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1. Introduction

The enormous global consumption of fossil fuel leads to critical environmental, energy, and climate issues [1]. Several promising strategies have been developed to resolve the above issues. Firstly, applying renewable electricity to drive CO$_2$ into high-value fuels such as carbon monoxide (CO), formic acid (HCOOH), methanol (CH$_3$OH), and methane (CH$_4$), etc., has been considered a sustainable route to alleviate energy shortages and global warming [2]. Secondly, as a green energy and high energy carrier, hydrogen (H$_2$) is a great candidate to replace fossil fuels in the near future [3]. Therefore, catalytic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), with high efficiency and low cost, has become a hot research area [4]. Thirdly, due to the similar reduction potentials, HER is the main competing reaction during electrocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction reaction (CO$_2$RR), which is a crucial problem to be solved for CO$_2$RR [5]. Nevertheless, the competition reactions of HER and CO$_2$RR can be rationally used in exploring efficient ways to produce syngas, the gaseous mixture of CO and H$_2$, which are very useful in producing fuels such as alcohols, hydrocarbon, dimethyl ether, and more fuels through the industrial Fischer–Tropsch process, etc. [6].

In recent years, the uses of low-cost non-precious transition metal complexes as catalysts have aroused the interest of researchers. Among these non-precious metal complexes,
due to the special electron structure of copper, copper complexes have been confirmed to be effective electrocatalysts in various reactions. In 2021, Lan et al. reported a copper (I) based complex with excellent electrocatalytic selectivity for CO$_2$-to-CH$_4$ reduction, which occurs because of the chalcophile interactions in crystalline catalysts [7]. Mitsopoulou and coworkers investigated the electrocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution of a Cu (I) diimine complex, and they found that the coordinated nitrogen atoms play an important role, while Yang’s group developed a copper (0) enriched material to tune the syngas production with great electrochemical activity [8,9].

In order to promote the electrocatalytic reactivity, the study of the role of ligands in catalysis has attracted more and more attention [10]. Redox-active ligands, e.g. non-innocent ligands, have been affirmed to be a multifunctional tool for improving electrocatalysis both dynamically and thermodynamically, because they can act as an electron reservoir to accept or donate electrons, regulating the electronic properties of the central metal ions, etc. [11–13]. Those extraordinary features enable the redox active ligands to facilitate various catalysis of the transition metal complexes with high reactivity. Jurss’ group reported a cobalt (II) complex with bipyridyl-NHC ligand, showing high selectivity for electrocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction to CO, occurring due to the redox-active synergy effect between the cobalt center and redox-active ligands [14]. Our group also explored the crucial effect of the redox-active ligand bis(imino)pyridine (PDI) on the electrocatalytic activity for CO$_2$ reduction [15]. Hess and co-workers investigated the electrocatalytic H$_2$ evolution by a Co (II) complex bearing macrocycle ligand, and they found that the redox-active ligand could modulate the energy and activity of HER [16]. Marinescu’s group prepared a cobalt (II) complex with thiolate ligand, and they found that protonation of the redox non-innocent ligand could influence the electrocatalytic reactivity for syngas generation [17]. Imidazole and its derivatives, as forms of redox-active ligands with various structures and rich electrons, have been proven to assist and even stabilize the metal centers in electrocatalytic reactions [18–20].

Regarding the aforementioned reasons, we have synthesized an imidazole derivative redox-active ligand L$^1$, 2-(6-methoxypyridin-2-yl)-6-nitro-1h-benzo [D] imidazole, and obtained a copper (II) complex [Cu(L$^1$)$_2$NO$_3$]$^3$NO$_3$ (1) in which the central Cu (II) ion is coordinated by this ligand. The cation of complex 1 is coordinated by two redox ligands L$^1$ and one labile nitrate ligand. The systematic electrocatalysis investigation of complex 1 reveals that it can homogeneously electrocatalyze CO$_2$ reduction and H$_2$ evolution, and this occurs with great performance owing to the cooperative effect between the redox-active ligand L$^1$ and the metal center Cu (II). The added proton source, H$_2$O, could highly enhance its electrocatalytic efficiency for CO$_2$ reduction to CO. Meanwhile, in the process of catalytic CO$_2$ reduction to CO, there is an inevitable competition reaction of hydrogen generation, which is a promising process for the synthesis of syngas, with the mixture of CO and H$_2$. Therefore, we also investigated its catalytic reactivity for H$_2$ evolution, and we found that the added proton source TsOH·H$_2$O could highly enhance its electrocatalytic activity for H$_2$ evolution.

