Physiological response to the action of the agrotoxic 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Abstract
The presence of xenobiotic compounds in the environment is responsible for impacts on the ecosystem. An example is pesticides that pose risks to non-target species, such as microorganisms present in the soil and that are responsible for cycling nutrients, some can be used to measure the effects of these compounds, such as yeasts because when exposed to toxic substances begin to present changes in physiological and genetic mechanisms. Thus, this study aims to evaluate the toxicity effect of the 2,4-D pesticide on Pedra-2 (PE-2) and Fleischmann® (FLE) yeasts. 2,4-D dilutions of 2.0; 4.0 and 6.0 µg L⁻¹ were added to a solution prepared with 20 ml of ultra-pure water and 2.0 g of sucrose where the yeasts were grown. The phenotypic profile of yeasts against the toxicity of the compound was evaluated quantitatively with cell viability. Using the methylene blue method and qualitatively with cell growth tests in Petri dishes containing 2% YPD medium and flocculation on slides with methylene blue. Yeasts showed loss of viability and the FLE strain showed greater sensitivity, the cellular growth of this yeast was also more affected and, consequently, presented higher flocculation rates. The data show that the longer exposure time and the doses and concentrations of 2,4-D interfered with the physiological response of the FLE yeast. Thus, we can suggest that this microorganism has the potential to be considered for environmental tests and analyses as a bioindicator.
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FLE. Desta forma, podemos sugerir que este microrganismo apresenta um potencial a ser considerado para testes e análises ambientais como um bioindicador.

**Palavras-chave:** Perfil fenotípico; Toxicidade; Bioindicador.

### 1. Introduction

Society has been facing complex challenges such as environmental problems caused by different factors, some are related to social and environmental problems, mainly the results of anthropogenic actions as a result of the need to ensure increased food production, since there was population growth in recent decades (Noel, 2015). These factors directly influenced the relationship between the environment and man. In fact, some production processes contain a polluting load with the presence of organic contaminants that are present in the household, agro-industrial, petrochemical, pesticide, and other waste that, when discarded incorrectly, cause various harm to both health and the environment (Sisinno & Oliveira-Filho, 2013).

Among those mentioned, pesticides have a longer life cycle in nature, as they are chemical substances that persist for a long time in the environment (Carvalho, 2017). According to Sarabia et al. (2019), in Brazil, some pesticides are among the most used, such as glyphosate; which is classified as low toxic and 2,4-D extremely toxic. These two pesticides belong to the herbicide class and use it in crops such as soybeans, corn, cotton, rice, sugarcane, coffee, wheat, among others. 2,4-D is among the most consumed in the world, as it is widely used in different cultures and pasture management, being used successively in the same area (Thomaz, 2018).

Thus, given the chemical characteristics of these pesticides such as the affinity and solubility for soil aggregates, their relative persistence can be increased representing a risk for non-target species, which can cause changes in both the environmental compartments, especially soil and water, as induce changes in the various organisms to present there (Da Silva Pinto et al., 2021). Such changes can be morphological, physiological and genetic, so some of these organisms can serve as a source of studies to detect the presence of xenobiotic substances, also providing opportunities for evaluations of the toxicity of the compounds and their effects at the cellular level (Francisco & De Queiroz, 2018).

Some species of microorganisms can be used because they have advantages such as low cost, reduced analysis time, minimisation of waste generated during the studies, and others (Dos Santos et al., 2012). Within this context, Saccharomyces cerevisiae is promising for environmental studies, because when exposed to xenobiotic compounds, changes in physiological and genetic mechanisms are susceptible, such as gene derepression, which triggers numerous defence mechanisms concerning toxic action (Dragone et al., 2015). One of the physiological responses is the activation of the flocculation mechanism with a view to its survival (Moreno-García et al., 2018).
Thus, studies using microorganisms to measure the toxicity of a given compound or pesticide can serve as a powerful tool of environmental interest and, depending on the detection sensitivity, can be used to monitor the presence of xenobiotic compounds in the environment. Thus, this study aims to evaluate the toxicity action of 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid pesticide on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Pedra-2 (PE-2) and Fleischmann® (FLE) yeasts.

