Synthesis of New 2-(2´-Hydroxyaryl)benzotriazoles and Evaluation of Their Photochemical Behavior as Potential UV-Filters
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Abstract: Two new 2-(2´-hydroxyaryl)benzotriazole derivatives were synthesized and studied by photophysical and photochemical techniques in order to assess their ability to act as UV-filters. The absorption and emission properties of both compounds were determined in solvents of different polarity. In non polar solvent, a photoinduced excited state intramolecular proton transfer was established leading to efficient non radiative dissipation of UV-energy. In addition, the compounds considered were photostable under irradiation with simulated sunlight.
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1. Introduction

A number of molecules with intramolecular H-bonds are commercially employed as UV-stabilizers, due to their strong UV-absorption bands, short excited state lifetimes, and high photostability. An essential property of UV absorbers is that they possess a mechanism for rapid dissipation of the absorbed radiation via an appropriate intramolecular rearrangement. Among the best known chromophores of this type are 2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl)benzotriazole derivatives, and especially 2-(2'-hydroxy-5'-methylphenyl)benzotriazole (TIN, trade name Tinuvin P) [1], widely used as UV-stabilizer, or its silylated analog (trade name Mexoryl XL) used as a UV filter [2,3]. The photostability of these compounds has been attributed to photoinduced excited state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT) leading to an efficient (more than 99%) and rapid non-radiative dissipation of the harmful UV-energy.

From a mechanistic point of view, the relaxation mechanism involves ESIPT from the $S_1$ state occurring in the femtosecond timescale [4,5], then radiative decay of the excited keto tautomer (fluorescence emission with a large Stokes shift) is followed by back proton transfer, causing a return to the ground state phenol (or enol) form (Scheme 1).

**Scheme 1.** Non-radiative dissipation of UV-energy by photoinduced excited state intramolecular proton transfer.

In this context, the existence of an intramolecular hydrogen bond is of fundamental importance for photostability [6]. In the case of TIN, blue fluorescence of the non proton transferred form $S_1$ ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$ ca. 390 nm) and phosphorescence at low temperature have been observed in polar solvents [7-9], where the intramolecular hydrogen bond is broken in favor of the intermolecular interactions with the solvent, or when the molecule is distorted or held a non planar conformation [10,11]. In addition, a short-lived triplet state has been detected for TIN in DMSO by laser flash photolysis experiments performed at room temperature [12]. By contrast, in non polar solvents blue emission is not observed due to the strong intramolecular hydrogen bond, which favors formation of the $S_1^*$ excited state whose long wavelength emission is detected at low temperature [7,13]. With this background, the aim of the present study is to synthesize two derivatives of 2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl)benzotriazole ((Figure 1) and to study their photophysical and photochemical properties in order to evaluate their ability to act as new UV-filters.
**Figure 1.** Compounds prepared and investigated.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthetic study

Compound 1 was synthesized by a stepwise synthetic protocol shown in Scheme 2. Namely, compound 3 [14] was heated with methallyl chloride in the presence of K$_2$CO$_3$ and KI to afford ether 4. Thermal rearrangement of the latter in $N,N$-diethylaniline afforded intermediate 5, which was then silylated using heptamethyltrisiloxane and Karstedt catalyst [15,16] to give the wanted product 1 in good yield.

**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of 1.

Reagents and Conditions: (a) K$_2$CO$_3$, methallyl chloride; (b) 160 °C, 6 h; (c) HSiCH$_3$[OSi(CH$_3$)$_3$]$_2$, Karstedt catalyst.
Compound 2 was prepared according to Scheme 3. Commercially available o-nitroaniline was treated with a mixture of NaNO$_2$ and HCl, and the formed diazo compound 7 was coupled with dibenzyloxyphenol (8) [17]. This reaction gave a 3:1 mixture of isomers 9 and 10. Without separation the mixture was treated with formamidinesulfinic acid and the formed benzotriazoles 11 and 12 were separated by fractional crystallization and column chromatography. Compound 11 was subsequently reacted with NaH and chloropropyl-triethoxy-silane to yield compound 13, from which the benzyl protective groups were removed by heating with Pd/C and cyclohexene. Product 2 was purified by column chromatography.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of 2.

