Long Noncoding RNA ZFAS1 Promotes Progression of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Through Targeting miR-6499-3p/CCL5 Axis
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Abstract. Background: Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) ranks sixth among malignancies in the world, and there are 200,000 new cases annually, rendering OSCC a significant global public health issue that has caused great burdens on patients, society, and the economy. Despite great progress in diagnosis and treatment methods, patient survival has not been greatly enhanced. Hence, there is an urgent need to identify novel targets that can serve as early diagnostic and therapeutic biomarkers for OSCC. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) participate in several cancer types, including OSCC. This work identified the competing endogenous RNA network related to lncRNA zinc finger nuclear transcription factor, X-box binding 1-type containing 1 antisense RNA 1 (ZFAS1) in OSCC, as well as the corresponding downstream targets. Materials and Methods: Firstly, we identified the lncRNA ZFAS1 levels in OSCC cells and tissues and confirmed its relationship to tumor progression. Secondly, we identified a lncRNA–miRNA–mRNA network, which was closely associated with OSCC development using bioinformatics methods. Next, our hypothesis that lncRNA ZFAS1 modulates OSCC progression was verified with in vitro and in vivo experiments. Results: Firstly, we found lncRNA ZFAS1 expression increased within OSCC cells and tissues and was positively associated with tumor progression. Secondly, its lncRNA–miRNA–mRNA network was determined, and the target of ZFAS1 was identified as miR-6499-3p/C-C motif chemokine ligand 5 (CCL5). Mechanistically, we found that ZFAS1 up-regulated CCL5 by competitively sponging miR-6499-3p. Further studies demonstrated that ZFAS1 promoted tumor progression in vivo and in vitro. Conclusion: Our results indicate that ZFAS1 serves as a crucial oncogenic factor in OSCC occurrence and development and may therefore serve as a possible therapeutic target for OSCC.

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is a frequently seen type of head and neck cancer, which has an associated 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of about 50% (1). Surgical treatment, adjuvant radiotherapy, and chemotherapy remain the mainstay treatment methods of OSCC, but its 5-year OS rate (range=45-50%) has not markedly improved in the past 10 years (2, 3). Therefore, it is vital to find novel and effective therapeutic targets for OSCC so as to improve prognosis.

Long non-coding ribonucleic acids (lncRNAs) are RNAs over 200 nucleotides, which are not encoded to proteins. Recently, lncRNAs have been linked with OSCC genesis and development (4, 5). Competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs), in which lncRNA competes for post-transcriptional control by sponging specific relevant miRNAs, is one of the known mechanisms through which lncRNAs act. Research has confirmed that microRNAs (miRNAs) also exert a vital role in regulating gene expression and cancer development (6). Therefore, identification of lncRNA–miRNA–mRNA regulatory axes might provide more clues to the molecular mechanisms involved in OSCC and potential therapeutic targets.
LncRNA zinc finger nuclear transcription factor, X-box binding 1-type containing 1 antisense RNA 1 (ZFAS1), has been suggested to increase cancer promotion (7, 8). However, to our knowledge, there has been no investigation of the role of ZFAS1 in OSCC yet. C-C Chemokine ligand 5 (CCL5) has been identified to exert a pivotal part in immune surveillance, inflammation, and cancer development (9, 10). As a result, this work focused on exploring the role of lncRNA ZFAS1 in OSCC and elucidating the mechanisms involved.

Materials and Methods

Patients and specimens. Twenty patients with a confirmed histological diagnosis of OSCC were enrolled from the Wuhan Sixth Hospital. None of the cases received preoperative neoadjuvant therapy. Their formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded OSCC samples and matched non-carcinoma tissue samples were utilized for detecting the lncRNA ZFAS1 level. The Ethics Committees of the Wuhan Sixth Hospital approved the project protocol (IRB-20-038-01). Each case provided informed consent for participation.

