Mouse SYCP2 is required for synaptonemal complex assembly and chromosomal synapsis during male meiosis
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During meiosis, the arrangement of homologous chromosomes is tightly regulated by the synaptonemal complex (SC). Each SC consists of two axial/lateral elements (AEs/LEs), and numerous transverse filaments. SC protein 2 (SYCP2) and SYCP3 are integral components of AEs/LEs in mammals. We find that SYCP2 forms heterodimers with SYCP3 both in vitro and in vivo. An evolutionarily conserved coiled coil domain in SYCP2 is required for binding to SYCP3. We generated a mutant Sycp2 allele in mice that lacks the coiled coil domain. The fertility of homozygous Sycp2 mutant mice is sexually dimorphic; males are sterile because of a block in meiosis, whereas females are subfertile with sharply reduced litter size. Sycp2 mutant spermatocytes exhibit failure in the formation of AEs and chromosomal synapsis. Strikingly, the mutant SYCP2 protein localizes to axial chromosomal cores in both spermatocytes and fetal oocytes, but SYCP3 does not, demonstrating that SYCP2 is a primary determinant of AEs/LEs and, thus, is required for the incorporation of SYCP3 into SCs.
melanogaster (C[3]G) and Caenorhabditis elegans (SYP-1 and -2; Page and Hawley, 2001; MacQueen et al., 2002; Colaiacovo et al., 2003). A common feature of TF components in these diverse organisms is that they are coiled coil proteins (Page and Hawley, 2004).

Although extensive ultrastructural studies of SCs have been performed in mammalian species such as rat and hamster (von Wettstein et al., 1984), isolation of SCs have identified the key components of mammalian SCs, including SC proteins 1, 2, and 3 (SYCP1, -2, and -3; Heyting et al., 1989; Meuwissen et al., 1992; Dobson et al., 1994; Lammers et al., 1994; Offenberg et al., 1998). SYCP1 contains coiled coils and is a major component of TFs. Despite their similar functions in meiosis, SYCP1 bears no apparent sequence similarity with TF components in other organisms, such as yeast Zip1, fly C(3)G, and nematode SYP-1 and -2, other than them all being coiled coil proteins. Recently, two SYCP1-interacting proteins (SYCE1 and CESC1) have been reported to localize exclusively to the CEJs (Costa et al., 2005). In contrast to SYCP1, SYCP2 and -3 are structural components of AEs/LEs. Although SYCP2 bears limited homology with yeast Red1 over a short region, SYCP3 does not appear to have a yeast sequence homologue (Offenberg et al., 1998).

Recently, genes encoding the TF component SYCP1 and one of the AE components, SYCP3, have been disrupted in mice by gene targeting (Yuan et al., 2000; de Vries et al., 2005). In Sycp1 mutant mice, normal AEs are formed; homologous chromosomes align with each other, but do not undergo synopsis (de Vries et al., 2005). Thus, the meiotic defects in the Sycp1-deficient mice reflect the functional implication of SYCP1 as a TF component. SYCP3 knockout mice have been characterized in detail in several studies (Yuan et al., 2000, 2002; Pelittari et al., 2001; Kolas et al., 2004, 2005; Liebe et al., 2004; Kouznetsova et al., 2005). It was observed that, in Sycp1-/- spermatocytes, AEs are not formed and the other known AE component, SYCP2, fails to localize to axial chromosomal cores (Yuan et al., 2000; Pelttari et al., 2001). Thus, it was concluded that SYCP3 is a main determinant of AEs/LEs and that SYCP2 plays a role in shaping the in vivo structure of AEs/LEs (Pelttari et al., 2001).

Despite these extensive studies, the role of SYCP2 in the formation of AEs/LEs remains largely unknown, especially the question of whether SYCP2 is required for the incorporation of SYCP3 into AEs/LEs. To investigate the functions of SYCP2 in meiosis, we have cloned the full-length cDNA sequence for the mouse Sycp2 gene. We report the generation of Sycp2 mutant mice by gene targeting and the characterization of the essential role of SYCP2 in SC assembly and chromosomal synopsis in males. Our findings reveal novel insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying mammalian SC assembly. We demonstrate that SYCP2 is a primary determinant of AEs/LEs and is required for the incorporation of SYCP3 into the SC.

