Bovine pericardium membrane, gingival stem cells, and ascorbic acid: A novel team in regenerative medicine
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Abstract
Recently, the development and the application of 3D scaffold able to promote stem cell differentiation represented an essential field of interest in regenerative medicine. In particular, functionalized scaffolds improve bone tissue formation and promote bone defects repair. This research aims to evaluate the role of ascorbic acid (AS) supplementation in an in vitro model, in which a novel 3D-scaffold, bovine pericardium collagen membrane called BioRipar (BioR) was functionalized with human Gingival Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hGMSCs). As extensively reported in the literature, AS is an essential antioxidant molecule involved in the extracellular matrix secretion and in the osteogenic induction. Specifically, hGMSCs were seeded on BioR and treated with 60 and 90 µg/mL of AS in order to assess their growth behavior, the expression of bone specific markers involved in osteogenesis (runt-related transcription factor-2, RUNX2; collagen1A1, COL1A1; osteopontin, OPN; bone morphogenetic protein2/4, BMP2/4), and de novo deposition of calcium. The expression of COL1A1, RUNX2, BMP2/4 and OPN was evaluated by RT-PCR, Western blotting and immunocytochemistry, and proved to be upregulated. Our results demonstrate that after three weeks of treatment AS at 60 and 90 µg/mL operates as an osteogenic inducer in hGMSCs. These data indicate that the AS supplementation produces an enhancement of osteogenic phenotype commitment in an in vitro environment. For this reason, AS could represent a valid support for basic and translational research in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

Introduction
Tissue engineering is an interesting strategy to promote new bone tissue formation. In bone tissue engineering, the combination of several parameters such as cell type, growth factors or bioactive factors, mechanical stimuli, and 3D-scaffold material, is fundamental in order to obtain positive results.1

The cell source for tissue engineering can be obtained from different tissue niches, one of this is obtained from the oral cavity, and in particular from the gingival tissue. Gingival mesenchymal stem cells (GMSCs) are obtained from stromal tissue with a relatively easy isolation procedure and with ability to produce high level of cells number.1,2 In tissue engineering field, scaffold materials mimic the role of extracellular matrix (ECM) and provide a mechanical support for cells. An appropriate scaffold should be osteoinductive, osteoconductive and able to enroll MSCs derived from human tissues, and support the growth of the bone tissue.4 Latest study demonstrated that cell proliferation and differentiation can be controlled by bioactive factors inside tissues.2 Recently, to provide a favorable microenvironment for MSCs differentiation, several molecules or ions have been incorporated into 3D scaffolds.

Ascorbic acid (AS) is an essential antioxidant molecule which works as a cofactor for many enzymes. Since humans have lost the ability to synthesize ascorbate due to the increase of mutations in the coding sequence of the L-gulono-1,4-lactone oxidase,4 this antioxidant molecule should be included as a supplement in the diet to assure tissue homeostasis. AS is present in the bloodstream at approximately 50-100 µM concentration in plasma of healthy subjects.3 AS plays a key role as co-factor in post-translational modification of collagen molecules,11 which are components of the ECM of mesenchyme-derived tissues.12 Moreover, this vitamin reduces the detrimental effect induced by methacrylates in clinical dentistry leading to cell growth repair, proinflammatory cytokine reduction, ROS level down-regulation (data submitted).

In the present study, the role of AS as a bioactive factor for osteogenic differentiation was investigated in an in vitro model in which human GMSCs were grown on a novel 3D-scaffold, i.e. the bovine pericardium collagen membrane called BioRipar (BioR). Cell growth, de novo deposition of calcium as well as the expression of some bone specific markers involved in osteogenesis (namely, the runt-related transcription factor-2, RUNX2; collagen1A1, COL1A1; and osteopontin, OPN) were evaluated.

