Orexin 2 Receptor Antagonism is Sufficient to Promote NREM and REM Sleep from Mouse to Man
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Orexin neuropeptides regulate sleep/wake through orexin receptors (OX1R, OX2R); OX2R is the predominant mediator of arousal promotion. The potential for single OX2R antagonism to effectively promote sleep has yet to be demonstrated in humans. MK-1064 is an OX2R-single antagonist. Preclinically, MK-1064 promotes sleep and increases both rapid eye movement (REM) and non-REM (NREM) sleep in rats at OX2R occupancies higher than the range observed for dual orexin receptor antagonists. Similar to dual antagonists, MK-1064 increases NREM and REM sleep in dogs without inducing cataplexy. Two Phase I studies in healthy human subjects evaluated safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and sleep-promoting effects of MK-1064, and demonstrated dose-dependent increases in subjective somnolence (via Karolinska Sleepiness Scale and Visual Analogue Scale measures) and sleep (via polysomnography), including increased REM and NREM sleep. Thus, selective OX2R antagonism is sufficient to promote REM and NREM sleep across species, similarly to that seen with dual orexin receptor antagonism.

The orexin signaling pathway drives arousal, as demonstrated by genetic and pharmacologic studies. Circadian oscillations in orexin peptides A and B within cerebrospinal fluid correlate with arousal cycles1,2, and exogenous administration of the neuropeptides is sufficient to drive wakefulness in rodents, particularly during the inactive phase3,4. A lack of orexin signaling is associated with narcolepsy, a disorder characterized by uncontrollable sleep episodes and hypersomnolence2. Disruption of the gene encoding the orexin 2 receptor is causal for canine narcolepsy5. Further demonstration of the importance of orexin in arousal came from mice where ablation of the gene for orexin (Hcrt) leads to a narcolepsy-like phenotype, including cataplectic behavior6; targeted mutagenesis of the Hcrt1 and Hcrt2 genes encoding orexin receptors 1 and 2 (OX1R and OX2R) is associated with a similar narcoleptic phenotype7.

Several studies have provided preclinical and clinical proof of concept that orexin receptor antagonists could serve as insomnia therapeutics. Multiple compounds selective for both OX1R and OX2R, so-called dual orexin receptor antagonists (DORAs), have now shown efficacy in preclinical models and in human patients with insomnia8. These include almorexant9,10, SB-64986811, and suvorexant (Belsomra®), the first OXR antagonist approved as an insomnia treatment12–14.

Extensive evidence suggests that DORAs promote rapid eye movement (REM) and non-REM (NREM) sleep similar to that occurring during the normal resting phase. This has been observed across preclinical species9,15,16 as well as in healthy human subjects and patients with insomnia17–19. DORA-induced sleep appears to be indistinguishable from naturally occurring sleep in rodents based on sleep architecture analysis15 and DORA
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administration minimally impacts sleep-stage-specific quantitative electroencephalography (qEEG) power in rats as well as in healthy human subjects and patients with insomnia.

Preclinically, OX2R appears to be critical for the arousal-promoting effects of orexin and, conversely, appears to be primarily responsible for the increased propensity for sleep associated with loss of orexin signaling. In dogs, OX2R mutations alone are responsible for the narcoleptic phenotype. In mice, targeted mutagenesis of the gene encoding OX2R results in hypersomnolence that is not as pervasive as that seen in OX1/2R double mutants, while OX2R knockout mice exhibit a subtle, barely detectable sleep phenotype. These findings suggest that OX2R single antagonists (2-SORAs) may be sufficient to induce sleep. Indeed, the effects of DORAs on sleep are nearly absent in OX2R knockout mice, indicating that the effects of DORAs are largely mediated through OX2R in mice, but the translation of the predominant role of OX2R has yet to be demonstrated in humans.

The sleep-promoting differences induced by DORAs and 2-SORAs across species remain controversial. The sleep-inducing efficacy of DORAs occurs at OX2R receptor occupancies of 65% to 80% in rodents, but the impact of OX2R antagonism is a matter of debate. Results utilizing almorexant, the 2-SORA JNJ-10397049 (~320x OX2R selective), and the partial OX2R single antagonist (1-SORA; ~64x OX2R selective) SB-408124, suggest that OX2R antagonism, which is included in the DORA mechanism of action, attenuates the sleep effects of OX2R blockade. Morarity et al., however, found dual receptor antagonism (by almorexant) to be more effective at promoting sleep compared with the highly selective 2-SORA EMPA (~900x OX2R selective), and observed that maximal sleep promotion with almorexant coincided with occupancy of both OXRs. Given the brainstem localization of OX2R, particularly in the locus coeruleus, OX2R antagonism may attenuate vigilance state boundaries, effectively diminishing the threshold for sleep-state transitions. More recently, the 2-SORA JNJ-42847922 (~80-fold binding selectivity for OX2R relative to OX1R) was shown to promote NREM sleep in rats while increases in REM duration did not reach significance in the 2 hours following treatment. In a single ascending dose study in human subjects, JNJ-42847922 was effective in promoting somnolence, but effects on sleep architecture remain to be determined.

MK-1064 is a novel 2-SORA whose synthesis, discovery, and initial characterization has been recently described. Here, we assessed the in vitro properties of MK-1064 and its sleep-promoting efficacy in preclinical species and human subjects to characterize its mechanism of action to evaluate the potential of OX2R antagonism as a therapeutic strategy for insomnia.

**Results**

**MK-1064 is highly selective for OX2R.** MK-1064 was evaluated for its ability to inhibit orexin-peptide-A-induced calcium mobilization in CHO cell lines separately expressing OX1R and OX2R from mice, rats, rabbits, dogs, rhesus monkeys and humans. Assayed concurrently with other 2-SORAs (MK-3697, 2-SORA-18, 2-SORA-7) and the DORA MK-6096, these results provide a comprehensive comparison of MK-1064 to other compounds across receptors and species (Table 1). The potency of MK-1064 for OX2R activation was consistent across species, ranging from a Kᵢ of 7 nM in mice to 13 nM in rats. It was 208-fold more selective for hOX2R compared with hOX1R (1872 nM) and was the most potent of the 2-SORAs, with a Kᵢ for hOX2R of 9 nM. Similar cross-species results were also seen for binding affinity as measured by radio-ligand displacement, with the Kᵢ for MK-1064 binding to OX2R ranging from 0.51 nM in rabbit to 1.02 nM in mice (Table 2). The Kᵢ for binding to hOX2R was 0.52 nM, which was 2885-fold more selective compared with that seen for hOX1R binding (1500 nM).

