Hybridization effect on the X-ray absorption spectra for actinide materials: Application to PuB$_4$
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Studying the local moment and 5$f$-electron occupations sheds insight into the electronic behavior in actinide materials. X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) has been a powerful tool to reveal the valence electronic structure when assisted with theoretical calculations. However, the analysis currently taken in the community on the branching ratio of the XAS spectra generally does not account for the hybridization effects between local $f$-orbitals and conduction states. In this paper, we discuss an approach which employs the DFT+Gutzwiller rotationally-invariant slave boson (DFT+GRISB) method to obtain a local Hamiltonian for the single-impurity Anderson model (SIAM), and calculates the XAS spectra by the exact diagonalization (ED) method. A customized numerical routine was implemented for the ED XAS part of the calculation. By applying this technique to the recently discovered 5$f$-electron topological Kondo insulator PuB$_4$, we determined the signature of 5$f$-electronic correlation effects in the theoretical X-ray spectra. We found that the Pu 5$f$-5$d$ hybridization effect provides an extra channel to mix the $j = 5/2$ and 7/2 orbitals in the 5$f$ valence. As a consequence, the resulting electron occupation number and spin-orbit coupling strength deviate from the intermediate coupling regime.

I. INTRODUCTION

The actinide solids are essential materials for nuclear power generation. In addition to their important energy applications, the valence electronic structure of the 5$f$-electron series exhibits a range of complex and fascinating emergent behavior of fundamental physical interest. In the early actinide elements the 5$f$-electrons are itinerant while the valence electrons in the late actinides are more localized. Among the 5$f$ series, Pu is the most complex solid which has six allotropic crystal phases at ambient pressure. Furthermore, it undergoes a 25% volume expansion from its $\alpha$ phase to $\delta$ phase. These exotic phenomena of Pu have puzzled condensed matter experimentalists and theorists for decades. Different theoretical and modeling approaches have been used to unravel the physics of this complicated elemental solid. On top of that, Pu-based compounds also show exotic behaviors such as lack of temperature dependence (up to 1000 K) of the magnetic susceptibility in PuO$_2$ solid, which can only be explained with conspiring spin-orbit coupling, crystal field splitting, and electronic correlation effects. In addition, the interplay between spin-orbit coupling and correlation effects from the localized $f$-electrons makes the $f$-electron compounds natural candidates for topological Kondo insulators, for which SmB$_6$ and PuB$_6$ are good examples. In particular, Pu-based compounds stand out compared to their 4$f$ counterparts due to a larger energy scale in the spin orbit coupling, giving rise to measurable bulk gaps.

Studying the electronic structure is essential towards understanding the basic physical properties of solids, such as magnetism, superconductivity, and topology, and the covalent interactions in molecules. In order to probe the properties of the valence electrons, X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) has been demonstrated to be a powerful tool for this purpose. Previous analyses on the branching ratio of the XAS spectra mostly assumed a single $f^N$ configuration for the valence $f$ states and used spin-orbit sum-rules to estimate the ratio. Recently, it has been suggested that multiconfigurational $f$-orbital states could play an important role in the electronic structure of U- and Pu-based material systems. It is therefore important to gain a complete picture of the valence electron behavior. In this work, we focus on analyzing the Pu-$N_{4,5}$ absorption edges. The theoretical XAS spectra are calculated from a model derived using the first-principle DFT+GRISB method and that account for interactions between electrons, which compete with the hybridization between 5$f$-orbital and conduction electrons. This approach enables for an in depth analysis of the multiconfigurational nature of the 5$f$ states.

