1. INTRODUCTION

In digital communications, the accurate calculation of average symbol error probability (SEP) for a variety of modulation schemes has been an area of long-time interest (see [1–12] and references therein). A unified method for deriving the error probability over fading channels has been presented by using alternative representation of the Gaussian and Marcum Q-function [1, 2]. By their alternative representations, the average error probability can be expressed in the form of a single finite-range integral whose integrand contains the moment generation function (MGF) of instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). In particular, closed-form solutions for average SEP of binary and M-ary modulations in Nakagami-m fading with positive integer m have been reported in [3]. More generally, the closed-form expressions for average SEP in Nakagami-m with arbitrary real-valued m have been derived in [4] and their extensions to single-input multiple-output (SIMO) diversity have been presented in [5, 6]. For multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) diversity systems, the exact SEPs of orthogonal space-time block codes (OSTBCs) [13, 14] have been derived in [10–12] for Rayleigh, Rayleigh keyhole, Nakagami-m keyhole, and Rayleigh double-scattering MIMO channels, respectively.

In addition to Nakagami-m and Rayleigh fading, Nakagami-q fading, also referred to as Hoyt fading, has been considered recently in [15–17]. For example, average SEP of equal-gain combining (EGC) under the Hoyt model has been approximated in [15]. Also, the second-order statistics of maximal-ratio combining (MRC) and EGC in Nakagami-q fading have been studied in [16]. In addition, the level-crossing rate and the average duration of fades for Nakagami-q fading channels have been investigated in [17]. More recently, the performance of M-ary signalings for SISO Nakagami-q has been derived in [18].

In this paper, using the MGF-based method [1, 2] and transforming a single integral into the hypergeometric function [4], we derive the exact SEP expressions for spatial diversity systems in Nakagami-q fading. The final expressions are given in terms of Lauricella hypergeometric function \( F_D^{(n)} \). It is further shown that the derived expressions reduce to the previously known results for Rayleigh fading (\( q = 1 \)) and SISO Nakagami-q as special cases.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the statistical properties of the channel model are given. We then derive the exact average SEP for a broad class of binary and M-ary signals with MRC over SIMO Nakagami-q channels in Section 3. Section 4 gives the average SEP for OSTBCs over MIMO Nakagami-q channels. Numerical and simulation results are presented in Section 5. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 6.
2. CHANNEL MODEL

The Nakagami-\(q\) fading spans from one-sided Gaussian fading (\(q = 0\)) to Rayleigh fading (\(q = 1\)), and is used to model fading environments more severe than Rayleigh fading—satellite communication links subject to strong ionospheric scintillation, for example. Assume that the transmitted signal is received over slowly varying SISO flat-fading channels. Let \(y\) denote the instantaneous symbol SNR defined by

\[
y \triangleq \frac{\alpha^2 E_s}{N_0},
\]

(1)

where \(\alpha\) is the fading amplitude, \(E_s\) the energy per symbol, and \(N_0\) the one-sided power spectral density of additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN).

For Nakagami-\(q\) fading, the probability density function (pdf) of \(\alpha\) with mean-square value \(\Omega \triangleq \mathbb{E}\{\alpha^2\}\) is given by [1, 2]

\[
p_\alpha(\alpha) = \frac{(1 + q^2)\alpha}{q\Omega} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 + q^2)^2\alpha^2}{4q^2\Omega}\right) \\
\times I_0\left(\frac{(1 - q^2)\alpha^2}{4q^2\Omega}\right), \quad \alpha \geq 0,
\]

(2)

where \(q \in [0, 1]\) is the fading severity parameter and \(I_0(\cdot)\) is the zeroth-order modified Bessel function of the first kind. The pdf and MGF of \(y\) are then given by [1, 2]

\[
p_y(y) = \frac{(1 + q^2)}{2q^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 + q^2)^2 y}{4q^2}\right) \\
\times I_0\left(\frac{(1 - q^2)y}{4q^2}\right), \quad y \geq 0,
\]

(3)

\[
\phi_y(s) \triangleq \mathbb{E}\{e^{-sy}\} = \left[\left(1 + \frac{2q}{1 + q^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{2q^2 y^2}{1 + q^2}\right)\right]^{-1/2},
\]

where \(\Omega = \Omega E_s/N_0\) is the average SNR per symbol.

