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ABSTRACT
SUMMARY: Multiphase CTA offers several important advantages over the traditional single-phase CTA technique in acute ischemic stroke, including improved detection of large-vessel occlusion, improved characterization of collateral status, improved tolerance of patient motion and poor hemodynamics, and higher interrater reliability. These benefits are gleaned at little additional cost in terms of time, risk to the patient, and capital expense. Existing data suggest that there are important benefits to using multiphase CTA in lieu of single-phase CTA in the initial vessel assessment of patients with acute stroke.

ABBREVIATIONS: AIS = acute ischemic stroke; mCTA = multiphase CTA; sCTA = single-phase CTA

The introduction of IV reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke (AIS) more than 20 years ago spawned an era of dramatic advances in the treatment of patients with AIS. Neuroimaging has consistently played a critical role in triaging patients with acute stroke by helping providers weigh the risks and benefits of treatment options. Important imaging findings during triage of patients with stroke have included intracranial hemorrhage, large-vessel occlusion, core infarct, penumbra, and collateral circulation status. Other less commonly used imaging findings such as thrombus length and thrombus perviousness have also assisted stroke treatment teams.

Neuroradiologists involved in the care of patients with stroke must be conversant with the diagnostic strengths and limitations of various stroke imaging modalities. The value of any imaging test should be considered in the context of its availability, acquisition and postprocessing speed, tolerance of suboptimal patient factors such as motion, and interrater reliability.

Multiple clinical trials have prompted the stroke community to recommend specific imaging modalities for various stroke scenarios, as stated in the latest 2019 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Guidelines for Management of AIS. In patients with AIS presenting within 6 hours of symptom onset and with a small core infarct on NCCT, CTA or MRA is advised to guide patient selection for mechanical thrombectomy without the need for additional modalities such as CTP. In patients presenting in the extended 6- to 24-hour window after onset and who have a large-vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation, CTP or diffusion-weighted MR imaging with or without MR perfusion is advised, as supported by the more recent DWI or CTP Assessment with Clinical Mismatch in the Triage of Wake-Up and Late Presenting Strokes Undergoing Neurointervention with Trevo (DAWN) and Endovascular Therapy Following Imaging Evaluation for Ischemic Stroke 3 (DEFUSE 3) trials. The Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Proximal Occlusion Ischemic Stroke (ESCAPE) trial and secondary analysis from the Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial of Endovascular Treatment for Acute Ischemic Stroke in the Netherlands (MR CLEAN) trial have suggested that collateral status might also be considered when selecting patients for thrombectomy.

In patients with AIS, CTA has been the study of choice for the initial diagnosis of large-vessel occlusion and other important vascular pathology such as dissection. Multiphase CTA (mCTA) is a more recently studied, time-resolved CTA variant in which an arterial and 2 venous phases are acquired. mCTA offers several advantages over the traditional single-phase CTA technique (sCTA), including improved detection of large-vessel occlusion, higher interrater reliability, and improved characterization of collateral status. There has been increasing use of mCTA in recent clinical trials. Our institution, a comprehensive stroke center, adopted mCTA as its standard noninvasive vessel imaging technique for AIS several years ago.

In this review, we discuss the acquisition technique and interpretation of mCTA, its role in AIS patient assessment, its advantages over sCTA, and its limitations. We hope this review will help stroke providers understand the advantages of this evolving technique.
METHODS

Imaging Protocol for Acute Stroke

At our institution, initial imaging for patients with AIS includes NCCT and mCTA with the goal of door-to-CT table time of less than 20 minutes. For patients presenting between 6 to 24 hours after onset of symptoms or when the time of onset is unknown, CTP is also performed. For a subset of patients (eg, those with strong contraindication to iodinated contrast or those with unclear correlation between imaging findings and neurologic signs), an abbreviated hyperacute MR imaging protocol may be performed instead (consisting of DWI, axial T2 FLAIR, and axial SWI with or without MRA).

Our mCTA protocol (Edge CT scanner; Siemens) is as follows:

- Contrast bolus of 80 cc (Omnipaque 300), at the rate of 4 mL/s followed by a saline chaser.
- First phase (peak arterial phase): Coverage extends from the aortic arch through the vertex. Image acquisition is triggered by bolus monitoring with ROI in proximal descending aorta with a trigger value of 115 HU.
- Second phase (equilibrium/peak venous phase): Coverage extends from the skull base through the vertex performed 4 seconds after completion of the peak arterial phase scan.
- Third phase (late venous phase): Coverage extends from the skull base through the vertex performed 4 seconds after completion of the second phase.

mCTA imposes little burden at postprocessing, requiring only the creation of multiplanar MIP images of the 3 phases. Such MIP images can be generated automatically within minutes using standard vendor software. In the neck, coronal and sagittal plane MIP images are created with a thickness of 5 mm and an interslice gap of 2 mm. In the head, axial plane MIP images are created with a thickness of 20 mm and an interslice gap of 3 mm; MIP images in the coronal and sagittal planes are created with a thickness of 10 mm and an interslice gap of 3 mm. On the PACS, head MIP images are best displayed for each plane with the 3 phases side by side. This arrangement facilitates appreciation of the dynamic behavior of vessel opacification over time. Collateral status is often best assessed on axial head MIP images.

