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Abstract

Background: FK506-binding proteins (FKBPs) have become the subject of considerable interest in several fields, leading to the identification of several cellular and molecular pathways in which FKBPs impact prenatal development and pathogenesis of many human diseases.

Main body: This analysis revealed differences between how mammalian and Drosophila FKBPs mechanisms function in relation to the immunosuppressant drugs, FK506 and rapamycin. Differences that could be used to design insect-specific pesticides. (1) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of FKBPs family proteins revealed that the eight known Drosophila FKBPs share homology with the human FKBPs. This indicates a close evolutionary relationship, and possible origination from a common ancestor. (2) The known FKBPs contain FK domains, that is, a prolyl cis/trans isomerase (PPIase) domain that mediates immune suppression through inhibition of calcineurin. The dFKBP59, CG4735/Shutdown, CG1847, and CG5482 have a Tetratricopeptide receptor domain at the C-terminus, which regulates transcription and protein transportation. (3) FKBP51 and FKBP52 (dFKBP59), along with Cyclophilin 40 and protein phosphatase 5, function as Hsp90 immunophilin co-chaperones within steroid receptor-Hsp90 heterocomplexes. These immunophilins are potential drug targets in pathways associated with normal physiology and may be used to treat a variety of steroid-based diseases by targeting exocytic/endocytic cycling and vesicular trafficking. (4) By associating with presinilin, a critical component of the Notch signaling pathway, FKBP14 is a downstream effector of Notch activation at the membrane. Meanwhile, Shutdown associates with transposons in the PIWI-interacting RNA pathway, playing a crucial role in both germ cells and ovarian somas. Mutations in or silencing of dFKBPs lead to early embryonic lethality in Drosophila. Therefore, further understanding the mechanisms of FK506 and rapamycin binding to immunophilin FKBPs in endocrine, cardiovascular, and neurological function in both mammals and Drosophila would provide prospects in generating unique, insect specific therapeutics targeting the above cellular signaling pathways.

Conclusion: This review will evaluate the functional roles of FKBPs family proteins, and systematically summarize the similarities and differences between FKBPs proteins in Drosophila and Mammals. Specific therapeutics targeting cellular signaling pathways will also be discussed.
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Background
Since FK506-binding proteins (FKBP) were first identified, much progress has been made in understanding the mechanisms involved in regulating the immunological process, steroid signaling, and the resulting roles they play in physiological processes. Over the past years, FKBPs have emerged as a potential therapeutic target for a wide-range of endocrine- and cardiovascular-related diseases. As a result, several researches have focused on determination and development of novel therapeutic drugs that target FKBPs [1]. FKBPs are a highly conserved group of proteins known to bind to FK506, an immunosuppressive drug. Though FK506-FKBP complexes bind to calcineurin and inhibit its phosphatase activity, FKBP plays its immunosuppressive roles and inactivates the nuclear factor of activated T-cells [2–5].

FKBPs are named according to their molecular mass; the smallest members of FKBPs are composed almost entirely of a PPIase motif in a single FK domain, whereas larger FKBPs are composed of domains that are functionally independent. Thus, in addition to the peptidyl-prolyl isomerase (PPIase) motif, the Drosophila FKBP59 (FKBP52 and FKBPP1 in mammals) have a Tetratricopeptide receptor (TPR) domain which functions independently by mediating protein-protein interactions. These TPRs have been identified in proteins involved in regulating transcription and protein transportation [3, 4, 6]. In spite of the growing evidence for the important roles of various FKBPs, knowledge of their specific functions remain obscure. Particularly, information on the role of FKBPs in the development of multicellular organisms is scanty [7].

The review will focus on the description and functional roles of the FKBP family members. Comprehensive analysis of these proteins in Mammals and Drosophila melanogaster indicates that many FKBPs and their functional complexes have potential therapeutic applications.

Evolutionary and function linkage between Drosophila and mammal FK506-binding protein family
The immunophilins, including FKBP proteins, found in most organisms are involved in a range of biochemical processes such as transcription, protein folding, protein trafficking, and receptor signaling [2–4]. The number of immunophilins in different organisms differs greatly. In single cell organisms, Escherichia coli contains 6 immunophils and the Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast) genome contains 4 FKBP proteins. In both D. melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans genomes, there are 8 FKBP proteins. In mammals such as Mus musculus (mouse) and Homo sapiens (human) genomes, 18 FKBPs have been documented up to date. In plants, both Arabidopsis and Chlamydomonas have 23 FKBPs while Oryza sativa contains 29 FKBPs [2, 7–11].

Molecular phylogenetic analysis of FKB family proteins revealed that Drosophila FKBPs form a close association with other organisms (Fig. 1). This analysis demonstrates that the eight known FKBPs in Drosophila share homology with the human FKBPP12. This indicates that they have a much closer evolutionary relationship and might have originated from a common ancestor.

