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Abstract Microsatellite loci are widely used in ecological and evolutionary studies to assess inbreeding, genetic parentage and population structure. Such loci are often optimised in multiplexes to allow for economical and efficient use. Here, we tested 11 microsatellite loci designed for use in European bee-eaters (*Merops apiaster*), along with 31 loci isolated in other species, for their utility in European bee-eaters sampled on Susak Island, Croatia. Of these 42 loci, 20 were polymorphic in 38 individuals. These polymorphic loci were further assessed in a sub-set of 23 adults, excluding close relatives, and exhibited between three and 13 alleles each. All loci were autosomal, as indicated by the presence of heterozygotes in both males and females. One of the polymorphic loci exhibited low heterozygosity, three loci deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and three pairs of loci displayed linkage disequilibrium. The remaining selected eight cross-species loci and seven loci isolated in European bee-eaters were combined with two sex-typing markers and optimised in five multiplexes. A combination of 15 autosomal loci of varying degrees of polymorphism makes this multiplex set particularly suitable for both parentage and spatial genetic analyses. This multiplex set therefore provides a useful toolkit for studying kin selection and population genetics in the cooperatively breeding European bee-eater and, potentially, in other closely related species.
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European bee-eaters (*Merops apiaster*) are a migratory bird species in the Meropidae family (order Coraciiformes). They breed in Southern Europe and the North of Africa and migrate to Southern Africa during winter (Fry 1984). Unlike many cooperatively breeding birds, European bee-eaters are a colonial species (Lessells et al. 1994). Colonies vary in size and can range from two up to several hundred breeding pairs (Fry 1984). Approximately 20% of broods in this species obtain help from other individuals that have failed in their breeding attempts (Lessells et al. 1994). Females have greater natal dispersal distances than males, although breeding-site fidelity is very common (Lessells et al. 1994). However, less is known about inter-colony dispersal (Dasmahapatra et al. 2004) and associated fine-scale genetic structure, features that may be important if we are to understand the evolution of various life-history traits such as cooperative breeding, coloniality and dispersal. Here, we characterise a set of polymorphic microsatellite loci, obtained via cross-species amplification, and combine these with existing European bee-eater loci (Dasmahapatra et al. 2004) and sexing markers (Dawson 2007) to create a powerful multiplex set. This multiplex set will facilitate the study of dispersal, parentage and kin selection in the European bee-eater and could potentially be of use in similar studies of closely related species.

