The chiral phase transition temperature $T_0^c$ is a fundamental quantity of QCD. To determine this quantity we have performed simulations of $(2 + 1)$-flavor QCD using the Highly Improved Staggered Quarks (HISQ/tree) action on $N_\tau = 6, 8$ and 12 lattices with aspect ratios $N_\sigma/N_\tau$ ranging from 4 to 8. In our simulations the strange quark mass is fixed to its physical value $m_{\text{phy}}$, and the values of two degenerate light quark masses $m_l$ are varied from $m_{\text{phy}}/20$ to $m_{\text{phy}}/160$ which correspond to a Goldstone pion mass $m_\pi$ ranging from 160 MeV to 55 MeV in the continuum limit. By investigating the light quark mass dependence and the volume dependence of various chiral observables, e.g. chiral susceptibilities and Binder cumulants, no evidence for a first order phase transition in our current quark mass window is found. Two estimators $T_{60}$ and $T_8$ are proposed to extract the chiral phase transition temperature $T_0^c$ in the chiral and continuum limit and our current estimate for $T_0^c$ is $132^{+3}_{-6}$ MeV.
1. Introduction

One of the basic goals of lattice QCD calculations at non-zero temperature is to understand the QCD phase diagram [1]. At zero baryon chemical potential, the QCD phase structure may depend on the number of light quark flavors [2] which is summarized in the Columbia plot in two scenarios as shown in Fig. 1. It is concluded that the physical point \((m_u, d, m_s)\) is located in the crossover region [3, 4, 5]. The first order phase transition regions and the crossover region are separated by second order phase transition lines which belong to the \(Z(2)\) universality class. In the chiral limit of \(N_f = 2\) theory, if \(U_A(1)\) symmetry remains broken at the chiral transition temperature, the chiral phase transition is a second order phase transition belonging to an \(O(4)\) universality class [2]. Thus the chiral first order region in the left bottom corner of Columbia plot, the second order \(O(4)\) line for \(N_f = 2\) case and the second order \(Z(2)\) line are supposed to meet at a tri-critical point \(m_{\text{tri}}\). The location of the tri-critical point is still an open question. It is possible that the tri-critical point shifts to infinite strange quark mass [6]. The nature of the chiral phase transition at zero baryon chemical potential is also relevant for our understanding of the QCD phase diagram at non-zero chemical potential. If \(m_{\text{tri}} < m_s^{\text{phy}}\), it is expected that in the chiral limit there will be a second order phase transition which belongs to the \(O(4)\) universality class as seen from the Fig. 1 (left). In this case, in the chiral limit, there might exist a tri-critical point as if QCD system becomes a first order phase transition in large baryon chemical potential. If \(m_{\text{tri}} > m_s^{\text{phy}}\), towards the chiral limit the system passes through the \(Z(2)\) critical line to a first order phase transition region as shown in Fig. 1 (right). In this case the chiral phase transition may be first order for all values of the chemical potential or, there may exist a critical point such that the transition becomes a crossover transition at large baryon chemical potential.

In this proceedings, we focus on the determination of the chiral phase transition temperature \(T_0^c\) in the chiral limit and continuum limit, and we will also discuss the nature of the chiral phase transition. Previous studies have been reported in Ref. [7, 8, 9].

2. Observables and definitions

The universal behavior of the order parameter \(M\) and its susceptibility \(\chi_M\) can be described by...
the so-called Magnetic Equation of State (MEOS) \([10]\) as follows

\[
M(t, h) = h^{1/\delta} f_G(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_M(t, h) = \frac{\partial M}{\partial H} = h_0^{-1} h^{1/\delta - 1} f_X(z). \tag{2.1}
\]

Here \(z = th^{-1/\beta\delta}\) is a scaling variable, \(t = \frac{1}{h_0} \frac{T - T_c^0}{T_c^0}\) is the reduced temperature and \(h = H/h_0 = \frac{m_c}{m_q}/h_0\) is the symmetry breaking field. \(\beta, \delta\) are universal critical exponents which are unique for a given universality class as shown in Table 1.

