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Abstract
Dermatitis herpetiformis (DH), presenting with an intense itch and blistering symmetrical rash, typically on the elbows, knees, and buttocks, is a cutaneous manifestation of celiac disease. Though overt gastrointestinal symptoms are rare, three-fourths of patients with DH have villous atrophy in the small bowel, and the rest have celiac-type inflammatory changes. DH affects mostly adults and slightly more males than females. The mean age at onset is about 50 years. DH diagnosis is confirmed by showing granular immunoglobulin A deposits in the papillary dermis. The DH autoantigen, transglutaminase 3, is deposited at the same site in tightly bound immune complexes. At present, the DH-to-celiac disease prevalence is 1:8. The incidence of DH is decreasing, whereas that of celiac disease is increasing, probably because of improved diagnostics. In DH, the treatment of choice for all patients is a gluten-free diet (GFD) in which uncontaminated oats are allowed. At onset, most patients need additional dapsone to rapidly control the rash and itching. Dapsone can be stopped after a mean of 2 years, and a strict lifelong GFD alone is required. Dietary adherence offers an excellent long-term prognosis for patients with DH, with a normal quality of life and all-cause mortality.

1 Dermatitis Herpetiformis Linked to Celiac Disease

Dermatitis herpetiformis (DH) was described as a dermatological entity by Louis Duhring in 1884, 4 years before Samuel Gee defined the gastrointestinal symptoms of celiac disease [1, 2]. In the 1960s, small bowel biopsies taken from patients with DH showed villous atrophy in most patients, although they had no overt gastrointestinal symptoms [3, 4]. One-fourth of the patients with DH had normal small bowel villous architecture with an increased density of intraepithelial lymphocytes, which was later confirmed to be celiac-type minor enteropathy [5]. The finding of immunoglobulin A (IgA) deposits in the papillary dermis of patients with DH was of the utmost diagnostic importance [6]. In the 1970s, further findings linking DH and celiac disease included an identical human leukocyte antigen (HLA) pattern [7], the response of the rash to a gluten-free diet (GFD) [8, 9], and the occurrence of both diseases within families [10]. In the 1990s, genetic studies confirmed
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- Dermatitis herpetiformis affects mostly adults, presenting with symmetrical rash and intense itch, mainly on the elbows, knees, and buttocks.
- Diagnosis is confirmed by showing granular immunoglobulin A deposits in papillary dermis on immunofluorescence examination.
- Gluten-free diet is the treatment of choice for all patients: it slowly heals the rash and subclinical villous atrophy, i.e., celiac disease, in the small bowel.
- Strict adherence to a gluten-free diet needs advice from a dietitian, support from family members and celiac disease patient organizations, and leads to excellent long-term prognosis.
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that virtually every patient with DH and celiac disease had the alleles contributing to the HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 haplotype [11], and even monozygotic twin pairs affected by both phenotypes were found [12].

DH is now known to be a common extraintestinal manifestation of celiac disease occurring in up to 10% of the celiac disease patient series in Europe and North America [13–15]. Small DH series have also recently been described in Brazil, China, and India [16–18]. The incidence of DH has been shown to be decreasing [19, 20], whereas the opposite is true for celiac disease, most likely because of improved awareness of subclinical cases and the wide use of serologic screening [21]. However, why only some undiagnosed celiac individuals develop an itchy blistering rash with dermal IgA deposits, i.e., DH, remains unknown.

During the last 20 years, several important research findings have emerged. A breakthrough in celiac disease research was the discovery by Dieterich et al. [22] in 1997 that tissue transglutaminase (TG2) was the target autoantigen for IgA antibody responses. Five years later, Sädry et al. [23] showed that epidermal transglutaminase (TG3) was the autoantigen in DH. At present, IgA-class TG3 autoantibodies are known to be produced by plasma cells in the small bowel [24]; they occur in the blood of most patients with DH, are partly bound in the circulating immune complexes, and disappear with a GFD [25, 26]. Importantly, the TG3 enzyme coexists with IgA autoantibodies in the dermal deposits, forming tightly bound immune complexes [27].

