A Surface Protein From Lactobacillus plantarum Increases the Adhesion of Lactobacillus Strains to Human Epithelial Cells
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Adhesion to epithelial cells is considered important for Lactobacillus to exert probiotic effects. In this study, we found that trypsin treatment decreased the adhesion ability of Lactobacillus plantarum AR326 and AR269, which exhibit good adhesion ability, and surface proteins extracts increased the adhesion of the strains with poor adhesion ability. By SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry analysis, the main component of the surface proteins was detected and identified as a protein of approximately 37 kDa. It was 100% homologous with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) from L. plantarum WCFS1. The adhesion of AR326 and AR269 was decreased significantly by blocking with the anti-GAPDH antibody, and GAPDH restored the adhesion of AR326 and AR269 treated with trypsin. In addition, purified GAPDH significantly increased the adhesion of the strains with poor adhesion ability. These results indicated that GAPDH mediates the adhesion of these highly adhesive lactobacilli to epithelial cells and can be used to improve the adhesion ability of probiotics or other bacteria of interest.
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INTRODUCTION

Lactobacillus is the most common probiotics which can confer beneficial effects on their hosts when consumed in adequate amounts. These beneficial benefits may include preventing diarrhea (Staudacher, 2015; Sinclair et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018), modulating the intestinal community (Marteau et al., 2002; Xie et al., 2016), improving lactose tolerance (Mustapha et al., 1997; Staudacher, 2015; Oak and Jha, 2018), reducing the serum cholesterol level (Choi et al., 2015; Kobyliak et al., 2016), suppressing pathogens (Kim et al., 2014; Tuo et al., 2018), and boosting the immune system (Dimitrijevic et al., 2014; Kemgang et al., 2014). To maximize these functions, Lactobacillus strains must be able to adhere to and colonize the gastro-intestinal tract (Ljungh and Wadstrom, 2006; Tuo et al., 2018). Therefore, one important criterion in selecting probiotic bacteria is their ability to adhere to epithelial cells of the intestinal tract.

Adhesion is a specific interaction between the surface components of lactobacilli and intestinal surfaces. The adhesion abilities of lactobacilli have been linked with various different
surface components including (lipo) teichoic acids, polysaccharides, and proteins (Deepika and Charalampopoulos, 2010; Yadav et al., 2017). Surface proteins have been reported to play an important role in the adherence of lactobacilli to the host. After the removal of surface proteins from lactobacilli, such as by trypsin treatment, the adhesive ability of lactobacilli decreases (Deepika and Charalampopoulos, 2010; Glenting et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Many diverse groups of these surface proteins have been identified. Proteins containing a C-terminal sortase recognition motif (LPXTG) from different Lactobacillus strains have been identified and shown to bind to mucus and epithelial cells (Pretzer et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2014). Other adhesins, including the collagen-binding protein CnBP, mucin-binding protein MapA, and the fibronectin-binding proteins FbpA, have been shown to adhere to the extracellular matrix proteins such as collagen, laminin, and fibronectin (Buck et al., 2005; Miyoshi et al., 2006).

Recently, many unforeseen proteins on the outer cell surface of different Lactobacillus strains have been identified. These proteins are mainly conserved cytoplasmic proteins, such as elongation factor Tu, the chaperonin protein complex GroEL, and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Dhanani and Bagchi, 2013; Glenting et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2016). The most identified proteins can bind to the extracellular matrix components, such as collagen, laminin, and fibronectin. It is generally thought that by binding to these host components, these proteins help lactobacilli adhere to host cells (Deepika and Charalampopoulos, 2010; Dhanani and Bagchi, 2013; Wang et al., 2014). Because the canonical functions of these proteins inside the cell are in essential cellular processes, such as glycolysis, protein synthesis, and chaperones, the creation of a knockout mutant is impossible. Thus, few studies have provided direct experimental evidence for the role of these cell surface proteins in the adhesion of Lactobacillus to the host cells. In addition, although there have been many studies of the effect of the surface proteins on the adhesion of Lactobacillus to the originating strains (von Ossowski et al., 2011; Yadav et al., 2017), few studies have been conducted on their effect on other strains or on how to improve adhesion, especially of strains with low adhesion ability (Avall-Jaaskelainen et al., 2003; Zhang B. et al., 2015). In this study, we investigated the key surface protein mediating the adhesion of the strains with high adhesive ability to intestinal epithelial HT-29 cells and explored the effect of this surface protein on the adhesion ability of other strains with and without further treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Detection of Lactobacilli Surface Hydrophobicity and Auto-Aggregation

