Fermented and nonfermented soy foods and the risk of breast cancer in a Japanese population-based cohort study
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Abstract

Background: Although preclinical studies suggest that fermented soy foods may have a protective effect against breast cancer, no prospective cohort studies have examined this association.

Objective: Our study examined the association between fermented and nonfermented soy food intake and breast cancer risk using a population-based prospective cohort study in Japan.

Methods: We included a total of 47,614 women aged 45–74 years in an analysis of the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study (JPHC Study). A validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used for the assessment of dietary intake. Breast cancer incidence was analyzed by multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models.

Results: During an average of 15.5 years of follow-up, 825 breast cancer cases were newly identified. We found no association of intake of soy foods with breast cancer risk, regardless of fermentation, with multivariate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the highest quartiles of fermented and nonfermented soy food intake of 0.94 (0.67, 1.32) and 1.15 (0.85, 1.57) compared with the lowest quartile (p for trend = 0.305 and 0.393). Unlike nonfermented soy, higher intake of fermented soy foods was associated with a significant decrease in the risk of nonlocalized breast cancer. The HR and 95% CI in the highest compared to lowest intake category of fermented soy foods was 0.53 (0.28, 0.99) versus nonfermented soy foods 0.85 (0.51, 1.42) (p for trend = 0.026 and 0.797).

Conclusions: Our analyses showed that fermented soy foods had no association with overall breast cancer but may be associated with decreased risk of nonlocalized breast cancer.
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Incidence rates of breast cancer vary among regions, with an age-standardized incidence rate per 100,000 of 34.4 in Asia and 84.8 in Northern America in 2018. Although the incidence rate has been historically lower in Asia than in Western countries, it has nevertheless more than tripled in the last three decades in Japan, from 24.8 per 100,000 in 1985 to 82.6 per 100,000 in 2015. Among risk factors evaluated to date, this increase in incidence in Japan may be partly explained by increasing adoption of a Western-style diet and decreased physical activity, as well as changes in reproductive pattern. Other factors such as tobacco and alcohol use should not be neglected.

Comparison of dietary patterns between Western and Asian countries reveals that Asians, including Japanese, have traditionally consumed relatively high amounts of soy foods. Soybeans are a rich source of bioactive isoflavones. Isoflavones are a group of phytoestrogens which have similar structures to the estrogens, one of the ovarian hormones. The endogenous estrogens appear likely to have a positive association with the risk of breast cancer. Phytoestrogens act like estrogens in mammals and may weaken endogenous estrogens by binding the estrogen receptor. Human beings consume soy in various ways, broadly divided into fermented and nonfermented status. In the human body, isoflavones in fermented soy foods are absorbed more easily and quickly than those in nonfermented soy foods.

A plethora of epidemiological studies exists on the association between soy consumption and breast cancer. However, the evidence is inconsistent. Two meta-analyses showed a weak but statistically significant inverse association between soy consumption and risk of breast cancer. These studies warrant careful interpretation, however, because most prospective cohort studies found no association between soy foods or genistein intake and risk of breast cancer. In addition, previous studies showed different results among countries. One review found that Asian people showed a significantly decreased trend in breast cancer risk with increased soy intake, whereas Western studies found no association. With regard to fermented soy foods, one case-control study indicated that the risk of breast cancer decreased among women with high intake of both soy isoflavone and dairy products containing bacteria. Our previous cohort analysis showed an inverse association with breast cancer risk by miso soup (fermented soybean paste soup) intake. Nevertheless, no cohort study has evaluated possible differences in the effects of fermented and nonfermented soy foods.

To assess these different effects, the association of fermented and nonfermented soy foods should be evaluated separately. In this study, we examined the association between fermented and nonfermented soy intake and the risk of breast cancer incidence in a prospective cohort study in Japan.

This study was a prospective cohort study conducted based on the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study (JPHC study), which targeted 140,420 residents (68,722 men and 71,698 women) to investigate the associations between lifestyle and illness such as cardiovascular disease and cancer. The JPHC study is being conducted in 11 public health centers (PHCs) across Japan. Cohort I was established in 1990, and enrolled residents aged 40–59 years who had registered their addresses with the Akita (Yokote), Iwate (Ninohe), Nagano (Saku), Tokyo (Katsushika), and Okinawa (Ishikawa) local governments at the time of baseline collection. Cohort II was established in 1993, and enrolled residents aged 40–69 and who had registered their addresses with the Niigata (Kashiwazaki), Ibaraki (Mito), Osaka (Suita), Kochi (Chuoigashi), Nagasaki (Kamigoto), and Okinawa (Miyako) local areas at the time of baseline collection. The JPHC Study has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Center (approval number 2001-021). Completion of the questionnaire after receiving a briefing about the study purposes and methods was considered informed consent. Details of the JPHC Study have been previously published.