The phylogenetic tree divides FKBPs into two groups: those with a TPR domain and those without a TPR domain. The FKBPs without TPR are further divided into three subgroups. Members of the first sub-group have a single FKBP domain localized in the cytoplasm (for example, FKBPP12). Members of the second group have one to four FKBP domains. They also have with diverse motifs such as EF-hand and calcium binding, and ER signaling peptide localized in the ER (this includes FKBPP14). Members of the third sub-group consist entirely of one FKBP domain with an additional motif, and are localized in the nucleus (for example, FKBPP39). The FKBPs with TPR including FKBPP59 are localized in the cytoplasm, ER, mitochondria or nucleus. Our multiple-sequence alignment analysis exhibited a highly conserved FKBP domain among some FKBP families (Fig. 2a and b), which reiterates that the FKBP genes underwent their functional differentiation with the coding for diverse roles of these proteins.

Furthermore, based on their functional domains, Drosophila FKBPs can be grouped into two categories. The first category, represented by the FKBPP12 and CG14715 (FKBP13), consists principally of FKBP domain. In the second category, this FKBP family contains an additional domain such as the EF-hand domain, cwf/cwc superfamily domain, or TPR domain. Category 2 members include FKBPP14, FKBPP39, Shutdown (CG4735), CG1847, CG5482, and FKBPP59. Among these members, the FKBPP14 contains the additional EF-hand domain known to bind to Ca$^{2+}$ [12, 13]. FKBPP39 contains the cwf/cwc superfamily domain, which is a complex of Cef1 and may be implicated in pre-RNA splicing, through spliceosome [14, 15]. The remaining FKBPs are those with a TPR domain in addition to the FKBP domain. The TPR domain is believed to mediate protein–protein interactions, specifically by serving as binding sites for ubiquitous and abundant molecular chaperone, heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90). Although the discovery of FKBPs originally centered on their interplay with the FK506 and rapamycin ligands, FKBPs are now understood to have complex and varied functions in their natural environment [16, 17].

In the second category, three Drosophila FKBPs—CG1847, CG5482, and FKBPP59—are annotated to share the domain architecture of CG4735/FKBPP6, in which FKBP domains are followed by a TPR domain. Shut-down encodes a novel Drosophila protein similar to the heat-shock protein-binding immunophilins and is a potential
fourth member of this group. Though its TPR domain has substantially diverged in comparison to the others, its secondary structure predicts the putative TPR. The shut-down gene is essential for the normal function of the germline stem cells. Analysis of weak loss-of-function alleles has confirmed that shut-down is also required at later stages of oogenesis [18, 19]. Apart from the shutdown, the dFKBP12 gene is also expressed in follicle cells during oogenesis, while dFKBP14, dFKBP39, and dFKBP59 are expressed throughout the Drosophila life-cycle [7, 20–22].

Noticeably, in Drosophila, the FKBP domain is a signature structure in every FKBP gene. Except for CG1487 gene, the FKBP domain ranges from 92 to 96 amino acids in every FKBP as summarized in Tables 1 and 2, and Fig. 2a and b. However, aside from the FKBP domain, the other domain might provide more information on predicting their further function.

Furthermore, sequence identity reveals greater intimacy in protein structure, function maintenance, and differentiation during the evolution of both mammals and insects. Drosophila FKBP, CG14715 (dFKBP13) shares 57% sequence identity with mammalian FKBP13 implicating their involvement in intracellular transport and protein folding, a prolyl-isomerase thought to function as an ER chaperone [7]. Within Drosophila, a strong homology of 43% sequence identity between FKBP12 and FKBP14 suggests that they may share similar biological roles such as their PPIase activity. However, there is a lack of detailed evidence. Spatiotemporally, FKBP12 and FKBP14 are distributed unambiguously into different fractions: the FKBP12 located almost entirely in the cytosol, whereas FKBP14 is assembled (resides) exclusively in the rough endoplasmic reticulum fraction. As the broadly expressed ER resident protein, FKBP14 is detected throughout Drosophila embryo suggesting its critical role in the development. FKBP14 Null mutations are lethal throughout the larval and pupal stages of the development, and the survivor exhibits defects in the eye, wing and sensory bristle development. Consequently, the mutants do not appear to induce the unfolded protein response, which indicates that FKBP14 is associated with the dysregulation of Notch signaling. Additionally, the
expression levels of CG1847 in sensory organs and precursors are 2-fold more than its expression levels in epithelial cells. This implies that CG1847 could be involved in cell cycle-associated transcription and is targeted on both mitosis and sensory physiology [7, 23, 24]. Furthermore, CG5482 is reported to be a Myc target gene and its expression is directly regulated by dMyc (Drosophila Myc) in larva [25, 26]. Furthermore, c-MYC regulates over 15% of genomic genes through binding to the consensus sequence—termed E-boxes in mammal cells. It is therefore possible that CG5482 plays a role in intracellular signaling pathways. The functional involvements of FKBP5 in biological process are summarized in Fig. 3. The cellular and physiological processes are two categories of FKBP5’s roles in Mammal and Drosophila. FKBP5 can target on various pathways in cells via interaction with components of receptor signaling, protein folding and trafficking, transcriptional control and apoptosis, whereas the physiological functions are associated with embryonic development, stress response, cardiac function, cancer tumorigenesis and neuronal function.

