An Extragastrointestinal Stromal Tumor in the Omentum With Peritoneal Seeding Mimicking an Appendiceal Mucinous Cancer With Carcinomatosis
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Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. These tumors tend to present most frequently in the stomach, followed by the small intestine. GISTs can also arise from the omentum, retroperitoneum, mesentery, or pleura and are termed extragastrointestinal stromal tumors (EGISTs) when they do so. EGISTs arising from the omentum are very rare. Due to the limited incidence of EGISTs in the omentum, the diagnostic criteria are not well established, and making a correct diagnosis may be difficult. In this report, we present a case of an EGIST of the omentum with peritoneal metastasis that was initially suspected to be an appendiceal mucinous carcinoma with carcinomatosis on positron emission tomography/computed tomography imaging.
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INTRODUCTION
Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are rare and account for only 2.0% of all gastrointestinal neoplasms [1]. GISTs are the most common mesenchymal tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. The most commonly involved site is the stomach, followed by the small intestine [2]. GISTs can be identified as a distinct subset by using c-kit (CD 117) which is positive in almost all GISTs [3]. Histologically, GISTs are known to originate from precursors of the interstitial cells of Cajal [4]. In rare examples, GISTs can also arise from the omentum, retroperitoneum, mesentery, or pleura, and they are categorized as extragastrointestinal stromal tumors (EGISTs) [5]. EGISTs of the omentum are rare. Due to the limited incidence of EGISTs in the omentum, an accurate diagnosis might be somewhat difficult to make preoperatively. We experienced a case of an EGIST of the omentum with peritoneal metastasis that was initially suspected to be an appendiceal mucinous carcinoma with carcinomatosis.

CASE REPORT
A 54-year-old male was admitted to the emergency room with right lower quadrant (RLQ) abdominal pain. He had a history of hypertension and diabetes mellitus and was being treated at an outpatient clinic with regular medications. In addition, he had chronic kidney disease. On physical examination in the emergency room, his body temperature was 36.8°C, his heart rate was 86 per minute, his blood pressure was 186/113 mmHg, and his respiratory rate was 18 per minute. Diffuse lower abdominal pain of the right-abdomen was noted. On laboratory examination, the white blood cell count was 6,490 cells/mm³ (segment form, 57.6%) and the blood chemistry was abnormal: blood urea nitrogen (BUN), 29.8 mg/dL; creatinine (Cr), 1.68 mg/dL; lipase, 113 U/L; and troponin T, 0.035 mcg/L. Tumor markers, such as carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19–9, were within normal ranges.

Because of the patient’s history of chronic renal disease and the elevated BUN/Cr level at initial examination, an abdominopelvic
computed tomography (CT) was performed without contrast media. The CT revealed heterogeneous soft tissue lesions in the RLQ with an embedded appendix and multiple nodules in the omentum, mesentery, and pelvis. However, the results were inconclusive due to the lack of contrast enhancement. On a contrast-enhanced abdominopelvic CT performed after the recovery of renal function with hydration, loculated cystic and solid masses measuring up to 7 cm were noted in the RLQ, and the appendix was invisible. Multiple seeding nodules were observed in the greater omentum, subhepatic space, bilateral paracolic, mesentery, pelvis, and rectal shelf, with a moderate number of malignant ascites. However, no gross primary mass was seen in the gastrointestinal tract or the pancreas.

On a F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (FDG PET/CT) scan to evaluate systemic dissemination, an approximately 7.3-cm hypermetabolic mass with a focal cystic portion was seen in the retrocecal area, and other large hypermetabolic masses with cystic portions were also seen in the pelvic cavity. Multiple hypermetabolic foci and omental cakes were noted along the peritoneum and the greater omentum, with a large number of ascites (Fig. 1). Accordingly, the most probable preoperative radiologic diagnosis was an appendiceal mucinous carcinoma with peritoneal seeding.

Surgery with curative intent was performed, and numerous cystic nodules were found in the whole peritoneum, but mainly in the greater omentum. The appendix was grossly normal. A curative R0 resection was not possible due to the dissemination of multiple small cystic masses in the whole abdominal cavity. Only a partial resection of the greater omentum, the excision of a large cystic mass located in the RLQ area, and an appendectomy were performed with a palliative aim (Fig. 2).

