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Abstract

External cardiac loop recorder (ELR) is a kind of ECG monitoring system that records cardiac activities of a subject continuously for a long time. When the heart palpitations are not the frequent and nonspecific character, it is difficult to diagnose the disease. In such a case, ELR is used for long-term monitoring of heart signal of the patient. But the cost of ELR is very high. Therefore, it is not prominently available in developing countries like India. Since the design of ELR includes the ECG electrodes, instrumentation amplifier, analog to digital converter, and signal processing unit, a comparative review of each part of the ELR is presented in this paper in order to design a cost-effective, low power, and compact kind of ELR. This review will also give different choices available for selecting and designing each part of the ELR system. Finally, the review will suggest the better choice for designing a cost-effective external cardiac loop recorder that helps to make it available even for rural people in India.

1. Introduction

Norman J. Holter (1914–1983), the famous American biophysicist, introduced a remote cardiac telemetry first time in the 1940s [1]. The Holter system was developed for home ECG monitoring of patients with suspected cardiac arrhythmias. The original Holter monitor had analog patient interface electronics, a 75 lb backpack with a reel-to-reel FM tape recorder, and large batteries. It was the first monitoring system that could record single ECG lead 24–48 hours [2] and analyse ambulatory ECG data outside a standard hospital or outpatient care setting. At present, the Holter monitors are available in the market with the cost of about $369–$2490 [3] depending on their features and the cost of Holter test is around $175–$250 [4] if it is interpreted by a cardiologist. The clinical need to monitor ambulatory ECG has resulted in advances in technology that now allow us to monitor heart rhythms remotely through a wide variety of devices, including ambulatory external monitors and implantable event recorders.

Implantable/insertable loop recorder (ILR) was developed first time by Medtronic’s Reveal [5] (the world’s first implantable diagnostic device). The Reveal ILR detects ECGs during the actual episode, which may allow physicians to take decisions or confirm an abnormal heart rhythm more definitively. Because it could be worn continuously for 14 months, the likelihood of capturing heart rhythm during an infrequent episode was probable. The cost per diagnosis using ILR is around $6,158 [6]. The cause of seizure-like symptoms or related symptoms was diagnosed with the Reveal ILR that may also result in fewer physician and emergency room visits and reduce the number of tests involved when trying to diagnose their cause. Most importantly, diagnosing the cause helps in early treatment effectively. Even though ILR was useful in monitoring of ECG for the detection of abnormal episodes, it had some disadvantages that include the following: (1) a minor surgical procedure is needed, (2) there is always difficulty in differentiating supraventricular from ventricular arrhythmias, (3) under- or oversensing may exhaust the memory of the ILR, and (4) cost of the device is more.

To overcome the limitations of both the Holter and ILR, an intermittent patient- or event-activated recorder was...
Table 1: Comparison among Holter monitor, ELR, and ILR.

| Device                  | Advantages                                           | Limitations                                      | Indications                                                                 | Diagnostic yield |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Holter monitor          | Low cost, continuous monitoring                      | Short duration of monitoring with low diagnostic yield | Patients with very frequent symptoms (≥1 week)                               | 6–22%            |
|                         | Retrospective and prospective ECG records, possibility to record asymptomatic arrhythmias automatically | Poor recordings, poor patient compliance to wearing device, continuous device maintenance required | Compliant patients with intersymptom interval ≤ 4 weeks                      | 24–47%           |
| External loop recorder  |                                                      |                                                  | Early phase of evaluation of patients with recurrent syncope of uncertain origin that have absence of high risk criteria that require immediate hospitalization or intensive evaluation and a likely recurrence within device battery longevity | 43–78%           |
| Implantable loop recorder | Prolonged monitoring without external electrodes, highest diagnostic yield | Invasive implantation with risk of local complications, high cost |                                                                                   |                  |

Figure 1: Block diagram of external cardiac loop recorder.

designed. This is also referred to as event monitor or external loop recorder (ELR). The ELR is smaller than Holter in size and is attached to the patient through chest electrodes and records the data when it is activated by the patient or by an automatic trigger that detects irregular heart rates. It is used for monitoring up to 14–30 days. The cost of ELR is $627 and cost per diagnosis using ELR is around $265,97. The use of ELR avoids the surgical implantation of electrodes. But the activation of the device every time by the patient is difficult unless the autotrigger is used. The autotrigger activates the device as it is programmed which is built into the monitor. Therefore during infrequent symptoms, there is a more chance of missing the activation of the device. This may not give enough information for effective diagnosis. After recording using any of the above-mentioned systems, the data is sent to the central monitoring station where the data is loaded in the computer and analysed. Finally, the reports are sent to the doctor for a final decision or for further tests to detect and confirm the disease. As mentioned above, ELR is providing the noninvasive diagnosis by long-term monitoring. Even the cost of design is less, the ELR test cost is high. Further, the recorded data has to be sent to the specialist to analyse the data which increase the cost further. And there is no option for autosending the recorded data or analysed data to the doctor. The comparison among Holter, ILR, and ELR is given [8] in Table 1.

In Table 2 some of the available ILR and ELR products are given [5, 9–16].

