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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This review systematically identifies posterior visual pathway lesions and MRI burden in people with multiple sclerosis (MS).

Methods: The articles were searched through Web of Science, Medline, and Embase databases on January 2020, for English language articles from 2000 to 2019.

Results: This review presents summary measures if related to MRI assessment to an overall measure of MS and visual pathway lesions. A total of 44 articles fulfilled all inclusion criteria, covering the period 2000-2019. Different atypical outcomes reveal a low risk for subsequent clinically predefined MS development, specifically in the presence of normal brain MRI. Several impairments related to quality of life have been identified as a result of the effect of retinal nerve fiber layer, ganglion cell layer, and inner plexiform layer.

Conclusion: The afferent visual system in MS offers unique accessibility and structure-related functions with further understanding offered by electrophysiology, considering vision as a useful framework for examining new multiple sclerosis therapies.
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Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an unidentifiable disease of the central nervous system (CNS) that interrupts the flow of information throughout the brain and between the brain and the spinal cord. It is classified by both axonal degeneration and inflammation. Visual deficits are regular, and optic neuritis (ON) is the earlier indication in approximately 20% of the patients experiencing MS. Multiple lesions are not experienced by optic neuritis patients as it is often determined among two-thirds of the patients.1,2 The ON influences patients with MS during their disease course at some point. Differentiation of acute episodes is essential for treatment selection and additional patient management with respect to MS-related optic neuritis.3 Intereye difference thresholds might be beneficial to develop the occurrence of symptomatic and asymptomatic ON lesions in MS and can be beneficial in the diagnostic procedure.4 The visual system is highly vulnerable to MS-associated damage. Visual disturbances are recurrent and cause extreme disability, but imaging has remained challenging because of technical limitations.
with respect to the visual pathway posterior to the optic chiasm as compared to the optic radiation (OR). The visual pathway is typically involved in MS, even in its preliminary stages such as in its clinical episodes of ON. Long-term structural damage throughout the retina and OR induced a single history of ON, which recommend both anterograde and retrograde neuroaxonal degeneration.

The most recurrent affected white matter pathway is represented from the afferent visual pathway in MS and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. Non-overt brain tissue or microstructural and pathological procedure quantification can be revealed through diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). The reconstruction of major white matter fiber tracts can be facilitated through DTI, which allow the evaluation of damage-dysfunction and structure-function associations.

Optic neuritis was recently proposed as in vivo models in order to examine the pathophysiology of tissue damage and repair in MS with the visual pathways, and clinical manifestation that are agreeable to examine with different visual functional and imaging, and structural techniques. OR lesions cause retrograde transneuronal degeneration, which might play an essential role in progressive retinal nerve fiber layer loss. Additionally, it has been observed that the disease-associated neurodegenerative modifications in the retina instigate much earlier as compared to the clinical diagnosis of MS.

An important region of disease activity in MS is the visual pathway. Optic neuritis is an onset symptom, and almost 50% of MS patients have an occurrence of ON in their lifetime. Sub-clinical ON was also pre-defined and well-established, regardless of any effect of overt attacks. However, there is lack of evidence regarding the level of MS-associated impairments in the optic tracts with the development of ON continuation after preliminary decussation. Further information should be provided regarding the role of the visual platforms in MS visual dysfunction. Such information will allow studying optic tracts, which extend from the optic chiasm to the lateral geniculate nucleus, considering the rarity of focal lesion in the optic tract.

Previous studies have found that neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation could drive to an interaction of several aspects of the visual pathway such as retinal nerve axonal degeneration, intraretinal inflammation, pathological changes in the optic tract, retinal neurodegeneration, the visual cortex, and the optic radiation. However, sensitive and vision-specific outcome measures were scarcely reported in MS clinical trials. The identification of low-contrast letter acuity (LCLA) is considered as a dominant actor to measure visual drawbacks in MS. It is related particularly with quality of life measures, offering evidence on clinical importance and with the structural integrity of the retina for performing optical coherence tomography.

These factors led to collect information about visual drawbacks in ON and MS. The rapid availability facilitates the development of the visual platform, an advantageous model system to support inflammatory and neurodegenerative mechanisms in the Central Nervous System (CNS) for examining novel agents for detecting and repairing MS, considering further advancement of structural information and electrophysiological measures. The relationship between visual dysfunction and MRI is not fully understood, but impairments assessed within white-matter optic tracts have indicated higher associations between spinal cord, corpus callosum, behavioral dysfunction, and corticospinal tract.