3. AVERAGE SEP FOR SIMO MRC

Assume that the transmitted signal is received over \(L\)-branch independent SIMO flat-fading channels. Then instantaneous SNR at the MRC output is given by

\[
y_MRC = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \alpha_i^2 E_s/N_0,
\]

(4)

where \(\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, L\) is the fading amplitude of the \(i\)th branch Nakagami-\(q\) fading channel with fading severity parameter \(q_i\) and mean-square value \(\Omega_i = \mathbb{E}\{\alpha_i^2\}\).

Let \(y_i \triangleq \alpha_i^2 E_s/N_0\) denote the instantaneous SNR of the \(i\)th diversity branch. Then from statistical independence of \(\alpha_i\)’s, the MGF of MRC output SNR \(y_MRC\) is given by

\[
\phi_{y_MRC}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{L} \phi_{y_i}(s) \\
= \prod_{i=1}^{L} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2q_i}{1 + q_i^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{2q_i^2 y_i^2}{1 + q_i^2}\right)\right]^{-1/2},
\]

(5)

where \(y_i = \Omega_i E_s/N_0\) denotes the average symbol SNR of the \(i\)th diversity branch. From the MGF of \(y_MRC\), we can evaluate the average SEP for a broad class of binary and \(M\)-ary signals over SIMO Nakagami-\(q\) channels by using a well-known MGF-based approach [1, 2].

3.1. \(M\)-ary phase-shift keying (M-PSK)

For coherent \(M\)-PSK, the average SEP can be written as [1–4]

\[
p_{MRC, MPSK} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \phi_{y_MRC}\left(\frac{g_1}{\sin^2 \theta}\right) d\theta \\
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \phi_{y_MRC}\left(\frac{g_1}{\sin^2 \theta}\right) d\theta,
\]

(6)

where

\[
g_1 = \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{M}\right).
\]

(7)

By making the change of the variable \(t = \cos^2 \theta\) for \(I_{1,MPSK}\) and \(t = \cos^2 \theta/\cos^2(\pi/M)\) for \(I_{2,MPSK}\) [4], we have

\[
I_{1,MPSK} = \frac{\phi_{y_MRC}(g_1)}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} t^{-1/2}(1-t)^{L-1/2} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{L} \left(1 - t g_1^{(i)}\right)^{-1/2} \left(1 - t g_2^{(i)}\right)^{-1/2} dt,
\]

(8)

\[
I_{2,MPSK} = \sqrt{2} \pi \phi_{y_MRC}(g_1) \int_{0}^{1} t^{-1/2}(1-t)^{L-1/2} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{L} \left(1 - t g_2^{(i)}\right)^{-1/2} \left(1 - t g_2^{(i)}\right)^{-1/2} dt,
\]

(8)

where

\[
g_2 = \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{M}\right),
\]

(9)

\[
\eta_1^{(i)} = \left(1 + \frac{2g_1}{1 + q_1^2}\right)^{-1},
\]

(9)

\[
\eta_2^{(i)} = \left(1 + \frac{2g_2}{1 + q_1^2}\right)^{-1}.
\]

(9)

Note that the integrals in (8) can be expressed in terms of Lauricella multivariate hypergeometric function \(F_D^{(n)}\) whose Euler integral representation is given by [19, equation (2.3.6)]

\[
F_D^{(n)}(a; \{b_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n}; c; \{x_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n}) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c - a)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-x_i t)^{-b_i} dt,
\]

(10)

max \(|x_1|, |x_2|, \ldots, |x_n| < 1, \quad \text{Re}(c) > \text{Re}(a) > 0,
\]

