Long-Term Intraocular Pressure-Lowering Effects and Adverse Events of Ripasudil in Patients with Glaucoma or Ocular Hypertension over 24 Months
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Glaucoma is a leading cause of irreversible blindness and ripasudil was the first Rho kinase inhibitor approved as antiglaucoma medication. Here we present the final analysis of the ROCK-J study, a large-scale post-marketing surveillance study to evaluate the long-term safety and effectiveness of ripasudil in Japanese patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension in a real-world clinical setting.

Methods: ROCK-J was a 24-month, prospective, open-label, observational study that included ripasudil-naïve patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension who were initiating treatment with ripasudil according to the Japanese approved indication between June 1, 2015 and April 30, 2017. The primary safety endpoint was the incidence of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) (including blepharitis, plus assessment of its background factors); the primary efficacy endpoint was change in intraocular pressure (IOP) from baseline to 24 months.

Results: A total of 3374 Japanese patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension were evaluated for safety and 3178 for effectiveness of ripasudil over a mean 524.5-day observational period. Overall, 853 (25.3%) patients experienced adverse drug reactions; the most common were blepharitis (8.6%), conjunctival hyperemia (8.5%), and conjunctivitis (6.3%). Multivariate analyses demonstrated that patients were more likely to experience the ADR blepharitis with ripasudil treatment if they were female (hazard ratio [HR] 1.307; \( p = 0.040 \)), had comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis (HR 2.178; \( p = 0.001 \)), or had a history of allergy to pollen (HR 1.645; \( p = 0.003 \)) or medication (HR 2.276; \( p < 0.001 \)). IOP decreased significantly from baseline with ripasudil; the least-squares mean ± standard error change in IOP from baseline to 24 months was \(-2.6 \pm 0.1 \) mmHg (\( p < 0.001 \)). Significant IOP changes were seen in four types of glaucoma, namely primary open-angle glaucoma, normal-tension glaucoma, primary angle-closure glaucoma, and secondary glaucoma, and ocular hypertension.

Conclusion: Ripasudil was safe and effective as an antiglaucoma medication with no new safety signals identified and significant reductions in
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Key Summary Points

**Why carry out this study?**

Glaucoma is a leading cause of irreversible blindness and ripasudil was the first Rho kinase inhibitor approved in Japan as antiglaucoma medication.

This study evaluated the long-term safety and effectiveness of ripasudil in Japanese patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension in a real-world clinical setting, and was conducted as part of a risk management plan for safety monitoring activities.

**What was learned from the study?**

Ripasudil was safe and effective as an antiglaucoma medication with no new safety signals identified and significant reductions in intraocular pressure (IOP) maintained over 24 months of treatment.

The most common adverse drug reaction was blepharitis (8.6%), which was also the most common reason for treatment discontinuation.

Multivariate analyses showed that blepharitis was significantly correlated with ripasudil treatment if patients were female, had comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis, or had a history of pollen or medication allergies.

INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is a leading cause of irreversible blindness, with more than 70 million affected globally [1]; in Japan, 5.0% of individuals 40 years of age or more are estimated to have glaucoma [2]. This ocular disease is characterized by intraocular pressure (IOP)-associated optic neuropathy with progressive retinal ganglion cell death, resulting in irreversible visual field loss. To date, the proven therapeutic modality for the management of glaucoma has been reducing IOP [3], which slows disease progression and preserves quality of life [4, 5]. A number of medications, including prostaglandin analogues, β-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (CAIs), and α₂-agonists, are approved and clinically used as antiglaucoma medications to reduce IOP. The IOP-lowering mechanisms of action of these medications include modifying the uveoscleral (unconventional) outflow route (e.g., prostaglandin analogues) and/or aqueous humor production (e.g., β-blockers) [6, 7]. In contrast, Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibitors reduce IOP by a different mechanism from other antiglaucoma pharmacologic agents as they are the only treatment that directly targets the conventional aqueous humor outflow route, the main site of disease pathology in IOP elevation in glaucoma [8–12]. ROCK inhibitors modulate the conventional aqueous humor outflow by changing contractile properties, fibrotic activity, and permeability of cell components in the trabecular meshwork and Schlemm’s canal [8, 13]. The first clinically available ROCK inhibitor was ripasudil, which was approved in Japan in September 2014 for the treatment of glaucoma and ocular hypertension [12, 14]. As a part of the approval process for ripasudil in Japan, a large-scale post-marketing surveillance (PMS) study, titled ROCK-J, was conducted to evaluate the long-term safety and effectiveness of ripasudil in Japanese patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension in a real-world clinical setting.

Interim analyses of the ROCK-J PMS study have shown that ripasudil safely and effectively lowered IOP after 3 and 12 months of treatment.
These interim analyses also revealed that the most common adverse drug reactions (ADRs) associated with ripasudil were blepharitis and conjunctivitis, causing discontinuation of treatment in some patients. Reported here are the final analyses of the ROCK-J PMS study, which were conducted at 24 months, and focused on the long-term IOP-lowering effects of ripasudil and potential baseline background factors for blepharitis during ripasudil treatment.

