Application possibilities of the low-temperature repairs on creep-resistance turbine components from material GX23CrMoV12-1

Jaromir Moravec¹, Iva Novakova¹,*, Jiri Sobotka¹, Tomasz Kik²

¹ Technical University of Liberec. Studentská 2, 461 17 Liberec 1. Czech Republic
² Silesian University of Technology, Welding Department, Konarskiego 18A, Gliwice, Poland

Abstract. Papers deals with the problems of repairs at new and also already loaded components of steam and gas turbines. Generally, it is about welding repairs at valve and turbine chambers, transmitters, vane carriers, tripping valve body and so on. There are both technological defects caused by casting (e.g. shrinkage cavities, misruns, porosity and cracks) and defects caused by operation (thermal-stress fatigue, incorrect method of operation, etc.). Many of the mentioned defects take effect not until the final technological method - mostly at machining. However, in this production phase it is not possible to get form the supplier new production elements due to economical and also delivery time reasons. All of that results in delay of production and penalty. As the major aim of this paper, there was to find such repairs techniques which can ensure serviceability of mentioned devices under the standard regime. In these cases is needed to use much lower tempering temperature than under the standard production, mainly because of necessity to eliminate deformation caused by heating of part that is not possible to be subsequently removed by machining.
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1 Introduction

Different types of defects can negatively influence behavior of creep resistance materials especially at production and running of casted turbine components. These defects are generally caused either by used production technologies or due to the subsequent operational loading. Nevertheless, these production defects are very difficult to be removed because these materials and products of them are typical of e.g. their highly-alloying, complex shape or abnormal dimensions of casted parts. [1,2] Some of these defects (the visual ones) are repaired directly in the foundries with subsequent specified heat treatment of the whole part in furnace (for martensitic steels tempering at temperatures 710 up to 740°C). Moreover, ultrasonic and eddy current NDT is used during visual inspection of every part. [3,4] However, there are defects that can’t be detected during visual inspection. These defects are generally detected at subsequent technological operations, mainly during/after machining when the given part already has the final assembly dimensions. Nevertheless, generally
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during machining can be detected (or even made) other defects as e.g. are cracks in the zones of local stress waves. Beside the mentioned types of defects can be at energy devices detected also defects caused by operational conditions (parameters and purity of steam, blackouts, starting rates and so on), eventually by infringement of the specified operational parameters by operator (e.g. unauthorized use or manipulation). [5,6]

There are generally used covered electrodes (111 acc. to ISO 4063) to repair such kind of defects. With such approach, there is needed to carry out welding with pre-heating and the whole part (or just area to be repaired) is heat treated after welding. If the part dimensions are not abnormal, heat treatment can be done in the furnace. If the part dimensions are abnormal, there is necessary to perform pre-heating and subsequent heat treatment of part by means of the electric heating elements (so-called muffs). However, in this case can’t be used the common processing process because of high pre-heating temperatures and subsequent heat treatment. Repairs are supposed to take into account fact that there is necessary to eliminate deformation of machined surfaces and plastic stress accumulation, cause to achieve the same operational service life. Also creation of undesirable structural changes must be avoid and eliminate also. Last, but not least, there is thermal degradation of basic materials at components, which have been operated for a long-time.

2 Experimental part

In the own experiment was used martensitic steel GX23CrMoV12-1. Under the normal and elevated temperature, it is stainless steel alloyed by chromium (Cr), nickel (Ni) and manganese (Mn). Among the most important strengthening mechanisms, which take effect during high-temperature explosion of such type of heat-resisting and modified steels, there are precipitation strengthening and solid solution substitutional strengthening.

Microstructural stability of this steel is positively influenced by low coarse-rate of M_{23}C_{6} particles. It is due to fact that during creep loading, there is growing and subsequent coarsing of these particles, whereas coarse-rate increases with the increasing Cr content in steels. Another creep resistance increase is done by nitrogen alloying and also due to vanadium nitride precipitation within the grain.

Thus solid solution strengthening is subsequently achieved by substitution, whereas the main influence has the increasing molybdenum content in the solid solution. It can be also substitutional strengthened by the same mechanism as using tungsten (W), as it is already used at creep resistance materials – e.g. steel P92. Moreover, there was proved that there is no reason to increase the molybdenum equivalent content in steel over the solubility limit. Higher Mo and W content in steels results in secondary phases precipitation which are rich in Mo or W - e.g. M_{6}X and (Fe, Cr)_{2}(Mo, W). So finally there is lowering of solid solution strengthening. [7,8]

Steels GX23CrMoV12-1 is suitable to be used up to temperature 600°C, whereas its ultimate strength under creep achieves 59 MPa for this temperature and temperature exposition for 10\(^{5}\) Hrs. They are often used as steam turbines components as can be e.g. blades, rotors or compressors in USC power plants. Such steels offer a very good ratio between strength properties and resistance against oxidation under high temperatures - all of it under relatively low cost.

