RET signalling provides tumorigenic mechanism and tissue specificity for AIP-related somatotrophinomas
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It is unclear how loss-of-function germline mutations in the widely-expressed co-chaperone AIP, result in young-onset growth hormone secreting pituitary tumours. The RET receptor, uniquely co-expressed in somatotrophs with PIT1, induces apoptosis when unliganded, while RET supports cell survival when it is bound to its ligand. We demonstrate that at the plasma membrane, AIP is required to form a complex with monomeric-intracellular-RET, caspase-3 and PKCδ resulting in PIT1/CDKN2A-ARF/p53-apoptosis pathway activation. AIP-deficiency blocks RET/caspase-3/PKCδ activation preventing PIT1 accumulation and apoptosis. The presence or lack of the inhibitory effect on RET-induced apoptosis separated pathogenic AIP variants from non-pathogenic ones. We used virogenomics in neonatal rats to demonstrate the effect of mutant AIP protein on the RET apoptotic pathway in vivo. In adult male rats altered AIP induces elevated IGF-1 and gigantism, with pituitary hyperplasia through blocking the RET-apoptotic pathway. In females, pituitary hyperplasia is induced but IGF-1 rise and gigantism are blunted by puberty. Somatotroph adenomas from pituitary-specific Aip-knockout mice overexpress the RET-ligand GDNF, therefore, upregulating the survival pathway. Somatotroph adenomas from patients with or without AIP mutation abundantly express GDNF, but AIP-mutated tissues have less CDKN2A-ARF expression. Our findings explain the tissue-specific mechanism of AIP-induced somatotrophinomas and provide a previously unknown tumorigenic mechanism, opening treatment avenues for AIP-related tumours.

INTRODUCTION

The pituitary gland contains five different endocrine cell types with growth hormone (GH)-secreting cells, so-called somatotrophs, contributing to ~50% of the gland. The transcription factor PIT1 is required for the expression and secretion of GH. PIT1 is also essential for somatotroph differentiation during embryonic development and for proliferative and secretory response to the hypothalamic factor GH-releasing hormone (GHRH) [1]. However, when PIT1 is excessively accumulated in a somatotroph cell, apoptosis is initiated resulting in the elimination of the cell [2, 3]. Somatotroph tumours secrete excess GH leading to acromegaly or gigantism if started before epiphyseal closure and need to be treated with surgery and pharmacological treatment [4–6]. Excess GH and its target hormone IGF-1 causes enlarged organs, bone and joint deformation, hypertension, diabetes and significantly reduced life expectancy if left untreated [4, 5].

Germline mutations in the AIP gene is a known cause of familial isolated pituitary adenoma (FIPA), mostly somatotrophinomas [7–11]. Pituitary tumours associated with AIP mutations (AIP-FIPA) are often invasive macroadenomas presenting in the second decade. They are prone to pituitary apoplexy and respond poorly to first-generation somatostatin analogue therapy. Genetic screening and clinical follow-up of carriers lead to earlier disease detection and better outcomes [12].

AIP is a widely expressed co-chaperone and there is no clear explanation why it predisposes to specifically pituitary somatotroph or lactotroph tumorigenesis and no other tumours. It is quite clear that the cAMP pathway is key in somatotroph tumorigenesis and several lines of experimental results suggested upregulated cAMP pathway, reduced Gα13 expression and abnormal phosphodiesterase interactions, but none represent pituitary-specific mechanisms [13–16]. Being a chaperone, not surprisingly several novel interacting proteins have been described for AIP [17] and miR34 has also emerged in two independent studies as a related key microRNA [18, 19]. Knowledge derived from cell-based experiments considers AIP as a tumour suppressor in some cell types [7, 8, 15], but not all [20]. Global knockout in mice, Drosophila and C. elegans shows embryonic lethality [21–23]. The global Aip heterozygous knockout mouse or conditional knockout restricted to Ghs- (somatotrophs) or Hex1-(pituitary) expressing cells present a phenotype with pituitary tumours [24–27].
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The RET receptor is a tyrosine kinase with four ligands (GDNF, NRTN, ARTM, PSPN) and four respective co-receptors (GFRA1-4). RET is implicated in several diseases from neuroendocrine cancer, such as medullary thyroid carcinomas and pheochromocytomas, to developmental defects in parasympathetic neurons and the urinary tract. Normal and tumorous somatotroph cells express RET, GDNF and GFRA1 [28–30]. RET is active both in the absence and presence of its ligands, therefore giving rise to two different pathways. Both can be activated in somatotroph cells. In the absence of the ligand GDNF, RET is intracellularly processed by caspase-3 and induces the PIT1 promoter with massive PIT1 accumulation, resulting in the upregulation of the RET/PIT1/ARF/p53-apoptotic pathway [2, 3, 30, 31]. At the PIT1 promoter, activation is mediated by conserved CRE and c/EBP binding sites which are mediated by conserved CRE and c/EBP binding sites, following activation of phospho-JNK [2]. Similarly, induction of the CDKN2A-ARF promoter is mediated by a conserved PIT1 binding site [3]. On the other hand, when RET is stimulated by its ligand GDNF, RET dimerises and upregulates the GDNF/RET/AKT survival pathway via its tyrosine-kinase activity, while maintaining PIT1 expression at basal levels [2, 30, 31]. The two pathways are independent, as kinase-dead RET point mutants in the presence of GDNF are unable to activate the AKT pathway, but keep stimulating the apoptotic pathway [2]. Thus, RET is a dependence receptor [32, 33] for somatotroph cells, as they need the constant presence of GDNF to survive. Both the RET-related survival (presence of RET ligand) and apoptosis (lack of RET ligand) pathways are conserved in sporadic somatotroph tumours [31] and recent results demonstrated that i) overexpression of GDNF is a hallmark of somatotroph tumours, and ii) lower level ARF expression is a prognostic marker for resistance to therapy [30].

