Polymorphisms in the \textit{HOXD4} gene are not associated with peak bone mineral density in Chinese nuclear families
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Aim: To determine the associations between \textit{HOXD4} gene polymorphisms with peak bone mineral density (BMD) through measuring three tagging single nucleotide polymorphisms (tagSNPs), including rs1867863, rs13418078, and rs4972504, in \textit{HOXD4}.

Methods: Four hundred Chinese nuclear families with male offspring (1215 subjects) and 401 Chinese nuclear families with female offspring (1260 subjects) were recruited. BMD of the lumbar spine 1-4 (L1-4) and left proximal femur including total hip and femoral neck were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. The quantitative transmission disequilibrium test (QTDT) was performed to investigate the association among the tagging SNPs, haplotypes and peak BMD.

Results: Only the CC genotype was identified in rs13418078 in the Chinese population, unlike other populations. We failed to find significant within-family association among these SNPs, haplotypes and peak BMD at any bone site in either male- or female-offspring nuclear families.

Conclusion: The results suggest that genetic polymorphisms in \textit{HOXD4} may not be a major contributor to the observed variability in peak BMD in the lumbar spine and the hip in Chinese men and women.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis is characterized by a reduced strength of bone structure and an increased risk of fracture. The etiology of osteoporosis is determined by both genetics and environment. A number of prospective studies have demonstrated that bone mineral density (BMD) is one of the best predictors of a future fracture[1]. Although several environmental factors influence BMD, genetic factors account for 60%–80% of BMD variability[2, 3].

Genome-wide association studies have been facilitated by the HapMap project, and several whole genome linkage scans have been conducted on BMD[4, 5]. Chromosomal locus 2q32 showed a suggestive linkage with both hip and wrist BMD phenotypes[6]. Our previous study identified genetic polymorphisms in myostatin which is located in this region and likely promotes the attainment of peak BMD in Chinese women[7]. The homeobox D (\textit{HOXD}) gene family is also located within this region[8]. Prior to bone formation, these \textit{HOXD} genes play a central role in regulating cartilage differentiation and osteoblast gene expression[9]. Li \textit{et al}[10] hypothesized that \textit{HOXD} proteins play an important role in the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) pathway. \textit{HOXC8}, a member of the \textit{HOX} family, acts as a repressor of the BMP pathway. Transgenic mice that overexpressed the Smad1 interaction domain of \textit{HOXC8} had higher bone density compared with their littermates[11]. Overexpression of \textit{HOXD4} results in severe cartilage defects similar to the phenotype elicited by overexpression of \textit{HOXC8}, indicating comparable effects of \textit{HOXD4} and \textit{HOXC8} on chondrocyte differentiation[12]. Thus, we selected \textit{HOXD4} as a candidate gene that may be involved in osteoporosis. Oliver \textit{et al}[13] found by \textit{in situ} hybridization that \textit{HOXD4} was localized to 2q31–q32, with a peak number of gains at 2q32.3. Mavilio \textit{et al}[14] reported that the \textit{HOXD4} gene may exert a wide spectrum of control functions in a variety of organs during early mammalian development. The \textit{HOXD4} gene maps to human chromosome 2 in the q31.1 region, and its total length is 1.839 kb[15]. Until now, no study has reported an association between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the \textit{HOXD4} gene...
and BMD in humans. The majority of the association studies between genotypes and BMD have been performed in women[7, 16–20]. Therefore, we recruited two cohorts of nuclear families where one cohort contained only male offspring and the other cohort contained only female offspring. We investigated the association among SNPs, haplotypes in the HOXD4 gene and peak bone mass in both men and women to avoid any confounding effect of gender on the results. Shanghai is a modern city inhabited by tens of millions of people from many Chinese ethnic groups; therefore, sample heterogeneity may be a problem[16]. However, the family-based association method quantitative transmission disequilibrium test (QTDT) is robust with regard to population stratification[21]. Therefore, we used nuclear families as our study population and performed QTDT to determine if tagging SNPs (rs1867863, rs13418078 and rs4972504) in the HOXD4 gene were associated with peak BMD variation in the spine and the hip in this relatively large sample of Chinese nuclear families.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Between 2004 and 2007, we recruited 1296 individuals between 18 and 44 years of age from 427 male-offspring Chinese nuclear families composed of both parents and at least one healthy male child. Of the total group, 15 individual genotypes could not be amplified and discriminated due to poor quality DNA, and 12 sons deviated from Mendelian inheritance. Our study included only 400 male-offspring nuclear families with a total of 1215 individuals for subsequent analysis. The average family size was 3.04; 385 families had 1 child and 15 families had 2 children. Every study subject completed a questionnaire concerning age, sex, medical history and family history and all male offspring were healthy. The following criteria were used to exclude individuals of male-offspring families from the study: (1) serious residuals from cerebral vascular disease; (2) diabetes mellitus; (3) chronic renal disease; (4) serious chronic liver disease or alcoholism; (5) significant chronic lung disease; (6) corticosteroid therapy at pharmacologic levels for >3 months; (7) treatment with anticonvulsant therapy for >6 months; (8) evidence of other metabolic or inherited bone disease such as hyperparathyroidism or hypoparathyroidism, Paget’s disease of the bone, osteomalacia and osteogenesis imperfecta; (9) rheumatoid arthritis or collagen disease; (10) recent major gastrointestinal disease (within the past year) such as peptic ulcer, malabsorption, chronic ulcerative colitis, regional enteritis, or any significant chronic diarrhea state; (11) significant disease of any endocrine organ that would affect bone mass; (12) hyperthyroidism; and (13) any neurological or musculoskeletal condition that would be a nongenetic cause of low bone mass.

