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Tissue- and stage-specific Wnt target gene expression is controlled subsequent to β-catenin recruitment to cis-regulatory modules
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ABSTRACT

Key signalling pathways, such as canonical Wnt/β-catenin signalling, operate repeatedly to regulate tissue- and stage-specific transcriptional responses during development. Although recruitment of nuclear β-catenin to target genomic loci serves as the hallmark of canonical Wnt signalling, mechanisms controlling stage- or tissue-specific transcriptional responses remain elusive. Here, a direct comparison of genome-wide occupancy of β-catenin with a stage-matched Wnt-regulated transcriptome reveals that only a subset of β-catenin-bound genomic loci are transcriptionally regulated by Wnt signalling. We demonstrate that Wnt signalling regulates β-catenin binding to Wnt target genes not only when they are transcriptionally regulated, but also in contexts in which their transcription remains unaffected. The transcriptional response to Wnt signalling depends on additional mechanisms, such as BMP or FGF signalling for the particular genes we investigated, which do not influence β-catenin recruitment. Our findings suggest a more general paradigm for Wnt-regulated transcriptional mechanisms, which is relevant for tissue-specific functions of Wnt/β-catenin signalling in embryonic development but also for stem cell-mediated homeostasis and cancer. Chromatin association of β-catenin, even to functional Wnt-response elements, can no longer be considered a proxy for identifying transcriptionally Wnt-regulated genes. Context-dependent mechanisms are crucial for transcriptional activation of Wnt/β-catenin target genes subsequent to β-catenin recruitment. Our conclusions therefore also imply that Wnt-regulated β-catenin binding in one context can mark Wnt-regulated transcriptional target genes for different contexts.
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INTRODUCTION

Key signalling mechanisms are deployed repeatedly during embryonic development to regulate differential gene expression, often in combination with each other and with other regulatory mechanisms. Wnt/β-catenin signalling (hereafter referred to as Wnt signalling) is an important, evolutionarily conserved cell-to-cell signalling mechanism that regulates the transcription of specific target genes (reviewed by Cadigan and Waterman, 2012; Hoppler and Nakamura, 2014). Wnt signalling operates repeatedly during embryogenesis, in stem cell-mediated homeostasis and in cancer (reviewed by Hoppler and Moon, 2014; Nusse et al., 2012). The textbook view asserts that activation of the canonical Wnt signalling pathway causes β-catenin stabilisation and nuclear localisation, where β-catenin associates with TCF/LEF transcription factors bound to so-called Wnt-response DNA regulatory elements (WREs) to activate the transcription of nearby Wnt target genes (reviewed by Nusse, 2012). Recruitment of nuclear β-catenin to target chromatin regions is therefore thought to be the critical step for Wnt-regulated target gene regulation. However, the developmental, cellular and transcriptional responses to Wnt signalling are often remarkably specific for particular stages, tissues and cell lineages, and the molecular mechanisms by which the specific Wnt/β-catenin target genes are regulated in different cellular and developmental contexts are still largely unknown. Characterising these context-specific mechanisms is therefore important for understanding the specific functional roles of Wnt signalling in embryonic development and disease.

Early embryos represent ideal experimental models for studying the fundamental molecular mechanisms by which Wnt signalling regulates such context-specific responses, since there are rapid and fundamental changes in the cellular and developmental response to Wnt signalling (reviewed by Zylkiewicz et al., 2014). This is particularly prominent in the early Xenopus embryo (Fig. S1): maternally activated Wnt signalling before the general onset of zygotic transcription at the mid-blastula transition (MBT) (Newport and Kirschner, 1982) regulates specific genes that then function to establish dorsal development (e.g. Funayama et al., 1995; Heasman et al., 2000; McMahon and Moon, 1989); but, only shortly thereafter, early zygotic Wnt signalling promotes ventral development (Christian and Moon, 1993; Hoppler et al., 1996); yet, both are mediated by the β-catenin-dependent pathway (Hamilton et al., 2001). This radical change in the stage-specific response to Wnt signalling makes Xenopus embryos a unique model for dissecting the molecular mechanisms that determine context-specific responses to Wnt signalling. Direct target genes of maternally activated Wnt signalling have been described (e.g. Blythe et al., 2010; Brannon et al., 1997; Crease et al., 1998; Laurent et al., 1997); however, genes specifically regulated by early zygotic Wnt signalling are much less well understood. Identifying such direct Wnt target genes would not only be informative concerning the gene regulatory network that operates in the ventrolateral prospective mesoderm, but also more generally concerning the fundamental molecular mechanisms of context-specific Wnt target gene regulation.

Here, we report genome-wide identification of such stage-specific Wnt target genes through β-catenin chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing (ChiP-seq) combined with RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis of the relevant Wnt-regulated
transcriptome. Although the early *Xenopus* embryo shows β-catenin occupancy at many genomic loci, our analysis reveals that transcriptional expression is Wnt regulated at only a subset of these loci. Thus, Wnt-regulated β-catenin recruitment to gene loci is required, but not sufficient, for Wnt target gene expression. We find instead that the tissue- and stage-specific context can regulate Wnt target gene expression subsequent to β-catenin recruitment to cis-regulatory modules at these loci.

