Comprehensive analysis and reinterpretation of Cenozoic mesofossils reveals ancient origin of the snapping claw of alpheid shrimps
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Alpheid snapping shrimps (Decapoda: Caridea: Alpheidae) constitute one of the model groups for inferences aimed at understanding the evolution of complex structural, behavioural, and ecological traits among benthic marine invertebrates. Despite being a super-diverse taxon with a broad geographical distribution, the alpheid fossil record is still poorly known. However, data presented herein show that the strongly calcified fingertips of alpheid snapping claws are not uncommon in the fossil record and should be considered a novel type of mesofossil. The Cenozoic remains analysed here represent a compelling structural match with extant species of *Alpheus*. Based on the presence of several distinct snapping claw-fingertip morphotypes, the major radiation of *Alpheus* lineages is estimated to have occurred as early as 18 mya. In addition, the oldest fossil record of alpheids in general can now be confirmed for the Late Oligocene (27–28 mya), thus providing a novel minimum age for the entire group as well as the first reliable calibration point for deep phylogenetic inferences.

Alpheidae Rafinesque, 1815 (Decapoda: Caridea) is a super-diverse group of benthic marine invertebrates1. Species richness and ecological diversity of alpheids, popularly known as pistol or snapping shrimps, are reflected in a number of specialised behaviours. Many snapping shrimps are obligate or facultative symbionts of other marine animals, such as sponges, corals, polychaetes, bristle worms, or fishes2–5. In addition, some alpheids exhibit protandrous or possibly simultaneous hermaphroditism6,7, while others live in groups and constitute the only known eusocial marine invertebrates8,9. The most characteristic structural feature of alpheids is the snapping claw, a specialised appendage resulting from the modification of the distal-most elements of the major cheliped of a highly asymmetrical pair of first pereiopods (Fig. 1a,b). The cheliped fingers are composed of a usually dorsally located dactylus and a predominantly ventrally located pollex (Fig. 1c). During the act of snapping, the plunger (Fig. 1d), a cuticular protrusion situated on the ventral side of the dactylus, rapidly enters into a corresponding
socket located on the dorsal side of the pollex (Fig. 1d). This results in the displacement of a small volume of water\(^1\), which is coupled with a loud cracking sound originating from the collapse of a cavitation bubble\(^1\). Additionally, closure of the snapping claw is accompanied by a short flash of light, a phenomenon known as shrimpoluminescence\(^1\). Due to their extraordinary morphological adaptations and remarkable diversity, alpheid constitute one of the model groups for studies on the evolution of complex traits among benthic marine invertebrates\(^3\,13,14\).

The more recent phylogenetic inferences for Alpheidae are based on molecular analyses of selected taxa\(^2\,15\–18\), most of them involving the two large genera \textit{Alpheus} Fabricius, 1798 and \textit{Synalpheus} Spence Bate, 1888, as well as on a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of morphological characters\(^3\). However, reliable fossil data that would permit calibrating molecular clock estimates of alpheid evolution are still missing. This is primarily a consequence of the limited fossilization potential of the decapod cuticle\(^19\–22\).

Despite this limitation, Kobayashi and colleagues\(^23\) recently suggested that small (\(<5\) mm), isolated decapod body parts with a distinct triangular shape from the Middle Pleistocene of Japan (250–630 kya) could represent alpheid remains. More reports of similar material from coeval or younger Japanese strata followed\(^24\–26\). In parallel, Jagt and colleagues\(^27,28\) reported on similar structures from the Middle Miocene of the Netherlands (16 mya) and also interpreted these as remains of alpheid snapping shrimps. Those authors additionally mentioned occurrences from the Middle Miocene of Poland (13–15 mya) and commented upon a possible record from the Early Miocene of France (20–4 mya). These latter samples had, however, originally been interpreted as remains of cephalopods (Mollusca: Cephalopoda)\(^30,31\). The association of similarly shaped fossils with cephalopod remains was based on fragmentary material from the Late Oligocene of Alabama (27–28 mya) that was used to erect two extinct genera: \textit{Oligosella} Ciampaglio & Weaver, 2008 (Fig. 1e) was interpreted as an embryonic stage of a representative of an unknown higher cephalopod taxon (within Coleoidea), and \textit{Oligorostra} Ciampaglio & Weaver, 2008 (Fig. 1f) was assigned to spirulids (Cephalopoda: Spirulida)\(^30,31\). However, the first interpretation of similar mesofossils was presented by Müller\(^25\), who associated specimens from the Early Miocene of Austria (16–17 mya) with cutting edge fragments of claws belonging to swimming crabs (Decapoda: Brachyura: Portunidae). Thus, several different taxonomic assignments of potentially highly recognizable fossil structures have been presented, but, until now, a rigorous analysis to support any of these hypotheses was lacking.

