Structure Elucidation of a Cryptic Condensation Product from Diacetyl and Arylamine – Then and Now
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Abstract: Some 70 years ago, the reaction of diacetyl (1) and arylamines (2) in hot phosphoric acid was reported to give a new type of condensation products, but no structure was assigned to them. The case is presented to recapitulate the methods and rationales used in classical structure elucidation of organic molecules through reaction networks, before spectroscopic or crystallographic methods were generally available. The difficulties and limits of the classical approach are exemplified through this real-life problem, which could not be solved by the methodology of its time. A representative condensation product 4a has been resynthesized from 1 and p-toluidine (2a), and its structure elucidation by means of 2D NMR techniques is outlined.
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1. Introduction

The condensation of diacetyl (1) with arylamines (2) provides diimines (3) in a seemingly trivial manner (Scheme 1a). Numerous examples have been reported over the years, spreading back to the first report in the late 19th century.[1–6] We have relied on this useful examples have been reported over the years, spreading back to the late 19th century.[1–6] We have relied on this useful reaction for preparing diimines like L1,[2] which serves as steering ligand in a novel nickel-catalyzed zincation of aryl tosylates that provides synthetically useful arylzinc reagents from non-standard sources (Scheme 1b).[7]

A literature search highlighted a ‘Swiss connection’ to diimines (3), when it transpired that Erlenmeyer and coworkers at the University of Basel had prepared and investigated them for tuberculostatic effects in the 1940s.[6,8,9] Their studies initially verified bacteriostatic action for aromatic amines[10] as well as for dicarbonyl compounds including 1 in 1945.[11] Concomitantly, the combined inhibitory action of 1 and 4-aminobenzoic acid (2b) was shown to be higher than of the individual agents.[11] This led to the hypothesis that a condensation product 3b might have formed in situ from 1 and 2b, which acts as a superior antibiotic agent. A study of the bacteriostatic data of a number of dicarbonyl compounds and arylamines was promptly delivered.[12] The inhibition results do not stand out; what rather draws the attention of the present-day reader is that the exact nature of the tested condensation products was somewhat concealed, insofar as detailed information on their structure was postponed to future reports! The following year (1946), a paper on the synthesis of several diimines (3) from diacetyl (1) and several arylamines (2) appeared, which clarified the structure of the regular condensation products from alcoholic solution (Scheme 1a).[6] Another study, entitled ‘Über Kondensationen von Diacetyl mit primären aromatischen Aminen unter Zusatz von konzentrierter Phosphorsäure’ (On the Condensation of Diacetyl with Primary Aromatic Amines with the Addition of Concentrated Phosphoric Acid) was published three years later.[13,14] This work clarified that a different type of condensation products (4) are formed from 1 and 2 in acid, but no structure was assigned to them. Why was there such a reluctant release of information?

The 1946 PhD thesis of the first author F. Christen provides some background:[15,16] While condensation of 1 with arylamines 2 often gave the expected diimines (3) effortlessly (Scheme 1a),[6] reactions with p-aminobenzoic acid (PABA; 2b) or its esters as amine components failed for no obvious reason. This was displeasing, considering the anticipated antibiotic action of this condensation product. When switching to 85% phosphoric acid as reaction medium, 1 and 2 still underwent condensation, this time also in case of 2b as substrate. However, the resulting condensation products (4) were found to be chemically different from diimines (3). In spite of extensive, careful analytical work, which took up the larger part of...
a PhD thesis work, the structure elucidation of products 4 did not lead to a convincing result.\cite{13,15} What must have been frustrating at the time, presents us with a fascinating riddle today. In his book ‘Classical Methods in Structure Elucidation of Natural Products’,\cite{13,15} Hoffmann has recently unfolded the analytical basis and stringent logic behind structure elucidations with late 19th and early 20th century approaches, which rely on chemical reactivity networks. Here I wish to exemplarily highlight the classical structure elucidation approach by means of an ultimately failed example, namely that of the cryptic condensation product from arylamine and diacetyl in acid.

