Enhanced effect of recombinant adenoviruses co-expression of ING4 and OSM on anti-tumour activity of laryngeal cancer
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INTRODUCTION

The inhibitor of growth family member 4 (ING4) is one of the ING family genes, a novel tumour suppressor gene family. ING4 located at chromosome 12p13.31, consists of eight exons and encodes a 29-kDa protein expressed in multiple human tissues.1–3 ING4 was downregulated in many human cancer cells, such as glioblastoma1 and hepatocellular carcinoma.4 The allelic loss of ING4 locus has been reported in breast cancer.2,5 As a candidate tumour suppressor, it plays a critical role in repressing cell proliferation,6 tumour
growth,2 loss of contact inhibition2 and angiogenesis.6 Oncostatin M (OSM) is a multifunctional cytokine that belongs to the interleukin (IL)-6 subfamily. Human OSM (hOSM) was initially recognized by its activity to inhibit the proliferation of A375 melanoma cells and numerous other tumour cells.5 hOSM is a secreted glycoprotein of 28 kDa that was originally isolated from phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)-stimulated human histiocytic lymphoma U937 cells. Furthermore, OSM is a unique cytokine that functions in various biological systems, such as inflammatory response, haematopoiesis, tissue remodelling and development.10 It also inhibits tumour cell growth and induces cell cycle alteration and apoptosis in different tumour types, such as melanomas.11,12 glioblastomas,13 lung carcinomas,14 ovarian carcinomas15 and breast tumours.16,17 In addition, human OSM induces differentiation of several tumour cell types.13,18

Laryngeal cancer is the most common type of head and neck cancer in most countries; tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption are the major risk factors. The common treatments include surgery, chemotherapy, radiation and combinations of two or three of the above methods. The effects of ING4 and OSM emphasize their potential application as gene therapeutic agents. In this study, we explored the role of ING4 and OSM on enhanced anti-tumour activity for human laryngeal cancer in vitro and in vivo and also elucidation of the underlying mechanism.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Vectors, cell lines and mice

The Ad-green fluorescent protein (Ad-GFP), Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM replication-incompetent AdSE1- and E3-deleted adenoviruses were constructed from the Cell and Molecular Biology Institute, College of Medicine, Soochow University (Suzhou, China). The Hep-2 human laryngeal cancer cell line was supplied by the Cell Institute, College of Medicine, Soochow University (Suzhou, China). The Hep-2 human laryngeal cancer cell line was developed by the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) in April 2019. Cells were grown in culture medium (DMEM containing 10% FBS and 100 U/ml of penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics), identified by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling (Genetic Testing Biotechnology, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China) and confirmed to have no mycoplasma contamination. We tested the Hep-2 human laryngeal cancer cell line in June 2019 at the last time. The Hep-2 human laryngeal cancer cell line was cultured in RPMI1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone). The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) kit was purchased from Sigma. Hoechst 33258 dye, cell cycle detection and Annexin V-PE/7-AAD apoptosis detection kits were purchased from KeyGene Biotech. The RT-PCR primers, the antibodies against β-actin, ING4, OSM, P21, P53, Bax, Bcl-2, Survivin, Cox-2 and CD34 were purchased from Abcam. Male athymic nude mice were purchased from Shanghai Experimental Animal Center and maintained in the Animal Facility at Soochow University according to the Animal Research Committee guidelines of Soochow University.

2.2 | In vitro treatment

The experiment was designed with following five groups: (1) Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS): treated with PBS as a cell control; (2) Ad-GFP: treated with Ad-GFP at the optimal multiplicity of infections as a blank adenovirus (Ad) control; (3) Ad-ING4: treated with Ad-ING4 at the optimal multiplicity of infections; (4) Ad-OSM: treated with Ad-OSM at the optimal multiplicity of infections; and (5) Ad-ING4-OSM: treated with Ad-ING4-OSM at the optimal multiplicity of infections. For each group, the cells were cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS incubated overnight at 37°C in humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. After treatment with gene recombinant adenoviruses, 2% FBS was used instead.

2.3 | Adenoviral infection efficiency

In order to assess the optimal multiplicity of infections for a maximal transgene expression and no cytotoxicity, Hep-2 cells were infected with Ad-GFP, Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM, at 10, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 200 multiplicity of infections, respectively, cultured after 48 h and examined by fluorescence microscopy.

