Sulfur dioxide in the tropical marine boundary layer: dry deposition and heterogeneous oxidation observed during the Pacific Atmospheric Sulfur Experiment
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Abstract Research flights with the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) C-130 airborne laboratory were conducted over the equatorial ocean during the Pacific Atmospheric Sulfur Experiment (PASE). The focused, repetitive flight plans provided a unique opportunity to explore the principal pathways of sulfur processing in remote marine environments in close detail. Fast airborne measurements of SO2 using the Drexel University APIMS (Atmospheric Pressure Ionization Mass Spectrometer) instrument further provided unprecedented insight into the complete budget of this important sulfur gas. In general, turbulent mixing in the marine boundary layer (MBL) continuously depletes SO2 due to the shallow convection of the tropical trade wind regime by venting the gas into the buffer layer (BuL) above. However, on nearly one-third of the flights a net import of SO2 into the MBL from the BuL was observed. Concurrent measurements of the DMS budget allowed for a heterogeneous S(IV) oxidation rate to be inferred from the SO2 budget residual. The average heterogeneous loss rate was found to be 0.05 h⁻¹, which taken in conjunction with the observed aerosol surface area distributions and O3 levels indicates that the supermicron aerosols maintain a near neutral pH. The average dry deposition velocity of SO2 was found to be 0.4 cm s⁻¹, about 30% lower than predicted by standard parameterizations. The yield of SO2 from DMS oxidation was found to be near unity. The mission averages indicate that approximately 57% of the SO2 in the MBL is lost to aerosols, 27% is subject to dry deposition, 7% is mixed into the BuL, and 10% is oxidized by OH.
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1 Introduction

If the principal criterion for judging the utility of a scientific hypothesis were quantity and vigor of the research that it instigates, then the “CLAW” hypothesis (Charlson et al. 1987) has certainly been one of the most successful in the recent decades of earth science. At the heart of the “CLAW” hypothesis is the idea that dimethylsulfide (DMS), produced by ocean surface ecosystem processes, can have a profound impact on the populations of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) over the world’s oceans, and thus may act as a strong lever on the planetary albedo via cloud microphysics thereby possibly regulating climate in a homeostatic manner. The details of just the atmospheric processing branch of this circuit have spurred considerable debate about, for example, the role of sea salt aerosols and marine organic compounds in cloud nucleation (O’Dowd et al. 1999; Leck and Bigg 2005; Clarke et al. 2006), the chemical yield of SO2 from DMS oxidation, and the loss of SO2 to the ocean surface or uptake onto supermicron marine aerosols.

Regardless of its exact role in climate regulation, since the discovery that DMS is the primary natural source of atmospheric sulfur (Lovelock et al. 1972; Maroulis and Bandy 1977; Andreae and Raemdonck 1983) great effort has been made to understand the chemical processing of this compound so ubiquitous in marine air. Yet even a clear correlation with the primary oxidation product, SO2, has been observed only intermittently. The early studies of Bandy et al. (1992), Huebert et al. (1993), and Yvon et al. (1996) in the marine boundary layer (MBL) found minimal relationship between the two species on diurnal time scales, leading to considerable confusion about the source of SO2 and non-sea salt (NSS) sulfate in remote maritime regions. Seasonal and day-to-day variations observed at Amsterdam Island in the Southern Indian Ocean did exhibit some positive correlations (Putaud et al. 1992) confirming the suspicion that SO2, with an expected lifetime of only a couple of days, should be linked to DMS far from anthropogenic, or volcanic, sources. But it was not until a field campaign deployed on Christmas Island in the Equatorial Pacific that a clear diurnal pattern was observed in both species, 180° out of phase (Bandy et al. 1996). Since then others have confirmed similar relationships (De Bruyn et al. 1998, 2002; Shon et al. 2001) but the SO2 yield remains uncertain. A recent survey of 20 modeling and experimental studies by Faloona (2009) illustrates the scientific ambiguity of this single product yield, which ranges from 0.3 to 0.98.

The Achilles heel of all investigations of the DMS-SO2 relationship to date has been the inability to measure all the important processes in the system simultaneously: most notably, the flux of DMS out of the ocean, turbulent exchange between the MBL and above, and the dry deposition of SO2 into the ocean separate from heterogeneous oxidation rates. The Pacific Atmospheric Sulfur Experiment (PASE) was planned to address these issues by bringing to bear the latest analytical instrumentation installed onboard the NCAR C-130 research aircraft to probe the relatively homogeneous MBL of the equatorial Pacific. The Atmospheric Pressure Ionization Mass Spectrometers (APIMS) developed by Drexel University are field proven to be fast and sensitive enough to measure turbulent fluxes of SO2 (Thornton et al. 2002) and DMS (Bandy et al. 2002; Faloona et al. 2005) by eddy covariance. With careful attention paid to the scalar budgets of both compounds during each flight, it was possible to account for all the dynamical terms (horizontal advection, vertical mixing, surface fluxes) and solve for the chemical terms.
2 Methods

2.1 Instrumentation

2.1.1 Atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry

APIMS measurements of DMS and SO$_2$ utilize soft chemical ionization at atmospheric pressures with hydronium ions (in positive mode) and CO$_3^-$ and its hydrates (in negative mode), respectively. Ultimately, the detected ions are DMSH$^+$ (m/e=63) and SO$_5^-$ (m/e=112). The air sample for each instrument is drawn by a Venturi pump through a Nafion® dryer and across a $^{63}$Ni radioactive source. In the SO$_2$ APIMS a small amount of ozone is added to ensure quantitative formation of the reagent ion, CO$_3^-$, from ambient CO$_2$. Subsequent reaction yields SO$_5^-$ (Eisele and Berresheim 1992; Möhler and Arnold 1992) which is then detected in a differentially pumped quadrupole mass spectrometer. The permeation dryer is required to maintain a nearly constant low humidity, keeping the formation of ion-hydrates in check. Each instrument’s sensitivity is continuously monitored by isotopic dilution ($^{34}$SO$_2$ and tri-deuterated DMS) delivering a true measure of mixing ratio that is sampled at 25 Hz. This measurement technique obviates the need for the Webb correction (Webb et al. 1980), and eliminates any spurious contribution from water vapor flux due to subtle fluctuations in air density caused by drying the sample stream with a permeable membrane (DeLacy and Bandy 2008). Instrumental details of the specific APIMS techniques are described in Bandy et al. (2002) and Blomquist et al. (2009) for DMS, and Thornton et al. (2002) for SO$_2$.

