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Abstract: For the first time, an assessment of phenotypic variability and genetic polymorphism was performed on endemic plants *Scutellaria tuvensis* Juz. growing in Tuva (five populations; Russia). Based on morphological traits of individuals, principal component analysis clustered the individuals into three groups depending on characteristics of their habitats: group 1 turned out to be sampled from beach gravel, group 2 from a detrital cone, and group 3 from coarse rock fragments; this finding was confirmed by specific features of the development of the individuals in these habitats. Using inter-simple sequence repeat markers, high genetic polymorphism was identified at the population level: the proportion of polymorphic loci was 95%, expected heterozygosity 0.221, the absolute number of alleles 1.533, and the effective number of alleles 1.376. Population 3 (P 3) was the most genetically homogeneous; P 5 was characterized by the highest genetic diversity. In an unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean dendrogram, the studied populations formed two major groups: the first cluster included P 4 and P 5, and the second cluster contained plants collected in P 1, P 2, and P 3. An analysis of the population structure using the STRUCTURE software showed the same result, dividing the sample under study into two subpopulations. The genetic differentiation index among populations was 0.232, and gene flow 1.655. According to analysis of molecular variance, intrapopulation differences accounted for 73% of total genetic diversity.
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1. Introduction

The International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) has highlighted the importance of genetic factors in the planning and implementation of conservation programs along with the study of species diversity and the diversity of ecological systems [1]. The development of a conservation strategy for rare and/or endemic species requires complete information on the levels of genetic variability and differentiation in populations of studied taxa.

*Scutellaria* L. is a large polymorphic genus from the family Lamiaceae, section Lupulina. Among the species of the section, there are many endemic poorly studied species [2]. One of these is *Scutellaria tuvensis* Juz., which has a narrow endemic range and occurs in the southeastern part of Tuva (Russia) and the north-western territory of Mongolia [3–5]. The development of individuals and demographic structure of populations of this species in the Republic of Tuva have been studied previously [6,7]. Under various habitat conditions, changes in the demographic and spatial structure of populations as well as changes in ecological density and rates of development of individuals have been revealed. Population genetic surveys have never been reported for this endemic species. In general, species of the genus *Scutellaria* are poorly studied in terms of morphology and population biology, even though many *Scutellaria* species have found medicinal uses [8–11]. The development of individuals has been researched mainly in the species growing on the territory of Russia and Central Asia [12–17]. We are aware of a few reports on the genetic diversity of this genus [18–22].
In our study, inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers were employed to assess the genetic diversity in five natural populations of *S. tuvensis*. ISSR markers have several advantages, e.g., a low required amount of template DNA, a random distribution of the markers throughout a plant genome, acceptable reliability, and high reproducibility of results, and their application does not require any prior information about the target sequences in the genome [23,24]. These properties explain the widespread use of ISSR markers in studies on genetic diversity and for the identification of taxa at various levels [25–29].

This study was aimed at estimating morphological and genetic diversity of *S. tuvensis* endemic to desert steppes.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Plant Materials

*Scutellaria tuvensis* Juz. (Lamiaceae) has a narrow endemic range (Figure 1). In Tuva (Russia), the species occurs in Central Tuva and Uvs Nuur depressions as well as in the southwestern part of the Sangilen Upland. The presence of the species is associated with dry and desert steppes, common in depressions and the low mountains surrounding them in the southeast. *S. tuvensis* grows on stony-gravelly soil; the latter is common on pebbles and large-stony taluses. In communities, it acts as an assembler but can dominate and form an aspect.