## METHODS

### Study Design and Patients

The design of this prospective, multicenter, open-label, observational PMS study has been described in detail previously [15, 16]. Briefly, the study included ripasudil-naive patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension who were initiating treatment with ripasudil according to the Japanese approved indication between June 1, 2015 and April 30, 2017. Patients had to have an IOP measurement before ripasudil treatment was initiated and had to be enrolled within 14 days of initiating treatment. Patients were required to follow the dosing and administration instructions in the ripasudil package insert, which was to instill one drop of ripasudil twice a day and to wait at least 5 min between instillations when using other eye drops.

The study protocol was conducted in accordance with the Ministerial Ordinance on Good Post-Marketing Study Practice (GPSP, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare Ordinance No. 171, December 20, 2004) Japanese regulations. Under Japanese regulations, the protocols of PMS studies do not require review or approval by the ethics committee of the participating medical institutions or for collection of informed consent from patients (GPSP), unless such procedures were required by individual participating medical institutions. However, the study protocol was reviewed and approved by Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency, the Japanese Regulatory Authority, before initiation.

### Outcomes

The primary safety endpoint was the incidence of ADRs, which was defined as an adverse event (AE) that was considered treatment-related by the investigator. ADRs were classified according to the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) Japanese Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version 22.1 and a key ADR of interest was blepharitis (including allergic response). Data on all allergic and/or inflammatory eye disorders were also collected. It is important to highlight that, as the event names were recorded by the physicians involved in the study, any recordings of “blepharitis” and “blepharitis allergic” were considered as a single ADR and described as blepharitis (including allergic response). The two types of blepharitis were grouped to remove uncertainty around the accurate clinical diagnosis of allergic blepharitis; this uncertainty arises because when doctors examine people with blepharitis in routine medical care, allergy tests are not often performed. This also applies to “conjunctivitis” and “conjunctivitis allergic”, and “conjunctival hyperemia” or “ocular hyperemia”.

The primary effectiveness endpoint was the least-squares mean (LSM) change in IOP from baseline to 24 months in all patients and in diagnostic subgroups. IOP was assessed in the affected eye, or in the eye with the highest baseline IOP if ripasudil was administered to both eyes. If both eyes had the same IOP at baseline, the right eye’s data were used for evaluation. The secondary effectiveness endpoints were the change in IOP assessed by baseline IOP, the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma that the patients were receiving, and treatment initiation patterns.

Safety data were collected throughout the study, while IOP values were collected at 3, 12, 18, and 24 months after initiating ripasudil.
### Statistical Analysis

Safety was assessed in the safety analysis set, which included all patients who had data from at least one visit to the clinic or hospital after initiating ripasudil treatment. Effectiveness was assessed in the effectiveness analysis set, which included all patients in the safety analysis set who had at least one measurement of IOP after initiating ripasudil treatment. If a patient changed glaucoma treatment after receiving ripasudil, or they underwent intraocular surgery (e.g., surgery for glaucoma or cataract, etc.), their data thereafter were excluded from the effectiveness analysis, because of the potentially confounding effects of these events on IOP.

Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation [SD]) were used to summarize continuous effectiveness variables, whereas categorical safety and effectiveness variables were summarized using number and proportion of patients. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to assess treatment continuation over the 24 months of follow-up (number, proportion of patients, and 95% CIs) as well as the cumulative incidences of any ADR, allergy- and/or inflammation-related eye ADRs, blepharitis (including allergic response), and conjunctivitis (including allergic response). IOPs before treatment (baseline) were compared with IOPs after 24 months of follow-up (post-administration). The LSM, SE, and \( p \) values for the change from baseline to month 24 in IOP were estimated using a mixed-effects model for repeated measures (MMRM), with IOP measurements as the objective variable and indicator function (baseline and post-administration) as the fixed variables. The variance–covariance matrix had compound symmetry structure. MMRM was used to assess the overall change in IOP, as well as the change in IOP by disease type, type of secondary glaucoma, baseline IOP, the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma that the patients were receiving, and treatment initiation patterns.

Subgroup analyses and multivariate analyses were performed to find background factors for developing blepharitis with treatment. In the subgroup analyses, the number and proportion of patients experiencing blepharitis (including allergic response) by major patient characteristics were calculated, with differences tested using Fisher’s exact or chi-squared tests. Multivariate regression analyses were performed using a Cox proportional-hazard model with the number of days from the start of treatment with ripasudil to the date of onset of the blepharitis as a response variable and major patient characteristics as candidates for explanatory variables. Sex (male, female), age (< 65 vs. \( \geq \) 65 to < 75 years, < 65 vs. \( \geq \) 75 years), presence or absence of medical history (blepharitis, conjunctivitis, diabetes mellitus, hypertension), presence or absence of allergic history (pollen, medications), number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma, presence or absence of concomitant use of pharmaceutical components for glaucoma (prostaglandin analogues [including an ion channel opener], \( \beta \)-blockers, CAIs [topical and systemic formulations], \( \alpha_2 \)-agonists, \( \alpha_1 \)-blockers, parasympathomimetic drugs, and other glaucoma pharmaceutical agents), and presence or absence of concomitant pharmaceutical agents other than components for glaucoma (anti-allergic drugs, steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, drug treatments for dry eye, and antibiotics) were used in the subgroup analyses and were selected as explanatory variables for multivariate analyses. Multivariate analyses variables were selected using a stepwise method. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Japan).

