Synthesis and Characteristics of Double-Shell Mesoporous Hollow Silica Nanomaterials to Improve CO₂ Adsorption Performance
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Abstract: To improve the adsorption performance of carbon dioxide, which is considered the main culprit of greenhouse gases, the specific surface area and high pore volume of the adsorbing material should be considered. For a porous material, the performance of carbon dioxide adsorption is determined by the amine groups supporting capacity; the larger the pore volume, the greater the capacity to support the amine groups. In this study, a double-shell mesoporous hollow silica nanomaterial with excellent pore volume and therefore increased amine support capacity was synthesized. A core–shell structure capable of having a hollow shape was synthesized using polystyrene as a core material, and a double-shell mesoporous shape was synthesized by sequentially using two types of surfactants. The synthesized material was subjected to a sintering process of 600 degrees, and the N₂ sorption analysis confirmed a specific surface area of 690 m²/g and a pore volume of 1.012 cm³/g. Thereafter, the amine compound was impregnated into the silica nanomaterial, and then, a carbon dioxide adsorption experiment was conducted, which confirmed that compared to the mesoporous hollow silica nanomaterial synthesized as a single shell, the adsorption performance was improved by about 1.36 times.
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1. Introduction

With the industrial revolution, the use of fossil fuels has rapidly increased, resulting in a gradual increase in carbon dioxide generation, which has had a significant impact on the atmospheric environment [1–3]. Consequently, the impacts of global warming are becoming more serious, and carbon dioxide adsorption has become an important research subject worldwide [4–8].

Currently, there is active research on adsorption using porous materials, such as zeolite [9–11], activated carbon [12–15], metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) [16–19], and mesoporous silica with amine functional groups [20–23]. Among them, mesoporous silica has shown the high specific surface area and tremendous porous characteristics compared to other materials, and it is able to introduce various functional groups due to the presence of numerous hydroxyl (-OH) groups on the surface. Owing to these characteristics, mesoporous silica has demonstrated excellent gas adsorption properties [24]. In addition, the mesoporous silica with an amine functional group shows excellent carbon dioxide adsorption properties, even in a moist environment; the amine group on the silica surface combines with carbon dioxide even in the presence of moisture to form a hydrogen carbonate salt, so that the porous silica can adsorb CO₂ [25]. That is, in the case of porous silica with an amine functional group, it has the advantage of supplementing the water stability, which was lacking in the other existing materials, and it was identified as a disadvantage [26].

So far, various studies on how to improve the physical and chemical properties of mesoporous silica have been conducted. Especially, the research on mesoporous hollow
silica, which is known to have a unique structure, has attracted a lot of interest [27]. Commendably, the advantage of providing a specific surface area and a three-dimensional pore structure by synthesizing a porous hollow silica shell enables improvement in the carbon dioxide adsorption performance. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to further increase the advantages of the mesoporous hollow silica through a double-shell mesoporous synthesizing method. In addition, the carbon dioxide adsorption performance of a double-shell mesoporous hollow silica is compared with that of the single shell.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

Styrene (≥99%, Sigma–Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA) was used as a core to make a hollow structure, and 2,2’-azobis (2-methylpropionamidine) dihydrochloride (AIBA, 97%, Sigma–Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA) was used as an initiator for the polymerization of styrene. To provide the porosity of the hollow silica, cetyltrimethylammonium chloride (CTACl, 25 wt %, Sigma–Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA) and surfactant of poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(propylene glycol)-block-poly(ethylene glycol) (P123, Sigma–Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA) were used, tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS, 98%, Sigma–Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA) was used as a silica precursor, and aqueous ammonia (NH₄OH, 25–30%, Duksan, Seoul, Korea) and hydrochloric acid (HCl, 35–37%, SAMCHUN, Seoul, Korea) were used for pH adjustment. Tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA, 93%, Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan) was used to introduce an amine group into the synthesized mesoporous hollow silica.

2.2. Polystyrene Synthesis

Polystyrene is required as a template to synthesize the mesoporous hollow silica. For the synthesis of polystyrene as a template, first, 20 mL of styrene was added to 600 mL of water in a three-necked flask, and then, it was stirred at 400 rpm. After stirring at room temperature (RT) for 30 min, 2.0 g of AIBA was added, and the temperature was raised to 95 degrees and stirred for 18 h; then, the solution turned white, and spherical polystyrene was synthesized.