**FKBP5 and critical cellular signalling pathways**

**FKBP, TRP/TRPL, and RyR in calcium signaling pathway**

Genetic analysis in *Drosophila* identified Transient Receptor Potential (TRP) as a potential store-operated channel, which are essential for the light-induced cation conductance in photoreceptor cells. This cytoplasmic

---

Table 1: FKBP nomenclature in *Drosophila*

| Gene symbol/Name | Exon | Location | FKBP domain (AA) | Transcripts (bp) | CDS (bp) |
|------------------|------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| CG11001/FKBP12  | 4    | Chromosome 2R: 19290109–19,290,962 | 94               | 633              | 327     |
| CG14715/FKBP13  | 2    | Chromosome 3R: 11674221–11,674,923 | 96               | 640              | 417     |
| CG9847/FKBP14   | 9    | Chromosome 2R: 21487167–21,497,929 | 95               | 1311/1350*      | 651     |
| CG6226/FKBP39   | 3    | Chromosome 3R: 15331584–15,333,133 | 94               | 1299             | 1074    |
| CG4535/FKBP59   | 9    | Chromosome 2L: 9888005–9,890,119   | 96/93*           | 1494/1587*      | 1320    |
| CG4735/Shutdown | 3    | Chromosome 2R: 23841461–23,843,286  | 92               | 1694             | 1826    |
| CG1847          | 3    | Chromosome X: 11869170–11,871,168   | 122              | 1776             | 963     |
| CG5482          | 9    | Chromosome 2R: 18629594–18,632,045  | 94               | 1780/1958*      | 1194    |

*If there are more than two transcripts or two coded proteins, the two largest are listed*
TRP in the configuration of a proposed supramolecular complex is important for feedback regulation and/or activation associated with rhodopsin, phosphor-lipase C, protein kinase C, calmodulin, and the PDZ domain-containing protein [27, 28]. Involved in the inositol 1, 4, 5-trisphosphate signaling pathway for photo-transduction, the TRP appears as a putative Ca\(^{2+}\) entry channel present in fly photoreceptors. The interest in Drosophila phototransduction originates from the apparent similarities in signaling in fly photoreceptor cells and the wide range of excitable and non-excitable cells in mammals that rely upon phosphoinositide signaling and conclude with the opening of Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable channels. However, until recently, the identities of the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx channels and the mode of activation have been elusive [27, 29, 30]. Thus, in the Drosophila eye, the Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable TRP and Transient Receptor Potential-like (TRPL) channels activation by photo-stimulation of rhodopsin leads to a response which depends on phospholipase C This results in depolarization of the photoreceptor cell followed by Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated feedback regulation of the visual signaling cascade. The biochemical or biophysical link between stimulation of a phospholipase C and channel activation remains vague [29, 30].

The effect of immunophilin ligands on neuronal survival and growth may involve Transient Receptor Potential Canonical (TRPC) channel function since the neurotrophic action of FK506 appears to be arbitrated by FKBP52 and by extension FKBP59 in Drosophila. A recent study shows that the binding of FKBP52 in the proline-rich region at the C-terminus of TRPC1 may prevent homer interaction among the N-terminal site of TRPC1. The mutation of Pro to Gln at the second LP dipeptide in Drosophila TRPL channels is able to eliminate the binding of FKBP59 [31, 32]. A fascinating feature of TRPL shared by the trimeric G protein and the arrestin is the dramatic light-dependent translocation. This exhibits as the sustained light response in wild-type flies and transient light response in the trp mutant [27, 29]. Based on sequence homology, the mammalian TRPC family consists of seven orthologs which can be divided into two major subgroups. The first sub-group is composed of TRPC1, -C4,

---

**Table 2** Schematic representation of the Drosophila FKBP family members

| Gene symbol/Name      | FlyBase ID   | NCBI       | Amino acid (AA) | Protein Structure |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| CG11001/FKBP12        | FBgn0013954  | NP_523792  | 108             |                   |
| CG14715/FKBP13        | FBgn0037930  | NP_650101  | 138             |                   |
| CG9847/FKBP14         | FBgn0010470  | NP_476973  | 216             |                   |
| CG6226/FKBP39         | FBgn0013269  | NP_524364  | 357             |                   |
| CG4535/FKBP59         | FBgn0029174  | NP_524895  | 439             |                   |
| CG4735/Shutdown       | FBgn003401   | NP_611837  | 455             |                   |
| CG1847                | FBgn0030345  | NP_572758  | 320             |                   |
| CG5482                | FBgn0034368  | NP_611353  | 397             |                   |

