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Abstract. Ribosomes synthesizing nascent secretory proteins are targeted to the membrane by the signal recognition particle (SRP), a small ribonucleoprotein that binds to the signal peptide as it emerges from the ribosome. SRP arrests further elongation, causing ribosomes to stack behind the arrested ribosome. Upon interaction of SRP with its receptor on the ER membrane, the translation arrest is released and the ribosome becomes bound to the ER membrane. We have examined the distribution of unattached and membrane-bound ribosomes during the translation of mRNAs encoding two secretory proteins, bovine preprolactin and rat preproinsulin I. We find that the enhancement of ribosome stacking that occurs when SRP arrests translation of these proteins is relaxed in the presence of microsomal membranes. We also demonstrate that two previously described populations of membrane-associated ribosomes, distinguished by their sensitivity to high salt or EDTA extraction, correspond to ribosomes that have synthesized differing lengths of the nascent polypeptide. This analysis has revealed that nascent chain insertion into the membrane begins at distinct points for different presecretory proteins.

The synthesis of secretory proteins in higher eukaryotes is strictly coupled to their translocation across the endoplasmic reticulum. A common feature of these proteins is a signal peptide, usually an amino-terminal extension of 15-30 amino acids. A small cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein, the signal recognition particle (SRP), binds to the nascent chain as it emerges from the ribosome. SRP then arrests or slows translation in the cytoplasmic space, by an as yet unknown mechanism (Walter and Blobel, 1981; Wolin and Walter, 1989). The translational arrest is released when the ribosome/SRP complex interacts with the SRP receptor on the ER membrane. This interaction results in the establishment of a ribosome-membrane junction, and translation proceeds as the nascent chain is translocated across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane (reviewed by Rapoport, 1992; Sanders and Schekman, 1992).

As the synthesis of secretory proteins takes place on ribosomes bound to the ER membrane, it is unclear how attachment to membranes affects ribosome movement along the mRNA. In addition, membrane-bound ribosomes can be separated into "loosely" bound and "tightly" bound fractions, which can be distinguished by their sensitivity to high salt or EDTA extraction (Adelman et al., 1973; Harrison et al., 1974; Gilmore and Blobel, 1985; Connolly and Gilmore, 1986). Loosely bound ribosomes are thought to represent those ribosomes which have been targeted to the ER, but whose nascent chains have not yet engaged the translocation machinery in the membrane. Upon nascent chain insertion, ribosomes become tightly bound and resistant to extraction with either KOAc or EDTA (Gilmore and Blobel, 1985; Connolly and Gilmore, 1986). It would thus be interesting to know if these two populations of ribosomes correspond to ribosomes that have migrated different distances along the mRNA. Such an analysis should also reveal the minimum length of nascent chain necessary for insertion into the ER membrane.

We previously developed a method that allows us to determine the positions of paused ribosomes along an mRNA (Wolin and Walter, 1988). Using this method to monitor translation on a model mRNA, bovine preprolactin, we showed that SRP arrests translation at the first natural pause site after the signal peptide emerges from the ribosome and becomes available for binding. When the leading ribosome pauses, other ribosomes stack up behind the paused ribosome. These ribosomes become very tightly stacked, such that the centers of the ribosomes are only 28 nucleotides apart. This "ribosome stacking" occurs whenever the leading ribosome pauses, and is enhanced when SRP arrests translation (Wolin and Walter, 1988).

