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ABSTRACT The digitization of audiovisual data is significantly increasing. Thus, in order to guarantee principally the protection of intellectual properties of this digital content, watermarking has appeared as a solution. The watermarking can be used in reality in several types of applications that target two different contexts; the first for security applications and the second for non-security ones. In this paper, we carry a big interest in studying these two types of applications. Moreover, we propose a first digital watermarking scheme for security copyright protection application where we have involved Neural Network architecture in the insertion and detection processes and we have integrated some masking phenomena of the Human Psychoacoustic Model with Linear Predictive Coding spectral envelope estimation of the audio file. Experimentations proved the efficiency of exploiting perceptual masking with spectral envelope consideration in terms of imperceptibility and robustness results. Besides, we suggest a second audio watermarking technique for non-security content characterization application based on deep learning classification architecture. In this scheme, extracted watermark will advise about the audio class: music or speech, the speaker gender and emotion. Reported results indicated that the suggested scheme achieved higher performance at classification level as well as at watermarking properties.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Information, by way of an expression of knowledge, is seemingly the most valuable asset of humanity. The digitalization advent, delivered us a number of easy-to-use and reasonably cost-free channels to transfer ideas and exchange information. Nonetheless, the instantaneous effect of digitization has been a proliferation of illegal copying that involve violating intellectual property rights. To resolve these problems, a digital watermark can be hidden in a piece of digital content that may comprise audit-trail or copy-limitation information to help copyright enforcement [1]. Digital watermarking offers great chances for not only protection of copyrighted data, but also serves as a general framework to embed information within generic data sorts for various usages. In this paper, we explain several digital watermarking usages and we classify them into security and non-security applications. Next, we introduce two digital watermarking techniques, which can consider basic (standard content) or sensitive data (political news, Quranic data, audio records, confidential communication, etc.). These two watermarking techniques operate distinctively in security and non-security contexts.

This paper is planned as follows: section two presents a definition of digital watermarking then explores its security and non-security applications with some previous works we have already developed in such fields. Section 3 exhibits two
proposed audio watermarking schemes in both security and non-security usages for standard and sensitive audio contents. Finally, conclusion is presented in the last section with perspectives for future researches.

II. WATERMARKING DEFINITION AND APPLICATIONS

Watermarking system principally involves two parts; embedding and extraction processes. They use generally a cryptographic key, that could be a public key or a secret key. Watermark is the signature hidden on the original digital content. Watermarked document is an output data resulted by superimposing the original document and the signature. Watermark embedding is displayed in Figure 1 while extraction process is shown in Figure 2.

![FIGURE 1. General digital watermark embedding process](image)

Watermark, original digital content and sometimes the key are set as the input to the embedding process. One basic requirement to differentiate between watermarking techniques is the insertion domain [2-5]: insertion domain with no transformation, the frequency domain and the multi-resolution one.

![FIGURE 2. General digital watermark extraction process](image)

If the original document is not required for the detection step, then the watermarking scheme is blind [6] else, it is non-blind [7]. The performance of watermarking systems entails a number of properties, some of them are:

- **Imperceptibility**: it is the most important criterion for a digital watermarking. However, we can retrieve in the literature some watermarking techniques that hide perceptible watermark [8-10].
- **Robustness**: it means that the hidden watermark in a data can endure different attacks and modifications. In most circumstances, we would like that the watermark is robust [2, 11, 12]. In other cases, we wish that any processing in the watermarked document jumps the signature [13-15]. Finally, we wish an intermediate situation, where the mark persists in spite of some processing, and not for others: we call it semi-fragile watermarking [16, 17].
- **Security**: Only the legal users can extract the watermark and therefore a proprietor can reach the goal of copyright protection.
- **Capacity**: it defines maximum amount of data that can be hidden into a digital document. This capacity is habitually significant, as many systems need a great payload to be hidden.

Digital watermarking has more than one application. We choose in this paper the following classification of watermarking applications:

A. SECURITY WATERMARKING APPLICATIONS

In the security usage, watermarking aims to adjust the hidden mark according to the action on the digital content held by the hacker. In this case, embedded information must be robust to different intentional piracy attacks. Among the security watermarking applications, we notice:

1) **DATA HIDING**

The well-known application where data is embedded and transmitted secretly in such a way that no illicit person can discover it [18, 19].

2) **SURREPTITIOUS COMMUNICATIONS**

Principally steganography applications in military, where persons would like to send secret messages to each other without being perceived [20, 21].

3) **OWNERSHIP PROOF**

To avoid the unlawful alteration of digital data, the lawful individual credentials is embedded into the digital content [22, 23].

4) **AUTHENTICATION**

The data can be simply interfered without nay being detected. The signature can be hidden to avoid this tamper and to preserve consequently its originality. For example, the interference of a digital image can easily be discerned because the pixel value of the inserted data would modify and not conform the original one. [24, 25].

5) **PROPRIETOR IDENTIFICATION**

It is somewhere written on the wrapper of an object such as, identification brand of the paper maker. These kinds of watermark can be effortlessly removed by cropping or tearing the paper. Thus, to overcome this problem, watermark bits identifying the owner, are hidden forming then an integral part of the digital content [26, 27].

6) **COPYRIGHT PROTECTION**

The proprietor can hide the signature in the data for the protection of conspicuous content. There always has been a problem in supplying the owner identity of an object. In addition, if there is a disagreement concerning the data proprietorship then the owner identity can be effortlessly extracted from the watermark [28, 29]. In this context, we have already developed in [30], an audio watermarking scheme where the watermark is embedded into some middle frequency bands once performing a DCT. Insertion and extraction processes depending on a back-propagation neural network architecture (BPNN). Furthermore, the choice of frequencies and the block covering
the watermark contingent on an earliest study of the effect of MP3 coding at different rates on the sound signal. Experiments display that the suggested scheme presents good robustness and audio quality results. We consider also in the same paper [30], the adaptation of the proposed scheme in video watermarking approach which is different from our previous technique [31] focusing on only the video frames without considering the audio channel. In fact, in [30], we have adjusted the MP3 study to video watermarking scheme with an earliest study of the MPEG video coding. Once more, we achieve the copyright protection purposes and we ameliorate the robustness criteria of the video watermarking technique. In the same application perspective, we have implemented in [32], a robust and blind image watermarking technique in the frequency domain. In this paper, the algorithm is resistant to diverse types of attacks such as geometric transformations, communal signal processing, standard JPEG compression and even to double Stirmark attacks. This significant robustness is due to the insertion frequency domain, to the choice of the appropriate blocks depending on a preliminary study between the original and the compressed-decompressed image and to the use of the Arnold transformation [32] scrambling the watermark and ameliorating then the security level.

We will describe in section III.A a novel audio watermarking scheme for copyright protection application based on preliminary attacks and frequency masking studies and on spectral envelope estimation of basic and sensitive audio signals.

7) DIGITAL RIGHTS MANAGEMENT
They cover mechanisms used by content publishers and rights holders to inflict access-licensing terms. They concern principally DRM for relational data, precisely database watermarking techniques [33].

8) TRACEABILITY
Digital watermarking is exploited to trace the sender of the digital document copy [34]. The idea is to use a particular mark for each copy. If there is an unlawful copy in the market, we can identify effortlessly the person who distributed it illegally [35]. We were previously interested in the traceability as watermarking application in a first developed technique as described in [36]. In this paper, we have remarked that the tracing operation is frequently constrained by the absence of evidence about the number of colluders and also the collusion channel. Certainly, the Tardos decoding is invariant regardless the type of collusion, that can be reflected its accusation performance. Thus, we proposed to use a MAP-based estimation strategy, increasing the Tardos decoding step and assuring a respectable estimation results. The proposed idea takes the benefit of operating in hierarchical context to deliver a more succinct and exact accusation decision in a short time. In a second developed tracing scheme detailed in [37], we proposed a confident fingerprinting approach based on a two-stage tracing strategy combining the Boneh Shaw with replication scheme and the Tardos codes. This scheme is applied to a multilevel hierarchical fingerprint hidden by using a DCT-based audio watermarking algorithm [38]. By taking the advantage of grouping users and applying a weight-based tracing mechanism, the suggested fingerprinting technique diminishes well the computational costs of the tracing time and delivers a suitable solution reducing considerably the users’ recovery space and performing respectable robustness.

9) INTEGRITY VERIFICATION
The signature is hidden in the original document, and is used more lately to check if its content has been modified or not. In fact, we embed a mark in the document so that if we remove a part of it, portion of the signature will also be removed and this will prevent the correct detection. If the watermark is not detected, we can conclude that the document was altered [39, 40]. In this type of application, we have already developed a semi-fragile audio watermarking technique for MP3-encoded files using Huffman data described in [41] in the compressed domain. The mark is inserted in MP3 bit streams. The algorithm uses mainly big values region and recompression calibration of Huffman data to embed secret information. Experiments prove the inaudibility of the suggested method and its robustness to several attacks. We have also treated recently the integrity control application by an image watermarking scheme described in [11]. It fact, this scheme extracts features from the original digital image to generate a watermark. In order to resist rotation and cropping attacks, the technique adopts Speeded-Up Robust Feature [42] to localize invariant key points. Experiments prove that our scheme gives a high level of invisibility and robustness to standard JPEG compression and unique/double Stirmark attacks and that the integrity is successfully achieved.