2 Clinical Presentation, Sex, and Age at Diagnosis

2.1 Clinical Presentation

The clinical picture of DH consists of an itchy rash occurring in the favored sites of the elbows, the extensor surfaces of the forearms, the knees, and the buttocks, including the sacral area [28] (Fig. 1). The rash is polymorphous, consisting of small blisters, papules, and erythema; however, because of the intense itch and associated scratching, erosions, crusts, and postinflammatory hyperpigmentation often dominate the clinical picture. The localization of the rash is so typical for DH that the itchy rash in the predominant skin sites usually raises suspicion of DH [29]. However, the severity of the rash varies between individuals, and a more intense rash may also affect other sites, such as the scalp, face, and upper back. The clinical picture and severity of the DH rash seem to have remained unchanged over recent decades [30] without becoming milder or otherwise nonclassical as seen in celiac disease [21, 31]. The itch in DH is very prominent; in half of the patients, it is a constant finding and correlates with common sleep disturbances [32]. Triggering factors such as taking indomethacin and inadvertent dietary iodide ingestion are known to exacerbate the rash and itching [33, 34]. A few cases of localized DH on the face or elsewhere on the body have been described [35]. Acral purpura is a rare finding in DH and may be seen either with the typical rash or as the only symptom of the disease, especially in children with DH [36, 37].

The differential diagnosis of DH includes various itchy, excoriated, and blistering skin diseases. Linear IgA disease and sometimes bullous pemphigoid may clinically resemble DH, but immunofluorescence examination easily differentiates these disorders [38, 39]. Other itchy skin diseases, such as scabies, atopic and nummular dermatitis, lichen planus, and urticaria may also resemble DH, but the most obvious difference is the symmetrical distribution of the rash on the favored sites in DH [40].

Despite the highly characteristic clinical picture of DH, familiar to many dermatologists, suspicion for the correct diagnosis is not self-evident in primary care. The mean delay in diagnosis of DH has been reported to be as long as 3.2 years [41]. In recent studies from Finland, one-third of patients with DH or celiac disease had delays of at least 2 and 10 years, respectively, and the female sex was significantly associated with a delayed diagnosis in both studies [42, 43] (Table 1). Fortunately, the diagnostic delay has shortened in recent years, possibly because the Finnish celiac disease guideline is available online and is open to all physicians [44]. The guideline also describes DH with clinical pictures and provides information on how and where the diagnosis can be made.

2.2 Sex and Age at Diagnosis

Earlier studies on DH have shown a clear male predominance, whereas two recent large studies in adults with DH found the male-to-female ratio to be close to 1:1 [19, 20] (Table 1). This is in sharp contrast to celiac disease, in which females clearly outnumber males [45]. The female trend also appears to be valid in children with DH [46, 47].

The mean age at diagnosis of DH has been 40–50 years in larger patient series, with males being somewhat older than females [19, 48–50] (Table 1). The oldest patients have been diagnosed when aged >80 years. DH seems to be rare in childhood, occurring in only 4% in the Finnish series but at a higher percentage in an Italian series [51, 52]. Interestingly, a significant increase in the mean age at diagnosis was reported in a large cohort of patients with DH collected from 1970 onwards in Finland [19].
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The increase was from 35 to 51 years in men and from 36 to 46 years in women. A similar increasing trend has also been observed in celiac disease [45], and one plausible explanation for this is a lower lifetime gluten load. For example, in Finland, the annual consumption of wheat and other gluten-containing cereals per person has decreased from 150 to 71 kg over the past 50 years [53].

3 Diagnosis of Dermatitis Herpetiformis

In DH, granular IgA deposits in the dermal papillae were detected by direct immunofluorescence examination as early as 1969 [6]. Since then, the finding has proven to be highly specific, and a diagnosis of DH should be based on the presence of granular IgA deposits in the papillary dermis [28] (Fig. 1). Skin biopsy should be taken from normal-appearing skin adjacent to the rash, i.e., perilesional skin, because IgA deposits occur in greater amounts near the active lesions [54]. False-negative immunofluorescence results occur in about 5% of patients and are particularly possible if the biopsy is taken from blisters or inflamed skin. Fibrillar IgA deposits are known to occur particularly in patients in Japan, but these cases seem to differ from classical DH in several aspects [55].

Histopathological findings in DH lesions include neutrophilic micro-abscesses in the dermal papillae with or without subepidermal blistering [56]. However, these are not entirely specific to DH, as similar findings can be detected in other blistering skin diseases, such as

Fig. 1 Dermatitis herpetiformis lesions on the a elbows and b knees: observe symmetrical distribution and erosions due to scratching. c A close-up view of a small blister. d Direct immunofluorescence finding of uninvolved skin showing pathognomonic granular immunoglobulin A deposits at the dermo-epidermal junction.
linear IgA disease [57]. A recent DH study showed that the specificity of histologic examination was high (0.95) but the sensitivity was low at only 0.75 [58]. Therefore, a biopsy for histological analysis is not mandatory, because the detection of granular IgA deposits with immunofluorescence examination, together with a compatible clinical picture, are sufficient for a diagnosis of DH [59]. IgA deposits persist in the dermis for at least a few years after adherence to a GFD [60], so the DH diagnosis can be confirmed afterwards without returning to a normal gluten-containing diet if necessary.