Compared with sCTA, the additional time cost to acquire mCTA includes the scan time for the additional 2 phases of head CTA (3 seconds each), the time between phases (4 seconds each), and the time required for reconstruction of the additional head MIPs (2–3 minutes). At our institution, iterative reconstruction is turned off at mCTA to shorten the reconstruction time.

Vendor software is available that can create color-coded summation maps of mCTA MIP images, such as the Faststroke package Colorviz view (GE Healthcare). This tool combines information from all 3 phases by color coding vascular segments according to their peak phase of enhancement. These color-coded mCTA summation maps may facilitate assessment of perfusion delay, distal and multivessel occlusion, critical stenosis, and collateral flow patterns, particularly for less experienced readers. Although promising, such a tool is not required to realize the benefits of mCTA described in this review, and we have not yet adopted such a tool at our institution.

DISCUSSION

mCTA can be performed on most standard CT platforms. The technique is readily available, rapidly performed, needs no additional contrast material, requires no additional vendor package for specialized postprocessing (as is needed for CTP), and is relatively easy to interpret. mCTA is more accurate than sCTA in assessing collateral status, a useful factor for revascularization decisions in some patients with AIS. In addition, mCTA increases sensitivity for arteriovenous shunting and venous abnormalities.

Sensitivity for Vessel Occlusion

mCTA increases interrater agreement for both proximal (M1, A1 segment) and distal (M2, M3, A2 segment) vessel occlusions compared with sCTA, particularly for trainees, general radiologists, and neurologists. mCTA improves the detection rate of distal vessel occlusions compared with sCTA by virtue of the “delayed vessel sign” (Figs 1 and 2). This sign refers to the delayed enhancement of a vessel segment distal to the point of an occlusion or critical stenosis. The “delayed vessel” reaches peak enhancement at a later phase than equivalent nonoccluded vessels, which can increase the conspicuity of distal vessel occlusions. Such occlusions are sometimes difficult to detect by sCTA regardless of reader experience. It is likely that this “delayed vessel” enhancement reflects retrograde flow via pial collaterals. The delayed vessel sign is less sensitive when there are poor collaterals in the ischemic territory, in occlusions of end arteries or perforator arteries, and with small cortical infarcts.

Endovascular intervention has become more common place for some distal vessel occlusions, such as the M2 segment. The safety profile and clinical benefits for treating some M2 occlusions approach that of M1 occlusions. Occlusions of the M2 segment highlight the value of the improved distal vessel detection rate by mCTA compared with sCTA. Even in patients who are not candidates for revascularization therapy, the detection of a distal

FIG 1. Delayed vessel sign. Peak arterial (A), early venous (B), and late venous (C) phase axial MIP mCTA images show occlusion of a proximal M2 segment (arrow in A) of the left MCA. The delayed vessel sign is demonstrated in the early venous and late venous phases (arrows in B and C), assisting in detection of occlusion.
segment occlusion may provide added value to patient management, such as when there is unclear correlation between neurologic signs and imaging findings or when there is no core infarct at imaging.

Studies of the time needed for neuroradiologists, radiology trainees, and neurologists to diagnose a large-vessel occlusion by mCTA versus sCTA have favored mCTA.\textsuperscript{9,10} After a training period, readers on average consistently reported greater diagnostic confidence with and expended less time diagnosing large-vessel occlusion by mCTA compared with sCTA examinations. In a study of 2 radiology residents and 2 neuroradiologists, Byrne et al\textsuperscript{10} reported the average time needed for the radiology trainees to diagnose proximal M1 occlusions as 34 seconds by mCTA versus 72 seconds by sCTA. The average time for the radiology trainees to diagnose distal vessel occlusions (A2, M2, A3, M3) was 44 seconds by mCTA versus 99 seconds by sCTA. For the 2 neuroradiologists, the average time to diagnose proximal M1 occlusions was 29 seconds by mCTA versus 67 seconds by sCTA. Although the sheer number of additional source and MIP images generated by mCTA may seem discouraging at first, Byrne et al\textsuperscript{10} suggested that the diagnostic efficiency of mCTA may be related to the value of the delayed vessel sign.