2. Results and Discussion

The condensation of diacetyl (1) with \( p \)-toluidine (2\( a \)) in hot 85% phosphoric acid is representative of the reactions described by Christen et al. in their 1949 paper. Table 1 presents the analytical evidence gathered for condensation product 4\( a \), which precipitates from the reaction mixture after dilution with water.\cite{13,15} The melting point (entry 1) of the product is different from that of diimine 3\( a \) (112 °C), which indicated that a new compound had been formed, besides the differences in visual appearance and solubility. Elemental analysis (C, H, and N; O by difference) re-

Table 1. Analytical evidence collected for condensation product 4\( a \) by Christen et al.\cite{13,15}

| Entry | Analytical Method | Result\(^b\) | Conclusion\(^b\) | Hindsight\(^c\) |
|-------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1     | Melting point    | 147.5 °C (corr.) | \( 4a \neq 3a \) | \( \checkmark \) (151–153 °C) |
| 2     | Elemental analysis | \( C_{22}H_{24}N_2O \) | \( 2\,1 + 2\,2a = 4a + 3\,H_2O \) | \( \checkmark \) |
| 3     | Cryoscopy        | M\(_r\) = 325 | \( C_{22}H_{33}N_2O = 332 \) | \( \checkmark \) |
| 4     | H\(_2\) or Br\(_2\) addition | 2 unsaturations | 2 C=C | \( \checkmark \) |
| 5     | Zerewitinoff     | 2 equiv. of CH\(_4\) | \( RR'NH \times \) | \( \checkmark \) |
|       |                  |              | R-N=CR\(_1\)-CHR\(_2\)R\(_3\) | \( \checkmark \) |

Reactivity (qualitative analysis)

| Entry | Analytical Method | Result\(^b\) | Conclusion\(^b\) | Hindsight\(^c\) |
|-------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 6     | • AcCl–K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), or | • monoacetylation | 1 \( RR'NH \times \) | \( \checkmark \) |
|       | 3\( 1/2\) (O\(_2\)N\(_2\))\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)COCl | • no diacetylation | 1 tertiary nitrogen | \( \checkmark \) |
| 7     | HCl (g)          | \( 4a \cdot HCl \) salt | \( RR'NH \times \) | \( \checkmark \) |
| 8     | \( 4a \cdot HNO\(_2\) + NaNO\(_2\) | nitroso-derivative\(^e\) | \( RR'NH \times \) | \( \checkmark \) |
| 9     | \( 4a \cdot HNO\(_2\) + 2\cdot NapOH \) | no azo coupling | absence of ArNH\(_2\) \times | \( \checkmark \) |
| 10    | NaOH aq or HCl aq | no 1 or 2\( a\) formed | absence of imine/amide | \( \checkmark \) |
| 11    | NH\(_2\)OH, semicarbazide, or DNPH | no reaction | absence of ketone | \( \checkmark \) |
| 12    | [2-NapN\(_2\)]Cl | no azo coupling | no activated C–H | \( \checkmark \) |
| 13    | FeCl\(_3\)–EtOH | negative color test | no ArOH | \( \checkmark \) |
| 14    | \( O\(_2\) (h-n) \) | \( [M+O\(_2\)]^+, C\(_{22}\)H\(_{24}\)N\(_2\)O\(_3\) \) | C=C | \( \checkmark \) |
| 15    | K\(_2\)Cr\(_2\)O\(_7\)–H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | PbCO\(_2\)H isolated (90%) | 2 x Tol-N intact | \( \checkmark \) |
| 16    | Spruce chip test\(^b\) | brown color | furan or pyrrole | \( \checkmark \) |
| 17    | Ehrlich reagent\(^b\) | changing colors | possibly furan | \( \checkmark \) |
| 18    | SbCl\(_3\)–Ac\(_2\)O–CHCl\(_3\) | changing colors | possibly furan | \( \checkmark \) |