Most instrumental analysis involves the removal of a background of some kind, and the APIMS is no exception. The DMS zero is established by passing the sample stream through 150 cm$^3$ of gold-coated 3 mm Pyrex beads (Blomquist et al. 2009). The removal of SO$_2$ is considerably easier as it is simply passed through 7.6 m of 6.4 mm o.d. Cu tubing. The count rate averaged over each zeroing interval, usually 1–2 min each flight leg, for each analyte is subsequently removed from the rest of the measurement period. Typical background counts measured during PASE were similar in magnitude to the SO$_2$ signal (50 pptv~5,000 counts s$^{-1}$).

2.1.2 Aerosol surface area spectrum

In order to cover the entire size range from 0.01 to 10 μm, aerosol size spectra resulted from merging the size distributions from four different instruments. The fine aerosols, with particle diameters, $D_p$, between 0.01 and 0.20 μm were measured with a custom-made Radial Differential Mobility Analyzer (RDMA). Larger particles (0.1<$D_p<$10.0 μm) were sampled with a modified ‘Long’ DMA (LDMA, TSI Inc., 0.10<$D_p<$0.50 μm), a Laser Optical Particle Counter (OPC, PMS Inc., 0.12<$D_p<$8.0 μm) and a TSI model 3321 Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS, 0.78<$D_p<$10.0 μm). The APS size distributions were converted from aerodynamic to geometric diameters to provide a consistent merger. All instruments were operated inside the aircraft near ambient pressure, but at cabin temperatures. The size distributions were measured after mixing with desiccated air to achieve dryer conditions with sample relative humidities (RH) in the range of 10–40%. The changes of particle sizes as RH varies from 10 to 40% are small compared to our other size distribution measurement uncertainties, and are therefore neglected. Drying minimizes water uptake by the aerosol so that the distributions preferentially reflect the aerosol components over the water component (Howell et al. 2006). During horizontal legs the
RDMA and OPC operated with a thermal pre-conditioning unit that cycled the aerosol through 40°C, 150°C and 350°C to drive off the volatile and semi-volatile aerosol constituents, allowing inference of size-resolved aerosol chemistry (Clarke et al. 2004).

In order to correct the in-situ total aerosol surface area to the ambient RH outside the aircraft, we use a simplified algorithm of aerosol hygroscopic growth described in Kapustin et al. (2006) and Howell et al. (2006). First the aerosol size distributions are divided into accumulation and coarse modes by finding the minima in volume distributions between 0.4 and 1.0 μm. The accumulation mode is then split into volatile and refractory fractions by using the accumulation mode volume lost after heating to 350°C. The coarse mode is assumed to be pure sea-salt during PASE. The fine and accumulation modes were assumed to be a typical internal mixture of a small percentage of non-volatile components (estimated at 50% sea-salt with some non-volatile organic carbon, soot, etc.) and a dominant volatile component (estimated at 25% organic carbon and 75% sulfate salts). Hygroscopic growth of each mode was calculated based on the following assumptions: no RH growth for dust-like components, sea salt growth following Tang et al. (1997), and the volatile component growth according to Kapustin et al. (2006).

2.2 Theory of reactive scalar budgets in a turbulent fluid

Chemical mass-balance equations are better known in micrometeorology as scalar budgets and are founded upon the principles of mass and momentum conservation, and fluid incompressibility. Thus any scalar, like a chemical mixing ratio or potential temperature, in a turbulent fluid flow is customarily decomposed into a Reynolds mean and a stochastic, fluctuating component as is done for the overall velocity (vector) field. A generic scalar, \( c \), has a governing conservation equation representing the balance of its in-situ chemical sources, \( P \), first-order chemical losses, \( L \), and inexorable molecular diffusion characterized by a diffusion coefficient, \( D_c \) (i.e., Fick’s second law):

\[
\frac{dc}{dt} = P - L_c - D_c \nabla^2 c
\]  

(1)

Then considering the medium is a turbulent fluid, \( c \) is written as the sum of its mean and fluctuating components, \( c = C + c' \), the material derivative on thelhs of (1) is broken out into an Eulerian time derivative and advection terms, and the resultant equation is Reynolds averaged to derive a budget equation for the mean:

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( w' c' \right) - U \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + P - \left( L_{homo} + L_{hetero} \right) C
\]  

(2)

Where the brackets indicate Reynolds averaging and the \( x \)-dimension has been aligned with the mean horizontal wind, \( U \). Because of the large Péclet number (= \( U \Lambda / D_c \), where \( \Lambda \) is an outer dimension of the flow), the molecular diffusion term in (1) is considered as negligibly small relative to turbulent mixing within the volume of the MBL. Furthermore, it was determined from the flight data that the horizontal turbulent flux divergence terms were negligible relative to the vertical (first term on rhs) and subsequently ignored. In this airborne work we treat the mean scalar budget for the entire turbulent boundary layer and focus the analysis only on this layer, the MBL. By direct eddy covariance measurements of the fluxes at various altitudes, and horizontal monitoring of the mesoscale gradients, the first and second terms on the rhs of (2) are tightly constrained in the flight region. Analysis of the DMS budget during PASE using this methodology is fully described by Conley et al. (2009).
2.3 Chemistry of SO$_2$ in the MBL

We use the results of Conley et al. (2009) to provide an estimate of the in-situ SO$_2$ production from DMS oxidation, which depends on the uncertain yield parameter $\gamma$ mentioned previously:

$$P_{SO_2} = \gamma(k_{OH+DMS}[OH][DMS])$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Rigorously $\gamma$ is a bulk product yield of all DMS oxidation channels; however Conley et al. (2009) found that the budget of DMS was consistent with oxidation solely by the OH radical. The reaction rate coefficient is the sum of a H-abstraction and an OH addition pathway, but at the mean temperature of the MBL (297 K) during PASE, the former is three times faster (Atkinson et al. 2004) than the latter. Although chamber experiments show the net SO$_2$ yields to be in the range of 0.7–0.8 at room temperature (Barnes et al. 2006 and references therein), their applicability here is dubious because of the presence of NO$_x$ at levels well above those typical of remote marine environments. At least one study of the NO$_x$ dependence does indicate an increase of SO$_2$ yield at lower NO$_x$ levels (Patroescu et al. 1999). The review by Faloona (2009) reports a mean value from field and modeling estimates of this yield to be 0.7±0.2.

