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ABSTRACT

We present a photometric study of the globular clusters (GCs) in the Virgo giant elliptical galaxy M86 based on Washington $CT_1$ images. The colors of the GCs in M86 show a bimodal distribution with a blue peak at $(C - T_1) = 1.30$ and a red peak at $(C - T_1) = 1.72$. The spatial distribution of the red GCs is elongated similar to that of the stellar halo, while that of the blue GCs is roughly circular. The radial number density profile of the blue GCs is more extended than that of the red GCs. The radial number density profile of the red GCs is consistent with the surface brightness profile of the M86 stellar halo. The GC system has a negative radial color gradient, which is mainly due to the number ratio of the blue GCs to the red GCs increasing as galactocentric radius increases. The bright blue GCs in the outer region of M86 show a blue tilt: the brighter they are, the redder their mean colors get. These results are discussed in comparison with other Virgo giant elliptical galaxies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Thousands of globular clusters (GCs) are found in a giant elliptical galaxy (gE) and they are found to be located from the center to the outer halo of their host galaxy (Lee 2003; Brodie & Strader 2006). Therefore, the GCs in gEs are a powerful tool to study the structure and evolution of the GC system itself as well as their host galaxy.

M86 (NGC 4406, VCC 881) is a famous gE located close to the center region of the Virgo galaxy cluster in the sky and is known to be infalling to the Virgo center with the relative velocity of about 1300 km s$^{-1}$. It is also known early to be abundant with GCs (Hanes 1977). There are several photometric studies for the GCs in M86 in the literature. Cohen (1988) presented $griz$ photometry of GCs at $R < 7'$ in M86 obtained at the Hale 5 m telescope. She found that the GC system of M86 is similarly extended as the stellar light, and that there is no detectable radial color gradient.

From HST/WFPC2 $VI$ observation of the central region of M86, Nielsen & Tsvetanov (1999) reported that the color distribution of the GCs in M86 shows a single peak. However, Kundu & Whitmore (2001) and Larsen et al. (2001) showed later, using the same data as used in HST/WFPC2 by Nielsen & Tsvetanov (1999), that the color distribution of the GCs in M86 is bimodal. Later Peng et al. (2006) confirmed, from HST/ACS $gz$ observation, that the color distribution of M86 GCs is bimodal.

Jordán et al. (2009) and Villegas et al. (2010) derived a luminosity function of M86 GCs from the same HST/ACS images, and obtained a similar Gaussian peak value at $g$-band, $\mu_g = 23.950 \pm 0.097$ and $23.887 \pm 0.087$, respectively. Peng et al. (2008) estimated the specific frequency of M86 GCs, $S_N = 2.57 \pm 0.12$, from the HST/ACS images. On the other hand, Rhode & Zepf (2004) investigated M86 GCs using the wide field (36′ × 36′) $BVRI$ images obtained using the KPNO 4 m Mayall telescope. They found again that M86 GCs show a bimodal color distribution and that they show a modest negative color gradient with galactocentric radius. They derived a specific frequency of $S_N = 3.5 \pm 0.5$, which is larger than the value derived from the central region by Peng et al. (2008).

However, previous studies based on HST data covered only a small central region of M86 and those based on ground-based data did not investigate the properties of sub-populations in the GC system and the spatial distribution of the GCs in M86. Here we investigate the detailed properties of the M86 GC system using Washington photometry derived from deep and wide CCD images. The Washington filter system with a wide bandwidth is known to be very sensitive to measuring the metallicity of the GCs (Geisler & Forte 1990) so that it is useful for studying the properties of sub-populations of the GCs. We adopt a distance to M86, derived from the surface brightness fluctuation method by Mei et al. (2007), 16.9 Mpc ($\mu = 31.13 \pm 0.07$). At this distance one arcsec corresponds to a linear scale of 81 pc. The basic information of M86 is listed in Table 1.
This paper is composed as follows. Section 2 describes observations and data reduction. In section 3, we present the color-magnitude diagram and color distribution of the M86 GCs. We investigate the spatial distribution of the GC system as well as the radial variation of number densities and colors of the GCs. In section 4, we discuss our results and their implication in comparison with other Virgo gE studies. Primary results are summarized in section 5.

2. OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1 Observations

The images of M86 were obtained with the 2048 × 2048 pixels CCD camera (the pixel scale is 0.47 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\)) at the KPNO 4 m telescope on the photometric night of April 9, 1997. These images were taken with the Washington \(C\) and Kron-Cousins \(R\) filters. The \(R\) filter was used instead of the Washington \(T_1\) filter, as done in most recent Washington studies (Geisler 1996; Lee et al. 1998; Harris et al. 2004; Dirsch et al. 2005; Bassino et al. 2006; Forte et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2008), because the sensitivity of the Kron-Cousins \(R\) filter is about three times larger than that of the \(T_1\) filter, while the effective wavelengths of two filters are very similar as \(R = T_1 + 0.003 - 0.017(C - T_1)\) with rms=0.02 Geisler (1996). Hereafter we call this \(R\) filter as \(T_1\) filter in the rest of this paper.