We also recruited 1323 individuals from 422 female-offspring Chinese nuclear families composed of both parents and at least one healthy female child aged between 19 and 44 years from 2000 to 2002. We excluded 15 individuals whose DNA could not be amplified to discriminate genotype due to its poor quality, and 6 daughters who deviated from Mendelian inheritance. As previously reported, we ultimately acquired 401 integrated female-offspring nuclear families comprising 1260 individuals[7, 16–18]. The average family size was 3.14; 348 families had one child, 50 families had two children, 2 families had three children, and 1 family had four children. Exclusion criteria were adopted as previously reported[7, 16–18].

All study subjects belonged to the Chinese Han ethnic group. Subjects were from a local Shanghai population living near the middle of the eastern coast of China. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People’s Hospital. All subjects involved in the study signed informed consent documents before joining the project.

BMD measurements

BMD (g/cm²) of the lumbar spine 1-4 (L1-4) and left proximal femur including total hip and femoral neck were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). All subjects from the male-offspring nuclear families were measured with Lunar Prodigy equipment (GE Lunar Corp, Madison, WI, USA). The Lunar device was calibrated daily, and the coefficient of variability (CV) values of the DXA measurements in L1-4, the total hip and the femoral neck were 1.39%, 0.70%, and 2.22%, respectively[21]. All subjects from the female-offspring nuclear families were measured using Hologic QDR 2000 equipment (Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA). The machine was calibrated daily. CV values of the DXA measurements at L1-4, total hip and femoral neck were 0.9%, 0.8%, and 1.93%, respectively[16, 17]. The two types of nuclear families had BMD detected by two categories of DXA because we recruited the female- and male-offspring nuclear families in different years for different projects. Members of the same nuclear family were measured on the same machine; therefore, there was no effect due to different DXA measurement in our association analysis.

Tagging SNP selection and genotyping

A total of 9 NCBI tagging SNPs are present in HOXD4 (Gene ID: 3233), and an additional 16 tagging SNPs were found in the Applied Biosystems data source (http://www.geneCards.org/cgi-bin/cardisp.pl?gene=HOXD4). Three of these tagging SNPs map to the 5’- or 3’-UTR, and the majority are proximal to the gene. Because the HOXD4 gene is small, only three tagging SNPs (rs1867863, rs13418078 and rs4972504) had minor allele frequencies (MAF) >10% in the Japanese population, as demonstrated at the International HapMap Project Site (http://www.hapmap.org/cgi-perl/gbrowse/hapmap_B36/). In addition, according to the NCBI website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ref.cgi?rs=13418078), “rs13418078” has MAF <10% (for T allele) in the European population.