Assessment of binding kinetics indicated that MK-1064 associates with hOX2R in a therapeutically advantageous manner (Table 2). The T½(90%) of MK-1064 was 21.1 minutes and is similar to that of the 2-SORA, MK-3697, but faster than that of the DORA, MK-6096. The T½(90%) for MK-1064 was 110 min, which was similar to that of MK-6096 but faster than that of MK-3697. Affinity for hOX2R, as expressed in Kᵢ in these experiments, was 0.51 nM, consistent with the Kᵢ value determined in multiplex experiments (0.52 nM) and substantiating the cross-species comparisons.

**MK-1064 promotes sleep in rodents selectively through OX2R.** Polysomnography (PSG) responses to MK-1064 (30 mg/kg) were evaluated following oral administration during the late active phase (administered at Zeitgeber time [ZT] 20:00) either in wild-type or OX2R knockout telemetered mice. In wild-type animals, active wake reduction was accompanied by significant increases in slow-wave sleep (SWS) and REM at time points up to 3.5 hours following treatment (Fig. 1a). Quantitated over 2 hours, these changes in wake and SWS reached significance, whereas REM exhibited a trend toward an increase for wild-type animals (Fig. 1b). Similar to that previously observed by others following treatment with other 2-SORAs and DORAs, MK-1064 promoted both REM and NREM sleep in telemetered rats in a time-of-day-dependent manner. When administered during the mid-active phase, MK-1064 at 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg dose dependently attenuated active wake during the 2 hours immediately following compound administration relative to vehicle, with the response to the 20 mg/kg dose reaching statistical significance relative to vehicle (p < 0.05, t-test for repeated measures) (Fig. 2a). Reductions in active wake were accompanied by increases in SWS at the 20 mg/kg dose and increases in REM sleep at both the 10 and 20 mg/kg doses. When administered during the late active phase, 1 hour prior to the inactive period, responses to MK-1064 were less robust, as may be expected in normal rats as orexin levels begin to diminish rapidly during the ensuing light phase. Trends in active wake reduction were accompanied by increases in SWS and REM sleep similar to that seen during the active phase; but, in this case, only SWS reached significance in response to the 20 mg/kg dose (Fig. 2b).

**MK-1064 requires greater OX2R occupancies to induce sleep relative to DORA-12.** OX2R occupancy is rate-limiting for sleep promotion by orexin receptor antagonists. DORAs significantly attenuate active
wake at OX2R occupancies between 65% and 85%. Here, we assessed the level of OX2R occupancy required by the 2-SORA, MK-1064, to induce changes in active wake, SWS, and REM sleep in rats relative to that seen for DORA-12. As seen in Fig. 3a, significant reductions in active wake, as a percentage of that observed in the 2 hours following vehicle treatment, were observed at exposures achieving 94% and 97% occupancies (unbound [MK-1064] plasma = 317 and 634 μM, respectively), but not at exposures achieving 89% occupancy (unbound [MK-1064] plasma = 159 μM); this indicates that a threshold of 89–94% OX2R occupancy is required for significant sleep promotion. In comparison, DORA-12 induces significant active wake changes at lower occupancy levels (83%) in these experiments. Significant SWS changes were induced by MK-1064 at OX2R occupancy of 97%, while DORA-12 induced significant SWS at occupancies as low as 63% (Fig. 3b). Similarly, MK-1064–induced changes in REM sleep occurred at 94%, but changes induced by DORA-12 occurred at levels as low as 63% (Fig. 3c). The evaluation of additional DORAs and SORAs revealed a similar overall pattern (not shown). Taken together, these results indicate that higher OX2R occupancies are required by 2-SORAs for sleep promotion relative to DORAs and suggest that OX1R antagonism by DORAs contributes to sleep-promoting efficacy.

MK-1064 promotes sleep but not cataplexy in dogs. Telemetered dogs exhibited robust sleep promotion following treatment with MK-1064 relative to vehicle (Fig. 4a). Significant active wake reductions were accompanied by increases in NREM I, NREM II, and REM sleep following administration of the 1 mg/kg dose (time at which maximum concentration was reached [Tmax] = 0.3 hours, maximum concentration [Cmax] = 1.0 μM, area under the concentration-time curve [AUC0-24] = 3.4 μM*hour). The 20 mg/kg dose (Tmax = 0.8 hours, Cmax = 15.3 μM, AUC0-24 = 56.5 μM*hour) induced similar changes of greater magnitude in active wake, NREM I and NREM II sleep, the latter of which is characterized by a predominance of SWS or delta sleep. REM sleep was not significantly increased by this higher dose of MK-1064 relative to vehicle in the hour following administration but was increased at several later time points (Supplementary Fig. 1), indicating time and/or exposure dependent effects on NREM and REM sleep.

Genetic data in canines suggest that OX2R antagonism may be sufficient to induce cataplexy, as inherited OX2R mutations produce a syndrome in dogs resembling human narcolepsy. A standard measure for quantifying narcolepsy in dogs is the food-elicited cataplexy test (FECT). In this paradigm, narcoleptic dogs typically exhibit cataplectic episodes when presented with 10 balls of food arranged in an evenly spaced line and require >50 seconds to complete the FECT, with some animals requiring up to 30 minutes. Dogs evaluated by FECT 1 hour after a 20 mg/kg administration of MK-1064, a time just after peak plasma levels are reached, showed no cataplectic episodes, and the mean time to complete the FECT was 37.8 seconds (Fig. 4b). This value was not significantly different from vehicle-treated animals, and well below the minimal amount of time typically required for narcoleptic canines to complete the FECT. The MK-1064 dosage used in dogs in these experiments

Table 1. Potency of MK-1064 to inhibit OX1R and OX2R across species relative to other 2-SORAs and the DORA MK-6096.
was exceedingly high; plasma concentrations reached 15.3 μM (C_max), which is 500-fold higher than the minimum needed for sleep-promoting efficacy (0.028 μM)²⁹.

MK-1064 attenuates arousal and promotes NREM and REM sleep in healthy human subjects. Two single-dose studies were conducted to assess the clinical safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetic (PK), and pharmacodynamic (PD) profile of MK-1064. The first-in-human study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, alternating panel, ascending single-dose study in 16 healthy male subjects (Fig. 5). This study characterized the safety, tolerability and PK profile of MK-1064 single doses of 5–250 mg. In addition, central nervous system PD effects on arousal, somnolence and mood were assessed using the KSS and the Bond and Lader V AS. All randomized 16 male subjects (age range: 21–43 years) completed the study. The second study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 5-period crossover study to evaluate the effects of single oral doses of MK-1064 (50, 120, and 250 mg) administered during the evening on multiple PSG measures in 20 healthy male subjects (Fig. 5). All 20 male subjects (age range: 19–38 years) randomized in this second study completed the 4-period crossover.

