Identification and Interaction Analysis of Molecular Markers in Colorectal Cancer by Integrated Bioinformatics Analysis
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Background:  
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is an extremely common gastrointestinal malignancy.

Material/Methods:  
Three mRNA and 2 microRNA microarray datasets were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and microRNAs (DEMs) were obtained. The Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) program was utilized to perform gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis. Protein–protein interaction (PPI) network analysis was performed with the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) and Cytoscape and Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE). Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted to determine overall survival (OS) estimates. DEMs targets were predicted by miRWalk. Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (QRT-PCR) was utilized to detect the expression of genes and microRNAs.

Results:  
A total of 264 DEGs and 8 DEMs were obtained. GO analysis revealed that the DEGs were enriched in terms of cell structure, digestion, receptor binding, and extracellular material (ECM). KEGG pathway analysis showed that the DEGs were enriched in ECM interaction and mineral absorption. Additionally, a PPI network consisting of 181 nodes and 450 edges was established. Three modules with 38 high-degree hubs were extracted from the PPI network and found to be involved in pathways such as chemokine signaling. Five DEGs located in the network of DEM-DEG pairs were associated with the overall survival of CRC patients. Furthermore, hsa-miR-551b was demonstrated to be significantly down-regulated in CRC tissues.

Conclusions:  
The key biomarkers could provide new clues for CRC.
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Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in the world [1]. In China, CRC is the 4th most common cancer, with the 5th highest mortality rate [2]. CRC carries an extremely poor prognosis, particularly due to its strong resistance to chemotherapy, early vascular and lymphatic invasion, and its high rates of distant metastasis and disease recurrence.

MicroRNAs (mRNAs), which are long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) with specific expression profiles in tumor tissues or peripheral blood have been developed as diagnostic and therapeutics biomarkers for CRC [3,4]. Nevertheless, effective diagnostic methods for CRC are still lacking, especially for the early stages of the disease. It is imperative that newer methods to detect CRC-related genetic alterations are invented and diagnostic markers specific for the early stages of this debilitating disease are discovered.

Microarray analysis has been applied to investigate the processes of CRC as it is an effective tool to detect general genetic alterations in the study of oncology [5,6]. Based on the microarray data, bioinformatics analysis has enabled the identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs), differentially expressed mRNAs (DEMs), signaling pathways, biological processes, and molecular functions [7].

In this study, multiple mRNA and microRNA datasets (3 and 2, respectively) were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO DataSets) to minimize false-positive results. Bioinformatics methods enabled reliable DEGs and DEMs between CRC tissues and normal tissues to be obtained, while functional and pathway analyses were carried out to categorize the DEGs. Key mRNAs and microRNAs were selected as potential candidate biomarkers for CRC via protein-protein interaction (PPI) network establishment, overall survival (OS) analysis, and mRNA-microRNA interaction pairing. One of the DEMs was rarely reported in the context of CRC, and we sought to preliminarily verify its expression in CRC tissues.

Material and Methods

Microarray data

mRNA microarray datasets GSE81582 [8], GSE44076 [9], and GSE44861 [10] and microRNA datasets GSE41655 [11] and GSE18392 [12] were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO DataSets). A total of 385 CRC samples and 206 normal control samples were collected from these datasets.

Identification of DEGs and DEMs

To obtain differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and microRNAs (DEMs) between colorectal tumor and normal tissue samples, tumor samples and normal samples were divided into 2 groups. After GEO2R (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/) analysis [13], results including adjusted P values (adj. P. Val) and log FC were provided. Cut-off criterion was set as adj. P. Val <0.01 and |log FC| >1. A list of candidate DEGs and DEMs was obtained via the above methods.

Functional and pathway enrichment of DEGs analysis

Gene ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis are both integrated in the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID; http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) program. Therefore, DAVID is capable of providing comprehensive annotations for functional and pathway interpretations [14]. In this experiment, DEGs were uploaded onto DAVID in order to perform related GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses. The cut-off criterion was set as P<0.05.

