High-resolution NMR structure of an RNA model system: the 14-mer cUUCGg tetraloop hairpin RNA
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ABSTRACT
We present a high-resolution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) solution structure of a 14-mer RNA hairpin capped by cUUCGg tetraloop. This short and very stable RNA presents an important model system for the study of RNA structure and dynamics using NMR spectroscopy, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and RNA force-field development. The extraordinary high precision of the structure (root mean square deviation of 0.3 Å) could be achieved by measuring and incorporating all currently accessible NMR parameters, including distances derived from nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) intensities, torsion-angle dependent homonuclear and heteronuclear scalar coupling constants, projection-angle-dependent cross-correlated relaxation rates and residual dipolar couplings. The structure calculations were performed with the program CNS using the ARIA setup and protocols. The structure quality was further improved by a final refinement in explicit water using OPLS force field parameters for non-bonded interactions and charges. In addition, the 2'-hydroxyl groups have been assigned and their conformation has been analyzed based on NOE contacts. The structure currently defines a benchmark for the precision and accuracy amenable to RNA structure determination by NMR spectroscopy. Here, we discuss the impact of various NMR restraints on structure quality and discuss in detail the dynamics of this system as previously determined.

INTRODUCTION
The availability of isotope-labelled oligonucleotides (1–4) has turned nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy to be an invaluable tool for RNA structure determination. A variety of NMR parameters reflect on the dynamic structure of RNA oligonucleotides in solution, and direct monitoring of persistent hydrogen-bonding interactions in RNA and DNA is a particular strength of NMR spectroscopy. However, there are a number of difficulties for RNA structure determination that make the determination of a high-resolution structure a formidable task by NMR spectroscopy. In general, the number of hydrogen atoms is low compared to proteins leading to a considerably reduced proton spin density for RNAs. Therefore, in most cases, a purely nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE)-based structure determination approach is insufficient to obtain a high-resolution NMR structure. In addition, the number of free torsion angles is higher than for proteins. The conformation of the phosphodiester backbone is defined by six freely rotatable bonds compared to the two free rotations in proteins, although there are correlations also in RNA and DNA between the various backbone angles. In addition, there are free torsion angles that allow variation in the conformation of the ribose and its connection to the nucleobase. Furthermore, RNA structures are often elongated and the detailed shape of such an elongated biomolecule is difficult to obtain using traditional, mainly local, NMR parameters only.

Here, we discuss the structure determination of a 14-mer hairpin RNA consisting of a 5-bp helix capped by the highly stable cUUCGg tetraloop (Figure 1) with a CG closing base pair and the four loop nucleotides L1–L4. The 14-mer tetraloop RNA has served as a model for the investigation of RNA dynamics and development.
The tetraloop is additionally labelled L1-4 and the trans-wobble base pair U6-G9 is indicated by dashed line.

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the secondary structure of the 14-mer cUUCGg-tetraloop RNA with C5-G10 closing base pair. The tetraloop was additionally labelled L1-4 and the trans-wobble base pair U6-G9 is indicated by dashed line.

of new NMR experiments (5–14). NMR assignment for $^1H$, $^{13}C$, $^{15}N$ and $^{31}P$ resonances has been published (11). The chosen sequence is particularly stable with a high melting temperature of 74°C due to the implemented cUUCGg-tetraloop, which is the most stable hairpin motif in RNA (15). The 14-mer RNA thus adopts a well-defined structure compromising of two conformational domains: the stem with a canonical helix conformation and the loop with a very unusual non-canonical conformation including the trans-wobble base pair.

