Metabolomic characterization of olive xylem sap reveals differences according to plant age and genotype
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Abstract: Vascular pathogens are the causal agents of main diseases threatening the health and growth of olive crops worldwide. The use of endophytic microorganisms represents a challenging and promising strategy for management of vascular diseases in olive. Although current research has been focused on analyzing the structure and diversity of the endophytic microbial communities inhabiting the olive xylem, the characterization of this ecological niche has been overlooked and to date remain unexplored, despite that the characterization of the xylem sap composition is essential to unravel the nutritional requirements of xylem-limited microorganisms. In this study, branches from plantlets and adult olive trees of cultivars ‘Picual’ and ‘Arbequina’ were selected to characterize the chemical composition of olive xylem sap extracted using a Scholander pressure chamber. Metabolome and ionome analyses of xylem sap were performed by proton nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy-based and by inductively coupled plasma with optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), respectively. Olive xylem sap metabolites included a higher relative percentage of sugars (54.35%), followed by alcohols (28.85%), amino acids (8.01%), organic acids (7.68%) and osmolytes (1.12%). Within each of these groups, the main metabolites in the olive xylem sap were mannitol, ethanol, glutamine, acetate and trigonelline, whereas K and Cl were the main element and inorganic anion, respectively. Metabolomic profile varied when comparing olive plant age and genotype. The levels of glucose, fructose, sucrose and mannitol, choline, B and PO₄³⁻ were significantly higher in adult trees than in plantlets for both olive genotypes, whereas NO₃⁻ and Rb content showed the opposite behavior. On the other hand, levels of aspartate, phenylalanine and Na were significantly higher in ‘Picual’ than in ‘Arbequina’ whereas Fe showed the opposite behavior but only for adult trees. Non-supervised hierarchical clustering analysis separated xylem sap composition firstly according to the plant age and then by the olive cultivar. Supervised PLS-DA analysis revealed that B, ethanol, Fe, Fructose, glucose, mannitol, sucrose and Sr were the most significative compounds discriminating adult trees from plantlets, whereas asparagine, aspartate, glutamate and phenylalanine or aspartate, arginine, ethanol and Sr were the most contributory compounds in the discrimination of both olive genotypes for adult trees or plantlets, respectively. Knowledge of the chemical composition of xylem sap will lead to a better understanding of the complex nutritional requirements of olive xylem-inhabiting microorganisms, including its vascular pathogens, and would allow the design of artificial growing media to improve culturing the olive microbiome.
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1. Introduction

Olive tree (*Olea europaea* L.) is one of the most important cultivated trees in the Mediterranean Basin due to the numerous beneficial health properties of olive oil which plays a central role in the Mediterranean diet [1]. The wide use of products derived from olive trees such as table olives and olive oil soaps, cosmetics, etc., is contributing to an increase in the area under olive cultivation and to boosting the economic importance of the olive tree worldwide. The European Union is the main global producer of olive oil with 2.2 Mt, with Spain being the major provider with 1.6 Mt in the 2018/19 season (IOC, www.internationaloliveoil.org).

Olive trees are of great cultural and economic value, but they also exhibit several significant environmental attributes which allow them to survive on poor, shallow and dry soils. These attributes include an extensive root system that promotes drought resistance as well as high adaptability to unfavorable environments including mountain slopes and hillsides where olive roots serve to limit soil erosion and increase nutrient retention [2,3]. In addition, olive crops have been identified as important habitats for rich ground flora and fauna where pollinators play an essential role in the maintenance of ecosystem diversity [4]. These reasons outstand the importance of olive crop as an economic, environmental and cultural symbol across the Mediterranean region and reinforce the need of its maintenance and preservation for future generations. However, nowadays its viability and survival as a main crop is seriously threatened by vascular plant pathogens such as the soil-borne fungus *Verticillium dahliae* and the bacterium *Xylella fastidiosa*. Both pathogens colonize the vascular bundles of the xylem obstructing the sap flow, leading to wilting of plant tissue, and ultimately may also cause the death of the tree resulting in heavy environmental and economic losses in areas where those pathogens are endemic or have emerged as new outbreaks [5,6].

Xylem vessels play a decisive role for plant growth maintenance providing a main route and a very refined plumbing system for the circulation of micro and macronutrients derived from xylem sap. Indeed, xylem transport of solutes is essential for long and short distance redistribution of nutrients within the host plant which is driven by hydrostatic pressure and water potential [7]. This nutrient flow in the xylem from roots to shoots ensure controlled loading or unloading of ions and metabolites in the xylem and their effective translocation to the required and localized area [8]. Consequently, the characterization of xylem sap composition is essential to obtain information for understanding nutrient fluxes and dynamics which are crucial for maintenance of plant defense reactions against vascular wilt pathogens [9].

