Why Turner patients with 45, X monosomy should not be excluded from fertility preservation services
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Abstract
In this case report, we highlight the practical dilemma, i.e. to perform ovarian tissue cryopreservation surgery in a 45, X Turner Syndrome patient or not, by reporting on the presence of follicles in a 13-year-old female diagnosed with 45, X monosomy and an unmeasurable anti-müllerian hormone serum level. We compare our results with previous research, highlight the challenges we faced in this case and provide recommendations for daily practice. Hereby, we demonstrate that excluding certain subgroups of Turner Syndrome patients (e.g. monosomy patients, and/or girls with an anti-müllerian hormone level below 2.0 ng/l) may be premature, especially based on the current state of published research data. This practical example of a challenging dilemma in the counselling of Turner Syndrome patients for fertility preservation is of interest for clinicians involved in fertility counselling and Turner Syndrome care.

Keywords: Turner syndrome, Fertility preservation, Fertility counselling, Ovarian tissue cryopreservation, Daily practice challenges

Introduction
An estimated 1 in 2,500 girls are born with Turner syndrome (TS). TS involves the partial or complete absence of a second sex chromosome. The most common variant is 45, X, in which a second sex chromosome is missing. When this applies to all analysed somatic cells, the condition is called 45, X monosomy. However, some women are missing a second X chromosome in only some of their cells, and yet have a 'normal' 46, XX pattern or a 47, XXX pattern in other cells. This is referred to as 45, X / 46XX or 45, X / 47, XXX / 46, XX mosaicism. Finally, in some patients, TS is caused by a structural abnormality of one of the two X chromosomes.

One of the most common features of TS is early menopause which is caused by an accelerated degeneration of the oocytes in the ovaries. In most women with TS this results in a premature exhaustion of the ovarian reserve at the time they wish to become a parent. The inability to bear a biological child is one of the most painful challenges endured by females with TS, regardless of their age [1, 2]. Even though the majority of adult females with TS embrace alternative options to become a parent such as adoption [1], healthcare professionals are increasingly asked for the possibility to overcome infertility by experimental fertility preservation techniques (practical experience).

Fertility preservation includes the cryopreservation of the patient’s own gametes, either by preserving mature oocytes or ovarian tissue containing primordial follicles. Cryopreservation of mature oocytes (OC) is an established fertility preservation approach but can be performed only in post-pubertal females, as it requires ovarian activity and psychological maturity. This means that OC would be limited to only a small percentage of females with TS, i.e. those girls with a spontaneous onset puberty and regular menstruation who are emotionally mature enough to undergo the procedure.
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The second technique, ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC), has the disadvantage that it requires surgery, most preferably a laparoscopic ovarian biopsy, ovarian wedge resection, or a unilateral ovariectomy. However, OTC can be performed regardless of the patient’s age or pubertal development, and thus appears to be a promising technique to preserve the fertility of young girls with TS [3]. It provides the option to store primordial follicles within the ovarian tissue before their premature disappearance.

The efficacy of OTC in other patient groups has been well-described, and over the past decades, several guidelines have been developed. Retransplantation of cryopreserved-thawed ovarian cortical tissue in non-TS patients has resulted in restoration of ovarian function [4, 5], pregnancies, and healthy offspring [6–11].

Whether OTC in females with TS will lead to healthy offspring is currently unknown. In the past 20 years, freezing ovarian tissue has been applied to more than 100 girls with TS. These studies only describe the presence or absence of oocytes. There have been no reports thus far describing the autotransplantation of previously frozen ovarian tissue in girls with Turner, and let alone whether this has resulted in pregnancies and live births.

Based on earlier studies on the presence or absence of oocytes in ovarian tissue, clinicians suggest that certain subgroups with TS should be excluded from experimental fertility preservation services [3, 12, 13]. The most frequently mentioned exclusion groups are the 45, X monosomy patients, and girls with an [14] anti-müllerian hormone (AMH) serum level below 2.0 µg/l.

