Background: The relationship between the Ki-67 expression level and chemotherapy response and survival prognosis in advanced lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) remains unclear.

Methods: A total of 101 patients were included in the study. All patients received systemic first-line platinum-based chemotherapy. The Ki-67 expression level was determined by immunohistochemistry analysis.

Results: The Ki-67 expression level was positively correlated with an increase in tumor T stage ($P = 0.0140$), N stage ($P < 0.0001$), and M stage ($P < 0.0001$) in advanced lung SCC. High Ki-67 expression could predict chemotherapy response (area under the curve = 0.7524, $P < 0.0001$). Patients with tumors that expressed high levels of Ki-67 had shorter overall survival (OS) (18.8 months vs 25.5 months, $P = 0.0002$) and progression-free survival (PFS) (4.8 months vs 6.7 months, $P < 0.0001$). Cox analysis found Ki-67 expression to be an independent prognostic biomarker of shortened OS ($P = 0.009$) and PFS ($P = 0.008$).

Conclusion: Ki-67 expression may affect chemotherapy response and thus has prognostic value. Ki-67 expression may be a promising prognostic biomarker for advanced lung SCC.

Keywords: Ki-67 expression, advanced lung squamous cell carcinoma, chemotherapy response, prognostic value

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common malignancy with the highest incidence and mortality rate worldwide. Lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) represents approximately 30% of all cases of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Overall, 62% of lung adenocarcinomas harbor known activating mutations in driver oncogenes, but similar driver mutations are rarely observed in lung SCCs. Programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) is a biomarker that has been shown to predict survival outcomes among patients with advanced lung SCC treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Although immunotherapy has changed the treatment pattern of advanced lung SCC, platinum-based doublet chemotherapy is still the main treatment option for most patients, especially for patients with PD-L1 < 1%. Unfortunately, there are no known biomarkers that can predict survival outcomes among patients with advanced lung SCC treated with platinum-based doublet chemotherapy.

Ki-67, a nuclear non-histone protein, has been reported to predict survival outcomes in many cancers, including breast cancer and prostate cancer. Interest in the clinical impact of Ki-67 expression in NSCLCs has recently increased, but its role as a prognostic marker remains unclear. Several studies have suggested that high tumor Ki-67 expression is
a strong prognostic factor in lung adenocarcinoma. However, Ki-67 expression is still not considered an established factor for routine use in patients with NSCLC, especially for lung SCCs. Although a meta-analysis of numerous studies suggested that high Ki-67 expression is a negative prognosticator in lung SCC, the results of this meta-analyses are hampered by a lack of uniformity regarding the chosen cutoff values, confounding the significance of Ki-67 expression in advanced lung SCC. Thus, Ki-67 evaluation in lung SCC has not yet been successfully translated into routine diagnostics.

Therefore, we analyzed the relationship between Ki-67 expression in advanced lung SCC and the clinicopathologic characteristics, chemotherapy responses, and survival prognosis to further understand the significance of Ki-67 expression in advanced lung SCC.

**Methods**

**Study Population and Design**

We retrospectively analyzed the clinical records of 101 patients with stage IV lung SCC who received systemic first-line platinum-based chemotherapy at the Anhui Chest Hospital between March 2016 and March 2018. Briefly, the screening criteria were as follows: a) stage IV lung SCC, b) 18 to 75 years old, c) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status score of 0–1, d) had not received palliative radiotherapy, and e) expected survival time > 3 months. All patients included in the study had a complete clinical characteristic record and tissue samples available for histologic review and immunohistochemistry analysis. The chemotherapy response was assessed according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.1 (RECIST). All available data were collected, including Ki-67 expression levels and clinicopathological features, including sex, age, ECOG performance status, smoking status, and tumor, node, metastasis (TNM) status. The TNM system of the 7th edition of the International Association for Lung Cancer Research (IASLC) was used for diagnosing and classifying. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Anhui Chest Hospital (no. k2021 - 005). Because of the retrospective nature of the study, the requirement for informed consent was waived.

