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Characterization of Ribeye Subunits in Zebrafish Hair Cells Reveals That Exogenous Ribeye B-Domain and CtBP1 Localize to the Basal Ends of Synaptic Ribbons
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Abstract

Synaptic ribbons are presynaptic structures formed by the self-association of RIBEYE—the main structural component of ribbon synapses. RIBEYE consists of two domains: a unique N-terminal A-domain and a C-terminal B-domain that is identical to the transcription co-repressor C-terminal binding protein 2 (CtBP2). Previous studies in cell lines have shown that RIBEYE A-domain alone is sufficient to form ribbon-like aggregates and that both A- and B- domains form homo- and heterotypic interactions. As these interactions are likely the basis for synaptic-ribbon assembly and structural plasticity, we wanted to examine how zebrafish Ribeye A- and B- domains interact with synaptic ribbons in vivo. To that end, we characterized the localization of exogenously expressed Ribeye A- and B- domains and the closely related protein, CtBP1, in the hair cells of transgenic zebrafish larvae. Unexpectedly, exogenously expressed Ribeye A-domain showed variable patterns of localization in hair cells; one zebrafish paralog of A-domain failed to self-associate or localize to synaptic ribbons, while the other self-assembled but sometimes failed to localize to synaptic ribbons. By contrast, Ribeye B-domain/CtBP2 was robustly localized to synaptic ribbons. Moreover, both exogenously expressed B-domain/CtBP2 and CtBP1 were preferentially localized to the basal end of ribbons adjacent to the postsynaptic density. Overexpression of B-domain/CtBP2 also appeared to affect synaptic-ribbon composition; endogenous levels of ribbon-localized Ribeye were significantly reduced as hair cells matured in B-domain/CtBP2 transgenic larvae compared to wild-type. These results reveal how exogenously expressed Ribeye domains interact with synaptic ribbons, and suggest a potential organization of elements within the ribbon body.
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Introduction

Sensory receptors of the visual, auditory, and vestibular systems contain presynaptic specializations that enable them to transmit sensory information with high fidelity and for extended periods of time [1,2]. These specializations, called dense bodies or synaptic ribbons, are electron-dense structures that tether numerous glutamate-filled vesicles and provide a scaffold for active zone proteins [3,4]. Synaptic ribbons are thought to support the rapid response of sensory receptors to stimuli by clustering presynaptic calcium channels [3,6] and retaining a large, rapidly releasable pool of synaptic vesicles at the active zone [7]. They also play a critical role in responding to sustained stimuli by both priming synaptic vesicles for release [8] and replenishing active sites with vesicles for continuous release [9].

Sensory receptors in different organs and species have distinct coding requirements, and synaptic ribbon architecture likely contributes to synaptic function—synaptic ribbons are found in a variety of different sizes and shapes, from generally spherical in hair-cell synapses [10,11] to plate-like structures in photoreceptor synapses [3]. Moreover, synaptic ribbon structures are dynamic; synaptic ribbons in the retina and pineal gland change their shape in response to illumination [12,13] or diurnal cycle [14], and this structural plasticity is thought to optimize ribbon synapse function for the corresponding sensory organs in dark versus light conditions [15]. Since variations in synaptic-ribbon size and shape appear to play an important role in optimizing the functional properties of the synapse, different sensory receptors likely use unique molecular mechanisms to establish and maintain their ideal synaptic-ribbon structure. Yet one common mechanism of synaptic-ribbon assembly and growth employed by all sensory receptors is self-association of the unique ribbon synapse protein RIBEYE [5,16,17].