For the actinide $N_{4,5}$ ($4d \rightarrow 5f$) absorption edge, the $N_4$ ($d_{3/2}$) and $N_5$ ($d_{5/2}$) peaks are clearly distinct since the $4d$-orbital core-hole has a much stronger spin-orbit coupling than the electrostatic interaction. We can obtain the intensities, $I(N_4)$ and $I(N_5)$, by fitting the peaks with Lorentzian functions without too much interference. Due to the dipole selection rule, $d_{3/2}$ core electrons can only be excited to an empty $f_{5/2}$
level while $d_{5/2}$ electrons are allowed to transit to both $f_{5/2}$ and $f_{7/2}$ levels. A schematic diagram for the $N_{4.5}$ edge is shown in Fig. 1. Thus, the $N_{4.5}$ branching ratio, $B = I(N_5)/[I(N_5) + I(N_{4})]$, serves as a good probe for the $5f$ valence occupations. Previous studies have applied the spin-orbit rule on the $N_{4.5}$ branching ratio to determine the $5f$ electron fillings on the angular momentum levels. However, the spin-rule analysis approach assumed an integer $5f$ valence filling, which implies that the electrons are fully localized, and the atomic multiplet calculations consider only an integer total number of valence electrons per atom. A more complete description of the $5f$ electronic structure requires taking into account the hybridization of $5f$-electrons with conduction orbitals and other valence electrons. However, the Hilbert space for the XAS calculation grows exponentially as the number of orbitals increases. If certain itinerant orbitals are explicitly considered as bath orbitals that can hybridize with the localized orbitals, then they need to be directly included in the XAS Hamiltonian, making the calculation computationally prohibitive. Moreover, the number of bath orbitals required to reach convergence remains an open question that needs to be examined carefully.

Recently the density functional theory plus Gutzwiller rotationally invariant slave boson (DFT+GRISB) method has proved to be a reliable and efficient tool for describing the strong electronic correlation effects in heavy fermion systems, giving quantitatively accurate results when compared to more computationally expensive techniques such as dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT). The advantage of this method is that it maps the original lattice problem onto an effective two-site embedding impurity Hamiltonian, consisting of a physical and an auxiliary bath orbital with proper hybridization, through the process of Schmidt decomposition in this paper, we develop a numerical approach for calculating the XAS spectra within the DFT+GRISB framework, in which the embedding two-site (i.e., one local site and one bath site) impurity Hamiltonian is used as a basis for constructing the model for the XAS calculation, ensuring that hybridization effects from the surrounding atomic environment are included. The central ingredient of this technique is as follows: We start with the embedding Hamiltonian obtained from the DFT+GRISB ground state calculation, and then introduce a core-hole Hamiltonian for the final state. Then, we apply the dipole transition operator for the $d \rightarrow f$ transition and use a Lanczos algorithm to calculate the spectral function. A custom numerical implementation utilizing an exact diagonalization (ED) method was developed for the XAS part of the calculation. By applying this technique to PuB$_4$, we show that this costly calculation can be carried out on present midscale computing cluster within a reasonable amount of time.

The outline of the remaining text of this paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we give a detailed step by step procedure for setting up the effective Anderson impurity Hamiltonian for the XAS spectroscopy within the DFT+GRISB framework. In Sec. III, the electronic band structure and X-ray absorption spectral density, together with their sensitivity to the Pu-5f electron valence, are presented. In addition, the effect of the hybridization of Pu-5f electron correlated orbitals with the itinerant valence orbitals is also discussed. A summary of our main findings are given in Sec. IV.

II. THEORETICAL METHOD

A. DFT+GRISB

We calculated the band structures of PuB$_4$ using DFT+GRISB as implemented in the Cygutz package. The method utilizes the full-potential linear augmented plane-wave basis WIEN2K code for the DFT band structure calculation. We use the exchange-correlation functional in the PBE generalized gradient approximation. Throughout the work, all calculations on PuB$_4$ were performed with experimentally determined lattice parameters and atomic positions. After the convergence of DFT, the many-body Hamiltonian for the strongly correlated f-orbital is then constructed by projecting the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian to the correlated orbitals. The many-body Hamiltonian is a generic multi-orbital Hubbard model,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{k,i,j,a,b} \epsilon_{kij} \hat{c}_{kia}^\dagger \hat{c}_{kj b} + \sum_i H_{\text{loc}}[\{\hat{c}_{ia}, \hat{c}_{ia}^\dagger\}], \quad (1)$$
FIG. 2. Overview of our numerical procedure for calculating XAS which consists of two major parts: (1) WIEN2k and CyGutz cycle is run until charge and energy convergence is reached. The calculation gives the correlated band structure. The second part is the Embedding Hamiltonian. Then the dipole transition operator is applied to get the final state. Finally, the Lanczos method is applied to compute the spectra.

where $a$ and $b$ are the spin and orbital indices, which contain both the correlated $f$-orbitals and the other non-correlated orbitals, and $i$ and $j$ are the indices labeling the atoms within the unit cell. The generic Hubbard Hamiltonian is then solved using the GRISB algorithm.