**Immunohistochemistry and Ki-67 Analysis**

Immunohistochemical staining was performed using a Ventana Benchmark XT automated multifunctional slide system (Ventana, Arizona, USA), and a monoclonal antibody against Ki-67 (clone 30-9, Ventana Medical Systems, Inc., USA) was used. The number of tumor cells per sample was calculated by counting and scoring the percentage of positive cells in 5 randomly selected fields at high magnification (400×). The number of Ki-67-positive tumor cells per 100 tumor cells was counted in each microscopic field. The percentage of positive tumor cells was scored to assess Ki-67 immunostaining as follows: positive if brownish-yellow staining was seen in the nucleus and Ki-67-positive cells were > 5%; negative if no brownish-yellow staining was observed or Ki-67-positive cells were < 5%. Next, Ki-67 expression was scored according to the percentage of positive cells: 1 for < 15%, 2 for 16% - 45%, 3 for 46% - 75%, and 4 for > 75% (Figure 1).

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) or SPSS 16.0 (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables are presented as the mean ± SEM, while numerical variables are given as N (%). The relationship between the clinicopathological features of patients with advanced lung SCC and Ki-67 expression was assessed by Pearson’s chi-squared test. The relationship between Ki-67 expression and chemotherapy response was assessed by unpaired t-test. The predictive value of Ki-67 expression in determining the objective response rate (ORR) of advanced lung SCC was assessed by receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. The correlations between Ki-67 expression level and the progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) rates were determined by the Kaplan-Meier curve and Log rank test. The Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to assess risk factors. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics**

All enrolled patients had pathologically confirmed stage IV (M1a: 75.2%; M1b: 24.8%) lung SCC. The mean Ki-67 expression level was 51.53 ± 2.231% across all samples. The mean patient age was 63.46 ± 0.772 years. Sixty-eight patients were male (67.3%), and 33 were female (32.7%). Seventy-five (74.3%) patients were current or former smokers, and 26 (25.7%) patients were never smokers (Table 1).
Correlation Between Ki-67 Expression and the Clinicopathological Parameters of Advanced Lung SCC

Based on the differences in the Ki-67 expression level, we analyzed the relationship between Ki-67 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients with advanced lung SCC. The expression of Ki-67 increased with increasing tumor T stage ($P = 0.0140$), N stage ($P < 0.0001$), and M stage ($P < 0.0001$) (Figure 2E–G). However, there was no significant association between Ki-67 expression and the other clinicopathological features analyzed (Figure 2A–D).

Association Between High Ki-67 Expression and Chemotherapy Response in Patients with Advanced Lung SCC

All patients were included in the evaluation of treatment efficacy after 2 courses of chemotherapy. No patients achieved a complete response, 44 patients achieved a partial response, 50 patients had stable disease, and 7 patients experienced progressive disease. The ORR was 43.6%, and the disease control rate (DCR) was 93.1%. We found that high Ki-67 expression was associated with ORR ($P < 0.0001$) but not with DCR ($P = 0.2182$) in patients with advanced lung SCC (Figure 3A and B). We analyzed the ROC curve for the ORR to more accurately determine the significance of Ki-67 expression in the chemotherapy response of patients with advanced lung SCC. Our results suggested that high Ki-67 expression could be a promising biomarker for the ORR of patients with advanced lung SCC. The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.7524 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.6568–0.8479], with a sensitivity of 0.6316 (95% CI: 0.4934–0.7555) and a specificity of 0.7727 (95% CI: 0.6216–0.8853) when the cutoff value was set at 45% according to our detection method (Figure 3C).