MK-1064 was well tolerated in both studies, and no subjects discontinued due to adverse events (AEs). No clinically significant abnormalities were noted in routine blood and urine chemistry panels, haematology, vital signs, or ECGs, and no clinically significant abnormalities were noted in physical or neurological exams. In the ascending single-dose study, 52 AEs were considered to be related to the study drug, the most common of which was somnolence/sleepiness, drowsiness, and tiredness (15 of 16 subjects dosed with MK-1064), consistent with the intended pharmacological activity. Nine subjects dosed with MK-1064 also experienced headaches, while all other AEs were transient in duration and mild to moderate in intensity, and no suspected serious adverse reactions were observed (Supplementary Table 1). In the PSG study, 13 of the 20 subjects enrolled reported ≥1 clinical AE. Of 24 clinical AEs reported, 18 were considered to be related to study treatment, all of which were mild or moderate in intensity. One report of transient sleep paralysis following MK-1064 250 mg was noted, while the most common MK-1064-related AEs were headache (3 subjects), nightmares (2 subjects) and dizziness (1 subject) (Supplementary Table 2).

In the ascending single-dose study, doses of MK-1064 ranging from 5 to 250 mg corresponded with dose-dependent changes in measured plasma levels (Fig. 6a). The median peak concentrations occurred within 1–2 hours (T_max) and ranged from 0.37 to 8.28 μM (C_max). MK-1064 plasma concentrations declined in a biphasic manner, with an alpha phase half-life of 1.6–4.0 hours and mean apparent terminal half-life of 6.8–11.1 hours (Supplementary Table 3). Dose-dependent increases in subject-reported somnolence (KSS) and drowsiness

| Table 2. Binding of MK-1064 for OX₁R and OX₂R across species relative to other 2-SORAs and MK-6096 and hOX₂R binding kinetics. ND, not determined. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| 2-SORAs | DORA | OX₁R affinity (Ki in nM) | OX₂R affinity (Ki in nM) [fold OX₂R selectivity] | hOX₂R binding kinetics |
| MK-1064 | 2-SORA-18 | MK-3697 | 2 SORA-7 | MK-6096 |
| Mouse | 1159 | 98 | 3951 | 1693 | 2.9 |
| Rat | 1069 | 94 | 2388 | 2613 | 2.5 |
| Rabbit | 2438 | 241 | 4740 | 4394 | 2.6 |
| Dog | 1300 | 86 | 3100 | 1800 | 2.7 |
| Rhesus | 815 | 132 | 2176 | 1336 | 12.5 |
| Human | 1500 | 100 | 3500 | 2800 | 2.5 |
| OX₁R affinity (Ki in nM) | Mouse: 1.02 (1136) | Rat: 0.83 (522) | Rabbit: 0.51 (4780) | Dog: 0.78 (1667) | Rhesus: 0.62 (1315) | Human: 0.52 (2885) |
| OX₂R affinity (Ki in nM) [fold OX₂R selectivity] | Mouse: 0.15 (653) | Rat: 0.18 (522) | Rabbit: 0.10 (2410) | Dog: 0.11 (782) | Rhesus: 0.11 (1200) | Human: 0.10 (1000) |
| hOX₂R binding kinetics | Kᵣ (nM): 0.51 | Kᵣ (nM): 0.51 | Kᵣ (nM): 0.51 | Kᵣ (nM): 0.51 | Kᵣ (nM): 0.51 |
| T₁/₂ON (min): 21.1 | T₁/₂ON (min): 21.1 | T₁/₂ON (min): 21.1 | T₁/₂ON (min): 21.1 | T₁/₂ON (min): 21.1 |
| K⁺ (min⁻¹): 1.19 × 10⁷ | K⁺ (min⁻¹): 1.19 × 10⁷ | K⁺ (min⁻¹): 1.19 × 10⁷ | K⁺ (min⁻¹): 1.19 × 10⁷ | K⁺ (min⁻¹): 1.19 × 10⁷ |
| T₁/₂OFF (min): 110 | T₁/₂OFF (min): 110 | T₁/₂OFF (min): 110 | T₁/₂OFF (min): 110 | T₁/₂OFF (min): 110 |
| K⁻ (mol⁻¹min⁻¹): 6.97 × 10⁻³ | K⁻ (mol⁻¹min⁻¹): 6.97 × 10⁻³ | K⁻ (mol⁻¹min⁻¹): 6.97 × 10⁻³ | K⁻ (mol⁻¹min⁻¹): 6.97 × 10⁻³ | K⁻ (mol⁻¹min⁻¹): 6.97 × 10⁻³ |
| ND, not determined. | ND, not determined. | ND, not determined. | ND, not determined. | ND, not determined. | ND, not determined. |
(alertness VAS) were observed following MK-1064 administration at all doses greater than 5 mg (Fig. 6b,c). The greatest effects were observed between 1 and 4 hours, and effects greatly diminished 8 hours following treatment, consistent with the time course of plasma concentrations of MK-1064.

In the PSG study, subjects underwent 8-hour PSG recording periods beginning 1 hour after nighttime administration of MK-1064 (50, 120 and 250 mg) or placebo. As evaluated as a fraction of placebo response (geometric mean ratios), MK-1064 showed significant reductions in LPS at all doses and WASO at the two highest doses (Fig. 7a). Significant increases in SE, TST and REM sleep were also observed at all doses evaluated, with increases in NREM sleep observed at the two lower doses (Fig. 7b). Reduction in the LREM with MK-1064 was statistically significant versus placebo at the two highest doses (Fig. 7a). Dose-dependence of the effects of MK-1064, while not evaluated statistically, was most pronounced with regard to LPS, LREM and REM sleep, and to a lesser extent, TST and WASO sleep (Fig. 7b). Similar to the results based on percentage differences from placebo (Fig. 7), statistically significant increases in TST, REM and NREM sleep time were observed with MK-1064 (Supplementary Fig. 2). Time spent in Stage 1 and SWS was not significantly different from placebo, while a significant increase in time spent in Stage 2 was observed with MK-1064 120 mg.

Discussion
These studies demonstrate that MK-1064, a highly selective OX2R antagonist, promotes somnolence across mammalian species. Notably, increases in the mean time spent in REM and NREM sleep were observed in preclinical species as well as human subjects.