When the patient is known to have celiac disease and has developed skin symptoms, DH is easily suspected [61]. In such cases, histopathological examination of skin lesion helps in correct diagnosis, which often can be a dermatosis other than DH [62]. A recent study described six patients with celiac disease with various inflammatory dermatoses who also showed granular IgA deposits in immunofluorescence specimens [63]. However, no evidence of clinical DH and coexistent TG3 deposits were seen, suggesting that the IgA findings were not representative of typical DH.

## 4 Small Bowel Biopsy, Serum Transglutaminase Antibodies, and Family History

Patients with DH rarely have overt gastrointestinal symptoms typical of classic celiac disease [64]. However, small bowel biopsies obtained during upper gastrointestinal endoscopy revealed villous atrophy and crypt hyperplasia in as many as three-fourths of patients with DH (Table 1). The remaining patients have normal villous structure with inflammatory findings compatible with latent celiac disease, such as intraepithelial lymphocytosis and increased densities of γδ+ T cells [5]. In recent decades, the small bowel mucosal lesion has become less severe in DH [65]. Moreover, the presence or absence of small bowel villous atrophy has no effect on long-term prognosis [66]. As such, there is no need for routine small bowel biopsies when DH is diagnosed [28, 59].

Circulating IgA-class antibodies against TG2, the autoantigen of celiac disease, are also frequent in untreated patients with DH [67] (Table 1). At present, commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based TG2-antibody tests are widely used in the screening of celiac disease [68]. In DH, these tests mainly detect patients with villous atrophy [25, 69]. As such, we routinely screen our patients with DH at diagnosis with the TG2-antibody ELISA test to ascertain whether small bowel villous atrophy is present.

IgA-class antibodies against TG3, the autoantigen of DH, exist in the serum of the majority of patients with DH and in a smaller percentage of those with celiac disease [23, 25, 70] (Table 1). At present, the exact specificity of TG3 antibody measurements for DH and celiac disease, as well as the most applicable reference values and test kits, are yet to be clarified. Consequently, TG3 antibody testing is not currently routinely applied.

Celiac disease has a well-known tendency to run in families, and 7.5% of first-degree relatives are affected [71]. A DH study performed in Finland showed that as many as 18% of the 281 patients had first-degree relatives who were...

---

**Table 1** Differences between dermatitis herpetiformis and celiac disease

| Variable                          | Dermatitis herpetiformis | Celiac disease |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Age at diagnosis                  | Mainly adults, mean age about 50 years | Children and adults |
| Sex                               | Slightly more males      | Females predominate |
| Delay at diagnosis [42, 43]        | One-third over 2 years   | One-third over 10 years |
| Rash with IgA-TG3 deposits        | 100%                     | 0%             |
| Small bowel villous atrophy      | 75%                      | 100%           |
| IgA-TG2 autoantibodies in serum [25, 68, 69] | Up to 86%         | Up to 100%     |
| IgA-TG3 autoantibodies in serum [25, 70] | Up to 86%         | Up to 24%      |
| Prevalence in Finland and the UK [19, 20] | 75 and 30 per 100,000 | 660 and 240 per 100,000 |
| Incidence [19, 20]                | Decreasing               | Increasing     |
| Response to a GFD [8, 9, 49, 74]   | Slow; at onset, most patients need additional dapsone to control the rash and itching | Rapid; days or weeks until gastrointestinal symptoms resolve |
| Long-term prognosis on a GFD [80, 88, 97, 98] | Excellent; normal quality of life and decreased all-cause mortality | Decreased quality of life; all-cause and lymphoma mortality may be increased |

*GFD* gluten-free diet, **IgA** immunoglobulin A, **TG2** tissue transglutaminase, **TG3** epidermal transglutaminase

*a* Potential/latent celiac disease showing normal small bowel architecture with celiac-type inflammation also exists

*b* Data still conflicting
affected, and the prevalence among relatives was 3.9% for celiac disease and 1.5% for DH [72]. Familial DH cases have also been reported in the USA but rarely elsewhere [73]. Dermatologists should be aware of this possibility and inquire and inform patients about this when DH is newly diagnosed.