Xylem sap contains a wide range of compounds beyond water and minerals. In fact, several studies have revealed that these compounds include amino acids [10,11], organic acids [12,13], vitamins and metabolites [14,15]. Nevertheless, xylem sap composition does not remain constant in the host plant and it can be influenced by diverse factors such as soil water content [16,17], method of xylem sap collection [18], type of organs selected [19], presence of rootstocks and interstocks [20], and incidence of microbial interactions including infection by plant pathogens [21,22], among others. Additionally, the age of the host plant and seasonal changes are factors affecting xylem sap composition [23-25]. Several studies have characterized the xylem sap composition of woody plant species [e.g., 22,26-29]. However, to our knowledge, only one study has characterized the xylem sap composition of olive trees [30] despite of being one of the most remarkable tree crops in the Mediterranean Basin.

In this work, we have characterized for the first time the xylem sap chemical composition of the two olive genotypes most widely cultivated in Spain and provide new knowledge on the changes in their metabolomic and ionomic profile according to the plant age and the olive genotype. The characterization of xylem sap composition from olive trees will help to improve our understanding of the nutritional requirements for growth.
of xylem-inhabiting microorganisms (including those nonculturable yet) that may lead to
design suitable culture media as a first step to isolate or favor the growth of potential
endophytic microorganisms that can be exploited as biological control agents against
main vascular pathogens in olive.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Olive plant material and sampling

Olive plants from cultivars ‘Picual’ and ‘Arbequina’ of different age were used in the
study. These olive genotypes are within the most widely cultivated in Spain [31]. Olive
adult trees (10-years-old) are grown at an experimental field (sandy loam soil, pH 8.5,
1.4% organic matter) located at the Alameda del Obispo Research Station near Córdoba
(Southern Spain) (37.5°N, 4.8°W, altitude 110 m) belonging to the Institute for Sustainable
Agriculture from Spanish National Research Council (IAS-CSIC). The trees are managed
according to normal olive cropping practices.

Plantlets (1-year-old) obtained following a standard semi-woody stacking procedure
at a commercial olive nursery (Plantas Continental S.A, Córdoba, Spain) were grown in
500 ml pots containing a perlite:coconut fiber:peat (1.5:5:3.5) mixture amended with 1% of
slow release fertilizer (Osmocote® Exact standard 15-9-12+2MgO; ICL Specialty
Fertilizers, The Netherlands). Seedling plants were grown for 3 months in a growth
chamber adjusted to 22±2°C, 60–80% relative humidity and a 14 h photoperiod of
fluorescent light of 360 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, were watered as needed and fertilized every two
weeks with 100 mL Hoagland’s nutrient solution.

For xylem sap extraction, four terminal 35-cm-long branches (one per tree) from adult
trees and the entire canopy of four seedlings from each olive genotype were selected to
characterize the chemical composition of olive xylem sap. All pruned branches were
placed in sterile plastic bags, sprayed with distilled water and kept in a cold room at 4°C
to avoid desiccation until sample processing within the same day.

2.2. Xylem sap extraction

A Scholander pressure chamber was used to perform xylem sap extraction from
xylem vessels with an external port allowing branches inclusion up to 60 cm long. Xylem
sap extraction procedure was described previously in Anguita-Maeso et al. [32]. Briefly,
after inserting the branch in the super chamber, 2 cm of the main stem was debarked and
disinfested to avoid microbial contamination of the xylem sap from bark and phloem. The
pressure was increased gradually until xylem sap drops were observed, but to a
maximum of 25 bars of pressure to avoid external contamination derived from cell
rupture.

Xylem sap was collected in a 15 ml sterile Falcon tube placed on ice. An average of
10 ml of xylem sap was collected from adult olive trees, while an average of 5 ml was
extracted from olive plantlets. Samples were immediately frozen at -80°C until analysis.
One aliquot of the sample was used to determine absence of cytosolic contamination by
using the malate dehydrogenase assay kit (Sigma) [27] before sending the samples for
metabolomic and ionomic analysis.

2.3. Metabolomic and ionomic analysis

Two aliquots of 1 ml each were sent on ice to the Metabolomics and Ionomic Services
at the Center for Edaphology and Applied Biology of Segura, CSIC, Spain.