**Length of Occlusion**

Accurate assessment of thrombus length has prognostic significance and assists in the planning and execution of thrombectomy. Thrombus burden is a predictor for infarct size, hemorrhagic transformation, and clinical outcome\textsuperscript{14} and has implications for therapy. Recanalization is unlikely for IV tPA when the thrombus length surpasses 8 mm.\textsuperscript{15} The clot length is used to select the size of the stent retriever device at thrombectomy.

sCTA may overestimate the length of occlusion because of nonopacification of the vessel distal to the thrombus. Loss of the normal pressure gradient may also result in collapse of the vessel wall distal to the thrombus and may overestimate the length of occlusion (Figs 3 and 4). Clot length has been found to be subjectively easier to measure by mCTA than by catheter angiography even though mCTA is just as reliable.\textsuperscript{16}

**Assessment of Collaterals**

There is often marked variability in the extent of neural damage and its clinical manifestation in patients with anterior circulation AIS, especially during the first few hours after onset.\textsuperscript{17} Studies have suggested that this variability is in part related to the quantity and quality of collateral circulation.\textsuperscript{18} In a 1989 study of 80 patients with AIS, Bozzao et al\textsuperscript{19} showed that more extensive collaterals assessed with conventional angiography correlated with smaller final infarct size and better prognosis. More robust collaterals have been linked to a lower risk of hemorrhage after endovascular therapy.\textsuperscript{20} In patients with AIS who do not receive reperfusion treatment, good collaterals have been strongly correlated with better clinical outcomes.\textsuperscript{21} Secondary analysis of CTA collateral status from the MR CLEAN randomized clinical trial showed a positive correlation between collateral score and the degree of endovascular therapy benefit. Conversely, patients with poorer collaterals were less likely to benefit.\textsuperscript{21} Such studies suggest that collateral status may be a useful factor in the management of some patients with AIS, as proposed by the recent 2019 American Heart Association AIS guidelines.\textsuperscript{5}

Interest in a time-resolved CTA technique was in part initially motivated by investigators seeking a better tool for collateral status assessment. Because collateral flow to penumbral tissue tends to disperse over time, collateral status is often better assessed using information from multiple time points. Delayed filling of pial collaterals may be underestimated by a single phase. Patients with moderate or good collaterals on mCTA can be erroneously labeled as having poor collaterals.
Mis-timing of acquisition with sCTA is common, given the older age and variable cardiac status typical of the AIS population. In a study by Casault et al, nearly 12% of sCTA images were acquired either too early or too late, which may have resulted in mislabeling of collateral status. Pial collateral supply between PCA and MCA territories may be slower than that at sCTA (Figs 5–7).

FIG 4. Pseudoocclusion of the left vertebral artery. The left vertebral artery appears occluded at its intracranial V4 segment during the arterial phase of mCTA (arrow in A). This is related to severe stenosis at its origin (D). The previously unopacified intracranial V4 segment is shown to enhance in the delayed phases of mCTA (arrows in B and C). If only single-phase CTA had been used (analogous to the arterial phase of the mCTA, A), a V4 segment occlusion would have been diagnosed.

FIG 5. Coronal arterial phase mCTA MIP image demonstrates right M1 segment occlusion (A). Axial arterial phase mCTA MIP image (B) shows filling of at most 50% of distal branches. Axial delayed phase mCTA MIP image (C) shows filling of most distal branches. The patient underwent mechanical thrombectomy with restoration of TICI 2c flow. Follow-up diffusion-weighted MR image shows a small infarct core. If only sCTA had been used in this case (analogous to the arterial phase of the mCTA, B), the collateral status would have been classified as “poor to moderate distal collaterals” instead of “good collaterals.”

FIG 6. Right M1 segment occlusion. mCTA axial MIP images from the arterial phase (A), early venous phase (B), and late venous phase (C) show progressive collateral filling of distal MCA branches. The patient underwent endovascular recanalization with restoration of TICI 3 flow. Follow-up diffusion-weighted MR image shows a small infarct core.
between ACA and MCA territories. This may contribute to limited assessment of collateral status on sCTA.

Several other techniques, including MR-based techniques and processing of CT perfusion data to create dynamic CTA, have been used for assessment of collateral circulation. Although promising, these techniques have yet to reach widespread availability.

**Ischemic Core**

Rapid and accurate assessment of early infarct core and salvageable tissue is important in AIS management. Endovascular therapy has generally been considered to carry a higher risk of hemorrhage when the infarct core volume is greater than 70 to 100 mL. DWI is widely considered the criterion standard for core infarct assessment. However, MR imaging is not always practical in the acute stroke setting.