A total of 42 microsatellite loci were tested for their utility in European bee-eaters, sampled on Susak Island, Croatia (45° 29' 26" N, 14° 19' 46" E). Eleven of these loci were previously developed for the European bee-eater (Dasmahapatra et al. 2004).
| Multiplex | Marker | Source species & EMBL accession number & reference for primer set | Primer sequence (5′–3′) | Fluoro label | N | Nₐ | Allele size range (bp) | Hₒ | Hₑ | HWE p value | Est. null allele freq. |
|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|----|----------------------|----|----|--------------|------------------|
| 1 Be2.31  | Merops apiaster  | AJ630048 Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | F: CTTCAGGCACACGTGACCGACAG R: CAGAGGACACACAGTGCCTCC | 6-FAM | 21 | 7 | 165–181 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.886 | −0.021 |
| Be2.33    | Merops apiaster  | AJ630050 Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | F: CAGAAATGCTGTAACCTGCTTCGACAA R: ACTGTGCTGTCACATTTTG | HEX | 20 | 3 | 176–180 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.719 | −0.135 |
| Mor12     | Merops ornatus  | DQ304696 Adcock et al. 2006 | F: CATTCAAAATACCCAGTGC R: AGACTGCTGTCCTGATGC | HEX | 23 | 3 | 209–213 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.158 | 0.053 |
| Mor20     | Merops ornatus  | DQ304700 Adcock et al. 2006 | F: CCACCTGTCCTTTGTGCTCAAAC R: TCTCAGGTCAAGCTGATGC | 6-FAM | 21 | 6 | 206–216 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.283 | 0.042 |
| Z002D     | Dawson 2007    | (Dawson 2007) | F: CTTCAGGCAGTGACCGACAG R: CAGAGGACACACAGTGCCTCC | 6-FAM | 18 | 1 | 125, 125 (Z, Z) | 0 | 0 | – | – |
|           |                |                                              |                          |              |    | 2 | 114, 125 (W, Z) | – | – | – | – |
| 2 Bb111-TG | Bubo bubo     | AF432096 (Bb111) Klein et al. 2009 | F: CTTGTGTCATTTTTCCCTGAGC R: ATCTAAGCATTTTTGTCATTTTTT | HEX | 18 | 6 | 188–200 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.380 | 0.065 |
| HvoB1-TTG | Hallacetus vociferoides | AJ620441 (HvoB1) Klein et al. 2009 | F: AAGCAAGGATTTCTCTCGAGC R: TCTCAAAATGGAACAGAAGGG | HEX | 23 | 12 | 117–142 | 0.83 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 0.112 |
| TG04-061  | Taeniopygia guttata | CK235034 Dawson et al. 2010 | F: GACATGGCTATGAAATAATAGGC R: AGAAGGGCATGAAGCACAC | HEX | 18 | 8 | 210–218 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.087 | 0.055 |
| Z002D     | Dawson 2007    | (Dawson 2007) | F: CTTCAGGCAGTGACCGACAG R: CAGAGGACACACAGTGCCTCC | 6-FAM | 18 | 1 | 125, 125 (Z, Z) | 0 | 0 | – | – |
|           |                |                                              |                          |              |    | 2 | 114, 125 (W, Z) | – | – | – | – |
| 3 Be3.24  | Merops apiaster  | AJ630052 Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | F: GATCACATTATCTCTGCTGAC R: TTATGAAATGTCATTTTTATGTCCTC | HEX | 23 | 10 | 141–163 | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.243 | 0.043 |
| Be3.9     | Merops apiaster  | AJ630053 Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | F: GGAATCCAAAACACTGCTCAT R: AAGGAAATCTGCCCCTTA | 6-FAM | 23 | 13 | 162–190 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 0.257 | 0.058 |
| Mor10     | Merops ornatus  | DQ304695 Adcock et al. 2006 | F: GGGTGCTGCTACACCTCTGATGC R: AACGTGTTCAGCACATCAGC | HEX | 23 | 3 | 198–202 | 0.61 | 0.54 | 0.220 | 0.068 |
### Table 1 (continued)

