Microbiomes of gall-inducing copepod crustaceans from the corals *Stylophora pistillata* (Scleractinia) and *Gorgonia ventalina* (Alcyonacea)
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Corals harbor complex and diverse microbial communities that strongly impact host fitness and resistance to diseases, but these microbes themselves can be influenced by stresses, like those caused by the presence of macroscopic symbionts. In addition to directly influencing the host, symbionts may transmit pathogenic microbial communities. We analyzed two coral gall-forming copepod systems by using 16S rRNA gene metagenomic sequencing: (1) the sea fan *Gorgonia ventalina* with copepods of the genus *Sphaerippe* from the Caribbean and (2) the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata* with copepods of the genus *Spaniomolgus* from the Saudi Arabian part of the Red Sea. We show that bacterial communities in these two systems were substantially different with *Actinobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria*, and *Betaproteobacteria* more prevalent in samples from *Gorgonia ventalina*, and *Gammaproteobacteria* in *Stylophora pistillata*. In *Stylophora pistillata*, normal coral microbiomes were enriched with the common coral symbiont *Endozoicomonas* and some unclassified bacteria, while copepod and gall-tissue microbiomes were highly enriched with the family ME2 (*Oceanospirillales*) or *Rhodobacteraceae*. In *Gorgonia ventalina*, no bacterial group had significantly different prevalence in the normal coral tissues, copepods, and injured tissues. The total microbiome composition of polyps injured by copepods was different. Contrary to our expectations, the microbial community composition of the injured gall tissues was not directly affected by the microbiome of the gall-forming symbiont copepods.

Coral reefs are one of the most complex marine ecological systems, with biodiversity comparable to that of the rainforests1,2. The structural basis of reefs is a complex system, termed coral holobiont, consisting of a core animal, coral polyp, symbiotic unicellular algae of the genus *Symbiodinium*, fungi, protists, viruses and prokaryotes—the coral microbiota3,4. With the development of culture-independent methods of high-throughput genome sequencing, the diversity and importance of the coral microbiome for the holobiont fitness has become evident5–8, although the direct mechanisms of interactions and functions of the microbial community are not well...
understood. It has been shown that bacteria associated with corals play a role in nitrogen fixation, synthesis of metabolites such as vitamins, cycling of carbon, sulfur, and phosphorus, and resistance to diseases, due to the antibiotic production and to the competition with pathogens for nutrients and space. Moreover, environmental changes have been shown to cause shifts in the coral microbiome composition. Such shifts can be vital for fast adaptation to changing environmental stress conditions and play a role in the evolution of a coral holobiont. On the other hand, changes induced by the stress can shift composition of the coral microbiome toward coral pathogens, in particular, the microbiome composition is predictive of the corals' stress tolerance. Hereby, the establishment of a “healthy” coral microbiome and finding potential etiological agents or groups of agents associated with coral mortality are issues of importance, especially due to the recent degradation of coral reefs induced by human activities and climate shifts. It is possible, however, that coral mortality is associated not with one group of agents but with the loss of a stable, healthy microbiome and subsequent, diverse stochastic changes in the microbial communities dominated by opportunistic bacteria or r-strategists.

Based on the 16S rRNA gene amplicon massive sequencing, it has been shown that coral prokaryotic communities exhibit almost no overlap with dominating bacterial taxa in the surrounding reef water and are usually dominated by Proteobacteria, mainly Gammaproteobacteria and Alphaproteobacteria, with different dominating representative genera and species from these classes. Bacteria of the genus Endozoicomonas (Gammaproteobacteria: Oceanospirillales) have been shown to reside in diverse marine hosts varying from sponges to fish all over the world while being one of the dominant associated taxa of the stony coral Stylophora pistillata in the Red Sea and in temperate gorgonians. Other Oceanospirillales, such as those of the family ME2, and some Saprochoetales have been shown to be the dominant associated taxa in the precious deep-water octocoral Corallium rubrum (Linnaeus, 1758).

