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Mechanical and Thermal Dehydrogenation of the Mechano-Chemically Synthesized Calcium Alanate (Ca(AlH₄)₂) and Lithium Chloride (LiCl) Composite
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Abstract: LiAlH₄ and CaCl₂ were employed for mechano-chemical activation synthesis (MCAS) of Ca(AlH₄)₂ and LiCl hydride composite. After short ball milling time, their X-ray diffraction (XRD) peaks are clearly observed. After ball milling for a longer duration than 0.5 h, the CaAlH₅ diffraction peaks are observed which indicates that Ca(AlH₄)₂ starts decomposing during ball milling into CaAlH₅+Al+1.5H₂. It is estimated that less than 1 wt % H₂ was mechanically dehydrogenated in association with decomposition reaction. After 2.5 h of ball milling, no Ca(AlH₄)₂ diffraction peaks were observed on XRD patterns which suggests that Ca(AlH₄)₂ was decomposed. Thermal behavior of ball milled powders, which was investigated by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), indicates that a certain fraction of Ca(AlH₄)₂ could have been disordered/amorphized during ball milling being undetectable by XRD. The apparent activation energy for the decomposition of Ca(AlH₄)₂ and CaAlH₅ equals 135 kJ/mol and 183 kJ/mol, respectively.
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1. Introduction

The worldwide acceptance of the Hydrogen Economy would lead to a gradual elimination of the present fossil fuels-based economy and make a decisive turn to the economy based on renewable and clean resources [1,2]. The backbone of the Hydrogen Economy is a wide usage of fuel cells (FC) where hydrogen gas (H₂), in contact with oxygen (O₂), is converted into an electrical energy. An interrupted supply of H₂ to fuel cells requires an efficient and inexpensive H₂ storage or generation system. There are three possible storage systems for supplying H₂ to a FC stack: gaseous H₂, liquid H₂ or solid metal/nonmetal hydrides [3,4]. For mass transportation by using automobiles, solid state hydrogen storage in hydrides has certain advantages over gas and liquid because most solid hydrides exhibit a higher H₂ volumetric density than gas or liquid storage, and they don’t have serious safety problems such as a very high pressure of 70 MPa for H₂ gas or large thermal losses for liquid H₂ which requires a formidable insulation and an open storage system [3,4]. Moreover, both H₂ gas and liquid storage suffer from substantial pressurization or liquefaction costs.

However, solid-state H₂ storage in hydrides has its own drawbacks. First, the most common, high power density Proton Exchange Membrane fuel cell (PEM FC) (sometimes also named a Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell (PEFC)) stack generates the quantity of waste heat which is able to rise coolant temperature to barely 70–80 °C. Second, a PEM FC stack operates at H₂ fuel pressure slightly above 1 bar (roughly 1.1–3.0 bar). Third, the US Department of Energy target for driving a fuel cell vehicle for 300 miles is 5.5 wt % H₂ for the year 2017 for the entire fuel system [5], which requires at least about 11 wt % H₂ capacity for the desorbing material. The Ultimate D.O.E. (Department of Energy) target is 7.5 wt % H₂ which requires, at least, a whopping 15 wt % H₂ capacity for the desorbing material.

In addition, an automotive H₂ storage system must be reversible “on board”. So far, there is no solid hydride that can desorb under roughly 1.0–3.0 bar H₂, at low temperatures, not exceeding the waste heat temperature of a FC stack (70–80 °C), which can be used for heating a hydride storage tank, has a capacity at least 11 wt % H₂ and be reversible “on board”. There is a growing doubt in the scientific community that hydride(s) meeting all those requirements would ever be found.

However, in the Hydrogen Economy there would be a number of nonautomotive applications as, for example, portable electronic devices, stationary auxiliary power systems, on-site H₂ generation systems, off-road vehicles (e.g., forklift trucks), watercrafts, trains and many others that would have much relaxed requirements for fuel cell hydrogen generation and supply system [6]. In the past years, novel hydride systems that are capable of rapid generation of H₂ at the ambient and low temperatures have been synthesized. So far, we found, that the hydride/hydride [6–9] and hydride/halide [6–9] mixtures were capable of mechanical dehydrogenation of about 4 wt % H₂ after injecting a quite low ball milling energy at the ambient temperature. A few other hydrides also released the quantity of 4 wt % H₂ although at lower mechanical dehydrogenation rates [6,10–12].

