A Sweet Galactose Transfer: Metabolic Oligosaccharide Engineering as a Tool To Study Glycans in Plasmodium Infection
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The introduction of chemical reporter groups into glycan structures through metabolic oligosaccharide engineering (MOE) followed by bio-orthogonal ligation is an important tool to study glycosylation. We show the incorporation of synthetic galactose derivatives that bear terminal alkene groups in hepatic cells, with and without infection by Plasmodium berghei parasites, the causative agent of malaria. Additionally, we demonstrated the contribution of GLUT1 to the transport of these galactose derivatives, and observed a consistent increase in the uptake of these compounds going from naïve to P. berghei-infected cells. Finally, we used MOE to study the interplay between Plasmodium parasites and their mosquito hosts, to reveal a possible transfer of galactose building blocks from the latter to the former. This strategy has the potential to provide new insights into Plasmodium glycobiology as well as for the identification and characterization of key glycan structures for further vaccine development.

Metabolic oligosaccharide engineering (MOE) is an important tool in carbohydrate research and chemical biology.[a] Next to proteins and nucleic acids, carbohydrates represent one of the largest groups of biomolecules, with a wide range of functionalities in health and disease states.[b] Although, for example, changes in protein structures can be addressed through the corresponding RNA sequence, carbohydrate structures are not template-encoded, which can complicate their investigation.[c] With the introduction of chemical reporter groups in glycan structures through MOE, new strategies have been developed to investigate glycan structures in different disease settings. Over the years, different synthetic monosaccharide derivatives of N-acetylmannosamine (ManNAc), N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc), sialic acid and N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) have been developed and used for MOE in different organisms and experimental settings.[2] Typically MOE strategies use azides, alkynes, terminal or strained alkenes, diazo groups or nitrones as chemical reporter groups.[3] Recent work has focussed on optimizing the required bioorthogonal labelling reactions in terms of reaction kinetics and selectivity. Initially the focus was on labelling reactions on the cell-surface, but more recent studies showed intracellular labelling and tissue-specific enrichment experiments.[4]

We decided to focus on the use of galactose, due to its apparent importance in the context of infection by Plasmodium parasites, the causative agents of malaria.[5] The glycobiology of Plasmodium parasites has been amply discussed and is the subject of several studies aimed at the identification of new drug targets or vaccine antigens.[6] Recent research suggests that the trisaccharide α-Gal and corresponding anti-α-Gal antibodies play an important role in the acquisition of immunity against malaria.[7] However, external factors are involved in the level of expression of these antibodies and it remains unclear how α-Gal is formed on the parasite.[8] During the course of a Plasmodium infection, sporozoites injected by an infected mosquito, travel in the blood stream from the initial injection site to the liver and invade hepatocytes. In fact, by means of a remarkable intrahepatic replication process, up to 40 000 merozoites are released from each infected hepatocyte, which initiates the symptomatic blood stage of infection.[9] This important feature of the liver stage of Plasmodium infection makes it a major target for the development of therapies and vaccines. It has been shown that Plasmodium sporozoite proteins, like the circumsporozoite protein (CSP), are presented by infected hepatocytes during the liver stage and contribute, together with so far unidentified antigen structures, to the activation of CD8+ T cells.[10] This observation, together with recent findings on the immunogenic properties of the α-Gal epitope, suggested the potential presence of galactose-containing glycan structures on infected cells. To test this hypothesis, we decided to use synthetic galactose derivatives in combination with MOE to investigate different stages of Plasmodium infection.[11]

Baskin and co-workers have just disclosed 6-alkynyl uridine diphosphate galactose derivatives, followed by click chemistry tagging, to visualize glycosylation during zebrafish develop-
ment. Together with the work presented here, it constitutes the first examples of the use of galactose reporters with MOE to study the roles of galactose in different biological settings.11

