USP4 function and multifaceted roles in cancer: a possible and potential therapeutic target
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Abstract
Cancer remains one of the major culprits causing disease-related deaths and leads to a high morbidity and similar mortality. Insidious onset, difficult early detection and a lack of broad-spectrum and effective multi-cancer therapeutic targets have limited the prolongation of cancer patients’ survival for decades. Therefore, a versatile therapeutic target which is involved in various cancer-related signaling pathways and different cancers may be more effective for cancer targeted therapy. USP4, one of the DUBs members which participates in deubiquitination, an inverse process of ubiquitination, can regulate various classical cancer-related signaling pathways, and thereby plays a vital role in some pathological and physiological processes including tumor initiation and progression. Recently, USP4 has been found to exert versatile influences on cells proliferation, migration and invasion, also apoptosis of various tumors. Moreover, USP4 can also act as a prognostic biomarker in several cancers. This review will give a comprehensive introduction of USP4 about its regulatory mechanisms, related signaling pathways, pathophysiological functions and the roles in various cancers which may help us better understand its biological functions and improve future studies to construct suitable USP4-targeted cancer therapy system.
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Background
The morbidity of cancer has been increasing recent years with the improvement of life quality and life expectancy. It is estimated that people suffering from cancers will increase to over 29.5 million globally by 2040 [1]. The occurrence of cancers can cause great financial burden and psychologic stress to both individuals and the whole society due to various factors, such as lower income, unemployment and the time-consuming and inefficiency adjuvant and palliative therapy, especially to younger and socioeconomically disadvantaged patients [2, 3]. Insidious onset, early metastasis and scarce effective targeted drugs may cause tumor refractory and recurrence, especially in lung cancer and pancreatic cancer, which can lead to a higher mortality [4, 5]. Radical surgery is an ideal therapy for cancer control, however, limited patients are suitable for it. And existing targeted therapies are too hard to obtain satisfactory results [6, 7]. Therefore, novel therapeutic targets are urgently needed to broaden future methods of cancer treatment.

Post-translational modifications (PTMs) play various roles in proteins expression and function modulation without changing mRNAs expression level or even total proteins expression level which mainly include phosphorylation, SUMOylation, glycosylation, ubiquitination, acetylation and some uncommon PTMs such as lipidation, citrullination [8–14]. PTMs are involved in various physiological and pathological processes including cancers due to countless targeted proteins. Therefore,
proteins possessing PTMs capacity have always been
deemed as potential and promising therapeutic targets
for cancer treatment [15, 16].

Ubiquitination, a member of PTMs, can regulate pro-
teins expression and function through attaching ubi-
quitin, a 76aa-protein, to the targeted proteins through
the sequential activation of the ubiquitin-activating enzyme,
ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme and ubiquitin ligase which
lead to either their stabilization or inactivation, then deg-
radiation through proteasomal pathway [17, 18]. Ubiqui-
tination is a complicated process which requires several
components to participate jointly. Therefore, mistakes
in any part can result in failure of ubiquitinization accom-
plishment which can be used as therapeutic targets for
diseases treatment [19].

Deubiquitination is the inverse process of ubiquitin-
a tion which is mediated by deubiquitylating enzymes
(DUBs). In the process of deubiquitination, ubiquitin can
be removed from the substrates and then prevent sub-
strates from stabilization or degradation which depends
on the detailed deubiquitination sites [20]. DUBs con-
sist five subclasses, namely, metalloproteinase, ubiquitin
C-terminal hydrolases, Machado–Joseph disease pro-
teins, otubain proteases and ubiquitin-specific proteases
(USPs) [20]. USPs account for the largest proportion in
DUBs which contain nearly 70 members in human spe-
cies [21]. The main function of USPs is to cleave linear
and/or branched ubiquitin precursor from monoubiqui-
tinated or polyubiquitinated substrates and then stabil-
lize or inactivate target proteins [22]. As main members
of the deubiquitinase family, many studies have explored
the role of USPs in various diseases, especially in cancer.
Due to numerous substrates of USPs, USPs can exert
versatile functions in tumor progression, including epi-
thelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and stemness
of cancer, metastasis, tumor-assocation microenvironment
and DNA damage repair activity [23]. Therefore,
because most USPs can positively regulate cancer pro-
gression, many inhibitors have been developed to target
the specific sites in USPs to hamper this process, such as
broad-spectrum inhibitors WP1130 and PR619 [24, 25].
However, development of specific and selective USP
inhibitor is difficult due to the conserved domain in
USPs.

