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Andrea Giorgini

Received: 23 June 2020 / Accepted: 4 March 2021 / Published online: 27 April 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract
We study the Abels–Garcke–Grün (AGG) model for a mixture of two viscous incompressible fluids with different densities. The AGG model consists of a Navier–Stokes–Cahn–Hilliard system characterized by a (non-constant) concentration-dependent density and an additional flux term due to interface diffusion. In this paper we address the well-posedness problem in the two-dimensional case. We first prove the existence of local strong solutions in general bounded domains. In the space periodic setting we show that the strong solutions exist globally in time. In both cases we prove the uniqueness and the continuous dependence on the initial data of the strong solutions. Lastly, we show a stability result for the strong solutions to the AGG model and the model H in terms of the density values.
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1 Introduction
In this article we consider the Abels–Garcke–Grün (AGG) model

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t (\rho(\phi)u) + \text{div} (u \otimes (\rho(\phi)u + \tilde{J})) - \text{div} (\nu(\phi)Du) + \nabla P &= -\text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) \\
\text{div} u &= 0 \\
\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi &= \Delta \mu \\
\mu &= -\Delta \phi + \Psi'(\phi).
\end{align*}
\]

The AGG system is studied in $\Omega \times (0, T)$, where $\Omega$ is either a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ or the two-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2$. The state variables are the volume averaged velocity $u = u(x, t)$, the pressure of the mixture $P = P(x, t)$, and the difference of the fluids concentrations $\phi = \phi(x, t)$. The symmetric gradient is $D = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla + \nabla^T)$. The flux term $\tilde{J}$, the mean density $\rho$ and the mean viscosity $\nu$ of the mixture are given by

Communicated by Y. Giga.
\[ \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \nabla \mu, \quad \rho(\phi) = \rho_1 \frac{1 + \phi}{2} + \rho_2 \frac{1 - \phi}{2}, \quad v(\phi) = v_1 \frac{1 + \phi}{2} + v_2 \frac{1 - \phi}{2}, \] (1.2)

where \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \) and \( v_1, v_2 \) are the homogeneous densities and viscosities of the two fluids. The nonlinear function \( \Psi \) is the Flory-Huggins potential

\[ \Psi(s) = \frac{\theta}{2} \left[ (1 + s) \log(1 + s) + (1 - s) \log(1 - s) \right] - \frac{\theta_0}{2} s^2, \quad s \in [-1, 1], \] (1.3)

where the constant parameters \( \theta \) and \( \theta_0 \) fulfill the conditions \( 0 < \theta < \theta_0 \). Notice that (1.1) can be rewritten in the non-conservative form as

\[ \rho(\phi) \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \rho(\phi) (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \rho'(\phi) (\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \text{div} (v(\phi) \mathbf{D}) + \nabla P = -\text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi). \]

In a bounded domain \( \Omega \), the system is subject to the classical boundary conditions

\[ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \partial_n \phi = \partial_n \mu = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \] (1.4)

where \( n \) is the unit outward normal vector on \( \partial \Omega \), and \( \partial_n \) denotes the outer normal derivative on \( \partial \Omega \). In the case \( \Omega = \mathbb{T}^2 \), the state variables satisfy periodic boundary conditions. In both cases, the system (1.1) is supplemented with the initial conditions

\[ \mathbf{u}(:, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \phi(:, 0) = \phi_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \] (1.5)

The total energy associated to system (1.1) is defined as

\[ E(\mathbf{u}, \phi) = E_{\text{kin}}(\mathbf{u}, \phi) + E_{\text{free}}(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \rho(\phi) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \Psi(\phi) \, dx, \]

and the corresponding energy equation reads as

\[ \frac{d}{dt} E(\mathbf{u}, \phi) + \int_{\Omega} v(\phi) \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mu|^2 \, dx = 0. \] (1.6)

The Abels–Garcke–Grün system is a fundamental diffuse interface model which describes the motion of two viscous incompressible Newtonian fluids with unmatched densities (i.e. \( \rho_1 \neq \rho_2 \)). The model was derived in the seminal paper [7]. The AGG model is a thermodynamically consistent generalization of the well-known model H (see [25] for the derivation and [1,23] for the mathematical analysis). In fact, the classical Navier–Stokes–Cahn–Hilliard system is recovered in the matched density case (i.e. \( \rho_1 = \rho_2 \)) since the flux \( \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{0} \) and the density \( \rho(\phi) \) is constant. As for the model H, the fluid mixture in the AGG system is driven by the capillary forces \(-\text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi)\) due to the surface tension effect. In addition, a partial diffusive mixing is assumed in the interfacial region, which is modeled by \( \Delta \mu \), being the chemical potential \( \mu = \frac{\delta E_{\text{free}}(\phi)}{\delta \phi} \). The specificity of the AGG model lies in the presence of the flux term \( \mathbf{J} \). In contrast to the one-phase flow, the (average) density \( \rho(\phi) \) in (1.1) does not satisfy the continuity equation with respect to the flux associated with the velocity \( \mathbf{u} \). Instead, the density \( \rho(\phi) \) satisfies the continuity equation with a flux given by the sum of the transport term \( \rho(\phi) \mathbf{u} \) and the term \( \mathbf{J} \). The latter is due to the diffusion of the concentration in the unmatched densities case. For the connection of the AGG model with the classical sharp interface two-phase problem and the so-called sharp interface limit, we refer the reader to the review in [8]. It is important to mention that the theory of diffuse interface models for mixtures of fluids has been widely developed in the past decades. Several systems have been proposed to model binary mixtures with non-constant density in view of their applications in engineering and physics. We mention the models derived in [12,15,20,26,29,31] and the theoretical analysis achieved in [2,3,11,24,27].
The mathematical analysis of the AGG system has been focused so far on the existence of weak solutions in two and three dimensional bounded domains. More precisely, global solutions with finite energy for the system \((1.1)\) with boundary and initial conditions \((1.4)\)–\((1.5)\) were proven in \([5,6]\). In the former the mobility coefficient \(m(\phi)\) is non-constant and strictly positive, whereas in the latter \(m(\phi)\) is degenerate.\(^1\) Later on, the existence of global weak solutions have been generalized in \([4]\) for viscous non-Newtonian binary fluids and in \([19]\) for the case of dynamic boundary conditions describing moving contact lines. Furthermore, non-local variants of the AGG system have been investigated in \([9]\) and \([16,17]\), where the gradient term \(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi|^2\) in the local free energy \(E_{\text{free}}(\phi)\) has been replaced by different non-local operators. Lately, in the recent work \([10]\) (see also \([34]\)) the local well-posedness of strong solutions is proven in three dimensions for regular potentials \(\Psi\) provided that \(\phi_0 \in (L^p(\Omega), W^4_{p,N}(\Omega))(1-\frac{1}{p})^p\) for \(4 < p < 6\) such that \(\|\phi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1\). Notice that, in this range of \(p\), \(\phi_0 \in W^4(1-\frac{1}{p})(\Omega) \subset H^3(\Omega)\) (cf. Remark 3.4). In addition, the solution in \([10]\) may not satisfy \(|\phi(x,t)| \leq 1\) for positive times, thereby the system may degenerate.\(^2\)

The aim of this contribution is to present the first well-posedness result for the AGG model with logarithmic Flory-Huggins potential. In our analysis we show existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence on the initial data of the strong solutions in the two-dimensional case. In comparison with the notion of weak solutions studied in the previous works on the AGG model, such strong solutions are more regular and solve the system \((1.1)\) pointwise almost everywhere. These solutions depart from initial data \(u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)\) with \(\text{div} u_0 = 0\), and \(\phi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)\) such that \(-1 \leq \phi_0(x) \leq 1\) in \(\Omega\) and \(-\Delta \phi_0 + \Psi'(\phi_0) \in H^1(\Omega)\), which satisfy suitable boundary or periodic conditions. We first prove the existence of local-in-time strong solutions in a general bounded domain (see Theorem 3.1). Our proof relies on the existence of suitable (global) approximate solutions to system \((1.1)\) constructed through a semi-Galerkin formulation. In this framework the modified Navier–Stokes equations \((1.1)_1\)–\((1.1)_2\) are solved in finite-dimensional (spacial) spaces, whereas the convective Cahn–Hilliard system \((1.1)_3\)–\((1.1)_4\) is fully solved (i.e. not approximated). The advantage of this approach is that the approximate velocity fields \(u_m\) is regular in the space variable, and the approximate concentrations \(\phi_m\) take values in the physical interval \([-1, 1]\) which, in turn, ensures that \(\rho'(\phi) = \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}\).\(^3\) It is worth pointing out that our strategy entirely exploit the regularity properties of the Cahn–Hilliard equation with logarithmic potential in two dimensions. More precisely, the control of \(\Psi'(\phi)\) in \(L^p\) spaces (available in the two dimensional setting) allows us to recover the time continuity of the chemical potential \(\mu\), which is needed to solve the approximated problem. Once the existence of the approximate solutions is shown, we employ the energy method to deduce uniform estimates and the necessary compactness to obtain the existence of a local solution to \((1.1)\). Next, in the periodic boundary setting we demonstrate that the strong solutions exist globally in time (see Theorem 3.3). The key observation to obtain the propagation of regularity for all times is that global-in-time higher-order estimates for the full system as in \([23,24]\) are out of reach due to the presence of the nonlinear term \((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u\) (cf. the term \(I_3\) in \((4.46)\)). Notice that, since \(\nabla \mu\) belongs to \(L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))\) [cf. \((1.6)\)], \(\nabla \mu\) has a lower regularity than \(u\). Therefore, the idea is to split the argument by first improving the regularity of the concentration \(\phi\) relying on the energy estimates obtained

\(^1\) In comparison with \([5,6]\), we have set the mobility \(m(\phi)\) and the energy coefficient \(a(\phi)\) equal to one in \((1.1)\).

\(^2\) It is worth mentioning that the strong solutions herein proved in Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 are such that \(\phi\) takes values in the physical range \([-1, 1]\) entailing that \(\rho(\phi)\) defined in \((1.2)\) remains positive for all times.

\(^3\) A different approximation leading to a concentration \(\phi_m\) with values outside the interval \([-1, 1]\) may need a suitable extension of \(\rho(\cdot)\) outside the interval \([-1, 1]\), and, in general, it may happen that \(\rho'(\phi) \neq \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}\).
from (1.6), and then showing more regularity properties for the velocity field. A similar idea was used in [1] for the model H. However, the argument in [1, Lemma 3] is based on the integrability properties of \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \) or the fractional in time regularity of \( \mathbf{u} \), which are not known for the weak solutions to (1.1). Nevertheless, it is possible to overcome this issue by exploiting the fine structure of the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations in the periodic setting. The crucial term involving the time derivative of the velocity is rewritten in (5.18) in such a way that the highest space derivative acting on the velocity is of order one, and boundary terms do not appear when integrating by parts. Such technique requires an estimate of the pressure \( P \) in \( L^2 \), which is deduced from the incompressibility condition (1.1)2 and the crucial estimate (5.7) for the Cahn–Hilliard equation. In both cases (bounded domains and periodic setting) we show the uniqueness of the strong solutions and their continuous dependence on the initial data. Lastly, we rigorously justify the model H as the matched densities approximation of the AGG model through a stability result. Specifically, we study the difference in the energy norm between the strong solutions to the AGG model and the model H (departing from the same initial datum), and we prove that the error is proportional to the difference of the density values.

**Plan of the paper.** We report in Sect. 2 the function spaces and the notation used in this paper. In Sect. 3 we state the main results. Section 4 is devoted to the local existence of strong solutions in bounded domains. In Sect. 5 we prove the global existence of strong solutions in the space periodic setting. In Sect. 6 we address the uniqueness and the continuous dependence on the initial data of the strong solutions. The last Sect. 7 is devoted to a stability result of the solutions to the AGG model and the model H with respect to the density parameters.