---

**Figure 1.** Morphology of alpheids and their snapping claw in comparison to selected fossil specimens. (a) Dorsal view of \textit{Alpheus rugimanus}, in life. (b) Dorsal view of the assymetrical chelipeds of \textit{Alpheus websteri}, in life. (c) Major cheliped of \textit{Alpheus bisincisus}, preserved specimen (NHMW-CR-25767). (d) \(\mu\)CT-based X-ray image of the snapping claw shown in (c). (e) SEM micrograph of an allegedly cephalopod fossil specimen, the holotype of \textit{Oligosella longi} (NCSM 10984). (f) SEM micrograph of another allegedly cephalopod fossil specimen, the holotype of \textit{Oligorostra alabami} (NCSM 10980). Scale bars equal 5 mm (a) and 1 mm (b–f). dac = dactylus, mac = major cheliped, mic = minor cheliped, P1–P5 = pereiopods 1–5, plu = plunger, pol = pollex, soc = socket.
Figure 2. Geographical distribution of fossil specimens incorporated into this study. The numbers indicate the localities of the specimens listed in Table 1. (a) Localities in America, Africa, and Asia. (b) Localities in Europe. The maps are modified templates (http://www.freepik.com/free-vector/gray-world-map_788336.htm, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:BlankEurope.png).

| Number | Stratigraphy | Age         | Locality                  | Lithostratigraphy | Lithology | Specimens               | Reference |
|--------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 1      | Late Oligocene (Chattian) | 27–28 mya | St. Stephens Quarry, Alabama, USA | Chickasawhay Limestone | Limestone | NCSM 10978 to NCSM 10995 | 30, 31    |
| 2      | Early Miocene (Aquitanian) | 20.4–23 mya | Saint-Morillon, Gironde, France | Plantat Fm. | Sandy clays | Private collection |          |
| 3      | Early Miocene ('Karpatian' = late Burdigalian) | 16–17 mya | Teiritzberg, Lower Austria, Austria | Korneuburg Fm. | Clays | NHMW 1997/0171/0001 to NHMW 1997/0171/0003, NHMW 2016/0155/0001 | 32, this study |
| 4      | Middle Miocene (early Langhian) | 16 mya | Miste, Gelderland, Netherlands | Berea Fm. | Sands | MAB k.3570 | 27, 28    |
| 5      | Middle Miocene (early 'Badenian' = Langhian) | 15 mya | Wetzelsdorf, Styria, Austria | Florian Beds | Clays | UMJGP 75579, UMJGP 211443 to UMJGP 211468 | This study |
| 6      | Middle Miocene (middle 'Badenian' = Langhian) | 14–15 mya | Bernhardshall, Lower Austria, Austria | Jakubov Fm. | Clays | NHMW 2016/0151/0001 | This study |
| 7      | Middle Miocene (middle 'Badenian' = Langhian) | 14–15 mya | Kemberg, Mikulov, Czech Republic | Hrusky Fm. | Sands | NHMW 2006/0343/0022 to NHMW 2006/0343/0031 | This study |
| 8      | Middle Miocene ('Badenian' = Langhian-early Serravallian) | 13.3–16 mya | Bad Vioflau, Lower Austria, Austria | Baden Fm. | Clays | NHMW 2016/0154/0001 to NHMW 2016/0154/0011 | This study |
| 9      | Middle Miocene (early 'Badenian' = Langhian) | 13–15 mya | Korytnica, Mazovia, Poland | Korytnica Clays | Clays | Radwanski collection, University of Warsaw | 27        |
| 10     | Middle Miocene (late 'Badenian' = early Serravallian) | 13–13.5 mya | Dubová, Pezinok, Slovakia | Studenka Fm. | Clays | KGP-MH DU-401, KGP-MH DU-002 | This study |
| 11     | Late Miocene (Tortonian) | 7.2–11.6 mya | Saint-Clément-de-la-Place, Maine-et-Loire, France | Redonien Chaud | Sands | NHMW 2016/0190/0001 to NHMW 2016/0190/0004 | 47        |
| 12     | Middle Pleistocene (Ionian) | 250–630 kya | Atsumi, Aichi Prefecture, Japan | Atsumi Group | Silty sands | MFM142476, MFM1424520 | 23, 24    |
| 13     | Middle Pleistocene (Ionian) | 230–250 kya | Amakusa, Kumamoto Prefecture, Japan | Ogushi Fm. | Clays | GCM-IVP3164 to GCM-IVP3167 | 25        |
| 14     | Late Pleistocene (Tarantian) | 125 kya | Minamishimabara, Nagasaki Prefecture, Japan | Oe Fm. | Sands | MFM145530, MFM145531 | 26        |
| 15     | Late Pleistocene (Tarantian) | 117–126 kya | Hurghada, al-Bahr-al-Ahmar, Egypt | Inter-reefal sands | NHMW 2016/0152/0001 to NHMW 2016/0152/0011, NHMW 2016/0153/001 to NHMW 2016/0153/0005 | This study |

