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Abstract

Background and objective:

Recent years, some Chinese scholars have applied Shuilu Erxian Dan (SED) to the treatment of treating diabetic kidney disease (DKD) and achieved well curative effect. However, these studies are mostly limited to clinical observation. This study aimed to explore the molecular mechanisms of SED in treating DKD.

Methods

The active components of SED were retrieved in TCMSP database and BATMAN-TCM database, and the herbal targets were obtained by drugbank database and SwissTargetPrediction platform. The gene expression data of DKD patients were downloaded from GEO database and analyzed to obtain DKD-related targets. The ingredient-target network and the PPI network were constructed by Cytoscape software. The clusterProfiler package of R software is used for bioinformatic analysis. Molecular docking was further applied to verify the interaction between compounds and targets by Autodock Vina software.

Results

610 differential expressed genes of DKD patients were obtained, and 29 potential targets of SED against DKD were screened out (including PPTGS2, FABP3, HSD17B2, FABP1, HSD11B2, CYP27B1, JUN, UGT2B7, VCAM1, CA2, MAOA, MMP2, CXCR1, SLC22A6, EPHX2, SLC47A1, FOS, EGF, CCL2, COL3A1, GSTA1, GSTA2, HSPA1A, DAO, ALDH2, ALB, GPR18, FPR2, and LPL). All the active ingredients in SED can act on the DKD-related targets, among which quercetin, Ellagic acid, and kaempferol may be the key active compounds. SED may play a therapeutic role in DKD by regulating pathways including “Fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis”, “AGE – RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications” and “IL-17 signaling pathway”.

Conclusion

This study suggests that the mechanism of SED treating DKD is a complex network with multi-target and multi-pathway, which provides a reference for future experimental studies.

Introduction

According to the IDF Diabetes Atlas (9th edition), approximately 463.0 million adults aged 20–79 years worldwide (9.3% of all adults in this age group) have diabetes mellitus (DM), and it is estimated that this number will increase to 700.2 million (10.9%) in 2045 [1]. Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) refers to chronic kidney disease caused by diabetes [2], and is one of the most common diabetic microvascular
complications. DKD was formerly known as diabetic nephropathy (DN). In 2014, the American diabetes Association (ADA) and the National Kidney Foundation (KNF) reached a consensus and officially renamed DKD [2]. The data [3] revealed that DKD was found in 20%- 40% of DM patients. DKD is characterized by increased glomerular filtration rate and urinary albumin excretion [4], and the lesions can affect the whole kidney (including glomerulus, renal tubules, renal vessels and renal interstitium) [5]. Presently, DKD has become the main cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [6, 7], and about 50% of DKD patients will eventually develop ESRD [8]. The medical cost of ESRD patients is staggering [9, 10]. Moreover, compared with DM patients without DKD, the cardiovascular risk and mortality of DKD patients were significantly increased [11, 12]. The pathogenesis of DKD is complex and is currently thought to be related to insulin resistance, oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, overactive renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), and renal hemodynamics changes [13, 14]. Currently, the treatment for DKD include reducing cardiovascular risk, controlling blood glucose, controlling blood pressure, and inhibiting the renin-angiotensin system, but their effects are limited [6, 7, 15].

In China, Chinese herbal medicine is a way for physicians to against DKD, and there is growing evidence to prove that Chinese herbal medicine has its unique advantages in treating DKD [16–19]. Shuilu Erxian Dan (SED) is a traditional Chinese medicine compound, which is composed of two herbs, Fructus Rosae Laevigatae and Semen Euryales. It was first mentioned in “hongshi jiyanfang”, an ancient Chinese book of Southern Song Dynasty (AD 1170) [20]. "Shuilu" in Chinese means water and land, respectively referring to the growing environment of the two herbs. Fructus Rosae Laevigatae is the dry ripe fruit of Rosa Laevigata Michx (family Rosaceae), which grows in mountain, while Semen Euryales is the dry ripe seed of Euryale ferox Salisb (family Water-lily), which grows in water. According to the theory of Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), SED has the effect of tonifying kidney and astringing, and it was first used to treat spermatorrhea, enuresis and leukorrhagia. Recent years, some Chinese scholars have applied it to the treatment of DKD and achieved well curative effect [21–25]. However, these studies are mostly limited to clinical observation. It is necessary to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms of SED in the treatment of DKD.