### 2 Preliminaries

For a real Banach space \( X \), its norm is denoted by \( \| \cdot \|_X \). The symbol \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X',X} \) stands for the duality pairing between \( X \) and its dual space \( X' \). The boldface letter \( X \) denotes the vectorial space endowed with the product structure. We assume that \( \Omega \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with boundary \( \partial \Omega \) of class \( C^3 \) or the flat torus \( T^2 = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z})^2 \). We denote the Lebesgue spaces by \( L^p(\Omega) \) \( (p \geq 1) \) with norms \( \| \cdot \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \). The inner product in the Hilbert space \( L^2(\Omega) \) is denoted by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \). For \( s \in \mathbb{N} \), \( p \geq 1 \), \( W^{s,p}(\Omega) \) is the Sobolev space with norm \( \| \cdot \|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \). If \( p = 2 \), we use the notation \( W^{s,p}(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega) \). For \( s = 1 \) we denote the duality between \( H^1(\Omega) \) and the dual space \( (H^1(\Omega))^* \) by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \). In the case \( \Omega = T^2 \), we recall that the functions are characterized by their Fourier expansion

\[
 f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}_k e^{ik \cdot x}, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{f}_{-k} = \overline{\hat{f}_k}, \quad \hat{f}_k = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{T^2} f(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} \, dx,
\]

where \( \overline{z} \) is the complex conjugate of \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). We report that \( \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1 + |k|^{2s}) |\hat{f}_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \) is a norm on \( H^s(T^2) \), \( s \in \mathbb{N} \), which is equivalent to the standard norm. For every \( f \in (H^1(\Omega))^* \), we denote by \( \overline{f} \) the generalized mean value over \( \Omega \) defined by \( \overline{f} = |\Omega|^{-1} f \). If \( f \in L^1(\Omega) \), then \( \overline{f} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f \, dx \). By the generalized Poincaré inequality, there exists a positive constant \( C \) such that

\[
 \| f \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C (\| \nabla f \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\overline{f}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall f \in H^1(\Omega). \tag{2.1}
\]
We recall the Ladyzhenskaya, Agmon and Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequalities in two dimensions
\begin{align}
\|f\|_{L^4(\Omega)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall f \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (2.2) \\
\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall f \in H^2(\Omega), \quad (2.3) \\
\|\nabla f\|_{L^4(\Omega)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall f \in H^2(\Omega), \quad (2.4) \\
\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W^{2,s}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall f \in W^{2,s}(\Omega), \quad s > 2. \quad (2.5)
\end{align}

Next, we introduce the Hilbert spaces of solenoidal vector-valued functions. In the case of a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we define
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}_\sigma &= \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : \text{div} u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \}, \\
\mathbf{V}_\sigma &= \{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : \text{div} u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \}.
\end{align*}

We also use $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\| \cdot \|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for the inner product and the norm in $\mathbf{H}_\sigma$. The space $\mathbf{V}_\sigma$ is endowed with the inner product and norm $(u, v)_{\mathbf{V}_\sigma} = (\nabla u, \nabla v)$ and $\|u\|_{\mathbf{V}_\sigma} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, respectively. We report the Korn inequality
\begin{equation}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{2} \|D u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{V}_\sigma,
\end{equation}

which implies that $\|D u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is a norm on $\mathbf{V}_\sigma$ equivalent to $\|u\|_{\mathbf{V}_\sigma}$. We introduce the space $\mathbf{W}_\sigma = \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{V}_\sigma$ with inner product and norm $(u, v)_{\mathbf{W}_\sigma} = (A u, A v)$ and $\|u\|_{\mathbf{W}_\sigma} = \|A u\|$, where $A = \mathbb{P}(-\Delta)$ is the Stokes operator and $\mathbb{P}$ is the Leray projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ onto $\mathbf{H}_\sigma$. We recall that there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}_\sigma}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{W}_\sigma.
\end{equation}

In the space periodic case $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^2$, we define
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{H}_\sigma &= \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) : \hat{u}_k \cdot k = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \}, \quad \mathbf{V}_\sigma = \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2) \cap \mathbb{H}_\sigma, \quad \mathbf{W}_\sigma = \mathbf{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \cap \mathbb{H}_\sigma,
\end{align*}

which are endowed with the norms $\|u\|_{\mathbb{H}_\sigma} = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$, $\|u\|_{\mathbf{V}_\sigma} = \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)}$, and $\|u\|_{\mathbf{W}_\sigma} = \|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}$. Since
\begin{equation}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \sqrt{2} \|D u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{V}_\sigma,
\end{equation}

it follows that $(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 + \|D u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a norm on $\mathbf{V}_\sigma$, which is equivalent to $\|u\|_{\mathbf{V}_\sigma}$. We recall that
\begin{equation}
\|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C (\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}), \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{W}_\sigma.
\end{equation}

Throughout this paper we make use of the following notation:

- We define the positive constants

\[
\rho_\ast = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}, \quad \rho^\ast = \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}, \quad \nu_\ast = \min\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}, \quad \nu^\ast = \max\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}.
\]

\footnote{In contrast to the classical periodic setting for the incompressible Navier–Stokes [cf. [33]], we do not require that $\mathbf{n}_0 = 0$ in the definition of $\mathbf{H}_\sigma$ and $\mathbf{V}_\sigma$. This is due to the fact that $\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u \, dx$ is not conserved by the flow of (1.1).}
We denote the convex part of the Flory-Huggins potential by $F$, namely

$$F(s) = \frac{\theta}{2} \left[ (1 + s) \log(1 + s) + (1 - s) \log(1 - s) \right], \quad s \in [-1, 1].$$

The symbol $C$ denotes a generic positive constant whose value may change from line to line. The specific value depends on the domain $\Omega$ and the parameters of the system, such as $\rho_\ast$, $\rho^\ast$, $v_\ast$, $v^\ast$, $\theta$ and $\theta_0$. Further dependencies will be specified when necessary.

### 3 Main results

In this section we formulate the main results of this paper. We start with the local well-posedness of the strong solutions to system (1.1) in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ subject to the boundary conditions (1.4).

**Theorem 3.1** Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of class $C^3$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that $u_0 \in V_\sigma$ and $\phi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that $\|\phi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1$, $|\phi_0| < 1$, $\mu_0 = -\Delta \phi_0 + \Psi'(\phi_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$, and $\partial_n \phi_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then, there exist $T_0 > 0$, depending on the norms of the initial data, and a unique strong solution $(u, P, \phi)$ to system (1.1) subject to (1.4)–(1.5) on $(0, T_0)$ in the following sense:

(i) The solution $(u, P, \phi)$ satisfies the properties

$$u \in C([0, T_0]; V_\sigma) \cap L^2(0, T_0; W_\sigma) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T_0; H_\sigma),$$

$$P \in L^2(0, T_0; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$\phi \in L^\infty(0, T_0; H^2(\Omega)), \quad \partial_t \phi \in L^\infty(0, T_0; (H^1(\Omega))') \cap L^2(0, T_0; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$\mu \in C([0, T_0]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_0; H^3(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T_0; (H^1(\Omega))'),$$

$$F'(\phi), F''(\phi), F'''(\phi) \in L^\infty(0, T_0; L^p(\Omega)), \forall p \in [1, \infty).$$

(ii) The solution $(u, P, \phi)$ fulfills the system (1.1) almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T_0)$ and the boundary conditions $\partial_n \phi = \partial_n \mu = 0$ almost everywhere in $\partial\Omega \times (0, T_0)$.

(iii) The solution $(u, P, \phi)$ is such that $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$ and $\phi(\cdot, 0) = \phi_0$ in $\Omega$. Moreover, $(u, \phi)$ depends continuously on the initial data in $H_\sigma \times H^1(\Omega)$ on $[0, T_0]$.

**Remark 3.2** The AGG system (1.1) corresponds to the model H in the case of matched densities (i.e. $\rho = \rho_1 = \rho_2$). In this case, under the same assumptions of Theorem 3.1 regarding the domain $\Omega$ and the initial data $(u_0, \phi_0)$, it is proven in [23, Theorem 4.1] that the (unique) strong solution exists globally in time.

In the space periodic setting we establish the global well-posedness of the strong solutions.

**Theorem 3.3** Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^2$. Assume that $u_0 \in V_\sigma$ and $\phi_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $\|\phi_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq 1$, $|\phi_0| < 1$, $\mu_0 = -\Delta \phi_0 + \Psi'(\phi_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then, there exists a unique global strong solution $(u, P, \phi)$ to system (1.1) with periodic boundary conditions and initial conditions (1.5) in the following sense:
(i) For all $T > 0$, the solution $(u, P, \phi)$ is such that

$$
u \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{V}_\sigma) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{W}_\sigma) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\sigma),$$

$$P \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)),$$

$$\phi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)), \quad \partial_t \phi \in L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\mathbb{T}^2))') \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)),$$

$$\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, T)) : |\phi(x, t)| < 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, T),$$

$$\mu \in C((0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; (H^1(\mathbb{T}^2))'),$$

$$F'(\phi), F''(\phi), F'''(\phi) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)), \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty).$$

(ii) The solution $(u, P, \phi)$ satisfies the system (1.1) almost everywhere in $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, T)$.

(iii) The solution $(u, P, \phi)$ fulfills $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$ and $\phi(\cdot, 0) = \phi_0$ in $\mathbb{T}^2$. In addition, for all $T > 0$, $(u, \phi)$ depends continuously on the initial data in $\mathbb{H}_\sigma \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ on $[0, T]$.

**Remark 3.4** The assumption on the initial chemical potential $\mu_0$ required in both Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 is satisfied if $\phi_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$ such that $F''(\phi_0) \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Finally, we prove a stability result in terms of the density values between the strong solutions to the AGG model and the model H departing from the same initial datum.

**Theorem 3.5** Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of class $C^3$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Given an initial datum $(u_0, \phi_0)$ as in Theorem 3.1, we consider the strong solution $(u, P, \phi)$ to the AGG model with density (1.2) defined on $[0, T_0]$ and the strong solution $(u_H, P_H, \phi_H)$ to the model $H$ with density $\bar{\rho}$. Then, there exists a constant $C$, which depends on the norm of the initial data, the time $T_0$ and the parameters of the systems, such that

$$
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|u(t) - u_H(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\phi(t) - \phi_H(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\
\leq C \left( \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} + \left| \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} - \bar{\rho} \right| \right). \tag{3.3}
$$

**Remark 3.6** Assuming that $\rho_1 = \bar{\rho}$ and $\rho_2 = \bar{\rho} + \varepsilon$ for (small) $\varepsilon > 0$, the stability estimate (3.3) reads as

$$
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|u(t) - u_H(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\phi(t) - \phi_H(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon.
$$

**Remark 3.7** The statement of Theorem 3.5 is also valid in the space periodic setting. In particular, thanks to the global well-posedness, the stability estimate (3.3) holds on $[0, T]$ for any $T > 0$.

**4 Proof of Theorem 3.1: local existence in bounded domains**

In this section, we prove the existence of local strong solutions to system (1.1) with boundary and initial conditions (1.4)–(1.5) in a bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We first present the semi-Galerkin approximation scheme, then prove the solvability of the approximated system through a fixed point argument, and finally carry out the uniform estimates of the approximate solutions which allow the passage to the limit in the approximate formulation.
4.1 Definition of the approximate problem

We consider the family of eigenfunctions \( \{w_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \) and eigenvalues \( \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \) of the Stokes operator \( A \). For any integer \( m \geq 1 \), we define the finite-dimensional subspaces of \( V_{\sigma} \) by \( V_m = \text{span}\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\} \). We denote by \( p_m \) the orthogonal projection on \( V_m \) with respect to the inner product in \( H_{\sigma} \). Since \( \Omega \) is of class \( C^3 \), it follows that \( w_j \in H^3(\Omega) \cap V_{\sigma} \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, we report the inverse Sobolev embedding inequalities in \( V_m \)

\[
\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C_m \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_m \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \|v\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \leq C_m \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in V_m. \tag{4.1}
\]

Let us fix \( T > 0 \). For any \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), we determine the approximate solution \( (u_m, \phi_m) \) to the system (1.1) with boundary and initial conditions (1.4)–(1.5) as follows:

\[
u_m \in C^1([0, T] ; V_m),
\phi_m \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{2-p}(\Omega)), \quad \partial_t \phi_m \in L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))' \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))),
\phi_m \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)); |\phi_m(x, t)| < 1 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T),
\mu_m \in C([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))'),
F^{(\phi_m)} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega)),
\]

for all \( p \in [2, \infty) \), such that

\[
(\rho(\phi_m) \partial_t u_m, w) + (\rho(\phi_m)(u_m \cdot \nabla)u_m, w) + (\nu(\phi_m) Du_m, \nabla w) - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} ((\nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla)u_m, w) = (\nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m, \nabla w),
\tag{4.3}
\]

for all \( w \in V_m \) and \( t \in [0, T] \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \phi_m + u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m &= \Delta \mu_m \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\mu_m &= -\Delta \phi_m + \Psi'(\phi_m)
\end{align*}
\tag{4.4}
\]

The approximate solution \( (u_m, \phi_m) \) satisfies the boundary and initial conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
u_m &= 0, \quad \partial_n \phi_m = \partial_n \mu_m = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\
u_m(\cdot, 0) &= P_m u_0, \quad \phi(\cdot, 0) = \phi_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.
\end{align*}
\tag{4.5}
\]

4.2 Existence of approximate solutions

We perform a fixed point argument to show the existence of the approximate solutions satisfying (4.2)–(4.5). To this aim, we take \( v \in W^{1,2}(0, T; V_m) \). We consider the convective Cahn–Hilliard system

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \phi_m + v \cdot \nabla \phi_m &= \Delta \mu_m \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\mu_m &= -\Delta \phi_m + F'(\phi_m) - \theta_0 \phi_m
\end{align*}
\tag{4.6}
\]

which is equipped with the boundary and initial conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_n \phi_m = \partial_n \mu_m &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \quad \phi_m(\cdot, 0) = \phi_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.
\end{align*}
\tag{4.7}
\]

\( \Box \) Springer
It is proven in [1, Theorem 6 and Lemma 3] that there exists a unique solution to (4.6)–(4.7) such that

$$\phi_m \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{2,p}(\Omega)), \quad \partial_t \phi_m \in L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^') \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$\phi_m \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)) : |\phi_m(x, t)| < 1 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

