Risk factors assessment for nasal colonization of *Staphylococcus aureus* and its methicillin resistant strains among pre-clinical medical students of Nepal
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Abstract

**Background:** *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), a normal flora of nasal cavity, can cause minor to life threatening invasive diseases and nosocomial infections. Methicillin resistant strains of *S. aureus* are causing a great challenge for treatment options. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess the nasal carriage rate of *S. aureus*, its methicillin resistant strains and risk factors in medical students prior to clinical exposure.

**Methods:** The bacterial growth of *S. aureus* from nasal swab culture was identified by using standard microbiological methods recommended by American Society for Microbiology. Modified Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method was used for antibiotic susceptibility testing and methicillin resistance was confirmed using cefoxitin and oxacillin disks. D-zone test method was used to determine the inducible clindamycin resistance.

**Results:** Among 200 participants, nasal carriage of *S. aureus* was detected from 30 (15 %) subjects. Upper respiratory tract infections significantly (*P* < 0.05) contributed the carriage of *S. aureus* and their methicillin resistant strains. All of the isolates were reported to be susceptible to vancomycin and teicoplanin. *S. aureus* strains detected from 8 (4 %) students were confirmed to be methicillin resistant.

**Conclusions:** The result of our study demands for strict policy to screen all the students for nasal carriage of *S. aureus* and its MRSA strains to minimize the transmission of this organism from community to hospital settings.
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Background

*S. aureus* is a normal flora of moist squamous epithelium of the anterior nares. Majority of the populations (60 %) are intermittent carriers while 20 % of the population is always colonized with *S. aureus* and 20 % of populations never carry this organism [1]. The evidence suggests that the populations harboring *S. aureus* and its methicillin resistant (MRSA) strains are at higher risk for developing invasive infection [2–4].

A range of minor as well as life threatening conditions like skin infections (pimples, impetigo, boils, cellulitis, folliculitis, carbuncles, scalded skin syndrome, abscesses), pneumonia, meningitis, osteomyelitis, endocarditis, toxic shock syndrome (TSS), and septicemia can be caused by *S. aureus* [5]. Coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* species (CoNS) act as the most common causative agents of nosocomial bacteremia [6].

Nasal carriage of MRSA contributes as a major risk factor for subsequent infection and transmission of this pathogen [7, 8]. Prolonged hospitalization, antibiotics exposure, and the presence of other patients with MRSA colonization or infection in the hospital are the major risk factors for acquiring MRSA infections. MRSA
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causes life threatening infections and greater mortality than that from methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) infections. Vancomycin, a glycopeptide antibiotic is the drug of choice to treat MRSA infections. However, the continuous use of vancomycin may promote growth of vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* (VISA) and vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* (VRSA) [9]. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the nasal carriage rate of *S. aureus*, MRSA, its antimicrobial susceptibility profile and the associated risk factors in the medical students prior to their clinical exposure.

**Methods**

This simple and cross-sectional study was carried out at Department of Microbiology, Chitwan Medical College (having a 600 bed teaching hospital) in the city of Bharatpur, Chitwan District, Narayani Zone, Nepal in March, 2014. A total 200 medical students who were studying in their first year of their medical education and were not exposed to their clinical posting were enrolled in this study.

**Data collection**

After obtaining the appropriate written consent the participants were requested to respond to a questionnaire on basic demographic characteristics (gender, residence, number of family members, profession of family, family income, family education), any potential risk factors (history of hypertension, renal disease, lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI), gastro-intestinal (GI) disease, upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs), recent surgery, recent hospitalization, recent visit to out-patient departments (OPD), any recent medication, recent antibiotic use, recent visit to hospital admitted family members) and habitual factors (vehicle used by participants, vehicle used by participants’ family members, recent visit to public amusement places, tattoo or acupuncture, alcohol consumption habit, contact with livestock and pets) during swab collection.