\( a \) Data compiled from refs. \cite{13,15}. \( b \) The results and conclusions are those obtained in 1946. \( c \) *Hindsight* assesses the 1946 findings and conclusions by current knowledge as being: \( \checkmark \) correct; \( \times \) wrong or misleading. \( d \) The experimental result was nominally correct, but inconclusive. \( e \) Starred results must be combined to arrive at the conclusion. \( f \) Derivative could not be analyzed (decomposition). \( g \) Item was presented in the PhD thesis,\cite{15} but not in the published paper.\cite{13} \( h \) A spruce chip (or: pine wood shaving; Ger. Fichtenspan), moistened with HCl aq, and exposed to analyte develops specific colors. \( i \) 4-(Me\(_2\)N)C\(_6\)H\(_4\)CHO–HCl aq. DNPH = 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine; Nap = naphthyl.
turned the formula $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O$ (entry 1), for which the molecular mass was confirmed by cryoscopy in camphor (entry 3) and acid-base titration. On this basis, the condensation stoichiometry can be formulated as:\[^{[18]}\]

$$2 \text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{NH}_2 + 2 \text{CH}_2\text{COOCOOH} = \text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{O} + 3 \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

There are 12 DBE’s (double bond- or ring-equivalents),\[^{[19]}\] of which eight can be ascribed to two assumedly still intact tolyl subunits. This leaves four DBE’s for new structural elements. Based on bromine titration or hydrogen consumption, the presence of two double bonds – and accordingly two rings – was inferred (entry 4). The Zerewitinoff test\[^{[20]}\] (reaction with MeMgl, volumetric analysis of CH$_2$) demonstrates the presence of two acidic hydrogens (entry 5), one of which is assigned to a secondary amine R’NHR, as supported by mono-acylation of 4a with acetyl chloride (entry 6),\[^{[21]}\] the generation of a hydrochloride salt (entry 7), and generation of a nitroso-derivative (entry 8), although the latter was too labile for analysis. Primary amine was excluded by a negative azo-coupling test (entry 9). Further functional group tests indicated the absence of imine or amide (entry 10), of aldehyde or ketone (inability to give oxime or hydrazones; entry 11), of activated C–H (entry 12), or of phenol (entry 13). Photo-oxygenation with uptake of O$_2$ pointed to the presence of a C=C double bond (entry 14). Chromic acid oxidation returned benzoic acid as only identified product, pointing to the presence of a C=C double bond (entries 16–18), namely a spruce chip (Ger. Fichtenspan) reagents (entry 18).\[^{[22,23]}\] Finally, the substrate scope of the condensation reaction also revealed that benzoin (PhCOCOPh) cannot replace 1 in the synthesis of 4, thus an enolizable -COCH$_3$ group in 1 is necessarily involved in an aldol type condensation. At this point, some readers might want to try solving the structural puzzle, based on the collected evidence from 1946 alone.

In his PhD thesis, Christen presents the analysis along the following lines:\[^{[15]}\] First, it is critical to identify the oxygenated functional group, for which neither carbonyl, nor amide, nor phenol or alcohol seem to fit. That points to an ether, but leaves the identity of the second acidic proton in the Zerewitinoff test (see above) ambiguous; the presence of a weakly acidic, tautomerizable imine with a weakly basic tertiary nitrogen (R’R’CH–C(R’)=NR’) was postulated. Ether formation must correspond to one of two expected ring closures, and therefore the compound should be an oxygen heterocycle. Two candidate structures were offered for 4a in the thesis, which are shown in Fig. 1.\[^{[15]}\]

The structures reflect the necessity to accommodate the experimental findings in Table 1, even if they are intuitively not very satisfactory. Namely, if such compounds were present in a reaction medium of hot concentrated phosphoric acid, they would likely suffer elimination, tautomerization and dehydrogenation events.

