Epidemiology, etiology, and outcomes of in-hospital cardiac arrest in Lebanon
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND In-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) constitutes a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. As data is scarce in the Middle East and Lebanon, we devised this study to shed some light on it to better inform both hospitals and policymakers about the magnitude and quality of IHCA care in Lebanon.

METHODS We analyzed retrospective data from 680 IHCA events at the American University of Beirut Medical Center between July 1, 2016 and May 2, 2019. Sociodemographic variables included age and sex, in addition to the comorbidities listed in the Charlson comorbidity index. IHCA event variables were day, event location, time from activation to arrival, initial cardiac rhythm, and the total number of IHCA events. We also looked at the months and years. We considered the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and survival to discharge (StD) to be our outcomes of interest.

RESULTS The incidence of IHCA was 6.58 per 1,000 hospital admissions (95% CI: 6.09–7.08). Non-shockable rhythms were 90.7% of IHCA. Most IHCA cases occurred in the closed units (87.9%) (intensive care unit, respiratory care unit, neurology care unit, and cardiology care unit) and on weekdays (76.5%). ROSC followed more than half the IHCA events (56%). However, only 5.4% of IHCA events achieved StD. Both ROSC and StD were higher in cases with a shockable rhythm. Survival outcomes were not significantly different between day, evening, and nightshifts. ROSC was not significantly different between weekdays and weekends; however, StD was higher in events that happened during weekdays than weekends (6.7% vs. 1.9%, P = 0.002).

CONCLUSIONS The incidence of IHCA was high, and its outcomes were lower compared to other developed countries. Survival outcomes were better for patients who had a shockable rhythm and were similar between the time of day and days of the week. These findings may help inform hospitals and policymakers about the magnitude and quality of IHCA care in Lebanon.

In-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) constitutes a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. Based on the American Heart Association’s Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation (GWTG-R) registry data from 2003 to 2007, the approximated incidence of IHCA in the United States was 211,000 annually or roughly 6 to 7 cardiac arrests per 1,000 hospital admissions. Data from 2008 to 2017 showed the incidence of IHCA increased to 292,000 annually or 9 to 10 IHCA per 1,000 hospital admissions. In contrast, data from the United Kingdom National Cardiac Arrest Audit showed an incidence of 1.6 IHCA per 1,000 hospital admissions in the United Kingdom from 2011 to 2013. Despite progress in resuscitation technology and care, survival outcomes following IHCA remain low at 15%–25% and vary radically between 0% and 42% worldwide. Sandroni, et al. showed that various patient and healthcare-related factors are associated with the survival outcomes of IHCA.

The main patient-related factors are age, sex, initial cardiac rhythm, underlying medical condition, comorbidities, and the time of the IHCA event. In contrast, major healthcare-related factors are the...
protocols for IHCA care, duration and method of resuscitation, skills of healthcare professionals, time from code activation and the arrival of the code response team, and the location of the IHCA event.\(^5\)\(^7\)

The study by Chen, et al.\(^8\) suggests that improving the quality of resuscitation care and minimizing other healthcare-related risk factors can markedly increase survival outcomes from IHCA.\(^6\)\(^9\)

Consistent and updated estimates of the magnitude and outcomes of IHCA are fundamental for monitoring and improving the delivery and quality of IHCA care in any healthcare setting. In Lebanon, studies have shown low survival rates (5.5%) from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.\(^10\)

The reported incidence of IHCA in the United Arab Emirates was 11.7 per 1,000 hospital admissions,\(^6\) and in Saudi Arabia was 7.76 per 1,000 hospital admissions.\(^11\) The reported survival to hospital discharge in the United States was only 10.4%,\(^12\) and it was only 7.9% in the United Kingdom.\(^13\) However, unlike European countries and the United States, the epidemiology of IHCA is unknown in Lebanon, suggesting the need for research in this area. Therefore, this study aimed to produce the first estimates of the incidence, characteristics, and outcomes of IHCA at a tertiary-care hospital in Lebanon.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Setting**

Retrospective data analysis was performed on 680 IHCA events between July 1, 2016 and May 2, 2019. The Institutional Review Board of the American University of Beirut approved this study (No.Bio-2017-0514).

