Spray penetration into asparagus (*Asparagus officinalis* L.) canopy using different nozzle inclinations and application rates
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Abstract

Asparagus (*Asparagus officinalis* L.) is a difficult crop to treat with spraying pesticides because its architecture makes it difficult for droplets to penetrate inside of the canopy, where its pests are located. This study aims to examine the influence of operational parameters, such as nozzle inclination and application rates on the inner side of the canopy. We installed nozzles in the spray boom and its droplegs in three different inclinations: 0° with the plant, 30° in the direction of movement of the tractor-sprayer assembly (+30°), and 30° in the opposite direction (−30°). We applied the mixture in application rates of 600, 900, and 1,200 L ha⁻¹. More so, the regulation used by the farmer of 0° and 800 L ha⁻¹ was applied as a control. Copper (Cu) was used as a tracer for the mixture in a dose of 13.5 g ha⁻¹. Also, non-plasticized polyvinyl chloride grooved tubes (PVC) were installed inside the asparagus canopy and polyethylene sheets were placed on the pipes at different heights from the ground. Later, we analyzed the sheets with atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Results showed that the nozzle inclination and sampling height had notable effects on the copper deposit. Conversely, different application rates showed no varied effects significantly. The greatest copper deposition in the asparagus canopy was achieved with a nozzle inclination of +30° with any application rate. Finally, we recommend regulating the sprayers with a nozzle inclination of +30° and an application rate of 600 L ha⁻¹ as the most effective adjustment for the distribution of pesticides and represent the lowest cost of operation since there is no influence of the application rate.
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Resumen

El espárrago (*Asparagus officinalis* L.) es un cultivo muy difícil de tratar con plaguicidas vía aspersión, pues la arquitectura de la planta dificulta la penetración de las gotas en el dosel, en cuyo interior se localizan las principales plagas del cultivo. El objetivo del presente trabajo fue evaluar la influencia de los parámetros operacionales, tales como, el volumen de aplicación e inclinación de las boquillas sobre el depósito de la pulverización al interior del dosel del espárrago. Las boquillas fueron instaladas en la barra de pulverización y en los bajantes en una de las tres inclinaciones estudiadas, 0° en relación a la planta, 30° en sentido al desplazamiento del conjunto tractor-pulverizador (+30°) y 30° en sentido opuesto (−30°). Las tasas de aplicación estudiadas fueron 600, 900 y 1 200 L ha⁻¹. Además de esos tratamientos, se estudió la regulación empleada por el productor de 0° y 800 L ha⁻¹. Para el tratamiento del cultivo fue usado el cobre (Cu) como trazador, en la dosis de 13,5 g ha⁻¹. Tubos ranurados de policloruro de vinilo no plastificado (PVC) fueron instalados al interior del dosel de la planta. Láminas de polietileno fueron acondicionadas sobre los tubos de PVC a diferentes alturas en relación al suelo. Después de la aplicación, las láminas de polietileno fueron analizadas mediante técnica de espectrofotometría de absorción atómica. Hubo efecto significativo de la inclinación de boquilla y de la altura de muestreo sobre el depósito de cobre. Por otro lado, no hubo efecto significativo del volumen de aplicación sobre el depósito. La mayor deposición de cobre al interior del dosel de la planta se consiguió con la inclinación de boquillas de +30° en cualquiera de los volúmenes de aplicación. Al no existir influencia del volumen de aplicación en el depósito de la pulverización al interior del dosel del espárrago, se recomienda regular los pulverizadores con inclinación de boquillas de +30° y volumen de aplicación de 600 L ha⁻¹ por ser la regulación más eficaz en la distribución de los plaguicidas y que a su vez representa el menor costo de operación.
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Introduction

Peru is one of the main asparagus (Asparagus officinalis L.) exporters of the world, reaching a planted area of 33,870 ha in 2015 (Ministerio de Agricultura y Riego [Minagri], 2020). The coastal agroecosystem and the efficient management of this crop have allowed high yields in the past years (Camborda et al., 2015). However, in recent years, the yield has reduced considerably among other factors due to severe pest attacks and flaws in control strategy, especially in chemical control (Ortega et al., 2014). This scenario has led to the reduction of crop areas in the country (Apaza et al., 2019). The effectiveness of the restraint of phytosanitary problems of asparagus, such as Prodiplosis longifila Gagné (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) and Stemphilium spp, depends on choosing the adequate product, time application, and the distribution of the spray on the plant.

The use of pesticides as the only containment measure and the inadequate regulation of sprayers have caused serious problems to the asparagus production.

Due to the flaws in pest control, producers have increased the dose and number of applications per season, causing problems related to resistance and pesticide residues (Vásquez-Castro, 2012, 2013). Asparagus is generally sown in lines separated by 1.5 m, and at their greatest development can grow up to 1.6 m in height, 1.3 m in width, and creates a dense foliage that is a favorable microclimate to pests. Consequently, this hinders the spray penetration to the asparagus canopy.