**Results**

**Cloning of the mouse Sycp2 gene**

We previously identified Sycp2 as a mouse germ cell–specific gene in our cDNA subtraction screen (Wang et al., 2001). We obtained the composite full-length Sycp2 cDNA sequence (5 kb) by screening a testis cDNA library. The mouse SYCP2 protein (1,500 aa) shares 63 and 88% sequence identity with human and rat orthologues, respectively (Offenberg et al., 1998; Schalk et al., 1999). One striking feature of SYCP2 is the presence of a short coiled coil region near its COOH terminus (residues 1,379–1,433 in the mouse SYCP2), which is conserved in rat and human SYCP2 proteins. Antibodies were generated against the mouse SYCP2. Western blot analysis shows that SYCP2 migrates with an apparent molecular mass of 190 kDa (Fig. 1A). These antibodies were also characterized by the immunostaining of spread nuclei of spermatocytes and double immunostaining with a previously described anti-SYCP2 antibody to confirm that they are specific to SYCP2 (Fig. S1, available online).
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The coiled coil domain of SYCP2 is required for its binding to SYCP3

It has previously been established that SYCP2 interacts with SYCP3 in the yeast two-hybrid assay (Tarsounas et al., 1999). To define the SYCP3-binding domain, we generated NH₂-terminal truncations of SYCP2 and tested each of them for interaction with the full-length SYCP3. Our results show that the COOH-terminal 310-aa region of SYCP2 (residues 1,191–1,500) is necessary for its interaction with SYCP3 (Fig. 1 B). Additionally, the deletion of an internal region (residues 1,346–1,476) in SYCP2, including the coiled coil domain, abolishes its interaction with SYCP3 (Fig. 1 B). This interaction is further supported by results from in vitro GST pulldown experiments. Although the COOH-terminal SYCP2 polypeptide (residues 1,191–1,500) binds to GST-SYCP3, it fails to interact with GST-SYCP3 when the coiled coil–containing region (residues 1,346–1,476) is deleted (Fig. 1 C). Collectively, these data demonstrate that SYCP2 and -3 are able to form heterodimers or oligomers in vitro.

Disruption of the Sycp2 gene

To elucidate the function of Sycp2 in meiosis, we generated Sycp2 mutant mice by homologous recombination in embryonic stem (ES) cells. The mouse Sycp2 gene consists of 44 exons and spans a 70-kb genomic region. Exons 39–43 encode the SYCP2 region from residues 1,346–1,476, including the coiled coil domain, which is required for binding to SYCP3 (Fig. 1). In our targeting construct, the 1.9-kb genomic region harboring exons 39–43 is replaced with a floxed neomycin selection marker (Fig. 2 A). Therefore, deletion of the essential coiled coil domain is expected to disrupt the Sycp2 gene.

To address whether the mutant Sycp2 allele is transcribed, RT-PCR was performed on testis RNA from both wild-type and homozygous mutant (Sycp2−/−) mice with primers residing within exons 38 and 44, respectively. As expected, the Sycp2 mutant allele is, indeed, transcribed in testes (Fig. 2 B). Sequencing of the mutant RT-PCR product shows that splicing occurs from exons 38–44 without causing a frame shift. Furthermore, Western blot analysis demonstrates that the mutant SYCP2 protein without the coiled coil domain is produced in both Sycp2+/− and Sycp2−/− testes (Fig. 2 C). The truncated SYCP2 protein is referred to as SYCP2t.

SYCP2 is associated with SYCP3 in vivo

Our GST pulldown experiment shows that SYCP2 interacts with SYCP3 in vitro (Fig. 1 C). To address whether these two proteins are associated with each other in vivo, we performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments with soluble nuclear fractions of testicular protein extracts (Fig. 2 D). SYCP3 was completely immunoprecipitated with anti-SYCP3 antibody. In the wild type, SYCP2 immunoprecipitated with SYCP3. In contrast, SYCP2t was not immunoprecipitated, but rather stayed in the immunoprecipitated supernatant. Reciprocal immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed the association of SYCP2 and -3 (unpublished data). This set of experiments shows that SYCP2 is associated with SYCP3 in vivo and that the coiled coil region of SYCP2 is necessary for its binding to SYCP3.

