Improvement of Photoautotrophic Algal Biomass Production after Interrupted CO₂ Supply by Urea and KH₂PO₄ Injection
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Abstract: Microalgal-derived biomass is currently considered a sustainable feedstock for making biofuels, including biodiesel and direct combustion fuel. The photoautotrophic cultivation of microalgae using flue gas from power plants has been continuously investigated to improve the economic feasibility of microalgal processes. The utilization of waste CO₂ from power plants is advantageous in reducing carbon footprints and the cost of carbon sources. Nonetheless, the sudden interruption of CO₂ supply during microalgal cultivation leads to a severe reduction in biomass productivity. Herein, chemical fertilizers including urea and KH₂PO₄ were added to the culture medium when CO₂ supply was halted. Urea (5 mM) and KH₂PO₄ (5 mM) were present in the culture medium in the form of CO₂/NH₄⁺ and K⁺/H₂PO₄⁻, respectively, preventing cell growth inhibition. The culture with urea and KH₂PO₄ supplementation exhibited 10.02-fold higher and 7.28-fold higher biomass and lipid productivity, respectively, compared to the culture with ambient CO₂ supply due to the maintenance of a stable pH and dissolved inorganic carbon in the medium. In the mass cultivation of microalgae using flue gas from coal-fired power plants, urea and KH₂PO₄ were supplied while the flue gas supply was shut off. Consequently, the microalgae were grown successfully without cell death.
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1. Introduction

The emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the use of fossil fuels are increasingly raising the atmospheric levels of CO₂. This increase in the levels of CO₂ in the atmosphere is associated with climate change [1], rising sea levels [2] and direct risks to human health [3]. The Paris Agreement was adopted at the 2015 United Nations (UN) Conference on Climate Change to foster cooperation between countries in reducing anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. Subsequently, interest in carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technologies has increased rapidly [4–6]. In particular, biological conversion technologies that capture CO₂ using only photosynthetic organisms and light as energy sources are promising technologies [7,8]. Bio-based CO₂ conversion processes also have a high potential as a sustainable carbon reduction technology, producing valuable products while simultaneously mitigating CO₂ emissions [9–12].

Microalgae can be utilized as a representative bio-platform for CO₂ conversion thanks to their advantages over traditional biomass resources, including: (1) high photosynthetic efficiency; (2) high biomass productivity; (3) no controversy in food and feed ethics; (4) low water consumption for cultivation; (5) availability in non-arable areas [13–17]. It is estimated that there are approximately 20,000 to 800,000 microalgal species on Earth, with around 50,000 having been discovered so far [18]. It is possible to produce hundreds of useful substances, such as biofuels [19–21], bioplastics [22,23], antioxidants [24–26], and pigments [27,28], by selecting the appropriate microalgal species, taking into consideration the culture conditions and target products based on the diversity of species. Taking into
account their potential for CO₂ mitigation and the large size of this market, the demand for microalgae-based biofuels is constantly increasing [29]. Despite the advantages of using microalgae as a feedstock for biofuels, large-scale microalgae processes have yet to be adopted commercially because of the high cost of production [30]. Thus, the main obstacles to cost-effective biofuel production are: (1) low net volumetric lipid productivity [31]; (2) high input energy for harvesting processes [32]; (3) high cost for obtaining CO₂, nutrients, and water [33]. To overcome these drawbacks and improve the economic feasibility of microalgae processes, numerous efforts have been made to increase the efficiency in both the upstream and downstream processes [34–40]. In particular, culture systems able to directly utilize waste CO₂ emitted from power plants has attracted attention for its potential to capture large amounts of carbon, thereby reducing the cost of carbon sources [41,42].

