Evaluation of the Response of Intracranial Xenografts to VEGF Signaling Inhibition Using Multiparametric MRI
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Abstract
Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) is considered one of the most important factors in tumor angiogenesis, and consequently, a number of therapeutics have been developed to inhibit VEGF signaling. Therapeutic strategies to target brain malignancies, both primary brain tumors, particularly in pediatric patients, and metastases, are lacking, but targeting angiogenesis may be a promising approach. Multiparametric MRI was used to investigate the response of orthotopic SF188 luc pediatric glioblastoma xenografts to small molecule pan-VEGFR inhibitor cediranib and the effects of both cediranib and cross-reactive human/mouse anti-VEGF-A antibody B20-4.1.1 in intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 breast cancer xenografts over 48 hours. All therapeutic regimens resulted in significant tumor growth delay. In cediranib-treated SF188 luc tumors, this was associated with lower $K_{\text{trans}}$ (compound biomarker of perfusion and vascular permeability) than in vehicle-treated controls. Cediranib also induced significant reductions in both $K_{\text{trans}}$ and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors associated with decreased histologically assessed perfusion. B20-4.1.1 treatment resulted in decreased $K_{\text{trans}}$, but in the absence of a change in perfusion; a non-significant reduction in vascular permeability, assessed by Evans blue extravasation, was observed in treated tumors. The imaging responses of intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors to VEGF/VEGFR pathway inhibitors with differing mechanisms of action are subtly different. We show that VEGF pathway blockade resulted in tumor growth retardation and inhibition of tumor vasculature in preclinical models of pediatric glioblastoma and breast cancer brain metastases, suggesting that multiparametric MRI can provide a powerful adjunct to accelerate the development of antiangiogenic therapies for use in these patient populations.

Introduction
Angiogenesis, the development of new blood vessels in order to provide a nutritive blood supply, is considered essential for expansive tumor growth in the brain [1]. Stimulated by the secretion of numerous growth factors by tumor cells, endothelial cells, and tumor-associated macrophages [2], angiogenesis in tumors results in structurally irregular vessels that are more tortuous, fragile, dilated, and hyper-permeable than normal blood vessels [3]. Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) is considered one of the most important factors in tumor angiogenesis [4]. Consequently, a number of therapeutic strategies, including small molecules that inhibit VEGF receptor tyrosine kinase activity (e.g., cediranib) and neutralizing antibodies that specifically bind VEGF-A (e.g., bevacizumab), have been developed [5,6].

Abbreviations: ADC, Apparent diffusion coefficient; DCE-MRI, Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; DW-MRI, Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging; fBV, Fractional blood volume; H&E, Hematoxylin and eosin; IAUGC60, Initial area under the gadolinium concentration curve at 60 seconds; MGE, Multi gradient-recalled echo; MRI, Magnetic resonance imaging; pHGG, Pediatric high grade glioma; TNBC, Triple negative breast carcinoma; VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR, Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.
Pediatric high-grade gliomas (pHGG) have a very poor clinical outcome, with a median overall survival of 9–18 months [7]; effective treatment strategies are urgently required for these patients. Although it is now clearly recognized that pHGGs have distinct underlying biology compared with histologically similar tumors arising in older adults [8,9], many key features and pathways are commonly dysregulated. Microvascular endothelial cell proliferation is a key feature of grade IV glioma, glioblastoma, in the WHO classification system, which, along with evidence of necrosis, distinguishes them from grade III tumors, which show evidence of anaplasia and have a high mitotic index. Consequently, with the exception of pilocytic astrocytoma, a highly vascular grade I astrocytoma, tumor vascularity is associated with higher grade [10]. VEGF-A is highly expressed in pediatric brain tumors and is thought to be partly responsible for the loss of blood brain barrier integrity during tumor growth [11].

