‘Porosome’ discovered nearly 20 years ago provides molecular insights into the kiss-and-run mechanism of cell secretion
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Introduction

Discovery of the ‘Porosome’
– Membrane-associated t-SNAREs and v-SNARE in opposing bilayers interact in a rosette or ring complex, enabling Ca²⁺-mediated membrane fusion
– Regulation of secretory vesicle volume increase and its requirement for fractional release of intra-vesicular contents from cells during secretion

Abstract

Secretion is a fundamental cellular process in living organisms, from yeast to cells in humans. Since the 1950s, it was believed that secretory vesicles completely merged with the cell plasma membrane during secretion. While this may occur, the observation of partially empty vesicles in cells following secretion suggests the presence of an additional mechanism that allows partial discharge of intra-vesicular contents during secretion. This proposed mechanism requires the involvement of a plasma membrane structure called ‘porosome’, which serves to prevent the collapse of secretory vesicles, and to transiently fuse with the plasma membrane (Kiss-and-Run), expel a portion of its contents and disengage. Porosomes are cup-shaped supramolecular lipoprotein structures at the cell plasma membrane ranging in size from 15 nm in neurons and astrocytes to 100–180 nm in endocrine and exocrine cells. Neuronal porosomes are composed of nearly 40 proteins. In comparison, the 120 nm nuclear pore complex is composed of >500 protein molecules. Elucidation of the porosome structure, its chemical composition and functional reconstitution into artificial lipid membrane, and the molecular assembly of membrane-associated t-SNARE and v-SNARE proteins in a ring or rosette complex resulting in the establishment of membrane continuity to form a fusion pore at the porosome base, has been demonstrated. Additionally, the molecular mechanism of secretory vesicle swelling, and its requirement for intra-vesicular content release during cell secretion has also been elucidated. Collectively, these observations provide a molecular understanding of cell secretion, resulting in a paradigm shift in our understanding of the secretory process.
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Introduction

Secretion is a fundamental cellular process in living organisms, from yeast to cells in humans. Secretion is responsible and required for a variety of physiological activities, such as neurotransmission, immune response, and the release of hormones and digestive enzymes. Correspondingly, secretory defects in cells are responsible for a host of debilitating diseases. Since the mid 1950s, it was believed that secretory vesicles completely merge with the cell plasma membrane during secretion, resulting in release of the entire
vesicular contents. While this provides one mechanism for cell secretion, the observation of partially empty vesicles in cells following a secretory episode (Fig. 1) is incompatible with complete vesicle merger, suggesting the presence of an additional mechanism that allows partial discharge of intra-vesicular contents during secretion. This proposed mechanism requires the involvement of a plasma membrane structure the 'porosome', which serves to prevent the expected (because of high surface tension of the secretory vesicle membrane) complete collapse of secretory vesicles in the cell plasma membrane.

In the 1960s, the experimental data concerning neurotransmitter release mechanisms by Bernard Katz and Bjorn Folkow [1, 2], proposed that limitation of the quantal packet may be set by the nerve membrane, in which case the size of the packet released would correspond to a fraction of the vesicle content [3, 4]. Then in the 1970s, Bruno Ceccarelli recognized the presence of 'transient' mechanism of secretory vesicle fusion at the cell plasma membrane [5] enabling the fractional release of intra-vesicular contents, and coined the term 'kiss-and-run'. In 1990, Wolfhard Almers hypothesized based on his own and existing studies at the time, that the fusion pore is a continuity established between the vesicle membrane and the cell plasma membrane, and results from a 'preassembled ion channel-like structure that could open and close' [6]. In a 1993 article [7], Erwin Neher appropriately reasoned that: 'It seems terribly wasteful that, during the release of hormones and neurotransmitters from a cell, the membrane of a vesicle should merge with the plasma membrane to be retrieved for recycling only seconds or minutes later'. In an earlier 1992 article [8], Julio Fernandez opined that the principal difficulty in observing structures and fusion pore formation at these structures in the cell plasma membrane, was primarily due in part to the absence of ultra high-resolution imaging tools, to directly visualize and monitor the activity of such secretory portals in live cells. Such a membrane-associated portal would enable the secretory vesicle to transiently establish continuity with the cell plasma membrane without collapsing, expel a portion of the vesicular contents and disengage, while remaining partially filled as demonstrated in numerous cells, among them in rat peritoneal mast cells (Figs 1 (A) and 2) and in acinar cells of the exocrine pancreas (Fig. 3A and B). In acinar cells of the exocrine pancreas, partially filled zymogen granules (ZG), the secretory vesicles in these cells, are generated following a secretory episode as observed in electron micrographs (EM; Fig. 3A). Electron micrographs morphometry of intracellularly located ZG in pancreatic acinar cells demonstrate that although the total number of ZG in cells remain unchanged following secretion, there is an increase in the number of empty and partially empty vesicles following a secretory episode, suggesting a transient kiss-and-run mechanism of intra-vesicular content release during cell secretion (Fig. 3A and B). Further confirmation of the transient or kiss-and-run mechanism of cell secretion is demonstrated by the direct observation using atomic force microscopy (AFM) of docked ZG at the apical plasma membrane in live pancreatic acinar cells. Physiological stimulation of cell secretion using the CCK analogue carbachol results first in ZG swelling (a requirement for cell secretion), followed by intra-vesicular content release and a consequent decrease in ZG size; however, the same ZG's remain, long after the completion of the secretory episode (Fig. 3B), demonstrating the occurrence of transient or kiss-and-run mechanism of cell secretion.

