Demographic and medical characteristics of hospitalised oldest old

Abstract

Objective: To study demographic and medical characteristics of hospitalised oldest old.

Method: A retrospective audit of patients aged ≥80 years admitted to a Geriatric Unit in a tertiary hospital in Perth, Western Australia.

Results: There were 257 patients accounting for 287 admissions (84.3% nonagenarians, 14.3% octogenarians and 1.4% centenarians). Mean age was 91.6 years, 73.2% were female and 62.0% were admitted from the community. The 3 commonest admission diagnoses were: falls/trauma, cardiovascular disease and neuropsychiatric disease. Percent with a documented history of falls was 82.2%, osteoporosis 44.9%, urinary incontinence 38.3%, cognitive impairment 42.5%, delirium 44.9% and depression 32.1%. In-hospital, 1-year and 2-year mortality rates were 9.1%, 41.5% and 51.6%, respectively.

Conclusion: Hospitalised oldest old studied at our institute were predominantly community-dwelling females presenting with falls/trauma, cardiovascular disease and neuropsychiatric disease. They were a frail population with multiple co-morbidities, a high prevalence of the “Geriatric Giants” and very high mortality rates.

Keywords: aged 80 and over, centenarians, nonagenarians, octogenarians, oldest old

Keypoints

i. There is a need for more clinical information about the≥85 year’s age group of Australia’s population, the most rapidly expanding segment of the population.

ii. Hospitalised oldest old are predominantly community-dwelling females.

iii. The 3 commonest admission diagnoses and co-morbid medical problems of hospitalised oldest old at our institute were: falls/trauma, cardiovascular disease and neuropsychiatric disease.

iv. Hospitalised oldest old are a frail population with multiple co-morbidities, a high prevalence of the “Geriatric Giants” and very high mortality rates.

Introduction

Australia’s population is ageing, as a result of sustained low fertility and increasing life expectancy.1,2 Important factors contributing to increasing life expectancy are improved living conditions and improved treatment and rehabilitation of chronic illnesses.3 The consequence is an increasing proportion of Australia’s population aged ≥85 years, the population’s most rapidly expanding segment. This is demonstrated in data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics.3,2 Between June 1985 and June 2005, the proportion of Australia’s population aged ≥85 years increased by 102% (1.5% → 1%).1 In 2005-2006, the average annual rate of growth for the ≥85 years age group was 8.02%, compared with 1.31% for all ages.2

Over the next several decades, population ageing is expected to have significant implications for health care in Australia. Increasing numbers of nonagenarians, the predominant age group analysed in this study, will present to emergency departments and many will require subsequent admission to hospital.5-6 Emergency department and hospital visits by nonagenarians are associated with prolonged admissions, post-discharge institutionalisation, and high risk of in-hospital and post-discharge death.4 Decline in functional status is commonly observed.5,7,8 Community support services are often required. There is a need for more clinical information about this group, which is actively using social and health care.9

Nonagenarians are generally quite frail and have numerous chronic diseases with associated functional and cognitive impairment.4,9 The “Geriatric Giants” (“Immobility”, “Instability”, “Incontinence”, “Intellectual impairment” and “Iatrogenesis”) are commonplace. These are all complex problems resulting from a combination of diminished organ reserve and the effects of acute and chronic diseases on the ageing body and mind.10

There is very limited published literature describing the characteristics of hospitalised nonagenarians in Australia. To our knowledge, only one Australian study has comprehensively looked at the demographic and medical characteristics of hospitalised nonagenarians.11

Other published studies relating to nonagenarians have tended to focus on specific patient groups or medical problems.12-15 Some focused on emergency department visits;4,5 patients on rehabilitation units;21 or institutionalised patients.22 Most were community or population based.9,15-16,23-25

The primary objective of this study is to document the demographic and medical characteristics of the oldest old (i.e. octogenarians, nonagenarians, centenarians).
nonagenarians and centenarians) admitted to a Geriatric Unit of a tertiary hospital.

**Method**

**Study design and patients**

This project was approved as a Quality Assurance (QA) case note audit by the ethics committee of Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital.

The study involved a retrospective audit of patients admitted to a Geriatric Evaluation and Management Unit (the Unit) in a tertiary hospital in Perth, Western Australia, over a 6 month period.