2. Results and Discussion
2.1. The Nature and Character of the Complex

X-ray crystallography demonstrates that complex 1 belongs to monoclinic crystal and the space group is C12/c1 system (Table S1, Supplementary Materials). The cation of complex 1 is surrounded by two redox-active ligands L$^1$ and one labile nitrate ligand. According to the bond valence sum calculations, the oxidation state of the copper atom in the complex is +2 [21]. As presented in Figure S17 (Supplementary Materials), the magnetic moment (µeff) of complex 1 at room temperature is around 1.80 µB, and, in addition, the ligand L$^1$ and the copper ion did not undergo reduction during the synthesis procedure, which confirms that the copper ion should be in +2 oxidation state. Furthermore, according to the bond valence sum calculations, the copper atom in the complex is in +2 oxidation state [21]. The metal center Cu$^{II}$ in the complex is coordinated with four N atoms from
the ligand $L^1$ and two O atoms from the nitrate ligand, forming a twisted tetravacant octahedral construction, as illustrated in Figure 1 and Table S9 (according to the analysis of SHAPE). The coordination geometry of complex 1 can be classified as a distorted four vacancy octahedron (vOC-2, 3C2v). Due to the special $d^9$ electronic configuration of the metal center Cu$^{II}$ ion, it is witnessed that the Jahn-Teller effect and Bailar distortions of the octahedron and Cu1-N6 and Cu1-O4 bonds are distorted almost on the same axis [22–24]. Meanwhile, the longer Cu-O bond between the metal center and the labile nitrate ligand would break to provide an open coordination site for the binding of the substrate during the catalytic process [25,26].

Figure 1. (a) The crystal structure of the complex 1. (b) The spatial configuration of the complex 1, all are 50% probability ellipses. Color codes: green, Cu; red, O; blue, N; gray, C; and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clear visibility.

2.2. Electrochemistry under Atmosphere of 1 atm Ar

The cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of complex 1, obtained at different scanning rates (100–500 mV s$^{-1}$), in the electrolyte solution of 0.1 M $n$Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ /CH$_3$CN in the argon (Ar) atmosphere, are displayed in Figure 2. When scanning towards cathode potentials, it can be observed that the complex has three irreversible reduction peaks at the potentials of $-1.38$ V, $-1.97$ V and $-2.59$ V vs. NHE (all the potentials are versus NHE) (Figure 2). Based on the DFT calculation, the LUMOs (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) of complex 1 are mainly localized on the redox-active ligand $L^1$. (Figure 3, the frontier molecular orbital surfaces of 1 are depicted in Figures S2 and S3, Table S4, Supplementary Materials). We have performed the Cyclic voltammetry under Ar atmosphere for the ligand $L^1$ (Figure S5, Supplementary Materials), which displays two reduction peaks at the potential of $-0.48$ V and $-0.93$ V. By comparing the reduction potentials of the ligand $L^1$, the first two reduction peaks of complex 1 may be attributed to the reduction of the two redox active ligands $L^1$ and the according two radical anions [$L^1$•]. Meanwhile, the last reduction wave can be ascribed to the Cu$^{II}$/Cu$^{I}$ couple. In addition, as shown in Figure 2b, the cathode current peaks (ip) at different scanning rates have a good linear correlation with the square root of the scanning rates, which proves that the electrode process is mainly a diffusion control process. We have listed all the data in these figures in the corresponding tables, as shown in Tables S5–S8 (Supplementary Materials).
Figure 2. (a) cyclic voltammetry of 2 mM complex 1 under 1 atm Ar with 0.1 M $^8$Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ as supporting electrolyte at scan rates range from 100 to 500 mV s$^{-1}$; and (b) the linear relationships between the peak cathodic currents and the square root of scan rates.