Table 1. Fossil specimens used in the present study. Material listed in geochronological order. The consecutive numbers correspond to localities depicted in Fig. 2. GCM = Goshoura Cretaceous Museum, Amakusa, Japan; KGP = Department of Geology and Palaeontology, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia; MAB = Oertijdmuseum De Groene Poort, Boxtel, Netherlands; MFM = Mizunami Fossil Museum, Mizunami, Japan; NCSM = North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, Raleigh, NC, USA; NHMW = Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna, Austria; UMJGP = Department for Geology and Palaeontology, Universalmuseum Joanneum, Graz, Austria; Fm. = formation kya = thousands years ago; mya = million years ago.
Using a set of invasive and non-invasive techniques, the present study provides the first comprehensive structural examination of these enigmatic remains. We demonstrate here that previously described as well as newly collected fossil samples not only represent remains of alpheids, but can in many cases even be identified as the fingertips of the snapping claws of early representatives of the genus *Alpheus*. These findings lead to the assignment of the oldest fossil record of alpheid shrimps to the Late Oligocene, almost 30 million years ago.

**Figure 3.** Morphology of selected fossil samples incorporated into this study. (a–c) Triangular morphotype with a short hook. (d–f) Triangular morphotype with a long hook and a convex margin. (g–i) Triangular morphotype with a long hook and a concave margin. (j–l) Almost rectangular morphotype with a blunt tip. (m–o) Morphotype with a strong blunt end. Specimens: (a) UMJGP 211462. (b) UMJGP 211458. (c) NHMW 2006z0343/0026. (d) NHMW 2016/0152/0016. (e) KGP-MH DU-001. (f) UMJGP 211446. (g) NHMW 2016/0152/0011. (h) NHMW 2016/0152/0004. (i) NHMW 2016/0152/0005. (j) UMJGP 211463. (k) UMJGP 211447. (l) UMJGP 211448. (m) UMJGP 211464. (n) UMJGP 211452. (o) UMJGP 211450. Upper line shows photographs, while middle and lower lines show SEM micrographs. Scale bars equal 500µm.

**Figure 4.** Calcification pattern of the extant alpheid snapping claw. (a) µCT-based volume rendering of the snapping claw of *Alpheus bisincisus*, preserved specimen (NHMW-CR-25767). (b) Virtual sagittal section through the same µCT dataset illustrating differences in X-ray absorption caused by different degrees of cuticular calcification. Scale bars equal 1 mm. cut = cuticle, dac = dactylus, epi = epidermis, fit = fingertip, mus = muscle, plu = plunger, pol = pollex, sca = strong calcification, soc = socket, wca = weak calcification.
shape: I) triangular with a short hook (Figs 1e and 3a–c); II) triangular with a long hook and a convex margin (Fig. 3d–f); III) triangular with a long hook and a concave margin (Fig. 3g–i); and IV) almost rectangular with a blunt tip (Fig. 3j–l). The fifth morphotype, with a strong blunt end, differed more substantially from the other four morphotypes (Figs 1f and 3m–o). The following aspects strongly argue for an interpretation of these fossils as remains of alpheid shrimps.

