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ABSTRACT

Objective Gut microbial products are involved in regulation of host metabolism. In human and experimental studies, we explored the potential role of hippurate, a hepatic phase 2 conjugation product of microbial benzoate, as a marker and mediator of metabolic health.

Design In 271 middle-aged non-diabetic Danish individuals, who were stratified on habitual dietary intake, we applied 1H-nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy of urine samples and shotgun-sequencing-based metagenomics of the gut microbiome to explore links between the urine level of hippurate, measures of the gut microbiome, dietary fat and markers of metabolic health. In mechanistic experiments with chronic subcutaneous infusion of hippurate to high-fat-diet-fed obese mice, we tested for causality between hippurate and metabolic phenotypes.

Results In the human study, we showed that urine hippurate positively associates with microbial gene richness and functional modules for microbial benzoate biosynthetic pathways, one of which is less prevalent in the Bacteroides 2 enterotype compared with Ruminococcaceae enterotypes. Through dietary stratification, we identify a subset of study participants consuming a diet rich in saturated fat in which urine hippurate concentration, independently of gene richness, accounts for links with metabolic health. In the high-fat-fed mice experiments, we demonstrate causality through chronic infusion of hippurate (20 nmol/day) resulting in improved glucose tolerance and enhanced insulin secretion.

Conclusion Our human and experimental studies show that a high urine hippurate concentration is a general marker of metabolic health, and in the context of obesity induced by high-fat diets, hippurate contributes to metabolic improvements, highlighting its potential as a mediator of metabolic health.

INTRODUCTION

Human obesity is an epidemic that raises the risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Gut microbiome dysbiosis is now recognised as a key feature of these disorders. The gut microbiota is a complex ecosystem, harbouring thousands of microbial species and strains. It is a dynamic system described as a continuum between core and rare participants, with an overall ecosystem structure alternately described in terms of gradients’
enterotypes across populations. The microbiome collectively encodes up to 10 million different microbial genes. Microbial gene richness has been proposed as a marker of ecological diversity mirroring improvements in metabolic health. Many factors affect the gut microbiota, including diet, lifestyle, dietary supplements such as sweeteners and drugs. Although the microbiota directly impacts various biological processes of the host through production or degradation of a multitude of compounds, the vast majority of molecules involved in this chemical crosstalk remain elusive.

Hippurate is one of the most abundant microbial–host co-metabolites, produced by conjugation from glycine and microbial benzoate in the liver and kidney through phase 2 detoxification. Hippurate has been shown to be associated positively with microbial diversity but negatively with blood pressure, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, visceral fat mass and Crohn’s disease. This suggests the potential role of hippurate in metabolic health.

Despite recent progress, there is a critical need for an in-depth characterisation of the complex nutrition–microbiome–host interaction involving the hippurate pathway, in particular related to (1) associations with microbial gene richness, biosynthetic gene modules and their harbouring enterotypes; (2) population stratification to identify patient subgroups in which hippurate improves metabolic health; and (3) biological characterisation of the effect of hippurate on host phenotypes.

To address these points, we characterised the urinary metabolome and faecal metagenome of 271 middle-aged non-diabetic participants from the Metagenomics of the Human Intestinal Tract (MetaHIT) study in the context of a broad range of body weight, immune and metabolic markers as well as habitual dietary intake data. We evaluated the interplay between diet, microbiome and metabolome in general and for the hippurate pathway in particular. We show that hippurate beneficially impacts on bioclinical phenotypes, which we further confirm through in vivo studies in a preclinical model of obesity and diabetes.

**METHODS**

**Human subjects**

All analyses were done on non-diabetic Danish individuals from the MetaHIT study (N=271), including the subset of 193 individuals who completed a validated Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ). The study was approved by the Ethical Committees of the Capital Region of Denmark (HC-2008-017 and H-15000306) and was in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave written informed consent. Sampling and clinical phenotyping were performed as described previously. Briefly, all study participants were recruited from the population-based Inter99 study. Participants were randomised, prepared and measured on a 1H-NMR spectrometer (Bruker GmbH) operating at 600.22 MHz following Bruker IVDr standard operating procedures (SOPs) as described. Briefly, 540 µL urine was mixed with 60 µL buffer (1.5 M NaH2PO4, 0.1% v/v TSP, 2 mM NaN3 in D2O, pH 7.4), vortexed and centrifuged at 12000g for 5 min at 4°C. Then, 550 µL of the resulting supernatant was transferred into a 5 mm SampleJet NMR tube for 1H-NMR analysis. The 1H-NMR spectra were imported into Matlab for preprocessing as reported using Probabilistic Quotient Normalisation (PQN) followed by peak picking with the Statistical Recoupling of Variables (SRV) algorithm. Structural assignment was performed as reviewed using in-house and publicly available databases. The hippurate peaks at 7.84(d), 7.55(t) and 7.64(t) ppm were integrated manually and summed.

