Manipulation and detection of single nanoparticles and biomolecules by a photonic nanojet
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Optical methods to manipulate and detect nanoscale objects are highly desired in both nanomaterials and molecular biology fields. Optical tweezers have been used to manipulate objects that range in size from a few hundred nanometres to several micrometres. The emergence of near-field methods that overcome the diffraction limit has enabled the manipulation of objects below 100 nm. A highly free manipulation with signal-enhanced real-time detection, however, remains a challenge for single sub-100-nm nanoparticles or biomolecules. Here we show an approach that uses a photonic nanojet to perform the manipulation and detection of single sub-100-nm objects. With the photonic nanojet generated by a dielectric microlens bound to an optical fibre probe, three-dimensional manipulations were achieved for a single 85-nm fluorescent polystyrene nanoparticle as well as for a plasmid DNA molecule. Backscattering and fluorescent signals were detected with the enhancement factors up to ~10^5 and ~30, respectively. The demonstrated approach provides a potentially powerful tool for nanostructure assembly, biosensing and single-biomolecule studies.

INTRODUCTION

With the initial design based on Ashkin’s pioneering work1, optical tweezers have become excellent tools for trapping and detecting small objects2,3, promoting some significant advances in physical science and technology as well as cellular and molecular biology3,4. When applied to the manipulation of sub-100-nm objects, such as Rayleigh nanoparticles and biomolecules, the trapping strength of optical tweezers becomes insufficient due to the fundamental limit imposed by laser beam diffraction5 and the dramatic disturbances caused by Brownian motion6. By tethering biomolecules to dielectric microbeads, optical tweezers can be used to manipulate the beads as handles to manipulate the molecules in some biomechanical studies7,8. However, direct manipulation and detection that are completely based on light–matter interactions are highly desired for single biomolecules.

Recently, near-field methods for optical manipulation and detection have attracted a large amount of attentions9,10 because they can overcome the diffraction limit of optical tweezers based on free-space laser beams. By using nano-devices, such as plasmonic tweezers11–14, slot waveguides15 and photonic crystal resonators16, optical intensity has been confined within a near-field region well below the diffraction limit, exerting a sufficiently strong force to manipulate the nano-objects. However, as all of the devices are based on different nanostructures, a fabrication process with considerably high accuracy and complexity is required. Furthermore, most nano-structures are fixed on substrates5,14, which makes manipulating the objects in three dimensions difficult. The first three-dimensional manipulation of a sub-100-nm dielectric nanoparticle was demonstrated by Berthelot et al15 with a scanning near-field plasmonic tweezer that was based on a bowtie nano-aperture. To the best of our knowledge, three-dimensional manipulation, which requires no nano-fabrication process and is valid for both single dielectric nanoparticles and biomolecules, has not been achieved. Moreover, a real-time detection of signals from the manipulated objects, which is greatly beneficial in nanomaterial characterization and biomolecular diagnostics, remains a challenge for single sub-100-nm nanoparticles or biomolecules due to the small size and low refractive index contrasting with the surrounding medium10. In this work, we demonstrate a near-field method that combines three-dimensional optical manipulation with signal-enhanced detection of single nanoparticles and biomolecules, avoiding the use of elaborate nanostructures or free-space laser systems. The technique makes use of a photonic nanojet, a sub-wavelength beam that results from the constructive interference of the optical field17, to manipulate the objects and enhance the backscattering and fluorescent signals of the targets. After firstly reported by Chen et al18,19, photonic nanojets have found applications in numerous fields19–22. Some studies have shown that the backscattering, fluorescent and Raman scattering signals of nanoparticles can be significantly enhanced by the photonic nanojets17,18,23. The strong optical confinement and signal enhancement properties of the photonic nanojets provide exciting new possibilities for optical manipulation and detection of single sub-100-nm nanoparticles or biomolecules.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fabrication of the parabolic optical fibre probe
The parabolic optical fibre probe was fabricated via a flame-heating technique from a commercial single-mode optical fibre (connector type: FC/PC, core diameter: 9 μm, cladding diameter: 125 μm) (Corning Inc., New York, NY, USA). The buffer and polymer jacket of the fibre were stripped off with a fibre stripper to obtain a bare fibre of 1 cm in length and 125 μm in diameter. Before heating, the fibre was sheathed by a glass capillary to ensure the stability of the fibre probe. The bare fibre outside the capillary was then heated by the outer flame of an alcohol lamp at about 530 °C for 30 s to reach its melting point. The fibre was drawn with a speed of ~ 2 mm s \(^{-1}\) with a heating zone of ~ 3 mm, and the fibre was gradually tapered off, with its diameter decreasing from 125 to 8 μm with a length of ~ 1.6 mm. Finally, the drawing speed was increased up to ~ 10 mm s \(^{-1}\) until the fibre was broken with a parabolic tip.

