FURTHER CONSTRAINTS ON THE OPTICAL TRANSMISSION SPECTRUM OF HAT-P-1b
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ABSTRACT

We report on novel observations of HAT-P-1 aimed at constraining the optical transmission spectrum of the atmosphere of its transiting hot-Jupiter exoplanet. Ground-based differential spectrophotometry was performed over two transit windows using the DOLORES spectrograph at the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo. Our measurements imply an average planet to star radius ratio equal to \( R_p/R_\star = (0.1159 \pm 0.0005) \). This result is consistent with the value obtained from recent near-infrared measurements of this object, but differs from previously reported optical measurements, being lower by around 4.4 exoplanet scale heights. Analyzing the data over five different spectral bins of \( \sim 600 \) Å wide, we observed a single peaked spectrum (3.7 \( \sigma \) level) with a blue cutoff corresponding to the blue edge of the broad absorption wing of sodium and an increased absorption in the region in-between 6180 and 7400 Å. We also infer that the width of the broad absorption wings due to alkali metals is likely narrower than the one implied by solar abundance clear atmospheric models. We interpret the result as evidence that HAT-P-1b has a partially clear atmosphere at optical wavelengths with a more modest contribution from an optical absorber than previously reported.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The possibility to characterize transiting exoplanet atmospheres by means of high precision spectrophotometric measurements acquired during transits was theorized in a few works earlier in this century (Seager & Sasselov 2000; Brown 2001). The light of the hosting star, filtered by the planeary atmosphere in the terminator region is absorbed and scattered by chemical compounds so that, once observed at different wavelengths, the atmosphere may appear more or less opaque to the observer. Hence, transit depth determinations obtained in different spectral domains can be used to reconstruct a low-resolution spectrum of the planetary atmosphere.

Models predict that atmospheres of cloud free hot-Jupiter planets should be dominated in the optical by broad absorption features of the alkali metals Na and K. Studies so far have revealed a certain diversity of atmospheric features. Sodium was first detected by Charbonneau et al. (2002) in the atmosphere of HD 209458b using the STIS spectrograph on board the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and also confirmed later on by ground-based observations (Snellen et al. 2008). Subsequent studies have also revealed the presence of alkali metals in the atmospheres of other planets, for example sodium was detected in WASP-17b (e.g., Wood et al. 2011; Zhou & Bayliss 2012) and HAT-P-1b (Nikolov et al. 2014) while potassium has been detected in XO-2b (Sing et al. 2011), WASP-31b (Sing et al. 2015), and HAT-P-1b (Wilson et al. 2015). The atmospheres of other planets seem to be obscured by clouds or hazes, as in the case of WASP-12b (Sing et al. 2013) and HD 189733 (Pont et al. 2013), albeit for this planet narrow line cores of sodium were also found (Redfield et al. 2008; Huitson et al. 2012; Jensen et al. 2011).

Near infrared studies have soon complemented analysis conducted in the optical domain, particularly exploiting the Spitzer Space Telescope and lead to the conclusion that close-in extrasolar planets could be broadly subdivided into two big categories according to the structure of their temperature—pressure profiles (Hubeny et al. 2003; Fortney et al. 2006, 2008; Burrows et al. 2007, 2008). The pM class planets show a high altitude temperature inversion in their atmospheres whereas the pL class planets do not, resulting in different emergent spectral energy distributions in the near-infrared domain and different spectral signatures (like the flipping of water bands from absorption to emission passing from non-inverted to inverted atmospheres). The origin of such inversion is identified with the absorption of strong stellar irradiation in the optical domain by a variety of possible absorbers (Burrows et al. 2007; Zahnle et al. 2009; Fortney et al. 2010).

The present study is focussed on HAT-P-1b, one interesting member of the family of hot-Jupiter planets. HAT-P-1b is a low mean density (\( \rho_p \sim 0.35 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \)) giant exoplanet (\( R_p \sim 1.2 R_\text{J} \)) discovered by the HATNet transit survey (Bákos et al. 2007). The host star (V \( = 10.4 \)) is a member of a visual binary system with the two components separated by around 1500 AU. Both are G0V stars and the companion star is around half a magnitude brighter than the target in the V filter. The low density of the exoplanet, the brightness of the host star, and the presence of a close-by stellar companion make HAT-P-1b an ideal target for follow-up studies aiming at characterizing its atmosphere using transmission spectroscopy. In particular, the close stellar companion can be used to correct for systematic effects performing simultaneous differential spectrophotometric measurements of the two stars.
HAT-P-1b is an interesting object also in the context of inverted atmosphere theory. The pM/pL transition is set in correspondence of the TiO condensation limit (Fortney et al. 2008), and HAT-P-1b would sit just at the lower edge of this limit providing an important benchmark test for theory. Todorov et al. (2010) obtained Spitzer/IRAC photometry for this object and concluded that the observations were best fitted using an atmosphere with a modest temperature inversion, and that the planet has a strong day/night contrast ratio implying little energy redistribution.

