Techno-economic analysis of a combined cooling, heating and power system based on hot sedimentary aquifer for hotel building in tropical countries
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Abstract. Low enthalpy geothermal based Combined Cooling, Heating and Power (CCHP) system for building is expected can increase energy efficiency and reduce carbon dioxide emission. The aim of this study is to assess techno-economic performances of a CCHP for hotel building in tropical countries with total energy demand of 7.64 MW. The fresh geothermal fluid was divided by 15% to power generation system of Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) and the waste heat merged with the rest fresh geothermal to absorption refrigeration system and heating systems. Cycle Tempo and COMSOL are used to simulate CCHP system and a borehole of hot sedimentary aquifer (HSA), respectively. The simulation results indicate that total CCHP system efficiency is 36.61% consist of ORC efficiency and absorption cycle efficiency are 12.77% and 57.88%, respectively with emission reduction of 1.4 ton CO₂ eq. per year compare to conventional grid electricity. The best business scheme was BOO with financial incentives, which were tax allowance, soft loan, and grant. Therefore, the production prices were 0.08 USD/kWh, 0.10 USD/kWh, and 12.03 USD/MMBtu for cooling, electricity, and heating respectively.

1 Introduction

Regarding to increase energy efficiency, Indonesia has target to reduce 1.7% it in every year commercial sector [1]. Despite energy demand in commercial sector for space cooling, lighting, space and water heating are growing significantly, but current energy efficiency implementations are not having a substantial effect in achieving the target. Therefore, the study must be taken care. The importance of energy efficiency in Indonesia was shown on the escalation of energy demand by 3.99% in 2000 – 2014 [2]. Final energy consumption of Indonesian’s commercial building was 5.64% or equal to 38 million BOE in 2016 [3]. Electricity and cooling are the main energy needs for commercial building in tropical countries like Indonesia. The number of hotel building in 2016 up to 2,387 hotels with 233,007 rooms [4].

Indonesia has geothermal potency in all area for both high-temperature and low-temperature. The potency of high-enthalpy geothermal is 16,134 MWₑ and low-enthalpy geothermal near high-temperature resource is 7,886 MWₑ [5]. In fact, most locations in Indonesia can be considered as medium enthalpy because the temperature range on aquifer is about 100°C – 200°C [6]. Geothermal resources in urban area can be retrieved from Hot Sedimentary Aquifer (HSA).

CCHP is combinations that produce electricity and heat from the same energy resource. Previous studies on energy technologies related to energy efficiency are cooling and heating from geothermal and photovoltaic for a building in Romania [7], CCHP from geothermal and natural gas for an office building in China [8], combine heat and power from lignite and geothermal for sustainable buildings in Turkey [9], and combine heat and power from natural gas for small commercial buildings in North America [10]. Many studies use natural gas as main energy resource [8,10-12] and combined energy resource or hybrid [7,9]. The study in tropical country was done in Thailand, which Rakssaksulwong [13] reviewed direct usage of low-enthalpy geothermal as direct use and Chaiyat [14] worked on the prototype of CCHP from low-enthalpy geothermal.

The aim of current study is to assess techno-economic performances of a CCHP using HSA as energy resource in tropical country. The geothermal energy is taken from HSA with one production well and one injection well. Moreover, the simulation is demand-driven so that the downstream is going to be simulated first and then checked if the medium-enthalpy geothermal resource is sufficient. Finally, the result is compared with conventional for economic aspects to find the most feasible business scheme.
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2 Materials and methods

2.1 Energy demand

The assumptions of projected hotel are total hotel area is 45,000 m² or equal to 45 floors, total hotel room of 600 in which 1 room consists of 2 guests, and occupancy rate of 61.5% [15]. Total energy consumption in tropical countries to achieve satisfactory is 320 kWh/m² per year [16]. In tropical countries, cooling is very important so that cooling demand is 56% of total energy consumption [17] and the rest of it is electricity demand. Total water consumption per guest night is 812 L [18]. Heating demand for hot water is calculated with specific heat formula for temperature range of 25°C to 60°C. Additionally, facilities’ heating demand volume size is assumed. The temperature for hot tub, sauna, and hot spring pool is 60 – 70°C, 70 – 100°C, and 35 – 70°C, respectively. Table 1 summarizes energy demand for the proposed hotel.