The mentioned products in Table 2 are very expensive and most of them are not significantly available in India. Distributors are there all over India, but they are providing only a few products like Medtronic SpiderView, SEEQ MCT, Piix NUVANT MCT, GE Healthcare MARS, SEER 1000, SEER Light, Omron HCG801, and BPL cardiac loop recorder monitor. Therefore, a systematic review on internal parts of ECG monitoring system is required to design a cost effective ambulatory ECG monitoring system with an accurate measurement, portable and wearable one as explained in the following sections.

2. Designing of External Cardiac Loop Recorder

The design of external cardiac loop recorder consists of ECG electrodes, instrumentation amplifier, filtering, analog to digital converter, and signal processing unit. PC/laptop/mobile is also used to analyse the data. The major blocks and connection of them are shown in Figure 1.
Table 2: ILR & ELR products available.

| Device/company     | Mode             | Expected monitoring duration | Max continuous recording period |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Reveal Plus 9526/Medtronic | Implantable      | 14 months                   | —                               |
| Reveal DX/Medtronic   | Implantable      | 3 years                     | 42 min                          |
| Reveal XT/Medtronic   | Implantable      | 3 years                     | 42 min                          |
| Reveal LINQ/Medtronic | Implantable      | 3 years                     | —                               |
| Sleuth/Transoma      | Implantable      | 28 months                   | 630 min                         |
| Confirm DM2100/St. Jude | Implantable      | 3 years                     | 48 min (147 episodes)          |
| Confirm DM 2102/St. Jude | Implantable      | 3 years                     | 48 min (147 episodes)          |
| MCOT/CardioNet       | External         | Few weeks                   | 21-day continuous monitoring    |
| LifeStar ACT/LifeWatch | External        | Few weeks                   | 21-day retrievable monitoring    |
| eVolution/eCardio    | External         | Few weeks                   | 10 min                          |
| 3300 BT/Vitaphone    | External         | Few weeks                   | 30 min                          |
| V-PATCH/Medical System | External        | Few weeks                   | 20 min                          |
| King of the Heart/Intrumeds | External    | Few weeks                   | 6 min                           |
| SpiderFlash/Sorin    | External         | Few weeks                   | Several hours                   |
| Cardiocall/Reynoldsa Esaote | External | Few weeks                   | 18 min                          |
| Super/I-Cardia       | External         | Depends on patient compliance | 2 recordings                    |
| Cardio PAL/Medicomp   | External         | Depends on patient compliance | —                               |
| SEEQ MCT/Medtronic | External         | 30 days                     | —                               |
| Pix NUVANT MCT/Corventis | External   | 7 days                       | —                               |
| HCG801/Omron    | External         | 30 seconds can be made when symptoms occur | 30 sec window indication, 125 MB memory required |
| SEER 1000/GE Healthcare | External  | 24 h or 48 h or 3 days (three modes are available) | Non-removable digital memory |
| SEER Light/GE Healthcare | External | 24 h (48 h for SEER Light extent) | 32 MB memory required |

The ECG signal is acquired from the chest electrodes and is amplified by the instrumentation amplifier. The amplified signal is filtered by the suitable filter to remove the noise. Mostly band pass filter is used for noise removal. Later, an analog to digital converter converts the filtered signal into a digital form which is suitable to process signal by the processor. A signal processing unit is used for processing and feature extraction of the signal to find the normal and abnormal conditions of the patient. For the effective detection of the abnormal conditions during daily activities accelerometer and/or gyroscope is also used along with the chest electrodes. By correlating the signals from chest electrodes and accelerometer/gyroscope, the abnormality of the patient can be defined. The signal processing unit is connected to the PC/laptop/mobile or system on chip (SoC) where the open source software is installed and used for displaying, processing, and saving the data. Further, communication with the doctor can be provided using wireless technology which helps to develop the smart city. The comparative study of each block is explained in following sections.

2.1. ECG Electrodes. Basically, disposable electrodes that may be Ag/AgCl gel type wet sensors or dry sensors are used for acquiring biopotentials from heart. The gel type disposable electrodes have a circular contact. The close electrode placement is allowed by small vinyl backing where necessary and a slightly less firm adhesive allowsouchless removal. The electrodes incorporate liquid electrolyte gel and moderately high chloride salt concentration for quick and accurate readings. These disposable electrodes shown in Figure 2 provide the same signal transmission as reusable electrodes, with added convenience. Each peel and stick electrode is...
pregelldesignedforoneuseonly.Itisverycosteffectivemomparedtootherelectrodes.Itiseasilyattachabletothesubjecthimself/herselfandtherewillnotbeanystimulationneeded.TheplacementofelectrodesisalsosimpleandonlythreeelectrodesatatimearerequiredfortwoleadECGacquisitionsystemshownelectrodeisthereference.Theseelectrodescanbeusedforlongerperiodsdependingonthecomfortlevelofthesubject.

SKINTACTelectrodes[73]showninFigure3areavailableinthemarketwiththreedifferentgels:AQUA-TACelectrodefossiladhesivegelprovides100%contactwithskinsurface,AQUA-WETelectrodewithliquidgelprovidesfastpickupofECGsignalwhichispreferredfortermtmonitoring,andAQUA-SETelectrodewithsolidwetgelisusedforlong-termmonitoring.

NorthCarolinaStateUniversityresearchers[74]havedeveloped anewdrysensorshowninFigure4forlong-termECGandEMGmonitoring.Thisdevicerelysonelasticconductormadefromsilvernanowiresembeddedinapliantpolymer.