5 Gluten-Free Diet and Dapsone Treatment

5.1 Gluten-Free Diet

A strict lifelong GFD is the basic treatment for DH, and it should be initiated by all patients after the diagnosis is confirmed [74]. A GFD means the permanent avoidance of wheat, barley, rye, and all foods containing these cereals. The safety of oats in DH has been demonstrated in two short-term challenges and one long-term follow-up study [30, 75, 76]; oats are currently allowed as part of a GFD in many countries. However, oats may easily become contaminated by gluten traces from other cereals, and it is important to ensure that only noncontaminated pure oats are used [74]. In Finland, uncontaminated oat products have been available for 20 years, and 82% of patients with DH on a GFD currently regularly consume oats [30]. Including oats in the diet helps to increase daily fiber consumption. Maintaining a strict GFD requires broad knowledge of all tolerable foods in the diet, so dietary guidance, preferably from a dietitian, should be offered to all patients newly diagnosed with DH [74]. Moreover, education and support from celiac disease patient organizations are important factors in helping patients adhere to and manage the costs of a GFD [77].

Adherence to a strict GFD in DH slowly heals the rash and itching. It may be several weeks to a few months before the symptoms start to alleviate, and total clearance is achieved after a mean of 2 years [8, 9] (Table 1). The disappearance of deposited IgA and TG3 from the papillary dermis takes even longer, and dermal immune deposits persist even after patients have been asymptomatic for several years on a strict GFD [78]. Overt gastrointestinal symptoms, when present in DH, usually alleviate within a few weeks, and serum IgA-class TG2 autoantibodies disappear within 1–3 years, indicating that the small bowel villous atrophy has healed [25, 79]. Continuously high or alternating antibody levels during the GFD treatment indicate an ongoing gluten intake, which can be a result of either some unknown faults in the diet or inadequate compliance.

Long-term follow-up of Finnish patients with DH indicated that >90% adhere to a GFD, usually strictly [30, 80]. Importantly, adherence to a GFD normalizes lowered quality of life within 1 year [64]. Recently, 95% of 19 patients with asymptomatic DH on a long-term GFD who undertook a gluten challenge had a skin or small bowel relapse within 1 year [78]. This confirms that gluten intolerance is a permanent condition, justifying lifelong GFD treatment in all patients with DH.

5.2 Dapsone

The majority of patients with DH, especially those with the widespread rash and intense itch, need additional dapsone treatment when starting the GFD. Dapsone is a sulfone drug with potent anti-inflammatory properties [81]. It relieves the itch and the rash in DH within a few days but has no effect on the enteropathy or IgA deposits in the skin. The starting dose in adults is 25–50 mg/day, which is usually enough to control the itch and development of new lesions; if necessary, the dose can gradually be increased up to 100 mg/day. In children, the recommended starting dose of dapsone is 0.5 mg/kg/day. After the rash has disappeared, the dapsone dose is slowly reduced and finally tapered off as the GFD alone controls the rash. This will take an average of 2 years on a strict GFD [8, 9, 49]. However, response varies, and many patients on a GFD need dapsone for several years. One apparent reason for this is that adherence to a GFD is not strict enough because of some unknown faults in the diet or inadequate compliance. When we examined our prospectively collected large series of patients with DH, we found 16 (4%) patients who still required dapsone though they had been on a GFD for many years [82]. Of these, 12 patients were re-examined, and a thorough dietary assessment revealed major or minor lapses in six. We concluded that only very few patients with DH whose rash seems to be refractory on a GFD need continuous dapsone treatment.

Dapsone is usually well-tolerated at recommended doses [81]. However, hematological side effects may sometimes occur, the most common being dose-dependent hemolysis and methemoglobinemia. Patients with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency are more prone to these side effects. Other possible side effects that usually appear within the first 3 months of treatment include headache, dizziness, nausea, elevated transaminases, and even agranulocytosis [81]. Rarely, peripheral neuropathy with primarily motor function loss may develop in patients with DH continuously receiving a daily dose of dapsone ≥100 mg [83]. Clinical and laboratory monitoring, including complete blood cell count and liver and renal function tests, are necessary both at baseline and during follow-up of dapsone treatment; these are best performed by a dermatologist. When dapsone is causing major side effects or is otherwise contraindicated, sulfasalazine or rituximab can help [84]. Topical dapsone 5% gel, available in the USA and Canada, is free from systemic side effects and seems moderately efficient in the treatment of localized DH lesions on the face and chest [85, 86].
6 Long-Term Prognosis