2.3. Synthesis of Mesoporous Hollow Silica

First, 3400 mL of a solvent obtained by mixing distilled water and ethanol in a 4:3 volume ratio was added into 600 mL of the synthesized polystyrene solution and stirred. After stirring at RT for 30 min, 30 mL of CTACI was added, which was followed by stirring at RT for 10 min, and 60 mL of TEOS, a silica precursor, was added. After adding the TEOS, the mixture was stirred at RT for 30 min, and then aqueous ammonia was added dropwise until the pH was 9, which was followed by stirring at RT for 15 h. After the stirring was completed, the solution was precipitated and settled. Then, the material was filtered, washed three times with distilled water, and dried in an oven at 80 °C for 24 h. After the dried sample was finely ground and calcined at 600 °C for 5 h, mesoporous hollow silica material (MHS) was synthesized.

For comparison, mesoporous hollow silica (PHMS) using P123 was synthesized. After adding the same amount to the synthesized polystyrene solution at the same solvent ratio, hydrochloric acid was added dropwise until the pH was pH = (3–4). After the addition of hydrochloric acid, 50 mL of P123 was added, stirred at RT for 3 h, and then 60 mL of TEOS, a silica precursor, was added, and stirred at RT for 30 min. Next, ammonia water was added dropwise until the pH was 9, and it was stirred at RT for 15 h. After the stirring, the solution was precipitated and settled. The material was filtered, washed three times with distilled water, and dried in an oven at 80 °C for 24 h.

2.4. Synthesis of the Double-Shell Mesoporous Hollow Silica

To synthesize double-shell mesoporous hollow silica, 3400 mL of the solvent obtained by mixing distilled water and ethanol in a 4:3 volume ratio was added into 600 mL of the
2.4. Synthesis of the Double-Shell Mesoporous Hollow Silica

To synthesize double-shell mesoporous hollow silica, 3400 mL of the solvent obtained by mixing distilled water and ethanol in a 4:3 volume ratio was added into 600 mL of the polystyrene dispersing solution. A small amount of the colloidal solution was collected on a copper grid, treated with ethanol, and used as a sample. When measuring the sample, the accelerating voltage was set at 200 kV.

The synthesis process is shown in Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Synthesis scheme of double-shell mesoporous hollow silica.

2.5. Introduction of the Amine Group

The synthesized mesoporous hollow silica (MHS, PMHS) and double-shell mesoporous hollow silica (DMHS) were used as amine supporters for CO₂ adsorption. Each 0.5 g of the synthesized MHS, PHMS, and DMHS were added into 50 mL of absolute ethanol, respectively, and dispersed by stirring at RT for 30 min. After adding 1 g of TEPA into each dispersed solution and stirring at RT for 1 h, each solvent was removed from the solution by stirring at 50 °C for 20 min using a rotary evaporator. After the removal of the solvent, each sample was dried in an oven at 100 °C for 1 h to obtain MHS, PHMS, and DMHS introduced with amine groups.

