Analysis of Three-Phase Wye-Delta Connected LLC
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Abstract: Three-phase wye–delta LLC topology is suitable for voltage step down and high output current, and has been used in the industry for some time, e.g., for server power and EV charger. However, no comprehensive circuit analysis has been performed for three-phase wye–delta LLC. This paper provides complete analysis methods for three-phase wye–delta LLC. The analysis methods include circuit operation, time domain analysis, frequency domain analysis, and state–plane analysis. Circuit operation helps determine the circuit composition and operation sequence. Time domain analysis helps understand the detail operation, equivalent circuit model, and circuit equation. Frequency domain analysis helps obtain the curve of the transfer function and assists in circuit design. State–plane analysis is used for optimal trajectory control (OTC). These analyses not only can calculate the voltage/current stress, but can also help design three-phase wye–delta connected LLC and provide the OTC control reference. In addition, this paper uses PSIM simulation to verify the correctness of analysis. At the end, a 5-kW three-phase wye–delta LLC prototype is realized. The specification of the prototype is a DC input voltage of 380 V and output voltage/current of 48 V/105 A. The peak efficiency is 96.57%.
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1. Introduction

With the popularity and rapid progress of electronic products, power electronic technology is also constantly improving. Most power converters have been developing in the direction of high efficiency, high power, and high power density due to the demand for consumer electronics. The LLC resonant converter meets the above requirements and is one of the commonly used topologies in recent years, e.g., for server powers, EV chargers, LED drivers, renewable power systems, and wireless power transfer systems [1–5]. There are many advantages, such as zero-voltage switching (ZVS) on the primary side and zero-current switching (ZCS) [6,7] on the secondary side, that can effectively improve the efficiency and increase the feasibility of high switching frequency. Moreover, the disadvantages of LLC are high ripple current in the output capacitor and narrow output voltage range [8]. However, the development and application has become quicker and wider, e.g., with the battery charger. From consumer electronics, server power, to electric vehicle, battery charge technology is everywhere [9,10]. The application voltage ranges from tens of volts to hundreds of volts, even up to kilo volts. The power of the battery charger converter is increasing too. In this kind of situation, the traditional LLC is not entirely suitable for the requirements. To solve the problem, if the converter is paralyzed to promote the power level, it will have more issues, such as current sharing problem [11,12] or system stability reduction. Several papers provide a three-phase wye-connected LLC topology to reduce the current sharing problem. Wye-connected LLC topology can not only reduce the current sharing problem, but also substantially reduce the output current ripple [13]. Low output current ripple is a good characteristic because high output current ripple will increase the output voltage ripple and reduce the output
capacitor lifetime. However, three-phase LLC presents high ripple current in output capacitor and narrow output voltage range, together with improved power level, high efficiency, and good output characteristics [14]. Therefore, the application of wye-connected or delta-connected topology on the primary and secondary sides is gradually being studied.

According to the combination of wye-connected and delta-connected topology in the primary and secondary sides, the connection type can be divided into wye–wye, wye–delta, delta–wye, and delta–delta [15–17]. In wye-connected topology, the voltage stress of component is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ times that of traditional voltage stress. In delta-connected topology, the current stress of component is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ times that of traditional current stress. Thus, the wye-connected topology is suitable for higher voltage situations, and delta-connected topology is suitable for higher current situations.

This paper aims to analyze the three-phase wye–delta LLC topology by providing a complete analysis for reference in practical design situations. First, the circuit composition and operation are introduced. Second, time domain analysis is used to list the state equations of the resonant tank. Time domain analysis is a more accurate circuit analysis, but the calculation is complicated [18]. The time domain analysis can help the designer to run circuit simulation and optimal trajectory control (OTC) [19]. Then, frequency domain analysis uses the first harmonic approximation (FHA) method to simplify the analysis and obtain the output voltage gain curve [20]. The frequency domain analysis can help the designer to design the control loop in LLC. However, in order to improve the accuracy of the gain curve to optimize the circuit, additional calculations for the circuit specifications and applications remain necessary [21,22]. Finally, the time domain equation is used to derive the state–plane graph. The state–plane graph not only can find the voltage/current stress on resonant components but also helps design the optimal trajectory control [23,24]. OTC is a new control method recently developed. It can effectively improve the transient and startup characteristics of LLC circuits.

