Affinity for Poetry and Aesthetic Appreciation of Joyful and Sad Poems
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Artworks with sad and affectively negative content have repeatedly been reported to elicit positive aesthetic appreciation. This topic has received much attention both in the history of poetics and aesthetics as well as in recent studies on sad films and sad music. However, poetry and aesthetic evaluations of joyful and sad poetry have received only little attention in empirical studies to date. We collected beauty and liking ratings for 24 sad and 24 joyful poems from 128 participants. Following previous studies, we computed an integrated measure for overall aesthetic appreciation based on the beauty and liking ratings to test for differences in appreciation between joyful and sad poems. Further, we tested whether readers' judgments are related to their affinity for poetry. Results show that sad poems are rated significantly higher for aesthetic appreciation than joyful poems, and that aesthetic appreciation is influenced by the participants' affinity for poetry.
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INTRODUCTION

Many, if not most, poems are “sad” in terms of their emotional content, with their artistic construction (word choice, prosody) also expressing feelings of sadness, loss, and despair. Paul Celan's *Death Fugue*, Walt Whitman's *O Captain! My Captain!* and W.H. Auden's *Funeral Blues* are only three of the myriad examples for this. Importantly, readers do not just cognitively decode the emotional context and decipher the emotional expression of poems, but apparently also genuinely feel the sadness by way of empathy, emotional contagion, identification, or other means of emotional transfer (Lundqvist et al., 2009; Gerger et al., 2014). However, is sadness not an emotion we prefer not to feel? Or do we appreciate sadness in aesthetic contexts, such as reading poetry, as something positive? And do we appreciate happier, more joyous poems less than sad poems, however paradoxical this may seem?

Intuitively, positive aesthetic evaluation and the emotional classification of artworks as joyful or affectively positive seem very closely related. However, movies, music, and poems with sad, i.e., affectively negative, content have repeatedly been reported to be highly appreciated aesthetically. Notably, a rating study of the perception of sad and joyful music excerpts found a significant positive correlation between perceived sadness and perceived beauty (Eerola and Vuoskoski, 2011). Likewise, Oliver and Bartsch (2011, p. 31) suggested that the “experience of appreciation is often thought to be tied more closely with sad than joyful affect.”

Throughout the history of poetics and aesthetics, philosophers and poets have tried to tackle the question of why people enjoy and appreciate feelings of sadness (e.g., Hume, 1757/1793; Schiller, 1792/2006). Hanich et al. (2014) suggested that the overall positive feeling of being moved can be understood as a cause of the pleasure associated with negative emotions expressed in or elicited by
sadly moving films (for similar findings, see Wassiliwizky et al., 2015). Other mediator emotions that appear to have the power to integrate feelings of sadness into an overall pleasurable emotional trajectory are feelings of nostalgia (Sedikides et al., 2008), tenderness, peacefulness, and relaxation (Taruﬀi and Koelsch, 2014).

The enjoyment of negative emotions in art reception has also been shown to be inﬂuenced by individual diﬀerences regarding tendencies to experience states of absorption and music-elicited empathy (Garrido and Schubert, 2011; Taruﬀi and Koelsch, 2014). Subjectivist theories understand aesthetic evaluation to be mainly determined by individual diﬀerences in prior experiences and personal attitudes (e.g., Dewey, 1934/2005). Since frequency measures of exposure to literature and scales like the Author-Recognition-Test (ART; Stanovich and West, 1989; Aacheson et al., 2008) focus mainly on narratives, they are of little use for assessing exposure to or familiarity with the genre of poetry. We here consider readers’ general afﬁnity for poetry (see below) as a trait variable that may inﬂuence their appreciation of given poems.

