Angiotensin Receptor Blockers Evaluated by Office and Home Blood Pressure Measurements. TeleHBPM Study
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Abstract

Background: Adequate treatment of arterial hypertension and achieving arterial hypertension goals in are important in reducing cardiovascular outcomes.

Objectives: To describe angiotensin receptor blockers in monotherapy or double combination therapy and the rate of arterial hypertension control.

Methods: This cross-sectional study evaluated patients who were using angiotensin receptor blockers between 2017 and 2020. Those using three or more antihypertensive drugs were excluded. The analyzed variables included sex, age, body mass index, valid home blood pressure monitoring (HBPM) measurements, casual and HBPM systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements, blood pressure variability, and antihypertensive and angiotensin receptor blocker class. Paired t, chi-square, and Fisher’s exact tests were used, as well as overlapping 95% confidence intervals and a significance level of 5% (p < 0.05).

Results: Of 17,013 patients, 12,813 met the inclusion criteria, 62.1% of whom were female. The mean number of valid measurements was 23.3 (SD, 2.0). The mean HBPM and casual measurements for systolic blood pressure were 126.8 (SD, 15.8) mmHg and 133.5 (SD, 20.1) mmHg (p <0.001), respectively, while those for diastolic blood pressure were 79.1 (SD, 9.7 mmHg) and 83.6 (SD, 11.9) mmHg (p <0.001), respectively. Losartan was the most common angiotensin receptor blocker and resulted in the highest blood pressure values. Combinations of angiotensin receptor blockers with diuretics or calcium channel antagonists resulted in lower blood pressure values.

Conclusions: More than half of the patients used losartan, although it was the least efficient drug for reducing and controlling blood pressure.
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Introduction

Adequate treatment and control is one of the great challenges in arterial hypertension, which is the leading cause of death worldwide. Aligning treatment strategies with the most current scientific is one way to optimize these results.1-3 Drugs that effectively reduce blood pressure (BP) also protect against the main outcomes of hypertensive disease, and the best results can be expected of drugs with a long half-life (thus, a single daily dose) that do not negatively interfere in metabolic parameters. It is also known that small BP reductions, even in the early stages of arterial hypertension, can lead to reductions in the main cardiovascular outcomes.5,4,5

On the other hand, despite such evidence, the Brazilian Unified Health System provides medications with a short half-life that are used in monotherapy and require several doses a day. Such characteristics can negatively impact adherence and hinder adequate BP control. It should be emphasized that...
the Brazilian Unified Health System reflects the drug strategy used for 75% of the hypertensive patients in our country.\textsuperscript{1,6}

A 2021 study evaluated a database of 22,446 individuals who underwent home and office BP measurement, 11,337 of whom were being treated for hypertension by cardiologists with antihypertensive drugs. In 74.6% of the cases, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockade was used, including angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) in 58.7%, either in monotherapy or combination therapy.\textsuperscript{7}

The objectives of the present study were: (i) to verify the distribution of ARB prescription in monotherapy and combined therapy according to sex, geographic region, and diabetes status; (ii) to compare BP control according to casual and home BP monitoring measurement (HBPM) for all ARB treatment strategies; (iii) to compare BP control in casual and HBPM measurements; and (iv) to compare mean systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), pulse pressure (PP), and BP variability obtained through ARBs in monotherapy or double combination therapy, considering the class as a whole and individual types.

Methods

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital das Clínicas of the Federal University of Goiás (opinion 99691018.7.0000.5078) and evaluated patients who were examined on the TeleHBPM platform (www.telemrpa.com) between May 2017 and October 2020.