Cell lines and culture. The OSCC cell lines TSCCA, Tca8113, SCC-9, CAL-27, and normal human oral keratinocytes (NHOK) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA), cultured in RPMI 1640 medium or Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (HyClone, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) that contained 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone), followed by incubation in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

Vector construction and cell transfection. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) against LncRNA ZFAS1 (si-ZFAS1: 5′-GCTGCTCGAGATCTATTTT-3′) and matched control si-NC (5′-UUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGUTT-3′) were constructed by Ribobio (Guangzhou, PR China). Transfection was carried out by adopting OPTI-MEM and Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) in accordance with specific protocols. Then cells in the logarithmic growth phase (3×10⁵/well) were harvested and plated into 6-well plates. At 48 h post-transfection, RNA reverse transcription and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) were performed to assess silencing efficiency. mir-6499-3p mimics, miRNA negative control, lentivirus overexpressing CCL5, and control vector were also purchased from Ribobio, and the same transfection procedure was performed.

qRT-PCR. Trizol kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was adopted for extracting total RNA from tissues and cells. Ultraviolet spectroscopy was used to measure RNA purity and concentration. Thereafter, cDNA was prepared from the extracted total RNA using miRNA qPCR Quantitation Kit (GenePharma, Shanghai, PR China) and PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara, Japan) through reverse transcription following specific protocols. The StepOnePlus™ System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was utilized for qRT-PCR by adopting the SYBR Green Master Mix (Takara, Japan), with U6 and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as the internal references for miRNA and mRNA determinations, respectively. The relative quantification method (2−ΔΔCt) was used to calculate fold changes of cDNA. PCR assay was performed for each sample with three duplicates and repeated three times.

Western blot analysis. After transfection for 48 h, and total protein was extracted and measured by the bichinchoninic acid approach. After sodium dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using 50 μg of protein, proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk for 1 h under ambient temperature, followed by incubation using primary monoclonal antibodies to CCL5 (AF5151; Affinity Biosciences, Cincinnati, OH, USA) and GAPDH (5174; Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C. The membrane was incubated with secondary antibody was horseradish peroxidase-linked anti-rabbit IgG (7074, Cell Signaling Technology). After that, the ECL Plus Western Blotting Detection System (Amersham Biosciences) was employed for visualization. Finally, Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, USA) was utilized for quantifying band intensities.

Colony-formation assay. Transfected cells in the logarithmic phase were resuspended in medium and plated into culture plates. They were cultured for an additional 2-3 weeks at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cell viability was measured using CCK-8 (Dojindo, Tokyo, Japan) in line with the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, CCK8 solution (10 μl) was added to every well, followed by incubation of cells for 2.5 h. Thereafter, a microplate reader (Tecan Trading AG; Männedorf, Switzerland) was employed for measuring OD values at 450 nm.

Scratch assay. For scratch assays, transfected cells were plated in 6-well plates and incubated until reaching 100% cell confluency. Cell culture-insert (ibidi, 80206) was adopted for scratch assay. Later, the original medium was substituted with medium containing 10% FBS. An Olympus microscope was utilized to record the scratch size at 0 h. At 24 h later, the scratch size was measured at the same site. The closure rate was determined by the following formula (scratch size at 0 h − scratch size at 24 h)/scratch size at 0 h ×100%.

Transwell assay. Transwell chambers (pore diameter, 8 μm; Costar; Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) were used for Transwell assay. Matrigel (356234, BD Biosciences, Eysins, Vaud, Switzerland) was coated onto Transwell chambers beforehand in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were collected and resuspended in the serum-free medium into a single-cell solution. Afterwards, the upper Transwell chamber was added 1×10⁵ cells in a serum-free medium (200 μl), and 500 μl medium containing 20% FBS as the chemoattractant was added into the lower chamber. After incubation for 24 h, 100% methanol was applied for 5 min for cell fixation, then 0.5% crystal violet (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, PR China) was used to stain cells for an additional 5 min. A cotton swab was then used to remove the non-invading cells gently. Then, under microscopy (CKX41; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), five
random fields were selected from every membrane for counting the invading cells.