RIBEYE is the main structural component of synaptic ribbons [18,19]. It consists of two domains: an N-terminal proline-rich A-domain and a C-terminal B-domain that is identical to C-terminal binding protein 2 s (CtBP2 s)—a splice isoform of the transcriptional corepressor CtBP2 that lacks a nuclear localization signal and may...
play an important role in regulating intracellular membrane dynamics [20]. Individual RIBEYE subunits form both homo- and heterotypic interactions between three binding sites in their A-domain and two binding sites in their B-domain [17]. Molecular regulation of the homo- and heterotypic interactions between RIBEYE A-domains and B-domains likely controls the assembly and plasticity of synaptic ribbons [21]. Previous studies examining heterologous expression of individual RIBEYE domains have observed that the A-domain alone forms ribbon-like punctate aggregates in cell culture, supporting a role for the A-domain as an aggregation domain [17,18]. By contrast, heterologously expressed B-domain appears diffusely distributed throughout the cells unless co-expressed with the A-domain or full length RIBEYE. Moreover, interactions between the A-domain and B-domain have been shown to be inhibited by low concentrations of NAD(H), suggesting inhibition of heterotypic interactions between A- and B-domains as a potential mechanism of regulating synaptic ribbon assembly [17]. Yet the behavior of heterologously expressed RIBEYE domains in cell culture may not reflect the native behavior of individual RIBEYE domains within intact sensory cells. Examining the localization of RIBEYE A- and B-domains in situ; that is, within an intracellular milieu containing all the necessary components to generate synaptic ribbons, should give important further insight into how RIBEYE self-association is regulated.

Here we characterized the intracellular localization of stably expressed exogenous Ribeeye A-domain, B-domain, and the closely related protein CtBP1 within hair cells of transgenic zebrafish larvae at 3 and 5 days-post-fertilization (dpf). Our results indicate that Ribeeye A-domain alone does not efficiently localize to synaptic ribbons and suggest a potential substructural organization of CtbPs within synaptic ribbons.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

This study was performed with the approval of the Oregon Health and Science University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee as well as the Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary Animal Care Committee, and in accordance with NIH guidelines for use of zebrafish.

**Fish Strains**

Transgenic lines were created and maintained in Tubingen, Tupfel Long Fin, and WIK wild-type backgrounds. Adult zebrafish strains were maintained as previously described [22].

**Generation of transgenic lines**

Plasmid construction was performed using the Tol2/Gateway kit (Kwan, 2007). The hair cell specific myosin8b promoter was previously cloned into the 5′ entry vector, p5E, 228 (Kindt, 2012). Ribeeye domains were PCR amplified from cDNA containing full-length ribeye a (NCBI Accession Number NM_001195491.1) or ribeye b (NM_001015064.1), and ctbp1 was amplified from a cDNA clone ID: 7995426 (Open Biosystems; NCBI Accession Number BC045280.1). The following primers were used to PCR amplify clones for insertion into the Tol2 Middle-entry vector (pME, 257; ribeye a [full length] [5′ggggacaagctgttacaactaaacggcaggctatgttgatctccagtaagcag3′] and [5′ggggaccacctttgtaaagaagtggtggttatattctcagcc3′]; ribeye a [A domain] [5′ggggacaagctgttacaactaaacggcaggctatgttggtgatctcagcc3′] and [5′ggggaccacctttgtaaagaagtggtggttatattctcagcc3′]; ribeye b [A domain] [5′ggggacaagctgttacaactaaacggcaggctatgttggtgatctcagcc3′] and [5′ggggaccacctttgtaaagaagtggtggttatattctcagcc3′]; ribeye a (B-domain/ctbp2s) [5′ggggacaagctgttacaactaaacggcaggctatgttggtgatctcagcc3′] and [5′ggggaccacctttgtaaagaagtggtggttatattctcagcc3′]; ctbp1 [5′ggggacaagctgttacaactaaacggcaggctatgttggtgatctcagcc3′] and [5′ggggaccacctttgtaaagaagtggtggttatattctcagcc3′]. PCR products were confirmed by restriction digest and gel electrophoresis. Middle-entry (pME) vectors were generated by BP reaction per the Tol2 Kit (Invitrogen Live Technologies). BP reaction products were confirmed by sequencing with M16 forward and reverse primers. Injection plasmids were created by using LR clonase enzyme (Invitrogen), and then subsequently confirmed by multiple restriction digests and gel electrophoresis. To generate transgenic fish, plasmid DNA and tol2 transposase
mRNA were injected into zebrafish embryos as previously described [23].