This retrospective chart review study was conducted at the American University of Beirut Medical Center, which is the largest academic tertiary care center in Lebanon, and a major referral center in Lebanon and the region with over 400 beds. Each hospital unit is equipped with an emergency crash cart that contains all necessary equipment, medications, and defibrillators for resuscitating patients with cardiac arrest. The American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines are applied in the hospital resuscitation policy. The hospital has a medical emergency response team (Code team) that provides resuscitation care. The Code team consisted of an internal medicine resident, an intensive care unit (ICU) nurse, a respiratory therapist, and a nurse supervisor. All members of the Code team are certified in Basic Life Support and Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support for adults. The Code team is only called for medical emergencies that include cardiac arrest or respiratory failure. The Code team is called when a patient is found unresponsive, with no pulse, not breathing, or gasping for air. Any healthcare provider can activate the Code Blue in the hospital.

**Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria**

All patients who are ≥ 18 years old, experienced an IHCA event, and underwent resuscitation between July 1, 2016 and May 2, 2019 were included in the analysis.

All patients who are < 18 years old, presented to the Emergency Department in cardiac arrest, and have missing vital information in their charts were excluded in the analysis.

**Study Variables**

Sociodemographic variables we included are the age and sex of patients who experienced an IHCA event. We defined an IHCA event according to the AHA Consensus Statement definition as a cardiac arrest that occurs in a hospital and for which resuscitation was attempted with chest compressions, defibrillation, or both.\(^3\) To know if there is a relationship between the overall prognosis of patients before IHCA and the survival outcomes following the IHCA event, the Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) (predicts ten-year survival in patients with multiple comorbidities) was calculated for every patient who experienced an IHCA event.\(^14\)

Variables related to the IHCA event included the time of the day [day (07:00–17:00) versus evening (17:01–23:00) versus nightshifts (23:01–06:59)], the day of the week [weekdays (Monday to Friday) versus weekends (Saturday and Sunday)], the location of the IHCA event, the time needed for the Code team for arrival at the scene, the initial cardiac rhythm that caused the cardiac arrest, and the total number of IHCA events. The outcome variables were the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and survival to discharge (StD) from the hospital (Table 1).
Table 1 Characteristics and outcomes of patients who experienced an IHCA at the American University of Beirut Medical Center between July 1, 2016 and May 2, 2019.