Starting from commercially available 1,6-anhydro-3,4-isopropylidene-β-D-galactopyranose, three galactose derivatives with differently sized terminal-alkene reporter groups were synthesized (Figure 1a). The introduced chemical reporters were addressed after metabolic incorporation through inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder (iEDDA) reaction with 6-methyl-tetrazine compounds. Briefly, derivatives 2a, 2b and 5 were obtained through classical Williamson-ether synthesis, followed by deprotection and acetylation of the monosaccharide. Zemplén deacetylation resulted in deprotected derivatives 3a, 3b and 6 (see the Supporting Information). The determination of the second-order rate constants for these derivatives in the iEDDA reaction was conducted in 96-well-plates with a microplate reader, and the decrease in specific tetrazine absorbance at 530 nm was monitored. The measurements were performed at 37°C in PBS, pH 7.4. After determining the pseudo-first-order rate constant \( k_{\text{obs}} \), at different concentrations, an exponential decay function was used to calculate the corresponding second-order rate constants (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). In agreement with previous studies that used mannosamine derivatives, the longer pentenyl-substituted derivatives showed faster kinetics when reacted with 6-methyl-tetrazine-amine relative to the shorter allyl-substituted derivative (Figure 1b).12

We started by employing these galactose derivatives to study the liver stage of *Plasmodium* infection. We considered the importance of the α-Gal epitope for anti-malarial immunity and sought to understand whether a change in galactose-containing glycans was observed on hepatocytes after infection with the *Plasmodium* parasite.5,6 We started by investigating the metabolic incorporation of the synthesized galactose derivatives into human hepatoma cells. In general, cells were grown for 48–72 h in the presence of 100 μM of 2a, 2b, 5 or natural counterpart penta-O-acetyl-β-D-galactose 9, before treatment with 6-methyl-tetrazine-PEG4-biotin, which served as a handle for further labelling (Figure 2a). All derivatives were nontoxic at the concentrations employed in the experiments (Figure S2). Huh7 and HepG2 cells were grown for 72 h in complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, supplemented with 100 μM of the galactose derivatives 2a, 2b, 5 and control 9, followed by labelling of the cell membrane with 6-methyl-tetrazine-PEG4-biotin, which served as a handle for further labelling, and Alexa-Fluor-568-streptavidin (Figure 2a). Analysis and quantification of the fluorescence intensity was performed by using confocal point-scanning microscopy and ImageJ software. In compliance with the kinetic evaluations, a stronger fluorescence signal was detected for derivatives 2b and 5 relative to 2a (Figures 2b and S3). The marginal signal increase observed for derivative 5 relative to 2b may be explained by the slightly higher kinetics of 5, due to its lower steric hindrance, as a result of the presence of the pentenyl group in

Figure 1. a) Synthesis of galactose derivatives 1a, 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 5 and 6 for metabolic labelling. b) Kinetic measurements and determination of the second-order rate constants for the iEDDA reaction between tetrazine 7 and monosaccharides 3a, 3b and 6.

Figure 2. a) Schematic description of the incorporation of galactose derivatives into cell-surface glycans and targeting strategies with 6-methyl-tetrazine-PEG4-biotin. b) Incorporation of 2a, 2b, and 5 in Huh7 cells, labelling with Alexa-Fluor-568-streptavidin (red) and Hoechst (blue). c) Incorporation of 2b, 5 and 9 into HepG2 cells and treatment with [l-galactosidase (1 U, 30 min, 37°C), labelling with Alexa-Fluor-568-streptavidin (red) and Hoechst (blue). Scale bars: 10 μm.
C6 instead of C2. Compounds 5 and 2b represent unnatural galactose derivatives, so we do not want to exclude different interactions of these molecules with enzymes involved in glycans biosynthesis. The switch of the terminal alkene reporter group from C2 to C6 position can influence the recognition process by necessary enzymes and therefore change the incorporation rate into glycan structures. Epimerization to glucose was excluded because no labelling was observed after treatment with β-galactosidase (Figure 2c). The incorporation of 2b or 5 into glycoprotein structures was also corroborated in pull-down experiments (Figure S11). Additionally, inhibition experiments with 9 and benzyl 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-β-D-galactopyranoside confirmed the incorporation of 2b also in O-glycans in addition to N-glycans (Figure S12 and S13).

