Accurate chiral pattern recognition for amines from just a single chemosensor†
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The current work proposes a novel determination method for enantiomeric excess (ee) in (mono- and di-) amines using molecular self-assembly. A pyridine-attached binaphthyl derivative ((R)-1) exhibits fluorescence responses based on imine formation between the aldehyde group of (R)-1 and target chiral amines (i.e. cyclohexane diamine (CHDA), 2-amino-1,2-diphenylethanol (ADPE), 1,2-diphenylethylenediamine (DPDA), 1-amino-2-indanol (AID), and leucinol) in the presence of zinc(II) ions (Zn²⁺). Because of the multi-optical responses which are derived from the variation of chiral complexes, pattern recognition-based discrimination (i.e. linear discriminant analysis (LDA)) has been achieved for five types of enantiomeric pairs of amines. Possessing such a discrimination capability in combination with data processing (LDA and an artificial neural network) allows accurate determination (prediction error < 1.8%) of the % ee of individual targets such as CHDA which is one of the main components of pharmaceutical drugs. The simple molecular self-assembled system enabled simultaneous multi-chiral discrimination and % ee determination of unknown samples.

Introduction

To date, integration of chemosensors and pattern recognition algorithms has vigorously promoted rapid, accurate and simultaneous sensing of multiple analytes, because finger-print like responses derived from spectral changes in chemosensors can offer valuable information for target discrimination even in complicated environments. To generate finger-print like optical responses in a chemosensor array, diverse chemosensors consisting of many types of backbones, fluorophores and chromophores with several optical detection mechanisms such as intramolecular charge transfer (ICT), photo-induced electron transfer (PeT), and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) are required. Thus, great efforts are necessary to synthesize and integrate a large amount of chemosensors for obtaining a sufficient number of response patterns in a chemosensor array. However, only a few chemosensors have significantly contributed to the discrimination of analytes in practice. Therefore, a simple array consisting of a very small number of chemosensors enabling the discrimination of a large number of analytes is desired. In addition, the employment of molecular self-assembly can reduce the synthetic effort for chemosensors. Thus, the combination of self-assembled chemosensors and pattern recognition is potentially one of the best methods for chemical sensing.

Chiral compounds are challenging target analytes because of the difficulty in design and synthesis of chiral hosts taking complementarity into account. In this regard, a number of binaphthyl derivatives possessing axial chirality have been synthesized and applied to the chiral recognition. As classical designs of chiral binaphthyl hosts, selective receptors with high structural rigidity have been considerably researched. For example, Pu et al. performed chiral discrimination for amino acids based on imine formation of a bisBINOL-based dialdehyde derivative and amino acids. A pyridyl linker moiety of the compound with Zn(II) ions (Zn²⁺) induced a rigid complex, resulting in an OFF-ON type fluorescence response with chiral selectivity. However, chiral chemosensor arrays for qualitative discrimination of multiple analytes and determination of enantiomeric excess (ee) are still at the frontier. In this regard, Anzenbacher et al. reported a self-assembled chemosensor array consisting of three types of binaphthyl-based fluorophores, 2-formylphenylboronic acid and target chiral amines. The proposed array was fabricated by only mixing these components,
and the array offered chiral discrimination based on an ON–OFF fluorescence response pattern derived from spontaneous imino-borane formation. In addition, Wolf et al. reported biomimicry- and click chemistry-based % ee determination methods for chiral amines using CD spectral changes. Here, we propose chiral pattern recognition using a self-assembled system with a single chiral derivative toward simpler % ee determination.

