Shallow water marine gammaridean amphipods of Pulau Tioman, Malaysia, with the description of a new species
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Abstract

Eleven taxa including one new species of gammaridean amphipods are reported from the waters of Pulau Tioman. The presence of *Tethygeneia sunda* sp. n. represents the first record of the genus from the South China Sea. Additional material of *Ampelisca brevicornis* (Costa, 1853); *Cymadusa vadosa* Imbach, 1967; *Paradexamine setigera* Hirayama, 1984; *Erichthonius pugnax* (Dana, 1853); *Leucothoe furina* Savigny, 1816; *Microlysias xenokensis* (Stebbing, 1918); *Monoculodes muwoni* Jo, 1990 are identified from the South China Sea, supporting previous records by Lowry (2000), Huang (1994), Imbach (1967), Margulis (1968) and Nagata (1959). Three additional species, *Gitanopsis pusilla* K.H. Barnard, 1916, *Liljeborgia japonica* Nagata, 1965b and *Latigammaropsis atlantica* (Stebbing, 1888), whilst previously reported from the neighbouring waters, comprise new records for the South China Sea.
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Introduction

According to Lim et al. (2010), taxonomic knowledge on the gammaridean amphipods from the waters of Peninsular Malaysia has been poorly studied in the past. Whilst there have been several revisions of species or even genera around Malaysia, most of the studies have been of a sporadic nature, with miscellaneous small papers on various taxa (e.g Muller 1993; Othman and Morino 1996, 2006; Othman and Azman 2007; Tomikawa et al. 2007; Azman and Melvin 2011, and Azman and Othman 2012). All these indicate the regional gammaridean taxa are largely poorly known but likely to be diverse and potential diversity of species still waiting for our investigation.

Particular efforts in conducting more regional based studies should be emphasized to further advance the biodiversity knowledge of these numerically abundant and taxonomically diverse taxa. Hence, the result of this work should in addition to documenting the amphipod fauna, provide new insights into the diversity and distribution patterns of the South China Sea amphipods.

Located 2°35’ north of the equator and in the South China Sea, Pulau Tioman (pulau = island) is an island of approximately 100 km² in area, lying 20 km off the southeastern coast of the Malay Peninsula. Apart from its beautiful beaches and marine ecosystems, the marine areas around Pulau Tioman and eight other nearby islands (Pulau Tulai, Pulau Sepoi, Pulau Chebeh, Pulau Tokong Bahara, Pulau Sembilang, Pulau Sri Bulat, Pulau Labas and Pulau Gut) have also been gazetted as marine parks and marine reserves under the Fisheries Act (1985). The establishment of the marine parks and marine reserves is to conserve, protect marine fauna and flora such as fishes, coral reefs and aquatic floras from being destroyed by fishing and other human activities.

In the framework of research on taxonomic revision and ecology of selected families of gammaridean amphipods conducted at Pulau Tioman, several qualitative benthic samples from different habitats and substrate types have been analysed. In some of these samples, specimens of gammaridean amphipods were found, one of which belongs to new species herein described, and for those species already known, the morphology of populations from Pulau Tioman are compared with other populations described in the literature. Due to the high importance of Pulau Tioman to maintain inventories for scientific marine studies in the Marine Protected Areas of Malaysia, we consider it important to include these species in this report, together with the description of the new taxa.

Material and methods

The material for the present study came from the following locations in the waters of Pulau Tioman, Pahang (2°48’22”N 104°10’13”E): Kampung Tekek, Renggis, Monkey Bay, Tulai, Tomok and Genting (Fig. 1). Samples of sea grasses, macroalgae, coral rubble, live corals and intertidal rocks were mainly obtained by SCUBA; amphipod specimens were collected by formalin-wash method (Myers 1985).
Whole animals were transferred into glycerol and drawn with a camera lucida on an Olympus SZX9 dissecting microscope. The specimens were dissected and appendages and mouthparts mounted onto slides in glycerol and drawn under a Leica DMLB light microscope using a camera lucida. Types have been deposited at Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Muzium Zoologi (UKMMZ); and the Australian Museum,
Sydney (AM). The following abbreviations are used in the figures. A, antenna; ABD, abdomen; EPIM, epimeron; G, gnathopod; HD, head; L, left; LL, lower lip; LM, lacinia mobilis; MD, mandible; MX, maxilla; MP, maxilliped; P, pereopod; PL, pleopod; PLN, pleonite; R, right; T, telson; U, uropod; UL, upper lip; ♂, male; ♀, female.

Results

Ampeliscidae Costa, 1857

*Ampelisca brevicornis* (Costa, 1853)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ampelisca_brevicornis

Figure 2

Synonymy: *Araneops brevicornis* Costa, 1853: 171.

*Ampelisca brevicornis* (Costa, 1853): Chevreux and Fage 1925: 77–79; Schellenberg 1925: 130–133; Pirlot 1936: 277–278; Schellenberg 1942: 146–147; Reid 1951: 204–210; Nagata 1959: 265–266; Nagata 1965a: 150–151; Imbach 1967: 928–932, 934, 953–958; Kaim-Malka 1969: 7–12; Rabindranath 1975: 257–261; Lincoln 1979: 112–113; Ledoyer 1982b: 56, 58–59; Hirayama 1991: 86. *Ampelisca* sp. cf. *brevicornis* (Costa, 1853).

*Ampelisca laevigata* Liljeborg, 1856: Sars 1895: 169–170, pl. 59.

**Material.** 5 specimens, TIO-15, Renggis, Pulau Tioman, 2°48′35″N, 104°8′6″E, washing mix sea grasses, Azman, B.A.R., Rayida, J., 15 July 1999.

**Remarks.** *Ampelisca brevicornis* is known to be a cosmopolitan species and has been collected from the soft substrata and water column from the littoral zone to the continental shelf from the waters of the world except for boreal areas (Rabindranath 1975; Lincoln 1979; Hirayama 1983). *A. brevicornis* was first recorded from the Mediterranean Sea (Costa 1853) by the name *Araneops brevicornis*; it occurs in waters of variable temperatures from north east Atlantic (Schellenberg 1925), south and west Norway (Sars 1895), Atlantic coast of Europe (Lincoln 1979), north Africa (Kaim-Malka 1969; Reid 1951), Indian Ocean (Rabindranath 1975), Japan (Nagata 1965a; Hirayama 1991), Indonesian archipelago (Pirlot 1936) as well as the neighbouring waters of Vietnam (Imbach 1967). Although several morphological variations in antennae, pleonal epimera and urosome are reported between European (Schellenberg 1925) and African specimens (Reid 1951), the present specimens agree in detail with descriptions by Imbach (1967) and Nagata (1959). Imbach (1967) discussed some of the variations concerning this particular species and refuted the idea of naming the existing ecophenotypes reported by Schellenberg (1925), Reid (1951), Dahl (1945) and Pirlot (1936) as a subspecies due to their insignificant distinctions.

