001) and higher prevalence rates observed in Afar, Somali, Gambella, Dire Dawa and Oromia regions. Lower prevalence of anaemia was observed in Addis Ababa, Tigray and Amhara regions. Rural areas had a higher prevalence, 25.4 (95% CI 23.5 to 27.4) of anaemia in women than urban areas, 17.0 (95% CI 14.4 to 20.0) (p=0.0001). The highest proportion of anaemia among women were found in Somali Regional
Table 1  Sociodemographic and other health-related characteristics of study participants included in the analysis, EDHS 2016 (n=14923)

| Variables                          | Weighted frequency | Weighted per cent |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| **Age (years)**                    |                    |                   |
| 15–19                              | 3165               | 21.2              |
| 20–29                              | 5467               | 36.6              |
| 30–39                              | 4078               | 27.3              |
| 40–49                              | 2213               | 14.8              |
| **Place of residence**             |                    |                   |
| Urban                              | 3169               | 21.2              |
| Rural                              | 11754              | 78.8              |
| **Educational status**             |                    |                   |
| No education                       | 7215               | 48.3              |
| Primary                            | 5244               | 35.1              |
| Secondary                          | 1676               | 11.2              |
| Higher                             | 789                | 5.3               |
| **Marital status**                 |                    |                   |
| Single                             | 3758               | 25.2              |
| Married                            | 9800               | 65.7              |
| Divorced/widowed/separated         | 1365               | 9.1               |
| **Religion**                       |                    |                   |
| Orthodox                           | 6447               | 43.2              |
| Protestant                         | 3514               | 23.5              |
| Muslim                             | 4645               | 31.1              |
| Other                              | 317                | 2.1               |
| **Region**                         |                    |                   |
| Tigray                             | 1073               | 7.2               |
| Afar                               | 119                | 0.8               |
| Amhara                             | 3645               | 24.4              |
| Oromia                             | 5422               | 36.3              |
| Somali                             | 417                | 2.8               |
| Benishangul-Gumuz                  | 146                | 1.0               |
| SNNPR                              | 3124               | 20.9              |
| Gambella                           | 42                 | 0.3               |
| Harari                             | 32                 | 0.2               |
| Addis Ababa                        | 825                | 5.5               |
| Dire Dawa                          | 77                 | 0.5               |
| **Wealth index**                   |                    |                   |
| Poorest                            | 2519               | 16.9              |
| Poorer                             | 2717               | 18.2              |
| Middle                             | 2891               | 19.4              |
| Richer                             | 2979               | 20.0              |
| Richest                            | 3816               | 25.6              |
| **BMI**                            |                    |                   |
| <18.5                              | 3060               | 22.1              |
| 18.5–24.9                          | 9740               | 70.5              |
| ≥25                                | 1018               | 7.4               |

Table 1 Continued

| Variables                                                | Weighted frequency | Weighted per cent |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Birth interval                                           |                    |                   |
| <24 months                                               | 1415               | 18.3              |
| >=24 months                                              | 6305               | 81.7              |
| Current use of contraceptives                            |                    |                   |
| Yes                                                      | 1088               | 7.3               |
| No                                                       | 13835              | 92.7              |
| Iron folate intake during pregnancy (n = 7328)           |                    |                   |
| Yes                                                      | 3108               | 42.4              |
| No/don’t know                                           | 4220               | 57.6              |
| Gravidity of women (children ever born)                  |                    |                   |
| 0                                                        | 4745               | 31.8              |
| 1–3                                                      | 4715               | 31.6              |
| 4+                                                       | 5464               | 36.6              |
| Children ever born in the preceding 5 years              |                    |                   |
| 0                                                        | 7595               | 50.9              |
| 1                                                        | 4475               | 30.0              |
| 2+                                                       | 2852               | 19.1              |
| Currently breast feeding                                 |                    |                   |
| Yes                                                      | 4657               | 31.2              |
| No                                                       | 10266              | 68.8              |
| Currently pregnant                                      |                    |                   |
| Yes                                                      | 1088               | 7.3               |
| No                                                       | 13835              | 92.7              |
| Smoking                                                 |                    |                   |
| Yes                                                      | 96                 | 0.6               |
| No                                                       | 14827              | 99.4              |
| Birth in the last year                                   |                    |                   |
| 0                                                        | 12474              | 83.6              |
| 1–2                                                      | 2449               | 16.4              |
| HIV test                                                |                    |                   |
| Positive                                                 | 187                | 1.3               |
| Negative                                                | 14724              | 98.7              |
| Water source                                            |                    |                   |
| Piped water                                             | 2646               | 17.7              |
| Other improved                                          | 6926               | 46.4              |
| Unimproved                                              | 5351               | 35.9              |
| Latrine facility type                                    |                    |                   |
| Improved toilet                                         | 2231               | 14.9              |
| Unimproved toilet                                       | 7877               | 52.8              |
| Open defecation                                         | 4414               | 29.6              |
| Other                                                    | 401                | 2.7               |
| Anaemia status                                          |                    |                   |
| Anaemic                                                  | 3527               | 23.6              |