Ubiquitin-specific protease 4 (USP4), which is located
in chromosome 3 (3p21,3), is one of the USPs family
which can regulate various signaling pathways by deubi-
quitinating vital proteins [26]. Like other USPs, previous
basic experimental studies have unmasked the versatile
roles of USP4 in numerous pathological and physiologi-
cal processes, especially in cancers. However, to the best
of our knowledge, compared with other USPs, USP4 has
not been systematically and specifically reviewed so far.

And the cancer target potential and clinical application
value of USP4 may be underestimated. Therefore, the
present review will give a comprehensive overview about
its regulatory mechanisms, involved signaling pathways,
roles in pathological and physiological process, especially
in different cancers to raise further studies of USP4 in
extensive cancer therapy and clinical application.

**Regulatory mechanisms of USP4 protein function**
Baker et al. confirmed that USP4 was a nucleocytoplas-
ic shuttling protein which can locate both in the cyto-
plasm and nucleus. However, the accumulation of USP4
expression in the cytoplasm and nucleus varies among
different cell types [27]. USP4 phosphorylation is an
indispensable process leading to the localization of USP4
from nucleus to cytoplasm. Phosphorylated USP4 was
found in cytoplasm and cell membrane in breast cancer
cells. Further study showed that USP4 can be phospho-
rylated at its Ser 445 by activated protein kinase B (AKT)
and bind to 14–3-3 isoforms to be exported from nucleus
to cytoplasm and subsequently exert its deubiquitina-
tion capacity [28]. Moreover, AKT-mediated USP4 phos-
phorylation can abolish the ubiquitination of Rheb and
then stabilize it, which activate the mechanistic target
of rapamycin complex 1-mediated signaling pathways,
thus influenced tumor growth [29]. In addition, cyclin-
dependent kinases (CDKs) were also involved in USP4
phosphorylation which can be hampered by purvalanol
A, a CDKs inhibitor. D Dephosphorylation of USP4 can
cause its nuclear accumulation which enhances its inter-
action with squamous cell carcinoma antigen recognized
by T cells 3 (SART3) and regulate spliceosome dynam-
ics through deubiquitinating precursor RNA processing
3 [30]. And SART3 can also improve the deubiquitinated
activity of USP4 on K63-linked polyubiquitin chains of
RNA-binding protein with serine-rich domain 1, and
stabilize it to form the spliceosome and activate the pre-
mRNA splicing process [31]. Besides deubiquitinating
polyubiquitin chains to stabilize targeted proteins, USP4
was reported to inhibit the mono-ubiquitination of phos-
phor-inositolide-dependent kinase 1 which enhanced its
activation to promote cell proliferation and metabolism
[32]. In addition, USP4 can also interact with the S9/Rpn6
subunit of the proteasome and regulate its function with-
out knowing the detailed mechanisms [33]. These studies
imply that the role of USP4 may be context-dependent.

**Regulated signaling pathways**
USP4 has been reported to be involved in several classi-
cal and vital signaling pathways due to various substrates,
such as canonical and noncanonical Wnt/β-catenin sign-
aling pathway, canonical and noncanonical tumor growth
factor-β (TGF-β) signaling pathway, nuclear factor kappa
B (NF-κB) signaling pathway and also p53-related signaling pathway, which modulate numerous pathological and physiological processes [34]. The overview of the roles of USP4 in signaling pathways regulation is shown in Fig. 1.

Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway
In canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, β-catenin is dephosphorylated by Wnt-induced the Frizzled surface receptor activation to enhance its nuclear translocation and accumulation. Then activated β-catenin in turn activates downstream transcriptional factors, T-cell factor (TCF) and lymphoid enhancer factor (LEF) to further regulate the targeted genes [35, 36]. USP4 was once found to accumulate in nucleus after Nemo-like kinase (NLK) activation and target TCF4 to inhibit its transcriptional activity, whereby inactivate Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway [37]. Additionally, phosphorylated β-catenin can be recognized by multi-subunit ubiquitin ligase, F-box protein βTrCP, to promote subsequent ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation [38]. USP4 also showed an positive role in Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway regulation by deubiquitinating and stabilizing β-catenin which also facilitated its nuclear transcriptional regulation capacity [38].

