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ABSTRACT Legionella pneumophila, the causative agent of Legionnaires’ disease, uses the Dot/Icm type IV secretion system (T4SS) to translocate more than 300 effectors into host cells, where they subvert host cell signaling. The function and host cell targets of most effectors remain unknown. PieE is a 69-kDa Dot/Icm effector containing three coiled-coil (CC) regions and 2 transmembrane (TM) helices followed by a fourth CC region. Here, we report that PieE dimerized by an interaction between CC3 and CC4. We found that ectopically expressed PieE localized to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and induced the formation of organized smooth ER, while following infection PieE localized to the Legionella-containing vacuole (LCV). To identify the physiological targets of PieE during infection, we established a new purification method for which we created an A549 cell line stably expressing the Escherichia coli biotin ligase BirA and infected the cells with L. pneumophila expressing PieE fused to a BirA-specific biotinylation site and a hexahistidine tag. Following tandem Ni²⁺ nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) and streptavidin affinity chromatography, the effector-target complexes were analyzed by mass spectrometry. This revealed interactions of PieE with multiple host cell proteins, including the Rab GTPases, which was validated by yeast two-hybrid binding assays, was mediated by the PieE CC1 and CC2. In summary, using a novel, highly specific strategy to purify effector complexes from infected cells, which is widely applicable to other pathogens, we identified PieE as a multidomain LCV protein with promiscuous Rab GTPase-binding capacity.

IMPORTANCE The respiratory pathogen Legionella pneumophila uses the Dot/Icm type IV secretion system to translocate more than 300 effector proteins into host cells. The function of most effectors in infection remains unknown. One of the bottlenecks for their characterization is the identification of target proteins. Frequently used in vitro approaches are not applicable to all effectors and suffer from high rates of false positives or missed interactions, as they are not performed in the context of an infection. Here, we determine key functional domains of the effector PieE and describe a new method to identify host cell targets under physiological infection conditions. Our approach, which is applicable to other pathogens, uncovered the interaction of PieE with several proteins involved in membrane trafficking, in particular Rab GTPases, revealing new details of the Legionella infection strategy and demonstrating the potential of this method to greatly advance our understanding of the molecular basis of infection.

Legionella pneumophila is a facultative intracellular pathogen, which infects protozoa and alveolar macrophages. Human infection can lead to a severe pneumonia, called Legionnaires’ disease. Survival and replication of L. pneumophila in host cells rely on the ability to avoid degradation by the endolysosomal pathway (2). Instead, the bacteria remodel the phagosome into an endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-like, replication-permissive compartment, the Legionella-containing vacuole (LCV) (3, 4). Establishment of the LCV depends on the Dot (defective in organelle trafficking)/Icm (intracellular multiplication) type IV secretion system (T4SS), which translocates more than 300 effector proteins into host cells (5–8).

Dot/Icm effectors have been implicated in modulation of immune signaling, transcription, translation, and vesicular trafficking (9–11). Seminal work on the manipulation of the small GTPase Rab1 by seven effectors, SidM/DrrA, SidD, LepB, AnkX, Lem3, SidC, and LidA, revealed new enzymatic activities and highlighted the level of control that a pathogen can exert
over a host protein (reviewed in references 12 and 13). However, to date, activities or interaction partners have been described only for about 10% of the Dot/Icm effectors.

Studying the function of the Dot/Icm effectors is challenging due to their large number and functional redundancy. Conventional strategies to analyze the effect of single and multiple effector deletions on global phenotypes such as intracellular replication have remained largely unsuccessful. Instead, phenotypic analysis of single effectors expressed in host cells or heterologous systems such as yeast and approaches to identify their interaction partners are often the first choice. However, in vitro methods to identify interaction partners suffer from high rates of false positives and missed targets as they are performed out of the context of infection, in which numerous effectors disturb cellular homeostasis, resulting in the formation of noncanonical protein complexes and new and unique microenvironments such as the LCV.