**RESULTS**

**Genome-wide mapping of β-catenin association in *Xenopus* early gastrulae**

Nuclear localisation of β-catenin is the hallmark of canonical Wnt signalling (Schneider et al., 1996; Schohl and Fagotto, 2002). In the nucleus, β-catenin regulates target gene expression in association with DNA-binding proteins, particularly those of the TCF/LEF family (reviewed by Cadigan and Waterman, 2012; Hoppler and Waterman, 2014). β-catenin ChIP-seq analysis had been used to identify direct transcriptional targets of Wnt signalling in cancer tissue and cultured cells (Bottomly et al., 2010; Park et al., 2012; Schuijers et al., 2014; Watanabe et al., 2014). We therefore reasoned that β-catenin ChIP-seq analysis in intact gastrula stage *Xenopus tropicalis* embryos would identify early gastrula-specific Wnt target genes.

We developed a reliable β-catenin ChIP protocol for analysis at the early gastrula stage (stage 10.25, Fig. 1A, Fig. S2) by optimising first chromatin shearing conditions for fragments of ~200 bp (Fig. S2A), then the immunoprecipitation of chromatin-associated β-catenin protein with two different β-catenin antibodies, as well as IgG as a negative control (see Materials and Methods). Specific binding of β-catenin by the antibodies was validated by western blotting and also by β-catenin ChIP-qPCR (Fig. S2B-D). In the ChIP-qPCR validation, we analysed known WREs in genes known to be Wnt regulated at this stage [hoxd1 (Janssens et al., 2010) and msgn1 (Wang et al., 2007)] as positive controls, and genomic regions not containing WREs (from odc1 and hoxd1) as negative controls. ChIP DNA samples and input control DNA samples were each pooled from three validated ChIP experiments and sequenced.

Clear β-catenin ChIP-seq peaks (hereafter referred to as β-peaks) were found at known direct Wnt target loci in the *X. tropicalis* genome [e.g. the hoxd1 locus (Janssens et al., 2010), Fig. 1B]. The β-catenin ChIP-seq also confirmed no β-catenin association at the negative control odc1 locus (data not shown). Two independent peak-calling algorithms followed by stringent irreproducible discovery rate (IDR) analysis (Li et al., 2011) identified 10,638 reproducible β-peaks across the *X. tropicalis* genome (Fig. 1C),
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**Fig. 1. β-catenin ChIP-seq analysis of *Xenopus* early gastrulae.** (A) Experimental design of β-catenin ChIP-seq analysis. Early gastrulae were collected and fixed. Following chromatin shearing, β-catenin antibodies were used to selectively precipitate DNA fragments bound by β-catenin-containing protein complexes. Subsequently, the precipitated DNA fragments were sequenced. (B) Genome view of example β-catenin target gene *hoxd1*. Note the clear β-catenin ChIP-seq peaks (β-peaks) downstream (to the left) of the *hoxd1* locus. (C) Scatter plot combining peak calling analysis by SPP [considering signal strength, applying false discovery rate (FDR)≤0.1] and MACS2 (considering fold change, applying FDR≤0.01) software, with black dots indicating 10,638 reproducibly called [applying an irreproducible discovery rate (IDR)≤0.01]. (D,E) β-peaks are associated with sequences throughout the genome (D) but enriched close to and just upstream (putative promoter) of the transcriptional start site (TSS) of nearby genes (E; analysed in 500 bp bins). Pie chart (D) shows the percentage of β-peaks according to their location relative to TSS (within 1 kb, 1-5 kb, 5-10 kb, 10-50 kb, over 50 kb upstream or downstream of TSS). (F) Heat map illustrating genome-wide association of β-peaks with histone modifications and transcription co-factor binding sites indicative of cis-regulatory modules (CRMs); such as promoters and enhancers) in patterns that can be clustered into ten groups. Each horizontal line represents the 5 kb downstream and upstream regions of ChIP-seq data around a β-peaks. (G) Enriched motifs from *de novo* motif search of sequences under β-peaks. Note the identification of consensus TCF/LEF binding but also other known transcription factor binding motifs. Statistical significance (e-values) and the number of β-peaks are indicated below each motif logo. The analysis of motif distribution shows central enrichment of motifs within β-peak regions (500 bp window).
which can be assigned to 5193 genes (Table S1), β-peaks are widely distributed throughout the genome, close to and further away from the transcriptional start site (TSS) of annotated genes (Fig. 1D), but we find an enrichment close to and just 5′ of the TSS of genes (Fig. 1E) and also a genome-wide correlation with putative cis-regulatory sequences, such as promoters, enhancers or silencers, which are collectively referred to here as cis-regulatory modules (CRMs) [Fig. 1F; data for H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 from Akkers et al. (2009), representing active promoters and inactive chromatin states, respectively; data for H3K27ac and H3K4me1 (both indicating active enhancers), for the transcriptional co-activator p300 and for the transcriptional co-repressor Transducin-like enhancer of split (TLE; also known as Groucho in *Drosophila*) from Yasuoka et al. (2014)]. For instance, correlation of β-catenin with p300-associated and with TLE-associated sites was 47.4% and 86.4%, respectively. We sought to detect enriched DNA sequences shared among the identified β-peaks by performing a *de novo* motif search on all validated (Fig. 2C). They were all shown to be expressed at the early gastrula stage when assayed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) and, as expected, their expression was dependent on wnt8a function, although clearly to different degrees. However, the one gene that was apparently negatively regulated could not be validated. Therefore, consistent with the expected major role of Wnt signalling, we find that Wnt8a signalling mainly positively controls gene expression in early gastrula embryos and we proceeded to focus on wnt8a positively regulated genes. Expression of ten of the wnt8a positively regulated genes was detectable by whole-mount RNA *in situ* hybridisation in a pattern consistent with the expected signalling range of wnt8a-expressing cells mostly in the ventral and lateral prospective mesoderm, and, additionally, this expression was confirmed to be dependent on wnt8a function, but again clearly to varying degrees (Fig. 2D).