(10)

where \(\Gamma(\cdot)\) is Euler gamma function. Note that \(F_D^{(1)}\) and \(F_D^{(2)}\) reduce to the Gauss hypergeometric function \(_2F_1(a; b; c; z)\)
[20, equation 2.12(1)] and the Appell hypergeometric function $F_1(a, b; c; x, y)$ [20, equation 5.8(5)], respectively. Evaluating (8) in terms of Lauricella hypergeometric functions $F^{(2\\L)}_D$ and $F^{(2\\L+1)}_D$, we obtain the average SEP for M-PSK signals over Nakagami-\(q\) fading channels with \(L\)-branch MRC as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}^\text{MRC}_{e,\text{MPSK}} &= \frac{\Gamma(L + 1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi L}(L + 1)} \phi_{\text{MRC}}(g_1) \\
&\times F^{(2\\L)}_D \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, L + 1;
\end{array} \right) \\
&\times F^{(2\\L+1)}_D \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, -L + \frac{3}{2};
\end{array} \right) \\
&\left\{ \eta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \zeta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(11)

For \(M = 2\) (binary PSK), \(I_{1,\text{MPSK}}\) (or equivalently the second term of (11)) is equal to zero. Hence, the average bit error probability (BEP) for binary PSK with MRC in Nakagami-\(q\) fading becomes the first term of (11) with \(g_1 = 1\).

### Special cases

(i) Independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) SIMO Nakagami-\(q\) fading channel: with the help of the reduction formula (A.1) in the appendix, (11) reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}^\text{MRC}_{e,\text{MPSK}} &= \frac{\Gamma(L + 1/2)\phi_{\text{MRC}}(g_1)}{2\sqrt{\pi L}(L + 1)} \\
&\times F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}; L + 1; \eta^*_g, \zeta^*_g \\
\end{array} \right) \\
&\left\{ \eta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \zeta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \\
&\times F^{(3)}_D \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}; -L + \frac{3}{2};
\end{array} \right) \\
&\left\{ \eta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \zeta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(12)

where

\[
\eta^*_g = \left( 1 + \frac{2g\gamma}{1 + g^2} \right)^{-1}, \quad \zeta^*_g = \left( 1 + \frac{2g\gamma\eta^2}{1 + g^2} \right)^{-1}.
\]  

(13)

(ii) SISO Nakagami-\(q\) fading channel: substituting \(L = 1\) into (12) and noting that for \(n = 2\), \(F^{(n)}_D(\cdot)\) reduces to \(F_1(\cdot)\), we obtain the same result as in [18, equation (18)].

(iii) Rayleigh fading channel with \(L\)-branch MRC: substituting \(\eta^*_g = \zeta^*_g\), leading to the same result as in [5, equation (7)] for Rayleigh fading (\(m = 1\)) with again the help of (A.1).

(iv) SISO Rayleigh fading channel (\(L = 1\) and \(q = 1\)): substituting \(q = 1\) and \(L = 1\) into (12), we obtain the same result as in [4, equation (10)] for Rayleigh fading (\(m = 1\)), which further reduces to [2, equation (8.112)] in terms of elementary functions (see [4] for details).

### 3.2. \(M\)-ary quadrature amplitude modulation (M-QAM)

For coherent square \(M\)-QAM, the average SEP is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}^\text{MRC}_{e,\text{MQAM}} &= \frac{4g_1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \phi_{\text{MRC}} \left( \frac{g_3}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) d\theta \\
&\triangleq I_{1,\text{MQAM}} \\
&- \frac{4g_2^2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/4} \phi_{\text{MRC}} \left( \frac{g_3}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) d\theta, \\
&\triangleq I_{2,\text{MQAM}}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(14)

where

\[
g_3 = \frac{3}{2(M - 1)}, \quad g_4 = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}.
\]  

(15)

Considering the similarity of \(I_{1,\text{MQAM}}\) to \(I_{1,\text{MPSK}}\) and making the change of variable \(t = 1 - \tan^2 \theta\) in \(I_{2,\text{MQAM}}\) (after some manipulations) [4], we obtain the average SEP for \(M\)-QAM signals over Nakagami-\(q\) fading channels with \(L\)-branch MRC as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}^\text{MRC}_{e,\text{MQAM}} &= \frac{2g_1\Gamma(L + 1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi L}(L + 1)} \phi_{\text{MRC}}(g_3) \\
&\times F^{(2\\L)}_D \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}; L + 1; \right) \\
&\left\{ \eta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \zeta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \\
&\times F^{(3)}_D \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}; -L + \frac{3}{2};
\end{array} \right) \\
&\left\{ \eta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \zeta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