This review systematically identifies the posterior visual pathway lesions and the MRI burden in people with MS. We systematically reviewed visual lesions, MRI burden, visual pathways, and MS via randomized controlled trials. This review further presents the role of MRI in identifying posterior visual pathway lesions in MS patients and offers mechanisms that assist to understand the importance of a model vision for assessing consequences in the field of therapy.

**Methods.** PRISMA guidelines were used for the design of the systematic review and manuscript elements.

**Data collection, search strategy, and protocol.** Prior to the literature search, we developed the protocol for this paper. The databases Web of Science, Medline, and Embase were searched on January 11, 2020, for English language articles, with a restriction of 20 years from 2000 to 2019. Review articles were excluded from the search process. For narrowing the search process, Multiple Sclerosis, the American Journal of Neuroradiology, and Archives of the journals were further hand-searched for appropriate articles published within these 20 years, using the following search terms: ‘multiple sclerosis’, ‘magnetic resonance imaging’, ‘posterior visual pathway lesions’, ‘vision’, and ‘visual outcomes’, as well as the abbreviations of these key terms.
**Eligibility criteria and study selection.** Two researchers initially screened the abstracts. In addition, the first researcher evaluated the eligibility criteria for retrieving published papers in a standardized manner. The consent of a second researcher was taken over the eligibility criteria process to prepare a list of final articles.

The following eligibility criteria were considered: publications reporting MS outcomes in both adults and children were targeted, publications reporting a relationship with MRI burden or posterior visual pathway lesions, radiological outcomes for visual pathway lesions as measures of MRI. Articles were excluded if MRI burden was only related to changes in the visual outcome or if reporting secondary or exploratory analyses. The researcher used baseline cross-sectional studies for investigating findings related to both cross-sectional and longitudinal designs. The researcher also applied a conventional approach to include only recent and relevant articles when overlapping with cohort studies. No meta-analysis was conducted in this systematic review; therefore, information about the overall effect on the relationship between any of the primary outcomes was not searched and presented.

**Data collection.** A standardized form was used by the primary researcher to extract the data with information on (1) MRI outcomes, (2) characteristics of the participants (age, gender, and visual analysis phenotypes, (3) multiple sclerosis lesions, (4) MRI assessment including blinding and training of investigators, software tools used, and (5) statistical analysis methods. A study quality assessment tool was developed in this systematic review on the basis of the STROBE guidelines to evaluate the risk of bias in each published article.

**Synthesis of results and summary measures.** This review presents summary measures if related to MRI assessment to an overall measure of MS and visual pathway lesions. Both adjusted and unadjusted measures were extracted for determining adjusted outcomes for possible confounding clinical parameters. The difference or correlation parameters in MRI burden were considered as summary measures between cohorts defined by the MS status.

**Risk of bias across studies.** A stated primary aim was required for the eligibility criteria to assess the relationship between visual pathway lesions, MRI burden, and MS, so that this review could reduce the reporting bias effect via post-hoc examinations. This review documented analyses that were explained regardless of any results being provided throughout the included studies.

**Results.** The initial literature search extracted a total of 2,504 published articles. Figure 1 shows the duplicates extracted from this initial literature search (n =1,560). The publication rate was documented with respect to year-by-year publications in the preliminary search list. No additional publications were included after searching manually from journal databases. In total, 125 articles were retrieved after the review of the abstracts. Of these, 81 articles were correspondingly excluded, most frequently (30/81=37%) due to irrelevant study aim. A total of 44 articles fulfilled all inclusion criteria, covering the period 2000-2019.

**Quality appraisal and risk of bias.** The researcher observed a range of study-related quality scores and provided complete reporting for outcome parameters as well as inclusion criteria among individual aspects. However, overall reporting of likely study size rationale, confounding factors, and measurement methodology was not provided by any study extracted.