Three studies have been presented so far discussing the atmosphere of HAT-P-1b by means of transmission spectroscopy. Wakeford et al. (2013) presented HST Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) near-infrared transmission spectroscopy detecting a significant absorption above the 5σ level matching the 1.4 μm water absorption band, and concluding that a 1000 K isothermal model or a planetary-averaged temperature model best matched the observations. Nikolov et al. (2014, hereafter N14) presented HST/STIS optical transmission spectroscopy implying a strong absorption shortward of 5500 Å and possibly redward of 8500 Å, as well as sodium absorption at a 3.3σ level, but no evidence for potassium absorption. Interestingly, the same authors observed that STIS and WFC3 spectra differ significantly in absolute radius levels (4.3 ± 1.6 pressure scale heights). On the basis of their analysis, N14 suggested the presence of a strong extra-optical absorber and of a super-solar (factor 10³) sodium to water abundance ratio to explain this result. This absorber would partially block the sodium wings and completely mask the potassium feature, yet leaving imprinted the 1.4 μm absorption band.

Later Wilson et al. (2015) detected potassium in the atmosphere of this planet (albeit at a much higher resolution than N14) using the GTC telescope in narrow-band imaging and employing the flexible red tunable filter technique. To explain the amplitude of the detected feature, the authors hypothesized that high temperatures at the base of the upper atmosphere may result in an increased scale height, and/or suggested that a lower mean molecular weight could be present, caused by the dissociation of molecular hydrogen into atomic hydrogen by EUV flux from the host star.

In this work, we present novel ground-based transmission spectroscopy measurements for two full transit events of HAT-P-1b obtained with the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) and the low-resolution spectrograph DOLORES (Device Optimized for the LOw RESolution). Our data cover the spectral range between ∼4900 and ∼8000 Å, and were obtained between 2012 August and October.

Our observations are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we review our data analysis technique. In Section 4, we present the study of the transit light curves. In Section 5, we discuss the results, and, in Section 6, we summarize and conclude.

2. OBSERVATIONS

We observed two full transits of HAT-P-1b on 2012 August 14 and on 2012 October 20. The data were collected with DOLORES, the low-resolution spectrograph and camera installed at the Nasmyth B focus of the TNG. The camera is equipped with a 2100 × 2100 E2V 4240 Thinned back-illuminated, deep-depleted, Astro-BB coated CCD with a pixel size of 13.5 μm, corresponding to a pixel scale of 0.252 arcsec pix⁻¹. The CCD gain factor is 0.97 e⁻/ADU while the readout noise is around 9 e⁻ rms. We used the LR-B grism providing a dispersion of 2.52 Å pix⁻¹ and adopted a slit width of 10 arcsec. The resolution was therefore seeing limited. An average seeing of 0.8 arcsec was measured at the center of our spectral window implying a resolution of 8 Å or a resolving power equal to 625 at 5000 Å. During both nights, observing conditions were clear.

Overall, we observed uninterrupted for 5.1 hr during the first night and for 5.3 hr during the second. Before starting the scientific exposures, we obtained bias, flat field, calibration lamp spectra and a telluric standard star with the same instrumental setup described above.

We adopted a slit position angle equal to 72°62 in order to place the target star and its close-by companion on the slit and kept this configuration for the entire observing run.

The exposure time was set to 12 s⁷ with a read-out time equal to 45 s during the first night and to 12 s during the second. This was a consequence of windowing the detector during the second night of observation. A summary of the observations can be found in Table 1.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

The data reduction was performed with our own customized software. After applying bias correction and flat-fielding, we determined the extraction region for both the target and comparison star spectra. Along the dispersion direction (x axis) the extraction region was fixed between 970 pix up to almost the end of the detector (2060 pix), between ∼4900 and ∼8000 Å. Along the spatial direction, we considered an extraction window including both spectra (which were separated by around 44 pix on the detector) and an additional 30 pix region on the top and the bottom to properly measure the sky. We then calculated the spectral centroids and the FWHM levels matching first 40 images.

To remove the cosmic rays contaminating our data, we shifted and rescaled the spatial profile of each column into an average PSF by means of the analytical solution determined previously. We then spline-interpolated the PSF at one-thenth pixel resolution shifting and rescaling backward this empirical PSF to the position of each column profile. We numerically integrated the PSF over 1 pix to match the detector resolution. We measured the scatter around the average PSF, and took a

Table 1: Observations

| Date      | Epoch | Texp | Airmass Range | N. Spectra |
|-----------|-------|------|---------------|------------|
| 14 Aug 2012 | 487   | 12   | 1.9–1.0       | 350        |
| 20 Oct 2012 | 502   | 12   | 1.0–1.6       | 809        |

3.1. Cosmic Ray Correction

To remove the cosmic rays contaminating our data, we shifted and rescaled the spatial profile of each column into an average PSF by means of the analytical solution determined previously. We then spline-interpolated the PSF at one-thenth pixel resolution shifting and rescaling backward this empirical PSF to the position of each column profile. We numerically integrated the PSF over 1 pix to match the detector resolution. We measured the scatter around the average PSF, and took a

⁷For the first night, we initially adopted 30 s integration time, switching 12 s after the first 40 images.
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Figure 1. This figure highlights the major steps in the reduction chain. For each panel, dispersion direction is along the x axis. Each line represents a spectrum obtained at a given epoch. Spectra are chronologically ordered from the bottom to the top of each panel. Data are relative to the first night of observation. (a) Observed spectra of the target star. (b) Spectra are re-aligned to the same wavelength reference system. (c) Residual spectrum after dividing each target spectrum to the correspondent comparison star spectrum. (d) Differential atmospheric extinction correction.

generose threshold of 20 times this value with respect to the shifted and rescaled PSF to isolate possible outliers in the column profiles. Once an outlier was found, we replaced its value with the model PSF value. On average, we measured one to two cosmic events per image.

