The C-Terminal Region of SLIM1 Transcription Factor Is Required for Sulfur Deficiency Response
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Abstract: Sulfur LIMitation1 (SLIM1) transcription factor coordinates gene expression in plants in response to sulfur deficiency (−S). SLIM1 belongs to the family of plant-specific EIL transcription factors with EIN3 and EIL1, which regulate the ethylene-responsive gene expression. The EIL domains consist of DNA binding and dimerization domains highly conserved among EIL family members, while the N- and C-terminal regions are structurally variable and postulated to have regulatory roles in this protein family, such that the EIN3 C-terminal region is essential for its ethylene-responsive activation. In this study, we focused on the roles of the SLIM1 C-terminal region. We examined the transactivation activity of the full-length and the truncated SLIM1 in yeast and Arabidopsis. The full-length SLIM1 and the truncated form of SLIM1 with a deletion of C-terminal 106 amino acids (∆C105) transactivated the reporter gene expression in yeast when they were fused to the GAL4 DNA binding domain, whereas the deletion of additional 15 amino acids to remove the C-terminal 120 amino acids (∆C120) eliminated such an activity, identifying the necessity of that 15-amino-acid segment for transactivation. In the Arabidopsis slim1-2 mutant, the transcript levels of SULTR1;2 sulfate transporter and the GFP expression derived from the SULTR1;2 promoter-GFP (P SULTR1;2 -GFP) transgene construct were restored under −S by introducing the full-length SLIM1, but not with the C-terminal truncated forms ∆C105 and ∆C57. Furthermore, the transcript levels of −S-responsive genes were restored concomitantly with an increase in glutathione accumulation in the complementing lines with the full-length SLIM1 but not with ∆C57. The C-terminal 57 amino acids of SLIM1 were also shown to be necessary for transactivation of a −S-inducible gene, SHM7/MSA1, in a transient expression system using the SHM7/MSA1 promoter-GUS as a reporter. These findings suggest that the C-terminal region is essential for the SLIM1 activity.
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1. Introduction

Sulfur (S) is an essential nutrient for all organisms. Plants use sulfate as an S source to assimilate it into cysteine and synthesize various organic S compounds [1,2]. The importance of plants in the global S cycle in nature is evident because animals cannot assimilate sulfate and they rather consume S-containing amino acids and proteins as dietary S sources [1,2].

SLIM1/EIL3 is a transcription factor (TF) that controls the S deficiency (−S) responsive gene expression, while it belongs to the plant-specific EIL family of TFs (EIL-TFs) [3,4]. The EIL domain is conserved among the EIL-TFs, but the N- and C-terminal regions are variable (Figure 1) [5]. The best-characterized member of the EIL-TFs family is EIN3
(Ethylene-INsensitive3) which initiates downstream transcriptional cascades for ethylene responses [6–8]. Studies of ethylene-responsive elements demonstrated that EIN3 binds to the upstream sequence in an ethylene-responsive gene, ERF1, through the DNA binding domains, BD I to IV (1 to 359 amino acids of EIN3) [7]. The DNA binding domain was further narrowed down to a specific region from 174 to 306 amino acids (aa) containing BD III and IV [9]. Despite that the N-terminal half region of EIN3 controls the DNA binding and its dimerization, the protein stability of EIN3 is controlled via its C-terminal region, which interacts with EBF1 (EIN3-Binding F-box Protein 1) and EBF2, responding to ethylene and the plant carbon status [7,10–12].

Figure 1. Alignment of SLIM1 and EIN3 proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana. Alignment of full protein sequences was performed by the ClustalW program at the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) (http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/search/clustalw-j.html, accessed on 8 May 2017). Amino acid residues conserved between SLIM1 and EIN3 are shown with white characters and dark gray background. Predicted DNA binding domains (BD I to BD V) are shown with pale blue bars. Yellow and brown characters indicate the positions of slim1 mutations (slim1-1, slim1-2, slim1-3, and slim1-4) and ein3-3 mutation, respectively. Magenta characters show the last amino acid in each C-terminal truncated form of SLIM1. Green and orange bars describe existing knowledge about domain structures of SLIM1 and EIN3, respectively. Violet bars highlight the findings in this study.
The domain structure for SLIM1 has been studied concurrently (Figure 1). Like EIN3, the N-terminal region of SLIM1 (75 to 286 aa), including BD II, III, and IV, can bind to the UPE-box (AGATACATTGAACCTGGACA), which has been shown as the conserved sequence among several −S responsive genes [13,14]. The 162-to-288 aa region of SLIM1, including BD III and IV, can interact with the conserved EIL binding sequence, AYGWAYCT [7,15], although with less affinity compared to EIN3 [16]. The slim1 mutations in the protein coding region are only found in the conserved region spanning BD II, III, and IV [3]. Despite the genetic evidence and information that supports specific DNA binding capabilities of SLIM1, molecular mechanisms involved in −S responses via the regulation of the SLIM1 protein activity has not been elucidated yet.