ImecandHolstCentrefieldintroducedthepolymerdryelectrodes[75]showninFigure5fabricatedfromethylene propylenediemonomer(EPDM)rublewhichoffersahighusercomfortandhighconductivity.

PDMS(polydimethylsiloxane)basedsurfaceelectrodeshowninFigure6wadesigned[76]forthelong-term andunsupervisedmonitoring.Thiselectrodedidnotshownegativeinfluenceonskineventhewaswornforoneweek.

Apartfromwetanddryelectrodes,therearenoncontactelectrodescalledcapacitiveelectrodes.Thesewerefabricated onsiliconwith a thermally grown silicon dioxide as the dielectric layer. Dry capacitive electrodes were used for short-term ECG monitoring [77]. A new class of bioelectric sensors was developed by quantum applied science and research (QUASAR) in 2002. These electrodes were capacitively coupled with the body by incorporating the sensors into shirts, elastic belts, and glasses. The QUASAR two-generation electrodes are shown in Figure 7(a). The first-generation electrode IBEv1 is a larger, square sensor (1" × 1") used to measure bioelectric potentials through T-shirt [78]. The second-generation electrode IBEv2 was developed as a small circular shape sensor shown in Figure 7(b).
2.2. Accelerometers and Gyroscopes. Accelerometers and gyroscopes are also used along with dry or wet sensors for cancelling muscle contraction interferences, to measure heart rate under different activities like stress, movements, and so forth. The accelerometer is a 3-axis one. It is used in tilt-sensing applications, as well as dynamic acceleration resulting from motion or shock to measure the static acceleration of gravity. In previous work done the people used the accelerometer for different purposes. In previous work done, the people used the accelerometer for different purposes. ADXL335 triaxial accelerometer [9, 79] and triaxial accelerometer MotionPodTM by MOVEA were used for removal of motion artefacts. SDI1221, a low cost, integrated 1-axis accelerometer, was used in zero to medium frequency instrumentation applications to provide extremely low noise (5 μg/√Hz) [80]. A triple axis accelerometer [81–83] and MMA7260QT [84] were used for telehealth monitoring. ADXL330 was used in deciding of the cardiac disease [85, 86]. Bosch BMA180 accelerometer was used in human behaviour tracing [87]. A triple axis accelerometer [81, 83, 88–90], ADXL345 [91], and ADXL330 [92] were used in activity recognition. MC301 made by Wacoh was used in ambulatory monitoring to find human posture and walking velocity [93]. MMA8451Q (Austin, TX, USA), a triple axis, low power, capacitive digital accelerometer (freescale semiconductor) [94], a triaxial accelerometer (patch sensor device designed by Vital Connect, Inc. (Campbell, CA)) [95], and inbuilt on-board 3-axis accelerometer SCA3000 [96] were used in extraction of respiratory rate. And also a triaxial accelerometer was used to measure the body movements [90] or daily stress [97] and for left ventricular functions monitoring [98]. A triaxial gait accelerometer MMA7260Q (freescale semiconductor, Austin, TX, USA) [99], piezoelectric foils [100], and Pegasus activity monitors developed by ETB, UK, were used for time-frequency analysis of heart rate. Triaxial accelerometer ADXL335 [9, 79] and MotionPodTM by MOVEA [98] were used as the reference for removing motion artefact by adaptive filtering algorithm (LMS or ANC) in acquiring of ECG during treadmill exercise. Apart from these, a triaxial accelerometer (LIS344ALH, ST Microelectronics) was used for seismocardiography.

Among all the accelerometers mentioned in Table 3, the model ADXL345 shown in Figure 8 can be selected because of less power consumption and better full scale range with 2–3.6 V supply voltage. In ECG monitoring, the accelerometer is used to get the change in acceleration due to body movements during daily activities. This is helpful in detecting the arrhythmias. Finally, the heart rate measured by disposable electrodes and the accelerometer readings will be correlated. Using this information alerts or notifications are sent.

The gyroscope is used to find the tilt in position when there is motion in the body. This is required for monitoring of ECG during daily activities. In previous work, gyroscopes were used in different applications like L3G4200D gyroscope used for head movement tracking along with accelerometer and magnetometer [17]. Gyroscope and accelerometer inbuilt MEMS chip [101] were used in robotic arm control by detecting the motion of arm [102] and vehicle speed control [103]. Ring laser gyroscope [104] and microgyroscope [105] are advanced gyros used for various applications. In cardiac applications, gyroscope was used for monitoring electric and mechanical functioning of heart [106] (gyro developed by Zimpher Technology and Shimmer Research was used...
Table 3: Specifications of some accelerometer ICs available.

