Bioecological Characteristics of the Flora of the Territories Adjacent to the Springs of Western Kazakhstan

Guldana Z. Idrissova 1, kairgalieva_guldana@mail.ru
Irina V. Sergeeva 2, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6824-1597; iv sergeeva@mail.ru
Ekatherina N. Shevchenko 2, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6474-5242; en-shevchenko@mail.ru
Albina L. Ponomareva 2, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7423-7084; alb67na@mail.ru
Kazhmurat M. Akhmedenov 3, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7294-0913; kazhmurat78@mail.ru

1 Western Kazakhstan Agrarian-Technical University named after Zhangir Khan
51 Zhangir Khan St., Uralsk 090009, Kazakhstan
2 Saratov State Agrarian University named after N. I. Vavilov
1 Teatralnaya Sq., Saratov 410012, Russia
3 Makhambet Utemisov West Kazakhstan State University
162 N. Nazarbayev Avenue, Uralsk 090009, Kazakhstan

Received 22 December 2018, revised 18 March 2019, accepted 11 May 2019

The data of floristic studies of 40 spring tracts of the Mangistau, Aktobe, Atyrau and West Kazakhstan regions of the Western Region of the Republic of Kazakhstan are presented. We have found that the studied flora is represented by 252 species, 167 genera and 55 families belonging to Equisetophyta, Pinophyta, and Magnoliophyta divisions. Taxonomic characterization of the flora showing the predominance of the Asteraceae and Poaceae families is provided. The predominance of perennial herbaceous plants in the flora of spring tracts was identified by the system of I. G. Serebryakov’s life forms. The predominance of steppe plants and weeds was revealed. Horological analysis showed the leading set of species with the Eurasian range type. Ecological characteristics highlighted the predominance of xerophytes and mesotrophic plants. 20 protected plant species listed in the Red Data Book of the Russian Federation and the Red Data Book of the Republic of Kazakhstan were identified. Analysis of the distribution of plants in the areas adjacent to the springs identified four grades of occurrence, namely: common species – 7; uncommon species – 17; rare species – 100; and very rare species – 128. The most common species found within the spring tract territories are related to weeds, such as Tripleurospermum perforatum (Merat) M. Lainz, Polygonum aviculare L., Taraxacum officinale Wigg., Lappula squarrosa (Retz.) Dumort., Plantago major L., Arctium lappa L., Xanthium strumarium L., Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medik., Chenopodium album L., Convolus arvensis L., Poa annua L., Bromus squarrosus L., Elytrigia repens (L.) Nevski. The Jaccard index (Kj) for the analyzed floras in pairwise comparison varies from 0.02 to 0.5, which shows the extreme heterogeneity of the specific composition.
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INTRODUCTION

There are about 200 springs in Western Kazakhstan (Akhmedenov, 2015). Springs in the steppe zones are very important sources of water supply for small settlements; they also determine the recreational potential and floral diversity of the territory. The flora of the territories adjacent to the spring outlets is influenced by various factors including the location of the spring, the distance from roads and settlements, the physical and chemical composition of water, etc.

Extreme environmental conditions of Western Kazakhstan result in high endemism of flora. In addition, the study area is subject to anthropogenic impact and, as a result, there is a problem of conservation of species biodiversity (Mamysheva, Darbaeva, 2012). Many spring tracts are a place of recreation for the local population; this fact naturally affects the flora and vegetation. Currently, the features of recreational changes in flora and plant communities and their components are increasingly attracting the attention of researchers (Davidenko, 2016).

Floristic composition serves as an indirect indicator of the state of the spring tract and the degree of its disturbance (Sivohip, Kalmykova, 2007). Some springs become the centers of unique plant communities where rare species of plants grow (Zhantasova, Akhmedenov, 2012; Idrisova et al., 2018). The quality of the environment can be judged by the state of rare species. Indeed, rare species of flora constitute the most fragile component of biodiversity and serve as a good indicator of any changes in such ecological parameter. West Kazakhstan region has a unique set of landscape complexes (Myrzagalieva, Stanis, 2016). Springs play a leading role in the process of maintaining the stability of the surrounding terrestrial biocenoses, as well as in the formation of natural landscapes.