The platform was developed as a remote reporting tool for telemonitoring, including features that allow the database to be analyzed and filtered according to research questions. The mathematical algorithm allows analysis while protecting the personal data of patients and health facilities, whether interpreting exams or developing research projects. Since it is not software, but a platform accessible on any device via an Internet connection, BP measurements can be uploaded quickly and remotely.\textsuperscript{8}

The database search was limited to patients who used ARBs. Patients aged at least aged 18 years on monotherapy or double combination therapy were included. Patients on a combination of three or more antihypertensives, antihypertensives in combination with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, or antihypertensives in double combination therapy with infrequently used antihypertensives (eg, spironolactone, direct vasodilators, alpha2 agonists) were excluded (Figure 1). We also excluded irbesartan from the results due to its rarity in the overall sample.

The following data were collected from the TeleHBPM platform: sex, age (in years), body mass index, number of valid HBPM measurements, casual and HBPM SBP and DBP measurements, blood pressure variability based on HBPM measurements obtained through the standard deviation of the 24 household measurements taken during the protocol, drug class used, and type of ARB. The regional distribution of the sample was also evaluated, as was the prevalence of individuals who used medications to treat diabetes mellitus (oral antidiabetics and/or insulin).

The Quetelet formula was used to calculate body mass index based on weight and height data.\textsuperscript{9} HBPM was performed with the provided device; patients were instructed about proper handling and BP measurement on the day the device was delivered.\textsuperscript{1} On that day, two measurements were taken in a clinical/office environment and, over the next 4 days, the patient (and/or caregiver/companion) performed the measurements at home according to protocol. The mean of the two measurements taken on the first day was considered the casual measurement, and the mean of the 24 measurements taken from the second to the fifth day was considered the HBPM measurement.\textsuperscript{1,10} Only validated automatic devices (Omron, Geratherm, and Microlife) were used.

![Sample selection flowchart. ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.](image-url)
The data were exported from the TeleHBPM platform to Microsoft Excel. All drug classes described on the platform were reviewed and coded by two work teams. The databases were then cross-referenced to identify discrepant data, which, when present, were reviewed by the entire team. Individuals whose SBP/DBP values were <140/90 mmHg in casual measurement and <130/80 mmHg in HBPM, respectively, were considered to have controlled BP.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed in Stata 14.0. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation, and qualitative variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to verify the normality of the data.

The mean SBP, DBP and PP values obtained in casual and HBPM measurements were compared using a paired Student’s t-test. The chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare BP control rates according to the casual and HBPM measurements, as well as to compare the rates of BP control for each drug strategy.

Overlapping 95% confidence intervals were used to compare the differences in mean SBP, DBP, PP and BP variability obtained with ARB monotherapy or double combination therapy, considering the class as a whole and individual types. P-values <0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

A total of 12,813 patients were evaluated, the majority of whom were female. The Northeast was the most prominently represented region, with approximately half of the patients. The prevalence of diabetes was 6.2% (Table 1).

Double combination therapy was slightly more prevalent than monotherapy (51.2% vs. 48.5%). The following types of ARBs were used: losartan (57.2%), olmesartan (18.8%), valsartan (15.0%), telmisartan (4.8%), candesartan (3.8%), and irbesartan (0.4%).

The mean number of valid HBPM measurements was 23.3(SD, 2.0). The differences in mean casual and HBPM values for SBP and DBP were 6.7 mmHg (p < 0.001) and 4.5 mmHg (p < 0.001), respectively. These differences characterize the white-coat effect and were maintained across all treatment strategies. This behavior was repeated in all ARBs, whether in monotherapy or combination therapy. We also compared the rate of BP control by casual and HBPM measurements in monotherapy and combination therapy (Table 2).

Table 3 describes the mean casual and HBPM BP values and the BP control rate with different ARBs in monotherapy, while Tables 4, 5 and 6 compare these values for ARBs combined with diuretics, calcium channel antagonists (CCA), and beta-blockers, respectively.

According to the goals of <140/90 mmHg (casual) and <130/80 mmHg (HBPM) recommended by current guidelines,1 overall BP control was better in casual measurement. In HBPM, BP control was lower in ARB monotherapy and in ARBs combined with beta-blockers. Among the ARB types used in monotherapy or combination therapy, BP control was lower with losartan and higher with long half-life ARBs. This trend was repeated in the casual measurements.