Although it was shown that RET can bind AIP in rat whole pituitary extracts, molecular studies performed in HEK293 cells with RET or AIP were unable to demonstrate any functionality of this interaction, as it was not affected by any RET or AIP point mutations [34]. Previously we had demonstrated that proliferative responses to GHRH varied depending on the cell phenotype, and this was specifically caused by differences in PIT1 expression [1]. We hypothesise that AIP has a role in RET-related survival and apoptotic pathways specifically in somatotroph cells. In the current study, we have explored the action of wild-type human AIP (wtAIP), missense AIP variants identified in pituitary adenoma patients and AIP repression (siRNA or knockout) on the RET/PIT1/ARF/p53-apoptosis pathway, using well-characterized somatotroph cell lines, primary pituitary cultures, a new rat model of gigantism/acromegaly and a novel pituitary-specific Aip knockout mouse.

**RESULTS**

**Functional classification of AIP mutations into two groups: protein present or absent**

AIP is coded by a gene on 11q13 spanning six exons (Fig. 1A). Various genetic alterations have been found in patients with pituitary adenomas, such as whole gene or exon deletions, promoter mutations, insertions/deletions, splicing mutations as well as nonsense and missense mutations. While loss-of-function truncating mutations are listed as pathogenic in databases, many missense variants are listed as Variants of Uncertain Significance due to lack of convincing clinical data and shortage of functional data (Fig. 1B). We have selected nine AIP variants identified in patients (Supplementary Table 1), some with previous attempts at functional characterization [8, 9, 14, 22, 35]. In silico analysis with 17 available algorithms showed lack of consensus regarding the pathogenicity of these variants (Supplementary Table 2).

The selected human AIP variants, as well as wtAIP, were cloned in a vector including an N-terminal MYC-tag. Variants were transfected into rat GH4C1 somatotroph cells, which endogenously express PIT1, GH and RET pathway members GDNF and GFRA1 at similar levels to normal rat pituitary tissue (Supplementary Figure 1B–D). However, GH4C1 cells poorly express RET receptor at both mRNA and protein levels (Supplementary Figure 1B, C). These data are in keeping with our previous studies using Northern blots, and our previous repeatedly failed attempts to stably express RET in GH4C1, leading to the discovery of the RET-apoptotic pathway in somatotroph cells [2]. When cells were deprived of serum (0.1% FBS), intracellular sorting of GDNF and GFRA1 proteins was arrested, and GH expression was downregulated (Supplementary Figure 1C, D).

Anti-AIP antibody detected both endogenous rat and exogenous human AIP protein, while transfected AIP protein could be detected through the MYC-tag antibody as well. Half-life of the nine selected AIP variants in pituitary cells did not change in presence of human RET (Fig. 1B, C), similarly to data previously studied in HEK2929 cells for some of the variants [17, 36]. Rat Aip siRNA silencing was chosen as a strategy to explore the activity of those unstable AIP variants (Fig. 1D).

**Pathogenic AIP variants or AIP repression block the RET/PIT1/CDKN2A-ARF/p53 apoptotic pathway specifically in pituitary somatotrophs**

Our previous results have characterized precisely that GH4C1 cells transfected with RET, either the short (RETS, 1072 amino acids) or the long (RET, 1116 amino acids) isoforms, start to die 48 h after deprivation of RET ligand GDNF, and this was prevented by addition of exogenous recombinant GDNF.

We transfected wt or variant AIP plasmids into GH4C1 cells in the absence or presence of RETS and evaluated apoptosis by Hoechst 33258 staining, a system model in which we had extensive previous experience as an indicator of the activity of the RET-associated apoptotic pathway [2, 3] (Fig. 2A, B and Supplementary Figure 2A). Apoptosis was confirmed by a caspase-based fluorescent cellular assay and cell numbers (Supplementary Figure 2A–E).

The basal apoptosis rate in the absence of RET was not affected by any of the AIP variants, except the V49M variant that doubled the basal apoptosis rate (Fig. 2A). This germline variant was identified in a patient with gigantism but no loss-of-heterozygosity was detected in the somatotrophinoma tissue [37]. RETS transfection enhanced more than four times the rate of apoptosis in GH4C1 cells with or without wtAIP co-transfection (Fig. 2B). Apoptosis was prevented by GDNF, indicating that expression of wtAIP did not alter GDNF-induced RET activation and survival.

However, when some of the variant AIP plasmids were co-transfected with RETS, apoptosis was strongly and significantly reduced in the absence of GDNF (Fig. 2B). Similar results were obtained for RETG (Fig. 2B).

AIP variants R16H, V49M, C238Y, I257V, R271W, R304Q, R325Q prevented RET-dependent apoptosis, while R188Q and E245K did not alter RET-induced apoptosis (Fig. 2B). These two variants were actually found in patients with sporadic microprolactinoma and sporadic macroadenoma, therefore not the most typical characteristics associated with AIP mutations (Supplementary Table 1), had normal half-life and were considered non-pathogenic in the majority of algorithms in our in silico assessment (Supplementary Table 2). Of all the in silico algorithms, the most recent CADD 1.6 classification of the AIP variants coincided with the results from the apoptosis assay in pituitary cells (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Table 2). Based on these data, we divided the variants we tested into three groups:

- **Pathogenic variants (R16H, V49M, C238Y, I257V, R271W, R304Q, R325Q)**: These variants prevent RET-dependent apoptosis, while R188Q and E245K did not alter RET-induced apoptosis (Fig. 2B).
- **Benign variants (R188Q, E245K)**: These variants do not alter RET-induced apoptosis.
- **Intermediate variants (R16H, V49M, C238Y)**: These variants reduce RET-induced apoptosis, but do not prevent it completely.

