Red cell distribution width is correlated with extensive coronary artery disease in patients with diabetes mellitus
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Abstract

Introduction: Previous studies have predicted an independent relationship between red cell distribution width (RDW) and the risk of death and cardiovascular events in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between RDW and extensiveness of CAD in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM).

Methods: Two hundred and thirty-three diabetic patients who underwent coronary angiographies at our centre in 2010 were included in the study. All of the angiograms were re-evaluated and Gensini scores were calculated. Triple-vessel disease was diagnosed in the presence of stenosis > 50% in all three coronary artery systems.

Result: RDW was significantly higher in diabetic CAD patients (p < 0.001). Patients with CAD who had a RDW value above the cut-off point also had higher Gensini scores, higher percentages of obstructive CAD and triple-vessel disease (p ≤ 0.001 for all). According to the cut-off values calculated using ROC analysis, RDW > 13.25% had a high diagnostic accuracy for predicting CAD. RDW was also positively correlated with Gensini score, obstructive CAD and triple-vessel disease (r < 0.468 and p < 0.001 for all).

Conclusion: RDW values were found to be increased in the diabetic CAD population. Higher RDW values were related to more extensive and complex coronary lesions in patients with DM.

Red cell distribution width (RDW) is widely accepted as a measure of anisocytosis and is routinely reported during automated complete blood counts. It is commonly used to narrow the differential diagnosis of anaemia. Many studies have reported that higher RDW values are associated with a worse prognosis in coronary artery disease, heart failure, peripheral artery disease, and even in the unselected population.

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the major risk factors for atherosclerosis. Coronary artery disease (CAD) is more common among patients with DM. CAD is the main cause of death in DM, and DM is associated with a two- to four-fold increased mortality risk from heart disease. Moreover, it has a worse prognosis and is usually more advanced at the time of diagnosis.

Previous studies have shown an association between RDW value and the severity of CAD, but there were no data on the diabetic population. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between RDW and the extensiveness of CAD in patients with DM.

Methods

The study group was formed retrospectively from our catheterisation laboratory registries. Two hundred and thirty-three diabetic patients who underwent coronary angiography at our centre in 2010 were included in the study. The diagnosis of DM was based on a previous history of diabetes treated with or without drug therapies.

Patients with acute or chronic inflammatory disease, severe liver or renal insufficiency, morbid obesity, malignancy, valvular heart disease, heart failure, prior coronary intervention, or who had experienced acute coronary syndrome within 30 days prior to coronary angiography were excluded from the study. In addition, subjects were also excluded if they had a history of anaemia and blood transfusion.

Patient age, gender, past history of disease, smoking habits and current medications were carefully ascertained. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure ≥ 140/90 mmHg or if the subject was taking antihypertensive medications. Dyslipidaemia was defined as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥ 100 mg/dl (≥ 2.59 mmol/l) or if they were taking a hypolipidaemic drug. Anaemia was defined as haemoglobin concentration < 13 g/dl in men and < 12 g/dl in women. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight/height² (kg/m²).

This investigation was a single-centre study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study protocol was approved by the ethics committee at our institution. The study was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Blood samples were drawn from each patient after overnight fasting, during admission for routine chemistry. Haemoglobin, white blood cell count, mean platelet volume (MPV) and RDW values were measured with a Pentra DX 120 analyser.
Neutrophil/lymphocyte (N/L) ratio was calculated by dividing the total neutrophil count by the lymphocyte count. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) analyses were done using the immunonephelometry method (Dade Behring, Inc, BN Prospect, Marburg, Germany). Serum levels of creatinine, fasting blood glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and low-and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were measured using conventional methods.

A conventional angiography device (Artis zee; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) was used for coronary angiography. Angiograms were evaluated qualitatively by two different experts, and mean values were used to assess the rate of stenosis. Patients with atherosclerotic lesions in any of the coronary arteries were diagnosed as having CAD. Obstructive CAD was defined as stenosis of ≥50% of the diameter of a major epicardial or branch vessel >2.0 mm in diameter.