More so, horizontal spray boom with vertical section adaptations (droplegs) is recommended for herbaceous crops of notable height, as this equipment manages to spray the top and sides of the asparagus (Knott, 1987). Nevertheless, a low spray penetration rate is seen in the asparagus canopy, mainly in the lower third of the plant, preferred place of P. longifila and Stemphilium spp. (Scodellaro, 1995; Castillo, 2019). Conversely, high application rates are widely used to enhance the application quality for pest control; but the results have not been satisfactory. Good results have been obtained in other crops when nozzles were fitted with inclination angles over the spray boom (Panisson et al., 2004; Foqué & Nuyttens, 2011). Nevertheless, negative results are known (Zhu et al., 2002). However, some reports in international literature showed that low application rates result in higher pesticide deposits and lower operation costs (Véliz et al., 2010; Sánchez-Hermosilla et al., 2013; Cunha, Victor, & Sales, 2018).

There is little scientific information about application technology in asparagus crops. Thus, in this study, we evaluate the influence of some operational parameters, nozzle inclination, and application rates on the pesticide deposit in the asparagus canopy.

Materials and Methods

The fieldwork was done in a commercial production farm of green asparagus, variety UC-157, located at the Ica valley (south Coast of Peru). The plants were at the second sprouting stage (1.6 m of height, 1.3 m canopy-width and dense foliage). A mounted sprayer (Jacto Brand, Condor 600° model) was used for the application of the mixture. The sprayer was equipped in a 13.5 m long horizontal spray boom, eight droplets at 1.5 m apart, and 59 empty cone-jet nozzles (Teejet Brand, TXVK-10° model) (Figure 1). To avoid the pressure being an influencing variable for the nozzles drops spectrum, all the treatments were performed at a working pressure of 1,000 kPa and this resulted in an average flow of 1.17 L min⁻¹. This way, the different application rates used (600, 800, 900, and 1,200 L ha⁻¹) were obtained through travel speed regulation of the tractor-sprayer assembly, keeping the tractor engine rotation at 1,700 RPM, providing 540 RPM in the power takeoff. The travel speeds were 5.1, 3.8, 3.4, and 2.6 km h⁻¹ for the application rates of 600, 800, 900, and 1,200 L ha⁻¹, respectively.

Figure 1. The scheme of the tractor-sprayer assembly used in the experiment. Notice the horizontal spray boom, the droplets, and the nozzles distribution.

The nozzles were installed in the horizontal spray boom and the droplets in one of the following three inclination angles: 0° with the plant, 30° in the direction of displacement from the tractor-sprayer assembly (+30°), and 30° in the opposite direction (−30°). In addition to those treatments, we applied the regulation used by the farmer, nozzle on 0°, and an application rate of 800 L ha⁻¹, as control. More so, we used copper as a tracer with a dose of 13.5 g ha⁻¹ for the crop treatment. For the evaluation of the mixture deposit in the asparagus canopy, we used the methodology proposed by Travis et al. (1985), and Pergher et al. (1999) with some modifications. PVC tubes of ¾” diameter and 1.68 m long were installed inside the canopy, simulating the stem of the plants. Polyethylene sheets of 0.14 × 0.16 m were placed above the tubes at different heights from the ground (0.04–0.18; 0.26–0.40; 0.48–0.62; 0.70–0.84; 0.92–1.06; 1.14–1.28; 1.36–1.50 m). These sheets served as collectors of surface droplets containing copper (Figure 2). The sheets were collected and examined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry to quantify the copper deposition (ppm) after the application. In total, we applied ten treatments that corresponded to three nozzles inclination with three application rates each and one control treatment (regulation used by the farmer).
Figure 2. The PVC tube installed inside the asparagus canopy. Notice the polyethylene sheets placed at different heights from the ground (h1-h7).

Table 2. Copper deposit means (ppm) in function to treatments and sampling height.