**Relationship between MS and ON.** Unilateral ON often reaches a nadir throughout 2 weeks in adults, with visual impairments related to loss emerged in a short-term period and often linked with peri-orbital pain. Although complete loss is uncommon, decline in high-contrast visual acuity (HCVA) varies from minimal to severe. A comparative afferent pupillary defect in the affected eye was explained by typical evaluation because of reduced visual acuity, resulting from central visual field depression. The relationship between color vision and LCLA was more significantly influenced than HCVA. The optic disc is emerged in the majority of adult patients throughout the acute phase on direct ophthalmoscopy with ON. On the contrary, subclinical disc edema was revealed in many of the impacted eyes. The swelling of the optic disc is usually mild when visible, regardless of evidence of macular exudates or hemorrhages. A low risk for parallel clinically definite multiple sclerosis development is indicated through such atypical findings, particularly if the brain MRI is normal. Vision improves within 1 month just after acute optic neuritis follows visual symptoms.

The majority of patients have quality of life impairments related to vision pathways because of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), ganglion cell (GCL), and inner plexiform layer (IPL). The median loss of peri-papillary RNFL is 20-40% with in 3 and 6 months with most thinning. A previous study has revealed that significant retinal damage and vision loss are caused by ON regardless of the underlying disease. Soelberg et al. report that ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer (GCIP) loss damage initiates close to clinical onset. The significance of OCT in monitoring acute ON is supported by the relationship between vision support and OCT. Eyes suffering damage from optic neuritis...
are substantially identified by inter-ocular GCIP as compared to visual acuity loss, absolute GCIP, or visual fields. Ganglion cell loss should be prevented through effective international options.

With severe visual drawbacks, 10-15% of patients are prone to have severe RNFL loss. Two small studies followed a plasma exchange course among patients with poor vision and optic neuritis. Previous clinical trials reported the examination of intravenous immunoglobulin for ON with poor visual recovery. This unidentified need for optic neuritis treatment makes ON an appropriate mechanism system, along with the structure-function correlations and the wider range of available functional predictors for the testing of new neuro-protection therapies and multiple sclerosis repairment.

**MS indicators and retinal outcomes.** Transient visual impairment was experienced by subjects reported with MS or ON, associated with an increase in body temperature. This symptom has been caused by a temporary limitation to conduct demyelinated axons in the afferent visual pathways. Other sensory and motor pathways can be affected by a similar phenomenon. Considering ON, there might be limited motion perception, despite of static parameters of visual pathways. In functional MRI, a continued discrepancy has been associated with the Pulfrich phenomenon for associated tasks that require motion perception.
A delayed latency response is developed in optic neuritis, which is linked with time-constrained binocular perception improvement. It suggests an adaptive cortical response for improving input synchronicity and, therefore, assists binocular vision. Patients with MS were reported with binocular inhibition and acute unilateral ON history. Similarly reported in post-mortem specimens of patients with MS, retinal layers impairment has been noticed other than the RNFL, where ganglion cell loss displayed in 79% of the eyes and 40% exhibited in amacrine loss and bipolar cell loss in the inner nuclear layer. Such outcomes have been supported by optical coherence tomography (OCT), explaining GCL + IPL thinning and are related with declines in vision-specific quality of life and visual function.

Clinical measures and general images of MS disease activity and severity were associated with retinal outcomes. Microcystic macular oedema or thickening of the inner nuclear layer were reported in approximately 5% of patients with initial MS. Also, ON related with other inflammatory disorders resulted in inner nuclear layer thickening and microcystic macular edema. In addition, ON is associated with inflammatory disease activity in MS considering the presence of gadolinium enhancing lesions and T2 lesions on brand MRI. These observations indicate that the inflammatory process is not restricted to myelinated CNS structures in multiple sclerosis as the retinal layers do not comprise myelin. However, the occurrence of microcystic macular edema revealed other platforms in non-inflammatory ON. Retinal layers and macular thinning on OCT were relatively preserved among 10% of the patients. The existence of a primary retinal pathology in MS as suggested in Saidha et al is still controversial. According to Brandt et al these outcomes will have a significant effect on patient counselling and the understanding of MS disease heterogeneity and pathogenesis.

**Discussion.** Acute inflammatory demyelination is represented through acute optic neuritis elsewhere in the CNS and can therefore assist as a more globalized framework for repair and neuroprotection. In parallel and non-invasive elements, clinical functions can be evaluated with the assistance of ON, specifically in vivo parameters of structure and electrophysiology. In addition, visual function measures are more sensitive, quantitative, and reliable based on functional measures of other anatomic CNS sites. It was essential that clinical trials emphasized acute optic neuritis, showing adequately stringent eligibility criteria for ensuring an exact diagnosis and avoiding recruiting patients with other causes of visual loss or forms of optic neuropathy. Likewise, participants should be protected with comorbidities that can influence patient-reported outcomes.