Table 2 shows the observation log. The size of the field of view is 16′ × 16′, and the seeing range is from 1′′.0 to 1′′.2. Exposure times are 60 s and 4 × 1500 s for \(C\), and 30 s and 2 × 1000 s for \(T_1\). Several Washington standard fields in Geisler (1996) were also observed during the observing run.

2.2 Point Source Photometry

Using the IRAF software, each frame was bias-subtracted and flat-fielded. Then the long exposure images of two \(T_1\)-bands and four \(C\)-bands were combined with average and median procedure, respectively. Fig. 1 displays a \(T_1\) image of M86 taken with the short exposure.

The GCs at the M86 distance appear as point sources in the KPNO images so that we derived photometry of the point sources from the images as follows. First, we derived isophotal model images of M86 with ELLIPSE task in IRAF/STSDAS. Then we subtracted these images from the original images to detect better point sources in the images. Next we carried out the point-spread function (PSF) photometry on the subtracted images using the DAOPHOT/ALLFRAME (Stetson 1994). Then we derived the aperture correction using the photometry of several isolated bright stars and applied it to the PSF-fitting magnitudes: 0.013±0.006 and 0.019±0.004 for short and long exposure \(C\) images and −0.025±0.008 and 0.022±0.003 for short and long exposure \(T_1\) images. Finally, we transformed the instrumental magnitudes of the sources onto the standard system using the transformation equations (April 9) given in section 2.3 by Lee et al. (2008). We selected the point sources among the detected sources using the morphological classifier \(r_{-2}\) moment Kron (1980). We considered the sources with \(r_{-2} < 1.27\) as the point sources. Fig. 2 displays the mean errors of \(T_1\) and \((C - T_1)\) versus \(T_1\) magnitude, and Table 3 lists the \(CT_1\) photometry catalog of only 4351 point sources with error \((C - T_1) < 0.3\) measured in the KPNO images.

The central region at \(R < 1\) arcmin in the long exposure images was saturated and the short exposure images are too shallow. Therefore, we used HST photometry available in Jordán et al. (2009) for the analysis of the central region of M86. Jordán et al. (2009) provides a photometric catalog of GCs in 100 early-type galaxies in Virgo including M86, derived from the HST/ACSVirgo Cluster Survey (ACSVCS). Their catalog includes \(g\) and \(z\) magnitudes, and the probability for GC classification (pGC). GCs appear as extended objects in the ACS images and the sources with pGC > 0.5 are considered as GC candidates.

We derived the transformation between \((C - T_1)\) colors and \((g - z)\) colors for the bright objects (with \(T_1 < 22.0\) mag, error \((C - T_1) < 0.08\) and 1.1 < \((C - T_1) < 2.1\)), using the common objects between the KPNO results and the ACSVCS catalog. Fig. 3 shows the relations for colors and magnitudes. There are several outliers, which are due to being located near saturated sky levels or having nearby sources. We fitted the data after excluding these outliers. Linear fitting to the data yields \((g - z) = 0.773(C - T_1) - 0.118\) with rms=0.068, and \(g = 0.998T_1 + 0.911\) with rms=0.109.
Table 1.
Basic information on M86

| Parameters                        | Values                        | References |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| R.A., Decl. (J2000)              | $12^h\ 26^m\ 11.743^s$, $+12^\circ\ 56'\ 46.40''$ | 1          |
| Total magnitudes                 | $V_T = 8.90 \pm 0.05$, $B_T = 9.83 \pm 0.05$ | 2          |
| Foreground reddening, $E(B-V)$  | 0.030                         | 3          |
| Distance, $d$                    | 16.86 Mpc ($((m - M)_0 = 31.13 \pm 0.07$) | 4          |
| Systemic radial velocity, $v_p$ | $-244 \pm 5$ km s$^{-1}$      | 5          |
| Effective radius, $R_{eff}$     | 3.59 arcmin ($C$), 3.14 arcmin ($T_1$) | 6          |
| Effective ellipticity, $\epsilon_{eff}$ | 0.36 ($C$), 0.33 ($T_1$) | 6          |
| Effective P.A., $\Theta_{eff}$  | 119 deg ($C,T_1$)             | 6          |
| Standard radius, $R_{25}$       | 4.88 arcmin ($C$), 9.64 arcmin ($T_1$) | 6          |
| Standard ellipticity, $\epsilon_{25}$ | 0.40 ($C,T_1$) | 6          |
| Standard P.A., $\Theta_{25}$   | 124 deg ($C,T_1$)             | 6          |

References.—(1) NASA Extragalactic Database; (2) de Vaucouleurs et al. (1991); (3) Schlegel et al. (1998); (4) Mei et al. (2007); (5) Smith et al. (2000); (6) This study.