The in-situ chemical losses of SO$_2$ are believed to be gas phase reaction with OH and uptake followed by oxidation in aerosols and cloud droplets. The homogeneous loss is simply:

$$L_{homo} = k_{OH+SO_2}[OH]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Assuming a mean daytime OH concentration of $5 \times 10^6$ molecules/cm$^3$, which is consistent with the DMS budget (Conley et al. 2009) estimates and the concomitant aircraft observations (Mauldin, personal communication), and using the recommended rate of Atkinson et al. (2004), $k_{OH+SO_2} = 8 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, the first-order loss to homogeneous oxidation is 0.015 h$^{-1}$ during the daylit hours. The overall first-order heterogeneous oxidation rate is considered here to be the result of mass transfer to the particles, $k_{mt}$, in series with the oxidation by dissolved O$_3$ and H$_2$O$_2$ proceeding simultaneously as presented in the works of Suhre et al. (1995), Mari et al. (1999), and Song et al. (2003):

$$\frac{1}{L_{hetero}} = \frac{1}{k_{mt}} + \frac{1}{k_{O_3} + k_{H_2O_2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The aqueous oxidation rates of dissolved SO$_2$ by O$_3$ and H$_2$O$_2$ are evaluated in terms of the aerosol liquid water content, the Henry’s Law coefficients, equilibrium constants for SO$_2$ partitioning into S(IV) species, aqueous reaction rates, and aerosol pH as formulated by Koch et al. (1999) and Mari et al. (1999). The O$_3$ oxidation rate is particularly sensitive to pH, which is notoriously difficult to measure in the atmosphere (Keene and Savoie 1998; Faloona 2009). According to Faloona (2009) the overall heterogeneous reaction by these oxidants varies over three orders of magnitude for an aerosol pH change from 5.5 to 7. This extreme sensitivity is exploited here by solving for the SO$_2$ chemical loss from the budget equation (Eq. 2) and finding the required matching pH. The mass-transfer rate is integrated across the observed aerosol size spectrum using the method presented by Schwartz (1986) as laid out in Faloona (2009). Some models of gas to particle mass transfer use the empirical formulations of Fuchs and Sutugin (1970), however, Sander (1999) showed that the difference from the Schwartz (1986) formulation is merely a few percent averaged over an entire size spectrum.
2.4 Measurement of SO$_2$ fluxes

To date direct measurements of SO$_2$ fluxes by eddy covariance have been attempted only infrequently and are restricted to cases of very large concentrations over vegetated surfaces (Matt et al. 1987; Padro et al. 1993; Meyers et al. 1998). These measurements, upon which all extant dry deposition parameterizations are based (Wesely and Hicks 2000), were made using a relatively fast flame photometric detection instrument responsive to most sulfur gases. Aside from their lack of selectivity these instruments tended to be plagued by very high noise levels and a water dependent sensitivity, and it is unclear to what extent these limitations influenced the model parameterizations. Advancements made in the APIMS technique during the last decade have at last made possible the measurement of SO$_2$ fluxes by aircraft (Thornton et al. 2002) even at low ambient levels without an interference from water vapor fluxes.

The covariance of the vertical wind with the SO$_2$ signal was calculated over 200 s intervals after removing the mean and linear trend. Most constant altitude legs flown during PASE were 30 min in duration, permitting 9 independent flux measurements. The flight planning for PASE was originally based on Lagrangian circle patterns that drift with the mean wind such as had been implemented during the PEM-Tropics A (Davis et al. 1999) and DYCOMS-II (Stevens et al. 2003) campaigns. However, the high-rate SO$_2$ observations made it immediately clear that even at different altitudes aircraft exhaust was rapidly mixed vertically throughout the MBL compromising our ability to perform a careful S budget in a fixed airmass. In response to this complexity, an alternate chevron pattern was developed. Transition turns between legs were made into the wind such that each leg tracked just slightly upwind of its immediate predecessor. In this way 30 min legs were flown consisting of two ∼90 km arms subtending 60° approximately bisected by the mean wind direction.

The bandwidth from 0.005 to 25 Hz was determined after scrutinizing all the full leg cospectra and comparing the covariances calculated over different intervals to ensure the inclusion of all relevant scales in the flux estimates. This was done for most of the observed high-rate scalars including potential temperature, water vapor, DMS (Conley et al. 2009), and SO$_2$. Decreasing the bandwidth systematically reduced the flux magnitudes, and increasing it only introduced more scatter due to mesoscale “noise” that appeared to be random. The 200 s averaging width represents 22 km of straight flight track, which is larger than expected a priori. The necessity of such a long filter suggests that organized convection plays a significant role in conducting scalar fluxes in these tropical boundary layers. The presence of roll structures in the study region was identified by cloud streets with 5–15 km spacing noticeable on most visible satellite images of the region during the entire campaign (Fig. 2). Roll structures in the MBL typically align ∼10° with respect to the mean wind (Atkinson and Zhang 1996) and the aircraft trajectories would intersect them only at 10–45 km intervals.

One concern in making eddy covariance measurements is the possibility of an ambient trace gas, such as the often vexing water vapor, affecting the instrumental sensitivity or background. If the influence is large enough then ambient fluctuations in the interfering compound, due to its own turbulent flux, can be superposed on that of the target analyte. In order to investigate this potential problem, the SO$_2$ instrument was operated in blanking mode for an entire flight leg during RF06. The background signal was processed in an identical manner as the SO$_2$ signal during normal legs and the SO$_2$-equivalent flux was determined to be −0.012 ppt ms$^{-1}$. This covariance is <10% the magnitude of the average SO$_2$ flux observed near the surface and top of the MBL, and smaller than most standard
deviations of the mean for the 8 or 9 200 s segments within any given 30 min flux leg. Furthermore, a compilation of all the shorter zeroing intervals (usually 1–2 min) from the entire campaign (a total of 80 min) yields a SO2-equivalent flux of \(-0.013\) ppt ms\(^{-1}\). The short ‘blank fluxes’ exhibit no relationship with altitude nor with their neighboring SO2 and latent heat fluxes, and we thus consider the background signal fluctuations to be random and uncorrelated with the wind, and to not systematically influence the flux measurements.