Table 1. Energy Demand of Proposed Hotel

| Energy Demand          | Total Energy (kW) | Share (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Cooling demand         | 920.55            | 12.05%    |
| Electricity demand     | 723.29            | 9.47%     |
| Hot Water demand       | 509.78            | 6.67%     |
| Sauna demand           | 211.01            | 2.76%     |
| Hot Tub demand         | 70.00             | 0.92%     |
| Hot Spring             | 5206.25           | 68.14%    |
| **Total energy demand**| **7640.88**       | **100.00%**|

2.2 CCHP simulation

The assumptions for CCHP are steady state conditions, constant isentropic efficiency for turbine and pump, outlet condenser is saturated liquid, and outlet generator and evaporator on absorption refrigeration system (ARS) is saturated ammonia gas. CCHP simulation uses Cycle Tempo program. Although geothermal heat source is not available on Cycle Tempo, heat sink suits to represent geothermal heat source which assumption conditions are 140°C and 10 bar [19]. The first cycle is organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) as power generation with R134a as working fluid. Geothermal boiler on ORC has pinch temperature of 7°C. The operating conditions of ORC use pressure to enthalpy (p – h) diagram to determine the best conditions. The second cycle is ARS as cooling system with ammonia – water as working fluid. The generator boiler, condenser, super-heater, and evaporator on ARS have pinch temperature of 5°C, while absorber has pinch temperature of 10°C. The most optimum temperature of generator boiler on ARS is about 130°C [20], so the closest it is the more efficient it becomes.

Water is heated with geothermal heat in a heat exchanger from 25 – 60°C. Fig. 1 shows the CCHP system used in this study.

![Fig. 1. CCHP system](https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201926806006)

Both hot tub and sauna facilities are in the same area so that fresh water circulates from facility that needs higher temperature to the lower one. As sauna needs higher temperature than hot tub, the circulation goes to sauna and hot tub with temperature range of 70 -100°C and 60 – 70°C.

2.3 Borehole simulation

The assumption for borehole simulation is steady-state. The borehole simulation uses COMSOL and only simulates production geothermal well as the best CCHP scenario. The heat source comes from Talang Akar aquifer (West Java) which has averagely 300 m thick and 145°C [21]. There are three layers, which top soil tends to have constant surface temperature in depth of 10 – 20 m [22]. The total depth to the aquifer is 2500 m. The three layers have different soil thermal conductivity of 1, 2 and 5 W/m K [23] for top soil, soil and aquifer respectively. Since the mass flow rate is 36.7 kg s⁻¹ so that the diameter borehole diameter is 8-in nominal diameter and 12-in casing diameter [24].

The simulation uses two steps on COMSOL, which are heat transfer in porous media (ht) and turbulence k-ε. Since the minimum required temperature is 140°C using turbulence flow for heat transfer in fluid. Input the boundary conditions as listed on Table 2.

Table 2. Boundary Conditions on Borehole Simulation

| z = -2500 | Soil Temperature (ht) | 145°C |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------|
|           | Fluid Temperature (ht) | 145°C |
|           | Inlet Fluid (spf)     | 1.3110 m/s |
| z = 0    | Soil Temperature (ht) | 30°C  |
|           | Outlet Fluid (ht)     | Outflow (default COMSOL) |
|           | Outlet Fluid (spf)    | Open Boundary (default COMSOL) |
|           | Gravity (spf)         | Volume Force (-g_const*spf.rho) |
2.4 Economics calculation

The referred prices are 0.08 USD/kWh for cooling, 0.11 USD/kWh for electricity, and 12.81 USD/MMBtu for heating gas. Capital expenditure (CAPEX) is 936 USD/kWt for geothermal drilling, 3825 USD/kWt for ORC [25], 189 USD/kWh for ARS [26], 12.6 USD/kWh for water heater [26], 8.38 USD/kWh for sauna [26], and 9.74 USD/kWh for hot tub [26] (1 USD = 13,500 IDR). Operational expenditure (OPEX) is 2% of CAPEX for geothermal drilling [27] and 6% of CAPEX for other systems [28]. The economics parameter must attain payback period of 10 years and IRR > 6.00%. There are three business schemes option that is going to be studied, which are engineering, procurement and construction (EPC), build, operate and transfer (BOT), and build, own, and operate (BOO) with financial incentives (BOO + FI). Table 3 shows the assumptions for three business schemes.

Table 3. Business Scheme Assumptions

|               | EPC         | BOT         | BOO + FI    |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Price Increment | 3%          | 3%          | 3%          |
| Salvage Value | 6.25% CAPEX | 0           | 6.25% CAPEX |
| Equity/Loan   | 30/70       | 30/70       | 30/70       |
| Equity Rate   | 14.48%      | 14.48%      | 14.48%      |
| Bank Loan Rate | 6.00%       | 6.00%       | 2.00%       |
| Loan Duration (years) | 15          | 10          | 20          |
| Tax Rate      | 25%         | 25%         | 10%         |
| Lifetime (years) | 30          | 20          | 30          |

