Medication beliefs predict medication adherence in ambulatory patients with decompensated cirrhosis

Kelly L Hayward, Patricia C Valery, Jennifer H Martin, Antara Karmakar, Preya J Patel, Leigh U Horsfall, Caroline J Tallis, Katherine A Stuart, Penny L Wright, David D Smith, Katharine M Irvine, Elizabeth E Powell, W Neil Cottrell

Medication beliefs predict medication adherence in ambulatory patients with decompensated cirrhosis

Kelly L Hayward, Pharmacy Department, Princess Alexandra Hospital, The Centre for Liver Disease Research, Translational Research Institute, The University of Queensland, Woolloongabba, Queensland 4102, Australia

Patricia C Valery, Cancer and Chronic Disease Research Group, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, Queensland 4006, Australia

Jennifer H Martin, School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia

Antara Karmakar, The Centre for Liver Disease Research, Translational Research Institute, The University of Queensland, Woolloongabba, Queensland 4102, Australia

Preya J Patel, Leigh U Horsfall, Katharine M Irvine, Elizabeth E Powell, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, The Centre for Liver Disease Research, Translational Research Institute, The University of Queensland, Woolloongabba, Queensland 4102, Australia

Caroline J Tallis, Katherine A Stuart, Penny L Wright, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Queensland 4102, Australia

David D Smith, Statistics Unit, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, Queensland 4006, Australia

W Neil Cottrell, School of Pharmacy, The University of Queensland, Woolloongabba, Queensland 4102, Australia

ORCID number: Kelly L Hayward (0000-0001-6115-3420); Patricia C Valery (0000-0002-8823-3006); Jennifer H Martin (0000-0002-8614-0199); Antara Karmakar (0000-0003-3669-9776); Preya J Patel (0000-0002-2433-6794); Leigh U Horsfall (0000-0003-2355-827X); Caroline J Tallis (0000-0002-4369-0713); Katherine A Stuart (0000-0001-8104-8919); Penny L Wright (0000-0003-4352-2143); David D Smith (0000-0001-9644-2353); Katharine M Irvine (0000-0002-6716-1605); Elizabeth E Powell (00 00001-5008-8061); W Neil Cottrell (0000-0002-0149-444X).

Author contributions: Hayward KL, Valery PC, Martin JH, Irvine KM, Powell EE and Cottrell WN contributed to the conception and design of the study; all authors contributed to the study implementation, data acquisition, data analysis and interpretation; Hayward KL wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to the editing, reviewing and approval of the manuscript in its final form.

Institutional review board statement: This study was conducted in accordance with the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research 2007 (Updated May 2015) and was approved by the Human Ethics Committees of Metro South Hospital and Health Service HREC/15/QPAH/688 and the University of Queensland, UQ201600032.

Informed consent statement: Written informed consent was obtained from participants or, where they lacked capacity, assent was obtained from a personal or nominated consultee.

Conflict-of-interest statement: None.

Data sharing statement: Raw data and materials have not been made publically available as participants in the present study did not consent to release of the same. Scientists wishing to access raw data for non-commercial purposes may contact the corresponding author directly.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
INTRODUCTION

People with decompensated cirrhosis require intensive inpatient and outpatient management, experience poor quality of life (QoL), and have a median survival of approximately two years. While liver transplantation is a viable treatment for end-stage liver disease, this is not an option for many patients. A complex regimen of medications is usually prescribed to manage complications of portal hypertension and liver insufficiency, however medication mismanagement and non-adherence is relatively common among patients with decompensated cirrhosis.

Medication adherence is defined by the World Health Organisation (WHO) as "the extent to which a person's behaviour - taking medication, following a diet, and/or executing lifestyle changes - corresponds with agreed recommendations from a health care provider[1]. Similar to other chronic diseases where approximately 50% of patients are thought to be non-adherent, up to 70% of patients with cirrhosis identify...
as having “Low” or “Medium” levels of medication adherence\(^2\).

Non-adherence with medications has been associated with increased mortality in diabetes, coronary heart disease and heart failure\(^3,4\). It has been estimated that between 22% and 37% of 30-d readmissions among patients with decompensated cirrhosis may be potentially preventable with improved management of pharmacotherapy\(^5,6\). For example, non-adherence with lactulose, a non-absorbable disaccharide syrup used in the treatment of hepatic encephalopathy (HE), is reported to be as high as 69%\(^7\), and has been associated with approximately 36% of potentially preventable 30-d readmissions\(^8\). Intentional non-adherence due to adverse effects (diarrhoea, flatulence and abdominal pain)\(^7\) or misunderstanding of the indication\(^9\) carries a 3-fold risk of HE recurrence\(^10\); a substantial, potentially preventable burden on patients, carers and the healthcare system\(^9\). The one-year survival probability following an episode of overt HE is 42%\(^10\), and persisting cognitive impairment or covert HE\(^11\) may in turn lead to unintentional non-adherence with other medications.