The cUUCGg-tetraloop has already been extensively studied by different biophysical methods in order to understand the unusual thermal stability at the molecular level. From melting temperature studies by Sakata et al. (16) it could be concluded that both the presence of the 2'-OH moiety in the loop and the amino group at L4 are responsible for the unusually high stability of the cUUCGg hairpin structure. The first NMR structure for a cUUCGg tetraloop in the sequence context of the P1 helix of the group intron was published by Varani and Allain (17) in 1995. Examination of the structure revealed the main characteristics of the loop structure which is the U-G trans-wobble base pair that contains the unusual sugar to base hydrogen bond via the 2'-OH group and two hydrogen bonds between L1 (O2) and both N1 and N3 of L4. Those interactions are regarded as the main determinants for the thermal stability (17). Further stabilizing effects arise from extensive base stacking interactions and a base-to-backbone hydrogen bond between L3 (N1) and L1 (O2P). Subsequent unrestrained molecular dynamics (MD) simulation studies performed for the cUUCGg tetraloop further confirmed the accuracy and stability of the structure, even when starting from an incorrect structure (18). Extensive thermodynamic studies by Williams and Hall (19) revealed a prominent position dependence of the 2'-OH groups in contribution to thermal stability even for the hydroxyl groups at L3 and L4, although they have not been seen to form any hydrogen bond in the solution structure.

When the first X-ray structure of the cUUCGg tetraloop was elucidated at 2.8 A resolution in 2000, Ennifar et al. (20) could observe additional 2'-OH hydrogen bonds between L2 (2'-OH) and L4 (O6), L3 (2'-OH) and L3 (O2) which were not observed in the solution structure. In general, the solution and crystal structures agree well in their global conformation (17,20). However, local differences in the backbone conformation could be observed between different X-ray structures and even within the same crystal (20).

In our work, we utilized all established and currently developed NMR techniques using a uniformly $^{13}C$/$^{15}N$-labeled sample to collect a wealth of independent experimental restraints such as NOE intensities, scalar coupling constants ($J$), cross-correlated relaxation rates ($\Gamma$) and residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) in order to determine the vast majority of backbone dihedral angles, sugar conformation and the base orientation in a quantitative manner. In addition, according to recent efforts (24–26), we carried out a qualitative conformational analysis of the 2'-OH group by comparing NOE contacts to the adjacent protons of the sugar and base (H1'-H3', H6/8, i') and included those into the structure calculation. Using this high number of experimental restraints, we were able to arrive at a high-resolution structure of the 14-mer RNA and cross-validate the different experimental restraints. The elucidated structure shows a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 0.25 Å for the loop and 0.30 Å for the stem region after energy refinement in water and defines the current resolution limit and accuracy for NMR-based structure determination of RNA oligonucleotides.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample and NMR spectroscopy

A 14-mer-cUUCGg-tetraloop-RNA sample with the sequence 5’-PO42–PO42–GGCAC(UUCG)GUGCC-3’ was purchased from Silantes GmbH (Munich, Germany). Samples for NMR-spectroscopy contained ~0.7 mM RNA in 20 mM KHPO4, pH 6.4, 0.4 mM EDTA and 10% D2O. $^1H$ chemical shifts are referenced directly to TSP as an external reference. The resonances have been fully assigned and published earlier (11). Spectra were acquired at 25°C, 10°C and 5°C on Bruker DRX600, AV600, AV700 and AV800 spectrometers equipped with 5-mm $x_y_z$-axis gradient TXI-HCN probe. The $^{31}P$-NMR spectra were recorded on an AV600MHz Bruker spectrometer with 5-mm $z$-axis gradient TCI-HCP cryogenic probe. Homonuclear $^3J(H,H)$-coupling constants were measured with 3D forward directed HCC-TOCSY-CCH-E.COSY (27). Heteronuclear $^3J(H,P)$ and $^3J(C,P)$ coupling constants were obtained from quantitative HCP experiments (28–35) and $\alpha/\beta$ selective HC(1H)TOCSY (36). $\Gamma_{DD,DD}^{HH}$, $\Gamma_{DD,DD}^{HH/CC}$, $\Gamma_{DD,DD}^{CC/CC}$ and $\Gamma_{DD,DD}^{CC/CH}$ cross-correlated relaxation rates were measured using the quantitative 2D $\Gamma$-HCCH experiment. $\Gamma_{DD,DD}^{CC/CH}$ cross-correlated relaxation rates were measured...
using the CT-TROSY experiment as described by Boisbouvier et al. (37). \( \Gamma_{CS/HS/HS, P}^{C4} \) and \( \Gamma_{C3/HS, P}^{DD,C4} \) have been measured with quantitative \( \Gamma_{-}^{HCP} \) experiments (38).