After introducing the analysis of three-phase wye–delta LLC topology, this paper finally realizes a 5-kW prototype with DC input voltage of 380 V, output voltage of 48 V, and output current of 105 A. The highest efficiency is 96.57%.

2. Three-Phase Wye-Delta LLC

2.1. Topology

Figure 1 shows that three-phase wye–delta LLC is composed of three sets of half-bridge LLC. Each half-bridge is connected to a resonant tank and a transformer separately. Three transformers are connected to one another in wye type in the primary side, and the secondary side is connected in delta type. Ideally, the characteristic values of three resonance tanks need to be as close as possible, and the relationship of line voltage $v_{AB}$ and phase voltage $v_{AN}$ is $v_{AB} = \sqrt{3}v_{AN}$.

![Figure 1. Topology of three-phase wye–delta LLC.](image_url)
2.2. Operation Principle

The control method of three-phase wye-delta LLC is pulse frequency modulation. Each set of half-bridge signal is in 120-degree phase-shift, and each duty cycle is almost 50%. The different operation sequence leads secondary current phase-lead or phase-lag. Figure 2 shows that, if the signal lags 120 degrees in sequence, then current $i_{\Delta 1}$ will lag $\theta$ degree after switch A. For another case, if the signal leads than A 120 degrees in sequence, then current $i_{\Delta 1}$ will lead $\theta$ degree before switch A.

![Figure 2. Influence of switching at different sequence.](image)

2.3. Time Domain Analysis

To simplify the analysis, this paper defines three hypotheses:

- The body diode of MOSFET is considered, and parasitic capacity is neglected.
- Output capacity is immense, and output can be seen as an ideal voltage source.
- All components are ideal.

Based on the hypothesis, the operation station delivers 12 parts. The operation of the positive half cycle is the same as that of the negative half cycle. Hence, the time domain analysis only describes at the positive half cycle. Furthermore, the operation of three sets of A, B, and C are in 120-degree phase-shift, and subsequently only focus on set A. Figure 3 presents the operation station of three-phase wye-delta LLC.
Figure 3. Operation station of three-phase wye–delta LLC.

1. Stage 1: $t_0 < t < t_1$

In Figure 4a, switch $M_2$ is turned off, switches $M_4$ and $M_5$ keep turned on, switches $M_3$ and $M_6$ keep turned off, and switch $M_1$ is turned on after a short dead time. Current $i_{Lr1}$ passes though body diode of switch $M_1$. The voltage of $v_{AN}$ steps up from $-\frac{1}{3}V_{in}$ to $\frac{1}{3}V_{in}$. Secondary diode $D_4$ starts to conduct. Hence, TF1 is forced short circuit and decoupled. After dead time, switch $M_1$ is turned on, and soft switch is completed. Current $i_c$ falls, current $i_d$ rises until equal to $i_c$, then Stage 1 is finished. Figure 4b is the equivalent circuit, and the state equation of the resonant tank follows.
\[ i_{Lr}(t) = i_{Lr}(t_0) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{V_{in} - v_{Cr}(t_0)}{Z_r} \sin(\omega t) \]  

(1)

\[ v_{Cr}(t) = \frac{V_{in}}{3} - \left[ \frac{V_{in}}{3} - v_{Cr}(t_0) \right] \cos(\omega t) + Z_r \cdot i_{Lr}(t_0) \sin(\omega t) \]  

(2)

\[ i_{Lm}(t) = i_{Lm}(t_0) \]  

(3)

2. **Stage 2:** \( t_1 < t < t_2 \)

In Figure 5a, all switches’ states are the same as Stage 1. Current \( i_A \) and \( i_C \) are in series; hence, current \( i_{A1} \) is zero. The primary side of TF1 and TF3 are seen as parallel, and the secondary side of TF1 and TF3 are seen as series. Transformer voltage \( v_{ab} \), noted here as \( v_x \), is determined by the state of the resonant tank. All transformers transfer energy to the secondary side at the same time. Figure 5b shows the equivalent circuit, and the state equation of the resonant tank follows.

\[ i_{Lr}(t) = i_{Lr}(t_1) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{V_{in} - v_{Cr}(t_1) - nV_0}{Z_r} \sin(\omega t) \]  

