Supercharge End-to-Side Anterior Interosseous Nerve to Ulnar Motor Nerve Transfer for Severe Ulnar Neuropathy: Two Cases Suggesting Recovery Secondary to Nerve Transfer
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Learning Point of the Article:
In-continuity high-grade cubital syndrome can be treated with SETS AIN to ulnar motor nerve outcome with good success.

Abstract

Introduction: The supercharged end-to-side (SETS) anterior interosseous nerve (AIN) to ulnar nerve transfer has been recently described for severe cubital tunnel syndrome. Previous studies have suggested that this technique augments or “babysits” the motor end plates until reinnervation occurs; however, it has more recently been suggested that reinnervation occurs by the donor nerve as evidenced in animal research.

Case Report: We present two cases of rapidly progressive ulnar neuropathy who underwent a SETS AIN to ulnar nerve transfer who demonstrated improvement in their electrodiagnostic studies in addition to improvement in their clinical and patient-reported outcome's scores postoperatively.

Conclusion: Our findings provide further evidence that previously demonstrated in the literature that the SETS does more than “babysit” the motor end plates, but that there is axonal growth along the new pathway.
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Introduction

Cubital tunnel syndrome is the most common compressive neuropathy of the ulnar nerve and if left untreated, it can result in significant clinical deficits [1]. Time for motor end plate reinnervation and absolute number of regenerated axons are determinants of the functional recovery in peripheral nerve injury. Like any other high ulnar nerve injury, incomplete recovery is anticipated with severe cubital tunnel syndrome if the time elapsed to regenerate the nerve is longer than the intrinsic muscles motor end plates life span [2]. Supercharged end-to-side (SETS) nerve transfer for severe cubital tunnel syndrome is a recently described technique, which involves augmenting the ulnar motor branch with the terminal branch of the anterior interosseous nerve (AIN) [3, 4]. The AIN is the donor nerve of choice for recovering of some ulnar nerve intrinsic function in complete proximal ulnar nerve injuries and is most commonly used in an end-to-end coaptation [5]. In moderate or proximal injuries, Barbour et al. [6] employed a SETS nerve transfer technique to enhance, or “supercharge,” the motor fascicles of the ulnar nerve. Previous studies have suggested that this technique augments or “babysits” the motor end plates until reinnervation occurs; however, it has more recently been suggested that reinnervation occurs by the donor nerve as evidenced in animal research[7, 8, 9]. Davidge et al. [10] are the largest clinical study which provides further support to this hypothesis and demonstrated reversal of intrinsic muscle atrophy. In the present report, we describe two cases with electromyographic findings where this transfer was performed to successfully treat rapidly progressive ulnar neuropathy, suggesting the hypothesis of reinnervation by the donor nerve.
Case Report

Case 1

A 57-year-old right-hand dominant female with a history of early Parkinson’s disease presented with a 4-month history of progressive and persistent paresthesia along the ulnar nerve distribution, associated with difficulty in grasping and pinching activities. She had no history of trauma, illness, or pain anywhere in her hand, wrist, elbow, or shoulder. On physical examination, she had dense paresthesia with no two-point discrimination involving the ulnar two digits and the dorsal ulnar aspect of the hand. Significant hypothenar and first dorsal interosseous (FDI) muscle wasting associated with loss of one grade of strength (4/5) compared to the contralateral side were present. There was no ulnar nerve instability over the medial epicondyle. Provocative maneuvers including Tinel’s testing over the cubital tunnel and Guyon’s canal, as well as elbow flexion test were interestingly found to be negative. Nerve conduction studies showed evidence of severe ulnar neuropathy at the level of the elbow, with evidence of significant axonal loss. Specifically, it was found that there were a diminished amplitude and conduction velocity at the elbow level for both sensory and motor responses, prolonged F-wave latencies, and active denervation of the FDI muscle with positive sharp waves and fibrillations. The patient underwent cubital tunnel ulnar nerve release, ulnar nerve anterior transposition, and Guyon’s canal release along with an SETS AIN to ulnar motor nerve transfer as described by Barbour et al. Postoperatively, the patient was instructed to follow a specific motor nerve reeducation rehabilitation program and was fitted with serial casting in her affected fingers to improve the contractures. Nerve recovery was monitored clinically and with repeated electrodiagnostic studies at 6 months and at 1 year postoperatively. The patient-rated functional outcome instruments were administered preoperatively and at 1 year postoperatively. At 6 months postoperatively, the patient had a modest improvement in the strength of her first dorsal interosseous 4+/5 strength. Nerve studies at that time showed the absence of the right ulnar digit 5 sensory response, and no electrodiagnostic improvements in the amplitude of hypothenar motor response compared to preoperatively. At the 1-year mark, there was a significant improvement in her right ulnar neuropathy with the EMG showing good innervation with large amplitude motor unit potentials in the FDI and abductor digit minimi. The nerve conduction studies demonstrated an improved ulnar motor conduction velocity across the elbow and a normal median motor nerve velocity. The ulnar F-wave latency was found to be normal. Hypothenar motor amplitude was still found to be reduced and the ulnar digit 5 sensory response was found absent. The strength in her hand was found to have increased along with an improved bulk in the intrinsic musculature. There was still significant decreased sensation in her ulnar nerve distribution. At 2 years postoperatively, the patient had recovered full intrinsic muscle strength 5/5 and muscle bulk was equal to the contralateral side. She had persistent PIP contractures that failed to improve with serial casting and hand therapy, with a flexion contracture of 60 degrees of the 5th digit and 30 degrees of the 4th digit. She underwent an open contracture release and tenolysis of the 5th digit PIP joint and a closed manipulation of the 4th digit PIP joint. Both digits recovered motion and at 3 months postoperatively had a 15-degree flexion contracture. The patient-rated outcomes obtained at 1 year postoperatively showed a markedly improved overall pain and function compared to pre-operative levels (Table 1).