Some springs contain highly mineralized water and therapeutic mud. As a result, these tracts become involved in unorganized balneological activities that affect not only the flora but also the mineral mud resources. Unregulated utilization and absence of restoration measures will bring such mineral mud resources to extinction (in fact, such thing already happened in Sol-Iletsk). Big spring tracts, such as Ashchytuzbulak, Tilepbulak, and Tuzdybulak are visited by up to 100 people per day (Akhmedenov et al., 2017). These areas are home to rare petrophytes (e.g., *Eremurus inderiensis* (Stev.) Regel, *Ixion lirion tataricum* (Pall.) Schult. & Schult. fil., *Leontice incerta* Pall, etc.) that undergo extreme anthropogenic pressure.

Foreign spring studies also show the importance of conservation of spring tracts, as they provide habitat for endemic species (Rosenau et al., 1977; Fensham, Fairfax, 2003). For example, Fensham (1998) who studied springs in the Dawson river valley, Queensland, confirms the presence of rare and isolated plant communities in the coastal area of springs, and therefore the vulnerability of rare plant species and their importance.

Many springs have unusual geomorphological features and contain rare species of flora, endemic invertebrates and fish (Ponder, 2002). Due to their ecological simplicity, they have significant potential for evolutionary and ecological research. Over the past hundred years, many springs have disappeared, and most of the remaining ones are threatened with degradation.

In this regard, the study of the flora of the territories adjacent to the springs located in Western Kazakhstan is undoubtedly relevant, so this study was devoted to this topic.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

During the field seasons of 2015–2017, we studied the flora of 40 spring tracts of Mangistau, Aktobe, Atyrau and West Kazakhstan regions of the Western Region of the Republic of Kazakhstan and collected about 1000 herbarium sheets.

The study of flora was carried out via the route method. We studied the vascular plants growing within the areas of spring tracts (400 m²). Collection and drying of herbarium samples were carried out according to the standard method (Skvortsov, 1977).

The species identification for collected plants was carried out according to the following keys: Catalogue of plants of the West Kazakhstan region (Darbaeva, Chukalina, 2011); flora of the middle zone of the European part of the USSR (Mayevsky, 1964, 2006). Species names are given according to the summary of S. K. Cherepanov (1995). In the course of the analysis, the plants were distributed by geographical longitude groups in accordance with the works of A. I. Tolmachev (1974, 1986) and T. I. Plaksina (2001). Identification of plant life forms was performed in accordance with systems proposed by C. Raunkiaer (1934) and I. G. Serebryakov (1962, 1964). Cenomorphic composition of the flora was determined in accordance with recommendations of N. M. Matveev (2006). Data visualization was performed using the Microsoft Office Excel 2007 graphical editor. Comparison of the studied floras was performed via Jaccard indices ($K_J$) calculated in Microsoft Excel using ExStatR software (https://ib.komisc.ru/rus/database/exstatr).

RESULTS

The flora of the territories adjacent to 40 springs within the boundaries of four regions of Western Kazakhstan is represented by 252 species, 167 genera, and 55 families. The flora is represented by three divisions – Equisetophyta, Pinophyta, and Magnoliophyta. The Equisetophyta division is represented by 4 species (Equisetum arvense L., E. fluviatile L., E. pratense Ehrh., E. sylvaticum L.), while Pinophyta division is represented by a single species (Ephedra distachya L.). Most of the plant species (247) belong to the Magnoliophyta division with 58 species of 36 genera and 11 families belonging to Liliopsida class species, and 189 species of 136 genera and 43 families belonging to Magnoliopsida class. Thus, in the number of species, genera and families, the Magnoliopsida class dominates over Liliopsida class.

The Asteraceae and Poaceae families predominate in the number of presented species (Table 1). Among the other families, the largest number of species belong to Rosaceae, Lamiaceae, Fabaceae, Chenopodiaceae, Liliaceae, Polygonaceae, Brassicaceae, and Scrophulariaceae families. The species of these families include wild species of fruit and berry plants (Rosaceae family) that are mesophytes of moist habitats. The following xerophytic species (of Chenopodiaceae, Fabaceae, Scrophulariaceae and Brassicaceae families) and ephemerooids (Liliaceae family) characteristic of this climatic zone have been noted: Rhinopetalum karelinii Fisch. Ex D. Don, Tulipa gesneriana L., T. greigii Regel, T. biebersteiniana Schult. & Schult. fil.) common for steppe landscapes where the studied spring tracts are located; we also found hygrophytes of the Polygonaceae family (Rumex confertus Willd., R. crispus L. Persicaria amphibian (L.) S. F. Gray, P. hydro-piper (L.) Spach, Bistorta major S. F. Gray).
Table 1. A list of primary flora families of territories adjacent to the springs of Western Kazakhstan