![Figure 1. *Scutellaria tuvensis* in the steppes of the Republic of Tuva.](image)

The study material was collected in five habitats in the Republic of Tuva. Populations (P) 1, 2, and 3 are located on the southern slope on the foothills of East Tannu-Ola, in the valleys of the Hoolu and Tes-Khem rivers (Figure 2). Here *S. tuvensis* occurs in the sub-belt of desert steppes (800–1200 m above sea level) and is part of the desert steppes lying along the gravel trails of East Tannu-Ola; it also occurs in unformed communities on gravel in river valleys.
Figure 2. Specimen collection sites along with the geographic distribution of \textit{Scutellaria tuvensis} in the Republic of Tuva. 1–5: The studied populations.

To be precise, P 1 is situated in the Tes-Khem region, Uvs Nuur depression, on gravel in the valley of the Hoolu river (50°42′09″ N, 093°20′53″ E, altitude 805 m above sea level). The unformed community contains 10 species (\textit{Scutellaria tuvensis}, \textit{Yougia tenuicaulis} (Babc. et Stebb.) Czer., \textit{Heteropappus altaicus} (Willd.) Novopokr., \textit{Vincetoxicum sibiricum} (L.) Decne., singly meet \textit{Cleistogenes squarrosa} (Trin.) Keng, \textit{Ephedra regeliana} Florin.).

P 2 in the Tes-Khem region, Uvs Nuur depression, on gravel in the valley of the Hoolu river (50°42′18″ N, 093°21′46″ E, altitude 878 m above sea level). An unformed community of 13 species (\textit{Caragana bungei} Ledeb., \textit{Asterothamnus polifolius} Novopokr., \textit{Younga tenuicaulis}, \textit{Vincetoxicum sibiricum}, \textit{Scutellaria tuvensis}, \textit{Panzeria lanata} (L.) Sojak).

P 3 in the Tes-Khem region, 35 km from the Erzin village, the right bank of the Tes-Khem river, detrital cone mountain ranges (50°28′33.7″ N, 094°55′26.7″ E, altitude 1134 m above sea level). Steppe with \textit{Stipa} and \textit{Artemisia} (\textit{Stipa orientalis} Trin., \textit{Artemisia frigida} Willd., \textit{Agropyron cristatum} (L.) Beauv., \textit{Cymbaria daurica} L., \textit{Vicia costata} Ledeb., \textit{Younga tenuifolia} Willd., \textit{Allium austrosibiricum} N.V. Friesen).

Populations 4 and 5 are located in the northeast of the Central Tuva depression in the steppe belt on flat hills (5°–10°), where desert and dry steppe communities are widespread; on steep slopes (35°–50°) in petrophytic steppes; and on screes. Desert communities with the participation of \textit{S. tuvensis} are characterized by a thin grass cover amounting to 20–30%.

To be precise, P 4 is situated in the Kyzyl region, 19 km from the village of Eerbek, at the foot of the southwestern slope in the valley of the Ulug-Khem river, with a slope steepness of 30° (51°34′34.8″ N, 094°03′31.5″ E, altitude 607 m above sea level). Desert steppe with \textit{Artemisia} (\textit{Caragana pygmaea} (L.) DC. s. str., \textit{Caragana bungei}, \textit{Atraphaxis laevifrons} (Ledeb.) Jaub. et Spach, \textit{Ephedra monosperma} C.A. Mey, \textit{Artemisia frigida}, \textit{Vicia costata}, \textit{Allium tuvinicum} (N.V. Friesen) N.V. Friesen).

P 5 in the Kyzyl region, Bolshoi Terektig-Khem river, the southern slope with outcrops of rocks and large detrital stones, with slope steepness 10° (51°50′12.5″ N, 094°36′32.9″ E, altitude 920 m above sea level). Bushy steppe with \textit{Artemisia} (\textit{Caragana pygmaea}, \textit{Spiraea hypericifolia} L., \textit{Artemisia frigida}, \textit{Atraphaxis pungens} (Bieb.) Jaub. et Špac, \textit{Stipa orientalis}, \textit{Elytrigia gmelinii} (Trin.) Nevski.).
2.2. Morphological Analysis

We previously described the morphogenesis of *S. tuvensis* individuals [6]. Based on the biological characteristics of the species (it reproduces only by seed; individuals develop rapidly before flowering; before the first flowering, individuals develop without wizening parts of shoots; and the structure of adults consists of branched skeletal axes), the most informative parameters of morphological traits were selected: the length of the primary shoot, the number of primary-shoot metameres, the length of the primary-shoot remainder after the first flowering, the number of metameres of the primary-shoot remainder, the number of generative shoots, generative-shoot height, the diameter of the bushes, and the number, length, and age of the axes.