Continued
Determinant factors of anaemia among women of reproductive age

Multilevel analysis (fixed effect analysis)

The results of multilevel logistic regression for the individual-level and community-level variables are presented in table 4. In the full model in which all individual-level and community-level factors are included, residence, education, religion, wealth index, pregnancy and breastfeeding status, gravidity of women and lack of availability of an improved latrine were factors significantly associated with anaemia in women. The results of the multicollinearity test indicated that no collinearity problem existed, since the VIF value of all variables is lower than 10 (supplementary table 2).

Individual factors

The average haemoglobin value was significantly different across age groups (p=0.0001). The highest mean haemoglobin level, 130 g/L, was observed in the youngest (15–19 years) age group, while the lowest mean haemoglobin level, 127 g/L, in the age group of 30–34 years. The general pattern indicated roughly linear decline among women aged 15–34 years (figure 2). Women aged 40–49 years old were 25% less likely to be anaemic compared with women in the youngest age group (15–19 years old) (AOR=0.75; 95% CI 0.64 to 0.96). Those women with limited education were 1.37 times more likely to be anaemic than women who completed higher education (AOR=1.37; 95% CI 1.102 to 1.72). The odds of anaemia increased by 29% (AOR=1.29; 95% CI 1.014 to 1.60) when comparing the poorest to the richest women. The odds of anaemia were higher in women who were pregnant (AOR=1.28; 95% CI 1.10 to 1.51) compared with those who were not pregnant. Women who were currently breastfeeding were 9% (AOR=1.09; 95% CI 1.025 to 1.28) more likely to be anaemic. The odds of anaemia were 39% higher among mothers who had given birth to four or more children (AOR=1.39; 95% CI 1.13 to 1.69). Women who gave birth to two or more children in the preceding 5 years of the survey were at higher risk of having anaemia (AOR=1.31; 95% CI 1.09 to 1.57). In this study, women who were HIV positive had a twofold increased odds of having anaemia compared with women classified as HIV negative (AOR=2.11; 95% CI 1.59 to 2.79) (table 4).

Community-level factors

Living in a rural area was associated with a 29% higher odds of anaemia among women of reproductive age than women who were urban residents (AOR=1.29; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.63). Women from households without access to a latrine had 18% higher odds of anaemia compared with women from households that had an improved latrine facility (AOR=1.18; 95% CI 1.01 to 1.39). Higher odds of anaemia were observed in Somali regional state (AOR=2.16; 95% CI 1.58 to 2.90) compared with Dire Dawa. However, the odds of anaemia among women were lower in Gambella, Addis Ababa, Amhara and Oromia region compared with Dire Dawa (table 4).

Multilevel analysis (random effect analysis)

The results of the random effects model is shown in table 4. Prevalence rate of anaemia varied across communities (t²=0.88, p<0.0001). In other words, the anaemia prevalence rate was not similarly distributed across the communities. About 21% of the variance in the odds of anaemia in women could be attributed to community-level factors, as calculated by the ICC based on estimated intercept component variance. After adjusting for individual-level and community-level factors, the variation in anaemia across communities remained statistically significant. About 16% of the odds of anaemia variation across communities was observed in the full model (model 4) (table 4).