Taking into consideration that *A. brevicornis* is one of the most popular inhabitants on a littoral sea bottom and widely distributed on the sea floor of the east coast (South China
Figure 2. *Ampelisca brevicornis* (Costa), female (UKMMZ-1454), 4.8 mm. Renggis, Pulau Tioman. Scales for G1, G2, P4, P7 represent 0.5 mm; HD scale = 0.2 mm.
Sea) and the west coast (Straits of Malacca) of Peninsular Malaysia, and numerous specimens have been meticulously examined, misidentification can be confidently ruled out. The specimens at hand show only minor disparities from Imbach’s by having a broader propodus of pereopod 7, so it is clearly satisfactory to identify the specimens as *A. brevicornis*.

**Amphilocheidae Boeck, 1871**

*Gitanopsis pusilla* K.H. Barnard, 1916

http://species-id.net/wiki/Gitanopsis_pusilla

Figure 3

**Synonymy:** *Gitanopsis pusilla* K.H. Barnard, 1916: 144, pl. 26 (11–12); Griffiths 1973a: 277; Griffiths 1974a: 178; Griffiths 1974b: 224; Griffiths 1974c: 273; Griffiths 1975: 105; Ledoyer 1979a: 17, fig. 3; Ledoyer 1982b: 104–105, fig. 33; Ortiz and Lalana 1997: 106.

**Material.** 5 specimens, TIO-12, Kampung Tekek, Pulau Tioman, 2°29′11″N, 104°9′32″E, macroalgae, Azman, B.A.R., Josim, J.J., 11 November 1997; 5 specimens, TIO-15, Renggis, Pulau Tioman, 2°48′35″N, 104°8′6″E, seagrass, Azman, B.A.R., Rayida, J., 15 July 1999.

**Remarks.** The specimens seem referable to the *G. pusilla* without much doubt. In amphilocheid amphipods, most species descriptions are based only on females, since the collection of males is rare. The female gnathopods are typical among amphilocheids in having a distally dilated propodus, an evenly convex palm and an elongate carpus. The specimens at hand are clearly related to the eastern Pacific species (K.H. Barnard 1916) in bearing the accessory flagellum of antenna 1. Ortiz and Lalana (1997) have reported *G. pusilla* and *G. antipai* from the neighbouring waters of Bunaken, Indonesia. In their illustration of *G. antipai*, the species is set apart from *G. pusilla* by the lack of an accessory flagellum, the relatively slender basis of pereopod 7 and the less spinose uropods 1–2.

**Ampithoidae Stebbing, 1899**

*Cymadusa vadosa* Imbach, 1967

http://species-id.net/wiki/Cymadusa_vadosa

Figure 4

**Synonymy:** *Cymadusa vadosa* Imbach, 1967: 89, pl. 32.

**Material.** 3 specimens, TIO 10, Kampung Tekek, Pulau Tioman, 2°49′11″N, 104°9′32″E, washing mix macroalgae, Azman, B.A.R., Josim, J.J., 11 November
Figure 3. *Gitanopsis pusilla* K.H. Barnard, 1916, female (UKMMZ-1315), 1.7 mm. Kampung Tekek, Pulau Tioman. Scales for A1, A2, G1, G2, P7, U1, U2 represent 0.1 mm.

1997; 4 specimens, TIO 28, Tulai, Pulau Tioman, 2°54′44″N, 104°6′18″E, washing coral rubble, Azman, B.A.R, Kee, A.A., 19 October 2003; 13 specimens, TIO 29, Genting, Pulau Tioman, 2°45′42″N, 104°7′34″E, washing mix macroalgae, Azman,
Figure 4. Cymadusa vadosa Imbach, 1967, female (UKMMZ-1266), 8.8 mm. Kampung Tekek, Pulau Tioman. Scale for A1, G1, G2 represents 0.5 mm; MX1, MX2 scale = 0.25 mm; U1-U3 scale = 0.2 mm.

B.A.R., Kee, A.A., 19 October 2003; 5 specimens, TIO 30, Genting, Pulau Tioman, 2°45’42”N, 104°7’34”E, washing mix macroalgae, Azman, B.A.R., Kee, A.A., 20 October 2003.
Remarks. The well-developed sharp spur on the peduncle of uropod 1 distinguishes the present specimens from the genera *Ampithoe* Leach, 1814; *Macropisthopous* K.H. Barnard, 1916; *Amphitholina* Ruffo, 1953; *Psedopleonexes* Conlan, 1982; *Pseudoamphitoides* Ortiz, 1976; *Examphitoe* K.H. Barnard, 1926 and *Melanesius* Ledoyer, 1984. Moreover, the expanded propodus of gnathopod 1 with an oblique palm was found to be a sound character in *Peramphitoe* Conlan & Bousfield, 1982 used in separating *Cymadusa* from *Peramphitoe* (Barnard & Karaman, 1991). *Cymadusa* differs from *Amphithoides* Kossmann, 1880 by having narrow rami of uropod 3 and the absence of telsonic lobes. Moreover *Paragrubia* Chevreux, 1901 differ from *Cymadusa* by having gnathopod 1 larger than gnathopod 2 and in having a multi-articulate accessory flagellum (Poore and Lowry 1997). *Sunamphitoe* Bate, 1857 varies from *Cymadusa* by the absence of a mandibular palp.

Barnard and Karaman (1991) have listed fourteen species of *Cymadusa*, mainly marine and throughout the tropics. Since then another species, *C. munnu* (Poore & Lowry, 1997) was described from Port Jackson, New South Wales, Australia. Imbach (1967) illustrated *Cymadusa vadosa* on the basis of specimens from south-east Asia region, which he identified with *C. filosa* (Savigny, 1835), *C. hawaiiensis* (Schellenberg, 1938), *C. australis* (K.H. Barnard, 1916), *C. sardenta* (Oliveira, 1953), *C. brevidactyla* (Chevreux, 1908), *C. variata* (Sheard, 1936), *C. oceanica* (J.L. Barnard, 1955) and *C. crassicornis* (Costa, 1853), noting some minor differences between them. *C. vadosa* differs from *C. filosa*, *C. hawaiiensis*, *C. australis*, *C. compta*, and *C. microphthalmalma* by gnathopod 1 with article 5 shorter than article 6.

The Pulau Tioman specimens also appear to be very close to *C. filosa* in having; (1) accessory flagellum with 1 articulate; (2) peduncle of uropod 1 with strong, acute, distoventral interramal process; (3) uropod 2 with short triangular inter-ramal process. In all probability, this material from Pulau Tioman is very similar to Imbach’s illustrations in having; (1) article 2 of mandibular palp three fourths as long as article 3; (2) maxilliped extends beyond palp article 2; (3) accessory flagellum with 1 articulate; (4) article 5 shorter than article 6 of gnathopod 1; (5) rami of uropod 3 two thirds as long as peduncle; (6) peduncle of uropod 1 with strong, acute, distoventral interramal process; (7) uropod 2 with short triangular interramal process.