2.4 | Ad-ING4-OSM transgene expression

The Ad-directed ING4 and OSM transgene expression in Hep-2 cells was analysed by RT-PCR and Western blot. For the RT-PCR, the total cellular RNA was extracted using TRizol, and the first-strand cDNA was reverse transcribed with RNA as a template and Oligo d(T)18 as a primer. The PCR amplification was carried out using cDNA as the template and primers specific for ING4 and OSM, respectively. For the Western blot, proteins were isolated from infected and uninfected Hep-2 cells (1–2 × 106) resolved by 12% nonfat dry milk in tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween 20 (TBST), the membrane was probed with primary antibody polyclonal mouse anti-ING4 (1:1000) or anti-OSM (1:1000) in blocking solution and confirmed to have no mycoplasma contamination. We tested the Hep-2 human laryngeal cancer cell line in June 2019 at the last time. The Hep-2 human laryngeal cancer cell line was cultured in RPMI1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone). The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) kit was purchased from Sigma. Hoechst 33258 dye, cell cycle detection and Annexin V-PE/7-AAD apoptosis detection kits were purchased from KeyGene Biotech. The RT-PCR primers, the antibodies against β-actin, ING4, OSM, P21, P53, Bax, Bcl-2, Survivin, Cox-2 and CD34 were purchased from Abcam. Male athymic nude mice were purchased from Shanghai Experimental Animal Center and maintained in the Animal Facility at Soochow University according to the Animal Research Committee guidelines of Soochow University.

2.5 | MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay

The cytotoxic activity of each group of Hep-2 cells was determined by MTT assay. Briefly, the Hep-2 cells were dispensed in a 96-well culture plate at a density of 0.5 × 104 cells/well and incubated at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. After incubation for
overnight, the cells were treated for 0–4 days. After treatments with different periods, the cells were incubated with 10 μl MTT (5 mg/ml) at 37°C for 4 h. The formazan crystals in the cells were solubilized with 10% SDS-HCl (100 μl/well). The plate was read at 570 nm using a Microplate Reader Model 550 (Bio-Rad). The cell inhibition rate was calculated as (A control group−A treatment group)/A control group × 100%.

2.6 | Hocheest 33258 fluorescence staining

The Hep-2 cells from exponentially growing cultures were seeded in 24-well culture plates for 24 h. After treatments, for each group, the cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and fixed in a solution of methanol-acetic acid (3:1, v/v) at 4°C for 15 min. The apoptotic Hep-2 cells were identified by staining with Hoechst 33258 (5 μg/ml in PBS) for 15 min at room temperature in dark. The nuclei structure of the cells was examined under an Olympus fluorescence microscope with an excitation wavelength of 340 nm and an emission wavelength of 460 nm.

2.7 | Flow cytometry of cell cycle by PI (propidium iodide)

After the Hep-2 cells were treated, respectively, for 48 h, each group of cells (1 × 10⁶) were harvested, washed in cold PBS, fixed in 70% cold alcohol for >24 h at 4°C, washed in cold PBS and stained with PI solution containing 0.1 mg/ml RNase A at 4°C for 30 min. The DNA content and cell cycle were analysed by flow cytometry.

2.8 | Flow cytometry of cell apoptosis by Annexin V-PE/7-AAD

Apoptosis was assessed using Annexin V-PE/7-AAD double staining following manufacturer’s instructions. For each group, the Hep-2 cells were cultured 24 h and treated, respectively. Subsequently, 1 × 10⁶ cells were harvested, washed in cold PBS for twice, incubated for 15 min at room temperature in the presence of 5 μl Annexin V-PE and 5 μl 7-AAD in 100 μl of 1× binding buffer in dark. After incubation, 400 μl of 1× binding buffer was added, and the apoptotic cells were analysed by flow cytometry.

2.9 | Real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR

To further determine the expression levels of P21, P27, P53 and Survivin in Hep-2 cells for each group, total RNA was prepared for the two-step real-time RT-PCR analysis based on SYBR Green I detection. The RT-PCR assay was performed using the MJ Research OpticonTM2 system (MJ Research). Briefly, total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed as described above in larger volumes, such that each sample could be tested in different subsequent PCR reactions. The PCR reaction was performed using the following program: 95°C for 5 min, then 72°C for 5 min, followed by 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 58°C for 20 s and 72°C for 30 s. The cDNA quantities were normalized to that of the internal control gene Gapdh measured in the same samples. The relative gene expression of the target gene was calculated using the 2^ΔΔCT method with pooled cDNA from all samples as a reference. The authenticity of the PCR products was verified by melting curve analysis and agarose gel electrophoresis. Each sample was analysed in duplicate in independent reactions, and experiments were repeated at least three times.

