Antioxidant and anti-α-glucosidase of luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside isolated from Scabiosa arenaria Forssk.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the antioxidant and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities of luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside isolated from Scabiosa arenaria Forssk.

Methods: Antioxidant activity was measured by the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl free radical scavenging assay. The α-glucosidase inhibition was determined by colorimetric assay using the enzyme from Aspergillus niger, and the p-nitrophenyl glucopyranoside (pNPG) as substrate.

Results: The two compounds showed remarkable inhibition of α-glucosidase (IC50 = 0.009 2 mg/mL and 0.014 mg/mL, respectively) and they appeared to be even more potent than acarbose. A kinetic binding study indicated that the two compounds used a mechanism of non-competition to inhibit α-glucosidase. The 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging assay further showed that the aglycone form (luteolin) possessed the best antioxidant activity.

Conclusions: The results showed that the two flavonoids had biological activities with high potential for food and pharmacological uses.

1. Introduction

Several components derived from natural origins with antioxidant capability have been determined as free radical or active oxygen and nitrogen fixatives. In the last decennium, a growing advantage may greatly be spotted for discovering antioxidants of natural origin, to be used in medicinal materials or foods, and the principal goal is to substitute synthetic antioxidants with those of natural ones. Natural antioxidants may delay the aging procedure in human corps and improve chronic illness like cardiovascular ailment, diabetes, obesity, arthritis, and cancer[1-3]. Diabetes mellitus is a multifactorial endocrine trouble implying disorders of protein, carbohydrate, and fat metabolism[3]. This sickness has attained epidemic level overall and about 346 million people in the whole world suffer from it and this number is assessed to double by the year 2030[4]. Of all of determined cases of diabetes, type 2 diabetes (T2D) occupied approximately 90%–95%, affecting more than 170 million people all over the world[5,6]. T2D is characterised by postprandial hyperglycemia due to resistance of cells (hepatocyte and myocyte) to the function of insulin. A long time exposure to hyperglycemic state is considered to produce reactive oxygen species continually[7]. That could modify the enzymatic activities and reduce in vivo antioxidant levels, apparently resulting in diabetes[8]. Therefore, plant-based compounds which are wealthy in antioxidants comprising a lot of bioactive molecules with various structures can play a key role in the remedy of diabetes.

Phenolic compounds comprising flavonoids, tocopherols, and polyphenolic components are typical natural antioxidants that may potentially afford protection versus the growth of certain oxidation-linked chronic illness[9,10]. On the other hand, phenolic components had been mentioned as glucosidase inhibitors used to decrease postprandial hyperglycemia provoked by the digestion of starch in the small intestine[11-13].

Previous researches indicated that a series of phenolic compounds were obtained from Scabiosa arenaria Forssk. (Dipsacaceae) (S. arenaria)[14-17]. Thus, the main objective of this paper was to
evaluate the antioxidant and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities of luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside isolated from S. arenaria.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents and standards

α-Glucosidase (isolated from Aspergillus niger), p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (pNPG), acarbose, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Germany).

2.2. Samples

The luteolin and the luteolin 7-O-glucoside used in this work were isolated from S. arenaria EtOAc extract and butanolic extract, respectively in our previous works.

2.3. Antioxidant activity evaluation

This activity refers to the samples capability to trap the stable radical 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) created in solution by donation of a hydrogen atom or an electron [18]. If the component has the capability to ensnare the DPPH free radical, the original blue/purple solution will be modified to yellow due to diphenyl picryl hydrazine formation. This reaction is utilized as a measurement of the samples capacity to scavenge whatever free radical. A quantity of 500 µL of every product concentration was blended by employing the similar volume of DPPH• ethanolic solution. Later, an incubation period of 30 min in away from light and at a temperature of 25 °C, absorption was read at 517 nm wave length. A concoction of 500 µL of DPPH• solution and 500 µL of ethanol was taken as a blank [19]. The reduce in absorption induced by the evaluated products was compared to that of the positive control BHT. The calculated IC50 values give the concentration required to snare 50% of DPPH• radicals. Results were expressed in inhibition percentage at various compounds concentrations (mg/mL). The inhibition of free radical DPPH in percentage (I%) was calculated as follows:

\[ I\% = \left( 1 - \frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{compound}}}{A_{\text{blank}}} \right) \times 100 \]

where A blank is the absorption of the control reaction (including all reagents with the exception of the test product), and A compound is the absorption of the test product.