All nucleotide and protein alignments were performed using Lasergene software (DNAStar).

Antibodies
We used previously described [5,11] custom-generated antibodies against Danio rerio peptide sequences of the A-domains of Ribeye a (rabbit polyclonal; 1:250) and Ribeye b (mouse monoclonal IgG2a; 1:10,000), and the N-terminal region of Vglut3 (rabbit polyclonal; 1:1000). We also used clone 9E10 monoclonal and rabbit polyclonal purified antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich) to label c-Myc and K28/86 purified antibody (mouse monoclonal IgG1; 1:500; NeuroMab, Davis, CA) to label MAGUK.

Immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described [24] with slight modifications of the fixation times. Zebrafish larvae were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/4% sucrose in
phosphate buffer with 0.2 mM CaCl₂ for 4 h (3 dpf) or 6 h (5 dpf) at 4°C. Following rinse, larvae were permeabilized with ice cold acetone and blocked with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 2% goat serum, 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Then they were incubated with primary antibodies diluted in PBS buffer containing 1% BSA and 1% DMSO overnight at 4°C, followed by diluted secondary antibodies coupled to Alexa 488, Alexa 647 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen), or DyLight 549 (Jackson ImmunoResearch).

Confocal Imaging

Z-stack images of whole neuromasts (spaced by 0.5 μm over 7–10 μm) were acquired as previously described [24] with either a Zeiss LSM 700 laser scanning confocal microscope with a 63×1.4 NA Plan-Apochromat oil-immersion objective or a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope with a PL APO 63×1.3NA glycerol-immersion objective. For each experiment, the microscope parameters were adjusted using the brightest wild-type specimen such that just a few pixels reached saturation in order to achieve the greatest dynamic range in our experiments.

Image processing

Digital images were processed using ImageJ software. 3D isosurface renderings were created using Amira 3D Analysis software (FEI Visualization Sciences Group). Subsequent image processing for display within figures was performed using Photoshop and Illustrator software (Adobe).

Image analysis

Quantitative image analysis was performed on raw images using Amira 3D Analysis software. To reduce background noise, a Gaussian smoothing convolution was applied to each x-y image within a stack with a kernel size of 3 and a σ value of 0.4. To quantitatively measure immunolabel intensity, a user-defined inclusive threshold was applied to isolate pixels occupied by immunolabeled Ribeye spheres or MAGUK patches. The inclusive threshold values for each label were determined using 3D isosurface renderings, with the minimum threshold value defined as the value above which the user could resolve two closely adjacent spheres or patches. Minimum threshold values were typically 80 (of 256) for Ribeye and 110 (out of 256) for MAGUK. The Material Statistics function was then used to measure the cumulative intensity of fluorescent pixels (sum of the grayscale values) within each individual sphere or patch. Ribbon-synapse localized Ribeye spheres were identified as such by juxtaposing MAGUK patches.

RT-PCR and qPCR

At 5 dpf, groups of 30 transgenic larvae or WT siblings were anesthetized on ice and decapitated with fine surgical scissors to separate the posterior lateral line hair cells of the tail from other ribbon synapse containing tissue in the head. Larval tail tissue was immediately placed into RNAlater (Applied Biosystems/Ambion) and total RNA was extracted using the RNAqueous 4-PCR kit (Applied Biosystems/Ambion). Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR was performed using the 5 μg total RNA and the Sprint RT Complete Oligo(dT) kit (Clontech). For qPCR, 0.2 ul cDNA in SsoFast Supermix (Bio-Rad) with appropriate primers was used for each qPCR reaction, and the reactions were run in 96-well plates using a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time System. The RNA level for ribeye b was first calculated from a cDNA standard curve, then normalized to β-actin RNA. Primers used for ribeye b transcript are as follows: forward 5’-agtgtgatgcaaaagag-3’ and reverse 5’-atggtggacacgatgactg-3’.