All patients aged ≥80 years, who were inpatients on the Unit under the care of a Geriatrician, were included in the study.

During the study period, all acute medical patients were admitted to an Acute Assessment Unit (AAU). Patients requiring care beyond the AAU were referred to Geriatric Medicine for ongoing care if they were ≥90 years or <90 years and deemed to require “geriatric-type” multidisciplinary care. The majority of these patients were managed on the Unit.

Patients were occasionally admitted to the Unit directly from the Outpatient Clinic, the community or another hospital. Patients requiring a period of rehabilitation prior to discharge were transferred from the Unit to an off-site affiliated Rehabilitation Unit once medically stable. The Unit, therefore, admitted a mixture of acute and subacute patients and patients requiring rehabilitation who were not deemed appropriate to be transferred off-site (e.g. medically unstable patients, delirious patients requiring a surveillance guard, dialysis patients and amputees).

This study excluded: patients on the Unit aged <80 years; patients on the Unit under the care of other specialty physicians; and, patients aged ≥80 years admitted under the care of a Geriatrician but not managed on the Unit.

**Data collection**

Data was collected by retrospective review of patient case notes by a single observer. Information was collected from a combination of medical, nursing and allied health records.

Data collected included patient demographic characteristics, referral source, admission diagnosis, co-morbid medical problems, data relating to “Geriatric Giants” (“Immobility & Instability” – mobility, use of walking aids, Functional Independence Measure (FIM) score, falls & fracture history; “Incontinence” - urinary & faecal; “Intellect”- dementia, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, delirium, Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) score, depression, 5- item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) score; and, “Iatrogenesis” - number & type of medications at admission & discharge), length of hospital admission and mortality.

**Statistical analysis**

Data was entered into SPSS version 14.0 for statistical analysis.

**Results**

During the 6 month study period, (1 March 2005 – 31 August 2005), there was a total of 287 admissions of patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria. These 287 admissions were accounted for by 257 different patients, of whom 230 had 1 admission, 26 had 2 admissions, and 1 had 5 admissions.

**Patient demographic characteristics**

Of the study group, 73.2% were female. Patients’ age ranged between 79-104 years, with mean age 91.6 years (Two patients were aged 79 years at the time of admission but had turned 80 by the 1 March 2005 when the analysis commenced). Nonagenarians accounted for 84.3%, octogenarians 14.3% and centenarians 1.4%.

Prior to admission, 62.0% of patients were community-dwelling and 38.0% were from residential care facilities (28.6% hostel; 8.7% nursing home) or psycho-geriatric lodges (0.7%). At least 21.3% had a change in social situation on discharge from the Unit. Post-discharge, 30.7% of patients returned directly to community-dwelling and 38.4% directly to a residential care facility (18.5% hostel; 19.9% nursing home). There was a more than 2-fold increase in those transferred directly to a nursing home. Twenty-two percent were transferred to the affiliated Rehabilitation Unit or psycho-geriatric units prior to their final discharge destination.

**Referral source**

The AAU was the major referral source for patients aged ≥90 years. In those aged <90 years, one third were referred from each of the AAU, Medical Units and other sources.

**Admission diagnosis**

In the study patients, the commonest admission diagnosis was falls/trauma, followed by cardiovascular disease, neuropsychiatric disease and respiratory disease (Table 1). For those patients with an admission diagnosis of falls/trauma, 38.4% had an associated fracture documented.

**Co-morbid medical problems**

In addition to admission diagnosis, patient case notes were reviewed for a history of documented co-morbid medical problems (Table 2).
Table 2 Co-morbid medical problems

| Medical problem                      | No. patients (n=287) | Percent (%) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Neuropsychiatric disease             | 232                  | 80.8        |
| Sensory impairment                   | 211                  | 73.5        |
| Cardiovascular disease               | 251                  | 87.5        |
| Respiratory disease                  | 116                  | 40.4        |
| Gastrointestinal disease             | 170                  | 59.2        |
| Genitourinary disease                | 206                  | 71.8        |
| Endocrine/metabolic/nutritional disease | 191               | 66.6        |
| Rheumatologic/bone disease           | 196                  | 68.3        |
| Dermatological disease               | 14                   | 4.9         |
| Oncologic/haematological disease     | 103                  | 35.9        |
| Falls/trauma                         | 236                  | 82.2        |

Geriatric giants

Immobility & Instability

Pre-admission, 81.5% of patients were described as independently ambulant. This proportion decreased to 48.4% at the time of discharge. Approximately 20% of patients were transferred to Rehabilitation Units, with the aim of improving mobility and functional independence.