Coral injuries and diseases often lead to shifts in the coral microbial community towards a higher ratio of opportunistic or potentially pathogenic bacteria, like Rhodobacteraeae, in particular, Rauergia, Vibrio spp., Bacteriodetes, Cyanobacteria (Roseofulvim rupetorum and Phormidium valderiam), Verrucomicrobiaceae and to changes in the species interactions and richness. Opportunistic bacteria can come from a variety of sources — they can be minor groups present in the healthy coral, be transmitted with water, or come from adjacent algae microbiomes, being advantageous for algae in the alga-coral competition. More one source of such bacteria can be provided by invertebrates, like copepods. It has been shown that the microbiomes of free-living copepods, which, similar to the microbiomes of corals, are dominated by Gammaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, and other Proteobacteria, may contain bacteria that are potentially pathogenic for corals, such as Vibrio spp. White plague of corals is associated with small crabs of the family Cryptochiridae, which live in small pits or galls inside the host corals, and both bacteria that are potentially pathogenic for corals, such as Vibrio spp., may colonize the crab and diseased coral microbiomes are dominated by Alphaproteobacteria, mainly Roseobacter, unlike the microbiomes of healthy corals.

Diverse and abundant symbiotic copepods are found associated with most of the inspected host corals, but the type and strength of the associations are not well-studied. Some of the symbiotic copepods have been reported in galls or cysts of corals. However, the potential impact of copepods inducting galls to the state of coral hosts remains unknown. Some copepods could potentially act as vectors for the transmission of coral or fish pathogens, which in theory might confer benefits for copepods, helping them to overcome host protection mechanisms. In search of such pathogens or microbial complexes specific to symbiotic copepods and coral galls induced by them, we applied 16S rRNA gene metagenomic sequencing to two recently discovered copepod-coral systems (Fig. 1) — the sea fan Gorgonia ventilarum Linnaeus, 1758 (Anthozoa: Octocorallia: Alcyonacea: Gorgonidae) with copepods of the genus Sphaeripig Gryger, 1980 (Copepoda: Pocilostomatoida: Lamippidae) from the Caribbean island Sint Eustatius and the scleractinian coral Stylophora pistillata Esper, 1797 (Anthozoa: Hexacorallia: Scleractinia: Porcellanidae) with the copepods of the genus Spanio- molgus Humes & Stock, 1972 (Copepoda: Pocilostomatoida: Rhynchomolgidae) from the Saudi Arabian part of the Red Sea. In both systems studied here, copepods were located within a gall or a modified polyp. In our knowledge, this is the first detailed analysis of a microbial community of copepods as symbionts of corals. Our expectation is that if copepods are associated with the spread of disease to the coral, the microbiome of the symbiont copepod should share more bacterial species with the diseased coral gall tissue than with the healthy tissues of the same coral colony.

Results

Overall, about 150,000 reads were obtained per sample (standard deviation 84,500) after chimaera and error checking (Table 1), comprising 54,329 OTUs at the threshold similarity level of 0.987 after removal of singletons and normalization of read numbers by the analysis of rarefaction curves (Figure S1).

The diversity of the prokaryotic communities expressed as three different metrics of alpha diversity (see Table 1) was not statistically different between the coral host species (ADONIS on OTU-based Bray-Curtis distances: \( F = 3.8, p = 0.001, R^2 = 0.21 \)), with OTU-based Bray-Curtis distances between the species ranging from 0.64 to 0.96 and within the species from 0.48 to 0.93. No significant differences were observed between the types of substrate for the microbiome (healthy tissues, gill tissues, and symbiotic copepods) \( F = 1.4, p = 0.073, R^2 = 0.15 \), with distances between the substrates ranging from 0.48 to 0.93, and within from 0.51 to 0.84. The level of similarity between the microbiome types was the same for both coral host species (ADONIS interaction term: \( F = 1.4, p = 0.074, R^2 = 0.15 \)).
Upon considering in detail individual coral colonies, the results were confirmed. The microbial composition of the diseased tissues in *Gorgonia ventalina* was neither significantly different from the healthy tissues in the same coral colony (ADONIS on Bray-Curtis distances: $F = 0.9, p = 0.7, R^2 = 0.31$), nor from the microbiome of the symbiotic copepod ($F = 1.2, p = 0.3, R^2 = 0.28$). Similarly, the microbial composition of the diseased tissues in *Stylophora pistillata* was neither significantly different from the healthy tissues in the same coral colony ($F = 1.2, p = 0.3, R^2 = 0.29$), nor from the microbiome of the symbiotic copepod ($F = 1.3, p = 0.2, R^2 = 0.30$). Yet, a plot of the differences between the samples at the genus level suggests some potential effect of the microbiome of the symbiotic copepod on the gall tissues, at least for one gall tissue of *Stylophora pistillata* that clusters with the microbiomes of the symbiotic copepods (Fig. 2). Among three copepod samples of *Gorgonia ventalina*, one clustered with coral samples, both gall and healthy, and two formed a separate cluster. Thus, the microbiomes were highly variable, with an uncertain effect of the symbiotic copepods on the gall tissues.