Moreover, the MOR indicated that anaemia was attributed to community-level factors. The MOR for anaemia was 2.44 in the empty model (model 1); this showed that there was variation between communities (clustering) since MOR was 2.4 times higher than the reference (MOR=1). The
The variation of anaemia prevalence rates across different regions and different sociodemographic characteristics of women in Ethiopia, 2016

| Variables                  | Weighted frequency | Weighted proportion of anaemia (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
|                            | Anaemic            | Non-anaemic                            |         |
| Place of residence         |                    |                                        |         |
| Urban                      | 538                | 2630                                   | 17.0 (14.4 to 20.0) | 0.0001   |
| Rural                      | 2989               | 8766                                   | 25.4 (23.5 to 27.4) |         |
| Region                     |                    |                                        |         |
| Tigray                     | 212                | 861                                    | 19.7 (16.8 to 23.0) | 0.0001   |
| Afar                       | 53                 | 66                                     | 44.7 (39.9 to 49.6) |         |
| Amhara                     | 627                | 3019                                   | 17.2 (14.9 to 19.7) |         |
| Oromia                     | 1480               | 3942                                   | 27.2 (23.8 to 31.1) |         |
| Somali                     | 248                | 169                                    | 59.5 (55.2 to 63.7) |         |
| Benishangul-Gumuz          | 28                 | 118                                    | 19.2 (16.1 to 22.7) |         |
| SNNPR                      | 704                | 2420                                   | 22.5 (19.4 to 26.0) |         |
| Gambella                   | 11                 | 31                                     | 26.1 (21.3 to 31.5) |         |
| Harari                     | 9                  | 23                                     | 27.7 (23.7 to 32.1) |         |
| Addis Ababa                | 132                | 693                                    | 16 (13.5 to 18.8)  |         |
| Dire Dawa                  | 23                 | 54                                     | 30 (25.8 to 34.8)  |         |
| Education status           |                    |                                        |         |
| No education               | 2002               | 5212                                   | 27.8 (25.4 to 30.2) | 0.0001   |
| Primary                    | 1136               | 4108                                   | 21.7 (19.8 to 23.7) |         |
| Secondary                  | 297                | 1378                                   | 17.8 (14.9 to 21.0) |         |
| Higher                     | 92                 | 697                                    | 11.5 (8.2 to 16.0)  |         |
| Wealth index               |                    |                                        |         |
| Poorest                    | 863                | 1656                                   | 34.3 (29.7 to 39.1) | 0.0001   |
| Poorer                     | 688                | 2028                                   | 25.3 (22.6 to 28.3) |         |
| Middle                     | 686                | 2205                                   | 23.7 (21.2 to 26.5) |         |
| Richer                     | 625                | 2354                                   | 21.0 (18.6 to 23.6) |         |
| Richest                    | 664                | 3152                                   | 17.4 (15.1 to 19.9) |         |
| Currently pregnant         |                    |                                        |         |
| Yes                        | 317                | 771                                    | 29.1 (24.9 to 33.7) | 0.003    |
| No                         | 3210               | 10625                                  | 23.2 (21.6 to 24.9) |         |
| Currently breast feeding   |                    |                                        |         |
| Yes                        | 1317               | 3340                                   | 28.3 (25.7 to 31.0) | 0.0001   |
| No                         | 2210               | 8055                                   | 21.5 (20.0 to 23.2) |         |
| Total                      | 3527               | 11396                                  | 23.6 (22.0 to 25.3) |         |

SNNPR, Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples’ Region.

An unexplained community variation in anaemia decreased to MOR of 2.1 when all factors were added to the null model (empty model). This indicates that when all factors are included, the effect of clustering is still statistically significant in the full model (table 4).

Spatial data analysis
Figure 3 displays the empirical Bayes smoothed proportion estimate of anaemia among women across regions in Ethiopia. A severe anaemia prevalence rate (≥40%) among women of reproductive age was observed in Afar and Somali Regional States. Likewise, a moderate anaemia prevalence rate (20%–40%) occurred in Oromia, Gambella, SNNPR, Harari and Dire Dawa Regional States. Whereas, a mild anaemia prevalence rate (<20%) was observed in Tigray and Amhara Regional States and Addis Ababa. Similarly, the standardised prevalence ratio by regions (standardised to the national average prevalence of
23.6%), ranging from 0.63 to 2.39, was displayed on the map (figure 4). A higher prevalence ratio of anaemia was observed in Somali (2.39), Afar (1.8) Oromia (1.17), Dire Dawa (1.15) and Gambella (1.12) regional states (figure 4), whereas a lower prevalence ratio of anaemia occurred in other regional states: Addis Ababa (0.64), Amhara (0.76), Benishangul-Gumuz (0.79), SNNPR (0.96) and Tigray (0.85) (figure 4).