| Multiplex | Marker | Source species & EMBL accession number & reference for primer set | Primer sequence (5′–3′) | Fluoro label | N | N<sub>a</sub> | Allele size range (bp) | H<sub>o</sub> | H<sub>E</sub> | HWE p value | Est. null allele freq. |
|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----|----------|------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------------|
| Z002D     |        | (Dawson 2007)                                                |                      | 6-FAM       | 18  | 1        | 125, 125 (Z, Z)         | 0       | 0       | –         | –                    |
|           |        |                                                               |                      | 20 female   | 2   | 114, 125 (W, Z) | –                   | –       | –       | –         | –                    |
| 4         | Bel.29 | *Merops apiaster*                                            | F: TTTTCTCTGGGAGGTGGTG | HEX         | 23  | 11      | 147–167               | 0.83    | 0.85    | 0.657     | −0.007               |
|           |        |                                                               | R: GCTTGAAGGGGATTAGATAGC |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           |        | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004                                      |                      |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           | Be19.2 | *Merops apiaster*                                            | F: GTCAAGTGGGCTGTTGGAG | NED         | 23  | 7       | 174–186               | 0.83    | 0.83    | 0.419     | −0.013               |
|           |        |                                                               | R: AAGAGGGGCTACTTCCAAGC |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           |        | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004                                      |                      |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           | Be2.52 | *Merops apiaster*                                            | F: GGAGTCATCTAGGCCATCC | NED         | 18  | 13      | 214–240               | 0.83    | 0.90    | 0.431     | 0.024                |
|           |        |                                                               | R: TTTCGGAGGGCATGTAAG |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           |        | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004                                      |                      |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
| Z002B     |        | (Dawson 2007)                                                |                      | 6-FAM       | 18  | 1        | 247, 247 (Z, Z)         | 0       | 0       | –         | –                    |
|           |        |                                                               |                      | 20 female   | 2   | 241, 247 (W, Z) | –                   | –       | –       | –         | –                    |
| 5         | CAM-15 | *Taeniopygia guttata*                                         | F: SGACGACTCTTTATTTCCC | 6-FAM       | 21  | 7       | 261–273               | 0.81    | 0.82    | 0.519     | −0.005               |
|           |        |                                                               | R: TTCTGACTTCCYACGGTAACAC |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           |        | Dawson et al. 2013                                           |                      |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           | TG13-017| *Taeniopygia guttata*                                         | F: GCTTTGCATCTTGCCCCAA | HEX         | 22  | 4       | 209–217               | 0.50    | 0.58    | 0.712     | 0.072                |
|           |        |                                                               | R: GGTAACTCAACATCCACTTCT |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
|           |        | Dawson et al. 2010                                           |                      |             |     |         |                       |         |         |           |                      |
| Z002B     |        | (Dawson 2007)                                                |                      | 6-FAM       | 18  | 1        | 247, 247 (Z, Z)         | 0       | 0       | –         | –                    |
|           |        |                                                               |                      | 20 female   | 2   | 241, 247 (W, Z) | –                   | –       | –       | –         | –                    |

N is the number of genotyped adult European bee-eater (*Merops apiaster*) individuals from Susak Island, Croatia, N<sub>a</sub> is the number of alleles, H<sub>o</sub> is the observed heterozygosity, H<sub>E</sub> is the expected heterozygosity and Est. null allele freq. is the estimated null allele frequency.
and we assessed these loci for their utility in our study population. The remaining 31 loci were selected based on their high utility in multiple other species (Dawson et al. 2010, 2013), their utility in species belonging to the same order as European bee-eaters (N=7–23; Appendix 1) or both. Five of these loci were originally isolated from the closely related Rainbow bee-eater (Merops ornatus; Adcock et al. 2006), and 26 were of high cross-species utility, including 24 that were previously characterised in a related but more distant Coraciiform species, the European roller (Coracias garrulus; Martín-Gálvez et al. 2014).

Blood samples (ca 50 μl) were collected by puncturing the brachial vein of 38 European bee-eaters from 15 colonies on Susak Island in 2014. These samples were stored in 1 ml of 96 % ethanol in rubber-sealed screw-topped microfuge tubes. We extracted genomic DNA via an ammonium acetate precipitation method (Nicholls et al. 2000) and performed PCR amplification in a 2-μl volume. Each PCR reaction contained approximately 15 ng of genomic DNA, 0.2 μM of each primer (except for Be2.52 [0.6 μM], Be3.9 [1.0 μM], CAM-15 [0.4 μM], Mor14 [0.6 μM] and TG13–017 [0.6 μM]), HotStarTag DNA polymerase, MgCl₂ and dNTPs supplied at the concentrations stated in the manufacturer’s buffer (QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Master Mix). Forward primers were fluorescently labelled and PCR products were amplified using a DNA Engine Tetrad PTC-225 Peltier thermal cycler (MJ Research).

Loci of high cross-species utility and those tested in the European roller were amplified in singleplexes across all 38 individuals with the following PCR profile: 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 90 s and 72 °C for 60 s and a final step of 60 °C for 30 min. The Rainbow and European bee-eater loci were initially assessed in multiplex reactions (designed using Multiplex Manager 1.2) in seven adults that were not close relatives (R ≤ 0.126; GenAlex 6.41, Peakall and Smouse 2006) with the same PCR constituents, including 0.2 μM of each primer (except for Be2.52 [0.6 μM], Be3.9 [1.0 μM] and Mor14 [0.6 μM]), and the same PCR profile. PCR products were separated on an ABI 3730 DNA Analyser with an ABI ROX500 size standard and alleles scored using GENEMAPPER 3.7 (Applied Biosystems).