Figure 3. LUMO of complex 1 (iso value = 0.02).
2.3. Electrochemistry in the Presence of CO$_2$

The electrocatalytic performance for CO$_2$ reduction of compound 1 was investigated under saturated CO$_2$ atmosphere with 0.1 M $n$Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ as the supporting electrolyte in MeCN solution. The cyclic voltammetry curves at $-1.15$ V under 1 atm CO$_2$ are subtracted from the base line. We mainly studied the comparison of catalytic current of complex 1 at 100 mV s$^{-1}$ in CO$_2$ and Ar at $-1.15$ V, as shown in Figure S10 (Supplementary Materials). By carrying out cyclic voltammetry experiments on glassy carbon (GC) electrodes, we found that the CV plot in CO$_2$ displays an enhanced irreversible reduction wave at $-1.15$ V compared with that in Ar atmosphere at the same scanning rate, while it also repeats very well at different scanning rates without new oxidation or reduction peaks emerging, as illustrated in Figure 4. In addition, we used FTO as the working electrode to perform controlled potential electrolysis of 2 mM complex 1 in order to characterize the stability of our catalyst and determine the Faraday efficiency according to the literature reported before [27], and we detected CO based on GC (Gas Chromatography) analysis. All these results indicate that compound 1 can electrocatalytically reduce CO$_2$ to CO with great stability. Additionally, as illustrated in Figure 5, in order to explore the electrocatalytic activity for CO$_2$-to-CO of complex 1 in the presence of proton donor, different concentrations of H$_2$O were added to the CO$_2$ saturated MeCN solution as the proton source for the CV experiments under the same condition. We have observed that, by the addition of H$_2$O, the reductive peak current density increases, which suggests that the addition of proton source can promote its catalytic reactivity for CO$_2$ reduction, and the catalytic reaction should be a PCET process [25]. Furthermore, Figure 6 and Table S8 (Supplementary Materials) show the concentrations of complex 1 as exhibiting linear relationship with the catalytic currents at the catalytic potential of $-1.15$ V, which suggests that the catalytic CO$_2$-to-CO conversion is the first-order reaction.

![Figure 4](image_url)  
Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of 2 mM complex under 1 atm CO$_2$ at scan rates range from 100 to 500 mV s$^{-1}$ (all the plots are subtracted from the baseline).
Figure 5. Cyclic voltammograms of the complex 1 containing the H$_2$O system at different concentrations in the CO$_2$ atmosphere. Scan rate: 100 mV s$^{-1}$. Working electrode: glassy carbon. Counter-electrode: Pt wire. Reference electrode: Ag/AgCl.

Figure 6. Cyclic voltammograms of the complex 1 at different concentrations in the CO$_2$ atmosphere. Scan rate: 100 mV s$^{-1}$.

The turnaround frequency (TOF) of the electrocatalytic CO$_2$ of complex 1 can be determined by the Equation (1) below:

$$\text{TOF} = \frac{Fvn_p^3}{RT} \left( \frac{0.4463}{n_{\text{cat}}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{i_{\text{cat}}}{i_p} \right)^2$$

where $F$ is the Faraday constant (96,485 C·mol$^{-1}$), $v$ is the scanning rate used (0.1 V s$^{-1}$), $n_p$ is the number of electrons involved in the non-catalytic oxidation reduction reaction ($n_p = 1$), and $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J·K$^{-1}$·mol$^{-1}$), $T$ is temperature (293.15 K), $n_{\text{cat}}$ is the number of electrons involved in the catalytic reaction ($n_{\text{cat}} = 2$ indicates the reduction of CO$_2$ to carbon monoxide), $i_p$ and $i_{\text{cat}}$ are identified as peak currents under Ar and CO$_2$, respectively. By Equation (1), TOF is calculated as 0.65 s$^{-1}$ at the potential of $-1.15$ V vs.
In order to further explore the electrocatalytic ability of this complex for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction, a series of CPE experiments were recorded in CO\textsubscript{2} saturated CH\textsubscript{3}CN solution, with the addition of distilled water as the proton source. As shown in Figure S7 (Supplementary Materials), by the red line, during 4000 s electrolysis, the current density can reach ~1.5 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} at the potential of -1.15 V vs. NHE in present of 2 mM complex 1 and 0.139 mM water; the mixed-gas CO and H\textsubscript{2} are detected, which reveals that complex 1 is a potential catalyst for producing syngas [27–29]. As demonstrated in Figure S9 (Supplementary Materials), according to the GC analysis, the calculated Faraday efficiency (FE) of CO evolution is nearly 10% and is about 90%. Furthermore, the current density is very small at the potential of -1.15 V vs. NHE without complex 1 (the black line), and no CO or H\textsubscript{2} is detected, indicating that no catalysis occurs. Additionally, we also examined the solution after electrolysis by MS analysis, but we did not observe HCOOH or CH\textsubscript{3}OH. Moreover, the almost linear curve of CPE indicates that catalysts 1 can remain stable in solution throughout the catalytic process. Meanwhile, as shown in Figure S7 (Supplementary Materials), the rinse test was also conducted on the FTO glass electrode after electrocatalysis, displaying nearly no current density, similar with that of the blank test before the catalysis, which assures that complex 1 is a stable homogeneous catalyst. Additionally, we have carried out DLS of (dynamic light scattering) of complex 1 before and after 4000 s electrolysis in MeCN solution (Figure S15b, Supplementary Materials), which reveals that the particle distributions are in the range of the molecular hydrodynamic diameter of the cluster, indicating that there are no nanoparticles formed during electrolysis. In addition, Figure S8 illustrates the in-situ UV–vis spectroelectrochemistry of complex 1, conducted during 4000 s CPE, which shows that there is close to no difference in the spectrum, proving the high stability of catalyst 1 during electrolysis. During the reduction process, the longer Cu-O bond between the metal center and the labile nitrate ligand could break to provide an open coordination site for the binding of the substrate CO\textsubscript{2} to produce the possible intermediate Cu-COO\textsuperscript{-} [23,24]. More importantly, via two reduction steps, the two redox-active ligands are both reduced to radial two radical anions \([\text{L}^{1\text{••}}]\), which could assist the metal center to cooperatively catalyze CO\textsubscript{2} reduction [10].

