Estimating energetics in cetaceans from respiratory frequency: why we need to understand physiology
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ABSTRACT
The accurate estimation of field metabolic rates (FMR) in wild animals is a key component of bioenergetic models, and is important for understanding the routine limitations for survival as well as individual responses to disturbances or environmental changes. Several methods have been used to estimate FMR, including accelerometer-derived activity budgets, isotope dilution techniques, and proxies from heart rate. Counting the number of breaths is another method used to assess FMR in cetaceans, which is attractive in its simplicity and the ability to measure respiration frequency from visual cues or data loggers. This method hinges on the assumption that over time a constant tidal volume (VT) and O2 exchange fraction (ΔO2) can be used to predict FMR. To test whether this method of estimating FMR is valid, we measured breath-by-breath tidal volumes and expired O2 levels of bottlenose dolphins, and computed the O2 consumption rate (VO2) before and after a pre-determined duration of exercise. The measured VO2 was compared with three methods to estimate FMR. Each method to estimate VO2 included variable VT and/or O2. Two assumption-based methods overestimated VO2 by 216–501%. Once the temporal changes in cardio-respiratory physiology, such as variation in VT and ΔO2, were taken into account, pre-exercise resting VO2 was predicted to within 2%, and post-exercise VO2 was overestimated by 12%. Our data show that a better understanding of cardiorespiratory physiology significantly improves the ability to estimate metabolic rate from respiratory frequency, and further emphasizes the importance of eco-physiology for conservation management efforts.
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INTRODUCTION
Marine mammals live a life of dual constraints, with food located underwater, and the oxygen (O2) required to fuel aerobic metabolism available only at the surface. Empirical data and optimal foraging theory suggests that aerobic dives should maximize time underwater, thereby increasing foraging efficiency (Carbone and Houston, 1996; Kooyman et al., 1983). For an animal that mainly utilizes aerobic metabolism, the time available to forage underwater depends on the amount of O2 available in the lung, blood and tissue stores, and the rate at which these stores are utilized (the metabolic rate).

Marine mammals have several traits that enhance time underwater such as: increased muscle and blood O2 stores, a shape that minimizes hydrodynamic drag, and a physiological response during diving that helps to manage gases and maximize the aerobic dive time (Butler and Jones, 1997; Ponganis et al., 2011; Scholander, 1940). While many of these traits have been described for a range of pinnipeds and smaller cetaceans (Fahlman et al., 2008a; Kooyman et al., 1971; Reed et al., 1994, 2000; Sparling and Fedak, 2004; Sparling et al., 2007; Williams et al., 1993; Yazdi et al., 1999), we still know very little how larger, free ranging cetaceans manage their energy budgets (Kooyman et al., 1975; Sumich, 2001; Wahrenbrock et al., 1974).

Energy budgets of free-ranging animals are critical variables in bioenergetics models used to assess important issues such as the impact of climate change, or variation in food distribution, on marine mammal populations (Bowen, 1997; Winship et al., 2002). Current bioenergetics models are more sensitive to uncertainty in energy budgets and metabolic rates than to diet composition or population size (Winship et al., 2002). Better resolution of energy budgets requires an understanding of how animals manage their time underwater, their physiological limitations, and the energy expenditures associated with different activities.

Estimating the field metabolic rate (FMR) in breath-hold diving animals is challenging, as it is logistically difficult to investigate free-ranging animals, especially when they spend considerable time underwater and migrate over large areas. Several indirect methods have been used to assess FMR in diving species (Dolphin, 1987a; Fahlman et al., 2013; Folkow and Blix, 1992; Hindell and Lea, 1998). These include the use of stable isotopes (Boyd et al., 1995; Costa, 1988), and relating activity levels or heart rate to metabolic rates in calibrated studies (Boyd et al., 1995; Butler et al., 2004; Fahlman et al., 2013, 2008b; Halsey et al., 2008; McPhee et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2004; Young et al., 2011a,b).