**RNA-seq analysis of the wnt8a-regulated transcriptome**

Independently, we performed transcriptome analysis using RNA-seq in order to identify Wnt-regulated transcripts at the early gastrula stage. Early zygotic Wnt signalling is activated in prospective ventral mesoderm by wnt8a, which is the predominant Wnt gene expressed during later blastula stages (Christian et al., 1991; Collart et al., 2014). We developed an experimental design that allowed us to identify genes regulated by Wnt8a signalling (wnt8a-regulated genes, Fig. 2A). We compared the mRNA expression profile a few hours after the onset of zygotic transcription at early gastrula (stage 10.25) in two control conditions with that in two experimental conditions: embryos in which endogenous wnt8a was knocked down with a previously validated antisense morpholino oligonucleotide (MO) (Rana et al., 2006); and the same wnt8a knockdown embryos but with experimentally reinstated expression with an MO-insensitive, Wnt8a-expressing DNA construct (Fig. S3A).

We initially optimised the experimental conditions so that the wnt8a knockdown not only consistently caused the well-established wnt8a loss-of-function morphological phenotype (Hoppler et al., 1996; Rana et al., 2006), but also is then substantially rescued to normal embryonic morphology by our experimentally targeted reinstatement of stage-specific Wnt8a expression (Fig. 2A) (Christian and Moon, 1993). We confirmed that the morphological changes caused by the knockdown and reinstatement of Wnt8a expression are accompanied by predicted changes in the expression of previously reported wnt8a-regulated genes (Fig. S3B,C). In addition, unaltered gene expression levels of the well-known maternal Wnt target gene *siamois* (*sia1*) (Fig. S3C) confirmed that our experimental manipulation at cleavage stages (MO and DNA microinjection) does not affect early gene regulatory and dorsal axis establishment processes controlled by maternal Wnt signalling (see below).

Statistical analysis of the RNA-seq results, applying a generalised linear model (GLM) (Anders and Huber, 2010), identified an initial longlist of 274 genes potentially positively regulated and 193 genes potentially negatively regulated by wnt8a (Fig. 2B, seeTable S2). As expected, this list includes previously identified Wnt-regulated genes, such as *axin2/xarp* (Hufton et al., 2006), *hoxd1* (Janssens et al., 2010), *sp5* (Weidinger et al., 2005) and *vent1* (Hoppler and Moon, 1998). However, also included are genes with relatively subtle changes in gene expression, which might not be physiologically relevant for embryonic development. In order to create a more manageable shortlist for further detailed analysis we decided to focus on genes that were significantly affected by both knockdown and reinstatement of Wnt8a expression (Fig. 2B). This resulted in a shortlist of 14 high-confidence wnt8a positively regulated genes, which have reduced expression in wnt8a knockdown and are increased upon Wnt8a reinstatement. This included two uncharacterised genes (ENSXETG00000010483 and ENSXETG00000030701), which showed strong sequence similarity to each other and resembled *Xenopus laevis* marginal coil (xmc, Fig. S4). We therefore named ENSXETG00000010483 *xmc-like 1* (*xmc1l*) and ENSXETG00000030701 *xmc-like 2* (*xmc1l2*). Applying the same restrictive criteria for shortlisting suggested only one gene, *apt12a*, to be negatively regulated by Wnt8a signalling (Fig. 2B). All 14 wnt8a positively regulated genes were successfully validated (Fig. 2C). They were all shown to be expressed at the early gastrula stage when assayed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) and, as expected, their expression was dependent on wnt8a function, although clearly to different degrees. However, the one gene that was apparently negatively regulated could not be validated. Therefore, consistent with the expected major role of Wnt signalling, we find that Wnt8a signalling mainly positively controls gene expression in early gastrula embryos and we proceeded to focus on wnt8a positively regulated genes. Expression of ten of the wnt8a positively regulated genes was detectable by whole-mount RNA *in situ* hybridisation in a pattern consistent with the expected signalling range of wnt8a-expressing cells mostly in the ventral and lateral prospective mesoderm, and, additionally, this expression was confirmed to be dependent on wnt8a function, but again clearly to varying degrees (Fig. 2D).

**Identification of direct wnt8a target gene loci**

By combining the β-catenin ChIP-seq and the wnt8a-regulated transcriptome datasets, we identified 13 from our shortlist of 14 and 179 from our longlist of 274 wnt8a-regulated genes among the 5193 genes associated with β-peaks (Fig. 3A; see also examples in Fig. S5 and Table S3). By definition, we considered these 13 and 179 genes as our shortlist and longlist of direct Wnt8a/β-catenin target genes, respectively (Table S3).

