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Introduction

Weaning is one of the most dangerous situations in the life of a pig and introduces a number of stress factors. Some of these may be of infectious origin, such as E. coli (Hampson 1994), rotavirus (Saif et al. 1994), Clostridium perfringens (Estrada Correa & Taylor 1988). Other stressors are of non-infectious origin such as an abrupt separation from the sow and a sudden change of feed from sow milk to a cereal based creep feed. The latter also include withdrawal of the protective IgA that is secreted in milk (Klobasa et al. 1981) and act locally in the intestine of the piglets. Sometimes piglets are mixed at weaning, which will amplify the stress by fights that will last until a social rank is established (Spencer et al. 1989). Taken together, these stress factors may affect the immune functions negatively post weaning (Blecha et al. 1983, Bailey et al. 1992, Puppe et al. 1997, Watrang et al. 1998). This will coincide in time with alterations of the intestinal population, in terms of a less diversified faecal coliform flora, which is induced by the weaning (Kühn et al. 1993, Melin et al. 1997&2000a, Katouli et al. 1999). The drawbacks of weaning described above
may contribute to outbreaks of post weaning diarrhoea (PWD), and such outbreaks are often related to infections with *E. coli*. However, many authors have suggested PWD to mirror a syndrome rather than a specific infection, because single infections/provocations have failed to induce PWD (Smith & Jones 1963, Hampson et al. 1985, Wathe et al. 1989, Nabuurs et al. 1993, Madec et al. 1998 & 2000, Melin et al. 2000a). Consequently efforts aiming to reduce the negative impact of the weaning have been practised. The introduction of age segregated rearing systems that provides a good environment and a low pathogen load have been proven efficient in preventing PWD (Madec et al. 1998), and the importance of using relevant feeding systems to weaned piglets have been discussed (Rantzer 1997).

High protein concentration enhances growth (Gracia et al. 1999) and preheating of the feed facilitates feed utilization (Graham et al. 1989). However, such a feed may also contribute to PWD in several ways. The protective influence of chewing and saliva is reduced. The gastric passage rate is increased and the feed has a high acid binding capacity, resulting in a decreased effect of hydrochloric acid and proteolytic enzymes (Bolduan 1992, Spencer et al. 1994). Also individual ingredients, such as soya (Jager 1986, Nabuurs 1986), have occasionally been proven provocative. Consequently, an interest has been paid to feed composition.

By adding pure lactose to the feed the abrupt switch of general energy source at weaning may be moderated and by using non-heated meal feed with extra dietary fibres the intestinal passage time may be prolonged (Johansen & Bach Knudsen 1994). Other efforts to prevent PWD have included admixture of ingredients that stabilise the intestinal flora around weaning. For instance high amounts of feed administered zinc oxide preserve the intestinal flora post weaning by preventing certain clones of bacteria to increase in number on behalf of other clones (Katouli et al. 1999). However, it should be noted that such administrations never should exceed 14 days due to the toxicity of zinc (Jensen-Waern et al. 1998). Also antibiotics may prevent PWD, but antibiotics as feed ingredients have been prohibited in Sweden since 1986. The European Communities (EC) has followed this example regarding 8 out of 12 permitted substances 1999 (Council directive 70/524/EEC on Feed additives) and the future of the remaining substances are to be discussed. Yet another strategy to prevent PWD has been to introduce non-pathogenic microorganisms, aiming to obstruct colonisation of pathogenic microorganism of indigenous or exotic origin by competition for nutrients and receptor sites (Kyriakis 1999, Underdahl 1983).

The aim of the present study was to scrutinize the efficacy of some strategies aiming to prevent development of PWD in pigs exposed to pathogenic strains of *E. coli* in a way that previously had been proven to induce PWD. These strategies included feed composition, admixture of zinc oxide and administration of non-pathogenic bacteria.

**Materials and methods**

**Animals, initial health status and experimental design**

The animals originated from a conventional herd free from diseases according to the A-list of International office of epizootics, Aujeszky’s disease, Atrophic rhinitis, Transmissible gastro-enteritis, Porcine epidemic diarrhoea, Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome, *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* and Salmonellosis. To further reduce the pathogen load, sows were given antiparasitic treatment prior to farrowing (Ivomec® vet, MSD, Rahway, N J, USA). They were also vaccinated to prevent erysipelas and parvovirus (Nordprenum® Plus vet, Pharmacia & Upjohn Animal Health, Helsingborg, Swe-
den), as well as neonatal infections with *E. coli* in the offspring (Piliguard vet, Scanvet, Frederensborg, Denmark). No haemolytic strains of *E. coli* were found in the faeces from any of the 30 piglets one week before weaning.