Due to the numerous chemical ingredients, Chinese herbal compound often acts on multiple targets of the diseases with complex pathophysiology. Also, it leaves a tremendous challenge for researchers who want to deeply explore the mechanisms behind the efficacy of Chinese herbal compounds. As a new developing subject, network pharmacology was first proposed by Andrew I Hopkins in 2007 [26], which can reveals the complex network connections among drugs, targets and diseases by the techniques of high-throughput screening, omics, network visualization, molecular docking and so on [27]. The systematic and comprehensive characteristics of network pharmacology have something in common with the wholism of TCM. Therefore, in recent years, network pharmacology has gradually penetrated into the research field of Chinese herbal compound [28, 29]. Our study is the first to identify the bioactive ingredients of SED and explore its mechanisms in DKD treatment by using network pharmacology approach.
Materials And Methods

2.1 Active ingredients screening

The ingredients of *Fructus Rosae Laeavigatae* and *Semen Euryales* were searched and collected from “Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology Database and Analysis Platform” [30] (TCMSP, http://tcmsp.com/tcmsp.php, updated on May 31, 2014) and “Bioinformatics Analysis Tool for Molecular mechanism of Traditional Chinese Medicine” [31] (BATMAN-TCM, http://bionet.ncpsb.org/batman-tcm/, updated on January 2016). To screen the active ingredients of SED by the key criteria of oral bioavailability (OB) ≥ 30% [32] and drug likeness (DL) ≥ 0.18 [33].

2.2 Identification of herbal targets

Potential targets of the active ingredients were searched in DrugBank database [34] (https://www.drugbank.ca/, updated on January 03, 2020). Download the 3D structures of the active compounds in PubChem database [35] (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), and upload the 3D structures to SwissTargetPrediction online platform [36] (http://www.swisstargetprediction.ch) for obtaining the predicted targets of each compound. The targets obtained from the two platforms were summarized and the duplicates were removed, and the target names were uniformly converted to gene symbol through the Uniprot database [37] (https://www.uniprot.org/).

2.3 Acquisition of DKD-related targets

The gene expression data (microdissected glomeruli samples) of DKD patients and non-DKD controls were downloaded from GEO database [38] (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/?term, Series: GSE96804; Platforms: GPL17586; Samples: GSM2544275- GSM2544335). The limma package [39] (Version 3.42.0) of R software (Version 3.6.1) was used for differential expressed analysis of the gene expression profile. Genes with FDR < 0.05 and |log 2(fold change)| > 1 were considered as DKD-related targets.

2.4 Construction of ingredient-target network and PPI network

The compound targets were intersected with the DKD-related targets to obtain the SED-DKD intersection targets. Then, the data of the compounds and its corresponding intersection targets was imported into the Cytoscape 3.7.2 software [40] to construct the ingredient-target network, and the network topology parameters were calculated by the “NetworkAnalyzer” tool [41]. The PPI network of SED-DKD intersection targets was constructed by BisoGenet 3.0.0 plugin [42] of Cytoscape software, which was set as “input nodes and its neighbors”. PPI data sources include “Biological General Repository for Interaction Datasets” [43] (BioGRID), “IntAct Molecular Interaction Database” [44] (IntAct), “Database of Interacting Proteins” [45] (DIP), “Human Protein Reference Database” [46] (HPRD), “Biomolecular Interaction Network Database” [47] (BIND) and “The Molecular INTERaction Database” [48] (MINT). The parallel edges and selfloops in the PPI network were then removed and the topology parameters were calculated using the
CytoNCA plugin [49]. Degree centrality (DC) and betweenness centrality (BC) were used as screening indicators to obtain the final core PPI network.

### 2.5 Bioinformatic analysis

First, gene symbols were converted to Entrez IDs using the “org.Hs.eg.db” package (Version 3.8.2) of R software, and then the “clusterProfiler” package [50] (Version 3.12.0) was used for GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of the SED-DKD intersection targets with FDR < 0.05. The pathway-target network were visualized by Cytoscape software.

### 2.6 Molecular docking

The SED-DKD intersection targets in the core PPI network and the targets with the highest degree in the pathway-target network were used as receptors for molecular docking with the corresponding compounds. The 3D structures of the compounds were constructed by ChemBio3D Ultra 14.0 software and optimized with MMFF94 force field. The 3D structures of the target proteins were downloaded from RCSB Protein Data Bank [51] (http://www.rcsb.org). And AutoDockTools 1.5.6 software was used to pretreat the proteins, including removal water molecules, removal ligand molecules, and protonate 3D hydrogenation. The semi-flexible docking calculation was carried out using Autodock Vina 1.1.2 [52], the exhaustiveness set to 20, and the rest use default parameters. The conformations with the lowest binding energy were analyzed and plotted by Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) 2015 [53].

