The Study on Nonlinear Model of Pantograph-Catenary Coupling System for Straddle-Type Monorail
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1. Introduction

Straddle-type monorail is a new type of urban rail transit system which has some advantages such as: small turning radius, low manufacturing cost, strong climbing ability and ride comfort [1, 2]. Because of the unique driving principle of straddle-type monorail vehicles, the structure of straddle-type monorail bogie is also special. The running part of the bogie consists of four running wheels, four guiding wheels and two stabilizing wheels, all of which are rubber tires. While running wheels provide the longitudinal movement, guide wheels lead the monorail vehicle along the track. Moreover, stabilization wheels prevent excessive rolling motion of the monorail. The catenary of straddle-type monorail is rigid catenary, positive and negative catenary are set on the left and right side of the track beam respectively. Because the rubber tires of running wheel, guide wheel and stabilizer wheel are flexible. Therefore, the bogie is sensitive to lateral external effects such as track alignment change, turnout joint transition and cross wind during operation, which will lead the lateral vibration of the bogie.

Because of the unique driving principle of straddle-type monorail, the interaction between side mounted pantograph and the side catenary is also special. Therefore, the interaction between side mounted pantograph of the monorail and the side catenary is one of the factors that limits the operating safety of monorail. The working environment of straddle-type monorail vehicle pantograph is different from that of metro and high-speed railway, so it is necessary to study the pantograph modeling of straddle-type monorail. Therefore, research the accurate pantograph model suit for straddle-type monorail has great significance to improve the operation safety of straddle-type monorail.

2. Dynamic model and motion differential equation of pantograph

2.1. Two-dimensional model of pantograph

Straddle-type monorail pantograph is mainly composed of a bottom plate, a lower frame, an upper frame, a connecting rod, a balance bar, a pantograph head seat, a pantograph spring, a pantograph head and a cylinder parts (positive pantograph only) and so on. The pantograph is reduced to the model shown in Fig.1 a. \( A \) is the hinge point between the lower frame and the bottom plate, \( B \) is the hinge point between the connecting rod and the bottom plate, \( C \) is the hinge point between the lower frame and the upper frame, \( D \) is the hinge point between connecting rod and the lower frame, \( E \) is the hinge point between balance bar and the connecting rod, \( F \) is the hinge point between balance bar and the pantograph seat, \( G \) is the hinge point upper frame bar and the bow head, \( K \) and \( P \) are the installation position of pantograph spring. \( Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4, Q_5 \) are the gravity center of lower frame, upper frame, connecting rod, balance bar, bow head seat respectively. \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) are the angles between \( AC, BD, CD, AB \) and \( X \) axis respectively, \( \epsilon \) is the angle between \( CD \) and \( CG \), \( \theta \) is the angle between \( FG \) and \( X \) axis. \( F_c \) is the contact force between pantograph and catenary. Since the lower frame is the active part, \( A \) is selected as the origin point of coordinate system, the direction of the monorail running is defined as the positive direction of the \( X \) axis, the yaw direction of the vehicle is defined as the \( Y \) axis, the vertical upward direction is the positive direction of the \( Z \) axis.

2.2. Differential equation of pantograph head

The motion differential equation of pantograph head component is established on the basis of Newton’s second law [2-5].

\[
M_H \ddot{z}_H + K_h (y_H - y_G - \Delta z_0) + \nonumber
\]

\[
+ C_h (\dot{z}_H - \dot{z}_G) = F_c - M_H \dot{z}_H
\]

where: \( M_H \) is the mass of pantograph head; \( \Delta z_0 \) is the vertical displacement of pantograph head component; \( F_c \) is the contact force between the pantograph head and catenary; \( K_h \) is the stiffness of pantograph head spring.
and $C_{ii}$ are the stiffness and damping of bow head elastic elements, $M_{ii}$ is the natural length of the elastic element. 