(4.8)

$$\mu_m \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

for any $p \in [2, \infty)$. Thanks to [14, Lemma A.6], it follows that $F''(\phi) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ for any $p \in [2, \infty)$. In addition, by comparison in (4.6) and (4.6)\textsubscript{2}, we infer that $\mu \in L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega))$ and $\partial_t \mu_m \in L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^')$ (see, e.g., [21, Proof of Theorem 5.1]). Therefore, we have

$$\mu_m \in C([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^').$$

(4.9)

We report the following estimates for the system (4.6)–(4.7) (see [1], cf. also [14,22]):

1. $L^2$ estimate:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\phi_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_0^T \|\Delta \phi_m(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\tau \leq \|\phi_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{\theta_0^2}{2} T. \quad (4.10)$$

2. Energy estimate:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_m(t)|^2 + F(\phi_m(t)) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\nabla \mu(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\tau$$

$$\leq E_{\text{free}}(\phi_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|v(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\tau + \frac{\theta_0}{2} \|\phi_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{\theta_0^3}{4} T. \quad (4.11)$$

3. Time derivative estimate\textsuperscript{5}

$$\|\partial_t \phi_m\|^2_{L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^')} + \int_0^T \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\tau$$

$$\leq C\left(1 + \|\nabla \mu_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v\|^2_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}\right)$$

$$+ \int_0^T \|\partial_t v(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\tau \|C \int_0^T 1 + \|v(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\tau\right) \quad (4.12)$$

\textsuperscript{5} The estimate (4.12) is derived from [1, Lemma 3] and [22, Lemma 5.1]. More precisely, [22, Eq. (5.6)] yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla A^{-1} \partial_t \phi_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla A^{-1} \partial_t \phi_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$+ (v(t + h) \partial_t \phi_m, \nabla A^{-1} \partial_t \phi_m) + (\partial_t v \phi_m, \nabla A^{-1} \partial_t \phi_m),$$

for $t \in (0, T - h)$, where $\partial_t f \ast \Gamma = \frac{1}{h} (f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot))$ and $A^{-1}$ is the inverse of the Laplace operator with Neumann boundary conditions. Since $\phi_m$ is bounded [cf. (4.8)] and following [22, Lemma 5.1], we obtain (cf. also [1, Eq. (3.19)])

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla A^{-1} \partial_t \phi_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C(1 + \|v(t + h)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|\nabla A^{-1} \partial_t \phi_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \|\partial_t v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

where $C$ is independent of $h$. Thanks to $\|\nabla A^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(1 + \|v_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v\|^2_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))})$ [cf. [1, Eq. (3.18)] and [14, Eq. 5.8]], we conclude from the Gronwall lemma that (4.12) holds replacing $\partial_t \phi_m$ with $\partial_t^v \phi_m$. Then, passing to the limit $h \to 0$ as in [1, Lemma 3], we arrive at (4.12).
where the constant $C$ only depends on $\Omega$ and $\theta_0$.

Next, we look for the approximated velocity field

$$u_m(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j^m(t)w_j(x)$$

that solves the Galerkin approximation of (1.1) as follows

$$(\rho(\phi_m)\partial_t u_m, w_l) + (\rho(\phi_m)(v \cdot \nabla)u_m, w_l) + (v(\phi_m)Du_m, \nabla w_l)$$

$$- \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}(\nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla)u_m, w_l) = (\nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m, \nabla w_l), \quad \forall l = 1, \ldots, m,$$

which is completed with the initial condition $u_m(\cdot, 0) = P_m u_0$. Setting $A^m(t) = (a_1^m(t), \ldots, a_m^m(t))^T$, (4.13) is equivalent to the system of differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}M^m(t)A^m = L^m(t)A^m + G^m(t),$$

where the matrices $M^m(t)$, $L^m(t)$ and the vector $G^m(t)$ are given by

$$(M^m(t))_{l,j} = \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m)w_l \cdot w_j \, dx,$$

$$(L^m(t))_{l,j} = \int_{\Omega} \left( \rho(\phi_m)(v \cdot \nabla)w_l \cdot w_j + v(\phi_m)Dw_j : \nabla w_l - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}(\nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla)w_j \cdot w_l \right) \, dx,$$

$$(G^m(t))_l = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m : \nabla w_l \, dx,$$

and the initial condition is

$$A^m(0) = ((P_m u_0, w_1), \ldots, (P_m u_0, w_m))^T.$$

Thanks to (4.8), it follows that $\phi_m \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. This, in turn, implies that $\rho(\phi_m), v(\phi) \in C(\Omega \times [0, T])$. In addition, we recall that $v \in C([0, T]; H^1)\cap \nabla \mu \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. As a consequence, it follows that $M^m$ and $L^m$ belong to $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$, and $G^m \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{m})$. Furthermore, the matrix $M^m(\cdot)$ is definite positive on $[0, T]$, and so the inverse $(M^m)^{-1} \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$. Therefore, the classical existence and uniqueness theorem for system of linear ODEs entails that there exists a unique vector $A^m \in C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m)$ that solves (4.14) on $[0, T]$. This implies that the problem (4.13) has a unique solution $u_m \in C^1([0, T]; V_m)$.

Next, multiplying (4.13) by $a_l^m$ and summing over $l$, we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m)\partial_t \left( \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m)v \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} v(\phi_m)|Du_m|^2 \, dx$$

$$- \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \right) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m : \nabla u_m \, dx.$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m) \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \left( \partial_t \rho(\phi_m) + \text{div} (\rho(\phi_m)v) \right) \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} v(\phi_m)|Du_m|^2 \, dx$$

\(\square\)

Springer
By exploiting (2.4) and (4.1), we find
\[
\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \mu_m \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m : \nabla u_m \, dx.
\]

Since \( \rho'(\phi_m) = \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \) and \( \text{div} \, v = 0 \), by using (4.6), we observe that
\[
- \int_{\Omega} \left( \partial_t \rho(\phi_m) + \text{div} \left( \rho(\phi_m) v \right) \right) \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx + \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \mu_m \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\Omega} \rho'(\phi_m) \left( \partial_t \phi_m + v \cdot \nabla \phi_m - \Delta \mu_m \right) \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx = 0.
\]

Thus, we deduce that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m) \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nu(\phi_m) \left| D u_m \right|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m : \nabla u_m \, dx.
\]

By using (2.4), (2.6) and (4.8), we have
\[
- \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m : \nabla u_m \, dx \leq \| \nabla \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \| \nabla u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\nu_*}{2} \| D u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \phi_m \|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2,
\]
for some constant \( C \) depending only on \( \Omega \) and \( \nu_* \). Since \( \| \phi_m \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C (1 + \| \Delta \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \), we arrive at
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m) \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx + \frac{\nu_*}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left| D u_m \right|^2 \, dx \leq C \left( 1 + \| \Delta \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).
\]

In light of (4.10), we infer that
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m(t)) \frac{|u_m(t)|^2}{2} \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_0) \frac{|u_0|^2}{2} \, dx + C \left( T + \| \phi_0 \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\theta_0^2}{2} T \right).
\]

This, in turn, implies that
\[
\| u_m \|_{C([0, T]; H^s)} \leq R_0, \tag{4.15}
\]
where the constant \( R_0 \) depends on \( \rho_*, \rho^*, \nu_*, \theta_0, \| u_0 \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, T, \Omega \). As an immediate consequence, we deduce that
\[
\| u_m \|_{L^2(0, T; H^s)} \leq R_0 \sqrt{T} =: R_1. \tag{4.16}
\]

Next, we proceed in estimating the time derivative of \( u_m \). To this aim, multiplying (4.13) by \( \frac{d}{dt} a^m_i \) and summing over \( i \), we obtain
\[
\rho_* \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq -\left( \rho(\phi_m)(v \cdot \nabla) u_m, \partial_t u_m \right) - (v(\phi_m) D u_m, \nabla \partial_t u_m)
\]
\[
+ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \left( (\nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla) u_m, \partial_t u_m \right) + (\nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m, \nabla \partial_t u_m).
\]

By exploiting (2.4) and (4.1), we find
\[
\rho_* \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \rho^* \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_m \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu^* \| D u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]
\[
+ \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right| \| \nabla u_m \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \nabla \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \nabla \phi_m \|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]
\[
\leq \rho^* C \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u_m \|_{H^3(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu^* C^2 m \| u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]
\[
+ C \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right| \| u_m \|_{H^3(\Omega)} \| \nabla \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C m \| \phi_m \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]

Springer
\[ \leq \rho^* C_m \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + v^* C_m \| u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_m \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

Then, by (4.10), (4.11), (4.15) we eventually infer that

\[
\int_0^T \| \partial_t u_m (\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau \leq \left( \frac{\rho^*}{\rho_s} C_m R_0 \right)^2 \int_0^T \| v(\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau + \left( \frac{v^*}{\rho_s} C_m R_0 \right)^2 T + \left( C_m \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right)^2 \int_0^T \| \nabla \mu(\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau + \left( C_m \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right)^2 \int_0^T (1 + \| \Delta \phi_m(\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \, d\tau \leq \left( \frac{\rho^*}{\rho_s} C_m R_0 \right)^2 + \left( C_m \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right)^2 \left( 2 E_{\text{free}}(\phi_0) + \| \partial_t \mu(\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + C \left( C_m \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right)^2 \left( T + \| \partial_t \mu(\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right). \]

Thus, there exist two positive constants \( R_2 \) and \( R_3 \), depending only on \( \rho_s, \rho^*, v_*, \theta_0, \| u_0 \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, E_{\text{free}}(\phi_0), T, \Omega, m \), such that

\[
\int_0^T \| \partial_t u_m (\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau \leq R_2 \int_0^T \| v(\tau) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau + R_3. \tag{4.17} \]

We are now in a position to state the setting of the fixed point argument. Let us define \( R_4 = \sqrt{R_2 R_1^2 + R_3} \). We introduce the set

\[ S = \{ u \in W^{1,2}(0, T; V_m) : \| u \|_{L^2(0, T; V_m)} \leq R_1, \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(0, T; V_m)} \leq R_4 \} \subset L^2(0, T; V_m), \]

and the map

\[ \Lambda : S \to L^2(0, T; V_m), \quad \Lambda(v) = u_m, \]

where \( u_m \) is the solution to the system (4.13). Thanks to (4.15) and (4.17), we deduce that \( \Lambda : S \to S \). We notice that \( S \) is a convex set. In addition, by [32, Theorem 1, Section 3], \( S \) is compact set in \( L^2(0, T; V_m) \).

We are left to prove that the map \( \Lambda \) is continuous. Let us consider a sequence \( \{ v_n \} \subset S \) such that \( v_n \to \tilde{v} \) in \( L^2(0, T; V_m) \). By arguing as above, there exists a sequence \( \{ (\psi_n, \mu_n) \} \) and \( (\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\mu}) \) that solve the convective Cahn–Hilliard equation (4.6)–(4.7), where \( v \) is replaced by \( v_n \) and \( \tilde{v} \), respectively. Since \( \{ v_n \} \) and \( \tilde{v} \) belong to \( S \), and \( E_{\text{free}}(\phi_0) < \infty \), we infer from [1, Theorem 6] that

\[
\| \psi_n - \tilde{\psi} \|_{L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^\prime)} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.18} \]

On the other hand, using again that \( \{ v_n \} \) and \( \tilde{v} \) belong to \( S \), together with the continuous embedding \( W^{1,2}(0, T; V_m) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; V_m) \), it follows from (4.12) that

\[
\| \partial_t \psi_n \|_{L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^\prime)} + \| \partial_t \mu_n \|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \| \partial_t \tilde{\psi} \|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \]

for some constant \( C \) which depends on \( \phi_0, T, R_1, R_4, \theta_0, \Omega \), but is independent of \( n \). By comparison in (4.6), it is easily seen that

\[
\| \mu_n \|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \| \tilde{\mu} \|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \leq C. \]
By exploiting [14, Lemma A.4 and Lemma A.6], we obtain

\[
\|\psi_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^2,p(\Omega))} + \|F'(\psi_n)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} + \|F''(\psi_n)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq C_p,
\]

\[
\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^2,p(\Omega))} + \|F'(\tilde{\psi})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} + \|F''(\tilde{\psi})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq C_p,
\]

for all \( p \in [2, \infty) \), where the constant \( C_p \) depends on \( p, \phi_0, T, R_1, R_4, \theta_0, \Omega \), but is independent of \( n \). Thanks to the above estimates, we infer that

\[
\|F'(\psi_n)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|F'(\tilde{\psi})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \leq C,
\]

which, in turn, gives us

\[
\|\psi_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \tag{4.20}
\]

for some constant \( C \) independent of \( n \). By standard interpolation, we deduce from (4.18) and (4.20) that

\[
\|\psi_n - \tilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \quad \tag{4.21}
\]