Male sterility and meiotic arrest in Sycp2−/− mice

The Sycp2−/− mice appear to be healthy and normal in size. The fertility of Sycp2−/− mice is sexually dimorphic. The Sycp2−/− males are sterile, but Sycp2−/− females are subfertile. Sycp2−/− males are in meiosis, whereas Sycp2−/− females are fertile, suggesting the absence of dominant-negative effects by Sycp2t. Interbreeding of Sycp2−/− mice yields a normal Mendelian ratio (27:78:35) of wild-type, Sycp2−/−, and Sycp2−/− offspring.
Spermocytes undergo apoptosis

In type II tubules, germ cells are heavily eosin-stained, with increased chromatin density, suggesting that they undergo apoptosis (Fig. 3 C). A TUNEL assay shows the presence of many apoptotic cells in certain tubules, which are likely to correspond to type II tubules (Fig. 3 E). In contrast, no apoptotic cells are present in type I and III tubules (Fig. 3 E). Apoptosis of germ cells in type II tubules is also observed by electron microscopy (unpublished data). One possible explanation is that the presence of three types of tubules in Sycp2−/− testis might reflect coordinated differentiation of germ cells in a given tubule. The presence of three types of tubules could be explained as follows. In Sycp2−/− tests, spermatogenesis proceeds from spermatogonia, to the leptotene stage, to the zygotene stage, resulting in the accumulation of zygotene-like spermatocytes in type I tubules. Subsequently, these spermatocytes fail to differentiate into pachytene spermatocytes and undergo apoptosis in type II tubules. Eventually, all apoptotic spermatocytes are eliminated in type III tubules. No apoptotic cells are found in the epididymal tubules from Sycp2−/− mice, suggesting that apoptotic spermatocytes might be reabsorbed in the seminiferous tubules.

SYCP2 is required for chromosome synopsis in male meiosis

To determine the localization of SYCP1 and the extent of synopsis in Sycp2−/− spermatocytes, we performed immunostaining on spread spermatocytes with anti-SYCP1 antibodies and CREST antiserum (Kolas et al., 2005). In normal pachytene spermatocytes, homologous chromosomes are fully paired, and SYCP1 is localized to synapsed regions (Fig. 4 A). In Sycp2−/− testes, no pachytene spermatocytes are present, which is consistent with the histological analysis (Fig. 3). In Sycp2−/− spermatocytes, SYCP1 is present in several short fibers (Fig. 4 C), suggesting a failure in homologous chromosome synopsis.

CREST antiserum stains centromeres; therefore, it is used to determine the synaptic process in meiosis, along with DAPI staining of nuclei (Moens and Spyropoulos, 1995). During the leptotene stage, 40 centromeres (CREST foci) are expected. As synopsis proceeds, the number of CREST foci decreases. In pachytene spermatocytes, no more than 21 CREST foci are expected (Fig. 4, A and D; Scherthan et al., 1996). The number of CREST foci in zygotene spermatocytes ranges from 21 to 40. We analyzed >100 Sycp2−/− spermatocytes that showed positive SYCP1 staining. Sycp2−/− spermatocytes had 36 CREST foci/nucleus on average (36.0 ± 3.3; n = 104). No Sycp2−/− spermatocytes with >40 CREST foci were observed (Fig. 4, B and E), suggesting that sister chromatid cohesion in the centromeric regions is not affected. Interestingly, many CREST foci were present in pairs (Fig. 4, C and F).
SYCP2 is required for the incorporation of SYCP3 into AEs

To examine whether SYCP3 is localized to AEs/LEs in Sycp2−/− spermatocytes, we performed immunostaining with anti-SYCP3 antibodies and CREST antiserum. In wild-type pachytene spermatocytes, SYCP3 localizes to SCs (Fig. 5 A) and is present in Sycp2−/− tests, as determined by Western blotting (Fig. 2 D). Strikingly, in Sycp2−/− spermatocytes, SYCP3 accumulates as several large aggregates in the nucleus, but fails to localize to axial chromosome cores (Fig. 5 B, arrows). In addition, SYCP3 forms small nuclear foci (Fig. 5 B, arrowhead). Therefore, we conclude that SYCP2 is essential for the incorporation of SYCP3 into AEs/LEs.