Our results in somatotrophs show inhibition of RET-induced apoptosis by mutated AIP, while a previous study in HEK293 found no effect [34]. Therefore, we repeated our experiments in HEK293 and found no inhibition on RET-induced apoptosis in our pathogenic variants (Fig. 2C), confirming the previous data. These
results indicate that the effect of variant AIP was specific for pituitary cells expressing Pit1. While PIT1 plays a key role in the RET-related apoptotic pathway (Fig. 2D) [2, 3, 30], we considered that in somatotroph cells, wtAIP could be either implicated in promoting the RET-apoptotic pathway or in blocking the RET-survival pathway. Pathogenic AIP variants could either lack apoptosis-supporting activity of wtAIP or promote the RET-survival pathway even in the absence of GDNF.

To explore these questions, we studied PIT1/ARF/p53 expression (Fig. 2E). Cells co-transfected with RET and wtAIP increased PIT1, ARF and p53 expression. RET co-transfected with any AIP variant that blocked apoptosis (R16H, V49M, C238Y, I257V, R271W, R304Q, R325Q) did not present stimulated PIT1 expression and consequently had no ARF or p53 increase. AIP variants that did not block RET-induced apoptosis (R188Q, E245K) showed increased PIT1, ARF and p53 expression, similar to wtAIP (Fig. 2E). To confirm again the importance of the cell type, we repeated these experiments in HEK293 cells: here RET expression induces ARF and p53 expression and the presence of wtAIP or variant AIP does not change this induction (Fig. 2F).

Next we studied the functional effect of endogenous Aip downregulation in GH4C1 cells. After GDNF deprivation, RET induces apoptosis in the presence of scrambled (Sc) siRNA corresponding to increase in the expression of PIT1, ARF and p53 (Fig. 2G). Aip siRNA blocked RET-induced apoptosis in correlation with repressed PIT1, ARF and p53 levels.

A more physiological model was tested for the pituitary-specific effect of AIP. Primary pituitary cultures are a mix of cells with around 50% somatotroph cells. We transfected empty vector, wtAIP or three AIP variants (V49M, R304Q, R325Q) and tested the endogenous RET-apoptotic pathway (Fig. 2H). After GDNF deprivation, 50% of the cells had died and this was not altered by exogenous human wtAIP since PIT1, ARF and p53 were induced. AIP variants blocked apoptosis as efficiently as exogenous GDNF,
since they blocked PIT1 induction and consequently, ARF and p53 protein levels were low (Fig. 2H). In primary pituitary cultures, repression of endogenous Aip with siRNA blocked the endogenous RET-apoptotic pathway similar to GDNF, with reduced expression of PIT1, ARF and p53 levels (Fig. 2I).

Finally, to test which stage of the RET pathway is influenced by AIP, we transfected GH4C1 with intracellular (IC)-RET instead of full-length RETS together with wtAIP, variant AIP (V49M, R304Q) or Aip siRNA (Fig. 2J). In the presence of the 707–1017 intracellular portion of monomeric RET (IC-RET), AIP variants or Aip siRNA did not present any anti-apoptotic effect and PIT1/ARF/p53 were fully induced as in wtAIP. This suggests that AIP could be involved in the generation of the intracellular RET fragment. The RET-induced mRNA expression of the two key regulated genes Pit1 and Arf is stimulated by wtAIP and inhibited by AIP variants or Aip siRNA (Supplementary Figure 3A–G). This indicated that AIP acts on the RET-apoptotic pathway through a mechanism upstream of Pit1 induction. We investigated the first step in the pathway. In cells transfected with RETS + wtAIP, 1 hour after GDNF deprivation RET could be detected as a full-length double band of 170 kDa plus a strong band of around 42 kDa corresponding to one C-terminal tail cut by caspase-3 at position 707 (707–1072) and a smaller and weaker band ≤40 kDa corresponding to IC-RET, cut twice by caspase-3 (positions 707–1017) (Supplementary Figure 3H). These data identified a novel mechanism and provide an explanation for the observed reduced apoptosis: AIP variants prevented RET processing and IC-RET generation (Supplementary Figure 3H). On the other hand, AIP variants did not activate the survival pathway since no differences were found in AKT phosphorylation (Supplementary Figure 3H).

AIP is essential for the initiation of the RET-apoptotic pathway: effect on caspase-3 and PKCδ

To investigate the role of AIP in the early initiation of the RET-apoptotic pathway, we standardized subcellular extracts characterized in Supplementary Figure 4.
We selected fraction 1, enriched in plasma membrane proteins, for further experiments comparing all AIP variants (Fig. 3). Cleaved caspase-3 was undetectable in the presence of RETδ with any variant in comparison to RETδ with wtAIP (Fig. 3A). Similar results were obtained for IC-RET or p-PKCδ, detected only in RETs with wtAIP (Fig. 3A). Total PKCδ presented two bands since it is also a substrate of caspase-3. The lower band (processed PKCδ) was high in RETδ with wtAIP and reduced with all AIP (pathogenic) variants. p-JNK or p-CREB did not present a consistent pattern at this time, but they are late proteins in the pathway and needed a longer time course. Cells transfected with RETδ and Aip siRNA presented no evidence of cleaved caspase-3, IC-RET, p-PKCδ, p-JNK or p-CREB compared to RETδ with control Sc siRNA (Fig. 3B).

