Imaging and histological appearance of pleomorphic hyalinizing angiectatic tumors: A case series and literature review
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Abstract. Pleomorphic hyalinizing angiectatic tumors (PHATs) are rare mesenchymal soft tissue tumors of uncertain lineage and intermediate malignancy. The present study assesses two cases of PHAT and discusses the histological and immunophenotypical features, as well as the imaging appearance of these tumors on ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography/CT scans. The current study also reviews the literature and discusses the clinical management of these tumors. Wide local excision with tumor free margins is the current recommended treatment for PHAT. Surgical excision may be combined with low-dose radiation to reduce the risk of local recurrence. Patients should be followed up with serial imaging, as PHAT lesions tend to recur locally.

Introduction

Pleomorphic hyalinizing angiectatic tumors (PHATs) were first described by Smith et al (1) in 1996, and are rare mesenchymal tumors of uncertain lineage and intermediate malignancy (1-3). PHATs are defined by the presence of clusters of variably-sized thin-walled ectatic vessels that are infiltrated and surrounded by amorphous fibrin-rich hyaline material (1,2). The angiectatic vessels characteristic of PHATs are surrounded by spindle-shaped, plump and round pleomorphic cells commonly arranged in sheets or, more rarely, in fascicles (1). Often, a subset of cells adjacent to the vessels contains intracytoplasmic hemosiderin. The pleomorphic cellular population is composed of large single and multinucleate cells with abundant cytoplasm, enlarged irregular nuclei and, in certain cases, large intranuclear inclusions (1). Mitotic figures are rare (1). PHATs contain a mixed chronic inflammatory infiltrate that is notable for the presence of mast cells, but also includes lymphocytes, plasma cells and eosinophils (1,3). The main mass of a PHAT may be accompanied by a partially myxoid spindle-cell component on the periphery, a potential precursor lesion to a classical PHAT that was described as an early PHAT by Folpe and Weiss in 2004 (2). The first cytogenetic PHAT data published revealed an unbalanced translocation of chromosomes 1 and 3 and chromosom 1 and 10, with breakpoints mapped to transforming growth factor-β receptor 3 (TGFBR3) and meningioma-expressed antigen 5 (MGEA5) (4). Subsequent fluorescence in situ hybridization and single nucleotide polymorphism analyses of PHATs demonstrated that some, but not all, are characterized by TGFBR3 and MGEA5 gene rearrangements (5-7).

There are few published reports describing the imaging appearance of PHATs. A PHAT typically appears as a soft tissue mass without osseous changes or calcification (8-10). In cases in which magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is utilized, the PHAT presents as a soft-tissue mass that may be accompanied by edema or hematoma. The PHAT appears hypointense-isointense on T1-weighted sequences, heterogeneously isointense-hyperintense on T2-weighted sequences, and exhibits enhancement following administration of intravenous contrast (4,8,11-18). The current study presents an assessment of two patients with similar PHATs, and describes the key imaging, histological and immunophenotypical findings of these tumors.

