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Abstract: The microbial resources in mangrove wetland ecological system are abundant because of their special characteristics. However traditional methods of isolation and culture alone cannot analyze the microbial diversity fully and so, in this study, a 16S rDNA library was constructed to analyze microbial diversity in the Fugong mangrove of the Jiulong River Estuary, Fujian Province, China. The total sediment DNA was extracted, a 16S rDNA library constructed, and the clones analyzed using the restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) method. The 16S rDNA sequences of 50 clones which had a higher display frequency in the RFLP analysis were blasted with the sequences in GenBank. The results showed that the highest similarity of the sequence in clones was 100%, while the lowest was 88%. The dominant microbes from mangrove sediments in the 16S rDNA library belonged to the Proteobacteria (70%) including α-proteobacteria (6.0%), γ-proteobacteria (22.0%), δ-proteobacteria (10.0%) and ε-proteobacteria (32.0%). The other microbes were Bacteroidetes (8.0%), Planctomycetacia (2.0%), Actinobacteria (2.0%) and Verrucomicrobia (2.0%). Additional uncultured microorganisms as well as those whose classification information was unclear were also detected (16.0%). The results of this study indicated that more objective and comprehensive information of microbial diversity in mangrove wetland ecological system had been obtained. There is abundant microbial diversity and a large amount of unknown microbial resources in mangrove wetland ecological system, which could have a very important potential, and so there should be more research to explore and utilize these microbial and functional gene resources in mangrove wetland ecological system.
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1. Introduction

The mangrove forest is a woody community that can be periodically submerged in seawater in the intertidal zone of tropical and subtropical regions [1]. The mangrove ecological system is made up of mangrove communities and their ambient environment. The structure and function of this ecological system combines marine and terrestrial properties but also has its own unique features: (1) the soil has deoxidized characteristics; (2) there is a higher salinity and salinization trend; (3) a lower pH value and higher acidity; and (4) a higher content of organic matter [2]. These systems are critical areas where biodiversity and valuable biological resources are very rich [3]. Because of their abundant biodiversity, these unique ecosystems have received attention from many scientists.

Microbial diversity is one important aspect of biodiversity, and because of their adaptation to the saline inter-tidal environment, bacteria and fungi are important in mangrove sediments and account for about 91% [4]. Diverse types of bacteria have a certain similarity in different mangrove areas and the total microbial community play an important role in the carbon cycle and nitrogen fixation. Thus, knowledge of bacterial diversity in mangrove sediments is important for understanding how mangrove ecosystems function.

Since the 1940s, microbial diversity has been studied using the purified culture and isolation method. However, a number of microbes are often “viable but non-culturable”. The
quantity of microbial species, which have been cultured, isolated and described in the laboratory, is estimated to be only about 1%-5%, and 95%-99% have not been isolated [5]. Thus, a little information has been revealed using these traditional methods, we do not have a full understanding of microbial diversity, since many of the microbial resources in the mangrove sediment have been ignored [6].

The increase in modern molecular biology technology has given the study of microbial biodiversity a new perspective [7, 8]. Using ribosomal RNA gene sequences as the criterion for identification, numerous new members belonging to known or new lineages of the bacteria and the archaeal domain have been detected [9]. A few studies have collectively provided useful insights into the phylogenetic composition of the prokaryotic community in coastal marine sediments. However, there are limited reports concerning microbial diversity in mangrove habitats, which have large ecological value. In this paper, microbial diversity has been studied by constructing a 16S rDNA library, with some of the 16S rDNA relating to genera or taxa that were classically identified in the Fugong mangrove of the Jiulong River Estuary, Fujian Province, China. The results showed that many were isolates from unknown genera, and thus extends our knowledge of microbial diversity in this unique coastal ecosystem.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Sites and Sampling

Fugong mangrove (Figure 1) is located to the south of the Jiulong River Estuary, and is a mangrove nature conservation area of Fugong, Fujian Province, China. The area of the mangrove community is about 670 km², and Kandelia candel is the dominant mangrove species, with a little Aegiceras corniculatum distributed at the edges of the K. candel community [10]. On account of its geographical location, it has been affected by ship wastes, and the discharge of industrial, livestock, mariculture and household waste and wastewater.

Three surface sediment samples (0-5cm) including the low, medium and high tide zone were collected during low tides using a soil corer after the top centimeter of sediment was scraped off. A 500 g sediment sample was collected in each tidal zone, and these were then mixed together as a composite sample. Sediment samples were packed on-site into sealed polythene bags, and transported to the laboratory in an ice box for microbiological analysis within 24 h of collection [11].