3.2. Extraction

The flux of each star was extracted from the measured centroid position in each column into an aperture of 12 pix radius by using aperture photometry. The aperture radius was chosen after experimenting with different apertures taking the one that provided the highest precision in the final photometry.

3.3. Wavelength Drift Correction

After extracting the flux from each spectrum, we constructed bidimensional maps of dispersion versus time flux variations. In these maps, each line represents a spectrum of the target (or the comparison) at a given epoch. In Figure 1 (top panel), we show the target map obtained just after flux extraction for the first night of observation. As is evident from the deepest absorption lines visible in the spectrum, the spectra shifted along the dispersion direction during the night. The maximum shift was equal to 1.7 arcsec (with respect to the initial position) during the first night and equal to 4 arcsec during the second. In both cases, both the star and the comparison remained always well within the slit thanks to the adoption of a large aperture. Before light curve construction, it is important to realign the spectra and bring them to the same reference system. We used the out of transit measurements to construct an average spectrum of the target and of the comparison star, which was obtained by scaling the spectra at mid-wavelength and averaging their profiles. We then used a deep absorption line to obtain a first guess of the shift between the single spectra and the average spectrum from the difference of the minimum. Then we cross-correlated the splined-interpolated average spectrum to each single spectrum over a region of 2 pix around the initial guess, and at a step of one-tenth of a pixel. Finally, we spline-interpolated the original spectrum at half of a pixel and shifted them accordingly to the best value of the shift obtained by the cross-correlation. The result is shown in Figure 1 (second panel from the top). This procedure was applied independently both for the target and comparison spectra.

3.4. Normalization to the Comparison Spectrum

We proceeded by dividing the target spectra by the comparison spectra, obtaining the flux ratio of the two. This division was applied to each pixel of the bidimensional maps reported above. The result is illustrated in Figure 1 (third panel from the top). All but the some absorption lines in the original spectra disappear by forming the flux ratios, demonstrating the effectiveness of the adoption of the comparison star. The horizontal dark band visible in all images corresponds to the transit event.

3.5. Differential Atmospheric Extinction Correction

One of the big advantages of differential spectrophotometry over normal differential photometry is the possibility to model and remove more accurately the effect of our own atmosphere. The flux ratio map presented above displays both a continuous atmospheric differential extinction between the target and the comparison, and also some localized differential absorption. To further improve the result, we then applied a wavelength dependent differential atmospheric extinction correction to each single detector column. We adopt a linear model for the flux variation as a function of airmass and fit it to each column of the flux ratio maps by using only out of transit data. We then normalized each column by the model solution. The result is shown in Figure 1 (fourth panel from the top) and demonstrates that also the residual contamination is well removed by this approach.

3.6. Uncertainties

To each flux measurement, we associated an uncertainty based on the following theoretical formula.

\[
\frac{\Delta F}{F} = \sqrt{\sigma_{F0}^2 + \sigma_{Fe}^2}
\] (1)
with
\[
\sigma F_0 = \sqrt{\frac{F + \text{RON}^2 + \frac{\sigma_{sky}^2}{N_{sky}}}{F}}
\]  
(2)
\[
\sigma F_s = 0.09 \frac{D^2 \times A^{0.75} \epsilon^{-h/8}}{\sqrt{2} T_{\text{exp}}}
\]  
(3)

where \( F \) corresponds to photon counts within the aperture, RON is the detector readout noise, \( \sigma F_0 \) is the photon and instrumental noise, \( \sigma_{sky} \) is the uncertainty in the mean sky determination (in photons), and \( N_{sky} \) is the number of pixels from which the sky was determined. \( \sigma F_s \) is the scintillation noise, which we estimated using the formula reported in Gilliland et al. (1993) based on the work of Young (1967) and where \( D \) is the telescope diameter in centimeters, \( A \) the airmass, \( h \) the altitude of the observatory in kilometers, and \( T_{\text{exp}} \) is the exposure time in seconds.

Equation (1) was used to construct dispersion versus time bidimensional uncertainty maps, which were associated with the scientific measurements described in previous sections.

### 3.7. Estimates

During our observations, we acquired around \( 9.7 \times 10^7 \) total photons per exposure for the comparison star and \( 5.6 \times 10^7 \) photons for the target. Pure photon and detector noise would imply a precision of 0.013% per measurement; scintillation calculated from the above formula would add the non-negligible contribution of 0.027% at low airmasses, depending quite sensibly on the airmass. We can expect a total error budget of around 0.03%, that is, around 300 ppm. The resulting precision on the transit depth determination can be estimated by considering the error on the mean levels of the in and out of transit measurements. For the first and second night, we obtain an estimate of around 0.003% and 0.002%.

Assuming as in N14 that a scale height of HAT-P-1b is equal to 414 km, this corresponds to a required photometric precision in the transit depth determination equal to 0.012% (or around 0.0005 in the radius ratio determination). The error estimate reported above does not account for some other complications present in the transit fit process, such as the necessity to model the limb darkening. What it demonstrates, however, is that photon noise is not the limiting factor in this experiment. Even rebinning the measurements into few spectral bins, we should be able to remain comfortably below the limit imposed by one scale height. The real challenge is to maintain the accuracy of the measurements down to this level of precision. For ground-based observations, this also means to accurately remove the contribution of our own atmosphere.