Another approach that has been used is to assume that respiratory frequency should relate to minute volume or energetic demand (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Blix and Folkow, 1995; Christiansen et al., 2014; Dolphin, 1987a,b; Folkow and Blix, 1992; Williams and Noren, 2009). FMR of humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae, Dolphin, 1987a), minke whales, (Balaenoptera acutorostrata, Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Blix and Folkow, 1995; Christiansen et al., 2014; Dolphin, 1987a,b; Folkow and Blix, 1992), gray whales (Eschrichtius robustus, Sumich, 1983), and killer whales (Orcinus orca, Williams and Noren, 2009) have been estimated by counting the number of breaths during a surface interval. These methods use a constant value for the tidal volume (VT) and O2 exchange fraction (ΔO2=inspired O2–expired O2).
appeal to vary both within and between species, which raises concern over the validity of estimating energy expenditure based on static estimates of these parameters.

In order to refine computation of free-swimming marine mammal energetics, we determined how accurately we could estimate FMR in a small cetacean, the bottlenose dolphin, before and after exercise, from respiratory frequency alone. We compared 3 different methods to estimate metabolic rate from breathing frequency and compared the results to experimentally derived measurements. Our results indicate that with an understanding of the underlying physiology, the metabolic rate before and after exercise can be estimated to within 2% and 12%, respectively.

### RESULTS

#### Measured respiratory values and rates

Average maximum expiratory flow rates increased after exercise (AICC=512.7, P<0.01, PRE=25.5±7.3 litre s⁻¹, POST=30.9±7.5 litre s⁻¹) but the inspiratory flow rates (AICC=451.9, P<0.1, PRE=18.1±3.9 litre s⁻¹, POST=19.5±3.8 litre s⁻¹) did not differ between pre- and post-exercise samples. Neither inspiratory (AICC=274.1, P<0.1, PRE=0.38±0.06 s, POST=0.39±0.06 s), expiratory (AICC=260.5, P<0.05, PRE=0.36±0.06 s, POST=0.33±0.08 s), or total breath durations (AICC=170.8, P<0.1, PRE=0.75±0.11 s, POST=0.74±0.12 s) changed following exercise. The inter-breath interval (inverse of breathing frequency) was significantly shorter following exercise (AICC=580.6, P<0.01, PRE=24.8±8.8 s, POST=17.5±7.6 s). The average VT (AICC=479.7, P<0.05) increased significantly following exercise (Table 1).

During the pre-exercise resting trials, neither the number of breaths, the end-expiratory O₂, the volume of O₂ exchanged per breath, average O₂ content per breath, nor VT changed (mixed model ANOVA, P>0.1). Following exercise, VT (Fig. 1), and the volume of O₂ exchanged per breath decreased, while end-expiratory and average O₂ content increased (Fig. 2, P<0.05).

The average end-expiratory O₂ and average expired O₂ content (AICC=254.0, P<0.01), were significantly higher before compared with following exercise. During the post exercise recovery period, the VT decreased with breath number, while average O₂ content, and end-expired O₂ increased with breath number until reaching a plateau (Figs 1,2). An exponential equation described how the average VT (Fig. 1A; Eqn 1A, \(\text{VT}(L) = 5.35(0.18) + 3.71(0.27) \times \exp^{-0.115(0.020)/\text{BN}}\)) changed with breath number (BN) following exercise (the s.e. of the parameter estimate is in parenthesis):

\[\text{VT}(L) = 5.35(0.18) + 3.71(0.27) \times \exp^{-0.115(0.020)/\text{BN}}\]  

(1A)

The VT expressed as a percentage of the estimated total lung capacity (Fig. 1B, TLCest=0.135×Mₚ₀₀⁹²; Fahlman et al., 2011; Kooyman, 1973) did not differ before (PRE=32±10% of TLCest) or after exercise (POST=34±12%), but decreased with breath number following exercise. The exponential equation that described

#### Table 1. Animal ID, body mass, approximate age and date of birth, number of metabolic measurements (N), oxygen consumption (\(\dot{V}_O_2\)), and tidal volume (VT) before (Pre) and after (Post) exercise

| Animal ID | Body mass (kg) | Length (cm) | Age (years) | Pre | Post |
|-----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----|------|
|           |                |             |             | N   | VT   | N   | VT   |
| Kolohe    | 195.8          | 260.4       | 8 (22/1/95) | 7   | 0.78±0.27 | 5.6±2.0 | 4   | 1.28±0.42 | 7.5±2.9 |
| Liko      | 167.5          | 254.0       | 8 (12/7/94) | 4   | 0.77±0.23 | 5.9±1.5 | 4   | 1.28±0.19 | 6.3±2.0 |
| Lono      | 249.5          | 273.1       | 6 (31/10/97) | 12  | 0.97±0.26 | 6.8±1.7 | 12  | 2.00±0.69 | 6.3±1.7 |
| Nainoa    | 178.3          | 245.9       | 2 (7/9/00)  | 8   | 0.60±0.32 | 4.9±1.5 | 9   | 1.02±0.27 | 5.8±1.6 |
| Grand mean| 197.8±36.4     | 258.4±11.5  | 6.0±2.8     | 31  | 0.81±0.30 | 5.9±1.8 | 29  | 1.49±0.63 | 6.5±2.1 |