Brain metastases occur in approximately 30% of patients with breast cancer and are an important cause of cancer morbidity and mortality [12]. Highly proliferative breast tumors, such as those negative for the estrogen, progesterone, and Her2/neu receptors, have enhanced angiogenesis that supports rapid growth and early metastasis, and express high levels of VEGF [13]. Therefore, patients with breast tumors expressing high levels of VEGF may be at a higher risk of developing metastases in the brain; hence, antiangiogenic agents may also represent promising agents for the treatment of such tumors.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) enables the visualization of detailed anatomical features with high resolution due to its exquisite soft tissue image contrast and is the gold standard non invasive method for the diagnosis, surgical planning, and monitoring of brain tumors [14]. However, imaging cannot at present replace biopsy for the accurate histological grading of tumors. Furthermore, advances in functional MRI can provide quantitative biomarkers that inform on biologically relevant structure–function relationships, their heterogeneous distribution, and treatment response/resistance in brain tumors [15,16]. The Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) guidelines, based on evaluations of adult HGGs, aim to provide more specific and standardized imaging protocols incorporating assessment of non-enhancing components of tumors [17]. The Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology (RAPNO) working group was subsequently established to address the unique challenges associated with pediatric neuro-oncology, for example the more heterogeneous group of diseases arising in children, with a higher prevalence of low grade, infratentorial and neuro-oncology, for example the more heterogeneous group of diseases established to address the unique challenges associated with pediatric brain tumors and is thought to be partly responsible for the loss of blood brain barrier integrity during tumor growth [11].

Bioluminescence Imaging

Tumor establishment and growth was monitored using a Xenogen IVIS 200 system coupled with LivingImage software (Caliper Life Sciences, Runcorn, UK). Luciferin (150 mg/kg, Caliper Life Sciences) was administered intraperitoneally 10 minutes before imaging. Total photon flux was established for automatically drawn ROIs at a constant threshold. A bioluminescence signal that represented a tumor volume of approximately 25 mm³ had previously been established for each cell line; once this signal was reached animals were enrolled in MRI experiments. This starting volume was chosen to provide an experimental timeframe with minimal risk of mice developing adverse neurological symptoms prior to study end.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

SF188 pediatric glioblastoma cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium Hams F12 mixture (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) [20]. SF188 cells were engineered to stably express luciferase by transduction with the luc-2 gene (cloned from pGL4.10[luc2] (Promega, Madison, MI) cloned into pCDH-CMV-CMS-EF1-hygro, a HIV lentiviral-based vector (System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA) and enriched for in vivo tumorigenicity via four sequential intracranial passages (SF188<sup>Luc</sup>). Luciferase-expressing MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 highly malignant human TNBC cells isolated from a lung metastasis [21] (provided by Dr. R. Kerbel, University of Toronto, Canada) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum. Both cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling and tested negative for mycoplasma infection at the time of tumor implantation.

Tumor Implantation

All experiments were performed in accordance with the local Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board, the UK Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, the United Kingdom National Cancer Research Institute guidelines for the welfare of animals in cancer research [22] and the ARRIVE (animal research: reporting in vivo experiments) guidelines [23].

SF188<sup>Luc</sup> (2 × 10<sup>5</sup>) or MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 (5 × 10<sup>5</sup>) cells were implanted supratentorially in the brains of 6-week-old female athymic (NCR-FOX1<sup>imm</sup>) mice (Charles River Ltd., Margate, UK), as previously described [24].

MRI and Drug Treatment Schedules

Tumor-bearing mice were randomized prior to MRI into control and treatment cohorts as follows:

**SF188<sup>Luc</sup>**

i. MRI prior to and after 48 h treatment with 6 mg/kg cediranib (AZD2171, AstaZeneca) (n = 6) or vehicle (1% polysorbate-80 in water) (n = 5) daily. 3 oral doses were given over 48 h; ii) following recovery from anesthesia for pre-treatment imaging, ii) 24 h later, iii) a further 24 h later immediately prior to post-treatment imaging.

**MDA-MB-231 LM2–4**

i. MRI prior to and after 48 h treatment with either 6 mg/kg cediranib (n = 6) or vehicle (n = 5), as described above.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Anesthesia was induced with a 10 ml/kg intraperitoneal injection of fentanyl citrate (0.315 mg/ml) plus thiamine (10 mg/ml (Hypnorm; Janssen Pharmaceutical Ltd. High Wycombe, UK)), midazolam (5 mg/ml
A lateral tail vein was cannulated with a 27G butterfly catheter (Venindsaystems, Hospira, Royal Leamington Spa, UK) to enable the remote administration of gadopentetate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA, Magnevist™; Schering, Berlin, Germany) or ultrasmall paramagnetic iron oxide (USPIO) particles (P904; Guerbet, Villepinte, France). Anesthetized mice were then positioned prone in a 3 cm birdcage coil within a 7 T Bruker horizontal bore microimaging system (Ettlingen, Germany). Core body temperature was maintained by warm air blown through the magnet bore. All images were acquired over a 2.5 cm × 2.5 cm field of view.