**Exclusion criteria**

The participants receiving either intranasal antibiotic ointment including mupirocin, or antistaphylococcal antibiotics including clindamycin, cephalaxin, cefazolin, oxacillin, dicloxacillin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, linezolid or vancomycin within 2 weeks were excluded in this study.

**Sample collection**

Nasal swabs were collected from both anterior nares of the students by using moist cotton swabs. Aseptic technique (disinfecting outer nostrils with alcohol) was followed while collecting the swab samples. The swab samples were transported immediately to the laboratory and processed for bacteriological profile within half an hour of collection.

**Microbiological study**

**Swab processing**

For the isolation of *S. aureus*, the collected swab samples were gently rolled and streaked on the 5% sheep blood agar (BA), DNase agar and mannitol salt agar (MSA) plates (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Limited, India). The inoculated BA, DNase and MSA plates were incubated at 37 °C for up to 24 h as described in previously published article [10]. Identification of *S. aureus* was carried out following standard microbiological methods recommended by American Society for Microbiology (ASM) [11].

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing**

Modified Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method was used for antibiotic susceptibility testing and isolates were considered either sensitive or resistant in compliance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [12]. Several antibiotics (HiMedia Laboratories, Pvt. Limited, India) used were: penicillin G (10 U), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), amikacin (30 μg), erythromycin (15 μg), co-trimoxazole (25 μg), tetracycline (10 μg), rifampicin (15 μg), vancomycin (30 μg), teicoplanin (30 μg), cefoxitin (30 μg), oxacillin (1 μg) and clindamycin (2 μg).

**Identification of methicillin resistant strains (MRSA)**

Disk approximation method was carried out by using oxacillin (1 μg) and cefoxitin (30 μg) disks for identification of methicillin resistance in *S. aureus*. The strains showing the diameter of the zone of inhibition (ZOI) of ≤10 mm with the oxacillin disk or ≤21 mm with the cefoxitin disk were recorded as methicillin resistant as recommended by CLSI [13].

**Identification of inducible clindamycin resistance in *S. aureus***

Identification of inducible macrolide–lincosamide–streptogramin B (iMLSB) resistance in *S. aureus* was also performed by disk approximation method. The method involved inoculation of *S. aureus* isolate on Mueller–Hinton agar plate and placing clindamycin (CLI) and erythromycin (ERY) disks approximately 15 mm apart (measured edge to edge); the plate was then incubated for 16–18 h. The zone of inhibition around the CLI disk proximal to the ERY disk (producing a zone of inhibition shaped like the letter D) showing flattening was considered a positive result and indicates the inducible CLI resistance by ERY (a positive “D-zone test”) [13].
S. aureus ATCC 25923 (for antibiotic sensitivity and negative control of methicillin resistance) and ATCC 43300 (for positive control of methicillin resistance) were used as a control organism [10].

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS-16 version. Differences in proportions were assessed by Chi square test. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Participants and positive rates
The participated population in this study included 200 (male 105 and female 95) students with male to female ratio of 1.1. S. aureus strains were isolated from 30 participants giving a prevalence rate of 15 % and out of 30 S. aureus strains 8 were identified as MRSA strains giving the prevalence rate of 4 %.

Participants with socio-demographic risk factors
Although the colonization rate in rural residents is higher (20.5 %) than urban residents (13.7 %), the association was not statistically significant. Non-significantly higher colonization rate was also found in participants having more than 4 family members (Table 1).

Participants and potential risk factors
Although all of the participants with LRTIs and renal disease were found colonized with S. aureus due to its small sample size (n = 1), the association was not statistically significant while 25 % of participants having URTIs were significantly colonized with this organism (P = 0.035) (Table 2).

Participants and habitual risk factors
Habitual risk factors like vehicle used by participants and their family members, recent visit to public amusement places, alcohol consumption, contact with livestock and pet did not contribute for the colonization of S. aureus (Table 3).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing profile
Table 4 shows the antimicrobial resistance profile of 30 S. aureus strains isolated in this study. Among 30 tested isolates, resistance to penicillin G was most common (73.0 %) and frequent resistance was also noted with ciprofloxacin (36.7 %). Eight (26.7 %) isolates were resistant to methicillin by cefoxitin and oxacillin disk method and 4 (13.3 %) isolates were found to have inducible clindamycin resistance by D-zone test. No isolates were found resistant to vancomycin and teicoplanin in this study. As expected, all of the MRSA strains were resistant to penicillin G (Table 4).

