Ground state properties of a trapped few-Boson system under rotation—beyond the “lowest Landau level” approximation—
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We consider a harmonically trapped few-Boson system under rotation and investigate the ground state properties beyond the usual “lowest Landau level” approximation by using exact diagonalizations in a restricted Hilbert subspace. We find that both the effective interaction energy and density distribution are strongly affected by the two-body interaction strength.
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Following the experimental realization of Bose-Einstein condensation of alkali-metal atoms [1], there has been much attention attached to the behavior of these systems under rotation both experimentally and theoretically. Mattews et al. [2] created vortices in a two-component system, and Madison et al. [3] studied the rotations in a stirred one-component Bose-Einstein condensate. Theoretical studies have mainly focused on the Thomas-Fermi regime of strong interactions [4–8] or on the limit of weak interactions between the atoms [9–15]. In the latter case, most research works have applied the “lowest Landau Level (LLL)” approximation, in which all the bosons are in single-particle orbitals with radial quantum number $n = 0$ and angular momentum $\mathbf{m}$ having the same sign as the total angular momentum. The intermediate regime of moderate interaction, however, is rarely concerned [16,17].

In this Brief Report, we would like to study ground state properties of a trapped rotating Bose-Einstein condensate with an arbitrary two-body interaction strength beyond the LLL approximation. To attack the problem, we calculate low-lying states of a few-Boson system by using the exact diagonalization method. We assume that our numerical computation is qualitatively applicable for a rotating Bose-Einstein condensate though the number of bosons is limited to a small value of $N = 16$. We also assume that the cloud of atoms rotates about some axis, and that the system is in its ground state with respect to this axis, which implies that our problem is essentially two-dimensional.

The trapped Bose-Einstein condensate, comprised of $N$ repulsively interacting alkali atoms with mass $M$ and $s$-wave scattering length $a_{sc}$, obeys the many-body Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{j}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} r_{j}^{2} \right\} + g \sum_{i<j} 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}), \quad (1)$$

where the energy and length are given throughout in scaled harmonic oscillator units $\hbar \omega$ and $a = \sqrt{\hbar / M \omega}$, respectively. The two-body contact interaction strength is characterized by a dimensionless coupling constant $g = a_{sc} / a$. As we shall see, the problem has three different interesting regimes parametrized by the product $gN$: the Thomas-Fermi regime $gN \gg 1$, the moderate interaction regime $gN \sim 1$, and the weak interaction regime $gN \ll 1$. To diagonalize the Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), the single-particle states of the Fock state are chosen to be eigenstates of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, i.e.,

$$\epsilon_{nm} = 2n + |m| + 1, \quad (2)$$

$$\psi_{nm} = \frac{n!}{\pi(n + |m|)!} r^{m} e^{-r^{2}/2} L_{n}^{m}(r^{2}) e^{im\phi}, \quad (3)$$

where $L_{n}^{m}(r^{2})$ is the associated Laguerre polynomial. $n$ and $m$ are the radial and angular momentum quantum number, respectively. Previous studies in weak interaction limit usually take single particle states with $n = 0$ and $m = 0, +1, +2, +3, \ldots$, which corresponds to the LLL approximation. Here we set up Fock states by extending to $n \leq 2$ and $-2 \leq m \leq 4$ [13], and sample over the full Hilbert space with a fixed number of bosons. From this sampling, only those Fock states with a given total orbital angular momentum $L$ and a configuration energy (corresponding to the sum of occupied single particle energies) less than or equal to a specified cutoff energy $E_{c}$ are included (see figure 1 below for example). The purpose was to select only the most important Fock states from the full basis, thereby reducing the matrix dimension to an acceptable size $d \lesssim 10^{5}$. Once the active Fock states are constructed, we calculate the matrix elements and subsequently diagonalize the matrix by using the Davidson algorithm [14], which is very efficient to solve the eigenvalue problem with a large and sparse matrix.

It is important to point out that the above diagonalization scheme only in principle yields an exact solution of the many-body problem. For reasons of numerical feasibility it is necessary to truncate the set of basis functions to be used in the diagonalization. One then has to make sure that convergence of the ground state energy is reached with respect to the cutoff. As the required matrix size increases rapidly with $gN$ and $L$, computational expenses severely restrict the calculations to only
the smallest systems at not too large values of $g$. Thus, with increasing boson number or $g$, the results become less accurate due to the restricted number of basis states.

