Cisplatin-induced hydroxyl radicals mediate pro-survival autophagy in human lung cancer H460 cells
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Abstract

Background: Accumulated evidence demonstrates cisplatin, a recommended chemotherapy, modulating pro-survival autophagic response that contributes to treatment failure in lung cancer patients. However, distinct mechanisms involved in cisplatin-induced autophagy in human lung cancer cells are still unclear.

Results: Herein, role of autophagy in cisplatin resistance was indicated by a decreased cell viability and increased apoptosis in lung cancer H460 cells pre-incubated with wortmannin, an autophagy inhibitor, prior to treatment with 50 µM cisplatin for 24 h. The elevated level of hydroxyl radicals detected via flow-cytometry corresponded to autophagic response, as evidenced by the formation of autophagosomes and autolysosomes in cisplatin-treated cells. Interestingly, apoptosis resistance, autophagosome formation, and the alteration of the autophagic markers, LC3-II/LC3-I and p62, as well as autophagy-regulating proteins Atg7 and Atg3, induced by cisplatin was abrogated by pretreatment of H460 cells with deferoxamine, a specific hydroxyl radical scavenger. The modulations in autophagic response were also indicated in the cells treated with hydroxyl radicals generated via Fenton reaction, and likewise inhibited by pretreatment with deferoxamine.

Conclusions: In summary, the possible role of hydroxyl radicals as a key mediator in the autophagic response to cisplatin treatment, which was firstly revealed in this study would benefit for the further development of novel therapies for lung cancer.
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cancer [7–11]. The modulation of apoptosis-regulating proteins is widely accepted as a major molecular mechanism associated with chemoresistance. Recently, considerable attention has been paid to the pro-survival autophagic response mediated in cancer cells after exposure to anticancer drugs [12–14]. Although a protective effect of autophagy against cisplatin-induced toxicity has been reported in various cancers, the regulating machinery involved in the autophagic response in cisplatin-treated human lung cancer cells is still unclear [13, 15, 16].

Autophagy is a stress response that conserves homeostasis against environmental changes by recycling cellular components and generating new energy inside the cell [17]. Additionally, autophagy plays an important role in chemotherapeutic resistance in various cancer cells [15–17]. Cancer cells derived from cisplatin treatment highly express an autophagy-regulating protein, Beclin-1, which facilitates the conversion of microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3-I) to the phosphatidyethanolamine conjugated form (LC3-II), a marker of autophagosome formation [18]. Orchestration of autophagy begins with the activation of Beclin-1 to initiate the formation of phagophore, a double membrane component, followed by the conjugation between the autophagy-related protein (Atg)12 and Atg5 [19]. To expand the double membrane and construct the autophagosome vesicle, which sequesters damaged cellular organelles/molecules, the Atg12-Atg5 complex together with Atg3 and Atg7 further converts LC3-I to LC3-II. The LC3-II then interacts with p62 (sequestosome 1), an autophagy substrate. Recycling of degraded products from damaged organelles/molecules occurs in the autolysosome vesicle, which arises from the fusion between an autophagosome and a lysosome [20–22].

Various stress stimuli, such as nutrient deprivation, reactive oxygen species (ROS), and damaged proteins/organelles, can activate the autophagic cascade [12]. Because not only DNA damage but also oxidative stress can be generated by cisplatin, the present study aimed to investigate the role of ROS on the pro-survival autophagic response in cisplatin-treated human lung cancer cells. The information gained from this study should enhance the understanding of cisplatin-resistance mechanism and shed light on the further development of an effective chemotherapy for treatment of lung cancer.

