Corrosion resistance of non-stoichiometric gadolinium zirconate fabricated by laser-enhanced chemical vapor deposition
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Abstract: Gadolinium zirconate (GZ) is a promising candidate for next-generation thermal barrier coating (TBC) materials. Its corrosion resistance against calcium–magnesium–alumino–silicate (CMAS) needs to be further increased for enhancing its in-service life. As the Gd element plays an important role in the CMAS resistance, three GZ coatings (GZ-0.75, GZ-1.0, and GZ-1.2) with different Gd/Zr atomic ratios are designed and deposited by laser enhanced chemical vapor deposition (LCVD) in this work. It is found that the generated Gd-apatite in GZ-1.2 would block micro-cracks inside the column structure and the inter-columnar gap more efficiently. Thus, the CMAS penetration rate (5.2 μm/h) of GZ-1.2 decreases over 27% comparing with GZ-1.0 and GZ-0.75, which is even lower than the Gd2Zr2O7 coatings fabricated by electron-beam physical vapor depositions (EB-PVDs). This work provides a feasible way to adjust the coating’s corrosion resistance and may guide the development of future coating for long in-service life.
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1 Introduction

Thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) are widely used in protecting Ni-based super-alloys serviced in the hot sections of gas turbine engines. The application of TBCs makes metallic components maintain their mechanical properties at high temperatures [1,2]. Owing to its high servicing temperatures and required performance, the appropriate TBC materials should have a series of excellent properties, such as low thermal conductivity, no phase transition, high melting point, and good corrosion resistance. The current commercial TBC material is 7–8 wt% Y2O3 partially stabilized ZrO2 (YSZ), which has good compromise among the selection requirements [3,4]. However, YSZ is vulnerable to molten airborne dust (main components: calcium–magnesium–alumino–silicate, CMAS) damage at high operating temperatures [1,5–9]. This disadvantage decreases the service-life and limits the long-term application of YSZ at enhanced temperatures. Thus, many efforts are taken to further increase the coatings’ CMAS resistance.

Gadolinium zirconate (GZ) is a promising candidate of TBCs for the next-generation turbine engines [10–13]. The crystal structure of GZ can be described as a Zr4O12 framework with an imbricated Gd4O2 polyhedron inside [14–16]. Here, the Zr4O12 framework provides high-temperature structural stability, and the Gd4O2 polyhedron can scatter phonons and provide low thermal
conductivity [17,18]. As a result, GZ has a high melting point (2600 °C), a high structural stability up to 1600 °C, and a lower thermal conductivity (1.6 W/(m-K)) compared to YSZ [2,19,20]. Moreover, GZs exhibit good resistance against CMAS attack. Krämar et al. [21] pointed out that the apatite-type solid solution, which is generated during the corrosion process, has a key effect on corrosion resistance. Gd-apatite would clog the coating’s porous structure so as to restrict the subsequent CMAS penetration [21,22]. Participating in the formation of the apatite, it is clear that the Gd content would influence the generation of apatite-type solid solutions. Meanwhile, the stable pyrochlore structure has a specific range (from 1.46 to 1.78) of \( \frac{r_A}{r_B} \) (\( r_A \) and \( r_B \) represent the A- and B-site cations’ radii of \( \text{A}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_7 \), respectively) according to the theoretical study of \( \text{A}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_7 \) compositions and the \( \text{RE}_2\text{O}_3\text{-ZrO}_2 \) phase diagram [18,23–25]. Therefore, the Gd content of GZ is predicted adjustable, which is also considered as a feasible way to further increase the anti-CMAS ability.

However, the dissociation pressure and the vaporization rate of lanthanide oxides are higher than that of zirconia (at least an order of magnitude higher) [26,27]. As a result, a severe loss of Gd element would happen during commercial coating preparation methods, including the electron-beam physical vapor deposition (EB-PVD) and the atmospheric plasma spray (APS). Therefore, both of them can tailor the Gd content only in a small range. For example, Mauer et al. [28,29] reported the Gd/Zr molar ratio of sprayed coatings ranged from 0.88 to 1.08 by adjusting the input power of the plasma jet during the APS process. Schmitt et al. [30] fabricated non-stoichiometric GZ coatings by rotating the substrate mandrel through the vapor cloud of GZ. The deposited coatings were Gd deficient with Gd/Zr atomic ratios being 0.18, 0.46, 0.72, and 1.00.