**Fig. 1** Vital signaling pathways and important substrates for downstream regulation of USP4. In Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, USP4 can stabilize β-catenin by deubiquitinating its K48-ubiquitin and inactivate Dvl and TCF4 by deubiquitinating their K63-ubiquitin. In TGF-β signaling pathway, USP4 can stabilize TβRI by deubiquitinating its K48-ubiquitin and deubiquitinate SMAD4 mono-ubiquitination. USP4 can inactivate TAK1, TRAF2 and TRAF6 and stabilize HDAC2 to inhibit NF-κB signaling pathway. Also, USP4 can stabilize TRAF6 to activate NF-κB signaling pathway. In p53-related signaling pathway, USP4 can stabilize ARF-BP1 and HDAC2 by deubiquitinating its K48-ubiquitin to promote the ubiquitination and degradation of p53.
TGF-β signaling pathway

TGF-β signaling pathway can be divided into canonical TGF-β/Smad signaling pathway and noncanonical non-Smad pathway [39]. As aforementioned, phosphorylated USP4 can relocate from nucleus to cytoplasm or cell membrane where USP4 deubiquitylates TGF-β receptor 1 (TβRI) and stabilizes its expression to activate TGF-β signaling pathway to induce R-Smads phosphorylation and then R-Smads are imported into the nucleus to regulate targeted genes expression [28]. Moreover, Smad4 can be inhibited through inhibitory mono-ubiquitination linked by the recruitment of E3 ligase, Smurf2 which has a competing relationship with USP4. USP4 can participate in this pathway by targeting mono-ubiquitinated Smad4 for deubiquitination and further activating downstream activin/bone morphogenetic protein signaling pathway [40].

NF-κB signaling pathway

USP4 has usually been a NF-κB signaling pathway suppressor through exerting deubiquitination on upstream regulatory proteins of this signaling pathway. K63-linked polyubiquitination of transforming growth factor-β-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) has been reported to mediate the activation of tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) and then NF-κB signaling pathway through activating IκB kinase. However, this process can be reversed by the deubiquitination role of USP4 [41]. Besides, doxorubicin-induced NF-κB activation is one of the reasons causing doxorubicin resistance. Further study indicated that TAK1 polyubiquitination at lysine 158 residue was involved in this process which can be reversed by exogenous USP4 administration [42]. TNFα-induced NF-κB activation can also be impeded by exogenous USP4 expression through targeting TNF receptor associated factor (TRAF) 2 and TRAF6 polyubiquitylation and promoting downstream NF-κB inhibitor, IκBα, expression [43]. Moreover, histone deacetylase 1/2 (HDAC1/2) complex can interact with p65 (RelA) subunit which is involved in the trans-activation of NF-κB signaling pathway. Therefore, USP4 can also play as a suppressor of NF-κB signaling pathway through deubiquitylating and stabilizing HDAC2 [44]. However, whether USP4 have NF-κB signaling pathway inactivation role or USP4 can directly target components of NF-κB complex to inhibit its activation like its analogue, USP15, still remains to be explored [45].

PS3-related signaling pathway

PS3 is well-known for its tumor-suppressing role which also can be ubiquitinilated and degradation by various ubiquitin ligases, such as murine double minute 2, coat protein 1, p53-induced protein with a RING-H2 domain and ARF-binding protein 1 (ARF-BP1) in tumor tissues [46–49]. Meanwhile, these ubiquitin ligases themselves can also undergo ubiquitination. USP4 was reported to target ARF-BP1 for deubiquitination and stabilization then enhance its ubiquitination capacity to induce p53 degradation which indicated its tumor-promoting role [50]. HDAC2 was also indicated to be involved in the modulation of USP4 to p53 inactivation [44]. Generally speaking, USP4 plays as a tumor promoter through p53 regulation.

USP4 in pathological and physiological modulation

Given numerous substrates and pivotal signaling pathways influenced by USP4, USP4 has been reported to modulate various human pathological and physiological processes which is concluded in Table 1.