The present study included 30 piglets, representing 6 litters (1 to 6) designated to 5 experimental groups (A to E) with 6 pigs at weaning. Each group included one pig from each litter of origin. Each animal was given a group, litter identification, i.e. pigs with the same letter were group mates, and pigs with the same number were littermates.

All groups were fed *ad libitum* through feeding automates (Piggomat, Skålby Maskin, Enköping, Sweden). Group A was left as an uninfected control group and offered a preheated standard feed (Startgris Fiber, Lantmännen, Svalöv, Sweden). The other groups were exposed to 3 pathogenic strains of *E. coli* described below. Group D was left as an infected control group, while group B was offered a feed with 2,500 ppm ZnO and group C was offered a non-heated meal feed with lactose and fibres (produced by Nibble, Tillberga, Sweden). Group E was also offered the standard feed, but each pig was given an oral dose with $10^6$ colony forming units (CFU) of each of 60 defined non-pathogenic strains of *E. coli* given per os. Group E was also offered the standard feed, but each pig was given an oral dose with $10^6$ colony forming units (CFU) of each of 60 defined non-pathogenic strains of *E. coli* 15 min prior to the challenge with pathogenic strains of *E. coli* (Table 1).

When initiating the trial, the groups were housed in separated rooms at the National Veterinary Institute (NVI) with separated urine and manure handling. The rooms were free from draught, illuminated for 14 h per day and kept at 20°C. To prevent spread of *E. coli* (including probiotic strains) to previously not exposed pigs, the groups were always visited in alphabetical order. Boots and tools were designated to and kept within each room.

### Inducement of post weaning diarrhoea

PWD was induced as briefly described below with a model earlier used (Melin et al. 2000a&b). At the day of weaning (living day 35) the animals were transported for 1 h in a joint closed horse trailer to the NVI. All but the control pigs were exposed to pathogenic strains of *E. coli* via the environment. One h before the arrival of the animals a broth with a pathogenic strain of *E. coli* (O147; K89, STb) was spread

---

**Table 1.** The experimental design of a study aiming to scrutinise the effect of different feed related prophylactic measures in pigs exposed to three pathogenic serotypes of *E. coli* via the environment. The pigs were weaned on living day 35.

| Group | Exposed to | Feed |
|-------|------------|------|
|       | Pathogenic *E. coli* | Non-pathogenic *E. coli* | Structure | Heat processed (75°C for 20 sek) | Protein (%) | ZnO (2500 ppm) | Lactose |
| A     | -          | -    | Pelleted<sup>c</sup> | Yes       | 15.5 | - | - |
| B     | Yes<sup>a</sup> | -    | Pelleted<sup>c</sup> | Yes       | 15.5 | Yes | - |
| C     | Yes<sup>a</sup> | -    | Meal<sup>d</sup> | -         | 14.5 | - | Yes |
| D     | Yes<sup>a</sup> | -    | Pelleted<sup>c</sup> | Yes       | 15.5 | - | - |
| E     | Yes       | Yes<sup>b</sup> | Pelleted<sup>c</sup> | Yes       | 15.5 | - | - |

<sup>a</sup> *E. coli* O147; K89, STb at the day of weaning; *E. coli* O141; K85, STb, VT2 and *E. coli* O149; K91, K88, STa, STb, LT three days post weaning
<sup>b</sup> A mixture of $10^6$ CFU of each of 60 defined non-pathogenic strains of *E. coli* given per os.
<sup>c</sup> Startgris Fiber, Lantmännen, Svalöv, Sweden
<sup>d</sup> Meal feed with lactose, dietary fibres and char cole (Nibble, Tillberga, Sweden)
to a density of $2 \times 10^6$ CFU per square meter on
the floor of empty and previously disinfected
pens. In the pen for the control group a sterile
BHI-broth was used. One h after the arrival of
the piglets, the pens were bedded with sawdust
and the animals were given access to feed and
water. Three days post weaning the animals
were exposed a second time in the same way
with a broth comprising both *E. coli* O141
(K85, STb, VT2) and *E. coli* O149 (K91, K88,
STa, STb, LT).

The trial was terminated 14 days post weaning
during the animals
were exposed a second time in the same way
with a broth comprising both *E. coli* O141
(K85, STb, VT2) and *E. coli* O149 (K91, K88,
STa, STb, LT).

The trial was terminated 14 days post weaning
by sacrificing the animals. At that time the in-
testinal epithelium of all piglets was tested for
presence of receptors to the adhesion factor
F4/K88 post mortem (Edfors-Lilja et al. 1995).

