High Temperature Superconductivity in a Lightly Doped Quantum Spin Liquid
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We have performed density-matrix renormalization group studies of a square lattice \( t-J \) model with small hole doping, \( \delta \ll 1 \), on long 4 and 6 leg cylinders. We include frustration in the form of a second-neighbor exchange coupling, \( J_2 = J_1/2 \), such that the undoped (\( \delta = 0 \)) “parent” state is a quantum spin liquid. In contrast to the relatively short range superconducting (SC) correlations that have been observed in recent studies of the 6-leg cylinder in the absence of frustration, we find power law SC correlations with a Luttinger exponent, \( K \approx 1 \), consistent with a strongly diverging SC susceptibility, \( \chi \sim T^{-(2-K_{sc})} \) as the temperature \( T \to 0 \). The spin-spin correlations - as in the undoped state - fall exponentially suggesting that the SC “pairing” correlations evolve smoothly from the insulating parent state.

Although the physics of the cuprate high temperature superconductors is surely complex, there are a variety of reasons\([1\text{--}3]\) to believe that the “essential”\([4]\) physics is captured by the two-dimensional (2D) Hubbard model or its close relatives. To begin with, as is the case in the cuprates, in an appropriate regime of parameters, the Hubbard model on a square lattice with \( n = 1 \) electrons per site exhibits an undoped “parent” state that is a Mott insulating antiferromagnet. However, two key theoretical issues concerning this proposition remain unsettled: 1) Does \( d \)-wave superconductivity (SC) “robustly” arise in this model upon light doping, i.e. for \( 0 < \delta \equiv (1-n) < 1 \). 2) If so, how does it arise (i.e. what is the “mechanism”) and under what circumstances (e.g. does it depend on specific features of the band structure)?

For parametrically small values of the Hubbard \( U \ll W \) (where \( W \) is the bandwidth), it is possible to establish\([5]\) that such a superconducting state arises, but here (except under extremely fine tuned circumstances in which the Fermi surface is perfectly nested) the undoped state at \( n = 1 \) is also superconducting, and the superconducting \( T_c \) is exponentially small in units of \( W \). For intermediate \( U \sim W \), no controlled analytic approach exists, but calculations based on a variety of physically motivated approximations \([6\text{--}8]\) yield results suggestive of values of \( T_c \) as large as \( T_c \sim W \) (where the proportionality is a number of order 1 but may be small, e.g. \( \sim (2\pi)^{-2} \)). This was further supported by density-matrix normalization group (DMRG) studies of the Hubbard and \( t-J \) models on 4-leg square cylinders.\([9\text{--}13]\)

However, recent\([14, 15]\) DMRG calculations on 6-leg square cylinders, as well as variational Monte Carlo\([16]\) calculations on 2D models, have called this proposition into question. Specifically, the tendency of a doped antiferromagnet to phase separation\([16, 17]\) or to \( \rightarrow \) charge-density wave (CDW) formation\([11, 14, 15, 18\text{--}22]\) appear to play a much more dominant role in the physics at small \( \delta \) than accounted for by most approximate approaches.

One attractive notion that was suggested early on is that high temperature superconductivity could arise naturally\([1, 23\text{--}28]\) under circumstances in which the insulating parent state is a quantum spin liquid (QSL) rather than an ordered antiferromagnet. In particular, a QSL with a gap (even a partial gap with nodes), can in some sense be thought of as a state with pre-existing Cooper pairs but with vanishing superfluid stiffness. Then, upon light doping, one might naturally expect SC with a gap scale that is inherited from the QSL (i.e. evolves continuously as \( \delta \to 0 \)) and with a superfluid stiffness - that rises with \( \delta \).

In the present paper, we explore the possibility of SC in a doped spin liquid using DMRG to treat the \( t-J \) model (a proxy for the Hubbard model) on cylinders of circumference 4 and 6. A number of studies of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg model on the square lattice with first and second neighbor exchange couplings, \( J_1 \) and \( J_2 \), have led to a consensus\([29\text{--}36]\) that there is a QSL phase in the range of \( 0.46 < J_2/J_1 < 0.52 \).\([36]\) In this range, DMRG on cylinders of circumference up to \( L_y = 10 \) show a pronounced spin-gap and exponentially falling spin-spin correlations, with a correlation length \( \xi \) considerably smaller than \( L_y\).\([31, 33]\) However, there is still some debate about whether this gap persists in the 2D limit, or if instead the QSL phase has a gapless nodal spinon spectrum.