As extensively reported in the literature, RUNX2 is a transcriptional factor essential for the activation of osteoblast-associated genes and also it has been reported to be an important early indicator of osteoblast differentiation and bone formation.13 OPN codes for one of the most predominant non-collagenous proteins in bone ECM produced by osteoblasts, and it also promotes cell adhesion to the bone surface.14 This protein regulates cell–matrix interactions and signaling through binding to integrins and CD44 receptors.15 Furthermore, receptors of OPN integrins and CD44 have been described on host stromal cells and in hGMSCs.16 OPN is produced by mature osteoblasts in the process of bone formation and is recognized as a major marker of osteogenic differentiation, it also plays a main role in the regulation of vessel regeneration.17 During bone resorption, OPN plays an important role in the attachment of osteoclasts and in osteogenesis regulating crystal size.18 Upregulation of non-collagenous proteins such as OPN and osteonecin (SPARC), exhibited a vital role in osteogenesis, confirmed by the osteogenesis-promoting effect of the AS on hGMSCs during bone mineralization.19
Collagen 1, known as an early marker of osteoprogenitor cells, fundamental for extracellular matrix synthesis and to promote Bone morphogenetic protein2 (BMP2) release. BMP2 is a member of the TGF-β superfamily, detected in cartilage and bone, that through activation of the Wnt pathway, promotes bone formation.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

This research study was approved by the “G. d’Annunzio” University Ethics Committee (n°266 / University of Chieti). Gingival tissue was collected from six healthy patients scheduled to dentistry surgical procedure as previously described, in order to obtain hGMSCs. Tissue explants were washed several times with sodium phosphate buffer (PBS, Lonza, Basel, Switzerland), then samples were plated and maintained in Petri dish with Mesenchymal Stem Cells Growth Medium-Chemically Defined (MSCGM-CD) (Lonza). The medium was replaced twice a week with a fresh one. After two weeks of culture, cells spontaneously migrated from tissue biopsies. All experiments were performed using cells at 2nd passage.

Cell characterization

To characterize hGMSCs, used in the present study, Dominici’s criteria have been followed. First, to evaluate the mesenchymal features of hGMSCs cytofluorimetric detection and mesengenic differentiation have been performed. Expression of CD13, CD14, CD29, CD34, CD44, CD45, CD73, CD90 and CD105 was evaluated by cytofluorimetric analysis as previously described. The analysis was performed by using FACStarPLUS flow cytometry system and the FlowJo™ software (TreeStar, Ashland, OR, USA).

An inverted light microscope Leica DMIL (Leica Microsystem, Milan, Italy) was used to evaluate cell morphology and the capacity to adhere to a plastic substrate. To assess the ability to differentiate into osteogenic and adipogenic commitment hGMSCs were maintained under osteogenic and adipogenic conditions for 21 and 28 days, respectively, as previously reported. To evaluate the formation of mineralized precipitates and lipid droplets, after the differentiation period, Alizarin Red S and Adipo Oil Red staining were performed on undifferentiated and differentiated cells. For Alizarin Red S, staining cells were washed with PBS, fixed in 10% (v/v) formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) for 30 min, and washed twice with abundant distilled water.

Figure 1. Cell characterization. A) Cytofluorimetric analysis; −, negative expression (0%); +, moderate expression; ++, positive expression; ++++, high expression (100%); MFI ratio is the average of three different biological samples ± standard deviation. B) Plastic adherent hGMSCs observed at inverted light microscopy. C) Alizarin Red S positive staining in hGMSCs culture. D) Oil Red O staining in hGMSCs culture, cells showed a lipid droplet at cytoplasmic level. E) RT-PCR of osteogenic related markers, RUNX2 and ALP. F) RT-PCR of adipogenic related markers, FABP4 and PPAR (undifferentiated vs differentiated). **P<0.01 was considered statistically significant. Scale bars: 20 µm.