Reagents: (a) NaNO$_2$, HCl; (b) formamidinesulfinic acid; (c) NaH, chloropropyl-triethoxy-silane; (d) Pd/C, cyclohexene.

2.2. Absorption spectra

The absorption spectra of compound 2 in ethanol showed two maxima, $\lambda_1$ at ca. 285 nm and $\lambda_2$ at ca. 350 nm. As shown in Figure 1, their relative intensity was dependent on the solvent. For example, in hexane, diethyl ether or acetonitrile, only the UVA absorption band was observed, while in DMSO or DMF the band at 285 nm was predominating. These results are consistent with the data reported for the chelated and non chelated forms of TIN and other 2’-hydroxybenzotriazole derivatives [7,9]. Indeed, in solvents with low hydrogen bonding capability, the intramolecular hydrogen bond is intact and only absorption of the chelated form is observed; by contrast, in solvents where the intramolecular hydrogen bond is partially disrupted, absorption of the two forms is clearly visible.
Figure 2. Absorption spectra of 2 in different solvents (concentration ca. $10^{-5}$ M).

![Absorption spectra of 2 in different solvents](image1)

In the case of compound 1, two absorption maxima, $\lambda_1$ at ca. 300 nm and $\lambda_2$ at ca. 350 nm were also observed; however, by contrast with compound 2 the solvent-dependent spectral changes were not clearcut (Figure 3). This is probably attributable to overlapping of the absorption bands of the non chelated form and the hydroxybiphenyl chromophore.

Figure 3. Absorption spectra of 1 in different solvents (concentration ca. $3 \times 10^{-5}$ M).

![Absorption spectra of 1 in different solvents](image2)

2.3. Fluorescence emission

For compound 2, no emission was observed at room temperature in hexane (data not shown) after excitation at 350 nm. This is in agreement with previous results reporting only a weak red fluorescence at low temperature for TIN and other 2′-hydroxybenzotriazole derivatives [7]. Conversely, in polar solvents after excitation at 280 nm, a blue fluorescence appeared at 410 nm (Figures 4a and 4b). The corresponding excitation spectra nearly matched the short wavelength absorption band. Thus, taking into account the literature data and the Stokes shift (9,800 and 10,400 cm$^{-1}$ in ethanol and DMSO, respectively), this emission was attributed to the non chelated form of compound 2.
Figure 4. Fluorescence emission ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 280$ nm) spectra of 2 in DMSO (a) and in ethanol (b). Inset: corresponding excitation spectra.

Figure 5. Fluorescence emission spectra of 1 in hexane at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 300$ nm (a) and in DMSO $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 280$ nm (b) and 340 nm (c). Inset: corresponding excitation spectra.

In the case of the biphenyl derivative 1, an emission band centred at 390 nm was detected in hexane after excitation at 300 nm; accordingly, the corresponding excitation spectrum exhibited a maximum at ca. 300 nm (Figure 5a). By contrast, no signal was observed after excitation at 350 nm. In ethanol, no significant fluorescence was detected after excitation at either of the two wavelengths. In the case of DMSO, a band centred at 410 nm was observed after excitation at 280 nm (Figure 5b), after excitation...
at 340 nm this emission was still observed and, remarkably, a new emission band at 590 nm appeared (Figure 5c). The excitation spectrum of the latter agreed well with the red shifted fluorescence of the chelated form previously described in the literature [7].

2.4. Photostability

In order to assess the photostability of compounds 1 and 2, irradiations of $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ M solutions were performed in different solvents and their degradation was followed by UV-Vis spectroscopy. After 2 hours of irradiation, the UVA-band was decreased by less than 5% (Figure 6). Thus, these results are similar to those previously reported for TIN and reflect the conservation of the UVA absorption capability of both compounds, an important property of UV-filters.

**Figure 6.** UVA-absorption changes after simulated solar irradiation (up to 2 h) of compound 2 in hexane (a) and ethanol (b). Same experiments with compound 1 in hexane (c) and ethanol (d).

3. Experimental

3.1. General

Solvents for synthesis were used as received from commercial suppliers, and no further attempts were made to purify or dry them. Melting points were determined on a Büchi apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on Bruker Alpha FT Spectrophotometer. $^1$H-NMR and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer operating at 500 MHz and 125 MHz, respectively. All NMR spectra are reported in ppm relative to TMS. MS spectra were conducted on
Agilent 6140 quadrupole LC/MS instrument. Merck precoated silica gel 60 F254 plates were used for TLC and Kieselgel 60 for column chromatography.