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Energy demand

Energy demand of five-star hotel building is estimated using engineering model based on quantity of services and energy intensity of services, comprises of thermal energy and electricity. The total energy demand estimation is 7,640.88 kW with its distribution is shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Energy Demand Distribution](image)

3.2 CCHP system

For the simulation, CCHP system splits 15% of fresh geothermal for ORC, then the waste heat merges with the rest fresh geothermal to enter the ARS and heating systems. The optimum conditions of ORC with R134a as working fluid before entering turbine are 120°C and 38 bar, while outlet turbine conditions are 50.5°C and 8.5 bar. The optimum conditions of ARS with ammonia – water as working fluid are affected by incoming geothermal temperature so the outlet temperature of generator in ARS 123.8°C. Because of that, the mass flow is only 36.7 kg s⁻¹. Mass flow leads to pump power as it needs 185.28 kW to circulate the whole system.

The net energy produced by CCHP is revealed in Fig. 3. The energy efficiency of ORC, ARS, and total CCHP system is 12.77%, 57.88% and 36.61%, respectively. It implies the ability of the systems to deliver the energy services. The efficiency of ORC with R134a as working fluid is 10% [25] and only depends on operating conditions. The most optimum generator outlet temperature is 130°C [20], therefore theclosest temperature to the optimum makes the ARS has higher efficiency. Since the system cannot reach the optimum temperature, the efficiency is only 57.88%. This is due to the fresh geothermal is merged with waste heat from power generation system that results lower outlet temperature of generator.

![Fig. 3. CCHP Net Energy Production](image)

CCHP with low-enthalpy geothermal reduces CO₂ emission by 10 times compare to grid electricity. The CCHP produces the emission of 0.16 –0.18 ton CO₂eq per MWh [29]. Grid electricity of Perusahaan Listrik Negara (PLN) gives an emission intensity of 0.808 tonCO₂eq per MWh because the main energy resource is coal [30]. For gas-based power plant is 0.4 tonCO₂eq per MWh [31]. Both PLN electricity and gas-based power higher emission rate than HSA-based CCHP system, thus the difference up to 1.4042 ton CO₂eq per year.

3.3 Borehole supply

The simulation indicates that the output condition of geothermal fluid is 143.2°C as shown on Fig. 4. This can fulfil the needs on CCHP system. The model assumes that the pipe is located in low conductivity rock so that heat loss to the environment is negligible. Horizontal profile for velocity and temperature indicate turbulent flow along the borehole. Although the total temperature decline is small, temperature profile along borehole depth is segmented because of different soil layer characteristics.
3.4 Economics

The capital expenditure (CAPEX) of the whole system is 18.64 million USD, the cost breakdown is shown on Fig. 5.

From the production costs comparation, BOO with FI scheme is the best option for the project as represented on Fig. 6.

The BOO + FI can reach willingness to pay with final production costs of 0.08 USD/kWh, 0.10 USD/kWh, and 12.03 USD/MMBtu for cooling, electricity, and heating respectively. A fiscal incentive through tax allowance from government is regulated by Ministry of Finance regulation number 3 year 2012 (No.3/PMK/2012). Tax allowance reduces income tax to 5% of 70% of net income for the first 6 years and 10% of net income for the rest lifetime. Although the government gives loan facility with lower bank loan rate for geothermal project, soft loan offers lower bank loan rate. The rate depends on London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), which is 2% per year. Soft loan can be obtained from international bank such as Asian Development Bank or World Bank. Moreover, international organization grant on energy efficiency is feasible to get like Global Environment Facilities (GEF) that also focus on energy efficiency and help private sector to reach the same goals. In this experiment case, the grant is 3 billion USD, while it can be bigger depending on the organization’s review.

CHP with low-enthalpy geothermal resource is a good investment for both SPV and hotel management. SPV obviously gets profit with IRR of 13%, payback period of 10 years, and NPV of 142,013 USD. Also, the credibility of SPV to have another project on energy efficiency is possible. Nonetheless, hotel management gets premium quality facilities and possible green building certification.

4 Conclusion

Total energy demand of hotel building is 7,649.88 kW in which mostly used for facilities. Besides, the energy supply arrangement divides 15% of fresh geothermal for ORC and then the waste heat merges with the rest fresh geothermal following systems. The total energy supply is 7,921.69 kW and total efficiency of 36.61%. Additionally, the borehole system of 2,500 m depth and 8-in diameter production well lifts surface geothermal fluid of 143.2°C. To have production costs reach willingness to pay, build, own, operate (BOO) with fiscal incentives, soft loan, and international grant is applied. At last, the final production costs are 0.08 USD/kWh, 0.10 USD/kWh, and 12.03 USD/MMBtu for cooling, electricity, and heating, respectively.
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