2. Methodology

2.1 Preparation of Pesticide Solutions

The pesticide 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) with a purity standard of 99% was used. Solutions were prepared from a stock solution of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) and the compound diluted in the proportion of 1 mL in 99 mL of methanol to obtain a final concentration of 1000 µg L\(^{-1}\) with a final volume of 100 mL. The solution was kept in an amber bottle and stored at a low temperature (-18°C).

2.2 Microorganism used

The microorganisms used in this study were the Pedra-2 and Fleischmann® strains available at the Biotechnology, Biochemistry and Biotransformation laboratory of the Center for Studies in Natural Resources-CERNA.

2.3 Evaluation of the phenotypic toxicity profile

2.3.1 Cell viability test

For the analysis of cell viability, 0.1 g of freeze-dried yeasts were added to Erlenmeyer flasks containing 20 mL of ultra-pure water and 2.0 g of sucrose, to which concentrations of (2.0; 4.0 and 6.0 µg L\(^{-1}\)) of the 2,4-D pesticide prepared from the stock solution. The flasks were incubated at 30°C at times of 30, 60 and 90 minutes. 10 µL aliquots were collected and added to Eppendorf's containing 90 µL of the methylene blue dye and counted in a Neubauer chamber (Lee, Robinson & Wang, 1981). The experiments were carried out in triplicate, and the results were analysed with GraphPad Prisma 7.

2.3.2 Cell growth test

Yeasts were grown in the presence of a 2,4-D pesticide at concentrations (2.0, 4.0 and 6.0 µg L\(^{-1}\)), at a temperature of 30 ºC at times of 30, 60 and 90 minutes. After the incubation period, 5 µl aliquots of the samples were dropped onto sterile plates containing the solid medium YPD 2% and incubated at 30 ºC for 72 hours. The samples were analysed through the growth profile qualitatively through observation and image recording. Growth and inhibition criteria by comparison were used and classified into: (+) growth, (+++) mild inhibition, (+++) moderate inhibition, (++++) severe inhibition.

2.3.3 Flocculation Test

For the flocculation test, 0.1 g of the freeze-dried yeasts were grown in Erlenmeyer flasks containing 20 mL of ultra-pure water and 2.0 g of sucrose and concentrations of (2.0, 4.0 and 6.0 µg L\(^{-1}\)) of 2,4-D. The flasks were incubated at 30 ºC at times of 30, 60 and 90 minutes. After this period, 10 µL aliquots were removed and added to Eppendorf's; containing 90 µL of methylene blue dye and kept at rest for 20 min. Soon after, slides were prepared with 5 µL of the samples; and (with the aid of an optical microscope) the phenotypic alteration with the presence of flakes was observed. Analyses were performed qualitatively with records through images.

2.4 Graphical summary of the study development stages.

A graphic summary was prepared with the stages of development of the study (Figure 1).
3. Results and Discussion

In the evaluation of the cellular viability of the PE-2 and FLE yeasts, a gradual drop in the viability rate can be observed for both strains both as a function of the exposure time and the analyzed concentrations of the pesticide. The data suggest that the FLE yeast is more susceptible to the toxic action of 2,4-D and that possibly the physiological mechanisms of this yeast were affected since it presented lower rates of viability. This fact may be related to the characteristics of the yeast itself, as it is a strain widely used in the bakery industry. On the other hand, PE-2 proved to be more resistant to the action of pesticides (Figure 2).

This strain is used in fermentation processes for ethanol production in Brazilian mills. According to Lopes et al. (2016), yeast strains used in ethanol fermentation are more resistant to stress factors and have a high capacity to adapt to environmental disturbances.

The results of this study are in line with the literature, especially with studies such as those by Vallejo et al. (2017), who carried out studies with the herbicide glufosinate using yeast and observed morphological changes such as round and large cells, which are typical alterations in the cell wall when interference occurs in the transport and absorption pathways of nutrients causing the loss of cell vitality. A similar answer was found by Bereketoglu et al. (2017), who using S. cerevisiae
BY4742 and concentrations of 1 to 5 mg L\(^{-1}\) of nonylphenol, observed that there was growth inhibition and changes in yeast gene expression.

