Eggshell-Membrane-Derived Carbon Coated on LiFeSiO₄ Cathode Material for Li-Ion Batteries
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Abstract: Lithium iron orthosilicate (LFS) cathode can be prepared via the polyol-assisted ball milling method with the incorporation of carbon derived from eggshell membrane (ESM) for improving inherent poor electronic conduction. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern confirmed the diffraction peaks without any presence of further impure phase. Overall, 9 wt.% of carbon was loaded on the LFS, which was identified using thermogravimetric analysis. The nature of carbon was described using parameters such as monolayer, and average surface area was 53.5 and 24 m² g⁻¹ with the aid of Langmuir and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area respectively. The binding energy was observed at 285.66 eV for C–N owing to the nitrogen content in eggshell membrane, which provides more charge carriers for conduction. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images clearly show the carbon coating on the LFS, the porous nature of carbon, and the atom arrangements. From the cyclic voltammetry (CV) curve, the ratio of the anodic to the cathodic peak current was calculated as 1.03, which reveals that the materials possess good reversibility. Due to the reversibility of the redox mechanism, the material exhibits discharge specific capacity of 194 mAh g⁻¹ for the first cycle, with capacity retention and an average coulombic efficiency of 94.7% and 98.5% up to 50 cycles.
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1. Introduction

Employing clean and renewable energy is a challenging one for researchers trying to reduce the dependency on fossil fuels. Several attempts have been made to consume renewable energy without interruption. It is a key issue because of the intermittence and unpredictable nature of renewable/clean energy [1–4]. Storage devices hold a perfect place to rectify the above-mentioned issue and provide a constant energy supply whenever it needs. A progressive investigation has been implemented for commercializing a high-energy storage battery with nominal price by selecting abundant raw material [5–7]. Exclusively, Li-ion batteries are one the most promising solutions owing to their outstanding electrochemical performance [8]. Li-ion batteries comprise a separator [9], electrolyte [10], anode [11], and cathode [12]. Within this, the electrochemical performance and capacity of the battery are highly dependent on the choice of cathode materials. Several commercialized cathode materials used for lithium ion batteries often mentioned are LiCoO₂ [13], LiMnO₂ [14], LiFePO₄ [15], etc. LiFePO₄ exhibits better performance with features like non-toxic and abundant raw material, potential stability, safety etc., although its specific capacity need to improvise
After long research, Lithium iron silicate (LiFeSiO₄) arrived in 2005 with the theoretical capacity twice the time of LiFePO₄. It is also a safer and environmentally benign cathode material for energy storage applications [17]. Physical and electrochemical factors such as structural imperfection, ion diffusion, and low electronic conduction inhibit the utilization of theoretical capacity [18]. The inherent poor electronic conduction and ionic diffusion must be resolved by adopting some approaches such as particle size reduction [19], metal doping [20], and carbon coating on the surface of LFS [21]. The reduced particle size of LFS paved a way to shorten the Li⁺ diffusion path. Hence, nanosized materials are still emerging in Li-ion batteries [22]. Cation doping is an effective strategy for widening the Li⁺ diffusion channel and increases the output voltage of LFS-based Li-ion batteries [23]. Carbon coating is another effective approach to improve the electronic conductivity of cathodes made from LiFeSiO₄. Not only does it display excellent conduction behavior, carbon established an ideal matrix for cathode LFS which is due to the high dispersal over the surface. Besides, carbon coating prevents the decomposition of the electrolyte and integrates the particles within the volume. In this LFS, different carbon sources have been used to enhance the conductivity of LFS [24]. In the sol-gel method, sorbitanlaurat was used as carbon source by Qu et al. [25] and obtained the specific discharge capacity of 187 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C. Yen et al. [26] reveals that carbon derived from L-ascorbic acid on LFS exhibits the capacity of 137 mAh g⁻¹ at slow rate (C/16). The biological material methylcellulose is used as a carbon source and dispersing agent to enable the homogeneity of the particle and to control the crystal size [27]. The carbon composite is from Indian ink, which has good electronic conduction, and leads to good electrochemical performance with a discharge capacity of 197 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C [28]. Carbon covered over the surface, when the LFS is pyrolysis with 20 wt.% of starch and polymer backbone PVA delivers a discharge capacity of 135 mAh g⁻¹ at slow rate (C/16) [29]. The porous nature of carbon paved fast ionic transport, a highly accessible active area for Li-ion insertion [30]. According to these different carbon sources used as composite with LFS, the performance of the LFS cathode in strongly increased by implement the carbon coating technique.