Here, we study the model with \( J_2/J_1 = 0.5 \), and correspondingly we take the ratio of nearest to next-nearest neighbor hopping matrix elements, \( t_2/t_1 = 0.7 \approx \sqrt{J_2/J_1} \), and a value of \( J_1/t_1 = 1/3 \) corresponding loosely to a value of \( U \approx 4t^2/J = 12t \). On the cylinders we study, the undoped system is fully gapped, so effectively corresponds to a compactified version of a gapped \( Z_2 \) spin liquid of the sort that arises in the quantum dimer model\([37, 38]\) and the toric code model\([39]\). Upon lightly doping we find a state which still shows exponentially falling spin-spin correlations, with correlation lengths that are longer than but of the same order as in the undoped system. Most importantly, we find that even at the smallest \( \delta \) and on our largest 6-leg cylinders, the
SC correlations are strong and decay with a slow power law, $\sim |r|^{-K_{sc}}$, with $K_{sc} \approx 1$. This slow decay implies a SC susceptibility that diverges as $\chi_{sc} \sim T^{-(2-K_{sc})}$ as $T \to 0$. As far as we know, to date, this is the strongest indication of SC that has been found in any DMRG study of a system on the square lattice of width $L_y > 4$. Moreover, the SC correlations dominate over the (also apparent) CDW correlations.

**Model and Method:** We employ DMRG[40] to study the ground state properties of the hole-doped $t$-$J$ model on the square lattice, which is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + h.c.) + \sum_{ij} J_{ij} (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_j^x - \frac{\hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j}{4}).$$

Here $\hat{c}_{i\sigma}$ ($\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger$) is the electron creation (annihilation) operator on site $i = (x_i, y_i)$ with spin polarization $\sigma$. $\hat{S}_i^x$ is the spin operator and $\hat{n}_i = \sum_\sigma \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\sigma}$ is the electron number operator. The electron hopping amplitude $t_{ij}$ is equal to $t_1$ ($t_2$) if $i$ and $j$ are NN (NNN) sites. $J_1$ and $J_2$ are the spin superexchange interactions between NN and NNN sites, respectively. The Hilbert space is constrained by the no-occurrence condition, $n_i \leq 1$. At half-filling, i.e., $n_i = 1$, $H$ reduces to the spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic $J_1$-$J_2$ Heisenberg model.

We take the lattice geometry to be cylindrical with periodic and open boundary conditions in the $y$ and $\hat{x}$ directions, respectively. Here $\hat{y} = (0, 1)$ and $\hat{x} = (1, 0)$ are the two basis vectors of the square lattice. Here, we focus on cylinders with width $L_y$ and length $L_x$, where $L_x$ and $L_y$ are the number of sites along the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ directions, respectively. The total number of sites is $N = L_x \times L_y$, the number of electrons $N_e$, and the doping level of the system is defined as $\delta = N_h/N$, where $N_h = N - N_e$ is the number of doped holes relative to the half-filled insulator with $N_e = N$. In the present study, we focus on $L_y = 4$ cylinders of length up to $L_x = 128$ and $L_y = 6$ cylinders of length up to $L_x = 48$, and for values of $\delta = 1/18, 1/16$, and $1/12$. We set $J_1=1$ as an energy unit and $J_2 = 0.5$ such that the undoped system is deep in the QSL phase at half-filling.[29, 31, 33, 36] We consider $t_1 = 3$ and $t_2 = t_1 \sqrt{J_2/J_1}$ to make a connection to the corresponding Hubbard model. We perform up to 90 sweeps and keep up to $m = 10000$ states for $L_y = 4$ cylinders with a typical truncation error $\epsilon < 10^{-7}$, and up to $m = 40000$ states for $L_y = 6$ cylinders with a typical truncation error $\epsilon < 10^{-6}$. Further details of the numerical simulation are provided in the Supplemental Material (SM).