Case reports

Case A. A 50-year old male presented in 2016 to our institution with an 18-month history of an enlarging right buttock mass. The patient first noticed the mass following trauma and subjectively considered that the mass grew to be the size of a grapefruit. The patient denied experiencing any pain, except when sleeping on the right side; at the time of presentation, the patient was taking no pain medication and denied any radicular symptoms, night pain or weight loss. The patient also had normal muscle strength in the right lower extremity. A physical examination revealed a palpable, non-tender mobile mass in the right buttock. A contrast-enhanced computed
A 72-year-old male presented to our institution in 2013 with a mass along the right buttock that had been slowly growing for almost 30 years. The mass was mildly uncomfortable when the patient sat. The patient also reported no unintentional weight loss or lymphadenopathy. A physical examination revealed a palpable, mobile, non-tender mass measuring approximately 10 cm in size. MRI was performed using a Siemens Espree 3T MRI (Siemens AG). T2-weighted sequences (TR/TE, 6721/167 ms; slice thickness, 5 mm; interslice gap, 1 mm; and acquisition matrix, 320x320) and T1-weighted gradient imaging with fat saturation following administration of intravenous contrast (TR/TE, 170/2.37 ms; slice thickness, 5 mm; interslice gap, 1 mm; and acquisition matrix, 256x218) were obtained. The lesion was superficial to the fascia of the right gluteus maximus and measured ~6.3x10.4x10.2 cm. The lesion exhibited a heterogeneous hyperintense T2 intensity signal (Fig. 6A) and heterogeneous enhancement following administration of intravenous contrast; there were two prominent arterial vessels originating from the right internal iliac artery supplying the lesion (Fig. 6B and C). The patient underwent gross total resection of the tumor with negative margins. The histological findings were similar to those in case A, although this patient had reduced cellularity and more prominent areas of myxoid stroma (Fig. 7). As in case A, the tumor was composed of a mixture of bland spindle cells with occasional large irregular cells that had retained cytoplasm and large atypical nuclei. There was no discernable mitotic activity or necrosis (Fig. 7). In the immunohistochemical studies, the spindled tumor cells were positive for cluster of differentiation CD34 expression, focally positive for pancytokeratin expression, and negative for the expression of S100, smooth muscle actin, epithelial membrane antigen and collagen IV. The final histological diagnosis was a PHAT.

**Discussion**

To date, <100 cases of PHAT have been reported worldwide (14,19). The World Health Organization currently classifies PHAT as a benign neoplasm of uncertain differentiation (3). No reports of metastatic PHATs exist; however, it has
been known to recur as sarcoma in rare cases (2,20). Owing to its locally aggressive behavior and high recurrence rate (33-50%), it has been argued that a PHAT should be classified as a mesenchymal tumor of intermediate malignancy (2).

PHAT was first described by Smith et al (1) in 1996. The etiology of PHAT is not well understood and a number of competing theories persist regarding the formation of classic PHAT (1-3). The original description of the tumor by Smith et al (1) posited that the encroachment of a developing PHAT onto the vessels of healthy tissue leads to the release of the plasma contents of damaged endothelial cells, and precipitates a process of organization that results in the tumor's characteristic perivascular hyalinization (1). Smith et al (1) further proposed that the release of vasoactive mast cell products in response to tissue injury from a developing PHAT.

Figure 1. (A) Axial contrast-enhanced CT image of the pelvis indicating a heterogeneously enhancing mass in the subcutaneous tissues superficial to the right gluteus maximus (white arrow) from case A. (B) Axial contrast-enhanced CT image of the pelvis indicating a prominent artery (white asterisk) arising from the right profunda artery and supplying the heterogeneously enhancing mass in the subcutaneous tissues superficial to the right gluteus maximus. CT, computed tomography.

Figure 2. (A) Axial T1-weighted MR image of the pelvis depicting a T1-heterogeneous mass (white arrow) with areas of internal T1-hyperintensity and T1-hypointensity from the patient (case A). (B) Coronal STIR MR image of the pelvis indicating a heterogeneously T2-hyperintense subcutaneous mass (white arrow) along the right gluteus maximus fascia. (C) Axial STIR MR image of the pelvis indicating multiple flow voids within the mass consistent with small vessels. (D) Coronal T1-weighted MR image of the pelvis following administration of intravenous contrast with fat saturation indicating a heterogeneously enhancing mass along the fascia of the right gluteus maximus (white arrow). MR, magnetic resonance; STIR, short tau inversion recovery sequence.

Figure 3. Transverse sonographic image (5 MHz) of the right buttock from the patient (case A) depicting a heterogeneously hypoechoic mass with hyperechoic rim and internal globular and punctate hyperechoic foci (white arrows).

Figure 4. Coronal fused positron emission tomography/computed tomography image of the patient (case A) indicating a mildly FDG-avid mass along the right buttock (white arrow). No other foci of abnormal increased FDG activity were noted. FDG, 18F-fludeoxyglucose.
Figure 5. Histological findings in case A, hematoxylin and eosin. (A) At an intermediate magnification (x100), the tumor consists of a spindle cell tumor with large, thin walled vessels and nascent thrombus formation. The vessel walls are surrounded by prominent hyaline material (arrowheads). There are areas of hemorrhage and a mild, chronic inflammatory infiltration and scattered atypical cells. (B) At a higher magnification (x200), hemosiderin-laden mononuclear phagocytes (arrowheads) and (C) large atypical cells (arrowhead) are apparent.