Gensini scores were calculated for each patient as previously defined. Triple-vessel disease was defined as stenosis of ≥50% in each of the major vessels or their major branches. Patients were evaluated and treated according to the current guidelines.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using commercial software (IBM SPSS Statistics 22, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). After performing the Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test, two independent-sample t-tests were used to compare the normally distributed independent variables, and the Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare the non-normally distributed independent variables between the two groups. For normally distributed variables, mean and standard deviation (SD) are listed, otherwise, median values are given. To analyse the categorical data, a chi-squared test was used. Categorical data are expressed as numbers and percentages.

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed for RDW to test the effectiveness of various cut-off points in predicting CAD. The area under the ROC curve was calculated; the sensitivity and specificity for the RDW of the most appropriate cut-off point were calculated for predicting CAD (area under the ROC curve = 0.742, p < 0.001) (Table 1). According to the cut-off values calculated using ROC curve analysis, RDW > 13.25% had a high diagnostic accuracy for predicting CAD (area under the ROC curve = 0.742, p < 0.001) (Table 2).

### Results

The study group was divided into two, according to angiographic results (CAD negative and CAD positive). There were no significant differences between the two groups with regard to age, gender, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, smoking, BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and medications (Table 2). There were also no differences between the low and high RDW groups with regard to serum levels of glucose, uric acid, lipid profile, WBC and haemoglobin (Table 2).

Serum levels of creatinine, hs-CRP, MPV and N/L ratio were significantly higher in the high RDW group (p < 0.005 for all) (Table 2). RDW was positively correlated with hs-CRP, MPV and N/L ratio (r = 0.248, r = 0.240 and r = 0.281, respectively and p = 0.033 for hs-CRP, p < 0.001 for MPV and N/L ratio).

Patients with CAD who had a RDW value above the cut-off point also had higher Gensini scores, higher percentages of obstructive CAD and triple-vessel disease (p ≤ 0.001 for all) (Table 3). According to the cut-off values calculated using ROC curve analysis, RDW > 13.25% had a high diagnostic accuracy for predicting CAD (area under the ROC curve = 0.742, p < 0.001).

| Variables                  | CAD- (n = 109) | CAD+ (n = 124) | p-value |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (years)               | 58.6 ± 8.0    | 57.7 ± 9.0    | 0.387   |
| Gender (male)             | 61 (56)       | 68 (55)       | 0.895   |
| Hypertension              | 93 (85)       | 104 (84)      | 0.856   |
| Dyslipidaemia             | 61 (56)       | 77 (62)       | 0.353   |
| Smoking                   | 14 (13)       | 24 (20)       | 0.215   |
| Aspirin                   | 72 (66)       | 93 (75)       | 0.150   |
| Clopidogrel               | 0 (0)         | 23 (19)       | <0.001  |
| RAS blockers              | 70 (64)       | 93 (75)       | 0.086   |
| β-blockers                | 34 (31)       | 66 (53)       | 0.001   |
| Calcium channel blockers  | 20 (18)       | 21 (19)       | 1.000   |
| Statins                   | 30 (28)       | 43 (38)       | 0.260   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)   | 28.7 ± 5.0    | 28.3 ± 4.5    | 0.536   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 130 ± 13      | 132 ± 14      | 0.144   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 78 ± 9      | 79 ± 8        | 0.627   |
| Glucose (mg/dl)           | 166 ± 75      | 174 ± 78      | 0.416   |
| [mmol/dl]                 | [9.21 ± 4.16] | [9.66 ± 4.33] |         |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)        | 0.73 ± 0.18   | 0.71 ± 0.28   | 0.630   |
| [mmol/dl]                 | [5.10 ± 1.04] | [5.15 ± 1.27] |         |
| Uric acid (mg/dl)         | 4.5 ± 2.4     | 4.9 ± 1.7     | 0.081   |
| [mmol/l]                  | [2.11 ± 0.97] | [2.16 ± 1.56] |         |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl)     | 187 ± 86      | 191 ± 138     | 0.786   |
| [mmol/l]                  | [3.11 ± 0.93] | [3.16 ± 1.14] |         |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)   | 120 ± 36      | 122 ± 44      | 0.688   |
| [mmol/l]                  | [1.19 ± 0.28] | [1.17 ± 0.34] |         |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)   | 46 ± 11       | 45 ± 13       | 0.283   |
| [mmol/l]                  | [1.26 ± 0.37] | [1.52 ± 1.94] |         |
| WBC (10³ cells/dl)        | 7.0 ± 1.9     | 7.2 ± 2.0     | 0.407   |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl)        | 13.1 ± 1.1    | 13.1 ± 1.6    | 0.757   |
| RDW (%)                   | 12.5 ± 1.5    | 13.8 ± 1.7    | <0.001  |
| MPV (fl)                  | 8.43 ± 1.10   | 8.59 ± 1.02   | 0.265   |
| Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (%) | 2.26 ± 1.37  | 2.52 ± 1.94  | 0.457   |