Aesthetic judgments, such as those of liking and beauty are often correlated (Brattico et al., 2011; Lüdtke et al., 2014) and understood to be closely related (cf. Reber et al., 2004). However, this is by no means always the case. For instance, horror ﬁlms are clearly liked by their customary viewers, but research on horror ﬁlms has not reported any strong experiences of beauty in this context; rather, liking appears to be driven primarily by high aﬀective arousal, thrills, and suspense (Sparks and Ogles, 1994; Hoffner and Levine, 2005; Andrade and Cohen, 2007; Robinson et al., 2014). Similarly, artworks can be liked for being interesting, shocking, a good satire, or even for being markedly ugly (Schlegel, 1795–1797/1979; Rosenkranz, 1853/2015). In such cases, attributes of beauty are apparently no prerequisite for liking. In fact, the partial separation of perceived aesthetic appeal from beauty is one of the major topics and achievements of later eighteenth century, and speciﬁcally of post-Kantian aesthetics (for a programmatic volume of essays on this issue see Jauß, 1991).

However, for all these reasons not to commingling judgments of beauty and aesthetic liking, there is some empirical evidence that suggests a very close association between the two judgments in particular contexts. Sad music is one important example; liking of sad music routinely coincides with perceiving high degrees of beauty (Eerola and Vuoskoski, 2011; Taruﬀi and Koelsch, 2014). Regarding poetry, beauty has been shown—in pronounced contrast to novels and plays—to be (still) the prime expectation of perceived aesthetic appeal among non-professional contemporary readers, regardless of the key emotional tonality (Knoop et al., 2016). A recent experimental study has shown—with a speciﬁc focus on the role of parallelistic diction—that liking judgments and beauty attributions for poetry correlate positively both with each other and with self-reported feelings of joy, sadness and being moved (Menninghaus et al., 2016). In light of these data, we decided to follow previous studies on both music and literature (Brattico et al., 2011; Lüdtke et al., 2014) in measuring perceived overall aesthetic appeal by using the average of beauty and liking ratings as a composite index for aesthetic appreciation.

To date, no empirical investigation has considered aesthetic evaluation(s) of poetry in light of the respective poems’ emotional classiﬁcation and of readers’ afﬁnity for poetry. We set out to do precisely this. We expected higher aesthetic appreciation for sad poems than for joyful ones. Further, we hypothesized readers’ self-reported afﬁnity for poetry to be positively related to their aesthetic evaluations.

METHODS

Corpus

We compiled a corpus of 48 German poems that comprises 24 joyful poems and 24 sad poems. The poems were written, or published for the ﬁrst time, by 39 authors between 1828 and 1978, vary substantially in length, and include both rhymed and metered and non-rhymed and non-metered poems (for details see Table 1). Since most of these poems were published in a well-known anthology (Reschke, 1992; cf. Gernhardt, 2012), our sample of poems may well be representative. We based our a priori classiﬁcation of the poems as either joyful or sad on phenomenological descriptions of joy and sadness (cf. Schmitz, 1969; Demmerling and Landweer, 2007) and the poems’ main themes (cf. Kraxenberger and Menninghaus, 2016).

We expected the selected poems to be easy to comprehend, because they do not include words, metaphors and sentences that are particularly rare or diﬃcult to understand. We controlled for diﬀerences between joyful and sad poems by applying several analyses of variance (ANOVA’s) or, in the case of nominal variables, Chi-Square tests. Results showed no signiﬁcant association between the classiﬁcation of the poems as joyful or sad and the occurrence of end rhymes ($X^2 (1, N = 48) = 0.17; p = 0.68$). Further, results showed no diﬀerences between joyful and sad poems regarding their metrical structure (metrically bound vs. free verse; $X^2 (1, N = 48) = 0.09; p = 0.76$), or their organization in stanzas ($X^2 (1, N = 48) = 0.10; p = 0.76$). Poems classiﬁed as joyful showed no diﬀerences when compared to those poems that were classiﬁed as sad in terms of number of stanzas per poem (joyful poems: $M = 2.54; SD = 1.29$; sad poems: $M = 3.00; SD = 1.69; p = 0.30$). However, the sad poems tend to have a few more words ($M = 86.13; SD = 30.75$) and lines ($M = 15.17; SD = 4.80$) than the joyful poems ($M_{\text{words}} = 66.58; SD_{\text{words}} = 23.63; p_{\text{words}} = 0.02; M_{\text{lines}} = 12.04; SD_{\text{lines}} = 3.84; p_{\text{lines}} = 0.02$).