As a consequence, by using the definition of \( \mu_n - \tilde{\mu} \) and the above estimates, we eventually obtain

\[
\|\mu_n - \tilde{\mu}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \quad \tag{4.22}
\]

Next, we introduce \( u_n = \Lambda(v_n) \in S \), for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( \tilde{u} = \Lambda(\tilde{v}) \in S \). We define \( u = u_n - \tilde{u}, \psi = \psi_n - \tilde{\psi}, \nu = \nu_n - \tilde{\nu}, \) and \( \mu = \mu_n - \tilde{\mu} \). We have the system

\[
(\rho(\psi_n)\partial_t u, w) + ((\rho(\psi_n) - \rho(\tilde{\psi}))\partial_t \tilde{u}, w) + (\rho(\psi_n)(\nu_n \cdot \nabla)u_n - \rho(\tilde{\psi})(\nu \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}, w) \\
+ (v(\psi_n)D\tilde{u}, \nabla w) + ((v(\psi_n) - v(\tilde{\psi}))D\tilde{u}, \nabla w) \\
- \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}((\nabla \mu_n \cdot \nabla)u_n - (\nabla \tilde{\mu} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}, w) \\
= (\nabla \psi_n \otimes \nabla \psi_n - \nabla \tilde{\psi} \otimes \nabla \tilde{\psi}, \nabla w), \quad \tag{4.23}
\]

for all \( w \in \mathcal{V}_m \), for all \( t \in [0, T] \). Taking \( w = u \), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\psi_n)|u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} v(\psi_n)|Du|^2 \, dx \\
= \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \psi_n|u|^2 \, dx - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi(\partial_t \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u}) \, dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} (\rho(\psi_n)(\nu_n \cdot \nabla)u_n - \rho(\tilde{\psi})(\nu \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}) \cdot u \, dx - \frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi(D\tilde{u} : Du) \, dx \\
+ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} ((\nabla \mu_n \cdot \nabla)u_n - (\nabla \tilde{\mu} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}) \cdot u \, dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \psi_n \otimes \nabla \psi + \nabla \tilde{\psi} \otimes \nabla \tilde{\psi}) : \nabla u \, dx.
\]
\[ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \psi_n |u|^2 \, dx \leq C \| \partial_t \psi_n \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^3(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{v_n}{10} \| Du \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \partial_t \psi_n \|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \]
and
\[ -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi(\partial_t \tilde{u} \cdot u) \, dx \leq C \| \psi \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \partial_t \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq C \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \psi \|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2. \]
Since \( v_n, \tilde{v} \) and \( u_n \) belong to \( S \), by (2.6) and (4.1) we get
\[ -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi((v_n \cdot \nabla)u_n - \rho(\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}) \cdot u \, dx \]
\[ = -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi((v_n \cdot \nabla)u_n) \cdot u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \rho(\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)(\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)u \cdot u \, dx \]
\[ \leq C \| \psi \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| v_n \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_n \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_n \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ + C \| \tilde{v} \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq C_m \| \psi \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_m \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{v_n}{10} \| Du \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_m \| \psi \|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_m \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \]
In a similar way, we find
\[ -\frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi(D\tilde{u} : Du) \, dx \leq C \| \psi \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| D\tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| Du \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{v_n}{10} \| Du \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_m \| \psi \|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2, \]
and
\[ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} ((\nabla \mu_n \cdot \nabla)u_n - (\nabla \tilde{\mu} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}) \cdot u \, dx \]
\[ = -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\mu_n \Delta u_n - \tilde{\mu} \Delta \tilde{u}) \cdot u \, dx - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\mu_n \nabla u_n - \tilde{\mu} \nabla \tilde{u}) : \nabla u \, dx \]
\[ = -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\mu_n \Delta u_n - \tilde{\mu} \Delta \tilde{u}) \cdot u \, dx - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\mu_n \nabla u_n + \tilde{\mu} \nabla \tilde{u}) : \nabla u \, dx \]
\[ \leq C \| \mu \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \Delta u_n \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C \| \tilde{\mu} \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| \Delta \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^3(\Omega)} \]
\[ + C \| \mu \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_n \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \| \tilde{\mu} \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| \nabla \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq C_m \| \mu \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_m \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{v_n}{10} \| Du \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_m \| \mu \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_m \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \]

By Sobolev embedding and (4.20), we have
\[ \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \psi_n \otimes \nabla \psi + \nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \tilde{\psi}) : \nabla u \, dx \leq C (\| \psi_n \|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \| \tilde{\psi} \|_{H^2(\Omega)}) \| \psi \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{v_n}{10} \| Du \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \psi \|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2. \]
Combining the above inequalities, we arrive at the differential inequality
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\psi_n)|u|^2 \, dx \leq h_1(t) \int_{\Omega} \rho(\psi_n)|u|^2 \, dx + h_2(t),
\]
where
\[
h_1(t) = C_m \left( 1 + \|\partial_t \psi_n(t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \right), \quad h_2(t) = C_m \left( \|\psi(t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\mu(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right).
\]
Therefore, an application of the Gronwall lemma yields
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_*} \left( \int_0^T h_1(t) \, dt \int_0^T h_2(t) \, dt \right).
\]
Owing to (4.19), (4.21), (4.22), and the convergence \( v_n \to \tilde{v} \) in \( L^2(0, T; V_m) \), we deduce that \( u_n \to \tilde{u} \) in \( L^\infty(0, T; V_m) \), which entails that the map \( \Lambda \) is continuous. Finally, we conclude from the Schauder fixed point theorem that the map \( \Lambda \) has a fixed point in \( S \). This implies the existence of the approximate solution \( (u_m, \phi_m) \) on \( [0, T] \) satisfying (4.2)–(4.5) for any \( m \in \mathbb{N} \).

### 4.3 A priori estimates for the approximate solutions

First, we observe that
\[
\int_{\Omega} \phi_m(t) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi_0 \, dx, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{4.24}
\]

Taking \( w = u_m \) in (4.3) and integrating by parts, we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \rho(\phi_m)|u_m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nu(\phi_m) |Du_m|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \rho'(\phi_m) \left( \partial_t \phi_m + u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m - \Delta \mu_m \right) \frac{|u_m|^2}{2} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \text{div} (\nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m) \cdot u_m \, dx
\]

Thanks to (4.4), the first term of the right-hand side in the above equality is zero. We recall that
\[
-\text{div} (\nabla \phi_m \otimes \nabla \phi_m) = -\nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_m|^2 \right) - \Delta \phi_m \nabla \phi_m = \mu_m \nabla \phi_m - \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_m|^2 \right) - \nabla \Psi(\phi_m).
\]

Then, we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \rho(\phi_m)|u_m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nu(\phi_m) |Du_m|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mu_m \nabla \phi_m \cdot u_m \, dx. \tag{4.25}
\]

Multiplying (4.6) by \( \mu_m \), integrating over \( \Omega \) and using the definition of \( \mu_m \), we get
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_m|^2 + \Psi(\phi_m) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mu_m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \mu_m \, dx = 0. \tag{4.26}
\]

By summing (4.25) and (4.26), we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} E(u_m, \phi_m) + \int_{\Omega} \nu(\phi_m) |Du_m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mu_m|^2 \, dx = 0. \tag{4.27}
\]

Integrating in time, we find
where the constants $F$ and $G$ follow that $\lim_{\delta \to 0} F(\delta) = 0$. Then, it follows from (4.30) that
\[
\|\phi \|_{L^1(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} \leq C.
\]
By the form of $F'$, thanks to [30, Eq. (A4), Prop. A1], we have
\[
\int_{\Omega} |F'(\phi_m)| \leq c_1 \int_{\Omega} F'(\phi_m)(\phi_m - \bar{\phi}_0) \, dx + c_2,
\]
where the constants $c_1, c_2$ depend on $\bar{\phi}_0$. Then, multiplying (4.6) by $\phi_m - \bar{\phi}_0$ [cf. (4.24)], we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F'(\phi_m)(\phi_m - \bar{\phi}_0) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\mu - \bar{\mu}) \phi_m \, dx + \theta_0 \int_{\Omega} \phi_m(\phi_m - \bar{\phi}_0) \, dx.
\]
Thanks to the regularity of $\phi_m$, $F'(\phi_m)$ and $F''(\phi_m)$ [cf. (4.8) and its consequences], it follows that $\nabla F'(\phi_m) = F''(\phi_m) \nabla \phi_m$, for almost every $t \in (0, T)$, and $F'(\phi_m) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$. This allows us to integrate by parts in the second term of the left-hand side, thus we obtain that $-\int_{\Omega} F'(\phi_m) \Delta \phi_m \, dx = \int_{\Omega} F''(\phi_m) |\nabla \phi_m|^2 \, dx$. Since $F''(s) > 0$ for $s \in (-1, 1)$, by using (4.29), we get
\[
\|\Delta \phi_m \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C (1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}),
\]
for some $C$ independent of $m$. Then, it follows from (4.30) that
\[
\|\phi_m \|_{L^4(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} \leq C.
\]
We use the Lipschitz truncation of $\phi_m$ defined by $\phi^k_m = h_k(\phi_m)$, where $h_k(s) = s$ for $s \in (-1 + \frac{1}{k}, 1 - \frac{1}{k})$, $h_k(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ for $s \in [1 - \frac{1}{k}, 1)$, and $h_k(s) = -1 + \frac{1}{k}$ for $s \in (-1, -1 + \frac{1}{k})$. Thanks to (4.8), for almost every $t \in (0, T)$, $\phi^k_m \to \phi_m$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$, thereby $F'(\phi^k_m) \to F'(\phi_m)$, $F''(\phi^k_m) \to F''(\phi_m)$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$. Since $|F''(\phi^k_m)| \leq |F''(\phi_m)|$ and $F'(\phi_m) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, we infer that $F'(\phi^k_m) \to F'(\phi_m)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ for almost every $t \in (0, T)$. Then, for any test function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$
\[
\int_{\Omega} F'(\phi_m) \phi \, dx = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} F'(\phi^k_m) \phi \, dx = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} F''(\phi^k_m) \phi \, dx
\]
where the last limit follows from Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem since $|F''(\phi^k_m) \phi = \lim_{k \to \infty} |F''(\phi^k_m) \phi| \leq |F''(\phi_m)|$ and $F''(\phi_m) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. 

\[\square\] Springer
By the Poincaré inequality and (4.29), we find
\[
\int_\Omega F'(\phi_m)(\phi_m - \overline{\phi}_0) \, dx \leq C(1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).
\] (4.34)

Since \( \overline{\mu}_m = F'(\phi_m) - \theta_0 \overline{\phi}_0 \), by combining (4.33) and (4.34), we have
\[
|\overline{\mu}_m| \leq C(1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).
\]

Thanks to (2.1), we are led to
\[
\|\mu_m\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C(1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}),
\] (4.35)

which, in turn, implies
\[
\|\mu_m\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \leq C,
\] (4.36)

for some constant \( C \) independent of \( m \). In addition, using the boundary conditions (4.5) and (4.28), we deduce that
\[
\|\partial_t\phi_m\|_{(H^1(\Omega))'} \leq C(1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}),
\] (4.37)

which entails that
\[
\|\partial_t\phi_m\|_{L^2(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))')} \leq C.
\]

Furthermore, by using [1, Lemma 2] or [14, Lemma A.4], we infer that, for all \( p \in (2, \infty) \),
\[
\|\phi_m\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + \|F'(\phi_m)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_p(1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).
\] (4.38)

As a consequence, it holds
\[
\|\phi_m\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega))} + \|F'(\phi_m)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq C_p.
\] (4.39)

Next, taking \( w = \partial_t u_m \) in (4.3) we get
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega v(\phi_m)|Du_m|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega \rho(\phi_m)|\partial_t u_m|^2 \, dx
= -\int_\Omega \rho(\phi_m)(u_m \cdot \nabla u_m) \cdot \partial_t u_m \, dx + \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_\Omega \partial_t \phi_m |Du_m|^2 \, dx
+ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_\Omega ((\nabla u_m \cdot \nabla)u_m) \cdot \partial_t u_m \, dx - \int_\Omega \Delta \phi_m \nabla \phi_m \cdot \partial_t u_m \, dx.
\] (4.40)

Computing the duality between \( \partial_t \mu_m \) and (4.6), we find
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |\nabla \mu_m|^2 \, dx + \langle \partial_t \mu_m, \partial_t \phi_m \rangle + \langle \partial_t \mu_m, u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \rangle = 0.
\]

Notice that
\[
\langle \partial_t \mu_m, \partial_t \phi_m \rangle = \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_\Omega F''(\phi_m) |\partial_t \phi_m|^2 \, dx - \theta_0 \|\partial_t \phi_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
\]

and
\[
\langle \partial_t \mu_m, u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \mu_m u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx - \int_\Omega \mu_m \partial_t u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx + \int_\Omega \mu_m \partial_t u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx - \int_\Omega \mu_m u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx.
\]
Then, we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mu_m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_m u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx \right] + \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 
\leq \theta_0 \| \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \mu_m \partial_t u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_m u_m \cdot \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \, dx. \tag{4.41}
\]

