Interleukin-22 regulates neutrophil recruitment in ulcerative colitis and is associated with resistance to ustekinumab therapy

The function of interleukin-22 (IL-22) in intestinal barrier homeostasis remains controversial. Here, we map the transcriptional landscape regulated by IL-22 in human colonic epithelial organoids and evaluate the biological, functional and clinical significance of the IL-22 mediated pathways in ulcerative colitis (UC). We show that IL-22 regulated pro-inflammatory pathways are involved in microbial recognition, cancer and immune cell chemotaxis; most prominently those involving CXCR2+ neutrophils. IL-22-mediated transcriptional regulation of CXC-family neutrophil-active chemokine expression is highly conserved across species, is dependent on STAT3 signaling, and is functionally and pathologically important in the recruitment of CXCR2+ neutrophils into colonic tissue. In UC patients, the magnitude of enrichment of the IL-22 regulated transcripts in colonic biopsies correlates with colonic neutrophil infiltration and is enriched in non-responders to ustekinumab therapy. Our data provide further insights into the biology of IL-22 in human disease and highlight its function in the regulation of pathogenic immune pathways, including neutrophil chemotaxis. The transcriptional networks regulated by IL-22 are functionally and clinically important in UC, impacting patient trajectories and responsiveness to biological intervention.

Ulcereative colitis (UC) is the most common form of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). It is an incurable, chronic, immune-mediated inflammatory disease (IMID) that selectively affects the colon and is associated with significant complications, including cancer1. Dysregulated mucosal immune responses are at the heart of UC pathogenesis characterized by increased production of cytokines and the local accumulation of immune cells, most notably mononuclear cells and neutrophils which are associated with architectural distortion of tissue, crypt destruction and crypt abscess formation1. Accordingly, targeting individual cytokines or the cells that produce them are the most effective therapeutic strategies in UC.

Ustekinumab is a monoclonal antibody (mAb) targeting the p40 subunit common to both interleukin (IL)−12 and IL-234. Selective targeting of IL-23 is a conceptually attractive approach, since IL-23 is strongly implicated in IMIDs affecting the skin5, brain6, joints7 and intestine8. IL-23 overexpressing transgenic mice develop multi-system inflammatory disease, including severe neutrophilic inflammation in the gut9. In preclinical models of UC, genetic deletion, or
therapeutic neutralization of the specific p19 subunit of IL-23 significantly attenuates colitis. IL-23 stimulates effector function of innate and adaptive lymphocytes, triggering production of IL-17A, IL-17F, interferon-γ (IFNγ) and GM-CSF. Although clinical trials evaluating the efficacy of IL-23 blockade are now underway, important theoretical concerns about targeting IL-23 exist, since the downstream pathways regulated by IL-23 are also implicated in tissue restitution. For instance, IL-22 is one of the key cytokines regulated by IL-23, and several lines of evidence point to IL-22 having an important protective function in the gut. IL-22 induces production of anti-microbial peptides and is involved in intestinal epithelial barrier recovery after acute injury by promoting LGR5 intestinal epithelial stem cell proliferation. Clinical trials evaluating recombinant IL-22 to promote recovery of epithelial injury are currently underway (NCT02749630). Confusingly, in several chronic models of IBD, IL-22 has been shown to be pathogenic. Accordingly, new insights into the function of IL-22 in mucosal immunity are now needed, especially in human disease, to help reconcile these discrepancies.

In this study, we probe the clinical and functional significance of the IL-22 responsive transcriptional program in diseased tissue of UC patients treated with ustekinumab and in multiple models of colitis. We provide further insights into cytokine-mediated regulation of the intestinal epithelium and how this influences pathogenic pathways and patient outcomes in UC. We show that IL-22 is a functionally important regulator of neutrophil recruitment to the colon by controlling the expression of neutrophil-active CXC-family chemokines. Augmented expression of IL-22 responsive transcripts and increased recruitment of colonic neutrophils is associated with treatment resistance to ustekinumab.

**Results**

**Enrichment of IL-22 responsive transcriptional networks is associated with poor response to ustekinumab therapy in ulcerative colitis**

As IL-22 selectively targets the intestinal epithelium we generated a human mini-gut colonic epithelial organoid system in order to investigate the IL-22 regulated molecular pathways. Colonic organoids were treated with or without human recombinant IL-22, and the IL-22 responsive transcriptome was mapped by RNA-seq (Fig. 1a). IL-22 induced differential expression of 1251 transcripts (upregulated: 579, downregulated: 672, FDR < 0.01) (Fig. 1b). Significantly upregulated transcripts encoded anti-microbial peptides (REGA1, REGIB), mucins (MUC1, MUC4, MUC12), chemokines (CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL5, CXCL8), cytokines (TNF, IFN-γ, IL-18, IL-33), caspase family members (CASP1, CASP4, CASP5, CASP10), matrix metalloproteinases (MMP1, MMP7, MMP10), enzymes involved in the generation of reactive oxygen species (DUOX2, NOX2, SOD2), and immunoregulatory molecules, such as SOCS1, SOCS2, SOCS3 and IDO. Pathway analysis identified tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF) and IFNγ responses among other pro-inflammatory pathways to be enriched in the IL-22 regulated DEG list (Fig. 1c).

Next, we asked whether core IL-22 regulated transcripts were differentially expressed in diseased tissue of UC patients. Principal component analysis based on the expression of the top 50 most highly upregulated genes induced by IL-22 in colonic biopsies showed separation of patients with active UC from those with quiescent (inactive) disease and healthy controls (previously repositioned dataset: GSE59071, Fig. 1d). A similar picture emerged by testing for the activation of this gene set (top 50 upregulated genes by IL-22) using Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) (Fig. 1e). This is an algorithm which tests whether a group (set) of genes is enriched in complex and heterogeneous samples. The enrichment score varies between +1 (upregulated) to −1 (downregulated) and depends on the distribution of gene expression across the samples tested. We validated these findings in endoscopically acquired colonic biopsies sampled from the sigmoid colon of patients with moderate-to-severe UC (n = 550, Supplementary Table 1) enrolled to the UNIFI phase III clinical trial, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of ustekinumab, a monoclonal antibody (mAb) that blocks the p40 subunit shared by the human IL-12 and IL-23 cytokines. Our analysis demonstrated that the transcriptional program regulated by IL-22 (Mann-Whitney, two-tailed test, P < 0.0001, Fig. 1f) was significantly enriched in UC in comparison with non-IBD control subjects.