Preparation of the particle suspension
The commercially available fluorescent polystyrene (PS) nanoparticles (Shanghai Hugue Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) have a refractive index of 1.58 and an emission at a 639-nm wavelength. The PS or titanium dioxide (TiO\(_2\)) microlenses and the fluorescent PS nanoparticles were first suspended in deionized water and then diluted to concentrations of ~8.1 × 10\(^4\) and ~2.1 × 10\(^4\) particles per μL, respectively. Then, equal weights of the PS or TiO\(_2\) microlenses and the fluorescent nanoparticle suspensions were mixed together. The mixture of the PS or TiO\(_2\) microlenses and the fluorescent PS nanoparticles was then dripped into the microfluidic chamber using a pipette for the following experiments.

Binding the microlens to the fibre probe
The PS or TiO\(_2\) microlenses was modified with aliphatic-amine and thereby became positively charged in such a way that they could bind to the surface of the negatively charged optical fibre probe in an aqueous environment. To align the microlens at the optical axis of the fibre probe, the microlens was firstly confined at the optical axis of the fibre probe by the optical gradient force, followed by moving the probe forward to touch the microlens. With this method, the deviation between the optical axis of the fibre and the microlens was suppressed below 250 nm.

Preparation of the plasmid DNA molecules suspension
The plasmid DNA molecules (3.4-kb long) were extracted from the Escherichia Coli strain DH5\(_α\) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) using the Plasmid Mini Kit (Omega, Norcross, GA, USA) and kept in the elution buffer. The elution buffer consisted of 10 mM Tris-HCl at a pH of 8.5.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trapping strength of a photonic nanojet
To generate a photonic nanojet, we bound a dielectric microlens to an optical fibre probe via electrostatic attraction in an aqueous environment (Figure 1a). Both the illumination of the trapping light and the collection of detected signals were performed using the probe-microlens structure. The photonic nanojet, which was present on the shadowed side of the microlens, formed a potential well that trapped a nanoparticle near the microlens in a non-contact manner (inset of Figure 1a). To hold the microlens at the probe extremity and pre-focus the light, a parabolic fibre probe was fabricated by heating and drawing a single-mode optical fibre (see Materials and Methods). The diameter of the probe was decreased from 7.8 to 3.0 μm over a length of 24.8 μm (Figure 1b). Optical fibre probes with parabolic or tapered shapes have been proposed for trapping micro-particles\(^{24-27}\), but become insufficient when applied to the manipulation of sub-100-nm objects due to the fundamental diffraction limit. By modifying the charges in the probe and the microlens, the probe-microlens structure was stably bound and maintained in water (Figure 1c). To demonstrate observations with an optical microscope in the experiments, commercially available fluorescent PS nanoparticles with a diameter of 85 ± 2 nm (Figure 1d) were first used as an example of manipulated objects (see Materials and methods). When excited by a 398-nm laser, emission at 639 nm can be obtained from the fluorescent PS nanoparticles that can be observed with the optical microscope (Figure 1e). For a comparison of the trapping strength and signal enhancement, TiO\(_2\) and PS microlenses with the same diameter (3 μm) were used to generate the photonic nanojets (Supplementary Fig. S1). The wavelength of the trapping light was 808 nm, which has the benefit of both generating smaller photonic nanojets than other commonly used trapping wavelengths, such as 1064 nm (Supplementary Fig. S2), and inducing little harm to the trapped objects, which is attributed to the low absorption by water or biological matter\(^{28}\).