We performed ChIP-qPCR analysis to examine whether Wnt8a signalling, as expected, controls β-catenin recruitment to the CRMs of Wnt8a/β-catenin targets. Knockdown of endogenous wnt8a resulted in reduction of β-catenin binding compared with the control, confirming that β-catenin association with these 13 shortlisted wnt8a target gene loci was dependent on wnt8a function (Fig. 3B). To assess the transcriptional activity of the β-peaks, we selected five β-peak elements from proximal regions just upstream of the TSS and seven from more distant regions, and tested them in luciferase reporter assays (Fig. 3C). All β-peak sequences from proximal regions strongly induced expression of the luciferase reporter (greater than 10-fold compared with a control vector), and four out of the seven distant β-peak sequences activated a heterologous basal promoter driving luciferase expression with weaker activity. Taken together, these results support the conclusion that the identified β-peak genomic regions control β-catenin-mediated transcription in response to Wnt8a signalling.

Approximately one-third of apparently wnt8a-regulated genes were devoid of any identifiable associated β-peak. These 94 genes
were found in the Wnt8a reinstatement condition and might therefore be expressed due to Wnt8a overexpression. They might represent genes indirectly regulated by Wnt/β-catenin signalling or by β-catenin-independent Wnt signalling mechanisms, but we did not analyse them further in the current study. Because Wnt8a signalling in the prospective ventral mesoderm is mediated by the β-catenin-dependent pathway (Hamilton et al., 2001), we instead investigated two classes of β-catenin-associated genes: the direct Wnt8a/β-catenin target genes described above (i.e. the 13 and 179 genes of the shortlist and longlist, respectively) and 5009 β-catenin-bound but non-Wnt8a-regulated genes (see also examples in Fig. S5E,F). We anticipated that comparing these two classes of genes would provide additional insight into how Wnt/β-catenin target genes are regulated.

First, we performed gene ontology (GO) analysis to identify whether these different classes are predicted to function in different biological processes (Fig. 3D). Our analysis showed, however, that the different classes are enriched for similar developmental processes, such as mesoderm development, and also that they both mainly contain genes encoding transcription factors, such as homeobox genes. Despite these similarities, they show some differences (compare purple and amber with red bars in Fig. 3D); in particular, the non-Wnt8a-regulated genes show an even higher association with metabolic and later developmental processes (e.g. muscle, neural and non-neural ectoderm development) (see Discussion).

Next, in order to identify context-specific Wnt signalling mechanisms, we characterised the genomic sequences under the β-peaks of Wnt8a/β-catenin target genes when compared with
β-catenin-bound but non-wnt8a-regulated genes. As shown above, all β-peaks were generally found to be enriched around TSSs (Fig. 1E); however, compared with non-wnt8a-regulated genes (10.1%), we found that wnt8a-regulated genes (30.7%) exhibited an even higher enrichment of β-peaks within 1 kb regions upstream of the TSS. In addition, wnt8a/β-catenin target gene loci tend to have more clearly defined β-peaks than the non-wnt8a-regulated gene class (Fig. 3E; see examples in Fig. S5A,B,E,F). Therefore, two of these β-catenin-associated genes exhibit subtly different levels and relative genomic locations of β-catenin recruitment. However, de novo motif discovery among β-peaks associated with these wnt8a-regulated or non-regulated genes uncovered essentially the same motifs among their β-peaks (Fig. S6). Therefore, these other motifs in wnt8a-regulated and non-wnt8a-regulated β-catenin-associated loci were not transcriptionally regulated by Wnt target genes in other tissues at other stages. Conversely, we wondered whether our wnt8a target loci would bind β-catenin yet remain refractive to transcriptional regulation by Wnt signalling in a different developmental context. For that reason we investigated whether the identified wnt8a target genes have any potential to respond to earlier maternal Wnt signalling (see Fig. S1).

We experimentally induced ectopic and enhanced activation of maternal Wnt signalling and examined the expression of several wnt8a target genes by RT-qPCR in blastula embryos at the MBT (Fig. 4A), as well as the known maternal Wnt targets sia1 (Brannon et al., 1997) and nodal3.1 (Brannon et al., 1997) as controls. Enhanced activation of maternal Wnt signalling significantly increased expression of the maternal targets, as expected, but did not change expression of wnt8a target genes (Fig. 4A). This is consistent with the established idea that the wnt8a target genes represent ventral mesendoderm-specific zygotic Wnt targets. However, β-catenin ChIP analysis revealed that, remarkably, β-catenin is associated with both maternal Wnt and wnt8a target gene loci in pre-MBT embryos (100-cell stage), when β-catenin is
regulated by maternal Wnt signal and well before the onset of zygotic Wnt8a signalling (Fig. 4B, pink). Furthermore, the β-catenin occupancy increased with enhanced maternal Wnt activity (Fig. 4B, green). This observation was confirmed by pharmacological activation of maternal Wnt signalling with BIO (Fig. S7). β-catenin binding was reduced following experimental inhibition of endogenous maternal Wnt signalling (Fig. 4B, purple). This result clearly shows that maternal Wnt signalling controls β-catenin recruitment before the MBT not only to maternal Wnt target genes but also to wnt8a target loci. Thus, there appears no obvious qualitative difference in β-catenin recruitment between maternal Wnt target genes and wnt8a target genes.