Chen and co-workers reported cysteine S-glycosylation by acetylated unnatural monosaccharides during MOE.[13] Thus, we decided to attempt the direct incorporation of non-acetylated derivative 3b in glycans present in the cell membrane of HepG2 cells (Figure S5). Growing HepG2 cells for 72 h in the presence of 3b (100 μM) resulted in the successful incorporation of the unprotected galactose derivative, which could be fluorescently labelled by using our iEDDA approach. Our data suggests the incorporation of the galactose derivatives into the newly synthesized glycan structures rather than S-glycosylation.

Having shown successful incorporation of the artificial galactose derivatives by human hepatic cells, we then sought to investigate how this incorporation might change in the context of the liver stage of Plasmodium infection. To this end, HepG2 cells were infected with GFP-expressing P. berghei sporozoites freshly isolated from salivary glands of infected Anopheles mosquitoes, and the cells were grown in the presence of 100 μM of 2b or 9 until 48 h post-infection (hpi). Galactose incorporation was analysed by confocal point-scan microscopy and imaging flow cytometry (Figure 3a). Confocal point-scanning microscopy showed a clear labelling of the glycans in the cell membrane, both in uninfected and in P. berghei-infected cells (Figure 3b). Incorporation of 2b was then analysed by imaging flow cytometry 48 h after sporozoite addition, which allows us to distinguish between infected cells, which contain the GFP-expressing parasite, and uninfected cells (Figure S6). To answer our question regarding the change of galactose-containing glycans in P. berghei-infected hepatocytes, we performed our MOE strategy using iEDDA reaction with 6-methyl-tetrazine-PEG4-biotin and subsequent labelling with Alexa-Fluor-568-streptavidin. Analysing this signal indicated a slight yet consistent difference in uptake and incorporation of 2b by hepatocytes that were infected by P. berghei sporozoites when compared to naïve hepatocytes (Figure 3c), although this effect was not statistically significant. Furthermore, a consistent difference in fluorescence was also detected between infected cells and neighbouring uninfected cells.

The observation of a moderate increase of incorporated galactose derivative 2b, could suggest a change in certain metabolic events in P. berghei-infected hepatocytes. We started to look into metabolic hallmarks, which are known to occur during the course of parasite development in the infected hepatic cell. Specifically, the importance of hexose transporters for processes related to the energy metabolism of the developing parasite caught our attention. It has been shown that, during the blood stage of Plasmodium infection, glucose is transported through GLUT1 into erythrocytes and taken up by the parasite by a facilitative hexose transporter (PfHT).[14] GLUT1 is also expressed in liver cells and it has been shown that the enhanced translocation of this transporter to the membrane of P. berghei-infected hepatic cells, leads to increased glucose uptake during the later stages of Plasmodium liver infection.[15] GLUT1 belongs to a family of class I facilitative glucose transporters, which also facilitates the diffusion of galactose.[16] We hypothesized that the coherent increase observed in the uptake of galactose derivative 2b by infected cells over naïve ones, could be related with the reported increased translocation of GLUT1 to the cell membrane of those cells. In this case, our artificial galactose derivative 2b would also be transported through the GLUT1 transporter.

To test this hypothesis, we selected two specific GLUT1 inhibitors, WZB117 10 and STF31 11, and nonspecific inhibitor cytochalasin B 12 to block this transporter during the period of incubation with galactose derivative 2b (Figure 4a). Briefly, HepG2 cells were grown as before in the presence of 2b (100 μM) for 72 h, in the presence of 10 μM of each inhibitor 10, 11 or 12. Interestingly, a decrease in fluorescence intensity was
observed in the presence of all the inhibitors employed, and a dose-dependent decline in fluorescence intensity in the presence of the selective inhibitor 10 (Figures 4b, c and S8). These results confirm the ability of GLUT1 to transport artificial galactose structures into the cytosol. These results further support the notion that P. berghei-infected hepatic cells have a higher influx of derivative 2b, due to the increased translocation of GLUT1 transporters to the cell membrane of these cells.