Metabolome analysis of xylem sap was performed by proton nuclear magnetic
resonance (NMR) spectroscopy as described in [22]. Briefly, frozen xylem olive sap
samples were thawed on ice and 18% (vol/vol) of D2O (nmr deuto, Germany),
containing 0.1% 3-(trimethylsilyl) propionic acid-d4 sodium salt (TMSP-d4; Sigma
Aldrich) was added as chemical shift reference to a final volume of 220 µl. The NMR
spectra were acquired at 298 K on a Bruker AVIII HD 500 NMR (Bruker Biospin,
Germany) spectrometer (500.13 MHz for 1H) equipped with a 5 mm CPP BBO cryogenic probe. 1H spectra were referenced to TMSP signal (δ = 0.00 ppm). Standard one-dimensional spectra were acquired at 298 K using the “noesypr1d” pulse sequence with water presaturation during relaxation delay and mixing time. Acquired spectra consisted of 128 scans, with 65,000 complex data points and a spectral width of 7,211.539 Hz, were processed using Topspin (v3.2; Bruker BioSpin Corp.) and imported to the Chenomx NMR suite (v8.1; Chenomx Inc., Edmonton, Alberta, Canada) for targeted identification and quantification of metabolites present in xylem sap.

Ionome analysis was performed by inductively coupled plasma with optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) as described in de la Rosa et al. [33] using an Optima 4300 DV (Perkin Elmer Instruments; 190 Martinez Rd., Edgewood, NM, 87015; USA). Shortly, after performing the instrument sensitivity and obtain the calibration curve, the following ICP-OES parameters were used: nebulizer flow, 0.80 l min$^{-1}$; radio frequency power, 1450; sample introduction, 1.50 ml min$^{-1}$; flush time, 15 s; delay time, 20 s; read time, 10 s; wash time, 60 s; no internal standards were used and each sample was read in triplicate. On the other hand, xylem sap anion concentration was determined by ion chromatography (Metrohm AG, Herisau, Switzerland) on a Metrosep A Supp 4 analytical column (4 × 125 mm 1.8 mm Na2CO3/1.7 mm NaHCO3 eluent) as described in Akhtar et al. [34].

2.2. Statistical analyses

The effects of the olive genotype and plant age on the chemical composition of xylem sap were determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the lineal models function in rstats package in R [41]. The experimental design consisted of a two-way factorial design with plant age and olive genotype as factors. When the interaction plant age x olive genotype was significant ($P<0.05$) single degree of freedom contrasts were performed to disentangle the differences among treatment combinations. Data of metabolites and ions were unified to µM units prior to logarithm transformation to fulfill ANOVA assumption tested by Shapiro-wilks and Levene’s tests using the rtatix and car packages in R, respectively [35,36]. An initial, non-supervised multivariate hierarchical clustering analysis, using Pearson’s correlation to measure distance and an average Ward clustering algorithm of all metabolites measured was performed. Then, the supervised clustering method, principal least square-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) [37], was used to reduce the number of variables (metabolites) and select the most predictive or discriminative to differentiate the xylem sap composition according to the olive plant age, and then within each plant age according to the olive genotype. Both, hierarchical clustering and PLS-DA analyses were performed using MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca; [38]).

3. Results

3.1. Metabolite profiles in olive xylem sap

A total of 30 metabolites were identified in olive xylem sap using NMR including five organic acids (acetate, formic, fumaric, maleic and succinic acids), 15 amino acids (alanine, arginine, asparagine, aspartate, GABA, glutamate, glutamine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, phenylalanine, proline, threonine, tyrosine, valine), five sugars (glucose, fructose, sucrose, mannitol and myo-inositol), two alcohols (ethanol and methanol), and three other molecules (choline, sarcosine and trigonelline) (Table 1). The vast majority of organic acids, sugars and osmolytes were detected in all samples while amino acids displayed more variability among samples. Thus, the amino acids arginine, asparagine, GABA, methionine and proline were detected in less than half of the samples. In addition, the amino acids arginine, asparagine, aspartate and phenylalanine were detected in a higher number of samples of ‘Picual’ as compared to ‘Arbequina’, whereas a higher detection of GABA and Choline was found in adult trees as compared to plantlets (Table 1).
Figure 1. Percentage composition of the different organic groups, amino acids, organic acids, alcohols, sugars, osmolytes mineral elements and inorganic ions detected in olive xylem sap.
Table 1. Mean content (μM) and range of the main groups of metabolites identified in xylem sap from plantlets and adult olive trees of ‘Picual’ and ‘Arbequina’ genotypes and results of ANOVA analysis to determine the effects of plant age and genotype. For each treatment mean values and standard derivation are shown. Detection of each compound in the total samples tested is displayed in brackets.