**Structure calculation**

All calculations were performed with CNS 1.1 (39) using the ARIA 1.2 (40) setup and protocols with the dna_rna_allatom force field. The standard annealing protocols were used, including NOE distance calibration and spin-diffusion correction. Fifty starting structures were generated based on a linear template molecule with randomly associated velocities for all atoms. For each iteration (0–7), for which 50 structures were calculated, the NOE distance restraints were recalibrated by ARIA based on the 10 lowest energy structures. The violation tolerance was progressively reduced. For the structure calculation, the ARIA 1.2 (40) setup and protocols with the BMRB database.

All calculations were performed with CNS 1.1 (39) using the ARIA 1.2 (40) setup and protocols with the dna_rna_allatom force field. The standard annealing protocols were used, including NOE distance calibration and spin-diffusion correction. Fifty starting structures were generated based on a linear template molecule with randomly associated velocities for all atoms. For each iteration (0–7), for which 50 structures were calculated, the NOE distance restraints were recalibrated by ARIA based on the 10 lowest energy structures. The violation tolerance was progressively reduced. For the structure calculation, the ARIA 1.2 (40) setup and protocols with the BMRB database.

**Distance restraints**. The great majority of restraints for structure calculation consist of interproton distance restraints that are derived from the analysis of nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY) spectra. Two 2D \( \text{H}^1 \text{H}-\text{NOESY} \) spectra with different mixing times (50 and 250 ms) have been recorded and provided on average 19 distance restraints per residue. The NOE cross peaks from the \( \text{H}^1 \text{OH} \) protons were also included into the structure calculation. The NOE intensities were automatically calibrated to distances using ARIA with spin-diffusion correction. The NOE-based distance

| Structure parameter | NMR parameter | Reference |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Dihedral angles      |               |           |
| Backbone             | \( \Gamma_{CS/HS/HS, P}^{C4} \) | (38)      |
| \( \beta \)           | \( \Gamma_{C3/HS, P}^{DD,C4} \) | (38)      |
| \( \gamma \)          | \( \Gamma_{-}^{HCP} \) | (38)      |
| \( \varepsilon \)      | \( \Gamma_{C3/HS, P}^{DD,C4} \) | (38)      |
| \( \zeta \)           | \( \Gamma_{C3/HS, P}^{DD,C4} \) | (38)      |
| Sugar puckers        | \( \Gamma_{C3/HS, P}^{DD,C4} \) | (38)      |
| Total number of dihedral angle restraints\(^h\) | 96            |           |
| Base planarity       | 5             |           |
| RDCs                 | 32            |           |
| Distance restraints  |               |           |
| Intraresidue         | 141           |           |
| Interresidue         | 111           |           |
| Sequential           | 74            |           |
| Medium               | 16            |           |
| Long range           | 21            |           |
| Hydrogen bonds       | 15            |           |
| Total number         | 267           |           |
| Mean number per residue | 19          |           |
| Total number of restraints | 400        |           |
| Mean number per residue | 28.6        |           |

\( ^h \)Dihedral angles \( (\psi_6-\psi_4, \phi_12, \phi_34) \) are counted as two dihedral angle restraints for the sugar conformation.
restraints were supplemented by hydrogen bonds and weak planarity restraints of the base pairs, which have been deduced from the HNN-COSY experiment (44,45).

**Dihedral angle restraints and RDCs.** The interproton distance restraints alone were found to be not sufficient to define a high-resolution RNA structure because they lack information about the global conformation and their total number is rather low due to the limited proton density in RNA. Hence, it is crucial to measure additional NMR parameters to restrain the torsion angles and to add RDCs in order to generate a more precise NMR solution structure. Nevertheless, for our 14-mer RNA model system, the structure bundle calculated with only NOE-distance restraints tends to be well defined with local resolution of 0.45 Å for the loop region. Most torsion angles, except for \( \phi(3'-P_1-O_5'-C_5') \) and \( \gamma(O_5'-C_5'-C_4'-C_3') \), agree well with the final high-resolution structure that is calculated with all NMR restraints, including NOEs, dihedral angles and RDCs. Such high resolution can also be obtained locally for the non-canonical region within the loop. In retrospect, we can show that the structure delivered by NOE distance restraints only is very useful as an initial estimate for the local geometry, i.e. of single distinct dihedral angles. The initial structure therefore provides a helpful starting structure to differentiate between ambiguous dihedral angle values occurring from analysis of the degenerate scalar coupling constants and cross-correlated relaxation rates, as we have noticed during structure calculation for some of the backbone torsion angles.