The activity of SLIM1 seems to be controlled post-transcriptionally based on the observation that SLIM1 transcript levels did not increase under −S, and the complementation of slim1 mutants only occurred under −S even though the expression of SLIM1 was driven by a cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter which allows constitutive expression [3]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that SLIM1 undergoes proteasomal degradation facilitated by the N-terminal 285 aa region [17]. In contrast to EIN3, SLIM1 interacts only with EBF1 but not EBF2, and the protein region to interact with EBF1 has been shown to be localized at the C-terminal portion (residues 287-428 of SLIM1) [17]. Further characterization of proteasomal degradation through dissection of the C-terminal region may clarify mechanisms that control the SLIM1 protein activity.

In this study, we focused on investigating the role of the SLIM1 C-terminal region by dissecting the region required for transactivation of target gene expression under −S. Our results indicated that the C-terminal region of SLIM1 is indispensable to its activity under −S.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. The C-Terminal Region of SLIM1 Is Required for Transactivation in Yeast

To explore the function of the C-terminal region of SLIM1, the full-length and the C-terminal truncated forms of SLIM1 were expressed as fusion proteins with the DNA binding domain of GAL4 (BD-GAL4) in a histidine (His) auxotrophic yeast strain AH109, which allowed us to characterize the ability of these BD-GAL4 fusion proteins to bind to the upstream activation sequence and transactivate the HIS3 reporter gene by monitoring the growth on the selective medium lacking His (Figure 2). For preparation of the C-terminal truncation of SLIM1, 57, 105, 120, 137, 150, and 165 aa were deleted from the C-terminal end of SLIM1 and named ΔC57, ΔC105, ΔC120, ΔC137, ΔC150, and ΔC165, respectively. Each plasmid construct with the BD-GAL4 fusion for the full-length or the C-terminal truncated SLIM1 was integrated into the yeast strain AH109 and grew on agar plates lacking tryptophan (−Trp) or Trp and His (−Trp/−His) supplemented with or without 3-AT (Figure 2). The yeast cells expressing the BD-GAL4 full-length SLIM1 fusion protein grew well on both the control (−Trp) and selective (−Trp/−His, −Trp/−His 10 mM 3-AT) media, while the empty plasmid with the BD-GAL4 alone could grow only on the control medium (−Trp). Among the yeast cells expressing the BD-GAL4 fusion proteins with the C-terminal truncated forms of SLIM1, only the ΔC57 and ΔC105 variants grew well on both the control and selective media, but others with longer deletions did not grow on the selective medium. These results indicated that the 15 aa (VNEQTMMPPVDERPML) located at the positions 448 to 462 aa of SLIM1 (105 to 119 from the C-terminal end of SLIM1) are necessary for transactivation of the HIS3 gene expression in yeast.
We obtained the similar results with the C-terminal 165 aa truncated form, \( \Delta \text{C165} \), as well as the \( \Delta \text{SLUTR1;2} \) mutant, which allows monitoring of plant \( \text{SULTR1;2} \) gene expression under the control of the promoter region of \( \text{SULTR1;2} \) sulfate transporter [3,18].

In plants exposed to \( \text{S} \), both the GFP fluorescence and the \( \text{SULTR1;2} \) transcript expression recovered by expression of the full-length SLIM1 in the \( \text{slim1-2} \) mutant, but not with the C-terminal 57 and 105 aa truncated forms, \( \Delta \text{C57} \) and \( \Delta \text{C105} \) (Figure 3b). We obtained the similar results with the C-terminal 165 aa truncated form, \( \Delta \text{C165} \) (data not shown).

The transcript levels of other \( \text{S} \)-responsive genes, \( \text{BGLU28, SDI1, SULTR2;1, and APR3} \), were similarly influenced in the complemented lines; i.e., their \( \text{S} \) responses were restored by introducing the full-length SLIM1 but not by \( \Delta \text{C57} \) (Figure 4). Interestingly, the induction of \( \text{SULTR2;1} \) and \( \text{APR3} \) gene expression under \( \text{S} \) were also influenced by the C-terminal 57 aa, although their \( \text{S} \) induction was reported as SLIM1-independent [3]. These results indicate that the C-terminal 57 aa region of SLIM1 is required for complementation of the \( \text{slim1-2} \) mutant.