**Figure 1.** (a) The Caribbean sea fan *Gorgonia ventalina* (*Alcyonacea*) with pink galls (b, arrowed) induced by a copepod of *Sphaerippe* sp. (*Lamippidae*); (c) female of *Sphaerippe* sp., ventral view, SEM photo; (d) the Red Sea stony coral *Stylophora pistillata* (*Scleractinia*) with modified corallites (e, arrowed) induced by copepods of the genus *Spaniomolgus* (*Rhynchomolgidae*); (f) female copepod *Spaniomolgus* sp., ventro-lateral view, SEM photo. Scale bars: a–10, b–5, c–0.01, d–8, e–2, f–0.01 cm.
The main significant difference between the microbiomes was between the coral species systems in two oceans, dominated by different bacterial phyla (Fig. 3). *Actinobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria*, and *Betaproteobacteria* were more prevalent in the samples from *Gorgonia ventalina* in the Caribbean, while *Gammaproteobacteria* dominated in the samples from *Stylophora pistillata* in the Red Sea. At the genus level, the PCA visualization confirmed the difference between the coral systems but not by the substrate type (Fig. 4). The main difference between the samples was in the prevalence of *Algicola* and some unclassified bacteria and *Gammaproteobacteria* in all samples from *Stylophora pistillata* with additional very abundant *Oceanospirillales* family ME2 in the gall and copepod samples, while the samples from *Gorgonia ventalina* were rich in *Propionibacterium* and unclassified *Microbacteriaceae*.

The difference in the microbial composition among the copepod samples and the coral samples was mainly generated by *Endozoicomonas*, which was present in all coral samples, and was the dominant taxon in most normal coral samples from the Red Sea, while it was absent or a minority in all copepod samples. Instead, the copepod samples from *Gorgonia ventalina* were enriched in *Propionibacterium* and unclassified *Microbacteriaceae*. On the other hand, the copepod samples from *Stylophora pistillata* together with the gall tissues were enriched in ME2 of *Oceanospirillales*, and the latter was the only taxon that clearly distinguished the copepod and gall samples from the healthy coral samples. No such predominant taxa were observed in the samples from *Gorgonia ventalina*.

### Discussion
Microbiomes of corals and copepods are objects of numerous studies; however, the interactions between copepods and coral microbial communities are poorly understood and have not been studied in detail. The definition of a core healthy coral microbiome meets numerous challenges, the most critical ones being that coral microbial communities are temporally and spatially dynamic, with different coral species possessing different microbiomes in different environmental conditions. At that, only few studies considered the possibility that

| Sample label | Region | Type of sample | RAW reads number | Number of reads after trimming | Number of reads from OTU of size = 1 | Number of reads mapped to chloroplast |
|--------------|--------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Gv_g1        | Caribbean | *Gorgonia ventalina* gall tissue | 279934 | 254603 | 9979 | 227 |
| Gv_g2        | Caribbean | *Gorgonia ventalina* gall tissue | 156202 | 142846 | 7037 | 87 |
| Gv_h1        | Caribbean | *Gorgonia ventalina* normal polyp | 178528 | 162375 | 6402 | 25 |
| Gv_c1        | Caribbean | *Sphaerippe* female | 130572 | 118964 | 6081 | 364 |
| Gv_c2        | Caribbean | *Sphaerippe* female | 236283 | 214948 | 7651 | 190 |
| Gv_c3        | Caribbean | *Sphaerippe* female | 51663 | 47265 | 1881 | 47 |
| Sp_h1        | Red Sea | *Stylophora pistillata* normal polyp | 119566 | 108396 | 4999 | 6181 |
| Sp_h2        | Red Sea | *Stylophora pistillata* normal polyp | 41887 | 38681 | 1924 | 875 |
| Sp_h3        | Red Sea | *Stylophora pistillata* normal polyp | 36701 | 33686 | 2307 | 898 |
| Sp_g1        | Red Sea | *Stylophora pistillata* gall tissue | 301745 | 272944 | 11385 | 8451 |
| Sp_g2        | Red Sea | *Stylophora pistillata* gall tissue | 78857 | 72892 | 4562 | 3643 |
| Sp_c1        | Red Sea | *Spaniomolgus* female | 313308 | 288680 | 12756 | 578 |
| Sp_c2        | Red Sea | *Spaniomolgus* female | 220848 | 203011 | 9364 | 745 |
| Sp_c3        | Red Sea | *Spaniomolgus* female | 123227 | 112850 | 4764 | 69 |