Table 2.
Observation log

| Target | Filter | $T_{(exp)}$ (sec) | Airmass | Seeing ($''$) | Date (UT) |
|--------|--------|-------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| M86    | C      | 60                | 1.12    | 1.13         | 1997 Apr 9|
| M86    | R      | 30                | 1.06    | 0.99         | 1997 Apr 9|
| M86    | C      | $4 \times 1500$  | 1.06    | 1.13         | 1997 Apr 9|
| M86    | R      | $2 \times 1000$  | 1.21    | 1.22         | 1997 Apr 9|

Table 3.
A catalog of Washington photometry for the point sources in M86

| ID | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | $T_1 \pm$error (mag) | $(C - T_1) \pm$error (mag) |
|----|-------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1  | 12:26:21:75 | 12:52:40:7    | 17.746 ± 0.011       | 2.883 ± 0.033               |
| 2  | 12:26:03:70 | 12:59:57:5    | 17.888 ± 0.023       | 2.750 ± 0.030               |
| 4  | 12:26:13:36 | 13:05:11:7    | 17.992 ± 0.010       | 0.777 ± 0.015               |
| 5  | 12:26:28:38 | 13:00:52:8    | 18.045 ± 0.014       | 0.792 ± 0.025               |
| 6  | 12:26:17:03 | 13:06:00:4    | 18.195 ± 0.011       | 2.163 ± 0.022               |

$^a$The complete version of this table is in the electronic edition of the Journal. The printed edition contains only a sample.
Fig. 2.— Mean photometric errors of $T_1$ magnitude and $(C-T_1)$ color for the point sources obtained from the long exposure images. The circles with error bars, triangles, and squares represent the mean errors of all point sources, the blue GCs ($1.0 < (C-T_1) < 1.55$), and the red GCs ($1.55 < (C-T_1) < 2.1$), respectively.

Fig. 3.— Comparison of $(C-T_1)$ with $(g-z)$ (a) and $T_1$ magnitude with $g$ magnitude (b). The solid lines represent the linear least-squares fits for error $(C-T_1) < 0.08$ and $T_1 < 22.0$, except for the outliers indicated by the crosses.

2.3 Completeness of the Photometry

We estimated the completeness of the KPNO photometry using DAOPHOT/ADDSTAR, which is designed for the artificial star experiment. Firstly, we generated a set of artificial stars whose color-magnitude diagrams and luminosity functions are similar to those of the detected point sources, using the PSFs derived from the long exposure images. Next we added them to the real image avoiding the position of detected real sources. We obtained 50 artificial images, each of which includes 1200 artificial stars for each filter so that the total number of added artificial stars is 60,000. We applied the same photometry procedure to the artificial images as used for the real image. From these results, we estimated the completeness, which is the number ratio of the recovered artificial stars to the added artificial stars.

Fig. 4 displays the completeness of $C$ and $T_1$ magnitude measured for the point sources. This figure shows the completeness for the entire region and four radial bins: $1' < R < 3'$, $3' < R < 5'$, $5' < R < 7'$, and $7' < R < 9'$. The 90% completeness is located at $T_1 = 23.8$ ($C = 25.1$) for the outer region at $R > 3'$ and $T_1 = 23.5$ ($C = 24.9$) for the inner region at $1' < R < 3'$. The photometric limit levels with 50% completeness are $T_1 = 24.7$ ($C = 25.9$) for the outer region at $R > 3'$ and $T_1 = 24.4$ ($C = 25.6$) for the inner region at $1' < R < 3'$. The completeness varies little, depending on galactocentric radius for the outer region at $R > 3'$, while it is somewhat lower for the inner region at $R < 3'$ compared with the outer region.
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3. RESULTS

3.1 Color-Magnitude Diagram

Fig. 5 displays the color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) of the point sources measured from the KPNO images of M86 as well as that from the HST/ACS photometry (for $R < 1.5'$). We plotted the CMDs for the M86 region ($1.5' < R < 10'$) and the background region ($10' < R < 12'$). For the central region at $R < 1.5'$ we plotted only the HST photometry. We transformed the $(g - z)$ colors and $g$ magnitudes of the objects given in the ACSVCS catalog into $(C - T_1)$ colors and $T_1$ magnitudes, respectively, using the transformation equations derived in the previous section.

