Dietary Glycemic Load and Glycemic Index and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke in Dutch Men and Women: The EPIC-MORGEN Study
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Abstract

Background: The associations of glycemic load (GL) and glycemic index (GI) with the risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are not well-established, particularly in men, and may be modified by gender.

Objective: To assess whether high dietary GL and GI increase the risk of CVD in men and women.

Methods: A large prospective cohort study (EPIC-MORGEN) was conducted within the general Dutch population among 8,855 men and 10,753 women, aged 21–64 years at baseline (1993–1997) and free of diabetes and CVD. Dietary intake was assessed with a validated food-frequency questionnaire and GI and GL were calculated using Foster-Powell’s international table of GI. Information on morbidity and mortality was obtained through linkage with national registries. Cox proportional hazards analysis was performed to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) for incident coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke, while adjusting for age, CVD risk factors, and dietary factors.

Results: During a mean follow-up of 11.9 years, 581 CHD cases and 120 stroke cases occurred among men, and 300 CHD cases and 109 stroke cases occurred among women. In men, GL was associated with an increased CHD risk (adjusted HR per SD increase, 1.17 [95% CI, 1.02–1.35]), while no significant association was found in women (1.09 [0.89–1.33]). GI was not associated with CHD risk in both genders, while it was associated with increased stroke risk in men (1.27 [1.02–1.58]) but not in women (0.96 [0.75–1.22]). Similarly, total carbohydrate intake and starch intake were associated with a higher CHD risk in men (1.23 [1.04–1.46]; and 1.24 [1.07–1.45]), but not in women.

Conclusion: Among men, high GL and GI, and high carbohydrate and starch intake, were associated with increased risk of CVD.

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are a major cause of death worldwide. In Europe 54% of women and 43% of men die of CVD [1]. Hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, and associated disorders of lipid metabolism (hyperlipidemia) are key determinants of CVD, and these determinants are in turn influenced by diet [2,3]. High carbohydrate diets may promote hyperglycemia, and can raise fasting triacylglycerol and reduce HDL levels [4–6], which may eventually increase the risk of CVD. Postprandial hyperglycemia is emerging as an independent and clinically significant risk factor for CVD [7,8]. Therefore, high carbohydrate diets may increase the risk of CVD.

However, dietary carbohydrates produce different glycemic responses not only depending on their chemical structure, but also on particle size, fiber content, and food processing [9]. These differences between carbohydrate-containing foods can be expressed in the glycemic index (GI) which is a measure of the postprandial glucose response [10], and can be considered an indicator of the quality of food carbohydrates. Glycemic load (GL) is calculated by multiplying the GI of a food with its carbohydrate content and represents both quality and quantity of carbohydrates.

The Nurses’ Health Study provided first evidence for an increased risk of CVD in women consuming high GL or high GI diets, especially among those who are overweight [11,12]. These results were confirmed in a study of Dutch women who consumed a diet with a more modest glycemic load [13]. However, one large and two smaller studies among men did not reveal any association between dietary GL or GI and CVD risk [14–16], suggesting effect modification by sex. Women have a more favorable lipid profile.
with lower fasting LDL and higher HDL levels, and a less pronounced postprandial lipid response as a result of higher adipose LPL activity[17]. In view of these and other sex-specific differences (which also depend on age), the effects of high GI and GL on CVD risk may differ between men and women[2,10].

So far, only two studies were able to make a direct comparison between men and women. In an Italian cohort study, both a high dietary GL and carbohydrate intake from high-GI foods were associated with an increased risk of CHD among women but not among men[19]. Similarly, in a Japanese cohort study, dietary GI was positively associated with fatal stroke risk among women only[20]. Therefore, the objective of the present study is to assess whether high dietary GL, GI, and high intakes of carbohydrate (subtypes), are associated with increased risk of CHD as well as stroke, in a large cohort of Dutch men and women consuming a modest glycemic load diet, and whether this association differs between men and women.

Methods

Ethics Statement
The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of TNO Nutrition and Food Research. All participants gave written informed consent prior to inclusion.