Figure 2. Cell proliferation and viability. A) MTT assay. Treatment with AS 60 µg/mL and AS 90 µg/mL showed no statistical differences in terms of cell proliferation and viability after 24, 48 and 72 h of culture when compared to the CTRL group. DIFF OSTEO group showed a decrease in cell proliferation and viability when compared to all experimental groups (**P<0.01) at 48 and 72 h of culture. The results are expressed as mean ± SD.
water (dH₂O) before addition of 0.5% Alizarin Red S in H₂O, pH 4.0, for 1 h at room temperature. After cells incubation under gentle shaking, cells were washed with dH₂O four times for 5 min. For staining quantification, 800 μL of 10% (v/v) acetic acid were added to each well. Cells were incubated for 30 min with shaking, then scraped from the plate, transferred into a 1.5 mL vial, and vortexed for 30 s. The obtained suspension, overlaid with 500 μL of distilled water (Sigma-Aldrich), was heated to 85°C for 10 min, then transferred to ice for 5 min, carefully avoiding opening of the tubes until fully cooled, and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 min. Five hundred μL of the supernatant were placed into a new 1.5 mL vial, and 200 μL of 10% (v/v) ammonium hydroxide were added (pH 4.1-4.5). One hundred fifty μL of the supernatant obtained from differentiated and undifferentiated hPDLCs were read in triplicate at 405 nm by a spectrophotometer (Synergy HT, BioTek Instruments, Bad Friedrichshall, Germany). For adipogenic specific staining, cells were fixed in 10% formalin for 15 min and washed with dH₂O. Subsequently, the cells were stained with Oil Red O working solution (300 mg of Oil Red O/100 mL of isopropanol) for 5 min and counterstained with hematoxylin. The differentiation into adipogenic lineage was evaluated by AdipoRed assay reagent hydrophilic Nile Red fluorescence (Lonza). After differentiation, the plates were rinsed with PBS and 140 μL/well of AdipoRed was added; after 10 min, the fluorescence with an excitation at 485 nm and an emission at 572 nm was measured with a fluorimeter (Synergy HT). To validate the ability to differentiate into osteogenic and adipogenic lineages, the expression of RUNX-2, ALP, FABP4, and PPARγ were evaluated by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) as described by Diomede et al.²⁹

Commercially available TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (RUNX-2 Hs00231692_m1; ALP Hs01029144_m1; FABP4 Hs01086177_m1; PPARγ Hs01115513_m1) and the Taq-Man Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were used according to standard protocols. Beta-2 microglobulin (B2M Hs99999907_m1) (Applied Biosystems) was used for template normalization. Real-time PCR was performed in three independent experiments, and duplicate determinations were carried out for each sample.

**Biomaterial**

The BioRipar® (BioR; Assut Europe SpA, Magliano dei Marsi, AQ, Italy) is a collagen membrane derived from bovine pericardium. Purified pericardium, commercial available TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (RUNX-2 Hs00231692_m1; ALP Hs01029144_m1; FABP4 Hs01086177_m1; PPARγ Hs01115513_m1) and the Taq-Man Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were used according to standard protocols. Beta-2 microglobulin (B2M Hs99999907_m1) (Applied Biosystems) was used for template normalization. Real-time PCR was performed in three independent experiments, and duplicate determinations were carried out for each sample.

**Biomaterial**

The BioRipar® (BioR; Assut Europe SpA, Magliano dei Marsi, AQ, Italy) is a collagen membrane derived from bovine pericardium. Purified pericardium, commercial available TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (RUNX-2 Hs00231692_m1; ALP Hs01029144_m1; FABP4 Hs01086177_m1; PPARγ Hs01115513_m1) and the Taq-Man Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were used according to standard protocols. Beta-2 microglobulin (B2M Hs99999907_m1) (Applied Biosystems) was used for template normalization. Real-time PCR was performed in three independent experiments, and duplicate determinations were carried out for each sample.

