Poverty and exclusion in Nigeria: from basic needs to millennium development goals – the role of human rights law

Abstract

Poverty dominates discourse at national, regional and international levels. This is because poverty and extreme poverty are scary challenges to humanity. The poor and their identity signify breakdown, exclusion and threat. This paper traces the development literature from basic needs to the millennium development goals, highlighting the broken promises as a result of consistent disconnections and distortions in policies. This narrative showcases the lack of will to secure humanity. The poor and underperforming economics share a commonality - the absence of sincerity to push through the corridor of neglect that sustains the poverty virus. The discussion recommends absolute recourse to the holistic realization of human rights through a legal system that punishes disobedience by state and non state actors.
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Introduction

Most narratives on international development are of the consensus that tackling poverty and global inequality should be high priority. In the view of O’Connor “poverty is a condition while development is a process or set of processes”. The concept of development unlike poverty is a subject of debate and simmers with unclassified variables. Notwithstanding the disputations, all member countries of the United Nations have lent their support for Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) that set 2015 as a target date for the eradication of extreme poverty and hunger, the achievement of universal primary education, the elimination of gender discrimination at all educational levels, a large reduction in child and maternal mortality, a halt to and reversal of the spread of HIV/AIDS, malaria and other major diseases and a more determined pursuit of environmental sustainability. Particularly, Goal 8 calls for a global partnership for development and sets several targets relating to trade, debt and the needs of the poorest countries and populations. Rhetorically, multilateral and bilateral development agencies are now showing commitment by assessing their policies in terms of their impact on poverty alleviation. Poverty is intriguing as Bono and other celebrities are on a campaign to make poverty history. Sometimes, poverty is an affliction that only the victim can express. Knows how it feels. In that case, for us in Nigeria, it has gone beyond becoming a bottomed-out hopeless situation. Poverty reduction can be a means of achieving higher productivity by contribution to a well-nourished, healthy, educated, skilled and alert labor force.

The poverty reduction narrative

In the view of Alan Thomas, 200 years ago, most people were poor. This raises the concern about the relationship between poverty and development. Could it be asserted that societies that reduced the level of poverty are those who are developed? The query is hinged on the position that poverty and the gap between the rich and poor have been arraigned in the context of a peculiar era in which “both population and per capita income came unstuck, soaring at rates never before seen or even imagined”. The template became rising per capita income, which as an average, masks staggering disparities. As well brought out by Milanovic Branko:

Even as large numbers of Indians and Chinese were joining the consumer society, many in the two countries were left behind…while a part of the rich world was discussing techniques that would prolong the human life-span to over 100 years, millions were dying from easily preventable diseases, lack of safe water, or infections… for those who found themselves born in wrong social group and of a wrong race or sex, a large part of the promise went unfulfilled. For those from an economically rich background, it may be difficult to cause them to understand that poverty has ruthless consequences that only the victim knows how it feels. In that case, for us in Nigeria, it has gone beyond being merely a deep ethical concern. It has in some communities become a bottomed-out hopeless situation.

For Paul Streeten, the following present four compelling reasons to reduce the monster of poverty:

I. Poverty reduction can be a means of achieving higher productivity by contribution to a well-nourished, healthy, educated, skilled and alert labor force;
II. It would lower fertility rates;
III. It is good for the physical environments and
drug.
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IV. It can contribute to a healthy civil society, democracy and greater social stability.  

One major challenge inhibiting poverty reduction is conceptual diversity – the poverty narratives are increasingly multi-dimensional. For some advocates, the rich have no responsibility for the poor since poor countries are solely blameworthy for wrong-headed economic policies and civil wars. Some others ridicule the poor for the damnation, being doomed by unfavorable geography and climate. Joining on the other side of this blame game is Milanovic. He challenged Leszok Balcerowicz when he asked: “Can one seriously argue that colonization or more recently, cold war had nothing to do with furthering civil unrest and adding to the misery of the poor countries”? On another tone, there is no war that has wreaking havoc in the poor countries that cannot be linked or related directly indirectly to external/foreign elements. In Nigeria for instance all agitations that are endangering peace are because of the political structures that were laid by the colonial masters. Their structures were primarily for the benefit of the invaders who not only dislodged the functional native way of living and livelihood but also outsourced the communal rhythm of the natives. Alien and unsuit ed laws were introduced to change the face of the communities. These devastated their social and economic life style, short changing habits, and turning them to a life of dependence.

