Uncovering the relationship between food-related discussion on Twitter and neighborhood characteristics
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Objective: Initiatives to reduce neighborhood-based health disparities require access to meaningful, timely, and local information regarding health behavior and its determinants. We examined the validity of Twitter as a source of information for neighborhood-level analysis of dietary choices and attitudes.

Materials and Methods: We analyzed the “healthiness” quotient and sentiment in food-related tweets at the census tract level, and associated them with neighborhood characteristics and health outcomes. We analyzed keywords driving the differences in food healthiness between the most and least-affluent tracts, and qualitatively analyzed contents of a random sample of tweets.

Results: Significant, albeit weak, correlations existed between healthiness and sentiment in food-related tweets and tract-level measures of affluence, disadvantage, race, age, U.S. density, and mortality from conditions associated with obesity. Analyses of keywords driving the differences in food healthiness revealed foods high in saturated fat (e.g., pizza, bacon, fries) were mentioned more frequently in less-affluent tracts. Food-related discussion referred to activities (eating, drinking, cooking), locations where food was consumed, and positive (affection, cravings, enjoyment) and negative attitudes (dislike, personal struggles, complaints).

Discussion: Tweet-based healthiness scores largely correlated with offline phenomena in the expected directions. Social media offer less resource-intensive data collection methods than traditional surveys do. Twitter may assist in informing local health programs that focus on drivers of food consumption and could inform interventions focused on attitudes and the food environment.

Conclusions: Twitter provided weak but significant signals concerning food-related behavior and attitudes at the neighborhood level, suggesting its potential usefulness for informing local health disparity reduction efforts.
INTRODUCTION

Background and significance
Negative health outcomes are unequally spatially distributed in the United States. Neighborhood characteristics such as poverty, access to grocery stores, and fast food restaurant density are associated with dietary patterns that influence health outcomes such as obesity, diabetes, kidney failure, and cardiovascular disease. Initiatives to reduce neighborhood-based disparities in food-related health behavior and outcomes require access to meaningful, timely, and actionable information regarding that behavior and its determinants at a local level. However, because diets and attitudes are difficult to measure at scale, these phenomena have not yet been discerned at the neighborhood level. In this article, we ask whether social media data can be used to assess dietary patterns and attitudes at the granularity of a neighborhood.

Although social media data have proven useful for characterizing health-related processes, we aim to assess discussions related to a specific topic (ie, diet) at a highly localized level (ie, a census tract, roughly equivalent to a neighborhood). Furthermore, we aim to mine social media data at large enough scale that our sample is representative of social media users in the neighborhood. We study Twitter use to characterize neighborhood-level food-related behavior and attitudes and analyze the sentiment expressed in food-related tweets. While different reasons could explain negative and positive sentiment related to food, our goal is to highlight the emotional components of the attitudes of those who tweet about food within the context of the characteristics of neighborhoods from which they tweet and the healthiness of those foods. Emotions are components of attitudes and attitudes have been shown to be a correlate of health behavior. Further, research shows that attitudes tend to be transmitted between people and shared by groups. We extend this research and our prior work by studying how tweets contribute to the sharing of food-related attitudes related by studying sentiments expressed in tweets related to both healthy and unhealthy foods.

Related work
Health disparity elimination is a key goal in the U.S. Healthy People 2020 plan. Yet, a recent report notes gaps in the country’s health information infrastructure, including a lack of accepted community health indicators. Social media have been used for numerous public health applications, showing promise for addressing these gaps. In particular, characterizing local health behavior can assist healthcare and public health professionals and local nonprofits in designing food-related interventions such as educational programs and community gardens and aid state and local governments in designing policies regarding land use, zoning, transportation, food access in public facilities, and incentives to influence dietary behaviors.

Food-related tweets can be geolocated and correlated with spatially referenced health outcomes. For example, the caloric value of foods mentioned in tweets is associated with state-level obesity rates. Additionally, higher obesity prevalence was demonstrated in a clinical sample of people living in zip codes where tweets referred to higher-calorie foods. Yet, little work considers food-related social media posts at the neighborhood scale. State and zip code residents’ characteristics vary considerably, and zip codes may not be spatially contiguous. Census tracts are smaller, containing roughly 4000 people, and more demographically homogeneous, and thus reveal more about local food environments. For example, the status of food deserts—urban areas where the closest grocery store is >0.5 miles away or rural areas where it is >10 miles away—is measured at the census tract level. Our research is among the first to correlate tract-level Twitter measures with tract-level health outcomes: mortality from obesity-linked conditions.

