Docosahexaenoic Acid Serving As Sensitizing Agents And Gefitinib Resistance Revertants In EGFR Targeting Treatment
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Objective: Due to the resistance of cancer cells, chemotherapy has been severely restricted. Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) has been broadly identified as the chemo-sensitizing agent and revertant of multidrug resistance owing to its pleiotropic characteristics; however, it has not been well interpreted. The purpose of this research was to identify the anticancer role of DHA and its combination with the chemotherapeutic agent Gefitinib in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Methods: Human chemo-sensitive NSCLC PC-9 cells and the Gefitinib-resistant counterpart PC-9/GR cells were adopted to assess the effects of the integrated DHA and Gefitinib treatments in vitro and vivo, for which the combination index (CI), apoptosis rate and the epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) pathway were analyzed.

Results: Comparing with the control cells, the DHA-treated PC-9/GR cells triggered the increase of drug absorption and sensitivity, suggesting that the sensitivity of chemotherapeutic drug could be induced by DHA. Moreover, the elevation of phosphorylation levels of EGFR and the downstream extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) in the cellular lysates were induced by the DHA+Gefitinib treatment. Additionally, the long-term Gefitinib stimulated PC-9 model revealed that DHA could revert the Gefitinib resistance.

Conclusion: This is the first research that indicated the novel biochemical effect of DHA, which can help in overcoming the resistance of EGFR-TKI in NSCLC cells and broaden the horizon of the DHA supplementation during the NSCLC therapy.
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Introduction

Lung cancer has been one of the primary causes of cancer mortality worldwide. Especially, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for over 70% of the patients suffered from lung cancer.¹ The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutant has been reported to be observed in 30–50% of the NSCLC patients, which motivated the tumor growth and proliferation.² Overexpression or mutation in the intracellular EGFR has been verified to be existed in approximately 60% of the cases, implying that it was associated with the pathogenesis of NSCLC. Cell proliferation or anti-apoptosis could be induced by the mutations, even without the extracellular epidermal growth factor (EGF). There were conformational changes in the functionalized ERFR and phosphorylated intracellular domain, rendering the downstream signal transduction in a variety of pathways, including the PI3K and ERK signaling pathways.³ In the terminal NSCLC cases, the therapy based on the epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) has made a remarkable breakthrough. After 1 year of treatment, some...
subjects exhibited the EGFR-TKIs resistance which limited the clinical application of these drugs, and the molecular mechanism was still unclearly interpreted.

Various epidemiological studies have emphasized the relationships between omega-3 fatty acids (FAs) and incidences of cancers, such as breast, renal, prostate, liver and pancreas cancers. Additionally, the FAs have been proved to elevate the efficacy of chemotherapy. In recent years, in the random controlled clinical assays, the supplementation of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) with the chemotherapies could effectively relieve the conditions. Murphy et al have reported that the therapy response rate and clinical benefit were found to be increased twice in the treatment of DHA supplement for the NSCLC patients with platinum-based chemotherapy in comparison with the subjects without supplement. Previous researches have also illustrated that the supplement of DHA with the chemotherapy based on anthracycline against the metastatic breast cancer effectively alleviated the symptoms, which demonstrated that DHA may serve as a promising adjuvant for cancer treatment. In this research, the anti-tumor role of DHA in combination with EGFR-TKIs was investigated and the relevant mechanism was also discussed. Two human lung cancer cell lines, PC-9 and PC-9/GR (Gefitinib-resistant), have been applied to evaluate the in vitro effect of DHA in sensitivity to Gefitinib. In addition, the combination index (CI) and apoptosis rate were calculated to evaluate the efficacy of the combinative treatment of DHA and Gefitinib. Additionally, a fluorescent-labeled probe with a quinazoline structure was utilized to estimate the absorption of Gefitinib supplying with DHA. The long-term PC-9 cell model treated with Gefitinib was adopted to identify whether DHA exerted the Gefitinib resistance revertant effects through the EGFR pathway.