**Superconducting pair-field correlations:** We have calculated the equal-time spin-singlet SC pair-field correlation function

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \frac{1}{L_y} \sum_{y=1}^{L_y} \langle |\Delta^\dagger_\alpha(x_0, y) \Delta_\beta(x_0 + r, y)| \rangle. \tag{1}$$
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) Superconducting pair-field correlations $\Phi_{yy}(r)$ on double-logarithmic scales for (A) $L_y = 4$ cylinders at $\delta = 1/12$ and $\delta = 1/16$, and (B) $L_y = 6$ cylinders at $\delta = 1/12$ and $\delta = 1/18$. $r$ is the distance between two Cooper pairs in the $\hat{x}$ direction. The dashed lines denote power-law fitting to $\Phi_{yy}(r) \sim r^{-K_{sc}}$.

$$\Delta^\dagger_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \hat{c}_{\alpha}^\dagger(x,y) + \hat{c}_{\alpha}^\dagger(x,y) + \hat{c}_{\alpha}^\dagger(x,y) + \hat{c}_{\alpha}^\dagger(x,y) \right]$$

is the spin-singlet pair creation operator on bond $\alpha = \hat{x}$ or $\hat{y}$, where $(x_0, y)$ is a reference bond taken as $x_0 \approx L_x/4$ and $r$ is the displacement between bonds in the $\hat{x}$ direction.

Fig.1 shows $\Phi_{yy}(r)$ for both $L_y = 4$ and $L_y = 6$ cylinders at different doping levels. At long distance, $\Phi(r)$ is characterized by a power-law with the appropriate Luttinger exponent $K_{sc}$ defined by

$$\Phi(r) \sim r^{-K_{sc}}. \tag{2}$$

The exponent $K_{sc}$, which is obtained by fitting the results using Eq.(1), is $K_{sc} = 1.08(4)$ for $\delta = 1/12$ and $K_{sc} = 0.95(2)$ for $\delta = 1/16$ on $L_y = 4$ cylinders, and $K_{sc} = 1.26(7)$ for $\delta = 1/12$ and $K_{sc} = 1.14(5)$ for $\delta = 1/18$ on $L_y = 6$ cylinders. This establishes that the lightly doped QSL on both $L_y = 4$ and $L_y = 6$ cylinders has quasi-long-range SC correlations. In addition to $\Phi_{yy}(r)$, we have also calculated components of the tensor $-\Phi_{xx}(r)$ and $\Phi_{xy}(r)$ and find that $\Phi_{xx}(r) \sim \Phi_{yy}(r) \sim -\Phi_{xy}(r)$. In short, the SC correlations have a d-wave form.

**CDW correlations:** To measure the charge order, we define the rung density operator $\hat{n}(x,y) = L_y^{-1} \sum_{y=1}^{L_y} \hat{n}(x,y)$ and its expectation value $\langle \hat{n}(x) \rangle = \langle \hat{c}_{\alpha}^\dagger(x,y) \hat{c}_{\alpha}(x,y) \rangle$. Fig.2A shows the charge density distribution...
δK are to minimize the boundary effect and improve the fitting. Data points (Fig.2A and B, light grey color) are excluded is the mean density, and A is the amplitude, φ is a phase shift, n0 = 1 − δ is the mean density, and Q = 4πδ. Note that a few data points (Fig.2A and B, light grey color) are excluded to minimize the boundary effect and improve the fitting quality. The extracted exponents for L_y = 4 cylinders are K_c = 1.29(3) when δ = 1/12 and K_c = 1.37(3) when δ = 1/16. For L_y = 6 cylinders, K_c = 1.42(5) when δ = 1/12 and K_c = 1.55(5) when δ = 1/16. Similarly, K_c can also be obtained from the charge density-density correlation which gives consistent results (see SM).