(4)

\[ v_{Cr}(t) = \frac{V_{in}}{3} - nV_0 - \left[ \frac{V_{in}}{3} - v_{Cr}(t_1) - nV_0 \right] \cos(\omega t) + Z_r \cdot i_{Lr}(t_1) \sin(\omega t) \]  

(5)

\[ i_{Lm}(t) = i_{Lm}(t_1) + \frac{nV_0}{L_m} \]  

(6)

3. **Stage 3:** \( t_2 < t < t_3 \)
In Figure 6a, switch $M_5$ is turned off, switches $M_1$ and $M_4$ keep turned on, switches $M_2$ and $M_3$ keep turned off, and switch $M_6$ is turned on after a short dead time. Current $i_{LR3}$ passes through body diode of switch $M_3$. The voltage of $v_{AN}$ steps up from $\frac{1}{3}v_{in}$ to $\frac{2}{3}v_{in}$. Secondary diode $D_2$ starts to conduct. Hence, TF3 is forced short circuit and decoupled. After dead time, switch $M_6$ is turned on, and soft switch is completed. Current $i_B$ falls, current $i_C$ rises until equal to $i_B$, then Stage 3 is finished. Figure 6b is the equivalent circuit, and the state equation of the resonant tank follows.

$$i_{LR}(t) = i_{LR}(t_2) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{2V_{in} - v_{CR}(t_2) - nV_o}{Z_r} \sin(\omega t)$$ (7)

$$v_{CR}(t) = \frac{2V_{in}}{3} - nV_o - \left[\frac{2V_{in}}{3} - v_{CR}(t_2) - nV_o\right] \cos(\omega t) + Z_r \cdot i_{LR}(t_2) \sin(\omega t)$$ (8)

$$i_{LM}(t) = i_{LM}(t_2) + \frac{nV_o}{L_m}(t)$$ (9)

4. Stage 4: $t_3 < t < t_4$

In Figure 7a, all switches’ states are the same as Stage 3. Current $i_C$ and $i_B$ are in series. Hence, current $i_{AA3}$ is zero. The primary side of TF2 and TF3 are seen as parallel, and the secondary side of TF2 and TF3 are seen as series. Transformer voltage $v_{CN}$ is determined by the state of the resonant tank. All transformers transfer energy to the secondary side at the same time. Figure 7b is the equivalent circuit, and the state equation of the resonant tank follows.

$$i_{LR}(t) = i_{LR}(t_2) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{2V_{in} - v_{CR}(t_2) - nV_o}{Z_r} \sin(\omega t)$$ (7)

$$v_{CR}(t) = \frac{2V_{in}}{3} - nV_o - \left[\frac{2V_{in}}{3} - v_{CR}(t_2) - nV_o\right] \cos(\omega t) + Z_r \cdot i_{LR}(t_2) \sin(\omega t)$$ (8)

$$i_{LM}(t) = i_{LM}(t_2) + \frac{nV_o}{L_m}(t)$$ (9)

Figure 6. (a) Circuit station at Stage 3 (b) Equivalent circuit at Stage 3.

Figure 7. (a) Circuit station at Stage 4 (b) Equivalent circuit at Stage 4.
\[ i_{Lr}(t) = i_{Lr}(t_3) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{2V_{\text{in}}}{3} - v_{Cr}(t_3) - nV_o \frac{Z_r}{Z_r} \sin(\omega t) \] (10)

\[ v_{Cr}(t) = \frac{2V_{\text{in}}}{3} - nV_o - \left[ \frac{2V_{\text{in}}}{3} - v_{Cr}(t_3) - nV_o \right] \cos(\omega t) + Z_r \cdot i_{Lr}(t_3) \sin(\omega t) \] (11)

\[ i_{Lm}(t) = i_{Lm}(t_3) + \frac{nV_o}{L_m}(t) \] (12)

