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Abstract—In the intelligent reflecting surface (IRS) assisted communication systems, the acquisition of channel state information (CSI) is a crucial impediment for achieving the passive beamforming gain of IRS because of the considerable overhead required for channel estimation. Specifically, under the current beamforming design for IRS-assisted communications, \( KMN + KM \) channel coefficients should be estimated if the passive IRS cannot estimate its channels with the base station (BS) and users due to its lack of radio frequency (RF) chains, where \( K, N \) and \( M \) denote the numbers of users, reflecting elements of the IRS, and antennas at the BS, respectively. These numbers can be extremely large in practice considering the current trend of massive MIMO (multiple-input multiple-output), i.e., a large \( M \), and massive connectivity, i.e., a large \( K \). To accurately estimate such a large number of channel coefficients within a short time interval, we devote our endeavour in this paper to investigating the efficient pilot-based channel estimation method in IRS-assisted uplink communications. Building upon the observation that each IRS element reflects the signals from all the users to the BS via the same channel, we analytically verify that a time duration consisting of \( K + N + \max(K-1, \lceil (K-1)N / M \rceil) \) pilot symbols is sufficient for the BS to perfectly recover all the \( KMN + KM \) channel coefficients for the case without receiver noise. In contrast to the conventional uplink communications without IRS in which the minimum pilot sequence length is independent with the number of receive antennas, our study reveals the significant role of massive MIMO in reducing the channel training time for IRS-assisted communications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, intelligent reflecting surface (IRS) and its various equivalents have emerged as a promising solution to enhance the network throughput [1]–[3], thanks to their capability of modifying the wireless channels between the base station (BS) and users to be more favorable for their communications via inducing an independent phase shift to the incident signal at each reflecting element in real-time, as shown in Fig. 1. Assuming perfect channel state information (CSI) at the BS, the joint beamforming optimization at the BS and IRS has been studied under various setups (see, e.g., [4], [5]), which shows the effectiveness of IRS in enhancing the system throughput.

However, the above throughput gain in the IRS-assisted communication systems critically depends on channel estimation for acquiring CSI, which is quite challenging in practice. Specifically, to reduce the implementation cost, the IRS generally is not equipped with any radio frequency (RF) chain and thus lacks the baseband processing capability. As a result, it is impossible for the IRS to estimate its channels with the BS and users. In this case, in a single cell consisting of a BS with \( M \) antennas, \( K \) single-antenna users, and one IRS with \( N \) reflecting elements, the algorithms for designing the beamforming vectors used by the BS and IRS [4], [5] require the system to estimate \( KMN + KM \) channel coefficients, which can be extremely large considering the current trend towards massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) [6] and massive connectivity [7]. Recently, several works have proposed various strategies to efficiently estimate the channels in the IRS-assisted single-user communication systems [8]–[11]. However, to our best knowledge, channel estimation for IRS-assisted multiuser communications still remains an open problem due to the significantly larger number of involved channel coefficients compared to the single-user counterpart.

Motivated by the above challenge, this work aims to characterize the minimum pilot sequence length required to estimate the CSI in the IRS-assisted multiuser uplink communications for the first time in the literature. Specifically, we consider the passive pilot based channel estimation approach, in which the IRS elements passively reflect the pilot sequences sent by the users to the BS such that it is able to estimate the CSI associated with the IRS. By leveraging the observation that each IRS element reflects the signals from all the users to the BS via the same channel, as shown in Fig. 1, our main result is that perfect channel estimation in the case without noise at the BS can be achieved over a time duration merely consisting of \( K + N + \max(K-1, \lceil (K-1)N / M \rceil) \) pilot symbols. The achievability is validated by an innovative three-phase channel estimation strategy. In Phase I consisting of \( K \) symbols, the IRS is switched off such that the BS can estimate its direct channels with the users. In Phase II with \( N \) symbols, only one typical user is selected to transmit the non-zero pilot symbols.
and the IRS reflected channel for this typical user is estimated. In Phase III of \( \max(K-1, \lceil \frac{(K-1)N}{M} \rceil) \) symbols, the IRS reflected channels of the other users are estimated based on the fact that these channels are scaled versions of the typical user’s reflected channels. Different from the multiple-access channel where the minimum pilot sequence length has nothing to do with the number of receive antennas [12], our strategy can make the best of the receive antennas to reduce the channel training duration in IRS-assisted multiuser systems. Especially, in the massive MIMO regime with \( M > N \), the minimum pilot sequence length under our strategy is \( 2K + N - 1 \), which is scalable with the number of users: if there is one more user in the system, only 2 additional pilot symbols are sufficient to estimate the new \( MN + M \) channel coefficients associated with this user.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider a narrow-band wireless system with \( K \) single-antenna users simultaneously communicating with a BS equipped with \( M \) antennas in the uplink, where an IRS equipped with \( N \) passive reflecting elements is deployed to enhance the communication performance, as shown in Fig. 1. We assume quasi-static block-fading channels, in which all the BS and IRS reflected channel for this typical user is estimated. Thanks to the IRS controller, each element on IRS is able to change the phase of its incident signal [4], [5].

