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Abstract

Background The infra-acetabular screw is placed from the pubis to the ischium and can be used as a special lag screw of the posterior column of the acetabulum. This study was performed to simulate the surgical procedure and try to obtain the ideal insertion point, diameter, length and angle of the screw through the method of axial perspective in Chinese patients.

Methods We randomly collected the pelvic computed tomography (CT) scans of 200 adults. DICOM-formatted CT-scan images were imported into Mimics software. The 3D digital model of the right semi-pelvic was established. A virtual cylinder representing the screw was placed from the pubis to the ischium to fix the posterior column. The largest secure diameter and length of the virtual screw were measured. The position of the insertion point and the directions of the screw were also researched.

Results The screw insertion safe zone can exhibit an irregular “tear drop” from the reconstructed pelvic model. The mean maximum diameter of screws was 5.01±1.28 mm, and the mean maximum length of screws was 93.99±8.92 mm. The screw insertion corridor with a diameter of at least 3.5mm was found in 94 of 100 males (94%) and 86 of 100 females (86%). We found gender-dependent differences for the mean maximum diameter and the maximum length of the screw. The position of insertion point was statistically significant in different genders.

Conclusions The study provides a valuable guideline for the largest secure corridor of infra-acetabular screw. We suggest an individual preoperative 3D reconstruction simulation for the ideal screw placement. Further biomechanical studies are needed to verify the function of the screw.

Background

The treatment of complex acetabular fractures complicated with quadrilateral displacement has been widely studied in recent years [1–6]. The goal of acetabular surgery is achieving perfect reduction and rigid fixation, allowing early joint movement and avoiding postoperative complications [1, 5, 7].

Early, Letournel and Judet have shown the screw path parallel to the quadrilateral wall [8]. The screw was used to fix the quadrilateral fracture and prevent the medial subluxation. The placement of this additional screw can also increase the fixation strength of plate for acetabular fractures [4, 5]. On this basis, Culemann et al described the infra-acetabular screw [1]. They showed the operative technique and indication of the screw. However, the placement of the infra-acetabular screw is technically demanding due to the unique and complex anatomy of the osseous area. A knowledge of the correct location of the insertion point and screw direction is essential to avoid penetrating into joint and injury to neurovascular structures [9].

At present, there are many studies on the application of CT data into various software for the fixation of periacetabular screws [7, 9, 10–13]. In previous studies, the method of axial perspective can help to find a larger anterior and posterior column screw path[10, 11]. The purpose of the study is to specify the ideal
Methods

We retrospectively collected the pelvic CT scans of 200 adults who had undergone continuous slice CT scanning at the imaging research center of our hospital between August 2016 and June 2019. Patients were excluded if they had pelvic or acetabular fractures, tumors, severe deformities, or severe hip inflammation. The mean age of the patients on whom the models were based was 46.31 ± 14.19 years (range 18–86 years).

DICOM-formatted CT-scan images of each patient were imported into Mimics software (20.0; Materialise, Leuven, Belgium). We removed the soft tissue, femoral head and sacroiliac joint by the function of image segmentation, region growth and multiple slice editing, respectively. A total of 200 right virtual semi-pelvic models were created.

We reduced the transparency of the semi-pelvic models and turned it to the axial perspective view, which was parallel to the posterior of obturator formamen from top to bottom (Fig. 1A). We observed and adjusted the position of the model to find the largest translucent area through the perspective view. Then, a translucent area like a tear drop was seen clearly (Fig. 1B). The area represented the infra-acetabular screw path and the outline represented the medial cortical bone. A virtual cylinder representing the screw was placed into the translucent area. The diameter was increased progressively and the maximum diameter was defined when the cylinder did not penetrate the border of the area (Fig. 1C). We observed and adjusted the length of the screw to make sure that the screw did not penetrate the cortical bone (Fig. 2A-D). The diameter and length of the virtual screw were measured. In order to confirm the position of screw, the distances from the insertion point to the eminence iliopectinea, the pubic tubercle and the pelvic border (arcuate line/pecten pubis) were measured, respectively. They were recorded as Distance L1, L2 and L3 (Fig. 3). The lateral inclination angle between the screw and the medial surface of quadrilateral plate was measured and recorded as angle α (Fig. 4A). In addition, we defined a reference plane perpendicular to the medial surface of quadrilateral plate. The posterior inclination angle between the screw and the reference plane was also measured and recorded as angle β (Fig. 4B).

