Magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of skeletal metastases in patients with breast cancer
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Summary Eighty-four patients with breast cancer at high risk of bone metastases were investigated with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the thoracolumbar spine. Of 58 patients with normal limited skeletal surveys (LSS) and bone scans (BS), 4 (7%) had MR images compatible with malignant infiltration. Fourteen patients had abnormal bone scans with normal or non-diagnostic plain films; 7 of these patients (50%) had MR images compatible with malignant infiltration. Twelve patients had single or multiple wedge collapses of uncertain aetiology on plain film; MR demonstrated metastatic disease as the cause of wedge collapse in 7 (58%). MRI may define a group of patients with extra-osseous relapse who have occult metastatic disease. Although the detection rate in patients with primary breast cancer is low (4/45), MRI is of value in determining the cause of wedge collapse in postmenopausal women with breast cancer and may elucidate the cause of an abnormal bone scan with normal or non-diagnostic plain films.

The skeletal system is the commonest site of metastases in patients with breast cancer (Kambly et al., 1987) and micrometastases in bone marrow may be detected on aspiration or trephination, using an immunocytochemical tumour cell antibody technique, in 9–20% of patients with breast cancer who have no other evidence of metastatic disease (Mansi et al., 1987; Redding et al., 1983). The detection of skeletal micrometastases at initial presentation is of prognostic significance and may alter primary management (Coombes et al., 1986). The presence of micrometastases has been shown to correlate with other features suggesting a poor prognosis such as T status, axillary nodal status, vascular invasion and pathological size of the primary tumour (Friedell et al., 1965; Fisher et al., 1969; Fisher et al., 1970), and may predict for the early development of overt skeletal metastases (Mansi et al., 1987).

X-ray and isotope bone scanning are commonly used to detect skeletal metastases. Plain films require at least 50% cortical bone loss before abnormalities are seen (Edelstyn et al., 1967). Wedge collapse of vertebrae on plain films can pose diagnostic problems in a population of postmenopausal women. Bone scans are sensitive but not specific and may be negative if there is destruction by gross lytic disease (Galasko et al., 1972). Although bone marrow aspiration may provide a more sensitive method for detecting micrometastases, it is an invasive procedure and the identification of malignant cells by immunocytochemical technique is time-consuming (Coombes et al., 1986).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a non-invasive technique, may have a higher sensitivity for the detection of skeletal metastases (Daffner et al., 1986; Smoker et al., 1987; Godersky et al., 1987) than X-ray or isotope bone scan. MRI has been used in the assessment of spinal cord disease and can identify the presence of bone marrow metastases and spinal cord compression (Williams et al., 1989). Daffner et al. (1986) had no false positives or false negatives when MRI was correlated with other techniques. We have examined this method for the detection of occult bone metastases in the vertebral column of patients with breast cancer at the time of primary diagnosis and at extra-osseous relapse.

Patients and methods

Patients with primary, or non-osseous, breast cancer who were considered at high risk of skeletal metastases were referred for MRI if plain films and bone scans were normal or non-diagnostic. Patients at initial diagnosis were judged at high risk if their tumours were greater than 5 cm at clinical assessment, or if they had vascular invasion and/or histologically positive axillary nodes (high risk primary). Patients were also regarded at high risk of skeletal metastases at first or subsequent extra-osseous relapse.

All patients were assessed with full blood count, serum biochemistry, chest X-ray, limited skeletal survey (LSS), bone scan (BS) and liver ultrasound before MRI. Patients were not on systemic treatment for breast cancer during their assessment.

MRI was done with a Siemens Magnetom operating at 1.5 Tesla. T1-weighted images of the entire thoracic and lumbar spine were taken in the sagittal plane using 5 mm thick sections with 2.5 mm interslice gap. An 18 cm elliptical spine coil was used for all investigations. Seven sections were obtained as a multisection acquisition of each region. A spin echo technique was used with a repetition time of 0.5 s and an echo time of 17 × 10⁻³ s. The total time involved for each patient, including setting up and imaging, was approximately 30 minutes. MR was positive when there was focal decrease in signal intensity within the bone marrow in the vertebral column.

The MRI images were reported by one of two radiologists. If a positive result was obtained with MRI the plain films were reviewed retrospectively to exclude 'missed' disease. On bone scan, the entire skeleton was assessed for metastatic disease and reported as normal or with some areas of increased uptake which were not suspicious for metastatic disease. Bone scans were not reviewed retrospectively.

Results

The results of isotope bone scan, X-ray of the vertebral column (LSS) and MRI are shown in the Table.

Forty-five patients were classified as high risk primary patients. Forty-one of these patients had normal LSS and BS and of these 4 (9.8%) had abnormal MR images (Figure 1). On retrospective review, one of these patients had an abnormality on plain film at the corresponding site. Four high risk primary patients had nonspecific abnormalities on BS with normal LSS and MR images. Overall abnormal MR images were seen in 8.8% of the 45 high risk primary patients.

