Rapid Recovery Control Method Based on Improved VDCOLs for Hybrid Multi-Infeed DC Transmission System After AC Failure
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Continuous commutation failure is very likely to occur in the hybrid Multi-infeed high-voltage direct current (HMIDC) after AC failure. In order to improve the recovery quality after HMIDC failure, an AC-DC voltage-dependent current order limiter (VDCOL) based on system strength index is proposed in this article. Firstly, the control mode transition process and system recovery process after DC failure are analyzed based on the hybrid multi-infeed DC transmission port model. Then, considering the impact of AC voltage and DC voltage input signals of VDCOL on AC voltage recovery and DC power recovery, respectively, the interaction factor and strength index of the hybrid multi-infeed system are constructed. Moreover, the weight coefficient of AC and DC voltage is calculated according to the strength of the multi-infeed system. Finally, a three-infeed hybrid DC transmission simulation model is built in the MATLAB/Simulink digital simulation platform. The simulation results demonstrate that the rapid recovery strategy proposed in this article can effectively suppress continuous commutation failure and improve the recovery speed of AC voltage and DC power.
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INTRODUCTION

High-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission has gradually become the main method of long-distance, large-capacity, and cross-regional transmission with significant advantages of not being restricted by the system stability and lower cost compared with AC power transmission (Lee et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). HVDC types include line-commutated converter-based high-voltage direct current (LCC-HVDC) and voltage source converter-based high-voltage direct current (VSC-HVDC) transmission according to commutation methods. The Hybrid multi-infeed direct current (HMIDC) transmission system is formed under multiple VSCs and LCCs with similar electrical distances being connected to the receiving grid. Combining the advantages of LCC and VSC, the HMIDC transmission system has a larger transmission capacity and more flexible operation mode (Li et al., 2018; Bakas et al., 2020). However, when the receiving power grid fails, LCC stations absorb a large amount of reactive power at the same time, and the infeed bus voltage with a short
electrical distance will drop quickly. If the AC commutation voltage drops to a certain value, the commutation margin will be insufficient leading to continuous commutation failure (Lin et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020). If the receiving grid can not recover from the commutation failure as soon as possible, it may cause a large area of power supply interruption and greatly affect the power supply reliability of the grid. Therefore, it is necessary to design a rapid recovery strategy for HMIDC systems.

In order to investigate the recovery behavior of the HMIDC system after failure, the failure recovery process of the first commutation failure by using the steady-state operating curve of the DC system is analyzed in Hong et al. (2020b) and Liu et al. (2020a), where continue decreasing of the extinction angle is validated to be the essential reason for continuous commutation failure. At present, the voltage-dependent current order limiter (VDCOL) is one of the important control units in the HVDC system. Its main function is to limits the reactive power absorbed by the DC system by lowering the DC current order, which is suitable to improve the recovery speed of the feeding system (Nguyen et al., 2017; Huang and Wang, 2018). Zhang et al. (2020) analyzed the control effect of VDCOL under AC voltage and DC voltage drive modes, respectively, and proposed a VDCOL module considering AC voltage input signal conversion, in order to give consideration to the quality of converter bus and DC current recovery. However, the control conversion design of this method is relatively complex, and the improper setting of conversion criteria may be aggravated. Zeng et al. (2017) proposes a coordinated VDCOL control method of multi-infeed DC transmission based on a wide-area measurement system (WAMS). The impact of the fault on each DC transmission electronic system is evaluated based on the measurement results to adjust and control the voltage input signal of the DC subsystem VDCOL. However, this strategy has little consideration of the impact of voltage participating factor on the recovery process of different AC system strengths.