2.4. Electrocatalytic Property for Hydrogen Evolution

The electrocatalytic property for hydrogen evolution (HER) of complex 1 was also investigated in CH\textsubscript{3}CN (0.1 M \[^{+}\text{Bu}_{4}\text{NPF}_{6}\]) electrolyte solution with the addition of p-Toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH-H\textsubscript{2}O) as the proton source. As depicted in Figure 7, compared with the CV plot without addition of the proton source, it can be found that, with the increasing amounts of p-Toluenesulfonic acid added in the solution, the peak currents at the potential of -1.97 V are greatly enhanced. Meanwhile, we detected amounts of H\textsubscript{2} by GC analysis, by CPE experiments, at this potential. These results prove that the reduction reaction of H\textsuperscript{+} can occur in the presence of complex 1 at the presence of p-Toluenesulfonic acid. In order to further study the electrocatalytic ability of complex 1 for hydrogen evolution, a series of CPE experiments were carried out for 4000 s in CH\textsubscript{3}CN with and without p-Toluenesulfonic acid at different potentials using FTO working electrode, which suggest that, with 0.58 mM of p-Toluenesulfonic acid at the potential of -1.97 V, the current density can reach ~5 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}, as illustrated in Figure 8. Furthermore, in order to prove that H\textsubscript{2} is not produced by TsOH-H\textsubscript{2}O, the comparative CV experiment, containing solely TsOH-H\textsubscript{2}O in CH\textsubscript{3}CN solution without complex 1, is carried out, indicating that there are no reduction waves around -1.97 V (Figures S13 and S14, Supplementary Materials). Beyond this finding, in the absence of complex 1, the current density at -1.97 V is negligible, which suggests that no catalytic reaction occurs without complex 1. According to Equation (1), Equations (S1) and (S2), the calculated TOF of H\textsubscript{2} evolution is 0.33 s\textsuperscript{-1}, and FE is shown in Figure S16 (Supplementary Materials), which is comparable with those reported copper based homogeneous catalysts [8,32–34].

\[ \text{TsOH} \cdot \text{H}_2 \text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HCOOH} + \text{H}_2 \]
reaction of H+ can occur in the presence of complex 1 at the presence of p-Toluenesulfonic acid. In order to further study the electrocatalytic ability of complex 1 for hydrogen evolution, a series of CPE experiments were carried out for 4000 s in CH3CN with and without p-Toluenesulfonic acid at different potentials using FTO working electrode, which suggests that, with 0.58 mM of p-Toluenesulfonic acid at the potential of −1.97 V, the current density can reach ~5 mA cm\(^{-2}\), as illustrated in Figure 8. Furthermore, in order to prove that H\(_2\) is not produced by TsOH·H\(_2\)O, the comparative CV experiment, containing solely TsOH·H\(_2\)O in CH3CN solution without complex 1, is carried out, indicating that there are no reduction waves around −1.97 V (Figure S13, Figure S14, Supplementary Materials).