SYCP2 is essential for formation of normal AEs

In Sycp2−/− spermatocytes, SYCP3 is not localized to axial chromosomal cores and, thus, is not suitable for analysis of AE formation (Fig. 5 B). It remains unclear whether AEs are still formed in Sycp2−/− spermatocytes. Silver nitrate stains AEs and paired LEs. Surface-spread nuclei of wild-type and Sycp2−/− spermatocytes are stained with silver nitrate (Dresser and Moses, 1979; Peters et al., 1997). Silver-stained SCs are abundant in wild type (Fig. 5 C). However, no silver-stained AEs are observed in Sycp2−/− spermatocytes after examining >100 spread nuclei (Fig. 5 D). Electron microscopy analysis of Sycp2−/− spermatocytes reveals the presence of CE-like structures with chromatins aligned to form SC-like structures (Fig. 5 F). However, these SC-like structures in Sycp2−/− spermatocytes lack typical LEs that are electron dense in wild-type spermatocytes (Fig. 5 E). Collectively, these observations are consistent with the lack of normal AEs in Sycp2−/− spermatocytes.
cohesin complexes formed along common cores of sister chromatids, suggesting that SYCP2 is not required for sister chromatid cohesion.

**SYCP2t localizes to axial chromosomal cores**

The SYCP2t protein is made in the SycaF, Sycp2−/− spermatocytes (Fig. 2 C). To address whether SYCP2t is able to associate with axial chromosomal cores, we performed immunofluorescence on SycaF, Sycp2−/−-spread nuclei (Fig. 6). First, SYCP2t colocalizes to thick fibers with SYCP1, which are continuous, but variable in length (Fig. 6 C). Second, SYCP2t is observed in fine fibers, where SYCP1 is absent. Close examination reveals that these fine fibers are not uniform in staining and appear as bead-on-a-string arrays (Fig. 6, A–C). This staining is likely to reflect authentic SYCP2t localization rather than nonspecific background because it is observed with polyclonal antibodies from two rabbits and two guinea pigs. In addition, the same localization pattern is obtained using antibodies raised against a different region of SYCP2 (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603063/DC1; Offenberg et al., 1998). As expected, SYCP3 does not colocalize with SYCP2t in the SycaF, Sycp2−/− spermatocytes (Fig. 6 F). Furthermore, SYCP2t colocalizes with STAG3 in both thick and fine fibers in SycaF, Sycp2−/− spermatocytes (Fig. 6), suggesting that SYCP2t is associated with axial chromosomal cores.

We next examined the association of SC proteins with chromatin using different fractions of testicular extracts: cytoplasmic, nuclear, and chromatin (Fig. 7). During the preparation of nuclear extracts, nuclear proteins are bound to the chromatin pellet in various degrees. As expected, the core histones, such as histone H3, are tightly bound to chromatin. Although the majority of SYCP1 is present in the nuclear extract, a significant amount is associated with chromatin in both wild-type and SycaF, Sycp2−/− testes. In contrast, SYCP2 and -3 behave differently. In wild-type, most SYCP2 is tightly bound to chromatin (Fig. 7, lane 4). Although SYCP2t localizes to axial chromosomal cores, the majority of SYCP2t can be extracted from chromatin (Fig. 7, lane 7), suggesting that its association with chromatin is significantly weakened by the deletion of the coiled coil domain. Slightly less than half of SYCP3 in the wild-type testes, but virtually none of SYCP3 in the SycaF, Sycp2−/− testes, is associated with chromatin (Fig. 7), which is consistent with the immunolocalization data (Fig. 6 F).

**Reduced female fertility in SycaF, Sycp2−/− mice**

To characterize the function of SYCP2 in female germ cells, 8-wk-old SycaF, Sycp2−/− females and wild-type littermates (10 mice/genotype) were mated with wild-type males for 2 mo. The mice were checked daily, and the number of offspring was recorded. In contrast to the sterility of SycaF, Sycp2−/− males, SycaF, Sycp2−/− females produced viable offspring. However, SycaF, Sycp2−/− females displayed a dramatic decrease in litter size, producing on average 4.4 offspring per litter (4.4 ± 1.5; n = 16 litters). In comparison, wild-type littermates generated 7.8 offspring per litter (7.8 ± 1.7; n = 16 litters; P < 0.0001).

