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1. Introduction

Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) is a member of the family Bromoviridae and is one of the most important and widespread viruses in the world infecting the largest number of plant species (1200 species) (Zitter & Murphy 2009). The CMV isolate occurring on Gladiolus (Gladiolus psittacinus var. Hookeri cv. Red) caused mosaic leaves, stunted plants and color-breaking symptoms in flowers. The genome of CMV consists of three positive sense, single-stranded RNAs (RNA 1, RNA 2 and RNA 3) and a subgenomic RNA (RNA 4) encoded by RNA3 that is involved in encapsidation (Suzuki et al. 1991; Palukaitis et al. 1992). Several CMV isolates reported from all over the world have been placed into two subgroups I and II, on the basis of serology, nucleic acid hybridization and gene sequences. CMV subgroup I has been recently divided into IA and IB on the basis of gene sequences available for CMV strains and phylogenetic analysis (Roossinck 2002). Furthermore, Asian strains of CMV have been placed into subgroup IB (Roossinck 2002).

First engineered resistance against CMV utilizing the coat protein (CP) gene was demonstrated by Cuozzo et al. (1988). Since then, many examples of CP-mediated resistance (CPMR) in varying degrees have been described, with different constructs in different hosts (Beachy et al. 1990; Srivastava & Raj 2008). Different mechanisms also appear to be responsible for protection, depending on the virus group or the viral transgene studied (Loesch-Fries et al. 1987; Lomonossoff 1995; Gururani et al., 2012). The mechanism of resistance against virus could be mediated either by the expressed viral protein or by the transcript produced from the transgene, also known as post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS), or both (Varma et al. 2002). In this report, we demonstrate virus resistance in transgenic N. tabacum model plants expressing the CP gene of CMV isolated from Gladiolus, (CMV-G) in India and characterized as a member of the subgroup IA (Dubey et al. 2010).

Though there are several reports from different parts of the world demonstrating transgenic resistance utilizing the CP gene from CMV subgroup IB or II, a report from a subgroup IA strain is still awaited from Asian continent as this strain is not common here. This is the first report from India which demonstrates transgenic resistance against subgroup IA as well as subgroup IB.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Construct preparation and transformation of Nicotiana tabacum cv. Petit Havana plants

The coat protein gene of CMV (Gladiolus isolate, 657 bp, Accession no. DQ295914) was introduced in between the CaMV 35S promoter and the NOS terminator of the binary vector (pBI 121), which also has the NPTII marker gene for kanamycin resistance (Dubey et al. 2010). The construct was mobilized into Agrobacterium tumefaciens LBA 4404 through electroporation. One positive conjugant was chosen for transformation of N. tabacum leaf-discs following a procedure based on Horsch et al. (1985). The transformed leaf-discs were regenerated on...
selective Murashige Skoog’s (MS) medium containing Gamborg’s B5 vitamins, antibiotics (500 mg/l cefotaxim and 100 mg/l kanamycin) and hormones (1 mg/l 6-benzyl aminopurine [BAP] and 0.1 mg/l α-naphthalene acetic acid [NAA]) and cultured kept in a growth chamber. The callus/shoots regenerating from the explants were subsequently transferred to MS medium containing 1.0 mg/l each of BAP and α-indole acetic acid (IAA) for shoot elongation and finally to a medium containing antibiotics and IAA 0.1 mg/l for rooting (Dubey et al. 2010). The putative transgenic plants were finally planted in pots and grown further in a glasshouse.

2.2. PCR and Southern analysis for T₀ transgenic plants
The total genomic DNA was extracted from 1 g leaf tissue of N. tabacum as described by (Dellaporta et al. 1983). To verify the presence of the gene in T₀ plants, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out using the total DNA isolated from each plant by using the CP-specific primers of CMV-Gladiolus (Dubey et al. 2010). The PCR products were electrophoresed with standard DNA in 1% agarose gel. Integration and copy number of the constructs in the T₀ plants were confirmed by Southern blotting of plant genomic DNA (20 µg) digested with HindIII. The blotted DNA bands were hybridized with a probe prepared from ∼350 bp sequences located at the 3’ end of the CMV-CP gene so that the exact copy number could be determined. The DNA to be transferred was electrophoresed on 1% agarose gels according to standard procedures described by Sambrook et al. (1989) and blotted on a nylon membrane (Dubey et al. 2010). The probes used for hybridization were prepared according to the random primer labeling method. Prehybridization and hybridization were carried out at 42°C with formamide (50%) and the blots were washed as instructed by the manufacturers (Dubey et al. 2010).

