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Abstract

The utilization of immunotherapy is increasing to the point of becoming the fifth pillar of management alongside surgical intervention, chemotherapy, radiotherapy and targeted therapy. However, gastrointestinal adverse effects and toxicities have been frequently cited with its use. As per literature, the most common adverse effect of immune checkpoint inhibitors is watery and non-bloody diarrhea. Adoptive cell therapy can lead to delayed, on-target but off-tumor adverse effects which are unknown and may be life-threatening. The use of anti-angiogenic monoclonal antibodies can lead to bowel perforations, whereas epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors and anti-HER2 agents are frequently associated with diarrhea. Minimal adverse effects have been associated with therapeutic cancer vaccines; however, additional studies are needed to determine their efficacy and potential toxicities. To provide an in-depth review of the gastrointestinal side effects of immunotherapeutic agents, we performed a thorough literature search using multiple online search engines such as PubMed, Google Scholar and Ovid MEDLINE, along with a review of the guidelines from the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Cancer Research Institute on immunotherapy. In this systematic review, we detail the gastrointestinal adverse effects of immunotherapy and describe their management. With the advent of newer immunotherapeutic agents and the consistent approval of current agents by FDA for a wide spectrum of cancers, it is vital for physicians to familiarize themselves with their adverse effects for prompt diagnosis and early intervention to decrease adverse outcomes.
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Introduction

In 1909, Smith first speculated that the immune system could suppress the growth of carcinomas by recognizing tumor cells as foreign antigens [1]. Hence, the immune system became an area of particular interest to investigators due to its specificity in targeting tumor-specific antigens. Immunotherapy is primarily focused on the development of agents to stimulate or suppress the immune system as needed to fight off a wide spectrum of diseases, particularly cancer. Progress in the field is largely based on the identification of new immune based targets and a better understanding of the workings of the immune system [2]. Although still in its infancy stage, cancer immunotherapy (CI) is rapidly evolving and advancing to a point that it is considered a separate therapeutic entity and a potential fifth pillar in the management of cancers alongside surgical intervention, chemotherapy, radiotherapy and targeted therapy [3].

Methods

A thorough literature review was performed looking for articles related to immunotherapy and cancer. The authors used search engines such as PubMed, Google Scholar and Ovid MEDLINE to look for published articles on immunotherapy between the years 1990 and 2020. A detailed literature search of the articles referenced in the reviewed articles was also performed. Additionally, data and statistics available from professional organizations such as the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Cancer Research Institute were also thoroughly researched for immunotherapy. The keywords used in the literature search included, but not limited to: “immunotherapy”, “cancer”, “malignancy”, “immune checkpoint inhibitor”, “adoptive cell therapy”, “monoclonal antibodies”, “treatment vaccines”, “immune system modulators”, “FDA”, “adverse effects” and “gastrointestinal”. The inclusion criteria included the articles published between the years 1990 and 2020, articles that were available in the English language and articles or guidelines related to the use of different immunotherapeutic agents in all clinical settings. The exclusion criteria consisted of duplicate articles, abstracts only, articles in a language other than English and unpublished research in immunotherapy. The process of literature review is summarized in Figure 1. Application of the inclusion and exclusion criteria yielded a total of 27 articles which were ultimately chosen by
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the authors for this systematic review of literature.

**Discussion**

According to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) which is a part of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and a pioneer in cancer research, the immunotherapeutic agents available for the treatment of cancers can be subdivided into five major subtypes which include [4]: 1) Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs); 2) Adoptive cell therapy; 3) Monoclonal antibodies; 4) Treatment vaccines; and 5) Immune system modulators.

In this section, we will describe the mechanism of action of each of these subtypes and discuss primarily the gastrointestinal (GI) side effect profile and associated toxicity with the use of different agents.

**ICIs**

**Mechanism of action**

It is a class of antibody that blocks the “immune checkpoint” through the programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1), programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) or the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) pathways. PD-1 is a surface protein present on T-lymphocytes and acts as an immunological “off switch”. Through the presentation of the PD-1 receptor with PD-ligand, tumors cells are able to evade recognition for apoptosis and gain the potential of indefinite replication; hence, leading to the development of cancer [5]. CTLA-4 acts in a similar fashion as the immune checkpoint receptor and downregulates the immune responses when bound [6]. ICIs reinvigorate anti-tumor immune response by interrupting the co-inhibitory signaling pathways and promoting immune-mediated elimination of the tumor cells [7].