Table 2. Results obtained from 6 control animals and 24 piglets exposed to three pathogenic strains of *E. coli*
at weaning on living day 35. The different prophylactic regimes used in the in the study are described in Table
1. Demonstration of rotavirus and/or the challenge strains of *E. coli* in faeces are shown on daily bases. Days
with diarrhoea are shaded.

| Piglet | Receptor | F4 | \(0\) | \(1\) | \(2\) | \(3\) | \(4\) | \(5\) | \(6\) | \(7\) | \(8\) | \(9\) | \(10\) | \(11\) | \(12\) | \(13\) | \(14\) |
|--------|----------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A:1    | Neg      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A:2    | Neg      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A:3    | Pos      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A:4    | Pos      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A:5    | Pos      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A:6    | Pos      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| B:1    | Pos      | 12 | 7   | 9   | 9   | 9,1 | 1,9,7 | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 7,1,9 | 1   |
| B:2    | Neg      | 7  | 7   | 7   | 1,9,7 | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   |
| B:3    | Pos      | 7  | R   | R   | R   | 7,1,7 | 7,9  | 1   | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 1   |
| B:4    | Pos      | 7  | R   | R   | 7,1,7 | 7,1  | 7,9  | 7,1  | 7,9  | 7,9  | 7,9  | 1   |
| B:5    | Pos      | 9  | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   |
| B:6    | Pos      | 7  | 7   | 9   | 1,7,9 | 1,7,9 | 1,7,9 | 1   | 1,7,9 | 1,7,9 | 1,7,9 | 1   |
| C:1    | Neg      | 7  | 7   | 1,7,9 | 1,7  | 1,7  | 7,1,7 | 7   | 7,1  | 7,1  | 7,1  | 7   |
| C:2    | Neg      | 7  | 9,1 | 1,9  | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   |
| C:3    | Pos      | R   | R   | R   | 7,1,9 | 1,7  | 1,7  | 7,1  | 7,1  | 7,1  | 7,1  | 7   |
| C:4    | Pos      | 7  | 7   | 1,7,9 | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   |
| C:5    | Pos      | 7  | 9,1 | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  |
| C:6    | Pos      | 7  | 7,1,7 | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 7,1  | 7   | 7,1  | 7,1  | 7,1  | 7   |
| D:1    | Pos      | 7  | 9   | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  |
| D:2    | Neg      | 7  | 9   | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  |
| D:3    | Pos      | 7  | R   | 7,1,9 | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |
| D:4    | Pos      | 7  | R   | 7,1,9 | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |
| D:5    | Neg      | 7  | 7,1,9 | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |
| D:6    | Pos      | 7  | 7,1,9 | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |
| E:1    | Neg      | 7  | 7   | 1,9  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  |
| E:2    | Neg      | 7  | 1,9  | 1,9  | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |
| E:3    | Pos      | 7  | R   | R   | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  | 9,1  |
| E:4    | Pos      | 1,9 | 1   | 1,9  | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |
| E:5    | Neg      | 1,9 | 1   | 1,9  | 1   | 1   | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |
| E:6    | Pos      | 1   | 1,9 | 1   | 1,9  | 1   | 1,7  | 1   | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  | 1,7  |

Diarrhoea: light grey = “diarrhoea”; dark grey = “watery diarrhoea”.
1, 7 and 9 = presence of *E. coli* O141, O147 and O149 respectively. The most frequent serotype is given first. If bolded the
challenge strains comprised more than 25 % of the total coliform flora.
R = presence of rotavirus.
All 3 *E. coli* strains used were previously tested positive for toxins using PCR-technique (Melin et al. 2000a&b), and by loop tests (Smith & Halls 1967) they were proven pathogenic (Melin et al. 2000a&b).

**Health status**
The health status of the animals was inspected at least 3 times per day, with special attention to faecal consistency. If the consistency allowed a collected sample to adapt to the shape of any container it was characterised as "diarrhoea". A watery consistency was denoted "watery diarrhoea". These terms are separated in table 2, but the common term "diarrhoea" is used for both types of loose stool in the text. The results are expressed as number of pigs with diarrhoea (at any time) per group, and as number of pig days with diarrhoea per group. The latter corresponds to the sum of all days with diarrhoea per pig within group, and is also compared to total number of pig days at risk.

**Daily weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion**
From 7 days before weaning the piglets were weighed once a week on an electronic scale (Epescale 1045, Alfa-Laval, Södertälje, Sweden) and the daily weight gains (DWG) were calculated as gram gained per day. The weight of given, as well as consumed, creep feed was noted. Feed conversion ratios were calculated as kg feed consumed per kg weight gained.