Non-adherence and mismanagement of diuretic therapy, which is prescribed in the management of abdominal ascites, peripheral oedema or pleural complications, contributes to 55% of potentially preventable 30-d readmissions in people with decompensated cirrhosis\(^6\). While prevalence has not been reported in cirrhosis, 30%-66% of patients prescribed loop diuretics in the management of heart failure are non-adherent with therapy\(^12,13\). Incorrect use of diuretics in cirrhosis can lead to severe electrolyte disturbances and renal impairment, and may contribute to a requirement for recurrent large volume paracentesis. Bacterial infections in people with decompensated cirrhosis are common and often precipitate further deterioration. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) carries a mortality rate of 31.5% at one month and 66.2% at one year\(^14\), with a one-year recurrence rate of 61% without prophylaxis\(^15\). Prophylaxis with antibiotic therapy considerably reduces SBP recurrence, development of hepatorenal syndrome, and improves probability of one year survival\(^15\), however adherence with long-term antibiotics is known to be low in other patient groups\(^16,17\).

The WHO has identified non-adherence as an international priority in the prevention of patient harm and optimisation of limited health resources\(^15\). However, medication adherence is a complex health behaviour that is the result of numerous interacting dynamic variables including health literacy, self-efficacy, psychological perceptions of medicines and disease, quality of life, and other internal and external barriers\(^1\). Previous studies in liver disease patients have identified that side effects and changes to routine are the most commonly cited reasons for non-adherence\(^7,18\). Illness perceptions have been shown to influence patients’ confidence to self-manage alcoholic liver disease and limit self-efficacy\(^19\), while self-perceived disease stigma can affect medical-care seeking behaviours and impact on QoL\(^20\). However there is limited information available about how perceptions and beliefs surrounding medications and liver disease affect adherence behaviours in people with decompensated cirrhosis. Identifying potentially-modifiable factors that influence adherence behaviour is important, as strategies to support adherence can be tailored to individual patients’ needs.

The aim of this study was to: (1) investigate medication non-adherence in a cohort of ambulatory patients with decompensated cirrhosis; and (2) to identify the effect of patients’ medication beliefs, illness perceptions, quality of life and clinical and demographic factors on medication non-adherence.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A convenience sample of adult patients with cirrhosis who had experienced a decompensating event (abdominal ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, hepatic hydrothorax, encephalopathy or variceal bleeding) within the preceding two years were invited to participate when they attended routine outpatient follow-up at the Princess Alexandra Hospital in Brisbane, Australia, between February and October 2016. Patients were excluded if they were less than 18 years of age, unable to provide informed consent, undergoing transplant workup, or receiving intensive management by the palliative care team.

A structured questionnaire was used to obtain measures of medication adherence, quality of life, medication beliefs and illness perceptions. Questionnaires were completed independently by the patient or with the assistance of a carer, family member, or study coordinator, according to patient preference. Clinical data was collected from patients and/or their medical records, including standard biochemical and serological assays and liver imaging to confirm the diagnosis of cirrhosis and decompensation history. Socio-demographic items included patient-reported individual-based measures of education level and employment status, and residential area-based measures (Index for Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage\(^21\) and the Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia\(^22\) for classification of remoteness of residence).

**Medication adherence**

Self-reported medication adherence was examined using the 8-Question Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS-8) which contains seven questions with yes/no alternatives, and one question which features a 5-point Likert scale. The scores from completed
questionnaires are categorised into "High" (score = 8), "Medium" (score 6 to < 8) and "Low" (score < 6) adherence groups. Medication beliefs

The Beliefs about Medications Questionnaires (BMQ-General and BMQ-Specific) were used to elicit patients' beliefs about medications. Participants responded to eighteen statements across four domains using a 5-point Likert scale, from "strongly disagree" (score = 1) to "strongly agree" (score = 5). The BMQ-General contains 4 items that examine beliefs about Overuse of medicines by doctors, and 4 items about medication Harms. The BMQ-Specific contains 5 items in the Necessity domain and 5 items in the Concerns domain, which elicit patients' respective necessity and concern beliefs about the medicines prescribed for their liver disease. Scores derived from the Concerns domain can be subtracted from the Necessity domain to give a Necessity-Concerns Differential (N-C differential).

