The Impact of Simple Phenolic Compounds on Beer Aroma and Flavor
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Abstract: Beer is a complex beverage containing a myriad of flavor- and aroma-active compounds. Brewers strive to achieve an appropriate balance of desired characters, while avoiding off-aromas and flavors. Phenolic compounds are always present in finished beer, as they are extracted from grains and hops during the mashing and brewing process. Some of these compounds have little impact on finished beer, while others may contribute either desirable or undesirable aromas, flavors, and mouthfeel characteristics. They may also contribute to beer stability. The role of simple phenolic compounds on the attributes of wort and beer are discussed.
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1. Introduction

There are approximately 1000–2000 chemical compounds in beer [1]. Many of these are present at levels well below their flavor, aroma, and other perception thresholds, and therefore, do not contribute to beer perceptions. The wide array of flavors, aromas, and mouthfeel attributes across world beer styles result from the presence, to varying degrees, of many organic and inorganic compounds. For many compounds, we have little understanding of the potential contribution to beer aroma and flavor, while others have been investigated extensively.

A wide range of phenolic compounds are present in beer, extracted from raw materials during the malting, mashing, and brewing process. These include complex polyphenols that contribute to mouthfeel, beer haze, antioxidant properties and stability, as well as foam retention [2,3]. Simple phenolic compounds can have a major impact on beer aroma and flavor, both positive and negative. In this paper, the role of these simple phenolic compounds in raw ingredients, their transformation during brewing and fermentation, and their impact on beer organoleptic properties is reviewed.

2. The Role of Phenolic Compounds in Plants

Simple and volatile phenols in beer all originate from plant material, primarily malted grains and hops. The vast majority are extracted from raw materials during the brewing process (see Section 3 below). Other sources are less direct, either through extraction of phenols from wood during barrel aging, or from burning of wood in smoked malt beers. These indirect sources are still plant-derived.

Phenolic compounds are an integral and abundant component of the plant cell wall. Ferulic acid, p-coumaric acid, and sinapic acid are hydroxycinnamic acids (HCAs) that are converted to their hydroxyl-cinnamyl alcohol derivatives. These compounds are exported to the plant cell wall in a poorly understood process. In the cell wall, these “monolignols” react to form the complex polymer lignin, a major cell wall component that protects cell wall fibers and contributes to cell rigidity [4].

These same hydroxycinnamic acids also provide crucial cross-links between lignin and non-lignin fiber components of the cell wall, most commonly hemicellulose. Ester linkages between HCAs and
cell wall polysaccharides, particularly arabinoxylans are well-documented. Dehydrodiferulic acid also participates in polysaccharide-polysaccharide and polysaccharide-lignin bridges [5,6].

In addition to their structural role, HCAs contribute to plant defense against pathogenic microbes. They reduce the biodegradability of cell wall polymers, serving as a structural defense to cell wall breakdown [6]. Free HCAs as well as other phenolic cell wall components are directly toxic to microbial cell membranes, leading to increased ion permeability, thereby reducing cell viability [7]. Common representative HCA structures are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Hydroxycinnamic acid structures.](image)

3. Extraction of Simple Phenolics into Beer Wort

3.1. Raw Materials

3.1.1. Grains and Malt

Cereal grains and malt account for the majority of the total phenolic acid content of beer wort [8]. In barley malt, ferulic acid and p-coumaric acid predominate. HCA levels vary among barley varieties, and may be influenced by malting process, growing environment and barley handling post-harvest. Published values vary widely, ranging from 10 to 15 µg/g dry weight combined [9,10] to highs of ~100–300 µg/g dry weight for p-coumaric acid, and ~400–600 µg/g dry weight for ferulic acid [11,12]. These wide variances may be due to extraction method, analytical methods, and whether free, bound, or all forms of HCAs are analyzed. In all varieties investigated, ferulic acid predominates by a factor of 2–5 [3]. HCAs in malt are available for extraction into wort in two forms, free and esterified. Esterified HCAs are primarily bound to arabinoxylans. Greater than 90% of the HCA in malt is ester-bound, and its availability for malt extraction and later conversion to free HCA is dependent on arabinoxylan-degrading enzymes in the barley, mainly active during the mashing process [11–15].