Spin-spin correlations: To describe the magnetic properties of the ground state, we calculate the spin-spin correlation functions defined as

\[ F(r) = \frac{1}{L_y} \sum_{y=1}^{L_y} | \langle S_{x_0,y} \cdot S_{x_0+r,y} \rangle |. \]  

Fig.3 shows F(r) for L_y = 6 cylinders at different doping levels, which decays exponentially as F(r) ∼ e^{−r/ξ}, at long-distances, with a correlation length ξ = 3.98(1) lattice spacings for δ = 1/12 and ξ = 3.06(2) lattice spacings for δ = 1/18. For comparison, the spin-spin correlation F(r) at half-filling, i.e., δ = 0, is also shown, which decays exponentially with a correlation length ξ_s = 1.42(1). Therefore, the spin-spin correlations at finite doping levels are short-ranged and similar to those of the QSL at half-filling. In the inset of Fig.3, we show the spin gap, defined as ∆_s = E_0(S_z = 1) − E_0(S_z = 0), where E_0(S_z) is the ground state energy of a system with total spin S_z. At half-filling, i.e., δ = 0, ∆_s = 0.40(1) which is consistent with previous studies.[31, 33] At δ = 1/12, ∆_s = 0.24(1), which is consistent with the short-range nature of F(r).

Single particle Green function: We have also calculated the single-particle Green function, defined as

\[ G(r) = \frac{1}{L_y} \sum_{y=1}^{L_y} \langle c_{x_0,y}^\dagger c_{x_0+r,y} \rangle. \]  

Fig.4 shows G(r) for both L_y = 4 and L_y = 6 cylinders...
at different doping levels, the long distance behavior of $G$ is consistent with exponential decay $G(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_G}$. The extracted SC correlation lengths for $L_y = 4$ cylinders are $\xi_G = 30(2)$ when $\delta = 1/12$ and $\xi_G = 18(1)$ when $\delta = 1/16$, while for $L_y = 6$ cylinders, $\xi_G = 21(1)$ when $\delta = 1/12$ and $\xi_G = 20(2)$ when $\delta = 1/18$.

We have also measured the hole momentum distribution function defined as

$$n^h(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} n^h(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 - \sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma}(k) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Here $n_{\sigma}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} e^{i k \cdot (r_i - r_j)} \langle \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\sigma} \hat{c}_{j\sigma} \rangle$ is the electron momentum distribution function for electron with spin-$\sigma$. Fig. 5 shows $n^h(k)$ for both $L_y = 4$ and $L_y = 6$ cylinders at different doping levels. Not surprisingly, there are no clear discontinuities in $n^h(k)$ of the sort that would be expected at the Fermi momenta of a Fermi liquid.

However, there are sharp drops in $n^h(k)$ (which can be identified as maxima of $|dn^h(k)/dk_x|$ (See SM for details) that are suggestive of the “near existence” of a Fermi surface. These features are most prominent for $k_y = 0$, where they occur at $k_x \approx \pm k_0$, but there are slightly broader features of the same general sort for $k_y = \pi$, at $k_x = \pm k_\pi$. For $L_y = 4$ and $\delta = 1/16$, $k_0 = 0.075\pi$ and $k_\pi = 0.175\pi$; for $L_y = 4$ and $\delta = 1/12$, $k_0 = 0.175\pi$ and $k_\pi = 0.192\pi$; for $L_y = 6$ and $\delta = 1/18$, $k_0 = 0.17\pi$ and $k_\pi = 0.18\pi$; for $L_y = 6$ and $\delta = 1/12$, $k_0 = 0.25\pi$ and $k_\pi = 0.25\pi$. Within the numerical uncertainty, there is a direct relation between these quasi-Fermi momenta and the CDW ordering vector: $Q = 2(k_0 + k_\pi)$. Moreover, since $Q = \pi L_y \delta$, this corresponds to the expected value of $2k_F$ that would correspond to the “volume” of the Fermi surface under conditions (not satisfied in the present case) in which Luttinger’s theorem applies.