Dexaminiidae Leach, 1814

*Paradexamine setigera* Hirayama, 1984
http://species-id.net/wiki/Paradexamine_setigera

Figure 5

Synonymy: *Paradexamine setigera* Hirayama, 1984: 225–230; Hirayama 1986: 488.

Material. 24 specimens, TIO 10, Kampung Tekek, Pulau Tioman, 2°49'11"N, 104°9'32"E, washing mix species of macroalgae, Azman, B.A.R., Josim, J.J., 11 November 1997, UKM I.D. 4891-4898.
Remarks. The Malaysian specimens differ from those described from the waters of Japan only by the lack of the accessory setae of the mandible. At present, this seems inadequate for subspecific distinction due to the enormous resemblance of other char-
acters shared between them. Even though Hirayama (1984) mentioned the closely related *P. micronesica*, it also lacks the accessory setae of the mandible. Furthermore, the Pulau Tioman specimens show several differences from *P. micronesica* by having: 1) slenderer basis of pereopod 7; 2) several robust setae on dorsal surface of telson; 3) shorter carpus of male gnathopod 2.

**Ischyroceridae Stebbing, 1899**

**Ericthonius pugnax** (Dana, 1853)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ericthonius_pugnax

**Figure 6**

Synonymy: *Pyctilus macrodactylus* Dana, 1853: 974.

*Ericthinus macrodactylus* Stebbing, 1906: 672; Walker 1904: 292, fig. 48.

*Ericthinus pugnax* Stebbing, 1906: 672; Pirlot 1938: 352; Hurley 1954: 445, figs 40–61; Nagata 1960: 179, pl. 179, figs 99–102; Nagata 1965b: 320, fig. 40; Nayar 1967:162; Ledoyer 1969: 179, fig. 1; Hirayama 1985: 52, Ledoyer 1982a: 628, fig. 239; Moore 1988: 727–730, fig. 14; Kim and Kim 1991: 246–247, figs 13–14.

**Material.** 2 specimens, TIO 5, Monkey Bay, Pulau Tioman, 2°29′33″N, 104°9′45″E, coral rubble, Azman, Josim, 22 August 1996; 86 specimens, TIO 15, Renggis, Pulau Tioman, 2°48′35″N, 104°8′6″E, seagrass, Azman, Rayida, 15 July 1999, UKM I.D. 5712–5719; 2 specimens, TIO 33, Tomok, Pulau Tioman, 2°47′38″N, 104°7′16″E, live corals (*Porites* sp., *Montipora* sp., *Acropora* sp.), Azman, B.A.R, Kee, A.A., Zuhaimi, S., Maekawa, T., Okashita, T., 22 March 2004.

**Remarks.** The Pulau Tioman specimens agree well with figures of *E. pugnax* from Walker (1904), Nagata (1960, 1965c), Ledoyer (1982c) and Moore (1988). This species seems to have a wide-ranging distribution as far as the Arabian Sea. From closer examination of the specimens, the males not only have distally bidentate carpal spur of gnathopod 2 but also a mixture of single dentate carpal spur (see also Nagata 1960; Ledoyer 1969, 1986). As mentioned by Moore (1988) the carpal bidentate spur of gnathopod 2 will eventually be lost in hyperadult males. Unfortunately the stipulation over hyper adult morphological changes has brought several descriptions of new species that actually represented a single species (i.e *E. macrodactylus*). Prior to this, Ledoyer (1969) synonymized *E. macrodactylus* as a hyperadult form of *E. pugnax*. Examination of the Pulau Tioman specimens confirms the presence of this hyper adult morphology: that the basis of pereopod 5 possesses a strongly developed posterodistal lobe. The Pulau Tioman specimens are undoubtedly assigned to *E. pugnax* and constitute the first record of this species for Peninsular Malaysia.
Figure 6. *Ericthonius pugnax* (Dana, 1853), male, (UKMMZ-1136), 3.8 mm. Pulau Tioman, South China Sea. Scale for G2 ♂ represents 0.6 mm; A1, A2 HD and ABD scales = 0.5 mm, G1 ♂ scale = 0.25 mm; P5 scale = 0.2 mm.
Leucothoidae Dana, 1852

**Leucothoe furina** (Savigny, 1816)
http://species-id.net/wiki/Leucothoe_furina
Figure 7

Synonymy: *Leucothoe hornelli* Walker, 1904: 258–259, pl. 3, fig. 17.  
*Leucothoe furina* (Savigny). Cecchini 1929: 771–773; K.H. Barnard 1931: 120; K.H. Barnard 1937: 152; Pirlot 1936; Ruffo 1938: 156; Nayar 1967: 142, fig. 5d; Imbach 1967: 79, pl. 21; Rabindranath 1967: 387–388, fig. 3; Bussarawich et al. 1984: 4.

**Material.** 4 specimens, TIO 28, Tulai, Pulau Tioman, 2°54'44"N, 104°6'18"E, coral rubble, Azman, B.A.R, Kee, A.A., 19 October 2003; 1 specimen, TIO 31, Tomok, Pulau Tioman, 2°47'38"N, 104°7'16"E, live corals (*Porites* sp., *Montipora* sp., *Acropora* sp.), Azman, B.A.R., Kee, A.A., Zuhaimi, S., Maekawa, T., Okashita, T., 22 March 2004.

**Remarks.** Referred to many times in the literature from Thailand, this is the first record of *L. furina* from the intertidal area of the Peninsular Malaysia. The synonymy of this circumtropical species was discussed by Rabindranath (1967). The Pulau Tioman material agrees with the earlier descriptions of Nayar (1967), Imbach (1967) and Rabindranath (1967) with a few variations. The minute accessory flagellum, stouter palp of the mandible, and spinose uropod 1 agrees well with Imbach’s specimen. The gnathopod 2 is unlike Imbach’s illustration, however the nearly smooth palm is also observed in Nayar’s illustration.

Liljeborgiidae Stebbing, 1899

**Liljeborgia japonica** Nagata, 1965b
http://species-id.net/wiki/Liljeborgia_japonica
Figure 8

Synonymy: Nagata 1965b: 160–164, figs 11–12.

**Material.** 2 specimens, TIO 34, Tomok, Pulau Tioman, 2°47'38"N, 104°7'16"E, live corals (*Porites* sp., *Montipora* sp., *Acropora* sp.), Azman, B.A.R., Kee, A.A., 22 March 2004.

**Remarks.** The Pulau Tioman material accords well with the description and figures of Nagata (1965b). This is the first record of the species outside Japan.
Figure 7. *Leucanoe furina* (Savigny, 1816), male, (UKMMZ-1459), 3.7 mm, Tulai, Pulau Tioman. Scales for MD and U1 represent 0.25 mm; G1, G2, A1 and A2 scales = 0.5 mm.

Lysianassidae Dana, 1849

*Microlysias xenokeras* (Stebbing, 1918)
http://species-id.net/wiki/Microlysias_xenokeras
Figure 9

Synonymy. *Microlysias xenokeras* (Stebbing), 1918: 64, pl. 10; K.H. Barnard 1937: 144; Griffiths 1973b: 293–294, fig. 9; Griffiths 1975: 148 – 149.