The pathologic diagnosis was a malignant GIST, and mitosis was up to 7/10 high power fields, with necrosis showing epithelioid features, hemorrhage and cystic degeneration. Immunohistochemically, the tumor cells were positive for C-kit, vimentin, synaptophysin and S-100, but negative for CD34, α-smooth muscle...
As far as we know, no typical findings of EGISTs in PET/CT is known to be able to predict the malignant potential of mating treatment response in GIST patients [10, 11]. In addition, pending on the tumor’s location, size, and malignant potential [9].

Masses containing large areas of low attenuation without central gas [8]. However, the CT features of GISTs can be variable, de

masses are surrounded by omental tissues, not by gastrointestinal wall tissue. Two masses in the RLQ of the abdomen, which were detected on the preoperative PET/CT, were not derived from any kind of gastrointestinal tract, mesentery or retroperitoneum. For this reason, we determined our patient as having an EGIST arising from the omentum.

Primary GISTs have been reported to be hypervascular and heterogeneous because of necrosis, hemorrhage, and cystic degeneration [6]. CT is a useful diagnostic tool in the localization of a GIST. Typical CT findings of a GIST have been reported to include central fluid attenuation and heterogeneity of the soft tissue attenuation [7]. The CT findings of a primary GIST in the omentum and mesentery are known to be well-margined, lobulated masses containing large areas of low attenuation without central gas [8]. However, the CT features of GISTs can be variable, depending on the tumor’s location, size, and malignant potential [9].

PET/CT is an emerging tool for treatment response evaluation, as well as diagnosis, in various tumors and has been used in estimating treatment response in GIST patients [10, 11]. In addition, PET/CT is known to be able to predict the malignant potential of a GIST [12]. As far as we know, no typical findings of EGISTs in PET-CT examinations have been reported so far.

In the current case, the preoperative diagnosis was an appendiceal mucinous neoplasm with carcinomatosis. In evaluating disseminated mucinous carcinomas, PET imaging has inherent limitations because hypocellular tumors usually have low FDG uptake and that leads to a low sensitivity [13]. PET results have been reported to be positive in 35% of all cases and even in patients with high-grade disseminated cancer of the appendix; the sensitivity was only 41% [14]. In contrast, another study reported that PET imaging could detect peritoneal metastatic lesions of a mucinous adenocarcinoma of the appendix because the FDG uptake was influenced by mitotic activity, tumor grade, Ki 67 index, and so on [15]. In our case, an invisible appendix with large cystic masses in the RLQ of the abdomen prevented an accurate diagnosis on the preoperative PET/CT examination. Extensive multiple seeding nodules in the whole abdomen, including the greater omentum, and associated with a moderate number of ascites were believed to be the aggravation of peritoneal disseminations of a mucinous neoplasm. In addition, no enlarged lymph nodes were seen in the peritoneum or retroperitoneum.

Miettinen et al. [5] reported the clinicopathological features of 95 cases of GISTs presenting with omental masses. Although they did not confine their report to pure omental GISTs and included some tumors attached to the gastrointestinal tract, the 95 cases were divided into solitary omental GISTs and multiple omental GISTs. The positivity rates of KIT, CD34, and SMA were 93%, 61%, and 32%, respectively, in solitary omental GISTs and were 95%, 33%, and 14%, respectively, in multiple omental GISTs. Immunohistochemical staining of our case revealed positive signals for c-KIT and negative signals for CD34 and SMA. In the survival analysis of the Miettinen et al. [5] ’s study the median survival for multiple omental GISTs was reported to be 8 or 9 months, showing a poorer prognosis than solitary omental GISTs. We should mention that the patients in the above study did not receive any kind of imatinib treatment. The clinical impact of using adjuvant imatinib to treat multiple omental GISTs requires further investigation.

In conclusion, an EGIST is an uncommon disease and is difficult to diagnose by imaging alone. However, this disease might be
included as one of the differential diagnoses of patients with multiple cystic lesions and seeding nodules along the mesentery and peritoneum and with an unknown primary tumor.
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