5. Stage 5: \( t_4 < t < t_5 \)

In Figure 8a, switch \( M_4 \) is turned off, switches \( M_1 \) and \( M_6 \) keep turned on, switches \( M_2 \) and \( M_5 \) keep turned off, and switch \( M_3 \) is turned on after a short dead time. Current \( i_{Lr2} \) passes through body diode of switch \( M_3 \). The voltage of \( V_{\text{in}} \) steps up from \( \frac{2}{3}V_{\text{in}} \) to \( \frac{1}{3}V_{\text{in}} \). Secondary diode \( D_6 \) starts to conduct. Hence, TF2 is forced to short circuit and decoupled. After dead time, switch \( M_3 \) is turned on, and soft switch is completed. Current \( i_A \) falls, current \( i_B \) rises until equal to \( i_A \), then Stage 5 is finished. Figure 8b is the equivalent circuit, and the state equation of the resonant tank follows.

\[ i_{Lr}(t) = i_{Lr}(t_4) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{2V_{\text{in}}}{3} - v_{Cr}(t_4) - nV_o \frac{Z_r}{Z_r} \sin(\omega t) \] (13)

\[ v_{Cr}(t) = \frac{2V_{\text{in}}}{3} - nV_o - \left[ \frac{2V_{\text{in}}}{3} - v_{Cr}(t_4) - nV_o \right] \cos(\omega t) + Z_r \cdot i_{Lr}(t_4) \sin(\omega t) \] (14)

\[ i_{Lm}(t) = i_{Lm}(t_4) + \frac{nV_o}{L_m}(t) \] (15)

6. Stage 6: \( t_5 < t < t_6 \)

In Figure 9a, all switch states are the same as Stage 5. Current \( i_B \) and \( i_A \) are in series. Hence, current \( i_{Lr2} \) is zero. The primary side of TF1 and TF2 are seen as parallel, and the secondary side of TF1 and TF2 are seen as series. Transformer voltage \( v_{\text{AB}} \), noted here as \( nV_o - v_x \), is determined by the state of the resonant tank. All transformers transfer energy to the secondary side at the same time. Figure 9b is the equivalent circuit, and the state equation of the resonant tank follows.
\[
\begin{align*}
    i_{Lr}(t) &= i_{Lr}(t_5) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{V_{in}}{3} - v_{Cn}(t_5) \cdot \sin(\omega t) \\
    v_{Cn}(t) &= V_{in} - \left[ V_{in} - v_{Cn}(t_5) \right] \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \frac{Z_L}{3} \cdot i_{Lr}(t_5) \cdot \sin(\omega t) \\
    i_{Lm}(t) &= i_{Lm}(t_5) 
\end{align*}
\]

2.4. Frequency Domain Analysis

The operation waveform should be simplified to use FHA to derive the transfer function. Figure 10 shows the operation waveform of the resonant tank and transformer.

In Figure 10, the value of current \( i_A \) is similar at \( t_1 \sim t_2 \) and \( t_5 \sim t_6 \), and the lengths of time are the same. To facilitate FHA calculations, \( i_A \) can be seen as a sine wave and \( v_{AN} \) can be seen as a square wave, as shown in Figure 11.
The FHA coefficients of $i_A$, $v_{AN}$, and $v_{AN}$ can be calculated, as shown in Table 1. Furthermore, the topology gain can be divided into five parts per cycle. The equation is as follows:

$$G = \frac{V_o}{V_{in}} = \frac{V_o}{I_o} \cdot \frac{I_A}{I_A} \cdot \frac{V_{AN}}{V_{AN}} \cdot \frac{V_{AN}}{V_{AN}}$$

(19)

Table 1. Function and FHA coefficient.

| Item       | Function                              | FHA Coefficient |
|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| $v_{AN}$   | $\frac{2}{\pi} V_{in} \sin(\omega t)$ | $\frac{6}{\pi}$ |
| $v_{AN}$   | $\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi} nV_o \sin(\omega t)$ | $\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi}$ |

Based on the above result, transfer gain can be calculated as follows:

$$G = \frac{V_o}{V_{in}} = \frac{V_o}{I_o} \cdot \frac{I_A}{I_A} \cdot \frac{V_{AN}}{V_{AN}} \cdot \frac{V_{AN}}{V_{AN}} = R_o \cdot \frac{6\sqrt{3} n I_A}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{36n^2 R_o} \cdot \frac{|H(j\omega)|}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{|H(j\omega)|}{n}$$

(20)

where $|H(j\omega)|$ is the transfer function of $\frac{v_{AN}}{V_{AN}}$. The value is same as LLC. Thus, the gain curve of three-phase wye–delta LLC is similar to that of conventional LLC. The only difference is that the gain has a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ coefficient.