To gain further insights into the \( \text{S} \)-responsive phenotypes affected by the C-terminal 57 aa deletion (\( \Delta \text{C57} \)), we analyzed the tissue sulfate, cysteine, and GSH levels. Sulfate levels were not different among the plant lines under both \( \text{S1500} \) (S-sufficient) and \( \text{S0} \) (\( \text{S} \)) conditions (Figure 5). Cysteine levels were similar between the parental line and \( \text{slim1-2} \) but slightly increased in \( \Delta \text{C57} / \text{slim1-2} \) compared to \( \text{SLIM1} / \text{slim1-2} \) under both \( \text{S1500} \) and \( \text{S0} \) conditions. GSH levels were lower in \( \text{slim1-2} \) than in the parental line under \( \text{S1500} \) and lower in \( \Delta \text{C57} / \text{slim1-2} \) than in \( \text{SLIM1} / \text{slim1-2} \) under \( \text{S0} \) conditions (Figure 5). These results suggest that sulfate assimilation is restored by overexpression of the full-length SLIM1 to complement \( \text{slim1-2} \) under \( \text{S} \), but not with the C-terminal truncated form \( \Delta \text{C57} \).
Figure 3. Complementation of Arabidopsis slim1-2 by expressing the full-length and C-terminal truncated forms of SLIM1. The full-length SLIM1 or the C-terminal truncated variants were expressed in slim1-2 under CaMV 35S promoter (SLIM1/slim1-2, ΔC57/slim1-2, and ΔC105/slim1-2). Parental (PSULTR1;2-GFP), slim1-2, and four independent lines of SLIM1/slim1-2, ΔC57/slim1-2, and ΔC105/slim1-2 were grown on S1500, S15, and S0 media for 10 days. (a) GFP fluorescence in plants is visualized using an image analyzer. Fluorescent images (upper panels) and bright-field images (lower panels) are shown. (b) Transcript levels of SULTR1;2 in roots. The average values are indicated with error bars denoting SEM (n = 3) for Parental and slim1-2 (left), and the single values are indicated for four independent transgenic lines generated for complementation with the full-length SLIM1 or the C-terminal truncated variants. Asterisks indicate significant differences between Parental and slim1-2 determined by Student’s t-test (left), and between SLIM1/slim1-2 and ΔC57/slim1-2 or ΔC105/slim1-2 by Dunnet’s test (right) under S1500 and S0 conditions (** p < 0.01, * 0.01 ≤ p < 0.05).
**SLIM1 (SLIM1), or the C-terminal 57-aa truncated SLIM1 (ΔC57) transiently expressed in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. The GUS activity was analyzed after 3 days of transfection (Figure 6).**

These results indicate that the C-terminal 57 aa region of SLIM1 is required for complementation of the SLIM1/slim1-2 mutant. We analyzed the tissue sulfate, cysteine, and GSH levels. Sulfate, cysteine, and GSH were determined by qRT-PCR with the same root-derived RNA used for the SULTR1;2 transcript expression analysis in Figure 3b. Asterisks indicate significant differences between Parental and C57. Asterisks indicate significant differences between Parental and SLIM1/slim1-2 and ΔC57/slim1-2 under S1500 and S0 conditions (Student’s t-test; **p < 0.01, * 0.01 ≤ p < 0.05).
2.3. Transactivation of a –S-Inducible Gene, SHM7/MSA1, Requires C-Terminal 57 Amino Acids of SLIM1

Next we tested how SLIM1 activity is affected by the C-terminal deletion using the transient in planta transactivation test (Figure 6). For this purpose, we selected one of the –S-inducible and SLIM1-dependent genes, SHM7/MSA1 [3,19]. We constructed the reporter plasmid containing an SHM7/MSA1 promoter-GUS expression cassette to monitor the transactivation of the SHM7/MSA1 promoter by assessing the GUS activity. The reporter plasmid was transfected with the effector plasmids expressing either the full-length SLIM1 (SLIM1), or the C-terminal 57-aa truncated SLIM1 (ΔC57) under the control of CaMV 35S promoter in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. The GUS activity was analyzed after 3 days of transfection (Figure 6).