The collected data were analyzed by SPSS 19.0 statistical software. The experimental data are represented as the mean ± SD. T tests were used to compare the data. Statistical significance was accepted at p < 0.05.

Results

The study subjects included 100 males and 100 females aged between 18 and 86 years old, with a mean age of 46.31 ± 14.19 years. As shown in Fig. 1-B, the screw insertion safe zone can exhibit an irregular “tear drop” from the reconstructed pelvic model.
As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the mean maximum diameter of screws was $5.01 \pm 1.28$ mm and the mean maximum length of screws was $93.99 \pm 8.92$ mm. The mean distance $L_1$ was $5.99 \pm 3.14$ mm, $L_2$ was $14.45 \pm 3.18$ mm, and $L_3$ was $45.81 \pm 6.40$ mm, respectively. For the data captured above, the intersex difference was significant ($P < 0.05$).

### Table 1
Comparison between different genders: Diameters of screws, Lengths of screws.

| Group        | Diameter# (mm) | Length# (mm) |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| All (n = 200) | $5.01 \pm 1.28$ | $93.99 \pm 8.92$ |
| Male (n = 100) | $5.54 \pm 1.38$ | $100.23 \pm 6.37$ |
| Female (n = 100) | $4.49 \pm 0.90$ | $87.74 \pm 6.36$ |
| t value*      | 6.391          | 13.860       |
| P value*      | 0.00           | 0.00         |

Note:* $t$ and $P$ are the results of gender comparisons. #For the Diameter and Length, intersex difference was significant ($P < 0.05$).

### Table 2
Comparison between different genders: $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L_3$.

| Group       | $L_1$#(mm) | $L_2$# (mm) | $L_3$#(mm) |
|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| All (n = 200) | $5.99 \pm 3.14$ | $14.45 \pm 3.18$ | $45.81 \pm 6.40$ |
| Male (n = 100) | $7.16 \pm 2.81$ | $13.90 \pm 2.58$ | $47.14 \pm 6.20$ |
| Female (n = 100) | $4.82 \pm 3.02$ | $15.00 \pm 3.61$ | $44.48 \pm 6.35$ |
| t value*      | 5.683      | -2.486      | 2.999      |
| P value*      | 0.00       | 0.014       | 0.003      |

Note:* $t$ and $P$ are the results of gender comparisons. #For the distance of $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L_3$, intersex difference was significant ($P < 0.05$).

The mean angle $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of different genders were also recorded in Table 3. The former was $-0.09^\circ \pm 4.39^\circ$ and the latter was $-1.90^\circ \pm 8.88^\circ$. However, the results were not statistically significant between males and females ($P > 0.05$).
Table 3
Comparison between different genders: Angle α and β.

| Group        | α (°)     | β (°)     |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| All (n = 200)| -0.09 ± 4.39 | -1.90 ± 8.88 |
| Male (n = 100)| 0.28 ± 4.78  | -1.99 ± 9.35  |
| Female (n = 100)| -0.46 ± 3.96 | -1.81 ± 8.43  |
| t value*     | 1.204     | -0.147    |
| P value*     | 0.230     | 0.883     |

Note: *t and P are the results of gender comparisons. For the Angle α, a negative value indicates a medial inclination. For the Angle β, a negative value indicates an anterior inclination.

The screw insertion corridor with a diameter of at least 3.5 mm was found in 94 of 100 males (94%) and 86 of 100 females (86%). However, the corridor with a diameter of at least 4.5 mm was found in 77 of 100 males (77%) and 53 of 100 females (53%) from the Fig. 5.

Discussion

In recent years, the treatment trend of acetabular fractures is toward less invasive single ilioinguinal approach, especially in elderly patients [14–18]. Due to the complex characteristic of pelvic anatomy, the safe region of screw placement is far away from the acetabulum, which will reduce the peri-acetabular stability [7]. The common fixation methods for acetabular fractures are lag screw fixation and plate osteosynthesis [10]. During the past research, lag screw fixation has achieved good outcomes [19,20]. The infra-acetabular screw can be applied via a single ilioinguinal approach to treat acetabular fractures involving a fracture line descending along the acetabular fossa and reaching the obturator foramen [1]. At present, there is no literature regards this screw as a lag screw for the posterior column, and there are few digital anatomical studies on its properties.