The clinical results in healthy human volunteers reported here represent the first demonstration of the sleep-promoting effects of a 2-SORA in human PSG studies. The safety profile of MK-1064 in healthy volunteers appears comparable with that seen with DORAs8,10,34,35, but further assessment in patients remains to be demonstrated. In healthy subjects, MK-1064 attenuated LPS in a dose-dependent manner and significantly increased TST and SE while reducing WASO at doses ranging from 50 to 250 mg. As such, MK-1064 exhibits characteristics consistent with those of an effective insomnia therapeutic.
Our findings also indicate that MK-1064 promotes both NREM and REM sleep, similar to sleep induced by DORAs. In rats, DORAs promote sleep that is similar to normal sleep occurring at the onset of the inactive phase, characterized by both REM and NREM sleep increases\(^1\). The present results are qualitatively similar to prior findings with DORAs, with little indication that the 2-SORA, MK-1064, preferentially increases NREM compared with REM sleep. DORAs also do not appear to disrupt qEEG power within sleep stages\(^15,16,20\). The impact of 2-SORAs on qEEG power remains to be evaluated, but given the similarity in the sleep-promoting mechanism with DORAs, clinically important differences would not be expected based on the current observations.

The current findings with a highly selective 2-SORA are consistent with preclinical genetic and pharmacological results, demonstrating that OX\(_R\) antagonism is sufficient to promote sleep. The role of OX\(_R\) in the regulation of sleep and vigilance state is clearly not as robust as that mediated by OX\(_R\). Genetic depletion of OX\(_R\) has little effect on sleep beyond mild fragmentation\(^{21}\), and OX\(_R\) antagonists have little effect on sleep time when administered alone\(^{23,24,36}\). Genetic disruption of OX\(_R\) alone in either narcoleptic dogs or OX\(_R\) single knockout mice is associated with hypersomnia associated with the narcoleptic phenotype of these animals, suggesting that reduction of OX\(_R\) function is sufficient for sleep\(^{26,27}\). The effects of the DORA, almorexant, on mean time spent in wake and sleep stages is nearly absent in OX\(_R\) knockout mice\(^{25}\), further supporting a predominant role of OX\(_R\) in sleep.

The selective localization of OX\(_R\) to the locus coeruleus, a brainstem structure involved in the regulation of REM sleep, suggests that DORAs may differentially impact REM sleep compared with 2-SORAs; the rationale being that REM promotion occurring via OX\(_R\) antagonism would be spared by 2-SORAs. However, evidence for REM promotion by 2-SORAs in previous preclinical studies has been discordant. In a study of the 2-SORA JNJ-10397049, no significant increases in the duration of REM bouts were observed, while LREM was decreased following inactive phase administration in rats; active phase treatment, however, resulted in significant increases in REM duration\(^{25}\). In contrast, Morarity et al. demonstrated significant and dose-dependent increases in rat REM sleep time using the 2-SORA EMPA, while no effect on REM latency was noted\(^{24}\). In another set of studies, the partial 2-SORA IPSU (6.2-fold OX\(_R\)/OX\(_R\) selectivity)\(^{37,38}\) showed no effect on REM sleep in mice when administered at 50 mg/kg during either the active phase\(^{31}\) or at the onset of the inactive phase\(^{38}\). While some active wake reduction was observed following active-phase dosing\(^{31}\), these results with IPSU are difficult to interpret given that the calculated OX\(_R\) occupancy achieved was only 48%\(^{37,38}\), which is well below a minimal threshold of 65% expected for OX\(_R\)-antagonist–mediated efficacy\(^1\). MK-1064 has now been demonstrated to increase REM sleep across species including mice, rats, dogs and humans, as well as rhesus monkeys evaluated previously\(^{29}\). MK-1064 also appears to induce SWS and REM at similar OX\(_R\) occupancies in rats, suggesting that 2-SORAs do not differentially induce NREM relative to REM sleep, although compound-specific differences remain possible.

Orexin signaling has the potential to influence two different aspects of sleep/wake regulation; general cortical arousal and vigilance state gating. Orexin-induced arousal is thought to be mediated primarily through OX\(_R\) expressed on histaminergic tuberomammillary neurons, which project widely to cortical structures\(^{27,24}\). Antagonism of this pathway by either DORAs or 2-SORAs attenuates cortical activity and arousal, and appears to be the most salient manifestation of orexin antagonism\(^2\). Vigilance state gating, or the regulation of transitions between sleep/wake states, is thought to be mediated by both orexin receptors expressed in brainstem nuclei, but notably OX\(_R\) selectively expressed in locus coeruleus\(^{26,27}\). It is this second aspect of sleep/wake regulation that is expected to be differentially modulated by DORAs relative to 2-SORAs. OX\(_R\) has been postulated to have a role in regulating vigilance state boundaries\(^{26,27}\), antagonism of which could effectively diminish the threshold for

---

**Figure 2. MK-1064 dose-dependently promotes both NREM and REM sleep during the active phase in rats.**

Mean time in active wake, light sleep (LS), SWS, and REM sleep in adult Sprague-Dawley rats monitored for 2 hours post-dose. MK-1064 (5, 10, or 20 mg/kg) or vehicle (20% vitamin E TPGS, orally) was administered in a balanced crossover design such that each animal received drug and vehicle treatments (7 consecutive days). Treatment occurred during the active phase at ZT 17:00, (a) 7 hours prior to lights on (5 mg/kg, n = 5; 10 mg/kg, n = 7; 20 mg/kg, n = 5), or (b) just prior to onset of inactive phase (ZT 23:00; 10 mg/kg, n = 8; 20 mg/kg, n = 7). Mean within-subject change relative to vehicle ± SEM is shown (*, ***p < 0.05 < 0.001, respectively; one sample t-test for repeated measures compared with vehicle).

|          | 5 mg/kg | 10 mg/kg | 20 mg/kg |
|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Wake     |         |          |          |
| LS       |         |          |          |
| SWS      |         |          |          |
| REM      |         |          |          |

---

### Statistical Analysis

**a** Mid-active phase

- **Wake**: 5 mg/kg, **n** = 5; 10 mg/kg, **n** = 7; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 5.
- **LS**: 5 mg/kg, **n** = 5; 10 mg/kg, **n** = 7; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 5.
- **SWS**: 5 mg/kg, **n** = 5; 10 mg/kg, **n** = 7; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 5.
- **REM**: 5 mg/kg, **n** = 5; 10 mg/kg, **n** = 7; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 5.

**b** Late active phase

- **Wake**: 10 mg/kg, **n** = 8; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 7.
- **LS**: 10 mg/kg, **n** = 8; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 7.
- **SWS**: 10 mg/kg, **n** = 8; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 7.
- **REM**: 10 mg/kg, **n** = 8; 20 mg/kg, **n** = 7.

