Integrated Cobaloxime and Mesoporous Silica-Supported Ruthenium/Diamine Co-Catalysis for One-Pot Hydration/Reduction Enantioselective Sequential Reaction of Alkynes
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This study developed a cost-efficient hydration/asymmetric transfer hydrogenation (ATH) process for the one-pot synthesis of valuable chiral alcohols from alkynes. During this process, the initial homogeneous cobaloxime-catalyzed hydration of alkynes was followed by heterogeneous Ru/diamine-catalyzed ATH transformation of the in-situ generated ketones, which provided varieties of chiral alcohols in good yields with up to 99% ee values. The immobilized Ru/diamine catalyst could be recycled at least three times before its deactivation in the sequential reaction system. This work shows a general method for developing one-pot asymmetric sequential catalysis towards sustainable organic synthesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Exploiting economic and environmentally friendly methodologies for multi-step sequential asymmetric organic transformation is of considerable importance in modern synthetic chemistry but presents great challenges (Climent et al., 2011; Climent et al., 2014; Van Oers et al., 2014; Parlett et al., 2016; Szöllősi, 2018; Usui et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2020). Enantiopure alcohols are essential building blocks for a wide range of pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals (Alonso et al., 2004; Beller et al., 2004). Due to the large number of commercially available alkyne substrates (Chinchilla, and Nájera, 2014; Thomas et al., 2014; Habrant et al., 2016; Ramesh et al., 2021), the direct conversion of these alkyne into valuable optically pure alcohols is highly desirable. A cascade hydration/reduction of alkyne is a common strategy used in this atomic economic synthetic route. Recently, several typical examples of the one-pot synthesis of chiral alcohols from alkynes (Supplementary Table S3) has been reported, mainly focusing on the combination of different types of hydrations and enantioselective reductions. These include the use of excess formic acid as a solvent-mediated hydration coupled with Rh-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation (ATH), ([iPr]AuX) (X = NTF₂ or BF₄), (Ye et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2017), ([iPr]AuCl), (Li et al., 2015), Co-Salen (Wang et al., 2014), and Co-Porphyrin (Lu et al., 2015) mediated hydration coupled with Rh-catalyzed ATH, and TiOH-catalyzed hydration coupled with Rh-mediated asymmetric hydrogenation (AH) (Liu et al., 2018a) or ATH (Liu et al., 2018b). Despite the great developments that have been made in the one-pot synthesis of enantiopure alcohols from
alkynes, most of the reactions should be conducted under high temperatures (Li et al., 2013), high pressure, (Liu et al., 2018a), and/or in acidic media which require a large amount of NaOH for pH adjustment. Furthermore, the expensive cost of metals and ligands is still a barrier to the preparation of corresponding catalysts in gram-scale. Therefore, exploiting a more efficient and economical catalytic system for the one-pot synthesis of chiral alcohol from the commercially available alkynes under mild reaction conditions has great significance for practical applications.

Immobilization of chiral organometallic complexes onto the specific skeleton mesoporous silica materials [such as FDU-12 (Gao, et al., 2013), SBA-15 (Long, et al., 2013), and KCC-1 (Polshettiwar, et al., 2010; Fibri, et al., 2012), etc] has been extensively used in the construction of heterogeneous chiral catalysts, wherein several well-established strategies have been applied to the recyclable synthesis of various optically active compounds (De Vos et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2007; Ding, and Uozumi, 2008; Minakata, and Komatsu, 2009; Bartók, 2010; Mehdi et al., 2011). In particular, some multifunctional materials have shown superiority in the construction of heterobifunctional catalysts, which enable a highly efficient cascade process including dynamic kinetic resolution/ATH, allylic alkylation/Pauson–Khand annulation, Knoevenagel condensation/hydrogenation reactions, Suzuki/Heck reactions, and Sonogashira-Henry reactions (Climent et al., 2014) As a kind of unique silica support, the dendritic mesoporous organosilica nanoparticles (DMONs) have a central-radical pore structure (Melde, et al., 1999; Linares, et al., 2012). This feature means they possess a large pore size and highly accessible surface areas. This advantage not only acts as a storage reservoir for catalysts and guest molecules but also enables an efficient mass transfer in the hollow, thereby providing a promising platform for catalysis application. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that the combination of an inexpensive organometallic complex and a chiral Ru/diamine enables an efficient and recyclable hydration/ATH cascade process that has still not been explored.