Participants

One hundred and twenty-eight participants (84 women, 44 men) took part in the rating study. The mean age was 24.5 years ($SD = 4.36$, $\text{min} = 18$, $\text{max} = 37$). Inclusion criteria for study participation were having German as (one) native language and being of full legal age. All participants gave their informed consent and received monetary compensation or course credit.

Procedure and Questionnaire

Participants were instructed to silently read each poem twice, in a calm and attentive manner. This instruction was used because
| Title                  | Author                  | Publication date | No of lines | No of stanzas | No of words | End-rhymed meter | Consistent Joyful vs. Sad rating | Mean Emotion Rating |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Tristesse              | Benn, Gottfried         | 1956             | 16          | 4             | 113         | yes              | yes sad                          | 5.69                |
| Sommersonett           | Bergengruen, Werner     | 1950             | 14          | 4             | 84          | yes              | yes joy                          | 1.94                |
| Novemberabend          | Boldt, Paul             | 1912             | 8           | 2             | 45          | yes              | yes sad                          | 5.38                |
| Der Kuss               | Borchert, Wolfgang      | 1946             | 12          | 3             | 84          | yes              | yes joy                          | 3.38                |
| Doppelte Freude        | Busch, Wilhelm          | 1909             | 8           | 1             | 48          | yes              | yes joy                          | 2.38                |
| Rückkehr               | Cordan (Horn), Wolfgang | 1951             | 12          | 3             | 67          | yes              | no sad                           | 6.38                |
| Blick ins Licht        | Dehmel, Richard         | 1913             | 21          | 4             | 101         | yes              | yes joy                          | 3.56                |
| Fähre Schenkenschanz   | Delius, Friedrich Christian | 1981          | 8           | 2             | 68          | yes              | no joy                           | 3.69                |
| Sterben                | Ehrenstein, Albert      | 1961             | 13          | 3             | 63          | no               | no sad                           | 5.50                |
| Heinkehr               | Ehrenstein, Albert      | 1961             | 12          | 1             | 58          | yes              | no sad                           | 5.81                |
| Call it love           | Enzensberger, Hans Magnus | 1957           | 16          | 1             | 87          | no               | no joy                           | 3.06                |
| aprile                 | Enzensberger, Hans Magnus | 1963           | 23          | 4             | 99          | no               | no sad                           | 2.56                |
| trennung               | Enzensberger, Hans Magnus | 1957           | 18          | 3             | 79          | no               | no joy                           | 5.88                |
| Freundliche Nähe       | Ernst, Otto             | 1917             | 16          | 3             | 95          | yes              | yes joy                          | 2.00                |
| Schön und gut und klar und wahr | Gernhardt, Robert | 1990             | 12          | 5             | 87          | yes              | no joy                           | 3.25                |
| Trauermarsch           | Goll, Yvan              | 1960             | 13          | 1             | 88          | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.69                |
| O leuchtender Septemberberg  | Haller, Paul         | 1922             | 12          | 3             | 64          | yes              | yes joy                          | 2.38                |
| Spät                   | Hardekopf, Ferdinand    | 1963             | 12          | 3             | 74          | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.31                |
| Regen                  | Hatzfeld, Adolf von     | 1919             | 12          | 3             | 86          | yes              | yes sad                          | 5.63                |
| Schmerzmut             | Henckel, Karl          | 1921             | 24          | 1             | 141         | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.25                |
| Im Nebel               | Hesse, Hermann         | 1905             | 16          | 1             | 76          | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.19                |
| Fröhlichkeit           | Heym, Georg            | 1911             | 12          | 3             | 81          | yes              | yes joy                          | 2.25                |
| Letzte Wache           | Heym, Georg            | 1964             | 16          | 3             | 82          | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.88                |