2.3. Western blot analysis
To determine the protein levels in transgenic plants, SDS–PAGE was performed using 12% polyacrylamide gel for resolving total proteins. Western blots were made by transferring the protein onto nitrocellulose membrane in a mini-trans blot apparatus (Bio-Rad). Expression of the gene in plants at the protein level was determined by using antiserum to CMV (PVAS 242a, ATCC, USA) (Dubey et al. 2010). Approximately 20 µg of total soluble protein from each sample was loaded in each lane and the accumulation of CP in uninoculated, inoculated and terminal upper leaves was determined. Antiserum specific to CMV (primary antibody) was used at a dilution of 1:500 and alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Sigma) secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit conjugate) was used at a dilution of 1:1000 and western blot was developed with BCIP-NBT substrates (Sigma) (Dubey et al. 2010).

2.4. Challenge inoculations of transgenic plants for virus resistance
Transgenic plants (4–6-leaf stage) were challenged with 1:10 inoculum (crude extract) prepared from CMV-infected tobacco and CMV from other infected plants reported from the same vicinity, macerated in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 containing 1% sodium sulphite (1 ml buffer/100 mg tissue). Inoculated plants were observed daily for 15–20 days for the development of symptoms and compared with the control (untransformed challenged) (Dubey et al. 2010). Plants that did not develop any symptoms were checked by back inoculation tests to detect latent infection, if any.

3. Results
3.1. Preparation of CMV-CP constructs
Besides the identification of the CP gene from Gladiolus strain of CMV, its cloning and sequencing were carried out with the aim of developing transgenic plants exhibiting resistance against the virus infection. Therefore, constructs of the CP gene of CMV-Gladiolus strain were designed in which the CP gene was introduced in between the CaMV 35S promoter and the NOS terminator gene of a binary vector (pBI 121). The schematic diagram of this has been shown (Figure 1). The binary vector has the NPT II marker gene that confers resistance to antibiotic, kanamycin. First, both clone pTZ57R/T carrying coat protein gene of CMV and vector pBI 121 were digested separately with restriction enzymes XbaI and SacI for the purpose of directional cloning. The restricted DNAs of CMV-CP gene and pBI 121 were eluted, purified and ligated to each other. Subsequently, competent E. coli (DH5α) cells were transformed with the ligation mixture and the recombinant cells containing the construct were selected on media containing kanamycin at appropriate concentration.

3.2. Screening of constructs containing the CMV-CP gene
Several transformed colonies were randomly picked up and plasmid DNA was isolated through miniprep. The DNA from selected constructs was digested with different sets of enzymes to confirm the presence and size of insert in them. Sets of reactions were set up for each construct screened; one set digested with XbaI and SacI enzymes (as cloning was done at these sites in the binary vector). The results of the restriction digestion showed that two bands one belonging to plasmid and the other one of insert indicated the confirmed ligation of vector and insert, i.e. CMV-CP gene (Figure 2 (a)). The positive constructs were also screened by PCR using the CMV-CP specific primers that yielded the expected ~660 bp amplicon; further confirmation of construct was done by Southern hybridization (Figure 2 (b) and (c)).
3.3. Mobilization of constructs into Agrobacterium tumefaciens (LBA 4404)

*A. tumefaciens* (LBA 4404) cell was transformed by the electroporation. Purified binary vector *pBl*121 having cloned CMV-CP gene was added on the top of frozen competent cells and mixture was placed in an ice-cold electroporation cuvette. Electroporation was performed and the electroporated mixture was plated on appropriate medium (YEP) containing streptomycin, rifampicin and kanamycin in appropriate concentration, and grown at 28°C for two days. The transformed *Agrobacterium* colonies were selected on YEP plates containing appropriate antibiotics (rifampicin and kanamycin). Miniprep DNA from a few selected colonies was prepared and screened for the presence of construct in them through restriction digestions and PCR analyses. One such positive colony obtained from the construct was chosen for leaf-disc transformation of *N. tabacum* cv. Petit Havana plants.

