A europium metal–organic framework for dual Fe$^{3+}$ ion and pH sensing
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Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) with ratiometric sensing properties are desirable for many applications due to their intrinsic self-calibration. We report the re-assessment of the sensing properties of a MOF, originally reported as containing europium(III) and 2-hydroxyterephtalic acid, and having fluorescent ratiometric iron(III) sensing properties. Synchrotron single-crystal X-ray diffraction and proton nuclear magnetic resonance ($^1$H NMR) spectroscopy revealed that the MOF is composed of 2-methoxyterephthalate, not 2-hydroxyterephthalate as originally reported. We found that the MOF exhibits a sensor turn-off response towards Fe$^{3+}$ ion concentrations in the range 0.5–3.7 ppm (band 425 nm), and a turn-on response towards a decrease of pH from 5.4 to 3.0 (band 375 nm), both resulting from the addition of acidic Fe$^{3+}$ salt solution to a MOF suspension. Thus, the ratiometric sensing properties and the originally proposed mechanism no longer apply; our work reveals a dynamic quenching mechanism for the fluorescence turn-off response due to the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ ions, and a ligand protonation mechanism for the turn-on response to a decrease in pH. Our work highlights the importance of a thorough investigation of the structure of any newly synthesized MOF, and, in the case of potential sensors, their selectivity and any environmental effects on their sensing behavior.

The ability to selectively and precisely detect metal ions is crucial to many applications relating to biomedicine, nuclear science, and mineral processing. However, the existing analytical methods are expensive, laborious, and time-consuming, particularly in the case of ferric ion concentration measurements. Fe$^{3+}$ ion detection is vital in environmental and biomedical analysis, as excess iron contents have been linked to the development of diseases such as Parkinson's syndrome, Alzheimer's disease and cancer. In the mineral processing industry, regulating Fe$^{3+}$ ion concentrations can be used to optimize mineral recovery rates, specifically in copper, uranium and zinc leaching processes. In this regard, fluorescent sensors for metal ions have received significant interest due to their ability to provide a simple, sensitive, selective, precise and economical method for real-time measurement of very low ion concentrations without any pre-treatment of the sample.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), also called porous coordination polymers, are a class of crystalline materials composed of metal ions or clusters interconnected by organic ligands. Their crystalline, porous structure and isoreticular synthesis make them excellent candidates for a wide range of applications including gas storage and separation, catalysis, biomedicine and chemical sensing. Lanthanide ion containing MOFs, in particular, offer unique fluorescent properties for metal ion detection, including long lifetimes, characteristic sharp emissions, large Stokes shifts, and high color purity with high quantum yields in the near-infrared and visible regions.

Generally, the sensing of metal ions by lanthanide MOFs occurs by interaction of the analyte with the open metal sites or ligand backbone. In the case of the latter, metal ions commonly interact with Lewis basic sites of the ligand, such as NH$_2$, pyridine or OH moieties. This can produce fluorescence enhancement ("turn-on"), fluorescence quenching ("turn-off") or ratiometric fluorescence detection (accurate detection through self-calibration with two emissive bands). Ratiometric sensors are the most desirable types of fluorescence sensors since the detection of the target analyte is not affected by the sensors' concentration, the environmental conditions and divergence in optical components between instruments, factors that can negatively affect both "turn-on" and "turn-off" sensing.
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Given their stability, porosity and promising sensing properties, it is vital for the sensing behavior of fluorescent MOFs to be reproducible and fully understood. Our interest in preparing composite ratiometric sensors for Fe\(^{3+}\) ion detection in devices deployable in mineral processing led us to a Eu MOF (EuOHBDC) reported by Xu et al., the first MOF reported in the literature as a ratiometric ferric ion sensor (for a summary of recent reported MOFs for ferric ion sensing, refer to the Supporting Information (SI, Table S1)). This MOF, which was synthesized from 2-methoxyterephthalic acid and Eu(\[NO_3\])\(_3\), was described to possess a rare ligand-based ratiometric response to Fe\(^{3+}\) ion concentration, resulting from interactions between Fe\(^{3+}\) ions and the OH group of the ligand, whereby the OH group was reported to arise from an in-situ demethylation of the methoxy moiety during MOF synthesis.

Herein, we present a reassessment of the structure and sensing mechanism of ‘EuOHBDC’. Our analysis of this Eu MOF revealed that the previously reported chemical structure is incorrect; synchrotron single-crystal X-ray diffraction coupled with NMR spectroscopy unequivocally revealed that the in-situ demethylation of 2-methoxyterephthalic acid does not occur, and the methoxy moiety of the ligand is preserved in the MOF structure. Although the three-dimensional (3-D) network of the MOF is unchanged, the absence of OH metal binding sites led us to revise the properties and sensing mechanism that were attributed to this material. We discovered that EuOHBDC (herein re-named EuBDC-OMe) is not a ratiometric sensor for Fe\(^{3+}\) ions, as originally reported. Instead, the MOF possesses ‘turn-on’ pH sensing and ‘turn-off’ Fe\(^{3+}\) ion sensing capabilities.

