Elevated Root-Zone Temperature Modulates Growth and Quality of Hydroponically Grown Carrots
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Abstract

Air and soil temperatures strongly influence the growth and quality of crops. However, in root vegetables, such as carrot, few experiments aimed at regulating growth and quality by manipulating root-zone temperature have been reported. We investigated the effect of root-zone temperatures (20°C, 25°C, 29°C, and 33°C) on carrot growth and components using a hydroponic system. High root-zone temperatures for 14 days reduced shoot and root growth and water content. In contrast, total phenolic compounds and soluble-solid content increased in tap roots under high-temperature treatment. Root oxygen consumption was upregulated after 7 days under high-temperature treatment. These results suggest that high root-zone temperatures induce drought-like stress responses that modulate carrot biomass and components. High root-zone temperature treatments administered to hydroponically grown crops may be a valuable tool for improving and increasing the quality and value of crops.
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1. Introduction

Hydroponics is a method of growing plants in nutrient solutions without soil and is used for crop production in environmentally-controlled cultivation systems, such as plant factories. In Japan, leafy vegetables, such as lettuce, spinach, and basil, grown in plant factories are already in the market [1]. Crops produced in factories
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usually incur more cost than those grown in the field and greenhouse because of electricity bills and the expense of equipment and labor. Thus, it is necessary to add value to these crops by enhancing crop quality.

To date, various environmental cultivation conditions have been reported to improve plant growth and components. In lettuce, light quality and quantity influence the production of plant phenolic compounds, such as anthocyanin [2]-[4]. Blue and ultraviolet (UV) lights have been shown to increase the production of anthocyanin, which was accompanied by the activation of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in leaf lettuce [3]. Light intensity and nitrogen-free hydroponic solution treatments are also important factors in lowering nitrate concentrations in lettuce leaf blades and petioles before harvest [5]. In tomato, salt stress improves fruit quality by increasing sugars, organic acids, and amino acids [6]-[9].

Temperature stress is also known to affect the quantities of plant organic components, including secondary metabolites [10] [11]. Elevated temperatures have been shown to decrease photosynthesis and biomass and increase root secondary-metabolite concentrations in the herb Panax quinquefolius [12]. In red leaf lettuce, low temperature accelerates the production of anthocyanin and chlorophylls [13]. Sugar and ascorbic acid contents of strawberry fruits were increased when plants were grown at low temperature [14], whereas anthocyanin content of these fruits was decreased at high-temperature treatment [15]. Thus, proper regulation of plant growing temperature could enhance plant components associated with increased human health or preference, resulting in increased crop market value.

Carrots are one of the major root vegetables and are consumed worldwide. The edible root, known as the tap root, contains various secondary metabolites, such as carotenoids and phenolic compounds, which have health-promoting properties [16]. Recently, several hydroponic systems for cultivating carrots with or without medium have been studied [17]-[20]. Using a deep flow technique (DFT) hydroponic system, oxygen dissolved in the nutrient solution has been shown to be indispensable for the proper growth of the tap root [18]. In rockwool block hydroponics, the holes in the growth medium are important for the growth of carrot roots [17]. In perlite medium, the diameter of the perlite and the concentration of the hydroponic solution are key factors achieving maximum yield and high quality of hydroponically grown carrots [20]. To date, these hydroponic methods for growing carrots have been developed experimentally for stable carrot production.

Because nutrient solution is frequently circulated in hydroponic systems, solution conditions, such as nutrient constituents and temperature, can be easily regulated. To add value to hydroponically grown carrots, we investigated the effect of nutrient solution temperature on carrot growth and quality using a DFT hydroponic system.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant material and Growth Conditions