Visualized PPI network establishment and modules selection

Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING, http://string.embl.de/) is a database of known and predicted protein-protein interactions [15]. All candidate DEGs were entered into the STRING website, with a confidence score of ≥0.4 set as the cut-off criterion for PPI network construction. A simple tabular text of PPI created by STRING was visualized using Cytoscape (version 3.6.0, http://www.cytoscape.org/). Significant modules in the visible PPI network were screened using the Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) plugin. Degree cut-off=2, node score cut-off=0.2, k-core=2, and max depth=100 were set as the cut-off criterion. Three highest-degree modules were extracted, and the potential mechanisms of each module were analyzed with DAVID. A degree of ≥10 was set as the filter criterion. Eligible hub genes were selected as the potential key genes and biomarkers.

Survival analysis of DEGs

Survival analysis is a key step in identifying the potential of hub genes as key genes and biomarkers. The Kaplan-Meier plotter online tool in R2; Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform (http://r2.amc.nl) is a tool capable of assessing the effect of genes on survival [16]. Patients with CRC were first categorized into 2 groups depending on the degree of gene expression (high or low). The overall survival curves were then plotted with their respective significances analyzed to determine the effect of hub genes. The cut-off criterion was set as P<0.05.
Prediction of miRNA targets

DEM targets were predicted with the help of the miRWalk database. MiRWalk is a database which not only documents miRNA binding sites within the complete sequence of a gene, but also combines this information with a comparison of binding sites resulting from other existing miRNA-target prediction programs [17]. A total of 9 established miRNA-target prediction programs (RNA22, miWalk, miRanda, miRDB, TargetScan, RNAhybrid, PITA, PICTAR, and Diana-microT) are available on miRWalk. Targets of low abundance miRNAs (e.g., hsa-miR-30a*) are genes predicted by at least 3 programs, while targets of high abundance miRNAs (e.g., hsa-miR-30a) must be genes predicted by 5 or more programs.

Patients and samples

To determine the expression of key genes or microRNAs in CRC tissues, tumor and surrounding normal tissues samples (>5 cm away from the tumor) were collected from 16 patients with CRC who underwent radical surgery in the Department of General Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, between May 2010 and September 2011. The 16 CRC patients were 10 males and 6 females ages 30–72 years and the median age was 52.8 years. The number of patients in stage I, stage II, stage III, and stage IV were 2, 4, 6, and 4, respectively. The pathological diagnosis was performed by 2 pathologists independently. The excised tumor and adjacent tissues were stored in liquid nitrogen until further analysis. All tissue samples were collected from consenting individuals according to protocols approved by the Ethics Review Board at Sichuan University.

Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (QRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from colorectal tumor tissues and their adjacent non-tumor tissues by using the E.Z.N.A.® Total DNA/RNA/Protein Kit (Omega Bio-Tekinc, Norcross, GA). The RNA extracted was subjected to reverse transcription using the Revert Aid First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo scientific Inc., MA, US). QRT-PCR master-mix was prepared using SYBR® Premix Ex TaqTMII (Tli RnaseH Plus) (Takaro Bio Inc., Japan) and the CFX96TM Real-Time PCR Detection System. All the experiments were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primer sequences for real-time PCR were as follows: miR-551b (forward: 5‘-ACACTTCAAGCTGGTGGCTGAAAACAGATGGGCTG-3’; reverse: 5‘-TGGTGTCCGAGTCCG-3’); U6 (forward: 5‘-CTGCCTC- CGGACAGCAA-3’; reverse: 5‘-AAGCCTTCACGAATTGCGT-3’). The relative expression of miR-551b was normalized against U6 and analyzed with the 2^(-ΔΔCt) method [18].

Statistical analysis

SPSS software (version 20.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error. The comparison between 2 groups was performed by using the t test. A p value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Identification of DEGs and DEMs

A total of 264 DEGs expressed in CRC tissues were extracted from the GSE81582, GSE44076, and GSE44861 datasets. Expressions of 181 genes were down-regulated (Figure 1A) and expressions of 83 genes were up-regulated (Figure 1B) in comparison to normal controls. Eight DEMs in CRC tissues were identified in the GSE41655 and GSE18392 datasets. Compared to samples from normal controls, the relative expressions of 4 microRNAs (hsa-miR-378*, hsa-miR-375, hsa-miR-30a*, and hsa-miR-551b) were down-regulated (Figure 1C) while the remaining 4 microRNAs (hsa-miR-224, hsa-miR-584, hsa-miR-183, and hsa-miR-135b) were up-regulated (Figure 1D).

