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The photovoltaic performances of DSCs employing two new iron(II) N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) sensitizers are presented. The presence of n-butyl side chains had a significant impact on DSC performance. The improvement in DSC performance up to 0.93–0.95% was observed for a new heteroleptic sensitizer bearing one carboxylic acid anchoring group. The photovoltaic performance was remarkably affected by sensitization time and by a presence/absence of coadsorbent on the semiconductor surface. The highest photoconversion efficiencies (PCE) were achieved for DSCs sensitized over 17.5 hours without addition of coadsorbents. However, for a shorter dipping time of 4 hours, the presence of chenodeoxycholic acid improved the PCE from 0.46% (no coadsorbents) to 0.74%, respectively. The performance of DSCs based on a new homoleptic complex bearing two n-butyl side chains and a carboxylic acid anchor on each NHC-ligand was improved from 0.05 to 0.29% via changes in dye-bath concentration and sensitization time. The changes in the dye load on the semiconductor surface depending on the sensitization conditions were confirmed using solid-state UV-Vis spectroscopy and thermogravimetric analysis. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was used to gain information about the processes occurring at the different interfaces in the DSCs. The impedance response was strongly affected by the immersion time of the photoanodes in the dye-bath solutions. In the case of the homoleptic iron(II) complex, a Gerischer impedance was observed after 17.5 hours immersion. Shorter dipping times resulted in a decrease in the resistance in the system. For the heteroleptic complex, values of the chemical capacitance and electron lifetime were affected by the immersion time. However, the diffusion length was independent of sensitization conditions.

Introduction

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) that released its 6th Assessment Report on the Physical Science Basis for climate change on August 2021, the man-made contribution to global warming resulted in numerous extreme incidents including tropical cyclones, heavy precipitation, heatwaves and droughts. Moreover, recent studies revealed that the rapid ice-mass loss is associated with horizontal motions of the Earth’s crust. These fast changes are happening in the ocean, atmosphere and biosphere and will continue unless a reduction of greenhouse gases occurs. To cut carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, fossil fuels have to be replaced with carbon neutral and green energy sources. Solar-to-electrical energy conversion has a crucial role in sustainable energy production. Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs) offer a low-cost alternative to well-known photovoltaics based on crystalline silicon. A typical n-type DSC consists of two electrodes, coated with conducting layers. A key part of a DSC is the photoanode (working electrode) which comprises an n-type semiconductor (commonly TiO₂) deposited on a substrate (usually FTO-coated glass). A photosensitizer is adsorbed on the surface and provides an electron injection into the conduction band (CB) of a semiconductor under irradiation. The dye (or sensitizer) is chosen to provide a broad absorption in the visible region of the solar spectrum and to have a long-lived metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) excited state. Commonly used Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes fulfill these requirements and offer photoconversion efficiencies (PCE) up to ≈12%. However, ruthenium suffers from being scarce and,
therefore, expensive. Hence, Earth abundant metal complexes have become of great significance for DSC applications.

Despite the low performance of first bis(2,2′-bipyridine)-iron(n) derivative as a sensitizer in DSCs, it was shown that iron-based dyes could, in principle, be used for photovoltaic applications. The limiting feature of iron(n) polypyridyl complexes lies in their inefficient electron injection into the CB of the semiconductor. This is the result of fast deactivation from an MLCT to metal-centred (MC) state.7 In 2013, the first iron(n) N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) complex with an extended 3MLCT state lifetime of 9 ps was published by Wärnmark and co-workers, and DSCs sensitized with complex 1 (Fig. 1) gave 0.13% PCE.7b This breakthrough resulted in optimization studies of DSCs sensitized with 1.8 In 2018, it was shown that the PCE could be improved from 0.13 to 0.57% (9.3% relative to N719 set at 100%) by optimization of electrolyte composition.9 Further electrolyte optimizations10,11 were done in combination with the application of the blocking underlayer on the photoanodes, which resulted in a PCE of near 1%.12 Gros and co-workers published a series of heteroleptic iron(n) NHC complexes bearing a carboxylic acid functionality on one ligand.13,14 The push–pull strategy proved to be beneficial for a heteroleptic analogue of 1 with a PCE up to 1.27%. However, the introduction of phenyl and thienyl spacers to the anchoring ligand decreased the DSCs performance to 0.81 and 0.95%, respectively, in comparison to the analogous dye without spacers. It is important to note that the investigations were performed in the presence of a blocking layer under the semiconductor and in the presence of Mg2+ ions and tetrabutylammonium iodide in the electrolyte.12 Moreover, it has been shown that an iron(n) NHC complex featuring a (porphyrinato)zinc(n) conjugate stabilizes the 3MLCT state and increases its lifetime to 160 ps.15

