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Abstract

In this demo, we present a novel technique for approximating topologically optimal scaffoldings for 3D printed objects using a Monte Carlo algorithm based on the foraging behavior of the Physarum polycephalum slime mold. As a case study, we have created a biologically inspired bicycle helmet using this technique that is designed to be effective in resisting impacts. We have created a prototype of this helmet and propose further studies that measure the effectiveness and validity of the design.

1 Introduction

In 3D printing, scaffolding structures are large factors in determining the efficiency of the use of printing material. For example, the optimization of both interior and exterior scaffolding structures has been extensively studied in optimizing infill [Wu et al.(2018)], supports [Tricard et al.(2020)], or surface topology [Bian et al.(2018)]. When specifically considering the optimization of surface topology, a large focus has been on parametric algorithms which take a set of points and optimize the surface. Often these are based on fundamental geometric tessellations like Voronoi, Delaunay, gyroid, and their higher-dimensional extensions [de Berg et al.(2008)].

This work proposes an unconventional methodology for approximating topologically optimal scaffoldings based on the Monte Carlo Physarum Machine algorithm (MCPM, [Elek et al.(2022)]). The MCPM algorithm generalizes the growth and foraging patterns of Physarum Polycephalum slime mold to 3D. These slime mold foraging patterns have been previously shown to have near optimal to optimal geometric properties in 2D and 2.5D contexts [Adamatzky(2010), Jones(2010)]. This technique was

![Figure 1: The resulting bicycle helmet mesh from our pipeline (left) and a 3D printed version of it created using Siraya Tech Tenacious Resin on a Anycubic Photon Mono SLA 3D printer (right).](image)
Figure 2: The results of a material and structure stress test which demonstrate that the helmet structure printed in Siraya Tech Tenacious resin resists impact forces in a similar manner to a block of foam.

2 Methods

To produce our custom fitted bicycle helmet, we designed a pipeline consisting of four components: scanning, modeling, simulating, and reconstructing. We wanted to design the helmet to fit an experienced biker (and co-author), and as such we did a photogrammetric scan of his head. This scan was then converted into a 3D model and voxelized before being imported to Rhinoceros to clean it and remove unnecessary areas from the photogrammetric scan. To create a simplified version of the model, we optimized the number of polygons generated by the photogrammetric scan by adding thickness to the surface of the model. Once we had a result resembling a bicycle helmet shaped for our biker’s head, the resulting set of points from the 3D model was run through PolyPhy, a currently in development open source successor to Polyphorm [Elek et al.(2020)]. Polyphorm and PolyPhy are simulation software that implement the MCPM in a 3D space to create a density field that connects all of the vertices of the imported model into a transport network. This network was then exported as a multi-dimensional numpy array which was passed through the Marching Cubes [Lorensen and Cline(1987)] mesh reconstruction algorithm to create a watertight mesh which was then 3D printed [Figure 1].

3 Results

While this work is still in its early stages, we have created objects and gathered data that we would like to receive feedback on from generative design and material science experts in the SCF community. We have manufactured a pair of helmets that are around 40% scale, which were created at a smaller size due to constraints on 3D printers we currently have access to. We are using this as a starting point for testing design efficacy. One of these helmets can be seen in Figure 1 and is printed with Siraya Tech Tenacious Resin [Tech(2022)], which is known for its elastomeric properties. This helmet was printed on an Anycubic Photon Mono [Anycubic(2022)], a consumer grade SLA 3D printer. We chose this material for its ability to bend without breaking, since most common SLA photosensitive resins will snap or shatter when presented with a strong impact force. We tested this flexibility by taking a 5/8” thick 6061 Aluminum plate and dropping it from 3 feet up on both our helmet and a
block of foam of similar height. Using this simple test design, we are able to indicate that our 3D printed bicycle helmet resists strong impacts by bending and bouncing the same way the block of foam did [Figure 2]. This kind of flexibility is desirable in the inner shell of a bicycle helmet to help negate blunt force trauma to the skull and brain from a bicycle crash. Both the choice of dropped object and control were made due to material availability, and we plan to do more through physical quantitative testing and virtual finite element analysis in the future.

4 Future Work

While our current testing scheme is lacking the refinement of the standardized testing used in industrial manufacturing, our experimentation still suggests that our design has some structural merit and is worth exploring further. We will be presenting a full size helmet that is currently being manufactured by a third party service, which will then be part of a series of more rigorous tests after the conference. These tests will range from replicating the tests used for testing bicycle helmets in the USA before going to market [Institute(2022)] and comparing these results with existing bicycle helmets to strapping the bicycle helmet onto a fragile round object acting as a human head substitute (like a watermelon) to do simple impact tests to see how well the helmet could protect the human head in a real life scenario.

At SCF, we intend to present plans for these tests along with physical helmet models and possible future applications for the MCPM in the optimization of surface topology. Using the feedback we receive at SCF, we intend to turn our MCPM-based generative design technique into a technical paper doing a more formal analysis of its efficacy and practical uses in additive manufacturing.
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