We found 20 loci to be polymorphic in seven to 38 individuals. Five loci were monomorphic and 17 failed to amplify a product (Appendix 1). The five monomorphic loci in this population may be variable and so of utility in other populations. Locus Be72 is the same as Be3.9, which we tested under the latter name and found polymorphic (Adcock et al. 2006). Polymorphic loci were amplified as multiplex PCRs across all 38 individuals using the PCR profile stated above. Each multiplex set included a marker of known utility for sexing European bee-eaters; Z002B or Z002D (Dawson 2007). Loci were then characterised across a sub-set of 23 European bee-eaters, by selecting one male and one female adult from each colony to minimise overlapping generation and social structure effects. All 20 loci were autosomal as heterozygotes occurred in both sexes (18 males and 20 females assessed). One autosomal locus (TG01–000) amplified successfully in singleplex but failed to amplify in multiplex PCR (Appendix 1). Another locus (Be48) was polymorphic with only three alleles and little genetic variation in the Susak Island individuals (H_o = 0.09, Appendix 1). Despite the relatively low variability among Susak Island individuals, this locus may be suitable for population structure analyses as heterozygosity was higher (H_o = 0.29) in a French European bee-eater population (Dasamahapatra et al. 2004).

We used data from the 23 adult European bee-eaters to test each locus for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and for linkage disequilibrium among groups of loci (GENEPOL 4.2; Rousset 2008). Three loci (Be2.16, Mor14 and Mor15) deviated from HWE and were not included in the final multiplex set (Appendix 1). Loci Be2.16 and Mor15 also displayed high null allele frequency estimates (>0.10; CERVUS 3.0.03, Kalinowski et al. 2007). After correcting for multiple testing (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995), three pairs of loci (TG04–061 & CAM-15, Mor10 & Be19.2 and Mor10 & BB111-TG) displayed linkage disequilibrium (p < 0.05). We therefore omitted data from CAM-15 and Mor10 from the analyses of relatedness. The final 15 autosomal loci (eight cross-species loci combined with seven loci isolated in European bee-eaters) were checked and confirmed unique using BLAST software (Altschul et al. 1997). These 15 loci, optimised in five multiplexes, will be useful in future studies, especially when combined with the two sex-typing markers (Table 1). In particular, the marker set will facilitate both fine-scale and large-scale population genetic structure analyses, and facilitate parentage assignment and relatedness estimation for kin selection studies. More specifically, this multiplex set will provide an efficient and economical method for investigating the social dynamics of European bee-eaters and, potentially, closely related species.
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## Appendix

### Appendix 1

Details of the 42 microsatellite markers tested in the European bee-eater (*Merops apiaster*). Markers in italics and underlined were included in the final multiplex set.