The control rates of different ARBs in combination with CCA, BB, or diuretics were lower in combinations with losartan and higher with long half-life ARBs. In HBPM, the mean SBP for ARB + CCA and ARB + diuretics was lower than that of ARB monotherapy.

**Table 1 – Description of hypertensive patients using ARBs, n = 12,813**

| Variable | Total n (%) | ARB n (%) | ARB + DIU n (%) | ARB + BB n (%) | ARB + CCA n (%) |
|----------|-------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sex      |             |           |                |                |                |
| Female   | 7953 (62.1) | 3749 (50.2)| 2006 (66.7)    | 980 (68.4)     | 1218 (56.7)    |
| Male     | 4860 (37.9) | 2476 (39.8)| 1000 (33.2)    | 453 (31.6)     | 931 (43.3)     |
| Region   |             |           |                |                |                |
| Unidentified | 37 (0.3)   | 12 (0.2)  | 16 (0.5)       | 5 (0.3)        | 4 (0.1)        |
| Northeast| 6347 (49.6)| 3187 (51.2)| 1355 (45.1)    | 698 (48.7)     | 1107 (51.5)    |
| North    | 802 (6.3)  | 326 (5.2) | 194 (6.5)      | 52 (3.6)       | 230 (10.7)     |
| Midwest  | 1003 (7.8) | 478 (7.7) | 232 (7.7)      | 162 (11.3)     | 131 (6.1)      |
| Southeast| 4028 (31.4)| 1961 (31.5)| 1026 (34.1)    | 444 (31.0)     | 597 (27.8)     |
| South    | 596 (4.7)  | 261 (4.2) | 183 (6.1)      | 72 (5.0)       | 80 (37)        |
| Diabetes |             |           |                |                |                |
| No       | 12,015 (93.8)| 5877 (94.4)| 2811 (93.5)    | 1294 (90.3)    | 2033 (94.6)    |
| Yes      | 798 (6.2)  | 348 (5.6) | 195 (6.5)      | 139 (9.7)      | 116 (5.4)      |

CCA: calcium channel antagonists; BB: beta-blockers; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; DIU: diuretics.
# Table 2 – Sample description and comparison of blood pressure control by casual measurement and by HBPM according to the use of ARB in monotherapy and combinations, n = 12,813

| Variable | HBPM          | Casual         | p*          |
|----------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| **Total (n = 12,813)** |               |                |             |
| SBP      | 126.8±15.8    | 133.5±20.1     | < 0.001     |
| DBP      | 79.1±9.7      | 83.6±11.9      | < 0.001     |
| PP       | 52.2±14.4     | 49.9±16.1      | < 0.001     |
| **ARB monotherapy (n = 6225)** |               |                |             |
| SBP      | 126.9±15.6    | 133.5±19.8     | < 0.001     |
| DBP      | 79.7±9.6      | 84.3±11.7      | < 0.001     |
| PP       | 51.7±14.0     | 49.2±15.7      | < 0.001     |
| **ARB + DIU (n = 3006)** |               |                |             |
| SBP      | 125.0±15.8    | 132.3±20.3     | < 0.001     |
| DBP      | 78.6±9.5      | 83.3±11.9      | < 0.001     |
| PP       | 50.7±14.3     | 49.1±16.1      | < 0.001     |
| **ARB + CCA (n = 2149)** |               |                |             |
| SBP      | 127.0±14.9    | 133.8±19.2     | < 0.001     |
| DBP      | 78.4±9.9      | 82.8±11.9      | < 0.001     |
| PP       | 53.2±14.0     | 51.0±15.8      | < 0.001     |
| **ARB + BB (n = 1433)** |               |                |             |
| SBP      | 129.4±17.9    | 136.0±22.2     | < 0.001     |
| DBP      | 78.3±10.4     | 82.6±12.4      | < 0.001     |
| PP       | 56.0±16.2     | 53.4±17.7      | < 0.001     |

