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Two fixed-point theorems for $\alpha$-admissible mappings satisfying contractive inequality of integral type with $\omega$-distance in complete metric spaces are proved. Our results extend and improve a few existing results in the literature. As applications, we use the fixed-point theorems obtained in this paper to establish solvability of nonlinear integral equations. Examples are included.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 2002, Branciari [1] discussed the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for mappings satisfying contractive condition of integral type, which is a generalization of the Banach contraction principle in metric spaces.

Theorem 1 (see [1]). Let $f$ be a mapping from a complete metric space $(X, d)$ into itself satisfying

$$
\int_0^{d(f(x),y)} \phi(t)dt \leq c \int_0^{d(x,y)} \phi(t)dt,
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $c \in (0, 1)$ is a constant and $\phi \in \Phi$. Then, $f$ has a unique fixed point $a \in X$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n x = a$ for each $x \in X$.

In 2012, Samet et al. [2] introduced the concept of $\alpha$-$\psi$-contractive type mappings and established some fixed-point theorems for these mappings in complete metric spaces.

Definition 1 (see [2]). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $T: X \to X$ and $\alpha: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be two given mappings. Then, $T$ is called an $\alpha$-admissible mapping if

$$
\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1, \quad \forall x, y \in X.
$$

Theorem 2 (see [2]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \to X$ be an $\alpha$-$\psi$-contractive mapping, that is,

$$
\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X,
$$

where $\psi \in \Phi_1$. Assume that

(a) $T$ is $\alpha$-admissible

(b) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$

(c) $T$ is continuous

Then, $T$ has a fixed point, that is, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $Tx^* = x^*$.

Theorem 3 (see [2]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \to X$ satisfy (3), (a), (b), and the following

(a) if $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \to x \in X$ as $n \to \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, $T$ has a fixed point.
Definition 2 (see [3]). Let \((X,d)\) be a metric space. A function \(p: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+\) is called a \(w\)-distance in \(X\) if it satisfies the following:

1. \((p_1)\) \(p(x,y) \leq p(x,z) + p(z,y), \forall x,y,z \in X\)
2. \((p_2)\) for each \(x \in X\), a mapping \(p(\cdot,x) : X \to \mathbb{R}^+\) is lower semicontinuous
3. \((p_3)\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that \(p(x,z) \leq \delta \) and \(p(z,y) \leq \delta\) imply \(d(x,y) \leq \varepsilon\).

In 2016, Lakzian et al. [8] introduced the concept of \((\alpha - \psi - p)\)-contractive mappings and proved the following fixed point results for such mappings, which generalize Theorems 2 and 3.

Theorem 4 (see [8]). Let \(p\) be a \(w\)-distance on a complete metric space \((X,d)\), and let \(f : X \to X\) be an \((\alpha - \psi - p)\)-contractive mapping, that is,

\[
\alpha(x,y) p(fx,fy) \leq \psi(p(x,y)), \quad \forall x,y \in X, \tag{4}
\]

where \(\psi \in \Phi_1\). Assume that

\[
\Phi_1 = \left\{ \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ satisfies that } \psi \text{ is nondecreasing and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < +\infty, \forall t > 0 \right\};
\]

\[
\Phi_2 = \left\{ \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ is Lebesgue integrable, summable in each compact subset of } \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } \int_0^\varepsilon \phi(t)dt > 0, \forall \varepsilon > 0 \right\}; \tag{5}
\]

\[
\Phi_3 = \left\{ \phi : \phi \in \Phi_2 \text{ and } \int_0^{a+b} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^a \phi(t)dt + \int_0^b \phi(t)dt, \forall a,b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}.
\]

Lemma 1 (see [10]). Let \(\phi \in \Phi_3\) and \([r_n]_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a nonnegative sequence. Then, \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(t)dt = 0\) if and only if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = 0\).

2. Fixed-Point Theorems

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for \(\alpha\)-admissible mappings (6) with \(w\)-distance.