2.6. Characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEM-2100F) was used to analyze the shape, structure, size, and shell thickness of the polystyrene, the mesoporous hollow silica, and the double-shell mesoporous hollow silica materials. After dispersing the sample in an ethanol solution, a small amount of the colloidal solution was collected on a copper grid, dried, and used as a sample. When measuring the sample, the accelerating voltage was 200 kV, and the analysis was conducted with the resolution of 0.25 nm at maximum N₂ sorption. QUANACHROME (Qudrasorb SI, Anton Paar, Graz, Austria) was used to determine the specific surface area and the pore distributions of the mono-shell mesoporous hollow silica and double-shell mesoporous hollow silica. The measurements were carried out conducted at 77 K using liquid nitrogen, and the adsorbed nitrogen was normalized to standard temperature and pressure. Prior to analysis, moisture and impurities adsorbed on the surface were removed through heat treatment at 200 °C for 3 h. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area was calculated from the linear part \( \frac{P}{P_0} = (0.05–0.30) \).
of the BET equation, and the volume and size of the pores were calculated using the
Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) equation. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR;
thermo, Nicolet iS50) was used to confirm whether functional groups were attached to the
double-shell mesoporous hollow silica introduced with the amine groups. Measurements
were carried under atmospheric environment, and the sample was in a powder state.
Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan, EX-746OOU4L2Q) was
used for elemental distribution analysis of the double-shell mesoporous hollow silica
introduced with the amine groups. At analysis, the Working Distance (WD) was adjusted
to 9.5–10.5 mm, and to ensure reliability, the Counts Per Second (CPS) was set to 300,000
or more during the total analysis time. To confirm the gas adsorption capacity of the
mono-shell and double-shell mesoporous hollow silica introduced with the amine groups,
the carbon dioxide adsorption gas chromatography (GC; HP 6890) equipped with a thermal
conductivity detector (TCD) was prepared. The adsorbed gas was mixed with nitrogen as
a base and a carbon oxide concentration of 30%. The gas flow rate was 5 mL/min through
a mass flow controller (MFC), and high-purity helium gas was used as the make-up gas.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows TEM images of the polystyrene, mesoporous hollow silica, and double-
shell mesoporous hollow silica, which were synthesized in a spherical shape. Figure 1a
confirms that polystyrene is approximately 270 nm in shape and has a perfect spherical
shape. Figure 1b–d show TEM images of MHS, PMHS, and DMHS after polystyrene and
surfactant removal, and Figure 1e shows an enlarged TEM image of Figure 1d. The hollow
size in Figure 1b–d was 270 nm, which is the same size as that of polystyrene, and the shell
thicknesses of MHS, PMHS, and DMHS were 45, 45, and 50 nm, respectively. In particular,
in DMHS, the thickness of the primary shell was 30 nm, and the thickness of the secondary
shell was 20 nm (Figure 1d). As the PS used was the same size, all three samples had the
same hollow size. The pore size of the shell in MHS was small due to the use of CTACI,
but the pore size of the PMHS shell using P123 was relatively large. Furthermore, it was
observed that the primary shell of DMHS forms small pores, and the secondary shell forms
large pores; this is because the molecular weight of P123 is larger than that of CTACI, which
made relatively large micelles, and it was removed by calcination [28].

![Figure 1. TEM images of the (a) PS, (b) MHS, (c) PHMS, and (d,e) DMHS.](image-url)
Figure 2 shows the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm graphs of MHS, PMHS, and DMHS. All three samples of the MHS, PMHS, and DMHS showed type IV adsorption isotherm with an H₂ hysteresis loop.

![N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm](image)

Figure 2. N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms for MHS, PMHS, and DMHS.

The adsorption and desorption curves were separated at the point where the relative pressure was 0.5, therefore confirming the mesoporous morphology. The BET-specific surface area of MHS was 1164 m²/g, and those of PHMS and DMHS were 609 and 690 m²/g, respectively. Although there was a significant difference in the specific surface area, PHMS and DHMS had higher volumetric adsorption values in the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm graphs, indicating superior pore formation than MHS.

Figure 3 shows the pore size distribution of MHS, PHMS, and DHMS. As predicted from the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm graphs, PHMS and DHMS have wider pore sizes and larger pore volumes than MHS, while DHMS has a larger pore volume than PHMS; this is because double shells with different pore sizes can form larger pores than single shells [29]. This may mean that as the internal pore volume is large, there is sufficient capacity to support more amine groups [30]. Table 1 summarizes the BET-specific surface area, pore volume, and pore sizes of MHS, PHMS, and DHMS.

![Pore size distribution](image)

Figure 3. Pore size distribution of MHS, PMHS, and DMHS.
Table 1. The textural properties of the MHS, PHMS, and DMHS.

| Sample Name | Surface Area (m²/g) | Pore Volume (cm³/g) | Average Pore Size a (nm) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| MHS         | 1164                | 0.321               | 3.309                    |
| PHMS        | 609                 | 0.933               | 5.679                    |
| DMHS        | 690                 | 1.012               | 4.944                    |

Note: a Diameter of the window, determined from the desorption branch according to the BJH method.

Figure 4 shows the FTIR measurements after adding amine groups to the three types of mesoporous hollow silica, MHS, PMHS, and DMHS. The symmetry of N–H bonding and the asymmetric stretching oscillations are observed at 3500–3300 cm⁻¹, and the symmetry of C–H bonding and the asymmetric stretching oscillations were observed at 3000–2800 cm⁻¹. In addition, C–H shear oscillation and N–H bending oscillation in amine were observed at 1457 and 1596 cm⁻¹ [31]. It was confirmed that the intensity of this peak increased from MHS to DMHS. From this, it is confirmed that the number of amines supported on the mesoporous silica were in the order of MHS < PHMS < DMHS. The elemental analysis result of EDS confirmed the actual supported amount of amine groups, as shown in Table 2. Similar to the N–H peak intensity trend of the FTIR graph, the nitrogen content was also the highest in DMHS. Therefore, DMHS, which has the largest pore volume, supports more amines than the other samples [30]. For more accurate confirmation, Table 3 compares the amounts of accommodated amines by comparing the weight of the sample before and after adding amines. The amine-supporting efficiency was calculated as shown in Equation (1).

