Impact of high-mobility-group A2 overexpression on epithelial-mesenchymal transition in pancreatic cancer
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Background: Tumor metastasis causes high mortality in patients with malignancies. In carcinomas, overexpression of high-mobility-group A2 (HMGA2) in cancer cells would lead to tumor development and epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), promoting metastasis. This study evaluated HMGA2 overexpression for its effects on pancreatic cancer (PC).

Methods: HMGA2 protein levels were immunohistochemically assessed in human PC tissue specimens and evaluated for associations with patients’ clinicopathological findings. In human PC CAPAN 1 cells after HMGA2 expression was silenced or overexpressed, Transwell migration and invasion assays were performed, and EMT marker levels (E-cadherin, N-cadherin and Vimentin) were determined by immunoblot.

Results: HMGA2 and Vimentin were found in 43% and 45% of PC tissue samples, respectively, while E-cadherin was absent in 60%. HMGA2 expression, loss of E-cadherin and Vimentin expression were significantly associated with clinical stage, tumor differentiation and lymph node metastasis. More importantly, univariate and multivariate analysis demonstrated that HMGA2 expression is an independent prognostic factor for patients with pancreatic cancer. Meanwhile, HMGA2-silenced CAPAN 1 cells showed reduced migration and invasion ability while HMGA2-overexpressed CAPAN 1 cells showed increased migration and invasion ability. Increased E-cadherin (epithelial marker) and reduced N-cadherin and Vimentin (mesenchymal markers) were found in HMGA2-silenced cells, while reduced E-cadherin and increased N-cadherin and Vimentin were found in HMGA2-overexpressed cells. Furthermore, Snail and Zeb1 (transcriptional factors) were reduced in HMGA2-silenced cells and increased in HMGA2-overexpressed cells.

Conclusion: Our findings demonstrate that HMGA2 expression correlates with advanced tumor grades, lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis and may be a novel prognosis/therapeutic marker for PC.
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Introduction

The epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) constitutes one of the most critical physiological processes in development biology, with the cells losing their epithelial features and gaining mesenchymal ones1. Recent reports have indicated EMT involvement in the malignant conversion of tumor cells; therefore, it reflects invasive or metastasizing properties in a variety of cancers2 In EMT, cancer cells enhance their abilities of migration and invasion. The characteristic changes of EMT comprise the downregulation of the epithelial protein E-cadherin and...
increased levels of many mesenchymal molecules, including vimentin and N-cadherin. However, the exact mechanism of EMT remains to be clarified.

High-mobility group AT-hook (HMGA2) changes the DNA structure as an architectural factor, thereby regulating DNA-dependent activities, including transcription, replication and repair. HMGA2 is found at high levels in the embryo, but rarely expressed or absent in normal adult tissues, indicating its function in cell proliferation and differentiation. However, several reports revealed that HMGA2 shows elevated amounts in cancer and is involved in tumor metastasis via EMT. Overexpression of HMGA2 is significantly correlated with metastasis and poor prognosis in several cancers such as triple negative breast, ovarian, gastric, colorectal and non-small cell lung cancers. Strell et al. found HMGA2 is overexpressed in pancreatic cancer (PC), with levels showing tight associations with tumor differentiation, clinical stage and clinical prognosis. However, HMGA2 association with EMT features remains undefined. This work assessed HMGA2, E-cadherin and Vimentin levels in PC, and determined the association of HMGA2 with prognosis in PC patients. Finally, we further explored the mechanism by which HMGA2 affects EMT in vitro using PC cell lines.

Materials and methods

Patients and specimens
A total of 60 paraffin wax-embedded samples of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and 9 samples from adjacent non-cancerous pancreatic tissues were obtained by Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital, from April 2002 to October 2006. This study had approval from the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital (Shanghai, China). All tumors were classified and graded according to the WHO Classification of Tumors.

Cells and culture
Pancreatic cancer CAPAN 1 cells were provided by American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, USA), and maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 mg/ml) (all from Life Technologies, USA), at 37 °C in an incubator with 5% CO2.

