Early renal biopsy in acute renal failure - Expect the unexpected
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Abstract
Present study was carried out to determine the contribution of early renal biopsy in diagnosis and management of acute renal failure (ARF) cases suspected on clinical grounds to be other than acute tubular necrosis (non ATN-ARF). Total 37 cases fulfilled the inclusion criteria. In 20 out of 37 (54.05%) cases the clinical diagnosis was in concordance with biopsy diagnosis. In 13 out of 37 (35.13%) cases the clinical diagnosis was in discordance with biopsy diagnosis which altered the management of the patient. Remaining 4 out of 37 (10.81%) cases were not diagnosed clinically and diagnosis of ARF was totally based on renal biopsy. So overall management alteration in non ATN - ARF patients was 45.94% (17 out of 37 cases). Thus, early renal biopsy contributes to specific treatment and therefore the outcome of patients with non ATN–ARF.
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Introduction
The renal biopsy is of fundamental value in discussing the diagnosis and therapy of especially glomerular and vascular causes of acute renal failure. In this study we have included renal biopsies of patients with ARF which on clinical grounds were thought to be due to causes other than acute tubular necrosis (ATN). Renal biopsy in Acute Renal failure (ARF) is usually indicated in following conditions
1. ARF requiring specific treatment, for example;
   i. Glomerulonephritis (GN).
   ii. Vasculitis.
   iii. Acute interstitial nephritis (AIN).
2. ARF of more subtle onset.
3. When there is no obvious cause for ARF.
4. ARF accompanied by proteinuria or hematuria.
5. When there is evidence of a systemic disease.

Aims and Objectives
Present study is aimed to determine the contribution of early renal biopsy in diagnosis and management of ARF cases suspected, on clinical grounds to be due to causes other than ATN (non ATN-ARF).

Materials and Methods
This prospective and descriptive observational case series study was carried out during the period June 2011 to May 2012. During this period total 336 ARF patients were noted out of which 245 patients were clinically diagnosed as ATN and were excluded from this study. Biopsy was not done in 39 cases. In 15 cases it was a late or postmortem biopsy and were excluded from this study. Mean duration of symptoms to biopsy was 8.08 ± 4.75 days. Mean time from admission to biopsy was 4.16 ± 1.73 days. Total 37 cases fulfilled the inclusion criteria and are included in this study.

Inclusion Criteria
Following criteria’s are applied for inclusion of cases in this study
1. Patients without previously known renal disease.
2. First absolute value of serum creatinine ≥2 mg%, OR daily increase in creatinine by 50% over baseline.
3. Cases where decrease in calculated Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) is occurring over days.
4. Cases of unexplained ARF in native kidneys.
5. Cases where renal biopsy was performed within 15 days of onset of symptoms and within 7 days of hospitalization.

Exclusion Criteria
Following criteria’s are applied for exclusion of cases from this study
1. ARF because of suspected acute tubular necrosis (ATN).
2. Cases where renal biopsy was performed later than 7 days after hospitalisation or 15 days later from the onset of symptoms either because of technical difficulties or late referral.
3. Cases of ARF occurring in allograft kidneys were excluded.

Non Invasive Investigative Workup
Routine Investigations which were carried out on the cases included in this study are: Complete urine analysis, blood urea and serum creatinine estimation, serological test for HIV, HBsAg (Australia antigen) and anti HCV (hepatitis C virus). Ultrasonography with Doppler studies were performed in selective cases. 24 hour urine protein excretion was estimated.
Special Investigations were carried out wherever necessary: Test for Anti-nuclear antibody (ANA), Anti dsDNA antibody, & anti neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) were performed. Serum compliment (C3 and C4) levels were estimated. Serum protein electrophoresis was done when indicated. In febrile patients blood and urine culture was done. Bone marrow aspiration and trephine biopsy was performed in selected cases. In cases of pulmonary hemorrhages anti glomerular basement membrane (GBM) antibody titres were obtained. Uroflowmetry was carried out where urinary tract obstruction was suspected.

Management was considered altered if:
1. New medication was added to the previous treatment after renal biopsy diagnosis.
2. Previous medication was withdrawn or withheld after renal biopsy diagnosis.
3. Additional investigational procedure was advised and performed after renal biopsy diagnosis.
4. Specific therapeutic procedures were started after kidney biopsy.
Renal biopsy performed with biopsy gun of 16 G (BARD MONOPTY), under USG guidance.

