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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of an L-type companion to the young M3.5V star 2MASS J01225093–2439505 at a projected separation of 1′′45 (≈52 AU) as part of our adaptive optics imaging search for extrasolar giant planets around young low-mass stars. 2MASS 0122–2439 B has very red near-infrared colors similar to the HR 8799 planets and the reddest known young/dusty L dwarfs in the field. Moderate-resolution (R ≈ 3800) 1.5−2.4 μm spectroscopy reveals a near-infrared spectral type of L4–L6 and an angular H-band shape, confirming its cool temperature and young age. The kinematics of 2MASS 0122–2439 AB are marginally consistent with members of the ∼120 Myr AB Dor young moving group based on the photometric distance to the primary (36 ± 4 pc) and our radial velocity measurement of 2MASS 0122–2439 A from Keck/HIRES. We adopt the AB Dor group age for the system, but the high energy emission, lack of Li i λ6707 absorption, and spectral shape of 2MASS 0122–2439 B suggest a range of ∼10–120 Myr is possible. The age and luminosity of 2MASS 0122–2439 B fall in a strip where “hot-start” evolutionary model mass tracks overlap as a result of deuterium burning. Several known substellar companions also fall in this region (2MASS J0103–5515 ABb, AB Pic b, κ And b, G196-3 B, SDSS 2249+0044 B, LP 261-75 B, HD 203030 B, and HN Peg B), but their dual-valued mass predictions have largely been unrecognized. The implied mass of 2MASS 0122–2439 B is ≈12–13 MJup or ≈22–27 MJup if it is an AB Dor member, or possibly as low as 11 MJup if the wider age range is adopted. Evolutionary models predict an effective temperature for 2MASS 0122–2439 B that corresponds to spectral types near the L/T transition (∼1300–1500 K) for field objects. However, we find a mid-L near-infrared spectral type, indicating that 2MASS 0122–2439 B represents another case of photospheric dust being retained to cooler temperatures at low surface gravities, as seen in the spectra of young (8–30 Myr) planetary companions. Altogether, the low mass, low temperature, and red colors of 2MASS 0122–2439 B make it a bridge between warm planets like β Pic b and cool, very dusty ones like HR 8799 bcd.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Adaptive optics (AO) imaging is a powerful tool to explore the architecture and demographics of planetary systems beyond ∼10 AU. A handful of companions below the deuterium-burning limit (∼13 MJup; Spiegel et al. 2011) have been found, but the origin of these planetary-mass companions is ambiguous since there is growing evidence that objects in the 5−15 MJup range can form in multiple ways. The β Pic and HR 8799 planets are nested in debris disks and probably originated in massive protoplanetary disks (Marois et al. 2008, 2010; Lagrange et al. 2010), although their exact mode of formation is still unclear (Dodson-Robinson et al. 2009; Nero & Bjorkman 2009; Meru & Bate 2010). Planetary-mass companions have also been found in distinctly “non-planetary” environments—both orbiting stars at ultra-wide separations (Lafrenière et al. 2008; Ireland et al. 2011; Luhman et al. 2011), and brown dwarfs with large mass ratios (Chauvin et al. 2004; Todorov et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2011)—pointing to an origin more consistent with molecular cloud fragmentation than formation in a disk (Lodato et al. 2005; Bate 2012; Vorobyov 2013). These multiple possibilities make the formation scenario of any individual system difficult to deduce if devoid of environmental clues (Bowler et al. 2011; Bailey et al. 2013).

Direct imaging also enables detailed studies of planetary atmospheres through spectroscopy. Follow-up observations of the young gas giants orbiting HR 8799 (Bowler et al. 2010; Barman et al. 2011a; Konopacky et al. 2013; Oppenheimer et al. 2013) and the planetary-mass companion 2MASS 1207–3932 b (Skemer et al. 2011; Barman et al. 2011b) confirmed the surprising gravity-dependence of the L–T transition for young L dwarfs (e.g., Metchev & Hillenbrand 2006; Stephens et al. 2009). In cool, low-gravity atmospheres, photospheric dust appears to be retained to lower effective temperatures compared to old (high gravity) brown dwarfs in the field. Marley et al. (2012) provide an intuitive framework for this phenomenon in which the gravity-dependence of cloud particle size and cloud base pressure conspire to produce similar column optical depths in cool, low-gravity photospheres and slightly warmer, high-gravity conditions. This results in spectral types that appear earlier than expected based on evolutionary model-predicted...
temperatures and spectral type-temperature relations for field objects. However, a detailed understanding of this process is hampered by the small number of young L and T dwarfs known.

We are conducting a deep AO imaging survey of young (10–300 Myr) low-mass (0.2–0.6 \( M_\odot \)) stars to study the outer architectures of M dwarf planetary systems and to identify giant planets for spectral characterization. In Bowler et al. (2012a, 2012b) we presented the discovery of two young (~120–300 Myr) substellar companions with masses of 32 ± 6 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) and 46 ± 16 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) at separations of \( \approx 120 \) AU and \( \approx 4.5 \) AU. Here we present a substellar companion to the active M3.5 star 2MASS J01225093–2439505 (hereinafter 2MASS 0122–2439). The young age of 2MASS 0122–2439 was first recognized by Riaz et al. (2006) based on its high X-ray luminosity and strong \( \text{H} \alpha \) emission (9.7 Å). In their kinematic analysis of active M dwarfs, Malo et al. (2013) identified 2MASS 0122–2439 as a likely member of the ~120 Myr AB Dor young moving group (YMG; Zuckerman et al. 2004). Neither a parallax nor a radial velocity has been published for this otherwise anonymous star. Below we describe the discovery and spectroscopic analysis of a dusty L dwarf companion to 2MASS 0122–2439 with an estimated mass near the deuterium-burning limit.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Subaru/IRCS Adaptive Optics Imaging