| Family          | Number of genera | The percentage to the total number of genera, % | Number of species | The percentage to the total number of species, % |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Asteraceae      | 24               | 13.95                                        | 43               | 17.06                                        |
| Poaceae         | 22               | 12.79                                        | 34               | 13.49                                        |
| Rosaceae        | 12               | 6.98                                         | 18               | 7.14                                         |
| Lamiaceae       | 12               | 6.98                                         | 16               | 6.35                                         |
| Fabaceae        | 10               | 5.81                                         | 13               | 5.16                                         |
| Chenopodiaceae  | 8                | 4.65                                         | 13               | 5.16                                         |
| Liliaceae       | 4                | 2.33                                         | 8                | 3.17                                         |
| Polygonaceae    | 5                | 2.91                                         | 8                | 3.17                                         |
| Brassicaceae    | 7                | 4.07                                         | 7                | 2.78                                         |
| Scrophulariaceae| 5                | 2.91                                         | 7                | 2.78                                         |
| **Total**       | **109**          | **63.37**                                    | **167**          | **66.27**                                    |
| **Other families**| **63**           | **36.63**                                    | **85**           | **33.73**                                    |

The remaining families are arranged in the following order: 6 species of the studied flora belong to Caryophyllaceae family, while 5 species belong to Salicaceae family. Three families – Apiaceae, Equisetaceae and Cyperaceae – are represented by 4 species. The Geraniaceae, Ranunculaceae, Boraginaceae and Typhaceae families are represented by 3 species. Families represented by one or two species make up 20.2% of the total number of species. Species saturation index of the studied flora families equals 4.5.

The studied flora includes 172 genera. The most prominent is *Artemisia* genera represented by 12 species. The second place is occupied by two genera, *Equisetum* and *Poa*, each represented by 4 species. There are 14 genera represented by 3 species (*Bromopsis*, *Typha*, *Stipa*, *Agropyron*, *Tulipa*, *Salix*, *Rumex*, *Persicaria*, *Atriplex*, *Chenopodium*, *Potentilla*, *Achillea*, *Centaurea*, *Tanacetum*); 90% of (the total number of) genera include 1–2 species, in 76% of cases one genus contains one species. Species saturation index for genera equals 1.5.

The biomorphological structure of flora is represented by life forms (LF) that adapt very well to environmental conditions and serve as units of ecological classification of plants. According to the system proposed by I. G. Serebryakov (1964), the studied species are represented by 10 LF – trees, shrubs, half-shrubs, dwarf shrubs, dwarf half-shrubs, perennial-biennial-annual grasses and annual or biennial grasses (Table 2).

Perennial herbaceous plants (154 species) including ecologically flexible long-rooted grasses (22.62%), rod-rooted (13.49%), and short-rooted (13.10%) species form the basis of the flora of spring tracts. To a lesser extent, bulbous, turf-rooted, loosely rooted, racemous-rooted and dense-rooted perennial grasses are common. The flora includes two notable racemous-rooted species (*Leontice vesicaria* Willd, *Phlomis tuberosa* L., *Scrophylaria nodosa* L.) and one perennial bulbotuberiferous species – *Gladiolus imbricatus* L.

The group of annual plants is represented by 27 species (10.71%) from the following families: Chenopodiaceae – 9 species, Poaceae – 2 species, Asteraceae – 3 species, Polygonaceae 2 species, Brassicaceae – 3 species, Amaranthaceae – 1 species, Urticaceae – 1 species, Cannabaceae – 1 species, Lamiaceae – 1 species, Rubiaceae – 1 species, Fumariaceae – 1 species, Orobanchaceae – 1 species, Geraniaceae – 1 species. The annual plant composition includes approximately 67% of weed species (*Echinochloa*...
Biological characteristics of the flora

crusgalli (L.) Beauv., Amaranthus retroflexus L., Urtica urens L., Polygonum aviculare L., Atriplex tatartica L., Chenopodium album L., Chenopodium glaucum L., Chenopodium urbicum L., Cannabis sativa L., Fumaria officinalis L., Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medik., Descurainia sophia (L.) Webb ex Prantl, Galeopsis tetrakhit L., Ambrosia artemisifolia L., Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronq., Xanthium strumarium L., Orobanche oerulescens Steph., Galium aparine L.), the presence of which indicates of a violation of the structure of the flora.