Here an effort has been made to drive carbon from eggshell membranes, which is coated over the LiFeSiO₄ via the ball miller assisted polyol method. The prodigious consumption of hen eggs results in higher amounts of egg waste. The major problem associated with disposal of these eggshell membranes is faced by bakery and poultry authorities. Instead of treating these eggshells with hazardous chemicals for recycling, we can instead use carbon from eggshell membranes as a composite coating on LiFeSiO₄. Then the structural, morphological and electrochemical characterization was carried out for the egg-shell-derived carbon coated LFS (LFS@ESM). The prepared materials possess good cyclic performance of average capacity retention of 80.31% with a coulombic efficiency of 98.3% up to 100 cycles with an initial discharge specific capacity of 193 mAh g⁻¹ at 1 C.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1. Membrane Separation

The membrane was removed from the eggshell. First of all the broken eggshells were well washed with deionized water. Then the eggshells were immersed into the 1 M of HCl in order to dissolve CaCO₃ and collect the eggshell membrane easily [31]. This acid-treated membrane was washed and centrifuged with water for several times to obtain pH of 7. The obtained membrane was dried in vacuum oven for 12 h at 120 °C.

2.2. Polyol

The powdery LiFeSiO₄ was synthesized via the polyol method. In a typical procedure, iron (II) acetate anhydrous (Sigma-Aldrich, 95.0% purity, 9.99 mmol) dissolved in diethylene glycol, at 353 K via continuous stirring using a magnetic bar. In this reaction, diethylene glycol serves as a reducing agent and as a carbon source. After homogeneous mixing, lithium acetate (Alfa Aesar, 98.0% purity, 20.5 mmol), and tetraethyl orthosilicate (Alfa Aesar, 98.0% purity, 10.0 mmol) were added dropwise into the above solution and the mixture was stirred well at 245 °C for 18 h. Then the obtained LFS materials were washed using isopropanol for five times followed by drying at 120 °C overnight [32].
2.3. Ball Milling

As a sequence the equal wt. % of eggshell membrane and as-prepared LFS powder were ground using high-energy ball miller. The membrane acted as carbon source, which is used to enhance the electronic conductivity of LFS. Then the solution was dried completely at 413 K in vacuum over for the period of 15 h and the sample was ground by mortar and pestle. The dry powder was calcinated at 623 K for 4 h under Ar atmosphere. In order to get the structural stabilization, the calcinated sample was subjected to further heat treatment at 923 K in the Ar atmosphere for the period of 10 h. Finally, the carbon composite of lithium iron orthosilicate (LFS/C) was obtained. The schematic representation of the Lithium iron orthosilicate coated with egg-shell membrane (LFS@ESM) was shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of LFS@ESM.](image)

2.4. Physical and Electrochemical Characterization

The as-synthesized LFS/C compound was investigated with a PANalyticalX’Pert PRO powder X-ray diffractometer with monochromated using Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) to identify structure and phase purity. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic (XPS) analysis was carried out using a Theta Probe AR-XPS system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK). Surface area measurements were executed with Autosorb IQ series (Quantachrome Instruments). The surface morphology and carbon coating of as-synthesized active material were observed with the help of a high resolution transmission electron microscope and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) was performed with a Jeol Jem 2100 TEM analyzer. A Raman spectrum was performed on Renishaw InVia Raman spectrometer with an argon ion laser and charge coupled device detector. Thermogravimetric (TG) studies were performed using TA Instruments’ SDT Q600 thermogravimetric analyzer. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), Galvanostatic charge-discharge and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was carried out using an eight-channel Bio-Logic battery cycling station BCS 815 (Biologic Science Instrument).

2.5. Electrode Preparation and Coin Cell Fabrication

Electrochemical characterization was analyzed using CR2032 type coin cells. Fabrication of electrode was made in the ratio, 70 wt.% active composite material (LFS@ESM), 20 wt.% carbon (super P) and 10 wt.% binder poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVdF), as in the earlier reports [33,34]. In order to prepare the homogeneous mixture, all abovementioned materials have been ground with an N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) solvent. The homogeneous slurry was coated on the Al-foil (current collector) and dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h. The fabrication of 2032 coin cells comprising of carbon composite LiFeSiO4 as cathode, polypropylene as a separator and Li foil was used as a counter electrode with an electrolyte consisting of 1 M LiPF6 dissolved in EC: DMC (1:1 v/v). The fabricated 2032 cells were introduced into a galvanostatic charge-discharge profile within the voltage
range of 2.5–4.8 V versus Li+/Li at room temperature. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were measured at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹ between 2.5 and 4.5 V.