**Results**

**Cytotoxicity of cisplatin to human lung cancer cells**

To clarify the anticancer activity of cisplatin in human lung cancer cells, the relative viability of human lung cancer H460 cells treated with cisplatin at various concentrations was determined via MTT assay. After culture with Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) medium containing 0–100 µM cisplatin for 24 h, a concentration-dependent reduction in the relative cell viability was observed in the cells incubated with cisplatin at 10–50 µM (Fig. 1a). However, the viability did not further decrease but remained constant at about 40% with the higher cisplatin concentration (100 µM). Mode of cell death was evaluated through nuclear staining assay. Treatment for 24 h with 50 µM Actinomycin D and 500 µM hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) was respectively used as a positive control for inducing apoptosis and necrosis [23]. Hoechst33342/propidium iodide (PI) costaining demonstrated the presence of apoptotic cells indicating bright blue Hoechst33342 fluorescence of condensed DNA/fragmented nuclei, but not necrotic cells stained with red PI fluorescence, in response to the treatment of H460 cells either with cisplatin (25–100 µM) or Actinomycin D (50 µM) for 24 h (Fig. 1c). In accord with the MTT viability assay, comparable levels of apoptosis were induced by cisplatin at 50 and 100 µM (Fig. 1b). Therefore, 50 µM cisplatin was the lowest concentration that exhibited the maximum cytotoxic activity and was selected for further investigation of the drug resistance mechanisms in human lung cancer cells.

**Cisplatin induces an autophagic response in lung cancer H460 cells**

To investigate the autophagic response, the alteration of autophagy marker proteins was evaluated in H460 cells cultured with 50 µM cisplatin at various time points (0–12 h). Although western blot analysis revealed a noticeable conversion from LC3-I to LC3-II after 12 h (Fig. 2a), the decreased level of the autophagy substrate, p62, was evident earlier at 6 h of cisplatin treatment (Fig. 2b). Additionally, cisplatin-treated H460 cells were stained with monodansylcadaverine (MDC) in order to evaluate autophagosome formation. Corresponding to the expression level of LC3-II/LC3-I, a slight detection of MDC-labeled vacuoles was indicated in H460 cells cultured with 50 µM cisplatin for 3–9 h and was then strongly observed at 12 h of cisplatin treatment (Fig. 2c). From the different fluorescence color of acridine orange at an acidic and neutral pH, the fusion of a lysosome and autophagosome, which results in acidic autolysosome, can be illustrated with red fluorescence of acridine orange [24]. As demonstrated in Fig. 2c, an accumulation of acidic vacuoles was gradually observed in H460 cells after 6–12 h of the incubation with 50 µM cisplatin. Taken together, these results demonstrated that cisplatin induced an autophagic response in human lung cancer cells in a time-dependent manner.

The underlying mechanisms of cisplatin-induced autophagy in human lung cancer cells were further
elucidated. Figure 2d indicates the overexpression of Atg3 and Atg7, the regulatory proteins converting LC3-I to LC3-II, in H460 cells cultured with 50 µM cisplatin for 12 h, which correlated well with the higher expression level of LC3-II/LC3-I at 12 h of cisplatin treatment (Fig. 2a and b). However, the alteration of the other autophagy-regulating proteins (Atg5, Atg12, and Beclin-1) was not significantly detected in human lung cancer cells cultured with 50 µM cisplatin compared to those non-treated control cells (Fig. 2e).

**Autophagy mediates cisplatin resistance in human lung cancer cells**

Because the influence of autophagy on chemo-resistance has been frequently reported [13, 15, 16], the protective role of the autophagic response against cisplatin induced-cell death in human lung cancer cells was further confirmed in this study. Lung cancer H460 cells were pre-incubated with either 0.5 µM wortmannin (an autophagy inhibitor) or 100 nM rapamycin (an autophagy stimulator) for 30 min prior to exposure to 50 µM cisplatin. After 24 h of cisplatin treatment, the relative cell viability (Fig. 3a) and level of apoptosis (Fig. 3b) were significantly different in both types of pretreated H460 cells compared with the cells cultured only with cisplatin. As shown in Fig. 3c, pretreatment with the autophagy inhibitor, wortmannin, augmented the level of apoptosis, as evidenced by the condensed DNA and fragmented nuclei in H460 cells cultured with 50 µM cisplatin for 24 h. Intriguingly, rapamycin, an autophagy activator, restrained the level of apoptosis in cisplatin-treated H460 cells. These results support the pro-survival role of autophagy on cisplatin resistance in human lung cancer cells.
Role of hydroxyl radicals on regulation of the autophagic response in cisplatin-treated H460 cells

