Congenital Muscular Torticollis: An Overview
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Abstract

Congenital Muscular Torticollis (CMT) is a congenital deformity characterized by unilateral shortening of the sternocleidomastoid muscle resulting in lateral inclination of the neck associated with contralateral torsion [1] (Figure 1).

The typical clinical element is a firm mass sized between 1 to 3 cm which causes shortening of the sternocleidomastoid and it is normally palpable at 1 to 4 weeks of age [2].

Although this lesion has been considered as an hematoma formation, the presence of hemosiderin has not been revealed in pathological specimens of excised masses and additionally ultrasonography, and Computerized Tomography often record isoechoic or homogeneous findings [3].

Most of cases resolve within the first year after birth. Nevertheless this firm still painless fibrotic tissue in severe cases subsequently results in secondary plagiocephaly and skull and facial asymmetry [4-7].

It is a relatively common recognized infantile abnormality and its incidence varies from 0.3% to 2.0% live births [2]. CMT is recorded as is the third most common congenital musculoskeletal anomaly after dislocation of the hip and clubfoot [1,5].

CMT is often associated with other congenital deformities such as Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip (DDH) with a coexistence rate estimated as high as 14.9% [8]. Other coincident lesions less frequently recorded include tibial torsion, clubfoot, calcaneovalgus foot, flexible pes planus, metatarsus adductus, and hallux valgus [9].

Aetiology

Although evidence about CMT aetiology is vague it is postulated that fetal position abnormalities, intrauterine or perinatal compartment syndrome and birth trauma ensuing a difficult delivery embody the main causes [5,10].

Other possible causes encountered are hereditary and venous or arterial occlusion which may create fibrous tissue within the sternocleidomastoid [6,11].

Diagnosis

Diagnosis is based mainly on past medical history and clinical examination of the infant.

A meticulous prenatal history record is essential and detects complicated labor and the coexistence of previous birth trauma such as clavicular fracture. The presence of perinatal asphyxia, jaundice, seizures, medication, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) or Sandifer’s syndrome are also recorded [12].

A firm painless not tender pseudotumor mass is typically palpable in the first few weeks of life [3,13]. This lump can affect both the sternal and clavicular parts of the muscle [14]. This endomyssial mass is consisted of fibrotic issue linked with deposition of collagen and migration of fibroblasts around the atrophic sternocleidomastoid fibers [5].

Clinical examination includes evaluation of neck range of motion, and thorough neurological assessment.
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Introduction

Congenital Muscular Torticollis (CMT) is a congenital deformity characterized by unilateral shortening of the sternocleidomastoid muscle resulting in lateral inclination of the neck associated with contralateral torsion [1] (Figure 1).
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The type of deformation is also investigated, as well as the combination of flexion and rotation, whether the deformation is rigid or flexible, and whether it can be corrected by the child itself [12,14,15].

Associated congenital musculoskeletal conditions i.e. hip dysplasia is also investigated.

Ophthalmological examination may reveal extra ocular muscle imbalance as the causing factor of torticollis [16].

Ultrasoundographic imaging is a useful diagnostic tool with important diagnostic and prognostic application [17]. This method is characterized by high sensitivity and specificity of 95.83% and 83.33%, respectively. The ultrasonographic findings vary in accordance with different CMT stages [18].

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a modern radiologic examination with increasing role in CMT diagnosis. In a recent study MRI findings have been found to be correlated with histopathological findings [19].

Differential Diagnosis

Other nosological entities with similar clinical manifestations should be ruled out. Differential diagnosis includes Klippel –Trenaunay syndrome, Grisel syndrome, osseous, neurological and psychiatric causes of torticollis, unilateral hearing difficulty which results in orgotypic torticollis, neoplasms, infections and systemic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis [12,14,20].

Treatment

Physical therapy

Manual passive stretching of the sternocleidomastoid muscle before the age of 12 months is the most effective mode of physical therapy [21].