Mimics software has been widely used in 3D reconstruction for the development of digital orthopedics technology. In our study, we applied the 3D method of axial perspective as described in previous studies [10, 11, 21]. We found the largest secure screw path along the longitudinal axis of the anterior part of posterior column after reducing the transparency of the 3D model. Compared with previous studies of computer-assisted determination or virtual three-dimensional model [12, 22], the method of axial perspective shows another osseous channel for lag screw of posterior column. We increased the diameter of virtual cylinder progressively and monitored the virtual screw in the views of coronal plane, sagittal plane and horizontal plane, without violating the cortices and articular surface. Compared with previous human cadaveric studies [23, 24], the method used in our study not only saves manpower, materials and financial resources, but also can be repeated and verified by test results with high reliability.
In our research, the diameter and length of the infra-acetabular screw were significantly larger in males compared with females. In addition, the vertical distance from the insertion point to the arcuate line or pecten pubis and the distances from the insertion point to eminelntia iliopectinea and pubic tubercle were all observed in this study. For the data captured above, the intersex difference was significant. This is due to the obvious anatomic differences in pelvic bones between female and male. This study showed that the mean angle $\alpha$ and $\beta$ between male and female had no statistical inference. From the Table 3, both angles were close to 0 degrees. This means that the screw is almost parallel to the medial surface of quadrilateral plate and perpendicular to the arcuate line.

Gras et al found that 93% pelves contained an infra-acetabular corridor with a diameter of at least 5 mm [13]. They also provided reference values for placement of a 3.5-mm cortical screw in the corridor. However, in our study, we found that the containable diameter of the screw was smaller in Chinese patients, especially in female. According to the information in our study, the maximum diameter to avoid cortical breaches is $5.54 \pm 1.38$ mm in male and $4.49 \pm 0.90$ mm in female. The screw insertion corridor with a diameter of at least 3.5 mm was found in 94 of 100 males (94%) and 86 of 100 females (86%). Only 77 males (77%) and 53 females (53%) possessed a corridor with diameter of at least 4.5 mm as shown in Fig. 5. If a lag screw is to be used, a 3.5-mm cortical screw is the first choice and a 4.5 mm-hollow screw may be considered in males. Nevertheless, due to individual and sex differences, the use of preoperative measurements and calculations by digital tools is recommended.

On the basis of mastering the diameter and length of screw, the insertion point and direction are two important factors affecting the safe placement of infra-acetabular screw. Unlike the common posterior column screw, the infra-acetabular screw needs to be placed through the middle window of ilioinguinal approach. Culemann et al reported that the entry point for the infra-acetabular screw is 1 cm caudal of the eminelntia iliopectinea and in the middle of the pubic ramus [1]. Baumann et al found that the ideal entry point for the infra-acetabular screw is 10.2 mm caudal and 10.4 mm medial of the eminelntia iliopectinea [7]. Gras et al found that the optimized entry points of infra-acetabular screws are located in the mediocaudal region of the eminelntia iliopectinea [13]. Different from previous studies, we found that the optimized insertion point is $13.90 \pm 2.58$ mm away from the eminelntia iliopectinea in males and $15.00 \pm 3.61$ mm in females. The anatomic landmark of eminelntia iliopectinea and pubic tubercle are large bony bumps which can be well palpable and identified, so they can be used as effective references intraoperatively. The parameters of the infra-acetabular screw may provide the surgeon appropriate information of safe lag screw placement for the treatment of acetabular fracture with separation of both columns. The large standard deviation of our results indicates great differences among individuals. As a result, preoperative planning should be implemented detailedly for each patient. 3D reconstruction and simulated screw placement technique with digital software before operation are valuable.

There are some limitations to this study. We only analyzed the data according to the gender, not according to different age groups. In addition, we did not collect data according to height, weight or body bone density. These factors may affect the implantation of screws. We only studied the pelvises of Chinese people, who have different skeletal shapes than American and European populations. What is
more, more biomechanical studies and related clinical research should be performed to compare the
effect of the infra-acetabular screw with other acetabular screws.

Conclusion

We indicate a valuable guideline for the largest secure corridor of infra-acetabular screw, which can be
used as a special posterior column lag screw. The ideal screw position and the size of the screws can be
determined in 3D-models by digital software. Further biomechanical studies are needed to verify the
strength and effect of the screw.
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