Most analyses of barley and barley malt have been carried out on pilsner or other base malt varieties. Vanbeneden et al. [13] have shown that some specialty malts with higher degrees of kilning have altered potential extraction of HCAs. Worts produced with lighter kilned specialty malts such as Munich and Vienna did not differ in HCA extraction from base malt worts. Higher kilned malts (caramunich and carafa) yielded lower ferulic acid to the wort, with a corresponding increase in 4-vinylguaiacol, the decarboxylation product of ferulic acid [13,16]. It is proposed that higher kilning temperatures lead to some degree of thermal decarboxylation during the kilning process. These analyses were carried out on laboratory worts prepared from 50% pilsner malt and 50% specialty malt. It is expected that at the lower percent of specialty malts often used in brewing that these malts will not significantly impact the HCA composition of the wort [13].

Release of ester-bound HCAs into wort occurs primarily during mashing. Barley enzymes include arabinofuranosidases and β-xylan endohydrolases, which degrade and solubilize the arabinoxylan chains and allow extraction into wort [15]. Perhaps more important is cinnamoyl esterase, which releases HCAs from xylan subunits, making them available for further metabolism [11–13,15,17]. These enzymes are active under typical mashing conditions, but have temperature optima lower than many mash regimens. If a high level of volatile phenol precursors (ferulic acid) is desired, a 40 °C mash rest is recommended [13].
Not surprisingly, there is notable variability in extraction of free and ester-bound HCAs into wort using a standard congress mash. In one study, 6–13 µg/g representing 0.7–1.5 ppm p-coumaric acid was released into wort. This represented only 2.3–5.5% of the available p-coumaric acid in the malt. Extraction of ferulic acid was slightly more efficient, yielding 7.1–12.5% of that available in the malt. This achieved 42–70 µg/g, or 4.9–8.3 ppm ferulic acid in the wort [11]. These numbers are in general agreement with similar studies that used different sets of barley malts [9,14,18–20], however wide ranges can be found within the published literature [21]. This observed variability is most commonly linked to alternative extraction methods between researchers. Interestingly, the proportion of free versus ester-bound p-coumaric acid released to wort was significantly and consistently higher than for ferulic acid: 60–80% for p-coumaric acid and 20–43% for ferulic acid. A similar proportion of the two free HCAs was determined to have been enzymatically released during mashing [11]. These wort values also correlate well with analysis of HCAs present in finished beer [22]. Overall, these data reflect the consistent observation that most barley varieties are significantly higher in ferulic acid compared to p-coumaric acid.

Malted and unmalted wheat makes up a significant and important proportion of the grist of several specialty beer styles, including Bavarian weizen (weissbier), Berlinerweiss, Belgian witbier, lambic, and geueze. It is a common ingredient in lower proportions in many other styles, and is often added at 2–5% of the grist of beers where additional head retention and mouthfeel are desired, due to the higher protein content of wheat versus barley [23]. Analysis of wheat varieties for phenolic acid content reveals a much higher overall yield than barley, with ferulic acid constituting 70–90% of the available phenolic acids [24–28]. Weissbier style is characterized by a clove-like aroma and flavor [13,29]. This is a result of the volatile phenol 4-vinylguaiacol at levels above the aroma/flavor threshold. This compound is generated primarily by metabolism of ferulic acid extracted from malted wheat (and barley) grist by “phenolic off-flavor positive” (POF+) yeast strains (see Section 4.2 below). When high 4-vinylguaiacol is desired in this (or other) styles, a ferulic acid rest can be added to the mash regimen, allowing feruoyl esterase to release additional free ferulic acid into the wort [13].

3.1.2. Hops

There is less published work on HCAs and other phenolic acids for hops compared to cereal grains. Published experimental values are wide ranging and difficult to interpret, at least partly due to variations in extraction procedures [30–33]. A general observation is that hops appear to be somewhat higher (two to five fold) in HCA content compared to barley, when analyzed simultaneously [9,10]. Hopping rates vary widely among different beer styles, and the use and form of hops will also vary. Hop cone plant material will contribute the bulk of the simple phenolics. Modern breweries have many choices of hop form, including whole cone, plugs, and pellets, as well as newer hop extracts. Pellets are available as type-90 or type-45, which contain 90 percent and 45 percent, respectively, of raw hop cone plant material [30]. This may impact the potential extraction of phenolic acids since the lupulin gland contents will be concentrated relative to other hop cone components; however limited experimental data has been inconclusive [30]. A general conclusion is that while the phenolic acid constituent of hops may be slightly higher than for barley, the very high dry mass ratio of malt to hops in most beer recipes makes the hop contribution to beer volatile phenols low relative to grain.