MRSA and associated risk factors
MRSA strains were detected from 8 (4 %) participants. Males were more likely to carry MRSA (62.5 %) than females but association was not significant (P = 0.295). However, URTIs, recent visit to public amusement places, contact with pet (dog) and rural type of residence were listed as significant contributing risk factors for MRSA colonization (Table 5).

Discussions
Now-a-days, the rate of urbanization is increasing in search of better life style, jobs, medical facilities and better education facilities. The result of our study also indicates that majority of the participants (80.5 %) belonged to urban area and 19.5 % of the participants belonged to family having health care professions. As pets are being taken as close friends to humans, 60 (30 %) participants of our study were having pets (mostly dog). Common cold, a minor form of URTIs, is common in Nepal and thus, 44 (22 %) of the participants were suffering from upper respiratory tract infections (URTI) during the study period.

| Variables                  | Total participants (%) | Positive participants (%) | P values |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Gender                     |                        |                           |          |
| Male                       | 105 (52.5)             | 14 (13.3)                 | 0.488    |
| Female                     | 95 (47.5)              | 16 (16.8)                 |          |
| Residence                  |                        |                           |          |
| Rural                      | 39 (19.5)              | 8 (20.5)                  | 0.283    |
| Urban                      | 161 (80.5)             | 22 (13.7)                 |          |
| No. of family members      |                        |                           |          |
| More than 4                | 106 (53.0)             | 17 (16.0)                 | 0.660    |
| Up to 4                    | 94 (47.0)              | 13 (13.8)                 |          |
| Profession of family       |                        |                           |          |
| Health care                | 39 (19.5)              | 4 (10.3)                  | 0.458    |
| Others                     | 161 (80.5)             | 26 (16.1)                 |          |
| Family income              |                        |                           |          |
| Low                        | 15 (7.5)               | 1 (6.7)                   |          |
| Middle                     | 154 (77.0)             | 25 (16.2)                 |          |
| High                       | 31 (15.5)              | 4 (12.9)                  |          |
| Family education           |                        |                           |          |
| Up to high school          | 32 (16.0)              | 7 (21.9)                  | 0.237    |
| College                    | 168 (84.0)             | 23 (13.7)                 |          |
Although, large proportion of *S. aureus* carriage is through the anterior nares of the nasal passages, it can be found on the skin of the host [1]. The combination of defective host immunity and the bacterial ability to evade host innate immunity results in the ability of the nasal passages to harbor *S. aureus* [14]. Approximately 20 % of individuals act as persistent carriers and almost always carry one type of strain [15]. In this study, we detected 15 % nasal carriage of *S. aureus* in medical students, similar to the rates detected from Malaysia [16, 17] and Iraq [18].

In this study, a non-significant association was observed between the *S. aureus* colonization and type of residence. The present analysis found that 73.3 % of the students positive for nasal colonization of *S. aureus* were from cities and 83.3 % of participants used public vehicle for travelling. The changing pattern of life style in urban area like frequent visit of shopping malls and theatres, attending parties and travelling via public vehicles bring peoples to be in close contact and easily can transmit the pathogens to others. Moreover, contaminated door handles of public vehicles also act as source infections.