Figure 1 shows the convergence of the ground state energy as a function of the cutoff energy $E_c$ for a system of $N = 10$ bosons at $L = 10$. The lowest possible Fock state has all ten bosons distributed in the lowest Landau level, and the corresponding configuration energy equals to $N + L = 20$. This means that for the ground state energy with different cutoff energies displayed in figure 1 all excitations up to an energy $E_c - 20$ are included. It is readily seen that both the case with a moderate interaction strength $gN = 1$ (figure 1a) and a relatively strong interaction strength $gN = 3$ (figure 1b) show convergence at $E_c > 30$. The smaller $g$ is, the more rapidly the convergence reaches. Therefore, we conclude that our numerical results shown below is very accurate for a weak or moderate interaction strength.

We next investigate the density distribution of bosons on ground state energies as a function of the total angular momentum, which may be written as

$$E_{gs}(L) = (N + L) + gV_{int,L},$$

where $V_{int,L}$ is introduced as a scaled effective interaction energy. Figure 2 shows the $L$ dependence of $V_{int,L}$ of a system of $N = 16$ bosons for $g = 0.006, 0.1$ and 0.3. For comparison, the result with LLL approximation is also displayed. Note that the case of $g = 0.006$ is closer to experimental values for $^{87}$Rb [24], i.e., $a_{sc} \sim 100a_0 = 5.29$ nm and $a = 1.25 \times 10^{-4}$ cm. For all three interaction strengths, the interaction energy $V_{int,L}$ simply decreases linearly or nearly linearly with increasing the angular momentum. At $N = L$, a kink appears in slope. This is a hint of condensation into a vortex state: in macroscopic superfluids, the state for $L = N$ would have a condensate of unit angular momentum and would be lower in energy than neighboring ground states. In the weak interaction limit ($gN = 0.096 \ll 1$), our results only show slightly deviation from that with LLL approximation. However, with increasing the interaction strength, the interaction energy $V_{int,L}$ drops significantly for each angular momentum. This implies a spatial reconfiguration of bosons since they should push away from each other to reduce the strong interaction as $g$ increases.

We next investigate the density distribution of bosons for various interaction strengths as shown in figure 3. In general, the density is found to become decreasingly small and broad as $g$ increases. For all angular momenta, the shape of curves for the weak and relatively strong interaction strength differs largely: not only the maximum density of the former case is nearly two times larger than that of the latter one, but the maximum position is also shifted strongly. Moreover, when the angular momentum is closer to $L = N$, a maximum surrounded by an out

\[ \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{r} | r_0) = \frac{\langle \Psi_{gs} | \sum_{i \neq j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \delta(\mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{r}_j) \rangle | \Psi_{gs} \rangle}{(N - 1) \langle \Psi_{gs} | \sum_{j} \delta(\mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{r}_j) | \Psi_{gs} \rangle}, \]

where $| \Psi_{gs} \rangle$ represents the ground state. In figure 4, we show a plot of CPDs for some selected angular momenta and for a system of $N = 16$ bosons. With increasing the two-body interaction strength, the bosons spread more widely in x-y plane as expected, in line with density distributions shown in figure 3. On the other hand, the evolution of producing a single vortex state at $N = L$ does not change significantly even as $g$ is varied over several ($\sim 2$) orders of magnitude.

In conclusion, we have studied the ground state of a repulsively interacting Bose-Einstein condensate with a nonvanishing angular momentum. We found that all physical quantities, especially the effective interaction energy, are strongly affected by a dimensionless interaction strength $g$. We realize that our result is based on a few-Boson system, and its validity to a Bose-Einstein condensate should be further checked by more rigorous analytic and numerical treatments, such as the quantum Monte-Carlo simulation. We also note that although the so-far published experiments have mainly studied systems that do not satisfy the condition $gN \sim 1$ or $gN \ll 1$, systems that do satisfy this condition are accessible with current experimental techniques.
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The difference between CPD and usual boson density can be naturally interpreted as follows: the CPD describes bosons in their intrinsic (body-fixed) frame of the reference, while the density distribution describes bosons in the laboratory frame of reference where the rotational and center-of-mass displacements are superimposed upon the intrinsic probability density. However, in the mean-field treatment (under an assumption of spontaneous symmetry breaking), the many-body condensate wavefunction is taken to be the product of the single-particle state \( \Psi_{GS}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \psi(r_i) \), and the corresponding CPD is simply reduced to the density distribution of bosons \( \mathcal{P}(r | r_0) = |\psi(r)|^2 \).
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