Note: 1 FKBP domain (FK506-binding protein) 2 Cwf/Cwc superfamily domain 3 EF-hand domain 4 TPR domain

**Fig. 3** Summary of FKBP\'s involvement in different biological processes in Mammal and Drosophila. The cellular functions of the various FKBP\'s listed on the top include receptor signaling, protein folding and trafficking, transcriptional control, and apoptosis. The FKBP\'s are also associated with different physiological roles within stress response, cardiac function, cancer genesis, neuronal function, and during animal development.
and -C5. The second sub-group is composed of TRPC3, -C6, and -C7. TRPC2 is pseudogenic. *Drosophila* TRP or TRPL is about 40% identical to the TRPC1 and TRPC4. Intriguingly, the putative FKB12.6 are the most important regulators of the RyR ion channel’s interactions with other proteins such as Caα1, FKBPs, and calmodulin. The association of FKB12 and FKB12.6 with RyR1 and RyR2 are crucial and well-studied for Excitation-Constriction (E-C) coupling in both skeletal and cardiac muscles as well as in the brain [3, 12, 29]. The binding of Ca2+ to the EF-hand domain of FKB12 or FKB12.6 facilitates the transmembrane helices to form a pore and increases the plausibility of gate opening with large-amplitude collective motion. The suggested mechanism also explains the effect on gating induced by the binding of regulators to the cytoplasmic domain of RyR. Furthermore, several studies have confirmed in *Drosophila* that the FKB12's potential function in E-C coupling in the muscles and brain involve RyR's function in excitable and non-excitable cells [12].

**FKBPs functionally associates with notch signaling pathway**

The conserved part of Notch signaling pathway is composed of ligands (Delta and Jagged), Notch receptors, and the transcription factors. The Notch signaling pathway regulates a wide spectrum of fundamental processes and different cellular programs such as proliferation, apoptosis, migration, growth and differentiation. Only recently has the pathway been appreciated for its role in the maintenance of adult tissues during postnatal life and abnormal activation in the pathogenesis of human diseases such as cancer. Despite this enormous breakthrough, the mechanism of Notch signaling activation in solid tumors is yet to be discovered [35, 36]. The involvement of FKBPs in this signaling pathway provides a new prospect for understanding the molecular mechanism of the related diseases.

In *Drosophila*, FKB14 is known to be associated with the dysregulation of Notch signaling through downstream targeting and is required for metazoan development. Albeit trafficking of Notch to the membrane appears unaffected in the FKB14 mutant, the expression levels of several Notch components including Cut, Wingless and Enhancer of split are reduced significantly. Correspondingly, PSN (presenilin-1 or presenilin-2) protein levels and γ-secretase activity are reduced in FKB14 null mutants as well. This phenomenon suggests that the targeting site of FKB14 could be downstream of the Notch activation at the membrane [7, 35].

Taken together, FKB14 is an essential gene that regulates Presenilin protein levels and Notch signaling in *Drosophila*.

**Shutdown via piRNA pathway highly affects generation of reproductive cells**

Shutdown, a member of the FKB14 immunophilin family, was recently discovered to be associated with transposons in the PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA) pathway, which plays a crucial role in both germ cells and somatic ovarian cells [37]. Transposon have a significant effect on genomic instability and even low level expression can drive evolution in the long term. By suppressing the activity of transposable elements (TE), the piRNA pathway protects genome integrity during germ stem cell (GSC) differentiation and oocyte development. This piRNA silencing of transposons in reproductive tissues thereby significantly reducing the frequency of spontaneous mutations in progeny [15, 38–43]. PiRNAs also play a role in the somatic cells encapsulating and supporting the growth and maturation of germ cells. These stromal cell piRNAs shape piRNA populations within germ cells by targeting mobile elements via a ping-pong cycle and shaping germ line transposon mRNAs [44–47].

