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ABSTRACT

Background: Gastric cancer is most frequent after the fifth decade of life. Surgical risk is higher in aged population because of general health condition may affect the postoperative result. Aim of the study was to identify risk factors for post-operative mortality in octogenarian patients who underwent surgery for gastric cancer.

Methods: 236 patients (181: 80-85 years old and 55: >85 years old) underwent surgery for gastric cancer at the Sant’Orsola-Malpighi University Hospital in Bologna between 2012 and 2017. The variables of the two groups of patients were compared.

Results: Post-operative mortality was 5.5% among 80-85 years old and 9% for the > 85 years old. The two groups significantly differed in: age (p< 0.0001), type of hospitalization (p = 0.005), site of primary tumor (pylorus p =0.030; body p = 0.001), presence of cardiac comorbidities (p = 0.043), ASA score (p = 0.021) and type of surgical presentation (elective vs urgent surgery, p = 0.001). In the multivariate analysis, urgent surgery (p= 0.002) and ASA score >III (p = 0.021) were associated to post-operative mortality; ASA score (p = 0.041) and stage (p = 0.012) significantly influenced survival.

Conclusion: ASA score>III and urgent surgery, but not age ≥ 85 years, were associated with postoperative mortality. In the very elderly, alias oldest old patients, preoperative nutritional status and pre-existing comorbidities, rather than age itself, should be considered as selection criteria for surgery. ASA risk assessment may be beneficial for stratification of patients and for ultimately optimizing outcomes.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is the fifth most common malignancy in men and the second in women, with 952,000 new cases diagnosed per year worldwide [1]. In Italy gastric cancer represent the fifth most common malignancy in the men and the sixth in women, with 14,220 new cases diagnosed in the 2013 year [2]. The treatment of choice for gastric cancer is surgical resection and is both curative and preventive of gastric cancer related complications. Gastric cancer most often occurs in the elderly: at the time of diagnosis 60% of patients are over the age of 65 [3]. Foreseeing by the Global Health and Aging report, issued by the WHO, predicts that between 2010 and 2050, the world’s population of over-85 years old, alias “the oldest old”, will increase by 351% [4]. In Italy has been estimated that the people over 80 years old will be grown up from 3.2 % of 2015 to 7.8% of 2050, with and life expectancy in that period of 86.6 years for the men and 91.5 years for the women (ISTAT data) [5]. In this context, it is possible to hypothesize that the amount of oldest old patients needing gastric cancer surgery in the next future will be remarkably larger than today. This study aimed to identify risk factors for postoperative mortality in 80-85 years old and >85 years old patients who underwent surgical treatment for gastric cancer. For the oldest old patients undergoing gastric cancer surgery, identifica-tion of these factors might lead to a more precise risk stratification and optimization of surgical outcomes.
Methods

Inclusion Criteria

A total of 236 patients aged 80 years or older with histologically confirmed primary gastric cancer underwent surgery in Sant’Orsola-Malpighi Hospital in Bologna between 2012 and 2017. The sample included elective and urgent surgery (both resections and palliative surgery). Clinico-pathological data for these patients were obtained from hospital records. Comprehensive informed consent was obtained from all patients when they admitted our hospital prior to surgery.

Definition and Classification of Variables

We divided the patients into two categories according to the age: from 80 to 85 years or >85 years. The site of primary tumor was categorized as “cardia,” “pylorus,” “body,” “bottom”, “not specified or “other”. The staging of the tumor was assigned according to the TNM (Tumor Node Metastases) classification of the American Joint Committee on Cancer/Union for International Cancer Control [6,7]. We recorded the ASA score referring to the classification of the American Society of Anesthesiologists [8]. The type of surgical presentation was categorized as “elective” or “urgent.” Surgical operation was classified as “partial gastrectomy with jejunal anastomosis,” “total gastrectomy,” “gastroenter-ostomy without gastrectomy” or “other” (palliative surgery). Surgical approach was categorized as “Roux,” “Billroth II” or “other.” Postoperative complications were defined as events that occurred during hospitalization following the operation and were evaluated according to Clavien-Dindo classification. Clavien score was referred to Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications [9]. Length of hospitalization was defined as the number of days from the date of surgical intervention to discharge. Post-operative mortality was defined that occurred within 30 days from surgery. In-hospital mortality was defined that occurred during hospitalization up to 90 days excluding post-operative mortality. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the date of surgery to patient death (including surgery-associated death or hospital death), or the date of last available information concerning vital status.