We first imaged 2MASS 0122–2439 with the Infrared Camera and Spectrograph (IRCS; Kobayashi et al. 2000; Tokunaga et al. 1998) combined with the AO188 adaptive optics system (Hayano et al. 2010) at the Subaru Telescope on UT 2012 October 12. Natural guide star (NGS) AO was used with 2MASS 0122–2439 A (\( R = 13.2 \) mag) acting as the wavefront reference. The observations were made with the smallest plate scale (20 mas pixel\(^{-1}\)), resulting in a field of view of 21’’ across the 1024 \( \times \) 1024 array. We obtained short (5 exposures \( \times \) 5 coadds \( \times \) 1.0 s) and long (2 exposures \( \times \) 1 coadd \( \times \) 60 s) dithered frames with the \( H \) and \( K \) filters (Mauna Kea Observatory system; Simons & Tokunaga 2002; Tokunaga et al. 2002). Seeing was poor (1–2’’) and variable throughout the night, resulting in rapid changes in the quality of AO correction. Dome flats obtained at the start of the night were used to correct for pixel sensitivity variations. A faint (\( \Delta \text{mag} \approx 5 \) ) point source was resolved ~1.5’’ from 2MASS 0122–2439 A, but the AO correction was only good enough to extract precise astrometry (<20 mas) for a single short-exposure frame.

Astrometry for this image was measured by fitting an analytic model of three elliptical Gaussians to each binary component as described in Liu et al. (2008). As in previous work (Dupuy et al. 2009, 2010), we determined the errors in separation and position angle (P.A.) by fitting simulated data created from the image itself. Images of the primary are successively scaled to the measured flux of the companion and injected at the best-fit separation (70.75 pixels), adding random offsets with an rms of 1.0 pixel. We also allowed for random offsets in the flux ratios of our injected companions (rms = 0.3 mag), distributing them uniformly in P.A. but avoiding the \( \pm 10^{\circ} \) P.A. range where the actual companion is located. The same fitting routine is applied to \( 10^{9} \) such simulated companion images.

North alignment and pixel scale was measured using dithered observations of the young \( 2^\circ 4 \) M/L binary IRXS J235133.3+312720 AB (Bowler et al. 2012b) acquired on the same night (10 exposures \( \times \) 5 coadds \( \times \) 0.5 s) with an identical setup. We measure a plate scale of 20.41 ± 0.05 mas pixel\(^{-1}\) and a north orientation of +89.03 ± 0.09 based on astrometry of the system from Keck/IRCS from Bowler et al., in agreement with the IRCS plate scale of 20.53 mas pixel\(^{-1}\) measured by Currie et al. (2011). Applying our calibration to the 2MASS 0122–2439 AB system gives a separation and P.A. of \( 1444 \pm 7 \) mas and 216.7 ± 0.2. We did not correct the data for optical distortions since the relative distortion is small compared to our measurement errors for separations of only a few arcseconds.

2.2. Keck II/IRCS Adaptive Optics Imaging

We obtained follow-up imaging of 2MASS 0122–2439 AB using Keck II/IRCS with NGS-AO on the nights of UT 2013 January 18 and 19, and again on UT 2013 June 30. The narrow camera mode was used, resulting in a field of view of 10’’ \( \times \) 10’’. Standard dark subtraction, bad pixel correction, and flat fielding was performed as in previous work (e.g., Bowler et al. 2012b). For our astrometry, we adopt the plate scale of 9.952 mas pixel\(^{-1}\) and angle of +0:252 between the detector columns and celestial north measured by Yelda et al. (2010). Details of the observations are listed in Table 1.

2MASS 0122–2439 B was detected in our \( H, K, \) and \( L' \) band data from 2013 January and our \( J \)- and \( K \)-band data from 2013 June (Figure 1), but not in our \( J \)-band images from 2013 January. For the detections, astrometry and relative photometry was extracted either by fitting an analytic model of three elliptical Gaussians to each component or performing aperture photometry with sky subtraction. The standard deviation of multiple measurements is computed to derive the P.A. and separation uncertainty for each filter. A lower limit for the 2013 January \( J \)-band flux ratio was computed by adding a small image of the primary star scaled to three times the background rms at the known position of the companion, and then extracting flux ratios using the same fitting method. The results are listed in Table 1. Although the \( K \)-band photometry from IRCS and IRDIS disagree at the 2\( \sigma \) level, we note that the companion is well resolved from the primary in our IRCS2 data, but it sits in a large halo in the IRCS data because of poor seeing that night.
The flux ratio from NIRC2 is therefore more reliable than the IRCS measurement, as indicated by their relative uncertainties (0.04 mag versus 0.24 mag). Moreover, our two epochs of \( K \)-band data from NIRC2 are mutually consistent.

2.3. Keck I/OSIRIS Near-infrared Spectroscopy of 2MASS 0122–2439 B

We targeted 2MASS 0122–2439 B with the OH-Suppressing Infrared Imaging Spectrograph (OSIRIS; Larkin et al. 2006) on UT 2013 February 4 with the Keck I Telescope using NGS-AO. The \( H \) and \( K \) filters were used with the 50 mas plate scale, resulting in a lenslet geometry of \( 16 \times 50 \times 50 \times 50 \times 100 \) and \( 100 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \). The long axis of the detector was oriented perpendicular to the binary position angle and nodded along the detector by \( \sim 1'' \) in an ABBA pattern. We obtained a total on-source integration of 35 minutes in \( K \) and 30 minutes in \( H \) in \( \sim 1'' \) seeing conditions over an airmass of 1.7–2.3. Immediately after our science observations, we acquired spectra of the A0V star HD 20878 at a similar airmass for telluric correction.