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples using routine methods. Amplification and allelic discrimination were performed in an Mx3000P Real-Time PCR System (STRATAGENE, CA). One allelic probe was labeled with FAM dye and the other probe with HEX dye. Then, 20 ng of
genomic DNA was amplified on a 96-well plate in the presence of 1X TaqMan probe assay and 1X TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The PCR program included an initial cycle at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min.

Statistical analysis
We used Haploview version 3.2[23] to calculate Lewontin’s D’ and the linkage disequilibrium (LD) coefficient $r^2$ values between all pairs of biallelic loci. Haplotypes of each individual were estimated by PHASE software (ver 2.0) using the algorithm developed by Stephens et al[24]. Genotype frequencies and haplotypes were calculated in comparison to the unrelated parents of nuclear families. The genotyping quality of every SNP was checked for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium by a χ² goodness-of-fit statistic. The heritability estimates were calculated using the linear regression of mean parental value and offspring value for every phenotype using SPSS version 11.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) (this method was described at www.heritability.com). The power estimation was calculated by Piface software (version 1.65) (http://www.stat.uiowa.edu/~rlenth/Power/) for our current sample size, according to the MAF of every genotype and the variation of BMD genotypes. The QTDT program (available at http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/QTDT/) was used to test BMD genotypes. The QTDT program (available at http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/QTDT/) was used to test BMD genotypes. The QTDT program (available at http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/QTDT/) was used to test BMD genotypes. The QTDT program (available at http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/QTDT/) was used to test BMD genotypes.

Results

Allele frequencies and haplotype structure
Genotype data from unrelated parents of each nuclear family were used to calculate allele frequencies. We used 1602 unrelated parents of both male- and female-offspring nuclear families to calculate the MAF of the 3 tagging SNPs in our study. The MAFs of rs1867863 and rs4972504 were 0.366 and 0.280, respectively. Also, we found only the CC genotype in rs13418078 in our study (Table 1); therefore, we excluded rs13418078 from subsequent statistical analyses. The genotype frequencies of the remaining two SNPs did not deviate from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P>0.05$). The frequencies of each SNP are shown in Table 2. On the basis of these polymorphisms, we inferred that four different haplotypes were present in our study population (Table 2). The most common haplotype, AC, had frequencies of 64.0% and 61.6% in male- and female-offspring nuclear families, respectively. The two most common haplotypes (AC and CT) accounted for 91.1% and 89.2% of the total sample in male- and female-offspring nuclear families, respectively. According to these genotype frequencies for unrelated parents, rs1867863 and rs4972504 were in strong LD in both male- ($D^*=0.98, r^2=0.65$) and female- ($D^*=0.94, r^2=0.59$) offspring nuclear families.

Association between peak BMD and SNPs in male-offspring nuclear families
The basic characteristics of the sons are summarized in Table 3. The average age of the men was 30.4±6.1 years, which corresponds to the age when peak BMD is achieved in Chinese men[27]. The heritability of lumbar spine, femoral neck and total hip BMD was 56%, 70%, and 69%, respectively. Table 4 presents a summary of the results of the QTDT analyses of male-offspring nuclear families. There were 280 and 252 informative nuclear families for the TDT analysis at rs1867863 and rs4972504, respectively. The within-family association between rs1867863 and femoral neck BMD ($P=0.044$) and the within-family association between rs4972504 and femoral neck and total hip BMD ($P=0.047$ and $P=0.036$, respectively) were significant at the 0.05 level but not significant at the

---

Table 1. HOXD4 SNPs analyzed in this study.

