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Abstract. Technology transfer is a way to improve technology capability, especially for Small to Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Emerging Economies such as in Indonesia. SMEs are often thought to have insufficient resources for advancing their in-house technology development. Therefore, SMEs need technology transfer programs to increase their technology capability. Government, Business, Universities have been involved in technology transfer program in this country. Using data from about 200 Javanese metal-based SMEs, this paper discovers the technology transfer program from Government, Business, Universities in advancing the SMEs technology capability in Indonesia. The results show that substantial numbers of small to medium enterprises are actively involved in technology transfer programs.

1. Introduction
Nowadays, Small to medium enterprises (SMEs) in Indonesia are faced with a significantly complex rapid technological evolution, globalization and advanced competitors [1]. SMEs that are involved in this environment must develop their performance in order to survive in the global marketplace [2]. In this situation, SMEs are pushed to be able to reach a rapid response capability to provide goods or services for customer needs. In this environment, creating and maintaining competitive advantage through technology transfer has occurred widely [1-6]. The aim of this study is to discover the application of technology transfer program in Indonesia. The success of any program that seeks to achieve a transfer of technology has a crucial dependency on external resources such as the transferor and the program itself.

2. Requirement for technology transfer
Technical sustainability facilitates technology adaptation and technology innovation within the SME [7-11]. If successful, this process can then be repeated as needed within the SME. In this way, the technical learning process is being completed in a sustainable manner. Moreover, the learning process itself will provide a guide to sustainable technology transfer with which it is possible to create self-sustaining technology development. This is the opposite of the neoclassic economic assertion that considers technology as an exogenous factor for developing countries, where an imported technology remains unexplained to the human user [12].
New technology that improves the long-term capability of a company is likely to encourage on-going knowledge and technology transfer. However, it is also important that firms observe increased competitiveness or profitability if the owners of SMEs are to support future uptake of knowledge and technology transfer. Since there may be several sources of motivation, it is necessary for these to be included in the construction of a model for sustainable technology transfer.

3. Methodology and Data
Fieldwork were conducted for this research to gain initial information about target areas of Central Java and East Java. The fieldwork completed a survey to collect detailed data from more than 200 respondents. Face-to-face interviews with SMEs are conducted.

3.1. Knowledge and technology transfer programs
An example of knowledge and technology transfer for SMEs in Ceper Indonesia, the district of hundreds of Metals based SMEs, was a project associated with the Divided Blast Cupola (DBC) [1]. The availability of technology, and demands from the SME customers challenged SMEs to improve their capability to produce a wider variety of products with increased precision and quality control. Government-initiated knowledge and technology transfer in Indonesia is normally completed through government ministries and their associated agencies, inter-ministry departments and local government. Government ministries include the Ministry of Research and Technology, Ministry of Cooperation and Small to Medium Enterprises, Ministry of Industry, and Ministry of Trade. Government-initiated knowledge and technology transfer programs have provided training programs and expertise transfer, as well as physical equipment (machinery and tools) to SMEs. Government has provided training centers for use by SMEs. Large enterprises (Les) were found to be involved in knowledge and technology transfer to Ceper and Pasuruan SMEs through human resource development in particular. LES have provided highly focused training programs to SME employees. These training programs have, for example, sought to encourage improved capabilities in product standardization, enabling SMEs to then supply products with the required quality to these larger businesses through improved competency.

4. Result and discussion

4.1. Demographic characteristics of participating organisations
About 200 metal-based SMEs participated in this research by supplying detailed organisational information. Metal-based SMEs in East Java and Central Java were selected as fieldwork research areas because the metal-based SMEs located in those areas were considered to be representative of characteristic of the metal-based SMEs in Java, and about two thirds of the total population of Java Island is located in those areas.