FIM scores are tabulated in Table 3. Only 46.3% of patients had serial FIM scores performed. Mean gain in total FIM score in those with serial FIM scores was 5.24 ±15.5. This gain was almost entirely due to gains in motor FIM score.

A history of falls was common, with 82.2% of patients having a documented history of falls. At least 38.7% had recurrent falls. A documented history of minimal trauma fracture/s was present in 42.2% of patients. The most frequently documented fracture types were hip (17.8%), vertebral (13.6%), pelvic (9.1%) and wrist (9.1%). Of note, only documented vertebral fractures were identified and asymptomatic or radiological fractures were not reviewed.

Incontinence

Documented urinary incontinence was present in 38.3% of patients pre-admission and 36.6% at discharge. Documented faecal incontinence was present in 21.3% pre-admission and 21.6% at discharge.

Intelect (dementia/delirium/depression)

In total, 42.5% of patients had documentation of “dementia” or “cognitive impairment”. MMSE scores were recorded in 49.5% (Table 4).

A documented history of “delirium”, or clinical picture suggestive of delirium, was present in 44.9% of patients. Documentation of CAM scores was poor (3.8%).

“Depression” was documented in 32.1% of patients. Table 5 presents the GDS scores.

Iatrogenesis

Polypharmacy was common, with number of medications ranging from 0-18 at admission and 0-19 at discharge. Mean number of medications was 6.70 (SD 3.39) at admission and 7.74 (SD 3.21) at discharge. Table 6 shows types of medications.

Length of hospital admission

For the study patients, hospital length of stay ranged between 1–207 days, with a mean of 19.25 days and median of 12.00 days (Table 7).

Mortality

We reviewed hospital notes and databases for mortality data. In-hospital mortality was 9.1%. By 6 months after admission, 30.0% of patients had died; by 12 months 41.5% had died; and, by 24 months 51.6% had died (Table 8). These rates may be an underestimate as hospital records are not as accurate as death records for mortality.

Table 3 Functional Independence Measure (FIM) Score

| Baseline FIM score | Repeat FIM score | FIM gain |
|--------------------|------------------|----------|
| Motor              | Cognitive        | Motor    | Cognitive | Total |
| n                  | 187              | 187      | 205       | 199   | 133   | 133 |
| Missing            | 100              | 100      | 82        | 168   | 168   | 154 |
| Range              | 12.91            | 5.42     | 17-126    | 13-85 | 5-36  | 18-117 |
| Mean               | 45.21            | 21.70    | 67.60     | 47.30 | 20.71 | 69.53 |
| Median             | 45.00            | 22.00    | 67.00     | 46.00 | 20.00 | 71.00 |
| Std.dev            | 19.46            | 8.49     | 25.92     | 19.81 | 8.08  | 25.60 |

Table 4 Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores according to patient group

| MMSE score | All patients | Age < 90 years | Age ≥ 90 years |
|------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| n          | 142          | 27             | 115            |
| Missing    | 145          | 14             | 131            |
| Range      | 1-30         | 6-28           | 1-30           |
| Mean       | 19.39        | 19.04          | 19.47          |
| Median     | 20.00        | 21.00          | 20.00          |
| Std.dev    | 6.55         | 6.51           | 6.58           |
### Table 5 Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) scores according to patient group

| GDS scores | All patients | Age < 90 years | Age ≥ 90 years |
|------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| n          | 103          | 20             | 83             |
| Missing    | 184          | 21             | 163            |
| Range      | 0-5          | 0-5            | 0-5            |
| Mean       | 1.91         | 2.15           | 1.86           |
| Median     | 2.00         | 2.00           | 2.00           |
| Std.dev    | 1.56         | 1.39           | 1.60           |