A relationship between intracellular ROS levels and chemotherapeutic resistance has already been documented [25–27]. Moreover, cisplatin has been reported to induce oxidative stress in various cell types [28–30]. Accordingly, the generation of cellular ROS in response to cisplatin treatment of H460 cells was examined in this study. Human lung cancer H460 cells were incubated with 50 µM cisplatin for 0–6 h and then the level of cellular ROS was primary assessed through flow cytometry analysis of the non-specific fluorescence probe, 2′,7′-dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate ester (DCFH2-DA), which interacts with all species of ROS. Figure 4a shows the derived increment in the relative ROS level in H460 cells incubated with cisplatin for 3–6 h. Furthermore, the accumulation of intracellular hydroxyl radicals (OH) was detected using the 3′-(p-hydroxyphenyl) fluorescein (HPF) fluorescence probe, with the results showing a time-dependent increase in cisplatin-treated H460 cells and being markedly increased at 6 h of cisplatin treatment. The chromatograms obtained from the flow cytometry indicate a corresponding shift in DCF (total ROS) and HPF (OH) fluorescence intensity in H460 cells cultured with cisplatin for 6 h, while there was no noticeable change of the level of superoxide anions (O2·−), as presented by the dihydroethidium (DHE) intensity (Fig. 4b). The alteration of the intracellular hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) level in lung cancer cells cultured with 50 µM cisplatin was determined using the Amplex® Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay kit (Life Technologies, Eugene, OR, USA). Incubation with 500 µM H2O2 for 1 h rapidly increased the relative H2O2 level (Fig. 4c), as a positive control, whereas with 50 µM cisplatin for 1–6 h did not significantly elevate H2O2 production in these H460 cells. Thus, most if not all of the ROS formed in cisplatin-treated H460 cells were OH.

To provide supportive information regarding the role of OH on the cisplatin-mediated autophagic response, lung cancer H460 cells were pretreated with deferoxamine, an OH scavenger. After pre-incubation with 1 mM deferoxamine for 30 min, H460 cells were washed with PBS to remove excess deferoxamine prior to cisplatin treatment. The role of OH· in the regulation of autophagy in H460 cancer cells was further confirmed through pretreatment with 1 mM deferoxamine exposed with cisplatin compared with the cells only treated with cisplatin (Fig. 6a). Moreover, there was higher %apoptosis (Fig. 6b) assessed via co-staining of Hoechst33342/PI (Fig. 6c) in deferoxamine-pretreated H460 cells exposed with cisplatin compared with the cells treated with cisplatin alone. Taken together, these results indicated that cisplatin-induced OH· activate survival autophagic response in lung cancer H460 cells.

Cellular OH· regulate autophagy in human lung cancer H460 cells

In order to link the effect of intracellular OH formation on the autophagic response, an elevated cellular OH level was generated in H460 cells by co-treatment with H2O2 (100 µM) and FeSO4 (50 µM), known as the Fenton reaction [30]. This resulted in a significant up-regulation of Atg7, Atg3, and LC3-II/LC3-I expression levels (Fig. 7a), while the protein level of p62 was decreased. The role of OH· in the regulation of autophagy in H460 cells was further confirmed through pretreatment with 1 mM deferoxamine for 30 min, which was then removed, prior to exposed with co-treatment of H2O2 and FeSO4.
Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)
to diminish generated cellular OH$^\cdot$, the protein levels of Atg7, Atg3, LC3-II/LC3-I, and p62 in the deferoxamine-pretreated cells were not significantly different from the control cells not exposed to the Fenton reaction (Fig. 7b). Likewise, the MDC-labeled autophagosomes and red acridine orange-stained autolysosomes were augmented in H460 cells cultured with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and $\text{FeSO}_4$ for 12 h, while this was abrogated by deferoxamine pre-incubation (Fig. 7c).