Measuring caspase-3 activity at 1 hour showed that variant AIP or Aip siRNA blocked RET-induced caspase-3 activity corroborating the consequent effects on apoptosis (Fig. 3C).

To demonstrate that PKCδ activation was essential in the pathway, we transfected a PKCδ kinase-dead point mutant, K376R (Fig. 3D). This mutant was able to block PI3K/ARF increase and apoptosis in cells transfected with RETδ and wtAIP. One hour after deprivation, PKCδ-K376R did not present RET-induced PKCδ phosphorylation, but did not affect IC-RET processing (Fig. 3D).

**Interactions between RET, caspase-3, PKCδ and AIP: effects of AIP variants**

RET (and IC-RET), caspase-3 and PKCδ form a complex [2]. AIP has been demonstrated to bind to RET in HEK293 cells and in whole pituitary extracts [34]. To determine if AIP was able to bind all the proteins in this complex in somatotrophs, we transfected cells with the MYC-tagged wtAIP and RET and immunoprecipitated AIP with anti-MYC tag antibody (Fig. 4A left). Full-length caspase-3, both bands of PKCδ, and RET were easily detected in these pulldowns. For RET, the major band was IC-RET, although full-length RET could be also detected. When anti-PKCδ was used for immunoprecipitation, AIP (both with anti-MYC and anti-AIP), caspase-3 and RET were also detected (Fig. 4A right).

To dissect the molecular interactions between the four proteins through the AIP variants, we cloned all MYC-tagged AIPs in the MIGR1 vector containing the IRES motive followed by EGFP (Fig. 4B). In this way, for each AIP protein molecule translated, there will be approximately one molecule of EGFP. We used this system to equalize for protein expression of each variant in transfected cells. Following this, we performed a Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA) to explore direct interaction (<40 nm) of AIP with these three proteins [38, 39]. Using anti-MYC antibody (mouse origin) and anti RET, anti-caspase-3 or anti-PKCδ antibodies (rabbit origin) we quantified the spots after normalization by EGFP expression. The RET-AIP PLA assay determined that R325Q AIP variant abolished, and I257V reduced, the interaction while R16H and R304Q enhanced the interaction (Fig. 4C). The caspase-3-AIP PLA assay determined that V49M, I257V and R325Q AIP variants abolished interaction (Fig. 4D). The PKCδ-AIP PLA assay determined that R16H and R304Q variants abolished the interaction while V49M and R325Q enhanced the interaction (Fig. 4E). The GFP-AIP PLA assay as well as the absence of primary antibody did not show any interaction (Fig. 4F).

A 3D model [40, 41] based on a monomer [42, 43] explained our PLA assay results (Extended text and Supplementary Figure 5). Based on these data, lack of AIP or presence of our pathogenic AIP variants may disrupt the RET/caspase-3/PKCδ/AIP complex resulting in reduced JNK activation and reduced apoptosis.

**AIP variants expressed in the pituitary affects postnatal growth in vivo**

We wanted to test AIP point mutations individually in relation to the RET pathway in a high-throughput way without having to generate a new genetically modified mouse for each mutation. In the first week of life, rodent pituitary undergoes somatotroph cell expansion with active cell proliferation of the stem population and quick differentiation into somatotroph cells [44, 45]. Retroviruses specifically infect actively proliferating cells [46]. We incorporated into the viral injection the two key neuroendocrine hormones driving somatotroph proliferation, GHRH and ghrelin, to reinforce somatotroph-specific infection (Supplementary Methods). Following optimisation, we developed a new model to inject the pituitary through the ear canal immediately after birth, allowing early testing of gene variants.

We prepared MIGR1 retrovirus bearing vector alone, wtAIP, all of our four stable (R16H, V49M, R304Q and R325Q) and one of our unstable (C238Y) pathogenic AIP variants, and validated them in vitro (Supplementary Figure 6A–C). The possibility that an unstable AIP variant had a functional effect had mixed odds. On the one hand, the used MIGR1 retroviral vector has a strong promoter. On the other hand, the rat pituitary cells conserved their own two endogenous normal Aip alleles. Based on CADD v1.6 scores (C238Y 26.9, R271V 1257V and R325Q and one of our unstable (C238Y) pathogenic AIP variants, and validated them in vitro (Supplementary Figure 6A–C). The possibility that an unstable AIP variant had a functional effect had mixed odds. On the one hand, the used MIGR1 retroviral vector has a strong promoter. On the other hand, the rat pituitary cells conserved their own two endogenous normal Aip alleles. Based on CADD v1.6 scores (C238Y 26.9, R271V 24.7 (see Supplementary Table 2)) and our previous functional data [8, 14, 17], we selected this variant for in vivo study. Retroviruses were injected into male Sprague-Dawley rats at P1 (Fig. 5A). EGFP fluorescence was detected in the three pituitary lobes using 3D light-sheet microscopy one week after injection of wtAIP retrovirus.
Somatotroph specificity of the infection was tested in through immunofluorescence colocalization with PIT1 and GH. Four weeks after injection of wtAIP retrovirus, triple immunofluorescence demonstrated massive co-localization of GH and EGFP (cytoplasmic) together with PIT1 (nuclear) (Fig. 5C).