2.2. Extraction and Purification of the Sediment Genome DNA

A weighed quantity (5 g) of sediment was added to 13.5 mL soil DNA extraction buffer, and also 2% (final concentration) 2-mercaptoethanol, and 5 mg/mL lysozyme were added. These were then mixed intensely, and placed in a 37°C water bath for 1 hour. Next, 2% SDS was added and they were placed in a 65°C water bath for 2 hours, during which time they were mixed gently every 15 minutes. They were then centrifugated at 8500 g for 15 minutes and phenol chloroform solution (1:1, v/v) and chloroform were added to the upper aqueous phase to remove the impurities. 0.25 volumes of ammonium acetate (10 mol/L) and 0.6 volume of isopropanol were then added, and the mixture precipitated at room temperature for 1 hour and centrifugated (8500 g for 20 min). The deposit was washed twice with 75% ethanol, and after the ethanol was discarded or volatilized, it was dissolved in 200-1000 µL TE medium and the DNA was preserved at -20°C. The purification was performed using a GeneClean Turbo Kit from the Qbiogene Company.

2.3. PCR Amplification and 16S rDNA Gene Library Construction

The bacterial 16S rDNA genes were amplified from the purified genome DNA of the mangrove sediment using the combination universal primers 27F (AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG) and 1492R (GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T). The PCR reaction was performed using a thermal program, which comprised 94°C for 5min, 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 2 min, 30 cycles; then 72°C for 10 min; then storage at 4°C. The product was electrophoresed using 1% agarose gel and purified using the GeneClean Turbo Kit of the Qbiogene Company and then ligated by the pMD18-T vector, and transferred to Escherichia coli DH5α competent cells. After blue/white screening, the positive clones were plated with ampicillin to construct the 16S rDNA gene library.

2.4. Analysis of the 16S rDNA Library with PCR-RFLP and Construction of a Phylogenetic Tree

Primers M13-47 (5'-CGC CAG GGT TTT CCC AGT CAC GAC-3') and RV-M (5'-AGC GGA TAA CAA TTT CAC ACA GG-3') were selected to verify the size of the insert genes with colony PCR in the original screened clones. Nested PCR was carried out with these verified positive clones as templates and
the bacterial universal primers 27F and 1492R as primers, and the resulting products were subjected to separate enzymatic digestions with Msp I and Afl I endonuclease for restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis. The clones which had a higher frequency spectrum in the RFLP analysis were sequenced by the Yingjun Biotechnology Co., Ltd in Shanghai. The 16S rDNA sequences of these strains were aligned with the BLAST program on the NCBI website. The strain which had the highest similarity was used as a reference strain. If the similarity of the strains ≥ 97%, they were regarded as the same genus [12]. Phylogenetic trees were built using DNAMAN software. One thousand bootstraps were performed to assign confidence levels to the nodes in the trees.

3. Results

3.1. Total DNA Extraction of Mangrove Sediment

The DNA size ranged from 2kb to 23kb according to the electrophoresis profile on agarose gels as a result of the existence of impurities such as humic acid. However, after being purified using the relevant protocols, the isolated DNA contained mainly 23 kb molecules with a few smaller fragments, and its purity was also improved.

3.2. 16S rDNA Library Construction

The fragment size of 1.5kb was amplified in 16S rDNA of purified samples using PCR in which the primers were Eubac27F and Eubac1492R. 500 white clones were randomly picked out to the LB plates with ampicillin after blue/white screening, then incubated at 37 °C for 16 hours, before being stored at 4°C. The 16S rDNA library of mangrove sediment was obtained.

3.3. 16S rDNA Library Screening and RFLP Analysis

The 250 DNA inserts from recombinant clones that were randomly selected in the 16S rDNA library were reamplified by PCR using the vector primers M13-47 and RV-M. The results of agarose gel electrophoresis indicated that most of the clones had been inserted into 16S rDNA fragments, as shown in Figure 2. Nested PCR was performed using the colony PCR product as template, and Eubac27F and Eubac1492R as primers. The 16S rDNA fragments with a DNA size of about 1.5 kb were amplified (Figure 3).

![Figure 2. Partial results of colony PCR in 16S rDNA library, M: Marker, SM0191.](image1)

![Figure 3. Partial results of nest PCR. M: Marker, SM0191.](image2)
For RFLP analysis, the resulting products were subjected to separate enzymatic digestion $Afu$ I (Takara) $Msp$ I (Takara) endonucleases following the manufacturer’s instructions, and the digested DNA fragments were run in 3% agarose gels. After staining with ethidium bromide, the gels were photographed using an image-capture system equipped with a digital camera, and scanning image analyses were performed manually. The band patterns are shown in Figure 4. We analyzed the enzymic digestion profiles of 250 clones, resulting in the 50 clones with top frequency according to RFLP profiles being selected to determine sequence. These strains were considered as the predominant bacteria in mangrove sediments.

![Figure 4. Partial results of RFLP analysis of positive colony in 16S rDNA library, M: Marker, SM0331.](image)

### 3.4. Phylogenetic Analysis of the Predominant Clones in the 16S rDNA Library

The size of the 16S rDNA clone fragments was about 1.5kb, and these sequences were blasted in GenBank after removal of the vector sequence by DNAstar software. The results are shown in Table 1, and the highest similarity to their closest counterparts in the public databases was 100% (the 25th clone), while the lowest was 88% (the 42th clone). The similarity of 35 clones in the 16S library was no more than 97%, accounting for 70%. However, the similarity of 15 clones was more than 97% which accounted for 30%. In addition, the most similar clone sequences in the library, compared with those in GenBank, were those of uncultured bacteria, such as Actinobacteria or Verrucomicrobia. The phylogenetic tree of 50 clones in the library is shown in Figure 5.

