Experimental Study of Biogas–Hydrogen Mixtures Combustion in Conventional Natural Gas Systems
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Abstract: Biogas is a renewable gas with low heat energy, which makes it extremely difficult to use as fuel in conventional natural gas equipment. Nonetheless, the use of hydrogen as a biogas additive has proven to have a beneficial effect on flame stability and combustion behavior. This study evaluates the biogas–hydrogen combustion in a conventional natural gas burner able to work up to 100 kW. Tests were performed for three different compositions of biogas: BG70 (30% CO2), BG60 (40% CO2), and BG50 (50% CO2). To achieve better flame stability, each biogas was enriched with hydrogen from 5% to 25%. The difficulty of burning biogas in conventional systems was proven, as the burner does not ignite when the biogas composition contains more than 40% of CO2. The best improvements were obtained at 5% hydrogen composition since the exhaust gas temperature and, thus, the enthalpy, rises by 80% for BG70 and 65% for BG60. The stability map reveals that pure biogas combustion is unstable in BG70 and BG60; when the CO2 content is 50%, ignition is inhibited. The properties change slightly when the hydrogen concentrations are more than 20% in the fuel gas and do not necessarily improve.
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1. Introduction

Climate instability produced by anthropogenic activities reinforces the necessity to seek new renewable sources to minimize greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The biggest contributors to global warming are carbon dioxide and methane emissions [1]. Consequently, all efforts to mitigate the current environmental crisis are focused on reducing carbon emissions. The ambitious environmental targets proposed by the European Commission and the 2050 targets are forcing a technological revolution to reduce human impact. This revolution is currently supported by public and private investments, although these resources are not within the reach of all companies [2]. However, thanks to the “green recovery” plan promoted by the European Union and other countries around the world, the COVID-19 crisis can be a great opportunity and a turning point for energy transition [3]. Hence, in the last decades, renewable energy research has been in the scientific spotlight, mainly focused on the fight against climate change, the fast growth of energy demand, and the depletion of fossil fuels. Renewable gases, notably hydrogen, biomethane and biogas,
cover a wide range of possibilities. The use of renewable gases may solve some of the most important environmental problems registered in the automotive or energetic sector, and it could help reach the ambitious “zero emissions objective” [4–7]. Energy supply and transport sector are some of the greatest contributors to GHG emissions with 24.9% and 18.1%, respectively [8].

In this scenario, biogas is an alternative and sustainable solution. Biogas is produced by the fermentation or anaerobic digestion of organic waste, such as wood, agricultural products, manure, etc., which is, in other words, biodegradable waste [9]. It is precisely the origin of biogas that makes it a potentially carbon-neutral resource, despite containing a high percentage of carbon dioxide, which can vary between 30% and 50% [10,11]. Biogas consumption and electricity generation from organic wastes are developed technologies already available in the current market. Nevertheless, in Europe, only about 28% of the organic waste is valorized or recycled, which means that there is a great potential for improvement [9]. The main reason for this low valorization lies in the strong limitation of biogas utilization, due to its low heating value, caused by the carbon dioxide content and the strong fuel variabilities [12]. Additionally, carbon dioxide affects the combustion process, hampering flame creation and flame stabilization in conventional systems [13]. It is also important to mention the need for proper reactor design to optimize biogas production, as well as the long residence times required to complete the process [14]. All the above challenge the promotion of the use of biogas, which has to overcome significant technical and economic barriers to become a reliable renewable energy source. Conversely, biomethane is composed mainly of methane (96–99% of CH₄); therefore, it can be considered a natural gas. Currently, biomethane injection into the natural gas grid has the largest potential use in Europe. Its versatility makes it possible to reuse the current pipeline infrastructures and the conventional combustion systems [15]. However, some local studies showed that composting and biogas production gather the same perspective level [16] and, consequently, the present research focuses on biogas optimization for distributed direct uses.