Depending on the type of fuel used in a given power plant, flue gas contains approximately 2–20% of CO₂ [43,44]. These CO₂ concentrations can be directly applied to microalgae cultivation with suitable microalgal species and culture systems. The direct use of flue gas can minimize the cost of carbon sources, which is a significant factor that determines the overall cost of biological processes [45]. In outdoor large-scale cultivation of microalgae, if artificial CO₂ and organic carbon sources are used as carbon sources, the total cost of the carbon source is very high, but there is no cost when using flue gas emitted from coal-fired power plants. Also, the establishment of microalgae processes near the flue gas emission source can be used to reduce the cost and energy of transporting carbon sources further [46]. Despite these advantages, flue gas supplies can be temporarily interrupted at any time due to their dependence on equipment and machines that are prone to failure. To minimize the use of external energy, the outdoor cultivation of microalgae utilizing sunlight as the sole energy source requires a culture period of 1 to 4 weeks [47,48]. If the CO₂ injection is halted during the night (no light) the pH will not change considerably as compared to the daytime (light). However, the supply of CO₂ is not only interrupted at night but depending on the situation of the power plant such as emergency stop and over-haul thereby causing pH change in unexpected times. Thus, if the supply of flue gas containing a high concentration of CO₂ is halted during this period, a rapid change in the pH of the culture medium occurs, resulting in a decrease in cell growth or cell death [49]. Cultivating microalgae after cell death is expensive and time consuming, due to the need to wash photobioreactors (PBR), creating a culture medium, and preparing microalgal seeds [50]. Thus, the overall cost of the process can be very large.

In this study, we propose a strategy to prevent cell death and improve biomass and lipid production during flue gas supply interruption in microalgal cultivation. Urea and KH₂PO₄, which are widely used as chemical fertilizers, were introduced into the culture medium to provide additional nutrient sources and alleviate rapid changes in pH. The optimum concentrations of urea and KH₂PO₄ were investigated to maximize the biomass production. In addition, the possibility of a synergistic effect on increasing biomass productivity was confirmed by injecting the two chemicals simultaneously. To analyze these results, the dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentration and the pH of the medium were analyzed in a chemical fertilizer system and compared with conventional buffer systems supplied with high concentrations of CO₂. Additionally, we verified whether the improvement of cell growth in photoautotrophic culture could lead to an improvement in the lipid productivity. Lastly, the system was applied to a large-scale microalgal cultivation process using flue gas emitted from a coal-fired power plant. In conclusion, upon the interruption of the CO₂ supply, which can lead to substantial losses in the large-scale process, it was possible to suppress the cell death caused by a rapid change in the medium pH and enhance the biomass productivity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Algal Strains and Culture Conditions

*Chlorella sorokiniana* UTEX 2714 was acquired from the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Texas at Austin (TX, USA). In the indoor cultivation, all cells were cultured in
a 500-mL graduated cylinder containing 0.05 g L\(^{-1}\) of CaCl\(_2\), 0.1 g L\(^{-1}\) of MgSO\(_4\), 0.4 g L\(^{-1}\) of NH\(_4\)Cl, 0.108 g L\(^{-1}\) of K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 0.056 g L\(^{-1}\) of KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), and 1 mL of Hutner’s trace elements solution with 10 mM of potassium hydroxide solution (KOH). This KOH acts as bicarbonate buffer when CO\(_2\) is bubbled in the culture. 10 mM KOH solution was aerated with 100% CO\(_2\) gas and flue gas from a coal-fired power plant for lab-scale cultivation and outdoor cultivation, respectively [9]. To keep the pH constant in bicarbonate buffer system, CO\(_2\) must be continuously supplied. If the bicarbonate buffer system is collapsed, the rapid increase in the pH (up to 9.5) of the culture medium occurs, resulting in a decrease in cell growth or cell death. CO\(_2\) was supplied from the bottom by a sparger to create a bubble column for cultivation and preventing sedimentation. Inorganic or organic compounds, including 5 mM of urea and KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) were also added simultaneously at the interruption of the CO\(_2\) supply. For the experiment comparing of different buffer systems, 20 mM of 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) was supplemented instead of KOH. A two-stage culture strategy was applied to enhance the synchronous production of biomass and lipids under photoautotrophic culture conditions. In the growth stage, the cells were fully grown under light of 150 \(\mu\)E m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\). In the induction stage, the cells were transferred to a nitrogen-depleted medium for inductive growth and lipid production with intense illumination (300 \(\mu\)E m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)). For the lab-scale experiments, the artificial light was illuminated using LED and the natural sunlight was used at outdoor cultivation.