Theorem 6. Let \(p\) be a \(w\)-distance in a complete metric space \((X,d)\) and let \(f : X \to X\) satisfy that

\[
\alpha(x,y) \int_0^{p(f(x,y))} \phi(t)dt \leq \psi\left(\int_0^{p(x,y)} \phi(t)dt\right), \quad \forall x,y \in X, \tag{6}
\]

where \((\psi, \phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3\). Assume that

- \((c_1)\) \(f\) is an \(\alpha\)-admissible mapping
- \((c_2)\) there exists a point \(x_0 \in X\) such that \(\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq 1\)

\((b_1)\) \(f\) is an \(\alpha\)-admissible mapping

\((b_2)\) there exists a point \(x_0 \in X\) such that \(\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq 1\)

\((b_3)\) either \(f\) is continuous or, for any sequence \([x_n]_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(X\) if \(\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(x_n \to x \in X\) as \(n \to \infty\), then \(\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\).

Then, there exists a point \(u \in X\) such that \(fu = u\). Moreover, if \(\alpha(u,u) \geq 1\), then \(p(u,u) = 0\).

Theorem 5 (see [8]). Let \(p\) be a \(w\)-distance on a complete metric space \((X,d)\), and let \(f : X \to X\) satisfy (4), \((b_1)\), \((b_2)\), and the following

\((b_3)\) for every \(y \in X\) with \(y \neq f(y)\), \(\inf\{p(x,y) + p(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} > 0\).

Then, there exists a point \(u \in X\) such that \(fu = u\). Moreover, if \(\alpha(u,u) \geq 1\), then \(p(u,u) = 0\).

Motivated by the results in [1–10], in this paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for \(\alpha\)-admissible mappings satisfying contractive inequality of integral type via \(w\)-distance in complete metric spaces, which are used to study solvability of nonlinear Fredholm and Volterra integral equations. Our results generalize Theorems 1–5 and two examples are given.

Throughout this paper, we denote by \(\mathbb{N}\) the set of positive integers, \(\mathbb{N}_0 = [0] \cup \mathbb{N}\), \(\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)\), \(\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)\), and

if one of the following conditions holds:

- \((c_4)\) \(f\) is continuous

- \((c_5)\) for any sequence \([x_n]_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(X\) if \(\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(x_n \to x \in X\) as \(n \to \infty\), then \(\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Then, \((1)\) \(f\) has a fixed point \(u \in X\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} f^nx_0 = u\). Moreover, \(p(u,u) = 0\) if \(\alpha(u,u) \geq 1\).

- \((2)\) \(f\) has a unique fixed point \(u \in X\) if for any fixed points \(x, y \in X\) of \(f\), there exists a point \(z \in X\) such that \(\alpha(z,x) \geq 1\) and \(\alpha(z,y) \geq 1\).

Proof. Firstly, we show (1). Define a sequence \([x_n]_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(X\) by \(x_{n+1} = fx_n\) \(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0\), where \(x_0\) satisfies \((c_2)\). Assume that there exists some \(n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}\). Put \(u = x_{n_0+1}\). Clearly, \(u\) is a fixed point of \(f\) and \(u = \lim_{n \to \infty} f^nx_0\).

Now, we assume that \(x_n \neq x_{n-1}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). It follows from \((c_1)\) and \((c_2)\) that
\[ \alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, f x_0) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha(f x_0, f x_1) \geq 1. \]  

(7)

It is easy to see that
\[ \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \]  

(8)

In view of (6) and (8) and \((\psi, \phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3\), we conclude that
\[ 0 \leq \int_0^{p(x_n, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) \, dt = \int_0^{p(f x_n, f x_{n+1})} \phi(t) \, dt \]
\[ \leq \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \int_0^{p(f x_{n-1}, f x_n)} \phi(t) \, dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{p(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \phi(t) \, dt \right) \]
\[ \leq \psi^n \left( \int_0^{p(x_n, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) \, dt \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \]

which implies that
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{p(x_n, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) \, dt = 0, \]

(9)

which together with \((\psi, \phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3\) and Lemma 1 yields that
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \]

(10)

Let \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(\delta\) be defined by \((p_1)\). Note that
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi^k \left( \int_0^{p(x_k, x_{k+1})} \phi(t) \, dt \right) < +\infty, \]

which means that there exists \(n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\) satisfying
\[ \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \psi^k \left( \int_0^{p(x_k, x_{k+1})} \phi(t) \, dt \right) < \delta \phi(t) \, dt. \]

(12)