We believe that excluding certain subgroups solely based on either their genotype or one suboptimal hormone parameter might be premature based on the current state of research data. To demonstrate this, we describe a practical example of a challenging dilemma in the counselling of TS girls for fertility preservation by using a case from our daily practice.

**Case report**

We report on a 13-year-old female who had recently been referred to our centre for specialized fertility counselling. At the age of 8 years she was diagnosed with TS upon a diagnostic work-up for growth retardation. Chromosome analysis on 30 peripheral blood lymphocytes revealed a 45, X monosomy, or classical TS karyotype. No other TS-associated problems were detected and growth hormone therapy was started. Surprisingly, she presented with the first signs of spontaneous pubertal development, i.e. breast gland development (thelarche), at the age of 10 years. Two years later she reported monthly periods of 5–7 days (non-cyclical) vaginal bleeding.

Endocrinological investigation showed normal Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH) levels (6.1–9.5 E/l; ref 1.7–21.5 E/l), normal Oestradiol (E2) levels (74–100 pmol/l; ref 45–1461 pmol/l), normal Luteinizing Hormone (LH) levels (1.2–2.0 E/l; ref 1.0–95.6 E/l), and a low AMH level (0.2 ug/l; ref 0.56–8.4 µg/l) (Table 1).

At the time she was referred for fertility counselling, her AMH level was <0.1 µg/l (ref 0.56–8.4 µg/l) (Table 1) and she had been having irregular periods of vaginal bleedings since a few months. Her FSH level remained normal (8.1 E/l; ref 1.7–21.5 E/l). No other endocrinological parameters were determined. Her last physical examination revealed a Tanner stage P2, M3. Transabdominal sonography was performed in order to visualize the genitalia interna. The uterus appeared to be antverted, with a normal size for the patient’s age and an endometrial thickness of 11 mm. The antral follicle count (AFC) in the left ovary was 4, and no cysts or free fluid was reported. The right ovary could not be visualized.

In order to exclude the presence of a Y-cell line but also to properly inform the girl about her fertility chances, a FISH analysis was performed on buccal cells following the standard protocols [15]. Surprisingly, a 47, XXX [78] / 45, X [33] / 46, XX [7] karyotype was reported for these cells. This additional FISH examination showed that this was not a full-blown monosomy case but a patient with hidden mosaicism.

The history of the patient combined with the additional collected information pointed most in the direction of a very low ovarian reserve. We discussed OTC in an experimental setting (Trial registration number NCT03381300) but also mentioned alternative options such as wait and see, and future options such as adoption, foster parenting or trying to conceive with an oocyte donor. Oocyte

| Time of endocrinological assessment | Referral | Counselling | Surgery | - yea- post-surgery | - year post-surgery |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Patient’s age                      | 12-years-old | 13-years-old | 13-years-old | 14-years-old | 15-years-old |
| Anti-müllerian hormone (AMH) level; ref 0.56–8.4 µg/l | 0.2 | <0.10 | 0.1 | <0.10 | <0.10 |
| Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH) level; ref 1.7–21.5 E/l | 6.1–9.5 | 8.1 | 23 | 8.8 | 84 |
| Luteinizing Hormone (LH) level; ref 1.0–95.6 E/l | 1.2–2.0 | n/a | 7.3 | 23 | 40 |
| Oestradiol (E2) level; ref 45–1.461 pmol/l | 74–100 | n/a | <18 | 89 | <18 |
vitrification was also discussed, but not preferred because of the patient’s age and her diminished ovarian reserve.

After comprehensive counselling, the girl and her parents decided for experimental OTC. Although transabdominal sonography is not conclusive for the presence of ovaries, we discussed the plausible scenarios of an absent or streak right ovary. Informed consent was obtained to remove the ‘normal-looking’ ovary in the case that the ovarian left–right difference would be confirmed during the laparoscopic intervention.

Ovarian tissue cryopreservation

During laparoscopy, four months later, a normal sized uterus was seen and the difference in ovarian volume was confirmed with a macroscopically ‘normal-looking’ left ovary and a streak right ovary (Fig. 1). The left ovary could be removed for fertility preservation purposes without any complications.