The existing literature mainly focuses on improving the recovery quality of the multi-infeed DC system by improving the input signal of VDCOL. However, the fast recovery control strategy considering the interaction among the infeed systems including VSC stations is urgently needed to be further studied. In order to improve the recovery characteristics of receiving power grids after failure, an AC-DC voltage-dependent current order limiter (VDCOL) based on system strength index in reference (Zeng et al., 2017) is further supplemented for HMIDC. The control mode transition process and recovery process of the HMIDC system after failure are analyzed. Considering the impact of infeed system voltage on AC commutation voltage recovery and DC power recovery, respectively, the relationship between the strength of the infeed system and the AC/DC voltage weight factor is fixed. The VDCOL driven by AC-DC voltage is designed according to the weight factor of infeed system voltage. The effectiveness of the proposed fast recovery strategy is validated by building a triple HMIDC simulation model in MATLAB/Simulink. The results show that the proposed method can avoid the subsequent commutation failure and improve the recovery quality to a certain extent by virtue of the voltage support capacity of the strong power grid and the reactive power regulation capacity of the VSC.

**OPERATION PRINCIPLE OF HYBRID MULTI-INFEED DC TRANSMISSION SYSTEM**

The HMIDC system refers to the network formed when VSC-HVDC and LCC-HVDC are connected with the same or similar electrical distance to the converter bus (Wei et al., 2020). For any hybrid multi-infeed DC transmission system, it is assumed that a total of N inverter stations are connected to the receiving AC grid, which contains k LCC inverter stations and N-k VSC inverter stations. The model of the hybrid multi-infeed DC system can be established based on the multi-port Davenan equivalent method, as shown in Figure 1.

**Operating Principle of VDCOL**

VDCOL mitigates the reactive power demand of converter bus by reducing the DC current order. The relationship between voltage and current is as follows (Jiang and Chiang, 2013):

\[
I_d = \begin{cases} 
I_L, U_{in} \leq U_L \\
\frac{I_H - I_L}{U_H - U_L} U_{in} + \frac{U_{in} - U_{H}}{U_H - U_L} U_L, U_L < U_{in} < U_H \\
I_H, U_{in} \geq U_H
\end{cases}
\]

where \(I_H, I_L, U_H,\) and \(U_L\) are the upper and lower limits of DC current and input voltage, respectively. The output current instruction value \(I_d\) of low-voltage current-limiting control mode depends on the input voltage detection value \(U_{in}\).

**Recovery Process HMIDC After Failure**

LCC-HVDC inverter adopt DC current control, extinction angle control and current error control (CEC) (Hong et al., 2020a; Xiao and Li, 2020). In normal operation, the LCC inverter is in the state of extinction angle control. When a serious failure occurs, the transient operation process of the HMIDC system is roughly divided into three stages (Liu et al., 2020b), as shown in Figure 2.

1. **Start-up stage of CEC.** The converter bus voltage of the LCC-HVDC inverter side drops significantly, causing commutation failure. The DC voltage drops and the DC current rises rapidly. The operating point A is shifted to the operating point B. The current deviation control of the LCC inverter is switched to current control, and operating point B moves to point B'.

2. **Start-up stage of VDCOL.** VDCOL compulsively reduces the DC current order to control the power transmission, which results in operating point shifts from B to C. The inverter recovers from commutating failure to realize normal commutating. The DC voltage increases, and the operating point moves to point C'. At the same time, the system operates stably under the state of "low voltage and small current" until the fault is removed.
(3) CEC conversion stage. When the system operating point C moves so that the current deviation value is less than the set threshold, the current deviation control converted from the current control to extinction angle control, and finally stabilized at operating point D.

**IMPROVED VDCOL RAPID RECOVERY CONTROL METHOD**

According to the impact of VDCOL on the stability of different infeed systems, VDCOL with mixed input of DC voltage and AC commutation voltage is constructed by collecting voltage data of converter bus. The AC/DC voltage is weighted and used as the input of VDCOL so that DC power recovery not only depends on the DC voltage but also takes into account the voltage recovery of the AC system at the receiving grid. The improved VDCOL control framework is shown in Figure 1.