Histological analysis of adult SycaF, Sycp2−/− ovaries revealed no apparent defects in follicular development (unpublished data). However, we examined surface-spread fetal oocytes that were obtained from SycaF, Sycp2−/− females at 17.5 d postcoitum (dpc).
and found that although mutant oocytes exhibit homologous chromosome alignments, as determined by SYCP1 staining, full chromosome synapsis is interrupted by the presence of prominent axial gaps in the SC (Fig. 8 A). Moreover, whereas SYCP2 localizes to axial chromosomal cores, SYCP3 failed to do so in Sycp2−/− oocytes (Fig. 8 B). In these oocytes, SYCP3 was found in several large nuclear aggregates (Fig. 8 B, arrows).

Interestingly, remnant SYCP3 staining was also detected as prominent nuclear foci that were consistently associated with chromosome ends at the pachytene stage of meiosis (Fig. 8 B, arrowheads). Moreover, a detailed analysis of the distribution of SYCP3 protein in Sycp2−/− oocytes revealed that about half of the SYCP3 foci are associated with CREST signals (unpublished data). These findings are consistent with a possible association of SYCP3 protein with telomeric regions of pachytene stage chromosomes in Sycp2−/− oocytes. Double immunostaining analysis with anti-SYCP1 antibody and CREST antisera revealed that centromere pairing occurs in the majority of Sycp2−/− oocytes, which is consistent with the formation of homologous chromosome synapsis (Fig. 9).

Discussion

SYCP2 is known as a structural component of the AEs/LEs of mammalian SCs. Gene targeting studies of the Sycp2 locus have not been reported. In this study, we generated Sycp2 mutant mice by deleting a coiled coil region required for binding to SYCP3. The phenotype of Sycp2 mutant mice is sexually dimorphic; males are sterile because of the absence of AE formation and the subsequent disruption of chromosome synapsis in prophase I spermatocytes, and females are subfertile. These phenotypes have also been observed in Sycp3-deficient mice (Yuan et al., 2000, 2002; Pelttari et al., 2001; Kolas et al., 2004, 2005; Liebe et al., 2004; Kouznetsova et al., 2005). However, our studies with
SYCP2 and -3 form heterodimers or oligomers

SYCP3, but not SYCP2, is able to form multistranded fibers when ectopically expressed in cultured cells (Yuan et al., 1998; Pelttari et al., 2001). SYCP3 interacts with itself in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Tarsounas et al., 1997). These studies suggest that SYCP3 is capable of forming homooligomers. Several lines of evidence support that SYCP2 and -3 interact with each other. First, ultrastructural studies have shown that both SYCP2 and -3 localize to the AEs/LEs (Offenberg et al., 1998; Schalk et al., 1998). Second, SYCP2 and -3 form novel fibers when coexpressed in COS cells (Pelttari et al., 2001). Third, SYCP2 interacts with SYCP3 in yeast two-hybrid assay (Tarsounas et al., 1997). These studies suggest that SYCP2 and -3 interact with each other in vivo. Fourth, SYCP2 and -3 interact with each other in GST pulldown experiments (Fig. 1 C). Finally, our coimmunoprecipitation experiments show that SYCP2 and -3 are associated with each other in vitro (Fig. 2 D). Importantly, deletion of the coiled coil–containing region of SYCP2 abolishes SYCP2–SYCP3 interaction in yeast two-hybrid, GST pulldown, and coimmunoprecipitation assays, as well as colocalization studies. Collectively, we conclude that SYCP2 and -3 exist as heterodimers/oligomers in SCs.

