The Role of Wound Swab Microbial Cultures and Antibiotic Sensitivity Results in the Management of Sacrococcygeal Pilonidal Abscess
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Current practice for patients who present to hospitals with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess is operative management. Wound swabs are routinely taken peri-operatively and antibiotics are initiated empirically pending culture and sensitivity results. The aim of our study was to evaluate whether the results of wound swabs change post-operative antibiotic therapy for these patients, and to identify the common microorganisms.

Methods: This was a retrospective analysis which included patients who presented to the Northern Hospital, Victoria, with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess between 1st January 2013 to 30th June 2016. Data was collected using hospital electronic medical records. Patients who had wound swabs taken were identified and their post-operative management analyzed.

Results: There were 297 presentations identified within the study period, of which, 224 cases (224/297, 75.4%) had wound swabs taken, out of which 130 (130/297, 43.8%) cases were followed up in outpatient clinic and 1 case (1/130, 0.8%) had a subsequent change in antibiotics based on the wound swab result. Common microorganisms grown were mixed anaerobes (138/224, 61.6%) and skin flora (45/224, 20.1%).

Conclusion: Wound swabs could be omitted during peri-operative care for patients with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess. The because the results of wound swabs did not change the management of these patients. Additionally, omitting wound swabs could save money for the health care. Pre-operative antibiotic therapy should have anaerobic coverage as it is the most common type of micro-organism cultured from pilonidal abscesses.
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Introduction

Pilonidal sinus disease refers to a condition that affects approximately 0.7% of the population [1] where there is a small hole or tunnel in the skin often around the hair follicle in the natal cleft, forming a midline pit [2]. It can present acutely (or acutely recurrent within 6 weeks) where treatment involves draining the pus from the abscess or present as a chronic infection where the skin and tissues containing the sinus is removed. The condition, and treatment for pilonidal sinus often burden patients and caretakers with wound care and frequent visits to general practitioners (GPs) or hospital outpatient clinics [3]. For patients who present to hospitals with acute pilonidal abscess, the current practice is surgical drainage combined with antibiotic therapy. Wound swabs are routinely taken in the peri-operative period, and antibiotics are commonly initiated empirically pending culture and sensitivity results [2]. There are only a few studies that have reported observations on pilonidal abscess in the sacrococcygeal area, however, those studies that addressed superficial skin abscesses reported a limited role of wound culture results [4,5]. One study concluded that the use of postoperative antibiotics was guided by the patient’s clinical picture, and that the microorganisms cultured were predictable, and sensitive to empirical antibiotics used in their institution [4].

The primary objective of this study was to determine the role of wound swabs in the management antibiotic therapy in patients with pilonidal abscess by analyzing the proportion of
patients with acute pilonidal abscess whose initial prescription of antibiotics was changed based on the wound swab results. The secondary objective of this study was to identify the common microorganisms cultured from patients with acute pilonidal abscess.

Materials and Methods

1. Study design

The study was a single center retrospective analysis conducted at The Northern Hospital (TNH), Victoria. It included patients who presented to TNH Emergency Department (ED) with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess between 1st January 2013 to 30th June 2016 and were admitted under the Acute General Surgical Unit. These patients subsequently underwent incision and drainage of the abscess in the operating theatre.

With the help from the medical records department at TNH, patients were identified using diagnostic and procedural coding such as “pilonidal cyst with abscess,” “pilonidal cyst without abscess,” “incision of pilonidal sinus or cyst,” “excision of pilonidal sinus or cyst,” “aspiration of abscess of skin and subcutaneous tissue,” and “incision and drainage of abscess of skin and subcutaneous tissue.”

Patient information was then collected retrospectively using the hospital electronic medical record. The following information was collected: emergency case or elective case, first episode or re-presentation, intravenous antibiotics started upon admission, wound swabs and the results of the wound swab, oral antibiotics on discharge, outpatient clinic follow-up, and details of antibiotic change if any.

The study protocol ALR 16.2016 was reviewed and approved by the Low and Negligible Risk Ethics Committee at the Northern Hospital.

2. Inclusion criteria

Patients were included in the study who presented to ED with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess and were admitted under Acute General Surgical Unit for incision and drainage of the abscess in theatre from 1st January 2013 to 30th June 2016. Re-presentations or recurrence of pilonidal abscesses during the study period were included as separate admissions.

3. Exclusion criteria

Patients who were admitted to hospital for treatment of acute abscesses not in the sacrococcygeal region were excluded from this study. Patients who were admitted under a general surgical inpatient unit for an elective procedure, such as excision of pilonidal sinus, lay open of pilonidal sinus, Karydakis procedure, or modified Karydakis procedure were also excluded from this study.

4. Outcome measures

The primary outcome of the study was any change in antibiotics prescribed to a patient with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess based on the results of the wound swab that was taken peri-operatively. The secondary outcome was a positive wound swab where microorganisms were identified and sensitivities to the antibiotics were reported by the laboratory.