3.2. Wood Aging

Historically, beer has been fermented, aged, and served from wooden vessels [34]. Long-term wood-aging has been carried through for certain specialty beer styles, such as lambic and Flanders red ales [35–41]. There has been a resurgence of barrel and wood-aging in recent decades, particularly among the craft brewing industry. Often the goal of the brewer is to impart the character of distilled or fermented beverages that were previously held in the barrel, but characteristics from the wood itself will be transferred to the beer during aging, and may contribute significantly to the organoleptic properties of the finished beer. A wide range of volatile compounds are transferred to beer and
other beverages aged in barrels or on wood chips [42,43]. Of the phenolic compounds, vanillin and vanillic acid are of high interest, imparting sweet or vanilla-like flavors to the beer [10]. Guaiacol, contributing to smoky or burnt character, will also be significant if the barrel is charred or heavily toasted [44]. The content and extraction of compounds from wood during aging has been shown to vary dramatically based on a number of variables. Extractable compounds vary by wood species, as well as geographical region of origin [45–47]. The degree of wood seasoning as well as toast level also influences phenolic content, with higher toast levels generally showing higher phenolic content [42,45,47]. Breweries often reuse barrels, and the history of barrel use will greatly influence the contribution of the wood to the finished beer.

4. Transformation of Hydroxycinnamic Acids to Volatile Compounds

Hydroxycinnamic acids are always present in wort and beer, extracted from grains and hops. These compounds have high flavor/aroma thresholds, generally greater than 500 ppm [14,48], and are present in wort or beer and levels far below this threshold (see Section 3.1.1). HCAs can be converted into vinyl derivatives and possibly ethyl derivatives by several mechanisms. These new compounds have very low organoleptic thresholds [14,48] and may make significant contributions to the aroma and flavor of the finished beer.

4.1. Thermal Decarboxylation to Vinyl Derivatives

4-vinylguaiacol (4-VG) and 4-vinylphenol (4-VP) are the decarboxylation products of ferulic acid and p-coumaric acid, respectively, the two most abundant phenolic acids in cereal grains and beer wort. There do not appear to be active phenolic acid decarboxylase enzymes in barley malt, as mashing regimens do not produce 4-VG in unboiled wort [18]. This experimental data also demonstrates that mashing temperatures are not adequate for thermal decarboxylation of ferulic acid [18]. Over the course of a three hour boil, levels of 4-VG increased, with highest levels generated in congress wort that utilized a portion of wheat malt, with levels approached threshold values (0.3 mg/L) [18,49]. This value represents about ten percent of the free ferulic acid present in the pre-boil wort. Related HCAs would be expected to undergo similar thermal decarboxylation during wort boiling.

4.2. Microbial Enzymatic Decarboxylation to Vinyl Derivatives

A wide variety of bacteria and fungi encode a phenolic acid decarboxylase enzyme (PAD; also known as ferulic acid decarboxylase, coumaric acid decarboxylase, cinnamate decarboxylase). These include species which represent contaminants in a brewing environment, but also species and strains utilized in brewing. Many strains of brewer’s yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), as well as Brettanomyces sp., Lactobacillus, and Pediococcus are PAD+ [50–54]. These non-Saccharomyces genera of microbes are utilized in traditional sour beers of Belgium, and increasingly in other “wild” and sour beer styles popular in the craft brewing industry [36,37,39–41,50]. PAD catalyzes the enzymatic decarboxylation of HCAs to their vinyl derivatives (see Figure 2). This enzyme activity may have evolved in microbes that occupy an environment rich in plant matter (fruit skins, soil, etc.) as a stress-response countermeasure to the antimicrobial activity of the HCA compounds [51].

![Figure 2. Enzymatic conversion of hydroxycinnamic acid (ferulic acid). PAD, phenolic acid decarboxylase; VPR, vinylphenol reductase.](image-url)
In *Saccharomyces* yeast strains, a POF+ phenotype results from active decarboxylation of cinnamic acid derivatives. This “phenolic off-flavor” character requires two enzymes, a phenylacrylic acid decarboxylase (PAD1) and a ferulic acid decarboxylase (FDC1). Somewhat confusingly, the PAD1 enzyme is not a decarboxylase, but catalyzes the synthesis of an FMN-related co-factor required for the function of FDC1 [52,55]. FDC1 enzyme catalyzes the decarboxylation of cinnamic acid and derivatives. Among the well-characterized microbial decarboxylase enzymes, the *Saccharomyces* FDC1 is of the few that can use cinnamic acid as a substrate yielding styrene as a product; others are only functional on hydroxycinnamic acids [52].