The effector PieE, a 69-kDa protein, is conserved among \textit{L. pneumophila} isolates and has two paralogs, PpeA/LegC3 and PpeB (14, 15). PieE deletion alone or with its paralogs did not affect intracellular replication, suggesting that it, together with effectors with redundant function, targets an important cellular process (14). In vitro experiments implicated PpeA in the manipulation of phagosome maturation (13); however, the host cell targets of PieE and its paralogs are still unknown. The aim of this study was to define the functional domains of PieE and, using a new tandem affinity (TA) purification procedure, to determine the PieE interactome under physiological infection conditions.

\section*{RESULTS}

\textbf{PieE is an integral LCV membrane protein exposing its Nt and Ct to the cytoplasm.} Bioinformatic analysis of PieE predicts that it contains three coiled-coil (CC) regions upstream and one CC region downstream of two transmembrane (TM) helices (Fig. 1A). To test the domain prediction and localization of translocated PieE, A549 epithelial cells or THP-1 macrophages were infected with wild-type (WT) or \textit{ΔdotA} (T4SS mutant) \textit{L. pneumophila} 130b expressing PieE or PieEΔTM, lacking the putting TM helices, fused to four hemagglutinin (HA) tags. Immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy showed that PieE could be detected in infected cells as early as 1 h postinfection, and from 5 h, PieE surrounded most of the WT, but not the \textit{ΔdotA}, bacteria (Fig. 1B; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), indicating that PieE localizes to the LCV. This localization required the TM helices, as HA1-PieEΔTM displayed a diffuse cytosolic distribution (Fig. 1B).

We next determined the topology of PieE in the LCV membrane. Infected cells were subjected to selective permeabilization with Triton X-100 or digitonin before immunostaining. Triton X-100 permeabilizes all cellular membranes and makes intracellular bacteria accessible for \textit{Legionella} immunostaining (Fig. 1C, upper panels). In contrast, digitonin does not permeabilize the ER and the LCV (16), preventing immunostaining of bacteria in the LCV (Fig. 1C, lower panels). Importantly, in digitonin-permeabilized cells, the HA tag fused to the N terminus of PieE could be detected by IF microscopy (Fig. 1C, lower panels), demonstrating that it faces the cytosol. Together, the results indicate that the two predicted TM helices of PieE mediate its localization to the LCV membrane and that the N and C termini (Nt and Ct, respectively), containing the putative CC regions (CC1 to -4), are exposed to the cytosol (Fig. 1D).

\textbf{PieE induces formation of regular arrays of stacked ER membranes.} The lack of a replication defect of the \textit{L. pneumophila \textit{ΔpieE} mutant (15)} suggests that effectors with redundant function exist. We therefore investigated the functions of PieE and its predicted regions by transfection. In A549 (Fig. 2A) and HeLa cells (see Fig. S2A in the supplemental material), PieE localized to elongated, perinuclear structures. Deletion of the TM helices led to a diffuse cytoplasmic localization, suggesting that the PieE structures are formed of membranes. Deletion of each of the CC regions individually affected the morphology of the PieE-containing structures (Fig. 2A; see also Fig. S2A).

Coimanting of PieE with markers of cellular membranes showed that calnexin, an integral protein of the ER, was partially redistributed into the PieE structures (Fig. 2B; also see Fig. S2B in the supplemental material), indicating that PieE aggregated or fused ER membranes. Furthermore, the rearrangement of the ER was accompanied by disruption of the Golgi apparatus (see Fig. S3A and B) and inhibition of trafficking of secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) via the secretory pathway (Fig. S3C), showing that PieE interferes with the function of this pathway.

To determine the nature of the PieE-induced ER rearrangements, transfected HeLa cells were analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In contrast to green fluorescent protein (GFP)-transfected control cells, which displayed normal ER ultrastructure, a significant subset of PieE-transfected cells contained striking accumulations of stacked membrane tubules in both longitudinal and transverse orientations (Fig. 3). The membranes of these tubules appeared to be tethered to one another at regular intervals. These coalesced tubules were continuous with both nuclear envelope (NE) and singular ER extensions. Cryo-immunoelectron microscopy confirmed that PieE and the ER marker protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) localized to the membrane arrays (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material).