Figure 6. (A) Axial T1-weighted MR image of the pelvis without fat saturation depicting a heterogeneously T1 hyperintense lesion (white arrow) with mass effect on the right gluteus maximus from the patient (case B). There are areas of internal increased T1 signal suggestive of fat or hemorrhage. (B) Axial T1-weighted MR image of the pelvis with fat saturation following administration of intravenous contrast depicting a heterogeneously enhancing mass along the right gluteus maximus without invasion of the gluteus maximus (white arrow) and two prominent feeding arterial vessels (white asterisks). (C) Axial T1-weighted MR image of the pelvis with fat saturation following administration of intravenous contrast depicting a heterogeneously enhancing mass along the right gluteus maximus without invasion of the gluteus maximus (white arrow) and two prominent feeding arterial vessels (white asterisks). MR, magnetic resonance.
may be a cause of increased vessel permeability during development (1). Alternately, Folpe and Weiss (2) argue that early PHAT, characterized by low-medium spindle cell density, rare pleomorphic cells and myxoid extracellular matrix, is a precursor lesion to classic PHAT (2). PHAT typically presents in the subcutaneous tissues of the lower extremities, but may also develop intramuscularly, and has been documented in the arm, axilla, back, buccal mucosa, buttock, chest, hand, perineum, popliteal fossa and retroperitoneum (2,3,13,21). The most common locations of PHATs, based on the published literature, include the leg (18/97; 18.6%), thigh (17/97; 17.5%), foot (14/97; 14.4%) and the ankle (10/97; 10.3%) (1-41). PHAT typically presents in adults; the cases presented in the published literature (97 patients) range in age from 10-89 years, with a median age of 57. PHAT demonstrates a slight predilection for females over males; the literature reviewed in the present study demonstrates a male to female ratio of 3:4 out of 97 reported cases (22,23). No information is currently available concerning race and, as <10% of reported cases include this metric, no claims can be made regarding a predilection. Table I presents a list of all previously published cases of PHAT, including data on age, sex, race, tumor size, location and treatment (1,2,4,5,8-41).

PHAT often rises to medical attention following several years of slow growth (1-3). Upon clinical examination, PHAT typically presents grossly as an oval-round lobulated mass that varies in color from tan to maroon, and which may be associated with bruising or surrounding hematoma (2,9,10). PHAT may present as partially or predominantly cystic (1,12), may be painless, painful or tender upon examination, and may be accompanied by necrosis in rare cases (1,14).

The imaging appearance of PHAT is non-specific. Typical evaluation of any soft-tissue mass includes radiography of the region, followed by contrast-enhanced MRI evaluation.
Table I. List of published studies of PHAT.