Illness perceptions

The Brief Illness Perceptions Questionnaire (Brief-IPQ) was used to examine the strength of patients' perceptions about liver cirrhosis. The Brief-IPQ contains eight items, including identity (severity of symptoms) and consequences of disease on daily life, personal control and treatment control over disease, timeline for disease duration, self-perceived coherence or understanding of the disease, in addition to concerns and emotional representation that are caused by the disease. Patients self-measure each item on a scale from 0 to 10, where one end of the scale represents a benign perception and the other represents a threatening perception of illness.

Quality of life

The Chronic Liver Disease Questionnaire (CLDQ) was chosen to measure health related quality of life as it comprises specific domains relevant to the study population. The full CLDQ contains 29 items across six domains; however responses to the twenty-ninth item have been excluded from analysis due to inapplicability to the majority of study participants. The twenty-ninth item pertains to worry about the availability of a liver if the patient requires a liver transplant; however patients being actively assessed for liver transplant were excluded from the study.

The shortened CLDQ used for the present study therefore contained eight questions related to Emotional Function, five questions within the domains of Systemic Symptoms and Fatigue, three questions within the domains of Abdominal Symptoms and daily Activity, and four items related to Worry. Individual item scores range from 1 to 7 and domain scores are calculated by averaging item scores within each domain. Higher scores represent better perceived health-related quality of life.

Data analysis: Statistical review of the study was performed by a biomedical statistician. Data was analysed using IBM® SPSS® Version 20.0. Where a question was missed within a validated tool, but at least 85% of the tool had otherwise been correctly completed, the missing value was imputed based on the mean or median response to that question, according to data skew. Imputation was required for a single value for two participants: one Brief IPQ-timeline (imputed median score 10) and one CLDQ-anxiety (imputed mean value 4).

Continuous and normally-distributed variables are presented as mean ± SD. Differences between groups were analysed by one-way ANOVA. Non-normally distributed data are presented as median (range) and have been analysed using the Kruskal-Wallis H test. Categorical data are presented as proportional percent and analysed using Pearson’s chi-squared ($\chi^2$), Fisher’s Exact test or Linear-by-Linear Associated test for trend as denoted. Statistical significance was set at alpha = 0.05.

The relationship between "Low" medication adherence and patients’ clinical, demographic and self-reported medication beliefs, illness perceptions and QoL were determined by calculating the odds ratio (OR) and 95%CI. Continuous variables were systematically assessed to identify optimal cut-points. Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator and stepwise backwards regression techniques were used to construct the final multivariable logistic regression model. Both methodologies identified the same significant factors for inclusion in the final model. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to assess goodness-of-fit. Interactions between individual variables were not found to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographic and clinical

One-hundred and thirty-four eligible patients were invited to participate in the study (Figure 1). Fourteen patients declined. Five patients indicated that they did not take medicines for their liver disease and thus did not complete essential components of the questionnaires. Of these five patients, three were unaware that their current pharmacotherapy included treatment for hepatic decompensation events, and two had ceased their therapy without medical advice. An additional fifteen patients incompletely filled out the questionnaires for other reasons.

Of the 100 patients who completed the questionnaires, the majority (65.0%) were male and the mean age was 58.4 ± 10.2 years. Primary disease aetiology was alcoholic liver disease (ALD) in 49 patients, hepatitis C (HCV) in 35, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in 9, primary sclerosing cholangitis in two, hepatitis B in one, autoimmune hepatitis in one, drug-induced liver injury in one, alpha-1-antitrypsin...
deficiency in one and unknown in one. Of the 51 patients who were not considered to have ALD, alcohol was a documented cofactor in 21 patients (41.2%).

Forty-two participants (42%) were categorised as having "High" medication adherence, 37% with "Medium" adherence, and 21% with "Low" adherence. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients, and their association with medication adherence, are presented in Table 1. Male gender ($P = 0.015$) and inability to afford medications ($P < 0.001$) were associated with lower levels of medication adherence. Self-reported ability to afford medicines could not be predicted by employment status, relative sociodemographic disadvantage, or other sociodemographic factors ($P > 0.05$).