Recently, laser enhanced chemical vapor deposition (LCVD) has been applied to fabricate TBCs [31,32]. Yang et al. [33] proved that LCVD method is a laboratory method to prepare GZ coatings with a wide Gd/Zr ratio range, a high deposition rate (up to 307 \( \mu \)m/h), and a unique columnar-like micro-structure. However, the influence of non-stoichiometric Gd content on the coating’s CMAS resistance is still unknown. In this study, three GZ coatings with different Gd/Zr ratios (i.e., GZ-0.75, GZ-1.0, and GZ-1.2) are fabricated and their corrosion resistance is investigated. The GZ with higher Gd content promotes the generation of Gd-apatite, blocking the inter-columnar gap and the micro-cracks inside the column efficiently. As a result, the penetration rate of GZ-1.2 decreases to 5.2 \( \mu \)m/h, being lower than that of EB-PVD fabricated Gd\(_2\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_7\) coatings (10–20 \( \mu \)m/h) [34]. This work provides a feasible way to adjust the corrosion resistance of GZ against CMAS and may guide the design of future-coating with the enhanced corrosion resistance.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Fabrication of coatings

The LCVD equipment consists of a cold-wall type chemical vapour deposition (CVD) and a compact-evolution dieode laser system (DILAS Inc., Mainz, Germany). The commercial metallo-organic compounds, Gd(TMHD)\(_3\) (Tris(2,2’,6,6’-tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedionato) gadolinium(III), Strem chemicals, Inc., USA) and Zr(TMHD)\(_4\) (Zirconium tetrakis(2,2’,6,6’-tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedionate), Strem chemicals, Inc., USA), were used as raw materials. Gd/Zr ratios of deposited coatings are controlled by the dosages of Gd(TMHD)\(_3\) and Zr(TMHD)\(_4\). Based on the formation region of high-quality GZ coatings, the applied Gd(TMHD)\(_3\)/Zr(TMHD)\(_4\) molar ratios of 0.75 (GZ-0.75), 1.0 (GZ-1.0), and 1.2 (GZ-1.2) were chosen. For more details, please refer to our previous work [33]. During the deposition process, gaseous Gd(TMHD)\(_3\) and Zr(TMHD)\(_4\) were carried by argon gas and transported to the surface of the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) substrate (size: 20 mm × 25 mm × 0.7 mm). The reaction between precursors was activated by a laser in the CVD chamber with an oxidizing environment (the partial pressure of oxygen was 900 Pa) [35]. The output power of the diode laser was fixed at 400 W (continuous mode, wave length \( \lambda = 976±10 \) nm). Furthermore, the temperature was maintained at 900 °C for the substrate and 350 °C for the transportation tube of the gaseous precursors. The deposition duration time is around 250–300 s and other details of LCVD parameters are listed in Table 1.

### 2.2 Corrosion test and characterization

To make the corrosion results in this study comparable with previous literature, the amorphous CMAS sample with a composition of \( \text{CaO} (33 \text{ mol}\%) - \text{MgO} (9 \text{ mol}\%) - \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 (13 \text{ mol}\%) - \text{SiO}_2 (45 \text{ mol}\%) \) (the calculated basicity index is 1.09) [6] was adopted in this study. The CMAS glass was prepared by pure CaO, MgO,
Table 1 Parameters for LCVD deposition

| LCVD parameter (temperature setting/gas flow rate) | Values                  |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Substrate platform (°C)                           | 900                     |
| Vapor transportation tube (°C)                    | 350                     |
| Precursor evaporator (Gd(TMHD)₃) (°C)             | 267/272/272             |
| Precursor evaporator (Zr(TMHD)₄) (°C)             | 362/366/378             |
| Carrier gas, argon (mL/min)                       | 20                      |
| Carrier gas, argon (mL/min)                       | 40                      |
| Oxygen (mL/min)                                   | 190                     |
| Atmospheric pressure in chamber (Pa)              | 900                     |
| Laser output power (W)                            | 400                     |
| Distance from nozzle to substrate (mm)            | 28                      |

Al₂O₃, and SiO₂ powders in 99.9% purity. After fully grinding, the mixture of CaO, MgO, Al₂O₃, and SiO₂ was heat-treated at 1250 °C for 4 h. Then, amorphous CMAS samples with a density of 8 mg/cm² were added to the coating surface. At last, the as-prepared specimens were heated at 1250 °C for 10, 30, and 60 min, respectively.