Social media–based methods for assessing food-related discussion have primarily focused on caloric estimation and macronutrients. Researchers have identified census tract-level variance in Twitter mentions of calories in 3 U.S. cities associated with tract demographics. Another tract-level study used social media data to identify greater mentions of foods high in fat, cholesterol and sugar in food desert tracts and characterize such tracts’ linguistic signatures. This work reveals potential tract-level variance in food-related discussions. However, previous social media-based measures have lacked key dietary aspects such as sodium intake, fiber, and added sugar. Our work offers more systematic assessment methods.

Additionally, social media have not yet been leveraged to assess changeable factors that may affect eating behavior. For example, social media could reveal local attitudes regarding foods and eating; information-based interventions often target such attitudes, which are modifiable and influence behavior. Furthermore, increasing numbers of interventions attempt to improve neighborhood food supplies through initiatives such as farmer’s markets and community gardens. We extend prior work by investigating tweets that reveal attitudes concerning food and locations of acquisition and consumption.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Regional focus
To investigate the use of Twitter to characterize neighborhood-level food-related behavior and attitudes, we focused on Metropolitan Detroit, also known as the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor Combined Statistical Area, which includes 10 counties with 1591 census tracts. Its variability in tract-level food access makes it exemplary for examining the food tweet–neighborhood characteristic relationship. For example, 532 (33.4%) census tracts in the region are food deserts. Additionally, tracts vary in proportions receiving supplemental nutrition assistance program benefits, with a median of 14% and range of 0%-80% of households. Metropolitan Detroit is also home to many community-based programs to improve access to healthy food that could benefit from neighborhood-based information about dietary patterns and attitudes.

Data sources
Twitter application programming interfaces (APIs) were used to gather geotagged tweets from Metropolitan Detroit. The location query–based collection was enhanced using the Twitter Gardenhose stream, which provides a 10% random sample of the entire Twitter collection. Tweet authors were identified from tweets gathered through these methods, and their account timelines, sequential lists of their previous tweets up to a limit of 3200, geo-tagged or not, were crawled via the Twitter API and added to the collection. Data
collection, conducted in early 2016, yielded 21.19 million tweets from 2014 to 2016, authored by 120,748 unique tweeters.

### Food vocabulary and keyword healthiness score

Tweets were mined for food-related terms. A vocabulary of 3928 terms was compiled from multiple online sources (see9 for details). Box 1 summarizes the categories included. One author, a public health nutrition researcher (A.B.), assigned a “healthiness” score to each keyword using a 4-point system: –2 indicates definitively unhealthy, high in 2 or more bad dietary components (eg, unhealthy fats [saturated or trans], added sugar, sodium, substantial processing); –1 indicates unhealthy, high in at least 1 bad component and possibly containing 1 or more good components; 1 indicates healthy, no bad components and high in at least 1 good component (eg., healthy fats [unsaturated or omega-3], fiber, micronutrients, little processing); and 2 indicates definitively healthy, high in at least 2 good components and no bad ones. A second nutrition researcher (E.C.J.) independently classified a randomly selected sample of food words (n = 798, 20%) into these 4 categories. The Cohen’s kappa for interrater reliability was 0.75 (95% confidence interval, 0.72-0.78), indicating high agreement and demonstrating the robustness of our scores.

### Sentiment vocabulary for food-related tweets

To study food-related attitudes (defined as evaluating an entity with a degree of positivity or negativity),28 we assessed sentiment expressed in tweets that mentioned food. As described in Vydiswaran et al.,9 we expanded the positive and negative emotion sense category words from the Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count dictionary,29 with food-specific sentiment words commonly used in social media from popular web pages, Yelp reviews, and a smaller set of tweets.

### Finding relevant food-related tweets

We defined a relevant food-related tweet as one that “conveys information about the dietary choices that Twitter users make, including the specific foods they desire, foods they eat, how those foods are prepared, and where and when food is obtained and consumed.” Additionally, given our neighborhood focus, tweets characterizing the “food environment” or availability of specific food in an area were included. “Availability” may refer to food’s physical presence, price, freshness, and nutritional quality; a person’s distance from and proximity to food establishments; locations of food stores, services, and other venues where food may be obtained (eg, food banks, homes, res-
taurants, or foraging); a system that provides access (including delivery and “carry outs”); a state of food security or insecurity. Tweets directly or indirectly revealing any of these were included. Box 2 shows examples of types of included and excluded tweets.