*Shu* (Shutdown/CG4735) was first recognized as a female sterile gene in *Drosophila*. It comprises of an evolutionarily conserved co-chaperone which contains a PPIase motif and a TPR domain for an FKB family proteins (Table 2) [15, 18]. Acting downstream of the piRNA pathway, Shutdown is known to be a part of both the nuage and Yb bodies [37]. These nuage and Yb bodies are thought-out to be pivots of piRNA biogenesis [15, 37]. It is established that mutations in *Shu* disturbs germ cell division and ultimately cause the germline stem cells to fail entirely [15]. Two robust alleles caused sterility in both males and females, but a third allele with mutation did not alter male fertility. In mutant females, stem cells that strongly divide also produced defective egg chambers that ceased mid-oogenesis [15, 48, 49]. Analyzing the expression patterns of transposon and small RNA libraries in *Shu* knockdown cells and deficient animals suggest the important role Shutdown play in piRNA biogenesis or its complex stabilization [15]. This critical function could be performed through the loading of piRNAs into PIWI-family proteins [15]. As Shutdown constituent domains have PPIase characteristics and the capacity to collaborate with the HSP90 family of chaperone proteins, any of these activities could determine the role of Shutdown in the piRNA pathway [15, 49].

The association between Shutdown and Hsp90 potentially implicates the chaperone system in secondary piRNA biogenesis [50]. However, treatment of BmN4 cells with FK-506 failed to have any overt effect on piRNA biogenesis [50]. Thus, although available evidence indicates a
link between Shutdown and Hsp90, it is yet to be seen if the definite mechanisms of action are conserved between insects and mammals [15, 50]. Obviously, understanding the function of Shutdown in either ping-pong cycle or primary biogenesis will require further genetic manipulation to reiterate the aspects of the piRNA pathway in vitro [15].

**FKBPs and human diseases**

**FKBPs regulate tumorigenesis associated with steroid hormone receptors**

In mammals, FKBPs 51 and FKBPs 52 (dFKBP59), protein phosphatase 5 (PP5), and Cyclophilin 40 (CyP40) have been reported to couple with Hsp90 within steroid receptor (SHR)-Hsp90 hetero-complexes [3, 6, 51]. Interestingly, the expression of two co-factors, FKBPs 51 and FKBPs 52, which inhibit and facilitate Glucocorticoid receptor nuclear translocation, respectively, were also negatively correlated. This suggests that these co-factors are not coordinately up-regulated to ‘balance’ their effects, but may be reciprocally regulated to exaggerate their individual effects [51]. Since *Drosophila* only has FKBPs 59, it is important to determine how it plays such role. Their well-known effects on steroid receptors make these immunophilins potential drug targets in the pathways associated with normal physiology and a variety of steroid-based diseases including prostate cancer, breast cancer, stress-related diseases, and metabolic diseases [3, 6, 52–55].

To date, researchers have focused their efforts on the identification and development of novel drugs targeting FKBPs 51 and FKBPs 52 primarily by utilizing their functional domains. FKBPs 51 and FKBPs 52 share 70% similarity and have 2 PPIase (FK1 and FK2) domains, respectively. Currentl, the FK2 domain is enigmatic. This domain is structurally similar to the FK1, but lacks PPIase activity as there is no binding to the immunosuppressant FK506. Deletion of three amino acids (D195, H196, and D197) in the FKBPs 51 FK2 domain does not interrupt Hsp90 binding but rather affects the integration of the protein into progesterone receptor complexes [1, 6, 55–58]. A reasonable explanation is that the deletion of these three amino acids reduces the interaction of FK2 domain with other components of the receptor complex including the receptor itself. The interaction of TPR domain with the SHR complexes of Hsp90 facilitates the binding of Hsp90 to the FKBPs [1, 6, 16].

FKBP52 FK1 is the functional domain of FKBPs while the rest of the protein provides the interaction platform from which FKBPs 52 associates directly with steroid receptor-chaperone complexes [1, 16]. The FKBPs 52 FK1 domain, stabilized by the p23 co-chaperone, interacts with the Hsp90-receptor complex to bind with the receptor ligand binding domain and directly affect the hormone binding affinity of steroid receptor-chaperone receptors [1, 58]. Interruption of this interaction between FKBPs and receptor complex proteins suppresses SHR retro-transport at level comparable to the presence of Hsp90 inhibitors [1, 6, 16]. This implies FKBPs as a key component of steroid hormone receptor function, inhibition of which could be as potent as Hsp90 inhibition in steroid hormone receptor associated cancers.

In mammals, FKBPs 51 and FKBPs 52 play significant roles in embryonic development [1]. It has been proposed that FKBPs 51 and FKBPs 52 (dFKBP59) might regulate transcription at the site of gene promoters but more evidence is needed in both mammals and *Drosophila*.

There has been growing interest in the link between FKBPs and cancer. This is because of up-regulated activity of mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) by FKBPs, especially in cells lacking functional PTEN, subverting protein synthesis and tumor growth. The successful treatment of cancer using immunosuppressants FK506 and rapamycin, highlights the potential of targeting FKBPs in cancer therapy. In leukemia, inhibiting FKBPs 51 by rapamycin-abrogated doxorubicin-induced activation of NF-κB enhanced drug-induced apoptosis [1, 57–60].

