Cardiac arrest in an adolescent with Uhl’s anomaly: Two unusual cardiac arrhythmia mechanisms

Jeffrey P. Moak, MD,* Gail D. Pearson, MD, ScD,* Bradley Clark, MD,* Charles I. Berul, MD, FHRS,* Russell R. Cross, MD,* Dilip S. Nath, MD†

From the *Division of Cardiology, Children’s National Health System, Washington, DC, and †Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, Children’s National Health System, Washington, DC.

Introduction

Uhl’s disease is a rare congenital cardiac anomaly characterized by partial or complete absence of the right ventricular free wall myocardium, which is replaced by fibroelastic and adipose tissue. Complications that result can be divided into either right ventricular dysfunction with congestive heart failure, or arrhythmias. While ventricular arrhythmias predominate, there are case reports of atrial flutter, intra-atrial reentry tachycardia, atrioventricular (AV) block, and bilateral bundle branch block.1–4 Sudden cardiac death has been reported in patients with Uhl’s anomaly.

Herein we report an adolescent patient with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with 2 unusual arrhythmia mechanisms. What are the mechanisms for these 2 arrhythmias?

Case report

Our patient was a 12-year-old male subject with a known history of Uhl’s anomaly diagnosed at age 9 months, who had been well and was playing at home when he acutely became cyanotic and collapsed. Paramedics arrived quickly, continued cardiopulmonary resuscitation, delivered 2 DC shocks, and administered epinephrine for pulseless electrical activity. Eventually there was return of spontaneous circulation with sinus tachycardia. Prior to this event, the patient had been feeling well, without any significant limitations of physical activity or known palpitations.

Electrocardiogram, stress test, and Holter

The baseline electrocardiogram revealed large-amplitude P waves (Figure 1). The patient had undergone an exercise stress test approximately 1 year prior that revealed no exercise-induced arrhythmia or ischemic electrocardiographic changes and a VO2 max at the 71st percentile. A 24-hour Holter monitor done 18 months prior to his arrest revealed no arrhythmia.

Cardiac imaging

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging performed 2 weeks after recovery from the cardiac arrest event revealed that the right ventricular parietal wall was severely thinned and noncontractile. Fat–water separation imaging demonstrated no fat present in the right ventricular parietal wall, making the diagnosis consistent with Uhl’s anomaly. Right ventricle size was severely enlarged, and right ventricular systolic function was severely depressed.

Electrophysiology study

The patient underwent a diagnostic electrophysiology study with ablation using 3 electrophysiologic catheters placed under nonfluoroscopic guidance with the Carto 3 electrophysiology mapping system (Biosense Webster, South Diamond Bar, CA). A 3-dimensional anatomic map of the right atrium, coronary sinus, and right ventricle was developed and used for catheter placement, electrophysiologic diagnosis, and catheter ablation. The baseline AH and HV intervals were normal, measuring 59 ms and 50 ms, respectively. Two sustained arrhythmias were induced, both resulting in extremely low blood pressure and hemodynamic instability (Figure 2A and B). The arrhythmia shown in Figure 2B required cardioversion despite attempts at pace termination with atrial and ventricular overdrive pacing. We concluded that either arrhythmia might have resulted in syncope and possible cardiac arrest.

The narrow QRS complex tachycardia observed in Figure 2A was induced either during atrial extrastimulus testing or during rapid atrial pacing at cycle lengths less than 300 ms. During double atrial extrastimulus testing we observed an AH jump (105 ms) with a single AV nodal echo beat. During rapid atrial pacing, as the paced cycle length was decreased the PR and AH intervals gradually increased and were consistent with fast AV nodal pathway conduction (AH interval increased from 80 to 95 ms). However, at an atrial paced cycle length of 250 ms, the last
paced atrial complex was conducted simultaneously over the antegrade fast and slow AV nodal pathways (1:2 atrial-to-ventricular conduction). Figure 3A suggests the presence of simultaneous dual antegrade AV conduction. A less likely possibility to explain this finding would be triggered junctional activity. The induced narrow QRS complex tachycardia (cycle length = 260 ms) was mostly associated with 2:1 ventricular-to-atrial conduction.

In the setting of unusual cardiac anatomy (Uhl’s disease) and conduction system anomalies, we provide evidence for a novel mechanism for a wide complex QRS tachycardia (fascicular-ventricular reentrant tachycardia).

Radiofrequency ablation of the slow AV nodal pathway rendered both tachycardias noninducible.

Discussion
Electrophysiologic study in our patient revealed 2 different arrhythmia mechanisms that resulted in hemodynamic compromise and may have been responsible for syncope.

Figure 1  Baseline 12-lead electrocardiogram prior to electrophysiology study. Large P waves were noted in lead V1 and V2.
and sudden cardiac arrest. One arrhythmia mechanism involved an unusual form of AVNRT (narrow QRS tachycardia), and the other a fascicular–ventricular reentrant circuit (WCT).

1:2 AV conduction is a rare form of antegrade simultaneous conduction through 2 AV nodal pathways (fast and slow AV nodal pathways), and has been reported to result in both AVNRT and a nonreentrant form of tachycardia.5,6 Previous reports of double ventricular response have only included adult subjects. Further atypical for our patient’s narrow QRS complex tachycardia was the presence of 2:1 ventricular-to-atrial conduction during AVNRT.

What was the mechanism of the wide QRS tachycardia? Our electrophysiologic data provide evidence that this arrhythmia was an unusual form of fascicular–ventricular reentry.