**Anatomical T2-Weighted, Diffusion-Weighted, and Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI.** Magnetic field homogeneity was optimized by shimming over the entire brain using an automated shimming routine (FASTmap). A rapid acquisition with relaxation enhancement (RARE) T2-weighted sequence (repetition time (TR) = 4500 ms, effective echo time (TE) = 36 ms, 4 averages, RARE factor = 8, 256 × 256 matrix, 20 × 1 mm thick contiguous axial slices) was used for localization of the tumor and measurement of tumor volume. Diffusion-weighted (DW) images were then acquired using an echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TR = 1500 ms, TE = 80 ms, 8 echoes, 2 averages, 256 × 256 matrix, 3 × 1 mm thick axial slices) and 60 dynamic scans (TE = 1.2 ms, TR = 2.4 ms, scan TR = 10s, 8 inversion times spaced 116 ms apart (TI = 109–924 ms), 1 average, flip angle = 60°, temporal resolution = 20s). A bolus of Gd-DTPA (0.1 mmol/kg Magnevist™ i.v., 2 ml/kg 50 mM solution) was administered at 2 ml/minute using a power injector 3 minutes after the start of the dynamic sequence [25].

This imaging protocol was performed in mice bearing SF188-luc or MDA-MB-231 tumors at baseline and after 48 h treatment with 6 mg/kg cediranib, or vehicle, given orally daily, and mice bearing MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors before and after 48 h following a single intraperitoneal dose of 10 mg/kg B20-4.1.1 or isotype control Ragweed:1428 (see Supplementary Figure S1).

**Susceptibility Contrast MRI.** Magnetic field homogeneity was optimized and T2-weighted images were acquired. Multi gradient-recalled echo (MGE) images (TR = 1000 ms, TE = 6.2–31.1 ms, 8 echoes, 2 averages, 256 × 256 matrix, 3 × 1mm thick slices) were acquired for quantification of relaxation rate $R_2^*$. USPIO particles were then administered as a bolus (150 μmol Fe/kg P904 i.v.) and allowed to circulate for 2 minutes in order to equilibrate before a second set of MGE images were acquired.

This imaging protocol was performed in mice bearing MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors at baseline and after 48 h treatment with 6 mg/kg cediranib, or vehicle, given orally daily (additional n = 5/group; see Supplementary Figure S1).

**MRI Analysis**

Parameter estimation was undertaken using a Bayesian maximum a posteriori algorithm, which took into account the Rician distribution of noise in magnitude MR data in order to provide unbiased parameter estimates [26]. Estimates of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), a measure of Brownian water diffusion within tissue and surrogate biomarker of cellularity and edema, were determined from the DW-MRI data (using 5 b-values; 200–1000s/mm²). The dual relaxation rate sensitivity of the IR-trueFISP sequence was utilized, providing estimates of native T1 and T2 relaxation times. DCE-MRI data were analyzed by incorporating the Tofts and Kermode pharmacokinetic model, from which the volume transfer constant ($K_{trans}$, minute⁻¹), the rate of flux of contrast agent into the extracellular extravascular space within a given volume, and a compound biomarker of vascular permeability and blood flow, was calculated. In addition, model-free analysis was used to derive the initial area under the gadolinium concentration curve at 60 seconds after injection ([AUGC]₀, mmol Gd.minute⁻¹) [25].

Estimates of the MRI transverse relaxation rate $R_2$ were calculated from MGE data. The change in $R_2^*$ following delivery of USPIO ($ΔR_2^*$) was also evaluated, from which fractional blood volume (fBV, %) was estimated [27]. Voxels corresponding to a fBV exceeding 17% (the limit value for the linearity between $ΔR_2^*$ and fBV) were excluded [28].

All data were fitted on a pixel-by-pixel basis using in-house software (ImageView, developed in IDL, ITT Visual Information Systems, Boulder, CO, USA). The median value of each parameter in each tumor was determined and the distribution of data values was assessed.