Hepatocyte lesions

Liver fibrosis is a pathological process after liver damage characterized by accumulation of extracellular matrix secreted by hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) deposited around liver parenchyma [51]. The activation of HSCs and epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) of hepatocyte is stimulated by TGF-β signaling pathway. In fibrotic liver tissues, USP4 was released through overexpression of IncRNA H19 sponging miR-148a and stabilized TβRII expression through deubiquitination to activate TGF-β signaling pathway which induced liver fibrosis [52]. In addition, liver X receptor-α acts as a protective protein to prevent TGF-β-induced liver fibrosis through enhancing downstream cannabinoid receptor 2 transcription and miR-27b rather than miR-148a expression, thereby hinders USP4 expression and inactivates TGF-β signaling pathway [53]. Therefore, both IncRNA H19 and USP4 can be used as targets to liver fibrosis treatment which may be the precancerous lesions of liver cancer. The study of Zhao et al. indicated that USP4 expression significantly decreased in liver tissues from nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) patients. USP4 deficiency in mice hepatocytes exacerbated hepatic steatosis, insulin resistance and inflammatory response induced by high fat diet. Mechanistically, ectopic administration of USP4 directly targeted to deubiquitiniate TAK1 and suppressed the activation of NF-κB signaling pathway which ameliorate the extent of fatty liver [54]. This study implies a potential drug role of USP4 in fatty liver therapy. And USP4 deficiency can also aggravate TAK1 signaling pathway-induced hepatic ischaemia/reperfusion injury [55].

Immunoregulation

The roles of USP4 in immunoregulation have been explored in previous studies. The activation of Toll-like receptor (TLR)/IL-1 receptor (IL-1R) signaling pathway which is mediated by k63-linked polyubiquitinated
TRAF6 is responsible for innate immune response and immune homeostasis by regulating downstream immunoregulatory genes through transcriptional factors, NF-κB and activator protein-1 [56]. However, deficiency of activated TRAF6 can cause insufficiency of immune response which results from deubiquitination capacity of endogenous USP4 which leads to TRAF6 inactivation [57]. USP4 is also vital in promoting Th17 immune cells differentiation through deubiquitinating and stabilizing transcriptional factor retinoic acid-related orphan receptor γt (RORγt). Knockdown of USP4 impaired TGF-β and IL-6-mediated Th17 differentiation [58]. Therefore, USP4 can be used as a target to inhibit Th17-mediated autoimmune disease.

Subsequently, the same team also reported that in Foxp3+ CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells, interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 8, a transcriptional factor, is pivotal for the immunosuppressive function of Treg cells by enhancing the secretion of anti-proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-10, TGF-β [59, 60]. USP4 can deubiquitinate k48-linked IRF8 polyubiquitination and stabilize its expression. On the contrary, depletion of USP4 abolished the immunosuppressive function of Treg on effector T cells [61]. Therefore, anti-USP4 therapy can play a role in overturning immunosuppressive microenvironment of cancers. In the study of rheumatic heart disease, Guo et al. revealed that USP4 positively modulated IL-4 secretion by T helper type 2 cells through

| Authors, year         | Pathophysiologic processes                  | Deubiquitinated targets | Effects to the deubiquitinated targets                                    | Detailed mechanisms                                                                 | Refs. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Zhu et al., 2018      | Liver fibrosis                              | TβRI                    | Stabilization                                                            | HSC and EMT activation; liver fibrosis enhancement                                 | [51]  |
| Zhao et al., 2018     | NAFLD pathogenesis                          | TAK1                    | Inactivation                                                             | Alleviation NAFLD progression through inactivation of NF-κB and JNK signaling pathways | [53]  |
| Zhou et al., 2019     | Hepatic I/R injury                          | TAK1                    | Inactivation                                                             | Alleviation hepatic I/R injury by inhibiting inflammatory caused by NF-κB signaling pathway | [54]  |
| Zhou et al., 2012     | Innate immune response and immune homeostasis | TRAF6                   | Inactivation                                                             | Inhibition of the immunoinflammatory response caused by TLR/IL-1R-induced NF-κB activation | [56]  |
| Yang et al., 2015     | Th17 cell function                          | RORγt                   | Stabilization                                                            | IL-17 expression upregulation                                                       | [57]  |
| Lin et al., 2017      | Treg cell function                          | IRF8                    | Stabilization                                                            | Enhancement of IL-10, TGF-β expression to promote Treg cell function                | [60]  |
| Guo et al., 2017      | Th2 cell function                           | IRF4                    | Stabilization                                                            | Enhancement of IL-4 expression to promote Th2 cell function                         | [61]  |
| Jiang et al., 2017    | Spinal cord injury                          | TRAF6                   | Inactivation                                                             | Inhibition of secondary spinal cord injury through NF-κB inactivation               | [63]  |
| Wang et al., 2013     | Antiviral response                          | RIG-I                   | Stabilization                                                            | Activation of RIG-I-induced IFN-β signaling pathway and VSV replication inhibition | [70]  |
| Xu et al., 2018       | Antiviral response                          | TRAF6                   | Stabilization                                                            | Activation of TRAF6-mediated NF-κB signaling pathway and EV 71 replication inhibition | [71]  |
| Wijinhoven et al., 2015 | DNA breaks repairment               | USP4                    | Stabilization                                                            | DNA repairment enhancement                                                          | [73]  |
| Zhou et al., 2016     | Osteoblast differentiation                  | Dvl                     | Inactivation                                                             | Inhibition of Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway mediated osteoblast differentiation   | [80]  |
| Yun et al., 2017      | Myogenesis                                  | HDAC1 and 4             | Stabilization                                                            | Enhancement of MyoD to inhibit myogenesis                                           | [82]  |
| He et al., 2016       | Pathological cardiac hypertrophy            | TAK1                    | Inactivation                                                             | Inactivation of TAK1/JNK1/2/ p38 to inhibit pathological cardiac hypertrophy          | [83]  |
deubiquitinating and stabilizing IRF4 which is usually the reason of allergic immune responses diseases, such as rheumatic heart disease [62].