With the existence of the IRS, the received signal of the BS at time instant \( i \), \( i = 1, \cdots, T \), which is a superposition of the signals from the users’ direct communication links and the reflected links via the IRS, is expressed as

\[
y^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} h_k \sqrt{p x_k^{(i)}} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \phi_n t_{k,n} r_n \sqrt{p x_k^{(i)}} + z^{(i)}
\]

where \( x_k^{(i)} \) and \( z^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{CN} (0, \sigma^2 I) \) denote the transmit signal of user \( k \) and additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) at the BS at time instant \( i \), respectively, \( p \) denotes the identical transmit power of the users, and

\[
g_{k,n} = t_{k,n} r_n, \quad \forall n, k,
\]

denotes the effective channel from the \( k \)-th user to the BS through the \( n \)-th IRS element.

In this paper, we consider the legacy two-stage transmission protocol for the uplink communications, in which each coherence block of length \( T \) symbols is divided into the channel estimation stage consisting of \( \tau < T \) symbols and data transmission stage consisting of \( T - \tau \) symbols. Specifically, in the channel estimation stage, each user \( k \) is assigned with a pilot sequence consisting of \( \tau \) symbols:

\[
a_{k} = [a_{k,1}, \cdots, a_{k,\tau}]^T, \quad k = 1, \cdots, K,
\]

where the norm of \( a_{k,i} \) is either zero or one, \( \forall k, i \). At time instant \( i \leq \tau \), user \( k \) transmits \( x_{k,i} = a_{k,i} \) to the BS, and the received signal at the BS is

\[
y^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} h_k + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n g_{k,n} \sqrt{p a_{k,i}} + z^{(i)}, \quad i \leq \tau.
\]

Define \( Y = [y^{(1)}, \cdots, y^{(\tau)}] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times \tau} \) as the overall received signal at the BS across all the \( \tau \) time instants of the channel estimation phase. The task of the BS is then to estimate all the direct channels and reflected channels based on the received signal \( Y \) as well as its knowledge of the known user pilot symbols \( a_{k,i} \)’s and IRS reflection coefficients \( \phi_n \)’s.

In the data transmission stage, the reflection coefficient of each IRS element \( n \) is fixed over different time instants, i.e., \( \phi_{n,i} = \phi_n, \quad i = \tau + 1, \cdots, T \) [4], [5]. Moreover, to convey the information, the transmit symbol of user \( k \) in the \( \tau \)-th time instant is modeled as a circularly symmetric complex Gaussian (CSCG) random variable with zero mean and unit variance, i.e., \( x_k^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{CN} (0, 1), \quad k = 1, \cdots, K, \quad i = \tau + 1, \cdots, T \). Then, at each time instant \( i = \tau + 1, \cdots, T \), the BS applies the beamforming vector \( w_k \) to decode the message of user \( k \), i.e.,

\[
y_k^{(i)} = \sum_{q=1}^{K} w_k^H \left( h_q + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n g_{q,n} \right) \sqrt{p x_q^{(i)}} + w_k^H z^{(i)}.
\]

Therefore, the achievable rate of user \( k, \quad k = 1, \cdots, K \), is

\[
R_k = \frac{T - \tau}{T} \log_2 (1 + \gamma_k),
\]

where \( T - \tau \) denotes the fraction of time for data transmission, and

\[
\gamma_k = \frac{\sum_{q \neq k} p \left| w_k^H \left( h_q + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n g_{q,n} \right) \right|^2 + \sigma^2 \| w_k^H \|^2}{\sum_{q \neq k} p \left| w_k^H \left( h_q + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n g_{q,n} \right) \right|^2 + \sigma^2 \| w_k^H \|^2}.
\]

