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Abstract

Background

One of the most important consequences of COVID-19 pandemic is anxiety and stress in the general population that can be reduced by regular physical activity. The aim of this study was to estimate the physical activity levels and mood state during covid-19 quarantine among the Kermanshah population.

Methods

In this cross-sectional study, using the purposeful random sampling method, a total of 2471 subjects ((male (n = 1256) and female (n = 1215)) were selected. To assess the physical activity level from physical activity questionnaire short form (IPAQ-SF) and mood state was measured by the abbreviated form of the Iranian version of the standard POMS questionnaire (Bill Morgan 1979). The descriptive statistic method (mean, standard deviation, and percent), deductive (Chi- square and Spearman's correlation) were used for analyzing the data.

Results

The results showed that a significant difference was observed between the number of sessions (P = 0.001), intensity (P = 0.001), and duration of exercise (P = 0.001) before and during coronavirus. There are significant positive relationships between low (r = 0.93; P = 0.001) and high (r = 0.673; P = 0.034) levels of physical activity and negative mood state, and a positive relationship between moderate physical activity level (r = 0.82; P = 0.001) and positive mood state.

Conclusions

It could be determined that the current quarantine has negatively affected the mood state. In general, to prevent COVID-19, regular physical activity is recommended under the health and safety protocols.

Introduction

On December 29, 2019, the Wuhan hospital, China, noticed unusual cases of patients with pneumonia.[1] However, the first case of the disease was observed on December 12.[1, 2] Further investigations showed that the disease originated in the seafood, poultry and alive animals market in Wuhan City, Hubei Province in China.[2] Afterward an unusual outbreak of pneumonia was reported to the World Health Organization on December 31. On January 1, 2020, the market was closed, and disinfected. After widespread speculation about the cause of this disease, the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention finally confirmed the report published by the Wall Street Journal and on January 9, 2020, announced the novel coronavirus called COVID-19 as the cause of the disease.[3]
Governments embrace health strategies to prevent the virus’s spread until the vaccine would be
developed.[4, 5] Healthy strategies and declare a state of quarantine were applied when observing the first
COVID-19 cases. The promotion of social distancing modified the physical activity (PA) and life habits of
the Iranian population.[6] All activities practiced in gyms, sports centers and swimming pools were
suspended.[6, 7] Since access to public parks and gardens was prohibited athletes and amateurs were
only allowed to practice near home while respecting the distance of at least one meter from other people
and thus the practice of PA has been progressively subjected to limiting conditions.[8, 9] Although
quarantine and socio-physical distancing are effective in decelerating the spread of the virus, they may
have negative psychological and physiological effects on most people in the community that lead to
nonconformity of health protocols.[10, 11] Regular Exercise and physical activity improve physical
fitness, mental health (reduce depression, anxiety, and aggression),[12] reduce the incidence of chronic
diseases,[13] physical disabilities,[14] and enhances the immune system.[14] The ACSM has also recently
identified physical activity as an effective factor in combating the complications and mortality of COVID-
19.[10, 15] However, studies show a decrease in the individual’s physical activity level during the COVID-
19 epidemic. Due to the positive physiological-psychological effects, performing physical activity seems
necessary in the quarantine in all uninfected individuals.[16, 17] The present study intends to investigate
physical activity levels and quality of life during COVID-19 quarantine among the Kermanshah
population.

Methods

Study Design

The present study is a quick, large cross-sectional online survey conducted using the WhatsApp Forms
web survey platform (WhatsApp, Kermanshah, Iran).

Procedure

The online survey was anonymous and not attributable to the identity of the participants. An
announcement, which included the link to the online survey, was published both on the All websites and
communication networks of Kermanshah province. Moreover, using the snowball sampling recruitment
method, the online survey was disseminated via social media such as Instagram, Telegram and shared
with the personal contacts of the research group members and among the university students. Prior to
the start of the questionnaire, the online survey form comprised a brief description of the study, its
purpose and the declarations of anonymity and confidentiality. The Ethics Committees of the Sport
Sciences Research Institute of Iran (Protocol Number: IR.SSRC.REC.1399.070) approved the study in
conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki principles.

Participants included in the study completed the online questionnaire between the 28th of March to the
20th of May 2020. Participants were recruited during the COVID-19 quarantine in Iran, a period in which
the measures taken by the government have limited the access to PA practices in all gyms, sports centers
and swimming pools, and have prohibited any outdoor PA in public parks and gardens.[8, 9] A total of
2471 subjects ((male (n = 1256) and female (n = 1215)), both physically active and inactive, completed the online questionnaire. To minimize the impact of errors due to this type of data, we adopted a cleaning process which consisted of the following steps: removal of ineligible cases and of multiple submissions of the same respondent; identification and handling of meaningless data. The latter were represented by invalid responses to the questionnaire due to the respondents’ reluctance to provide valid responses and the lack of internal consistency of responses. Data collection tools included Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) and Mood state was measured by Standard POMS questionnaire (Bill Morgan 1979). To manage this last point, a threshold/cutoff value was calculated according to the IPAQ scoring protocol, reported in the “Guidelines for Data Processing and Analysis of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)—Short and Long Forms”, under the constraint of consistency of responses (http://www.ipaq.ki.se).