| Variable | Controlled | Not controlled | p** |
|----------|------------|----------------|-----|
| **Total** |            |                |     |
| HBPM     | 5695 (44.5)| 7118 (55.5)    | < 0.001 |
| Casual measurement | 7211 (56.3)| 5602 (43.7)    |     |
| **ARB monotherapy** |         |                |     |
| HBPM     | 2691 (43.2)| 3534 (56.8)    | 0.007 |
| Casual measurement | 3485 (56.0)| 2740 (44.0)    | 0.513 |
| **ARB + DIU** |        |                |     |
| HBPM     | 1441 (48.0)| 1565 (52.1)    | < 0.001 |
| Casual measurement | 1751 (58.3)| 1255 (41.7)    | 0.013 |
| **ARB + CCA** |        |                |     |
| HBPM     | 960 (44.7)| 1189 (55.3)    | 0.818 |
| Casual measurement | 1204 (56.0)| 945 (44.0)    | 0.796 |
| **ARB + BB** |        |                |     |
| HBPM     | 603 (42.1)| 830 (57.9)     | 0.056 |
| Casual measurement | 771 (53.8)| 662 (46.2)    | 0.045 |

*Paired t-test; **Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. CCA: calcium channel antagonists; BB: beta-blockers; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; DIU: diuretics; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; BPD: diastolic blood pressure; SBP: systolic blood pressure; PP: pulse pressure.
Table 3 – Sample description and comparison of blood pressure control in casual and HBPM measurements according to ARB type in monotherapy, n = 6225

| Variable | HBPM | Casual measurement | p*  |
|----------|------|--------------------|-----|
| **Losartan (n = 3,861)** | | | |
| SBP | 128.3±15.8 | 135.4±20.3 | < 0.001 |
| DBP | 80.6±9.7 | 85.5±11.8 | < 0.001 |
| PP | 52.1±14.1 | 50.0±16.0 | < 0.001 |
| **Valsartan (n = 818)** | | | |
| SBP | 126.8±15.3 | 132.4±19.5 | < 0.001 |
| DBP | 78.6±9.5 | 82.4±10.8 | < 0.001 |
| PP | 52.7±14.3 | 50.0±16.0 | < 0.001 |
| **Candesartan (n = 221)** | | | |
| SBP | 124.0±12.9 | 129.0±17.0 | < 0.001 |
| DBP | 77.4±9.5 | 81.4±9.5 | < 0.001 |
| PP | 50.9±13.4 | 47.6±14.8 | < 0.001 |
| **Olmesartan (n = 1,032)** | | | |
| SBP | 123.0±14.9 | 128.4±18.1 | < 0.001 |
| DBP | 77.9±9.4 | 82.0±11.9 | < 0.001 |
| PP | 49.8±13.0 | 46.4±14.1 | < 0.001 |
| **Telmisartan (n = 287)** | | | |
| SBP | 126.2±14.8 | 132.6±18.0 | < 0.001 |
| DBP | 79.6±9.1 | 84.0±11.3 | < 0.001 |
| PP | 51.1±13.9 | 48.3±15.1 | < 0.001 |

**Variable** | **Controlled** | **Not controlled** | **p****
| Losartan | | | |
| HBPM | 1517 (39.3) | 2344 (60.7) | < 0.001 |
| Casual | 1984 (51.4) | 1877 (48.6) | < 0.001 |
| Valsartan | | | |
| HBPM | 369 (45.1) | 449 (54.9) | 0.693 |
| Casual | 489 (59.8) | 329 (40.2) | 0.037 |
| Candesartan | | | |
| HBPM | 111 (50.2) | 110 (49.8) | 0.081 |
| Casual | 150 (67.9) | 71 (32.1) | < 0.001 |
| Olmesartan | | | |
| HBPM | 559 (54.2) | 473 (45.8) | < 0.001 |
| Casual | 682 (66.1) | 350 (33.9) | < 0.001 |
| Telmisartan | | | |
| HBPM | 130 (45.3) | 157 (54.7) | 0.770 |
| Casual | 172 (59.9) | 115 (40.1) | 0.207 |