We have now used this method to probe the distribution of membrane-bound ribosomes along mRNAs encoding two...
secretory proteins, preprolactin and preproinsulin. We report that the presence of membranes results in the relaxation of ribosome stacking, presumably because the translational arrest mediated by SRP is released. In addition, we show that nascent chains become competent to engage the translocation machinery in the membrane at a distinct point in their synthesis. Interestingly, this point varies for different proteins, which may reflect differences in the length and/or structure of the signal peptide.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Wheat germ extract was prepared as described by Erickson and Blobel (1983). SRP was prepared as described by Walter and Blobel (1983b), except that the final sucrose gradient step was omitted. Salt-washed pancreatic microsomal vesicles (K-RM) were prepared as described (Walter and Blobel, 1983a), except that the column washing step was omitted and the membranes were depleted of SRP and ribosomes as described previously (Wolin and Walter, 1989). T4 DNA polymerase, gene 45 and genes 44/62 proteins were obtained both from Drs. Jack Barry and Bruce Alberts (University of California, San Francisco) and from Drs. William Konigsberg and Maureen Munn (Yale University, New Haven, CT).

Clones and In Vitro Transcription

For transcription of rat preproinsulin I, we began by isolating a full-length cDNA clone from a plasmid cDNA library constructed from insulinoma 38 cells (Murphy and Efstratiadis, 1987) with a partial rat preproinsulin cDNA clone, rpsumb (both a kind gift of A. Efstradiatis, Columbia University, New York). DNA was isolated from positive colonies and the longest inserts were sequenced. These inserts were found to contain cDNA clones with an extended 5' untranslated region, encompassing an additional 71 nucleotides 5' of the previously reported mRNA cap site (Soares et al., 1985). We therefore used the PCR to construct a cDNA clone with a 5' end identical to the major rat insulin transcript (Soares et al., 1985). For this, we used the oligonucleotides CGCGCGAATTCGCTGGTTCTTTG and GACCGGCCGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGA

Results

Preparation of Ribosome-protected mRNA Fragments from Free and Membrane-bound Ribosomes

In our assay for determining the positions of paused ribosomes on an mRNA, translation extracts are treated with micrococcal nuclease to obtain ribosome-protected mRNA fragments. The ribosome-protected fragments are then annealed to the antisense strand of a cDNA clone encoding the mRNA of interest. Positions of ribosome pausing along the mRNA can then be visualized by annealing a labeled primer upstream of the protected fragment, and extending the primer with T4 DNA polymerase. Because T4 DNA polymerase will not displace the hybridized mRNA fragment, the primer extension reaction stops at the first nucleotide of the protected fragment. The gel bands generated in this assay thus correspond to the trailing edges of the stalled ribosomes, and can be sized by using the same labeled oligonucleotide in a parallel sequencing reaction (Wolin and Walter, 1988).

Because we wished to determine how ribosome movement was affected by ribosome attachment to the ER membrane, it was necessary to separate mRNA fragments protected by membrane-bound ribosomes from those mRNA fragments protected by free ribosomes. To accomplish this, translation reactions containing microsomal membranes were first
Figure 1. Strategy for isolating ribosome-protected fragments from free and membrane-bound ribosomes. Translation reactions performed in the presence of microsomal membranes are treated with nuclease to isolate ribosome-protected mRNA fragments. The translation reaction is then sedimented through a sucrose cushion, which is subsequently fractionated to isolate membrane-bound and free ribosomes. The membrane-bound ribosome fraction is subsequently treated with detergent to disrupt membranes, and the ribosomes sedimented through a second sucrose cushion (not shown).

Membrane-bound ribosomes can be separated into two populations by their resistance to extraction with high salt. Ribosomes that have been simply targeted to the membrane, but whose nascent chains have not yet undergone membrane insertion, are removed from the membrane upon treatment with high salt (0.5 M KOAc; Connolly and Gilmore, 1986). Once translocation of the nascent chain through the membrane has begun, the ribosome-membrane junction becomes resistant to dissociation by high salt. We therefore further fractionated the membrane-bound ribosomes into these two populations by performing the sucrose gradient centrifugation in 0.5 M KOAc. As shown in Fig. 2 B, a slightly smaller fraction of the ribosome-protected fragments fractionates with the bound polysomes in 0.5 M KOAc than when the fractionation is performed in 150 mM KOAc (compare lanes 1 and 3 with lanes 2 and 4).

