Diagnosis of entrepreneurial competency of selected retailers in Chennai
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Abstract
Retailing as an industry is undergoing phenomenon transformation in the wake of technological changes and Government policy initiatives. Retailers in general and small retailers, in particular, has to possess entrepreneurial competencies in order to survive and succeed in this turbulent environment. This paper is an attempt to diagnose the entrepreneurial competency of Provision store and Supermarket retailers in Chennai. The researcher collected data from 103 retailers and analyzed their level of entrepreneurial competency and its association with their demographic profile. The study reveals that retailers are not possessing a great deal of entrepreneurial competency. And the demographic profile of the respondents significantly impacts their entrepreneurial competencies.
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Introduction
Richard Cantillon defined the entrepreneur as an individual who buys the produce from someone at a certain price and resells them at an uncertain price. (Cantillon, 1755). Apart from being a reseller, they also act as creators of innovative change in the society (Sweedberg, 2009, Schumpeter, 1934; 1961). Entrepreneurs should possess a high degree of entrepreneurial competency. Entrepreneurial Competency is the capability of the entrepreneur to face a critical situation effectively in spite of environmental constraints, with the help of available resources. (Landström, H., 2007). Entrepreneurial competency is the individual traits, which result in venture success. (Bird, 1996)

For new entrepreneurs, the retail sector offers suitable entry option. (Davidson & Steffens, 2011; Long, Yang, & Gao, 2010). In today’s circumstances, in the wake of globalization, technological changes and government policy changes, the retail sector is undergoing structural change. Competition in the retail industry has increased, and this requires efficiency and effectiveness (Corsten & Gruen, 2003; Ellram, La Londe, & Weber, 1999; van Zelst, S., van Danselaar, K, 2009). On the other hand, small unorganized retailers are unprepared to face growing competition. (Ali, J., Chandra, A., & Ali, T., 2017). Role distinctive competencies of rural retailers will positively impact their competitive advantage (Mcgee and Finney 1997). This requires retailers to possess high degree of entrepreneurial competency.

Literature Review
The research on competency gained momentum with an article by McClelland on competence and intelligence (McClelland, 1973). Opportunity seeking competency, organizing competence, strategic competence, relationship competence, commitment competency and conceptual competency are the six facets of entrepreneurial competency (Man, T. W., Lau, T., & Chan, K. F., 2002.) Entrepreneurial competencies significantly impact business performance and competitive ability (Man et al, 2002), business growth and success (Colombo and Grilli, 2005).

Retailers who possess a broad range of distinctive competencies are outperforming those with few competencies (Mcgee, J. E., Love, L. G., & Festervand, T. A., 2000). There are several internal and external factors which will influence the grocery store retailer's business performance (Kumar, Karande, 2000). Distinctive entrepreneurial competence is significantly associated with the sustainable competitive advantage (Fernandez, A. I., Lara, P. R., Ugalde, M. C., & Sisodia, G. S., 2018)

Statement of the Problem
Retailers should possess entrepreneurial competency in order to sustain and succeed in business. The presence of entrepreneurial competency will give the needed breathing space to survive the massive competition. The present study tries to analyze the degree of entrepreneurial competency of small retailers in Chennai across their demographic profile.

Research Objectives
1. To explore the relationship between the demographic profile of respondents, viz., gender, age, educational qualification, nature of ownership and Level of Entrepreneurial Competency
2. To study the Intra-relationship between the factors of entrepreneurial Competency among the selected respondents

Research Hypothesis
H1: There is a significant mean difference subsist between the demographic profile of respondents, like, gender, age, educational qualification and nature of ownership with respect to levels of entrepreneurial competency.
H2: There is a significant association present among the factors of entrepreneurial competency between the selected retailers with special reference to retailers in Chennai.
Research Design

The researcher carried out a descriptive analysis to explore the entrepreneurial competency of retailers in Chennai. The study is carried out in Tambaram taluk in Chennai. Provision store and Supermarket retailers operating with a floor space of 200-5000sq.ft and registered with Tamil Nadu traders association, (Tamil Nadu vanigar sangangalin peravai) are the population. Among the 4200 odd members, 115 respondents were selected as the sample through multi-stage area sampling. One hundred and three retailers completely filled up the questionnaires. Entrepreneurial Competency scale developed by Man et al., (2012) is adopted, and it is suitably adjusted for retailers with the contribution from Experts. It consists of Likert’s scale questions, and the reliability of the constructs are tested with the help of Cronbach alpha.