**Questionnaire**

Since the questionnaire was administered to participants only once, the levels of PA for both conditions (before and during COVID-19) were assessed at the same time. The online self-reporting questionnaire consisted of 31 questions investigating the respondents’ PA practice in terms of frequencies and durations of sitting, walking, moderate-intensity physical activities and vigorous-intensity physical activities. The questionnaire, reported in Appendix A, was divided into nine sections which included: (1) demographic data (questions 2 and 3); (2) anthropometric data (questions 4 and 5); (3) PA before the COVID-19 quarantine (questions 6 and 7); (4) information relating to employment and residence during COVID-19 quarantine (from question 8 to 13); (5) information (before and during the COVID-19 quarantine) relating to vigorous-intensity PA (from question 14 to 17); (6) moderate-intensity PA (from question 18 to 21); (7) walking activity (from question 22 to 25); (8) sedentary behaviors (questions 26 and 27); (9) additional information regarding the practice of PA during the COVID-19 quarantine (from question 28 to 31). The validity and reliability of this questionnaire has been measured and determined by other researchers and has been introduced as one of the appropriate tools to assess the PAL in epidemiological studies [18]. This questionnaire includes 5 main components of daily physical activity including type, frequency, intensity, duration, and total physical activity volume. To determine the PAL, the total score related to the frequency, intensity, duration and total physical activity volume is multiplied by the scaled score for different types of physical activity and is obtained between 4 and 100. Then the PAL is divided into three categories: high (65 and above), sufficient (64 – 36), and inactive (35 and below).

Mood state was measured by Standard POMS questionnaire (Bill Morgan 1979) was translated and modified in some of the questions, and validity and correlation by the test - this test of reliability obtained (Cronbach Alpha 87%). POMS questionnaire includes six mental states: Tension, depression, vitality, anger, fatigue, and confusion. [19] The answers consisted of: not at all, a little, moderately, quite a lot, and extremely, Scored from zero to four, respectively. The subjects were asked to express their current and last week mood state. Evidence shows high reliability and validity for this questionnaire [20].

**Data Analysis**
All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software (version 21; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used at a significant level of P < 0.05. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used for evaluating the normality of distribution. The descriptive statistic method (mean, standard deviation, and percent), deductive (Chi-square and Spearman's correlation) were used for analyzing the data.

**Results**

The mean ± SD of demographic indices among the subjects are presented in Table 1.
| Variable                  | Male (n = 1256) | Female (n = 1215) |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Age                      | 27.87 ± 5.011  | 25.12 ± 6.49      |
| Married                  | 823            | 595               |
| Single                   | 405            | 600               |
| Divorced                 | 28             | 20                |
| Urban                    | 597            | 544               |
| Rural                    | 544            | 524               |
| Suburbs                  | 115            | 147               |
| Positive mental states   | 302            | 404               |
| Negative mental states   | 954            | 811               |
| Getting infected         | 110            | 83                |
| No infection             | 381            | 269               |
| Not knowing              | 765            | 863               |
| Observance               | 289            | 730               |
| No observance            | 967            | 485               |
| Education rate           |                |                   |
| High school              | 195            | 145               |
| Diploma                  | 177            | 196               |
| Associate Degree         | 346            | 289               |
| BSc                      | 416            | 417               |
| MSc                      | 105            | 156               |
| PhD                      | 17             | 12                |
| Job Status               |                |                   |
| Manual worker            | 137            | 29                |
| Employee                 | 76             | 33                |
| Student                  | 178            | 260               |
| Part-time                | 163            | 99                |
| Self-employed            | 256            | 88                |
| Variable    | Male (n = 1256) | Female (n = 1215) |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Unemployed  | 446             | 706               |

Table 2 showed that a significant difference was observed between the number of sessions, intensity, and duration of exercise before and during coronavirus; Based on these results, the number, intensity, and duration of training sessions during coronavirus showed a significant decrease.
Table 2
Number, intensity, and duration of sessions before and during the coronavirus between subjects

| Number of practice sessions | Before the coronavirus | During the coronavirus | Chi-Squared Test | P-Value |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Never                       | 134                    | 274                    | 2153.023         | 0.001*  |
| one day                     | 219                    | 214                    |                  |         |
| Two Days                    | 255                    | 305                    |                  |         |
| Three Days                  | 522                    | 354                    |                  |         |
| Four Days                   | 328                    | 172                    |                  |         |
| Five Days                   | 138                    | 147                    |                  |         |
| Six Days                    | 127                    | 80                     |                  |         |
| Seven Days                  | 329                    | 312                    |                  |         |
| Sometimes                   | 419                    | 613                    |                  |         |
| Total                       | 2471                   | 2471                   |                  |         |