| Metabolite   | min-max | Adult trees | Plantlets | ANOVA |
|--------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-------|
|              |         | Arbequina   | Picual    | Arbequina | Picual | Age (A) | Genotype (G) | A x G |
| Acetate      | 97.9 – 264.6 | 134.9±14.05 (4/4) | 127.28±14.29 (4/4) | 137.20±30.15 (4/4) | 142.73±81.42 (4/4) | 0.925 | 0.715 | 0.951 |
| Formic Acid  | 16.0 – 36.0 | 22.43±5.39 (4/4) | 16.98±1.62 (4/4) | 24.70±9.49 (4/4) | 18.73±1.54 (4/4) | 0.509 | 0.065 | 0.883 |
| Fumaric Acid | 1.6 – 17.4 | 5.70±3.40 (4/4) | 11.25±4.95 (4/4) | 7.68±1.64 (4/4) | 6.25±2.23 (4/4) | 0.991 | 0.270 | 0.065 |
| Maleic Acid  | 9.8 – 29.4 | 26.30±3.80 (4/4) | 15.40±2.77 (4/4) | 16.93±6.2 (4/4) | 18.20±5.41 (4/4) | 0.229 | 0.195 | 0.042 |
| Succinic Acid| 1.6 – 29.5 | 6.98±5.00 (4/4) | 10.83±6.14 (4/4) | 6.18±1.47 (4/4) | 10.08±13.17 (4/4) | 0.446 | 0.576 | 0.551 |
| Maleic Acid  | 114.9±14.05 (4/4) | 127.28±14.29 (4/4) | 137.20±30.15 (4/4) | 142.73±81.42 (4/4) | 0.925 | 0.715 | 0.951 |
| Formic Acid  | 24.70±9.49 (4/4) | 18.73±1.54 (4/4) | 24.70±9.49 (4/4) | 18.73±1.54 (4/4) | 0.509 | 0.065 | 0.883 |
| Fumaric Acid | 7.68±1.64 (4/4) | 6.25±2.23 (4/4) | 7.68±1.64 (4/4) | 6.25±2.23 (4/4) | 0.991 | 0.270 | 0.065 |
| Maleic Acid  | 16.93±6.2 (4/4) | 18.20±5.41 (4/4) | 16.93±6.2 (4/4) | 18.20±5.41 (4/4) | 0.229 | 0.195 | 0.042 |
| Succinic Acid| 6.18±1.47 (4/4) | 10.08±13.17 (4/4) | 6.18±1.47 (4/4) | 10.08±13.17 (4/4) | 0.446 | 0.576 | 0.551 |

(*) nd= not detected.

For each treatment mean values and standard derivation are shown.

**Abundance of Metabolites:**

- **Organic Acids**
  - Maleic Acid: 9.8 – 29.4 (4/4)
  - Fumaric Acid: 1.6 – 17.4 (4/4)
  - Formic Acid: 16.0 – 36.0 (4/4)
  - Succinic Acid: 1.6 – 29.5 (4/4)

- **Amino Acids**
  - Alanine: 2.7 – 11.5 (4/4)
  - Arginine: 16.1 – 115.7 (4/4)
  - Asparagine: 23.6 – 36.9 (4/4)
  - Aspartate: 14.7 – 137.9 (4/4)
  - Glutamate: 4.5 – 856.1 (4/4)
  - Glutamine: 12.7 – 2482.1 (4/4)
  - Isoleucine: 2.4 – 9.2 (4/4)
  - Leucine: 1.4 – 6.3 (4/4)
  - Methionine: 3.7 – 17.8 (4/4)
  - Phylloleucine: 3.9 – 7.8 (4/4)
  - Proline: 6.3 – 402.1 (4/4)
  - Threonine: 5.3 – 186.1 (4/4)
  - Tyrosine: 4.5 – 17.7 (4/4)
  - Valine: 2.5 – 16.4 (4/4)

- **Sugars**
  - Glucose: 45.2 – 752.9 (4/4)
  - Fructose: 39.2 – 634.6 (4/4)
  - Sucrose: 25.4 – 220.1 (4/4)
  - myo-Inositol: 14.1 – 90.2 (4/4)
  - Mannitol: 72.6 – 1334.0 (4/4)

- **Osmolytes**
  - Choline: 2.1 – 7.2 (4/4)
  - Sarcosine: 2.2 – 13.1 (3/4)
  - Trigonelline: 5.4 – 22.8 (4/4)

- **Alcohols**
  - Ethanol: 29.0 – 606.7 (4/4)
  - Methanol: 35 – 276.4 (4/4)