2.5. State-Plane Analysis

Application for state–plane analysis: This analysis can determine the resonant voltage/current stress and operating state. It can also assist in the design of OTC. OTC can effectively improve the transient and reduce the voltage/current stress of startup of LLC circuits. This section uses the circuit equation to calculate the voltage/current state of the resonance tank accurately, then uses standardization and geometric principle to depict the resonant voltage and current state. First, six initial values of the equation need to be obtained. The definitions and descriptions are shown in Figure 12 and Table 2.

![Figure 12. Waveform of six variables.](image)

Table 2. Describe of six variables.

| $v_x$: magnetizing inductor voltage at stage 2 | $i_{Lr}$: resonant inductor current | $v_{Cy}$: resonant capacitor voltage | $\theta$: transformer current commutation time |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| $v_r$: max voltage of magnetizing inductor    | $i_{Lm}$: magnetizing inductor current |                                   |                                               |
To determine the six initial values, six equations must be listed, as shown below:

1. Symmetry of magnetizing inductor current
   In Figure 13, assuming that $t_0 = 0$, it can be listed.
   
   
   \[
   i_{lm}(t_0) = -i_{lm}(t_0) = i_{lm}(t_0) + \frac{V_r}{L_m} \left( \frac{T_x}{6} - t_1 \right) + \frac{V_r}{L_m} \left( \frac{T_x}{6} + t_1 \right) + \frac{V_r - V_x}{L_m} \left( \frac{T_x}{6} - t_1 \right) 
   \] (21)

   The attached Equation (A-15) is used to substitute Equation (21) and obtain:
   
   \[
   i_{lm}(t_0) = -\frac{V_r}{L_m} \frac{\phi}{\omega_0} 
   \] (22)

   Figure 13. Waveform of symmetrical magnetizing inductor current.

2. Symmetry of resonant inductor current
   In Figure 14, because of the symmetry of resonant inductor current, it can be listed.
   
   \[
   i_{lr}(t_0) = -i_{lr}(t_0) 
   \] (23)

   The attached Equation (A-13) is used to substitute Equation (23) and obtain:
   
   \[
   \frac{V_m}{3} \left[ \sin(3\phi) + \sin(2\phi) - \sin(\phi) \right] - V_r \sin(2\phi) - V_x \left[ \sin(3\phi - \theta) + \sin(2\phi) + \sin(\phi - \theta) \right] + v_{cr}(t_0) \sin(3\phi) + Z_0 i_{lr}(t_0) [1 + \cos 3\phi] = 0 
   \] (24)

   Figure 14. Waveform of symmetrical resonant inductor current.

3. Symmetry of resonant capacitor voltage
   In Figure 15, because of the symmetry of resonant inductor current, it can be listed.
\[ v_{Cr}(t_0) = -v_{Cr}(t_0) \]  

The attached Equation (A-14) is used to substitute Equation (25) and obtain:

\[
\frac{V_{in}}{3}[- \cos(3\phi) - \cos(2\phi) + \cos(\phi) + 1] - V_r[\cos(2\phi) - 1] + V_x[\cos(3\phi - \theta) - \cos(2\phi) - \cos(\phi - \theta) + 1] \\
+ v_{Cr}(t_0)[\cos(3\phi) + 1] + Z_o i_{lr}(t_0)[\sin(3\phi) + 1] = 0
\]  

4. Conservation of energy

Since each phase provides \( \frac{1}{3} \) of input power, the average absolute value of the primary side resonance current is also \( \frac{1}{3} \) of the input current. Furthermore, the capacitor voltage is changed from \( v_{Cr} \) to \(-v_{Cr}\). Therefore, the equation for the voltage change of the resonant capacitor in a half cycle can be:

\[
\frac{1}{3} I_i \cdot V_i \cdot T_s = \frac{1}{3} I_o \cdot V_o \cdot T_s 
\]  

\[
\rightarrow Q_{in} \cdot V_{in} \cdot T_s = \frac{1}{3} I_o \cdot V_o \cdot T_s 
\]  

\[ Q_{in} = C_r \cdot 2 v_{Cr}(t_0) \] is used to substitute Equation (28) and obtain:

\[ v_{Cr}(t_0) = \frac{1}{6} I_o \cdot V_o \cdot T_s / C_r \cdot V_{in} \]