6.1 Malignancies and Mortality

Lymphoma is the most severe and well-documented complication in celiac disease and DH [87, 88]. The risk in DH is increased six to tenfold. Fortunately, the lymphoma risk is evident only during the first 5 years after DH diagnosis and GFD adherence [87]. Lymphomas are of enteropathy-associated T-cell or B-cell origin, and patients not adhering to a GFD are at special risk [89]. Unlike the increased mortality rates reported with celiac disease [88], the mortality rate in DH is decreased (Table 1). In a Finnish study, 476 patients with DH, 98% of whom adhered to a GFD, were followed-up for a total of 9079 person-years; the results showed a significantly decreased standardized mortality rate (0.70) compared with the general population [80]. A study of 846 patients with DH from the UK found a slightly—albeit not significantly—lowered mortality rate (hazard ratio 0.93) [90].

6.2 Associated Autoimmune Disorders

Associations between DH and other autoimmune diseases must be kept in mind at diagnosis and during the follow-up of patients with DH. Type 1 diabetes mellitus was found in 2.3% of the 1104 Finnish patients with DH, mostly appearing in childhood or adolescence before the onset of DH; treatment with a combined diabetic diet and GFD was largely successful [91]. Atopic dermatitis is also common in younger people, with a previous study finding it in 20% of Hungarian and Finnish children with DH [92]. A recent large register study from Finland confirmed this association (odds ratio 10.42) and suggested that the heightened risk of autoimmune diseases with atopic dermatitis could be the reason [93]

Autoimmune thyroid diseases usually appear in older age groups, are more frequent in females, and have been reported in 4.3% of patients with DH [94, 95]. These disorders are present at DH diagnosis or often appear while undertaking a GFD. Vitiligo and alopecia areata are also associated with DH [95]. A recent register study also linked DH to the risk of bullous pemphigoid [96].

6.3 Quality of Life

Most patients with untreated DH experience intense itch and sleep disturbances and may also have gastrointestinal symptoms [32, 64]. As expected, an examination of 52 patients with untreated DH found a significantly reduced quality of life compared with the 64 healthy controls; however, quality of life returned to normal after 1 year when over 90% of the patients had undertaken a GFD. We also studied quality of life in patients with DH undertaking a long-term GFD. Persistent gastrointestinal symptoms known to occur in treated celiac disease appeared to not affect these patients, and the quality of life paralleled that of controls [97, 98]. In addition, the consumption of oats within the GFD had no effect on quality of life [30].

7 Concluding Remarks

DH, presenting with an intense itch and blistering rash, typically on the elbows, knees, and buttocks, is the most common extraintestinal manifestation of celiac disease [13]. Although overt gastrointestinal symptoms are rare, three-fourths of patients with DH have villous atrophy in the small bowel, and the rest have celiac-type inflammatory changes. A valid current hypothesis is that a large undiagnosed and mostly subclinical pool of celiac disease is a prerequisite for the development of DH [44, 53]. The incidence of DH is decreasing [19, 20], whereas the opposite is true for celiac disease, most likely because of the increased awareness of subclinical cases and wide use of serologic screening [21, 99]. Why only some undiagnosed celiac individuals develop an itchy blistering rash with dermal IgA-TG3 deposits, i.e., DH, remains unknown.

The diagnosis of DH relies on the detection of granular IgA deposits in the dermal papillae in skin biopsy taken from perilesional skin [54]. The DH autoantigen is TG3, which is also deposited in the papillary dermis [23, 27]. Why IgA-TG3 aggregates are also deposited in sites never involved in blister formation, and why they disappear from the skin first after several years of a GFD but reappear rapidly after gluten challenge, remains unclear [78, 100]. In contrast to celiac disease, DH affects mostly adults and slightly more males. At present, a markedly increased age at diagnosis and less severe small bowel damage in DH [19, 65] suggests changes in environmental factors, such as a lowered lifetime gluten load [53].

A GFD is the treatment of choice in DH, but most patients also initially need additional dapsone to rapidly relieve the itching and blistering. Adherence to a GFD should be strict and exclude all wheat, barley, and rye products; uncontaminated oats are currently allowed [74]. Dietitians and celiac disease patient organizations provide great help when starting the GFD [77]. Following dietary treatment, patients with DH have an excellent long-term prognosis, with a normal quality of life and all-cause mortality [80, 97].
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