One of the challenging aspects of dye 1 is rapid recombination of electrons injected into the conduction band (e_inj) with the oxidized dye (sometimes referred to as a dye-cation).16 For the efficient DSC performance, the electron transfer from the CB to the oxidized dye has to be slower than the dye regeneration with the redox couple.17 However, the recombination of e_inj with the dye-cation may be suppressed in the presence of chenodeoxycholic acid (CA) as has been shown by Durrant and co-workers with a Ru(n)-phthalocyanine complex.18 On the other hand, alkyl chains can also offer a surface protection from this type of recombination.19 Using [Ru(dcbpy)(4,4′-dialkyl-2,2′-bipyridine)(NCS)2] (dcbpy = [2,2′-bipyridine]-4,4′-dicarboxylic acid) it was shown that the length of the alkyl substituents on the bipyridine moiety had an influence on the emission decay dynamics and, thus, on electron injection.20 Moreover, the observed recombination between injected electrons and the iodide/triiodide (I−/I3−) redox couple was less when the ancillary ligand contained an increased length of alkyl chains. The modification of N719 (Fig. 1) dye by attachment of nonyl substituents significantly decreased the recombination between e_inj and Co2+/3+ redox couples.21

The sensitization of the semiconductor surface with a dye is a subtle process which is affected by the nature of the dye molecule, solvent of electrode-immersion solution and sensitization time. If the dye molecules are too close to one another, dye–dye interactions may occur that could affect their charge characteristics.22 Dye aggregation is the result of intermolecular interactions including π-stacking of arene rings. This limitation can be overcome by the addition of coadsorbents23,24 such as chenodeoxycholic acid or the implementation of alkyl spacers in the dye structure.25–27 Furthermore, an excess of dye molecules in the dye-bath solution may result in the formation of multilayers on the TiO2 surface.22 In this case, the dye bath concentration together with the immersion duration have to be optimized.

In this investigation, we present a study of DSCs based on new homoleptic and heteroleptic iron(n) NHC complexes 2 and 3, respectively (Fig. 2), with n-butyl functionalities, and investigate the optimization of the electrode sensitization process in terms of time, presence of coadsorbent and concentration of the dye-bath solution.

### Results and discussion

#### Materials and methods

**DSC fabrication.** Commercial glass electrodes covered with FTO and screen-printed with TiO2 (Solaronix Test Cell Titania Electrodes, Solaronix SA, Aubonne, Switzerland) were rinsed with water, EtOH and dried on a heating plate at 450 °C for

---

**Fig. 1** Structure of Fe(n) NHC complex 1 and Ru(n) polypyridyl complex N719.

**Fig. 2** Structures of the sensitzers 2 and 3. Both complexes were isolated and used in DSCs as the [PF6]− salts.
30 min. Afterwards, the electrodes were cooled down to 60 °C and immersed in the dye bath. For Fe(n) NHC complexes, the solvent was always MeCN. For the reference dye N719 (Solaronix SA, Aubonne, Switzerland), the dye-bath was a solution of N719 (0.30 mM) in EtOH and the dipping time was 17.5 hours. After sensitization, electrodes were rinsed with the solvent used in the dye bath and dried with a flow of nitrogen. Counter electrodes (Solaronix Test Cell Platinum Electrodes, Solaronix SA, Aubonne, Switzerland) were rinsed with water, EtOH and then heated at 450 °C for 30 min Afterwards, the electrodes were cooled down to 60 °C. The working and counter electrodes were combined with a thermoplast hot-melt sealing foil (Solaronix Test Cell Gaskets, 60 µm, Solaronix SA, Aubonne, Switzerland) by pressing them together while heating. Subsequently, the electrolyte was introduced into the space between the electrodes through a pre-drilled hole in the counter electrode. The electrolyte composition for the Fe(n) NHC complexes was LiI (0.18 M), I₂ (0.05 M), 1-propyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium iodide (0.60 M) in 3-methoxypropionitrile. The electrolyte composition for N719 was LiI (0.10 M), I₂ (0.05 M), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (0.60 M), 1-methylbenzimidazole (0.50 M) in 3-methoxypropionitrile. The hole was sealed with hot-melt sealing foil and a cover glass (Solaronix Test Cell Sealings and Solaronix Test Cell Caps, Solaronix SA, Aubonne, Switzerland). At the end, silver paint (SPI Supplies, West Chester, PA 19381-0656, USA) was applied to the edges of each electrode from the FTO side.