The instrumental lag time with respect to the vertical wind measurement, obtained from sensors in the radome of the C-130, is assessed from the phase angle spectrum and/or lag correlation plots for each leg (Conley et al. 2009). The SO2 signal showed a consistent correlation maximum at a 3 s lag, which is comparable to the expected flow delay of the sample stream into the APIMS. Scrutiny of the lag correlation plots revealed a secondary correlation peak with vertical wind at approximately 0.5 s. This correlation was also evident in the background signal observed during the blank leg described above, wherein the flow was delayed through the 25′ Cu tube scrubber (an additional \(~10\) s residence time). The background signal thus appears to respond to inertial impulses of the aircraft and not to an interfering ambient gas, and while the correlation with wind is significant it is shifted in time such that its contribution to the SO2 flux measurement is random with mean zero.

Figure 1 shows the average normalized cospectral shapes of the SO2, binned into three altitude categories (\(z<0.15zi\), \(0.15zi<z<0.75zi\), \(0.75zi<z<zi\)). The figure illustrates the general situation for SO2 in this environment: dry deposition at the ocean surface, vertical mixing into the buffer layer aloft, and near zero fluxes at intermediate altitudes. Also shown is the cospectrum of the background signal with the vertical wind during the blank leg.

**Fig. 1** Averaged cospectra of SO2 and vertical wind, \(w\), binned into three altitudes for all 10 flights. The ordinate is scaled by frequency and thus has units of ppt ms\(^{-1}\). The abscissa is the frequency divided by true air speed (average \(~110\) ms\(^{-1}\)). LBL are all flight legs flown below 0.15\(zi\), MBL from 0.15\(zi\) to 0.75\(zi\), and TBL from 0.75\(zi\) to \(zi\).
RF06 with a 3 s delay to mimic its influence on the calculated SO2 fluxes. It is apparent that while the fluctuations of the background signal do not correlate with vertical wind on average, the variability still influences the cospectral behavior of all the SO2 fluxes because of its apparent response to vertical accelerations of the aircraft (at ~0.5 s).

Because the cospectra exhibit atypical forms beyond 0.2 Hz (= 1.8 km−1 wavenumber) another diagnostic check was made using cospectral similarity with water vapor. Assuming fidelity of the fast UV-hygrometer operated by NCAR’s Research Aviation Facility, and assuming the cospectra of w with water vapor and SO2 possess the same shape, then the flux of SO2 can be measured up to a certain frequency cutoff and the balance extrapolated by analogy with water vapor (Hicks and McMillen 1988). The mathematical scaling can be expressed as:

$$\langle w' s_{SO2}' \rangle \approx \int_{0.005Hz}^{25Hz} \int_{0.005Hz}^{0.2Hz} \frac{Co(w, s_{SO2})}{Co(w, q)} df = \int_{0.005Hz}^{25Hz} \frac{Co(w, q) df}{Co(20Hz, 0.005Hz)}$$

where $\langle w' s_{SO2}' \rangle$ is the eddy covariance of SO2 measured between 0.005 and 25 Hz, Co(w,q) is the cospectrum of vertical wind, w, and specific humidity, q, and likewise with SO2. Notice that in the lower legs (z<0.15z0) this cutoff is near the cospectral peak, but will overlay more and more of the total flux with increasing height above the surface. Using this methodology to estimate SO2 fluxes changed the mean surface deposition rate by less than 5%. The remarkable correspondence between the eddy covariance SO2 fluxes and those estimated using cospectral similarity with water lead us to believe that the flux measurements, while subject to increased random errors due to the background fluctuations, were not systematically compromised during the experiment.

2.5 SO2 deposition velocities

Concurrent measurements of the governing parameters of surface similarity theory—momentum and buoyancy fluxes ($u_\nu^2$ and $\langle w' \theta' \rangle$, respectively)—and the preponderance of flight legs flown below 100 m provided an opportunity to probe the nature of SO2 deposition. The deposition velocity, $v_{dep}$, is defined as the negative ratio between a trace gas’s surface flux and its mean concentration at some reference height (usually 10 m)

$$v_{dep} = -\frac{\langle w' s_{SO2}' \rangle_0}{[SO2]_{10m}}$$

Fairall et al. (2000) provide a very good overview of the basis for defining this relationship, showing that it can be developed from integrating the combined transport due to turbulence and molecular diffusion from the surface to the reference height. Using the flux-gradient relationships of surface layer similarity for both momentum and concentration (scalar), and assuming a null concentration at the interface yields an expression for deposition velocity

$$v_{dep} = \frac{ku_s}{\ln z_{10}/z_{0m} + \ln z_{0s}/z_{0m} + \psi_s} = \frac{1}{r_a + r_b}$$

where k is the von Karman constant (~0.38), $z_{0m}$ is the roughness length, $z_{0s}$ is the scalar roughness length, and $\psi_s$ is the diabatic correction term (Benoit 1977). The deposition velocity can thus be construed as a sum of aerodynamic and surface resistances, $r_a$ and $r_b$, respectively.
acting in series analogous to electrical circuits. The former is a consequence of turbulent transport below the reference height and the latter is related to molecular diffusion across the micro layer. Bypassing the specific issue of the exact value of \( \frac{z_{0m}}{z_{0s}} \) can be accomplished by substituting the mean wind speed into the analogous wind shear similarity relationship so that

\[
r_a = \frac{U}{u_*} \left( \frac{\psi_m - \psi_s}{k u_*} \right)
\]

with \( \psi_m \) the diabatic correction for the wind profile. The surface resistance can be estimated using the relationship of Wesely and Hicks (1977)

\[
r_b = \frac{2}{k u_*} \left( \frac{\kappa}{D_{SO_2}} \right)^{2/3}
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the thermal diffusivity of air and \( D_{SO_2} \) is the diffusion coefficient of SO2 in air taken to be \( 1.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1} \) (Massman 1998) for the conditions encountered in PASE. Only the air-side resistances are considered here because at a pH of 8.1 the effective Henry’s Law coefficient of SO2 in seawater is \( 2 \times 10^7 \text{ M atm}^{-1} \), and therefore the uptake is gas-phase controlled (Schwartz 1992). The observed fluxes are corrected to a 10 m reference height based on the mean flux divergence term from each flight. The mean concentrations are corrected to 10 m based on the surface layer gradients expected from the similarity relationship, corrected for the stability using \( \psi_s \). These combined corrections increased the resultant deposition velocities by an average of 15%.

