Cladding welding of CA6M with pulsed FCAW and results analysis through the L9 TAGUCHI and ANOVA
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Abstract — The cladding welding analysis with pulsed flux cored arc welding (FCAW) process, were carried over a AISI 1020 base metal (thickness 12.7 mm, width 63.5 and length 185mm) with an CA6NM steel wire with diameter of 1.2mm. Was performed only one weld cord in the flat position. For experimental design was used the method of Taguchi L9 to determinate the parameter to be analyzed through the application of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) method. The response signals in RMS (Root Mean Square) analyzed were the voltage, current and acceleration. The procedure is based on a non-parametric domain-selective ANOVA for functional data, which results in the selection of the intervals of the domain presenting the most statistically significant effects of each factor over the selected response signals. The statistical results presented by ANOVA show that not all the selected variables have influenced the results. The best results for the cladding welding were obtained from the current average of 230 amperes, and statistically the average current was the variable that significantly affected the results, however, the welding speed only affected the yield of the process.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The cladding welding of carbon steel with stainless steel is defined by PALANI & MURUNGAN (2007) as the deposition of a layer of stainless steel on surfaces of carbon steel or low alloy steels with the purpose of obtaining coatings with good properties of corrosion resistance. Even though the stainless steel offers some huge advantages over the common carbon steel, the price of using stainless steel can be ten times greater. In that way, the cladding welding process main advantage is related to the fact that the produced layers are less expensive but still benefits from some of the properties of the stainless steel if compared with the carbon steel. The arc welding with FCAW (Flux Cored Arc Welding) is a process that produces coalescence of metals by heating them with an arc established between a continuous consumable tubular electrode and the work piece (MARQUES et al., 2005 and RODRIGUES et al, 2008). The protection of the arc and the weld bead is provided by a welding flux contained within the electrode, which can be supplemented by a gas flow supplied from an external source. For stainless steels literature recommends using argon mixture with 2% oxygen which has a slightly oxidizing behavior. The monitoring technique used in this work was based in sensors that could acquire simultaneously signals such as, current, voltage and acceleration. The vibration signal that provides interesting results in Predictive Maintenance Programs, and also in the analysis of welding stability, as the spectral analysis of vibration frequencies can show different defects characteristics during a welding process. In the Figure 1a, it is possible to observe the correlation between the average, peak, peak-to-peak, RMS value and the amplitude of a sinusoidal signal. The Figure 1b shows a generic vibrational signal. In a signal of this nature, the choice of the numerical value to be used to determinate its characteristics can imply in great differences.
The method that involves vibration measurements are subdivided into: techniques based on the time domain, frequency domain and time/frequency domain. The graphics of the signal in the time domain registers the amplitude as a function of the time but when analyzed through the frequency domain, the amplitude is presented as a function of the frequency. As can be observed in the Figure 2, the identification of the frequency components of complex signals by using the time domain is very difficult, so the signal is transported to the frequency domain to make it simpler to find erratic behaviors that could represent some failure or another point of interest in the signal. The effective value or Root Mean Square (RMS) is the mean quadratic level of a sinusoidal signal, being an extremely important measure of the amplitude, as it shows the average energy contained in a vibratory movement.

Based on the simultaneous acquisition of signals, a variety of studies has been developed, such as the research of (ARATA et al; 1981) that used an accelerometer and a microphone to identify possible defects in a GMAW welding process, where (GRAD et al; 2004) also conducted an extensive research of this process through the analysis of the current signals with a noise signal acquired with a microphone. In a more advanced research, JOHNSON et al (1991) coupled the current, voltage and noise signals together to produce a more reliable analysis system.

For the FCAW process, (WANG et al, 1995) wrote about the prediction of the metal transfer modes through the analysis of simultaneously acquired signals. As well as LIMA & FERRARESI (2006) that developed a research on the analysis of current and voltage signals in a way to determinate the metal transfer mode.