Immunohistochemical staining
Paraffin embedded pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma specimens were sectioned and pretreated at 60 °C (1 h), followed by dewaxing in xylene, rehydration with graded ethanol and incubation with citrate buffer (pH6.0) for antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched for 30 min using 0.3% H2O2. Then, primary antibodies targeting HMGA2 diluted 1:100 in TBST (Cell Signaling Technology, USA) were added for overnight incubation at 4 °C. The remaining procedures were carried out as directed by the manufacturer of the LSAB + kit (Dako, USA). Counterstaining was performed with hematoxylin. As proposed in a previous report, 0–5%, 5–15%, 15–50% and >50% cells stained indicated negative, weak, medium, and strong staining, respectively. Positively stained cancer cells were assessed in 5 high power fields of 200 adjacent cells. Staining scoring was: 0, 0%; 1, 1–25%; 2, 26–49%; 3, 50–75%; and 4, 76–100%. Staining intensity was scored between 0 and 3, corresponding to no, weak, moderate and strong staining, respectively. The overall score was obtained by multiplying the 2 individual scores (0–12). For statistical evaluation, specimens were assigned to negative (score ≤ 2) or positive (score > 2) groups. Slide assessment was performed by two blinded pathologists.

Small interfering RNA-mediated knockdown
Short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting HMGA2 (HMGA2-siRNA1: CACAACAAGUCGUUCAGAA; and HMGA2-siRNA2: AGAGGCAGACCUAGGAAAU) and a GFP control siRNA (GFP-si) were provided by GenePharma (China).

Cells were transfected in six well plates with Lipofectamine 3000 (Life Technologies) as instructed by the manufacturer. Knockdown efficiency was assessed by immunoblot.

Generation of HMGA2-overexpressing cells
Plasmid pcDNA HMGA2 overexpressing HMGA2 or non-target controls (pcDNA) were purchased from Shanghai Genechem Co., Ltd (China). We transfected the plasmid pcDNA-HMGA2 and non-target controls (pcDNA) into the CAPAN 1 cells with the Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the protein levels of HMGA2 were determined by western blotting.

Quantitative RT-PCR
Cell total RNA was obtained with TRizol reagent (Life technologies) as instructed by the manufacturer. After
reverse transcription, the resulting cDNA was amplified by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) with a SYBR Premix Ex Tag kit (TaKaRa) on an ABI 7,500 Sequencing Detection System (Applied Biosystems, USA). Data were normalized to GAPDH expression. The following primers were used:

HMGA2, sense 5'-CAGGATGAGCAGCGGTTGAGGGC-3' and antisense 5'-CCATTTCTAGGTCTGCTCTTGTC-3'; GAPDH, sense 5'-GGACCTGACCTGCCGTCTAG-3' and antisense 5'-GTAAGCCAGGATGCCCCTGA-3'.

**Immunoblot**

Cell lysis was performed with precooled RIPA buffer (Sigma, USA). After centrifugation (12,000×g, 4 °C, 20 min) protein amounts were determined in the supernatant. Equal amounts of total protein were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE and electro-transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore). The membranes were blocked and incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies targeting HMGA2, E-cadherin, vimentin, N-cadherin, Snail, Zeb1 (Cell Signaling Technology, USA) and GAPDH (Santa Cruz, USA). All the primary antibodies were diluted to 1:1000 in TBST. After three washes with TBST, secondary antibodies were added for 1h at room temperature. Visualization was performed on a LI-COR infrared imaging system (LI-COR).

**Migration and invasion assays**

In migration assay, 5×10⁴ pancreatic cancer cells in serum-free DMEM were plated in 24-well chambers (Corning Costar, USA) with no matrigel coating. In invasion assay, the upper chamber was pre-treated with matrigel (BD Bioscience) before addition of 5×10⁴ cells in serum-free DMEM. After 24 h of culture, the cells crossing the membranes were incubated with 0.5% crystal violet and numbered using an inverted microscope. Cell numbers in 5 randomly selected high power fields were assessed.
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**Figure 1** Immunohistochemical staining of HMGA2, E-cadherin and vimentin in normal pancreatic tissue and pancreatic cancer samples. (A) No expression of HMGA2 in the normal pancreatic tissue. (B) Positive signals of HMGA2 in pancreatic cancer. (C) No expression of vimentin in the normal pancreatic tissue. (D) Positive signals of vimentin in pancreatic cancer. (E) Positive signals of E-cadherin in the normal pancreatic tissue. (F) No expression of E-cadherin in pancreatic cancer. Scale bar=50 um.
Statistical analysis
Data are mean ± standard deviation (SD), and were assessed by two-tailed unpaired t-test and ANOVA for 2 or multiple groups. Associations of HMGA2, E-cadherin and vimentin protein levels with clinicopathological features were evaluated by the Pearson χ² test. GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad, USA) and SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., USA) was employed for all statistical analyses. The significance of various variables in the prognosis of the disease was assessed by the Cox proportional hazards regression model for univariate and multivariate analyses. P<0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Results
HMGA2, E-cadherin and vimentin protein levels in pancreatic cancer specimens and normal tissues
By immunohistochemical staining, we found that HMGA2 was mainly expressed in nuclei or the cytoplasm of human pancreatic cancer cells (Figure 1A and B), while vimentin was mainly found in the cytoplasm or membrane of tumor cells (Figure 1C and D). Meanwhile, E-cadherin showed positive signals in the membrane of normal cells from human normal pancreatic tissues (Figure 1E and F).