| Variables                        | IHCA      | ROSC      | P-value | Std      | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|
| All                              | 680       | 380 (55.9%) |         | 37 (5.6%) |         |
| Sex                              |           |           |         |          |         |
| Male                             | 466 (68.5%) | 258 (55.4%) | 0.641   | 25 (5.4%) | 0.773   |
| Female                           | 214 (31.5%) | 122 (57.3%) |         | 12 (5.6%) |         |
| Age, yrs                         | 68 ± 17   | 69 ± 18  | 0.36    | 64 ± 20  | 0.13    |
| 18–39                            | 66 (9.7%)  | 38 (58.5%) | 0.575   | 7 (11.1%) | 0.108   |
| 40–59                            | 104 (15.3%) | 50 (48.5%) |         | 6 (6.1%)  |         |
| 60–69                            | 122 (17.9%) | 69 (56.6%) |         | 3 (2.5%)  |         |
| 70–79                            | 205 (30.1%) | 119 (58.0%) |         | 14 (7.0%) |         |
| ≥ 80                             | 183 (26.9%) | 104 (56.8%) |         | 7 (3.9%)  |         |
| Initial cardiac rhythm           |           |           | 0.001   | < 0.001  |         |
| Shockable rhythm                 | 63 (9.3%)  | 39 (61.9%) |         | 12 (19.7%) |         |
| VF                               | 14 (2.1%)  | 9 (64.3%)  |         | 3 (21.4%) |         |
| VT                               | 31 (4.6%)  | 24 (77.4%) |         | 9 (31.0%) |         |
| VF/VT                            | 18 (2.6%)  | 6 (33.3%)  |         | 0        |         |
| Non-shockable rhythm             | 617 (90.7%) | 341 (55.4%) |         | 25 (4.2%) |         |
| Asystole                         | 207 (30.4%) | 92 (44.4%) |         | 2 (1.0%)  |         |
| PEA                              | 331 (48.7%) | 190 (57.6%) |         | 10 (3.1%) |         |
| PEA/Asystole                     | 79 (11.6%)  | 59 (74.7%) |         | 13 (17.3%) |         |
| Location                         |           |           |         |          |         |
| Closed units                     | 598 (87.9%) | 334 (55.9%) | 0.979   | 25 (4.3%) | < 0.001 |
| Non-closed units                 | 82 (12.1%)  | 46 (56.1%)  |         | 12 (15.4%) |         |
| Number of IHCA events            |           |           |         |          |         |
| 1                                | 302 (44.4%) | 99 (32.9%)  | < 0.001 | 31 (10.5%) | < 0.001 |
| 2                                | 142 (20.9%) | 92 (64.8%)  |         | 2 (1.5%)  |         |
| 3                                | 84 (12.4%)  | 64 (76.2%)  |         | 4 (4.8%)  |         |
| 4                                | 60 (8.8%)   | 47 (78.3%)  |         | 0        |         |
| 5                                | 50 (7.4%)   | 40 (80.0%)  |         | 0        |         |
| ≥ 6                              | 42 (6.2%)   | 38 (90.5%)  |         | 0        |         |
| Time from activation to arrival, min |         |           |         |          |         |
| < 3                              | 621 (93.8%) | 346 (55.7%) | 0.725   | 28 (4.6%) | 0.011   |
| ≥ 3                              | 41 (6.2%)   | 24 (58.5%)  |         | 6 (15.8%) |         |
| Time of IHCA event               |           |           |         |          |         |
| Day (7:00–14:59)                 | 262 (38.8%) | 158 (60.3%) | 0.19    | 19 (7.5%) | 0.132   |
| Evening (15:00–22:59)            | 218 (32.3%) | 116 (53.2%) |         | 9 (4.2%)  |         |
| Nightshifts (23:00–6:59)         | 195 (28.9%) | 103 (53.1%) |         | 7 (3.7%)  |         |
| Days of week                     |           |           |         |          |         |
| Weekday (Monday–Friday)          | 520 (76.5%) | 291 (56.1%) | 0.921   | 34 (6.7%) | 0.026   |
| Weekend (Saturday–Sunday)        | 160 (23.5%) | 89 (55.6%)  |         | 3 (1.9%)  |         |
| CCI (ten-year survival)          |           |           | 0.317   |          | 0.061   |
| 0–2 (90%–98%)                    | 93 (14.1%)  | 51 (54.8%)  |         | 11 (12.0%)|         |
| 3 (77%)                          | 87 (13.1%)  | 51 (59.3%)  |         | 4 (4.7%)  |         |
| 4–6 (2.2%–53%)                   | 257 (38.6%) | 135 (52.5%) |         | 13 (5.2%) |         |
| ≥ 7 (< 0.01%)                    | 228 (34.3%) | 138 (60.5%) |         | 9 (4.1%)  |         |

Data are presented as means ± SD or n (%). CCI: Charlson comorbidity index; IHCA: in-hospital cardiac arrest; PEA: pulseless electrical activity; ROSC: return of spontaneous circulation; StD: survival to discharge; VF: ventricular fibrillation; VT: ventricular tachycardia.
Data Collection

We extracted data from the electronic health records for the corresponding patients who experienced an IHCA event at the American University of Beirut Medical Center.

Statistical Analysis

We statistically analyzed our data using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS 23.0 (SPSS Inc., IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). We tabulated the variables as frequencies and percentages and continuous variables as mean ± SD. In line with the AHA Consensus Statement, the incidence of IHCA per 1,000 hospital admissions was calculated by dividing the total number of times that patients received chest compressions, defibrillation, or both by the number of patients admitted to the hospital during that period. We cross-tabulated outcome variables with risk factors and characteristics. We performed Pearson’s chi-squared test and Fisher’s exact probability test to estimate differences in proportions of outcomes. We used the Student’s t-test for statistical analysis of continuous variables. Odds ratios (OR) are calculated using logistic regression. We used the Clopper-Pearson confidence intervals when dealing with beta distributions of binomial data. We considered the P-value < 0.05 to be statistically significant. In our analysis, we considered each IHCA event to be distinct and independent unless otherwise specified.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics of Participants

A total of 680 IHCA events (68.5% of males) occurred during the three years under analysis (2016–2019). The age of our population was 68 ± 17 years old. IHCA events involved patients with multiple comorbidities. The comorbidities of patients with IHCA events are listed in Table 2.