$W = Q_{v} \delta \alpha = $

$= \left[ M_{a} - F_{b} \left[ l_{ac} \cos \alpha + k_{jCG} \cos (\gamma + \epsilon) \right] -$

$- c \frac{d k_{c}}{d \alpha} \sin \angle AKP \cdot \dot{\alpha} - \left[ U_{a} + k_{b} U_{b} +$

$\mp (1 + k_{b}) U_{c} + (k_{b} + k_{c}) U_{D} + (k_{b} + k_{c}) \right] \cdot \dot{\alpha} -$

$\mp \left[ B_{a} + \left| k_{b} \right| B_{b} + \left| k_{b} + k_{c} \right| B_{c} + \left| k_{b} + k_{c} \right| B_{D} +$

$\mp \left| k_{b} + k_{c} \right| B_{E} + \left| k_{b} + k_{c} \right| B_{F} + \left| k_{b} + k_{c} \right| B_{G} \right] \cdot \dot{\alpha} . 

(4)$

In summary, the motion differential equation for the whole pantograph frame of straddle-type monorail can be obtained:

$f_{1}(\alpha) \dot{\alpha} + f_{2}(\alpha) \dot{\alpha}^{2} + f_{3}(\alpha) \dot{\alpha} +$

$+ f_{4}(\alpha) \text{sgn}(\dot{\alpha}) + f_{5}(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}) = 0$

(5)

The relevant variables in the formula can be found in the reference [19].

The pantograph can be simplified to a linear model with two degrees of freedom by expanding the differential equation of the pantograph frame at the equilibrium position and ignoring the influence of the higher order terms (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1 Model of pantograph: a) multi rigid body dynamic model; b) pantograph of monorail

2.3. Differential equation of pantograph frame

Since the frame portion of the pantograph has only one degree of freedom. The angle $\alpha$ can be regarded as the independent variable. According to Lagrange equation:

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} = Q_{a},
$$

(2)

where: $Q_{a}$ is generalized force; $L = T - V$, $T$ is the total kinetic energy of the frame system; $V$ is the total potential energy of a frame system, $V = 0$.

$$
T = T_{\text{Lower}} + T_{\text{LG}} + T_{\text{Upper}} + T_{\text{UG}} + T_{H},
$$

(3)

where: $T_{\text{Lower}}, T_{\text{LG}}, T_{\text{Upper}}, T_{\text{UG}}$ and $T_{H}$ are the kinetic energy of the lower frame, the connecting rod, the upper frame, the balance bar and the pantograph head seat respectively, which be found in reference [19].

Because the pantograph of monorail vehicle is enveloped by the whole car body, the influence of aerodynamic force on Pantograph can be ignored. Considering the damping of the hinge, the dry friction moment, the damping force of the pantograph spring, the lifting bow moment and the force between the bow head and the frame, the virtual work done on the frame is as follows:

![Diagram of pantograph frame](image)
2.4. Differential equation of pantograph frame

According to the displaying center difference formula, the displacement of pantograph head:

\[
\begin{aligned}
Z_{H(n)} &= Z_{H(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} (Z_{H(n-1)} + Z_{H(n)}) \Delta t \\
Z_{H(n)} &= Z_{H(n-1)} + Z_{H(n)} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} Z_{H(n-1)} \Delta t^2,
\end{aligned}
\]  

(7)

where: \(Z_0\) is the displacement of point \(G\) at the top of frame. According to the geometric relationship, \(Z_0\) can be represented by angle \(\alpha\) and \(\Delta Z_0\) is derived by \(Z_0\).

\[
\begin{aligned}
Z_{G(N)} &= L_3 \sin \alpha_n + L_2 \sin \gamma_n - \\
& - L_2 \sin \alpha_0 - L_3 \sin \gamma_0, \\
\Delta Z_{G(N)} &= (L_3 \cos \alpha_n + L_2 \cos \gamma_n) \Delta t,
\end{aligned}
\]  

(8)

where: \(\alpha_n\) is the angle between \(L_3\) and the pantograph support for the initial position, \(\gamma_n\) is the angle between \(L_3\) and the pantograph support for the initial position.