**Metagenomics**

Shotgun sequencing of microbial DNA and metagenomics processing workflow for gene richness were performed as published. Sequences were mapped onto the previously released integrated gene catalogue. Following the strategy published in Vieira-Silva et al., we built a novel set of 20 manually curated gut-specific metabolic modules to map microbial phenylpropanoid metabolism from metagenomic data (online supplementary material 1). Assembly of the module set was based on extensive literature and database review (KEGG, MetaCyc). Included pathways were restricted to prokaryote metabolism of phenylpropanoids and related substrates. While the scope of the current module sets exceeds microbial benzoate production, it does not claim completeness regarding coverage of microbial phenylpropanoid metabolism. Each module represents a cellular enzymatic process, defined as a set of ortholog groups and delimited by input and output metabolites. Module structure follows the KEGG database syntax. Abundances of customised modules were derived from the ortholog abundance tables using Omixer-RPMVv1.0 (https://github.com/raeslab/omixer-rpmv). Entorotyping of the genus-level abundance microbial profiles with Hellinger transformation was performed based on the Dirichlet multinomial mixtures (DDM) approach implemented in R package DirichletMultinomial, as described.

**Sample collection**

Faecal samples were collected at home by the study participants and immediately frozen after collection. The samples were transferred to the research centre using insulated polystyrene foam containers and stored at −80°C until analysis. DNA extraction was performed as described. Blood sampling was performed at the fasting state, and urine was collected at the first visit mid-void on arrival at the study centre and frozen immediately with no preservatives added. Samples were stored at −80°C until analyses.

Dietary data

A subset of the study participants (n=193) completed a validated FFQ in order to obtain information on their habitual diet. The FFQ gathered dietary information from all meals during a day and recorded the intake frequencies within the past months. The consumed quantity was determined by multiplying the portion size with the reported consumption frequency in the FFQ. Standard portion sizes for women and men, separately, were used in this calculation; all food items in the FFQ were linked to food items in the Danish Food Composition Databank as previously described. Estimation of daily intake of macronutrients and micronutrients for each participant was based on calculations using FoodCalc (V.1.3) (http://www.ibt.ku.dk/jesper/FoodCalc/Default.htm).
Univariate statistical analysis
Outliers were identified by ROUT test (Q=1%) in GraphPad (Prism). For comparisons between groups, normality was tested using D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test, then one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) post hoc testing when data were normally distributed; otherwise, groups were compared using the two-tailed Mann-Whitney test (p<0.05 considered to be statistically significant). Data were displayed as mean±SEM throughout. Multiple testing corrections were performed using Storey’s procedure (noted q). 42 For comparison between more than two groups, a two-tailed Kruskal-Wallis test was applied (with multiple correction using the Benjamini-Hochberg method, noted p adjusted for false discovery rate, pFDR) followed by a joint-rank Dunn test for pairwise comparisons between groups.

Principal component analysis (PCA)
PCA was performed using MATLAB VR2014a function ’ppca’ in order to run a probabilistic PCA to be able to include variables with a minority of missing values.

Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA)
Unconstrained ordination was performed to visualise interindividual variation in microbiota composition, using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity on the genus-level abundance matrix with the vegan (V.2.4-1) R package.43

Least-squares and stepwise multivariate linear regressions
The cumulative contributions of explanatory variables on excreted hippurate were determined by multivariate linear regressions (with or without stepwise feature selection). Hippurate and the continuous explanatory variables were rank-transformed and model explanatory power was assessed using the Akaike information criterion.

Orthogonal partial least squares (O-PLS)
Orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (O-PLS-DA) was performed in MATLAB VR2014a for supervised multivariate analysis as described.44 The predictive capability of O-PLS-DA models was evaluated through sevenfold cross-validation44 to compute $Q_{Y_{out}}^2$ goodness-of-prediction parameters. The empirical significance of the $Q_{Y_{out}}^2$ parameter was evaluated by random permutation testing (10000 iterations).45

K-means clustering
Optimal number of clusters were determined using the elbow, silhouette and gap statistics methods by majority vote from the R (4.0.3) packages factocare (1.0.7) and cluster (2.1.0). Clustering was performed using the built-in R K-means function with the Hartigan-Wong algorithm using 25 random sets.

Animal experiments
All animal procedures were authorised by the ethics committee of the University of Paris (Ref: 00486.02). Four groups of six C57BL/6J male mice (Janvier Labs) were fed either control carbohydrate diet (CHD; 10% fat) (D12450Ki, Research diets) or high-fat diet (HFD; 60% fat) (D12492i, Research diets) as illustrated in online supplemental figure 1. The composition of each diet is given in online supplemental data 2. Hippurate (5.55 mM in 0.9% NaCl) was administered subcutaneously for 6 weeks using Alzet minipumps (model 2006, Charles River); control mice were infused with saline. Procedures and assays were as described.46 Briefly, glucose tolerance and insulin secretion were assessed using an intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT). Blood samples were collected before injection of glucose (2 g/kg) and sequentially afterwards to determine glycaemia using a glucometer (Roche Diagnostics) and insulin using an ELISA (Merecodia). Pancreas sections were incubated with an insulin-specific antibody (Cat #: C27C9, Oxyme) or an anti-Ki67 antibody (Cat #:ab15380, Abcam) followed by an horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Cat #:1706316, Bio-Rad). Digital images were analysed using Visiopharm Integrator System (Visiopharm), and quantitative analysis was carried out using a positive pixels algorithm (Indica Labs).