In the following, we claim that \(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a Cauchy sequence. Making use of (6), (9), (12), \((p_1)\), and \((\psi, \phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3\), we infer that
\[ \int_0^{p(x_m, x_n)} \phi(t) \, dt \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_0^{p(x_k, x_{k+1})} \phi(t) \, dt \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_0^{p(x_k, x_{k+1})} \phi(t) \, dt \]
\[ \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \psi^k \left( \int_0^{p(x_k, x_{k+1})} \phi(t) \, dt \right) \]
\[ < \int_0^{\delta} \phi(t) \, dt, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m > n \geq n_0, \]

which implies that
\[ p(x_m, x_n) < \delta, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m > n \geq n_0. \]

(13)

It follows from (14) that
\[ p(x_m, x_n) < \delta, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m > n \geq n_0, \]

(15)

which together with \((p_1)\) gives that
\[ d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m > n \geq n_0, \]

(16)

That is, \(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a Cauchy sequence. By completeness of \(X\), there exists a point \(u \in X\) such that
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u. \]

(17)

Assume that \((c_3)\) holds. Using (17) and \((c_3)\), we gain that
\[ u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = f \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \right) = f u. \]

(18)

Assume that \((c_4)\) holds. By virtue of (8), (17), and \((c_4)\), we deduce that
\[ \alpha(x_n, u) \geq 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

(19)

Similar to the proofs of (12)–(14), we know that for each \(\varepsilon_1 > 0\) there exists \(n_1 \in \mathbb{N}\) satisfying
\[ 0 < p(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon_1, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m > n \geq n_1, \]

(20)

which together with \((p_2)\) and (17) yields that
\[ 0 < p(x_n, u) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) \leq \varepsilon_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n \geq n_1, \]

(21)

that is,
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, u) = 0. \]

(22)

In light of (6), (19), and \((\psi, \phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3\), we obtain that
\[ 0 \leq \int_0^{p(x_m, f u)} \phi(t) \, dt = \int_0^{p(f x_m, f u)} \phi(t) \, dt \leq \alpha(x_m, u) \int_0^{p(x_m, f u)} \phi(t) \, dt \]
\[ \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{p(x_m, f u)} \phi(t) \, dt \right) \leq \psi^{n_1} \left( \int_0^{p(x_m, f u)} \phi(t) \, dt \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \]

which together with Lemma 1 implies that
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_{n+1}, f u) = 0. \]

(23)

In view of (11), (24) and \((p_1)\), we infer that
\[ 0 \leq p(x_n, f u) \leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, f u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \]

(25)

that is,
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, f u) = 0. \]

(26)

Let \(\varepsilon_2 > 0\). It follows from \((p_3)\) that there exists \(\delta_1 > 0\) such that \(p(u, v) \leq \delta_1\) and \(p(u, z) \leq \delta_1\) imply \(d(v, z) < \varepsilon_2\). Combining (22) and (26), we know that there exists \(n_2 \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(p(x_n, u) \leq \delta_1\) and \(p(x_n, f u) \leq \delta_1\) for all \(n \geq n_2\). Hence, \(d(u, f u) \leq \varepsilon_2\). Letting \(\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0^+\), we have
\[ u = f u. \]

(27)

Next, we show that \(p(u, u) = 0\) if \(\alpha(u, u) \geq 1\). Suppose that \(p(u, u) > 0\). In view of (6) and \((\psi, \phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3\), we infer that
Following the proof of Theorem 6, we deduce that

\[ 0 < \int_0^{p(u,u)} \phi(t) dt = \int_0^{p(fu, fu)} \phi(t) dt \leq \alpha(u,u) \int_0^{p(fu, fu)} \phi(t) dt \]

\[ \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{p(u,u)} \phi(t) dt \right) < \int_0^{p(u,u)} \phi(t) dt, \]

(28)

which is ridiculous. Hence, \( p(u,u) = 0 \).