Bioinformatics analysis. The putative miRNA-binding sites of ZFAS1 were predicted with bioinformatic analysis using the online bioinformatics website DIANA TOOLS (http://diana.imis.athena-innovation.gr/DianaTools/index.php). The potential interaction sites between miRNAs and mRNAs were obtained using TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org).

Dual-luciferase reporter gene assays. HEK293T cells were cultivated in a 12-well plate until reaching 80-90% confluency. The luciferase reporter plasmids, which included mutant-type (Mut) and wild-type (WT) pGL3-ZFAS1-3’UTR, together with Mut and WT pGL3-CCL5-3’UTR, were prepared by GenePharma (Shanghai, PR China). After that, PGL3-CCL5-3’-UTR Mut or WT, and PGL3-CCL5-3’-UTR Mut or Wt were co-transfected with miR-6499-3p mimics or NC mimics into HEK293T cells by the use of Lipofectamine® 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), following the manufacturer’s instructions. At 48 h post-transfection, the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega Corporation) was applied to measure the luciferase activity. In every transfected well, the firefly luciferase activity was normalized to that of Renilla. Every experiment was repeated thrice.

Anti-Ago2 (AGO2) RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation assay (RIP). miR-6499-3p or miR-NC was used to transfect OSCC cells. At 48 h post-transfection, transfected cells were subjected to RIP assays using Magna RIP™ RNA Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore). Thereafter, magnetic beads bound to NC IgG (Millipore) or anti-AGO2 (Millipore) were used to incubate cells, and qRT-PCR was conducted for measuring the relative level of ZFAS1 in the immunoprecipitated RNAs.

In vivo tumorigenicity assay. pSilencer4.1-CMV-puro-ZFAS1 plasmid was transfected into cells to obtain stable ZFAS1-deficient cells. After that, BALB/c mice (6 weeks old) were injected in the right-back with 5×10⁶ CAL-27 cells with stable transfection of ZFAS1-silencing or control retroviral vectors in 250 μl PBS. Altogether seven animals were enrolled in each group. We recorded tumor size at intervals of 3 days by measuring two perpendicular diameters of each implant using calipers. In addition, we determined tumor volume (cm³) as follows, volume (mm³)=1/2 ×length × width². At 6 weeks after injection of transfected cells, we sacrificed the mice bearing tumors. We then collected the tumors to evaluate the growth of the lesions, followed by determining the expression levels of lncRNA–miRNA–mRNA networks with qRT-PCR. Each animal experiment was conducted in line with the ethical guidelines from the Wuhan Sixth Hospital.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were completed by SPSS17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL), while statistical graphing was accomplished with GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Data are displayed as the mean±SD and were compared between different groups by t-test. A difference of p<0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Results

LncRNA ZFAS1 is up-regulated in OSCC cell lines and tissues. This study determined the ZFAS1 level in 20 OSCC tissue samples and 20 matched non-carcinoma samples. As revealed by qRT-PCR, ZFAS1 expression was increased in OSCC tissues compared with matched normal tissue (Figure 1A). Moreover, ZFAS1 expression in OSCC cells (TSCCA, Tca8113, SCC-9, and CAL-27) was also significantly higher than in normal human oral keratinocyte cell line (NHOK) by qRT-PCR (Figure 1B). Thus, these results strongly suggest that ZFAS1 might participate in OSCC genesis and progression.
ZFAS1 silencing inhibits OSCC cell proliferation migration and invasion in vitro. To further investigate the effect of ZFAS1 on OSCC, the loss-of-function method was used to silence ZFAS1 expression in TSCCA and CAL-27 OSCC cells. Forty-eight hours after siRNA transfection, the silencing efficiency of si-ZFAS1 was determined by qRT-PCR, which revealed that ZFAS1 expression decreased by about 50% in si-ZFAS1-transfected cells relative to sh-NC-transfected cells (Figure 2A). Following the knockdown of LncRNA ZFAS1 in TSCCA and CAL-27 cells, CCK8 assays were performed for investigating the function of ZFAS1 in the proliferation of cancer cells. As a result, ZFAS1 silencing remarkably suppressed TSCCA and CAL-27 cell proliferation (Figure 2B). Furthermore, the function of ZFAS1 in the proliferation of CAL-27 and TSCCA cells was evaluated by colony-formation assay, which came to consistent results (Figure 2C), with remarkable reduction of cancer cell colonies being seen in the experimental group relative to the control group (p<0.001). This result confirmed si-ZFAS1 inhibited colony formation of OSCC cancer cells.