No HMGA2 expression was found in the 9 non-cancerous pancreatic specimens; however, positive signals for HMGA2 were observed in 43% (26/60) of pancreatic cancer tissue specimens (P<0.001). A total of 36 PC cases (60%) did not express E-cadherin among the 60 assessed, while the 9 normal pancreatic tissue samples had positive staining for E-cadherin (P<0.001). Vimentin was expressed in 27 of the 60 cases (45%) of pancreatic cancer tissue samples, whereas the 9 normal pancreatic tissue samples showed no expression.

Associations of HMGA2, E-cadherin and vimentin protein amounts with clinicopathological properties of pancreatic cancer samples
As shown in Table 1, HMGA2 levels in pancreatic cancer were tightly associated with clinical stage (P=0.0285) and

| Characteristics          | Cases | HMGA2 expression | E-cadherin expression | Vimentin expression |
|--------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|                          |       | Positive | Negative | P       | Positive | Negative | P       | Positive | Negative | P       |
| Age                      |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| ≤60                      | 26    | 11       | 15       | 0.7563  | 12       | 14       | 0.6753  | 10       | 16       | 0.805   |
| 61–70                    | 16    | 6        | 10       | 0.2981  | 6        | 10       | 0.9672  | 9        | 7        | 0.3183  |
| >70                      | 18    | 9        | 9        | 0.2981  | 6        | 12       | 0.8122  | 8        | 10       | 0.4313  |
| Gender                   |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Male                     | 37    | 14       | 23       | 0.2981  | 15       | 22       | 0.2952  | 15       | 22       | 0.1932  |
| Female                   | 23    | 12       | 11       | 0.2981  | 9        | 14       | 0.2952  | 12       | 11       | 0.1932  |
| Treatment                |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| No treatment             | 33    | 15       | 18       | 0.0285  | 11       | 22       | 0.0344  | 12       | 21       | 0.0051  |
| Gemcitabine              | 27    | 12       | 15       | 0.0285  | 13       | 14       | 0.0344  | 15       | 12       | 0.0051  |
| Clinical stage           |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| I                        | 11    | 1        | 10       | 0.0419  | 8        | 3        | 0.0301  | 2        | 9        | 0.009   |
| II                       | 43    | 21       | 22       | 0.0419  | 15       | 28       | 0.0301  | 19       | 24       | 0.009   |
| III/IV                   | 6     | 4        | 2        | 0.0419  | 1        | 5        | 0.0301  | 6        | 0        | 0.009   |
| Tumor differentiation    |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Well differentiated      | 8     | 1        | 7        | 0.0006  | 6        | 2        | 0.0326  | 2        | 6        | 0.0086  |
| Mediated                 | 33    | 13       | 20       | 0.0006  | 14       | 19       | 0.0326  | 11       | 22       | 0.0086  |
| Poor differentiated      | 19    | 12       | 7        | 0.0006  | 4        | 15       | 0.0326  | 14       | 5        | 0.0086  |
| Lymph node metastasis    |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Yes                      | 26    | 18       | 8        | 0.0006  | 6        | 20       | 0.0326  | 17       | 9        | 0.0086  |
| No                       | 34    | 8        | 26       | 0.0006  | 18       | 16       | 0.0326  | 10       | 24       | 0.0086  |

Note: Statistically significant values are shown in bold.
tumor differentiation ($P=0.0419$). No E-cadherin expression was observed in 78.9% (15/19) of cases with poorly differentiated tumors and 83.3% (5/6) of cases with stage III/IV tumors, while positive signals were found in 75% (6/8) of cases with well-differentiated tumors and 72.7% (8/11) of cases with stage I tumors. Compared with stage I and well differentiated tumors, positive staining of vimentin was found in 51% (25/49) of patients with stage II-IV tumors, and 48% (25/52) of patients with mediated and poorly differentiated tumors ($P=0.0051$ and $P=0.009$, respectively). In addition, HMGA2 and vimentin expression and E-cadherin absence were highly correlated with lymph node metastasis ($P=0.0006$, $P=0.0086$ and $P=0.0326$ respectively). HMGA2, E-cadherin and vimentin expression had no associations with gender, age and gemcitabine treatment. (Table 1).