Various bacterial groups have been identified in mangrove sediment samples. The Proteobacteria group is predominant in the total sum, accounting for 70%, including the $\alpha$-, $\gamma$-, $\delta$- and $\epsilon$-subgroups, accounting for 6.0%, 22.0%, 10.0% and 32.0%, respectively. Moreover, Bacteroidetes, Planctomycetes, Actinobacteria and Verrucomicrobia were also analyzed, accounting for 8.0%, 2.0%, 2.0% and 2.0%, respectively. Additionally, uncultured microorganisms and those whose classification information was unclear were also detected (16.0%). Figure 6 shows the percentages of the different bacterial groups, based on the sum of the signals obtained from 50 clones in the library.

![Figure 6. Classification and percentages of the 50 dominant bacteria froms mangrove sediment sample.](image)
Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of 50 dominant bacteria.
There are special ecological environmental characteristics in mangrove ecosystems, which are important inter-tidal estuarine wetlands along the coastlines of tropical and subtropical regions. Thus, mangrove sediment provides abundant nutrients, carbon sources and growth factors for microorganisms [13], and their roles in mangrove habitats are well documented. In our previous studies, the total bacterial number in mangrove sediments is very high (10^9 cell/g sediment) and most of them have a considerable potential for biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [14] and, moreover, the number of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degraders reaches 10^7-10^9 cfu/g sediments [15]. Therefore, bacterial community diversity and persistence are important characteristics of mangrove habitat. Unfortunately, little information regarding the microbial communities in the Fugong mangrove habitat was obtained using traditional methods. However, during the last decade, molecular methods based on comparative analysis of 16S rRNA sequences have yielded new and unexpected insights into the diversity of microbial communities. 16S rRNA sequences reveal numerous uncultured microorganisms in natural communities.
The 16S rDNA library method which has been developed in recent years, overcame the deficiencies in the traditional methods of analysis of bacterial diversity, and reflects bacterial diversity more objectively and correctly. It is very important to realize the significance of microbial resources in mangrove sediments and explore them using the 16S rDNA library method.

In this study, we constructed a bacterial 16S rDNA library for mangrove sediment, and 250 clones from the library were selected for analysis with RFLP. Based on the top frequency from the RFLP results, 50 clones were considered as predominant strains with a high frequency. Comparing these clone sequences with those in the GenBank database, sequence similarity ranged from 88% to 100% to their closest counterparts in the public databases, and most of them (92%) were uncultured microorganisms according to the alignment results. This implies that there are abundant uncultured microorganisms in mangrove sediments. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the 50 bacterial clones fell into at least eight major lineages of the bacterial domain: the α-, γ-, δ-, ε-proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Planctomycetes, Actinobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia groups. The Proteobacteria group was predominant in the mangrove sediments and this agreed with a report that this group is the major type in most environments [18]. A total of 50 clones, represented by 35 sequence types and accounting for 70% of the gene library, grouped within the four subdivisions of the Proteobacteria. The ε-proteobacteria represented the most abundant proteobacterial group. Many of the sequence types were phylogenetically associated with cultivated organisms involved in S-cycles and most of the δ-proteobacteria sequences clustered with members from genera with the well-known metabolic feature of anaerobic sulfate reduction, including Desulfotalea, Desulfobacterium, Desulfobulbus, and Syntrophobacter [19]. That δ-proteobacteria have been detected would suggest that sulfate elements were abundant in the mangrove sediments. Bacteroides bacteria are closely associated with the conversion of organic matter, such as DNA, lipids and proteins, and their absorption and use by these bacteria is an important part of the carbon cycle in various environments [20]. Thus, it is rational to find them in mangrove sediments, which are rich in organic compounds. In general, low similarity is considered to indicate a new species in the GenBank database, and vice versa. A total of 46 clones, representing various sequence types and accounting for 92% of the gene library, were uncultured microorganisms. In addition, 36 sequence types displayed rather low levels of similarity to the rDNA gene sequences for cultivated and described members of the bacterial domain, and their exact phylogenetic affiliations remain unclear. It is very difficult to identify the physiological and biochemical characteristics and the ecological functions of the dominant microorganism in situ. If we want to know more concerning their structure and functioning, then more comprehensive, more particular and more intensive experiments need to be carried out in mangrove ecological systems.

5. Conclusion

Our results should lead to the consideration of new kinds of optimization strategies to study microbial diversity in the mangrove wetland ecological system. In this paper, microbial genetic information has been obtained on many uncultured forms for the mangrove wetland ecological system. Compared to the traditional method of bacterial isolation and culture, molecular identification techniques reflected information concerning the microbial community more objectively and comprehensively. Accordance to these results, it also indicated an abundant resource of uncultured microorganisms existing in the mangrove sediments. Their contribution to nature and man are incalculable and so, based on our study results, exploration and utilization of these special microbial resources may be of great significance in microbiology, ecology, bioremediation of environmental pollution, and so on.
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