| Tagging SNPs in dbSNP | Position | 5’ near sequence 20 bases | SNP | 3’ near sequence 20 bases | MAF in Chinese population (in this study) |
|---------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| rs1867863           | 5’ near gene | TGCCGGGCCTGAACTGCTTCC    | A/C | ACCGGTCGACGGCAGCACAC    | 0.366                                    |
| rs13418078          | 5’ near gene | GTGACCCTTGTAGGACAA       | C/T | GGCTTTGTTGCGGAGAATC     | 0                                        |
| rs4972504           | 3’ near gene | GCAGTGTTTACAGGAATTA      | C/T | GTGAGGGGAGGGCTGTGC      | 0.280                                    |
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strictest level (0.0056). We observed an association between haplotypes and peak BMD using QTDT (Table 5). Also, 291, 4, 116, and 260 informative families were present for the TDT analysis at haplotype 1 (AC), 2 (AT), 3 (CC), and 4 (CT), respectively. The frequency of haplotype 2 (AT) was small so it was not tested in population stratification and within-family association. No significant evidence was found among any haplotypes and BMD at any bone site at the strictest level (0.0042). Therefore, no population stratification was found for single SNPs or haplotypes in male-offspring nuclear families, and no single SNP or haplotypes showed significant evidence of association (including within-family association and total association) with peak BMD in the lumbar spine or hip. With regard to multiple-parameter tests, we performed 1000 permutation tests to improve fidelity. Subsequent permutations were in agreement with these results.

Association between peak BMD and SNPs in female-offspring nuclear families

The basic characteristics of the daughters are summarized in Table 6. The average age of the daughters analyzed in the study was 31.4±5.8 years. The heritability of spine, femoral neck and total hip BMD varied from 60% to 80%. Table 4 presents a summary of the results of the QTDT analyses of female-offspring nuclear families. There were 311 and 280 informative nuclear families for the TDT analysis at rs1867863 and rs4972504, respectively. No population stratification was identified at any of the skeletal sites investigated in this study population. We failed to find a significant total and within-family association between these 2 SNPs and peak BMD at any bone site. We observed the association between haplotypes and peak BMD using QTDT (Table 5). There were 314, 16, 145, and 275 informative families for the TDT analysis at haplotype 1 (AC), 2 (AT), 3 (CC), and 4 (CT), respectively. The frequency of haplotype 2 (AT) was small so it was not tested in population stratification and within-family association. No significant evidence was found among any haplotypes and BMD at any bone site (Table 5).

Using linkage tests alone and in combination with models of association, we did not observe significant results for a linkage

---

**Table 2.** Frequencies of HOXD4 polymorphisms and of HOXD4 haplotypes in a Chinese population (unrelated parents of two nuclear families). Number of people is shown in parentheses.

| SNP in dbSNP | Male-offspring nuclear families | Female-offspring nuclear families | Total in dbSNP |
|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
|              | n=800                           | n=802                           | n=1602        |
| rs1867863    |                                 |                                 |               |
| AA           | 0.416 (333)                     | 0.408 (327)                     | 0.412 (660)   |
| AC           | 0.452 (362)                     | 0.436 (350)                     | 0.444 (712)   |
| CC           | 0.132 (105)                     | 0.156 (125)                     | 0.144 (230)   |
| rs4972504    |                                 |                                 |               |
| CC           | 0.521 (417)                     | 0.522 (419)                     | 0.522 (836)   |
| CT           | 0.410 (328)                     | 0.382 (306)                     | 0.396 (634)   |
| TT           | 0.069 (55)                      | 0.096 (77)                      | 0.082 (132)   |

Haplotype allele

| HOXD4 SNP | Male-offspring nuclear families | Female-offspring nuclear families | Total in dbSNP |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| rs1867863 |                                 |                                 |               |
| AC        | 0.6395 (1023)                   | 0.6155 (989)                    | 0.6280 (2012) |
| AT        | 0.0027 (4)                      | 0.0104 (15)                     | 0.0059 (19)   |
| CC        | 0.0865 (138)                    | 0.0977 (155)                    | 0.0914 (293)  |
| CT        | 0.2713 (435)                    | 0.2763 (445)                    | 0.2747 (880)  |
| rs4972504 |                                 |                                 |               |
| CC        | 0.521 (417)                     | 0.522 (419)                     | 0.522 (836)   |
| CT        | 0.410 (328)                     | 0.382 (306)                     | 0.396 (634)   |
| TT        | 0.069 (55)                      | 0.096 (77)                      | 0.082 (132)   |