4.1.1. Product classification of respondent organisations. In the survey questionnaires, contributing organisations were requested to nominate their product categories. Figure 1 shows that the largest percentage of the responding organisations manufacture automotive spare parts (e.g. motorbike hand brake handles, intake manifolds), followed by product ‘others’ (e.g. fittings for water pipe, stove burners), furniture metal products (e.g. door handles, chairs), and machinery and equipment (e.g. fly wheels for generators, counterbalance forklifts).
Products types are reasonably consistent across the nominated classifications. Indonesia has a huge market for motorbikes, cars, even trucks, and as such, spare parts production is a significant branch of the metal-based manufacturing sector. Millions of motorbikes and cars are registered in Indonesia Every year, the number of vehicles increases. This offers significant opportunities for metal-based manufacturing to meet this market demand. However, based on the similar percentages of the various product sectors (figure 1), it is possible that the opportunity to supply metal-based products extends beyond the automotive sector, for example, machinery for agriculture, and metal components for furniture manufacture.

4.1.2. Level of registration to standards. The level of registration of respondent organisations to formal standards presented an indication of respondent organisations’ commitment to and awareness of quality standard certification. The responding organisations were requested to indicate whether they have committed to a formal standard, and if so, which standard. Figure 2 shows that the overwhelming majority (82%) of the respondents have no registration to formal standard certification. A minority of the participant organisations have committed to be registered with formal certification: 8% for national standards and 6% for international standards. 4% of participant organisations did not offer a response to this question.

The extremely high number of ‘Non-Standard Certification’ organizations could be due to the organizations mostly supplying to the local (domestic) market. However, low commitment to formal standard certification is detrimental as it results in Indonesian SMEs being less competitive in the international market, especially for international markets that require international standard certification.
4.1.3. Level of education. Specific personnel within participating organisations were requested to state their highest level of education (Figure 3). The largest grouping is associated with a highest educational level of senior high school (46%), followed by junior high school (25%). Only 29% respondents had more than a senior high school qualification.

![Figure 3. Highest educational level achieved by interviewee in participating organization.](image)

4.1.4. Educational background. Specific personnel within participating organisations were asked to state their educational background with reference to subjects or disciplines studied. Figure 4 shows that most respondents reported an engineering education background (34%), with roughly half this number studying either economics (15%) or education (12%). A significant number of respondents stated ‘Other’ (20%).

![Figure 4. Educational background of interviewee.](image)

The participating respondents with engineering education backgrounds are the dominant group in this metal-based manufacturing sector survey. Interaction and communication between managers of an organisation and employees can be more effective if the manager has a technical understanding of the business. As knowledge is one of the most significant supporting factors in the successful management on a business, the availability of appropriate knowledge can lead to more benefits for the organization [13].

4.2. Perceptual responses scores
This section analyses the participating organisation responses. Since the ordinal data used the five-point Likert measuring scale, the median is used as the primary measure of central tendencies and percentiles (25, 50 and 75) are calculated as measures of dispersion, as is recommended for this data type [14-17]. However, much of the applied psychology/organisational studies in the available literature treat Likert scales as producing interval type data [17]. Therefore, mean and standard deviation measures were also measured for all the items in this research.
4.2.1. Technology provider’s role: government, business, and universities. Successful approaches to technology transfer strongly emphasize the crucial role of transferors in enabling an organisation technology transfer program [18, 19]. Aspects of the transferor’s role were measured along eight dimensions (items 1-8 in Table 1). Respondents were asked to express their level of agreement with the items (survey statements that seek a response from 1 = strongly disagree, to 5 = strongly agree from the participant), in terms of the adequacy of the transferor’s actions to meet the technology transfer requirements of their organisation. The results contained in Table 1 show that for 4 dimensions (items 3, 4, 5, 6) the middle response corresponds with “agree” (median = 4), and 4 others (items 1, 2, 7, 8) the middle ranking were “neutral” (median = 3). Items 1,2,7,8 in Table 1 show a “neutral” overall response from participants, where a participant offered a mixed opinion about the items associated with responsibility, appropriate project terms, industry visits and sufficient feedback offered by government transferors.