**Sampling procedures**
Rectal samples for microbial analyses were collected daily at 9 a.m. with cotton swabs and transported to the laboratory in Aimes transport medium (Copan Italia, Brescia, Italy). The presence of *Brachyspira spp* was investigated in all animals at weaning and on day 7 post weaning. The occurrence of *Isospora suis* was analysed on day 5 post weaning in faeces collected from the pen floor (3×10 g per pen). All microbial analyses were initiated within 2 h after sampling with the exception of detection of rotavirus. These samples were stored at -20°C until analysed all at one single occasion. After the termination of the study the entire *Ileum* from all animals were stored in -80°C for analysis of *Lawsonia intracellularis* by PCR.

**Detection of the challenge strains**
Faecal samples were spread on blood agar plates (blood agar base No. 2; LabM, Salford, England + 5% horse blood) and incubated for 18 h at 37°C. No haemolytic strains of *E. coli* were determined prior to weaning why occurrence of β-haemolytic *E. coli* were denoted as potential isolates of the challenge strains. They were estimated as percentage of the total number of coliforms, and tested for presence of capsule antigen (n = 5 per pig and day) by agglutination with rabbit serum (Söderlind 1971). If positive (K85 = O141; K89 = O147; K91 = O149), they were considered as a reisolated challenge strain.

**Detection of other pathogenic microorganisms**
The presence of *Brachyspira* spp was investigated by culturing on Fastidious Anaerobe agar, (LabM LAB 90, Salford, England) for 6 days under anaerobic conditions at 37°C (Fellström et al. 1995). Rotavirus was detected by an ELISA demonstrating group A rotavirus antigen in faecal samples (de Verdier Klingenberg & Esfandiari 1996). *Isospora suis* was analysed using a modified version of a flotation/McMaster technique (Thienpont et al. 1979) used in routine diagnostics at NVI. The presence of *Lawsonia intracellularis* was analysed by PCR (Jacobson et al. 2000).

**Biochemical fingerprinting**
Faecal samples were spread on MacConkey agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, Eng-
land) and incubated for 18 h at 37°C. From each sample 24 colonies of coliforms were picked randomly and inoculated on PhP-RE plates (Pheneplates®, PhPlate AB, Stockholm, Sweden). Each isolate was spread to 11 different substrates on a microtiter plate and the absorption values (A_{650}) were measured with a photometer (Titertek Multiscan MCC/340, Labsystems OY, Helsinki, Finland) after 16, 40 and 64 h of incubation at 37°C. The ability to utilise the various substrates was compared and isolates showing similarity coefficients higher than 97.5 were regarded as identical (Kühn 1985) and assigned to the same biochemical phenotype (BPT).

The phenotypic diversity of the coliform populations was measured as Simpson's index of diversity (Hunter & Gaston 1988). Diversity is high (maximum value of 1) for a population constituting many different and evenly distributed BPTs and low (minimum value of 0) if one BPT is dominant. The mean diversities of the faecal coliform populations of each sampling occasion post weaning are presented as a percentage of each group mean value on the day of weaning.

The floras of different piglets were compared using "Population Similarity" as described by Kühn et al. (1991). In this model the similarity, expressed as a SP- value, is high (maximum value of 1) if the 2 compared populations are identical and low (minimum value of 0) if they are totally different. Within each experimental group and sampling occasion all piglet floras were compared to each other giving a matrix of SP-values. From this matrix a mean SP-value for each group and sampling occasion was calculated. Further, within each group all isolates from each sampling occasion, i.e. days 3, 7, 10 and 14, were compared to the flora at weaning.

**Statistical analyses**

The significance of differences between groups or litters, respectively, was calculated with the Mann-Whitney U test. The significance of differences within groups or litters over time was determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The significance of differences regarding clinical signs between groups or litters, respectively, was calculated by χ²-tests.

**Results**

**Reisolation of challenge strains**

None of the pathogenic strains of *E. coli* used for challenge was found in any faecal sample collected prior to the study, or in any faecal sample collected from the control pigs. In contrast, pathogenic *E. coli* challenge strains were frequently isolated from all exposed pigs (Table 2). The distribution in faeces of these 3 challenge strains differed between experimental groups (Table 2). In group E, given a mixture of non-pathogenic *E. coli* strains prior to challenge, the proportion of *E. coli* O147 was lower (p<0.001) and the extent of *E. coli* O141 higher (p<0.001-0.05), compared to all other groups. Also the litter of origin influenced this distribution. In litter 2, where no animal expressed the F4 receptor in their jejunal epithelium (Tables 2 & 3a), the shedding of O149 was low compared to litters 3, 4 and 5 (p<0.001-0.05). On the contrary, the proportion of O141 in litter 2 was high compared to litters 3 and 4 (p<0.01-0.05). The dominating serotype within each faecal sample varied from day to day, also among diarrhoeic pigs (Table 2).