### 2.6 Flight strategies

Ten of the fourteen research flights conducted during PASE were suitable for a complete analysis of the SO2 budget in the marine boundary layer (Eq. 2). Figure 2 illustrates a typical flight strategy for one of these budget closure sorties (RF08). Vertical profiles beyond the trade wind inversion (typically near 1,500 m) down to the surface (minimum

![Fig. 2 Typical flight pattern from RF08. The left panel is altitude and the right is a plan view superimposed on a GOES-11 visible satellite image of the region taken near flight takeoff](image-url)
flight altitude of 30 m) punctuate stacks of three level 30 min legs within the MBL and one in the buffer layer (BuL). Aircraft turns required ~100 m clearance above sea level so the lowest legs were split into two branches separated by a rise, turn, and descent pattern which was eliminated for the purpose of calculating fluxes. Figure 2 shows the chevron flight pattern that approximately stays fixed with respect to the Earth while the airmass advects through the study region carrying aircraft exhaust away. Because the scalar gradients along the line of the mean wind are the most important for advection (3rd term in Eq. 2), a long transect within the MBL was often flown at the end of the flight to survey the gradient more precisely and rapidly.

The first four terms in Eq. 2 are derived directly from the observations of SO$_2$ and DMS (Conley et al. 2009). For each flight, all of the data collected below the MBL inversion base is fit using a multivariate regression to obtain the temporal change throughout the flight, as well as the gradients in all three dimensions. The advective term in Eq. 2 is estimated based on the dot product of the large-scale horizontal gradients observed by the aircraft and the mean wind in the study region (Conley et al. 2009). The second term in Eq. 2 is the vertical flux divergence, which results from (the difference between) dry deposition to the ocean surface and the combined action of convective pumping out and entrainment mixing into the MBL. Fluxes measured on each 30 min MBL leg are fit to a line establishing a slope representing the net effects of turbulent transport. Flights 5, 7, and 11 were specifically focused on the surface layer and each stack provided three legs below ~200 m with an additional leg near the top of the MBL. Flights 6 and 13 were begun at night such that sunrise occurred near the middle of the second stack. The budgets for the night flights are calculated with only the data collected before dawn (from about 2:30 to 6:15 local time.)

### 3 Results and discussion

Table 1 summarizes the SO$_2$ scalar budget for each of the ten flights of PASE under consideration. The first three columns, observed directly from the flights, correspond to the first three terms of Eq. 2: ‘Trend’—$\partial$[SO$_2$]/$\partial$t, ‘Flux Div’—$\partial$($\nu$SO$_2$)/$\partial$z, ‘Advection’—$\vec{U}_h \cdot \nabla_h$[SO$_2$], where the subscript $h$ represents the horizontal components.

| Flight (RF) | SO$_2$ Trend (ppt/hr) | Flux Div. (ppt/hr) | Advection (ppt/hr) | DMS decay (ppt/hr) | Net Loss (ppt/hr) | Aerosol Loss (h$^{-1}$) | $V_{eq}$ (cm/s) | [SO$_2$] (ppt) | Net Error (ppt/hr) | Surface Area (µm$^2$/cm$^3$) | Aerosol pH |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| 1          | 0.00                   | -2.11              | 0.11              | N/A               | N/A              | 0.21                   | 63.8         | 0.32           | 0.32             | 94.6                   | 7.0       |
| 2          | 0.76                   | -3.08              | 0.51              | 7.36              | -4.03            | -0.059                 | 33.9         | 0.37           | 0.37             | 58.8                   | 7.2       |
| 3          | 4.50                   | -1.92              | -0.45             | 7.43              | -0.56            | 0.004                  | 33.3         | 55.2           | 0.37             | 59.1                   | 7.0       |
| 4          | 4.06                   | -1.63              | -0.38             | 11.23             | -4.26            | -0.060                 | 57.4         | 0.54           | 0.54             | 90.8                   | 7.0       |
| 5          | -4.35                  | -1.19              | -0.50             | 0.00              | -2.66            | -0.099                 | 45.9         | 0.28           | 115.7            | 7.0                    |           |
| 6          | -1.01                  | -1.19              | -0.50             | 0.00              | -2.66            | -0.099                 | 45.9         | 0.28           | 115.7            | 7.0                    |           |
| 7          | 1.20                   | -2.88              | -1.77             | 10.47             | -4.62            | -0.052                 | 69.8         | 1.11           | 99.1             | 7.0                    |           |
| 8          | 2.77                   | -0.73              | 1.81              | 5.88              | -4.19            | -0.088                 | 40.7         | 0.36           | 58.8             | 7.2                    |           |
| 9          | 2.60                   | -3.24              | 3.36              | 6.76              | -4.29            | -0.058                 | 59.0         | 0.54           | 48.1             | 7.2                    |           |
| 10         | 1.60                   | -3.24              | 4.36              | 9.06              | -4.29            | -0.058                 | 59.0         | 0.54           | 48.1             | 7.2                    |           |
| 11         | 3.41                   | -2.19              | 0.73              | 7.39              | -2.51            | -0.042                 | 43.2         | 0.52           | 87.3             | 7.0                    |           |
| 12         | -1.08                  | -0.45              | 0.62              | 0.00              | -1.26            | -0.045                 | 28.1         | 0.42           | 121.2            | 6.9                    |           |
| 13         | 5.85                   | -1.76              | -2.06             | 11.29             | -1.62            | -0.009                 | 68.1         | 0.50           | 91.9             | 6.7                    |           |
| 14         | 3.3                    | -3.2               | 0.2               | 8.5               | -3.3             | -0.045                 | 56.1         | 0.48           | 82.0             | 7.0                    |           |

| Day Avg    | 3.3                    | -3.2               | 0.2               | 8.5               | -3.3             | -0.045                 | 56.1         | 0.48           | 82.0             | 7.0                    |           |
| Std Dev (day) | 1.8                   | 0.8                | 1.8               | 2.2               | 1.5              | 0.030                  | 0.07         | 10.2           | 18.6             | 6.2                    |           |
| Night Avg  | -2.7                   | -0.8               | 0.1               | 0.0               | -2.0             | -0.072                 | 0.43         | 27.5           | 11.8             | 6.9                    |           |
| Diet Avg   | 0.3                    | -1.5               | 0.1               | 4.2               | -2.6             | -0.059                 | 41.0         | 100.0          | 7.0               | 0.3                    |           |