Population
The EPIC-MORGEN cohort consists of 22,654 men and women aged 20–65 years selected from random samples of the Dutch population in 3 towns (Amsterdam, Doetinchem, and Maastricht) in the Netherlands between 1993 and 1997[21,22]. All participants gave informed consent prior to inclusion. Participants underwent a medical examination and filled in a general and food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). After exclusion of those who gave no consent to link with disease registries (n = 2097), who had a history of type 2 diabetes (n = 194) or CVD (n = 526), had missing nutritional data (n = 62), and/or were ranked in the top or bottom 0.5% of the ratio of reported energy intake over estimated basal metabolic rate (BMR; n = 210), a total of 19,608 participants were eligible for analysis (cumulative exclusion, n = 3,046).

Baseline Measurements
The general questionnaire contained questions on demographic, presence of and risk factors for chronic diseases. Physical activity was assessed by a questionnaire, and categorized using the validated Cambridge Physical Activity Index [23]. Physical activity data were not available in the correct format for the first year of the EPIC-MORGEN study. To reduce bias, missing CPAI scores (25% of total) were imputed by single linear regression modeling (SPSS MVA procedure). Missing data were almost absent (<0.6%) for other potential confounders and intermediates. Educational level was based on the highest level achieved and categorized into low, middle and high[22]. Anthropometric and blood pressure measurements were performed as described previously [22]. Hypertension was defined as present when one or more of the following criteria were met: diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, self-reported antihypertensive medication use, or self-reported presence of hypertension. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as a self-reported physician diagnosis. Smoking was categorized into never, former, and current smoker. Menopause was defined as the absence of menstrual periods for at least a year (including surgical menopause). Oral contraceptive (OC) use and postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy (HRT) use was defined as ever versus never. At baseline, all participants donated a non-fasting blood sample. Plasma total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol levels were determined using standardized enzymatic methods.

Dietary Information
Daily nutritional intake was obtained at baseline from a FFQ containing questions on the usual frequency of consumption of 79 main food groups during the year preceding enrollment. This FFQ has been validated against twelve 24-h recalls [24–26]. Pearson correlations were 0.63 for GL and GI (men and women), 0.74 (men) and 0.76 (women) for carbohydrate, and 0.61 (men) and 0.74 (women) for fiber. The GI of foods was obtained from international tables using glucose as the reference[27,28]. We calculated daily GI by multiplying the GI value of each food item with its carbohydrate content and frequency of consumption, and dividing the sum of these values over all food items by the total amount of carbohydrate consumed[29]. Daily GL was calculated in the same manner but without dividing by the total amount of carbohydrate consumed[30].

Morbidity and Mortality Follow-up
Data on morbidity were obtained from the Dutch Centre for Health Care Information, which holds a register of hospital discharge diagnoses from all general and university hospitals in the Netherlands starting from 1990. The database was linked to the cohort on the basis of a validated probabilistic method[31]. The principal diagnosis, coded according to the Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9-CM), was used to define the morbidity endpoints. Information on vital status was available through linkage with the municipal administration registries, and causes of death were obtained from Statistics Netherlands. Causes of death were coded according to ICD-9 for deaths until 1996 and ICD-10 thereafter. CHD (ICD-9-CM 410 to 414; ICD-10-CM I20 to I25) and stroke (ICD-9-CM 430 to 434, 436; ICD-10-CM I60 to I66) were the main end points of interest, combining fatal events (primary and secondary cause of death) and non-fatal events. In addition, we differentiated between ischemic stroke (ICD-9-CM 433, 434; ICD-10-CM I63, I65) and hemorrhagic stroke (ICD-9-CM 430 to 432; ICD-10-CM I60 to I62).