So what is this structure that enables transient secretory vesicle fusion and the regulated fractional release of intra-vesicular contents from cells during secretion? This structure at the membrane needs to overcome the surface tension of the vesicle membrane and prevent collapse of the vesicle at the cell plasma membrane. This conundrum was finally resolved in 1996 following the discovery of the 'porosome', a supramolecular lipoprotein structure at the cell plasma membrane, which has since been demonstrated to be ubiquitously found in all cells examined, and hence defined as the universal secretory portal in cells [6]. Porosomes are cup-shaped lipoprotein struc-
tures at the cell plasma membrane, where membrane-bound secretory vesicles transiently dock and fuse to release intra-vesicular contents to the outside during cell secretion. Almost two decades ago, using the AFM, followed by EM and other imaging modalities like small angle X-ray solution scattering (SAXS), this cup-shaped plasma membrane lipoprotein structure initially misnamed ‘fusion pore’ was subsequently renamed ‘porosome’. Fusion pore is the continuity established between two fusing membranes, and develops at the porosome base when the secretory vesicle membrane fuses. During secretion, secretory vesicles transient dock and fuse at the base of the porosome cup via t-SNAREs to establish such a fusion pore or continuity between the secretory vesicle membrane and the porosome base. To enable precisely measured release of intra-vesicular contents, immediately prior to vesicle fusion at the porosome, secretory vesicles swell via regulated active transport of water and ions, and the resultant intra-vesicular pressure generated drives the intra-vesicular contents to the outside, without compromising the integrity of either the vesicle membrane or the cell plasma membrane [9–17].

Meanwhile, in the past 20 years, in further confirmation, hundreds of papers from scores of laboratories from around the world provide evidence on the kiss-and-run mechanism of secretion in cells, secretory vesicles are capable of being reused for subsequent rounds of exo-endocytosis, until completely empty of contents. However, in a fast secretory cell such as the neuron, synaptic vesicles have the additional advantage of rapidly refilling, utilizing the neurotransmitter transporters present at the synaptic vesicle membrane.

Elucidation of the porosome structure, its chemical composition and functional reconstitution into artificial lipid membrane [9–17], and the molecular assembly of membrane-associated t-SNARE and v-SNARE proteins in a ring or rosette complex [21–29], resulting in the establishment of membrane continuity between the membrane of the porosome base and the secretory vesicle membrane to establish a fusion pore, has also been demonstrated in great detail in the past two decades [21–29]. Furthermore, the molecular mechanism of secretory vesicle swelling and its requirement for the precise regulation of intra-vesicular content release during cell secretion has been determined [30–36]. Collectively, these studies provide a molecular understanding of porosome-mediated kiss-and-run mechanism of fractional discharge of intra-vesicular contents from cells during secretion, resulting in a paradigm-shift in our understanding of the secretory process.

**Discovery of the ‘Porosome’**

In the mid 1990s, motivated by the goal to identify cellular structures at the plasma membrane involved in the regulated fractional

---

**Fig. 2 Schematic depiction of porosome-mediated cell secretion.** Porosomes are cup-shaped lipoprotein structures at the cell plasma membrane. Secretory vesicles dock at the porosome base via t-SNAREs present at the porosome base and v-SNAREs present at the secretory vesicle membrane to form a t/v-SNARE ring complex, establishing continuity between the opposing membranes [fusion pore] through which pressurized intra-vesicular contents (intra-vesicular pressure established via active transport of water through aquaporin or water channels (AQP), and ions at the secretory vesicle membrane) are expelled to the outside during cell secretion. Following secretion, the SNARE ring complex is disassembled by NSF-ATP and the fused lipid membrane is cleaved by dynamin-GTP. The resultant partially empty vesicle then dissociates from the cell membrane, either to be refilled (in case of synaptic vesicles containing neurotransmitter transporters at the vesicle membrane), reused in one or multiple exo-endocytosis until empty, or recycled to generate new secretory vesicles. ©Bhanu Jena.
release of intra-vesicular contents from cells, the newly developed technique of AFM was employed by my group to image the morphology and dynamics of the live pancreatic acinar cell surface at the nanometre scale resolution in real-time during secretion. Utilizing this approach, the major breakthrough came in 1996, when circular pit-like structures containing 100–180 nm depressions or
Fig. 3 (A) Representative electron micrographs of resting (a), and 1 μM cholecystokinin-stimulated for 15 min. (b) rat pancreatic acinar cells, demonstrating partial loss of zymogen granule (ZG) contents following secretion. The apical lumen (L) of acini demonstrating the presence of microvilli and secreted products is observed. (c) These studies using electron microscopy further demonstrate that while the number of ZG remain unchanged following secretion, an increase in the number of empty and partially empty vesicles are observed; scale bar = 1 μm [10]. ©Bhanu Jena. (B) The volume dynamics of zymogen granules (ZG) in live pancreatic acinar cells demonstrating fractional release of ZG contents during secretion. (a) Electron micrograph of pancreatic acinar cells showing the basolaterally located nucleus (N) and the apically located electron-dense vesicles, the ZGs. The apical end of the cell faces the acinar lumen (L); bar = 2.5 μm. (b–d) Apical ends of live pancreatic acinar cells in physiological buffer imaged by AFM, showing ZGs (red and green arrowheads) lying just below the apical plasma membrane. Exposure of the cell to a secretory stimulus (1 μM carbachol), results in ZGs swelling within 2.5 min., followed by a decrease in ZG size after 5 min. The decrease in size of ZGs after 5 min. is because of the release of secretory products such as α-amylase, as demonstrated by the immunoblot assay (e). If ZG’s had fused at the plasma membrane and fully merged, it would not be visible, demonstrating transient fusion and fractional discharge on intra-vesicular contents during secretion in pancreatic acinar cells [32]. ©Bhanu Jena.