Carrot seeds (Daucus carota L. cv Tokinashigosun, Takii, Co. Ltd., Japan) were pregerminated for 1 day at 20°C under 200 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) for 16 h under fluorescent lamps (FLs; FL40SBR-A; NEC Co., Japan). Germinated seeds were sown in sponge cubes of 2 × 2 × 2 cm and grown at the same condition. At 10 days after sowing (DAS), seedlings were transferred to the DFT hydroponic system with continuous aeration. The nutrient solution was based on one quarter strength culture solution of A-type Otsuka House Solution (Otsuka AgriTechno Co. Ltd., Japan). To avoid the entangling of seedling roots, roots were untangled every 3 days. At 30 DAS, plants for which one main root was sufficiently elongated with an active root tip were transferred to a new DFT system with a 25-cm-deep box. Plants were cultivated at 20°C under 250 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PPF for 16 h under FLs. Root-zone temperature (25°C, 29°C, and 33°C) was controlled by heating the nutrient solution using an IC auto heater (DS 150; DEX Co., Japan). The root-zone treatment at 20°C was not controlled because this temperature was the ambient temperature. To avoid the entangling of seedling roots, roots were untangled every 3 days. Growth parameters of leaf number, shoot length, and tap root diameter were measured at 1 - 4, 6 - 11, 13, and 14 DAS. At 44 DAS, plants were harvested and growth parameters and components were analyzed.

2.2. Measurement of Total Phenol Content

Total phenol content was measured using the modified Folin-Ciocalteu method [21]. Sliced tap root segments (50 mg) were homogenized with 500 μL of 90% methanol and stored at 4°C overnight. The sample was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 5 min. The supernatant (50 μL) was diluted with distilled water to 650 μL, and 50
µL phenol reagent was mixed with it. After addition of 300 µL of 5% sodium carbonate, the mixture was incubated at 25°C for 30 min. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 765 nm, and a standard curve was prepared using gallic acid. The absorbance was converted to total phenol content in terms of milligrams of gallic acid equivalent per gram of fresh weight of sample.

2.3. Measurement of Anthocyanin Content

Anthocyanin content was measured spectrophotometrically as previously described [21] with slight modification. Sliced tap root segments (50 mg) were homogenized with 500 µL methanol and 1% hydrochloric acid and stored at 4°C overnight. The sample was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 5 min. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 533 nm, and a standard curve was prepared using cyanidin-3-glucoside. The absorbance was converted to anthocyanin content in terms of milligrams of cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalent per gram fresh weight of sample.

2.4. Measurement of Carotene Contents

Sliced tap root segments (20 mg) were homogenized with 1 mL acetone and stored at 4°C overnight. The sample was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 5 min. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 443, 475, and 492 nm, and total carotenoid, α-carotene, and β-carotene concentrations were calculated as previously described [22].

2.5. Measurement of Soluble Solid Content

Sliced tap root segments were homogenized with a pestle and mortar, and the homogenates were filtered with filter paper (No. 1, Whatman plc., UK) to remove tissue debris. The concentration of soluble solids was measured using an Atago PAL-1 Handheld Digital Brix Refractometer (Atago, Japan).

2.6. Measurement of Root Activity

Root respiration rate was measured at 7 and 14 days post-treatment. Root segments (50 mg) were immersed in oxygen-saturated nutrient solution in a 50-mL tube for 1 h. The initial and final dissolved oxygen concentrations were measured with a DO-5509 dissolved oxygen meter (Lutron, Taiwan) for calculation of dissolved oxygen depletion.

2.7. Measurement of Chlorophyll Content

Chlorophyll content was measured spectrophotometrically as previously described [23] with slight modification. Leaf segments (50 mg) were homogenized with 500 µL of 80% acetone and stored at 4°C overnight. The sample was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 5 min and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 652 nm.

2.8. Data Analysis

The data obtained for each parameter were analyzed with the statistical package JMP (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Differences among treatments were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Mean comparisons were made using the Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference multiple range test at p < 0.05.