The trapping strength can be numerically investigated by performing three-dimensional simulations with a finite-element method (see details in Supplementary Information). The simulated electric field intensity distributions of the fibre probes indicate that the outputted beams were highly focused and formed into photonic nanojets, with distances of ~580 and ~430 nm in the x direction between the foci of the nanojets and surfaces of the PS and TiO\(_2\) microlenses, respectively (Figure 2a). Line scans were performed through the focal planes, and the results show that the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the outputted light spots generated by the probe, PS microlens and TiO\(_2\) microlenses were 840, 385 and 208 nm, respectively (Figure 2b). The FWHM of the photonic nanojets of both the PS and TiO\(_2\) microlenses were much smaller than the input wavelength (808 nm). To compare with the diffraction limit (~310 nm), the photonic nanojet was equivalent to a spherical light spot with the same effective volume. For example, the effective volume of the photonic nanojet generated by TiO\(_2\) microlens was estimated from Figure 2b as 1.2 × 10\(^3\) nm\(^3\), thus the diameter of the equivalent spot was ~280 nm which was smaller than the diffraction limit. With the photonic nanojets formed by the microlenses, the probe-microlens structures provide a much stronger optical confinement than the bare probe (the insets in Figure 2b). In addition, the FWHM of the TiO\(_2\) microlens was approximately two times smaller than that of the PS microlenses because of the higher refractive index of TiO\(_2\) (n = 1.99) compared with that of PS (n = 1.58). The optical force \(F_O\) exerted on the PS nanoparticle, which was obtained by integrating the time-independent Maxwell stress tensor \(\langle T_M \rangle\) over the surface S enclosing the nanoparticle, can be expressed as\(^{29}\):

\[
F_O = \int_S \langle T_M \rangle \cdot \hat{n} dS
\]  

where \(\hat{n}\) is the surface normal vector. The optical force profiles were obtained for an 85-nm nanoparticle displaced in the x and y directions from the trapping regions (Figure 2c and 2d), with the focus position of the outputted light defined as the origin (\(x = 0.0\) and \(y = 0.0\)) of the coordinate. By calculating the slopes near the trapping equilibrium position (\(x = 0.0\) or \(y = 0.0\)) of the optical force curves (Figure 2c and 2d), the trap stiffness was then estimated as 0.06, 0.018 and 0.004 pN nm\(^{-1}\) W\(^{-1}\) in the x direction, while it was 0.31, 0.086 and 0.022 pN nm\(^{-1}\) W\(^{-1}\) in the y direction for the nanoparticle.
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Manipulation of a nanoparticle

To trap the nanoparticles, the fibre probe was mounted on a six-axis micromanipulation stage (resolution: 50 nm), with the parabolic end introduced into a microfluidic chamber that contained a solution of the microlens and nanoparticle mixtures (see detailed description of the experimental set-up in Supplementary Fig. S3). The other end of the fibre probe was connected to the stem of a fibre coupler. The 808-nm laser beam was directly sent to the 10% arm of the coupler, while the 90% arm was connected to a photodetector with a bandpass filter (790–1200 nm) for detecting the reflected 808-nm signal. The 398-nm laser beam, launched into the other fibre with the end introduced into the solution, was used to excite the fluorescent nanoparticles. To monitor the binding process, a real-time trace of the reflected 808-nm signal $R_1$ was obtained (Figure 3a). The stable binding was indicated by an increased and steady signal $R_1$. The probe-microlens structure (insets of Figure 3a) can be stably maintained and freely moved in three dimensions for subsequent manipulation of the nanoparticles or biomolecules (Supplementary Movie S1). By launching the laser beam into the fibre, a single 85-nm fluorescent PS nanoparticle was trapped by the photonic nanojet, and a real-time trace of the reflected signal $R_2$ was also performed for the trapping event (Figure 3b). Both the TiO2 and PS microlenses could trap the particles and we present the results using the TiO2 microlens in Figure 3b for the illustration. The optical power measured at the output of the probe-microlens was 3.2 mW, which allows the local intensity within the trap to be estimated as $1.2 \times 10^{10}$ W m$^{-2}$. Such an intensity is lower than that of conventional optical tweezers (typically