Cell surface hydrophobicity was determined as the affinity to hydrocarbons, using the xylene extraction method following Collado et al. (2008). Auto-aggregation assays were carried out as described previously (Collado et al., 2008).

Lactobacillus Adhesion Experiment on HT-29 Cells

The concentration of the Lactobacillus suspension was adjusted to 10⁸ cfu/mL. When needed, the Lactobacillus was firstly treated with LiCl, or trypsin, then determined the adhesion as following. HT-29 cells were cultured on 12-well plates. When they covered 80–90% of the plates, they were rinsed twice with PBS and 1 mL of Lactobacillus suspension and 1 mL of 1640 culture medium was added to each well. The plates were placed in a cell incubator at 37°C for 2 h, then rinsing with PBS three times, methanol fixation (1 mL) for 20 min, PBS rinsing twice, gram staining, and microscopic observation. Twenty views were randomly chosen for imaging. The number of Lactobacilli adhering to HT-29 cells was calculated on the basis of 100 cells. The experiment was repeated in triplicate.

Treatment of Lactobacillus Strains With Lithium Chloride and Trypsin

To isolate surface proteins, Lactobacillus strains were cultivated anaerobically in MRS broth (Difco) at 37°C for 18 h (at logarithmic growth phase). Total surface protein extracts using 5 M LiCl (BBI, China) were prepared following the method of Lortal et al. (1992). Acquired surface proteins were dialyzed for 36 h at 4°C against distilled water using 2 kDa cut-off membranes (Shanghai Yuanye Bio. Co., Shanghai, China), then used for SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) analysis and added to the different strains for later testing. The pellets of the lactobacilli treated with LiCl were adjusted to 10⁸ cfu/mL with 0.01 mol/L PBS for the adhesion experiment. Protein concentrations were determined by using the Bradford assay (Olson and Markwell, 2007). The Lactobacillus strains were treated with trypsin according to Zhu et al. (2016). The pellets of the lactobacilli treated with
trypsin were collected and adjusted to 10^8 cfu/mL with 0.01 mol/L of PBS for the adhesion experiments.

**SDS–PAGE Analysis and Protein Identification**

Total surface protein extracts were separated by 12% Tris–HCl SDS–PAGE (Ventura et al., 2002). The gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (Bio–Rad, Hercules, CA, United States) to visualize separated proteins and bands were analyzed using the Gel Doc XR imaging system (Bio–Rad, Hercules, CA, United States). The 37 kDa band was excised using a sterile razor blade and used for mass spectrometry analysis using a MALDI-TOF-TOF instrument (4800 proteomics analyzer; Applied Bio-systems, United States) by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

**Cloning, Expression, and Purification of GAPDH**

The GAPDH gene was amplified from *L. plantarum* AR326 chromosomal DNA using primers of GapAF (5'-GGGAATTCATATGCTGTGAAATTGGATTTAATG-3', Ndel site underlined) and GapAR (5'-TACCCCTCGAGATGCGAACTTCAAT-3', XhoI site underlined). The amplified PCR product was digested with the endonucleases Ndel and XhoI and ligated into a pET-28a expression vector (Novagen) previously digested with the same restriction enzymes, resulting in pET24a-GAPDH. For inducible expression, pET24a-GAPDH was transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3). After inducing the log-phase cells cultured at 37°C with 0.5 mM of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, BBI, China) for 2 h, the pellets were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in ice-cold PBS. The purified protein was obtained using a His-Tagged Protein Purification Kit (CW0894, CWBIO, China). The purity of the sample was verified with SDS–PAGE. Protein concentration was determined by using the Bradford assay (Olson and Markwell, 2007).