### 3.8. Contamination

In Figure 2 (top panel), we show the profile of the comparison and target star along the spatial direction, as observed at mid-wavelength for a typical spectrum. The \( y \) scale is logarithmic to enhance the visibility of the PSF wings. This figure demonstrates that the two objects are well separated in our images. The aperture radius we adopted is around 4.6 times the measured FWHM. The centroid of the target star is distant around 17 FWHM from the centroid of the comparison. Considering our best-fit analytic model (denoted in red in the figure) this implies that the flux of the comparison integrated across the target aperture is entirely negligible (nominally at a level of \( 10^{-15} \) the target flux).

### 3.9. Masking

For some isolated measurements, we noted that errors were appreciably different from the average. These situations were probably associated with image artifacts and/or cosmics not perfectly corrected by our automatic pipeline. To mask these regions, we adopted an iterative \( 3\sigma \) clipping algorithm measuring the average uncertainty and the scatter inside a 10 pix box radius window scanning the entire dispersion-time domain. Overall, the fraction of measurements masked this way was equal to \( \sim 0.05\% \) of the total number of bins.

### 3.10. Wavelength Calibration

We used a Ne+Hg lamp, obtained just before starting the scientific sequence, to map photons into wavelength, in angstroms. The lamp was observed with the same grism, but with a smaller slit width of 2 arcsec to allow a better identification of the spectral lines.

### 4. LIGHT CURVE ANALYSIS

Light curves were obtained by calculating a weighted average of the flux ratios in different spectral channels. At first, to fix the global system parameters, we simply averaged the measurements over all the spectral range of our spectra. The resulting light curve is usually referred to as white light curve.

#### 4.1. White Light Curves

White light curves were produced and analyzed independently for each night of observation. We assumed a perturbed transit model. As long as systematics are small as compared to the transit, it is always possible to express the global model (transit with systematics) as a sum of an unperturbed transit model \( (F_{\text{MA}}) \), which is given in this case by the Mandel & Agol (2002) formula and a correcting term, which is express below as a linear combination of different systematic components:

\[
F(t) = F_{\text{MA}}(t) + c_1 \times \text{Air}(t) + c_2 \times xc(t) + c_3 \times s(t) + c_4
\]  
(4)

where \( c_1, c_2, \) and \( c_3 \) are three parameters to account for residual flux losses related to airmass (Air), spectral shifts along the dispersion direction \( (xc) \) and seeing \( (s) \). The constant \( c_4 \) accounts for a photometric zero point offset.

We applied the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) to determine the best model to fit the data considering different combinations of the above parameters. For the first night of observation, the model reported in Equation (4) was the favored one, for on the second night the BIC analysis indicated that the seeing dependence could be dropped and we thereby fixed \( c_3 \) to zero.

For the planet-to-star normalized distance, we adopted the parameterization described in Montalto et al. (2012) and therefore fit a maximum of nine free parameters: the time of transit minimum \( (T_0) \), the planet to star radius ratio \( (r) \), the total transit duration \( (T_{14}) \), the mean stellar density \( (q_1) \), the linear limb darkening coefficient \( (g1) \), and the four coefficients \( c_1, c_2, c_3, \) and \( c_4 \).
We fitted each light curve with the Levemberg–Marquardt algorithm (Press 1992) using the partial derivatives of the flux loss calculated by Pál (2008) as a function of the radius ratio $r$ and the normalized distance $z$ to calculate the differential corrections to apply to each parameter at each iterative step. We assumed a quadratic limb darkening law where the quadratic coefficient ($g_2$) was obtained (and thereby fixed) using the software developed by Espinoza & Jordán (2015) adopting the ATLAS model atmospheres results and plugging in the response function of the TNG+LRS intrument. The quadratic coefficient was calculated in this way both for the white light curves and for the different band light curves. HAT-P-1A spectroscopic parameters were obtained from the SWEET-Cat catalog (Santos et al. 2013): $T_{\text{eff}} = (6076 \pm 27)$ K, $\log g_{\text{LC}} = 4.40 \pm 0.01$, $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.21 \pm 0.03$, and $v_t = (1.17 \pm 0.05)$ km s$^{-1}$. We also calculated in the same way the value for the linear coefficient $g_1$ and used this value as an initial guess for this parameter. The initial guesses for the other system parameters ($T_1$, $r$, $\rho_{\text{star}}$) were obtained from the analysis of N14, as reported in their Table 5, whereas for the slope parameters $c_1$, $c_2$, and $c_3$ we assumed simply zero as the initial guess and for the constant $c_4$, instead, we assume one.

For the orbital period, we first adopted the value reported by N14 and derived the time of transit minimum $T_0$ for our transits using the Levemberg–Marquardt algorithm described below. We therefore used transit timings reported in the literature to further refine the value of the reference transit time $T_0$ as well as the orbital period $P$ adopting a linear ephemerides

$$T_C(E) = T_0 + E \times P,$$

where $T_C(E)$ is the time of transit minimum at epoch $E$. We obtained $T_0 = (2453979.9321 \pm 0.0003)$ days and $P = (4.46529961 \pm 0.00000071)$ days with a reduced chi equal to $\chi_r = 1.35$. In Table 2, we report the list of transit times we used in this calculation.