Three distinct features are seen in Fig. 5: (a) GCs of M86 in the broad vertical feature in the color range of $1.0 < (C - T_1) < 2.1$, (b) a small number of bright foreground stars at $(C - T_1) \approx 1.0$ and $(C - T_1) \geq 2.5$, and (c) faint blue unresolved background galaxies with $T_1 > 23$ mag. We selected the bright point sources with $1.0 < (C - T_1) < 2.1$ and $19 < T_1 < 23.08$ for the analysis of the M86 GCs, considering the following points: (1) the color range of the known GCs in other galaxies is $(C - T_1) \approx 0.9$ to 2.1 (Geisler 1996; Lee et al. 1998; Dirsch et al. 2005; Forte et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2008); (2) the peak luminosity of the M86 GCs is $T_1 = 23.08$, which was converted from the peak $g$ magnitude ($\mu_g = 23.95 \pm 0.10$) given by Jordán et al. (2007); (3) the mean photometric error and the completeness for $T_1 < 23.08$ are smaller than error ($T_1 = 0.05$) and larger than 90%, respectively; and (4) the contamination due to background galaxies is estimated to be very small for $T_1 < 23.08$.

3.2 Color Distribution of the Globular Clusters

Fig. 6 shows the color distribution of the point sources with $19 < T_1 < 23.08$ at $1.5' < R < 10'$. The solid line and dashed lines represent the double Gaussian fit derived from the KMM test. The hashed histogram is the color distribution of the point sources within the background region ($10' < R < 12'$). The vertical dotted lines indicate the color boundaries for the blue GCs ($1.0 < (C - T_1) < 1.55$) and the red GCs ($1.55 < (C - T_1) < 2.1$).

Fig. 5.— Color-magnitude diagrams of the sources in M86 given in ACSVCS catalog (a) and the point sources in M86 measured from the KPNO images (b and c). The boxes indicate the color ($1.0 < (C - T_1) < 2.1$) and magnitude ($19 < T_1 < 23.08$) criteria for selecting the M86 GCs. The dotted and crosses in panel (a) represent the values converted from $g$ and $(g - z)$ at $R < 1.5'$ and $1.5' < R < 2.4'$, respectively.

Fig. 6.— Color distribution of the M86 GCs with $19 < T_1 < 23.08$ and $1.5' < R < 10'$. The solid line and dashed lines represent the double Gaussian fit derived from the KMM test. The hashed histogram is the color distribution of the point sources within the background region ($10' < R < 12'$). The vertical dotted lines indicate the color boundaries for the blue GCs ($1.0 < (C - T_1) < 1.55$) and the red GCs ($1.55 < (C - T_1) < 2.1$).
images are saturated at the central region of M86, while the short exposure images are not. Thus we used the values from the short exposure images at $R < 1'$, the average values from the short and long exposure images at $1' < R < 3'$, and the values from the long exposure images at $R > 3'$.

Fig. 8 shows the radial profiles of the surface brightness magnitudes, $(C - T_1)$ color, ellipticity, and position angle (P. A.) of M86 as a function of major radius $R_{maj}$. The surface brightness profiles of the $C$ and $T_1$ magnitudes are consistent with that of the $B$ magnitude given by Caon et al. (1990). The surface brightness profiles are roughly described by a de Vaucouleurs law. These surface brightness profiles are fitted with a de Vaucouleurs $R^{1/4}$ law for the range of $2'' < R_{maj} < 400''$, using linear least-squares fitting: $\mu(C) = 2.173(\pm 0.032) R^{1/4} + 16.009(\pm 0.086)$ with rms=0.219, and $\mu(T_1) = 2.247(\pm 0.033) R^{1/4} + 13.981(\pm 0.089)$ with rms=0.226. The effective radius $(R_{eff})$ and standard radius $(R_{25})$ for $C$-band are derived to be 3.59 and 4.88 ($3''.14$ and 9''.64 for $T_1$), corresponding to linear sizes of 17.54 kpc and 23.85 kpc, respectively.