| Author, year       | Sex | Age | Race | Location     | Treatment                  | Imaging | Size, cm | Pre-operative duration | (Refs.) |
|--------------------|-----|-----|------|--------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------|
| Smith et al, 1996  | M   | 33  | NR   | Shoulder     | Wide excision + XRT       | NR      | 3.5      | NR                     | (1)     |
|                    | F   | 78  | NR   | Leg          | Local excision then amputation | NR      | 8        | NR                     |         |
|                    | F   | 62  | NR   | Chest wall   | Simple excision + XRT     | NR      | 2.5      | NR                     |         |
|                    | F   | 33  | NR   | Ankle        | Excision + XRT            | NR      | 5.5      | NR                     |         |
|                    | M   | 70  | NR   | Thigh        | Simple excision           | NR      | 4.5      | NR                     |         |
|                    | F   | 32  | NR   | Leg          | Simple excision           | NR      | NR       | NR                     |         |
|                    | F   | 59  | NR   | Thigh        | Anucleation               | NR      | 6        | NR                     |         |
|                    | M   | 72  | NR   | Thigh        | Simple excision           | NR      | 4.5      | NR                     |         |
|                    | M   | 60  | NR   | Thigh        | Excision                  | NR      | 6        | NR                     |         |
|                    | F   | 58  | NR   | Chest wall   | NR                         | NR      | ≥2       | NR                     |         |
|                    | F   | 78  | NR   | Arm          | Simple excision           | NR      | Recurrence, 6 | NR                  |         |
|                    | M   | 57  | NR   | Buttock      | Excision                  | NR      | NR       | NR                     |         |
|                    | M   | 83  | NR   | Thigh        | Excision                  | NR      | 2.3      | NR                     |         |
|                    | F   | 51  | NR   | Ankle        | Excision                  | NR      | Recurrence, ≥2.5 | NR                  |         |
| Folpe and Weiss, 2004 | F | 39  | NR   | Hand         | NA                        | NR      | NR       | Unknown                | (2)     |
|                    | F   | 30  | NR   | Ankle        | Local excision            | NR      | NR       | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 42  | NR   | Forearm      | Wide excision and XRT     | NR      | NR       | Many years             |         |
|                    | F   | 46  | NR   | Foot         | Wide excision             | NR      | 13       | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 10  | NR   | Foot         | Wide excision             | NR      | NR       | 1 year                 |         |
|                    | F   | 51  | NR   | Ankle        | Local excision, then wide excision and XRT | NR      | NR       | Unknown                |         |
|                    | F   | 44  | NR   | Ankle        | Local excision            | NR      | ‘Large’ | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 67  | NR   | Leg          | NA                        | NR      | 4        | Unknown                |         |
|                    | F   | 32  | NR   | Leg          | NA                        | NR      | 6        | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 36  | NR   | Thigh        | Local excision            | NR      | 7        | Unknown                |         |
|                    | F   | 50  | NR   | Ankle        | Local excision            | NR      | 2.5      | 2 months               |         |
|                    | F   | 53  | NR   | Foot         | NA                        | NR      | 7        | Unknown                |         |
|                    | F   | 84  | NR   | Leg          | NA                        | NR      | 0.3      | Unknown                |         |
|                    | F   | 57  | NR   | Foot         | Wide excision             | NR      | 10.2     | 16 years               |         |
|                    | F   | 49  | NR   | Leg          | Local excision            | NR      | NR       | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 56  | NR   | Axilla       | NA                        | NR      | NR       | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 49  | NR   | Thigh        | Local excision            | NR      | NR       | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 79  | NR   | Pelvis       | Local excision, then wide excision and XRT | NR      | 14       | Unknown                |         |
|                    | M   | 60  | NR   | Ankle        | NA                        | NR      | 5        | 2-3 years              |         |
|                    | M   | 27  | NR   | Thigh        | NA                        | NR      | 5.6      | Many years             |         |
| Author, year | Sex | Age | Race | Location | Treatment | Imaging | Size, cm | Pre-operative duration | (Refs.) |
|-------------|-----|-----|------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| Wei et al., 2012 | M 66 | NR | Ankle | Local excision, then wide excision and XRT | NR | 7 | 6 months | |
| Wei et al., 2012 | M 54 | NR | Foot | Local excision followed by amputation following recurrence | NR | 3.2 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 32 | NR | Leg | Local excision and re-excision | NR | 5 | 2 years | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 46 | NR | Leg | Local excision and re-excision | NR | 2 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 77 | NR | Thigh | Local excision and re-excision | NR | 14 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 79 | NR | Foot | Wide excision | NR | 1.8 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 77 | NR | Thigh | NA | NR | 4.2 | 3 months | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 67 | NR | Arm | NA | NR | 5.8 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 89 | NR | Leg | Local excision and re-excision | NR | 3.5 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 74 | NR | Buttock | Local excision and re-excision | NR | 5.5 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 75 | NR | Thigh | NA | NR | NR | 2 years | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 49 | NR | Inguinal | NA | NR | 4 | Many years | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 63 | NR | Inguinal | NA | NR | 11 | 3 years | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 38 | NR | Back | NA | NR | 3 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 51 | NR | Foot | NA | NR | 6.5 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 32 | NR | Arm | NA | NR | 6 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 44 | NR | Buttock | Local excision and re-excision | NR | ‘Large’ | 11 years | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 67 | NR | Ankle | Local excision and re-excision | NR | 6.1 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 59 | NR | Leg | Local excision, then wide excision and XRT | NR | 6.5 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 42 | NR | Foot | Local excision followed by wide excision following recurrence | NR | 2 | Unknown | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 43 | NR | Leg | Wide excision | NR | 20 | 20 years | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 37 | Caucasian | Foot | Surgical resection followed by two subsequent re-excisions and external beam radiation to a total of 60 Gy in 30 fractions for recurrences | MRI | 5 | NR | (4) | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | F 58 | NR | Foot | Surgical excision with a marginal margin | NR | 0.3x1.5x1.5 | 1 year | (5) | |
| Mohajeri et al., 2012 | M 33 | NR | Thigh | Surgical excision with a narrow margin | NR | 0.5x3.5x2.5 | NR | |