### RESULTS

#### Patient Disposition and Characteristics

Between June 1, 2015 and February 29, 2020, 3459 patients from 621 centers in Japan were registered in this PMS study. Data from 3434 patients were collected, and of these, 3374 were included in the safety analysis set (Fig. 1). The effectiveness analysis set included 3178 patients. The mean \( \pm \) SD observation period was 524.5 \( \pm \) 266.9 days. At 24 months, 53.6% (95% confidence interval [CI] 51.9–55.3) of patients continued to receive ripasudil treatment (Fig. 2).
Overall, 1565 (46.4%) patients discontinued the study. Reasons for study discontinuation included AEs ($n = 656$; 19.4%), loss to follow-up (no visits recorded) or transfer to another hospital ($n = 449$; 13.3%), insufficient efficacy ($n = 248$; 7.4%), or patient’s request, having no connection with the efficacy or safety of the drug ($n = 107$; 3.2%). The most common AEs leading to study discontinuation were blepharitis (including allergic response; $n = 244$; 7.2%), conjunctivitis (including allergic response; $n = 174$; 5.2%), and conjunctival hyperemia (including ocular hyperemia; $n = 148$; 4.4%).

Among 174 patients with conjunctivitis, 37 (21.3%) patients discontinued treatment because of both conjunctivitis (including allergic response) and blepharitis (including allergic response); among 148 patients with conjunctival hyperemia, 17 (11.5%) patients discontinued treatment because of both conjunctival hyperemia (including ocular hyperemia) and blepharitis (including allergic response).

Patients in this study had a mean ± SD age of 69.1 ± 12.7 years (70.3% of patients were at least 65 years old) and 47.9% of patients were male (Table 1). Just under half of the patients included in this study had primary open-angle glaucoma (43.9%), while 36.7% of patients had normal-tension glaucoma, 8.7% had secondary glaucoma (including exfoliation glaucoma [3.8%], uveitis-associated glaucoma [1.7%], steroid-induced glaucoma [1.0%], and neovascular glaucoma [0.7%]), 2.4% had primary angle-closure glaucoma, and 4.1% had ocular hypertension. At baseline, 20.1% had comorbid or a previous history of conjunctivitis while 4.1% had comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis. Regarding histories of eye surgery, 8.9% had prior surgery for glaucoma and 35.7% had prior surgery for cataracts. A total of 88.8% of patients had received previous glaucoma treatments and patients were receiving a mean of 2.1 previous and 2.2 concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma.

Safety

Of the 3374 patients in the safety analysis set, ADRs were reported in 853 patients (25.3%) with ripasudil over the 24-month follow-up period (Table 2). Of these patients experiencing an ADR, 742 patients (87.0%) recovered or were in remission and 83 (9.7%) did not recover. The

![Fig. 1 Patient flow. eCRF electronic case report form, $n$ number of patients](image)
outcomes were unknown in 28 patients (3.3%) experiencing an ADR.

The majority of ADRs reported were eye disorders, which occurred in 23.3% of patients; very few systemic ADRs were reported (Table 2; Table S1 in Supplementary Material). The most common eye-related ADRs were blepharitis (including allergic response; \( n = 290; \) 8.6%), conjunctival hyperemia (including ocular hyperemia; \( n = 285; \) 8.5%), conjunctivitis (including allergic response; \( n = 212; \) 6.3%), and eye pruritus (\( n = 56; \) 1.7%). The cumulative incidence of ADRs was 7.2%, 13.0%, 21.7%, 26.3%, and 28.9% after 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months of follow-up, respectively (Fig. 3). In addition, the cumulative incidence of blepharitis (including allergic response), the most common ADR, was 1.0%, 2.7%, 7.2%, 9.6%, and 11.0% at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, respectively, and the cumulative incidence of conjunctivitis (including allergic response) was 1.0%, 3.0%, 5.4%, 7.1%, and 7.8% at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, respectively (Fig. 3). The most common sites for allergy- and/or inflammation-related eye ADRs were the eyelids and conjunctiva (Table S2 in Supplementary Material). The cumulative incidence of allergy- and/or inflammation-related eye ADRs was 2.7%, 6.9%, 14.1%, 17.8%, and 19.9% after 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months of follow-up, respectively (Fig. 3).