Serum hormone concentrations were measured just before the ovariectomy was performed and showed an elevated FSH serum concentration of 23 E/l (ref 1.7–21.5 E/l), an E2 concentration under the laboratory detection level (i.e. < 18 pmol/l), while the AMH level remained under the reference value (0.1 ug/l; ref 0.56–8.4 µg/l) (Table 1).

Ovarian tissue could be cryopreserved successfully according to the local protocol [16]. One representative fragment was kept aside and used for histological and FISH analysis of ovarian cells as described previously [17].

Histological analysis showed a decreased follicular density (7.0 follicles/mg tissue) as compared with the ovarian tissue of same-aged non-TS females undergoing fertility preservation [18]. Remarkably, several empty primordial follicles were seen, and even a multilaminar follicle without a recognizable oocyte (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the tissue contained multiple primordial follicles with an incomplete layer of granulosa cells (Fig. 2).

FISH analysis of the stromal cells (n = 80) showed a mosaic pattern with 3 cell lines 45, X [68] / 47, XXX [18] / 46, XX [14], while a full-blown 45, X karyotype was seen in the granulosa cells (n = 262) (Figs. 2 and 3). Remarkably, all 6 oocytes that were analysed showed a normal (tetraploid 92, XXXX) karyotype (Figs. 2 and 3).

In order to check if the X chromosome content of other cell types than lymphocytes or buccal cells was more representative for the karyotype of the ovarian cells, we also performed a FISH analysis on 100 urine cells according to the local protocol [17]. However, all cells that were investigated (n = 100) showed a 45, X karyotype (Fig. 3), and were thus, representative for the karyotype of the granulosa cells, but not for the oocytes or stromal cells in this patient. Recently, similar findings in other females with TS have been reported [17, 19]. The functional consequences of these aneuploid granulosa cells for the follicular development is currently unknown.

Follow-up

Surprisingly, the patient reported monthly periods of vaginal bleeding for the first year after the operation. Furthermore, endocrinological blood examination now showed normal gonadotropic hormone levels (FSH 8.8 E/l; ref 1.7–21.5 E/ and, LH 2.3 E/l; ref 1.0–95.6 E/l) although her E2 was relatively low (89 pmol/l, ref 45–1461 pmol/l.). Her AMH level remained under the reference value (Table 1). No other specific details were reported. Two years after surgery, physical examination showed complete pubertal development. However, she was now again reporting episodes of irregular blood loss. Transabdominal sonography showed a normal uterine size for the patient’s age and an endometrial thickness of 4 mm. The right ovary could not be visualized. Unfortunately, the serum hormone concentrations revealed hypergonadotrophic hypogonadism with elevated FSH (84 E/l, ref 1.7–21.5 E/l) and LH (40 E/l, ref 1.0–95.6 E/l) levels, and an unmeasurable E2 (< 18 pmol/l) and AMH concentrations (<0.10) (Table 1). No climacteric symptoms were reported. Nevertheless, the repeatedly measured low oestrogen value based on a confirmed hypergonadotropic hypogonadism status was an indication to start hormone replacement therapy 3 years after surgery. We
Fig. 2 Macroscopic, histologic and FISH analysis of ovarian tissue. A Directly after unilateral ovariectomy the ovary was transported to the laboratory for preparation of cortex fragments for fertility preservation purposes. B Haematoxylin–eosin stained section of cortex tissue with empty follicles (arrows) and follicles with missing granulosa cells (arrow heads). The inset shows a multilaminar follicle with no recognizable oocyte. FISH analysis of cortex stromal cells (C) and of cells from a single follicle (D) with X-chromosome (green) and chromosome 18 (red) specific probes. Arrows point to 45, X cells, white arrow heads to 47, XXX cells and yellow arrow heads to 46, XX cells. The FISH signals from the oocyte are indicated (D). Note that FISH signals are not all in the same focus plane.