Figure 1 Experimental schematic illustration and images. (a) Schematic illustrating the manipulation and detection of a single nanoparticle by a photonic nanojet. The inset shows a nanoparticle trapped in a potential well formed by the photonic nanojet. The maximum potential difference is $\Delta U$. (b) Optical microscope image of the probe used in the experiments. (c) Optical microscope image of the probe bound with a 3-μm microlens through electrostatic attraction. (d) Scanning electron microscope image of the fluorescent PS nanoparticles, with a diameter of 85 ± 2 nm. (e) Fluorescent image of the PS nanoparticles with a 639-nm emission excited by a 398-nm laser in the solution.
from $1 \times 10^{11}$ to $1 \times 10^{12} \text{ W m}^{-2}$) and is compatible with biological matter. The real-time trace of $R_2$ shows three successive regimes: before the trapping (0–12.5 s), stable trapping (12.5–51.5 s) and releasing (51.5–64.7 s) of the single nanoparticle. The insets of Figure 3b show the corresponding optical microscope images of the trapping process. When the nanoparticle was trapped, the intensity of $R_2$ increases due to the backscattering light from the nanoparticle. In addition, the fluctuation of $R_2$ also increases due to the Brownian motion of the nanoparticle, which corresponds to the fluctuation of the distance between the trapped nanoparticle and microlens. The distance fluctuation of the nanoparticle during the trapping process exhibited a Gaussian distribution because of the Brownian motion of the nanoparticle in a harmonic potential well. The central distance of the Gaussian fluctuation denoted the distance between the position of the trapped nanoparticle/DNA with the minimum potential (that is, the focus of the photonic nanojet) and the microlens. By statistically analysing the Gaussian fluctuation, the central distance can be obtained (see Supplementary Fig. S4 in the Supplementary Information). For example, the distance was calculated as $\sim 480 \text{ nm}$ for the nano-object trapped by the TiO$_2$ microlens (see Supplementary Fig. S4c and S4d), which agrees with the simulated distance of $\sim 430 \text{ nm}$ between the focus of the photonic nanojet and the microlens. These results confirmed that the nanoparticle was trapped in a non-contact manner.
To quantify the trapping stiffness $\kappa_{\text{trap}}$ in this system, a frequency-domain analysis was performed (Figure 3c), which considers the power spectral density of the nanoparticle’s fluctuations in the trap. According to the results in Figure 3b, a histogram was obtained for the counts of $R_2$ from the trapping part, as shown by the inset of Figure 3c. The red full line is the Gaussian fit to the distribution, in which the central intensity is 6.7 in arbitrary units. The Gaussian distribution indicates that the nanoparticle dropped in a harmonic potential well and exerted a harmonic optical force: $F = -\kappa_{\text{trap}}x$, where $x$ is the position of the nanoparticle relative to the trap centre. As shown in Figure 3c, the power spectrum of the nanoparticle fluctuation yields a Lorentzian function with a corner frequency of $f_c = 89.5$ Hz. As a result, the measured $\kappa_{\text{trap}}$ was $0.13$ pN nm$^{-1}$ W$^{-1}$ (see Supplementary Information for the frequency-domain analysis of the trapping stiffness), which is in agreement with the theoretical result ($0.14$ pN nm$^{-1}$ W$^{-1}$). For a