It is observed from (7) that to improve the user rate by jointly optimizing the receive beamforming vectors \( w_k \)'s at the BS and reflection coefficients \( \phi_n \)'s at the IRS, the BS has
to know all the $MK + MKN$ channel coefficients in $h_k$'s, $k = 1, \ldots, K$, and $g_{k,n}$'s, $k = 1, \ldots, K, n = 1, \ldots, N$. Note that $MK + MKN$ is generally a very large number in next-generation cellular networks with large-scale antenna arrays at the BSs (i.e., large $M$) and a massive number of connecting users (i.e., large $K$). Further, to increase the time duration for data transmission in (7), very few pilot symbols can be utilized for estimating such a large number of channel coefficients. To tackle the above issues, in the rest of this paper, we mainly focus on the channel training stage in our considered system, and propose an innovative scheme to estimate $h_k$'s and $g_{k,n}$'s accurately with low training overhead.

### III. THREE-PHASE CHANNEL ESTIMATION PROTOCOL

In this section, we propose a novel three-phase channel estimation protocol for IRS-assisted multiuser communications. The main idea is that although $KMN$ unknowns need to be estimated in $g_{k,n}$'s, the degrees-of-freedom (DoF) for all these channel coefficients is much smaller than $KMN$. Specifically, each $r_n$ appears in all $g_{k,n}$'s, $\forall k$, and since each IRS element reflects the signals from all the $K$ users to the BS via the same channel. It is thus theoretically feasible to employ much fewer pilot symbols to estimate the $KMN$ unknowns in $g_{k,n}$'s via leveraging their strong correlations. Nevertheless, it is challenging to exploit the correlations among the channel coefficients arising from $r_n$'s, since the IRS cannot estimate $r_n$'s due to the lack of RF chains.

In the following, we introduce our proposed three-phase channel estimation protocol to tackle the above issue. As shown in Fig. 2, in the first phase consisting of $\tau_1$ symbols, the BS estimates the direct channels $h_k$'s by switching off all IRS elements; in the second phase consisting of $\tau_2$ symbols, merely one typical user, denoted by user 1 for convenience, transmits non-zero pilot symbols, based on which the BS estimates the reflected channels of this typical user, i.e., $g_{1,n}$'s, $\forall n$, with all IRS elements switched on; in the third phase consisting of $\tau_3 = \tau - \tau_1 - \tau_2$ symbols, the BS estimates the reflected channels of the other users based on their following relationship with the reflected channels of user 1:

$$g_{k,n} = \lambda_{k,n}g_{1,n}, \quad k = 2, \ldots, K, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\lambda_{k,n} = \frac{t_{k,n}}{t_{1,n}}, \quad k = 2, \ldots, K, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N. \quad (10)$$

With known $g_{1,n}$'s, $n = 1, \ldots, N$, (9) reveals that each channel vector $g_{k,n}$ with $k \geq 2$ can be efficiently recovered via merely estimating a scalar $\lambda_{k,n}$. As a result, the channel training time in this phase can be significantly reduced.

For the purpose of drawing essential insights, in the rest of this paper, we mainly focus on the ideal case without noise at the BS, i.e., $z^{(i)} = 0$, $\forall i$, and characterize the minimum pilot sequence length to estimate all the channels perfectly under the proposed protocol. Such a result can theoretically demonstrate the performance gain brought by this new protocol for channel estimation in our considered system. The issue of how to utilize our proposed strategy to estimate the channels for the practical case with noise at the BS will be left for our future works.

### IV. PERFORMANCE LIMITS FOR CASE WITHOUT RECEIVER NOISE

In this section, we consider the ideal case without noise at the BS. In this scenario, the proposed three-phase channel estimation protocol works as follows.