RESULTS
Hippurate is the urine metabolite most strongly associated with faecal microbial gene richness
To identify microbial and host compounds mediating beneficial effects in metabolic health, we profiled the urinary metabolome of the MetaHIT population using 1H-NMR to perform a Metabolome-Wide Association Study (MWAS)25 for microbial gene richness.4 We first built an O-PLS-DA model based on the 1H-NMR spectra to stratify the population by gene richness using our previously published cut-off of 480 000 microbial genes (figure 1A, $p=3.21\times10^{-15}$). A gene counts density plot supported the validity of the stratification (online supplemental figure 2A). The cross-validated model significantly predicted variance associated with gene richness through a permutation test (figure 1B, $p=0.1\times10^{-4}$, 10 000 randomisations). Model coefficients for this discrimination revealed hippurate as having the strongest association with high microbial gene counts and creatinine with low gene counts (figure 1C). Individuals with low microbial gene richness present significantly lower urinary hippurate levels than those with high (figure 1D, online supplemental figure 2B; rank-based Spearman’s correlation $\rho^2=0.173$, $p=1.99\times10^{-9}$). These data support the association between hippurate levels, gene richness and Shannon’s diversity index23 (figure 1E, $\rho^2=0.108$, $p=2.82\times10^{-5}$).

Importantly, urinary hippurate levels showed significant negative associations with markers for metabolic impairments such as body mass index (BMI), body weight, the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), interleukin-6 (IL-6), insulin and C-peptide (partial Spearman’s correlations, $\rho<0.1$, online supplemental figure 2C). Urinary hippurate levels did not associate with either serum glycine ($n=269$, linear Pearson’s correlation, $r=0.06$, $p=0.30$), which is required for hippurate synthesis through conjugation with gut microbial benzoate,47 or eGFR ($r=-0.10$, $p=0.11$), which could limit hippurate clearance, or to a combined effect of both variables ($r=-0.02\times10^{-2}$, $p=0.84$; online supplemental figure 2D,E, online supplemental table 1). Two representative annotated 1H-NMR spectra for individuals with low or high gene counts are shown (online supplemental figure 3, online supplemental table 2). We next used K-means clustering for data-driven stratification of urinary hippurate into ‘high’ and ‘low’ (online supplemental figure 4A). Individuals in the ‘high’ hippurate cluster exhibited higher hippurate levels ($p<2.16\times10^{-16}$, online supplemental figure 4B) and gene counts ($p=2.00\times10^{-8}$, online supplemental figure 4C). Moreover, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was significantly lower in obese subjects (BMI>25) with higher levels of hippurate excretion ($p=0.0019$, online supplemental figure 4D), which, however, was not significant in lean subjects. We next derived absolute hippurate quantifications using the Bruker IVDr.
Absolute hippurate values highly correlated with hippurate (AU) ($\rho^2=0.76$, $p<2.2\times10^{-16}$), individuals with high gene counts secreted higher absolute hippurate ($p=0.00015$) and absolute hippurate significantly correlated with gene counts ($\rho^2=0.11$, $p=7.17\times10^{-8}$; online supplemental figure 5A–C). Using the hippurate stratification in online supplemental figure 4A for absolute hippurate values, we report that the cut-off value for secreted hippurate is 3.94 mM (online supplemental figure 5D). To further validate our results, we normalised our 1H-NMR peaks with the corresponding creatinine values. Again, scores obtained from an O-PLS-DA model built on creatinine-corrected 1H-NMR peaks significantly associated with gene richness ($p=8.12\times10^{-14}$), predicted gene counts ($p=1\times10^{-4}$; 10,000 permutations) and creatinine-adjusted hippurate had the strongest covariance with high gene counts (online supplemental figure 6A–C). Moreover, individuals with high gene counts secreted elevated creatinine-adjusted hippurate ($p=5.38\times10^{-9}$) and creatinine-adjusted hippurate correlated with gene richness ($\rho^2=0.18$, $p=5.48\times10^{-13}$) and Shannon diversity ($\rho^2=0.12$, $p=6.01\times10^{-8}$, online supplemental figure 6D–F).
Gut microbiome determinants of hippurate production in the phenylpropanoid pathway

To characterise microbiome determinants of benzoate production (the microbial precursor of hippurate), we next used faecal metagenomic data. We functionally annotated functions of the Integrated Gene Catalogue (IGC) to KEGG Orthology (KO) groups and found 2733 KEGG modules positively associated with urine hippurate (pFDR <0.05; online supplemental table 3). We then manually curated 20 metabolic modules covering microbial phenylpropanoid metabolism, including benzoate production (referenced in online supplemental data 1). Each module represents an enzymatic reaction, defined as a set of ortholog groups and delimited by input and output metabolites.