**Medication beliefs**

Compared to "Low" adherence, there was a non-significant increase in the strength of Necessity beliefs in "High" and "Medium" adherence groups, and a non-significant increase in the strength of Concerns, Harms and Overuse beliefs from "High" to "Low" adherence groups. Compared to patients with "Medium" and "High" medication adherence, patients with "Low" medication adherence reported a lower mean Necessity-Concerns Differential ($P = 0.003$; Table 2). Three patients had a negative Necessity-Concerns Differential and eight patients had a differential of zero, indicating that their Concerns about their liver disease medicines outweighed or were equal to the perceived Necessity of therapy respectively.

Patients were more likely to have a lower Necessity-Concerns Differential if they were male (6.6 ± 4.3 vs 8.5 ± 5.2, $P = 0.046$), reported inability to afford medications (4.8 ± 4.6 vs 7.8 ± 4.5, $P = 0.011$) or had fewer comorbidities (Pearson’s $r = 0.263, P = 0.008$). Medication beliefs measured using the BMQ scales were not related to age, disease severity, education, sociodemographic status or other clinical and demographic variables.

**Illness perceptions**

Overall, participants had generally high levels of concern about their liver disease, felt they did not have much personal control over it, and perceived that it would persist for a longer duration of time (Table 3). Patients with "Low" medication adherence reported lower perception of how much treatment could help their liver disease (treatment control, $P = 0.003$) and a lower self-perceived understanding of their liver disease (coherence, $P = 0.014$).

Patients with HCV reported experiencing more severe symptoms (identity score 6.5 ± 2.4 vs 4.9 ± 3.1, $P = 0.009$), greater impact of disease on daily life (consequences score 6.9 ± 2.4 vs 5.5 ± 3.3, $P = 0.019$) and perceived that their disease would persist for a shorter duration of time (timeline median score 8 [range 0-10] vs 10 [range 2-10], $P = 0.010$) compared to patients who did not have HCV. Female patients reported greater emotional impact of disease (emotional representation score 5.8 ± 3.3 vs 4.3 ± 3.4, $P = 0.041$) while patients with higher levels of education (completed high school, formal trade qualification, university degree etc.) perceived greater impact of disease on daily life (consequences score 6.7 ± 2.8 vs 5.3 ± 3.2, $P = 0.024$) compared to patients educated up to middle school. There was a negative correlation between the total Brief-IPQ and CLDQ scores ($r = -0.707, P < 0.001$), indicating that stronger threatening perceptions of illness are associated with
lower quality of life.

Quality of life
The greatest overall health-related QoL impacts reported by patients were in the domains of Fatigue and Worry. Impact of QoL on medication adherence is presented in Table 4. “Low” medication adherence was associated with lower QoL in terms of shortness of breath that impacted on daily activity ($P = 0.030$), greater emotional disturbances ($P = 0.050$), particularly irritability ($P = 0.017$) and mood swings ($P = 0.031$), and greater frequency of abdominal and bodily pain ($P = 0.023$ and $P = 0.037$, respectively). Patients with moderate or large ascites at the time of review reported greater impact of abdominal bloating (CLDQ-abdominal bloating score $3.47 \pm 2.04$ vs $4.69 \pm 1.88$, $P = 0.014$) and those with a history of HE reported more frequent irritability (CLDQ-irritability score $4.31 \pm 1.57$ vs $5.04 \pm 1.72$, $P = 0.029$), but these did not translate into an effect on medication adherence.
adherence.

Patients who reported feeling hassled about sticking to their treatment plan \((n = 10)\) and those that stated they did not take their medicines the preceding day \((n = 9)\) reported lower overall QoL (average CLDQ score 2.96 ± 1.08 vs 4.30 ± 1.17, \(P = 0.001\) and 2.97 ± 0.78 vs 4.28 ± 1.20, \(P = 0.002\) respectively), especially within the domains of Activity, Emotion and Fatigue. Feeling hassled about sticking to the treatment plan was particularly associated with increased irritability (CLDQ-irritability score 3.50 ± 2.01 vs 4.81 ± 1.60, \(P = 0.019\)) and mood swings (CLDQ-mood swings score 3.33 ± 1.32 vs 4.81 ± 1.66, \(P = 0.003\) respectively).