**Improved VDCOL Input Signal**

For the LCC converter, the collected converter bus voltage and DC voltage are weighted by the signal calculation to obtain the nominal value of the input signal of VDCOL. The calculation formula is as follows:

\[
U_{in_i} = \xi_{i0} U_{dc_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \xi_{ij} U_{ac_j}
\]

where \( U_{in_i} \) represents the input voltage signal of the VDCOL of the \( i \)-th terminal LCC converter; \( U_{dc_i} \) represents the DC voltage of the \( i \)-th terminal LCC converter; \( U_{ac_j} \) represents the AC voltage of \( j \)-th terminal LCC converter; \( \xi_{i0} \) represents DC voltage weighting coefficient of the \( i \)-th terminal LCC converter; \( \xi_{ij} \) represents AC voltage weighting coefficient of the \( i \)-th terminal LCC converter.
coefficient of the $j$-th terminal LCC converter; and $k$ is the number of LCC.

**DC Input Signal Weight Coefficient Calculation**

In the recovery process after fault removal, the HMIDC system not only needs rapid recovery of DC power but also needs to consider the degree of disturbance to the voltage stability of the receiving AC grid. The smaller the strength of the receiving grid is, the greater effect of low-voltage current limitation. To this end, the generalized short-circuit ratio (MIESCR) of all hybrid multi-infeed DC systems is established as follows (Liu and Chen, 2013; Xiao et al., 2020):

$$MIESCR_i = \frac{S_{Ni} - Q_{Fi}}{P_{Ni} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} MIIF_{ji} P_{Nj}} \quad \text{for } j \neq i$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $S_{Ni}$ is the short-circuit capacity of the $i$-th terminal receiving grid; $Q_{Fi}$ is the reactive power compensation capacity of the $i$-th terminal receiving grid; and $P_{Ni}$ is the rated power of the $i$-th LCC-HVDC.

$MIIF_{ji}$ is a generalized multi-feed interaction factor, which is used to evaluate the extent of voltage interaction between DC converter stations (Denis and Göran, 2013; Xiao et al., 2017). It is defined as follows: when a symmetric three-phase reactor is put into the converter bus $i$, resulting in a 1% decrease in the voltage on the converter bus $j$, the voltage change rate on the converter bus $j$.

$$MIIF_{ji} = \frac{\Delta U_j}{1\% U_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$MIIF_{ji}$ reflects the effect degree of the change of the AC voltage of bus $i$ on bus $j$.

The larger $MIESCR$ is, the greater receiving AC system strength is, and the change of converter bus voltage or that of the converter bus voltage near DC system has little impact on system stability. Therefore, change of DC voltage can be taken as the main determinant. DC voltage weight coefficient constructed is as follows:

$$\xi_{0i} = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{MIESCR_i - CSCR}{CSCR} \right|, & 0 < MIESCR_i < 2CSCR \\ 1, & MIESCR_i \geq 2CSCR \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\xi_{0i}$ represents DC voltage weighting coefficient of the $i$-th terminal LCC; $CSCR_i$ represents the critical short circuit ratio of the $i$-th terminal LCC.

Combined with Eqs 3, 4, it can be seen that all AC voltage weight coefficients are expressed as follows:

$$\xi_{aci} = 1 - \xi_{0i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\xi_{aci}$ represents the weighting coefficients of the $i$-th terminal LCC commutation voltage.

As is known from Eqs 5, 6, DC voltage weighting coefficient represents the intensity risk degree of the receiving system. The higher the system's stability security is, the less the impact of the recovery process on the stability of the AC system, and the DC voltage and active power can be the priority recovery targets. On the contrary, the voltage recovery of the AC system should be given priority. The DC voltage weight coefficient of VDCOL is positively correlated with the MIESCR.

**AC Voltage Input Signal Weight Coefficient Calculation**

In the HMIDC system, DC transmission subsystems with similar electrical distances have strong interaction. In case of failure, the degree of converter bus drop is closely related, which can also affect the fault recovery characteristics of the MIDC system. Therefore, it is necessary to add the participation factor of the commutator bus into the AC input signal to represent the coupling interaction between the multi-infeed DC systems.

