Mechanisms controlling ureter length and the position of the kidney are poorly understood. Giall cell-line derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) induced RET signaling is critical for ureteric bud outgrowth, but the function of endogenous GDNF in further renal differentiation and urogenital system development remains discursive. Here we analyzed mice where 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of GDNF is replaced with sequence less responsive to microRNA-mediated regulation, leading to increased GDNF expression specifically in cells naturally transcribing Gdnf. We demonstrate that increased Gdnf leads to short ureters in kidneys located in an abnormally caudal position thus resembling human pelvic kidneys. High GDNF levels expand collecting ductal progenitors at the expense of ureteric trunk elongation and result in expanded tip and short trunk phenotype due to changes in cell cycle length and progenitor motility. MEK-inhibition rescues these defects suggesting that MAPK-activity mediates GDNF’s effects on progenitors. Moreover, Gdnf hypermice are infertile likely due to effects of excess GDNF on distal ureter remodeling. Our findings suggest that dysregulation of GDNF levels, for example via alterations in 3′UTR, may account for a subset of congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT) and/or congenital infertility cases in humans and pave way to future studies.

Congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT) are common birth defects affecting around 1% of live births, and causing most cases of the chronic kidney disease in children. CAKUT covers a wide range of malformations that derive from deficiencies in embryonic kidney and lower urinary tract development, including obstruction of ureteropelvic junction, renal dysplasia, hydro-, ectopic and short ureters. Genetic causes for these malformations remain largely unknown despite the extensive sequencing efforts in gene coding regions.

The morphogenesis of urogenital system is influenced by a common nominator, the nephric duct (ND), also known as Wolffian duct. The ND extends caudally towards the posterior end of the embryo to connect to the cloaca through an endoderm-derived structure called the urogenital sinus. Proper positioning and timing of ND connection to the cloaca are important steps for the later development and function of the kidney and rest of the urogenital system. At least 1–2% of male infertility associates with ND defects and the development of Müllerian ducts, which give rise to oviducts (uterine tubes), the uterus and the upper part of vagina, depends on the normal caudal extension of ND. An imperforate hymen is seen in 0.1% of newborn girls and 43% of vaginal and/or hymen agenesis patients co-present malformations in the kidney indicating common etiology between urinary and genital tract development.

Development of the kidney begins by budding of the ND towards renal mesenchyme to create a ureteric bud (UB), which then undergoes extensive branching morphogenesis to generate the renal collecting duct system. According to the “Ureteral Bud Theory” of Mackie and Stephens (1975) and supported by recent transgenic
studies, the site where the UB forms greatly influences ureter maturation and determines the final position of the connection to the bladder. Specifically, cranial budding results in failure to segregate the ureter from the ND, resulting in obstruction, while caudal budding results in the ureter connection to more lateral or anterior sites on bladder, leading to reflux.

Concomitantly with UB branching begins the distal ureter maturation, which remodels the most caudal segment of ND, also called the common ND. The vertical displacement process moves the ureter upwards and separates it from the ND, which in males differentiates into the vas deferens and epididymal ducts, and in females plays an important function in Müllerian duct guidance. The urogenital sinus is an important signaling center expressing several hormones and receptor tyrosine kinase ligands such as GDNF that may influence ND to cloaca attachment and distal ureter remodeling. The regulation of distal ureter remodeling is beginning to emerge, but how ureter length and anatomical positioning of urogenital organs occur are less well understood.

It is well established that kidney development critically depends on GDNF induced RET tyrosine kinase signaling (see also Table 1). Mice lacking Gdnf, receptor Ret or co-receptor Gfra1 show renal aplasia while those with specific mutations in Ret have additional impairment in ND differentiation and display phenotypes that are not reported in Gdnf knockouts. Ectopic transgenic misexpression of Gdnf in ND and derivatives suggested that GDNF is not chemoattractive signal for ureteric bud but stimulates excessive ectopic budding and frequent defects in ureter to bladder connection. Similar excess budding is seen in mouse models lacking SLIT2-ROBO2 signaling which is required to restrict Gdnf expression to its normal domain, and Sprouty1, a protein needed to negatively regulate activation of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. These results suggest that normal nephrogenesis necessitates critically regulated Gdnf expression. However, what other functions endogenous GDNF has in developing kidney e.g. in regulating ureteric bud morphogenesis and differentiation, or differentiation of ND associated reproductive organs remains to be studied.