The two-dimensional data were reduced and rectified into wavelength-calibrated three-dimensional cubes with the OSIRIS Data Reduction Pipeline. A new grating was installed in OSIRIS in 2012 December so we made use of a preliminary set of rectification matrices obtained shortly after our observing run on 2013 February 16 (J. Lyke 2013, private communication). The spectra were extracted using aperture photometry with an on-source integration of 35 minutes in \( K \) and 30 minutes in \( H \) by Kirkpatrick et al. (2000) and found to be comoving with the young (\( \sim 100–200 \) Myr) M dwarf LP 261-75 A by Reid & Walkowicz (2006). A total on-source integration time of 16 minutes was obtained in an ABBA pattern with the \( 0.5 '' \) slit, yielding a resolving power of \( \sim 150 \). Arc lamps for wavelength calibration were acquired at the same telescope position. The A0V star HD 89239 was observed at a similar airmass as the science observations for telluric correction. The Spextool reduction package was used to reduce, extract, and telluric-correct the observations (Vacca et al. 2003; Cushing et al. 2004).

### Table 1

| Date (UT) | Telescope/Instrument | Filter | \( N \times \) Coadds \( \times \) Exp. Time (s) | FWHM (mas) | Separation (\(^{\circ}\)) | P.A. (\(^{\circ}\)) | \( \Delta \)mag |
|-----------|----------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------|----------|
| 2012 Oct 12 | Subaru/IRCS | \( K \) | 1 \times 5 \times 1.0 | 128 | 1.444 \pm 0.007 | 216.7 \pm 0.2 | 4.82 \pm 0.24 |
| 2013 Jan 18 | Keck-II/NIRC2 | \( K \) | 5 \times 50 \times 0.3 | 55.1 \pm 1.4 | 1.4486 \pm 0.0006 | 216.64 \pm 0.08 | 5.36 \pm 0.04 |
| 2013 Jan 18 | Keck-II/NIRC2 | \( H \) | 3 \times 50 \times 0.3 | 51 \pm 2 | 1.4495 \pm 0.0015 | 216.59 \pm 0.08 | 6.18 \pm 0.04 |
| 2013 Jan 18 | Keck-II/NIRC2 | \( J \) | 3 \times 50 \times 0.3 | 50 \pm 4 | ... | ... | ... |
| 2013 Jan 19 | Keck-II/NIRC2 | \( L' \) | 4 \times 100 \times 0.5 | 101 \pm 7 | 1.452 \pm 0.005 | 216.6 \pm 0.4 | 4.19 \pm 0.03 |
| 2013 Jun 30 | Keck-II/NIRC2 | \( K \) | 4 \times 20 \times 0.3 | 80 \pm 14 | 1.448 \pm 0.004 | 216.47 \pm 0.07 | 5.35 \pm 0.04 |
| 2013 Jun 30 | Keck-II/NIRC2 | \( J \) | 5 \times 10 \times 2.0 | 120 \pm 20 | 1.433 \pm 0.010 | 216.9 \pm 0.4 | 6.79 \pm 0.14 |

Notes. NIRC2 FWHM measurements are computed using the IDL routine NIRC2STREHL made available by Keck Observatory. The IRCS FWHM measurement is for a single image.

### Table 2

| Date (UT) | Target | Telescope/Instrument | Filter | \( N \times \) Exp. Time (s) |
|-----------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| 2013 Feb 2 | 2MASS 0122–2439 B | Keck-I/OSIRIS | \( Kbb \) | 7 \times 300 |
| 2013 Feb 2 | 2MASS 0122–2439 B | Keck-I/OSIRIS | \( Hbb \) | 6 \times 300 |
| 2012 Dec 28 | 2MASS 0122–2439 A | Keck-I/HIRES | GG475 | 1 \times 90 |
3. RESULTS

3.1. Distance

Since no parallax is available for 2MASS 0122–2439 A, we estimate its distance photometrically using empirical color–magnitude relations. This requires knowledge of the system age since pre-main-sequence isochrones span ~3 mag in $M_V$ (a factor of ~4 in inferred distance) from 10 Myr to the zero-age main sequence (~160 Myr for a 0.4 $M_\odot$ star; Baraffe et al. 1998). As discussed in Section 3.3, the kinematics of 2MASS 0122–2439 AB are marginally consistent with the AB Dor YMG, which has an age similar to the Pleiades (Luhman et al. 2005; Barenfeld et al. 2013). We therefore make use of the Pleiades isochrone to compute a photometric distance for our target. Using the Pleiades membership list from Stauffer et al. (2007) and a cluster distance of 133 pc (Soderblom et al. 2005), we fit a sixth-order polynomial to the cluster sequence in $M_V$ versus $V - K_S$. Unresolved binaries will systematically bias this fit to brighter values compared to the single star locus. We therefore shift the distribution 0.15 mag fainter as a compromise between a more populous single star locus and an upper envelope of equal-flux binaries, which will brighten sources by as much as 0.75 mag. The resulting fit is $M_V = 0.15 + \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i \times (V - K_S)^i$, where $c_i = \{2.15195, -2.39272, 5.95275, -3.25361, 0.850172, -0.106731, 0.00519175\}$. The rms about the fit is 0.28 mag and it is valid between $V - K_S = 0.5$–6.0 mag. Applying the relation to 2MASS 0122–2439 A gives $M_V = 11.5 \pm 0.3$ mag and a photometric distance of 36 ± 4 pc. We assume 2MASS 0122–2439 A is single since our NIRC2 images rule out equal-flux binaries down to ~50 mas, and there is no evidence it is a double-lines spectroscopic binary from our HIRES observations.

As a comparison, the $M_V$ versus $V - J$ relations for main-sequence M dwarfs from Lépine (2005) yield $M_V = 11.9 \pm 0.2$ mag and a main sequence distance estimate of 29 ± 1 pc. Similarly, at the other plausible age extreme (≈10 Myr), the $M_V$ versus $V - K$ polynomial fit for the ≈12 Myr $\beta$ Pic YMG from Riedel et al. (2011) implies a distance of 58 ± 2 pc. (No rms is given for their fit, so the error only incorporates photometric uncertainties.) We adopt the photometric distance of 36 ± 4 pc from Pleiades isochrones for this work, which agrees with the statistical distance estimate of 33 ± 1 pc from Malo et al. (2013); however, we note that distances of ≈30–60 pc are possible if 2MASS 0122–2439 AB is not a member of the AB Dor group.