Although the IL22 responsive transcriptional program was enriched at population level in UC patients, there was considerable variation in magnitude of enrichment. Since it was unlikely that this variation was being driven by differences in disease severity (all patients in the UNIFI trial program had moderate-to-severe UC, with Mayo endoscopy subscores of either 2 or 3), we considered the possibility that this molecular heterogeneity might represent important differences in underlying disease immunobiology. If molecular stratification of UC patients according to the magnitude of IL-22 responsive transcription enrichment was biologically and/or clinically meaningful, we reasoned that UC patients with different degrees of enrichment would experience different outcomes and follow different trajectories. To test this hypothesis, we stratified patients from the UNIFI trial program according to their IL-22 enrichment score (in colonic biopsies sampled immediately prior initiation with ustekinumab) and evaluated whether these differences in molecular phenotype impacted treatment response. Enrichment scores in colonic tissue could differentiate responders and non-responders to ustekinumab induction therapy (including patients on 130 mg and 6 mg/kg dose, (Fig. 1g-i, Supplementary Fig. 1). Remarkably, in comparison with unstratified patients, remission rates in patients with low IL22 enrichment scores (ES < 0) were approximately doubled, including clinical remission (13% vs 25%), mucosal healing (16% vs 26%) and deep remission (a combination of clinical, endoscopic and histologic remission, 12% vs 22%). Conversely, outcomes in UC patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores were broadly comparable to placebo treated patients. In other words, stratification of UC patients according to the magnitude of enrichment of IL-22 responsive transcriptional modules in baseline biopsies sampled at baseline prior to treatment, associates with response to ustekinumab induction therapy.

Interestingly, IL22 enrichment scores were slightly higher in UNIFI participants previously treated with a biologic (anti-TNF), suggesting that high IL-22 enrichment scores may be associated with poor responses to other treatments (Supplementary Fig. 2A). To investigate this possibility, we analyzed four previously published datasets of UC patients treated with other biologics where outcome data and baseline tissue transcriptomic data was available (anti-TNF: GSE23597, GSE16879, GSE92415, vedolizumab: GSE73661). Although there was no significant association of IL-22 enrichment scores with drug response in 3 of these studies, in one study of infliximab treatment, we observed a significant association of IL-22 enrichment scores with primary non-response (Supplementary Fig. 2B). These data suggest that enrichment of IL-22 responsive transcripts in colonic tissue can predict outcomes to ustekinumab and might also portent poor outcomes more generally in UC, including poor responses to other therapies.

**Patient stratification according to the magnitude of enrichment of the IL-22 regulated transcriptional program identifies immunological mechanisms of treatment resistance**

Since patients with the greater enrichment of the IL-22 regulated transcriptome were more likely to experience lack of response to ustekinumab, we reasoned that immunological pathways differentiating these patients from those with low IL-22 enrichment might provide insights into mechanisms of treatment resistance. To probe differences in the molecular profile of patients stratified by IL-22 responsive transcripts, we analyzed genome-wide transcript expression changes in biopsies sampled from UC patients from the
Fig. 1 | Clinical significance of the IL-22 responsive transcriptional network in ulcerative colitis. a Experimental schema of IL-22 stimulation of colonic organoids (n = 4 biological replicates, IL-22 concentration: 10 ng/ml, duration: 24 h). b Volcano plot demonstrating fold change and false discovery rate (FDR) of differentially expressed genes in human colonoids treated with recombinant IL-22. c Pathway analysis of DEG regulated by IL-22 (top 10, hallmark gene sets as defined in MSigDB, NES: normalized enrichment score). d Expression of the top 50 upregulated transcripts regulated by IL-22 in colonic mucosa separates healthy controls (blue, n = 11), patients with endoscopically inactive UC (green, n = 23) and active UC (red, n = 74) (principal component analysis, reposed dataset: GSE50971). e Enrichment of the IL-22 regulated transcriptional program (gene set variation analysis, gene set: top 50 upregulated genes) in the reposed dataset GSE50971 (healthy control: control, iUC-active UC, aUC-active UC, Kruskal–Wallis test, ****p < 0.0001). f Validation of the enrichment for the IL-22 regulated transcriptional program in biopsies taken from healthy controls (control, n = 18) and patients with UC (n = 550) participating in UNIFI trial (Mann–Whitney test, two-sided test, ***p < 0.001). g, h, i Association of the IL-22 enrichment score with clinical outcomes in UNIFI. Clinical remission (defined as a total Mayo score of ≤2 and no subscore >1) and deep remission (which required both clinical remission and mucosal healing defined as histologic improvement [neutrophil infiltration in <5% of crypts, no crypt destruction, and no erosions, ulcerations, or granulation tissue] and endoscopic improvement) at week 8 in UC patients enrolled in the UNIFI clinical trial program stratified according to IL-22 enrichment score in baseline biopsies sampled immediately prior to initiation of ustekinumab (n = 358) or placebo (n = 184). Blue bar shows response rate in placebo-treated UC patients. Gray bar shows response for all patients treated with ustekinumab. Red bars show response for all patients treated with ustekinumab stratified based on the extent of the IL-22 transcriptional program’s activation (ES).
UNIFI program with high IL-22 enrichment scores (IL-22 ES ≥ 0.25) in comparison with patients with low IL-22 enrichment scores (IL-22 ES < 0.25). Canonical Pathway Analysis (IPA, Ingenuity) demonstrated that the most significantly associated biological pathway in patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores was Granulocyte Adhesion and Diapedesis (right-tailed Fisher’s exact test, $P = 1.8 \times 10^{-29}$, Fig. 2a). Other notable associations included multiple Th17 associated pathways, autoimmune diseases, and other pathways that have also previously been linked to treatment resistance including oncostatin M and TREM1 signaling (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 3). We also performed a Downstream Effects Analysis\(^\text{16}\) (IPA, Ingenuity), to predict causal effects and biological processes that were significantly activated in patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores. Overall, there were 245 disease or functional annotations significantly activated in
patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores, encompassing different biological and inflammatory processes. Pathways involving immune cell trafficking were especially activated and comprised the greatest number of functional annotations recorded (Supplementary Fig. 4A, B). In patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores, the highest-ranking causal network associated with cell migration connected 84 nodes, encoding transcripts involved in neutrophil chemotaxis, matrix metalloproteinases, anti-microbial peptides, immunoglobulin Fc receptors and innate-immune response proteins, including IL-1, IL-6, and oncostatin M (Fig. 2b).

To probe which mediators were potentially driving the transcriptional changes observed in patients with ustekinumab resistance, we performed an Upstream Regulator Analysis (IPA, Ingenuity). This algorithm identifies upstream mediators predicted to modulate the expression of transcripts in a user-defined dataset using large-scale causal networks. The top 3 predicted upstream regulators of the gene expression changes observed in colonic biopsies of patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores were lipopolysaccharide (z-score=5.1, right-tailed Fisher’s exact test, P value = 2.76×10$^{-25}$), TNF (z-score = 4.6, right-tailed Fisher’s exact test, P value = 9.7×10$^{-18}$), and IL-1β (z-score = 5.7, right-tailed Fisher’s exact test, P value = 5.72×10$^{-18}$) (Supplementary Fig. 4C).

To gauge the biological impact of these predicted mediators, we performed Regulator Effects analysis (Ingenuity IPA), an algorithm which connects activated regulators with downstream differentially expressed genes in the dataset. All three of the top predicted upstream regulators had closely related, overlapping mechanistic networks, converging around activation of IL1β, and induction of the transcription factors NFKB1, JUN and RELA (Fig. 2c).