Previously, we showed that microRNAs miR-9, miR-96, miR-133b and miR-146a suppress GDNF expression by interaction with Gdnf 3′UTR, and that in vivo replacement of Gdnf 3′UTR with a sequence less responsive to regulation by microRNAs and RNA-binding proteins leads to increased endogenous GDNF expression. Our previous analysis focused on brain dopamine system development and function, mainly because of high hopes for using Gdnf in Parkinson's disease treatment and ongoing clinical trials. We also reported that quite unexpectedly for a kidney morphogen, Gdnf hyper/hyper mice have small and malformed kidneys. Importantly, endogenous Gdnf expression pattern is maintained in Gdnf hyper/hyper mice, which have kidneys and are rescued from the early postnatal lethality caused by Gdnf deletion. This allows examination of GDNF functions beyond ureteric bud induction and in postnatal pups.

Here we found that GDNF regulates ureteric bud trunk length through the control of collecting ductal progenitors and by this way defines the position of kidneys in the abdominal cavity. GDNF coding region aberrations are rare in CAKUT patients and occur mostly together with mutations in RET (Table 2). However, our results illustrate the pathogenic potential of dysregulation in GDNF levels and suggest that such analysis, though technically challenging in patients, may reveal new pathogenesis of CAKUT.

Results
Genetically enhanced endogenous GDNF expression shifts expansion of primary ureteric bud rostrally and distorts ureter morphogenesis. We have previously reported that GDNF protein levels are increased 3-fold in Gdnf−/− and 6-fold in homozygous kidneys of Gdnf hyper/hyper mice at embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5) and result in reduced kidney size at birth. Here we investigated how GDNF 3′UTR regulation, which does not affect endogenous expression pattern, influences the development of the whole urogenital system, where excess Gdnf expression is confined in the cells endogenously expressing it (Fig. S1A–E). We first analyzed nephric duct (ND) morphogenesis and UB morphogenesis at early developmental stages. After its formation, the ND extends caudally towards the posterior end of the embryo to connect to the cloaca (Figs. 1A, S1A). At E10.5, the ND was connected to the cloaca in both control and Gdnf hyper/hyper embryos but the connecting segment of ND itself was dilated in the Gdnf hyper/hyper embryonic kidneys (Fig. 1A.B). As previously described, the UBs were also wider in Gdnf hyper/hyper than those in wild type (WT) embryos (Fig. 1A,B). Moreover, the primary bud in Gdnf hyper/hyper embryos was formed more rostrally than in the WT embryos, placing the ureteric budding site to an abnormal location. According to the budding theory, this would predict ureter obstruction during later development.

We next studied further nephric duct morphogenesis and UB branching at specific developmental stages known to be critical for ND remodeling, distal ureter remodeling and ureter maturation. At the onset of UB branching morphogenesis, distal ND showed distinct segment of connecting piece and separation of ureter from ND in WT embryos, while Gdnf hyper/hyper and fraction of Gdnf−/−/+ hyper embryos (45%, unilateral) showed abnormal UB morphology and failure to segregate distal ureter from ND (Fig. S2A–C). After branching morphogenesis initiation, WT kidneys typically showed several UB tips (8–9/9-kidney at E12.5) (Fig. 1C). Vertical displacement was either initiated (left side) or completed (right side) in the WT at E12.5 (Fig. 1C–C′). At this point, ureteric branching was severely retarded in the Gdnf hyper/hyper embryos (Fig. 1D). Also extra budding was seen at the rostral end of the Gdnf hyper/hyper common ND, which failed to start normal vertical displacement and exhibited a dilated common ND (Fig. 1D–F). The common ND remodelling was completed in WT embryos by E13.5 as seen by separated ureter and nephric duct (Fig. S2D). The common ND in Gdnf hyper/hyper embryos though remained aberrantly long and showed a widely dilated caudal end (Fig. S2E). Similar ND abnormalities were still present in Gdnf hyper/hyper embryos at E14.5 and interfered with Müllerian duct development as seen by abnormal extensions in its caudal end, something which was not observed in WT samples (Fig. 1E,F).