Training a food-related tweet classifier
We designed a machine learning–based framework to distinguish food-related tweets from those using potentially food-related keywords in a nonfood sense. We refer readers to prior work9 for a detailed description and evaluation of the tweet classifier. A hybrid model consisting of a food word filter followed by a support vector machine model achieved the highest performance (F1 of 0.858) among the tested classification algorithms. To estimate laypersons’ attitudes toward food-related behavior, we removed tweets from businesses identified using the Humanizr tool,30 and verified accounts from well-known personalities. The final classifier was applied to all remaining tweets containing at least 1 food keyword. Figure 1 shows the number of tweets filtered through this process.

“Healthiness” and sentiment scores for food-related tweets
A food-related tweet may mention both healthy and unhealthy items. Tweets were assigned a healthiness score based on the healthiness scores of the food keywords described previously, computed in 3 parts: the healthy score, the unhealthy score, and the net healthiness score. The (un)healthy score is the number of (un)healthy food words in the tweet scaled by the level of (un)healthiness per the food keyword list. Positive net healthiness scores indicate more mentions of healthy than unhealthy foods.

Similarly, the sentiment expressed is measured by counting food-related sentiment words, identified using the sentiment vocabulary. For each tweet, 3 sentiment scores are computed—a positive and a negative sentiment score are computed by normalizing the number of positive (negative) sentiment words with the total number of tokens in the tweet. The overall sentiment score is the difference of positive and negative sentiment scores. A higher overall score means greater positive sentiment. For example, the tweet “I love bacon! !!!!” is 4 tokens long and has 1 positive and no negative sentiment words, so, the positive score is $\frac{1}{4} = 0.25$, negative score is 0, and overall sentiment score is 0.25.

Tract-level tweet-based measures
Tweet-based healthiness scores were aggregated at the user level based on the number of healthy and unhealthy food words in tweets a user authored (normalized by total number of words the user tweeted). User-level scores were aggregated at the census tract level as the average healthiness measure for the tract.

A similar normalization was performed on the sentiment measures. To account for the imbalance in the overall sentiment expressed in a given locality, the score was adjusted for baseline sentiment in a tract. Baseline scores were computed over 1000 randomly selected tweets per tract, and subtracted from the tweet sentiment scores.

Neighborhood characteristics measures
Neighborhood affluence and disadvantage scores
Following published measures,31 aggregate measures of neighborhood affluence and disadvantage were created using demographic data from the American Community Survey (ACS) 2011-2015 estimates at the census tract level.32 Based on prior work,33 the neighborhood affluence score was created via factor analysis using (1) proportion with incomes >$75,000 and (2) proportion with educational levels of an associate degree or higher (84.5% of the variance explained). Also building on prior research,33,34 the neighborhood disadvantage score was calculated using the same method using the variables (1) proportion living in households with supplemental security income in the past 12 months and (2) proportion living below the federal poverty level (85.8% of the variance explained). These variables were transformed and standardized using $z$ scores.
Fast food density
The fast food density measure assesses the spatial accessibility of fast food restaurants. We created a kernel density measure using ArcGIS’s zonal statistics tool with a radius setting of 1320 feet. Locations were gathered from the 2015 Reference USA Database. Fast food vendors were selected based on their primary industrial classification codes (eg, North American Industry Classification System code “722211: Limited-service restaurants” and Standard Industrial Classification code “581222: Pizza stores”). Additionally, a list of U.S. chains compiled based on past research and industry sources was used to query the Reference USA Database. The variable was transformed into a z score.

Percent young adult
ACS also lists percentages of people in certain age groups at the census tract level. Owing to the possible relationship between food-related tweets and the population using Twitter, we computed a measure for the proportion between 18 and 29 years of age in 2011-2015, corresponding to young adulthood. The variable was transformed into a z score.

Percent African American
ACS surveys numbers of people of specific races or ethnicities in each census tract. Owing to obesity-related health disparities among African Americans, who experience pronounced disparities related to mortality for cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and kidney disease in the study region, we created a tract-level measure for the proportion of the tract in 2011-2015 that were African American.