**Materials And Methods**

**Materials And Cell Culture**

Human non-small cell lung cancer PC-9 and PC-9/GR were donated by Dr. Zhou Caicun (Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Shanghai, China), which were cultivated in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco TM, 11965092) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biological Industries, 04-001-1A-US), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Gibco TM, 15140-122) at 37 °C in the atmosphere of 5% CO2. The gifted cells were approved by China Pharmaceutical University ethics committee. Gefitinib was generously obtained from AstraZeneca China. DHA (D2534-1G), methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MβCD) (C4555-1G), and cholesterol (C3045-5G) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Doxorubicin (C22H19O11N·HCl) was obtained from Pfizer Italia S.r.l dissolved in normal saline. Cell Counting Kit-8 (KGA317s-500) was bought from KeyGen (Nanjing, China). The skeleton of Gefitinib quinazoline labeled by fluorescent was kindly donated by Dr. Wang Fuyi (Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China).

**Cell Viability**

To evaluate the sensitivity of EGFR-TKI in tumor cells, cell viability was analyzed based on the CCK-8 assay. Cells at the logarithmic phase were collected and seeded to 96-well plates with a density of 5 × 10³ cells per well with a volume of 100 μL, which were further cultivated overnight. After the attachment, a series of concentrations of DHA and Gefitinib were added, which were incubated for another 24 hrs. Afterwards, 10 μL of CCK-8 was added to each well followed by incubating for an additional 4 hrs at 37 °C. The absorbance was detected by the microplate reader (Thermo Multiskan FC, American) with 570 nm as the referenced wavelength. The results were represented as the inhibition rate of cells compared to the normal controls which showed in the form of mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M) (n = 6 for each group). CI was calculated based on the previous study (Chou, 2006) by CalcuSyn 2.0 software.

**Animal Model**

Forty male athymic BALB/c nude mice (35–40 days old, 18–22 g) were purchased from the Experimental Animal Center of Zhejiang Province (Hangzhou, China). The animals were housed at ambient temperature (25±5 °C) and 45±5% relative humidity with a 12-hr light/dark cycle (lights on from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.), a standard diet contained 20% w/w fat and free access to water. After 1-week acclimatization, twenty nude mice were inoculated subcutaneously with 1×10⁷ PC-9 cells into the right axilla, and the other twenty nude mice were inoculated with 1×10⁷ PC-9/GR cells. After 12 days of growth, tumor size was measured using micrometer calipers. Mice that were inoculated with PC-9 cells or PC-9/GR cells that had similar tumor volumes were randomly divided into the following three groups (six mice/group): saline control, Gefitinib, Gefitinib+DHA. Gefitinib was dissolved at a concentration of 6.5mg/kg in 1% DMSO and administered once a day by gavage. For the DHA groups, the diet was achieved by blending oils to obtain a DHA content of 3.9% w/w of total fat, which has been proven to enhance the docetaxel effect in breast cancer xenografts model. All experiments were performed in accordance with the Guide for Care
and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Chinese National Institutes of Health.

### The Isolation Of Lipid Rafts And Analysis Of Cholesterol

All the experiments were conducted on ice. The isolation of lipid rafts was completed using the UltraRIPA kit for Lipid Raft (F015) based on the instructions of the manufacturer. RIPA (KeyGen Biotech, KGP702-100) with 1 mM PMSF (KeyGen Biotech, KGP610) and 1 × cocktails (KeyGen Biotech, KGP602) were adopted for cell lysis and BCA protein analysis (Beyotime Biotechnology, P0010) was performed. Cholesterol was analyzed based on the Amplex® Red Cholesterol Assay Kit (Invitrogen, A12216) following the specifications. The incubation was maintained for 30 mins or longer at 37°C, in the dark. Fluorescent analyses were performed using the fluorescence microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Finland) with the excitation wavelength at 560 nm and detection wavelength at 590 nm.