To define backbone angles \( \beta(3'-P_1-O_5'-C_5'-C_4') \) and \( \epsilon(O_4'-C_3'-O_3'-O_3') \), \( 3^J \) heteronuclear coupling constants have been measured from \( ^{31}\text{P} \) to the sugar protons H5'/H5', H3' and to the sugar carbons C4' and C2' based on quantitative heteronuclear HCP-experiments and \( J \)-modulated HP-HSQC which yielded 54 \( J \)-coupling constants (28–34). On average, each torsion angle was specified by two heteronuclear coupling constants. In most cases, it was possible to fit the data to one single conformation, which agreed well with the NOE prediction. For angles and residues that could not be fit to a single conformation we further analysed the coupling constants assuming that multiple conformations might be present. Our analysing approach included both, an equilibrium between two structures state with continues dihedral angle ranges and a three conformation-state model with distinctively dihedral angles correlating to \( \text{trans} \) (180°) and gauche (60°, −60°) conformations. In this way, it could be concluded that coupling constants for the angle \( \beta \) for the residue G1, G2 and U7 can be better described by a conformational distribution. However, the main fraction, ~70%, was found in \( \text{trans} \) conformation which led us to define the \( \text{trans} \) conformation as the main one. For the angle \( \beta \) for the residues G9 and G10 it was not possible to find satisfying solution with none of the methods. This observation might indicate that conformational transitions and dynamics occur which cannot be described by the NMR parameters. In line with this observation, order parameters \( S^2 \) of the phosphoruous atom show highest flexibility within the loop backbone (13). Another reason for unexpected coupling constants could be that the currently used Karplus parameterization is not appropriate for unusual RNA conformation, which is mostly observed for nucleotides within the loop region. The dihedral angle \( \epsilon \) was mostly fitting to a single conformation in agreement with the NOE structure except for the residue U7 and C8. Those were fitting to a broad conformational range fluctuating around a main conformation. In total, 10 and 12 out of 14 torsion angles for \( \beta \) and \( \epsilon \) have been obtained, respectively. For loop residue U7 and C8, those angles have been restrained only loosely because \( J \)-coupling constants result in a broad conformational range, while the \( J \) torsion angle restraints for the angle \( \beta \) for the residue G9 and G10 were left out.