Data Analysis

This segment highlights the outcomes of data analysis performed, viz., frequency analysis, descriptive study, t-test, one-way ANOVA and Correlation Analysis.

Frequency Analysis

Table 1 depicts the profile of the samples regarding gender, age, educational qualification, nature of ownership, duration of business, and floor space of the retail store.

Table 1: Demographic profile of the respondents

| S. No | Particulars                  | Frequency | Percent |
|-------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1     | Gender                       |           |         |
|       | Male                         | 53        | 51.5    |
|       | Female                       | 50        | 48.5    |
|       | Total                        | 103       | 100.0   |
| 2     | Age group                    |           |         |
|       | Less than 30 Years           | 20        | 19.4    |
|       | 30 - 45 Years                | 38        | 36.9    |
|       | More than 45 Years           | 45        | 43.7    |
|       | Total                        | 103       | 100.0   |
| 3     | Educational Qualification    |           |         |
|       | Up to HSE                    | 47        | 45.6    |
|       | ITI / Diploma                | 15        | 14.6    |
|       | UG Degree                    | 29        | 28.2    |
|       | PG Degree                    | 12        | 11.7    |
|       | Total                        | 103       | 100.0   |
| 4     | Nature of Ownership          |           |         |
|       | Partnership                  | 25        | 24.3    |
|       | Sole proprietorship          | 48        | 46.6    |
|       | Family owned business        | 30        | 29.1    |
|       | Total                        | 103       | 100.0   |
| 5     | Duration of the business     |           |         |
|       | Less than 10 years           | 20        | 19.4    |
|       | 11 - 20 years                | 34        | 33.0    |
|       | 21- 30 years                 | 20        | 19.4    |
|       | Above 30 Years               | 29        | 28.2    |
|       | Total                        | 103       | 100.0   |
| 6     | Floor Space of Retail shop   |           |         |
|       | 200 – 2000 Sq.ft             | 55        | 53.4    |
|       | 2001 – 3500 Sq.ft            | 38        | 36.9    |
|       | 3501–5000 Sq.ft              | 10        | 9.7     |
|       | Total                        | 103       | 100.0   |

Source: Primary data

From Table 1, it is seen that the number of male respondents (53 members) is a little more than the number of female respondents (50 members). Young respondents below the age of 30 years (20 persons) are the lowest whereas respondents who are more than 45 years of age are the leading
group (45 persons). Respondents with PG qualification are the least (12 members) while those with HSE and below are the highest (47 members).

In the samples, the majority of the stores investigated are sole-proprietorship business (48 stores), whereas family-owned stores come next (30 stores) and partnership business are the least (25 shops). Retail stores who are functioning for 11 to 20 years are the maximum (34 stores). Majority of the stores are small size shops with floor space of 200-2000sq.ft (55 stores) while mid-sized stores with floor space of 2001-3500sq.ft come next (38 stores), and large stores with floor space of 3501-5000sq.ft are very less (10 stores only).

**Entrepreneurial competency – Descriptive Study**

Descriptive study, like mean and standard deviation, has been analyzed and the outcomes have been shown in table 2.

**Table 2: Entrepreneurial competency – descriptive analysis**

| Factors                        | N   | Min. Score | Max. Score | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Cron-Bach Alpha |
|--------------------------------|-----|------------|------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Opportunity Seeking Competency | 103 | 4          | 20         | 11.88 | 4.843          | .877            |
| Relationship Competency        | 103 | 6          | 30         | 18.83 | 7.000          | .730            |
| Conceptual Competency          | 103 | 7          | 35         | 20.46 | 7.839          | .768            |
| Organizing Competency          | 103 | 10         | 50         | 31.52 | 11.746         | .733            |
| Strategic Competence           | 103 | 9          | 45         | 25.70 | 11.893         | .802            |
| Commitment Competency          | 103 | 4          | 20         | 11.72 | 5.040          | .727            |
| Entrepreneurial Competency     | 103 | 40         | 200        | 120.12| 40.350         | .796            |

Source: Primary data

Table 2 shows the descriptive study of entrepreneurial competency. The mean of all the dimensions is in the average range. They are neither on the maximum side nor on the minimum side. It shows that retailers are having the average level of competency only. All the six facets of entrepreneurial competency are having Cron-bach alpha greater than 0.7, and it confirms the reliability of the constructs.