**Experimental Materials.** All chemicals were used as received unless otherwise stated. Ultrapure water (Milli-Q, resistivity (\(\rho\)) = 18.2 M\(\Omega\) cm at 25 °C) was obtained from Millipore Advantage A10. Methanol (HPLC grade) was purchased from UNICROM Ajax 2314. Dimethyl sulfoxide—D\(_6\) (D, 99.9%) was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Deuterium chloride solution (35 w% in D\(_2\)O, ≥ 99% atom % D), KMnO\(_4\) (≥ 99%), 2,5-dimethylanisole (99.8%), and Eu(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)-6H\(_2\)O (99.9%), FeCl\(_2\) (99.99%), FeCl\(_3\) (99.99%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Australia). MgCl\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O (99.0%), Pb(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) (99.0%) was purchased from Fisher Scientific. For synthesis of EuBDC-OMe, Eu(NO\(_3\))\(_3\), and 2-methoxyterephthalic acid were used. 2-Methoxyterephthalic acid was prepared during MOF synthesis.

**Synthesis of EuBDC-OMe.** The synthesis of EuBDC-OMe was carried out according to the original report by Xu et al., with modifications to the synthesis temperature and concentration (SI, Fig. S1). In our process, the optimized conditions afforded the MOF in 38% yield as compared to 4% using the original conditions. Briefly, Eu(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)-6H\(_2\)O (900 mg, 2.67 mmol) and 2-methoxyterephthalic acid (300 mg, 1.53 mmol) were suspended in ultrapure water (150 mL) in a Teflon-lined autoclave reactor (200 mL), which was sealed and heated at 160 °C for 3 days. After slow cooling to the room temperature, the pink platelet-shaped crystals were washed with water (3 × 2 mL), followed by methanol (3 × 2 mL) to remove unreacted reagents, then dried under high vacuum to afford EuBDC-OMe, 166 ± 45 mg (38.5%; \(n = 5\)). Synthesis using 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid under the same conditions did not yield MOF crystals.

**Physical measurements.** NMR spectra were recorded using an Agilent 500 MHz NMR spectrometer (\(\delta^1\)H at 500 MHz). Residual solvent peaks were used as an internal reference for \(\delta^1\)H NMR spectra (DMSO \(\delta^1\)H 2.50 ppm).

**Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).** Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a Discovery Thermogravimetric Analyzer (TA Instruments) at a heating rate of 10 K/min from 25 to 900 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere (BOC). The EuBDC-OMe particle morphology and size was visualized using a Zeiss Merlin FEG scanning electron microscope in analytical column mode and an electron high tension target 2 kV.

**Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected on a Bruker D4 Endeavour powder diffractometer (Co-target, plate stage).** Simulated powder X-ray diffraction patterns were generated from the single crystal data using Mercury 3.10. Gas sorption isotherm measurements were performed on a Micromeritics 3Flex Surface Characterization Analyzer. UHP Nitrogen (99.999%, BOC) was used for all measurements. Temperatures were maintained at 77K using a cryo-cooler. The isotherms were then analyzed to determine the Brunauer Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore-size distribution using the Micromeritics software (Version 3.00, Micromeritics Instrument Corp. 2013).

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected from EuBDC-OMe suspensions in ultrapure water (1 mg of MOF per 1 mL of H\(_2\)O) and EuBDC-OMe suspensions in Fe\(^{3+}\) ion containing solutions (1 mg of MOF per 1 mL of 4 mM FeCl\(_3\)-6H\(_2\)O, MOF soaked for 72 h prior to analysis).** The suspensions were deposited by placing a droplet (~0.5 mL) of the sample on the sample stubs and allowing it to air-dry. XPS was conducted using a Kratos Axis-Ultra spectrometer employing a monochromatic Al K\(\alpha\) X-ray source (1486.6 eV) operated at 130 W. All samples were cooled, in vacuum, using a liquid-nitrogen-fed cold stage (temperature < ~100 °C).
to minimise photoreduction during exposure to X-rays during analysis\textsuperscript{24}. Survey spectra were acquired with a pass energy of 160 eV and the atomic % of detected elements were quantified using the appropriate elemental sensitivity factors. High-resolution spectra were acquired with a pass energy of 40 eV. Charge neutralisation was employed throughout all analyses. Both surface concentration calculations and spectral fitting was accomplished using the CasaXPS software package (http://www.casaxps.com/).

**Single-crystal X-ray diffraction.** Single crystals were mounted in paratone-N oil on a plastic loop. X-ray diffraction data for EuBDC-OMe were collected at 100(2) K on the MX-2 beamline of the Australian Synchrotron. Experimental details, materials, methods and X-ray experimental data can be found in SI, X-ray crystallography section.