Tumor location, clinical or pathological stage, degree of lymph node dissection (D0, <D2 or >D2), and curability were assessed according to the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma, 13th, and then 14th editions [6,10,11]. Surgical mortality, morbidity, and hospital mortality were compared between two groups. Recurrences were confirmed by computed tomography, tumor markers, and endoscopic examinations.

Statistical Analysis

The sample was divided into two cohorts: patients from 80 to 85 and >85. Data are represented as median and inter-quartile range (IQR) for continuous variables and as n (%) for categorical variables. The χ2 test or Fisher’s test and the Mann-Whitney test were used to analyse categorical and continuous variables. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the predictors of mortality on the combined cohorts. Only variables found to be statistically significant in the univariate analysis were entered into the multivariate model. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. A multivariable (forward stepwise conditional method) Cox regression analysis was performed to estimate the effects of clinical and pathological parameters on survival. In the stepwise procedure, significance levels of .05 for entering and .10 for removing the respective explanatory variables were used to determine the independent risk factors. P-values < .05 were considered significant. Data were analysed using SPSS (version 15.0) (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Characteristics of the Patients

The following tables show a comparison of the variables in detail (Tables 1-4). Among the 80-85 years old (n=181) and >85 years old (n=55) (p < 0.0001), the type of hospitalization (p = 0.005), the site of primary tumor (pylorus p =0.030; body p = 0.001), the presence of cardiac comorbidities (p = 0.043), the ASA score (p = 0.021) and the type of surgical presentation (p = 0.001) were proved to be significantly different. The cohort of >85 years old had a worse ASA score, a higher percentage of elective surgical interventions (87.3%) and a higher rate of postoperative mortality (9%). The 80-85 years old group had a larger number of urgent surgeries (65.2%). Statistically significant differences between the two groups with regards to the frequency of cardiac comorbidities 31.8% (80-85 years old group) versus 39% (>85 years old group) and with regards to the site of primary tumor (pylorus: 80-85 years old group 44.3% versus >85 years old group 61.9%; body: 80-85 years old group 35.3% versus 12.7% >85 years old group) were detected. Among 80-85 years old and >85 years old, there were no significant differences (p>0.05) regarding sex, anemia, hypoalbuminemia, depth of cancer invasion, histological type, lymph node metastasis, lymph nodes dissection, curative resection, combined resection, tumor stage, type of operation, surgical approach and rates of postoperative complications, Clavien score and post-intervention hospital stay days.
Table 1: Demographics and clinical characteristics of octogenarian’s patients with gastric cancer.

|                        | Patients 80-85 years (No. = 181) | Patients > 85 years (No. = 55) | P value |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Age                    | 82 (81-83)                       | 88 (86-90)                      | <0.0001 |
| Gender                 |                                  |                                 |         |
| Sex                    |                                  |                                 |         |
| Anemia: hemoglobin < 10 g/dL | 90 (49.7%)                     | 22 (40%)                        | 0.281   |
| Hypoalbuminemia < 3.5 g/dL | 50 (27.6%)                     | 18 (32.7%)                      | 0.498   |
| Hospitalization        |                                  |                                 |         |
| Elective               | 118 (65.2%)                      | 24 (43.6%)                      | 0.005   |
| Urgent                 | 63 (34.8%)                       | 21 (56.4%)                      |         |
| Comorbidities          |                                  |                                 |         |
| Cardiac                | 70 (31.8%)                       | 30 (39%)                        | 0.043   |
| Hypertension           | 65 (29.5%)                       | 14 (18.2%)                      | 0.192   |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 52 (23.6%)             | 20 (25.9%)                      | 0.317   |
| Previous stroke        | 9 (4%)                           | 3 (9%)                          | 1.000   |
| Diabetes mellitus      | 21 (9.6%)                        | 9 (11.7%)                       | 0.360   |
| Cirrhosis              | 3 (1.5%)                         | 1 (1.3%)                        | 1.000   |
| No. of comorbid conditions |                                      |                                 |         |
| 0                      | 47 (26%)                         | 13 (23.6%)                      | 0.918   |
| 1                      | 65 (35.9%)                       | 16 (29%)                        |         |
| 2                      | 56 (31%)                         | 18 (32.7%)                      |         |
| 3+                     | 13 (7.1%)                        | 8 (14.7%)                       |         |