Perfusion studies have widely been used to estimate infarct core and penumbra volumes. Perfusion studies are typically performed in patients with anterior circulation large-vessel occlusion who are being considered for mechanical thrombectomy in the extended time window (6–24 hours after onset). Smaller community hospitals often do not have the ability to perform these studies nor the personnel needed for postprocessing and interpretation. Although thrombectomy is not typically performed in the community hospital setting, the superior diagnostic performance of mCTA compared with sCTA may still provide added value in clinical management whether at a community hospital or at a comprehensive stroke center after patient transfer.

Reid et al studied the potential value of mCTA in delineating the core ischemic area and detecting tissue at risk. Hypoattenuation that persisted into the venous phase of mCTA was considered better than NCCT in assessing an area of severe ischemia. Their data suggested that hypoattenuation in the arterial phase may be useful in identifying tissue at risk for infarction (Fig 8). Future clinical trials will be needed to define the value of mCTA in this role. Currently, mCTA cannot be used to assess penumbra volume, though it may offer some value when penumbra imaging is not feasible, such as in the nontertiary hospital setting.

**Thrombus Perviousness**

Thrombus permeability (assessed by thrombus perviousness measures) was reported to be an independent predictor of clinical outcome in patients with acute stroke. Although it seems intuitive to assume the superiority of mCTA in assessing thrombus perviousness given its temporal resolution, studies have shown little benefit of mCTA over sCTA.

**Predicting Clinical Outcome**

Collateral circulation status may predict clinical outcome in AIS, though this has not been consistently reproduced in studies. Menon et al found...
mCTA to be superior to sCTA and CTP in determining clinical outcome. In contrast, secondary analysis of data from the DEFUSE 3 randomized clinical trial by de Havenon et al\textsuperscript{28} showed no correlation between good collaterals assessed by CTA and improved clinical outcome. Among the limitations cited by de Havenon et al\textsuperscript{28} were the possibility of continued collateral status evolution after imaging assessment and the use of sCTA. Zhang et al\textsuperscript{26} found that good collaterals are better predictors of favorable outcome in the cardioembolism stroke subtype than in the large artery atherosclerosis stroke subtype.

**Radiation Dose**

The mean estimated effective dose of mCTA is typically only 20% above that of sCTA compared with 70% added dose for CT perfusion.\textsuperscript{7,30} The added radiation dose to the eye is typically 4 times higher with CT perfusion than with mCTA.\textsuperscript{7}

**CT Perfusion and mCTA**

The DAWN\textsuperscript{2} and DEFUSE 3\textsuperscript{3} randomized clinical trials have shown benefit from endovascular therapy in the extended time window (6–24 hours), provided that the infarct core was small and there was a large amount of tissue at risk. The 2019 American Heart Association AIS guidelines recommend obtaining CTP or DWI with or without MR perfusion in the extended time window after anterior circulation large-vessel occlusion.\textsuperscript{1} However, the AHA guidelines also suggest that collateral assessment may be useful in selecting some patients for mechanical thrombectomy, citing the use of mCTA collateral assessment for patient selection in the ESCAPE randomized clinical trial.\textsuperscript{4} Secondary analysis from the MR CLEAN trial has also supported the utility of collateral status.\textsuperscript{5} These initial studies have prompted increased use of mCTA in recent randomized clinical trials. Definitive recommendations on the role of mCTA in AIS management will await the completion of such trials.

The improved vessel occlusion detection rate, higher inter-rater reliability, improved measurement of thrombus length, and relatively low costs of mCTA support its use in lieu of sCTA as the standard initial vessel imaging test in the AIS setting. mCTA may be more resistant to patient motion, poor hemodynamics, and other technical factors compared with sCTA or CTP.

**Limitations**

As with any other test, mCTA should be interpreted in conjunction with clinical information and other available imaging, including NCCT. Flow-limiting stenosis in proximal neck vessels can potentially delay opacification of pial vessels in both ischemic and nonischemic tissue and thus lead to suboptimal assessment of collateral status. Poor hemodynamics can result in poor pial vessel opacification and thereby limit the benefits of temporal resolution at vessel imaging.

mCTA is of limited value in posterior circulation AIS, except in cases involving the posterior cerebral artery.\textsuperscript{7} This is likely related to the highly variable vascular anatomy of the posterior circulation and thus its poorly understood collateral supply.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, mCTA offers several significant advantages over the traditional sCTA technique in AIS assessment, including improved detection of vessel occlusion, improved collateral status assessment, improved tolerance of suboptimal patient factors, and higher interrater reliability.\textsuperscript{26} These benefits are gleaned at little additional cost in terms of time, risk to the patient, and capital expense. Although future study results will be needed to ascertain the role of mCTA in relation to perfusion techniques and DWI in the extended time window, existing data already suggest that there are important benefits to using mCTA in lieu of sCTA in the initial vessel assessment of patients with acute stroke.
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