Coexistence of Structural and Dynamical Heterogeneity in Liquids Under Nanoconfinement
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Spatiotemporal heterogeneity is one of the hallmarks of the relaxation dynamics associated with the glass transition. A key question in this regard is whether the dynamical heterogeneity has a structural origin. We report differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data that reveal the presence of extreme spatial heterogeneity in the freezing dynamics of water (D₂O) and the glass transition dynamics in the supercooled molecular liquid ortho-terphenyl (OTP), when these liquids are confined in nano-architected mesoporous silica with interconnected pores of different geometry. The results demonstrate, for the first time, that despite connectivity between the pores, nanoconfined water and OTP display distinct freezing/melting points and glass transition temperatures characteristic of each pore type. Viewed as a whole, these experimental results point to the coexistence of strong spatial heterogeneities over length scales of a few nanometers in the structure and dynamics of these liquids, suggesting a close mechanistic connection between them.
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INTRODUCTION

The remarkable slowdown of the primary or α relaxation in supercooled liquids appears to necessitate the existence of a growing or even diverging length scale as the temperature approaches the glass transition temperature \( T_g \) upon cooling [1]. In fact, most of the experimental and simulation studies have indicated that a growing dynamical length scale is responsible for the sharp rise in the α-relaxation timescale near the glass transition [2–7]. Multi-point space-time correlation functions and related measurements suggest the appearance of long-lived spatially correlated dynamical clusters or regions of fast and slow dynamics that persist on the timescale of structural relaxation and the length scale of these clusters grows upon cooling [8]. These spatially heterogeneous dynamics are now believed to be a hallmark of the glass transition that can be linked to the non-linear, non-Arrhenius, and non-exponential nature of the relaxation process [9, 10].

Although there is a possibility that the origin of the dynamical heterogeneity can be purely kinetic in the trajectory space and unrelated to any corresponding structural fluctuation [11], a number of simulation studies suggest that such heterogeneities may be closely associated with structural fluctuations at length scales corresponding to intermediate range order, i.e., they have a thermodynamic origin [2, 12]. For example, clusters of slow dynamics have been identified in metallic glass-forming liquids with the appearance of interconnected regions of icosahedral ordering, while those in colloidal liquids are characterized by hexagonally close-packed structures with bond orientational order. However, direct experimental observation of such correspondence...
between structural and dynamical heterogeneity remains challenging. In this regard it is interesting to note that liquids such as water display pronounced structural and dynamical heterogeneity under nanoconfinement and freeze in a stepwise fashion [13–15].

The differences in the dynamical behavior between a liquid in bulk form and under nanoconfinement arises primarily from (i) the thermodynamic effect of curvature of the confined space and the fact that the length scale of confinement becomes comparable to or smaller than some characteristic length scale of the liquid as well as (ii) the structural effects exerted by the pore-liquid interface, such as oscillations in the molecular packing density of the liquid as a function of distance from the interface [16]. Extensive experimental and simulation studies in the recent past have indicated that such heterogeneity in the case of water confined in the pores of silica with pore diameters ranging between 2 and 20 nm can be linked to strong density layering [17]. In particular, water molecules remain strongly structured by the pore walls of silica up to a distance of ~1 nm from the wall and are characterized by significantly lower mobility and higher density, compared to bulk water. On the other hand, the density and mobility of the water molecules in the region beyond ~1 nm from the pore wall is believed to become increasingly similar to that of bulk water toward the center of the pore. Again, a direct demonstration of the connection between the structural and dynamical heterogeneity in a confined liquid remains somewhat scarce in the literature, although recent simulation studies on partially pinned systems under neutral confinement provide interesting insights into such connections [18–21].

Here we present experimental evidence for extreme spatial heterogeneity in the freezing dynamics of water and in the glass transition dynamics in the van der Waals bonded supercooled molecular liquid ortho-terphenyl (C18H14, OTP), when these liquids are nanoconfined in architectured mesoporous silica with interconnected pores of different geometry. Such heterogeneity is reminiscent of glassy dynamics and is manifested in the very first observation of two distinct freezing points for water and two glass transition temperatures (T_g) for supercooled OTP, where each transition corresponds to a specific pore geometry.

**EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

**Sample Preparation and Physical Characterization**

Mesoporous silica powders with bimodal pores (FDU-5 and SBA-16) and with uniform cylindrical pores (SBA-15) were synthesized according to acidic reaction methods as previously reported [22–24]. To synthesize SBA-16, 3.0 g of triblock copolymer poly(ethylene oxide)_{108}-poly(propylene oxide)_{70}-poly(ethylene oxide)_{108} (Pluronic® P123, Aldrich) were dissolved in 144 mL of deionized (DI) water and 144 mL of 2 M hydrochloric acid. Subsequently, 11 mL of butyl alcohol and 15 mL of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, Aldrich) were added. The solution was then kept in an oven at 45°C for 24 h, followed by a hydrothermal treatment at 100°C for 24 h. The resulting white precipitate was then washed with DI water and ethanol, dried in an oven at 70°C and calcined at 500°C for 3 h. SBA-15 was synthesized by dissolving 4.0 g of triblock copolymer poly(ethylene oxide)_{20}-poly(propylene oxide)_{70}-poly(ethylene oxide)_{20} (Pluronic® P123, Aldrich), in 30 mL of DI water and 120 mL of 2 M hydrochloric acid. Then, 9.1 mL of TEOS was added to the solution, which was kept at 37°C for 20 h. Subsequently, the mixture was placed in an oven at 70°C for hydrothermal treatment for 16 h. The resulting white precipitate was then washed with DI water and ethanol, dried in an oven at 70°C and calcined at 500°C for 3 h. The synthesis of FDU-5 followed a procedure described in [22]. Briefly, 1.0 g of Pluronic® P123 and 0.115 g of sodium dodecyl sulfate were dissolved in a mixture of 26 g DI water and 12 g of 2 M hydrochloric acid at room temperature. 2.08 g of TEOS was added to this solution under vigorous stirring. The solution was kept at 30°C for 24 h, and then transferred into a Teflon autoclave and heated at 100°C for another 24 h. The precipitated solid was washed with DI water, dried in an oven at 70°C and calcined at 550°C for 3 h.

The phase identification and lattice parameter determination of the mesoporous silica samples were carried out using powder x-ray diffraction (Figure 1). The mesopore arrangement and pore size were evaluated, respectively, by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and nitrogen adsorption-desorption analysis. Details of these experiments can be found in previous publications [19, 20]. For TEM imaging, powders were dispersed in ethanol. A small amount of this dispersion was placed on a TEM grid by drop-casting and dried in air. TEM images of mesoporous materials were taken by a FEI Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope (Figure 2).

Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms were obtained using a Sorptometer (ASAP 2020, Micromeritics) at 77 K. The mesoporous materials were degassed at 523 K for 6 h (3 mTorr) prior to adsorption. The specific surface areas of the samples were calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, and pore size distributions were calculated by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) and density functional theory (DFT) methods.

Mesostructure geometries and pore sizes for SBA-15, SBA-16, and FDU-5 were determined by a combination of TEM imaging, nitrogen adsorption/desorption analysis using BJH and DFT methods, and X-ray diffraction. Results from these three characterization methods were then compared to published results [23–27]. In detail, the cage-structured SBA-16 is characterized by large spherical pores (5.5 nm diameter) that are body-centered-cubic arranged (Figure 3) and connected by narrow cylindrical openings (3.2 nm diameter and 1.5–2 nm in length). 3D large-pore FDU-5 possesses an Ia3d-type bicontinuous cubic mesostructural order with cylindrical pores of 8.7 nm in diameter. As shown in Figure 3, at the crossing locations of the cylindrical pores, larger “pore-openings” occur. From Ia3d unit-cell analysis and pore size analysis, we estimate the length of the diagonal at the crossing of two identical cylinders to be 12.4 nm. Finally, the two mesostructured SBA-15 samples are characterized by cylindrical pores with diameters of 4 and 5 nm, arranged in a honeycomb-type hexagonal lattice (Figure 3).
FIGURE 1 | X-ray diffraction patterns of mesoporous silica SBA-15, SBA-16, and FDU-5.

The Vycor-5, Vycor-109 and Vycor-145 porous silica glasses with average pore sizes of 5, 10.9, and 14.5 nm, respectively, were obtained from Corning Inc. in the form of buttons of dimensions 6.9 mm diameter and 2.5 mm height. “Thirsty” Vycor glass was prepared by baking all samples at 200°C overnight to remove any adsorbed organic impurities.

D$_2$O was added to excess amount of as-prepared mesoporous silica powder and the mixture was stirred to obtain partially hydrated samples for DSC and NMR experiments. The thirsty Vycor glass buttons were immersed in pure D$_2$O (Aldrich) for 10 min to obtain fully hydrated samples. These samples were subsequently dried at ambient condition for 1 h to remove any freezable bulk water on the sample surface through water evaporation, crushed into powder and used in DSC and NMR experiments.

Reagent grade OTP (Aldrich) was melted at 130°C without further purification. Mesoporous silica powder and Vycor buttons were submerged in the OTP melt and equilibrated for 24 h in a furnace. Precipitated powder and buttons were transferred into hermetically sealed aluminum pans and subsequently quenched in liquid nitrogen for DSC measurements.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Freezing Transition of Water**

DSC heating curves for D$_2$O in mesoporous silica SBA-15, SBA-16, and FDU-5 are shown in Figure 4. While SBA-15 displays only one endothermic melting peak of water in the nanopores, two endothermic peaks are observed for SBA-16 and FDU-5 corresponding to the melting of water confined in the two pore types in these bimodal pore structures. The depression of the melting temperature $T_m$ of confined D$_2$O in these systems, corresponding to the onset of the DSC endothermic peak, with respect to the bulk value $T_mB$ approximately obeys the Gibbs-Thompson (G-T) relation as $\Delta T_m = (T_mB - T_m)$ varies nearly linearly with the inverse of the effective pore size $R$ (Figure 5).