**Table 3: Gender and level of entrepreneurial competency**

| Gender                  | N   | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | Levene's Test | T test |
|-------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
|                         |     |       |                |                 | F             | Sig.   | df   | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| Entrepreneurial Competency | 53  | 111.85| 37.036         | 5.087           | 1.189         | .278   | 101  | .032          |
| Female                  | 50  | 128.88| 42.204         | 5.969           | 1.189         | .278   | 101  | .032          |

Source: Primary data

Table 3 highlights Levene's test for equality of variances and t-test outcomes. For ensuring homogeneity between groups, Levene's test of significance should be higher than 0.05, and in the present case, it is 0.278 which shows homogeneity between the two groups at 0.05 level of significance. Since P-value 0.032 is less than 0.05 level of significance the alternative hypothesis is accepted. There is a significant mean difference exist among the gender concerning their level of entrepreneurial competency.

**Association between Age of retailers and Level of Entrepreneurial Competency**

The level of entrepreneurial competency concerning the age of the retailers is analyzed using one-way ANOVA, and the results are exhibited in table 4.

| entrepreneurial competency | Age of Retailers | N   | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | Test of homogeneity | ANOVA |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----|-------|----------------|------------|---------------------|-------|
|                            |                  |     |       |                |            | Levene’s Statistic  | p value | F value | p value |
| Entrepreneurial Competency | <30              | 20  | 102.75| 35.406         | 7.917      | 2.939               | .058   | 3.994   | .021    |
|                            | 30-45            | 38  | 116.03| 45.392         | 7.364      | 2.939               | .058   | 3.994   | .021    |
|                            | >45              | 45  | 131.29| 34.944         | 5.209      | 2.939               | .058   | 3.994   | .021    |
|                            | Total            | 103 | 120.12| 40.350         | 3.976      | 2.939               | .058   | 3.994   | .021    |

Source: Primary data
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In the above Table 4 group descriptive analysis, the test of homogeneity, and ANOVA test results are shown. Levine's test of homogeneity indicates a p value of .058 which is above 0.05 and therefore homogeneity of variance is not significant. The p value in ANOVA is .021 which is less than 0.05; it shows that alternative hypothesis (Ha1) is accepted at 0.05 level of significance. Hence it is confirmed that there is a significant mean difference exists between the retailer's age and their level of Entrepreneurial competency.

**Association between Education and Level of Entrepreneurial Competency**

The difference in the level of entrepreneurial competency with respect to the educational qualification of the respondents is given in table 5.

| Nature of Orientation | N    | Mean          | Std. Deviation | Std. Error |
|-----------------------|------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| ITI / Diploma         | 15   | 118.47        | 48.997         | 12.651     |
| UG Degree             | 29   | 126.55        | 38.684         | 7.183      |
| PG Degree             | 12   | 149.42        | 46.426         | 13.402     |
| Total                 | 103  | 120.12        | 40.350         | 3.976      |

Source: Primary data

The above Table 5, highlights the group descriptive analysis, the test of homogeneity, and ANOVA test outcomes. Levine's test gives a p value of 0.055 which is above 0.05, and hence homogeneity of variance is not present. ANOVA test shows a p-value of .013, which is below .05 and therefore null hypothesis (H1) is rejected at 0.05 level of significance. It is proved that the respondent's entrepreneurial competency is significantly dependent on their educational qualification.