These data also offer insights into unexpected observations in our dataset. We initially anticipated that patients with the highest enrichment scores for IL-22 responsive transcripts would respond favorably to ustekinumab, based on the notion that these patients have augmented IL-23/IL-22 axis activity, and hence are more likely to be amenable to IL-23 blockade, since IL-23 is an important driver of IL-22 production$^{10,12}$. However, IL-23 is not the only driver of IL-22 production; other cytokines, such as IL-17, IL-6$^{23,24}$ and TL1A$^5$ can also trigger IL-22 production. Crucially, although there was only a small difference in the expression of transcripts encoding the two subunits of IL-23 (IL-23A and IL-12β) in patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores, there was a substantial increase in the expression of other drivers of IL-22, including IL1β and IL6 (Fig. 2d, Supplementary Fig. 5). One possible explanation for these observations is that IL-23 blockade with ustekinumab is likely to be ineffective in patients with augmented expression of alternative drivers of IL-22 production, such as IL-1β, and are consistent with the possibility of IL-1β being an important driver of ustekinumab resistance, by triggering activation of IL-22 regulated pathways in an IL-23 independent manner.

**IL-22 regulates expression of neutrophil-active chemokines and other pro-inflammatory transcriptional modules in colonic epithelial cells**

Our data imply that IL-22 is potentially involved in mediating a harmful transcriptional program in colonic epithelial cells, and that patients with the greatest magnitude of expression of IL-22 responsive transcripts are likely to be resistant to ustekinumab therapy. To further understand potential pathogenic mechanisms mediated by IL-22, we conducted a more in-depth analysis of IL-22-induced transcriptional changes in colonic organoids at both transcript and pathway level, including a comparison of IL-22 mediated epithelial regulation with changes induced by other cytokines elevated in UC mucosa, including IFNγ, IL-17A, IL-13 and TNF. Canonical Pathway analysis of the IL-22 regulated transcriptional program in colonic epithelial cells confirmed activation of IL-22 signaling and activation of other TREM1 signaling, acute phase response, inflammasome activation, toll-like receptor signaling, Th17 pathway activation and notch signaling (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 6).

Of the 1251 transcripts regulated by IL-22, 322 (26%) were uniquely regulated by IL-22, whereas 1573 (74%) were additionally regulated by other cytokines. At transcript level, the greatest degree of overlap was observed between IL-22 and IFNγ (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Table 2). There was also substantial overlap between DEGs regulated transcripts and transcripts regulated by TNF and IL-17A. Conversely, there was a relatively low co-regulation of transcripts induced by IL-22 and IL-13. A similar pattern was observed at biological pathway level. The majority of canonical pathways regulated by IL-22 were also regulated by IFNγ and TNF, whereas there was little overlap with IL-13-regulated biological pathways (Fig. 3c).

We also examined whether enrichment scores for these other pro-inflammatory cytokines were associated with response to ustekinumab. In the case of IL-13 and IL-17A, there was no association between their enrichment scores and clinical response, mucosal healing or deep remission (Supplementary Fig. 7). Interestingly, enrichment scores for IFNγ, the cytokine which most strongly overlapped with IL-22, was also associated with response to ustekinumab, albeit slightly less than IL-22. TNF enrichment scores were only weakly associated with some, but not all outcomes in response to ustekinumab.

Biological pathway and causal network analysis identified prominent activation of cell trafficking pathways in IL-22 treated organoids, most notably around neutrophil recruitment (Supplementary Fig. 8). Analysis of the top molecular and cellular functions of the gene expression changes induced by IL-22 confirmed a highly significant association with cell movement, which was the most significantly associated annotation. Based on DEGs induced by IL-22, a protein–protein interaction (PPI) network analysis identified cliques of networks regulated by IL-22 in colonoids, and among the most highly complex is a clique of neutrophil attracting chemokines, including CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5, CXCL6 and CXCL8 (Fig. 3d) (Analysis in Cytoscape utilizing the STRING database to generate a PPI network of the IL-22 regulated DEG, complexity assessed by the M-Code algorithm. Colors depict fold changes [log2]).

To further probe how IL-22, and other pro-inflammatory cytokines might impact epithelial regulation of immune cell trafficking we investigated the profile and pattern of chemokine expression in colonoids treated with these different immune cues. Each cytokine studied regulated a unique pattern of chemokine expression (Fig. 3e). IL-22 preferentially upregulated the neutrophil-active CXC-family chemokines CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL4, CXCL5, CXCL6 and CXCL8, a function shared with IL-17A. IFNγ strongly upregulated CXCL9 and CXCL10, whereas IL-13 was only cytokine to strongly upregulate both eosinophil-active chemokines CCL24 and CCL26. In view of the shared regulation of neutrophil-active chemokines by IL-22 and IL-17A, we further investigated how these 2 cytokines might interact by evaluating gene expression changes occurring in colonic organoids treated with a combination of IL-17A and IL-22. Together IL-17A and IL-22 created synergistic effects for induction of CXC-family neutrophil-active chemokines (Fig. 3f).

**The IL-22 regulated transcriptome correlates with colonic neutrophil accumulation which is associated with resistance to ustekinumab therapy in ulcerative colitis**

In keeping with the hypothesis that epithelial-derived IL-22 regulated neutrophil-active chemokines were functionally important in UC pathogenesis, we observed significant upregulation of CXC-family chemokines in the colon of UC patients in comparison with healthy control subjects in 2 independent, large datasets (UNIF and GSE50971, Fig. 4a, b). Moreover, there was a significant positive correlation observed between the IL-22 enrichment score and the enrichment of CXC-family neutrophil-active chemokines (Fig. 4c).
We further investigated this possibility by exploring the relationship between the IL-22 enrichment score and neutrophil recruitment in the colonic mucosa in UC patients in the UNIFI cohort. The histological severity of UC can be scored using haematoxylin and eosin-stained colonic sections using the Geboes score, and severity grading includes an assessment of neutrophil infiltration in the lamina propria (LP) and epithelium. Strikingly, the magnitude of neutrophil infiltration in the epithelium but not the lamina propria correlated with the magnitude of the IL-22 ES (Fig. 4d).

It is possible to estimate the proportion of different immune cell types in tissue sample based on gene expression profiles and cellular deconvolution tools, such as Cibersort. The estimated proportion of neutrophils in colonic tissue in colonic tissue of UC patients from the UNIFI cohort strongly correlated with IL-22 enrichment scores (Fig. 4e). Moreover, the proportion of neutrophils in colonic tissue also differentiated responders and non-responders to ustekinumab, consistent with the possibility that IL-22-driven colonic neutrophil recruitment has an important function in treatment resistance (Fig. 4f).

A gene set of 57 homolog genes, conserved between mouse and human colitis, has been previously been shown to stratify patients with UC in two groups (UC1, UC2) based on response to biological therapy. In that study, the most highly enriched pathways in patients with refractory disease included neutrophil-related pathways and neutrophil degranulation. We found that 19/57 (33%) of these genes were regulated by IL-22 (21.95 fold enrichment, \( P = 1.26 \times 10^{-27} \), hypergeometric test), and there was a positive correlation in the enrichment of those 57 genes with the IL-22 ES in the UNIFI cohort (Fig. 4g), providing further supportive evidence of the association between the IL-22 transcriptional program, neutrophil chemoattraction and refractory disease.

IL-22 mediated remodeling of the colonic epithelial transcriptome is conserved across species at gene and pathway level

Next, we investigated whether IL-22-mediated regulation of neutrophil-active chemokine expression was functionally important in colitis. The first step was to evaluate whether IL-22-mediated regulation of human colonic epithelial function was conserved across species. A comparison...
**Fig. 4** The IL-22 regulated transcriptome correlates with colonic neutrophil accumulation which is associated with resistance to ustekinumab therapy in ulcerative colitis. 