In the due course of our experimental work on the hydride systems capable of mechanical dehydrogenation, we recently noticed some proclivity of the hydride/halide (LiAlH₄-CaCl₂) system to mechanical dehydrogenation during ball milling (BM) at the ambient temperature. The mechanical dehydrogenation phenomenon has never been investigated for this particular hydride/halide system. The major scientific objective of this work is to investigate and understand in more detail the H₂ generation behavior from the LiAlH₄ and CaCl₂ system in a 2:1 stoichiometric ratio (equivalent to the 1:0.5 ratio)
during BM. Thermal dehydrogenation (thermolysis) experiments were also conducted to shed more light on the understanding of the occurring mechanisms.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Morphology of As-Received and Milled Powders

The morphology of as-received materials and the BM products after different milling times were analyzed with a high resolution scanning electron microscope. The as-received LiAlH$_4$ powder is characterized by a cylindrical shape and a non-uniform size distribution with the average length within the 20–60 µm range and the average width within the 10–40 µm range (Figure 1a). In contrast, the average size of the as-received particles of the CaCl$_2$ powder is within the 100–200 µm range (Figure 1b). The morphology analysis of milled powders shows a significant particle size reduction even after short milling time for 5 min after which a single particle diameter reaches ~1 µm (Figure 2a). It must be noticed that insufficient milling time causes the presence of unmilled, large particles, nearly 25–50 µm in size (Figure 2b), whereas milling for too long results in a nearly single particle agglomerate formation with the size within the 2.5–5 µm range (Figure 2c).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/fig1.png)  
Figure 1. The morphology of as-received powders (a) LiAlH$_4$ and (b) CaCl$_2$.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/fig2.png)  
Figure 2. The morphology of the (2LiAlH$_4$+CaCl$_2$) powders after varying time of milling: (a) 5 min; (b) 10 min; and (c) 5 h.
2.2. Microstructural Evolution and Mechanical Dehydrogenation during Ball Milling

The qualitative results of XRD phase analysis for the (LiAlH$_4$+CaCl$_2$) powders after various milling durations are presented in Figure 3. The XRD patterns for the mixture of as-received reactant powders show the presence of LiAlH$_4$ and CaCl$_2$. After barely 5 min of BM, the well-recognizable peaks of Ca(AlH$_2$)$_4$ and LiCl appear on the XRD patterns (Figure 3a). These peaks clearly show that mechano-chemical reaction between these two reactants starts after approximately 5 min of milling. This reaction can be described as follows:

\[
2\text{LiAlH}_4 + \text{CaCl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ca(AlH}_4)_2 + 2\text{LiCl}
\]  

Figure 3. XRD patterns of the (2LiAlH$_4$+CaCl$_2$) powders after varying milling durations. 
(a) as-received and milling up to 15 min; (b) milling from 30 min to 5 h.

The theoretical capacity of reaction (1) is 4.32 wt % H$_2$ and, accordingly, less taking into account of the practical purity of the reactants. It must be pointed out that reaction (1) was also reported to occur during mechano-chemical activation synthesis (MCAS) of Ca(AlH$_4$)$_2$ using such reactants as sodium alanate (NaAlH$_4$) and calcium chloride (CaCl$_2$) [13–17]. So far, the only attempt to use LiAlH$_4$ as a reactant with CaCl$_2$ to synthesize Ca(AlH$_4$)$_2$ by MCAS was reported in [13] but without any details.
After 0.5 h of milling (Figure 3b), CaAlH5 is also identified which indicates that newly formed Ca(AlH4)2 starts gradually decomposing during ball milling as a result of mechanical dehydrogenation [6]. The decomposition reaction of Ca(AlH4)2 could be described as follows:

\[
\text{Ca(AlH}_4\text{)}_2 + 2\text{LiCl} \rightarrow \text{CaAlH}_5 + \text{Al} + 1.5\text{H}_2 + [2\text{LiCl}] \quad (2)
\]