### Table 6 Types of medications (admission & discharge)

| Medications                      | Admission medications | Discharge medications |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                                  | No. patients | Percent (%) | No. patients | Percent (%) |
| Cholinesterase inhibitors/memantine | 11           | 3.8         | 9            | 3.1         |
| Anti-Parkinsons                   | 1            | 0.3         | 3            | 1.0         |
| Anticonvulsants                   | 18           | 6.3         | 17           | 5.9         |
| Antidepressants                   | 72           | 25.1        | 79           | 27.5        |
| Anxiolytics/sedatives/ hypnotics  | 82           | 28.6        | 69           | 24.0        |
| Antipsychotics                    | 32           | 11.1        | 29           | 10.1        |
| Antiplatelets                     | 161          | 56.1        | 141          | 49.1        |
| Anticoagulants                    | 13           | 4.5         | 16           | 5.6         |
| Antihypertensives/antiangiinal/ antiarrhythmic | 193       | 67.2        | 180          | 62.7        |
| Diuretics                         | 108          | 37.6        | 100          | 34.8        |
| Lipid lowering agents             | 43           | 15.0        | 38           | 13.2        |
| Respiratory medications           | 35           | 12.2        | 29           | 10.1        |
| Antacids/antiemetics              | 121          | 42.2        | 126          | 43.9        |
| Oral hypoglycaemics               | 26           | 9.1         | 22           | 7.7         |
| Insulin                           | 0            | 0           | 0            | 0           |
| Thyroxine                         | 38           | 13.2        | 37           | 12.9        |
| Hormonal therapy                  | 14           | 4.9         | 13           | 4.5         |
| Bisphosphonates                   | 34           | 11.8        | 45           | 15.7        |
| Calcium                           | 81           | 28.2        | 103          | 35.9        |
| Vitamin d                         | 91           | 31.7        | 114          | 39.7        |
| Corticosteroids                   | 7            | 2.4         | 7            | 2.4         |
| Immunosuppressants/ chemotherapy   | 4            | 1.4         | 4            | 1.4         |
| Antibiotics                       | 40           | 13.9        | 39           | 13.6        |
| Opioid analgesics                 | 33           | 11.5        | 44           | 15.3        |
| Non-opioid analgesics             | 117          | 40.8        | 170          | 59.2        |
| Antiinflammatories                | 24           | 8.4         | 21           | 7.3         |
| Aperients                         | 89           | 31.0        | 139          | 48.4        |
| Other                             | 97           | 33.8        | 95           | 33.1        |

### Table 7 Length of hospital admission

| Length of hospital admission (days) | All patients | Age<90 years | Age ≥ 90 years |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| n                                  | 287          | 41           | 246            |
| Range                             | 1 - 207      | 4 - 207      | 1 - 92         |
| Mean                              | 19.25        | 40.29        | 15.74          |
| Median                            | 12.00        | 28.00        | 11.00          |
| Mode                              | 7.00         | 9.00         | 7.00           |
| Std.dev                           | 21.28        | 39.64        | 13.63          |

### Table 8 Mortality

| Deceased status | Deceased during admission | Deceased within 6 months after admission | Deceased between 6-12 months after admission | Deceased between 12-24 months after admission | Cumulative number deceased within 24 months after admission |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| No.             | %                         | No.                                      | No.                                         | No.                                         | No.                                                        |
| All patients (n=287) | 26                        | 9.1                                      | 60                                          | 20.9                                        | 33                                          | 11.5                                      | 29                                          | 10.1                                        | 148                                         | 51.6                                       |
## Discussion

Australia’s population is ageing and the most rapidly expanding segment of the population is the ≥85 years age group. Over the next several decades, population ageing is expected to have significant implications for health care in Australia. Gaps in our knowledge about the oldest-old exist, particularly with regards to hospitalised nonagenarians. To our knowledge, only one other study has focused on hospitalised nonagenarians in Australia. There is a need for more clinical information about this group, which disproportionately contributes to social and health care costs.

### Patient demographics

This study comprised of a large group of the oldest-old who were hospitalised under the care of a Geriatric Unit in a tertiary hospital. Although we expected this to be a frailer cohort living in residential care, the majority of patients in this cohort were: female (73.2%); community-dwelling prior to admission (62.0%); and, only admitted to the index catchment hospital once during the 6 month study period (89.5%). We did not have access to information regarding admission to other hospitals, which may make this an under-estimate of the re-admissions within 6 months. Hospitalisation precipitated a change in accommodation status to a higher level of care in at least a fifth of patients. The proportion transferred to nursing home care more than doubled. The final discharge destination of the 20% who were transferred to the Rehabilitation Unit is unknown. It is probable that a proportion of these would have required a higher level of care (including nursing home care) compared to pre-admission, further adding to the burden of care.