While it is known that both vary with activity level and following diving (Fahlman et al., 2015; Reed et al., 1994, 2000), it may be valid to assume that over time, average values of these parameters can be used to estimate FMR from breathing frequency. This method to estimate FMR is attractive in its simplicity, but the assumptions about VT and ΔO₂ have not been validated. While validation experiments are logistically difficult in larger cetaceans, the current study sought to determine how accurately we could estimate metabolic cost during rest and following a bout of aerobic exercise. The vital capacity (VC) of cetaceans is high and estimated to be approximately 80-90% of their total lung capacity (TLC) (Fahlman et al., 2015; Kooyman and Cornell, 1981; Kooyman et al., 1975; Olsen et al., 1969). Some studies have assumed that VT is independent of activity level and is close to VC (Dolphin, 1987a; Williams and Noren, 2009). If all breaths are close to VC, increased O₂ demand, as occurs during periods of increased activity, would be achieved through an increase in breathing frequency (Kooyman et al., 1971). Based on these relationships, it has been expected that breathing frequency is tightly linked to energy requirements and may be used to assess or compare the metabolic cost of different activities, e.g. resting or swimming at the surface versus diving.

However, there appears to be considerable variability in VT during spontaneous breaths in both small (Fahlman et al., 2015; Olsen et al., 1969; Sumich, 2001) and large cetaceans (Wahrenbrock et al., 1974). Tidal volumes of resting pilot whales (Globicephala scannum/macrorhynchus) and bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) range from 20-88% and 24-40% of TLC, respectively (Fahlman et al., 2015; Olsen et al., 1969). In two publications on gray whales VT was reported to vary by up to 50% between breaths (Wahrenbrock et al., 1974) or to range by two orders of magnitude (1 litre to approximately 180 litre, Sumich, 2001). Like VT, ΔO₂ is highly variable between breaths, increasing with the preceding breath-hold duration (Reed et al., 2000; Sumich, 2001) and decreasing with breath number following diving (Ridgway et al., 1969). Thus, VT and ΔO₂
changes in VT expressed as a percentage of TLC_{est} (%TLC_{est}, F_{776,2}=84.4, P<0.01, r^2=0.174) was:

\[
VT(\%TLC_{est}) = \frac{33.7(1.18) + 21.1(1.7) \times \exp(-0.111(0.022)\times\text{BN})}{1}
\]

(1B)

Average O_{2exp} (Fig. 2A; Eqn 1C, F_{767,2}=148.3, P<0.01, r^2=0.279) also changed with breath number (BN) following exercise (the s.e. of the parameter estimate is in parenthesis):

\[
O_{2exp} = 14.3(0.2) + 2.81(0.43) \times \left[1 - \exp\left(-0.0495(0.0176)\times\text{BN}\right)\right]
\]

(1C)

When we used the estimated VT (Eqn 1B) and measured \(\dot{V}_{O_2}\), for each breath to estimate average O_{2exp}, average O_{2} content was 16.0%±1.7% (range 4.0% to 19.5%) and 15.2%±1.8% (range 9.2% to 19.8%, Fig. 2B) for pre- and post-exercise breaths, respectively. There were no changes in estimated lung O_{2} content during rest before exercise, but estimated lung O_{2} content increased with breath number following exercise, described by the exponential equation (F_{767,2}=55.3, P<0.01, r^2=0.123):

\[
\text{Average } O_{2exp}(\%) = 14.3(0.2) + 2.81(0.43) \times \left[1 - \exp\left(-0.0495(0.0176)\times\text{BN}\right)\right]
\]

(1D)

Oxygen consumption rate (\(\dot{V}_{O_2}\), litre O_{2} min\(^{-1}\); AICc=81.2, P<0.01, Table 1), mass-specific \(\dot{V}_{O_2}\) (AICc=316.8, P<0.01, PRE=3.96±0.51 ml min\(^{-1}\) kg\(^{-1}\), POST=6.98±1.05 ml min\(^{-1}\) kg\(^{-1}\)) and \(\dot{V}_{CO_2}\) (AICc=302.3, P<0.01, PRE=3.48±0.68 ml min\(^{-1}\) kg\(^{-1}\), POST=5.78±1.24 ml min\(^{-1}\) kg\(^{-1}\)) all increased following exercise.