#### A. Phase I: Direct Channel Estimation

To estimate $h_k$'s in Phase I, the IRS is switched off, i.e.,

$$\phi_{n,i} = 0, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \tau_1. \quad (11)$$

According to [12], each user $k$ can send

$$\tau_1 \geq \tau_1 = K, \quad (12)$$
	pilot symbols, denoted by $a_k = [a_{k,1}, \ldots, a_{k,K}]^T$, $\forall k$, to the BS for channel estimation. Then, based on (5), the received signal at the BS over the whole phase is

$$Y^1 = [y^{(1)}, \ldots, y^{(\tau_1)}] = \sqrt{p}[h_1, \ldots, h_K] \begin{bmatrix} (a_1^1)^T \\ \vdots \\ (a_K^1)^T \end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

As a result, the direct channels $h_k$'s can be estimated perfectly by solving (13) if the pilot sequences of different users are orthogonal to each other, i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} (a_1^1)^T \\ \vdots \\ (a_K^1)^T \end{bmatrix} [(a_1^1)^*, \ldots, (a_K^1)^*] = \tau_1 I. \quad (14)$$

Since each pilot sequence consists of $\tau_1 \geq K$ symbols, it is feasible to design $a_k^1$'s to satisfy (14). Then, according to (13), $h_k$'s can be perfectly estimated as

$$[h_1, \ldots, h_K] = \frac{1}{\tau_1 \sqrt{p}} Y^1 [(a_1^1)*, \ldots, (a_K^1)*]. \quad (15)$$

#### B. Phase II: Reflecting Channel Estimation for Typical User

In the second phase, the IRS is switched on. Define $a_k^{(1)} = [a_{k,1}, \tau_1 + 1, \ldots, a_{k,\tau_1 + \tau_2}]^T$ as the pilot sequence of user $k$ in Phase II, $\forall k$. To estimate $g_{1,n}$'s, we set

$$a_k^{(1)} = 0, \quad k = 2, \ldots, K. \quad (16)$$

Note that $h_k$'s have already been perfectly estimated by (15) in Phase I. Therefore, their interference for estimating $g_{1,n}$’s
can be canceled from the received signal at the BS in Phase II. According to (5), after interference cancellation, the effective received signal at the BS at time instant $i$ in Phase II is

$$
\bar{y}^{(i)} = y^{(i)} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} h_k \sqrt{p} a_{k,i} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_{n,i} g_{1,n} \sqrt{p} a_{1,i}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \tau_1 + \tau_2.
$$

(17)

The overall effective received signal at the BS in the second phase is then expressed as

$$
\bar{Y}^{\text{II}} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(\tau_1+1)} & \cdots & y^{(\tau_1+\tau_2)} \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{p} [g_{1,1}, \cdots, g_{1,N}] \Phi^{\text{II}} \text{diag}(a_1^{\text{II}}),
$$

(18)

where

$$
\Phi^{\text{II}} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,\tau_1+1} & \cdots & \phi_{1,\tau_1+\tau_2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\phi_{N,\tau_1+1} & \cdots & \phi_{N,\tau_1+\tau_2} \end{bmatrix}.
$$

(19)

To solve (18), we can simply set

$$
a_{1,i} = 1, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \tau_1 + \tau_2.
$$

(20)

In this case, it can be shown that as long as

$$
\tau_2 \geq \hat{\tau}_2 = N,
$$

(21)

we can always construct a $\Phi^{\text{II}}$ such that $\text{rank}(\Phi^{\text{II}}) = N$ under the constraint given in (1). The construction of such a $\Phi^{\text{II}}$ can be based on the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matrix

$$
\Phi^{\text{II}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{\tau_2-1} \\
1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2(\tau_2-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \omega^{N-1} & \omega^{2(N-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(N-1)(\tau_2-1)} \end{bmatrix},
$$

(22)

where $\omega = e^{-2\pi j/\tau_2}$ with $j^2 = -1$. In this case, it follows that $\Phi^{\text{II}}(\Phi^{\text{II}})^H = \tau_2 I$. As a result, the reflected channels of user 1 can be perfectly estimated as

$$
[g_{1,1}, \cdots, g_{1,N}] = \frac{1}{\tau_2 \sqrt{p}} \bar{Y}^{\text{II}}(\Phi^{\text{II}})^H.
$$

(23)

C. Phase III: Reflecting Channel Estimation for Other Users

In Phase III, let $a_k^{\text{III}} = [a_{k,\tau_1+\tau_2+1}, \cdots, a_{k,\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_2}]^T$ denote the pilot sequence of user $k$, $\forall k$. To estimate $g_{k,n}$'s, $\forall k \geq 2$, $\forall n$, we set

$$
a_k^{\text{III}} = 0.
$$

(24)

One straightforward manner is to allow only one user $k \geq 2$ to transmit $\tau_2 \geq N$ pilot symbols each time such that its reflected channels $g_{k,n}$'s, $\forall n$, can be directly estimated based on the approach for estimating $g_{1,n}$'s. Under such a scheme, at least we need to use $\tau_3 = (K-1)N$ time instants in total to estimate the reflecting channels of the remaining $K - 1$ users. However, with a large number of users, the estimation of $g_{k,n}$'s will take quite a long time, which leads to reduced user transmission rate due to the limited time left for data transmission as shown in (7).