**Luminescence studies.** Luminescence excitation and emission spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu RF-6000 Spectrofluorophotometer, with spectral bandwidth for both excitation and emission set to 5 nm, and the photomultiplier tube (PMT) voltage set to high. For each luminescence measurement, the analyte was excited at a wavelength of 320 nm and the fluorescence emission spectrum was measured in the range of 335–800 nm. All luminescence and ion sensing experiments were performed on EuBDC-OMe suspensions in ultrapure water (0.01% w/v) that had been sonicated using a Sonicone sonicator bath (43 ± 2 kHz sweep bandwidth with 20 Hz pulses) at 25–35 °C for 90 min. Suspension volumes of 3 mL in quartz cuvettes were used for all measurements. For luminescence titration measurements, cation selectivity and the study of sensing changes versus temperature, a Shimadzu RF-6000 Constant-Temperature Single Cell Holder with stirrer was used. Cation solutions (0–75 µM) were incrementally added to the 3 mL aqueous suspensions of EuBDC-OMe under constant agitation.

The lifetime measurements of EuBDC-OMe were performed with and without Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ions present, and at various pH levels (decreased by the addition of HCl). Fluorescence lifetimes were measured at 430 nm using a monochromator, amplified silicon detector, and oscilloscope. The experimental details, materials and analysis methods for measurement of the fluorescence lifetimes can be found in SI, Time domain fluorescence lifetime measurement method section.

**Results**

**MOF synthesis, characterization and structure determination.** In their paper, Xu et al. reported that a MOF composed of Eu\textsuperscript{3+} and 2-hydroxyterephthalate is synthesized from Eu(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} and 2-methoxyterephthalic acid\textsuperscript{23}. MOF formation was proposed to be driven by an in-situ demethylation of the ligand’s methoxy group to produce an extended 3-D structure with the formula Eu\textsubscript{2}(BDC-OH) (BDC-OH = 2-hydroxyterephthalate). Following their original protocol—which involves heating the metal salt and ligand at 140 °C in water—we obtained the MOF as a crystalline solid with good correlation to the theoretical structure by PXRD (SI, Fig. S2).

When we characterized this material by synchrotron single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD), we discovered an important discrepancy with the reported chemical structure of the MOF (SI, Table S2, Fig. S3). SCXRD analysis was performed on a desolvolated MOF crystal, which improved the refinement of the ligand’s disorder as compared to the solvated crystals. The desolvated MOF had nearly identical unit cell parameters (P\textsubscript{2}1/n, a = 11.5, b = 6.8, c = 20.9, β = 102.8°) as compared to the previously reported data (a = 11.5, b = 6.8, c = 21.1, β = 103.3°)\textsuperscript{23}, albeit with a slight contraction of the c axis\textsuperscript{27}. Xu et al. reported a structure based on 2-hydroxyterephthalate, analysis of the data that we collected reveals that the MOF is composed of 2-methoxyterephthalate; electron density corresponding to the disordered methoxy groups can be clearly observed in the F\textsubscript{obs} map (Fig. 1a, SI Fig. S4). The relatively low electron density associated with these methoxy groups relates to the rotational and positional disorder of the two crystallographically unique 2-methoxyterephthalate ligands. The other features of the structure are identical to the original MOF structure; each Eu\textsuperscript{3+} metal centre is coordinated by nine oxygen donors; eight from six 2-methoxyterephthalate ligands (two of these chelate) and one from a coordinated water molecule. Further evidence supporting the methoxy substituents of the ligand was obtained by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of the acid digested MOF sample (in DMSO-d\textsubscript{6}/DCl) displayed resonances consistent with 2-methoxyterephthalic acid, as evidenced by the methoxy proton resonance at δ\textsubscript{H} 3.83 ppm (Fig. 1b). A similar \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of (2-methoxyterephthalic acid) was obtained by digesting a MOF sample synthesized at an elevated temperature of 160 °C (Fig. S5), once again revealing that the demethylation of the ligand (as reported by Xu et al.\textsuperscript{23}) does not occur under the conditions for MOF synthesis. Combined, the synchrotron SCXRD data and \textsuperscript{1}H NMR experiments clearly show that this MOF is composed of 2-methoxyterephthalate and not 2-hydroxyterephthalate.

In our revised structure, the previously reported pores of 8.0 Å in diameter are now largely occupied by disordered methoxy substituents. These methoxy substituents, which point directly into the rhombus shaped pores of the MOF (along the b axis), reduce the pore dimensions to approximately 3.2 × 2.2 Å (Fig. 1c,d). To examine the porosity of EuBDC-OMe, we prepared a dehydrated sample by activation from MeOH at 100 °C. As shown by PXRD, the EuBDC-OMe MOF shows excellent stability transitioning from a hydrated state (post synthesis) to a dehydrated activated state (SI, Fig. S2). The 77K N\textsubscript{2} adsorption profile of EuBDC-OMe is consistent with a typical type I isotherm with a BET surface area of 133 ± 2 m\textsuperscript{2}/g (Figs. S6–S8). This modest value is consistent with the largely occupied pore space afforded by the disordered methoxy substituents.