Similar ER structures were previously referred to as organized smooth ER (OSER) (17), which can be triggered by antiparallel dimerization of cytoplasmic domains of ER membrane proteins. We therefore investigated if PieE dimerizes by intermolecular CC region interactions using direct yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assays. Coexpression of PieE fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (DNA-BD) and the GAL4 transcription activation domain (GAL4 AD) rescued growth of yeast, showing that PieE can dimerize (Fig. 4A). Analysis of the role of PieE subdomains revealed that the N terminus (Nt) and C terminus (Ct), which contains CC4, interact (Fig. 4B). This conclusion is further supported by the observation that recombinant PieE Nt and Ct copurify as a complex. To validate an interaction between the N and C-terminal domains of PieE, we coexpressed a nontagged N-terminal domain construct (residues 1 to 426) with a His-tagged C-terminal construct (residues 476 to 555). Both the N- and C-terminal domains were pulled down after Ni-affinity chromatography, confirming a tight association between the two. Further analysis with size exclusion chromatography coupled with multianlge light scattering (SEC-MALS) revealed a 1:1 stoichiometry and, as expected, a molecular mass of 62 kDa of the complex (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). Mapping of the interacting regions implicated CC3 and CC4 but not CC1 or CC2 (Fig. 4B). Taken together, these data suggest that the different CC regions of PieE reorganize the reticular ER into regular, densely packed, membrane arrays and cause malfunction of the secretory pathway.
The **PieE in vivo interactome includes important regulators of membrane trafficking.** To further dissect the function of PieE, we aimed to identify its host cell target proteins. However, as translocated PieE is a membrane protein found exclusively on the LCV, it is not amenable to conventional *in vitro* binding assays. We therefore developed a new procedure for the isolation of binding partners from infected cells (scheme in Fig. 5). We created a plasmid for the expression of effectors with a His$_6$-Bio TA purification tag, consisting of a His$_6$ tag and a biotinylation site specific for the BirA biotin ligase from *Escherichia coli* (18, 19), and an
A549 cell line stably expressing GFP-BirA. These cells were infected with *Legionella* 130b expressing His6-Bio-PieE or His6-Bio-LtpC, an unrelated effector (20), or 130b containing the empty vector as controls. Proteins were cross-linked in vivo with formaldehyde to preserve interactions, complexes containing the tagged effectors were purified under denaturing conditions by sequential Ni2+/H11001 nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) and streptavidin affinity chromatography, and the isolated proteins were identified by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

Analysis of the MS data using a *L. pneumophila* database revealed 34 unique PieE peptides (66.8% coverage) but no other *Legionella* proteins. Data analysis using the human IPI proteome database revealed, after elimination of hits also found in the control samples, 20 proteins which were specific for PieE (Table 1). Strikingly, several small GTPases, Rab1a, -1b, -2a, -5c, -6a, -7a, and -10 and Arf1/Arf3, were identified. Rab1a, -1b, -2a, and -6a and Arf1 are involved in vesicular transport between the ER and the Golgi apparatus, which is intercepted by *Legionella* to form the LCV (3) and is modulated by ectopically expressed PieE (Fig. 2).

PieE directly interacts with several Rab GTPases via CC1 and CC2. The TA purification technique does not discriminate between direct and indirect protein interactors. We therefore probed the interactions between Rab GTPases and PieE by Y2H assay. Coexpression of PieE with Rab1a, -1b, -2a, -5c, -6a, or -7, but not any of the proteins alone, restored growth of yeast on selective medium (Fig. 6, upper panel), indicating direct interactions. All the Rab GTPases, including Rab10, bound PieE Nt. Mapping of the Rab GTPase-binding region revealed a requirement of CC1 and CC2 but not CC3 for the interactions of all tested Rab GTPases with PieE Nt (Fig. 6, lower panel). These results validate the new TA purification method as a straightforward way to identify genuine effector targets during infection and demonstrate that CC1 and CC2 of PieE constitute a promiscuous Rab GTPase-binding site at the LCV.