**Rotavirus, Brachyspira spp, Lawsonia intracellularis and Isospora suis**

As shown in Table 2, rotavirus was demonstrated on 20 sampling occasions in samples collected from 7 piglets representing all experimental groups. All except 2 of these samples were collected during the first 4 days post weaning. These rotavirus positive piglets did all originate from litters 3, 4 and 5. Two additional
piglets in litter 2 excreted rotavirus 7 days before weaning, but not after weaning. Neither *Brachyspira* spp, *Lawsonia Intracellularis* nor *Isospora suis* were detected in any sample collected.

**Health status**

All control pigs remained healthy throughout the study. Diarrhoea was recorded in 5 to 6 piglets in each group exposed to pathogenic *E. coli* (Tables 2 and 3).

When presented as pig days with diarrhoea, all groups exposed to pathogenic *E. coli* showed a higher incidence of diarrhoea (p< 0.001) than the uninfected control group (Tables 3a & b). The incidence of diarrhoea in group E (given non-pathogenic strains of *E. coli* at weaning) was significantly (p=0.05-0.01) lower when compared to all other groups exposed to the challenge strains (Table 3a). Also the onset of clinical signs was slower and milder in this group, with 5 pig days of diarrhoea during the first week post weaning (Table 2). The corresponding figures were: 22 days in Group B (p<0.001) and 18 days in Groups C and D (p<0.01).

As shown in Table 2, diarrhoea was seen in correlation to shed of rotavirus in 5 out of 7 rotavirus positive pigs (B3, B4, C3, D4 and E4). However, 4 of these 5 diarrhoeic piglets also shed *E. coli* O147. One animal in the control group (A3) excreted rotavirus on one occasion (at weaning), without any correlation to diarrhoea.

Another piglet (D6) was rotavirus positive at weaning, but did not show any clinical signs of diarrhoea at that time. *E. coli* O147 was demonstrated in faeces of that pig during day 1 to 3.

---

**Table 3a. Incidence of diarrhoea in one uninfected control group and four groups exposed to pathogenic serotypes of *E. coli* in connection with weaning (I). One infected group was left as an infected control group, while the other three groups were given feed related prophylactics (for details see Table 1). Comparisons with the infected control group are hatched. The table also shows (II) the incidence of diarrhoea in exposed pigs (n = 24) with respect to litter of origin (1-6). For both categories the presence of the F4-receptor in the intestine is given.**

| Group / Litter | Ratio F4 Pos/Neg | Pigs At risk (n) | With diarrhoea (n) (%) | Days At risk (n) | With diarrhoea (n) (%) | Significance of difference |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| I: Group      |                  |                  |                       |                 |                       | B  | C  | D  | E  |
| A: Uninfected | 4/2              | 6                | 0 0%                  | 84              | 0 0%                  | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| B: ZnO        | 5/1              | 6                | 5 83%                 | 84              | 38 45%                | **  |     |     |     |
| C: Meal feed  | 4/2              | 6                | 5 83%                 | 83              | 30 36%                |     |     |     |     |
| D: Infected   | 4/2              | 6                | 6 100%                | 75              | 37 49%                | **  |     |     |     |
| E: Probiotic  | 3/3              | 6                | 6 100%                | 84              | 20 24%                |     |     |     |     |

| II: Litter (exposed pigs) | Ratio | Pigs At risk (n) | With diarrhoea (n) (%) | Days | Significance of difference |
|---------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------|------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 2/2 | 4 4 100% | 56 24 43% | 2 | * |
| 2 | 0/4 | 4 4 100% | 56 13 23% | 3 | 4 5 6 | ** |
| 3 | 4/0 | 4 4 100% | 56 25 45% | ** |
| 4 | 4/0 | 4 4 100% | 56 18 32% | * |
| 5 | 2/2 | 4 3 75% | 56 20 36% | * |
| 6 | 4/0 | 4 4 100% | 46 25 51% | * |

Significant differences: * = p < 0.05; ** = p< 0.01 and *** = p < 0.001 (only shown at the row with the lowest group or litter number)
Table 3b. Group wise incidence of diarrhoea when the litter with mortality (litter 6) were excluded from the animals presented in table 3a. Comparisons with the infected control group are hatched.

| Group                        | Incidence of Days with Diarrhoea (%) | Significance of differences between groups |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                              | Day 1-7     | Day 8-14    | Whole period, Day 1-14                      |
|                              | (%) B C D E | (%) B C D E | (%) B C D E                                 |
| A: Uninfected control        | 0% *** ***  | 0% *** ***  | 0% *** ***                                 |
| B: ZnO                       | 43% ***     | 26% *       | 34% p=0.06                                 |
| C: Meal feed                 | 43% **      | 23% *       | 33%                                         |
| D: Infected control          | 49% ***     | 51% *       | 50%                                         |
| E: Probiotic                 | 11%        | 43%         | 27%                                         |