### Western Blot Assay

Cells were harvested and lysed with 300 μL ice-cold RIPA lysis buffer (KeyGen Biotech, KGP702-100) consisting of 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail. The BCA Protein Assay Kit was adopted for the quantification of proteins in the lysate. Cell lysates consisting of 80 μg protein were transferred to SDS-PAGE with 8–12% gradient polyacrylamide gels (Bis-Tris Midi Gel, Life Technologies, USA), which were detected based on immunoblotting with antibodies to the relevant proteins. Similarly, β-actin antibody (Servicebio, GB13001) served as the loading control. The primary antibodies were listed as follows, including Phospho-EGF Receptor (Tyr1068, D7A5) XP® Rabbit mAb (CST, #3777), EGF Receptor (D38B1) XP Rabbit mAb (CST, #4267), Phospho-Akt (Ser473) Antibody (CST, #9271), Akt (pan) (C67E7) Rabbit mAb (CST, #4691), Phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) (Thr202/Tyr204) (D13.14.4E) XP Rabbit mAb (CST, #4370), and p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) (137F5) Rabbit mAb (CST, #4695). Enhanced chemiluminescence was applied for the visualization of band results following the instructions (Hercules, CA, USA).

### Annexin V/PI Staining

Apoptotic cells were determined according to the Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kits (KeyGen, Nanjing, China) based on the instructions of the manufacturer. Briefly, 1 × 10⁶ cells were collected, rinsed, and suspended in PBS. Cells were re-suspended with 500 mL binding buffer, followed by the addition of 5 mL AnnexinV-FITC and 5 mL PI was added. The death of apoptotic cells was identified by FACS Calibur flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) promptly after the staining.

### Immuno-Cytochemical Assay

Cells were washed with PBS and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 20 mins at room temperature. After rinsing with PBST and blocking with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBST for 1 hr, cells were treated with 10 μM fluorescent probe with Gefitinib quinazoline for another 3 hrs. When staining for the nuclei, cells were rinsed with PBST and treated with DAPI for 15 mins. After rinsing by PBS, cells were visualized by the laser scanning confocal microscope FV10-ASW (Ver 2.1, Olympus Corp, MPE FV1000) for the co-localized detection.

### Flow Cytometry

PBS was applied to rinse the cells which were subsequently fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 20 mins at room temperature. Rinsed with PBST and blocked with 3% BSA in PBST for 1 hr, cells were then treated with 10 μM fluorescent probe. Immediately, the fluorescent intensity was analyzed based on FACSCalibur flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) with 488 nm as the excitation wavelength and 525 nm as the emission wavelength.

### Statistical Analysis

The results were the representatives of three independent experiments, which were represented as mean ± S.E.M. Prism 6.0 statistical software was utilized for the analyses. The two-tailed Student’s t-test was applied to identify the significance. The variables with p < 0.05 were considered as statistical significance.

### Results

#### DHA-Induced Apoptosis Of PC-9 And PC-9/GR Cells

To evaluate the anti-tumor effect of DHA on NSCLC cells, PC-9 cells and the Gefitinib-resistant counterpart PC-9/GR cells were selected for the present study. Firstly, the CCK-8 assay and Annexin V/PI staining assay were performed in PC-9 cells and PC-9/GR cells. Figure 1A–C revealed that PC-9/GR exhibited more drug resistibility than PC-9 after the Gefitinib treatment, and Figure 1D–F indicated that the IC50
Figure 1 DHA induces apoptosis in PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells. (A–C) Inhibition rate of the two different cell lines (PC-9, PC-9/GR) was assessed after 24 hrs of gefitinib stimulation by CCK8 assay. (D–F) Inhibition rate of the two different cell lines (PC-9, PC-9/GR) was assessed after 24 hrs of DHA stimulation by CCK8 assay. (G–H) Both PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells were seeded in 6-well plates, after DHA (50μM) treatment for 24hrs, both PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells were stained with Annexin V and PI and apoptosis cells were quantitated by flow cytometer. Results from the experiments are shown as means ± SEM. The data are presented as the percentage of cell inhibition rate to unstimulated cells (0μM). ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells.
of DHA in PC-9 and PC-9/GR were almost the same. In comparison with PC-9 cells, the apoptotic rate of PC-9/GR differentiated inconspicuously after the treatment of DHA 50 μM for 24 hrs. These data demonstrated that DHA may play a suppressive role in the viability of NSCLC cells, namely, PC-9 and PC-9/GR, which contributed to the apoptosis in both cell lines. Moreover, there was no resistance of the PC-9/GR cells to DHA, in contrast to Gefitinib.