Next, we assessed the pH stability of EuBDC-OMe; a practical Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ion sensing platform requires operation below pH 4.3 (ferric ions precipitate as Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3} at pH values > 4.5)\textsuperscript{26,27}. PXRD revealed that the MOF is stable between pH 5.4 and 2.8 (SI, Fig. S9a), with degradation occurring below pH 2.8, as evidenced by new 20 diffraction peaks at 7.4 and 15.0°, which corresponded to the crystalline salt of 2-methoxyterephthalic acid (confirmed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy, SI, Fig. S9b). Decomposition of the MOF at this pH was corroborated by ATR FTIR
which revealed the appearance of a vibration at 1690 cm\(^{-1}\) at pH < 2.8 associated with the COOH moiety of the ligand (SI, Fig. S10, Table S3). In the context of Fe\(^{3+}\) ion sensing, the chemical stability of EuBDC-OMe is comparable to other fluorescent MOFs sensors, where decomposition is typically observed below pH 3–4\(^{28–31}\). TGA decomposition curve and observations can be found in SI (Fig. S11).

**Photoluminescence properties.** Detailed assignment of the emission spectrum is essential for clarifying the sensor properties and detection mechanism. Our revised structure of the EuBDC-OMe MOF suggests that the mechanism behind its fluorescence quenching properties may be different compared to the original report\(^{23}\). Therefore, we carefully investigated the luminescence properties of EuBDC-OMe as a particle dispersion in water (0.01% w/v at 21 ± 1 °C). The fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of EuBDC-OMe are identical to the ones originally reported by Xu et al.\(^{23}\). The emission spectra of 2-methoxyterephthalic acid in water (0.01% w/v) displays a strong fluorescence emission peak at 375 nm (\(\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 330\) nm) (Fig. 2a), attributable to a \(\pi \rightarrow \pi^*\) transition\(^{17,32}\). When incorporated in the EuBDC-OMe MOF (Fig. 2b), the excitation maximum shifts to 320 nm. The emission spectra contains a weak ligand band at 375 nm and an intense, wide emission band at 430 nm (originally assigned to 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid\(^{23}\)) along with weak \(\text{Eu}^{3+}\) bands at 590, 615, 640 and 695 nm corresponding to \(5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_1, 5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_2, 5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_3\) and \(5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_4\) transitions, respectively\(^{33}\). The band at 640 nm overlaps with the second order grating Rayleigh scattering peak of water, an instrumental artefact from the diffraction grating of the monochromator\(^{34}\).

The broad, intense band at 430 nm was originally assigned to 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid emission. However, as discussed earlier, the synthesized MOF is composed of 2-methoxyterephthalate ligands, and this band is not present in the emission spectrum of 2-methoxyterephthalic acid. We assigned the 430 nm band to a ligand to metal charge-transfer (LMCT) state, based on the following considerations. In EuBDC-OMe, the LMCT state competes with linker-based luminescence, resulting in two emission bands. This phenomenon is common for MOFs with BDC-based ligands and the exact position of the charge-transfer bands depends on the nature of the ligand and the structure of the MOF\(^{17,18,32,35,36}\). The emission spectra of EuBDC-OMe indicates that the ligand
sensitized lanthanide emission mechanism is not fully realized (Fig. 2b); the ligand fluorescence emission band at 375 nm indicates that the energy transfer to the Eu³⁺ is not complete⁴³.

In the sensitization process of EuBDC-OMe, it would be expected that the excitation energy is absorbed by the 2-methoxyterephthalate ligand, and then shifted to the long-lived triplet state (T₁) of the ligand via intersystem crossing. The appropriate excited levels of Eu³⁺ would then be populated by the energy derived from T₁ to complete the photon conversion and the Eu³⁺-related radiative emission processes⁴⁷,⁴⁸. The presence of the LMCT emission band in EuBDC-OMe indicates that the energy difference between the ligand's triplet state and the excited lanthanide state is not well matched, resulting in depopulation of the Eu³⁺ excited states, partial energy back transfer away from Eu³⁺ to LMCT during the sensitization process and low intensity emission of the lanthanide ion bands⁴⁹,⁵⁰.

Charge transfer states can sensitize lanthanide luminescence, especially in the case of trivalent lanthanide ions that can easily be reduced to the divalent state (redox-sensitive lanthanide ions), and are most often observed in Eu³⁺. In such cases, the light can be absorbed by an intense LMCT state from which the excitation energy can be transferred to the 4f-levels of the lanthanide ion. However, if the LMCT state energy is low, it will partially or totally quench the luminescence of the complex. This has been shown experimentally, where in many Eu³⁺ complexes, LMCT states constitute an important channel for depopulation of the lanthanide excited states, leading to inefficient means of sensitizing the metal center⁴¹–⁴⁵.

The quantum yield of the 430 nm band was determined to be 9%, which is low, and points towards poor absorbed energy transfer from the ligand triplet state to LMCT. The lifetime of the 430 nm band was determined to be 8.2 ± 0.2 ns. UV–visible absorbance spectra of 2-methoxyterephthalic acid revealed absorption bands at 257 and 305 nm (Fig. S12), which are attributed to the ligand-centered π → π* and n → π* transitions respectively (n represents the “non-bonding” orbital of the carboxyl and methoxy group O atom). For EuBDC-OMe, these π → π* and n → π* transition bands were blue shifted to 254 and 297 nm, respectively (Fig. S12), likely due to carboxyl group coordination with Eu³⁺, as well as a new, broad absorbance band at 330–430 nm assigned to LMCT⁴⁷,⁴⁸. As a result of poor energy transfer between the ligand’s triplet state and excited lanthanide state, the

Figure 2. Excitation and emission spectra for (a) 2-methoxyterephthalic acid in water (0.01% w/v) and (b) the EuBDC-OMe MOF suspension in water (0.01% w/v).
overall intensity of fluorescence and quantum yield was low, which may decrease the sensing range and thus limit potential sensor applications, compared to MOFs with better realized antenna effected and high intensity lanthanide emission.