Significant differences: * = p < 0.05; ** = p< 0.01 and *** = p < 0.001. (only shown at the row with the lowest group or litter number)

From day 4 all 3 challenge strains were demonstrated and the pig developed diarrhoea. On day 6 post weaning it died in PWD. Another pig from the same litter (C6, offered the meal feed) also died due to PWD. This pig died on day 13 post weaning after having had PWD for 9 days (Table 2).

All but one of the challenged pigs expressed diarrhoea during the observation period. However, when the results were stratified according to litter the number of pig days with diarrhoea was lower in litter 2 (lacking the F4-receptor) than in litters 1, 3 (p<0.05) and 6 (p<0.01; Table 3a). The pigs that died due to PWD originated from litter 6 and would presumably have contributed to a higher number of days with diarrhoea in their groups if they had survived. In spite of this, litter 6 had the highest incidence of days with diarrhoea, 51% (Table 3a). This difference between litter 6 and all other groups was most evident during the second week post weaning (p<0.05). When the results from litter

Figure 1. The diversity of the faecal coliform flora in one uninfected control group and four groups exposed to pathogenic serotypes of *E. coli* in connection with weaning. The results are presented as mean diversity values within group in relation to the mean diversity of that group at weaning.
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6 were excluded (Table 3b) a lower incidence of diarrhoea (p<0.05) was revealed in groups B (ZnO) and C (meal feed) when compared to group D (infected control) during the second week post weaning.

**DWG and Feed consumption**

The highest DWG, both during the first (154 ± 73 gram per day) and the second (354 ± 39 gram per day) week post weaning was recorded in the uninfected Group A. In the slowest growing group (C) the corresponding figures were 29 ± 113 gram per day and 226 ± 213 gram per day respectively. The highest DWG among infected groups was seen in Group E, 136 ± 98 gram per day during the first week post weaning and 314 ± 104 gram per day during the second week post weaning.

The DWG reflected the feed consumption. During the first week post weaning the mean feed consumption ranged from 343 gram per pig and day in the group given meal feed (Group C) to 388 gram per pig in Groups A and E. During the second week post weaning the highest feed consumption was recorded in Group A (617 gram per day) in the infected control group (D). No significant differences in DWG between experimental groups or between litters were recorded.

**Biochemical fingerprinting**

The mean diversities of the faecal coliform populations of each sampling occasion post weaning are presented as a percentage of the mean values obtained within group at the day of weaning (Fig. 1). The diversity of the faecal coliform population decreased in all piglets during the first week following weaning. However, the flora was less affected in group C (given a meal feed with lactose and fibres). During the second week post weaning the diversity in Group C continued to decrease slightly, thereby reaching a similar level as groups A, B and D which in turn had regained an increased diversity of the coliform flora during the second week post weaning. Group E (given non-pathogenic *E. coli* strains at weaning) developed a less diversified intestinal coliform flora during the first week post weaning, and remained at that level during the second week. (Fig. 1).

A comparison of the coliform floras between

![Figure 2. Similarity (SP) within group between the individual faecal coliform populations at each sampling occasion. The study comprises one uninfected control group and four groups exposed to pathogenic serotypes of *E. coli* in connection with weaning. One infected group was left as an infected control group, while the other three groups were given feed related prophylactics (for details see Table 1).](image-url)
the members within each experimental group and sampling occasion revealed a mean SP-value of around 0.1 (range 0.08 to 0.11) at weaning and mixing (Fig. 2). In all groups exposed to pathogenic strains of \textit{E. coli} the mean SP value increased to a maximum level (0.24 to 0.46) on day 7 post weaning, indicating a more homologous flora within the groups at that time. Thereafter it decreased again to a level similar to that at weaning. This was obtained on day 14 post weaning (Fig. 2). In the uninfected group (Group A) the similarity within group was relatively constant over time.

The total coliform population for each group sampling (i.e. all isolates from all piglets within the group taken together) was compared to the total coliform population of that group at weaning. Overall a decreasing similarity to the flora at weaning was seen with time (Fig. 3). This indicates development of an altered intestinal coliform flora following weaning.