We used the Levemberg–Marquardt algorithm (Press 1992) to obtain an initial solution for the free parameters. For each iteration, the reduced $\chi_{\text{red}}$ of the fit was calculated

$$\chi_{\text{red}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - F_i)^2}{N - N_{\text{free}}},}$$

where $O_i$ is the observed flux corresponding to the $i$th measurement, $F_i$ is the model calculated flux as described above, $N$ is the total number of measurements, and $N_{\text{free}}$ is the number of free parameters. The Levemberg–Marquardt algorithm delivered the best solution by means of $\chi_{\text{red}}$ minimization.

### 4.2. Correlated Noise

To each photometric measurement, we associated an error equivalent to the error of the weighted average over an integrated passband as derived from our uncertainty maps. These errors were rescaled so that the best models gave $\chi^2 = 1$.
as a result. The presence of correlated noise in the data limits the precision of the observations (Pont et al. 2006). We then compared the rms of the fit residuals averaged over $M$ bins with the theoretical prescription valid for uncorrelated white noise

$$\sigma_M = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\frac{M}{M-1}}. \tag{7}$$

where $N$ is the total number of residuals and $\sigma$ is the rms of all residuals. We considered bin sizes comprised between 10 and 30 minutes. The average ratio ($\beta$) of the measured dispersion to the theoretical value was taken as a measurement of the degree of correlation in the data, and the uncertainty was then globally expanded by this factor. We found that the $\beta$ parameter was intermediate between 1.05 and 1.62.

In Figure 2 (bottom panels), we show the theoretical rms (continuous line) and the observed rms (points) as functions of the binning timescale for the first and the second night white light curves (left and right respectively). In both cases, residuals are scaling down quadratically with rebinning time though, over the analyzed timescales, the second night appears to be more affected by red noise ($\beta = 1.4$) than the first ($\beta = 1.1$).

### 4.3. Monte Carlo Analysis

Subsequently, we performed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis as described in Montalto et al. (2012) to refine the estimate of the uncertainties. We considered 200,000 iteration steps and 10 chains for each light curve, then merging the resulting chains after dropping the first 20% burn-in phase iterations.

The results are illustrated in Figure 3 and the corresponding best-fit parameters are reported in Table 3. The rms of the white light curve residuals are 525 ppm for the first night and 462 ppm for the second night.

### 4.4. Multi-band Light Curves

We experimented with various subdivisions of our spectral window into different spectral bins trying to optimize the resolution of the final spectrum while keeping the precision of the transit depth determination at a level of around one scale height. Finally, we considered five ∼600 Å wavelength bins. A common mode systematic model derived from the white light curves was subtracted from the multi-band light curves. The analysis reported above was then repeated for each light curve. In this case, we fixed the stellar density, time of transit minimum, and total transit duration to the average values (of the two nights) derived from the white light curve analysis. This assumption is justified by the fact that we do not expect these parameters to be wavelength dependent. The results are then illustrated in Figure 3 and reported in Table 4.

### 5. RESULTS

In Figures 4–6, we present the results of our analysis. The black points denoting our final spectrum were obtained by taking the weighted average of the results of both observing nights as reported in Table 5.

A fit with a constant line to our data returns a $\chi^2 = 1.9$, which may appear a bit high. The morphological structure seems to indicate a single peaked spectrum. A fit with a quadratic model reinstitutes a peak around 6650 Å, close to the red edge of the third bin. The measurement with the lowest radius ratio appears to correspond to the bluest bin. Averaging the measurements bracketing the peak in the third and fourth bins and forming the difference with the bluest bin, we get a signal-to-noise ratio equal to 3.7. Further insights into the characteristics of this spectrum and its physical plausibility can be obtained by comparing these results with the predictions of planet atmosphere models.

In order to compute the synthetic spectra, we use line-by-line radiative transfer calculations from 0.3 to 25 μm. The model is fully described in Iro et al. (2005) and Iro & Deming (2010).

As opacity sources, we include the main molecular constituents: H$_2$O, CO, CH$_4$, CO$_2$, NH$_3$ (the latter two are added with respect to the previous references, taken, respectively, from Rothman et al. 2009, 2010), Na, K, and TiO; Collision Induced Absorption by H$_2$ and He; Rayleigh diffusion and H$^-$ bound-free and H$_2$ free–free. The current model does not account for clouds.

In the nominal model, we assume that the atmosphere is in thermochemical equilibrium with a solar abundance of the elements. We also generated two additional models with 10 times higher and lower abundances of Na and K with respect to the solar value.

Planet and star parameters are taken from N14. As input heating, we use a stellar spectrum computed from Castelli & Kurucz (2003)$^8$ for a G0V star with an effective temperature of 6000 K.

The comparison of the models with the global set of transmission spectroscopy measurements of HAT-P-1b acquired so far is illustrated in Figures 3–5.