Figure 3. Ribeye B-domain/CtBP2s localizes to synaptic ribbons at 3 dpf. Representative images of immunolabel or fluorescent tag in posterior lateral line NM1 hair cells of 3 dpf larvae. Scale bars: 3 μm (main panels), 1 μm (insets). (A) Ribeye (B-domain)-GFP (cyan) and Ribeye a antibody labeling of synaptic ribbons (magenta). Note that B-domain-GFP does not completely colocalize with Ribeye a immunolabel. (B) Ribeye (B-domain)-myc (cyan), Ribeye a (blue), and Ribeye b (red) immunolabel. Dashed circles indicate nuclear localization of B-domain. Note that Ribeye a and b immunolabel colocalizes with each other (magenta), but only partially colocalizes with B-domain (white). Red arrows indicate resolvable indentations in the synaptic ribbons that do not contain exogenous B-Domain, but do contain Ribeye. (C) Ribeye a (full length)-mcherry (cyan) and Ribeye b immunolabel (magenta). Full length exogenous Ribeye a-mcherry appears throughout the synaptic ribbon and colocalizes with Ribeye b immunolabel (white). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107256.g003
Figure 4. Ribeye B-domain/CtBP2s disrupts endogenous Ribeye retention at synaptic ribbons in 5 dpf hair cells. (A–B) Representative images of immunolabel or fluorescent tag in posterior lateral line NM3 hair cells of 5 dpf larvae. Scale bars: 3 μm (main panels), 1 μm (insets). (A, A’) Ribeye a (blue) and Ribeye b (red) antibody labeling of synaptic ribbons, and anti-myc antibody labeling of B domain-myc (cyan) in a WT (A) and a transgenic (A’) larva. Dashed circles indicate weak nuclear localization of B-domain. (B, B’) Ribeye (B-domain)-myc (cyan), Ribeye b (magenta), and MAGUK (yellow) immunolabel in a WT (B) and a transgenic (B’) larva. Red asterisks indicate strong nuclear localization of B-domain. Red arrows indicate cells with moderate levels of B-domain in the cytosol. (C) Box plots of cumulative immunolabel intensities of presynaptic Ribeye immunolabeled spheres and postsynaptic MAGUK immunolabeled patches in 5 dpf transgenic B domain-myc larvae and WT siblings. These plots show the median value (horizontal bar), the upper and lower quartiles (box), and the range (whiskers). Whiskers indicate the 10th and 90th percentiles. ****p<0.0001, defined by a Mann-Whitney U Test. Each plot represents a population of intensity measurements collected from NM3 hair cells of 7–8 individual larvae. (D) Relative expression level of ribeye b transcripts in the posterior lateral line of 5 dpf transgenic B domain-myc larvae and WT siblings. Expression data was normalized to b-actin expression. The level of gene expression in WT siblings was normalized to one. Error bars are s.e.m.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107256.g004
Results

Zebrafish Ribeye A-domains differ in their self-association and synaptic ribbon localization

The A-domain of RIBEYE contains three specific interaction sites that are thought to mediate RIBEYE self-association [17]. When transfected into HEK293, COS, and R28 cells, the A-domain has been shown to form discrete ribbon-like aggregates [17,18], suggesting that the A-domain functions primarily as an aggregation domain [18]. We therefore characterized the localization of stably expressed Ribeye A-domain in situ by examining its localization in zebrafish stably expressing Ribeye A-domain in lateral-line neuromast (NM) hair cells.