Only Ribosomes that Have Synthesized Approximately 75 Amino Acids of Preprolactin Are Tightly Bound to Microsomal Membranes

To determine the positions of the membrane-bound ribosomes along the mRNA, we mapped the 5' ends of the protected RNA fragments using the primer extension assay detailed above. As described previously, during translation of preprolactin in a wheat germ extract, there are four major positions of ribosome pausing (Wolin and Walter, 1988). Two of these pauses correspond to initiation and termination of translation. When translation is performed in the presence of signal recognition particle, translation is arrested at ~75 amino acids, at the first SRP-independent pause site where the signal peptide is out of the ribosome and available for binding (pause region b in Fig. 3, lane 3; Siegel and Walter, 1988b; Wolin and Walter, 1988). Upon SRP arrest of translation, other ribosomes stack behind the stalled ribosome, resulting in the enhancement of bands corresponding to between 100 and 200 nucleotides of mRNA coding sequence (amino acids 38-70; Fig. 3, compare lane 2 with 3). When translation is performed in the presence of SRP and salt-washed canine pancreatic microsomal membranes (Fig. 3, lanes 4 and 5), ribosome pausing decreases to approximately that seen in the absence of SRP (compare lane 2 with lanes 4 and 5), and ribosomes continue past this point on the mRNA, as pausing is again evident at the region labeled c in Fig. 3 (roughly between nucleotides 380 and 480).

When the ribosomes are separated into free and mem-
Figure 2. Preprolactin mRNA fragments protected by membrane-bound and free ribosomes from nuclease digestion. (A) ³²P-labeled synthetic mRNA encoding bovine preprolactin was used to program 25 µl wheat-germ translation reactions in the presence of either 10 mM 7-methylguanosine (lanes 1, 5, and 9), 30 nM SRP (lanes 3, 7, and 11), or 30 nM SRP and 7.5 eq K-RM (lanes 4, 8, and 12) or no additions (lanes 2, 6, and 10). After digestion with micrococcal nuclease, the mixtures were fractionated by sucrose gradient sedimentation into free ribosomes (lanes 1-4), membrane-bound ribosomes (lanes 5-8) and post-ribosomal supernatants (lanes 9-12). The RNA fragments associated with each fraction were extracted and analyzed by electrophoresis in a 8.3 M urea, 8 % polyacrylamide gel.

(B) ³²P-labeled preprolactin mRNA was added to 25 µl translation reactions in the presence of 30 nM SRP and 7.5 eq K-RM. After digestion with micrococcal nuclease, the KOAc concentration of the reaction was either maintained at 150 mM (lanes 1, 3, and 5) or raised to 500 mM (lanes 2, 4, and 6). The mixtures were then fractionated in sucrose gradients into free ribosomes (lanes 1-2), membrane-bound ribosomes (lanes 3-4) or post-ribosomal supernatants (lanes 5-6).

brane-bound pools in the presence of 150 mM potassium acetate, most of the ribosomes that pause over the initiation codon fractionate to the free pool, as expected (Fig. 3, compare lane 4 with 5, pause region a). Of the ribosomes that pause at position b, approximately half of these ribosomes are found in the membrane-bound pool, indicating that they represent ribosomes that have been targeted to the membrane. In addition, many of the pausing ribosomes that have only traversed between 100 and 200 nucleotides of coding sequence are also bound to the membranes under these conditions. As some of these ribosomes will have very short nascent chains (in some cases shorter than the ~40 amino acids required to span the large ribosomal subunit), many of these ribosomes must be brought to the membrane because they are part of a polysome on which other ribosomes have synthesized longer nascent chains.

When the fractionation is performed in the presence of 500 mM KOAc (which distinguishes between those ribosomes that have undergone nascent chain insertion from those that have merely been targeted to the membrane), only ribosomes whose nascent chains are greater than 72 amino acids long remain membrane-bound (Fig. 3; compare pause region b in lanes 6 and 7). Thus, although the ribosomes behind the leading ribosome have already been targeted to the membrane, and some of these nascent chains are as long as 67 amino acids in length, nascent chain insertion does not occur until at least 72 amino acids have been synthesized.