| Nicht alle Schmerzen   | Huch, Ricarda          | 1971             | 12          | 3             | 71          | yes              | no sad                           | 5.75                |
| Das berühmte Gefühl    | Kalüko, Mascha         | 1978             | 14          | 3             | 89          | yes              | yes sad                          | 5.38                |
| Trauigkeit             | Kalkowska, Eleonore    | 1916             | 10          | 5             | 42          | yes              | no sad                           | 6.00                |
| Das Glück im Spiel     | Klabund                 | 1927             | 14          | 4             | 104         | yes              | yes joy                          | 3.50                |
| Liebeslied: Dein Mund  | Klabund                 | 1927             | 16          | 1             | 84          | yes              | yes joy                          | 2.63                |
| Entführung             | Klemm, Wilhelm         | 1919             | 12          | 3             | 93          | yes              | no joy                           | 1.89                |
| Freude                 | Krzyzanowski, Ottfried  | 1919             | 4           | 1             | 30          | no               | no no                            | 2.50                |
| Dämmerung              | Lasker-Schüler, Else    | 1943             | 10          | 1             | 64          | yes              | yes sad                          | 5.50                |
| Liebeslied             | Lichtenstein, Alfred   | 1919             | 6           | 1             | 36          | no               | no no                            | 1.94                |
| Der Rauch auf dem Felde| Lichtenstein, Alfred    | 1914             | 25          | 7             | 110         | no               | no sad                           | 6.13                |
| Nachtmusik             | Loerke, Oskar          | 1958             | 12          | 1             | 46          | yes              | no no                            | 5.38                |
| Radfahrt               | Maikowski, Rainer      | 1977             | 14          | 1             | 35          | yes              | no joy                           | 2.63                |
| Licht ist Liebe        | Morgenstern, Christian  | 1914             | 12          | 4             | 54          | yes              | yes joy                          | 3.44                |
| Das ästhetische Wiesel | Morgenstern, Christian  | 1905             | 11          | 4             | 30          | yes              | no joy                           | 2.50                |
| Die Windhosen          | Morgenstern, Christian  | 1910             | 12          | 3             | 60          | yes              | yes joy                          | 3.63                |
| Er ist’s               | Mörke, Eduard          | 1828             | 10          | 1             | 39          | yes              | yes joy                          | 1.50                |
| Vereinsamt             | Nietzsche; Friedrich   | 1882             | 23          | 6             | 113         | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.06                |
| Das Leben ist gut und licht | Rilke, Rainer Maria | 1913             | 8           | 2             | 51          | yes              | yes joy                          | 2.44                |
| Morgenwonne            | Ringelnatz, Joachim    | 1933             | 12          | 3             | 57          | yes              | yes joy                          | 1.63                |
| Nach der Trennung: Lichterfelde | Ringelnatz, Joachim | 1929             | 20          | 4             | 114         | yes              | yes sad                          | 5.38                |
| Elegie                 | Schwachbofer, René     | 1964             | 13          | 3             | 56          | yes              | no sad                           | 6.63                |
| Pans Trauer            | Stadler, Ernst         | 1911             | 14          | 1             | 165         | yes              | yes sad                          | 5.25                |
| Das Licht              | Strub, Uns Martin      | 1946             | 9           | 1             | 47          | yes              | yes joy                          | 3.19                |
| Die Zerwaltung         | Thoor, Jesse           | 1965             | 14          | 4             | 112         | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.13                |
| Ostersamstag           | Wagner, Christian      | 1890             | 20          | 5             | 93          | yes              | yes sad                          | 6.25                |

Mean (SD): 13.60 (4.58) 2.77 (1.51) 76.35 (28.87)
previous studies employing a rereading paradigm suggest that the effects of literary language consolidate over time and that repeated reading supports a greater “depth of appreciation” (Dixon et al., 1993, p. 17; see also Hakemulder, 2004) and should enhance participants’ comprehension. After the second reading, participants rated the poems on several items, using a pen and paper questionnaire\(^1\).