| Accelerometer IC | Supply voltage | Power consumption | Full scale range | Bandwidth |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|
| ADXL335         | 1.8 V–3.6 V    | 350 μA (typical)  | ±3 g             | For the X- and Y-axes 0.5 Hz to 1600 Hz and for the Z-axis 0.5 Hz to 550 Hz |
| ADXL330         | 2.0 V–3.6 V    | 200 μA and VS = 2.0 V (typical) | ±3 g             | For X- and Y-axes 0.5 Hz to 1600 Hz and for the Z-axis 0.5 Hz to 550 Hz |
| ADXL345         | 2.0 V–3.6 V    | 40 μA at VS = 2.5 V (typical) | ±16 g            | 0–400 Hz |
| SDI221          | +5.0 and +2.5 volts | +5 VDC, 8 mA power (typical) | ±2 g             | 45 Hz (typical) |
| SCA3000         | 2.35 V–3.6 V   | 2.5 V, 480 μA typ | ±2 g             | 1.8 kHz for all axes |
| LIS344ALH       | 2.4 V–3.6 V    | ±2 g/±6 g         | ±2 g             | 350 Hz for X & Y and 150 Hz for Z |
| MMA7260Q/MMA7260QT | 2.2 V–3.6 V | 500 μA            | ±1.5 g/2 g/4 g/6 g | 0.2 Hz–300 Hz for BPF |
| MMA8451Q        | 1.95 V–3.6 V   | 6 μA to 165 μA    | ±2 g/±4 g/±8 g   |           |
| Bosch BMA180    | 1.62 V–3.6 V and VDD = 1.2 V–3.6 V | 650 μA (typical) | ±1 g, ±1.5 g, ±2 g, ±3 g, ±4 g, ±8 g, ±16 g |           |

in [107]) means, heart rate [108], rotational velocity of foot [108], emotional eating (2-axis gyro was used), human posture and walking velocity (ENC03J developed by Murata Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan, was used in [18]), stride strength and walking velocity (ENV05S developed by Murata Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan, was used), muscle contractions (vibrating disc piezoelectric gyroscope was used in [19]), and motion processing in handsets (InvenSense MPU-3000 3-axis MEMS gyroscope was used).

The differences between gyroscope and accelerometer are given in Table 5 that help in the selection of gyroscope or accelerometer or both for ECG monitoring systems.

In order to differentiate the ECG signal due to heart activity from the patient's daily life activities, accelerometer and gyroscope alone are not sufficient. Therefore, it is suggested to use both accelerometer and gyroscope to find daily activities of patient effectively.

2.3. Placement of Electrodes. The placement of electrodes on the body varies based on type of wearable design. For different wearable types, placement of electrodes according to the previously proposed designs is given in Table 6.

2.4. Instrumentation Amplifier (IA)

2.4.1. Mostly Used IA ICs. There are a number of instrumentation amplifier ICs available in the market suitable for ECG signal amplification. The use of IA in IC form is very easy and more convenient in ECG signal acquisition because of its small size and high noise immunity. The most widely used IA ICs were developed by Texas Instruments and Analog Devices. Texas Instruments ICs INA116 [109, 110], INA121 [111], and INA128 [112] were most widely used in ECG signal acquisition systems. INA116 provided high input impedance (1015 Ω) and the bandwidth of 0.38–44 Hz (±5%) with a single supply of 2 V; it was used for long time ECG monitoring of athletes [109]. It was also used in the designing of low noise EEG/ECG sensor circuit [110]. INA121 with a two-input voltage buffer as driving Right Leg (RL) circuit provided differential gain = 1000 from 0.05Hz–100Hz and common-mode gain = 0.06 at power-line frequency (50 Hz) that results in CMRR = 86 dB [111]. Analog devices ICs AD620 [113] and AD623 [114] were used for ECG signal acquisition and monitoring.

2.4.2. Circuit Designs of IA. Basically the instrumentation amplifier is designed using operational amplifier which acts as voltage amplifier [115] that provided gain = 54.83 dB, CMRR = 141.61 dB, and bandwidth = 223 Hz [55]. A simple unity-gain buffer stage and differential amplifier stage with high input impedance [116] were used to design IA to have optimised low-frequency response, low power, and CMRR. The minimum input resistance of the amplifier required was obtained as 1.3 MΩ [117]. A composite stabilised amplifier with active current feedback at its input stage was used to reduce amplifier saturation problems and baseline drift [118] in off-the-shelf ECG amplifier for a continuous long duration. But the amplitude is not matched with that of standard (3 electrodes) voltage ECG amplifier. If RE < 50 kΩ, the
bandwidth of the circuit will decrease below the bandwidth of the acceptable limit. DDA (differential difference amplifier) was used to lower the power consumption and keep the open loop gain to enough value. The AC coupled technique was used to reduce offset noise. DDA with AC coupled technique [61] provided power supply rejection ratio = 62 dB & CMRR = 150 dB at 10 Hz and with the preferred input noise at 5 µV/Hz power consumption = 3.99 µW at 1 Hz. To remove offset voltage and reduce 1/f noise, the low-frequency signal was to be eliminated. This was done by differential AC coupling network and the HP difference amplifier [119]. A design for remote electrocardiogram system, which consists of five stages ECG input, isolated amplifier, main amplifier, active BRF, and high order LPF with bandwidth 1 Hz–200 Hz [120], was used for ECG signal amplification and power supply (60 Hz) noise reduction.

Two-stage IA using operational transconductance amplifier (OTA) and common-mode feedback amplifier topology was used for common-mode amplifier noise reduction. This provided power consumption = 1.47 µW and CMRR = 82 dB [56]. An IA with series combination of two OTAs (one is preamplifier and second is variable-gain amplifier) provided power consumption = 233 nW, bandwidth = 21 Hz, gain = 44.2 dB, and CMRR = 80 dB [60]. Flicker noise was removed by both chopper stabilised front end amplifier [121] and chopped capacitively coupled IA (CCIA) [122, 123]. Chopper technique which was implemented using folded cascode structure provided 36.44 dB of SNR in [54].