FIG 2 The CC regions of PieE induce reorganization of ER membranes. Myc-PieE was expressed in A549 cells, and its localization was analyzed by IF microscopy. (A) PieE localized to large, perinuclear structures, whereas PieEΔTM showed diffuse cytosolic localization. Upon deletion of individual CC regions (ΔCC1, -2, -3, or -4), PieE localized in each case to morphologically distinct structures. (B) Costaining showed that Myc-PieE redistributes and partially colocalizes with the ER marker calnexin. Bars, 10 μm.
DISCUSSION

The identification of functional domains and interaction partners is fundamental to understanding the role of proteins in cellular signaling. This is particularly challenging for translocated bacterial effectors, because infection often leads to substantial changes of the cellular proteome and, in the case of intravacuolar pathogens, formation of new organelles, which cannot be mimicked in vitro.

Here, we characterized the domains of the *L. pneumophila* effector PieE and used it as proof of principle to establish a new procedure to determine effector interactomes from infected cells. We uncovered that PieE localizes to the LCV and upon ectopic expression induces OSER by seemingly tethering tubules of ER together. Like other OSER-inducing proteins (17), PieE has the capacity to dimerize, which seems to be the main, but not exclusive, driver of ER remodeling. GFP-PieE was previously suggested to localize to the ER; however, ER rearrangements were not observed (15). As OSER formation also depends on rotational freedom of the dimerizing domains (17), this phenotypic difference is most likely due to steric hindrance inflicted by the GFP tag. In infection, membrane tethering by PieE could contribute to the flattening of vesicles around the LCV (25). Alternatively, PieE could facilitate the connection and fusion of LCVs in a superinfected cell, preventing biogenesis of several, individual LCVs.

Importantly, in order to gain insight in the function of PieE during infection, we engineered a novel TA purification method employing His6 tag/Ni2+/H11001-NTA and biotin/streptavidin purification steps, which, in combination with chemical cross-linking, allowed the isolation of protein complexes under highly stringent conditions. The ubiquitinated proteome of yeast and mammalian cells was previously analyzed by a similar strategy (19); however, this system used a 75-amino-acid biotinylation site from a *Propionibacterium shermanii* transcarboxylase, which is modified by various biotin ligases. By using the 15-residue BirA-specific biotinylation site (18) and providing BirA in the host cell, we minimized the length of the tag and included an additional level of specificity, as background due to effector remaining in bacteria is minimized.

Our method overcomes several limitations of *in vitro* approaches such as Y2H assays. As evidenced by PieE, which is a large membrane protein and insoluble when expressed in *E. coli* (data not shown), the expression of the bait effector in its natural background rather than heterologous systems reduces problems, such as cytotoxicity and poor solubility or stability, which obstruct the characterization of many effectors. Most importantly, our method avoids high rates of false positives or missed interactions which compromise *in vitro* approaches, as they do not account for the unique environment encountered in an infected cell. During infection, the global proteome of the host cell is altered as part of the host defense response but also due to the action of numerous effectors (9, 11, 26, 27). Organelles are also altered during infection, and although the LCV has ER-like characteristics, it was demonstrated that the LCV has a unique proteome (28, 29). Finally, as demonstrated for LubX and SidH (30), effectors can target each other instead of or in addition to host cell proteins.

Importantly, we found several Rab GTPases involved in vesicle traffic between the ER and the Golgi apparatus (Rab1a, -1b, -2a, and -6a) and in the endosomal system (-5c, -7a, and -10) in the PieE interactome. Although earlier studies indicated that Rab2, -5, -6, and -10 are not recruited to the LCV, they were recently found in the LCV proteome (28), confirming that they cocompartmentalize with PieE.

The cross-linking step of the TA method potentially allows the isolation of secondary interactors which do not directly bind the effector and stabilizes weak interactions. Using Y2H, we confirmed that all interactions of PieE with the isolated Rab GTPases were direct. This validated PieE as the first Dot/Icm effector which interacts with Rab2, -5, -7, and -10 during infection. However, a
yeast β-galactosidase assay indicated that the interaction between PieE and the Rab GTPases might be weak (data not shown). By demonstrating that these interactions were mediated by CC1 and CC2, we defined a Rab GTPase-binding region in PieE (Fig. 7B). Recently, the crystal structure of the N-terminal domain of PpeA was reported (31). Although the homology of PieE and PpeA is too low for high-confidence modeling, overlay of the CC regions of PieE (A) or the indicated PieE mutants (B and C) fused to GAL4 DNA-BD (bait) and the indicated PieE variants fused to GAL4 AD (prey), and growth on selective medium was monitored. FL, full length; Nt, N-terminal domain. PieE dimerizes in a CC3- and CC4-dependent manner.