Average values of photovoltaic parameters. According to statistical investigations based on DSCs sensitized with two different dyes (N719 and SQ2), the evaluation of data from multiple devices is required to validate DSC performance. Given a large enough data set, we have demonstrated that the use of average values is appropriate. Thus, in the following discussion, only average values for photovoltaic and EIS parameters will be used. Values for DSCs sensitized with N719 (used as a reference) are the average values based on four DSCs (Table S1†). Cell performances for multiple cells with the iron(n) dyes were reproducible, and data for multiple devices are presented in the ESI (Tables S2–S4†).

Performance of DSCs

Photovoltaic performance. The photovoltaic performance was strongly affected by the time during which the working electrodes were immersed in solutions of the iron(n) NHC sensitizers and the concentrations of the solutions. For the iron(n) complex 1, the typical dye bath conditions previously used in the literature were 0.50 mM in the presence of 0.10 mM of chenodeoxycholic acid in MeCN due to the increased loading sites on the semiconductor. However, the values of all parameters (J<sub>SC</sub>, V<sub>OC</sub> and ff) decreased, leading to a lower PCE of 0.03% (Table 1). This effect could occur due to an increased rate of recombination between the semiconductor surface and redox-shuttle in the electrolyte that was suppressed in the presence of a CA. On the other hand, the increase in the dye load might lead to a higher rate of recombination between the dye molecules and the surface, or to quenching of excited states due to the dye aggregation on the surface.

In order to provide support for the latter, the immersion time of the electrodes in the dye solution was decreased from 17.5 to 4 hours in the presence of CA. The concentrations of the dye and CA were maintained (0.50 mM and 0.10 mM, respectively). The shorter sensitization time was beneficial for the performance of the DSCs. The values of J<sub>SC</sub> and V<sub>OC</sub> were approximately doubled compared to the 17.5 hours sensitization time, and the values of the fill factor increased from 49 to 64% (Table 1). The combined effect was an increase in PCE to 0.22%. A further decrease in the sensitization time to 2 hours had no significant impact on the photoconversion efficiencies (Table 1). However, it is noteworthy that the highest values of the fill factor and the open circuit voltage were observed for a dipping time of 4 hours. Thus, with a dye concentration of 0.50 mM and in the presence of 0.10 mM of chenodeoxycholic acid in MeCN, immersion times of 2 or 4 hours were beneficial to cell performance, and extended dipping times led to lower PCEs (Table 1).

| Dye<sup>a</sup> | Dipping time/h | J<sub>SC</sub>/<sup>b</sup> mA cm<sup>−2</sup> | V<sub>OC</sub>/mV | ff/% | PCE/ (%) | Rel. PCE<sup>c</sup>/ |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|-----|---------|------------------|
| Dye 1 CA       | 17.5          | 3.27           | 348      | 58  | 0.66    | 11.8<sup>d</sup> |
| Dye 2          | 17.5          | 0.58           | 114      | 46  | 0.03    | 0.5              |
| Dye 2 CA       | 17.5          | 0.63           | 132      | 49  | 0.05    | 0.7              |
| Dye 2 CA       | 4             | 1.31           | 256      | 64  | 0.22    | 3.4              |
| Dye 2 CA       | 2             | 1.33           | 226      | 62  | 0.19    | 2.9              |
| N719           | 17.5          | 14.70          | 651      | 66  | 6.36    | 100             |

