Effect of high-flow nasal oxygen on postoperative oxygenation in obese patients: A randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Background and Aim: Postoperative hypoxemia is common after general anesthesia in obese patients. We investigated if early application of high-flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) improved postoperative oxygenation in obese patients compared with standard oxygen therapy following general anesthesia for laparoscopic bariatric surgery.

Methods: This was an open labeled randomized controlled trial conducted at a university hospital in Sweden between October 23, 2018 and February 11, 2020. The study was performed as a substudy within a previously published trial. After ethics committee approval and written informed consent, 40 obese patients (body mass index [BMI] ≥ 35 kg m−2) scheduled for laparoscopic bariatric surgery were randomized to receive oxygen using a standard low-flow nasal cannula (NC group) or HFNO at 40 L min−1 (HF group) immediately upon arrival to the post-anesthesia care unit. Flow rate (NC group) or FiO2 (HF group) was titrated to reach an initial SpO2 of 95%–98% after which settings were left unchanged. The primary outcome was PaO2 at 60 min following postoperative baseline values. Secondary outcomes included PaCO2, SpO2, hemodynamic variables, and patient self-assessed discomfort.

Results: Thirty-four patients were available for analysis. PaO2 was similar between groups at postoperative baseline. After 60 min, PaO2 had increased to 12.6 ± 2.8 kPa in the NC group (n = 15) and 14.0 ± 2.7 kPa in the HF group (n = 19); (mean difference 1.4 kPa, 95% confidence interval −0.6 to 3.3; p = 0.16). There were no differences in PaCO2, hemodynamic variables, or self-assessed discomfort between groups after 60 min.

Conclusion: In obese patients, HFNO did not improve postoperative short-term oxygenation compared with standard low-flow oxygen following general anesthesia for laparoscopic bariatric surgery.
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1 INTRODUCTION

After general anesthesia, disconnection from the ventilator circuit results in loss of positive airway pressure that may lead to lung derecruitment and atelectasis-related shunt, especially in obese patients. This is a major cause of postoperative hypoxemia and the cause of considerable postoperative morbidity; hence there is a need for preventive strategies.

In patients with hypoxic respiratory failure after abdominal surgery, the application of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) reduces the need for intubation and mechanical ventilation. Although high-flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) likewise increases airway pressure and therefore may prevent atelectasis formation, early application of this technique in patients with body mass index (BMI) < 35 kg m\(^2\) failed to reduce the incidence of postoperative hypoxemia after major abdominal surgery compared with standard low-flow oxygen. Furthermore, after cardiothoracic surgery, HFNO did not improve atelectasis formation on chest X-ray compared with standard nasal cannula.

In obese patients undergoing laparoscopic bariatric surgery, CPAP improves postoperative oxygenation, and immediate application after extubation maintains respiratory function better than application in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU). Contrary to the findings in studies of patients with normal BMI, HFNO has been reported to reduce the risk of postoperative hypoxemia in obese patients compared with standard oxygen supplementation when HFNO was applied in the operating room before extubation and maintained during transport to the PACU. Although this strategy eliminates time without positive airway pressure and presumably reduces the risk of lung derecruitment, the transport of patients with HFNO remains challenging in clinical practice. The effect of HFNO on postoperative oxygenation in obese patients when applied early instead of immediately after extubation has not been well characterized.

We hypothesized that HFNO would improve postoperative oxygenation in obese patients compared with standard low flow oxygen therapy when applied at arrival to the PACU. The primary aim of this trial was to compare oxygenation using these two strategies during the first postoperative hour in obese patients recovering from general anesthesia for laparoscopic bariatric surgery.

2 METHODS

2.1 Study design, setting, and ethical statement

This was a randomized controlled trial conducted at a university hospital in Sweden between October 23, 2018 and February 11, 2020. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice. Ethical permission was granted by the Regional Ethical Committee of Uppsala, Sweden, on April 4, 2018. Written informed consent was obtained before induction of anesthesia in all patients. The protocol was registered a priori at the ISRCTN registry (#ISRCTN37375068) October 19, 2018 (isrctn.com) and the study adhered to the CONSORT guidelines for reporting randomized trials.

2.2 Participants

Adult patients, aged 18–60 years, scheduled for elective laparoscopic bariatric surgery were eligible for study inclusion if they had a BMI ≥ 35 kg m\(^2\). Patients were excluded if they had an ASA Class > 2 (not assessed by BMI), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or asthma causing limitations in everyday activities, heart failure with clinical symptoms equivalent to New York Heart Association functional classification > 2, restrictive lung disease with > 20% reduction in total lung capacity, allergy to anesthetic agents used in the study, or if they could not comprehend oral or written information.