Fig. 4 To the left is an AFM micrograph of the apical plasma membrane of a live pancreatic acinar cell demonstrating the presence of a pit (yellow arrow) with porosomes within (blue arrow). To the right is a schematic drawing demonstrating pits and cup-shaped porosomes where zymogen granules (ZG), the secretory vesicles in exocrine pancreas dock and transiently fuse to release intra-vesicular digestive enzymes from the cell [9]. ©Bhanu Jena.
protein densities (Fig. 6D and E). The eight protein densities are observed both in the native neuronal porosome complex (Fig. 6D top left) as well as in the isolated porosomes reconstituted in lipid bilayers prepared using brain phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylcholine (PC), dioleoylphosphatidylcholine and dioleoylphosphatidylserine (Fig. 6D top right). Similar to AFM micrographs, approximately eight interconnected protein densities are observed in EM micrographs of purified neuronal porosome preparations (Fig. 6E). Electron density and contour mapping, and the resultant three-dimensional (3D) topology profiles of the neuronal porosome complex provide further details of the arrangement of proteins and their interconnection to the central plug region of the complex via distinct spoke-like elements (Fig. 6E lower left). The 3D topography of the porosome complex (Fig. 6E lower right) obtained from electron density maps, show in further detail, the circular profile of the porosome complex and a central plug connected via spokes as in a cart wheel. Atomic force microscopy micrographs of inside-out presynaptic membrane demonstrate inverted cup-shaped porosomes (Fig. 6F and G). Atomic force microscopy, EM and photon correlation spectroscopy (Fig. 6H and I), all demonstrate isolated porosomes that range in size from 12 to 17 nm. Interestingly, high-resolution AFM micrographs of the neuronal porosome complex present at the presynaptic membrane demonstrate the central plug at various conformation states, such as fully distended at an intermediate position, and sometimes completely withdrawn into the porosome cup suggesting...
Fig. 6 Structure and organization of the neuronal porosome complex at the nerve terminal [15, 16]. (A) Low-resolution AFM amplitude image bar = 1 μm (A) and high-resolution AFM amplitude image bar = 100 nm (B) of isolated rat brain synaptosomes in buffered solution. (C) Electron micrograph of a synaptosome, bar = 100 nm. (D) Structure and arrangement of the neuronal porosome complex facing the outside (D top left), and the arrangement of the reconstituted complex in PC:PS membrane (D top right). Lower panels are two transmission electron micrographs demonstrating synaptic vesicles (SV) docked at the base of cup-shaped porosome, having a central plug (red arrowhead). (E) EM, electron density, and 3D contour mapping (E), provides at the nanoscale, the structure and assembly of proteins within the complex. (F) AFM micrograph of inside-out membrane preparations of isolated synaptosome. Note the porosomes (red arrowheads) to which synaptic vesicles are found docked (blue arrow head). (G) High-resolution AFM micrograph of a synaptic vesicle docked to a porosome at the cytoplasmic compartment of the presynaptic membrane. (H) AFM measurements (n = 15) of porosomes (P, 13.05 ± 0.91) and SV (40.15 ± 3.14) at the cytoplasmic compartment of the presynaptic membrane. (I) Photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS) on immunosolated neuronal porosome complex demonstrates their size to range from 12 to 16 nm. (J) Schematic illustration of a neuronal porosome at the presynaptic membrane, showing the eight peripheral ridges connected to the central plug [15, 16]. ©Bhanu Jena.
the capability of the central plug for vertical motion and its possible involvement in the rapid opening and closing of the structure. Besides ultra high-resolution AFM studies on isolated synaptosomes revealing structural details of the neuronal porosome complex, the porosome complexed with synaptic vesicle in its native state in situ within synaptosomes, has also been examined using SAXS providing further molecular details of the complex and its interaction with synaptic vesicles [17]. Results from the SAXS study has enabled the development of a model on the possible involvement of the central plug in neurotransmitter release at the nerve terminal.

In the past 20 years, our studies demonstrate that membrane-associated t-SNAREs and v-SNARE interact in a rosette or ring complex, enabling Ca^{2+}-mediated membrane fusion and establishment of the ‘fusion pore’ [21–29]. Furthermore, our studies have progressed our understanding of the regulation of secretory vesicle volume and the requirement of vesicle volume increase for fractional release of intra-vesicular contents from cells during secretion [30–36]. These results provide the molecular underpinnings of how cells precisely regulate the discharge of a portion of their intra-vesicular contents during a secretory episode, while retaining full integrity of both the vesicle membrane and the cell plasma membrane.
Membrane-associated t-SNAREs and v-SNARE in opposing bilayers interact in a rosette or ring complex, enabling Ca$^{2+}$-mediated membrane fusion and the establishment of the ‘fusion pore’ at the porosome base.

