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Abstract: The in-vitro flatus causing tendency of soluble and insoluble fibre fractions from four legumes, namely, blackgram, greengram, soybean and wingedbean by Clostridium perfringens was investigated. The gas production from the soluble fibre fraction of blackgram, soybean and wingedbean was relatively high and was similar to that from raffinose, whereas gas production from the soluble fibre fraction of greengram was low. The insoluble fibre fractions of all four legumes were found to have little or no flatus activity. Gas chromatographic analysis of the flatus gases revealed $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{CO}_2$ to be the predominant components of gas production.

1. Introduction

The legumes are generally implicated in causing flatulence, though the extent of their involvement is not clearly known. Many investigators hypothesize that some legume carbohydrates, especially the galactose-containing oligosaccharides, escape digestion and absorption in the small intestine and are later subjected to microbial fermentation in the large intestine, resulting in the production of gases. This is supported by the fact that the human alimentary canal does not produce the enzyme galactosidase which is essential for the hydrolysis and absorption of these oligosaccharides. However, the microflora in the human colon can produce this enzyme and can therefore be expected to ferment this carbohydrate.

Though flatulence is generally attributed to short-chain oligosaccharides, there is some evidence that other carbohydrates may also be involved. Rackis et al. reported that the flatus principle of soybean is in the water soluble, low molecular weight carbohydrate fraction and not in the hulls, fat, protein or the water insoluble residue. However Murphy et al. have reported that the water insoluble polysaccharides may also be responsible. Fleming studied the flatus potential of seven legumes and reported oligosaccharides, glucose and pentosans and not the starch or lignin to be responsible for hydrogen production. El Faki et al., in their flatulence studies in vivo and in vitro on chick pea, cowpea and horsegram, found not only oligosaccharides, but also starch and hemicellulose to contribute substantially to the flatus condition.
In the present study, the flatulence potential of soluble and insoluble dietary fibre fractions of four legumes, viz. black gram (BG), greengram (GG), soybean (soy) and winged bean (WB), compared to raffinose and glucose, were established by incubation with anaerobic Clostridium perfringens. The amount of gas produced was taken as the parameter indicative of the possible flatus producing ability.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sample Preparation

Mature seeds of four legumes viz. blackgram (variety MI 1), greengram (variety MI 5), soybean (variety PB 1) and winged bean (variety TPT-2) were obtained from the Dry Zone Agriculture Research Station, Mahavelappallama. They were ground in a Wiley laboratory mill to pass through a 60-mesh sieve and defatted with hexane for 8 h.

2.2 Preparation of Soluble and Insoluble Fibre Fractions

The enzymatic method of Hellendoorn, as modified by Schweizer and Wursch was used to isolate the soluble and insoluble fibre from the defatted flours. This approach involved stepwise removal of proteins with pepsin and pancreatin, and starch with glucomylase. The residue forms the insoluble fibre (ENZ-I). The precipitation from the supernatant with 4 volumes of ethanol gives soluble fibre (ENZ-S).

2.3 In Vitro Gas Production

In vitro gas production on soluble and insoluble fibre fractions of the legumes, with glucose and raffinose as control sugars, was carried out anaerobically using Clostridium perfringens of intestinal origin and a thioglycollate medium, according to the method of Richards et al., as modified by El Faki et al. Four controls were run, one without organism and the other without carbohydrates.

The fibre fractions and sugars were incorporated into the media at 1% level and autoclaved at 15 psig, 120°C for 15 min. Test tubes, each containing 14 ml of media were incubated overnight at 37°C to check for contamination. The media was then mixed with 1 ml inoculum from a 24 h old culture of Clostridium perfringens and the mixture then drawn into 30 ml glass syringes. The outlet of the syringe was plugged with sterile serum rubber stoppers and the mixture incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The gas produced was directly measured from the movement of the syringe barrel. Two controls were run, one without organism and the other without carbohydrates.
2.4 Determination of Gas Composition

The gas collected above was analysed for its constituent gases by the use of a Fisher Hamilton Gas Partitioner connected to a Fisher Scientific Series 260 recorder. A two column standard system was used. The first column was a 6 ft x 1/4 in ID glass packed with 30% DC-2-ethyl-hexylsebacate on 60-80 mesh column pak and the second column was a 6½ ft x 3/16 in ID glass packed with 40-60 mesh molecular sieve.

The CO₂ concentration in 0.5 ml of each gas sample was determined using both columns 1 and 2 with helium as the carrier gas at 40 ml/min and attenuation 8x. The hydrogen content in 0.5 ml of gas mixture was analysed using column 2 only with argon as the carrier gas at a flow of 30 ml/min at 16x attenuation. Concentration of CO₂ and H₂ in the gas mixture was calculated from peak height measurements, relative to peak heights for pure H₂ and CO₂.

2.5 Replication

The study was repeated thrice so as to obtain more reliable data.