Compared to the Au/carbine-complexes used in the hydration of alkynes, cobaloximes \([\text{Co(dmgbF}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O})\] catalyst with bench stability could be easily prepared on a large scale from cheap raw materials. This is especially true as it is also an efficient hydration catalyst for a wide range of terminal alkynes under neutral conditions (MeOH, 65°C, air) (Bartók, 2010). This superiority offers a practical opportunity for the one-pot hydration/reduction of alkynes to overcome the limitations of environmental issues, high cost, and/or the harsh conditions originating from noble metal/ligand catalysts. Due to the benefits of the compatibility of the mild catalysis condition of cobaloximes with the Rh/diamine catalyst, and taking into account our recent progress in silica-based chiral recyclable heterogeneous catalyst through a covalent-bonding method (Chang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021), we envision that via a one-pot hydration/ATH catalyzed with a combination of inexpensive cobaloximes (Schrauzer, and Windgassen, 1967; Bakac et al., 1986; Geno, and Halpern, 1987; Hou et al., 2017) and DMONs-based Rh/diamine as co-catalysts (Hashiguchi et al., 1995; Hannedouche et al., 2004; Matharu et al., 2005; Hayes et al., 2005; Ohkuma et al., 2006; Cheung et al., 2007; Touge et al., 2011; Touge et al., 2016), the alkynes could be converted into chiral alcohols. As presented in this study, this sequential enantioselective organic transformation, an initial homogeneous cobaloxime-catalyzed hydration of alkynes followed by a subsequent heterogeneous Ru/diamine-catalyzed ATH transformation of in-situ generated ketones, provided various chiral alcohols in good yields and up to 99% ee.

**EXPERIMENT**

**Preparation of the Catalysts**

Co\((\text{dmgbF}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O})\) (catalyst 1) was synthesized according to the method outlined in the literature method (Hou et al., 2017). First, 150 ml degassed diethyl ether was added into a 500 ml round-bottomed flask containing 2.0 g \([\text{Co(OAc)}_2\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O}]\) (8 mmol, 1 eq.) and 1.0 g \(\text{dmgbH}_2\) (1.9 g, 16 mmol, 2 eq.) under argon atmosphere, then 10 ml freshly distilled \(\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{EtO}\) was added. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The brownish-red solid was then filtered under argon and washed with degassed ice-cold water (3 × 10 ml), then dried at 60 °C under vacuum overnight, and the target solid product was...
obtained in a 60% yield (2.0 g, 4.8 mmol). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹)
(Supplementary Figure S1): 3,601, 3,530, 3,023, 2,964, 2,927, 1,622, 1,572, 1,438, 1,385, 1,307, 1,287, 1,249, 1,164, 1,147, 1,098, 1,084, 1,011, 962, 831, 630, and 608. LC-MS (Supplementary Figure S2): m/z 422.0597 [Calcld m/z 422.0602 for [Co.(dmgBF₂)₂·2H₂O+ H]+]. UV-Vis (Supplementary Figure S3, DMSO, 6 × 10⁻⁵ M, 2.5 cm quartz cell): 446, 335 nm². ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, Supplementary Figure S4) δ 3.17 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz) δ 186.75, 18.35.