In 1988, Richard Scheller discovered a secretory vesicle associated membrane protein called VAMP-1 or v-SNARE [39], and then in 1992, he and his team discovered another important protein present in the cell plasma membrane called syntaxin. Syntaxin is one of the two target SNARE or t-SNARE proteins [40]. In 1989, Michael Wilson discovered SNAP-25, the other t-SNARE protein [41]. Understanding the properties of the three SNARE proteins in membrane fusion requires a molecular understanding of their interactions, with the different SNARE proteins being present in opposing membranes: the v-SNARE or VAMP-1 in secretory vesicle membrane, and t-SNAREs syntaxin and SNAP-25 in the cell plasma membrane. As SNAREs are membrane-associated proteins, crystals of membrane-associated SNARE complex are required for X-ray crystallography, which has not been possible. To circumvent issues associated with the solubility of membrane-associated SNAREs, Axel Brunger and Reinhard Jahn in 1998 truncated the hydrophobic membrane anchoring domains of syntaxin and VAMP, to obtain crystals of a non-membrane-associated t-/v-SNARE complex. Utilizing X-ray crystallography, Brunger and Jahn determined the atomic structure of the soluble SNARE complex at 2.4 Å, which they reported in Nature [42]. It was unclear, however, whether the structure of the resolved soluble SNARE complex was identical to the native membrane-associated SNARE complex.

To address this issue, we carried out high-resolution AFM studies combined with electrophysiological measurements. In a study reported in the Biophysical Journal in 2002 [21], our group demonstrated that in the absence of membrane association, SNAREs fail to appropriately bind to each other or establish continuity between the opposing bilayers in presence of calcium [21]. We demonstrated that VAMP-1 proteins present in one membrane interact with syntaxin and SNAP-25 proteins present in an opposing membrane, and assemble in a rosette or ring configuration, establishing continuity between the opposing bilayers in the presence of calcium. While it had been hypothesized that the interaction between t-SNAREs and v-SNARE present in opposing bilayers may form such rosette or ring structures [43], the experimental confirmation of this was first reported by our group in the 2002 in the Biophysical Journal paper [21] (Fig. 9), and further established using high-resolution EM [21–27]. This SNARE rosette arrangement between opposing bilayers during membrane fusion is now widely accepted and published as the fundamental structure of the t-/v-SNARE complex associated with membrane fusion and cell secretion [44, 45].

Fig. 9 Actual t-/v-SNARE ring complexes or rosettes formed following the interaction between t-SNAREs and v-SNAREs present in opposing bilayers. Top panel is a schematic drawing depicting the interaction between t-SNAREs and v-SNAREs in opposing vesicles. AFM micrographs of the actual SNARE complex rings or rosettes are presented in the top panel (extreme right); in the middle panel (lower right); and in the lower panel at low and high resolution [21, 22]. These t-/v-SNARE ring complexes are obtained using large vesicles; however, 50 nm lipid vesicles associated with t-SNAREs and similar sized vesicles with v-SNAREs interact to form 6–7 nm in diameter t-/v-SNARE rosettes. The size of the SNARE rosette formed is directly proportional to the vesicle size hence curvature is critical for the SNARE complex assembly size [24, 29]. ©Bhanu Jena.
Role of Ca\(^{2+}\) in membrane fusion

In the 1970s, the late Demetrious Papahadjopoulos had proposed the involvement of inter-membrane Ca\(^{2+}\)-phospholipid complex in the fusion of opposing lipid membranes [46]. To determine the involvement of Ca\(^{2+}\) in membrane fusion at the atomic level, we performed X-ray diffraction studies involving t- and v-SNARE reconstituted liposomes [22]. Results from this study demonstrated that SNAREs overcome the repulsive forces between the opposing negatively charged lipid membranes to bring them within a distance of 2.8 Å [22]. We therefore concluded that if calcium was involved in the bridging of opposing bilayers via oxygens of the phospholipid head groups, calcium must be present at the site where the t-SNARE vesicles and v-SNARE vesicles make contact. t-SNARE vesicles and v-SNARE vesicles complexed in the absence of calcium would therefore fail to establish continuity between the opposing bilayers as hydrated calcium (with six water molecules surrounding it) measuring nearly 7 Å would be unable to fit within the 2.8 Å spacing separating the two opposing membranes. In 2004, this hypothesis was tested and confirmed experimentally by us [23]. From these results, we further hypothesized that following bridging of the opposing phospholipids by hydrated Ca\(^{2+}\), the loss of coordinated water associated with the calcium ion as well as those associated with the oxygens of the phospholipid head groups must result in local dehydration, lipid mixing and membrane fusion. We tested this hypothesis using blind molecular dynamic simulations involving dimethyl phosphate (DMP), calcium and water molecules [47]. Confirming this hypothesis, results from the study demonstrated that hydrated Ca\(^{2+}\) is capable of bridging phospholipid head groups, and that this process results in the expulsion of water from both phospholipid head groups and the calcium ion [47]. The simulation further demonstrated that the distance between the anionic oxygens in DMP bridged by calcium is 2.92 Å, which is in close agreement with the 2.8 Å reported from X-ray diffraction measurements [22, 23]. These findings provide new insights into our understanding of the chemistry of membrane fusion.