Fig. 3 Mosaicism in extra-ovarian tissues and ovarian stromal cells, granulosa cells and oocytes. Lymphocytes (n = 30), cells from buccal smears (n = 100) and urine (n = 100) were analysed by FISH with an X chromosomal peri-centromeric probe. The percentage of cells with a particular karyotype is indicated. In addition, the X-chromosomal content of ovarian cortex components including stromal cells (n = 80), granulosa cells (n = 262 from 8 individual unilaminar follicles) and oocytes (n = 6) is shown. Three different karyotypes (45, X / 46, XX / 47, XXX) were detected in the somatic cells while all oocytes were tetraploid (92, XXXX)
agreed with the patient to return at least once a year to our combined gynaecology-and-endocrinology clinic for further guidance and follow-up.

**Discussion**

In this case report, we highlight the practical dilemma (i.e. to perform OTC surgery in a 45, X TS patient or not) by reporting on the presence of follicles in a 13-year-old female diagnosed with 45, X monosomy and an unmeasurable AMH. We compare our results with previous research, highlight the challenges we faced in this case and provide recommendations for daily practice. Hereby, we demonstrate that excluding certain subgroups of TS patients (e.g. monosomy patients, and/or girls with an AMH below 2.0 ug/l) may be premature, especially based on the current state of published research data.

From previous research [3, 20, 21], we know that the presence of a 46, XX cell line and a measurable AMH level have been associated with spontaneous pubertal development, the presence of follicles and spontaneous pregnancies in TS patients. However, optimal discriminative markers for the presence or absence of follicles in females with TS are currently still lacking. AMH serum levels can vary considerably due to biological variability and intertest variability of AMH assays, and there are limited prospective longitudinal data assessing AMH concentrations in prepubertal girls. Therefore, adequate interpretation of AMH levels, especially in prepubertal TS patients, is difficult. Furthermore, most research articles on TS, including key papers that are describing the presence or absence of follicles in females with TS, are currently still lacking. AMH serum levels can be performed on the current state of published research data.

Our patient had been diagnosed with 45, X monosomy but presented with spontaneous pubertal development and monthly periods of vaginal bleeding (5–7 days). Additional FISH examination of buccal smear revealed mosaicism. At the time she was referred to us, she was reporting irregular periods of vaginal bleeding since a few months and the endocrinological assessment repeatedly showed an AMH level under the reference value. Since the ovarian function in TS patients only declines with age, it seemed likely that this girl had diminished ovarian reserve. The counselling of the girl and her parents therefore included a difficult dilemma: should we perform OTC surgery or not?

During the counselling process by a dedicated team, different considerations were discussed and addressed. First of all, we must be careful not to raise false hopes even if OTC might be the only possibility to preserve the ovarian follicles that are still left. In this patient, it was questionable whether the ovarian reserve in the normal-looking ovary would still be sufficient for fertility preservation purposes. In other words: if there is already an imminent premature ovarian insufficiency (POI), does it make sense to later transfer the frozen and thawed pieces back? Especially since the freezing and thawing of ovarian tissue will also have a negative effect on the number of viable oocytes and thus the chance of pregnancy and live birth. In addition, patients with TS are known to have a higher risk of having a miscarriage [20]) and a slightly higher risk of having a child with a congenital disorder [20, 33]. Therefore, not only the quantity but also the functionality of the oocytes and supporting ovarian cells must be considered. In vitro activation (IVA) [34] or in vitro maturation (IVM), eventually combined with preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) [35] could possibly improve the chances of pregnancy and live birth in the future. However, both techniques (e.g. IVA and IVM) are at the moment still experimental. Furthermore, we should also be aware of the greater frequency of uterine morphological anomalies in patients with TS and the potential for compromised endometrial receptivity [20].