**DHA Sensitized PC-9/GR Cell Lines To Gefitinib**

To identify whether DHA could be applied as a sensitizing agent for Gefitinib resistance, the combination of Gefitinib and 12.5 μM, 25 μM or 50 μM DHA was treated with PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells. As displayed in Figure 2A–F, the IC50 of Gefitinib dramatically down-regulated both in PC-9 and in PC-9/GR cells after treating with 25 μM and 50 μM DHA. Subsequently, CI was calculated based on the data obtained from the CCK-8 assay. The results suggested that the synergistic action has been found between DHA and Gefitinib when DHA was 25 μM. The apoptosis rate also remarkably elevated when PC-9 cells and PC-9/GR cells were incubated with DHA and Gefitinib, compared to the treatment of a single drug as revealed in Figure 2G–I. The above data demonstrated that the Gefitinib susceptibility in PC-9 cells could be enhanced by DHA, suggesting that DHA-induced inhibition of PC-9 viability might be regulated through the EGFR pathway. However, various differences between the results of the two tests were observed. The decline of Gefitinib IC50 was remarkable and the effective dose of Gefitinib for cell apoptosis combing with DHA nearly halved. It could be illustrated that under the synergistic effect of DHA, the PC-9/GR cell lines became more sensitive to Gefitinib. In order to evaluate the Gefitinib resistance revertant potentiality of DHA, a Gefitinib resistance formation model has been successfully established and applied in the present work. PC-9 was incubated with low-dose Gefitinib which increased with time. Simultaneously, DHA was added to another PC-9 group. It was shown in Figure 2J that the apoptosis rate accelerated and the Gefitinib tolerance of PC-9 increased after 6 months. The apoptosis rate of the group incubated with DHA was the highest, which was illustrated that the PC-9 cells in this group were still sensitive to Gefitinib, indicating that DHA could induce the Gefitinib-resistant revertants. To verify the DHA-induced sensitization to Gefitinib in vivo, we used PC-9 and PC-9/GR transplanted tumor model established in BALB/C nude mice and the results agreed with the results in vitro.

**DHA Enhanced The Absorption Of Chemotherapy Drugs**

Doxorubicin is a classic chemotherapy drug which took effects by interfering with the function of DNA. We performed the combination in which Gefitinib was replaced by doxorubicin to further identify the possible mechanisms of the increased Gefitinib susceptibility induced by DHA in PC-9/GR cell lines. The inhibition rate was detected when PC-9/GR cell lines were incubated combining doxorubicin with 12.5 μM, 25 μM, or 50 μM DHA. It was demonstrated in Figure 3A–E that the IC50 of doxorubicin in PC-9/GR cell lines was reduced, and the apoptosis rate accelerated. However, CI was higher than 1, manifesting that there was no synergistic effect between DHA and doxorubicin. Since doxorubicin had fluorescence activity, the drug absorption was evaluated by flow cytometry and it was revealed in Figure 3F–H that the absorption of doxorubicin enhanced. These results implied that DHA-mediated chemotherapy synergistic effect in PC-9/GR might not be mediated through the function of DNA. However, the enhanced drug absorption suggested that DHA may affect the functions of membrane proteins, like EGFR. Therefore, a fluorescent probe with Gefitinib quinazoline has been synthesized to evaluate the Gefitinib absorption synergized by DHA. The confocal images and fluorescence intensity assay based on flow cytometry collectively proved that with the help of DHA, Gefitinib sub-cellular localization and absorption increased as represented in Figure 3G–J.