2.10 | Animal experiments

Male athymic nude mice were subcutaneously inoculated on the armpits of the right anterior limbs with 1–2 × 10⁶ Hep-2 cells and monitored daily for tumour growth. The tumour volume was measured with a calliper and calculated by the formula below: tumour size = a²/2, where a is the larger and b is the smaller of the two dimensions. When the tumours grew to a mean tumour volume of 0.1–0.2 cm³, the Hep-2 cells xenografted tumour-bearing mice were intratumorally injected with PBS (PBS control) or 1 × 10⁸ plaque-forming units (pfu) of Ad-GFP, Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM every other day for five times, respectively. Tumour progression and regression were monitored, and tumour volume was measured every alternate day. In addition, the tumour-bearing mice (5 in each group) were sacrificed 4 days after final treatment, and then, the xenografted tumours were removed, weighed, fixed by 10% neutral formalin and embedded in paraffin for haematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining and immunohistochemistry.

2.11 | Immunohistochemistry

The expression of P21, P53, Survivin, Bax, Bcl-2, CD34, Cox-2 and Caspase-3 in Hep-2 cells xenografted tumours was tested, respectively, by immunohistochemistry using UltraSensitive™ SP kit according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The presence ofuffy or brown diaminobenzidine precipitates indicated positive reactivity. Then, the integral optical density (IOD) of immunohistochemistry was calculated by Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software. Each value represents IOD at a power view (200x) by microscopy. The mean value represents the average number derived from five high-power fields in each group.

2.12 | Statistical analysis

All data were presented as the mean ± SD. The significance of differences between groups was evaluated by one-way and two-way repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparisons using SPSS 17.0 software, and p < 0.05 (95% confidence interval) indicated a statistical significance.
In order to analyse whether ING4 and OSM have a synergistic effect on anti-tumour activity, we used the method of Jin et al. as a standard by calculation of Q [20]. 

\[ Q = \frac{E(A+B)}{EA + EB - EA \times EB} \]

EA or EB was the single effect, E(A + B) was the real combined effect, while (EA + EB - EA \times EB) was the expected combined effect. If \( Q = 1 \pm 0.15 \), then the two factors A and B were considered having summation action; if \( Q > 1.15 \), then A and B were considered to have synergistic action; and if \( Q < 0.85 \), then A and B were considered as an antagonistic action.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Adenoviral infection efficiency

The human laryngeal cancer Hep-2 cells were infected with Ad, Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM at 10, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 200, respectively, for 48 h, and then examined by fluorescence microscopy. More than 90% of GFP expression was found in the Ad-infected Hep-2 cells at optimal multiplicity of infections ≥100, whereas the
GFP expression was not detected in the uninfected Hep-2 cells. At 100 MOI, Ad showed cytotoxicity to Hep-2 cells seldom, while at 200 MOI, Ad makes the cells apoptotic as shown in Figure 1A.

### 3.2 Ad-ING4-OSM transgene expression

The human laryngeal cancer Hep-2 cells were infected with Ad, Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM at 100 MOI and cultured for 48 h. The RTPCR analysis of the transcriptional expression of transgene ING4 and OSM is shown in Figure 1B. As expected, transgene ING4 was detected in Ad-ING4 and Ad-ING4-OSM groups, and transgene OSM was detected in Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM groups. Western blot analysis (Figure 1C) showed that a significant amount of ING4 expression was detected in Ad-ING4 and Ad-ING4-OSM groups, and a significant expression of OSM was found in the Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM groups, but not in the other groups. The RT-PCR and Western blot indicated that transgene ING4 and OSM were successfully inserted into Hep-2 cells and expressed.

### 3.3 Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM had inhibitory effect of Hep-2 cells in vitro

For each group, the Hep-2 cells were treated at the indicated periods (0–4 days), respectively. The cell viability was evaluated at days 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 after MTT assay, respectively (Figure 2A,B). On the day 4, Ad had little, while Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM had obvious inhibitory action, and the inhibition rate was 39%, 46% and 79%, respectively. There was no significant difference in inhibitory action between the Ad and PBS groups (p > 0.05), while the differences were significant between Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM groups compared to the PBS and Ad groups (p < 0.05). Moreover, such a significant difference existed for Ad-ING4-OSM group compared to Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM groups (p < 0.05). Simultaneously, Q = 1.18 confirmed a synergistic action in the Ad-ING4-OSM group.