**Neural injury**

Due to the inflammatory modulation capacity of USP4, previous studies have discovered the role of USP4 after neural injury. Early study implied that the expression of USP4 was upregulated in neurons adjacent to hematoma after intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). Further study showed that USP4 was co-expressed with apoptosis-related proteins such as active caspase-3, γH2AX and Bax which indicated USP4 may join in the neural apoptosis modulation after ICH. However, the detailed deubiquitinated substrates and mechanisms still remain to be elucidated [63]. In a subsequent study, Jiang et al. found that USP4 can inhibit the inflammatory response induced by NF-κB signaling pathway in secondary injury after spinal cord injury through deubiquitinating and stabilizing NF-κB signaling pathway upstream polyubiquitinated TRAF2 and 6. Therefore, ectopic USP4 administration may be seemed as a novel therapy for control secondary spinal cord injury [64].

**Antiviral roles**

Like other members of ubiquitin-specific proteases, USP4 has also been reported to exert a vital role in antiviral response due to its role in immune response [65, 66]. Retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) is an important pattern recognition receptor which can recognize various viruses [67]. After virus recognition, caspase recruitment domain (CARD) of RIG-I can bind to mitochondrial antiviruses [67]. After virus recognition, caspase recruitment domain (CARD) of RIG-I can bind to mitochondrial antiviruses which enhance IFN3 transcription and the generation of interferon-γ (IFN-γ) [68]. K63-linked polyubiquitination of CARD can induce RIG-I activation while K48-linked polyubiquitination of it can lead to its degradation. Several USPs can modulate both K63 and K48-linked polyubiquitination while USP4 can interact with RIG-I and target its K48-linked polyubiquitination and stabilize its expression to upregulate IFN-β expression then modify antiviral innate immune responses [69–71]. NF-κB signaling pathway has also been reported in antiviral process through stimulating inflammatory responses. K48-linked polyubiquitinated TRAF6 can mediate its degradation which is opposed to the activation function of K63-linked TRAF6 polyubiquitination. Xu et al. suggested that the expression of USP4 was significantly inhibited when enterovirus 71 (EV71) infected. Overexpression of USP4 can target K48-linked polyubiquitination of TRAF6 for deubiquitinating which mediates the EV71 replication suppression caused by the activation role of another pattern recognition receptor, retinoic acid-inducible gene I-like receptor (RLR) to NF-κB signaling pathway [72]. The aforesaid study implies that USP4 possesses the deubiquitination capacity of both K48- and K63-linked polyubiquitination which generate quite opposite effects. The antiviral effect may make USP4 a potential target for virus-induced cancers, such as cervical cancer. However, the detailed effect of USP4 in cervical cancer should be further explored.

**DNA damage repair capacity**

DNA double-strand breaks repairment is crucial for maintaining genome integrity which is partially dependent on PTMs such as ubiquitination. USP4 was found to enhance DNA double-strand breaks repairment, DNA-end resection and homologous recombination via a conversion and specific domain to form a complex with CtIP and the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 complex which can be abrogated by USP4 auto-deubiquitination on several specific cysteine residues. Since USP4 was once reported to have auto-deubiquitination capacity, the role of USP4 in DNA repairment may rely heavily on this function [73, 74]. The DNA repairment capacity may make USP4 a tumor promoter in cancer progression which may be a potential target in tumor therapy.