| Marker | Source species & EMBL accession number & locus name (when different) | Reference for primer set | Reason for testing | Fluoro label | Plex used in initial test | N | N_e | Allele size range (bp) | Outcome |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---|-----|-----------------------|---------|
| ApCo46-ZEST | *Aphelocoma coerulescens* AF520885 (ApCo46) | Stenzler et al. 2002 | 1, 2 | 6-FAM | Singleplex | 7 | – | – | PCR failed |
| ApCo80-CEST | *Aphelocoma coerulescens* AF520879 (ApCo80) | Stenzler et al. 2002 | 1, 2 | HEX | Singleplex | 7 | – | – | PCR failed |
| Bb111-TG | *Bubo budo* (AF432096, Bb111), *Taeniopygia guttata*, *Gallus gallus* | Klein et al. 2009 | 1, 2 | HEX | Singleplex | 18 6 | 188–200 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Be1.29 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630054 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | HEX | Multiplex | 23 11 | 147–167 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Be19.2 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630055 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | NED | Multiplex | 23 7 | 174–186 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Be2.16 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630052 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | NED | Multiplex | 23 5 | 189–195 | | Polymorphic but rejected due to high estimated null allele frequency (0.251) and deviation from HWE ($p = 0$) |
| Be2.31 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630047 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | 6-FAM | Multiplex | 21 7 | 165–181 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Be2.33 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630048 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | HEX | Multiplex | 20 3 | 176–180 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Be2.46 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630050 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | 6-FAM | Multiplex | 7 1 | 187 | | Monomorphic |
| Be2.52 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630051 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | NED | Multiplex | 18 13 | 214–240 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Be2.54 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630049 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | HEX | Multiplex | 8 | – | – | PCR failed; did not amplify as singleplex or multiplex |
| Be3.24 | *Merops apiaster* AJ630044 | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3 | HEX | Multiplex | 23 10 | 141–163 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
### Appendix 1 (continued)

| Marker | Source species & EMBL accession number & locus name (when different) | Reference for primer set | Reason for testing | Fluoro label | Plex used in initial test | N | N_e | Allele size range (bp) | Outcome |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---|-----|-----------------------|---------|
| Be3.9  | *Merops apiaster*                                                   | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3                 | 6-FAM       | Multiplex                  | 23| 13  | 162–190               | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Be48   | *Merops apiaster*                                                   | Dasmahapatra et al. 2004 | 3                 | 6-FAM       | Multiplex                  | 23| 2   | 151–153               | Polymorphic but rejected due to low heterozygosity ($H_O = 0.09$) |
| CAM-06 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518764), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 1                 | HEX         | Singleplex                 | 7 | –   | –                     | PCR failed |
| CAM-12 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518770), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 1, 2              | 6-FAM       | Singleplex                 | 7 | –   | –                     | PCR failed |
| CAM-13 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518771), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 1, 2              | HEX         | Singleplex                 | 7 | –   | –                     | PCR failed |
| CAM-15 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518773), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 1, 2              | 6-FAM       | Singleplex                 | 21| 7   | 261–273               | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| CAM-16 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518774), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 1, 2              | HEX         | Singleplex                 | 14| –   | –                     | PCR failed |
| CAM-18 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518776), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 1, 2              | HEX         | Singleplex                 | 7 | –   | –                     | PCR failed |
| CAM-20 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518778), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 2                 | HEX         | Singleplex                 | 7 | –   | –                     | PCR failed |
| CAM-24 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (HG518782), *Gallus gallus*                   | Dawson et al. 2013       | 1, 2              | HEX         | Singleplex                 | 7 | –   | –                     | PCR failed |
| HvoB1-TTG | *Haliaeetus vociferoides* (AJ620441, HvoB1), *Taeniopygia guttata*, *Gallus gallus* | Klein et al. 2009        | 1, 2              | HEX         | Singleplex                 | 23| 12  | 117–142               | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Mor10  | *Merops ornatus*                                                    | Adcock et al. 2006       | 2                 | HEX         | Multiplex                  | 23| 3   | 198–202               | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Marker  | Source species & EMBL accession number & locus name (when different) | Reference for primer set | Reason for testing | Fluoro label | Plex used in initial test | N  | N_e | Allele size range (bp) | Outcome |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----|-----|---------------------|---------|
| Mor12   | *Merops ornatus* DQ304696                                    | Adcock et al. 2006      | 2                 | HEX         | Multiplex               | 23 | 3   | 209–213             | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Mor14   | *Merops ornatus* DQ304697                                    | Adcock et al. 2006      | 2                 | NED         | Multiplex               | 23 | 5   | 195–207             | Polymorphic but rejected due to deviation from HWE ($p = 0.044$) |
| Mor15   | *Merops ornatus* DQ304698                                    | Adcock et al. 2006      | 2                 | NED         | Multiplex               | 22 | 9   | 158–180             | Polymorphic but rejected due to high estimated null allele frequency (0.254) and deviation from HWE ($p = 0.002$) |
| Mor20   | *Merops ornatus* DQ304701                                    | Adcock et al. 2006      | 2                 | 6-FAM       | Multiplex               | 21 | 6   | 206–216             | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Pte24-CEST | *Pomatosomus temporalis* DQ234870 (Pte24)               | Blackmore et al. 2006   | 2                 | HEX         | Singleplex              | 14 | –   | –                   | PCR failed            |
| SAP47-ZEST | *Sayornis phoebe* AY823673 (SAP47)               | Beheler et al. 2007     | 1, 2              | 6-FAM       | Singleplex              | 7  | –   | –                   | PCR failed            |
| Tc.11B4E-CEST | *Telespiza cantans* AF036266 (Tc.11B4E) | Tarr et al. 1998        | 1, 2              | 6-FAM       | Singleplex              | 7  | 1   | 153                 | Monomorphic           |
| TG01-000 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK314156), *Gallus gallus*                 | Dawson et al. 2010      | 1, 2              | 6-FAM       | Singleplex              | 14 | 2   | 205–207             | Polymorphic, but, although it amplified in singleplex, failed in multiplex |
| TG01-040 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (DV576233), *Gallus gallus*                 | Dawson et al. 2010      | 1, 2              | 6-FAM       | Singleplex              | 7  | 1   | 288                 | Monomorphic           |
| TG02-078 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK305233), *Gallus gallus*                 | Dawson et al. 2010      | 1, 2              | HEX         | Singleplex              | 14 | –   | –                   | PCR failed            |
| TG03-002 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (DV575298), *Gallus gallus*                 | Dawson et al. 2010      | 1, 2              | 6-FAM       | Singleplex              | 14 | 1   | 119                 | Monomorphic           |
| TG03-098 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (DV573670), *Gallus gallus*                 | Dawson et al. 2010      | 1, 2              | HEX         | Singleplex              | 14 | –   | –                   | PCR failed            |
### Appendix 1 (continued)