*S. cerevisiae* Pad1 and Fdc1 genes are analogous to the UbiX and UbiD genes of *E. coli*, respectively, involved in the ubiquinone biosynthetic pathway [53,55]. The recently determined 3D structure of the full-length 503 amino acid FDC1 protein reveals a cinnamic acid substrate binding site that can be modeled to accept the much larger substrate predicted for a role in the ubiquinone pathway [52]. Pad1/Fdc1 double knockout yeast are not deficient in ubiquinone biosynthesis however, suggesting the possible presence of additional genes for this biosynthetic pathway [55]. A survey of industrial brewing yeasts reveals a wide range of PAD activity [49]. Further evidence for a primary role for PAD1/FDC1 in cinnamic acid metabolism can readily be seen in a large-scale genomic analysis of industrial yeast strains [56]. Of 157 sequenced strains of *S. cerevisiae*, the majority of strains selected for use in alcoholic beverages have lost cinnamic acid decarboxylation function. A variety of loss-of-function mutations are found in either Pad1, Fdc1, or both among beer, wine, and sake strains (POF−), however all strains sequenced that fall into “wild”, industrial, or bread baking groups retain POF activity. Among the strains sequenced are three Bavarian wheat beer strains, where POF+ activity is essential for the clove/spice character attributed to 4-VG. These strains broadly align with other beer strains, but show significant mosaicism. The authors suggest a more recent hybridization for these strains, in which previously selected traits desirable for beer brewing combined with functional Pad1 and Fdc1 alleles likely derived from wine strains [56].

PAD enzymes have been characterized from several sour ale brewing-relevant bacteria, including *Lactobacillus brevis* and *L. plantarum*. The sequence of these enzymes reveals significant conservation across genera, and a structure and mechanism that does not require a known co-factor, unlike the *S. cerevisiae* PAD1/FDC1 system [54,57]. This group constitutes a PAD enzyme family that shares a flattened, compact beta-barrel structure, with the substrate binding site within the core of the barrel [57]. In limited testing of substrate specificity across strains, there appear to be two subgroups of enzymes. One group, including *L. brevis*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *B. pumilus*, shows similar substrate specificity for the three main substrates *p*-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, and caffeic acid. The group including *L. plantarum* and *P. pentosaceus* strongly prefers *p*-coumaric acid over ferulic acid [58,59].

Yeast of the *Brettanomyces* genus are well-known as spoilage organisms in the wine and beer industries. Interestingly, they also contribute key organoleptic qualities to specialty beer styles including lambic, gueuze, Flanders red and brown ales, Berlinerweiss, and others [36,37,39–41,50,60–63]. They are increasingly utilized in other new “wild” ale styles in the craft brewing industry. The contribution to beer aroma and flavor resulting from *Brettanomyces* fermentation is complex and generally poorly characterized, however volatile phenol formation is a well-known component of *Brettanomyces* metabolism in beer and wine. Commercial interest in these yeasts has resulted in a growing body of data related to their contribution to wine and beer flavor. Genetic and physiological analysis of *B. bruxellensis* strains that vary in geographical and source environment suggests niche adaptation, as strains cluster more strongly by the isolation environment than by geography [64–68]. There are notable differences between beer and wine strains at the whole genome level and for SNPs, including genes involved in volatile phenol production [66,67]. The *Brettanomyces* PAD enzyme has been characterized and cloned from several strains, including beer and wine isolates. The production of vinyl phenols is strongly strain and growth medium dependent [67,69,70].
4.3. Vinylphenol Reductase Enzyme Activity

The presence of phenolic acid decarboxylase activity is fairly widespread among bacteria and fungi that utilize plant matter habitats. These enzymes decarboxylate hydroxycinnamic acids to less toxic vinyl derivatives as described above (Section 4.2). A few microbes encode a vinylphenol reductase (VPR) enzyme, which uses the vinyl intermediates as substrates to generate ethyl derivatives (see Figure 2). Among brewing relevant organisms, a few Lactobacillus species (L. brevis, L. collinoides, L. plantarum), and P. damnosus, as well as members of the Brettanomyces yeast genus have active VPR enzymes [71,72]. VPR activity appears to be rare among yeast species, but has been demonstrated for Pichia guilliermondii isolated from winery environments [73], although this activity is strain-dependent [74]. The most common products are 4-ethylphenol and 4-ethylguaiacol, derived from p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid, respectively. These compounds have similar organoleptic properties to the vinyl substrates (see Section 5), but have somewhat lower aroma and flavor thresholds [3,49,71].