Functional and pathway enrichment analysis

DAVID was used to perform functional and pathway enrichment analysis for the identified DEGs. GO analysis results showed that for biological processes (BP), up-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in extracellular matrix and structure organization, cell migration, motility, and localization. Down-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in digestion, homeostasis, and cellular response to zinc ion and bicarbonate transport (Table 1). Up-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in cell components (CC), including proteinaceous extracellular matrices, banded collagen fibrils, and extracellular spaces and matrices. Down-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in CCs, including extracellular regions, exosomes, vesicles, and organelles (Table 1). GO molecular function (MF) showed that the up-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in extracellular matrix structural constituents, including regions of CXCR chemokine receptor binding, cytokine activity, receptor binding, and glycosaminoglycan binding. Down-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in carbonate dehydratase, phospholipase, oxidoreductase activity, zinc ion binding, and lipase activity (Table 1). Moreover, 21 KEGG pathways were over-represented in the up-regulated DEGs, including ECM-receptor interaction, focal adhesion, and the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, while the down-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in 15 KEGG pathways, including mineral absorption, nitrogen metabolism, and sulfur metabolism (Table 1).
PPI network construction and modules selection

By using the STRING database, the PPI network of DEGs was established and consisted of 181 nodes and 450 edges. There were 65 up-regulated and 116 down-regulated genes contained in the 181 nodes (Figure 2A). The PPI network was observed by Cytoscape, and the cut-off criterion of hub gene selection was set as degrees ≥10. A total of 23 genes were selected for key biomarker identification. They consisted of 13 up-regulated genes (THY1, MET, MMP7, CXCL1, CCND1, SPP1, CD44, COL1A1, COL1A2, TIMP1, MMP1, MYC, SERPINE1) and 10 down-regulated genes (BMP2, PHLPP2, ACACB, LPAR1, GNA11, GCG, CXCL12, SST, PYY, BCL2). The 3 most significant modules, which included a total of 38 nodes and 120 edges, were extracted from the PPI network by MCODE (Figure 2B–2D). Genes in these modules were mainly associated with chemokine signaling, extracellular matrix, and mineral absorption (Tables 2–4); 12 KEGG pathways were represented by these nodes, which comprised of chemokine signaling pathways, the TNF signaling pathway, and the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (Tables 2–4).

The survival analysis

The prognostic values of the 23 selected key biomarker genes were assessed using the Kaplan-Meier online tool in R2. Results showed that elevated expressions of 4 genes (TIMP1, SERPINE1, CCND1, COL1A2) and low expression of the SST gene in CRC patients were associated with worse overall survival rates in CRC patients (Figure 3). Therefore, these 5 DEGs were considered to be potential key biomarkers of CRC.
Table 1. Gene ontology and KEGG pathway analysis of up-regulated and down-regulated genes in colorectal cancer.

| Expression       | Category               | Term/Description                                      | Count | P value |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Up-regulated     | GOTERM_BP_FAT          | GO: 0030198–extracellular matrix organization          | 18    | 2.97E-13|
|                  | GOTERM_BP_FAT          | GO: 0043062–extracellular structure organization       | 18    | 3.12E-13|
|                  | GOTERM_BP_FAT          | GO: 0016477–cell migration                             | 27    | 1.99E-11|
|                  | GOTERM_BP_FAT          | GO: 0048570–cell mobility                              | 27    | 2.58E-10|
|                  | GOTERM_BP_FAT          | GO: 0051674–localization of cell                       | 27    | 2.58E-10|
|                  | GOTERM_CC_FAT          | GO: 0005578–proteinaceous extracellular matrix         | 16    | 8.11E-10|
| Down-regulated   | GOTERM_CC_FAT          | GO: 0030198–extracellular matrix organization          | 18    | 2.97E-13|
|                  | GOTERM_BP_FAT          | GO: 0044420–extracellular matrix component             | 10    | 2.95E-08|
|                  | GOTERM_CC_FAT          | GO: 0005576–extracellular region                        | 82    | 3.03E-08|
|                  | GOTERM_CC_FAT          | GO: 0044421–extracellular region part                  | 74    | 1.32E-08|
|                  | GOTERM_CC_FAT          | GO: 0005576–extracellular region                       | 82    | 3.03E-08|
|                  | GOTERM_BP_FAT          | GO: 0045236–CXCR chemokine receptor binding            | 4     | 7.96E-05|
|                  | KEGG_PATHWAY           | hsa04512: ECM receptor interaction                     | 5     | 2.00E-07|
|                  | KEGG_PATHWAY           | hsa04151: PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                   | 11    | 5.62E-05|
|                  | KEGG_PATHWAY           | hsa04974: Protein digestion and absorption             | 5     | 2.44E-04|
|                  | KEGG_PATHWAY           | hsa05146: Amoebiasis                                   | 5     | 0.004809|