where the brackets indicate that 2LiCl is not taking part in the reaction being just a dead-weight for the system. The maximum theoretical quantity of H2 that could be desorbed in reaction (2) is 1.62 wt % H2 (slightly less if the practical purity of the reactants is taken into consideration). Apparently, the decomposition of Ca(AlH4)2 is associated with mechanical dehydrogenation during ball milling. After 0.5 h (30 min) of milling, only remnant peaks of Ca(AlH4)2 are discernible in Figure 3b. After 2.5 and 5 h of ball milling no diffraction peaks of Ca(AlH4)2 are visible anymore (Figure 3b). It must be pointed out that reaction (2) also occurs during thermal decomposition of Ca(AlH4)2 [13–17]. Table 1 summarizes the phases present in the microstructure after various milling durations as established from the XRD analysis. It is to be pointed out that the XRD patterns for powders ball milled from 0.5 to 5 h do not exhibit diffraction peaks of Al whose presence is required by reaction (2). Apparently, newly formed Al in reaction (2) must be in an amorphous or highly nanocrystalline state (undetectable by XRD).

### Table 1. Summary of phases present in the microstructure after various milling durations as shown in X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns.

| Milling Time | Phases Present |
|--------------|----------------|
| 1 min        | LiAlH4; CaCl2  |
| 5 min        | LiAlH4; CaCl2; Ca(AlH4)2; LiCl |
| 10 min       | LiAlH4; CaCl2; Ca(AlH4)2; LiCl |
| 15 min       | LiAlH4; CaCl2; Ca(AlH4)2; LiCl |
| 30 min       | Ca(AlH4)2; CaAlH5; LiCl |
| 45 min       | Ca(AlH4)2; CaAlH5; LiCl |
| 1 h          | Ca(AlH4)2; CaAlH5; LiCl |
| 2.5 h        | CaAlH5; LiCl |
| 5 h          | CaAlH5; LiCl |

#### 2.3. Thermal Dehydrogenation

Thermal dehydrogenation process of the (2LiAlH4+CaCl2) ball milled powders was investigated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA). Figure 4 shows the selected examples of DSC curves as a function of ball milling time. For the powder milled for barely 5 min, the first thermal peak is exothermic at around 180 °C (Figure 4a).

This peak temperature correlates very well with temperature for the first exothermic decomposition peak of LiAlH4 according to the well-known reaction [3,4]:

\[
\text{LiAlH}_4 \rightarrow 1/3\text{Li}_3\text{AlH}_6 + 2/3\text{Al} + \text{H}_2 \quad (3)
\]

In order to confirm additionally the origin of the first exothermic peak in Figure 4a, we conducted a DSC run for as-received LiAlH4 which exhibited a strong exothermic peak with a temperature maximum at 172 °C (a DSC curve not shown here). This confirms well that the first peak in Figure 4a is indeed related to the decomposition process of LiAlH4 according to reaction (3). Theoretical capacity
of this reaction is 5.3 wt % H₂ and correspondingly less in the present case because LiAlH₄ coexists with other phases like CaCl₂, Ca(AlH₄)₂ and LiCl (Table 1), although LiAlH₄ is still a majority phase in the mixture, which agrees with a high intensity of its DSC peak in Figure 4a. The shape of the LiAlH₄ decomposition peak suggests that reaction occurs in solid state instead of going through endothermic melting before decomposition as occurs for as-received LiAlH₄ without additives [18]. The small exothermic peak with the temperature maximum at 193 °C is most likely due to the decomposition of newly formed Ca(AlH₄)₂, co-existing in the mixture with the other phases as shown in Table 1. Since Ca(AlH₄)₂ is formed in a small quantity after barely 5 min BM, its DSC thermal peak is small, too. It is well known that the first decomposition reaction of Ca(AlH₄)₂ according to reaction (2) is exothermic [13–17]. Experimentally observed and reported in the literature DSC peaks for thermal reaction (2) are centered around 150 °C, although on occasions the peak is broad, covering a wide temperature range of 100–160 °C [16,17]. The present peak temperature at 193 °C is slightly higher, perhaps, because it overlaps with the beginning of thermolysis of Li₃AlH₆ as discussed below.

![DSC traces](image)

**Figure 4.** Selected DSC traces for the (2LiAlH₄+CaCl₂) powders after varying milling durations. (a) 5 min; (b) 45 min; (c) 2.5 h and (d) 5 h. Heating rate 5 °C/min.