Secondly, we show (2). Suppose that \( x \) and \( y \) are two fixed points of \( f \) in \( X \). It follows that there exists a point \( z \in X \) satisfying

\[ \alpha(z,x) \geq 1, \]

\[ \alpha(z,y) \geq 1. \]

(29)

In light of (29) and \((c_3)\), we get that

\[ \alpha(f^n z, x) \geq 1 \]

\[ \alpha(f^n z, y) \geq 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \]

which together with (6) and \( \psi, \phi \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3 \) imply that

\[ 0 \leq \int_0^{\alpha(f^n z, x)} \phi(t) dt = \int_0^{\alpha(f^n z, f x)} \phi(t) dt \]

\[ \leq \alpha(f^n z, x) \int_0^{\alpha(f^n z, f x)} \phi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{\alpha(f^n z, f x)} \phi(t) dt \right) \]

\[ \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{\alpha(f^n z, x)} \phi(t) dt \right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty, \]

(31)

which gives that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{\alpha(f^n z, x)} \phi(t) dt = 0. \]

(32)

Similarly, we conclude that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{\alpha(f^n z, y)} \phi(t) dt = 0. \]

(33)

Making use of (32), (33), and Lemma 1, we get that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} p(f^{n+1} z, x) = 0, \]

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} p(f^{n+1} z, y) = 0, \]

(34)

which together with the proof of (27) yields similarly that \( x = y \). That is, \( f \) has a unique fixed point in \( X \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 7.** Let \( p \) be a \( w \)-distance in a complete metric space \((X,d)\), and let \( f : X \to X \) satisfy (6), \((c_3)\), \((c_2)\), and the following

\[ (c_2) \inf \{ p(x,y) + p(x,fx) : x \in X \} > 0 \text{ for each } y \in X \text{ with } y \neq fx. \]

Then, (1) and (2) hold.

**Proof.** Following the proof of Theorem 6, we deduce that \( \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a Cauchy sequence. By completeness of \((X,d)\), there exists a point \( u \in X \) that satisfies (17) holds. Suppose that \( u \neq fu \). In light of (11), (17), and \((c_3)\), we conclude that

\[ 0 < \inf \{ p(x,u) + p(x,fx) : x \in X \} \]

\[ \leq \inf \{ p(x,u) + p(x,x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \]

\[ = 0, \]

which is impossible. Hence, \( u = fu \). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 6 and is omitted. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 1.** Theorem 6 generalizes and improves Theorems 1–4. The following example manifests that Theorem 6 extends substantially Theorem 1.

**Example 1.** Let \( X = [0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3] \cup [1] \) be endowed with the Euclidean metric \( d = | \cdot | \), \( p : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+ \), \( \psi, \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \), \( f : X \to X \), and \( \alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) be defined by

\[ p(x,y) = \psi(y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \]

\[ \psi(t) = \frac{4}{5} t, \]

\[ \phi(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \]

\[ \alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}, & \forall x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ \frac{1}{2}, & x = \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{2}{3}, & x = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

(35)

It is clear that \( p \) is a \( w \)-distance in \( X \) and \((\psi,\phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_3\). Let \( x, y \in X \). In order to verify (6), we have to consider the following cases.

**Case 1.** \( x \in [0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3] \) and \( y \in [0, (1/2)] \). It follows that

\[ \alpha(x,y) \int_0^{\partial(x,y)} \phi(t) dt = \int_0^{\partial(2/3)} \frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{4}{5} y = \psi(y) \]

\[ = \psi \left( \int_0^{\partial(x,y)} \phi(t) dt \right). \]

(36)
Case 2. $x \in [0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3]$ and $y = (2/3)$. Note that
\[
\alpha(x, y) \int_0^{p(fx, fy)} \phi(t)dt = \int_0^{1/2} \phi(t)dt = \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = \psi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)
\]
\[= \psi\left(\int_0^{p(x, y)} \phi(t)dt\right).
\]
(38)

Case 3. $x \notin [0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3]$ or $y \notin [0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3]$. It is clear that
\[
\alpha(x, y) \int_0^{p(fx, fy)} \phi(t)dt = 0 \leq \psi\left(\int_0^{p(x, y)} \phi(t)dt\right).
\]
(39)

That is, (6) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$. It follows that
\[
f \in [0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3] and
\]
\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ 1, & x = \frac{2}{3}. \end{cases}
\]
\[
f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}y^2, & y \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ 1, & y = \frac{2}{3}. \end{cases}
\]
(40)

which imply that $f(x) \in [0, (1/2)]$ and $f(y) \in [0, (1/2)]$, that is, $\alpha(fx, fy) = 1$. Hence, $f$ is an $\alpha$-admissible mapping.