If there were more than 5 terms enriched in this category, top 5 terms were selected according to P value. BP – biological process; CC – cellular component; MF – molecular function; GO – gene ontology; KEGG – Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
Figure 2. Protein–protein interaction (PPI) network and the top 3 modules from the PPI network. (A) The protein-protein interaction network of differentially expressed genes; (B) module 1; (C) module 2; (D) module 3.

Table 2. Functional and pathway enrichment analysis of module 1.

| Category          | Term/description                                      | Count | P value       |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| GOTERM_BP_FAT     | GO: 0050921~positive regulation of chemotaxis         | 6     | 2.30E-09      |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT     | GO: 0007186~G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway | 9     | 8.90E-09      |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT     | GO: 000920~regulation of chemotaxis                    | 6     | 2.00E-08      |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT     | GO: 0070098~chemokine-mediated signaling pathway       | 5     | 6.76E-08      |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT     | GO: 0002690~positive regulation of leukocyte chemotaxis | 5     | 6.76E-08      |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT     | GO: 0005615~extracellular space                        | 7     | 6.15E-05      |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT     | GO: 0005576~extracellular region                       | 8     | 0.006196      |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT     | GO: 0044421~extracellular region part                  | 7     | 0.013994      |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT     | GO: 0045236~CXCR chemokine receptor binding            | 5     | 1.25E-10      |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT     | GO: 0001664~G-protein coupled receptor binding         | 7     | 1.59E-09      |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT     | GO: 0008009~chemokine activity                         | 5     | 1.10E-08      |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT     | GO: 0042379~chemokine receptor binding                 | 5     | 3.09E-08      |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT     | GO: 0005102~receptor binding                           | 8     | 2.01E-06      |
| KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa04062: Chemokine signaling pathway                  | 5     | 1.67E-05      |
| KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05134: Legionellosis                               | 3     | 0.001228      |
| KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05132: Salmonella infection                         | 3     | 0.002879      |
| KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa04668: TNF signaling pathway                        | 3     | 0.004656      |

If there were more than 5 terms enriched in this category, top 5 terms were selected according to P value. BP – biological process; CC – cellular component; MF – molecular function; GO – gene ontology; KEGG – Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
Table 3. Functional and pathway enrichment analysis of module 2.

| Category         | Term/description                                      | Count | P value  |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0030574–collagen catabolic process                | 8     | 3.22E-15 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0044243–multicellular organism catabolic process  | 8     | 6.81E-15 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0030198–extracellular matrix organization         | 10    | 2.51E-14 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0043062–extracellular structure organization       | 10    | 2.58E-14 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0032963–collagen metabolic process                | 8     | 1.46E-13 |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT    | GO: 0031012–extracellular matrix                      | 11    | 4.09E-14 |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT    | GO: 0005578–proteinaceous extracellular matrix        | 7     | 1.27E-13 |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT    | GO: 0005581–collagen trimer                           | 5     | 4.64E-11 |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT    | GO: 0098643–banded collagen fibril                    | 5     | 8.78E-11 |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT    | GO: 0005201–extracellular matrix structural constituent| 6     | 1.66E-09 |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT    | GO: 0048407–platelet-derived growth factor binding    | 3     | 2.52E-05 |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT    | GO: 0005198–structural molecule activity              | 6     | 1.16E-04 |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT    | GO: 0008201–heparin binding                           | 4     | 1.68E-04 |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT    | GO: 0046872–metal ion binding                         | 10    | 2.33E-04 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY     | hsa04512: ECM-receptor interaction                    | 7     | 1.47E-09 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY     | hsa04974: Protein digestion and absorption            | 6     | 1.30E-07 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY     | hsa04510: Focal adhesion                              | 7     | 2.66E-07 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY     | hsa04151: PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                  | 7     | 5.54E-06 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY     | hsa05146: Amoebiasis                                  | 5     | 1.59E-05 |