Statistical Analysis
GL, GI, and intakes of nutrients were adjusted for total energy intake by means of the regression residual method [32]. Person-years of follow-up were calculated from the date of return of the questionnaires to the date of CHD or stroke, emigration, death or January 1 2008, whichever came first. Selected confounding variables were incorporated into multivariate Cox proportional hazard models stratified by sex. First, HRs were adjusted for age (continuous; model M1). Next, CVD risk factors were added: smoking, packyears (continuous), education, BMI (continuous), physical activity, hypertension, and OC use (in women; model M2). In the third model, total energy (continuous), and energy-adjusted intake of alcohol (≤10 g/day, >10–25 g/day, >25–50 g/day, >50 g/day), vitamin C, fiber, saturated, monounsaturated, and polyunsaturated fat (continuous) were added. Models for GI were also adjusted for carbohydrates and protein (continuous), while models for sugar and starch were mutually adjusted. Finally, we evaluated the effect of potential intermediate factors, by including total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol (continuous; model M4). Nonlinear associations were explored by inclusion of quadratic terms, and were all non-significant (P>0.11). Interactions with sex, BMI, age, and menopausal status.
were tested using the likelihood ratio test. In a sensitivity analysis we excluded energy under-reporters (energy intake-to-BMR ratio of less than 1.14) [33]. The proportional hazard assumption was checked visually using log-minus-log plots with no deviations detected. Data were analyzed with SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) for Windows.

Results

Daily mean (± SD) energy-adjusted dietary GL was lower in men (121.8±21.0) than in women (125.2±19.8), while dietary GI was similar (Table 1). The main contributors to GI were bread (18%), milk products (18%), alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages (16%), potatoes (16%), and fruit (13%). Daily GL was largely determined by consumption of bread (35%), potatoes (14%) and sweets (13%). On average, men consumed more alcohol and less vitamin C, were more often diagnosed with hypercholesterolemia, and had lower HDL-cholesterol levels. Men and women in the highest quartile of dietary GL consumed more carbohydrates, sugar and starch, less protein and fat, more fiber and vitamin C, and less alcohol than did those in the lowest quartile. They were also younger and had a lower BMI, they were less highly educated and smoked less, and, especially men, were less likely to be hypertensive (data not shown).

During 233,697 person-years of follow-up, 581 CHD cases and 120 cases of stroke occurred among men, whereas 300 CHD cases and 109 stroke cases occurred among women.

GL was positively associated with the risk of CHD in men, with an HR of 1.12 (95% CI: 1.03–1.21) per SD increase, after adjusting for established CVD risk factors (model M2, Table 2), while no association was found in women (HR: 1.01; CI: 0.90–1.14). Including nutritional factors (model M3) slightly augmented the risk in men (HR: 1.17; CI: 1.02–1.35) and women, but the risk in women remained non-significant (HR: 0.91; CI: 0.89–1.03). Dietary GL was not associated with an increased stroke risk in men (HR: 1.12; CI: 0.89–1.66) or women (HR: 0.91; CI: 0.65–1.27), although the effect size in men was similar to that observed for CHD risk. After adjustment for CVD risk factors and nutrients, GI was related to stroke risk in men only (HR: 1.27; CI: 1.02–1.58; versus HR in women: 0.96; CI: 0.75–1.22), while no association was observed with CHD risk, neither in men nor women.

Total carbohydrate intake and starch intake were positively associated with CHD risk in men (Table 3), with HRs per SD increase of 1.23 (CI: 1.04–1.46) and 1.24 (CI: 1.07–1.45), respectively, but not in women. Sugar intake was associated with a slightly higher, although non-significant, risk of CHD in men. Inclusion of total and HDL cholesterol as potential intermediates in the model (model M4), attenuated the HRs for CHD in men (HRs were reduced 10–50%) while not reducing the HRs for stroke (Table 2 and 3).

Separating stroke subtypes, both GL and GI were positively associated with risk of ischemic stroke as well as hemorrhagic stroke in men, but the association was only statistically significant for GI and ischemic stroke risk (HR: 1.34; CI: 1.01, 1.80). No association between GL or GI and stroke subtypes was observed in women. However, the low number of cases (69 in total, 25 among men) precludes an accurate analysis of hemorrhagic stroke risk.