All fossil specimens exhibited a consistent preservation pattern: they appeared to be broken off along a similar line of structural weakness. In decapods, such a line is known to mark differences in the calcification pattern between the distal tip and the remainder of the original structure. The particular preservation pattern observed is highly reminiscent of the claw fingertips of alpheid shrimps. For example, in all species of *Alpheus*, the fingertip of the snapping claw is always more strongly calcified than the remainder of the claw (Fig. 4), resulting in an externally identifiable boundary between these two areas (Fig. 5a). This boundary is particularly conspicuous in living individuals, in which the fingertips of the snapping claw are pale pinkish, reddish, or purplish, thereby markedly contrasting with the different colour of the rest of the claw (Fig. 1a). In contrast to *Alpheus*, all species of *Synalpheus* as well as some species of other alpheid genera (e.g., *Alpheopsis Coutière, 1897* or *Nennalpheus Banner & Banner, 1981*) possess claw fingertips that are not calcified, but instead are corneous (i.e. proteinaceous), semi-transparent, and amber yellow in colour (Fig. 5b). The claw fingertips of the remaining alpheid taxa are similar to the rest of the claw; here, sometimes only the distal-most portion of the fingertips may be slightly more calcified or corneous than the rest of the finger. Further decapod taxa possessing a functional snapping claw, such as some representatives of the palaemonid shrimps (Decapoda: Caridea: Palaemonidae), have uncalcified fingertips that do not display a clear boundary between the fingertip and the remainder of the claw (Fig. 5c).

All fossil specimens exhibited rows of pores along their crests (Fig. 6). The arrangement of these pores was symmetrical on both sides of the fossil structures (Fig. 6b,c,e,f). Such an arrangement of pores can also be found in claw fingertips of extant representatives of *Alpheus* (Fig. 6a). Based on their size, location, and pattern of distribution, these structures were identified as setal pores. Another similarity between most of the fossil material and extant specimens of *Alpheus* was the presence of basal pits on the flattened side of the fossil structure (Fig. 6d,g).

In *Alpheus*, these pits bear stamen-shaped sensillae that serve as sensory structures. Analyses of the internal structure of the fossil samples showed a distinct layering reminiscent of the cuticle found in decapods (Fig. 7a,b). SEM micrographs of the external layer revealed the presence of numerous pores (Fig. 7c), whilst thin sections showed elongated structures penetrating the different layers (Fig. 7d–e). In decapods, these so-called tegumental canals are associated with the transportation and deposition of cuticular material.

Further analyses of the internal structure of extant as well as fossil claw fingertips revealed significant differences in X-ray attenuation between the proximal and distal portions of the snapping claw dactylus (Fig. 8). In the distal part, an increase in X-ray attenuation from the inside to the outside was observed that corresponded to differences in cuticular density resulting from differing degrees of calcification (Fig. 4b). The pattern of cuticular layering seen in the extant sample (Fig. 8a) was very similar to that seen in the fossil specimens (Fig. 8b–e).

With regard to their chemical composition, the claw fingertips of extant alpheids and those of fossil specimens were largely identical, with calcite being the principal constituent. The fossil samples contained a secondary substrate admixture composed of muscovite, chlorite, and quartz deriving from attached sediment particles (Fig. 9b). Results obtained using Raman spectroscopy revealed three characteristic bands of calcite at 1088/1087, 714/713, and 283/282 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 9c–d). Fluorescence levels were relatively high, implying the presence of organic and inorganic impurities. Furthermore, in the proximal portion of the dactylus of the extant specimen (Fig. 9c), a major broadening of the 1088/1087 cm⁻¹ calcite band was observed, which can be explained by the lower degree of calcification of the proximal portion of the alpheid snapping claw.
Alpheid shrimps are model organisms for studying morphological variation, one of the principal causes for adaptive radiation. In this sense, the key innovation of alpheids is the snapping claw. This specialised organ is a multifunctional tool used for various inter- and intraspecific behaviours, such as aggression, warning, or defence, as well as for stunning and killing prey. The functional morphology of the snapping claw has been studied extensively and several physical phenomena associated with snapping, including water jets, light production, and cavitation bubbles have received considerable attention. However, reliable fossil material that would permit studying the evolution of the alpheid snapping claw more comprehensively or to calibrate molecular clock estimates for alpheids in general had previously not been identified.