Besides biogas, hydrogen has been gaining great importance in the past decades due to its versatility and its high yield rates, which may boost energy transition. Currently, approximately 95% of hydrogen can be labeled as blue or grey hydrogen [17]. However, to contribute to the decarbonization of conventional energy systems, hydrogen needs to be produced from renewable sources. Both biogas and hydrogen need large investments in transport and distribution infrastructures, so are currently limited to distributed generation direct uses. This study investigates the possibility of combining both and, thus, accelerate its technical implementation.

Hydrogen enrichment is a technique that may allow the harnessing of renewable fuels into conventional combustion systems [18,19]. In recent times, enriched natural gas combustion behavior has been widely studied. Hydrogen injection into conventional gas fuels reduces heat release oscillations and improves flame stability [20–22], while enhancing turbulent explosion parameters [21]. It has, indeed, been noticed that with 5% hydrogen, the flame expands greatly, inhibiting combustion instability [23]. All studies highlight that hydrogen concentration is a crucial parameter in all flammability and combustion characteristics [24]. Small percentages of hydrogen improve global efficiency in some combustion processes, while large amounts may change the flame typology, decreasing the combustion quality [25,26]. This also implies an increase in pollutant emissions despite the numerous studies that stated that GHG emissions are reduced when hydrogen–methane mixtures are used [27]. Usually, the studied percentages of hydrogen content are between 0 and 50% [28].

The enrichment of biogas, as well as the dual interaction of H₂/CO₂ mixtures, has been recently studied [29]. Flame stability is one of the biggest disadvantages of biogas combustion. However, H.S. Zhen et al. [18] observed a favorable effect of hydrogen addition on flame stability and a detrimental effect of carbon dioxide concentration. This might be partly explained by the widening of the biogas flammability limits caused by the
added hydrogen. The favorable results of previous studies has encouraged the research of biogas–hydrogen blends in other combustion systems, such as combustion engines or power-to-methane cycles [30,31]. The results agree with former research works, proving the increase in detonation characteristics of biogas by adding hydrogen percentages between 0 and 20% [32]. Most of the research works focused on investigating the intrinsic properties of combustion by using experimental laboratory devices. However, this study intends to evaluate the combustion behavior in conventional combustion systems currently available in the market. The importance of tests on conventional energy systems resides in the necessity to boost the circular economy by reusing current equipment to burn renewable fuels. Industrial processes are large heat and electric energy consumers; therefore, their decarbonization is a key point to reduce greenhouse emissions [33]. Combustion systems in industry are typically expensive, due to their generation capacity and, consequently, their size. The replacement of these systems implies large investments that sometimes companies cannot afford. Then, the possibility to reuse conventional burners, boilers, or engines for energy conversion could be the key to a sustainable future, and an easier circular economy. Additionally, the replacement of conventional equipment for systems adapted to renewable fuels is also expensive for end consumers.

As mentioned above, combustion tests are generally carried out on small-scale laboratory equipment [18,34–36]. Yan Zhao et al. [37] investigated the influence of renewable gas content on the operating performance of conventional combustion devices, such as room furnaces. They evaluated the effect of adding CO\textsubscript{2} or H\textsubscript{2} to natural gas in a representative furnace with a heating load from 15.4 kW to 22.0 kW. The results reflected the necessity to test the combustion parameters in high-power heating devices [37]. Hence, the present study addresses a conventional combustion system behavior, consisting of a burner and a combustion chamber of 100 kW fed with biogas–hydrogen mixtures instead of natural gas. Combustion efficiency parameters, such as exhaust gas temperature, emissions, and ignition behavior, were investigated. In this study, combustion or system behavior is understood as the variation of the combustion parameters controlled in the experimental setup, such as temperature and exhaust gas composition, as well as combustion stability and durability. First, the effect of CO\textsubscript{2} addition to natural gas was experimentally analyzed. Then, the tests were performed with three different compositions of biogas: rich biogas 70:30 CH\textsubscript{4}:CO\textsubscript{2} (BG70), standard biogas 60:40 CH\textsubscript{4}:CO\textsubscript{2} (BG60) and poor biogas 50:50 CH\textsubscript{4}:CO\textsubscript{2} (BG50). Finally, with the aim to encourage better flame stability, each biogas was enriched with hydrogen from 5% to 25%. The results establish the operation conditions of conventional combustion systems when fed with renewable fuels.