*Paired t-test; **Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; BPD: diastolic blood pressure; SBP: systolic blood pressure; PP: pulse pressure.*
| Variable | HBPM     | Casual measurement | p   |
|----------|----------|--------------------|-----|
| Olmesartan + DIU (n = 530) |          |                    |     |
| SBP      | 122.1±15.8| 128.4±20.2         | < 0.001 |
| DBP      | 77.0±9.6  | 81.1±20.0          | < 0.001 |
| PP       | 49.5±15.1 | 47.3±16.3          | < 0.001 |
| Candesartan + DIU (n = 151) | |                    |     |
| SBP      | 123.1±5.0  | 130.9±20.8        | < 0.001 |
| DBP      | 77.6±9.1   | 82.4±12.1         | < 0.001 |
| PP       | 49.6±14.1  | 48.5±15.1         | 0.199 |
| Telmisartan + DIU (n = 123) | |                    |     |
| SBP      | 124.9±16.7 | 132.5±20.1        | < 0.001 |
| DBP      | 78.3±8.5   | 83.6±11.1         | < 0.001 |
| PP       | 51.1±15.9  | 48.9±16.8         | < 0.001 |
| Valsartan + DIU (n = 1,920) | |                    |     |
| SBP      | 126.9±15.5 | 132.7±20.1        | < 0.001 |
| DBP      | 78.3±9.7   | 82.1±11.7         | < 0.001 |
| PP       | 53.2±14.3  | 50.6±16.1         | < 0.001 |
| Losartan + DIU (n = 1,715) | |                    |     |
| SBP      | 125.7±15.7 | 133.8±20.1        | < 0.001 |
| DBP      | 79.2±9.4   | 84.2±11.7         | < 0.001 |
| PP       | 50.9±14.1  | 49.6±16.1         | < 0.001 |

**Variable**

- Controlled
- Not controlled

| Variable | HBPM     | Casual | p** |
|----------|----------|-------|-----|
| Olmesartan + DIU |          |       |     |
| HBPM     | 288 (54.3) | 242 (45.7) | < 0.001 |
| Casual   | 335 (63.2) | 195 (36.8) | 0.001 |
| Candesartan + DIU | |       |     |
| HBPM     | 80 (53.0) | 71 (47.0) | 0.034 |
| Casual   | 99 (65.6) | 52 (34.4) | 0.021 |
| Telmisartan + DIU | |       |     |
| HBPM     | 59 (48.0) | 64 (52.0) | 0.430 |
| Casual   | 73 (59.4) | 50 (40.6) | 0.490 |
| Valsartan + DIU | |       |     |
| HBPM     | 887 (46.2) | 1.033 (53.8) | 0.094 |
| Casual   | 1.136 (59.2) | 784 (40.8) | 0.006 |
| Losartan + DIU | |       |     |
| HBPM     | 779 (45.4) | 936 (54.6) | 0.382 |
| Casual   | 965 (56.3) | 750 (43.7) | 0.992 |

*Paired t-test; **Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; DUI: diuretic; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; BPD: diastolic blood pressure; SBP: systolic blood pressure; PP: pulse pressure.
Table 5 – Sample description and comparison of blood pressure control in casual and HBPM measurement according to ARB type in double combination therapy with CCAs, n = 2,149