Descriptive Data

The overall incidence of IHCA was 6.58 per 1,000 hospital admissions (95% CI: 6.09–7.08). Non-shockable rhythm (90.7%) was more common than the shockable rhythm (9.3%). Pulseless electrical activity (PEA) constituted the greatest contributor of the initial cardiac rhythms (48.7%), this was followed by asystole (30.4%), and 11.6% of events were unspecified non-shockable rhythm (PEA/Asystole). 4.6% of patients had ventricular tachycardia (VT), 2.1% of patients had ventricular fibrillation (VF), and 2.6% of patients had a non-specified shockable rhythm (VT/VF). 87.9% of the IHCA events occurred in the closed units (ICU, cardiology care unit, respiratory care unit, and neurology care unit). 44.4% of IHCA were documented in patients who had only one IHCA event. However, 22.4% of IHCA occurred in patients who had four or more IHCA events. The incidence was the lowest (5.0%) in 2018 and was the highest (8.0%) in 2017. Figure 1 shows the annual IHCA incidence and the outcomes rates. 180 (27.2%) of IHCA involved patients with a CCI that is less than four, i.e., only 27.2% of IHCA involved patients with a ten-year survival probability that is greater than 77%. Furthermore, 228 (34.3%) of IHCA included patients with a CCI that is greater than six (less than 0.01% ten-year survival chance). These findings are represented in Table 1.

Outcome Data

Overall, more than half the IHCA events ended up with ROSC (56%), 5.4% of which involved patients who survived to discharge from the hospital. Both ROSC (P = 0.379) and StD (P = 0.128) were not significantly different among age groups. IHCA events involving patients who presented with shockable rhythm had a higher ROSC and StD compared to non-shockable rhythms (61.9% vs. 55.4%, P = 0.32 and 19.7% vs. 4.2%, P < 0.001). StD was significantly higher in non-closed units compared with closed units (15.4% vs. 4.3%, P < 0.001), although achieving ROSC was not statistically different (56.1% vs. 55.9%, P = 0.98). Patients who experienced two or more IHCA events had a higher ROSC (P < 0.001); however, StD was lower than patients experiencing only one IHCA (P < 0.001). The time needed for arrival did not appear to influence much ROSC (55.7% vs. 58.5%, P = 0.73), but IHCA involving patients whose Code team needed ≥ 3 min to arrive were more likely to achieve StD (15.8% vs. 4.6%, P = 0.011). Weekends did not influence ROSC, but they did influence StD (56.6% vs. 55.1%, P = 0.92 and 1.9% vs. 6.7%, P = 0.026). The month’s association with ROSC was not statistically significant (P = 0.51). However, its association with StD was signi-
significant \( (P = 0.021) \). These findings are represented in Table 1. Changes in the CCI were not associated with a change in ROSC \( (P = 0.35 \) for CCI \( \geq 7 \) vs. CCI of \( 0-2) \). However, StD was much lower in IHCA of patients with a CCI \( \geq 7 \) vs. CCI of \( 0-2 \) \( (P = 0.011) \) (Table 3).