**GI side effects**

The side effects associated with ICIs tend to be mild and transient as the agents are generally well tolerated. The mostly frequently reported side effect with the use of ICI is watery, non-bloody diarrhea [8]. It may also present as colitis which is characterized by the presence of bloody diarrhea with fever, abdominal pain, or mucus in the stool. As per literature, a more severe form of diarrhea or colitis may be seen with the use of a combination therapy of anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 agents rather than with either ipilimumab or anti-PD-1 alone [9]. Anti-CTLA-4 colitis may also be associated with mouth ulcers, anal lesions such as fistulas, abscesses or fissures, and other extraintestinal manifestations [10]. Literature also reports other GI side effects associated with the use ICI including abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, hematochezia and mucus in the stools [11]. Some patients may also have asymptomatic elevations in...
In patients presenting to the hospital with ICI-induced colitis, other differential diagnosis such as GI infections or symptoms related to the underlying cancer should always be ruled out. A stool analysis from these patients can be used to rule out parasitosis or a possible infection secondary to bacterial enteropathogens, parasites or *Clostridium difficile* [13]. In some cases, additional imaging modalities such as a computer tomography (CT) scan may be used to differentiate the presenting symptoms from an underlying malignancy. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) may present with a similar clinical picture as ICI-induced colitis; hence, clinical correlation of the presenting symptoms, a high degree of clinical suspicion and a thorough medication history are often vital to differentiate the two [8]. Furthermore, in patients with disseminated melanoma, GI metastasis should be ruled out. The gold standard test to establish a definitive diagnosis of ICI-induced colitis is endoscopic evaluation with biopsy followed by a histopathological analysis of the specimen [13]. The grades of diarrhea and colitis are summarized in Table 1 [14]. The management of GI toxicity with the use of ICIs is based on the degree of severity and can be summarized as follows [14, 15]. 1) Mild diarrhea/colitis (grade 1): The management is usually supportive with anti-diarrheals, fluids and electrolyte replacement. ICI therapy can be continued. 2) Moderate diarrhea/colitis (grade 2): The management includes administration of anti-diarrheals, fluids and electrolytes, along with prompt initiation of 0.5 to 1 mg/kg/day of oral corticosteroids. ICI therapy should be withheld. Gastroenterology specialists should be consulted to confirm the diagnosis with endoscopy and histopathology. Thrombophrophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) should be considered as these patients are at increased risk. If the patient does not show any improvement with oral corticosteroids, intravenous (IV) corticosteroids should be considered. 3) Severe diarrhea/colitis (grade 3 or 4): The patient should be hospitalized with immediate initiation of IV corticosteroids 1 - 2 mg/kg per day. ICI therapy should be withheld. If the patient responds well to IV corticosteroids within 3 - 5 days, it should be switched to oral and tapered over 8 - 12 weeks. If the patient does not improve with IV steroid therapy within 3 - 5 days or has a relapse during the steroid taper, a rapid escalation to infliximab 5 mg/kg is recommended. If there is a suboptimal response to 5 mg/kg infliximab, a higher dose of 10 mg/kg can be considered. Usually, patients respond well to the single dose of infliximab; however, some may need a second dose about 2 weeks later. Vedolizumab, a monoclonal antibody, could potentially also be considered for steroid-refractory or steroid-dependent colitis. Severe life-threatening enterocolitis refractory to therapy and progressing to bowel perforation requires surgical intervention with colectomy with ileostomy. Restarting the patients on immunotherapy after an episode of enterocolitis should be individualized to the patient and requires risk-benefit assessment. However, in patients with severe diarrhea or colitis, it is recommended that immunotherapy be permanently discontinued.

### T-cell transfer therapy (adoptive cell therapy)

#### Mechanism of action

Adoptive T-cell transfer is a form of passive immunization which can be achieved through [16]: 1) Collection of activated T cells from the cancer tissue, stimulation with interleukin-2 (IL-2) *in vitro* and then infusion of the cells back into the patient. 2) Genetically engineering T cells, translocating chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells or transducing the antigen-specific T-cell receptor (TCR) into T (TCR-T) cells.

The main goal of T-cell transfer therapy is the creation of tumor-specific T cells that can recognize and eliminate cancerous cells through specific mechanisms.

#### GI side effects

The infusion of T cells is generally well tolerated by most patients. Infusion-related events, although infrequent, are usually mild and mostly commonly secondary to the cryoprotectant, dimethyl sulfoxide, or concomitant medication [17]. Literature reports that T-cell therapy may also be associated with on-target adverse effects which are usually not life-threatening, but may limit the treatment strategy. These on-target adverse effects are expected as the engineered T cells may share specific target antigens with different organ systems. Some of the common GI specific on-target adverse effects include [18]: 1) T-cell therapy for colorectal cancer: leading to colitis or acute pulmonary infiltrates in a subgroup of patients. It is treated with systemic corticosteroids. 2) T-cell therapy for esophageal cancer: leading to seizures, coma or even death in a subgroup of patients. It can be treated with high dose systemic corticosteroids and anti-epileptic agents.
As T-cell therapy becomes more potent and effective with a better understanding of the workings of the immune system, acute toxicities may start to become even more apparent. Cytokine syndrome, an acute complication of T-cell therapy, is characterized by large scale activation of T cells upon recognition of the malignant cells and can present with symptoms such as fevers, rigors, hypotension and hypoxia [18].