**a** Relative expression of neutrophil attracting chemokines in sigmoid biopsies of UC patients participating to the UNIFI study (n = 550) and non-IBD controls (n = 18) (Mann-Whitney test, two-tailed, no multiple testing correction applied). 

**b** relative expression of the neutrophil attracting chemokines in the colonic mucosa of healthy controls (HC), UC patients with inactive and active disease (GSE50971). 

**c** non-parametric correlation (Spearman two-tailed) between the enrichment score for the IL-22 transcriptional program and the chemokine gene set (CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5, CXCL6, CXCL8), r = 0.54, 95% CI (0.48, 0.60), 

**d** IL-22 enrichment scores stratified by the neutrophil subscore of the Geboes histology score in the epithelium and lamina propria in colonic biopsies sampled from the UNITI cohort prior to starting ustekinumab. A higher subscore reflects an increase in neutrophil infiltration (for lamina propria 0: no increase, 1: mild but unequivocal increase, 2: moderate increase, 3: marked increase; for epithelium 0: none, 1: <5% crypts involved, 2: <50% crypts involved, 3: >50% involved) (Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. **p < 0.0001, ***p < 0.001, line denotes median, n = 550) 

**e** bioinformatically computed proportion of neutrophils (Cibersort) in mucosal biopsies of UC patients participating in the UNIFI trial correlates positively (Spearman correlation, two-tailed) with the IL-22 enrichment score (single sample gene set enrichment analysis-ssGSEA), 

**f** bioinformatically computed proportion of neutrophils (Cibersort) in mucosal biopsies of UC patients participating in the UNIFI trial stratified by response (mucosal healing, week 8) to ustekinumab and healthy controls (HC, n = 18). UC: UC patients n = 358, R responders, NR non-responders, *p < 0.0001 for NR vs. R and for UC vs. HC, Mann-Whitney test, two-tailed, box & whiskers representing interquartile range and overall range, median value depicted with horizontal line, 

**g** non-parametric correlation (Spearman, two-tailed) between the enrichment score for the IL-22 transcriptional program and the enrichment score for the gene set defining UC1 and UC2 populations by Czarnewski et al. (r = 0.79), 95% CI (0.77, 0.83), (FDR false discovery rate). Source data for a, c, d - g are provided as a Source Data file.
of differentially expressed genes and biological pathways induced by IL-22 in human and mouse colonoids, demonstrated significant correlation at both transcript (Spearman, two-tailed, r² = 0.65, P < 0.0001) and pathway (Spearman, two-tailed, r² = 0.79 and P < 0.0001) level (Fig. 5a, b). In mouse colonic organoids, IL-22 selectively induced expression of the neutrophil-active chemokines Cxcl1, Cxcl3 and Cxcl5, with minimal impact on the expression of other CXC-family chemokines (Supplementary Fig. 9A). In the CC family of chemokines, we observed induction of Ccl7 and weaker induction of Ccl2 by IL-22, with little or no impact on other chemokine genes (Supplementary Fig. 9B). We validated these findings using real time PCR, which confirmed time and dose-dependent induction of Cxcl5 (Supplementary Fig. 9C). As observed in human colonoids, IL-22 induced expression of Cxcl1 and Cxcl5, and was synergistically augmented by IL-17A (Fig. 5c). We confirmed these observations at protein level by measuring chemokine production in supernatants of mouse colonic organoids cultured with recombinant mouse cytokines (Fig. 5d).

We investigated whether IL-22 responsive transcripts were enriched in the colon in mouse models of colitis. GSEA demonstrated significant enrichment of the mouse IL-22 responsive transcriptional module across 6 different colitis models (Fig. 5e). Similar to our observations in human UC, there was significant upregulation of the neutrophil-active chemokines Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Cxcl3 and Cxcl5 across all models of colitis tested, indicating that this core chemokine module is conserved in colitis development across species (Fig. 5f).
**IL-22 is a functionally important regulator of neutrophil recruitment in chronic colitis**

Next, we tested the functional significance of IL-22-induced regulation of neutrophil-active chemokines in vivo. Tbx21Δ/Δ Rag2Δ/Δ Ulcerative Colitis (TRUC) mice develop chronic, microbiota-dependent colitis with important parallels with human UC. TRUC mice develop chronic, distal colitis which is dependent on IL-23 and TNF11,29. Neutrophil-active chemokines were among the most elevated transcripts in the colon of TRUC mice (Cxcl5 was 3rd and Cxcl1 the 11th most highly expressed transcripts across the entire genome, Fig. 6a). To test the functional activity of IL-22 in regulating neutrophil-active chemokines we neutralized, genetically disrupted, or administered recombinant IL-22 to TRUC mice. In keeping with IL-22 being an important regulator of neutrophil chemotaxis in the colon, administration of neutralizing antibody blocking IL-22, or genetic deletion of IL-22 resulted in significant loss of Cxcl1 and Cxcl5 expression and a significant reduction in the numbers of neutrophils accumulating in the colon (Fig. 6b–d).

Moreover, administration of recombinant (r) IL-22 reinstated Cxcl1 and Cxcl5 expression and restored excessive neutrophil recruitment in the colon of TRUC IL22−/− mice (Fig. 6b, d).
The functional impact of this axis was also examined by assessing disease activity. IL-22 neutralization or germline deletion of Il22 was associated with a significant reduction in disease features, including reduced colitis scores and reduced colon mass, whereas administration of rIL-22 restored colitis in otherwise disease free TRUC IIL22−/− mice (Fig. 6e and Supplementary Fig. 10).

Cytotoxic lymphocytes are the dominant producers of IL-17A and IL-22 in TRUC disease. Therefore, we purified group 3 ILCs from the colon of TRUC and TRUC IIL22−/− mice and co-cultured them with mouse colonic organoids (Fig. 6f). Unlike IL-22 sufficient ILC3, which induced Cxcl1 and Cxcl5 expression in colon organoids, induction of these chemokine transcripts was significantly diminished in colon organoids co-cultured with IL-22 deficient ILC3 (Fig. 6g).

This is based on the assumption that organoids were the chief source of chemokines in this experimental system. Although we did not formally test whether ILCs were a potential source of chemokines, previously published work has failed to detect the expression of these chemokines in colon ILC3s.

The functional importance of neutrophil recruitment was further probed by blocking CXCR2, the common receptor expressed by neutrophils for CXC-family neutrophil-activating chemokines, including CXC1 and CXC5. In vivo administration of anti-CXCR2 mAbs to TRUC mice significantly attenuated TRUC disease (Fig. 6h, i). Taken together, these data support the notion that IL-22-mediated induction of neutrophil-activating chemokines, including CXCL1 and CXCL5 is functionally important in the recruitment of CXCR2+ neutrophils, and that this pathway has an important pathogenic function in colitis.