Mortality by cause of death
Using geocoded Michigan Department of Vital Statistics data concerning all deaths in the state from 2010 to 2014, we calculated tract-level mortality rates based on cause of death using International Classification of Diseases codes. We focused on causes known to be correlated with obesity and cardiometabolic syndrome, which are also related to diet. Included diabetes (International Classification of Diseases codes: E10-E14), kidney failure (N17-N19), cardiovascular diseases such as hypertensive or ischemic heart disease (I11, I13, I20-I25), heart failure (I50), and cerebrovascular disease or stroke (I60-I69). Previous literature has consistently found correlations between dietary patterns and mortality for these conditions. We calculated mortality rates for each by dividing numbers of deaths by total tract population for that 5-year period.

Data analyses
We analyzed tweets generated from 2014 to 2016 to best match the time periods of the neighborhood characteristics measures. To address sparsity of food-related tweets in some census tracts, we restricted our sample to 80% of tracts with the largest numbers of tweets (n = 1273). We conducted regression analyses of associations between food-related tweet healthiness and sentiment scores and neighborhood characteristics measures, and tested the following hypotheses: (1) Less affluent neighborhoods have more positive sentiment toward food. (2) Neighborhoods with more African Americans have more positive sentiment toward food. These hypotheses are based on prior research suggesting that attitudes provide partial explanations for poorer diets, and that more positive sentiment about food correlates with neighborhood characteristics that have been elsewhere associated with poorer diets: fast food density, disadvantage, and percentage African American.

For the sentiment associations, we conducted the analysis over all food-related tweets, and separately over healthy tweets (with healthy score greater than zero), and unhealthy tweets (with unhealthy score greater than zero). After testing for conformity to linear regression assumptions, we ran bivariate and multivariate regressions for tract-level healthiness scores (healthy, unhealthy, and net healthiness), sentiment scores (positive, negative, and overall), and causes of mortality as dependent variables. Independent variables were the 5 neighborhood measures (affluence, disadvantage, percentage African American, percentage young adult, and fast food density). Finally, total number of tweets in the census tract was included as a control variable to avoid the tweet volume driving the results. Figure 2 shows the correlation coefficients between the dependent and independent variables.

For variable selection, bivariate regressions were performed individually with 1 independent and 1 dependent variable. If the P value was <.15, the independent variable was included. A multivariate regression was performed with all included variables. In most multivariate regression analyses, both neighborhood affluence and disadvantage score indices were included. While the 2 are negatively correlated (Pearson’s ρ = −.845, P < .001), they are not exact opposites. Both indices relate to income, but capture different aspects of economic well-being. The factors in the affluence measure—individual income and highest education level achieved—have been associated with diet quality. Similarly, poverty and access to Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits—the factors in the disadvantaged measure—are known to be associated with obesity and dietary quality.

Content analysis of tweets
We analyzed the top words driving differences between the most and least affluent tracts and performed content analysis to derive themes in food-related discussions. We selected a stratified sample of 1759 tweets from the most and least affluent tracts that included at least 1 of the top 20 keywords driving differences in healthiness of food mentions between those tracts. After excluding retweets, promotional tweets, and tweets of unknown content (eg, only an image), 1537 remaining tweets (87.4%) were manually coded by one author (T.C.V.) using qualitative content analysis to inductively identify themes. Newly identified themes were added until saturation was achieved.

Ethical considerations
Analyses using mortality data were approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board. Twitter-based analyses using publicly available data do not conform to the university’s definition of human subjects research. Nevertheless, because ethical concerns have been raised regarding directly quoting social media content, we paraphrase rather than reproduce tweets verbatim.

RESULTS
In all, 822 604 tweets classified as food-related were authored by 62 286 layerpersons in Metropolitan Detroit (also see Figure 1). The range per census tract was 102 to 16 330, with a mean of 367.6 ± 641.375 (interquartile range: 169-386).
Regression analysis for healthiness scores

The bivariate regression analysis for healthiness scores (Table 1) shows that the affluence and disadvantage measures were significant for all 3 measures. The affluence measure was positively correlated with healthy and net healthiness scores, and negatively correlated with unhealthy scores. Conversely, the disadvantage measure was negatively correlated with healthy and net healthiness scores and positively correlated with unhealthy scores.