Discussion
Diarrhoea was detected in all groups exposed to pathogenic strains of \textit{E. coli} but not in the control group. This confirmed earlier observations that the present combination of 3 pathogenic strains of \textit{E. coli} can induce PWD (Melin et al. 2000b). Interestingly, and as found before (Nabuurs et al. 1993, Katouli et al. 1995, Melin et al. 2001), the dominating serotype within each animal varied from day to day (Table 2), indicating that a diarrhoeic pig does not necessarily excrete one single or a even dominant serotype of \textit{E. coli} throughout a session of diarrhoea. Also concurring earlier observations (Melin et al. 2001), a genetic predisposition to develop PWD was indicated as the number of days with diarrhoea varied significantly between litters, and as both pigs that died em-}
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\caption{Similarity (SP) between the total faecal coliform population of each group and sampling occasion compared to the total coliform population of that group at weaning. The study comprises one uninfected control group and four groups exposed to pathogenic serotypes of \textit{E. coli} in connection with weaning. One infected group was left as an infected control group, while the other three groups were given feed related prophylactics (for details see Table 1).}
\end{figure}
coli O149 (F4+) was only demonstrated occasionally during the first 3 days post exposure to that strain (performed on day 3 post weaning; Tables 1 & 2).

The negative impact of PWD was indicated by a higher DWG in the healthy control group. However, due to the low number of pigs and to large variations in initial weight, differences in weight gain and feed intake were not significant. In spite of the fact that PWD was induced in all challenged groups, many of the parameters measured varied between different feeding regimes, as discussed below.

The diversity in the faecal coliform flora decreased in all groups, including the unexposed control group, during the first week post weaning. This effect of weaning has previously been observed in healthy as well as in diarrhoeic pigs (Kühn et al. 1993, Katouli et al. 1995 & 1999, Melin et al. 1996, 2000a & 2001). In the pigs exposed to pathogenic strains of \( E. \) coli this disturbance may last long (Melin et al. 2000a), probably due to a continuous influence of those strains. In the present study the diversity was somewhat restored in all but one group at the end of the second week post weaning. Group E (that was given non-pathogenic strains of \( E. \) coli at weaning) conserved a low diversity among the coliform intestinal flora throughout the study. This phenomenon may be associated to an initially good colonisation of at least some of the strains of \( E. \) coli given as probiotic at weaning as indicated by the increasing similarity (SP-values) between the faecal coliform populations of the pigs in this group during the first week post weaning (Fig. 2). The decrease in similarity between the faecal populations of the pigs during the subsequent week may indicate that these probiotic strains fade away. However, it may also actually indicate a good and evenly distributed colonisation of the probiotic strains, which ought to be further evaluated (the 60 probiotic strains outnumber the 24 CFU collected per pig and sampling occasion for biochemical fingerprinting; 144 CFU were analysed per group and day). Still, that might be of less importance as also the similarity between the intestinal coliform populations between pigs in the infected control group ceased, indicating a decreased influence of the challenge strains with time.

The use of non-pathogenic strains of a disease provoking bacteria, both as a prophylaxis and as treatment of infections, has earlier been proven useful (Winberg et al. 1993, Methner 1999, Barrow & Page 2000). Enteropathogenic strains of \( E. \) coli are dependent on receptor specific adhesions. Therefore, a competitive inhibition of these receptor sites by non-pathogenic strains of \( E. \) coli could decrease adhesion of pathogenic strains of \( E. \) coli and thereby prevent or reduce PWD. Group E was given a defined mixture of 60 strains of non-pathogenic \( E. \) coli at weaning. Interestingly, the incidence of diarrhoea was significantly lower in this group compared to all other challenged groups (Tables 2 & 3b). This was most obvious during the first week post weaning (Tables 2, 3a & 3b). The proportion of \( E. \) coli O147 was also lower than in the other infected groups.

Feed supplemented with 2500 ppm ZnO has previously been shown to prevent or decrease the severity of PWD (Holm 1990 & 1993, Poulsen 1995). Katouli et al. (1999) reported a less affected diversity of the coliform flora post weaning and an improved DWG in healthy piglets given ZnO supplemented feed for 2 weeks post weaning. A high diversity is suggested to indicate a stable ecosystem (Pielou 1975) and a stable microflora is considered to have a higher colonisation resistance (Atlas 1984). In this study, however, the ZnO treated group did not differ from the infected control group with respect to diversity of the coliform flora post weaning, nor with respect to pig days with diarrhoea. However, it ought to be remem-
bered that the pig from the most affected litter of the infected control group already died on day 6 post weaning due to PWD. That death probably decreased the overall percentage of pig days with diarrhoea in the infected control group, since that pig probably would have expressed diarrhoea for more days if it had survived (Table 2). If the results from litter 6 were excluded (Table 3b) the incidence of diarrhoea was lower in Group B compared to the infected control (Group D) during the second week post weaning.