The poor fossil record of Alpheidae is a direct consequence of two principal factors: low fossilisation potential of small-sized decapods and difficulties in attributing fossil remains to alpheids and not to other shrimps. The latter impediment is illustrated by the interpretation of some of the fossil samples studied herein as cutting edge fragments of the claws of swimming crabs or as the rostra and beaks of cephalopods. However, the chelipeds of derived alpheids differ morphologically from those of all other decapods and exhibit a unique combination of morphological characters not present in any other decapod taxon. Therefore, based on the results presented above, the entire fossil material listed in Table 1 is here identified as the remains of the strongly calcified claw fingertips of alpheids. The material comprises several morphotypes of snapping claw fingertips, including four morphotypes attributable to the tip of the dactylus and one morphotype that can be assigned to the tip of the pollex. Our data show that fingertips of alpheid snapping claws are not uncommon in the fossil record and should therefore be considered a novel type of mesofossil.

In taphonomic experiments using extant decapod material, strongly calcified claw fingertips were always retained, irrespective of the time of deployment. Due to their increased level of calcification, claw fingertips are therefore present in most fossil decapod assemblages. Correspondingly, we observed a fundamental difference in the microstructure of alpheid claw fingertips in comparison to the remainder of the claw and as well as in the chemical composition of the respective parts. These structural differences are conducive to the preferential preservation of the distal-most parts of the claw. However, a positive bias towards fossilisation of larger-sized alpheid species that possess distally strongly calcified snapping claws - primarily species of Alpheus - can be expected.

In fact, some of these fossil forms have morphological analogues among extant species of Alpheus. For instance, the large triangular dactylus with a long hook and a convex margin documented from the Miocene of Egypt (Fig. 3d) as well as the Miocene of Slovakia (Fig. 3e) and Austria (Fig. 3f) is an almost perfect match for the homologous structure in the extant species Alpheus armatus Rathbun, 1901 (Fig. 5a). In addition, the fossil triangular morphotype with a short hook (Fig. 3a–c) can be matched with the dactylus of extant representatives of the Alpheus brevirostris (Olivier, 1811) species group, such as Alpheus bellulus Miya & Miyake, 1969. The co-occurrence of these forms at some localities suggests that the group was already diversifying in the Middle
Miocene. As was previously shown, the co-occurrence of several, more or less closely related species of *Alpheus* at a single site is very common in modern shallow marine habitats, especially in the tropics. However, some of the morphological variation observed may also be attributable to differences in the degree of usage of the claw as well as the individuals’ age or sex. In general, sexual dimorphism in alpheids can be assessed by observing the more or less pronounced differences in claw shape and size among sexually mature adults. Nevertheless, it can be stated with confidence that already by 30 mya alpheids developed several of the snapping claw morphologies that can be observed today.

The tree topology resulting from a broad phylogenetic analysis of alpheid morphological characters suggested a single origin of the snapping claw. However, the apparent parallel evolution of the snapping claw and orbital hoods – protrusions of the carapace which protect the shrimp’s eyes from mechanical damage resulting from snapping – a hypothesis first postulated by Coutière, may have resulted in an interdependence of several, possibly homoplasious characters. In general, many conspicuous features of alpheid claws appear prone to convergent evolution.

Figure 7. - Microstructure of the fossil samples. (a) Posterior view of a fossil dactylus fingertip (KGP-MH DU-002) analysed using SEM. (b) Detail of the same specimen, showing three-fold cuticular layering. (c) Detail of the surface of the same specimen, showing the external pores of tegumental canals. (d) Thin section of a fossil dactylus fingertip (NHMW 2016/0154/0008) as seen under polarised light. (e) Detail of the same specimen, showing tegumental canals located inside the cuticle. tca = tegumental canal, tcp = tegumental canal pore.