---

*Figure 2: MK-1064 dose-dependently promotes both NREM and REM sleep during the active phase in rats. Mean time in active wake (Wake), light sleep (LS), slow wave sleep (SWS), and REM sleep in adult Sprague-Dawley rats monitored for 2 hours post-dose. MK-1064 (5, 10, or 20 mg/kg) or vehicle (20% vitamin E TPGS, orally) was administered in a balanced crossover design such that each animal received drug and vehicle treatments (7 consecutive days). Treatment occurred during the active phase at ZT 17:00, (a) 7 hours prior to lights on (5 mg/kg, n = 5; 10 mg/kg, n = 7; 20 mg/kg, n = 5), or (b) just prior to onset of inactive phase (ZT 23:00; 10 mg/kg, n = 8; 20 mg/kg, n = 7). Mean within-subject change relative to vehicle ± SEM is shown (*, ***p < 0.05 < 0.001, respectively; one sample t-test for repeated measures compared with vehicle).*
sleep-state transitions. The greater OX₂R occupancies required by MK-1064 to attenuate active wake and promote NREM and REM sleep relative to DORA-12 support the idea that additional OX₁R antagonism acting on brain stem pathways has the capacity to contribute to efficacy. DORAs are estimated to require 65–85% occupancy to achieve efficacy, whereas MK-1064, on the other hand, attenuated active wake and increased NREM and REM sleep at substantially higher OX₂R occupancies, the threshold for significant changes occurring between 89% and 94%. This suggests that OX₁R antagonism inherent in the DORA mechanism may allow transitions into sleep stages to occur more readily, permitting DORAs to be more effective at lower occupancies relative to 2-SORAs. The relatively subtle effect of OX₁R antagonism is expected to allow transitions between wake and sleep to occur more readily, but would not necessarily the total amount of time spent in one sleep stage or another. If this is true, DORAs may ultimately be found to be more effective at enabling responses to sleep debt accumulated for specific sleep stages, since the additional OX₁R antagonism may allow these transitions to those sleep stages to occur more readily. It may also provide an explanation for discordant results by different laboratories, as different animal housing conditions may predispose animal subjects to distinct sleep stages according to need. Of course, the use of distinct OXR antagonists with differing PK profiles, receptor binding kinetics and selectivity are also likely to contribute to differences in results between laboratories.

Based on FECT results, cataplexy was not observed in dogs following pharmacological antagonism of OX₂R with MK-1064. Indeed, cataplexy was not observed in dogs at a dose of 20 mg/kg, which achieved plasma
exposures of 15.3 μM that are over 500-fold exposures required for minimal sleep-promoting efficacy. The lack of REM sleep increases in the hour following administration of this MK-1064 dose (see Fig. 4a) at this dose may seem surprising, but examination of the extended time course (see Supplementary Fig. 1) reveals that REM sleep was significantly increased at later time points, indicating that NREM sleep was preferentially induced over REM at earlier time points. The significance of this finding is unclear, but may suggest that acute OXR antagonism induces sleep cycling related to the timing and extent of receptor blockade, since NREM sleep typically exceeds REM sleep earlier in the normal resting period. Some OX,R antagonist activity may be expected at this dose particularly at earlier time points, since expected unbound drug levels at 1 hour (~Tmax) reach approximately 4.4 μM (dog-free fraction = 28.6%) that exceed the Kd of MK-1064 for dog OX, (1.3 μM), but other off-target activity is less likely given the pan selectivity of the compound. Antagonism of both OXRs, however, is not expected to be associated with positive FECT results, as no cataplectic activity of DORA-12 had been observed at doses 100-fold higher than that required for sleep-promoting effects.

In summary, MK-1064 is a highly potent and selective antagonist for OX2Rs, promotes sleep onset and maintenance similarly across mammalian species, and is characterized by increases in both NREM and REM sleep, demonstrating that single OX2R antagonism is sufficient to block the arousal effects of orexin. As such, this mechanism represents a potential therapeutic strategy for the treatment of insomnia, but does not appear to offer a measurable advantage over DORAs.

Methods

Binding and cellular activity assays. Binding and functional blockade of OX1R and OX2R with MK-1064 relative to other 2-SORAs and the DORA, MK-6096, were assessed using membranes from Chinese hamster ovary (CHO)-K1 cells (American Type Tissue Collection, Manassas, VA) transfected to express human, mouse, rat, rabbit, dog, or rhesus monkey OX1R or OX2R receptors, as described previously. The kinetics of binding to human OX2R was assessed by radioligand displacement on CHO-K1 cells expressing the receptor. Briefly, 3H-labelled ligand at Kd concentration was combined with 2 μg of membrane protein in 20 mM HEPES, 125 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl buffer (pH 7.4) at room temperature. The ON rate analysis was performed by presoaking GF/B filters in 0.3% polyethyleneimine (Sigma) using a Skatron 12-well cell harvester and cold buffer at the indicated time points after addition. For OFF rate determination, binding reactions were allowed to proceed to equilibrium and terminated with 1000x [Kd] of unlabeled antagonist and filtered as above at pre-determined time points.

Animal model experiments. All studies involving animals were approved by Merck’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and conducted in accordance with the National Research Council’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. With the exception of rat receptor occupancy studies (as described below) experiments in mice, rats and canines did not involve anesthesia or euthanasia as part of the experimental protocol. Mouse polysomnography protocols described in Animal Procedure Statement (APS) #600775 was reviewed and approved by Merck IACUC on 31 January 2014, rat receptor occupancy described in APS #600624 was reviewed and approved on 31 July 2014, rat polysomnography described in APS #600569 was reviewed and approved on 31 May 2014, and canine polysomnography and food-elicited cataplexy test (FECT) described in APS #600645 was reviewed and approved on 31 July 2014.
OX₁R receptor occupancy in transgenic rats. OX₁R occupancy in transgenic rats expressing human Hcrtr2 cDNA via the rat enolase promoter in radioligand-binding displacement assays have been described previously. Occupancy versus plasma exposure curves were first determined for MK-1064 and DORA-12 resulting from 30-minute intravenous administrations followed by euthanasia by decapitation, brain collection, tissue lysis, and measurement of radioligand displacement from 23 (DORA-12) or 59 (MK-1064) male rats. Normalized curves where the maximum occupancy value was defined as 100% relative to maximal observed plasma were constructed by fitting data to a one-site binding (hyperbola) curve (GraphPad Prism® v. 6.04, San Diego, CA, USA). OX₁R occupancy relative to changes in active wake, SWS, and REM sleep relative to vehicle were then calculated from maximum plasma values (C_{max} 1 hour) following treatment with MK-1064 or DORA-12 in satellite animals (n = 3) dosed in parallel to those used in the PSG studies, using the normalized occupancy versus plasma relation.