Factors associated with low medication adherence

Bivariate analysis indicated that patients with Necessity-Concerns Differential \(\leq 5\), Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire “treatment control” score \(\leq 8\) and “coherence” score \(\leq 8\), or CLDQ score \(\leq 3\) in the items of bodily pain, abdominal pain, shortness of breath or irritability, had higher odds of reporting “Low” medication adherence (Table 5). However, when included in the regression model having a Necessity-Concerns Differential \(\leq 5\) (OR = 3.66, 95%CI: 1.18-11.40), Brief IPQ-coherence score \(\leq 8\) (OR = 8.15, 95%CI: 0.98-67.78) or a CLDQ-shortness of breath score \(\leq 3\) (OR = 3.87, 95%CI: 1.22-12.25) were the only independent predictors of “Low” medication adherence.

DISCUSSION

In our study, self-reported medication non-adherence in ambulatory patients with decompensated cirrhosis was prevalent, with over one-fifth of patients categorised with “Low” adherence and more than one-third categorised with “Medium” adherence. We have identified that lower levels of medication adherence in this group are associated with stronger patient Concerns about their medication relative to their belief in its Necessity, lower self-perceived understanding of liver disease, and lower QoL.

The relationship between medication beliefs and medication adherence behaviour has been explored in numerous chronic diseases, including asthma, cardiovascular disease and mental health disorders\([29]\). Perceptions of illness have also been shown to influence medication adherence in asthma, diabetes, hypertension and heart failure\([30]\), though the impact of the different illness perception items on adherence appears to differ between diseases. In the present study, people with decompensated cirrhosis were more likely to have “Low” medication adherence if they had a lower Necessity-Concerns Differential, poorer self-perceived understanding of their hepatic disease (coherence), and had lower perceptions of the benefits of treatment for their hepatic disease (treatment control). It can therefore be inferred that decompensated cirrhosis patients who have a

### Table 3  Illness perceptions in patients with high, medium and low medication adherence

| Brief illness perception questionnaire items | All patients \((n = 100)\) | Medication adherence ranking | \(P\) value |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
|                                            | High \((n = 42)\) | Medium \((n = 37)\) | Low \((n = 21)\) |
| Consequences                                | 6.0 ± 3.1 | 6.1 ± 3.0 | 6.0 ± 3.1 | 5.7 ± 3.2 | 0.846 |
| How much does your liver disease affect your life? | | | | | |
| 0 = No affect; 10 = Severely affects my life | | | | | |
| Timeline                                    | 10.0 (0-10) | 10 (3-10) | 10 (0-10) | 10 (3-10) | 0.962 |
| How long do you think your liver disease will continue? | | | | | |
| 0 = Very short time; 10 = Forever | | | | | |
| Personal Control                            | 4.8 ± 3.0 | 4.6 ± 3.2 | 4.8 ± 2.9 | 5.0 ± 2.8 | 0.893 |
| How much control do you feel you have over your liver disease? | | | | | |
| 0 = Absolutely no control; 10 = Extreme amount of control | | | | | |
| Treatment Control                           | 8.0 (0-10) | 8 (2-10) | 10 (4-10) | 7 (0-10) | 0.003 |
| How much do you think your treatment can help your liver disease? | | | | | |
| 0 = Not at all; 10 = Extremely helpful | | | | | |
| Identity                                    | 5.5 ± 3.0 | 5.6 ± 3.0 | 5.5 ± 3.0 | 5.2 ± 2.9 | 0.005 |
| How much do you experience symptoms from your liver disease? | | | | | |
| 0 = No symptoms; 10 = Many severe symptoms | | | | | |
| Concern                                     | 8.0 (0-10) | 8 (0-10) | 9 (0-10) | 8 (0-10) | 0.416 |
| How concerned are you about your liver disease? | | | | | |
| 0 = Not at all; 10 = Extremely concerned | | | | | |
| Coherence                                   | 8.0 (0-10) | 8 (3-10) | 8 (0-10) | 7 (2-9) | 0.014 |
| How well do you feel you understand your liver disease? | | | | | |
| 0 = Don’t understand at all; 10 = Understand very clearly | | | | | |
| Emotional Representation                   | 4.9 ± 3.4 | 4.7 ± 3.2 | 5.1 ± 3.9 | 4.7 ± 3.1 | 0.874 |
| How much does your liver disease affect you emotionally? | | | | | |
| 0 = Not at all; 10 = Extremely affected emotionally | | | | | |