Zebrafish have two copies of the gene encoding Ribeye: ribeye a and ribeye b [16], and both Ribeye paralogs are found in zebrafish hair-cell synaptic ribbons [5]. As the sequence similarity between the A-domains of the two Ribeye proteins is relatively low (Figure 1A; 54%), we created constructs containing the A-domain of each Ribeye paralog: ribeye a (A-domain) fused to a c-terminal 6x-myc tag and ribeye b (A-domain) fused to a c-terminal GFP tag (Figure 1B). Expression of these constructs was driven by the hair-cell specific myosin6b promoter [11]. In contrast to what was observed when the A-domain was heterologously expressed in cell lines, exogenously expressed Ribeye a (A-domain)-myc in hair cells did not self-associate nor did it localize to synaptic ribbons (Figure 2A, A', B). Instead, it localized diffusely throughout the cell bodies of both relatively immature (Figure 2A,A') and mature (Figure 2B) hair cells. In addition, Ribeye a (A-domain)-myc did not colocalize with the synaptic vesicle marker Vglut3 (Figure 2B), which supports that exogenous Ribeye a (A-domain) failed to traffic to synaptic ribbons. By contrast, Ribeye b (A-domain)-GFP localization in hair cells was variable; it was able to partially self-associate (Figure 2C) and, when expression levels were moderate, localize to synaptic ribbons (Figure 2C', D, D'). However, we also observed Ribeye b (A-domain)-GFP aggregates that did not localize to synaptic ribbons (Figure 2D''). These results reveal a disparity between the two zebrafish paralogs of A-domain with regards to their self-association and trafficking to synaptic ribbons in zebrafish hair cells.

Because duplicate genes in zebrafish often recapitulate the functions of a single ancestral gene [25], we examined whether co-expression of both Ribeye (A-domain) paralogs would improve their localization to synaptic ribbons. To test this, we crossed transgenic carriers of both ribeye a (A-domain)-6xmyc and ribeye b (A-domain)-gfp, then examined their localization to synaptic ribbons. Because both of the antibodies we use to label synaptic ribbons interact with the A-domains of each paralog of Ribeye [5], we were unable to label synaptic ribbons without also labeling one of the transgenes. Since labeling Ribeye a (A domain) appeared as a strong cell fill and obscured intracellular structures, we used the antibody against Ribeye b to label synaptic ribbons. In hair cells also expressing Ribeye b (A-domain)-GFP we still observed no synaptic localization of Ribeye a (A-domain)-myc (Figure 2E, E''). Furthermore, we did not observe any apparent improvement in the synaptic localization of Ribeye b (A-domain)-GFP when co-expressed with the other A-domain paralog; that is, it appeared to localize to ribbon synapses to a certain extent, but also was found diffusely throughout the hair cells and accumulated at the apical end of hair cells when expressed alone or with Ribeye a (A-domain)-myc (Figure 2C, E'). These results suggest that the Ribeye (A-domain) alone is not completely sufficient to localize to synaptic ribbons.
Ribeye B-Domain/CtBP2s localizes to synaptic ribbons in developing zebrafish hair cells