The statistical analysis in this work is based on the analysis of variance (ANOVA), that is primary used to verify which parameters are more intensively correlated to the results obtained during the tests (THAKUR & NANDEDKAR, 2010).

The main parameters analyzed through this method are the average current, pulse frequency, welding speed and contact tip to work distance, which were correlated to the geometrical parameters of the weld cord. ANOOP & KUMAR (2013), KUMAR (2014) at optimization in tungsten gas arc welding with aluminum and stainless steel, respectively using Taguchi and ANOVA. PINI et al (2015) studied the analysis of a laser welding process through the ANOVA software method (ANIL KUMAR et al, 2015) studied the parameters of welding with ANOVA.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials:
The base metal used was an AISI 1020 steel plate with the following dimensions (185 × 63.5 × 12.7 mm) and for the cladding was used the EC410NiMo MC 1.2 mm in diameter electrode wire with the shield gas a mixture of argon with oxygen 2%. The chemical composition of the base metal and filler is show in Table 1.

| Table 1: Chemical composition data for the base metal and the filler metal |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Materials      | C  | Mn | P  | S  | Si | Ni | Cr | Mo |
| AISI 1020      | 0.18/0.23 | 0.30/0.60 | 0.03 | 0.035 | 0.10/0.30 | 0.15 | 0.15 | … |
| EC410NiMo     | 0.027 | 0.590 | 0.024 | 0.006 | 0.44 | 4.86 | 12.50 | 0.43 |
Methods:
To perform the welding, a test bench consisting of a welding machine, a displacement system for the welding torch and a modular data acquisition system, compose by an ammeter, a voltmeter and an accelerometer. A diagram of this test setup can be seen in the Figure 3 at where the main features and components are described. The welding process is usually limited by the diameter of the wire used for deposition.

Being possible to use large diameter wires to weld in the flat surfaces in the horizontal position, the use of small diameters wires makes possible to weld in almost any position.

After the welding process, a layer of slag that covers the weld bead must be removed to be able to visualize clearly the bead and its defects. Figure 4 shows a schematic view of the flux-cored arc welding process.

The FCAW parameters of interest for this work are the welding speed, current, the distance between the wire and the work-piece or Arc Length, and the pulse frequency. The welding parameters were defined through an extensive bibliographical consultation and preliminary tests. Through the analysis of those acquired data and taking into account the main goals of this study, the limits of each variable were prefixed. The Table 2 shows those parameters and their values that were used during the tests. Before the welding process, all the specimens surface were treated through an abrasive blasting process with steel grit G-25 S-280 with hardness D, this process were produced in accordance to the SAE J444 (1993) standard, to provide a surface free of contaminants. The equipment used were a CMV blaster, model GS-9075X.

After that, all samples were pre-heated to a temperature of 200°C in a muffle furnace NT-380 before they were brought to the test bench, then, an infrared gauge were used to monitor the work piece temperature, in the moment that it reached 150°C, the welding process were started.

| Parameters                  | Values  |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Polarity of the Electrode   | DCEP    |
| Shielding Gas               | O₂ + 2% Ar |
| Gas Flow                    | 18 L/min. |
| Torch Angle                 | 90°     |
| Welding Position            | Flat    |
| Inter-pass Temperature      | 150° C  |
| Number of Cords             | 01      |
| Peak Current (Ip)           | 350 A   |
| Peak Time                   | 10 ms   |

The signals provided by different sensors (ammeter, voltmeter and accelerometer) were acquired simultaneously as well as the welding vibration that was detected with a piezoelectric accelerometer model KSD-80D, with a sensibility of 100 mV/g and response frequency in the range of 0,13 ~ 22000 Hz.

The accelerometer was installed in the central part of the specimen below the welding table, in such a way that its operating temperature would not be exceeded, where the initials tests to verify the accelerometer responsiveness and was possible to verify that its location did not affected the results.