Within the GRISB framework, the original lattice many-body problem is mapped onto two auxiliary Hamiltonians. The first one is the quasiparticle Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}_{qp} = \sum_k \left[ R_{ka\alpha} c_{ki}^\dagger R_{j\beta}^l + \lambda_{ia} \delta_{ij} \right] \hat{Q}_{ki\alpha}^l \hat{Q}_{kj\beta}^l, \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{Q}$ ($\hat{Q}$) are quasiparticle creation (annihilation) operators, $R$ corresponds to the quasiparticle renormalization matrix, $Z = R^\dagger R$, and $\lambda$ is the renormalized potential. The $R$ and $\lambda$ matrices have a block diagonal structure in the atom index $i$, whose respective block is labeled by $R_i$ and $\lambda_i$. Here the repeated indices of $i$, $j$, $a$, $b$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ imply summation. The other is an auxiliary embedding impurity Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}_{i,emb} = \hat{H}_{loc} \left[ \hat{c}_{i\alpha}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\alpha} \right] + \sum_{ia} \left( D_{ia} \hat{c}_{i\alpha}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i\alpha} + \text{H.c.} \right) + \sum_{ab} \lambda_{ia}^c \hat{f}_{ib}^\dagger \hat{f}_{ia}^l, \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{c}$ is the physical fermionic operators on the impurity and $\hat{f}$ is the fermionic operator for the bath for atom $i$. The matrix $D_i$ describes the hybridization between the bath and impurity orbitals, and $\lambda_i^c$ corresponds to the energy level for the bath. The mapping from the lattice problem to the auxiliary impurity problem can be realized through a Schmidt decomposition. The auxiliary impurity problem consists of a collection of a physical atom and a bath representing the local environments. It can be solved efficiently using the exact diagonalization technique. The parameters $R_i$, $\lambda_i$, and $\lambda_i^c$ for these two auxiliary Hamiltonians are determined self-consistently by solving the following saddle-point equations:

$$\Delta_{i,ab} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Pi_i \left[ f_T (R \varepsilon_k R^l + \lambda) \right]_{ba} \Pi_i, \quad (4)$$

$$\left[ \Delta_{i,ab}^p (1 - \Delta_{i,ab}^p) \right]^{1/2}_{ac} D_{i,ca},$$

$$\sum_{cb} \frac{\partial}{\partial D_{i,cs}} \left[ \Delta_{i,ab} - \Delta_{i,ab}^p \right]_{cb} \left[ D_{i,ba} R_{bc} + c.c \right] + \left[ l + l' \right]_{is} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{H}_{i,emb} \left\{ \Phi_i \right\} = E_i^c \left\{ \Phi_i \right\}, \quad (7)$$

$$\left[ F_{i,ab}^{(1)} \right]_{ba} = \left\{ \Phi_i \left| f_{ib} \Delta_{i,ba} \Phi_i \right. \right\} - \Delta_{i,ab} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\left[ F_{i,aa}^{(2)} \right]_{ba} = \left\{ \Phi_i \left| \hat{c}_{ia}^\dagger \hat{f}_{ia} \Phi_i \right. \right\} - R_{ica} \left[ \Delta_{i,ab}^p - \Delta_{i,ab}^p \right]_{ca} = 0. \quad (9)$$

The symbol $f_T$ stands for the Fermi function of a single-particle matrix at temperature $T$. The $\Pi_i$ means the projection onto the atom $i$. We utilized the following matrix parameterizations,

$$\Delta_i = \sum_s d_{is}^p h_s \text{Transpose}, \quad \lambda_i^c = \sum_s \ell_{is}^c h_s,$$

$$\lambda_i = \sum_s l_{is} h_s, \quad R_i = \sum_s r_{is} h_s, \quad (10)$$

where the set of matrices $h_s$ are an orthonormal basis of the space of Hermitian matrices (with respect to the canonical trace inner product). The parameters $d_{is}^p$, $\ell_{is}^c$ and $l_{is}$ are real, while $r_{is}$ is complex. The RISB saddle-point equations can be viewed as a root problem of finding $R$ and $\lambda$ that satisfy Eqs. (5) and (9), which can be solved using any root finding algorithms.