Table I. Continued.
Table I. Continued.

| Author, year                  | Sex | Age | Race          | Location   | Treatment                                           | Imaging                  | Size, cm       | Pre-operative duration | (Refs.) |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|---------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|
| Suzuki et al, 2014            | F   | 68  | NR            | Thigh      | Wide resection was performed for the internal lesion, whereas an intrallesional resection was performed for the outer lesion | Radiography, MRI          | 10.5x4.5x3.5   | 2 months              | (8)     |
| Cimino-Mathews and Ali, 2011  | M   | 46  | NR            | Leg        | Wide local surgical excision                        | Radiography              | 3x1x1          | 2 months              | (9)     |
| Illueca et al, 2012           | F   | 37  | NR            | Ankle      | Surgical excision                                   | X-ray                    | 3.5x2.1x1.5    | 2 months              | (10)    |
| Lin and Crapanzano, 2005      | F   | 45  | NR            | Thigh      | Surgical excision                                   | MRI                      | 3.5x2.8x1.5    | 3 months              | (11)    |
| Subhawong et al, 2012         | M   | 46  | Hispanic      | Leg        | Surgical excision                                   | MRI                      | 1.9x1.7x2.1    | NR                     | (12)    |
| Ali, 2011                     | F   | 49  | African       | Foot       | Radical resection                                   | MRI                      | 9.5x3.4x7.0    | 4 years                |         |
| Lin and Crapanzano, 2005      | M   | 46  | Hispanic      | Leg        | Surgical excision                                   | MRI                      | 3x1x1          | 2 months              | (13)    |
| Subhawong et al, 2012         | F   | 87  | NR            | Arm        | Surgical resection                                   | MRI                      | 4.8x4.6x5.8    | 3 years                |         |
| Chu et al, 2016               | M   | 62  | NR            | Forearm    | Excise without wide margins (to retain hand function) | MRI, CT                  | 16.7x24.2x26   | 2 years                | (14)    |
| Brazio et al, 2016            | F   | 63  | NR            | Leg        | NR                                                   | MRI                      | 5x6            | 5 years                | (15)    |
| Lee et al, 2005               | M   | 63  | NR            | Breast     | Total mastectomy                                     | MRI, radiology           | 25.6x8.3x26.3  | 4 years                | (16)    |
| Fujiwara et al, 2004          | M   | 69  | Asian         | Back       | Surgical resection                                   | MRI                      | 7              | 23 years               | (17)    |
| Fujunaga and Ushigome, 1997    | M   | 58  | Asian         | Axilla     | Marginal excision                                    | Ultrasound, MRI, X-Ray   | 8              | 2 months               | (18)    |
| Changchien et al, 2014        | M   | 76  | Hungarian     | Arm        | Surgical removal                                     | NR                       | 4.6x4.5x3      | 5 months               | (19)    |
| Kazakov et al, 2007           | F   | 76  | Hungarian     | Axilla     | Surgical excision                                    | NR                       | 3.5            | Unknown                | (20)    |
| Ide et al, 2004               | F   | 86  | NR            | Buccal mucosa | Surgical excision                               | NR                       | 3x3x2          | 2.5 years              | (21)    |
| El-Tal and Mehregan, 2005      | F   | 60  | NR            | Foot       | Surgical excision                                    | NR                       | 2.2x2.1x1.1    | NR                     | (22)    |
| Matsumoto and Yamamoto, 2002  | F   | 83  | NR            | Thigh      | Surgical resection                                   | NR                       | 5.0x5.0x2.0    | 10 years               | (23)    |