3. Results

Fourteen-day treatments at various root-zone temperatures influenced the growth of hydroponically grown carrots (Figure 1, Figure 2, and Table 1). Root-zone heating at 33°C significantly reduced leaf number, shoot length, and tap root diameter after 14 days (Figure 1). Time-course observation revealed that growth suppression of aboveground parts of the plants (as leaf number and shoot length) was induced over 10 days of treatment, whereas suppression of tap root diameter was induced within 9 days (Figure 1). Thus, elevated root-zone temperatures primarily influenced tap root growth and then shoot growth. After 14 days of treatment, shoot size of plants heated at 33°C was less than that of plants receiving lower temperature treatments, and this was also accompanied by leaf de-greening (Figure 2). In accordance with this observation, leaf chlorophyll content was
Figure 1. Time-course changes in leaf number, shoot length, and tap-root diameter of carrots grown at four different root-zone temperatures. Vertical bars represent ± SE (n = 5).

Figure 2. Shoots and roots of carrots grown at four different root-zone temperatures.

Table 1. Growth parameters of carrots grown at four different root-zone temperatures.

| Root zone temp. | Leaf number | Shoot length (cm) | Total root length (cm) | Tap root diameter (mm) |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 20°C            | 7.6 ± 0.2 a | 34.5 ± 1.1 a      | 61.7 ± 6.3 a           | 16.8 ± 1.5 a          |
| 25°C            | 7.8 ± 0.2 a | 32.1 ± 1.1 ab     | 65.2 ± 3.4 a           | 14.2 ± 1.3 ab         |
| 29°C            | 7.6 ± 0.2 a | 34.7 ± 0.9 a      | 67.4 ± 4.2 a           | 11.6 ± 0.7 bc         |
| 33°C            | 6.4 ± 0.2 b | 29.2 ± 0.6 b      | 42.1 ± 2.3 b           | 7.8 ± 0.6 c           |

Values are mean ± SE (n = 5). Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences by Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference test (p < 0.05).

reduced by 33°C root-zone temperature treatment (Figure 3). Root length and tap-root diameter of plants heated at 33°C were also smaller than those of the plants grown at lower temperatures, and this was accompanied with fibrous root browning (Figure 2 and Table 1). The fresh weights of shoots and fibrous roots were decreased at 33°C, whereas tap-root fresh weight was more strongly influenced by temperatures below 33°C (Table 2), results also observed for dry weight and relative growth rate (RGR) (Table 2 and Table 3). Water content of shoots and roots was decreased at 33°C (Table 2) suggesting the acceleration of shoot transpiration and/or the inhibition of root water-uptake by high root-zone temperature treatment. The ratios of the shoot/tap-root and shoot/fibrous root were increased between 20°C to 29°C in a temperature-dependent manner (Table 3). This result may explain the different temperature sensitivities of shoots and roots. The total phenol content of the tap-root was increased in a temperature-dependent manner (Figure 4), whereas there were no significant differences
Figure 3. Effect of root-zone temperatures on chlorophyll content of carrot leaves. Vertical bars represent ± SE (n = 5). Different letters indicate significant difference by Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference test (p < 0.05).

Figure 4. Effect of root-zone temperatures on total phenol content of carrot tap roots. Vertical bars represent ± SE (n = 5). Different letters indicate significant difference by Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference test (p < 0.05).

Table 2. Fresh weight, dry weight, and water content of carrots grown at four different root-zone temperatures.

| Root zone temp. | Fresh weight (g) | Dry weight (g) | Water content (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                 | Shoot | Tap root | Fibrous root | Shoot | Tap root | Fibrous root | Shoot | Tap root | Fibrous root |
| 20°C            | 10.4 ± 1.6 a    | 13.5 ± 1.7 a  | 7.1 ± 1.5 a    | 1.70 ± 0.24 a   | 1.56 ± 0.2 a  | 0.36 ± 0.07 ab| 83.5 ± 0.4 a | 86.6 ± 0.2 a | 94.8 ± 0.1 a |
| 25°C            | 10.3 ± 0.6 a    | 10.2 ± 1.1 ab | 8.3 ± 0.9 a    | 1.70 ± 0.13 a   | 1.18 ± 0.1 ab | 0.43 ± 0.05 a | 83.7 ± 0.3 a | 88.4 ± 0.4 a | 94.8 ± 0.1 a |
| 29°C            | 11.2 ± 1.3 a    | 7.4 ± 0.7 bc  | 7.9 ± 0.8 a    | 1.88 ± 0.19 a   | 0.92 ± 0.1 b  | 0.45 ± 0.04 a | 83.1 ± 0.4 a | 87.5 ± 0.2 a | 94.3 ± 0.3 a |
| 33°C            | 3.8 ± 0.3 b     | 3.7 ± 0.5 c   | 1.4 ± 0.2 b    | 0.84 ± 0.04 b   | 0.64 ± 0.1 b  | 0.18 ± 0.03 b | 77.4 ± 0.9 b | 82.5 ± 0.7 b | 87.3 ± 0.4 b |