**Estimated \(\dot{V}_{O_2}\) from breaths**

We used three methods (A-C) to estimate metabolic rate from breathing frequency. Methods A and B used Eqs 2A-C and assumptions used to estimate FMR in free-ranging mysticetes. For method C, we used the measured VT and the integrated volume of O_{2} taken up during each breath to estimate the average O_{2} content for that breath, Eqn 1D. The estimated average O_{2exp} was used to determine average \(\Delta O_2\), which in turn was used to estimate \(\dot{V}_{O_2}\) and
During a surface interval have an average VT between 60-80% of VC effort (Eqn 1B) was used to estimate VT.

**Pre-exercise rest**

Method A and B overestimated the measured $\dot{V}_O_2$ (0.81 litre min$^{-1}$) by 291% (3.17 litre min$^{-1}$) and 501% (4.88 litre min$^{-1}$), respectively. For method C, the estimated O$_2$ content (16.0%) and average VT (32% of TLC$_{est}$) underestimated the measured metabolic rate by 2% (0.79 litre min$^{-1}$).

**Post-exercise**

Following exercise, all methods overestimated the measured $\dot{V}_O_2$ (1.49 litre min$^{-1}$). Method A and B, using the assumptions described for estimating FMR for humpback whales (Dolphin, 1987a) and minke whales (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Blix and Folkow, 1995; Christiansen et al., 2014; Folkow and Blix, 1992), overestimated $\dot{V}_O_2$ by 216% (4.72 litre min$^{-1}$) and 382% (7.19 litre min$^{-1}$), respectively. Method C used Eqs 1B and 1D for post-exercise data to estimate $\dot{V}_O_2$, resulting in an overestimate by 12% (1.67 litre min$^{-1}$). Thus, accounting for post-exercise physiological responses improves the estimated metabolic rate to within 12% during recovery from exercise.

**DISCUSSION**

We used breath-by-breath respirometry to determine if counting the number of breaths can be used to estimate metabolic rate in a small cetacean at rest, or during recovery from exercise. Our data clearly indicate that both VT and $\dot{V}_O_2$ vary following a bout of exercise in bottlenose dolphins. We compared different assumptions to estimate $\dot{V}_O_2$, most which have been used to estimate FMR in large mysticetes following diving or during migration (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Blix and Folkow, 1995; Christiansen et al., 2014; Dolphin, 1987a,b; Folkow and Blix, 1992). These methods overestimated measured metabolic rates by 216-501%. Accounting for temporal changes following exercise, we created a model with which we were able to estimate the resting and post-exercise recovery metabolic rate to within 2% and 12%, respectively (Method C). While our data suggest that improved knowledge of the physiology of these animals significantly enhances the ability to more accurately predict energy needs, there are some important caveats to consider. First, we studied a small odontocete and both the differences in size (allometry) and physiology warrant consideration. Second, these additional data were performed on dolphins during rest and following a standardized bout of aerobic exercise at the surface. Thus, direct comparisons with diving animals may not be valid.

Similar to our findings, previous data in both pinnipeds (Kerem et al., 1975; Kooymans et al., 1971; Reed et al., 1994) and cetaceans (Reed et al., 2000; Ridgway et al., 1969) indicate that both $\Delta O_2$ (Fig. 1) and VT (Fig. 2) change significantly as animals recover from exercise. Method A and B, which have been used to estimate FMR in humpback whales (Dolphin, 1987a) and minke whales (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Blix and Folkow, 1995; Christiansen et al., 2014; Dolphin, 1987a,b; Folkow and Blix, 1992), underestimated $\dot{V}_O_2$ by between 216-501%. These methods assume that all breaths during a surface interval have an average VT between 60-80% of VC and that $\Delta O_2$ is 10-11.6% (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Blix and Folkow, 1995; Christiansen et al., 2014; Dolphin, 1987a,b; Folkow and Blix, 1992). There are a number of possible differences that may explain this large error such as species or allometric differences, and the difference in behaviors investigated.