Our questionnaire included a rating item (hereafter: Emotion) to measure whether participants assigned the perceived emotional tonality of the respective poems rather to the pole of joy (1) or to that of sadness (7). In order to evaluate participants’ aesthetic appreciation, we used two separate items (How beautifully is the poem written? (Beauty) and How much do you like this poem? (Liking), with both items ranging from 1 (not at all) to 7 (very much). As reported in the Introduction, we derived an integrated measure for overall Aesthetic Appreciation from these two ratings. We did so by averaging the ratings for Liking and Beauty. This pooled index for Aesthetic Appreciation had a high level of internal consistency, as determined by a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.9.

Finally, participants were asked to indicate whether they knew the respective poems and to report their age (in years), gender, and affinity (hereafter: Affinity) for poetry by stating to what extent they generally enjoy reading or listening to poetry, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 7 (very much).

Given the size of the corpus, we opted for a between-participants design with the intention of reducing possible fatigue and carryover effects by presenting only a few stimuli per participant. In order to keep the survey short, the 48 poems were divided into eight groups of six poems each. Each poem received 16 ratings, and each participant read and rated six poems—three joyful and three sad ones. The sequence of the rating items and the order of presented poems were randomized between participants.

## RESULTS\(^2\)

To test whether participants confirmed our pre-classification of the poems as either joyful or sad, we inspected the mean values of all poems on the item Emotion. The means of the poems that were pre-classified as joyful \((M = 2.72, SD = 0.65, min = 1.63, max = 3.69)\) were all below the midpoint of the scale \((4)\), whereas the means of the poems that were pre-classified as sad \((M = 5.93, SD = 0.46, min = 5.25, max = 6.88)\) were all above the midpoint \((4)\) for mean-Emotion ratings, see Table 1. To control for possible effects of participants’ familiarity with the poems, we excluded two joyful poems that were familiar to more than 10% of the participants from further analyses\(^3\). On average, participants indicated an affinity of 5.05 for reading or listening to poetry \((SD = 1.58, min = 1, max = 7)\).

Using the emotional pre-classification of the poems (coded in a binary way: 1 (joyful) vs. −1 (sad)), participants’ Affinity and the number of words per poem as independent variables, we applied a linear mixed effects analysis with which we predicted Aesthetic Appreciation (as defined above). We also included intercepts for participants and poems as random effects in this analysis (Baayen et al., 2008).

Results show a significant effect of the emotional classification \((t = −2.24; p = 0.03)\) and a significant effect of participants’ Affinity for poetry \((t = 3.45; p ≤ 0.001)\) on Aesthetic Appreciation. The number of words per poem was unrelated to participants’ ratings \((t = 0.72; p = 0.47)\; \text{see Table 2 for estimates and standard errors of fixed effects and the intercept}.\)

An inspection of the mean values for Aesthetic Appreciation showed that sad poems were rated higher \((M = 4.70, SD = 1.45, n = 24)\) than joyful poems \((M = 4.36 SD = 1.51, n = 22; \text{see Figure 1})\).

## DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

Our analyses show that Affinity for poetry clearly affects ratings of Aesthetic Appreciation. Results also show a significantly higher Aesthetic Appreciation for sad than for joyful poems.