To perform qualitative and quantitative analyses of chiral targets using a single derivative, various optical response patterns should be generated by multiple self-assembled complexes derived from simple components. Toward this end, we designed a very simple binaphthyl derivative (R)-1 for chiral amine discrimination (Fig. 1). The compound (R)-1 possesses aldehyde and pyridyl groups for spontaneous imine formation with chiral amines in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$. It is known that the BINOL-derived imine compound has binding selectivity for Zn$^{2+}$ over other transition metal ions. In our case, Zn$^{2+}$-cooordination would participate in enantioselective binding behavior toward chiral analytes. Notably, the formation of the rigid imine structure in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ results in fluorescence enhancement derived from suppressed non-radiative deactivation and intramolecular charge transfer (ICT). The combination of these effects provides OFF–ON fluorescence responses. In contrast, the removal of Zn$^{2+}$ from the CHDA-derived complex decreases the fluorescence intensity. Such OFF–ON and ON–OFF responses in the fluorescence intensity and color are the key to determine the % ee with low error by using only a single chemosensor. It is notable that the fluorescence color is analyte amine concentration-dependent; the resultant multi-fluorescence response allows us to develop a simple method for % ee determination in the analyte based on pattern recognition and machine learning. With this intention, we selected cyclohexane diamine (CHDA), 2-amino-1,2-diphenylethanol (ADPE), 1,2-diphenylethenediamine (DPDA), 1-amino-2-indanol (AID), and leucinol as targets (Fig. 1) because of their importance in drug development. For example, CHDA is present in the backbone of anti-cancer drugs (e.g. Eloxatin) and anti-viral drugs (e.g. Tamiflu).

Results and discussion

Enantiomeric 3-formyl-2,2’-dihydroxy-1,1’-naphthalene (R)-2 was synthesized according to a method previously reported.

Fig. 1 Chemical structures of (R)-1 and target chiral amines.

The precursor (R)-2 and 2-(chloromethyl)pyridine hydrochloride were mixed; (R)-1 was then obtained by Williamson ether synthesis at 46% yield (Fig. 2). The product (R)-1 was identified by $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR, fast atom bombardment-mass spectrometry, and elemental analysis (see the ESI†). Moreover, single crystal X-ray diffraction of (R)-1 revealed that the pyridine unit was regioselectively attached (Fig. 2). The binaphthyl unit exhibited an orthogonal structure and the dihedral angle was estimated to be 92° in the solid state (see the ESI for more details†).

Next, we attempted to prepare a self-assembled chiral complex with imine formation between (R)-1 and (1R,2R)-CHDA. (R)-1 and Zn(OAc)$_2$ were first mixed in a 1 : 1 molar ratio, and the mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 1 h. Subsequently, the target amine was injected into the mixture and imine formation proceeded spontaneously under incubation for 24 h. Although we set the incubation time as 24 h, the assay time can be shorter due to the 90% fluorescence response time being within 8 h (Fig. S5† and vide infra). The $^1$H NMR spectra of (R)-1 and the product are shown in Fig. 3. The proton peak assigned to the aldehyde group was observed at 10.30 ppm, while the peak disappeared and a new peak assignable to the imino group was observed at 8.16 ppm after
the incubation. Among changes in the chemical shifts of almost all peaks, AB type signals originating from methylene protons were largely split at 5.42 ppm ($J = 15.9$ Hz) and 4.45 ppm ($J = 15.8$ Hz), as shown in Fig. 3B. The splitting is most probably due to the high rigidity and asymmetric environment of the product.19

To further investigate imine formation, we performed UV-vis, circular dichroism (CD), and fluorescence spectroscopy. The complexation of $(R)$-1 and CHDA by spontaneous imine formation in the presence of Zn(OAc)$_2$ accompanied changes in the multi-step optical properties. As an important result, the fluorescence spectra showed the enantioselectivity of Zn(II)-coordinated $(R)$-1 for the pair of enantiomers of CHDA, with the chiral selectivity ($\text{Int}_{(1R,2R)-\text{CHDA}}$/$\text{Int}_{(1S,2S)-\text{CHDA}}$) estimated to be 2500 (Fig. 4A). In this regard, the fluorescence intensity and color showed the difference of complementarity between $(1R,2R)$- and $(1S,2S)$-CHDA for imine formation. Interestingly, the fluorescence pattern of $(R)$-1 contains OFF–ON and ON–OFF fluorescence signals depending on the concentration of CHDA (Fig. 4B). The fluorescence changes were related to UV-vis and CD spectral changes (see the ESI†). The variety of optical response patterns could contribute to the fabrication of pattern-recognition based chemical sensing systems even with a single component.