Values are mean ± SE (n = 5). Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences by Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference test (p < 0.05).

Table 3. Relative growth rate (RGR) and organ ratio of carrots grown at four different root-zone temperatures.

| Root zone temp. | RGR (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) | Ratio of plant organ |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                 | Shoot | Tap root | Fibrous root | Shoot/Tap root | Shoot/Fibrous root | Tap root/Fibrous root |
| 20°C            | 0.134 ± 0.011 a   | 0.218 ± 0.011 a     | 0.108 ± 0.014 a| 0.90 ± 0.08 b   | 1.12 ± 0.12 b       | 0.24 ± 0.04 b        |
| 25°C            | 0.136 ± 0.005 a   | 0.200 ± 0.008 ab    | 0.124 ± 0.008 a| 1.07 ± 0.08 ab  | 1.50 ± 0.17 ab      | 0.39 ± 0.06 ab       |
| 29°C            | 0.142 ± 0.008 a   | 0.183 ± 0.006 bc    | 0.128 ± 0.007 a| 1.39 ± 0.15 a   | 2.09 ± 0.26 a       | 0.49 ± 0.03 a        |
| 33°C            | 0.087 ± 0.003 b   | 0.156 ± 0.009 c     | 0.059 ± 0.010 b| 1.07 ± 0.09 ab  | 1.40 ± 0.15 ab      | 0.29 ± 0.06 b        |

Values are mean ± SE (n = 5). Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences by Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference test (p < 0.05).
between the different temperature treatment groups in total carotenoids, carotenes, and anthocyanin (Table 4). Tap-root soluble-solids content expressed by Brix was higher at 29°C than at lower temperatures and was further increased at 33°C (Figure 5), suggesting an increase of sugar content with root-zone temperature rise. Root activity measured by oxygen consumption at 7 and 14 days of treatment increased with root-zone temperature (Figure 6).

### Table 4. Carotenes and anthocyanin contents of carrots grown at four different root zone temperatures.

| Root zone temp | Total carotenoid (µg/g fresh weight) | α-carotene (µg/g fresh weight) | β-carotene (µg/g fresh weight) | Anthocyanin (µg/g fresh weight) |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 20°C           | 161.5 ± 15.9 a                      | 103.0 ± 10.4 a                 | 39.3 ± 2.5 a                   | 103.5 ± 9.0 a                   |
| 25°C           | 137.1 ± 15.9 a                      | 88.1 ± 9.9 a                   | 34.7 ± 2.9 a                   | 129.3 ± 9.0 a                   |
| 29°C           | 131.2 ± 12.1 a                      | 86.7 ± 7.7 a                   | 30.7 ± 2.0 a                   | 134.0 ± 14.6 a                  |
| 33°C           | 140.5 ± 24.0 a                      | 93.1 ± 15.0 a                  | 31.6 ± 4.0 a                   | 136.4 ± 22.8 a                  |

Values are mean ± SE (n = 5). Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences by Tukey-Kramer honesty significant difference test (p < 0.05).

Figure 5. Effect of root-zone temperatures on soluble solid content of tap roots. Vertical bars represent ± SE (n = 5). Different letters indicate significant difference by Tukey-Kramer honesty significant difference test (p < 0.05).