### Special cases

(i) I.I.D. SIMO Nakagami-\(q\) fading channel (\(q_i = q\) and \(\Omega_i = \Omega, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, L\)): with the help of (A.1), (16) reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}^\text{MRC}_{e,\text{MQAM}} &= \frac{2g_1\Gamma(L + 1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi L}(L + 1)} \phi_{\text{MRC}}(g_3) \\
&\times F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}; L + 1; \eta^*_g, \zeta^*_g \\
\end{array} \right) \\
&\left\{ \eta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \zeta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \\
&\times F^{(3)}_D \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}; -L + \frac{3}{2};
\end{array} \right) \\
&\left\{ \eta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \zeta^{(i)}_{\Omega} \right\}_{i=1}^{L}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(17)
(ii) SISO Nakagami-\( q \) fading channel (\( L = 1 \)): substituting \( L = 1 \) into (17), we obtain the same result as in [18, equation (21)].

(iii) Rayleigh fading channel with \( L \)-branch MRC (\( q_i = 1 \)): substituting \( q = 1 \) into (17), we have \( \eta_{i,0}^* = \xi_{i,0}^* \) and \( \eta_{i,2}^* = \xi_{i,2}^* \), leading to the same result as in [5, equation (12)] for Rayleigh fading (\( m = 1 \)) with again the help of (A.1).

(iv) SISO Rayleigh fading channel (\( L = 1 \) and \( q = 1 \)): substituting \( q = 1 \) and \( L = 1 \) into (17), we obtain the same result as in [4, equation (12)] for Rayleigh fading (\( m = 1 \)), which further reduces to [2, equation (8.106)] in terms of elementary functions (see [4] for details).

### 3.3. \( M \)-ary differential PSK (M-DPSK)

The average SEP for differentially coherent detection of \( M \)-DPSK signals is given by [1–4]

\[
p_{\text{M-SEP}}(\text{M-DPSK}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{g_1}{1 + \sqrt{g_2} \cos \theta} d\theta. \tag{18}
\]

Letting

\[
g_5 = 2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2M} \right), \quad g_6 = \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2M} \right),
\]

and making the change of the variable [4]

\[
t = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sin^2(\pi/2 - \pi/2M)},
\]

equation (18) can be evaluated (after some algebra) as

\[
p_{\text{M-SEP}}(\text{M-DPSK}) = \frac{2 \sqrt{g_5}}{\pi} \phi_{\text{M-SEP}}(g_5) F_D^{(2L+2)}
\times F_D^{(2L+2)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^L \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^L, -L; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{g_5}{\sqrt{g_6}} \right]
\times \left\{ \sqrt{g_5} \eta_{g_5}^{(0)} \right\}_{i=1}^L \left\{ \sqrt{g_5} \zeta_{g_5}^{(0)} \right\}_{i=1}^L, \sqrt{g_6}.
\]

(21)

**Special cases**

(i) I.I.D. SIMO Nakagami-\( q \) fading channel (\( q_i = q \) and \( \Omega_i = \Omega, i = 1, 2, \ldots, L \)): with the help of (A.1), (21) reduces to

\[
p_{\text{M-SEP}}(\text{M-DPSK}) = \frac{2 \sqrt{g_5}}{\pi} \phi_{\text{M-SEP}}(g_5) F_D^{(4)} \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -L; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \sqrt{g_5} \eta_{g_5}^*, \sqrt{g_5} \zeta_{g_5}^*, \sqrt{g_6} \right].
\]

(22)

(ii) SISO Nakagami-\( q \) fading channel (\( L = 1 \)): substituting \( L = 1 \) into (22), we obtain the same result as in [18, equation (10)] for SISO Nakagami-\( q \) fading with again the help of (A.1).