These exercises can be applied by parents after physical therapy. The one hand is lying on the child’s head and the ipsilateral shoulder, while with the other lateral flexion of the head is applied together with rotation towards the opposite side [22].

At least two times a day, 10-15 stretches are performed, with time of dilatation rising up to thirty seconds [22]. Positive results are recorded, in a percentage bigger than 90% provided that the exercises are performed daily in the correct way. Recurrent rate is estimated as high as 2% [4]. Negative prognostic factors in the presence of a sternocleidomastoid mass are considered to be, the initial rotation of the head from the neutral position greater than 15 degrees and the beginning of the treatment after the first year of life [4].

In the conservative treatment, more frequent change of positions and turning of the head with stimuli of the environment, are included.

Introductory physical therapy includes heat application using paraphino-therapy and thermotherapy [21].

Iontophoresis implementation from 2 to 3 weeks of life respectively has been also proposed. The latter technique is used for resorption, organization and resolution the endomysial mass [21].

Microcurrent therapy appears to improve head tilting angle at supine (TA) and neck rotation range of motion to the affected side (RR) in infants with CMT as well [23].

Other physical therapy modalities for the SCI treatment include massage of tight neck muscles and subcutaneous tissues which increases pain-free range of motion, joint mobilization, myofascial release, craniosacral therapies and therapeutic taping [13,24,25].

An immediate effect on muscular imbalance in infants with CMT have been recorded with kinesiology taping [25].

Multiply adjustable torticollis brace have been also used in older children in a position of a soft overcorrection as an adjunct therapy [26].

Botulinum toxin

Botulinum toxin A injections have been reported for the treatment for all forms of cervical dystonia in few studies [27,28]. This method is safe and effective in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy especially in ambulatory patients [29]. It decreases spasticity to enable the manual stretching. Based on the same concept of muscle spasticity reduction these agents have been used in CMT by experienced practitioners for refractory to manual stretching cases [22].

A few adult cases of congenital muscular torticollis were successfully managed with botulinum toxin as well [30].

At the moment there is no adequate scientific evidence for the safety and efficiency of this modern treatment.

Surgical treatment

Surgical release may be considered in children older than 12-18 months of age with CMT resistant to conservative treatment or in case of facial asymmetry and plagiocephaly development [3,20,31] (Figure 2).

Surgical lengthening of the contracted SCM is mandatory in only 3% of the cases [2]. Surgery is highly recommended when a restriction of movement up to thirty degrees is present, as well in cases complicated with deformities of facial bones. A section of the clavicular insertion of the SCM is proposed, and an elongation in a Z-shape of its sternal insertion, in order to maintain its normal outline. Rarely, in neglected cases, a section of its mastoid insertion is also necessary [2,12,14,15].

A potential complication of the surgical approach is an injury of the accessory nerve [12]. The rate of relapse is up to 1.2%. In a review by excellent results were recorded 88.1%, good results in 8.3%, and fair to poor results in 3.6% of the patients surgically treated [26]. The age of the patient at presentation, ant the passive neck rotation range have been reported as the most important factors mandating surgical intervention [26,32].

The optimal time for surgical intervention is referred between 1...
and 4 years although favourable results have been also described for patients 10 years or older at the time of surgery [33]. For aged more than 6 years old, for safe results Chen and Co recommend bipolar release [33].

Postoperatively an intensive physical therapy program is applied including manual stretching of the sternocleidomastoid muscle for several months [14].

Conclusion

Treatment of CMT is primarily conservative. Positive results are recorded after manual stretching, in the biggest part.

Surgical release of the contracted SCM is imperative only in a small rate that conservative treatment fails, or in neglected cases that are accompanied by facial asymmetry. In mild deformities, a cross section of the SCM and a removal of 1-2cm of its sternal and clavicular insertions are required.

In some serious cases a bipolar tenotomy of the SCM is needed. The major factor that determines the outcome of the surgery is the age of the patient.
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