By summing (4.40) and (4.41), we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} H_m + \rho \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 
\leq - \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_m)((u_m \cdot \nabla)u_m) \cdot \partial_t u_m \, dx + \frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \phi_m |Du_m|^2 \, dx 
+ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} ((\nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla)u_m) \cdot \partial_t u_m \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta \phi_m \nabla \phi_m \cdot \partial_t u_m \, dx \tag{4.42}
+ \theta_0 \| \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \mu_m \partial_t u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_m u_m \cdot \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \, dx
= \sum_{k=1}^7 I_k,
\]

where
\[
H_m(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v(\phi_m) |Du_m|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mu_m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_m u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx.
\]

By (2.2), (2.6), (4.28), (4.29), and (4.35),
\[
\int_{\Omega} \mu_m u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_m \, dx \leq \| \mu_m \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \| u_m \|_{L^4(\Omega)} \| \nabla \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} 
\leq C (1 + \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) 
\leq \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} v(\phi_m) |Du_m|^2 \, dx + C_0,
\]

for some $C_0$ independent of $m$. Then, we infer that
\[
H_m \geq \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} v(\phi_m) |Du_m|^2 \, dx - C_0. \tag{4.43}
\]

We now proceed in estimating the terms $I_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 7$. Let $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ be two positive constant whose values will be determined later. Exploiting (2.2), (2.6) and (4.43), we have
\[
|I_1| \leq \rho^* \| u_m \|_{L^4(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_m \|_{L^4(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} 
\leq \frac{\rho^*}{8} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \nabla u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \| A u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.44}
\leq \frac{\rho^*}{8} \| \partial_t u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\sigma_1}{2} \| A u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \nabla u_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\]
By interpolation of Sobolev spaces and (2.1), (2.2), (4.37), we obtain

\[ |I_2| \leq C \| \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \]

\[ \leq C \| \partial_t \phi_m \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(1 + \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

(4.45)

By using (2.3) and (4.35), we get

\[ |I_3| \leq C \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \nabla \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

\[ \leq C \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \mu_m \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \| \nabla \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

\[ \leq \frac{\rho_m}{4} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sigma_2 \| \mu_m \|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4. \]

(4.46)

Exploiting (4.31), (4.37) and (4.38), we find

\[ |I_4| \leq \| \Delta \phi_m \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| \nabla \phi_m \|_{L^3(\Omega)} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

\[ \leq \frac{\rho_m}{4} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(1 + \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^3, \]

(4.47)

and

\[ |I_5| \leq C \| \partial_t \phi_m \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{6} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(1 + \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \]

(4.48)

Thanks to (4.31) and (4.35), we deduce that

\[ |I_6| \leq \| \mu_m \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla \phi_m \|_{L^3(\Omega)} \]

\[ \leq \frac{\rho_m}{4} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(1 + \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^3, \]

(4.49)

and

\[ |I_7| \leq \| \mu_m \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^3(\Omega)} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{6} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| \nabla \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 (1 + \| \nabla \mu_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \]

(4.50)

Combining (4.42) with (4.43) and the above estimates of $I_i$, we arrive at

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\rho_m}{2} \| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \]

\[ \leq \sigma_1 \| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sigma_2 \| \mu_m \|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + C(1 + (C_0 + H_m)^3, \]

(4.51)

where the positive constant $C$ depends on the values of $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ but is independent of $m$. We are left to control the norms $\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m \|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $\| \mu_m \|_{H^1(\Omega)}$. To this end, taking $w = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m$ in (4.13), we have

\[ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m) = - (\rho(\phi_m) \partial_t \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m) - (\rho(\phi_m)(\mathbf{u}_m \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m) \]

\[ + \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} ((\nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m) - (\Delta \phi_m \nabla \phi_m, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_m) \]

(4.52)
By the theory of the Stokes problem (see, e.g., [18] and [23, Appendix B, Lemma B.2]), there exists $\pi_m \in C([0, T]; H^1(\Omega))$ such that $-\Delta u_m + \nabla \pi_m = A u_m$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and

$$\|\pi_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \|\pi_m\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (4.53)$$

where $C$ is independent of $m$. Thus, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} (\nu(\phi_m) A u_m, A u_m) = - (\rho(\phi_m) \partial_t u_m, A u_m) - (\rho(\phi_m)(u_m \cdot \nabla) u_m, A u_m)$$

$$+ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} ((\nabla \mu_m \cdot \nabla) u_m, A u_m) - (\Delta \phi_m \nabla \phi_m, A u_m)$$

$$+ \frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} (D u_m \nabla \phi_m, A u_m) - \frac{v_1 - v_2}{4} (\pi_m \nabla \phi_m, A u_m)$$

$$= \sum_{i=8}^{13} I_i.$$ 

By Young’s inequality, we have

$$|I_8| \leq \rho^* \|\partial_t u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\nu_s}{24} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{6(\rho^*)^2}{\nu_s} \|\partial_t u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$ 

By using (2.2), (2.3), (2.6) and (4.28), we find

$$|I_9| \leq \rho^* \|u_m\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^3 \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\nu_s}{24} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|D u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4,$$ 

and

$$|I_{10}| \leq C \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^\frac{1}{2} \|\mu_m\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^\frac{3}{2} \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\nu_s}{24} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\nu_s}{24} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sigma_2 \|\mu_m\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2 + C \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4.$$ 

In light of (4.31) and (4.35), we have

$$|I_{11}| \leq C \|\Delta \phi_m\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\nabla \phi_m\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\nu_s}{24} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C (1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^3),$$ 

and

$$|I_{12}| \leq C \|D u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \phi_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\nu_s}{24} \|A u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C (1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \|D u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$
Owing to (4.38) and (4.53), we obtain
\[
|I_{13}| \leq C \|\nu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \phi_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|A\nu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
\leq C \|D\nu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \|A\nu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} (1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\
\leq \frac{\nu_s}{24} \|A\nu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \|D\nu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} (1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|^4_{L^2(\Omega)}).
\]

Thus, we are led to
\[
\frac{\nu_s}{4} \|A\nu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{6(\rho^*)^2}{\nu_s} \|\partial_t \nu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sigma_2 \|\mu_m\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + C (1 + (C_0 + H_m)^3). \tag{4.54}
\]

Next, taking the gradient of (4.4)_1, and using (4.38), we find
\[
\|\nabla \Delta \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \nu_m \nabla \phi_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla^2 \phi_m \nu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
\leq \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \|D\nu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \phi_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + C \|\nabla^2 \phi_m\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \|\nu_m\|_{L^5(\Omega)} \\
\leq \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \|D\nu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} (1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \tag{4.55}
\]

Since
\[
\|\mu_m\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} \leq C_S (1 + \|\nabla \mu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \Delta \mu_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}),
\]
for some positive constant $C_S$ independent of $m$, we infer from (4.55) that
\[
\|\mu_m\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} \leq 2C_S \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C (1 + (C_0 + H_m)). \tag{4.56}
\]

Let us now set
\[
\epsilon_1 = \frac{\nu_s \rho_s}{24(\rho^*)^2}, \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{8C_S}, \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\nu_s^2 \rho_s}{96(\rho^*)^2} \right), \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{16C_S (1 + \frac{\nu_s \rho_s}{24(\rho^*)^2})}, \quad C_1 = 1 + C_0.
\]

Multiplying (4.54) and (4.56) by $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$, respectively, and summing the resulting inequalities to (4.51), we deduce the differential inequality
\[
\frac{d}{dt} H_m + F_m \leq C (C_1 + H_m)^3, \tag{4.57}
\]
where
\[
F_m(t) = \frac{\rho_s}{2} \|\partial_t \nu_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sigma_1 \|A\nu_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sigma_2 \|\mu_m(t)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}.
\]

Hence, whenever $\bar{T} > 0$ satisfies
\[
1 - 2C \bar{T} (C_1 + H_m(0))^2 > 0,
\]
we have
\[
H_m(t) \leq \frac{C_1 + H_m(0)}{(1 - 2C \bar{T} (C_1 + H_m(0))^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \forall \ t \in [0, \bar{T}] \tag{4.58}
\]
We observe that

\[ H_m(0) \leq C_2(\|u_0\|_{V_\sigma} + \|\mu_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \]

for a positive constant \( C_2 \) independent of \( m \). Therefore, setting

\[ T_0 = \frac{1}{4C(C_1 + C_2(\|u_0\|_{V_\sigma} + \|\mu_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}))}, \]

it yields that

\[ H_m(t) \leq \sqrt{2}(C_1 + C_2(\|u_0\|_{V_\sigma} + \|\mu_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0]. \]

Notice that \( T_0 \) is independent of \( m \). Thanks to (4.35) and (4.43), we infer that

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\mu_m(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq K_1, \tag{4.59}
\]

where \( K_1 \) is a positive constant that depends on \( E(u_0, \phi_0), \|u_0\|_{V_\sigma}, \|\mu_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \) and the parameters of the system, but is independent of \( m \). Recalling (4.38), and using [14, Lemma A.6], we immediately obtain for any \( p \in [2, \infty) \)

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\phi_m(t)\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|F'(\phi_m(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|F''(\phi_m(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K_2(p). \tag{4.60}
\]

As a consequence, we have

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\phi_m(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|F'''(\phi_m(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K_3. \tag{4.61}
\]

Integrating (4.57) we deduce that

\[
\int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_t u_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t \phi_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau + \int_0^{T_0} \|A u_m(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mu_m(\tau)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau \leq K_4. \tag{4.62}
\]

Finally, it follows from (4.60) and (4.62) that

\[
\int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_t \mu_m(\tau)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau \leq K_5. \tag{4.63}
\]

Here, the constants \( K_2, \ldots, K_5 \) depend on the same factors as \( K_1 \).
4.4 Passage to the limit and existence of strong solutions

We are in a position to pass to the limit as $m \to \infty$. More precisely, thanks to the above estimates (4.59)–(4.63), we deduce the following convergences (up to a subsequence)

- $u_m \to u$ weak-star in $L^\infty(0, T_0; V_\sigma)$,
- $u_m \to u$ weakly in $L^2(0, T_0; H^2) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T_0; H_\sigma)$,
- $\phi_m \to \phi$ weak-star in $L^\infty(0, T_0; H^3(\Omega))$,
- $\phi_m \to \phi$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(0, T_0; H^1(\Omega))$,
- $\mu_m \to \mu$ weak-star in $L^\infty(0, T_0; H^1(\Omega))$,
- $\mu_m \to \mu$ weakly in $L^2(0, T_0; H^3(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T_0; (H^1(\Omega))')$.

The strong convergences of $u_m$, $\phi_m$ and $\mu_m$ are recovered through the Aubin-Lions lemma which yields

- $u_m \to u$ strongly in $L^2(0, T_0; V_\sigma)$,
- $\phi_m \to \phi$ strongly in $C([0, T_0]; W^{2,p}(\Omega))$, $\forall \, p \in [2, \infty)$, (4.65)
- $\mu_m \to \mu$ strongly in $C([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_0; H^2(\Omega))$.

As a consequence, since $\rho(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ are linear functions, we infer that

- $\rho(\phi_m) \to \rho(\phi)$, $v(\phi_m) \to v(\phi)$ strongly in $C([0, T_0]; H^2(\Omega))$. (4.66)

Furthermore, it follows from $\phi_m \to \phi$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T_0)$ and the continuity of $F'$ in $(-1, 1)$ that $F'(\phi_m) \to F'(\phi)$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T_0)$. At the same time, by exploiting (4.4) and (4.65), we observe that $F'(\phi_m) = \mu_m + \Delta \phi_m + \theta_0 \phi_m \to \mu + \Delta \phi + \theta_0 \phi$ in $C([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega))$, which implies that $F'(\phi_m) \to w$ in $C([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega))$. Thus, by the uniqueness of the almost everywhere convergence, we conclude that $w = F'(\phi)$. In particular, we also have

- $F'(\phi_m) \to F'(\phi)$ weak-star in $L^\infty(0, T_0; L^p(\Omega))$, $\forall \, p \in [2, \infty)$. (4.67)

The above properties entail the convergence of the nonlinear terms in (4.3), which allows us to pass to the limit as $m \to \infty$ in (4.3)–(4.4) (see, e.g., [33] for the limit in the Galerkin formulation). By the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm and the time continuity properties of the solution, there exists a constant $\overline{K}$ depending only on the norm of the initial data, the time $T_0$ and the parameters of the system, such that

- $\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\mu(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\phi(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \leq \overline{K}$, (4.68)

and

- $\int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_t u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \partial_t \phi(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|A u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\mu(\tau)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} \, d\tau \leq \overline{K}$. (4.69)

In addition, since $-\Delta \phi + F'(\phi) = \mu + \theta_0 \phi$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and $\partial_t \phi = 0$ on $\Omega \times (0, T)$, by using [14, Lemma A.6] for any $p \in [1, \infty)$, there exists $\overline{K}(p)$ such that

- $\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|F''(\phi(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|F'''(\phi(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \overline{K}(p)$. (4.70)
Here $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$ also depends on the norm of the initial data and the time $T_0$. Lastly, since
\[
(-\rho(\phi)\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \rho(\phi)(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \text{div} (\nu(\phi) D\mathbf{u}) + \rho'(\phi)(\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi), \mathbf{w}) = 0,
\]
for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{H}_\sigma$, there exists $P \in L^2(0, T_0; H^1(\Omega))$, $\overline{P}(t) = 0$ (see, e.g., [18]) such that
\[
\nabla P = -\rho(\phi)\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \rho(\phi)(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \text{div} (\nu(\phi) D\mathbf{u}) + \rho'(\phi)\nabla \mathbf{u} \nabla \mu - \text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi).
\]

**Remark 4.1** The proof of Theorem 3.1 holds true in the boundary periodic setting. In particular, the orthogonal dense set in $\mathbf{H}_\sigma$ can be chosen as the eigenfunctions of the Stokes operator (see [33]) augmented by the constant function. Moreover, in order to recover the norm of $\mathbf{u}_m$ for all $m$, the orthogonal dense set in $\mathbf{H}_\sigma$ can be chosen as the eigenfunctions of the Stokes operator in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ [cf. (4.52)], it is sufficient to take $-\Delta \mathbf{u}_m$ in (4.13) (instead of $A\mathbf{u}_m$). In turn, the term $I_{13}$ involving the pressure $\pi_m$ does not appear. The rest of the proof remains valid with few minor changes.