The backbone angles \( \phi(3'-P_1-O_5'-C_5') \) and \( \epsilon(O_3'-O_3'-P_1+1-O_5'+1) \) show the highest conformational diversity in RNA (46). Qualitative estimation of these two angles is usually derived from analysis of \( ^{31}\text{P} \) chemical shifts but such analysis should only be used to exclude the \( \text{trans} \) conformation (47,48). In order to obtain quantitative data for these two torsions, we have determined CH–dipole, \( ^{31}\text{P-CSA} \) cross-correlated \((J_{\text{DD},\text{CSA}}) \) relaxation rates that were obtained from the new quantitative \( \Gamma \)-HCP experiment developed in our group (38). In total, 22 dihedral angles for \( \alpha \) and \( \xi \) could be included into the structure calculation. Determination of the sugar conformation described by the amplitude \( v_{\text{max}} \) and the phase angle of the pseudo-rotation \( P \) of the sugar ring is based on measurement of homonuclear coupling constants \( J(H,H) \) for all sugar protons and dipolar cross-correlated relaxation rates \( \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{CSA}}, \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{CVH}}, \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{C\H}',\text{C\H}'} \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{CVH},\text{CVH}} \) (27,30,36, 37,49,50). Due to favourable spectral resolution of the 14-mer RNA, 52 out of 70 possible \( J(H,H) \) coupling constants in the sugar moieties could be determined applying the forward-directed HCC-TOCSY-CCH-E.COSY experiment (27) and further 32 cross-correlated relaxation rates. Based on analysis of these coupling constants and cross-correlated relaxation rates, we broadly defined the sugar conformations by its endocyclic torsion angles \( \psi^\text{C} \). In addition, the cross-correlated relaxation rates were used to accurately define 13 sugar conformations by the \( \phi_{12}(\text{H2}^+_1\text{C1}^-_1\text{C2}^-_1\text{H2}^-_2) \) and \( \phi_{34}(\text{H3}^+_1\text{C3}^-_1\text{C4}^-_1\text{H4}^-_2) \) torsion angles. For this, the pseudo-rotation angle \( P \) is estimated by the ratio of \( \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{CVH},\text{CVH}},\Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{C\H}',\text{C\H}'} \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{C\H}',\text{C\H}'} \) while the amplitude \( v_{\text{max}} \) is determined by analysing single cross-correlated rates (50,51). The reason for the high \( \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{C\H}',\text{C\H}'} \) rate of G10 is currently unknown. Whether very slow chemical exchange observed on the times scales (1–10 Hz) of the cross-correlated relaxation rates is the reason cannot be ascertained. In line with this, we also lack the data for the \( \Gamma_{\text{DD,DD}}^{\text{CVH},\text{CVH}} \) for G10. As expected, data indicate that the sugar from residues U7 and C8 adopt south-type conformations while all other residues adopt north-type
conformation including C2'-exo and C3'-endo conformation as discussed below (Figure 2).

The exocyclic torsion angle $\gamma$(O5'–C5'–C4'–C3') is determined from $^3$(H3',H4'), $^3$(H4',H5'), $^3$(H5',C4') and $^2$(H5',C4') coupling constants that yield the stereospecific assignment of the diastereotopic H5'/H5'' protons (11,49). From qualitative analysis of those coupling constants, the torsion angle $\gamma$ has been restrained to the gauche (60°) conformation except for the residue G9 which was set to trans conformation.

The orientation of the nucleobase, characterized by the glycosidic torsion angle $\chi$(C2'-C1'-C'–N1–C2), was obtained from cross-correlated relaxation rates derived from the quantitative $\Gamma$-HCNCH experiment as reported earlier (5,9).

As a result, 96 out of 112 possible degrees of freedom in the 14-mer RNA could be determined in quantitative manner giving hint to the presence of A-form conformation of the stem and non-canonical conformation for the loop. In addition, 32 RDCs from sugar (C1'H1'), base (C6H6, C8H8) and imino (N1H8, N3H3) bond vectors have been measured to determine the overall orientation of the RNA (52).

**NMR solution structure of the 14-mer-cUUCGg–tetraloop RNA**

The structure of the 14-mer hairpin RNA has been calculated using four combinations of three subsets of restraints: (i) NOEs, (ii) NOEs + RDCs, (iii) NOEs + dihedrals and (iv) NOEs + dihedrals + RDCs. The RMSD is calculated from 20 structures with the lowest overall energy after water refinement for all atoms in three different regions: overall (residues 2–13, thus without the terminal nucleotides), the stem (residues 2–5, 10–13) and the loop region (residues 6–9). The standard parameters for the dna_rna_allatom force field in ARIA/CNS do not allow for a proper water refinement. Therefore, we have included OPLS non-bonded Lennard–Jones parameters and charges (42,43,53) which considerably improved the structure calculation.