Immobilization chiral catalytically active centers into the dendritic mesoporous organosilica nanoparticles to prepare a Ru/diamine–functionalized heterogeneous catalyst, abbreviated as MesityleneRuArDPEN@DMONs (catalyst 2) (ArDPEN = (R, R)-4-(((trimethoxysilyl)ethyl)phenylsulfonyl)-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine), were synthesized in a typical two-step procedure (Scheme 1) (Hashiguchi et al., 1995; Fujii et al., 1996; Liu et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2004; Fan et al., 2005; Hayes et al., 2005; Ikariya, and Blacker, 2007; Ohkuma et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2016). The first step is the synthesis of the dendritic mesoporous organosilica nanoparticles. This involved dissolving 0.068 g triethanolamine in 25 ml H₂O and stirred at 1,000 rpm under 80°C. Then the structure-directing reagents were added to cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and sodium salicylate (NaSal) after 30 min, and then the mixture was stirred for 1 h. After reducing the stirring speed to 300 rpm, 2 ml ethanol solvent containing 1,2-bis(triethoxysilyl)ethane was injected into the above solution and stirring was continued for 9 h. Afterward, 1.6 ml of TEOS (tetraethyl orthosilicate, 2.0 ml) and BTEE (1,2-bis(triethoxysilyl)ethane, 1.6 ml) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. After reducing the stirring speed to 300 rpm, 1.0 ml of ethanol solvent containing 1,2-bis(triethoxysilyl)ethane was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 3 h. Then the dendritic mesoporous organosilica nanoparticles ((R, R)-ArDPEN@DMONs) were collected after centrifugation and washed with ethanol (30 ml × 3). To remove the template, the obtained (R, R)-ArDPEN@DMONs were immersed in the HCl/methanol mixture solution (30 ml, v/v = 1/1) and stirred at 60.0°C for 6 h three times. The obtained solid was dried in a vacuum at 60°C overnight. For the second step, Ru/diamine–functionalized heterogeneous catalyst (catalyst 2) was synthesized by adding (MesityleneRuCl₂) 50.0 mg, 0.097 mmol) into a suspension of ((R, R)-ArDPEN@DMONs (0.50 g) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20.0 ml) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at 25°C for 12 h. The mixture was then filtered and washed with dry CH₂Cl₂ several times. The target catalyst 2 was collected as a light-brown powder after being dried at 60°C under a vacuum overnight. The IC analysis indicated that the content of Ru was 5.255 mg (0.052 mmol) per gram of heterogeneous catalyst. ¹³C CP/MAS NMR (161.9 MHz): 137.3–116.7 (C of Ar and Ph groups), 106.3 (C of Arene group), 72.4–68.4 (C of –NCHPPh), 36.8–27.4 (C of –CH₂Ar), 20.8–12.7 (C of –Si(CH₃)₂CH₂Si and of –CH₂Si), 21.4–28.8 (C of AreneCH₂), 5.1) ppm. ²⁹Si MAS NMR (79.4 MHz): T² (δ = –58.5 ppm), T³ (δ = –68.4 ppm), Q⁴ (δ = –102.7 ppm), Q⁵ (δ = –111.9 ppm). Elemental analysis: C 11.30, H 2.56, N 0.32, S 0.37. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3,423, 3,058, 3,013, 2,927, 1,966, 1,868, 1,622, 1,521, 1,497, 1,455, 1,409, 1,378, 1,327, 1,150, 1,092, 925, 797, 700, 634, 522, and 464.

General Procedure for the Hydration-ATH One-Pot Enantioselective Sequential Reaction

In a 10.0 ml round-bottom flask, the catalyst 1 (2.0 mol%), alkyne (0.25 mmol), and methanol (1.0 ml) were added sequentially. The mixture was stirred at 65°C under aerobic conditions for 2.5–5 h. After the hydrolysis was completely determined by TLC, it was quenched with 1.0 ml H₂O and the pH was adjusted to 7 with 3 drops of 0.4 mol/L NaOH. Consequently, the heterogeneous catalyst 2 (20 mg, 1.04 µmol of Ru (0.4 mol%), based on ICP analysis) and HCOONa(170 mg, 10 equiv) were added under stirring, then the ATH reaction was maintained at 35°C for 12 h until acetophenone was transformed to phenylethanol, completely determined by TLC. The mixture was separated by centrifugation (10,000 rpm), and the aqueous solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 3.0 ml). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated in vacuo. The product was further purified by a flash silica gel column to afford the desired product (EA/PE = 1/15). The ee values were determined by HPLC analysis with a UV-Vis detector and a Daicel chiralcel column (Φ 0.46 × 25 cm).

