Selective thoracoscopic intercostal block through a transbronchial aspiration needle: an alternative analgesic tool for pain control after video-assisted thoracic surgery
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Abstract
Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) is a surgical procedure increasingly used to treat several thoracic diseases. Postoperative pain control is one of the mainstays for patient recovery. A proper analgesic management leads to faster recovery, reduce hospital stay and decreased possibility of postoperative complications. Even though different regional techniques have been reported, optimal post-operative analgesia after VATS remains controversial. Here we describe an alternative analgesic tool using a transbronchial aspiration needle for intraoperative thoracoscopic intercostal block. This technique allows selective intercostal block from the origin of the intercostal nerve, close to the costovertebral joint.
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Introduction
Postoperative pain control is essential to achieve a faster recovery, reduce hospital length of stay and decrease the possibility of postoperative complications. Up to date, although different analgesic techniques have been described, no gold standard currently exists with respect to regional analgesia for Video Assisted Thoracic Surgery (VATS) procedures. Here, we present an alternative surgical tool using a transbronchial aspiration needle for selective intraoperative thoracoscopic intercostal block.

Technique
An 85-year-old man was referred to our Department for evaluation. Thoracic Computed Tomography scan revealed a 2.8 cm nodule in the apicoposterior bronchopulmonary segment of the left upper lobe. There were no enlarged hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes. A Positron Emission Tomography scan showed increased Fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (SUV 12.6 g/ml) in the pulmonary nodule previously described. Pulmonary function test was normal (FEV1: 1680 cc, 77.6%; DLCO/VA: 98.2%). Once the preoperative evaluation was completed, the patient underwent surgery. Informed consent was signed previously. General anesthesia was induced, and endotracheal intubation was performed with double lumen tube. The patient was placed in lateral decubitus. Due to the longevity of the patient, minimally invasive thoracic surgery seemed to be the best approach to facilitate the postoperative recovery. Biportal VATS technique was performed. A 3 cm long utility incision was placed at the fifth intercostal space anteriorly and a 1 cm camera-port was made in the eighth intercostal space at the level of the posterior axillary line. Once left upper lobectomy and systematic lymphadenectomy was completed, we decided to perform a selective endoscopic intercostal blockade to achieve a good postoperative analgesia. We used a 22-gauge Wang transbronchial aspiration needle (Figure 1).

First, we introduced the closed-needle guided with a Rochester-Pean forceps through the utility incision. Once inside the chest, the needle was protracted and locked. Then, we identified the intercostal space that we wanted to infiltrate, and punctured the parietal pleural below the inferior margin of the rib, with the goal of placing the tip into the space containing the neurovascular bundle between the innermost intercostal muscles and the internal intercostal muscle. The best place to puncture is as close as possible to the origin of the intercostal nerve, about 1 or 2 cm from the costovertebral joint. Then the needle was advanced 4 mm and, following hemo-negative aspiration, we infiltrated 3 ml of Levobupivacaine 0.5%. Immediately we could see how the local anesthetic spreaded through the intercostal space. Finally, the needle was retracted and withdrawn. The process was repeated as the remaining levels of blockade, in this case 5th and 8th intercostals spaces. This internal anesthetic block was supplemented with intravenous and oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) every four hours during hospital stay. Postoperative course was uneventful, the pain was under control and the patient was discharged three days later.
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In this manuscript, it is described the use of a Wang TM transbronchial aspiration needle as an alternative analgesic tool for selective thoracoscopic intercostal block. This is a flexible retractable needle to ensure safe access to the pleural cavity. It avoids puncturing accidentally the lung and thoracic vessels. Furthermore, clear catheters allow us for direct visualization to check hemo-negative aspiration. Finally, locking mechanisms assure needle stability when injecting. These three characteristics provide reliability, safety, comfortability and accuracy. Anyway, accidental puncture of the intercostal vessels should be avoided to prevent either intraoperative or postoperative bleeding. With this technique, we achieve a selective intercostal block from the origin of the intercostal nerve close to the costovertebral joint. Maybe this is the main advantage over transthoracic intercostal blockade in which the interposition of the scapula prevents easy access through the chest wall to the higher intercostal spaces posteriorly. On the other hand, the use of a selective intercostal block may avoid unwanted systemic effects of paraverterbal block and thoracic epidural analgesia. Additionally, this thoracoscopic technique is technically easier to perform and cheaper compared with the last ones. However, the use of regional techniques alone may not be sufficient. Therefore, supplementary oral or intravenous NSAID should be additionally administered for pain control.

In conclusion, selective thoracoscopic intercostal block through a Wang TM transbronchial aspiration needle is a safe, effective and easily reproducible technique. Despite its several advantages, further randomized prospective studies are necessary to analyze its effectiveness and support this analgesic technique. It is possible that coming years, new and specific analgesic devices will be developed and adapted for VATS.

Discussion
Thoracic surgical procedures are becoming less and less invasive over time. One of the main benefits of VATS is that it causes less postoperative pain than thoracotomy. This advantage leads to faster post-surgical recovery and shorter hospital stay. Furthermore, uniportal approach and the design of adapted thoracoscopic devices as flexible. Hence, pain management should be adapted to this new situation since the less invasive is the approach, the more selective should be the analgesic technique used.

Up to date, different regional techniques have been described for control of postoperative pain after VATS such as thoracic epidural analgesia, paravertebral catheter, multilevel and single paravertebral block, intercostal catheter and internal or transthoracic intercostal nerve block. However, optimal post-operative analgesia after thoracoscopic procedures remains controversial. A systematic review published by Steinhorsdottir et al. in 2014 concluded that there is no gold standard for regional analgesia for VATS. In that review, thoracic Epidural Analgesia and especially Paravertebral Block showed some effect on pain scores but were often compared with an inferior analgesic treatment. Hence future randomized trials are necessary to determine the optimal analgesic method for minimally invasive thoracic surgery.

Figure 1 Thoracoscopic intercostal nerve block process. A) The closed needle is introduced through the utility incision. B) Once inside the pleural cavity, needle is protracted and locked. C) Parietal pleural is punctured below the inferior margin of the rib as close as possible to the origin of the intercostal nerve. D) Finally, the needle is advanced 4 mm and following hemo-negative aspiration we infiltrate 3 ml of Levobupivacaine 0.5%. Immediately we can see how the local anesthetic spreads and a swelling is created in the intercostal space.

Finally, locking mechanisms assure needle stability when injecting. These three characteristics provide reliability, safety, comfortability and accuracy. Anyway, accidental puncture of the intercostal vessels should be avoided to prevent either intraoperative or postoperative bleeding. With this technique, we achieve a selective intercostal block from the origin of the intercostal nerve close to the costovertebral joint. Maybe this is the main advantage over transthoracic intercostal blockade in which the interposition of the scapula prevents easy access through the chest wall to the higher intercostal spaces posteriorly. On the other hand, the use of a selective intercostal block may avoid unwanted systemic effects of paraverterbal block and thoracic epidural analgesia. Additionally, this thoracoscopic technique is technically easier to perform and cheaper compared with the last ones. However, the use of regional techniques alone may not be sufficient. Therefore, supplementary oral or intravenous NSAID should be additionally administered for pain control.

In conclusion, selective thoracoscopic intercostal block through a Wang TM transbronchial aspiration needle is a safe, effective and easily reproducible technique. Despite its several advantages, further randomized prospective studies are necessary to analyze its effectiveness and support this analgesic technique. It is possible that coming years, new and specific analgesic devices will be developed and adapted for VATS.
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