The litter number was adjusted for each mother. Two weeks after injection, animals were weaned, identified, weighted, and randomized. Animals were weighed weekly and at week 16, animals were anesthetized, measured, and serum and tissue samples were taken (Fig. 5A). Measures have been previously.
demonstrated as bona fide markers of rodent growth and GH action [47–50]. At 16 weeks male animals injected with AIP variants showed significantly higher IGF-1 serum levels compared to wtAIP or MIGR1 vector alone injected animals (Fig. 5D). Variant AIP-injected male rats were longer in total length, tail length and body length (Fig. 5E and Supplementary Figure 6D), and showed significantly longer back paws, front paws, ears, head and incisors (Fig. 5F and Supplementary Figure 6D).

Excess GH results in cardiac hypertrophy in humans. Variant AIP-injected male rats presented significantly larger hearts (Fig. 5G). Pituitary weights had a tendency to be larger in variant AIP pituitaries reaching significance in two groups (Supplementary Figure 6D). Other organs, like the liver, did not show significant size change as expected (Supplementary Figure 6D). Patients with acromegaly show increased weight and lean body mass. Variant AIP-injected male rats presented significantly higher weights (Fig. 5H). When body composition was assessed using MRI, a significant increase in lean mass was observed in the male rats injected with AIP variants in comparison with wtAIP or MIGR1 vector (Fig. 5H).

It is known that each endocrine cell-type in the pituitary have a particular geographical distribution. Somatotrophs are concentrated in the lateral part of the gland [51, 52]. In pituitaries of adult rats injected at birth, GFP cells were concentrated at the periphery of the pituitary lobes where somatotroph cells reside and co-expressed PIT1 (Supplementary Figure 6E). Next, we studied the pituitaries to determine if the phenotype induced by the variant AIP could be related in vivo to the RET-apoptotic pathway.

Male rats injected with variant AIP had a significantly lower percentage of cells positive for cleaved caspase-3, IC-RET and p-PKCδ (Fig. 6A) compared to wtAIP, while there was no difference in the percentage of GFP cells (EGFP expression) between the groups. The adenohypophysis area in the sections of the variant AIP-injected male rats presented significantly higher weights (Fig. 5H). When body composition was assessed using MRI, a significant increase in lean mass was observed in the male rats injected with AIP variants in comparison with wtAIP or MIGR1 vector (Fig. 5H).

We prepared protein extracts from frozen pituitaries and run 20 parallel samples, four-five per condition (Supplementary Figure 6F). Global levels of p-PKCδ and relative p-PKCδ/total PKCδ were significantly reduced in variant AIP-injected animals in comparison with wtAIP or MIGR1 vector (Fig. 6C). p-JNK levels were also significantly reduced although some variant AIP groups did not reach significance (Fig. 6C). IC-RET levels were found to be significantly reduced in some variants although not in all (Fig. 6C). Cleaved PARP, a marker of active apoptosis in pituitary tissue [2] was significantly reduced in several groups of variant AIP-injected animals although not in all (Fig. 6C). PIT1 is a transcription factor common to somatotroph, lactotroph and thyrotrroph cells. Although PIT1 protein detection by western blot was not specific for the somatotroph fraction, PIT1 protein levels were significantly reduced in two variants (R16H and R325Q) and reached p = 0.2 in the other three (V49M, R304Q and C238Y) (Data not shown). AIP protein expression had a tendency to be higher in pituitaries expressing human exogenous AIP (including wtAIP), but only reached significance in the V49M group. MYC expression, on the other hand, was only present in the rats injected with (MYC)-AIP-expressing virus at around 35 kDa (Data not shown). This MYC band was abundant in variant AIP pituitaries compared to wtAIP. No significant differences were found for full-length RET or GFP. The results gathered with our in vivo model in male rats confirm the data found in vitro in GH4C1 cells and in primary pituitary cultures, demonstrating the role of AIP in the RET-apoptotic pathway. Moreover, the data in vivo confirmed the role of AIP variants implicated in AIP-FIPA blocking this pathway.

Results in female rats showed an attenuated phenotype (Extended text and Supplementary Fig. 7), although significant hyperplasia was present in both sexes in variant AIP pituitaries (Supplementary Figure 7). Additionally to wtAIP/FIPA-injected rats, we injected 12-month old variant AIP/FIPA-injected male rats with AIP knockdown virus at around 100 kDa (Data not shown). This MYC band was abundant in variant AIP pituitaries compared to wtAIP. No significant differences were found for full-length RET or GFP. The results gathered with our in vivo model in male rats confirm the data found in vitro in GH4C1 cells and in primary pituitary cultures, demonstrating the role of AIP in the RET-apoptotic pathway. Moreover, the data in vivo confirmed the role of AIP variants implicated in AIP-FIPA blocking this pathway.