Haplotype allele

| HOXD4 SNP | Male-offspring nuclear families | Female-offspring nuclear families | Total in dbSNP |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| rs1867863 |                                 |                                 |               |
| AC        | 0.6395 (1023)                   | 0.6155 (989)                    | 0.6280 (2012) |
| AT        | 0.0027 (4)                      | 0.0104 (15)                     | 0.0059 (19)   |
| CC        | 0.0865 (138)                    | 0.0977 (155)                    | 0.0914 (293)  |
| CT        | 0.2713 (435)                    | 0.2763 (445)                    | 0.2747 (880)  |
| rs4972504 |                                 |                                 |               |
| CC        | 0.521 (417)                     | 0.522 (419)                     | 0.522 (836)   |
| CT        | 0.410 (328)                     | 0.382 (306)                     | 0.396 (634)   |
| TT        | 0.069 (55)                      | 0.096 (77)                      | 0.082 (132)   |

---

**Table 3.** Characteristics of the 415 male offspring classified according to HOXD4 genotype. Values are means±SD.

| SNP          | AA | AC | CC | CC | CT | TT | Total (range) |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------|
|             | n  |    |    |    |    |    |               |
| n            | 180| 186| 49 | 228| 160| 27 | 415           |
| Age (years)  |    | 30.8±6.3 | 30.2±6.0 | 29.3±5.4 | 30.9±6.1 | 29.9±6.1 | 28.9±4.9 | 30.4±6.1 (18.3–44.4) |
| Height (cm)  |    | 172.9±6.2 | 172.7±6.0 | 173.7±4.6 | 173.0±5.9 | 172.8±6.1 | 172.8±4.4 | 172.9±5.9 (159.0–190.0) |
| Weight (kg)  |    | 69.7±10.1 | 71.0±11.1 | 73.0±11.7 | 69.8±9.9 | 71.4±11.9 | 74.1±10.5 | 70.7±10.8 (50.0–110.0) |
| Lumbar spine BMD (g/cm²) | 1.139±0.137 | 1.139±0.139 | 1.134±0.132 | 1.143±0.137 | 1.128±0.135 | 1.157±0.150 | 1.138±0.137 (0.855–1.518) |
| Femoral neck BMD (g/cm²) | 1.001±0.143 | 0.996±0.141 | 0.992±0.149 | 0.997±0.141 | 0.996±0.147 | 1.014±0.138 | 0.998±0.143 (0.637–1.473) |
| Total hip BMD (g/cm²) | 1.018±0.139 | 1.010±0.134 | 1.019±0.145 | 1.015±0.138 | 1.012±0.135 | 1.030±0.146 | 1.015±0.137 (0.701–1.404) |
between each SNP or haplotype and BMD in either male- or female-offspring nuclear families (data not shown). Finally, based on our power calculation, both male- and female-offspring nuclear families had more than 80% power to detect the \textit{HOXD4} gene as a QTL, which can explain approximately 10% of bone phenotype variation.

### Discussion

In this study, we measured 3 tagging SNPs in the \textit{HOXD4} gene and found that the distribution frequencies of these SNPs in the Chinese Han population differed from the distributions in other populations. We found the MAF of rs1867863 and rs4972504 (0.366 and 0.280) in our study were between the European population (0.353 and 0.308) and the Japanese population (0.375 and 0.205) according to the NCBI website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ref.cgi?rs=1867863; http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-perl/snp_details_B36?name=rs4972504&source=hapmap24_B36). For rs13418078, we found only the CC genotype and not the TT or CT genotype, though rs13418078 had a MAF of >10% in Japanese subjects (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ref.cgi?rs=13418078). We used a relatively large sample composed of 1602 unrelated Han Chinese to calculate the allele frequencies; therefore, our results are credible. According to these genotypes frequencies, rs1867863 and rs4972504 were in strong LD in our population.