3.2. Common Proper Motion

The relatively large proper motion of 2MASS 0122–2439 A (170 ± 2.5 mas yr$^{-1}$) allows us to test whether the candidate companion is comoving based on our IRCS and NIRC2 AO imaging, which span ~8.5 months in time (Table 1). Figure 2 shows the relative proper and parallactic motion of a stationary background object, adopting a photometric distance of 36 ± 4 pc and the proper motion from UCAC4 listed in Table 3. Our NIRC2 astrometry is more precise, so we use our second epoch (2013 January) of data as the baseline for the background tracks, while our first epoch (2012 October) IRCS and third epoch (2013 June) NIRC2 measurements are used to test the background hypothesis. The predicted separation and position angle for a stationary object at epoch 2012.780 is $1.4648 \pm 0.0011$ and $215^\circ 92 \pm 0^\circ 13$. Our measured separation at that epoch (1"444 ± 0"007) is 2.9$\sigma$ from the background model and the measured P.A. (216°7 ± 0°2) differs by 3.3$\sigma$. Likewise, for epoch 2013.495 the predicted background astrometry is $1.487 \pm 0.005$ and $220^\circ 68 \pm 0^\circ 14$, which is inconsistent with our separation and position angle measurements by 6.1$\sigma$ and 27$\sigma$, respectively. Altogether, our astrometry rule out a background model at the 28$\sigma$ level. Note that if we assume a farther distance

\[ \begin{array}{c|c|c}
\hline
\text{Parameter} & \text{Primary} & \text{Secondary} \\
\hline
\text{Age (Myr)} & 120 \pm 10^0 & \cdots \\
\delta d_{\text{arc}} (pc) & 36 \pm 4^0 & \cdots \\
\mu_x \cos \delta (mas yr$^{-1}$) & 120.2 \pm 1.9^g & \cdots \\
\mu_y (mas yr$^{-1}$) & -120.3 \pm 1.7^g & \cdots \\
RV (km s$^{-1}$) & 9.6 \pm 0.7 & \cdots \\
\hline
\text{Proj. Sep. (AU)} & 52 \pm 6 & \cdots \\
U (km s$^{-1}$) & -5.4 \pm 0.6 & \cdots \\
V (km s$^{-1}$) & -29 \pm 3 & \cdots \\
W (km s$^{-1}$) & -8.0 \pm 0.7 & \cdots \\
X (pc) & -4.5 \pm 0.5 & \cdots \\
Y (pc) & -1.25 \pm 0.14 & \cdots \\
Z (pc) & -36 \pm 4 & \cdots \\
log(L_{\odot}/L_{\odot}) & -3.17 \pm 0.3 & \cdots \\
log(M_{\odot}/M_{\odot}) & -1.72 \pm 0.11 & -4.19 \pm 0.10 \\
\text{Spectral type} & \text{M3.5} \pm 0.5^a & \text{L4} - \text{L6} \\
\text{Mass} & 0.40 \pm 0.05 M_{\odot}^a & 12 - 14 \text{ or } 23 - 27 M_{\odot}^a \\
\hline
\text{Photometry} & & \\
V (mag) & 14.24 \pm 0.07^a & \cdots \\
R (mag) & 13.2^a & \cdots \\
I (mag) & 11.3^a & \cdots \\
\Delta K_{\text{CCD}} (mag) & 10.02 \pm 0.03^a & 16.81 \pm 0.14 \\
\Delta H_{\text{CCD}} (mag) & 9.47 \pm 0.07^a & 15.65 \pm 0.04 \\
\Delta K_{\text{CCD}} (mag) & 9.17 \pm 0.03^a & 14.53 \pm 0.05 \\
L' (mag) & 9.0 \pm 0.1^b & 13.2 \pm 0.1 \\
M_1 (mag)^a & 7.2 \pm 0.2 & 14.0 \pm 0.3 \\
M_2 (mag)^a & 6.7 \pm 0.2 & 12.9 \pm 0.2 \\
M_3 (mag)^a & 6.4 \pm 0.2 & 11.7 \pm 0.3 \\
M_4 (mag)^a & 6.2 \pm 0.3 & 10.4 \pm 0.3 \\
(M - H)_{\text{Balo}} (mag) & 0.55 \pm 0.04 & 1.16 \pm 0.15 \\
(H - K)_{\text{Balo}} (mag) & 0.30 \pm 0.04 & 1.12 \pm 0.06 \\
(J - K)_{\text{Balo}} (mag) & 0.85 \pm 0.04 & 2.28 \pm 0.15 \\
K_{\text{Balo}} - L' (mag) & 0.30 \pm 0.10 & 1.33 \pm 0.11 \\
\text{WISE 1W (mag)} & 9.01 \pm 0.03 & \cdots \\
\text{WISE 2W (mag)} & 8.84 \pm 0.02 & \cdots \\
\text{WISE 3W (mag)} & 8.75 \pm 0.03 & \cdots \\
\text{WISE 4W (mag)} & 8.2 \pm 0.2 & \cdots \\
\text{GALEX NUV (mag)} & 20.67 \pm 0.14^a & \cdots \\
\text{GALEX FUV (mag)} & 21.2 \pm 0.3^a & \cdots \\
\text{ROSAT flux (erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)} & 3.4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^{-13} & \cdots \\
\hline
\end{array} \]
of 60 ± 5 pc, which corresponds to a younger primary age of ≈10 Myr, we can reject the background hypothesis at the 32σ level.