<sup>a</sup>CA – in the presence of chenodeoxycholic acid (0.10 mM).<sup>b</sup>Relative efficiencies are given with respect to N719 PCE set as 100%.<sup>c</sup>Previously published data from ref. 10 (dye/CA 0.50 mM).<sup>d</sup>Previously published data from ref. 10 (dye/CA 0.50 mM).
sity of further optimization. Therefore, the concentration of 2 was lowered from 0.50 mM to 0.05 mM, and corresponding DSCs were fabricated in the absence or presence of 0.05 mM CA after various immersion times (Table 2). Interestingly, the decrease in dye concentration in the sensitization solution had a beneficial impact on the PCE irrespective of the presence of CA in the mixture compared to 0.50 mM dye/0.10 mM CA. The $J_{SC}$–$V_{OC}$ trend could be described as an increase of short circuit current density and corresponding decrease of open circuit voltage on going from dye-bath times of 2 to 17.5 hours. Moreover, the changes in dye-bath concentration significantly improved the reproducibility of the DSCs (Fig. 3). For all DSCs, the PCE was in the range of 0.25–0.29% (average values, Tables 2 and S3†) for the different dipping times. The presence of CA had only a slight influence on the $V_{OC}$ values, and the PCE was not significantly affected.

DSCs with the heteroleptic iron(ii) complex 3 (Fig. 2) were fabricated using a 0.05 mM dye-bath concentration. A PCE of 0.94% was achieved for a 17.5 hours sensitization time (Table 3). This approaches the record values for NHC-iron(ii) dyess reported by Gros and co-workers.12 A shorter dipping time of 4 hours resulted in significantly lower $J_{SC}$ and $V_{OC}$ values and a PCE of only 0.46% (Table 3). This trend was reproduced for a multiple cells (Table S4†). In contrast to the observations with homoleptic dye 2, the addition of CA improved both $J_{SC}$ and $V_{OC}$ and, consequently, PCE values of DSCs with a 4 hours dipping time despite the slight decrease in $ff$ (Table 3). At the same time, the presence of the CA in the DSCs with 17.5 hours sensitization decreased the PCE from 0.94 to 0.71% (Fig. 4). Thus, DSCs with CA had similar performances irrespective of the 4 or 17.5 hours dipping times (Tables 3 and S4†).

Since a longer sensitization time proved to be beneficial for dye 3 (17.5h compared to 4 h) in the absence of CA, the immersion was extended to 45 hours. However, the values of all three photovoltaic parameters decreased and led to lower PCE (average 0.67%, Table 3) compared to 17.5 hours.

**UV-Vis analysis.** The solution absorption spectra of dyes 2 and 3 are presented in Fig. 5. The spectrum of the homoleptic complex 2 exhibits two metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) bands at 397 and 521 nm, assigned to metal-to-carbene and metal-to-pyridine rings, respectively. These observations are in agreement with the UV-Vis spectrum for dye 1.8 The absorption spectrum of dye 3 showed bands arising from transitions...
corresponding to MLCT from metal to the carbene centre at the same \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) as 2 (\( \approx 396 \) nm). The MLCT band involving the pyridine ring functionalized with a carboxylic acid (Fig. 5) was blue-shifted to 510 nm in comparison to dye 2. The third band corresponding to charge transfer to the non-substituted ligand was observed at 433 nm. The presence of this band was consistent with UV-Vis spectra previously published for related heteroleptic Fe(II) NHC complexes.29 Interestingly, in the UV-Vis spectrum of 2 in MeCN, a shoulder at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) 430–450 nm was observed, which disappeared upon the addition of acid to the solution (Fig. 5). Moreover, this band was not observed in the solid-state UV-Vis spectra (discussed later). This effect was consistent with partial deprotonation of the carboxylic acid functionality in MeCN solution.

The solid-state UV-Vis (ssUV-Vis) spectra provide information about a dye adsorbed on the semiconductor. Typically, the ssUV-Vis may be used to give insight into the dye loading on the surface, because the absorption spectra depend on the concentration of the immersing dye solution and the sensitization time. Since the exact amount of the dye adsorbed on the surface is not known, arbitrary units of absorbance are used, and spectra are compared with that of a standard dye, in this case N719.