Peak bone mass is a major determinant of the risk of osteoporosis, and clear evidence demonstrates that peak bone mass has strong genetic determination with heritability of more than 50%[28]. The heritability of lumbar spine and hip BMD in our population was also above 50%. This study tested the linkage and association of the \textit{HOXD4} gene and BMD in two cohorts of Chinese nuclear families. We failed to find a significant association between the 2 SNPs or haplotypes of the

### Table 4. \textit{P} values of SNPs for male- and female-offspring nuclear families population stratification, total association, and within-family association using QTDT. BMD values are adjusted for age, height and weight; the cutoff of significance was set at \(P=0.0042\).

|                     | Male-offspring families | Female-offspring families |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|                     | rs1867863  | rs4972504  | rs1867863  | rs4972504  |
| Tests of population stratification | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.316    | 0.276    | 0.962    | 0.397    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.025    | 0.023    | 0.977    | 0.331    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.047    | 0.024    | 0.912    | 0.381    |
| Tests of total association | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.635    | 0.365    | 0.787    | 0.566    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.948    | 0.970    | 0.968    | 0.517    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.687    | 0.780    | 0.833    | 0.379    |
| Tests of within-family association | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.268    | 0.164    | 0.927    | 0.308    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.044    | 0.047    | 0.964    | 0.244    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.053    | 0.036    | 0.989    | 0.229    |
| \(P\) 1000 permutation of within-family association | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.237    | 0.097    | 0.903    | 0.190    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.039    | 0.036    | 0.951    | 0.195    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.049    | 0.031    | 0.991    | 0.143    |

### Table 5. \textit{P} values of haplotypes for male- and female-offspring nuclear families population stratification, total association, and within-family association using QTDT. BMD values are adjusted for age, height and weight; the cutoff of significance was set at \(P=0.0042\).

| Haplotype allele | Male-offspring families | Female-offspring families |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|                  | AC         | AT         | CC         | CT         | AC         | AT         | CC         | CT         |
| Tests of population stratification | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.255    | 0.911    | 0.315    | 0.991    | 0.181    | 0.398    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.021    | 0.567    | 0.045    | 0.906    | 0.194    | 0.404    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.045    | 0.726    | 0.062    | 0.765    | 0.370    | 0.487    |
| Tests of total association | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.679    | 0.250    | 0.611    | 0.361    | 0.840    | 0.017    | 0.754    | 0.954    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.927    | 0.038    | 0.934    | 0.864    | 0.739    | 0.139    | 0.672    | 0.734    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.869    | 0.022    | 0.923    | 0.623    | 0.988    | 0.185    | 0.190    | 0.568    |
| Tests of within-family association | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.231    | 0.898    | 0.185    | 0.925    | 0.187    | 0.480    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.046    | 0.628    | 0.066    | 0.789    | 0.180    | 0.372    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.070    | 0.782    | 0.063    | 0.804    | 0.150    | 0.374    |
| \(P\) 1000 permutation of within-family association | Lumbar spine BMD | 0.195    | 0.885    | 0.133    | 0.911    | 0.077    | 0.379    |
|                      | Femoral neck BMD   | 0.037    | 0.633    | 0.053    | 0.764    | 0.212    | 0.349    |
|                      | Total hip BMD      | 0.066    | 0.774    | 0.057    | 0.778    | 0.132    | 0.304    |
**Table 6.** Characteristics of the 458 female offspring classified according to HOXD4 genotype. Values are means±SD.