Results and Observation

Table 1: Showing data of the present study

| Study period | June 2011 – May 2012 |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Total no of ARF patients | 336 |
| Presumed ATN | 245 |
| Biopsy not done | 39 |
| Late or post mortem biopsies | 15 |
| Fulfilled inclusion criteria | 37 |
| Mean duration of symptoms to biopsy | 8.08 ± 4.75 days |
| Mean time for admission to biopsy | 4.16 ± 1.73 days |
| Clinical and histological diagnosis - matched | 20 |
| Clinical and histological diagnosis - differed | 13 |
| Diagnosis of clinically undiagnosable ARF by biopsy | 4 |

Table 2: Depicting 37 cases which satisfied the inclusion criteria and their clinical and biopsy diagnosis

| Diagnosis | Clinical | Biopsy |
|-----------|----------|--------|
| Proliferative Glomerulonephritis - | - | 11 |
| Lupus nephritis | 2 | 1 |
| Mesangial Hypercellularity | - | 3 |
| IgA Nephropathy | - | 3 |
| Diffuse proliferative | - | 1 |
| Chronic Sclerosing | - | 3 |
| Vasculitis | 2 | 1 |
| Pulmonary renal syndrome | - | 2 |
| Crescentic Glomerulonephritis | - | 6 |
| Anti GBM disease | - | 4 |
| Amyloidosis | 1 | - |
| Membranous | - | 1 |
| Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (TTP) | 2 | - |
| Thrombotic Microangiopathy | 2 | 4 |
| HELLP Syndrome | 1 | - |
| Drug induced - | 10 | - |
| ATN | - | 5 |
| AIN | 5 | 2 |
| Clinically undiagnosable | 4 | - |
| Cortical necrosis | - | 1 |
| Myeloma Cast Nephropathy | - | 2 |

Table 3: Showing 13 cases where renal biopsy diagnosis differed from clinical diagnosis

| Clinical diagnosis | Biopsy findings |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura | Mesangial hypercellularity & ATN |
| Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura | Cortical Necrosis |
HELLP Syndrome | Thrombotic Microangiopathy
Rapidly progressive renal failure | ATN with Acute pyelonephritis
Acute Nephritic Syndrome | ATN with IgA Nephropathy
Proliferative GN | Chronic Sclerosing GN
NSAID induced | Focal proliferative IgA Nephropathy
NSAID induced | Class IV Lupus Nephritis
Obstructive / NSAID induced | Myeloma Cast Nephropathy
AIN/RPRF | Myeloma Cast Nephropathy
Drug induced AIN | Crescentic
Vasculitis? Polyarteritis nodosa | Thrombotic microangiopathy
Vasculitis | Anti GBM disease

Table 4: Showing biopsy diagnosis in four cases of clinically undiagnosable ARF:

| Clinical diagnosis                  | Biopsy findings                        |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Clinically undiagnosable ARF       | IgA Nephropathy                         |
| Clinically undiagnosable ARF       | IgA Nephropathy                         |
| Clinically undiagnosable ARF       | End stage renal disease (ESRD) due to Chronic tubulointerstitial nephritis (CTIN) |
| Clinically undiagnosable ARF       | Crescentic Glomerulonephritis           |

Table 5: Showing post biopsy treatment alteration

| Treatment                             | Number |
|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Steroids started post biopsy          | 4      |
| Bone marrow and chemotherapy started post biopsy | 2      |
| Cytotoxic agents added post biopsy    | 5      |
| Plasma exchanges                      | 3      |
| Steroids stopped                      | 2      |
| Antibiotics started                   | 1      |
| Plasma infusions stopped              | 1      |

Table 6: Showing complications of renal biopsies performed in this study

| Complication         | Number |
|----------------------|--------|
| Hematuria post biopsy| 1      |
| Need for transfusion | 0      |
| Clot retention       | 1      |
| Surgical intervention| 0      |
| Angiography          | 0      |
fever since 7 days and oliguria since 4 days. There was dry gangrene of all toes of Right lower limb since 8 days. There was a petechial rash over both lower limb since 3 days. On examination lower limb and scrotal edema was present. There were rising values of renal function test (RFTs) parameters i.e.; urea and creatinine.

2. Taking into consideration above mentioned parameters a working diagnosis of rapidly progressive renal failure (RPRF) most likely secondary to vasculitis was considered. Differential diagnosis of Polyanarteritis Nodosa (PAN), ANCA positive vasculitis and cryoglobulinemia was considered.