Translation of Rat Preproinsulin I Is Arrested Tightly by SRP and Translocation Across Membranes Requires SRP

The finding that nascent chains of preprolactin do not insert into the membrane until they reach 72 amino acids in length was surprising. As ~40 amino acids are shielded by the ribosome, nascent chains of 67 amino acids have the signal sequence almost completely exposed outside the ribosome. In addition, Okun et al. (1990) have shown that nascent chains of anglerfish preproinsulin of only 64 amino acids in length can be translocated across membranes in an SRP-dependent manner. SRP can arrest translation of this protein after only 50 amino acids have been synthesized. We therefore wished to determine if the sharp cut-off of 72 amino acids in nascent chain length required for membrane insertion was unique to preprolactin, or whether it would be typical of other membrane proteins.

To this end, we chose to examine the synthesis of rat preproinsulin I. We began by determining if rat preproinsulin I, despite its small size (110 amino acids) was translocated across membranes in an SRP-dependent fashion. As shown in Fig. 4, in the absence of membranes (lanes 1-5), the translation of insulin (but not the translation of a control protein, the 19-kD subunit of SRP) is arrested by SRP. Translation of preproinsulin is arrested by very low concentrations of SRP (<5 nM), indicating that SRP binds to the preproinsulin
Figure 4. Rat preproinsulin I is arrested by SRP and requires SRP for translocation across microsomal membranes. Synthetic mRNAs encoding rat preproinsulin I and SRP19 were translated in a wheat germ extract in the absence (lanes 1–5) or presence (lanes 6–10) of salt-washed microsomal membranes (K-RM). Translation reactions were supplemented with SRP as indicated. The 35S-labeled translation products were precipitated with TCA and fractionated in a 10–15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel.

Signal peptide with high affinity. When K-RMs are added to the translation reaction (lanes 6–10), the translational arrest is released, and translocation occurs, as measured by the appearance of the signal peptidase cleavage product, proinsulin. Thus, rat preproinsulin I, as was previously demonstrated for anglerfish preproinsulin (Eskridge and Shields, 1983), is translocated via an SRP-dependent mechanism.

SRP-induced Stacking of Ribosomes on Preproinsulin mRNA is Relieved by Membranes

We then prepared a 32P-labeled synthetic transcript encoding rat preproinsulin I and examined the protection from nuclease digestion of preproinsulin mRNA by translating ribosomes. As previously found for preprolactin mRNA

eannealed to a single-stranded antisense preprolactin cDNA clone. A 5'-labeled oligonucleotide primer (the M13-40 primer GTTTC-CCAGTCAccessary proteins) was also annealed to the cDNA clone. The positions of the annealed ribosome-protected fragments were then mapped by extending the primer using T4 DNA polymerase and the genes 44/62 and 45 accessory proteins. The labeled extension products were then fractionated in a 8.3 M urea, 5% polyacrylamide gel.