The second consideration in this case was whether we are not reducing her chances of a (complete) spontaneous pubertal development by performing an ovariectomy. Unfortunately, there are no follow-up studies that report on the effect of ovarian surgery on the chances of spontaneous pubertal development, pregnancy and risk of (earlier) menopause in patients with TS. In non-TS patients, an ovariectomy does not affect the chances of pregnancy [36] but may lead to 1–3 years earlier onset of menopause [37]. However, these data are obviously from girls and women with normal ovarian reserve, and the remaining ovary might ‘compensate’ for the removed ovary. The impact of this procedure on our patient is unknown, but
must likely, her ovarian reserve would not be sufficient to become pregnant later, but possibly just enough to complete spontaneous pubertal development. We discussed that in the worst case, this process could be stagnated by the removal of the ‘normal’ looking ovary. This would mean that she, like many TS patients, would become dependent on hormone replacement therapy, but at an earlier time. Fortunately, this patient experienced complete pubertal development without hormone replacement therapy. In addition, she continued to have periods of (irregular) vaginal bleeding two years after surgery. However, these might have been anovulatory cycles with persistent follicles. Nevertheless, a repeatedly measured low oestradiol value based on a confirmed hypergonadotropic hypogonadism status was an indication to start hormone replacement therapy 3 years after surgery.

Lastly, the increased risk of foetal and maternal complications during future pregnancy and labour in females with TS should be considered. In comparison with healthy females, females with TS are at increased risk of intra-uterine growth restriction, preterm labour, thyroid dysfunction, diabetes, obesity, hypertension and pre-eclampsia [38]. In the past, women with TS were even discouraged to become pregnant due to the risk of aortic dissection. Recent studies have shown however, that this risk has decreased to 0.5% due to increased awareness of cardiovascular complications, improved preconception screening, and strict cardiovascular monitoring during pregnancy [39, 40]. Our patient had no history of aortic dissection, neither indications of an increased risk for aortic dissection, e.g. an increased aortic size index, bicuspid aortic valve, elongation of the transverse aorta, or coarctation of the aorta in combination with hypertension.

In conclusion, it seems that in this case, performing OTC was an appropriate decision. The ovarieectomy did not affect her spontaneous pubertal development, and possibly we were just in time to preserve her fertility. Considering the low ovarian reserve, we found in the preserved ovarian fragments, it would have been very unlikely that she would have been able to conceive spontaneously in adulthood. Hereby, we demonstrate that excluding certain subgroups of TS patients from OTC (e.g. monosomy patients, and/or girls with an AMH below 2.0 µg/l) may be premature, especially based on the current state of published research data.

Our case is not the first case of a girl with TS who presented with a perioperative left–right difference in ovarian morphology during laparoscopic surgery [19]. However, this is the first case reporting on the presence of both a (morphological) streak ovary and normal ovary in a young female with TS. We recommend other clinicians to also discuss this rare outcome during fertility preservation counselling, and how to deal with it during laparoscopic surgery, even if we do not know yet what the clinical consequences are. In this patient, the left ovary could be visualized during transabdominal ultrasound (TUS). However, distinguishing precisely between anatomically normal ovaries and streak ovaries is not easy, and there might be a high chance that the ovaries cannot be visualized at all [41]. A Danish study showed that ovaries could be detected in 37% of young females with TS by TUS and in 55% by MRI (P = 0.1) [42], but they did not confirm their findings with laparoscopic surgery, which is considered to be the gold standard. We recommend further investigation of these imaging methods before applying these methods in daily practice.

As this is our 2nd case where we report on a girl who had been diagnosed with classical monosomy TS (45, X), but presented with a hidden X mosaicism in her ovarian cells [19], we want to highlight again the importance of buccal cell analysis for some subgroups of TS patients. In particular, in patients who have been diagnosed with 45, X monosomy to better differentiate between monosomy and mosaicism.

Moreover, we hope that future research reports will be more specific about the methods used for genotyping when reporting on TS and fertility or fertility preservation. We recommend including at least the type and number of cells on which the diagnosis is based. Lastly, by raising awareness about the possibilities of fertility preservation for girls with TS, we hope that more girls will be referred to a fertility specialist for fertility counselling. Since the current referral rates are rather low [14], we hope that by providing them with more information about their fertility and the full range of options available now and in the future to fulfil their desire to have children, will lead to better informed patients and less psychological burden.
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