10) CONTROL OF COPY AND PLAYBACK
It is probable for playback devices to react to hidden signals. Thus, if the proprietor desires to implement such a system where the duplication recording is forbidden, then manufactured recorder need to embrace mark detection circuitry [43-45].

11) LOCATING DIGITAL CONTENT ONLINE
Digital contents are uploaded on the internet in a large volume designed for research, distribution, and communication tenacity. It has also become a prevalent platform for sales. Thus, the proprietor identification becomes imperative which is conceivable with the help of watermarking [46].

12) FORENSICS
This technique enhances the possibility for the proprietor to detect and respond to the abuse of its possessions. It is exploited not only to gather the proof for criminal, but also to enforce the contractual usage agreement between the proprietor and the individuals with whose it shares its digital content [47].

13) MEDICAL USAGES
Using the approach of visible watermarking, patient details can be reproduced on the Magnetic Resonance and imaging (MRI) and the X-rays scans reports. If the reports of diverse patients are mixed, then the incorrect diagnosis of a malady for a patient based on unknown report may conduct to an unfavorable treatment. Consequently, embedding in a report patient name and date for example could decrease the possibility of maltreatment and increase the security and confidentiality of the patient [48].
B. NON-SECURITY WATERMARKING APPLICATIONS

In the non-security watermarking applications, the robustness to intentional attacks is not necessary and the watermark should generally contain a great capacity information’s and must be extracted with a blind detection scheme. Among these applications, we find:

1) BROADCAST VERIFICATION

It aims to compile statistics on the use of the digital content. In radio broadcasts, advertisers commonly want to guarantee that their announcements were suitably distributed according to the number of times specified in the contract. Therefore, a watermark is hidden in each advertisement. It permits, for example, to recognize in which radio the audio signal was broadcasted, how often and even at what time [49].

2) MUSICAL EXTRACTS SEPARATION

A set of information with certain characteristics can be extracted from audio files. This information is hidden inaudibly by watermarking in the mixture of audio sounds. After extraction this embedded watermark, the recovered information permits the separation of the original music signals. [50].

3) INCREASING TELEVISION PROGRAMS INTELLIGIBILITY

It wishes to replace in real time the teletext display by implanting a cloned into the television programs. This will allow deaf and hard hearing people to develop their understanding thanks to the movement of a face and hands reproducing by the Cued Speech [51].

4) SOUND ANNOTATION

It can be used to transfer a label to aid signals indexing. The embedded information can include meta-data describing the signal content or information about a target application [52]. In this context, we have earlier introduced in [53] a watermarking scheme performing a multimodal video characterization and summarization. So, audiovisual features are inserted as the watermark. Using the descriptors enclosed in the mark, key moments within a video, characterized generally by high loudness or high motion, can be recovered just by extracting the equivalent signature. Similarly, narrative video sequence, commonly known by low or medium motion loudness and activity, can be designated using the used watermark. Besides, we can browse within the digital video and we can extract scene with particular properties such as natural or artificial scene, night or day scene.

We will describe in section III.B a new audio watermarking technique for content characterization based on deep learning audio classification scheme.

5) MOBILE USAGES

Digital watermarks offer a marvelous opportunity for marketers looking for new behaviors to engage consumers with rich media experiences on their phones. The watermarks can be easily hidden into all forms of media document, including packaging, newspapers, posters, brochures, etc. [54]. Once an application is downloaded to the smart phone, we simply launch it, hold it parallel around 6° from the printed content and the smart phone will directly detect the watermark and link then the customer to premium content online. The watermark is accorded to an URL in a backend database that is consequently reverted to the consumers’ smart phone.

6) MEASUREMENT OF AUDIENCE

Services of audience measurement must nowadays report more precisely and consistently from several channels. Watermarking hides a single identifier into digital content while being distributed or prior to dissemination, making it and corresponding broadcasters quickly identifiable. The watermark covers evidence about the channel that transmits the program, its exposure time and its media content identifier. Audiometers mounted in panelists’ homes read the data, gather the information and conduct them to a central database for treating and perfect reporting daily [55,56].

C. WATERMARKING SENSITIVE DATA

As more communication and collaboration occurs in the digital space, the requisite for maintaining data and document integrity is rising. Thus, businesses try to increase their cloud security budget. As an added layer of security, they often choose to watermark their digital documents when shared internally or externally. Watermarking aids deter recipients from data exfiltration activity, guaranteeing that sensitive information such as contracts, budgets, confidential communication or manuscripts, health records, stays private and compliant during its lifecycle, so collaboration will be achieved with confidence.

For example, in the teleradiology context, privacy and security of sensitive information has become a serious issue [57, 58]. Teleradiology has been understood extensively to be an eHealth service ended through remote diffusion of the radiology information and images above electronic networks, and the interpretation of the transferred images for diagnosis purposes. This radiology data, chiefly Electronic Personal Health Information (E PHI), are exposed to potential altering with severe complications, since they are very sensitive. Such information necessitates protection with integrity and great confidentiality.

Another example concerning identity cards, which also are very sensitive and must be highly concerned. In fact, if the National ID card undergoes attacks like forged identity and counterfeit cards or falsification of content, that will affect citizens and locate the issuing government in excruciating situation. Sensitive National identity card should have then visible and invisible digital watermarking with inserted secrete text information [59].

A third example of sensitive data to be protected is the Arabic Quran recitation requiring [60]. A specific mechanism based on watermarking scheme must execute a number of functional stages avoiding then the distortion of the Quranic signal and addressing successfully its sensitivity. Sensitive Holy Quran in image format is also studying in [11, 32, 61] to detect any manipulation on the Quranic sensitive content and to preserve its content's integrity. Besides, a related diacritical watermarking scheme to secure sensitive Quran Arabic in digital text format is proposed in [62]. Due to sensitivity of Holy Quran, diacritics play an essential role in the sense of the specific verse. Henceforth, acquiring letters with certain diacritics will conserve the original sense of Quranic verses in case of illicit tampering attempt.

Preservation the sensitive nature of certain data needs special digital watermarking algorithms, which are defies that need to be worked on.
III. PROPOSED WATERMARKING SCHEMES

A. WATERMARKING TECHNIQUE FOR COPYRIGHT PROTECTION APPLICATION

We begin by discussing some previous audio watermarking schemes promising the copyright protection of digital audio signals. After that, we introduce our contribution in this type of audio watermarking applications.

1) WATERMARKING TECHNIQUES RELATED TO COPYRIGHT PROTECTION APPLICATION

Copyright protection applications of digital content has become an essential issue. Digital watermarking techniques has received excessive deal of attention to elucidate this problem. This paragraph presents the review of some papers, which mainly focus on copyright protection context.

Paper in [63] presented a 3-level lifting wavelet transform (LWT)-based framework for audio watermarking. To increase applicability, the robust signature including proprietary information, synchronization code, and frame-related data was mainly hidden in the approximation subband by using perceptual-based rational dither modulation (RDM) with adaptive quantization index modulation (AQIM). Experiment results indicated that the hidden robust signature can withstand usually faced attacks. In addition, the system was resistant to cropping and replacement attacks and caused only slight degradation.

A new audio watermarking technique with good robustness was discussed in [64] by discovering the multi-resolution characteristic of the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) with the energy compaction capability of the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT). The watermark is embedded by slightly altering some frequencies of the audio signal. The audio fragments are segmented by DWT to obtain numerous groups of wavelet coefficients with several frequency bands, and the fourth level detail coefficient is then selected to be alternated into the former packet and the latter one, which are effect for DCT to obtain two sets of transform domain coefficients correspondingly. Lastly, the average amplitudes of the two sets are changed to hide a binary image. The watermark detection is blind. Experimental results endorsed that the suggested algorithm had good inaudibility, large capacity and good robustness when fighting to various attacks.

Another paper in [65] presented an audio watermark technique in DWT domain based on mean-quantization using planar and binary image as signature, and encrypting it with chaos sequence. In this scheme, the audio file is segmented using suitable wavelet basis. Low-frequency coefficients are designated to hide watermark using mean-quantization algorithm. The watermark can be detected without the original audio file. Experimentations showed that compared with known prior quantization watermark embedding schemes, the suggested technique was robust to different attacks.

In [66], a blind and adaptive audio watermarking technique was suggested based on chaotic encryption in DCT and DWT hybrid domain. The encrypted mark can be hidden into the audio signal according to the special insertion rules. The hidden depth of each segment is controlled by the overall average amplitude to efficiently increase the inaudibility and the robustness. The signature is encrypted by a chaotic sequence to enhance the security of the watermark. Experimental tests displayed that the suggested technique had higher capacity, good inaudibility, larger security, and good robustness when opposing signal-processing attacks.

A blind technique proposed in [67], jointly exploring in DWT the auditory masking properties and the rational dither modulation (RDM). The insertion of binary information is assured by modulating coefficient vectors in the 5th-level approximation subband. The robustness and capacity of the suggested scheme are controllable by changing vector dimensions, while the inaudibility is guaranteed by constraining quantization noise under the auditory masking threshold. Besides, the periodic characteristic inbred in the RDM formulation can be exploited to re-ensure synchronization for truthful watermark extraction. Experimentations displayed that the DWT–RDM technique furnished a near-zero objective difference grade even when the SNR sustained at a level near 20 dB. In most attacks, the bit error rates BERs were suitably low as associated to other lately developed methods with littler capacities.