Material. 3 specimens, TIO 28, Tulai, Pulau Tioman, 2°54′44″N, 104°6′18″E, coral rubble, Azman, B.A.R., Kee, A.A., 19 October 2003.
Remarks. Griffiths (1975) re-examined this species after discovering an erroneous identification in his earlier publication (see Griffiths 1973a) was based on Barnard’s (1937) *M. indica*. Specimens from Durban Bay, described by Stebbing were the same as Griffiths’s *M. xenokeras*. *M. xenokeras* is the only species in the genus known thus far, and has only been recorded in from the waters of South Africa and Mozambique. It has quite distinctive characters: 1) antenna 1 short and stout, 2) gnathopod 2 minutely chelate, 3) uropod 3 outer ramus 2-articulate, 4) telson with short robust setae dorsally and apically.

**Figure 8.** *Liljeborgia japonica* Nagata, 1965, male, (UKMMZ-1224), 3.2 mm. Tomok, Pulau Tioman. Scales for A1, A2, G1 and G2 represent 0.25 mm; T scale = 0.1 mm.
Figure 9. *Microlysias xenokenas* Stebbing, male (UKMMZ-1464), 4.2 mm. Tulai, Pulau Tioman. Scales for A1, A2, G1 and G2 represent 0.5 mm; U3 scale = 0.1 mm.
Oedicerotidae Liljeborg, 1865

**Monoculodes muwoni** Jo, 1990

http://species-id.net/wiki/Monoculodes_muwoni

Figure 10

Synonymy: *Monoculodes muwoni* Jo, 1990: 164–168, figs 5–7.

**Material.** 10 specimens, TIO 3, Monkey Bay, Pulau Tioman, 2°29′33″N, 104°9′45″E, coral rubble, Azman, B.A.R., Josim, J.J., 22 August 1996; 1 specimen, TIO 21, Renggis, Pulau Tioman, 2°48′35″N, 104°8′6″E, seagrass, Azman, B.A.R., Rayida, J., 15 July 1999.

**Remarks.** The Pulau Tioman specimens closely resemble Jo’s (1990) figures described from the Korean peninsula; since then it has not been recorded anywhere else. The short and rather stout rostrum, propodus length of gnathopod 2, parallel sided telson and poorly produced posterodistal corner of coxal plate 4 are several characters unique to this species. However, the identification is not fully satisfactory in the following respects: the long carpal lobes of gnathopods and the double spine rows of the inner ramus of uropod 2. Otherwise, all specimens agree with *M. muwoni*.

Photidae Boeck, 1871

**Latigammaropsis atlantica** (Stebbing, 1888)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Latigammaropsis_atlantica

Figure 11

Synonymy: *Gammaropsis atlantica* Stebbing, 1888: 1101, pl. 114; Ruffo 1969: 43, fig. 13; J.L. Barnard 1970: 174, figs 111–113; Ledoyer 1972: 239, pl. 51–53; Griffiths 1973a: 228; Ledoyer 1979b: 33, figs 13–15; Bussarawich et al. 1984: 4; Myers 1985b: 80, fig. 60; Myers 1995: 52–52, fig. 20; Ortíz and Lalana 1997: 107.

*Gammaropsis zeylanicus* Walker, 1904: 282, pl. 6 fig. 41; Walker 1909: 339.

*Gammaropsis gardineri* Walker, 1905: 929, pl. 88 figs 11–14, 16–17.

*Gammaropsis atlanticus* Stebbing, 1906: 611; Stebbing 1908: 86, pl. 40b; Stebbing 1910: 614, 648; Chilton 1921: 81; Tattersall 1922: 10, pl. 1 figs 17–20; Schellenberg 1926: 375; Hale 1927: 315; Chevreux 1927: 110; Hale 1929: 223, fig. 220. Chevreux 1935: 126; K.H. Barnard 1937: 164; Pirlot 1938: 346; Reid 1951: 258; Pillai 1957: 56, fig. 14; Ruffo 1959: 19; Nayar 1967: 157–158, fig. 13.

**Material.** 5 specimens, TIO 12, Kampung Tekek, Pulau Tioman, 2°29′11″N, 104°9′32″E, macroalgae, Azman, B.A.R., Josim, J.J., 11 November 1997.

**Remarks.** Recently Myers (2009) established the genus *Latigammaropsis* to address J.L. Barnard’s (1970) trepidation on the confusion surrounding the tropical members.
Figure 10. Monoculodes muwoni Jo, 1990, female (UKMMZ-1469), 3.3 mm. Monkey Bay, Pulau Tioman. Scales for A1, A2, HD, G1, G2, U2 and T represent 0.25 mm.
Figure 11. *Latigammaropsis atlantica* (Stebbing), male (UKMMZ-1161), 5.1 mm. Kampung Tekek, Pulau Tioman. Scales for A1, G1, G2 and HD represent 0.5 mm; T and U3 scales = 0.1 mm.
of the *afra-atlantica* complex, in relation to *Latigammaropsis atlantica* (Stebbing, 1888) and *L. afra* (Stebbing, 1888). The newly proposed *Latigammaropsis* is characterised by the strongly recessed anterodistal margin of the head; lateral cephalic lobes rounded; labrum lacking acute epistome; mandible palp article 3 spatulate; coxae 1–2 without serrations on distal margin; pleon segments lacking spines; uropod 3 peduncle short and broad, rami short and stout; outer ramus blunt-ended with a small second article bearing two fine setae and inner ramus subequal with or shorter than outer ramus, narrowing distally. Which include 16 species namely *Latigammaropsis abbotti* (J.L. Barnard, 1965), *L. afra, L. athenae* Myers, 2009, *L. atlantica, L. christenseni* (Myers, 1995), *L. dionysus* Myers, 2009, *L. gemina* (Myers, 1995), *L. grandimana* (Ledoyer, 1978), *L. hermes* Myers, 2009, *L. hestia* Myers, 2009, *L. kaumaka* (J.L. Barnard, 1970), *L. pacifica* (Schellenberg, 1938), *L. pali* (J.L. Barnard, 1970), *L. photisimilis* (Ruffo, 1969), *L. planodentata* (Myers, 1995) and *L. togoensis* (Schellenberg, 1925).

The Pulau Tioman specimens undoubtedly represent the tropical members (*afra-atlantica* group) by having an article 2 on the outer ramus of uropod 3 and the inner plate of maxilla 1 has at least 3, often 5+ setae lining the medial margin (Barnard 1970). The presence of the lageniform eye links the specimens at hand with, *L. afra, L. athenae* Myers, 2009, *L. atlantica, L. photisimilis* (Ruffo, 1969) and *L. hestia* Myers, 2009.