**Conclusion:** There is necessarily a speculative leap from results on finite cylinders to the 2D limit. However, we feel that the present results - and those of a similar study by one of us on the triangular lattice $t$-$J$ model on 4 and 6 leg cylinders[42, 43] - can plausibly be taken as representative of the solution of the corresponding 2D problem. In particular, they support the proposition that SC can emerge upon light doping of a QSL. Conversely, our earlier observation of an insulating holon crystal in a lightly doped Kagome system[44, 45] and CDW order in a lightly doped honeycomb Kitaev spin liquid[46] imply that SC is not the universal result of doping a QSL. Indeed, for otherwise identical cylinders to those reported above, reversing the sign of $t_2$ (i.e. taking $t_2 = -t_1 \sqrt{J_2/J_1}$) reduces the long distance SC correlations by many orders of magnitude although whether some weak SC power-law correlations persist is still unsettled. Moreover, we have also found greatly enhanced SC correlations on 4 and 6 leg cylinders with a spatially modulated (“striped”) version of the square-lattice Hubbard model[47]; it thus may be aspects of doping a quantum paramagnet (i.e. a system in which quantum fluc-
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**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Single-particle Green function $G(r)$ for (A) $L_y = 4$ cylinders at $\delta = 1/12$ and $\delta = 1/16$, and (B) $L_y = 6$ cylinders at $\delta = 1/12$ and $\delta = 1/18$ on the semi-logarithmic scale. Dashed line denote exponential fitting $G(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_G}$ where $r$ is the distance between two sites in the $\hat{x}$ direction.

**FIG. 5.** (Color online) Hole momentum distribution function $n^h(k_x, k_y)$ for $L_y = 4$ cylinders at (A) $\delta = 1/12$ and (B) $\delta = 1/16$, and $L_y = 6$ cylinders at (C) $\delta = 1/12$ and (D) $\delta = 1/18$ at different $k_y$ as a function of $k_x$ in unit of $\pi$. 

---
tations are sufficient to destroy magnetic order (rather than specific features of a doped QSL that is responsible for the strong SC tendencies.

It is harder still to make inferences about $T_c$ itself in the 2D limit. The large values of the spin-gaps, $\Delta_s \sim J/4$, are suggestive that pairing is sufficiently strong to persist to very high $T$. It is therefore likely that $T_c$ is determined by the phase ordering scale,[48] in other words that the zero temperature superfluid stiffness and hence $T_c$ itself rise roughly linearly with $\delta$ for $\delta \ll 1$. [23]
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Supplemental Material

MORE NUMERICAL DETAILS

We have checked the numerical convergence of our DMRG simulations regarding various symmetries such as spin rotational symmetry. It is known that the ground state of finite systems cannot spontaneously break continuous symmetry. Therefore, the true ground state of the t-J model on finite cylinders should preserve the SU(2) spin rotational symmetry. This can be considered as one of the key signatures to determine whether a DMRG simulation has converged to the true ground state. We take two routes to address this issue in our DMRG simulation. First of all, we calculate the expectation value of the z-component spin operator \( \langle \hat{S}_i^z \rangle \), which should be zero on any lattice site \( i \) in the true ground state. Indeed we find that \( \langle \hat{S}_i^z \rangle = 0 \) on all site \( i \) for both \( L_y = 4 \) and \( L_y = 6 \) cylinders even for the smallest kept number of states \( m = 2187 \), which suggests that our simulations have converged. Similarly, the spin SU(2) symmetry requires that the relation \( \langle S_i^z S_j^z \rangle = \langle S_i^y S_j^y \rangle = \langle S_i^x S_j^x \rangle \) holds between two arbitrary sites \( i \) and \( j \), which is again fulfilled in our simulations. In addition to spin rotational symmetry, other symmetries including both the lattice translational symmetry in the \( \hat{y} \) direction and reflection symmetry in the \( \hat{x} \) direction are also satisfied. As further tests, we have also explored the effect of cylinder size, boundary effects and the pinning field. This gives us the same results as we start from a completely random initial state, which further demonstrates the reliability of our study.