In [68], this paper proposed a technique which inserts the watermark into the maximal coefficient in DCT of a moving average sequence. In fact, signal processing operations generate noise that usually modifies the high frequencies of an audio file. Thus, hiding watermark by regulating low-frequency coefficient can enhance the robustness of a watermark algorithm. Moving Average sequence is a low-frequency feature of an audio file. Subjective and objective tests divulged that the suggested watermarking technique preserves highly audio quality, and at the same time, the scheme is highly resistant to most known digital signal processing manipulations.

We introduce in the following the new proposed watermarking technique for copyright protection application.

2) INTRODUCTION OF THE WATERMARKING TECHNIQUE FOR COPYRIGHT PROTECTION APPLICATION

In this section, we introduce an enhanced approach of our previous audio watermarking technique called DCT-NN [2] based on Neural Network NN architecture. The new watermarking scheme presents a new approach to address the challenges associated with copyright protection of basic and sensitive audio data like Quranic files but can also be extended to assure their content integrity and tamper detection. In this approach, we insert the watermark after performing DCT transform into middle frequency bands. To improve robustness and security while maintaining good inaudibility results, we exploited BPNN architecture in the embedding and extraction processes [30]. The basic idea is to establish the relationship between frequency samples around a central sample by using the BPNN model. In fact, for a selected transformed sample I(x), the NN is trained with its 8 neighbors as input vector and the value of the sample as output. The used BPNN architecture contains three layers: the input layer with eight neurons, the hidden layer with nine neurons, and the output layer with a single neuron. After performing the frame division of the original audio signal, the DCT transform is applied to the resulted frames. Next, each transformed frame
is divided into nine samples forming a block as shown in figure 3. The center sample of the block is the output and the neighbor’s samples are the input. We proceed finally to NN training until a definite goal or a specified maximum number of iterations is reached. When the BPNN training is completed, a set of synaptic weights (wi) characterizing the behavior of the trained network can be obtained and used in the BPNN simulation of the embedding and the extraction processes.

![FIGURE 3. BPNN training process](image)

The originality of this new scheme is due to the exploitation of the frequency perceptual masking of the Human Psychoacoustic Model HPM [69] associated to the Linear Predictive Coding LPC [70] spectral envelope estimation of the digital audio file. In fact, after studying the HPM, we examined the masking threshold curve Ltg [69] and we compared it with the LPC envelope to hide properly and imperceptibly the watermark under this curve. Another specificity of the scheme, is the totally blindness detection process unlike previous schemes [2, 30], as neither original audio signal nor secure key are saved and transmitted to the receiver. In fact, frame positions and correspondent indexes of insertion are recalculated in the detection process, which guarantee its blindness. Experimental results indicated that the exploitation of perceptual masking with the spectral envelope consideration in the frequency domain is very interesting with very good robustness results.

3) PRELIMINARY STUDY

Preliminary study of original WAVE signals is performed before the watermarking process. The result of this preliminary study is a classification of the Stirmark attacks before watermarking permitting us to choose the adequate attacks that are suitable to the copyright protection context. The robustness of our scheme is evaluated again the chosen attacks after the watermarking process. So, different MATLAB simulations are achieved. Table 1 displays a selection of studied standard music signals and sensitive Quranic audio files. All signals have sampling rates of 44.1 KHz, number of bits per sample equal to 16bps and duration around of 20 s.

| Name          | Description              |
|---------------|--------------------------|
| Tunisia       | Standard Musical files   |
| Svega         | Rhythmic music           |
| Svega         | Female song voice        |
| Track 01      | Sensitive Quranic files  |
| Track 02      | Alfatih                  |
| Track 03      | Extract of Elbakara      |
| Track 04      | Alnasr                   |

To justify the chosen attacks that we will test for measuring robustness property of our proposed watermarking technique, we are based on one of the International Federation of Phonographic Industry IFPI exigencies [30] which inflicts that the watermarking algorithm must avoid unauthorized removal of the hidden watermark unless the audio signal quality becomes very humble. Therefore, we have applied in the preliminary study, all the Stirmark attacks to the original audio signals to discern audio quality after attacks and to discard then attacks that corrupt remarkably the audio quality. In fact, if an audio signal is extremely damaged, robustness will not certainly guaranteed. We have computed the Signal to noise ratio SNR [2] values, which are measured in decibels, between the original audio signals and the corresponding attacked ones. Besides, to well verify the quality audio, we have achieved the Subjective Difference Grade SDG tests based on Recommendation UIT-R BS.1116 [64] and we have obtained their values with their descriptions.

The preliminary studies are exposed in the tables 2, 3, 4 and 5.

| Stirmark attacks | Tunisia.wav | Svega.wav |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|
|                  | SNR | SDG/Description | SNR | SDG/Description |
| Exchange         | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 7.48 | Imperceptible |
| Extrastereo 30   | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 47.01 | Imperceptible |
| Extrastereo 50   | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 59.91 | Imperceptible |
| Extrastereo 70   | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 60.06 | Imperceptible |
| Invert           | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 66.29 | Imperceptible |
| Fft_revers       | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 59.77 | Imperceptible |
| Lshzre           | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 14.71 | Imperceptible |
| Normalize        | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 17.44 | Imperceptible |
| Re_highpass      | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 7.16  | Imperceptible |
| Re_lowpass       | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 24.15 | Imperceptible |
| Smooth           | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 24.24 | Imperceptible |
| Smooth2          | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 22.55 | Imperceptible |
| Smooth3          | -6.02 | Imperceptible | 23.92 | Imperceptible |
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combinations of two attacks “cutsamples” (number 51) and Cut_replace_samples_20 (numbers 24, 25, 26) are audio manipulations that do not exist in the Stirmark description=“impercebtible”). For the attacks from 26 to 29, degradation in audio quality (SDG=0, obviously to get negative SNR values while having no values, they are positive values except for the attacks “invert” (number 5) and “fft_invert” (number 6) of table 2. In effect, for the “invert” attack, the principle is to replace each sample (number 100) and “copysamples” (number 52) described in the table 5. For example, Cut_replace_samples_20 removes twenty samples every 1000 samples and replaces them by another twenty samples.

After examining the inaudibility Stirmark attack part-1 studies from tables 2 and 3, we notice that these attacks do not affect the audio quality of the audio files. Thus, we will consider them in our watermarking robustness experiments and we anticipate that we will obtain good robustness results against these attacks.

- Studying Stirmark attack part-1 (attacks from 1 to 26) in tables 2 and 3

When applying these attacks, we do not perceive a degradation of the audio quality. All subjective results are often “imperceptible” with an SDG=0 and sometimes “Perceptible but not annoying” with an SDG=-1. For the SNR values, they are positive values except for the attacks “invert” (number 5) and “fft_invert” (number 6) of table 2. In effect, for the “invert” attack, the principle is to replace each sample value by its opposite and for the “Fft_invert” attack, the principle is to invert both the real and the imaginary in the frequency domain of the sample values. Therefore, it is obviously to get negative SNR values while having no degradation in audio quality (SDG=0, description=“imperceptible”). For the attacks from 26 to 29, they are audio manipulations that do not exist in the Stirmark attacks. Conversion 16.8.16 (number 23) changes the number of bits per sample from 16 to 8 bits and vice versa. Cut_replace_samples_1, Cut_replace_samples_10 and Cut_replace_samples_20 (numbers 24, 25, 26) are combinations of two attacks “cutsamples” (number 51) and “copysamples” (number 52) described in the table 5. For example, Cut_replace_samples_20 removes twenty samples every 1000 samples and replaces them by another twenty samples.

- Studying Stirmark attack part-2 (attacks from 27 to 42) in table 4

When applying these attacks, we observe that there are distinguished irregularities in the results:

Irregularity type 1: at this point, we observe that the results vary from one signal to another. In effect, we can find for the same attack “imperceptible”, “slightly annoying”, “annoying” and “very annoying” as decision of the subjective results.

Irregularity type 2: here, we perceive for the same signal and the same attack that the results between the objective test SNR and the subjective test SDG are not equivalent. For example, for the audio file “svega.wav” and the attack “addnoise_500”, we obtain 14.48 as SNR but with “very annoying” as decision of the subjective test. However, for the audio signal “Tunisia.wav” and the attack “add brumm_8100”, we find “Perceptible but not annoying” as decision of the subjective test with a bad SNR value equals to -1.16.

For the Stirmark attacks part-2 presented in table 4, we cannot expect the watermarking robustness results after applying them to the watermarked audio signal as we cannot make a global decision if they corrupt or not the audio quality.