If there were more than 5 terms enriched in this category, top 5 terms were selected according to P value. BP – biological process; CC – cellular component; MF – molecular function; GO – gene ontology; KEGG – Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Table 4. Functional and pathway enrichment analysis of module 3.

| Category         | Term/description                                      | Count | P value  |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0071294–cellular response to zinc ion             | 7     | 4.56E-15 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0010043–response to zinc ion                     | 7     | 4.28E-12 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0045926–negative regulation of growth            | 8     | 6.91E-10 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 0071276–cellular response to cadmium ion          | 5     | 1.01E-09 |
| GOTERM_BP_FAT    | GO: 1990267–response to transition metal nanoparticle| 7     | 1.05E-09 |
| GOTERM_CC_FAT    | GO: 0048471–perinuclear region of cytoplasm          | 9     | 6.57E-08 |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT    | GO: 0008270–zinc ion binding                          | 7     | 3.24E-04 |
| GOTERM_MF_FAT    | GO: 0046914–transition metal ion binding              | 7     | 9.38E-04 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY     | hsa04978: Mineral absorption                          | 7     | 1.03E-10 |

If there were more than 5 terms enriched in this category, top 5 terms were selected according to P value. BP – biological process; CC – cellular component; MF – molecular function; GO – gene ontology; KEGG – Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
miRNA-mRNA pairs

Predicted targets of the 8 DEMs were obtained with miRWalk. Results revealed 14 common target genes of the 4 down-regulated DEMs (Figure 4A), while the other 4 up-regulated DEMs were found to have a total of 68 common target genes (Figure 4B). PAG1 was the only predicted common target of all 8 DEMs (Figure 4C). According to the negative regulatory mechanism between microRNA and mRNA and based on the expression trend of DEGs and DEMs in CRC, we found that SERPINE1 was the predicted target of hsa-miR-378* and hsa-miR-30a*, COL1A2 was the predicted target of hsa-miR-30a*, and CCND1 was the predicted target of hsa-miR-551b (Figure 4C).

Relative expression of hsa-miR-551b in CRC tissues

To the best of our knowledge, the expression and function of hsa-miR-551b in CRC has not been previously reported. Therefore, we examined the relative expression of hsa-miR-551b in 16 freshly-frozen CRC tissues via real-time PCR. Compared to the normal tissues, the expression of hsa-miR-551b was significantly down-regulated in CRC tissues and also displayed a decreasing expression trend in bioinformatics analysis (Figure 5). The result highlights the possible function of hsa-miR-551b as an anti-oncogene in CRC.

Discussion

Colorectal cancer is an extremely debilitating gastrointestinal malignancy [1]. In China, CRC remains a huge threat to human life due to its high incidence and mortality rates [2]. Early and accurate detection of the disease based on expression analysis of molecular biomarkers has been proven to be an effective way to improve survival rates in patients with CRC [19,20]. Recent advances in microarray technology have succeeded in elucidating major genetic events that contribute to CRC [7]. As a result, more clues are discerned regarding potentially diagnostic, therapeutic, and prognostic biomarkers that are evident during the progression of CRC [19,21–23].

In the present study, a total of 385 CRC samples and 206 normal control samples were collected from 5 datasets. A total of 264 DEGs and 8 DEMs were identified from this analysis. Out of all the DEGs, up-regulated DEGs were found to be enriched in extracellular matrix structures, cell migration, and localization, while down-regulated DEGs were mainly enriched in digestive and homeostatic mechanisms. The 3 most significant cluster modules were extracted from the visualized PPI network of DEGs. Meanwhile, 23 high-degree genes were selected from the PPI network for key biomarker identification.
Survival analysis of these genes showed that 4 up-regulated DEGs (TIMP1, CCND1, SERPINE1, COL1A2) and 1 down-regulated DEG (SST) were significantly related to worse overall survival rates of patients with CRC.