The FKBPs 51 protein plays important roles in the regulation of a variety of signaling pathways while its expression level changes in different conditions. By regulating steroid receptor maturation, the Akt and nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) signaling pathways, FKBPs 51 is implicated in tumorigenesis and in the response to chemotherapy [6, 55, 61]. Indeed, either decreasing of AKT-S473 phosphorylation or enhancing sensitivity to Gemcitabine due to the over-expression of FKBPs 51 is dependent on the AKT-S473 phosphatase PHLPP (PH domain and Leucine-rich repeat Protein Phosphatases). Interestingly, FKBPs 51 affiliates with Akt through its conserved FKB domains and interacts with PHLPP through a C-terminal TPR domain. However, the PPIase activity of FKBPs 51 is not required for its effects on Akt phosphorylation and does not appear to affect PHLPP activity either. Collectively, several reports demonstrated that FKBPs 51 served as a scaffold to facilitate the PHLPP-mediated dephosphorylation of AKT-S473. In silenced FKBPs 51 cells, irradiation led to apoptosis rather than autophagy as induced in control cells. Prevention of apoptosis in control cells involved FKBPs 51-dependent initiation of NF-κB upon irradiation [6, 55, 62].

Overall, it appears that depending on cell and cancer type, promoting or reducing FKBPs 51 activity may produce a beneficial effect against cell proliferation of cancer cells. Most likely, different aspects of FKBPs 51 were involved for its divergent actions, which could eventually be exploited for drug development for specific diseases [1, 62, 63].
**Potential role of FKBPs in Alzheimer’s disease**

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a tau pathology which includes the collection of tau proteins into neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) [53]. Post-translational modifications affect the conformation of the tau protein, which is inherently a disorganized protein [53]. Several studies have reported AD as a progressive neurodegenerative disorder which is the most common form of dementia associated with age [53, 64, 65]. Although there is evidence of the interaction between FKBP12 and Amyloid Precursor Protein (APP) [53, 65], a physiological function of the interaction has not been proposed yet. However, the FKBP12/APP interplay is suspected to have a role in the amyloidogenic processing of APP, thereby affecting the expression level of Aβ peptides [65]. This will reverberate the function of Pin1 (protein interacting with NIMA-1) on Alzheimer APP [65]. The FKBP52 protein is adequately expressed in the nervous system, with elevated expression in both damaged and degenerating brain regions [64]. After several fundamental observations, critical data have focused on the pathogenesis of FKBP52 and AD. It would be reasonable to speculate that FKBPs with PPIase motif may configure to Tau structure and affect Tau function. This is because, PPIases are known to modulate protein phosphorylation [65]. Whether FKBP12 interaction with the APP-intracellular domain impact on Aβ production or whether its exposure to FK506 would have an advantageous or adverse effect is unclear [17, 53, 65, 66]. However, it is obvious that FKBP12 not only exhibits its colocalization with NFTs to prevent Tau oligomerization, but also inhibit the in vitro Tau aggregation [65].

Recent studies show that Hsp90 co-chaperones regulate Tau stability, aggregation, and clearance. Thus, signifying additional functional role of FKBPs in AD-related development [65, 67]. Once FK506/rapamycin derivatives are capable of modulating PPIase activity of FKBP51 and FKBP52, they may offer a unique approach to prevent or minimize the pathogenic effects of misfolded Tau [6, 53, 63]. Efforts are currently underway to pharmacologically target the FKBP proteins. The outcome of which will enhance our understanding of the interaction between FKBP and receptor-chaperone complex [1, 68]. These co-chaperones further suggest that FKBP51 and FKBP52 act on both Aβ metabolism and toxicity [64, 68]. The transgenic D. melanogaster strains over-expressing human Aβ 42 peptides present degeneration of the nervous system with concomitant learning and memory defects, in a dose-dependent manner [17, 64, 66]. Mutations in the Drosophila FKBP59 (mammalian FKBP52) exacerbate Aβ toxicity while transgenic flies that over-express wild type dFKBP59 decrease Aβ toxicity. The effects on Aβ phenotypes correspond with altered levels of the peptide suggesting that dFKBP59 may affect Aβ turnover in the above physiological processes. Therefore, the protective effect of dFKBP59 on Aβ toxicity during Drosophila aging was evident from the observations that dFKBP59 loss of function mutations potentiated Aβ toxicity, while over-expression of dFKBP59 delayed Aβ-induced phenotypes [17, 64, 66].