Regulation of secretory vesicle volume increase and its requirement for fractional release of intra-vesicular contents from cells during secretion

In the early 1990s, it was reported that secretory vesicles undergo an increase in volume during cell secretion [48, 49]. However, the molecular mechanism underlying volume regulation of secretory vesicles and the role of this volume increase on secretory vesicle function during cell secretion was poorly understood. Our studies showed that water channels or aquaporins in conjunction with several ion channels present at the secretory vesicle membrane regulate the vesicle volume through Guanosine diphosphate (GTP)-binding G-proteins (Figs 10 and 11) [30–36]. The role of various ion channels at the secretory vesicle membrane was also demonstrated using single vesicle patch studies [50]. In 2004, we reported that secretory vesicle

![Fig. 10](image) Increase in size of isolated ZGs in the presence of GTP. (A-C) Two-dimensional AFM images of the same granules following exposure to 20 μM GTP at time 0 (A), 5 min. (B) and 10 min. (C). (D-F) The same granules are shown in 3D: the three-dimensional image of the ZGs at time 0, 5 and 10 min., respectively, after exposure to GTP [30]. ©Bhanu Jena.
volume increase is a requirement for the regulated release of vesicular contents from cells (Fig. 12) [32]. The relative increase in vesicle volume during cell secretion is proportional to the fraction of the intra-vesicular contents released.

Molecular underpinnings of volume regulation in secretory vesicles

Isolated secretory vesicles, single vesicle patch and reconstituted swelling-competent proteoliposomes have been utilized [30, 31, 33–36, 50] to determine the mechanism and regulation of vesicle swelling. Isolated ZGs from the exocrine pancreas swell rapidly in response to GTP [30, 31], suggesting rapid water gating into ZGs. Results from studies demonstrate the presence of the water channel aquaporin-1 (AQP1) at the ZG membrane [31] and AQPS at the synaptic vesicle membrane [33] and their participation in GTP-mediated water entry and vesicle swelling. Further, the molecular regulation of AQP1 at the ZG membrane has been studied [34], providing a general mechanism of secretory vesicle swelling. Detergent-solubilized ZGs immunoisolated using monoclonal AQP-1 antibody, co-isolates AQPI, Phospholipase A2 (PLA), Ga3i, potassium channel IRK-8 and the chloride channel CLC-2 [34]. Exposure of ZGs to either the potassium channel blocker glyburide or the PLA2 inhibitor ONO-RS-082 blocks GTP-induced ZG swelling. Red blood cells known to possess AQP1 at the plasma membrane also swell on exposure to the Gai agonist mastoparan and responds similarly to ONO-RS-082 and glyburide, as do ZGs [34]. Artificial liposomes reconstituted with the AQPI immunosolated complex from solubilized ZG preparation also swell in response to GTP. Glyburide or ONO-RS-082 is found to abrogate the GTP effect in reconstituted liposomes. AQPI immunosolate-reconstituted planar lipid membrane demonstrate conductance which is sensitive to glyburide and an AQPI-specific antibody. These results demonstrate a Ga3i-PLA2-mediated pathway and potassium channel involvement in AQPI regulation at the ZG membrane [34], contributing to ZG swelling. Similarly, AQP-6 involvement has been demonstrated in GTP-induced and Go-mediated synaptic vesicle swelling in neurons [33].

To further characterize the ion channels present at the secretory vesicle membrane, for the first time studies were carried out using single ZG patch [50]. These studies confirm earlier findings of the presence of both potassium and chloride ion channels at the ZG membrane. In these studies, the electrical activity at the ZG membrane displays a range of sensitivity both to chloride and potassium channel blockers. Whole vesicle conductance was decreased with the addition of the chloride channel blocker, 4,4’-Disothiocyanato-2,2’-stilbenedisulfonic acid, and the Adenosine triphosphate(AMP) K+ channel blocker, glyburide, in both vesicles patches and indirect analysis, supporting the hypothesis for the presence of more than one channel type [50]. This finding was further confirmed immunochromically using Western blot analysis, and as speculated, the presence of two chloride channels, CLC-2 and CLC-3, was observed [50]. Also consistent with pharmacological
Fig. 12 Fusion of isolated ZGs at porosome-reconstituted bilayer and GTP-induced expulsion of α-amylase. (A) Schematic diagram of the EPC9 bilayer apparatus showing the cis and trans compartment. Isolated ZGs when added to the cis compartment fuse at the bilayers-reconstituted porosome. Addition of GTP to the cis chamber induces ZG swelling and expulsion of its contents such as α-amylase to the trans bilayers chamber. (B) Capacitance traces of the lipid bilayer from three separate experiments following reconstitution of porosomes (green arrowhead), addition of ZGs to the cis compartment (blue arrowhead), and the red arrowhead represents the 5 min. time-point after ZG addition. Note the small increase in membrane capacitance following porosome reconstitution, and a greater increase when ZGs fuse at the bilayers. (C) In a separate experiment, 15 min. after addition of ZGs to the cis compartment, 20 μM GTP was introduced. Note the increase in capacitance, demonstrating potentiation of ZG fusion. Flickers in current trace represent current activity. (D) Immunoblot analysis of α-amylase in the trans chamber fluid at different times following exposure to ZGs and GTP. Note the undetectable levels of α-amylase even up to 15 min. following ZG fusion at the bilayer. However, following exposure to GTP, significant amounts of α-amylase from within ZGs were expelled into the trans bilayers chamber [32]. c-Bhanu Jena.