High GDNF levels result in short and misplaced ureters. The finding that the most caudal ureteric bud that gives rise to the future ureter fails to separate from the nephric duct in Gdnf hyper/hyper embryos predicts potential defects in later development of the ureter and other nephric duct derivatives. Examination of the urogenital
| Published overexpression/functional inactivation studies | Endogenous GDNF elevation (this study) |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| **Phenotype** | **Strategy** | **Phenotype** | **Novelty** |
| ND: extra budding rostrally | Exogenous GDNF protein in kidney explant cultures | ND: normal until common ND remodelling | New |
| UB formation: not affected | | UB formation: abnormally wide UB | New |
| Kidney proper: expanded UB tips | | Kidney proper: expanded UB tips | In-line |
| ND: extra budding along the entire ND & ureter connecting to sex ducts | Inducible, ectopic GDNF over-expression in ND | ND: normal until common ND remodelling, & ureter connecting to sex ducts | New |
| Mesonephros: extra budding | | Mesonephros: normal | New |
| Kidney proper: expanded UB tips | | Kidney proper: expanded UB tips | In-line |
| ND: normal | Conventional Gdnf deletion | ND: normal until common ND remodelling | In-line |
| UB formation: not affected | | UB formation: abnormally wide | New |
| Kidney proper: no kidney | | Kidney proper: short UB trunk & pelvic kidney | New |
| ND: extra budding rostrally | Conventional deletion of Robo2/Slit2 (needed to restrict Gdnf expression) | ND: normal until common ND remodelling | New |
| UB formation: not reported | | UB formation: abnormally wide | New |
| Kidney proper: expanded UB tips, several ureters, hydroureters | | Kidney proper: expanded UB tips, occasional hydroureters | New & In-line |
| ND: extra budding rostrally | Conventional deletion of Spry1 (negative inhibitor of Ret signaling) | ND: normal until common ND remodelling | New |
| UB formation: abnormally wide UB | | UB formation: abnormally wide | New |
| Kidney proper: expanded UB tips, several ureters, hydroureters, blind ended ureters | | Kidney proper: expanded UB tips, occasional hydroureters | In-line |
| RPO: ureter connecting to vas deference, abnormally located testes | | RPO: Failure to separate ureter | New |
| | | from common ND, vaginal imperforation, abnormal connection between vas deference and seminal vesicle, sperm in seminal vesicle, infertility | New & In-line |
| RPO: Proliferative response & increase in urethral mesenchyme thickness | Exogenous GDNF protein in urogenital sinus explant cultures | | New |
| RPO: Increase in ovarian primordial follicle development | | RPO: Vaginal imperforation, infertility | New |
| RPO: Patchy loss of spermatogenesis -> normal fertility | GDNF haploinsufficiency, Gdnf+/− | RPO: Sperm in the of seminal vesicle, abnormal connection between vas deference and seminal vesicle, | New |
| RPO: Spermatogonial differentiation failure, accumulation of stem cells -> infertility | Ectopic GDNF overexpression with E1 promoter | infertility without spermatogonial differentiation defect | New & In-line |

Table 1. Summary of GDNF functions identified using Gdnf<sup>hyper</sup> mice. The main findings of this study are reported in comparison with published reports from ectopic GDNF applications and GDNF knock-out mice. The forth column indicates whether the observations made in GDNF<sup>hyper</sup> mice are consistent with function predicted from ectopic GDNF applications and from Gdnf gene deletion studies or provide novel information. Abbreviations: ND; nephric duct, RPO; reproductive organ, UB; ureteric bud.

The system at late-embryonic and early-postnatal stages indeed revealed unilateral hydroureters in Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> kidneys, which were often also unilaterally hypoplastic and irregularly shaped (Fig. 2A,3). In Gdnf<sup>hyper/hyper</sup> animals the entire urogenital system was severely malformed and kidneys were small and multicystic as previously described<sup>29</sup> (Fig. 2A–F). Almost half of the Gdnf<sup>hyper/hyper</sup> and 1/5 of the Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> mice also develop hydroureters (Fig. 2G), which is a hallmark of abnormal ureter-to-bladder connection. Accordingly, ureteric bud and collecting duct cysts were regularly seen in postnatal kidneys (Fig. S3C–E) <sup>26</sup>. Strikingly, the ureter length in Gdnf<sup>hyper/hyper</sup> kidneys at E18.5 (56.6 ± 12.9%, n = 3) was markedly shorter than that seen in WT controls (100 ± 7.7%, n = 4, p = 0.028), and therefore kidneys were postnatally positioned low in the abdominal cavity (Fig. S3A,B, see also Fig. 2C,F). This indicates that GDNF regulates ureter length and thereby indirectly the final localization of the kidneys in the adult body.