In this study, we included women only. Subjects from the Katsushika, Tokyo PHC area were excluded (n = 4,178) as cancer incidence data were not accessible. We also excluded non-Japanese subjects (n = 20), late report of emigration before the start of the follow-up (n = 73), incorrect birth date (n = 5), or duplicate registration (n = 2). In 1995–1999, subjects answered a self-administered 5-year follow-up questionnaire, consisting of comprehensive information on demographic characteristics, anthropometric measurements, medical histories, dietary intake, smoking and drinking habits, menopausal status and exogenous female hormone use, physical activity and the other lifestyle habits. This information, based on the 5-year follow-up questionnaire, was used as the starting point of this study. Subjects who had died (n = 1,086) or moved out (n = 3,481) prior to follow-up were excluded. There were 39 (3.6%) breast cancer cases among the 1,086 deaths prior to follow-up. This mortality rate did not differ from the national data of Japan in the study period. Of the 62,851 women who were eligible for participation, 52,459 answered the questionnaire, giving a response rate of 83.5%. Women with a past history of any cancer (n = 1,857), extreme energy intake (upper and lower 2.5%, n = 2,506), or missing data on dietary soy intake (n = 482) were excluded. Finally, a total of 47,614 women, aged 45–74 years at the start of this study were included in this analysis (Figure 1).
Dietary intake was assessed with a validated self-administered food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) administered at the time of the 5-year follow-up survey. Intake frequency of 138 foods and beverages during the previous one year using standard portion sizes was collected. The Standard Tables of Food Composition (5th revised edition) in Japan was used to calculate food intake per day. Within the questionnaire, subjects were asked how often they consumed individual soy products "miso soup" (fermented soybean paste soup), "tofu (in miso soup)", "tofu (for other dishes like boiled tofu and cold tofu)", "yushidofu" (predrained tofu), "koyadofu and shimitofu" (dried tofu), "aburaage" (fried tofu), "natto" (fermented soybean), and "soymilk". Miso soup consumption was divided into six frequency categories: almost never, 1–3 times/month (m), 1–2 times/week (w), 3–4 times/w, 5–6 times/w, and daily. The participants were then asked about their daily consumption of miso soup bowls in the nine categories of <1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7–9, and ≥10. The nine frequency categories of the other soy foods consumed were never, 1–3 times/month, 1–2 times/week, 3–4 times/week, 5–6 times/week, 1 glass/d, 2–3 glasses/d, 4–6 glasses/d, 7–9 glasses/d, and ≥10 glasses/d. Standard portion sizes were specified as 150 ml (one bowl of miso soup), 20 g (tofu for miso soup), 75 g (tofu for other dishes), 150 g (predrained tofu), 60 g (dried tofu), 2 g (fried tofu), and 50 g (natto), and 200 ml (soymilk). These standard portion sizes were stated and participants chose portion sizes relative to them as small (less than half the specified size), same and large (more than 1.5 times). In this study, frequency of intake was multiplied by standard portion and relative portion size, where available, for each item in the FFQ to obtain the daily intake of individual food items. To newly assess the different effects of fermented and nonfermented soy foods, we categorized miso and natto as “fermented soy” and tofu, dried tofu, fried tofu and soymilk as “non-fermented soy”. In our previous validation study of isoflavone intake in this population, only three soy foods (miso, natto and tofu) contributed to more than 80% of total isoflavone intake in FFQ estimates. The Spearman rank correlation indicated high correlation of the main isoflavones (genistein and daidzein) (coefficient was 0.621 in this study). Therefore, we used genistein intake as representative of “isoflavone” intake throughout this article. Genistein intake was calculated with a food composition table specifically developed for the FFQ of isoflavones in Japanese foods.

The validity of food item intake assessed with the FFQ was evaluated for subsamples of 498 subjects using 14- or 28-day dietary records and the FFQ used in the 5-year follow-up survey. The validity and reproducibility of genistein intake assessed from the FFQ was also evaluated from subsamples of 247 subjects. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient between energy-adjusted soy products intake (g/d) estimated from dietary records and from the FFQ for women was 0.33. Spearman’s correlation coefficients for energy-adjusted genistein intake (mg/d) from dietary records and the FFQ were 0.65 (cohort I) one year apart, showing correlation coefficients for energy-adjusted intake of soy products in women of 0.56, whereas that for energy-adjusted genistein were 0.61 (cohort I) and 0.41 (cohort II). The validity and reproducibility of the FFQ were considered sufficient for the present analyses.

Breast cancer incidence was defined as the primary outcome of this study. Cases were identified by active patient
notified with data coming from major local hospitals and linked with data from population-based cancer registries. Use of data was approved by local governments responsible for the registries. Breast cancer cases were classified using codes C500-C509 defined in the Third Edition of the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology. We categorized breast cancer with metastasis to regional lymph nodes, or adjacent or distant organs as non-localized cancer. We did not include cancer in situ as cases. Death certificates provided supplementary information. A total of 879 breast cancer cases were identified. Among these, 19 cases depended on death certificate notification (DCN = 2.2%), for which diagnostic information was unavailable for 18 cases (DCO = 2.1%). For 805 (96.3%) cases, diagnosis was microscopically verified. Only the first incidence of breast cancer was considered in this analysis in women with more than one diagnosis.

2.4 Follow-up

Follow-up started with the 5-year follow-up survey administration date. Person years of follow-up were accumulated until the date of cancer diagnosis, death, migration out of a study area, or end of follow-up (December 31, 2013), whichever occurred first. Residential registers of each municipality confirmed residence status and survival once a year in the study areas and the municipal office of the area the subjects moved to. Dates of death were verified by linkage with death registrations under the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare.