After the convergence of $R$ and $\lambda$, the GRISB charge density is computed and embedded back to the DFT loop. The charge self-consistent DFT+GRISB charge density is shown schematically in the left of Fig. 2. We set the self-consistency condition for the total energy error to less than $10^{-6}$ and charge error to less than $10^{-3}$. We employ the standard fully localized limit form for the double-counting functionals. For the ground-state calculations, we use the values for $U_f = 4.5$ eV and $J_f = 0.5$ eV and consider the fully rotational invariant Coulomb interaction in the local Hamiltonian for Pu-5f electrons.
B. Impurity Hamiltonian

We calculate the XAS from the single-impurity Anderson Hamiltonian (SIAM):

\[ H_{SIAM} = \hat{H}_{emb} + \hat{H}_{core-hole}. \]  

(11)

It contains two parts. The first piece, \( \hat{H}_{emb} \), is exactly the embedding Hamiltonian for the local impurity from the DFT+GRISB result, while the second term, \( \hat{H}_{core-hole} \), expresses the interactions between the local valence electrons and the core-hole created in the XAS process.

The core-hole Hamiltonian is written as

\[
\hat{H}_{core-hole} = \sum_u \epsilon_u \hat{d}_{u}^\dagger \hat{d}_{u} + \sum_{ijkl} U_{ijkl} c_{i}^\dagger d_{j} c_{k}^\dagger c_{l} \\
+ \sum_{ijkl} U_{ijkl} c_{i}^\dagger d_{j} c_{k}^\dagger \hat{d}_{l},
\]

(12)

where \( \epsilon_u \) is the on-site energy for the core-hole orbitals, \( d^{(l)} \) is the annihilation (creation) operator for the core-hole, \( U_{ijkl} \) is the Coulomb (exchange) interaction matrix between correlated and core orbitals, and \( i, j, k, l \) are combined indices for the orbital and spin states.

C. Dipole Transition Matrix

In the XAS process when an X-ray is incident upon a material with its energy tuned to a certain absorption edge, a core electron can be excited to a valence orbital leaving a core-hole behind. This process follows Fermi’s golden rule. The electric dipole transition for q-polarized light is given by

\[
\hat{T}_q = \sum_{m_x,m_c} \langle l_s,j_v,m_v| \hat{r}_q | l_s,j_c,m_c \rangle c_{j_v,m_v}^\dagger d_{j_x,m_x},
\]

(13)

where

\[
\langle l_s,j_v,m_v| \hat{r}_q | l_s,j_c,m_c \rangle = (-1)^{j_c-m_c} + t_c s + j_v j_c + 1
\]

\[
\times [j_c,j_v]^{1/2} \left\{ j_c 1 \begin{array}{cc} j_v & 1 \\ l_v & s \end{array} \right\} \left\{ j_c 1 \begin{array}{cc} j_v & 1 \\ m_c & q - m_v \end{array} \right\} \langle l_s || \hat{r} || l_s \rangle.
\]

(14)

In the above equations, \( c_{j_v,m_v}^\dagger \) indicates the creation operator of a correlated valence electron with total angular momentum \( j_v \) and spin angular momentum \( m_v \), \( d_{j_x,m_x} \) is the annihilation operator for a core-hole at total angular momentum \( j_x \) and spin angular momentum \( m_x \), \( l_v(c) \) is the orbital angular momentum for the valence (core) orbital, \( s = \frac{1}{2} \) is the spin of the electrons, and \( [a,b,...] \) is a shorthand of \((2a+1)\times(2b+1)\times...,\) and \( \{ \} \) and \( () \) stand for Wigner-9j and Wigner-6j expressions, respectively. We remark that there is a difference in the square-root pre-factor on the right-hand side of Eq. (14) compared to that in the literature.\cite{18,22,24}

We derive Eq. (14) by combining Eqs. (14.28) and (14.47) from the book by Cowan\cite{14} and only with the pre-factor derived in this work could we obtain the X-ray absorption spectra that satisfy the spin-orbit sum-rule shown in Table I.