Because in individuals of this species, the primary shoot blooms first, measurements of the primary-shoot length and of the number of primary-shoot metameres were performed on individuals flowering for the first year (25 randomly chosen individuals from each population). The rest of the traits were estimated on plants in a mature reproductive state (25 randomly chosen individuals from each population). The mature state was defined according to the concept of discrete ontogeny developed by T.A. Rabotnov and A.A. Uranov [30,31] as well as previously obtained data on the ontogeny of *S. tuvensis* [6].

2.3. Molecular Analysis

To assess genetic diversity, leaves were collected from 19 plants randomly chosen in each population. The plant material was dried in the dark at room temperature. DNA was extracted with the Diamond DNA Kit (ABT, Russia). The purity (the ratio of optical density at 260 and 280 nm) and the concentration (ng/µL) of the extracted DNA were determined on a BioSpectrometer kinetic spectrophotometer (Eppendorf, Germany). For PCR, the DNA concentration of each sample was adjusted to 10.0 ng/µL. Twenty-two ISSR primers were screened, and 10 most informative ones were selected [32].

The PCR was conducted on a BIS cycler (BIS-N, Russia); a mix for HSTaq DNA polymerase was used. All reagents for the PCR were purchased from Evrogen (Russia). The reaction mixture of 15.0 µL consisted of 8.6 µL of sterile H2O, 1 unit of HSTaq DNA polymerase, 0.4 mM primers, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 1× Taq buffer, 1.0 mM dNTP mixture, and 2.0 µL of a DNA template. As negative controls, we carried out PCRs with all the ingredients except for sterile H2O instead of a DNA template. The amplification program was as follows: initial DNA denaturation for 5 min at 95 °C; next, 40 amplification cycles (denaturation for 1 min at 95 °C, primer annealing for 1 min and elongation for 2 min at 72 °C), followed by final elongation for 10 min at 72 °C. The annealing temperatures, depending on the primer, varied from 49 to 57 °C. To check the reliability of the obtained ISSR spectra, the experiment was conducted at least two times. Amplification products were stained with SYBR-Green (Medigen, Russia) and separated by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel in 1× TBE buffer. The size of ISSR fragments was estimated by comparison with molecular weight markers (100 bp + DNA Ladder; Evrogen, Moscow, Russia). The ISSR profile was visualized using the GelDoc XR+ (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) and analyzed in the Image Lab Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

The amplicon patterns were scored as either the presence (1) or absence (0) of homologous bands and were then transformed into a binary matrix. Differences in the intensity of bands of amplicons having the same size among the compared DNA samples were disregarded in this analysis.

2.4. Data Analysis

Microsoft Excel was used to calculate the arithmetic mean and error of the mean. Morphological data were log-transformed to improve normality and homoscedasticity. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to compare biometric parameters among individuals from different habitats. PAST version 3.16 [33] was utilized for statistical analysis.
The analysis of genetic polymorphism was performed using GenAlEx 6.5 software [34,35] for Microsoft Excel. For each population, the following metrics were computed: the percentage of polymorphic loci, the absolute number of alleles per locus (n_a), the effective number of alleles per locus (n_e), and expected heterozygosity (H_e). For each primer, the level of polymorphism was determined as the proportion of polymorphic loci among all loci per primer, expressed as a percentage. Polymorphism within each population was defined as the proportion of the polymorphic loci identified in this separate population among all loci. To evaluate interpopulation differentiation, Nei’s genetic distances (D) [36] were calculated, analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed, and genetic differentiation index among populations (G_ST) and gene flow (N_m) were determined. These parameters were estimated by means of GenAlEx 6.5 and POPGEN 1.32 [37]. Dice’s coefficient of similarity was calculated based on the binary matrices in PAST 3.16, then PCA was carried out. Clustering was performed in PAST 3.16 by the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) with bootstrap estimates of the reliability degree of the branching order (1000 replications), and a dendrogram reflecting the degree of similarity based on the obtained ISSR profile was constructed. The significance of the correlation between Nei’s genetic distances and geographic distances (in kilometers) was evaluated by Mantel’s test [38] with 999 random permutations using GenAlEx 6.5.

