Nigeria’s Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Estimations Based on the 5th Assessment Report
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ABSTRACT

The lack of GHG emissions inventory and absence of standardized estimation methods necessitated this study. American Petroleum Institute’s method of Greenhouse gas estimation methods combined with the global warming potential in the 5th assessment report and Nigeria’s unique gas composition were used to estimate volume of GHG’s resulting from gas flaring in Nigeria between 1965 to 2020, as reported by NNPC. The findings show the total CO2, CH4, N2O and GHG emission between 1965 to 2020 were 1.86*10^9 tons, 3.3*10^8 tons, 5.76*10^9 tons, and 7.94*10^9 tons respectively. In the 56 years under review, the gas produced was estimated at 2.14*10^6 MCM, while 9.44*10^5 MCM of the gas was flared, accounting for 44% of the total gas produced over the years. Overall, the study revealed a striking cause for concern due to the predicted continuous increasing amount of gas flaring and release of greenhouse gas emissions which could have significant effects on the environment. Curbing gas flaring: increased gas utilization for domestic and export uses and standardization of GHG estimation methods were recommended.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Gas flaring which brings about the release of greenhouse gases (GHGs) to the atmosphere. Overtime, they impact the climate negatively with severe environmental, human health, and agricultural consequences [1] (Al-Bashir, 2012). Like the rest of the world even worse off, Niger Delta is experiencing distortion in the Ecosystem formation because of the emission of harmful gases from the Petroleum industries, causing climatic change, freshwater acidification (acid rain), diverse human health problems most commonly which is the exponential increase in cases of cancer recorded in the past decades [2]. According to Emam (2015), lack of monitoring equipment and limited oversight make it difficult to quantify the amount of gas flaring around the world. In addition, many countries do not publicly report gas flaring volumes, leading to significant uncertainty regarding the magnitude of the problem (Oluduro and Oluduro, 2015). World Bank (2004), in its report acknowledged that flaring, and venting of associated gas contributes significantly to GHG emissions, with negative impact on the environment and estimated that Nigeria’s gas flaring is close to 2.5 million cubic feet daily, amounting to about 70 million tonnes of carbon dioxide daily emission. In addition, the report estimated that Nigeria accounts for 12.5 percent of total flared natural gas in the world. Recent statistics ranks Nigeria as the second major gas-flaring country in the globe only next to Russia [3] (Ndubuisi and Olaode 2015). While Nigeria lost nearly $72 billion in generated revenues for the period 1970-2006, what translate to $2.5 billion yearly (Audu, 2013), the environmental effect associated with this is immeasurable, overwhelming, and far reaching [4].

The gas flaring give rise to atmospheric pollutants. These atmospheric pollutants comprise oxides of Nitrogen (NO₂), Carbon (CO₂, AND CO) and Sulphuric (SO₂), particulate matter, hydrocarbons and ash, photochemical oxidants, and hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) (Obioh, 1999, cited in Ogawa-Onishi, & Berry, 2013; Alakpodia, 2000). These pollutants bring about has contributed greatly to global warming, climate change, and the depletion of the ozone layer, all of which are environmental hazards [5]. The global average surface temperature has increased by around 0.6°C over the twentieth century, (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC; 2013). Global warming has an impact across the world, with varied degrees of impact. While some countries may gain from a shift to more temperate temperatures, others may be affected by low-lying Pacific islands. Sea levels, forests, agriculture, natural ecosystems, and population distribution could all be affected by the expected rise in global temperatures [6,7]. Hence, it becomes very necessary to study the GHG emissions from gas flaring activities in Niger delta to ascertain the volume and determine Nigeria’s contribution to the global pool of greenhouse gases and most importantly try to provide a clear understanding of the global warming potentials and step-by-step procedure for GHG estimation using stoichiometric equations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

This study was carried out in the Oil-rich Niger-Delta region of Nigeria. The region comprises of nine states (Abia, Edo, Ondo, Imo, Delta, Bayelsa, Rivers, Cross River and Akwa Ibom) where oil exploration is carried out on land and offshore along the coast of the country. The country’s oil and gas reserves are majorly set down in the Niger Delta Area and they are the core of the economy. More than 90% or more of the country’s foreign incomes have originated from crude oil and natural gas earnings for an extended period [8]. The area of study is well-known for its extraordinary biodiversity which now poses threats of all kinds, one of which is the subject under consideration – (GHG) emissions [9]. Geographically, Niger Delta lies between latitude 4° 0’ 0” N and 8° 0’ 0” N, and longitude 5° 0’ 0” E and 7° 0’ 0” E of the equator, and extends over about 70,000km² and makes up 7.5% of Nigeria land mass with approximately 31 million people of not less than 40 ethnic groups. Fig. 1, is a map of Nigeria showing the oil and gas producing States in Niger Delta.