| Variable                       | HBPM          | Casual measurement | p*   |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------|
| **Olmesartan + CCA (n = 626)** |               |                    |      |
| SBP                            | 125.0±14.9    | 131.7±19.4         | < 0.001 |
| DBP                            | 77.8±10.2     | 81.8±12.5          | < 0.001 |
| PP                             | 51.9±14.5     | 49.9±15.9          | < 0.001 |
| **Candesartan + CCA (n = 419)**|               |                    |      |
| SBP                            | 127.4±14.6    | 135.1±18.4         | < 0.001 |
| DBP                            | 78.6±10.2     | 83.6±11.6          | < 0.001 |
| PP                             | 53.4±13.9     | 51.5±15.4          | < 0.001 |
| **Telmisartan + CCA (n = 136)**|               |                    |      |
| SBP                            | 128.7±15.8    | 132.4±18.8         | 0.003 |
| DBP                            | 78.6±10.3     | 81.8±11.7          | < 0.001 |
| PP                             | 55.1±13.6     | 50.7±14.1          | < 0.001 |
| **Valsartan + CCA (n = 433)**  |               |                    |      |
| SBP                            | 127.0±15.2    | 132.6±19.5         | < 0.001 |
| DBP                            | 77.4±9.6      | 80.7±11.6          | < 0.001 |
| PP                             | 54.2±13.6     | 51.8±15.4          | < 0.001 |
| **Losartan + CCA (n = 903)**   |               |                    |      |
| SBP                            | 128.2±14.5    | 135.9±18.7         | < 0.001 |
| DBP                            | 79.6±9.6      | 84.7±11.3          | < 0.001 |
| PP                             | 53.1±3.7      | 51.1±15.9          | < 0.001 |

| Variable                       | Controlled    | Not controlled    | p** |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----|
| **Olmesartan + CCA**           |               |                   |     |
| HBPM                           | 302 (48.2)    | 324 (51.8)        | 0.050 |
| Casual                         | 378 (60.4)    | 246 (39.6)        | 0.034 |
| **Candesartan + CCA**          |               |                   |     |
| HBPM                           | 173 (41.3)    | 246 (58.7)        | 0.186 |
| Casual                         | 218 (52.0)    | 201 (48.0)        | 0.075 |
| **Telmisartan + CCA**          |               |                   |     |
| HBPM                           | 69 (50.7)     | 67 (49.3)         | 0.138 |
| Casual                         | 84 (61.8)     | 52 (38.2)         | 0.195 |
| **Valsartan + CCA**            |               |                   |     |
| Casual                         | 270 (62.4)    | 163 (37.6)        | 0.010 |
| HBPM                           | 206 (47.6)    | 227 (52.4)        | 0.183 |
| **Losartan + CCA**             |               |                   |     |
| HBPM                           | 361 (40.0)    | 542 (60.0)        | 0.005 |
| Casual                         | 451 (49.9)    | 452 (50.1)        | < 0.001 |

*Paired t-test; **Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. CCA: calcium channel antagonists; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; BPD: diastolic blood pressure; SBP: systolic blood pressure; PP: pulse pressure.
Table 6 – Sample description and comparison of blood pressure control in casual and HBPM measurement according to ARB type in double combination therapy with BBs, n = 1,433

| Variable                | HBPM                  | Casual                  | p*       |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Olmesartan + BB (n = 230) |                       |                         |          |
| SBP                     | 126.3±17.0            | 132.0±20.6              | < 0.001  |
| DBP                     | 77.6±10.4             | 80.9±11.5               | < 0.001  |
| PP                      | 53.6±14.8             | 51.1±17.0               | < 0.001  |
| Candesartan + BB (n = 65) |                       |                         |          |
| SBP                     | 129.8±17.3            | 133.8±21.0              | < 0.001  |
| DBP                     | 75.8±11.8             | 79.1±14.2               | 0.012    |
| PP                      | 59.0±17.1             | 54.7±16.6               | 0.002    |
| Telmisartan + BB (n = 75) |                       |                         |          |
| SBP                     | 128.4±16.5            | 132.6±21.9              | 0.01     |
| DBP                     | 78.0±10.7             | 82.0±13.9               | < 0.001  |
| PP                      | 55.2±15.3             | 50.6±16.3               | < 0.001  |
| Valsartan + BB (n = 213) |                       |                         |          |
| SBP                     | 130.0±16.8            | 137.0±21.9              | < 0.001  |
| DBP                     | 77.9±10.3             | 82.5±12.5               | < 0.001  |
| PP                      | 57.0±15.7             | 54.5±18.0               | < 0.001  |
| Losartan + BB (n = 851) |                       |                         |          |
| SBP                     | 130.2±18.5            | 137.3±22.7              | < 0.001  |
| DBP                     | 78.8±10.3             | 83.4±12.3               | < 0.001  |
| PP                      | 56.2±16.7             | 53.8±17.9               | < 0.001  |