Abnormally wide primary ureteric bud in Gdnf<sup>hyper/hyper</sup> embryos associates with a proliferation burst in the early nephric duct. Next we sought to characterize the cellular causes for the abnormalities in primary UB and distal ureter morphogenesis leading to short and disconnected ureter in Gdnf<sup>hyper/hyper</sup> animals. We first examined proliferation and apoptosis in control and hypermorphic kidneys and found that at the time of primary ureteric bud formation (E10.5), the mitotic index in the caudal ND and ureteric epithelium of Gdnf<sup>hyper/hyper</sup>
was 40% higher than in the controls (Fig. 3A). This excess proliferation at the time of primary UB formation likely explains the observed increase in primary ureteric bud size in early Gdgnf hyper/hyper kidneys (Fig. 1). Surprisingly, the mitotic index was normalized in tips of Gdgnf hyper/hyper UBs soon after the onset of branching, despite the significant difference in the total ureteric epithelial cell numbers (Fig. 3A,B). Interestingly, accumulation of cells negative for mitosis marker pHH3 were observed in the luminal cavity of UB both at E10.5 and E11.5 in Gdgnf hyper/hyper kidneys, while these were not seen in WT kidneys (Fig. 3C–F). Simultaneously, apoptosis was significantly increased in Gdgnf hyper/hyper ureteric bud epithelium (Fig. 3G–I). This data show that excess GDNF increases cell proliferation in the caudal nephric duct, specifically at the emergence of the ureteric bud, and suggest that later in UB epithelium excess GDNF may interfere with luminal mitosis mechanism as accumulation of cell mass and increased apoptosis were observed in the lumen of Gdgnf hyper/hyper UB.

Previously, it has been indicated that apoptosis is an essential process in common nephric duct remodelling. We stained common nephric ducts with apoptosis marker cleaved Caspase3 and found reduced apoptotic indices in tips of Gdgnf hyper/hyper samples as again they were comparable to WTs (Fig. S4E,F). This suggests that common nephric duct remodelling utilizes additional mechanisms than apoptosis to achieve normal morphological outcome.

**High GDNF levels block ureteric bud and renal growth by restricting emigration of progenitors from ureteric bud tips.** To analyze the effect of excess GDNF on further UB morphogenesis we crossed Gdgnf-wt/hyper mice with HoxB7CreGFP mice expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) in the ND and its derivatives. Normally, new ureteric bud tips are generated from the existing tips, which first expand to form the ampulla that then bifurcate to generate two new tips. Bifurcation is followed by trunk elongation and some cells initially found in the tips are later found in the trunk region where the collecting duct differentiation takes place. Indeed, time-lapse imaging of control kidneys showed typical, long and elongated trunks between the nephric duct and tip epithelia. Control kidneys produced new branches from the ampulla, and also showed obvious trunk elongation. The UB tips in Gdgnf hyper/hyper kidneys, however, were enlarged and exhibited a cleft-like furrow in the middle and bud-like structures all over the tips. The Gdgnf hyper/hyper tip expanded considerably more than the trunk - the future ureter, elongated, and remained significantly shorter than in WT controls (arrowheads in Fig. 4A,B). Trunk lengths at E12.0 kidneys exemplified in Fig. S5A,B: 1135.2 ± 27.86 µm in WT (n = 5), 446.3 ± 87.3 µm in Gdgnf hyper/hyper (n = 5), p = 0.0018). Thus, the ureteric bud tip growth occurred at the expense of trunk elongation in Gdgnf hyper/hyper embryos.

Next we investigated how tips in Gdgnf hyper/hyper kidneys remain enlarged despite a normal mitotic index after primary budding and why trunks in Gdgnf hyper/hyper kidneys fail to elongate at a similar pace as in the WT. Highly proliferative tips host progenitor cells, which are capable of populating the renal collecting duct system. Normal RET and its downstream activities appear critical for maintaining epithelial progenitor cells in the tip domain. On the other hand, cells with low or no RET activity are left behind from the tip domain (the niche) and are later found in trunks where they differentiate into collecting duct cells. We hypothesized that a high GDNF level positively regulates progenitor expansion and has a negative effect on trunk elongation by restricting emigration of progenitors from the niche. If this is correct, low GDNF dosage allows more cells to leave the tips to populate the trunks for elongation and differentiation.