**pH sensing.** Xu et al. reported that the ratio of the MOF’s emission bands at 375 and 430 nm could be utilized for selective ratiometric sensing of Fe$^{3+}$ ion. In our study, adding incremental amounts of FeCl$_3$ to the EuBDC-OMe suspension in water resulted in an increase in the intensity of the emission band at 375 nm and a decrease in the intensity of the emission band 430 nm, which is consistent with the original report. However, our sensing experiments revealed yet another crucial observation not reported in the earlier work; the band at 375 nm increases due to changes in the pH, irrespective of the Fe$^{3+}$ ion concentration (Fig. 3).

EuBDC-OMe suspensions prepared in varying pH solutions (< 5.4) resulted in significant changes in the 375 nm band of EuBDC-OMe (Fig. 3). This band shows an exponential increase in intensity as the pH of the solution decreases in the range between pH 6 and 3.2. Quantitatively, this relationship can be expressed using an exponential equation: $I = 301972e^{-1.598pH}$ ($R^2 = 0.995$). Indeed, when Fe$^{3+}$ ions were incrementally added to an already acidified suspension (pH 4.00 ± 0.02), the intensity increase of the 375 nm band was no longer observed (Fig. S13).

Ligand protonation at the exterior of the MOF particles and changes in energy transfer between the ligand and Eu$^{3+}$ can explain the pH sensing mechanism in EuBDC-OMe. Some lanthanide based MOFs have shown a turn-on response to a decrease in pH based on non-coordinated carboxyl groups of ligands being protonated at low pH, while deprotonating when pH is high. Due to the deprotonation of the carboxylic acid functionality

---

**Figure 3.** Fluorescence intensity changes ($\lambda_{ex} = 320$ nm) of EuBDC-OMe MOF particle suspensions in water at acidic pH: (a) fluorescence emission spectra changes with decrease in pH; (b) peak 375 nm intensity change corresponding to decrease in pH (MOF suspension in water pH = 5.4). Error bars represent ± standard deviation (n = 3).
at higher pH, the electron density in the aromatic ring of the ligand increases, which causes an increase in the triplet excited state energy from protonated to deprotonated ligand. Changes in the triplet excited state energy, can decrease the efficiency of the antenna effect, and result in energy back transfer from a lanthanide resonance level to a ligand triplet state, as well as weakening of ligand—lanthanide ion bonding\textsuperscript{45,49,50}. As a result, a decrease in fluorescence intensity of the lanthanide ion characteristic bands (Fig. S14) and lifetime of the ligand to metal charge transfer band (430 nm) (Fig. 4) is observed.

For EuBDC-OMe, it is expected that ultrasound treatment of the bulk crystals exposes non-coordinating carboxyl groups at the surface of the resulting nanoparticles, where the bonds between BDC-OMe and Eu\textsuperscript{3+} are broken\textsuperscript{40}. The clear decrease in the lanthanide band fluorescence intensity as the pH decreases, indicates energy back transfer—repopulation of the 2-methoxyterphtalic acid triplet state from Eu\textsuperscript{3+} excited states. Furthermore, this process explains the change in the emission spectra; bands 375 nm and LMCT merging, and the exponential increase of the ligand band 375 nm intensity show not only increase in the total energy within the MOF, but also energy transfer from LMCT and Eu\textsuperscript{3+} to the ligand. This is further confirmed by the decrease in fluorescence lifetime of the band 430 nm (Fig. 4, Fig. S15c), from 8.2 ± 0.2 ns at pH 5.4 (EuBDC-OMe suspension in water) to 3.4 ± 0.2 ns at pH 3.0 (HCl). The energy back transfer hypothesis is also supported by UV–Vis absorbance spectra of EuBDC-OMe in an acidic environment (Fig. S16b).

Therefore, two competing processes contribute to fluorescence changes observed during Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ion sensing. Adding Fe\textsuperscript{3+} salts to the EuBDC-OMe suspension lowers the pH of the system, which alters the intensity of the 375 nm band due to the turn-on response to that pH decrease (Fig. S17), which can (and has been) erroneously attributed to a response of the MOF to the increased Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ion concentration. The 375 nm band turn-on response to a decrease in pH makes this band unsuitable for use in a ratiometric sensing paradigm. Instead, the sensing of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ions by EuBDC-OMe can only be accomplished through the 430 nm band, which points towards EuBDC-OMe behaving as a turn-off sensor.