D. XAS Intensity

With the dipole transition operator, we can calculate the XAS intensity by

\[
I(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_q \text{Im} \langle g|\hat{T}_q^\dagger \omega + i\Gamma + E_g - H_{SIAM} |g \rangle \langle g|, \]

(15)

where \( \omega \) is the photon frequency and \( \Gamma \) is the Lorentzian broadening. The ground state and its corresponding energy are obtained by

\[
\hat{H}_g |g \rangle = \hat{H}_{emb} |g \rangle = E_g |g \rangle.
\]

(16)

E. Computation Procedure

A schematic overview of our approach for calculating XAS is shown in Fig. 3. We first obtain the band structures by running the WIEN2k and CyGutz cycle until both charge and energy convergence is reached. Then, we take the embedding Hamiltonian from the DFT+GRISB solution as the local Hamiltonian for the single impurity in our system. With the local Hamiltonian we could build the single impurity Anderson Hamiltonian (SIAM) and solve for the ground state by the exact diagonalization method. After that, the dipole transition operator is applied on the ground state to simulate the effect of an X-ray incident on the sample as in experiments. A core electron absorbs the X-ray and is promoted to a valence orbital, and the system transitions to a higher energy final state. The X-ray absorption spectra can be obtained by computing the expectation value of the resolvent for the single-particle spectral function using the Lanczos method.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

While there are no photoemission spectroscopy for band structure and density of states, as well as XAS measurements for the Pu valence in PuB\textsubscript{4} yet, we calculated these physical properties using the DFT+GRISB approach with varying 5f occupations. This flexibility of the approach enables to track directly the sensitivity of these properties to the Pu-5f occupancy. It also lends the future experimental measurements an opportunity to directly compare with the beyond-DFT theory to determine the valence electronic states for PuB\textsubscript{4}.

Figure 3(a) shows the DFT band structure. The bare DFT result is the same as in Ref. 11 and reproduced here. The number of electrons in the 5f orbital is 4.7 and the bulk band gap is 254 meV. Fig. 3(b), (c), and (d) are the DFT+GRISB results with calculated \( n_f = 4.77, 4.99, \) and 5.22, respectively. The inset in Fig. 3(b) illustrates the 5f orbitals in the first Brillouin zone. The introduction of correlation
FIG. 3. Band structures for PuB$_4$. (a) GGA results. The number of 5$f$ valence electron is $n_f \sim 4.7$. (b) GGA+GRISB results with $n_f = 4.77$. (c) GGA+GRISB results with $n_f = 4.99$. (d) GGA+GRISB results with $n_f = 5.22$. Inset: Path along high symmetry points in momentum space.

Effects using the DFT+GRISB method results in three major changes in the band structure as compared to the bare DFT result. Similar changes were likewise observed in previous DFT+GRISB band structure calculations for SmB$_6$.

(i) The $j=7/2$ bands move from around 1 eV above the Fermi energy (due to the spin-orbit coupling) in the DFT calculation to $\sim 2.0$ eV in the DFT+GRISB results. As a result, the band structure around the Fermi energy is dominated by 5$f$ $5/2$ and 6$d$ orbitals. (ii) The bandwidth of the $j=5/2$ bands near the Fermi level in the DFT+GRISB calculation are reduced slightly compared to the DFT results. The quasi-particle renormalization weight in the $j=5/2$ sector is around $Z \sim 0.79$, which is consistent with the reduction of the $j=5/2$ bandwidth. (iii) The band gap is reduced following the introduction of electronic correlations. The size of the band gap can be further reduced by increasing the number of 5$f$ electrons in the DFT+GRISB calculations and can even be closed by filling the 5$f$ $j=5/2$ band above $n_f=5$. At $n_f = 5$, the band gap is renormalized to 138 meV which is closer to the transport measurement of 35 meV than 254 meV gap in the bare DFT calculation. The aforementioned correlation effects are the consequence of the GRISB approximation where the $R$ matrix renormalize the bandwidth of the correlated band and the $\lambda$ shifted the position of the correlated bands.