In addition, for evaluating the effect of ZFAS1 knockdown in TSCCA and CAL-27 cell migration, scratch assays were performed on both cell lines. Figure 2D shows that ZFAS1 silencing inhibited the migration of TSCCA and CAL-27 cells. Alterations of cell invasion were also determined by Transwell assays. Similar to the above results, knockdown of ZFAS1 inhibited invasion of OSCC cells (Figure 2E). All the experiment results substantiate the possibility that ZFAS1 promotes the progression of OSCC.

LncRNA ZFAS1 acts as an effective miRNA-6499-3p sponge in OSCC. Figure 3A shows the results of online bioinformatics website DIANA TOOLS in estimating the potential role of ZFAS1 as the sponging ceRNA for miR-6499-3p and responsible for down-regulation of its expression. To confirm this interaction, luciferase reporter plasmids containing ZFAS1 coding sequences possessing binding sites for Mut or WT miR-6499-3p were built to identify the target effectors. Following plasmid transfection into TSCCA and CAL-27 cells, we found that miRNA-6499-3p mimics reduced luciferase activity of WT reporter vector, indicating the negative correlation between LncRNA ZFAS1 and miR-6499-3p (Figure 3B). Generally, LncRNA binds to the miRNA response elements in the RNA-induced silencing complex, and AGO2 protein is an essential part of this complex. Therefore, the anti-AGO2 RIP assay was conducted in this study, in which antibody to AGO2 was utilized to immunoprecipitate miR-6499-3p and ZFAS1 in CAL-27 and TSCCA cell lysates. We found that the amount of accumulated ZFAS1 binding to AGO2 protein of miR-6499-3p mimic group was significantly increased compared with the NC group (Figure 3C). Consistent with the above results, when performing qRT-PCR 48 hours after transfecting si-ZFAS1 and si-NC into CAL-27 and TSCCA cells, miRNA-6499-3p expression was significantly up-regulated in si-ZFAS1-transfected cells compared with si-NC-transfected cells (Figure 3D). The above findings suggest the role of ZFAS1 as the sponging ceRNA for miR-6499-3p, which reduced the miR-6499-3p level in OSCC.

CCL5 serves as a direct target of miRNA-6499-3p. Using the online analysis tool (TargetScan, http://www.targetscan.org), the target gene of miR-6499-3p was predicted to be CCL5 (as shown in Figure 3E), which was reported to participate in the genesis and progression of different human cancer types (9-11).

Next, we constructed luciferase reporter plasmids that possessed CCL5 mRNA 3’-UTRs that contained mutations of miRNA-6499-3p-binding sites (Mut) and WT CCL5 mRNA. As a result, WT plasmid markedly reduced the luciferase activity in miRNA-6499-3p-mimic-transfected cells relative to miR-NC-transfected cells (Figure 3F). In contrast, the luciferase activity of mutant plasmid did not differ between cells treated with miRNA-6499-3p mimics and miR-NC (Figure 3F). These results confirmed that miRNA-6499-3p inhibits CCL5 expression through direct combination with the CCL5 mRNA 3’-UTR.

