Can Somatostatin Receptor Analogs Re-Differentiate Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors: A Case Report
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Abstract
Ectopic Cushing’s syndrome due to a pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (p-NET) is uncommon. Available information regarding effective treatment of pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms is limited, especially in grade 3 (G3) NETs with features of carcinoma. A 41-year-old female presented with a newly discovered round puffy face, severe resistant epigastric pain, new onset hyperglycemia and uncontrolled hypertension. Imaging tests performed, and cytology analysis revealed a well-differentiated (WD) high-grade p-NET producing ACTH, indistinguishable from a G3 neuroendocrine carcinoma due to over expression of p53 and a normal expression of ATRX. Regression of pancreatic mass and decrease in her ACTH and cortisol levels were seen after somatostatin receptor analog (SSTRA) therapy and steroidogenesis inhibitors. She underwent a distal pancreatectomy and splenectomy following medical treatment and was found to be cured on follow-up imaging and lab results. The final pathology report showed tumor re-differentiation. Somatostatin receptor analogs are known to reduce tumor bulk. Nonetheless, to our knowledge, no downgrading effect has been previously described in the literature. We present a rare case of a WD high grade p-NET mimicking a NEC that was re-differentiated following neoadjuvant treatment with SSTRA.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Adrenocorticotropic (ACTH) - producing pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (p-NETs), leading to Cushing’s syndrome (CS) are rare and are responsible for 4-16% of all ectopic CS (1). They tend to be large, metastatic tumors with a malignant behavior (2). In the 2017 revised WHO classification for p-NETs, grade 3 (G3) NET was introduced as a novel category. Its diagnosis remains however challenging and subject to many pitfalls especially when distinguishing it from G3 neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs). Results from the CLARINET study (3) showed improvement of progression free survival in grades 1 and 2 NETs, with somatostatin receptor analogs (SSTRA), due to their antiproliferative effects (4). As for G3 NETs, treatment modalities are still poorly outlined. Here, we present a rare functioning high-grade p-NET with both well-differentiated (WD) features and carcinoma features detected by 68Ga-DOTATATE and 18F-FDG positron emission tomography–computed tomography (PET/CT). When treated pre-operatively with SSTRA, both volume reduction and downgrading of the tumor were achieved.

2. CASE PRESENTATION
A 41-year-old female was admitted for a two-week history of nausea and intense epigastric pain unresponsive to analgesics. On physical examination, she had a round puffy face, hypertension and a newly diagnosed hyperglycemia with a fasting blood glucose of 211 mg/dL. During her hospital stay, she developed severe hypokalemia (as low as 2.6 mmol/L) requiring IV and oral potassium supplementation and treatment with spironolactone 25mg 1 tablet TID. A computed tomography (CT) scan of abdomen and pelvis revealed a 67-mm lobulated heterogeneous mass...
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of the tail of the pancreas, obstructing the splenic vein, with collateral circulation and infiltration of the splenic artery and multiple retroperitoneal sub-centimetric lymph nodes (LNs). Subsequently, an endoscopic ultrasound confirmed a 35-mm caudal pancreatic lesion. Fine needle aspiration (FNA) showed a morphologically WD grade 3 (G3) (ki-67 index 27%) neuroendocrine proliferation and no non-neuroendocrine components (Figure 1).

Figure1. Cytological images of the tumor. A (H&E stain, x100) demonstrates one-dimensional trabecular pattern of small to medium sized cells with round nuclei and derepressed chromatin. Cell molding can also be seen. B (synaptophysin staining, x400) shows an intense diffuse positive staining to synaptophysin, a neuroendocrine marker.

Overexpression of p53 and absence of alpha-thalassemia/mental retardation X-linked (ATRX) mutation were in favor of a neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC). Unfortunately, ACTH staining of tumor cells was not available in our hospital. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the liver showed multiple suspicious millimetric nodules. Both a $^{68}$Gallium DOTATATE PET-CT scan and a $^{18}$F-FDG PET-CT showed a 7.5-cm tumor of the tail of the pancreas with abnormal uptake (respectively, SUV max=50 and SUV max =7), without liver metastases (LM). Cancer antigen 19-9 (Ca 19-9) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) were normal.