The models were fitted to the near-infrared data of Wakeford et al. (2013) and then simply projected in the optical. We then calculated the reduced $\chi^2$ of the models (averaged over the TNG bandwidths) with respect to the TNG measurements. The results indicate that, for all cases considered, a poor fit is obtained. In particular, the solar abundance model displayed in Figure 3 implies $\chi^2 = 2.9$, the super-solar model (Figure 5) $\chi^2 = 3.4$, and the sub-solar model (Figure 4) $\chi^2 = 3.2$. A

### Table 2

Epochs Used in the Ephemerides Calculation

| Epoch | Time of Transit Minimum (BJD) | Error (BJD) | References |
|-------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 0     | 3979.93071                    | 0.00069     | 2          |
| 1     | 3984.39780                    | 0.00090     | 1          |
| 2     | 3988.86274                    | 0.00076     | 2          |
| 4     | 3997.92727                    | 0.00054     | 2          |
| 4     | 3997.94255                    | 0.00047     | 2          |
| 6     | 4006.72403                    | 0.00059     | 2          |
| 8     | 4015.65410                    | 0.00110     | 2          |
| 20    | 4069.23870                    | 0.00290     | 2          |
| 86    | 4363.94677                    | 0.00091     | 3          |
| 90    | 4381.80920                    | 0.00130     | 3          |
| 469   | 6074.15737                    | 0.00018     | 4          |
| 470   | 6078.62507                    | 0.00018     | 4          |
| 478   | 6114.34537                    | 0.00020     | 4          |
| 487   | 6154.53253                    | 0.00015     | 5          |
| 495   | 6190.25542                    | 0.00018     | 4          |
| 502   | 6221.51261                    | 0.00019     | 5          |

### References

1. Bakos et al. (2007), 2. Winn et al. (2007), 3. Johnson et al. (2008), 4. Nikolov et al. (2014), 5. This work.

$^8$ See ftp://ftp.stsci.edu/obsgrid/ck04models
closer look at these results suggests that clear models could be able to fit a portion of the obtained spectrum, but not the whole spectrum. In particular, the subsolar model appears to be able to perfectly reproduce the blue edge cut-off of the sodium line, as well as the sodium and potassium region. Restricting the analysis to these bins, we would obtain $\chi^2 = 0.7$ for this model.

The discrepancy in the region in-between Na and K is, however, quite remarkable (at the level of 6.3 $\sigma$). This result could be interpreted as evidence that the broad wings of alkali metals in the HAT-P-1b atmosphere are narrower than what was implied by the standard solar model. Moreover, it suggests that, to be able to reproduce the entire spectrum, it is necessary to assume an extra absorption in the region in-between Na and K.

The plausibility of this hypothesis can be verified also considering previous observational results and the comparison with theoretical models, which include the contribution of extra absorbers.

In particular, our result appears similar to the case of HD 209458b. Desért et al. (2008) found that, for this planet, the blue side of the sodium wing was well defined but an increased absorption was observed redward of it. They suggest that the increased opacity could be explained by the presence of a weak absorption from TiO/VO clouds, implying the presence of a modest temperature inversion in the atmosphere. In the case of HAT-P-1b, it seems that the absorption is more extended toward the red than in the case of HD 209458b. It is also worth noting, however, that new analysis of near-infrared data appear to not confirm early claims on the existence of a temperature inversion in HD 209458b (Diamond-Lowe et al. 2014; Evans et al. 2015).

For the case of HAT-P-1b, secondary eclipse measurements in the IRAC/Spitzer bands lead to the conclusion that HAT-P-1b appears to have a modest thermal inversion (Todorov et al. 2010). Our optical spectrum may therefore support this conclusion, and it could help to identify the optical counterpart of the opacity source that produces the observed infrared excess. We note that the same authors derived a dayside temperature of $1550 \pm 100$ K (assuming zero albedo and no heat redistribution). This temperature appears quite low to attribute our peak absorption to TiO/VO clouds, given that these compounds are expected to condense below 1600 K, but we note that other potential absorbers have also been proposed (Burrows et al. 2007; Zahnle et al. 2009).

In conclusion, our optical observations of HAT-P-1b suggest that the planet has a partially clear atmosphere with a modest absorption from clouds (or other absorbers) concentrated in the region in-between 6180 and 7400 Å.

5.1. Impact of Model Assumptions

In this subsection, we investigate the dependence of our results on several assumptions that have been considered during our analysis.

First of all, as reported in the previous sections, during our analysis, we considered a semi-empirical approach to model...
| $R_2/R_*$ | $T_0$ (days) | $T_1$ (days) | $\rho$ (g cm$^{-3}$) | $g_1$ | $g_2$ | $c_1$ | $c_2$ | $c_3$ | $c_4$ |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0.1153 $^{+0.0006}_{-0.0011}$ | 6154.5325 $^{+0.00015}_{-0.00013}$ | 0.1198 $^{+0.00037}_{-0.00069}$ | 0.985 $^{+0.053}_{-0.051}$ | 0.420 $^{+0.046}_{-0.045}$ | 0.2875 | $-0.00024^{+0.00010}_{-0.00020}$ | $-0.000047^{+0.00015}_{-0.00014}$ | $-0.00114^{+0.00017}_{-0.00019}$ | $1.0019^{+0.00031}_{-0.00037}$ |
| 0.1163 $^{+0.0007}_{-0.00063}$ | 6221.5126 $^{+0.00017}_{-0.00017}$ | 0.12007 $^{+0.00056}_{-0.00056}$ | 0.916 $^{+0.043}_{-0.043}$ | 0.393 $^{+0.045}_{-0.045}$ | 0.2875 | $-0.0008^{+0.00013}_{-0.00013}$ | 0.000000 $^{+0.000016}_{-0.000016}$ | 0 | $1.0015^{+0.0005}_{-0.0005}$ |