In summary, our studies in the past two decades demonstrate the presence of a new cup-shaped lipoprotein structure at the cell plasma membrane called ‘porosome – the universal secretory portals in cells’, and elucidates how the porosome is involved in the regulated fractional release of intra-vesicular contents from cells with exquisite precision involving membrane fusion and secretory vesicle volume regulation, revealing for the first time the molecular underpinnings of the transient or kiss-and-run mechanism of secretion in cells. We have isolated the porosome from a number of secretory cells including neurons, determined its composition, functionally reconstituted it in lipid membrane, and determined its dynamics and high-resolution structure using a variety of approaches including AFM, EM and SAXS. Complementing the regulation of the porosome function, our studies have further contributed to our understanding of SNARE and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-mediated membrane fusion and secretory vesicle volume regulation, both required for the regulated fractional release of intra-vesicular contents during cell secretion. These results provide for the first time a molecular understanding of the regulated fractional release of intra-vesicular contents from cells during secretion. Recent studies by our group using mass spectrometry, demonstrate interaction between the cystic fibrosis trans-membrane conductance regulator (CFTR) and the porosome complex in human airways epithelia, shedding light on the possible regulatory role of CFTR on the quality of mucus secretion via the porosome complex [51]. Results from this study provide critical insights into the aetiology of CF disease and for potential therapies. Similarly, recent studies using mass spectrometry provide some understanding of the lipidome of the neuronal porosome complex [38], and the role of Hsp90 in porosome assembly and function [52], enabling further understanding of the porosome structure-function [37]. Currently, for further understand porosome structure-function, the major focus of our laboratory is to determine the distribution and interaction of proteins and lipids within the porosome complex using chemical crosslinking followed by mass spectrometry, and molecular modelling. To gain further structural insights especially on the neuronal porosome complex (as it is the smallest of the known porosomes, abundantly present evidence was the presence of ATP-sensitive potassium channel, Kir6.1 in Western blot analysis of the ZGs. This is surprising, as Kir6.2 is the predominant form of potassium channels in β cells of the endocrine pancreas [50].

As mastoparan, an amphiphilic tetradecapeptide from wasp venom, activates G\textsubscript{o} protein GTPase and stimulates synaptic vesicle swelling, the presence of β-adrenergic receptor at the synaptic vesicle membrane was hypothesized. Stimulation of G-proteins is believed to occur via insertion of mastoparan into the phospholipid membrane to form a highly structured α-helix that resembles the intracellular loops of G-protein-coupled adrenergic receptors. Consequently, the presence of adrenoceptors and the presence of an endogenous β-adrenergic agonist at the synaptic vesicle membrane has been investigated. Immunoblot analysis of synaptic vesicle using β-adrenergic receptor antibody, and vesicle swelling experiments using β-adrenergic agonists and antagonists demonstrate the presence of functional β-adrenergic receptors at the synaptic vesicle membrane [36].

As mastoparan, an amphiphilic tetradecapeptide from wasp venom, activates G\textsubscript{o} protein GTPase and stimulates synaptic vesicle swelling, the presence of β-adrenergic receptor at the synaptic vesicle membrane was hypothesized. Stimulation of G-proteins is believed to occur via insertion of mastoparan into the phospholipid membrane to form a highly structured α-helix that resembles the intracellular loops of G-protein-coupled adrenergic receptors. Consequently, the presence of adrenoceptors and the presence of an endogenous β-adrenergic agonist at the synaptic vesicle membrane has been investigated. Immunoblot analysis of synaptic vesicle using β-adrenergic receptor antibody, and vesicle swelling experiments using β-adrenergic agonists and antagonists demonstrate the presence of functional β-adrenergic receptors at the synaptic vesicle membrane [36].

As mastoparan, an amphiphilic tetradecapeptide from wasp venom, activates G\textsubscript{o} protein GTPase and stimulates synaptic vesicle swelling, the presence of β-adrenergic receptor at the synaptic vesicle membrane was hypothesized. Stimulation of G-proteins is believed to occur via insertion of mastoparan into the phospholipid membrane to form a highly structured α-helix that resembles the intracellular loops of G-protein-coupled adrenergic receptors. Consequently, the presence of adrenoceptors and the presence of an endogenous β-adrenergic agonist at the synaptic vesicle membrane has been investigated. Immunoblot analysis of synaptic vesicle using β-adrenergic receptor antibody, and vesicle swelling experiments using β-adrenergic agonists and antagonists demonstrate the presence of functional β-adrenergic receptors at the synaptic vesicle membrane [36].

As mastoparan, an amphiphilic tetradecapeptide from wasp venom, activates G\textsubscript{o} protein GTPase and stimulates synaptic vesicle swelling, the presence of β-adrenergic receptor at the synaptic vesicle membrane was hypothesized. Stimulation of G-proteins is believed to occur via insertion of mastoparan into the phospholipid membrane to form a highly structured α-helix that resembles the intracellular loops of G-protein-coupled adrenergic receptors. Consequently, the presence of adrenoceptors and the presence of an endogenous β-adrenergic agonist at the synaptic vesicle membrane has been investigated. Immunoblot analysis of synaptic vesicle using β-adrenergic receptor antibody, and vesicle swelling experiments using β-adrenergic agonists and antagonists demonstrate the presence of functional β-adrenergic receptors at the synaptic vesicle membrane [36].

As mastoparan, an amphiphilic tetradecapeptide from wasp venom, activates G\textsubscript{o} protein GTPase and stimulates synaptic vesicle swelling, the presence of β-adrenergic receptor at the synaptic vesicle membrane was hypothesized. Stimulation of G-proteins is believed to occur via insertion of mastoparan into the phospholipid membrane to form a highly structured α-helix that resembles the intracellular loops of G-protein-coupled adrenergic receptors. Consequently, the presence of adrenoceptors and the presence of an endogenous β-adrenergic agonist at the synaptic vesicle membrane has been investigated. Immunoblot analysis of synaptic vesicle using β-adrenergic receptor antibody, and vesicle swelling experiments using β-adrenergic agonists and antagonists demonstrate the presence of functional β-adrenergic receptors at the synaptic vesicle membrane [36].