IL-22-mediated induction of neutrophil-activating chemokines in colonic epithelial cells is dependent on STAT3 signaling

Next, we sought to define the signaling requirements of IL-22-mediated induction of neutrophil-activating chemokine expression. In the intestinal epithelium ligation of Il-22 with its specific receptor triggers activation of different signaling pathways, including STAT3 and MAP kinases, such as MAP3K8 and MAP3K9. Immunostaining confirmed immunoreactivity for IL22RA1 only in the colonic epithelium of healthy controls and patients with UC (Supplementary Fig. 11). Consistent with STAT3 and MAP3K8 having an important function in epithelial signaling in UC, we also observed increased immunostaining for pSTAT3 and MAP3K8 in the epithelial compartment in patients with active UC in comparison to healthy controls (6% ± SEM0.2% vs. 19% ± SEM7% and 52% ± SEM19% vs. 91% ± SEM6% cells, respectively) (Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 11).

To examine the requirements of STAT3 and MAP3K8 signaling pathways for the IL-22 regulated induction of CXC-family chemokine expression in colonic organoids, we generated colonoids from mice with epithelial-specific deletion of Stat3 (Villin-Cre × Stat3fl/fl mice – subsequently termed Stat3−/−), and from mice with germline deletion in MAP3K8. Unlike colonoid organoids from control mice (Stat3+/+), in which IL-22 induction of Cxcl5 was maintained, there was no induction of Cxcl5 in Stat3−/− organoids (Fig. 7b). In contrast, IL-22 induction of Cxcl5 was maintained in Map3k8−/− colonoids (Fig. 7c).

To further investigate the dependence of colonic epithelial STAT3 activation for CXC-family chemokine induction in the context of colitis, we analyzed genome-wide changes in the epithelial compartment in DSS colitis, taking advantage of microarray data available from a previously published study. In this study, gene expression profiling was performed on purified colonoid epithelial cells from Stat3−/− and control and mice following induction of colitis. STAT3 responsive genes were defined as transcripts upregulated in epithelial cells from control mice that failed to upregulate in the colonic epithelium of mice with epithelial-specific genetic disruption of STAT3. In Stat3−/− mice, there was no expression of several canonical IL-22-regulated genes, such as Reg3b, Reg3g, Fccr2 and Socs3, consistent with STAT3 being required for the induction of these transcripts in the epithelium. Moreover, in agreement with our in vitro observations, there was also lower expression of Cxcl2 and Cxcl5 colonic epithelium from Stat3−/− mice (Fig. 7d). These observations have important clinical implications, as small inhibitors of JAK/STAT signaling are now emerging into clinical practice.

Moreover, in agreement with our in vitro observations, there was also lower expression of Cxcl2 and Cxcl5 colonic epithelium from Stat3−/− mice (Fig. 7d). These observations have important clinical implications, as small inhibitors of JAK/STAT signaling are now emerging into clinical practice. Tofacitinib, a selective JAK1/JAK3 inhibitor, which prevents phosphorylation and activation of STAT3, has recently been approved for UC. Importantly, tofacitinib significantly inhibited IL-22 induced expression of CXCL1 and CXCL5 in human colonoids, indicating that the IL-22/CXC chemokine axis can be therapeutically targeted by JAK/STAT inhibition (Fig. 7e).

Discussion

This study provides important insights into the immune regulation of the intestinal epithelial barrier with clinically meaningful implications for precision medicine. By mapping IL-22-responsive transcriptional networks in colonic epithelial organoids, evaluating the expression patterns of these genes in colonic tissue, and probing preclinical models of disease, we identify IL-22-mediated regulation of CXCR2+ neutrophils as a functionally and prognostically important pathogenic pathway in UC.

The distribution pattern and magnitude of enrichment of IL-22 responsive transcriptional footprints, sampled prior to treatment initiation, could differentiate patients according to their probability of responding to ustekinumab. Although the purpose of this study was not to identify new biomarkers, our data pave the way for exploiting our experimental approach to develop new molecular profiling tools, which could stratify patients as potential responders or non-responders. Precision medicine approaches are much needed in UC to improve patient outcomes, particularly as there are now multiple therapeutic options available.46,47. Unfortunately, fewer than 50% of patients achieve long-term durable remission with any of the treatment options available, including anti-TNF, anti-integrin, anti-IL12p40 or JAK inhibitors48-51. In this study, UC patients with enrichment scores <0 were more than four times as likely to achieve remission following treatment with ustekinumab in comparison with patients with enrichment scores >0, or placebo-treated patients, and more than twice as likely to achieve remission in comparison with unstratified patients. The notion of harnessing transcriptional signatures to guide treatment strategies is highly attractive and is already exploited in other areas of medicine. To realize the potential of these exciting observations future work will focus on developing robust analytical platforms that may be more appropriate for routine clinical care, including PCR-based technologies.

Analysis of transcriptional modules linked to low and high enrichment of IL-22 responsive transcriptional programs, which were associated with non-response to ustekinumab provided insights into potential mechanisms of ustekinumab resistance. IL-1β was strongly predicted as a potential upstream regulator of the transcriptional changes observed in patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores. Moreover, despite minor differences in the expression of IL-23 subunits, there was a marked increase in the expression of IL6, and to a lesser extent IL6 and TL1A in patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores. Since IL-1β, IL-6 and TL1A are all capable of inducing IL-22 production, it is possible that pathway redundancy and IL-23-independent induction of IL-22 might contribute to ustekinumab resistance in ulcerative colitis.

Causal network analyses of transcripts modulated by IL-22 pointed to a number of potentially important molecular mechanisms of ustekinumab resistance. The most strongly implicated biological pathway in patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores was related to immune cell trafficking and most notably neutrophil recruitment. Others included activation of innate-immune pathways, such as TREM1, which has been linked to resistance to anti-TNF therapy. There was also augmented mucosal expression of metalloproteinases in patients with high IL-22 enrichment scores and ustekinumab
resistance, a process which has also been linked to resistance to biological therapy, by direct digestion of the therapeutic monoclonal antibody structure through protease activity.

Our data address outstanding questions about the function of IL-22 in UC and provide important evidence contrary to current perceptions, indicating that transcriptional programs regulated by IL-22 in UC are likely to be pathogenic. The IL-22-regulated transcriptome was highly conserved between man and mouse and was highly enriched in the colon of both human and mouse colitis. IL-22 mediated regulation of epithelial function was closely shared with other pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IFNγ and TNF. Immune cell recruitment to sites of inflammation is regulated by local production of chemokines by the inflamed tissue, and by selective expression of chemokine receptors by immune cells. Our data identify the colonic epithelium as a central communication hub in the regulation of immune cell recruitment to the gut. Different cytokines instructed induction of different chemokine modules by the epithelium, reflecting important qualitative differences in the types of immune responses that are supported by different effector cells. IL-22 was an especially potent inducer of CXC-family neutrophil-active chemokines in colonic organoids, and notably, these chemokines were highly enriched in diseased mucosa of patients with active UC. Importantly, the transcriptional footprint of IL-22 strongly correlated with colonic neutrophil recruitment in the epithelial layer. Moreover, patients failing to respond to ustekinumab therapy had significantly more neutrophils infiltrating the mucosa in comparison with responders, consistent with excessive neutrophil recruitment being an important driver of poor outcomes and treatment resistance. A recent study looking at overlapping transcriptional programs...
features between mice and humans identified a conserved transcriptomic signature that strongly associated with poor outcomes and treatment refractoriness in UC also identified neutrophil-related biological pathways as markers of resistance\(^ {26}\). There was significant overlap in the transcriptional signature identified by this group, and transcripts that we identified as being IL-22-responsive. As well as corroborating the potential importance of excessive neutrophil accumulation/activation as markers of poor outcomes and treatment resistance in UC, our data provide potential mechanistic explanations for these observations, identifying IL-22 as a potentially important regulator of the “treatment resistance” transcriptional program identified by the Czarnewski study.