**Table 2.** Overview of mutational analysis in GDNF/RET signaling components in patients with congenital anomalies of kidney and urinary tract. Targeted and whole genome sequencing approaches have revealed genetic aberrations in GDNF receptor Ret but mutations in Gdnf itself are largely either missing or in combination with Ret variations. Abbreviations: NA; not analyzed, pVUR; primary vesicoureteral reflux, VUR; vesicoureteral reflux.
Next, tip and trunk segments (Fig. S5E) were analyzed separately in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys. Previous characterization of cell cycle lengths in the developing kidney (14.5 h in tips and 22.4 h in trunks35) was utilized in designing the pulse-labelling experiments (5-ethynyl-2′deoxyuridine (EdU), 30 min). Similar to mitotic index (Fig. 3A,B), EdU+ and total cell number almost doubled in the trunks of WT kidneys at 8 h, showing a greater proportional increase with excess GDNF (Fig. S5F). This indicates that tip cells cycle faster in UBs of Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys. This also suggests that shorter cell cycle time contributes to increased total tip cell numbers and ureteric bud size in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys.

Control ureteric bud trunks are longer than those in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys (Fig. 4A–C). Indeed, while EdU+ and total cell number almost doubled in the trunks of WT kidneys at 8 h, in sharp contrast neither parameter
increased in the Gdnf hyper/hyper trunks during this time period (Fig. 4G,H). These results imply that high GDNF levels result in large tips by the combination of two mechanisms: by restricting emigration from the tip niche to the trunks and by shortening the cell-cycle time in the tips. Alternatively, it is also possible that an excess of GDNF may have a direct negative effect on trunk cell proliferation, but this is unlikely as ureteric trunk cells do not express any known GDNF receptors.

MEK inhibition normalizes ureteric bud morphology and branching in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys.

Several intracellular cascades are activated downstream of RET receptor upon GDNF binding. In order to assess which of these intracellular cascades could mediate GDNF’s effect on collecting duct progenitors and ureter length we next performed chemical inhibition experiments in cultured kidney explants to examine potential predominant intracellular pathway in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys. Consistent with previous reports, inhibitor-specific responses were observed in WT kidneys where PI3K/AKT or MAPK cascades were inhibited. Interestingly, only MAPK pathway suppression with MEK inhibitor UO126 normalized UB tip morphology and improved trunk length in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys (Fig. 5). Chemical MEK inhibition, similarly to its genetic abrogation specifically in UB and derivatives, results in elongation only phenotype, where UB tips fail to expand into an ampullae and rarely produce new tips (Fig. 5A,B). The UB tips in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys are wide and expanded but showed significant normalization upon 48 h culture with MEK inhibitor (Fig. 5C–E). Our results thus indicate that a MAPK dependent imbalance in collecting ductal progenitor self-renewal vs. differentiation drives the ureteric bud phenotype in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys. It remains to be seen whether MAPK pathway is responsible for mediating GDNF functions also in distal ureter remodeling.

Endogenous overexpression of GDNF causes infertility in both genders. The “Ureteral Bud Theory” of Mackie and Stephens predicts that changes in the location where primary UB forms affect further ureter development. Accordingly, rostrally expanded budding site in Gdnf hyper/hyper embryos results in failure to segregate the ureter from the ND ureter (Figs 1 and S2). In addition to giving rise to ureteric bud, segments of nephric duct also differentiate into the vas deferens and epididymal ducts in males, while in females nephric duct guides Müllerian duct development. We hypothesized that defects in ND and distal ureter remodelling may lead to impaired development of reproductive organs whose differentiation also depends on nephric duct.
Indeed, the hypothesis is supported by the finding that Gdnf hyper/hyper mice showed occasionally attachment of the ureter to the testis (Fig. 2F).

Gdnf hyper/hyper mice survive less than three weeks and therefore we could not test their fertility potential. However, we noticed that Gdnf wt/hyper mice became infertile after back-crossing original mixed C57Bl/6NCrl/129SvOla background strain to the isogenic C57Bl/6NCrl strain. As an example, 9/22 Gdnf wt/hyper males in F1 generation and all Gdnf wt/hyper females in F2 (n = 9) failed to impregnate WT females despite clear vaginal plugs. Likewise, 10/22 Gdnf wt/hyper females in F1, and all Gdnf wt/hyper females in F2 were infertile, setting a limit on the number of possible backcrosses. As a consequence, the Gdnf wt/hyper mice had to be maintained in mixed background (C57BL/6NCrl/ICR/and129SvOla) to avoid infertility and produce the mouse colony for the experiments.