Nine patients experienced 11 serious ADRs. Eye disorders included blepharitis (including allergic response; \( n = 5 \)), conjunctivitis (including allergic response; \( n = 2 \)), cataract (\( n = 2 \)), and conjunctival hyperemia (including ocular hyperemia; \( n = 1 \)); all 10 eye disorders improved or disappeared. The other ADR was cerebral infarction (\( n = 1 \)), the outcome of which was unknown: it appeared a month after completion of treatment and was a recurrence in the patients who had medical history of this disease.

In the subgroup analysis, there was a significant difference in the incidence of blepharitis (including allergic response) with ripasudil treatment in female patients, older patients (age \( \geq 65 \) to \( < 75 \) years or \( \geq 75 \) years), patients
### Table 1 Baseline characteristics

| Characteristic                          | n = 3374 |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|
| **Sex**                                 |          |
| Male                                    | 1617 (47.9) |
| Female                                  | 1757 (52.1) |
| Mean ± SD age, years                    | 69.1 ± 12.7 |
| **Age category**                        |          |
| < 65 years                              | 1002 (29.7) |
| ≥ 65 to < 75 years                      | 1156 (34.3) |
| ≥ 75 years                              | 1216 (36.0) |
| **Diagnosis**                           |          |
| Ocular hypertension                     | 138 (4.1) |
| Primary open-angle glaucoma             | 1480 (43.9) |
| Normal-tension glaucoma                 | 1237 (36.7) |
| Primary angle-closure glaucoma          | 80 (2.4) |
| Secondary glaucoma                      | 295 (8.7) |
| Exfoliation glaucoma                    | 129 (3.8) |
| Uveitis-associated glaucoma             | 56 (1.7) |
| Steroid-induced glaucoma                | 33 (1.0) |
| Neovascular glaucoma                    | 23 (0.7) |
| Childhood glaucoma                      | 4 (0.1) |
| Other                                   | 140 (4.1) |
| **Disease history**                     |          |
| Comorbid or a previous history of conjunctivitis | 677 (20.1) |
| Comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis | 139 (4.1) |
| Comorbid diabetes mellitus              | 457 (13.5) |
| Comorbid hypertension                   | 814 (24.1) |
| History of pollen allergy               | 331 (9.8) |
| History of medication allergy           | 132 (3.9) |
| **History of eye surgery**              |          |
| Glaucoma surgery                        | 299 (8.9) |
| Cataract surgery                        | 1203 (35.7) |

### Table 1 continued

| Characteristic                                                              | n = 3374 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Previous pharmaceutical components for glaucoma                             | 2997 (88.8) |
| Mean ± SD                                                                  | 2.1 ± 1.2 |
| Prostaglandin analogues                                                     | 2657 (78.8) |
| β-blockers                                                                 | 2031 (60.2) |
| CAIs (topical formulation)                                                 | 1373 (40.7) |
| α2-agonists                                                                | 801 (23.7) |

All parameters are presented as number (proportion) of patients unless otherwise stated. *CAIs* carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, *SD* standard deviation.

### Table 2 Adverse drug reactions occurring in at least 10 patients

| ADRs, n (%)                | n = 3374 |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Any ADR                    | 853 (25.3) |
| Blepharitis*               | 290 (8.6) |
| Conjunctival hyperemia^b   | 285 (8.5) |
| Conjunctivitis^c           | 212 (6.3) |
| Eye pruritus               | 56 (1.7) |
| Punctate keratitis         | 33 (1.0) |
| Eye pain                   | 16 (0.5) |
| Vision blurred             | 13 (0.4) |
| Eye irritation              | 11 (0.3) |
| Corneal erosion             | 10 (0.3) |
| Dry eye                    | 10 (0.3) |

ADRs occurring in fewer than 10 patients are listed in Table S1 (in Supplementary Material).

*ADR* adverse drug reaction

*Including blepharitis allergic

^Including ocular hyperemia

^Including conjunctivitis allergic
with comorbid or a previous history of conjunctivitis or blepharitis, a history of pollen or medication allergy, a higher number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used, or those receiving concomitant drugs for glaucoma (β-blockers, topical CAIs, or α2-agonists), or concomitant anti-allergic drugs (Table 3). However, there was no significant difference in the incidence of blepharitis (including allergic response) with ripasudil in patients with comorbid diabetes mellitus or hypertension, patients receiving other concomitant drugs for glaucoma (prostaglandin analogues, α1-blockers, parasympathomimetic drugs, systemic CAIs, or other pharmaceutical agents), or those receiving concomitant steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), antibiotics, or drug treatments for dry eye (Table 3). In the multivariate regression analyses, the following covariates were evaluated for potential association with the ADR blepharitis (including allergic response) during ripasudil treatment: sex, age, comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis, history of pollen or medication allergy, drug treatments for dry eye, and the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used. The multivariate analyses demonstrated that patients were more likely to experience the ADR blepharitis (including allergic response) with ripasudil treatment if they were female (hazard ratio [HR] 1.307; \( p = 0.040 \)), had comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis (HR 2.178; \( p = 0.001 \)), or had a history of pollen (HR 1.645; \( p < 0.001 \)) or medication (HR 2.276; \( p < 0.001 \)) allergies (Table 4).