FIG 4 PieE dimerizes in a CC3- and CC4-dependent manner. Saccharomyces cerevisiae AH109 was cotransformed with plasmids allowing the coexpression of PieE (A) or the indicated PieE mutants (B and C) fused to GAL4 DNA-BD (bait) and the indicated PieE variants fused to GAL4 AD (prey), and growth on selective medium was monitored. FL, full length; Nt, N-terminal domain; C1, C-terminal domain; PieE Nt ΔCC1, -2, -3, or -4, PieE N-terminal domain lacking the indicated CC region.

Previously shown to bind Rab1; RalF binds Arf1 and LidA binds several Rab GTPases (Rab1, -6a, and -8a) with very high affinity (13, 32, 33). We did not detect any of these effectors in the PieE complexes, suggesting that the interactions do not occur simultaneously or that the secondary interaction partners fall below the MS detection level.

Although PieE does not share structural similarity with LidA, both interact with several Rab GTPases. As PieE tethered rather than fused tubules of the ER, PieE might act, as proposed for LidA, as a tethering factor. In infection, PieE could promiscuously tether Rab GTPases and vesicles (Fig. 7B) or promote selective binding of some Rabs and repel or strip off others from vesicles, preventing fusion of undesired vesicles with the LCV. The observations that PpeA seems to interfere with endolysosome fusion (14) and trans-SNARE complex formation in vitro (34) might point to a vesicle filtering role for the PieE effector family.

Future work will uncover the role of the promiscuous interaction of PieE with Rab GTPases and the contribution of other proteins of the PieE interactome during Legionella infection. Importantly, the identification and validation of PieE interaction partners with proven relevance for Legionella infection demonstrate the power of our new TA purification method, which is widely applicable to other pathogens, host cells, or genetically tractable organisms, and show its potential to advance our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria, yeast, and eukaryotic cells. The culture of bacteria as well as maintenance and infection of eukaryotic cells was performed as described previously (16, 20). The strains used in this study are listed in Table S1A in the supplemental material. Transfection of HeLa or A549 cells with mammalian expression plasmids was performed using GeneJuice (Novagen) or Xfect (Clontech), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The A549 cell line stably expressing GFP-BirA was obtained by transfection with the pEGFP-BirA (pICCI394) plasmid, selection with 0.8 mg/ml G418 (Invitrogen), and subcloning. All yeast work was performed according to the Clontech yeast protocol handbook. For the Y2H spotter, overnight yeast cultures were adjusted to the same optical density at 600 nm (OD600) by addition of fresh growth medium and 10 μl per sample was spotted on yeast solid medium.

Plasmid construction. Plasmids were constructed using standard molecular biology techniques with the primers and restriction enzymes described in Table S1A in the supplemental material. All the PieE constructs contained the PieE sequence from L. pneumophila 130b (ATCC BAA-74). Bioinformatic identification of PieE domains and motifs was carried out using SMART (35). Human Rab1a (hRab1a) was cloned using pCMVSPORT6_hRab1a (MHS1010-57470) from Open Biosystems as the template, whereas the mouse Rabs (mRab1b, -2a, -5c, -6a, -7, and -10) were cloned using pSPORT6_hRab1a (MHS1010-57470) from Open Biosystems as the template, whereas the mouse Rabs (mRab1b, -2a, -5c, -6a, -7, and -10) were cloned using pENTR_Rabs as the template. The birA gene was amplified from the E. coli strain EDL933. The sequence identity and correct orientation of all inserts were verified by DNA sequencing.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. Cells on coverslips were fixed for 15 min with 4% formaldehyde, residual formaldehyde was quenched with 0.1 M glycine, and cells were permeabilized for 10 min at room temperature with 0.1% Triton X-100 or for 5 min at 4°C with 55 μl/mg digitonin. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) washes were carried out after each of these steps. After blocking in 5% fetal bovine serum, cells were sequentially incubated with primary and secondary antibodies diluted in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Coverslips were mounted in ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen) and analyzed using an Axio Observer.Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss). Images were acquired and deconvoluted using AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss). The following primary antibodies were used at the indicated dilutions: mouse anti-HA tag (Covance MMS-101P;
1/500), mouse anti-Myc tag (Millipore 05-724; 1/500), rabbit anti-
*L. pneumophila* (Affinity BioReagents PA1-7227; 1/800), rabbit antical-
nexin (Stressgen SPA-860F; 1/100), and rabbit antigiantin (Abcam
ab24586; 1/500). Secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson Im-
munoResearch (1/200). Cellular and bacterial DNA was counterstained
with Hoechst 33342.