Proportional abundances of only two modules were correlated with urine hippurate levels (at prevalence (number of subject with the pathway present/total number of subjects)>20%): cinnamate, leading to the production of phenylpropanoate (MC0004; N=271, prevalence=100%, Spearman’s rho=0.19, q-value=0.006) and coumarate degradation, also encoding degradation of cinnamate to benzoate (MC0005; N=271, prevalence=74%, Spearman’s rho=0.21, q-value=0.006; figure 2A; online supplemental figure 7, online supplemental table 4).

Interestingly, while MC0004 and MC0005 are competing for cinnamate as a common precursor, they both positively associated with urinary hippurate—despite the fact that the MC0004 metabolism would not result in increased benzoate production. Both modules additionally correlated with microbial gene richness (MC0004; N=271, rho=0.63, q-value=8.35×10⁻³; MC0005; N=271, rho=0.34, q-value=4.48×10⁻³; online supplemental table 5). Metagenomic species encoding MC0004 orthologs were predominantly found in genomes of Firmicutes, Actinobacteria and, to a lesser extent, Proteobacteria (figure 2B; online supplemental tables 6 and 7).

Distribution of MC0005 was limited to (unclassified) Firmicutes and Proteobacteria (figure 2B), with both modules undetected in genera belonging to the predominant Bacteroidetes phylum.

Mapping of relative abundances on an enteroscope (first plane of a normalised genus-level PCoA based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, figure 2C) revealed a gradient of module distribution across microbiome community types. Prior research identified a potentially dysbiotic microbiome community characterised by inflammation, low microbial density, gene richness and Bact2 enterotype.5 49 50 We applied the same strategy, that is, DMM on genus-level abundance profiles,31 to cluster faecal microbiomes into four enterotypes (Ruminococcaceae, Rum; Bacteroides1, Bacteroides2, Bact2; Prevotella, Prev). Urinary hippurate (N=271, Kruskal-Wallis, χ²=41.78, q-value=4.45×10⁻⁵), MC0004 (χ²=40.04, q-value=1.05×10⁻³) and MC0005 (χ²=22.25, q-value=5.79×10⁻⁸) relative abundances were unevenly distributed over enterotypes, with Bact1 and Bact2 carriers displaying lower hippurate excretion levels than their Rum and Prev counterparts (figure 2D; online supplemental tables 8 and 9). These results suggest that microbial modules and community structures could potentially (co-)determine the abundance of hippurate in the host.

Urinary hippurate level associates with improved metabolic health in individuals with diets rich in saturated fats

We next assessed individual nutritional intake through validated FFQs available for 193 study participants.32 A PCA of 133 dietary intake descriptors summarises dietary patterns and loadings highlight four archetypal diets: higher consumption of fruits and vegetables versus high consumption of meat containing saturated fats on the first principal component (PC1) and carbohydrate-rich foods versus fish-containing unsaturated fats on PC2 (figure 3A), a trend that was confirmed at the food ingredient and nutrient level (online supplemental figure 8A,B).

We therefore used K-means clustering to stratify the population according to dietary PC1 contrasting between healthy (low-PC1, higher consumption of fruit and vegetables; n=126) and at-risk (high-PC1, higher consumption of saturated lipids and meat; n=67) diets (online supplemental figure 8C). The clinical variables of individuals in the two dietary clusters were not significantly different, unlike the consumption of main dietary items including meat, potatoes and saturated fat (online supplemental table 10).

To summarise the main factors influencing interindividual variation in hippurate excretion, we calculated the cumulative contribution of several covariates using stepwise rank-transformed linear regression (sLR, n=193; figure 3B; online supplemental table 11). Microbial gene richness accounted for 12.95% of the variation in urine hippurate (p=2.76×10⁻³), with dietary PC1 (p=3.78×10⁻¹), MC0020 encoding for hippurate dehydrogenase (p=8.36×10⁻²), and Bact2 prevalence (p=3.07×10⁻²), respectively, adding an additional 3.76%, 3.02% and 1.97% to the cumulative, non-redundant explanatory power. While gene richness was positively associated with secreted hippurate, all other factors displayed a negative correlation (online supplemental table 11). When replacing dietary PCs with individual food items, the latter did not contribute significantly to hippurate excretion (online supplemental figure 8D, online supplemental table 11).

We next set out to disentangle the interaction between dietary habits, hippurate association and glycaemic control. Indeed, hippurate more strongly associated with lower HOMA-IR in those consuming an at-risk diet (high PC1; rho=−0.272, p=0.03; figure 3C, red) when compared with those with lower lipid intake (low PC1; rho=−0.151, p=0.096; figure 3C, blue) or the whole population (rho=−0.182, p=0.012; figure 3C, black), adjusted for age, sex and BMI. Similar observations were made for circulating insulin and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα) (figure 3D,E). Using K-means to stratify for hippurate each dietary cluster (online supplemental figure 8C) revealed that for the subset of 67 individuals consuming an at-risk diet, elevated urinary hippurate associated with improved insulin sensitivity, lower fasting insulin and lower fasting associated adipocyte factor (FLAFA) or C-reactive protein (online supplemental table 11, online supplemental figure 9A–D). Urinary hippurate did not associate with any health benefits in the subsets of participants consuming mostly a fruit and vegetable diet (low PC1, online supplemental table 12) despite having similar levels of hippurate to individuals with high-PC1 (online supplemental figure 9E). Similarly, hippurate levels associated with limited benefits for those consuming a high carbohydrate diet but not a pescetarian diet (online supplemental table 12).