### 3.4 Ad-ING4-OSM arrested Hep-2 cells in both G2/M and G0/G1 phase while decrease the proportion of the S phase by PI

To further explore the mechanisms of Hep-2 cell growth inhibition, we analysed the cell cycle distribution, as assessed by PI staining shown in Figure 3A,B, the Ad-ING4 arrested Hep-2 cells in the G2/M phase, and the proportion of the G2/M phase was 12.3% ± 2.2% higher than the PBS and Ad-GFP groups (4.6% ± 1.0% and 5.5% ± 1.4%, respectively; p < 0.05). Ad-OSM arrested Hep-2 cells in G0/G1 phase, and the proportion of the G0/G1 phase was 67.1% ± 2.5%, which was higher than those of PBS and Ad-GFP (55.1% ± 1.7% and 56.8% ± 1.9%, respectively; p < 0.05). Ad-ING4-OSM arrested Hep-2 cells in both G2/M
and G0/G1 phases; and the proportion was 12.3% ± 1.0% for the G2/M phase and 78.6% ± 2.3% for the G0/G1 phase. After treatment with Ad-ING4-OSM, the proportion of G2/M and G0/G1 phase cells was increased, while the proportion of the S phase cells was markedly decreased compared to the control group, suggesting that Hep-2 cells were less proliferative.

### 3.5 Flow cytometry of cell apoptosis by Hoechst 33258 fluorescence staining and Annexin V-PE/7-AAD

The Hep-2 cells in each group were stained with fluorescent dye Hoechst 33528 and visualized under a fluorescence microscope.
Hoechst nuclear staining binds to the AT-rich regions of double-stranded DNA and exhibits enhanced fluorescence. Hoechst dye permeabilizes through the intact membranes of Hep-2 cells and stain the DNA. Figure 3C shows the results of Hoechst staining. PBS and Ad exhibited round normal nuclei. Conversely, Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM showed signs of apoptosis, including highly condensed and fragmented nuclei. Moreover, the cells treated with Ad-ING4-OSM also exhibited more apoptotic cells than those in the Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM groups.

To explore the apoptosis of Hep-2 cells, we used Annexin V-PE/7-AAD double staining by flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 3D,E, the apoptotic ratio was 2.3% and 3.5% in the PBS and Ad-GFP groups, respectively, with no significant difference (p > 0.05). However, the apoptotic rates in Hep-2 cells were 35.2%, 39.7% and 71.2% in the Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM groups, respectively. Moreover, the apoptotic rates were significant different between Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM groups compared to those in the PBS and Ad group (p < 0.05). When compared to the Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM groups, the Ad-ING4-OSM was found to significantly induce Hep-2 cell apoptosis (p < 0.05) with a synergistic effect (Q = 1.17).

3.6 Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM group result in upexpression of P21, P27 and P53 and downexpression of survivin by real-time RT-PCR

The real-time RT-PCR analysed the expression levels of P21, P27, P53 and survivin (Figure 4A). Compared to the PBS group, the Ad group had little effect on gene expression, while the Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM groups significantly resulted in upexpression of P21, P27 and P53 and downexpression of survivin. Compared to the Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM group, the Ad-ING4-OSM group had a remark increase in gene expression.

3.7 Ad-ING4-OSM suppressed Hep-2 tumour growth in vivo

Male athymic nude mice were subcutaneously inoculated on the armpits of right anterior limbs with Hep-2 cells (2 x 10^6 cells/mouse). At 2 weeks after inoculation, all animals survived and had tumour growth, as shown in Figure 5A. After treatment with PBS, Ad, Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM, respectively, the tumours were excised (Figure 5B), the change in tumour weight and volume is shown in Figure 5C,D, and Ad-ING4-OSM remarkably suppressed Hep-2 tumour growth in vivo compared to Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM groups, while Ad exerted a slight suppression effect compared to PBS.