Table 2. Distribution of flora species growing at the territories adjacent to the springs of Western Kazakhstan by life forms (as described by I. G. Serebryakov, 1964)

| Life forms          | Number of species | The percentage to the total number of species, % |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Trees               | 11                | 4.37                                          |
| Shrubs              | 20                | 7.94                                          |
| Half-shrubs         | 7                 | 2.78                                          |
| Dwarf shrubs        | 1                 | 0.40                                          |
| Dwarf half-shrubs   | 14                | 5.56                                          |
| Perennial herbs, including: |         |                                               |
| long-rooted         | 57                | 22.62                                         |
| tap-rooted          | 34                | 13.49                                         |
| short-rooted        | 33                | 13.10                                         |
| bulbous             | 7                 | 2.78                                          |
| cespitose-rooted    | 6                 | 2.38                                          |
| loosely-rooted (cespitose) | 4        | 1.59                                          |
| racemose-rooted     | 6                 | 2.38                                          |
| dense-rooted (cespitose) | 3              | 1.19                                          |
| bulbous-rooted      | 3                 | 1.19                                          |
| bulbotuberiferous   | 1                 | 0.40                                          |
| Lianas              | 1                 | 0.40                                          |
| Annual plants       | 27                | 10.71                                         |
| Annual or biennial plants | 5        | 1.98                                          |
| Biennial plants     | 12                | 4.76                                          |
| Total               | 252               | 100.0                                         |

Biennial plants make up 4.76% of the total species and are represented by Apiaceae, Solanaceae, Asteraceae, Poaceae, Caryophyllaceae and Fabaceae families. Annual or biennial plants make up 1.98% of the total species.

The trees (4.37%) are represented by the followings families: Salicaceae – 5 species, Betulaceae – 2 species, Moraceae – 1 species, Fabaceae – 1 species, Oleaceae – 1 species.

The shrub group (20 species) is dominated by plants of the Rosaceae family (Crataegus ambigua C. A. Mey. ex A. Beck, C. laevigata (Poir.) D.C., Spiraea crenata L., S. salicifolia L., Rubus idaeus L., Rosa canina L., R. glabrifolia C.A. Mey. ex Rupr., Amygdalus nana L., Cerasus fruticosa Pall., Prunus spinosaL..) and Fabaceae (Astragalus brachylobus Fisch., Caragana frutex (L.) K. Koch, C. grandiflora (M. Bieb.) DC., Alhagi pseudalhagi (Bieb.) Fisch.). Half-shrubs are represented by 7 species: Artemisia abrotanum L., Limonium suffruticosum (L.) O. Kuntze, Krascheninnikovia ceratoides (L.) Gueldenst., Halocnemum strobilaceum (Pall.) Bieb., Lepidium meyeri Claus, Rubus
caesius L., Solanum dulcamara L. The dwarf half-shrubs are represented by the following 14 species: Anabasis salsa (C.A. Mey.) Benth. Ex Volkens, Atriplex cana C. A. Mey, Kochia prostrata (L.) Schrad., Silene cretacea Fisch. ex Spreng., Thymus mar- schallianus Willd., Scabiosa isetensis L., Anthemis trotzkiana Claus, Artemisia san- tonica L., Artemisia salsoolides Willd., A. Pauciflora Web., A. Gurganica (Krasch.) Filat., Artemisia lerceheana Web. The flora contains a single dwarf shrub species – Ephedra distachya L. – and one liana species – Humulus lupulus L.

Using C. Raunkiaer (1934) system to study vascular plant life forms present in the flora, we identified 6 life forms (Table 3).

Table 3. Distribution of flora species growing at the territories adjacent to the springs of Western Kazakhstan by life forms (as described by Raunkiaer)

| Life forms         | Number of species | The percentage to the total number of vascular plant species, % |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hemicryptophytes   | 133               | 52.78                                                         |
| Cryptophytes       | 34                | 13.49                                                         |
| Phanerophytes      | 31                | 12.30                                                         |
| Therophytes        | 26                | 10.32                                                         |
| Chamephytes        | 23                | 9.13                                                          |
| Therophytes or hemicryptophytes | 5  | 1.98                                                          |
| Total              | 252               | 100.00                                                        |

The dominant life forms are hemicryptophytes (52.78%) represented by Asteraceae, Poaceae, Fabaceae, and Rosaceae families. Dominant cryptophytes (13.49%) include species from Liliaceae, Poaceae, and Equisetaceae families. Phanerophytes (12.30%) are represented by Salicaceae, Betulaceae, Polygonaceae, Grossulariaceae, Rosaceae, Fabaceae, Oleaceae, and Rhamnaceae families. Therophytes (10.32%) belong to Chenopodiaceae, Asteraceae, and Poaceae families. Notable chamephytes (9.13%) belong to Caryophyllaceae and Chenopodiaceae families. Plants that can be either therophytes or hemicryptophytes made up to 1.98% of the total number of species.