In human patient samples, the limited data has provided valuable information on the involvement of FKBP52 in Alzheimer disease. A comparison of FKBP52 protein expression in both areas of the brains of people who had died of AD with those who had died with other non-AD neurological diseases showed that the AD samples had lower expression of FKBP52 compared to controls [17, 64, 68]. As the FK506-binding domain of FKBP52 is involved in APP binding, it is conceivable that immunophilin ligands such as FK506 and rapamycin may associate with Alzheimer disease as well. Furthermore, the role of FKBP51 in regulating Tau biology has also been well characterized. The over-expression of FKBP51 preserves Tau, while knock-down of FKBP51 leads to Tau degradation [17, 64, 65]. Thus, further studies will provide evidence to the role of ligands in modulating the toxicity of Aβ and may open the field for the development of a novel group of agents against AD.

**FKBPs are potential targets for potent insecticides**

Pharmacological mechanism of the immunosuppressant molecule suggests strategy for developing insect specific pesticide

In mammals, FK506 binds to all proteins in FKBP family by targeting on the surface within their deep cleft where the ligand is bound with hydrophobicity [69]. Tacrolimus, an immunosuppressant molecule used for treating patients who suffer from autoimmune disorders after organ transplant, was originally modified from FK506. In humans, the FKBP12-tacrolimus complex regulates the function of the calcineurin combined with the cyclosporin-cyclophilin complex by blocking transduction pathway in the T-lymphocyte [69]. Additionally, FKBP12 controls the EC-coupling function targeted on the gating of RyR in cardiomyocytes through engaging calcium-induced-calcium-releasing [70, 71]. Lack of FKBP12 or FKBP12.6 leads to severe cardiac dysfunction, and results in early death of FKB12 gene deletion mouse shortly after birth [72, 73]. The binding alternation of FKBP5 to RyR on calcium signaling pathway provides the molecular mechanism of the death [74]. Recently, the crystal structure analysis on human FKBP25 in complex with FK506 revealed a FK506 binding domain (FKBBD) at 1.8 Å resolution. The comparison between this complex and its rapamycin complex pointed out the microheterogeneity with strong interaction between rapamycin and the FKBP but a lower affinity between FK506 and the FKBP [75]. The characteristics of this pharmaceutics
mechanism may highlight a direction for developing insect specific targeting drugs.

Binding affinity of the immunosuppressant molecule FK506 and rapamycin to their targeted protein determines the drug effects on their pharmaceutical function and is dependent on the number of hydrogen bonds between molecules. Per the crystal structure of the FK506 and hFKBP25 complex, four hydrogen bonds are responsible for the stabilization of the drug, which are between the backbone atoms of Lys170/Ile172 and the O2/O10 of FK506, as well as side-chain oxygen atoms of Asp146/Tyr198 and O6/O3 of FK506 [75]. In addition to these four hydrogen bonds, while in the rapamycin-hFKBD25 complex, there are more hydrogen bonds between atoms of Lys170 NZ/Lys170 NZ/Gly169 and oxygen at O8/O9/O13. This elucidates a stronger affinity of rapamycin to hFKBP2 than that of FK506. Furthermore, the FK506 and hFKBD25 complex presents some extra nonbonded contacts at C12/C35/C36/C43/C45 of Ala206/Ala206, Ile208/Leu162/Gln203/Tyr198, which should be the consequences of the hydrogen bond association within the nuclear immunophilin bound to rapamycin [75, 76].

According to the knowledge gained from mammals, when developing insect-specific pesticide, the strategy should be based on the following categories. The characteristics of dFKBs should be systematically analyzed within their FKBD and with focus on possible extra hydrogen bonds with the proteins. Additionally, building a small molecule library composed of chemical derivatives of two immunosuppressant drugs, rapamycin and FK506, could be another conceivable approach to the pesticide or insecticide.

Comparison of FKBPs in Drosophila and mammals reveals potential targets for specific pesticides

It has become increasingly obvious that the Hsp90-binding PPlases play important physiological and potentially pathological roles in Drosophila. Elucidation of these roles, definition of the underlying molecular mechanisms, and identification of specific inhibitors will likely improve in the coming years and lead to the therapeutic targeting of individual PPlases that are specific to Drosophila or insects. Thus, the FKBPs that are unique to Drosophila or insects are the best target among this group of immunophilins.