3.4. Genetic transformation of *Nicotiana tabacum* and regeneration of T₀ plants

3.4.1. Regeneration of T₀ plants

In a succession of initial experiments, callusing, shoot production and rooting from leaf explants of *N. tabacum* cv. Petit Havana was established on modified MS medium by using different combination of growth regulators and modification of MS medium. Besides this, additional experiment were performed to determine the optimum concentration of kanamycin, which is
sufficient to inhibit the regeneration of shoots or resulted in death of explants. The medium containing a combination of hormone concentration of NAA 1 mg/l and BAP 0.1 mg/l was found to be best for callus induction; BAP 1 mg/l and NAA 0.1 mg/l for shooting and ½ MS medium containing NAA 0.1 mg/l was found to be the best for rooting. Murashige and Skoog’s (1962) medium (MS) was found to be the best for regeneration of tobacco leaf explants. Dose-response experiments conducted with various concentrations of kanamycin revealed that at 100 mg/l kanamycin concentration was suitable for transformation of tobacco and higher concentration to this was fatal for its growth. Therefore, finally, (MS salts, GR) supplemented with antibiotics, 100 mg/l kanamycin and 500 mg/l Cefotaxim was chosen for leaf-disc transformation of *N. tabacum* cv. Petit Havana plants. The concentration of kanamycin was reduced to 250 mg/l, and subsequently to 50 mg/l at the rooting stage.

Leaf-disc transformation of tobacco was carried by using *Agrobacterium* strain LBA 4404, carrying binary vector pBI 121 having CMV-CP gene located between the left and right borders of T-DNA.

Transformation results revealed that large number of leaf explants (about 80%) co-cultivated with *A. tumefaciens* showed direct callus induction from them after two weeks. Explants produced light green friable callus which induced shoots, when transferred on shoot-inducing medium. Explants showing either direct shoot initiation or callus formation were transferred after 2–3 weeks to the same MS basal medium containing shoot-induction growth regulators. The similar concentrations of kanamycin were maintained throughout the regeneration process, i.e. 100 mg/l kanamycin from shoot initiation to rooting stage. All the explants were kept on selection medium and those showing well-defined shoot initiation were transferred to the same fresh medium for further shoot proliferation. In the shooting medium, each transformed explant capable of producing shoots was treated as an individual line and designated as A to J for 10 independent lines. These explants were subsequently transferred from petriplates to culture tubes for profuse growth of developing shoots.

When the putatively transformed shoots gained a sufficient height (3–4 cm long), they were transferred to the rooting medium containing ½ MS salts and NAA 0.1 mg/l. Care was taken while transferring shoots to the rooting medium. The shoots should be devoid of callus to avoid re-initiation of callus formation and hindering rooting. To take into account as many independent transformation events as possible, only one shoot per event was excised and transferred to the rooting medium. Ten lines represented at least one regeneration event, and each one was transferred to the rooting medium. These shoots produced well-defined roots within 2–3 weeks. It was further observed that some of the putative transformed shoots did not produce roots on kanamycin containing medium, although they survived for a long time period. On the other hand, the controls grown on the MS medium plus kanamycin did not respond to shoot as well as root formation. Further, well-rooted putatively transformed plants were subsequently transferred to Hoagland’s solution for hardening for about one week and then transferred to vermiculite for another 7–10 days. In both the instances of hardening, the plants were kept inside a specially designed chamber under controlled conditions. Plants were shifted to soil in the glasshouse and maintained for further acclimatization and habituation to glass house conditions. Acclimatized and putatively transformed plants grew to maturity and produced flowers. However, no morphological differences between the transformed and control plants were observed.

3.5. Analysis of T₀ generation transformants

The putatively transformed plants of T₀ generation were analyzed by following adopted methods for the presence and expression of CP gene in them.

3.5.1. PCR and Southern and western blot analysis

The PCR was performed from all of the transformants using CMV-CP specific primers, which revealed the presence of CP gene in 80% of plants whereas the untransformed tobacco plants were PCR negative (Figure 3(a)).