Our data from bottlenose dolphins in this and our previous study (Fahlman et al., 2015) show that in this small odontocete most voluntary breaths and maximal VTs following a bout of aerobic exercise range from 25-45% and 63-95% of TLC, respectively, or between 27-102% of VC assuming a minimum air volume of 7% of TLC (Fahlman et al., 2015). Only seven breaths following exercise exceeded 80% of TLC$_{est}$, and most breaths were only about 37-40% of TLC$_{est}$ (Fig. 1B). Cetaceans have the capacity to exchange much of their TLC, and probably do so at times for a few breaths following a long dive or intense exercise, allowing them to rapidly replenish the O$_2$ stores and reduce the surface interval and recovery period (Boutilier et al., 2001; Fahlman et al., 2008a). However, we observed considerable variation in VT and average values far below 60-80% of VC (Fig. 1B). Thus, the assumed average VT in Method A and B is a major contributor to its large error and overestimation of metabolic rate. As our experimental design only involved experiments on a small cetacean during rest and recovery from exercise, this conclusion may have to be viewed with caution as there may be considerable differences during versus after exercise and between species, especially large cetaceans.

The VT has been reported to vary considerably in large cetaceans both at rest and following apnea (Epple et al., 2015; Kasting et al., 1989; Kooymans et al., 1971; Sumich and May, 2009; Wahrenbrock et al., 1974; B. Kriete, PhD thesis, The University of British Columbia, 1995). The average VT for a gray whale in human care (Gigi II) was 220 litres when she weighed 6200 kg (Wahrenbrock et al., 1974). At this size, TLC$_{est}$ would be approximately 416 litres, and with a minimum air volume of 7% of TLC, VC would be 387 litres (Fahlman et al., 2011; Kooymans, 1973). Thus, the average VT was about 57% of VC. Similar calculations during growth (2000-6200 kg) showed that average VT increased steadily from 15% to 55% of VC (Wahrenbrock et al., 1974). Similar calculations for the killer whale and beluga whale (Delphinapterus leucas) suggest that VT ranges from 35-95% of VC in the former (Kasting et al., 1989; B. Kriete, PhD thesis, The University of British Columbia, 1995) and from 23-80% in the latter (Epple et al., 2015; Kasting et al., 1989). Consequently, this large variation between studies may at least in part explain the large difference between observed $\dot{V}_O_2$ and that estimated from Methods A and B.

Another reason for the large error for Method B stems from the method to estimate VC (Table 2). For example, the range of estimated VC for Method A ranged from 10.3-15.5 litres for animals ranging from 168-250 kg, while for Method B the range was 19.2-26.6 litres. Thus, the equation for VC in Method B may only be valid for minke whales and inappropriate for smaller cetaceans.

Additional error may for Methods A and B may stem from the value assumed average O$_2$ content of the lung of 10 and 11.6%, respectively (10 and 11.6 kPa). In previous studies the end-tidal P$_O_2$ in the gray whale ranged from 7.1 to 12.2 kPa (Wahrenbrock et al., 1974), and from 7.5 to 16.0 kPa in the harbour porpoise (Reed et al., 2000). In the current study the end-expired O$_2$ ranged from 8.1 to 17.8 kPa and from 5.8 to 18.1 kPa before and after exercise, respectively. Thus, our end-expiratory values are within the range of those previously reported for both a small and a large cetacean. In another study, average O$_2$ content of 5.4 kPa was reported from the first breath from a bottlenose dolphin after a 200 m dive, increasing to 11.9 kPa for the second breath (Ridgway et al., 1969). In the killer whale, the average O$_2$ content was around 12-16 kPa for short apneas at all activity levels and decreased both with activity level and apnea duration, and the lowest measured levels were around 9.5 kPa (Figs 2-5 in B. Kriete, PhD thesis, The University of British Columbia, 1995). However, these values are not directly comparable to those collected in the current study or from Wahrenbrock et al. (1974) or Reed et al. (2000). In the deep diving dolphin and killer
Table 2. Tidal volume (VT) and O2 exchange fraction (ΔO2, %) used for each of the methods to estimate metabolic rate before and during recovery from exercise in dolphins