A higher proportion of African Americans in a tract was significantly associated with higher unhealthy score and lower net healthiness score. The multivariate analysis shows that the affluence score was consistently one of the most significant factors for the 3 healthiness scores—associated with higher healthy and net healthiness scores and lower unhealthy scores. Additionally, the contextual variable for U.S. density was significantly associated with both higher unhealthy scores and lower net healthiness scores. The best regression model for the net healthiness score ($R^2 = .057$) consists of affluence, fast food density, and the factor controlling for the number of tweets in a tract.

Regression analysis for sentiment scores

Table 2 shows the multivariate regression analysis for the sentiment scores. The best regression model for the overall sentiment score over all tweets ($R^2 = .174$) consists of affluence, disadvantage, race, and age—with positive association with higher disadvantage score and percent African American, and negative association with affluence score and age. Affluence, disadvantage, and race remain significant in the regression models for healthy and unhealthy tweets in the same direction as all tweets, while age is significant only for unhealthy tweets, again in the same direction as all tweets.

Analyzing the individual neighborhood characteristics measure and accounting for other independent variables, affluence index was inversely correlated with overall sentiment score.
(significant at \( P < .001 \)), indicating that less affluent neighborhoods tend to have more positive sentiment toward food. Further, percent African American was positively correlated with the overall sentiment toward food, and toward both healthy and unhealthy food (all significant at \( P < .001 \)), indicating that after controlling for affluence, neighborhoods with more African Americans have more positive sentiment toward food. The direction of the correlation and the relative significance of the correlation analysis do not change even when either the disadvantage or affluence measure is excluded. Adjusting for baseline tract-level sentiment, we found higher levels of positive sentiment in more affluent tracts and lower levels in disadvantaged neighborhoods (not shown).

### Regression analysis of causes of mortality

Table 3 shows the multivariate regression analysis against 5 obesity-related causes of mortality: diabetes, kidney failure, heart failure, stroke, and hypertensive or ischemic heart disease. After adjusting for other neighborhood characteristics and correcting for multiple hypothesis testing using Holm-Bonferroni method to control the familywise error rate, there was a significant correlation between Twitter-based net healthiness scores and the mortality rate from heart failure \( (P < .05) \), and the relationship with kidney failure mortality approached significance \( (P < .1) \). Mortality rates from diabetes and other obesity-related cardiovascular conditions, including stroke and hypertensive or ischemic heart disease, had no significant relationships with Twitter-based measures.

### Content analysis of tweets

#### Food words driving differences between tracts

To characterize food-specific disparities among neighborhoods, we compared relative keyword frequencies in the top and bottom quintiles (20%) of tracts on affluence score. Box 3 lists the top 15 keywords driving differences in the net healthiness scores in the most and least affluent tracts. Keywords more common in less affluent tracts were higher in saturated fat than were those in more affluent tracts, and more affluent tracts included more mentions of fruits and vegetables.

### Key themes in food-related tweets

Table 4 summarizes the key themes, proportions of theme-relevant tweets, and paraphrased examples. Nine food-related themes were identified and grouped into 3 main themes: (1) activities such as preparing and consuming food; (2) positive and negative attitudes, such as affection or dislike toward specific food or restaurants, and struggling with food; and (3) food-vending locations. The most frequent themes were mentions of eating or drinking (behavior; 28.9% tweets), affection toward food or food establishments (positive attitude; 11.3%), and restaurants where food was consumed (location; 9.5%).

### DISCUSSION

Offline research shows associations between neighborhood characteristics and dietary patterns, with greater socioeconomic disadvantage and fast food density (a proxy for exposure) being associated with consuming more saturated fat and fewer fruits and vegetables. Twitter discussion of healthy and unhealthy foods was correlated with precisely those neighborhood measures. Moreover, our analyses of keywords driving differences between healthiness scores showed more mentions of fruits and vegetables in more affluent tracts and more mention of foods high in saturated fats in less affluent tracts, again mirroring prior research. Results were further validated through qualitative analysis showing that large proportions of tweets mentioned food-related behavior, and locations where food and drink were consumed (e.g., Starbucks, McDonalds), from which dietary aspects can be inferred.