2.4. α-Glucosidase inhibition assay

This activity was defined as before qualified by Tao et al. [20] with a few change as derived by Rengasamy et al. [21]. The α-glucosidase reaction mixing comprising 2.5 mmol/L p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (pNPG), 250 µL of compound (different concentrations) in dimethyl sulfoxide and 0.3 IU/mL of α-glucosidase in phosphate buffer, pH 6.9. Control trials comprising only dimethyl sulfoxide, substrate and enzyme, whereas in positive controls, acarbose substituted the pure product. Absorbance of the resulting p-nitrophenol (pNP) was determined at 405 nm and was considered proportional to the activity of the enzyme. The compounds of S. arenaria were evaluated for α-glucosidase inhibitory activity at various concentrations (1–0.0039 mg/mL). Every component was performed in triplicate. Inhibition percentages by compounds and acarbose were calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{Inhibition percentage (I%) = } \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta A_{\text{sample}}}{\Delta A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100 \]

where \( \Delta A = A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{control}} \) and \( A_{\text{sample}} \) and \( A_{\text{control}} \) are the absorbances of the sample and control, respectively. The IC50, which is the concentration of the compound required to inhibit 50% of the enzyme determined for every compound. The luteolin and the luteolin 7-O-glucoside were compared on the basis of their IC50 values assessed from the dose response curves.

2.5. Kinetics essay of α-glucosidase

The luteolin and the luteolin 7-O-glucoside were evaluated to get the type of inhibition exerted on α-glucosidase. The reaction mixing was detailed up, with the exception, that the substrate concentration varied from 0.3 to 5.0 mmol/L, and that of the compound was preserved constant at 0.015 mg/mL. The reaction was started by the addition of enzyme, and monitored at 405 nm, at 5 min intervals in the course of 30 min. The original reaction rates were given using calibration curves constructed using different p-nitrophenol concentrations. The results were utilized to construct Lineweaver–Burk plots to establish the type of inhibition, Michaelis–Menten constant (Km) and maximum velocity (Vmax) valours.

3. Results

3.1. Antioxidant activity evaluation

DPPH radical scavenging activities of the components are shown in Table 1. DPPH radical has adsorption maxima at 517 nm and a reduction in adsorption occurs when it receives an electron or a free radical species. The color of DPPH solution changes from purple to yellow depending on the radical scavenging capability of the component [22]. High activity was obtained from luteolin (IC50 = 0.02 mg/mL). The activity was higher compared to that of luteolin 7-O-glucoside (IC50 = 0.045 mg/mL). These two products are slightly less active than positive control BHT (IC50 = 0.018 mg/mL), therefore, we can deduce that the glucose takes part in the second molecule decreased the antioxidant activity.

Table 1

| Compounds         | DPPH IC50 (mg/mL) | α-Glucosidase inhibition |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Luteolin          | 0.020             | 0.0092                   |
| Luteolin 7-O-glucoside | 0.045             | 0.0140                   |
| BHT               | 0.018             | -                        |
| Acarbose          | -                 | 0.2800                   |