Table 2: Pathological data observed in octogenarians’ patients with gastric cancer.

|                        | Patients 80-85 Years (No. = 181) | Patients > 85 Years (No. = 55) | P value |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Primitive tumor        |                                  |                                 |         |
| cardias                | 10 (5.5%)                        | 6 (10.9%)                       | 0.216   |
| pylorus                | 80 (44.3%)                       | 34 (61.9%)                      | 0.030   |
| body                   | 64 (35.3%)                       | 7 (12.7%)                       | 0.001   |
| bottom                 | 10 (5.5%)                        | 0 (0%)                          | 0.122   |
| unspecified site       | 6 (3.4%)                         | 7 (12.7%)                       | 0.014   |
| other                  | 11 (6%)                          | 1 (1.8%)                        | 0.304   |
| Depth of cancer invasion |                                  |                                 |         |
| Early                  | 25 (14%)                         | 5 (9%)                          | 0.489   |
| Advanced               | 156 (86%)                        | 50 (91%)                        |         |
### Table 3: Surgical data of octogenarian’s patients with gastric cancer.

| Parameters                          | Patients 80-85 Years (No.=181) | Patients > 85 Years (No.=55) | P    |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------|
| **ASA score**                       |                                 |                              |      |
| II                                  | 16 (88%)                        | 2 (3.6%)                     | 0.021|
| III                                 | 140 (77.4%)                     | 39 (70.9%)                   |      |
| IV                                  | 25 (13.8%)                      | 14 (25.5%)                   |      |
| **Timing surgery**                  |                                 |                              |      |
| Elective                            | 118 (65.2%)                     | 48 (87.3%)                   | 0.001|
| Urgent                              | 63 (34.8%)                      | 7 (12.7%)                    |      |
| **Surgery**                         |                                 |                              |      |
| Partial gastrectomy                 | 118 (65.3%)                     | 38 (69%)                     | 0.250|
| Total gastrectomy                   | 41 (22.7%)                      | 7 (12.7%)                    | 0.128|
| Gastroenterostomy alone             | 11 (6%)                         | 2 (3.7%)                     | 0.738|
| Other                               | 11 (8%)                         | 8 (14.6%)                    | 0.052|
| **Surgical approach**               |                                 |                              |      |
| Roux                                | 59 (32.6%)                      | 14 (25.5%)                   | 0.405|
| Billroth II                         | 62 (34.2%)                      | 14 (25.5%)                   | 0.321|
| Other                               | 60 (33.2%)                      | 27 (49%)                     | 0.038|
| **Lymph nodes dissection**          |                                 |                              |      |
| D0                                  | 22 (12.1%)                      | 10 (18.1%)                   | 0.493|
| <D2                                 | 15 (8.2%)                       | 5 (9%)                       |      |
| >D2                                 | 144 (79.5%)                     | 40 (72.7%)                   |      |
| Curability     | Curative | Non-curative | P   |
|----------------|----------|--------------|-----|
| 159 (87.8%)   | 45 (81.8%) | 0.265        |
| Combined resection | Distal esophagectomy | Distal pancreatectomy | Cholecystectomy | Splenectomy |
| 4 (2.2%)      | 2 (3.6%)  | 1 (1.8%)     | 6 (10.9%) | 1 (1.8%)  | 0.626 |
| 2 (1.1%)      | 1 (1.8%)  | 0.551        | 0.081 |
| 38 (20.9%)    | 10 (18.2%) | 0.551        |
| 2 (1.1%)      | 1 (1.8%)  | 0.626        |