To generate molecular size markers the labeled primer was also used in dideoxy sequencing reactions (lanes 8–11). In these marker lanes, the first nucleotide of the coding sequence is labeled +1. (Lanes 1–3) Translations performed in the absence of microsomal membranes, containing either 10 mM 7mG (lane 1), no added SRP (lane 2) or 30 nM SRP (lane 3). (Lanes 4–7) Translations performed in the presence of added SRP and K-RM were fractionated into free ribosomes (lanes 4 and 6) and membrane-associated ribosomes (lanes 5 and 7) in the presence of either 150 mM KOAc (lanes 4–5) or 500 mM KOAc (lanes 6–7). As the trailing edges of the ribosomes in pause region b are at nucleotides 191, 203, and 216 of the preprolactin coding sequence, these ribosomes contain nascent chains of approximately 67, 72, and 76 amino acids, respectively (assuming 12 nucleotides from the trailing edge of the ribosome to the P site). In this particular experiment, ribosome pausing over the termination codon (pause region d in Wolin and Walter [1988]) was not prominent.
Figure 5. Preproinsulin mRNA fragments protected by ribosomes from micrococcal nuclease digestion. (A) 32P-labeled synthetic mRNA encoding rat preproinsulin I was used to program a wheat germ translation reaction in the absence (lanes 1–3) or presence (lanes 4–6) of 30 nM SRP. After 20 min of translation at 26°C, cycloheximide was added to block further elongation and to freeze ribosomes on the mRNA. Micrococcal nuclease was added to a final concentration of either 0 (lanes 1 and 4), 0.7 (lanes 2 and 5), or 16 (lanes 3 and 6) U/μl of translation reaction. After nuclease digestion, ribosomes were pelleted and the RNA fragments associated with the ribosomal pellets were analyzed by electrophoresis in a 8.3 M urea, 8% polyacrylamide gel. For optimal visualization, the amount of samples loaded in lanes 1 and 4 represents 1/5 the amount loaded in the other lanes. Markers were provided from a Sau3A digest of plasmid pGEMI (Promega Corp.). (B) 32P-labeled synthetic mRNA encoding preproinsulin I was used to program 10 μl translation reactions in the presence of either 0 (lane 1) or 30 nM SRP (lanes 2–6) and the indicated amounts of salt-washed canine pancreatic microsomal membranes. After a 30 min digestion with 0.7 U/μl micrococcal nuclease, ribosomes were pelleted and the RNA fragments associated with the ribosomal pellets were extracted and analyzed by electrophoresis in a 8.3 M urea, 8% polyacrylamide gel. (Wolin and Walter, 1988), fragments of 24–32 nucleotides are protected from digestion when high amounts of nuclease are added (Fig. 5 A, lanes 3 and 6). When low amounts of nuclease are added, a ladder of bands is generated (lanes 2 and 5), which corresponds to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.

To determine whether the presence of membranes would affect the stacking of ribosomes, we added increasing amounts of membranes to the translation reaction, followed by low amounts of micrococcal nuclease. We found that as we increased the membrane concentration, the bands corresponding to multiple ribosomes stacking tightly on the RNA behind a paused ribosome (Wolin and Walter, 1988). This ladder of bands is present to some extent in the absence of SRP (Fig. 5 A, lane 2), but becomes more prominent in the presence of SRP (lane 5), indicating that SRP significantly enhances ribosome pausing.
Figure 6. Distribution of membrane-bound and free ribosomes along rat preproinsulin I mRNA. (A) Ribosome-protected fragments of preproinsulin I mRNA were prepared from free and membrane-bound ribosomes as described in Materials and Methods and annealed to a single-stranded antisense preproinsulin clone (the original isolated EcoRI fragment inserted into M13mpl8). A 5’-labeled oligonucleotide primer (GGCCGAGAGGAGGTC which spans nucleotides -54 to -36 upstream of the capping site) was also annealed to the cDNA clone. The positions of the annealed ribosome-protected mRNA fragments were then mapped by extending the labeled primer with T4 DNA polymerase and the genes 44/62 and gene 45 accessory proteins. The primer extension products were then fractionated in a 8.3 M urea, 5% polyacrylamide gel. (Lanes 2–4) Translations performed in the absence of microsomal membranes containing either 10 mM 7mG (lane 2), no added SRP (lane 3) or 30 nM SRP (lane 4). (Lanes 5–8) Translations performed in the presence of added SRP and K-RM were fractionated into free ribosomes (lanes 5 and 6) and membrane-associated ribosomes (lanes 6 and 8) in the presence of either 150 mM KOAc (lanes 5 and 6) or 500 mM KOAc (lanes 7 and 8). In lane 1, 1 μg E. coli tRNA was present in the annealing reaction instead of ribosome protected fragments. Thus, the bands seen in this lane represent regions of secondary structure in the single-stranded cDNA clone that result in polymerase stopping during the extension reaction. Additional bands present in lane 2 (translation in the presence of 7mG) are due to regions of insulin mRNA that are protected from nuclease digestion by either RNA secondary structure or protein binding. To generate molecular size markers, the labeled oligonucleotide was used in dideoxy sequencing reactions (lanes 9–12). (B) Ribosome protected fragments from translations performed in the absence of microsomal membranes containing either 10 mM 7mG (lane 1), no added SRP (lane 2), or 30 nM SRP (lane 3) were obtained and analyzed as in A, except that the gel was run for a longer period of time to optimally visualize ribosome pausing at the 3’ end of the mRNA.
membrane (Fig. 6A, compare lane 5 with 6, pause region a). In addition, ~70% of the ribosomes that have advanced further down the mRNA remain bound to the membrane (Fig. 6A, compare lane 5 with 6, pause regions b and c). When the fractionation is performed at 500 mM KOAc, all initiating ribosomes are found in the free ribosome fraction, as expected (Fig. 6A, lanes 7 and 8, pause region a). Only ribosomes pausing between nucleotide 144 and the termination codon at nucleotide 336 are retained on the membrane, indicating that the nascent chains larger than 52 amino acids have inserted into the membrane (Fig. 6A, compare lane 7 with 8, pause regions b and c).