To explore if human AIP-FIPA somatotroph adenomas without germline AIP mutation have an activated RET-GDNF survival pathway, with high GDNF levels [30]. We studied a second in vivo model, a pituitary-specific homozygous Aip knockout and explored the RET pathways in the pituitary tissues. 15 months old Aip/Gdnf−/−, Hesx1Cre/Cre/- animals have a homozygous Aip deletion in the pituitary-derived epithelium and develop GH-secreting pituitary tumours [27]. Protein extracts from male Aip-knockout pituitaries compared to Aip/Gdnf−/−, Hesx1Cre/Cre/− show a significant increase in GDNF and phospho-AKT/total AKT and phospho-mTOR/total mTOR ratios and reduced phospho-p53 expression (Fig. 7A, B). Total AIP protein levels were reduced but not totally absent, since only the endocrine epithelium expressing Hesx1 is affected (Fig. 7A (right)-B) [53, 54]. These results indicate that Aip-knockout pituitary adenomas in mice have an upregulated RET-GDNF/survival pathway, similar to human sporadic somatotroph adenomas, and also decreased apoptosis. To explore if human AIP-FIPA somatotroph adenomas also conserved the RET-GDNF pathway, we performed studies in two directions. Results based on Affymetrix probes from GSE56335 [55] did not find differences in RET, GDNF, GFRα1, PIT1 or TP53 RNA expression between sporadic and AIP-FIPA pituitary adenomas (Extended text and Supplementary Fig. 8A–D and E). The CDKN2A gene (coding for p19ARF and for p16) was represented by three probes. We found reduction of expression in AIP-FIPA tumours compared to sporadic adenomas for probe 209644_x_at directed to the Exon 1 of the p19ARF isoform (Fig. 7C and Supplementary Fig. 8F, G), while no difference was found for probe 211156_at directed to the common Exon 3 for both isoforms. Furthermore, recently we have generated novel unpublished data using RT-qPCR with TaqMan probes where ARF expression is low in AIP+ human tumour vs controls (Alvarez and co-workers, unpublished). Our previous data showed that high ARF mRNA level (relative expression >0.1) in the tumour corresponds to a good response to somatostatin analogues, while low ARF expression (<0.1) indicated resistance to somatostatin analogues [30]. The AIP+ tumour present ARF levels around 0.01 compared to 44 sporadic somatotrophinomas responsive to somatostatin analogues, grouping with 13 unresponsive sporadic somatotrophinomas (Alvarez and co-workers, unpublished). At the protein level, no suitable
antibody for immunohistochemistry specific for ARF is available. We performed immunohistochemistry for RET (total RET, HPA008356), GDNF and GFRα1 comparing five sporadic (Sp GH) and five AIP+ somatotroph adenomas (Fig. 7D, E). No difference was seen between sporadic and AIP+ samples for RET, GFRα1 and GDNF regarding intensity of the staining. However, comparing corresponding areas on consecutive sections stained for GH and for GDNF, we found more heterogeneous staining of GDNF in corresponding areas on consecutive sections stained for GH and GDNF regarding intensity of the staining. However, comparing with I257V, significantly reduce the interaction: representative confocal microphotographs and quantification (n = 6 per AIP variant). D PLA assay between caspase-3 and AIP. Both N-terminal V49M and C-terminal R325Q variants, together with I257V, significantly reduce the interaction. E PLA assay between PKCδ and AIP. Both N-term R16H and R304Q variants significantly reduce the interaction, while V49M and R325Q enhanced the interaction, these are the residues that interact with caspase-3. I257V does not alter the interaction. (One-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak’s multiple comparison test correction C; Kruskal-Wallis with Holm-Sidak’s D, Dunn’s multiple comparison test correction (E, F). **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ns non-significant).

**DISCUSSION**

We identified that AIP is needed for the normal functioning of the RET/Pit1/ARF/p53-apoptosis pathway (Graphical Abstract, Supplementary Fig. 9). This is disrupted by Aip knockdown, or with overexpression of deleterious AIP variants. While the pathogenic role of truncating AIP variants is established, there are controversies with some missense variants, similar to all other fields of clinical genetics. The data from our in silico assessment of AIP variants using CADD v1.6 corresponded to the blocking effect on the RET-apoptotic pathway (R16H, V49M, C238Y, I257V, R271W, R304Q and R325Q). Two other missense AIP variants, R188Q and E245K (considered as benign in CADD v1.6) did not affect the RET-apoptotic pathway, suggesting that these are non-pathogenic variants [56, 57]. We note that three from the variants showing disruption of the RET apoptosis pathway in our studies here (R16H, R304Q and R325Q) have been suggested in other studies (for example, [58], and including our own), to be Variants of Uncertain Significance or possibly likely benign variants, while data here suggest some functional shortcomings. Many other studies suggest a clinical effect (revised in Supplementary Table 1 for each variant). This is a controversy occurring increasingly in clinical and experimental genetic studies probably due to differing experimental models and genetic background of patients. Further clinical and experimental experience may help to resolve these issues in the future. In our in vitro and in vivo models, deleterious AIP variants behave as dominant-positive, contravening the RET pathway despite the two normal endogenous rAip alleles. We might speculate that AIP-FIPA could be along the spectrum of gene-dosage mechanism of tumour suppressors, as has been suggested for other
tumour suppressors [59]. In carrier subjects, mechanisms of regulation of the expression of the deleterious inherited variant (methylation, lncRNA, microRNA or other) dependent on each individual could modulate the penetrance of the disease, i.e. the appearance of the pituitary tumour.