As mastoparan, an amphiphilic tetradecapeptide from wasp venom, activates G\textsubscript{o} protein GTPase and stimulates synaptic vesicle swelling, the presence of β-adrenergic receptor at the synaptic vesicle membrane was hypothesized. Stimulation of G-proteins is believed to occur via insertion of mastoparan into the phospholipid membrane to form a highly structured α-helix that resembles the intracellular loops of G-protein-coupled adrenergic receptors. Consequently, the presence of adrenoceptors and the presence of an endogenous β-adrenergic agonist at the synaptic vesicle membrane has been investigated. Immunoblot analysis of synaptic vesicle using β-adrenergic receptor antibody, and vesicle swelling experiments using β-adrenergic agonists and antagonists demonstrate the presence of functional β-adrenergic receptors at the synaptic vesicle membrane [36].
and has been most extensively studies) single particle cryo-electron tomography, SAXS and neutron scattering, and other associated approaches are being utilized.

Acknowledgements
The author thanks members of his laboratory for lively discussions and has been most extensively studies) single particle cryo-electron tomography, SAXS and neutron scattering, and other associated approaches are being utilized.

Conflicts of interest
The author has no conflicts to declare.

References
1. Katz B. The transmission of impulses from nerve to muscle and the subcellular unit of synaptic action. Proc Roy Soc B. 1962; 155: 455–79.
2. Folkow B, Haggendal J, Lisander B. Extent of release and elimination of noradrenal in peripheral adrenergic nerve terminal. Acta Physiol Scand Suppl. 1967; 307: 1–38.
3. Folkow B, Haggendal J. Some aspects of the quantal release of the adrenergic transmitter. Springer-Verlag Bayer Symp. 1970; II: 91–7.
4. Folkow B. Transmitter release at the adrenergic nerve endings: total exocytosis or fractional release? News Physiol Sci. 1997; 12: 32–5.
5. Ceccarelli B, Hurlbut WP, Mauro A. Turn-over of transmitter and synaptic vesicles at the frog neuromuscular junction. J Cell Biol. 1973; 57: 499–524.
6. Almers W, Tse FW. Transmitter release from synapses: does a preassembled fusion pore initiate exocytosis? Neuron. 1990; 4: 813–8.
7. Neher E. Secretion without full fusion. Nature. 1993; 363: 497–8.
8. Monck JR, Fernandez JM. The exocytotic fusion pore. J Cell Biol. 1992; 119: 1395–404.
9. Schneider SW, Sritharan KC, Geibel JP, et al. Surface dynamics in living acinar cells imaged by atomic force microscopy: identification of plasma membrane structures involved in exocytosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94: 316–21.
10. Cho S-J, Quinns AS, Stromer MH, et al. Structure and dynamics of the fusion pore in live cells. Cell Biol Int. 2002; 26: 35–42.
11. Jena BP, Cho S-J, Jeremic A, et al. Structure and composition of the fusion pore. Biochem J. 2003; 384: 1–7.
12. Cho S-J, Jeflinja K, Glavaski A, et al. Structure and dynamics of the fusion pores in live GH-secreting cells revealed using atomic force microscopy. Endocrinology. 2002; 143: 1144–8.
13. Cho S-J, Wakade A, Pappas GD, et al. New structure involved in transient membrane fusion and exocytosis. N Y Acad Sci Ann. 2002; 971: 254–6.
14. Jeremic A, Kelly M, Cho S-J, et al. Reconstituted fusion pore. Biophys J. 2003; 85: 2035–43.
15. Cho W-J, Jeremic A, Rognlien KT, et al. Structure, isolation, composition and reconstitution of the neuronal fusion pore. Cell Biol Int. 2004; 28: 699–708.
16. Lee J-S, Jeremic A, Shin L, et al. Neuronal poreosome proteome: dynamic and architecture. J Proteomics. 2012; 75: 952–62.
17. Kovari LC, Brunszelle JS, Lewis KT, et al. X-ray solution structure of the native neuronal poreosome-synaptic vesicle complex: implication in neurotransmitter release. Micron. 2014; 56: 37–43.
18. Taraska JW, Perrais D, Ohara-Imaizumi M, et al. Secretory granules are recaptured largely intact after stimulated exocytosis in cultured endocrine cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100: 2070–5.
19. Aravanis AM, Pyle JL, Tsien RW. Single synaptic vesicles fusing transiently and successively without loss of identity. Nature. 2002; 423: 643–7.
20. Thorn P, Fogarty KE, Parker I. Zymogen granule exocytosis is characterized by long fusion pore openings and preservation of vesicle lipid identity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004; 101: 6774–9.
21. Cho S-J, Kelly M, Rognlien KT, et al. SNAREs in opposing bilayers interact in a circular array to form conducting pores. Biophys J. 2002; 83: 2522–7.
22. Jeremic A, Kelly M, Cho J-H, et al. Calcium drives fusion of SNARE-attached bilayers. Cell Biol Int. 2004; 28: 19–31.
23. Jeremic A, Cho W-J, Jena BP. Membrane fusion: what may transpire at the atomic level. J Biol Phys Chem. 2004; 4: 139–42.