**Figure 4.** (a) Fluorescence band 430 nm average lifetime values at pH 2.6, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.4; (b) Fluorescence band 430 nm average lifetime values change with increase in Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ion concentration (0–3.5 ppm). Error bars represent ± standard deviation (n = 5).
Fe³⁺ ion sensing. In the paper by Xu et al.\textsuperscript{23}, the Fe³⁺ ion sensing mechanism was attributed to the electron transfer and the binding between Fe⁴⁺ ions and the –OH functional groups of the MOF. This conclusion was made based on fluorescence lifetime measurements and analysis of XPS oxygen 1s spectra of the MOF when exposed to Fe³⁺ ions. However, given the incorrect assignment of the MOF structure, this mechanism requires revision. In the revised structure, the methoxy functional groups (arising from 2-methoxyterephalate) significantly diminish the available pore volume, making diffusion and binding of Fe³⁺ ions within the MOF unlikely. Therefore, we hypothesize that the sensing mechanism of the MOF relies on surface interactions between Fe³⁺ ions and the exterior the MOF crystals. As discussed above, only the 430 nm band, assigned to LMCT, responds to the Fe³⁺ ion concentration increase by decreasing in intensity, making EuBDC-OMe a ferric ion turn-off sensor (Fig. 5a). Therefore, we performed a fluorescence titration with Fe³⁺ ions and calculated the quenching constant $K_D$ with the Stern–Volmer equation $I_0/I = 1 + K_D [Q]$, where $I_0$ and $I$ are the luminescent intensity at 430 nm in the absence and presence of Fe³⁺ ions, $K_D$ is the dynamic quenching constant, [Q] is the quencher (Fe³⁺) concentration (Fig. 5b)\textsuperscript{51}. The quenching constant calculated for Fe³⁺ ions is $K_D = 1.8 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (concentration adjusted from μM to M), which reveals a strong quenching effect on the EuBDC-OMe luminescence. The detection limit determined experimentally from the emission spectra is 0.16 ppm or 2.9 μM Fe³⁺ ions, which is higher than the detection limit determined by Xu et al. (1.17 μM)\textsuperscript{23}.

The sensing range of EuBDC-OMe was found to be affected by the sonication time and particle size, indicating that the sensing mechanism indeed depends on the Fe³⁺ ion-MOF interactions at the surface of the crystals. When the sonication time was increased from 60 to 150 min, the Fe³⁺ ion sensing range was expanded by 2.5 ppm, a 55% increase (Fig. S18), which is consistent with surface interactions between the ferric ions and the

Figure 5. Fluorescence emission spectra ($\lambda_{exc} = 320 \text{ nm}$) of EuBDC-OMe with different Fe³⁺ ion concentrations in ultrapure water: (a) concentration in ppm and molarity. Fe³⁺ causes fluorescence quenching of the band 425 nm, while band 375 nm increases as a result of pH changes; (b) Stern–Volmer plot of EuBDC-OMe band 430 nm quenched by Fe³⁺ ions. Error bars represent ± standard deviation ($n = 5$).
EuBDC-OMe MOF particles. The decrease in MOF particle size was confirmed with SEM imaging (Fig. S19). After 60 min of sonication, a mixture of micro-particles (0.5–2 µm in diameter) and nanoparticles (100–300 nm in diameter) were found in the dried suspension. Samples from 90 min onwards contained mostly nano-sized particles (< 100 nm) and their agglomerates.

In the paper by Xu et al., the authors also investigated the sensing selectivity of the MOF towards several different metal ions and reported that no other cations have a significant effect on the ratio of the 375 nm and 430 nm bands. However, since Fe³⁺ ion sensing can only be performed using the 430 nm band, we re-assessed the quenching properties of the MOF towards other metal ions. As expected, the 375 nm band (which responds to pH changes) was not significantly affected by most metal ions tested (Fig. S20a).

Fe²⁺ and Cu²⁺ ions were found to affect the intensity of the 430 nm band, however the quenching effect of these cations was significantly less than that of Eu³⁺ ions (Fig. S20b). For a practical Fe³⁺ ion sensor, the ability to discern between Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ ions is particularly important. The emission spectra of EuBDC-OMe with varying amounts of Fe²⁺ ions revealed that increasing amounts of Fe²⁺ ions quenched the intensity of the 430 nm and Eu³⁺ bands, albeit to a much lower degree than Fe³⁺ ions (quenching of 15% for Fe²⁺ ions versus 60% for Fe³⁺ ions at 75 µM), and the quenching plateaued at 0.55 ppm or 10 µM of Fe²⁺ ions (Fig. S21). Fe⁺ ion sensing by EuBDC-OMe with Fe²⁺ ions present in the solution was also investigated (Fig. S22). In this experiment, the initial 430 nm band intensity was measured after addition of 1.8 ppm of Fe²⁺ ions, followed by incremental additions of Fe³⁺ ions. The linear relationship between Fe³⁺ ion concentration and the 430 nm band intensity was maintained, and therefore, with slight adjustments, EuBDC-OMe can still be used as a sensor to discriminate between Fe³⁺ ions and Fe²⁺ ions in the solution.