We next sought to understand the causes of infertility in sub-isogenic Gdnf wt/hyper mice. Anatomical examination of the F1 and F2 Gdnf wt/hyper males in the C57BL/6NCrl backcross revealed defects in the connections between the ureter, vas deferens, and seminal vesicles (Fig. 6A,B). For example, vas deferens was directly connected to seminal vesicles, which caused abnormal accumulation of sperm in the seminal vesicles of Gdnf wt/hyper male mice (Fig. 6C-D). However, sperm collected from the epididymis appeared normal both in morphology and motility (Fig. 6A,B). Infertility in females was likely caused by an imperforate vagina (Figs 6E–H, S6C,D), which prevents penetration of the sperm to the female oviduct. These data show that enhanced GDNF levels cause...
Figure 4. In vitro analysis of ureteric bud and trunk growth. Time-lapse imaging of 24 h cultured E11.5 (A) wild type (WT) and (B) Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys where nephric ducts and ureteric bud epithelia are visualized by transgenic HoxB7CreGFP expression. Yellow arrow marks cleft-like furrow between the distinct ends of ureteric bud tips, arrowhead points to ureteric trunk. (C) Measurement of trunk lengths, presented as the average primary trunk length ± SEM, in WT and Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys at E12 reveals that UB trunks are significantly shorter in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys (728.6 ± 91.3 µm in WT, 286.5 ± 28.0 µm in Gdnf hyper/hyper, n = 4/genotype, p = 0.013, unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). (D) Trunk measurements in WT and Gdnf wt/ko kidneys show that trunk length in Gdnf wt/ko kidneys is increased (408.9 ± 27.9 µm in WT (n = 13), 439.8 ± 16.0 µm in Gdnf wt/ko (n = 16), p = 0.019, unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). Analysis of (E) EdU-positive cell counts and (F) total epithelial cell counts (average cell numbers depicted inside the bars on (E–H)) in ureteric bud tips (marked by black circles in A and B at 0 and 10 h images) are shown as percentage of growth rates (WT, n = 5; Gdnf hyper/hyper, n = 4). Growth rate at 0 h was set to 100% in both genotypes. The increase ratio in wild type cell numbers was approximately the same in EdU+ (38.9 ± 20.5%, n = 5) and total cell counts (46.6 ± 25.2%, n = 4), while both EdU+ cells (87.5 ± 38.3%, n = 3) and total cells (63.9 ± 30%, n = 3) increased remarkably more in Gdnf hyper/hyper tips. (G) Corresponding analysis of growth rates in the ureter trunks of E11.5 WT and (H) Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys. Dramatic increase in both EdU+ cells (77.9 ± 28.1%, n = 4) and total cell numbers (99.2 ± 6.6%, n = 3) were observed in WT kidney trunks. Gdnf hyper/hyper trunk cells failed to increase either EdU+ (91.6 ± 14.7%, n = 3) or total cell numbers (92.6 ± 2.6%, n = 3). The area of measurements is depicted in A and B images of 0 and 10 h as black lines. Scale bar: 200 µm.
defects not only in ureter maturation but have also functional consequences on fertility through the control of reproductive organ development in both sexes.

Discussion

The functional importance of the 3′UTR on executing gene function is currently unknown for most genes. Very little is known about how the kidneys are positioned in the abdominal cavity and how ureter length is specified. Here we report that GDNF levels, as regulated by its functional 3′UTR, define ureter length and thereby delineate the position of the kidneys in abdominal cavity. Furthermore, we find that correct GDNF levels are important for normal reproductive organ development in both genders.

Our results also highlight that genetic studies on 3′UTRs can provide information, which complements and enhances existing knowledge from gene deletion or mutational analysis. Gene deletion studies have shown that GDNF is required for the initiation of kidney development, but no defects in reproductive organ development has been reported. Furthermore, knowledge on endogenous GDNF’s function beyond the induction of ureteric bud outgrowth and bifurcate branching is largely lacking. We found that GDNF regulates the expansion of collecting ductal progenitors, a cell population located in the ureteric bud tips capable of populating the entire collecting duct system. Specifically, we revealed that an enhanced GDNF level increases the number of collecting ductal progenitors at the expense of the elongation of the trunk, the future ureter, thereby resulting in