### Result

### 3.1 Active ingredients and targets of SED

8 active ingredients of *Fructus Rosae Laevigatae* and 2 active ingredients of *Semen Euryales* were retrieved. The Mol ID, molecule name, OB value, DL value, ingredient source and database source of each ingredient are shown in Table 1. And a total of 527 targets of *Fructus Rosae Laevigatae* and 184 targets of *Semen Euryales* were obtained.

**Table 1.** Active ingredients in SED
| Mol ID     | Ingredient                          | OB (%) | DL   | Herbal               | Database         |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------------------|
| MOL008622 | Methyl trametenolate                 | 42.88  | 0.82 | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | TCMSP, BATMAN-TCM |
| MOL000358 | beta-sitosterol                      | 36.91  | 0.75 | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | TCMSP            |
| MOL000098 | quercetin                           | 46.43  | 0.28 | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | TCMSP            |
| MOL008628 | 4'-Methyl-N-methylcoclaurine         | 53.43  | 0.26 | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | TCMSP, BATMAN-TCM |
| MOL000422 | kaempferol                          | 41.88  | 0.24 | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | TCMSP            |
| MOL005030 | gondoic acid                        | 30.7   | 0.2  | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | TCMSP            |
| MOL001494 | Mandenol                            | 42     | 0.19 | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | TCMSP            |
| MOL001002 | Ellagic acid                        | 43.06  | 0.43 | Fructus Rosae Laevigatae | BATMAN-TCM       |
| MOL002773 | beta-carotene                       | 37.18  | 0.58 | Semen Euryales       | TCMSP            |
| MOL007180 | vitamin-e                           | 32.29  | 0.7  | Semen Euryales       | TCMSP            |

### 3.2 DKD-related targets

610 DKD-related targets were identified by analyzing the gene expression arrays data downloaded from GEO database. The distribution of the differentially expressed genes is shown in Fig. 1, the red dots in the figure represent the up-regulated genes in DKD patients, the green dots represent the down-regulated genes, and the black dots represent the genes with insignificant differences.

### 3.3 Ingredient-target network

There are twenty-nine intersection genes of SED targets and DKD-related targets, including PPTGS2, FABP3, HSD17B2, FABP1, HSD11B2, CYP27B1, JUN, UGT2B7, VCAM1, CA2, MAOA, MMP2, CXCR1, SLC22A6, EPHX2, SLC47A1, FOS, EGF, CCL2, COL3A1, GSTA1, GSTA2, HSPA1A, DAO, ALDH2, ALB, GPR18, FPR2, and LPL. The ingredient-target network is shown in Fig. 2, the edges between the nodes represent the compound-target interaction. All of the 10 active ingredients we retrieved could act on the corresponding DKD-related targets. Degree is one of the most important topological parameters, the node
degree of a node is the number of edges linked to it [54]. The three compounds with the highest degree value in the ingredient-target network are quercetin, Ellagic acid and kaempferol, which respectively act on 12, 9, and 8 targets.

### 3.4 PPI network

Figure 3. PPI network. Note: (A) The PPI network of SED-DKD Intersection targets. (B) The PPI network extracted from A with degree centrality (DC) > 48. (C) The core PPI network extracted from B with betweenness centrality (BC) > 157.9. The pink node represents that the node belongs to one of the SED-DKD intersection targets.

### 3.5 Bioinformatics analysis

Two hundred and twenty-two GO terms were significantly enriched, 190 in Biological Process (BP), 10 in Cellular Components (CC), and 22 in Molecular Function (MF). The complete data of GO analysis are shown in Supplementary Table 1, and part of the results are shown in Fig. 4. The results of KEGG pathway analysis show that the 20 targets could be mapped to 27 signaling pathways, as shown in Fig. 5. Larger size of the node in Fig. 5 represents the higher degree value.

### 3.6 Molecular docking

As shown in Fig. 3C, the SED-DKD intersection targets in the core PPI network include JUN, FOS, VCAM1 and HSPA1A. The three target proteins with the highest degree value in the pathway-target network (Fig. 6) are JUN, FOS and CCL2, and the degrees are 15, 14 and 7, respectively. FOS, JUN, VCAM1, HSPA1A and CCL2 were used as receptor proteins for molecular docking with the corresponding compounds (Fig. 2). The results of molecular docking are shown in Table 2, and the specific docking modes are shown in Fig. 6.