3.8 Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM upregulate P21, P53 and Caspase-3 expression and downregulate Cox-2, Bcl-2 and CD34 expression by immunohistochemistry in Hep-2 cell-xenografted tumours

The expression of P21, P53, Bax, Bcl-2, CD34, Cox-2 and Caspase-3 in Hep-2 cell-xenografted tumours was evaluated by immunohistochemistry mainly represented as brownish yellow or brownish granules as shown in Figure 6A. Compared to the Ad and PBS groups, the expression of P21, P53, Bax and Caspase-3 in the Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM and Ad-ING4-OSM groups was stronger while the expression of Cox-2, Bcl-2 and CD34 was weaker, indicating that ING4 and/or OSM might upregulate P21, P53, Bax and Caspase-3 expression and downregulate Cox-2, Bcl-2 and CD34 expression, respectively, in Hep-2 cell-xenografted tumours. The IOD (Figure 6B) in the Ad-ING4, Ad-OSM, and Ad-ING4-OSM groups was significantly more or less than that in the Ad and PBS groups, respectively (p < 0.05). Furthermore, Ad-ING4-OSM had a synergistic effect.
Since gene therapy represents a rational and potentially successful treatment for cancers, recombinant Ad vectors provide a highly versatile system for mammalian gene transfer and are widely used in vaccine development and a variety of gene therapy applications, especially for gene-based therapy of cancer. Currently, up to 600 gene therapy clinical protocols have been reported, of which 28% used Ad to deliver the therapeutic or marker genes. The biology of recombinant Ad has been studied extensively and is well-understood. Compared to other vectors, Ad has several advantages, including (1) convenient and simple methods of vector construction; (2) efficient transduction of proliferating and quiescent cells; (3) efficient production to high yields in well-defined cell systems; and (4) high stability, allowing purification and long-term storage. In the current study, a recombinant replication-deficient Ad that expresses a mutant of *Aequorea victoria* green fluorescent protein (GFP) has been used to transfer ING4 and OSM.

**FIGURE 5** Tumours in male athymic nude mice and the change in tumour weight and volume (compared to PBS and Ad-GFP groups, *p* < 0.05; compared to Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM groups, *p* < 0.05)

**4 | DISCUSSION**

Since gene therapy represents a rational and potentially successful treatment for cancers, recombinant Ad vectors provide a highly versatile system for mammalian gene transfer and are widely used in vaccine development and a variety of gene therapy applications, especially for gene-based therapy of cancer. Currently, up to 600 gene therapy clinical protocols have been reported, of which 28% used Ad to deliver the therapeutic or marker genes. The biology of recombinant Ad has been studied extensively and is well-understood. Compared to other vectors, Ad has several advantages, including (1) convenient and simple methods of vector construction; (2) efficient transduction of proliferating and quiescent cells; (3) efficient production to high yields in well-defined cell systems; and (4) high stability, allowing purification and long-term storage. In the current study, a recombinant replication-deficient Ad that expresses a mutant of *Aequorea victoria* green fluorescent protein (GFP) has been used to transfer ING4 and OSM.

Inhibitor of growth family member 4 is a candidate tumour suppressor gene that exerts the tumour-suppressive effect via multiple pathways in various tumours. A recent study showed that Ad-ING4-P53 and cisplatin gene therapy in hypopharyngeal cancer is associated with apoptosis induction. ING4 regulates the p65 subunit of nuclear factor (NF)-κB via interaction with Sirtuin1 (SIRT1) and alleviates lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation. ING4 inhibits tumour proliferation and angiogenesis in human glioma. Moreover, ING4 downregulates IL-6, IL-8, MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression in the human lung adenocarcinoma cell line.
A549\textsuperscript{25} and inhibits tumour cell growth and induces cell cycle alteration and apoptosis in various tumour types,\textsuperscript{6,21,25-29} such as hepatocellular, melanoma, colorectal, glioblastoma, breast and lung cancer. In addition, it enhances chemosensitivity in HepG2 hepatocarcinoma cells.\textsuperscript{26} A recent study reported that ING4 exerts a marked inhibitory effect on tumour cell spread, migration and invasion.\textsuperscript{7,30}

Oncostatin M plays a critical role in various biological systems, such as inflammatory response, haematopoiesis, tissue remodelling and development.\textsuperscript{10} OSM receptor beta (OSMR-β) deficiency attenuates atherogenesis by inhibiting the JAK2/STAT3 signal pathway in macrophages.\textsuperscript{31} and the type II OSMR consists of gp130 and the OSM-specific receptor subunit.\textsuperscript{32,33} OSM activates intracellular signalling cascades through OSMR containing gp130. The interactions between OSM and its receptor complex (gp130-OSMR-β) trigger the activation of two main signalling pathways: the JAK-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) (with STAT1, STAT3 and STAT5) and the STAT3-SMAD3.\textsuperscript{10,34} Furthermore, OSM induces STAT3 and ERK signalling and promotes the proliferation and migration of malignant keratinocyte PDVCS7 cells.\textsuperscript{35} These phenomena suggested that growth inhibition in these cells by cytokines could