Figure 5 shows a schematic view of the accelerometer assembly used in the work piece, and is important to observe that the torch displacement systems was not mounted in the same structure as the welding table in a way to isolate the noises that could be picked during its motion.
Fig. 5: Schematic arrangement of the accelerometer

Development of L9 Taguchi

The method developed by Taguchi objective: Project products or processes that are robust with respect to the environmental, design and develop products that are robust to the variability of its components and minimize variability around a nominal value.

The methods Taguchi (MONTGOMERY & RUNGER, 2012) typically use three or more levels in the welding process parameters that aim at estimating potential non-linear interactions thereof.

To relate the combination of four variables and three levels of each was used the test by Minitab software and the relationships are presented in Table 3.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

For a better uniformity of the results, the signals were all analyzed between the times of 20 and 30 seconds. In that way, the results obtained were most probably found in a zone were the arc was fully developed. The results shown as RMS (Root Mean Square) values can be seen in the Table 4 for the 9 samples. It is possible to observe that the highest RMS current and voltage values were obtained for an Average Current of 230 A.

In the FCAW welding process as in the GMAW, the metal transfer through short circuit occurs at low currents and low voltages levels, then, possibly at voltages up to 20 V this was the predominant type of metal transfer. While at voltages above 20 volts there was probably occurred globular transfer with the presence of elongation, causing the drop to touch the workpiece without being transferred.

### Table 3: Design of Experimental Matrix

| Sample | Average Current (A) | Pulse Frequency (Hz) | Welding Speed (mm/min.) | Tip to Work-piece Distance (mm) |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1      | 170                 | 18.18                | 300                     | 30                            |
| 2      | 170                 | 22.22                | 350                     | 33                            |
| 3      | 170                 | 20.00                | 400                     | 36                            |
| 4      | 200                 | 20.00                | 300                     | 33                            |
| 5      | 200                 | 18.18                | 350                     | 36                            |
| 6      | 200                 | 22.22                | 400                     | 30                            |
| 7      | 230                 | 22.22                | 300                     | 36                            |
| 8      | 230                 | 20.00                | 350                     | 30                            |
| 9      | 230                 | 18.18                | 400                     | 33                            |

### Table 4: Results for current, voltage and acceleration of the pulsed current in RMS

| Sample | Average Current (A) | Pulse Frequency (Hz) | Welding Speed (mm/min.) | Contact Tip Work Distance (mm) | Current RMS (A) | Voltage RMS (V) | Acceleration RMS (m/s²) |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 1      | 170                 | 18.18                | 300                     | 30                            | 193.2465       | 15.2346         | 0.3779                 |
| 2      | 22.22               | 350                  | 33                      | 185.4450                      | 16.9629        | 0.3147         |                        |
| 3      | 20.00               | 400                  | 36                      | 160.5643                      | 17.7862        | 0.2945         |                        |
| 4      | 20.00               | 300                  | 33                      | 191.3539                      | 25.4825        | 0.2009         |                        |
| 5      | 18.18               | 350                  | 36                      | 182.2212                      | 26.3488        | 0.1854         |                        |
| 6      | 22.22               | 400                  | 30                      | 178.3370                      | 22.1801        | 0.1700         |                        |
| 7      | 22.22               | 300                  | 36                      | 209.9335                      | 27.8447        | 0.1810         |                        |
| 8      | 20.00               | 350                  | 30                      | 215.3948                      | 25.3854        | 0.1700         |                        |
| 9      | 18.18               | 400                  | 33                      | 195.2679                      | 25.3066        | 0.1665         |                        |
The best welding current during all the tests was 230 A, this result could be probably related to the fact that it could be above the transitional current and that it could have a greater heat input that increases the wire tip temperature due to the Joule Effect, which facilitate the transfer process.

Using shadowgraph KIM & EAGAR (1993) mention what the fact that the transition between metal transference modes (globular with elongation and rotational transfer) are more likely to occur when the base material is steel and the shielding gas is argon.