**Table 2.** Molecular docking
Discussion

In ancient China, there was no disease name of DKD, which was mostly classified as "edema", "consumptive disease", "Guan Ge" and other categories [56]. According to theory of TCM, the key pathogenesis of DKD lies in the deficiency of kidney qi and the loss of storing function [56–58]. *Fructus Rosae Laevigatae* can astringe and preserve the kidney essence [59], *Semen Euryales* can benefit the kidney to preserve the essence [60]. The compatibility of the two herbs provides synergistic effect. The increase of urinary albumin excretion is one of the important diagnostic and evaluation indicators of DKD, strategies that can reduce albuminuria are associated with renal protection [61–66] and additional cardiovascular protection [67] in DKD patients. TCM believes that the kidney as the storehouse of essential qi stores essence and is the root of storage [68]. Albumin is one of the essence of human body. Due to the deficiency of kidney qi and the loss of storing function, the albumin of DKD patients is lost in
urination. By tonifying kidney and astringing, SED can gradually restore the renal physiological function of storing essence and reduce the albuminuria. Jinsong Jin et al. [69] proved that SED extract could effectively reduce the albuminuria and improve the nutritional status in adriamycin-induced nephropathy rats. In addition, it was found that *Fructus Rosae Laevigatae* played a protective role in the kidney of streptozotocin-induced DKD rats by inhibiting oxidative stress [70]. *Semen Euryales* may reduce albuminuria and delay the progress of DKD by up-regulating the expression of renal SOCS-3 and inhibiting the overexpression of renal IGF-1 in rats [71]. However, due to the "multi-ingredient, multi-target" characteristics of Chinese herbal compound, these studies cannot reveal the mechanisms of SED acting on DKD comprehensively and systematically.

By constructing the ingredient-target network (Fig. 2), we found that all the active compounds in SED can act on the DKD-related targets, which indicated that SED has a strong pertinence in treating DKD. All of the 10 active compounds can affect multiple targets, among which quercetin, Ellagic acid and kaempferol can act on the most targets, so these three compounds may be the crucial active ingredients of SED in treating DKD. In addition, many of these compounds have common targets, suggesting that different compounds may provide synergistic effects. Quercetin belongs to the flavonol group of polyphenolic compounds, which functions as antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-cancer, anti-diabetic, immunomodulatory, etc [72]. The existing studies [73–75] have provided convincing evidence on the renoprotective effects of quercetin in both animal and cell models of DKD. In addition to its antiviral, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-cancer and anti-diabetic properties, Ellagic acid also exerts hepatoprotective effect [76]. Ellagic acid has been shown to ameliorate renal function and renal pathology in streptozotocin-induced DKD rats by inhibiting the NF-κB pathway and the accumulation of AGEs (advanced glycation end products) in kidney [77–79]. Kaempferol has similar pharmacological effects to quercetin and is used in the treatment of diabetes, metabolic syndrome, liver injury, cancer, etc [80]. Sharma D et al. [81] found that Kaempferol can attenuate DKD by inhibiting RhoA/Rho-kinase mediated inflammatory signaling in vitro.

In this study, we identified 29 potential targets of SED acting on DKD, and constructed the PPI networks of these 29 target proteins and their related proteins. The result shows that the target proteins can interact with each other, and there are as many as 1,399 proteins related to them, which form a complex interaction network. By calculating topological parameters of the network and screening the proteins, we obtained a core PPI network containing 209 proteins (Fig. 4C). Four SED-DKD intersection targets are included in the core PPI network: JUN, FOS, VCAM1 and HSPA1A. Activator protein-1 (AP-1) belongs to the basic–leucine-zipper family of transcription factors, and the most common form of AP-1 is a dimer of JUN protein and FOS protein [82]. High glucose/AngⅡ-mediated activation of AP-1 can lead to the proliferation of mesangial cells and the excessive accumulation of extracellular matrixs, which is a key pathologic feature of DKD [83, 84]. The full name of VCAM1 is vascular cell adhesion molecule 1. During the activation or damage of vascular endothelial cells, VCAM1 can be shed from the cell surface into the circulation, and soluble VCAM1 in the blood of DKD patients was found to be significantly increased, which was positively correlated with UACR (urine albumin: creatinine ratio) [85, 86]. HSPA1A is an
inflammation related protein, which is incriminated in the renal inflammation of DKD as the endogenous TLR ligand [87, 88].