2.3 Study prerequisites, randomization, and masking

This trial was performed as a sub-study within a previously published trial in which patients were randomized to receive preoxygenation with a FiO\(_2\) of 1.0 using face mask and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 7 cmH\(_2\)O or HFNO with 70 L min\(^{-1}\) before induction of anesthesia. Patients randomized to face-mask with PEEP were also allocated to postoperative oxygen supplementation with a standard nasal cannula (NC group), whereas patients randomized to preoxygenation with HFNO were allocated to receive postoperative oxygen supplementation with HFNO (HF group). Randomization was performed before induction of anesthesia in an allocation ratio of 1:1 and a block size of two by opening sealed opaque sequentially numbered envelopes. There was no blinding.

2.4 Interventions

Patients in the NC group received oxygen at 2 L min\(^{-1}\) using a standard nasal cannula approximately corresponding to a FiO\(_2\) of 0.30, whereas patients in the HF group received HFNO (POINT\(^{\text{TM}}\) high flow system, Armstrong Medical) applied immediately upon the arrival to the PACU with a flow rate of 40 L min\(^{-1}\) and a FiO\(_2\) of 0.30. Flow rate (NC group) or FiO\(_2\) (HF group) was titrated to reach a SpO\(_2\) of 95%–98% and settings were thereafter left unchanged during the study period. The PACU was located near the operation room.

2.5 Anesthesia management

All patients were premedicated with Paracetamol 1 g and Oxycodone 5 mg orally approximately 1 h before anesthesia. A radial arterial line was inserted with ultrasound guidance. Anesthesia was induced with 2 µg kg\(^{-1}\) Fentanyl based on predicted body weight, a target-controlled...
infusion of Propofol, and 0.6 mg·kg$^{-1}$ Rocuronium bromide based on lean body weight. After intubation, anesthesia was maintained with a target-controlled infusion of Propofol and Remifentanil. Patients were mechanically ventilated using pressure-regulated volume control mode with a recommended PEEP of 7 cmH$_2$O and tidal volumes of 6–8 ml·kg$^{-1}$. Definitive ventilator settings and dosing of anesthetic agents were left at the discretion of the attending anesthetist.

At the end of the surgery, a standardized lung recruitment maneuver was performed per routine in all patients to reduce postoperative pain by augmenting exsufflation of residual pneumoperitoneum$^{16}$ and to reduce atelectasis.$^{17,18}$ The ventilator mode was changed to pressure control with inspiration:expiration ratio 1:1, respiratory frequency 6 min$^{-1}$, PEEP 15–17 H$_2$O, and inspiratory pressure 15 cmH$_2$O for 1 min before the abdominal surgical ports were removed.

Neuromuscular block was reversed targeting a TOF ≥ 90% using either Neostigmine and Atropine or Sugammadex as appropriate. Patients were extubated in the operating room to spontaneous breathing and positioned in a semi-recumbent position. Supplemental oxygen was delivered through a standard nasal cannula at a flow rate of 2–4 L·min$^{-1}$ after extubation and maintained during transport to the PACU. Immediately on arrival in the PACU, HFNO was started in the HF group, while the standard nasal cannula was left in place in the NC group.

### 2.6 | Outcomes

The primary outcome was PaO$_2$ 60 min following completed titration of allocated oxygen supplementation in the PACU. Secondary outcomes were SpO$_2$, PaCO$_2$, pH, noninvasive blood pressure (NIBP), heart rate at 60 min. Further secondary outcomes were incidence of SpO$_2$ < 90% and the level of comfort using either intervention.

### 2.7 | Measurements

Arterial blood was sampled 10 min after completed titration of allocated oxygen therapy in the PACU, and at 30 and 60 min thereafter. Blood samples were analyzed with a point of care device (Abbott i-STAT® 1, Abbott Laboratories). SpO$_2$, NIBP, and heart rate were recorded with a standard bedside monitor (Dräger Infinity Delta® monitor, Dräger Medical System Inc.) at the same time points as arterial blood sampling. Duration and incidence of SpO$_2$ < 90% and information on opioid requirements were extracted from the electronic medical record (Metavision$^®$). The level of discomfort in regard to the interventions was assessed using a four-step ordinal scale defined as none, mild, moderate, or severe.

### 2.8 | Sample size calculation

The sample size calculation was performed for the original trial.$^{19}$ The sample size for the present study may therefore be considered a convenience sample. However, to confirm adequate power in the current investigation, a post hoc estimation of the minimal detectable effect was performed. Considering the sample size and the dispersion estimates of the final analysis, the present study had an 80% power to detect a 20% difference in PaO$_2$ at 60 min after intervention initiation at $\alpha$ of 0.05.