2.2. Analytical Methods

2.2.1. Analysis of Algal Cell Growth

Biomass concentration was determined by measuring the dry cell weight (DCW) using pre-dried (at 105 °C in a drying oven) and pre-weighed GF/F glass microfiber filter (Whatman, Cambridge, UK). The microfiber filter was washed with deionized (DI) water before use. The sample was centrifuged and washed with DI water. Then, a 5-mL aliquot of evenly mixed sample was uniformly loaded onto the pre-weighed dry filter. The cell-loaded filter was washed using DI water to remove any excess salt in the sample. The filter was dried in an oven for 2 days to evaporate the DI water. Finally, dry cell weight was determined as the difference between the mass of the sample-loaded filter and the pre-weighed dry filter [45].

2.2.2. Determination of pH and Dissolved Inorganic Carbon in the Medium

To determine the pH and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)) of the medium, the evenly mixed sample was centrifuged at 3000 rpm and 23 °C for 10 min to obtain a supernatant. The pH of the supernatant was measured using a digital pH meter (HI 8314 model; Hanna Instruments, Seoul, Republic of Korea). The dissolved inorganic carbon was titrated against standardized hydrochloric acid (HCl, 0.03 N) via phenolphthalein titration. The supernatant (20 mL) was placed in a 50-mL conical tube attached to a pH meter and titrated against HCl using phenolphthalein (pK\(_a\) = 8.6) indicator to know the first end point of colorless. And then, methyl orange (pK\(_a\) = 3.8) as the indicator was used to know the second end point. The first end point corresponds to OH\(^-\) + CO\(_3^{2-}\) (total alkalinity), while the second corresponds to OH\(^-\) + HCO\(_3^-\) (total acidity). The DIC content was estimated by subtracting the second end point from the first and applying the volumetric relation \(V_1N_1 = V_2N_2\) [51]. \(V_1\) and \(N_1\) are the supernatant volume and DIC concentration (mg/L) of samples. \(V_2\) is the subtracted value and \(N_2\) is the known concentration of the HCl.

2.2.3. Lipid Extraction and Lipid Content of Algal Cells

At the end of the growth stage (after 4 days), the cells were centrifuged and re-suspended in nitrogen-depleted medium for inductive growth and lipid production with intense illumination (300 \(\mu\)E m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)). After 6 days of nitrogen starvation, the lipid-accumulated cell suspension was obtained from the graduated cylinder to measure the lipid content of the microalgal cells. Total lipid was first extracted using the modified Bligh and Dyer method for the total lipid assay of C.sorokiniana [52]. Briefly, 3 mL of each culture
sample in a 15-mL screw-capped glass tube was centrifuged at 3000 rpm and 23 °C for 10 min. The resulting supernatant was discarded to obtain the cell pellet. Then, the cell pellet was homogeneously mixed with 3.9 mL of a chloroform and methanol mixture (1:2, v/v) utilizing vortexing, and incubated at 50 °C in a heating block. The extraction was repeated until the cell debris became colorless. The cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm and 23 °C for 10 min. 1.3 mL of chloroform and 2.0 mL of DI water were supplemented to the supernatant. Then the samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm and 23 °C for 10 min to obtain the organic phases. 2.0 mL of the organic phase was loaded to a pre-weighed dry glass tube. The sample-loaded glass tube was dried overnight at 50 °C to remove the organic solvent. Finally, amount of lipids was determined as the difference between the weight of the sample-loaded glass tube and the pre-weighed dry glass tube [53].

2.3. Outdoor Cultivation of Microalgae Using Flue Gas from Coal-Fired Power Plant

To protect the microalgal cultivation system from external hazards, a polycarbonate (PC) greenhouse (width × length × height: 5 m × 10 m × 3.7 m) was installed at the Taean coal power plant (PREMIUM DESIGN, Chungcheongnam-do, Republic of Korea) [44]. The microalgal cells were inoculated into a 10-L thin-film photobioreactor (PBR) constructed with a polymer film (polyethylene terephthalate, octene, and Nylon-6) [54]. The cells were cultivated in the PBR with 0.1 vvm of the flue gas by the ring blower connected to the stack of the power plant. To simulate the interruption of the flue gas supply, after 4 days of cultivation, the flue gas supply line was blocked, and the air was injected using a bypass line. Then, 5 mM of urea and 5 mM of KH$_2$PO$_4$ were injected into the PBR in the form of a solution. Natural sunlight was provided as the only light source.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Introduction of Urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ to Microalgae Culture System for Preventing Cell Growth Inhibition from Interruption in CO$_2$ Supply