GROUND STATE ENERGY

Fig.S1 shows examples of truncation error \( \epsilon \) extrapolation of the ground state energy per site \( e_0 = E_0/N \), where \( E_0 \) is the total ground state energy and \( N \) is the size of the system. For \( N = 48 \times 6 \) cylinders, by keeping \( m = 2187 \sim 40000 \) states for \( \delta = 1/12 \), and \( m = 2187 \sim 30000 \) states for \( \delta = 1/18 \), we are able to converge to the true ground state of the system. The truncation error extrapolation using a linear function with \( m = 10000 \sim 40000 \) for \( \delta = 1/12 \) gives \( e_0 = -1.94212(1) \). For \( \delta = 1/18 \), the truncation error extrapolation using a linear function with \( m = 12000 \sim 30000 \) gives \( e_0 = -1.72024(1) \). The ground state energy \( e_0 \) for other cylinders and doping concentrations \( \delta \) can be obtained similarly. For instance, we get \( e_0 = -1.99745(1) \) for \( N = 72 \times 4 \) cylinder at \( \delta = 1/12 \), and \( e_0 = -1.82049(1) \) for \( N = 96 \times 4 \) cylinder at \( \delta = 1/16 \).

SUPERCONDUCTING CORRELATIONS

Fig.S2 shows the superconducting (SC) pair-field correlations \( \Phi_{yy}(r) \) for \( N = 48 \times 6 \) cylinders at \( \delta = 1/12 \) and \( \delta = 1/18 \). The extrapolated \( \Phi_{yy}(r) \) in the limit \( m = \infty \) or \( \delta = 0 \) is obtained using second-order polynomial function with four data points of largest number
similar procedure as for $n$ is the rung index of the reference site and $0^s_c$ is the distance between two Cooper pairs in the $\hat{x}$ direction. Here $r$ is the distance between two sites in the $\hat{x}$ direction. The dashed lines denote a power-law fit $D(r) \sim r^{-K_c}$. of states. Here $r$ is the distance between two Cooper pairs in the $\hat{x}$ direction. To minimize the boundary and finite-size effect, the first few data points with small $r$ are excluded. As indicated by the red dashed lines, the SC correlations are consistent with a power-law decay $\Phi_{yy}(r) \propto r^{-K_{sc}}$ with $K_{sc} \sim 1$. We have used the same procedure for $L_y = 4$ cylinders and obtained the extrapolated $\Phi_{yy}(r)$ in the limit $m = \infty$ or $\epsilon = 0$, for which the exponent $K_{sc} \sim 1$ can be obtained accordingly. In addition to the spin-singlet SC correlation, we have also calculated the spin-triplet SC correlation. However, it is much weaker than the spin-singlet SC correlation, suggesting that spin-triplet superconductivity is unlikely.

### CHARGE DENSITY-DENSITY CORRELATIONS

In addition to the charge density oscillation (Friedel oscillation), the exponent $K_c$ can also be extracted from the charge density-density correlation, which is defined as $D(r) = \langle (\hat{n}(x_0) - \langle \hat{n}(x_0) \rangle) (\hat{n}(x_0 + r) - \langle \hat{n}(x_0 + r) \rangle) \rangle$. Here $x_0$ is the rung index of the reference site and $r$ is the distance between two sites in the $\hat{x}$ direction. Following similar procedure as for $n(x)$ and $\Phi(r)$, the extrapolated $D(r)$ for a given cylinder in the limit $\epsilon = 0$ or $m = \infty$ is obtained using second-order polynomial function with four data points of largest number of states.

As shown in Fig.S3A and B for both $L_y = 4$ and $L_y = 6$ cylinders, $D(r)$ decays with a power-law at long distances, whose exponent $K_c$ was obtained by fitting the results using $D(r) \propto r^{-K_c}$. The extracted exponents for $L_y = 4$ cylinders are $K_c = 1.71(1)$ when $\delta = 1/12$ and $K_c = 2.14(1)$ when $\delta = 1/16$. For $L_y = 6$ cylinders, $K_c = 1.35(5)$ when $\delta = 1/12$ and $K_c = 1.41(4)$ when $\delta = 1/16$. For comparison, the values already quoted in the main text extracted from the charge density oscillations in $n(x)$ are $K_c = 1.29(3)$ when $\delta = 1/12$ and $K_c = 1.37(3)$ when $\delta = 1/16$ for $L_y = 4$ cylinders. For $L_y = 6$ cylinders, $K_c = 1.42(5)$ when $\delta = 1/12$ and $K_c = 1.55(5)$ when $\delta = 1/16$. Note that $K_c$ extracted from $D(r)$ is slightly different from that extracted from the charge density oscillation $n(x)$, which may be attributable to the fact that the calculation of $D(r)$ is less accurate than $n(x)$ in the DMRG simulation. However, they are qualitatively consistent with each other.