To disentangle contributions of hippurate and microbial gene richness to biochemical variables in subjects consuming a fat-rich diet, we adjusted Spearman’s rank-based correlations. In this subpopulation, hippurate levels significantly correlated with low adiposity and better glycaemic control, similarly to gene richness (figure 3F). However, the associations between gene richness and biochemical variables collapsed after adjusting for secreted hippurate (rho=−0.067, NS), suggesting it was contributed by the partial correlation between gene richness and hippurate (figure 3G). This finding suggests that not only is hippurate the strongest excreted marker for gene richness, but that it may have a beneficial effect in metabolic disease driven by diets rich in saturated fats.
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Figure 2  Detection of microbial phenylpropanoid metabolism-related modules in faecal metagenomes of healthy volunteers and their associations with urine hippurate concentrations. (A) Visualisation of gut-specific metabolic modules (GMMs) encoding phenylpropanoid metabolism-related pathways detected in more than 20% of individuals; MC0004 (orange; N=271, Spearman’s rho=0.19, q-value=0.006) and MC0005 (blue; N=271, Spearman’s rho=0.21, q-value=0.006) relative abundances correlate positively with urine hippurate concentrations (online supplemental table 4). (B) Metagenomic species encoding modules MC0004 and MC0005. (C) (Top panel) Faecal microbiomes dissimilarity visualised on the first plane of the genus-level principal coordinates analysis (PCoA, Bray-Curtis dissimilarity), with individual samples coloured according to enterotypes (Bacteroides1 (Bact1), blue; Bacteroides2 (Bact2), red; Prevotella (Prev), green; Ruminococcaceae (Rum), yellow). (Middle and bottom panels) Same genus-level PCoA overlaid with a mesh coloured according to the median abundances of GMMs MC0004 (red) and MC0005 (blue) in samples falling within each cell of the mesh (N=271). MC0005 relative abundance was transformed for clearer visualisation (square root). (D) Distribution of urine hippurate concentrations (N=271, Kruskal-Wallis, $\chi^2=41.78$, q-value=$4.45 \times 10^{-9}$; (left panel) and MC0004 (N=271, Kruskal-Wallis, $\chi^2=40.04$, q-value=$1.05 \times 10^{-8}$; (middle panel)) and MC0005 (N=271, Kruskal-Wallis, $\chi^2=22.25$, q-value=$5.79 \times 10^{-5}$; (right panel)) relative abundances over enterotypes. Significance levels of post hoc Dunn test corrected for multiple testing are indicated (q-value <0.05 (**); <0.01 (**); <0.0001 (***)). (Bottom panels 7 and 8). The body of the boxplot represents the first and third quartiles of the distribution, with the median line, and the whiskers extend from the quartiles to the last data point within 1.5×IQR, with outliers beyond.
Chronic hippurate treatment modulates glucose homeostasis in mice

Since hippurate was associated with markers of metabolic health primarily in subjects consuming diets rich in saturated fats, we investigated diet-dependent influences of hippurate on host metabolism in mice. Mice were treated with 5.55 mM hippurate (0.14 mg/kg/day) (online supplemental figure 1). Subcutaneous infusion ensured constant delivery of hippurate, avoiding first-pass metabolism. Hippurate did not affect body weight, BMI or fasting glycaemia (online supplemental figure 10A–F). Hippurate reduced glucose tolerance in the CHD-fed lean mice (figure 4A–C). Glycaemia 30 min after glucose challenge and the ΔG parameter were significantly elevated in hippurate-treated mice than controls. Conversely, hippurate improved glucose tolerance in HFD-fed obese mice, exemplified by a significant reduction in cumulative glycaemia (−23.90%, p<0.005) and
in the metabolism of a broad range of plant secondary metabolites, present in large quantities of its unmodified form in, for example, berries. The phenylpropanoid pathway connects a wide range of dietary substrates such as phenylalanine, quinic acid, shikimic acid or chlorogenic acid to benzoate being often a common endpoint. Dietary and microbial intermediates in this pathway are associated with beneficial health outcomes.