**Histological Analysis**

Tumor bearing mice were administered with 15 mg/kg of the perfusion marker Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich) intravenously through a lateral tail vein. After 1 minute, animals were killed, and whole brains were rapidly excised, snap-frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen [25].

Hoechst 33342 fluorescence signals from frozen whole brain sections (10 μm, three per tumor) were recorded at 365 nm using a motorized scanning stage (Prior Scientific Instruments, Cambridge, UK) attached to a BX51 microscope (Olympus Optical, London, UK), driven by CellP (Soft Imaging System, Münster, Germany). In addition, composite images from the tumor region and 40× magnification snapshots of the tumors were acquired.

The same sections were then processed for the detection of vascular endothelial marker CD31 (all tumors) and pan cytokeratin (MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors only). Sections were first incubated with 2% (v/v) BSA/5% (v/v) goat serum in PBS for 1 hour to block any non-specific antibody binding, and then with goat anti-mouse CD31 antibodies (MEC 13.3, BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK, 1:100) overnight at 4°C. Sections were washed with 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 in PBS, then incubated with Alexa 546-conjugated goat anti-rat secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, 1:500) ± FITC-conjugated mouse monoclonal anti-pan cytokeratin antibodies (Clone C-11, Sigma-Aldrich, 1:100) at 37 °C for 4 hours, protected from light. Following washing the sections were imaged under PBS. CD31 expression was detected at 510–560 nm and cytokeratin positive tumor cells were detected over the whole brain at 450–490 nm using the same fluorescence microscope system and stage co-ordinates. Composite images of the brain, tumor and individual 40× snapshots were recorded, allowing the CD31 images to be subsequently overlaid on the Hoechst 33342 images. The cytokeratin images were used to draw the MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumor regions of interest (ROI) used in the analysis of the Hoechst 33342 and CD31 data.

The sections were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and composite images were acquired using the same...
microscope system and co-ordinates with bright-field illumination. These images were used to draw the tumor ROIs used in the analysis of the Hoechst 33342 and CD31 data in SF188<sub>Luc</sub> tumors.

Fluorescent particles were detected above a constant threshold and the area of the tumor section with Hoechst 33342 or CD31 fluorescence was determined and expressed as a percentage of the whole tumor section. Co-localization of Hoechst 33342 (perfused vessels) and CD31 (total vessels) was also assessed and expressed as percentage total vessels perfused and a total perfused vessel area.

A separate cohort of mice bearing MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors treated with B20-4.1.1 or isotype-matched control antibodies (n = 4/group) were administered intravenously with 50 mg/kg Evans blue (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS. Evans blue readily binds to albumin, and hence can be used to visualize and quantify vascular permeability [29,30]. After 30 minutes Hoechst 33342 was administered as described above; 1 minute later the animals were killed, and the brains rapidly excised and snap-frozen. Hoechst 33342 and Evans blue fluorescence signals were collected from frozen sections at 365 nm and 510–560 nm, respectively. Sections were then blocked with 2% (w/v) BSA/5% (v/v) goat serum in PBS for 1 hour and incubated with FITC-anti-pan cytokeratin antibodies as above. Following washing the sections were imaged under PBS. Fluorescent particles were detected above a constant threshold and the area of the tumor section with Hoechst 33342 or Evans blue fluorescence was determined and expressed as a percentage of the whole tumor section, as determined using cytokeratin staining.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, USA). The mean of median values for all the quantitative MR imaging parameters, and the mean values for tumor volume and the fluorescent area fractions were used for statistical analysis. Results are presented as the mean ± 1 SEM. Significance testing used paired or unpaired Student’s t tests with a 5% confidence level.

Results

Representative anatomical T<sub>2</sub>-weighted images, and associated parametric ADC and K<sub>trans</sub> maps, acquired from mice bearing intracranially implanted SF188<sub>Luc</sub> or MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors, prior to and following treatment with either cediranib, B20-4.1.1, or the appropriate control, are shown in Figures 1, 3 and 5, along with summaries of the quantitative data obtained for each tumor model and treatment regimen investigated. Orthotopic SF188<sub>Luc</sub> tumors presented as moderately homogeneous hyperintense lesions with relatively well-defined borders on T<sub>2</sub>-weighted MRI images (Figure 1A) and were observed to be well-defined masses with sparse foci of infiltrative cells by H&E staining (Supplementary Figure S2). Intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors were more heterogeneous with less well-defined boundaries on T<sub>2</sub>-weighted images (Figures 3A and 5A), and H&E staining shows partially well-circumscribed masses with substantial local invasion, principally occurring along blood vessels, with evidence of edema (Supplementary Figure S2) [16,24].