Variable Controlled Not controlled p**

| Olmesartan + BB              | HBPM                  | Casual                  |          |
|                            | 114 (49.6)            | 116 (50.4)              | 0.115    |
| Casusal                    | 138 (60.0)            | 92 (40.0)               | 0.251    |

| Candesartan + BB            | HBPM                  | Casual                  |          |
|                            | 31 (47.7)             | 34 (52.3)               | 0.598    |
| Casusal                    | 40 (61.5)             | 25 (38.5)               | 0.391    |

| Telmisartan + BB            | HBPM                  | Casual                  |          |
|                            | 36 (48.0)             | 39 (52.0)               | 0.535    |
| Casusal                    | 46 (61.3)             | 29 (38.7)               | 0.376    |

| Valsartan + BB              | HBPM                  | Casual                  |          |
|                            | 91 (42.7)             | 122 (57.3)              | 0.610    |
| Casusal                    | 113 (53.1)            | 100 (46.9)              | 0.338    |

| Losartan + BB               | HBPM                  | Casual                  |          |
|                            | 331 (38.9)            | 520 (61.1)              | 0.001    |
| Casusal                    | 433 (50.9)            | 418 (49.1)              | 0.001    |

*Paired t-test; **Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. BB: beta-blockers; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; SBP: systolic blood pressure; PP: pulse pressure.
In monotherapy, the BP values were progressively higher for olmesartan, candesartan, telmisartan, valsartan and losartan (Figure 2). In combined therapy, the mean SBP values for HBPM were progressively higher with diuretics, CCA and BB, and combinations with losartan tended to have higher values than those with longer half-life ARBs (Figure 3). The mean DBP measurements were higher in ARB monotherapy than any double combination therapy. In HBPM, the ARB type with the highest mean DBP values in monotherapy was losartan (Figure 4). No difference was found in DBP values between the different possible combinations of ARB types (Figure 5).

PP was higher with ARB + BB than any other combination or ARB monotherapy. Losartan in monotherapy or in double combination therapy resulted in a higher mean PP than candesartan or telmisartan.

BP variability was greater with ARB + CCA than in combinations with diuretics or BB or in monotherapy. Whether in monotherapy or combination therapy, BP variability was lower with telmisartan than valsartan. Losartan + CCA had lower mean variability than other combinations. Candesartan + BB showed greater variability than candesartan + CCA. There was no difference in BP variability between combinations with valsartan, olmesartan and telmisartan.

**Discussion**

The present study, a further development of an analysis published in 2020, found that, in hypertensive patients treated with monotherapy or double combination therapy, different possible combinations of ARB types resulted in significantly lower mean SBP and DBP in HBPM than in casual measurements, as well as that ARBs were the most common treatment option. Thus, it makes sense to assess BP behavior in response to various ARB types in both clinical and home settings.

Our sample population had a mean age of approximately 60 years and a high body mass index. The patients were also predominantly women, and most resided in the Northeast and Southeast regions. It is important to consider that advanced age and excess weight can impede achieving recommended arterial hypertension treatment goals. It should also be noted that in the last year, as a result of HBPM evidence published in the national database, the reference values for normality were lowered from 135/85 mmHg to 130/80 mmHg. This change explains the difference in BP control rates found in casual and HBPM measurements in this analysis compared to our previous article.