We propose to exploit the channel correlations among $g_{k,n}$'s to reduce the channel estimation time in Phase III. Specifically, similar to (17), after cancelling the interference caused by the direct channels $h_k$'s, the effective received signal at the BS at each time instant $i$, $i = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + 1, \cdots, \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3$, in Phase III is

$$
\bar{y}^{(i)} = y^{(i)} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} h_k \sqrt{p} a_{k,i} = \sum_{k=2}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_{n,i} g_{k,n} \sqrt{p} a_{k,i}.
$$

(25)

The overall effective received signal at the BS in Phase III is

$$
\bar{Y}^{\text{III}} = \begin{bmatrix} (\bar{y}^{(\tau_1+\tau_2+1)})^T, \cdots, (\bar{y}^{(\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3)})^T \end{bmatrix}^T = \sqrt{p} V \lambda,
$$

(27)

where $\lambda = [\lambda_1^T, \cdots, \lambda_K^T] \in \mathbb{C}^{(K-1)N \times 1}$ with $\lambda_k = [\lambda_{k,1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k,N}]^T$, $k = 2, \cdots, K$, and $V \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times (K-1)N}$ is given in (28) on the top of this page.

Mathematically, (27) defines an equivalent linear channel estimation model consisting of $(K-1)N$ users, where each column of $V$ denotes the pilot sequence sent by each of these effective users. One interesting observation of $V$ here is that thanks to the multiple antennas at the BS, the effective channel estimation time is increased from $\tau_3$ to $M \tau_3$. In other words, it is possible to adopt the multi-antenna technology.
to significantly reduce the channel training time in Phase III under our proposed strategy.

In the following, via a proper design of $a_{k}^{III} = [a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \cdots, a_{k_N}]^T$'s, $k = 2, \cdots, K$, and $\phi_{n,i}$'s, $n = 1, \cdots, N$, $i = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + 1, \cdots, \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3$. We aim to find the minimum value of $\tau_3$ to satisfy $\text{rank}(V) = (K-1)N$ such that $\lambda$ can be perfectly estimated based on (27). We start with the case of $M \geq N$.

**Theorem 1:** In the case of $M \geq N$, the minimum value of $\tau_3$ to guarantee perfect estimation of $\lambda$ according to (27) is given by

$$\hat{\tau}_3 = K - 1.$$  \hfill (29)

To achieve perfect estimation of $\lambda$ given the above minimum value of $\tau_3$, we can set

$$a_{k,i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = i - \tau_1 - \tau_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad 2 \leq k \leq K, \quad \tau_1 + \tau_2 + 1 \leq i \leq \tau_1 + \tau_2 + K - 1.$$  \hfill (30)

$$\phi_{n,i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = \Omega_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad \tau_1 + \tau_2 + 1 \leq i \leq \tau_1 + \tau_2 + K - 1.$$  \hfill (31)

Then, $\lambda$ can be perfectly estimated as

$$\lambda_k = [g_{1,1}, \cdots, g_{1,N}]^T \frac{\tilde{g}_{k}(\tau_1 + \tau_2 + k-1)}{\sqrt{P}}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, K,$$  \hfill (32)

where for any matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{x \times y}$ with $x \geq y$, $B^T$ denotes its pseudo-inverse matrix.