This result is consistent with those reported in previous studies of similar behavior of water under nanoconfinement in monodispersed pores and suggests that the volume to interface ratio dominates the freezing point depression behavior of water in mesoporous silica [28, 29]. However, and more interestingly,
the observation in the present study of two different freezing points for the two pore sizes in SBA-16 and FDU-5 with interconnected pores implies that under nanoconfinement the dynamical characteristics of water molecules in each pore type can be preserved in spite of the fact that these pores are completely interconnected. Therefore, the nanoconfined water is dynamically heterogeneous, even over distances on the order of a few nanometer (see below). Moreover, the difference in the volume to interface ratio between the two pore types would also imply corresponding differences in the length scale of structuring of the water molecules. Thus, these results, when taken together, suggest a close connection between the structural and dynamical heterogeneities in nanoconfined liquids.

**Glass Transition of Supercooled OTP**

DSC heating curves for glassy OTP confined in dual pore SBA-16 and FDU-5 samples are shown in Figure 6A. In each case, two \( T_g \) peaks are observed, both of which are below the bulk OTP glass transition temperature of \( \sim 299 \) K, corresponding to the glass transition in the two pore types. On the other hand, the SBA-15 and Vycor samples with nearly unimodal pore sizes display only one \( T_g \) (Figure 6B). Interestingly, and in contrast with the melting/freezing transition of water, the glass transition of OTP does not display an approximately universal dependence of \( \Delta T_g = (T_gB - T_g) \) on the pore size \( R \) (Figure 7), in spite of the chemical similarity of the host structures. Instead, two separate linear trends are observed for the crystalline mesoporous silica and amorphous vycor samples in accordance with the G-T relationship. This result may be suggestive of the differences in the strength of the pore-liquid interfacial interaction and the interface roughness between the crystalline and the amorphous structures [16].

It is well-known that similar to the thermodynamic freezing/melting transition, the kinetic process of glass
transion of supercooled liquids could also be strongly affected by confinement of the liquid to nanometer length scales. However, the corresponding response is known to be non-universal in the sense that $T_g$ can either increase or decrease with the dimensionality and extent of confinement [16]. Nanoconfinement can affect the dynamics of a supercooled liquid in two ways. First, if the length scale of confinement becomes comparable to or smaller than that of the cooperatively rearranging regions (CRR) responsible for structural or $\alpha$-relaxation, then the effect of cooperative molecular dynamics and the temperature dependence of configurational entropy are greatly diminished, which would result in an enhancement in the liquid dynamics, resulting in a lowering of $T_g$ [30]. The volume of the CRRs near glass transition is expected to have the dimension of 100–200 molecules [31], which corresponds to a characteristic length scale of the CRRs on the order of $\sim$6 molecular diameters, which for OTP is $\sim$4.5 nm. Therefore, the pore sizes of the mesoporous silica samples studied here may indeed satisfy this condition, which is consistent with the observed depression in $T_g$. Second, the relative effects of the pore-liquid interface on the structuring of the liquid become stronger as the length scale of confinement decreases and the interface:bulk ratio increases, although the sign of change in $T_g$ from the interface effect cannot be predicted a priori and can be positive or negative. However, both configurational entropy and interface effects are expected to influence the structure of the confined liquid. Therefore, similar to the two $T_m$ for the freezing transition of water, the observation of two $T_g$ for OTP confined in the interconnected two-pore structures suggests coexistence of structural and dynamical heterogeneities and their preservation over length scales that are on the order of the pore dimensions. The self-diffusion coefficient for OTP molecules near $T_g$ is $\sim 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$/s [32] and the relaxation timescale is on the order of $\sim$100 s, which yields a characteristic molecular diffusion distance of $\sim$1 nm during relaxation. Therefore, the diffusion distances during structural relaxation are of the same order of magnitude as the pore dimensions in SBA-16 and FDU-5, and yet the structural and dynamical heterogeneities can be preserved over the same length scales and timescales. This observation indicates that the preservation of the dynamical heterogeneity is only possible because the liquid structure in each pore type is unique and cannot be homogenized, thus suggesting a close connection between the structure and dynamics in liquids under nanoconfinement.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we report a systematic study of the melting and freezing dynamics of D$_2$O and the glass transition dynamics...
of supercooled OTP confined in the nanopores of glassy and crystalline solid silica matrices using DSC. The observation of two distinct melting points for water and two glass transition temperatures for OTP nanoconfined in the interconnected pores of two different diameters in mesoporous silica SBA-16 and FDU-5 indicates the coexistence of structural and dynamical heterogeneity in these liquids at length scales on the order of a few nanometer. Collectively, these results suggest a close mechanistic connection between structure and dynamics in both liquids.
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