Beyond this finding, in the absence of complex 1, the current density at −1.97 V is negligible, which suggests that no catalytic reaction occurs without complex 1. According to Equation (1), Equation (S1), and (S2), the calculated TOF of H\(_2\) evolution is 0.33 s\(^{-1}\), and FE is shown in Figure S16 (Supplementary Materials), which is comparable with those reported copper based homogeneous catalysts [8,32–34].

Figure 7. Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 (2 mM) recorded in the absence (rose red trace) and in the presence of TsOH·H\(_2\)O: 1 equiv (black trace), 2 equiv (red trace), and 3 equiv (blue trace) in CH\(_3\)CN (0.1 M\(\text{Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6\)) at a glassy carbon electrode and 100 mV s\(^{-1}\).

Figure 8. CPE of 2 mM complex 1 in CH\(_3\)CN (0.1 M\(\text{Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6\)) (red) or in CH\(_3\)CN (0.1 M\(\text{Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6\)) (rose red) solutions with 0.58 mM TsOH·H\(_2\)O added; no complex 1 (green) under an atmosphere of Ar on the FTO working electrode; rinse test (blue).

Moreover, the rinse test conducted on the FTO glass electrode after electrocatalysis shows almost no current density, which resembles that of the blank test before the catalysis (Figure 8), revealing that complex 1 can homogeneously catalyze H\(^+\) reduction with high stability. The DLS of complex 1 proved that no nanoparticles were formed before and after electrolysis for 4000 s, indicating that it has excellent stability, as illuminated in Figure S15a (Supplementary Materials). Additionally, the nearly linear curve of CPE indicates that catalyst 1 can remain stable in solution throughout the catalytic process. Furthermore, as shown in Figure S12 (Supplementary Materials), during CPE for 4000 s, the in-situ UV–vis spectreoelectrochemistry of complex 1 displays negligible difference in the spectrum, confirming the great stability of catalyst 1 during electrolysis.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Synthesis

The ligand \( L^1 = 2-(6\text{-methoxypyridin-2-yl})-6\text{-nitro-1h-benzo[D]imidazole} \) was synthesized according to the previous report \([35]\). Cu(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·3H\(_2\)O (0.242 g, 1 mmol) was added to 15 mL acetonitrile solution of \( L^1 \) (0.782 g, 2 mmol), and stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The resulting solution was filtered, and the filtrate was kept for evaporation at room temperature for about 7 days to give X-ray-quality dark green crystals. Yield: 0.384 g (57.9%). Calc. (Found) for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{18}\)CuN\(_{10}\)O\(_{12}\): C, 48.75(48.62); H, 2.81(2.99); N, 19.69(19.54). IR (KBr disk, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3092 (m), 1591 (m), 1471 (m), 1422 (m), 1319 (s), 1047 (w), 803 (w), 732(w), and 607(w) (Figure S1, Supplementary Materials). The purity of the synthesized complex \( 1 \) was confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis, which shows that the peak positions of the diffraction in their experimental and theoretical PXRD patterns all agreed well (Figure S4, Supplementary Materials), demonstrating that the prepared samples are all pure.

3.2. General Materials and Characterization

The solvents and materials used are reagent grade and have not been purified. Unless otherwise stated, all the operations are carried out under aerobic conditions, all the chemicals are commercially available and can be used without further purification, and the carbon dioxide and argon are purchased from Dehai Gas Company (Hainan, China). X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) (Figure S4) is carried out on the Bruker D8 powder diffraction instrument in order to obtain the purity of the complement and the sample of the complex. After complex fracks with pure KBr, infrared spectral data (Figure S1, Supplementary Materials) is recorded by the Nicolet 170SX infrared spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) in the 4000–500 cm\(^{-1}\) scanning range. Elemental analysis uses 2400 PerkinElmer analyzers to examine the percentage content of C, H, and N elements of the mates, and the theoretical values are basically consistent.

3.3. Crystal Structure Determination

The structural data of crystal is collected using the Bruker Smart-1000 CCD X-ray monocrystalline diffraction instrument (Bruker, Germany), all of which is restored by the SAINT v8.34A program (Bruker, 2013) and corrected and absorbed using the SADABS program (Bruker, 2014/5). The SHELXL software (v.2014/7, Software Fayre, Madrid, Spain) and Olex2 software (v.1.2, OlexSys Ltd., Durham, England) parse the initial structure by direct method, refining it with F\(^2\)-based full matrix least square technology \([36,37]\), and this technique is used to modify the non-O atomic coordinates and anisotropy. Table S1 (Supplementary Materials) gives cell parameters, spatial groups, some conventional thermodynamic parameters, and other data of the crystal, and it introduces the relevant crystal information in detail. Tables S2 and S3 (Supplementary Materials) list the selected key length and key angles. The anion of the molecule is severely disordered, thus a Platon-Squeeze \([38]\) was used to refine the anion-free structure of complex \( 1 \).