Table 1. Sample information.

| Response | Predictor | DF | F     | p     |
|----------|-----------|----|-------|-------|
| Richness | coral species | 1 | 0.05 | 0.83 |
|          | substrate  | 2 | 2.04 | 0.19 |
|          | coral species: substrate | 2 | 2.78 | 0.12 |
|          | Residuals  | 9 |       |       |
| Shannon  | coral species | 1 | 0.44 | 0.52 |
|          | substrate  | 2 | 0.09 | 0.92 |
|          | coral species: substrate | 2 | 3.41 | 0.08 |
|          | Residuals  | 9 |       |       |
| Simpson  | coral species | 1 | 0.08 | 0.79 |
|          | substrate  | 2 | 0.43 | 0.66 |
|          | coral species: substrate | 2 | 3.95 | 0.06 |
|          | Residuals  | 9 |       |       |

Table 2. ANOVA analysis of the effect of the coral species (*Gorgonia ventalina* and *Stylophora pistillata*), the type of substrate for the microbiome (healthy tissue, gall tissue, symbiotic copepod), and their interaction, on three different metrics of microbial OTU diversity — species richness, the Shannon index, and the Simpson index. Degrees of freedom (DF), the F values, and the p values are reported.
Figure 2. Hierarchical clustering based on relative genus-level taxon abundance. We merge OTUs based on their genus-level taxonomy, if the genus was not identified for an OTU, then the lowest of identified taxonomic category was used. The clustering was based on the Hellinger distances between samples. Only highly abundant taxa are shown. Healthy coral samples are in green, gall samples pink, and copepod samples blue. Abbreviations: Gv_g1, Gv_g2 — gall tissue of the Caribbean sea fan Gorgonia ventalina (Alcyonacea); Gv_h1, Gv_h2 — healthy polyp of G. ventalina; Gv_c1, Gv_c2 and Gv_c3 — female specimens of Sphaerippe sp. (Copepoda: Poecilostomatoida: Lamippidae) from galls of G. ventalina; Sp_h1, Sp_h2 and Sp_h3 — healthy polyp of the Red Sea stony coral Stylophora pistillata (Scleractinia); Sp_g1, Sp_g2 — gall tissue (modified polyp) of S. pistillata from the Red Sea; Sp_c1, Sp_c2 and Sp_c3 — female specimens of Spaniomolgus sp. (Copepoda: Poecilostomatoida: Rhyynchomolgidae) from gall of S. pistillata.
copepods can transmit pathogenic bacteria to corals. Such transmitted bacteria can impact the coral health and play a role in the gall formation. Here we studied bacterial communities of two different copepod–coral associations, focusing on the identification of bacteria possibly involved in the gall formation. These two systems have different microbiomes. The microbiomes of normal corals, galls, and copepods within these systems differ less than between the systems. While we could not distinguish between the influence of geographical location and species-specific host-microbiome interactions due, in particular, to a small number of samples and studied systems, we found that in all metrics, and contrary to initial expectations, the gall samples had a microbiome biodiversity similar to that of the regular coral samples, but with a different microbiome structure. The microbiomes of the normal coral samples from the Red Sea were found to be similar to those previously described in literature and showed enrichment with widespread coral-associated Endozoicomonas bacteria, while the gall samples were enriched with bacteria unusual for regular Stylophora, like the family ME2 of Oceanospirillales, or by potential pathogens like Rhodobacteraceae. The former is normally absent in Stylophora, but is one of the dominant taxa in the octocoral Corallium rubrum. Similarly, the gall samples from the Caribbean were enriched with potential pathogens like Arcobacter and Pseudoalteromonas known to be associated with injured tissues of corals and algae. Oceanospirillales family ME2 in the Red Sea was not only present in galls but also in all copepods, while absent in the regular coral tissue, which may indicate that these bacteria can be transmitted by copepods and expand in gall tissues. This is reminiscent of the Roseobacter prevalence in the microbiomes of the Cryptochiridae crabs and white plague coral microbiomes.