5. The current is equal at the secondary side when \( t = t_1 \).

In Figure 16, when \( t = t_1 \), and current \( i_A \) is equal to \( i_C \), then it can be listed.

\[ i_A(t_1) = i_C(t_1) \]

In addition, the resonant current includes the magnetizing current and the current coupled from the secondary side. Thus, the formula can be rewritten as:

\[ i_{lr}(t_1) - i_{lm}(t_1) = i_{lr}(t_3) - i_{lm}(t_3) \]

The attached Equations (A-4), (A-6), (A-13), and (A-15) are used to substitute Equation (31) and obtain:

\[
\frac{V_{in}}{3} \sin(\phi) - v_x \left[ \sin(\phi - \theta) + \frac{1}{L_m} \cdot \left( \frac{\phi - \theta}{\omega_0} \right) \right] - v_{Cr}(t_0) + Z_o i_{lr}(t_0) \cos(\phi) + 1) - 2Z_o i_{lm}(t_0) = 0
\]
6. The current is equal at the secondary side when \( t = t_2 \).

   Similar to the above, when \( t = t_2 \), and current \( i_A \) is equal to \( i_C \), then it can be listed.

   \[
   i_{LR}(t_2) - i_{LM}(t_2) = i_{LR}(t_4) - i_{LM}(t_4) \quad (33)
   \]

   The attached Equations (A-4), (A-6), (A-13), and (A-15) are used to substitute Equation (33) and obtain:

   \[
   \frac{V_{\text{in}}}{3} \left[ \sin(\phi) + \sin(\phi - \theta) \right] + (v_r + v_c) \left[ \sin(\phi - \theta) + \frac{1}{L_m} \left( \frac{\phi - \theta}{\omega_0} \right) \right] - v_{CR}(t_0) \left[ \sin(\phi) - \sin(\phi - \theta) \right] + Z_o i_{LR}(t_0) \left[ \cos(\phi) + \cos(\phi - \theta) \right] - 2 Z_o i_{LM}(t_0) = 0 \quad (34)
   \]

   The six initial values can be solved using the above six Equations (22), (24), (26), (29), (32), and (34) and determine the output load. Normalization is necessary to depict the state plane. By normalizing \( v_{CR} \) and \( i_{LR} \) with voltage factor \( V_{\text{in}} \) and current factor \( Z_o \), the state–plane can be depicted, as shown in Figure 17a. In addition, the \( i_{LR} \) value and \( v_{CR} \) value also shown in Figure 17b. Among them, the \( Z_o \) is characteristic impedance, where \( Z_o = \sqrt{\frac{V_{\text{in}}}{C_r}} \). All normalized state equations and individual trajectories are shown in Table 3.
Figure 17. State–plane schematic diagram at 50% load. (a) normalizing form (b) real value form.

Table 3. Equations and state–plane trajectory.

| State   | Equation                                                                 | Trajectory |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| State 1 | \( [v_{CrA}(t) - \frac{1}{3}]^2 + |i_{LrA}(t)|^2 = i_{LrA}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{3} - v_{CrA} \right)^2 \) | ![State 1 Diagram](image1) |
| State 2 | \( [v_{CrA}(t) - \left( \frac{1}{3} - v_{xN} \right)]^2 + |i_{LrA}(t)|^2 = i_{LrA}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{3} - v_{CrAN} - v_{xN} \right)^2 \) | ![State 2 Diagram](image2) |
| State 3 and State 4 | \( [v_{CrA}(t) - \left( \frac{2}{3} - v_{xN} \right)]^2 + |i_{LrA}(t)|^2 = i_{LrA}^2 + \left( \frac{2}{3} - v_{Cr2N} - v_{xN} \right)^2 \) | ![State 3 and 4 Diagram](image3) |
| State 5 | \( [v_{CrA}(t) - \left( \frac{1}{3} - v_{xN} \right)]^2 + |i_{LrA}(t)|^2 = i_{LrA}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{3} - v_{CrAN} - v_{xN} \right)^2 \) | ![State 5 Diagram](image4) |
3. Design and Simulation Verification

This paper designs a prototype and performs PSIM simulation to verify the accuracy of the analysis. Tables 4 and 5 show the specifications of the circuit design and the parameters of the resonant tank, respectively. The output power is about 5 kW, and three sets of resonant parameter values are equal.