### 2.9 | Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed with Microsoft Excel and R (version 4.0.2) with the R package Rcmdr (R Commander. R package version 2.7-0). Normality of data was assessed with quintile-quintile plots and confirmed with Shapiro–Wilk test. Continuous data were presented as mean ± standard deviations (SD) or median (interquartile range [IQR]) with or without range as appropriate. Categorical data were presented as numbers and percentages. The primary outcome was analyzed with unpaired t test. Secondary outcomes were analyzed with unpaired t test or Mann–Whitney’s test as appropriate. Fisher exact test was used to compare categorical variables. The effect size for mean differences was estimated with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Secondary analyses were not corrected for multiple testing and imputation was not performed for missing data. All tests were two-sided and $p$ values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 3 | RESULTS

Of 40 randomized patients, 15 patients were available for final analysis in the NC group and 19 patients in the HF group (Figure 1). In the NC group, two subjects withdrew consent and arterial access could not be achieved in two additional subjects. One additional patient in each group was excluded due to a protocol violation. Patients in the HF group were a mean of 5 years older compared with controls, and five (26%) patients in the HF group were active or previous smokers compared with 2 (13%) in the NC group. There were furthermore patients with asthma in the HF group, however, all had mild disease and were asymptomatic on the day of the study. Subject characteristics and intraoperative respiratory measurements were otherwise similar between groups (Table 1).

### 3.1 | Primary outcome

At baseline, 10 min after completed titration of the assigned postoperative oxygen therapy PaO$_2$ was 11.9 ± 2.3 kPa in the NC group and 11.9 ± 2.3 kPa in the HF group. After 60 min, PaO$_2$ had increased to 12.6 ± 2.8 kPa in the NC group and 14.0 ± 2.7 kPa in the HF group (Figure 2), which was not statistically significant (mean difference 1.4 kPa, 95% CI −0.6 to 3.3; $p = 0.16$). Relative change from baseline was 8 ± 15% in the NC group and 19 ± 21% in the HF group (mean difference 11%, 95% CI −1.9 to 23; $p = 0.09$). Three (20%) patients in the NC group and three (16%) patients in the
HF group decreased in PaO₂ at 60 min compared with baseline ($p = 0.75$).

### 3.2 Secondary outcomes

There were no differences in SpO₂, PaCO₂ or hemodynamic variables between groups (Table 2). In the NC group, 14 (88%) and 2 (12%) patients reported no or mild discomfort respectively, and in the HF group 18 (90%), 1 (5%), and 1 (5%) patients reported no, mild, and moderate discomfort respectively ($p = 0.77$). Patients received a median (IQR) of 5 (3–6) mg intravenous oxycodone in the NC group and 6 (3–8) mg in the HF group ($p = 0.60$).

### 3.3 Adverse events

Five (15%) patients had SpO₂ lower than 90% during the study period. Two patients in the NC and HF groups respectively had one shorter (less than 2 min) episode of desaturation below 90%. None of these patients had a SpO₂ of less than 85% at any timepoint. One patient in the HF group had 10 desaturation episodes below 90% and four of these were less than 85%.

### 4 DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study was that early application of postoperative HFNO after general anesthesia for laparoscopic bariatric surgery failed to improve oxygenation compared with standard nasal cannula in patients with BMI $\geq 35$ kg m$^{-2}$.

HFNO generates positive airway pressure directly correlated to flow rate and inversely related to mouth opening.$^{20–22}$ Furthermore, HFNO improves respiratory mechanics and gas exchange due to expansion of the functional residual capacity.$^{23,24}$ These physiological findings suggest that HFNO could be beneficial for postoperative oxygen supplementation in obese patients. However, the airway pressures generated by HFNO are moderate at best$^{22}$ and if patients...
are breathing through the mouth, the PEEP effect is nearly nullified.\textsuperscript{20} This may in part explain the neutral findings of our study.