| Characteristic | AA (n=183) | AC (n=209) | CC (n=66) | Total (range) |
|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| n             | 183        | 209        | 66        | 458          |
| Age (years)   | 32.0±5.7   | 31.0±5.9   | 30.8±5.6  | 31.4±5.8 (19.3–44.4) |
| Height (cm)   | 160.1±4.6  | 159.7±5.7  | 159.8±5.1 | 159.8±5.2 (142.0–172.5) |
| Weight (kg)   | 55.5±8.0   | 54.4±8.0   | 55.0±8.0  | 55.1±8.0 (38.0–87) |
| Lumbar spine BMD (g/cm²) | 0.970±0.102 | 0.950±0.099 | 0.966±0.113 | 0.963±0.102 (0.705–1.288) |
| Femoral neck BMD (g/cm²) | 0.774±0.111 | 0.775±0.107 | 0.786±0.010 | 0.772±0.120 (0.705–1.288) |
| Total hip BMD (g/cm²) | 0.855±0.114 | 0.851±0.106 | 0.868±0.101 | 0.851±0.111 (0.855±0.108) |

**HOXD** gene and peak BMD at any bone site in either male- or female-offspring families. Both male- and female-offspring nuclear families offered more than 80% power in testing a candidate gene as a QTL, which explains the approximately 10% of BMD variation. In our previous study, we showed that genetic polymorphisms in myostatin likely affect peak BMD variation in female-offspring nuclear families[11]. Therefore, the negative results of this study are most likely not caused by sample selection. Population stratification may lead to false-negative or false-positive results in regular population analyses[29]; however, because the within-family association in QTDT is implemented through a transmission disequilibrium test, it is not influenced by population stratification[30]. Consequently, the results of the within-family association test through QTDT are robust regarding population stratification and sample heterogeneity[16]. Moreover, we performed 1000 permutations to eliminate false-positive results. Specifically, 280 male-offspring nuclear families and 311 female-offspring nuclear families with at least one heterozygous parent were used for the TDT analysis at rs1867863, and these numbers were 252 male-offspring nuclear families and 280 female-offspring nuclear families for rs4972504. With greater heterozygosity, more information can be derived from families in the QTDT analysis. Thus, the possibility of false-negative findings in our study was minimized, and the results of the QTDT in our study are valid and persuasive.

HOX proteins play an important role in the BMP pathway[10]. The BMPs are a large family of secreted ligands within the TGFβ superfamily that play essential roles in embryonic development[32]. BMP-2 can promote osteogenic differentiation of adult mesenchymal stem cells and it was able to reverse the osteogenic phenotype in the bones of mice[33]. In response to BMP, the Smad1/4 complex interacts with the DNA-binding domain of HOXC8 and dislodges it from the osteopontin promoter element, which initiates gene transcription and induces osteoblast differentiation[34]. Similar interactions between Smads and most HOXD proteins suggest potential roles of HOXD as transcription factors downstream of BMP[35]. Therefore, we assumed that HOXD4 may affect the BMP pathway in a similar manner as HOXC8. However, our study failed to show that genetic polymorphisms in HOXD4 are a major contributor to the variation of peak BMD in either men or women. Furthermore, sons and daughters in our study represented only subjects who had reached peak BMD; therefore, further studies will be necessary to determine if HOXD4 plays a role in bone loss. The biological roles of these polymorphisms should be revealed by future functional studies.

Our study has several strengths. First, our subjects included two cohorts of nuclear families illustrating the peak BMD of both men and women, which made our study more credible. Second, the sample size was relatively large. Third, we investigated all three tagging SNPs of the HOXD4 gene. The study has limitations as well. As our previous studies mentioned[17, 16, 17], both nuclear families contained few sibling pairs, and we did not detect any linkage for these SNPs and haplotypes in HOXD4 with BMD in the hip.

In conclusion, this analysis is to investigate the relationship between tagging SNPs and haplotypes in HOXD4 and peak BMD in humans. We failed to find significant within-family association among these SNPs, haplotypes and peak BMD at any bone site in either male- or female-offspring nuclear families. These findings suggest the genetic polymorphisms in HOXD4 may not be a major contributor to the observed variability in peak BMD in either the lumbar spine or the hip in Chinese men and women. Confirmation of our results in other populations is required, and further functional research is needed to investigate the mechanism of the effect of HOXD4 on bone growth.
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