3.2. α-Glucosidase inhibition assay

According to Table 1, luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside are more active than the reference product, acarbose (IC50 = 0.2800 mg/mL). Luteolin has a very potent α-glucosidase inhibitory effect with an IC50 value of the order of 0.0092 mg/mL. This product is more active than luteolin 7-O-glucoside (IC50 = 0.0140 mg/mL), which allows us to deduce that the glycosylation of the molecule reduces the inhibitory activity of α-glucosidase. The addition of luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside to the reaction medium resulted in a change in the Vmax velocity of hydrolysis
of α-glucosidase, keeping the same Michaelis constant (K_m). This is a noncompetitive inhibition in both cases. According to this type of inhibition, it can be suggested that these two active molecules act on the α-glucosidase without competing with its substrate which will reach the active site. The values of the kinetic parameters of α-glucosidase deduced from Figure 1 A and B in the absence and presence of these two products are summarized in Table 2. The two values of the Michaelis (K_m) constants are comparable and range from 2.17 mmol/L for luteolin 7-O-glucoside to 3.33 mmol/L for luteolin. For the maximum rate of hydrolysis of α-glucosidase in the absence of inhibitor was 0.333 (DO/min). This maximal velocity decreases in the presence of luteolin (Vmax app = 0.10 ΔDO/min) and in the presence of luteolin 7-O-glucoside (Vmax app = 0.15 ΔDO/min).

| Inhibitors (I)                                                                 | Inhibition Mode   | Km (mmol/L) | Vmax (DO/min) | Vmax app (DO/min) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| In the absence of luteolin                                                    | -                | 3.33        | 0.333         |                  |
| In the presence of luteolin                                                   | Non competitive  | 3.33        | 0.333         | 0.10             |
| In the absence of luteolin-7-O-glucoside                                     | -                | 2.17        | 0.333         |                  |
| In the presence of luteolin-7-O-glucoside                                    | Non competitive  | 2.17        | 0.333         | 0.15             |

4. Discussion

This result is confirmed by several authors such as Kumpulainen and Salonen[23] and Rice-Evans et al.[24] who have shown that luteolin is twice as active as the reference product, vitamin E. Igile et al.[25] also showed that luteolin is more active than synthetic antioxidant, BHT.

Cotelle et al.[26] and Cai et al.[27] showed that luteolin possesses very important properties in the trapping of superoxide radicals. Luteolin is also a powerful singlet oxygen sensor causing DNA alterations and chromosomal aberrations that are the main causes of cancer[28]. Luteolin inhibits in vitro and in vivo lipid peroxidation, which leads to the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids and subsequent formation of free radicals causing several diseases[29-31]. This difference in previous results can be explained by the differences in the conditions of the experiment and the methods used.

At the same time, Kim et al.[32] have confirmed that luteolin is more active than luteolin 7-O-glucoside and is more active than polyglucoside (lonicerin). The IC50 values in the two studies are different; this may be explained by the different experimental conditions and the different enzyme sources used.

Several other researchers have demonstrated the potent inhibitory effect of aglyconic flavonoids relative to their glycosylated forms[33-39]. Thus, the decrease in enzymatic activity after glycosylation may be due to the increase in molecular size, polarity and non-planar structure of the molecule. When a hydroxyl group is substituted with a glycoside, the steric hindrance can occur, which weakens the binding interaction between flavonoids and α-glucosidase[40]. Yan et al.[41] also found that the type of inhibition of α-glucosidase by luteolin is noncompetitive. According to our knowledge, the type of inhibition of α-glucosidase by luteolin 7-O-glucoside is studied for the first time in our study.

This paper demonstrated that the two flavonoids, namely luteolin and luteolin 7-O-glucoside had important biological activities with interesting uses.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Ministry of High Education and Scientific Research, MHSSR of Tunisia (Grant No. 11/TM06).

References

[1] Brewer MS. Natural antioxidants: sources, compounds, mechanisms of action, and potential applications. Comp Rev Food Sci Food Saf 2011; 10: 221-47.
[2] Embuscano ME. Spices and herbs: natural sources of antioxidants – A mini review. J Funct Foods 2015; 18: 811-9.
[3] De Souza Schmidt Gonçalves AE, Lajolo FM, Genovese MI. Chemical composition and antioxidant/antidiabetic potential of Brazilian native fruits and commercial frozen pulps. J Agric Food Chem 2010; 58: 4666-74.
[4] Lavelli V, Sri Harsha PSC, Ferranti P, Scarafoni A, Iametti S. Grape skin phenolics as inhibitors of mammalian α-glucosidase and α-amylase–effect of food matrix and processing on efficacy. Food Funct 2016; 7: 1655-63.
[5] Shen W, Xu Y, Lu Y. Inhibitory effects of citrus flavonoids on starch
digestion and antihyperglycemic effects in HepG2 cells. J Agric Food Chem 2012; 60: 9609-19.