Obese patients have reduced FRC at baseline compared with non-obese, and are at risk of further reduction during anesthesia which may lead to atelectasis development, decreased lung compliance, and oxygenation difficulties.\textsuperscript{25–27} Although an intraoperative open lung approach, which may include lung recruitment maneuvers and PEEP titration, improves respiratory mechanics during anesthesia, positive airway pressures are lost following extubation and the beneficial effects from intraoperative ventilation approach on respiratory mechanics are attenuated.\textsuperscript{28} Ferrando et al. hypothesized that the loss of positive airway pressure following extubation may lead to the rapid development of atelectasis formation and that HFNO would not provide enough positive airway pressures to inflate them if they had already developed, but may prevent them if applied immediately upon extubation in the operation room.\textsuperscript{13} Although they found that immediate HFNO after extubation improved postoperative oxygenation, transportation with HFNO is currently not feasible in clinical practice. Furthermore, they administered a high dose of Fentanyl at anesthesia induction (5 µg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) and maintained anesthesia with a Fentanyl infusion (3 µg kg\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}) for approximately 2 h. Considering the context-sensitive half-life of Fentanyl,\textsuperscript{29} their results may thus only apply to obese patients with considerable remaining opioid effects in the PACU. In our study, a 2 µg kg\textsuperscript{-1} single dose of Fentanyl was administered at induction, and anesthesia was maintained with Remifentanil which has a short context-sensitive

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
& NC group (n = 15) & HF group (n = 19) \\
\hline
Age (years) & 39 ± 10 & 44 ± 11 \\
Sex (female) & 14 (93) & 16 (84) \\
Height (cm) & 167 ± 6 & 166 ± 9 \\
Weight (kg) & 112 ± 9 & 110 ± 9 \\
BMI (kg m\textsuperscript{-2}) & 40.0 ± 2.8 & 40.2 ± 4.2 \\
BMI 35–40 n (%) & 7 (47) & 9 (47) \\
BMI > 40 n (%) & 8 (53) & 10 (53) \\
ASA 1/2 & 6/9 & 3/16 \\
OSAS & 2 (13) & 3 (16) \\
Smoker & 2 (13) & 5 (26) \\
Ongoing & 0 (0) & 1 (5) \\
Previous & 2 (13) & 4 (21) \\
Asthma & 2 (13) & 6 (32) \\
Hypertension & 3 (20) & 4 (21) \\
Diabetes & 1 (7) & 1 (5) \\
\hline
Measurements on room air before anesthesia & & \\
PaO\textsubscript{2} (kPa) & 11.7 ± 1.4 & 11.7 ± 1.8 \\
SpO\textsubscript{2} (%) median [range] & 99 [98–100] & 100 [98–100] \\
PaCO\textsubscript{2} (kPa) & 4.92 ± 0.25 & 4.92 ± 0.42 \\
\hline
Intraoperative characteristics and respiratory measurements & & \\
Duration of surgery (min) & 57 ± 12 & 53 ± 10 \\
PEEP (cmH\textsubscript{2}O) median (IQR) [range] & 7 (7–8) [5–10] & 7 (7–8) [5–10] \\
Dynamic compliance before standardized intraoperative recruitment maneuverer (ml/cmH\textsubscript{2}O) & 38 ± 8 & 35 ± 10 \\
Dynamic compliance after standardized intraoperative recruitment maneuverer (ml/cmH\textsubscript{2}O) & 54 ± 17 & 60 ± 12 \\
\hline
Initial postoperative settings & & \\
Flow (L min\textsuperscript{-1}), median (IQR) [range] & 2 (2–2) [1–3] & 40 (40–40) [20–40] \\
FiO\textsubscript{2}; median (IQR) [range] & n.a. & 0.30 (0.30–0.30) [0.30–0.40] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Subject characteristics, baseline measurements before general anesthesia, details on intraoperative characteristics and respiratory measurements, and settings of postoperative oxygen supplementation.}
\end{table}

This may in part explain the neutral findings of our study.

Obese patients have reduced FRC at baseline compared with non-obese, and are at risk of further reduction during anesthesia which may lead to atelectasis development, decreased lung compliance, and oxygenation difficulties.\textsuperscript{25–27} Although an intraoperative open lung approach, which may include lung recruitment maneuvers and PEEP titration, improves respiratory mechanics during anesthesia, positive airway pressures are lost following extubation and the beneficial effects from intraoperative ventilation approach on respiratory mechanics are attenuated.\textsuperscript{28} Ferrando et al. hypothesized that the loss of positive airway pressure following extubation may lead to the rapid development of atelectasis formation and that HFNO would not provide enough positive airway pressures to inflate them if they had already developed, but may prevent them if applied immediately upon extubation in the operation room.\textsuperscript{13} Although they found that immediate HFNO after extubation improved postoperative PaO\textsubscript{2} at baseline, 30 and 60 min in patients randomized to standard nasal cannula (NC group), n = 15, or high-flow nasal oxygen (HF group), n = 19, for oxygen supplementation after laparoscopic bariatric surgery, PaO\textsubscript{2} was similar at baseline and increased to 12.6 ± 2.8 kPa in the NC group and 14.0 ± 2.7 kPa in the HF group at 60 min (mean difference 1.4 kPa, 95\% CI −0.6 to 3.3; p = 0.16).
TABLE 2 Secondary outcomes