Normally distributed data presented as mean ± SD and analysed using one-way ANOVA. Non-normally distributed data presented as median group score and (range) and analysed using the Kruskal-Wallis H Test.
Interestingly, perceptions of symptom frequency/severity (identity), concerns and consequences of disease on daily life were not associated with adherence behaviour. This was further confirmed using the CLDQ which identified that adherence was not influenced by the domains of Activity, Fatigue, Worry, Systemic or Abdominal Symptoms. This may be explained by changes to patients’ priorities in the terminal stages of illness which may affect their decisions for self-care. For example, people with palliative diseases such as cancer and end-stage heart failure may exhibit non-adherent behaviour in an attempt to maintain control, reduce adverse events, or in response to social or financial circumstances\cite{32,33}. Similar issues may affect people with decompensated cirrhosis, as this is a palliative condition for patients who are ineligible for transplantation. Most patients in the present study were aware of the incurable nature of their disease as evidenced by responses to the timeline item. The presence of hepatocellular carcinoma, considered to be an “imminently terminal” occurrence in people with decompensated cirrhosis who are ineligible for liver transplant, was not associated with adherence, which indicates that perceptions of palliation alone may not strongly influence adherence behaviour in this group.

Notably, people with HCV perceived that their liver disease would last for a shorter duration of time compared to people with other aetiologies of cirrhosis, possibly related to availability of new HCV direct-acting antiviral therapies. Unfortunately, this may be the result of false hope in this group as it is possible that many patients with HCV cirrhosis will have persisting complications despite a HCV “cure”. People with HCV also perceived greater impact of symptom frequency/severity (identity) and consequences of disease on their daily lives compared to other aetiologies, but this was not associated with adherence, which may indicate that perceptions of palliation alone may not strongly influence adherence behaviour in this group.

Table 4 Health-related quality of life in patients with high, medium and low medication adherence