Thirty-nine patients with extra osseous relapse were studied. Seventeen patients had normal LSS and BS and, of these, one patient had an abnormal MR image. A further 10 patients had increased nonspecific uptake on BS but no plain film correlation to suggest metastases. Seven of these 10
Table 1  MRI findings in relation to bone scan (BS) and plain films (LSS)

| Patient status         | MRI findings |      |      |      |
|------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|
|                        | Abnormal     | Normal | Total |
| Normal LSS and BS      |              |      |      |      |
| High risk primary      | 4*           | 37   | 41   |
| Relapse                | 1            | 16   | 17   |
| Total                  | 5            | 53   | 58   |
| Abnormal BS            |              |      |      |      |
| High risk primary      | 0            | 4    | 4    |
| Relapse                | 7            | 3    | 10   |
| Total                  | 7            | 7    | 14   |
| Wedge collapse         |              |      |      |      |
| Normal BS              | 1            | 3    | 4    |
| Abnormal BS            | 6            | 2    | 8    |
| Total                  | 7            | 5    | 12   |

*One had an abnormal LSS on retrospective review.

Figure 1  Sagittal T-1 weighted CTR 50 msec TE (7 msec) image of the lumbar spine showing focal areas of reduced signal intensity within the vertebral marrow in a patient with primary breast cancer (normal LSS + BS).

Figure 2  a, Lateral plain radiograph of the thoracic spine demonstrates anterior wedging of the vertebral bodies of T8, T9 and T11.  b, The corresponding sagittal T1, weighted (TR 50 msec TE 17 msec) MR image of the thoracic spine shows low signal intensity within the vertebral body of T7 consistent with replacement of the normal fatty marrow by malignancy infiltrate. There is preservation of the normal high signal intensity of the marrow with T8 and T11 consistent with osteoporotic collapse.

Discussion

Normal bone marrow gives a high intensity signal on MRI due to its fat content but, when normal fatty marrow is infiltrated by tumour, there is a focal decrease in signal intensity. This is in contrast to the focal areas of high intensity due to fatty infiltration seen in normal volunteers (Hajek et al., 1987). Although MRI is sensitive in the detection of marrow infiltration by malignant disease (Daffner et al., 1986; Godersky et al., 1987; Smoker et al., 1987), its exact role is not defined. T2 weighted images were not used in this study as they appear to add little to the sensitivity and specificity in the detection of abnormalities in marrow disease (Vogler & Murphy, 1989).
The detection of overt metastases in patients with breast cancer requires systemic rather than local treatment. The presence of occult metastases could also affect management. In this study, only 7.5% of high risk primary patients had abnormal MR images. All these primary patients were eligible for adjuvant therapy (either chemotherapy, or hormones) and the finding of an abnormal MR image did not affect subsequent management. The prevalence of MRI-detected occult metastases is less than the reported incidence of immunocytochemically detected micrometastases in studies using multiple bone marrow aspirates (Mansi et al., 1987). The abnormalities detected on MRI represent more obvious metastases than those only detected by bone marrow aspiration and may be more important for clinical management.

Older women, with degenerative bone problems, are more likely to have nonspecific abnormalities on bone scan which are not always clarified by plain films. These abnormalities may give rise to diagnostic concern in patients with breast cancer in whom the possibility of bony relapse may affect management. One approach to this problem is the use of computerized tomography which will detect malignant disease in 50% of these patients (Muindi et al., 1983). In this study, MRI demonstrated malignant disease in 7 of 14 similar patients with equivocal bone scans. There has been no evidence of bony relapse in the 7 out of 14 patients with abnormal BS and normal MRI. A prospective study could determine the relative value of CT and MRI in this situation.

The presence of collapse of single or multiple vertebrae may be caused by osteoporosis or metastatic disease. In 7 of the 12 patients with wedge collapse, MRI demonstrated malignant disease. This is useful in the management of these patients both for local control of pain and for the choice of systemic treatment and assessment of response if there is no other evidence of metastatic disease. In addition, presymptomatic cord compression was identified in 2 patients, which allowed early treatment before clinical evidence of cord compression. BS and MRI showed concordant results in 9/12 and discordant results in 3/12 patients with wedge collapse. Follow up on the 2 patients with abnormal BS and normal MRI has shown no evidence of metastases at 18 months. Although a positive bone scan may be indicative of metastatic disease in patients with wedge collapse further information is necessary to determine management. This may be provided by MRI.

In summary, in view of the expense and low detection rate, MRI is not of value in the detection of occult metastases from breast cancer in high risk patients with primary breast cancers. Furthermore, it is probably no more sensitive but more expensive than CT at determining the cause of nonspecific abnormalities on bone scan. However, MRI does provide useful information about the aetiology of vertebral wedge collapse and is the investigation of choice for the imaging of presymptomatic spinal cord compression (Williams et al., 1989).
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