Both modules were distributed unevenly over the gut ecosystem main bacterial phyla, notably remaining undetected among Bacteroidetes. The latter observation was mirrored by their low relative abundances in the dysbiotic Bact2 enterotype, which is characterised by low bacterial cell counts, low microbial gene richness, and respectively low and high relative abundances of butyrate producers and Bacteroides spp compared with Prev or Rum enterotypes. Bact2 prevalence associates with stool moisture content, inflammation, obesity and insulin resistance. This community has been described as immature, with its metabolic potential reflecting refrained/prematurely halted successional ecosystem development. Hence, benzoate production could be thought of as an emergent community feature linked to ecosystem maturation into eubiosis. Remarkably, out of the individual modules included in the present analysis, only MC00020 encoding hippurate hydrolase contributed to urine hippurate concentrations beyond dietary principal components and enterotype. This suggests that in non-Bact2 enterotypes, benzoate production largely depends on food intake in agreement with Pallister et al. The negative contribution of hippurate hydrolysis to urinary hippurate concentrations further suggests higher deconjugation of dietary hippurate and/or retroconversion of detoxified benzoate after excretion in the intestinal tract, as demonstrated for trimethylamine-N-oxide. This microbiome determinant of urinary hippurate levels appears to mirror and antagonise host genetic determinism we identified for benzoate in a rat F2-intercross.

Our finding that hippurate exerts beneficial metabolic effects in the context of high-fat diet was replicated in a mouse model of HFD-induced obesity. Hippurate dramatically improved glucose tolerance in obese HFD-fed mice which may be explained by stimulation of glucose-induced insulin secretion and/or β-cell...
mass increase in a diet-dependent manner. Although glucose tolerance displays an interaction between diet and response to hipppurate in CHD-fed mice, the mechanism of which remaining elusive, the main effect is an increased glucose tolerance in HFD in both mice and humans. Collectively, our preclinical studies suggest that some of the beneficial metabolic effects of hippurate may be mediated through direct action on the pancreas. This is consistent with our work showing an inverse association among hipppurate, insulin resistance, hypertension, obesity or liver steatosis, and observations that hippocrt exerts protective effects in ß-cells. Further experimentation would be required to unequivocally establish this.

CONCLUSION
Overall, we identify hippurate as a pivotal microbial–host co-metabolite mediating part of the beneficial metabolic improvements associated with high microbial gene richness in the context of Western-style diets. This work expands previous reports in which hippurate was inversely associated with insulin resistance, steatosis, hypertension and obesity, and microbial ecological diversity. Our work provides a simple beneficial marker documenting the diversity of microbial ecosystems and functions, as well as providing health benefits in terms of metabolic control. Our observations support the existence of several microbial–host metabolic states with different responses to diet and health outcomes for the host, further exemplifying the role of the microbiome in human biochemical individuality and provides avenues in personalised nutrition and stratified medicine.

Author affiliations
1UMRS 1124 INSERM, Université de Paris Descartes, Paris, France
2Section of Biomolecular Medicine, Department of Metabolism, Digestion and Reproduction, Imperial College London, London, UK
3Novo Nordisk Foundation Centre for Basic Metabolic Research, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark
4Laboratory of Molecular Bacteriology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
5National Heart Lung Institute, Section of Genomic & Environmental Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK
6Department of Biosciences, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK
7Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France
8Centre des Recherche Cordeliers, INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
9Metagenomis, INRAE, Paris, Île-de-France, France
10Forslund Lab, Max Delbrück Centrum für Molekular Medizin Experimental and Clinical Research Center, Berlin, Berlin, Germany
11Department of Chemistry, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden
12INSERM, U1166, team 6 Nutriomie, Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6, Paris, France
13Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition (ICAN), Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Pitie-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France
14School of Medical Sciences, Örebro Universitet, Örebro, Sweden
15Structural and Computational Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany
16Center for Host Microbiome Interactions, King’s College London Dental Institute, London, UK
17Center for Microbiology, Vlaams Instituut voor Biotechnologie, Leuven, Belgium
18McGill Genome Centre & Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada
19European Genomics Institute for Diabetes,INSERM U1283, CNRS UMR8199, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Lille University Hospital, University of Lille, Lille, France
20Twitter Lesley Hoyles @BugsinYourGuts and Ghiva Isha Mouawad @ghihia_mouawad

Contributors FB, JC, TN, SVS, GF and PA contributed equally. FB, JC, FD and GIM acquired data. JC, TN, SVS, FD, PA and MO performed analyses. GF and SVS curated the gut-specific metabolic modules. LH, ALN, ARM, NP, F, ELC, TH, MO and AMU participated in data collection and processing. JC, TN, SVS, PA, FB and GF performed statistical analyses. M-ED, DG, JR and OP designed the study. TH, JKN, KC, PB and SDE participated in the study design and interpretation of the results. MED wrote the manuscript with contributions from FB, JC, TN, SVS, GF, PA, JR, OP and DG. All authors provided critical input.