[6] Zhang W, Lee J, Kim Y, Kim I, Han J, Lee S, et al. Effect of eriodictyol on glucose uptake and insulin resistance in vitro. J Agric Food Chem 2012; 60: 7652-8.

[7] Mohan Y, Jesuthanakaraj GN, Ramasamy Thangavelu N. Antiadipotic and antioxidant properties of Trichicum aestivum in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. Adv Pharmacol Sci 2013; 2013: 1-9.

[8] Kang M, Lee MS, Choi M, Min K, Shibamoto T. Hypoglycemic activity of Gymnema sylvestre extracts on oxidative stress and antioxidant status in diabetic rats. J Agric Food Chem 2012; 60: 2517-24.

[9] Hwang SJ, Yoon WB, Lee OH, Cha SJ, Kim JD. Radical-scavenging-antioxidant activities of extracts from black chokeberry and blueberry cultivated in Korea. Food Chem 2014; 146: 71-7.

[10] Spínola V, Pinto J, Castilho PC. Identification and quantification of phenolic compounds of selected fruits from Madeira Island by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS and screening for their antioxidant activity. Food Chem 2015; 173: 14-30.

[11] Wan CP, Yuan T, Cirello AL, Seeram NP. Antioxidant α-glucosidase inhibitory phenolics isolated from highbush blueberry flowers. Food Chem 2012; 135: 1929-37.

[12] Manzano S, Williamson G. Polyphenols and phenolic acids from strawberry and apple decrease glucose uptake and transport by human intestinal Caco-2 cells. Mol Nutr Food Res 2010; 54: 1773-80.

[13] Benalla W, Bellahcen S, Bnouham M. Antiadipotic medicinal plants as a source of alpha glucosidase inhibitors. Curr Diabetes Rev 2010; 6: 247-54.

[14] Besbes-Hlila M, Omri A, Ben Jannet H, Lamari A, Aouni M, Selmi B. Phenolic composition: antioxidant and anti-acetylcholinesterase activities of the Tunisian Scabiosa arenaria. Pharm Biol 2013; 51: 525-32.

[15] Besbes-Hlila M, Mosbah H, Majouli K, Msada K, Ben Jannet H, Aouni M, et al. α-Glucosidase inhibition by Tunisian Scabiosa arenaria Forssk. Int J Biol Macromol 2015; 77: 383-9.

[16] Besbes-Hlila Mb, Mosbah H, Msada K, Ben Jannet H, Aouni M, Selmi B. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitory and antioxidant properties of roots extracts from the Tunisian Scabiosa arenaria Forssk. Ind Crops Prod 2015; 67: 62-9.

[17] Besbes Hlila M, Mosbah H, Zainina N, Ben Nejma A, Ben Jannet H, Aouni M, et al. Characterisation of phenolic antioxidants in Scabiosa arenaria flowers by LC-ESI-MS/MS and NMR. J Pharm Pharmacol 2016; 68: 932-40.

[18] Tepe B, Daferera D, Sokmen A, Sokmen M, Polissiou M. Antimicrobial activity: antibacterial activity of essential oil and various extracts of Salvia tomentosa Miller (Lamiaceae). Food Chem 2005; 90: 333-40.

[19] Hatanou T, Kagawa H, Yasuhara T, Okuda T. Two new flavonoids and other constituents in inocicole root; their relative astringency and radical scavenging effects. Chem Pharm Bull 1988; 36: 2090-7.

[20] Tao T, Zhang Y, Cheng Y, Wang Y. Biomed Chromatogr 2013; 27: 148-55.

[21] Rengusamy RRK, Aderogba M, Amoo SO, Stirk WA, Van Staden J. J Food Chem 2013; 141: 1412-5.

[22] Al-Owaishi M, Al-Hadiwi N, Khan SA. GC–MS analysis determination of total phenolics, flavonoid content and free radical scavenging activities of various crude extracts of Moringa peregrina (Forssk.) Fiori leaves. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2014; 4: 964-70.