Food tweet healthiness was significantly associated with the “hard” health outcome of mortality associated with one obesity-related condition (heart failure) and marginally associated with a second (kidney failure). While these analyses are preliminary and based on a small sample of tracts, the latter result is suggestive of a trend. However, associations were not found for several other obesity- and cardiometabolic disease–related causes of death. Providing some resonance with this pattern, negative heart failure, diabetes, and kidney failure outcomes have been related to the neighborhood food environment, whereas evidence for other cardiovascular diseases and stroke is more ambiguous. Although there is a need for further research, perhaps in larger geographic areas, these results align with and extend prior findings on associations between health conditions and food-related tweets at state and zip code scales.

While larger than census tracts, zip codes are constructed primarily for mail delivery and their residents’ characteristics vary significantly. Census tracts, being smaller and more spatially contiguous and demographically homogeneous, reveal more about local food environments. Further, using smaller spatial units can minimize aggregation problems such as the ecological fallacy or the modifiable areal unit problem. Thus, for our study, census tracts provide the right spatial granularity.

While social media data are potential sources for exposure-based population health management studies, boundaries imposed by census tracts may differ from residents’ experiences. An increasing body of spatial statistical literature aims to define spatial boundaries based on data. Approaches such as “Bayesian wombling” analyze data at a fine spatial aggregation level (e.g., census tracts or census blocks) and probabilistically determine whether boundaries among contiguous areal units are warranted by the data. Such research is beyond the scope of our work.

Our analysis revealed significant associations between sentiment concerning healthy and unhealthy food. Overall sentiment in healthy and unhealthy food tweets is positively correlated with neighborhood characteristics that have been associated with poorer diets: disadvantage, and percent African American (significant at \( P < .05 \) for disadvantage and \( P < .001 \) for percent African American percentage for both healthy and unhealthy foods). This supports existing research on attitudes toward food as a correlate of

### Table 2. Multivariate regression analysis of overall sentiment score for healthy, unhealthy, and all tweets against neighborhood measures

| Independent variable | Healthy tweets | Unhealthy tweets | All tweets |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Affluence index      | -.020*         | -.022*          | -.021*     |
| Disadvantage index   | .019*          | .017*           | .017*      |
| % African American   | .023*          | .028*           | .027*      |
| % young adult        | -.015*         | -.015*          | -.016*     |
| Fast food density    | .003           | .003            | .001       |
| Number of tweets     | -.003          | .002            | .002       |
| R²                   | .101           | .144            | .174       |

\*P < .05, \*\*P < .01, \*\*\*P < .001.
Table 3. Multivariate regression analysis of 5 obesity-related causes of mortality against the net healthiness score and neighborhood measures

| Independent variables | Diabetes | Kidney failure | Heart failure | Stroke | Hypertensive or Ischemic heart disease |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| Affluence index        | $-7.4 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $-3.6 \times 10^{-4d}$ | —             | $-7 \times 10^{-4c}$ | $-.003^{d}$ |
| Disadvantage index     | $-4.6 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $-3.0 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $-1.0 \times 10^{-4b}$ | —      | $-.001^{d}$ |
| % African American     | $2.1 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-4d}$ | —             | —      | $0.01^{d}$ |
| % young adult          | $-2.1 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $-2.1 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $-0.01^{d}$ | $-0.01^{d}$ | $-0.01^{d}$ |
| Fast food density      | $9.5 \times 10^{-5c}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-5c}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $5 \times 10^{-4b}$ | $0.01^{d}$ |
| Number of tweets       | $1.5 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $7.5 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-4d}$ | —      | $-1 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Net healthiness score  | $1.8 \times 10^{-4d}$ | $-1.7 \times 10^{-4a}$ | $-3.9 \times 10^{-4b}$ | —      | $8 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $R^2$                  | .151     | .102           | .061          | .0151  | .2339                                 |

$^aP < .1. \, ^bP < .05. \, ^cP < .01. \, ^dP < .001.$

Box 3 Top 15 keywords driving the most differences in the net healthiness scores.