The intensity of Training Sessions

|                |               |             | Chi-Squared Test | P-Value |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| Low            | 248           | 699         | 539.906          | 0.001*  |
| Moderate       | 1041          | 1352        |                  |         |
| High           | 997           | 349         |                  |         |
| Very High      | 185           | 71          |                  |         |
| Total          | 2471          | 2471        |                  |         |

Duration of Training Sessions

|                |               |             | Chi-Squared Test | P-Value |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| Less than 30 minutes | 519          | 839         | 479.021          | 0.001*  |
| More than 30 minutes  | 1952         | 1632        |                  |         |
| Total           | 2471          | 2471        |                  |         |

*: Significantly number, intensity, and duration of sessions before and during the coronavirus

The results of Table 3 showed there is a significant negative relationship between positive mood and low physical activity levels (r=-0.73; P = 0.002), and a significant positive relationship between positive mood and the moderate physical activity levels (r = 0.82; P = 0.001); Also, there was no significant relationship between positive mood and high physical activity levels (r = 0.067; P = 0.331). Besides of these results,
there is a significant positive relationship between negative mood with low levels of physical activity ($r = 0.93; P = 0.001$) and high levels of physical activity ($r = 0.673; P = 0.034$); there is a significant negative relationship between negative mood and moderate physical activity levels ($r = -0.87; P = 0.001$).

Table 3
The relationship between the physical activity levels and mental states

| Mental states      | Physical activity Levels |          |          |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|                    | Low                      | Moderate | High     |
| Positive Mood      | $r = -0.73$              | $r = 0.82$ | $r = 0.321$ |
|                    | $P = 0.002^\ddagger$     | $P = 0.001^\ddagger$ | $P = 0.331$ |
| Negative Mood      | $r = 0.93$               | $r = -0.87$ | $r = 0.673$ |
|                    | $P = 0.001^\ddagger$     | $P = 0.001^\ddagger$ | $P = 0.034^\ddagger$ |

$^\ddagger$: Significantly relationship with mental states with physical activity levels; $^\ast$: Significantly relationship with physical activity levels and mental states; The value is calculated using the Spearman’s correlation coefficient test.

Discussion

The results of the present study showed that the intensity of exercise, duration, and the number of sessions per week significantly decreased during the COVID-19 outbreak compared to before. Although such results were not unexpected, the fear of getting infected may have affected various activities and limited the social activities, and limited exercise and physical activity and even closed the clubs after the outbreak of the virus. Additionally, these changes in lifestyle and not having a fun leisure time, led to increment in stress and anxiety level in society. However, the closure of physical activities is the cause of many diseases, exacerbation of obesity, and motor poverty.[21] Therefore, health researchers recommend that people do workouts differently to strengthen the immune system.[21, 22] As sports clubs have been restricted and many closed to prevent the spread of the coronavirus, the possibility of attending clubs has been very limited.[23, 24] Therefore, to prevent obesity and other consequences of a sedentary lifestyle, home exercises and increased physical activities such as walking might be beneficial.[21, 25]

Also, the results of the present study showed that the moderate levels of exercise activity significantly reduce the negative mood states caused by the fear of COVID-19 and increase the positive mood states. Being sedentary caused a significant increase in negative mood states and a significant decrease in positive mood states. In the present study, a high level of physical activity was not only significantly related to increased positive mood states; but also was significantly related to increased negative mood states.
In general, physical activity is one of the most important providers of physical and mental health. Exercise can improve the body's fight against infection by strengthening the immune system.[23] According to the results of the studies, intense and competitive exercise is not suitable in these conditions and can reduce the body's immune response and lead to a higher risk of infection with coronavirus.[26, 27] Most studies have emphasized avoiding long term sitting and sedentary lifestyle, which is very common, especially in young people, by wasting too much time on social media and networks.[26, 28] In addition to improving the function and capacity of the immune system, physical activity can help reduce anxiety and stress, improve mood, control many underlying diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease.[21, 29] Healthy adults should have at least 30 minutes a day of moderate-intensity exercise.[30]

In general, regarding to the profoundly positive impacts of the physical activity on lowering stress and anxiety, it is necessary to be physically active during the corona outbreak. Although, the healthy protocols including disinfecting sports equipment with alcohol, and washing hands with soap and water should be considered.
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