**DHA Up-Regulated PC-9/GR Gefitinib Susceptibility Through The EGFR Pathway**

To further verify whether the DHA-mediated PC-9/GR chemotherapy synergistic effect was exerted via the EGFR pathway, we investigated the key protein expression of EGFR and its downstream signaling pathway. Figure 4A and B demonstrated that there were no significant changes in EGFR phosphorylation or the downstream signaling PI3K/Akt and MAPK/ERK in PC-9/GR cell lines when cells were incubated with Gefitinib alone. When DHA was added, the results indicated that the phosphorylation of EGFR and the downstream signaling PI3K/Akt and MAPK/ERK reduced. It was demonstrated that DHA-mediated improvement of Gefitinib susceptibility in PC-9/GR cell lines could be regulated through the EGFR pathway. Our previous study has proved that high levels of cholesterol in lipid rafts could reduce the sensitivity of EGRF-TKI treatment in non-small cell lung cancer. DHA, as a liposoluble compound, could replace the cholesterol in lipid rafts. Therefore, we speculated that it might
Figure 2 DHA sensitizes gefitinib-resistant cell line PC-9/GR to gefitinib. (A–B) Inhibition rate of PC-9 cells was assessed after 24hrs of stimulation of combination of gefitinib and DHA (12.5μM, 25μM, 50μM) by CCK8 assay. Bars represent SEM, ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9 cells. (C) Combination index was calculated by Calcusyn 2.0 based on the inhibition rate acquired in CCK8 assay. Bars represent SEM, ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9/GR cells. (D–E) Inhibition rate of PC-9/GR cells was assessed after 24hrs of stimulation of combination of gefitinib and DHA (12.5μM, 25μM, 50μM) by CCK8 assay. Bars represent SEM, ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9/GR cells. (F) Combination index was calculated by Calcusyn 2.0 based on the inhibition rate acquired in CCK8 assay. (G–I) PC-9 cells and PC-9/GR cells were stained with Annexin V and PI and apoptosis cells were quantitated by flow cytometer after DHA (25μM) and gefitinib (1μM, 5μM) treatment. Results from the experiments are shown as means ± SEM. The data are presented as the percentage of cell inhibition rate to unstimulated cells (0μM). Bars represent SEM, **p<0.01 or ***p<0.001 versus non-DHA-treated of PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells. (J–L) PC-9 and PC-9/GR tumors were transplanted into BALB/C nude mice. Bars represent SEM, **p<0.01 versus non-treated control of PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells.
Figure 3 DHA increases chemotherapy drug absorption. (A–B) Inhibition rate of PC-9/GR cells was assessed after 24hrs of stimulation of combination of doxorubicin and DHA (25μM) by CCK8 assay. Bars represent SEM, ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9/GR cells. (C) Combination index was calculated by CalcuSyn 2.0 based on the inhibition rate acquired in CCK8 assay. (D–E) PC-9/GR cells were stained with Annexin V and PI and apoptosis cells were quantitated by flow cytometer after DHA (25μM) and doxorubicin (0.5μM) treatment. Results from the experiments are shown as means ± SEM. The data are presented as the percentage of cell inhibition rate to unstimulated cells (0μM). Bars represent SEM, **p<0.01 or ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells. (F–I) The fluorescence intensity was measured at 488-nm excitation and 575-nm emission after cells were treated by doxorubicin and at 480-nm excitation and 505-nm emission after cells were treated by fluorescent probe using a fluorospectrophotometer. Bars represent SEM, **p<0.01 or ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9 and PC-9/GR cells. (J) PC-9 cells and PC-9/GR cells were incubated with the indicated concentration of DMSO, DHA (25μM) for 24hrs and then exposed for 3hrs to fluorescent probe with gefitinib skeleton (10μmol/L). Confocal images of cells show fluorescence of DAPI in blue, fluorescent probe in green, and are merged in Lane 3.
be the displacement of cholesterol by DHA led to the increase of Gefitinib susceptibility. Afterwards, the cholesterol levels in PC-9/GR cell lines were analyzed, the results of which represented in Figure 4C and D that the decline of cholesterol was observed both in total cells and in lipid rafts after the DHA treatment. Since MβCD contained the guest molecules, like