**Discussion**

To escape from cell death, cancer cells mediate various responsive mechanisms to modulate their survival against chemotherapeutic agents. Among these survival signals, the autophagy has gained in attention [34]. This is a normal cellular defensive mechanism in response to various cellular stresses, such as nutrient deprivation, pathogen infection, and oxidative stress [35], which depends on the lysosomal degradation of damaged cellular organelles, misfolded/aggregated proteins, and harmful stimuli, by which autophagy efficiently promotes cell survival and restrains cell death [16, 36, 37].

Cisplatin-based chemotherapy can prolong the survival of lung cancer patients at an advanced stage [38]. However, there is accumulating evidence of increasing chemo-resistance to this platinum-containing drug in lung cancer cells [7–11]. In order to impede cisplatin-mediated cell death, cancer cells have adopted several
drug resistance mechanisms, such as modification of drug uptake/efflux, operation of cellular adduct tolerance, and alteration of apoptosis pathways [39, 40]. Substantial evidence indicates that induction of an autophagic response induced by cisplatin also plays a critical role in the survival and drug resistance in various cancer cells [13, 16, 41].

Likewise, in this study the treatment of lung cancer H460 cells with cisplatin at the maximal response concentration (50 µM) clearly stimulated autophagy, as indicated by the conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II, formation of autophagosomes, and lysosomal degradation of the autophagic substrate, p62 (Fig. 2). Interestingly, pre-culture with an autophagy inhibitor, wortmannin, efficiently sensitized apoptosis in H460 cells treated with 50 µM cisplatin (Fig. 3). These results strongly confirm a positive role for cisplatin-induced pro-survival autophagy in the chemotherapeutic resistance of human lung cancer cells. It should be noted that suppression on autophagic response could also be observed after cisplatin treatment depending on drug susceptibility. In cisplatin-sensitive cancer cells, cisplatin had been reported to mediate apoptosis through inhibition of autophagic survival mechanism [42].

Although DNA intercalation and ROS generation have been well established as cytotoxic mechanisms induced by cisplatin, modulation of the cellular redox status can activate survival signals in cisplatin-treated lung cancer cells [43, 44]. It is worth noting that different species of ROS could be generated by cisplatin depending on the cisplatin concentration and cell type [29, 44, 45]. Detection of ROS with specific fluorescence probes revealed the gradual accumulation of OH˙ in human lung cancer H460 cells after culture with 500 µM H2O2, but not by 50 µM cisplatin. Data are shown as the mean ± SD (n = 3). *p < 0.05 versus untreated control cells.

**Fig. 4** Elevated hydroxyl radicals in cisplatin-treated human lung cancer cells. a Augmented level of cellular ROS and hydroxyl radicals (OH˙) in lung cancer H460 cells after treatment with 50 µM cisplatin for 6 h, as determined by flow cytometric analysis with DCFH2-DA and HPF, respectively, b without alteration of superoxide anions (O2−) levels (flow cytometry chromatogram of DHE). c Detection with Amplex red clearly demonstrated the increased relative level of cellular hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) in H460 cells after culture with 500 µM H2O2, but not by 50 µM cisplatin. Data are shown as the mean ± SD (n = 3). *p < 0.05 versus untreated control cells.
modulating on autophagy could in turn alter cellular redox status. As a cellular detoxifying mechanism, suppression of autophagy consequently elevating cellular ROS level is essential for apoptosis induction in lung cancer cells treated with low concentration of cisplatin [50]. In conformity with pro-survival autophagy modulated by OH, resistance to cisplatin-induced cell death, as well as the overexpression of Atg3 and Atg7 in human lung cancer cells cultured with cisplatin was repressed...
by pre-incubation the cells with deferoxamine (Figs. 5a and b and 6). These support the role of OH˙ as a signaling molecule that triggers an autophagic response in cisplatin-treated lung cancer cells. Cisplatin was previously shown to generate autophagy in cancers through activation of Beclin-1 and Atg5, followed by the conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II [13, 51]. Although, the up-regulated level of Beclin-1, Atg5, and Atg12 were not observed in this study, there was also a significant increase of Atg3 and Atg7, which are essential factors for LC3-II formation, when H460 cells were incubated with cisplatin at 50 µM (Fig. 2d and e). Moreover, the alteration of autophagic markers, autophagosome formation, and fusion of autophagosome-lysosome was sequentially found in the cisplatin-treated H460 cells (Fig. 2). Different regulatory pathways for autophagy in human lung cancer cells might result from diverse concentrations of cisplatin. Focusing on cisplatin-resistant lung cancer cells, the lowest concentration (50 µM) that caused the highest level of apoptosis in the H460 cells was selected in this study, whereas previous studies on cisplatin-mediating autophagy in human lung cancer cells have used concentration at the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) or lower [13, 51].