The third DSC peak in Figure 4a is endothermic, very broad, with the shallow maximum at around 220 °C. It can be assigned to the simultaneous decomposition of both Li₃AlH₆ and CaAlH₅ according to the following reactions [3,4,13–17]:

\[
\frac{1}{3} \text{Li}_3\text{AlH}_6 \rightarrow \text{LiH} + \frac{1}{3}\text{Al} + 0.5\text{H}_2 \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{CaAlH}_5 \rightarrow \text{CaH}_2 + \text{Al} + 1.5\text{H}_2 \quad (5)
\]

Experimentally observed DSC temperature ranges for reactions (4) and (5) are within 180–260 °C [3,4,18] and 220–270 °C [15–17], respectively, which agrees well with thermal events depicted in Figure 4a.
Figure 3a shows that the diffraction intensity of LiAlH₄ peaks decrease after milling for 10 and 15 min because more of them are consumed in reaction (1) forming Ca(AlH₄)₂. Accordingly, the obtained DSC curves (not shown here) exhibited a principal exothermic peak having a peak maximum temperature slightly shifted to 172 °C and 158 °C for 10 and 15 min ball milled powders, respectively, which corresponds to the decomposition of retained LiAlH₄ according to reaction (3). Starting from 30 min milling, there is no LiAlH₄ in the microstructure (Figure 3b and Table 1) and the exothermic peak of the decomposition of retained Ca(AlH₄)₂ in the powder ball milled for 45 min, according to reaction (4), is shifted to ~146 °C (Figure 4b) which is in good agreement with the values reported in the literature being in the range of 100–160 °C [16,17]. The most interesting is a DSC curve in Figure 4c for a powder milled for 2.5 h. It shows pretty strong exothermic peak with the maximum at 139 °C. Apparently, its temperature corresponds very well to the decomposition temperature range of Ca(AlH₄)₂. However, the corresponding XRD pattern in Figure 3b shows no peak corresponding to Ca(AlH₄)₂. This apparent discrepancy can possibly be explained by proposing a hypothesis that a fraction of Ca(AlH₄)₂ mechanically dehydrogenates during ball milling and the other fraction becomes disordered/amorphous, which is undetected by XRD but it still decomposes during DSC measurements. This hypothesis is supported by the shape of a DSC curve for a powder ball milled for 5 h in Figure 4d which shows a small hump at 144 °C most likely corresponding to the decomposition of retained disordered/amorphous Ca(AlH₄)₂. Finally, the endothermic DSC peaks in Figure 4b,c, correspond to the decomposition of CaAlH₅ according to reaction (5).

Figure 5 shows selected curves of TGA, exactly corresponding to the DSC curves in Figure 4. The curves for the powders milled for 5 min, 45 min and 2.5 h exhibit Stage I and II of mass loss. Apparently, Stage I in Figure 5a corresponds to the decomposition of LiAlH₄ (reaction (3)) and that in Figure 5b,c corresponds to the decomposition of both the crystalline and disordered/amorphous Ca(AlH₄)₂ fractions (reaction (2)). There is no clearly visible Stage I in Figure 5d after ball milling for 5 h which confirms that the retained quantity of likely amorphous Ca(AlH₄)₂ is nearly negligible. Stage II in Figure 5a corresponds to the decomposition of Li₃AlH₆ (reaction (4)) and in Figure 5b–d to the decomposition of CaAlH₅.