The full-length SLIM1 increased the GUS activity compared to the leaf part, where only the reporter plasmid was introduced alone (Leaf 1 in Figure 6). In contrast, ΔC57 did not show such an increase after the infiltration (Leaf 2 in Figure 6). When compared between the full-length SLIM1 and ΔC57, the GUS activity was highly increased with the full-length SLIM1 infiltration (Leaf 3 in Figure 6). These results indicate that the C-terminal 57 aa region of SLIM1 is essential for activating SLIM1-controlled genes in plants.

2.4. Roles of SLIM1 C-Terminal Region

In this study, we found that the C-terminal region of SLIM1 is important for its protein functionality. We demonstrated the importance of the C-terminal 57 aa in activating expression of SLIM1-responsive genes in plants by using transgenic plants expressing PSULTR1;2-GFP. Besides the importance of this C-terminal 57 aa region in planta, our experiments revealed the function of an additional 15 aa region located between the positions 105 to 119 aa from the C-terminal end of SLIM1 to function as a transcriptional activator.
in yeast. The contribution of this putative 15 aa activation domain remains an unsolved question in planta, because its presence in ΔC57 deletion was not sufficient to complement the slim1 mutant.

Domain search tools in InterPro (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/, accessed on 9 July 2022) and Conserved Domains (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cdd.shtml, accessed on 9 July 2022) did not detect presence of significant domains in the C-terminal 120 aa of SLIM1, indicating that our findings may lead the novel molecular machinery controlling the SLIM1 functions. The SLIM1 protein stability is controlled by the N-terminal half (1-285 aa) [17]. In contrast, the C-terminal region could play roles in other aspects implicated for SLIM1 function, such as possible interaction with other proteins or protein modification required for transactivation. It would be necessary to examine the function of C-terminal 57 aa by further dissecting this region for slim1 mutant complementation to clarify the molecular machinery of transcriptional activation associated with SLIM1 and connect these findings with the question of how plants respond to −S.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Yeast Assay

Full-length or truncated SLIM1 coding sequences were amplified with PCR using the forward primer SLIM1-F (5′-CACCATGGCGGATCTTGCTATGTCCGTAGC-3′) in combination with the reverse primer, SLIM1-R (5′-ACTGTTGTAGGTGTTGTGCTTTGATTCTATTCTTCT-3′ for truncation of C-terminal 57 aa, ΔC57), SLIM1-510R (5′-AAGCATTGGCCTTTCGTCTAGGCATCATAGT-3′ for ΔC105), SLIM1-461R (5′-AAGCATTGGCCTTTCGTCTAGGCATCATAGT-3′ for ΔC105), SLIM1-446R (5′-AAGCATTGGCCTTTCGTCTAGGCATCATAGT-3′ for ΔC105), SLIM1-430R (5′-AAGCATTGGCCTTTCGTCTAGGCATCATAGT-3′ for ΔC105), SLIM1-417R (5′-AAGCATTGGCCTTTCGTCTAGGCATCATAGT-3′ for ΔC105), or SLIM1-402R (5′-AAGCATTGGCCTTTCGTCTAGGCATCATAGT-3′ for ΔC105), and KOD-Plus (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan). The resultant fragments were purified from the agarose gel and cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA). After validating the sequence, each coding sequence was integrated into pBD-GAL4-GWRFC [20] using LR clonase (Invitrogen). The resultant plasmids were then transferred to S. cerevisiae strain AH109 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Matchmaker GAL4 Two-Hybrid System 3, Clontech). The yeast transformants were incubated at 30 °C on minimal SD medium (Clontech) lacking tryptophan (Trp) for three days. To verify the transcriptional activation through the function of GAL4-BD fusion proteins with the full-length and the C-terminal truncated forms of SLIM1 in yeast cells, four independent colonies per each plasmid were resuspended in sterilized double-distilled water and dropped on minimal SD medium lacking Trp or Trp and His with or without 10 mM 3-AT (TCI, Tokyo, Japan). pBD-GAL4 Cam plasmid (Stratagene, San Diego, CA, USA) was used as the negative control.

3.2. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

P_SULTR1;2-GFP plants (Parental, Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia-0 (Col-0) accession) [18], slim1-2 [3], and slim1-2 complemented with the full-length or truncated SLIM1 coding sequences were used as the plant samples.

To introduce the full-length SLIM1 (SLIM1) and truncated SLIM1 variants named ΔC57 and ΔC105, their coding sequences cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO vector were integrated into pH35GS binary vector [21] using LR clonase (Invitrogen). The resultant binary plasmids were transferred to Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 (pMP90) [22] and used for the transformation of slim1-2 plants [23]. The transgenic plants were selected on GM media containing 30 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin sulfate.