The purified GAPDH protein was sent to AbMax Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China) for the generation of a rabbit polyclonal antibody.

**Assay of Adhesion Ability of GAPDH and Anti-GAPDH Antibody Treated Lactobacillus Strains to HT-29 Cells**

The *Lactobacillus* pellets (if need, they were treated with trypsin) were washed three times with PBS and adjusted to 10^8 cfu/mL. They were then incubated with the purified GAPDH or anti-GAPDH antibody for 30 min at room temperature. The treated lactobacilli were harvested and washed with PBS and suspended in 1640 medium. Assays to determine the adherence of the lactobacilli to the HT-29 cells after treatment were conducted as described above for untreated lactobacilli.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) was used to perform statistical analysis. The significance for differences among data were assessed using ANOVA program with the level of significance at *P* < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Surface Proteins Extracts Increase the Adhesion of Different Strains to HT-29 Cells**

To assess the characteristics of the strains, the auto-aggregating, hydrophobicity, and adhering abilities of *L. plantarum* AR326, AR269, AR171 and *L. paracasei* AR187 were studied. Although the difference in the16S rDNA of theses strains was slight, significant differences in these properties between strains were observed (Table 1). The lowest hydrophobicity was observed for AR326 (11.70%), whereas AR326 showed the maximum auto-aggregation rate (45.53%) and best adhesion ability (411 bacterial counts/100 cells, Figure 1). AR171 exhibited the greatest hydrophobicity, but we did not detect its adhesion to HT-29 cells (Figure 1). AR187 had a similar auto-aggregation rate to AR326, but higher hydrophobicity and lower adhesion than AR326. AR269 had similar adhesion ability to AR326, but the hydrophobicity and auto-aggregation rates were quite different. Generally, these properties were strain specific. The auto-aggregation and hydrophobicity of the tested strains had no statistically significant correlations to each other and represented different probiotic effects. This corresponds with the results of previous studies (Vlková et al., 2008; Tuo et al., 2013).

As shown in Figure 1, the four strains exhibited different adhesion abilities, even with the same species. AR326 and AR269 showed good adhesion ability, whereas the adhesion abilities of the AR187 and AR171 were barely detectable. Consistent with the other studies (Del Re et al., 2000; Schillinger et al., 2005; Tuo et al., 2013), the auto-aggregating and hydrophobicity results did not strongly reflect the adhering ability well.

When AR326 and AR269 were treated with trypsin, the number of bacterial cells adhering to HT-29 cells decreased by more than 50% (Table 2). After the surface protein extracts were added, the adhesion of AR326 and AR269 treated with trypsin treatment significantly increased. Compared to addition of heterologous surface proteins, the addition of the strains’ own surface proteins had more obvious effects. For example, the adhesion ability of AR326 was 347 bacterial counts/100 cells with

| Table 1 | Surface properties and adhesion abilities of four Lactobacillus strains. |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Strain | Hydrophobicity (%) | Auto-aggregation rate (%) | Adhesion ability (bacterial counts/100 HT-29 cells) |
| *L. plantarum* AR326 | 11.70 ± 6.77 | 45.53 ± 1.18 | 411 ± 12^a |
| *L. plantarum* AR269 | 73.93 ± 7.07 | 21.01 ± 2.20 | 394 ± 21^b |
| *L. paracasei* AR187 | 87.05 ± 4.60 | 41.69 ± 2.45 | 16 ± 2^c |
| *L. plantarum* AR171 | 89.04 ± 6.08 | 22.96 ± 3.15 | _< 6 |  

*1 Data are presented as means ± standard deviations (n = 3). Superscript letters indicate significantly differences (*P* < 0.05). ^b, not detectable.
its own surface protein extracts added, but only 267 bacterial counts/100 cells with the addition of AR269 surface protein extracts. After trypsin treatment, the addition of surface protein extracts restored the lactobacilli's adhesion ability, indicating that surface proteins play an important role in bacterial adhesion to intestinal epithelial cells.