Microalgae are photosynthetic organisms that can be photoautotrophically cultivated to reduce carbon source costs and carbon footprint. HEPES and Tris buffer systems are widely used to maintain stable pH in lab-scale photoautotrophic microalgal cultivation. However, the applications of large-scale culture systems are limited by to their high cost [48]. Accordingly, Choi et al. developed an affordable bicarbonate buffer system applicable to large-scale microalgae processes by utilizing flue gas emitted from power plants [9]. To generate the bicarbonate buffer, air containing a high concentration of CO$_2$ is injected into an alkaline solution to decrease the pH and increase the DIC concentration. The overall reaction can be abbreviated as shown in Equations (1) and (2) [19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2O + CO_2 & \rightarrow H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow H^+ + HCO_3^- \\
HCO_3^- & \leftrightarrow H^+ + CO_3^{2-}
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, when photoautotrophically culturing the microalgae using the bicarbonate buffer system, it is essential to constantly supply a high concentration of CO$_2$. In particular, outdoor cultivation using flue gas from a coal-fired power plant is optimized for this system because of the high concentration of CO$_2$ in the flue gas (13–15%). Despite these advantages, an emergency shutdown or the inspection of a power plant can lead to interruptions in the supply of CO$_2$ for hours to weeks during microalgae cultivation. To minimize losses in this situation, a strategy by which to maintain the neutral pH of the culture medium and supply a carbon source to the microalgal cells is required (Figure 1). If the supply of flue gas is stopped, the pH of the culture medium changes rapidly as the bicarbonate buffer system collapses, which can induce cell death. One solution involves injecting artificially produced CO$_2$ by mixing it with air. However, this approach is also limited by its high cost. Due to its high nitrogen content (46.7%), urea is considered a low-cost and efficient form of nitrogen compared to other nitrogen sources [55]. Since urea can be dissolved in the form
of CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ in water with the help of urease from microalgal cells, it represents both a carbon source and a nitrogen source to microalgal cells [56]. The overall reaction can be abbreviated as shown in Equations (3) and (4) [55,56].

$$\text{CO(NH}_2\text{)}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{NH}_3 \tag{3}$$

$$\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{OH}^- \tag{4}$$

In addition, urea has been widely used as an efficient alternative to nitrogen sources due to its low production cost and low price per kilogram [57].

Phosphate is a necessary nutrient for cellular material, containing phospholipids, nucleic acids and nucleotides. It also provides a significant function in cellular processes, including signal transduction, energy transfer, macromolecule biosynthesis, photosynthesis, and respiration. Polyphosphates plays a main role in the phosphorus storage in microalgae, composed of unbranched chains of PO$_4^{3-}$ structural units linked together by oxygen bridges. The concentration of polyphosphate present in the microalgae is dependent on the phosphate available in the medium, the degree of available light, and the temperature. A phosphate buffer system has buffer properties because of the following ionizations reactions, as shown in Equations (5) and (6). Buffer systems using KH$_2$PO$_4$ play a key role in maintaining the pH at moderate conditions during cultivation:

$$\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4^- \leftrightarrow \text{K}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{2-} \ (\text{pK}_a = 6.8) \tag{5}$$

$$\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{2-} \leftrightarrow \text{HPO}_4^{2-} \tag{6}$$

Here, we present a strategy that can be applied to microalgal culture systems during an interruption in the CO$_2$ supply. Injecting urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$, which are relatively inexpensive chemical fertilizers, into the microalgal culture solution whose gas supply has stopped, allows the culture to maintain a stable pH and provides sufficient nutrient sources to suppress cell death and increase biomass productivity.