2. Materials and Methods

We analyzed the behavior of renewable gases (Air Liquide, Madrid, Spain) and their mixtures in a conventional combustion chamber (Lasian, Zaragoza, Spain). To do that, the experimental system is designed to simulate a combustion industrial process to obtain heat/electricity. Normally, the main limitation in combined cycle power plants comes from the turbine design, specifically from the materials working temperature [38]. In fact, hydrogen (Air Liquide, Madrid, Spain) addition is currently limited not only by the cost increase, but also by the combustion heat rise. Hence, although biogas combustion temperatures are significantly lower than natural gas temperatures, the exhaust temperature is an important parameter in combustion systems engineering. Even though material limitation is important in equipment design, this study intends to evaluate the combustion behavior by analyzing the exhaust gas temperature. The combustion temperature is also an essential parameter considered in efficiency analysis since greater temperatures imply greater enthalpies and, consequently, greater combustion efficiency [39].

2.1. Combustion Chamber and Burner Selection

Carbon dioxide (Air Liquide, Madrid, Spain) and hydrogen addition to methane or natural gas (Naturgy, Madrid, Spain) are normally tested in laboratory devices or
low-power appliances, focusing mainly on flame stability. [37]. The lack of experimental data focused on high-power appliances determined the equipment selection in this study. Hence, a burner and a combustion chamber with a heating load ranging from 25 kW to 100 kW were selected for the experimental setup. The gas burner is a Bentone BFG1 H3 (Bentone, Näsvägen, Sweden), designed according to the European standard EN 676 [40] to consume natural gas. The experimental setup was installed in the facilities of the Laboratorio Oficial Madariaga (LOM). The control unit regulates two slow opening gas valves, enabling both the on/off and 2 stage operation. The burner ignition and flame detection are monitored according to the ionization principle [41]. An incorrect gas–air mixture can be the cause of poor ionization current that leads to an ignition failure. To prevent this failure, the ionization current needs to be set at more than 15 µA. The combustion chamber is dimensioned to contain a 100 kW flame and its geometry is outlined in Figure 1. The combustion chamber materials endure temperatures of 800 °C at 2000 KPa. The refrigeration circuit is externally concentrical and enables safe operating.

Figure 1. Combustion chamber outline and dimensions (mm).

2.2. Experimental Setup

Natural gas, hydrogen and carbon dioxide are mixed in mass controllers (model Brooks 5800S, Brooks Instrument, Hatfield, PA, USA), purposely installed in a mixer box. Therefore, biogas is simulated as a mixture of natural gas and carbon dioxide. The operation gauge pressure is the average natural gas pipeline pressure in Spain (20 mbar). The fuel mixture supplies the burner inlet at 3.68 mbar, regulated down to a maximum inlet pressure of 4 mbar. The gas burner is an aspirated burner fed with atmospheric air from an integrated built-in fan. The fuel and oxidant consumption can be varied to obtain different heating loads. Consequently, fuel consumption varies from 2.65 Nm$^3$/h to 10.58 Nm$^3$/h. Even though the maximum heating load of the burner is 100 kW, the operability conditions of the setup makes it possible to work at 33 kW. The gas burner is installed in the combustion chamber, designed to contain a 100 kW flame. A pipeline conducts the exhaust gas stream directly into the chimney. The data acquisition unit and
the thermocouple are installed 1.2 m from the exhaust gas outlet. This unit measures the CO₂ and O₂ content, as well as the combustion lambda (λ). The lambda factor determines the air/fuel ratio, where one is the stoichiometric ratio of the mixture. The system pressure is controlled by two-gauge measures to ensure that the maximum operating point is not exceeded. The purpose of the common room furnaces lies on the heat transfer between the flame and the carrier fluid. However, this study is focused on exhaust gas temperatures, and thus, the combustion chamber refrigeration is externally concentrical. Figure 2 outlines the combustion system experimental setup scheme (Figure 2a) and the equipment used (Figure 2b).