Table 1. The government.

| Item                                                                 | Median | Percentiles | Mean | Std. Dev |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|------|----------|
| Responsibility and control of government for technology transfer (TT) is appropriate for company’s need | 3      | 2 3 4       | 3.19 | 1.03     |
| Project terms of technology transfer program is suitable for company’s need | 3      | 3 3 4       | 3.45 | 0.90     |
| There has been effective communication built by government associated with technology transfer program | 4      | 3 4 4       | 3.54 | 0.90     |
| Conference or meeting program by government is suitable for company’s need | 4      | 3 4 4       | 3.57 | 0.90     |
| Sufficient personnel exchange programs have been provided by government associated with technology transfer program | 4      | 3 4 5       | 3.51 | 1.09     |
| Sufficient workshop programs have been provided by government | 4      | 3 4 4       | 3.58 | 0.92     |
| There have been sufficient industry visits associated with the technology transfer program provided by government | 3      | 3 3 4       | 3.28 | 1.08     |
| Government encourages feedback process associated with their technology transfer program | 3      | 2 3 4       | 3.10 | 1.11     |

Scale: 1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

The respondents expressed an overall “agree” opinion about the value of items associated with effective communication, the meeting program, the personnel exchange program and the workshop program (items 3, 4, 5, 6). It is clear that the “agree” option is dominant in respondent expression particularly in their opinion about personal exchange program (Item 5).

While the number of respondents who ’agree’ on the value of items associated with sufficient responsibility (item 1) and the sufficient feedback encouraged by government (item 8) are approximately equal with the respondents who ‘do not agree’. Overall, the respondents ‘agree’ that the transferor manner and style support sufficient knowledge and technology transfer for their organisations (item 3, 4, 5, 6).
Table 2. The business.

| Item                                                                 | Median | Percentiles | Mean | Std. Dev |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|------|----------|
| Responsibility and control of business for technology transfer (TT) is appropriate for company’s need | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.37 | 0.86     |
| Project terms of technology transfer program is suitable for company’s need | 4      | 3 4 4 4     | 3.51 | 0.78     |
| There has been effective communication built by business associated with technology transfer program | 4      | 3 4 4 4     | 3.62 | 0.88     |
| Conference or meeting program by business is suitable for company’s need | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.50 | 0.87     |
| Sufficient personnel exchange program has been provided by business associated with technology transfer program | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.27 | 0.94     |
| Sufficient workshop program has been provided by business            | 4      | 3 4 4 4     | 3.63 | 0.91     |
| There have been sufficient industry visits associated with the technology transfer program provided by business | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.35 | 0.96     |
| Business encourages feedback process associated with their technology transfer program | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.42 | 0.99     |

Scale: 1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

The results contained in Table 2 show that respondents tend to ‘agree’ (median = 4) with the survey statements associated with items 2, 3, and 6 and are ‘neutral’ to the statements associated with items 1, 4, 5, 7 and 8. The responses for item 2, 3 and 6 have a negative skew, where the 50 and 75 percentiles were equal for the ‘agree’ response. This shows the tendency of respondents’ opinion about the sufficient of the project term, the effective communication, and the workshop program provided by business transferors indicate ‘agree’.

Overall, since the median response to the statement in items 1, 4, 5, 7 and 8 is ‘neutral’ and the median response for to the statement in items 2, 3 and 6 is ‘agree”, it is asserted that the respondents tend to ‘agree’ that the business transferors provide sufficient technology transfer programs.

Table 3. The university.

| Item                                                                 | Median | Percentiles | Mean | Std. Dev |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|------|----------|
| Responsibility and control of universities for technology transfer (TT) is appropriate for company’s need | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.29 | 0.88     |
| Project terms of technology transfer program is suitable for company’s need | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.42 | 0.80     |
| There has been effective communication built by universities associated with technology transfer program | 4      | 3 4 4 4     | 3.55 | 0.89     |
| Conference or meeting program by universities is suitable for company’s need | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.50 | 0.89     |
| Sufficient personnel exchange programs have been provided by universities associated with technology transfer program | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.37 | 0.85     |
| Sufficient workshop programs have been provided by universities       | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.50 | 0.82     |
| There have been sufficient industry visits associated with the technology transfer program provided by universities | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.45 | 0.84     |
| The University encourages feedback process associated with their technology transfer program | 3      | 3 3 4 4     | 3.32 | 0.96     |

Scale: 1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree
The results contained in Table 3 show that for most of the survey statements (i.e. items 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8), respondents offered median ‘neutral’ responses. The one exception is for item 3, where the respondents offered a median ‘agree’ to the statement that universities offer sufficient communication in technology transfer programs. The respondents generally have a ‘neutral’ opinion about most of the items associated with the knowledge and technology transfer programs provided by the university transferors.