It is also possible to observe in the Table 4 that the average RMS acceleration for the pulsed current was 0.3290 m/s² for 170 A, 0.1976 m/s² for 200 A and 0.1725 m/s² for a 230 A current, which shows that the acceleration decreases substantially with the increase of the average current, meaning that less vibration was captured, leading to a more stable arc during the welding process.

ANOVA is a statistically based, objective decision-making tool for detecting any differences in the average performance of groups of items tested. Tables 5, 6 and 7 present the RMS ANOVA of current, voltage and acceleration respectively. Table 8 shows these compiled results.

The software MINITAB in its version 16 was used to produce the statistical results for this work. Statistically when the significance level (α) of an output parameter, provided by ANOVA results for a given factor, were less than 5%, we can say that this factor must directly affect the response of the result.

However if the significance level (α) values are greater than 5% there is a weak correlation between the factor analysis and the output signal (PATEL & PATEL, 2014). It is also possible to observe in the same table, the factors that affect the output signals are the average current, which was directly correlated with all three results, but on the other hand, the welding speed was the only affecting RMS Current (α = 0.000344%).

On the other hand, the Contact Tip to Work Distance were more likely to have close correlation with the RMS Current and Voltage (α = 0.028926% and 0.004418, respectively), but with less intensity if compared to the other situations.

The Root Mean Square showed that the effects of the quadratic regression, was better than linear regression in a given range.

However, quadratic regression could not directly perceive the relationship between the welding parameters and weld geometry. Hence, this research considered the establishment of the exponential regression. This exponential regression showed that with the increasing of welding average current, notice that the weld width and reinforcement increase and also if welding voltage increases, the weld width increases, reinforcement decreases a bit.

| Source                  | DF | Seq SS  | Adj SS | Adj MS | F       | P       |
|-------------------------|----|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Regression              | 4  | 3315.20 | 3315.20| 828.80 | 17.0582 | 0.000043|
| Average Current (A)     | 1  | 1894.73 | 1894.73| 1894.73| 38.9969 | 0.000030|
| Pulse Frequency (Hz)    | 1  | 6.00    | 6.00   | 6.00   | 0.1235  | 0.730870|
| Welding Speed (mm/min)  | 1  | 1121.58 | 1121.58| 1121.58| 23.0841 | 0.000344|
| Contact Tip to Work Distance (mm) | 1 | 292.89 | 292.89 | 292.89 | 6.0283 | 0.028926|
| Error                   | 13 | 631.63  | 631.63 | 48.59  |         |         |
| Total                   | 17 | 3946.82 |        |        |         |         |

P.S. Adjusted squared sum (Adj SS) is a measure of the variation for the different components of the model.

Adjusted mean squares (Adj MS) measure how much variation a term or model explains.

Sums sequential squares (Seq SS) are measures of the variation of different components of the model.

Degree of Freedom (DF)

| Source                  | DF | Seq SS  | Adj SS | Adj MS | F       | P       |
|-------------------------|----|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Regression              | 4  | 297.701 | 297.701| 74.425 | 24.7937 | 0.000006|
| Average Current (A)     | 1  | 257.553 | 257.553| 257.553| 85.8003 | 0.000000|
| Pulse Frequency (Hz)    | 1  | 0.037   | 0.037  | 0.037  | 0.0122  | 0.913739|
| Welding Speed (mm/min)  | 1  | 4.654   | 4.654  | 4.654  | 1.5504  | 0.235061|
| Contact Tip to Work Distance (mm) | 1 | 35.457 | 35.457 | 35.457 | 11.8121 | 0.004418|

Table. 5: ANOVA to RMS Current

Table. 6: ANOVA to RMS Voltage
Figures 6, 7 and 8 shows the effects and influence of the factors for each response variable and the higher the slope of the curves, the bigger the influence of the factors over the output variables. Those graphics can provide a quick and interesting perspective of the data provided in the Table 4.

As it is possible to observe on the Figure 6, the average current are much more likely to interfere with the RMS current, specifically at higher current levels, on the other way, the welding speed is more likely to interfere at lower speed, the same is also true for the contact tip to work distance (CTWD).