**Transmission electron microscopy.** HeLa cells were washed 3 times
with PBS and cooled on ice before fixation with 0.5% glutaraldehyde

![Scheme of the new TA purification method to determine the *in vivo* interactomes of effectors.](image)

TABLE 1 Host proteins specifically identified in the PieE sample after TA purification in

| Protein     | UniProt identifier | No. of unique peptides | Sequence coverage (%) | Biological function                                                                 |
|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SQSTM1/p62 | Q13501             | 11                     | 43                    | Regulation of various cell death and survival signaling pathways including selective autophagy |
| PARP4       | Q53GL4             | 8                      | 15                    | Stabilization of mRNA and regulation of protein translation                          |
| RBM14/RBM4* | Q96PK6             | 8/2                    | 15/8                  | Modulation of transcription                                                          |
| Rab1b       | Q6FG4              | 3                      | 16                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |
| NMP1        | Q9B7I9             | 3                      | 14                    | Roles in ribosome biogenesis, centrosome duplication, protein chaperoning, histone assembly, cell proliferation, and tumorigenesis |
| ATXN2L      | Q8WWM7             | 4                      | 6                     | Regulation of stress granules and processing bodies                                  |
| Rab10       | Q9U128             | 3                      | 21                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |
| Calnexin    | B4DG8             | 3                      | 6                     | ER-associated protein chaperone                                                     |
| Rab2a       | P6i019             | 3                      | 20                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |
| Rab1a       | Q5U06             | 2                      | 16                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |
| Arfl or 3*  | P84077/P61204      | 2                      | 14                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |
| SCAMP3      | Q6FHFJ5            | 2                      | 8                     | Carrier protein in post-Golgi vesicular recycling pathways                           |
| EIF5A       | P63241             | 2                      | 16                    | mRNA-binding protein involved in translation elongation                              |
| Rab7a       | P51149             | 3                      | 15                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |
| TRIM4       | Q9C037             | 3                      | 7                     | Protein of unknown function                                                         |
| EIF4H       | Q15056             | 2                      | 11                    | Translation initiation factor involved in the initiation of protein synthesis        |
| ZC3HAV1     | Q7Z2W4             | 3                      | 3                     | Induction of degradation of the viral mRNAs                                          |
| Rab5c       | P51148             | 2                      | 12                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |
| TFG         | Q8T7D5             | 2                      | 3                     | Regulation of protein secretion at ER exit sites                                     |
| Rab6a       | P20340             | 2                      | 11                    | Regulation of vesicular traffic                                                      |

*Read-through transcription naturally occurs between the RBM14 and RBM4 genes. Both RBM14 and RBM4 were identified.*

*Two peptides were identified that are both found in Arfl and Arfl3 and therefore do not allow discrimination.*

*Order based on Mascot Percolator protein hit rank (PHR).*
In 200 mM sodium cacodylate (TAAB) for 5 min on ice and then at room temperature for a further 25 min. The cells were washed with 200 mM sodium cacodylate before post-fixation in 1% osmium tetroxide-1.5% potassium ferrocyanide for 1 h. The cells were washed in double-distilled water (ddH₂O) and stained overnight at 4°C with 0.5% uranyl acetate. The cells were washed with ddH₂O before serial dehydration in graded ethanol. The cell monolayers were embedded flat in Epon 812 resin. Ultrathin sections (~70 nm) were cut parallel to the surface of the dish, collected onto Formvar-coated 50-mesh EM grids, and stained for 30 s with Reynolds’ lead citrate before imaging.