### Effectiveness

Overall, the least-squares mean (LSM) ± standard error (SE) change from baseline in IOP after 24 months of ripasudil was \(-2.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmHg}\) (\( p < 0.001 \)); after 24 months, patients had a mean ± SD IOP of \(14.5 \pm 4.2 \text{ mmHg}\) (Fig. 4).

There was a significant decrease in IOP between baseline and 24 months in four types...
Table 3  Incidence of the adverse drug reaction blepharitis (including allergic response) with ripasudil treatment, by baseline characteristic

| Baseline characteristic | Category            | n     | Blepharitis, n (%) | p value |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|---------|
| Sex                     | Male                | 1617  | 114 (7.1)         | 0.002   |
|                         | Female              | 1757  | 176 (10.0)        |         |
| Age                     | < 65 years          | 1002  | 108 (10.8)        | 0.005   |
|                         | ≥ 65 to < 75 years  | 1156  | 98 (8.5)          |         |
|                         | ≥ 75 years          | 1216  | 84 (6.9)          |         |
| Comorbid or a previous history of conjunctivitis | No                | 2697  | 210 (7.8)        | 0.001   |
|                         | Yes                | 677   | 80 (11.8)        |         |
| Comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis | No                | 3235  | 264 (8.2)        | < 0.001 |
|                         | Yes                | 139   | 26 (18.7)        |         |
| Comorbid diabetes mellitus | No            | 2917  | 254 (8.7)        | 0.591   |
|                         | Yes                | 457   | 36 (7.9)         |         |
| Comorbid hypertension   | No                | 2560  | 209 (8.2)        | 0.115   |
|                         | Yes                | 814   | 81 (10.0)        |         |
| History of pollen allergy | No            | 2621  | 210 (8.0)        | < 0.001 |
|                         | Yes                | 331   | 46 (13.9)        |         |
| History of medication allergy | No        | 2820  | 232 (8.2)        | < 0.001 |
|                         | Yes                | 132   | 24 (18.2)        |         |
| Number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used | 0    | 348   | 33 (9.5)         | < 0.001 |
|                         | 1                  | 729   | 70 (9.6)         |         |
|                         | 2                  | 883   | 96 (10.9)        |         |
|                         | 3                  | 915   | 72 (7.9)         |         |
|                         | ≥ 4                | 499   | 19 (3.8)         |         |
| Concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma | Prostaglandin analogues | No | 641 | 56 (8.7) | 0.876 |
|                         |                     | Yes | 2733 | 234 (8.6) |         |
|                         | β-blockers          | No | 1251 | 126 (10.1) | 0.022 |
|                         |                     | Yes | 2123 | 164 (7.7) |         |
|                         | CAIs (topical formulation) | No | 1917 | 188 (9.8) | 0.004 |
|                         |                     | Yes | 1457 | 102 (7.0) |         |
of glaucoma or ocular hypertension. The mean IOP reduction was $-3.9 \pm 0.4$ mmHg in 131 eyes with ocular hypertension ($p < 0.001$), $-2.9 \pm 0.1$ in 1446 eyes with primary open-angle glaucoma ($p < 0.001$), $-1.7 \pm 0.1$ in 1213 eyes with normal-tension glaucoma ($p < 0.001$), $-4.2 \pm 0.5$ in 71 eyes with primary angle-closure glaucoma ($p < 0.001$), and $-3.8 \pm 0.4$ in 307 eyes with secondary glaucoma ($p < 0.001$; Fig. 4). Among patients with secondary glaucoma, ripasudil was associated with a significant decrease in IOP over the 24-month follow-up period in those with exfoliation glaucoma (LSM ± SE change of $-2.8 \pm 0.4$ mmHg; $p < 0.001$), uveitis-associated glaucoma (−6.2 ± 1.0 mmHg; $p < 0.001$), and steroid-induced glaucoma (−6.6 ± 0.8 mmHg; $p < 0.001$), but not in those with neovascular glaucoma (−1.0 ± 1.9 mmHg; $p = 0.625$; Fig. 5).

Significant reductions in IOP with ripasudil after 24 months were observed amongst all groups when categorized by baseline IOP (all $p < 0.001$; Fig. 6). However, the magnitude of
the change was greater with increasing baseline IOP values, ranging from an LSM ± SE change of $-0.6 \pm 0.1$ mmHg in patients with a baseline IOP of $\leq 15$ mmHg to a change of $-13.1 \pm 0.9$ mmHg in those with a baseline IOP of $>30$ mmHg.

When categorized by the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used, the change from baseline in IOP over the 24-month follow-up period was significant in all groups (all $p < 0.001$; Fig. 7). The LSM ± SE change from baseline at 24 months ranged from $-2.5 \pm 0.2$ mmHg to $-2.6 \pm 0.2$ mmHg across patients who received zero to four or more concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma.