**Figure 3. Osteogenic differentiation.** Alizarin Red S staining were used to evaluate the calcium depositions (red) in (A) CTRL, (B) AS 60 μg/mL, (C) AS 90 μg/mL and (D) DIFF OSTEO. E) Quantitative analysis of Alizarin Red S staining was performed by measuring the absorbance of Alizarin Red S. *BioR membrane. The results are expressed as mean ± SD (AS 60 μg/mL vs AS 90 μg/mL; 60 μg/mL vs DIFF OSTEO). **P<0.01 was recognized to be significant. Scale bars: 20 μm.

**Figure 4. Expression of COL1A1.** Immunofluorescence detection of COL1A1 in CTRL (A1-A5), AS 60 μg/mL (B1-B5), AS 90 μg/mL (C1-C5) and DIFF OSTEO (D1-D5). COL1A1 expression were upregulated in AS 90 μg/mL and DIFF OSTEO when compared to the AS 60 μg/mL. No significant differences have been evidenced between AS 90 μg/mL and DIFF OSTEO groups. Red fluorescence, cytoskeleton actin; green fluorescence, specific marker; blue fluorescence, cell nuclei; grey scale, membrane observed at transmission light channel; merge pictures showed the overlapping of abovementioned channels. Scale bars: 10 μm.
posed by type I Collagen and Elastin, represented a new tool for fibroblasts growth and new blood vessel formation. Sterile scissors were used to cut the membrane in small piece size. PBS (Lonza) was used to rehydrate the BioR membrane before use.

Experimental design

Cells at 2nd passage, seeded on BioR, have been divided in four experimental groups:
1. CTRL: BioR/hGMSCs cultured with basal medium (MSCGM-CD);
2. AS 60 µg/mL: BioR/hGMSCs treated with ascorbic acid at a concentration of 60 µg/mL;
3. AS 90 µg/mL: BioR/hGMSCs treated with ascorbic acid at a concentration of 90 µg/mL;
4. DIFF OSTEO: BioR/hGMSCs cultured with osteogenic differentiation medium as following reported.

Cell proliferation and viability assay

Cell proliferation was evaluated by means of MTT assay as previously described:16 2×10^3 cells per well were seeded into 96-well plates with BioR in a medium volume of 200 µL to test all experimental groups at different endpoint, 24, 48 and 72 h. Twenty µL of MTT (Promega, Milan, Italy) solution were added to each well. After 1 h at 37°C, 200 µL of the supernatant obtained from culture were transferred into a 1.5 mL vial and vortexed for 30 s. The obtained suspension, overlaid with 500 µL of mineral oil (Sigma-Aldrich), was heated to 85 °C for 10 min, then transferred to ice for 5 min, carefully avoiding opening of the tubes until fully cooled, and centrifuged at 20,000× g for 15 min. In addition, 500 µL of the supernatant were placed into a new 1.5 mL vial and 200 µL of 10% (v/v) ammonium hydroxide was added (pH 4.1-pH 4.5). Furthermore, 150 µL of the supernatant obtained from cultures were read in triplicate at 405 nm by a spectrophotometer (Synergy HT).

Confocal laser scanning microscope analysis

For immunofluorescence detections BioR/hGMSCs were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in 0.1 M PBS (Lonza). After the fixation step, cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 and 0.1% (v/v) acetic acid was added to each well. Cells incubated for 30 min were scraped from the plate, transferred into a 1.5 mL vial and vortexed for 30 s. The obtained suspension, overlaid with 500 µL of mineral oil (Sigma-Aldrich), was heated to 85 °C for 10 min, then transferred to ice for 5 min, carefully avoiding opening of the tubes until fully cooled, and centrifuged at 20,000× g for 15 min. In addition, 500 µL of the supernatant were placed into a new 1.5 mL vial and 200 µL of 10% (v/v) ammonium hydroxide was added (pH 4.1-pH 4.5). Furthermore, 150 µL of the supernatant obtained from cultures were read in triplicate at 405 nm by a spectrophotometer (Synergy HT).