### 5 Proof of Theorem 3.3: global existence in the space periodic setting

In this section we address the global existence of the strong solutions to the AGG system (1.1) in $\mathbb{T}^2$. We consider a strong solution $(\mathbf{u}, P, \phi)$ to system (1.1) defined on the maximal interval of existence $(0, T_\ast)$. This satisfies for all $0 < T < T_\ast$

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u} &\in C([0, T]; \mathbb{V}_\sigma) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{W}_\sigma) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbf{H}_\sigma), \\
P &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)), \\
\phi &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)), \quad \partial_t \phi \in L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\mathbb{T}^2))' \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)), \\
\phi &\in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)) : |\phi(x, t)| < 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, T), \\
\mu &\in C([0, T); H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; (H^1(\mathbb{T}^2))'), \\
F'(\phi), F''(\phi), F'''(\phi) &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)),
\end{align*}
\]

for all $p \in [2, \infty)$, and

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(\phi)\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \rho(\phi)(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \rho'(\phi)(\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - \text{div} (\nu(\phi) D\mathbf{u}) + \nabla P &= -\text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) \\
\partial_t \phi + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi &= \Delta \mu \\
\mu &= -\Delta \phi + \Psi'(\phi)
\end{align*}
\]

almost everywhere in $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, T_\ast)$.

The aim is to show that $T_\ast = \infty$. We assume by contradiction that $T_\ast < \infty$. In the rest of this section, we prove that the norms related to the functional spaces in (5.1) are uniformly bounded on $(0, T_\ast)$. In turn, this entails that $\mathbf{u}(T_\ast) \in \mathbb{V}_\sigma$, $\phi(T_\ast) \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $|\phi(T_\ast)|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq 1$, $|\overline{\phi}(T_\ast)| < 1$ and $\mu(T_\ast) = -\Delta \phi + \Psi'(\phi(T_\ast)) \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Thus, by the local existence result in Theorem 3.1, it is possible to extend the solution beyond the time $T_\ast$. As a consequence, the solution exists globally in time.

#### 5.1 Energy estimates

We report some basic energy estimates similar to those obtained in Sect. 4 [cf. (4.24)–(4.39)]. First, combining (5.2)$_1$ and (5.2)$_3$, the solution satisfies (1.1)$_1$ almost everywhere in
\(T^2 \times (0, T^*)\). Integrating over \(T^2 \times (0, t)\) with \(t < T^*\), we obtain
\[
\int_{T^2} \rho(\phi(t))u(t) \, dx = \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi_0)u_0 \, dx, \quad \forall \, t \in [0, T^*).
\] (5.3)

Similarly, integrating (5.2)3 over \(T^2 \times (0, t)\) with \(t < T^*\), we get
\[
\int_{T^2} \phi(t) \, dx = \int_{T^2} \phi_0 \, dx, \quad \forall \, t \in [0, T^*).
\] (5.4)

Thanks to the energy identity (1.6), we have
\[
E(u(T), \phi(T)) + \int_0^T \int_{T^2} v(\phi) |D\mu|^2 + |\nabla \mu|^2 \, dx \, d\tau = E(u_0, \phi_0), \quad \forall \, 0 \leq T < T^*.
\]

Since \(E(u_0, \phi_0) < \infty\), we find for all \(0 < T < T^*\)
\[
\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(T^2))} \leq C, \quad \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(T^2))} \leq C, \quad \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(T^2))} \leq C, \quad \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(T^2))} \leq C.
\] (5.5) (5.6)

Here the constant \(C\) depends on \(E(u_0, \phi_0)\), but it is independent of \(T^*\). Arguing as in Sect. 4, we have
\[
\|\phi\|_{H^2(T^2)} \leq C \left( 1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \right),
\] (5.7)

and
\[
|\Pi| \leq C \left( 1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)} \right).
\] (5.8)

The latter implies
\[
\|\mu\|_{H^1(T^2)} \leq C \left( 1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)} \right).
\] (5.9)

In addition, we recall that
\[
\|\partial_t \phi\|_{(H^1(T^2))'} \leq C \left( 1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)} \right),
\] (5.10)

and
\[
\|\phi\|_{W^2, p(T^2)} + \|F'(\phi)\|_{L_p(T^2)} \leq C_p \left( 1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)} \right), \quad \forall \, p \in (2, \infty).
\] (5.11)

As a consequence, it follows that, for all \(T < T^*\),
\[
\|\phi\|_{L^4(0, T; H^1(T^2))} \leq C \left( 1 + T \right), \quad \|\phi\|_{L^2(0, T; W^2, p(T^2))} \leq C_p \left( 1 + T \right),
\] (5.12)

\[
\|\mu\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(T^2))} \leq C \left( 1 + T \right), \quad \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(0, T; (H^1(T^2))')} \leq C \left( 1 + T \right).
\] (5.13)

### 5.2 High-order estimates for the concentration

Taking the duality between \(\partial_t \mu\) and (5.2)3, we obtain [cf. (4.41)]
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{T^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mu|^2 \, dx + \int_{T^2} \mu u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \right] + \|\nabla \partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \\
\leq \theta_0 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \int_{T^2} \mu \partial_t u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{T^2} \mu u \cdot \nabla \partial_t \phi \, dx.
\] (5.14)
Since
\[ \|\mu\|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \leq C_S(1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2), \]
arguing as in (4.55), we infer that
\[ \|\mu\|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \leq 2C_S\|\nabla \partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C(1 + \|u\|_{H^1(T^2)}^2)^2(1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2). \quad (5.15) \]

Let us set \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{4C_S} \). Multiplying (5.15) by \( \varepsilon \) and adding the resulting inequality to (5.14), we get
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{T^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mu|^2 \, dx + \int_{T^2} \mu (u \cdot \nabla \phi) \, dx \right] + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \varepsilon \|\mu\|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \leq \theta_0 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \int_{T^2} \mu \partial_t u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{T^2} \mu u \cdot \nabla \partial_t \phi \, dx + \varepsilon C(1 + \|u\|_{H^1(T^2)}^2)^2(1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2).
\]

By interpolation of Sobolev spaces and (5.10)
\[
\|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla \partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C(1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2).
\]

By using (5.9), we have
\[
\int_{T^2} \mu u \cdot \nabla \partial_t \phi \, dx \leq \|\mu\|_{L^6(T^2)}\|u\|_{L^3(T^2)}\|\nabla \partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)} \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla \partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C\|u\|_{H^1(T^2)}^2(1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2).
\]

Thus, we preliminary obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{T^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mu|^2 \, dx + \int_{T^2} \mu (u \cdot \nabla \phi) \, dx \right] + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \varepsilon \|\mu\|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \leq \int_{T^2} \mu \partial_t u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + C(1 + \|u\|_{H^1(T^2)}^2)^2(1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2).
\]

We observe that
\[
\int_{T^2} \mu \partial_t u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{T^2} \partial_t u \cdot (\phi \nabla \mu) \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) \partial_t \phi \cdot \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx
\]
\[
= -\int_{T^2} (u \cdot \nabla) \phi \nabla \mu \, dx + \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla) u) \cdot \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx
\]
\[
+ \int_{T^2} \text{div} (\phi D u) \cdot \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx - \int_{T^2} \nabla P \cdot \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx
\]
\[
- \int_{T^2} \text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) \cdot \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{T^2} u \otimes u : \nabla (\phi \nabla \mu) \, dx + \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla) u) \cdot \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx
\]
\[
- \int_{T^2} \phi(\phi) D u : \nabla \left( \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \right) \, dx + \int_{T^2} P \text{div} \left( \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \right) \, dx
\]
\[ -\int_{\Omega^2} \text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) \cdot \frac{\phi \nabla \mu}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{5} W_i. \quad (5.18) \]

Here the periodic boundary conditions played a crucial role to avoid any boundary term. We now proceed in estimating the terms \( W_i, i = 1, \ldots, 5 \). By using (2.2), (5.5) and (5.9), we have

\[
|W_1| \leq C \| u \|_{L^4(T^2)}^2 \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)} + \| \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \mu \|_{H^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \| u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)} (\| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)})
\]
\[ + (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^\frac{1}{2}) \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 + C (1 + \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^2) (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2) \quad (5.19) \]

and

\[
|W_2| \leq \left\| \frac{\phi \rho'}{\rho(\phi)} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \\
\leq C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \mu \|_{H^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(T^2)} (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^\frac{3}{2}) \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^\frac{1}{2} \\
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 + C \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)}^4 (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2). \quad (5.20) \]

We observe that \( \rho(\phi) - \phi \rho'(\phi) = \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} \). By interpolation of Sobolev spaces and (5.9), we find

\[
|W_3| \leq \nu^* \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \left( \left\| \frac{\rho(\phi) - \phi \rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)} \nabla \mu \otimes \nabla \phi \right\|_{L^2(T^2)} + \left\| \frac{\phi}{\rho(\phi)} \nabla^2 \mu \right\|_{L^2(T^2)} \right) \\
\leq C \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} + C \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \mu \|_{H^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} + C \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^\frac{1}{2}) \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^\frac{1}{2} \\
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 + C (1 + \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^2) (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2). \quad (5.21) \]

By (5.7) we obtain

\[
|W_5| \leq C \| \phi \|_{H^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \left\| \frac{\phi}{\rho(\phi)} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^3) \quad (5.22) \\
\leq C \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} (1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2). \]
Similarly, by (2.2) and (5.9), we find

\[
|W_4| \leq \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)} \left( \|\rho(\phi) - \phi(\phi)\|_{L^2(T^2)} \right) + \|\phi\|_{\mu} \Delta \mu_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)} \left( \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^4(T^2)} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^4(T^2)} + \|\mu\|_{H^2(T^2)} \right) \\
\leq C \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)} \left( \|\phi\|_{H^2(T^2)}^{1/2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^{1/2} + \|\mu\|_{H^2(T^2)} \right) \\
\leq C \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)} \left( \|\phi\|_{H^2(T^2)} \left(1 + \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)}^{1/2} \right) \|\mu\|_{H^2(T^2)} + \|\mu\|_{H^2(T^2)} \right).
\]

(5.23)

We are now left to find an estimate of the pressure \(P\). We introduce the function \(q\) as the solution to

\[
- \text{div} \left( \frac{\nabla q}{\rho(\phi)} \right) = P \quad \text{in} \quad T^2 \times (0, T_*)..
\]

(5.24)

Since \(P \in L^2(0, T; H^1(T^2))\), for all \(0 < T < T_*\), such that \(\overline{P}(t) = 0\) for all \(t \in (0, T_*)\), and \(\rho(\phi) \geq \rho_*\), the existence of \(q\) follows from the Lax-Milgram theorem. In particular, we have \(q \in L^2(0, T_*; H^2(T^2))\), and \(\overline{q}(t) = 0\) for all \(t \in (0, T_*)\). In addition, by elliptic regularity, we have the following estimates [cf. [21, Theorem 2.1]]

\[
\|q\|_{H^1(T^2)} \leq C \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)}, \quad \|q\|_{H^2(T^2)} \leq C \left(1 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}\right) \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)}.
\]

(5.25)

The latter, together with (5.12), entails that \(q \in L^1(0, T_*; H^2(T^2))\). Multiplying (5.2) by \(\nabla q / \rho(\phi)\), we find

\[
\int_{T^2} \text{div} (u \otimes u) \cdot \nabla q \, dx - \int_{T^2} \rho'(\phi) \left((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla q \right) \, dx - \int_{T^2} \text{div} (v(\phi)D u) \cdot \nabla q \, dx \\
+ \int_{T^2} \nabla P \cdot \nabla q \, \rho(\phi) \, dx = - \int_{T^2} \text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) \cdot \nabla q \, \rho(\phi) \, dx.
\]