Table 2 summarizes the mass losses in both Stage I and II measured between the inflection points on the TGA curves for each stage and the temperature ranges for both stages. For the 5, 10 and 15 min samples, the values of mass loss are between 1.2 and 1.5 wt %. The observed mass losses correspond to thermolysis of both LiAlH₄ and Ca(AlH₄)₂ (Figure 3a and Table 1), so it is hard to estimate how much of the mass loss is related to the mechanical dehydrogenation of Ca(AlH₄)₂ during BM assuming that the observed mass loss in Stage I is fully due to thermal dehydrogenation. However, samples ball milled for 30 min, 45 min and 1 h exhibit thermal mass loss of 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4 wt %, respectively. Assuming that this mass loss directly corresponds to thermal dehydrogenation and the maximum theoretical quantity of mechanically dehydrogenated H₂ in reaction (2) is 1.62 wt % H₂, then one can estimate that about 0.5, 0.3 and 0.2 wt % H₂ was mechanically desorbed during ball milling from samples ball milled for 30 min, 45 min and 1 h, respectively. Therefore, it seems that the mechanical dehydrogenation phenomenon is not quite predominant in the (Ca(AlH₄)₂+LiCl) hydride nanocomposite studied in the present work. Furthermore, the sample milled for 2.5 h shows 1.2 wt % mass loss corresponding to 1.2 wt % H₂ desorbed from this sample in which, most likely, Ca(AlH₄)₂ exists as a disordered/amorphous hydride. Accordingly, it shows that about 0.4 wt % H₂ was mechanically
dehydrogenated during ball milling for 5 h, confirming a rather modest occurrence of the mechanical dehydrogenation phenomenon in this study. It is to be pointed out that the mechano-chemical synthesis was carried out in the present work using a Fritsch Pulverisette 7 planetary mill. The milling energy generated by this type of mill is relatively modest by comparison with the magneto-mill Uni-Ball Mill 5 used for inducing a profound mechanical dehydrogenation reported in [3,4,6–12]. In addition, the milling time up to 5 h used in the present work might have been too short to provide a sufficient milling energy input per gram of powder (kJ/g) to induce more pronounced mechanical dehydrogenation in a Fritsch Pulverisette 7 planetary mill. It would be of interest to repeat mechanical dehydrogenation studies of the present system in the magneto-mill Uni-Ball Mill 5 and compare the results.

![TGA traces](image)

**Figure 5.** Selected TGA traces for the (2LiAlH₄+CaCl₂) powders after various milling durations. (a) 5 min; (b) 45 min; (c) 2.5 h and (d) 5 h. Heating rate 5 °C/min.

Stage II of TGA is related to the decomposition of CaAlH₅ according to reaction (5) when it is a single phase. However, in the mixture with LiCl reaction (5) is modified to:

\[
\text{CaAlH}_5 + \text{Al} + [2\text{LiCl}] \rightarrow \text{CaH}_2 + 2\text{Al} + 1.5\text{H}_2 + [2\text{LiCl}]
\]  

The theoretical capacity of reaction (6) is 1.65 wt % H₂. Assuming that mass losses for Stage II in Table 2 are due to the hydrogen release; then, approximately only about 50% of the total H₂ capacity of reaction (6) is dehydrogenated in Stage II. Apparently, CaAlH₅ seems to be rather stable at a quite elevated temperature range 230–250 °C under a heating rate of 5 °C/min.
Table 2. Summary of thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) mass loss (wt %) at Stage I and II of decomposition of hydride nanocomposites after varying milling time and the temperature ranges of both stages.

| Milling Time | Mass loss Stage I (wt %) | Mass Loss Stage II (wt %) | Stage I Range (°C) | Stage II Range (°C) |
|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 5 min        | 1.5                      | 0.4                       | 160–175            | 205–235            |
| 10 min       | 1.3                      | 0.8                       | 160–175            | 205–235            |
| 15 min       | 1.2                      | 0.9                       | 145–160            | 230–250            |
| 30 min       | 1.1                      | 0.7                       | 145–160            | 230–250            |
| 45 min       | 1.3                      | 0.9                       | 150–170            | 235–250            |
| 1 h          | 1.4                      | 0.9                       | 147–165            | 235–250            |
| 2.5 h        | 1.2                      | 0.8                       | 140–160            | 235–250            |
| 5 h          | ~0.0                     | 1.0                       | -                  | 230–250            |

2.4. Apparent Activation Energy

The apparent activation energy, $E_a$, of decomposition processes occurring in DSC in Figure 4, was estimated in Figure 6 for the sample ball milled for 45 min, by the Kissinger method [19] plotting the maximum reaction rate $\ln(\beta/(T_{max}^2))$ as a function of $(1/T)$, where $\beta$ is the heating rate (K/min) and $T_{max}$ is the peak temperature in K, carried out with varying heating rates of 1, 2.5 and 7 °C/min for DSC measurements. The reaction rates were obtained from the slopes of the tangent lines at the inflection points of DSC peaks corresponding to $\text{H}_2$ desorption.