Procedure for the Recycle of Catalyst 2

Catalyst 1 (2.0 mol%), alkyne (0.25 mmol), and methanol (1.0 ml) was added sequentially to a 10.0 ml round-bottom flask. The mixture was then stirred at 65°C under aerobic conditions for 5 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC to confirm the completion of the hydration, then quenched with 1.0 ml H₂O and the pH adjusted to 7 with 3 drops of 0.4 mol/L NaOH. Consequently, the heterogeneous catalyst 2 (20 mg, 1.04 µmol of Ru (0.4 mol%), based on ICP analysis) and HCOONa (170 mg, 10 equiv) were added, and the mixture stirred at 35°C for 12 h. After completion of the reaction determined by TLC, the mixture was centrifuged at
10,000 rpm for 5 min, and the precipitate was Soxhlet extracted with methanol and DCM until no catalyst 1 and product was detected in the eluent. The recovered solid was reactivated at 60°C under vacuum overnight and then reused for the next runs directly.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Structural Characterization

The solid-state $^{13}$C cross-polarization (CP)/magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectroscopy was detected to confirm the chiral ruthenium/diamine species had been incorporated within the dendritic mesoporous organosilica nanoparticles of (R, R)-ArDPEN@DMONs. As shown in Figure 1, the strong carbon signals of $-$Si(CH$_2$)$_2$Si– moiety around 15 ppm was produced, which has been shown, and the peaks originated from the carbon atoms of the–CH$_3$ groups attached to the mesitylene group are around 21 ppm. Further, carbon atoms of–NCH groups connected to phenyl groups in ArDPEN moiety correspond to the peaks between 67 and 73 ppm. All these observed carbon signals were similar to those of its homogeneous MesityleneRuArDPEN, revealing that catalyst 2 had the same well-defined single-site active species as the MesityleneRuTsDPEN. Hashiguchi et al. (1995) For the Solid-state $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectrum of catalyst 2 (Figure 2), two strong T signals around –58 and –68 ppm correspond to T$^2$ $\{[R-Si(OSi)$_2$(OH)]\}$ and T$^3$ $[R-Si(OSi)$_3\}$ (R = alkyl–species originated from linked MesityleneRuArDPEN groups or ethyl–bridged groups), demonstrating that the incorporated precursors were covalently converted within its organosilica network. The other two Q signals at -102 and -111 ppm are attributed to Q$^3$ (Si(OSi)$_3$(OH)) and Q$^4$ (Si(OSi)$_4$) species coming from TEOS precursor (Kröcher et al., 1998).

To illustrate the pore structure, morphology, and distribution of ruthenium in catalyst 2, the nitrogen (N$_2$) adsorption-desorption isotherms, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded. As shown in Figure 3, the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms shown that ArDPEN@DMONs and catalyst 2 have the same typical type IV isotherms with an H$_1$ hysteresis loop, which were similar to that of the corresponding pure DMONs materials, except for the reduced mesopore size (9.55 nm for ArDPEN@DMONs, 9.26 nm for catalyst 2 versus 11.26 nm for DMONs), surface area (95.64 m$^2$/g for ArDPEN@DMONs, 85.23 m$^2$/g for catalyst 2, versus 187.87 m$^2$/g for DMONs), and pore volume (0.23 cm$^3$/g for ArDPEN@DMONs, 0.20 cm$^3$/g for catalyst 2, versus 0.53 cm$^3$/g for DMONs), suggesting that the decoration of the ArDPEN and the complexation of ArDPEN@DMONs with (MesityleneRuCl$_2$)$_2$ led to the nanopore narrowing in the catalyst 2. The uniformly ordered pore arrangements in catalyst 2 were revealed by the SEM and TEM images as shown in Figures 4A,B, and the average size for catalyst 2 was around 100 nm. The elemental mapping for catalyst 2 at the microstructural level by TEM with energy dispersive spectra (EDS) showed uniform distribution of Ru centers within its nanochannels (Figure 4C), which further confirmed that the chiral Ru/diamine active centers were incorporated steadily within the DMONs network. Therefore, the above structural analyses and characterization indicated that the evenly distributed catalytic active site in the stable ordered dendritic mesoporous organosilica nanoparticles would govern the efficient and recyclable catalytic performance of catalyst 2.