Polysomnography in preclinical species. The mean time spent in sleep stages was determined in radio-telemetry–implanted mice, rats, and dogs, as described previously. Continuous PSG recordings were performed following oral MK-1064 or vehicle (20% vitamin E d-alpha tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate [TPGS]) administration. In rodents, electrocortiogram (ECoG) and electromyogram (EMG) and...
Locomotor activity measured via radio-telemetry were scored in 10-second epochs to define light sleep (LS; no movement, moderate EMG tone, theta with \(<50\%\) delta ECoG [0.5–4 Hz]), slow wave sleep (SWS; no gross movement, reduced EMG, \(>50\%\) delta ECoG) and rapid eye movement sleep (REM; no movement, little to no EMG activity, primarily theta ECoG [4–7 Hz] activity)42. Scored data were then grouped and compiled according to condition (vehicle or compound treatment) averaged over the indicated times (30 minutes or 2 hours) and analyzed statistically as previously described 1,14,40,42 (see below). Canine sleep was scored similarly except that electrooculogram (EOG) recordings were used to define and distinguish REM sleep (high frequency EOG, no locomotor, little to no EMG activity, theta ECoG) from non-REM I (NREM I; little to no EOG activity, no movement, moderate EMG tone, \(<50\%\) delta ECoG) and NREM II sleep (little to no EOG activity, no gross movement, reduced EMG, \(>50\%\) delta ECoG), and analyzed as described previously14,39.

In male wild-type and Hcrtr1 and 2 homozygous, double knockout mice (Taconic Farms, Germantown, NY), MK-1064 or vehicle was administered during the late active phase (ZT: 20:00, 4 hours before lights on). The effect of compound treatment was assessed using a counterbalanced crossover design in which all animals were alternately treated with drug and vehicle daily for 5 consecutive days with a 2-day intervening washout period. In both mice and rats, the mean time in active wake, LS, SWS and REM sleep was determined for each 30-minute interval. The level of significance for the differences between mean time spent in each stage between the vehicle- and compound-treated groups was determined by a linear mixed-effects model for repeated measures applied t-test, and mean within-subject change relative to vehicle over 2 hours following dosing was analyzed using within-subject ANOVA to determine main effects and one sample t-test to identify significant changes relative to vehicle.

In adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (600 g, Taconic Farms, Germantown, NY), MK-1064 (5, 10, or 20 mg/kg) or vehicle was administered orally in a balanced crossover design such that each subject received drug and vehicle treatments (7 consecutive days). Time in wake, light sleep, SWS and REM sleep was monitored following treatment administration during the active phase (ZT 17:00) and inactive phase (ZT 23:00; 1 hour prior to lights on). Data were analyzed using within-subject ANOVA to determine main effects and one sample t-test to compare with vehicle.

Female dogs (beagles; Marshall Farms) received MK-1064 or vehicle by oral gavage once daily at ZT 03:00 in a 5-day crossover design in which all animals received both vehicle and compound in separate arms for 5 days.
consecutive days (1 mg/kg study) or 20 mg/kg for 12 days at ZT 04:00 in a similar crossover paradigm to match dosing times for the FECT study evaluation (see below). Mean times in active wake, NREM I, NREM II, and REM sleep were monitored continuously. Vehicle and compound treatment conditions were consolidated into 24-hour periods for each animal, and the mean time spent in each sleep stage for the 2 hours following treatment was determined and significance determined by t-test.

**Food-elicited cataplexy test (FECT).** The FECT was performed essentially as described previously. After a 2-day vehicle run-in period, female dogs (n = 8; Marshall Farms) were treated with MK-1064 (20 mg/kg) or vehicle in a 1-day vehicle design. Daytime FECTs with simultaneous video and electrocorticography (ECoG), electromyography (EMG), and electrooculography (EOG) recordings were conducted 1 hour post-dose. Following release into the test room, dogs were remotely monitored while consuming 10 evenly spaced, approximately 1-inch balls of wet dog food (Pedigree® chunk beef). Video and ECoG/EOG/EMG recordings were evaluated for any signs of cataplexy (e.g., collapse, EMG attenuation, sudden-onset REM sleep; see Kushida et al.) and the time needed to consume all 10 balls of food.

**MK-1064 responses in healthy human subjects.** MK-1064 was evaluated in two different studies: single oral ascending-dose first-in-human study (Merck Protocol MK-1064-001; approved by the Commissie voor Medische Ethiek – Ziekenhuis Antwerpen, Antwerp, Belgium [#3402; 10/06/2009]; registered with the EU Clinical Trials Register [EudraCT number: 2009-010203-92; 07/07/2009] and Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02549014; 09/11/2015); study initiation [FPI]: 07/07/2009, study completion [LPO]: 09/29/2009), and another to evaluate polysomnological responses to MK-1064 (Merck protocol MK-1064-003; approved by the Plymouth Independent Research Ethics Committee, Plymouth, U.K. [10/26/2009]; registered with the EU Clinical Trials Register [EudraCT number: 2009-014199-23; 11/26/2009] and Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02549027; 09/11/2015); study initiation [FPI]: 11/26/2009, study completion [LPO]: 04/06/2010). The authors confirm that all ongoing and related trials for this drug/intervention are registered. In both studies, all subjects provided written informed consent to participate, and were conducted in accordance with standards established by the Declaration of Helsinki, in compliance with all local and/or national regulations and directives, and approved by the Belgium and U.K. committees indicated above. Full details of the study methods are available online in the registered study materials at Clinicaltrials.gov.

**MK-1064 pharmacology, vigilance, and somnolence responses.** MK-1064 safety, PK and PD were evaluated in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, alternating panel, single oral ascending-dose first-in-human study (see Fig. 5). Participants were unmedicated healthy male subjects (age 18–45 years) without a history of physical or mental illnesses or sleep abnormalities. Two panels received alternating single ascending oral doses of MK-1064 in up to 5 treatment periods (Panel A: 5, 25, 100 and 200 mg [fasting conditions], 25 mg [fed condition], or matching placebo; Panel B: 10, 50, 150 and 250 mg [all daytime administration], 50 mg [evening administration], or matching placebo). Within each period, subjects were randomized to active treatment (N = 6) and placebo (N = 2) groups. There was a minimum of 3 days between ascending doses occurring between alternating subject panels to enable safety data review; treatments within subject panels were separated by a minimum 7-day washout period. The safety and tolerability of MK-1064 were monitored throughout the study by repeated evaluations of AEs, clinical assessments (semi-recumbent and orthostatic vital signs measurements, 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs), physical examinations, neurologic examinations), and safety laboratory tests (chemistry, hematology, urinalysis).

During each treatment period, blood was collected for PK analysis pre-dose and at time points up to 72 hours post-dose. MK-1064 plasma concentrations were determined by reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography and detected with tandem mass spectrometry. The lower limit of quantitation was 1 ng/mL and the linear calibration range was 1–1000 ng/mL. PK parameters were calculated with WinNonLin 5.2.1. Values below the assay limit of quantification were indicated with a zero value. PD effects on arousal and mood were assessed by the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS) and Bond and Lader Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) in exploratory endpoints.