It is the fact that various chemotherapeutic drugs, including doxorubicin have been shown to induce oxidative stress but the suppression on autophagic response is revealed. The accumulation of cellular ROS could be a consequence from the inhibition of autophagosome-lysosome fusion mediated by doxorubicin [52, 53]. Although OH˙ are also generated through redox cycling of doxorubicin [54], whether these free radicals involve with autophagic response after doxorubicin treatment has not been thoroughly investigated.
Conclusions
The present study indicated that cisplatin at the maximum response concentration mediated autophagy through the induction of OH·, which subsequently activated Atg3 and Atg7 and led to apoptosis resistance in human lung cancer H460 cells (Fig. 8). Although the molecular mechanism of cisplatin driving pro-survival autophagy should be further investigated in other lung...
cancer cells both in in vitro and in vivo experiments, the primary information from this study would benefit for the development of novel strategies for chemotherapeutic treatment, especially in lung cancer.

**Methods**

**Chemical reagents**

Cisplatin, Actinomycin D, Hoechst33342, propidium iodide (PI), monodansylcadaverine (MDC), acridine orange, 2′,7′-dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate ester (DCFH$_2$-DA), dihydroethidium (DHE), 3′-(p-hydroxyphenyl) fluorescein (HPF), deferoxamine, iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O), 30% (w/w) hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) solution, protease inhibitor cocktail, and skim milk powder were obtained from Sigma Chemical, Inc. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were bought from EMD Millipore corporation (Billerica, MA, USA), while 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) and Amplex® Red Hydrogen Peroxide/ Peroxidase Assay kit (A22188) were from Life Technologies (Eugene, OR, USA). Wortmannin, rapamycin, primary antibodies against Atg3, Atg5, Atg7, Atg12, Beclin-1, LC3, p62, and β-actin, and specific horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked secondary antibodies were acquired from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danver, MA, USA). Pierce™ Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit and SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate for western blot analysis were provided by Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA).

**Cell culture**

Human lung cancer H460 cells (NCI-H460) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA). They were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 100 units/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Life Technologies, NY, USA) in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO$_2$ at 37 °C. Cells at 70–80% confluency (passage 10–12) were used for experiments.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell viability was evaluated by the surrogate MTT assay. Briefly, lung cancer cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10$^4$ cells/well in 96-well plates for 12 h. After the indicated treatment, the culture medium was replaced with 0.4 mg/mL of MTT solution and further incubated for 4 h at 37 °C in a dark place. After discarding the MTT solution, DMSO was added to dissolve the purple formazan crystals and the absorbance was read at 570 nm (A$_{570}$) using a microplate reader (Perkin Elmer, Turku, Finland). The relative cell viability (%) was calculated from 100 × the A$_{570}$ ratio between the treated to non-treated control cells.

**Nuclear staining assay**

Costaining with Hoechst33342 and PI was performed to evaluate the mode of cell death. After treatment, H460 cells at a density of 1 × 10$^4$ cells/well in 96-well plates were incubated with 10 µM Hoechst33342 and 5 µg/mL PI for 30 min at 37 °C. The cells were washed and then observed under an Olympus IX51 inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Bright blue fluorescence of Hoechst33342 declares chromatin condensation and/or nuclei fragmentation in apoptotic cells, while the red fluorescence of PI indicates necrotic cell death [55]. The relative number of apoptotic cells to the total cell from three different observed areas was calculated and presented as the percentage apoptosis.