Initiating treatment with ripasudil was associated with a significant reduction in IOP at 24 months regardless of the treatment initiation pattern (all $p < 0.001$; Fig. S1 in Supplementary Material).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the final analysis of ROCK-J, a prospective, multicenter, open-label, observational, PMS study that investigated the long-term safety and effectiveness of ripasudil in over 3000 patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension in a real-world clinical practice setting in Japan. Over 24 months of follow-up, no new safety signals or major safety concerns were identified. Furthermore, the significant reductions in IOP that were apparent in the 3- and 12-month interim analyses were maintained at 24 months [15, 16].

At 24 months, the proportion of patients who had continued in the study was 53.6%, which shows a gradual decline in the continuation rate from that observed in the 12-month interim analysis (70.3%) [15]. The continuation rate found in the present analysis was similar to those of previous publications of real-world analyses of glaucoma medications in Japan and other countries [17–21]. Reasons for discontinuation from this study included AEs and loss to follow-up. Blepharitis (including allergic response) was the most common AE causing discontinuation of ripasudil, followed by conjunctivitis (including allergic response), and conjunctival hyperemia (including ocular hyperemia).
In the present study, blepharitis (including allergic response) was the most common ADR reported and the most common reason for discontinuation of ripasudil. Most ADRs, including blepharitis, conjunctivitis, and conjunctival hyperemia, were not considered serious and 87.0% of patients recovered or were in remission after developing an ADR. The incidences of these ADRs were low and only slightly elevated from those reported in the 12-month interim analysis of this PMS study [15]. The rates of discontinuation due to AEs were 7.2% for blepharitis (including allergic response), 5.2% for conjunctivitis (including allergic response), and 4.4% for conjunctival hyperemia (including ocular hyperemia). Among patients with conjunctivitis (n = 174) or conjunctival hyperemia (n = 148), the reasons for discontinuation were for overall change from baseline. IOP intraocular pressure, n number of patients, NTG normal-tension glaucoma, OH ocular hypertension, PACG primary angle-closure glaucoma, POAG primary open-angle glaucoma, SG secondary glaucoma.

**Fig. 4** Change in intraocular pressure overall and according to disease type. All values at specific timepoints are presented as mean ± standard deviation, change from baseline values are presented as least-squares mean ± standard error. *Mixed-effects model for repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post hoc test.*

| IOP, mmHg | Overall | OH | POAG | NTG | PACG | SG |
|-----------|---------|----|------|-----|------|----|
| IOP at baseline (n) | 17.8 ± 5.7 (3178) | 23.0 ± 5.4 (131) | 18.9 ± 5.1 (1446) | 14.6 ± 3.2 (1213) | 20.1 ± 7.2 (71) | 22.1 ± 8.2 (307) |
| IOP at Month 3 (n) | 15.3 ± 4.9 (3169) | 19.6 ± 5.3 (131) | 16.1 ± 4.5 (1442) | 13.0 ± 2.9 (1208) | 16.1 ± 4.4 (71) | 18.5 ± 7.9 (307) |
| IOP at Month 12 (n) | 14.8 ± 4.4 (1989) | 18.1 ± 3.3 (86) | 15.7 ± 4.2 (893) | 12.8 ± 2.9 (703) | 15.0 ± 3.4 (38) | 17.4 ± 7.2 (174) |
| IOP at Month 18 (n) | 14.6 ± 4.4 (1479) | 18.4 ± 4.2 (69) | 15.3 ± 4.3 (655) | 12.7 ± 2.8 (589) | 15.1 ± 3.2 (25) | 17.2 ± 6.8 (137) |
| IOP at Month 24 (n) | 14.5 ± 4.2 (1259) | 18.3 ± 3.7 (59) | 15.3 ± 4.1 (571) | 12.7 ± 2.7 (497) | 15.7 ± 2.5 (23) | 16.6 ± 6.7 (106) |
| IOP change from baseline (n) | −2.6 ± 0.1 (3178) | −3.9 ± 0.4 (131) | −2.9 ± 0.1 (1446) | −1.7 ± 0.1 (1213) | −4.2 ± 0.5 (71) | −3.8 ± 0.4 (307) |

*p value* <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001

In the present study, blepharitis (including allergic response) was the most common ADR reported and the most common reason for discontinuation of ripasudil. Most ADRs, including blepharitis, conjunctivitis, and conjunctival hyperemia, were not considered serious and 87.0% of patients recovered or were in remission after developing an ADR. The incidences of these ADRs were low and only slightly elevated from those reported in the 12-month interim analysis of this PMS study [15]. The rates of discontinuation due to AEs were 7.2% for blepharitis (including allergic response), 5.2% for conjunctivitis (including allergic response), and 4.4% for conjunctival hyperemia (including ocular hyperemia). Among patients with conjunctivitis (n = 174) or conjunctival hyperemia (n = 148), the reasons for discontinuation were blepharitis and conjunctivitis in 21.3% and blepharitis and conjunctival hyperemia in 11.5% of cases, respectively. To date, only a few small-scale clinical studies have investigated the long-term safety and effectiveness of ripasudil over 24 months in patients with glaucoma [22, 23]. While these studies did not report the individual incidences of the aforementioned ADRs, in one study, 15.7%, 9.0%, and 5.4% of patients discontinued treatment because of blepharitis, conjunctival hyperemia, and allergic conjunctivitis, respectively [22], while in the other study, 25.2% and 7.8% of patients discontinued treatment because of blepharitis and prolonged hyperemia [23], which are higher discontinuation rates than those seen in this PMS study. From a clinical viewpoint, these small-scale clinical studies, as well as the results...
of this final analysis, demonstrate how important it is to identify clinical characteristics and high-risk patient subgroups who will develop these ADRs while receiving ripasudil.