### 3.2. Effect of Urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ on Microalgal Biomass Production

#### 3.2.1. Effect of Urea Concentration on Biomass Production

Both ammonia-based chemical fertilizers and urea accumulate ammonia in water in a unionized form. However, in contrast to other ammonia-based chemical fertilizers, urea
releases CO₂. Therefore, urea can provide microalgal cells a source of carbon when the supply of CO₂ is interrupted. To confirm whether cells can grow with a supply of urea alone, biomass production was analyzed according to the concentration of urea injected under ambient CO₂ supply conditions. As shown in Figure 2a, C. sorokiniana cells were grown with 0, 1, 3, 5, 8, and 10 mM urea. The biomass concentration increased up to 5 mM as the urea concentration increased. However, higher urea concentrations resulted in biomass loss compared to 5 mM. This is consistent with the results reported by Goswami and Kalita, who found that the excessive supply of urea to microalgal cells interferes with cell growth [58]. As a result, cultures supplied 5 mM of urea exhibited a 8.94-fold higher biomass production (1.61 g L⁻¹) compared to cultures supplied only ambient CO₂ without urea (0.18 g L⁻¹) (Figure 2a). The biomass productivity was 0.161 g L⁻¹ day⁻¹ for the cultures supplied with 5 mM of urea and 0.018 g L⁻¹ day⁻¹ for the cultures grown the ambient CO₂ without urea. Since there is no available carbon source and the bicarbonate buffer system collapses, the pH of cells cultured in the ambient CO₂ without urea was increased rapidly up to 9.74 at day 5, thereby resulting in a decrease in cell growth or cell death after day 5. Consequently, under autotrophic conditions with ambient CO₂, C. sorokiniana cells showed the best performance after the supply of 5 mM urea.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Biomass production of *Chlorella sorokiniana* UTEX 2714 using chemical fertilizers. Biomass production according to concentrations (0, 1, 3, 5, 8, and 10 mM) of (a) urea and (b) KH₂PO₄ injections with ambient CO₂ supply. Results represent the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three replicates.

### 3.2.2. Effect of KH₂PO₄ Concentration on Biomass Production

*C. sorokiniana* cells were cultured with 0–10 mM KH₂PO₄ in the growth stage (Figure 2b). The culture supplemented with 5 mM KH₂PO₄ exhibited 7.28-fold higher biomass compared to the culture with ambient CO₂ supply without KH₂PO₄. Under autotrophic conditions with ambient CO₂, *C. sorokiniana* cells showed the best performance after supplementation with 5 mM KH₂PO₄. As a result, the cell density (1.33 g L⁻¹) in the cells cultured with 5 mM KH₂PO₄ was 7.39-fold higher than that of the cells cultured at 0 mM KH₂PO₄ (0.18 g L⁻¹) (Figure 2b). When KH₂PO₄ was injected at the optimum concentration, the increase in the biomass was lower than with 5 mM urea. Urea can directly supply both carbon and nitrogen, which are the most essential elements for cell growth. On the other hand, it is inferred that the increase in biomass is relatively low because KH₂PO₄ only contributes to the supply of phosphorus, while a stable pH only has a relatively auxiliary role in cell growth in culture. Therefore, to achieve optimal biomass productivity when the supply of CO₂ is interrupted, we attempted to maximize the synergistic effect by supplying both urea and KH₂PO₄.

### 3.3. Synergistic Effect of the Combination of Urea and KH₂PO₄ on Microalgal Cell Growth

Biomass production was investigated when urea and KH₂PO₄ were injected simultaneously under low-concentration CO₂ supply conditions. Urea and KH₂PO₄ were injected...
into the medium by combining various concentrations of urea and KH₂PO₄ at a concentration of 5 mM (the optimal concentration when injected alone into the culture medium) (Figure 3). As in the previous results, high cell growth rates were observed compared to conditions without urea and KH₂PO₄. In particular, regardless of the ratio of urea and KH₂PO₄, the difference in biomass production was only 0.18 g L⁻¹ during the 4 days of cultivation. However, after 10 days of cell culture, when both urea and KH₂PO₄ were injected at a concentration of 5 mM, the biomass production reached 1.834 g L⁻¹. This indicates an increase of 29.3% in biomass production compared to injection with 2.5 mM/2.5 mM (urea and KH₂PO₄ injected at a 1:1 ratio at low concentrations). In addition, it was confirmed that using a ratio of urea that is relatively high compared to the ratio of KH₂PO₄ results in increased cell growth. Specifically, compared to supplementation with urea 2.5 mM/KH₂PO₄ 2.5 mM, when the KH₂PO₄ ratio was increased to 5.0 mM, the biomass increase rate was only 2.8%, but when the urea ratio increased to 5.0 mM, the biomass increase rate was 17.2% (6.1-fold higher than 5.0 mM of KH₂PO₄). As a result, when both urea and KH₂PO₄ concentrations were injected at 5 mM, biomass production (1.834 g L⁻¹) was enhanced by 902.2%, 37.7%, and 14.1% compared to ambient CO₂, KH₂PO₄ alone, and urea alone, respectively. Therefore, in 5% of CO₂ supply, the biomass concentration is 1.96 g L⁻¹ and decreases to 0.18 g L⁻¹ when CO₂ supply is halted, representing 91% loss of biomass. However, when urea and KH₂PO₄ is simultaneously provided, the biomass loss is reduced to only 9%.