### SPIN-SPIN CORRELATIONS

The spin-spin correlations for $L_y = 4$ cylinders are provided here. Fig.S4 shows the spin-spin correlation $F(r)$ for $L_y = 4$ cylinders at $\delta = 1/12$ and $\delta = 1/16$. The long distance behavior of $F(r)$ is consistent with exponential decay $F(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_s}$. The extracted correlation lengths are $\xi_s = 13.2(1)$ for $N = 120 \times 4$ cylinder at $\delta = 1/12$, and $\xi_s = 7.8(1)$ for $N = 128 \times 4$ cylinder at $\delta = 1/18$. 

![FIG. S3. Charge density-density correlations. Charge density-density correlations $D(r)$ for (A) $L_y = 4$ and (B) $L_y = 6$ cylinders at different doping levels $\delta$ on double-logarithmic scales, where $r$ is the distance between two sites in the $\hat{x}$ direction. The dashed lines denote a power-law fit $D(r) \sim r^{-K_c}$.](image1)

![FIG. S4. (Color online) Spin-spin correlations $F(r)$ for $L_y = 4$ cylinders at $\delta = 1/12$ and $\delta = 1/16$ on the semi-logarithmic scale. Dashed lines denote exponential fit $F(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_s}$, where $r$ is the distance between two sites in the $\hat{x}$ direction.](image2)
The von Neumann entanglement entropy $S = -\text{Tr} \rho \ln \rho$, where $\rho$ is the reduced density matrix of a subsystem with length $x$. For a critical 1D system, it has been established[49, 50] that

$$S(x) = \frac{c}{6} \ln \left[\frac{4(L_x + 1)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi}{2(L_x + 1)} |\sin k_F|\right]$$

$$+ \hat{A} \frac{\sin[k_F(2x + 1)]}{\pi \sin \frac{\pi(2x + 1)}{2(L_x + 1)} |\sin k_F|} + \hat{S},$$

(S1)

where $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{S}$ are model dependent parameters, and $2k_F$ is related to the electron density and, even in a system without a Fermi surface, can be thought of as twice the Fermi momentum. Examples of $S(x)$ are shown in Fig.S5A and B for $L_y = 4$ and $L_y = 6$ cylinders, respectively. For a given cylinder of length $L_x$, a few data points in grey close to both ends are excluded in the fitting to minimize the boundary effect. The extracted central charge are $c = 1.29(2)$ for $N = 120 \times 4$ cylinder at $\delta = 1/12$, $c = 1.03(2)$ for $N = 128 \times 4$ cylinder at $\delta = 1/16$, $c = 1.49(5)$ for $N = 48 \times 6$ cylinder at $\delta = 1/12$, and $c = 1.37(7)$ for $N = 48 \times 6$ cylinder at $\delta = 1/18$. These values are reasonably consistent with a central charge $c = 1$ that is expected for a Luther-Emery liquid by taking into account the finite-size effect.

**HOLE MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION**

Fig.S6 shows examples of hole momentum distribution function $n^h(k)$ at $\delta = 1/12$ for both $N = 120 \times 4$ and $N = 48 \times 6$ cylinders. To determine the Fermi momentum for both $k_y = 0$ and $k_y = \pi$ bands, the corresponding derivative of $n^h(k)$, i.e., $dn^h(k)/dk_x$, for both $k_y = 0$ and $k_y = \pi$ are also shown in the same panels. The peak positions give Fermi momenta $k_0$ and $k_\pi$ in the main text.