The WCT was characterized by the following observations:

1) induction during rapid atrial pacing associated with HV prolongation and block, as well as during programmed ventricular stimulation associated with AV nodal reentry
2) a very prolonged HV interval, more likely secondary to distal to proximal retrograde His activation
3) variable ventricular-to-atrial conduction during tachycardia
4) ability to dissociate the atrium and His bundle from the ventricle with atrial pacing during tachycardia
5) concealed entrainment with a short post-pacing interval from the right ventricular septum near the right bundle branch block (RBBB)
6) recording of a fascicular potential at this site in sinus rhythm
7) development of a paced QRS morphology similar to the WCT QRS morphology during ventricular pacing at this site
8) a QRS morphology during the WCT of LBBB and RAD.

Potential arrhythmia mechanisms excluded:

1) AVNRT with aberration, excluded by observations 4 and 5
2) manifest nodoventricular accessory pathway, excluded by observation 4, since the nodoventricular accessory pathway is intimately connected to the lower AV node

Figure 2 Twelve-lead electrocardiograms during the 2 induced arrhythmias. A: Narrow QRS complex tachycardia (cycle length = 240 ms). During this tachycardia 2:1 ventricular-to-atrial (VA) conduction is evident. B: Wide complex tachycardia (left bundle branch block with right axis deviation, cycle length = 235 ms). During this tachycardia, VA dissociation was evident.
3) bundle branch reentry tachycardia, excluded by observations 1 and 4, and lack of a consistent HV interval during tachycardia
4) left ventricular interfascicular reentrant tachycardia, excluded by the QRS morphology during the WCT (observation 8)
5) ventricular tachycardia (VT) (observation 8, and vide infra).

Induction of VT during atrial stimulation has been reported on rare occasions (observation 1). The most common “VT” induced during atrial stimulation is left ventricular fascicular tachycardia, which exhibits a QRS morphology of RBBB with either left axis deviation (LAD) or RAD. Other forms of VT induced during atrial stimulation have been studied and reported. Simons et al and Iesaka et al each reported a single case of bundle branch reentry VT (LBBB with superior axis induced with rapid atrial pacing, and RBBB with LAD induced during programmed atrial stimulation, respectively). Belhassen et al reported 9 patients in whom VT was induced by supraventricular beats. The VT had RBBB morphology in 5, LBBB morphology in 2, and both RBBB and LBBB in 2 patients. Wellens et al reported 7 patients in whom sustained VT could be induced with a single atrial or single ventricular premature beat. All induced VTs had RBBB morphology. While LBBB tachycardia can occur, most atrial induced “VTs” exhibit RBBB.

Bharati et al have studied the conduction system in an individual with Uhl’s anomaly and recurrent WCT who died. Examination of the conduction system revealed a septated bundle of His. The authors speculated that the WCT (LBBB
with normal or LAD) might have originated in the septated AV bundle or one of its major divisions. The same group\textsuperscript{12} reported on 2 patients with congenital abnormalities of the conduction system and recurrent tachyarrhythmias. One of these 2 patients with recurrent LBBB WCT demonstrated on histologic examination of the conduction system septation of the bundle of His and division of the right bundle branch into 3 parts. At one point in its course, the penetrating bundle of His joined the ventricular septum, allowing for a fascicular–ventricular connection, which the authors termed a Mahaim

Figure 4  Wide QRS complex tachycardia. A: Induction of wide complex tachycardia (WCT) with rapid atrial pacing. Following the fourth atrial paced event from the left, His-to-ventricular conduction time (HV) block is noted. Gradual HV prolongation is evident on the previous 2 atrial paced beats. The subsequent fifth atrial paced complex is associated with a shortened HV interval and possible pre-excitation. Given the dissociation of atrial and His electrograms from the ventricle on ensuing atrial paced events, it is probable that the tachycardia started on the fourth QRS complex, following the HV block. B: Induction of the WCT during delivery of triple ventricular extrastimuli. The third ventricular paced complex was delivered in the ventricular refractory and does not capture the ventricle. Following the second ventricular extrastimuli 2 beats of atrioventricular node reentry occur, which facilitates the occurrence of the WCT. C: Ventricular pacing at a cycle length = 230 ms in the region of the proximal right bundle branch resulted in concealed entrainment with a post-pacing interval of 15 ms (recovery interval = 264 ms). D: QRS morphology during pacing at the fascicular potential site elicits QRS morphology similar to the WCT (compare with Figure 2B). Format of electrocardiographic tracings is similar to Figure 3.

Figure 5  Proposed arrhythmia circuit. The proposed arrhythmia circuit suggests antegrade conduction from the right bundle branch (RBB) to the right ventricle (RV) mid septum via a fascicular–ventricular pathway (yellow line). The wide complex tachycardia morphology suggests an origin from the medial right ventricular septum (R wave transition - V4/V5, and right axis deviation). After reaching the moderate band, the wave front reengages the distal RBB and conducts antidromically through ventricular myocardium and back up the proximal right bundle. AVN = atrioventricular node; FV AP = fascicular–ventricular accessory pathway; RA = right atrium. Permission for using the left hand figure granted by @ Kenhub (www.kenhub.com <http://www.kenhub.com>) / Illustration by Y. Koh.
fiber. These anatomic observations support our hypothesis as to the proposed mechanism we put forth for the WCT observed in our patient (Figure 5).

Conclusion
We report a patient with Uhl’s anomaly and cardiac arrest in whom 2 unusual arrhythmias (narrow and wide QRS complex tachycardia) were induced during an electrophysiology study, both associated with severe hemodynamic compromise. The narrow QRS tachycardia was initiated with 1:2 AV conduction and AVNRT. The WCT was secondary to a fascicular–ventricular reentrant mechanism from the distal bundle of His or right bundle branch.
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