3. Results and Discussion

Carbon-coated lithium iron orthosilicate cathode (Li₂FeSiO₄/C) can be prepared with eggshell membrane as a carbon source via the polylol assisted ball miller method, which is represented as LFS@ESM in Figure 2a) to visualize the XRD patterns of the as-prepared Li₂FeSiO₄/C. The XRD pattern of LFS@ESM samples can be correctly assigned to the orthorhombic phases of Pmna which are consistent with the reported values of the lattice parameter: a = 6.2661 Å, b = 5.3295 Å and c = 5.0148 Å elsewhere. In addition, to check the phases, checking of impurity in as-synthesized Lithium iron orthosilicate is very necessary for a cathode [35,36]. No peak appearance at 35° and 43° (for FeO₄) suggested that as-prepared carbon composite LFS samples have high phase purity. The absence of impurity was further confirmed with XPS analysis discussed later. Here, it should be highlighted that Li₂FeSiO₄ has poor electronic conductivity of three or four orders of magnitude lower than LiFePO₄ [37]. It reduces electrochemical performance of the cathode material to a great extent. Figure 2b) shows the thermogravimetric (TG) curve of as-synthesized LFS@ESM recorded under air flow with the temperature range 25 °C to 800 °C. The TG experiment was performed to determine the amount of carbon in as-synthesized LFS@ESM. All the carbon in the as-synthesized sample was decomposed at elevated temperature. From TG study, approximately 9 wt. % of carbon was detected in the LFS@ESM [38]. This carbon loading could be helped for enhancing electrochemical activity. Also, the 9 wt. % of carbon was in-situ with the LFS materials. Hence, in-situ carbon was not compared with the external carbon (super P). Quantity alone was not enough to interpret the electrochemical behavior. In addition quality was a concern [39]. Therefore, quality has been recognized by the Raman spectrum and nitrogen adsorption and desorption experiment for the as-prepared LFS@ESM, as shown in Figure 2c. From the Raman spectrum, two peaks are pointed out one is for order graphite (G) peak at 1586 cm⁻¹ and another one is for disorder (D) peak at 1340 cm⁻¹, which were aroused due to E₂g zone-center and A₁g zone-edge mode vibration [40]. The degree of graphitization of LFS@ESM depends on the ratio of integrated intensity of D and G-band (I_D/I_G) is equal to 0.94, the value less than one indicates that higher graphitized carbon (better quality). Tuinstra and Koenig describe the relation between the in-plane correlation length Lₓ and the spectroscopic I_D/I_G.
\[ L_a = 2.4 \times 10^{-10} (\lambda \times \frac{I_G}{I_D}), \]  

(1)

Where \( L_a \) is a measure of the structural order present in the plane of the graphite material and \( \lambda \) represents the coefficient of excited wavelength (532 nm) [41]. The in-plane correlation length for as-prepared LFS@ESM is 135 nm corresponding to the ratio 0.93 represents the average plane length of layered amorphous carbon.

Further, the porous nature of the carbon composite in LFS (porous) was investigated by using nitrogen adsorption-desorption experiments at 77.3 K. Firstly, the LFS@ESM sample was degassed at 120 °C for 12 h under vacuum for the measurement. According to the IUPAC classification, Figure 3a has clearly indicated the Type II isotherm, correlates the microporous nature with small hysteresis loop. Figure 3b shows the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area plotted between \( 1/(Q(p^o-p)) \) and relative pressure within the range from 0.07 to 0.30. The calculated average surface area from the graph is 24 m² g⁻¹. From Figure 3c, the Langmuir surface area was calculated as 53 m² g⁻¹, which represents the monolayer adsorption of nitrogen. The pore size distribution of the LFS@ESM was derived by using the density functional theory method. As shown in Figure 3d, the micro-, meso- as well as the macro-porous region paved a pathway for Li-ion migration, which lead the electrochemical activities [30]. Generally, the large BET surface area causes side reaction, which is the reason for capacity fading. The composite LFS@ESM exhibits low surface area of 24 m² g⁻¹ that is comparatively lower then report value 27 m² g⁻¹ [42]. Therefore, the LFS@ESM holds good stability due to the presence of porous carbon.