| Variables                        | Total participants (%) | Positive participants (%) | P values |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Hypertension                     |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 4 (2.0)                | 1 (25.0)                  | 0.480    |
| No                               | 196 (98.0)             | 29 (14.8)                 |          |
| Renal disease                    |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 1 (0.5)                | 1 (100.0)                 | 0.15     |
| No                               | 199 (99.5)             | 29 (14.6)                 |          |
| LRTI                             |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 1 (0.5)                | 1 (100.0)                 | 0.15     |
| No                               | 199 (99.5)             | 29 (14.6)                 |          |
| Gastro-intestinal disease        |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 17 (8.5)               | 1 (5.9)                   | 0.477    |
| No                               | 183 (91.5)             | 29 (15.8)                 |          |
| URTI                             |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 44 (22.0)              | 11 (25.0)                 | 0.035    |
| No                               | 156 (78.0)             | 19 (12.2)                 |          |
| Recent surgical procedures       |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 2 (1.0)                | 0 (0)                     |          |
| No                               | 198 (99.0)             | 30 (15.2)                 |          |
| Recent admission to hospital     |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 8 (4.0)                | 0 (0)                     |          |
| No                               | 192 (96.0)             | 30 (15.6)                 |          |
| Recent visit to out-patient departments |                |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 50 (25.0)              | 4 (8.0)                   | 0.168    |
| No                               | 150 (75.0)             | 26 (17.3)                 |          |
| Recent medication                |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 42 (21.0)              | 7 (16.7)                  | 0.734    |
| No                               | 158 (79.0)             | 23 (14.6)                 |          |
| Recent antibiotic use            |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 51 (25.5)              | 8 (15.7)                  | 0.874    |
| No                               | 149 (74.5)             | 22 (14.8)                 |          |
| Recent visit to hospital admitted family members |                |                           |          |
| Yes                              | 29 (14.5)              | 6 (20.6)                  | 0.354    |
| No                               | 171 (85.5)             | 24 (14.0)                 |          |

Recent—in last 3 months
respiratory tract (nasal) infections in our study. Studies from other settings have also reported increased spread of *S. aureus* during an episode of URTIs [19]. In a study conducted from Malaysia, 22.5% cases of nasal carriage were associated with URTIs and 9.9% cases were associated with recent antibiotic use [17].

The reports of recent study have documented that *S. aureus* can survive on dogs and cats [20, 21]. Some authors believe that health-care workers’ dogs should be considered a significant source of antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus*, especially during outbreaks [20]. In our study, we found that 30% of the nasal carriers of *S. aureus* have had contact with pet (mostly dog), the findings being much lower than the result of 77% from Virginia [22]. The lower rate of our study may be due to the rather still uncommon practice of domesticating pets in Nepal than others.

Although, the overall resistance rates to commonly prescribed antibiotics in isolates were below 50%, as expected the rate was high (73%) with penicillin because only a small proportion of the *S. aureus* lineages do not produce beta-lactamases [23–26]. Similarly, a higher rate of resistance (92%) to ampicillin was also reported in a study conducted in Brazil [27].

Ciprofloxacin became the most widely used quinolone antibiotic after its introduction into clinical use in the late 1980s and early 1990s [28, 29]. In recent years, resistance has been developed in many bacteria, making it significantly less effective [30, 31]. We identified ciprofloxacin resistance rate of 36.7% in this study much higher than the result (8.8%) from Brazil [27]. This high rate of resistance in our study may be because of its indiscriminate use in our setting as a consequence of low cost and easy availability. Once effective against staphylococcal infections, the aminoglycoside antibiotics such as kanamycin,

**Table 3  Participants with habitual risk factors**

| Variables                                      | Total participants (%) | Positive participants (%) | P values |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Vehicle used by participants                  |                        |                           |          |
| Public                                         | 168 (84.0)             | 25 (14.9)                 | 0.920    |
| Personal                                       | 32 (16.0)              | 5 (15.6)                  |          |
| Vehicle used by participant’s family members   |                        |                           |          |
| Public                                         | 82 (41.0)              | 12 (14.6)                 | 0.904    |
| Personal                                       | 118 (59.0)             | 18 (15.3)                 |          |
| Recent visit to public amusement places        |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                                            | 106 (53.0)             | 12 (11.3)                 | 0.122    |
| No                                             | 94 (47.0)              | 18 (19.1)                 |          |
| Tattoo or acupuncture                          |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                                            | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                     |          |
| No                                             | 200 (100)              | 30 (15.0)                 |          |
| Alcohol consumption habit                      |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                                            | 5 (2.5)                | 1 (20.0)                  | 0.560    |
| No                                             | 195 (97.5)             | 29 (14.9)                 |          |
| Contact with livestock                         |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                                            | 26 (13.0)              | 2 (7.7)                   | 0.263    |
| No                                             | 174 (87.0)             | 28 (16.0)                 |          |
| Contact with pets                              |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                                            | 60 (30.0)              | 9 (15.0)                  | 1.0      |
| No                                             | 140 (70.0)             | 21 (15.0)                 |          |