(iii) Rayleigh fading channel with \( L \)-branch MRC (\( q_i = 1 \)): substituting \( q = 1 \) into (22), we have \( \eta_{i,0}^* = \xi_{i,0}^* \) and \( \eta_{i,2}^* = \xi_{i,2}^* \), leading to the same result as in [5, equation (14)] for Rayleigh fading (\( m = 1 \)) with again the help of (A.1).

(iv) SISO Rayleigh fading channel (\( L = 1 \) and \( q = 1 \)): substituting \( q = 1 \) and \( L = 1 \) into (22), we obtain the same result as in [4, equation (14)] for Rayleigh fading (\( m = 1 \)), which further reduces to [9, equation (8)] in terms of elementary functions (see [4] for details).

### 3.4. Noncoherent correlated binary signals and \( \pi/4 \)-differential quaternary PSK (DQPSK)

The average BEP for equal energy, equiprobable, correlated binary signals with noncoherent detection is given by [1–4]

\[
p_{\text{b,NCB}}(\text{MRC}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \phi_{\text{M-SEP}} \left( \frac{(v - u)^2}{(2(u + v)^2) - 4uv \cos \theta} \right) d\theta.
\]

(23)

with

\[
u = \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad v = \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2} \right)^{1/2},
\]

(24)

where \( |\rho| \in [0, 1] \) is the magnitude of cross correlation coefficient between two signals. Note that the special case of \( \rho = 0 \) (i.e., \( u = 0 \) and \( v = 1 \)) corresponds to noncoherent orthogonal binary frequency-shift keying (FSK).

Letting

\[
g_7 = \frac{(v - u)^2}{2}, \quad g_8 = \frac{4uv}{(u + v)^2},
\]

(25)

and making the change of the variable \( t = \cos^2 \theta \) [4], (23) can be evaluated (after some algebra) as

\[
p_{\text{b,NCB}}(\text{MRC}) = \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\text{M-SEP}}(g_7) F_D^{(2L+1)} \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}, -L; 1; \right.
\]

\[
\left. \left\{ g_8 \eta_{g_8}^{(0)} \right\}_{i=1}^L \left\{ g_8 \xi_{g_8}^{(0)} \right\}_{i=1}^L, g_8 \right].
\]

(26)

Since \( u = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} \) and \( v = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2} \) correspond to \( \pi/4 \)-DQPSK with Gray coding [1–4], we can obtain the average SEP for \( \pi/4 \)-DQPSK directly from (26) with these particular values of \( u \) and \( v \) (or equivalently \( g_7 = 2 - \sqrt{2} \) and \( g_8 = 2\sqrt{2}/(2 + \sqrt{2}) \)).

**Special cases**

(i) I.I.D. SIMO Nakagami-\( q \) fading channel (\( q_i = q \) and \( \Omega_i = \Omega, i = 1, 2, \ldots, L \)): with the help of (A.1), (26) reduces to

\[
p_{\text{b,NCB}}(\text{MRC}) = \phi_{\text{M-SEP}}(g_7) \frac{2}{2} \times F_D^{(3)} \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -L; 1; g_8 \eta_{g_8}^*, g_8 \xi_{g_8}^* \right].
\]

(27)
In particular, (27) for \( L = 1 \) (SISO Nakagami-\( q \) fading) reduces (with the help of the reduction formula (A.2) in the appendix) to

\[
P_{b,NCB} = \frac{\phi_{q}(g_{r})}{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; g_{s} \eta_{0}, g_{s} \zeta_{0}^{*}\right)
- \frac{g_{s} \phi_{q}(g_{r})}{4} F_{1}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 2 g_{s} \eta_{0}, g_{s} \zeta_{0}^{*}\right),
\]

(28)

which agrees with [18, equation (15)].

(ii) Rayleigh fading channel with \( L \)-branch MRC (\( q_{i} = 1 \)): substituting \( q = 1 \) into (27), we have \( \eta_{q}^{*} = \zeta_{q}^{*} \), leading to the same result as in [5, equation (16)] for Rayleigh fading \( (m = 1) \) with again the help of (A.1).