Moreover, qRT-PCR and western blotting assay verified that CCL5 expression decreased at both the RNA and protein levels in miRNA-6499-3p mimic-transfected CAL-27 and TSCCA cells compared with cells transfected with mimic control (Figure 3G and 3H). In summary, this study found that miR-6499-3p negatively regulated CCL5 expression.

miRNA-6499-3p/CCL5 mediate the effects of LncRNA ZFAS1 on TSCCA and CAL-27 cells. For investigating the relationship between the function of LncRNA ZFAS1 and the impacts of miRNA-6499-3p on CCL5 gene transcription, a rescue assay was carried out using down-regulation of miRNA-6499-3p or up-regulation of CCL5 in cells with reduced ZFAS1 expression. Plasmids and RNAs were transfected into TSCCA and CAL-27 cells, and then all assays were carried out 48 hours after transfection. CCK8 assay result suggested that ZFAS1 silencing reduced cell proliferation, which was restored when cells were co-transfected with miRNA-6499-3p inhibitor or CCL5 vector (Figure 4A). In addition, the clone formation assay, the scratch experiment, and the transwell assay also showed that knockdown of ZFAS1 reduced the cloning-formation ability, migration, and invasion capacity of cells. However, these traits were rescued or partially recovered by co-transfection with miRNA-6499-3p inhibitor or CCL5 vector (Figure 4B-D). To sum up, CCL5 expression and miR-6499-3p inhibition restored the tumor-promoting activity of LncRNA ZFAS1 in OSCC cells.
Figure 2. A: In oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) cells, small-interfering long non-coding RNA (si-lncRNA) zinc finger nuclear transcription factor, X-box binding 1-type containing 1 antisense RNA 1 (ZFAS1) down-regulated ZFAS1 expression in comparison with si-negative control (NC). B: si-lncRNA ZFAS1 markedly inhibited the proliferation of TSCCA and CAL-27 cells. C: ZFAS1 inhibits clone formation of OSCC cancer cells. D: si-lncRNA ZFAS1 suppressed migration of OSCC cells. E: si-lncRNA ZFAS1 suppressed invasion of OSCC cells. **Significantly different at p<0.01.
Figure 3. A: Predicted binding sites for long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) zinc finger nuclear transcription factor, X-box binding 1-type containing 1 antisense RNA 1 (ZFAS1), and miR-6499-3p using the DIANA bioinformatics tool (http://diana.imis.athena-innovation.gr/DianaTools/index.php). B: Relative luciferase activity in luciferase reporter plasmds, which included mutant-type (Mut) and wild-type (WT) pGL3-ZFAS1-3’UTR. Activity in pGL3-ZFAS1-Mut-transfected cells was not suppressed by miR-6499-3p. C: There was much more accumulated ZFAS1 binding to Argonaute 2 (AGO2) protein in the miR-6499-3p mimic group. D: Expression of miRNA-6499-3p was up-regulated in si-lncRNA ZFAS1 cells. E: The predicted binding site for C-C motif chemokine ligand 5 (CCL5) and miR-6499-3p by bioinformatics (http://www.targetscan.org). F: Relative luciferase activity in pGL3-CCL5-Mut-transfected cells was not suppressed by miR-6499-3p. G: and H: In cells transfected with miRNA-6499-3p mimic, expression of both CCL5 RNA and protein were reduced. Significantly different at: **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001.
Figure 4. A: miRNA-6499-3p inhibitor and C-C motif chemokine ligand 5 (CCL5) vector reduced the inhibition of proliferation under silencing of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) zinc finger nuclear transcription factor, X-box binding 1-type containing 1 antisense RNA 1 (ZFAS1) with small interfering RNA (si-RNA). Effects of miRNA-6499-3p inhibitor and CCL5 vector on the inhibitory effect of lncRNA ZFAS1 silencing in colony formation (B), migration (C), and invasion (D) by cells. Significantly different at: *p<0.05, **/##p<0.01 and ***p<0.001.
Inhibition of ZFAS1 suppressed the proliferation of OSCC cells in vivo. For better determining ZFAS1 function in OSCC progression in vivo, CAL-27 cells with stably silenced ZFAS1 were established and injected into nude mice subcutaneously. We recorded tumor volume at intervals of 3 days after tumor formation and removed these tumors after 6 weeks. As a result, compared with control sh-NC mice, tumor volume was markedly lower in mice injected with CAL-27 cells with shRNA-mediated stable ZFAS1 knockdown (Figure 5A). Moreover, the final tumor volume was markedly lower after ZFAS1 was down-regulated in OSCC cells (Figure 5B). We conducted qRT-PCR for the expression of lncRNA ZFAS1, miR-6499-3p, and CCL5 in tumors. Consistent with the results of our previous experiments in si-ZFAS1 cells, miR-6499-3p expression was elevated, and CCL5 expression was down-regulated in tumor tissues (Figure 5C). Therefore, the above findings revealed that ZFAS1 suppressed tumor development in vivo by targeting the miRNA-6499–3p/CCL5 axis.