Results

During the study period from January 2013 to June 2016, there were 464 cases identified. Among those 464 cases, duplicated admissions, elective cases, and abscesses other than pilonidal abscesses were excluded from the study. There were 297 cases included in this study that met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1).

1. Patient demographics

Of the 297 cases, 85 were female (85/297, 28.6%) and 212 were male (212/297, 71.4%). The average age of the patients was 27 years (ranging from 15-70 years).

2. Antibiotic therapy

Among the 297 cases included in the study, 283 (283/297, 95.3%) were prescribed intravenous antibiotics on admission prior to surgical intervention. Commonly used antibiotic therapy including a combination of cephazolin and metronidazole (95/283, 33.6%), and cephazolin alone (85/283, 30%). There were 224 cases out of 297 (224/297, 75.4%) that had a wound swab taken in theatre during the operation. 151 cases (151/297, 50.8%) were post-operatively prescribed oral
antibiotics upon discharge, and the most prescribed antibiotic was Augmentin Duo Forte (89/151, 60.0%).

Amongst the 224 cases that had wound swabs taken from the incised abscess, 130 cases (130/224, 58%) were followed up in the general surgical clinic at TNH, 35 cases (35/224, 15.6%) were discharged to their local GPs, and 59 cases (59/224, 26.3%) failed to attend the follow-up appointments. The follow-up period ranged from 1 week to 26 weeks, and majority of patients were followed up 2-4 weeks, and median follow-up period was 5 weeks. There were 15 patients who were reviewed within 1 week either due to the size of the wound being large or the patient was discharged where a vacuum dressing was used. All 15 patients (15/130, 11.5%) were still on oral antibiotics at the time of post-operative review. Out of the 130 cases who had wound swabs taken and were followed up in surgical clinic, there were 7 wound swab results documented in the outpatient notes. One patient (1/130, 0.8%) was prescribed a different antibiotic in clinic based on the results of the culture of the swab and sensitivity to antibiotics. This patient was given intravenous flucloxacillin peri-operatively and discharged home with oral flucloxacillin. In the follow-up appointment in clinic, it was documented that the wound was healing well and the wound swabs cultured grew mixed anaerobic microorganisms. The patient was then prescribed a course of oral metronidazole upon discharge from clinic for further follow-up by the patients GP. This patient did not present again to this hospital for recurrent acute pilonidal abscess during the study period.

3. Common microorganism

Results from swab culture and sensitivity to antibiotics for 224 cases were collated (Table 1). Among the 224 wound swabs, 194 (194/224, 86.6%) had microorganisms that grew on culture and 30 (30/224, 13.4%) had no growth after 48 hours of incubation. There were 33 cases (33/224, 14.7%) that had microorganisms identified and sensitivities to antibiotics reported by the laboratory. The most common types of microorganisms identified were mixed anaerobic microorganisms (138/224, 61.6%) and skin flora (45/224, 20.1%). Antibiotic sensitivities were not provided for these common types, as they are usually covered by empirical antibiotics.

4. Re-presentation

There were 6 patients (6/297, 2%) who had recurrent presentation with another episode of acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess within a 6-week period, 5 of which had wound swabs taken in theatre during their first presentation (Figure 2). Three patients out of the 6 re-presentations had wound swabs taken and were discharged with oral antibiotics for their initial presentation. Two of these 3 wound swabs when cultured identified mixed anaerobic microorganisms, and both patients were given oral Augmentin Duo Forte post-operatively. The remaining wound swab had no growth in culture, and the patient was prescribed oral cephalaxin post-operatively.

Two patients out of the 6 re-presentations had wound swabs taken intra-operatively, however were not prescribed antibiotics on discharge during their first presentation. One wound swab culture grew skin flora and the other grew Streptococcus anginosus. None of the 5 wound swabs of the re-presentation cases (5/6) when cultured, yielded antibiotic sensitivity. All 6 recurrent presentations were taken back to the operating theatre for another incision and drainage regardless of whether a wound swab was taken or whether the swab had yielded growth of microorganisms during the prior admission. Wound swab culture from the first presentation did not change the antibiotic choice nor the surgical management when they re-presented.

Discussion

Patients who present to ED with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess, routinely have wound swabs taken peri-operatively. This study showed that most patients (75.4%) who presented to ED with acute sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscess had wound swabs taken. One retrospective study on all cutaneous abscesses reported that 67.5% of their patients had wound specimens sent to the laboratory from the procedure [5]. Another study reported that 100% of their patients had wound swabs taken at the procedure which was assumed from a microbiology database [4]. In this current study, of the 75.4% of patients that had wound swabs taken, 87.1% had microorganism growth in culture and 12.9% had no growth after 48 hours of incubation. This result is comparable to Khan's study where 70.9% cases had microorganism growth in culture [4], however Khan's study included all superficial soft tissue abscesses whereas this study focused on sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscesses.