**Table 6: Nature of ownership and levels of entrepreneurial competency**

| Nature of Ownership | N    | Mean          | Std. Deviation | Std. Error |
|---------------------|------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| Partnership Business| 25   | 122.56        | 41.922         | 8.384      |
| Sole proprietorship| 48   | 123.75        | 44.244         | 6.386      |
| Family owned business| 30 | 112.27        | 31.760         | 5.799      |
| Total               | 103  | 120.12        | 40.350         | 3.976      |

Source: Primary data

Table 6, presents group descriptive analysis, the test of homogeneity and ANOVA test outcome. Levene's test of homogeneity gives a p value of 0.060. It is above 0.05 which proves homogeneity of variance is not significant. Anova test gives a p-value of .450, p-value more than 0.05 shows that the null hypothesis is not rejected at 0.05 level of significance. Hence nature of ownership does not significantly affect their entrepreneurial competency.

**Intra-relationship between the facets of entrepreneurial competency within the selected retailers**

The intra-relationship between the components of entrepreneurial competency among the selected retailers have been studied through Pearson Correlation Analysis.
Table 7: Intra-relationship among the factors of entrepreneurial competency within the selected retailers

| Entrepreneurial Competency | Opportunity Seeking Competency | Relationship Competency | Conceptual Competency | Organising Competency | Strategic Competency | Commitment Competency |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Opportunity Seeking Competency | 1                              | .614**                  | .623**                | .571**                | .505**              | .668**                |
| Relationship Competency     | .614**                          | 1                       | .595**                | .586**                | .709**              | .635**                |
| Conceptual Competency        | .623**                          | .595**                  | 1                     | .591**                | .694**              | .628**                |
| Organising Competency        | .571**                          | .586**                  | .591**                | 1                     | .648**              | .631**                |
| Strategic Competence         | .505**                          | .709**                  | .694**                | .648**                | 1                   | .639**                |
| Commitment Competency        | .668**                          | .635**                  | .628**                | .631**                | .639**              | 1                     |
| Entrepreneurial Competency   | .746**                          | .822**                  | .827**                | .846**                | .882**              | .809**                |

P.S. **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).

Table 7 shows the intra-relationship within factors of entrepreneurial competency among the selected retailers in Chennai. Through the table, it is seen that all the elements are inter-correlated at 0.01 level of significance and they are also correlated with entrepreneurial competency at 0.01 level of significance.

Intra-relationship is highest between relationship competency and strategic competency at 70.9% while it is lowest among opportunity seeking competency and strategic competency with 50.5%. It is found that strategic competency with 88.2% correlation, is the leading factor in correlating with entrepreneurial competency and opportunity seeking competency is the least associated factor with 74.6%.

This shows the validity of the alternative hypothesis. There is a significant relationship exist between factors of entrepreneurial competency within the selected retailers in Chennai.

Results and Discussions

The descriptive study of respondent’s demographic profile shows that the majority of respondents are male. Majority of them are in the age group of more than 45 years. Most of the respondents have finished up to or below HSE only while those with PG qualification are the least. Majority of the stores are sole proprietorship business, and most of them are functioning for 11 to 20 years. The mean of entrepreneurial competency of the selected retailers is in the average range only. They do not possess high degree of entrepreneurial competency.

The study on the relationship between demographic profile of the respondent’s and their entrepreneurial competency shows that gender, age and educational qualification are associated with entrepreneurial competency at 0.05 level of significance. Whereas nature of ownership is not significantly influencing their entrepreneurial competency. Thus, most of the demographic profile factors barring nature of ownership are influencing entrepreneurial competency.

While studying the inter-relationship between entrepreneurial competency factors, it is found that all the dimensions of entrepreneurial competency are significantly correlated and each dimension is correlated substantially with entrepreneurial competency. This substantiates the part findings of McGee, J. E., & Peterson, M., (2000). Where they found that entrepreneurial competency factors are strongly correlated and they are positively related to firm performance.

Conclusion

Entrepreneurial competency is a key variable that influences organizational capability, competitive scope, and firm performance. (Sánchez, José, 2012). The present study throws light on the degree of entrepreneurial competency of retailers in Chennai. The entrepreneurial competency of small retailers in Chennai is in the average range only, and their demographic profile significantly influences their entrepreneurial competency. Retailers should focus on improving their entrepreneurial competency.
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