On the Figure 7, the same behavior can be observed for the RMS voltage, being the average current the most correlated factor, on the other hand, the effect of the contact tip to work distance (CTWD) is the complete opposite, being more correlated for bigger distances. With respect to the acceleration, the results are totally opposite to the ones shown above, as the average current influence appeared at low accelerations, this result, as already discussed, demonstrate the higher level of stability of the arc for higher average currents, probably this happens due to a change of the metal transfer mechanism (from short circuit to globular).

For all the situations, it is possible to visualize the low influence of the pulse frequency in the results, the same result is possible to observe in the Table 4, as for this factor, the Level of Significance were the highest above all other factors. The welding speed, as well, did not show a high interference in all situations.

From the Table 4 as for the Figures 6 and 7, it is possible to note that statistically the CTWD affect more the RMS voltage than the RMS current and makes almost no effect over the RMS Acceleration.

This could be related to the fact that the CTWD will influence directly the amount of heat generated due to the Joule effect that can change the melting of the wire and its internal heating, which facilitates the metal transference. If the distance is too big, there will be a lot of spills and the formation of a convex bead, but, if the distance is too small there will be more arc instability.

![Fig. 6: Effect of the main factors over the RMS Current](image-url)
To provide a better view of the results obtained from the signals, the Figure 9 presents the simultaneous signals for the current, voltage and acceleration as a function of the average current in 0.5 seconds of interval.

For the average current welding at 170 A and 200 A, as shown in Figure 9a and 9b, respectively, there is an instability evidenced arc in the current graphics and acceleration, which showed abnormal behavior with series of current peaks with different amplitudes and lot of noise in the acceleration graphics. Therefore, the results show that the metal transfer mechanism is not occurring as expected, with a behavior which characterizes the metal transfer short circuit. Those characteristics were found as well by (LUZ et al; 2005), (LIMA & FERRARESI, 2006) (STARLING & MODENESI, 2005) that observed a behavior very similar with the one found here.

The better condition of droplet detachment was to current average of 230 A as in the Figure 9c, where you can observe a arc stability with current and acceleration and stable metal globular transfer (WANG, et al 1995, KIM & EAGAR 1993), which was observed in other studies in more detail by a high speed camera system (LOPERA et al 2011, DUTRA et al 2012).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of the cladding welding through the FCAW pulsed process by applying the ANOVA method presented some very interesting results on respect to the weld quality and arc stability, mainly by high correlation between the average current and the arc stability. The fact that the welding speed is not a big factor influences the arc stability and consequently to the quality.
of the weld cord, shows that cladding process could be faster without to drop the quality. Which respect to the pulse frequency, was found that it does not imposes great differences over the process, not interfering so much over the metal transfer process or arc stability. As the acceleration showed very well the stability of the arc, it is possible to say that the use of an industrial accelerometer as a non-intrusive and easy to install method to verify the stability of the arc during the welding process, making it possible even to correct the parameters directly. Therefore we observed that statistically analyzed the best results for the cladding welding were obtained from the current average of 230 amperes, with the average current being that more likely to interfere with the RMS current, specifically at higher current levels.

REFERENCES

[1] Anil Kumar K. S.; Karur, A.S.; Chipili, S.; Singh, A.; 2015, Optimization of FSW Parameters to Improve the Mechanical Properties of AA2024-T351 Similar Joints Using Taguchi Method; Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, v.5 (3B):27-32.

[2] Anoop, C.A.; Kumar, P.; 2013, Application of Taguchi Methods and ANOVA in GTAW Process Parameters Optimization for Aluminum Alloy 7039; International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) v.2 (11):54-58.

[3] Arata, Y.; Inoue, K.; Futamata, M.; Toh, T; 1981, Investigation on welding arc sound (report 4) - vibration analysis of base metal during welding. Trans JWRI, v.10, n.01, p. 39-45.