Magid Rahnema in the same vein argues that poverty as a global construct is new, arising from the economization of life and the forced integration of vernacular societies into the world economy:

“Following a consensus reached among the world elites on the diagnosis of the disease (under development and lack of income), as well as its care (economic and technological development), armies of experts, politicians, planners bureaucrats, socio-economists and even anthropologists, started acting as pauperologists… [who pursue a universalist one – track, income – based and totally a cultural recipe for abstract ‘patients’].” Abstract ‘patients’ indeed; it is absolutely unfair and demeaning to state that the western ‘gods’ should only be hunted by unpenetrated moralism expressed in a compulsive feel of ethical burden. This burden should now be deconstructed and made over to bear legal obligations that searches the soul and empowers the victims that have become the wretched poor and dying. The West owes a legal obligation to either ‘develop’ the derided Third World, poor countries or face the consuming consequences of poverty. Before this contemporary era of development every society was not developed though they were in harmony with nature and now the ‘gains’ of development has not effectively spread out denying the choice of being undeveloped.

The value of aid in poverty reduction

The intent here is to assess how aid by donor countries may reduce (or ridicule the desire to reduce) poverty, but first a historical narrative: Aid in generic texture is subordinate – the classical expression of the ‘receivers’ hand underneath in beggarly recipient. It is a low

indulgence rooted in subjugation always with attached strings. In the spirit of newness at the dawn of the World War II, the western states were in uncommon agreement to ship little portions of the public expenditures into foreign aid for developing countries in Africa, Asia and the Americas: Every state developed its arm matrix that was enveloped in state interest though coated with the shield of relief of poverty. No legal obligatory strings were attached, as same may be withdrawn at the whim of the giver. Ebullience almost immediately becomes the project of development economists who concluded that poverty would decline in the wake of economic growth. Initially in the 1950s foreign aid was perceived as the major enhancers of growth in what was described as backward areas, later called developing or less developed countries. Intriguingly the economic recovery feat achieved by the Marshall Plan in the reconstruction of post war Europe was nothing compared to the no effect result of the pittance handed down to the Third World countries. It became self-compelling that technology and human capital were much more in need to drive the economies to a rebound. This prompted a new paradigm shift that was summarized by Rodinelli as:

I. All societies could modernize and grow economically in a sequence of historically verified stages that had occurred in western nations over the previous two centuries.

II. This process could be facilitated in poor countries through the transfer of resources and technologies from the industrialized nations and;

III. Leaders of developing countries eager for growth and modernization would sacrifice other values constraining developmental efforts and with the help of western advisors, provide the political and moral support necessary to achieve these goals.

Overcome by the desire to achieve real economic growth, the developing countries sought a template of a New International Economic Order (NIEO) that would attract multinational corporations and foster beneficial changes in the rules of international trade.

For Riddell, academic and purposeful commentaries questioned the value of development assistance. The right saw it as a wasteful intervention in the market place that was undermining dynamism and for those on the left, it was a tool for capitalism to exploit the third world. The drift in development assistance slowed prominently in the 1970’s with attention paid to devising employment–generating and rural development schemes. Rober McNamara gave this new shift a boost when argued that:

The basic problem of poverty and growth in the developing world can be stated very simply. The growth is not equitably reaching the poor… the data suggest that the decade of rapid growth has been accompanied by greater maldistribution of income in many developing countries and that the problem is most severe in the country side… one can conclude that policies aimed primarily at accelerating economic growth… have benefited mainly the upper 40 percent of the population.
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Basic human needs