All interactions of GL or GI with sex, BMI (below and above 25 kg/m²), age (median split), or menopausal status were not statistically significant. Only the interaction between GI and age for men was borderline significant (p = 0.07). Subgroup analysis by age (median 43.1 years) showed a stronger association of GI with CHD risk in the younger men (1.27; CI: 0.98–1.64) than in older men (0.98; CI: 0.88–1.10). Similar results were found for GI with HRs of 1.45 (CI: 1.01–2.06) and 1.14 (CI: 0.98–1.33; interaction p-value 0.55) respectively. The opposite was observed among women with a negative, non-significant, association of GL and GI with CHD risk in the younger women (0.80; CI: 0.47–1.38 and 0.89; CI: 0.61–1.30, respectively), and a positive, non-significant, association of GI and GL with CHD risk in the older age group (1.13; CI: 0.91–1.40 and 1.12; CI: 0.95–1.31, respectively).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics* of the EPIC-MORGEN cohort According to Sex.

| Variable                      | Men      | Women     |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| N (n, [%])                    | 8855 [45.2] | 10753 [54.8] |
| Glycemic Load (g/d)           | 121.8 (21.0) | 125.2 (19.8) |
| Glycemic Index                | 55.4 (4.1) | 55.2 (3.6) |
| Age (yrs)                     | 43.0 (11.0) | 42.1 (11.3) |
| BMI (kg/m2)                   | 25.4 (3.5) | 24.7 (4.2) |
| Physical Activity (%)         |          |           |
| Active                        | 28.5     | 28.7      |
| Moderately Active             | 28.3     | 30.1      |
| Moderately Inactive           | 31.3     | 31.8      |
| Inactive                      | 12.0     | 9.5       |
| Smoking (%)                   |          |           |
| Never                         | 31.3     | 37.8      |
| Former                        | 30.9     | 27.3      |
| Current                       | 37.9     | 34.9      |
| Hypertension (%)              |          |           |
| Never                         | 31.1     | 31.8      |
| Current                       | 36.9     | 34.1      |
| Hypercholesterolemia (%)      | 18.7     | 8.5       |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l)    | 5.3 (1.1) | 5.3 (1.1) |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)      | 1.19 (0.30) | 1.51 (0.37) |
| Menopausal status (% post)    |          |           |
| OC use (%)                    | 84.7     | 84.7      |
| HRT use (%)                   | 12.0     | 12.0      |
| Dietary intake†               |          |           |
| Energy (kcal/d)               | 2603 (666) | 1984 (496) |
| Carbohydrates (g/d)           | 221.9 (30.1) | 226.2 (29.9) |
| Sugar (g/d)                   | 105.7 (29.1) | 111.7 (29.6) |
| Starch (g/d)                  | 115.4 (22.7) | 114.4 (23.1) |
| Protein (g/d)                 | 74.6 (10.2) | 74.4 (10.9) |
| Total Fat (g/d)               | 75.6 (10.5) | 78.2 (10.9) |
| Polyunsaturated Fat (g/d)     | 14.8 (3.7) | 15.2 (3.7) |
| Monounsaturated Fat (g/d)     | 29.1 (4.8) | 30.1 (5.1) |
| Saturated Fat (g/d)           | 31.0 (5.2) | 32.4 (5.5) |
| Dietary Fiber (g/d)           | 22.8 (5.0) | 22.8 (4.6) |
| Alcohol (g/d)                 | 10.4 (13.3) | 9.0 (15.4) |
| Dietary Vitamin C (mg/d)      | 92.4 (38.2) | 108.8 (43.5) |

*Mean (SD); †All nutritional variables were adjusted for total energy intake, except energy. BMI = body mass index; OC = oral contraceptives; HRT = hormone replacement therapy.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025955.t001

Glycemic Index and Cardiovascular Disease Risk
Sensitivity analyses, replacing BMI by waist-hip-ratio or waist circumference did not appreciably affect the results. Associations did not change after adjustment for menopausal status or HRT use, removing OC use from the multivariate model or after adjusting for menopausal status or HRT use (ever, never).