3.2. Synthesis

2-{4-[(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yl)oxy]-3-biphenyl-2H-1,2,3-benzotriazole (4). Under an argon atmosphere, a stirred mixture of 3 (5.0 g, 17 mmol), methallyl chloride (2.7 g, 30 mmol), KI (5.8 g, 35 mmol), and K2CO3 (2.4 g, 17 mmol) in butan-2-one (100 mL) was refluxed for 12 h. After cooling the precipitate was removed by filtration, the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting solid was subjected to column chromatography to give a white-yellow solid. Yield: 65% (3.77 g). m.p. 94-96 ºC. Rf = 0.6 (hexane-acetone 5:2). IR (KBr): 1620, 1540, 1500, 1360, 1300, 1280, 1260 cm−1. 1H-NMR (CDCl3): 1.71 (s, 3H, CH3), 4.55 (s, 2H, C1”-H), 4.90 (s, 1H, C3”-H), 5.00 (s, 1H, C6”-H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, C5’-H), 7.33 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, C10’-H), 7.43 (m, 2H, C6’-H and C11’-H), 7.45 (m, 2H, C5-H and C6-H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, C8-H and C12-H), 7.70 (dd, J = 8.7 and 2.3 Hz, 1H, C6”-H), 7.94 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, C2”-H), 7.98 (m, 2H, C4-H and C7-H). 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 19.17 (CH3), 72.78 (C-1”), 112.90 (C-3”), 114.72 (C-5’), 118.44 (C-4 and C-7’), 126.12 (C-2’), 126.83 (C-5, C-6, C-8’, and C-12’), 127.37 (C-10’), 128.87 (C-9’ and C-11’), 129.28 (C-6’), 130.91 (C-3’), 134.31 (C-1’), 139.33 (C-7”), 139.87 (C-2”’), 144.85 (C-3a and C-7a), 152.00 (C-4’). Anal. Calcd for C22H19N3O: C, 77.40; H, 5.61; N, 12.31. Found: C, 77.14; H, 5.72; N, 12.07.

3-(2H-1,2,3-Benzotriazol-2-yl)-5-(2-methyl-2-propen-1-yl)-4-biphenylol (5). A solution of ether 4 (10.2 g, 30 mmol) in N,N-diethylaniline (50 mL) was heated at reflux for 4 h. After cooling, HCl (15%, 50 mL) was added and the resulting solution was extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was recrystallized from hexane. Yield: 87% (8.9 g, light yellow crystals). m.p. 115-117 ºC. Rf = 0.8 (hexane-acetone 5:2). IR (KBr): 3400, 3100, 1620, 1500, 1480, 1450, 1360, 1280 cm−1. 1H-NMR (CDCl3): 1.84 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.58 (s, 2H, C1’-H), 4.80 (s, 1H, C3’-H), 4.89 (s, 1H, C3”-H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, C10-H), 7.46 (m, 3H, C6-H, C9-H, and C11-H), 7.49 (m, 2H, C5-H and C6-H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, C8-H and C12-H), 7.94 (m, 2H, C4-H and C7-H), 8.56 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, C2-H), 11.67 (s, 1H, OH). 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 22.57 (CH3), 38.07 (C-2”’), 111.92 (C-3”), 117.67 (C-4’ and C-7’), 117.75 (C-2), 125.39 (C-3), 126.83 (C-8 and C-12), 127.14 (C-10), 127.74 (C-5’ and C-6’), 128.81 (C-9 and C-11), 129.91 (C-6), 130.10 (C-5), 132.67 (C-1), 139.96 (C-7), 142.82 (C-3a’ and C-7a’), 144.25 (C-2”), 147.52 (C-4). Anal. Calcd for C22H19N3O: C, 77.40; H, 5.61; N, 12.31. Found: C, 77.17; H, 5.82; N, 12.17.