We next examined the localization of Ribeye (B-domain)/CtBP2s by creating transgenic zebrafish lines expressing ribeye a (B-domain) fused to either 6x-myc or GFP in hair cells (Figure 1B). Because the sequence similarity between the two zebrafish B-domain/CtBP2s paralogs is high (Figure 1A; 91%), we only created constructs containing the B-domain of one Ribeye paralog. As with the A-domain constructs, expression of B-domain containing constructs was driven by the myosin6b promoter. In developing 3-day-old NM hair cells, we observed robust localization of B-domain/CtBP2s to synaptic ribbons within all hair cells examined in both transgenic lines (Figure 3A, B). We also observed weak localization of B-domain/CtBP2s-myc to hair-cell nuclei (Figure 3B; outlined with dashed circles), which is similar to what has been reported in CtBP2s-flag expressing HeLa and COS cells [20]. Strikingly, the B-domain appeared to preferentially localize to one side of ribbon bodies; ribbon-localized B-domain puncta appeared juxtaposed to endogenous Ribeye-containing puncta, but did not fully co-localize (Figure 3A, B; insets). To address whether the apparent substructural localization of the B-domain was an artifact of protein overexpression or the C-terminal tag, we exogenously expressed full-length Ribeye a fused to mCherry and saw complete co-localization of Ribeye a-mCherry and endogenous Ribeye b immunolabel (Figure 3C). We also previously observed comparable results with stably expressed Ribeye b-GFP [5]. Collectively, these results demonstrate that Ribeye (B-domain)/CtBP2s alone can localize to synaptic ribbons and reveal that B-domain localizes to one side of synaptic ribbons. The observation that exogenous B-domain was not distributed throughout synaptic ribbons in a similar way to exogenous full-length Ribeye is noteworthy because it suggests that B-domain alone interacts with synaptic-ribbon components in a different way than full-length Ribeye.

Ribeye B-Domain/CtBP2s disrupts endogenous Ribeye retention in the synaptic ribbons of relatively mature zebrafish hair cells

To determine whether the pattern of B-domain localization in hair cells persisted at later stages, we examined the localization of Ribeye B-Domain/CtBP2s in the relatively more mature hair cells of 5 dpf zebrafish larvae [24,26]. Similar to what we observed in 3 dpf larvae, both B-domain/CtBP2s transgenes localized well to synaptic ribbons (Figure 4A', B'). In addition, B-domain/CtBP2s-myc sometimes localized to hair-cell nuclei (17 out of 107 hair cells), but in general was excluded from the nucleus in 5-day-old NM hair cells (Figure 4A', B'). Notably, the immunolabel intensity of both endogenous Ribeye a and b at presumptive presynaptic ribbons appeared reduced in B-domain/CtBP2s expressing hair cells compared to WT siblings at 5 dpf (Figure 4A', A'), which we did not observe at 3 dpf (Figure 3 A, B). To quantify the degree of reduction in ribbon-synapse localized Ribeye, we used Amira

Figure 6. Ribeye B-domain/CtBP2s and CtBP1 localizes to the basal end of synaptic ribbons facing the postsynaptic density.

Isosurface renderings of ribbon synapses extrapolated from z-stack confocal images of Ribeye b (magenta), GFP or myc (cyan), and MAGUK (yellow). Dashed arrows indicate the ribbon synapses used from the images to generate the 3D renderings. (A–A') Ribeye (B-domain)-GFP (cyan) with Ribeye b (magenta), and MAGUK (yellow) in 3 dpf larvae. Note that B-domain-GFP within synaptic ribbons appears adjacent to patches of MAGUK. (B–B') Ribeye (B-domain)/CtBP2s-myc (cyan) with Ribeye b (magenta), and MAGUK (yellow) in 5 dpf larvae. Note that B-domain-myc within synaptic ribbons also appears adjacent to patches of MAGUK. (C–C') CtBP1-myc (cyan) with Ribeye b (magenta), and MAGUK (yellow) in 5 dpf larvae. Synaptic ribbon localization of CtBP1 appears comparable to Ribeye (B-domain)/CtBP2s.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107256.g006
image processing software to create 3D-renderings of confocal stacks. We then measured the cumulative intensity of each Ribeye immunolabeled sphere adjacent to a patch of postsynaptic density labeled with an antibody against the PSD-95 family of membrane associated guanylate kinases (MAGUKs) (Figure 4 B, B’). We observed a significant reduction in the cumulative pixel intensity of endogenous Ribeye at presynaptic spheres (Figure 4C; Mann Whitney U test: P<0.0001) but not MAGUK at postsynaptic patches (Mann Whitney U test: P = 0.2504). This indicates that exogenous B-domain reduces endogenous Ribeye protein levels within synaptic ribbons but does not disrupt postsynaptic components. Because we observed nuclear localization of exogenous B-domain/CtBP2s and CtBP2 is a transcriptional corepressor, we sought to address whether B-domain overexpression reduced transcript levels of ribeye in 5-day-old zebrafish hair cells. We therefore performed qPCR and saw no difference in the relative amount of ribeye b transcript in B-domain overexpressing larvae versus wild-type (Figure 4D), supporting the notion that exogenous B-domain/CtBP2s disrupts retention of Ribeye at synaptic ribbons.