This value for the effective radius is much smaller than that derived from the small field HST/ACS images by Ferrarese et al. (2006) ($R_{eff} = 6''.86 \pm 33.52$ kpc at g-band) but similar to that derived from wide field images by Kormendy et al. (2009) ($R_{eff} = 3''.38 \pm 16.52$ kpc at V-band). The surface brightness profiles of $C$ and $T_1$ magnitudes in this study as well as the $B$ magnitude given by Caon et al. (1990) show a break at $R_{maj} \sim 135''$, resulting in flatter profiles in the outer region. Thus we carried out double linear fits with $R^{1/4}$ law at $10'' < R_{maj} < 135''$: $\mu(C) = 2.240(\pm 0.016) R^{1/4} + 16.027(\pm 0.042)$ with rms=0.038 and $\mu(T_1) = 2.304(\pm 0.021) R^{1/4} + 14.030(\pm 0.052)$ with rms=0.047, and $135'' < R_{maj} < 560''$: $\mu(C) = 1.706(\pm 0.042) R^{1/4} + 17.684(\pm 0.170)$ with rms=0.063 and $\mu(T_1) = 1.860(\pm 0.056) R^{1/4} + 15.338(\pm 0.227)$ with rms=0.084.

The $(C - T_1)$ color of the M86 nucleus is very red with $(C - T_1) \approx 2.1$. It becomes rapidly bluer in the inner region ($R_{maj} \leq 50''$) as the galactocentric radius increases, but changes slowly in the outer region. This trend is consistent with the radial variation of $(U - R)$ colors given by Peletier et al. (1990) as shown in Fig. 8 (b), but is somewhat different from the $(B - R)$ colors given by Peletier et al. (1990). This may be related to the existence of dust close to the M86 center, as seen in the far-infrared images (Stickel et al. 2003; Gomez et al. 2010). The color at $2'' < R_{maj} < 300''$ is approximately fitted by the log-linear relation $(C - T_1) = -0.105(\pm 0.003) \log(R) + 1.999(\pm 0.005)$ with rms=0.014. The ellipticity increases rapidly from 0.15 in the central region to 0.25 at $R_{maj} \approx 40''$, staying almost constant at $40'' < R_{maj} < 135''$. Then it increases again to $\sim 0.4$ in the outer region. Thus the isophotes of the outer region are more elongated than the central region.

![Fig. 7.— Radial color distribution of the GCs in M86. The histograms in each panel and the dot-dashed line with the circles in panel (a) represent the color distributions of the GCs obtained from KPNO and from ACSVCVS catalog, respectively. The vertical dotted lines indicate the color boundaries for the sub-populations.](image-url)
The values of the ellipticity at the effective radius and standard radius are 0.35 and 0.40, respectively. The value for P.A. is constant with 120 ± 6 deg.

3.4 Spatial Distribution of the Globular Clusters

We investigated the spatial structure of the M86 GC system. Fig. 9 displays the spatial distributions of the GCs with 19 < $T_1$ < 23.08 mag (all GCs, blue GCs, and red GCs) as well as M86 stellar light. We also overlay number density contours of the GCs in this figure. $T_1$ image of M86 with isophotal contours is displayed in the panel (b) for comparison with the GC system.

Several features are noted in this figure. Firstly, all the GCs have a circular spatial distribution, and show a central concentration. Second, the blue GCs have a roughly circular spatial distribution, extended farther than the red GCs. Third, the red GCs have a spatial distribution somewhat elongated along the southeast-northwest direction, which is consistent with the distribution of M86 stellar halo. Using the dispersion ellipse method (Trumpler & Weaver 1953; Hwang & Lee 2008; Strader et al. 2011), we measured $\epsilon$ (ellipticity)= 0.04 ± 0.02, 0.02 ± 0.03, and 0.16 ± 0.05, and P.A.=122 ± 24, 42 ± 43, and 131 ± 10 deg for all the GCs, the blue GCs, and the red GCs at R < 5′, respectively. The value for the ellipticity of the red GCs is eight times larger than that of the blue GCs. The P.A.'s of all the GCs and the red GCs are similar to that of M86 stellar light.

3.5 Radial Number Density Profiles of the Globular Clusters

We derived the radial number density profiles of the GCs with 19 < $T_1$ < 23.08 mag, using the KPNO results for the outer region at R > 1′.5 and the ACSVCS catalog for the central region at R < 1′.5. Here we masked out the region with 5′ × 1′.5 centered on NGC 4402 to remove any contamination due to this galaxy. Firstly, we applied the correction for the incompleteness of photometry using the results shown in Fig. 4. Rhode & Zepf (2004) found that the radial number density of the GCs in M86 becomes zero at R > 17′. The field of view of our KPNO images is not wide enough to cover the entire region of M86. Here we adopted, as a background number density, a half of the number density of the point sources at 10′ < R < 12′: 0.915±0.279 arcmin$^{-2}$ for all the GCs, 0.767±0.255 arcmin$^{-2}$ for the blue GCs, and 0.149±0.113 arcmin$^{-2}$ for the red GCs. We subtracted these values from the original counts.
Then we derived radial number densities, considering the effect of the GC luminosity function (by multiplying by a factor of two). The radial number densities of the GCs thus derived are listed in Table 4.