3-(2H-1,2,3-Benzotriazol-2-yl)-5-(2-methyl-3-{1,3,3,3-tetramethyl-1-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]disiloxanyl}-propyl)-4-biphenylol (1). To a stirred solution of 5 (2.0 g, 6 mmol) in dry xylene (40 mL) was added 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptamethyltrisiloxane (2.5 mL, 2.2 g, 9 mmol) and Karlstedt catalyst (15 drops) and the resulting mixture was heated at 100 ºC for 5 h. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography to give compound 1. Yield: 72% (2.4 g), yellow oil. Rf = 0.63 (hexane-acetone 5:02). IR (KBr): 3250 (OH), 1625, 1500, 1250, 1090, 1060 cm−1. 1H-NMR (CDCl3): 0.10 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.11 (s, 9H, 3CH3), 0.12 (s, 9H, CH3), 0.56 (dd, J = 14.8 and 9.2 Hz, 1H, C3’-H), 0.78 (dd, J = 14.8 and 4.7 Hz, 1H, C3”-H), 1.05 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, CH3),
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2.23 (m, 1H, C2''-H), 2.73 (dd, J = 13.2 and 8.2 Hz, 1H, C1''-H), 2.89 (dd, J = 13.2 and 6.2 Hz, 1H, C1''-H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, C10-H), 7.49 (m, 3H, C6-H, C9-H, and C11-H), 7.52 (m, 2H, C5'-H and C6'-H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, C8-H and C12-H), 7.98 (m, 2H, C4'-H and C7'-H), 8.57 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, C2-H), 11.60 (s, 1H, OH). 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 0.85 (CH3), 1.87 (6CH3), 22.48 (CH3), 26.18 (C-3''), 29.31 (C-2''), 41.27 (C-1''), 117.39 (C-2), 117.66 (C-4' and C-7'), 125.34 (C-3), 126.83 (C-8 and C-12), 127.03 (C-10), 127.64 (C-5' and C-6'), 128.77 (C-9 and C-11), 130.56 (C-6), 132.14 (C-5), 132.34 (C-1), 140.14 (C-7), 142.81 (C-3a' and C-7a'), 147.66 (C-4). MS (m/z): 563 (M +, 5%), 474 [M+- (CH3)3SiO, 46], 101 (100).

Anal. Calcd for C29H41N3O3Si3: C, 61.77; H, 7.33; N, 7.45. Found: C, 61.70; H, 7.52; N, 7.67.

4-(2H-1,2,3-Benzotriazol-2-yl)-3,5-bis(benzyloxy)phenol (11). To a cold (0–5 ºC) suspension of 2-nitrobenzeneamine (6, 27.62 g, 0.2 mol) in concentrated HCl 100 mL), crashed ice (100g) and water (100 mL), was added dropwise 5N solution of NaNO2 (41 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 5 ºC for 1 h and then filtered. This solution of 7 was then added dropwise to a stirred solution of 3,5-bis(benzyloxy)phenol (8, 61.3 g, 0.2 mol) in methanol (600 mL). Stirring was continued at room temperature for 2 h and the precipitated red crystalline compound was collected by filtration to give a 2:1 mixture of isomeric azo compounds 9 and 10. Yield: 88% (80.77 g). m.p. 171-180 ºC. Rf = 0.52 and 0.88 (toluene-MeOH 9:1). To a boiling suspension of the above mixture of azo-compounds (45.5 g, 0.1 mol) in a mixture of EtOH (250 mL) and 4N NaOH (250 mL) was added formamidinesulfonic acid (23.65 g, 0.22 mol) during 0.5 h. The resultant mixture was heated with stirring for 1 h, cooled to room temperature, and then poured into a mixture of ice and water (700 g). The resulting mixture was acidified with concentrated HCl (80 mL) and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was successively washed with water and brine, and then dried (MgSO4). Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo afforded a red oil (42 g), which was then treated with a mixture of hexane and EtOAc (60 mL, each). The precipitated crystalline compounds were collected by filtration and washed with hexane-acetone (1:1) to give compound 11. Yield: 28% (12.64 g). m.p. 147-152 ºC. Rf = 0.38 (toluene-MeOH 9:1) and 0.26 (hexane-EtOAc 2:1). 1H-NMR (CDCl3): 4.73 (s, 4H, 2OCH2), 6.11 (s, 2H, C2-H and C6-H), 6.98 (m, 4H, 2C2''-H and 2C6''-H), 7.07 (m, 2H, 2C4''-H), 7.10 (m, 4H, 2C3''-H and 2C5''-H), 7.43 (dd, J = 6.7 and 3 Hz, 2H, C5-H and C6-H), 7.95 (dd, J = 6.7 and 3 Hz, 1H, C4-H and C7-H), 8.62 (s, 1H, OH). 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 70.31 (OCH2), 94.55 (C-2), 113.03 (C-2', 118.23 (C-4' and C-7'), 126.61 (C-2'' and C-6''), 126.88 (C-5'' and C-6''), 127.65 (C-4''), 128.27 (C-3'' and C-5''), 136.01 (C-1''), 144.37 (C-3'a' and C-7'a'), 156.00 (C-3 and C-5), 159.99 (C-1). Anal. Calcd for C26H21N3O3: C, 73.74; H, 5.00; N, 9.92. Found: C, 73.47; H, 5.22; N, 9.67.