**PSG and sleep efficacy in healthy subjects.** Twenty healthy male subjects between the ages of 18 and 45 years were recruited to a double-blind, randomized, 4-period, crossover study to evaluate the effects of single doses of MK-1064. Subjects were without a history of physical or mental illnesses, had no history of sleep abnormalities, and were not regularly taking medication. Subject inclusion required a sleep score ≤ 6 on the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index at the time of screening, and qualified subjects underwent a PSG screening prior to randomization to exclude those with latent sleep disturbances. In each of the 4 treatment periods, subjects were randomized to receive single doses of MK-1064 (50, 120, or 250 mg) or matching placebo according to a balanced crossover design (see Fig. 5). Briefly, 20 subjects were randomized to 4 panels (n = 5 each). Each panel then received each of the four treatments (placebo, 50, 120, 250 mg MK-1064) in 4 different study periods where each period allowed the 4 different treatments to be assigned through each period. Subjects were admitted for a pre-dose habituation night. On the following treatment night, subjects were administered a single dose of study medication in a blinded manner approximately 1 hour before their habitual bedtime. Study medication was administered following a ≥4-hour fast. Dosing in different treatment periods was separated by a washout of at least 7 days for each subject. PSG recording commenced at 1 hour post-dose and continued during undisturbed sleep for 8 hours overnight. Visual scoring of each 30-sec epoch of PSG recording to wake or one of four sleep states (S1, S2, SWS, collectively referred to as NREM, and REM) was performed according to standard and established Rechtschaffen and Kales criteria. Obtained scores
were then used to calculate efficacy assessment measures including latency to persistent sleep (LPS) (min), wake after sleep onset (WASO) (min), sleep efficiency (SE) (%) and total sleep time (TST) (min). LPS is the time from lights off to the first epoch of 20 consecutive epochs of non-wake stage; WASO is the time in wake stage between the onset of persistent sleep and the time of lights off; and SE is the proportion of time between lights off and lights on that was spent in sleep. In addition to efficacy parameters, latency to REM (LREM) (min), equal to the time from lights off to the first epoch of REM, and the proportion (%) of TST spent in NREM and REM were calculated. Estimation of the effects of treatment on PSG endpoints relative to placebo, including the calculation of confidence intervals and p-values were done using a mixed-effect statistical model typical for crossover studies. Specifically, logarithm of an analyzed endpoint represented the response variable, and treatment dose, treatment period, and carryover effect were fixed-effect explanatory variables. Subject effect was the random effect with the within-subject covariance assumed to be of the unstructured type. Carryover effects in this study were not statistically significant (p > 0.1) and were not included in final analysis. SAS/STAT® Version 9.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary NC) was used in the analysis.