Integrating by parts and using the periodic boundary conditions, and then exploiting (5.24), we deduce that

\[
\|P\|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 = \int_{T^2} u \otimes u : \nabla^2 q \, dx + \int_{T^2} \rho'(\phi) \left((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla q \right) \, dx - \int_{T^2} \frac{v(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)} D u : \nabla^2 q \, dx \\
+ \int_{T^2} v(\phi) D u \cdot \left( \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \nabla q \otimes \nabla \phi \right) \, dx - \int_{T^2} \text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) \cdot \nabla q \, \rho(\phi) \, dx.
\]

(5.26)

Exploiting (2.2), (2.3), (5.5) and (5.9), we find

\[
\left| \int_{T^2} u \otimes u : \nabla^2 q \, dx \right| \leq \|u\|_{L^4(T^2)}^2 \|q\|_{H^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \|u\|_{H^1(T^2)} \left(1 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}\right) \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)},
\]

\[
\left| \int_{T^2} \rho'(\phi) \left((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla q \right) \, dx \right| \leq \left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\mu\|_{H^2(T^2)} \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)},
\]

\[
\left| \int_{T^2} \frac{v(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)} D u \cdot \nabla^2 q \, dx \right| \leq \left\| \frac{v(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|D u\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|q\|_{H^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \|D u\|_{L^2(T^2)} \left(1 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}\right) \|P\|_{L^2(T^2)},
\]

\[
\left| \int_{T^2} v(\phi) D u \cdot \left( \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \nabla q \otimes \nabla \phi \right) \, dx \right| \leq v^* \|D u\|_{L^2(T^2)} \left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\rho'(\phi)}{\rho(\phi)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(T^2)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(T^2)}
\]
By (5.7), (5.11) and the Young inequality, we have
\[
- \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \text{div} (\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) \cdot \frac{\nabla q}{\rho(\phi)} \, dx \leq C \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| P \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},
\]
Inserting (5.27) in (5.23), we obtain
\[
\text{where } Y_2 \leq C \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}\]
Thus, we are led to
\[
\| P \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C \| u \|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}) + C \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)} + C \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}.
\]
Inserting (5.27) in (5.23), we obtain
\[
| W_4 | \leq \sum_{i=1}^{6} Y_i,
\]
where
\[
Y_1 = C \| u \|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}) + C \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-2/3} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \frac{2}{3} \rho^{-1} \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)},
\]
\[
Y_2 = C \| u \|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}) + C \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-2/3} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \frac{2}{3} \rho^{-1} \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)},
\]
\[
Y_3 = C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}) \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)},
\]
\[
Y_4 = C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}) \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)},
\]
\[
Y_5 = C \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}) \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)},
\]
\[
Y_6 = C \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}) \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)}.
\]
By (5.7), (5.11) and the Young inequality, we have
\[
Y_1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 + C \| u \|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)})),
\]
\[
Y_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 + C \| u \|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}),
\]
\[
Y_3 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 + C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}),
\]
\[
Y_4 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 + C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}),
\]
\[
Y_5 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 + C \| \phi \|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}(1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}).
\]
In light of (5.1) and (5.12), we infer from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.5) with 
\[ \phi \leq C \] 
Combining (5.28) with (5.29)–(5.34), we infer that 
\[ \left| W_4 \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 + C \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^2 \left( 1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^{12} \right) \]
(5.33)
Collecting (5.19)–(5.21) and (5.35) together, we find 
\[ Y_6 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 + C \| \phi \|_{H^3(T^2)}^\frac{4}{3} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^\frac{4}{3} \left( 1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^\frac{2}{3} \right) \]
(5.34)
Combining (5.28) with (5.29)–(5.34), we infer that 
\[ \left| W_4 \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 + C \left( 1 + \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \phi \|_{H^2(T^2)}^\frac{1}{2} + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^\frac{3}{8} \right) \left( 1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \right) \]
(5.35)
Collecting (5.19)–(5.21) and (5.35) together, we find 
\[ \left| \int_{T^2} \mu \partial_t u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 + C \left( 1 + \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \phi \|_{H^2(T^2)}^2 \right) \]
\[ + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^\frac{3}{8} \left( 1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \right) \]
Hence, it follows from (5.17) and the above inequality that 
\[ \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \int_{T^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mu|^2 \, dx + \int_{T^2} \mu (u \cdot \nabla \phi) \, dx \right] + \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \]
\[ \leq C \left( 1 + \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \phi \|_{H^2(T^2)}^2 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^\frac{3}{8} \right) \left( 1 + \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \right) \]
(5.36)
We now set 
\[ X(t) = \int_{T^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mu(t)|^2 \, dx + \int_{T^2} \mu(t) (u(t) \cdot \nabla \phi(t)) \, dx \]
Thanks to (5.5), we observe that 
\[ \int_{T^2} \mu (u \cdot \nabla \phi) \, dx = \int_{T^2} \phi (u \cdot \nabla \mu) \, dx \]
\[ \leq \| \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)} \leq C \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^2(T^2)} \]
Then, there exists a positive constant \( \overline{C} \) depending on \( E(u_0, \phi_0) \) such that 
\[ X(t) \geq \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \mu(t) \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 - \overline{C} \]
Therefore, we deduce the differential inequality 
\[ \frac{d}{dr} X(t) + \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \leq Y(t) (1 + \overline{C} + X(t)) \]
(5.37)
where 
\[ Y(t) = C \left( 1 + \| u(t) \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \phi(t) \|_{H^2(T^2)}^2 + \| \nabla \phi(t) \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^\frac{3}{8} \right) \]
In light of (5.1) and (5.12), we infer from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.5) with 
\( s = 3 \) that \( \| \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)}^\frac{3}{8} \leq C(1 + T_0) \). In turn, it gives \( \| Y \|_{L^1(0,T_0)} \leq C(1 + T_0) \) [cf. (5.5) and (5.12)]. Thus, the Gronwall lemma yields 
\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} X(t) \leq \left( X(0) + (1 + \overline{C}) \int_0^T Y(\tau) \, d\tau \right) e^{\int_0^T Y(\tau) \, d\tau}, \quad \forall T < T_0, \]
(5.38)
which entails that
\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \mu(t) \|_{H^1(T^2)} \leq K_T, \quad \forall T < T_*, \tag{5.39} \]
where \( K_T \) stands for a generic constant depending on the parameters of the system, the initial energy \( E(u_0, \phi_0) \), the norms of the initial data \( \| u_0 \|_{H^1(T^2)} \) and \( \| \mu_0 \|_{H^1(T^2)} \), and the time \( T \). In particular, \( K_T \) is finite for any \( T < \infty \). Integrating in time (5.37), we infer that
\[ \int_0^T \| \partial_t \phi(t) \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \mu(t) \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 \, d\tau \leq K_T, \quad \forall T < T_. \tag{5.40} \]

As a consequence, we obtain from (5.11) that for all \( p \in [2, \infty) \)
\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \phi(t) \|_{W^{2,p}(T^2)} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| F'(\phi(t)) \|_{L^p(T^2)} \leq K_T(p), \quad \forall T < T*. \tag{5.41} \]

Finally, as in Section 4, by exploiting [14, Lemma A.6], we immediately deduce that for all \( p \in [2, \infty) \)
\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| F''(\phi(t)) \|_{L^p(T^2)} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| F'''(\phi(t)) \|_{L^p(T^2)} \leq K_T(p), \quad \forall T < T*, \tag{5.42} \]
which implies that
\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \phi(t) \|_{H^3(T^2)} + \int_0^T \| \partial_t \mu(t) \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 \, d\tau \leq K_T, \quad \forall T < T_. \tag{5.43} \]

### 5.3 High-order estimates for the velocity field

Multiplying (5.2) by \( \partial_t u \) and integrating over \( T^2 \), we obtain [cf. (4.40)]
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{T^2} \nu(\phi) |Du|^2 \, dx + \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx &= -\int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) ((u \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \partial_t u \, dx + \frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{2} \int_{T^2} \partial_t \phi |Du|^2 \, dx \\
& \quad + \frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{2} \int_{T^2} ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \partial_t u \, dx - \int_{T^2} \Delta \phi \nabla \phi \cdot \partial_t u \, dx. \tag{5.44}
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, multiplying (5.2) by \( -\Delta u \), we find
\[
\frac{\nu_1}{2} \| \Delta u \|^2_{L^2(T^2)} \leq \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) \partial_t u \cdot \Delta u \, dx + \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) ((u \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx \\
\quad - \int_{T^2} \rho'(\phi) ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx + \int_{T^2} \Delta \phi \nabla \phi \cdot \Delta u \, dx \tag{5.45}
\]

By the Young inequality, we simply have
\[
\frac{\nu_1}{4} \| \Delta u \|^2_{L^2(T^2)} \leq \left( \frac{\rho_1^*}{\nu_1} \right)^2 \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) ((u \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx \\
\quad - \int_{T^2} \rho'(\phi) ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx + \int_{T^2} \Delta \phi \nabla \phi \cdot \Delta u \, dx \tag{5.46}
\]

By the Young inequality, we simply have
\[
\frac{\nu_1}{4} \| \Delta u \|^2_{L^2(T^2)} \leq \left( \frac{\rho_1^*}{\nu_1} \right)^2 \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi) ((u \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx \\
\quad - \int_{T^2} \rho'(\phi) ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx + \int_{T^2} \Delta \phi \nabla \phi \cdot \Delta u \, dx \tag{5.46}
\]
Multiplying (5.46) by \( \frac{v_s}{2 \rho^s} \) and adding the resulting inequality to (5.44), we reach

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{T^2} \nu(\phi) |Du|^2 \, dx + \frac{\rho_s}{2} \| \partial_t u \|^2_{L^2(T^2)} + \frac{v_s^2}{8 \rho^s} \| \Delta u \|^2_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq - \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi)(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_t u \, dx + \frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} \int_{T^2} \partial_t \phi |Du|^2 \, dx \\
+ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{T^2} ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \partial_t u \, dx - \int_{T^2} \Delta \phi \nabla \phi \cdot \partial_t u \, dx \\
+ \frac{v_1}{2 \rho^s} \int_{T^2} \rho(\phi)((u \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx - \frac{v_2}{2 \rho^s} \int_{T^2} \rho'(\phi)((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u) \cdot \Delta u \, dx \\
+ \frac{v_s}{2 \rho^s} \int_{T^2} \Delta \phi \nabla \phi \cdot \Delta u \, dx - \frac{v_s}{2 \rho^s} \int_{T^2} v'(\phi)(Du \nabla \phi) \cdot \Delta u \, dx \\
= \sum_i L_i. \tag{5.47}
\]

Notice that

\[
\| u \|_{H^1(T^2)} \leq C (1 + \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}), \quad \| u \|_{H^2(T^2)} \leq C (1 + \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)})
\]
due to (2.8), (2.9) and (5.5). By (2.2), (5.5), (5.41), we can estimate the terms \( L_i \) as follows

\[
L_1 \leq C \| u \|_{L^4(T^2)} \| \nabla u \|_{L^4(T^2)} \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \| u \|_{H^1(T^2)} (1 + \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq \frac{\rho_s}{12} \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \frac{v_s^2}{96 \rho^s} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 (1 + \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2), \tag{5.48}
\]

\[
L_2 \leq C \| \partial_t \phi \|_{L^6(T^2)} \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C \| \partial_t \phi \|_{H^1(T^2)} \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} (1 + \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}) \\
\leq \frac{v_s}{96 \rho^s} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C (1 + \| \partial_t \phi \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2) (1 + \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2), \tag{5.49}
\]

\[
L_3 \leq C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq \frac{\rho_s}{12} \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2, \tag{5.50}
\]

\[
L_4 \leq \| \Delta \phi \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq \frac{\rho_s}{12} \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + K T, \tag{5.51}
\]

\[
L_5 \leq C \| u \|_{L^4(T^2)} \| \nabla u \|_{L^4(T^2)} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq C (1 + \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2) \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq \frac{v_s^2}{96 \rho^s} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C (1 + \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2), \tag{5.52}
\]

\[
L_6 \leq C \| \nabla u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \mu \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \\
\leq \frac{v_s}{96 \rho^s} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + C \| \mu \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \| Du \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2, \tag{5.53}
\]

\[
L_7 \leq C \| \Delta \phi \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}.
\]
\[
\frac{v_*^2}{96\rho_*} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + K_T,
\]
(5.54)

\[
L_8 \leq C \| D u \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^\infty(T^2)} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{v_*^2}{96\rho_*} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + K_T \| D u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2.
\]
(5.55)

Hence, it follows that on \([0, T]\), for all \(T < T_*\),
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{T^2} v(\phi)|D u|^2 \, dx + \frac{\rho_*}{4} \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \frac{v_*^2}{16\rho_*} \| \Delta u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \leq \bar{Y}(t) (1 + \| D u \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2),
\]
where
\[
\bar{Y}(t) = K_T \left( 1 + \| D u(t) \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \| \partial_t \phi(t) \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \| \mu(t) \|_{H^3(T^2)}^2 \right).
\]

In light of (5.5) and (5.40), an application of the Gronwall lemma yields
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| u(t) \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \int_0^T \| \partial_t u(\tau) \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 + \| \Delta u(\tau) \|_{L^2(T^2)}^2 \, d\tau \leq \bar{K}_T,
\]
(5.56)

for all \(T < T_*\), where
\[
\bar{K}_T = C \left( \| u_0 \|_{H^1(T^2)}^2 + \left( \int_0^T \bar{Y}(\tau) \, d\tau \right)^2 \right) e^{\int_0^T \bar{Y}(\tau) \, d\tau}
\]
for some positive constant \(C\) depending on \(v_*, \rho_*\) and \(\rho_*\).