2.5 Statistical analysis

For the primary outcome, we examined the association between soy food intake and breast cancer incidence. Intake of soy foods and isoflavone was energy-adjusted using the residual approach. Participants were subdivided into quartiles based on soy food intake (total, fermented, non-fermented and each food item except soymilk and dried tofu) and isoflavone (total, fermented and nonfermented). For soymilk and dried tofu, we divided participants into two groups (consume some or none) because many participants consumed none (91% for soymilk and 48% for dried tofu). We used a raw model (only adjusted for age and area) and hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models, considering the lowest category as reference. Tests for linear trend were estimated with the median value of each food and isoflavone category. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models included age (continuous), area (10 public health centers), body mass index (BMI) (continuous), height (continuous), smoking status (never, former or current), cigarettes number/day (none, 1–20, 21–30, ≥30), alcohol intake (never, nonregular drinker <150 g of ethanol/w, regular drinker ≥150 g of ethanol/w), total energy intake (continuous), physical activity (Metabolic equivalents [METs]) (continuous), past history of diabetes (yes/no), family history (1st degree-relative) of breast cancer (yes/no), received mammography during the previous year (yes/no), age at menarche (continuous), age at first birth (continuous), history of breastfeeding (yes/no), number of deliveries (continuous), menopausal age (continuous), and use of exogenous female hormones, including oral contraceptives and menopausal hormone treatment (never, ever). Physical activity of each participant was calculated by daily time spent on hard work or sports, sitting and walking or standing. Age at first birth and age at menopause cut-offs were based on the distribution of the Japanese population in the study's generation and regarded outliers as missing values. For women whose menopausal status was not available, we used 51 years as a cut-off for menopausal age at the time of 5-year follow-up, based on data showing that approximately half of Japanese women had become postmenopausal by that age. The number of missing values for each variable is reported in Table 1. The distribution of breast cancer cases did not differ between individuals with complete and incomplete data (1.8% vs. 1.9%), and missing data were therefore considered to be missing at random. We assumed multivariate normality and used multiple imputation. Twenty imputed datasets were created for the number of deliveries, including variables of BMI, height, smoking status, number of cigarette smoked per day, alcohol intake, total energy intake, past history of diabetes, age at menarche, age at first birth, history of breastfeeding, menopausal age, and use of exogenous female hormones. Regression analyses were performed 20 times and their results were combined in Stata statistical software. We performed a sensitivity analysis which excluded cases diagnosed within 5 years to exclude participants who could have had breast cancer but were not diagnosed at the start of follow-up, as well as an analysis which included only those cases detected by subjective symptoms to eliminate possible bias by subjects who consumed high soy foods and received mammography (Table 1).

Further, we analyzed the association by cancer extent (localized or nonlocalized) to evaluate the effect of fermented soy foods. Finally, we also looked for interactions with menopausal status (premenopausal or postmenopausal) and BMI category (<23, 23–24.9, 25–26.9, ≥27). In the analyses of cancer extent and menopausal status, we conducted log-rank tests to compare the results of two groups.
All tests were two-sided and the threshold for significance was \( p < 0.05 \). All statistical analyses were conducted with Stata MP 14.

## 3 | RESULTS

During an average of 15.5 years (interquartile range: 15.1–18.8) of follow-up, 47,614 women contributed 738,504 person years, and 825 cases of breast cancer were newly identified. Mean age at diagnosis was 65.0 years (standard deviation = 8.7). Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the study participants according to quartile of energy-adjusted total soy food intake (the same number of subjects were equally distributed into the four quartiles). Total soy food intake ranged from a mean of 30.1 g/day in the lowest quartile to 169.2 g/day in the highest. Women who consumed more soy foods were more likely to be older, shorter, have received mammography, and menopausal; and less likely to be current smokers and regular drinkers.

Table 2 presents HRs and 95% CIs for breast cancer incidence according to quartile of soy foods and isoflavone intake in a raw model and in a multivariable-adjusted model. Unlike the results of the raw model, no association was found...
TABLE 2  Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for breast cancer according to quartile of soy foods and isoflavone intake among Japanese women of the JPHC Study

| Soy foods | Median (g/day) | No. of cases | Person years of follow-up | HR1 (95% CI) | HR2 (95% CI) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Soy foods |               |              |                            |              |              |
| Total     |               |              |                            |              |              |
| Q1        | 31.8          | 208          | 179,227.19                 | 1            | 1            |
| Q2        | 56.4          | 218          | 184,154.31                 | 0.99 (0.82, 1.21) | 1.06 (0.79, 1.43) |
| Q3        | 82.5          | 202          | 187,696.78                 | 0.89 (0.73, 1.09) | 0.99 (0.73, 1.34) |
| Q4        | 137           | 197          | 187,425.22                 | 0.88 (0.71, 1.08) | 1.07 (0.79, 1.46) |
| p trend   |               |              |                            | 0.158        | 0.743        |
| Fermented |               |              |                            |              |              |
| Q1        | 7.3           | 197          | 176,713.47                 | 1            | 1            |
| Q2        | 19.3          | 224          | 184,295.03                 | 1.06 (0.87, 1.30) | 1.25 (0.93, 1.69) |
| Q3        | 32.6          | 224          | 188,418.63                 | 0.99 (0.80, 1.22) | 1.02 (0.74, 1.41) |
| Q4        | 53.2          | 180          | 189,076.38                 | 0.78 (0.62, 0.98) | 0.94 (0.67, 1.32) |
| p trend   |               |              |                            | 0.009        | 0.305        |
| Miso      |               |              |                            |              |              |
| Q1        | 2.9           | 219          | 175,558.09                 | 1            | 1            |
| Q2        | 9.8           | 209          | 181,581.91                 | 0.93 (0.76, 1.12) | 0.98 (0.73, 1.32) |
| Q3        | 17.7          | 216          | 189,349.77                 | 0.89 (0.73, 1.09) | 1.03 (0.76, 1.40) |
| Q4        | 29.1          | 181          | 192,213.74                 | 0.72 (0.58, 0.89) | 0.88 (0.64, 1.22) |
| p trend   |               |              |                            | 0.002        | 0.458        |
| Natto     |               |              |                            |              |              |
| Q1        | 0.01          | 166          | 178,321.31                 | 1            | 1            |
| Q2        | 3.8           | 239          | 188,109.86                 | 1.27 (1.02, 1.57) | 1.42 (1.03, 1.97) |
| Q3        | 11.9          | 220          | 187,377.49                 | 1.17 (0.94, 1.47) | 1.26 (0.90, 1.77) |
| Q4        | 32.7          | 200          | 184,694.85                 | 1.08 (0.85, 1.36) | 1.08 (0.76, 1.54) |
| p trend   |               |              |                            | 0.498        | 0.420        |
| Non-fermented |       |              |                            |              |              |
| Q1        | 13.5          | 198          | 181,425.87                 | 1            | 1            |
| Q2        | 28.2          | 218          | 185,100.36                 | 1.07 (0.88, 1.30) | 1.06 (0.79, 1.44) |
| Q3        | 47.7          | 209          | 186,354.53                 | 1.02 (0.84, 1.24) | 1.18 (0.88, 1.58) |
| Q4        | 98.5          | 200          | 185,622.75                 | 0.99 (0.81, 1.22) | 1.15 (0.85, 1.57) |
| p trend   |               |              |                            | 0.714        | 0.393        |
| Tofu      |               |              |                            |              |              |
| Q1        | 10.3          | 197          | 183,180.53                 | 1            | 1            |
| Q2        | 22.1          | 211          | 185,034.35                 | 1.05 (0.86, 1.28) | 0.98 (0.73, 1.33) |
| Q3        | 37.3          | 230          | 185,361.45                 | 1.13 (0.93, 1.37) | 1.23 (0.93, 1.65) |
| Q4        | 74.1          | 187          | 184,927.18                 | 0.92 (0.74, 1.13) | 1.02 (0.75, 1.38) |
| p trend   |               |              |                            | 0.287        | 0.867        |
| Fried tofu|               |              |                            |              |              |
| Q1        | 0.02          | 207          | 185,318.46                 | 1            | 1            |
| Q2        | 0.2           | 203          | 184,644.98                 | 0.99 (0.80, 1.21) | 0.73 (0.53, 0.99) |
| Q3        | 0.4           | 199          | 183,903.99                 | 0.95 (0.77, 1.18) | 0.86 (0.63, 1.17) |
| Q4        | 1             | 216          | 184,636.08                 | 1.01 (0.81, 1.26) | 0.86 (0.63, 1.18) |
| p trend   |               |              |                            | 0.843        | 0.963        |
Table 2 (Continued)