| Post-intervention hospital stays days (median, IQR) | 13 (9-19.2) | 12 (9-19.5) | 0.611 |
| Total gastrectomy | 41 (22.6%) | 7 (12.7%) | 0.474 |
| Subtotal gastrectomy | 118 (65.2%) | 38 (69%) | 0.346 |
| Gastroenterostomy alone | 11 (6%) | 2 (3.6%) | 0.932 |

| Discharge methods: | Patients 80-85 Years (No.=181) | Patients > 85 years (No.=55) | P  |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| Ordinary          | 146 (80.7%)                      | 38 (69%)                      | 0.067 |
| Protected         | 1 (0.5%)                         | 1 (1.9%)                      | 0.413 |
| Moved to another stay | 13 (7.2%)                      | 3 (5.5%)                      | 1.000 |
| Deceased          | 21 (11.6%)                       | 13 (23.6%)                    | 0.046 |

| Overall postoperative complications | Patients 80-85 Years (No.=181) | Patients > 85 years (No.=55) | P  |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| Overall complications               | 55 (30.3%)                      | 20 (36.3%)                    | 0.413 |
| Medical                             | 40 (72.7%)                      | 13(65%)                       | 0.854 |
| Pleural effusion                    | 30                              | 8                             |
| Atelectasis                         | 7                               | 3                             |
| Pulmonary embolism                  | 1                               | 1                             |
| Stroke                              | 1                               | 0                             |
| Heart failure                       | 1                               | 1                             |
| Surgical                            | 15 (28.3%)                      | 7 (35.3)                      | 0.303 |
| Anastomotic leakage                 | 2                               | 1                             |
| Intraabdominal abscess              | 2                               | 1                             |
| Ileus                               | 2                               | 0                             |
| Cholecystitis                       | 1                               | 0                             |
| Bleeding                            | 1                               | 1                             |
| Wound infection                     | 3                               | 1                             |

| Clavien Score | Patients 80-85 Years (No.=181) | Patients > 85 years (No.=55) | P  |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| < 3           | 38 (69%)                        | 9 (45%)                       | 0.097 |
| > 3           | 17 (31%)                        | 11 (55%)                      |

Table 4: Postoperative complications in octogenarian’s patients after gastric surgery.
### Factors Associated to Post-Operative Mortality

In the combined cohort, urgent surgery (OR 2.97 [95% CI 1.47 – 6.02], p= 0.002) and ASA score >III (OR 3.12 [95% CI 1.19 – 8.20], p = 0.021) were statistically associated to post-operative mortality. The age ( OR 2.61 [95% CI 0.91 – 7.49], p = 0.074), the cardiac comorbidities (OR 0.53 [95% CI 0.14-1.95], p= 0.343) and the site of primary tumor (OR 1.07 [95% CI 0.65-1.76], p = 0.775) were not identified as risk factors in the multi-variate logistic regression analysis.

|          | Mortality |          |          |          |
|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
|          | at 30 days| 10 (5.5%)| 5 (9%)   | 0.35     |
|          | at 90 days| 11 (6%)  | 8 (14.5%)| 0.052    |

### Survival

Kaplan-Meier survival curves for combined cohort are displayed in Figures 1 & 2; the survival of patients with ASA score > III and of patients submitted to urgent surgery was significantly worse than those of patients with ASA score ≤ III (p= 0.015) and of patients submitted to elective surgery (p = 0.021). Multivariable Cox regression analysis identified ASA score (HR 1.99, 95% CI 1.02-3.86, p = 0.041) and stage (HR 1.40, 95% CI 1.07-1.82, p = 0.012) as independent prognostic factors that significantly influenced survival.