Regardless of the large variability in the analyzed microbiomes, no clear evidence of a role of the microbiome associated to the symbiont copepod was found in affecting the microbiome of the gall tissue of the coral. We cannot rule out an influence of the microbiome of the symbiont in the induction of the gall tissue, but its effect is not visible in the microbiome of fully formed coral galls. We acknowledge that our study involved a limited number of samples and of analysed systems, and further studies could still provide evidence of a role of symbiotic copepods in causing or facilitating the spread of disease to corals.
Materials and Methods

Field sampling. The corals of Stylphora pistillata (Scleractinia) and Gorgonia ventralina (Alcyonacea) were collected at the Saudi Arabian Red Sea (25°39′24.49″N, 36°42′43.46″E, Al Wajh Bank, date 01.02.2016, depth 2 m, water T 17 °C) and the Dutch Caribbean island Sint Eustatius (17°27′87″N, 60°58′6.45″W, date 26.06.2015, depth 6 m, water T 27 °C), respectively.

Each coral was photographed underwater, placed in a separate plastic bag and brought to the surface. The parts of corals with galls were dissected with sterilized needles in sterilized Petri dishes using dissecting microscope Olympus SZX 7, then rinsed several times and preserved in a 95% solution of ethanol. One copepod individual found in the gall was selected per gall of the coral colony. The copepods have been rinsed in ethanol, some of the copepods present in the samples have been inspected by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in order to detect the presence of microbes.

Scanning electron microscopy. For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses, copepods were dehydrated through graded ethanol concentrations, critical point dried, mounted on aluminum stubs, coated with gold, and examined in a JEOL scanning electron microscope at the Laboratory of Electron Microscopy (Biological Faculty of Lomonosov Moscow State University).

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. DNA from the ethanol-preserved copepods, galls, or a normal coral tissue was extracted simultaneously using a standard silica-based DNA extraction kit (Diatom Dnaprep 100, Isogene, Moscow, Russia). The DNA extraction was conducted according to the manufacturer’s protocol for the fresh blood samples.

Community analysis by 16S-rRNA gene amplicon sequencing targeting the V4 variable region was modified from using universal primers 515F (5′-GTGCCAGCMGCGCGGTAA-3′) and 806R (5′-GACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′). The 515F primer was labeled with sample-specific Multiplex Identification DNA-tags (MIDs) (Table S1). 25 μl PCR reactions contained 12.5 μl 2x Taqman Environmental Mastermix 2.0 (ThermoFisher), 10 pMol/μl V4-F-MID primer and 10 pMol/μl V4-R-trP1 primer (Sigma Aldrich), 1 μl template DNA and PCR grade water. PCR was performed as follows: heated lid 110 °C, 95 °C × 10 mins, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C × 15 s, 50 °C × 20 s, 60 °C × 30 s, followed by 60 °C × 4 mins and held at 12 °C.

Negatives controls with PCR grade water occurred without amplification. PCR products were quantified in the QIAxcel (QIAGEN). PCR products were pooled in an equimolar concentration. The pool was cleaned using AMPure magnetic bead-based purification system (Beckman Coulter). The clean pool was quantified using the Bioanalyzer (Agilent). The amplicon library was sequenced using an Ion 314 Chip by an Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine (Life Technologies) at the Naturalis Biodiversity Center following manufacturer protocol.

Sequence analysis. The quality of reads was analyzed with FastQC. Long reads were trimmed to 300 bp, first 10 bp and low quality (Phred < 20) ends of reads were trimmed, and then reads shorter than 140 bp were removed with Trimmomatic. On average 8.5% of reads were removed. For OTU definition we used CD-HIT-EST with similarity level 0.987 that was more restrictive than the commonly used 0.97 threshold and was more likely to group together only reads from the same species. All single-read OTUs were filtered out such. We assigned taxonomy to each read the Mothur software package with standard parameters and SILVIA.

Character analysis. The hierarchical clustering and principal component analyses were performed with built-in R functions based on the OTU or taxon distribution between the samples. Significance of differences between the microbiome compositions was tested with ADONIS implemented in the package vegan for R. The models included the coral holobiont species, the type of substrate for the microbiome (healthy tissue, gall tissue, or symbiotic copepod), and the statistical interaction between the coral species and the substrate type.

Data availability. Sequence data determined in this study are available at NCBI under BioProject Accession PRJNA433804 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/433804). Other data are available in the Supplementary Data file.
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