References
1. Gotter, A. L. et al. The duration of sleep promoting efficacy by dual orexin receptor antagonists is dependent upon receptor occupancy threshold. BMC Neurosci. 14, 90 (2013).
2. Zeitzer, J. M. et al. Circadian and homeostatic regulation of hypocretin in a primate model: Implications for the consolidation of wakefulness. J. Neurosci. 23, 3555–3560 (2003).
3. Hagan, J. J. et al. Orexin A activates locus coeruleus cell firing and increases arousal in the rat. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 10911–10916 (1999).
4. Piper, D. C., Upton, N., Smith, M. I. & Hunter, A. J. The novel brain neuropeptide, orexin-A, modulates the sleep-wake cycle of rats. Eur. J. Neuros. 12, 726–730 (2000).
5. Nishino, S., Ripley, B., Overeem, S., Lammers, G. J. & Mignot, E. Hypocretin (orexin) deficiency in human narcolepsy. Lancet. 355, 39–40 (2000).
6. Lin, L. et al. The sleep disorder canine narcolepsy is caused by a mutation in the hypocretin (orexin) receptor 2 gene. Cell 98, 365–376 (1999).
7. Chenelli, R. M. et al. Narcolepsy in orexin knockout mice: molecular genetics of sleep regulation. Cell 98, 437–451 (1999).
8. Willje, J. T. et al. Distinct narcolepsy syndromes in Orexin receptor-2 and Orexin null mice: molecular genetic dissection of Non-REM and REM sleep regulatory processes. Neuron 38, 715–730 (2003).
9. Brisbare-Roch, C. et al. Promotion of sleep by targeting the orexin system in rats, dogs and humans. Nat. Med. 13, 150–155 (2007).
10. Hoever, P. et al. Orexin receptor antagonism, a new sleep-enabling paradigm: a proof-of-concept clinical trial. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 91, 975–985 (2012).
11. Bettica, P. et al. The orexin antagonist SB-649868 promotes and maintains sleep in men with primary insomnia. Sleep 35, 1097–1104 (2012).
12. Herring, W. J. et al. Suvorexant in Patients with Insomnia: Results from Two 3-Month Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials. Biol. Psychiatry 79, 136–148 (2014).
13. Michelson, D. et al. Safety and efficacy of suvorexant during 1-year treatment of insomnia with subsequent abrupt treatment discontinuation: a phase 3 randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 13, 461–471 (2014).
14. Winrow, C. J. et al. Promotion of sleep by suvorexant-a novel dual orexin receptor antagonist. J Neurogenet. 25, 52–61 (2011).
15. Gotter, A. L. et al. Differential sleep-promoting effects of dual orexin receptor antagonists and GABAA receptor modulators. BMC. Neurosci. 15, 109 (2014).
16. Fox, S. V. et al. Quantitative electroencephalography within sleep/wake states differentiates GABA modulators eszopiclone and zolpidem from dual orexin receptor antagonists in rats. Neuropsychopharmacology 38, 2401–2408 (2013).
17. Bettica, P. et al. Differential effects of a dual orexin receptor antagonist (SB-649868) and zolpidem on sleep initiation and consolidation, SWS, REM sleep, and EEG power spectra in a model of situational insomnia. Neuropsychopharmacology 37, 1224–1233 (2012).
18. Sun, H. et al. Effects of suvorexant, an orexin receptor antagonist, on sleep parameters as measured by polysomnography in healthy men. Sleep 36, 259–267 (2013).
19. Herring, W. J. et al. Orexin receptor antagonism for treatment of insomnia: a randomized clinical trial of suvorexant. Neurology 79, 2265–2274 (2012).
20. Ma, J. et al. Electroencephalographic power spectral density profile of the orexin receptor antagonist suvorexant in patients with primary insomnia and healthy subjects. Sleep 37, 1609–1619 (2014).
21. Kissauku, Y. Y. et al. The role of orexin receptor type-1 (OX1R) in the regulation of sleep. Sleep 23, A91 (2000).
22. Mang, G. M. et al. The dual orexin receptor antagonist almorexant increases sleep and decreases orexin-induced locomotion by blocking orexin 2 receptors. Sleep 35, 1625–1635 (2012).
23. Bugovic, C. et al. Blockade of orexin-1 receptors attenuates orexin-2 receptor antagonism-induced sleep promotion in the rat. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 330, 142–151 (2009).
24. Morarit, S. R. et al. Dual hypocretin receptor antagonist is more effective for sleep promotion than antagonism of either receptor alone. PloS One 7, e39131 (2012).
25. Chen, L. et al. Knockdown of orexin type 1 receptor in rat locus coeruleus increases REM sleep during the dark period. Eur. J. Neurosci. 32, 1528–1536 (2010).
26. Choudhary, R. C., Khaydary, M.A., Mitra, A. & Mallick, B. N. Peripherical orexinergic neurons modulate REM sleep by influencing locus coeruleus neurons in rats. Neuroscience 279, 33–43 (2014).
27. Bugovic, C. et al. Orexin-1 receptor blockade dysregulates REM sleep in the presence of orexin-2 receptor antagonism. Front. Neurosci. 8, 28 (2014).
28. Bonaventure, P. et al. Characterization of [N-L-42847922, a Selective Orexin-2 Receptor Antagonist, as a Clinical Candidate for the Treatment of Insomnia. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 354, 471–482 (2015).
29. Roecker, A. J. et al. Discovery of 5-Chloro-N-[5,6-dimethoxy-2'-y[methyl]-2,2'-5', 3'-terpyridine-3-carboxamide (MK-1064): a selective orexin 2 receptor antagonist (2-SORA) for the treatment of insomnia. Chem Med Chem. 9, 311–322 (2014).
30. Roecker, A. J. et al. Discovery of MK-3697: a selective orexin 2 receptor antagonist (2-SORA) for the treatment of insomnia. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 24, 4884–4890 (2014).
31. Betschart, C. et al. Identification of a novel series of orexin receptor antagonists with a distinct effect on sleep architecture for the treatment of insomnia. J. Med. Chem 56, 7590–7607 (2013).
32. Babcock, D. A., Narver, E. L., Dement, W. C. & Miller, M. E. Effects of imipramine, chlorimipramine, and fluoxetine on catalepsy in dogs. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 5, 599–602 (1976).
33. Reid, M. S. et al. Neuropharmacological characterization of basal forebrain cholinergic stimulated catalepsy in narcoleptic canines. Exp. Neurol. 151, 89–104 (1998).
34. Bettica, P. et al. Phase I studies on the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of SB-649868, a novel dual orexin receptor antagonist. *J. Psychopharmacol.* **26**, 1058–1070 (2011).
35. Snyder J. E. et al. Effects of suvorexant on sleep architecture and power spectral profile in patients with insomnia: analysis of pooled phase 3 data. *Sleep Med.* **19**, 93–100 (2016).
36. Smith, M. I., Piper, D. C., Duxon, M. S. & Upton, N. Evidence implicating a role for orexin-1 receptor modulation of paradoxical sleep in the rat. *Neurosci. Lett.* **341**, 256–258 (2003).
37. Callander, G. E. et al. Kinetic properties of “dual” orexin receptor antagonists at OX1R and OX2R orexin receptors. *Front. Neurosci.* **7**, 230 (2013).
38. Hoyer, D. et al. Distinct effects of IPSU and suvorexant on mouse sleep architecture. *Front. Neurosci.* **7**, 235 (2013).
39. Tannenbaum, P. L. et al. Orexin receptor-induced sleep does not impair the ability to wake in response to emotionally salient acoustic stimuli in dogs. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **8**, 182 (2014).
40. Winrow, C. J. et al. Pharmacological characterization of MK-6096 - a dual orexin receptor antagonist for insomnia. *Neuropharmacology* **62**, 978–987 (2012).
41. Cox, C. D. et al. Discovery of the dual orexin receptor antagonist [(7R)-4-(5-chloro-1,3-benzoxazol-2-yl)-7-methyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl][5-methyl-2-(1H-1,2,3-triazol-2-yl)phenyl] methanone (MK-4305) for the treatment of insomnia. *J. Med. Chem.* **53**, 5320–5332 (2010).
42. Renger, J. L., Dunn, S. L., Motzel, S. L., Johnson, C. & Koblan, K. S. Sub-chronic administration of zolpidem affects modifications to rat sleep architecture. *Brain Res.* **1010**, 45–54 (2004).
43. Kushida, C. A., Baker, T. L. & Dement, W. C. Electroencephalographic correlates of cataplectic attacks in narcoleptic canines. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* **61**, 61–70 (1985).
44. Nishino, S. et al. Effects of thyrotropin-releasing hormone and its analogs on daytime sleepiness and cataplexy in canine narcolepsy. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 6401–6409 (1997).
45. Akerstedt, T. & Gillberg, M. Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual. *Int. J. Neurosci.* **52**, 29–37 (1990).
46. Bond, A. & Lader, M. The use of analogue scales in rating subjective feelings. *Br. J. Med. Psychol.* **47**, 211–218 (1974).
47. Rechtschaffen, A. & Kales, A. A. In *A Manual of Standardized Terminology, Techniques and scoring system for sleep stages of human subjects*, (eds Rechtschaffen, A. & Kales, A. A.) (Brain Information Service/Brain Research Institute, University of California, 1968).

**Acknowledgements**

Funding for this research was provided by Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ USA. Animal dosing and manipulation support was provided by Alan T. Savitz (Merck, *In vivo* Pharmacology) and Merck’s Laboratory Animal Resources Department. Clinical study oversight was provided by Tine Laethem of Merck Research Laboratories. Medical writing assistance, funded by Merck & Co., was provided by Erin Bekes, PhD of Complete Medical Communications, Inc., Hackensack, NJ.

**Author Contributions**

Study conception and design: A.L.G., M.S.F., C.M.H., J.S., K.L.Y., X.L., A.J.R., S.V.F., P.L.T., S.L.G., I.d.L., N.C., L.R., P.J.C., W.J.H., J.J.R. and C.J.W.

**Additional Information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

**Competing financial interests:** All authors are current or former employees of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, known as Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. (MSD) outside the United States and Canada, have received salary and research support from the company, and may own stock/stock options in the company.

**How to cite this article:** Gotter, A. L. *et al.* Orexin 2 Receptor Antagonism is Sufficient to Promote NREM and REM Sleep from Mouse to Man. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 27147; doi: 10.1038/srep27147 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/