| Model | \(\beta\)  | \(\delta\)  | \(z_p\)  | \(z_{60}\)  | \(f_G(z_p)\)  | \(f_X(z_p)\)  |
|-------|------------|------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------------|
| Z(2)  | 0.3258     | 4.805      | 2.00(5)| 0.10(1)| 0.548(10)    | 0.3629(1)    |
| O(2)  | 0.349      | 4.780      | 1.58(4)| -0.005(9)| 0.500(10)   | 0.3489(1)    |
| O(4)  | 0.380      | 4.824      | 1.37(3)| -0.013(7)| 0.532(10)   | 0.3430(1)    |

Table 1: Universal critical exponents \(\beta, \delta\) for Z(2), O(2) and O(4) 3-d universality classes. Also given is the peak location of \(f_X\), i.e. \(z_p\), and the location \(z_{60}\) where the height of the \(f_X\) is 60% of its peak height and the values of \(f_G(z_p)\) and \(f_X(z_p)\).

Three non-universal parameters \(h_0, t_0, T_c^0\) are unique for a particular system, e.g. \(T_c^0\) is the critical temperature of chiral phase transition in the light quark chiral limit. For scaling variable \(z_X\), it is related to a temperature \(T_X\) as follows

\[
T_X(H) = T_c^0 + z_X T_c^0 H^{1/\beta\delta}/z_0, \quad z_0 = h_0^{1/\beta\delta}/t_0. \tag{2.2}
\]

At the peak location of \(f_X\), i.e. \(z_X = z_p\), we have the relationship between pseudo-critical temperature \(T_{pc}\) and the critical temperature \(T_c^0\), e.g. \(T_{pc} = T_c^0 + z_p T_c^0 H^{1/\beta\delta}/z_0\). Here we analyze two other estimators for the chiral phase transition temperature, defined by two specific values of the scaling variable \(z\), i.e. \(z_{60}\) and \(z_{50}\). The former is defined by \(f_X(z_{60}) = 0.6 f_X(z_p)\) with \(z_{60} < z_p\) and the corresponding \(T_{60}\) is defined as \(\chi_M(T_{60}) = 0.6 \chi_M(T_{pc})\) with \(T_{60} < T_{pc}\).

Since \(z_{60}\) is very close to zero the \(H\)-dependent term in Eq. 2.2 is suppressed by at least by an order of magnitude compared to \(z_p\). This is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2 and Table 1, for relevant universality classes. We thus can estimate \(T_c^0\) by investigating the values of \(T_{60}\) as follows

\[
T_{60}(H) = T_c^0 + z_{60} T_c^0 H^{1/\beta\delta}/z_0, \tag{2.3}
\]

In the right panel of Fig.2, we plot \(f_X/z\) vs. \((T - T_c^0)/T_c^0\) for O(4) universality class where we have set \(z_0 = 1\) for simplicity. The different curves, corresponding to different \(H\), meet at a unique crossing point \((0, 1/\delta)\) \([11]\). This thus drives us to estimate \(T_c^0\) by looking at \(H \chi_M/M\),

\[
\frac{H \chi_M(T_{60}, V, H)}{M(T_{60}, V, H)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \Rightarrow T_c^0 = \lim_{H \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} T_{60}(V, H). \tag{2.4}
\]

As shown in Eq. 2.4, \(T_c^0\) can be estimated by looking at \(T_{60}(V, H)\) in the infinite volume limit and chiral limit.