Although the Pulau Tioman specimens are more closely related to *L. gemina*, with the accessory flagellum with 4 articles, inner plate of maxilla 1 with 5+ setae lining the medial margin and occurrence of nobs on the urosomal margin, *L. gemina* still does not agree with the specimens at hand in having oval eyes and the telson lacking medial setae.

Nevertheless the specimens at hand are apparently very close to *L. atlantica* in having 1) ocular lobes strongly produced with lageniform eye in hyperadults; 2) antenna 2 shorter than antenna 1; 3) male gnathopod 2 with propodus a little longer than carpus; 4) uropod 1 with strong interramal process, two-thirds length of peduncle; 5) uropod 3, peduncle and outer ramus subequal in length with outer ramus stouter than inner with a small second article and 6) telsonic crests with spines and setae. In addition, the Pulau Tioman specimen appears to be referable to the other known *L. atlantica* that have been recorded from Japan, Bunaken Island, Indonesia, Madras and South Africa.

**Family Pontogeniidae Stebbing, 1906**

**Genus Tethygeneia** J.L. Barnard, 1972

*Tethygeneia sunda* sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/51D2394A-BF87-49C5-BB06-8F743D836B8A

http://species-id.net/wiki/Tethygeneia_sunda

Figures 12; 13; 14

**Type material.** Holotype, male, body length 4.5 mm (from tip of rostrum to apex of telson) (Ref: UKMMZ-1252).
Figure 12. *Tethygeneia sunda* sp. n., holotype, male (UKMMZ-1252), 4.5 mm. Marine Park, Pulau Tioman. Scales for A1 and A2 represent 0.5 mm; G1, G2 and HD scales = 0.25 mm.
**Type locality.** Marine Park, Pulau Tioman, (2°49'48"N, 104°9'48"E) Peninsular Malaysia; intertidal rocks; coll. Azman, B.A.R., Jusim, J.J., 23 August 2001, UKM I.D. 6687.

**Additional material examined.** 32 specimens, TIO 22, Marine Park, Pulau Tioman, 2°49'48"N, 104°9'48"E, intertidal rocks, Azman, B.A.R., Jusim, J.J., 23 August 2001.

**Diagnosis.** Rostrum long and linguiform. Accessory flagellum absent. Maxilla 1, palp article 2 stout armed with several short teeth apically. Maxilla 2, outer plate broader than inner plate, both with plumose setae along margin. Lower lip lacking inner lobes. Mandible palp article 2 long. Gnathopod 2 lacking carpal lobe, more slender articles of carpus and propodus. Pereopods 3-4 with pair of stout locking spines. Telson cleft, lacking large spines on apices.

**Description.** Male: 4.5 mm. Head, rostrum large, long, curved down, apically blunt, lateral cephalic lobe broad, shallow, quadriform, defined below by weak but sharp incision; antero-ventral margin bulbous, rounded. Eye large, occupying more than half of head area.

Antenna 1 only about 70 percent as long as antenna 2; flagellum with about 21-articulate, ornamented with aesthetascs ventrally; accessory flagellum absent. Antenna 2 about half as long as body; gland cone of peduncular article 2 broad, extending beyond of peduncle article 3; flagellum long and thin with about 28 articles.

Mandible, molar triturative, each with ragged seta; lacinia mobilis serrate and clearly distinct; palp with article 2 about twice as broad as article 3, latter slightly falcate. Lower lip lacking inner lobes, mandibular lobes subtruncate and apically fringed with small setae. Maxilliped inner plate with apicolateral spine separated from 2 medioapical spines by gap and hollow; outer plate with facial setules in 1 row and a few scattered; palp article 2 broad. Maxilla 1, palp article 2 stout armed with several short teeth apically. Maxilla 2, outer plate broader than inner plate, both with plumose setae along margin.

Gnathopod 1-2 small, subequal in size to each other, basis scarcely setose posteroventrally; propodus long, thin, sub-rectangular, palm evenly oblique.

Gnathopod 1 carpus sub-triangular, posterior margin short, lobe extended; dactylus not serrate on grasping margin. Gnathopod 2 similar to gnathopod 1. Pereopods 1-7 elongate. Pereopods 3-4 homopodous; merus slightly expanded posterodistally; carpus about ½ the length of propodus. Pereopods 5-7 homopodous; basis expanded roundly. Pereopod 7 similar but longer than pereopod 6; basis more elongate than pereopod 6.

Uropod 1 peduncle spinose on lateral margin, almost 2 times as long as outer ramus, one medium sized robust seta at distal part; outer ramus about 0.7 times as long as inner ramus, apex bifid, armed with several apical spines, one elongate. Uropod 2 extending beyond telson; peduncle subequal in length to inner ramus, spinose, and with one long robust seta at distal end; outer ramus almost 0.6 times as long as inner ramus with several apical robust setae; inner ramus apically bifid with several robust setae along margin. Uropod 3 peduncle short; rami foliaceous, subequal in length, marginally spinose and setose. Telson flat, broad, cleft more than halfway, apices slightly rounded, broad, smooth, lateral margins of lobes with 2 pairs of partial sets of fine short setae.

**Remarks.** J.L. Barnard (1972) proposed the genus *Tethygeneia* to group the existing eusiriid amphipods that are limited to a Tethyan distribution, referring to the
Figure 13. *Tethygeneia sunda* sp. n., holotype, male (UKMMZ-1252), 4.5 mm. Marine Park, Pulau Tioman. Scales for MX1, MX2, UL, LL, MP, MD, U1–U3 and T represent 0.1 mm.
Figure 14. *Tethygeneia sunda* sp. n., holotype, male (UKMMZ-1252), 4.5 mm. Marine Park, Pulau Tioman. Scales for P3–P7 represent 0.5 mm.
Table 1. Checklist of the recorded amphipods.

| Family/ Subfamily   | Genus              | Species                                                                 |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ampeliscidae, 1857  | Ampelisca Kröyer, 1842 | 1. *Ampelisca breviscornis* (Costa, 1853)                             |
| Amphipodidae, 1871  | Gitanopsis Sars, 1895 | 2. *Gitanopsis pusilla* Barnard, 1916                                  |
| Paradoxamine, 1899  | Cymadusa Savigny, 1816 | 3. *Cymadusa vadosa* Imbach, 1967                                     |
| Leucothoe, 1814     | Leucothoe Leach, 1814 | 6. *Leucothoe furina* (Savigny, 1816)                                  |
| L. Bate, 1862       | Liljeborgia Bate, 1862 | 7. *Liljeborgia japonica* Nagata, 1965a                               |
| L. Miene-Edwards, 1830 | 5. *Eriothonis pugnax* (Dana, 1853)                             |
| Lowry & Stoddart, 1997 | *Microlysia* Stebbing, 1918 | 8. *Microlysia xenokeras* (Stebbing, 1918)                              |
| Monoculodes, 1853   | *Monoculodes* Stimpson, 1853 | 9. *Monoculodes muwoni* Jo, 1990                                      |
| Latigammaropsis, 1988 | *Latigammaropsis* Myers, 2009 | 10. *Latigammaropsis atlantica* (Stebbing, 1888)                      |
| Tethygeneia, 1972   | *Tethygeneia* J.L. Barnard, 1972 | 11. *Tethygeneia sunda* sp. n.                                         |