Laboratory tests confirmed a CS: a non-suppressed morning plasma cortisol after an overnight low-dose dexamethasone suppression test (1040 ng/mL, suppressed values when <18 ng/mL), a midnight plasma cortisol of 1080 ng/mL (normal values < 50 ng/mL) and a non-suppressed cortisol of 1250 ng/mL (suppressed values when <18 ng/mL) 6 hours after the last dose of dexamethasone following a two-day low-dose suppression test (0.5mg q6hrs for 48hrs). Her urinary free cortisol was 2467mcg/24h (normal <45mcg/24h). ACTH dependent CS was confirmed after a morning ACTH of 342 pg/mL and midnight ACTH of 265 pg/mL (normal values respectively, 10-50 pg/mL and <5-10 pg/mL). A pituitary MRI revealed no adenoma. She was diagnosed with an ectopic CS due to an ACTH producing high grade p-NET.

She was treated with steroidogenesis inhibitors (SIs) (ketoconazole 200 mg TID and metyrapone 250mg 4 times daily) and 100 micrograms of octreotide subcutaneously every 8 hours, which was then decreased to 100 micrograms every 12 hours following biochemical improvement: morning cortisol and ACTH decreased to 44.4 ng/mL (normal 100-200 ng/mL) and 182 pg/mL (normal 10-50 pg/mL), respectively. She was discharged on octreotide LAR 30 mg once a month, metyrapone and ketoconazole. A month later, her ACTH level normalized to 46.4 pg/mL, and progressively declined to 17.6 pg/mL at her 3-month follow up, with a relatively low morning cortisol of 58.6 ng/mL. A decrease of 16% in the volume of the pancreatic mass was noted on repeat abdominal MRI and the suspicious liver nodules were in fact compatible with biliary hamartomas. She was given glucocorticoids as per the block and treat regimen and subsequently underwent a distal pancreatectomy and splenectomy. The final pathology report noted a 7cm grade 2 (G2) (Ki67=15%) NET, with peripancreatic fat infiltration, neoplastic thrombosis of splenic vein, parietal infiltration of splenic artery and 2 peripancreatic metastatic LN. There were no G3 regions within the specimen. Tumor tissue stained positively and diffusely to pancytokeratine, chromogranin A and synaptophysin. P53 was not overexpressed while ATRX mutation as positive. Three months postoperatively, there was no residual disease on CT scan and her ACTH and cortisol levels were normal; respectively 15.1 pg/mL and 119 ng/mL, while maintaining therapy with octreotide LAR 30 mg monthly.
3. DISCUSSION

Our patient presented with a WD ACTH-secreting p-NET. Based on initial cytology report, she had a high-grade tumor but exhibited molecular features of carcinoma: normal expression of ATRX and overexpression of p53. In the 2017 revised WHO classification, a new category of WD NETs was described almost exclusively in the pancreas (5) with a mitotic count> 20/10 high power fields (HPFs) and/or ki-67 proliferative index >20% corresponding to a G3. Nonetheless, distinction between G3 NETs and G3 NECs is not always easy. Contrary to p-NECs, differentiated G3 tumors conserve chromogranin and SST receptors (SSTRA) expression and can produce functional hormones (6). They are characterized by positive staining for neuroendocrine markers, chromogranin A and synaptophysin (6) and a coarse chromatin with a ‘salt-and-pepper’ appearance (5, 6). In cases of a difficult morphologic diagnosis, the 2017 WHO classification authors proposed the adjunct use of molecular markers detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC). These included p53 mutations and retinoblastoma (Rb) protein loss, almost exclusively seen in PD-NECs (5,7) and loss of ATRX and death domain-associated (DAXX) proteins expression in up to 40% of WD-p-NETs (5,7). Therefore, tumors are classified as G3 NET when the phenotype is p53− Rb+ DAXX± ATRX± or G3 NEC when p53+ Rb−DAXX+ ATRX+ (8). Concordance between cytology specimen from FNAs and the final pathology report obtained from surgical specimen in neuroendocrine tumors, has been documented in up to 89.5% of cases (9).