High Ki-67 Expression Levels Indicate a Poor Clinical Prognosis

Based on the cutoff value of the Ki-67 level, the patients were divided into a high Ki-67 expression group and a low
**Table 1** Tumor Characteristics of Patients with Advanced Lung SCC

| Characteristic                      | N (%)       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Age                                 | 63.46 ± 0.772 |
| Mean ± SEM, years                   |             |
| Sex                                 | 68/33       |
| Male/female                         |             |
| ECOG performance status             | 22/79       |
| 0/1                                 |             |
| Smoking status                      | 26/75       |
| Never smoker/ current or former smoker |           |
| Ki-67                               | 51.53 ± 2.231 |
| Mean ± SEM, (%)                     |             |
| T stage                             |             |
| T1                                  | 0 (0.0)     |
| T2                                  | 44 (43.6)   |
| T3                                  | 35 (34.6)   |
| T4                                  | 22 (21.8)   |
| N stage                             |             |
| N0                                  | 0 (0.0)     |
| N1                                  | 48 (47.5)   |
| N2                                  | 42 (41.6)   |
| N3                                  | 11 (10.9)   |
| M stage                             |             |
| M1a                                 | 76 (75.2)   |
| M1b                                 | 25 (24.8)   |

**Abbreviations:** SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; ECOG, eastern cooperative oncology group; T, tumor; N, node; M, metastasis; SEM, standard error of the mean.

**Discussion**

Ki-67 is a DNA-binding nuclear non-histone protein first identified 30 years ago. However, the role of Ki-67 and its value as a biomarker in lung cancer have not previously been determined. In this study, we analyzed the relationship between Ki-67 expression and the clinical features of stage IV lung SCC patients treated with chemotherapy. We found that the T stage, N stage, and distant metastasis all had a strong link with high Ki-67 expression. We also evaluated the clinical value of the Ki-67 expression level to predict the chemotherapy response and prognosis of patients with advanced lung SCC. ROC curve analysis showed that Ki-67 could be used as a biomarker for predicting chemotherapy response. We also found that Ki-67 expression was a poor prognostic indicator for OS and PFS in patients with stage IV lung SCC. We concluded from the Cox regression analysis that Ki-67 expression might serve as an independent prognostic biomarker in advanced lung SCC.

In a previous study, Warth et al showed that Ki-67 expression was not significantly associated with T stage (P = 0.374), N stage (P = 0.807), or M stage (P = 0.527) in lung SCC. In addition, Masuda et al suggested that there was no significant correlation between Ki-67 expression and T stage (P = 0.186) or N stage (P = 0.539). However, previous clinical studies primarily included patients with stage I–II disease and few patients with stage IV disease. Our study enrolled patients with stage IV lung SCC and found that Ki-67 expression was significantly associated with T, N, and M stage.

Although much effort has been exerted to explore biomarkers that can predict the efficacy of chemotherapy, as yet no biomarkers have been found to accurately predict chemotherapy efficacy in lung SCC. Warth et al showed that Ki-67 expression positively impacted the survival of patients with lung SCC, but Ki-67 expression failed to predict a benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy in that study. Masuda et al showed that high Ki-67 expression levels were a significant indicator of poor prognosis in patients with lung SCC. However, the study only demonstrated that Ki-67 expression was associated with tumor infiltration patterns. Hence, it is unclear from these previous studies whether Ki-67 expression can predict chemotherapy efficacy. The current study is the first to identify that high Ki-67 expression can accurately predict chemotherapy efficacy in advanced lung SCC. We found an improved chemotherapy efficacy in patients with high Ki-67 expression; however, OS and PFS
were not satisfactory. Therefore, it is important to explore ways to improve the prognosis of patients with advanced lung SCC who have high Ki-67 expression. Immune checkpoint inhibitors have changed the therapeutic approach to advanced lung SCC.\textsuperscript{23} Immunotherapy combined with platinum-based doublet chemotherapy can provide better outcomes than single platinum-based doublet chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{24} Several studies have demonstrated a correlation between Ki-67 expression and PD-L1 in NSCLC.\textsuperscript{19,25} Hence, we will explore the correlation between Ki-67 expression and PD-L1 expression to further enhance the survival prognosis of advanced lung SCC in the future.