For calculating non-hybridized theoretical X-ray spectra, $D$ and $\lambda^c$ were set to zero. The parameters for the local impurity’s spin-orbit coupling constant, core-hole spin-orbit coupling constant, and the Slater integrals for the valence-core Coulomb interactions ($U_{cdd}^{ikjl}$ and $U_{cddc}^{ikjl}$) in $H_{\text{core-hole}}$ were taken from Cowan’s relativistic Hartree-Fock code. We used values from the computed result of Pu$^{3+}$ and the Coulomb interactions are decreased by 20%. The direct core-hole potential
FIG. 4. Theoretical XAS from different valence occupations calculated using the ED method without hybridization. In the calculation, we use values for Pu$^{3+}$ taken from Cowan’s code for parameters such as Slater integrals and spin-orbit constant. The results are similar to the atomic multiplet calculations. The spectra for $n_f = 3 \sim 6$ are shifted vertically for clearer comparison.

$(U_{i,j}^{c,d})$ is set to the same value as $U_f$. We broadened the spectra by 2 eV to take into account the core-hole life-time effect. However, the computational cost for calculating the XAS spectrum for the multi-$f^n$ electron configurations increases dramatically as compared to the single electron configuration case because the size of the Hilbert space for the ground state grows from a few thousand in single-configuration case to tens of billions for the multi-configuration case with $n_f$ ranging from 3 to 7. The situation is worse for getting the final states with an extra core-hole. In order to obtain results in a reasonable amount of time, we can consider only the direct Coulomb term for the core-valence interaction. With this simplification, the full Hamiltonian becomes block diagonal. The contribution to the spectral function by each block can then be calculated independently and summed together to obtain the final result. This simplification does not have noticeable effect on the branching ratio since it only caused a minor energy level splitting (roughly 0.1 $\sim$ 0.5 eV) within each peak of the $N_{4,5}$ edge, and these extra lines were buried in the 2 eV broadening.

The calculated non-hybridized X-ray absorption spectra of Pu $5f^3$ to $5f^7$ are shown in Fig. 4. We consider various valence fillings and obtained each absorption spectrum separately. The results are qualitatively consistent with the spectra calculated previously on $\alpha$-Pu. When the XAS is calculated from the ground state with single $f^n$ configuration, that is, no hybridization between local $5f$ orbitals and the other orbitals is considered, the spin-orbit sum-rule can be applied to find the electron occupation number for both the $j = 5/2$ and $j = 7/2$ orbitals. The sum-rule is given by

$$
\frac{\langle W_{110}^{110} \rangle}{14 - n_f} - \Delta = -\frac{5}{2} \left( B - \frac{3}{5} \right),
$$

where $\Delta$ is a small correction term for the $N_{4,5}$ edges (usually within 3%) and we set to zero for convenience,
There are minor discrepancies in the SOC strength that follow the intermediate coupling scheme for $5f$ with varying $n_f$ strength and the electron occupation numbers for Pu estimations. Fig. 5 illustrates the spin-orbit coupling in excellent agreement with the spin-orbit sum-rule for the hybridized case of $5f$ with $n_f = 5$ and the occupations in $f_{5/2}$ and $f_{7/2}$ valence levels can be found. The determined values are listed in Table I. We note here that although the XAS technique itself involves the change of $5f$ electron count in the final state, the branching ratio analysis determines the ground state $5f$ occupation of the system. We can also calculate the $5f$ occupations from the ground state obtained by ED using

$$\langle W^{110} \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \langle l \cdot s \rangle = n_{7/2} - \frac{4}{3} n_{5/2} . \quad (18)$$

Using the sum-rule and the fact that

$$n_f = n_{7/2} + n_{5/2} ; \quad (19)$$

the occupations in $f_{5/2}$ and $f_{7/2}$ valence levels can be found. The determined values are listed in Table I. We note here that although the XAS technique itself involves the change of $5f$ electron count in the final state, the branching ratio analysis determines the ground state $5f$ occupation of the system. We can also calculate the $5f$ occupations from the ground state obtained by ED using

$$n_f = \sum_{i \notin j} \langle g | f_i \cdot f_i^\dagger | g \rangle . \quad (20)$$

The ground state electron occupation for the correlated orbitals as determined from the ED calculations is in excellent agreement with the spin-orbit sum-rule estimations. Fig. 6 illustrates the spin-orbit coupling strength and the electron occupation numbers for Pu with varying $n_f$. For non-hybridized cases the results follow the intermediate coupling scheme for $5f$ systems. There are minor discrepancies in the SOC strength per hole between our calculated and the intermediate coupling curve, which is due to the fact that we used the same SOC constant for Pu in all our calculations while the values of the curve were obtained from each element. Pu’s non-hybridized result ($n_f = 5$) lies on the intermediate coupling curve perfectly.