Figure 5 displays the smoothed anaemia prevalence rates at zonal level where higher anaemia rates were observed in all zones in Afar and Somali regions, as well as in some zones in Oromia. Likewise, the higher standardised ratio of anaemia was observed in all zones in Afar and Somali regions as well as in some zones in Oromia (figure 6).

The spatial distributions of anaemia among women at the lower level (cluster level) is displayed in figure 7. The spatial investigation at the cluster level indicated that statistically high hotspots of anaemia were observed in the eastern (Somali, Dire Dawa and Harari regions) and in north-eastern (Afar) parts of the country, while cold spots of anaemia were observed in the northern (Tigray and Amhara), central (Addis Ababa and Oromia) and western (Benishangul-Gumuz and Gambella) parts of the country (figure 7).

DISCUSSION
Approximately a quarter of women of reproductive age were anaemic in the current study, indicating that anaemia is a moderate public health problem at the national level in Ethiopia. However, geographic differences demonstrated that anaemia is a serious public health problem in 5 of the 11 Ethiopian states. A higher proportion of anaemia cases was observed in the eastern and north-eastern parts of the country, which are less developed compared with other Ethiopian states in terms of economy, gender, healthcare facility and food availability. The geographical difference of anaemia across the regional states might be attributable to the regional variation of food consumption preferences, the occurrence of communicable diseases and differences in availability of healthcare facilities. In addition, lack of clean water and unimproved latrine facilities would increase the occurrence of soil transmitted infection which, in turn, could lead to anaemia, which might explain some of the observed geographical differences.

According to the final model, both individual-level and community-level factors were responsible for about 43% of the disparity of anaemia prevalence rates among women of reproductive age in Ethiopia. After adjusting for all factors in the model, the likelihood of having anaemia was higher among those of younger age, with lower levels of education, living in rural areas, in the lowest wealth quantile, who were currently pregnant or breastfeeding, with high gravidity, who had given birth in the year prior to the survey and who were without access to an improved latrine facility.

Women aged 40–49 years had a lower likelihood of being anaemic compared with women aged between

### Table 3 Haemoglobin level among pregnant women in Ethiopia, 2016

| Variables                        | Number | Haemoglobin level (g/L) (mean(SD)) | P values of ANOVA or independent t-test |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Total Children ever born         | 1088   | 0                                  | 0.0001                                 |
| 0                                | 213    | 121 (17)                           |                                        |
| 1–3                              | 484    | 117 (18)                           |                                        |
| 4+                               | 390    | 115 (18)                           |                                        |
| Pregnancy stage                  | 1088   | 0                                  | 0.0001                                 |
| 1st trimester                    | 226    | 124 (17)                           |                                        |
| 2nd trimester                    | 433    | 116 (16)                           |                                        |
| 3rd trimester                    | 429    | 115 (19)                           |                                        |
| Children ever born in last 5 years | 1088   | 0                                  | 0.0001                                 |
| 0                                | 339    | 121 (17)                           |                                        |
| 1                                | 484    | 117 (18)                           |                                        |
| 2+                               | 265    | 114 (19)                           |                                        |
| Fe-Fol supplementation           | 749    | 0                                  | 0.018                                  |
| Yes                              | 251    | 118 (15)                           |                                        |
| No                               | 498    | 115 (19)                           |                                        |
| Birth interval                   | 702    | 0                                  | 0.0001                                 |
| <24 months                       | 206    | 112 (20)                           |                                        |
| =>24 months                      | 497    | 119 (15)                           |                                        |