Species of the studied flora belong to six main phytocenotic groups and to 19 cenomorphs (Table 4).

The largest number of species (73) belongs to the group of steppe plants. Another numerous group is the weed group of plants that includes 54 weed, meadow weed, forest weed, and edge weed species, indicating of an anthropogenic impact on the composition of the flora.

Forest (47 species) and aquatic (34 species) groups of plants that require sufficient moisture conditions, typical for territories adjacent to the springs, have a significant share within the flora composition. Aquatic group is represented by 24 coastal aquatic species, 4 marsh coast species and 6 meadow coast species. The flora contains meadow species (27), including a group of meadow coast plants that is a characteristic feature for the flora of the spring tracts. A total of 17 species belong to the arid group.

The chorological analysis allows establishing correlations between geographical elements of the composition of flora and species with the same spread (range) (Sinitsyna, 2013). The horological analysis revealed the heterogeneity of flora and identified 12 geographical longitudinal groups (Table 5).
Table 4. Phytocenotic structure of flora growing at the territories adjacent to the springs of Western Kazakhstan

| Phytocenotic group | Cenomorph type       | Number of species | The percentage to the total number of species, % |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Aquatic            | Helophytic           | 24                | 9.52                                          |
|                    | Swamp coast          | 4                 | 1.59                                          |
|                    | Swamp and meadow     | 6                 | 2.38                                          |
| Meadow             | Meadow               | 18                | 7.14                                          |
|                    | Meadow coast         | 9                 | 3.57                                          |
| Forest             | Forest               | 13                | 5.16                                          |
|                    | Forest edge          | 11                | 4.37                                          |
|                    | Meadow edge          | 19                | 7.54                                          |
|                    | Steppe edge          | 4                 | 1.59                                          |
| Steppe             | Steppe               | 43                | 17.06                                         |
|                    | Steppe and semi-arid | 10                | 3.97                                          |
|                    | Meadow and steppe    | 20                | 7.94                                          |
| Weed               | Weed                 | 36                | 14.29                                         |
|                    | Meadow weed          | 11                | 4.37                                          |
|                    | Forest weed          | 4                 | 1.59                                          |
|                    | Edge weed            | 3                 | 1.19                                          |
| Arid               | Steppe arid          | 4                 | 1.59                                          |
|                    | Semi-arid and arid   | 10                | 3.97                                          |
|                    | Arid                 | 3                 | 1.19                                          |
| Total              |                      | 252               | 100.0                                         |

The majority of identified species belong to Eurasian range group (57.94% of the total number of species) represented by Poaceae, Liliaceae, Chenopodiaceae, Rosaceae, and Fabaceae families. Species with Holarctic range group (15.87%) from Poaceae, Polygonaceae, and Salicaceae families are also dominant, which is natural, since the West Kazakhstan Region is located within the territory of the Holarctic Region (Takhtadzhyan, 1978). The third most frequent group is taken by the pluriregional geographical longitudinal group (7.14%) formed by species from Poaceae, Asteraceae and Urticaceae families. The Asian (6.35%), Euro-Siberian (5.16%) and European (3.17%) geographical groups are represented less. The smallest number of species belongs to the Eastern European, American, East European and Asian groups that range from 1.19 to 0.79%. Mediterranean, Ancient Mediterranean and European American groups are represented by a single species (0.40% each species).