**Table 2. Glycemic Load, Glycemic Index, and the Risks of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke Among 8,855 Men and 10,753 Women**.

|           | CHD | Stroke |          |          |
|-----------|-----|--------|----------|----------|
|           | Men | Women  | Men      | Women    |
| Cases     | 581 | 300    | 120      | 109      |
| GL        |     |        |          |          |
| M1: age   | 1.01 (0.93–1.09) | 0.97 (0.86–1.08) | 0.99 (0.83–1.17) | 0.94 (0.78–1.14) |
| M2: M1 + CVD risk factors† | 1.12 (1.03–1.21) | 1.01 (0.90–1.14) | 1.06 (0.89–1.27) | 0.97 (0.80–1.18) |
| M3: M2 + nutrients‡ | 1.17 (1.02–1.35) | 1.09 (0.89–1.33) | 1.22 (0.89–1.66) | 0.91 (0.65–1.27) |
| M4: M3 + intermediates§ | 1.14 (0.99–1.32) | 1.05 (0.86–1.28) | 1.23 (0.90–1.69) | 0.90 (0.65–1.26) |
| GL        |     |        |          |          |
| M1: age   | 1.05 (0.97–1.13) | 1.08 (0.96–1.22) | 1.10 (0.92–1.30) | 1.07 (0.88–1.30) |
| M2: M1 + CVD risk factors† | 1.09 (1.01–1.17) | 1.03 (0.92–1.16) | 1.12 (0.95–1.32) | 1.02 (0.84–1.24) |
| M3: M2 + nutrients‡ | 1.03 (0.93–1.14) | 1.08 (0.93–1.25) | 1.27 (1.02–1.58) | 0.96 (0.75–1.22) |
| M4: M3 + intermediates§ | 1.02 (0.92–1.13) | 1.07 (0.92–1.24) | 1.27 (1.02–1.58) | 0.95 (0.75–1.22) |

*Adjusted Hazard Ratios (with 95% CI) per SD of GL (20.5) and GI (3.9).
†Adjusted for age, smoking (never, past, current), packyears, education (low, middle, high), BMI, physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active), hypertension (yes, no), and OC use (ever, never).
‡Additional adjustment for total energy, and energy-adjusted nutrients: alcohol (<10, 10–25, 25–50, >50 g/day), vitamin C, dietary fiber, and saturated, monounsaturated, and polysaturated fat. Model 3, additionally adjusted for plasma total cholesterol, and HDL-cholesterol.
§Models M3 and M4 for GI were also adjusted for energy-adjusted carbohydrate and protein intake. Among the 229 stroke cases, 115 cases of ischemic stroke (68 among men), and 69 (25 among men) cases of hemorrhagic stroke were recorded. GL correlated strongly with carbohydrate intake (Pearson r = 0.91), while GI did not (r < 0.35).
CHD = coronary heart disease; GL = dietary glycemic load; GI = dietary glycemic index; M = model; HDL = high-density lipoprotein.

**Table 3. Total Carbohydrate, Sugar, and Starch, and the Risks of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke Among 8,855 Men and 10,753 Women**.

|           | CHD | Stroke |          |          |
|-----------|-----|--------|----------|----------|
|           | Men | Women  | Men      | Women    |
| Cases     | 581 | 300    | 120      | 109      |
| Carbohydrates |     |        |          |          |
| M1: age   | 0.99 (0.92–1.08) | 0.93 (0.83–1.04) | 0.94 (0.79–1.12) | 0.90 (0.74–1.08) |
| M2: M1 + CVD risk factors† | 1.12 (1.03–1.21) | 1.00 (0.89–1.12) | 1.01 (0.84–1.12) | 0.94 (0.78–1.14) |
| M3: M2 + nutrients‡ | 1.23 (1.04–1.46) | 1.04 (0.82–1.33) | 1.01 (0.70–1.45) | 0.91 (0.61–1.35) |
| M4: M3 + intermediates§ | 1.20 (1.02–1.43) | 1.00 (0.79–1.28) | 1.02 (0.70–1.47) | 0.90 (0.60–1.34) |
| Sugar     |     |        |          |          |
| M1: age   | 0.96 (0.89–1.05) | 1.01 (0.90–1.14) | 0.96 (0.80–1.15) | 0.93 (0.77–1.12) |
| M2: M1 + CVD risk factors† | 1.03 (0.95–1.12) | 1.08 (0.97–1.21) | 0.99 (0.83–1.19) | 0.96 (0.80–1.16) |
| M3: M2 + nutrients‡ | 1.17 (0.99–1.38) | 1.10 (0.86–1.41) | 1.00 (0.70–1.44) | 0.96 (0.65–1.44) |
| M4: M3 + intermediates§ | 1.15 (0.97–1.36) | 1.05 (0.82–1.35) | 1.01 (0.70–1.46) | 0.95 (0.63–1.42) |
| Starch    |     |        |          |          |
| M1: age   | 1.03 (0.95–1.12) | 0.89 (0.79–1.00) | 0.97 (0.81–1.16) | 0.96 (0.79–1.16) |
| M2: M1 + CVD risk factors† | 1.09 (1.01–1.19) | 0.90 (0.80–1.01) | 1.02 (0.86–1.23) | 0.99 (0.82–1.19) |
| M3: M2 + nutrients‡ | 1.24 (1.07–1.45) | 0.94 (0.76–1.17) | 1.07 (0.76–1.50) | 0.88 (0.62–1.25) |
| M4: M3 + intermediates§ | 1.22 (1.04–1.42) | 0.92 (0.74–1.14) | 1.07 (0.76–1.51) | 0.87 (0.61–1.24) |