RET had initially been proposed as a ‘dependence receptor’ in peripheral autonomic neurons as a mechanism explaining Hirschprung’s disease [32]. Apoptotic pathways associated with dependence receptors are often tissue-specific and frequently implicate enzymatic intracellular cleavage of the monomeric
Fig. 5 AIP pathogenic mutations injected in the pituitary at birth and expressed in somatotrophs cause acro-gigantism in male rats. A Cronogram of the model used to test the AIP variants. Retrovirus bearing the empty vector, or expressing wtAIP, or five different AIP variants (V49M, R304Q, R325Q, C238Y, R16H), followed by an IRES-EGFP expressing element were injected at the pituitary in postnatal day P1. Two weeks later, animals were randomised. Every week animals were weighed. Some animals were sacrificed 1 or 4 weeks after injection to study the pituitary gland, while others at week 16, when biometric data, together with serum and organ weights, were collected. B 3D whole organ pituitary, cleared X-Clarity and studied with light-sheet microscopy one week after the infection of mice with wtAIP + IRES-EGFP virus. Grey: whole organ volume (mock: coronal position respect to light-sheet; wtAIP: axial position respect to light-sheet). EGFP fluorescence is observed exclusively in the pituitary injected with wtAIP retrovirus throughout the whole organ. C adenopituitary or adenohypophysis, IL intermediate lobe, N neuropituitary. C Somatotroph specificity was promoted by the use of retrovirus infecting the most proliferating cells at this period, that are the somatotrophs, and reinforced by combining the virus with GHRH and ghrelin neuropeptides inducing somatotroph proliferation. Paraffin sections of mock or wtAIP retrovirus infected four weeks old pituitaries showing confocal GFP (green) colocalization with PIT1 (nuclear, blue) and GH (red) in somatotroph cells. D Serum Free IGF-1 (IGF-1) levels in the different groups of male rats injected with wtAIP or not wtAIP increased significantly according to all AIP variants, except R16H, which secretes IGF-1. E Male rats infected with variant AIP were significantly longer, with longer tail (and longer body, shown in Supplementary Figure 7). F Soft tissues were enlarged in male animals bearing AIP variants in the pituitary. Significant differences were found for back and front paws and ears in rats injected with AIP variants. G AIP variants induced cardiomegally. H Left: Total body weight was increased but total fat mass presented no differences. Right: Body composition was assessed by EchoMRI. AIP variants significantly increased lean mass, while fat mass was not affected except for R16H. (One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s test multiple comparison test correction D-E-F-G-H, **, p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; numbers, when p is non-significant but is small).
deleterious variants with high IGF-1 (the main GH target hormone in serum), enhanced length, weight, increase in muscle mass, and bigger hearts. At the molecular level in the pituitary, pathogenic AIP reduced caspase-3, IC-RET, PKCδ, and PARP cleavage and activation. Adenohypophysis areas and reticulin staining in pituitary tissue showed that altering the RET/apoptosis pathway induces somatotroph hyperplasia and this is enough for GH/IGF-1 excess and gigantism, but not for generating an adenoma within our study timeframe. This is a key result that could be also important for pathogenic process in the patients. We could speculate that pathogenic AIP variants will induce a model of step-by-step tumorigenesis with the first hyperplasia and later adenomas, and indeed this is seen both in the animal model and humans. In all three published mouse models, such as the global Aip heterozygous or the conditional Gh-specific or Hesx1-specific Aip-knockouts, pituitary hyperplasia is present many weeks before the appearance of
adenomas [24–27, 69, 70]. Pituitary hyperplasia in humans is not commonly found, as by the time of clinical presentation tumour development has usually already occurred. However, there are a few cases of pituitary hyperplasia described in humans [8] and it also has been demonstrated around the tumour [71, 72]. How germline pathogenic AIP variants lead to invasive somatotroph adenomas in patients is not known and we can only speculate. The RET/GDNF tyrosine-kinase survival pathway could generate tumour promoting proliferative events. A subsequent independent genetic event adding aggressiveness would fit the animal models’ and patients’ data.

The data in female rats show quantitative differences to males. Females also had a growth phenotype since they are bigger, and had bigger hyperplastic pituitaries. However, the endocrine phenotype as young adults is blunted since IGF-1 presented no differences at 16 weeks, and the pituitary tissue did not show differences in the RET pathway at that precise moment. It seems that puberty, earlier in females than in males, is a factor in the development of gigantism. Probably, this also happens in human patients since gigantism is more often diagnosed in men than in women, even in AIP mutated subjects, despite no differences in