**Figure 1:** Kaplan-Meier survival curve in patients with ASA score > III and ≤ III. Log- rank test p = 0.015.

**Figure 2:** Kaplan-Meier survival curve in patients submitted to elective and urgent surgery. Log- rank test p= 0.021.
Discussion

Gastric cancer is thought to occur more frequently in men. The high number of women (60%) in this study is probably due to the lower life expectancy of men. The current study demonstrates that clinic-pathological features of gastric cancer such as histological type, tumor site, disease extent and pathological stage were neither related to patient age nor to surgical outcome. The majority of the patients (87%) had the tumor at a stage greater than I. It is plausible that the observation is related to the lower attention, for the elderly, to preventive health programs for the detection of cancer at an early stage compared to what occurs in high-risk countries. In the present study, 87.3% of the >85 years old underwent elective surgery. It appears likely that in most cases the clinical presentation of the disease allowed planning an elective procedure. On the other hand, 34.8% of the 80-85 years old were operated following an urgent surgical presentation. The possible explanation is that, in these patients, the diagnosis was frequently triggered by a complication manifestation. Among the >85 years old of our report, 25.5% of the cases had an ASA score>III. ASA scores differed significantly between two group patients. Most likely, either the >85 years old arrived at the pre-operative visit with a worse functional deficit and due to more careful preoperative assessments concerning organ function and performance status.

In our report, the rate of postoperative mortality in >85 years old was significantly higher than in 80-85 years old (9% versus 5.5%). Multivariate analysis of the combined cohort indicated however that the age>85 did not correlate with mortality; on the contrary, urgent surgical presentation and an ASA score>III were associated with postoperative mortality and with one-year surgery survival. In the combined cohort, ASA>III and urgent surgery were associated with postoperative mortality. In literature, there is wide consensus on the causal relation “ASA – post-operative mortality” for elderly patients who have undergone gastric cancer surgery [12,13]. According to our experience, in the oldest old, the higher ASA score may correlate to lower functional reserves and more significant comorbidities, enough to influence short-term outcomes. The correlation between urgent surgical presentation and operative mortality, for the oldest old undergoing gastric cancer surgery, is confirmed in literature [14]. The hypothesis is that bowel obstruction or perforation may carry a poor prognosis independently from the surgical operation; it is possible that the surgical procedure physical and mental stress along with the general anaesthesia further influence short-term outcomes in such frail conditions. According to several observations, important predictors of postoperative mortality in oldest old patients who have undergone gastric cancer surgery in terms of functional condition [22,23]. Thus, the combination of geriatric assessment and consolidated prognostic indicators, such as the TNM staging, could lead to more effective algorithms for preoperative risk stratification. Moreover, further studies should focus on confirming the clinical usefulness of functional variables (e.g. ASA score) as postoperative mortality predictors. Keeping in mind what mentioned above, a clinical intervention, aiming to modify the above mentioned biological and functional aspects, might improve short-term outcomes. However, since most of the surgeries on nonagenarians are performed in an emergent status, significant results for nutritional interventions might be difficult to achieve before surgery.

This study has the limitations of retrospective investigations involving chart review. Moreover, given the rarity of an operation on a nonagenarian, the number of the sample might limit the statistical power of and limit the generalization of the conclusions. A further limitation of our report was the lack of a standardized institutional protocol for postoperative care.

Conclusion

From the current analysis of a large database of 236 over octogenarians’ patients who have undergone gastric cancer surgery, urgent surgical presentation and ASA score>III, but not age>85, were correlated to postoperative mortality. These results should prompt an improvement in multidimensional geriatric assessment, to better define the risk stratification in the oldest old patients undergoing gastric surgery. Moreover, the development of effective corrective interventions of the above mentioned biological and functional variables might lead to an improvement of surgical outcomes in the increasingly large geriatric population.
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