The Vickers hardness of all deposited coatings was measured using a micro indentation tester (HXD-1000TMC/LCD, China). The applied load is 0.3 N and the holding time is 10 s. The coating’s cross-sectional morphologies and element distribution were observed by the scanning electron microscope (SEM, HITACHI FlexSEM-1000, Japan) and the energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS, FEI F50, USA). The atomic ratio and phase composition of the as-sprayed coatings were determined by the inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Perkin Elmer Optima 8300DV, USA), the X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Industrial Corporation, Japan), and the Raman spectroscope (Gloucestershire, UK), respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Morphologies and compositions of LCVD coatings

Surface and cross-sectional morphologies (backscattering images) of three as-deposited coatings are shown in Fig. 1. The dark area at the bottom of the coating in all the cross-sectional microstructures is the Al₂O₃ substrate, and the LCVD coating has a strong bonding to the Al₂O₃ substrate. All coatings exhibit similar microstructures that are a cauliflower-like surface (Fig. 1(a)) and a columnar cross-section (Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)). Each columnar crystal has dendritic micro-cracks inside.

EDS was used to measure atomic ratios of three as-deposited coatings in different positions (Figs. 1(c)–1(e)). The Gd/Zr ratio varies slightly with depth, and the mean compositions of as-deposited coatings are 0.73, 1.03, and 1.17, respectively. Moreover, the average reduction of the Gd/Zr ratio in as-deposited coatings
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is 0.02 for GZ-0.75, –0.03 for GZ-1.0, and 0.03 for GZ-1.2, respectively, which is close to the Gd/Zr ratio of the precursors. These results show the realization of non-stoichiometric GZ coatings with a wide Gd/Zr ratio range.

The Vickers hardness values for all three coatings are 8.99 GPa for GZ-0.75, 7.28 GPa for GZ-1.0, and 6.01 GPa for GZ-1.2, respectively (Fig. 3), being higher than or close to the hardness (~6.5) of YSZ [36]. The results show that the coating’s micro-hardness decreases with the increment of Gd content, which is consistent with other non-stoichiometric rare-earth zirconates in Refs. [36–38]. As the strong Zr–O bonds of the corner-shared ZrO(1)6 octahedra network contribute to the structural strength of the pyrochlore phase, the increment of ZrO2 content would increase the coating’s hardness [30,38].

### 3.2 Phases of as-sprayed coatings

The XRD patterns of all three GZ coatings are consistent with the Gd2Zr2O7 (#75-8269, pyrochlore) PDF card (Fig. 4). Here, no impurity peak appears. Besides, all XRD peaks shift to the left side with the increasing of the Gd content. The anti-site position occupation will happen in non-stoichiometric GZs (the Gd excess case or the Zr excess case), which is demonstrated in Ref. [39]. As a result, the lattice constant increases along with the substitution of Gd3+ on Zr4+ in the Gd excess GZ. On the contrary, the decrement of the lattice constant happens when Zr4+ elements substitute for Gd3+ in the Zr excess cases. The above phenomenon can be verified by the composition-dependent lattice parameter (Fig. 5) and the change of main XRD peaks with different Gd/Zr ratios (the inset in Fig. 4).

It is known that the stable pyrochlore structure has a specific range of $r_A/r_B$ from 1.46 to 1.78. So, the substitution of Gd3+ and/or Zr4+ changes such ratio and will further change the phase composition of as-sprayed coatings [39]. However, the pyrochlore phase and the defect fluorite phase have almost the same XRD peaks except for the superstructure peaks at ~36.3° and ~43.6°. Namely, the XRD pattern mainly shows the characteristic of the defect fluorite structure, but it is insufficient to indicate the existence and/or absence of the pyrochlore phase. Raman spectra can discern the phase composition by measuring the cation–anion bonds’ arrangement inside the coatings. The Raman activity peaks are the result of the oxygen-related vibrations, which originate from the octahedral ZrO(1)6 and the Gd4O(2)2 networks. The Raman active vibrations in the pyrochlore phase are described as follows [14,25,40]:

\[
O_{(2)} = T_{2g} 
\]

\[
6O_{(1)} = A_{1g} + E_g + 3T_{2g} 
\]
Because the random arrangements of cations and anions in the fluorite phase lead to the homogenous distribution of chemical bonds, the translational symmetry would lose in such a situation. Thus, the Raman active vibration in the fluorite phase is described as follows:

$$\Gamma_{(R)\text{fluorite}} = T_{2g} \quad (3)$$

where $A_{1g}$, $E_g$, and one of the $T_{2g}$ modes belong to the vibrations of $\text{Zr-O(1)}_6$ octahedra; other three $T_{2g}$ modes belong to the anion–cation bonds like $\text{Zr-O(1)}_6$, $\text{Gd-O(1)}_6$, and $\text{Gd-O(2)}$. The Raman spectra of the as-deposited GZ coatings are plotted in Fig. 6. In the spectral profile of GZ-1.0, 309, 400, and ~580 cm$^{-1}$ peaks corresponding to the pyrochlore phase can be identified. Along with the increment/decrement of the Gd content, the predominance of the fluorite phase starts to appear owing to the element substitution. In the GZ-1.2 case, the peak at 309 cm$^{-1}$ has a hypsochromic shift with a bulge at ~340 cm$^{-1}$. In the GZ-0.75 case, the boundary between 309 and 400 cm$^{-1}$ begins to blur. Moreover, the bulge at around 579 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the pyrochlore phase that still exists in both GZ-0.75 and GZ-1.2 profiles. Therefore, the GZ-1.0 coating can be considered as a pyrochlore phase, and both GZ-0.75 and GZ-1.2 are the mixed-phase of pyrochlore and defect-fluorite.

### 3.3 CMAS resistance

Figure 7(a) shows the cross-sectional morphology (back-scattered electron image) of the GZ-1.0 coating after exposure to molten CMAS for 60 min. On top of the coating, the original columnar structure is totally obliterated, which illustrates a reaction between molten CMAS and GZ. Here, a reaction layer that contains a large number of crystal particles is located beneath the CMAS residue (Figs. 7(b)–7(d)). Based on the XRD analysis (Fig. 8), the particles in the reaction layer can be identified as globular zirconia (PDF #89-9066) and stick-like Gd-apatite (PDF #28-0212). Additionally, as the formation of Gd-apatite depleted Ca and Si, the supersaturation of Al oxides in the residual CMAS lead to the precipitation of anorthite. The above results are in agreement with Refs. [21,41]. Figure 9 shows that the element contents of Ca, Mg, Al, and Si decrease gradually along with the penetration depth. Such element distributions have a clear boundary between the reaction layer and the GZ coating. This result suggests that the existence of the apatite-type solid solution (Fig. 7) restricts the penetration of molten CMAS.

![Fig. 6 Raman spectra of three GZ coatings fabricated by LCVD. Here, P and F are corresponding to the pyrochlore and fluorite phase, respectively.](image)

![Fig. 7 Cross-sectional morphologies of (a) the corroded GZ-1.0, the reaction region of (b) GZ-0.75, (c) GZ-1.0, and (d) GZ-1.2 after exposure to molten CMAS for 60 min. Here, points A to I are the positions for the EDS measurement.](image)

![Fig. 8 XRD patterns of the deposited coating after exposure to CMAS melt for 60 min.](image)
Penetration rates for all corroded coatings are evaluated by comparing the original coating and the remaining coating thickness via EDS element distribution analysis (Fig. 10). Meanwhile, the average penetration rate versus time for all deposited coatings is summarized in Table 2. The CMAS penetration rate of GZ-0.75 is much faster than others. The coating is completely infiltrated by molten CMAS in 30 min. By analyzing the cross-section overview of GZ-0.75 after exposure to molten CMAS for 5 min (Fig. 11), the
poor CMAS resistance is attributed to the insufficient amount of generated Gd-apatite to seal the inter-columnar gap. Such deep penetration greatly increases the contact area of molten CMAS and the coating, which accelerates the dissolution of GZ. As the Gd content increases, the clogging effect of apatite upon the CMAS penetration appears. For GZ-1.0, its coating thickness decreases from 19.5 to 18.5 μm after 10 min, to 16.2 μm after 30 min, and to 13.8 μm after 60 min, respectively. With the further increment of Gd content, the coating thickness of GZ-1.2 changes from 21.0 to 18.8, 17.7, and 15.8 μm after exposure to molten CMAS for 10, 30, and 60 min, respectively. Because of the clogging effect of Gd-apatite, the measured CMAS penetration rate of GZ-1.2 (GZ-1.0) drops from 13.2 μm/h (14.4 μm/h) for 10 min to 5.2 μm/h (7.1 μm/h) for 60 min, respectively. Here, the GZ-1.2 coating with the penetration rate of 5.2 μm/h is better than YSZ (>100 μm, EB-PVD) and La2.5Zr1.5O6.75 (10.4 μm/h, LCVD) [42–44]. This result is also better than that (7.5–15 μm/h) of Gd2.0Zr2.0O7.0 coating fabricated by EB-PVD [34], which indicates that GZ-1.2 has an enhanced CMAS resistance.