| Quality of life domains                        | All patients (n = 100) | Medication adherence ranking | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
|                                               | High (n = 42)          | Medium (n = 37)              | Low (n = 21) |
| Abdominal symptoms                            | 4.7 ± 1.5              | 4.6 ± 1.4                    | 5.1 ± 1.4 | 4.2 ± 1.6 | 0.063    |
| Abdominal bloating                            | 4.5 ± 2.0              | 4.3 ± 2.0                    | 4.9 ± 1.8 | 3.9 ± 2.1 | 0.109    |
| Abdominal pain                                | 4.9 ± 1.8              | 4.8 ± 1.7                    | 5.9 ± 1.5 | 4.1 ± 2.2 | 0.025    |
| Abdominal discomfort                          | 4.4 ± 1.8              | 4.7 ± 1.8                    | 4.9 ± 1.7 | 4.6 ± 1.9 | 0.715    |
| Activity                                      | 4.5 ± 1.5              | 4.6 ± 1.6                    | 4.5 ± 1.3 | 4.1 ± 1.5 | 0.377    |
| Not been able to eat as much as you would like | 5.1 ± 1.9              | 5.0 ± 2.0                    | 5.2 ± 1.6 | 5.0 ± 2.0 | 0.814    |
| Trouble lifting or carrying heavy objects     | 3.4 ± 2.1              | 3.8 ± 2.3                    | 3.3 ± 1.9 | 2.5 ± 1.9 | 0.067    |
| Bothered by a limitation of your diet         | 5.0 ± 1.9              | 5.0 ± 1.9                    | 5.0 ± 2.0 | 4.7 ± 2.1 | 0.824    |
| Emotion                                       | 4.3 ± 1.5              | 4.7 ± 1.3                    | 4.3 ± 1.7 | 3.7 ± 1.3 | 0.050    |
| Anxiety                                       | 4.4 ± 1.9              | 4.8 ± 1.6                    | 4.3 ± 2.1 | 3.8 ± 1.8 | 0.126    |
| Unhappiness                                   | 4.5 ± 1.9              | 4.8 ± 1.9                    | 4.6 ± 2.1 | 3.8 ± 1.3 | 0.125    |
| Irritability                                  | 4.7 ± 1.7              | 5.0 ± 1.6                    | 4.9 ± 1.8 | 3.8 ± 1.4 | 0.017    |
| Difficulty sleeping at night                  | 3.6 ± 2.2              | 4.0 ± 2.1                    | 3.4 ± 2.3 | 3.1 ± 2.2 | 0.259    |
| Mood swings                                   | 4.7 ± 1.9              | 5.1 ± 1.7                    | 4.7 ± 2.1 | 3.8 ± 1.7 | 0.031    |
| Unable to fall asleep at night                | 3.9 ± 2.3              | 4.1 ± 2.3                    | 3.7 ± 2.3 | 3.7 ± 2.3 | 0.687    |
| Felt depressed                                | 4.6 ± 1.9              | 5.1 ± 1.8                    | 4.5 ± 2.1 | 3.9 ± 1.6 | 0.058    |
| Problems concentrating                       | 4.3 ± 1.9              | 4.5 ± 2.0                    | 4.5 ± 1.9 | 3.7 ± 1.9 | 0.232    |
| Fatigue                                       | 3.2 ± 1.5              | 3.5 ± 1.6                    | 3.3 ± 1.5 | 2.9 ± 1.3 | 0.340    |
| Tired or fatigued                             | 3.0 ± 1.6              | 3.0 ± 1.6                    | 3.1 ± 1.7 | 2.7 ± 1.4 | 0.622    |
| Sleepy during the day                         | 3.2 ± 1.8              | 3.3 ± 1.9                    | 3.5 ± 2.0 | 2.7 ± 1.2 | 0.255    |
| Bothered by having decreased strength         | 3.6 ± 2.0              | 3.9 ± 2.0                    | 3.4 ± 2.0 | 3.1 ± 2.0 | 0.281    |
| Decreased level of energy                     | 3.0 ± 1.8              | 3.2 ± 1.7                    | 2.9 ± 1.8 | 2.6 ± 1.6 | 0.361    |
| Drowsiness                                    | 3.9 ± 1.7              | 4.1 ± 1.8                    | 3.8 ± 1.7 | 3.6 ± 1.6 | 0.466    |
| Systemic symptoms                            | 4.3 ± 1.3              | 4.3 ± 1.2                    | 4.4 ± 1.4 | 4.0 ± 1.5 | 0.519    |
| Bodily pain                                   | 4.2 ± 2.0              | 4.2 ± 1.8                    | 4.7 ± 2.2 | 3.3 ± 2.0 | 0.037    |
| Shortness of breath                           | 4.5 ± 1.9              | 5.0 ± 1.8                    | 4.4 ± 1.9 | 3.7 ± 1.8 | 0.030    |
| Muscle cramps                                 | 4.2 ± 1.9              | 4.2 ± 2.0                    | 4.1 ± 1.7 | 4.2 ± 2.2 | 0.957    |
| Dry mouth                                     | 3.9 ± 2.0              | 4.0 ± 2.0                    | 3.9 ± 2.0 | 3.7 ± 1.9 | 0.833    |
| Itching                                       | 4.5 ± 2.1              | 4.2 ± 2.2                    | 4.6 ± 2.0 | 5.0 ± 2.1 | 0.392    |
| Worry                                         | 3.9 ± 1.8              | 4.1 ± 1.8                    | 3.8 ± 2.0 | 3.6 ± 1.6 | 0.491    |
| Worry about impact of liver disease has on family/friends | 3.9 ± 2.1 | 4.0 ± 2.0 | 3.9 ± 2.2 | 3.7 ± 2.2 | 0.876    |
| Worried that symptoms will develop into major problems | 3.7 ± 2.1 | 4.0 ± 2.1 | 3.6 ± 2.2 | 3.1 ± 2.0 | 0.357    |
| Worry about condition getting worse           | 3.9 ± 2.0              | 4.1 ± 2.0                    | 3.7 ± 2.1 | 3.6 ± 2.0 | 0.569    |
| Worry about never feeling any better          | 4.2 ± 2.2              | 4.5 ± 2.2                    | 4.2 ± 2.3 | 3.8 ± 1.8 | 0.436    |

Normally distributed data presented as mean ± SD and analysed using one-way ANOVA. Item scores range from 1 to 7 where a lower score indicates lower quality of life (i.e., more frequent symptoms). Domain scores (range from 1 to 7) are calculated averages of items within the domain.
patients, others could perceive side effects of therapy to outweigh benefits. This may be evidenced by the increased likelihood for “Low” adherence in patients who had stronger Concerns (i.e., side effects) relative to Necessity (i.e., benefits) beliefs, and those with less understanding about cirrhosis (coherence) and the role of medicines in disease and symptom management (treatment control). Alternatively, studies in other chronic diseases with a heavy symptom burden like compensated cirrhosis, such as asthma and heart failure, have suggested that many patients with good adherence report a more benign perception of illness identity, concerns and consequences\[36,37\]. This may be attributed to improved disease control or fewer episodes of exacerbation because of good adherence with treatment, and may partially explain the heterogeneity of responses to these Brief-IPQ items among people with compensated cirrhosis.