Bayesian techniques provide a straightforward way to quantitatively compare the relative merit of the stationary and comoving models (Schwarz 1978, Liddle 2009). For two competing models, the posterior odds \( P(M_1|d)/P(M_2|d) \) for models \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) given the data \( d \) are equal to the Bayes factor \( P(d|M_1)/P(d|M_2) \) times the prior odds of each model \( P(M_1)/P(M_2) \). For simplicity, we assume equal prior odds for both models. Assuming normally distributed data, the Bayes factor simplifies to the likelihood ratio \( e^{-\chi^2/2} \). Here

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( \frac{(\theta_{\text{meas},i} - \theta_{\text{pred},i})^2}{\sigma_{\theta,\text{meas},i}^2 + \sigma_{\theta,\text{pred},i}^2} + \frac{(\rho_{\text{meas},i} - \rho_{\text{pred},i})^2}{\sigma_{\rho,\text{meas},i}^2 + \sigma_{\rho,\text{pred},i}^2} \right),
\]

where \( \theta, \rho, \) and \( \sigma \) are the measured and predicted P.A., separation, and their associated uncertainties at epoch \( i \) for \( N \) epochs of astrometry. The reduced \( \chi^2 \) values (2 degrees of freedom) are 1.5 and 390 for the comoving and background scenarios. The Bayes factors for the comoving versus stationary models correspond to posterior odds of \( \log(P(M_1)/P(M_2)) = 168 \), indicating a decisive preference for common proper motion.

### 3.3. Age and Kinematics

Multiple lines of evidence point to a young age for the 2MASS 0122–2439 AB system. The primary was detected in the ROSAT (Voges et al. 1999) and the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX; Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2007) all-sky X-ray and UV surveys, indicating it has an active corona and chromosphere. High-energy emission is associated with youth for early-M dwarfs (e.g., Shkolnik et al. 2009; Findeisen & Hillenbrand 2010; Irwin et al. 2011), though it can also be generated from close, tidally interacting binaries (e.g., Shkolnik et al. 2010). However, our HIRES data show no evidence that 2MASS 0122–2439 A is an SB2, so the activity is probably an age-related phenomenon. We compute an X-ray luminosity of \( \log L_X = 28.7 ± 0.2 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and a relative X-ray luminosity of \( \log (L_X/L_C) = −3.17 ± 0.3 \text{ dex} \) using the measured ROSAT count rate \( (0.0570 ± 0.0142 \text{ counts s}^{-1}) \), the count rate–to-flux conversion from Fleming et al. (1995), and our distance estimate. The X-ray luminosity of 2MASS 0122–2439 A is comparable to known YMG members and more active field objects (Preibisch & Feigelson 2005; Bowler et al. 2012a). The X-ray luminosity is near the saturation level of \( \sim −3 \text{ dex} \) (Riaz et al. 2006).

Young M dwarfs produce a wealth of chromospheric emission lines, which decrease with age as magnetic dynamo strengths fade (e.g., Delfosse et al. 1998; Wright et al. 2011). As a result, GALEX has become an excellent resource to identify nearby low-mass members of YMGs (Shkolnik et al. 2011; Rodriguez et al. 2011; Shkolnik et al. 2012; Schlieder et al. 2012). The GALEX near-UV (NUV) – J and far-UV (FUV) – J colors of 2MASS 0122–2439 A are 10.59 ± 0.14 mag and 11.1 ± 0.3 mag, respectively. Compared to YMG members of various ages from Findeisen et al. (2011), 2MASS 0122–2439 A lies redward of the Hyades sequence (\( \sim 600 \) Myr) in a region occupied by members of the TW Hya (\( \sim 8 \) Myr), β Pic (\( \sim 12 \) Myr), Tuc-Hor (\( \sim 30 \) Myr), and AB Dor (\( \sim 120 \) Myr) associations. Because of the large scatter in these relations, the high energy activity provides only a coarse age constraint of \( \lesssim 500 \) Myr. We measure an Hα EW of \( −5.8 ± 0.5 \) Å for the primary from our HIRES spectrum, which points to an age less than \( \sim 3 \) Gyr based on M dwarf activity lifetimes (West et al. 2008). Note that Riaz et al. (2006) find a value of \( −9.7 \) Å, indicating some variability is present.\(^6\)

Malo et al. (2013) identify 2MASS 0122–2439 as a likely member of the AB Dor YMG (Zuckerman et al. 2004) based on its proper motion, photometry, and sky position. They predict an RV of 15.5 ± 2.1 km s\(^{-1}\) but our measured value of 9.6 ± 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\) differs from this by 2.8σ. Using the proper motion, we note that the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) W1 – W4 color of 2MASS 0122–2439 A (0.8 ± 0.2 mag) may suggest a weak 22 μm excess compared to early-M dwarfs in the field, which have colors of \( \approx 0.3 \) mag (Avenhaus et al. 2012). However, the significance of the 22 μm detection is only 2.5σ so follow-up observations are needed for verification.\(^6\)
RV, and photometric distance of 2MASS 0122–2439 A, we compute $UVW$ space velocities and $XYZ$ galactic positions (Table 3), which are shown in Figure 3 relative to YMG members from Torres et al. (2008). 2MASS 0122–2439 AB agrees with the AB Dor group in $U$ and $V$, but is near the outskirts of known members in $W$. We therefore tentatively adopt the age of the AB Dor YMG for this work ($\sim$120 Myr; Luhman et al. 2005; Barenfeld et al. 2013), but note that other ages are possible if a future parallax measurement shows it does not belong to that moving group.

The lack of Li i 6708 absorption in 2MASS 0122–2439 A (EW $< 50$ mÅ) from our HIRES spectrum provides a strict lower limit on the age of the system since the Li depletion boundary is a strong function of temperature and age. Compared to the Li equivalent widths for cool YMG members from Mentuch et al. (2008), 2MASS 0122–2439 A must be older than the TW Hydrae moving group ($\sim$8 Myr) based on its spectral type of M3.5. Lithium depletion predictions from evolutionary models can also be used to set a lower age limit on the system. For 2MASS 0122–2439 A, the models of Chabrier et al. (1996) indicate a Li-burning timescale of $\sim$20–30 Myr. The accretion history of young stars can result in more rapid depletion times (Baraffe & Chabrier 2010), so 20–30 Myr is effectively the oldest possible lower limit for the system age. However, the spectral shape of 2MASS 0122–2439 B implies an approximate upper age limit of $\sim$120 Myr (see Section 3.5.2).