![Figure 3. Biomass concentration of Chlorella sorokiniana UTEX 2714 with different combinations of urea and KH₂PO₄ concentrations. Results represent the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three replicates.](image-url)

3.4. Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) Concentration and pH of the Medium with Different Buffer Systems

3.4.1. DIC Concentration of the Medium

To analyze how urea and KH₂PO₄ contribute to an increased biomass production at low concentrations of CO₂, the DIC concentration and pH in the culture medium were evaluated. Although gaseous CO₂ can easily pass through the cell membrane, it is able to just as easily leak out of the cell. Carbonic anhydrase (CA) is involved in the carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM) under low CO₂ supply conditions and converts gaseous CO₂ into HCO₃⁻ ions, storing carbon in the cytoplasm, also known as the internal Ci pool. By consuming adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the cell can transport bicarbonate ions from the outside to the inside of the cell, providing a more stable carbon source for microalgal cells. At low concentrations of CO₂, the DIC concentration in the culture medium is low. Therefore, cells obtain the carbon required for their survival via the CCM. However, this requires high energy and complex processes. By contrast, upon the injection of urea into the culture system, the content of inorganic carbon dissolved in the culture medium increases, and the overall DIC concentration rises to 94.4 mg L⁻¹, 9.0 times
higher than that without urea (Figure 4). Although this concentration is lower than that of the bicarbonate-based buffer system composed of DIC components, it is similar to the DIC concentration of the culture medium when a high concentration of CO$_2$ (5% $v/v$) is supplied using a HEPES buffer at a laboratory scale. Therefore, the injection of urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ can increase the concentration of DIC, allowing cells to utilize carbon sources easily. Increasing the levels of DIC is an efficient strategy to increase biomass and lipid production in autotrophic microalgal cultivation by improving sustained carbon availability and photosynthetic efficiency.

![Figure 4. Comparison of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentration and pH of the medium with different CO$_2$ concentrations (ambient CO$_2$ and 5% CO$_2$), chemical fertilizers (urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$), and buffer systems (HEPES buffer and bicarbonate buffer) after 4 days of microalgae cultivation. Results represent the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three replicates.](image)

### 3.4.2. pH of the Medium

Using HEPES buffer and bicarbonate buffer systems with strong buffer capacity, a pH around 7 (7.11–7.43), the optimal pH for cell growth, was maintained despite the continuous supply of high concentrations of CO$_2$. Bicarbonate buffer is produced by dissolving aerial CO$_2$ in a highly alkaline solution, as shown in Equation (7):

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (7)
\]

The equilibrium of the CO$_2$ entities dissolved in water will highly depend on the pH and mixing system. Physically, some of CO$_2$ can be stripped by CO$_2$ bubbling. However, as the CO$_2$ concentration in the flue gas (13–15%) is much more concentrated than that of atmospheric CO$_2$ (0.04%), the amount of CO$_2$ released from the system can be sufficiently compensated by newly dissolved CO$_2$. However, if the supply of CO$_2$ is interrupted, it is impossible to provide the DIC into the bicarbonate buffer system, causing an imbalance, eventually causing the buffer system to collapse. The disruption of the buffer system can cause severe changes in pH during microalgal culture, resulting in cell death. As shown in Figure 4, the pH increased to 9.74 under ambient CO$_2$ conditions without an additional DIC supply. This pH range exceeds the normal microalgal cell culture conditions and can seriously reduce cell survival. We hypothesized that if urea was added to a culture with a low concentration of CO$_2$, the cells would utilize some DIC as a carbon source for growth, while the remaining DIC would contribute to the bicarbonate buffer system to maintain an appropriate culture pH. However, maintaining a stable pH was difficult since, even with the supplementation of urea, the pH of the culture medium rose to pH 8.11 (Figure 4). Therefore, HPO$_4^{2-}$, which is a component of the existing phosphate buffer system, was supplied in the form of KH$_2$PO$_4$ to further increase the buffer capacity. As a result, urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ were simultaneously injected to minimize changes in the pH of the culture medium to pH 7.58, which was similar to the pH at a high concentration of CO$_2$ in a...
bicarbonate buffer system. In other words, a stable pH was maintained by increasing the buffer capacity using KH$_2$PO$_4$ while also providing a carbon source and a nitrogen source through the injection of urea when the concentration of CO$_2$ was insufficient.