**TIMP1** (TIMP metalloproteinase inhibitor 1) functions in tissue remodeling, tumor angiogenesis, and tumor cell invasion and metastasis by inhibiting the activity of metalloproteinases [24,25]. It is widely overexpressed in multiple tumors, including CRC [23]. Aberrations of **CCND1** (cyclin D1) contribute to excessive cell proliferation and cancer occurrence [26]. Compared with the adjacent normal tissues, the expression of **CCND1** was significantly up-regulated in CRC tumor samples [27]. It has been associated with the poor prognosis of different types of tumors by promoting tumor invasion and metastasis [28]. Based on previous studies, **TIMP1** and **CCND1** are generally considered to be oncogenes [27,29]. Their oncogenic roles are reinforced by the present study, as we demonstrated that an over-expression of both genes was significantly related to worse overall survival of patients with CRC.
SERPINE1 (serpin family E member 1), also named PAI-1, can be combined with uPA to form an inactive complex [30]. Silencing of PAI-1 suppressed the progression and occurrence of liver metastasis in patients with CRC [31]. Interestingly, previous studies have not found PAI-1 to be an independent unfavorable prognostic factor for overall 5- and 10-year survival of patients with CRC, despite significant plasma levels of PAI-1 [29]. COL1A2 (collagen type I alpha 2 chain) has previously been frequently reported by many bioinformatic analyses for CRC [32,33], and has been suggested to play an important role in the disease. In the present study, we found that COL1A2 and SERPINE1 were both included in MCODE cluster 2, a gene cluster associated with collagen and ECM. These results indicate that aberrations of these 2 genes disrupt the normal collagen physiology. The abnormal expression of COL1A2 and SERPINE1 may be considered as a typical feature in CRC development.

SST (somatostatin) inhibits the release of numerous secondary hormones by binding to G-protein-coupled somatostatin receptors [34,35]. Somatostatin signaling contributes to the quiescence of colon cancer stem cells via somatostatin receptor type 1 (SSTR1) [36]. Reduced production of SST can promote uncontrolled cell proliferation in CRC [37]. In the present study, our data show that SST is a hub node in MCODE cluster 1, with its low expression significantly correlated with worse overall survival rates of patients with CRC. Taken together, our results suggest that TIMP1, SERPINE1, CCND1, COL1A2, and SST may be potentially valuable biomarkers, as each is intricately involved in the pathogenesis of CRC by affecting different physiological processes.

Mounting evidence indicates that abnormal expression of miRNAs may be involved in the pathogenesis of CRC [38,39]. Some miRNAs have been proven to be appropriate biomarkers because of their specific expression profiles [40]. In our study, 8 DEMs from CRC and normal samples were identified by GEO2R analysis. The 4 down-regulated and 4 up-regulated DEMs had 14 and 68 common predicted target genes, respectively. These miRNA-mRNA pairs form a large gene signal network in CRC. As the predicted targets of some DEMs, SERPINE1, COL1A2, and CCND1 are also involved in the network. In our study, we uncovered a previously unreported DEM involved in CRC: hsa-miR-551b. However, low hsa-miR-551b levels have been associated with EMT, metastasis, and a poor prognosis in gastric cancer patients [41]. It has also been investigated as a candidate diagnostic biomarker for prostate cancer [42]. Our results show that the relative expression of hsa-miR-551b in CRC tissues were significantly down-regulated in real-time PCR analysis, as well as in bioinformatics analysis. Interestingly, hsa-miR-551b has previously been predicted to be an upstream regulator of CCND1. Additional studies are needed to further clarify the role of hsa-miR-551b in the CRC gene network.

In summary, our study provides a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis of CRC. Key biomarkers and molecular mechanisms underlying CRC progression were investigated. Although our conclusions would benefit from additional molecular experiments and clinical practice validation, we are hopeful that our results provide new diagnosis, therapeutic, and prognostic clues for the management of CRC patients.

Conclusions

Taken together, our results show the functional and pathway enrichment of DEGs in CRC, established a visualized protein-protein interaction network, and demonstrated that 5 key genes are associated with the overall survival of CRC patients. We also identified 8 DEMs that form a gene signal network with miRNA-mRNA pairing. Furthermore, we reported for the first time that hsa-miR-551b is down-regulated in CRC tissues, as shown by our bioinformatics analysis. Our study identified potential biomarkers and we are currently performing clinical evaluation of their validity. Results of the present study may provide new diagnostic, therapeutic, and prognostic clues for the management of CRC patients.
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