AR187 and AR171 with or without treatment had poor adhesion abilities. The adhesion abilities of AR187 and AR171 were significantly improved when surface protein extracts from AR326 or AR269 were added. The numbers of AR187 and AR171 cells adhering to HT-29 cells significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) to 150 and 104 bacterial counts/100 cells, respectively, after the addition of the surface protein extracts originating from AR326, and to 255 and 148 bacterial counts/100 cells after the addition of surface protein extracts originating from AR269. Compared with the strains that were not treated with trypsin, when AR187 and AR171 were treated with trypsin before addition of the surface protein extracts of AR326 or AR269, their adhesive abilities were significantly improved except when surface protein extracts originating from AR326 were added to AR187. The numbers of AR187 and AR171 cells adhering to HT-29 cells significantly increased to 150 and 104 bacterial counts/100 cells, respectively, after addition of the surface protein extracts originating from AR326. The numbers of AR187 and AR171 cells adhering to HT-29 cells even increased to 366 and 345 bacterial counts/100 cells, respectively, after addition of surface protein extracts originating from AR269. These results indicate that the addition of surface protein extracts can effectively increase the adhesion of strains with the poor adhesion ability. However, when heat-treated surface protein extracts were added to the AR171 and AR187 with or without trypsin treatment, their adhesion ability did not change, indicating that denatured surface proteins cannot increase the adhesion abilities of bacteria.

**Characterization of the Surface Protein Extracts Isolated From L. plantarum AR326**

*Lactobacillus plantarum* AR326 had the best adhesion ability of the tested strains and its surface protein extracts significantly increased the adhesion ability of strains with the poor adhesion ability. SDS–PAGE was used to identify the major surface protein and mass spectrometry analysis was performed to determine the protein sequence. As shown in Figure 2, an intense band of an approximately 37 kDa was observed, as well as several other less intense bands. The 37 kDa protein was cut out and analyzed by mass spectrometry analysis. The N-terminus sequence of the 37 kDa protein obtained was found to be MSVKIG and showed 100% homology with that of the GAPDH protein of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 (GenBank: YP_004888763) by BLAST search in the NCBI database.

**Preparation of the GAPDH Protein of L. plantarum AR326 and Its Antibody**

To confirm the adhesion role of the GAPDH of AR326, the GAPDH protein was expressed in an *E. coli* system and its antibody was prepared. The GAPDH gene was successfully obtained by PCR using the primers GapAF and GapAR, and


### TABLE 2 | Adhesion abilities of the Lactobacillus strains with and without trypsin treatment or added surface protein extracts.

| Strains   | Treatment conditions                        | Adhesion ability (bacterial counts/100 HT-29 cells) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| AR326     | No treatment                                | 411 ± 12<sup>a1</sup>                             |
| AR269     | No treatment                                | 394 ± 21<sup>ab</sup>                             |
| AR187     | No treatment                                | 16 ± 2<sup>i</sup>                                |
| AR171     | No treatment                                | --<sup>k</sup>                                    |
| AR326     | Trypsin                                     | 202 ± 34<sup>ef</sup>                             |
| AR269     | Trypsin                                     | 127 ± 32<sup>h</sup>                              |
| AR187     | Trypsin                                     | --<sup>k</sup>                                    |
| AR171     | Trypsin                                     | --<sup>k</sup>                                    |
| AR326     | Own surface protein extracts added after trypsin treatment | 347 ± 38<sup>c</sup>  |
| AR269     | Own surface protein extracts added after trypsin treatment | 309 ± 23<sup>cd</sup>  |
| AR269     | Surface protein extracts from AR326 added after trypsin treatment | 291 ± 20<sup>d</sup>  |
| AR326     | Surface protein extracts from AR269 added after trypsin treatment | 267 ± 33<sup>ab</sup>  |
| AR187     | Surface protein extracts from AR326 added | 150 ± 10<sup>rh</sup>                             |
| AR171     | Surface protein extracts from AR326 added | 104 ± 12<sup>h</sup>                              |
| AR187     | Surface protein extracts from AR269 added | 255 ± 30<sup>ab</sup>                             |
| AR171     | Surface protein extracts from AR269 added | 148 ± 23<sup>rh</sup>                             |
| AR187     | Surface protein extracts from AR326 added after trypsin treatment | 57 ± 4<sup>i</sup>   |
| AR171     | Surface protein extracts from AR326 added after trypsin treatment | 243 ± 33<sup>e</sup>   |
| AR187     | Surface protein extracts from AR269 added after trypsin treatment | 366 ± 13<sup>b</sup>   |
| AR171     | Surface protein extracts from AR269 added after trypsin treatment | 345 ± 26<sup>c</sup>   |