Our data also support the notion that IL-22-mediated induction of CXC-family chemokines is functionally important in the recruitment of CXCR2\(^ +\) neutrophils to the colon in chronic colitis. Blockade, genetic deletion of IL-22, or blockade of CXCR2, the common ligand for the CXC family of neutrophil-active chemokines, significantly attenuated disease in the TRUC model of chronic colitis. We also defined the signaling requirements for this functionally important colitogenic pathway. Although IL-22 induction of chemokines was maintained in the absence of Map3k8, induction was abolished in the absence of Stat3. With the advent of JAK inhibitors, including agents selectively targeting JAK1, which interacts with STAT3 downstream of the IL-22 receptor, it is tempting to speculate that a key therapeutic target of this intervention will be alleviation of IL-22-induced CXC-family chemokine induction.

In summary, our study provides further insights into the biology of IL-22 in human disease and highlights how transcriptional networks regulated by IL-22 are functionally and clinically important in UC, impacting patient trajectories and responsiveness to biological intervention. Refinement of this approach could herald a new paradigm for tailoring therapies in UC.

**Methods**

The research work described in this paper complies with all ethical regulations relevant to human and animal research. Ethical approval for human samples used for colonoids were provided by King’s College London, Guy’s and St Thomas’ NHS Foundation Trust and King’s College London Hospital. The national research ethics committee for England reviewed and approved the study protocol (IRAS id:190309). All patients provided samples after informed consent. No compensation was provided. Ethical approval for the immunohistochemistry work on paraffin-embedded tissue of patients was provided by the Newcastle Academic Health Partners Bioresource (Newcastle and North Tyneside REC:12/NE/0395 & 10/H0906/41).

All mice were handled according to local (King’s College London) and national guidelines, and all our experimental protocols were reviewed and approved by our local ethics review committee. All animal experiments were conducted in accredited facilities (King’s College London, Biological services Unit) in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (Home Office license number PPL 70/7869).

A list of reagents is provided as supplementary information (Supplementary Table 3).

**Colonoids**

Human colonic crypts were isolated from serial colonic biopsies (x2 ascending colon, x2 transverse, x2 descending, x2 rectosigmoid) taken from six adult individuals (median age: 48, range [33,67], female:3), without past medical history or regular medication who attended for routine colonoscopy in view of abdominal symptoms without a diagnosis of IBD and did not have macroscopic or microscopic evidence of inflammation. All patients provided informed consent (NRES/IRAS id: 15/LO/1998). Subsequent establishment of human colonoids was performed as described by Sato et al.\(^ {61}\). The crypts were cultured in growth medium containing advanced Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/f12, penicillin/streptomycin (100 U/ml), 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM Glutamax, supplements N2 (x2) and B27 (x2). 50 ng/ml mouse epidermal growth factor (all from Life Technologies), 1 mM N-acetylcysteine (Sigma-Aldrich), 50% v/v Wnt3a conditioned medium, 10% v/v R-spondin 1 conditioned medium, 100 ng/ml mouse recombinant noggin protein (Peprotech), 10 mM gastrin (Sigma-Aldrich), 500 nM AS3-01 (Bio-technne), 10 μM SB202190 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 mM Nicotinamide (Sigma-Aldrich). 10 μM Y-27632 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the culture medium for the initial 3 days. Medium was changed every 2 days. Differentiation towards a mature epithelium in human colonoids was achieved with reduction of Wnt3a to 15% v/v and withdrawal of SB202190 and nicotinamide for 5–7 days. During the last 24 h in differentiation medium human colonoids were treated with human recombinant IL-22 (10 ng/ml), IL-17A (50 ng/ml), TNF (10 ng/ml), IFNγ (20 ng/ml), IL-13 (10 ng/ml) and IL-22 (10 ng/ml)/IL-17A (50 ng/ml) combination. For the experiment presented in Fig. 6 were used as described by Sato et al.\(^ {43}\). The crypts were cultured in growth medium containing advanced Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/f12, penicillin/streptomycin (100 U/ml), 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM Glutamax, supplements N2 (x2) and B27 (x2). 50 ng/ml mouse epidermal growth factor (all from Life Technologies), 1 mM N-acetylcysteine (Sigma-Aldrich), 50% v/v Wnt3a conditioned medium, 10% v/v R-spondin 1 conditioned medium, 100 ng/ml mouse recombinant noggin protein (Peprotech), 10 mM gastrin (Sigma-Aldrich), 500 nM AS3-01 (Bio-technne), 10 μM SB202190 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 mM Nicotinamide (Sigma-Aldrich). 10 μM Y-27632 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the culture medium for the initial 3 days. Medium was changed every 2 days. Differentiation towards a mature epithelium in human colonoids was achieved with reduction of Wnt3a to 15% v/v and withdrawal of SB202190 and nicotinamide for 5–7 days. During the last 24 h in differentiation medium human colonoids were treated with human recombinant IL-22 (10 ng/ml), IL-17A (50 ng/ml) and IL-22 (10 ng/ml) combination.

Next-generation sequencing and analysis

**RNA extraction.** Colonoids were lysed and RNA was extracted using the RNAeasy kit (Qiagen). This step was optimized balancing the effectiveness of elimination of DNA quantified (Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit) and the loss of quantity of RNA (Qubit RNA BR assay kit). Optimal DNase I concentration was determined to be x5 the standard concentration. Five hundred nanograms of cDNA was then created using Revertaid cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoFisher) and diluted to a concentration. The RNA was then treated with Revertaid cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoFisher). Bioanalyzer analysis revealed excellent quality for RNA extracted from both colonoids and whole biopsies (RIN score>9).

**Library preparation and sequencing.** A total amount of 3 μg RNA per sample was used as input material for the RNA sample preparations. Sequencing libraries were generated using NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA) following manufacturer’s recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. Briefly, mRNA was purified from total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Fragmentation was carried out using divalent cations under elevated temperature in NEBNext First Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer (5X). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using random hexamer primer and M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (RNase H-). Second strand cDNA synthesis was then performed using DNA Polymerase I and RNase H. Remaining overhangs were converted into blunt ends via exonuclease/polymerase reactions. After adenylation of 3’ ends of DNA fragments, NEBNext Adaptor with hairpin loop structure were ligated to prepare for hybridization. In order to select cDNA fragments of preferentially 150–200 bp in length, the library fragments were purified with AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA). Then 3 μl USER Enzyme (NEB, USA) was used with size-selected, adapter-ligated cDNA at 37 °C for 15 min followed by 5 min at 95 °C before PCR. Then PCR was performed with Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase, Universal PCR primers and Index (X) Primer. At last, PCR products were purified (AMPure XP system) and library quality was assessed on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. The clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot
Cluster Generation System using HiSeq PE Cluster Kit cBot-HS (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After cluster generation, the paired-end libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq platform.