In Fig. 1 is shown the structure of delivered material GX23CrMoV12-1. It is tempered martensitic material with the initial hardness 259 HV for loading that corresponds to method HV10 accord. to ČSN EN ISO 6507-1. In Tab. 1 is shown the chemical composition of GX23CrMoV12-1 steel that was determined by spectrometer Q4 Tasman. In Tab. 2 are summarized mechanical properties of this tested material.
Table 1. Chemical composition of GX23CrMoV12-1 determined by spectrometer Q4 Tasman

| C    | Si   | Mn   | Cr   | Mo   | Ni   | V    | N    | Nb   |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0.238| 0.360| 0.609| 11.82| 1.148| 1.151| 0.301| 0.054| 0.007|

Table 2. Measured mechanical properties of delivered steel GX23CrMoV12-11

| Yield strength | Ultimate strength | Total ductility A5 at 20°C [%] | Impact energy KV2 at 20°C [J] |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $R_{p0.2}$ at 20°C [MPa] | $R_{p0.2}$ at 600°C [MPa] | $R_m$ at 20°C [MPa] | $R_m$ at 600°C [MPa] | 19 | 29 |
| 590 | 312 | 821 | 364 |

Before the classification of welding procedures and creation WPS, there was necessary to create the preliminary repairing welding procedure pWPS that is needed to be verified in light of both welding and subsequent thermal treatment of welds. Experimental verification is done by samples, which match to repairs on real part. In this case was as sample used multi-layer weld made into groove machined into workpiece having shape of plate with dimensions as following: 400 x 150 x 50 mm. Groove created along the whole length of plate had depth 20 mm, lower width of groove 15 mm, transient radii R5 and upper width of groove 25 mm. Groove is schematically shown in Fig. 2.

Groove was fulfilled totally by 21 runs in 7 layers over each other, whereas each layer contained 3 runs side by side. Welding was done by method 111 acc. to ISO 4063 by electrodes BÖHLER FOX 20 MVW (E CrMoV12 B 4 2 H5). Root layer was welded by electrodes with diameter 2.4 mm, filling layers by electrodes with diameter 3.2 mm and also 4.0 mm and top layer by electrodes with diameter 5.0 mm. Repairing by welding was performed by pre-heating of part on minimal temperature 420°C and interpass temperature 480°C. During the whole time of repairing was temperature hold slightly over the temperature $M_s$. Pre-heating was carried out by means of resistance heating elements (muffs) connected
to device Weldotherm 1, whereas the whole welding sample was wrapped in the insulating cotton Sibral. After welding there was a controlled cooling of sample on temperature 100°C to enable the complete martensitic transformation in the repair area. It was followed by heat treatment after holding time 2 Hrs.

Fig. 2. Geometry of groove used for the multiple weld

In the case of standard welding procedures for steel GX23CrMoV12-1 is welding followed by heat treatment that consists of heating on temperature 730°C and holding time on this temperature from 4 up 8 Hrs. However, in the case of repairs there can’t be used so high temperature for thermal treatment due to reasons mentioned in Chap. 1. That is why there was for repairs firstly proposed to apply tempering temperature of 550°C. To be specific, there was heating rate 100°C/Hr on tempering temperature 550°C, holding time 6 Hrs and subsequent cooling by cooling rate 50°C/Hr on temperature 300°C. Finally, sample cooled down spontaneously in wrap after that.

Properties of sample that was prepared and thermal treated as was described above were evaluated by means of hardness measurement acc. to Vickers HV10 on device Qness Q30A. From the thermal treated part were taken samples for subsequent metallographic evaluation and hardness measurement. These samples were processed by the commonly used metallographic procedure. The etchant Villela Bain was used to highlight structure. Hardness was measured in the parent material, HAZ and weld metal. Totally there were 303 incisions located in 7 longitudinal and 3 transverse lines in such manner that there was evaluated hardness in every of 7 welding layers. Incisions were done acc. to standard ČSN EN ISO 9015-1 for loading HV10 with axial distance 1 mm. Measured values of hardness are summarized in Fig. 3. For the weld joint, magnitude of hardness in the weld metal and in HAZ should not exceed over 350 HV.