The effectiveness of a central OCT reading center for clinical trials is underscored by longitudinal studies of OCT. For training sites, a vital OCT reading platform is used for obtaining standardized image procedures, which is considered a standard approach in MS clinical trials. Automated segmentation is highly relevant when performed by experienced raters with manual correction of macular OCT scans and can therefore be implemented in multicenter settings.

Computerized retinal segmentation software and developed case report forms, parallel to a central MRI reading center, are also deployed. Such multi-center studies have required validated and clear quality control tools. Cruz-Herranz et al have offered recommendations to uniformly and vigorously report quantitative OCT studies to report research in other biomedical realms. Class IV evidence is represented through the recommendations originated from expert consensus; these studies should be regularly balanced for new practices and insights.

Patients should be protected with comorbidities that can influence patient-reported or clinician-assessed visual outcomes. In recent times, the understanding of vision in MS has significantly expanded based on evidence presented in a systematic review. The predefined and validated network of examiners can benefit from current and future studies. However, there is still a need to bridge this gap in several areas such as inter-relationship measures assessment, fluid-based biomarker validation, and application and development of imaging and electrophysiological techniques both in clinical and randomized controlled trials.

In particular, clinical measures will be required to address visual limitations related to prediction and timing for generally measuring neurologic disability. It is important to predict the clinical significance of visual pathways based on patient-related outcomes to approve the policies proposed by regulatory agencies. Furthermore, assessment of their optimum application can be performed through normative values, specifically in clinical trials and clinical practice. Lastly, the development of practical methods should be based on the assessment of eye movements in clinical trials. It will be important to measure the capacity of repairing and protecting the nervous system, specifically related to visual function, visual pathway structure, electrophysiology, and quality of life. Vision is among
the most important functions from the perspective of MS patients,\textsuperscript{80} and should be a key patient reported outcome in MS trials.\textsuperscript{81} In addition, there will be continual dependency on structural consequences of MRI and OCT for documenting advantages to reduce axonal and neuronal degeneration or to improve tissue repair. In both modalities, technological advancements will provide prognostic markets for monitoring pathology in the anterior visual pathways and therapy modification.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Proof-Reading-Service.com (www.proof-reading-service.com) for English language editing. Further, this research holds no conflict of interest and is not funded through any source.