To identify the population structure of genotypes by ISSR marker data was used STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 [39]. To select the optimal levels of K, for each K was first performed the 10,000 iterations followed by 100,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) repetitions based on the admixture model from K = 2 to K = 10 with 10 repetitions. Then, the best K were detected by using STRUCTURE HARVESTER [40] based on ΔK method of Evanno et al. [41].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphological Diversity

In a heterogeneous habitat, in the course of morphogenesis, there are changes in the development of individual shoots, shoot systems, and individuals in general, and new morphological structures form. We studied 10 morphological traits of _S. tuvensis_ individuals in the five populations (Table 1). In the principal-component plot built by means of the morphological parameters of individuals, the specimens formed three groups: the first group includes individuals from P 1 and P 2, the second from P 3, and the third group from P 4 and P 5 (Figure 3). The PCA revealed that the first two principal components explained 84.6% of total variance. The first principal component (62.7%) corresponds to the long-surviving part of the primary shoot. Along the second principal component (21.9%), there were changes in the length of the primary shoot before the dying off and in the length of the axes of adult plants. The identified groups matched the types of habitat: group 1 proved to be sampled from beach gravel, group 2 from a detrital cone, and group 3 from steep slopes with coarse rock fragments.

The clustering of individuals into three groups revealed by PCA depending on characteristics of a habitat can be explained by specific features of the development of individuals. Under dissimilar conditions, development differed already at initial stages: the length of the primary shoot strongly depended on the substrate: this length increased due to the length of the internode; the number of metameres varied only slightly (7.9–12.1). On coarse rock fragments, the shoot length reached 11.3 cm, whereas on beach gravel, 1.9 cm. In all habitats, the primary shoot was the first to bloom, and the length of its remaining part after flowering depended on the habitat (Table 1). In the plants growing on beach gravel (P 1 and P 2), its length reached 1.1–1.4 cm (the average number of metameres was 5.9–8.5). In the plants growing on steep slopes (P 4 and P 5) with coarse rock fragments, 8.6 to 9.5 cm of a shoot consisting of 7.3–10.2 metameres was found to be preserved. In the plants growing on the detrital cone (P 3), the shoot dies off almost completely (0.2 cm), only the node with buds in the axils of the cotyledon leaves gets preserved.
Table 1. Morphological traits of *Scutellaria tuvensis* individuals in different habitats.