In order to confirm the identity of product as CMV-CP, the same gel was transferred to nylon membrane for hybridization with the α-32P dCTP radio-labeled probe prepared from cloned CP gene of CMV-CP (CMV-CP *Gladiolus* isolate) by random primer extension with prior denaturation of the template DNA. The positive signal obtained upon hybridization confirmed that the PCR amplicon contained the CP gene of CMV (Figure 3(b)).

In vivo translation of CP mRNA in the leaves of T₀ plants was determined by western blot immunoassay analysis using antibodies to CMV-G. Total proteins extracted were electrophoresed on 12% denaturing gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The proteins transferred on membrane were treated with CMV specific antibodies. A 24 kDa band of CP was detected in all the T₀ tested plants (Figure 3(c)).

The above results confirmed that transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petit Havana plants thus produced successfully expressed the CP gene of CMV-G.

3.6. Evaluation of resistance in transgenic plants

Transgenic plants thus developed were evaluated for the degree of resistance in them against CMV infection in successive generations. For these four transgenic lines, namely A, D, E and H were selected. Screening for resistance in A, D, E and H transgenic lines was done till T₁ generation. The results of resistance achieved are described in the following section.
3.6.1. Inheritance of transgenes in T1 generations and CMV resistance

The seedling progeny from four transgenic lines A, D, E and H were self-fertilized. Approximately 30 seeds from each line were surface sterilized, kept on MS medium containing kanamycin (100 mg/l) and germinated to analyze the percentage survival of plants on kanamycin. Progeny of all four lines was segregated with a standard Mendelian monohybrid ratio of 3:1. The segregation data for resistance or susceptibility to kanamycin indicated that progenies of all four lines carried a single copy gene. For analysis of resistance against CMV infection in T1 generation, 10 plants (3–4-leaf stage) of each line were taken. At each three leaf stage, the plants were first confirmed by PCR and Southern hybridization for the presence of CMV-CP gene in them (Figure 4(a) and (b)). Then the transgenic plants were mechanically challenged by CMV. Symptom development on these plants, if any was monitored everyday in the glasshouse. It was observed that in control plants the inoculated leaves showed chlorosis in 2–4 days and by the end of first week, mosaic symptoms became visible in control inoculated plants. In contrast, symptoms produced in all the transgenic plants were delayed by 25–30 days post inoculation. Few transgenic progenies of A and E lines which expressed the coat protein did not show any symptoms on the inoculated leaf in the four weeks of post inoculation. The percentage of plants showing susceptibility or resistance among the respective progenies of A, D, E and H lines are shown in Table 1. As evident from the table, approximately 20% of the plants in line A and 30% in line E were those which were fully resistant to CMV, whereas other plants of these lines showed delay in symptoms up to 25 dpi. On the other hand, none of the plants of line D and H were fully resistant, although delay in symptoms was observed in these lines up to 25 dpi in

![Figure 3. Analysis of T0 generation transformants for CMV-CP gene. (a) Confirmation by PCR of 10 transformed lines, (b) Confirmation by Southern blot of transformed lines, (c) Western blot of transformed lines.](image)