Discussion

We have examined the distribution of membrane-bound ribosomes during the translation of mRNAs encoding two secretory proteins, bovine preprolactin and rat preproinsulin I. This analysis has revealed that the previously described "loosely" and "tightly" bound populations of ribosomes correspond to ribosomes that have synthesized distinct lengths of the nascent polypeptide, and that nascent chain insertion into the membrane begins at distinct points for different presecretory proteins. In addition, we have shown that the enhancement of ribosome stacking that occurs when SRP arrests translation of these proteins is relaxed in the presence of microsomal membranes.

Insertion of Nascent Chains into Membranes

Previously, membrane-bound ribosomes were divided into loosely and tightly bound fractions based on their sensitivity to extraction with either high salt or EDTA (Adelman et al., 1973; Harrison et al., 1974; Gilmore and Blobel, 1985; Connolly and Gilmore, 1986). Connolly and Gilmore (1986) showed that the transition from loosely bound to tightly bound requires GTP, and that the loosely bound ribosomes probably represent an intermediate in the formation of a tight ribosome-membrane junction. This assertion is supported by our results which show that tight ribosome binding requires that the ribosome synthesize a nascent chain of a particular length.

A number of laboratories have tried to define the shortest possible nascent chain that is capable of undergoing SRP-dependent membrane targeting and translocation. In all cases, the approach has been to prepare a series of synthetic mRNAs that produce truncated proteins of defined lengths, and then examine the ability of these short polypeptides to be targeted to or translocated across membranes in an SRP-dependent fashion. Ibrahimim et al. (1986) determined that a 74 amino acid fragment of preprolysozyme was translocated, but that a 51 amino acid fragment failed to be translocated. Siegel and Walter (1988b) concluded that nascent chains of bovine preprolactin of 87 amino acids in length were efficiently targeted to membranes, whereas ribosomes bearing nascent chains of 55 amino acids were not. Similarly, Okun et al. (1990) found that ribosomes bearing nascent chains of anglerfish preproinsulin containing 64 amino acids were translocated across membranes in an SRP-dependent manner, while ribosomes bearing nascent chains of 45 amino acids were not translocated. However, by sizing the nascent chains produced during SRP arrest of translation, they concluded that SRP was able to arrest translation of anglerfish preproinsulin after only 50 amino acids had been synthesized. This result was in contrast to previous data regarding bovine preprolactin, in which the smallest SRP-arrested fragment is ~70 amino acids in length, and truncated polypeptides containing only 55 amino acids are not targeted to or translocated across the membrane, implying that the portion of the preprolactin nascent chain emerging from the ribosome is not sufficient for recognition by SRP (Siegel and Walter, 1988b).