Fig. 10 displays the radial number density profiles of the GCs versus $R^{1/4}$ in (a), $R$ in (b) and (c). We also plot the radial number density profile of M86 GCs given by Rhode & Zepf (2004) and the $C$-band surface brightness profile of M86 in (a) for comparison. Several notable features are seen as follows. Firstly, the density profile of all the GCs is more extended than the galaxy stellar light. The density profile of all the GCs derived in this study agrees well with that given by Rhode & Zepf (2004). Secondly, the density profile of the blue GCs decreases more slowly as the galactocentric distance increases than that of the red GCs. Thirdly, the density profile of the red GCs is consistent with the surface brightness profile of the galaxy stellar light.

Fourthly, the density profiles of the GCs at $R < 10''$ are approximately fitted either by a de Vaucouleurs $R^{1/4}$ law: $\log n_{GC} = -1.683(\pm0.104)R^{1/4} + 3.379(\pm0.154)$ for all the GCs, $\log n_{GC} = -1.534(\pm0.082)R^{1/4} + 3.006(\pm0.120)$ for the blue GCs, and $\log n_{GC} = -2.048(\pm0.182)R^{1/4} + 3.382(\pm0.268)$ for the red GCs; or by a power law: $\log n_{GC} = -1.343(\pm0.116)\log R + 1.783(\pm0.080)$ for all the GCs, $\log n_{GC} = -1.226(\pm0.094)\log R + 1.552(\pm0.064)$ for the blue GCs, and $\log n_{GC} = -1.628(\pm0.185)\log R + 1.434(\pm0.127)$ for the red GCs.

In the case of all the GCs, the values for the slope agree well with those given by Rhode & Zepf (2004). Effective radii of all the GCs, the blue GCs, and the red GCs are derived from the de Vaucouleurs $R^{1/4}$ law fits: 15.33 ($= 74.50$ kpc), 22.20 ($= 107.89$ kpc), and 6.99 ($= 33.97$ kpc), respectively. Thus the effective radius of the red GCs is much smaller than that of the blue GCs, showing that the central concentration of the red GCs is much stronger than that of the blue GCs. The density profiles of the GCs show a break at $R \approx 4'$, resulting...
steepener profiles in the outer region. The outer parts at $R > 4'$ are fitted well by a power law: $\log n_{GC} = -1.915(\pm0.085)\log R + 2.255(\pm0.073)$ for all the GCs, $\log n_{GC} = -1.672(\pm0.101)\log R + 1.923(\pm0.086)$ for the blue GCs, and $\log n_{GC} = -2.666(\pm0.109)\log R + 2.276(\pm0.093)$ for the red GCs. The inner parts at $R < 4'$ are fitted well by a power law: $\log n_{GC} = -0.569(\pm0.094)\log R + 1.496(\pm0.045)$ for all the GCs, $\log n_{GC} = -0.596(\pm0.100)\log R + 1.322(\pm0.047)$ for the blue GCs, and $\log n_{GC} = -0.516(\pm0.089)\log R + 1.014(\pm0.042)$ for the red GCs. In the case of the outer parts, the radial number density profile of the red GCs is much steeper than that of the blue GCs, while in the case of the inner parts, the sub-populations have similar slopes.

Finally, the density profiles of the GCs are somewhat flat in the central region at $R \leq 2'$. This flat radial pro-

3.6 Radial Variation of Globular Cluster Colors

We investigated the radial variation of the color of the M86 GCs. Fig. 11 displays the $(C - T_1)$ colors of the GCs with $19 < T_1 < 23.08$ mag as a function of galactocentric distance derived from the KPNO images. The mean color of all the GCs in each radial bin shows a radial gradient, which is not the case for the blue GC and the red GC show little radial gradients. The linear least-squares fitting at $R < 10'$ yields $(C - T_1) = -0.016(\pm0.003)R + 1.512(\pm0.018)$ with rms=0.026 for all the GCs, $(C - T_1) = -0.008(\pm0.002)R + 1.341(\pm0.012)$ with rms=0.017 for the blue GCs, and $(C - T_1) = 0.002(\pm0.005)R + 1.743(\pm0.028)$ with rms=0.040 for the red GCs. The color gradient of all the GCs is due to the variation of the number ratio of the blue GCs to the red GCs as shown in Fig. 7. This negative color gradient for all the GCs is consistent with the previous studies based on $(B - R)$ color (Rhode & Zepf 2004). The mean

**Fig. 10.—** Radial number density profiles of M86 GCs. The filled and open points are from HST and KPNO, respectively. The circles, triangles, and squares represent all the GCs, the blue GCs, and the red GCs, respectively. (a) Number density versus $R^{1/4}$. The solid lines represent the fits for a de Vaucouleurs law for $1' < R < 10'$. The dot-dashed line indicates the $C$ surface brightness profile of the M86 stellar halo. (b) Number density versus $R$. The solid lines represent the fits for a power law. The dotted lines indicate the two-component power law fits for $0.1 < R < 4'$ and $4' < R < 12'$. (c) Number density versus $R$. The solid lines represent King model fits for $R < 4'$, which are represented by the dotted lines for $R > 4'$.