|                     | Median (g/day) | No. of cases | Person years of follow-up | HR1 (95% CI)       | HR2 (95% CI)       |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Soy milk            |                |              |                            |                    |                    |
| No                  | 0              | 742          | 670,605.12                 | 1                  | 1                  |
| Yes                 | 90.3           | 83           | 67,898.384                 | 1.14 (0.90, 1.44)  | 1.34 (0.97, 1.86)  |
| p value             |                |              |                            | 0.264              | 0.078              |
| Dried tofu          |                |              |                            |                    |                    |
| No                  | 0              | 390          | 344,672.51                 | 1                  | 1                  |
| Yes                 | 10.7           | 435          | 393,831                    | 1.01 (0.85, 1.19)  | 0.92 (0.72, 1.17)  |
| p value             |                |              |                            | 0.946              | 0.481              |

Isoflavone (mg/day)

| Total               |                |              |                            |                    |                    |
| Q1                  | 8.95           | 190          | 179,937.96                 | 1                  | 1                  |
| Q2                  | 16.7           | 224          | 184,752.06                 | 1.11 (0.92, 1.36)  | 1.29 (0.95, 1.75)  |
| Q3                  | 25.4           | 216          | 186,846.25                 | 1.05 (0.85, 1.29)  | 1.18 (0.86, 1.61)  |
| Q4                  | 41.1           | 195          | 186,967.24                 | 0.94 (0.76, 1.17)  | 1.10 (0.80, 1.52)  |
| p trend             |                |              |                            | 0.325              | 0.980              |

Fermented

| Q1                  | 2.7            | 196          | 177,302.81                 | 1                  | 1                  |
| Q2                  | 7.45           | 196          | 185,351.87                 | 0.93 (0.76, 1.14)  | 0.95 (0.70, 1.29)  |
| Q3                  | 13.5           | 243          | 188,416.95                 | 1.10 (0.89, 1.36)  | 1.05 (0.77, 1.43)  |
| Q4                  | 24.9           | 190          | 187,431.88                 | 0.85 (0.68, 1.07)  | 0.87 (0.63, 1.22)  |
| p trend             |                |              |                            | 0.182              | 0.412              |

Nonfermented

| Q1                  | 3.1            | 204          | 182,630.81                 | 1                  | 1                  |
| Q2                  | 6.4            | 206          | 185,845.04                 | 0.98 (0.81, 1.19)  | 1.04 (0.77, 1.40)  |
| Q3                  | 10.6           | 216          | 185,320.28                 | 1.04 (0.85, 1.26)  | 1.12 (0.84, 1.51)  |
| Q4                  | 20.4           | 199          | 184,707.37                 | 0.97 (0.79, 1.18)  | 1.19 (0.88, 1.60)  |
| p trend             |                |              |                            | 0.811              | 0.222              |

Note: HR1: Adjusted for age and area; HR2: Additionally adjusted for smoking status, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption, BMI, height, energy intake, physical activity, past history of diabetes, family history, received mammography, age at menarche, age at first birth, number of deliveries, menopausal age, hormone use, and breast feeding. The threshold for significance was p < 0.05.

Abbreviations: g, gram; mg, milligram; No, number; Q, quartile.

For risk of breast cancer and soy food and isoflavone intake, regardless of fermented or nonfermented status. No association was observed even after exclusion of incident cases during the first 5 years and limiting analysis to cases detected by subjective symptoms (data not shown).

Information on cancer extent was available for 732 cases (89% of all). Table 3 shows the results of analysis by cancer extent. The HRs of nonlocalized cancer were statistically higher than those of localized cancer in this cohort ($\chi^2 = 15.1$, $p = 0.0001$). There was no association between either fermented and nonfermented soy foods and isoflavone intake and localized breast cancer. In contrast, nonlocalized breast cancer risk significantly decreased in the higher quartiles of fermented soy foods consumption compared with nonfermented. We reanalyzed the degree of cancer extent by menopausal status, but the results showed no meaningful change.

Table 4 shows the results of sub-group analysis for breast cancer risk and soy food/isoflavone intake by menopausal status. The HRs of premenopausal women were statistically higher than those of postmenopausal women ($\chi^2 = 4.56$, $p = 0.033$). We did not observe a statistically significant tendency of breast cancer risk reduction by higher intake of fermented soy foods in either premenopausal or postmenopausal women.