Table 5. Distribution of species of the studied flora by geographical longitudinal groups

| Geographical longitudinal group     | Number of species | The percentage to the total number of vascular plant species, % |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Eurasian                            | 146               | 57.94                                                         |
| Holarctic                           | 40                | 15.87                                                         |
| Pluriregional                       | 18                | 7.14                                                          |
| Asian                               | 16                | 6.35                                                          |
| Euro-Siberian                       | 13                | 5.16                                                          |
| European                            | 8                 | 3.17                                                          |
| East-European                       | 3                 | 1.19                                                          |
| American                            | 3                 | 1.19                                                          |
| East European and Asian             | 2                 | 0.79                                                          |
| Mediterranean                       | 1                 | 0.40                                                          |
| Ancient Mediterranean               | 1                 | 0.40                                                          |
| European and American               | 1                 | 0.40                                                          |
| Total                               | 252               | 100.0                                                         |
Species of the studied flora can be attributed to 9 ecological groups if we take into account the water availability parameter (Table 6).

| Hygromorphic types       | Number of species | The percentage to the total number of species, % |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Xerophytes               | 69                | 27.38                                            |
| Mesophytes               | 53                | 21.03                                            |
| Xeromesophyte            | 51                | 20.24                                            |
| Mesohygrophytes          | 25                | 9.92                                             |
| Mesoxerophytes          | 18                | 7.14                                             |
| Hygrophytes              | 14                | 5.56                                             |
| Ultrahygrophytes         | 11                | 4.37                                             |
| Hygrohydrophytes         | 6                 | 2.38                                             |
| Hygromesophytes          | 5                 | 1.98                                             |
| Total                    | 252               | 100.0                                            |

Xerophyte are the primary species (27.38%) for arid zones. They are followed by mesophytes (21.03%) and xeromesophytes (20.24%) which is also understandable as the plants grow close to the springs. Intermediate groups – mesohygrophytes (9.92%) and mesoxerophyte (7.14%) – are located at the boundaries of hygromorphic range. The hygrophytic group is diverse: it contains hygrophytes (5.56%), ultrahygrophytes (4.37%), hygrohydrophytes (2.38%) and hygromesophyte (1.98%). According to nutrient status and salt conditions of soils and subsoils, the plants of the studied flora can be attributed to 7 ecological groups (Table 7).

| Trophic type           | Number of species | The percentage to the total number of vascular plant species, % |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mesotrophic            | 128               | 50.79                                                         |
| Megatrophic            | 69                | 27.38                                                         |
| Oligotrophic           | 32                | 12.70                                                         |
| Halophyte              | 15                | 5.95                                                          |
| Halomegatrophic        | 6                 | 2.38                                                          |
| Hyperhalophyte         | 1                 | 0.40                                                          |
| Parastate              | 1                 | 0.40                                                          |
| Total                  | 252               | 100.0                                                         |

The dominant role of mesotrophic (50.79%) and megatrophic (27.38%) species in the structure of spring flora testifies to the predominance of soils and subsoils with sufficient and excessive amount of nutrients in the studied territory. 12.70% of plants are oligotrophic; these species are representatives of semi-arid and arid zones. The presence of a halophytic group (8.73%) in the studied flora indicates the presence of alkali soils and salt marshes. One parasitic plant – *Orobanche coerulescens* Steph – was found. The substrate analysis revealed that the flora is composed from calciphiles, such as *Atraphaxis frutescens* (L.) C. Koch, *Silene cretacea* Fisch. ex Spreng., *Lepidium meyeri* Claus, *Hedysarum grandiflorum* Pall., *Linaria cretacea* Fisch. ex Spreng., *Achillea nobilis* L., *Anthemis troitzkiana* Claus, *Artemisia salsooides* Willd, and psammophytes, such as *Centaurea arenaria* M. Bieb., *Chondrilla juncea* L., *Helichrysum arenarium* (L.) Moench, *Achillea miciantha* Willd., and *Astragalus brachylobus* Fisch. Within the boundaries of Inder karst field (Ashchytuzbulak, Tilepbulak and Tuzdybulak springs) we discovered a number of rare petrophytes – *Eremurus inderiensis* (Stev.) Regel, *Ixiolirion tataricum* (Pall.) Schult. & Schult. fil., *Leontice incerta* Pall., *Rhinopetalum karelinii* Fisch. ex D. Don, *Dodartia orientalis* L.

We also found 20 rare species of plants listed in the Red Book of the Russian Federation (2008) and the Red book of Kazakhstan (2006) on the territory of 12 springs (Idrisova et al., 2018).
According to analysis of species distribution in the flora of the territories adjacent to the springs of Western Kazakhstan, we classified all species into four types based on their grade of occurrence, namely: common, uncommon, rare and very rare plants.