### Table 3

| Stirmark attacks | Tunisia.wav | Svega.wav |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|
|                  | SNR         | SDG/Description | SNR         | SDG/Description |
| 14 Stat1         | 21.39       | Imperceptible   | 20.73       | Imperceptible   |
| 15 Stat2         | 35.32       | Imperceptible   | 29.68       | Imperceptible   |
| 16 Re_sample_44.1, 32.44.1 | 62.81 | Imperceptible   | 44.57       | Imperceptible   |
| 17 Re_sample_44.1, 22.5.44.1 | 43.29 | Imperceptible   | 27.95       | Imperceptible   |
| 18 AddBrumm_100  | 37.05       | Imperceptible   | 29.08       | Imperceptible   |
| 19 AddBrumm_1100 | 16.18       | Imperceptible   | 8.21        | Imperceptible   |
| 20 AddBrumm_2100 | 10.56       | Imperceptible   | 2.59        | Imperceptible   |
| 21 Addnoise_100  | 39.40       | Imperceptible   | 31.44       | Imperceptible   |
| 22 Addnoise_300  | 29.82       | Imperceptible   | 21.85       | Perceptible but not annoying |
| 23 Conversion_16.8.16 | 30.63 | Imperceptible   | 22.58       | Perceptible but not annoying |
| 24 Cut_replace_samples_1 | 91.54 | Imperceptible   | 43.81       | Imperceptible   |
| 25 Cut_replace_samples_10 | 81.64 | Imperceptible   | 43.82       | Imperceptible   |
| 26 Cut_replace_samples_20 | 78.56 | Imperceptible   | 43.82       | Imperceptible   |

### Table 4

| Stirmark attacks | Tunisia.wav | Svega.wav |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|
|                  | SNR         | SDG/Description | SNR         | SDG/Description |
| 27 AddBrumm_3100 | 7.18        | Imperceptible   | -0.79       | Imperceptible   |
| 28 AddBrumm_4100 | 4.75        | Imperceptible   | -3.22       | Slightly Annoying |
| 29 AddBrumm_5100 | 2.86        | Imperceptible   | -5.11       | -3 Annoying     |
| 30 AddBrumm_6100 | 1.30        | Imperceptible   | -6.67       | -3 Annoying     |
| 31 AddBrumm_7100 | -0.01       | Perceptible but not annoying | -7.99       | -3 Annoying     |
| 32 AddBrumm_8100 | -1.16       | Perceptible but not annoying | -9.13       | -3 Annoying     |
| 33 AddBrumm_9100 | -2.17       | Slightly Annoying | -10.14      | -3 Annoying     |
| 34 AddBrumm_10100 | -3.08      | Slightly Annoying | -11.05      | -3 Annoying     |
| 35 Addnoise_500  | 25.38       | Imperceptible   | 17.40       | Slightly Annoying |
| 36 Addnoise_700  | 22.46       | Imperceptible   | 14.48       | Very Annoying   |
| 37 Addnoise_900  | 20.27       | Imperceptible   | 12.29       | Very Annoying   |
| 38 Amplify       | 6.01        | Annoying        | 6.02        | Slightly Annoying |
| 39 Compressor    | 21.46       | Slightly Annoying | 60.21       | Imperceptible   |
| 40 Dynoise       | 19.32       | Imperceptible   | 19.31       | Perceptible but not annoying |
| 41 Fft_hplass    | 11.81       | Imperceptible   | 17.44       | Perceptible but not annoying |
| 42 Zerocross     | 25.88       | Imperceptible   | 15.87       | -3 Annoying     |
These attacks will be deliberated in our watermarking robustness tests.

- **Studying Stirmark attack part-3 (attacks from 43 to 52) in table 5**

We perceive without doubt a significant degradation of the audio quality. In fact, all subjective results are all time “Very Annoying” with an SDG=−4 for all original audio files. For the SNR values, they are bad with lower values except for the attack “addsinus” (number 43). Besides, the attacks from 49 to 52 are the worst attacks that affect remarkably the audio quality. In effect, in addition to the fact that the resulted subjective decisions are almost “Very Annoying” with an SDG=−4, it is not possible to calculate the SNR with these attacks as the obtained attacked audio files are very different from the original (they do not have the same dimensions). As our proposed audio watermarking technique is typically used for copyright protection application, we conclude that it is not interesting to take into account the attacks of table 5, in the robustness tests.

![TABLE 5 IMPERCEPTIBILITY STIRMARK ATTACK PART-3 TESTS](image)

| Stirmark attacks   | Tunisia.wav | Svega.wav |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|
| SNR                | SDG/Description | SNR | SDG/Description |
| 43 Addsinus        | 14.73 | -4 Very Annoying | 6.75 | -4 Very Annoying |
| 44 Echo            | 3.14  | -4 Very Annoying | 2.98 | -4 Very Annoying |
| 45 Flipp sample    | 0.45  | -4 Very Annoying | 0.64 | -4 Very Annoying |
| 46 Fit stat1       | 1.23  | -4 Very Annoying | 1.63 | -4 Very Annoying |
| 47 Addfftnoise     | 0.01  | -4 Very Annoying | 9.27e-004 | -4 Very Annoying |
| 48 Voiceremove     | -4.61e-006 | -4 Very Annoying | -3.85e-006 | -4 Very Annoying |
| 49 ZeroLength      | X      | -4 Very Annoying | X     | -4 Very Annoying |
| 50 ZeroRemove      | X      | -4 Very Annoying | X     | -4 Very Annoying |
| 51 Cutsamples      | X      | -4 Very Annoying | X     | -4 Very Annoying |
| 52 Copiesamples    | X      | -4 Very Annoying | X     | -4 Very Annoying |

In fact, applying these attacks to the watermarked audio file noticeably corrupts the audio quality, and then the attacked watermarked file will not be exploited. Despite these facts, and to observe the behavior of our watermarking approach against these malevolent attacks, we decide to test the robustness against three selected attacks of table 5 which are “addsinus” (number 43), “echo” (number 44) and “flipp sample” (number 45). This choice is for the reason that it is possible for a pirate to apply them to remove the watermark without realizing that it will damage the auditory quality of the attacked watermarked signal. Furthermore, we have also thought of combining two attacks and perceiving their effects on the watermark. Since the attacks “cutsamples” (number 51) and “copiesamples” (number 52) significantly destroy the audio quality if they are applied each one alone, we decide to combine them. We first remove one (or ten) (or twenty) samples every 1000 samples (the “cutsamples” attack) and then we replace them (the “copiesamples” attack) by one (or ten) (or twenty) corresponding samples of the original audio file. The obtained attacks are “Cut_replace_samples_1”, “Cut_replace_samples_10” and “Cut_replace_samples_20”. We categorized the obtained attacks in the table 3 (numbers 24 to 26) as they always present very high SNR and subjective results “imperceptible” with an SDG=0. We explicate in the following paragraphs our proposed audio watermarking technique for copyright protection application.

4) **EXPLOITATION OF HPM WITH LPC ESTIMATION IN A NEW AUDIO WATERMARKING TECHNIQUE FOR SECURITY COPYRIGHT PROTECTION APPLICATION**

The MPEG audio standard [69] encodes audio file by eliminating the acoustically irrelevant portions of the audio data. In reality, it benefits from the human auditory system’s incapability to perceive quantization noise beneath auditory masking conditions. The HPM calculates the quantitative estimation of the basic limit of indiscernible audio signal compression. This limit is the masking threshold curve Ltg deliberated after performing HPM seventh steps. HPM imposes that to have an imperceptible quantization noise; this noise should stay below the masking threshold curve. We have tried to make an analogy between compression and watermarking. Since the quantization noise resulted from the MPEG audio compression is inaudible when it is under the Ltg, we have anticipated then that the noise caused by the watermark insertion will be also inaudible if it is under the Ltg.

![FIGURE 4. The smoothing aspect of LPC curve with minimum variations vs PSD curve](image)
The new proposed audio watermarking technique for copyright protection applications DCT-NN-HPM followed these steps:

- The first seventh stages of the Human psychoacoustic model 1 HPM1 [69] are performed to obtain the masking threshold curve “Ltg”. The first step of the HPM1 computes the PSD of a 512 audio frame. These frames are overlapped with 128 samples as joint part.
- The non-overlapped frames noted “sframe” in temporal domain are given also after frame division of the original audio signal. Each non-overlapped frame “sframe” is of 384 samples size. These frames will be used later to embed the watermark bit after a 384-DCT transform.
- Getting the DSP from the first HPM1 stage, we calculate its envelope using the LPC envelope estimation “Env”. LPC envelope “Env” is chosen instead of the PSD to improve robustness of the scheme as LPC presents, after attacking audio signals, a smooth curve with minimum variations unlike the PSD curve as depicted in figure 4. LPC is universally used for sensitive envelope estimation and offers a smooth representation of the important and delicate sound proprieties. The idea of the LPC estimation is to represent each current audio sample x(n) by a linear combination of its p prior values x(n-p-1) through x(n-1). p is the order of the LPC[70]. Figure 5 displays in the middle frequency band [4 KHz, 11 Khz] the LPC envelope estimation “Env” of the PSD of an elected audio frame and the matching calculated “Ltg”. As depicted, f0 can be the adequate frequency where we insert delicately the watermark bit in the sensitive selected frame.
- After localizing the middle frequency MF band in a range of an audio frame depending on the audio signal characteristics, we compute the positive variances in the MF so that the envelope “Env” is under the “Ltg” as following:
  - For all samples in the MF band of a 512 frame do:
    - if\( Ltg > Env \) then \( \text{diff\_positive} = Ltg - Env \)
  - We calculate next the maximum difference from the computed positive differences “diff\_positive”.

It is imperative to note that the localized middle frequency band must be significantly narrow so that it will be the same calculated during the detection to ensure resynchronization of the frames and insertion positions.