**Table 4  Antibiotic resistance pattern of *S. aureus* and MRSA**

| Antibiotics     | *S. aureus* (n = 30) Resistant frequency (%) | MRSA (n = 8) Resistant frequency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Penicillin G    | 22 (73)                                       | 8 (100)                              |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 11 (36.7)                                     | 6 (75.0)                             |
| Gentamicin      | 10 (33.3)                                     | 5 (62.5)                             |
| Amikacin        | 3 (10)                                        | 1 (12.5)                             |
| Erythromycin    | 10 (33.3)                                     | 5 (62.5)                             |
| Cotrimoxazole   | 6 (20)                                        | 4 (50.0)                             |
| Tetracycline    | 6 (20)                                        | 3 (37.5)                             |
| Rifampicin      | 6 (20)                                        | 3 (37.5)                             |
| Vancomycin      | 0                                             | 0                                    |
| Teicoplanin     | 0                                             | 0                                    |
| Cefoxitin       | 8 (26.7)                                      | 8 (100)                              |
| Oxacillin       | 8 (26.7)                                      | 8 (100)                              |
| Clindamycin     | 8 (26.7)                                      | 3 (37.5)                             |
gentamicin, streptomycin etc., have been found less effective because of the development of mechanisms to inhibit the action, which occurs via protonated amine and/or hydroxyl interactions with the ribosomal RNA of the bacterial 30S ribosomal subunit [32]. As a consequence of low cost and easy availability, there has been indiscriminate use of this antibiotic similarly as with ciprofloxacin in our context. We observed the rate of gentamicin resistance as 33.3% and amikacin resistance as 10%. Similar rate of gentamicin resistance (25%) was also detected by Sharma et al. [33] whereas lower rate of amikacin resistance (4%) was also reported from Brazil [27].

Today, the therapeutic roles of erythromycin and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (co-trimoxazole) are increasingly limited due to its extensive use for the treatment of both minor and serious staphylococcal infections. One third (33.3%) of our isolates were resistant to erythromycin and 20% isolates were resistant to co-trimoxazole, the results are in agreement with the reported finding from Iran [34].

Glycopeptides like vancomycin and teicoplanin could be reserved for the management of MRSA infections because of its high efficacy in virtually all isolates of \textit{S. aureus} [35, 36]. The result of susceptibility in the current study to vancomycin and teicoplanin is comparable to that of other studies conducted worldwide [18, 27, 33]. The promising efficacy of glycopeptides is probably due to high cost and low usage of these regimens in Nepal. However, increased resistance to teicoplanin has been reported overseas [37–40]. Thus, glycopeptides especially vancomycin can be used empirically for serious staphylococcal infections while waiting for susceptibility testing results to come through [41].

Methicillin, the first antibiotic of \(\beta\)-lactamase-resistant penicillins (methicillin, oxacillin, cloxacillin, and flucloxacin) was detected to be ineffective only after two years of its introduction (introduced in 1959) in England and it was the first case of MRSA [42]. Then until 1990s, when there was an explosion in MRSA prevalence in hospitals, MRSA generally remained an uncommon finding, [43]. In present study, two different methods, the cefoxitin disk and oxacillin disk methods were employed for the detection of MRSA. According to CLSI guidelines, the \textit{mecA} mediated resistance to oxacillin can be detected by using cefoxitin disk or oxacillin disk method but cefoxitin disk method is more preferred because it is easier to read and also cefoxitin acts as an inducer of the \textit{mecA} gene [13].