References

1. Britze J, Frederiksen JL. Optical coherence tomography in multiple sclerosis. \textit{Eye (Lond)} 2018; 32: 884-888.
2. Petzold A, de Boer JF, Schippling S, Vemersch P, Kardon R, Green A, et al. Optical coherence tomography in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. \textit{Lancet Neurol} 2010; 9: 921-932.
3. Petzold A, Wattjes MP, Costello F, Flores-Rivera J, Fraser CL, Fujihara K, et al. The investigation of acute optic neuritis: a review and proposed protocol. \textit{Nat Rev Neurol} 2014; 10: 447.
4. Nolan-Kenney RC, Liu M, Akhand O, Calabresi PA, Paul F, Petzold A, et al. Optimal intereye difference thresholds by optical coherence tomography in multiple sclerosis: An an international study. \textit{Ann Neurol} 2019; 85: 618-629.
5. Sinnecker T, Oberwahrenbrock T, Metz I, Zimmermann H, Pfuefler CF, Harms L, et al. Optic radiation damage in multiple sclerosis is associated with visual dysfunction and retinal thinning—an ultrahigh-field MR pilot study. \textit{Eur Radiol} 2015; 25: 122-131.
6. Pawlitzki M, Horbrügger M, Loewe K, Kaufmann J, Opfer PM. Size-selective neuronal changes in the anterior optic pathways suggest a differential susceptibility to injury in multiple sclerosis. \textit{Brain} 2001; 124: 1813-1820.
7. Frohman EM, Fujimoto JG, Frohman TC, Calabresi PA, Miller DH. Optical coherence tomography: a window into the mechanisms of multiple sclerosis. \textit{J Neuroimmunol Neuroflamm} 2020; 7: 7.
8. Kuchling J, Brandt AU, Paul F, Scheel M. Diffusion tensor imaging for multilevel assessment of the visual pathway: possibilities for personalized outcome prediction in autoimmune disorders of the central nervous system. \textit{EPMA J} 2017; 8: 279-294.
9. Backner Y, Kuchling J, Massarwa S, Oberwahrenbrock T, Finke C, Bellmann-Strobl J, et al. Anatomical wiring and functional networking changes in the visual system following optic neuritis. \textit{JAMA Neuro} 2018; 75: 287-295.
10. Hilker M, Gehrke V, Hehn C, et al. Treatment effects in optic neuritis: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. \textit{Neuroreport} 2004; 15: 1853-1857.
11. Sisto D, Trojano M, Vetrugno M, Trabucco T, Iliceto G, Sborgia C. Subclinical involvement in multiple sclerosis: a study by MRI, VEPs, frequency-doubling perimetry, standard perimetry, and contrast sensitivity. \textit{Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci} 2005; 46: 1264-168.
12. Dasenbrock HH, Smith SA, Ozurturk A, Farrell SK, Calabresi PA, Reich DS. Diffusion tensor imaging of the optic tracts in multiple sclerosis: association with retinal thinning and visual disability. \textit{J Neuroimagining} 2011; 21: e41-e49.
13. Kale N. Optic neuritis as an early sign of multiple sclerosis. \textit{Eye Brain} 2016; 8: 195-202.
14. Green AJ, McQuaid S, Hauser SL, Allen IV, Lyness R. Ocular pathology in multiple sclerosis: retinal atrophy and inflammation irrespective of disease duration. \textit{Brain} 2010; 133: 1591-1601.
15. Gordon-Lipkin E, Chodkowski B, Reich DS, Smith SA, Pulicken M, Balcer LJ, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer is associated with brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis. \textit{Neurology} 2007; 69: 1603-1609.
16. Evangelou N, Konz D, Esi MM, Smith S, Palace J, Matthews PM. Selective magnetization transfer ratio decreases in the visual cortex following optic neuritis. \textit{Brain} 2006; 129: 1031-1039.
17. Audoin B, Fernando KT, Swanton JK, Thompson AJ, Plant GT, Miller DH. Selective magnetization transfer ratio decreases in the visual cortex following optic neuritis. \textit{Brain} 2006; 129: 1031-1039.
18. Frohman EM, Fujimoto JG, Frohman TC, Calabresi PA, Miller DH. Optical coherence tomography: a window into the mechanisms of multiple sclerosis. \textit{Brain} 2014; 138: 11-27.
19. Frohman EM, Fujimoto JG, Frohman TC, Calabresi PA, Miller DH, Hickman SJ, et al. Modeling axonal degeneration within the anterior visual system: implications for demonstrating neuroprotection in multiple sclerosis. \textit{Arch Neurol} 2008; 65: 26-35.
20. Frohman EM, Fujimoto JG, Frohman TC, Calabresi PA, Miller DH, Balcer LJ. Optical coherence tomography: a window into the mechanisms of multiple sclerosis. \textit{Nat Clin Pract Neuro} 2008; 4: 664-675.
21. Barkhof F. The clinico-radiological paradox in multiple sclerosis revisited. \textit{Curr Opin Neurol} 2002; 15: 239-245.
22. Frohman EM, Fujimoto JG, Frohman TC, Calabresi PA, Miller DH, Balcer LJ. Optical coherence tomography: a window into the mechanisms of multiple sclerosis. \textit{J Neuroimagining} 2007; 17: S27-S30.
23. Fox RJ. Picturing multiple sclerosis: conventional and diffusion tensor imaging. \textit{Seminars in neurology} 2008; 4: 453-466.
24. Reich DS, Zackowski KM, Gordon-Lipkin EM, Smith SA, Chodkowski BA, Cutter GR, et al. Corticospinal tract abnormalities are associated with weakness in multiple sclerosis. \textit{AJNR Am J Neuroradiol} 2008; 29: 333-339.
25. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. \textit{PLoS Med} 2009; 6: 1000097.
26. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Grill S, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. \textit{Ann Intern Med} 2007; 147: 573-577.
Clinical Practice Guidelines

Clinical Practice Guidelines must include a short abstract. There should be an Introduction section addressing the objective in producing the guideline, what the guideline is about and who will benefit from the guideline. It should describe the population, conditions, health care setting and clinical management/diagnostic test. Authors should adequately describe the methods used to collect and analyze evidence, recommendations and validation. If it is adapted, authors should include the source, how, and why it is adapted? The guidelines should include not more than 50 references, 2-4 illustrations/tables, and an algorithm.