Evaluation of calcium deposition was performed using 4% parafomaldehyde diluted in 0.1 M PBS (Lonza). After the fixation step, cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, followed by blocking with 5% skimmed milk in PBS for 30 min.28 Primary antibodies used for immunofluorescence were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). COL1A1 (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), RUNX2 (1:100, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), BMP2/4 (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and OPN (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used as primary antibodies. Then cells were incubated by Alexa Fluor 568 red fluorescence conjugated goat anti-rabbit as secondary antibodies (1:200, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA). Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin green fluorescence conjugate (1:400, Molecular Probes) has been used to mark the cytoskeleton actin. After immunofluorescence labelling cells were washed and incubated with TOPRO (1:200, Molecular Probes) for 1 h at 37°C.26 Samples were observed under Zeiss LSM800 confocal system (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). All the experiments were performed in triplicate.

![Figure 5. Expression of RUNX2. Immunofluorescence detection of RUNX2 in CTRL (A1-A5), AS 60 µg/mL (B1-B5), AS 90 µg/mL (C1-C5) and DIFF OSTEO (D1-D5). RUNX2 expression was overexpressed in AS 90 µg/mL and DIFF OSTEO samples when compared to the AS 60 µg/mL. No significant differences have been demonstrated when compared AS 90 µg/mL and DIFF OSTEO groups. Red fluorescence, cytoskeleton actin; green fluorescence, specific marker; blue fluorescence, cell nuclei; grey scale, membrane observed at transmission light channel; merge pictures showed the overlapping of above-mentioned channels. Scale bar: 10 µm.](image)
Gene expression

Total RNA was isolated from all experimental groups used in the present study through the RNeasy Plus Universal Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). ABI PRISM 7900 HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was used for qPCR of studied markers (COL1A1 Hs00164004_m1; RUNX2 Hs00231692_m1; BMP2/4 Hs00154192_m1; OPN Hs00959010_m1; Beta-2 microglobulin (B2M Hs00187842_m1; Hs99999907_m1; ThermoFischer) was used for template normalization.34 Comparative 2^ΔΔCt relative quantification method has been used to analyze the mRNA expression.

Western blot analysis

Proteins (30 μg) derived from all experimental groups were processed as previously described.35 All antibodies used for western blot procedure were purchased to Santa Cruz Biotechnology. After protein separation, saturated sheets were incubated overnight at 4°C with COL1A1 (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), RUNX2 (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), BMP2/4 (1:750, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), OPN (1:750, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and β-Actin (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology).36 Then samples were washed and incubated in secondary antibody diluted 1:1000 in 1x TBS, 5% milk, 0.05% Tween-20. Protein specific bands were visualized by means the electrochemiluminescence method.37

Statistical analysis

Graph Pad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used to perform the statistical evaluation. To evaluate the differences in the osteogenic differentiation, gene and protein expression Student’s t-test has been used to analyze the differences between the experimental groups. Obtained results were reported as means ± SEM. A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Human gingival mesenchymal stem cells characterization

The expression of different markers as CD13, CD29, CD44, CD73, CD90 and CD105 were analyzed in hGMSCs, whilst, cells were negative for the subsequent molecules CD14, CD34 and CD45 (Figure 1A). Cells were able to adhere on the plastic substrate showing a fibroblast-like morphol-
markers related to the osteogenic differentiation, as COL1A1, RUNX2, BMP2/4 and OPN that have been showed an increased expression in DIFF OSTEO and in AS 90 µg/mL, when compared to the CTRL group and with AS 60 µg/mL (Figures 4-7).