Hydration-Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation Catalysis

Chiral N-sulfonylated diamine functionalized ruthenium complexes were classic catalytically efficient active species for ATH. Notably, the reaction conditions (1 mol% Ru, 10 equiv HCOONa or HCOOH/Et$_3$N as a hydrogen source, H$_2$O or alcohols as the solvent) are not only simple but also partially compatible with the hydration process catalyzed by cobaloxime. The corresponding dendritic mesoporous structure can provide a hollow void space to concentrate reactants which may enhance...
the reactivity and enantioselectivity (Fihri, et al., 2012) relative to the free N-sulfonylated diamine functionalized ruthenium complex. Therefore, distributing the catalytic active site in the ordered DMONs would have obvious superiority in maintaining and/or even improving the catalytic activity as well as realizing the recyclable nature of the Ruthenium/diamine catalyst. Thus, the integration cobaloxime with mesoporous silica-supported Ruthenium/diamine co-catalysis for the one-pot hydration/ATH sequential reaction of alkynes indicates a newly atomic-economic, environment-friendly, and mild conditioned process.

Our investigation started by combining both reactions into a one-pot process. We chose enantioselective cascade hydration/ATH of phenylacetylene as a model reaction. To our delight, both catalysts 1 and 2 could transform the corresponding substrate with quantitative yield independently under aerobic conditions (entries 1-2, Supplementary Table S1). However, when the two reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere, the hydration by 1 was unresponsive but the ATH reaction performed smoothly (entries 1-5, Supplementary Table S1).

This result reflects other studies in which active catalytic species Co(III) could not generate in situ without O2. (Bartók, 2010). We then explored the catalytic activity of 1 and 2 in the mixture reaction system. Results revealed that, when adding the two catalysts together under aerobic conditions, the alkyne could be transformed quantitatively but only part of acetophenone (30%) was transformed to phenylethanol (entry 6, Supplementary Table S1), whereas when the same sequential process was performed under an argon atmosphere, the hydration reaction was obstructed (entry 7, Supplementary Table S1). The above results indicated that the active catalytic species Co(III) generated in situ is the key point to the hydration process but it deactivates the Ruthenium/diamine catalyst, leading to less efficient sequential reactions when adding the two catalysts together. Then, the reaction was attempted via a step-wise method, and the catalytic activities for the sequential reactions at low catalyst/substrate (C/S) ratios [the molar ratio of catalyst to alkyne] were further compared (Supplementary Table S2). It shows that when the loading was decreased from 1 to 0.5 mol% and 0.2 to 0.1 mol% for catalyst 1 and 2 respectively, the conversion decreased from 95 to 77%, indicating the catalyst content plays an important role in the highly efficient synthesis of chiral alcohols. Therefore, the general catalysis condition for this work are determined as the hydration of phenylacetylene (1a) with a 2 mol% catalyst 1 and 1.0 ml of methanol, after 1a was converted to corresponding acetophenone quantitatively at 65°C in about 2.5 h, 1.0 ml H2O was added to quench the hydration and 3 drops of NaOH (0.4 mol/L) were added to adjust the pH of the weak acidic mixture to neutral. Subsequently, 0.4 mol% catalyst 2, 10 equiv. of HCOONa, were added. The ATH was conducted at 35°C for 12 h, affording the desired (R)-1-phenylethanol (1c) up to 84% yield and 96% ee (Table 1, entry 1). The kinetic reaction profiling (Figure 5) for each step and the sequential process were compared.

| Entry | R | Yield (%) | ee (%) |
|-------|---|-----------|-------|
| 1     | H | 84%       | 96%   |
| 2     | H | 85%       | 97%   |
| 3     | 4-Me | 85%       | 96%   |
| 4     | 4-Et | 95%       | 99%   |
| 5     | 4-iBu | 93%       | 99%   |
| 6     | 4-MeO | 84%       | 97%   |
| 7     | 3-MeO | 89%       | 93%   |
| 8     | 2-MeO | 89%       | 99%   |
| 9     | 4-F | 93%       | 96%   |
| 10    | 4-Cl | 93%       | 93%   |
| 11    | 4-Br | 91%       | 92%   |
| 12    | 3-Br | 93%       | 99%   |
| 13    | 4-NO2 | 74%       | 77%   |
| 14    | Thiophene | 91%       | 97%   |
| 15    | 4-alkynl | 83%       | 99% (de = 9:1) |
| 16a   | H | 0         | ND    |
| 17b   | H | 35%       | 74%   |
| 18c   | H | 41%       | 77%   |
| 19d   | H | 77%       | 87%   |