The coupling interaction between the DC receiving grids affects the AC voltage recovery speed of the converter bus. If the converter bus $i$ is greatly influenced by bus $j$, the strength $MIESCR_j$ of the $j$-th terminal receiving system is small, and the weight coefficient of AC commutation voltage $U_j$ of VDCOL at the $i$-th terminal is larger, which can restore the voltage of the $j$ bus stably and quickly restore DC power of the $i$-th transmission, improve the frequency stability of the AC system, and reduce

---

**FIGURE 2** | Diagram of VDCOL operation principle.
power failure loss. Therefore, the voltage weight coefficient of the converter bus should be as follows:

\[
\frac{\xi_{1}}{MIESCR_1} = \frac{\xi_{2}}{MIESCR_2} = \ldots = \frac{\xi_{k}}{MIESCR_k}
\]  

(7)

**HMIDC Fast Recovery Control Method Steps**

In conclusion, the framework of the HMIDC quick recovery control method can be established in this work. The proposed coordinated control method of VDCOL in the hybrid multi-infeed DC system is summarized as follows:

1. Collect the converter bus voltage and DC voltage at LCC-HVDC and MMC-HVDC.
2. Calculate the DC voltage weight coefficient and AC weight coefficient of each infeed system.
3. Calculate the AC voltage weight coefficient of each infeed system.
4. VDCOL works and outputs the DC current reference value \(I_{dcref}\).
5. Input DC current reference value \(I_{dcref}\) into current control, and switch to normal control when \(U_{in} = 1\text{p.u.}\)

**CASE STUDY**

**Simulation Parameter**

In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed HMIDC fast recovery control method, the electromagnetic simulation model of the HMIDC system is built in the MATLAB/Simulink, including two LCC-HVDC systems and one MMC-HVDC system. In stable operation, current control (2 kA) is adopted for the LCC-HVDC rectifier station, and extinction angle control (18°) is adopted for the inverter side. The MMC-HVDC rectifier station adopts active power (1000 MW) and reactive power control (0 MVar), while the inverter adopts DC voltage (500 kV) and reactive power control (0 MVar). The main parameters are shown in Table 1.

The two LCC-HVDC and MMC-HVDC are denoted as the first, second and third DC infeed subsystems, respectively, and the equivalent impedance is as follows: \(Z_1 = 5.5 + j20.43\Omega\), \(Z_2 = 4.7 + j17.6\Omega\), and \(Z_3 = 5.08 + j18.92\Omega\); The contact impedance is: \(Z_{12} = 3.8 + j47\Omega\), \(Z_{23} = 0.25 + j3.14\Omega\), and \(Z_{31} = 1.3 + j15.7\Omega\). The effective short-circuit ratio of hybrid multi-feed of the three receiving systems can be calculated based on the above parameters: \(HMIESCR_1 = 2.60\), \(HMIESCR_2 = 2.32\), and \(HMIESCR_3 = 2.16\).

According to the above calculation, the input signals of two VDCOL of LCC-HVDC inverter station can be obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{in1} &= 0.7U_{d1} + 0.1U_{a1} + 0.1U_{a2} + 0.1U_{a3} \\
U_{in2} &= 0.55U_{d1} + 0.17U_{a1} + 0.15U_{a2} + 0.13U_{a3}
\end{align*}
\]  

(8)

**Three-Phase Short Circuit Simulation Verification**

When \(t = 1.5\) s, the three-phase short circuit fault is set at the first LCC-HVDC converter bus, and the fault lasted for 0.1 s. Through comparative analysis, the simulation results of DC voltage VDCOL and the mixed input VDCOL proposed verified the effectiveness of the rapid recovery control. The simulation results are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3A shows the simulation results of DC voltage, DC current, extinction angle, and inverter converter bus voltage of subsystem 1. The converter bus voltage drops to 0 rapidly when three-phase short-circuit fault occurs (\(t = 1.5\) s), and the DC current rises extremely fast. At this time, the current deviation control is started and converted from extinction angle control to current control. Under the action of two kinds of VDCOL strategy for current limit, DC current declined at about \(t = 1.53\) s, commutation failure has happened once at this point. When the three-phase short circuit fault was cleared at \(t = 1.6\) s, infeed system 1 began to recover. However, due to the rapid rise of the DC current, the active power of the system is restored quickly, and the reactive power demand soars, and the commutation failure of the feed system occurs again at \(t = 1.75\) s for the original control strategy. While the proposed control method includes the local AC voltage into the input signal, which obviously limits the rise rate of the DC current. It can be seen from Figure 3A that the proposed VDCOL has a faster recovery speed and smaller overshoot than the original control system. There were continuous commutation failures (\(\gamma_{min} = 7^\circ\)) at the extinction angle in the scenario with no recovery control, while the addition of recovery control avoided subsequent commutation failures in Figure 3A.