### 3.4. Luminosity, Mass, and Effective Temperature

For the primary 2MASS 0122–2439 A, we derive a luminosity of $\log (L/L_\odot) = -1.72 \pm 0.11$ dex using the $H$-band bolometric correction from Casagrande et al. (2008) and the photometric distance from Section 3.1. At an age of 120 Myr (10 Myr), the luminosity of 2MASS 0122–2439 A implies a mass of $0.40 \pm 0.05 M_\odot$ ($0.13 \pm 0.02 M_\odot$) and an effective temperature of 3530 $\pm$ 50 K ($3150 \pm 40$ K) based on the solar-metallicity evolutionary models of Baraffe et al. (1998).

We calculate the luminosity of 2MASS 0122–2439 B by integrating our flux-calibrated 1.5–2.4 $\mu$m spectrum (Section 3.5.2) together with a scaled model spectrum at shorter (0.001–1.5 $\mu$m) and longer (2.4–1000 $\mu$m) wavelengths. Based on the mid-L spectral type of 2MASS 0122–2439 B (Section 3.5.2), we use a solar metallicity BT-Settl synthetic spectrum (Allard et al. 2011) with an effective temperature of 1700 K and a log-gravity of 4.5 dex (cgs), from which we find a luminosity of $\log L/L_\odot = -4.19 \pm 0.10$ dex using our photometric distance. Spectral and photometric (flux calibration) measurement uncertainties together with our photometric distance estimate are taken into account in a Monte Carlo fashion. Note that even though our spectroscopic data only contribute 32% of the bolometric luminosity, the choice of the model spectrum for the bolometric correction has only a minor influence on the total luminosity since the models are scaled to the flux-calibrated spectra. For example, the (1500 K, 4.5 dex) spectrum yields a consistent luminosity of $-4.16 \pm 0.10$ dex.

Figure 4 shows the luminosity and age of 2MASS 0122–2439 B compared to the cloudless (dotted gray tracks) and cloudy (solid gray tracks) “hot start” cooling models of Saumon & Marley (2008). 2MASS 0122–2439 B sits in a region at the deuterium-burning limit where mass tracks overlap because the onset of deuterium burning is a function of mass (e.g., Burrows et al. 2001; Spiegel et al. 2011). Its position coincides with the $0.012$–$0.013 M_\odot$ ($\sim$12–14 $M_{\text{Jup}}$) and $0.022$–$0.026 M_\odot$ ($\sim$23–27 $M_{\text{Jup}}$) cloudy tracks. These mass ranges appear to be
more likely for 2MASS 0122–2439 B than the 15–20 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ range, which lies at slightly lower luminosities and younger ages. This highlights an important (but often unrecognized) feature for all hot-start evolutionary models: in this strip near the deuterium-burning limit, a luminosity and age alone do not coincide with a unique mass. However, as we discuss Section 4, a detailed spectroscopic comparison of objects at the same location might break this mass degeneracy. Here we have assumed the system belongs to the AB Dor YMG, but if it is not a member then the age may be as low as $\approx 10$ Myr, in which case the corresponding luminosity and mass of 2MASS 0122–2439 B are $-3.66 \pm 0.08$ dex and $\approx 11 M_{\text{Jup}}$.

The formation and accretion history of substellar companions can strongly influence the evolution of their luminosity up to ages of $\approx 1$ Gyr (Marley et al. 2007; Fortney et al. 2008; Spiegel & Burrows 2012). Our hot-start mass estimates, which are based on arbitrarily high initial specific entropies, are in fact lower limits for the actual mass of 2MASS 0122–2439 B if some of the initial gravitational energy is radiated away as accretion luminosity (Marleau & Cumming 2013). In Figure 5 we consider the “cold start” evolutionary models of Mollière & Mordasini (2012), which are based on a core accretion formation scenario. Although core accretion is unlikely to have created such a massive companion at the present location of 2MASS 0122–2439 B (52 AU in projected separation), it remains possible that dynamical interactions with another close-in companion could have scattered it to a wide orbit (e.g., Veras et al. 2009). Above $\approx 13 M_{\text{Jup}}$, deuterium burning results in a luminosity “bump” which onsets at progressively later ages for lower masses. For 2MASS 0122–2439 B, deuterium burning in the cold start models results in dual-valued masses of $\approx 14 M_{\text{Jup}}$ and $\approx 23 M_{\text{Jup}}$, which are similar to those from the hot start models.

Hot start evolutionary models predict effective temperatures between $\approx 1350–1500$ K based on the luminosity and age of 2MASS 0122–2439 B (Figure 6), differing somewhat for the two possible mass regimes. At lower masses of $\approx 13 M_{\text{Jup}}$, temperatures from the Saumon & Marley (2008) models range from $1350–1410$ K for the cloudless, cloudy, and hybrid prescriptions. At higher masses of $\approx 24 M_{\text{Jup}}$, the temperatures are between $1450–1500$ K.
3.5. Spectral Properties of 2MASS 0122–2439 B

3.5.1. Photometry

$J$-, $H$-, and $K$-band photometry for 2MASS 0122–2439 B is computed using our measured relative photometry and the apparent magnitudes of 2MASS 0122–2439 A from 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006), converted to the MKO filter system based on the relations from Leggett et al. (2006). The $L'$ photometry of 2MASS 0122–2439 B is derived assuming a $K - L'$ color of $0.2 \pm 0.1$ mag for the primary, which is the typical value for an M3.5 dwarf (Golimowski et al. 2004). The results are listed in Table 3.

Figure 7 shows the $H - K$ versus $K - L'$ colors of 2MASS 0122–2439 B compared to field M, L, and T dwarfs (Leggett et al. 2010) and the planetary-mass companions HR 8799 bcd, 2MASS 1207–3932 b, and β Pic b. The $K - L'$ color of 2MASS 0122–2439 B is similar to mid-L dwarfs, but the $H - K$ value is redder than most field objects by ~0.3 mag.