aReaction conditions: 0.25 mmol of alkyne 1a, cobaloxime (2 mol%) in MeOH (1 ml), heated at 65°C under aerobic conditions, reaction time (2.5–6 h, except 60 h for 4-nitrophenylacetylene and 16 h for 2-ethylthiophenephene), quenched with 1 ml of H2O, then adjust pH to 7 with 0.4 mol/L NaOH, 20 mg Catalyst 2 (20 mg, 1.04 μmol of Ru (0.4 mol%), based on ICP analysis), HCOONa (170 mg, 10 equiv), reaction temperature 35°C, reaction time 12 h.
bThe ee values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis.
cData were obtained with homogeneous counterparts as dual catalysts.
dWithout NaOH solvent.
eAdjust pH to 3.
fAdjust pH to 12.
gAdjust pH to 10.

TABLE 1 | The Hydration–ATH one-pot enantioselective tandem reactions of alkynes into chiral alcohol.

FIGURE 4 | SEM image (A), TEM image (B), and EDS mapping (C) of catalyst 2.
compared to further clarify how the two catalysts perform during the one-pot reaction. The results revealed that adding the two catalysts together obtained the target phenylethanol at the beginning, but the activity of the catalyst 2 decreased drastically during the hydration process, and the conversion of the acetophenone stopped after hydration finished and the yield of the phenylethanol was lower (32%) compared with when the catalyst added sequentially (92%). Moreover, it showed the conversion of the acetophenone in the separated solution did not increase after the reaction mixture was filtered to remove the solid catalyst 2. These results further confirmed the deactivated activity of the Ruthenium/diamine by cobaloximes and that fewer activities of the Ru species in the solvent were leached from the catalyst 2.

Having established the above compatible catalytic system for the efficient hydration/ATH catalysis of alkenes into chiral alcohols, the general applicability of the one-pot enantioselective sequential catalysis system was further investigated with a series of substituted substrates. As shown in Table 1, most of the tested alkyne substrates could be smoothly transformed into the corresponding chiral alcohols with high yields (74–95%) and 77–99% ee. We monitored the hydration/ATH sequential reactions by TLC for almost all the reactions and did not find any other by-products except for the incomplete transformation of the alkyne or intermediate acetophenone. Benefitting from the good tolerance of catalyst 2, the electronic properties and the structures of the substituents on the phenylacetylene derivatives did not significantly affect their enantioselectivity. In particular, the various electron-withdrawing and -donating substituents on the aromatic ring were equally efficient (83–95% yield and 92–99% ee, Entries 3–12) except for 4-nitrophenylacetylene which contained a strong electron-withdrawing group (74% yield and 77% ee, Entry 13). In addition to phenylacetylene derivatives, the 2-ethynylthiophene could also be converted to chiral heterocyclic alcohol with 91% yield and 97% ee (Table 1, entry 14) successfully. Furthermore, this one-pot hydration-ATH enantioselective sequential reaction could also be employed to synthesize chiral diols. As shown in Table 1, entry 15, the representative distereocentered diols were obtained, where the 1,4-diethylbenzene catalyzed by hydration/ATH process led to corresponding chiral diols (83% yield and 99% ee) with high diastereoselectivity [90% diastereomeric excess (de)]. We also compared the activity of ATH reaction among the PMO@Ru in this work: SBA-15@Ru (Long, et al., 2013) and FDU-12@Ru (Gao, et al., 2013). The results indicate that both of them show high activity (up to 99% conv.) and enantioselectivity (up to 99% ee), but the catalyst loading (based on the content of Ru) for SBA-15@Ru and FDU-12@Ru was 1 mol% while PMO@Ru was 0.4 mol%. The activity and enantioselectivity could be maintained when catalyst loading of PMO@Ru was 0.2 mol% (entry 2, Supplementary Table S2). This result further confirms that the central-radical pore structure in the PMOs has a large pore size and highly accessible surface areas similar to the KCC-1 ((Fihri, et al., 2012). It not only acts as a storage reservoir for catalysts and guest molecules but also enables an efficient mass transfer in the hollow, thereby providing a promising platform for catalysis application.