Our results comparing bovine preprolactin and rat preproinsulin I suggest that the shortest length of protein that can undergo SRP-dependent translocation and targeting will be different for different proteins. Some of the variability is likely due to differences in the length and structure of individual signal peptides. Signal peptides can be divided into three distinct domains, an amino-terminal positively charged "n" region; a hydrophobic central region, and a more polar carboxyterminal domain (von Heijne, 1985). Preprolactin has one of the longest signal peptides known (31 amino acids) with much of the additional length occurring in the charged "n" region. Although the preproinsulin signal sequence is shorter (24 amino acids), this length difference alone does not completely account for the difference in the size of inserted nascent chains, indicating that the structures formed by the individual signal peptides are likely to be a critical feature.

For a nascent chain to insert into the membrane, the polypeptide must be sufficiently long to span the large ribosomal subunit (30-39 amino acids), and enough of the signal peptide must protrude to bind SRP. In addition, signal sequences are thought to insert into membranes as a loop, or helical hairpin, in which one of the two helices is formed by the signal sequence (Engelman and Steitz, 1981; Kuhn, 1987; Shaw et al., 1988). Thus, enough of the nascent chain must protrude to allow the formation of both the first helix and the hairpin loop. If 30-39 amino acids span the ribosome large subunit, the 13-22 amino acids of preproinsulin that protrude from the ribosome will be barely long enough to form the first helix required to span a 30 Å bilayer (15-20 amino acids) and begin a hairpin turn.

It was somewhat surprising that ribosomes with short or no nascent chains bind to the membrane in the presence of 150 mM KOAc (Fig. 3, lane 5; Fig. 6A, lane 6), as these ribosomes cannot have been targeted to the membrane by SRP. As microsomal membranes contain binding sites for 80S ribosomes, it is possible that some of these ribosomes have not actually been targeted to the membrane, but bind nonspecifically during the experimental manipulations. Al-
ternatively, ribosomes that are targeted to the membrane as part of a larger polyribosome may normally bind "loosely" to the membrane. However, ribosome targeting to the membrane as part of a larger polyribosome is not sufficient to establish a tight ribosome-membrane junction, as only those ribosomes that have translated a nascent chain of a particular length are resistant to extraction with 0.5 M KOAc.

**SRP-induced Ribosome Pausing and Stacking**

We previously demonstrated that SRP-mediated translational arrest of preprolactin results in increased ribosome pausing at what appear to be SRP-independent pause sites (Wolin and Walter, 1988). In contrast to preprolactin, which has only one strong position of ribosome pausing after the initiation codon, there are numerous natural pauses during the translation of rat preproinsulin I in the absence of SRP (compare Figs. 3 and 6). Thus, SRP does not arrest translation of preproinsulin at a single site, but rather at multiple positions. This observation is likely to explain why a discrete arrested fragment has only been observed for a few secretory proteins (Walter and Blobel, 1981; Meyer et al., 1982). It seems likely that a discrete arrested fragment will only be observed when a single strong ribosomal pause site occurs immediately after the signal peptide is available for binding.

Because membrane-bound ribosomes appear evenly spaced along mRNA in most electron micrographs (Christensen et al., 1987), it seemed likely that the SRP-induced stacking of ribosomes that we previously observed during translation of bovine preprolactin (Wolin and Walter, 1988) would be relaxed in the presence of membranes. We have found that this is the case when either rat preproinsulin I (Fig. 5 B) or bovine preprolactin (data not shown) is translated in the presence of membranes. Ribosome pausing caused by SRP arrest of translation is reduced by the presence of microsomal membranes, presumably because the arrest is released as SRP contacts the SRP receptor on the ER membrane.
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