![Figure 4. Infrared spectra of the MHS, PHMS, and DMHS after the amine modification.](image)

Table 2. EDS spectra of MHS, PHMS, and DMHS.

| Sample Name | Pore Volume (cm³/g) | Atom Si (%) | Atom N (%) | Atom C (%) | Atom O (%) |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| MHS         | 0.321               | 12.35       | 7.97       | 44.17      | 35.51      |
| PMHS        | 0.933               | 18.65       | 8.33       | 37.21      | 35.81      |
| DMHS        | 1.012               | 10.82       | 13.30      | 44.27      | 31.60      |

\[
\frac{\text{After amine modification (g)} - \text{Before amine modification (g)}}{\text{Before amine modification (g)}} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]
Table 3. Amine modification percentage of MHS, PHMS, and DMHS.

| Sample Name | Before Amine Modification (g) | After Amine Modification (g) | Amine Modification Percent (%) |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| MHS         | 0.5                          | 0.8897                       | 77.94                          |
| PHMS        | 0.5                          | 0.9097                       | 81.94                          |
| DMHS        | 0.5                          | 0.9984                       | 99.68                          |

Figure 5 shows the CO\(_2\) adsorption performance of the MHS, PMHS, and DMHS, which are amine-supported mesoporous hollow silica. The amine group is one of the functional groups that has CO\(_2\) adsorption performance; to have excellent CO\(_2\) adsorption performance, a large amount of amine should be supported on the mesoporous hollow silica. Figure 5 shows that DMHS supported the largest amount of amine and therefore the highest CO\(_2\) adsorption efficiency of 13.296 mmol/g, and PHMS supported the second largest amount of amine and had an adsorption efficiency of 10.450 mmol/g. Based on these findings, to improve CO\(_2\) adsorption, the amount of the amine supported on the mesoporous silica should be increased; for that, the pore volume of the mesoporous silica is needed for the increased amount of the supported amine. When the pore volume is large, the amine is often located both inside and outside the support. However, Yan et al. reported that the adsorption efficiency of amines located inside the support was better than that of those located outside [32,33]. Table 4 summarizes the results.

![Figure 5. CO\(_2\) adsorption performance of MHS, PHMS, and DMHS.](image)

Table 4. CO\(_2\) adsorption amount according to pore volume and amine modification percentage.

| Sample Name | Pore Volume (cm\(^3\)/g) | Amine Modification Percent(%) | CO\(_2\) Capacity (mmol/g) |
|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| MHS         | 0.321                     | 77.94                         | 9.757                     |
| PHMS        | 0.933                     | 81.94                         | 10.450                    |
| DMHS        | 1.012                     | 99.68                         | 13.296                    |

4. Conclusions

In this study, double-shell mesoporous hollow silica (DMHS) with excellent pore volume was synthesized. The synthesized DMHS was introduced into an amine functional group via a support method to have a selectivity for CO\(_2\) adsorption. The synthesized
DMHS can be used as a solid amine support for carbon dioxide adsorption; since it has a larger pore volume than single-shell mesoporous hollow silica, a large amount of amine can be introduced. This is because the high pore volume of DMHS allowed the introduction of amine groups inside and outside of the mesoporous hollow silica. This ensured a high carbon dioxide adsorption efficiency of 13.296 mmol/g, which was 1.36 times higher than that of MHS synthesized with CTACI, and it showed a higher amount of CO$_2$ adsorption than other CO$_2$ adsorption materials. This double-shell mesoporous silica has a high pore volume and therefore can support an increased amount of functional groups. This makes it ideal for CO$_2$ adsorption studies and also for various adsorbents and catalyst studies.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.-Y.B. and J.-t.L.; methodology, J.-t.L.; software, J.-t.L.; validation, J.-Y.B. and J.-t.L.; formal analysis, J.-t.L.; investigation, J.-t.L.; resources, J.-Y.B.; data curation, J.-t.L.; writing—original draft preparation, J.-t.L.; writing—review and editing, J.-Y.B.; visualization, J.-t.L.; supervision, J.-Y.B.; project administration, J.-Y.B.; funding acquisition, J.-Y.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was supported by the technology and commercialization program through INNOPOLIS Foundation funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (2021-DG-RD-0062/Development of eco-friendly waterproofing agent with ventilation performance using mesoporous silica treated with fluorine functional group with high specific surface area).