In Figures 8 and 9 we compare the colors and absolute magnitudes of 2MASS 0122–2439 B (based on its photometric distance) to field M, L, and T dwarfs from Dupuy & Liu (2012) and young substellar companions with parallactic distances (either to the primaries or the secondaries themselves). For comparison we also overplot the young, dusty L5 member of the AB Dor YMG 2MASS 0355+1133 (Cruz et al. 2009; Faherty et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2013). The $M_J$ magnitude and $J - K$ color of 2MASS 0122–2439 B is similar to 2MASS 0355+1133. Photometry is from Dupuy & Liu (2012), Skemer et al. (2012), Carson et al. (2013), Delorme et al. (2013), and Bonnefoy et al. (2013). All photometry is in the MKO filter system.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

3.5.2. Spectroscopy

In Figure 10 we compare our flux-calibrated spectrum of 2MASS 0122–2439 B to old L dwarfs in the field (top panel) and those exhibiting signs of youth (bottom panel). The $H$-band shape of 2MASS 0122–2439 B is significantly more angular...
than field objects, a prominent signature of low surface gravity (e.g., Lucas et al. 2001; Allers et al. 2007; Allers & Liu 2013) caused by diminished steam and collision-induced $H_2$ absorption (Barman et al. 2011a). 2MASS 0122–2439 B appears significantly later than the young field L0 object 2MASS J01415823–4633574 (Kirkpatrick et al. 2006) and the L3 AB Dor member CD–35 2722 B (∼120 Myr), but slightly bluer than the low-gravity L6.5 object 2M2244+2043. The field spectra of 2MASS J1506+1321 (L3), SDSS J0539–0059 (L5), and 2MASS J0825+2115 (L7.5) are from the IRTF Spectral Library (Cushing et al. 2005). The young comparison objects are from Kirkpatrick et al. (2006), Wahhaj et al. (2011), and McLean et al. (2003). All spectra have been smoothed to $R ∼ 1000$ and normalized between 1.65–1.67 μm.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 11. Our OSIRIS spectra of 2MASS 0122–2439 B compared with low-gravity L dwarfs. The $H$-band shape best resembles the young (∼30–120 Myr) L5 companion G196-3 B, the young field L3 dwarf 2MASS 1615+4953, and the ∼30 Myr L0–L1 planet HR 8799 b. Although it has similar colors to the AB Dor member 2MASS 0355+1133, the $H$-band shape of 2MASS 0122–2439 B appears more peaked, suggesting a younger age or lower temperature for 2MASS 0122–2439 B. Several companions have low-gravity classifications in Allers & Liu (2013) based on their spectral typing scheme: SDSS 2249+0044 AB is listed as intermediate gravity, and G196-3 B, 2MASS 0355+1133, and 2MASS 1615+4953 are very low-gravity. Note that spectral classifications listed in the figure are near-infrared spectral types. Spectra are from Patience et al. (2010), Lafrenière et al. (2008), Allers et al. (2010), Allers & Liu (2013), and Barman et al. (2011a).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 10. Comparison of 2MASS 0122–2439 B with field (top) and young (bottom) L dwarfs. Field objects show prominent FeH absorption between 1.57–1.62 μm and a wider $H$-band shape. 2MASS 0122–2439 B better resembles young (30–120 Myr) L dwarfs, which exhibit more angular $H$-band shapes as a result of gravity-sensitive steam absorption and collision-induced $H_2$ absorption (Barman et al. 2011a). 2MASS 0122–2439 B is redder in $H−K$ than the low-gravity L0 field object 2MASS J01415823–4633574 and the L3 AB Dor member CD–35 2722 B (∼120 Myr), but slightly bluer than the low-gravity L6.5 object 2M2244+2043. The field spectra of 2MASS J1506+1321 (L3), SDSS J0539–0059 (L5), and 2MASS J0825+2115 (L7.5) are from the IRTF Spectral Library (Cushing et al. 2005). The young comparison objects are from Kirkpatrick et al. (2006), Wahhaj et al. (2011), and McLean et al. (2003). All spectra have been smoothed to $R ∼ 1000$ and normalized between 1.65–1.67 μm.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

3.6. Properties of LP 261-75 B

LP 261-75 B is an L6.5 (optical type) common proper motion companion to the active M4.5 star LP 261-75 (Reid & Walkowicz 2006). Reid & Walkowicz infer an age of 100–200 Myr based on the primary star’s coronal activity. At this age, evolutionary models predict a mass of $\approx 20 M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the companion, making it a valuable benchmark system at an intermediate age to compare with 2MASS 0122–2439 B. Here we discuss the properties of LP 261-75 B using the same method for 2MASS 0122–2439 B in Section 3.4. Our SpeX prism spectrum is first flux-calibrated to the 2MASS $H$-band magnitude ($15.90 ± 0.14$ mag).
Using a solar metallicity \( \{T_{\text{eff}} = 1500 \text{ K}, \log g = 4.5 \text{ dex}\} \) BT-Settl spectrum for a bolometric correction together with the parallactic distance of 32.95^{+2.30}_{-2.36} \text{ pc} (F. J. Vrba et al. 2013, in preparation; updated from Vrba et al. 2004), we measure a bolometric luminosity of \(-4.43 \pm 0.09 \text{ dex}\). Note that our 0.8–2.45 \( \mu \text{m} \) spectrum represents 51\% of the bolometric flux, but the model choice for the bolometric correction only has a modest influence on the bolometric luminosity (\(-0.03 \text{ dex}\)). As shown in Figure 4, the luminosity and age (100–200 Myr; Reid & Walkowicz 2006) of LP 261-75 B is consistent with \( \sim 13 \text{ } M_{\text{Jup}} \) and \( \sim 22 \text{ } M_{\text{Jup}} \) based on a hot start formation.