Considering a lack of positive evidence, it is not surprising that the candidate structures were left out of the published paper.\[^{[13]}\]

I also have not arrived at a convincing structure proposal based on the evidence presented in Table 1. Thus, a condensation of 1 and 2a in phosphoric acid was repeated according to the procedure of Christen et al., and the resulting material was positively identified as 4a by melting point (151–153 °C vs 147.5 (corr.)),\[^{[22]}\] solubility, color and CH-composition (derived from $^1$H NMR integration and APT-$^1$C NMR\[^{[26]}\] peak data). The $^1$H NMR spectrum of a CDCl$_3$ solution revealed the presence of five isolated (singlet) methyl groups, two intact p-tolyl fragments, and a broadened XH signal at $\delta_H$ 5.92. The APT-$^1$C NMR signals confirmed the first two items and additionally pointed to a conjugated ketone ($\delta_C$ 195.7), whose presence had gone unnoted in the earlier study, which immediately explains the severe problems facing the reactivity-based structure elucidation in this particular case. The spectral hints define six fragments, which include all atoms present in 1 (Fig. 2).

Considering reactivity-based plausibility and chemical shift data, we further assume that XH is a secondary Tol-NHR unit, and that a methyl ketone conjugated to a C=C double bond will be present. Permutative combination of the above fragments in accord with their valency, while excluding strained 3- and 4-membered rings, generates a number of structures including A–I (Fig. 2). Further exclusion of cumulenes (ketene imines: A, B) and alkyrones (C, D, E) – which would likely hydrolyze in hot aqueous

![Fig. 1. Potential structures offered for 4a in 1946.\[^{[15]}\]](image)

![Fig. 2. Structure fragments of 4a defined by $^1$H and $^{13}$C APT-NMR peak data, with a selection of derived structures A–J generated by permutative combination of fragments.](image)
phosphoric acid – narrows the choice to a set of regioisomeric pyrroles F-J, which are intuitively satisfactory, considering their aromatic stabilization.

At this point, 2D NMR techniques come into play to define the connectivity of the fragments through long-range correlations.[20] The 1H, 13C-HMBC experiments[20] failed to unequivocally attach the external substituents to the pyrrole core by lack of suitable and suitable cross-peaks. This is not unusual for analytes that are ‘meager in H-atoms’ (e.g., if the H/[C+N+O+S] ratio falls below 2, or below 1 in smaller fragments; Crews rule[27,28]). Fortunately, the NOESY experiment[20] permits to mutually position neighboring groups around the pyrrole core: 6.58TolNH[29] correlates with 1.80Me, which also correlates with 7.09Tol-N, and the latter further correlates with 2.29Me. This arrangement corresponds to structure G = 4a, as further confirmed by NOESY correlations from 2.39MeCO to 6.58TolNH (Fig. 3).[30]

The differentiation of the tolyl groups 6.58TolNH vs 7.09TolN relies either on a NOESY correlation from exchange-broadened 5.92NH with ortho-CH of 6.58TolNH (a correlation not seen by COSY), or more satisfactory long-range 'H, 13N-HMBC, which also reveals 13N nitrogen shifts in accordance with the respective functionalities (Fig. 3).

It is the inability of 4a to provide an oxime, semicarbazone or hydrazone with hydroxylamine, semicarbazide or 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) were combined in an NMR tube and dissolved in CDCl3 with a little trifluoroacetic acid. The 1H NMR spectrum of the sample showed signals for a new species, but retained the signals of unreacted DNPH. No sign of hydrazone formation was evident over the course of two days. Consequently, it must have been the interaction of the acid with the external substituents to the pyrrole core by lack of sufficient aromatic stabilization. The source of the second acidic hydrogen in the Zerewitinoff test is presumably the acetyl group, which is deprotonated by the Grignard reagent rather than undergoing aromatic stabilization.

The finding of two C=C double bonds by bromine titration and catalytic hydrogenation (entry 4) remains ambiguous in the absence of hints that such unsaturations could be part of a weakly aromatic pyrrole core. The structure of the second acidic hydrogen in the Zerewitinoff test is presumably the acetyl group, which is deprotonated by the Grignard reagent rather than undergoing aromatic stabilization.