Treatment of mice bearing orthotopic SF188<sub>Luc</sub> pediatric glioblastomas with cediranib significantly inhibited tumour progression compared with vehicle-treated controls (P < .01, Figure 1A and B). Tumor ADC was not significantly altered over 48 hours in either treatment group (Figure 1B). In the cediranib-treated SF188<sub>Luc</sub> cohort DCE-MRI consistently showed a marked reduction in contrast agent uptake after treatment, resulting in non-significant 53% and 59% reductions in K<sub>trans</sub> (Figure 1B) and IAUGC<sub>60</sub> (data not shown), respectively. The mean post-treatment K<sub>trans</sub> was significantly lower in the cediranib-treated cohort than in the vehicle-treated controls (P < .05). Frequency histograms of individual voxel ADC and K<sub>trans</sub> values in five equally sized bins were also used to evaluate any treatment-induced changes in data distribution (Figure 1C). Differences in the distribution of K<sub>trans</sub> values prior to and following treatment with cediranib were apparent, including a marked increase in the proportion of voxels with values of 0–0.02 min<sup>−1</sup> and a significant reduction in values of 0.02–0.04 min<sup>−1</sup> (P < .05). Histological assessment of Hoechst 33342 perfused area, CD31 positive total vessel area, and their co-localization in SF188<sub>Luc</sub> tumors treated with either vehicle or cediranib revealed no significant difference in vascular perfusion, total vascular area or the percentage of vessels perfused at the time of Hoechst 33342 injection between treatment groups (Figure 2).

Treatment of intracranially-implanted MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors with cediranib resulted in significant growth delay compared with vehicle-treated controls (P < .05, Figure 3, A and B). This response was associated with a statistically significant 9% reduction in ADC in the cediranib-treated cohort (P < .05), accompanied by significant 49% and 52% decreases in K<sub>trans</sub> (Figure 3B) and IAUGC<sub>60</sub> (data not shown), respectively (P < .05). There was no significant change in DW- or DCE-MRI parameters over 48 h in the vehicle-treated cohort. Frequency histograms showed that the reduction in ADC following cediranib treatment was associated with a decrease in the proportion of voxels with values of 900–1200×10<sup>–6</sup>mm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>−1</sup> (P < .05) and 1200–1500×10<sup>–6</sup>mm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>−1</sup> (P < .08), and increases in the number of voxels in the 300–600 and 600–900×10<sup>–6</sup>mm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>−1</sup> bins (P = .08) (Figure 3C). The reduction in K<sub>trans</sub> in the cediranib-treated tumors was associated with a non-significant increase in the proportion of voxel values of 0–0.2 min<sup>−1</sup> and reductions in the size in all other bins. Histological assessment of Hoechst 33342 uptake demonstrated that cediranib-treated MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors were significantly less well perfused than vehicle-treated tumors (P < .05, Figure 4). CD31 positive total vessel area was also lower in the treated tumors, but the difference did not reach statistical significance (P = .052). Assessment of the co-localization of Hoechst 33342 and CD31 revealed that the percentage of vessels perfused at the time of Hoechst 33342 injection was significantly lower in the cediranib-treated cohort (P < .05). Susceptibility contrast MRI revealed no significant treatment-induced change in the fractional blood volume (fBV) of the MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors (pre vehicle 5.0 ± 0.4%, 48 h vehicle 4.7 ± 0.1%; pre cediranib 5.1 ± 0.3%, 48 h cediranib 5.1 ± 0.4%).