Put $x_0 = (2/3) \in X$. It is clear that $\alpha(x_0, fX_0) = \alpha((2/3), (2/3)) = 1$.

Finally, let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$ such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \longrightarrow x \in X$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

It is easy to see that $x_n \in (0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in the closed subset $[0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3]$ in the metric space $(X, d)$, it follows that the point $x$ belongs to $[0, (1/2)] \cup [2/3]$. Therefore, $\alpha(x_n, x) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, the conditions of Theorem 6 are satisfied. It follows from Theorem 6 that $f$ has a fixed point $0 \in X$.

However, we cannot invoke Theorem 1 to show that the mapping $f$ has a fixed point in $X$. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Clearly, $y_n = (2/3)$.

\[
0 < \int_{1/3}^{1/6} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_{1/3}^{1/6} \phi(t)dt < \int_{0}^{1/3} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \phi(t)dt < \int_{0}^{1/3} \phi(t)dt,
\]
(41)

which is impossible. Thus, Theorem 1 is not applicable in proving the existence of fixed points for the mapping $f$ in $X$.

Remark 2. Theorem 7 generalizes Theorem 5. The example below is an application of Theorem 7.

Example 2. Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{(1/(n+1)^2)\}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be endowed with the Euclidean metric $d = | \cdot |$, $p : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$,

$\psi, \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $f : X \longrightarrow X$, and $\alpha : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be defined by

\[
p(x, y) = y^2, \quad \forall x, y \in X,
\]

$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} t$, $\phi(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$\forall x \in X$

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x, y \in \left\{\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

(42)

It is clear that $\rho$ is a $w$-distance in $X$ and $(\psi, \phi) \in \Phi_1 \times \Phi_2$. Let $x, y \in X$. In order to show that $f$ satisfies (6), we have to consider the following cases.

Case 1. $x, y \in \{(1/(n+1)^2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It follows that

\[
\alpha(x, y) \int_0^{p(fx, fy)} \phi(t)dt = \int_0^{y^2} dt = y^4 \leq \frac{1}{2} y^2 = \psi(y^2)
\]

\[= \psi\left(\int_0^{p(x, y)} \phi(t)dt\right).
\]
(43)

Case 2. $x \notin \{(1/(n+1)^2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ or $y \notin \{(1/(n+1)^2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is clear that

\[
\alpha(x, y) \int_0^{p(fx, fy)} \phi(t)dt = 0 \leq \psi\left(\int_0^{p(x, y)} \phi(t)dt\right).
\]
(44)

that is, (6) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$. It follows that

\[
f(x) = x^2 \in \left\{\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\},
\]
\[
f(y) = y^2 \in \left\{\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\},
\]
(45)

which yield that $\alpha(fx, fy) = 1$. Hence, $f$ is an $\alpha$-admissible mapping.

Put $x_0 = (1/4) \in X$. It follows that $\alpha(x_0, fX_0) = \alpha((1/4), (1/16)) = 1$. Finally, we have

\[
\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \neq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]
(46)

which implies that for each $y \in \{(1/(n+1)^2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$,

\[
\inf\{p(x, y) + p(x, fX) : x \in X\} = y^2 > 0.
\]
(47)

Hence, the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied. It follows from Theorem 7 that $f$ has a fixed point $0 \in X$. 

3. Solvability of Nonlinear Integral Equations

The theory of nonlinear integral equations nowadays is a large topic which is found in many applications of various branches in mathematics. In this section, we prove the existence results of solutions for the following nonlinear branches in mathematics. In this section, we prove the existence results of solutions for the following nonlinear Fredholm and Volterra integral equations, respectively, by using Theorem 6:

\[ x(t) = \varphi(t) + \int_a^b K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \] (48)

\[ x(t) = \varphi(t) + \int_a^t K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \] (49)

where \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) are constants with \( a < b \), \( \varphi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), and \( K: [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are given functions.