FIGURE 6  Expression of P21, P53, Bax, Bcl-2, CD34, Cox-2 and Caspase-3, respectively, in Hep-2 cells xenografted tumours tested by immunohistochemistry analysis
be attributed to the suppressed activity of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) by p27kip1. Recent studies implicated OSM in macrophage M2 polarization, which might promote tumour progression.\textsuperscript{26-28} OSM reportedly increases the level of metastasis-related proteins, including matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1) in osteogenic differentiation of mouse MC3T3 osteoblasts.\textsuperscript{39} MMP-2 in human trophoblast cell line\textsuperscript{40} and cathepsin L in osteosarcoma cells.\textsuperscript{51} Moreover, OSM is cytostatic for high-grade chondrosarcomas, independent of p53 and presumably through the JAK3/STAT1 pathway.\textsuperscript{42}

Both ING4 and OSM exert a negative effect on cancer cells rather than normal cells. Han et al.\textsuperscript{43} have reported that inhibition of Adenovirus-ING4-OSM therapy on nasopharyngeal carcinoma proliferation in vitro and in vivo. In order to prove whether ING4 and OSM have a significant influence on the growth and apoptosis of human laryngeal cancer Hep-2 cells, we used recombinant Ad vectors for gene transfer. First, we examined the successful expression of ING4 and OSM in Hep-2 cells. Then, in vitro MTT assay and flow cytometric analysis of cell apoptosis by Annexin V-PE/7-AAD indicated that Hep-2 cells with Ad-ING4 or Ad-OSM gene alone exhibited growth inhibition and enhanced apoptosis, indicating that Ad-ING4-OSM effectuated synergistically. The mechanism underlying ING4- and OSM-mediated negative regulation of tumour growth remains largely unknown. Thus, we analysed the molecular basis of the inhibitory effect of Ad-ING4-OSM on Hep-2 cells. The cell cycle phase distribution by PI staining revealed that Ad-ING4 arrested Hep-2 cells in the G2/M phase, Ad-OSM arrested Hep-2 cells in G0/G1 phase and Ad-ING4-OSM arrested Hep-2 cells in both G2/M and G0/G1 phases. Compared to Ad-ING4 and Ad-OSM groups, both RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry analysis showed that Ad-ING4-OSM had an additive effect on the upregulation of P21, P27, P53, Bax and Caspase-3 and on the downregulation of Survivin, Cox-2, Bcl-2 and CD34 in Hep-2 cells. Together, these results indicated that Ad-ING4-OSM is a potential treatment strategy for Hep-2 cells.

Nevertheless, there are several limitations in our study. First, the in vitro assays were only performed in Hep-2 cells, which is the only laryngeal cancer cell line available in China for now. Second, the clinical value of recombinant adenoviruses co-expression of ING4 and OSM was not investigated in a large patient cohort. Thus, large multicentre studies including large cohorts of laryngeal cancer patients are warranted. Finally, the underlying mechanism of recombinant adenoviruses co-expression of ING4 and OSM in laryngeal cancer has not been fully clarified in this study. Therefore, we will validate these findings and expand our investigation by including additional laryngeal cancer cell lines in future.

5 | CONCLUSION

Overall, our study suggests that recombinant adenoviruses co-expression of ING4 and OSM that in vitro, Ad-ING4-OSM significantly inhibited the growth, enhanced apoptosis, altered the cell cycle with G1 and G2/M phase arrest and downregulated the expression of P21, P27, P53 and survivin in laryngeal cancer Hep-2 cells. Furthermore, in vivo functional experiments of co-expressing Ad-ING4-OSM demonstrated that solid tumours in the nude mouse model were significantly suppressed, and the co-expressing Ad-ING4-OSM showed a significant upregulation expression of P21, P53, Bax and Caspase-3 and a downregulation of Cox-2, Bcl-2 and CD34. Therefore, co-expression of Ad-ING4-OSM might serve as a novel therapeutic strategy for patients with laryngeal cancer.
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