For complex 3, the band assigned to charge transfer to pyridine exhibited a blue shift from 510 to \( \approx 482 \) nm (Fig. 6a). The bands with maxima at \( \approx 434 \) and \( \approx 393 \) nm were similar to those observed in MeCN. For complex 2, the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) was also blue-shifted to ca. 497 nm compared to the UV-Vis spectrum in MeCN solution (Fig. 6c). However, the second MLCT band at higher energies was unchanged with \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 396 \) nm. Blue or red-shifting of the absorption maximum on going from solution to solid-state may indicate either H- or J-type aggregation, respectively.22

The influence of sensitization time and the presence of coadsorbent. The absorbance of complexes 2 and 3 increased with longer dipping times on going from 2 to 17.5 hours. This effect indicated changes in the dye load on the surface and was reflected in the enhanced \( J_{\text{SC}} \) values for both complexes with longer sensitization time. The ssUV-Vis spectra of TiO\(_2\)/dye 2 exhibited higher absorption than TiO\(_2\)/3 for all three time periods (Fig. 6a and c). This observation could indicate a difference in the dye arrangement on the surface between homo- and heteroleptic complexes despite their similarities in general structure. It is important to note that 3 and 2 contain one and two CO\(_2\)H anchoring groups, respectively.

As detailed above, our optimizations of dye/coadsorbent combinations for 1\(^{30}\) had shown that the presence of CA reduced the dye load on the TiO\(_2\) surface. For complex 3, the same tendency was found. The addition of CA decreased the difference in absorbance values at \( \approx 482 \) nm for 3 between 17.5 and 4 hours (Fig. 6b), and this was in agreement with similar performance of DSCs with corresponding immersion times. For DSCs containing 3 and without CA, dipping times of 2 or 4 hours led to difference in the ssUV-Vis spectra (Fig. 6a). This observation illustrated that there was no competition between
dye and CA for the duration of the dye-adsorption. Due to this, the enhanced PCE of DSCs dipped for 4 hours with CA in comparison to the corresponding set without CA corresponded to the suppressed recombination between surface and electrolyte. The increase in the immersion time from 17.5 to 45 hours resulted in a reduction of absorbance. Despite the logical assumption that longer dipping times should favour adsorption of more dye molecules on the surface, it could also bring the system into an equilibrium state between surface and solution. This would result in partial detachment of dye from the semiconductor.

In the case of complex 2, the adsorption was enhanced on going from 2 to 17.5 hours (Fig. 6c). However, in contrast to complex 3, the addition of CA to the dye-bath did not have an influence on intensity of ssUV-Vis absorption spectrum (Fig. 6d). The presence of two anchoring groups changes the ways of dye arrangement on the semiconductor surface and could result in stronger binding.

**Thermogravimetric analysis.** Due to the different loads of the homoleptic dye 2 and heteroleptic dye 3 on the surface that were indicated from differences in the ssUV-Vis spectra, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed in order to obtain a better understanding of the surface functionalization. An FTO/TiO2 electrode was sensitized by immersing the electrode into a dye bath for 17.5 hours in the absence of CA (0.05 mM dye-bath concentration). Afterwards, the TiO2 was scratched off the electrode, and the resulted powder was used for the TGA measurements.

The weight losses of 0.32–0.59% and 0.22–0.35% (<120 °C and <220 °C, respectively) corresponded to loss of physisorbed and chemisorbed water31 and were observed for all samples including functionalized TiO2 and a control with no adsorbed dye (Fig. 7). Between 220 and 900 °C non-functionalized TiO2 had 1.6% weight lost (Fig. S3†). In the following discussion, only the weight losses corresponding to decomposition of dye molecules will be described, and the weight loss corresponding to TiO2 was subtracted from each TGA trace. The decomposition of each pristine dye was also analysed by TGA and the results were taken into account for mass loss calculations of sensitized TiO2 (Fig. S4†). A weight loss of 71.5% was detected for complex 3. Mass peaks at m/z 41.0, 43.0 and 44.0 were assigned to acetonitrile, propylium and carbon dioxide arising from ligand decomposition (Fig. S4a†). Identical mass peaks were detected for complex 2 (Fig. S4b†). However, a higher weight loss of 78.2% was observed compared to 3. Once the dye was deposited on TiO2, the loss of CO2 was detected with corresponding mass peaks at m/z 44.0 for all complexes (Fig. S5a and b†). It is important to note that no peaks at m/z 44.0 were detected for non-functionalized TiO2. Thus, the loss of CO2 could be attributed to decomposition and subsequent detachment of complexes from TiO2 surface. In the case of the homoleptic complex 2 with n-butyl chains a weight loss of 1.61% was observed. Dye 3 exhibited a similar weight loss of 0.77%. The variance in weight loss between samples with 2 and 3 deposited on TiO2 supports the proposal of different binding models and dye arrangement on the surface for homoleptic and heteroleptic complexes.