**ANOVA Results:**

- **Arbequina Picual**
  - Adult trees: 409.45±162.01 (4/4)
  - Plantlets: 510.57±474.87 (3/4)

- **Arbequina**
  - Adult trees: 409.45±162.01 (4/4)
  - Plantlets: 510.57±474.87 (3/4)

**Means and Standard Deviations:**

- **Arbequina Picual**
  - Adult trees: 409.45±162.01 (4/4)
  - Plantlets: 510.57±474.87 (3/4)

- **Arbequina**
  - Adult trees: 409.45±162.01 (4/4)
  - Plantlets: 510.57±474.87 (3/4)

**Significance Levels:**

- **Means and Standard Deviations:**
  - p < 0.05
  - p < 0.01
  - p < 0.001
Table 2. Mean content (μM) and range of the main groups of elements and inorganic ions present in xylem sap from plantlets and adult olive trees of ‘Pical’ and ‘Arbequina’ genotypes and results of ANOVA analysis to determine the effects of plant age and genotype. For each treatment mean values and standard derivation are shown. Detection of each compound in the total samples tested is displayed in brackets.

| Element | Adult trees | Plantlets | ANOVA |
|---------|-------------|-----------|-------|
|         | Arbequina   | Picual    | Genotype (G) | A x G |
|         | min-max     | 202103.0210_v1 |           |      |
|         |             |            | Age (A) |        |
| Al      | 5.4 – 41.4  | 9.63 ± 5.94 (2/4) | 13.05 ± 3.82 (2/4) | 0.825 |
|         |             | 33.22 ± 11.61 (2/4) | 12.38 ± 8.77 (3/4) | 0.968 |
| As      | 0.1 – 0.5   | 0.21 ± 0.08 (2/4) | 0.41 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.373 |
|         |             | nd (0/4) | 0.05 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.536 |
| B       | 1.4 – 67.9  | 49.41 ± 15.68 (4/4) | 12.78 ± 7.37 (4/4) | 0.002 |
|         |             | 28.19 ± 10.03 (4/4) | 10.32 ± 7.78 (3/4) | 0.630 |
| Ca      | 104.0 – 1208.0 | 465.31 ± 42.70 (4/4) | 222.61 ± 216.07 (4/4) | 0.086 |
|         |             | 372.00 ± 55.43 (4/4) | 452.08 ± 517.03 (4/4) | 0.306 |
| Cu      | 0.3 – 1.6   | 1.20 ± 0.35 (4/4) | 1.26 ± 0.63 (3/4) | 0.195 |
|         |             | 0.91 ± 0.09 (4/4) | 0.70 ± 0.28 (4/4) | 0.807 |
| Fe      | 0.3 – 1.2   | 1.03 ± 0.21 (4/4) | 0.47 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.007 |
|         |             | 0.37 ± 0.02 (3/4) | 0.58 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.044 |
| K       | 1246.6 – 3571.2 | 1743.8 ± 160.4 (4/4) | 1556.3 ± 277.21 (4/4) | 0.765 |
|         |             | 1808.8 ± 320.31 (4/4) | 2371.4 ± 1056.8 (4/4) | 0.244 |
| Mg      | 50.4 – 879.4 | 90.00 ± 9.33 (4/4) | 156.56 ± 173.11 (4/4) | 0.347 |
|         |             | 92.84 ± 16.94 (4/4) | 316.95 ± 388.10 (4/4) | 0.607 |
| Mn      | 0.4 – 4.3   | 0.89 ± 0.05 (4/4) | 1.53 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.435 |
|         |             | 0.46 ± 0.12 (4/4) | 4.33 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.175 |
| Na      | 156.5 – 1281.1 | 410.28 ± 43.45 (4/4) | 365.80 ± 178.67 (4/4) | 0.332 |
|         |             | 709.86 ± 22.76 (4/4) | 666.55 ± 433.10 (4/4) | 0.997 |
| Ni      | 0.2 – 0.7   | nd* (0/4) | 0.32 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.538 |
|         |             | 0.33 ± 0.00 (1/4) | 0.50 ± 0.40 (2/4) | 0.991 |
| Pb      | 34.7 – 556.0 | 360.25 ± 162.99 (4/4) | 204.80 ± 155.74 (4/4) | 0.652 |
|         |             | 198.94 ± 25.91 (4/4) | 235.52 ± 66.57 (4/4) | 0.153 |
| Rb      | 0.2 – 0.8   | 0.39 ± 0.01 (4/4) | 0.62 ± 0.20 (4/4) | 0.298 |
|         |             | 0.35 ± 0.08 (3/4) | 0.59 ± 0.14 (4/4) | 0.266 |
| S       | 48.6 – 2330.9 | 249.43 ± 45.61 (4/4) | 341.73 ± 367.40 (4/4) | 0.746 |
|         |             | 194.87 ± 18.93 (4/4) | 796.26 ± 1075.4 (4/4) | 0.815 |
| Se      | 0.3 – 1.0   | 0.13 ± 0.00 (1/4) | nd (0/4) | 0.848 |
|         |             | 0.30 ± 0.00 (4/4) | nd (0/4) | 0.751 |
| Sr      | 0.3 – 10.3  | 9.02 ± 1.04 (4/4) | 0.85 ± 0.35 (4/4) | -0.001 |
|         |             | 6.24 ± 0.99 (4/4) | 2.75 ± 1.55 (4/4) | 0.003 |
| Zn      | 0.3 – 1.3   | 0.77 ± 0.10 (4/4) | 0.44 ± 0.08 (4/4) | 0.233 |
|         |             | 0.58 ± 0.07 (4/4) | 0.91 ± 0.46 (3/4) | 0.377 |