(iii) SISO Rayleigh fading channel \( (L = 1 \) and \( q = 1 \)): substituting \( q = 1 \) and \( L = 1 \) into (27), we obtain the same result as in [4, equation (7)] for Rayleigh fading \( (m = 1) \), which further reduces to [3, equation (22)] in terms of elementary functions (with the aid of identity [4, equation (19)]).

4. AVERAGE SEP FOR OSTBC

In this section, we extend the analysis to MIMO diversity systems employing an OSTBC for multiple transmit antennas [13, 14]. We consider a slowly varying, frequency-flat, Nakagami-\( q \) fading MIMO channel with \( n_{t} \) transmit and \( n_{r} \) receive antennas.

Let \( \mathbf{H} \) be the \( n_{r} \times n_{t} \) channel matrix whose \((i, j)\)th entries \( h_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_{r}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_{t}, \) are statistically independent complex propagation coefficients between the \( j \)th transmit and the \( i \)th receive antennas. The fading amplitude \(|h_{ij}|\) of the \((i, j)\)th link is a Nakagami-\( q \) variable with fading severity parameter \( q_{ij} \) and \( E\{[|h_{ij}|^{2}]\} = \Omega_{ij} \).

4.1. MGF of output SNR

During a \( K \)-symbol interval, the \( K \times n_{t} \) OSTBC \( \mathbf{g}_{n_{t}} \) consisting of \( N \) symbols \((M\text{-PSK or } M\text{-QAM}) x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N} \) is transmitted with the rate \( R = N/K \), where the average energy of symbol transmitted from each antenna is normalized to be \( E_{s}/n_{t} \). A general construction of complex OSTBCs with minimal delay and maximal achievable rate was presented in [21].

This construction of OSTBCs for \( n_{t} \) transmit antennas gives the maximal achievable rate [21, Theorem 1]

\[
R = \left\lceil \frac{\log_{2} n_{t}}{2} \right\rceil + \frac{1}{n_{t}},
\]

(29)

where \( \lceil x \rceil \) denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to \( x \). For example, one-rate Alamouti OSTBC \( \mathbf{g}_{2} \) for two transmit antennas [13] and 3/4-rate OSTBC \( \mathbf{g}_{4} \) for four transmit antennas [21] are given by

\[
\mathbf{g}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & x_{2} \\ -x_{2}^{*} & x_{1}^{*} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 \\ -x_{3}^{*} & x_{1}^{*} & 0 & -x_{3}^{*} \\ -x_{2}^{*} & 0 & x_{1}^{*} & x_{2} \\ 0 & x_{3}^{*} & -x_{2}^{*} & x_{1} \end{bmatrix},
\]

(30)

where the superscript \((\cdot)^{*}\) stands for the complex conjugate. It is well known that due to the unitary property of OSTBCs, the orthogonal space-time block encoding and decoding transform a MIMO channel into \( N \) equivalent SISO sub-channels with a path gain of the Frobenius norm of \( \mathbf{H} \), yielding instantaneous output symbol SNR for each of SISO sub-channels [10, 11]

\[
\gamma_{\text{STBC}} = \frac{1}{n_{t} R} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{t}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |h_{ij}|^{2} \frac{E_{s}}{N_{0}},
\]

(31)
Since all the $h_{ij}$’s are independent, the MGF of $y_{\text{STBC}}$ can be easily written as

$$
\phi_{y_{\text{STBC}}} (s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{M}} \prod_{j=1}^{\text{nt}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{2\gamma_{ij}/\eta_{i,j}}{1 + q_{ij}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2\gamma_{ij}/\eta_{i,j}}{1 + q_{ij}} \right) \right]^{-1/2},
$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = \Omega_{ij} E_s/N_0$.

### 4.2. M-PSK and M-QAM

From analogy of the MGF of $y_{\text{STBC}}$ in (32) to (5), we can obtain the average SEPs for OSTBC with $M$-PSK and $M$-QAM immediately from (11) and (16) as follows:

For I.I.D. MIMO Rayleigh fading channel ($q_{ij} = 1$): substituting $q = 1$ into (34), we have $\eta_{i,j}^* = \zeta_{i,j}^*$, $\eta_{i,j} = \zeta_{i,j}$, and $\eta_{i,j}^* = \zeta_{i,j}$, leading to the same results as in [10, equations (26) and (27)] in terms of elementary functions.