| Model | Assumption | Value | ΔO2 (%) | Assumption | Value | References |
|-------|------------|-------|---------|------------|-------|------------|
| A     | 80% vital capacity; constant VT | VT=0.8×0.0567 M6-0.63 | Estimated from Eqn 1D | Pre: 5.72% | Post: 4.91% | (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Dolphin, 1987a) |
| B     | 80% vital capacity; constant VT | VT=0.6×0.309 M6-0.82 | Assumed | 10% | (Blix et al., 1983; Folkow and Blix, 1992) |
| C     | Estimated from TLCest | Pre: 37% of TLCest | Assumed | 11.6% | (Blix et al., 1983; Folkow and Blix, 1992) |
|       | Post: percent of TLCest | Post: 6.9 litre | Estimated from Eqn 1D | Post: 5.72% | (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Dolphin, 1987a) |
|       |           | Post: 7.7 litre |                |                |                | |

*Recalculated from Eqns 4 and 7 in (Blix et al., 1983; Folkow and Blix, 1992).

The two methods that used the upper limits for VT and O2 extraction overestimated measured oxygen consumption by 216-501%. One explanation may be the different behaviours investigated, where the previous studies focused on FMR during foraging, while in the current study we looked at the effect of rest and recovery from exercise. Individual breaths can be detected on acoustic bio-logging tags (e.g. Génin et al., 2015), and have been used to calculate breathing rates in free-ranging animals; however, the use of acoustic information (e.g. duration, frequency, or amplitude) from each breath has not been explored in its potential to provide measures of the variability critical for accurate estimates of energetics from breathing patterns (Sumich and May, 2009; van der Hoop et al., 2014b; our personal observations). Current work is underway to do so, and would greatly improve our ability to incorporate respiratory dynamics to properly estimate bioenergetics in free-ranging marine mammals. In addition, attempts are underway to determine if these relationships are valid following surface apneas and may shed additional information that may improve this potentially simple and powerful technique. Such physiological studies are crucial to determine the physiological dynamics, variability, and limitations of marine mammals and will enhance our ability to predict how they may respond to changes in the environment.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals
All experiments were conducted under IACUC approval from the Animal Care Committee of Texas A&M University – Corpus Christi (AUP04-14), and the research committee of Dolphin Quest. Four adult male Atlantic bottlenose dolphins in a closed lagoon were used for all experiments; the name, body mass, straight length, and approximate age of the animals are summarized in Table 1. All experiments were performed using operant conditioning and participation by the dolphins was voluntary; animals were desensitized to the equipment and trained for novel research-associated behaviours. Thus, data on respiratory variables and metabolism were collected in dolphins that were in a relaxed, normal physiological state, before and after exercise.

Respiratory flows
A custom-made Fleisch type pneumotachometer (Micah Brodsky, V.M.D. Consulting, Miami, FL) with a low-resistance laminar flow matrix (Item # Z9A887-2, Merriam Process Technologies, Cleveland, OH) was placed over the blowhole of the dolphin (Fig. 1 in Fahlin et al., 2015). Differential pressure across the flow matrix was measured using a differential pressure transducer (MPX-2.5 mbar type 339/2, Harvard.
Apparatus, Holliston, MA, connected to the pneumotachometer with two, 310 cm length of 2.0 mm I.D., firm walled, flexible tubing (see Figs 1 and 2 in Fahliman et al., 2015). The pneumotachometer was calibrated using a 7.0 litre calibration syringe (Series 4900, Hans-Rudolph Inc, Shawnee, KS). The signal was integrated and the flow determined by calibrating the pneumotachometer using the syringe immediately before and after each trial, through a series of pump cycles at various flow speeds (Fahliman et al., 2015) to calibrate the differential pressure and flows for the expiratory and inspiratory phases to be determined.

Resting and post exercise metabolic rates
Each trial consisted of resting, exercise and recovery period. For the resting period, the dolphins rested (remaining stationary) for at least 5 min, during which time the respiratory flows and expired gas composition were measured. The average \( O_2 \) consumption rate \( (\dot{V}_{O_2}) \) was measured for the last 2 min of this resting period, during which time the animal was acclimated to the task and the \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \) was stable and provided an accurate estimate of resting \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \) (Fahliman et al., 2015). Next, the dolphin was instructed to either swim in a predetermined path for 10 min, or to swim behind a remote control model boat at a set pace of 3 m s\(^{-1}\) for 5 min. The dolphin was free to breathe at will while exercising. At the completion of the swimming task, the dolphin returned immediately to the measurement station, where post-exercise respiratory flow and expired gas composition were continuously measured for up to 5 min (see Fig. 3B in van der Hoop et al., 2014a).