Figure 4 DHA increases PC-9/GR gefitinib susceptibility through EGFR pathway. (A–B) PC-9/GR cells were incubated with indicated concentration of gefitinib (10μM), DHA (25μM) or combined treatment for 24hrs. Before the collection of cell lysates and immunoblot analysis, cells were stimulated with 50ng/mL EGF for 20 min at 37°C. EGFR, PI3K, Akt and their phosphorylated protein expression were detected by Western blot as described. Bars represent SEM, *p<0.05, **p<0.01 or ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9/GR cells. (C–D) Cholesterol was tested using the Amplex® Red Cholesterol Assay Kit. Absorbance was converted to μg cholesterol/mL utilizing a cholesterol standard curve, and then samples were normalized to protein concentration for a final value in μg cholesterol/mg protein. Lipid rafts were isolated from PC-9/GR cells as described in Experimental Procedure. Bars represent SEM, **p<0.01 or ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9/GR cells. (E–H) PC-9/GR cells were treated for 1hr with MβCD (15mM) and then incubated in serum-free medium with or without cholesterol (10μM) for 2hrs at 37°C. Before the collection of cell lysates and immunoblot analysis, cells were stimulated with 50ng/mL EGF for 20 min at 37°C. Then, Western blot was performed as described. Bars represent SEM, *p<0.05, **p<0.01 or ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9/GR cells.
cholesterol, it was applied to capture the cholesterol in lipid rafts. The results of Western blot analyses were consistent with that obtained from the DHA treatment. Additionally, after adding cholesterol in the medium, the sensitization of DHA for Gefitinib was shown to be invalid, as revealed in Figure 4E–H. The above data suggested that the synergistic effect and sensitization of DHA might be regulated through the displacement of cholesterol with DHA.

DHA Sensitized PC-9 Cells To Gefitinib By Cholesterol Displacement
For further verifying the relationship among Gefitinib sensitivity, cholesterol and DHA, Western blot assay was adopted to investigate the phosphorylation of EGFR in PC-9 cells after the cholesterol and DHA treatment. As displayed in Figure 5A and B, the level of p-EGFR was up-regulated by treating with cholesterol, which could be reduced by the DHA treatment. The CCK8 assay also proved that the IC50 of Gefitinib in PC-9 cell lines was up-regulated after the cholesterol treatment and then declined when DHA was added, revealing in Figure 5C and D. The variations of apoptosis rate after treating with cholesterol and DHA also changed in a similar manner (Figure 5E and F). The confocal images displayed that the drug absorption was also enhanced after the DHA treatment (Figure 5G). The above results suggested that the high level of cholesterol in lipid rafts played a role in the binding of EGFR-TKIs and its target to some extent. Besides, DHA could reduce the cholesterol in lipid rafts, inducing the binding of EGFR-TKIs and EGFR.

Discussion
In our study, DHA was found to play a role in suppressing the viability and inducing the apoptosis of PC-9/GR cell lines in vitro, probably through regulating EGFR and the downstream signaling pathway. DHA with the concentrations ranging from 10 μM to 200 μM has been applied to investigate the anti-tumor effects of DHA on colon, breast and prostate cancer cells. Consequently, a series of concentrations of DHA (≤ 100 μM) were adopted in this work.

It has been reported that DHA had multiple anti-tumor effects, including inhibiting tumor angiogenesis, apoptosis-inducing activity, suppressing tumor invasion and metastasis, and regulating signaling pathways. Beyond that, it has been proven that the levels of DHA in the serum of patients suffered from pancreatic cancer, lung cancer or non-Hodgkin lymphoma were lower than those in normal controls. Furthermore, it has been published that the supplementation of EPA+DHA (2.5 g/day) to the NSCLC subjects under the platinum treatment led to a prominent enhancement of the rate of therapeutic response and positive clinical outcomes. Other clinical studies also demonstrated that DHA played a markedly protective role in protecting from lung cancer and high DHA intake was related to the lower risk of lung cancer. Since former researches have suggested that DHA was associated with cancer development, the present study demonstrated that DHA may contribute to revert the Gefitinib susceptibility which was correlated to the phosphorylation status of EGFR and the downstream signaling pathways in NSCLC cell lines.