Conversely, as expected, the transcription of genes known to be regulated by maternal Wnt signalling (Brannon et al., 1997; Crease et al., 1998; Wessely et al., 2001) remained unchanged. However, β-catenin binding increases at both maternal Wnt target and zygotic wnt8a-regulated target loci at the 1000-cell stage (B). Note that the reduction of β-catenin binding following injection of axin mRNA indicates that maternally regulated endogenous β-catenin associates with not only maternal Wnt target genes but also zygotic wnt8a target genes. (C,D) Zgocytically activated β-catenin controls the expression of only zygotic wnt8a targets. wnt8a MO or CSKA-wnt8a DNA were injected at the two- to four-cell stage and gene expression and β-catenin binding were analysed at the early gastrula stage. Knockdown of wnt8a reduces, and zygotic activation of Wnt8a signalling increases, expression of the wnt8a target hoxd1, as a control. Whereas wnt8a knockdown or overexpression does not affect the expression of maternal Wnt-regulated genes (C), overactivation of Wnt8a signalling increases β-catenin binding to some maternal Wnt-regulated loci (D) but not to the well-characterised direct maternal Wnt target genes sia1 and nodal3.1. Error bars indicate s.d. and s.e.m. of three biological replicates for RT-qPCR and ChIP-qPCR, respectively.

**Context-specific expression of wnt8a target genes is regulated by BMP and FGF signalling subsequent to β-catenin recruitment**

Beyond the expected TCF/LEF motifs, de novo motif discovery among wnt8a target genes failed to identify further shared enriched motifs. We therefore sought to test earlier proposed hypotheses that combinatorial signalling underlies the context-specific expression of wnt8a-regulated genes. It has previously been suggested that, among our wnt8a-regulated genes, ventx1.2 is co-regulated by BMP signalling (e.g. Hoppler and Moon, 1998). To investigate whether co-regulation by Wnt and BMP signalling represents a shared mechanism for regulating context-specific expression of wnt8a targets (reviewed by Itasaki and Hoppler, 2010), we examined the requirement of BMP signalling for wnt8a target gene regulation by blocking the BMP pathway while maintaining constant levels of Wnt8a signalling. We found that the expression of another four genes, in addition to ventx1.2, is dependent on BMP signalling (Fig. 5A), but, importantly, not that of all 13 genes in the shortlist. Thus, although decisive for context-specific expression of some...
genes in this tissue, BMP signalling is not an indispensable element of any general mechanism for context-specific regulation of wnt8a target genes.

Among our other wnt8a targets, cdx4 had been shown to be co-regulated by FGF signalling (Haremaki et al., 2003). To examine whether other wnt8a targets are similarly co-regulated by FGF signalling, we analysed wnt8a target gene expression while inhibiting the FGF pathway under constant levels of Wnt8a signalling. Interestingly, compared with the BMP experiments, a largely distinct subset of wnt8a target genes was found to be BMP dependent (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that wnt8a-regulated genes can be categorised into at least two different groups based on co-regulation by different signalling pathways (Fig. 5C), and that there is therefore no collectively shared context-specific Wnt8a signalling mechanism that prevails in the ventral prospective mesoderm of gastrulae.

Since the BMP and FGF pathways are activated in different regions of early gastrulae (Fig. S8A) (Schohl and Fagotto, 2002), we examined whether contexts in which wnt8a target genes are regulated by these two pathways are spatially restricted. We performed whole-mount in situ hybridisation of several BMP-dependent or FGF-dependent wnt8a targets. Expression of the BMP-dependent wnt8a target gene msx1 was detected in the prospective ectoderm and mesoderm and, as expected, it was significantly reduced in both tissues when BMP signalling was inhibited (Fig. 5D). Experimentally enhanced Wnt8a activity strongly induced expression of both genes when endogenous FGF signalling was active, specifically in the marginal zone. These results suggest that the BMP and FGF pathways provide different, spatially restricted contexts where wnt8a target genes can be
activated in response to Wnt8a signalling; however, their respective spatially restricted contexts overlap in the prospective mesoderm.

We uncovered one shared aspect of gene regulation of these context-specific wnt8a targets. Since BMP and FGF signalling are required for normal wnt8a target gene regulation, we wondered whether these signalling mechanisms would regulate β-catenin recruitment to these wnt8a target loci. We observed, however, that β-catenin is still able to bind to wnt8a target loci at comparable levels to controls even when BMP or FGF signalling is inhibited, provided constant levels of Wnt8a signalling are maintained (Fig. 5F,G). This demonstrates that neither BMP nor FGF signalling restricts β-catenin recruitment to WREs. Rather, our results suggest that context-specific transcriptional activation of wnt8a targets by the BMP or FGF pathway takes place in addition, and subsequent, to Wnt-regulated β-catenin binding to cis-regulatory sequences associated with these genes.

**DISCUSSION**

β-catenin is required but not sufficient for Wnt-regulated transcriptional activation

The interaction of nuclear β-catenin with target genomic loci has been shown to be sufficient to activate target gene transcription for many specific examples studied in a variety of tissues and experimental systems (recently reviewed by Zhang and Cadigan, 2014). Our results, however, demonstrate that chromatin association of β-catenin does not necessarily imply transcriptional activation. This is also consistent with data from a cell culture model of colorectal cancer demonstrating chromatin association of β-catenin near to many genes that are not regulated by β-catenin function (Watanabe et al., 2014). Our study is the first to investigate this phenomenon and to provide evidence that β-catenin binding to target loci can be Wnt regulated even in embryonic contexts, in which these genes are not transcriptionally Wnt responsive (Fig. 4).