**Association between HMGA2 expression and patient survival**

The effect of HMGA2, E-cadherin and vimentin expression, on patient survival, were examined with Kaplan-Meier analysis and the log-rank test. Patients with positive expression of HMGA2 and vimentin had markedly reduced overall survival (OS) compared with individuals not expressing the protein (Figure 2A and B). Meanwhile, patients with E-cadherin negative expression showed shorter OS compared with those positive expression (Figure 2C).

Then we used univariate and multivariate analyses to confirm whether HMGA2 expression was an independent predictor of a negative prognosis. As shown in Table 2, HMGA2 expression ($P=0.038$) was an independent prognostic indicator for overall survival. (Table 2) Taken together, our data suggested that HMGA2 expression was obviously associated with the prognosis and also was an independent prognostic factor for patients with pancreatic cancer.

**HMGA2 promotes the migration and invasion in PC cells**

CAPAN 1 cells were transfected with siRNA against HMGA2 (siHMGA2) or scrambled siRNA (NC). The results showed that siHMGA2-1 and siHMGA2-2 starkly
reduced HMGA2 protein amounts in PC cells in comparison with the NC group (Figure 3A and B). In order to increase the HMGA2 protein expression in CAPAN 1 cells, cells were transfected with Plasmid pcDNA HMGA2 or non-target controls (pcDNA). The results of Western blot in Figure 3C and D indicated that Plasmid pcDNA HMGA2 significantly increased the expression of HMGA2 protein in PC cells when compared to the NC group.

Importantly, HMGA2 knockdown cells showed decreased migration and invasion rates in comparison with the control group (Figure 4A and B), while HMGA2 overexpression cells showed increased migration and invasion rates in comparison with the control group (Figure 4C and D).

**HMGA2 promotes EMT**

Regulation migration and invasion abilities in HMGA2 silenced and overexpressed pancreatic cancer cells indicated HMGA2 contributes to EMT and metastasis. To further assess HMGA2 significance in EMT, the levels of known EMT-associated proteins were assessed after HMGA2 silencing and overexpression by immunoblot. Interestingly, higher amounts of E-cadherin and lower N-cadherin and vimentin levels were observed in HMGA2 knockdown PC cells while lower amounts of E-cadherin and higher N-cadherin and vimentin levels were observed in HMGA2 overexpressed cells. In agreement, HMGA2 silencing resulted in downregulated Snail and Zeb1, two transcriptional markers involved in EMT, while HMGA2 overexpression resulted in increased Snail and Zeb 1. (Figure 5).

**Discussion**

In EMT, cancer cells lose their epithelial properties and gain mesenchymal ones, with increased malignant potential. Signaling molecules, including Zeb1 and Snail, contribute to EMT, although the underpinning mechanisms remain largely unclear. HMGA2

**Table 2 Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated with overall survival of patients with pancreatic cancer**

|                      | Cases | Univariable |         | Multivariable |         |
|----------------------|-------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------|
|                      |       | HR          | 95%CI   | P             | HR      | 95%CI   | P       |
| **Age**              |       |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| ≤60                  | 26    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| >60                  | 34    | 0.713       | 0.212–1.257 | 0.383        |         |         |         |
| **Gender**           |       |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| Male                 | 37    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| Female               | 23    | 0.461       | 0.292–1.321 | 0.421        |         |         |         |
| **Treatment**        |       |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| No treatment         | 33    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| Gemcitabine          | 27    | 0.342       | 0.127–0.764 | 0.012        | 0.669   | 0.412–0.865 | 0.032  |
| **Clinical stage**   |       |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| I                    | 11    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| II/III/IV            | 49    | 4.239       | 2.126–8.432 | 0.003        | 8.521   | 1.743–32.129 | 0.026  |
| **Tumor Differentiation** |     |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| Well differentiated   | 41    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| Poor differentiated   | 19    | 4.569       | 1.539–9.439 | 0.011        | 1.643   | 0.632–2.823 | 0.727  |
| **Lymph node metastasis** |     |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| No                   | 34    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| Yes                  | 26    | 3.153       | 1.356–6.539 | 0.001        | 6.714   | 1.867–13.524 | 0.015  |
| **HMGA2 expression** |       |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| Negative             | 34    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| Positive             | 26    | 3.216       | 1.238–6.427 | 0.001        | 5.712   | 1.128–13.572 | 0.038  |
| **E-cadherin expression** |     |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| Negative             | 36    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| Positive             | 24    | 0.394       | 0.164–0.715 | 0.013        | 0.846   | 0.226–4.921 | 0.561  |
| **Vimentin expression** |     |             |         |               |         |         |         |
| Negative             | 33    | 1           |         |               |         |         |         |
| Positive             | 27    | 4.936       | 1.133–8.316 | 0.004        | 0.964   | 0.493–3.427 | 0.096  |