The spectrum weight is transferred from the higher $j = 7/2$ to the lower $j = 5/2$ level, and thus the $N_4$ intensity is smaller for the hybridized case with the same number of valence electrons. The calculated $n_{5/2}$ and $n_{7/2}$ values are shown in Fig. 5 and Table I. We used $f^2$ to $g^7$ electron occupancies, the configuration weights are mostly transferred from $f^4$ to $f^6$. There is a slight difference in the values for $n_f$ between the GRISB ground state method and the ED ground state. The reason for this is that in the GRISB calculations, we used $f^2$ to $f^7$ electron configurations for $n_f = 4.77$, $f^3$ to $f^7$ for $n_f = 4.99$, and $f^3$ to $f^8$ for $n_f = 5.22$. However, for the ED calculations, we considered only $f^2$ to $f^7$ electron configurations for all three cases with the $n_f$ value shown in Table I. There is a less than 1% difference between the two approaches. We used $f^3$ to $f^7$ configurations in the ED method for the XAS to dramatically reduce the computational cost.

Finally, we calculated the XAS for PuB$_4$ with hybridization between local $5f$ orbitals and the bath orbitals. The embedding Hamiltonian was extracted from the DFT+GRISB calculations. Fig. 6 shows the calculated XAS for the hybridized cases with various values of Pu occupation. As is evident, the spectral density for the $N_5$ edge depends on $n_f$. The spectrum for the hybridized case of $n_f = 4.99$ has a larger branching ratio of $B = 0.86$ compared to the non-hybridized $n_f = 5$ case with $B = 0.83$. The reason for this difference is that the hybridization provide an extra channel to mix the $j = 5/2$ and $j = 7/2$ valence spin-orbitals. As a result, more spectra weight is transferred from the higher $j = 7/2$ to the lower $j = 5/2$ level, and thus the $N_4$ intensity is smaller for the hybridized case with the same number of valence electrons. The calculated $n_{5/2}$ and $n_{7/2}$ values are shown in Fig. 5 and Table I. More than half of the weights are in the $f^5$ configuration.

The XAS for different valence occupations. The $n_f = 5$ spectrum (dashed line) is calculated using the single-configuration atomic multiplet model and is provided for comparison. The spectra for $n_f = 4.77, 4.99,$ and $5.22$ (solid lines) are calculated using a multi-configuration Hilbert space. The intensities are normalized to the maximum peak value.

![Figure 6](image.png)

**TABLE I.** Results from single $f^{n_f}$ configuration. $B$ is the branching ratio from Fig. 4. The quantity $\langle W^{110} \rangle$ is calculated by Eq. 18. The Pu-5$f$ electron occupation numbers $n_{5/2}$ and $n_{7/2}$ are obtained by either the spin-orbit sum rule or from the ED ground state. The two sets of numbers agree well with each other.

| $n_f$ | $B$ | $\langle W^{110} \rangle$ | Sum rule $n_{5/2}$ | Sum rule $n_{7/2}$ | ED ground state $n_{5/2}$ | ED ground state $n_{7/2}$ |
|------|-----|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 3    | 0.73 | 4.78              | 2.87            | 0.13            | 2.84            | 0.16            |
| 4    | 0.77 | -4.30             | 3.56            | 0.44            | 3.54            | 0.46            |
| 5    | 0.83 | -5.16             | 4.36            | 0.65            | 4.35            | 0.65            |
| 6    | 0.92 | -6.48             | 5.35            | 0.65            | 5.32            | 0.68            |
| 7    | 0.75 | -2.57             | 4.10            | 2.90            | 4.08            | 2.92            |