SYCP2 is a primary determinant of mammalian AEs/LEs

SYCP2 and -3 are known structural components of SCs in mammals (Heyting et al., 1989). To date, all three encoding genes have been disrupted in mice (Yuan et al., 2000; de Vries et al., 2005; this study). Studies of these mutant mice have provided invaluable insights into SC assembly, chromosome synapsis, and fertility. As expected based on a previous study (Heyting et al., 1989), these genetic mutants corroborate previous conclusions and predictions. As a component of TFs, SYCP1 is required for chromosomal synopsis, but not for AE assembly and homologous chromosomal pairing (de Vries et al., 2005). As components of AEs/LEs, both SYCP2 and -3 are required for formation of AEs and chromosome synapsis in males. However, these genetic studies have also provided unexpected new insights. For instance, SYCP1 is required for the formation of the XY body in pachytene spermatocytes (de Vries et al., 2005). In Syep2 oocyte, SYCP1 is required for the incorporation of SYCP3 into AEs/LEs. In Syep2 spermatocytes and fetal oocytes, SYCP3 accumulates as large protein aggregates in the nuclei, but fails to bind axial chromosomal cores (Fig. 5 B and Fig. 8 B). Aggregation of SYCP3 in Syep2 mutant spermatocytes is expected because SYCP3 forms multistranded fibers when ectopically expressed in cultured cells (Yuan et al., 1998). In contrast with the aggregation of SYCP3 in Syep2 spermatocytes and oocytes, SYCP2t is still associated with axial chromosomal cores, suggesting that SYCP2t binds to axial chromosomal cores through proteins other than SYCP3. Our data support the hypothesis that SYCP2 is a primary determinant of mammalian AEs/LEs and that SYCP3 becomes incorporated into AEs/LEs via SYCP2. Thus, the expression of SYCP2t in the mutant testis is informative in dissecting two distinct SYCP2 functions, association with axial chromosomal cores, and binding to SYCP3. Our results demonstrate that these two SYCP2 functions are genetically separable.

In Syep3-deficient spermatocytes and oocytes, SYCP2 fails to assemble along the axial chromosomal cores, leading to the hypothesis that SYCP3 is a main determinant of AEs/LEs (Pelttari et al., 2001; Yuan et al., 2002). It is puzzling that wild-type SYCP2 fails to localize to axial chromosomal cores in the absence of SYCP3. It is not apparent why the localization of SYCP2 in Syep3 germ cells is different from that of SYCP2t in Syep2 germ cells. We postulate two possibilities. First, other than being a structural protein, SYCP3 might play an unknown regulatory role in the association of SYCP2 with axial chromosomal cores. Therefore, in the absence of SYCP3, SYCP2 is not able to associate with axial chromosomal cores, which is the case in Syep3-deficient spermatocytes and oocytes (Pelttari et al., 2001; Yuan et al., 2002). However, in Syep2 spermatocytes and oocytes, the regulatory role of SYCP3 is intact and, thus, allows SYCP2t to associate with axial chromosomal cores (Figs. 6 and 8). A second possibility is that the SYCP2t protein gains a new, but artificial, axial chromosomal core–binding function. A double Syep2 Syep3 mouse mutant will be informative in testing these two possibilities.

Mammalian genetics of SYCPs and sexual dimorphism of fertility

SYCP1, -2, and -3 are known structural components of SCs in mammals (Heyting et al., 1989). To date, all three encoding genes have been disrupted in mice (Yuan et al., 2000; de Vries et al., 2005; this study). Studies of these mutant mice have provided invaluable insights into SC assembly, chromosome synapsis, and fertility. As expected based on a previous study (Heyting et al., 1989), these genetic mutants corroborate previous conclusions and predictions. As a component of TFs, SYCP1 is required for chromosomal synopsis, but not for AE assembly and homologous chromosomal pairing (de Vries et al., 2005). As components of AEs/LEs, both SYCP2 and -3 are required for formation of AEs and chromosome synapsis in males. However, these genetic studies have also provided unexpected new insights. For instance, SYCP1 is required for the formation of the XY body in pachytene spermatocytes (de Vries et al., 2005). In Syep2 or -3 mutant spermatocytes, SYCP1 still forms short fibers in the absence of AEs/LEs, suggesting that SYCP1 binds directly to chromatin or interacts with other chromatin structures, such as sister chromatid cohesion complexes (Pelttari et al., 2001). Our studies of a unique Syep2 mouse mutant demonstrate that SYCP2 is a primary determinant of AEs/LEs.
Although disruption of Syce1 affects the fertility of both sexes, the fertility of Syce2 and -3 mutant mice is sexually dimorphic; males are sterile, but females are subfertile (Yuan et al., 2000, 2002; de Vries et al., 2005; this study). Both Syce2 and -3 mutant spermatocytes are arrested at the zygotene stage of meiotic prophase I and exhibit failure in chromosomal synopsis. SYCP1 only forms short fibers in Syce2 or -3 mutant spermatocytes. In contrast, both Syce2 and -3 mutant oocytes exhibit a type of chromosome synopsis, in which SYCP1 localizes to long fibers that are interrupted by some axial gaps. Therefore, both Syce2 and -3 mutant females are fertile, but with reduced litter size. Furthermore, Syce3 mutant females exhibit increased aneuploidy in oocytes and in embryo death (Yuan et al., 2002).