[23] Kumpulainen JT, Salonen JT. Natural antioxidants and food quality in atherosclerosis and cancer prevention. Sawston, Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited; 1996. p. 256-9.

[24] Rice-Evans CA, Miller NJ, Paganga G. Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. Trends Plant Sci 1997; 2: 152-9.

[25] Ignlé GO, Oleszek W, Jurzysta M, Burda S, Fafunso M, Fasanmade AA. Flavonoids from Vernonìa amygdalina and their antioxidant activities. J Agric Food Chem 1994; 2(11): 2445-8.

[26] Cotelle N, Bernier JL, Catteau JP, Pommeray J, Wallet JC, Gaydou EM. Antioxidant properties of hydroxy-flavones. J Free Radic Med 1996; 20(1): 35-43.

[27] Cai Q, Rahn RO, Zhang R. Dietary flavonoids, quercetin, luteolin and genistein, reduce oxidative DNA damage and lipid peroxidation and quench free radicals. Cancer Lett 1997; 119: 99-107.

[28] Tournaire C, Croux S, Maurette MT, Beck I, Hocquaux M, Braun AM, et al. Photochem Photobiol B Biol 1993; 19: 205-15.

[29] Yokozawa T, Dong E, Liu ZW, Shimizu M. Antioxidative activity of flavones and flavonols in vitro. Phytother Res 1997; 11: 446-9.

[30] Galvez J, de la Cruz JP, Zarzuelo A, de la Cuesta FS. Flavonoid inhibition of enzymic and nonenzymic lipid peroxidation in rat liver differs from its influence on the glutathione-related enzymes. Pharmacology 1995; 51(2): 127-33.

[31] Cholbi MR, Paya M, Alcaraz MJ. Inhibitory effects of phenolic compounds on CCl4 induced micosomal lipid peroxidation. Experiencia; 47(2): 195-9.

[32] Kim J, Kwon C, Son K. Inhibition of alpha-glucosidase and amylose by luteolin, a flavonoid. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2000; 64: 2458-61.

[33] Fan P, Terrier L, Hay AE, Marston A, Hostettmann K. Antioxidant and enzyme inhibition activities and chemical profiles of Polygonum sachalinensis F. Schmidt ex Maxim (Polygonaceae). Fitoterapia 2010; 81: 124-31.

[34] Islam MN, Jung HA, Sohn HS, Kim HM, Choi JS. Potent α-glucosidase and protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B inhibitors from Artemisia capillaris. Arch Pharm Res 2013; 36: 542-52.

[35] Kawabata J, Mizuhata K, Sato E, Nishioka T, Aoyama Y, Kasai T. 6-Hydroxyflavonoids as α-glucosidase inhibitors from marjoram (Origanum majorana) leaves. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2003; 67: 445-7.

[36] Li YQ, Zhou FC, Gao F, Bian JS, Shan F. Comparative evaluation of quercetin, isoquercetin and rutin as inhibitors of α-glucosidase. J Agric Food Chem 2009; 57: 11463-8.

[37] Moradi-Afrapoli F, Ashghari B, Saeidnia S, Ajanì Y, Mirjani M, Malmir M, et al. In vitro α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of phenolic constituents from aerial parts of Polygonum hyrcanicum. DARU J Pharm Sci 2012; 20: 37.

[38] Ren S, Xu DD, Gao Y, Ma YT, Gao QP. Flavonoids from litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) seeds and their inhibitory activities on α-glucosidase. Chem Res Chin Univ 2013; 29: 682-5.

[39] Wang H, Du YI, Song HC. α-Glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activities of guava leaves. Food Chem 2010; 123: 6-13.

[40] Li YQ, Zhou FC, Gao F, Bian JS, Shan F. Comparative evaluation of quercetin, isoquercetin and rutin as inhibitors of α-glucosidase. J Agric Food Chem 2009; 57: 11463-8.

[41] Yan J, Zhang G, Pan J, Wang Y. α-Glucosidase inhibition by luteolin: kinetics, interaction and molecular docking. Int J Biol Macromol 2014; 64: 213-23.