Figure 5. MEK inhibition rescues ureteric bud morphology in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys. (A) Wild type (WT) kidney cultured in mock medium for 48 h shows normal morphology of ureteric bud tips (arrows). (B) Similarly to published results, inactivation of MAPK pathway by MEK inhibitor UO126 results in failure to expand ureteric bud tips (arrow) in WT kidneys. (C) Gdnf hyper/hyper kidney cultured in mock medium shows expanded ureteric bud tips and very short trunks. (D) MAPK pathway inhibition significantly improves both ureteric bud tip morphology and trunk length in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys. (E) Statistical analysis of UO126 treatment effects on trunk lengths in WT and Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys shows that MEK inhibition significantly promotes trunk length in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys (411.69 ± 50.93 µm in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidneys cultured with UO126, 263.59 ± 29.55 µm in Gdnf hyper/hyper kidney cultured with DMSO, p = 0.047, n = 9, paired sample t-test; 448.01 ± 44.49 µm in WT kidney cultured with UO126, 484.03 ± 33.16 µm in WT kidney cultured with DMSO, p = 0.146, n = 3, paired sample t-test). Data presented as average trunk length ± the standard error of mean (SEM), * denotes P < 0.05 in paired sample t-test. Abbreviations: CND; common nephric duct, G; gut, ND; nephric duct. Scale bar: 200 µm.
Figure 6. Reproductive organ defects in Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> mice. (A) Male wild type (WT) lower urinary tract and reproductive organs at P10. Black arrow denotes ureter (u) connection to bladder (bl). (B) Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> male in F2 generation exhibits split ureter with one (u, black arrow) connecting to bladder (bl) and the other, hydroureter (u) connecting to vas deferens (vd, red arrow). (C) Hematoxylin-eosin staining of P56 wild type seminal vesicle. Squared area is enlarged in C’. (D) Histology of P56 Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> seminal vesicle shows sperm inside the lumen and loss of typical lobular shape of seminal vesicles. Squared area is enlarged in D’. (E) Normal female mouse abdomen at P35. Yellow arrows point to uterus, white arrows indicate ureter. (F) Corresponding abdomen of Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> female shows hydrotic ureters and badly swollen uteri (yellow arrows). Hematoxylin-eosin staining of frontal sections of (G) WT and (H) Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> vagina at the surface level. Asterisk in H shows accumulation of keratinized mass inside the vagina, which is indicative of fluid blockage. Black arrows point to vaginal opening in wild type mice, and to the site where vaginal opening should be in Gdnf<sup>wt/hyper</sup> mice. All images are examples of F2 generation of backcrossing to isogenic C57BL/6NCrl background. Abbreviations: bl; bladder, sp; sperm, sv; seminal vesicle, t; testis, u; ureter, ut; uterus, vd; vas deferens. Scale bars: 1 mm and C’: 250 µm.
short ureters. Our results suggest that GDNF may participate in regulation of a novel form of cell divisions called luminal mitosis. It was shown that dividing cells of ureteric bud delaminate from the epithelial sheet to enter the luminal space of the epithelium\(^2\). This occurs just prior the cell division, after which the two cells re-enter the epithelial sheet couple of cells apart. Our observation of abnormal cellular mass in the lumen of Gdnf\(^{hyper/hyper}\) mice suggests that defects in re-entry process of luminal mitosis, but further experiments are needed to verify this hypothesis.

We also found that excess GDNF can result in infertility in adults likely due to defects in common nephric duct remodeling, a developmental process necessary for segregation of ureters from reproductive organs and known to depend on GDNF receptor RET\(^{12,17,18}\). The precise mechanism how GDNF regulates female reproductive tract development and specifically vaginal perforation remains to be determined. Previously GDNF was shown to induce proliferation in the urogenital sinus\(^45\), which functions as a precursor for the bladder and lower urogenital system (prostatic and penile urethrae in males; urethra and lower vagina in females). Changes in this process may contribute to development-derived infertility in Gdnf\(^{hyper/hyper}\) mice and make an important aspect for future studies.