**Connective tissue development**

The unique part of USP4 in the development of some connective tissues has gradually been explored in several studies. Activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway has long been recognized to enhance osteoblastogenesis and bone formation through modulating various downstream targets while the inactivation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway may lead to a series of bone metabolic diseases [75–80]. Rather than targeting TCF or β-catenin in Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, USP4 exerted a negative effect on this pathway by deubiquitinating K63-linked polyubiquitinated Dishevelled (Dvl) which abrogated the formation of a signaling complex and maintained the cytoplasmic accumulation of β-catenin, then hampered the whole pathway in the nucleus [81]. This procedure leads to the inclination to osteoclastogenesis and cause several bone metabolic diseases which is why USP4 can be the target for bone metabolic diseases treatment.

In myogenesis, myotube formation, proliferation, differentiation of myoblasts is strictly and negatively regulated by numerous myogenic regulatory factors including MyoD [82]. Yun’s team revealed a versatile role of USP4 in myogenesis inhibition through its catalytic activity stabilizing HDAC1 and HDAC4 to form a complex with MyoD and enhancing its inhibitory role. Besides, AKT and p38 signaling pathways were also involved in this process without knowing the detailed mechanisms [83].
Besides, the myogenesis suppressive role of USP4 was also found to ameliorate angiotensin II-mediated pathological cardiac hypertrophy both in vitro and in vivo. USP4 expression can deubiquitinate and inhibit the activation of polyubiquitinated TAK1 which hinder the activation of TAK1/c-Jun N-terminal kinase 1/2 (JNK1/2)/p38 signaling pathway [84]. These results indicated the therapeutic potential of USP4 in cardiac hypertrophy treatment.

Roles of USP4 in cancer

The therapeutic and prognostic potential of USP4 in various cancers has been recently revealed in view of numerous regulated pivotal signaling pathways through its deubiquitination capacity. Therefore, aberrant USP4 has been explored in numerous cancers and related to either favorable or unfavorable prognosis of the patients. Survival curve using Kaplan–Meier method based on The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (gepia.cancer-pku.cn) in Fig. 2 showed the prognostic potential in several tumor types. The differential expression of USP4 in several tumors’ tissues are significantly related to patients’ overall survival (OS) or disease-free survival (DFS). The overview of the roles of USP4 in cancers mentioned in this review is demonstrated in Table 2 and Fig. 3.

Lung cancer

Lung cancer is notorious for its stubbornly high morbidity and mortality which urgently requires effective targeted therapy [85]. USP4 has been reported to play a tumor-suppressing role in lung cancer up to date. Through analyzing TCGA database, USP4 mRNA level was significantly downregulated in lung cancer tissues. And Kaplan–Meier survival analysis confirmed a favorable survival in high USP4 expression group. Besides, USP4 expression was also an independent prognostic factor of OS and recurrence-free survival [86]. The mechanisms of USP4 in lung cancer progression are complicated. Using human lung adenocarcinoma epithelial cell line, A549, Xiao et al. found the tumor-suppressing role of USP4 may result from its negative regulation of NF-κB signaling pathway through targeting K63-linked polyubiquitination of TRAF2 and TRAF6 and inactivate the two proteins, then inhibiting TNFα-induced cancer cell migration [43]. Hyaluronan synthase 2 (HAS2) can promote malignant biological behaviors of cancer through activating various intracellular signaling pathways [87, 88]. Immunohistochemical staining showed a negative correlation between HAS2 and USP4 expression. Mechanically, USP4 mono-deubiquitinates HAS2 which impairs its activity rather than maintaining its stability [89]. When it comes to the reason causing
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downregulation of USP4 in lung cancer, snail 1 may take the major responsibility and lead to macrophage-dependent inflammation and therapeutic resistance [90]. However, Hwang and his colleague found that upregulated expression of USP4 can facilitate brain metastasis of lung cancer by stabilizing β-catenin expression and knockdown of USP4 showed an increased OS and brain metastasis-free survival which implied an opposite role of USP4 in lung cancer [91].