- Lastly, after accomplishing the three previous steps for all overlapped 512 frames, we obtain N frequencies values where we can embed the watermark. We necessary generate a mapping between the indexes corresponding to these frequencies in the overlapped 512 frames and the indexes of the non-overlapped 384 audio frames “sframe”. We hide the watermark bits in the suitable index of the selected “sframe” after converting it to the frequency domain.

We define the embedding and the detection processes of this scheme in the following paragraphs:

- **DCT-NN-HPM watermark embedding process**
  - In the previous DCT-NN audio watermarking scheme, the audio signal is separated into non-overlapping frames of 512 samples and a DCT transform is achieved to each obtained frame. However, in the new DCT-NN-HPM, the provided non-overlapped frames “sframe” from the original audio division are of 384 samples size. Accordingly, the result is a DCT frame of 384 frequency samples size noted “sframe_DCT”. The obtained “sframe_DCT” is used after that to cover the watermark bit.

- **DCT-NN-HPM watermark extraction process**
  - In the preceding DCT-NN audio watermarking approach, we have chosen to hide the watermark bit in middle frequency band [4 kHz, 11 kHz]. For each frame and after localizing this band, we have explored the sample value the closest to the average value of the middle frequency located band and then we have deducted its position. The sample of the identified position covered the watermark bit. However, the research of the position of insertion in the new DCT-NN-HPM approach is different. In fact, after localizing a narrow middle frequency band depending on the audio signal characteristics, we have computed the positive differences in this band so that the LPC envelope is below the Ltg. Afterward; we have computed the maximum difference from the deliberated positive differences. The frequency sample corresponding to this maximum difference covered the watermark bit. The watermark insertion steps of the new DCT-NN-HPM are illustrated in the Figure 6.
in the case of de-synchronizing attacks. Another difference with the extraction process of the DCT-NN scheme is that the watermarked audio file is separated into non-overlapping frames of 384 samples as exhibited in Figure 7. We display in the following paragraphs the experimental results of the DCT-NN-HPM and the comparison tests with DCT-NN and other audio watermarking schemes.

5) INAUDIBILITY AND ROBUSTNESS RESULTS OF THE SECURITY WATERMARKING APPLICATION

To test compression robustness, we used standard lame Audio Encoder [30]. Besides, for other audio operations, we used the standard StirMark Benchmark for Audio (SMBA) tool with default parameters [71] and Audacity 2.3.3.

We used as watermark a binary image of size $32 \times 32$. Two common robustness evaluation metrics utilized in the literature are the normalized cross-correlation $NC$ [2, 30] and the Bit Error Rate $BER$ [2, 72, 73]. They assess the similarity between the extracted watermark and the inserted one. More $NC$ is near to 1, more extracted watermark is similar to the embedded watermark. In the contrary, more $BER$ is near to 0, more extracted watermark is similar to the hidden one.

In our tests, we assume that the watermark that is a binary logo of size $32 \times 32$, is existent if the calculated correlation exceeds 0.7 as chosen threshold value. In fact, if $NC$ surpasses this threshold, the extracted watermark is visibly similar to the hidden watermark. Moreover, we consider that the watermark is decorously extracted, if the computed Bit Error Rate value is less than 0.3. In effect, if $BER$ is under this threshold, the detected watermark is perceptibly comparable to the embedded one.

As we know, the most famous removal attack is lossy compression. The common standard lossy compression for audio signal is the MPEG 1 Audio Layer III MP3 that is regularly used by audio consumer storage. Different bit rates are used in the MP3 standard. 128 Kbps bit rate is the most usually used [74] at a compression ratio of 11:1, guaranteeing generally adequate sound quality. We test robustness of the actual proposed watermarking approach using three MP3 compression rates (128, 96 and 64Kbps). This chosen bit rates are the most frequently used rates in prior audio watermarking techniques [19, 41, 63, 64, 67, 75, 76, 77].

- Inaudibility results

Figure 8 shows the inaudibility results of the DCT-NN-HPM scheme.

Due to the exploitation of the frequency perceptual masking related to the LPC estimation of the digital audio signal, obtained SNR values are between 39 dB and 52 dB and are significantly higher than the designed value by the IFPI (20 dB).

- MP3 robustness results

Figure 9 exhibits the MP3 robustness results. For all audio signals, we achieve very good MP3 robustness results (even, with 64Kbps as compression rate, we have all the time $NC$ values greater than 0.87).
The DCT-NN-HPM technique resists truly to the MP3 compression attack even with very damaging bitrates. We realize than, that using the HPM in the frequency domain assures not only perfect inaudibility but also good robustness to MP3 compression.

- **Stirmark attacks part-1 robustness results**
  Figure 10 presents the Stirmark attacks part-1 robustness results. We deduce that the DCT-NN-HPM scheme has good robustness results excepting the invert/fft_invert attacks.

- **Stirmark attacks part-2 robustness tests**
  Figure 11 displays the DCT-NN-HPM based Stirmark attack part-2 tests. We deduce that exploiting the HPM in the frequency domain has noticeably providing good Stirmark attack part-2 robustness results.

- **Stirmark attacks part-3 robustness results**
  Figure 12 exhibits the DCT-NN-HPM based stirmark attack part-3 tests. We obtain satisfying robustness results in spite of the damaging perceptive effects of these types of attacks specially for sensitive Quranic audio signals (NC >0.83)

Lastly, we conclude that experimental results have revealed that the exploitation of frequency perceptual masking studied in HPM with the spectral envelope estimation in the frequency domain are very interesting with very good inaudibility and robustness results.

**6) INAUDIBILITY AND ROBUSTNESS COMPARISON WITH OTHERS**

In this section, we exhibit comparison results with our previous scheme DCT-NN [2] and other published audio watermarking schemes by computing the BER, NC and SNR averages of different marks and audio files for all compared schemes.

- **Inaudibility comparison with others**
  Exploring the table 6, we observe that the DCT-NN-HPM approach is the most efficacious audio watermarking scheme in terms of inaudibility. Moreover, our previous scheme [2] and the technique in [78] assure also good imperceptibility results.

- **MP3 compression comparison with others**
  We compare the robustness to MP3 attack of the introduced audio watermarking technique with others. Results are presented in tables 7 and 8. “X” means that the equivalent technique does not treat the indicated attack. When examining the compression results, we notice that our suggested technique DCT-NN-HPM has the best results while using BER or NC metrics when considering the three compression bitrates. This observation proves the effectiveness of integrating the HPM masking study in the embedding algorithm. Besides, schemes in [64, 66, 67, 68, 77] are also robust to MP3 compression.

- **Stirmark attacks comparison results with others**
  The Stirmark attack results are introduced in tables 9 and 10. In fact, when examining table 9, showing the comparative Stirmark attacks between our proposed scheme and other ones by using the normalized cross-correlation NC, we notice that...
our suggested scheme DCT-NN-HPM has the best robustness results since all the NC values are 1 or very close to 1.

Moreover, if we observe table 10, showing the comparative Stirmark attacks between our suggested technique and existing ones by using the Bit Error Rate BER, we remark that our scheme DCT-NN-HPM has the best robustness results since all the BER values are 0 or very close to 0, excepting the invert attack.

In addition, techniques in [2, 63, 64, 65] resist well to designed Stirmark attacks.
TABLE 6
COMPARATIVE INAUDIBILITY RESULTS OF THE DCT-NN-HPM TECHNIQUE WITH OTHERS

| Algorithms                      | SNR  |
|--------------------------------|------|
| DCT-NN-HPM                     | 47.62|
| DCT Neural Network architecture [2] | 43.52|
| Support vector regression [75]  | 27.23|
| Rational dither modulation with majority voting [63] | 28.33|
| DWT Variable-dimensional vector modulation [67] | 20.30|
| Modifying the Average Amplitude in Transform Domain [64] | 23.49|
| Compressive Sensing [78]       | 41.54|
| Wavelet-coefficients quantization [82] | 21|
| Wavelet-coefficients Mean-quantization [65] | 37.97|
| Asymmetric turbo-Hadamard code [83] | 29.63|
| Singular-value decomposition [84] | 25.24|
| Chaotic Encryption in Hybrid Domain [66] | 24.58|
| Spread spectrum [85]           | 28.59|
| DC-level shifting [86]         | 21.24|
| Echo-data hiding [87]          | 21.47|
| Phase-coding [87]              | 12.2 |
| Frequency-masking [88]         | 12.87|
| Empirical Mode Decomposition [89] | 25.415|
| Fast Walsh Hadamard Transform [90] | 33.83|
| Wavelet based technique [91]   | 32.45|
| DWT-based rational dither modulation [67] | 20.21|
| Moving Average and DCT [68]    | 30.93|
| Air Channel Characteristics [92] | -20 |

TABLE 7
COMPARATIVE MP3 COMPRESSION (NC) RESULTS OF THE DCT-NN-HPM WITH OTHERS

| Algorithms                      | 128 Kbps | 96 Kbps | 64 Kbps |
|--------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| DCT-NN-HPM                     | 1        | 1       | 0.95    |
| DCT Neural Network architecture [19] | 1       | 0.98   | 0.93    |
| Support-vector regression [71]  | 0.96     | X       | X       |
| Modifying the Average Amplitude in Transform Domain [64] | 1       | X       | 0.99    |
| Wavelet-coefficients quantizing [82] | X       | X       | 0.84    |
| Wavelet-coefficients Mean-quantization [65] | X       | X       | 0.77    |
| Asymmetric turbo-Hadamard code [83] | 1       | X       | X       |
| Chaotic Encryption in Hybrid Domain [66] | 1       | X       | 0.99    |
| Fast Walsh Hadamard Transform [90] | X       | X       | 0.99    |
| Wavelet based technique [91]    | 0.97     | X       | X       |

