Frequency of Bacterial Samples from Patients with Chronic Acquired Nasolacrimal Duct Obstruction
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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Dacryocystitis is an infection of lacrimal sac due to obstruction of nasolacrimal duct which has primary or secondary causes. Idiopathic inflammatory obstruction is the primary cause. Trauma, infection, inflammation, neoplasia, and mechanical obstruction are secondary one. Aim: The objective of this study is determination of bacterial samples from patients with chronic acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Methods: This cross-sectional study was contained 90 patients with dacryocystitis from 2010 to 2011, in Besat hospital. Convenience sampling in sterile condition sampling was performed by sterile swab from the pus out of the lacrimal sac. Blood agar, EMB, chocolate agar, and thioglycolate broth were used for bacterial cultivation. Various antibiotics were used for antibiotic resistance study. Finally, statistical analysis was done by SPSS ver. 15. Results: In this study, the mean age of participants was 49.36 ± 12.18 years. Number of male and female patients was equal and Sampling was performed in 53.3% of patients from the right eye. The most frequent bacteria were Staphylococcus, E. coli, and Enterobacteriaceae, respectively. Also, our results show most of bacteria obtained from patients eye pus are sensitive to chloramphenicol and the most antibiotic resistance was for co-trimoxazole. Conclusion: Our results illustrated gram-positive bacteria have an important role in dacryocystitis which is confirm previous studies. Although our results indicated chloramphenicol is the best choice for treatment process, but it is notable due to the variety of bacteria which can cause this disease, identification of bacterial contamination can be a great help to choose the best treatment process.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Dacryocystitis is an infection of lacrimal sac due to obstruction of nasolacrimal duct which has primary or secondary causes. Idiopathic inflammatory obstruction is the primary cause. Trauma, infection, inflammation, neoplasia, and mechanical obstruction are secondary one (1).

Clinical features of this disease is pain, swelling, redness over the lacrimal sac at medial canthus, tearing, crusting, fever, digital pressure over the lacrimal sac may extrude pus through the punctum. It is notable that, in chronic cases, tearing may be the only symptom. About 60 percent of initial attacks of dacryocystitis will recur. Individuals with a poorly functioning immune system (immunocompromised) may develop orbital cellulitis, which may lead to optic neuritis, proptosis, motility abnormalities, or blindness (2, 3).

Stopping the flow of tears due to obstruction cause accumulation of debris in lacrimal sac which can be a suitable place for the growth of microorganisms (4). Congenital dacryocystitis can be seen in 2-6% of infants due to absence of nasolacrimal...
mal canal. Also it can be adventitious in older ages which are acute or chronic (5).

Chronic dacryocystitis is usually because of complete or partial obstruction in a single location of lacrimal sac or nasolacrimal duct (6). Infection of lacrimal sac commonly occurs in two separate age groups, infants and adults more than 40 years old. Chronic dacryocystitis is more common in women. Studies show 70-83% of adventitious dacryocystitis is occurred in women and this is while there is no difference in gender for congenital one. Dacryocystitis microbiological studies have shown variety of bacteria in chronic dacryocystitis which Streptococcus pneumonia and Staphylococcus spp. are the most common of them (7). Also fungal infection by Candida albicans and Aspergillus spp (8) have been reported in rare cases. Fungi are almost separated in 5% of adventitious dacryocystitis patients and in 15% of congenital. Studies on fungal infection of chronic dacryocystitis do not show any specific results (9).

It is important to note that, the number of fungal infections of lacrimal system has increased rather than the past. One thing that can explain this phenomenon is that the numbers of patients suffer from immune system weakness and HIV has been increased. Immune response to the fungal infection is related to innate immune system (neutrophils and monocytes) which is weak in this type of diseases. In addition, the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics increased fungal infection and changed microbial flora (10).

On the other hand, timely and appropriate treatment of lacrimal system infection is important to prevent complications such as endophthalmitis after cataract and glaucoma surgery which are the most common intraocular surgery in the world. It is notable that the surgery should be postponed, if there is obvious infection in ocular adnexal and lacrimal system. Also, obstruction of lacrimal system is more common with age. These patients suffer from symptoms such as epiphora and pus draining from lacrimal sacs but by became older and gradual decline in tear secretion these symptoms will be seen less. Even sometimes lacrimal duct obstruction occurs without any obvious clinical symptoms. So it is important to have an attention to preoperative history of these patients to reduce the risk of postoperative endophthalmitis (11-13). The incidence of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery in adults is 60-70 cases in 1000 surgery and 0.35% has been reported as its average that will be increased after glaucoma surgery (14, 15).

Dacryocystorhinostomy is a surgical procedure to restore the flow of tears into the nose from the lacrimal sac and one of the main therapeutic procedures in adults (16). The operation can be performed endoscopically through the nose where an opening is fashioned in the lacrimal sac from within the nose. The advantages include lesser peri-operative morbidity, and no scar. Data suggests a slightly lower success rate than the “traditional” technique. With the advent of nasal endoscopes, endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy is becoming popular. In this procedure, a nasal endoscope is used to visualize the lacrimal sac through the nasal cavity. The bone covering the lacrimal sac is nibbled out. The medial wall of the sac is incised or excised, facilitating drainage of tears into the nasal cavity (17). It should be noted that it is necessary to use an antibiotic and anti-fungal coating after surgery to prevent recurrence (18).