Respiratory gas composition
Respiratory gases were subsampled via a port in the pneumotachometer and passed through a 310 cm length of 2 mm I.D., firm walled, flexible tubing and a 30 cm length of 1.5 mm I.D. Nafion tubing, to fast-response \( O_2 \) and \( CO_2 \) analysers (ML206, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA) at a flow rate of 200 ml min\(^{-1}\), with a response time for a 90% change to equilibrium for \( O_2 \) and \( CO_2 \) of 67 ms and 94 ms, respectively (Fahliman et al., 2015). The gas analysers were connected to the data acquisition system and sampled at 200 Hz. The respiratory gas signals were phase-corrected to match the respirations, to account for the lag resulting from gas flow through the tubing. The expiratory flow-rate and expired \( O_2 \) and \( CO_2 \) content were multiplied to calculate the instantaneous \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \) and carbon dioxide production rate \( (\dot{V}_{CO_2}, \text{litre CO}_2 \text{ min}^{-1}) \). The instantaneous \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \) and \( \dot{V}_{CO_2} \) were integrated to yield the total volume of \( O_2 \) and \( CO_2 \) exchanged during each breath. The volumes were summed for each breath during the trial period and divided by the duration of the trial to provide an estimate of the \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \) and \( \dot{V}_{CO_2} \) for that time period.

The gas analyser was calibrated before and after the experiment using a commercial mixture of 5% \( O_2 \), 5% \( CO_2 \), and 90% \( N_2 \), certified accurate to the time period. The total volume of \( O_2 \) taken up per breath was calculated separately for the \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \) of \( O_2 \) per breath (\( \dot{V}_{O_2} \), litre \( O_2 \) est.) was estimated as:

\[
\dot{V}_{O_2} = VT \times \Delta O_2
\]

where \( VT \) is the tidal volume, and \( \Delta O_2 \) (% \( O_2 \) breath\(^{-1} \)) was determined as the difference in \( O_2 \) concentration between inspired \( (O_{2\text{insp}}, 20.94\% O_2) \) and expired \( (O_{2\text{exp}}, \% \) air) \\

\[
\Delta O_2 = O_{2\text{insp}} - O_{2\text{exp}}
\]

The total volume of \( O_2 \) taken up per breath was calculated separately for the pre- and post-exercise periods, and divided by the total duration (t, min) of all breaths in that trial period to estimate the \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \):

\[
\dot{V}_{O_2} = \sum O_{2\text{insp}} \times t^{-1}
\]

For method A, we used the assumption that \( VT \) is on average 80% of the vital capacity (VC), as estimated from Stahl’s allometric equation (Stahl, 1967), and \( \Delta O_2 \) to be 10% (Armstrong and Siegfried, 1991; Dolphin, 1987a). For method B, we used the assumptions from those used to estimate FMR in minke whales (Blix and Folkow, 1995; Christiansen et al., 2014; Folkow and Blix, 1992), where \( VT \) was assumed to be 60% of the vital capacity, Eqs 4 and 7 in Folkow and Blix (1992), and \( \Delta O_2 \) was assumed to be 11.6%. For method C, we used the measured \( VT \) and integrated volume of \( O_2 \) taken up during each breath to estimate the average \( O_2 \) content for that breath, i.e. average \( O_{2\text{exp}} \)

\[
O_{2\text{exp}} = (O_{2\text{insp}} \times VT^{-1}) \times 100
\]

The estimated average \( O_{2\text{exp}} \) was used to determine average \( \Delta O_2 \), which, in turn was used to estimate \( \dot{V}_{O_2} \) and \( \dot{V}_{CO_2} \) in method C.

Data processing and statistical analysis
Metabolic data are reported as the average \( \bar{V}_{O_2} \) for a trial. The relationship between a dependent variable and experimental covariates was analysed using mixed effects models (lme, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, version 3.1.0, 2014). Individual animals were treated as random effects to account for correlation between repeated measurements on the same individual (Littell et al., 1998). Best models were chosen by the Akaike information criterion (AIC) against the null model (AICnull) and significant parameters assessed by the t-value between the estimate and its standard error. P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered as significant. Data are presented as the mean± standard deviation (s.d.), unless otherwise stated.
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