*Adjusted Hazard Ratios (with 95% CI) per SD of carbohydrates (30.1), sugar (29.5), and starch (22.9).
†Adjusted for age, smoking (never, past, current), packyears, education (low, middle, high), BMI, physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active), hypertension (yes, no), and OC use (ever, never).
‡Additional adjustment for total energy, and energy-adjusted nutrients: alcohol (<10, 10–25, 25–50, >50 g/day), vitamin C, dietary fiber, and saturated, monounsaturated. Model M3, additionally adjusted for plasma total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol. Models M3 and M4 for sugar and starch were mutually adjusted.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025955.t002
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025955.t003
Discussion

Our main finding is that in this Dutch cohort consuming a modest GL diet, a high dietary GL and GI, and high total carbohydrate and starch intake, were associated with an increased CVD risk in men. In men, GI was associated with an increased stroke risk, while GL, carbohydrate and starch intake were associated with an increased CHD risk. Among women, no significant associations were observed.

Apart from a recent Swedish cohort study showing no significant associations of GL and GI with CVD in women [34], most studies have suggested an association of dietary GI and GL with CVD risk for women and not for men [11–16,19,20]. Our results suggest the opposite with positive associations for GI and GL among men, but not women, although it should be noted that the interactions of GI and GL with sex were not statistically significant. These discrepancies may be explained by differences between the study populations. First, differences in diet and dietary contributors to GI and GL between the study populations may contribute to these results. Higher carbohydrate consumption and GL are observed in the American, Italian and Japanese studies [11,12,16,19,20] and particular foods like pasta and rice contribute more strongly to GL in these studies than ours. However, dietary differences are unlikely to fully explain our results because studies in Dutch and Swedish cohorts with similar GI and contributors to GL also show results opposite to those in the current study [13–15].

Second, there are differences in the general characteristics and risk factors for chronic disease between the study populations. CVD risk among women may be influenced by OC and HRT use, and modified by menopausal status. In addition, the strongest associations of GL and GI with CVD risk were generally observed among overweight women [11–13,16]. However, we could not detect an association with BMI, and adjustment for menopausal status, OC and HRT use did not influence our results. Finally, participants in our study were 20–66 years old (average 43 years) at baseline, considerably younger than in the other studies where average age is above 50 years. In a subgroup analysis comparing older and younger men, we observed a stronger association of GI and GI with CHD risk in the younger age group (average age 34 years) than in the older age group (average age 52 years), while our results suggest the reverse among women. Our results on the older age group are in reasonable agreement with the results found for similarly aged men and women in earlier studies. Thus, although the interaction with age did not reach statistical significance, it could potentially explain our results in comparison with previous studies. Moreover, the finding that younger men may be more sensitive to high GL and GI is an important message given the prevalence of obesity in adolescents and the role of nutrients contributing to high glycemic load in current dietary behavior in younger generations.