Strikingly, a growing number of meiosis-defective mouse mutants display sexual dimorphism of fertility (Hunt and Hassold, 2002; Kolas et al., 2005). One possible explanation for sexual dimorphism of fertility is that the control mechanisms of meiosis are more stringent in males (Hunt and Hassold, 2002). Even though the meiotic prophase of males and females is similar in many aspects, such as synopsis and homologous recombination, there are several notable kinetic and developmental differences (Hunt and Hassold, 2002; Kolas et al., 2005). In mouse, females initiate meiosis at embryonic day 13.5. Males do not initiate meiosis until puberty. In females, the progression of meiotic prophase is largely a one-time embryonic event, whereas spermatocyte development in adult testis is continuous and nonsynchronous. Another prominent difference is the behavior of the sex chromosomes. The XY chromosomes are sequestered in the XY bodies in pachytene spermatocytes and form synopsis only in the pseudoautosomal regions. In contrast, the XX chromosomes are synapsed along their entire length and undergo homologous recombination in oocytes. A recent study of meiotic mouse mutants (Syce3, Brca1, and Fkbp6) further supports the hypothesis that sexual dimorphism of fertility reflects different developmental pathways underlying the meiotic prophase in males and females (Kolas et al., 2005).

### Materials and methods

#### Cloning of the full-length Syce2 cDNA sequence

A lambda phage cDNA library was screened essentially as previously described (Wang and Page, 2002). In brief, lambda phage lysates were prepared from 24 subpools (~80,000 clones each) of the mouse testis cDNA library (BD Biosciences) and used as PCR templates. Syce2-positive subpools were identified by PCR with Syce2-specific primers chosen from previously obtained partial Syce2 sequences (Wang et al., 2001). 5′ and 3′ cDNA fragments were amplified separately from positive subpools by PCR, in which one Syce2-specific primer and one vector primer were used. PCR products were sequenced. The complete Syce2 cDNA sequence has been deposited in GenBank under accession no. DQ103262.

#### Generation of anti-SYCP2 polyclonal antibodies

The Syce2 cDNA fragment corresponding to residues 1,255–1,500 was cloned into the pQE-30 vector (Qiagen). The 6× His-SYCP2 fusion protein was expressed in M15 bacteria, purified with Ni-NTA resin, and eluted in 8 M urea. Two rabbits and two guinea pigs were immunized with the recombinant SYCP2 protein (Cocalico Biologicals, Inc.). The anti-SYCP2 antiserum (serum 1918 and GP21) was used for Western blot (1:500) and immunofluorescence (1:100).

#### Yeast two-hybrid and in vitro GST pulldown assays

Various truncated Syce2 fragments were cloned in the pACT2 vector (BD Biosciences). Mouse Syce3 coding region was amplified from bulk testis cDNAs by PCR, cloned into the pAS2-1 vector (BD Biosciences), and sequenced. Interaction between SYCP3 and various SYCP2 proteins were assayed by cotransformation into the reporter yeast strain Y190, followed by standard β-galactosidase filter assay. Full-length SYCP3 was cloned into pGEX-4T-1, expressed as a GST fusion protein in bacteria, and affinity purified. SYCP2 fragments were produced in the presence of [35S]methionine using the TNT in vitro transcription and translation kit (Promega). GST pulldown assays were performed as previously described (May et al., 2002).