<sup>i</sup>Data are presented as means ± standard deviations (n = 3). Superscript letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). `<sup>k</sup>`, not detectable.

---

**L. plantarum** AR326 DNA as the template. The sequence of the PCR product showed 100% homology with that of the GAPDH of *L. plantarum* WCFS1. The constructed plasmid pET24a-GAPDH was transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) and the expression was induced with different IPTG concentrations (Figure 3A). At all concentrations, GAPDH was successfully expressed in solution. IPTG at 0.1 mmol/L was associated with a high level of the GAPDH protein expression. The expressed protein was subsequently purified by affinity chromatography (Figure 3B). The concentrated GAPDH was used to generate an anti-GAPDH polyclonal antibody in rabbits and used in the subsequent addition experiments. An ELISA test showed the sensitivity of the acquired antibody reached 0.5 μg/mL.

**Anti-GAPDH Antibody Inhibited Adhesion and GAPDH Increased Adhesive Ability**

The good adhesive ability of AR326 and AR269 decreased significantly with the addition of anti-GAPDH antibody obtained from AR326 GAPDH (Figure 4 and Table 3). The number of AR326 cells adhering to HT-29 cells significantly decreased (p < 0.05) to 20 bacterial counts/100 cells after blocking by an anti-GAPDH rabbit antibody, while the value was 411 bacterial counts/100 cells for the untreated strain, a decrease of approximately 20-fold. In addition, when the anti-GAPDH antibody was heat-treated before addition to the AR326 and AR269, there was no significant difference from the untreated control strain in terms of adhesive ability. When anti-GAPDH antibody and GAPDH were added simultaneously, the adhesive ability of AR326 was significantly higher than that of AR326 with anti-GAPDH antibody treatment but lower than AR326 without any treatment. The adhesive ability of AR269 when anti-GAPDH antibody and GAPDH were simultaneously added was significantly higher than with anti-GAPDH antibody treatment alone, but not significantly different from AR269 without any treatment. These results show that anti-GAPDH antibody can effectively inhibit the adhesion of the strains with higher adhesive ability, especially for AR326. When GAPDH was added to the AR326 and AR269, which had first been treated with trypsin, their adhesion was increased significantly (p < 0.05)
**Figure 3** (A) SDS–PAGE analysis of the proteins extracted from the recombinant E. coli BL21 (DE3) and purified GAPDH protein. (A) Line 1, induced with 0.01 mmol/L of IPTG; line 2, induced with 0.05 mmol/L of IPTG; line 3, induced with 0.1 mmol/L of IPTG; line 4, without inducing; M, low-molecular-mass protein standard. (B) Line 1, purified GAPDH protein was concentrated 20 times; line 2, the purified GAPDH protein was concentrated five times; line 3, the purified GAPDH protein (not concentrated); M, low-molecular-mass protein standard.