The isomer – 2-(2H-1,2,3-benzotriazol-2-yl)-3,5-bis(benzyloxy)phenol (12) – was ISOLATED by column chromatography From the mother liquor. Yield: 12% (5.4 g). m.p. 71-78 ºC. Rf = 0.73 (toluene-MeOH 9:1) and 0.48 (hexane-EtOAc 2:1). 1H-NMR (CDCl3): 5.04 (s, 2H, OCH2), 5.16 (s, 2H, OCH2), 6.38 (d, J= 2.4 Hz, 1H, C4-H), 6.41 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, C6-H), 7.25 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1H, C4-H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, C3-H and C5-H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, C7-H), 7.39 (m, 4H, C2-H, C6-H, C3-H and C5-H), 7.41 (m, 2H, C2''-H and C6''-H), 7.45 (dd, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, C5-H and C6-H), 7.94 (dd, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, C4-H and C7-H), 9.36 (br. s, 1H, OH). 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 70.33 (OCH2), 71.28 (OCH2), 95.21 (C-4), 95.81 (C-6), 112.50 (C-2), 117.87 (C-4' and C-7'), 126.86 (C-2'' and C-
6"), 127.23 (C-5' and C-6''), 127.62 (C-2'' and C-6'''), 127.77 (C-4"'), 128.24 (C-4''), 128.41 (C-3'' and C-5''), 128.68 (C-3''' and C-5'''), 136.18 (C-1'''), 136.30 (C-1'), 143.64 (C-3a' and C-7a'), 152.80 (C-1), 153.67 (C-3), 160.62 (C-5). Anal. Calcd for C_{26}H_{21}N_{3}O_{3}: C, 73.74; H, 5.00; N, 9.92. Found: C, 73.49; H, 5.27; N, 9.72.

2-\{2,6-bis(Benzyloxy)-4-\{3-(triethoxysilyl)propoxy\}phenyl\}-2H-1,2,3-benzotriazole (13). To a stirred suspension of NaH (1.8 g, 60% in mineral oil, 44 mmol) in dry DMF (80 mL) was added dropwise a solution of compound 11 (16.9 g, 40 mmol) in dry DMF (140 mL). After stirring for 45 min at room temperature chloropropyl-triethoxy-silane (9.87 g, 40 mmol) and KI (6.43 g, 40 mmol) were added, and the resultant mixture was heated at 80 °C for 8 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into water (200 mL), extracted with ether (3 × 150 mL), the organic layer was washed with brine, and then dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo afforded a reddish oil, which was purified by column chromatography (hexane-EtOAc 5:1 as eluent). Yield: 37% (9.13 g), semi solid. \(R_f = 0.7\) (hexane-EtOAc 2:1). \(^1^H\)-NMR (CDCl₃): 0.79 (m, 2H, CH₂-Si), 1.27 (t, \(J = 7\) Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.88 (q, \(J = 7\) Hz, 6H, 3 OCH₂), 5.07 (s, 4H, 2OCH₂), 6.28 (s, 2H, C₃-H and C₅-H), 7.17 (d, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 4H, C₃'-H and C₅'-H), 7.23 (m, 6H, C₃--H, C₅--H, and C₆--H), 7.44 (dd, \(J = 6.6\) and 3 Hz, 2H, C₅-H and C₆-H), 8.1 (dd, \(J = 6.6\) and 3 Hz, 2H, C₃-H and C₇-H). \(^{13}\)C-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.47 (C-Si), 18.30 (CH₃), 22.62 (C-2''), 58.43 (C-O), 70.16 (C-O), 70.57 (C-O), 93.38 (C-3' and C-5''), 114.53 (C-1''), 118.47 (C-4 and C-7), 126.29 (C-5 and C-6), 126.52 (C-2'' and C-6''), 127.62 (C-4''), 136.19 (C-1''), 144.74 (C-3a and C-7a), 156.06 (C-2' and C-6''), 161.65 (C-4'). Anal. Calcd for C₃₅H₄₁N₃O₆Si: C, 66.96; H, 6.58; N, 6.69. Found: C, 67.09; H, 6.29; N, 6.47.