In evaluating the growth profile of the PE-2 and FLE yeasts concerning the action of 2,4-D, it was observed that the yeasts suffered growth inhibition differently. Therefore, in a time of 30 minutes, both yeasts had growth in all concentrations analysed, in 60 minutes the yeast PE-2 showed mild growth inhibition and for FLE there was moderate inhibition, whereas in the time of 90 minutes PE-2 had moderate inhibition and severe FLE inhibition (Table 1). Possibly due to the time of exposure of the 2,4-D compound, there was a strong molecular interaction of the pesticide about the cell wall and membrane and consequently at the membrane level among other cellular structures, triggering severe inhibition in the growth of the FLE yeast.

However, studies using bioassays with \textit{S. cerevisiae}, when exposed to pesticides, including diuron, dicamba, mecoprop, atrazine, terbutrin, acetamiprid, 2,4-D, showed a cytotoxic effect on yeast (Westlund \& Yargeau, 2017). In addition, transcriptional analysis studies reveal that the use of xenobiotic compounds act as a stressor and play a repressive effect on the TOR pathway, such pathway regulates numerous cellular mechanisms, such as cell homeostasis, nutrient assimilation, and signalling pathways responsible for maintaining cell integrity (Dobrenel et al., 2016).

Table 1. Evaluation of cell growth of the Pedra-2 and Fleischmann\(^\circ\) strains in relation to 2,4-D pesticide concentrations in 30, 60 and 90 minutes.

| Yeasts       | Time (min) | Concentrations (µg L\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|
|              |            | Control | 2.0   | 4.0   | 6.0   |
| Pedra-2      | 30         | +       | +     | +     | +     |
| Fleischmann\(^\circ\) |            | +       | +     | +     | +     |
| Pedra-2      | 60         | +       | ++    | ++    | ++    |
| Fleischmann\(^\circ\) |            | +       | +++   | +++   | +++   |
| Pedra-2      | 90         | +       | ++++  | ++++  | ++++  |
| Fleischmann\(^\circ\) |            | +       | ++++  | ++++  | ++++  |

\((+)\) growth, \((++)\) mild inhibition, \((+++\) moderate inhibition, \((++++\) severe inhibition. Source: Authors.

The use of the eukaryotic model can contribute to the understanding of the stressful effect of the presence of pesticides on human health and the environment. Given the fact that several signalling pathways and molecular structures are conserved among eukaryotic organisms, this model can be used to assess the consequences of chemical agents in the expression of fundamental pathways (O'Connor et al., 2013). Furthermore, changes in the genetic profile of organisms can provide a quick and sensitive response to the action of a toxic compound. Studies report that chemical compounds with analogous toxicological properties may have a gene expression characteristic of a "signature profile" (Smith, 2010).

In the analysis of the action of the 2,4-D compound on yeast cell growth, it was noted that there was a gradual growth inhibition at all concentrations and times analysed. However, this action was more effective in 90 minutes for the FLE yeast. PE-2 had a greater growth against the action of the compound, proving to be more resistant (Figure 3). Possibly yeast survival against toxic compounds is closely related to gene expression, causing changes in phenotype and aiming to maintain its cellular integrity. We can observe that the toxicity mechanism responds differently in \textit{S. cerevisiae}, as observed in this study.

Bioassays using \textit{S. cerevisiae} to analyze the toxicological action of pesticides are based on the analysis of changes in cell metabolism against toxic compounds (Régo et al., 2018). Furthermore, studies have shown that when \textit{S. cerevisiae} was
exposed to xenobiotic compounds, changes occurred in its intracellular mechanisms of repair, detoxification and cellular adaptation (Dragone et al., 2015).

**Figure 3.** Analysis of the cellular growth profile of Pedra-2 and Fleischmann® yeasts against 2,4-D pesticide concentrations.

| Time (min) | Yeasts       | Concentrations (µg L⁻¹) |
|------------|--------------|-------------------------|
|            | Pedra-2      | Control 2.0 4.0 6.0     |
| 30         | Pedra-2      |                         |
|            | Fleischmann® |                         |
| 60         | Pedra-2      |                         |
|            | Fleischmann® |                         |
| 90         | Pedra-2      |                         |
|            | Fleischmann® |                         |

Source: Authors.