Structure calculation based on the NOE distance restraints, base-pairing hydrogen bonds and planarity restraints resulted in an already well-defined bundle with an overall RMSD of 0.85 Å and a local convergence of 0.45 Å for the loop region (Figure 3A). However, the overall structure tends to be bent. Addition of either the RDCs (Figure 3B) or all available dihedral angle restraints (Figure 3C) increases the convergence and the accuracy of the structure resulting in an overall RMSD of 0.69 Å and 0.41 Å, respectively. Particularly the loop region is well defined in both calculations with an RMSD of 0.33 Å and 0.27 Å, respectively. The final structure calculation with the entire set of structure parameters, including RDCs and the 2'-OH hydrogen bond restraints for the trans-wobble base pair, results in a tight bundle with an overall RMSD of 0.37 Å (Figure 3D). The structure has been analysed with the software w3DNA (54) which confirms the A-form conformation of the stem helix (residues 1–5, 10–14). As expected, all residues except U7 and C8 show north-type conformation adopting exclusively C3'-endo conformation such that the C2'-exo conformation derived from analysis of $\Gamma_{\text{C1'H1',C2'H2'}}^{\text{DD,DD}}$, $\Gamma_{\text{C1'H1',C2'H2'}}^{\text{DD,DD}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{C3'H3',C4'H4'}}^{\text{DD,DD}}$ for G2, A4, G9 and U11 is not reflected in the structure calculation (Figure 4A). The reason for that could be that the other experimental restraints (NOEs, RDCs) in combination with the force field drive the sugar to the more stable C3'-endo conformation. The 2'-OH group adopts two out of three possible conformations, namely mainly either the O3' or base orientation in agreement with NOE data (Figure 4A–C). Comparison of the final structure bundle and the NMR input (Figure 5A–C) for dihedral angle restraints reveals a very good agreement. The only exception is seen within the loop region for the angles $\varepsilon$ of C8 with a deviation between input and output at about 50°. This deviation corresponds to the precision of the experimental restraint that described this.
Assignment and conformational analysis of 2'-OH group

The resonance assignment of the 14-mer RNA hairpin has been published earlier (11) and is now completed with the 2'-OH resonances which are found between 6.7 and 7.1 ppm (Figure 4B). We have deposited this update resonance assignment in the BMRB database. In 2D $^1$H$^1$H-NOESY spectra at long mixing time (250 ms) and a temperature of 5°C, NOE cross peaks between the 2'-OH protons and the protons of the sugar ($H_1'$–$H_5$) and the base ($H_6$/8$_{i+1}$) of the adjacent nucleotide can be detected. These signals resonate far from the water signal. Their cross peaks to the same 2'-OH proton give information about the interresidual linkage between the own sugar ($H_1'$) and the next nucleobase ($H_{0,i+1}$/8$_{i+1}$) and can therefore be valuable for assignment (Figure 4C). For the 14-mer RNA, the resonances for nine 2'-OH groups could be assigned. The missing 2'-OH protons are located in the loop region (U7-G9) and at terminal nucleotides (G1,C14) where the signal is lost due to exchange with water. Conformational analysis based on 2D $^1$H$^1$H-NOESY spectrum at short mixing time (50 ms) at low temperature (5°C) has been carried out as introduced earlier (24,55). The results indicate that the 2'-OH group mainly adopts orientation toward the O3' domain as discussed in previous studies (24–26). This observation is supported by the presence of cross peaks between the 2'-OH and the H1' atoms. These cross peaks are more intense than the 2'-OH cross peaks to the H6/8$_{i+1}$ but weaker than to the H2' protons. Presence of cross peaks to H5' protons of the following nucleotide (A4, C5, G12) and to H6/8 of the next base at longer mixing time support the concept that the orientation toward O3' domain is populated to some higher extent which is consistent with findings by others (24–26). The only exception is found for the residue G10 where the 2'-OH NOE contacts indicate an orientation toward O4'. The final structure bundle agrees well with these findings, indicating that the 2'-hydroxyl groups mainly adopt the O3' domain orientation (Figure 4A and B) for the stem and an orientation towards the base domain for U6 in the loop.