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Xu, X.; Song, C.; Andresen, J.M.; Miller, B.G.; Scaroni, A.W. Novel polyethylenimine-modified mesoporous molecular sieve of MCM-41 type as high-capacity adsorbent for CO$_2$ capture. *Energy Fuels* **2002**, *16*, 1463–1469. [CrossRef]

2. Galan-Mascaros, J.R. Photoelectrochemical solar fuels from carbon dioxide, water and sunlight. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *10*, 1967–1974. [CrossRef]

3. Siegelman, R.L.; Kim, E.J.; Long, J.R. Porous materials for carbon dioxide separations. *Nat. Mat.* **2021**, *20*, 1060–1072. [CrossRef][PubMed]

4. Hussin, F.; Aroua, M.K. Recent trends in the development of adsorption technologies for carbon dioxide capture: A brief literature and patent reviews (2014–2018). *J. Clean. Prod.* **2021**, *114*, 119707–119732. [CrossRef]

5. Sperry, J.S.; Venturas, M.D.; Todd, H.N.; Trugman, A.T.; Anderegg, W.R.L.; Wang, Y.; Tai, X. The impact of rising CO$_2$ and acclimation on the response of US forests to global warming. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**, *116*, 25734–25744. [CrossRef]

6. Reddy, M.S.B.; Ponnamma, D.; Sadasivuni, K.K.; Kumar, B.; Abdullah, A.M. Carbon dioxide adsorption based on porous materials. *RSC Adv.* **2021**, *11*, 12658–12681. [CrossRef]

7. Wilberforce, T.; Olabi, A.G.; Sayed, E.T.; Elsaid, K.; Abdelkareem, M.A. Progress in carbon capture technologies. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *761*, 143203–143214. [CrossRef][PubMed]

8. Miricioiu, M.G.; Zahariou, A.; Oancea, S.; Bucura, F.; Raboaca, M.S.; Filote, C.; Iomeite, R.E.; Niculescu, V.C.; Constantinescu, M. Sewage sludge derived materials for CO$_2$ adsorption. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 7139. [CrossRef]

9. Liu, S.; Han, X.; Chai, Y.; Wu, G.; Li, W.; Li, J.; Manuel, I.S.P.; Cheng, Y.; Daemen, L.L.; Ramirez-Cuesta, A.J.; et al. Efficient Separation of Acetylene and Carbon Dioxide in a Decorated Zeolite. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 6526–6532. [CrossRef][PubMed]

10. Harlick, P.; Tezel, F.H. An experimental adsorbent screening study for CO$_2$ removal from N$_2$. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2004**, *76*, 71–79. [CrossRef]

11. Karimi, M.; Rodrigues, A.E.; Silva, J.A.C. Designing a simple volumetric apparatus for measuring gas adsorption equilibria and kinetics of sorption. Application and validation for CO$_2$, CH$_4$ and N$_2$ adsorption in binder-free beads of 4A zeolite. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2021**, *425*, 130538–130549. [CrossRef]

12. Yoo, H.M.; Lee, S.Y.; Park, S.J. Ordered nanoporous carbon for increasing CO$_2$ capture. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2013**, *197*, 361–365. [CrossRef]

13. Wang, J.; Huang, L.; Zheng, Q.; Qiao, Y.; Wang, Q. Layered double hydroxides/oxidized carbon nanotube nanocomposites for CO$_2$ capture. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2016**, *36*, 255–262. [CrossRef]

14. Bae, J.Y. Analysis of CO$_2$ adsorption characteristics of activated carbon loaded with alkali and alkaline earth metal. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *18*, 6101–6105. [CrossRef]

15. Rasjidi, N.A.; Bokhari, A.; Yusup, S. Evaluation of kinetics and mechanism properties of CO$_2$ adsorption onto the palm kernel shell activated carbon. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2021**, *28*, 33967–33979. [CrossRef]
16. Li, Z.; Liu, P.; Ou, C.; Dong, X. Porous metal–organic frameworks for carbon dioxide adsorption and separation at low pressure. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2020, 8, 15378–15404. [CrossRef]

17. Chen, S.; Lucier, B.E.G.; Boyle, P.D.; Huang, Y. Understanding the fascinating origins of CO₂ adsorption and dynamics in MOFs. Chem. Mater. 2016, 28, 5829–5846.