3.5. Comparison of Microalgal Lipid Productivity According to Different Carbon Sources and Buffer Systems

The lipid performance of the microalgae was analyzed to determine whether the improved photosynthetic ability of microalgal cells under low-concentration CO$_2$ supply conditions also enhanced lipid accumulation under stress conditions, such as nitrogen deficiency. Under ambient CO$_2$ conditions, during which cell growth was inhibited due to low DIC supply and high pH conditions, 3.88% of lipids were accumulated inside the cells (Figure 5). Cells were found to have a low lipid content compared to normal culture conditions without nitrogen depletion. This is due to the fact that cell death began after 5 days of culture without an additional supply of urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, and metabolites that could be converted into lipids did not remain inside the cells. On the other hand, even under the same ambient CO$_2$ supply condition, when KH$_2$PO$_4$ and urea were injected, metabolite accumulation occurred at the growth stage due to photosynthesis. Moreover, when the culture environment changed due to nitrogen deficiency, these metabolites could be converted into lipids. When KH$_2$PO$_4$ alone was injected (5 mM), the DIC concentration was 46.6% compared to when urea alone was injected (5 mM). However, the difference in lipid content was larger (61.1%) (Figure 5). This suggests that supplying KH$_2$PO$_4$ alone creates limited nutrient environment compared to supplying urea alone. As in the previous results, the highest lipid content (28.2%) was shown when urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ were supplied simultaneously with low concentrations of CO$_2$ (Figure 5). These results showed a high level of lipid production even when compared with the optimal culture condition (bicarbonate buffer with 5% CO$_2$ supply condition) (33.3%). As a result, when urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ were injected under ambient CO$_2$ supply conditions, lipid productivity increased by around 7.28-fold compared to conditions without supplementation (Figure 5). This dramatic increase in the lipid productivity is a remarkable result considering the possibility of various applications of microalga-derived biofuels. In particular, if biomass produced in the current culture system using a large-scale CO$_2$ emission source, such as a power plant, is applied as a direct combustion fuel to a power plant, advantages including high energy yields, high CO$_2$ fixation rates, and ease of fuel transportation can be achieved [4].

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** Lipid content and productivity of *Chlorella sorokiniana* UTEX 2714 after 6 days of nitrogen starvation with different concentrations of CO$_2$ supplementation (ambient CO$_2$ and 5% CO$_2$) using urea, KH$_2$PO$_4$, and bicarbonate buffer system. Results represent the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three replicates.
3.6. Outdoor Cultivation of Microalgae after Interruption of Flue Gas Supply from Coal-Fired Power Plant