DISCUSSION

Conformational analysis of the 14-mer-cUUCGg–tetraloop RNA

The loop region is the appropriate site to probe the accuracy of the present structure since the cUUCGg–tetraloop has been extensively investigated by both NMR and X-ray revealing specific structural features that are responsible for its extraordinary stability. The trans-wobble U6-G9 base pair, including the unusual 2'-OH hydrogen bond is also present in the new structure (Figure 6A). The ribose moieties of the loop residues U7 and C8 which adopt the unusual south-type conformation are identical to the X-ray structures (Figure 4A). The amino group at N4 of C8 forms a hydrogen bond to the O1P of U7 and is therefore protected from solvent exchange which is indicated by the presence of strong NOE peaks (Figure S1, Supplementary Data). The network of base stacking is observed for loop nucleobases except for the residue U7 which is flipped out and thus exposed to the solvent as also seen in all the other crystal and solution structures of the tetraloop (10).
Further inspection of the trans-wobble base pair reveals a small difference to the X-ray structure which is seen in a conformation such that L1(O2) is the acceptor group for both N1 and N2 of L4 (20). The N2 amino group of G9 (L4) in our structure is more exposed to the solvent and does not show any cross peaks in NOESY spectra. The distance between U6(O2) and G9(N2) is on average >3.5 Å and the hydrogen bond angle is about 130°, indicating that a hydrogen bond might potentially be formed, but since it is still highly solvent accessible it will be susceptible to fast exchange with water (Figure 6A). The same is observed for the imino proton at N1 of the U6 that can be regarded as free of hydrogen bonding. Both findings indicate that the U6-G9 base pair is not persistent and to some degree exposed into solution.

For the residue U7, we find a possible hydrogen bond between the 2'-OH group and the G9 base (N7) in about one-third of the calculated structures (Figure 6A), which at first sight seems to be surprising since the 2'-OH proton could not be detected. However, this might not be contradictory when we consider that the residue U7 is most exposed to the solvent and therefore exchange with water can reduce the signal despite the hydrogen bond. The presence of the hydrogen bond agrees with findings in X-ray structure which shows a hydrogen bond between the 2'-OH and the O6 of G9 (L4) (20).

A

| Res. | 6´-OH [ppm] | 2-`OH orientation domain from NOE analysis | 2-`OH orientation in final structure θ[°] | 2-`OH Orientation domain in final structure | P[°] from cross correlated relaxation rates | νmax[°] from cross correlated relaxation rates | P[°] in final structure | νmax[°] in final structure |
|------|-------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| G1   | 6.97        | O3' 107 ± 21 O3'                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| G2   | 6.74        | O3' 59 ± 33 O3'                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| A4   | 6.89        | O3' 90 ± 11 O3'                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| C5   | 7.06        | Base 102 ± 26 O3'                        |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| U6   | 6.80        | Base -45 ± 14 Base                       |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| U7   |             |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| G9   |             |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| G10  | 6.89        | O4' 130 ± 12 O3'                         |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| U11  | 6.94        | O4' 63 ± 37 O3'                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| G12  | 6.96        | O3' 91 ± 34 O3'                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| C13  | 6.85        | O3' 71 ± 36 O3'                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| C14  |             |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |

a No data for the residue G1, b Phase angle of the pseudorotation has been obtained for νmax = 40°, c  Figures 4A and 5 of G10 is higher than expected from equation and could not be analysed (Figure 2).

Figure 4. (A) Conformational analysis of the final structure with resonance assignment of the 2'-OH protons. Orientation of the 2'-OH group is given according to the analysis of NOE contacts and according to the final structure bundle (θ (OH2-O2-C2-H2)). (B) Structure bundle of final 20 structures with the lowest energy including all NMR data. 2'-OH groups are highlighted in red. (C) Expansion of the 2'-OH-H1' and 2'-OH-H6/H8 region of the 2D NOESY experiment with mixing time of 250 ms and temperature of 5°C, recorded at a AV900 MHz spectrometer.
The residue U7 is of key importance to address the question whether the solution structure can provide an insight into the associated dynamics. In our previous studies, employing relaxation analysis, it has been shown that the loop residue U7 and the loop backbone region reveal considerable dynamics on the nanosecond timescale (Figure 6B) (6,10,13). Further, analysis of coupling constants for the angles $\phi_{12}$ and $\phi_34$ indicated higher flexibility since they could be better fit to a conformational distribution rather than to a single conformation as mentioned above. However, this is not reflected by the RMSD of the calculated structure bundle which shows higher precision for the loop region then for the stem. A slightly higher degree of conformational diversity of the residue U7 and the loop is recovered after water refinement. The higher precision of the loop region can be regarded as a direct result of the larger number of NOE distance restraints due to its superior spectral dispersion. The presented structure therefore will underestimate the conformational dynamics of the U7 residue. On the other hand, all data are consistent with an averaged structure and confirm a uniform distribution of conformers around the mean structure. This statement holds true for the two timescales sampled by NOE data and by $J$- and RDC data.