#### Targeted disruption of the Syce2 Gene

In the targeting construct, the 1.9-kb genomic DNA harboring exons 39–43 was replaced with a floxed neomycin selection cassette (Fig. 2 A). The two homologous arms (2 and 2.1 kb) were amplified by PCR with high-fidelity DNA polymerase from a Syce2-containing bacterial artificial chromosome clone (RP23-160K5). The thymidine kinase-negative selection marker was cloned adjacent to the right arm. The V6.5 ES cells were electroporated with the linearized targeting construct and were cultured in the presence of 350 μg/ml G418 and 2 μM ganciclovir. 48 double-resistant ES cell clones were recovered and screened for homologous recombination events by long-distance PCR. Five clones were produced by homologous recombination via both arms. Two clones (A4 and C6) were injected into B6C3F1 blastocysts (Iaconic). No difference in phenotypes was observed between mice derived from these two ES clones. All of the studies were performed with mice from clone C6. All of the offspring were genotyped by PCR. The wild-type allele was assayed by PCR (400 bp) with the primers AGATGGGCGGATACCCCA and TAAGCACACTACCA-TCTCC. The PCR product (300 bp) of the mutant allele was amplified by PCR with the primers GCAATGATACCTTACCTCCT and CCTACCGGTGG-GATGGGAATGTTG. RTPCR for splicing assays was performed with the following primers, which were located in exons 38 and 44: TCTGTCTCCA-AAGCAGTGCA and TACAAAGTGCATTTGGAGTCA. All of the experiments involving mice were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Pennsylvania.

#### TUNEL assay

Testes from wild-type and Syce2-/- mice were fixed in 10% (vol/vol) neutral buffered formalin (Fisher Scientific) and embedded in paraffin. 8-μm-thick testis sections were cut and used for TUNEL assay. TUNEL assays were performed with the ApopTag peroxidase in situ Apoptosis Detection kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Chemicon International, Inc.). Samples were counterstained briefly in 0.5% (wt/vol) methyl green and visualized on a microscope (Axioskop 40; Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.).

#### Histology, surface nuclei spread, and immunofluorescence

For histology, sections were fixed in Bouin’s solution, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Surface spread of spermatocyte nuclei was performed as previously described (Peters et al., 1997; Kolas et al., 2005). To obtain fetal oocytes, Syce2-/- females were mated with Syce2-/- males. Vaginal copulatory plugs were checked the next morning. Fetal oocytes were collected for analysis at 17.5 dpc. The primary antibodies used for immunofluorescence were as follows: anti-SYCP1 (a gift from P. Moens and B. Sporupoulos [York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada] and C. Hög [Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden]; Dobson et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1996; Schmekel et al., 1996), anti-SYCP2 (1:500; a gift from S. Chuma, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; Chuma and Nakatani, 2001), anti-STAG3 (1:500; a gift from J. L. Barbero, Centro Nacional de Biotecnologia, Madrid, Spain; Prieto et al., 2001), FITC-conjugated anti-γ-H2AX (1:500; Upstate Biotechnology), and CREST antiserum (1:5,000; a gift from B. R. Brinkley, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX). Terminally condensed oocytes were visualized under an Axioskop 40 microscope and photographed with a digital camera (Evolution QEi; MediaCybernetics) and processed with ImagePro software (Phase 3 Imaging Systems) and Photoshop (Adobe).

#### EM

EM was performed at the Biomedical Imaging Core facility at the University of Pennsylvania, as previously described (Yang et al., 1997). In brief, 21-d old testes were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde.
for 4 h, and then postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide for 1 h. The specimens were dehydrated in ethanol, transferred to propylene oxide, and embedded in EM-Bed 812 medium (Electron Microscopy Sciences). The specimens were polymerized at 68°C for 48 h. Ultrathin sections were cut with a diamond knife, mounted on single-hole grids, stained with bismuth solution, and examined with an electron microscope (Technai T12; FEI). Digital images were captured with a charge-coupled device camera (Gatan, Inc.).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows the immunostaining of SCs with our anti-SYCP2 serum to demonstrate the specificity of this antibody. Fig. S2 shows the distribution of STAG3 and γH2AX in wild-type and SyCP2−/− spermatocytes. Fig. S3 shows localization of SYCP2 to axial chromosomal cores in SyCP2−/− spermatocytes with two different anti-SYCP2 antibodies (Offenberg et al., 1998). Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603063/DC1.
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