As anorthite is the by-product of CMAS degradation after consuming Ca and Si, the existence of anorthite does not affect the corrosion behavior [41]. Thus, only zirconia and apatite are considered in Reaction (4). From Reaction (4), the effects of high Gd content on the coating’s CMAS resistance can be concluded as follows: On one hand, it can be predicted that the amount of Gd-apatite produced in the GZ-1.2 case would be 10% (or 26%) more than that in GZ-1.0 (or GZ-0.75) case, when each one molar of coatings is consumed. The generation of more apatite indicates that the micro-cracks inside the coating would be blocked quicker in the Gd excess case than that in the Zr excess case, and the higher consumption of CMAS would reduce the loss of the coating during the corrosion reaction; on the other hand, the amount of generated ZrO2 would be 10% (or 22%) less than that in GZ-1.0 (or GZ-0.75) case, when each one molar of coatings is consumed. The generation of more apatite indicates that the micro-cracks inside the coating would be blocked quicker in the Gd excess case than that in the Zr excess case, and the higher consumption of CMAS would reduce the loss of the coating during the corrosion reaction; on the other hand, the amount of generated ZrO2 would be 10% (or 22%) less than that in GZ-1.0 (or GZ-0.75). Namely, GZ-1.2 would be less affected by the huge volume variation of ZrO2 during thermal cycling. Thus, GZ with higher Gd content owns an advanced resistance against CMAS corrosion.

### Table 2 Penetration depth/rate comparison of all LCVD coatings

| Coating | Penetration depth (μm)/rate (μm/h) |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
|         | 10 min | 30 min | 60 min |
| GZ-0.75 | 16.1/96.6 | Complete penetration |
| GZ-1.0  | 2.4/14.4 | 4.7/9.4 | 7.1/7.1 |
| GZ-1.2  | 2.2/13.2 | 3.3/6.6 | 5.2/5.2 |

### Table 3 Average chemical compositions (at%) in different positions of Figs. 7(b)–7(d) detected by EDS

| Element | Gd  | Zr  | Ca  | Si  |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A       | 43.89 | 0  | 15.82 | 40.29 |
| B       | 42.32 | 0  | 20.75 | 36.93 |
| C       | 0    | 93.84 | 6.16 | 0   |
| D       | 45.39 | 0  | 16.15 | 38.46 |
| E       | 43.26 | 0  | 16.52 | 40.22 |
| F       | 0    | 92.76 | 7.24 | 0   |
| G       | 45.39 | 0  | 16.15 | 38.46 |
| H       | 43.26 | 0  | 16.52 | 40.22 |
| I       | 0    | 98.21 | 1.79 | 0   |

### 4 Conclusions

Three GZ coatings with different Gd/Zr ratios are deposited onto Al2O3 substrate via LCVD, and their CMAS corrosion resistance at 1250 °C is investigated.
The result shows that the GZ-0.75 coating cannot generate enough Gd-apatite to restrict the CMAS penetration through the inter-columnar gap and exhibits a poor CMAS resistance. With the increment of Gd content, the effect of Gd-apatite on restricting CMAS penetration appears. The penetration rate of GZ-1.2 decreases to 5.2 μm/h, which is 27% lower than that of GZ-1.0. Such a low penetration rate is attributed to the amount of generated Gd-apatite during the corrosion reaction. More apatite solid solution accelerates the formation of the sealing layer and restricts the CMAS penetration more efficiently. Meanwhile, a lower amount of ZrO2 generation would reduce the influence of the huge volume variation during thermal cycling. Thus, GZ-1.2 with higher Gd content has an improved CMAS resistance. This work uncovers the important role of the Gd element on the corrosion resistance of GZ coatings and is expected to guide the future development of GZ coatings with enhanced CMAS resistance.
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