Discussion

LncRNAs have been recently proposed to participate in the pathogenic mechanisms of many kinds of cancer and affect cell proliferation, angiogenesis, pluripotency, invasion, and metastasis. Although studies have confirmed this connection between lncRNAs and OSCC development, the exact mechanisms of how lncRNAs contribute to OSCC remain unclear (5).

Recent studies have identified ZFAS1 as a new cancer-related lncRNA (12, 13). Its expression extensively increases in different human cancer types and is related to several
clinicopathological characteristics and prognostic outcomes. Preliminary exploration has been made to determine the exact mechanisms by which ZFAS1 exerts its effects at the molecular level. As a result, ZFAS1 was found to play a role as ceRNA in regulating the expression of specific genes through competing for target miRNAs (7, 8). Based on this research, we found a new lncRNA–miRNA–mRNA network, which was closely associated with OSCC development and contributes new knowledge in the elucidation of the molecular mechanism of OSCC progression.

However, numerous issues must be solved. Firstly, given the critical roles of lncRNAs in transcriptional, post-translational, and epigenetic regulation (14), the entire ZFAS1 mechanism in OSCC should be explored. As well as being a ceRNA, ZFAS1 can also modulate genes at the transcriptional level (15). In addition, ZFAS1 was found to participate in certain signal transduction pathways associated with cancer genesis and development, such as the epithelial–mesenchymal transition, p53, and Notch signal transduction pathways (16). Secondly, more studies are needed to explore the utility of ZFAS1 in diagnosing and predicting the prognosis of OSCC. With the development of liquid biopsy technology in cancer, some lncRNAs have been found in cancer tissues and body fluids, such as urine and plasma (17). This is also a noteworthy direction, and we may explore the possibility of ZFAS1 being a liquid biopsy marker in OSCC in our subsequent study.

The miR-6499-3p expression level and function in OSCC have not been explored yet. But considering studies showing the prognostic value of changed miRNA levels in the blood and saliva of patients with OSCC (18, 19), the value of miR-6499-3p in OSCC as a noninvasive diagnostic marker deserves further exploration.

CCL5 has a fundamental role in inflammation for recruiting leukocytes into inflammatory sites. But recently, it has also been found that CCL5 can be secreted by cancer cells and appears to have an essential role in cancer. It plays a role as a growth factor in promoting new blood formation and metastasis or stimulating the formation of immune-suppressive growth factor in promoting new blood formation and appears to have an essential role in cancer. It plays a role as a marker of poor prognosis (21, 22). Therefore, the CCL5–CCR5 axis deserves more attention as expression patterns of CCL5 and cancer progression. Collectively, these findings suggest that lncRNA ZFAS1 might be a valuable therapeutic target for patients with OSCC.
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