Immunoelectron microscopy. Cells were fixed in 250 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.4, containing 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde for 10 min on ice and then at room temperature for a further 20 min. The cells were scraped from the dishes, pelleted by centrifugation, and fixed for a further 20 min in 250 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.4, containing 8% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde. Cell pellets were washed in PBS, frozen in 2.1 M sucrose-PBS, and stored under liquid nitrogen. Cryosections were prepared by the Tokuyasu method (36). Myc-PieE was detected using mouse monoclonal anti-Myc primary antibody (Millipore) diluted 1:50 in 5% fetal calf serum (FCS)-PBS, and ER was detected using mouse monoclonal anti-PDI antibody (a gift from M. Hollinshead, Section of Virology, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom). The mouse monoclonal antibodies were detected using rabbit anti-mouse antibody (diluted 1:50) and either 9-nm (Myc) or 6-nm (PDI) protein A-gold conjugates (gifted by M. Hollinshead).

Cryosections were also collected onto Xtra Adhesive glass microscopy slides (Leica) and immunofluorescently labeled for confocal microscopic analysis. Myc-PieE was detected using mouse monoclonal anti-Myc primary antibody diluted 1:500, and ER was detected using donkey anti-mouse Dylight 488 (Jackson ImmunoResearch), and nuclei were stained with DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole).

Transmission electron microscopy and confocal microscopy were performed at the Henry Wellcome Imaging Centre, Division of Infectious Diseases, St. Mary’s Hospital Campus, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom. TEM samples were viewed by using an FEI Tecnai G2 electron microscope with a Soft Imaging System Megaview III charge-coupled device camera. Images were collected at 1,376 by 1,032 by 16 pixels using AnalySIS version Docu software (Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions). Immunofluorescence images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope and processed using Adobe Photoshop (CS4).

**FIG 6** PieE contains a promiscuous Rab GTPase-binding domain. Direct Y2H assays using the indicated PieE bait and Rab GTPase prey plasmids showed that PieE directly interacts with the Rab GTPases 1a, 1b, 2a, 5c, 6a, 7, and 10 in a CC1- and CC2-dependent manner. hRab, human Rab GTPase; mRab, mouse Rab GTPase.

**FIG 7** Models for the functional roles of the CC regions of PieE. (A) Two molecules of PieE in opposing membranes dimerize via CC3- and CC4-mediated interactions, stitching membranes together and facilitating intimate membrane contacts. (B) CC1 and CC2 of PieE mediate the interaction with Rab GTPases, controlling tethering and selective recruitment of vesicles to the LCV.
WT carrying the pICC1545 plasmid coding for His$_6$-Bio-PieE. The pICC1546 plasmid coding for His$_6$-Bio-LtpC or the empty vector pICC1544 was used as a control. The cell medium was supplemented with 6 μg/ml chloramphenicol, 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside), and 4 μM d-biotin throughout the infection and was replaced after 2 h of infection. At the end of infection, cells were washed 3 times in PBS and protein complexes were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 30 min at 37°C. Cross-linking was quenched with 125 mM glycine for at least 5 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed, and dry dishes were stored at −80°C. Infections were carried out with batches of 7 dishes per condition and repeated 6 times until 42 dishes per condition were obtained. Purification of protein complexes was performed at room temperature under denaturing conditions (adapted from the method in reference 19). Cells were scraped in lysis buffer (6 M guanidinium-HCl, 0.1 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$-NaH$_2$PO$_4$ [pH 8], 300 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100; pH 8; 5 ml per dish) and disrupted with one passage through an EmulsiFlex-B15 cell disruptor at 30,000 lb/in$^2$. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation for 20 min at 17,000 × g, and supernatants were incubated overnight with 1 ml of Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen). The columns were then washed twice with wash buffer 1 (8 M urea, 0.1 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$-NaH$_2$PO$_4$ [pH 8], 300 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100; 20 mM imidazole; pH 8) and 5 times with wash buffer 2 (8 M urea, 0.1 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$-NaH$_2$PO$_4$ [pH 6.3], 300 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM imidazole; pH 6.3). The purified His$_6$-tagged proteins were then eluted with elution buffer N (8 M urea, 0.1 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$-NaH$_2$PO$_4$ [pH 4.5], 300 mM NaCl, 0.2% SDS, 250 mM imidazole; pH 4.5; 5 ml per column). The eluates were adjusted to pH 7.5 prior to incubation with 100-μl high-capacity streptavidin agarose (Pierce). The resin was sequentially washed 4 times with wash buffer 3 (8 M urea, 0.1 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$-NaH$_2$PO$_4$ [pH 7.5], 1 M NaCl, 0.2% SDS; pH 7.5) and once with wash buffer 4 (8 M urea, 0.1 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$-NaH$_2$PO$_4$ [pH 7.5], 1 M NaCl; pH 7.5).