Regarding the treatment strategies used in this sample, 48.5% received ARB monotherapy, 23.4% received ARBs combined with diuretics, 16.8% received ARBs combined with CCA, and 11.2% received ARBs combined with BB. Interestingly, although hypertension guidelines unanimously recommend drug combinations for most cases of hypertension, monotherapy was still quite frequent. Dual combination therapy with diuretics and CCA was preferred, which is in line with current recommendations.

Another relevant aspect in selecting arterial hypertension drugs is a long half-life, which allows a single daily dose; these characteristics directly interfere with treatment adherence and adequate BP control. Drugs with a short half-life must be taken twice or more daily to maintain their plasma level and efficacy in reducing BP levels.

It is interesting to note that, from a pharmacological point of view, there are important differences between these drugs, and the different half-lives of ARBs (losartan, 2 h; valsartan, 6 h; candesartan, 9 h; olmesartan, 12 h; and telmisartan, 24 h) may be related to the differences we found in BP behavior.

When evaluating the BP control rate by casual and HBPM measurements, we found that 56.3% and 44.5% of the patients, respectively, were within the goals. We found different percentages of patients with controlled BP among the different ARB types and combinations.

For a more refined analysis of this behavior, we determined the mean HBPM measurements and confidence intervals of SBP, DBP, and pressure variability. Combinations with BBs resulted in higher mean SBP values and variability than combinations with diuretics or CCA. In monotherapy, losartan had the highest mean SBP and DBP values of the longer half-life ARBs.

This observational study was limited by the fact that it did not assess the dosage of each drug, and the sample was not representative of the Brazilian population. On the other hand, it analyzed data from a large database that reflected ARB usage strategies in hypertensive patients, allowing important parameters to be determined regarding BP behavior with different drugs in monotherapy and combination therapy.

These findings are consistent with those of previously published randomized studies that evaluated the antihypertensive efficacy of different ARBs and, more importantly, they reflect the need to review the Brazilian Unified Health System's strategy for antihypertensive drugs, since it is known that small BP reductions in hypertensive patients have important repercussions on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

**Conclusions**

In hypertensive patients treated with ARBs, monotherapy is still frequent. In combined therapy, diuretics and CCA are preferred. Among ARBs, losartan is still used in more than half of patients, whether in monotherapy or double combination therapy, despite being the least efficient medication for reducing and controlling BP. There are clear differences in the half-life of ARBs, which was seen in BP behavior through both casual and HBPM measurements. These differences may reflect the effectiveness of blood pressure control.

**National co-investigators**
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Figure 2 – Comparison of mean SBP (HBPM) obtained using ARB (classes and types) in monotherapy or in double combination therapy. CCA: calcium channel antagonists; BB: beta-blockers; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; DUI: diuretics; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; SBP: systolic blood pressure. Differences are significant when 95% confidence intervals do not overlap.

Figure 3 – Comparison of mean SBP (HBPM) obtained using different types of ARB in double combination therapy. CCA: calcium channel antagonists; BB: beta-blockers; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; Cand: candesartan; DUI: diuretics; Losa: losartan; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; Olm: olmesartan; SBP: systolic blood pressure; Telm: telmisartan; Valsa: valsartan. Differences are significant when 95% confidence intervals do not overlap.
Figure 4 – Comparison of mean DBP (HBPM) obtained using ARB (classes and types) in double combination therapy. CCA: calcium channel antagonists; BB: beta-blockers; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; Cand: candesartan; DUI: diuretics; Losa: losartan; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; Olm: olmesartan; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; Telm: telmisartan; Valsa: valsartan. Differences are significant when 95% confidence intervals do not overlap.

Figure 5 – Comparison of mean DBP (HBPM) obtained using ARB (classes and types) in monotherapy or in double combination therapy. CCA: calcium channel antagonists; BB: beta-blockers; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; DUI: diuretics; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; DBP: diastolic blood pressure. Differences are significant when 95% confidence intervals do not overlap.
This study is not associated with any thesis or dissertation work.
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