Furthermore, we uncover that molecular mechanisms (e.g. BMP or FGF pathways) required for context-specific transcriptional regulation of direct target genes do not influence the Wnt-regulated chromatin association of β-catenin (Fig. 5).

These unexpected mechanistic findings suggest a more general paradigm for Wnt-regulated transcriptional mechanisms. Thus, chromatin association of β-catenin, even to functional WREs, is only productive for Wnt signalling-regulated transcriptional activation in the appropriate developmental context (Fig. 6). This new insight helps explain why we identify chromatin association of β-catenin near many genes that are not overtly transcriptionally regulated by the Wnt signalling mechanism operating at this stage (Fig. 3). Taken at face value, this would suggest that β-catenin ChIP-seq analysis is not of sufficient use on its own for detecting direct transcriptionally Wnt-regulated target genes, and it raises questions about the biological significance of apparently widespread β-catenin binding across the genome.

**Molecular mechanisms regulating context-specific Wnt/β-catenin target gene expression**

Identifying direct Wnt8a/β-catenin targets was motivated by our ambition to uncover a unifying mechanism for context-specific Wnt/β-catenin target gene regulation in the ventrolateral prospective mesoderm. We wondered whether it would be possible to predict Wnt-regulated target genes from many β-catenin-bound loci. We found that wnt8a targets tend to show stronger and clearer β-catenin binding than non-wnt8a-regulated loci (Fig. 3E). While β-peaks generally appear to be enriched close to TSSs (as previously observed by Watanabe et al., 2014), this enrichment is even higher in confirmed wnt8a targets. These observations are consistent with the notion that transcriptionally regulated direct target genes exhibit high levels of transcription factor occupancy at nearby binding sites (Biggin, 2011). Although we anticipated that wnt8a-regulated genes would share specific DNA sequences under their β-catenin-bound regions, which we hoped would reveal a shared tissue-specific molecular regulatory mechanism, our sequence motif analysis suggested that only TCF/LEF-mediated mechanisms are shared (Fig. 3F). Individual β-catenin-associated genomic sequences contain consensus binding sequences for other transcription factors; however, such sequences are found both in the Wnt8a/β-catenin target genes that we identified and in non-wnt8a-regulated loci, and as such are not informative in the context of a shared tissue-specific molecular regulatory mechanism for Wnt/β-catenin target genes in the ventrolateral mesoderm. The presence of regulatory sequences for other transcription factors in some wnt8a target loci could indicate additional regulation of these genes, particularly by T-box transcription factors driving mesoderm induction and development in this tissue and at this stage (Gentsch et al., 2013). Overall, these trends do not add up to reliable criteria for predicting wnt8a-regulated genes from among all β-catenin-bound genomic loci, let alone Wnt transcriptionally regulated genes more generally.

Since direct target genes of maternal Wnt/β-catenin signalling were shown to be regulated by combinatorial Wnt and Smad2 (Activin/Nodal/TGFβ) signalling (Crease et al., 1998; Laurent et al., 1997), we hypothesized that context-specific wnt8a target genes shared an analogous common regulatory mechanism, possibly involving combinatorial signalling with another signalling mechanism. Indeed, we find that combinatorial signalling is important; however, more gene-specific mechanisms are unearthered: some wnt8a target genes are co-regulated by BMP, some by FGF signalling. The discovery of several classes of wnt8a target genes confirmed that there is no single, collectively shared tissue-specific mechanism for restricting Wnt/β-catenin target gene regulation in the context that we have investigated, and therefore studying these molecular mechanisms would not reveal shared ventral mesoderm-specific processes. This explains our inability to identify any shared motifs beyond potential TCF/LEF binding sequences. However, as expected, all wnt8a target genes co-regulated by BMP signalling contain potential Smad1 and Smad4 binding sequences, and all wnt8a targets co-regulated by FGF signalling contain potential ETS binding motifs.

**β-catenin binding to Wnt target genes in alternative contexts**

Our β-catenin ChIP-seq analysis at the early gastrula stage found β-peaks at gene loci known to be transcriptionally regulated by maternal Wnt signalling at an earlier stage. However, when zygotic Wnt8a signalling is experimentally activated, β-catenin occupancy increases at these gene loci, but not gene expression. Conversely, we can detect β-catenin binding to wnt8a target loci even before the onset of endogenous wnt8a expression (Fig. 4). This precarious β-catenin binding to wnt8a target loci is regulated by maternal Wnt signalling, but this binding does not cause increased transcriptional expression. These results support our conclusion that chromatin association of β-catenin does not imply Wnt-regulated transcriptional activation and are therefore also consistent with context-specific regulatory mechanisms acting subsequent to Wnt-regulated β-catenin binding, as discussed above.