**Mechanism for the detection of Fe³⁺ ions.** PXRD measurements of EuBDC-OMe confirmed that fluorescence quenching in the presence of Fe³⁺ ions is not associated with changes to the MOF structure (Fig. S23). The EuBDC-OMe Stern–Volmer plot is linear (Fig. 5b), indicating a single class of fluorophores that are all equally accessible to the quencher, and points toward a dynamic quenching mechanism.

However, a linear Stern–Volmer plot does not prove that dynamic quenching of fluorescence has occurred. The measurement of fluorescence lifetimes is the most definitive method to distinguish static and dynamic quenching, as the lifetime is affected by dynamic quenching only. Lifetime measurements were performed using a time domain fluorescence lifetime measurement method. The measured Opolette laser pulse decay at 320 nm, as well as the measured fluorescence curves of the EuBDC-OMe fluorescence (excited at 320 nm) are shown in Fig. S15a. The Fe³⁺ ion effect on the 430 nm decay lifetime curves can be seen in Fig. S15b, while Fig. 4b shows the changes in the average lifetime values. With increasing Fe³⁺ ion concentration in the suspension, the fluorescence lifetime gradually decreases, indicating dynamic fluorescence quenching, a process that interferes with emission from the excited state of a fluorophore after the excited state has formed.

To help further distinguish between static and dynamic quenching, examination of the absorbance spectra of the EuBDC-OMe in the presence of Fe³⁺ ions was performed. Collisional quenching only affects the excited states of the fluorophores, and thus no changes in the absorbance spectra are expected. In contrast, ground-state complex formation will frequently result in changes of the absorbance spectrum of the fluorophore. In the absorbance spectra of EuBDC-OMe with incremental additions of 1 ppm Fe³⁺ ions (Fig. S16a), the LMCT band between 330 and 430 nm show a slight change in shape, while ligand-centered π → π* and n → π* transition absorbances increase slightly with rising Fe³⁺ ion concentration. These changes can be explained by the slight decrease in pH due to the acidic nature of FeCl₃ solutions, resulting in ligand protonation and energy back transfer from Eu³⁺ and LMCT to ligand. No significant changes attributable to the presence of Fe³⁺ ions can be observed, which further supports a dynamic sensing mechanism.

Examination of the emission spectra reveals that Fe³⁺ ions not only quench the LMCT band intensity, but also the Eu³⁺ bands. Many d-block transition metals quench luminescence of Eu³⁺ since the tails of the d–d absorption bands often have a lower energy than the 5D₀ excited state of Eu³⁺. However, the energy of the lanthanide d–d transitions is strongly influenced by the ligand field, and therefore, with slight adjustments, EuBDC-OMe can still be used as a sensor to discriminate between Fe³⁺ ions and Fe²⁺ ions in the solution.
Conclusions
An Eu³⁺ based MOF was synthesized according to a published method, and found to have a different structure and properties to that previously reported. Our studies revealed that the reaction between 2-methoxyterephtalic acid and Eu(NO₃)₃ produces crystals of EuBDC-OMe—a MOF composed of 2-methoxyterephtalate, and not 2-hydroxyterephtalate, as originally reported by Xu et al. SCXRD and PXRD analysis revealed that the same 3-D framework was produced, albeit with a diminished accessible surface area and smaller pore apertures, due to the presence of methoxy substituents that were previously overlooked by the original authors.

The correct structure of EuBDC-OMe explains both the presence and intensity of the fluorescence bands originating from 2-methoxyterephtalate, as well as the poor sensitization of the Eu³⁺ ions. The weak band at 375 nm originates from the ligand 2-methoxyterephtalate, while the presence of the strong band at 430 nm and low intensity Eu³⁺ bands at 590–700 nm can be explained by ligand to metal charge transfer state, resulting in a weak antenna effect within the MOF structure.

Given that the sensing properties of this material were originally attributed to an impossible OH–Fe³⁺ interaction with the MOF, we also revised the Fe³⁺ sensing properties of the system. We found that EuBDC-OMe behaves as a dual pH sensor (band at 375 nm) and turn-off sensor for Fe³⁺ ions (band 430 nm), instead of a ratiometric Fe³⁺ ion sensor. The band at 375 nm showed an exponential increase in intensity with decrease in pH, while the band at 430 nm showed a linear fluorescence quenching with increasing concentration of Fe³⁺ ions. Fluorescence lifetime measurements indicated a dynamic quenching mechanism for Fe³⁺ ion sensing, where the LMCT band at 430 nm interferes with the emission of the MOF after the excited state has formed. Therefore, EuBDC-OMe is a feasible ‘turn-off’ sensor for Fe³⁺ which operates based on surface interactions. Our work also highlights the need for careful structural assignment and consideration of environmental effects (e.g., pH) in attributing the sensing properties of lanthanide MOF materials.