TABLE 8
COMPARATIVE MP3 COMPRESSION (BER) RESULTS OF THE DCT-NN-HPM WITH OTHERS

| Algorithms                      | 128 Kbps | 96 Kbps | 64 Kbps |
|--------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| DCT-NN-HPM                     | 0.0049   | 0.0098  | 0.01    |
| DCT Neural Network architecture [2] | 0.01     | X       | X       |
| Support-vector regression [71]  | 0.02     | X       | X       |
| Rational dither modulation with majority voting [63] | 0.04     | X       | 10.10   |
| DWT Variable-dimensional vector modulation [67] | 0        | X       | 0.009   |
| Modifying the Average Amplitude in Transform Domain [64] | 0.01     | X       | 0.08    |
| Wavelet coefficients quantizing [82] | X       | X       | 0.23    |
| Wavelet-coefficients Mean-quantization [65] | X       | X       | 0.29    |
| Singular-value decomposition [84] | 0        | X       | X       |
| Chaotic Encryption in Hybrid Domain [66] | 0.01    | X       | 0.06    |
| Fast Walsh Hadamard Transform [90] | X       | X       | 0       |
| DWT-based rational dither modulation [67] | 0        | X       | 0.01    |
| Moving Average and DCT [68]     | 0        | X       | 0.01    |

TABLE 9
COMPARATIVE STIRMARK ATTACKS (NC) OF THE DCT-NN-HPM WITH OTHERS

| Attacks                      | DCT-NN-HPM | [2] | [71] | [64] | [65] | [83] |
|------------------------------|------------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Attack free                  | 1          | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| Add noise                    | 1          | 1   | X    | 0.98 | X    | 0.98 | 0.77 |
| Normalize                    | 1          | 1   | X    | X    | X    | X    | 0.98 |
| Statistical evaluation       | 0.99       | 0.98| X    | X    | X    | X    | 0.76 |
| LSBzero                      | 1          | 1   | X    | X    | X    | X    | 1    |
| Re-sampling 44.1-22.05-44.1  | 1          | 1   | X    | 0.99 | X    | 0.99 | 1    |
| Re-sampling 44.1-32-44.1     | 1          | 1   | 0.88 | X    | X    | X    | 1    |
| LowPass filtering            | 0.98       | 0.98| 0.96 | 1    | 0.99 | 1    | X    |
| Convert 16-9-16              | 1          | 1   | 1    | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.99 | X    |

We describe in the following a second audio watermarking scheme for non-security usage focusing on audio content characterization and based on deep learning classification architecture.
chirp-based watermarking, in which they hidden the linear phase signals as TF signatures. To compensate the BERs in the estimated watermarked audio signal, Hough-Radon transform (HRT) is used as chirp detector in the post-processing process. The technique could correct the error up to BER of 20% and the robustness was acceptable.

In [95], authors used state-of-the-art in frame selection to suggest a new approach to preserve most of the discriminative features of speaker and to safe speech signals by applying speech watermarking method. Thus, linear predictive analysis is exploited for each frame to extract gain, formants and residual errors. Consequently, a frequency weighted function is utilized to quantify formants, and high order correlation with error gain is exploited for weighting the residual errors. Experiments confirmed an overall (12%) efficiency in terms of performance, memory and time of frame selection approach for speaker recognition and speech watermarking.

Paper in [96], presented a technique for joining biometric speech authentication and watermarking to assimilate metadata into the authentication process lacking important quality and performance damages. Different audio watermark schemes was introduced to hide metadata as supplementary information into the reference data of biometric speaker recognition. Metadata consisted on auxiliary information about the social, cultural or biological context of the proprietor of the biometric information as well as technical specifics of the sensor. Authors achieved their tests based on a database reserved from 33 subjects and 5 different expressions and a known cepstrum based speaker recognition approach in verification mode. The objective is to accomplish an evaluation of the recognition precision for the selected technique in the context of the gender belongings of the individuals. The first tests displayed that the recognition precision was not considerably deteriorated by the hidden information. In addition, the losses of the enactment of the used biometric authentication mechanism were fewer for female than for male individuals.

B. AUDIO WATERMARKING SCHEME FOR DEEP LEARNING BASED AUDIO CONTENT CHARACTERIZATION APPLICATIONS

We begin by debating some prior watermarking techniques related to content characterization applications. After that, we introduce our contribution in this type of audio watermarking applications.

1) WATERMARKING TECHNIQUES RELATED TO CONTENT CHARACTERIZATION APPLICATION

Some prior techniques were debating content characterization by watermarking scheme.

In [93], authors studied two different areas of content-based audio watermarking and recovery using Time-Frequency (TF) parameters. Audio signals are non-stationary and multi-component signals, which involve a series of sinusoids with harmonically allied frequencies. Thus, authors considered the short time Fourier transform (STFT) of the audio file to extract parameters that will be exploited to classify or watermark the signal. Hence, authors suggested a new spread spectrum watermarking algorithm using Instantaneous Mean Frequency (IMF) estimation of the original audio signal and the simultaneous masking to obtain optimal points of watermark insertion. Results confirmed that the watermark was inaudible, statistically unnoticeable and robust to standard signal processing manipulations with BER 0-13%.

In [94], A TF-based audio coding algorithm with new psychoacoustics model, music classification, audio classification, audio fingerprinting, and audio watermarking was introduced to demonstrate the benefits of using time-frequency methods in studying and extracting information from audio files. Authors used IMF estimation of the audio signal and non-linear TF signature as mark. They proposed

| Attacks                  | DCT-NN-HPM | DCT-NN-HPM | DCT-NN-HPM | DCT-NN-HPM | DCT-NN-HPM | DCT-NN-HPM |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Echo                    | 0.09       | 0.13       | 1.49       | 0.01       | 0.36       | X          | 0.01       | 0.02       | 0          |
| AddBrumm                | 0          | 0          | X          | X          | X          | 0.01       | 0          | 0          | 0          |
| AddSinus                | 0          | 0          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          | 0.03       | X          |
| AddNoise                | 0          | 0          | 0          | 2.27       | 1.92       | 0.01       | 0.01       | 0.05       | 1.28       |
| Amplify                 | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0.01       | 0.01       | 0.01       | 0          | 0.51       | 1.28       |
| Statistical evaluation  | 0.04       | 0.05       | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          | 0          | 0          |
| Labzero                 | 0          | 0          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          | 0          | 0          |
| Invert                  | 0.57       | 0.59       | 0.01       | 0.01       | 0.01       | X          | 1.38       | 0.01       | 0.02       |
| Re-sampling 22.05-44.1  | 0          | 0          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          |
| Re-sampling 44.1-32.0-44.1 | 0  | 0          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          | X          |
| LowPass filtering       | 0.01       | 0.02       | 0          | 0.01       | 0.01       | 0.01       | X          | 0          | 0.02       |
| Conversion 16-8-16      | 0          | 0          | 0.14       | 0.02       | 0.12       | X          | X          | 0          | 0          |

TABLE 10 COMPARATIVE STIRMARK ATTACKS (BER) OF THE DCT-NN-HPM WITH OTHERS
Motivated by the great development of deep learning at the expense of the classic learning algorithms, we propose to combine the feature vector with the Deep Neural Networks to develop an audio classification system. Retrieved information characterizing the audio content is then embedded using an audio watermarking technique. The proposed system is detailed and the adopted watermark embedding technique is also introduced. Experimental results are reported exhibiting the retrieved performance on public datasets. Finally, watermark robustness and transparency are assessed.

Remember that in the non-security watermarking applications, the robustness to intentional attacks is not required, a definite amount of robustness against licit treatments as the compression is necessary. In such applications, the watermark should commonly contain a great capacity information’s and must be extracted using blind detection approach. One of the most popular applications for data transmission is sound document annotation. In fact, as we know, this application can be used to transfer a label to help signals indexing. The inserted information can contain meta-data describing the signal content or information about a target application. For example, the hidden watermark can indicate the name of the artist, the place of registration or any other data relating to the signal like in [52, 53].

In our case, the proposed watermarking technique DCT-MLP-LSB serves also to characterize the host audio document. A deep learning based strategy is exploited to analyze and classify the audio content into audio classes: music, speech, male speaker, happy speaker, etc. So a watermark containing information characterizing the audio content is constructed. In the extraction process, this watermark will inform about the audio class: music or speech, the speaker gender, etc.

3) PROPOSED SCHEME FOR CONTENT CHARACTERIZATION USING AUDIO WATERMARKING

Figure 13 summarize our scheme for content characterization based on deep learning using audio watermarking scheme. Main parts of the system are detailed: feature extraction, deep neural network classification and the watermarking scheme.