Treatment with a single dose of B20-4.1.1 significantly inhibited the growth of intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors over 48 hours compared with controls (P < .01, Figure 5, A and B). There was no significant change in ADC in the B20-4.1.1-treated tumors but growth inhibition was associated with significant 41% and 53% reductions in K<sub>trans</sub> (Figure 5B) and IAUGC<sub>60</sub> (data not shown), respectively (P < .05). No quantitative MRI parameters changed over 48 hours in the control tumors. Despite there being no significant change in overall tumor ADC in response to B20-4.1.1, a change in the distribution of the data was apparent, with a significant reduction in the proportion of voxels with ADC values of 900–1200×10<sup>–6</sup>mm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>−1</sup> and a significant increase in voxels with values of 300–600×10<sup>–6</sup>mm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>−1</sup> (both P < .05). The reduction in K<sub>trans</sub> in the B20-4.1.1-treated cohort was attributable to a significant increase in the number of voxels with a value of
0–0.02 min\(^{-1}\) and significant reductions the proportion of voxels with values between 0.2–0.4 min\(^{-1}\) and 0.4–0.6 min\(^{-1}\) (all \(P < .05\), Figure 5C).

Histological assessment of Hoechst 33342 uptake, CD31 expression and their co-localization in MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors treated with either B20-4.1.1 or control antibodies revealed no difference in vascular perfusion (Figure 6), total vascular area, or the percentage of perfused vessels between treatment groups (data not shown). A markedly lower uptake and distribution of the permeability marker Evans Blue was apparent in the B20-4.1.1-treated tumors compared with the control cohort (B20-4.1.1 22 ± 5%, control 34 ± 2%, \(P = .068\)).

Discussion
In this study multiparametric MRI was used to assess the response of intracranial tumors to drugs targeting the VEGF/VEGFR pathway. Specifically, the efficacy of the pan-VEGFR small molecule inhibitor cediranib in orthotopic SF188\(^{\text{Luc}}\) pediatric glioblastoma xenografts and intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 TNBC xenografts was assessed alongside the response of MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors to the human/mouse cross-reactive anti-VEGF-A monoclonal antibody B20-4.1.1. Anatomical T\(_2\)-weighted MRI and functional DW- and DCE-MRI were used to assess any treatment-induced changes in tumor progression, cellularity and edema, and vascular permeability/perfusion, respectively. An acute time point of 48 h was chosen because early imaging changes have been detected in glioblastoma, breast cancer and other solid tumors, including extracranial breast cancer metastases, following cediranib, bevacizumab and axitinib treatment [15,31,32].

In addition, response to bevacizumab at just 96 h, as well as 4 weeks, was predictive of improved PFS in recurrent glioma [33].

Treatment of orthotopic SF188\(^{\text{Luc}}\) pediatric glioblastoma xenografts with cediranib resulted in significant growth delay over 48
hours. DW-MRI revealed no significant change in water diffusivity following treatment with cediranib in SF188luc tumors; these tumors were densely cellular and there was no edema present (Supplementary Figure S2), exemplified by baseline ADC values being considerably lower in SF188luc than MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors (mean pre-treatment ADC; SF188luc $621 \times 10^{-6}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$, MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 $827 \times 10^{-6}$ mm$^2$s$^{-1}$). Paired comparisons of mean DCE-MRI data acquired from the same tumors prior to and post treatment did not reveal any significant anti-vascular effect. However, assessment of the distribution of $K_{\text{trans}}$ values revealed a shift towards lower values in the treated tumors. The lack of an overall significant response is likely a consequence of the wide dynamic range of pre-treatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ values measured in the cediranib-treated group. The average post-treatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ was, however, significantly lower in the cohort treated with cediranib compared with the vehicle-treated tumors, suggestive of an anti-vascular effect.

Adult patients with glioblastoma treated with bevacizumab, alone or in combination with chemotherapy (most commonly irinotecan), have demonstrated reductions in MRI contrast enhancement and vasogenic edema resulting in significant improvements in progression-free survival [15,34]. Bevacizumab in combination with radiotherapy and temozolomide has also been shown to extend progression free survival in newly diagnosed glioblastoma [35,36]. A phase I clinical trial assessing cediranib as a single agent in children with recurrent and refractory primary central nervous system tumors has recently been completed, in which decreased diffusivity and perfusion were observed in responding tumors [37]. The efficacy of bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy or radiotherapy is currently being assessed in the same patient population [38], with functional MRI included in at least one such trial [19].