CtBP2 shares a high degree of sequence similarity with the related transcriptional corepressor CtBP1 (Figure 1B; 79%). CtBP1 has been shown to heterodimerize with CtBP2 [20] and is also a component of synaptic ribbons [27,28,29,30]. Therefore, we tested whether exogenously expressed CtBP1 would localize to synaptic ribbons in a similar way as B-domain/CtBP2s in zebrafish hair cells. We observed that exogenous CtBP1 also localized to hair-cell nuclei and to synaptic ribbons (two examples shown in Figure 5B, C). In contrast to what we observed with B-domain/CtBP2s (Figure 4B’; inset), exogenous expression of CtBP1 did not reduce endogenous Ribeye immunolabel at synaptic ribbons (Figure 5B, C; insets). These data suggest that the reduction of Ribeye at synaptic ribbons we observed in hair cells expressing Ribeye B-domain/CtBP2s is specific to CtBP2s (Figure 4B’; inset), exogenous expression of CtBP1 does not reduce endogenous Ribeye immunolabel at synaptic ribbons, while Ribeye B-domain/CtBP2s disrupts endogenous Ribeye retention at synaptic ribbons in 5-day-old hair cells, and CtBP1 and B-domain/CtBP2s localize to the basal end of synaptic ribbons adjacent to the postsynaptic density. Collectively, these results suggest that zebrafish Ribeye A-domains do not contain all the required elements needed to completely localize to synaptic ribbons, while Ribeye B-domain contains elements that enable effective localization to synaptic ribbons such that it may displace endogenous Ribeye in mature zebrafish hair cells.

The incomplete self-assembly and variable association of Ribeye A-domains with synaptic ribbons suggest that multiple cellular mechanisms regulate A-domain association with itself and/or full-length Ribeye in vivo, which is in contrast to the robust and complete self-assembly of RIBEYE A-domain observed in cell culture. However, the two zebrafish paralogs of A-domain share only ~50% sequence similarity both with each other and with human RIBEYE A-domain (Figure 1A), which may explain why they differ greatly in their self-association and localization to synaptic ribbons. As both zebrafish paralogs of Ribeye are distributed throughout hair-cell synaptic ribbons and the assembly of each paralog is comparably regulated during hair-cell ribbon maturation [24], it is conceivable that an additional level of cellular regulation exists in zebrafish to coordinate the assembly of both paralogs of Ribeye that may differ from the regulation of mammalian RIBEYE A-domain assembly in vivo.

By contrast, zebrafish Ribeye B-domain, which shares a high degree of sequence similarity with mammalian B-domain, appears to associate with synaptic ribbon structures in hair cells in a similar way as previously described in heterologous expression systems; that is, it associates with full-length Ribeye [17]. There are, however, a couple of notable and interesting differences. One difference is that ribbon-localized B-domain did not distribute evenly throughout synaptic ribbons and did not completely overlap with full-length Ribeye. Rather, B-domain accumulated to the basal side of synaptic ribbons facing the postsynaptic density (Figure 6A, B). Another difference observed was that as hair cell matured, the B-domain appeared to disrupt endogenous Ribeye accumulation at synaptic ribbons (Figure 4A–C). Collectively, these data suggest additional cellular mechanisms regulating molecular interactions of the B-domain with other components of the synaptic ribbons.