ANOVA, analysis of variance; Fe-Fol, iron folate.
Table 4  Multivariable multilevel logistic regression analysis for determinant factors associated with anaemia among Ethiopian women, 2016

| Variables                        | Model 1 | Model 2 Individual-level factors | Model 3 Community-level factors | Model 4 Individual+community-level factors |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| **Individual-level factors**     |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| Age (years)                      |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| 15–19                            | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| 20–29                            | 0.93 (0.81 to 1.07) | 0.96 (0.82 to 1.19) |                                    |                                            |
| 30–39                            | 0.89 (0.75 to 1.10) | 0.92 (0.78 to 1.11) |                                    |                                            |
| 40–49                            | 0.76 (0.61 to 0.92) | 0.75 (0.64 to 0.96) |                                    |                                            |
| **Educational status**           |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| No education                     | 1.41 (1.13 to 1.76) | 1.37 (1.10 to 1.72) |                                    |                                            |
| Primary                          | 1.22 (0.99 to 1.51) | 1.24 (1.00 to 1.53) |                                    |                                            |
| Secondary                        | 1.22 (0.98 to 1.5) | 1.23 (0.98 to 1.52) |                                    |                                            |
| Higher                           | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| **Marital status**               |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| Single                           | 0.99 (0.80 to 1.22) | 0.97 (0.81 to 1.22) |                                    |                                            |
| Married                          | 1.07 (0.92 to 1.23) | 1.09 (0.91 to 1.23) |                                    |                                            |
| Divorced/widowed/separated       | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| **Religion**                     |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| Orthodox                         | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| Protestant                       | 1.36 (1.16 to 1.58) | 1.37 (1.15 to 1.63) |                                    |                                            |
| Muslim                           | 2.04 (1.79 to 2.33) | 1.36 (1.16 to 1.58) |                                    |                                            |
| Other                            | 1.49 (1.05 to 2.12) | 1.525 (1.06 to 2.13) |                                   |                                            |
| **Wealth index**                 |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| Poorest                          | 1.73 (1.48 to 2.03) | 1.29 (1.014 to 1.60) |                                    |                                            |
| Poorer                           | 1.31 (1.10 to 1.54) | 1.21 (0.96 to 1.45) |                                    |                                            |
| Middle                           | 1.28 (1.08 to 1.51) | 1.22 (0.98 to 1.50) |                                    |                                            |
| Richer                           | 1.04 (0.88 to 1.24) | 1.01 (0.82 to 1.24) |                                    |                                            |
| Richest                          | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| **Current using contraceptives** |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| Yes                              | 0.99 (0.90 to 1.10) | 1.0 (0.91 to 1.11) |                                    |                                            |
| No                               | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| **Currently pregnant**           |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| Yes                              | 1.30 (1.11 to 1.52) | 1.28 (1.10 to 1.51) |                                    |                                            |
| no                               | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| **Currently breast feeding**     |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| yes                              | 1.12 (1.00 to 1.24) | 1.09 (1.03 to 1.28) |                                    |                                            |
| No                               | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| **Gravidity of women (total children ever born)** | | | | |
| 0                                | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| 1–3                              | 1.23 (1.03 to 1.46) | 1.22 (1.02 to 1.44) |                                    |                                            |
| 4+                               | 1.40 (1.15 to 1.72) | 1.39 (1.13 to 1.69) |                                    |                                            |
| **Smoking**                      |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| Yes                              | 0.98 (0.64 to 1.50) | 1.05 (0.69 to 1.61) |                                    |                                            |
| No                               | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |
| **Birth in the last 1 year**     |         |                                  |                                 |                                            |
| 0                                | 1       |                                  | 1                               |                                            |

Continued
Table 4  Continued

| Variables | Model 1 | Model 2 Individual-level factors | Model 3 Community-level factors | Model 4 Individual+community-level factors |
|-----------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1–2 | 1–2 | 1.20 (1.05 to 1.37) | 1.15 (1.01 to 1.32) |
| Children ever born in preceding 5 years | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1.12 (0.96 to 1.29) | 1.10 (0.95 to 1.27) |
| 1 | 1+ | 1.39 (1.16 to 1.66) | 1.31 (1.09 to 1.57) |
| HIV test | Positive | 2.19 (1.65 to 2.91) | 2.11 (1.59 to 2.79) |
| Negative | 1 | 1 |