24. Cho W-J, Jeremic A, Jena BP. Size of supramolecular SNARE complex: membrane-directed self-assembly. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127: 10156–7.
25. Jeremic A, Quinn AS, Cho W-J, et al. Energy-dependent disassembly of self-assembled SNARE complex: observation at nanometer resolution using atomic force microscopy. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128: 26–7.
26. Cook JD, Cho WJ, Stemmler TL, et al. Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy of the assembly and disassembly of SNAREs: the proteins involved in membrane fusion in cells. Chem Phys Lett. 2008; 462: 6–9.
27. Shin L, Cho W-J, Cook J, et al. Membrane lipids influence protein complex assembly-disassembly. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132: 5596–7.
28. Issa Z, Manke CW, Jena BP, et al. Ca2+-bridging of apposed phospholipid bilayer. J Phys Chem. 2010; 114: 13249–54.
29. Cho WJ, Lee J-S, Ren G, et al. Membrane-directed molecular assembly of the neuronal SNARE complex. J Cell Mol Med. 2011; 15: 31–7.
30. Jena BP, Schneider SW, Geibel JP, et al. Gi regulation of secretory vesicle swelling examined by atomic force microscopy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94: 13317–22.
31. Cho S-J, Sattar AKM, Jeong E-H, et al. Aquaporin 1 regulates GTP-induced rapid gating of water in secretory vesicles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002; 99: 4720–4.
32. Kelly M, Cho W-J, Jeremic A, et al. Vesicle swelling regulates content expulsion during secretion. J Cell Biol Int. 2004; 28: 709–16.
33. Jeremic A, Cho W-J, Jena BP. Involvement of water channels in synaptic vesicle swelling. Exp Biol Med. 2005; 230: 674–80.
34. Shin L, Basi N, Lee J-S, et al. Involvement of VH-ATPase in synaptic vesicle swelling. J Neurosci Res. 2010; 88: 95–101.
35. Lee J-S, Cho W-J, Shin L, et al. Involvement of cholesterol in synaptic vesicle swelling. Exp Biol Med. 2010; 235: 470–7.
36. Chen Z-H, Lee J-S, Shin L, et al. Involvement of β-adrenergic receptor in synaptic vesicle swelling and implication in neurotransmitter release. J Cell Mol Med. 2011; 15: 572–6.
37. Jena BP. Neuronal porosome- the secretory portal at the nerve terminal: its structure-function, composition, and reconstitution. J Mol Struct. 2014; 1073: 187–95.
38. Lewis KT, Maddipati KR, Taatjes DJ, et al. Neuronal porosome lipidome. J Cell Mol Med. 2014; 18: 1927–37.
39. Trimble WS, Cowan DW, Scheller RH. VAMP-1: a synaptic vesicle-associated integral membrane protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1988; 85: 4538–42.
40. Bennett MK, Calakos N, Scheller RH. Syntaxin: a synaptic protein implicated in docking of synaptic vesicles at presynaptic active zones. Science. 1992; 257: 255–9.
41. Oyler GA, Higgins GA, Hart RA, et al. The identification of a novel synaptosomal-associated protein, SNAP-25, differentially expressed by neuronal subpopulations. J Cell Biol. 1989; 109: 3039–52.
42. Sutton RB, Fasshauer D, Jahn R, et al. Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 Å resolution. Nature. 1998; 395: 347–53.
43. Weber T, Zemelman BV, McNew JA, et al. SNAREpins: minimal machinery for membrane fusion. Cell. 1998; 92: 759–72.
44. Megighian A, Scorzo M, Zanini D, et al. Arg206 of SNAP-25 is essential for neuroexocytosis at the Drosophila melanogaster neuromuscular junction. J Cell Sci. 2010; 123: 3276–83.
45. Hammel I, Moiijson I. Function suggests nano-structure: toward a unifying theory for secretion rate, a statistical mechanics approach. J R Soc Interface. 2013; 10: 20130640. doi:10.1098/rsif.2013.0640.
46. Portis A, Newton C, Pangborn W, et al. Studies on the mechanism of membrane fusion: evidence for an intermembrane Ca2+-phospholipid complex, synergism with Mg2+, and inhibition by spectrin. Biochemistry. 1979; 18: 780–90.
47. Potoff JJ, Issa Z, Manke CW, et al. Ca2+-Dimethylphosphate complex formation: providing insight into Ca2+ mediated local dehydration and membrane fusion in cells. Cell Biol Int. 2008; 32: 361–6.
48. Alvarez de Toledo G, Fernandez-Chacon R, Fernandez JM. Release of vesicle products during transient vesicle fusion. Nature. 1991; 363: 554–8.
49. Monck JR, Oberhauser AF, Alvarez de Toledo G, et al. Is swelling of the secretory granule matrix the force that dilates the exocytotic fusion pore? Biophys J. 1991; 59: 39–47.
50. Kelly M, Abu-Hamdah R, Cho S-J, et al. Patch clamped single pancreatic Zymogen Granules: direct measurement of ion channel activities at the granule membrane. Pancreatology. 2005; 5: 443–9.
51. Hou X, Lewis KT, Wu Q, et al. Proteome of the porosome complex in human airways epithelia: interaction with the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR). J Proteomics. 2013; 96: 82–91.
52. Rajagopal A, Kulkarni S, Lewis KT, et al. Proteome of the insulin-secreting Min6 porosome complex: involvement of Hsp90 in its assembly and function. J Proteomics. 2015; 114: 83–92.