The most common are 7 species of plants: *Tripleurospermum perforatum* (Merat) M. Lainz, *Polygonum aviculare* L., *Taraxacum officinale* Wigg., *Lappula squarrosa* (Retz.) Dumort., *Plantago major* L., *Trifolium pratense* L., *Plantago lanceolata* L. These species mainly belong to weeds (with the exception of the last two, that are meadow and meadow-steppe species, respectively) and have been found within the territories of 8 to 11 springs; these species constitute 2.8% of the total flora.

The list of uncommon plants consists of 17 species: *Arctium lappa* L., *Xanthium strumarium* L., *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medik., *Chenopodium album* L., *Convulvus arvensis* L., *Poa annua* L., *Bromus squarrosus* L. and *Elytrigia repens* (L.) Nevski, belonging to weeds; *Typha angustifolia* L., *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. and *Scirpus lacustris* L. comprising the coastal aquatic group; *Crataegus ambigua* C.A. Mey. ex A. Beck. (forest species), *Rosa canina* L. (forest glade species), *Elaeagnus argentea* Pursh (meadow and steppe species), *Achillea millefolium* L. (meadow species), *Artemisia lercheana* Web. (steppe and semi-arid species), *Artemisia lessingiana* Bess. (semi-arid species). These plants can be found on the territory of 5 to 7 springs, and account for 6.7% of all species of flora.

100 species, accounting for 39.7% of the total amount, can be considered rare and have found within the territory of 2 to 4 springs. Half of the species (50.8%; 128 plants) were represented by single specimens (very rare) and were found on the territory of only one of the springs.

The studied floras were compared using the Jaccard index ($K_j$). The similarity matrices obtained via the ExStatR software were used in cluster analysis as a basis for constructing dendrograms of the similarity of the studied floras via UPGMA technique.

For the analyzed floras in pairwise comparison, $K_j$ varies from 0.02 to 0.5. Cluster analysis has identified several groups (Figure). A separate cluster represents the spring no. 16 – Karauylkeldy, where we found three unique species that were not found at the territories of any of the other springs.

The second cluster includes springs No. 17, 18, 19, and 28. The degree of similarity of species composition according to $K_j$ varies from 0.05 to 0.11. The flora of these spring tracts includes from 15 to 35 species and is characterized by a predominance of xerophytes. Common species for the flora of most springs are: *Agropyron desertorum* (Fisch. ex Link) Schult., *Artemisia austriaca* Jacq., *Artemisia salsoideis* Wild., *Asparagus inderiensis* Blum ex Pacz., *Atriplex cana* C.A. Mey, *Halocnemum strobilaceum* (Pall.) Bieb., *Spiraea crenata* L.

The third cluster of springs is divided into two subordinate clusters. One such sub cluster consists of 10 springs: no. 9, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 25, 27, 29, and 30. The degree of similarity according to $K_j$ varies from 0.13 to 0.4. The flora of these springs is not very diverse (it is comprised from 3 to 10 species). Xeromesophyte and mesoxerophyte are dominant and the following species are common for all floras: *Taraxacum officinale* Wigg. (found within the flora of almost all springs from this group), *Polygonum aviculare* L., *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medik. and *Bromus squarrosus* L. The other sub
cluster consists of 11 springs: No. 39, 40, 6, 8, 15, 32, 35, 34, 33, 36, and 38. The flora of these spring tracts consists of 3 to 10 species. The degree of similarity in $K_j$ varies from 0.12 to 0.5. The common species for five spring tracts is a xeromesophyte, *Polygonum aviculare* L.; a xerophyte, *Plantago lanceolata* L. is common for four springs while xerophytes *Lappula squarrosa* (Retz.) Dumort. and *Artemisia lercheana* Web are common for three springs. Common species for only two spring tracts are: a mesophyte, *Arctium lappa* L.; mesohygrophytes *Atriplex micrantha* C. A. Mey., *Mentha arvensis* L., *Mentha longifolia* (L.) Huds; a mesoxerophyte, *Chenopodium album* L.; a xeromesophyte, *Elaeagnus argentea* Pursh; ultrahygrophytes *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud., *Scirpus lacustris* L., *Sium latifolium* L.; a hygrohmesophyte, *Urtica dioica* L.