Surface Layer Flights (mostly <200 m amsl)
Nighttime Flight (typ. 2:30 – 6:15 a.m. local time)
of the wind and scalar gradient. The net loss of DMS, assumed to be due to chemical oxidation, is from the work of Conley et al. (2009) and represents the in-situ production of SO$_2$ scaled by an appropriate yield (3). The mission averaged horizontal gradient of SO$_2$ was found to be aligned with that of DMS, directed to the north-northeast with a magnitude of approximately 7 pptv per degree. Because the prevailing trade wind flow was east-southeasterly, approximately perpendicular to the SO$_2$ gradient, the advection term averaged close to zero for the entire mission; however, its contribution to the budget was found to be significant on any given flight and would have been a large source of error were it not measured. The shallow cumulus visible in Fig. 2 predominantly resided in the BuL above the MBL and therefore do not contribute to the budget of SO$_2$ confined to the MBL.

3.1 Aerosol pH and oxidation of SO$_2$

A complete closure of the budget in Eq. 2 is not possible because of ambiguity in some of the chemical parameters, namely the yield from DMS oxidation ($\gamma$ in Eq. 3), and the heterogeneous oxidation of SO$_2$ on aerosols (5) which strongly depends on pH. However, the nighttime budgets are void of production and can therefore be solved explicitly for the heterogeneous loss rate required to close the budget. Results of this analysis indicates that first-order aerosol loss rates at night are 0.10 and 0.045 h$^{-1}$. Table 2 is a survey of estimates of heterogeneous S(IV) oxidation rates compiled from the literature of the past 20 years, showing that the observations from PASE are within the range of previous estimates but on the lower end. Because the entire aerosol size spectrum was measured during the flights we can go one step further by integrating the surface area spectrum to get the mass transfer rate and calculate the necessary pH to match the overall uptake rate. Faloona (2009) showed that at H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations of $\sim$1 ppbv (typical of PASE observations: Heikes & O’Sullivan, personal communication) S(IV) oxidation rates by H$_2$O$_2$ cannot exceed $\sim$10$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, independent of aerosol pH. The estimate of pH then only depends on the ozone availability (13–19 ppbv during PASE) and the aerosol size spectrum, and both night flights indicate an aerosol pH of 6.9–7. As shown by Faloona (2009) the supermicron mode is responsible for the overwhelming majority of the heterogeneous S(IV) oxidation on marine aerosols so that

| Sulfur Uptake/Oxidation (h$^{-1}$) | Notes | Source |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| 0.12–0.20                         | pH=7  | Sievering et al. (1991) |
| 0.16                              | uptake limit | Sievering et al. (1992) |
| 0.08–0.68                         | model | Suhre et al. (1995) |
| 0.05–0.35                         | model (10 m) | Mari et al. (1999) |
| 0.04                              | model | Chen et al. (2000) |
| 0.06                              | NSS-SO$_4$ budget | Huebert et al. (1996) |
| 0.02                              | SO$_2$ budget | Bandy et al. (1996) |
| 0.02–0.04                         | NSS-SO$_4$ budget | De Bruyn et al. (1998) |
| 0.015                             | SSA only | Luria and Sievering (1991) |
| 0.03                              | unconstrained | Mihalopoulos et al. (2007) |
| 0.11                              | Approx. Average | All Above |
the pH estimates reported here are only applicable to that mode, as the overall loss rate is effectively independent of the exact pH of the submicron population.

Revisiting the daytime budgets now allows adjustment of the SO$_2$ yield from DMS oxidation to permit reasonable values of aerosol loss. From a broad survey of literature estimates of $\gamma$ Faloona (2009) suggests 70% as a mean value. Using this yield, however, causes 3 of the 8 daytime budgets to have a positive residual (requiring a net SO$_2$ source from heterogeneous chemistry in order to balance). A yield of unity, on the other hand, permits the closure of all the daytime budgets, within uncertainties, and provides a mean aerosol loss rate of 0.05 h$^{-1}$, in line with that derived during the night flights, which were independent of $\gamma$. The multivariate regression model used to derive the first three terms in Table 1 also provides an estimate of the net error of the budget method (Conley et al. 2009). The average error estimate from all ten flights is 0.48 ppt h$^{-1}$ or 0.01 h$^{-1}$, which we consider to be a reasonable estimate of the 1$\sigma$ spread in the heterogeneous loss rate calculation using the scalar budget technique.

In an attempt to further our understanding of errors in the budget method, we used a statistical non-linear mixed effects model (NLMIXED in SAS) for the ten flights to estimate a value of $\gamma$, and derive an error estimate of the heterogeneous loss rates. Leaving $\gamma$ unconstrained, the 95% confidence interval was found to be 0.9–1.29, but of course it is physically unrealistic that the reaction yield could exceed unity. This method does, however, give a sense of the uncertainty in our finding of near unity yield. Another way to view this is that with unity SO$_2$ yield from DMS oxidation all eight daytime flights balance save for RF03 which exceeds balance (with no aerosol losses) by only 0.004 h$^{-1}$, about half the 1$\sigma$ error estimate for that flight. Thus we consider $\gamma \approx 1$ to be most consistent with our observations. The results from PASE strongly suggest that the principal oxidant in the tropical MBL is OH (Conley et al. 2009) and according to Atkinson et al. (2004) the OH oxidation rate of DMS proceeds by three parts abstraction and 1 part addition at 297 K, the average temperature observed in the MBL during this experiment. Turning to the estimate put forward by Chin et al. (1996), which appears to perform well when compared to observations of MSA/NSS-SO$_4$ (Karl et al. 2007), all of the abstraction channel and 75% of the addition channel yield SO$_2$, leading to an overall yield of 0.94 at 297 K. Using this value leads to RF14 showing no discernable aerosol loss, and RF03 exceeding balance by about two standard deviations, still somewhat compatible with our budget analysis.