Figure 3 Manipulation and detection of a single 85-nm fluorescent PS nanoparticle. (a) Real-time trace of the reflected 808-nm signal $R_1$ in the microlens binding process. The signal was detected by the probe connected with a photodetector. The insets show optical microscope images a1 without and a2 with a 3-μm microlens bound to the probe. (b) The real-time trace of the reflected 808-nm signal $R_2$ in the trapping process of an 85-nm fluorescent PS nanoparticle. The insets show the fluorescent images b1 before trapping, b2 during trapping and b3 in the release. (c) Power spectral density calculated from the data of $R_2$ in the trapping process and the fitted curve (black) to the Lorentzian model with a corner frequency of $f_c = 89.5$ Hz. The inset is the histogram calculated from the data of $R_2$ and the fitted curve (red) to the Gaussian model, which indicates that the nanoparticle was trapped in a harmonic potential. (d) Composite fluorescent image that shows the manipulation of the trapped nanoparticle in the x-y plane by controllably moving the probe in 24 s.
comparison with the reported approaches, we scaled all of the $\kappa_{\text{trap}}$ to an 85-nm particle, similar to those used in our experiments, because the optical force is proportional to the third power of the radius of the particle\textsuperscript{32}. The $\kappa_{\text{trap}}$ obtained by our approach was comparable to that of the slot waveguides\textsuperscript{13} (scaled $\kappa_{\text{trap}} = 0.12$ pN nm$^{-1}$ W$^{-1}$) and two orders of magnitude higher than those of the conventional high numerical-aperture (NA) optical tweezers\textsuperscript{33} (scaled $\kappa_{\text{trap}} = 0.0007$ pN nm$^{-1}$ W$^{-1}$) and plasmonic tweezers\textsuperscript{34} (scaled $\kappa_{\text{trap}} = 0.001$ pN nm$^{-1}$ W$^{-1}$).

After stably trapping, the nanoparticle was then manipulated in three dimensions by controllably moving the probe (Supplementary Movie S2). The moving trajectory was plotted by superimposing the microscope images that were captured at different instants with the same interval (Figure 3d). The nanoparticle was manipulated over a total distance of $\sim 60 \text{ nm}$ in the $x$-$y$ plane and $\sim 10 \text{ nm}$ in the $z$ direction. The ability to move a single nanoparticle to a desired position in three dimensions has benefits in nanomaterial science for the nanopatterning of the nanoparticles and the assembly of well-regulated nanostructures.

Signal enhancement in detection

When manipulating the nanoparticle, the fluorescent signal of the nanoparticle was also enhanced and detected by the microlens. In this case, the microlens acted as a high NA objective for collecting the signals. To determine the collection efficiency of the microlens, a three-dimensional finite-difference time-domain simulation (see details in Supplementary Information) was performed by placing a point source at 639 nm (the fluorescent wavelength) near the microlens (Figure 4a). The light from the source was collected by the probe-microlens structure with a collection angle of $\alpha$. The effective numerical-aperture $\text{NA}_{\text{eff}}$ was defined as $\text{NA}_{\text{eff}} = n \sin \alpha$, where $n$ is the refractive index of the microlens. The collection angle $\alpha$ and $\text{NA}_{\text{eff}}$ were calculated as a function of the refractive index $n$ of the microlens (Figure 4b), which indicates that the higher refractive index microlens has a greater collection efficiency. More specifically, the angles $\alpha$ of the PS and TiO$_2$ microlenses were $42^\circ$ and $59^\circ$, respectively, while the corresponding effective $\text{NA}_{\text{eff}}$ were 1.10 and 1.71. Using the bare probe, the collection angles $\alpha$ and the effective $\text{NA}_{\text{eff}}$ were $18^\circ$ and 0.44, respectively, that is, a much lower collection efficiency compared with the PS and TiO$_2$ microlenses. This finding is confirmed by the experiment that compared the detection of the fluorescent signals (Figure 4c–4e). In the experiment, a fluorescent PS nanoparticle was trapped at the optical axis of the bare probe with a 3-$\mu$m distance to the tip. Without the microlens, the nanoparticle will be pushed away along the optical axis by the optical scattering force rather than attracted by the probe. To maintain the 3-$\mu$m distance between the nanoparticle and the probe, an opposite flow was employed to counteract the scattering force. The fluorescent signals were, respectively, collected by the bare probe (Figure 4c) and the probe-microlens structures (Figure 4d) and measured by an optical fibre spectrometer (integration time: 2 ms) with a bandpass filter (500–790 nm). The fluorescent spectra were obtained for the single nanoparticles that were detected by the bare probes without a microlens, with the PS microlens and the TiO$_2$ microlens (Figure 4e). In the presence of the PS and TiO$_2$ microlenses, the fluorescent intensities of the nanoparticle were enhanced by factors of $\sim 20$ and $\sim 30$, respectively.