Fig. 7 Pituitary adenomas from pituitary-specific Aip-knockout mice or from human patients have overexpression of the RET/GDNF survival pathway. A Pituitary extracts from 15-month old AipFlox/Flox;Hesx1Cre/+ knockout mice compared to AipFlox/Flox;Hesx1Cre/+ control male mice. B Increased levels of GDNF, phospho-AKT and phospho-mTOR and reduced expression of phospho-p53 and AIP are found in mutant animals. C Expression of the CDKN2A probe set, including three probes, in AIP-FIPA pituitary adenomas compared to sporadic pituitary adenomas, retrieved from ReGEO GSE63357, a study using the Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2.0 array. Only 209644_x_at includes oligos specific for the CDKN2A-ARF specific Exon 1, while the other probes contain oligos specific for the other protein isoform CDKN2A-p16 specific Exon 1 (21156_at), or the common Exon 3 (207039_at). D Immunohistochemistry comparing somatotroph macroadenomas from sporadic (Sp GH) or AIP-FIPA tumours (AIP+). E Representative stainings for RET (total RET HPA008356), GDNF and GFRA1. Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin. F Corresponding fields within the same sample, showing heterogeneity of GDNF staining compared to GH. (N = 3; Mann–Whitney, *p < 0.05; numbers, when p is non-significant but approximates to significant levels).
the incidence of acromegaly [12, 73], although other gender differences in pituitary physiology could also play a role. 15 month old \( \text{Aip}^{\text{Flox/Flox}} \) mice have established pituitary adenomas that we have also studied. In relation to the RET-apoptotic pathway, phospho-p53 was reduced. Hyperactivation of the RET/ GDNF-survival pathway was demonstrated with enhanced GDNF protein expression, and phospho-RET, phospho-AKT and phospho-mTOR activation. This pathway would induce proliferation over the basis of the absence of apoptosis. These results fit well with recent findings in a long series of human sporadic somatotrophinomas (non AIP-FIPA) that have demonstrated a six-time increase in GDNF expression over the normal pituitary, and activation of the RET/ GDNF-survival pathway including AKT/mTOR activation and down-regulation of p53/PARP activity [30]. In sporadic somatotroph adenomas, quantitative ARF mRNA expression indicating some remnants of a functional RET-apoptotic pathway, was a significant marker of good prognosis and response to first-line therapy (surgery, somatostatin agonists), while absence of ARF expression indicating exclusivity of RET/GDNF survival pathway was associated to resistance to first-line therapy [30]. In that work, small kinase inhibitors able to inhibit RET/GDNF-induced kinase activity were shown as good alternative new avenues for treating resistant sporadic adenomas. Our present results indicate that this approach with anti-RET kinase inhibitors could even be more successful for treating the FIPA somatotroph adenoma that are so aggressive and resistant to surgery and the usual medical therapy with agonists. Reduced levels of AIP protein have been associated with resistance to somatostatin analogues in sporadic acromegaly [75]. The human data we present here suggest that ARF expression levels are downregulated in AIP-FIPA tumours, indicating the reduced activity of the RET apoptosis pathway. Corresponding to our data on sporadic somatotroph tumours [30], this low CDKN2A-ARF RNA expression could also explain the well-described resistance of AIP-FIPA tumours to first-generation somatostatin analogues. Moreover, although GDNF was present in the tumours, its expression was heterogenous, revealing that a combination of lack of apoptosis (low ARF) and increased RET kinase activity (GDNF) is playing a dual role in AIP+ tumour samples. 

In summary, we have found an explanation of the long-sought question regarding the tissue and cell-type specificity of AIP-related tumours. AIP plays an essential role in the initial steps of the RET-apoptotic pathway in PIT1 expressing cells. Lack of AIP or pathogenic mutations block this pathway promoting the RET-survival pathway characteristic of the pituitary somatotrophinomas. This connection between AIP and RET has implications for the aggressive pituitary adenomas observed in the majority of affected patients with AIP mutations and could be of future use in alternative therapeutic approaches.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Extended material and methods are detailed in Supplementary Information.

In silico study of AIP variants
Human AIP variants were analysed using databases and predicting algorithms, classified by the given in situ threshold and the alternative threshold proposed in [75].

In vitro experimental design, cell lines and rat adenohypophysis primary culture
The rat GH4C1 cell line and primary adenohypophysis cells were cultured as described [2, 3, 76]. Recombinant GDNF (Calbiochem) is obtained from Sf9 cell line, (>97%) and with very low endotoxin contain (LPS ≤ 1.0 EnU/μg).

Apoptosis and Cell counting assays
Apoptosis detection was performed and counted 48 h after treatments as described [2, 3, 30, 31]. Earlier apoptosis was detected with caspase-3/7 CellEVENT (Thermo).

Lysates, subcellular fractionation, western blot and immunoprecipitation
Experimental setup for lysates and immunoprecipitations is detailed in Supplementary Methods [2, 77]. Subcellular fractionation was performed as [76] with some modifications. For rat pituitary westerns we used Precast Criterion™ TGX™ (Biorad). Antibodies and dilutions are shown in Supplementary Information.

Caspase-3 enzyme activity
Cells were lysed with 50 μL Caspase-Glo® 3/7 Assay kit (G8909, Promega) and measured with Multimode Mithras LB 940 (Berthold Technologies).

Promoter activity
Pit1 promoter activity was measured as described [2, 3, 76].

RNA purification and qRT-PCR
It was performed as described [30]. Primers are listed in Supplementary Information.

Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA)
We used the in situ technology Duolink® Proximity ligation Assay (PLA) [38, 39] (Sigma) followed by Fiji-Image J 1.51 [79] and CellProfiler 3.1.8 [80] software quantification.

In silico exploratory model of protein interaction
An exploratory model of the interaction between AIP, RETs, PKCδ and caspase-3 based on the results of the PLA assay was carried out with two softwares SWISS-MODEL and UCSF Chimera [40, 41].

In vivo rat model to functionally evaluate missense AIP variants in pituitary
Procedures were carried out under the Procedures Act n° 15003/14/005 (to CVA), granted by Galicia Regional Government, following NC3Rs’ ARRIVE guidelines. Pups were injected at P1. Hormones and phenotypic measures are detailed in Supplementary information.

Adenohypophysis immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence. Whole pituitary clarification with X-Clarity. Light-sheet microscopy
Immunohistochemistry in human pituitary adenomas was performed with EnVision as described [3]. Pituitary clearing was performed with X-CLARITY (Logos Biosystems) following by analysis with Imaris 9.3 software (Bitplane). Ethical approval for human somatotrophinomas stainings was obtained from Cambridgeshire 1 Research Ethics Committee, Cambridge, UK (06/q0104/133).

Pituitary-specific AIP knockout mouse model
Animal studies were performed following the NC3Rs’ ARRIVE guidelines under Animal Licence Permission No PPL 70/7665, supported by QMUL’s Ethics Committee. The two lines are derived from C57BL/6J (www.jax.org). [27, 54].

Data collection and statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.1 (San Diego, USA), SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM, USA) and Corel Draw Graphic Suite 2017.

DATA AVAILABILITY
All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this manuscript (and its supplementary information files).
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