The instrumentation amplifier using the opamp for ECG signal acquisition cannot reduce noise much effectively. Therefore in order to solve this problem ECG amplifiers were designed using CMOS technology [56–63, 124–127] which also provide less power consumption and small area. The noise reduction in terms of CMRR obtained in different papers is mentioned in Table 7.

From Table 7, one can observe that most of the work reported was based on usage of same processing technology with different battery voltage. The work done in [62] was given better common-mode rejection ratio with a Monolithic CMOS current-mode instrumentation amplifier.

2.5. Filter. Filtering was required to remove the noise in ECG signal acquisition from electrodes followed by IA. The noise interferences were involved in many ways in ECG acquisition as its amplitude is less (in the order of nV) and variability of ECG segments durations. Muscle contractions, electrode movements during acquisition, base line wandering, and 60 Hz power supply noise were some of the significant noise interferences. And also, filtering was required to separate the segment of interest from the acquired ECG signal like P wave, R-peak, QRS complex, T wave, and ST segment. Here removal of noise interference was not considered in this paper. Different filters and their frequency range for different parameters acquisition used by previously proposed authors are given in Table 8 for selecting and designing of required filter.

From Table 8, it is shown that the most of the authors used LPF and HPF or BPF for measuring almost any parameter. But the frequency range is not the same for all. It is different for different parameters. Therefore, the designer has to select the frequency range based on his/her segment of interest.

2.6. ADC. The ADC ICs such as 16-bit, 100-kSPS SAR ADC ADS83212 [33], 10-bit SAR ADC [30, 38, 128], and 24-bit ADS1292 [129] were used for analog to digital conversion of signal. But nowadays the signal processing development boards like Texas products ADSI298, ADSI191, ADS192, ADSI194, ADSI196, ADSI198, ADSI1291, ADSI1299, ADSI1298R, ADSI1296R, ADSI1296, ADSI1294R, ADSI1294, ADS1293, and ADS1291 that provide analog voltage 2.7 V–5.25 V and digital voltage 1.65 V to 3.6 V [130] and analog devices ADA1000 (low power, 5-electrode ECG analog front end) and AD8232 (single-lead heart rate monitor analog front end) [131] are available with ADC inbuit at significantly reduced size, power, and overall cost. Therefore, there is no need for external ADC to place.

2.7. Signal Processing Unit. Generally microcontroller board is used as signal processing unit to process the digital signal. This unit is further connected to PC/laptop to display the signals and measurements. It can also be used to communicate with other systems using transmitter and receiver. In previous designs proposed, for short term monitoring of ECG for 10 sec or 1-2 minutes MSP430 microcontroller was used [34, 71] and for long-term monitoring TI CC2530 system [37], CC2431 [132], DSP [128], DSP chip TMS320VC5509A [133], TMS320F2812 [134], TMDX5505eZdsp/VCS5505eZdsp [33], MSP430 (monitoring for 45 days) [68], MSP430F5515 [129], MSP430F1232 [43], MSP430FG439 [135], MSP430F2418 [136], MSP430F5529 [66] (monitoring for 88 h) [39], MSP430F5419A (monitoring for 48 h) [137], ATMega8 [41], ATMega328 [42], Arduino UNO (ATmega328) [47], Atmega8L [28, 31, 32], Concerto MCU [65], Reative Device [27], PIC18LF4620 [69], Altera EP2C35 Nios II soft-core CPU based FPGA [138], ARM9 [139], ADuC842 [140], C8051F021 [141], 32-bit ARM Cortex M0 CPU (monitoring for 24 h) [30], and STM32 chip as the system controller with ARM Cortex-M3 core (monitoring for 44 h) [67] were used.

2.8. Communication to PC/Laptop/Mobile Phone

2.8.1. Need of Communication to PC/Laptop/Mobile Phone/Soc Network. After acquiring ECG signal, to display process and report the results of analysis to physician or doctor for diagnosis of the disease, there is a need for connecting to PC or Laptop. Mobile also can be used with specially designed apps.

2.8.2. Available Communication Techniques

(1) USB-SPI is generally used to connect the MC development board to PC. To display the signals and measurements MATLAB Simulink GUI or specially designed GUI is used.
2.8.3. Selection of Effective Communication Technique. The communication mode is selected based on the distance of monitoring the signals. For short distance wired connection like USB SPI or wireless communication (1 or up to 100 m, depending on radio class) through Bluetooth or ZigBee technology (up to 75 m) or WiFi (indoors about 150 feet (46 m) and outdoors about 300 feet (92 m)) is generally preferred. For long distances GSM/GPRS (35 kilometres) or GPS (up to 25000 Km) is preferable. At present, all the communication technologies are inbuilt in the hardware and available as SoC (some of available SoC products are given in Section 2.8.2). When the SoC is selected for signal processing, it is better to select the suitable SoC product which is having preferred communication network technology. By providing long distance communication with the doctor, there is a scope for online monitoring of the patient condition and online diagnosis. This will not only save money and time, it will save lives of poor people. And also it helps to develop a smart city in the area of medical engineering.