**Widespread distribution of β-catenin binding**

Widespread binding to the genome is common for some DNA-binding transcription factors and is thought to be mediated via
between our sequences). In particular, we find a significant level of overlap tends to be recruited to putative CRMs (promoter and enhancer among the validated 13 direct analysis also identified TCF/LEF as the only shared sequence motif Wnt-induced embryonic stem cells (Zhang et al., 2013). Our Wnt signalling (Schuijers et al., 2014; Watanabe et al., 2014) and LEF motif-containing chromatin, both in cancer cells with activated 

β-catenin is reported to be predominantly associated with TCF/β-catenin for transcriptional regulation at Wnt/β-catenin signalling is mediated by TCF/LEF-dependent mechanisms. However, our de novo motif search revealed that non-wnt8a-regulated, yet β-catenin-bound, loci also contain consensus binding sequences for transcription factors other than TCF/LEF, suggesting that some β-catenin protein may interact with those transcription factors when associated with genomic sequences of non-wnt8a-regulated genes. In fact, such interactions have previously been reported for OCT4 (POU5F1) (Kelly et al., 2011), TBX5 (Rosenbluh et al., 2012), SOX proteins (Kormish et al., 2010) and FOX proteins (Zhang et al., 2011); and, among them, OCT4, TBX5 (Zhang et al., 2011), and SOX proteins (Kormish et al., 2010) are known to negatively regulate β-catenin-dependent transcriptional regulation. Thus, the β-catenin-bound yet non-wnt8a-regulated gene loci identified in our analysis might be deliberately repressed by these transcription factors. Alternatively, chromatin association of β-catenin via these transcription factors might act as part of a buffering system to fine-tune the availability of β-catenin for transcriptional regulation at Wnt/β-catenin target genes, similar to that previously suggested for fine-tuning the availability of functional DNA-binding transcription factors (MacQuarrie et al., 2011). In particular, our analysis of motifs enriched in β-peaks close to non-wnt8a-regulated loci identifies the same combined SOX and OCT4 motif that has previously been reported in embryonic stem cell studies (Zhang et al., 2013). Although technical bias cannot currently be excluded, the β-catenin chromatin association observed in our Xenopus embryos seem more similar to that of embryonic stem cells than cancer cells (Schuijers et al., 2014; Watanabe et al., 2014). Future analysis might confirm that β-catenin association with chromatin containing the combined SOX and OCT4 motif in particular is specifically prevalent in embryonic cells.

Wnt/β-catenin target genes in the genome

Our results do not allow us to rule out the possibility that low levels of nuclear β-catenin associate with chromatin to mediate other, as yet undiscovered functions for β-catenin in the genome or to be part of a buffering system to fine-tune the availability of β-catenin for transcriptional regulation, as mentioned above. β-catenin-bound, yet non-wnt8a-regulated, gene loci in our analysis could more generally represent real Wnt target genes, but those that are regulated by Wnt signalling in other tissues and at other stages. Consistent with this idea, the GO analysis suggests that such genes are more associated with functions at later stages of development, after the stage of our analysis in early gastrulation, such as neural development, and also with metabolism (Fig. 3D). As a particular example, sall4, which is among our β-catenin-bound but not our wnt8a-regulated genes, has recently been identified as a direct wnt3a target gene during neural development (Young et al., 2014). In addition, our wnt8a target msx1 showed a β-peak (msx1_U2) that is located at a conserved limb bud-specific enhancer (Miller et al.,
2007), consistent with our hypothesis that β-catenin recruitment already occurs during early embryonic stages to cis-regulatory elements required for Wnt-mediated regulation in other tissues at later stages. Furthermore, ~60% of orthologues of the Wnt target genes listed at the curated Wnt homepage (http://web.stanford.edu/group/nusselab/cgi-bin/wnt/) are represented in our list of β-catenin-bound genes. Likewise, our list contains 70% of homologues of direct β-catenin-bound genes. Likewise, our list contains 70% of β genes listed at the curated Wnt homepage (http://web.stanford.edu/group/nusselab/cgi-bin/wnt/). Our investigation challenges the fundamental concept that β-catenin recruitment to individual Wnt target genes predictably drives transcriptional expression (Fig. 6); instead, it introduces a more general paradigm for Wnt-regulated transcriptional mechanisms, which is more relevant for the repeated and tissue-specific functions of Wnt/β-catenin signalling in embryonic development, stem cell-mediated homeostasis and cancer. We discovered that chromatin association of β-catenin, even to functional WREs, does not necessarily imply transcriptional activation. Wnt signalling regulates β-catenin binding to target loci even in embryonic contexts, in which these gene loci are not transcriptionally Wnt responsive. Chromatin association of β-catenin is only productive for Wnt signalling-regulated transcriptional activation in the appropriate developmental context. Mechanisms regulating this developmental context therefore do not necessarily influence the Wnt-regulated association of β-catenin with chromatin. Our findings will also be relevant beyond early embryogenesis, with implications for cancer research and other Wnt-related diseases, where an abnormal subtle change in cellular context may induce the anomalous expression of genes, with deleterious consequences.

**Conclusions**

Our investigation challenges the fundamental concept that β-catenin recruitment to individual Wnt target genes predictably drives transcriptional expression (Fig. 6); instead, it introduces a more general paradigm for Wnt-regulated transcriptional mechanisms, which is more relevant for the repeated and tissue-specific functions of Wnt/β-catenin signalling in embryonic development, stem cell-mediated homeostasis and cancer. We discovered that chromatin association of β-catenin, even to functional WREs, does not necessarily imply transcriptional activation. Wnt signalling regulates β-catenin binding to target loci even in embryonic contexts, in which these gene loci are not transcriptionally Wnt responsive. Chromatin association of β-catenin is only productive for Wnt signalling-regulated transcriptional activation in the appropriate developmental context. Mechanisms regulating this developmental context therefore do not necessarily influence the Wnt-regulated association of β-catenin with chromatin. Our findings will also be relevant beyond early embryogenesis, with implications for cancer research and other Wnt-related diseases, where an abnormal subtle change in cellular context may induce the anomalous expression of genes, with deleterious consequences.