### DISCUSSION

#### Main Findings

This is the first study reporting the epidemiology of IHCA and its outcomes in Lebanon. In this study, the overall incidence of IHCA between July 1, 2016 and May 2, 2019 was 6.58 per 1,000 hospital admissions. The non-shockable rhythm was more common than the shockable cardiac rhythm at presentation. Most of the cases occurred in the closed units and on weekdays. 56% of IHCA involved patients who achieved ROSC. Only 5.4% of IHCA events survived to discharge from the hospital. Both ROSC and StD were higher in patients who presented with a shockable rhythm \( (P = 0.32 \) and \( P < 0.001 \), respectively). Discrepancies within shockable and non-shockable subgroups are in line with CCI associ-
ations, as we did a separate analysis of the initial cardiac rhythm’s association with CCI. Survival outcomes were not significantly different between day, evening, and nightshifts. The survival of the event was not significantly different between weekdays and weekends; however, Std was higher in IHCA events that happened during weekdays than weekends (P = 0.002). The impact of the medical team’s availability post arrest may play a role in this observation. ROSC was not associated with CCI (P = 0.32). However, Std was significantly different in IHCA patients with different CCI (ten-year survival estimate). IHCA involving patients with a CCI ≥ 7 had a decreased risk of Std as compared to a CCI of 0−2 (OR = 0.303, 95% CI: 0.12−0.76, P = 0.011) (Table 3). Thus, CCI may be used with caution to assess the mortality risk of patients in IHCA. The month’s association with ROSC was not statistically significant (P = 0.51). However, it showed an association with Std (P = 0.021). The reason behind this is unclear, there may be other hidden variables that contributed to this finding. Figure 2 shows the monthly rates of ROSC and Std.

Our study did not show any significant difference in ROSC between ≥ 3 min and < 3 min for the Code team to arrive (55.7% vs. 58.5%, P = 0.73). Still, IHCA involving patients whose Code team needed ≥ 3 min to arrive were more likely to achieve Std (15.8% vs. 4.6%, P = 0.011). The high percentage of IHCA in non-closed units in this group could explain this phenomenon. Our Code team consists mainly of an internal medicine resident rotating in a closed unit and a closed unit nurse. Consequently, the Code team usually takes < 3 min to arrive at the IHCA scene when it occurs in a closed unit and may take ≥ 3 min when it occurs outside the closed units. Patients who are outside the closed units tend to be less critically ill than those in the closed unit. Thus, IHCA involving patients who are outside the closed units are expected to achieve higher Std. In this study, the percentage of IHCA (in the group in which the Code team arrived in < 3 min) in closed units was 88.7% as compared to 11.3% in non-closed units. While the percentage of IHCA (in the group in which the Code team arrived in ≥ 3 min) in the closed units compared to non-closed units was 70.7% and 29.3%, respectively. IHCA of patients who experienced two or more IHCA events had a higher ROSC (P < 0.001). However, Std was lower than events involving patients suffering from only one IHCA (P < 0.001). This finding may be explained by the fact that patients who had more than two IHCA would have developed more complications than those who only had only one IHCA event, thus they would have a lower Std. However, we lack the data needed to support this proposition; further studies are needed to explain it.

![Figure 1 Annual IHCA incidence and outcome rates. Error bars are representative of 95% CI. IHCA: in-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC: return of spontaneous circulation; Std: survival to discharge.](image)

### Table 3 Charlson comorbidity index (ten-year survival predictor in patients with multiple comorbidities).

| Variable | ROSC |  |  | Std |  |
|----------|------|---|---|-----|---|
|          | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Charlson comorbidity index score |       |     |       |     |
| 0−2      | −    | −  | −    | −    | −  |
| 3        | 1.20 (0.66−2.17) | 0.55 | 0.355 (0.11−1.16) | 0.087 |
| 4−6      | 0.91 (0.57−1.47) | 0.70 | 0.391 (0.17−0.91) | 0.029 |
| ≥7       | 1.26 (0.78−2.06) | 0.35 | 0.303 (0.12−0.76) | 0.011 |

OR: odds ratios; ROSC: return of spontaneous circulation; Std: survival to discharge.
The incidence for Lebanon (6.58 per thousand) reported in our study between July 1, 2016 and May 2, 2019 was noticeably higher than 0.71–1.7 per thousand in Israel from 1995 to 2015,15 1.6 per thousand reported in the United Kingdom in 2011–2013,16 1.7 per thousand in Sweden from 2006 to 2015,17 1.5 per thousand described in Italy in 2012–2014,18 and 1.3–6.1 per thousand in population studies and 0.58–4.59 per thousand in cohort studies in Australia and New Zealand between 1987 and 2014.19 These differences, coupled with the high incidence of IHCA reported in our research, raise a concern and prompt the need for further longitudinal study and the development of the Lebanese National Register of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation.