3. Discussion

The death rate is increasing every year due to heart diseases from past few decades in India. This can be reduced by early detection of symptoms of abnormalities. A few years back, the ECG systems for detecting abnormalities were only available in the hospital and used only in the presence of specialists. It was very difficult to go every time to the hospital and take the ECG, which was also very expensive, especially for rural people. But present situation is slowly changing by using the health monitoring systems. Therefore, everything is going to change within few years in India like developed countries in the field of biomedicine by developing the smart and wearable health monitoring systems. So much of work is done by many people, but there is a lack of validation and communication provision with the doctor. There are options for recording and sending the data to the service centre where the data is analysed. But there is no accessibility of data to the user or patient. And also, they used commercial software which is licensed and very costly. Therefore, the net cost is very high.

In order to overcome these limitations and to add the missing features in existing systems, a new framework is proposed in this paper. In this review, quantitative information for designing of external cardiac loop recorder (ELR) is presented as a study of real-time ECG monitoring from remote area continuously. With the proper selection of the devices such as electrodes/sensors, instrumentation amplifier, filters, processor, and communication mode, an advanced external cardiac loop recorder is going to be designed to achieve better performance with less cost. New framework includes an option for saving the recorded ASCII data in text or excel form, and then it is easy to access and process the data. Further, the data can be processed and extract the features for detecting the normal or abnormal condition of the patient by using open source software called Scilab that reduces the cost of the system by avoiding commercial software used for analysis. And also by using open source software like TeraTerm, CoolTerm, and Processing with Arduino, data can be sent to a doctor via Bluetooth or Wi-Fi. Using GobeTwin open source software with Arduino data can be sent via the internet. Therefore, the doctor can receive and analyse the data using open source software and further he can send the suggestions or precautions to the patient at an early stage. If such a system is designed, it would become a milestone in the field of biomedical engineering and would help to develop the smart city towards the biomedical field in India. And also it will reach the rural people effectively so that the death rate due to heart diseases can be reduced.

It is evident from Tables 1–9 that one can design and configure appropriate internal circuitry components for the development of the cost effective external cardiac loop recorder system. The appropriate selection of open source software along with suitable internal circuitry will give way for new ELR suitable for implementation with less cost. Under a pilot process, a working prototype is under investigation by duly considering all the design parameters.
Table 4: Specifications of some gyro ICs.

| Ref. number | Gyro IC/sensor | Operating voltage | Axes |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|------|
| [17]        | L3G4200D       | 2.6 V–5.5 V       | ±250 (X), ±500 (Y), ±2000°/s (Z) |
| [18]        | ENC03J         | 2.7 V–5.5 V       | Max ±300°/s |
| [19]        | ENV05S         | 8–13.5 V          | Max ±90°/s |
| [20]        | Integrated Dual-Axis Gyro-IDG-300 | 3 V–3.5 V | Full scale range of ±500°/sec |
| [21]        | Integrated Dual-Axis Gyro-IDG-500 | 2.7 V–3.3 V | Full scale range of ±500°/sec |
| [22]        | Single Chip Rate Gyro EVAL-ADXR610 | 4.75 V–5.25 V (typical 5 V) | ±300°/sec yaw rate |
| [23]        | SCC2000 Series Combined Gyro Sensor and Accelerometer | 3 V–3.6 V | X- or Z-axis ±125°/s or ±300°/s |
| [24]        | XV-3500CB/XV3900CB | 3.3 V | ±100°/s |
| [24]        | XV-3510CB      | 3.3 V            | ±300°/s |
| [24]        | XV-3700CB      | 3.3 V            | ±300°/s to ±1500°/s |
| [24]        | XV701BB/XV7001BB | 2.7 V to 3.6 V | ±100°/s |
| [24]        | AH-6120LR      | 3 V              | ±1000°/s |
| [24]        | AP-6110LR      | 2.85 V to 3.6 V  | ±300°/s |

Table 5: Differences between gyroscope and accelerometer.

| S. number | Gyroscope                  | Accelerometer                          |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1         | It determines orientation | It measures static (e.g., gravity) as well as dynamic (e.g., sudden starts/stops) acceleration |
| 2         | Senses rotation            | Cannot sense rotation                  |
| 3         | It measures the rotation rate around a particular axis based on angular momentum | It measures linear acceleration based on vibration |
| 4         | A gyroscope is used to determine angular position | Two-axis accelerometer is used to determine the direction of gravity |
| 5         | Applications: in navigation on unmanned aerial vehicles, compasses and large boats, ultimately assisting with stability in navigation, and altitude; indicator on typical aircraft | Applications: determines screen orientation and acts as a compass undoing actions by simply shaking the smartphone |
| 6         | Gyroscopes are used in extra earth navigation (spacecraft), where the planet earth’s pull and influence disappear | 3-axis accelerometer could identify the orientation of an object relative to the Earth’s surface |

Table 6: Electrode placement for different type of wearable.

| Ref. paper | Wearable type          | Number of electrodes | Type of electrodes | Placement of electrodes |
|------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| [25]       | Tight fitted sleeveless top | —                   | Dry Ag/AgCl electrode | Chest line             |
| [26]       | Wearable (vital jacket system) | —                   | —                  | On chest               |
| [27]       | BioShirt                | 3                    | 3 M Ag/AgCl 2223 monitoring electrode which has foam tape and sticky gel | ECG limb leads and augmented unipolar limb leads |
| [28]       | Belt type               | 2                    | ECG                | RA-LA 11 cm apart through midline on chest |
| [29]       | Wearable belt           | 4                    | ECG                | Channel 1 (+), in the fifth intercostal space in anterior axillary line. Channel 1 (−), manubrium of sternum on the right side. Channel 2 (+), on sternum on the same altitude as the fourth intercostal space. Channel 2 (−), left subclavian area. Ground: in the fifth intercostal space in midaxillary line |
Table 6: Continued.