Considering the importance of the lacrimal system infections, especially in patients with chronic obstructive acquired nasolacrimal duct and identification of bacteria which are involved in this disorder to provide appropriate therapeutic strategy, this study designed to study the frequency of bacteria in these patients.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In these cross-sectional study 90 patients with infection of lacrimal sac, dacryocystitis, from 2010 to 2011, in Besat hospital, were recruited. Convenience sampling in sterile condition from lacrimal sac was used in this study. Having diabetes or immunodeficiency in addition to dacryocystitis and using no antibiotic 2 weeks before sampling were this study’s inclusion criteria.

After registration of demographic data, sampling was performed by sterile swab from the pus out of the lacrimal sac. The exact time of sampling were recorded. Various media was used for bacterial cultivation. These media were blood agar, EMB, chocolate agar, and thioglycollate broth.

Blood agar and EMB media were incubated in 37˚c for 24 h and chocolate agar medium was incubated in specific CO₂ concentration. Thioglycollate broth medium was used for anaerobic bacteria that may cause infection in 37˚c incubator for 72 h. Biochemical tests were performed to identify bacteria in the case of colonies formation on the media. Various antibiotics such as amikacin, chloramphenicol, cefazolin, co-trimoxazole, vancomycin, doxycycline, tetracycline, erythromycin, and gentamycin were used for studying the bacteria antibiotic resistance.

SPSS ver. 15 was used for statistical analysis. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for quantitative variables and chi-square test was used to evaluate the qualitative variables.

3. RESULTS

In this study, the mean age of participants was 49.36 ± 12.18 years which min and max ages were 19 and 66 respectively. Number of male and female patients was equal (45 patients for each gender). Sampling was performed in 53.3% of patients from right eye.

Studying the type of obtained smear from pus of patients eyes in sterile condition was shown 46.7%, 6.7%, 16.7%, and 30% of smears were negative (normal), gram
positive coccus, gram negative bacillus, and gram positive coccus with gram negative bacillus, respectively. The frequency of bacterial species was studied. Table 1 shows this frequency according to gender of patients.

Various antibiotics were used for bacteria antibiotic resistance test and the results can be seen in the Table 2. Results show most of bacteria obtained from patients eye pus are sensitive to chloramphenicol and the most antibiotic resistance was for co-trimoxazole.

4. DISCUSSION

Dacryocystitis is an infection of the lacrimal sac, secondary to obstruction of the nasolacrimal duct at the junction of lacrimal sac. It causes pain, redness, and swelling over the inner aspect of the lower eyelid and epiphora. When nasolacrimal duct obstruction is secondary to a congenital barrier it is referred to as dacrocystoceles. (19) The mainstays of treatment are oral antibiotics, warm compresses, and relief of nasolacrimal duct obstruction by dacryocystorhinostomy. Considering to the main cause of this disease which is a bacterial infection, bacterial identification and their antibiotic resistance have special importance for treatment and preventive treatment of dacryocystorhinostomy (20).

Our results show the mean age of patients were 49.36 ± 12.18 and there was not any special differences between patient’s gender and the affected eye side. Smear results showed negative results (normal) in 46% of samples which means smear results are not useful for diagnosis, but bacterial cultivation results were positive for all patients.

Mj Bharathi et al. (2007) reported that the most common bacteria in chronic dacryocystitis are *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (42.2%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (10.8%), and *Streptococcus pneumonia* (10%) which are all gram-positive bacteria (21). This results repeated in our study too. Our results showed staphylococcus species and gram-positive have the most frequency in patient’s eye pus. The results of Chaudhry et al in 2005 showed same bacterial frequency too. They stated that gram-positive bacteria especially *Staphylococcus* were more frequent on pus of chronic and acute dacryocystitis eyes rather than gram-negative. They showed that the methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* was more frequent in acute dacryocystitis (1).

Like our results, in a research that was conducted in 1992 by Huber-Spitzy et al in Australia, it was shown that staphylococcus species have the most frequency among bacteria obtained from patient’s lacrimal sac and between gram-positive bacteria. They also found a significant amount of gram-negative bacteria in which *E.coli* was the most common bacteria among them (10). While, Chaudhry et al, reported *Haemophilus influenza* as a most common gram-negative bacterium obtained from their patients in Saudi Arabia (22).

In this study various antibiotics were tested for studying the bacteria antibiotic resistance. Our results demonstrated the highest percentage of microorganism’s sensitivity to antibiotics was for Chloramphenicol (76.7%), Gentamycin (66.7%), and Amikacin (60%), respectively, and these microorganisms had more resistance to Co-trimoxazole (83.3%) and Tetracycline (70%). Mj Bharathi et al showed that their bacterial samples form lacremical sac had more sensitivity to Gatifloxacin (96.5%), Ofloxacin (94.8%), and Amikacin (91.1%). They also illustrated that bacterial from chronic dacryocystitis have more resistance to antibiotics rather than bacterial from acute one (21).