warm temperate waters of both hemispheres. The key character that clearly differentiates the genus from the other known eusiriids is the long and linguiform rostrum. Although this linguiform nature of the rostrum is also observed in some genera within the family (e.g. *Pontogenia*, *Gondogeneia*), *Tethygeneia* relatively exhibits a stronger form of prolonged rostrum. Since 1991, Barnard and Karaman listed 10 species of *Tethygeneia* that are mostly described from the warm-temperate waters of Australia. *T. sunda* sp. n. falls undoubtedly into the genus according to J.L. Barnard’s eusiriid revisional framework (1972). It resembles *T. intermedia* (Gurjanova) in lacking a carpal lobe on gnathopod 2, but is rather closely related to *T. rostrata* (Gurjanova) and *T. longleyi* (Shoemaker) in the other characters. Differing from *T. rostrata* in the more slender articles of carpus and propodus of gnathopod 2, in the slightly more produced article 3 of antenna 1, and the more spinose rami of uropod 3. *Tethygeneia longleyi* shares the same form of head, gnathopods and uropods as in *T. sunda* sp. n. However some noteworthy differences are observed in the mouthparts, especially the mandible. The length and structure of article 2 of the mandible palp clearly distinguish *T. longleyi* from *T. sunda* sp. n.

**Etymology.** The specific designation is derived from the name of the Sunda shelf, which was part of the south-east Asian continent during the Pleistocene.

**Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to Zuhaimi Samat, Shamsul Bahar, Jenifer Jusi Josim, Kee Alfian, Rayida Jaraeh, Tomoko Okashita and Takeshi Maekawa who assisted in the field sampling. This work was supported by a grant (UKM-ST-08-FRGS0020-2009) from the Ministry of
Higher Education of Malaysia and a UKM research grant (DIP-2012-020). Thanks are also due to the Marine Park Section, Department of Fisheries, Malaysia.

References

Azman BAR, Melvin CWH (2011) Two New Species of *Urothoe* (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Gammaridea) From The East Johor Islands Archipelago, Malaysia. Zookeys 87: 43–62.
Azman BAR, Othman BHR (2012) Two New Species of Amphipods of the Superfamily Aoroida (Crustacea: Corophiidea) from the Strait of Malacca, Malaysia, with a Description of a New Genus. Zoological Studies 51(2): 232–247.
Barnard JL (1965) Marine Amphipoda of atolls in Micronesia. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 117: 459–551.
Barnard JL (1970) Sublittoral Gammaridea (Amphipoda) of the Hawaiian Islands. Smithsonian. Contrib. Zool. 34: 1–286.
Barnard JL (1972) Gammaridean Amphipoda of Australia, Part I. Smithsonian Institution Press 103: 1–333.
Barnard JL, Karaman GS (1991) The families and genera of marine Gammaridean Amphipoda (Except Marine Gammaroids) Part 1. Records of the Australian Museum 13(1): 1–417.
Barnard KH (1916) The Amphipoda. Contribution to the crustacean fauna of South Africa. Annals of the South Africa Museum 15: 105–304.
Barnard KH (1926) Contribution to the crustacean fauna of South Africa, V: the Amphipoda. Annals of the South African Museum 15(3): 105–302.
Barnard KH (1931) Amphipoda. Great Barrier Reef Expedition 1928-29. British Museum of Natural History, Science Reports 4: 111–135.
Barnard KH (1937) Amphipoda. John Murray Expedition 1933-34. Scientific Reports, British Museum Natural History 4: 133–201.
Bate CS (1857) British Amphipoda. Annals and Magazine of Natural History. Series 2, 19: 271.
Bussarawich S, Nateewathana A, Hylleberg J (1984) Distribution of Marine Benthic Amphipods Off Phuket Island, with Emphasis on Tin Mining and a Model of Species – Individual Relationships. Phuket Marine Biological Center Research Bulletin 32: 1–21.
Cecchini C (1929) Gli anfipodi del R. Museo Zoologico di Firenze Fam. Talitridae; fam. Leucothoidae; fam. Dexaminiidae; fam. Pleustidae; fam. Calliopiidae. Atti della Reale Accademia Fisioteristica Siena 3: 767–780.
Chevreux E (1901) Crustaces amphipodes. Mission scientifique de M Ch. Alluaud aux Iles Sechelles (Mars, Avril, Mai, 1892). Memoires de la Societe de France 14: 388–438.
Chevreux E (1927) Crustaces amphipodes. Expedition Scientifique de Travailleur et du Talisman Pendant les Annees 1880, 1881, 1882, Malacostraces (Suite) 9: 41–152.
Chevreux E (1935) Amphipodes provenant des campagnes du Prince Albert I de Monaco. Resultats des Campagnes Scientifiques accomplies par le Prince Albert I, 90: 214.
Chevreux E, Fage L (1925) Amphipodes. Faune de France 9: 488 pp.
Chilton C (1921) Amphipoda. Fauna of the Chilka Lake. Memoirs of the Indian Museum 5: 519–558.
Conlan KE (1982) Revision of the gammaridean amphipod family Ampithoidae using numerical analytical methods. Canadian Journal of Zoology 60: 2015–2027.
Conlan KE, Bousfield EL (1982) The amphipod superfamily Corophioidea in the northeastern Pacific region, Family Amphipodidae: systematics and distributional ecology. Publications in Biological Oceanography, National Museums of Canada 10: 41–75.
Costa A (1853) Relazione sulla memoria del Dottor Achille Costa, di ricerche su` crostacei amphipodi del regno di Napoli. Rendiconto della Societa Reale Borbonica, Academia della Scienze, new series 2: 167–178.
Dahl E (1945) *Menigratopsis svennilssoni* n. gen. et. spec., a lysianassid amphipod from The Sound. Kungliga Fysiografiska Sallskapets i Lund Forhandlingar 15(24): 7pp.
Dana JD (1853) Crustacea. Part 11. United States Exploring Expedition 14: 689–1618.
Griffiths CL (1973a) Guide to the Benthic Marine Amphipods of Southern Africa. The Rustica Press (Pty) Ltd., Wynberg, Cape.
Griffiths CL (1973b) The Amphipoda of Southern Africa, Part I. The Gammaridea and Caprellidea of Southern Mocambique. Annals of the South African Museum 60: 265–306.
Griffiths CL (1974a) The Amphipoda of Southern Africa, Part 2. The Gammaridea and Caprellidea of South West Africa South of 20 S. Annals of the South African Museum: 169–208.
Griffiths CL (1974b) The Amphipoda of Southern Africa, Part 3: The Gammaridea and Caprellidea of Natal. The Rustica Press (Pty) Ltd., Cape.
Griffiths CL (1974c) The Amphipoda of southern Africa Part 4. The Gammaridea and Caprellidea of the Cape Province east of Cape Agulhas. Annals of the South African Museum 65: 251–336.
Griffiths CL (1975) The Amphipoda of southern Africa Part 5. The Gammaridea and Caprellidea of the Cape Province wet of Cape Agulhas. Annals of the South African Museum 72: 11–35.
Hale HM (1927) The fauna of Kangaroo Island, South Australia. No. 1. The Crustacea. Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of South Australia 51: 307–321.
Hale HM (1929) The crustaceans of South Australia. Handbooks of the Flora and Fauna of South Australia 2: 201–380.
Hirayama A (1983) Taxonomic studies on the shallow water Gammaridean Amphipoda of the west Kyushu, Japan. 1. Acanthonotozomatidae, Ampeliscidae, Ampithoidae, Amphiloichidae, Anamixidae, Argissidae, Arylidae and Colomastigidae. Publication of Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 28: 75–150.
Hirayama A (1984) Taxonomic studies on the shallow water Gammaridean Amphipoda of west Kyushu, Japan. III. Dexaminidae. Publication of Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 29 (4/6): 187–230.
Hirayama A (1985) Taxonomic studies on the shallow water Gammaridean Amphipoda of west Kyushu, Japan. IV. Dexaminidae (Guernea), Eophiliantidae, Eusoridae, Haustoriidae, Hyalidae and Ischyroceridae. Publication of Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 30 (1/3): 1–53.
Hirayama A (1986) Marine gammaridean Amphipoda (Crustacea) from Hong Kong II. The family Dexaminidae. In: Morton B (Ed) Proc. Sec. Int. Mar. Biol. Workshop. The Marine Flora and Fauna of Hong Kong and Southern China, Hong Kong. Hong Kong Univ. Press: 449–485.