Note.  
* Values without uncertainty have been fixed during the fit.
Comparison between different transmission spectroscopy measurements of HAT-P-1b and theoretical model predictions. In the main plot, black circles denote TNG measurements presented in this work, gray boxes represent HST(WFC3) measurements (Wakeford et al. 2013), and red triangles represent GTC measurements (Wilson et al. 2015). The model depicted is calculated for a solar abundance of Na and K. Blue asterisks indicate model predictions averaged over TNG bandwidths. In the box on the top right, close-up view of the optical region displaying the hot Jupiters limb-darkening and adopted a quadratic limb-darkening law. Quadratic approximation is usually sufficient to describe transit light curves considering the actual precisions of observational data. However, other authors, such as N14, prefer to adopt a four coefficient law setting the coefficients to theoretical values. A four coefficient law is expected to reproduce the radial flux distribution across the stellar disk more accurately, in particular, at the limb (e.g., Claret & Bloemen 2011). We then tried to investigate the possible dependence of our results on the adopted limb-darkening law. We therefore considered a

Table 4
Spectral Band Light Curves’ Best-fit Parameters

| Band (Å) | \(R_p/R_e\) | \(g_1\) | \(g_2\) | \(c_1\) | \(c_2\) | \(c_3\) | \(c_4\) |
|---------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 4906 – 5600 | 0.11520 ± 0.00038 | 0.495 ± 0.014 | 0.2644 | -0.00015 ± 0.00010 | -0.000032 ± 0.000031 | -0.000013 ± 0.000015 | 1.00048 ± 0.00036 |
| 5600 – 6183 | 0.11562 ± 0.00055 | 0.414 ± 0.019 | 0.2917 | -0.000055 ± 0.000016 | -0.000005 ± 0.000015 | -0.000019 ± 0.000024 | 1.00034 ± 0.00045 |
| 6183 – 6780 | 0.11562 ± 0.00059 | 0.335 ± 0.031 | 0.3045 | 0.000056 ± 0.000021 | 0.000005 ± 0.000023 | 0.000005 ± 0.000025 | 0.99985 ± 0.00066 |
| 6780 – 7390 | 0.11682 ± 0.00038 | 0.345 ± 0.019 | 0.2945 | 0.000009 ± 0.000019 | 0.0000057 ± 0.000020 | -0.000019 ± 0.000027 | 0.99993 ± 0.00057 |
| 7390 – 7942 | 0.11617 ± 0.00056 | 0.302 ± 0.025 | 0.2933 | 0.00011 ± 0.000026 | 0.000009 ± 0.000022 | 0.00022 ± 0.000039 | 0.9995 ± 0.00057 |

Table 5
Final Radius Ratio Measurements

| Band (Å) | \(R_p/R_e\) | \(\sigma (R_p/R_e)\) |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|
| 4906 – 5600 | 0.1152 | 0.0003 |
| 5600 – 6183 | 0.1160 | 0.0003 |
| 6183 – 6780 | 0.1165 | 0.0003 |
| 6780 – 7390 | 0.1164 | 0.0003 |
| 7390 – 7942 | 0.1157 | 0.0004 |
Figure 5. Same as Figure 3, with a model calculated for a subsolar (10×) abundance of Na and K.

Figure 6. Same as Figure 3, with a model calculated for a supersolar (10×) abundance of Na and K.
four coefficient limb-darkening law and replicated the procedure reported above by setting the coefficients to the values obtained by using the software of Espinoza & Jordán (2015), considering our white light curve passband and the response function of our instrumentation. This procedure delivered the values (0.5884, −0.0445, 0.3287, −0.1984) for the four coefficients in increasing order of power. Additionally, in order to reproduce the analysis of N14 more closely, we also fixed the stellar density and the transit duration to the exact values reported by N14. We note, however, that the stellar density we derived is consistent within 0.75σ and the transit duration within 1.8σ with respect to the values reported by N14. The result of this analysis implies a radius ratio equal to (0.1161 ± 0.0003) for the first night white light curve and equal to (0.1160 ± 0.0004) for the second night white light curve. This test therefore further supports our conclusion of the presence of a largely clear atmosphere in HAT-P-1b.

We also tested the adoption of a different mathematical formulation for the systematic model analysis. In particular, we considered, as in Stevenson et al. (2014), a model where the systematic terms are factorized rather than summed to the unperturbed transit model. Each systematic term is then assumed to be of the form (1 + a × x), where a is a modulating factor and x is the normalized (mean subtracted) variable describing a given systematic (airmass, seeing, etc.). Expressing the model in this way should reproduce more closely the physical mechanisms underlying the systematic flux variation. Given the small amplitude of systematics in our data, this formulation produced results that are fully consistent with the perturbed transit model analysis described above. In particular, for the white light curve transit depths, we obtained (0.1149 ± 0.0008) and (0.1164 ± 0.0007) for the first and second nights, respectively, that is well within our quoted uncertainties. This result then supports our analysis and adopted formulation for systematic modeling.

5.2. Discussion

While we concluded that clouds (or extra absorbers) are necessary to explain the spectrum of HAT-P-1b, their overall impact seems to be substantially smaller than the one reported by N14, as also shown in the top right corners of Figures 3–5.

In the following subections, we investigate three possible mechanisms that could be at the origin of the observed difference between our optical results and the one presented in N14.