In 1876, the International Labor Organization in the world empowerment conference invigorated the Redistribution with Growth (RWG) in a more concrete direction by emphasizing the Basic Human Needs (BHN) approach to poverty alleviation. The process caught on and shortly, the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) (the club of rich country donors) adapted a statement that recognized the plight of one billion people in the world who, largely for want of productive employment, remain unable even to meet the most urgent needs of food, decent drinking water, shelter, health care and education. Among the efforts of BHN are to re-label ongoing activities, encouraging technocratic programming that viewed the poor as target groups rather than participants in developments, etc. The donor countries and agencies embraced development, rather than as mere welfare, but as small-scale community—based projects in the spheres of basic education and literacy, primary health care, and water purification. BHN was unfortunately not well received by government in aid—recipient countries. They perceived the project as complementary to aspirations for an NIEO. All attention directed at making official development assistance (ODA) conditional on meeting basic needs were resented and seen as distracting “attention from the problems connected with underdevelopment and... the industrialized countries’” joint responsibility for them. Within the World Bank interest was shown in BHN as a more thorough going alternative to RWG. But according to Ayres, McNamara including other senior managers saw it as potentially too innovative. Notwithstanding this, BHN influenced the Banks World Development Report 1980, which sought to strategies on poverty and ‘human development issues’, defined as education health, nutrition and fertility. With the exit of McNamara and entrance of A.W. Clausen (the former CEO of the Bank of America), there occurred a paradigm shift. This new change introduced the magic of the market place, and the neo-liberal structural adjustment, which become known as the Washington consensus. The south was told to exit debt by exporting.

Millennium development goals (MDG’s)

The search for end to poverty sustained setbacks with failing budgets, criticisms of waste, duplication and weakening public enthusiasm for ODA. On the sidelines, bilateral donor agencies shifted focus to rebuilding support and improving the quality of their declining resources. This gave vent to the OECD council in May 1996 to endorse – Shaping the 21st century: The contribution of development co-operation. The central theme was to call for a global effort to achieve goals that “seek to give real meaning to the improved quality of life that is the ultimate aim of sustainable development”. It was geared towards:

I. Reduction by at least one-half the number of persons living in extreme poverty by 2015;
II. Universal primary education in all countries by 2015;
III. Elimination of gender disparity in primary and secondary education by 2005;
IV. Reduction of infant and child mortality rates by two-thirds the 1990 level and of maternal mortality by three-fourths that level by 2015;
V. Access through the primary health care system to reproductive health services for all individuals of appropriate ages no later than 2015; and
VI. Creation of operational national strategies for sustainable development in all countries in 2005.

The agenda made claims that it was placing “stronger emphasis than ever on the developing country itself as the starting point for development cooperation efforts”. The aforesaid goals re-affirmed even if rhetorically the somewhat centrality of poverty reduction in ODA programming and they were incorporated into We the peoples: the Role of the United Nations in the 21st Century. This was subsequently followed by the millennium development goals that modified the OECD’s proposal by adding a specific goal to combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases and by dropping the referral to reproductive health services.

The UN millennium development goals (MDGs)

The eight goals, calling for global partnership was also new. The MDGs and 18 associated targets were approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in 2002. Since 1999, the Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs) have replaced the erstwhile structural adjustment programmes of the 1980s and 1990s. PRSP raises two rhetorical shifts:

I. Poverty reduction as conceived is viewed as the dominant objective, and
II. The plans are apparently jointly negotiated by donors and recipients in such a manner that the latter will take effective ownership of them. For Smillie:

Many... have been rushed; much of the content has been designed offshore; the participation of civil society has been weak.; and the commitment of donors... is patchy ... the approach could be described as a ‘babysitter model’ rather than one genuinely based on ownership. Donors, usually in the form of the World Bank, hold the governments hand while it creates a suitable PRSP.
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Defining poverty in the context of human rights

Acknowledged, poverty reduction is a priority that needs more resources. In this context therefore, it is imperative that clarity is made of who are really the poor. This narrative may project the clarity. There is relative and absolute poverty. Relative poverty is gauged against societal standards, which invariably vary in accordance with countries, regions and demographic groups and of course these change with time. One the other hand, absolute poverty portrays some measuring line against a benchmark, including, food costs, caloric intake, or enablement induced by minimal education.