**Evaluation of autophagy formation**

Human lung cancer H460 cells were incubated with either 0.05 mM MDC or 1 µM acridine orange in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), pH 7.4 (Gibco, Life Technologies, NY, USA) for 15 min at 37 °C in the dark. Before examination under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX51, Tokyo, Japan), the staining solution was replaced with PBS. The MDC has been used as a specific dye to detect autophagosome formation [56], while the acidic cellular components of the autolysosome can be identified by the red fluorescence of acridine orange [57].

**Flow cytometry analysis of intracellular ROS**

The level of intracellular ROS, O$_2^{•-}$, and OH · were determined via flow cytometry using the fluorescence probe DCFH$_2$-DA, DHE, and HPF, respectively. For this, H460 cells at density of 3 × 10$^5$ cells/well were cultured overnight in a 6-well plate, then pre-incubated with 10 µM of either DCFH$_2$-DA, DHE, or HPF for 30 min at 4 °C in the dark before further culturing with 50 µM cisplatin for 1–6 h. The cells were then washed and resuspended in PBS prior to immediately analyzing for fluorescence intensity using a Guava easyCyte Benchtop Flow

(See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 8** Schematic diagram summarizing the proposed mechanism of cisplatin-induced autophagy in human lung cancer H460 cells. Cisplatin elevates cellular hydroxyl radicals (OH·) with consequential activation of Atg3 and Atg7 and conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II. These result in the formation of autophagosomes and reduction of the autophagic substrate p62 through autophagosome-lysosome fusion, and eventually manifest the resistance to cisplatin-induced cell death in human lung cancer H460 cells. Red arrows indicate the modulation on autophagy related molecules induced by cisplatin.
Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)
Cytokine (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) at an excitation/emission wavelength of 488/538, 488/610, and 490/515 nm for the detection of DCF, DHE, and HPF fluorescence intensity, respectively. The mean fluorescence intensity was quantified using the Guava InCyte version 3.1 software (EMD Millipore). The relative ROS level was derived from the fluorescence intensity ratio at the specific time point to that at 0 h.

Evaluation of cisplatin-induced hydrogen peroxide in human lung cancer cells
Because of the permeability through the cell membrane, the extracellular H₂O₂ released from intracellular compartments can be detected by the specific fluorescence probe, Amplex red (10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine) [58–60]. For the assay, human lung cancer cells at a density of 1 × 10⁶ cells/well were cultured in culture medium overnight in a 96-well plate and then cultured with either cisplatin (50 μM) or H₂O₂ (500 μM) as a positive control for 30 min. After washing with PBS, the cells were further incubated with 100 μL of reaction mixture (50 μM Amplex red reagent and 0.1 U/mL HRP in Krebs-Ringer phosphate buffer). The fluorescence intensity was determined using a CLARIOstar microplate reader (BMG Labtech, Offenburg, Germany) at an excitation/emission wavelength of 545/590 nm for 1–6 h. The relative H₂O₂ level was calculated as the ratio of the fluorescence intensity at the specific time point to that at 0 h.

Western blot analysis
After the specific treatment, human lung cancer cells were incubated with lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM sodium chloride, 10% glycerol, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 100 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and a protease inhibitor cocktail) at 4 °C for 1 h. The total cellular protein of the supernatant collected after centrifugation at 16,000 × 15 min (4 °C) was quantitated using a BCA protein assay kit. An equal amount of 35 μg protein from each sample was resolved under denaturing conditions of sodium dodecylsulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12.5% acrylamide resolving gel) and subsequently electro-transferred onto 0.45 µM nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany).

The transferred membranes were blocked for 1 h (25 °C) in 5% non-fat dry milk in TBST (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 125 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween-20) at room temperature and incubated overnight with the specific primary antibody at 4 °C. After washing three times (5 min) with TBST, the membranes were further incubated with the relevant HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 2 h at 25 °C, and then detected using a chemiluminescence detection kit (Supersignal™ West Pico Plus) and quantified using the analyst/PC densitometry software (Bio-Rad). The intensity of each protein was normalized against that for the β-actin intensity and presented as the relative protein level compared to the non-treated control cells.

Statistical analysis
All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. The significance of differences among the groups were evaluated via one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Turkey HSD post-hoc test using SPSS version 22. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.
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