![Combustion system setup](image)

**Figure 2.** Combustion system setup: (a) setup outline; (b) burner and combustion chamber tested.

The experimental method is designed for data collection. First, the blend of gases is set by the apertures of the mass controllers. Once the mixture is set, combustion is started. After the first 5 min of combustion, the concentration data of the exhaust gas stream are registered. During these minutes, the combustion stability is also analyzed. Finally, after 10 min of combustion, the maximum temperature values are measured, and the fluctuations are recorded.

### 2.3. Fuel and Oxidant Properties

#### 2.3.1. Composition

According to the Spanish National Commission of Markets and Competition (CNMC), most of the gas consumed in Spain comes from Argelia and the United States [42]. Thus, the average composition of the natural gas used for this study can be approximated, as shown in Table 1.

| Natural Gas (%) Vol | Biogas (%) Vol |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Methane             | Methane        |
| Ethane              | Carbon dioxide |
| Propane             | Hydrogen       |
| Butane              | Nitrogen       |
|                     | Carbon monoxide|
|                     | Oxygen         |
|                     | H2S            |

Table 1. Natural gas composition and standard biogas composition (mole percent).
2.3.2. Heating Value

The main properties of gaseous fuels include the Wobbe Index, the heating value and the interchangeability [37]. The heating value is defined as the amount of heat released in the combustion reaction per unit of mass or volume and is closely related to fuel kinetics and adiabatic flame temperature. The heating value of a gas mixture is easily approximated when the molar fraction of each component is known. According to the bibliographical data, Figure 3 compares the heating value of standard biogas, natural gas (Table 1) and the three different biogases under study (BG50, BG60 and BG70), enriched with different percentages of hydrogen [37].

Figure 3. Heating value comparison of BG50, BG60 and BG70, depending on hydrogen content.

The heating value of standard biogas and natural gas (Table 1) remains constant in Figure 3. The heating value of the blends increases almost linearly when biogas is enriched with hydrogen from 5% to 20%. Previous experimental researches agree with both the increasing trend and other combustion parameters, such as the increase in radical H+ in the combustion reactions and the laminar burning velocity [35,43]. Depending on the CO2 content, the quantity of needed hydrogen to improve the heating value varies. According to Figure 3 and theoretical calculations, while rich biogas needs a 15% of H2 to reach the heating value of natural gas, poor biogas needs more than 25% of H2.

2.3.3. Wobbe Index

The Wobbe Index is the main indicator of the interchangeability of fuel gases. It is defined as the volumetric value of the heating value at the specified reference conditions divided by the square root of the relative density at the same specified reference measurement conditions. Therefore, two gases with different heating values and densities can produce similar heating loads if they have a similar Wobbe Index value. Figure 4 plots the Wobbe Index results, according to the theoretical method described in the standard EN ISO 6976 [44]. As expected, the Wobbe Index lowers as carbon dioxide is blended into natural gas (Figure 4a). However, for constant carbon dioxide content, the addition of hydrogen increases the Wobbe Index, though more moderately than expected. It is precisely the relative density of hydrogen that smooths the increase in the Wobbe Index. By increasing the hydrogen content by 25%, the Wobbe Index of BG50 improves by 3.4%, while BG60 and BG70 perceive a rise of 7.75% and 9.77%, respectively. Considering the typical Wobbe
Index range of natural gas, which is between 39.1 MJ/m$^3$ and 54.8 MJ/m$^3$ [45], not even BG70 reaches the minimum value. The ideal condition to replace natural gas for biogas in a conventional combustion device is to assure the same heating value and Wobbe Index. The results plotted in Figure 4a reflect that CO$_2$ addition to natural gas drastically reduces the Wobbe Index. Since the addition of hydrogen implied an increase in the calorific value of the mixture that exceeded the calorific value of natural gas, a similar trend was expected for the Wobbe Index. However, Figure 4b shows that the biogas–hydrogen mixtures considered in this study do not reach the minimum value for natural gas.