The T2 progenies of SLIM1/slim1-2, ΔC57/slim1-2, and ΔC105/slim1-2 transgenic lines, and the parental line and slim1-2 plants were vertically grown for 10 days on the agar medium [24] supplied with 1500 μM (S1500), 15 μM (S15), or 0 μM (S0) sulfate at 22 °C and 16 h/8 h light (40 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and dark cycles.
3.3. Imaging of GFP Fluorescence

The expression of GFP in the whole intact seedlings was visualized by using the image analyzer, Amersham Typhoon scanner 5, equipped with a 525BP20 filter and a 488-nm laser (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) as described previously [25].

3.4. Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from root tissues using Sepasol-RNA I (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) and reverse transcription was conducted using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, Japan). Subsequently, quantitative PCR was conducted using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara, Japan) and a Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System (Takara, Japan). Relative mRNA abundance was calculated using ubiquitin (UBQ2, accession no. J05508) as a constitutive internal control. Gene-specific primers for quantitative PCR were previously described [3,26,27].

3.5. Measurements of Sulfate and Thiols (Cysteine and GSH)

Plant tissues were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and extracted with 5 volumes of 10 mM HCl by homogenizing with Tissue Lyser MM300 (Retsch, Haan, Germany). The resultant mixtures were centrifuged at 4 °C, 13,000 rpm for 15 min, and the supernatant was used for sulfate and thiols analyses.

Sulfate contents were determined by ion chromatography (IC-2001, TOSOH, Tokyo, Japan) as described previously [28]. Cysteine and GSH contents were determined by HPLC-fluorescent detection system after labeling of thiol bases by monobromobimane as described previously [27]. The labeled products were separated by HPLC using the TSKgel ODS-120T column (150 × 4.6 mm, TOSOH) and detected using a scanning fluorescence detector FP-920 (JASCO, Oklahoma City, OK, USA), monitoring for fluorescence of thiol-bimane adducts at 478 nm under excitation at 390 nm. Cysteine and GSH (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) were used as standards.

3.6. Transient Trans-Activation Assay

pH35GS-SLIM1 and pH35GS-∆C57 were used as the effector plasmids. For the reporter plasmid, we inserted SHM7/MSA1 promoter sequence (569 bp upstream of ATG) amplified by PCR using the A. thaliana genomic DNA and primers, F: 5′-CACCTACCATAGTCCAACTCCATCC-3′ and R: 5′-ACGTTGAAGATGATGAAGATTTGG-3′, into NotI and XbaI sites of the modified pGreenII0029 binary vector [29] and validated the sequence. The trans-activation assay was performed as previously described [13]. In brief, the reporter plasmid (SHM7/MSA1 promoter-GUS), and the effector plasmids (pH35GS-SLIM1 and pH35GS-∆57) were introduced into the A. tumefaciens strains LBA4404 and GV3101, respectively. After over-night growth of A. tumefaciens cultures at 28 °C, the cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in water to an OD600 = 0.1 (reporter plasmid) or 0.4 (effector plasmids). The same aliquots of resuspended cells were mixed and infiltrated to three well-expanded leaves of 5-week-old N. benthamiana plants using 2 mL needleless syringes. Samples were collected for protein extractions 72 h after leaf inoculation and used for the quantitative GUS assay. The three leaf discs (8 mm diameter) collected from three different leaves were extracted with buffer (100 mM sodium phosphate pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 14 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% Triton X-100) and centrifuged at 11,000 × g for 5 min at 4 °C. Soluble protein level was quantified using the Bradford method [30]. GUS activity was measured as the absorbance at 415 nm after mixing 10 μL of extract and 140 μL of the extraction buffer containing 1 mM PNPG (p-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucuronide) and incubating the mixture at 37 °C for 30 min. The data were normalized with the absorbance of the sample without PNPG. The activity was calculated as μmol processed substrate mg⁻¹ total soluble protein min⁻¹.
3.7. Statistical Analysis

The student's t-test (two-tailed) was used for pairwise comparisons between the parental plants and slim1-2 mutants, between the SLIM1/slim1-2 and ΔC57/slim1-2 lines, or between the two conditions in a leaf described in Figures 3–6. The Dunnet's t-test (two-tailed) was used for multiple comparisons between the SLIM1/slim1-2 and ΔC57/slim1-2 or ΔC105/slim1-2 in Figure 3. Significant differences under the same treatment were shown with asterisks (** p < 0.01, * 0.01 ≤ p < 0.05).
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