The homogeneous oxidation of SO$_2$ by OH is inferred from the loss rate of DMS (and confirmed by the OH measurements) using the three-body association reaction rate recommended by Atkinson et al. (2004) (8.0 $\times$ 10$^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molec$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at 298 K). A daytime average OH concentration of 5.1 $\times$ 10$^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ (Conley et al. 2009) leads to a 0.015 h$^{-1}$ daytime loss rate, or a 5.5 day lifetime of SO$_2$ in the tropical MBL due to homogeneous oxidation. The inferred loss rate to OH is subtracted from the ‘Net Loss’ column of Table 1 before calculating the daytime aerosol loss rates. Figure 3 shows the pH dependence of the heterogeneous loss calculated from Eq. 5 for all ten flights. With a nominal 1.5 ppbv H$_2$O$_2$ (Heikes, personal communication), the different curves correspond to the differing aerosol surface areas and available ozone only. Of course, this technique is predicated on there being no other significant S(IV) oxidants in the aerosol, such as hypohalous acids (HOBr/HOCl) proposed by Vogt et al. (1996). The neglect of such acids is probably consistent with the finding of the minimal role played by BrO in the DMS budget of the region (Conley et al. 2009), but it cannot be ruled out altogether. In reality, the high heterogeneous oxidation rates observed could be explained by a lower pH and a great abundance of hypohalous acids scavenged by the supermicron aerosols.
Several investigators have suggested that aerosol pH should, in theory, lower with increasing RH as occurs with height in most boundary layers (Chameides and Stelson 1992; Keene and Savoie 1998; von Glasow and Sander 2001). The modeling work of von Glasow and Sander (2001) indicate a fall of 2 pH units in the span of 600 m across the MBL. Given the exponential behavior exhibited in Fig. 3, the heterogeneous oxidation of S(IV) would consequently drop quite precipitously with height and the bulk of the loss would be concentrated near the ocean surface. Such a strong gradient in loss rate would induce a positive curvature in the SO$_2$ flux profile. This can be illustrated by taking the derivative with respect to height of Eq. 2 (Faloona et al. 2005) and neglecting the vertical derivatives of production, advection, and homogeneous loss:

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t \partial z} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} (w'c') - \frac{\partial L_{\text{hetero}}}{\partial z} C - \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} L_{\text{hetero}} \approx 0$$

(11)

Assuming that the mean vertical profile of SO$_2$ reaches some quasi-stationary state, the three rhs terms are required to sum to zero. Scale analysis of the loss related terms shows that the one proportional to the vertical gradient of the heterogeneous loss rate dominates. Observed SO$_2$ gradients during aircraft profiling never exceeded a few ppt in the lowest 50–100 m. Using the mean values form Table 1 and the slope of $L_{\text{hetero}}$ inferred from Fig. 3, the 2nd term above is $\sim (0.05 \text{ h}^{-1}/100 \text{ m} \times 50 \text{ pptv} = 2.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ pptv m}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1})$ while the 3rd term is an order of magnitude smaller ($5 \text{ pptv}/100 \text{ m} \times 0.05 \text{ h}^{-1} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ pptv m}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$). Because $L_{\text{hetero}}$ is positive definite in this formulation, a diminution of the loss rate with height (due to increasing RH and falling pH) results in a positive curvature of the flux profile. More specifically this implies a smaller downward flux at the surface relative to the observations at 30 m. Physically this may be interpreted as the observed flux aloft resulting from the sum of surface deposition and a net flux into the lowest layers below the measurement height where loss to aerosols would be greatest. Suhre et al. (1995) found exactly this type of flux curvature result in a one dimensional chemical model with the aerosol surface area fixed to a scale height of 200 m (their Figure 15). While no discernable aerosol gradients were ever observed in the surface layer during PASE, an analogous flux curvature would result from a lapse rate of pH. Figure 4 shows the vertical flux divergence pattern observed over the course of RF01. The three colors represent measurements...
from the three consecutive flight stacks centered at the local times denoted in the legend, and the dotted line is a linear fit to all the data representing the observed flux divergence, \(-2.1 \text{ ppt h}^{-1}\) in this case. The dashed curve represents the impact of a hypothetical change in pH from 7.1 to 6.8, and consequent drop in heterogeneous loss, over the lowest 100 m. The curvature induced by the estimated 2nd term in Eq. 11 represents a change in flux divergence of \(\sim 2.5 \text{ ppt h}^{-1}\) in lowest 100 m. Such an effect would make the dry deposition velocities derived during PASE even smaller bringing them farther out of agreement with theory (Section 3.3). While this does not rule out the possibility of a strong vertical pH gradient as purported by others, it certainly entreats further investigation of this phenomenon.

3.2 MBL SO2 throughputs

The averages of all the budget terms are presented at the bottom of Table 1 separated into day and night flights, and the resultant diel average of both. Although the nigh-time MBL was undersampled during PASE, the diel average is considered more representative of the larger-scale budget because of the strong temporal asymmetry in SO2 processing (i.e., no production from DMS and no homogeneous oxidation at night.) The results of the diel mission averages are depicted in Fig. 5, showing the MBL column integrated fluxes of the SO2 processes in terms of \(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}\), and the corresponding 1\(\sigma\) standard deviation of the means. The left panel of Fig. 5 illustrates the mean DMS (green, thick) and SO2 (red, thin) profiles from one daytime flight (solid lines), RF03, and one nighttime flight (dashed lines), RF06. Although the flights occurred a week apart, and the boundary layer depths are not exactly the same, the general behavior is clear. SO2 builds up throughout the day due to DMS oxidation, and decays at night due to aerosol uptake, dry deposition, and vertical mixing. Notice that both the turbulent exchange with the BuL and ocean uptake is
diminished overnight (due to reduced MBL SO2 levels) leading to a reduction in the net turbulent flux divergence as evinced in Table 1. The overall diel picture is that of the 2.75 μmol m$^{-2}$d$^{-1}$ produced from DMS oxidation, approximately 8% is lofted into the BuL, another 10% reacts with OH in the MBL, 28% is deposited to the ocean surface, and 58% is oxidized on aerosols.