**Comparison of available cUUCGg–tetraloop structures**

We further analysed other available structures and selected four X-ray and five NMR solution structures containing the cUUCGg–tetraloop (Figure 7A and B) (17,20,56–64). The comparison of the new NMR solution structure of the cUUCGg–tetraloop with the X-ray crystal structure (20) confirms close similarity (Figure 7C, Figure S2, Supplementary Data). All analysed structures are in good overall agreement showing the characteristic $\text{trans}$-wobble U-G base pair and the flipped out L2 nucleobase. Closer inspection reveals more subtle differences between the available structures but also between the two methods (X-ray and NMR). At first sight, differences are apparent, especially in the backbone. While the X-ray structures differ in backbone conformation, they agree well in local position and orientation of respective bases. The NMR solution structures show more variations involving both backbone conformation and position which may be

---

**Figure 5.** Structural analysis. (A) Structural statistics from the final structure calculated with NOE distance restraints, dihedral angles and RDCs. (B) Correlation of experimental dihedral angles derived from NMR data and dihedral angles in the final structure bundle averaged over 20 structures. Error bars indicate the experimental precision of the input data. (C) Correlation of experimental dihedral angles derived from NMR data and dihedral angles in the final structure bundle averaged over 20 structures and plotted to the sequence. Error bars indicate the angle deviation within the calculated structure bundle. Comparison of dihedral angles from the new solution structure and X-ray data for the cUUCGg tetraloop see Supplementary data (Figure S2).
ascribed to real conformational diversity found for this loop.

**Proton exchange with water**

The NOESY spectra show four cross peaks between RNA and water and two of them at 5.04 ppm can be assigned to the imino protons of the terminal G1 and loop residue U6 which are not completely protected from water exchange. Cross peaks that arise at 4.77 ppm can be assigned to the nucleobase proton H8 of G1 (8.17 ppm) and G2 (7.70 ppm) but no other sugar protons in near distance. C8H8 protons of purines can exchange with water (65) and indeed a spectrum that we recorded of an aged 14-mer sample in D2O solution lacks the H8 protons of G1 and G9, showing that those base protons are more accessible to exchange with water than the ones in the other purine residues (G2, A4, G10 and G12). Analysis of the 20 structures after water refinement reveals a high amount of bound water molecules nearby the protons H8 of G1 that are being coordinated by N7, O1P and O5' atoms of G1 and G2 and most probably accelerates the proton exchange (Figure 6A). For the residue G9, the number of bound molecules is the same as for other purines (A4, G10 and G12). A possible explanation for exchange of H8 at G9 is the presence of a potential hydrogen bond between U7 (2'OH) and G9 (N7) as we see in about one-third of calculated structures.

**CONCLUSION**

A high-resolution solution structure of the stable 14-mer cUUCGg–tetraloop model system RNA has been derived based on a wealth of NMR data such as NOEs, J-coupling constants, cross-correlated relaxation rates and RDCs. Conformation of the cUUCGg–tetraloop is in agreement with earlier published structures and reveals hydrogen bonding and base-stacking interactions as expected from NMR and X-ray data. We have demonstrated that each torsion angle in RNA can be determined by NMR with high accuracy and precision, which is proved by the comparison of NMR data and X-Ray data for the cUUCGg-loop region. Furthermore, we improved the force-field parameters for the water refinement stage in ARIA/CNS by including OPLS non-bonded parameters and charges (53). From the analysis of NOE contacts and the structure calculation, including 2'OH NOE distance restraints it can be concluded that the ribose moiety mainly adopts an O3'-orientation domain. We have deposited all available NMR data in the BMRB database; such complete NMR restraints will allow further improvements in force...
fields and parametrizations to be carried. Therefore, our report of a high-precision model RNA NMR structure will likely provide valuable insight for further NMR investigations and development on RNA structure and dynamics.
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