Community-level factors

Place of residence

| Urban | 1.67 (1.35 to 2.05) | 1.29 (1.02 to 1.63) |
| Rural | 1.67 (1.35 to 2.05) | 1.29 (1.02 to 1.63) |

Region

| Tigray | 0.39 (0.28 to 0.53) | 0.52 (0.38 to 0.72) |
| Afar | 1.25 (0.91 to 1.7) | 1.14 (0.83 to 1.56) |
| Amhara | 0.30 (0.22 to 0.41) | 0.39 (0.28 to 0.54) |
| Oromia | 0.55 (0.41 to 0.75) | 0.57 (0.42 to 0.78) |
| Somali | 2.40 (1.78 to 3.27) | 2.16 (1.58 to 2.90) |
| Benishangul-Gumuz | 0.36 (0.25 to 0.50) | 0.37 (0.26 to 0.52) |
| SNNPR | 0.40 (0.29 to 0.54) | 0.41 (0.29 to 0.57) |
| Gambella | 0.63 (0.45 to 0.87) | 0.63 (0.45 to 0.89) |
| Harari | 0.74 (0.53 to 1.04) | 0.76 (0.54 to 1.04) |
| Addis Ababa | 0.54 (0.39 to 0.73) | 0.67 (0.49 to 0.91) |
| Dire Dawa | 1 | 1 |

Water source

| Piped water | 1 | 1 |
| Other improved | 1.15 (0.95 to 1.39) | 1.04 (0.86 to 1.26) |
| Unimproved | 1.18 (0.95 to 1.44) | 1.03 (0.83 to 1.27) |

Latrine facility type

| Improved toilet | 1 | 1 |
| Unimproved toilet | 1.12 (0.97 to 1.29) | 1.08 (0.94 to 1.25) |
| Open defecation | 1.33 (1.15 to 1.55) | 1.18 (1.00 to 1.39) |
| Other | 0.86 (0.64 to 1.17) | 0.94 (0.69 to 1.27) |

Random effects (effect of variation / measure of variation for anaemia)

| Community level variance (SE) | 0.888 (0.07) | 0.46 (0.05) | 0.32 (0.04) | 0.31 (0.035) |
| P value | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| DIC (−2log likelihood) | 7926.056 | 7749.25 | 7720.74 | 7613.56 |
| ICC (%) | 21.25 | 16.1 | 18.3 | 15.86 |
| Explained variation – PCV (%) | Reference | 40.95 | 21.0 | 43.1 |
| MOR | 2.44 | 2.13 | 2.3 | 2.1 |

Note: Model 1=empty model (without the predictors); model 2=adjusted for individual factors; model 3=adjusted for community-level factors; model 4=adjusted for both community-level and individual-level factors.

DIC, deviance information criterion; ICC, intracluster correlation coefficient; MOR, medianOR; PCV, percentage change in variance; SNNPR, Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples’ Region.
15 years and 19 years. This finding is in line with other study findings from Ethiopia, 16, 19 and Benin. 45 This could be due to the fact that low fertility rates occurred in this age group. 11, 40–49 However, in Iran, 46 it has been reported that women aged 20–24 years were less likely to be anaemic compared with those aged 45–49 years; this might be a result of Iran having a targeted intervention for younger women or women of reproductive age. 46

In this study, it was found that there is variation of the anaemia rate in terms of education status of the women. A higher proportion of anaemic cases were observed among women with no education. It was found that women who did not have formal education had higher odds of anaemia than those with higher education. This is consistent with other studies conducted in developing countries 24 including Ethiopia, 16 Timor-Leste, 47 Benin 45 and India 48, 49 in which it was reported that a low level of education was associated with higher odds of anaemia among women of reproductive age. Formal education might assist women to obtain knowledge that in turn helps them to follow better lifestyle behaviours like good nutrition and to form better health-seeking habits and hygiene practices that can prevent anaemia among women.

A higher proportion of anaemic cases were observed among women in the poorest wealth quantile. The lowest wealth quantile compared with highest quantile was associated with a higher risk of anaemia. Results of this study show that women who were in the poorest wealth quantile were 30% more likely to be anaemic than women who belong to the richest quintile; this is in line with the results of other studies conducted in other developing countries 24 like Benin 45 and India. 49, 50 This might be due to the fact that having a low income would mean having less money to buy nutritious foods or have a balanced diet 51, 52 which, in turn, leads to inadequate nutrient
intake and nutritional status. More than 38% of the Ethiopian population belongs to the poorer and poorest wealth quintile, which indicates a large percentage of women are at risk for anaemia because of low socioeconomic position.