**Figure 3.** (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption, (b) Brunauer–Emmett–Teller plot (BET), (c) Langmuir surface area, (d) pore size distribution of LFS@ESM.
The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy is a major tool for identifying the oxidation state of particular chemical species present in the as-prepared material. The survey spectrum of LFS@ESM as shown in Figure 4a which comprised elements such as Li, Si, Fe, O besides C, marked with respect to their corresponding binding energies. The deconvolution of O1s assigned at 531 eV for silicate oxygen in LiFeSiO₄ is shown in Figure 4b. In Figure 4c, other oxidation species of Fe (Fe³⁺) cannot be identified; only the fingerprint binding energy observed at 711 and 725 eV, confirmed the oxidation state of Fe²⁺. Further, it can be confirmed with cyclic voltammetry study. The peak at 101.5 eV detected in Figure 4d is due to the presence of silicate. Consequently, the peak assigned at 55.58 eV is for lithium binding with oxygen (Figure 4e). The deconvolution of the carbon band shown in Figure 4f, provides three different peaks at high intensity for the binding energy 284.11 eV, correlates to the carbon interaction (C–C) and medium intensity at 285.66 eV for carbon and nitrogen binding (C-N). The presence of nitrogen is due to the addition of eggshell membrane (organic matter with some nitrogen content). The minimum peak at 287.56 eV corresponds to carbon and oxygen interaction (O–C=O). These carbon bonds with nitrogen would provide the excess charge carrier for enhancing the electronic conduction.

**Figure 4.** (a) Survey, (b) O1s, (c) Fe2p, (d) Si2p, (e) Li2s, and (f) C1s x-ray photoelectron spectroscopic (XPS) spectra of LFS@ESM.
Figures 5a–e and 6a–d show the transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of as-synthesized LFS@ESM. The morphology of the composite LiFeSiO₄ with the carbon source eggshell membrane is shown in Figure 5a. An isolated particle with uniformly wrapped carbon on the LFS is clearly indicated in Figure 5b. Figure 5c shows the LFS material with carbon; Figure 5d represents the polycrystalline nature and the atoms of LFS@ESM can be clearly seen in Figure 5e. The d-spacing of 2.97 Å and 3.14 Å were correlated with the oxygen and oxygen interaction in the Li-O tetragonal of LFS [43]. The formation of carbon in LFS@ESM was clearly captured by Figure 6a–d. Figure 6a displays the segregation of carbon molecules as a “t” like structure. The carbon surface with porous nature (Figure 6b) enhances the Li-ion diffusion. Figure 6c shows the surface carbon without any fringe and the SAED pattern indicates the amorphous nature of coated carbon on LFS (Figure 6d).

**Figure 5.** (a,b) Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images at 100 nm and 20 nm, (c) lattice fringes, (d) selected area electron diffraction SAED, and (e) individual atom of as-synthesized LFS@ESM.
As-prepared carbon-coated LFS material was subjected to electrochemical analysis. Especially, the cyclic voltammetry was recorded for the potential windows of 1.6 to 4.5 V vs Li/Li with the scan rate 0.5 mV s⁻¹, shown in Figure 7a. Anodic peak potential was observed at 3.10 V due to the conversion of Fe²⁺ state to Fe³⁺ with the onset potential of 2.8 V. Consequently, the Fe³⁺ was reduced to the Fe²⁺ cathodic peak located at 2.49 V with an onset potential of 2.65 V. The anodic (iₐ) and cathodic peak (iₚ) separation was around 0.6 V and the value of 1.03 is obtained from the ratio of anodic to cathodic peak current. With respect to this value, the electrode reaction might be reversible nature. The non-faradic current in this cell is 11.82 mA, due to the facial adsorption phenomenon [44,45]. In Figure 7b, the cyclic performance of the cell cycled at 1 C, for 100 cycles the initial specific discharge capacity is high as compared to other carbon based reports, as cited above. The specific capacity of LFS@ESM was achieved due the structure stability of LFS during insertion and exertion. The higher initial specific capacity is only obtained with the aid of carbon coating at 1 C. The average columbic efficiency of 98.83% with the capacity retention of 80.31% is from the LFS@ESM sample after the 100th cycle. The inset in Figure 7b, displays the galvanostatic charge and discharge profile of the LFS@ESM cycled at 1 C with a potential window of 4.8 to 1.5 V. The charge profiles all the cycles (1 to 100) possess a voltage plateau at ~3.15 V, which represents the transformation of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ via anodic reaction and other plateau at ~4.2 V due to the combined capacity effect of nanomaterials in LFS@ESM with a C-rate of 1 C. The excess of specific discharge capacity at first cycle is 194 mAh g⁻¹, which is due to the anion redox mechanism [46], which is comparably higher than the previous report.
with various carbon sources such as sorbitolaurat [25], starch and polymer backbone PVA [29], L-ascorbic acid [26] and Indian ink [28]. In the following cycles up to the 100th cycle, the specific capacity gradually reduces to 155 mAh g⁻¹, due to the antisite exchanging process during cycling. From Figure 7c, the rate performance of the LFS@ESM was studied at different C-rate like 0.1, 0.2, 1 and 2 C delivered specific capacities of 258.61, 237.53, 187.02 and 134.11 mAh g⁻¹ for every third cycle. When the cell cycled at 2 C (high) and came back to 0.1 C (low), the LFS@ESM exhibits good reversibility with a difference of 15 mAh g⁻¹ with respect to initial specific capacity of 0.1 C. As seen from Figure 7d the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the LFS@ESM sample was investigated for fresh cell and cell cycled after the 50th cycle. Both samples have similar behavior for the high frequency region (depressed semicircle) and for the low frequency region. Generally, small intersects at high frequency with real parts is known as solution resistance (Rₛ), which describes the resistance between the electrode and electrolyte. The electrode kinetics can be identified with the diameter of the semicircle, which is represented as charge transfer resistance (Rₜ). \( \text{Li}^+ \) diffusion can be understood by using the inclined line generated at low frequency, which is called Warburg diffusion (Zₚ). The height of the semicircle provides information on the capacity of the material; it is named double layer capacitor (Cₕₐ). From the analysis, the comparison between fresh cell and cell cycled after the 50th cycle has been carried out to identify the behavior of solution resistance, charge transfer resistance, and Warburg resistance respectively. The solution resistance of fresh cell is 6.12 Ω which is increased up to 12.52 Ω after it attains 50 cycles, but in the case of charge transfer resistance exhibits vice versa with a fresh cell resistance 412 Ω decreased 103 Ω for the cell cycled after 50 cycles. These values are obtained from fitting the Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) with the equivalent circuit, which is inserted in Figure 7d. The additional inserted picture in the same figure reveals the information on immobile ions in the electrode at fresh cell (green color electrode) and the cell at 50th cycle (red color electrode). The capacity of the materials is reduced, which can be clearly identified from the height of the semicircle for the 50th cycle, which is very low as compared to the fresh cell [47].