Case 2

A 58-year-old right-hand dominant male presented with a severe and progressive right ulnar neuropathy. He had no history of trauma, illness, or pain anywhere in his hand, wrist, elbow, or shoulder. He experienced progressive numbness and paresthesias in the ulnar nerve distribution and developed significant weakness with grip associated with rapid wasting of his intrinsic muscles. Clinically, wasting of his intrinsic muscles

Table 1: Patient-rated outcome scores

| Questionnaire       | Score pre-operative | Score 6-month post-operative | Score 12-month post-operative |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| VAS Pain (%)        | 100%                | 54                            | 22                            |
| VAS Function (%)    | 100%                | 64                            | 68                            |
| SF-12 Physical      | 60                  | 60                            | 60                            |
| SF-12 Mental        | 60                  | 60                            | 60                            |
| QuickDASH           | 34                  | 34                            | 34                            |

Table 2: Patient-rated outcome scores

| Questionnaire       | Score pre-operative | Score 6-month post-operative | Score 12-month post-operative |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| VAS pain (%)        | 41                  | Not collected                 | 0                             |
| VAS function (%)    | 75                  | 19                            | 32                            |
| SF-12 Physical      | 61                  | 55                            | 61                            |
| SF-12 Mental        | 66                  | 44                            | 56                            |
| QuickDASH           | 73                  | 30                            | 11                            |
was prominent (Fig. 1) and associated with a loss of two grades of strength (3/5) in his FDI and hypothenars. Sensation was decreased along with abnormal two-point discrimination on the ulnar two digits and on the ulnar dorsum of the hand. He had a mildly positive Tinel’s at the elbow and the ulnar nerve was found to be subluxating. Nerve conduction studies demonstrated prolonged distal latency and F-wave latencies, and the hypothenars demonstrated significant denervation with a motor amplitude of 1mV. The patient underwent the same surgical procedure, post-operative reeducation, and follow-up regimen as described for the first case. At 7 months postoperatively, the patient still had 4/5 strength in his FDI and hypothenar muscle testing. He had mildly improved sensation and pinprick testing in the ulnar nerve distribution. The postoperative nerve studies performed at 7 months showed significant improvement in motor amplitude for FDI and hypothenar testing to 2.4mV. Conduction velocity across the elbow remained slow. He still had an absence of the right ulnar finger 5 sensory response. Clinically, at the 1-year mark, the patient presented significant recovery of his intrinsic muscle bulk, increased finger abduction, and improved strength to 4+/5 (Fig. 2). His sensation testing remained altered but improved. Nerve conduction studies at 1 year confirmed our clinical impression, showing ulnar nerve reinnervation with large amplitude motor unit potentials in the FDI, increased motor amplitudes in hypothenars to 4.1mV, and improved conduction velocity across the elbow while sensory response remained absent. The patient-rated outcomes obtained at 10 years postoperatively showed a markedly improved overall pain and function compared to pre-operative levels (Table 2).

Discussion

Our findings provide further evidence that previously demonstrated in the literature by Davidge et al.[10] that the SETS does more than “babysit” the motor end plates, but that there is axonal growth along the new pathway. Their study reviewed 55 patients with in-continuity lesions that showed axonal loss who underwent a SETS AIN to ulnar motor nerve transfer. The results were promising in that they showed significant improvement in terms of motor strength recovery and patient-rated functional outcome scores. They suggested that the ulnar nerve recovery was in part a result of the transfer based primarily on the time frame of recovery that being rapid.

Conclusion

Our results provide further support and agree with the current literature that SETS AIN to motor ulnar nerve is an option for an in-continuity ulnar nerve injury with axonal loss. This procedure may not only “babysit” the end plates, but rather potentially provides reinnervation. Further studies need to be performed to demonstrate the latter.

Clinical Message

In-continuity high-grade cubital syndrome can be treated with SETS AIN to ulnar motor nerve outcome with good success.
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