By looking at following equation we will be able to investigate the nature of chiral phase transition

\[
\frac{M}{\chi_M} = (H - H_c) \frac{f_G(z)}{f_X(z)}. \tag{2.5}
\]
In the case of $m_{qtr} < m_{qph}$, $H_c$ is zero and the chiral phase transition is a second order phase transition in the chiral limit, the universality class is expected to be $O(4)$. In the case of $m_{qph} < m_{qtr}$, $H_c$ is nonzero and the chiral phase transition is a first order phase transition in the chiral limit, where the corresponding universality class of the second order phase transition occurring at some $H_c > 0$ is $Z(2)$. Since $f_{c}(z)/f_{G}(z)$ at $z \approx 0$ and $z_p$ is a number fixed by universality class, one can study the order of the chiral phase transition through the relation between $M/\chi_M$ and $H$ at $T_{60}$ and $T_{pc}$.

3. Lattice setup

In our simulations of (2 + 1)-flavor QCD we have used Highly Improved Staggered Quarks and tree-level improved gauge action (HISQ/tree). The strange quark mass is chosen to its physical quark mass value $m^\text{ph}$. and the light quark masses values are varied from $m^\text{ph}/160$ to $m^\text{ph}/20$ which correspond to 55 MeV $\leq m_{\pi} \leq 160$ MeV. To perform the continuum limit, the temporal extent $N_t$ is taken to be 6, 8 and 12 and the spatial volumes used are in the range $4 \leq N_\sigma/N_t \leq 8$.

As shown in the Table 2, for each data set we have performed at least 10000 time units (TUs) at each temperature, where gauge configurations are separated by every 5 TUs. We used 50 random noise vectors on each gauge field configuration and constructed unbiased estimators for the various traces to compute the chiral condensate and its susceptibility.

| $N^c_t \times N_t$ | $N^f_t \times N_t$ | average # of TU | $N^c_t \times N_t$ | $N^f_t \times N_t$ | average # of TU |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 24$^3 \times 6$  | 1/20              | 23000           | 24$^2 \times 8$  | 1/40              | 100000          |
| 24$^3 \times 6$  | 1/27              | 13800           | 32$^2 \times 8$  | 1/40              | 32000           |
| 32$^3 \times 6$  | 1/40              | 20000           | 40$^3 \times 8$  | 1/40              | 14000           |
| 40$^3 \times 6$  | 1/60              | 15000           | 32$^3 \times 8$  | 1/80              | 80000           |
| 24$^3 \times 6$  | 1/80              | 40000           | 40$^3 \times 8$  | 1/80              | 35000           |
| 32$^3 \times 6$  | 1/80              | 26000           | 56$^3 \times 8$  | 1/80              | 20000           |
| 48$^3 \times 6$  | 1/80              | 100000          | 56$^3 \times 8$  | 1/160             | 14000           |

Table 2: Current statistics for $N_t = 6, 8$ and 12 lattices.

4. Results

We study the subtracted chiral order parameter $M$ and its susceptibility $\chi_M$. To avoid the distortion of the temperature dependence at low temperatures of the chiral order parameter we use...
the following definitions
\[ M = m_s \left( \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_l - \frac{2m_l}{m_s} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_s \right) / f_K^4, \quad f_K = (156.1/\sqrt{2}) \text{ MeV}, \]  
\[ \chi_M \equiv \frac{\partial M}{\partial H} \equiv m_s^2 \chi_{\text{subtot}} / f_K^4, \quad \chi_{\text{subtot}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial m_l} \left( \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_l - \frac{2m_l}{m_s} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_s \right). \]

We replace the factors of \( T^4 \) by the appropriate factors of the kaon decay constant, \( f_K^4 \).

**Figure 3:** Left: Binder cumulant of chiral condensate on \( N_T = 8 \) lattices for \( m_s/m_l = 80 \) and 160. Right: Volume dependence of chiral susceptibilities on \( N_T = 12 \) lattices for \( m_s/m_l = 80 \).