Results of the present study indicated that, 4% (8/200) students harbored the MRSA in their nasal cavity. Similarly, 6% MRSA carriage rate in medical students before clinical exposure was also detected from India [44].

| Variables                              | Positive numbers (n = 8) | P value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Gender                                 |                          |         |
| Male                                   | 5                        | 0.295   |
| Female                                 | 3                        |         |
| Co-morbidities                         |                          |         |
| URTIs                                  | 6                        | 0.009   |
| Others                                 | 2                        |         |
| Recent visit to hospital admitted family members |                  |         |
| Yes                                    | 1                        | 0.536   |
| No                                     | 7                        |         |
| Recent visit to public amusement places |                          |         |
| Yes                                    | 6                        | 0.018   |
| No                                     | 2                        |         |
| Contact with pet                       |                          |         |
| Yes (dog)                              | 6                        | 0.0005  |
| No                                     | 2                        |         |
| Type of residence                      |                          |         |
| Urban                                  | 3                        | 0.007   |
| Rural                                  | 5                        |         |
| Vehicle used by participant’s family member |                  |         |
| Personal                               | 5                        | 0.866   |
| Public                                 | 3                        |         |
| Profession of family                   |                          |         |
| Health care                            | 2                        | 0.257   |
| Other than health care                 | 6                        |         |
| Family income                          |                          |         |
| Middle                                 | 8                        | 0.140   |
| Others                                 | 0                        |         |

| Variables                              | Positive numbers (n = 8) | P value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Gender                                 |                          |         |
| Male                                   | 5                        | 0.295   |
| Female                                 | 3                        |         |
| Co-morbidities                         |                          |         |
| URTIs                                  | 6                        | 0.009   |
| Others                                 | 2                        |         |
| Recent visit to hospital admitted family members |                  |         |
| Yes                                    | 1                        | 0.536   |
| No                                     | 7                        |         |
| Recent visit to public amusement places |                          |         |
| Yes                                    | 6                        | 0.018   |
| No                                     | 2                        |         |
| Contact with pet                       |                          |         |
| Yes (dog)                              | 6                        | 0.0005  |
| No                                     | 2                        |         |
| Type of residence                      |                          |         |
| Urban                                  | 3                        | 0.007   |
| Rural                                  | 5                        |         |
| Vehicle used by participant’s family member |                  |         |
| Personal                               | 5                        | 0.866   |
| Public                                 | 3                        |         |
| Profession of family                   |                          |         |
| Health care                            | 2                        | 0.257   |
| Other than health care                 | 6                        |         |
| Family income                          |                          |         |
| Middle                                 | 8                        | 0.140   |
| Others                                 | 0                        |         |
with pet especially dog. High proportion of MRSA (75%) colonization was also significantly associated with URTIs (P = 0.009) and visit to public amusement places (P = 0.018). Although the resident type was not associated with the colonization rate of S. aureus, it did the colonization of MRSA in our study (P = 0.007).

Our study had a few limitations, we selected a limited pool of antibiotics for susceptibility testing and molecular studies could not performed to confirm MRSA isolates due to financial constraints.

Conclusions
The result of this study highlights the nasal carriage of S. aureus and their methicillin resistant counterparts in the medical students. This study indicates that carriage of this organism has no significant association with socio-demographic and habitual risk factors. However, URTIs can enhance the carriage of S. aureus as well as their MRSA strains. Recent visit to public amusement places, contact with dog (pet) and rural residence were also documented as the significant risk factors contributing the MRSA colonization. The colonization of S. aureus and MRSA can play a key role in the epidemiology and pathogenicity of community as well as hospital associated infections. From this study, the longitudinal surveillance of nasal carriage of S. aureus should be made an essential protocol to minimize the transmission of this organism from community to hospital and vice versa.
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