Due to the interaction between subsystem 2 and subsystem 1, when a three-phase short-circuit fault occurs to the converter bus of subsystem 1, the bus voltage will drop to a certain value, the DC voltage will drop, and the DC current will rise at a high speed. At this time, the current deviation control will be started, and the switch from extinction angle control to current control. It can be seen from Figure 2B that the DC current recovery speed of the proposed VDCOL is almost the same as that of the control system without recovery. When \(t = 1.75\) s, the subsequent commutation failure occurred in infeed system 2 under the original control method. On the one hand, the VDCOL makes the DC current recover quickly and increases the demand for reactive power. On the other hand, the SCR of infeed system 2 is small, and the poor AC voltage support capacity of the commutator bus. Under

---

**TABLE 1 | Main parameters of HMIDC system.**

| System parameter             | Rectifier side | Inverter side |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| AC system voltage/kV         | 525            | 525          |
| Rated frequency /Hz          | 50             | 50           |
| Rated active power/MW        | 1000           | 1000         |
| Transformer ratio /kV        | 450/200/200    | 450/200/200  |
| Transformer capacity /MVA    | 1200           | 1200         |
| Current limiting reactor/H   | 0.35           | 0.35         |
| Rated DC voltage/kV          | 500            |              |
| Rated DC current/kA          | 2              |              |
| DC line resistance/\Omega    | 3.5            |              |

---
**A Simulation result of infeed subsystem 1 (LCC-HVDC)**

- DC voltage/p.u.
- DC current/p.u.
- Extinction angle/deg.
- AC voltage/p.u.

**B Simulation result of infeed subsystem 2 (LCC-HVDC)**

- DC voltage/p.u.
- DC current/p.u.
- Extinction angle/deg.
- AC voltage/p.u.

**C Simulation result of infeed subsystem 3 (MMC-HVDC)**

- AC voltage/p.u.
- DC current/p.u.

**FIGURE 3** | Simulation results of HMIDC after failure. (A) Simulation result of infeed subsystem 1 (LCC-HVDC). (B) Simulation result of infeed subsystem 2 (LCC-HVDC). (C) Simulation result of infeed subsystem 3 (MMC-HVDC).
the proposed recovery control method, the voltage recovery of the infeed system 1 with large SCR and VSC suppressed the DC current mutation of the infeed system 2, thus avoiding the subsequent commutation failure.

The simulation results of DC voltage, DC current, and inverter side converter bus voltage of subsystem 3 can be seen in Figure 3C. When the subsystem 1 fault occurs \((t = 1.5 \text{ s})\), the voltage on the inverter side of MMC-HVDC decreases, and the transmission power decreases as well, while the rectifier is still in active power control, leading to the rise of DC voltage and the decrease of DC current. The recovery control improves DC current fluctuation degree of MMC-HVDC through the interaction between multi-infeed systems and has a positive effect on the stability of AC and DC systems.

In conclusion, The HMIDC rapid coordinated recovery method based on the improved VDCOL links the multiple DC transmissions together, makes full use of the voltage support capacity of the strong power grid and VSC, and makes the incoming power grid orderly recover the DC current and commutation bus voltage, and reduces the subsequent commutation failure.

**CONCLUSION**

In this article, a rapid recovery control strategy based on improved VDCOL is proposed for HMIDC. The simulation results of the three-terminal hybrid multi-infeed DC system show that the improved VDCOL rapid recovery control method can avoid the subsequent commuting failure to a great extent, and at the same time improve the recovery speed and quality of the multi-infeed system compared with the traditional VDCOL. Especially for the short circuit relatively small power grid such as the China Guangdong power grid area, the improved VDCOL rapid recovery coordination control will greatly enhance the disturbance resistance ability of regional weakly infeed power grid and improve the recovery characteristics after failure.
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