In subgroup analysis by BMI category, each category and individual food item showed no consistent association with breast cancer risk (data not shown).
TABLE 3  Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for breast cancer according to quartile of soy foods and isoﬂavone intake by cancer extent among Japanese women in the JPHC Study

| Soy foods | Median (g/day) | Localized cancer (n = 445) | Nonlocalized cancer (n = 287) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|           |               | No. of cases         HR (95% CI) | No. of cases         HR (95% CI) |
| Total     |               |                             |                             |
| Q1        | 31.8          | 106                         | 1                             |
| Q2        | 56.4          | 120                         | 1.20 (0.80, 1.79)             |
| Q3        | 82.5          | 115                         | 1.26 (0.85, 1.88)             |
| Q4        | 137           | 104                         | 1.19 (0.79, 1.80)             |
| p trend   |               |                             | 0.545                         |
| Fermented |               |                             |                             |
| Q1        | 7.3           | 113                         | 1                             |
| Q2        | 19.3          | 123                         | 1.28 (0.87, 1.89)             |
| Q3        | 32.6          | 111                         | 0.93 (0.61, 1.43)             |
| Q4        | 53.2          | 98                          | 1.17 (0.76, 1.81)             |
| p trend   |               |                             | 0.793                         |
| Miso      |               |                             |                             |
| Q1        | 2.9           | 117                         | 1                             |
| Q2        | 9.8           | 118                         | 1.14 (0.77, 1.69)             |
| Q3        | 17.7          | 112                         | 1.19 (0.79, 1.77)             |
| Q4        | 29.1          | 98                          | 1.16 (0.76, 1.78)             |
| p trend   |               |                             | 0.541                         |
| Natto     |               |                             |                             |
| Q1        | 0.01          | 84                          | 1                             |
| Q2        | 3.8           | 138                         | 1.64 (1.07, 2.50)             |
| Q3        | 11.9          | 113                         | 1.18 (0.76, 1.88)             |
| Q4        | 32.7          | 110                         | 1.21 (0.77, 1.92)             |
| p trend   |               |                             | 0.709                         |
| Nonfermented |         |                             |                             |
| Q1        | 13.5          | 91                          | 1                             |
| Q2        | 28.2          | 123                         | 1.57 (1.03, 2.39)             |
| Q3        | 47.7          | 124                         | 1.81 (1.20, 2.72)             |
| Q4        | 98.5          | 107                         | 1.52 (0.98, 2.35)             |
| p trend   |               |                             | 0.268                         |
| Tofu      |               |                             |                             |
| Q1        | 10.3          | 98                          | 1                             |
| Q2        | 22.1          | 118                         | 1.20 (0.80, 1.80)             |
| Q3        | 37.3          | 127                         | 1.54 (1.05, 2.28)             |
| Q4        | 74.1          | 102                         | 1.21 (0.80, 1.84)             |
| p trend   |               |                             | 0.548                         |
| Fried tofu |               |                             |                             |
| Q1        | 0.02          | 111                         | 1                             |
| Q2        | 0.2           | 95                          | 0.64 (0.42, 0.98)             |
| Q3        | 0.4           | 118                         | 1.03 (0.69, 1.54)             |
| Q4        | 1             | 121                         | 0.96 (0.63, 1.47)             |

(Continues)
This study found no association between soy food consumption and the risk of total breast cancer, regardless of fermentation status. In subgroup analyses, women with the highest intake of fermented soy foods had half the risk of nonlocalized breast cancer than women in the lowest intake category. No association was evident between fermented soy foods and breast cancer risk in premenopausal or postmenopausal women.

As expected, even though the number of cases analyzed was small and 95% CIs were wide, the highest quartile of fermented soy food intake showed a decreased risk of nonlocalized breast cancer. Unexpectedly, however, the same inverse association was not observed for overall or localized breast cancer. Some studies have reported that components of soybeans like isoflavone have anticancer activity. Fermented soy foods contain isoflavones as aglycone, which is absorbed more readily and faster than isoflavones occurring as glycoside in nonfermented soy foods. Several laboratory studies reported that fermented soymilk exercised a larger antiproliferative effect than nonfermented soymilk, such as a reduction in breast cancer volume. One laboratory study showed that genistein substantially inhibited the proliferative ability of human breast cancer cell lines to a greater extent.

### Table 3 (Continued)

| Isoflavone (mg/day) | Localized cancer (n = 445) | Nonlocalized cancer (n = 287) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Median (g/day)            | No. of cases | HR (95% CI) | No. of cases | HR (95% CI) |
| Soy milk            |                           |              |             |              |             |
| No                  | 8.95                      | 101          | 1.00        | 69           | 1.07 (0.62, 1.84) |
| Yes                 | 16.7                      | 132          | 1.43 (0.96, 2.12) | 66           | 1.07 (0.62, 1.84) |
| p value             |                           |              |             |              |             |
| Dried tofu          |                           |              |             |              |             |
| No                  | 2.7                       | 105          | 1.00        | 68           | 1.00        |
| Yes                 | 7.45                      | 116          | 1.21 (0.82, 1.79) | 61           | 0.46 (0.26, 0.83) |
| p value             |                           |              |             |              |             |
| Isoflavone (mg/day) |                           |              |             |              |             |
| Total               |                           |              |             |              |             |
| Q1                  | 2.7                       | 105          | 1.00        | 68           | 1.00        |
| Q2                  | 7.45                      | 116          | 1.21 (0.82, 1.79) | 61           | 0.46 (0.26, 0.83) |
| Q3                  | 13.5                      | 127          | 1.04 (0.68, 1.59) | 84           | 0.81 (0.48, 1.37) |
| Q4                  | 24.9                      | 97           | 1.02 (0.66, 1.58) | 74           | 0.57 (0.32, 1.02) |
| p trend             |                           |              |             |              |             |
| Fermented           |                           |              |             |              |             |
| Q1                  | 3.1                       | 95           | 1.00        | 84           | 1.00        |
| Q2                  | 6.4                       | 128          | 1.64 (1.09, 2.46) | 60           | 0.58 (0.34, 1.00) |
| Q3                  | 10.6                      | 114          | 1.54 (1.02, 2.33) | 73           | 0.78 (0.47, 1.28) |
| Q4                  | 20.4                      | 108          | 1.50 (0.98, 2.28) | 70           | 1.00 (0.61, 1.61) |
| p trend             |                           |              |             |              |             |
| Nonfermented        |                           |              |             |              |             |