**Fig. 11.—** $(C - T_1)$ color of M86 GCs as a function of galactocentric distance. The circles, triangles, and squares represent all the GCs, the blue GCs, and the red GCs, respectively. The filled and open points represent mean and median color of the GCs in each radial bin, respectively. The solid lines are the linear least-squares fits about the mean color of the sub-populations. The curved line indicates $(C - T_1)$ surface color profile of M86 stellar halo.
Comparison of the color distribution between 80 H. S. P ARK in other gEs such as M49 and M60 (Lee et al. 1998; the red GCs than to that of the blue GCs, as often seen in other gEs such as M49 and M60 (Lee et al. 1998; Lee et al. 2008).

Table 4.
Radial number density profiles of the GCs in M86

| $R$ (arcmin) | $n_{AGC}$ (arcmin$^{-2}$) | $n_{BGC}$ (arcmin$^{-2}$) | $n_{RGC}$ (arcmin$^{-2}$) |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.167       | 73.193 ± 10.417 | 50.392 ± 8.668  | 22.800 ± 5.778  |
| 0.667       | 50.465 ± 3.066  | 35.706 ± 2.588  | 14.758 ± 1.644  |
| 1.500       | 29.959 ± 1.487  | 19.182 ± 1.201  | 10.776 ± 0.877  |
| 2.500       | 16.475 ± 0.755  | 11.020 ± 0.626  | 5.455 ± 0.423   |
| 3.500       | 13.403 ± 0.589  | 8.506 ± 0.478   | 4.897 ± 0.343   |
| 4.500       | 9.894 ± 0.454   | 6.498 ± 0.376   | 3.394 ± 0.254   |
| 5.500       | 7.169 ± 0.383   | 5.191 ± 0.332   | 1.979 ± 0.192   |
| 6.500       | 4.993 ± 0.324   | 3.761 ± 0.285   | 1.232 ± 0.153   |
| 7.500       | 3.854 ± 0.286   | 2.849 ± 0.252   | 1.006 ± 0.137   |
| 8.500       | 2.422 ± 0.224   | 1.917 ± 0.202   | 0.505 ± 0.097   |
| 11.000      | 1.927 ± 0.279   | 1.614 ± 0.255   | 0.314 ± 0.113   |

4. DISCUSSION

We compared the photometric results of the M86 GC system with those of GC systems in other two gEs in Virgo (M60 and M49). M60, which is the third brightest gE in Virgo and located about 3 deg from the Virgo center, and M49, which is the brightest gE in Virgo and located about 4 deg from the Virgo center. Previous studies for the GC systems of M60 (Lee et al. 2008) and M49 (Geisler et al. 1996; Lee et al. 1998) used the same instruments and similar photometric techniques as this study for the M86 GC system. For comparison we used the same criteria for the GC sample in three galaxies, GCs with $R < 7'$ and $19 < T_1 < 23$.

Fig. 12 displays the color distributions of the GCs in M86, M60, and M49. The GCs in these galaxies show similar bimodal color distributions, but there are slight differences among these galaxies. The peak colors for M86 ($\langle C - T_1 \rangle = 1.31$ and 1.74) are similar to those for M49 ($\langle C - T_1 \rangle = 1.30$ and 1.79), but are about 0.1 mag bluer than those for M60 ($\langle C - T_1 \rangle = 1.37$ and 1.87). Applying this color difference to the relation between ($C - T_1$) color and metallicity (Lee et al. 2008), this implies that the M86 and the M49 GCs are on average about 0.2 dex more metal-poor than M60 GCs. These results are approximately consistent with those by Peng et al. (2006) who covered the central regions with $R \leq 1'.5$. They reported that the peak colors for these three galaxies are ($g - z$) = 0.98 and 1.33 for M86, ($g - z$) = 0.97 and 1.42 for M49, and ($g - z$) = 0.98 and 1.45 for M60.