The fourth cluster is represented by 14 springs: no. 5, 7, 31, 13, 12, 11, 14, 37, 3, 1, 4, 23, 2, and 10. The degree of similarity in $K_j$ varies from 0.05 to 0.24. The flora of the territories of these springs was quite heterogeneous and contains from 4 to 58 species. This cluster was characterized by mesophytic species. The most common species was a mesophyte *Tripleurospermum perforatum* (Merat) M. Lainz, found on the territory of eight spring tracts. Other common species for the territories of seven springs include a mesophyte, *Trifolium pratense* L., while a mesophyte *Plantago major* L. was found at six different springs. In addition, the following common species were observed at the territories of five spring tracts: a xeromesophyte, *Achillea millefolium* L., a mesophyte, *Arctium*
lappa L., a mesoxerophyte, *Convulvus arvensis* L., and a mesophyte *Poa annua* L., while a mesophyte, *Betula pendula* Roth, a mesohygrophyte, *Populus tremula* L., xeromesophytes *Rosa canina* L., and *Thymus serpyllum* L., a hygromesophyte *Urtica urens* L. and a mesophyte, *Euphorbia virgata* Waldst. & Kit. were common for four spring tracts.

Thus, cluster analysis confirmed the extreme heterogeneity of species composition.

CONCLUSION

The flora of the territories adjacent to the spring outlets is represented by 252 species, 167 genera and 55 families belonging to Equisetophyta, Pinophyta and Magnoliophyta families. The Asteraceae and Poaceae families are dominant. There are 20 rare and protected species of plants listed in the Red Books of the Russian Federation and the Republic of Kazakhstan. The basis of the flora of spring tracts is perennial herbaceous plants (154 species), including long-rooted, rod-rooted and short-rooted grasses. There are 4 main types of geoelements – Eurasian, Holarctic and pluriregional. According to ecological analysis of the flora, 1) the largest number of species (73) among cenomorphs belong to 2 groups – steppe plants and weeds; 2) xerophytes, mesophytes and xeromesophytes are dominant hydromorphic types; 3) based on the soil nutrient status, the majority of species are mesotrophic. The flora of the territories contain representatives of halophytic, psammophyte and calciphilous groups. Of the flora, 7 species are common, 17 are uncommon, 100 are rare and 128 are very rare. For the analyzed floras in pairwise comparison, $K_j$ varies from 0.02 to 0.5. Cluster analysis confirmed the extreme heterogeneity of species composition and low degree of similarity of flora.
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Idrissova G. Z., Sergeeva I. V., Shevchenko E. N., Ponomareva A. L., Akhmedenov K. M. Bio-ecological Characteristics of the Flora of the Territories Adjacent to the Springs of Western Kazakhstan // Поволжский экологический журнал. 2019. № 4. С. 419 – 431. DOI: https://doi.org/10.35885/1684-7318-2019-4-419-431

Приведены данные флористических исследований 40 родниковых урочищ Мангистауской, Актюбинской, Атырауской и Западно-Казахстанской областей западно-восточного региона Республики Казахстан. Выявлено, что изученная флора представлена 252 видами, 167 родами и 55 семействами, относящимися к Отделам Equisetophyta, Pinophyta и Magnoliophyta. Дана таксономическая характеристика флоры, показавшая доминирование семейств Asteraceae и Poaceae. Во флоре родниковых урочищ определено преобладание многолетних травянистых растений по системе жизненных форм И. Г. Серебрякова. Выявлено преобладание степных и сорных растений. Хорологический анализ показал ведущую совокупность видов с южноевразийским типом ареала. Экологическая характеристика выделена доминирование ксерофитов и мезофитов. Выявлено 20 охраняемых видов растений, занесенных в Красную книгу Российской Федерации и Красную книгу Республики Казахстан. Анализ распространения растений на территориях, примыкающих к родникам, определил четыре градации встречаемости: часто – 7 видов, нечасто – 17, редко – 100 и очень редко – 128. Наиболее часто встречающимися на территориях родниковых урочищ являются виды, относящиеся к сорным растениям: Tripleurospermum perforatum (Merat) M. Lainz, Polygonum aviculare L., Taraxacum officinale Wigg., Lappula squarrosa (Retz.) Dumort., Plantago major L., Arctium lappa L., Xanthium strumarium L., Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medik., Chenopodium album L., Convolvulus arvensis L., Poa annua L., Bromus squarrosus L., Elytrigia repens (L.) Nevski. Для анализируемых флор в попарном сравнении коэффициента Жаккара (Kj) варьирует от 0.02 до 0.5, что показывает чрезвычайную разнородность видового состава.

Ключевые слова: флора, родники, урочище, биоморфологическая и экологическая характеристики, Западный Казахстан.
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