Funding This research was funded by FP7 METACARDIS HEALTH-F4-2012-305312 with additional funding from the Metagenomis grant ANR-11-DPBS-0001, the NIHR Imperial Biomedical Research Centre and by grants from the French National Research Agency (ANR-10-LABX-46 [European Genomics Institute for Diabetes]), from the National Center for Precision Diabetic Medicine – PrecDiAB, which is jointly supported by the French National Agency for Research (ANR-18-IBHU-0001), by the European Commission (FP-7; by the Hauts-de-France Regional Council (Agreement 20001891/FPN025517) and by the European Metropolis of Lille (MEL, Agreement 2019_ESR_11) and by Iste ULNE (R-002-20-TALENT-DUMAS), also jointly funded by ANR (ANR-16-IDEX-0004-ULNE), the Hauts-de-France Regional Council (20002845) and by the European Metropolis of Lille (MEL). SV-S is funded by Marie Curie Actions F7 People COFUND Proposal 267 139 (acronym OMICS@VIB) and the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (FWO). LH was an MRC Intermediate Research Fellow (MR/L01632X/1). The Novo Nordisk Foundation Centre for Basic Metabolic Research is an independent research centre, based at the University of Copenhagen, Denmark, and partially funded by an unconditional donation from the Novo Nordisk Foundation (https://www.cbmr.ku.dk, grant number NNF18CC0034900).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Obtained.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Ethical Committees of the Capital Region of Denmark (HC-2008-017 and H-1500036).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding authors.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions expressed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iD Marc-Emmanuel Dumas http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9522-7024

REFERENCES
1 Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 2012;486:207–14.
2 Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, et al. A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. Nature 2009;457:480–4.
3 Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, et al. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature 2006;444:1027–31.
4 Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, et al. Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 2011;473:174–80.
5 Costea PI, Hildebrand F, Arumugam M, et al. Enterotypes in the landscape of gut microbial community composition. Nat Microbiol 2018;3:8–16.
6 Vieira-Silva S, Falony G, Belda E, et al. Statin therapy is associated with lower prevalence of gut microbiota dysbiosis. Nature 2020;581:310–5.
7 Li J, Liu H, Cai X, et al. An integrated catalog of reference genes in the human gut microbiome. Nat Biotechnol 2014;32:834–41.
8 Qin J, Li R, Raes J, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 2010;464:59–65.
9 Le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J, et al. Richness of human gut microbiota correlates with metabolic markers. Nature 2013;505:50–6.
10 Fouad A, Kennedy SP, Cocco JC, et al. Dietary intervention impact on gut microbial gene richness. Nature 2013;500:585–8.
11 Lynch SV, Pedersen O. The human intestinal microbiome in health and disease. N Engl J Med 2016;375:2369–79.
12 David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature 2014;505:559–63.
13 Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, et al. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. Science 2011;334:105–8.
14 Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S, et al. Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health in the elderly. Nature 2012;488:178–84.
Gut microbiota