Interestingly, activating and inactivating mutations reported in Gdnf receptor RET do not phenocopy urogenital system malformations we found in Gdnf\(^{hyper/hyper}\) mice. Activating RET mutations, which cause constitutively active signaling, result in pediatric cancer syndromes such as multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) type 2A and 2B\(^{46,47}\) but except for the rare occasions (total of five patients in three distinct kindreds\(^{48–50}\) of MEN2A), the majority of MEN patients display normal kidney morphology. Indeed, while urogenital system development in MEN2B mice is normal\(^51\), we found that Gdnf\(^{hyper}\) mice display multiple defects not only in kidney but also in reproductive organs. Further, manipulation of specific docking sites responsible for activation of distinct intracellular cascades downstream of RET have resulted in varying degrees of changes in morphology of the kidney, nephric duct and its derivatives\(^{4,13,17,18,51}\). This, together with our results, suggests that a precisely tuned signaling cascade, where regulation of expression levels of the ligand, GDNF, is essential for defining the activation amplitude and cell-type specific responses in GDNF-RET regulated tissues.

Finally, our finding that increased GDNF levels due to disruption of its 3’UTR function - not mutations in GDNF or in its receptor protein encoding sequences\(^{20,22,52,53}\) - result in phenotype homologous to human CAKUT and infertility, may be clinically informative because despite of the advances in sequencing techniques, the disease causing mutation remains unknown in most patients suffering from CAKUT and infertility\(^2,\)\(^4\). It is becoming increasingly clear that mutations in coding sequence of DNA alone do not account for many human disorders and epigenetic as well as other mechanisms controlling gene expression levels may explain many congenital diseases.

**Methods**

Mouse models, genotyping and ethics statement. Generation of Gdnf\(^{hyper/hyper}\) and knockout mouse lines used in the study was described by Kumar et al.\(^{26}\). Both lines were maintained on triple mixed background (C57BL/6NCrl/lcr/and129SvOla) for all experiments except those examining reproductive organs (indicated in the text). Hoxb7CreGFP\(^{54}\) line was bred to Gdnf\(^{hyper/hyper}\) mice to enable visualization of ND and its derivatives. The genotyping was performed by PCR as described previously\(^{20,26}\).

Embryonic staging was determined as reported previously\(^{55}\) and all experiments were approved by the Finnish National Animal Care and Use Committee. The experiments were designed according 3R principles and followed European Union directives (Directive 2010/EU/63) in compliance with the Code of Ethical Conduct for Animal Experimentation.

**Tissue collection, processing, hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and immunofluorescent staining.** Embryos and tissues of indicated stages were dissected in Dulbecco’s medium supplemented with 0.2% bovine serum albumin and fixed with 4% PFA. Further processing for paraffin embedding was done according to standard procedure with an automatic tissue processor (Leica ASP 200).

HE, whole-mount, and immunostaining on paraffin sections were performed as previously described\(^{56}\). More details in supporting information file.

**Organ culture, live imaging and EdU-labelling.** Kidneys were cultured on a Trowell-type system in medium of F12:DMEM/10% fetal bovine serum/penicillin-streptomycin, and either processed for pHH3 pulse labelling experiments in supplemental information. Statistical analyses were performed with unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test or paired-samples t-test, when appropriate. The level of statistical significance was set to p < 0.05. The calculations were carried out with SPSS (IBM; Version 22).

**Quantification of mitotic indices and apoptosis.** Quantification of mitotic index at indicated stages was performed on vibratome sections (three embryos per genotype and 7–9 sections per kidneys were studied for pH3 + nephric duct/ureteric bud cells) similarly as previously described\(^{39}\). Proliferation significances were tested with Independent samples t-test (1-tailed, equal variances).

Apoptosis was analyzed on vibratome sections by double staining with cleaved-Caspase3 and β-catenin. Samples were collected at E10.5 (n = 7 for both genotypes), E11.5 (wt n = 5, Gdnf\(^{hyper/hyper}\) n = 4) and E15.5 (wt n = 5, Gdnf\(^{hyper/hyper}\) n = 4).

**Statistical analyses** were performed with unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test or paired-samples t-test, when appropriate. The level of statistical significance was set to p < 0.05.
Sperm motility test. For motility testing, sperm from three F1 and two F2 Gdnf<sup>hyper/hyper</sup> male mice backcrossed to C57BL/6NCrl isogenic background for one or two generations, respectively, were collected. Cauda was dissected out and two small incisions were made to allow sperm to swim out into HTF medium containing BSA (K-RVFE-50, William A. Cook Australia Pty. Ltd) for 15 min at 37 °C (N2/6% CO2/5% O2), after which sperm were counted according to standard categorization criteria (a-b−c−d) using a Bürker hemocytometer chamber (Hawksley). After motility assessment, a fraction of the sperm was pipetted onto a microscope slide for morphological analysis followed by HE staining.
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