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics and laboratory findings of the study groups

**CAD**: coronary artery disease, **CAD-**: patients with normal coronary arteries, **CAD+**: patients with coronary artery disease, **RAS**: renin-angiotensin system, **hs-CRP**: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, **LDL**: low-density lipoprotein, **HDL**: high-density lipoprotein, **WBC**: white blood cells, **RDW**: red cell distribution width, **MPV**: mean platelet volume. Data are shown as n (%) or mean ± SD.
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Gensini score, obstructive CAD and triple-vessel disease (0.001) (Table 4, Fig. 1). RDW was positively correlated with
Gensini score, obstructive CAD and triple-vessel disease (r =
0.468, r = 0.409 and r = 0.332, respectively and p < 0.001 for all).

**Discussion**

This study showed an association between RDW and CAD in diabetic patients. RDW values were found to be higher in the
diabetic CAD population and higher RDW values were related to
more extensive and complex coronary lesions.

RDW is a marker of the variation in size of red blood cells
 circulating in the body, which reflects the value of anisocytosis.1
It is routinely reported during automated complete blood
counts. An elevation in RDW values may be seen in patients
with ineffective erythropoiesis (iron, vitamin B12 or folic acid
deficiency and various haemoglobinopathies), recent blood
transfusions and haemolysis.2 In daily practice it is commonly
used to narrow the differential diagnosis of anaemia.2

The growing attention given to the relationship between RDW
and cardiovascular events was first spurred on by the report

from Felker et al., which concluded that there was a strong and
independent association between RDW and the risk of adverse
outcomes in heart failure patients.6 Subsequently, Tonelli et al.
predicted an independent relationship between RDW and the
risk of cardiovascular death in patients with CAD.16 Following
the direction of these studies, researchers reported that higher
RDW values were also associated with a worse prognosis in
peripheral artery disease and even in the unselected population.5

Several explanations can be postulated in order to explain
the underlying mechanisms that may contribute to a worse
prognosis among patients with cardiovascular disease. However
the reason for the poor prognosis remains unclear.

It has not been determined yet whether RDW is a marker of
the severity of various disorders or if there is direct link between
anisocytosis and poor prognosis in patients with CAD. Factors