![Figure 6. Kissinger plots for (a) first and (b) second stage of calcium alanate decomposition for the sample milled for 45 min (Figure 4b).](image)

Apparently, a good linearity between $\ln(\beta/(T_{max}^2))$ and $1/T$ is obtained with $R^2 = 0.99$. The apparent activation energy equals 135 kJ/mol and 183 kJ/mol for the first decomposition of Ca(AlH₄)₂ and the second decomposition of CaAlH₅, respectively. Unfortunately, we were unable to find in the literature a value of the apparent activation energy for the Ca(AlH₄)₂ decomposition stage obtained in other studies.
In contrast, the literature reported values of the apparent activation energy for the CaAlH₅ decomposition are reported as 161 kJ/mol [20] and 153.4 kJ/mol [16,17]. The value of the apparent activation energy of 183 kJ/mol is close to the reported range although slightly higher. This relatively high value explains the fact that only about 50% of the total H₂ capacity of reaction (6) is desorbed in Stage II, as discussed earlier, pointing towards an enhanced thermal stability of CaAlH₅. It is hypothesized that a disordered/amorphous fraction of Ca(AlH₄)₂, which likely coexists with CaAlH₅ after ball milling for 2.5 and 5 h, could be responsible for a sluggishness of CaAlH₅ thermal decomposition as mentioned earlier.

3. Experimental Section

The powders of LiAlH₄ (99.8% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and CaCl₂ (96% purity, Alpha Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA) were mixed in the stoichiometric composition 2:1 and ball milled in a Fritsch Pulverisette 7 planetary mill.

Mechano-chemical activation synthesis (MCAS) was carried out for various milling times from 5 min to 5 h in argon gas. The as-received powder mixture was loaded with ten stainless-steel balls, 10 mm in diameter, into 20 mL bowl made of hardened-steel. The ball-to-powder weight ratio was 40:1 and the rotational speed of the milling bowl was 650 rpm. The powder, before and after milling, was kept in a Labmaster Workstation (MBraun Inert-Gas Systeme GmbH, Garching, Germany) under continuously purified argon atmosphere with <0.1 ppm O₂ and H₂O vapor. The samples after 5, 10, 15, 30, 45 min and 1, 2.5 and 5 h of milling were taken for further study.

Morphological examination of powders was conducted with high-resolution SEM Quanta 3D FEG Dual Beam (Quanta, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The samples of loose powder were attached to a carbon tape and coated by gold in vacuum.

The phase composition of the samples was checked by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on Rigaku ULTIMA IV (Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) using a CuKα₁ radiation, generated at an accelerating voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA. To prevent samples, oxidation and hydrolysis tested samples were sealed in glass capillaries. The scan range was from 2θ = 20°–120° and the scan rate was 0.6°·min⁻¹. The X-ray profiles were analyzed with the PDXL software (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) working with a PDF-4 database. The following ICDD (JCPDS) file numbers were used for phase identification: CaCl₂-12-0056; Ca(AlH₄)₂-14-5077; LiCl-04-0664; CaAlH₅-14-5080.

The thermal behavior of powders was studied by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) (LabSys-SETARAM, SETARAM Instrumentation, Caluire, France) using 5–10 mg samples with heating rate of 5 °C/min.

Mass loss of samples was measured by TGA using LabSys-SETARAM and estimated with respect to the total mass of powder. The samples with the 120–160 mg weight were tested with heating rate 5 °C/min and in the temperature range of 50–270 °C.

4. Conclusions

A hydride composite of Ca(AlH₄)₂ and LiCl was synthesized using mechano-chemical activation synthesis (MCAS) employing LiAlH₄ and CaCl₂ as the reactants, during relatively short milling duration. Ca(AlH₄)₂ starts decomposing into the mixture of CaAlH₅+2Al+1.5H₂ during ball milling for
at least 0.5 h and longer which is evidenced by the CaAlH5 diffraction peaks appearing on XRD patterns. Mechanical dehydrogenation not exceeding 1 wt % H2 is associated with the Ca(AlH4)2 decomposition during ball milling in a Fritsch Pulverisette 7 planetary mill up to 2.5 h. After 2.5 h of ball milling no Ca(AlH4)2 diffraction peaks were observed on XRD patterns which might suggest that Ca(AlH4)2 was fully decomposed. On the other hand, thermal behavior of ball milled powders, which was investigated by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), indicated that a certain fraction of Ca(AlH4)2 was disordered/amorphized during ball milling. The apparent activation energy of 135 kJ/mol and 183 kJ/mol was estimated for the thermolysis of Ca(AlH4)2 and CaAlH5, respectively, both co-existing with LiCl in a composite.
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