2-(2H-1,2,3-Benzotriazol-2-yl)-5-\{3-(triethoxysilyl)propoxy\}-1,3-benzenediol (2). A stirred mixture of compound 13 (2 g, 3.2 mmol), palladium catalyst (1 g, 10% Pd on charcoal), and cyclohexene (64 mL, 52 g, 0.6 mol) in EtOH (80 mL) was heated at reflux for 1.5 h. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography. Yield: 76% (1.08 g, light yellow crystals). m.p. 69-74 °C. \(R_f = 0.27\) (CH₂Cl₂). \(^1^H\)-NMR (CDCl₃): 0.80 (m, 2H, CH₂-Si), 1.27 (t, \(J = 7\) Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.95 (m, CH₂), 3.88 (q, \(J = 7\) Hz, 6H, 3 OCH₂), 4.00 (t, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H, CH₂), 6.29 (s, 2H, C₃-H and C₅-H), 7.93 (m, 2H, C₄-H and C₇-H), 11.56 (s, 2H, OH). \(^{13}\)C-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.45 (C-Si), 18.29 (CH₃), 22.64 (C-2''), 58.43 (C-O), 70.16 (C-O), 70.57 (C-O), 93.38 (C-3' and C-5''), 114.53 (C-1''), 118.47 (C-4 and C-7), 126.29 (C-5 and C-6), 126.52 (C-2'' and C-6''), 127.62 (C-4''), 136.19 (C-1''), 144.74 (C-3a and C-7a), 156.06 (C-2' and C-6''), 161.65 (C-4''). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₉N₂O₆Si: C, 56.36; H, 6.53; N, 9.39. Found: C, 56.59; H, 6.28; N, 9.49.

3.3. Spectroscopic studies

General

The solvents used were of spectroscopic grade and provided by Sigma and Merck. Absorption spectra were recorded on a double beam Varian UV-VIS Cary 300 Scan spectrometer, using 10 mm pathway quartz cuvettes. The steady-state fluorescence experiments were carried out on a Photon Technology International (PTI) LPS-220B spectrofluorometer. Emission and excitation spectra were
recorded using 10 × 10 mm² quartz cells with 4 mL capacity, using air-equilibrated solutions. The absorbance was adjusted at the excitation wavelength and kept in the range 0.10–0.15. All the experiments were carried out at room temperature.

3.4. Steady state photolysis

Photolysis of 2.7 × 10⁻⁴ M of compounds 1 and 2 were performed to evaluate their photostability in DMSO, EtOH and hexane. Broadband irradiations of the solutions were carried out using an ABET Technology solar simulator with a 200 W Xe arc. Its output was adequately filtered to produce a spectrum approximating natural sunlight (1.5 G air mass filter). The spectral output was measured as ca 1000 W/m². The photostability was evaluated by UV-Vis spectroscopy, recording the changes of the UVA-band.

4. Conclusions

In this work, two new 2-(2´-hydroxyaryl)benzotriazoles were considered as potential UV-filters. The typical spectroscopic properties of 2´-hydroxybenzotriazole derivatives were obtained for compound 1, which undergoes a photoinduced excited state intramolecular proton transfer in hexane; conversely the use of more polar solvents like ethanol or DMSO results in the disruption of the intramolecular bond. By contrast, no solvent effect was observed for compound 2. Moreover, steady state photolysis showed that both compounds exhibit a potential applicability as UV filters due to their UVA-absorption capability and to their photostability.
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