Biomass production was investigated when urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ were injected simultaneously into a mass cultivation of microalgae near a coal-fired power plant when the flue gas supply was interrupted. A bicarbonate buffer system was formed by directly utilizing an alkaline solution and flue gas in 10 L PBR. *C. sorokiniana* cells were inoculated into the PBRs at an initial optical density (OD$_{800}$) of 0.05. Microalgal cells were cultured using only sunlight and flue gas (Figure 6a). The flue gas emitted from coal-fired power plants was comprised of 13–15% of CO$_2$ and a mixture of small amounts of NO$_x$ and SO$_x$. However, due to the strong buffer capacity of the bicarbonate buffer system, the pH of the culture medium was maintained around pH 7 during the 4 days of cultivation, which resulted in continued cell growth, resulting in an OD$_{800}$ of 2.15 (Figure 6a–c). Thereafter, to simulate the sudden interruption of flue gas containing a high concentration of CO$_2$, the connection between the ring blower and the existing flue gas supply line was disconnected and connected to a bypass line that supplied ambient CO$_2$. Although the cells did not sink due to constant aeration, this system provided a low concentration of CO$_2$ (approximately 0.04%). At the same time, urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ (both 5 mM) were simultaneously injected into the culture solution. As a result, the cells in the PBR injected with the chemical fertilizer showed sustained cell growth. After 2.5 days of additional culture, a 33% increase in the cell growth was observed. On the other hand, in the PBR where the chemical fertilizer was not supplied, a sudden drop in the cell growth of 29.1% was observed (Figure 6b). In particular, in the case of the fourth reactor (Figure 6a, right), the cells died rapidly (within 2.5 days), as the overall color was not bright green, but dark green.

![Figure 6. Outdoor cultivation of *Chlorella sorokiniana* UTEX 2714 using flue gas from coal-fired power plant. (a) Images of 10-L bubble column polymeric photobioreactor during cell culture. Changes of (b) optical density (OD) and (c) pH of the medium for 6.5 days of cell cultivation. Algal cells were cultivated using flue gas (CO$_2$ 13–15%) for 4 days and with supplementation of urea and KH$_2$PO$_4$ under interruption in flue gas supply for 2.5 days. Results represent the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three replicates.](image-url)
Analyzing the pH of the culture medium, after the interruption of the flue gas supply, the pH of the cultures increased to 8.34~8.67 in 0.5 days. Thereafter, the pH of the PBR supplied with urea and KH₂PO₄ decreased gradually, reaching a final pH of 7.88, while the pH of the reactor without urea and KH₂PO₄ increased further, reaching a pH of 9.04. In conclusion, upon the interruption of the flue gas supply during microalgae cultivation, a simultaneous supplementation with urea and KH₂PO₄ resulted in a stable pH in the medium and an improved microbial cell growth.

Both urea and KH₂PO₄ were injected at a concentration of 5 mM in the case of predictable CO₂ interruption situation. However, if the interruption of CO₂ flow can be unpredictable, an alternative solution should be required. For example, a CO₂ sensor that monitors the CO₂ concentration of the flue gas supply can be connected to an automated system capable of injecting urea and KH₂PO₄ to the PBR. When the CO₂ concentration becomes much lower than the normal flue gas composition, CO₂ interruption can be easily recognized and rapidly feedbacked by the addition of urea and KH₂PO. In this way, it would be possible to maintain the normal growth of microalgal culture even during unexpected CO₂ supply interruption.

### 4. Conclusions

Sustaining a neutral and mildly alkaline pH is one of the most important factors during microalgal cultivation. To reduce a large amount of CO₂ and produce value-added products, a scaled-up microalgae cultivation system was installed near CO₂-emitting companies, such as a coal-fired power plant and Korea District Heating Corporation. In a previous study, we developed a buffer system for maintaining pH using KOH and phosphate. The mixture of bicarbonate and phosphate buffer systems was cost-efficient and supplies nutrients for cell growth. However, flue gas from CO₂-emitting companies is not a reliable source of CO₂ since CO₂ blowers, which drive CO₂ from the CO₂ source into the cultured cells, periodically require repairs or overhauls. Therefore, in the present study, we proposed a continuous culture strategy based on urea and KH₂PO₄ in an outdoor autotrophic culture. For the cultivation of 1 ton scale of *C. sorokiniana*, the cost of artificially provided CO₂ is $531.68 (from DONG-A Industrial Gas, Seoul, Republic of Korea). Supplementation of 5 mM urea and 5 mM KH₂PO₄ for 1 ton cultivation costs $0.58 ($1.93 kg⁻¹; 57-13-6 from DUKSAN science) and $30.41 ($44.69 kg⁻¹; 7778-77-0 from DUKSAN science), respectively, thereby reducing the production cost by 99.89% and $94.28, respectively. In total, 94.17% of cost can be reduced by using 5 mM of urea and KH₂PO₄ simultaneously. Using *C. sorokiniana* cells, the resulting culture system showed markedly enhanced biomass and lipid productivity upon CO₂ supply interruption.
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