Drosophila FKBP39 is a known nucleolar protein that interacts with both nucleosomes and the small subunit processome [77]. It has been shown that FKBP39 copurifies with several kinetochore proteins [78] and associates with microtubules [79]. Several avenues have been investigated in a quest to identify an enzymatic activity. The most promising is the finding that the FKBP39 nucleolarasmin (NPL) domain binds divalent metal cations [77]. Insect growth and development are controlled by 20-hydroxyecdysone and juvenile hormone 1, the two main lipophilic hormones [80]. Interestingly, a recent report has suggested that two proteins, the 39-kDa FK506-binding protein (FKBP39) and the 21-kDa calponin-like protein (Chd64), are major components of a dynamic, multiprotein complex that crosslinks these two hormonal signaling pathways. This putative complex can bind to the juvenile hormone response element [81]. Intriguingly, the molecular basis of the interactions between FKBP39, Chd64 and the other components of the multiprotein complex is not understood. Recently, Kozlowska and colleagues [82] showed that the structure of Chd64 possesses a dual nature, consisting of a globular domain flanked by intrinsically disordered tails. Current studies indicate an unusual quaternary organization of FKBP39 that distinguishes this protein from other family members. The extreme plasticity and flexibility of the FKBP39 molecule, which may exist in some conditions in an alternative quaternary structure, may lay a foundation for this multifunctionality. Currently, the main function of FKBP39 is unknown. One very important feature of Drosophila FKBP39 is its central highly charged region, which is formed by acidic and basic stretches located between the NPL and FK-506 binding protein domains that lack stable structures [80].

Since transposons have a meaningful effect on genomic instability, the loss of control over mobile elements in any individual can threaten reproductive achievement. Therefore the piRNA pathway in Drosophila is essential in early oogenesis, suppressing the activity of TEs and safeguarding genome integrity during GSC differentiation and oocyte development [15, 38, 44]. Mutations in Shu disrupt germ cell division and ultimately cause the germline stem cells to fail entirely. Clearly, understanding the function of Shutdown in both primary biogenesis and the ping-pong cycle will require further studies to envisage its possibility as a drug target. The Drosophila RyR shares only 45% sequence homology with the mammalian isoforms of RyRs. There are regions of divergence between the mammalian and insect isoforms of RyRs, which could serve as potential targets for the potent insecticides that interact specifically with the insect but not the mammalian isoforms of RyR [83]. Similarly, the divergence that exists between insectoid and mammalian FKBPs could be a potential target for insecticides (Fig. 2a and b).

The observation that mutations in the Drosophila FKBP59 exacerbate Aβ toxicity suggests a significant role in Aβ turnover [17, 64, 66]. Also, since FKBP59 is implicated in light-induced conductance change in photoreceptor cells, it remains a potential target for therapeutics. Furthermore, the unique FKBPs in Drosophila and insects in general could also be explored for similar therapeutic purposes. Taken together, Drosophila continues to serve as a useful model for drug targeting.
and life enhancement research for both medical and agricultural purposes.

**Conclusion**
The immunophilins, such as FKBP5s, are highly conserved ubiquitous proteins and are engaged in a number of biochemical processes involving physiological activity and pathogenesis in both vertebrates and invertebrates. The fact that FKBP proteins are expressed ubiquitously but relatively in high levels of expression in the nervous system may implicate its involvement in endocrine and neurological function in human and *Drosophila*. FKBP52 (FKBP59) plays a crucial role in receptor translocation to the nucleus, and possibly regulate the receptors in the nucleus associated with Hsp90 and TRP/TRPL, thus regulating Tau stability, aggregation, and clearance in Alzheimer syndrome related processes.

Many other functions have been discovered in animals. In *Drosophila* eyes, FKBP59 associated with the Ca²⁺-permeable TRP and TRPL channels activation by photo-stimulation of rhodopsin functions for light-induced conductance change in *Drosophila* photoreceptor cells. In mammals, it has been suggested that FKBP12 and FKBP12.6 are the most important regulators of RyR-related EC coupling in bones, cardiac muscle, and the brain. Moreover, FKBP51 and FKBP52 (dFKBP59), PP5, and CyP40 coupling with Hsp90 within steroid receptor-Hsp90 hetero-complexes are involved in embryonic development. FKBP5s are also connected to cancer. Specifically, FKBP51 is associated with the mTOR pathway to enhance the activation of NF-kB and increase drug-induced apoptosis in leukemia. FKBP51 also plays a critical role in tumorigenesis by regulating steroid receptor maturation, the Akt, and NF-kB signaling pathways. Furthermore, FKBP5s may play a role in neurodegeneration disease. Their intrinsic PPIase activity may provide a unique set of potential drug targets that can structurally modify and regulate phosphorylation of the Pro-rich proteins like Tau. Additionally, FKBP5s are involved in a number of signal pathways, with FKBP14 and Shu implicated in Notch and piRNA pathways respectively.

Since most FKBP5s have been involved in a variety of diseases and many served as therapeutic targets for these diseases, it is intriguing to explore the possibility of utilizing these characteristics in *Drosophila* and other organisms, in order to develop a disease as well insect-specific as drug for therapeutic purposes. The extensive research over the past decades witnessed a rapid expansion of our knowledge on the mechanism of the FKBP machinery. A combination of experimental approaches enabled a detailed understanding of the functional roles of the FKBP5s. Thus, the comprehensive study of the FKBP machinery will not only contribute to the understanding of cellular protein folding mechanisms but also to the treatment of diseases and life enhancement.
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