| Morphological Trait                          | Beach Gravel | Detrital Cone | Steep Slopes with Coarse Rock Fragments |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|
|                                             | P 1          | P 2           | P 3                                     | P 4 | P 5 |
| Primary-shoot length, cm                    | 1.9 ± 0.1    | 1.6 ± 0.1     | 5.1 ± 0.3                               | 11.3 ± 0.4 | 10.9 ± 0.3 |
| Number of primary-shoot metameres           | 10.4 ± 0.3   | 9.6 ± 0.3     | 7.9 ± 0.2                               | 9.5 ± 0.3 | 12.1 ± 0.5 |
| Length of primary-shoot remainder, cm       | 1.4 ± 0.1    | 1.1 ± 0.1     | 0.2 ± 0.01                              | 8.6 ± 0.5 | 9.5 ± 0.3 |
| Number of metameres of primary-shoot remainder | 5.9 ± 0.4   | 8.5 ± 0.2     | 1.4 ± 0.1                               | 7.3 ± 0.3 | 10.2 ± 0.6 |
| Length of generative shoots, cm             | 12.9 ± 0.6   | 13 ± 0.5      | 22.7 ± 2.0                              | 56.2 ± 5.2 | 42.1 ± 3.8 |
| Number of generative shoots                 | 6.5 ± 0.4    | 6 ± 0.2       | 6.9 ± 0.3                               | 6.9 ± 0.6 | 11.1 ± 0.3 |
| Number of axes                              | 3.3 ± 0.5    | 4.1 ± 0.3     | 1.8 ± 0.1                               | 6.7 ± 0.6 | 5.2 ± 0.4 |
| Length of axis, cm                          | 2.0 ± 0.2    | 2.0 ± 0.1     | 5.1 ± 0.5                               | 8.2 ± 0.8 | 10.5 ± 0.5 |
| Age of axis, y                              | 3.3 ± 0.1    | 3.5 ± 0.1     | 8.4 ± 0.4                               | 5 ± 0.3  | 4.1 ± 0.2 |
| Bush diameter, cm                           | 17.4 ± 0.9   | 18.4 ± 0.8    | 13.3 ± 1.1                              | 22.6 ± 1.4 | 18.4 ± 0.9 |

Figure 3. The two-dimensional PCA plot for morphological traits of the five populations of *Scutellaria tuvensis*: ● P 1, △ P 2, + P 3, □ P 4, and * P 5.

The structure of adults was found to consist of a system of lignified branched composite skeletal axes. The number of branches and the degree of branching of the axes of an individual depended on the habitat. In the plants growing on the detrital cone (P 3), only two composite skeletal axes develop in the bush, they are highly branched and, due to the immobility of the substrate, persist until the end of the individual’s life. They slowly begin to die off from the apical end only at the end of ontogenesis.

In the plants growing on steep slopes (P 4 and P 5) covered with large stones, skeletal axes of varied thickness, differing in the degree of branching, develop within the bush. The closer the skeletal axis to the base of the bush, the more branched it is and the longer it persists in the bush. The degree of branching and lifespan decrease with the increasing distance between the bush base and the site of formation of the skeletal axis.

In the plants growing on beach gravel (P 1 and P 2), 35 composite skeletal axes can simultaneously originate from the center of the bush, they have the same thickness, and their lifespan is no more than 2–3 years. They completely die off leaving a short basal part. Due to the short lifespan of the composite skeletal axes in the bush, they change often. A large number of short metameres in the basal part of the forming shoots and the
branching of the buds provide a reserve of buds necessary for the constant renewal of the bush structure.

Therefore, the morphological diversity of *S. tuvensis* individuals ensures the heterogeneity of populations, which increases their resistance to various ecological and phytocenotic conditions.

### 3.2. Evaluation of Intrapopulation Polymorphism

The genetic diversity of the endemic species *S. tuvensis* growing in Tuva was analyzed using multilocus dominant ISSR markers. In the studied populations, 141 genetic loci were identified, with 95% of them being polymorphic. The polymorphism in the total study population ranged from 86% to 100% depending on the primer. The proportion of polymorphic loci turned out to be the highest in P 5 (90%) and the lowest in P 3 (70%).

Depending on the primer, five (UBC 840) to 18 (UBC 826) DNA fragments were amplified, and their size varied from 250 to 2000 bp (Table 2). On average, one primer amplified 14 DNA fragments.