In order to delimit the optimal working conditions, the temperature parameters proposed in this study are simulated using AspenPlus® software. The simulation is carried out through a simple methodology in which the Gibbs free energy is minimized. In addition, the thermal equilibrium conditions between the phases (liquid/gas) are established. In this way, the main reactions of the process are determined, basing the selection on the probability of their occurrence. The sensitivity analysis of the parameters is presented in the results section.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Wobbe Index analysis of biogas and biogas–hydrogen mixtures: (a) influence of CO$_2$ addition to natural gas Wobbe Index; (b) influence of hydrogen addition to Wobbe Index.

2.4. Simulation

In order to delimit the optimal working conditions, the temperature parameters proposed in this study are simulated using AspenPlus® software. The simulation is carried out through a simple methodology in which the Gibbs free energy is minimized. In addition, the thermal equilibrium conditions between the phases (liquid/gas) are established. In this way, the main reactions of the process are determined, basing the selection on the probability of their occurrence. The sensitivity analysis of the parameters is presented in the results section.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Temperature Analysis

3.1.1. Simulation Results

The combustion temperature can be measured directly from the exhaust or through the refrigeration water, both methods being an indirect measurement of efficiency. However, the temperature analysis may differ depending on the thermocouple position inside the experimental setup. The exhaust gas temperature is a valuable parameter because the obtained results can later be escalated to energy generators through numerical or software simulations. The temperature analysis is first carried out simulating the combustion system conditions, and then by experimental combustion tests.

Thus, the optimal working conditions are first evaluated by software simulation with the AspenPlus® program. The optimization criteria consider two main parameters. The first one is the maximum combustion efficiency expressed as the minimum CO content in the exhaust gas compared to CO$_2$ generation.

$$\eta_{\text{comb}} = \frac{\text{CO}_2\text{out}}{\text{CO}_\text{out} + \text{CO}_2\text{out}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
The second one corresponds to hydrogen consumption.

\[ H_{2}\text{cons} = (H_{2}\text{in} - H_{2}\text{burnt-out})/H_{2}\text{in} \]  

(2)

The range of variables used for the simulation are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Set variables.

| Parameter             | Range         |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| H\(_2\) mass fraction | 0–0.25        |
| CO\(_2\) mass fraction| 0.3–0.6       |
| Ratio air/fuel        | 22–44         |

To evaluate the flame temperature and chamber duty, the parameters range of Table 2 is established. Figure 5 represents the initial results, where it can be seen that higher temperatures produce higher powers. However, higher temperatures do not correspond to the optimal conditions as the highest temperatures reach 2000 ℃, overpassing the material limitations. Regarding hydrogen addition, higher efficiencies are obtained between 0 and 40% of hydrogen consumption. In these conditions, the combustion efficiency would be very low, the hydrogen would not be consumed, and, thus, it would be expelled almost completely out of the chimney.

![Figure 5. Initial conditions of the sensitivity analysis.](image-url)
Considering that the estimated power for natural gas in the simulation is 30 kW, all the values under this threshold need to be disposed of to guarantee that the system does not lose power. Assuming the abovementioned premise, the simulation is limited to ensure a hydrogen consumption higher than 95% and a power combustion higher than 30 kW. Figure 6 shows the bounded results where the optimal conditions take place when the combustion efficiency is between 40 and 50 kW and hydrogen consumption is about 95–97%, reaching flame temperatures between 2000 and 2200 °C.

Figure 6. Optimal operation conditions.