With all due caution, our findings can be interpreted as supporting theories of pleasure in negative affect that suggest a positive relation between sad stimuli and aesthetic appreciation (cf. Taruffi and Koelsch, 2014). Considering the well-known effect of familiarity on aesthetic evaluation (cf. Zajonc, 1968; Calvo-Merino et al., 2008), an explanation for our finding of higher Aesthetic Appreciation for sad poems could be that sad poems simply constitute a greater share of the (Western) tradition of poetry than joyful poems. This higher familiarity with sad poems might be the reason why they are generally more appreciated than joyful poems.

The results presented here are certainly limited by the chosen corpus, as well as the personal and textual variables that were analyzed. Therefore, they could be complemented by follow-up studies that include additional situational factors, incorporate

---

1. Given the hypotheses and research questions on which we focus in this study, some further items from the questionnaire were not considered in the analyses presented here. We have reported all other results in a separate study that, complementary to the one presented in this article, includes neither the beauty nor the liking ratings (cf. Kraxenberger and Menninghaus, 2016).

2. All analyses, apart from the linear mixed effects analyses reported below, were conducted in SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0, IBM Corp., 2013). A visual inspection of normal Q-Q plots showed that both our behavioral and our phonological data were approximately normally distributed. We used R (R Core Team, 2013) and lmer (Bates et al., 2014) to perform linear mixed effects analyses. P-values were obtained via lmerTest (Kuznetsova et al., 2015). Apart from the linear mixed effect analyses, our analyses are based on mean values.

3. "Das ästhetische Wiesel" by C. Morgenstern, known to 4 of its 16 raters (25%) and "Er ist’s" by E. Mörike, known to 10 of its 16 raters (62.5%).
a broader exploration of readers’ characteristics, and do not exclusively rely on behavioral data.

Furthermore, due to the theoretical separation between beauty and other forms of appreciation within the realm of the arts, future studies exploring empirically possible differences between judgements of liking and beauty are called for. Future investigations on this topic should consider co-occurrence patterns of different linguistic concepts that might reflect different mental constructs by applying corpus-linguistic or qualitative approaches. In addition, such future studies should also aim at explaining a differentiation between different forms of aesthetic appreciation by integrating psychological models of aesthetic appreciation and experience as well as an explanation of the underlying psychological processes (for a review on current psychological models of art experience for the visual arts, see for example Pelowski et al., 2016; see also Jacobs et al., 2016, this issue). Whether poetry is the appropriate genre for differentiating judgments of beauty and liking is, however, an open research question. Alternatively, other literary genres of fictions or media forms, such as movies that foster an involvement of readers and viewers with the expression of ugliness, disgust and horror might be more prone for a differentiation of different forms of positive evaluations.

Summing up, our study indicates that sad poetry indeed is appreciated more than joyful poetry. Furthermore, the higher our affinity to poetry in general, the higher our positive evaluations tend to be, independent of a poem’s emotional content.

ETHICS STATEMENT

All experimental procedures were ethically approved by the Ethics Council of the Max Planck Society, and were undertaken with informed consent of each participant. Human participants read and rated six German poems each. No vulnerable populations were involved.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MK and WM jointly designed the study and interpreted the data. MK compiled the poetic corpus, gathered behavioral data, conducted data analyses and wrote the paper.

FUNDING

Data acquisition for this paper was made possible through the support of the Research Cluster Languages of Emotion (EXC302), which was funded by the German Research Association DFG and hosted by the Freie Universität Berlin. The writing and data analysis was conducted at the Max Planck Institute for Empirical Aesthetic in Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Marissa Gemma and Christine Knoop for useful comments on the manuscript, Valentin Wagner for his helpful comments regarding both the analyses and an earlier draft of the manuscript, and Felix Bernoulli for his support with the design of our the figure.

REFERENCES

Aacheson, D. J., Wells, J. B., and MacDonald, M. C. (2008). New and updated tests of print exposure and reading abilities in college students. *Behav. Res. Methods* 40, 278–289. doi: 10.3758/BRM.40.1.278

Andrade, E. B., and Cohen, J. B. (2007). On the consumption of negative feelings. *J. Consum. Res.* 34, 283–300. doi: 10.1086/519498

Baayen, R. H., Davidson, D. J., and Bates, D. M. (2008). Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects for subjects and items. *J. Mem. Lang.* 59, 390–412. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2007.12.005

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2014). *lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using Eigen and S4*. R package version, 1.