In a long-term, phase 3, uncontrolled clinical trial of ripasudil in patients with open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension, there was no consistent trend in the time from the start of ripasudil administration to the onset of blepharitis [24]. In contrast, a long-term retrospective analysis of ripasudil treatment indicated that blepharitis often developed after an average of 9 months of treatment, suggesting that late-onset blepharitis can occur with ripasudil [23]. In this PMS study, the cumulative incidence of the first onset of blepharitis (including allergic response) increased the most between 6 and 12 months of treatment, but stabilized thereafter, suggesting that patients are at the highest risk of developing blepharitis after receiving ripasudil for between 6 and 12 months.

As blepharitis was the most common AE, and the most common AE leading to study discontinuation, background factors for developing blepharitis with ripasudil treatment were assessed. Multivariate regression analyses revealed that patients in this PMS study were approximately two times more likely to develop blepharitis if they had comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis (HR 2.178; 95% CI 1.352–3.508; \( p = 0.001 \) vs. patients without comorbid or a previous history of blepharitis), if they had a history of allergies to medications (HR 2.276; 95% CI 1.477–3.507; \( p < 0.001 \) vs. patients without a history of allergies to medications).
medications) or if they had a history of allergies to pollen (HR 1.645; 95% CI 1.188–2.279; \( p = 0.003 \)). This was also seen in a report by Saito and colleagues, who showed that patients with history of allergic reactions to other topical glaucoma medications were more likely to experience blepharitis than those without an allergic history (HR 3.599; 95% CI 1.416–9.152; \( p = 0.007 \)) [23]. Taken together, these results suggest that caution should be exercised when administering ripasudil to patients with an allergic history.

Multivariate regression analyses of this PMS study also demonstrated that female patients were more likely to develop blepharitis (including allergic response) than men. This may be due to multiple factors. Firstly, as blepharitis can result in cosmetic changes, it is more likely that women would report this to their physicians than men do. Furthermore, endocrine differences between men and women may influence this immune response.

Multivariate regression analyses also indicated that age (75 years or more), the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used, and concomitant treatment with drugs for dry eye reduced the risk of a patient developing blepharitis. It is likely that elderly patients were less immunocompetent than those aged less than 65 years, which would reduce the risk of them developing blepharitis (including allergic response). As for the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used, a study entry bias may exist, by which patients who were already receiving and tolerating multiple treatments for glaucoma were more likely to be selected to initiate treatment with ripasudil than those who were not.

Fig. 6 Change in intraocular pressure in patients according to baseline intraocular pressure values. All values at specific timepoints are presented as mean ± standard deviation, change from baseline values are presented as least-squares mean ± standard error. aMixed-effects model for repeated measures for overall change from baseline. IOP intraocular pressure, \( n \) number of patients.
not. Also, the washout effect may have occurred in patients who were receiving more concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma. This can also possibly explain how the concomitant treatment with drugs for dry eye was associated with a lower risk of developing blepharitis. As dry eye treatments are typically administered multiple times a day, the washout effect on the eye surface might mean that the exposure to ripasudil was less than in patients not receiving dry eye treatment. We recommend that physicians carefully monitor patients who regularly used dry eye medications for potential washout effect.

No corneal disorders of concern were seen in this PMS study; none of the patients receiving ripasudil developed corneal verticillata, an ADR that has a well-known association with netarsudil (another ROCK inhibitor available for the treatment of glaucoma) [25, 26]. Furthermore, there were no ADRs suggestive of corneal endothelial dysfunction (e.g., corneal opacification, corneal edema, or bullous keratopathy) with ripasudil over the 24-month follow-up period. While some investigations have shown that ripasudil causes a temporary morphologic change of corneal endothelial cells in humans and animals [27, 28], this has not translated into an increased risk of developing corneal disorders in patients with glaucoma receiving ripasudil [24, 29, 30]. Furthermore, ROCK inhibitors, such as Y-27632 and ripasudil, are regarded as having a regenerative effect on diseased corneal endothelial cells [31, 32]. Taking these points into consideration, the final results of this PMS study show that the clinical properties of ripasudil are different from those of