Note: HR: Adjusted for age, area, smoking status, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption, BMI, height, energy intake, physical activity, past history of diabetes, family history, received mammography, age at menarche, age at first birth, number of deliveries, menopausal age, hormone use and breast. The threshold for significance was p < 0.05.

Abbreviations: g, gram; mg, milligram; No, number; Q, quartile.

## Discussion

This study found no association between soy food consumption and the risk of total breast cancer, regardless of fermentation status. In subgroup analyses, women with the highest intake of fermented soy foods had half the risk of nonlocalized breast cancer than women in the lowest intake category. No association was evident between fermented soy foods and breast cancer risk in premenopausal or postmenopausal women.

As expected, even though the number of cases analyzed was small and 95% CIs were wide, the highest quartile of fermented soy food intake showed a decreased risk of nonlocalized breast cancer. Unexpectedly, however, the same inverse association was not observed for overall or localized breast cancer. Some studies have reported that components of soybeans like isoflavone have anticancer activity. Fermented soy foods contain isoflavones as aglycone, which is absorbed more readily and faster than isoflavones occurring as glycoside in nonfermented soy foods. Several laboratory studies reported that fermented soymilk exercised a larger antiproliferative effect than nonfermented soymilk, such as a reduction in breast cancer volume. One laboratory study showed that genistein substantially inhibited the proliferative ability of human breast cancer cell lines to a greater extent.
### TABLE 4  Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for breast cancer according to quartile of soy foods and isoflavone intake by menopausal status among Japanese women in the JPHC Study

|                      | Premenopausal women (n = 10,708/217 cases) | Postmenopausal women (n = 36,906/608 cases) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                      | No. of cases  | Median (g/day) | Person years of follow-up | HR (95% CI) | No. of cases  | Median (g/day) | Person years of follow-up | HR (95% CI) |
| Soy foods            |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |             |
| Total                |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |             |
| Q1                   | 73            | 31.2           | 52,061.10                | 1           | 135           | 32.1           | 127,166.08                | 1           |
| Q2                   | 66            | 56.4           | 44,495.73                | 1.04 (0.70, 1.56) | 152           | 56.4           | 139,658.58                | 1.08 (0.80, 1.45) |
| Q3                   | 45            | 82.1           | 41,300.75                | 0.77 (0.49, 1.22) | 157           | 82.6           | 146,396.02                | 1.01 (0.75, 1.37) |
| Q4                   | 33            | 135            | 32,334.35                | 0.71 (0.43, 1.19) | 164           | 138            | 155,090.88                | 1.10 (0.81, 1.49) |
| p trend              |               |                |                           | 0.119        |               |                |                           | 0.602        |
| Fermented            |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |             |
| Q1                   | 55            | 7.48           | 46,283.49                | 1           | 142           | 7.30           | 130,366.82                | 1           |
| Q2                   | 62            | 19.0           | 45,630.18                | 1.14 (0.73, 1.77) | 162           | 19.4           | 138,664.85                | 1.26 (0.94, 1.70) |
| Q3                   | 66            | 32.1           | 42,981.10                | 1.19 (0.75, 1.90) | 158           | 32.7           | 145,430.21                | 1.08 (0.79, 1.48) |
| Q4                   | 34            | 52.0           | 35,210.43                | 0.73 (0.41, 1.29) | 146           | 53.5           | 153,849.68                | 0.96 (0.69, 1.35) |
| p trend              |               |                |                           | 0.274        |               |                |                           | 0.386        |
| Miso                 |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |             |
| Q1                   | 61            | 2.91           | 47,995.73                | 1           | 158           | 2.81           | 127,362.35                | 1           |
| Q2                   | 62            | 9.78           | 43,629.39                | 0.98 (0.65, 1.49) | 147           | 9.85           | 137,952.52                | 0.95 (0.71, 1.27) |
| Q3                   | 57            | 17.8           | 41,385.71                | 0.81 (0.52, 1.28) | 159           | 17.7           | 147,964.07                | 0.98 (0.73, 1.32) |
| Q4                   | 37            | 28.4           | 37,181.11                | 0.67 (0.41, 1.12) | 144           | 29.4           | 155,032.62                | 0.85 (0.62, 1.16) |
| p trend              |               |                |                           | 0.093        |               |                |                           | 0.314        |
| Natto                |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |             |
| Q1                   | 39            | 0.01           | 34,012.25                | 1           | 127           | 0.01           | 144,309.06                | 1           |
| Q2                   | 64            | 3.81           | 52,675.52                | 1.10 (0.66, 1.83) | 175           | 3.78           | 135,434.34                | 1.45 (1.05, 2.01) |
| Q3                   | 68            | 11.8           | 46,823.44                | 1.33 (0.80, 2.23) | 152           | 11.9           | 140,554.05                | 1.30 (0.93, 1.82) |
| Q4                   | 46            | 31.2           | 36,680.74                | 0.95 (0.53, 1.72) | 154           | 33.1           | 148,014.11                | 1.19 (0.84, 1.67) |
| p trend              |               |                |                           | 0.612        |               |                |                           | 0.786        |
| Nonfermented         |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |             |
| Q1                   | 72            | 13.5           | 49,476.91                | 1           | 126           | 13.5           | 131,948.96                | 1           |
| Q2                   | 63            | 27.8           | 44,863.70                | 1.09 (0.72, 1.64) | 155           | 28.4           | 140,236.66                | 1.10 (0.82, 1.48) |
| p trend              |               |                |                           |             |               |                |                           |             |