Manipulation and detection of a plasmid DNA molecule

Compared with a dielectric nanoparticle, a single biomolecule is more difficult to manipulate or detect due to the lower refractive index, the smaller size and the irregular shape. We demonstrate that a single biomolecule can also be manipulated and detected by a photonic

Figure 4 Signal enhancement by the probe-microlens structure. (a) Simulated $E$ field intensity distribution. A point source was placed near the microlens. The light was collected by the probe-microlens structure with a collection angle of $\alpha$. (b) Collection angle $\alpha$ and effective numerical-aperture $\text{NA}_{\text{eff}}$ as a function of the refractive index $n$ of the microlens. (c, d) Images of detecting the fluorescent signals of a single nanoparticle by the probes c without a microlens and d with a microlens. (e) Fluorescent intensities from the single nanoparticle detected by the probes without a microlens (red), with a 3-$\mu$m PS microlens (blue) and with a 3-$\mu$m TiO$_2$ microlens (black).
nanojet. Plasmid DNA molecules (3.4-kb long) were used as an example of manipulated biomolecules (see Materials and methods). Before the trapping, the plasmid DNA molecules exhibited remarkable Brownian motion in the solution. By sending the 808-nm laser beam with a power of 5 mW \((1.9 \times 10^{10} \text{ W m}^{-2})\) into the fibre probe, a single DNA molecule was successfully trapped by the photonic nanojet and then manipulated in three dimensions (Figure 5a–5c; Supplementary Movies S3 and S4). In the experiment, the DNA molecules were illuminated in the transverse side by a 532-nm laser with an optical power of 150 \(\mu\text{W}\). After the irradiation, the single DNA molecules were directly observed in the dark field by the scattering light (Figure 5d). Note that the trapped DNA molecule was less stable than the PS nanoparticles because the DNA molecules were in a partially extended state (as indicated by Figure 5d), which makes the trapping more difficult. The trapped DNA will eventually be released due to the increased Brownian motion and the fluctuations of the environment. We measured the average trapping time as a function of the optical power \(P\) (Figure 5e). The results show that at \(P<3\ \text{mW}\), the trapping events were not observed, while at \(P>3\ \text{mW}\), the trapping time increased linearly with the power because of the increasing optical force and trapping potential. By utilizing the method mentioned above, the reflected signal \(R_3\) of the trapped DNA was also detected to obtain a real-time trace in the trapping event (Figure 5f).

The intensity of \(R_3\) increases due to the backscattering light of the DNA when the trapped events occurred. The fluctuation of \(R_3\) of the DNA was larger than that of the nanoparticle due to the stronger Brownian motion. In this case, the distance between the trapped DNA molecules and the microlens also exhibited a larger fluctuation so that the molecules would occasionally touch the microlens (see Supplementary Fig. S4e and S4f in the Supplementary Information). By increasing the optical power to 7 mW, the Brownian motion of the DNA molecules was efficiently suppressed due to the stronger optical forces so that the trapped DNA could hardly touch the microlens (Supplementary Fig. S4g and S4h).

Note that although the experimental set-up for illuminating the DNA was the same as that for exciting the fluorescent nanoparticles, we used the 532-nm light for illuminating the DNA rather than 398-nm light because such an illumination method can avoid the elaborate
process of labelling the DNA with the fluorophore and reduce the risk of photo-damages to the DNA caused by the ultraviolet exciting light (398 nm). However, the relatively strong scattering of the 532-nm light caused by the microlens partly affected the observation of the trapped DNA with the optical microscope (Figure 5a–5c). To reduce the scattering effects at the microlens, we alternated the illumination scheme by launching the illuminating light together with the trapping light into the fibre probe. Moreover, a laser beam with a shorter wavelength (473 nm) and lower power (10 μW) was used as the illuminating light. With this method, the scattering at the microlens can be greatly reduced (see Supplementary Fig. S5 in the Supplementary Information).