A previous investigation by Polis et al.\[18\] in cirrhosis patients has identified that medication adherence, defined as "never missing medications”, was associated with having less abdominal symptoms and increased emotional well-being\[18\]. Similarly, we have identified that uncompensated patients with “Low” medication adherence reported more frequent emotional disturbances, greater abdominal and bodily pain, and activity-limiting shortness of breath. Rather than a cause, these symptoms are postulated to be an effect of non-adherence. Conversely, irritability and mood swings were associated with patients reporting they felt “hassled about sticking to their treatment plan” and failure to take medications the day preceding the survey. Irritability and mood swings are thus postulated to impact on adherence in this group. While emotional disturbances are often associated with hepatic encephalopathy, irritability and mood swings were not necessarily more common in people with a history of HE, and these symptoms were not found to relate to non-adherence in patients prescribed lactulose. While the effect of adverse drug events experienced by individual patients on medication adherence was not specifically explored, the BMQ items designed to capture negative beliefs potentially related to adverse effects were not strongly related to nonadherence. Interestingly, disease severity measured using the Child-Turcotte Pugh classification was not related to QoL at either the domain or item level. This is contrary to other studies in cirrhosis patients\[36,37\], however by the nature of this study we aimed to recruit patients with a diverse decompensation history, which may explain the heterogeneity of responses to the CLDQ.

### Strengths and limitations

The single study site, the General Hepatology Clinic at the Princess Alexandra Hospital, is one of the largest hepatology centres in Australia. The Clinic delivers ambulatory care to a substantial proportion of south-east Queensland hepatology patients, in addition to regional, remote and interstate patients who travel to access specialist services. Despite the broad representation of people with chronic liver diseases at our site, an element of selection bias may exist in the present study due to recruitment constraints. If two or more eligible patients were scheduled for hepatology review simultaneously (parallel hepatology clinics), patients who were taking more medicines for decompensation events were selectively approached. Thus, the patients included may represent the more severe end of the disease spectrum. Furthermore, participants could only be recruited if they were scheduled to attend clinic on a day the principal researcher (KH) was present and as such a convenience sample of patients was recruited.

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to use the BMQ and Brief-IPQ to measure beliefs and perceptions about medications and illness in people with uncompensated cirrhosis. While these tools have not been previously validated in this cohort, our findings are comparable with studies in other chronic diseases. Non-equal questioning methodology (due
to patients’ preference, need for carer/family member involvement, researcher assistance and feasibility) may have introduced an element of bias, however this was difficult to avoid given the study group of interest often requires assistance completing complex tasks and reflects a “real-world” clinic setting. To minimise potential bias, the study coordinator read survey questions aloud verbatim and did not actively seek additional information beyond what patients volunteered.

In conclusion, a large proportion of ambulatory patients with decompensated cirrhosis are non-adherent with prescribed medications. The association between “Low” medication adherence and patients having strong concerns or doubting the necessity of their medications should be explored further given the potential clinical relevance. Interventions that promote positive reinforcement of the value and necessity of medications in addition to education about disease and medication management tailored to individual patient needs may improve adherence.
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COMMENTS

Background
Non-adherence and medication-mismanagement in people with decompensated cirrhosis have been associated with substantial potentially-preventable harm. The current study describes the relationship between patients’ self-reported adherence and medication beliefs, illness perceptions and quality of life. The study was conducted in a cohort of patients receiving ambulatory care at a large tertiary government hospital.

Research frontiers
Existing data on medication-nonadherence behaviour in people with decompensated cirrhosis is largely qualitative. The present study intended to quantitatively measure these variables using validated tools, to correlate potentially-modifiable beliefs, perceptions and quality of life with medication adherence.

Innovations and breakthroughs
In this study, the authors have identified that patients with lower levels of medication adherence were more likely to have lower perception of treatment helpfulness, higher concerns relative to necessity beliefs surrounding their medicines, and poorer quality of life.

Applications
The authors findings have important implications for evolving clinical practice, as medication-management and disease education interventions can be developed to target these potentially-modifiable patient variables.

Terminology
Levels of adherence (High, Medium and Low) were assessed using a validated adherence tool, the Morisky Medication Adherence Scale-8 (MMAS-8). Patients’ beliefs and perceptions towards their medicines were elicited using previously validated questionnaires (Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire and Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire), which have been correlated with adherence behaviour. Quality of Life was measured using the Chronic Liver Disease Questionnaire.
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