| Ref. paper | Wearable type | Number of electrodes | Type of electrodes | Placement of electrodes |
|------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| [30]       | Wearable chest harness | — | Coin-sized dry-contact electrodes | On chest |
| [31]       | Wearable chest belt | 2 | ECG | On chest |
| [32]       | Chest belt | 2 | | On chest |
| [33]       | Wearable ECG vest | 3 | Ag-AgCl | Three Velcro tapes in neck, back, and waist |
| [34]       | Wearable | 3 | Ag/AgCl | RA-LA 5 cm through midline and LL-LA end to center of LL 6 cm down |
| [35]       | Wearable | 3 | | Einthoven triangle |
| [36]       | Wearable | 3 | ECG | RA-LA-LA placed b/w midline & distance RA-LA is 5 cm. LL is 5 cm down from RA-LA line and 5 cm left from midline |
| [37]       | — | 10 | — | Standard positions to generate 12 leads |
| [38]       | — | 3 | — | Einthoven triangle |
| [39]       | — | 3 | ECG | RA-LA-LR |
| [40]       | — | — | — | Dry clamp electrodes Located on the wrists |
| [41]       | — | 2 | Capacitive coupling electrodes | On chest lead I |
| [42]       | — | 12 | — | 12-lead ECG system |
| [43]       | — | — | — | QUASAR's capacitive bioelectrodes (can measure with clothes) Integrated into a pad system that is placed over a chair |
| [44]       | — | 12 | — | 12-lead standard placement |
| [45]       | — | 3 | — | Einthoven triangle |
| [46]       | — | — | — | Patch-type electrode On chest |
| [47]       | — | 12 | — | 12-lead standard placement |
| [48]       | — | — | — | Wet gel Ag/AgCl electrodes (Ambu, Blue Sensor R) Below the left pectoral muscle |
| [49]       | — | 3 | — | (RA, LA, RL), lead II |
| [50]       | — | — | — | LA, RA, LF (separated by 10 cm) and an extra electrode placed on RL (forms an equilateral triangle) |
| [51]       | Not wearable | 3 | — | RA, LA, LL, RL |
| [52]       | Not wearable | 4 | — | |

Table 7: CMRR comparison of different works done for ECG amplifier using CMOS technology.

| Reference paper | CMRR | Process tech | Battery voltage |
|-----------------|------|--------------|-----------------|
| [54]            | 71 dB | 0.18 μm | 1.8 V dual |
| [55]            | 141.61 dB | 0.18 μm | 1.8 V dual |
| [56]            | 82 dB | 0.18 μm | — |
| [57]            | >125 dB | 0.18 μm | 0.4 V |
| [58]            | 62 dB | — | 3.3 V |
| [59]            | >100 dB | — | 3.3 V |
| [60]            | 80 dB | 0.13 μm | 0.7 V |
| [61]            | 150 dB | 0.18 μm | 1.8 V |
| [62]            | 167.87 dB | — | — |
| [63]            | 125 dB | 0.18 μm | — |

and software requirements. This expected design system will ensure the required diagnostic precision suitable for detecting the cardiac episodes.

4. Conclusion

This research study provided an insight into the systematic review on external cardiac loop recorders. It gives the quantitative information which helps in the selection of internal parts of the external cardiac loop recorder. Although several techniques for monitoring cardiac episodes were available, the scope for a new cardiac device is still in demand. This is due to the fact that the real-time cardiac episodes monitoring and its corresponding alert mechanism can help in saving the life of the patient. Such mechanism through the advent of cost
Table 8: Filters and their frequency range for various ECG parameters.