The observation that exogenous B-domain/CtBP2s and CtBP1 accumulated within synaptic ribbons adjacent to postsynaptic densities may reflect the behavior of endogenous CtBP proteins in sensory hair cells. Both CtBP1 and CtBP2 have been found to localize to conventional presynapses throughout the brain [27,31], supporting that CtBPs may be generally conserved active zone molecules. With regards to ribbon synapses, CtBP1 is also a component of synaptic ribbons, and both CtBP1 and B-domain/CtBP2s directly interact with each other and with Bassoon—an active-zone protein that is required for maintenance of synaptic-ribbon attachment to the plasma membrane [27,32,33]. Speculatively, enrichment of exogenous B-domain/CtBP2s and CtBP1 at the base of hair-cell synaptic ribbons may reflect their interaction with Bassoon near the plasma membrane. It is important to note that, in contrast to what we observed in hair-cell synaptic ribbons, a previous study examining synaptic ribbon localization of exogenously expressed Ribeye A- and B-domains and the closely related protein CtBP1 within lateral line hair cells of stable transgenic zebrafish. We observed that (i) Ribeye a (A-domain) fails to self-associate or localize to synapses, (ii) Ribeye b (A-domain) incompletely self-associates, but generally localizes to synapses, (iii) both B-domain/CtBP2s and CtBP1 localize to the nucleus, the cytosol, and synaptic ribbons, (iv) B-domain/CtBP2s disrupts endogenous Ribeye retention at synaptic ribbons in 5-day-old hair cells, and (v) CtBP1 and B-domain/CtBP2s localize to the basal end of synaptic ribbons adjacent to the postsynaptic density.
components in photoreceptors reported enrichment of Bassoon immunogold labeling at the base of synaptic ribbons, yet CtBP1 immunogold labeling was present throughout photoreceptor synaptic ribbons in EM micrographs [27]. Further studies examining the localization of endogenous CtBP1 in hair cells will clarify whether the substructural synaptic localization of exogenous CtBP1 that we observed truly reflects its native localization in hair-cell synapses.

While CtBP1 is indisputably part of the synaptic ribbon protein complex, there exist conflicting reports as to whether CtBP2 is also a component of synaptic ribbons [28,29,30]. As sensory hair cells certainly contain CtBP2 [6,34] and considering that B-domain/CtBP2s appears to disrupt native Ribeye localization at synaptic ribbons, there are likely regulatory mechanisms within hair cells that limit or exclude CtBP2 from synaptic ribbons. It may be that our exogenously expressed B-domain/CtBP2s overrode these presumptive regulatory mechanisms either by overabundance of the protein or because the B-domain/CtBP2s transgene we used lacks the N-terminal nuclear localization signal, which has been reported as the main determinant of sorting CtBP2 to the nucleus instead of the presynaptic active zone [31]. Interestingly, the notion that B-domain/CtBP2s outcompetes native Ribeye is supported by evidence that physiological levels of NAD(H) negatively regulate heterotypic interactions between Ribeye A-domain and B-domain [17], thereby favoring homodimerization between the B-domains. Because synaptic-ribbon size is tightly regulated in zebrafish hair cells [24], we speculate that B-domain/

CtBP2s may occupy a number of the limited slots for Ribeye via homotypic interactions between full-length endogenous Ribeye’s B-domain and exogenous B-domain.

In conclusion, our results suggest that multiple mechanisms exist in sensory cells that regulate both Ribeye self-association and Ribeye’s interaction with CtBP1 at synaptic ribbons. Our results also raise the possibility that the organization of CtBP proteins within synaptic ribbons may be compartmentalized. It is important to note that overexpression of Ribeye subunits or CtBP1 did not grossly affect 5-day-old zebrafish hearing or balance, however we did not test for subtle defects in auditory or vestibular function.

Future studies addressing whether and how an overabundance of CtBP1 or CtBP2 affect ribbon-synapse activity could give important insight into the functional organization of synaptic ribbons.
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