According to the displaying center difference formula, the pantograph lift angle is:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n &= \alpha_{n-1} + \dot{\alpha}_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} \ddot{\alpha}_{n-1} \Delta t^2, \\
\dot{\alpha}_n &= \dot{\alpha}_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} (\ddot{\alpha}_{n-1} + \ddot{\alpha}_{n-1}) \Delta t.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(9)

2.5. Differential equation of catenary

The catenary and aluminum profile base are regarded as Euler beam; electric insulator is regarded as lumped mass spring model. The stiffness within the span of the catenary is obtained by using the finite element method. The stiffness of the catenary in one span is obtained by using the finite element method. In order to simplify the calculation, the continuous equivalent stiffness of the catenary is used to replace by the stiffness \(K_0\):

\[
K_0 = K_{nc} \left[ 1 + \lambda \frac{2\pi}{L} V \right],
\]  

(12)

where: \(K_0\) is the average stiffness; \(\lambda\) is nonuniformity coefficient of the stiffness; \(L\) is the span of the catenary; \(V\) is the speed of the monorail, \(t\) is time.

\[
K_0 = \frac{K_{\text{max}} + K_{\text{min}}}{2},
\]  

\[
\lambda = \frac{K_{\text{max}} - K_{\text{min}}}{K_{\text{max}} + K_{\text{min}}},
\]  

where: \(K_{\text{max}}, K_{\text{min}}\) are the maximum and minimum stiffness of the catenary in one span.

2.6. Dynamic model of pantograph-catenary system

The contact force between pantograph and catenary is provided by the pantograph spring. In this paper, penalty function is used to simulate the coupling between pantograph and catenary. When no offline occurs, the contact force is the product of catenary stiffness and pantograph-head displacement. combined the Differential equation of pantograph and Differential equation of catenary, the lateral coupling dynamic model of pantograph and catenary is obtained.

\[
M \ddot{a}_L + C \dot{a}_L + K a_L = Q(t).
\]  

(14)

In the formula, \(\ddot{a}_L\) and \(\dot{a}_L\) are acceleration vectors and velocity vectors of system nodes respectively, \(M\) is the mass matrix of the system; \(C\) is the damping matrix of the system; \(K\) is the stiffness matrix of the system; \(Q(t)\) is the load vectors of the system.

2.7. Lateral vibration model of bogie

When the straddie monorail is running on the track, the excitation of the finger plate on the side of the track will
be caused the lateral vibration of the bogie, which will affect the quality of power collection. The lateral vibration model of the monorail can be seen in Fig.3.

\[ M_0 \ddot{Z}_b(t) = K_b Z_b(t) + C_b \dot{Z}_b(t), \]  

where: \( M_0 \) is the mass of bogie; \( Z_b(t) \) is the installation tolerances of lateral finger plate; \( K_b \) is the equivalent lateral stiffness of Bogie, \( C_b \) is the equivalent lateral damping of Bogie.

\[ K_b = K_{AS} + K_{OD} + 4K_{RT} + 2K_{GT} + K_{ST}, \]

where: \( K_{AS} \) is the lateral stiffness of air spring; \( K_{OD} \) is the lateral stiffness of running tire; \( K_{GT} \) is the radial stiffness of guide tire; \( K_{ST} \) is the radial stiffness of steady tire.

\[ C_b = C_{AS} + C_{OD} + 4C_{RW} + 2C_{GW} + C_{SW}, \]

where: \( C_{AS} \) is the lateral damping of air spring; \( C_{OD} \) is the lateral damping of oil-pressure damper; \( C_{GT} \) is the lateral damping of running tire; \( C_{ST} \) is the lateral damping of steady tire.

From the above equation, the lateral vibration acceleration of the monorail is as follow:

\[ \ddot{Z}_b(t) = \left( [K_{AS} + K_{OD} + 4K_{RT} + 2K_{GT} + K_{ST}] Z_b(t) + [C_{AS} + C_{OD} + 2C_{RW} + 2C_{GW} + C_{SW}] \dot{Z}_b(t) \right) / M_0. \]

3. Comparison of dynamic characteristics between nonlinear model and lumped mass model

3.1. Analysis of the contact force

The maximum design speed of straddle monorail vehicle is 80 km/h. In order to evaluate the applicability of the two models, this paper analyzed the contact force response of two model with four different speeds of 20, 40, 60 and 80 km/h respectively.