![Image](image_url)

Figure 7. (a) Cyclic voltammetry, (b) Cycle performance and Galvanostatic charge discharge (inset), (c) Rate performance and (d) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of as-synthesized LFS@ESM.

Figure 8a, shows the XRD studies of LFS@ESM after the cell cycled up to 100 cycles. It was interpreted that listed planes, such as (110), (111), (020), (121), (112), (400) and (103), were matched
with the as-synthesized LFS@ESM electrode. However, the electrode underwent tremendous structural disorder during cycling which can be noticed by the change in the intensity of the intense peak. Figure 8b shows the survey spectrum of LFS@ESM after 100 cycles. The presence of chemical elements with atomic percentage was identified as oxygen (33.21%), carbon (22.50%), lithium (35.57%), silicon (5.30%), and iron (3.42%).

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** (a) XRD and (b) XPS spectrum of LFS@ESM after 100 cycles.

4. Conclusions

Carbon composite lithium iron orthosilicate (LFS@ESM) can be prepared via the polyol assisted ball milling method for improving poor electronic conduction, with the carbon derived from the biomass eggshell membrane. The XRD pattern confirms the diffraction peak without any phase impurities. The quantity (9 wt.%) and quality (I_D/I_G = 0.93) of carbon has been justified with TG and Raman analysis. Besides, the existence of layered carbon with an in-plane correlation length Laa of 135 nm was determined. Subsequently, the calculated monolayer and average surface area is 53 and 24 m² g⁻¹ with the aid of Langmuir and BET surface area. The BET surface area 24 m² g⁻¹ has provided the cyclic stability of the material. The micro-, meso- and macro- porous nature of carbon enriches the electrochemical phenomenon. The binding energy observed at 285.66 eV for C–N due to the nitrogen content in eggshell membrane, which leads to improve the electronic conductivity. TEM images clearly show the carbon coating on the LFS, the porous nature of carbon, and the atom arrangements. The value 1.03 is obtained for the ratio of the anodic to cathodic peak current, which means the LFS@ESM electrode was reversible. The higher initial specific capacity is only obtained with the aid of carbon coating at 1 C. LFS@ESM electrode exhibits better columbic efficiency of 98.5% with the capacity retention of 94.7% up to 50 cycles. As a result, ESM improved the specific capacity of LFS. Hence, carbon derived from ESM acts as a potential candidate for energy storage applications.
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