Brattico, E., Alluri, V., Bogert, B., Jacobsen, T., Vartiainen, N., Nieminen, S. K., et al. (2011). A functional MRI study of happy and sad emotions in music with and without lyrics. *Front. Psychol.* 2:308. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00308

Calvo-Merino, B., Jola, C., Glaser, D. E., and Haggard, P. (2008). Towards a sensorimotor aesthetics of performing art. *Conscious. Cogn.* 17, 911–922. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2007.11.003

Demmerling, C., and Landweer, H. (2007). *Philosophie der Gefühle: Von Achtung bis Zorn*. Stuttgart: Metzler.

Dewey, J. (1934/2005). *Art as Experience*. London: Penguin.

Dixon, P., Bortolussi, M., Twilley, L. C., and Leung, A. (1993). Literary processing and interpretation: towards empirical foundations. *Poetics* 22, 5–33. doi: 10.1016/0304-422X(93)90018-C

Eerola, T., and Vuoskoski, J. K. (2011). A comparison of the discrete and dimensional models of emotion in music. *Psychol. Music* 39, 18–49. doi: 10.1177/0305735610362821

Garroid, S., and Schubert, E. (2011). Individual differences in the enjoyment of negative emotion in music: a literature review and experiment. *Music Percept.* 28, 279–296. doi: 10.1525/mp.2011.28.3.279
Gerger, G., Leder, H., and Kremer, A. (2014). Context effects on emotional and aesthetic evaluations of artworks and IAPS pictures. Acta Psychol. (Amst). 151, 174–183. doi: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2014.06.008

Gernhardt, R. (2012). Was das Gedicht alles kann: Alles, Texte zur Poetik. Frankfurt; Main: S. Fischer Verlag.

Hakemulder, J. F. (2004). Foregrounding and its effect on readers’ perception. Discourse Process 38, 193–218. doi:10.1207/s15326950dp3802_3

Hanich, J., Wagner, V., Shah, M., Jacobsen, T., and Menninghaus, W. (2014). Why we like to watch sad films: the pleasure of being moved in aesthetic experiences. Psychol. Aesthetics Creativity Arts 8, 130–143. doi: 10.1037/a0035690

Hoffner, C. A., and Levine, K. J. (2005). Enjoyment of mediated Essays and Treatises on Several Subjects Hume, D. (1757/1793). “On Tragedy,” in Oliver, M. B., and Bartsch, A. (2011). Appreciation of entertainment: the impact of art: an update and comparison of current psychological models of art experience. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 10:160. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00160

IBM Corp (2013). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0.

Hanich, J., Wagner, V., Shah, M., Jacobsen, T., and Menninghaus, W. (2014). Why we like to watch sad films: the pleasure of being moved in aesthetic experiences. Psychol. Aesthetics Creativity Arts 8, 130–143. doi: 10.1037/a0035690

Hume, D. (1757/1793). “On Tragedy,” in Essays and Treatises on Several Subjects, Vol. 1, ed D. Hume (Basil: Oxford), 235–246.

IBJ Corp (2013). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY.

Jacobs, A., Hofmann, M., and Kinder, A. (2016). On elementary affective decisions: to like or not to like, that is the question. Front. Psychol. 7:1836. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01836

Jauß, H. R. (1991). Die nicht mehr schönen Künste: Grenzphänomene des Ästhetischen. Munich: Fink.