| Ref. number | Parameters acquired | Filter used | Freq range |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| [64]        | Heart rate          | Bandpass filter | $F_{lp} = 150 \text{ Hz, } F_{hp} = 60 \text{ Hz} , F_{hp} = 0.5 \text{ Hz, } F_{lp} = 35 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [30]        | Heart rate          | Passive RC high pass filter | $F_{hp} = 35 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [31]        | Heart rate          | LPF, after IA notch, HPF, LPF | $F_{lp} = 40 - 80 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [65]        | Heart rate          | LPF | $0.1 - 100 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [45]        | Heart rate          | 8-pole Bessel bandpass filter | $F_{lp} = 5 - 20 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [37]        | QRS complexes, heart rate | BPF | $F_{hp} = 0.05 \text{ Hz, } F_{l} = 35 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [28]        | R-peak, heart rate  | HPF, 2nd-order Butterworth filter (two 1st-order LPF) | $F_{hp} = 0.05 \text{ Hz, } F_{l} = 35 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [32]        | R-peak, abnormal heart beat | LPF, moving average filter | $F_{lp} = 0.16 \text{ Hz, } F_{l} = 103 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [66]        | ECG and heart rate  | Notch filter formed by ordinary amplifier TL062 | $F_{hp} = 35 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [38]        | ECG wave, R-peak    | LPF, BPF | $F_{lp} = 58 \text{ Hz and 19 Hz}$ |
| [67]        | R-peaks             | LPF, HPF | $F_{lp} = 0.03 \text{ Hz, } F_{hp} = 80 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [44]        | R-peak              | Adaptive filter | $F_{hp} = 0.05 \text{ Hz to 150 Hz, } F_{n} = 6 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [68]        | Pk-Pk               | Analog active RC filter, a second-order Butterworth | $F_{hp} = 0.03 \text{ Hz, } F_{hp} = 80 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [29]        | HRV                 | LPF | $F_{hp} = 0.16 \text{ Hz, } F_{l} = 103 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [42]        | ECG, PPG, BP        | HPF, LPF | $F_{hp} = 0.03 \text{ Hz, } F_{hp} = 80 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [69]        | QRS complex         | Antialiasing 1-pole LPF | $F_{lp} = 60 \text{ Hz, } F_{hp} = 150 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [70]        | QRS, T wave         | HPF, sixth-order Bessel LPF | $F_{hp} = 0.16 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [50]        | QRS complexes and T waves | RC high pass filters | $F_{hp} = 0.16 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [71]        | QRS, T wave         | Bandpass filter | $0.159 - 159 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [72]        | Points (P, Q, R, S, T) | BPF, notch filter | $F_{hp} = 0.05 \text{ Hz to 150 Hz, } F_{n} = 6 \text{ Hz}$ |
| [51]        | PR intervals        | LPF, HPF, LPF | $F_{hp} = 0.03 \text{ Hz, } F_{hp} = 80 \text{ Hz}$ |

Table 9: Different microcontrollers used for ECG monitoring.

| MP or MC used | Supply voltage range | Max power consumption | Memory storage |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| MSP430        | 2.5 V to 5.5 V       | 330 $\mu$A at 1 MHz, 3 V | 2 k byte ROM, 128-byte RAM |
| MSP430F5529   | 1.8 V to 3.6 V       | 290 $\mu$A at 8 MHz, 3.0 V | 128 KB flash & 8 x 2 KB SRAM |
| MSP430F5419A  | 1.8 V to 3.6 V       | 230 $\mu$A at 8 MHz, 3.0 V | 128 KB flash & 16 KB SRAM |
| MSP430F5515   | 1.8 V to 3.6 V       | 200 $\mu$A at 8 MHz, 3.0 V | 64 KB flash & 4 x 2 KB SRAM |
| MSP430 (F2)   | 1.8 V to 3.6 V       | 220 $\mu$A at 1 MHz, 2.2 V | 1 KB + 256 B flash memory 128 B RAM |
| MSP430F1232   | 1.8 V to 3.6 V       | 200 $\mu$A at 1 MHz, 2.2 V | 8 KB + 256 B flash memory, 256 B RAM |
| MSP430F1239   | 1.8 V to 3.6 V       | 300 $\mu$A at 1 MHz, 2.2 V | 60 KB + 256 B flash memory, 2 KB RAM |
| MSP430F2418   | 1.8 V to 3.6 V       | 365 $\mu$A at 1 MHz, 2.2 V | 116 KB + 256 B flash memory, 8 KB RAM |
| TI CC2530     | 2 V to 3.6 V         | 29 mA at 2.4 GHz | 32 KB flash & 8 KB RAM |
| TI CC2431     | 2 V to 3.6 V         | 27 mA at 32 MHz | 128 KB flash & 8 KB RAM |
| TMS320VC5509A | 2.7 V to 3.6 V       | — | 128 K x 16-bit on-chip RAM, 64 K bytes one wait state on-chip ROM, 16 MB DRAM |
| TMS320F2812   | 1.8 V to 3.3 V       | 1.9-V Core at 150 MHz | 128 K x 16 flash, 128 K x 16 ROM |
| TMDX5505ezDsp/VC5505ezdsp | 1.8 V, 2.5 V, 2.8 V, 3.3 V | — | 320 KB of on-chip RAM, 128 KB of on-chip ROM |
| Atmega8       | 4.5 V to 5.5 V       | 3.6 mA at 4 MHz, 3 V, 25°C | 8 KB flash, 512 B EEPROM, 1 KB SRAM |
| Atmega8L      | 2.7 V to 5.5 V       | 3.6 mA at 4 MHz, 3 V, 25°C | 8 KB flash, 512 B EEPROM, 1 KB SRAM |
| Atmega328     | 1.8–5.5 V            | 0.2 mA at 1 MHz, 1.8 V, 25°C | 32 KB of flash, 1 K byte EEPROM, 2 KB of SRAM |
| Arduino (Atmega328) | 5 V | — | 32 KB of flash, 1 K byte EEPROM, 2 KB of SRAM |
| Concerto MCU (MB95F108AHS) | 5 V | — | 60 KB dual-flash, 2 KB RAM |
| PIC18LF4620    | 2.0 V to 5.5 V       | — | 64 KB flash, 3968 SRAM, 1024 EEPROM |
| ADuC842       | —                   | 4.5 mA at 3 V (core CLK = 2.098 MHz) | 64 KB flash, 2 KB SRAM |
| C8051F021     | 2.7 V to 3.6 V       | — | 4.25 KB RAM, 64 KB ROM |
| 32-bit ARM cortex M0 CPU | — | 64.3 $\mu$W/MHz | — |
effecting wearable external cardiac loop recorder will provide a major healthcare revolution in the developing countries.
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