[4] Dutra, J.C; Marques, C.; Silva, R.H.G.; 2012;Interpretative Agreements and Disagreements in the inter-relationships of the variables of the pulsed current applied to the aluminum wire 4043; Soldagem e Inspeção, v.17(3): 201-209.

[5] Grad, L.; et al.; 2004 Feasibility study of acoustic signals for on-line monitoring in short circuit gas metal arc welding. International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture, v.44: 555–561.

[6] Kim, Y-S; Eagar, T.W.; 1993, Analysis of Metal Transfer in Gas Metal Arc Welding, Welding Journal, v. 72 (6): 269-278.

[7] Kumar, V; 2014, Optimization of Weld Bead Width in Tungsten Inert Gas Welding of Austenitic Stainless Steel Alloy; American Journal of Mechanical Engineering, v.2(2): 50-53.

[8] Lima, A.C.; Ferraresi, V.A.; 2006, Estudos dos modos de transferência metálica de um arame tubular autoprotegido com variação na distância bico de contato-péça. Soldagem e Inspeção,v.11(3): 164-172.

[9] Lopera, J.E.P.; Ramos, E.G.; Carvalho, G.C.; Alfaro, S.C.A.; 2011, Uso da técnica de perfilografia para visualização dos modos de transferência metálica no processo de soldagem GMAW usando uma câmera CMOS de alta velocidade. In: 6º Congresso Brasileiro de Engenharia de Fabricação, Caxias do Sul, RS. ABCM; v.1: 1-9.

[10] Luz, T.S.; Ferraresi, V.A.; Balsamo, P.S.S.; 2005, Análise da transferência metálica do arame tubular com proteção gasosa. In: 3º COBEF - Congresso Brasileiro de Engenharia de Fabricação, Joinville - SC, v.1: 1-9.

[11] Marques, P. V.; Modenesi, P. J.; Bracarense, A. Q.; 2005; Soldagem: fundamentos e tecnologia. Belo Horizonte: UFMG, 362 p

[12] Montgomery, D.C.; Runger, G.C.; 2012, Estatística aplicada e probabilidade para engenheiros. 5º Ed. Rio de Janeiro, RJ; LTC, 523p, ISBN 9788521619024.

[13] Palani, P. K.; Murugan, N.; 2007, Optimization of weld bead geometry for stainless steel claddings deposited by FCAW; Journal of Materials Processing Technology, v.190: 291-299.

[14] Patel, A.B.; Patel, S.P.; 2014, The effect of activating fluxes in TIG welding by using Anova for SS 321; Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, v.4(5): 41-48.

[15] Pini, A.; Vantini, S.; Colombo, D.; Colosimo, B. M.; Previtali, B; 2015, Domain-selective functional ANOVA for process analysis via signal data: the remote monitoring in laser welding. MOX-Report n. 31/2015 pp. 1-18.

[16] Rodrigues, L. O.; Paiva, A. P. and Costa, S. C.; 2008, Otimização do processo de soldagem com eletrodo tubular através da análise da geometria do cordão de solda. Soldagem & Inspeção, v.13(2): 118-127.

[17] Sapakal, S.V. and Telsang, M.T., 2012; ParametricOptimizationof MIG Weldingusing Taguchi Design Method, International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Studies; v.I(IV):28-30.

[18] Starling, C.M.D.; Modenesi, P.J.; 2005; Avaliação da velocidade de fusão do arame na soldagem FCAW com eletrodo negativo. Soldagem e Inspeção, v.10(1): 31-37.

[19] Thakur, A.G.; Nandedkar, V.M.; 2010, Application of Taguchi method to determine resistance spot welding conditions of austenitic stainless steel AISI 304, Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research; v.69: 680-683.

[20] Wang, W.; Liu, S.; Jones, J.E.; 1995, Flux Cored Arc Welding: Arc Signals, Processing and Metal Transfer Characterization. Welding Journal, Welding Research Supplement; v.74 (11): 369s-377s