### Table 2. Genetic variation detected by the ISSR primers used for the analysis of the five *Scutellaria tuvensis* populations.

| Primer   | Sequence (5’→3’) | Band Size, bp | Number of Loci in Population |
|----------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
|          |                  |               | P 1 | P 2 | P 3 | P 4 | P 5 | Total Study Population |
| P 1      |                  |               | 1   | 2 **| 1   | 2   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 2   | Total 141 (95%) |
| P 2      |                  |               | 11  | 9   | 13  | 13  | 11  | 9   | 14  | 13  | 14  | 13  | 16  | 16 (100) |
| P 3      |                  |               | 17  | 14  | 14  | 11  | 15  | 11  | 15  | 13  | 11  | 9   | 17  | 16 (94) |
| P 4      |                  |               | 17  | 14  | 17  | 16  | 18  | 16  | 17  | 16  | 17  | 14  | 20  | 19 (95) |
| P 5      |                  |               | 17  | 14  | 17  | 16  | 18  | 16  | 17  | 16  | 17  | 14  | 20  | 19 (95) |
| UBC 811  | (GA)8C           | 450-1650      | 11  | 9   | 13  | 13  | 11  | 9   | 14  | 13  | 14  | 13  | 16  | 16 (100) |
| UBC 825  | (AC)8T           | 300-1300      | 17  | 14  | 14  | 11  | 15  | 11  | 15  | 13  | 11  | 9   | 17  | 16 (94) |
| UBC 826  | (AC)8C           | 470-2000      | 17  | 14  | 17  | 16  | 18  | 16  | 17  | 16  | 17  | 14  | 20  | 19 (95) |
| UBC 830  | (TG)8G           | 250-1600      | 11  | 10  | 11  | 9   | 11  | 7   | 11  | 10  | 15  | 14  | 16  | 15 (94) |
| UBC 834  | (AG)8YT*T        | 300-1400      | 13  | 9   | 13  | 9   | 12  | 7   | 13  | 11  | 15  | 15  | 15  | 15 (100) |
| UBC 836  | (AG)8YA          | 300-1200      | 6   | 4   | 7   | 5   | 6   | 3   | 7   | 6   | 7   | 4   | 7   | 6 (86) |
| UBC 840  | (GA)8YT          | 300-900       | 5   | 3   | 6   | 4   | 6   | 3   | 6   | 3   | 6   | 5   | 6   | 6 (100) |
| UBC 855  | (AC)8YT          | 400-1500      | 13  | 8   | 13  | 9   | 15  | 11  | 12  | 7   | 15  | 10  | 15  | 13 (87) |
| UBC 856  | (AC)8YA          | 450-1700      | 14  | 8   | 13  | 11  | 13  | 9   | 13  | 9   | 12  | 6   | 14  | 13 (93) |
| UBC 857  | (AC)8YG          | 350-1650      | 14  | 10  | 12  | 9   | 14  | 9   | 13  | 13  | 13  | 15  | 15  | 15 (100) |
| Total    |                  |               | 121 | 90 (74) | 119 | 96 (81) | 121 | 85 (70) | 121 | 102 (84) | 125 | 112 (90) | 141 | 134 (95) |

* Total number ** Polymorphic. Y = C or T. Percentages of polymorphic loci are shown in parentheses.

At the population level, expected heterozygosity was 0.221, the absolute number of alleles 1.533, and the effective number of alleles 1.376. The most genetically homogeneous of all the analyzed populations was P 3 ($H_E = 0.189$, $n_a = 1.461$, $n_e = 1.321$; Table 3). P 5, which is the most isolated from the other studied populations, featured the highest values of intrapopulation genetic diversity ($H_E = 0.266$, $n_a = 1.638$, and $n_e = 1.459$).