In the simulations and calculations presented in previous sections, the photonic nanojet was generated by illuminating the microlens with a Gaussian beam through the fibre probe which was exactly aligned to the microlens. However, a misalignment between the optical axis of the optical fibre and the microlens may exist in the experiments. With a binding method, the misalignment can be suppressed below 250 nm (see Materials and methods). To investigate the influence of the misalignment on the optical trapping, additional simulations and calculations have been performed (see Supplementary Fig. S6 in Supplementary Information). The results show that although a misalignment of 250 nm between the fibre and microlens will slightly decrease the optical forces and potentials of the photonic nanojets, the decrement is very small so that the objects can also be trapped and manipulated under such a misalignment. Moreover, compared with the typical illumination condition using plane waves, the microlens illuminated by an optical fibre can pre-focus the light and generate a photonic nanojet with a smaller size and higher intensity, which provides stronger optical forces and larger potentials for trapping nanoparticles (see Supplementary Fig. S6 in Supplementary Information). It should be noted that besides the misalignment discussed above, the size and potentials of the photonic nanojets can also be affected by the distance between the microlens and fibre probe. In fact, it has been demonstrated that by re-positioning the microlens, a nanojet engineering in terms of size, trapping force and potential can be implemented in conventional optical tweezers. In our approach, such an engineering can be realized by adjusting the laser power launched into the fibre probe. This is because the laser power will modulate the radiation pressure exerted on the microlens so that the microlens can be pushed away from the probe and then counter-balanced with the electrostatic attraction at a desired distance. This additional tuning of the nanojet will further extend the trapping and detection applicability.

In the experiments, the detection of a fluorescent signal was demonstrated as an example. Other signals, such as backscattering and Raman scattering signals, can also be enhanced and detected with the same method, which can find applications in super-resolution optical microscopy and single-molecule imaging. For example, additional simulations and calculations show that the backscattering signals of an 85-nm nanoparticle can be enhanced with factors of 1.5 and 5.3×10^3 in the photonic nanojets generated by the PS and TiO_2 microlenses, respectively (see Section 9 with Supplementary Fig. S7 in the Supplementary Information for the simulation and calculations of the backscattering enhancement).

It also should be noted that the optical manipulation of λ-DNA molecules (48-kb long) has been demonstrated by Yang et al. with slot waveguides. However, the manipulation (transport along a straight path) was in two dimensions. Moreover, the optical power required for the slot waveguide approach was 200 mW, which is higher than that required for the demonstrated approach (5 mW). The lower level of the optical power in the manipulation benefits in reducing the risk of damage to the DNA molecules, which is highly desired in single-molecule studies.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, a near-field approach was proposed and demonstrated for realizing three-dimensional optical manipulation and detected signal enhancement of single sub-100-nm objects. The technique makes use of the photonic nanojet from the probe-microlens structure to generate a potential well that is strong enough to trap a single dielectric nanoparticle as well as a single biomolecule, at a relatively low optical power level. The 85-nm PS nanoparticles and plasmid DNA molecules were controllably manipulated in three dimensions over a long range, and the enhancement factors of the fluorescent and backscattering signals were up to ~30 and ~10^3, respectively. This technique requires no bulky optical elements or nano-fabrication processes, which liberates the manipulation and detection from free-space laser systems or nanostructures that are fixed on substrates. With the advantages of highly free manipulation, real-time detection, signal enhancement and low optical power consumption, the demonstrated approach is expected to open new opportunities in a wide variety of scientific fields. The ability to controllably manipulate a single dielectric nanoparticle could benefit nanomaterial science for the assembly of nanostructures. The fusion of optical manipulation and the detection of a single biomolecule could also provide powerful tools for the analysis of physical and chemical properties of nanomaterials or protein molecules in a non-invasive manner. The real-time signal-enhanced detection could find applications in biosensing of viruses, small bacteria and biomolecules.
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