The complex of $(R)$-1 (10 μM) and Zn(OAc)$_2$ (10 μM) originally showed no fluorescence, and a fluorescence change was not obtained even after the imine formation process in the low concentration range (0 μM $\leq [\text{(1R,2R)-CHDA}] \leq$ 1.7 μM) of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA (Fig. 5A). Subsequently, the fluorescence enhancement accompanied by a blueshift was observed with the gradual increase of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA concentrations ($[(1R,2R)-\text{CHDA}] \geq 20$ μM) (Fig. 5B). The OFF–ON signal in the fluorescence with the blue shift was induced by the increase of the rigidity of the complex and change in the ICT character through the process of Schiff’s base formation.20 Indeed, $^1$H NMR confirmed that the imine product possessed high rigidity (vide supra). Here, a fluorescence ON–OFF signal accompanied by a redshift at an excess amount of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA was observed (Fig. 5C). The optical change may be induced by decreasing the rigidity of the imine structure; an excess amount of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA could pull the Zn$^{2+}$ ion out of the imine complex.24 To reveal whether the optical changes are based on the removal of Zn$^{2+}$ from the CHDA-derived complex, we measured the CHDA-concentration-dependent $^1$H NMR spectra of the mixture of $(R)$-1 and $(1R,2R)$-CHDA in the presence of Zn(OAc)$_2$. The AB type signals assigned to methylene protons at 5.19 ppm ($J = 7.8$ Hz) (Fig. S4A†) were largely split up to 1 eq. of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA at 5.42 ppm ($J = 15.9$ Hz) and 4.45 ppm ($J = 15.8$ Hz) (Fig. S4A–D†). On the other hand, the largely split methyl protons disappeared with the addition of an excess amount of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA (Fig. S4E†). Moreover, in Fig. S4E† signals significantly appeared at 8.55 and 8.35 ppm due to the aromatic protons, the spectral pattern being similar to that of $(R)$-1 as shown in Fig. S4A†. The proton peak assignable to the aldehyde group was not observed in Fig. S4E† indicating that a chemical species with an imine structure ($(R)$–1–$(1R,2R)$-CHDA) might be present in the solution. Given that the addition of an excess amount of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA caused signal broadening in Fig. S4E†, the excess $(1R,2R)$-CHDA most probably released Zn$^{2+}$ from the Zn$^{2+}$-coordinated $(R)$–1–$(1R,2R)$-CHDA complex in a competitive binding mode, which confirms that the ON–OFF change in the fluorescence might stem from the removal of Zn$^{2+}$.

On the other hand, the fluorescence spectra of $(R)$-1 with Zn(OAc)$_2$ showed a slight blueshift with the addition of $(1S,2S)$-CHDA (0 μM $\leq [(1S,2S)-\text{CHDA}] \leq$ 5 μM) (Fig. 5D). Moreover, the fluorescence intensity was gradually enhanced with a blueshift in the spectra ranging from 0.5 to 1.0 eq. of $(1S,2S)$-CHDA (Fig. 5E). Furthermore, a fluorescence decrease accompanied by a redshift was observed with an excess amount of $(1S,2S)$-CHDA, the spectral change of which showed a similar tendency to that of $(1R,2R)$-CHDA (Fig. 5F). Additionally, the emission quantum yields (Φ) of each complex were 20% for $(1R,2R)$-CHDA and 7% for $(1S,2S)$-CHDA, respectively. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) also indicates multi-complexation such as imine products with or without Zn$^{2+}$.