The track of straddle-type monorail is PC beam which is 22 m long each beam and set finger plate at the joint of two beam. The lateral installation tolerances of finger plate are 3 mm. Therefore, when the vehicle passes through joint of two beam, the lateral vibration of the bogie will affect the contact force between pantograph and catenary. As can be seen from Figs. 4 and 5, two kinds of pantograph-catenary coupling models do not appear offline at all four speeds. At the speed of 20 and 40 km/h, the contact forces of the two models have periodic fluctuations and the fluctuation period is 22 m, which reflects the transverse excitation of the finger plate. As can be seen from Figs. 6 and 7, when the speed exceeds 40 km/h, only the nonlinear model can still reflect the lateral excitation of finger plate.

In order to more intuitively reflect the change of contact force between the two models with train running mileage, Fig. 8 shows the power collection quality evaluation index curve of the two models.
But for the standard deviation of contact force, the non-linear model reaches its maximum at 40 km/h, and then decreases with the increase of vehicle speed, the linear model decreases with the increase of vehicle speed. The standard deviation of contact force of non-linear model is larger than that of linear model at all the speed.

The Maximum contact force of the non-linear model is larger than that of the linear model, the Minimum contact force of the non-linear model is smaller than that of the linear model, so standard deviation of contact force of non-linear model is larger than that of linear model at all the speed. This is because the non-linear model is more sensitive to the lateral excitation than the linear model.
3.2. Frequency response analysis of contact force

The spectrum analysis results of pantograph-catenary system are shown in Figs. 9-12. The spectrograms of the two models have three obvious peaks at the vehicle running speed of 20 km/h, the frequency of the non-linear model is 0.48, 6.49 and 21.13 Hz, the frequency of the non-linear model is 0.48, 6.49 and 21.13 Hz. The spectrograms of the two models have four obvious peaks at the vehicle running speed of 40 km/h, the frequency of the non-linear model is 0.48, 6.00, 13.11 and 21.55 Hz, the frequency of the non-linear model is 0.50, 7.99, 14.85 and 22.11 Hz. The spectrograms of the two models have three obvious peaks at the vehicle running speed of 60 km/h, the frequency of the non-linear model is 0.48, 8.00, 13.11 and 21.55 Hz, the frequency of the linear model is 0.50, 7.99, 14.85 and 22.11 Hz. When the running speed is 80 km/h, the spectrograms of the nonlinear models have three obvious peaks which are 1.07, 3.92 and 11.93 Hz, the spectrograms of the linear models have just one obvious peak which is 4.05 Hz.

When the running speed is below 60 km/h, the peak frequency of linear model is almost the same as that of non-linear model. When the running is exceeding 60 km/h, the spectrograms of the linear model has deviated greatly from the nonlinear model. When the running speed is 80 km/h, the spectrograms of the linear models just have one obvious peak, which can't well reflect the low-frequency vibration of the pantograph-catenary system. Therefore, when considering the lateral excitation of the finger plate, only the nonlinear model can better reflect the low frequency vibration characteristics of the pantograph-catenary system.

![Fig. 8 Comparison of two models: a) mean value of contact force; b) standard deviation of contact force](image)

![Fig. 9 Frequency spectrum of contact force at the speed of 20 km/h: a) nonlinear model; b) linear model](image)
3.3. Modal test of pantograph

Using M + P modal test system to test the modal of pantograph is seen in Fig. 13a and the test result is shown in the Fig. 13b. The first main frequency of the pantograph is 20.5 Hz, the second main frequency is 48.5 Hz, and the third main frequency is 13.5 Hz.

Analysis show that both models have the worst power collection quality when the running speed is 40 km/h. As can be seen from the test results of Fig. 13b, the third main frequency of the pantograph is 13.5 Hz. It can be seen from Fig. 10 that when the running speed is 40 km/h, the
nonlinear model exhibits a vibration with a frequency of 14.55 Hz, the linear model exhibits a vibration with a frequency of 13.11 Hz, which is closed to the third main frequency of the pantograph. The pantograph system resonates with the excitation of the bow head, which leads to the decrease of power collection quality.

Therefore, when considering the lateral vibration of the bogie, the nonlinear pantograph-catenary coupling dynamics model is more suitable for straddle-type monorail pantograph-catenary coupling research.
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