Figure 8. - X-ray attenuation in an extant alpheid snapping claw and corresponding fossil samples. The upper line shows µCT-based X-ray images that indicate the position of the false colour-coded virtual sections depicted below. (a) Extant specimen: *Alpheus bisincisus* (NHMW-CR-25767). (b–e) Fossil specimens: (b) UMJGP 211460, (c) NHMW 2016/0154/0010, (d) NHMW 2016/0154/0009, and (e) UMJGP 211461. Note that in (a) the musculature inside the claw was virtually removed to allow for better comparison. Scale bars equal 100 μm. epi = epidermis, cut = cuticle.
evolution\(^3\), and the snapping mechanism may not be an exception. Structural differences between the snapping claws of *Alpheus* and *Synalpheus\(^3\) (Fig. 5a,b) as well as preliminary molecular analyses\(^16\) support multiple origins of this highly specialised appendage, a situation also occurring in palaemonid shrimps\(^4\).

Using a molecular clock approach, the origin of Alpheidae was previously estimated to around 150 mya\(^41\). Earlier investigations of a selection of American species of *Synalpheus\(^2\) found evidence for a major radiation of this taxon during the Late Miocene/Early Pliocene (5–7 mya), i.e. prior to the final closure of the Isthmus of Panama\(^42\). In addition, Hurt and colleagues\(^17\) concluded that at least two transisthmian species pairs of *Alpheus* diverged well before the final closure of the Isthmus of Panama, one of them possibly as early as 13 mya. The split between the most divergent transisthmian pairs of *Alpheus* was therefore estimated to have occurred during the Early Miocene at about 18 mya\(^43\), which is corroborated with the present observations of several distinct claw fingertip morphotypes from Middle Miocene deposits (Table 1, Fig. 3a–f). However, as shown here, the emergence of a complex snapping claw must have taken place much earlier, at least prior to the Late Oligocene: the oldest known fossil alpheid samples originate from the Chickasawhay Limestone (Table 1; Fig. 1e,f), a unit dated at 27–28 mya\(^44,45\). This date is more than ten\(^2\) or even more than 25 million years\(^23–26\) older than the previous, uncertain records of alpheid fossil remains. Our data thus provide the first reliable calibration points for future phylogenetic inferences focusing on the evolution of complex behavioural and morphological traits among one of the principal model taxa of benthic marine invertebrates.

**Methods**

**Specimens.** Fossil specimens extracted from bulk samples that had been processed wet through a stack of sieves were manually picked from washed residues under a binocular. Detailed information on fossil specimens is provided in Table 1, while the extant specimens used in the present study were: *Alpheus armatus* Rathbun, 1901 (NHMW-CR-19580), *Alpheus bisincisus* De Haan, 1849 (NHMW-CR-25767 to NHMW-CR-25771), *Alpheus rugimanus* A. Milne-Edwards, 1878 (unvouchered), *Alpheus websteri* Kingsley, 1880 (unvouchered), *Coralliocaris graminea* (Dana, 1852) (NHMW-CR-7760), and *Synalpheus gambarelloides* (Nardo, 1847) (NHMW-CR-962).

**Micro-Photography.** Fossil and extant specimens were photographed using a SteREO Discovery.V20 stereomicroscope equipped with a digital camera (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany).

**Scanning electron microscopy.** Imaging of fossil and extant samples was carried out under high-vacuum settings using JSM-6610LV and JSM-6380LV (JEOL, Akishima, Japan) as well as S-3700N (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) SEMs.

**Micro-computed tomography.** The entire snapping claw of one extant specimen (*Alpheus bisincisus* NHMW-CR-25767) and the claw fingertips of four fossil specimens (NHMW 2016/0154/0009, NHMW 2016/0154/0010, UMJGP 211460, UMJGP 211461) were analysed using a SkyScan 1272 µCT scanner (Bruker microCT, Kontich, Belgium). The dry specimens were placed in conical plastic tubes and scanned in air. Scanning parameters were: 60 kV source voltage, 166 µA source current, 3 µm isotropic voxel resolution, 1,706 ms exposure, 0.5° rotational steps over 180°, 2 averages, 0.25 mm aluminium filter, and 56 min scan time.

**Light microscopy.** Selected specimens were manually ground to a thin slice. After transfer to a glass slide and fine grinding to the target plane (75 µm), sections were polished and observed under a SteREO Discovery.V20 stereomicroscope using polarising filters.
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