![Figure 4. Confirmation of CMV-CP in T1 generation. (above) PCR, (below) Southern blot.](image)
Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) in tobacco was first demonstrated in plants by using the CP gene of TMV. This demonstrated that the CP gene can be used to generate transgenic plants that are resistant to TMV. This was the first initiated by Cuozzo et al. (1988) who generated transgenic tobacco plants which showed high resistance to TMV infection. Apart from CP gene, other genes found in the genome of CMV have also been utilized by various workers to generate transgenic plants for resistance against CMV infection. CMV is one of the important viruses for which CP-mediated resistance has been aimed. The various reports on CPMR, however, indicate very differently, and sometimes even opposing outcomes, which might be partly due to different levels of CP accumulation obtained on account of different gene constructs and/or different host systems. For all reported successful cases of CP-mediated resistance against CMV, high expression levels of transgenic CP were reached (Cuozzo et al. 1988; Quemada et al. 1991; Gonsalves et al. 1992, 1994; Namba et al. 1992; Yie et al. 1992; Nakajima et al. 1993; Yoshioka et al. 1993; Okuno et al. 1993a; Xue, et al. 1994; Kaniewski et al. 1999; Jacquemond et al. 2001; Shin et al. 2002b), although it is known that this did not at all assure resistant phenotype (Jacquemond et al. 2001). The resistance responses in the different CMV-host combinations seems to vary considerably, in some cases only a temporary delay in symptom appearance was observed. In other cases milder or attenuated expression of symptoms were reported, and in yet other cases no symptoms at all were observed suggesting full resistance. Previously published data suggested that the levels of CP-mediated resistance against CMV are correlated with the amounts of transgenically produced CP resistant plants (Cuozzo et al. 1988; Namba et al. 1992; Okuno et al. 1993b; Rizos et al. 1996; Kaniewski et al. 1999). Our data also strengthen these conclusions that among the four CP expressing lines only the progenies of lines A and E showed high level of CP expression whereas low CP accumulation lines D and H showed delayed symptom expression (Figure 5). Previous studies have reported estimated CP levels of 0.16% and 0.2% of total soluble protein in resistant CP transformed tobacco plants (Quemada et al. 1991; Okuno et al. 1993b). It cannot be excluded that our approaches to aim for CP-mediated expression were optimal in lines A and E where plants showed resistance to CMV, and expression was too low in D and H lines to provoke resistance. Nonetheless, it is clear that transformation using the CP gene to obtain virus-resistant host plants is a successful approach. Although high levels of CP expression are indeed crucial for obtaining operational levels of resistance. CP expressing lines have always been observed to form a small minority (Kaniewski et al. 1999), requiring the transformation and testing of large numbers of lines. Studies carried out by (Kaniewski et al. 1999) revealed few plants, whereas susceptible plants showed symptoms at 15 dpi. These plants either developed very mild to moderate mosaic symptoms at earlier stages.

Table 1. Percentage of A, D, E and H progenies (T1 generation) showing full resistance or delayed symptoms or susceptibility to CMV-Gladiolus infection at 25 days post inoculation.

| Line  | Fully resistant (%) | Delayed symptoms (%) | Fully susceptible (%) |
|-------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| A     | 20                  | 30                   | 50                    |
| D     | None                | 60                   | 40                    |
| E     | 30                  | 50                   | 20                    |
| H     | None                | 40                   | 60                    |

4. Discussion

Recently, due to increasing human population and rapid globalization the demand of food is more than supply. So to achieve this target, application of hazardous chemicals in agriculture practices is increasing day by day. Because of the virus infection to the plants, the overall quality and quantity of food production has been decreased; therefore, it is of prime importance to create virus-resistant plants. Hence, identification and exploitation of genetic resources of resistance to viral pathogens has become as an ideal approach for controlling viral diseases (Gielen et al. 1996). Thus, one of the main objectives of the work, therefore, was to study whether CPMR strategy could be applied for raising transgenic plants utilizing the CP gene of CMV-Gladiolus strain and if resistance of any kind (delayed or complete or none) could be achieved in regenerated transgenic plants. If found effective the strategy could very well be applied to other commercially important crops and ornamental plant species that are infected by CMV, where the yield and quality get severely affected every year due to CMV infection (Figure 4).

Transgenic host resistance has been proposed as a means to protect plants from viruses. The concept of pathogen-derived resistance (PDR) (Sanford and Johnston 1985) was first demonstrated in plants by using the CP gene of Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) in tobacco plants to confer resistance against its homologous virus (Powell-Abel et al. 1986). Such transgenic expression of viral CP in plants confers protection against the homologous virus and sometimes to closely related viruses. This strategy, referred to as CP-mediated protection, has been widely tested.