### 5.4 Global existence of strong solutions

The uniform-in-time estimates (5.39)–(5.41) and (5.56) entails that the solution does not blowup as \(T\) approaches \(T_*\). More precisely, since \(K_{T_*}\) and \(\bar{K}_{T_*}\) are finite, we infer from (5.40), (5.43) and (5.56) that \(\mu \in L^2(0, T_*; H^3(T^2)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T_*; (H^1(T^2))')\) and \(u \in L^2(0, T_*; \mathbb{W}_\sigma) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T_*; \mathbb{E})\). Then, it follows from [28, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 12.5] that \(\mu \in C([0, T_*]; H^1(T^2))\) and \(u \in C([0, T_*]; \mathbb{V}_\sigma)\). This implies that \(\mu(T_*)\) and \(u(T_*)\) are well-defined. Then, the solution can be continued beyond \(T_*\) into a solution which satisfies (5.1) and (5.2) on an interval \((0, \bar{T})\) for some \(\bar{T} > T_*\). This contradicts the maximality of \(T_*\). Hence, \(T_* = \infty\).

### 6 Uniqueness

In this section we show the uniqueness and the continuous dependence on the initial data for the strong solutions proved in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3. We demonstrate hereafter the case of a general bounded domain \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2\). The proof in the case \(\Omega = T^2\) can be adapted with minor changes.

Let \((u_1, P_1, \phi_1)\) and \((u_2, P_2, \phi_2)\) be two strong solutions to system (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.4) defined on a common interval \([0, T_0]\) given by Theorem 3.1. We consider \(u = u_1 - u_2, P = P_1 - P_2\) and \(\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2\). It is clear that
\[ \rho(\phi_1) \partial_t u + (\rho(\phi_1) - \rho(\phi_2)) \partial_t u_2 + (\rho(\phi_1)(u_1 \cdot \nabla)u_1 - \rho(\phi_2)(u_2 \cdot \nabla)u_2) \]
\[ - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}((\nabla \mu_1 \cdot \nabla)u_1 - (\nabla \mu_2 \cdot \nabla)u_2) - \text{div}(\nu(\phi_1)Du) - \text{div}((\nu(\phi_1) - \nu(\phi_2))Du) \]
\[ + \nabla P = -\text{div}(\nabla \phi_1 \otimes \nabla \phi_1 - \nabla \phi_2 \otimes \nabla \phi_2), \]
\[(6.1)\]
\[ \partial_t \phi + u_1 \cdot \nabla \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi_2 = \Delta \mu, \]
\[ \mu = -\Delta \phi + \Psi'(\phi_1) - \Psi'(\phi_2), \]
\[(6.2)\]

almost everywhere in \( \Omega \times (0, T_0) \). Multiplying \((6.1)\) by \( u \) and integrating over \( \Omega \), we find
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_1)|u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nu(\phi_1)|Du|^2 \, dx \]
\[ = -\int_{\Omega} (\rho(\phi_1) - \rho(\phi_2)) \partial_t u_2 \cdot u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_1)(u_1 \cdot \nabla)u_2 \cdot u \, dx \]
\[ - \int_{\Omega} (\rho(\phi_1) - \rho(\phi_2))(u_2 \cdot \nabla)u_2 \cdot u \, dx + \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla)u_2 \cdot u \, dx \]
\[ - \int_{\Omega} (\nu(\phi_1) - \nu(\phi_2))Du_2 : \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \phi_1 \otimes \nabla \phi + \nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi_2) : \nabla u \, dx \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{6} Z_i. \]

Here we have used that
\[ -\int_{\Omega} \partial_t \rho(\phi_1) \frac{|u|^2}{2} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_1)u_1 \cdot \nabla \frac{|u|^2}{2} \, dx - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mu_1 \cdot \nabla \frac{|u|^2}{2} \, dx = 0. \]

Taking the gradient of \((6.2)\), multiplying the resulting equation by \( \nabla \phi \) and integrating over \( \Omega \), then using the boundary conditions \((1.4)\), we obtain
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla \phi \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \nabla \Delta \phi \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla (u_1 \cdot \nabla \phi) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla (u \cdot \nabla \phi_2) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} \nabla (\Psi'(\phi_1) - \Psi'(\phi_2)) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{9} Z_i. \]

Since \( \frac{d}{dt} \phi = 0 \), by \((6.3)\) and \((6.4)\) we reach
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi_1)|u|^2 \, dx + \| \nabla \phi \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \phi \|^2 \right] + \int_{\Omega} \nu(\phi_1)|Du|^2 \, dx + \| \nabla \Delta \phi \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{9} Z_i. \]

We recall that \( \| \phi \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \left( \| \phi \|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \| \nabla \Delta \phi \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \). By exploiting \((2.2), (2.6)\) and the regularity of the strong solutions, we infer that
\[ |Z_1| \leq C \| \phi \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| \partial_t u_2 \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{\nu}{8} \| Du \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \| \partial_t u_2 \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \phi \|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}, \]
\[ |Z_2| \leq C \| u \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_2 \|_{L^6(\Omega)} \| u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{\nu}{8} \| Du \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \| \partial_t u_2 \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \phi \|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{\nu}{8} \| Du \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \| \partial_t u_2 \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \phi \|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \]
Therefore, by (6.5)–(6.13), we find the differential inequality

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_\Omega |u|^2 \, dx + \|\nabla \phi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\overline{\phi}|^2 \right] + \frac{v_*}{4} \|Du\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \phi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \overline{C} \left( 1 + \|\partial_t u_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u_2\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \right) \left( \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \right),
\]

where the constant \(\overline{C}\) depends on the norm of the initial data and \(T_0\). Thanks to the Gronwall lemma, together with (2.1), we deduce for all \(t \in [0, T_0]\) that
\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\phi(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\
\leq C \left( \|u(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\phi(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right) e^{\int_0^T (1+\|\partial_t u_2(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_2(\tau)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2) d\tau}.
\]

The above inequality proves the continuous dependence of the solutions on the initial data. In particular, when \(u(0) = 0\) and \(\phi(0) = 0\), it follows that \(u(t) = 0\) and \(\phi(t) = 0\) for all \(t \in [0, T_0]\). Thus, the strong solution is unique.

## 7 Stability

In this section we prove Theorem 3.5, which states a stability result for the strong solutions to the AGG model and the model \(H\). We denote by \((u, P, \phi)\) and \((u_H, P_H, \phi_H)\) the strong solutions to the AGG model with density \(\rho(\phi)\) and the model \(H\) with constant density \(\overline{\rho}\) (see [7, Eqs. (1.1)-(1.4)]), respectively, defined on a common interval \([0, T_0]\). For simplicity, we assume that the viscosity function is given by \(\nu(s) = v_1 \frac{1+s}{1+s} + v_2 \frac{1-s}{1-s}\) [cf. (1.2)] for both systems. We define \(v = u - u_H, p = P - P_H, \phi = \phi - \phi_H\), and the difference of the chemical potentials \(w = \mu - \mu_H\). They solve the system

\[
\rho(\phi) \partial_t v + (\rho(\phi) - \overline{\rho}) \partial_t u_H + (\rho(\phi)(u \cdot \nabla) u - \overline{\rho}(u_H \cdot \nabla) u_H) \\
- \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} ((\nabla \mu \cdot \nabla) u) - \text{div} ((\nu(\phi) + \phi - \nu(\phi_H) + \phi_H) D u_H) \\
+ \nabla p = -\text{div} (\nu(\phi \otimes \nabla \phi - \nabla \phi_H \otimes \nabla \phi_H), \\
\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi + v \cdot \nabla \phi_H = \Delta w, \\
w = -\Delta \phi + \Psi'(\phi) - \Psi'(\phi_H),
\]

almost everywhere in \(\Omega \times (0, T_0)\). In addition, we have the boundary and initial conditions

\[
v = 0, \quad \partial_n \phi = \partial_n w = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \quad v(\cdot, 0) = 0, \quad \phi(\cdot, 0) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \tag{7.3}
\]

We recall that \((u_H, P_H, \phi_H)\) fulfills the same regularity properties of \((u, P, \phi)\) as stated in Theorem (3.1) [cf. [23, Theorem 4.1]]. In particular, there exists a constant \(K_H\), which depends on the norm of the initial condition, the time \(T_0\) and the parameters of the system \((\overline{\rho}, v_1, v_2, \theta, \theta_0)\), such that [cf. (4.68)-(4.70)]

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|u_H(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_t u_H(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_H(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq K_H, \tag{7.4}
\]

and

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\mu_H(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|\phi_H(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \\
+ \int_0^{T_0} \|\partial_t \phi_H(\tau)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mu_H(\tau)\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq K_H. \tag{7.5}
\]

In addition, for any \(p \in [1, \infty)\), there exists a constant \(K_H(p)\), which depends on the same factors as \(K_H\), such that

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|F''(\phi_H(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|F'''(\phi_H(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K_H(p). \tag{7.6}
\]
Arguing as in the proof of uniqueness (cf. Section 6), we multiply (7.1) by \( v \) and (7.2) by \(-\Delta \varphi\), and we sum the resulting equations. We observe that the following equalities hold

\[
\int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi) \partial_t v \cdot v \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi)|v|^2 \, dx - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \phi |v|^2 \, dx,
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} (\rho(\phi)(u \cdot \nabla)u - \overline{\rho}(u_H \cdot \nabla)u_H) \cdot v \, dx = -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla \phi |v|^2 \, dx + \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mu \cdot \nabla v \, dx,
\]

and

\[
-\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \phi |v|^2 \, dx - \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla \phi |v|^2 \, dx + \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \mu |v|^2 \, dx = 0.
\]

Then, we eventually end up with the differential equality

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi)|v|^2 \, dx + \| \nabla \varphi \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right] + \int_{\Omega} v(\phi) |Dv|^2 \, dx + \| \nabla \Delta \varphi \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0.
\]

Before proceeding with the estimate of \( V_i, i = 1, \ldots, 8 \), we notice that \( \overline{\varphi}(t) = 0 \) for all \( t \in [0, T_0] \) and

\[
\| \rho(\phi) - \overline{\rho} \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} - \overline{\rho} \right|.
\] (7.8)

Also, we recall that \( \| \nabla \varphi \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \| \Delta \varphi \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \) and \( \| \nabla \Delta \varphi \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \) are norms in \( H^1(\Omega), H^2(\Omega) \) and \( H^3(\Omega) \), respectively, which are equivalent to the usual ones due to \( \overline{\varphi} = 0 \). Thanks to (6.4), (6.7), (5.4), (7.5), (7.6) and (7.8), we deduce that

\[
|V_1| \leq C \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \left( \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} - \overline{\rho} \right|^2 \right) \| \partial_t u_H \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,
\] (7.9)

\[
|V_2| \leq \rho^* \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_H \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \rho(\phi) - \overline{\rho} \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| u_H \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_H \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\nu}{8} \| Dv \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| u_H \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \left( \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} - \overline{\rho} \right|^2 \right),
\] (7.10)

\[
|V_3| \leq C \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla u_H \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\nu}{8} \| Dv \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \| u_H \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,
\] (7.11)

\[
|V_4| \leq \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right| \| \nabla u_H \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
\]
where the positive constant $K$ parameters of the systems.

Therefore, we find the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_\Omega \rho \phi |v|^2 \, dx + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right]$$

$$\leq f_1 (\| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) + f_2 \left( \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} - \bar{\rho} \right|^2 \right)$$

where

$$f_1 (t) = C \left( 1 + \| u_H \|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right), \quad f_2 (t) = C \left( 1 + \| \phi \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| u_H \|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \right),$$

with the positive constant $C$ depending on the norm of the initial data and the time $T_0$. Using the Gronwall lemma, together with the initial conditions (7.3), we infer that

$$\int_\Omega \rho \phi (t) |v(t)|^2 \, dx + \| \nabla \phi (t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$\leq \left( \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} - \bar{\rho} \right|^2 \right) \int_0^t e^{f_1(s)} f_2(s) \, ds, \quad \forall \ t \in [0, T_0].$$

Thus, in light of (7.4), the above inequality implies that

$$\| u(t) - u_H(t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \phi (t) - \phi_H(t) \|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq K^* \left( \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} - \bar{\rho} \right| \right), \quad \forall \ t \in [0, T_0],$$

where the positive constant $K^*$ depends on the norm of the initial data, the time $T_0$ and the parameters of the systems.
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