| Variables | Low RDW (%≤ 13.25) (n = 46) | High RDW (% > 13.25) (n = 78) | p-value |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Gender | 56.2 ± 8.0 | 58.2 ± 9.5 | 0.381 |
| Hypertension | 27.59 | 41.59 | 0.318 |
| Dyslipidaemia | 38.83 | 66.85 | 0.478 |
| Smoking | 5.11 | 19.24 | 0.052 |
| Aspirin | 33.72 | 60.77 | 0.331 |
| Clopidogrel | 11.24 | 12.15 | 0.173 |
| RAS blockers | 32.70 | 61.78 | 0.195 |
| β-blockers | 28.61 | 38.49 | 0.130 |
| Calcium channel blockers | 9.20 | 14.18 | 0.501 |
| Statins | 13.28 | 30.29 | 0.169 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 28.8 ± 4.5 | 28.2 ± 4.5 | 0.363 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 131 ± 13 | 135 ± 15 | 0.328 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 78 ± 8 | 79 ± 8 | 0.196 |
| Glucose (mg/dl) | 163 ± 77 | 181 ± 79 | 0.207 |
| [mmol/l] | [9.05 ± 4.27] | [10.05 ± 4.38] | 0.008 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.63 ± 0.17 | 0.76 ± 0.31 | 0.008 |
| [mmol/l] | [55.69 ± 15.03] | [67.18 ± 27.40] | |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.6 ± 1.5 | 5.1 ± 1.7 | 0.213 |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 4.11 ± 1.88 | 7.12 ± 5.58 | 0.043 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 195 ± 44 | 202 ± 52 | 0.481 |
| [mmol/l] | [5.05 ± 1.14] | [5.23 ± 1.09] | 0.998 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl) | 197 ± 173 | 188 ± 114 | 0.736 |
| [mmol/l] | [2.23 ± 1.95] | [2.12 ± 1.29] | 1.000 |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 114 ± 33 | 127 ± 48 | 0.088 |
| [mmol/l] | [3.95 ± 0.85] | [3.29 ± 1.24] | 0.001 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 46 ± 15 | 44 ± 12 | 0.461 |
| [mmol/l] | [1.19 ± 0.39] | [1.14 ± 0.31] | 0.001 |
| WBC (10⁶ cells/l) | 7.1 ± 1.9 | 7.3 ± 2.2 | 0.516 |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl) | 13.3 ± 1.5 | 13.0 ± 1.6 | 0.454 |
| RDW (%) | 12.9 ± 0.7 | 14.3 ± 1.4 | 0.001 |
| MPV (fl) | 8.35 ± 1.13 | 8.72 ± 0.93 | 0.049 |
| Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (%) | 1.92 ± 0.07 | 2.89 ± 2.33 | 0.009 |
| RDW: red cell distribution width, RAS: renin-angiotensin system, hs-CRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, LDL: low-density lipoprotein, HDL: high-density lipoprotein, WBC: white blood cells, MPV: mean platelet volume. Data are shown as n (%) or median [interquartile range].

| Variable | Cut-off value | AUC 95% CI of AUC | Sensitivity | Specificity | p-value |
|----------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| RDW (%) | > 13.25 | 0.742 | 0.679–0.806 | 0.629 | 0.771 | < 0.001 |
| AUC: area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, CI: confidence interval, RDW: red cell distribution width. Significance level of AUC. |
impairing bone marrow haematopoiesis are probably identical to those that worsen the prognosis in CAD. These factors are anaemia, iron deficiency, lipid disorders, chronic inflammation, neurohumoral activation, glycaemic disturbance, vitamin D, deficiency, oxidative stress and renal failure. Additionally, red cell deformability diminution may result in impaired flow through the microcirculation.

Previous studies have shown an association between RDW and the severity of CAD. Akin et al. investigated the association of RDW with the severity of CAD in acute myocardial infarction and showed that higher RDW values were correlated with higher Syntax scores, which means more complex coronary lesions. They found that after multiple logistic regression analysis, RDW remained a significant predictor for the severity of CAD. Isik et al. evaluated this relationship in patients with stable angina pectoris and found an independent association between RDW and the complexity of CAD, which was determined with Syntax scores.

A large Chinese cohort study with 677 subjects showed significantly elevated RDW values in CAD patients and a positive correlation between RDW and the Gensini score. They also found that a RDW value of 12.85% was an effective cut-off point for predicting CAD, with a sensitivity of 50% and a specificity of 65%. Recently, Sahin et al. concluded that RDW values were independently associated with a high Syntax score but were not associated with long-term mortality in patients with non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

In agreement with the current literature, we found that elevation in RDW values was associated with both the presence and complexity of CAD. Furthermore, we found that an RDW value of 13.25% was an effective cut-off point in order to determine the presence of CAD. Moreover, our study is the first to show an association between RDW and CAD severity in a diabetic population.

Chronic inflammation and neurohumoral activation are thought to be the key factors for both a worse cardiovascular prognosis and more complex coronary lesions. In our study, hs-CRP levels were similar in the two CAD groups, but there was a positive correlation between RDW and hs-CRP. Unfortunately, we did not measure brain natriuretic peptides, which are markers of the neurohumoral pathway. Some researchers demonstrated that elevated mean platelet volume (MPV) was associated with acute coronary syndromes, thrombosis and inflammation. We also found a positive relationship between RDW and MPV.