There are significant differences among the number ratios ($N(BGC)/N(RGC)$) of the blue GCs to the red GCs in these galaxies: $2.37 \pm 0.26$ for M86, $1.38 \pm 0.11$ for M60, and $0.97 \pm 0.07$ for M49. Thus the fraction of the blue GCs for M86, the faintest among these three galaxies, is the largest. This is consistent with

Fig. 12.— Comparison of the color distribution between the GCs in M86 and the GCs in other Virgo gEs. (a) M86 from this study. (b) M60 from Lee et al. (2008). (c) M49 from Geisler (1996) and Lee et al.(1998). The solid and dashed lines represent the double Gaussian fits.
the previous finding that the fraction of the blue GCs decreases as their host galaxy gets brighter (Peng et al. 2006). On the other hand, the number ratio of the blue GCs to the red GCs in each galaxy is a little bit larger than the value by Peng et al. (2006). (2.33 ± 1.15 for M86, 0.75 ± 0.10 for M60, and 0.69 ± 0.09 for M49) and by Faifer et al. (2011) (1.07 ± 0.10 for M60). The radial coverages used by Peng et al. (2006) and Faifer et al. (2011) are $R \lesssim 1.5$ and $R \lesssim 5$, respectively, so that their fields are on average closer to the galaxy center than that used in this study ($1 < R < 7'$). These show that this number ratio decreases as the galactocentric radius decreases (see section 3.2 for detail).

Recent studies for the GCs in gEs based on HST/ACS data (Harris et al. 2006; Strader et al. 2006; Mieske et al. 2006; Peng et al. 2009; Mieske et al. 2010) and wide-field images (Fortes et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2008; Harris 2009a), found a so-called ‘blue tilt’ (or a color-magnitude relation): the brighter the bright blue GCs are, the redder their colors get. Several mechanisms were proposed to explain the origin of the blue tilt (Mieske et al. 2006; Bekki et al. 2007; Strader & Smith 2008; Harris 2009b; Blakeslee et al. 2010). The self-enrichment process among these appears to be the main driver (Mieske et al. 2006; Strader & Smith 2008). We investigated for any existence of this blue tilt in the M86 GCs. Fig. 13 displays the CMDs of M86, M60, and M49 derived from the $CT_1$ images for the outer regions at $1.5 < R < 7'$. The blue and red peak values are determined from the KMM test with the colors in each magnitude bin. In Fig. 13 the blue tilt is seen for the bright blue GCs in M86 as well as other two galaxies. However, the number of galaxies is only three so that we need to study more galaxies to address the slope difference of the blue tilt. The existence of the blue tilt in M86 as well as M49 and M60 is consistent with the result for high mass galaxies in the ACSVCS given by Mieske et al. (2010).

The spatial distribution of the GCs in three galaxies share common features as follows: (a) the radial number density profile of the red GCs in the outer region is consistent with the surface brightness profile of the stellar halo, (b) the elongation of the red GC system is consistent with that of the stellar halo, while the spatial distribution of the blue GC system is approximately circular, (c) the mean color of the red GCs is similar to that of the stellar halo. These common properties are also shown for other gE GC systems such as M87 and NGC 1399 (Bassino et al. 2006; Tamura et al. 2006; Forte et al. 2007; Faifer et al. 2011; Strader et al. 2011; Forte et al. 2012). Thus the red GCs are more correlated with the stellar halo than the blue GCs. This indicates that most red (metal-rich) GCs in gEs might have formed with the halo stars.

5. SUMMARY

We have presented Washington $CT_1$ photometry of the GCs in M86 covering a $16' \times 16'$ field and showed various photometric properties of the GC system. Primary results are summarized as follows.

1. A significant population of GCs in M86 are shown in the CMD.
2. The color distribution of the GCs in M86 is bimodal, showing a blue peak at $(C-T_1) = 1.30$ and width $\sigma = 0.15$, and a red peak at $(C-T_1) = 1.72$ and $\sigma = 0.15$, with a division color $(C - T_1) \approx 1.55$.
3. The spatial distribution of the blue GCs is roughly circular, while that of the red GCs is elongated similar to that of the stellar halo.
4. The radial number density profile of M86 GC system is more extended than the galaxy stellar light. The radial density profile of the red GCs is consistent with the surface brightness profile of M86.
stellar halo. The radial density profile of the blue GCs is more extended than that of the red GCs. The density profiles at $1' < R < 10'$ are approximately well fitted by a de Vaucouleurs law and a power law. As a result, the slope of the radial profile of the red GCs is steeper than that of the blue GCs. The radial density profiles in the central region are somewhat flat and approximately fitted by a King model.

5. M86 GCs have the negative radial color gradient because the number ratio of the blue GCs to the red GCs increases as galactocentric radius increases. The mean color of the red GCs is similar to that of the stellar halo.

6. As seen in other Virgo gEs, the bright blue GCs in the outer region of M86 reveal a blue tilt that the mean colors of the blue GCs get redder as they get brighter.
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