In the view of Sachs, there is a distinction between moderate and extreme poverty. People living in moderate poverty are able to meet their basic needs, but, … [e]xtreme poverty means that households cannot meet basic needs for survival. They are chronically hungry, unable to access health care, lack the amenities of safe drinking water and sanitation, cannot afford education for some or all of the children and perhaps lack rudimentary shelter — roof to keep the rain out of the hut, a chimney to remove the smoke from a cook stove — and basic articles of clothing such as shoes. Articulating a rare description of poverty, Paul A. Haslam et al. said: “The very poor tend to be inarticulate, isolated, non-mobile and powerless. They are suspicious of change, because pursuing bad risks can lead to disaster”. The real pain is that disproportionate numbers are women, children and the elderly. The World Bank has overtime used the benchmark, as, below $1 (360 naira) a day for extreme poverty and $1 to $2 a day for moderate poverty and measured in reference to Purchasing Power Parity (PPP). Extreme poverty exists in almost half of Africa (a generous estimate),
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of course including Nigeria. Most Nigerians are not earning up to N720 per day, many are indeed earning less than N360 a day. In the perception of White, while poverty in common usage is synonymous with income shortage, development literature is focusing on multi-dimensionality.30

In the case of extreme poverty, other non-income data have engaged to bring out the dept and persistence of extreme poverty. White further argues that deprivation in any sphere and others are hard to quantify—environmental quality, spiritual and political freedom—can equally be referred to as poverty.31 Drawing down further the description of poverty, Kanbur et al.,32 highlight a progressive expansion from the focus on command over market-purchased goods (income) to other dimensions such as longevity, literacy and healthiness and most recently to concerns with risk, vulnerability, powerlessness and lack of voice. Stewart, Saith, and Harriss–White, push that the most prominent are: income/consumption (the basis of the dollar-a-day benchmark), capabilities, social exclusion and participatory assessment.33 The human rights perspective is most and better addressed when the Human Poverty Index (HPI) is sourced.34

In this wise, if human development circles, around expanding choices, poverty then means that opportunities and choices, most basic to human development are denied. A poor person is not at liberty to lead a long, healthy and creative life as he is denied access to a decent standard of living, freedom dignity, self-respect and the respect of others. The consequence of poverty is legion. A poor person suffers exclusion that is primarily discriminatory. The affliction of poverty compounds e.g. the poverty of choices and opportunities is often more excruciating than the poverty of income.
Poverty and exclusion in Nigeria: from basic needs to millennium development goals – the role of human
rights law

Humanity everywhere is the same, humans belong to a family –
the human family. What makes the members of this family distinct
is primarily because they possess rights that are inalienable, rights
that are inherent and innate. They come in full compliment to every
individual member of the human family. Some of these rights find
better expression in freedoms e.g. freedom from want. This for
instance means the life of want that poverty imposes is an affront to
the endowment of freedoms. Justice Kayode Esho of the Nigerian
Supreme Court in the case of Ransome Kuti v. A. G. of the Federation,
said that the rights are antecedent to the political society itself,
insisting that it is a primary condition for civilized existence”.31 It is
doubtful if humanity can lay any claim to civilization when a chunk
of its population is either poor or extremely poor. The role of law at
the international, region and domestic levels is to secure the hope that
human rights stands for, that is, the promise of a better more dignified
life. The point is that the challenge of actually achieving human
rights—securing their enjoyment—is of fundamental importance. The
1999 Constitution of Nigeria is not robust about providing for and
protecting human rights. Chapter 4 of the said Constitution provides
for “fundamental rights” and nowhere in the constitution is human
rights used. This curious style is strange in a legal document of
contemporary time. Poverty cannot be addressed in the absence of
deep respect for human rights; the pretence of directive principles
of state policy in chapter 2 of the said Constitution is but a smoke
screen, at most a glaring deceit. Even the attempt in domesticking the
African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights is illusory, since its
provisions cannot be realized outside the legal platform provided by
the 1999 constitution.

Conclusion

At whatever level—be it local, regional, international—the
dynamics are the same. The same process that produces the rich
induces the poor. Poverty is induced by riches, the mechanism that
advances to riches downgrades to poverty. The rich are affluent because
the poor are miserable. Human rights involve Civil and Political (CP)
rights, Economic, Social and Cultural (ESC) rights and the Solidarity
Rights (right to self-determination, right to development and to the
environment, etc). These rights matter; they should be realized for
humanity to be sustained. Poverty anywhere is poverty everywhere
since it is a sign of denial, discrimination, debasement and affliction.
ESC rights matter as much as the CP rights and they are only realized
holistically. Nigeria and other states in her category should seek
to realize the ESC rights through binding legal instrumentation
that compels government and her agencies to respect the rights.
Accountability can only be properly measured if it is directed
towards respect for human rights. After all, the rich are not safe in the
community of extremely poor neighbors. This is evident.
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