| Tallarigo et al, 2009         | M   | 75  | NR            | Breast     | Radical mastectomy and axillary node clearance       | Mammograph, ultrasound   | 8              | Several years          | (24)    |
| Labanaris et al, 2011         | M   | 68  | NR            | Scrotum    | Inguinal radical orchiectomy with high ligation of the spermatic cord | Ultrasound, CT           | 18x15          | NR                     | (25)    |
| Author, year                     | Sex | Age | Race  | Location     | Treatment                           | Imaging | Size, cm   | Pre-operative duration | (Refs.) |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|--------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|------------------------|---------|
| Capovilla et al, 2006            | M   | 66  | NR    | Buttock      | Surgical excision                   | NR      | 4          | NR                     | (26)    |
| Groisman et al, 2000             | F   | 41  | NR    | Leg          | Simple excision for diagnosis       | NR      | NR         | 3 years                | (27)    |
|                                  |     |     |       |              | then wide local excision            |         |            |                        |         |
|                                  | F   | 44  | NR    | Leg          | Simple excision for diagnosis       | NR      | NR         | 1 year                 |         |
|                                  |     |     |       |              | then wide local excision            |         |            |                        |         |
| Gallo et al, 1997                | F   | 88  | NA    | Thigh        | NA                                  | NA      | NA         | NA                     | (28)    |
| Silverman and Dana, 1997         | F   | 59  | NA    | Foot         | Local Excision                      | NR      | 2          | Unknown                | (29)    |
| Husek and Veselý, 2001           | M   | 63  | NA    | Forearm      | Surgical excision                   | NA      | 4.0x3.5x2.5 | NA                     | (30)    |
| Jaggon and Aitken, 2007          | F   | 77  | NR    | Flank        | Wide local excision                 | NR      | 8x6        | 2 years                | (31)    |
| Parameshwarappa et al, 2010      | F   | 65  | NR    | Forearm      | Wide local excision                 | NR      | 5x4        | 13 years               | (32)    |
| Peng et al, 2010                 | M   | 49  | NR    | Buttock      | Surgical excision followed by       | CT of   | 14x6x3.5   | NR                     | (33)    |
|                                 |     |     |       |              | wide excision for recurrence        | recurrence |           |                        |         |
| Idrees et al, 2013               | F   | 71  | Caucasian | Renal Hilum | Right radical nephrectomy and limited retroperitoneal lymph node dissection | CT      | 4.2x6      | NR                     | (34)    |
| Kuang, 2013                      | F   | 35  | NR    | Neck         | Surgical removal                    | CT      | 3.4x4x5    | 6 months               | (35)    |
| Rekhi and Aggarwal, 2013         | F   | 63  | NR    | Leg          | Surgical resection                  | NR      | 4x3x3      | 3-4 years              | (36)    |
| Fan et al, 2015                  | F   | 51  | NR    | Chest wall   | NA                                  | NR      | 2x1        | 1 year                 | (37)    |
| Morency et al, 2015              | F   | 55  | NR    | Foot         | NA                                  | NA      | NA         | NA                     | (38)    |
| Yorita et al, 2015               | M   | 56  | Asian (Japanese) | Thigh | Surgical resection                  | CT      | 6.5x3.8x3.5 | 8 months               | (39)    |
| Kane et al, 2016                 | M   | 35  | NA    | Hand         | Surgical excision                   | NA      | NA         | NA                     | (40)    |
| Onyemkpa and Oyasiji, 2016       | F   | 79  | African American | Inguinal | Wide local excision                 | CT      | 4.9x7.2x10.7 | 7 years               | (41)    |