**Gene expression quantification and differential expression analysis.** Fastq files were firstly processed with in-house Perl scripts to discard reads with adapter contamination, or at least 10% of uncertain bases (N), or at least 50% of mates with a Phred quality score less than 20. Read pairs were aligned to the human genome (GRCh37/hg19) using TopHat v2.0.124. HTSeq v0.6.1 was used to count the read pairs mapped uniquely and concordantly to each gene45. The raw count matrix was screened for genes with low expression levels across all samples (i.e. average count less than 3), and then with an average number of read pairs less than 3 were filtered out normalized following the strategy suggested by Anders et al.49.

Differentially expressed genes (DEG) were identified through a varying intercepts hierarchical modeling approach46–48 implemented in R48 and Stan49. Gene counts were modeled as a negative binomial variable dependent on cytokine treatment as well as covariates accounting for repeated measurements from the same donor and additional sample similarities detected by PCA and hierarchical clustering:

\[ \mu_i = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{pi} + \beta_{ci} + \beta_{ti} \]  

(1)

\[ y_i \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(\exp(\mu_i), \varphi) \]  

(2)

\[ \beta_{pi} \sim \text{Normal}(0, \sigma_1) \]  

(3)

\[ \beta_{ci} \sim \text{Normal}(0, \sigma_2) \]  

(4)

\[ \beta_{ti} \sim \text{Normal}(0, \sigma_3) \]  

(5)

where:

- \( t \) = number of groups on treatment (Eq. 3), \( p \) = number of subjects (Eq. 4), \( c \) = number of clusters (Eq. 5), \( i \) = number of observations (Eq. 1), \( y \) = gene expression count (Eq. 2), \( \varphi \) = overdispersion parameter (Eq. 2), \( \sigma_1 \) = between treatment standard deviation (Eq. 3), \( \sigma_2 \) = between-subject standard deviation (Eq. 4), \( \sigma_3 \) = between cluster standard deviation (Eq. 5).

The quality of the estimated statistical model was assessed through posterior predictive simulations that compare replicated datasets to the actual data. The output \( P \) values were corrected for multiple testing with the Benjamini and Hochberg method60. Pathway analysis of DEG lists was performed with Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Qiagen)62. Protein–protein interaction (PPI) analysis was undertaken in Cytoscape51 utilizing the STRING database52 to generate a PPI network of the IL-22 regulated DEG and assess complexity by the M-Code algorithm.

**Gene set enrichment analysis.** To test the activation of each of the cytokine-regulated transcriptional programs we used gene set variation analysis (GSVA)31 and single sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) to probe whole transcriptional profiles of previously reposited datasets and the dataset generated in the context of the ustekinumab and golimumab trials programs.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)34 was performed using the R Bioconductor package clusterProfiler1 to test which known pathways are significantly impacted following cytokine treatment. To this end, the genes tested for differential expression between treated and untreated samples were first ranked by decreasing log fold expression changes, and then their enrichment was evaluated against the hallmark gene sets and KEGG and REACTOME pathway annotations from the MSigDB database.66 Normalized enrichment scores and empirical \( P \) values were estimated using default parameters, and multiple testing correction was carried out using the Benjamini–Hochberg method.

**UNIFI trial program.** The UNIFI trial was a randomized placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of ustekinumab (NCT02407236) and has already been reported.68 In this study, we report transcriptional data from biopsies, which were correlated to clinical, endoscopic and biomarker data available from the UNIFI cohort (Supplementary Table 1, Demographics). Colonic biopsies were sampled at defined time points (15–20 cm from anal verge) in a subset of patients and were immediately transferred to RNAlater (Qiagen) and stored at −80 °C prior to RNA extraction. Whole genome transcriptomics were performed on the Affymetrix HG-U133 PM array. Clinical data was recorded prospectively according to the trial protocol. Outcomes reported include: clinical remission (defined as a total Mayo score of ≤2 and no subscore >1) and deep remission (which required both histologic improvement (defined as neutrophil infiltration in <5% of crypts, no crypt destruction, and no erosions, ulcerations, or granulation tissue) and endoscopic improvement) at week 8. The analysis presented is based on all patients receiving ustekinumab regardless of dose (130 mg and 6 mg/kg).

**Reposited datasets.** The following reposited datasets of transcriptomic profiling of patients and healthy controls were accessed and analyzed for this manuscript: GSE59071 (n = 108), GSE23597 (n = 45), GSE16879 (n = 24), GSE92415 (n = 59) and GSE73661 (n = 60).

**Human colonic biopsy immunohistochemistry.** Immunohistochemistry was performed on formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) colonic biopsies obtained by colonoscopy from adult patients with histologically active UC (n = 5), histologically inactive UC (n = 5) and control subjects with no history of UC and no histological inflammation (n = 5). Written informed consent was obtained in accordance with research and ethics committee (REC) approval (Newcastle Academic Health Partners Bioresource: Newcastle and North Tyneside 1 REC:12/NE/0395 & 10/H0906/41). 4μm sections of FFPE tissue were stained using a Discovery Ultra autostainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ) with optimized concentrations of polyclonal anti-IL22RA1 (1:750 dilution, Novus Biologicals). Slides were developed with 3,3′ Diaminobenzidine (DAB) and counterstained with hematoxylin. Multispectral scanning of stained slides at 10X magnification was undertaken using a Vectra 3.0 Automated Quantitative Pathology Imaging System (PerkinElmer, Hopkinton, MA). InForm Cell Analysis software (v2.0, PerkinElmer) allowed image deconvolution using a spectral library trained on single-stained colonic biopsies for both DAB and Hematoxylin counterstain. Intestinal epithelium and lamina propria were identified by training a tissue segmentation algorithm, which was then applied to all cases, and the accuracy of segmentation was optimized by manual correction. Individual cells in both tissue compartments were identified using a cell segmentation algorithm, based upon the identification of nuclei (hematoxylin). The relative expression of IL22RA1 (membranous/cytoplasmic) was scored in each case and using a binary approach (positive/negative); visual cues were used to distinguish positive staining compared to background, and thresholds were assigned. These data were exported and compiled in MATLAB (v2016b MathWorks, Natick, MA).

**Experimental models of IBD**  

**Mice.** All mice were housed in specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions and handled according to local (KCL) and national guidelines. All experimental protocols were reviewed and approved by our local ethics review committee and experiments were conducted in accredited facilities in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific...
conditions. Colons were opened longitudinally, cleaned thoroughly and then dissected in a laminar flow cabinet under aseptic conditions. Colonies were then vortexed vigorously for 10 sec and passed through a 100 μm cell strainer and collected in C-tubes (Milenyi) in complete RPMI (Gibco) containing 10% fetal calf serum, 0.25 mg/ml Collagenase D (Roche), 1.5 mg/ml Dispase II (Roche) and 0.01 μg/ml DNase (Roche) and put in a shaking water bath (300 rpm) at 37 °C for 40 min. Before and after the 40 min incubation, C-tubes were vigorously shaken for 30 s. Solutions were then passed through 100 μm cell strainers and washed with ice-cold PBS. Cells were resuspended in 10 ml of the 40% fraction of a 40:80 Percoll (GE Healthcare) gradient and carefully placed on top of 5 ml of the 80% fraction in 15 ml tubes. Percoll gradient separation was performed by 20 min centrifugation at 800 × g at room temperature without break. LP cells were collected from the interface of the gradient and washed with ice-cold PBS. Cells were resuspended in 1 ml PBS, counted and immediately used for further experiments.