**Figure 4** Adhesion of AR269 (A) and AR326 (B) to HT-29 cells after treatment with anti-GAPDH.

**Table 3** Adhesion abilities of *Lactobacillus* strains treated with anti-GAPDH antibody.

| Strains | Treatment conditions | Adhesion ability (bacterial counts/100 HT-29 cells) |
|---------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| AR326   | No treatment         | 411 ± 12<sup>a1</sup>                               |
| AR269   | No treatment         | 394 ± 21<sup>a2</sup>                               |
| AR187   | No treatment         | 16 ± 2<sup>b</sup>                                  |
| AR171   | No treatment         | _–_<sup>b</sup>                                     |
| AR326   | Added anti-GAPDH antibody | 19.65 ± 5.14<sup>a1</sup>                           |
| AR269   | Added anti-GAPDH antibody | 225.65 ± 13.69<sup>a2</sup>                         |
| AR326   | Simultaneously added anti-GAPDH antibody and GAPDH | 153.91 ± 10.59<sup>a2</sup>                         |
| AR269   | Simultaneously added anti-GAPDH antibody and GAPDH | 393.67 ± 5.34<sup>a2</sup>                         |
| AR326   | Heat-treated anti-GAPDH antibody added | 401.23 ± 22.98<sup>a3</sup>                         |
| AR269   | Heat-treated anti-GAPDH antibody added | 375.15 ± 19.16<sup>a3</sup>                         |

<sup>1</sup>Data are presented as means ± standard deviations (n = 3). Superscript letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). _–_, not detectable.

compared with the strains treated with trypsin alone, and was close to the adhesion of untreated strains (Table 4). These results indicated that GAPDH plays an important role in bacterial adhesion.

AR171 and AR187 had poor adhesion ability. As shown in Figure 5, treating AR171 and AR187 with purified GAPDH protein resulted in increased binding to HT-29 cells, compared with the untreated strains. After addition of GAPDH, the number of AR171 and AR187 cells adhering to HT-29 cells significantly increased (p < 0.05) to 181 and 127 bacterial counts/100 cells, respectively; while the value was close to 0 for the untreated strains (Table 4). In addition, when the four strains were treated with trypsin before the addition of GAPDH, their adhesion abilities were significantly increased compared with the strains treated with trypsin alone (Table 4). For AR171, the adhesion with added GAPDH directly (181 bacterial counts/100 cells) was higher than when the bacteria were treated with trypsin before GAPDH was added (141 bacterial counts/100 cells), but there was no significant difference in the results of these two treatments for AR187. These results indicate that added GAPDH can significantly increase the adhesion of strains with poor adhesion ability.

**Discussion**

The adhesion abilities of lactobacilli have been linked to their surface properties. A number of surface components are
possibly because the added surface proteins prefer adhering to a “naked” bacterial surface. It has been reported that the bacterial cells treated with trypsin exhibited a significant decrease in hydrophobicity (Deepika et al., 2009). However, L. paracasei AR187 and L. plantarum AR171, which have similar surface hydrophobicity, had different adhesion abilities after the addition of surface proteins. Surface hydrophobicity may not be the primary determinant for added surface proteins adhering to bacteria surface. Further experiments are required to determine the surface proteins adhesion factors.

As the surface proteins were shown to play a role in bacterial adhesion, we characterized the surface proteins of L. plantarum AR326, which had high adhesion ability. The most abundant 37 kDa protein was identified as GAPDH by mass spectrometry analysis, indicating that the GAPDH protein on the bacterial surface is likely to play a role in adhesion to HT-29 cells.

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase is an important enzyme in the glycolytic pathway responsible for the conversion of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate to D-glycerate 1,3-bisphosphate in the cytoplasm. Recently, many reports have confirmed that GAPDH is also located on the surface of many bacteria, such as Lactobacillus reuteri (Zhang W.M. et al., 2015), Lactobacillus jensenii (Spurbeck and Arvidson, 2010), and L. plantarum (Saad et al., 2009). GAPDH is also recognized as a moonlighting protein. Moonlighting proteins have multiple functions not due to gene fusions, splice variants, or multiple proteolytic fragments (Wang et al., 2014). They appear to be common in bacteria and have been identified in many lactic acid bacteria (Kinoshiba et al., 2016). Many previous reports have shown that surface GAPDH can adhere to mucus components, and extracellular matrices, such as fibronectin, laminin, and collagen. A study have found that GAPDH existed on the surface of Lactobacillus casei under acidic conditions (Zhang W.M. et al., 2015). These surface components have been implicated in the adhesion role of GAPDH (Glenting et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). As GAPDH is a glycolytic enzyme, it is not impossible to study its moonlighting function and potential mechanisms on adhesion by the traditional methods of genetic manipulation, such as a knockout mutant. After the removal of GAPDH or surface protein by guanidine–HCl and LiCl treatment, the adhesive ability of L. plantarum 423 and L. reuteri ZJ617 was