In the left panel of Fig. 3 we show the Binder cumulant of chiral condensate on \( N_T = 8 \) lattices for \( m_\pi = 80 \) and 55 MeV. Here the Binder cumulant is defined as \( B_X = \langle (X - \langle X \rangle)^4 \rangle / \langle (X - \langle X \rangle)^2 \rangle^2 \). The plot shows that there is no evidence of first order phase transition in our current pion mass window 55 MeV \( \leq m_\pi \leq 160 \) MeV. Also as seen from the right panel of Fig. 3, chiral susceptibilities obtained on \( N_T = 12 \) lattices do not grow linearly with the volume which implies that there is no first order phase transition in our current pion mass window. We also observe that \( T_{pc} \) is larger for larger volume.

**Figure 4:** Volume dependence of \( \chi_M H/M \) on \( N_T = 12 \) lattices.

We then show the volume dependence of \( H \chi_M / M \) in Fig. 4. At a fixed temperature \( H \chi_M / M \) becomes smaller in larger volume, and for a fixed volume it increases with increasing temperature. \( H \chi_M / M \) at \( T_{pc} \) is almost volume independent as shown in the middle plot of Fig. 4. Similar results are also obtained from \( N_T = 12 \) lattices with \( m_l = m_s/40 \) and \( N_T = 8 \) lattices with \( m_l = m_s/80 \). As shown in the left plot of Fig. 4, \( T_\delta(V,H) \) increases with the increasing volume. Thus, we performed \( 1/V \) extrapolation as represented by the grey band. This gives \( T_\delta(V \to \infty, m_l = m_s/80) \approx 138 \) MeV. Similar analyses are done for \( m_s/40 \) which gives \( T_\delta(V \to \infty, m_l = m_s/40) \approx 141 \) MeV as shown as the vertical line in the right plot of Fig. 4. This figure also shows that results for different quark
We show the extraction of $T_c$ for $(2 + 1)$-flavor QCD using HISQ/tree action. To study the nature the chiral phase transition, we look at the ratio $\chi_M/H$ and linear in $N$-value expected. As discussed before, $H\chi_M/M$ at $T_c$ is almost volume independent, and this indicates that all the data points shown in the Fig. 6 can be regarded as being in the infinite volume limit. The colored band in the plots represents the difference between $O(2)$ and $O(4)$ universality classes. In Fig. 6 we also compare our lattice results on the quark mass dependence of $M/\chi_M$ with the scenarios of $Z(2)$ phase transition with non-zero $H_c$ corresponding to $m_l/m_s = 1/120$ and $m_l/m_s = 1/240$. As one can see from the figure our lattice results are way above these expectations. Thus, if there is a first order phase transition in the chiral limit of $(2 + 1)$-flavor QCD, it should happen for quark masses smaller than $m_s/160$.

5. Summary

We have performed lattice simulations of $(2 + 1)$-flavor QCD using HISQ/tree action. To study the chiral phase transition temperature $T_c^0$ in the chiral & continuum limit, the light quark mass window was chosen to be $m_l^{\text{phy}}/160 \leq m_l \leq m_s^{\text{phy}}/20$, which correspond to the pion mass window $55 \text{ MeV} \leq m_{\pi} \leq 160 \text{ MeV}$, $N_T$ was set to 6, 8, and 12, and the corresponding $N_{\sigma}$ ranging from $4N_T$ to $8N_T$. The current estimates of $T_c^0$ on $N_T = 6, 8$ and 12 lattices are $147(2) \text{ MeV}$, $142(2)$
Figure 6: \( M/\chi_M \) is plotted for different \( N_\tau \) along with the scaling expectations from different universality classes.

MeV and 135(3) MeV, respectively. Including all systematic uncertainties, our current estimate of \( T_0^{(3)} \) in the continuum limit is \( T_0^{(3)} = 132^{+3}_{-6} \) MeV [12]. By looking at the ratio \( M/\chi_M \) as a function of light quark mass, the chiral phase transition is more like a second order phase transition instead of a first order phase transition.
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