Next, we measured the optical properties for imine formation with a monoamine such as ADPE. As shown in

---

Fig. 4 (A) Fluorescence chiral selectivity of $(R)$-1 with Zn(OAc)$_2$ for CHDA in MeOH. $(R)$-1 = [Zn(OAc)$_2$] = 10 μM, [CHDA] = 20 μM, $\lambda_{em}$ = 365 nm. Red line: $(1R,2R)$-CHDA and blue line: $(1S,2S)$-CHDA. (B) Titration isotherms for CHDA. The titration isotherms were obtained by collecting the maximum emission ($\lambda_{em} = 490$ nm) intensities at various CHDA concentrations.
Fig. 5 Fluorescence spectra of (R)-1 with Zn(OAc)₂ upon addition of CHDA in MeOH at 25 °C. ([R]-1) = [Zn(OAc)₂] = 10 μM, ([1R,2R]-CHDA) = (A) 0–1.7 μM, (B) 1.7–15 μM, and (C) 15–40 μM, ([1S,2S]-CHDA) = (D) 0–5 μM, (E) 5–10 μM, and (F) 10–40 μM. λex = 365 nm. The mixture of (R)-1 and (1R,2R)-CHDA with Zn(OAc)₂ was incubated at 25 °C for 24 h.

Fig. 6A, the fluorescence intensity was enhanced by the imine formation, and such an optical change was saturated at 1 eq. of (1S,2R)-ADPE. In the case of (1S,2R)-ADPE, removal of the Zn²⁺ ion from the (1S,2R)-ADPE derived complex did not occur unlike in CHDA. This is most probably due to the difference in the Lewis acidity between alcohol amine and diamine. In addition, the (1R,2S)-ADPE derived complex showed a relatively weaker OFF–ON fluorescence response than (1S,2R)-ADPE, which could be due to poor complementarity for (R)-1 (Fig. 6B).

Overall, the self-assembled system was able to generate various optical responses to the difference in chirality as well as types of amine derivatives even though only one binaphthyl derivative (R)-1 was used. Hence, the simple system has the potential for simultaneous chiral discrimination and accurate % ee determination for multiple analytes. We set up a fluorescent multi-recognition system based on the proposed simple chiral self-assembly for qualitative and quantitative analysis of five types of enantiomeric pairs of representative amines. For the simultaneous classification of target amines, linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was employed. LDA enables the reduction of the dimensionality of the dataset derived from multi-fluorescence patterns including wavelengths and fluorescence intensities for each target amine and classifies the multivariate data. To evaluate the level of classification accuracy, a leave-one-out cross-validation protocol (i.e. the Jackknife method) was used. Moreover, twenty-four repetitions were conducted for each amine in all assays to assess the reproducibility of the fluorescence responses.

Student’s t-test was also applied to exclude four outlier data-points (of twenty-four repetitions) for decreasing the error. In the LDA results, eleven clusters (control and ten types of chiral amines) were completely discriminated with 100% correct classification (Fig. 7). Remarkably, the classification indicates a difference in the fluorescence responses for chirality, resulting in the grouping of blue (left side) and red clusters (right side). In particular, the distance between (1R,2R)- and (1S,2S)-CHDA was far more than that between others, since the characteristics of (1R,2R)- and (1S,2S)-CHDA complexes, such as the fluorescence properties, were completely different from each other. In this assay, we successfully performed the simultaneous discrimination of enantiomers in amines by using a single binaphthyl derivative in the presence of Zn(OAc)₂.