These patient demographic characteristics are similar to those from the study at Flinders Medical Centre, Adelaide, South Australia. They found that the majority of patients were: women (73%), community-dwelling (52%), only admitted to hospital once during the 12 month study period (69%); and, median age was 92 years. Studies in other countries have also found that the majority of nonagenarians are female and community-dwelling.

### Admission diagnosis & co-morbid medical problems

The 3 commonest admission diagnoses (as well as the most frequently documented co-morbid medical problems) were: falls/trauma, cardiovascular disease and neuropsychiatric disease, as expected in this population. Orthopaedic and cardiovascular problems were also found to be the major admission diagnoses in the other Australian study.

### Geriatric giants

#### “Immobility”& “Instability

“Immobility” and, particularly, “Instability” were clearly “Geriatric Giants” in this study group. Although the majority of patients were reported as independently ambulant pre-admission, this number decreased significantly at the time of discharge. Both the medical condition prompting admission and the hospital admission itself are likely to have contributed to this reduction in mobility.

Inpatient care was associated with an improvement in serial FIM scores in some patients and deterioration in others. Overall, however, there was an improvement in total FIM score of 5.24 points, predominantly due to gains in the motor component. Other studies have also shown that gains in FIM score are predominantly due to gains in the motor component. In a study by Kervorkian et al., mean gain in total FIM score was 14.42 points (almost entirely due to gains in motor FIM score), significantly higher than in our study. However, the latter study related to nonagenarians on a Rehabilitation Unit, where higher gains in motor FIM score would be expected.

A very high proportion (82.2%) of patients had a documented history of falls, with recurrent falls in at least 38.7%. Falls/trauma was the commonest admission diagnosis, being the primary diagnosis in 30.0% of admissions. Falls/trauma are prominent in many studies focusing on nonagenarians.

As expected, a high proportion of patients had documented osteoporosis (44.9%) and minimal trauma fractures (42.2%), with a significant female predominance for osteoporosis (57.1% versus 11.7%) and fracture (54.3% versus 9.1%). These figures are likely to be an under-estimate, as asymptomatic fractures (particularly vertebral) are less likely to be diagnosed and documented.

#### Incontinence

High frequencies of incontinence (urinary & faecal) were documented. Proportions did not change significantly by discharge, suggesting chronicity and lack of reversibility.

#### Intellectual impairment (dementia/delirium/depression)

Documentation of dementia or cognitive impairment was present in 42.5% of patients and was higher in females (46.2% versus 32.5%). Higher prevalence of dementia/cognitive impairment in females has been demonstrated in many studies. Prevalence of dementia increases with increasing age. This is well demonstrated in a large (1 424 people), community-based, population study in Sweden, which.

---

### Table Continued

| Deceased status | Deceased during admission | Deceased within 6 months after admission | Deceased between 6-12 months after admission | Deceased between 12-24 months after admission | Cumulative number deceased within 24 months after admission |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age <90 years   | 4                        | 9.8                                    | 6                                             | 14.6                                          | 18                                                            |
| Age ≥90 years   | 22                       | 8.9                                    | 54                                            | 22.0                                          | 130                                                           |

| No. | %   | No. | %   | No. | %   | No. | %   | No. | %   |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 4    | 9.8 | 6   | 14.6| 2   | 4.9 | 6   | 14.6| 18  | 43.9|
| 22   | 8.9 | 54  | 22.0| 31  | 12.6| 23  | 9.3 | 130 | 52.8|
showed an increase in the prevalence of dementia from 13% in 77-84 year olds, to 37% in 90-94 year olds, to 48% in ≥95 year olds.²⁷ Börjesson-Hanson et al.,²⁸ studied the prevalence of dementia in 95 year olds. They determined the prevalence to be 51.3%, and higher in women (55% versus 37%). In a Rochester study of 111 patients aged 90-100 years, 49.5% were found to have Mild Cognitive Impairment or dementia.²⁹ Our results are consistent with the aforementioned studies. In a community-based, cross-sectional study of the oldest old (≥90 years) living in Stockholm, Sweden, prevalence of dementia and cognitive impairment (MMSE <24) were 42.2% and 63.8% for females, and 24.7% and 47.1% for males, respectively.³⁰ Our study is likely to have under-estimated the prevalence of cognitive impairment, as the prevalence of mild cognitive impairment is not always documented and MMSE was only performed on half of the patients.