**Proof:** Please refer to Appendix A in [13]. \hfill \Box

Next, we consider the case of $M < N$. In this case, define $\rho = \left\lceil \frac{N}{3} \right\rceil$, $\nu = N - M \rho$, and $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \cdots, N\}$, where $|x|$ denotes the largest integer that is no larger than $x$. For each user $k \geq 2$, define two sets $\Lambda_{k,1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ with cardinality $|\Lambda_{k,1}| = N - \nu$ and $\Lambda_{k,2} \subset \mathcal{N}$ with cardinality $|\Lambda_{k,2}| = \nu$, which are constructed as follows. First, define

$$\mathcal{T}_k = \{(k-2)v + 1, \cdots, (k-1)v\}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, K.$$  \hfill (33)

Then, we construct $\Lambda_{k,1}$'s and $\Lambda_{k,2}$'s as

$$\Lambda_{k,2} = \{m - (\left\lceil \frac{m}{N} \right\rceil - 1)N : \forall m \in \mathcal{T}_k\} = \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda_{k,2}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, K,$$  \hfill (34)

$$\Lambda_{k,1} = \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda_{k,2}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, K,$$  \hfill (35)

where $|x|$ denotes the smallest integer that is not smaller than $x$. Moreover, for any $i = 1, \cdots, (K-1)\rho$, define $\kappa_i = (i - (\left\lceil \frac{1}{\rho} \right\rceil - 1)\rho - 1)\rho - 1$ and

$$\Omega_i = \{\Lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\rho} \right\rceil +1,1}(\kappa_i + 1), \cdots, \Lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\rho} \right\rceil +1,1}(\kappa_i + M)\},$$  \hfill (36)

where given any set $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}(i)$ denotes its $i$th element. While for any $i = (K-1)\rho + 1, (K-1)\rho + 2, \cdots$, define

$$\mathcal{J}_i = \{(i-(K-1)\rho - 1)M + 1, \cdots, \min((i-(K-1)\rho)M, (K-1)N-(K-1)M\rho)\}.$$  \hfill (37)

Based on $\mathcal{J}_i$, given any $i > (K-1)\rho$, we define

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\nu} \right\rceil + 1 : \forall j \in \mathcal{J}_i\},$$  \hfill (38)

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \{\Lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\nu} \right\rceil +1,2}(j - (\left\lceil \frac{1}{\nu} \right\rceil - 1)v) : \forall j \in \mathcal{J}_i\}.$$  \hfill (39)

Then, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2:** In the case of $M < N$, the minimum value of $\tau_3$ to guarantee perfect estimation of $\lambda$ according to (27) is given by

$$\tilde{\tau}_3 = \left\lceil \frac{(K-1)N}{M} \right\rceil.$$  \hfill (40)

To perfectly estimate $\lambda$ given the above minimum value of $\tau_3$, at time slot $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i$ with $1 \leq i \leq (K-1)\rho$, we can set

$$a_{k,\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = \left\lceil \frac{i}{\rho} \right\rceil + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \nu \leq (K-1)\rho.$$  \hfill (41)

$$\phi_{n,\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in \Omega_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \nu \leq (K-1)\rho.$$  \hfill (42)

With the above solution, at each time instant $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i$, we can perfectly estimate the following $\lambda_{k,n}$'s

$$[\lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{i}{\rho} \right\rceil +1,\Omega_i(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{i}{\rho} \right\rceil +1,\Omega_i(M_i)}]^T = [g_{1,\Omega_i(1)}, \cdots, g_{1,\Omega_i(M_i)}]^{-1} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i}}{\sqrt{P}}, 1 \leq i \leq (K-1)\rho.$$  \hfill (43)

Further, at time slot $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i$ with $(K-1)\rho + 1 \leq i \leq \tilde{\tau}_3$, we can set

$$a_{k,\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \in \mathcal{K}_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (K-1)\rho + 1 \leq i \leq \tilde{\tau}_3.$$  \hfill (44)

$$\phi_{n,\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{N}_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (K-1)\rho + 1 \leq i \leq \tilde{\tau}_3.$$  \hfill (45)

With the above solution, at each time instant $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i$, we can perfectly estimate the following $\lambda_{k,n}$'s

$$[\lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{i}{\nu} \right\rceil +1,\Omega_i(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{i}{\nu} \rceil +1,\Omega_i(M_i)}]^T = [g_{1,\Omega_i(1)}, \cdots, g_{1,\Omega_i(M_i)}]^{-1} \frac{\tilde{g}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i}}{\sqrt{P}}, (K-1)\rho + 1 \leq i \leq \tilde{\tau}_3,$$  \hfill (46)

where

$$M_i = |\Omega_i|,$$  \hfill (47)

$$\tilde{g}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i} = \tilde{g}_{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + i} - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_i \cap \Lambda_{\left\lceil \frac{i}{\nu} \right\rceil +1,2}} \sqrt{P} \lambda_{k,n} g_{1,n}.$$  \hfill (48)