### 5. NUMERICAL AND SIMULATION RESULTS

To validate our analysis, we perform Monte Carlo simulations and compare them with analytical results. For the simulation of Nakagami-$q$ (Hoyt) fading model, the approximation of the Hoyt model by a properly chosen Nakagami-\(m\) model has been presented in [15]. In our examples, we obtain the Nakagami-$q$ fading by taking account of the physical model of the $\Lambda$-$\mu$ distribution [22]: $\mu = 0.5$ and $\lambda = (1 - q^2)/(1 + q^2)$. In such a case, the in-phase and quadrature components of the Nakagami-$q$ fading envelope are modeled as the sum of several zero-mean correlated Gaussian random variables with a correlation coefficient $(1 - q^2)/(1 + q^2)$.

In all examples (for brevity of simulations), we set $q_i = q$ and $\Omega_i = 1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, L$ for SIMO Nakagami-$q$ fading, and $q_{ij} = q$ and $\Omega_{ij} = 1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_r, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_t$ for MIMO Nakagami-$q$ fading. Hence, the average symbol SNR per receive antenna is equal to $E_s/N_0$.

Figure 1 shows the average SEP of 8-PSK and 16-QAM versus $E_s/N_0$ for $L$-branch MRC in SIMO Nakagami-$q$ fading channels when $q = 0.5$, $L = 2$ and 4. Figure 2 shows the SEP of 8-PSK $g_2$ and 16-QAM $g_4$ OSTBCs versus $E_s/N_0$ in MIMO Nakagami-$q$ fading channels when $q = 0.3$ and $n_r = 2$. For 8-PSK $g_2$ and 16-QAM $g_4$, the transmission rate is equal to...
3 bits/s/Hz. From these two figures, we see that the analytical results match exactly with the simulation ones.

The effect of fading severity on the average SEP is illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 where the Nakagami-\(q\) parameter varies from 0 to 1. Figure 3 shows the average SEP of 8-PSK with L-branch MRC in SIMO Nakagami-\(q\) fading channels as a function of the Nakagami-\(q\) parameter when \(L = 2, 3, 4, 5\) and \(E_s/N_0 = 20\) dB. Similarly, Figure 3 shows the average SEP of 8-PSK \(g_4\) in MIMO Nakagami-\(q\) fading channels as a function of the \(q\) parameter when \(n_r = 2, 3, 4, 5\) and \(E_s/N_0 = 10\) dB. As the fading parameter \(q\) decreases—from the best case of Rayleigh fading (\(q = 1\)) to the worst case of one-sided Gaussian fading (\(q = 0\))—we can clearly observe the increase in SEP due to more severe fading.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have derived the exact average SEP for a variety of binary and M-ary signals over SIMO and MIMO Nakagami-\(q\) fading channels with MRC and orthogonal space-time block coding, respectively. The final SEP expressions have been given generally in terms of Lauricella hypergeometric functions. Furthermore, it has been shown that the well-known results for Rayleigh fading are special cases of our final expressions.

APPENDIX

A. REDUCTION FORMULAS FOR \(F_D^{(N)}\)

Using Euler integral form of Lauricella hypergeometric function in (10), we can easily obtain two reduction formulas for \(F_D^{(n)}\), which are useful in the paper, as follows.

(i) When \(x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_m = x^*\), \(m \leq n\),

\[
F_D^{(n)}(a, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n; c; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n) = F_D^{(n-m+1)}(a, \sum_{i=1}^m b_i, \{b_i\}_{i=m+1}^n; c; x^*, \{x_i\}_{i=m+1}^n).
\]

(A.1)

(ii) When \(b_m = -1\),

\[
F_D^{(n)}(a, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n; c; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n) = F_D^{(n-1)}(a, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}; c; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}) - \frac{c}{a} x_n F_D^{(n-1)}(a + 1, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}; c + 1; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}).
\]

(A.2)
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