**Electrochemical impedance study.** Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) can provide a deeper understanding of interfacial electronic processes in a DSC. Since it is a frequency dependent method, the different parts of the spectrum are attributed to electron processes happening on different timescales.32 For dye 2, the experimental EIS curves were not fitted meaningfully. Nevertheless, the shapes of the curves can still provide important information about dominant recombination processes in DSCs. A strong dependency of total resistance (Rtot, Fig. 8a) in the system on the sensitizing time was observed (the real axis Z″) and Rtot significantly decreased on going from 2 hours dipping time to 17.5 hours (in the absence of CA). The values of the imaginary axis Z′ (capacitance) were also lower with longer dipping times. The shape of the Bode plot as well as the Nyquist plot changed in the direction of a Gerischer impedance13 for DSCs after 17.5 hours of sensitization (Fig. 8b). The area between high and low frequency peaks on the Bode plot indicated an increase in transport resistance for the longest dipping times. The decrease in Rtot was beneficial for electron injection and was in agreement with enhanced values of Jsc for dye 2 with longer time of sensitization. Yet, the Gerischer type of impedance for 17.5 h dipping indicated insufficient electron diffusion through the semiconductor and limited the PCE of these DSCs. In the case of a Gerischer impedance, the impedance shows a finite DC value despite the fact that the overall diffusion process is, in principle, semi-infinite with the assumption of an ‘infinitely large’ reservoir for the electrochemically inactive species.14 This interpretation allows an assumption that a higher dye-coverage on the surface increases the reaction rate between a dye-cation and einj during the diffusion process through the semiconductor. This results in the formation of electrochemically inactive species. As was shown in the ssUV-Vis spectra, longer dipping times resulted in an increased amount of dye 2 on the surface. Higher dye density offered more possibilities for the recombination between injected electron and oxidized dye. For dye 1 it was shown that injected electrons suffer from fast recombination with the oxidized Fe(II) NHC complex.16 This would lead to lower electron density in the conduction band, a decrease in capacitance values, and an increase in the trans-
port resistance. The addition of CA resulted in the same EIS trend for DSCs on going from shorter to longer dipping time.

For dye 3, the fit was performed with the help of an electric circuit model (Fig. S6†). The parameters for fitted experimental curves are presented in Table 4 and the fitted curves are presented in Fig. S7. As for DSCs with complex 2, the sensitization time and the addition of a CA had a significant influence on the impedance of the DSC. The $R_{\text{rec}}$ values decreased by a factor of two on going from 4 to 17.5 hours (without CA) of sensitization. The extended dipping time of 45 hours did not affect the recombination resistance. The values of chemical capacitance were increased from 4 to 17.5 hours, and this was consistent with the enhanced short circuit current density values. The addition of CA for the 4 hours-dipping DSCs set increased the $J_{\text{SC}}$ values by more than 1 mA cm$^{-2}$. This improvement corresponded to changes in $C_{\text{dl}}$ from 528 to 845 μF and in $R_{\text{ct}}$ from 10 to 3 Ω (Table 4 and Fig. S7c, d†). The recombination resistance decreased with the addition of CA (4 hours). The combination of respective factors indicated more efficient electron injection and fewer recombination processes taking place in the presence of CA. Interestingly, in the case of 17.5-hour sensitization the addition of CA decreased $C_{\text{dl}}$ values from 905 to 665 μF (Fig. S7e, f and g, h†). This observation was associated with the competition between dye and CA on the surface and was supported by ssUV-Vis measurements. For all dipping times in the presence/absence of CA, the electron lifetime was significantly higher than transport time. Together with a diffusion length greater than the TiO$_2$ thickness (d = 14 μm), $\tau$ and $\tau_{\text{tr}}$ offered an effective electron transport through the working electrode. One of the important observations was the simultaneous increase in electron lifetime together with chemical capacitance after 45 hours of sensitization. This was consistent with a higher electron density in the semiconductor compared with a 17.5 hours dipping time. However, the diffusion length remained similar (Table 4) meaning the higher dye load did not affect the recombination rate at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface. Series resistance and counter electrode parameters ($R_{\text{ct}}$ and $C_{\text{dl}}$) stayed constant and, expectedly, were not affected by changes at photoanode.