Figure 6. Effect of root-zone temperatures on respiration of fibrous roots. Vertical bars represent ± SE (n = 4). Different letters indicate significant difference by Tukey-Kramer honesty significant difference test (p < 0.05).
4. Discussion

Similar to other plants, carrots accumulate various secondary metabolites in response to temperature [24]-[26]. Higher temperatures led to higher accumulations of terpenoid volatiles in carrots in a controlled climate chamber experiment [25]. In carrot suspension cell cultures, incubation of cells at 30°C increased production of anthocyanin from that at 20°C and 25°C [26]. In agreement with these findings, we observed that high root-zone temperature increased the production of phenolic compounds (Figure 4). In contrast, the contents of carotenoids and anthocyanin were not influenced by root-zone temperature (Table 4), indicating the presence of diverse temperature responses in carrot secondary metabolism. Interestingly, carrot total biomass increased by 37% with a 1°C rise in soil temperature in a field experiment [24]. In contrast, we observed that high root-zone temperature suppressed the growth of shoot and root biomass (Table 2). This difference is probably due to the low temperatures (7.5°C - 10.9°C) used in the field experiment differing from optimum temperatures and our experimental conditions (20°C - 33°C) [24]. Different cultivation methods and cultivars may also have accounted for this contrary result.

Although poorly studied in carrots, root-zone temperature is an important factor for the production of various plant metabolites in many plants [27]-[29]. In African snake tomato (Trichosanthes cucumerina L.), amounts of phenolics, ascorbic acid, and chlorophylls increased with increasing root-zone temperature [27]. In contrast, cucumber seedlings exposed to low root-temperature (12°C) had significantly higher soluble sugar content than those at 20°C [28]. Raising or lowering root-zone temperature altered the synthesis and accumulation of several alkaloids differently in Catharanthus roseus and Nicotiana tabacum [29]. Interestingly, the changes in alkaloid accumulation were observed within 2 days of treatment [29]. In our study, high-temperature treatment of the root zone for 14 days increased the production of phenolic compounds and soluble solid contents, but also led to suppression of growth in hydroponically grown carrots (Figure 1, Figure 2, Table 1, and Table 2). Thus, short-term treatment with high root-zone temperature in hydroponically grown carrots may increase growth while preserving the accumulation of secondary metabolites.

Drought and salt stress to the root was shown to lead to plant growth suppression followed by leaf photosynthetic impairment [30]. High temperature also promoted a decline in photosynthesis and shoot and grain mass and reduced water-use efficiency, responses resembling drought stress responses [31]. Similarly, we observed drought stress-like reduction of shoot and root water content under high root-zone temperature treatments (Table 2). Temperature stress to the root zone reduced photosynthetic capacity [32] [33]. In rice seedlings, high root-zone temperatures compared with shoot temperature accelerated leaf chilling injury, and this was preceded by the photoinhibition of photosystem II [32]. In our study, high root-zone temperature caused photo-oxidative damage, as represented by the loss of leaf chlorophyll (Figure 3), suggesting that root temperature stress first indirectly represses leaf photosynthesis, resulting in shoot growth inhibition. Elevation of root oxygen consumption by high root-zone temperature treatment (Figure 6) may also be a drought stress-like response. Indeed, water deficit in the rhizosphere leads to an increased rate of root respiration followed by a reduction in plant growth [34].

5. Conclusion

Environmental stresses influence plant metabolism, including changes of plant components [6]-[15] [24]-[29]. To increase crop components associated with human health or preferences such as phenolic compounds and sugars, stress treatments have previously been applied during the cultivation periods in several crops including tomato [35]. In the present study, we showed that high root-zone temperature treatments of nutrient solutions increased phenolic compounds and soluble solid content in hydroponically-grown carrot tap roots. Although there appears to be a tradeoff between growth rate and production of several plant metabolites, our findings propose a useful technique for improving the quality of crops, including root vegetables.
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