Inorganic Anions

| Compound | Adult trees | Plantlets | ANOVA |
|----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| Cl       | 2098.9 – 3925.2 | 2422.6 ± 67.18 (4/4) | 2539.1 ± 668.37 (4/4) | 0.236 |
|         |             | 2545.2 ± 219.52 (4/4) | 3048.1 ± 593.46 (4/4) | 0.918 |
| F        | 121.2 – 295.4 | 245.14 ± 28.32 (4/4) | 195.70 ± 58.43 (4/4) | 0.058 |
|         |             | 245.81 ± 35.64 (4/4) | 213.64 ± 2.98 (4/4) | 0.773 |
| NO₃      | 762.8 – 2851.9 | 941.12 ± 77.57 (4/4) | 1488.7 ± 376.20 (4/4) | 0.009 |
|         |             | 787.04 ± 30.56 (4/4) | 1438.2 ± 822.63 (4/4) | 0.113 |
| PO₄      | 741.8 – 1038.7 | 927.47 ± 104.85 (4/4) | 789.21 ± 60.03 (4/4) | 0.021 |
|         |             | 813.42 ± 17.03 (4/4) | 788.06 ± 30.53 (4/4) | 0.537 |
| SO₄      | 1470.2 – 3005.4 | 1657.8 ± 28.86 (4/4) | 1694.7 ± 218.43 (4/4) | 0.370 |
|         |             | 1620.5 ± 14.80 (4/4) | 1970.4 ± 722.29 (4/4) | 0.513 |

(*) nd= not detected.
On the other hand, ICP-OES allowed the detection of 18 elements and five inorganic anions (Table 2). All inorganic anions were detected in all samples studied, while more variable was the detection of other elements, such as Fe and Mn which displayed a higher frequency of detection in adult trees than in plantlets (Table 2).

In general, olive xylem sap metabolites included a higher relative percentage of sugars (54.35%), followed by alcohols (28.85%), amino acids (8.01%), organic acids (7.68%) and osmolytes (1.12%) (Figure 1). Among the five organic acids detected acetate and formic acid exhibited the highest proportion with 70.72% and 10.80% of the total, respectively. Similarly, the amino acids glutamine and glutamate were the most abundant in xylem sap, comprising more than 65% of total amino acids (52.59% and 14.72%, respectively). Furthermore, mannitol (51.72%) and glucose (20.98%) showed the greatest levels within all sugar compounds. On the other hand, the alcohol detected at higher proportion was ethanol (66.03%) whereas the prevalent osmolyte was trigonelline (55.72%) (Figure 1). Ionome analysis revealed that K (51.40%) and Na (14.79%) were the most abundant mineral macroelements followed by S, Ca and P (10.87%, 10.39% and 6.87%, respectively). On the other hand, Cl\(^-\) (40.02%) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) (26.33%) were positioned as the prevalent anions found in olive xylem sap (Figure 1C). Other microelements detected included B, Cu, Zn and Mn with abundances ranging from 0.68% to 0.02%, whereas Ni, Fe and Rb were detected at < 0.01% of the total mineral elements.

3.2. Effect of olive plant age and genotype in xylem sap composition

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicated the existence of significant differences on the levels of some metabolites according to the plant age including the sugars glucose, fructose, sucrose and mannitol and the osmolyte choline that showed significant (P<0.037) higher values in adult trees as compared to plantlets for both olive genotypes (Table 1). Aspartate content showed significant higher values (P=0.0496) in ‘Picual’ plants than in ‘Arbequina’ plants irrespective of plant age. Phenylalanine was not detected on adult trees of ‘Arbequina’ and showed similar values for the other three experimental combinations. Additionally, ethanol content was significantly higher (P<0.037) in plantlets as compared to adult trees, but only in cv. Arbequina.