| Marker | Source species & EMBL accession number & locus name (when different) | Reference for primer set | Reason for testing | Fluoro label | Plex used in initial test | $N$ | $N_a$ | Allele size range (bp) | Outcome |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----|-------|----------------------|---------|
| TG04-012 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK306810), *Gallus gallus* | Dawson et al. 2010 | 1, 2 | HEX | Singleplex | – | – | | PCR failed |
| TG04-061 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK235034), *Gallus gallus* | Dawson et al. 2010 | 1, 2 | HEX | Singleplex | 18, 8 | 210–218 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| TG05-053 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK314425), *Gallus gallus* | Dawson et al. 2010 | 1, 2 | 6-FAM | Singleplex | 14 | – | | PCR failed |
| TG11-011 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK308096), *Gallus gallus* | Dawson et al. 2010 | 1, 2 | 6-FAM | Singleplex | 7 | – | | PCR failed |
| TG13-017 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK313422), *Gallus gallus* | Dawson et al. 2010 | 1, 2 | HEX | Singleplex | 22, 4 | 209–217 | | Polymorphic, see Table 1 |
| Tgu06 | *Taeniopygia guttata* (CK307697) | Slate et al. 2007 | 1, 2 | HEX | Singleplex | 7 | 1 | 172 | Monomorphic |

$N$ is the number of European bee-eater (*Merops apiaster*) individuals tested/genotyped from Susak Island, Croatia. $N_a$ is the number of alleles. Please note, many of these markers were donated from earlier studies and failure to amplify could be a result of the age of the primers.