PHAT, Pleomorphic hyalinizing angiectatic tumors; NR, not reported; XRT, radiation therapy; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography; NA, not applicable.
and then by biopsy if clinically warranted. Limited imaging studies of PHAT exist in the literature and the majority of data are in the form of single case reports. In cases in which radiographs are published, there is consensus that PHAT typically appears as a soft tissue mass without osseous changes or calcifications; however, a single case with calcifications has been reported (8-10,14,24). To the best of our knowledge, only three examples of the ultrasound appearance of PHAT have been described in the literature (18,24,25). All three describe PHAT as a hypoechoic lesion with an internal hyperchogenic appearance, characterized by hyperechogenic tissue interrupted by hypoechoic bands, which are presumably blood vessels (18,24,25). In reports of PHAT using MRI, the tumor presents as a soft tissue mass that may be accompanied by edema or hematoma (8,11-15). PHAT appears hypointense to isointense with T1 weighting, heterogeneous hyperintense to isointense with T2 weighting, and exhibits heterogeneous enhancement following the intravenous administration of gadolinium-based contrast (4,8,11-13,16-18). PHATs with well-demarcated and infiltrative borders have previously been described in the literature (9,12). The two cases presented in the current study exhibited prominent borderline ectatic arterial vessels supplying the tumors and well-demarcated tumor borders. The imaging differential diagnosis includes subcutaneous metastasis, melanoma or a soft tissue sarcoma.

PHAT is notable for the presence of clusters of ectatic vessels surrounded by fibrin-rich hyaline material and cuffed by lamellae of collagen that extend into the mass of the tumor and trap individual cells, potentially forming pockets of hyalinized material (1,2). PHATs contain spindle-shaped, plump and round pleomorphic cells (1,2). Cells exhibit hyperchromasia, nuclear pleomorphism and a lack of cytoplasmic differentiation; they may also contain hemosiderin, nuclear inclusions and cytoplasmic vimentin filaments (9,26). Immunohistochemical analysis of PHATs typically reveal the presence of CD34 and may also detect expression of vimentin, B-cell lymphoma 2, factor XIIIa, vascular endothelial growth factor and CD99; PHAT lacks expression of S-100 protein, CD31, von Willebrand Factor, smooth muscle actin, desmin and epithelial membrane protein (1,2,11,22,27). PHAT cells stained for Ki-67 rarely exhibit positive staining, actin, desmin and epithelial growth factor and CD99; PHAT lacks expression of the presence of CD34 and may also detect expression of S-100 protein, CD31, von Willebrand Factor, smooth muscle actin, desmin and epithelial membrane protein (1,2,11,22,27). PHAT cells stained for Ki-67 rarely exhibit positive staining, consistent with the histological observation of few mitotically active cells (1,22). Histological differential diagnoses include neurilemmoma, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS), giant-cell angiofibroma and solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) (1,3,11,22,23). PHAT can be distinguished from neurilemmoma by the absence of S-100, lack of encapsulation, and the absence of Antoni A and B areas (11,22). PHAT can be distinguished from UPS by its infrequent mitotic figures and the presence of nuclear inclusions (1,9,22). PHAT can be distinguished from by the presence of clusters of thin-walled vessels, atypical cells with bland cyttoplasm and intranuclear inclusions (11).

Wide local excision with tumor free margins is the recommended treatment for PHAT (1,2,16) as they may occur as a collision tumor with sarcomas such as myxofibrosarcomas (20). Surgical excision may be combined with low dose radiation to reduce the risk of local recurrence (1,2,4). The patients were followed with serial imaging, as these lesions have a tendency to locally recur. One patient was followed lost to follow up after one year, and the other remains under active imaging surveillance (follow up of 1 year).

The present study reported and discusses the ultrasound, CT, MRI and PET/CT imaging appearance and correlating histology of two histologically verified cases of PHAT. Biopsy remains a requirement because imaging features are non-specific.
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