In the evaluation of the flocculation test, there were changes in the phenotype of the analysed yeasts. The data showed a greater cell-cell adhesion (flocculation) over time and with the increase in the concentration of the 2,4-D pesticide, notable that in the longer time (90 min) at the concentration of 6.0 µg L⁻¹ the FLE yeast had a higher flocculation condition than the PE-2 yeast. The analysis and interpolation of the growing conditions indicate that this yeast response is possibly related to the nature and chemical structure of the compound, which possibly induced the activation of the gene interaction mechanism causing an increase in flocculation, such phenotype it is associated with induction of the FLO gene, responsible for the formation of floccules (Figure 4). Still, it can be inferred that the compound showed toxicity, as the flocculation mechanism in yeasts consists of a complex mechanism, such as gene expression causing gene activation (FLO), and which is responsible for this phenotype and that only are activated against stress factors as a form of self-protection.

For Goossens and Willaert (2010), this behaviour is extremely relevant when dealing with yeasts, as this defence mechanism makes it withstand adverse environmental conditions. Therefore, the action of pesticides on organisms can be strongly related to the variation of their chemical structure, exposure time and concentration (Dos Santos et al., 2012). *S. cerevisiae* has proven to be a magnificent eukaryotic model in evaluating cytotoxic effects concerning cellular responses to weak acids used as pharmaceuticals or pesticides (Lazard et al., 2017; Eki, 2018). The same authors point out that although there are not numerous peculiar targets for drugs and pesticides in yeast, the primary cellular mechanisms for resistance to chemical stress are similar with phylogenetically distant organisms, making it possible to supplant the information acquired in yeasts for higher eukaryotes.
Furthermore, we can observe in this study that the flocculation mechanism in FLE yeast was more effective to time and concentration of pesticide, data that corroborate the literature. Therefore, bioassays using yeasts present advantages, for being easy to handle and for presenting fast and efficient physiological responses, such as flocculation mechanisms presented by the yeast FLE, dazzling to be an important microorganism to be used in environmental monitoring.

According to Rumlova and Dolezalova (2012), *S. cerevisiae* has proved to be a model microorganism for several experimental tests with great environmental importance to assess possible changes in the expression of genes related to environmental pollutants, especially with chemical and agricultural products. The 2,4-D compound is classified as extremely toxic, belonging to the class of herbicides widely used in numerous crops, which can cause toxicity and genotoxicity to live organisms and compromise natural resources (Sarabia et al., 2019), in addition to having a worldwide impact, pesticides can have specific effects on organisms as observed in this study, mainly in FLE yeast, affecting its growth. We can suggest that this compound has interacted with molecular mechanisms, causing growth inhibition, loss of viability and flocculation, such cellular responses are possibly related to the TOR pathway responsible for several cellular mechanisms in eukaryotes.

**Figure 4.** Flocculation profile of Pedra-2 and Fleischmann® yeasts at different concentrations of 2,4-D pesticide.

| Time (min) | Yeasts      | Concentrations (µg L⁻¹) |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------|
|            | Pedra-2     | Control 2.0 4.0 6.0     |
| 30         | Fleischmann®|                         |
| 60         | Pedra-2     |                         |
|            | Fleischmann®|                         |
| 90         | Pedra-2     |                         |
|            | Fleischmann®|                         |

Source: Authors.

**4. Conclusion**

The FLE yeast showed greater phenotypic changes compared to the PE-2 strain in the interaction with 2,4-D pesticide. In cell viability both yeasts suffered a loss of viability against the compound, however, the FLE strain showed greater sensitivity about growth and cell flocculation mechanisms.

The toxicity tests carried out in this study showed the toxicity action of the 2,4-D pesticide in the analyzed yeasts and that the action of the compound was more impactful for the FLE strain, thus demonstrating the potential of this microorganism
as an important biological marker. Thus, we can suggest that this microorganism can be used as a bioindicator for environmental analysis.

However, further studies are needed using more robust methodologies, such as physiological, genomic, proteomics, among others, which will ensure the characterisation and identification of responses to toxic agents in the environment.
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