Another important purpose in the design of the heterogeneous DMONs-based catalyst 2 was the construction of a heterogeneous catalyst with high catalytic activity and high enantioselectivity for multiple cycles. We collected the solid catalyst 2 in the reaction mixture after completion of the reaction determined by TLC, and the precipitate was Soxhlet extracted with methanol and DCM until no catalyst 1 and product was detected in the eluent. The recovered solid was reactivated at 60°C under vacuum overnight until no catalyst 1 and product was detected in the eluent. Then we conducted the recycling directly. The recycle studies were performed under half catalyst mass (1.0 mol% 1 and 0.2 mol % 2). The results show it afforded the target chiral alcohol with 86% conversion and 94% ee value at the third run (Figure 6, Supplementary Table S4B), indicating the activity of catalyst 2 decreased during the recycling. Then we conducted the recycling under the general condition (2.0 mol% 1 and 0.4 mol%) similar to the above substrates. The results for the one-pot sequential hydration-ATH reaction of phenylacetylene in the fifth consecutive reactions show that it afforded the target chiral alcohol with 92% conversion and 95% ee value.
(Supplementary Table S4A). The decreased conversion during the sixth recycle also happened. To figure out how that phenomenon happened, we studied the inductively coupled plasma (ICP) optical emission spectrometer analysis and found that the loss of Ru at the sixth recycle was 17.2% (4.351 mg/g), revealing a decreased amount of Ru in catalyst 2, corresponding to those of 6.9% (4.89 mg/g) at the fifth run, indicating that Ru leaching in 2 occurred. We also performed elemental analysis of the as-synthesized catalyst 2, and the recycled 2 after the sixth run. The results were as follow: as-synthesized 2: C 11.30, H 2.56, N 0.32, S 0.37 versus Recycled 2: C 11.09, H 2.52, N 0.21, S 0.37. Compared to the N amounts in elemental analysis, it was found that the mole amount of N in the recycled 2 after the sixth run was 0.015 mmol per gram of 2 calculated from mass% of N atom (N 0.21%), meaning a loss of 0.0039 mmol of (DPEN) per gram of 2 (because per mole N atom is equivalent to about half mole amounts of DPEN atom). Meanwhile, ICP–OES analysis showed that the leaching of Ru was 0.0089 mmol (5.255–4.351 mg of Ru per gram of catalyst). Thus, the above results indicate that the lower conversions at the sixth run may be caused by the lower content of the catalyst which originated from both the DPEN and Ru were lost during the recycling, especially since the decreased amount of Ru was larger than the DPEN. We, therefore, considered that the Ru leaching during the recycling was probably caused by the break of the sulfonamide bond or the coordination bond, especially because the weak alkaline conditions for the ATH reaction provide a suitable environment for hydrolysis. We also found that the amount of Co in 2 after the fifth recycle was 0.65 mg (0.0103 mmol) per gram in the ICP–OES analysis, indicating about 2.05% of Co was trapped in the pores of 2. We checked the hydration activity of the entrapped Co species, but none of the corresponding acetophenone was detected, indicating the inactivation of the entrapped Co species. The structural integrity of catalyst 2 was proven by solid-state $^{13}$C CP/MAS NMR and $^{29}$Si CP/MAS NMR spectra (Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Figure S6). The catalytic activity was also confirmed by XPS analysis of 2 before and after sequential reaction (281.67 versus 281.79 eV, Supplementary Figure S7). The above results collectively illustrate that catalyst 2 was heterogeneous and a cyclable catalyst with slight catalyst leaching during the recycling.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, by combining the inexpensive cobaloximes with chiral Ru/diamine–functionality periodic mesoporous organosilica, we developed an economic and recyclable enantioselective cascade process for the facile synthesis of chiral alcohols through the control of hydration-ATH catalytic sequence. A variety of chiral alcohols were synthesized in good yield and up to 99% ee values. Additionally, the Ru/diamine complex immobilized onto the functionalized periodic mesoporous organosilica can be recycled in hydration-ATH one-pot sequential reaction of phenylacetylene more than three times in the case of Ru-leaching slowly. This work offers an operational approach to designing multifunctional heterogeneous co-catalysts for enantioselective sequential reactions.
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