3.4. Electrochemical Measurement and Electrolytic Product Analysis

All electrochemical experiments are tested with CHI660E electrochemical analyzers in order to study their electrocatalytic properties, and these experiments are conducted in single-chamber three-electrode reactors. A solution of 0.1 M \( {^n}\text{Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6 \) in a dry acetonitrile was used as the supporting electrolyte. Cyclic voltammogram (CV) experiments were carried out using a glass carbon working electrode with a diameter of 3 mm, which was carefully polished with diamond plaster, and ultrasonically cleaned in aqueous ethanol and deionized water, and then dried before use.

There is close to 20 mL of solution in the electrolytic cell, and the concentration of the complex in the solution is close to 0.1 mol/L. The anti-electrode is platinum wire, and the reference electrode is the Ag/AgCl electrode. A conductive glass substrate doped with fluorine tin oxide (FTO) (1 cm \( \times \) 1 cm, effective surface area of 1.0 cm\(^2\)) (produced by
Zhuhai Kaivo Optoelectronic Corp., Zhuhai, China) is used as an operating electrode to control potential electrolysis (CPE), which is soaked with 5 wt% NaOH in ethanol solution for several hours, and then washed with water, ethanol, and water in turn. Before each experiment, the solution is blown away at room temperature with Ar or CO\textsubscript{2} for 30 min. CPE at the same condition on GCE is shown in Figure S6 (Supplementary Materials).

In-situ UV-visible spectral electrochemistry is performed by applying the constant potentials of −1.15 V (Figure S8, Supplementary Materials) and −1.97 V vs. NHE (Figure S12, Supplementary Materials) under CO\textsubscript{2} and Ar atmosphere, respectively, and recorded using the UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). In-situ spectral electrochemistry studies use quartz dishes with a path length of 1 cm as electrochemical batteries, including platinum mesh, platinum wire, and Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl) electrodes, respectively, as working electrodes, anti-electrodes, and reference electrodes. The top space gas sample (2 mL) produced by the capillary tube electrophoresis experiment is extracted using a bait-locked airtight syringe and injected into the gas chromatography (GC, Shimadzu GC-2014), equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) containing a mechanical device to analyze carbon monoxide, and equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD, Shimadzu) for analysis to quantify H\textsubscript{2}. Detection of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} are carried out with ultrahigh purity Ar as carrier gas. Liquid products are analyzed by NMR (Bruker AVANCE III HD).

3.5. Density Functional Theory Calculations

Quantum-mechanical calculations were carried out utilizing the Gaussian 09 program package, using the B3LYP hybrid functional [39,40]; the “double-ξ” quality LanL2DZ [41] basis sets were used for transition metals (Cu), and 6-311G (d, p) basis sets were used for non-metal atoms [42]. The atom coordinates used in the calculations were gained from crystallographic data, and a molecule in the unit cells was selected as the initial model.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we have successfully synthesized a novel copper (II) electrocatalyst [Cu(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}NO\textsubscript{3}]NO\textsubscript{3} (1) containing the redox active ligand 2-(6-methyl-2-base)-6-nitro-1H-benzene and [d] imidazole (L\textsubscript{1}). Through investigation, we discovered that complex 1 can electrocatalyze CO\textsubscript{2} reduction to CO and HER. While adding water as the proton source in the system for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction, the reactivity for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction of complex 1 is enhanced. However, due to the competitive reaction of H\textsuperscript{+} reduction, the mixed gas CO and H\textsubscript{2} are both evolved with the FE of 10% and 90%, respectively. Resultingly, we additionally studied its catalytic activity for HER, and observed that, with the increasing amounts of p-Toluenesulfonic acid added in the solution, the electrocatalytic reactivity for HER increased. Furthermore, in-situ UV-vis spectroelectrochemistry of complex 1 during CO\textsubscript{2} reduction and HER were both carried out, and these both displayed negligible difference in the spectrum, confirming the great stability of catalyst 1 during electrolysis. Combined with DFT calculation, it has been confirmed that the great electrocatalytic performance of complex 1 is owning to the synergistic effect between the metal center Cu (II) and the redox-active ligand L\textsubscript{1}.