3.3 Dry deposition

Dry deposition removes many trace gases from the lower atmosphere. More specifically, with over 70% of the Earth’s surface covered by seawater the uptake of trace species by the ocean provides a major mechanism for scrubbing soluble gases out of the atmosphere. Global chemical models of the atmospheric sulfur cycle indicate that surface dry deposition of SO2 results in a global lifetime of about 5 days and accounts for around one third of its total sink, but is one of the major sources of uncertainty in sulfur cycle modeling (Faloona 2009). The flux and mean SO2 observations from the lowest legs (<50 m, n=61) of PASE result in an average $v_{dep}$ just under 0.4 cm s$^{-1}$ for 10 m winds ranging from 4.5 to 10 ms$^{-1}$. Standard modeling approaches tend to assign somewhat larger $v_{dep}$ over the ocean between 0.5 and 1.1 cm s$^{-1}$ (Langner and Rodhe 1991; Pham et al. 1995; Yvon et al. 1996; Karl et al. 2007).

To investigate the reason for the difference, $v_{dep}$ was estimated from the observed friction velocity and stability parameter ($z/L$, where $L$ is the Obukhov length) in Eqs. 8 through 10. $z/L$ ranged from −0.05 to −1.24 with a geometric mean of −0.33, and the
average Bowen ratio was found to be 0.05. On average the aerodynamic resistance constituted about 80% of the total parameterized resistance. Additional \( v_{dep} \) calculations were made using the methods outlined in Fairall et al. (2000) and Fairall et al. (2007). Figure 6 illustrates a comparison of the observed and modeled SO\(_2\) deposition velocities from all the PASE surface layer legs (defined as \( z<0.125z_i \), mostly from 30 to 50 m above the ocean). While the data exhibit a correlation with the observed friction velocity, the observations are seen to fall \(~30\%\) lower than the parameterizations of Wesely and Hicks (1977) and Fairall et al. (2007). On the other hand, use of Fairall et al. (2000, their equation 25) requires the specification of an uncertain coefficient which can be adjusted to improve the fit to the observations. The coefficient represents the length scale at which turbulent transport cannot compete with molecular diffusion near the interface. The authors suggest that this scale is approximately 10 times the Kolmogorov microscale (estimated as \( \nu/\bar{u}_* \), where \( \nu \) is the molecular viscosity), but that there is scant empirical evidence justifying its exact magnitude. Adjusting this coefficient to 22 leads to matching the means of the Fairall et al. (2000) model and the observations to within 1%, although significant scatter is still evident. Estimates of the uncertainty in the observed deposition velocities were made using the scatter of the flux observations along every leg combined with the relative standard deviation of the SO\(_2\) concentration, leading to an estimate of the mean error of 0.06 cm s\(^{-1}\).

The formulation of air-sea exchange using deposition velocity presupposes that there is no return flux of the gas from the ocean to the atmosphere, or more specifically, that the aqueous concentration is much less than its Henry’s law equilibrium value. This is assumed to be true for SO\(_2\) given its rapid dissociation and subsequent oxidation of sulfite in sea water (Schwartz 1992; Nightingale and Liss 2003). Given a mean atmospheric concentration of 55 pptv, and an effective Henry’s law constant of \( 2 \times 10^7 \) M atm\(^{-1}\) at the pH of the ocean, dissolved S(IV) would need to be at levels of \(~330\) \( \mu \)M in order to diminish the expected SO\(_2\) deposition velocities by 30%. The only sulfite measurement we could find reported concentrations less than 0.1 \( \mu \)M (Campanella et al. 1995), but the sampling procedures and locations were not well documented. Nonetheless, elevated S(IV) in sea water seems to be an unlikely candidate to explain our results.

Fig. 6 Observed SO\(_2\) deposition velocities from all legs below 0.125\(z_i\) compared with the aerodynamic component of resistance and several common parameterizations with respect to friction velocity. The Fairall et al. (2000) model has its leading coefficient, \( \lambda_1 \), set to 22 in order to best agree with the observations of this study.
4 Conclusions

PASE comprised fourteen research flights on the NCAR C-130 over the equatorial Pacific from August 8 to September 9, 2007. The focused campaign marked a departure from typical airborne atmospheric chemistry experiments in its dedication to steady sampling in a slowly varying, relatively homogeneous environment. The use of multiple APIMS instruments to carefully monitor the vertical structure of the fluxes of DMS and SO2 by airborne eddy covariance allowed for a careful analysis of the entire mean scalar budget equation (what may be more readily recognizable to the chemistry community as a chemical mass balance.) Tracking the dynamical components of the sulfur gas’s mixing enabled accurate estimation of the unconstrained chemistry by budget residuals. The mean estimate of the error of this budget method for SO2, 0.01 h⁻¹, indicates that any process acting on a timescale of up to 4 days can be resolved (at the 1σ level) with this technique.

DMS and SO2 were found to be strongly related both spatially and temporally throughout the experiment. The mean gradients for both species were directed to the north-northeast and were correlated flight by flight. The daily cycles of the two species were out of phase as was observed at this site by Bandy et al. (1996), indicating that SO2 is derived primarily from DMS oxidation. However, a third of the flights indicated net transport of SO2 into the MBL from above, which could be indicative of long range transport of anthropogenic or volcanic sulfur from South America. The budget analysis of both S gases requires that DMS oxidation generates SO2 with near unity yield, and that S (IV) conversion on aerosols maintain rates between undetectable to 9.9% h⁻¹, with a mean of about 5% h⁻¹. Thus, the flight to flight variability of heterogeneous oxidation rates is significantly greater than the estimated mean error of the budget method (1% h⁻¹). Given the known oxidation mechanisms from ozone and hydrogen peroxide in the aerosol phase, the inferred uptake rates imply that the pH of the supermicron aerosols is sustained near neutral. Furthermore, it appears that the large atmospheric variability of the uptake must be driven by small changes in aerosol pH (6.4–7.2), as the rates do not correlate with total aerosol surface area and ozone concentrations.

The eddy covariance measurements of SO2 fluxes to the ocean surface were found to vary with friction velocity as expected by theory, albeit with considerable data scatter, in part due to noise in the measurement derived from a fluctuating background. The observations of deposition velocity were found to be on average 30% lower than conventional theory. The budget averaged over both day and night flights indicate that less than 10% of the SO2 produced in-situ is mixed into the BuL above the MBL, 10% is converted to sulfuric acid in the gas phase, a bit over 25% is lost to dry deposition, and over half is removed on supermicron marine aerosols.
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