Overall, 56% of IHCA achieved ROSC in this study, which was higher than other studies done in Canada (46.9%),41 Israel (12.8%–14.2%),15 Italy (52.8%),18 the United Arab Emirates (38.3%)6 and the United Kingdom (45.0%).16 However, Iran (61.0% in non-diabetic patients),20 South Korea (54.1%–69.5%),21 and Thailand (58.9%)22 have described better ROSC. Fennessy, et al.19 conducted a systematic review of thirty studies in Australia and New Zealand, including more than eleven million hospital admissions between 1987 and 2014. They reported that ROSC was achieved in 46.0% of patients and that ROSC improved from 31.8%–43.8% between 1987 and 2007 to 54.1%–58.3% between 2009 and 2014.

In our study, males represented 68.5% of IHCA events; the mean age of our population was 68 ± 17 years old. No statistically significant difference in ROSC (P = 0.641) and StD (P = 0.773) was found between males and females. This was similar to other studies in Canada,41 Italy,18 Israel,15 South Korea,21 Thailand,22 and the United Arab Emirates [ROSC (P = 0.269) and StD (P = 0.733)].6 However, females had a decreased thirty-day survival in Sweden.17

Both ROSC (P = 0.379) and StD (P = 0.128) were not different among age groups in this study, as well. Honarmand, et al.4 conducted a study in three Canadian tertiary-care centers that did not show an association between patient age and StD. In contrast, a systematic review of thirty studies done in Australia and New Zealand reported a negative association between age and survival in three studies.19 Moreover, a study in the United Arab Emirates found that the ROSC differed significantly with age (P = 0.047). However, the StD was not different among age groups (P = 0.063).6

The StD was 5.4% in this study. This is lower than that reported in Canada (13.1%),41 Taiwan (14.1%),23 Italy (14.8%),18 the GWTG-R database in the United States (12.7% for recurrent IHCA and 22.1% for non-recurrent IHCA),24 the United Kingdom (18.4%),16 the United Arab Emirates (7.7%),6 and the Swedish Register of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (28.5%).17 However, it is challenging to discover the reasons behind these differences without precise and consistent data on pre-arrest, intra-arrest, and post-arrest factors.

Approximately 90.7% of IHCA in our study had a non-shockable initial cardiac rhythm. This was higher than the other studies in Australia and New Zealand (68.6%),19 Thailand (71.8%),22 the United Kingdom (72.3%),6,18 and the study of recurrent IHCA (89.0%) and non-recurrent IHCA (79.9%) in the United States,24 yet lower than the data reported from Canada (91.9%)4 and the United Arab Emirates (91.1%).6 Multiple studies have shown that the survival outcomes are better when the first monitored rhythm is shockable rather than non-shockable.5,15-17,19 Similar to earlier studies, the ROSC in our study was 61.9% in IHCA involving patients with a shockable rhythm compared to 55.4% in a non-shockable rhythm.16

In our study, the most common location of the IHCA event was the closed units. These results are expected as patients admitted to closed units are normally in a more serious condition compared
with non-closed units.\textsuperscript{[5,6,19,25]} The response time to an IHCA event can affect the outcome and the patient’s survival. Sandroni, et al.\textsuperscript{[25]} reported that no patient survived if the response time was ≥ 6 min since the beginning of the IHCA to the beginning of the resuscitation.

The survival of IHCA by ROSC and StD was similar during the day (07:00–14:59) (60% and 7.5% respectively) versus the evening (15:01–22:59) (53.2% and 4.2% respectively) versus the nightshifts (23:00–06:59) (53.1% and 3.7% respectively) in our study, which is contradicting some existing studies showing that ROSC and StD are higher when IHCA occurs during the day time.\textsuperscript{[16,19,23–27]} The GWTG-R Registry in the United States conducted a study to examine the temporal relationship in survival differences between on-hours (07:00–22:59 Monday to Friday) and off-hours (23:00–06:59 Monday to Friday or anytime on weekends), IHCA using data from 151,071 adults at 470 United States hospitals during 2000 to 2014.\textsuperscript{[6,28]} The study showed that StD was significantly lower in patients who experienced an IHCA during off-hours (16.8%) compared with on-hours (20.6%, \(P < 0.001\)).\textsuperscript{[28]} A systematic review of IHCA in Australia and New Zealand, four studies found that daytime cardiac arrests were associated with better outcome with two reporting greater ROSC (41.4% vs. 17.0%, \(P < 0.001\)) and 58.9% vs. 41.0%, \(P = 0.04\)). The lack of a survival difference in our study might indicate a homogeneous quality of care provided both during daytime and night-time. Of course, our study could be underpowered to detect a clinically relevant difference.