The GO analysis of SED-DKD intersection targets enriched some interesting GO terms, such as “fatty acid metabolic process”, “regulation of lipid metabolic process”, “peroxisome”, “SMAD binding” and “glutathione transferase activity”. In nonadipose tissues, excess cytosolic free fatty acids (FFAs) can lead to cell dysfunction and death, a process known as “lipotoxicity”. Disturbed FFA metabolism and renal lipid accumulation are thought to be associated with DKD glomerulosclerosis and tubulointerstitial damage in DKD [89–91]. Peroxisome is a kind of microbody whose main function is to catalyze the β-oxidation of fatty acids and the hydrolysis of hydrogen peroxide [92]. The inactivation of peroxisomal catalase in DKD animal models can cause alterations of mitochondrial membrane potential, which stimulate the generation of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) [93]. Oxidative stress caused by excessive ROS production is considered to be an important factor in the occurrence and development of diabetic complications including diabetic nephropathy [94–97]. Members of the SMAD protein family act as signal integrators and interact with several DKD-related signaling pathways, among which Smad3 is pathogenic, Smad2 and Smad7 are protective [98, 99]. Glutathione-S-transferases represent a superfamily of enzymes involved in cell protection and detoxification, play an important role in protecting the body from oxidative stress products [100]. Glutathione-S-transferase activity is considered as one of the markers of severity in DKD patients [101].

Multiple signaling pathways were significantly enriched by KEGG analysis, among which "Fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis" is an important atherosclerosis-related pathway. DKD is closely related to cardiovascular disease. Microalbuminuria reflects generalized endothelial damage and is regarded as an early event in atherosclerosis [102]. Vascular endothelial dysfunction is also considered to be involved in the pathogenesis of DKD [103]. In addition, several traditional risk factor for atherosclerosis has been identified in DKD patients including high blood pressure, hyperlipaemia and procoagulatory state associated with endothelial dysfunction [104]. A lot of evidence supports the significance of “AGE – RAGE signaling pathway” in the pathogenesis of DKD, and its blockade seems to be an attractive therapeutic target [105]. "IL-17 signaling pathway" is believed to play a pro-inflammatory role in podocyte injury, mesangial expansion and renal fibrosis in DKD patients [106].

In our study, molecular docking was further applied to verify the interaction between compounds and targets. The combination with the lower binding energy scores is more stable, and the binding energy ≤ −5.0 kcal·mol⁻¹ was defined as the standard of well binding between ligands and receptors in some studies [107–109]. As shown in Table 2, the binding energies of all docking are less than −5 kcal·mol⁻¹. Take the complex with the lowest binding energy as an example, “Ellagic acis-HSPA1A” (Fig. 6l) was stabilized by five H-bonds with residues including Glu 175, Thr 13, Thr 14 and Asp 366.

**Conclusion**
This study explored the mechanism of SED in the treatment of DKD by means of network pharmacology. We identified 29 potential targets of SED acting on DKD, among which FOS, JUN, VCAM1, HSPA1A and CCL2 were the key targets. SED may play a therapeutic role in DKD by regulating pathways including “Fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis”, “AGE – RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications” and “IL-17 signaling pathway”. Quercetin, Ellagic acid, and kaempferol in SED may be the key active ingredients. The mechanism of SED treating DKD is a complex network with multi-target and multi-pathway. This study provides a scientific theoretical basis for the prevention and treatment of SED acting on DKD, and also provides a reference for researchers in related fields to further carry out experimental work.
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Figures
Figure 1

Volcano plot of DKD-Related differentially expressed genes. Note: The abscissa represents the fold changes in gene expression and the ordinate represents the statistical significance of the variations in gene expression.
Figure 2

Ingredient-target network. Note: The blue-green rectangles represent intersection targets, the orange ovals represent compounds from Fructus Rosae Laevigatae, the yellow ovals represent compounds from Semen Euryales.
Figure 3

PPI network. Note: (A) The PPI network of SED-DKD Intersection targets. (B) The PPI network extracted from A with degree centrality (DC) > 48. (C) The core PPI network extracted from B with betweenness centrality (BC) > 157.9. The pink node represents that the node belongs to one of the SED-DKD intersection targets.
Figure 4

GO analysis of SED-DKD intersection targets. Note: Only the top 20 terms with the lowest FDR are listed.
Figure 5

Pathway-target network.
Figure 6

Mode of molecular docking. Note: A stands for the virtual docking of beta-sitosterol and JUN. The virtual docking of quercetin with FOS, JUN, VCAM1, and CCL2 was represented by B, C, D, and E, respectively. F stands for the docking of F4'-Methyl-N-methylcoclaurine and JUN. The docking of kaempferol with JUN and VCAM1 was represented by G and H, respectively. I stands for the docking of Ellagic acid and HSPAIA. J stands for the docking of beta-carotene and JUN.
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