Having employed artificial galactose derivatives to investigate galactose uptake by Plasmodium-infected hepatic cells, we then sought to extend our MOE approach to the study of the Plasmodium parasite itself. As previously mentioned, the presence of IgM antibodies against the trisaccharide α-Gal was reported to provide a protective effect against malaria transmission. Although the α-Gal epitope has been detected on sporozoites from P. falciparum, P. berghei and P. yoelii, the enzymes required for assembly of this epitope have not yet been identified. Residual levels of this epitope were also detected in proteins from salivary glands of non-infected Anopheles mosquitoes, which could suggest a transfer of substrates or structures from the mosquito host to the parasite. We therefore employed our MOE strategy to test this hypothesis and assess a possible transfer of administered galactose compounds from Anopheles mosquitoes to Plasmodium parasites. To this end, female A. stephensi mosquitoes were fed with a sugar solution, supplemented with either 2b or its deprotected counterpart 3b. Pentaacetylated galactose 9 or galactose 13 were used as negative controls in these experiments. The feeding was initiated after the mosquitoes’ infectious blood meal and was maintained for 21–24 days. After this period, mosquito salivary glands were collected and P. berghei sporozoites were isolated and analyzed by imaging flow cytometry (Figure 5a). Our results show that the fluorescence intensity, which corresponds to the incorporated galactose derivatives 2b or 3b, was significantly higher in sporozoites dissected from mosquitoes fed on deprotected galactose derivative 3b, than that observed in sporozoites obtained from control mosquitoes. Thus, although the acetylated galactose derivative 2b seemed not to be transferred from the mosquito to the parasite, our labelling approach by means of iEDDA reaction indicated the transfer of derivative 3b (Figure 5b). This observed transfer of galactose molecules from the mosquito host to the Plasmodium parasite could explain the reported detections of α-Gal epitopes on the parasite surface. Interestingly, the sporozoites obtained from mosquitoes fed on derivative 3b, seem to be slightly bigger in size than sporozoites obtained from the control mosquitoes, whereas a smaller number of parasites was observed in the former than in the latter (Figures S9 and S10). Further investigations into the origin and biosynthetic pathways of the α-Gal epitope on Plasmodium parasites would require many more factors to be taken into account. Metabolic labelling experiments with the isolated parasite or in different developmental stages can shed light on this question, however, this should be addressed in a separated work.

This proof-of-concept study demonstrates the application of MOE with artificial galactose derivatives in the context of Plasmodium infection. We showed the participation of GLUT1 transporters in the facilitated diffusion of the artificial galactose derivatives and a reliable enhancement in the amount of galactose derivative incorporated in P. berghei-infected hepatic cells relative to that observed in naïve cells. The ability of hexose transporters like GLUT1 to transport unnatural monosaccharide derivatives can be an important tool for further studies in this area. Screening of different unnatural monosaccharide compounds could identify candidates, which are significantly increased in P. berghei-infected cells and which could be used as targeting agents, for example in drug-conjugates. The application of per-acetylated monosaccharide derivatives for MOE experiments is built on the assumption of an endocytic or diffusion-based cell entry of these compounds. Gilorini et al. demonstrated the participation of an as yet
unknown transporter in the translocation of unnatural mannose derivatives, which is supported by earlier studies by Varki and co-workers. In this context, our observation of a facilitated diffusion of presented galactose derivative through GLUT1 contributes to the notion of a more complex scenario then simple diffusion, which should not be excluded as a parallel transport route. In our study, the participation of GLUT1 provides a reasonable explanation for our observations. For future applications of MOE, such transporters could provide a tool for, for example enrichment of unnatural sugars in a specific tissue or cell organelle.

We further employed the MOE approach to demonstrate the transfer of the artificial galactose derivative from the mosquito host to the parasite. The impact of the introduction of the transfer of the artificial galactose derivative to specific tissue or cell organelle demonstrates the usefulness of MOE for further investigations of important glycan structures for vaccine development.
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