Table 4. Specification of three-phase wye–delta LLC.

| Item       | Value   |
|------------|---------|
| $V_{in}$   | 380 V   |
| $V_o$      | 48 V    |
| $I_o$      | 0~105 A |
| $P_{o−max}$ | 5040 W |
| $f_s$      | 80~220 kHz |

Table 5. Parameters of resonant tank.

| Item       | Value   |
|------------|---------|
| $L_r$      | 20 $\mu$H |
| $L_m$      | 200 $\mu$H |
| $C_r$      | 165 nF   |
| Transformer turns ratio $n$ | 4:1 |

Figures 18–20 show the gain curve comparison of analysis and the PSIM simulation. The load conditions of 2.4, 0.96, and 0.457 ohm are in sequence. The figures show that the trend of the gain curve is much closed. The maximum difference of switching frequency at the voltage regulation point is about 25 kHz.

Figure 18. Gain curve comparison at 20% load.
4. Experimental Results

This paper uses Table 4 as the specification to realize a prototype 5-kW three-phase wye–delta LLC. Figure 21 shows the operational waveform at 50% and 100% loads. In Figure 21, the $i_{Lr}$ peak currents of 50% and 100% loads are 8.85 A and 17.47 A, respectively. Figure 22 shows the state–plane from the PSIM simulation. Compared with Figure 17b, the value is closed, the max error of current is 0.2 A, and the max error of voltage is 1.7 V.
Figure 22. (a) State-plane analysis result at 50% load (b) State-plane analysis result at 100% load.

Figure 23 shows the current statement of three resonant inductors at 50% load. The three resonant currents have no unbalanced current problem. In addition, a higher frequency ripple shows at $v_{AB}$. The reason for this is that the secondary winding of transformer is copper sheet, and it does not use the sandwich winding method. Thus, the coupling capacity is evident. It causes the resonant inductor to oscillate with the parasitic capacitor of transformer. Figure 24 shows the efficiency from light load to 100% load. The highest efficiency point is 96.57%, and the full load efficiency is 93.74%. All equipment including power source, load, and power meter are EA PSB 11000-80, Chroma 63209, and Hioki PW6001.

Figure 23. 50% load current statement of three resonant inductors. (Time: 2µs/div; $V_{gs2}$: 10V/div; $i_{Lr1}$: 5A/div; $i_{Lr2}$: 5A/div; $i_{Lr3}$: 5A/div).

Figure 24. Efficiency of three-phase wye–delta LLC.
Figure 25 shows the real dimension of the prototype. The height of the circuit is less than 4 cm, and the total area of the circuit is approximately 520 cm².

Figure 25. Three-phase wye–delta LLC prototype.

5. Conclusions

The core of this paper is to propose a complete analysis method for three-phase wye–delta LLC design and application. The methods include circuit operation, time domain analysis, frequency domain analysis, and state–plane analysis. In addition, this paper uses PSIM simulation to verify the accuracy of the analysis. These analysis methods can also be applied to other three-phase resonant topologies, such as three-phase wye–wye LLC.

Finally, this paper realizes a three-phase wye–delta LLC prototype. The input voltage is 380 V, the output voltage/current is 48 V/105 A, and the peak efficiency is 96.57%.
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Appendix A

Initial Value Calculation of Resonant Capacitor, Resonant Inductor and Magnetizing Inductor

Definition: $\theta = \omega_0 t$, $\varphi = \frac{1}{6} \omega_0 T$ when $t = t_1$:

$$i_{l_r}(t_1) = i_{l_r}(t_0) \cos(\theta) + \frac{V_{in} - v_{cr}(t_0)}{Z_r} \sin(\theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-1)

$$v_{cr}(t_1) = Z_r i_{l_r}(t_0) \sin(\theta) - \left[ \frac{V_{in}}{3} - v_{cr}(t_0) \right] \cos(\theta) + \frac{V_{in}}{3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-2)

$$i_{l_m}(t_1) = i_{l_m}(t_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-3)