Figure 7 shows the results after the application of the limitations stated in Figure 6. The greater duties occur when the air/fuel molar ratios are set between 12 and 16. After the simulation results, the optimal enrichment percentage of hydrogen can be defined as between 8 and 12%. On the other hand, the increase in CO₂ content decreases the duty, as expected.
3.1.2. Experimental Results

The use of conventional natural gas burners limits the CO₂ range. Thus, it is essential to find the threshold value of CO₂ content when the conventional burner does not ignite. Figure 8 shows, on the left axis, the temperature decrease registered during the tests. It can be noticed that as the CO₂ percentage increases, the exhaust gas temperature drops considerably. When the ignition succeeds at 40% of CO₂, the temperature registered has strong fluctuations, complicating the data acquisition. The burner does not properly ignite with CO₂ percentages higher than 40%. The oxidant and fuel consumption remain constant during all the tests; consequently, the lambda also remains constant. Both parameters directly affect the lambda, which is the optimal fuel/oxidant proportion to ensure complete combustion. According to Regulation (EU) 2016/426 [46], conventional combustion systems work with a combustion lambda of around 1.3, assuring a 20% of oversupplying air. Figure 8, on the right axis, confirms the effect of CO₂ addition, cutting down the combustion quality. This is explained, as CO₂ reduces the fuel flow entering the burner.
The burner operation range is then set between 0 and 40% of the CO$_2$ content. At 40% of CO$_2$, the combustion is remarkably weak and unstable. Normally, the carbon dioxide content is found to be between 30% and 50% before the treatment is upgraded. Hence, it is interesting to test BG50, BG60, and BG70 with different hydrogen percentages. BG50 cannot ignite in the burner, so the test intends to demonstrate the ability of hydrogen to stabilize the combustion flame and to carry out the combustion of low heating value fuels.

Despite the simulation results stating the possibility to overcome the temperatures of natural gas, the experimental results plot a different tendency (Figure 9). In every case, the temperature increases at the same time that the hydrogen percentage does. By adding 5% of hydrogen, the temperature rises by approximately 80% for BG70 and 65% for BG60. When the mixture contains more than 10% of hydrogen, temperatures rise more slowly until they reach 20 and 25% of hydrogen, where the temperature increment is stabilized in 5%. If the biogas and natural gas combustion temperatures are compared, it can be noticed that biogas shows temperatures 70% lower than that of natural gas, which is an important negative impact on the combustion behavior. This temperature decrease is translated into a significant decrease in efficiency and, consequently, a lower energy efficiency. Hydrogen addition partially reverses this trend and allows biogas to be burned more efficiently, although none of the tested blends reaches the values obtained from natural gas.

Figure 9 plots the maximum temperature value for each point. However, large temperature fluctuations are observed during the tests. For mixtures that do not contain hydrogen, variations of up to 85 °C are observed in BG70, with fluctuations of up to 100 °C being recorded for BG60. This effect is observed mainly in the first minutes of combustion. As hydrogen is added, the fluctuations decrease considerably. The improvement in register stability is particularly noticeable when 5% hydrogen is added, as the recorded variations decrease up to 20–35 °C. From 10% onwards, a smaller decrease in fluctuations is experienced, although the combustion temperature increases as discussed above. This effect is directly related to the stability of the flame, which is impaired by the increase in CO$_2$ in the mixture.

The results can vary from the bibliographic data, as carbon dioxide and hydrogen additions to methane or natural gas have been normally tested in laboratory devices or low-power appliances, focusing mainly on flame stability [37].
Figure 9. Exhaust gas stream temperature.