**Materials and Methods**

**Embryo experiments**

*Xenopus tropicalis* (Gray, 1864) embryos were obtained by natural mating of adult males and females or by *in vitro* fertilisation as described by del Viso and Khokha (2012) and staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1967). The fertilised embryos were injected with MOs and mRNAs, and treated with chemical inhibitors as indicated, and then cultured in 0.1× Marc’s Modified Ringer (MMR) at 28°C. MOs (Gene Tools) were: CoMO, 5′-CCCTTTAC-CTCAGTTACAATTTATA-3′ (Heasman et al., 2000); wnt8a MO, 5′-GGAGACTGCTATCCAGGTAAATGCT-3′ (Rana et al., 2006). pCSKA-wnt8a was created as a wnt8a MO-insensitive wnt8a gene by introducing nucleotide substitutions (Fig. S3A). Capped mRNA was synthesised using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE Kit (Ambion) and the following linearised DNA templates were used: pcS2+ Xwnt-8, Axin/CS2mt and pcS2+ noggin. SU5402 (SML0443) was purchased from Sigma. See the supplementary Materials and Methods for details.

**Whole-mount RNA in situ hybridisation**

Digoxigenin-labelled antisense RNA probes were synthesised from linearised template plasmids (see the supplementary Materials and Methods) using the MEGAscript Transcription Kit (Life Technologies) for use in whole-mount RNA *in situ* hybridisation as described by Lavery and Hoppler (2008).

**RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from whole embryos as described by Lee-Liu et al. (2012). cDNA was synthesised using the QuantiTech Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen).

**qPCR**

qPCR was performed using a LightCycler 480 and SYBR Green I Master reagents (Roche). For RT-qPCR, relative expression levels of each gene to odc1 were calculated and then normalised to the control. For primer sequences for RT-qPCR and ChiP-qPCR, see the supplementary Materials and Methods.

**ChIP**

ChIP analysis was carried out as described (Akkers et al., 2012; Blythe et al., 2010; Janssens et al., 2010) with slight modifications: after homogenisation, embryos were sonicated with a Bioruptor Plus (Diagenode). Two β-catenin antibodies, namely anti-Xenopus β-catenin antibody (1:28; Blythe et al., 2009) and anti-β-catenin antibody [1:28 (2 µg); H-102; sc-7199, Santa Cruz Biotechnology], and normal rabbit IgG [1:56 (2 µg); sc-2027, Santa Cruz Biotechnology] were used for immunoprecipitation. For optimised conditions of the β-catenin ChIP experiment, see Fig. S2 and the supplementary Materials and Methods.

**ChIP-seq**

β-catenin ChIP was performed using anti-β-catenin antibody (H-102) as described above. Two Illumina TrueSeq ChIP libraries were constructed from ChIP and input control DNA and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 2500 at The Genome Analysis Centre (TGAC, Norwich, UK). Sequenced reads were mapped to the *X. tropicalis* genome assembly JGI 4.2. Briefly, MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) and SPP (Kharchenko et al., 2008) were used for peak calling. Reproducible peaks were identified using the IDR method (Li et al., 2011). Peaks were assigned to closest genes using the distanceToNearest function [trracklayer (Lawrence et al., 2009) and GenomicRanges (Lawrence et al., 2013)]. Heat maps were created using HOMER, Cluster 3.0, and Java Treeview. Histograms were visualised using HOMER and Excel. De novo motif discovery was performed using MEME-ChIP. ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data were visualised on the UCSC genome browser. GO analysis was performed using the PANTHER classification system (Mi et al., 2013). We carried out statistical over-representation tests using PANTHER GO annotations (PANTHER GO-Slim Biological Process, PANTHER Protein Class, PANTHER Pathways). See the supplementary Materials and Methods for details. The ChIP-seq data sets are available in GEO under the accession number GSE72657.

**RNA-seq**

Total RNA was extracted as described by Lee-Liu et al. (2012). Illumina TruSeq RNA libraries were constructed and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 2000 at TGAC. Sequenced reads were aligned to the *X. tropicalis* genome JGI 4.2 with gsnap. Aligned reads were counted using HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015) and further differential gene expression analysis was carried out using DESeq2. See the supplementary Materials and Methods for details. The RNA-seq data sets are available in GEO under the accession number GSE72657.

**Reporter constructs and luciferase assay**

Genomic fragments of β-peaks were amplified by PCR and subcloned into the pGL4.10 vector (Promega) or a derivative vector pβ-actin-luc carrying a heterologous basal promoter. Embryos were injected with 40 pg reporter plasmid DNA together with 40 pg pRL-CMV (Promega) at the two- to four-cell stage, collected at the early gastrula stage, and assayed for luciferase activity. For cloning into luciferase reporter constructs, see the supplementary Materials and Methods.
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