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We present new results from the like-sign dilepton search for chargino-neutralino (~χ±χ0) production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV, which complements the previously published trilepton search with the CDF detector using Fermilab Run IB data. Monte Carlo calculations of signal efficiency and backgrounds, as well as estimates of certain backgrounds taken from Run IB data, indicate a significant increase in sensitivity to ~χ±χ0 production compared to the traditional trilepton analysis alone.

1. Introduction

Previous searches for ~χ±χ0 production at the Tevatron have focused primarily on signatures with three charged leptons (trileptons) plus missing transverse energy ($E_T$). In the Minimal Supersymmetric (SUSY) Standard Model, ~χ±χ0 production occurs in proton-antiproton (p¯p) collisions via a virtual W (s-channel) or a virtual squark (t-channel). In a representative minimal Supergravity model (parameters: $\mu<0$, $\tan\beta=2$, $A_0=0$, $m_0=200$ GeV/$c^2$, $m_{1/2} = 90-140$ GeV/$c^2$), we expect three-body chargino and neutralino decays through virtual bosons and sleptons in a chargino mass region of 80-130 GeV/$c^2$. For conserved R-parity, these decays produce a distinct signature: trileptons plus $E_T$ from a neutrino and the lightest supersymmetric particle. We demonstrate that the sensitivity to this signature can be significantly increased by searching for events with two like-sign leptons. The like-sign dilepton (LSD) search provides a strong rejection of Standard Model background through the like-sign requirement, and enhances the acceptance of the signal by requiring only two of the three leptons produced in the ~χ±~χ0 decay.

2. Like-Sign Dilepton Analysis

The LSD analysis is described in detail elsewhere. Here we focus on estimating the important LSD background from “fake” leptons.
The largest source of non-SUSY background estimated from data is events with one true lepton, such as $W \to \ell \nu +$ jets, and a “fake” lepton, i.e. an isolated track misidentified as a lepton. This fake lepton, in combination with the true lepton from the $W$ decay, can be selected as a signal event in this analysis. To estimate this background, we create both minimum bias and jet control samples with 20 and 50 GeV thresholds with $W/Z$ contamination removed, and select tracks which pass our lepton ID requirements. The tracks which pass the lepton ID cuts yield the probability of an isolated track to be misidentified as a lepton, or “fake rate” per isolated track: 1.5%, independent of track $p_T$, as shown in Figure 1. The uncertainty of this “fake rate” probability is a combination of statistical (due to few events in our samples at high $p_T$) and systematic. The systematic error is taken to account for the possible difference between the 20 and 50 GeV jet samples, which are not expected to be identical, although both samples are consistent with 1.5% per track. 

We next look at the underlying isolated tracks in $Z \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ events, which we assume provide a model for $W \to \ell \nu$ events. The isolated track rate per event is shown in Figure 1 as a function of isolated track $p_T$. We multiply the fake probability of 1.5% by the isolated track rate found in the $Z \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ events, by the number of $W \to \ell \nu +$ jets events expected, and by a factor of 0.5 for the like-sign requirement. This number of expected “fake” events drops rapidly with an increasing minimum $p_T$ requirement, as shown in Figure 2. Optimization of the number of expected background events as a function of the $p_T$ requirement yields $0.24 \pm 0.21$ events expected above $p_T > 11$ GeV/$c$ from $W \to \ell \nu$ events in 105 pb$^{-1}$ of data.
Fig. 2. Number of expected events from fakes as a function of minimum \( p_T \) cut. Errors shown are statistical and systematic.

3. Results

Applying the analysis requirements and normalizing the luminosity to 105 pb\(^{-1}\), the total expected LSD background is \( 0.50^{+0.42}_{-0.32} \) events, as shown in Table 1. There is little background overlap of the trilepton and LSD analyses in the selected events based on Monte Carlo studies, so they add independently. For the trilepton analysis, the expected background for the same luminosity is \( 1.2 \pm 0.2 \) events.\(^1\) The total expected background for the combined LSD and trilepton analyses is \( 1.7^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \) events.

Table 1. Background estimates for the number of events expected in 105 pb\(^{-1}\) of data based on Monte Carlo (except for W + jets estimation). The MC errors are one-sigma statistical errors.

| Process       | Luminosity [pb\(^{-1}\)] | Expected events |
|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| WZ            | 16,684                   | 0.11 ± 0.02     |
| ZZ            | 13,992                   | 0.01 ± 0.01     |
| WW            | 6,870                    | 0.10 ± 0.02     |
| t\(^\tau\)    | 5,558                    | 0.10 ± 0.02     |
| Drell-Yan(\(\gamma^*/Z\)) | 1,728                   | 0.11^{+0.10}_{-0.09} |
| b\(\bar{b}\), c\(\bar{c}\) | 3,122                   | 0.03^{+0.04}_{-0.01} |
| W + jets (from data) | 24,122 |
| Total         |                          | 0.50^{+0.42}_{-0.32} |

Figure 3 shows the average expected limit normalized to 100 pb\(^{-1}\) for the trilepton, LSD, and combined analyses. These limits were calculated from the signal efficiencies given in Ref. 2 and from the expected number of background events in Table 1, taking into account the signal overlap between the trilepton and LSD analyses.
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