| IOP, mmHg | 0       | 1       | 2       | 3       | >4       |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| IOP at baseline (n) | 17.8 ± 6.1 (395) | 17.0 ± 5.0 (801) | 17.5 ± 5.3 (869) | 18.4 ± 5.8 (775) | 18.7 ± 6.8 (338) |
| IOP at Month 3 (n) | 15.5 ± 5.0 (393) | 14.7 ± 3.8 (801) | 15.0 ± 4.8 (864) | 15.7 ± 5.1 (773) | 16.3 ± 6.7 (338) |
| IOP at Month 12 (n) | 14.6 ± 3.9 (224) | 14.2 ± 3.7 (510) | 14.7 ± 4.3 (534) | 15.3 ± 4.7 (510) | 15.2 ± 5.8 (211) |
| IOP at Month 18 (n) | 14.4 ± 3.9 (167) | 14.4 ± 3.9 (386) | 14.1 ± 3.9 (384) | 15.3 ± 5.5 (381) | 14.6 ± 4.6 (161) |
| IOP at Month 24 (n) | 14.3 ± 3.5 (153) | 14.2 ± 3.5 (322) | 14.2 ± 3.7 (322) | 15.1 ± 5.0 (322) | 15.0 ± 5.0 (140) |
| IOP change from baseline (n) | −2.5 ± 0.2 (395) | −2.5 ± 0.1 (801) | −2.6 ± 0.1 (869) | −2.6 ± 0.1 (775) | −2.6 ± 0.2 (338) |
| p value | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

Fig. 7 Change in intraocular pressure in patients according to the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used. All values at specific timepoints are presented as mean ± standard deviation, change from baseline values are presented as least-squares mean ± standard error. *Mixed-effects model for repeated measures for overall change from baseline. IOP intraocular pressure, n number of patients.

![Fig. 7 Change in intraocular pressure in patients according to the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma used.](image-url)
netarsudil, which is reflected by the different safety profile on the cornea.

In line with other clinical trials of ripasudil [24, 29, 30, 33] and previous interim analyses of this PMS study [15, 16], IOP was significantly reduced with ripasudil in this final analysis of the PMS study. The change was apparent at the 3-month assessment and maintained until 24 months. The significant reduction in IOP was seen when patients were categorized by type of disease, baseline IOP values, and the number of concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma, with the exception of patients with neovascular glaucoma. In all of the other subtypes of secondary glaucoma assessed in this PMS study, large changes in IOP were observed over 24 months, supporting previous research that has shown that ripasudil is effective in patients with exfoliation, uveitis-associated, and steroid-induced glaucoma [34–36]. In the pathogenesis of neovascular glaucoma, fibrovascular proliferative tissue seriously damages the conventional aqueous humor outflow [37], and, as such, this may explain why ripasudil did not significantly reduce IOP in these patients. However, it is also important to note that the subgroup of patients with neovascular glaucoma in this PMS study was small (n = 22), and was probably underpowered to detect a significant treatment difference.

In this PMS study, 88.8% of patients had received previous glaucoma treatments and patients were receiving a mean of 2.2 concomitant pharmaceutical components for glaucoma at the start of treatment with ripasudil. Despite this, the results of this PMS study showed that adding ripasudil to treatment with four or more other pharmaceutical components for glaucoma still resulted in a significant decrease in IOP, which was maintained over the 24-month follow-up period. These results indicate that ripasudil provides a valuable add-on treatment option in daily clinical practice, most likely because of its distinct mechanism of action.

This study has a number of limitations, which are intrinsic with open-label, non-comparative studies. While the prospective collection of daily medical information from a large number of patients is a major strength of this observational study, limitations include the variation in treatment plans, such as when to add drug treatment, and target IOP, which are at the discretion of the physician. Also, the ADRs collected are the result of subjective evaluation by the physician, so we decided to group blepharitis with allergic blepharitis. Allergy tests are not often performed when physicians examine people with blepharitis in routine medical care. As a result of this and the fact that our study protocol did not require allergic blepharitis to be confirmed with an allergy test, we considered it was appropriate to group blepharitis with allergic blepharitis to remove uncertainty around the accurate clinical diagnosis of allergic blepharitis. This reduced the chance of the authors deriving a conclusion from a condition that had not been accurately diagnosed. In addition, the absence of a control group can lead to selection bias in the patient population and a lack of control for unknown confounders (such as laser surgery or other surgery) in evaluating safety and effectiveness. Some of the subgroup analyses were performed in smaller numbers of patients. While the outcomes did reach statistical significance, similar studies in larger numbers of patients with the various secondary glaucoma diagnoses would help to confirm the current findings. Furthermore, the observational nature of the study may lead to a regression to the mean. Finally, as this study only included patients from Japan, the generalizability of the outcomes to individuals of other ethnicities is limited.

CONCLUSION

In patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension, treatment with ripasudil in a real-world clinical setting was not associated with any significant safety concerns after 24 months of follow-up. In addition, ripasudil was associated with stable and sufficient IOP-lowering effects in combination with other antiglaucoma medications. This suggests that ripasudil has an additive effect, and highlights that it is a useful treatment option for patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension.
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