**Proof:** Please refer to Appendix B in [13]. \hfill \Box

According to Theorems 1 and 2, we manage to reduce the channel estimation time duration in Phase III from $(K-1)N$ symbols to

$$\tilde{\tau}_3 = \max \left( K - 1, \left\lceil \frac{(K-1)N}{M} \right\rceil \right),$$  \hfill (49)

symbols thanks to the transition from (25) to (26) by exploiting the hidden relation shown in (9). Further, the designs of user pilot and IRS reflecting coefficients shown in Theorems 1 and 2 are independent of $g_{1,n}$'s. Thereby, channel estimation in Phase III does not require any channel feedback from the BS to the users and IRS.
To summarize, to perfectly estimate all the direct channels $h_k$'s and reflected channels $g_{k,n}$'s in the case when no noise is present at the BS, the minimum pilot sequence length is

$$\hat{r} = K + N + \max \left( K - 1, \left\lceil \frac{(K - 1)N}{M} \right\rceil \right). \quad (50)$$

Interestingly, in the massive MIMO regime [6], i.e., $M \to \infty$, it can be shown that $\hat{r}$ reduces to

$$\hat{r} = K + N + K - 1 = 2K + N - 1, \quad (51)$$

which is linear with $K$ and $N$. Thereby, under our proposed three-phase channel estimation protocol for IRS-assisted uplink communications, massive MIMO makes it possible to effectively estimate $KMN + KM$ unknown channel coefficients using a scalable number of pilot symbols. Such a result is in sharp contrast to the traditional channel estimation scenario without IRS where the minimum channel estimation time does not depend on the number of receive antennas [12].

V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we provide numerical examples to verify the effectiveness of our proposed three-phase channel estimation protocol in the IRS-assisted uplink communications. We assume that there are $K = 8$ users in the network, and the IRS is equipped with $N = 32$ reflecting elements. Moreover, the path loss of $h_k$'s, $t_{k,n}$'s, and $r_n$'s is modeled as $\beta_k^{BU} = \beta_0(d_k^{BU}/d_0)^{-\alpha_1}$, $t_{k,n} = \beta_0(d_k^{BU}/d_0)^{-\alpha_2}$, and $\beta_n^{BI} = \beta_0(d_k^{BI}/d_0)^{-\alpha_3}$, respectively, where $d_0 = 1$ meter (m) denotes the reference distance, $\beta_0 = -20$ dB denotes the path loss at the reference distance, $d_k^{BU}$, $d_k^{BU}$, and $d_k^{BI}$ denote the distance between the BS and user $k$, between the IRS and user $k$, as well as between the BS and the IRS, while $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, and $\alpha_3$ denote the path loss factors for $h_k$'s, $t_{k,n}$'s, and $r_n$'s. We set $\alpha_1 = 4.2$, $\alpha_2 = 2.1$, and $\alpha_3 = 2.2$ in the numerical examples. Moreover, the distance between the BS and IRS is set to be $d_k^{BI} = 100$ m, and all the users are assumed to be located in a circular regime, whose center is 10 m away from the IRS and 105 m away from the BS, and radius is 5 m. The identical transmit power of users is 23 dBm.

To illustrate the performance gain of our proposed strategy for channel estimation, we consider a two-phase benchmark strategy. In the first phase, the direct channels $h_k$'s are estimated based on (15). In the second phase, each user takes turns to transmit its pilot sequence such that its reflected channel can be estimated based on (23), i.e., (9) is not exploited.

Fig. 3 shows the performance comparison between our proposed strategy and the benchmark strategy when the number of antennas at the BS ranges from $M = 1$ to $M = 64$. It is observed that our proposed strategy can make the best of receive antennas to hugely reduce the channel estimation time. When $M = 64$, the minimum pilot sequence length is much shorter compared to the benchmark scheme.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed an innovative three-phase protocol to estimate a large number of channel coefficients in the IRS-assisted uplink communications accurately within short duration. Such an interesting result is built upon the fact that each IRS elements reflect the signals from all the users to the BS via the same channel. Our paper indicates that time division duplex (TDD) mode is favorable in the future IRS-assisted communication systems because the downlink CSI can be efficiently obtained based on our proposed uplink channel estimation strategy thanks to channel reciprocity.
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