**Note:** Statistically significant values are shown in bold.
Figure 3 HMGA2 knockdown in pancreatic cancer CAPAN 1 cells using SiRNAs (HMGA2-S1 and HMGA2-S2). (A) Quantitative real-time PCR and (B) Western blot data showing decreased HMGA2 mRNA and protein expression levels in both knockdown groups. ***P<0.001. HMGA2 over expressed in PC cells using plasmid pcDNA HMGA2 and non-target controls (pcDNA). (C) Quantitative real-time PCR and (D) Western blot data showing increased HMGA2 mRNA and protein expression levels in pcDNA HMGA2 group. **P<0.01.

Figure 4 (A) Compared with control cells, migration and invasion abilities in HMGA2-S1 and HMGA2-S2 cells were significantly inhibited (x100). (B) Columns show the means of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. (C) Compared with control cells, migration and invasion abilities in HMGA2 overexpressed cells were significantly enhanced (x100). (B) Columns show the means of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.
constitutes a novel factor affecting EMT in epithelial malignant tumors.\textsuperscript{19,20} HMGA2, found in several malignancies, is associated with tumor aggressiveness and poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{12,21} There are only two studies suggesting that HMGA2 is over-expressed in patients with pancreatic cancer, with its expression associated with patient’s prognosis.\textsuperscript{14,22} However, the association of poor prognosis with HMGA2 over-expression remains undefined. Therefore, the current work assessed the clinical effects of HMGA2 as well as its association with EMT in PC.

EMT is critical for PC progression.\textsuperscript{23,24} In other carcinomas, HMGA2 contributes to cancer metastasis and progression via EMT induction;\textsuperscript{19,20} meanwhile, HMGA2 involvement in EMT in PC was reported by a single study\textsuperscript{25} Therefore, HMGA2, E-cadherin and vimentin levels were assessed in 60 PC tissue specimens in this study, in an effort to evaluate HMGA2 effects on EMT features. As sown above, HMGA2 was negatively associated with E-cadherin amounts and displayed a positive correlation with vimentin levels. In addition, HMGA2 presence, loss of E-cadherin and vimentin expression were able to predict tumor progression and prognosis in pancreatic cancer. More importantly, by univariate and multivariate analyses we confirmed that HMGA2 expression was an independent prognostic indicator for overall survival of patients with pancreatic cancer. These findings indicated that HMGA2 suppression may prevent EMT.

To further define HMGA2 function during EMT, HMGA2 was silenced and overexpressed in human pancreatic cancer CAPAN 1 cells, and multiple EMT-associated proteins were detected. Zeb1, a transcription factor, promotes EMT by downregulating E-cadherin through interactions with its E-box\textsuperscript{18} Snail, another transcription factor, directly downregulates E-cadherin mRNA synthesis in EMT(17). We hypothesized that EMT-associated proteins are altered in expression after HMGA2 silencing.

![Figure 5](A) Western blot for detecting EMT-associated protein markers in HMGA2 knockdown and overexpressed CAPAN 1 cells. (B) and (C) Semiquantitative histograms of Western blot results. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.
Indeed, HMGA2 silencing resulted in E-cadherin upregulation and N-cadherin, Zeb1, and Snail downregulation, while HMGA2 overexpression resulted in E-cadherin downregulation and N-cadherin, Zeb1, and Snail upregulation. In agreement, the migration and invasion abilities of CAPAN 1 cells were markedly reduced after HMGA2 silencing and significantly increased after HMGA2 overexpression. These findings indicated that HMGA2 contributes to EMT, and constitutes a molecular target for modulating malignancy in PC.

**Conclusion**

Overall, the current findings suggested that HMGA2 overexpression is critical in the late stage of PC and unveiled the association of HMGA2 with EMT in this malignancy. Additionally, HMGA2 expression markedly enhanced PC cell migration, invasion and EMT in vitro. Therefore, HMGA2 should be considered a novel biomarker for prognosis and may be a potential therapeutic target in pancreatic cancer.
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