Concerning mineral elements and inorganic ions, the concentration of B and PO\(_4^{3-}\) were significantly higher (P<0.021) in adult trees than in plantlets, whereas NO\(_3^-\) and Rb content showed the opposite behavior (Table 2). On the other hand, Na content was significantly (P=0.024) higher in ‘Picual’ than in ‘Arbequina’ plants for both plant ages and Fe showed the opposite behavior but only for adult trees (contrast P=0.086) (Table 2). Finally, Sr showed significant (P<0.033) higher values for adult plants as compared to plantlets, except for ‘Picual’ where no differences existed between the two plant ages (P=0.635).

Hierarchical clustering analysis using all chemical compounds identified by metabolomic and ionomic analysis separated xylem sap composition according to the olive plant age in first place, with a minor role of the olive cultivar (Figure 2). Similarly, PLS-DA analysis of all metabolites, mineral elements and inorganic ions were clearly distinct for xylem sap according to the plant age, and then within them there was a trend to group the xylem samples according to the olive genotype (‘Arbequina’ and ‘Picual’), with this effect being more clear for adult trees (Figure 3A). PLS-DA ranked the compounds using the variable importance in projection (VIP) scores at P=0.05, and showed that Sr, B, Fructose, Mannitol, Fe, sucrose and glucose had the highest VIP scores, showing values higher for adult trees as compared to plantlets, with ethanol showing the opposite trend (Figure 3A). Additionally, for the PLS-DA models clearly discriminated ‘Arbequina’ and ‘Picual’ olive genotypes when separate analyses were performed for each plant age. Asparagine, glutamate, phenylalanine and aspartate were the compounds that showed higher VIP score values and reached lower concentrations in ‘Arbequina’ than in ‘Picual’ for adult trees. On the other hand, aspartate, ethanol, arginine and Sr were the most contributory VIP variables in the discrimination of both genotypes in the PLS-DA.
model for plantlets. Thus, all those compounds, with the only exception of ethanol, showed lower levels in ‘Arbequina’ than in ‘Picual’ seedlings (Figure 3B).

**Figure 2.** Hierarchical clustering and heatmap of metabolomic and ionomic profile of olive xylem sap of adult trees (AD) and plantlets (SD) of olive cultivars ‘Picual’ and ‘Arbequina’.
Figure 3. Partial least squares discriminant (PLS-DA) 2D score plot and loading importance in projection (VIP scores) in the first PLS-DA component of metabolomic and ionomic profile of olive xylem sap of adult trees (AD) and plantlets (SD) of olive cultivars ‘Picual’ and ‘Arbequina’. (A) Combined analysis of all olive cultivars and plant age combinations. (B) Separate analysis by olive plant age.
4. Discussion

The results of this study reveal for the first time the effect of plant age and olive genotype on the differentiation of the chemical composition of olive xylem sap. These new insights of the metabolomics and ionomic profile of olive xylem sap provide new information for the characterization of the living environment for xylem-inhabiting olive endophytes which may result in a better understanding of the nutritional requirements for growth of those microorganisms. Indeed, knowing the nutritional requirements of the xylem plant-associated microorganisms will enable to design more specific culture media for its in vitro cultivation and isolation and to understand some factors related to the biology and pathogenicity of emerging xylem-inhabiting pathogens, such as V. dahliae and X. fastidiosa that represent the major threats for olive growth and productivity worldwide.

Our results showed that olive xylem sap contains a wide variety of chemical compounds. Thus, we found a high level of sugars, which were the main metabolites present in olive xylem sap, followed by alcohols, amino acids and organic acids. In fact, mean values displayed mannitol, ethanol, glutamine and acetate as the principal compounds within each chemical group. These results are in line with the findings of several authors where mannitol was abundant in sap from maples [39] or the glutamine was found the main amino acid in tomato, maize and grapevine [16,28,40]. In addition, the role of these compounds in the plant kingdom have been widely reported. Thus, mannitol is known as an osmoregulator being synthesized by plants in response to dehydration conditions [41] and has a remarkable role in protecting plant cells against damage by reactive oxygen species (ROS) [42]. Otherwise, several works have studied the role of glutamine amino acid in the activation of defense responses of the plant against pathogens where the deficit of glutamine is linked to the inhibition of glutamine synthetase complex activating plant defense genes [43,44]; or the antibacterial activity of acetate that supports flavonoid and lipid biosynthesis [45,46]. In relation to ions, K and Cl were the element and inorganic anion more abundant, respectively. High levels of K were reported in grapevines [47] whose availability is determinant for a properly plant growth [48].