### Table 3. Genetic diversity detected by the ISSR analysis within the populations of *Scutellaria tuvensis*.

| Population | $H_E$ | $n_a$ | $n_e$ |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| P 1        | 0.202 | 1.468 | 1.342 |
| P 2        | 0.213 | 1.518 | 1.354 |
| P 3        | 0.189 | 1.461 | 1.321 |
| P 4        | 0.236 | 1.582 | 1.407 |
| P 5        | 0.266 | 1.638 | 1.459 |

Notes: $H_E$: expected heterozygosity, $n_a$: the absolute number of alleles, $n_e$: the effective number of alleles.
The observed level of polymorphism in the total study population of *S. tuvensis* (95%) is comparable to the polymorphism of other endemic and rare species: *Oxytropis chankaensis* Jurtz. (72.9%) [42], *Hedysarum chaiyrakanicum* Kuratsky (98%) [26] and *Parrotia subaequalis* (Hung T. Chang) R.M. Hao & H.T. Wei (68.52%) [25]. Our results contradict the claim of Hamrick and Godt [43] about reduced genetic polymorphism of populations in species with a limited geographic range. According to Artyukova et al. [42], the size of a population’s geographic range has less influence on genetic diversity of individuals than the species’ reproductive strategy does. Perennial cross-pollinated plants, characterized by an early generative state and long reproductive period, exhibit great genetic variability regardless of geographic-range size [44,45]. Consequently, the high polymorphism of the populations in question (Table 2) points to considerable genetic diversity of this species owing to cross-pollination and entomophily.

3.3. Quantitation of Interpopulation Differences

Next, Nei’s genetic distances were estimated (Table 4) among the five populations: the lowest genetic distance was found between P 1 and P 3 (D = 0.049), and the highest between P 3 and P 5 (D = 0.173). The genetic distance between P 3 and P 5 was consistent with the longest geographic distance between them (155 km). The positioning of P 3 plants is noteworthy; geographically, this population is equidistant from P 1 and P 2 (~116 km), but the divergence between them is insignificant (Table 4). P 5, which is the most geographically isolated from the other studied populations (separated by a mountain ridge and two large rivers), is the most dissimilar to all the other populations (genetic distance ranging from 0.102 to 0.173).

| Population | P 1 | P 2 | P 3 | P 4 | P 5 |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| P 1        | 2   | 115 | 110 | 154 |
| P 2        | 0.071 | 117 | 110 | 154 |
| P 3        | 0.049 | 0.098 | 137 | 153 |
| P 4        | 0.115 | 0.099 | 0.128 | 48 |
| P 5        | 0.163 | 0.136 | 0.173 | 0.102 |

Notes: geographic distances (in kilometers) are above the diagonal, and Nei’s genetic distances (D) are below the diagonal.

In the dendrogram constructed by the UPGMA, the studied populations formed two major groups with strong bootstrap support: the first cluster included P 5 and individuals from P 4, whereas the second cluster was composed of two subclusters: individuals collected in P 2 and individuals from P 1 and P 3 (Figure 4). This distribution of individual samples was consistent with the geographical location of the studied populations. At the same time, geographical proximity of P 1 and P 2 (2 km) was accompanied by a low genetic distance, D = 0.071; however, the UPGMA clustering, which shows genetic relations among populations, revealed certain isolation of these populations from one another.

In the PCA plot, individuals from P 1, P 2, and P 3 clustered into one group in agreement with the UPGMA dendrogram based on Nei’s genetic distances (Figure 5). A more isolated group was formed by plants from P 5. Nevertheless, the boundaries between the groups were indistinct. The first two principal components accounted for 45.6% (Axis 1) and 12.1% (Axis 2) of the total variance among the populations, respectively.
Further analysis of the five populations showed that the expected proportion of gene diversity within the populations (H_S) was 0.220, and expected total gene diversity (H_T) 0.286. The genetic differentiation index among the populations (G_ST) was 0.232. Based on G_ST, the estimated number of migrants per generation (N_m) was 1.655.

The AMOVA revealed that 27% of total variance was attributable to differences among the five populations, and 73% was contributed by differences within the populations.