In our study, the proportion of IHCA events during the weekend was 23.5%, and this was similar to the study by Radeschi, et al.\textsuperscript{[18]} in Italy, which showed that the weekend accounted for 28.5% of the IHCA events. Also, our study showed that weekends were not associated with ROSC. Still, they were associated with a decreased StD (56.6% vs. 55.1%, \(P = 0.92\) and 1.9% vs. 6.7%, \(P = 0.026\)) which is similar to the study conducted in the United Kingdom in which crude hospital StD suggested worse outcomes for arrests occurred at weekends (16.1%) than weekdays (19.3%).\textsuperscript{[18]}

**Strengths and Limitations**

We believe this to be the first study that has estimated the incidence of IHCA along with its consequences in Lebanon. It also assessed its various associated factors. However, the study has some limitations. Firstly, data were collected from only one medical center in Lebanon. American University of Beirut Medical Center, however, is the largest tertiary care and a major referral center in Beirut. The patients it serves are mainly representative of the population in Beirut, thus limiting the generalizability of the study findings to other hospitals in Lebanon. Secondly, our database did not collect information on the factors such as ethnicity, nationality, education status, or quality of care. Thirdly, neurological deficits are common in patients who had an IHCA; however, this study could not assess the rate of neurological deficits due to the unavailability of data. Last but not least, 11.6% of our sample lacked data on the initial cardiac rhythm during IHCA.

**Insinuations for Clinicians and Future Research**

The incidence of IHCA was relatively high, and StD was lower than other developed countries, and this may signify the need for improvements in patient care and monitoring during admission to prevent IHCA as well as post-resuscitation care. Also, the higher incidence of IHCA may be related to other factors such as low health literacy among the Lebanese population leading to late presentation and admission of patients with underlying severe acute or chronic health conditions that may lead to IHCA.\textsuperscript{[6]} However, we lack the data needed to support this proposal.

In this study, the non-shockable rhythm was more common than the shockable cardiac rhythm at presentation (90.7%). Survival outcomes (ROSC & StD) following IHCA events involving patients who presented with non-shockable rhythm were markedly lower than those of patients with shockable rhythm at presentation (55.4% vs. 61.9%, \(P = 0.32\) and 4.2% vs. 19.7%, \(P < 0.001\)). This highlights the need to develop protocols for post-resuscitation care of patients with non-shockable rhythm IHCA. Non-shockable rhythms may indicate a severe underlying illness that predicts a poor overall prognosis. This warrants discussing with the patient and family the expected outcomes and the patient’s code status to achieve desirable prudent outcomes.

Currently, there is a deficiency of data on post-discharge survival and longitudinal studies. Nationwide registries should collect longer-term follow-up
survival statistics after discharge. Post-discharge factors are essential for long-term prediction of morbidity and mortality. Future studies can implement follow-up methods such as telephone or new web-based data collection tools during the post-discharge follow-up period.

Finally, policymakers need to develop a Lebanese National Register of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation that collects detailed information on all IHCA to better describe the situation in Lebanon.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first study that has estimated the incidence and outcomes of IHCA and evaluated its factors in Lebanon. The incidence of IHCA was high, and its consequences were lower compared with other developed countries. Survival outcomes were better for IHCA involving patients with a shockable rhythm. They were similar between the time of day and the days of the week. Furthermore, the CCI may be used with caution as a predictor of StD following IHCA. We believe these findings may help inform hospitals and policymakers with the data needed to assess the magnitude and quality of IHCA care in Lebanon.
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