Lactating mothers were 9% more likely to have anaemia than non-lactating mothers. Lactating may predispose women to low haemoglobin, which results in anaemia. In a study conducted in India, a similar finding was reported that lactating mothers were more likely to be anaemic than non-lactating women.

The findings of our study clearly show the role of women’s fertility in anaemia. Increased odds of anaemia was associated with high gravidity, births in the past 5 years of the survey and having a birth in the last year. Similar studies in Ethiopia, Iran and Timor-Leste also document this association between parity and risk of anaemia. The study results from Pakistan and Iran indicate that women with a parity of four or more were found to be at increased risk of anaemia than women with lower parity. This might be explained by the fact that the more the women give birth, the more they are exposed to blood loss which, in turn, results in low haemoglobin levels in the blood. Similarly, prior births may deplete maternal iron stores due to the increased nutritional demands of pregnancy and puerperal blood loss. Consequently, emphasis needs to be placed on family planning services. Increased odds of anaemia were observed in women who were HIV positive. In this study, women who were HIV positive had twofold increased odds for anaemia. This could be due to the direct effects of the HIV infection on the bone marrow and depletion of haemoglobin levels in the blood. Many of the opportunistic infections to which HIV patients are susceptible might also lead to anaemia.

This study revealed there to be a significant difference in the proportion of anaemic cases according to place of residence (urban/rural). The likelihood of having anaemia was higher for rural residents compared with urban residents. This is in agreement with a study conducted in low-income countries in which it was revealed that living in a rural area was a determinant factor for anaemia. A recent report illustrated that more than half of the Ethiopian population had access to unimproved toilet facilities. Our study findings revealed that women from households with unimproved latrine facilities were more likely to be anaemic than women from households with improved latrine facilities, which is in agreement with other research findings. The possible justification might be that an unimproved latrine facility would expose women to helminthic infections, which in turn resulted them developing anaemia.

**Strengths and limitations**

This study used large population-based data with a large sample size, which is representative of all regions of Ethiopia. Furthermore, a combination of statistical methods (spatial analysis and multilevel logistics analysis) were applied for this study that allows for the understanding of the role of contextual and geographical factors in the occurrence of anaemia among women of reproductive age. Due to the cross-sectional nature of the EDHS data, the cause/effect and temporal relationship could not be established based on these study findings. Similarly, essential factors such as dietary intake and behavioural factors were not available in the EDHS so that it was not possible to incorporate these variables in the analysis. Furthermore, EDHS was a questionnaire-based survey and relied on the memory of the respondents, and as such, recall bias in the results might be a weakness for this study.
CONCLUSION

This study indicates that considerable geographic disparities in anaemia prevalence rate occur within Ethiopia. The results of this study revealed that anaemia among women varied across the country; significant anaemia hotspots were observed in the eastern and northern part of the country, while anaemia cold spots were observed in the northern and western parts of the country. About 43% of the disparity in anaemia occurrence across communities was attributable to both individual-level and community-level factors. The increased occurrence of anaemia among women was associated with individual-level and community-level factors. For women, being of rural residence, having no formal education, being in the poorest wealth index, either currently pregnant or breast feeding and higher gravidity were factors that increased the odds of anaemia at the individual level, whereas lack of a clean water source and access to an unimproved toilet facility were factors significantly associated with anaemia among women.

Accordingly, the prevention of anaemia among women requires multifaceted intervention approaches. For instance, improving the economic and educational status of women and improving the availability of clean water and toilet facilities. Anaemia prevention strategies must be targeted on these identified factors. Priority should be given for those states or areas that have anaemia hotspots. Particularly, any intervention programmes need to be prioritised for pregnant women, women recently giving birth, those with lower levels of education and women living in rural areas. The regions with the greatest numbers of anaemic women (Afar and Somali) should be prioritised, as the burden of anaemia is higher in these areas, with more than 50% of women being anaemic.
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