5.3. Stellar Activity

Stellar activity is a known phenomenon that can produce radius ratio variations (e.g., Oshagh et al. 2014). HAT-P-1b is not regarded as an active star. A very low chromospheric activity in the Ca II and K lines has been measured (Bakos et al. 2007; Knutson et al. 2010) with log(R′HK) = −4.984. Transit follow-up analysis (including this work) did not show evidence of spot crossing events. In addition to that, photometric monitoring was conducted at the Liverpool Telescope between 2012 May 4 and December 13, covering all of the HST visits during which HAT-P-1b was observed as presented in N14. Because our measurements were acquired in 2012 August and October, the photometric constraints derived by the authors also apply to our case. The Liverpool Telescope follow-up implied that HAT-P-1A was not variable during the observing period down to a precision of around 0.2% as we can infer from N14 (Figure 2). Visit 20 of HST observed with the G750 grism (overlapping our spectral window) and produced a radius ratio equal to Rp/Rs = 0.11808 ± 0.00034. Our average radius ratio is Rp/Rs = 0.11590 ± 0.0005. Squaring these two radius ratio estimates and taking their difference, we obtain an expected level of photometric variability around 0.05%. Such a value is around four times smaller than the precision reached by the Liverpool Telescope. This calculation shows that it is probably premature to completely rule out stellar variability as a potential source of systematic variation of the radius ratio. In particular, the results show that the observed radius ratio difference could be produced if a sub-millimag variability is present.

5.4. Exo-weather

Although in the previous section we argued that the current photometric constraints are not tight enough to completely rule out the stellar variability hypothesis, the level of variability required to explain the radius variation indeed appears to be quite small. Assuming for HAT-P-1b an atmospheric scale height of 414 km, as in N14, this implies that the observed difference would amount to around 4.4 scale heights. Atmospheric effects produced in the atmosphere of the exoplanet may be present over a range of a few scale heights; thus, it is interesting to consider the possibility that the observed radius ratio difference may be produced by a mechanism that originated in the atmosphere of the planet rather than in the atmosphere of its host star. The major factor expected to affect exo-planetary spectra is related to the presence or absence of clouds in their atmospheres. The impact of clouds depends critically on the altitude at which the clouds sit (Ehrenreich et al. 2006). High altitude clouds are expected to produce the most relevant effect given that they prevent us from observing the lower level of the atmosphere, where high pressure wings of alkali metals are expected to form. This means that low resolution spectroscopic measurements will essentially produce a flat spectrum. If the clouds sit at a lower altitude, their impact on the overall spectrum is smaller, especially if they are not completely thick, and atmospheric features may become comparably easier to detect at low resolution, given that higher pressure levels are more accessible. In order to reconcile these considerations with HAT-P-1b observations, we should therefore hypothesize that in its atmosphere there are at least two cloud layers. The cloud layer of N14 would represent the top layer, whereas the one that seems to best reproduce our observations would be the bottom layer. If the top layer is present, we should expect to observe a larger radius ratio and an overall flat spectrum, if it is absent the radius ratio should be smaller and the spectrum, if the bottom cloud layer is not completely thick, may display features like the blue edge cut-off of the sodium high pressure wing predicted by models. This double cloud layer model could therefore at least qualitatively explain the variation of the radius ratio and of the morphological structure of the spectrum. However, another important assumption needs to be made to be consistent with the observations. Considering that all observations relevant for this work have been acquired within a few months, we should admit that exo-weather (in particular, the conditions on the top cloud layer) may evolve on timescales of weeks to months.
5.5. Instrumental Systematic

Less fascinating than the previous hypothesis is the possibility that the observed radius ratio difference could also be due to an instrumental systematic. Analyzing the results presented in Figures 3–5, it is probably wise to recall that we are comparing observations obtained with different instruments under a variety of observing conditions. The most relevant difference between our analysis and the one conducted by N14 is likely the fact that, to correct for systematics, we used the comparison star HAT-P-1A. For ground-based observations differential analysis is simply mandatory, while for space based comparison star HAT-P-1A. For ground-based observations pure instrumental photometry along with refined detrending techniques achieves better performances than on the ground. However, important systematics are present and the adoption of comparison stars largely reduce their impact. In a recent work, Béky et al. (2013) studied the albedo of HAT-P-1b using the STIS spectrograph on board HST. Performing a comparative analysis of the results considering the adoption or not of the comparison star, the authors concluded that using the comparison largely simplified detrending procedures eliminating important biases on the results.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we tested the differential spectrophotometry technique to probe the atmosphere of the exoplanet HAT-P-1b. We used as a calibrator the close-by stellar companion HAT-P-1A, of similar magnitude and color as the target star HAT-P-1B. The two objects have been simultaneously observed by placing them on the slit. We used the DOLORES spectrograph at the TNG, observing two nights on 2012 August 14 and 2012 October 20. We achieved a photometric precision of 525 ppm with a sampling of 52 s during the first night and 462 ppm with a sampling of 24 s during the second. We also demonstrate the possibility of modeling the transit (including limb darkening) with a relatively simple model. The results of our observations imply an average of $\frac{R_p}{R_\star} = (0.1159 \pm 0.0005)$ lower than previously estimated. We observed a single peaked spectrum (at a 3.7σ level) and deduced the presence of a blue cut-off corresponding to the blue edge of the sodium broad absorption wing and an increased opacity in the region in-between sodium and potassium (6180–7400 Å). We explain this result as evidence of a partially clear atmosphere. We confirm the presence of an extra-absorber in the atmosphere of HAT-P-1b as inferred by N14, but its impact on the overall spectrum seems to be more modest in our data than what was implied by previous observations.
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