18. Xue, D.X.; Cairns, A.J.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Wojtas, L.; Liu, Y.; Alkordi, M.H.; Eddaoudi, M. Tunable RE-fcu-MOFs: A platform for systematic enhancement of CO₂ adsorption energetics and uptake. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7660–7667. [CrossRef]

19. Ramyashree, M.S.; Priya, S.S.; Freudenberg, N.C.; Sudhakar, K.; Tahir, M. Metal-organic framework-based photocatalysts for carbon dioxide reduction to methanol: A review on progress and application. J. CO₂ Util. 2021, 43, 101374–101396. [CrossRef]

20. Castaldo, R.; Avolio, R.; Coca, M.; Errico, M.E.; Avella, M.; Gentile, G. Amino-functionalized hyper-crosslinked resins for enhanced adsorption of carbon dioxide and polar dyes. Chem. Eng. J. 2021, 418, 129463–129470. [CrossRef]

21. Henao, W.; Jaramillo, L.Y.; Lopez, D.; Romero-Saez, M. Buitrago-Sierra, R. Insights into the CO₂ capture over amine-functionalized mesoporous silica adsorbents derived from rice husk ash. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2020, 8, 104362–104372. [CrossRef]

22. Guo, X.; Ding, L.; Kanamori, K.; Nakanishi, K.; Yang, H. Functionalization of hierarchically porous silica monoliths with polyethyleneimine (PEI) for CO₂ adsorption. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2013, 135, 7660–7667. [CrossRef]

23. Bae, J.Y.; Jang, S.G. Characteristics of CO₂ capture by tetraethylenepentamine modified mesoporous silica morphology. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2019, 19, 6291–6296. [CrossRef]

24. Chang, A.C.C.; Chuang, S.S.C.; Gray, M.; Soong, Y. In-Situ infrared study of CO₂ adsorption on SBA-15 grafted with γ-(Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane. Energy Fuels 2003, 17, 468–473. [CrossRef]

25. Hiyoshi, N.; Yogo, K.; Yashima, T. Adsorption of carbon dioxide on amine modified SBA-15 in the presence of water vapor. Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 510–511. [CrossRef]

26. Bae, J.Y. CO₂ Capture by amine-functionalized mesoporous hollow silica. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2017, 17, 7418–7422. [CrossRef]

27. Jang, H.R.; Oh, H.J.; Kim, J.H.; Jung, K.Y. Synthesis of mesoporous spherical silica via spray pyrolysis: Pore size control and evaluation of performance in paclitaxel pre-purification. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2013, 165, 219–227. [CrossRef]

28. Yun, J.; Jun, J.; Lee, J.; Ryu, J.; Lee, K.; Yu, H.; Jang, J. Fabrication of monodisperse nitrogen-doped carbon double-shell hollow nanoparticles for supercapacitors. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 20694–20699. [CrossRef]

29. Kishor, R.; Ghoshal, A.K. Amine-modified mesoporous silica for CO₂ adsorption: The role of structural parameters. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2017, 56, 6078–6087. [CrossRef]

30. Zheng, F.; Tran, D.N.; Busche, G.E.; Fryxell, R.S.; Zemanian, T.S.; Aardahl, C.L. Ethylenediamine-modified SBA-15 as regenerable CO₂ sorbent. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2005, 44, 3099–3105. [CrossRef]

31. Yan, X.L.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Qiao, K.; Yan, Z.F.; Komarneni, S. Amine-modified mesocellular silica foams for CO₂ capture. Chem. Eng. J. 2011, 168, 918–924. [CrossRef]

32. Xu, X.; Song, C.; Andreassen, J.M.; Miller, B.G.; Scaroni, S.W. Preparation and characterization of novel CO₂ “molecular basket” adsorbents based on polymer-modified mesoporous molecular sieve MCM-41. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2003, 62, 29–45. [CrossRef]