|                      | Baseline postoperative measurements | 60 min postoperative measurements | Mean difference (95% CI) | p Value |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                      | NC (96-99)                          | HF (96-98)                        |                          |         |
| SpO2 (%)             | 97                                  | 96                                | 98                       | 99      | 0.10 |
| PaCO2 (kPa)          | 6.3 ± 0.8                           | 6.4 ± 1.0                         | 5.7 ± 0.7                | 5.8 ± 0.8 | 0.1 (−0.40 to 0.66) | 0.62 |
| HR (min⁻¹)           | 82 ± 9                              | 80 ± 12                           | 68 ± 12                  | 71 ± 14  | 3 (−6 to 12) | 0.49 |
| SBP (mmHg)           | 134 ± 24                            | 130 ± 17                          | 138 ± 22                 | 131 ± 18 | −7 (−20 to 8) | 0.37 |
| DBP (mmHg)           | 80 ± 9                              | 84 ± 14                           | 82 ± 10                  | 76 ± 15  | −6 (−15 to 2) | 0.14 |

Note: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or median [interquartile range] as appropriate. NC, Patients allocated to standard nasal cannula (n = 16). HF, patients allocated to high-flow nasal oxygen (n = 20).

Abbreviations: DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

half-life that facilitates rapid recovery and may be more in line with current practice.

Corroborating the results of our study, a recently published investigation reported that HFNO failed to improve postoperative oxygenation compared with conventional oxygen therapy up to 6 h following bariatric surgery. However, oxygenation assessed by PaO2/FiO2 ratio was a secondary outcome variable in this trial, and FiO2 was calculated, not measured, for controls who received conventional low-flow oxygen therapy. The delivered FiO2 with low-flow devices is highly variable to these populations on the degree of room air entrainment and estimations will be inaccurate, hampering the interpretation of these results. Furthermore, they used a weaning protocol for oxygen therapy which yielded rather different levels of oxygen supplementation between groups over time which may have caused bias. For these reasons, FiO2 was not calculated in our study. Instead, similar levels of oxygen supplementation were achieved by targeting a pre-specified initial peripheral hemoglobin saturation goal. Oxygen supplementation was then fixed allowing for PaO2 to be analyzed in isolation.

There was no difference in PaCO2 between groups. HFNO does not provide pressure support, and this finding was expected. However, previously diagnosed OSAS was uncommon in this study, and no patient had a prior diagnosis of obesity-related hypoventilation which limits generalization to these populations. It is possible that patients with OSAS would benefit more from postoperative HFNO compared with patients without OSAS, although continuous positive airway pressure support should be regarded as the first-line method for postoperative respiratory support in these patients. If patients with OSAS do not tolerate CPAP, or this is not available postoperatively, HFNO may be an appropriate alternative although further research is warranted.

The findings of this study should be interpreted with its limitations. First, the single-center study design and the homogeneous population decrease external validity. Second, although the primary outcome was unlikely to be biased, this cannot be entirely excluded due to the unblinded design. Third, the small sample size decreases precision and the use of a convenience sample may increase the risk of type II error. However, the post hoc analysis determined that the sample size was adequate to detect a clinically relevant 20% difference in the primary outcome. Furthermore, the 95% CI provides additional information regarding the adequacy of statistical power. Fourth, closed mouth breathing leads to higher airway pressure during HFNO-therapy. We did not instruct patients how to breathe, and the difference between groups may have increased if the patients had been instructed to breathe through their noses. However, due to patient compliance issues related to lingering effects of anesthetic agents, it would be very challenging, if not impossible to achieve strict nose breathing for longer periods of time in early postoperative care. Hence, we chose a pragmatic approach likely to simulate the effect of postoperative HFNO in clinical practice. Fifth, changes in lung volumes, spirometry, or other measures of respiratory mechanics that may not have been detected by parameters of oxygenation alone were not registered. Finally, we did not study long-term respiratory or patient-centered outcomes. However, our results may inform the design of such studies which are currently underrepresented in scientific literature.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In obese patients, HFNO did not improve short-term postoperative oxygenation compared with standard low-flow oxygen treatment after general anesthesia for laparoscopic bariatric surgery. These results do not support the generalized use of postoperative HFNO in obese patients. Further research is warranted to determine long-term patient-centered outcomes, especially in obese patients with a high risk of postoperative pulmonary complications.
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