Hirayama A (1991) Marine Ampeliscidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from Hong Kong. Asian Marine Biology 8: 77–93.

Huang Z (1994) Marine species and their distribution in China’s seas. China Ocean Press, Beijing.

Hurley DE (1954) Studies on the New Zealand amphipodan fauna no. 7. The family Corophiidae, including a new species of Paracorophium. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand 82: 431–460.

Imbach MC (1967) Scientific Result of Marine Investigation of the South China Sea and Gulf of Thailand: Gammaridean Amphipoda from the South China Sea. Naga Report 4: 40-167.

Jo YW (1990) Oedicerotid Amphipoda (Crustacea) from shallow waters of Korea. Beaufortia 39 (5): 155–200.

Kaim-Malka RA (1969) Contribution a l’étude de quelques especes du genre Ampelisca (Crustacea - Amphipoda) en Méditérranée. II. Tethys 1: 927–975.

Karaman GS (1975) The family Ampeliscidae of the Adriatic Sea. Acta Adriatica, Institut Za Oceanografiju I Ribarstvo, Split 17(3): 67 pp.

Kim W, Kim CB (1991) The Marine Amphipod Crustaceans of Ulreung Island, Korea: Part 1. Korean J. Zool. 34: 232–252.

Kossmann R (1880) Malacostraca. Zoologische Ergebnisse Auftrage Koniglichen Academie Wissenschaften Berlin Reise Kustengebiete Rothen Meeres 2: 67–140.

Leach WE (1814) Crustaceology. The Edinburgh Encyclopaedia 7: 402–403.

Ledoyer M (1969) Amphipodes tubicoles des feuilles des herbières de phanérogame marines de la région de Tulear (Madagascar). Recueil des Travaux de la Station Marine Endoume, Fascicule hors série Supplement 9: 179–182.

Ledoyer M (1972) Amphipodes Gammariens Vivant Dans Les Alveoles Des Constructions Organogènes Recifales Intertidales De La Region De Tulear (Madagascar). Tethys suppl. 3 (1972): 165–286.

Ledoyer M (1978) Contribution a l’étude des amphipodes gammariens profonds de Madagascar (Crustacea). Tethys 8: 365–382.

Ledoyer M (1979a) Expedition Rumphius II (1975). Crustaces parasites, commensaux, etc. VI Crustaces Amphipodes Gammariens. Bull. Mus. Natn. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 137–181.

Ledoyer M (1979b) Les gammariens de la Pente externe du grand recif de Tulear (Madagascar) (Crustacea Amphipoda). Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona (2nd Ser.) 2: 1–150.

Ledoyer M (1982a) Les Oedicerotidae (Crustacea Amphipoda) De La Mer Mediterranee. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona 9: 45–84.

Ledoyer M (1982b) Faune de Madagascar. Crustaces Amphipodes Gammariens; Families des Acanthonotozomatidae a’ Gammaridae. Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique-Paris 59 (1): 1–598.
Ledoyer M (1982c) Faune de Madagascar. Crustaces Amphipodes Gammarien; Familles des Haustoriidae a’ Vitjazianidae. Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique-Paris 59 (2).

Ledoyer M (1984) Les Gammariens (Crustacea, Amphipoda) des herbiers de Phanerogames marines de Nouvelle Caledonie (Region de Noumea). Memoires Du Mus National D’Histoire Naturelle, Serie A, Zoologie 129: 1–113.

Ledoyer M (1986) Faune mobile des herbiers de phanerogames marines (Holodule et Thalassia) de la lagune de terminus (Mexique, Campeche). II. Les Gammariens (Crustacea). An. Inst. Cienc. del Mar y Limnol. Univ. Nat. Auton. Mexico 13 (3): 171–200.

Liljeborg V (1856) Om Hafs-Crustaceer vid Kullaberg i Skane. Ofversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps-Akademiens Forhandlingar 12: 117–138.

Lim JHC, Azman BAR, Othman BHR (2010) Melitoid amphipods of the genera Ceradocus Costa, 1853 and Victoriopisa Karaman and Barnard, 1979 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: M eridae) from the South China Sea, Malaysia. Zootaxa 2384: 23–39.

Lincoln RJ (1979) British Marine Amphipoda: Gammaridea. British Museum, London.

Lowry JK (2000) Taxonomic status of amphipod crustaceans in the South China Sea with a checklist of known species. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology Suppl. 8: 309–341.

Margulis J (1968) Ampeliscidae (Amphipoda, Gammaridea) in the north-western part of the South China Sea. Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 47: 1479–1488.

Moore PG (1988) Taxonomic observations on the genera Xenocheria Haswell and Erichnionus Milne-Edwards (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from Australian coastal waters. Journal of Natural History 22: 705–732.

Muller HG (1993) Rostrogitanopsis karamani n. sp., the first record of the genus from the Asian region (Amphipoda: Gammaridea: Amphilochidae). Revue Suisse de Zoologie 100: 19–24.

Myers AA (1985a) Studies of the genus Lembos Bate XII. Tropical Pacific islands. Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale, Verona 10: 369–406.

Myers AA (1985b) Shallow-water, Coral Reef and Mangrove Amphipoda (Gammaridea) of Fiji. Records of the Australian Museum Suppl. 5: 1–137.