CPMR is a form of PDR to obtain resistance in plants against different viruses which has emerged as one of the most promising approaches in recent times. Attempts for conferring resistance against CMV using the coat protein gene was first initiated by Cuozzo et al. (1988) who generated transgenic tobacco plants which showed high resistance to CMV infection. Apart from CP gene, other genes found in the genome of CMV have also been...
that the use of the Figwort mosaic virus major promoter to replace the CaMV 35S promoter may lead to a 20-fold enhanced accumulation of transgenic CP corresponding to enhanced resistance levels as well. Hence, this might be one way to improve the methodology for CP-mediated resistance, although the result may turn out to be host dependent. The mechanism by which CP-mediated resistance operates is not fully understood, yet. Experiments in transgenic TMV CP-expressing tobacco protoplasts revealed that an early step in virus replication is blocked, possibly co-translational disassembly (Osbourn et al. 1989). In addition, it has been suggested that CP-mediated resistance is based on abortive replication of the invading viral RNAs by the viral RdRp, due to the presence of large amounts of CP in the transgenic plant cells (de Haan 1998).

Analysis revealed that the level of resistance in plants varied in different plant lines ranging from near complete resistance to delayed symptom appearance to fully susceptible transgenic plants. In general, more than 50% of the plants showed a fully susceptible phenomenon whereas 30% plants showed delay in symptom appearance. Only two out of 10 plants (20%) in line A and three out of 10 (30%) in line E showed full resistance throughout life. However, in lines D and H none of the plants were found to be fully resistant, although these plants also showed delay in symptom appearance. These plants showed reduced susceptibility to CMV infection as well as decrease in severity of symptoms than the untransformed controls. The symptoms in these plants were delayed to at least 20–25 dpi, whereas susceptible controls in most cases produced 100% symptoms by 10–15 dpi. Susceptible transgenic plants initially showed chlorosis in the inoculated leaves in one week but appearance of systemic mosaic symptoms in them was observed only after 10–15 dpi. These findings are in accordance with those reported by Nelson et al. (1987); Tumer et al. (1987a); Nakajima et al. (1993); Gielen et al. (1996) who showed delaying in the systemic spread of the infecting virus in the transgenic plants (tobacco or tomato) expressing the viral CP. At the same time five plants from each A, D, E, H lines challenged from CMV isolates through mechanical inoculation were showed delay in symptoms appearance and resistant to CMV (Table 2).

T1 plants which did not develop systemic symptoms after inoculation with CMV were characterized by significantly reduced level of virus accumulation in their systemic leaves as compared to their inoculated leaf (Figure 6). This may be supported by low virus levels detected in their upper systemic leaves. Okuno et al. (1993b) have also reported higher virus accumulation in the inoculated leaves of transgenic plants expressing the CP gene than in the systemic leaves. As reviewed by Beachy et al. (1990), the CPMR is manifested by a reduced rate of systemic spread of infection whereby development of systemic disease symptoms in CP (+) plants is either completely inhibited or much reduced than the non-transgenic controls so that even if the inoculation resulted in infection on the inoculated leaves, the likelihood of the infection becoming systemic is reduced. Similarly, Nelson et al. (1987) have also reported that the delay in systemic symptom development in transgenic tobacco expressing the CP gene of TMV was correlated with reduction in lesion numbers and virus accumulation in the transgenic plants. On the other hand, resistance was also shown by plants that, although accumulated virus at high levels in their systemic leaves as detected by Western blot immunoassay remained

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**Table 2.** Cucumber mosaic virus challenge inoculation from different host reported from subgroup IB to transgenic lines expressed IA coat protein gene.

| Lines | CMV from host plant | Susceptible | Delayed symptoms | Resistance |
|-------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|
| A     | Tomato              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Banana              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Catharanthus        | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Jatropha            | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Chrysanthemum       | −           | +                | −          |
| B     | Tomato              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Banana              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Catharanthus        | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Jatropha            | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Chrysanthemum       | −           | +                | −          |
| C     | Tomato              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Banana              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Catharanthus        | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Jatropha            | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Chrysanthemum       | −           | +                | −          |
| D     | Tomato              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Banana              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Catharanthus        | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Jatropha            | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Chrysanthemum       | −           | +                | −          |
| E     | Tomato              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Banana              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Catharanthus        | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Jatropha            | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Chrysanthemum       | −           | +                | −          |
| F     | Tomato              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Banana              | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Catharanthus        | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Jatropha            | −           | +                | −          |
|       | Chrysanthemum       | −           | +                | −          |
symptomless throughout their life cycle. However, accumulation levels in them were not as high as found in the diseased control.
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