When $t = t_2$:

$$i_{l_r}(t_2) = i_{l_r}(t_0) \cos(\varphi) + \frac{V_{in} - v_{cr}(t_0)}{Z_r} \sin(\varphi) - \frac{v_{x}}{Z_r} \sin(\varphi - \theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-4)

$$v_{cr}(t_2) = Z_r i_{l_r}(t_0) \sin(\varphi) - \left[ \frac{V_{in}}{3} - v_{cr}(t_0) - v_{x} \right] \cos(\varphi) + \frac{V_{in}}{3} - v_{x}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-5)
\[ i_{lm}(t_2) = i_{lm}(t_0) + \frac{v_x}{L_m} \left( \frac{\varphi - \theta}{\omega_0} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-6)

When \( t = t_4 \):
\[ i_{LR}(t_4) = i_{LR}(t_0) \cos(\varphi) + \frac{v_{in}}{3} - v_x - v_r \sin(\varphi) + \frac{v_{in} - v_{cr}(t_0)}{Z_r} \sin(2\varphi) + \frac{v_x}{Z_r} \sin(2\varphi - \theta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-7)

\[ v_{cr}(t_4) = Z_r i_{LR}(t_0) \sin(2\varphi) - \left[ \frac{v_{in}}{3} + v_x - v_r \right] \cos(\varphi) - \left[ \frac{v_{in}}{3} + v_{cr}(t_0) \right] \cos(2\varphi) + v_x \cos(2\varphi - \theta) + \frac{2v_{in}}{3} - v_r \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-8)

\[ i_{lm}(t_4) = i_{lm}(t_0) + \frac{v_r}{L_m} \left( \frac{\varphi - \theta}{\omega_0} \right) + \frac{v_x}{L_m} \left( \frac{\varphi}{\omega_0} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-9)

When \( t = t_5 \):
\[ i_{LR}(t_5) = i_{LR}(t_0) \cos(2\varphi + \theta) - \frac{v_{in}}{3} \sin(\theta) + \frac{v_{in} - v_r + v_x}{Z_r} \sin(\varphi + \theta) - \frac{v_x}{Z_r} \sin(2\varphi) - \frac{v_{in} - v_{cr}(t_0)}{Z_r} \sin(2\varphi + \theta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-10)

\[ v_{cr}(t_5) = Z_r i_{LR}(t_0) \sin(2\varphi + \theta) + \frac{v_{in}}{3} \cos(\varphi) - \frac{v_{in} - v_r + v_x}{3} \cos(\varphi + \theta) \]
\[ + \frac{v_x}{3} \cos(2\varphi) - \frac{v_{in} + v_{cr}(t_0)}{3} \cos(2\varphi + \theta) + \frac{v_{in}}{3} - v_r \]
\[ i_{lm}(t_5) = i_{lm}(t_0) + \frac{v_r + v_x}{L_m} \left( \frac{\varphi}{\omega_0} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-11)

When \( t = t_6 \):
\[ i_{LR}(t_6) = i_{LR}(t_0) \cos(3\varphi) + \frac{v_{in}}{3} - \frac{v_{cr}(t_0)}{Z_r} \sin(3\varphi) - \frac{v_x}{Z_r} \sin(3\varphi - \theta) \]
\[ + \frac{v_{in} - v_r + v_x}{Z_r} \sin(2\varphi) - \frac{v_{in}}{3} \sin(\varphi + \theta) \]
\[ v_{cr}(t_6) = Z_r i_{LR}(t_0) \sin(3\varphi) - v_{cr}(t_0) \cos(3\varphi) + v_x \cos(3\varphi - \theta) - \left[ \frac{v_{in}}{3} - v_r - v_x \right] \cos(2\varphi) \]
\[ + \frac{v_{in}}{3} \sin(\varphi) + v_x \cos(\varphi - \theta) + \frac{v_{in}}{3} - v_r - v_x \]
\[ i_{lm}(t_6) = i_{lm}(t_0) + \frac{v_r}{L_m} \left( \frac{2\varphi}{\omega_0} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-12)
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