**Supplementary Materials:** Table S1. Crystallographic data for the complex 1. Table S2. The main key length of the complex 1. Table S3. The main key angle of the complex 1. Table S4. Cartesian coordinates for 1. Figure S1. IR spectrum of the complex 1. Figure S2. HOMO-LUMO orbitals of complex 1. Figure S3. (a) LUMO+1 orbital; (b) LUMO+2 orbital; (c) HOMO-1 orbital; and (d) HOMO-2 orbital. Figure S4. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of complex 1. Figure S5. Cyclic voltammetry of 2 mM ligand L\textsubscript{1} under 1 atm Ar at scan rate 100 mV s\textsuperscript{-1}. Table S5. The reduction potentials and peak currents of complex 1 under 1 atm Ar in a 0.1 M \textsuperscript{6}Bu\textsubscript{4}NPF\textsubscript{6} CH\textsubscript{3}CN supporting electrolyte. Table S6. The reduction potentials and peak currents of complex 1 under 1 atm CO\textsubscript{2} (in addition to Ar) in a 0.1 M \textsuperscript{6}Bu\textsubscript{4}NPF\textsubscript{6} CH\textsubscript{3}CN supporting electrolyte. Table S7. The reduction potentials and peak currents of complex 1 containing different concentrations of H\textsubscript{2}O under CO\textsubscript{2} (in addition to base line). Table S8. The reduction potentials and peak currents of complex 1 with
different concentrations under 1 atm CO₂ (in addition to base line) in a 0.1 M ⁵Bu₄NPF₆ CH₃CN supporting electrolyte. Figure S6. (a) CPE for H₂ evolution (black line) at −1.97 V vs NHE on GCE (0.07 cm²); (b) CPE for CO₂ reduction (red line) at −1.15 V vs NHE on GCE (0.07 cm²) at the same condition as using FTO. Figure S7. CPE with 2 mM complex 1 (rose red line), rinse test (green line) and the blank experiment without 1 (blue line) on an FTO working electrode (1.0 cm²). Figure S8. The in-situ UV-Vis spectroelectrochemistry of complex 1 in CO₂ atmosphere. Figure S9: (a) the amount of material in the proton supply system that is combined with the electrocatalytic reduction CO₂ product; and (b) the Faraday efficiency curves of the electrocatalytic reduction products CO and H₂ in the proton supply H₂O system. Figure S10. Cyclic voltammetry of complex 1 in the presence (green) and absence (black) of CO₂ recorded at 100 mV s⁻¹ at glassy carbon in a 0.1 M ⁵Bu₄NPF₆ CH₃CN supporting electrolyte. Figure S11. Cyclic voltamograms of complex 1 (2 mM) recorded in the presence of 0.58 mM TsOH·H₂O under 1 atm Ar at scan rate range from 100 to 500 mV s⁻¹ in CH₃CN (0.1 M ⁵Bu₄NPF₆) at a glassy carbon electrode. Figure S12. The in-situ UV-Vis spectroelectrochemistry of complex 1 in Ar atmosphere. Figure S13. Cyclic voltamograms of complex 1 (2 mM, red trace) and in the presence of 10 mM TsOH·H₂O (black trace) in CH₃CN (0.1 M ⁵Bu₄NPF₆) at a glassy carbon electrode and 100 mV s⁻¹. Figure S14. Cyclic voltamograms recorded in the presence (black trace) or in the presence of 10 mM of TsOH·H₂O (red trace). Scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹. Working electrode: glassy carbon. Counter electrode: Pt wire. Reference electrode: Ag/AgCl. Figure S15. DLS spectra of the electrolyte before and after the CPE test: (a) DLS of the complex 1 containing 0.58mM TsOH·H₂O in CH₃CN (0.1 M ⁵Bu₄NPF₆) before and after 4000 s electrolysis; and (b) DLS of the complex 1 in CH₃CN (0.1 M ⁵Bu₄NPF₆) under 1 atm CO₂ before and after 4000 s electrolysis. Figure S16. The Faraday efficiency curves of the electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution products H₂ in the proton supply 0.58 mM TsOH·H₂O system. Figure S17. Plot of the magnetic moments (μmag) versus the temperature T for solid sample of complex 1. Table S9. The molecular geometries of complex 1 as predicted by SHAPE.
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