$W^{110}$ is a less than 1% difference between the two approaches. We used $f^2$ to $f^7$ electron configurations for all three cases with the $n_f$ value shown in Table I. There is a less than 1% difference between the two approaches. We used $f^3$ to $f^7$ configurations in the ED method for the XAS to dramatically reduce the computational cost. If the average Pu-$5f$ occupancy of the system is tuned from an integer valence filling of $n_f = 5$, then most of the change in valence occupation results from the change in $j = 5/2$ levels and the filling of $j = 7/2$ stay stable. Thus, the intensity of $N_4$ is roughly linearly dependent on $n_{5/2}$, as shown in Fig. 6. One might notice that the energy difference between the two peaks increases as $n_f$ grows.
TABLE II. Weights in each occupation from multi-configuration ground state obtained from ED calculations for PuB₄ when the hybridization is taken into account. The orbital dependent Pu-5f electron occupation from the sum rule is also listed.

| nₖ  | Weight | ED | Sum rule |
|-----|--------|----|----------|
|     | 3      | 4  | 5        | 6        | 7        |
| 4.78| 0.03   | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 4.45 | 0.33 | 4.46 | 0.32 |
| 4.99| 0.01   | 0.21 | 0.57 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 4.65 | 0.34 | 4.66 | 0.33 |
| 5.22| 0.01   | 0.13 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.02 | 4.87 | 0.35 | 4.88 | 0.34 |
| 5.18*| 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 4.79 | 0.38 | 4.80 | 0.37 |

The N₄ peak shifts from ~48 eV when nₖ=4.78 (B = 0.84) to ~49 eV when nₖ=5.22 (B = 0.88). The shift can be explained by the core-valence Coulomb interaction. The attractive Coulomb interaction between the core-hole and the j = 5/2 levels is stronger when there was more valence electrons within these orbitals. As a result, the energy difference between \(|4d^15f^{n+1}, j = 5/2\rangle\) and \(|4d^35f^{n+1}, j = 7/2\rangle\) final states becomes larger, resulting in a larger splitting between the two peaks. Finally, for this example of PuB₄, one can see from Fig. 5 that when the valence-bath hybridization is taken into account, the system is no longer in the intermediate coupling regime but in between intermediate coupling and ff-coupling schemes.

We have also applied the same approach to the δ-Pu, the orbital-dependent Pu-5f occupation from both ground state ED calculations and XAS sum rule, as well as the ground state electron configuration weight are included together with PuB₄ in Table II. The N₄ XAS branch ratio B = 0.87 (for nₖ = 5.18). As one can see the orbital-resolved Pu-5f occupations from the ED and the sum rule reference matches. In addition, the obtained configuration weights from our ED method are in a reasonable agreement with the earlier LDA+DMFT calculations and the experimental measurements on δ-Pu, providing further evidence of a mixed-valent 5f state in δ-Pu. For PuB₄, a resonant X-ray emission spectroscopy (RXES) L₂-edge measurement by Booth et al. suggested a multi-configurational state of Pu-5f with dominant \(f^4\) and smaller \(f^5\) configuration fractions. Comparing the theoretical XAS to the experimental results should enable us to extract the Pu-5f electron occupancy. In our calculations of XAS spectroscopy, we do see a significant increase of \(f^4\) weight fraction when the Pu-5f occupancy is reduced away from nₖ = 5. However, the DFT based calculations cannot reproduce the experimental Pu-5f occupancy in this compound, and therefore, further theoretical studies are required to resolve this issue.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed a technique for computing the XAS beyond the atomic multiplet model. The technique takes into account not only correlated orbitals but also their hybridization with the ligand orbitals from the DFT+GRISB solution. The DFT+GRISB solution allowed us to analyze physical properties, such as band structure, density of states, and conductivity of materials with electronic correlations. Hybridization effects are important in strongly-correlated materials and it is therefore essential to include them in theoretical calculations of electronic response. In our results for PuB₄, we find that correlation effects renormalize the band gap to a size closer to the experimental measurement. Furthermore, in the XAS calculations the hybridization between correlated and other conduction or valence orbitals provides another channel to mix the two angular momentum orbitals, which changes the N₄ branching ratio, shifting it from intermediate coupling towards jj-coupling. This research opened a new avenue to calculate the X-ray spectroscopy including a complete description of electronic behavior.
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