The matter was put to an experimental test: Samples of 4a and 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) were combined in an NMR tube and dissolved in CDCl3, with a little trifluoroacetic acid. The 1H NMR spectrum of the sample showed signals for a new species, but retained the signals of unreacted DNPH. No sign of hydrazone formation was evident over the course of two days. Consequently, it must have been the interaction of 4a with the acid exclusively, which had generated the new species 5a. An NMR sample of pyrrole 4a and 3 equiv. of CF3COOH in CDCl3 was next prepared. A methyl doublet at δH 1.11 and a multiplet at δC 5.66 revealed that protonation at C(5) of 4a had occurred to give trifluoroacetate salt 5a, in which the neighboring relationship of the acetyl and iminium groups is revealed through a strong hydrogen bond (δC 12.45; Fig. 4).

The story of the cryptic condensation product can now be wrapped up: combinations of diacetyl (1) and arylamines (2) usually precipitate diimines 3 from solutions in ethanol, but generate a different product in hot mineral acid, now established to be a fully substituted N-aryl-2,5-dimethyl-3-acetyl-4-(arylamino) pyrrole (4). The structure elucidation of 4 by classical means was thwarted by a lack of recognizable fragments derived from the heterocyclic core, and by the inability of amino-substituted acyldynes to give Schiff base type derivatives. With hindsight, the clues from Table 1 must be partially revised: the two double bond equivalents ascribed to ring closures correspond to one pyrrole ring and one unrecognized C=O double bond (entry 11). The finding of two C=C double bonds by bromine titration and catalytic hydrogenation (entry 4) remains ambiguous in the absence of hints that such unsaturations could be part of a weakly aromatic pyrrole core. The source of the second acidic hydrogen in the Zerewitinoff test is presumably the acetyl group, which is deprotonated by the Grignard reagent rather than undergoing aromatic stabilization.

The course of the reaction leading from 1 and 2a to 4a in an acidic reaction medium can be outlined as follows (Scheme 2):

Fig. 3. Structure of 4a with 1H, 13C, and 15N chemical shifts and 2D NMR correlations. Starred signals are interchangeable.
The 1:1 stoichiometry of 1 and 2 favors generation of protonated monomine 6 and enamine 7, which undergo Mannich type addition to give 8. Tautomerization and intramolecular 1,2-addition provide dihydropyrrole 10, which has all groups except ace- tyl in the correct position. The crucial shift can be formulated as 1,5-sigmatropic rearrangement, or alternatively as Fries type rearrangement if 11 and 12 are interpreted as two σ-complexes of the same pyrrole with an acylum cation. Acid-base-equilibria interconvert 12 with target pyrrole 4a and its conjugate acid 5a as the most stable species under reaction conditions.

3. Conclusion
A fascinating aspect of organic chemistry is that combination of a few simple reactants can give rise to near unpredictable reaction products. We have changed upon a 1949 publication that describes the condensation of diacetyl (1) and arylamines (2) in phosphoric acid to a new type of product 4, to which no structure could be assigned, in spite of the apparent simplicity of the reaction. The failure of textbook-type carbonyl derivatization reactions due to peculiarities of the target structure prevented the original authors from arriving at a satisfactory structure proposal. It turned out to be exceptionally trivial to assign the structure by means of present-day 1D and 2D NMR techniques, although the final choice among several regioisomers of pyrrole 4a (G over F or H, cf. Scheme 2) was not trivial, and the decision relied on few NOESY cross-peaks. The in situ protonation of 4a by trifluoroacetic acid to give salt 5a tremendously simplified the 2D NMR analysis by introducing a hydrogen onto the heterocyclic core. The structural assignment of progenitor 4a is further secured as a consequence, which shows that reactivity considerations and derivatization reactions continue to be invaluable complements to spectroscopic techniques of structure elucidation. In the instance, the identity of a family of condensation products studied in a 1946 PhD thesis work[15] and reported in a 1949 publication[13] has been clarified, bringing closure to this curious case, and potentially opening up new synthetic opportunities.
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