The studied populations of *S. tuvensis* are characterized by moderate differentiation among themselves (G_ST = 0.232), it was also revealed that interpopulation variability accounts for no more than 27% of total variance (AMOVA). Genetic differentiation among populations of other species that are rare and/or endemic is comparable with our data and in some cases even lower. For instance, for *Centaurea wiedemanniana* Fisch. & C.A.Mey., which is endemic to Turkey, genetic differentiation among populations is 0.223 [46], for *Fritillaria tubiformis* subsp. *maggridgei* Rix., which is a rare alpine geophyte, G_ST = 0.135, and for *F. tubiformis* var. *burnatii*, G_ST = 0.117 [47]. For the endangered species *Thuja sutchuenensis* Franch., endemic to the North-East China, G_ST is reported to be 0.102 [48].

At the same time, the high G_ST values documented for a number of species are naturally accompanied by low polymorphism and gene flow between populations [20,49].

The results of the Mantel test after 9999 permutations confirmed that there was a significant correlation (r = 0.616, p = 0.04) between Nei’s genetic distances and geographical distances among the five populations. This result suggested that the differentiation observed among the populations directly matched the geographic distances.

Population structure was analyzed for 95 genotypes according to ISSR data and evaluated with STRUCTURE HARVESTER software. According to Evanno’s method, the
maximum peak of $\Delta K$ was observed at $K = 2$. This result indicates that two subpopulations were formed in Tuva (Figure 6).

![Figure 6. Population structure of *Scutellaria tuvensis* genotypes analyzed by using Bayesian clustering approach by STRUCTURE software.](image)

After examination of the data from the UPGMA clustering, PCA and as well as the Bayesian statistical method using STRUCTURE software it can be concluded that the studied populations can be subdivided into two groups supporting each other; a relation between the molecular grouping of the populations and their geographical positioning is notable and confirmed by the Mantel test ($r = 0.616$, $p = 0.04$). An interesting finding is the clustering of populations 1, 2, and 3 into one group despite the substantial geographical distance to P 3 (Table 4; Figures 4 and 5). A likely reason is gene flow between these populations because these populations are located on the southern slope on the foothills of East Tannu-Ola; this arrangement facilitates connectivity via pollination and seed dispersion. Accordingly, gene flow was found to be 1.655, which exceeds the critical value ($N_m = 1.0$) and suggests that the gene flow among the studied populations is strong enough to prevent population differentiation through genetic drift [50]. This conclusion can explain the relatively low $G_{ST}$.

4. Conclusions

Our results provide new information on current genetic and morphological diversity in five natural populations of *S. tuvensis* in Tuva. The observed morphological diversity of this species ensures its stability in phytocenoses and helps to maintain a large population size, even under constantly changing living conditions. These properties of the species lead to a wide variety of combinations of free crosses and genetic heterogeneity, which represents a significant reserve of hereditary variation. The chosen ISSR markers enabled us to discriminate polymorphism patterns among individuals belonging to different populations and to distinguish intra- and interpopulation diversity of *S. tuvensis*. The data suggest that the studied individuals of *S. tuvensis* are divided into two subpopulations, which is determined by the geographical location of the studied individuals. The high polymorphism of morphological traits and genetic markers increases the plasticity of the species as a whole, thereby allowing it to adapt to constantly changing environmental conditions and to occupy a stable niche under various ecological and phytocenotic conditions.

When researchers develop in situ conservation strategies, first of all, it is necessary to reduce the anthropogenic impact on the studied populations. Concerning ex situ conservation of the species in question, one of possible approaches is the creation of an in vitro collection. Currently, the selection of the most representative individuals for inclusion in the in vitro collection is underway. Considering the observed high genetic polymorphism within the populations, programs for the protection of the genetic diversity of endemic *S. tuvensis* ex situ and thus in vitro should focus on the most heterogeneous populations (e.g., P 5) as well as on populations with low diversity, such as more vulnerable P 3.

The next stage of this research is to assess the genetic structure of *S. tuvensis* populations in Mongolia for designing effective protective measures for this endemic taxon.
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