There are some limitations to this study. Owing to the retrospective study design, only patients with stage IV lung SCC were included and no control group was established, such as Ki-67 expression in healthy tissue or Ki-67

---

**Figure 2** Relative expression of Ki-67 in subgroups: (A) age, (B) sex, (C) smoking status, (D) ECOG PS, (E) T stage, (F) N stage, and (G) M stage.

**Abbreviations:** ECOG PS, eastern cooperative oncology group performance status; T, tumor; N, node; M, metastasis.
Our findings confirm that Ki-67 expression plays an important role in predicting chemotherapy efficacy and determining survival prognosis. Ki-67, as a promising prognostic biomarker for advanced lung SCC, should be further investigated.

Figure 4 High expression level of Ki-67 predicts a poor outcome for advanced lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) patients. (A) Patients with high Ki-67 expression levels have a shortened overall survival (OS). (B) Patients with high Ki-67 expression levels have a shortened progression-free survival (PFS).

expression in early-stage tumor tissue. In addition, the sample size is relatively small. These may have led to bias in the results. We plan to conduct a series of prospective studies that will enroll different cohorts to further investigate the significance of Ki-67 expression in lung SCC.

Conclusions
Our findings confirm that Ki-67 expression plays an important role in predicting chemotherapy efficacy and determining survival prognosis. Ki-67, as a promising prognostic biomarker for advanced lung SCC, should be further investigated.
Table 2 Cox Regression Analysis of Prognostic Risk Factors and Patient Progression-Free Survival

| Risk Factors     | Univariate Analysis | Multivariate Analysis |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                  | HR                  | 95% CI                | P value  | HR                  | 95% CI                | P value  |
| Sex              | 1.745               | 1.108–2.749           | 0.016*   | 1.062               | 0.556–2.026           | 0.856    |
| Age              | 1.018               | 0.989–1.047           | 0.233    |                      |                       |          |
| ECOG PS          | 0.754               | 0.437–1.300           | 0.310    |                      |                       |          |
| Smoking status   | 0.706               | 0.427–1.166           | 0.174    |                      |                       |          |
| T stage          | 2.247               | 1.651–3.058           | 0.000*   | 1.448               | 0.901–2.329           | 0.126    |
| N stage          | 1.740               | 1.242–2.437           | 0.001*   | 1.253               | 0.767–2.045           | 0.367    |
| M stage          | 1.064               | 0.648–1.745           | 0.807    |                      |                       |          |
| Ki-67            | 1.027               | 1.016–1.037           | 0.000*   | 1.019               | 1.005–1.033           | 0.008*   |

Note: *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: T, tumor; N, node; M, metastasis; ECOG PS, eastern cooperative oncology group performance status; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Table 3 Cox Regression Analysis of Prognostic Risk Factors and Patient Overall Survival

| Risk Factors     | Univariate Analysis | Multivariate Analysis |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                  | HR                  | 95% CI                | P value  | HR                  | 95% CI                | P value  |
| Sex              | 1.991               | 1.161–3.412           | 0.012*   | 1.288               | 0.598–2.775           | 0.517    |
| Age              | 1.033               | 0.999–1.068           | 0.059    |                      |                       |          |
| ECOG PS          | 1.240               | 0.641–2.401           | 0.523    |                      |                       |          |
| Smoking status   | 0.893               | 0.496–1.606           | 0.705    |                      |                       |          |
| T stage          | 1.804               | 1.328–2.451           | 0.000*   | 1.116               | 0.683–1.825           | 0.662    |
| N stage          | 1.849               | 1.213–2.819           | 0.004*   | 1.216               | 0.645–2.294           | 0.545    |
| M stage          | 1.100               | 0.621–1.948           | 0.744    |                      |                       |          |
| Ki-67            | 1.029               | 1.015–1.044           | 0.000*   | 1.024               | 1.006–1.043           | 0.009*   |

Note: *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: T, tumor; N, node; M, metastasis; ECOG PS, eastern cooperative oncology group performance status; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.
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