It is well known that there is a link between glycaemic disturbance and high RDW values. Two different studies showed a relationship between glycosylated haemoglobin and RDW in an unselected elderly population and in healthy adults. Garg et al. demonstrated that glycosylated haemoglobin was an independent predictor of CAD severity in a non-diabetic population. Our findings support the results of previous studies.

This study has some limitations. First, we did not measure some factors that might have influenced RDW levels, such as vitamin B12, folate and iron levels. Second, cardiovascular events were not analysed due to the cross-sectional nature of the study. Third, the relationship between RDW, glycaemic disturbance and the severity of CAD could have been better understood if we had analysed glycosylated haemoglobin levels. Lastly, the diagnosis of DM was based on a previous history instead of biochemical results.

Conclusion

RDW values were significantly higher in diabetic than non-diabetic patients with CAD. Higher RDW values were related to more extensive and complex coronary lesions, suggesting that RDW may be a marker for predicting CAD severity in patients with DM.
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Marijuana use is associated with a three-fold risk of death from hypertension, according to research published recently in the European Journal of Preventive Cardiology. ‘Steps are being taken towards legalisation and decriminalisation of marijuana in the United States, and rates of recreational marijuana use may increase substantially as a result,’ said lead author Barbara A Yankey, a PhD student in the School of Public Health, Georgia State University, Atlanta, US.

‘However, there is little research on the impact of marijuana use on cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortality.’

In the absence of longitudinal data on marijuana use, the researchers designed a retrospective follow-up study of NHANES (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey) involving participants aged 20 years and older. In 2005–2006, participants were asked if they had ever used marijuana. Those who answered ‘yes’ were considered marijuana users. Participants reported the age when they first tried marijuana and this was subtracted from their current age to calculate the duration of use.

Information on marijuana use was merged with mortality data in 2011 from the National Centre for Health Statistics. The researchers estimated the associations of marijuana use and duration of use with death from hypertension, heart disease and cerebrovascular disease, controlling for cigarette use and demographic variables including gender, age and ethnicity. Death from hypertension included multiple causes such as primary hypertension and hypertensive renal disease.

Among a total of 1 213 participants, 34% used neither marijuana nor cigarettes, 21% used only marijuana, 20% used marijuana and smoked cigarettes, 16% used marijuana and were past-smokers, 5% were past-smokers and 4% only smoked cigarettes. The average duration of marijuana use was 11.5 years.

Marijuana users had a higher risk of dying from hypertension. Compared to non-users, marijuana users had a 3.42-times higher risk of death from hypertension and a 1.04 greater risk for each year of use. There was no association between marijuana use and death from heart disease or cerebrovascular disease.

Ms Yankey pointed out that there were limitations to the way marijuana use was estimated. For example, it cannot be certain that participants used marijuana continuously since they first tried it.

She said: ‘Our results suggest a possible risk of hypertension mortality from marijuana use. This is not surprising since marijuana is known to have a number of effects on the cardiovascular system. Marijuana stimulates the sympathetic nervous system, leading to increases in heart rate, blood pressure and oxygen demand. Emergency rooms have reported cases of angina and heart attacks after marijuana use.’

‘We found higher estimated cardiovascular risks associated with marijuana use than cigarette smoking’, said Ms Yankey. ‘This indicates that marijuana use may carry even heavier consequences on the cardiovascular system than that already established for cigarette smoking. However, the number of smokers in our study was small and this needs to be examined in a larger study.’

‘Needless to say, the detrimental effects of marijuana on brain function far exceed that of cigarette smoking’, she added. Ms Yankey said it was crucial to understand the effects of marijuana on health so that policy makers and individuals could make informed decisions.

She said: ‘Support for liberal marijuana use is partly due to claims that it is beneficial and possibly not harmful to health. With the impending increase in recreational marijuana use it is important to establish whether any health benefits outweigh the potential health, social and economic risks. If marijuana use is implicated in cardiovascular diseases and deaths, then it rests on the health community and policy makers to protect the public.’

Source: European Society of Cardiology Press Office