With our new prism spectrum we also compute precise colors for LP 261-75 B since the detections from 2MASS are near the survey limit. Synthetic photometry based on Monte Carlo realizations of our spectrum yields the following colors: \( (J-H)_{\text{MKO}} = 1.081 \pm 0.003 \text{ mag}, (H-K)_{\text{MKO}} = 0.828 \pm 0.002 \text{ mag}, (J-K)_{\text{MKO}} = 1.908 \pm 0.002 \text{ mag}, (J-H)_{2\text{MASS}} = 1.239 \pm 0.002 \text{ mag}, (H-K)_{2\text{MASS}} = 0.779 \pm 0.002 \text{ mag}, (J-K)_{2\text{MASS}} = 2.018 \pm 0.002 \text{ mag}. \) Finally, we compute an NIR spectral type using the classification scheme from Geballe et al. (2002). The 1.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) H\(_2\)O and 2.2 \( \mu \text{m} \) CH\(_2\) indices yield \( L3.0 \pm 1.0 \) and \( L6 \pm 1.0 \) classifications; the weighted average of these is \( L4.5 \pm 0.7 \). In a large analysis of young brown dwarf near-infrared spectra, Allers & Liu 2013 found that several index-based classification schemes are relatively insensitive to gravity. Among these is the \( \text{"H}_2\text{OD}" \) index from McLean et al. (2003), which is applicable for \( L0-L8 \) types. Applying the updated index widths from Allers & Liu yields \( L4.5 \pm 0.8 \), where the uncertainty incorporates measurement errors and the rms from the relation. The gravity score for LP 261-75 B from the Allers & Liu scheme is 2, indicating a high gravity (“FLD-G") comparable to old field objects. Altogether we adopt a near-infrared spectral type of \( L4.5 \pm 1.0 \) for LP 261-75 B.

4. DISCUSSION

The luminosity and age of 2MASS 0122–2439 B reside in a region where evolutionary models have dual-valued mass predictions. Comparing other young (<1 Gyr) substellar companions to the cooling models in Figure 4 shows that several known objects also fall in or very close to this region: 2MASS J01033563–5515561 Abb (Delorme et al. 2013), AB Pic b (Chauvin et al. 2005), \( \kappa \) And b (Carson et al. 2013), G196-3 B (Rebolo et al. 1998), SDSS J224953.47+004404.6 B (Allers et al. 2010), LP261-75 B (Reid & Walkowicz 2006), HD 203030 B (Metchev & Hillenbrand 2006), and HN Peg B (Luhman et al. 2007). For these objects, a luminosity and age do not translate into a unique mass prediction, instead being consistent with both \( \approx 12–14 \text{ } M_{\text{Jup}} \) and \( \approx 20–26 \text{ } M_{\text{Jup}} \) mass tracks.

One possible way to break this degeneracy may be by comparing the spectra of objects with very similar luminosities and ages but different masses. A lower-mass object will have a lower surface gravity which would be reflected in gravity-sensitive features in its spectrum. At the age and luminosity 2MASS 0122–2439 B, the Saumon & Marley (2008) evolutionary models predict differences of \( \approx 0.36 \text{ dex in log g} \) between the lower (deuterium-burning) and higher-(post-deuterium-burning) mass regimes. LP 261-75 B has a similar age and luminosity, but our spectra of the two companions are substantially different from each other (Figure 12). The more angular \( H \) band of 2MASS 0122–2439 B suggests a lower gravity than LP 261-75 B, perhaps indicating that 2MASS 0122–2439 B belongs to the lower-mass (\( \approx 13 \text{ } M_{\text{Jup}} \)) set of model tracks while LP 261-75 B belongs to the higher-mass (\( \approx 25 \text{ } M_{\text{Jup}} \)) set. However, uncertainties in the ages of these systems are large, so this might instead point to a younger relative age for 2MASS 0122–2439 B. SDSS 2244+0044 B also has a similar age and luminosity as 2MASS 0122–2439 B and may also be burning deuterium (Allers et al. 2010), but resolved spectroscopy of this binary has only been obtained in \( K \) band, which is less sensitive to differences in gravity than \( J \) and \( H \) bands so we cannot use this as a comparison point. Similarly, while the absolute magnitude and colors of 2MASS 0122–2439 B are consistent with 2MASS 0355+1133, the \( H \)-band shape is somewhat narrower and the 2.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) CO absorption is significantly stronger in 2MASS 0122–2439 B. As discussed in Allers & Liu (2013), this bolsters the notion there may be a diversity of spectral shapes at a given luminosity and age among young dusty L dwarfs; more discoveries are needed to map the influence of gravity, clouds, and temperature in this regime.

For field objects, the effective temperature for 2MASS 0122–2439 B from evolutionary models (1350–1500 K, Section 3.4) corresponds to spectral types spanning the \( L/T \) transition (\( \approx L7–T2 \)). However, we find that 2MASS 0122–2439 B better matches young mid-L spectral types. A similar disagreement between evolutionary model predictions and the temperatures estimated from spectral classification has been noted for a handful of low-temperature substellar objects: HD 203030 B (Metchev & Hillenbrand 2006), HN Peg B (Luhman et al. 2007), 2MASS 1207–3932 b (Skemer et al. 2011; Barman et al. 2011b), and the HR 8799 planets (Bowler et al. 2010; Currie et al. 2011; Barman et al. 2011a). This phenomenon is illustrated in Figure 13, which shows the observed NIR spectral types of old field objects and young companions compared to the temperatures predicted by the Burrows et al. (1997) evolutionary models based on their ages and luminosities. For a given predicted effective temperature, low-gravity objects tend to have earlier spectral types than the field population. This suggests that the spectral type-effective temperature sequence is also a function of gravity (age), becoming most apparent for young
objects near the L/T transition when dust begins to settle below the photosphere at a fixed effective temperature of \(\approx 1200-1400\) K (Saumon & Marley 2008). Interestingly, several other young companions (1RXS 2351+3127 B, AB Pic b, G196-3 B, and \(\beta\) Pic b) may also exhibit this phenomenon at higher effective temperatures, but more precise spectral types are needed to confirm this. For 2MASS 0122--2439 B, a parallax measurement together with broader NIR spectroscopic coverage will enable a more detailed analysis of the gravity-dependent L/T transition.
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