5. Organoleptic Properties of Volatile Phenols in Beer

The most prevalent HCAs in wort and beer are p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid (see Section 3.1), and most microbial enzymes that metabolize HCAs utilize these two substrates preferentially (see Section 4.2). Therefore, the main volatile phenols found in finished beer are 4-vinylguaiacol and 4-ethylguaiacol (derived from ferulic acid) and 4-vinylphenol and 4-ethylphenol (derived from p-coumaric acid). The HCA precursors have flavor/aroma thresholds well above the amounts found in most beers; 20–50 mg/L threshold [3] versus 1–5 mg/L present in beer [14,22,49,75–78]. The metabolic products have far lower thresholds, ranging from 0.08 to 0.5 mg/L, values readily achievable from the available substrates [3,49,71]. The aroma and flavor descriptors used for volatile phenols are wide-ranging, and include “stable, barnyard, horsey, leathery, smoky, spicy, clove, medicinal, band-aid®”, and others [63,71,79,80]. The specific aroma and flavor profile contributed by volatile phenols in a particular sample is likely to be influenced by relative concentrations of the compounds present, the total summative concentration of compounds, interactions with other compounds present, and variation among individuals for sensitivity to these compounds. Individual compounds contribute the properties shown in Table 1.

| Compound              | Descriptors                  | Sources                                      | References       |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 4-vinylguaiacol       | Clove, curry, spice, smoky, bacon | Thermal or enzymatic decarboxylation of ferulic acid | [3,18,81]        |
| 4-vinylphenol         | Phenolic, medicinal, spicy   | Thermal or enzymatic decarboxylation of p-coumaric acid | [18,81]         |
| 4-ethylguaiacol       | Clove, phenol, spice, woody, smoky, vanilla | Enzymatic reduction of 4-vinyl guaiacol            | [3,71,81,82]     |
| 4-ethylphenol         | Leather, phenol, spice, stable, smoke, creosote | Enzymatic reduction of 4-vinyl phenol              | [3,71,81,82]     |
| Guaiacol              | Smoke, bacon                 | Lignin pyrolysis                             | [3,83]           |
| Vanillin              | Sweet, vanilla               | Lignin pyrolysis, wood aging, degradation of 4-vinyl guaiacol | [3,84]           |
| 4-vinyl syringol      | Stale, “old beer”            | Degradation of synapic acid glycosides        | [3,8]            |

5.1. Desirable Characteristics

In beers brewed with POF+ strains of S. cerevisiae, 4-vinylguaiacol is the most prevalent volatile phenol, as ferulic acid dominates the wort HCAs. This is especially true of beers with a high proportion of wheat, such as Bavarian wheat beers and Belgian white beers [8,85–91]. The most common descriptors for the phenolic contribution to aroma and flavor in wheat-based beers is “clove” and “spice”, in agreement with Table 1. The high proportion of wheat and corresponding high
proportion of ferulic acid makes the spicy phenolic character of these styles a defining feature, although 4-vinylguaiacol will be present in varying concentrations in individual varieties [49,86].

In many Belgian-style specialty beers, POF+ S. cerevisiae strains are required to impart spice notes in the finished beer [92]. The phenolic character is generally more subtle than the wheat-based styles described above. This is likely due at least in part to a lower level of ferulic acid precursors in the wort in the absence of a high proportion of wheat, but variation in POF enzyme activity may also play a role. Several studies have investigated variation in POF activity among a range of brewing yeast strains demonstrating significant variability, however specific yeast varieties were not identified [49,93]. In addition to the clove-like spice commonly encountered in Belgian styles, a “black pepper” character is commonly encountered. This character is considered phenolic, however a specific metabolic compound has not been ascribed to this unique feature. “Peppery” may be a result of a particular interaction between yeast metabolic products, ingredients, and brewing conditions, but is reproducible and attributed consistently to specific examples of Belgian style beers, particularly saisons [94–96]. Only a few commercially available brewing yeast strains specifically list “peppery” as an expected descriptor for the finished beer. These include White Labs WLP565, Wyeast 3711, Wyeast 3726, BSI S-11, BSI S-26, and BSI S65. All of these strains are identified by the supplier as a most suitable for saison-style beers [97–99].