Ascorbic acid treatment modulates markers related to the osteogenic commitment

Osteogenic differentiation process was regulated in the early stages from COL1A1, RUNX2, BMP2/4 and OPN. RT-PCR analyses have been performed in order to evaluate their expression. The mRNA levels of COL1A1, RUNX2, BMP2/4 and OPN were at similar range in AS 90 µg/mL and DIFF OSTEO (Figure 8A), while the osteogenic markers showed no significance differences in AS 60 µg/mL compared to the CTRL. At the same time, the comparison between AS 90 µg/mL and DIFF OSTEO groups showed an upregulation in mRNA levels of the above-mentioned markers when compared to the AS 60 µg/mL and CTRL groups. The protein expression of COL1A1, RUNX2, BMP2/4 and OPN confirmed the results obtained by RT-PCR (Figure 8 B,C).

Discussion

Nowadays, a variety of strategies have been developed to reduce bone loss and increase patients’ life quality. Tissue engineering is an innovative area for tissue regeneration and in particular oral derived MSCs represent a new source of adult stem cells that can be taken from tissue with minimal invasive procedures. In the current study, BioR, a biocompatible, bioabsorbable, and osteoconductive collagen membrane from pericardium bovine enriched with hGMSCs, have been used as an in vitro model. Human GMSCs express features such as positivity for CD13, CD29, CD44, CD73, CD90 and CD105, negativity for CD14, CD34 and CD45 markers, as demonstrated by cytofluorimetric detection; moreover, they are able to differentiate into mesenchyme cell lineages with high ability to adhere to a plastic substrate, as evidenced by RT-PCR and morphological observations.

Collagen is the major structural component of bone matrix and alterations of collagen properties can therefore affect the mechanical properties of bone and increase fracture susceptibility. Collagen-base scaffolds have been extensively investigated and used in bone tissue regeneration as a promising approach to achieve the same hierarchical structure of bone. Collagenous membranes were reported to induce
osteogenesis in situ (25). Several studies showed that collagen may be efficiently used as a scaffold for 3D cultures of MSCs and for subjecting MSCs to mechanical strains, without inducing cell death.43 In our recent work, it was demonstrated that a 3D coculture platform using a bovine pericardium collagen membrane (BioR) loaded with human periodontal ligament stem cells (hPDLSCs) and endothelial differentiated cells from hPDLSCs (E-hPDLSCs) were able to undergo the osteoangiogenesis differentiation process.39 Moreover, the use of BioR as substrate enriched with hPDLSCs and E-hPDLSCs in coculture, has been considered a resourceful model able to activate the osteoangiogenesis phenotype through ERK1/2 signaling pathway, representing a new potential engineered platform for bone defects repair.39 Actually, functionalized scaffold with conditioned medium, extracellular vesicles, or natural molecules are serving as polyurethane scaffold; the supplementation enhanced bone formation, in vitro and in vivo, and it can be used for bone tissue engineering.36

In literature, it has been reported that the use of AS increased the mRNA level of collagen type I, osteocalcin, bone sialoprotein, and alkaline phosphatase in association with the development of bone nodules in an in vitro system. The expression analysis of COL1A1, BMP2/4 and OPN shows an identical trend of RUNX2 after three weeks in osteogenic living construct BioR/hGMSCs supplemented with 60 and 90 µg/mL of AS. These results supported the critical role played by AS in the in vitro model BioR/hGMSCs to induce osteogenic process. This antioxidant molecule is important for collagen hydroxylation, folding, and secretion; it also increases collagen gene expression and enhances the collagen synthesis stabilizing its tertiary structures. Results obtained through multiparametric analysis evidenced an upregulation of the osteogenic markers involved in the osteogenic process underlying the pivotal and strategic role of AS in living construct BioR/hGMSCS in osteogenesis induction. In conclusion, given its potential benefits, low cost, and safety profile, AS supplementation could play a key role in the regenerative medicine. In bone tissue regeneration field, biomaterials supplemented with AS could represent an interesting approach in orthopedic and dental surgery procedures.51 The proposed in vitro model BioR/hGMSCS supplemented with AS showed an interesting role in the osteogenic process inducing the expression of markers related to the bone formation leading to speed up and ameliorate the regeneration process.
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