3.2. Combustion Behavior

3.2.1. Combustion Stability

Fuel composition variability is a strong limitation in biogas combustion. Biogas composition varies depending on the waste characteristics; even if they are the same waste, it can vary depending on the season, humidity, storage, etc. Hydrogen addition also affects fuel variability and can worsen it at high concentrations [47]. Fuel variability has a strong effect on flame speed fluctuation, causing instability in combustion. Kai Zhang and Xi Jiang demonstrated that a high hydrogen content reduces laminar flame speed variance and has a significant impact on methane reaction [12]. The hydrogen content causes a suppression of carbon dioxide contribution. They revealed a second finding regarding the adiabatic flame temperature, as high hydrogen content reduces the effect of methane and increases the carbon dioxide contribution. Consequently, hydrogen addition in biogas decreases the practical fuel variability and flame fluctuation. However, when methane content exceeds 70% in biogas–hydrogen fuel, a high hydrogen content can promote flame fluctuation. The aim of this study is to propitiate combustion stability in a conventional burner, so the hydrogen content does not surpass 25%.

During the tests, the burner behavior was observed through the stability electronic control incorporated in the burner. Residential or industrial burners are electronically controlled systems designed to avoid safety hazards. Thus, they are rigid systems, prepared to work in specific operational conditions. Every single change affects the combustion and normally produces ignition failure. Figure 10 represents the stability map registered during the tests. The stability map is divided into three regions, where the red area represents poor combustion, the yellow area represents unstable combustion, and the green area represents stable combustion.
During the tests, the burner behavior was observed through the stability electronic control incorporated in the burner. Residential or industrial burners are electronically controlled systems designed to avoid safety hazards. Thus, they are rigid systems, prepared to work in specific operational conditions. Every single change affects the combustion and normally produces ignition failure. Figure 10 represents the stability map registered during the tests. The stability map is divided into three regions, where the red area represents poor combustion, the yellow area represents unstable combustion, and the green area represents stable combustion.

![Stability map](image.png)

The ignition testing results coincide with other research works carried out with laboratory devices [13,18,34]. The stability map reveals that pure biogas combustion is unstable in every case, and when the CO$_2$ content is 50%, the flame does not exist. As observed in the temperature analysis, a reduced amount of hydrogen considerably improves combustion stability. Even registering large fluctuations in the combustion, the conventional burner can maintain the flame for every experiment tested, except for BG50, which requires 15% hydrogen to ignite but 20% to maintain a weak flame. In accordance with other laboratory combustion devices, the addition of hydrogen improves the combustion behavior. This tendency complies with the temperature results [48]. Hydrogen has a wider flammability range and a higher heating value than methane and, hence, the hydrogen addition extends the flammability limits and, consequently, flame stability.

3.2.2. Exhaust Gas Analysis

Unlike laboratory devices designed to evaluate flame behavior, the combustion chamber geometry also affects the combustion behavior [49]. Spatial distribution is essential to boost the energy transmission to the carried fluid or to propitiate the greater harnessing of the exhaust enthalpy. The thermic phenomenon occurring inside the combustion chamber can diverge from bibliographic results using smaller devices. Combustion behavior is also evaluated from the exhaust gas concentration variations point of view.

According to Zhilong Wei et al., O$_2$ emissions decrease while the carbon dioxide proportion increases [47]. The carbon dioxide content is reduced while H$_2$ is added, as hydrogen dilutes the gas mixture. This effect is also observed in Figure 11b, where the percentage of O$_2$ contained in the exhaust is reduced by around 37% from 0 to 25% of hydrogen for BG70 and BG70. From a practical point of view, the lower the O$_2$ content in the exhaust, the more complete the reaction. Typically, the O$_2$ content in the chimney is about 3% [50]. Figure 11b shows a singular point, which coincides with an increase higher than expected in the CO$_2$ emissions. At this point (15%H$_2$), lambda does continue with the expected trend. Hence, since fuel and comburent consumption remain constant during all the tests and their repetitions, this can be considered an anomalous point.
Figure 11. Cont.
The connection between O₂ emissions and CO₂ emissions is explained through fuel consumption. The oxidant flow remains constant on every test. A reduction in the O₂ percentage necessarily implies an improvement of the combustion behavior. Hydrogen displaces the carbon dioxide content in the blend and increases the heating value of the biogas, increasing, too, the O₂ consumption. Next to this, the percentage of CO₂ increases as the combustion reaction kinetics enhances. In Figure 11a, the CO₂ content registered for BGA60 and BG70 enriched with 12% of hydrogen is 5.33 and 6.29, respectively, which is still far from the 9.06 registered from natural gas. The lambda tendency confirms the improvement on combustion behavior related to the hydrogen addition. However, as it is observed with CO₂ and O₂ emissions, the difference between 20 and 25% of hydrogen addition is practically insignificant, observing a flattening of the curve. BG50 does not fail to ignite when it contains less than 15% of hydrogen, and, at this point the flame is extremely weak, hindering the data acquisition. Consequently, Figure 11a–c just reflects the points of BG50, where the combustion can be maintained in time. The tendency is similar to BG60 and BG70, but the limited data available prevent the correct evaluation of hydrogen addition to BG50.