TABLE 4 | Adhesion abilities of Lactobacillus strains with added GAPDH.

| Strains     | Treatment conditions         | Adhesion ability (bacterial counts/100 cells) |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| AR326       | No treatment                 | 411 ± 12<sup>ab</sup>                        |
| AR269       | No treatment                 | 394 ± 21<sup>ab</sup>                        |
| AR187       | No treatment                 | 16 ± 2<sup>bc</sup>                         |
| AR171       | No treatment                 | –                                            |
| AR171       | Added purified GAPDH         | 181.49 ± 20.5<sup>a</sup>                    |
| AR187       | Added purified GAPDH         | 127.48 ± 9.26<sup>b</sup>                    |
| AR171       | GAPDH added after treatment with trypsin | 141.91 ± 18.3<sup>c</sup> |
| AR187       | GAPDH added after treatment with trypsin | 93.27 ± 10.92<sup>cd</sup> |
| AR269       | GAPDH added after treatment with trypsin | 295.19 ± 8.75<sup>e</sup> |
| AR326       | GAPDH added after treatment with trypsin | 355.97 ± 16.06<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Data are presented as means ± standard deviations (n = 3). Superscript letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). “–”, not detectable.

FIGURE 5 | Adhesion of AR171 (A) and AR187 (B) on to HT-29 cells after treatment with GAPDH as observed by Gram staining under a light microscope (magnification 1000×).
decreased (Carasi et al., 2014; Zhang W.M. et al., 2015). In this study, the high adhesion abilities of L. plantarum AR326 and AR269 significantly decreased after blocking with anti-GAPDH antibody. Adding GAPDH restored the adhesion abilities of AR326 and AR269 treated with trypsin. These results directly demonstrate that GAPDH plays an important role in bacteria adhesion.

A main objective of identifying the adhesive proteins is to use them to improve the adhesive ability of the strains with poor adhesive ability. Overexpressing these proteins is the most common method of conferring their adhesive properties with varying degrees. For examples, Lactococcus lactis MG1363 expressing cell surface Streptococcus gordonii SspB exhibited fivefold-increased binding (Holmes et al., 1998), and CwaA from L. plantarum can increase the number of L. lactis NZ9000 adhering to HT-29 cells by about 40-fold (Zhang B. et al., 2015). However, these adhesion abilities were decided by expression host and overexpressed adhesive proteins, and their use is restricted because they are engineered strains. GAPDH, which is present in high and constant levels in cells, is often used as a protein normalizing control, making it unsuitable for genetic manipulation such as overexpression. Here, adding GAPDH significantly increased the adhesion of L. paracasei AR187 and L. plantarum AR171, which have poor adhesive ability. The addition of GAPDH was more effective in strains not treated with trypsin than in those first treated with trypsin. To our knowledge, this is first study demonstrating that directly adding GAPDH can increase the adhesion of strains with poor adhesion ability. These results suggest that GAPDH can be used as supplement directly add to the strains to increase their adhesion ability. The results for AR187 and AR171, which are different species, suggest that GAPDH may be used in a range of species. The mechanism by which GAPDH exercises its adherence function should be investigated in the future studies.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we assessed the adhesion abilities of L. plantarum AR326, AR269, and AR171 and L. paracasei AR187. The good adhesion abilities of L. plantarum AR326 and AR269 were significantly decreased after trypsin treatment, and surface proteins extracts can increase the adhesion of AR171 and AR187 with poor adhesion ability and the strains treated with trypsin. By SDS–PAGE and mass spectrometry analysis, we found that the main component of the surface proteins was GAPDH. The anti-GAPDH antibody blocked the adhesion of AR326 and AR269, and GAPDH restored the adhesion of AR326 and AR269 treated with trypsin. In addition, directly added GAPDH significantly increased the adhesion of the strains with the poor adhesion abilities. We therefore expect that GAPDH can be used as supplement for future to increase the adhesion of strains of interest.
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