Breast cancer
The role of USP4 in breast cancer progression is complicated and contradictory which depends on different upstream and downstream signaling pathways. In breast cancer cell lines, USP4 was initially found to be phosphorylated by pAKT and exported from nucleus to deubiquitinate and stabilize TβRI and activate downstream TGF-β signaling pathway [28]. Subsequently, Cao et al. further confirmed that USP4 can promote cell migration and invasion through relaxin/TGF-β1/sm2d2/matrix metalloprotein-9 signaling pathway [92]. The tumor-promoting role of USP4 also relates with HDAC2 activation. USP4 interacted with tricho-rhino-phalangeal syndrome type I to form a complex which led to HDAC2 deubiquitination, then promoted tumor growth both in vitro and in vivo [93]. Higher expression of USP4 is regulated by several molecules in breast cancer. Besides, Geng et al. discovered that a p21-activated kinase 5 (PAK5)/PAK5-aspartyl aminopeptidase (DNPEP)/USP4 axis can be involved in breast cancer progression in which higher level of PAK5 and USP4 expression predicted a worse OS and USP4 can be degradation through hydrolysis pathway regulated by phosphorylated DNPEP [94]. On the contrary, Li et al. found USP4 significantly inhibited breast cancer growth in vivo. Using microarray analysis, programmed

Table 2 The substrates and mechanisms of USP4 in cancers mentioned in this review

| Authors, year | Type of cancer | In vivo or in vitro study | USP4 expression | Deubiquitinated targets | Effects to the deubiquitinated targets | Regulated tumor biological behaviors | Refs. |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Xiao et al., 2012 | Lung cancer | In vitro | Down | TRAF2 and TRAF6 | Inactivation | Cell migration inhibition | [42] |
| Mehić et al., 2017 | Lung cancer | In vitro | Down | HAS2 | Inactivation | – | [88] |
| Hwang et al., 2016 | Lung cancer | In vitro and in vivo | Up | β-catenin | Stabilization | Cell migration and invasion enhancement; Brain metastasis of lung cancer | [90] |
| Zhang et al., 2012 | Breast cancer | In vitro | Up | TβRI | Stabilization | Cell migration enhancement | [27] |
| Wang et al., 2018 | Breast cancer | In vitro and in vivo | Up | HDAC2 | Stabilization | Cell proliferation and tumor growth enhancement | [92] |
| Li et al., 2016 | Breast cancer | In vitro and in vivo | Down | PCD4 | Stabilization | Cell proliferation and tumor growth inhibition | [94] |
| Qu et al., 2018 | Liver cancer | In vitro and in vivo | Up | TβRI | Stabilization | Tumor metastasis enhancement | [97] |
| Li et al., 2018 | Liver cancer | In vitro and in vivo | Up | CypA | Stabilization | Tumor growth and metastasis enhancement | [98] |
| Yun et al., 2015 | Colorectal cancer | In vitro | Up | β-catenin | Stabilization | Cell migration and invasion enhancement | [37] |
| Xing et al., 2015 | Colorectal cancer | In vitro and in vivo | Up | PRL-3 | Stabilization | Tumor growth and metastasis enhancement | [101] |
| Hou et al., 2013 | Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma | In vitro | Up | RIP1 | Inactivation | TNFα-induced cell apoptosis enhancement | [105] |
cell death 4 (PCD4) signaling pathway was among the most significant upregulation pathways when compared ectopic USP4 expression cell group with control cell group. Further study indicated that USP4 can target and deubiquitinate PCD4 which led to a tumor-suppressing effect [95]. Tumor-suppressing role of USP4 can also be modulated by miRNAs. As aforementioned, miR-148a is not only related to liver fibrosis inhibition, but also to tumor repression in breast cancer by sponging USP4 to hamper its expression [96]. Moreover, miR-553 can also inhibit USP4 expression in breast cancer tissues and the effect can be inversed by ectopic circBMPR2 [97].

Liver cancer

TGF-β signaling pathway is involved in the modulation of USP4 to liver cancer progression. USP4 exerted its tumor-promoting role in liver cancer by deubiquitinating and stabilizing TβRI and then activated TβRI/pSmad2 signaling pathway which strengthened cell migration and invasion capacity both in vitro and in vivo [98]. Using quantitative proteomics analysis, cyclophilin A (CypA) was chosen to be another potential target of USP4 in liver cancer which was further confirmed by Co-IP assay. CypA was then demonstrated to mediate malignant biological behaviors, such as cell proliferation and metastasis, caused by USP4 expression [99]. Similar to breast cancer, USP4 can also be negatively regulated by miR-148a which implied the potential therapeutic role of miR-148a in liver cancer [100].

