Table S1 shows that patients receiving a well-organized MDT discussion had a longer survival time (HR: 0.41, 95% CI: 0.20-0.83) than the N-MDT group.

**Table S1.** The effect of well-organized MDT and disorganized MDT, compared to N-MDT in Cox regression.

| Variables       | Well-organized MDT (2011-2016, n=237) | Disorganized MDT (2006-2010, n=199) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                 | Haz. Ratio   | Std. Err. | P>|z| | Haz. Ratio   | Std. Err. | P>|z| |
| MDT             | 0.41         | 0.149     | 0.014 | 2.60         | 0.658     | 0.000 |
| TNM stage       | 1.34         | 0.352     | 0.261 | 1.98         | 0.363     | 0.000 |
| CCI             | 0.49         | 0.187     | 0.061 | 1.66         | 0.497     | 0.088 |
| Age             | 0.99         | 0.015     | 0.538 | 1.02         | 0.011     | 0.071 |
| Bilateral incidence | 5.07     | 2.129     | 0.000 | 1.86         | 0.687     | 0.094 |
| ER              | 0.24         | 0.100     | 0.001 | 0.64         | 0.163     | 0.078 |
| HER2            | 0.36         | 0.146     | 0.012 | 1.10         | 0.297     | 0.711 |

MDT: Multidisciplinary teams, TNM: tumor node metastasis, CCI: Charlson comorbidity index