In semi-quantitative analysis, simultaneous discrimination of the chirality and concentration of CHDA was conducted by using LDA (see the ESI†). The LDA plots show classified clusters of (1R,2R)-CHDA (1 to 30 μM) (circle), (1S,2S)-CHDA (1 to 30 μM) (square), and a control. All tight clusters contain twenty repetitions. 100% correct classification was achieved with chirality and concentration-dependent distances. Indeed, the position of clusters stemmed from the fluorescence responses including the complicated response to CHDA. We further attempted % ee discrimination of (1R,2R)-CHDA (0% ee to 100% ee) at 10 μM. As a result of LDA (Fig. 8), all the clusters were discriminated with 100% correct accuracy. Obviously, the position of these clusters showed linearity, meaning that the proposed simple system can determine the % ee. Finally, we
demonstrated the % ee determination of \((1R,2R)\)-CHDA in combination with an artificial neural network (ANN)\(^{26,27}\) for the prediction of an unknown % ee. In general, for discrimination and/or prediction of target concentrations in complicated mixtures, many types of chemosensors with various characteristics (e.g. backbone structures, fluorophores and chromophores) are necessary to prepare cross-reactive response patterns. Given the great efforts in the development of conventional chemosensor arrays, the molecular self-assembly of a single binaphthyl derivative would be a ground-breaking concept toward simple % ee determination. Thus, we decided to predict unknown % ee by using only a single binaphthyl derivative combined with an ANN. The ANN algorithm is one of the most popular multivariate techniques because it can be used for regression, classification and clustering purposes. The ANN mimics the action of a biological network of neurons, and integration with backpropagation (BP)\(^{28}\) improves the training of feedforward neural networks for supervised learning. Therefore, this ANN-BP was utilized during the regression process. The ANN is a very powerful algorithm to obtain a calibration linear line for targets even though the inset data are complicated because of responses in mixtures or real samples. Hence, the combination of our simple molecular self-assembled system and the ANN model enables highly accurate analysis such as for the determination of the % ee. In this prediction, two types of % ee determination at the (a) large scale (30% ee and 70% ee) and (b) narrow scale (86% ee and 96% ee) were conducted. On the calibration lines, the predicted plots were correctly distributed with low errors (<1.8%) in both the tests (Fig. 9). The multi-detection system composed of a single binaphthyl derivative indicates the possibility of determining the % ee in unknown samples. The results suggest that the proposed model would have the potential for use in practical situations such as drug screening.

---

**Fig. 6** (A) Fluorescence spectra of \((R)\)-1 with Zn(OAc)\(_2\) upon addition of \((1S,2R)\)-ADPE in MeOH at 25 °C. \([R]\cdot 1 = \left[\text{Zn(OAc)}_2\right] = 10 \mu\text{M}, \left[(1S,2R)\right]-\text{ADPE} = 0–30 \mu\text{M}. \lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365 \text{ nm.} \) (B) Titration isotherms for ADPE. The titration isotherms were obtained by collecting the maximum emission \(\lambda_{\text{em}} = 490 \text{ nm} \) intensities at various ADPE concentrations. The mixture of \((R)\)-1 and \((1S,2R)\)-ADPE with Zn(OAc)\(_2\) was incubated at 25 °C for 24 h.

**Fig. 7** LDA canonical plots for the qualitative analysis of five types of enantiomeric pairs of amines and a control with 100% correct classification. Twenty repetitions were conducted for each target. \([R]-1 = \left[\text{Zn(OAc)}_2\right] = \text{chiral amine} = 10 \mu\text{M.}\)
number of amines, we demonstrated qualitative analysis of five types of enantiomeric amine pairs by using LDA. The LDA classification results indicate the ability to discriminate a slight difference in structures. Finally, the determination of % ee in the amines was conducted in combination with the ANN for the accurate prediction of unknown % ee. The prediction was successful at both large (30% ee and 70% ee) and narrow (86% ee and 96% ee) scales. Although the prediction was carried out for a single analyte, quantitative % ee determination of mixtures considering amine exchange reactions could be performed using machine learning algorithms. We successfully performed discrimination of ten types of analytes and prediction of % ee using only one binaphthyl derivative. Thus, we believe that our strategy can open up a new avenue for easy-to-use and accurate chiral pattern recognition from just a single chemosensor.
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