**Conclusions**

Two new iron(II) complexes with n-butyl side chains were employed in n-type DSCs. Initial studies showed that cells with the homoleptic complex 2 had significantly lower PCE (0.05%) compared to those with the homoleptic dye 1 (0.66%). Such a low performance was a result of lower values of $J_{\text{SC}}$ and $V_{\text{OC}}$ together with a low ff. However, the optimization of the dye-bath concentration and the immersion time enhanced all parameters extracted from $J$–$V$ curves. This improvement resulted in six times higher PCE of 0.29%. Interestingly, when the dye-bath concentration was decreased from 0.50 to 0.05 mM, the overall PCE was little affected (0.27–0.29%). The addition of CA did not have a significant influence on DSCs performance. Moreover, the EIS response was more influenced by changes in dipping times, but not by the presence of CA.

**Table 4** Average EIS parameters for DSCs sensitized with 3. Data for multiple DSCs are shown in Table S5†

| Dye$^a$ | Time$^b$/h | $R_{\text{rec}}$/Ω | $C_{\text{dl}}$/μF | $R_{\text{ct}}$/Ω | $\tau$/μs | $\tau_{\text{tr}}$/μs | $L_{\text{g}}$/μm | $R_{\text{ct}}$/Ω | $R_{\text{ct}}$/Ω | $C_{\text{dl}}$/μF |
|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Dye 3 | 4            | 487             | 528            | 10             | 257      | 5               | 101            | 11             | 6              | 6              |
| Dye 3 CA | 4           | 355             | 845            | 3              | 297      | 2               | 155            | 11             | 5              | 6              |
| Dye 3 | 17.5         | 257             | 905            | 3              | 231      | 3               | 144            | 12             | 5              | 6              |
| Dye 3 CA | 17.5        | 501             | 665            | 4              | 332      | 3               | 157            | 12             | 5              | 6              |
| Dye 3 | 45           | 274             | 1535           | 3              | 429      | 4               | 149            | 12             | 7              | 6              |

$^a$CA – in the presence of chenodeoxycholic acid (0.05 mM). $^b$The immersing time of working electrodes into the dye solution.
Gerischer impedance was observed for 17.5-hour immersion, and a decrease in $R_{\text{tot}}$ and $R_{\text{ct}}$ was observed for shorter dipping times.

DSCs with the new heteroleptic dye 3 significantly improved their overall photovoltaic efficiency compared to dyes 1 and 2. The highest PCE of 0.94% (average of a range 0.93–0.95%) was observed for DSCs sensitized over 17.5 hours without addition of coadsorbents. The shorter immersion of 4 hours decreased PCE (0.46%) due to the reduction of both, $J_{SC}$ and $V_{OC}$ values. However, a further increase of dipping time to 45 hours also resulted in lower PCE of 0.67% in comparison to 17.5 hours. The presence of CA led to similar DSC performances of 0.71 and 0.74% for 17.5 and 4 hours immersions, respectively. Interestingly, in the case of 17.5 hours, the presence of CA decreased $J_{SC}$ values, but in the case of 4 hours, $J_{SC}$ values were enhanced in the presence of CA. These observations could be rationalized using impedance spectra. A decrease in chemical capacitance was observed with addition of CA for 17.5-hour dipping time, but in contrast, after 4 hours the presence of CA led to the increase of $C_p$. In the case of 45-hour immersion, $C_p$ values had a remarkable increase in combination with the electron lifetime. However, the diffusion length stayed unchanged. Thus, the recombination rate at semiconductor/electrolyte interface was not affected despite the changes on the dye load on the surface.

The differences in DSC performance were strongly affected by the molecular structure of the dye. The variation in dye loading on the surface was supported by ssUV-Vis measurements in the presence/absence of CA. For complex 2, absorbance maxima were not affected by addition of CA. On the other hand, a decrease in absorption intensity was observed for dye 3 in the presence of CA. Moreover, different weight losses of TiO$_2$ sensitized with 2 or 3 were observed during thermogravimetric analysis. These observations lead us to propose different binding models on the surface for homoleptic and heteroleptic complexes.
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