Data availability
The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 4 May 2022; Accepted: 27 June 2022
Published online: 14 July 2022

References
1. Abbaspour, N., Hurrell, R. & Kelishadi, R. Review on iron and its importance for human health. J. Res. Med. Sci. 19, 3–11 (2014).
2. Sharma, D., Sahoo, S. K., Crisponi, G., Callan, J. F. & Bera, R. K. Iron(III) selective molecular and supramolecular fluorescent probes. Chem. Soc. Rev. 41, 7195 (2012).
3. Córdoba, E. M., Muñoz, J. A., Blázquez, M. L., González, F. & Ballester, A. Leaching of chalcopyrite with ferric ion. Part I: General aspects. Hydrometallurgy 93, 81–87 (2008).
4. Alberti, G., Zanoni, C., Magnaghi, L. R. & Biesuz, R. Low-cost, disposable colourimetric sensors for metal ions detection. J. Anal. Sci. Technol. 11, 1–12 (2020).
5. Altamura, S. & Mackenbahler, M. U. Iron toxicity in diseases of aging: Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and atherosclerosis. J. Alzheimers Dis. 16, 879–895 (2009).
6. Manz, D. H., Blanchette, N. L., Paul, B. T., Torti, F. M. & Suzy, V. Iron and cancer: Recent insights. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1368, 149–161 (2017).
7. Li, J., Kawashima, N., Kaplan, K., Absolon, V. J. & Gerson, A. R. Chalcopyrite leaching: The rate controlling factors. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 2881–2893 (2010).
8. Zeng, S., Li, J., Tan, K. & Zhang, S. Fractal kinetic characteristics of hard-rock uranium leaching with sulfuric acid. R. Soc. Open Sci. 5, 180403 (2018).
9. Santos, S. M. C. et al. Ferric sulphate/chloride leaching of zinc and minor elements from a sphalerite concentrate. Miner. Eng. 23, 606–615 (2010).
10. Fan, W., Zhang, X., Kang, Z., Liu, X. & Sun, D. Isoreticular chemistry within metal–organic frameworks for gas storage and separation. Coord. Chem. Rev. 443, 213968 (2021).
11. Morris, R. E. & Wheatley, P. S. Gas storage in nanoporous materials. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 47, 4966–4981 (2008).
12. Lee, J. et al. Metal-organic framework materials as catalysts. Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 1450–1459 (2009).
13. Liu, J. et al. Applications of metal-organic frameworks in heterogeneous supramolecular catalysis. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 6011–6061 (2014).
14. Yang, J. & Yang, Y.-W. Metal–organic frameworks for biomedical applications. Small 16, 1906846 (2020).
15. Doonan, C., Riccò, R., Liang, K., Bradshaw, D. & Falcaro, P. Metal–organic frameworks at the biointerface: Synthetic strategies and applications. Acc. Chem. Res. 50, 1423–1432 (2017).
16. Diamantis, S. A. et al. Luminescent metal-organic frameworks as chemical sensors: Common pitfalls and proposed best practices. Inorg. Chem. Front. 5, 1493–1511 (2018).
17. Allendorf, M. D., Bauer, C. A., Bhakta, R. K. & Houk, R. J. Luminescent metal–organic frameworks. Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 1330–1352 (2009).
18. Kreno, L. E. et al. Metal-organic framework materials as chemical sensors. Chem. Rev. 112, 1105–1125 (2012).
19. Zhao, S. N., Wang, G., Poelman, D. & Van Der Voort, P. Luminescent lanthanide MOFs: A unique platform for chemical sensing. Materials (Basel) 11, 1–26 (2018).
20. Stassen, I. et al. An updated roadmap for the integration of metal-organic frameworks with electronic devices and chemical sensors. Chem. Soc. Rev. 46, 3183–3241 (2017).
21. Zhao, S. N., Wang, G., Poelman, D. & Van Der Voort, P. Luminescent lanthanide MOFs: A unique platform for chemical sensing. Materials (Basel) 11, 1–26 (2018).
22. Chen, L., Liu, D., Peng, J., Du, Q. & He, H. Ratiometric fluorescence sensing of metal-organic frameworks: Tactics and perspectives. Coord. Chem. Rev. 404, 213113 (2020).
23. Xu, H. et al. An –OH group functionalized MOF for ratiometric Fe3+ sensing. J. Solid State Chem. 258, 441–446 (2018).
24. Skinner, W. M., Prestidge, C. A. & Smart, R. S. C. Irradiation effects during XPS studies of Cu(II) activation of zinc sulphide. Surf. Interface Anal. 24, 620–626 (1996).
25. Elsaidi, S. K., Mohamed, M. H., Banerjee, D. & Thallapally, P. K. Flexibility in metal–organic frameworks: A fundamental understanding. Coord. Chem. Rev. 358, 125–152 (2018).
Author contributions

L.R. performed the majority of the experiments and wrote the main manuscript text and prepared Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5. W.S. co-directed the research, performed experiments on XPS alongside L.R., and reviewed the manuscript. D.L. co-directed the research, performed experiments on fluorescence lifetime experiments alongside L.R., and reviewed and edited the manuscript, and prepared Fig. 1. A.B. co-directed the research, with specific supervision of fluorescence experiments, and reviewed the manuscript. M.K. and D.B. conceptualized and lead the research project, including the acquisition of research funding, and took the primary role in editing and reviewing the manuscript. D.B. undertook analysis of XPS data.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-15663-z.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.M.B. or D.A.B.