However, the main concern of T-cell therapy is the potential for delayed, on-target but off-tumor adverse effects, which are currently unknown and may be life-threatening. Current literature does report a case of a patient with colorectal cancer and metastasis to the lungs and liver who was treated with HER2-specific CAR T-cell infusion, and eventually passed away 5 days after the initiation of therapy due to multiorgan failure [12]. It is believed that this may have been due to the recognition of HER2 expressed by normal lung tissues leading to a cytokine storm and eventual multiorgan failure. This was an unforeseen event as it has not been observed in HER2 vaccine trials or in patients with breast cancer treated with the HER2 monoclonal antibodies [18]. Hence, it is recommended that therapy with T cells should always be individualized to the patient, and a through risk-benefit assessment should be performed.

**Monoclonal antibodies**

**Mechanism of action**

Monoclonal antibodies are engineered to be antigen-specific, often tumor-specific, and mediate the destruction of tumor cells through one of the following mechanisms [19]: 1) Direct tumor cell killing: blockade or agonist activity, induction of apoptosis, or delivery of a drug, radiation, or cytotoxic agent to the tumor cell. 2) Immune-mediated tumor cell killing: phagocytosis, complement activation, antibody-dependent cell-mediated toxicity (ADCC), or through activated/modified T cells. 3) Vascular and stromal ablation: vessel receptor antagonism, ligand trapping, or stromal cell inhibition.

**GI side effects**

The use of monoclonal antibodies has increased significantly over the last decade with the FDA approving more agents for greater number of cancers. The decision to start or discontinue therapy with monoclonal bodies is always patient centric, requires a risk-benefit analysis and depends greatly on the severity of symptoms.

1) Anti-angiogenic agents: These agents (bevacizumab, aflibercept, ramucirumab) are anti-angiogenic and hence, play a critical role in the prevention of tumor growth and spread. Literature reports that bevacizumab has been associated with GI perforations in a dose-dependent fashion with an incidence rate of 1.1% and a bevacizumab-associated GI perforation-related mortality of 8.8% [20]. A similar rate of GI perforation has been noted with aflibercept with a mortality of 10.8% [21]. The possible mechanism of GI perforations and infarction may be secondary to limitation of blood flow to the GI tract. If a perforation is detected, prompt surgical intervention is necessary along with bowel rest, fluid resuscitation and initiation of broad-spectrum IV antibiotics.

2) Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors: These agents (cetuximab, panitumumab) bind to the EGFR and inhibit the downstream receptor signaling. In 2015, the results from a meta-analysis of 18 studies reported that patients had a 66% increased risk of developing grade 3 - 4 diarrhea while on treatment with cetuximab or panitumumab in combination with chemotherapy compared to chemotherapy alone [22]. Additionally, another common side effect of EGFR inhibitor therapy is hypomagnesemia, which could possibly be the result of chronic diarrhea and is strongly associated with the duration of therapy [23]. In patients on EGFR inhibitors, management of the diarrhea consists of bowel rest, hydration, electrolyte repletion and the use of anti-motility agents. In cases of severe dehydration, hospitalization may become necessary.

3) Anti-HER2 agents: Trastuzumab, a pioneer agent, has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of Her2/neu amplified metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma. As per the results of the ToGa trial, trastuzumab plus chemotherapy was associated with a higher prevalence of diarrhea compared to chemotherapy alone [24].

**Therapeutic cancer vaccines**

**Mechanism of action**

Effective cancer vaccines deliver concentrated antigen to both human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I and II molecules of antigen presenting cells (APCs), promoting both a CD4 and CD8 T-cell response which helps in the generation of an anti-tumor response [25]. Examples of therapeutic cancer vaccines include [26]: 1) Sipuleucel-T (Provenge): it is used for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer. 2) Talmogene lachrepvec (T-VEC): it is used for the treatment of advanced melanoma.

**GI side effects**

In contrast to other cytotoxic therapies, cancer vaccines have reported minimal toxicities in a majority of the clinical trials [27]. Despite the presence of multiple target tumor-associated antigens on normal tissues, minimal evidence of autoimmunity has been observed so far with the use of these vaccines [27]. However, their efficacy in the treatment of cancers is yet to be thoroughly evaluated. Most therapeutic cancer vaccines are currently being evaluated in clinical trials.

**Conclusion**

Immunotherapy has proven itself to be a worthwhile addition to the arsenal of therapeutic treatment modalities that care providers have against cancers. With the FDA approving more agents for a wide variety of cancers, it is essential that physi-
cians familiarize themselves with the potential adverse effects and toxicities associated with these agents and their role in cancer treatment strategies. The most reported adverse effect of ICI is watery and non-bloody diarrhea. T-cell therapy could potentially lead to delayed, on-target but off-tumor adverse effects, which are mainly unknown but may be life-threatening. Anti-angiogenic monoclonal antibodies are commonly associated with bowel perforations, whereas EGFR inhibitors and anti-HER2 agents are frequently associated with diarrhea. Minimal toxicities have been reported with the use of therapeutic cancer vaccines. Thorough patient education along with a risk-benefit assessment, and joint decision making by the provider and the patient will hopefully result in a significant reduction of adverse outcomes. Additionally, it is worth noting that immunotherapy is a relatively newer treatment modality; hence, a significant proportion of adverse effects may be unknown. With a better understanding of the workings of the immune system and future development of highly specific immunotherapy agents, the ratio of benefits to risks may continue to rise.
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