15 Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 2012;486:227–7.
16 Falsone G, Joosens M, Vieira-Silva S, et al. Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. Science 2016;352:560–4.
17 Suez J, Korem T, Zeevi D, et al. Artificial sweeteners induce glucose intolerance by altering the gut microbiota. Nature 2014;514:181–6.
18 Forslund K, Hildbrand F, Nielsen T, et al. Disentangling type 2 diabetes and metformin treatment signatures in the human gut microbiota. Nature 2015;528:262–6.
19 Nicholson JK, Holmes E, Wilson ID. Gut microorganisms, mammalian metabolism and personalized health. Nat Rev Microbiol 2005;3:431–8.
20 Dumas ME. The microbial-mammalian metabolic axis: beyond simple metabolism. Cell Metab 2011;13:489–90.
21 Nicholson JK, Holmes E, Kinross J, et al. Host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions. Science 2012;336:1262–7.
22 Neves AL, Chilloux J, Sarafian MH, et al. The microbiome and its pharmacological targets: therapeutic avenues in cardiometabolic diseases. Eur J Pharmacol 2015;75:36–44.
23 Pallister T, Jackson MA, Martin TC, et al. Hippurate as a metabolomic marker of gut microbiota diversity: modulation by diet and relationship to metabolic syndrome. Sci Rep 2017;7:13670.
24 Dumas ME, Barton RH, Toye A, et al. Metabolic profiling reveals a contribution of gut microbiota to fatty liver phenotype in insulin-resistant mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:12511–6.
25 Holmes E, Loo RL, Stajler M, et al. Human metabolic phenotype diversity and its association with diet and blood pressure. Nature 2008;453:336–400.
26 Elliott P, Posma JM, Chan Q, et al. Urinary metabolic signatures of human adiposity. Sci Transl Med 2015;7:285ra62.
27 Pallister T, Jackson MA, Martin TC, et al. Untangling the relationship between diet and visceral fat mass through blood metabolomics and gut microbiome profiling. Int J Obes 2017;41:1106–13.
28 Hoyle L, Fernández-Real J-M, Federici M, et al. Molecular phenomics and metagenomics of hepatic steatosis in non-diabetic obese women. Nat Med 2018;24:1070–80.
29 Pedersen HK, Gudmundsdottir V, Nielsen HB, et al. Human gut microbes impact host serum metabolome and insulin sensitivity. Nature 2016;535:376–81.
30 Jørgensen T, Borch-Johnsen K, Thomsen TF, et al. A randomized non-pharmacological intervention study for prevention of ischaemic heart disease: baseline results Inter99. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2003;10:377–86.
31 Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:604–12.
32 Toft U, Kristoffersen L, Ladelund S, et al. Relative validity of a food frequency questionnaire used in the Inter99 study. Eur J Clin Nutr 2008;62:1038–46.
33 Dona AC, Jiménez B, Gómez S, et al. Precision high-throughput proton NMR spectroscopy of human urine, serum, and plasma for large-scale metabolic phenotyping. Anal Chem 2014;86:9887–94.
34 Dieterle F, Ross A, Schlotterbeck G, et al. Probabilistic quotient normalization as robust filtering rate. Ann Med 2015;150:604–12.
35 Jørgensen T, Borch-Johnsen K, Thomsen TF, et al. A randomized non-pharmacological intervention study for prevention of ischaemic heart disease: baseline results Inter99. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2003;10:377–86.
36 Dona AC, Jiménez B, Schäfer H, et al. A guide to the identification of metabolites in NMR-based metabolomics/metabolomics experiments. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2016;14:135–53.
37 Vieira-Silva S, Falony G, Darzi Y, et al. Species-function relationships shape ecological properties of the human gut microbiome. Nat Microbiol 2016;1:16088.
38 Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2000;28:27–30.
39 Caspi R, Billington R, Kesler IM, et al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes - a 2019 update. Nucleic Acids Res 2020;48:D445–53.
40 Darzi Y, Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, et al. Towards biome-specific analysis of met-omics data. Isme J 2016;10:1025–8.
41 Holmes I, Harris K, Quince C. Dirichlet multinomial mixtures: generative models for microbial metagenomics. PloS One 2012;7:e40126.
42 Storey JD, Tibshirani R. Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:9440–5.
43 VEGAN DP. A package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of Vegetation Science 2003;14:927–30.
44 Cloarec O, Dumas ME, Tyggy J, et al. Evaluation of the orthogonal projection on latent structure model limitations caused by chemical shift variability and improved visualization of biomarker impact between diet, gut microbiota and substrate specificity. Xenobiotica 1998;28:527–37.
45 Jiménez B, Holmes E, Euze C, et al. Quantitative Lipoprotein Subclass and Low Molecular Weight Metabolite Analysis in Human Serum and Plasma by H NMR Spectroscopy in a Multilaboratory Trial. Anal Chem 2018;90:11962–71.
46 Blake BJ, Giacomotto J, Elena B, et al. Metabotyping of Caenorhabditis elegans reveals latent phenotypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:19808–12.
47 Bialy F, Alzaid F, Sonomura K, et al. The natural metabolite 4-Cresol improves glucose homeostasis and enhances β-cell function. Cell Rep 2020;30:2306–20.
48 Clish C, Stewart J, Wright R, et al. Effect of diet on the urinary excretion of hippuric acid and other dietary-derived aromatics in rat. A complex interaction between diet, gut microbiota and substrate specificity. Xenobiotica 1998;28:527–37.
49 Vogt T. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Mol Plant 2010;3:2–20.
50 Rothwell JA, Perez-Jimenez J, Neveu V, et al. Phenol-Explorer 3.0: a major update of the Phenol-Explorer database to incorporate data on the effects of food processing on polyphenol content. Database 2013;2013:bat070.
51 Thais S, Ma S, Rothchild D, et al. Persistent microbiome alterations modulate the rate of post-dieting weight regain. Nature 2016;540:540–51.
52 Falony G, Vandeputte D, Caenepeel C, et al. The human microbiome as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;101:15718–23.
53 Shoaie S, Ghaffari P, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Probabilistic quotient normalization as robust filtering rate. Ann Med 2015;150:604–12.
54 Bäckhed F, Ding H, Wang T, et al. The human microbiota in health and disease: hype or hope. Acta Clin Belg 2019;74:53–64.
55 Bäckhed F, Ding H, Wang T, et al. The human microbiota in health and disease: hype or hope. Acta Clin Belg 2019;74:53–64.
56 Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, Raes J. Richness and ecosystem development across faecal snapshots of the gut microbiota. Nat Microbiol 2018;3:526–8.
57 Hoyles L, Jiménez-Pratanda ML, Chilloux J, et al. Metabolic retroconversion of trimethylamine N-oxide and the gut microbiota. Microbiome 2018;6:73.
58 Dumas ME, Wilder SP, Bihoreau M-T, et al. Direct quantitative trait locus mapping of mammalian metabolic phenotypes in diabetic and normoglycemic rat models. Nat Genet 2007;39:666–72.
59 Bitter BR, Ray JD, Kener KB, et al. Common gut microbial metabolites of dietary flavonoids exert potent protective activities in β-cells and skeletal muscle cells. J Nutr Biochem 2018;62:95–107.
60 Patterson AD, Turnbaugh PJ. Microbial determinants of biochemical individuality and their impact on toxicology and pharmacology. Cell Metab 2014;20:761–8.
61 Shoae S, Ghafrari P, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Quantifying diet-induced metabolic changes of the human gut microbiome. Cell Metab 2015;22:320–31.
62 Zeevi D, Korem T, Zmora N, et al. Personalized nutrition by prediction of glycomic responses. Cell 2015;163:1079–94.
63 Jeske L, Placzek S, Schomburg I, et al. Brenda in 2019: a European ELIXIR core data resource. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:D542–9.