Colorectal cancer

As mentioned above, USP4 facilitates colorectal cancer progression by deubiquitinating and stabilizing β-catenin from its degradation which activates its downstream signaling pathways [38]. Subsequently, the same team screened to a highly selective USP4 inhibitor, neural red (NR). NR administration decreased β-catenin stability and hampered tumor growth and metastasis which can be used as an potential anticancer drug in colorectal cancer treatment [101]. USP4 overexpression promoted the level of pAKT in colorectal cancer and this process can be blocked by knockdown of phosphatase of regenerating liver-3 (PRL-3) which can be deubiquitinated and stabilized by USP4 [102]. These studies imply various mechanisms of USP4 in colorectal cancer progression.

Other cancers

USP4 knockdown in glioblastoma hindered cell proliferation and induced tumor cell apoptosis through inactivating canonical TGF-β signaling pathway (TβRI/pSmad2) and non-canonical TGF-β signaling pathway (TβRI/phosphorylated extracellular regulated protein kinases1/2). However, the detailed mechanisms remained unknown [103]. USP4 can mediate temozolomide chemoresistance by inhibiting p53 expression. Nevertheless, whether USP4 directly or indirectly regulates p53 expression in glioblastoma still remains unclear [104]. P53 was
also shown to mediate USP4 overexpression-inhibited melanoma cell apoptosis without knowing the detailed mechanisms [105]. In head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, USP4 can abrogate receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1)-induced NF-κB signaling pathway activation by targeting K63-linked polyubiquitination of RIP1 which promotes TNFα induced cell apoptosis [106]. In esophageal cancer, higher expression of USP4 was observed in tumor tissues than in para-tumor tissues. However, high USP4 expression in tumor tissues was correlated with a favorable OS. And in the small tumor (diameter ≤ 5 cm) and early stage (stages 1 and 2) subgroups, higher USP4 expression was also associated with a better survival [107].

**Conclusion and future perspectives**

USP4, as a member of the deubiquitinase family, has extensive substrates to regulate a variety of signaling pathways. USP4 is involved in the regulation of many crucial signaling pathways due to its catalytic activity. Because many pathological and physiological processes are regulated by deubiquitination, USP4 is also involved in these processes and plays complicated regulatory roles, including tumor progression.

The roles of USP4 in tumors are diverse and complex. Due to its diverse downstream substrates and regulatory signaling pathways, USP4 may possess completely opposite effects even in the same tumor. According to previous studies, USP4 mainly plays a tumor-promoting role in liver cancer and colorectal cancer. However, in lung cancer and breast cancer, USP4 possesses completely opposite effects on tumor progression. We can assume that the role of USP4 is tumor-dependent and microenvironment-dependent, and may have different effects in different subtypes of the same tumor. Therefore, the expression level of USP4 in different tumor tissues compared with adjacent para-tumor tissues should be evaluated. The patients whose tumor tissues showed a higher USP4 expression may be more profitable using USP4 specific inhibitors than those have a lower USP4 expression. Given USP4 play a tumor-suppressing role in a few cancers, although USP4 can’t be used as a therapeutic target, exogenous USP4 administration may inhibit the progression of these cancers. Moreover, it can also be used as a favorable prognostic biomarker to distinguish the patients in order to acquire more specific treatment.

In view of the regulatory roles of USP4 in the progression of a variety of tumors, the development of targeted drugs or inhibitors targeting USP4 can be considered as a far-reaching and valuable task. However, although current studies have identified many small molecule inhibitors targeting other members of USP families or nonselective USP4 inhibitors, such as vialinin A and PR619, there have been no studies digging into USP4-specific inhibitors and targeted drugs so far, not to mention clinical trials. The main reason may be that there are many members of the USP family and the domains of these molecules are similar and conserved. It is hard to find a unique domain in USP4 using as a target. Compared to other USPs, the inhibitors developed can target USP4 are fewer. In a previous study of colorectal cancer indicated that NR was found to specifically inhibit tumor growth and metastasis caused by USP4 both in vitro and in vivo, moreover, the effect of NR outshone that of 5-FU. Therefore, in the future, whether NR can also play an excellent anti-cancer effect in other tumors should be further studied. In addition, the focus of future researches should also focus on the discovery of more specific and effective inhibitors that target USP4, and give full play to the therapeutic target functions of USP4.
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