3. On investigations urine analysis revealed - grade I proteinuria, haematuria (RBC – 48 to 50/HPF), granular and RBC casts. 24 hour urinary protein excretion was 990 mg. Patient was HBsAg positive. Test for serum cryoglobulins and ANCA antibodies were negative. Test for anti-nuclear antibody (ANA) was doubtful positive. Anti dsDNA antibody titre was - 4.5 IU/L. Kidney biopsy was performed and diagnosis of thrombotic microangiopathy was confirmed.

Case 2:
Clinically ARF in a Previously Healthy Person: A 65 year old male with complaints of joint and back pain clinically presented with acute renal failure. On examination patient was dehydrated. Laboratory investigations revealed, Haemoglobin: 5.1 g/dl, TLC: 7500/mm³, DLC: Neutrophil 70%, Lymphocyte 24%, eosinophil 0%, and monocyte 2%. Peripheral blood smear showed macrocytosis with mild hypochromia. Blood urea: 66 mg/dl, serum creatinine: 3.1 mg/dl, serum total calcium was 6.3 mg/dl, serum total protein: 6.8 g/dl, serum albumin: 2.8 g/dl, serum globulin: 4.0 g/dl. Urine examination showed grade II proteinuria with plenty of pus cells. Toluene sulfonic acid test was negative. Renal biopsy revealed myloma cast nephropathy.

Case 3:
ARF in a Child: A 10 year old male child presented with sudden decrease in urinary output. History of hemoptysis and hematuria was present. Blood urea was 109 mg/dl and serum creatinine was 3.2 mg/dl. Anti glomerular basement membrane (GBM) antibody titre was 51.48 (strong positive). Renal biopsy revealed anti-GBM disease, consistent with Goodpasture’s syndrome.

Case 4:
A 25 year old male with past history of joint pains in 1994 clinically presented with joint pain and edema since last three months. Urine examination showed grade IV proteinuria, 2 to 3 RBC/hpf and pus cells 20 to 25/hpf. Renal biopsy revealed lupus nephritis class IV-G(A).
Case 5: A 25 year old male patient presented with haematuria and hypertension. Urine examination revealed grade III proteinuria and plenty of RBC’s. There was no history of past illness and no surgical cause of haematuria was found. So renal biopsy was performed. Renal biopsy revealed IgA nephropathy.

Discussion
This observational, descriptive and prospective study was carried out to assess the effect of knowledge of renal histology on patient management in ARF which were suspected on clinical grounds due to causes other than ATN. 17 out of 37 (45.94%) were benefitted due to renal biopsy diagnosis and the management was altered in these cases. Prednisolone was started in four patients; cytotoxic drugs were added in five patients; prednisolone, cyclophosphamide and plasma exchanges were given in four patients; plasma exchanges alone were carried out in three patients; withholding of initially started medication was possible in two patients and bone marrow biopsy and introduction of chemotherapy was possible in two patients.

Richards et al in 1994 carried out similar study in ARF and observed that in 22 out of 31 cases (70.9%) the management of the patients altered after renal biopsy diagnosis. The present study reflects the findings of Richards et al 1994 and suggest that the knowledge of renal histology is essential in the management of patients with non ATN-ARF.

Jukka Mustonen et al in 1984 studied native renal biopsies of 91 patients having acute intrinsic renal failure. They found that the clinical diagnosis of acute tubulo-interstitial renal disease matched with renal biopsy diagnosis in 77% cases. They also observed that clinical diagnosis of acute glomerulonephritis matched with renal biopsy diagnosis in 56% cases. According to Jukka Mustonen et al 1984 in 15% cases clinical diagnosis was not possible and renal biopsy was only of diagnostic help in these 15% cases. In the present study in four out of 37 cases (10.81%) clinical diagnosis was not possible and renal biopsy was only diagnostic. Jukka Mestonen et al also observed post biopsy treatment alterations in 20% cases. In the present study post biopsy treatment alterations was observed in 45.94% (17 out of 37) cases.

Conclusion
Renal biopsy leads to correct diagnosis in substantial number (45.94% cases) of non ATN-ARF patients. Early renal biopsy contributes to specific treatment and therefore affects the outcome of patients with non ATN-ARF. It is a safe, easily performed, diagnostic technique and its complications are minor and very few.
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