A desirable smoky character may be present in certain beer styles, particularly smoked beers and to a lesser extent beers with a high proportion of dark roasted barley and malts such as porters and stouts. Smoke character in non-smoked beers is attributed primarily to 4-vinylguaiacol through thermal decarboxylation of ferulic acid during kilning of the dark roasted malts [13,16]. This character may also be derived from enzymatic decarboxylation by POF+ yeast or bacteria. In beers where smoke aroma and flavor are a major component (classic rauchbier, lichtenhainer, pivovodziskie, and other smoked specialty styles), the smoke character comes from the use of malts that are wood-smoked. The smoke character is therefore a result of lignin pyrolysis during wood burning. There is a wide range of pyrolysis products from this process, combinations of which will provide unique properties and variation between wood types. A major contributor to “smoke” character regardless of wood source is the volatile phenol guaiacol [83].

5.2. Undesirable/Spoilage Characteristics

In most beers styles, phenolic character is undesirable and considered a flaw. This can range from inappropriate levels of otherwise pleasant aromas and flavors (spice, clove), to strong and offensive medicinal, plastic strip, goaty, burnt, or creosote character, among others [62–64]. The former situation may be due to inappropriate yeast strain choice (POF+ versus POF−), or fermentation at a temperature above the recommended range [91].

For beers that are dominated by offensive phenolic character, the most likely source of volatile phenols is metabolic activity of contaminating spoilage organisms. Most beer spoilage organisms fall into one of several categories: gram positive lactic acid bacteria, gram negative acetic acid bacteria, wild Saccharomyces yeast, and non-Saccharomyces yeast [100,101]. Lactic acid bacteria include a number of species within both the Lactobacillus and Pediococcus genera. While a number of species within these genera possess a PAD enzyme gene and can be considered POF+ (see Section 4.2), they are primarily known for spoilage by acidification of wort or beer through lactic acid fermentation, rather than for generating threshold levels of volatile phenols, although diacetyl formation is also a potential spoilage problem with Pediococcus [100]. Gluconobacter and Acetobacter are the most common brewery contaminants spoiling through metabolism of ethanol to acetic acid, but are also not known for detectable volatile phenol formation [100,102].

Most beer spoilage yeasts that have been characterized exhibit a POF+ phenotype, and volatile phenol production is a major mechanism of spoilage by yeasts. This includes wild strains of S. cerevisiae, as well as members of the Pichia, Candida, Toulaspora, Kloeckera, Brettanomyces, and Schizosaccharomyces genera most commonly associated with brewery contamination, although the degree of volatile
phenol production varies widely among isolates \cite{49,93,100,103,104}. A designation of POF+ is usually associated with an analysis of yeasts ability to metabolize HCA precursor compounds. Most POF+ strains are active for phenolic acid decarboxylase activity, leading to generation of vinyl derivatives (see Section 4.2). Among the strains tested, only *Brettanomyces* isolates have demonstrated vinylphenol reductase enzyme, completing HCA metabolism to ethyl derivatives, primarily 4-ethylphenol and 4-ethylguaiacol (Section 4.3). The ethyl compounds have comparable aroma and flavor thresholds, and are generally associated with less desirable characteristics of barnyard and creosote \cite{3,71}.

Phenolic compounds have recently been identified as potential contributors to staling of beer through aging or improper storage. Aging beer has been shown to acquire concentrations of 4-vinyl syringol that are perceived as a strong “old beer” character. This is likely due to degradation of sinapic acid glycosides during aging \cite{3,8}. Long-term storage may also lead to altered flavor profile due to degradation of phenolic compounds. Beers with a desired “spice” aroma and flavor from 4-vinylguaiacol may over time lose this character in favor of a sweeter taste as 4-VG degrades to vanillin. This process is proposed to occur via a pH dependent reaction \cite{84}.

6. Conclusions

Simple phenolic compounds are always present in beer, as they are released into wort from grains and hops during the mashing and brewing process. Nevertheless, a brewer has considerable control over aroma and flavor impacts of phenolic compounds on the finished beer. Desirable character can be increased by choice of mashing and wort boiling regimens, as well as ingredient and yeast choice. Reducing the influence of unwanted phenolic character can likewise be achieved by choices in the brewing process, as well as by avoiding unwanted microbes through proper sanitation and proper storage and aging.
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