Flow cytometry. Single cell suspensions were washed with ice-cold PBS and centrifugation at 400 × g, 4 °C for 5 min prior to all staining. Cells were then resuspended in 200 μl PBS containing Fc block (aCD32/CD16, eBioscience) at 1:100 dilution and incubated on ice for 10 min. Antibodies against all surface markers were added at appropriate dilutions as well as LIVE/DEAD Fixable Dead Cell Stain (Invitrogen) used in 1:1000. Samples were mixed by vortex and incubated for another 20 min on ice in the dark. After the incubation, cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and centrifugation at 400 × g, 4 °C for 5 min and then fixed with 400 μl of 4% PFA and incubated at RT for 15 min in the dark. After fixation, cells were washed again with ice-cold PSB, resuspended in 150–200 μl PBS and stored at 4 °C in the dark awaiting sample acquisition. A representative gating strategy for LP neutrophils is provided in Supplementary Fig. 12. All samples were acquired on a BD LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences) at the Biomedical Research Council (BRC) Flow Core (15th Floor, Tower Wing, Guy’s Hospital). Data were analyzed using Flowjo software (Treestar).

Cell sorting. To obtain a pure population of naive CD4+ T cells from the spleen, splenic single cell suspensions were first treated with ACK buffer for red blood cell lysis, enriched for CD4+ cells using immunomagnetic-based cell separation and then stained with mAbs against CD4, CD25, CD44 and CD62L and LIVE/DEAD Fixable Dead Cell Stain (Invitrogen, UK) as described above. Naive CD4+ T cells were defined as live CD4+CD25−CD44−CD62L+ cells. Purity checks were performed after every sort and purity was always found to be above 97%. To obtain pure populations of colonic NCR-ILC3s, cLPMCs were stained with mAbs against CD45, CD90, CD127, KLRG1 and Nkp46 and Live/Dead dye as described above. NCR-ILC3s were defined as live CD45−CD90−CD127−KLRG1+ Nkp46+ cells (Supplementary Fig. 13). All sorts were performed on BD Aria I, BD Aria II or BD Aria Fusion (BD Biosciences) at the BRC Flow Core (15th Floor, Tower Wing, Guy’s Hospital).

Cell cultures. Sorted NCR-ILC3s isolated from the colon of TRUC or TRUC Il22+ mice were cultured for 24 h in complete RPMI (Gibco) containing 10% FCS in the presence or absence of 10 ng/ml IL-23 and 10 ng/ml IL-1β unless stated otherwise. For the co-culture experiments NCR-ILC3s isolated from the colon of TRUC or TRUC Il22+ mice were activated for 48 h with 20 ng/ml IL-2, 50 ng/ml IL-7, 10 ng/ml IL-23 and 10 ng/ml IL-1β prior to being co-cultured with colonoids.

ELISA. Cytokine concentrations in supernatants (S/NS) of either stimulated cell cultures or explant cultures were measured by ELISA. At the endpoint, S/NS were harvested and stored at −20 °C pending further analysis. ELISA kits were purchased from eBioscience and ELISAs were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Cytokine concentrations were determined within the linear phase of a standard curve made with known cytokine concentrations provided by the supplier.
Gene expression analysis. Colonoids or sorted cells were lysed in 1 mL TRIsure (Bioline) and stored at −80 °C pending further processing. Samples were left to thaw at RT and homogenized by vortex for 10 s. To extract the RNA, 200 μl of chloroform were added to each sample followed by 10 s vortex and 15 min incubation at RT. Samples were centrifuged at max speed for 15 min at 4°C and the clear S/N phase containing the RNA was transferred to new 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and then mixed with equal volume of isopropanol. Samples were vortex and then left at RT for 10 min, followed by 8 min centrifugation at max speed at 4 °C. RNA pellets were rinsed with 0.5 ml of 75% EtOH and left to airdry at RT. Depending on pellet size; RNA was dissolved in 10-100 μl of RNase/DNase free H2O and stored at −80 °C awaiting further analysis. Concentration of RNA in each sample was measured using NanoDrop. 11 μl of RNA sample (always containing the same amount of RNA across all samples of the same experiment) that was always less that 4 μg RNA, were mixed with 1 μl oligo DT and incubated at 65 °C for 5 min. At the end of the incubation, RNA samples were mixed with 8 μl of reverse transcriptase mix containing 4 μl Buffer 5×, 1 μl RNase Inhibitor (RI) at 20 U/μl, 2 μl dNTPs and 1 μl Reverse Transcriptase (RT). Reverse transcription was then accomplished by incubating RNA samples at 42°C for 1 h followed by 65 °C for 5 min and then 4°C forever. cDNA samples (20 μl) were stored at −20°C until further use. Quantitative PCR was performed using Quantitect primers (Qiagen) and Quantitect Sybr-Green MasterMix (Qiagen) on a LightCycler 480 (Roche). Samples were analyzed in triplicates and relative expression of mRNAs was determined after normalization against the housekeeping gene Beta-2-Microglobulin (B2M).

Microarray analysis. RNA from colonic tissue fragments (distal region) was extracted using TRIsure (Bioline) as described above. Contaminating DNA was removed with the RNase-Free DNAse Set (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, cDNA was synthesized using Ovation PicoSL WTA System V2 according to the manufacturer instructions. RNA samples were mixed with 8 μl of reverse transcription mix containing 4 μl Buffer 5×, 1 μl RNase Inhibitor (RI) at 20 U/μl, 2 μl dNTPs and 1 μl Reverse Transcriptase (RT). Reverse transcription was then accomplished by incubating RNA samples at 42°C for 1 h followed by 65 °C for 5 min and then 4°C forever. cDNA samples (20 μl) were stored at −20°C until further use. Quantitative PCR was performed using QuantiTect primers (Qiagen) and Quantitect Sybr-Green MasterMix (Qiagen) on a LightCycler 480 (Roche). Samples were analyzed in triplicates and relative expression of mRNAs was determined after normalization against the housekeeping gene Beta-2-Microglobulin (B2M).

Statistical analysis

All graphs were generated and analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8 software. Data represent median and interquartile range unless stated otherwise. Statistical analysis was performed using non-parametric Mann-Whitney test or Kruskal–Wallis test unless stated otherwise. Statistical significance was indicated using * for p values less than 0.05, ** for p values less than 0.01 and *** for p values less than 0.001 unless stated otherwise.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The human organoid data generated in this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession codes GSE190705 and GSE190634. The mouse colitis models data generated in this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession code GSE208395. The UNIF1 microarray data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession code GSE206285. The following repositioned datasets of transcriptomic profiling of patients and healthy controls were accessed and analyzed for this manuscript: GSE39071 (n = 108)39, GSE23597 (n = 45)38, GSE16879 (n = 24)39, GSE92415 (n = 59) and GSE73661 (n = 60)40. Source data are provided with this paper.
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