Let \( C([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) denote the Banach space of all continuous functions \( x: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) with the norm \( \|x\| = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)| \). Put \( X = C([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) and

\[ d(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t) - y(t)|, \quad \forall x, y \in X. \] (50)

It is clear \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Define mappings \( T \) and \( S \) as follows:

\[ (Tx)(t) = \varphi(t) + \int_a^b K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [a, b] \times X, \] (51)

\[ (Sx)(t) = \varphi(t) + \int_a^t K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [a, b] \times X. \] (52)

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \xi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varphi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \) and \( K: [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfy the following:

\( d_1 \) \( \varphi \) and \( K \) are continuous

\( d_2 \) \( \xi(Tx(t), Ty(t)) \geq 0 \) and \( \forall (t, x, y) \in [a, b] \times X^2 \) with \( \xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0 \)

\( d_3 \) there exists \( x_0 \in X \) such that \( \xi(x_0(t), Tx_0(t)) \geq 0 \), \( \forall t \in [a, b] \)

\( d_4 \) if \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a sequence in \( X \) such that \( x_n \rightarrow x \) in \( X \) and \( \xi(x_n(t), x_{n+1}(t)) \geq 0, \) \( \forall (n, t) \in \mathbb{N} \times [a, b], \) then

\[ \xi(x_n(t), x(t)) \geq 0, \quad \forall (n, t) \in \mathbb{N} \times [a, b]. \] (53)

\( d_5 \) there exists \( \psi \in \Phi_1 \) with

\[ |K(t, s, y(s))| \leq \frac{\psi(\sup_{t \in [a, b]} |y(s)|) - |\varphi(t)|}{b - a}, \quad \forall (t, s, y) \in [a, b]^2 \times X. \] (54)

Then, nonlinear Fredholm integral equation (48) has a solution in \( X \).

**Proof.** Define \( p: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \) and \( \alpha: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) by

\[ p(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |y(t)|, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \] (55)

\[ \phi(r) = 1, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \] (56)

\[ \alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0, \forall t \in [a, b], \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \quad \forall x, y \in X. \]

Clearly, \( p \) is a \( \omega \)-distance in \( X \) and \((c_1), (c_2), \) and \((c_3)\) follow from \((d_2), (d_3), \) and \((d_4)\), respectively. It is easy to verify that \((d_4)\) and \((51)\) ensure that, for each \( x \in X \), \( Tx \) is a continuous function in \([a, b]\), which yields that \( T \) maps \( X \) into itself. Observe that \((51)\) and \((d_5)\) mean that

\[
\int_0^{|(Ty)(t)|} \phi(r)dr = |(Ty)(t)| = |\varphi(t) + \int_a^b K(t, s, y(s))ds|
\]

\[
\leq |\varphi(t)| + \int_a^b |K(t, s, y(s))|ds
\]

\[
\leq |\varphi(t)| + \int_a^b |\psi(\sup_{t \in [a, b]} |y(s)|) - |\varphi(t)||ds
\]

\[
= |\varphi(t)| + \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b [\psi(p(x, y)) - |\varphi(t)|]ds
\]

\[
= \psi(\int_0^{p(x,y)} \phi(r)dr), \quad \forall (t, x, y) \in [a, b] \times X^2,
\]

which implies that
\[
\alpha(x, y) \int_0^{p(Tx, Ty)} \phi(r) \, dr = \alpha(x, y) \int_0^{\sup_{t \in [a, b]} |(Ty)(t)|} \phi(r) \, dr \\
\leq \psi \left( \int_0^{p(x, y)} \phi(r) \, dr \right), \quad \forall x, y \in X.
\]

(58)

That is, (6) holds. Thus, Theorem 6 guarantees that \( T \) has a fixed point \( x \in X \), which is a solution of nonlinear Fredholm integral equation (48) in \( X \). This completes the proof. \( \Box \)

As in the proof of Theorem 8, we get the following result and omit its proof.

Theorem 9. Let \( \xi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), \( \psi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), and \( K: [a, b]^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfy (d)\(_1\), (d)\(_2\), (d)\(_3\), and

- (d)\(_4\) \( \xi(Sx(t), Sy(t)) \geq 0 \), \( \forall (t, x, y) \in [a, b] \times X^2 \) with \( \xi(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0 \);
- (d)\(_5\) there exists \( x_0 \in X \) such that \( \xi(x_0(t), Sx_0(t)) \geq 0 \), \( \forall t \in [a, b] \). Then, nonlinear Volterra integral equation (49) has a solution in \( X \).
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