**Reason for testing:**

1: Marker of known high cross-species utility (Dawson et al. 2010, 2013, DAD unpublished data)

2: Marker possessed proven utility in a related Coraciiform species: Rainbow bee-eater (*Merops ornatus*; Adcock et al. 2006) or European roller (*Coracias garrulus*; Martín-Gálvez et al. 2014)

3: Locus isolated from study species European bee-eater (*Merops apiaster*, Dasmahapatra et al. 2004)
References

Adcock GJ, Hodges K, Boland CRJ, Cockbum A, Ebert D, Heinsohn R (2006) Microsatellite loci for behavioural studies of rainbow bee-eaters (Merops ornatus: Aves). Mol Ecol Notes 6:734–736

Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) Gapped BLAST and psi-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402

Beheler AS, Fike JA, Rhodes OE Jr (2007) Eight new polymorphic microsatellite loci from the eastern phoebe (Sayornis phoebe). Conserv Genet 8:1259–1261

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B 57:289–300

Blackmore CJ, Adcock GJ, Ebert D, Heinsohn R (2006) Microsatellite loci for population and behavioural studies of grey-crowned babbler (Pomatostomus temporalis: Aves). Mol Ecol Notes 6:412–414

Dasmahapatra KK, Lessells CM, Mateman AC, Amos W (2004) Microsatellite loci in the European bee-eater, Merops apiaster. Mol Ecol Notes 4:500–502

Dawson DA (2007) Genomic analysis of passerine birds using conserved microsatellite loci. PhD Thesis, University of Sheffield, UK

Dawson DA, Horsburgh GJ, Küpper C, Stewart IRK, Ball AD, Durrant KL, Hansson B, Bacon I, Bird S, Klein Å, Lee J-W, Martin-Gálvez D, Simeoni M, Smith G, Spurgin LG, Burke T (2010) New methods to identify conserved microsatellite loci and develop primer sets of high utility—as demonstrated for birds. Mol Ecol Resour 10:475–494

Dawson DA, Ball AD, Spurgin LG, Martin-Galvez D, Stewart IR, Horsburgh GJ, Potter J, Molina-Morales M et al (2013) High-utility conserved avian microsatellite markers enable parentage and population studies across a wide range of species. BMC Genomics 14:176

Fry CH (1984) The bee-eaters. Buteo books. T & A D Poyser, UK

Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC (2007) Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. Mol Ecol 16:1099–1106

Klein A, Horsburgh GJ, Küpper C, Major A, Lee PLM, Hoffmann G, Mátès R, Dawson DA (2009) Microsatellite markers characterized in the barn owl (Tyto alba) and of high utility in other owls (Strigiformes: AVES). Mol Ecol Resour 9:1512–1519

Lessels CM (1990) Helping at the nest in European bee-eaters: who helps and why? In: Population biology of passerine birds, an integrated approach, NATO ASI series. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 357–368

Lessels CM, Avery MI, Krebs JR (1994) Nonrandom dispersal of kin: why do European bee-eater (Merops apiaster) brothers nest close together? Behav Ecol 5:105–113

Martin-Gálvez D, Molina-Morales M, Dawson DA, Parejo D, Martínez JG, Avilés JM (2014) Characterization of 28 microsatellite loci in the European roller Coracias garrulus (Coraciidae, AVES). Eur J Wildl Res 60:384–385

Nicholls JA, Double MC, Rowell DM, Magrath RD (2000) The evolution of cooperative and pair breeding in thornbills Acanthiza (Pardalotidae). J Avian Biol 31:165–176

Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Mol Ecol Notes 6:288–295

Rousset F (2008) GENEPOP’007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Res 8:103–106

Slate J, Hale MC, Birkhead TR (2007) Simple sequence repeats in zebra finch (Taeniopygia guttata) expressed sequence tags: A new resource for evolutionary genetic studies of passerines. BMC Genomics 8:52

Stenzler LM, Fitzpatrick JW (2002) Isolation of microsatellite loci in the Florida Scrub-Jay Aphelocoma coerulescens. Mol Ecol Notes 2:547–550

Tarr CL, Conant S, Fleischer RC (1998) Founder events and variation at microsatellite loci in an insular passerine bird, the Laysan finch (Telespiza cantans). Mol Ecol 7:719–731