Growth of intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors was significantly suppressed over the duration of 48 hours treatment with cediranib. The sensitivity of the parental MDA-MB-231 cell line to cediranib has previously been shown in vitro and in vivo in subcutaneous xenografts [5]. Here, cediranib-induced growth delay in intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors was associated with significant reductions in both $K_{\text{trans}}$, measured in vivo by DCE-MRI, and perfused vessel area assessed histologically. Interestingly, susceptibility contrast MRI using an intravascular USPIO particle-based contrast agent revealed no significant difference in tumor fractional blood volume (fBV) in response to cediranib. Contrary to gadolinium-chelated contrast agents such as Magnevist, high molecular weight USPIO particles do not leak as readily from the blood vessels, hence quantitation of fBV represents an imaging biomarker of more patent vasculature. The differential response of $K_{\text{trans}}$ and fBV observed herein therefore suggests that cediranib is targeting permeable neovasculature in the intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors. Indeed, previous studies of anti-VEGF therapeutics have shown that the reduction in $K_{\text{trans}}$ is associated with pruning of immature vessels [15]. Treatment with cediranib also elicited a significant reduction in tumor ADC, consistent with the resolution of edema following pruning of hyperpermeable vessels and the resulting reduction in fluid extravasation; which has been observed in both preclinical models of glioblastoma and patients with brain tumors [15,39]. The reduction in edema, and therefore intracranial pressure, is thought to have a significant role in increased survival following VEGF blockade [15,39].

**Figure 2.** Histological assessment of perfusion and vascular density in orthotopic SF188luc tumors in response to cediranib. (A) Representative fluorescence images of the uptake of the perfusion marker Hoechst 33342 (blue), and immunohistochemical detection of the vascular endothelial marker CD31 (red), acquired from whole brain sections from mice bearing orthotopic SF188luc tumors treated with vehicle or cediranib. Composite Hoechst 33342 and CD31 images acquired at 40× magnification are also shown, demonstrating both co-localisation and mismatch of the vascular markers. Tumor ROIs are shown in green, T denotes tumor region on the composite images. (B) Quantification of Hoechst 33342 perfused area, CD31 positive vessel area, and the percentage of vessels perfused. Three sections per tumor were assessed and the mean for each tumor is represented.
Cediranib is primarily a potent pan-VEGFR inhibitor, but at the dose used in this study also elicits marked activity against c-kit and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR)-α and -β [40], whose inhibition may also contribute to the anti-tumor and anti-vascular effects observed. Amplification of \( \text{PDGFRA} \), and less commonly \( \text{PDGFRB} \), is observed in pediatric high grade glioma [41–43], indeed SF188\textsubscript{Luc} cells overexpress PDGFRβ [44]. Overexpression of PDGFRβ is also an adverse prognostic factor in advanced breast cancer [45,46].

MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors were also treated with B20-4.1.1, a cross-reactive human/mouse anti-VEGF-A monoclonal antibody, that allows the simultaneous targeting of VEGF-A produced by human-derived tumor cells and mouse-derived stromal cells. Whilst the relative contributions of tumor- and stroma-derived VEGF-A differ between \textit{in vivo} tumor models [47], targeting both recapitulates patient response more accurately than targeting tumor-derived VEGF alone. Similar to the response following treatment with cediranib, B20-4.1.1 elicited significant growth delay in MDA-MB-231 LM2–4