**Top keywords from the most affluent tracts:**
starbucks, coffee, sushi, pumpkin, cherry, vegan, tea, apple, chipotle, oil, coney island, orange, donuts, turkey, chocolate

**Top keywords from the least affluent tracts:**
pizza, grill, taco, cake, mcdonalds, fries, honey, cream, bacon, ice cream, steak, fried, subway, fish, cookie

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, conducted with smaller groups than can be found on social media, and more costly to implement. Larger-scale, continuous, and less resource-intensive data collection methods are desirable. While developing the food keyword healthiness score and training set for tweet classification models required significant manual effort, the resultant classifiers can be applied to a large set of unlabeled tweets. Our results suggest that social media data can provide a reliable signal for dietary patterns and food-related attitudes at the census tract level despite the noisy nature of user-generated text data, the limited fraction of geolocated tweets, and access only to public discussions rather than actual dietary patterns. However, survey data can more accurately determine the demographic characteristics of social media users and overcome likely bias due to differences between social media users and nonusers. While biases in survey-driven estimates can be adjusted with the knowledge of sampling bias, new methods are needed to correct for sampling biases when using social media data.

**Limitations**
Our study relies on mentions of healthy and unhealthy foods in tweets, and sentiment expressed about them. While mentioning food words alone does not indicate actual consumption of those foods, we believe that it represents information shared in the community around food, which may reflect attitudes, and ultimately, behavior. This measure is also incomplete; it does not reflect the amount of food consumed. Further, many foods are not inherently completely unhealthy or healthy. Although the classification scheme was validated independently by 2 nutrition researchers, with Cohen’s kappa for interrater reliability of 0.75, nutritional science is complex and still evolving. The food keyword healthiness rating reflects our understanding of a typical portion of the food in terms of unhealthy fats, added sugar, sodium, and amount of processing but does not account for the actual caloric or nutrient content.

There are potential biases introduced from using social media for neighborhood and community-based studies. Specifically, rural communities and senior populations are typically underrepresented. Further, tweeting behavior varies significantly with access to broadband, across urban-rural divide and on racial dimensions. While we account for some of these factors (eg, percent African American, percent young adult, number of tweets from a tract) and normalized the measures by aggregating at both user and tract levels, this may be insufficient to overcome systematic biases in the social media data. Finally, our multivariate correlation analysis was limited to...
census tracts from metropolitan Detroit (n = 1273). Validation over a larger area is needed.

The bivariate and multivariate analyses revealed significant but weak correlations between tweet-based healthiness and sentiment scores and neighborhood characteristics. Further, the variance in tweet-based scores is not sufficiently explained by the neighborhood characteristics measures alone, suggesting that additional factors such as cultural preferences, linguistic variations, and personal interactions outside of Twitter may not be captured in tweets.

Our procedure for extracting food-related social media content at the census tract level and identifying the healthiness of tweets and associated sentiment can be applied to other social media and other geographical locations. Future research should examine this procedure in larger areas and seek to validate Twitter measures through direct measures of food consumption, perhaps partnering with surveillance-based surveys to investigate representative samples of populations at the census tract level.

Table 4. Content analysis of tweets, showing behaviors and POS and NEG attitudes toward food and food locations, with paraphrased example tweets

| Theme | Count | Example tweets |
|-------|-------|----------------|
| Behavior: eating or drinking | 445 (28.9) | Tweeter states that they have eaten a lot of bacon, which made for a good morning. |
| Behavior: cooking or preparing food | 98 (6.4) | Tweeter checks into a Chipotle restaurant to eat with friends. |
| Attitudes: POS: affection for food or food establishment | 177 (11.3) | Tweeter says the word “bacon” repeatedly. |
| Attitudes: POS: craving | 101 (6.4) | Tweeter says they are going to make pancakes and bacon. |
| Attitudes: POS: enjoying food and drink | 127 (8.3) | Tweeter posts a photo of ribs that they have been grilling. |
| Attitudes: NEG: dislike for food or food establishment | 26 (1.6) | Tweeter says pizza is an aphrodisiac. |
| Attitudes: NEG: struggles with food (overeating, discomfort after eating) | 19 (1.2) | Tweeter enthuses about flavored iced coffee. |
| Locations: coffee shops | 86 (5.5) | Tweeter feels bad and body conscious for eating pizza while a friend works out at the gym. |
| Locations: restaurants | 150 (9.5) | Tweeter states that pizza makes everything better, and wishes for a bacon emoji. |

Values are n (%). NEG: negative; POS: positive.
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CONCLUSION

Results provide an initial step toward utilizing social media to track food-related health behaviors and attitudes at a large scale but at localized geographical levels that reveal differences between communities that, despite proximity, may exhibit significant variation along environmental, socioeconomic, demographic, and cultural dimensions.
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