Our results are the first to show that dietary GI and GL are associated with an increased risk of CVD among men. Only in a small Finnish cohort study, a positive association of GI and GI with myocardial infarction risk among men was observed, but these associations were only significant among overweight or physically less active men [35]. In addition, a recent prospective cohort study indicated that replacing dietary saturated fatty acids with high-GI carbohydrates is associated with a higher risk of myocardial infarction particularly among men [36]. Altogether, our findings and the aforementioned studies suggest that high dietary GI and GL also increase risk of CVD among men. More observational studies are required to replicate our findings and to come to a final conclusion on the associations of dietary GI and GL with CVD risk among men and women.

In men, dietary GL increased CHD risk, while dietary GI increased stroke risk. However, the effect size of the association of dietary GL with stroke risk was similar to that of GI, but did not reach statistical significance (Table 2). So far, positive associations of GI or GI with stroke risk have only been observed among women, and a high stroke risk was either associated with GL [12,13] or GI [20]. The slightly different associations of GI and GL with stroke could to some extent be explained by different associations for stroke subtypes. Two previous studies [12,14] showed that a high dietary GL and carbohydrate intake were particularly associated with an increased risk of hemorrhagic stroke, but not ischemic stroke. Associations of dietary GI were similar for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. These studies suggest that a high carbohydrate intake and thus a high GL is predominantly associated with risk of hemorrhagic stroke. Since the vast majority of stroke cases are ischemic strokes, this may have attenuated the association of GI with stroke. Our data suggest that in men, the GI component of GI is responsible for the (non-significant) positive association of GI with stroke risk, while the carbohydrate component of GL appears to be responsible for the increased CHD risk. Up to now, associations of carbohydrate intake and CVD risk have not been reported for men. Contradictory results were obtained for women, with either no associations found [11,13,20], or positive associations [12,19]. Our data suggest that total carbohydrate, starch, and GL, are equally strong predictors for CHD risk in men, whereas only GI and GL are predictors for stroke risk.

Randomized trials have shown that low-GI and low-GL diets affect plasma concentrations of LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, total cholesterol, triglycerides and markers of inflammation and thrombosis, as well as insulin resistance, in ways that would be expected to reduce CVD risk [37–42]. The importance of lipid intermediates in determining CVD risk was also reflected in our analyses showing that the association between carbohydrate determinants and CHD risk was reduced by including plasma total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol in the multivariate models. In contrast, associations with stroke risk were not reduced. Associations of CVD risk factors, such as hyperlipidemia and hypertension, with CHD and stroke risk have been shown to differ [43–45], and may be explained by etiological differences between CHD and stroke.

A strength of our study is its prospective design and large sample size. Residual confounding can not be excluded, but is made less likely by the large number of risk factors that we adjusted for. Misclassification of dietary exposure is a valid concern in studies that rely on self-report. Moreover, the FFQ was not specifically designed to measure dietary GL and GI. However, the Dutch EPIC FFQ has been validated showing good agreement with 24-h recalls for most food groups as well as for dietary GI and GL [24–26]. A previous study showed that underreporting influenced associations of dietary GI and GL with risk of diabetes [46]. Although, a broad exclusion of potential energy-underscorers did not influence these associations in the current study, it did result in a positive association of GI or GI with CVD risk in women. There has recently been some criticism with respect to the reliability and individual variability of GI [47]. Overall, GI appears to be a valid predictor of the glycemic response, also to mixed meals [48,49]. Even though not every food with a low GI may be equally beneficial, GI represents a useful functional property that can help guide dietary choices that should also take total available and unavailable carbohydrate consumption into account [50].

To our knowledge, this is the first study to show that, also among men, dietary GI and GL may be associated with an
increased CVD risk, but these findings need to be further replicated. Dietary GL, and carbohydrate and starch intake were associated with increased CHD risk, while dietary GI was associated with increased stroke risk in men. No associations were observed for women. Also considering earlier studies carried out in more aged study populations, dietary GI and GI emerge as potentially important determinants of CVD risk for both men and women. Notably, increments of 1 SD in dietary GI, GI, and carbohydrate were shown to be achievable in practice[51]. Therefore, reducing dietary GI and GI should be part of the nutritional advice for a healthy lifestyle.
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