Knoop, C. A., Wagner, V., Jacobsen, T., and Menninghaus, W. (2016). Mapping the aesthetic space of literature “from below.” Poetics 56, 35–49. doi: 10.1016/j.poetics.2016.02.001

KRAXENBERGER, M., and MENNINGHAUS, W. (2016). Mimological Reveries? Disconfirming the hypothesis of phono-emotional iconicity in poetry. Front. Psychol. 7:1779. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01779

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., and Christensen, R. H. B. (2015). Package ‘lmerTest‘. R package version, 2-0.

Lüdtke, J., Meyer-Sickendiek, B., and Jacobs, A. M. (2014). Immersing in the stillness of an early morning: testing the mood empathy hypothesis of poetry reception. Psychol. Aesthetics Creativity Arts 8:363. doi: 10.1037/a0036826

Lundqvist, L.-O., Carlsson, F., Hilmersson, P., and Juslin, P. N. (2009). Emotional responses to music experience, expression, and physiology. Psychol. Music 37, 61–90. doi: 10.1177/0305735607086048

Menninghaus, W., Wagner, V., Wassiliwizky, E., Jacobsen, T., and Knoop, C. A. (2016). The emotional and aesthetic powers of parallelitic dictation. Poetics. doi:10.1016/j.poetics.2016.12.001

Oliver, M. B., and Bartsch, A. (2011). Appreciation of entertainment: the importance of meaningfulness via virtue and wisdom. J. Media Psychol. 3, 29–33. doi:10.1027/1864-1105/a000029

Pelowski, M., Markey, P. S., Laurant, O. J., and Leder, H. (2016). Visualizing the impact of art: an update and comparison of current psychological models of art experience. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 10:160. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00160

R Core Team (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna.

Reber, R., Schwarz, N., and Winkielman, P. (2004). Processing fluency and aesthetic pleasure: is beauty in the perceiver's processing experience? Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev. 8, 364–382 doi: 10.1207/s15327957pspr0804_3

Rochke, R. H. (ed.). (1992). Deutsche Lyrik Unseres Jahrhunderts, Eine Anthologie. Gütersloh: Bertelsmann.

Robinson, T., Callahan, C., and Evans, K. (2014). Why do we keep going back? A Q method analysis of our attraction to horror movies. Operant Subjectivity 37, 41–57.

Rosenkranz, K. (1853/2015). Aesthetics of Ugliness: A Critical Edition. London; New Delhi; New York, NY, Sydney: Bloomsbury Publishing.

Schlegel, F. (1795–1797/1979). “Über das Studium der griechischen Poesie,” in Kritische Friedrich-Schlegel-Ausgabe, Vol. 1, ed E. Behler (Paderborn; München Wien; Zürich: Schöningh), 217–267.

Schiller, F. (1792/2006). Of the cause of the pleasure we derive from tragic objects. Aesthetical Philos. Essays 2, 86–99.

Schmitz, H. (1969). System der Philosophie: Der Gefühlsraum. Bonn: Bouvier.

Sedikides, C., Wildschut, T., Arndt, J., and Routledge, C. (2008). Nostalgia past, present, and future. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 17, 304–307. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2008.00595.x

Sparks, G. G., and Ogles, R. M. (1994). The role of preferred coping style and emotional forewarning in predicting emotional reactions to a suspenseful film. Commun. Rep. 7, 1–10. doi:10.1080/08934219409367577

Stanovich, K. E., and West, R. F. (1989). Exposure to print and orthographic processing. Read. Res. Q. 24, 402–433. doi: 10.2307/747605

Taruffi, L., and Koelsch, S. (2014). The paradox of music-evoked sadness: an online survey. PLoS ONE 9:e110490. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110490

Wassiliwizky, E., Wagner, V., Jacobsen, T., and Menninghaus, W. (2015). Art-related chills indicate states of being moved. Psychol. Aesthetics Creativity Arts 9:405. doi: 10.1037/aca0000023

Zajonc, R. B. (1968). Attitudinal effects of mere exposure. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 9, 1–27. doi: 10.1037/h0025848

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Krazenberger and Menninghaus. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.