As a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase, the overexpressed EGFR has been broadly discovered in NSCLC. The downstream signaling pathways participated in the mediation of tumor growth, invasion and metastasis could be stimulated by the activated EGFR. Incorporating onto the membranes, DHA played a role in regulating the functions of cellular membranes and the organization of lipid rafts, displacing EGFR from lipid rafts, which subsequently resulted in the suppression of the downstream signaling activities. Our research suggested that the Gefitinib susceptibility in both PC-9 and PC-9/GR cell lines was increased after treating with DHA. The results of CI revealed that DHA acted synergistically with Gefitinib rather than doxorubicin, indicating that DHA-mediated chemotherapy susceptibility might be up-regulated through the EGFR signaling pathway. Besides, immunofluorescence and flow cytometry were applied to identify the absorption, subcellular distribution of the fluorescent probe and EGFR. Additionally, it was demonstrated that DHA may play a therapeutic role by changing the phosphorylation status of EGFR and the downstream signaling pathways in PC-9/GR cell lines.

As a widely studied cell death pathway in literature, apoptosis was found to be significantly related to EGFR and its downstream signaling pathways. Clinical researches have proved that the resistance was inevitable after the long-term EGFR-TKI treatment. Consequently, the formation of Gefitinib resistance was stimulated by the continuous administration of low-dose Gefitinib. During this period, the dose of Gefitinib was increased every other month and the apoptosis rate was analyzed to evaluate the Gefitinib tolerance. Our results of Annexin V/PI staining assay illustrated that there was no increase in the tolerance of Gefitinib after the DHA treatment, indicating that DHA might delay the process of drug resistance, or even revert the Gefitinib resistance.
Figure 5 DHA sensitizes PC-9 cells to gefitinib by cholesterol displacement. (A–B) PC-9 cells were incubated in serum-free medium with or without cholesterol (10μM) for 2hrs at 37°C. Then, PC-9 cells were incubated with the indicated concentration of gefitinib (1μM), DHA (25μM) or combined treatment for 24hrs. Before the collection of cell lysates and immunoblot analysis, cells were stimulated with 50ng/mL EGF for 20 min at 37°C. EGFR, and its phosphorylated protein expression were detected by Western blot as described. Bars represent SEM, *p<0.05, ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9 cells. (C–D) Inhibition rate of PC-9 cells was assessed after 24hrs of stimulation of combination of gefitinib, cholesterol (10μM) and DHA (25μM) by CCK8 assay. Bars represent SEM, ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9 cells. (E–F) PC-9 cells were stained with Annexin V and PI and apoptosis cells were quantitated by flow cytometer after cholesterol (10μM), DHA (25μM) and gefitinib (1μM) treatment or combined treatment as described above. Results from the experiments are shown as means ± SEM. The data are presented as the percentage of cell inhibition rate to unstimulated cells (0μM). Bars represent SEM, *p<0.05, ***p<0.001 versus non-treated control of PC-9 cells. (G) PC-9 cells were incubated with indicated concentration of DMSO, DHA (25μM) for 24hrs, cholesterol (10μM), then exposed for 3hrs to fluorescent probe with gefitinib skeleton (10μmol/L). Confocal images of cells show fluorescence of DAPI in blue, fluorescent probe in green, and are merged in Lane 3.
Herein, we demonstrated that the integrated treatment of DHA and Gefitinib in PC-9 and PC-9/GR cell lines played a greater inhibitory role in EGFR phosphorylation and its downstream kinase of EGFR pathway, in comparison with the cells only treated with Gefitinib. The suppression might contribute to improve susceptibility and revert the resistance of Gefitinib in vitro. Nevertheless, further studies are urgently needed to identify the mechanism through which DHA mediates its effect on EGFR. Our work also warrants the following clinical researches to investigate the application of DHA as a novel and promising agent of clinical interest in the therapy of NSCLC patients.
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