**Figure 3.** Anatomical, diffusion-weighted and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI of intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors treated with cediranib. (A) \( T_2 \)-weighted MRI images, and associated parametric ADC and \( k_{\text{trans}} \) maps, from representative intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors prior to, and following, 48 hours treatment with either vehicle or 6 mg/kg cediranib daily, as indicated. (B) Quantification of the change in tumor volume over the 48 h treatment period, and of ADC and \( k_{\text{trans}} \) prior to, and following, treatment with either vehicle (\( n = 5 \)) or cediranib (\( n = 6 \)). (C) Frequency distributions of all tumor ADC and \( k_{\text{trans}} \) voxels. Distributions were divided into 5 equally sized bins for each dataset, using 0–300, 300–600, 600–900, 900–1200, 1200–1500\( \times 10^{-6} \text{mm}^2 \text{sec}^{-1} \) for ADC and 0–0.2, 0.2–0.4, 0.4–0.6, 0.6–0.8, 0.8–0.1 \text{min}^{-1} for \( k_{\text{trans}} \). *\( p < .05 \), unpaired Student’s \( t \) test between vehicle and cediranib-treated cohorts. **\( p < .05 \), paired Student’s \( t \) test.
tumors relative to isotype-matched antibody-treated mice. Treatment with B20-4.1.1 also resulted in a significant reduction in $K_{\text{trans}}$. This was however not associated with a reduction in Hoechst 33342 perfusion, total CD31 positive vessel area or percentage of vessels perfused, suggesting that the change in $K_{\text{trans}}$ may predominantly reflect a reduction in vascular permeability. The uptake of Evans blue, an azo dye with high affinity for albumin used to assess the degree of tumor vascular permeability, and unable to pass through the blood brain barrier, was lower in B20.4.1.1-treated tumors, but values did not reach statistical significance. Whilst the mean of individual tumor median ADC values did not change in response to treatment with B20-4.1.1, a change in the distribution of the data, with a shift towards lower values, was observed, suggesting some alteration in the degree of water diffusion with the tumors post treatment, again possibly as a result of resolution of edema.

The imaging response of intracranial MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 tumors to VEGF/VEGFR pathway inhibitors with differing mechanisms of action are subtly different, with perfused vessel area and degree of water diffusion not significantly changing in response to B20-4.1.1, but being altered by cediranib treatment.

In a recent phase II study, patients with parenchymal brain breast metastases who responded to bevacizumab in combination with carboplatin demonstrated improved cerebral blood flow, pruning of macroscopic vessels and increased oxygen saturation as a result of vascular normalization [48]. Reduced tumor vessel perfusion/permeability has also been observed following bevacizumab treatment in previously untreated patients with inflammatory or locally advanced breast cancer [31].

SF188$^{\text{lac}}$ tumors grow as well defined masses with sparse foci of infiltrative cells, therefore not accurately modeling the heterogeneity of pHGG growth with infiltrative regions, however these tumors model the angiogenic component of the tumors that VEGF pathway inhibition targets. Similarly, whilst intracranial implantation of MDA-MB-231 LM2–4 cells does not strictly model brain breast cancer metastasis, these tumors grow as partially well circumscribed, partially invasive, tumors with lower vascular permeability in invasive regions [16] and provide a reproducible model of intracranial tumor growth in which to perform imaging investigations.

The vascular and diffusion parameters reported herein did not change in any control cohort, all of which exhibited a larger change in volume over 48 h than the treated tumors, demonstrating that these biomarkers are not affected by tumor volume over this size range.

DCE-MRI-derived estimates of $K_{\text{trans}}$ using low weight gadolinium-chelated contrast agents represents a compound biomarker of both tumor perfusion and permeability [49], and changes in $K_{\text{trans}}$ in response to vascular-targeted therapies can be challenging to correctly interpret [50]; VEGF pathway blockade has been shown to partially restore the compromised blood brain barrier in human glioblastomas resulting in reduced tumor detectability by Gd-DTPA-enhanced MRI [51]. In DCE-MRI studies of human brain tumors, $K_{\text{trans}}$ is often reported as a measure of permeability alone, due to the high flow rate and low permeability of brain blood vessels [52]. Alternative imaging strategies are therefore continually being evaluated for the assessment of brain tumor perfusion and its response in vivo [53,54]. Dynamic susceptibility MRI, acquired during the first circulatory pass of
Gd-DTPA, can provide a measure of cerebral blood flow (CBF, ml/100 g/min), which has been reported to decrease in response to cediranib in glioma patients [15].

We show here that in preclinical models of pediatric glioblastoma and breast cancer brain metastases VEGF pathway blockade resulted in tumor growth retardation and inhibition of tumor vasculature. These data suggest that antiangiogenic therapy, alone or in combination, may be beneficial and is worth pursuing in patients with brain metastases and in pediatric brain tumor patients, both of which are patient populations with limited therapeutic options.

The use of multiparametric MRI to monitor such regimens may also inform on expedient therapy switching in the event of poor response and may provide a powerful adjunct to accelerate the development of new antiangiogenic therapies for use in these patient populations.
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