Myers AA (1995) The Amphipoda (Crustacea) of Madang Lagoon : Aoridae, Isaeidae, Ischyroceridae, and Neomegamphipodidae. Records of the Australian Museum Suppl. 22: 22–95.

Myers AA (2009) Photidae. Benthic Amphipoda (Crustacea: Peracarida) of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Zootaxa 2260: 771–799.

Nagata K (1959) Notes on five species of the amphipod genus Ampelisca from the stomach contents of the triglid fishes. Publication of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 7 (2): 67–82.

Nagata K (1960) Preliminary notes on benthic gammaridean Amphipoda from the Zostera region of Mihara Bay, Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 8: 163–182.

Nagata K (1965a) Studies on marine Gammaridean Amphipoda of the Seto Inland Sea I. Publication of Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 13 (2): 131–170.

Nagata K (1965b) Studies on marine Gammaridean Amphipoda of the Seto Inland Sea III. Publication of Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 13 (4): 291–322.

Nayar KN (1967) On the Gammaridean Amphipoda of the Gulf of Mannar, with Special Reference to those of the Pearl and Chank Beds. Proc. Symp. Crustacea, Ernakulam: 133–168.
Ortiz M (1976) Un nuevo genero y una nueva especie de amfipodo de aguas Cubanas (Amphipoda, Gammaridea, Ampithoidae). Investigaciones Marinas Ciencias, series 8, 27: 1–12.

Ortiz M, Lalana R (1997) Amphipoda. Travaux du Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle “Grigore Antipa” 38: 29–113.

Othman BHR, Azman BAR (2007) A new species of Talitridae (Amphipoda: Gammaridea) from Tioman Island, Malaysia. Zootaxa 1454: 59–68.

Othman BHR, Morino H (1996) A new species of the genus Indischonopus from Malaysia (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Platiscnopidae). Bolletino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona 20: 105–115.

Othman BHR, Morino H (2006) Listriella longipalma sp. nov., a new amphipod species (Crustacea: Liljeborgiidae) from the Straits of Melaka, Malaysia. Zootaxa 1305: 21–32.

Pillai NK (1957) Pelagic Crustacea of Travancore. III. Amphipoda. Bulletin Central Research Institute, University Travancore 5: 29–68.

Pirlot JM (1936) Les amphipodes de l’expedition du Siboga. Deuxieme partie. ... II. - Les amphipodes de la mer profonde. III. Les amphipodes littoraux. I. Lysianassidae ... Gammaridae. Siboga-Expeditie 33e: 237–328.

Pirlot JM (1938) Les amphipodes de l’expedition du Siboga. Deuxieme partie. Les amphipodes gammarides III. Les amphipodes littoraux. 2. Familles des Dexaminidae, Talitridae, Aoridae, Photidae, Ampithoidae, Corophiidae, Jassidae, Cheluridae et Podoceridae. Siboga-Expeditie 33f: 329–359.

Poore GCB, Lowry JK (1997) New Ampithoid Amphipods from Port Jackson, New South Wales, Australia (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Ampithoidae). Invertebrate Taxonomy 11: 897–941.

Rabindranath P (1967) Studies on gammaridean amphipoda (Crustacea) from India. Bull. zool. Mus. Amsterdam, 2: 155–172.

Rabindranath P (1975) Marine Gammaridea (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from the Indian Region; Family Ampeliscidae. Hydrobiologia 46: 241–262.

Reid DM (1951) Report on the Amphipoda (Gammaridea and Caprellidea) of the Coast of Tropical West Africa. Atlantidae Report 2: 190–291.

Ruffo S (1938) Gli anfipodi marini del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova a) Gli anfipodi del Mediterranea. Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale, Genova 60: 127–151.

Ruffo S (1953) Studi sui crostacei anfipodi XXXIII. Anfipodi raccolti sulle coste dell’Angola e del Congo Belga dal Dr E. Dartevelle. Revue de la Zoologique et de la Botanique de L’Afrique 47 (1-2): 120–136.

Ruffo S (1959) Contributions to the knowledge of the Red Sea No.13. Contributo alla conoscenza degli anfipodi del Mar Rosso (1). Sea Fisheries Research Station, Haifa, Bulletin 20: 36pp.

Ruffo S (1969) Terzo contributo alla conoscenza degli anfipodi del Mar Rosso. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale, Verona 17: 1–77.

Sars GO (1895) An account of the Crustacea of Norway with short descriptions and figures of all the species. Amphipoda 1: 1–711.

Savigny JC (1816) Observations generales sur la bouche des arachnids, des crustaces et des entomostraces, Second Memorie: 39–117.
Schellenberg A (1925) Crustacea. VIII: Amphipoda. volume 3. In: Michaelsen W (Ed) Beitragzurkenntnis der Meeresfauna Westrrfuikas. L. Friedrichsohn & Co., Hamburg.
Schellenberg A (1926) Die Gammariden der deutschen Sudpolar-Expedition 1901-1903. Deutsch Sudpolar-Expedition 18: 235–414.
Schellenberg A (1938) Litorale Amphipoden des tropischen Pazifiks. Kunglia Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar (3)16 (6): 105 pp.
Schellenberg A (1942) Krebstiere oder Crustacea IV: Flohkrebs oder Amphipoda. Die Tierwelt Deutschlands, Jena 40: 252.
Stebbing TRR (1888) Report on the Amphipoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-76. Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger During the Years 1873-1876, Zoology 29, Eyre and Spottiswoodie, London, xxiv + 1737 pp.
Stebbing TRR (1906) Amphipoda I. Gammaridea. Das Tierreich 21: 806 pp.
Stebbing TRR (1908) South African Crustacea (Part IV). Annals of the South African Museum 6: 1–96.
Stebbing TRR (1910) Crustacea. Part V. Amphipoda; Scientific results of the trawling expedition of HMSC “Thetis”. Memoirs of the Australian Museum 4 (2): 564–658.
Stebbing TRR (1918) Some Crustacea of Natal. Annals of the Durban Museum 2: 47–75.
Tattersall WM (1922) Amphipoda and Isopoda. In: The Percy Sladen Trust Expedition to the Abrolhos Islands (Indian Ocean). Journal of the Linnean Society of London, Zoology 35: 1–19.
Tomikawa KO, Siow R, Matawari S (2007) A new species of the genus Nuuanu (Amphipoda, Melitidae) from brackish waters of the Strait of Malacca, Malaysia. Crustaceana 80 (3): 357–373.
Walker AO (1904) Report on Amphipoda collected by Professor Herdman, at Ceylon, in 1902. Report of the Government of Ceylon Pearl Oyster Fishery of the Gulf of Manaar17: 229–300.
Walker AO (1905) Marine Crustaceans, 16. Amphipoda. Fauna and Geography of the Maldive and Laccadive Archipelagos 2. (Suppl. 1): 923–932.
Walker AO (1909) Amphipoda Gammaridea from the Indian Ocean, British East Africa, and the Red Sea. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London series 2, Zoology 12: 324–344.