In contrast to the results obtained in this study and other studies, D Briscoe et al, (2005) in a study in Israel found more gram-negative bacteria. Their study showed obtained bacteria has more sensitivity to Ceftazidime (95%), Ciprofloxacin (86%), and Cefuroxime (50%), respectively (23).

5. CONCLUSION

In, the results obtained in this study show that gram-positive bacteria are the majority of bacteria causing dacryocystitis, which is confirming previous studies. Although there is some results from other studies in contrast to our results regarding the prevalence of bacteria. It can be concluded that the type of bacterial infection can be linked to environmental conditions. On the other hand, this study showed that the best antibiotic for treatment of dacryocystitis is Chloramphenicol. It should be noted that due to the variety of bacteria which can cause this disease, identification of bacterial contamination can be a great help in the treatment process.

**Conflict of interest:** none declared.

**REFERENCES**

1. Mills DM, Bodman MG, Meyer DR. The microbiologic spectrum of dacryocystitis: a national study of acute versus chronic infection. *Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2007; 23(4): 302-6.
2. Artenstein AW, Eiseman AS, Campbell GC. Chronic dacryocystitis caused by Mycobacterium fortuitum. Ophthalmology. 1993; 100(5): 666-8.

3. Wu W, Yan W, MacCallum JK. Primary treatment of acute dacryocystitis by endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy with silicone intubation guided by a soft probe. Ophthalmology. 2009; 116(1): 116-22.

4. Hartikainen J, Lehtonen OP, Saari KM. Bacteriology of lacrimal duct obstruction in adults. British journal of ophthalmology. 1997; 81(1): 37-40.

5. Baskin DE, Reddy AK, Chu YI. The timing of antibiotic administration in the management of infant dacryocystitis. Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. 2008; 12(5): 456-9.

6. Janssen AG, Mansour K, Bos JJ. Abscess of the Lacrimal Sac due to Chronic or Subacute Dacryocystitis: Treatment with Temporary Stent Placement in the Nasolacrimal Duct I. Radiology. 2000; 215(1): 300-4.

7. Delia AC, Uuri GC, Battacharjee K. Bacteriology of chronic dacryocystitis in adult population of Northeast India. Orbit. 2008; 27(4): 243-7.

8. Klotz SA, Penn CC, Negovsky GJ. Fungal and parasitic infections of the eye. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2000; 13(4): 662-85.

9. Bharathi M, Ramakrishnan R, Maneksha V. Comparative bacteriology of acute and chronic dacryocystitis. Eye. 2008; 22(7): 953-60.

10. Huber-Spitzy V, Steinkogler F, Huber E. Acquired dacryocystitis: microbiology and conservative therapy. Acta ophthalmologica. 1992; 70(6): 745-9.

11. DeAngelis D, Hurwitz J, Mazzulli T. The role of bacteriologic infection in the etiology of nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology/Journal Canadien d’Ophthalmologie. 2001; 36(3): 134-9.

12. Kashkouli MB, Sadeghipour A, Kaghazkanani R. Pathogenesis of primary acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Orbit. 2010; 29(1): 11-5.

13. Schaefer DP. Acquired Causes of Lacrimal System Obstructions. Smith and Nesi’s Ophthalmic Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery: Springer, 2012: 629-48.

14. Eshraghi B, Masoomian B, Izadi A. Conjunctival bacterial flora in nasolacrimal duct obstruction and its changes after successful dacryocystorhinostomy surgery. Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery. 2014; 30(1): 44-6.

15. Goldstein EJ, Hanscom TA. Postoperative endophthalmitis. Clinical infectious diseases. 2004; 38(4): 542-6.

16. Yigit O, Samanciglu M, Taskin U. External and endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy in chronic dacryocystitis: comparison of results. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology. 2007; 264(8): 879-85.

17. Sprekelsen MB, Barberán MT. Endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy. The Laryngoscope. 1996; 106(2): 187-9.

18. Sun X, Liang Q, Luo S. Microbiological analysis of chronic dacryocystitis. Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics. 2005; 25(3): 261-3.

19. Friedman AB, Munson PD, Westfall CT. Agenesis of the nasolacrimal duct. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology Extra. 2011; 6(4): 269-71.

20. Duggal P, Mahindroo NK, Chauhan A. Primary endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy as treatment for acute dacryocystitis with abscess formation. American journal of otolaryngology. 2008; 29(3): 177-9.

21. Bharathi MJ, Ramakrishnan R, Meenakshi R. Microbial keratitis in South India: influence of risk factors, climate, and geographical variation. Ophthalmic epidemiology. 2007; 14(2): 61-9.

22. Chaudhary M, Bhattarai A, Adhikari S. Bacteriology and antimicrobial susceptibility of adult chronic dacryocystitis. Nepalese Journal of Ophthalmology. 2010; 2(2): 105-13.

23. Briscoe D, Rubowitz A, Assia EI. Changing bacterial isolates and antibiotic sensitivities of purulent dacryocystitis. Orbit. 2005; 24(2): 95-8.