A documented history of delirium, or clinical picture suggestive of delirium, was present in 44.9%. Recording of CAM scores was poor, making interpretation unreliable.

Depression was documented in 32.1% of patients. This is lower than a study previously conducted at this institution, which suggested possible depressive symptoms in 42.7% of hospitalised elderly and 53.3% of community-dwelling elderly. In the current study, prevalence of depression was based on documentation of depression rather than on GDS scores (which were only recorded in 35.9%). It is possible that the diagnosis may have been missed as patients were not screened. Our results, however, are higher than studies using strict criteria for depression. A number of patients are labelled with “depression” despite not strictly fulfilling diagnostic criteria. A population-based study of 329 nonagenarians in Stockholm, Sweden, found the prevalence of Major Depressive Episode (using DSM-IV criteria) to be 7.9%, and the prevalence of mild/moderate/severe Depressive Episode (using ICD-10 criteria) to be 9.1%.³¹

Iatrogenesis

Polypharmacy was common and hospitalisation did not result in a reduction in polypharmacy. However, there was a change in types of medications prescribed that may be deemed appropriate. Hospital admission was associated with an increase in the number of patients prescribed vitamin D, calcium and bisphosphonates. Despite this, the proportion on treatment at the time of discharge remained suboptimal. There was a decrease in the prescription of cardiovascular medications and anxiolytics/sedatives/hypnotics. Falls and fracture prevention/protection may have been the major reason for some of these changes.

Length of hospital admission

As expected, there was a wide variation in the length of hospital admission (1–207 days). The longer length of stay of the cohort of patients aged <90 years may be reflective of the admission criteria and medical characteristics of a large group of the oldest-old (predominantly nonagenarians), hospitalised under the care of a Geriatric Unit. On the whole, this patient population is quite frail, with multiple co-morbid medical problems, a high prevalence of the Geriatric Giants, and alarmingly high mortality rates, including in-hospital, 1-year and 2-year mortality rates. Hospitalisation appears to be a significant marker of frailty, morbidity and mortality risk.

Mortality

Estimated mortality was quite high in this cohort, with an in-hospital, 6 month and 12 month mortality of 9%, 30% and 42% respectively. Only half of the patients were alive by 2 years. In-hospital mortality reported was similar to the South Australian study.³² A higher total in-hospital mortality of 23.1% (5.5% emergency department mortality and 17.6% in-hospital mortality) and a slightly higher 1-year mortality (49.2%) were reported in the Japanese study.³³

Study limitations and strengths

This study has some limitations. There may be a selection bias as we did not assess patients aged ≥90 years who were not admitted to the Unit. As a retrospective audit, it is reliant on the accuracy of documentation in case notes. Some information, particularly relating to co-morbid medical problems and “Geriatric Giants”, may be incomplete and may under-estimate their true extent. It is also possible that patients may not fulfil all diagnostic criteria for a particular diagnosis, leading to an over-estimate of their true prevalence. Interpretation by the reviewer was required when there was conflicting data in the notes or when symptoms suggestive of a diagnosis, rather than an actual diagnosis, were listed (e.g. delirium and cognitive impairment). MMSE, CAM and GDS scores were poorly recorded.

The major strengths of this study are two-fold. Firstly, selection bias was minimised by the study including the great majority of nonagenarians requiring medical admission, (beyond the 72 hour limit of the AAU), to the tertiary teaching hospital involved. Secondly, information was collected from a combination of medical, nursing and allied health records by a single observer. This improved the completeness and accuracy of data and avoided inter-observer variability in data interpretation.

Conclusion

This study comprehensively documents the demographic and medical characteristics of a large group of the oldest-old (predominantly nonagenarians), hospitalised under the care of a Geriatric Unit. On the whole, this patient population is quite frail, with multiple co-morbid medical problems, a high prevalence of the Geriatric Giants, and alarmingly high mortality rates, including in-hospital, 1-year and 2-year mortality rates. Hospitalisation appears to be a significant marker of frailty, morbidity and mortality risk.
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