In addition, the tumor was detected on both 68Ga-DOTATATE PET-CT and 18FDG-PET-CT, demonstrating the presence of SSTRA as well as a high proliferative rate. This further confirmed the initial cytology report suggesting features of both WD NET and NEC. 70% of p-NETs express SSTRA, especially subtype 2, and can be detected using somatostatin analogs functional imaging (2, 7). Somatostatin receptor-based 68 Ga-PET–CT imaging (68 Ga-DOTATATE or 68 Ga-DOTANOC) is recommended for diagnosis and follow up of NETs because of its superiority to conventional imaging and octreoscan (10). When SST expression by NETs is straightforward either on octreoscan or by IHC, SSTRA are indicated because of their anti-hormonal and antiproliferative effects (7). Functional isotopic imaging may also play a role in determining prognosis of p-NETs: it is referred to as the metabolic grade (10). In fact, when tumors show uptake on SST analogs scintigraphy or PET/CT, they are more likely to be WD, are negative on FDG PET and have a better clinical outcome (10). Conversely, when tumors are avid on FDG-PET scans, even for grade 1 (G1) tumors, the intensity of uptake is associated with a worse outcome and increased tumor progression (2, 10). They are usually PD-NECs and G3 NETs, rarely avid on octreoscans (2, 10). Based on the stated clinical, cytology and imaging features, we decided to initiate treatment with a SSTRA to reduce tumor burden before surgery. Indeed, when tumor is bulky, a neoadjuvant approach can be applied, using either SSTRA and/or interferon alpha (INFα) or radionuclide therapy, to facilitate surgical resection (2, 7, 10). Surprisingly, our patient’s tumor regressed by more than 15% preoperatively. Results from the CLARINET (3) and PROMID (11) studies clearly demonstrate tumor shrinkage in response to SSTRA, particularly in low or intermediate grade tumors. The ideal candidates would be patients with a low ki-67 index and a low hepatic burden on MRI (7). Moreover, we not only demonstrated a reduction in tumor volume, but also a downgrading of tumor on final pathology following resection. Antiproliferative actions of SSTRA have been extensively documented, yet it is possible that they may have re-differentiation capacity, perhaps downgrading and re-differentiating the tumor, similar to thyroid cancer re differentiation: from a G3 NEC to a G2 NET, a hypothesis that warrants further study. This was previously described in a case report with cytotoxic chemotherapy but not in SSTRA (12).

Moreover, the decision to surgically remove the tumor was undertaken in the absence of documented metastases on imaging. Curative surgery in the absence of hepatic or extra hepatic disease is the recommended course of action for a NET (13). However, if multiple inoperable hepatic or extra hepatic diseases are present, systemic therapy is indicated (13): biotherapy with SSTRA and/or INFα in cases of WD functional NETs. Seeing that G3 NET is a relatively new category, clear recommendations are yet to be established. The most important negative predictors of survival in patients on SSTRA are a high ki-67 proliferative index and increased hepatic tumor burden on MRI (7).
Negative predictors of survival in patients with CS due to NETs are severity of hypercortisolemia (>3-fold increase in serum cortisol or >5-fold increase in 24h urine free cortisol and ACTH), the presence of hypokalemia, diabetes mellitus and distant metastases (14), most of which were present in our patient. The positive response seen in our patient is rather unusual. Another potential effect, recently proposed, is that of biguanides on inhibiting tumor progression (15). Finally, it is also possible that octreotide therapy may have had an added benefit to the treatment of CS as both ACTH and cortisol levels decreased. However, we are unable to quantify this effect, especially that the patient was treated simultaneously with ketoconazole and metyrapone as is recommended for a rapid clinical improvement (16). Few case reports described a positive response to therapy targeting the tumor producing ectopic ACTH rather than the hypercortisolemia itself (17, 18), using either a SSTR2 or dopamine agonists. The effects of SSTR2 were most noticeable when combined with a glucocorticoid receptor antagonist to increase expression of SSTR subtype 2 (16).

4. CONCLUSION
We described a rare case of an ACTH-producing WD-high-grade-p-NET with features of carcinoma on cytology and an aggressive clinical behavior, responding nonetheless to neoadjuvant treatment with SSTR2 and SIs and curative surgery. Our findings shed light on a potential new role for SSTR2 in NETs therapy. Perhaps, future studies using functional imaging will demonstrate a re-differentiating effect of SSTR2 leading to downgrading of NETs, such as is described in thyroid cancers.

PATIENT CONSENT
Informed consent has been obtained from the patient for publication of the case report and accompanying images.
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