After the results evaluation, the expected trend, also observed by other authors in the literature [13,32,47], is confirmed. The combustion characteristics of biogas can be significantly improved by the addition of small amounts of hydrogen. In this study, this effect was observed on a conventional industrial burner capable of working up to 100 kW. Unlike smaller equipment, these conventional systems are built to operate under stable operating conditions, so variations in the fuel seriously affect the combustion of the burner. In this case, it was confirmed that working with lean biogas containing CO₂ concentrations above 40% is not possible. The combustion is very unstable and leads to safety failures and equipment shutdown. However, for the BG60 and BG70, the addition of hydrogen stabilizes combustion and allows the burner to operate without failure for long periods of time. This effect is especially remarkable for hydrogen enrichment percentages between 5 and 10%, as it is precisely at these proportions that the greatest percentage improvement is obtained. It was also verified that the burner’s own safety systems are not affected by the change, acting correctly. These systems are very sensitive to variations in the fuel–oxidant mixture since the flame detection circuit works by ionization, the richness of the fuel mixture being an essential parameter.

Figure 11. Exhaust gas variations due to hydrogen addition: (a) influence of O₂ addition to CO₂ emissions; (b) influence of O₂ addition to O₂ emissions; (c) influence of O₂ addition to combustion lambda.
4. Conclusions

The results obtained provide relevant conclusions concerning the combustion of the enriched biogas, which are presented below. The experimental data confirmed the difficulty of burning biogas in conventional systems. Its low calorific value and the unfavorable effect of CO₂ increase the flame instability and, therefore, the combustion quality decrease. When biogas contains more than 40% of CO₂, an ignition failure occurs in the burner. Therefore, BG50 cannot be tested in this equipment in its pure state. The combustion of BG60 and BG70 was poor and unstable, although it was possible to burn it directly in the burner.

As expected, the addition of hydrogen considerably improved the combustion properties of biogas, even in combustion systems of this power capacity. The main increase occurred by adding 5% hydrogen, as the fuel exhaust temperature rose by 80% for BG70 and 65% for BG60. The addition of 10% also had consequential effects; however, the improvement trend flattened out for addition percentages between 20% and 25%.

The poor combustion behavior was confirmed by the study of O₂ and CO₂ emissions, as well as the combustion lambda. Although the values obtained after enriching the mixtures with hydrogen did not reach the ones recorded from natural gas, the improvement in combustion characteristics was remarkable. A temperature increase may have a negative effect on NOx generation, so it would be interesting to look further into this aspect in future research.

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that biogas is not a suitable fuel for natural gas high-power heating combustion systems. However, optimal operating conditions are established when biogas is enriched with hydrogen. These conditions are obtained for hydrogen percentages between 5 and 10%, provided that the carbon dioxide content is higher than 60%.

The results importance of this study lies in the possibility of taking advantage of conventional combustion systems to burn alternative fuels, such as biogas. Thanks to the addition of small amounts of hydrogen, it is possible to work with equipment designed to consume natural gas. This can be an advantage for today’s industry, which must invest heavily to be able to meet the environmental restrictions that will continue to increase over the years.
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