Although, several authors have identified the chemical composition of xylem sap in different crops [26-30] overcoming the technical difficulties and labor-intensive task of sap extraction from xylem tissues avoiding cytosolic contamination has been a challenge. This explains why a considerable gap of knowledge on the effect of plant age and genotype on the chemical composition of the xylem sap exists. Thus, the influence of plant age and genotype in the chemical composition of xylem sap have been overlooked, only few studies have reported this interaction in leaves and roots. Nevertheless, some metabolomic studies have determined the existence of some differentiation of chemical compound dynamics during different plant growth stages in potato [49], soybeans [50], ginseng [51] and others herbaceous plants [52,53] that may have contributed to different biological activity of the plant, such as flowering period, or might be the result of variations on soil properties or environmental conditions [49,50]. Other studies have determined the effect of plant genotype on sap composition including birch trees [54], mango [55], maize [56] and grapevines [57]. Although there is no systematic analysis of how genotype influences plant metabolome and ionome, some predictions address these differences depending on the organism considered, the analytical technique used, the tissue sampled and, most significantly, the interaction of the genotype with the environment due to the compensatory role of metabolites to maintain metabolic homeostasis through the genetic adaptation to environmental stress [58]. Hence, this work aimed to remediate the lack of information in olive concerning its xylem sap composition to generate new insights that may be useful in future research by different disciplines including physiology, microbial ecology and plant pathology, among others. Our results
suggested that the metabolome and ionome profile of olive xylem sap varied mainly according to host plant age with the olive genotype playing a secondary role. These differential results may be due not only to the juvenile stage of the plantlets, but likely to the different fertilization regimens that each type of plant received during its entire life.

For olive in particular, some works have addressed the fruit metabolome from ‘Leccino’ and ‘Frantoio’ cultivars [59] or the variation of metabolites composition among different olive tissues including leaves and fruits [60]. However, most of the research has focused on the olive oil metabolome [e.g., 61-63]. To the best of our knowledge, only one work has studied the xylem sap composition based on the use of liquid chromatography (UHPLC) coupled to a hybrid quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometer (QTOF-MS) [30]. Our results were obtained using NMR and ICP-OES, that although is less sensitive than mass spectrometry, have been shown to provide powerful insights for high-throughput metabolomics application detecting a wide range of metabolites in an quantitative manner [64,65]. QTOF-MS provided to Sofo et al., [30] the wide discrimination of xylem sap secondary metabolism but only few primary metabolites including some amino acids (leucine, isoleucine, tyrosine, glutamate and arginine) and organic acids (fumaric and maleic acids) were detected in contrast to our results that involved the discrimination of the primary metabolism of xylem sap supplying five organic acids, 15 amino acids and five sugars, among other molecules. Furthermore, as a difference our work also provided information on the main mineral elements and inorganic anions present in the olive xylem sap.

In this study, the differences found on xylem sap composition between both olive cultivars were of particular interest, especially those found in adult trees were the effect of the genotype was more noticeable than in young plantlets, even though both genotypes have received same agronomic practices and grew in the same field for more than 10 years. This differential composition of xylem sap deserves further research by including additional olive genotypes, since they differ significantly in their resistance response to Verticillium wilt caused by V. dahliae [66,67] and X. fastidiosa [6,68,69]. Interestingly, several authors have studied the effect of both vascular pathogens, X. fastidiosa [68,70-72] and V. dahliae [1,73] in the metabolomics and ionomic profile of olive trees where the identification of specific chemical compounds in stems, leaves, and fruits have been referred to as potentially being involved in the defense mechanisms of the host plant against these pathogens.

This present study is the first to date that shows the use of a metabolomic and ionomic approach for detection of specific differences in xylem sap composition upon contrasting stages of plant age and olive genotypes. Being aware of our limitations due to few samples analyzed and the exploration of only two olive genotypes, we observed a major influence of olive plant age in xylem sap content, with a clear differentiation between the plantlets from the adult trees while the effect of the genotype was more evident on adult trees. These results have provided new knowledge on the chemical composition of olive xylem sap that will be very useful for the characterization of the nutritional requirements of olive xylem-inhabiting microorganisms. These results will also help to design more specific culture media facilitating the isolation and in vitro growth of the xylem-inhabiting olive microbiome [32] which can serve as potential biological control agents against vascular pathogens in olive.
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