Heat-processing of the feed increases the passage rate through the digestive channel, which in turn will reduce the effect of protective elements such as digestive enzymes and hydrochloric acid. This may result in a large number of ingested microorganisms entering the intestine in a viable form and thereby increasing the risk for infections with pathogenic microorganisms. A non-heated meal feed rich in dietary fibres would instead prolong the gastric passage (Johansen & Bach Knudsen 1994). Group C was given such a feed (enriched with lactose) and the decline in diversity of the faecal coliform flora was less pronounced in this group with no accentuated dip on day 7, which indicates that the flora was less dominated by the challenge strains used (Fig. 1). Also the comparably low similarity between the coliform floras of different piglets in this group at each sampling occasion indicates that the pigs managed to reduce the external influence on their intestinal flora (Fig. 2). The incidence of diarrhoea was lower (p<0.05-0.01) than in the infected control group when the results were corrected for mortality (i.e. when litter 6 was excluded; Table 3b). Regarding the comparably low DWG in Group C (especially during the first week post weaning), respect should be paid to the fact that the pigs were offered pellets during suckling and that they consumed fairly little of the non-heated meal feed. This feed also contained a lower amount of protein than the standard diet given to the other groups.

This study confirmed that the post weaning period is dangerous, and our results further suggest that the intestinal floras that develop post weaning with time will differ completely from those present before weaning (Fig. 3), as earlier proven by Katouli et al. (1995). However, many strains of E. coli could be regarded as intestinal transients (Kühn et al. 1993, Nabuurs et al. 1993, Katouli et al. 1995), which further points to the complex interaction between different microorganisms in the intestine. Efforts must therefore always be undertaken to facilitate the life for piglets at weaning. These measures include a good environment, a low pathogen load, and the results obtained in this study indicate the importance of well-designed creep feeds. The different feeding regimes and the use of good colonisers of non-pathogenic strains of E. coli appeared able to influence the intestinal coliform microflora of piglets following weaning and thereby influence the severity of infections gained at that time.

It is possible that the results obtained would have been different if for instance the probiotic strains of E. coli had been administered repeatedly or well before weaning, as well as if the pigs offered the non-heated meal feed with lactose had been adapted to that food before weaning. However, the design of the study prioritized genotype (litter of origin) and phenotype (conditions during the suckling period), factors of importance to the health status. Further it must be noted that we managed to standardise some important factors that could contribute to development of PWD, such as temperature, draught, and also had a low general pathogen load in this experiment. The efficacy of the measures studied in practise must therefore be further evaluated, and the results obtained may well differ between different herds due to unspecific management errors.
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Sammanfattning
Avvänjningsdiarré hos gris - effekter av foderrelaterade åtgärder.

Möjligheten att motverka avvänjningsdiarré genom olika foderrelaterade profilaktiska åtgärder undersöktes i en "split litter studie" som varade i 2 veckor och omfattande 5 grupper om vardera sex grisar från sex olika kullar. I 4 av grupperna exponerades grisarna i samband med avvänjningen för tre patogena stammar av E. coli. I 3 av dessa grupper undersöktes den profilaktiska effekten av: 2500 ppm ZnO i ett pelletterat foder, ett laktosberikat fiberrikt mjölfoder respektive en enstaka peroral giva av 60 stammar av aptogenena E. coli som probiotika. Två kontrollgrupper, en infekterad och en oinfekterad, fodrades med pelletterat standardfoder.

Diarré påvisades i samtliga grupper som infekterats med patogena E. coli däremot inte i den oinfekterade kontrollgruppen. Den inom enskilda individer dominerande serotypen av fekala E. coli varierade från dag till dag även hos grisar med diarré. Detta indikerar att diarrén inte inducerades av en enskild serotyp. Den infekterade kontrollgruppen företade de gravaste symptomen på diarré emedan gruppen som gavs aptogenena E. coli som probiotika uppfannsade signifikant lägre förekomst av diarré jämfört med samtliga andra grupper. Diarréfrekvensen varierade även med avseende på kulltillhörighet.

Under första veckan efter avvänjning sjönk diversiteten i den fekala koliforma populationen i alla grupper samtidigt som likheten mellan olika grisars floror inom de infekterade grupperna ökade. Detta indikerade en påverkan av infektionssstammarna. Denna påverkan minskade under försöksårets andra vecka. Grupper som fodrades med ett laktosberikat fiberrikt mjölfoder uppfannsade de minsta förändringarna inom den fekala koliforma floran. Sammanfattningsvis verkar de foderrelaterade profilaktiska åtgärder som testats i denna studie ha potential att minska förekomsten av, eller mildra de kliniska symptom vid, avvänjningsdiarré.
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