3. Results and Discussion

The volume and percent composition of the gas produced are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Bacteria incubated on glucose produced the greatest volume (20 cc) of gas within 4 hours of incubation. In cultures incubated on raffinose, gas production was slower, reaching only 10 cc by 24 h. The insoluble fibre fraction of all four legumes produced little or no gas during the 24 h incubation period. In contrast, the soluble fibre fractions of BG, soy and WB produced a net volume of 8, 7 and 6 cc respectively, by 24 h. However, gas production from these fractions was low (3 cc) during the first 8 h, a trend similar to that observed for raffinose. The soluble fibre fraction of green gram behaved in a manner different to other three legumes; the gas production was only 3 cc even after 24 h of incubation.

Carbon dioxide and Hydrogen were the two predominant constituents of the gas produced, confirming that a typical flatus producing system was being tested. The ratio of H₂ : CO₂ was < 1 for raffinose (0.96) and glucose (0.86), while this ratio was > 1 for soluble fibres of BG, Soy and WB. The percent composition measurements (Table 2) consistently yielded values somewhat greater than 100% (104 – 107%). The CO₂ and H₂ were measured independently on two different columns and utilizing two different gas mixture to calibrate the columns. The high values probably resulted
| Substrate                  | Total Gas Produced (cc)¹ | 4h | 8h | 12h | 16h | 20h | 24h | Net volume² (24h) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|
| Glucose                   | 20±1.9                   | —  | —  | —   | —   | —   | —   | 18               |
| Raffinose                 | 0                        | 2±0.3 | 3±0.4 | 5±0.3 | 8±0.4 | 10±0.6 | 8               |
| ENZ—S (BG)                | 0                        | 3±0.6 | 4±0.3 | 6±0.3 | 8±0.5 | 8±0.3 | 6               |
| ENZ—I (BG)                | 0                        | 0    | 0   | 1±0.0 | 2±0.2 | 3±0.2 | 1               |
| ENZ—S (GG)                | 0                        | 0    | 2±0.3 | 2±0.0 | 3±0.1 | 3±0.2 | 1               |
| ENZ—I (GG)                | 0                        | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0               |
| ENZ—S (Soy)               | 0                        | 2±0.3 | 4±0.3 | 6±0.3 | 7±0.2 | 9±0.6 | 7               |
| ENZ—I (Soy)               | 0                        | 0    | 1±0.0 | 1±0.0 | 2±0.0 | 2±0.0 | 0               |
| ENZ—S (WB)                | 0                        | 2±0.3 | 4±0.3 | 5±0.3 | 6±0.3 | 8±0.4 | 6               |
| ENZ—I (WB)                | 0                        | 1±0.0 | 1±0.0 | 2±0.2 | 2±0.0 | 2±0.0 | 0               |
| Control 1 (without carbohydrate) | 0                        | 0    | 0   | 2±0.0 | 2±0.0 | 2±0.0 | —               |
| Control 2 (without organism) | 0                        | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0               |

¹ Each value represents mean ± standard deviation
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Table 2. Composition of the Gas produced by the Soluble and Insoluble Fibre Fractions of Black Gram (BG), Green Gram (GG), Soybean (Soy) and Winged Bean (WB).

| Substrate          | Gas Composition (%) | CO₂         | H₂         |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| Glucose            | 57 ± 1.5            | 49 ± 3.7    |            |
| Raffinose          | 53 ± 6.0            | 51 ± 4.1    |            |
| ENZ - S (BG)       | 43 ± 4.0            | 52 ± 4.0    |            |
| ENZ - I (BG)       | -                   | -           |            |
| ENZ - S (GG)       | -                   | -           |            |
| ENZ - I (GG)       | -                   | -           |            |
| ENZ - S (Soy)      | 48 ± 3.0            | 59 ± 3.6    |            |
| ENZ - I (Soy)      | -                   | -           |            |
| ENZ - S (WB)       | 50 ± 4.6            | 57 ± 4.8    |            |
| ENZ - I (WB)       | -                   | -           |            |

1 Mean ± Standard deviation.

from an error in one of or both the systems, most likely from an error in the stated concentrations (CO₂ or H₂) of calibration gases.

The results show that the insoluble fibres have little or no flatus activity, probably because they are not hydratable. These findings are consistent with those of Rackis et al.¹⁰ who reported that the water insoluble residue of soy has no flatus activity.

The soluble fibres of BG, Soy and WB were found to have relatively high flatus activity. Although the production of flatus gas from these soluble fibres was lower than that from glucose, gas formation was comparable to that of raffinose, a well established causative factor of flatulence.² ³ The isolation procedure employed in the present study was specifically designed to yield sugar-free fibre preparations. Thus the gas production did not arise from the presence of raffinose or stachyose in ENZ-S of BG, Soy or WB. The soluble fibre of GG showed little or no flatus activity, confirming the results of Fleming.⁶ The flatus potential of WB has not been previously reported. The present results indicate that the flatus causing ability of the WB soluble fibre is similar to those of soy and BG.

The results of the present study showed that soluble fibre fractions of some legumes play a definite role in flatus activity. However, further studies will be required to delineate the exact nature of this contribution to flatus production. The ultimate test will be to feed purified soluble fibre fractions to human subjects and measure the time—course production, as described by Wagner et al.¹⁴.
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