For the LC-MS/MS analysis, the proteins on the streptavidin resin were reduced with 400 μl of 5 M Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP; Sigma) and alkylated with 10 M iodoacetamide (IAA; Sigma). Lys-C (1.5 μg; Roche) was added and incubated overnight at 25°C, and then 2.0 μg trypsin (Promega) was added to further digest for 6 h at 35°C and the supernatant was collected. Formic acid (FA) was added to the supernatant to a final concentration at 1% and 50 μl of 25% acetonitrile-0.1% FA to further extract peptides on the beads. The two eluted solutions were pooled and dried down in a SpeedVac (Thermo). The peptides were reconstituted with 0.1% FA-H$_2$O prior to mass spectrometric analysis.

The samples were analyzed with online nano-LC-MS/MS on an Ultimate 3000 capillary/nano-high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Dionex) coupled to an LTQ Orbitrap Velos hybrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher) equipped with a nanospray source. Samples were first loaded and desalted on a PepMap C$_{18}$ trap (0.3 mm inside diameter [i.d.]) by 5 mm; 5 μm; Dionex), and then peptides were separated on a 75-μm-i.d. by 10-cm BEH (ethylene bridged hybrid) C$_{18}$ column (1.7 μm; Waters) over a 60-min linear gradient of 4 to 32% CH$_3$CN-0.1% FA at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer was operated in the “top 10” charge injection device (CID) data-dependent acquisition mode where the MS survey scan in the Orbitrap was mz 2380 to 160 with the lock mass at 445.12005 at a resolution of 60,000 at mz 400. The automatic gain control (AGC) setting for Orbitrap is 1 × 10$^6$ with maximum injection time at 100 ms, and the MS/MS in the ion trap is 5,000 and 300 ms.

The raw files were processed with Proteome Discoverer 1.3 using the Mascot search engine (v2.3; Matrix Science) with the following parameters: trypsin/P with maximum 2 missed cleavage sites, peptide mass tolerance setting at first search of 10 ppm, and MS/MS fragment mass tolerance at 0.49 Da. Fixed modification for carbamidomethyl and variable modifications for acetyl (Protein N-term), deamidated (NQ), and oxidation (M) were used. The protein databases were combined with human IPI and Legionella pneumophila 130b.

The Mascot result files were further processed with in-house Mascot Percolator (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/software/mascotpercolator/). The resultant proteins were filtered with a pairwise error probability (PEP) value of ≤0.01, which is equivalent to false discovery rates (FDRs) of less than 1%. Proteins with at least two unique peptides were reported (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). To obtain the list of proteins specifically isolated in the PieE complexes, proteins also identified in the Mascot Percolator results for either of the 2 control samples were first eliminated. In a second step, the data were also analyzed using MaxQuant (http://www.maxquant.org/) and proteins not identified with MaxQuant were removed from the list. The PRIDE partner repository (ProteomeXchange Consortium; http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) accession numbers for the mass spectrometry proteomics data reported in this paper are PXD000706 and doi:10.6019/PXD000706.
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