(Continues)
|               | Premenopausal women (n = 10,708/217 cases) | Postmenopausal women (n = 36,906/608 cases) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|               | No. of cases | Median (g/day) | Person years of follow-up | HR (95% CI) | No. of cases | Median (g/day) | Person years of follow-up | HR (95% CI) |
| **Q3**        | 48           | 47.1           | 41,952.72                  | 0.89 (0.57, 1.39) | 161           | 47.7           | 144,401.81                  | 1.21 (0.91, 1.62) |
| **Q4**        | 34           | 93.9           | 33,898.61                  | 0.87 (0.53, 1.43) | 166           | 99.6           | 151,724.14                  | 1.21 (0.90, 1.64) |
| *p* trend     |              |                |                            | 0.448        |              |                |                            | 0.250       |
| **Tofu**      |              |                |                            |              |              |                |                            |              |
| Q1            | 64           | 10.4           | 48,467.26                  | 1            | 133           | 10.3           | 134,713.28                  | 1            |
| Q2            | 62           | 21.9           | 44,906.36                  | 1.15 (0.75, 1.76) | 149           | 22.2           | 140,127.98                  | 1.03 (0.76, 1.38) |
| Q3            | 56           | 37.2           | 41,092.30                  | 1.14 (0.73, 1.78) | 174           | 37.3           | 144,269.15                  | 1.27 (0.96, 1.69) |
| Q4            | 35           | 72.1           | 35,726.03                  | 0.91 (0.55, 1.53) | 152           | 74.7           | 149,201.15                  | 1.08 (0.80, 1.46) |
| *p* trend     |              |                |                            | 0.618        |              |                |                            | 0.624       |
| **Fried tofu**|              |                |                            |              |              |                |                            |              |
| Q1            | 49           | 0.02           | 40,037.54                  | 1            | 158           | 0.02           | 145,280.92                  | 1            |
| Q2            | 67           | 0.18           | 45,624.78                  | 1.17 (0.72, 1.90) | 136           | 0.18           | 139,020.2                  | 0.75 (0.55, 1.02) |
| Q3            | 52           | 0.42           | 46,798.60                  | 1.00 (0.59, 1.71) | 147           | 0.42           | 137,105.38                  | 0.89 (0.65, 1.20) |
| Q4            | 49           | 1.04           | 37,731.02                  | 1.17 (0.67, 2.04) | 167           | 1.04           | 146,905.06                  | 0.93 (0.68, 1.27) |
| *p* trend     |              |                |                            | 0.724        |              |                |                            | 0.671       |
| **Soy milk**  |              |                |                            |              |              |                |                            |              |
| No            | 205          | 0.01           | 156,422.55                 | 1            | 537           | 0.01           | 514,182.57                  | 1            |
| Yes           | 12           | 43.6           | 13,769.39                  | 0.76 (0.39, 1.48) | 71            | 47.3           | 54,128.995                  | 1.32 (0.96, 1.82) |
| *p value*     |              |                |                            | 0.425        |              |                |                            | 0.090       |
| **Dried tofu**|              |                |                            |              |              |                |                            |              |
| No            | 112          | 0.01           | 81,726.54                  | 1            | 278           | 0.01           | 262,945.97                  | 1            |
| Yes           | 105          | 4.88           | 88,465.40                  | 0.91 (0.62, 1.34) | 330           | 5.55           | 305,365.59                  | 0.94 (0.74, 1.20) |
| *p value*     |              |                |                            | 0.642        |              |                |                            | 0.617       |

Isoflavone (mg/day)

| Total         |              |                |                            |              |              |                |                            |              |
| Q1            | 66           | 8.69           | 49,586.50                  | 1            | 124           | 9.04           | 130,351.46                  | 1            |
| Q2            | 62           | 16.6           | 46,455.91                  | 1.16 (0.76, 1.75) | 162           | 16.8           | 138,296.14                  | 1.32 (0.98, 1.79) |
| Q3            | 50           | 25.2           | 40,421.60                  | 0.93 (0.58, 1.48) | 166           | 25.4           | 146,424.64                  | 1.21 (0.89, 1.65) |

(Continues)
|                  | Premenopausal women (n = 10,708/217 cases) | Postmenopausal women (n = 36,906/608 cases) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                  | No. of cases  | Median (g/day) | Person years of follow-up | HR (95% CI) | No. of cases | Median (g/day) | Person years of follow-up | HR (95% CI) |
| Q4               | 39            | 40.2           | 33,727.92                | 0.88 (0.53, 1.46) | 156           | 41.4           | 153,239.31               | 1.16 (0.85, 1.60) |
| p trend          |               |                |                          | 0.438       |               |                |                          | 0.788        |
| Fermented        |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |              |
| Q1               | 50            | 2.73           | 44,440.22                | 1           | 146           | 2.63           | 132,862.58               | 1            |
| Q2               | 55            | 7.44           | 46,018.37                | 1.08 (0.67, 1.73) | 141           | 7.46           | 139,333.5               | 0.95 (0.70, 1.29) |
| Q3               | 71            | 13.4           | 43,963.02                | 1.59 (0.99, 2.56) | 172           | 13.6           | 144,453.93               | 1.11 (0.82, 1.51) |
| Q4               | 41            | 24.2           | 35,770.33                | 1.04 (0.59, 1.83) | 149           | 25.0           | 151,661.55               | 0.91 (0.65, 1.26) |
| p trend          |               |                |                          | 0.829       |               |                |                          | 0.558        |
| Nonfermented     |               |                |                          |             |               |                |                          |              |
| Q1               | 77            | 3.10           | 49,528.12                | 1           | 127           | 3.11           | 133,102.69               | 1            |
| Q2               | 56            | 6.31           | 45,468.45                | 0.78 (0.51, 1.18) | 150           | 6.45           | 140,376.58               | 1.07 (0.80, 1.44) |
| Q3               | 51            | 10.6           | 41,042.78                | 0.90 (0.59, 1.37) | 165           | 10.5           | 144,277.5               | 1.17 (0.87, 1.56) |
| Q4               | 33            | 19.9           | 34,152.58                | 0.73 (0.45, 1.19) | 166           | 20.5           | 150,554.79               | 1.23 (0.92, 1.65) |
| p trend          |               |                |                          | 0.303       |               |                |                          | 0.158        |