| Nozzle tilt angle | Application rate (Lha⁻¹) | Height (h) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------------|
|                   |                          | h1         | h2         | h3         | h4         | h5         | h6         | h7         |
| 0°                | 600                      | 0.124ᵇ     | 0.096ᵇ     | 0.048ᵇ     | 0.038ᵇ     | 0.033ᵇ     | 0.041ᵇ     | 0.043ᶜᵈ    |
| 0°                | 800                      | 0.078ᵇ     | 0.062ᵃ     | 0.024ᵇ     | 0.016ᵇ     | 0.007ᵇ     | 0.010ᵇ     | 0.010ᵇ     |
| 0°                | 900                      | 0.110ᵇ     | 0.059ᵇ     | 0.034ᵇ     | 0.022ᵇ     | 0.026ᵇ     | 0.022ᵇ     | 0.028ᶜᵈ    |
| 0°                | 1200                     | 0.098ᵇ     | 0.092ᵇ     | 0.043ᵇ     | 0.011ᵇ     | 0.006ᵇ     | 0.014ᵇ     | 0.023ᶜᵈ    |
| -30°              | 600                      | 0.152ᵃᵈ    | 0.063ᵇ     | 0.046ᵈ     | 0.042ᵇ     | 0.042ᵇ     | 0.048ᵇᶜ     | 0.103ᵇᶜ    |
| -30°              | 900                      | 0.320ᵇ     | 0.172ᵇ     | 0.126ᵇᶜ     | 0.132ᵇᶜ     | 0.136ᵇᶜ     | 0.134ᵇᶜ     | 0.136ᵇᶜ    |
| -30°              | 1200                     | 0.229ᵇ     | 0.143ᵇ     | 0.103ᶜᵈ     | 0.066ᵇᶜ     | 0.080ᵇᶜ     | 0.086ᵇᶜ     | 0.100ᵇᶜ    |
| +30°              | 600                      | 0.360ᵇ     | 0.334ᵇ     | 0.187ᵇᶜ     | 0.159ᵇ     | 0.133ᵇᶜ     | 0.140ᵇ     | 0.207ᵇ     |
| +30°              | 900                      | 0.476ᵇ     | 0.318ᵇ     | 0.237ᵇ     | 0.217ᵇ     | 0.211ᵇ     | 0.161ᵇ     | 0.159ᵇᶜ    |
| +30°              | 1200                     | 0.324ᵇ     | 0.297ᵇ     | 0.229ᵇ     | 0.168ᵇ     | 0.198ᵇ     | 0.161ᵇ     | 0.174ᵇᶜ    |

Different letters in columns represent significant differences in a t-test (p ≤ 0.05).

Results and Discussion

The variance analysis (F-test) showed a notable effect related to the treatment and sampling height (Table 1). The four orthogonal contrasts used for the splitting of the treatment were also significant, including the interaction of nozzle tilt angle × application rate. The interaction between treatment and sampling height was not noticeable, which indicated that the effects of the treatments were independent of the sampling height. However, we decided to detail the analysis of these two factors because the effects of the treatments and sampling height were significant (Table 2).

The results showed in Table 2 demonstrated that the treatments with +30° nozzle tilt angle had higher deposits in the inner part of the canopy, followed by the −30° nozzle tilt angle treatment with an application rate of 900 L ha⁻¹. The smallest deposits occurred generally in the treatments with 0° nozzle tilt angle. The regulation used by the farmer (0° y 800 L ha⁻¹) had a very low copper deposit values in all the sampling heights. The quantity of copper deposited in the inner canopy with the regulation used by the farmer was seven times lower than the treatment of 600 L ha⁻¹. These results explained the flaws found in the control of key pests, such as *P. longifila* and *Stemphylium* spp., that in later years have caused huge economic loses. These pests show colonizing preference for the inner third-inferior asparagus canopy, which is the place of difficult access to spraying. The +30° nozzle tilt angle probably increased the area of exposition of the jet to the plant surface, which together with the turbulence generated by the air resistance to the trajectory of the droplets favored their penetration, mainly for the third-inferior part of the plant. The travel speed of the tractor-spray assembly did not influence...
the results. As per each nozzle inclination, no statistical differences among the different application rates obtained with different travel speeds were seen. Similarly, da Cunha et al. (2018) showed that the travel speed did not influence the deposit of pesticides in soybean crops. Flaws in the control of other pests of asparagus and problems related to their pesticide resistance in Peru were known in the literature (Bustillo, 2009; Vásquez-Castro, 2012).

An inadequate regulation of the sprayers likely increased the incidence of these problems. Generally, there were no notable differences in the deposition of the pesticide in different application rates. So, for pest control, it is indifferent to apply 600, 800, 900, and 1,200 L ha⁻¹; however, the greatest economic benefit for the farmer will be achieved with the lower application rates, where lower operational cost in oil and labor is considered. Contrastingly, the timing of the pest control plays an important role as per large plantations. Therefore, the faster the application, the greater the probability of success in controlling the pest, which can be achieved with lower application rates. Interestingly, this study is the first to be performed in the field of pesticide application technology in Peru and one of the few studies related to asparagus crop worldwide. Consequently, we advise for more research as per finding the most efficient pesticide applying method for a crop as important and complex as asparagus.

Conclusions

The nozzle tilt angle of 30° in the direction of movement of the tractor-spray assembly provides a large deposit of the pesticide inside the asparagus canopy.

The application rate does not influence the pesticide deposit. Finally, increasing the volume of water for the pulverizations does not enhance the coverage or the deposition of substances in the asparagus crops.
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