- Audio Feature extraction
Features must be the more informative conferring to the considered application. The audio file is usually divided into overlapping windows. Next, descriptors are calculated for each frame. Statics are made later in longer-term windows. So, we can define two processing levels, as displayed in the Figure 14: short-term and mid-term levels. Feature extraction that is a crucial stage in machine learning and pattern recognition tasks aims to envisage a set of features extracted from the considered dataset. As it is hard to directly perform on the original signal, feature extraction could be viewed as a data amount reduction procedure. In order to get a higher accuracy, it is imperative to select the most appropriate features set to the specific application.

- Short-term analysis
In the short-term analysis known as frame-based processing, the audio file is divided into overlapping frames as exhibited in the Figure 14. The window duration at this level, is about 10 to 50 ms, within which the signal is considered as stationary. Consequently, descriptors can be extracted and computed during it [97]. After the framing step, a windowing is applied usually on each frame to evade discontinuities at block boundaries. In our approach, Hamming window is selected at this step. After windowing, the deliberated features will be calculated per frame as presented in the Figure 14. As stated by the computational way, extracted descriptors can be classified into time-domain features and frequency-domain ones. Temporal Audio Features: these features are directly calculated from the audio samples. The most known time-domain features are Short-term energy [98] [99], energy entropy and zero crossing rate [98]. These features will be utilized in the feature extraction stage of our technique because they guarantee simple and good mean for audio signals analysis.
Spectral Audio Features: In order to guarantee correct audio analysis, it is essential to combine time-domain and frequency-domain features, called also spectral features. These metrics are computed using Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) coefficients of the designed audio frame. The most known spectral-domain features are spectral flux,
spectral centroid, spectral roll off, Mel-Frequency Cepstrum Coefficients (MFCCs) [98,100], chroma vector [101] and Relative Spectral Analysis-Perceptual Linear Prediction (Rasta PLP) [102].

Figure 14. Audio signal decomposition

-Mid-term analysis
After performing the short-term known as the frame-based analysis, mid-term level statistics are computed. In effect, the frame-based processing was principally adopted in speech analysis as it was demonstrated that is more appropriate. Later, it was revealed that statistics made on longer-term windows could assure the semantic signification of the audio signal. Clip level or mid-term analysis is reached on probably overlapping fixed length segment fixed between 1 and 10 seconds. Clips represent a set of successive frames and depict the short-term features behavior. Indeed, the audio signal is separated into clips, and for each clip, statistics are calculated on the extracted short-term feature vector as illustrated in the Figure 14.

In this paper, we consider four mid-term statistics: the mean value, the standard deviation, the skewness and the kurtosis [103]. At first, each statistic metric is computed alone. After that, a fusion at feature level is proposed and performed between the proposed statistics as shown in Figure 15.

Figure 15. Fusion at feature level

- Deep learning based audio classification
In this work, we are interested in Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) which are interpretable deep neural networks such as a multilayer perceptron (MLP) as displayed in Figure 16. Block (1) of the Figure 17 targeting content characterization is performed using an MLP based architecture for audio classification for the three classification tasks: music and speech discrimination, speaker gender recognition and speech emotion identification. Categorical cross entropy is used as loss function and Softmax is used as activation function for the last dense layer.

Figure 16. MLP Deep Neural Network based audio classification scheme

- Adopted technique of audio watermarking for deep learning based audio content characterization applications.

The proposed watermarking technique DCT-MLP-LSB as illustrated in the Figure 17 serves to characterize the host audio document. Indeed, at each segment, detected watermark will inform about the audio class: music or speech, the speaker gender, etc. We start by detailing the watermark construction block, and then we move to the mark...
hiding process [5]. The original file is first split into fixed length segment. Each segment is analyzed and classified into audio classes: music, speech, male speaker, happy speaker, etc. Then, the retrieved information characterizing the audio content will be inserted in the same signal. A binary vector is constructed using this information as following: for example, 0 is assigned to music and 1 to speech, etc. After that, and in order to improve the robustness propriety, a Hamming encoder (8, 12) is applied. Simultaneously, audio signal is divided into fixed length blocks of 512 samples. Each block is transformed in the spectral domain by using DCT. Embedding region is selected in the middle frequency band. Mean DCT value of this band is computed. The nearest frequency to this mean value is elected as the position of insertion. The Least Significant Bit LSB of this position is then replaced by the watermark bit value. Inverse DCT is after that applied. This process is repeated for each block along the audio stream. Thereby, each watermarked audio segment holds information about its content: music, speech, male speaker, speech emotion, etc. Detecting the watermark will allow to get these data and point to a moment according to a given criterion.

![FIGURE 17. Proposed watermarking scheme of audio watermarking for deep learning based audio content characterization applications](image)

3.2.4 Experimental results of the non-security watermarking application

- Classification assessment

In the next subsections, experimental results are reported for each task, using public datasets.

- **Experiments on speech music discrimination**

Two popular public databases were experimented: GTZAN and S&S Music/Speech datasets. The GTZAN corpus is collection of speech tracks and music excerpts assembled for classification purposes [104]. It involves 128 extracts lasting 30 seconds. They are mono 16-bit audio wav files sampled at 22050Hz. This dataset comprises various musical styles and speech tracks recorded in different conditions. The second corpus is Scheirer-Slaney (S&S) Music/Speech dataset [105]. It consists of a collection of 246 audio files saved in WAVE format and during 15 seconds each one. These extracts were collected at random from the radio including music and speech. Experimental results on the two databases are reported in table 11. Best achievement for the two datasets is attained by fusion between all statistics and using 50 neurons. Standard deviation outperforms other statics when undertaken without fusion in all cases for the two datasets. Achieved performance of prior approaches are depicted in table 12. We notice that the suggested scheme attains the higher performance.

- **Experiments on speaker gender identification**

The proposed system was experimented using two datasets in different languages: Eustace in English [106] and Berlin in German [107]. According to the table 13, gathering all statistics allow to enhance classification accuracies. In fact, for the first dataset, best achievement is obtained in case of statistics fusion besides in case of computing one statistic standard deviation or mean values. Unlike the first database, highest performance for the second dataset is achieved when all mid-term level statistics are fused and using 10 neurons for the three hidden layer. Unlike the first task, mean value outperform the other single statistics of this task. Achieved performance of some previous works are reported in table 14. It could be confirmed according to this table that the proposed scheme outperforms sate of the art approaches and afford promising results.

- **Experiments on speaker emotion recognition**

Two public datasets are used: Berlin Database of Emotional Speech and Surrey Audio Visual Expressed Emotion (SAVEE) database. In order to evaluate system performance, accuracy for each affective state is reported in the table 15 and 16. Best rate is obtained in all cases of neurons number when using all mid-term level statistics and highest values are achieved in case of 100 neurons for both databases. In case of single statistic, highest performance is achieved in case of mean value for the first database while the standard deviation outperforms other statistics for the second dataset. Through table 17, we notice that the suggested technique achieves promising recognition rates compared to the state of the art.
### TABLE 11
CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY RESULTS FOR MUSIC/SPEECH DISCRIMINATION

| Classifier | Statistics       | Music | Speech | Global |
|------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Deep       | GTZAN Dataset   |       |       |        |
| (10,10,10) | Standard deviation | 95%  | 95%  | 95%   |
|            | Mean            | 95%  | 85%  | 90%   |
|            | Skewness        | 85%  | 90%  | 87.5% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 80%  | 95%  | 87.5% |
|            | All statistics  | 100% | 90%  | 95%   |
| Deep       | (50,50,50)      |       |       |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 95%  | 95%  | 95%   |
|            | Mean            | 90%  | 80%  | 85%   |
|            | Skewness        | 90%  | 95%  | 92.5% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 95%  | 95%  | 95%   |
|            | All statistics  | 100% | 95%  | 97.5% |
| Deep       | (100,100,100)   |       |       |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 95%  | 95%  | 95%   |
|            | Mean            | 90%  | 100% | 95%   |
|            | Skewness        | 90%  | 95%  | 92.5% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 95%  | 95%  | 95%   |
|            | All statistics  | 100% | 95%  | 97.5% |

### Table 11 continued...

| Classifier | Statistics       | Music | Speech | Global |
|------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Deep       | S&S Dataset     |       |       |        |
| (10,10,10) | Standard deviation | 85%  | 100% | 92.5  |
|            | Mean            | 85%  | 100% | 92.5% |
|            | Skewness        | 85%  | 95%  | 90%   |
|            | Kurtosis        | 75%  | 90%  | 82.5% |
|            | All statistics  | 90%  | 100% | 95%   |
| Deep       | (50,50,50)      |       |       |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 100% | 100% | 100%  |
|            | Mean            | 85%  | 100% | 92.5% |
|            | Skewness        | 90%  | 100% | 95%   |
|            | Kurtosis        | 95%  | 100% | 97.5% |
|            | All statistics  | 100% | 100% | 100%  |
| Deep       | (100,100,100)   |       |       |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 95%  | 100% | 97.5% |
|            | Mean            | 80%  | 100% | 90%   |
|            | Skewness        | 85%  | 100% | 92.5% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 90%  | 100% | 95%   |
|            | All statistics  | 95%  | 100% | 97.5% |

### Table 12
COMPARISON OF ACCURACY RESULTS FOR MUSIC/SPEECH DISCRIMINATION WITH PREVIOUS WORK

| References | Best Acc rate |
|------------|---------------|
| [10]       | 96.75%        |
| [100]      | 93.5%         |
| [109]      | 94.5%         |
| [1010]     | 95.9%         |
| [111]      | 97.22%        |
| [112]      | 97.28%        |