Note: HR: Adjusted for age, area, smoking status, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption, BMI, height, energy intake, physical activity, past history of diabetes, family history, history of breast tumor, received mammography, age at menarche, age at first birth, number of deliveries, hormone use, and breast feeding. The threshold for significance was p < 0.05.

Abbreviations: g, gram; mg, milligram; No, number; Q, quartile.
particular at high concentrations. Given these results, our study suggests the possibility that high fermented soy intake may prevent breast tumor growth rather than incidence. Interpreting this association requires care, however, because we can easily assume that localized cases are more likely to be detected through cancer screening. Those who consume more soy foods were more likely to undergo cancer screening than those who did not (Table 1). We also note that while fermented soy foods showed a significant trend, individual components of natto did not appear to contribute. In a laboratory study, amounts of free isoflavones increased with fermentation in miso, but hardly increased in natto. Longer duration necessary for fermentation for miso than natto may have contributed to the different effects on breast tumor development. Further studies, both epidemiological and experimental are necessary to clarify the association and its biological plausibility.

Most previous cohort studies showed no association between soy food intake and breast cancer risk. Our previous report showed an inverse association between breast cancer risk and the intake of miso soup and isoflavone, which is somewhat inconsistent with our present analysis. Possible reasons for this inconsistency include (1) only JPHC Cohort I was used in the previous study; (2) different age range (previous report aged 40–59 years); (3) shorter follow-up time (9.6 years) in the previous analysis; (4) different questionnaires at different timings were used for dietary assessment, wherein the present analysis used FFQ at 5-year follow-up survey with eight soy food items while the previous analysis used baseline FFQ with two soy food items. In short, this study observed the longer-term effect of soy foods with more detailed analysis, and a larger number of soy food items and outcomes. In addition, as mammography was introduced as governmental screening in Japan in 2000, the stage distribution of breast cancer cases in the previous report was different from the present analysis: the previous report consisted of 48% localized and 52% nonlocalized cases, while the current analysis included 61% localized and 39% nonlocalized cases. The higher proportion of advanced cases may have led to the clearer overall inverse association between soy food consumption and breast cancer risk in the previous report.

The effect of soy foods by menopausal status is also inconsistent among previous studies. One study found no association between risk of breast cancer and high intake of tofu or miso soup regardless of menopausal status. Our previous report found a stronger inverse association between soy food/isoalvone intake and breast cancer in postmenopausal women compared to premenopausal women whereas our study found no association in either premenopausal or postmenopausal women. The larger sample size and longer follow-up time in this study may have led to more stable findings.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, there could be a measurement error in assessment of the dietary data. The FFQ used in this study was not originally designed to test the effect of soy foods alone and other soy products such as soy sauce were not included in this analysis. There also may have been changes in dietary habits during the study period. However, the FFQ is a validated instrument for measuring dietary intake and can be used to rank individuals according to intake. It also reasonably reflects long-term dietary intake. Second, there is a possibility of residual confounding by other dietary factors, although epidemiological studies have not confirmed any dietary items except alcohol as risk factors of breast cancer. In a previous study from the same cohort, a westernized dietary pattern indicated an association with an increased breast cancer risk. Fermented soy foods are commonly served in Japanese style dining, so our results may reveal the effect of Japanese eating habits. Third, there is a possibility of residual confounding due to health-conscious behavior, which may also affect dietary pattern. As described above, subjects who consumed a relatively high amount of soy foods may be more health conscious and may undergo cancer screening more frequently than nonconsumers. Localized breast cancer is known to be substantially overdiagnosed in screening mammography. Unlike in the cases of advanced cancer or mortality, some bias or confounding in detecting localized breast cancer may be present. We adjusted for history of mammography in the analyses, but the questionnaire asked only whether subjects received a mammogram in the past year and was therefore not able to acquire the effect of health-conscious behavior. Fourth, we could not identify menopausal status at the time breast cancer was diagnosed. Because hormone levels differ between premenopausal and postmenopausal women, fermented soy foods may affect the two groups differently. Fifth, we could not analyze by hormone receptor status because of the lack of data (missing in more than 60% of cases).

Despite these limitations, to our knowledge this is the first epidemiological study to examine the association between soy foods, with division by fermentation and the risk of breast cancer. Because Japanese consume a relatively high amount of soy foods, this study was also characterized by a wide range of soy intake. The study design, collecting exposure data before diagnosis, made recall and selection bias minimal. A very small number of participants were lost to follow-up in this cohort (0.7%), and the high response rate to this study (83.5%) was also a major strength in minimizing selection bias. Breast cancer incidence was confirmed by the cancer registry not self-report, reducing the potential for misclassification of the outcome. The participants of this study were enrolled from the general population and the incidence of breast cancer identified in this study did not differ from the national data of Japan. Therefore, the results of this study may be generalized to the general Japanese population, or to populations with a similar background.
In conclusion, we found an increase in fermented soy food intake was associated with a decrease in nonlocalized breast cancer risk. The reason why fermented soy foods would have an association with nonlocalized breast cancer but not localized breast cancer is yet to be clarified. Our findings warrant the need to consider the effects of fermented soy and total soy in the etiology of breast cancer and its prevention separately.
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