2.2 Data Collection

The data for this study is secondary data which are obtained from the monthly online reports from the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) on gas produced, utilization and flared in the country from 1965 to date. The data gotten were divided into six decades (1965 −1974, 1975−1984, 1985−1994, 1995−2004, 2005−2013, 2014 −2020) for better analysis. The flared gas data were used to estimate the GHGs (CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O) emitted for the period under consideration.
2.3 Mathematical Methods

This study employed empirical formulae as stated by the Association of Petroleum Institute (API) used for the Oil and Gas industry to carry out the estimation (API, 2009). This study also used 98% flare efficiency as stated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for verified mixtures of gas under the condition of constant flame (API, 2009).

Emission from gas flaring was estimated by using the following equations:

\[ E_{CO_2} = \left( \frac{V_f \times MW_{CO_2} \times mass\,\text{conversion}}{B\,mole\,CO_2\,mole\,gas} \right) \times \left( \sum \left( \frac{mole\,Hydrocarbon}{mole\,gas} \right) \times \frac{Amole\,C}{mole\,Hydrocarbon} \times \left( \frac{0.98\,mole\,CO_2\,formed}{mole\,C\,combusted} \right) \right) + B\,mole\,CO_2\,mole\,gas \]

Equation (1)

\[ E_{CH_4} = \frac{V_f \times CH_4\,mole\,fraction \times \%\,residual\,CH_4 \times MW_{CH_4} \times mass\,\text{conversion}}{molar\,volume} \]

Equation (2)

\[ E_{N_2O} = V_p \times EF_{N_2O} \]

Equation (3)

Total GHG Emission = \((1 \times CO_2\,emissions) + (28 \times CH_4\,emissions) + (265 \times N_2O\,emissions)\)

Equation (4)

Where:

- Molar volume = conversion from molar volume to mass (379.3 scf/lbmole or conversion 23.685m³/kgmole); MW CO₂ = CO₂ molecular weight; Mass conversion = tonnes/2204.62lb or tonne/1000 kg; \( A \) = the number of moles of Carbon for the hydrocarbon; and \( B \) = the moles of CO₂ present in the flared gas stream.

- \( E_{CH_4} \) = emissions of CH₄ (lb); \( V \) = volume Flared (scf); \% residual CH₄ = non-combusted fraction of flared stream (default =0.5% or 2%); Molar volume = conversion from molar volume to mass, (379.3 scf/lbmole or Conversion 23.685 m³/kgmole); MW CH₄ = CH₄ molecular weight.

- \( E_{CO_2} \) = CO₂ emissions (kg); \( E_{CH_4} \) = CH₄ emissions (kg); \( E_{N_2O} \) = N₂O emissions (kg); \( V_f \) = volume flared (mcm); molar volume = 23.685 m³/kgmole; \( MW_{CO_2} \) = molecular weight of CO₂; Conversion of mass = ton/1000 kg; \( A \) = number of moles of carbon contained in the individual hydrocarbon; \( B \) = moles of CO₂ existing in the stream of the flared gas; \% residual CH₄ = non-combusted fraction of flared stream; \( MW_{CH_4} \) =CH₄ molecular weight; \( V_p \) = volume produced (m³); \( EF_{N_2O} \) =N₂O emission factor.

These formulas are consistent with published flare emission factors (E&P Forum, 1994 & API, 2009, IPCC, Volume 2, Chapter 4, 2006: INGAA,
Section 2.4, 2005), control device performance, and results from the more recent flare studies. Chart 1. Nigeria’s gas composition obtained from Soku fields was used and 5th assessment report global warming potentials as shown in tables below

| Common Name       | Chemical Formula | Second Assessment Report (SAR) | Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) | Fifth Assessment Report (ARS) |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Carbon dioxide    | CO₂              | 1                              | 1                             | 1                            |
| Methane           | CH₄              | 21                             | 25                            | 28                           |
| Nitrous oxide     | N₂O              | 310                            | 298                           | 265                          |

Source: IPCC (2016)

Chart 2. Natural gas composition in percentage mole/volume (Soku, Nigeria)

| Methane | Ethane | Propane | Butane | Pentane | N₂O | CO₂  |
|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|-----|------|
| 92.51   | 2.78   | 1.66    | 0.78   | 0.30    | 0.11| 0.22 |

Source: Umukoro and Ismail (2015)

2.4 Data Analysis

SPSS 20, Excel statistical packages and R-studio were employed to analyze the data in this study. Results were presented graphically with the aid of line graph and the use of tables.

3. RESULTS

This section of the study shows the results. Table 1 presents the summary of volume of gas produced, utilized, and flared in Nigeria across the decades. Fig. 1 shows the graphical summary of volume of gas produced, utilized, and flared.

the estimated greenhouse gas emission from 1965 – 2020, while Fig. 2 presents the cumulative greenhouse gas emissions and lastly, Fig. 3 is used to demonstrate cumulative predicted flared GHG.

Fig. 3 shows the estimated GHG emissions flared in the years under review.

4. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The natural gas reserves in Nigeria have prospects to reduce energy-related costs through increased efficiency but gas flaring has been a major cause of environmental pollution contributing significantly to GHG emissions, a waste of a significant energy source and loss of revenue to the country [11-14]. The data in the current study showed that the gas produced between 1965 - 2020 was estimated at 2,140,600mcm, while 944,793mcm of the gas was flared, accounting for 44% of the total amount of gas produced over the years. This finding is consistent with the reports of Otene et al. [15], which indicated that Nigeria flared over 14% out of 160 bcm gas that was flared globally in 2004 ranking the country as the second to Russia accounting for 16% of global gas flared. Consequently, this has contributed to a loss of about 2.5 billion US dollars annually [16-18]. Although, there has been a progressive reduction in the proportion of gas flared compared to the gas produced over the last decade [19,20]. The observed decline in the observed emissions observed in 2005 to 2014 may be attributed to the relatively reduced production capacity due to insecurity in the oil producing region. Similarly, the improper maintenance of oil and gas installations could have stalled the production processes leading to the reduced production capacity in the different facilities [21,22]. The protracted gas flaring activities associated with oil exploration in the oil-rich Niger-Delta region have impacted the ecosystem and public wellbeing of the residents. Anomohanran, [23] reported that particulates from gas flaring activities are transported and disseminated to 2.61 x 10⁶ km from the point of origin with an average wind speed of 3m/s (Ede et al., 2011).

The total greenhouse gas estimates of CO₂ flared over the past 56 years exceeds 1 Billion tons. While the average greenhouse gas emission of CH₄ and N₂O was higher than the estimated CO₂ emissions. The estimated N₂O observed in the current study is in contrast with the reports of Giwa et al [8] which adopted the use of volume flared instead of volume produced as stated in API compendium. In addition to these localized pollution hazards, it worthy of
note that any gas flared denies its use as a fuel, i.e., economic loss. An economic study conducted in 2004 using the 2004 price of carbon dioxide per tonne showed that if the exploration companies continue to flare in the next 15 years, Nigeria will lose at least $63.4 million ($40.9 million ($20 per tonne CO$_2$) [24]. The findings of the current study buttress the fact that CO$_2$ remains a significant contributor to the cumulative greenhouse gas flared in the Niger-Delta region as previously reported in similar studies [25,26] (Ilevbare et al., 2015). The N$_2$O was estimated to be the highest contributor of the total greenhouse gas emissions observed over the years, closely followed by CH$_4$, while the estimated CO$_2$ contributed the least to the estimated greenhouse gas emissions.

Table 1. Volume of gas produced, utilized, and flared in Nigeria across Decades

| Decade        | Gas produced (Mcm) | Gas Utilized (MCM) | Gas flared (Mcm) | %Flared |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|
| 1. (1965-1974)| 101217             | 2029               | 99188            | 98%     |
| 2. (1975-1984)| 198172             | 20372              | 177800           | 90%     |
| 3. (1985-1994)| 259190             | 61308              | 197882           | 76%     |
| 4. (1995-2004)| 442482             | 193794             | 246888           | 56%     |
| 5. (2005-2014)| 651310             | 481747             | 169563           | 26%     |
| 6. (2015-2020)| 488229             | 436558             | 51672            | 11%     |
| Grand Total   | 2140600            | 1195808            | 944793           |         |
| Average       | 356767             | 199301             | 157465           |         |
| SD            | 205604             | 212636             | 70857            |         |

Fig. 1. Charts of Volume of gas produced, utilized, and flared in Nigeria across Decades

Table 2. Summary of Estimated greenhouse gas emissions from 1965 – 2020 by decades

| Row Labels     | Sum of Tghg for CO2 | Sum of Tghg for CH4 | Sum of Tghg for N2O | Sum of Greenhouse gas (tonne CO2e/yr) |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1965-1974      | 194,833,558.31      | 34,712,223.63       | 604,550,860.00      | 834,096,641.94                       |
| 1975-1984      | 349,249,976.48      | 62,223,589.16       | 1,083,691,000.00    | 1,495,164,565.64                     |
| 1985-1994      | 388,696,759.54      | 69,251,565.08       | 1,206,090,790.00    | 1,664,039,114.62                     |
| 1995-2004      | 488,494,252.82      | 87,031,833.20       | 1,515,753,360.00    | 2,091,279,446.01                     |
| 2005-2014      | 333,069,867.72      | 59,340,884.78       | 1,033,485,590.05    | 1,425,896,342.55                     |
| 2015-2020      | 101,498,038.90      | 20,838,243.23       | 314,939,208.82      | 434,520,490.95                       |
| Grand Total    | 1,855,842,453.76    | 330,643,339.08      | 5,758,510,808.87    | 7,944,996,601.71                     |

TGHG: total greenhouse gas. E: Estimated
5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Overall, the study revealed a striking cause for concern due to the predicted continuous increasing amount of gas flaring and release of greenhouse gas emissions which could have significant effects on the environment. The volume of gas flared reported in the current study shows the relative huge revenue loss estimated in billions of dollars of the years. The findings indicate a continuous rise in the cumulative gas flared in the next 2 decades without strict implementation of controls as stated by policy documents. Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations were made:

- An improvement in the collective efforts by government agencies to curtail the volume of gas flared and gas flaring activities.
- The adoption of modern technology and new techniques for the appropriate estimation and prediction of greenhouse gas emissions.
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