Culture of microorganisms from a wound and testing their sensitivities to antibiotics is the standard practice for treating infections, and it is used to help with antibiotic selection.
The most common microorganisms identified in culture in this current study was mixed anaerobic microorganisms (61.6%), a finding similar to other studies [3,4]. Although a large proportion of the wound swabs taken grew microorganisms in culture, only 14.7% were given specific antibiotic sensitivities. Among the small group of patients who re-presented to ED within 6 weeks from a previous admission for pilonidal abscesses, wound swab results did not demonstrate resistant organisms. Mixed anaerobic microorganisms and skin flora were still the most common.

Traditionally, empirical intravenous antibiotic therapy is prescribed due to skin cellulitis, increased inflammatory markers, or patients who have sepsis on presentation. In line with wound swab results in this current study and previous studies, current guidelines recommend using a second-generation cephalosporin and metronidazole (to treat anaerobic microorganisms) when prescribing antibiotics to patients with pilonidal abscess peri-operatively [6]. Australian therapeutic guidelines do not have a separate section for sacrococcygeal pilonidal abscesses, as they are covered under the management for boils and carbuncles. The key therapeutic intervention recommended is incision and drainage, and
antibiotic therapy using dicloxacillin or flucloxacillin [7].
The data in this study suggests that the majority of patients
(63.3%) were prescribed second generation cephalosporin,
and 33.3% were prescribed metronidazole to treat anaerobic
microorganisms.

Post-operatively, patients were commonly discharged prior
to the wound swab results becoming available [2,4]. Half of
the study population (50.8%) were given oral antibiotics on
discharge. There was no identifiable correlation that a wound
swab taken affected post-operative antibiotic use. The majority
of patients who were prescribed antibiotics at discharge were
covered in the treatment for anaerobic microorganisms. Due
to the retrospective nature of the study, the post-operative
antibiotic therapy prescribed at discharge could not be
identified. In this current study, the cohort of patients who
did not receive antibiotics on discharge did not have a high
recurrent rate compared to those who were prescribed oral
antibiotics on discharge. However, data was not collected to
determine whether those patients who were given antibiotics
were more medically complex such as patients who are
immunosuppressed, or whether there was significant cellulitis
surrounding the wound which could potentially delay healing.

Of the patients who had wound swabs taken (75.4%), and
were followed up in the outpatient clinic at this hospital,
there were 0.8% of patients given a different antibiotic therapy
based on the laboratory microorganism culture results. As
a retrospective study, the outcome of patients who were
followed up in the community could not be analyzed, nor
could it be determined whether the results of wound swabs
were followed up for all patients in the outpatient clinic, based
on the electronic outpatient progress notes. Furthermore,
the patient who was prescribed a different antibiotic in clinic
had no further follow-up or re-presentation to this hospital
within 6 weeks of the first acute presentation. It could not be
determined whether the change in antibiotic therapy played a
significant role in wound healing or prevention of recurrence.
Evidently, the focus of post-operative review in the outpatient
setting were symptoms and the progress of the wound healing,
which guided further clinical follow-up and management.
Importantly, this study showed that the management of re-
presentation of a patient with a pilonidal abscess within 6
weeks of treatment of the initial abscess, was treatment with
further surgical drainage. In addition, the laboratory results of
wound swabs cultured from the previous abscess did not play
a role in the management of the subsequent abscess.

The laboratory costs for the culture of the wound swab
and antibiotic sensitivity costs $6.4 (Australiandollars) for
processing and microscopy, and $33.75 for microorganism
culture and antibiotic sensitivity analysis. In this study there
were 297 patients assessed over 3 and half years, and the
recent assessment estimated 140 presentations with acute
sacroccocygeal pilonidal abscesses within 1 calendar year.
By not requesting wound swabs and antibiotic sensitivity
assays on patients with pilonidal abscesses, the hospital may
potentially save $5,000 per calendar year.

If the laboratory tests to culture wound swabs from pilonidal
abscesses and perform antibiotic sensitivity were not requested
this may save $5,000 per calendar year.

1. Limitations

Limitations of the study lie mainly with the study design.
It is a retrospective study limiting the assessment of
antibiotic therapy prior to presentation at hospital. Prior
use of antibiotics prescribed by GPs may affect wound swab
laboratory tests. Additionally, patients included in the study
were not all followed up in the surgical outpatient clinic of
this hospital. Family doctors may have followed up the results
from the wound swab and prescribed further antibiotic
therapy. Information collection for this study relied entirely
on documentation and quality of notes taken during the audit
at The Northern Hospital (TNH), Victoria which were highly
variable.

Conclusion

Wound swabs could be omitted during peri-operative care
for patients with acute sacroccocygeal pilonidal abscess as the
results from wound swabs did not change the management
of these patients. Omitting wound swabs may save the
health care system around $5,000 per calendar year. Pre-
operative antibiotic therapy should include an antibiotic to
treat anaerobic microorganisms because this was commonly
cultured from wound swabs from acute sacroccocygeal
pilonidal abscesses.
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