Table 4. The joint program.

| Item                                                                 | Median | Percentiles | Mean  | Std. Dev |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------|----------|
| Responsibility or control of technology provider’s joint program     | 3      | 2 3 4       | 3.21  | 1.10     |
| for technology transfer is appropriate for company’s need             |        |             |       |          |
| Project term of technology provider’s joint program for               | 3      | 3 3 4       | 3.43  | 0.82     |
| technology transfer is suitable for company’s need                    |        |             |       |          |
| There has been effective communication built by technology provider’s | 4      | 3 4 4       | 3.60  | 0.90     |
| joint program for technology transfer                                |        |             |       |          |
| Conference or meeting program by technology provider’s joint         | 4      | 3 4 4       | 3.61  | 0.92     |
| program for technology transfer is suitable for company’s need       |        |             |       |          |
| Sufficient personal exchange programs have been provided by technology| 3      | 3 3 4       | 3.23  | 1.02     |
| provider’s joint program for technology transfer                     |        |             |       |          |
| Sufficient workshop programs have been provided by technology        | 4      | 3 4 4       | 3.50  | 1.04     |
| provider’s joint program for technology transfer                      |        |             |       |          |
| There have been sufficient industry visits associated with the       | 3      | 3 3 4       | 3.31  | 1.04     |
| technology transfer program provided by technology provider’s joint  |        |             |       |          |
| program for technology transfer                                       |        |             |       |          |
| Technology provider’s joint program encourages sufficient feedback    | 3      | 3 3 4       | 3.32  | 1.12     |
| process                                                              |        |             |       |          |

Scale: 1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

The results contained in Table 4 show that for most of the survey statements (i.e. items 1, 2, 5, 7, 8), respondents again offered median ‘neutral’ responses. Respondents offered a median ‘agree’ for three items, 3, 4 and 6. The results also show that opinion of the respondents (items 3, 4, 6) have negative skew. Overall, since the median for all the items was 3 (neutral) and 4 (agree), it is asserted that the respondents tended to ‘agree’ that the transferor (joint program) offers knowledge and technology transfer benefits.

5. Comparison of responses from respondents and conclusion
Comparing survey responses with respect to the transferors (government, business, university, joint program), the respondents offered quite similar overall responses to many of the items (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4). Respondents expressed ‘agree’ that the transferors have built effective communication (item 3). Respondents expressed mixed opinions on items 1, 7, 8 (i.e. the responsibility, the industry visits, and the sufficient feedback) of the transferors to meet the technology transfer requirement of the respondents’ organisation. Respondents expressed ‘agreement’ on the appropriate project terms (Table 2, item 2) provided by the business transferors and on the sufficient personal exchange (Table 1, item 5) provided by government but the respondents stated ‘neutral’ opinion on the appropriate project terms (Tables 1, 3, 4, item 2) provided by the government, the university, and the joint program, and the sufficient personal exchange (Tables 2, 3, 4, item 5) provided by the business, the university, and the joint program. Respondents tended to ‘agree’ with the suitable meeting programs (Table 1, 4, item 4) provided by the government and the joint program, whereas they expressed ‘neutral’ on the suitable meeting programs (Tables 2, 3, item 4) offered by the business and the university. Respondents were ‘neutral’ about workshop programs provided by the university (Table 3, item 6), while they expressed ‘agree’ about the benefit of the workshop programs provided by the government, the business, and the joint program (Tables 1, 2, 4, item 6).
The research has summarized an extensive analysis of perceptual responses of about 200 organisations to survey statements concerning knowledge and technology transfer practices. The analysis reported on:

- an investigation on the population demographic of the surveyed organisations and respondents, and
- perceived responses of the respondents relating to the technology transfer practices in their organisations.

The sample of organisations that took part in this survey is plausibly representative of metal-based manufacturing organizations in Java. Characteristics such as product classification of respondent organizations and level of education are shown to be reasonably similar to the total population of manufacturing organisations. This provides confidence that the findings from the population sample could be reasonably used to predict the overall situation of the overall population of manufacturing organisations within this sector in Java. The level of commitment, interest and engagement of SMEs regarding technology transfer programs was reviewed. The results show that substantial numbers of small to medium enterprises are actively involved in technology transfer programs.
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