### TABLE 13
CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY RESULTS FOR SPEAKER GENDER IDENTIFICATION

| Classifier | Statistics       | Female | Male | Global |
|------------|-----------------|--------|------|--------|
| Deep       | GTZAN Dataset   |        |      |        |
| (10,10,10) | Standard deviation | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
|            | Mean            | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
|            | skewness        | 100%  | 93.8%| 96.9% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 100%  | 87.5%| 93.8% |
|            | All statistics  | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
| Deep       | (50,50,50)      |        |      |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
|            | Mean            | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
|            | skewness        | 100%  | 93.8%| 96.9% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 100%  | 91.7%| 95.8% |
|            | All statistics  | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
| Deep       | (100,100,100)   |        |      |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
|            | Mean            | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
|            | skewness        | 100%  | 93.8%| 96.9% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 100%  | 93.8%| 96.9% |
|            | All statistics  | 100%  | 100% | 100%  |
| Deep       | S&S Dataset     |        |      |        |
| (10,10,10) | Standard deviation | 84.5% | 75.9%| 80.2% |
|            | Mean            | 96.6% | 98.3%| 97.4% |
|            | skewness        | 91.4% | 81%  | 86.2% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 91.4% | 75.9%| 83.6% |
|            | All statistics  | 93.5% | 97.8%| 98.9% |
| Deep       | (50,50,50)      |        |      |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 93.1% | 65.5%| 79.3% |
|            | Mean            | 96.6% | 94.8%| 95.7% |
|            | skewness        | 87.9% | 84.5%| 86.2% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 87.9% | 72.4%| 80.2% |
|            | All statistics  | 93.5% | 97.8%| 95.7% |
| Deep       | (100,100,100)   |        |      |        |
|            | Standard deviation | 84.5% | 74.1%| 79.3% |
|            | Mean            | 94.8% | 94.8%| 94.8% |
|            | skewness        | 87.9% | 84.5%| 86.2% |
|            | Kurtosis        | 89.7% | 79.3%| 84.5% |
|            | All statistics  | 95.7% | 97.8%| 96.7% |
TABLE 14.
COMPARISON OF ACCURACY RESULTS FOR SPEAKER GENDER IDENTIFICATION WITH PREVIOUS WORK

| Ref | Best Acc Rate |
|-----|---------------|
| [113] | 95% |
| [114] | 98.65% |
| [115] | 90.1% |
| Our Work-Eustace dataset | 100% |
| Our work-Berlin dataset | 98.9% |

TABLE 15
CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY RESULTS FOR EMOTION SPEECH RECOGNITION ON BERLIN DATASET

| Dataset | Classifier | Statistics | Fear | Disgust | Happiness | Neutral | Sadness | Anger | Global |
|---------|------------|------------|------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| Deep Deviation (0.01/0.01) | Mean | 66.7 | 44.4 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 41.3 |
| | Standard Deviation | 22.2 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 88.9 | 33.3 | 42.9 |
| | Skewness | 33.3 | 44.4 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 66.7 | 47.6 |
| | Kurtosis | 44.4 | 22.2 | 44.4 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 41.3 |
| | All | 55.6 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 50.8 |
| Deep Deviation (0.5/0.01) | Mean | 77.8 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 33.3 | 44.4 |
| | Standard Deviation | 44.4 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 47.1 |
| | Skewness | 33.3 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 0% | 44.4 | 38.1 |
| | Kurtosis | 44.4 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 34.9 |
| | All | 66.7 | 77.8 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 77.8 | 57.1 |
| Deep Deviation (0.05/0.000) | Mean | 66.7 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 77.8 | 55.6 | 46.0 |
| | Standard Deviation | 66.7 | 66.7 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 66.7 | 57.1 |
| | Skewness | 55.6 | 55.6 | 77.8 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 0% | 22.2 | 41.3 |
| | Kurtosis | 33.3 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 42.9 |
| | All | 44.4 | 88.9 | 77.8 | 33.3 | 22.2 | 88.9 | 77.8 | 61.9 |

TABLE 16
CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY RESULTS FOR EMOTION SPEECH RECOGNITION ON SAVEE DATASET

| Dataset | Classifier | Statistics | Fear | Disgust | Happiness | Neutral | Sadness | Anger | Global |
|---------|------------|------------|------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| 41.7 | 66.7 | 41.7 | 33.3 | 75% | 83.3 | 56.9 |
| 41.7 | 50% | 58.3 | 33.3 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 47.2 |
| 66.7 | 16.7 | 0% | 8.3% | 58.3 | 16.7 | 27.8 |
| 75% | 8.3% | 25% | 8.3% | 50% | 0% | 27.8 |
| 58.3 | 41.7 | 50% | 83.3 | 58.3 | 50% | 56.9 |
| 50% | 58.3 | 50% | 83.3 | 100% | 66.7 | 68.1 |
| 75% | 83.3 | 41.7 | 50% | 50% | 50% | 58.3 |
| 66.7 | 25% | 8.3% | 16.7 | 41.7 | 8.3% | 27.8 |
| 75% | 8.3% | 0% | 8.3% | 50% | 16.7 | 26.4 |
| 83.3 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 75% | 62.5 |
| 41.7 | 50% | 66.7 | 58.3 | 91.7 | 66.7 | 62.5 |
| 75% | 58.3 | 41.7 | 50% | 58.3 | 66.7 | 58.3 |
| 75% | 8.3% | 8.3% | 16.7 | 33.3 | 8.3% | 25% |
| 66.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 8.3% | 50% | 0% | 26.4 |
| 83.3 | 75% | 66.7 | 58.3 | 66.7 | 100% | 75% |
TABLE 17
COMPARISON OF ACCURACY RESULTS FOR EMOTION SPEECH RECOGNITION WITH PREVIOUS WORK

| Ref    | Best Acc Rate |
|--------|---------------|
| [116]  | 49%           |
| [117]  | 55%           |
| [118]  | 72.05%        |
| [119]  | 71.7%         |
| [120]  | 76.3%         |
| Our work-Berlin Dataset | 61.9%         |
| Our work-SAVEE Dataset   | 75%           |

- **Watermarking evaluation**

After audio analysis process assessment, watermarking algorithm is evaluated in term of transparency and robustness.

- **Watermarking transparency results**

Signal to noise ratio SNR, comparing between the original and the watermarked files, is computed. According to the recommendation of the IFPI, transparency is confirmed when SNR values exceeded 20dB. Reported results are presented in Figure 18. According to the achieved SNR, watermark transparency is confirmed by very high values greater than 40 in all cases.

- **Watermarking robustness results**

Since any signal is compressed before storage or transmission, watermarking scheme should resist to such transformation and watermark should be correctly detected even after compression. MP3 encoder is experimented using typical compression ratio since it is the most utilized audio encoder. As shown in Figure 19, NC values are higher than 0.8 confirming that the mark is almost detected. Robustness against StirMark attacks is then assessed as shown in Figure 20. NC values are equal to 1 in most cases confirming the robustness of the proposed watermarking algorithm to the majority of attacks; excepting the cases of Add noise 700 and Dynnoise attacks where the NC is slightly lower than 1. This problem could be circumvented by the mark duplication.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

Digital audio watermarking can be used in different types of applications that target two different situations; the first one for security applications and the second one for non-security applications. Thus, in this paper, we carried a big attention in examining these situations. Then we proposed two digital watermarking schemes that we have implemented for basic and sensitive digital contents. A first scheme is an audio watermarking technique for security copyright protection application. This first work is hiding the signature in a narrow middle frequency band of an audio frame. We have involved NN architecture in the proposed insertion and detection processes to improve security and robustness even with high watermark capacity. Furthermore, we have studied and integrated some masking phenomena of the HPM. The objective is to determine the masking threshold curve and to compare it with the estimated Power Spectrum Density envelope to insert appropriately the signature under this curve. Experimental results have proved that using frequency perceptual masking with the spectral envelope estimation in the frequency domain offer good robustness results comparing with our previous NN based audio watermarking technique [2] and with other existing watermarking techniques. In summary, we can endorse that we have implemented an audio watermarking scheme.
meeting the requirements set by the IFPI with good robustness and imperceptibility results. Moreover, our proposed audio watermarking scheme is very useful for copyright protection of standard audio files and also sensitive audio data like Quranic files but can also be extended to guarantee content integrity verification, proof of authenticity and tamper detection of those signals. Furthermore, we have suggested a second new audio watermarking approach for content characterization as non-security application. The originality consists in using watermark holding information characterization the audio content. Once detected, the user could browse the audio file and move to a selected moment according to given criteria. For example, speech segments, uttered by a male speaker with a happy emotional state, could be picked out. For audio content analysis and classification, a deep learning based scheme was adopted and combined to a rich descriptor set. Moreover, for watermarking, a frequency domain technique is employed based on DCT transform. Reported results showed that the proposed scheme achieves higher performance at classification level as well as at watermarking.

As we are very interested with new digital watermarking applications, we are focusing in adopting our proposed audio watermarking schemes for video content to propose solution combating fake data such as fake election news or fake covid-19 related news.
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