From the results is clear that tempering at temperature 550°C for 6 Hrs is completely insufficient. Hardnesses in weld metal and HAZ significantly exceeded the maximal allowed magnitude of 350 HV. That is why there was decided to extend the holding time at tempering temperature 550°C up to 10 Hrs. However, measured results revealed that also in this case is applied thermal treatment insufficient in light of weld metal and HAZ hardness values. There was certain decrease of hardness values indeed, but it was not enough. Thus holding time was extended on 45 Hrs because of that. Results measured under this condition are shown in Fig. 4. It flows from them that after this thermal treatment there was sufficient decrease of hardness values in weld metal, but hardness values in HAZ still exceed the maximal allowed hardness 350 HV. Due to fact that another extension of tempering time seemed to be useless, there was decided to increase tempering temperature on 580°C and keep the same tempering time – so 45 Hrs. In this case, measured results proved a strong decrease of hardness values in weld metal (i.e. under 350 HV).
Fig. 3. Hardness values for multiple weld after welding and heat treatment at 550°C with holding time 6 Hrs

Fig. 4. Hardness values for multiple weld after welding and heat treatment at 550°C with holding time 45 Hrs
Fig. 5. Hardness values for multiple weld after welding and heat treatment at 580°C with holding time 45 Hrs

Fig. 6. Hardness values for multiple weld after welding and heat treatment at 595°C with holding time 45 Hrs
Nevertheless, hardness values in certain areas of HAZ still exceeded the required magnitude. So it means that also this approach is not fully suitable for considered repairing. So finally there was decided to increase tempering temperature once more – now up to 595°C. In this case (see fig. 6) were for weld metal and the complete HAZ measured hardness values lower than 350 HV. In the weld metal they varied within 300 HV and 337 HV and in HAZ within 327 HV up to 348 HV.

Acc. to standard ČSN ISO 148-1, these measured results should be confirmed by Charpy impact test. Positioning of testing samples in weld joint and notch orientation was performed acc. to ČSN EN ISO 9016. Samples were prepared in such manner to be tested both in HAZ (VHT 0/3) and in weld metal (VWT 0/3 and VWT 5/3). Own test was carried out on the Charpy impact tester LabTest CHK 450 J and always for 3 samples. Measured values of impact energy KV2 and impact toughness are summarized in Tab. 3.

Table 3. Measured values of KV2 and KCV2

| No. of sample | VHT 0/3 | VWT 0/3 | VWT 5/3 |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
|               | KV2 [J] | KCV2 [J.cm²] | KV2 [J] | KCV2 [J.cm²] | KV2 [J] | KCV2 [J.cm²] |
| 1             | 22      | 27.5    | 38      | 47.5       | 41      | 51.3        |
| 2             | 29      | 36      | 46      | 57.5       | 33      | 41.3        |
| 3             | 26      | 32.5    | 34      | 42.5       | 37      | 46.3        |
| Arithmetic mean | 25    | 32      | 39      | 49         | 37      | 46.3        |
| Minimal value | 22      | 27.5    | 34      | 42.5       | 33      | 41.3        |

3 Conclusion

At welding 9 – 12% Cr steels is very necessary to use not only pre-heating, but also subsequent thermal treatment at relatively high temperatures. This ensures both decreasing of residual stresses after welding and mainly carbon diffusion in martensite and thus also partial decreasing of material strength and hardness values at simultaneous increase of ductility and impact toughness. Moreover, dynamic of these processes is increasing with increasing tempering temperature.

Nevertheless, it is not possible to use tempering temperatures high enough at repairs of real parts. It is partly due to intensive oxidation on the machined parts surfaces, but mainly due to creation of deformation, which can’t be removed by subsequent machining. Thus as a result, there is tendency to lower tempering temperatures as low as possible.

There was observed tempering of weld metal (created by electrodes BÖHLER FOX 20 MVW) for tested material GX23CrMoV12-1 and decrease of hardness values already under tempering temperature 550°C and relevant holding time 45 Hrs. Despite the partial decrease of hardness values in HAZ, their magnitudes still varied within the range of 355 HV up to 393 HV. Lower tempering temperature couldn’t decrease hardness values fast enough, as it is in the weld metal. In HAZ there represent tempering temperature 595°C with holding time 45 Hrs (minimally) limit parameters for subsequent thermal treatment. By application of this thermal treatment it was possible to achieve that in the whole measuring area harness values didn’t exceed over 350 HV. Also magnitudes of impact energy in weld metal were totally sufficient. These impact energies KV2 varied for test VWT 0/3 and VWT 5/3 within 33 J up to 46 J.
Also in HAZ it managed to approximate impact toughness to magnitude 29 J that corresponds to thermally treated parent material. From the Charpy impact test were for 3 samples achieved values as 22 J, 26 J and 29 J. It already means quite good toughness under the surrounding temperature. Because the repairing components will work under temperatures about 600°C, there can be presupposed much higher magnitude of impact toughness and so very small probability of brittle failure occurrence. Also for working temperature start and stop times would be obtained impact toughness sufficient for repairing area.
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