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Abstract

To illuminate the role of the spatial organization of the epidermal growth factor receptor (ErbB1) in signal transduction quantitative information about the receptor topography on the cell surface, ideally on living cells and in real time, are required. We demonstrate that plasmon coupling microscopy (PCM) enables to detect, size, and track individual membrane domains enriched in ErbB1 with high temporal resolution. We used a dendrimer enhanced labeling strategy to label ErbB1 receptors on epidermoid carcinoma cells (A431) with 60 nm Au nanoparticle (NP) immunolabels under physiological conditions at 37°C. The statistical analysis of the spatial NP distribution on the cell surface in the scanning electron microscope (SEM) confirmed a clustering of the NP labels consistent with a heterogeneous distribution of ErbB1 in the plasma membrane. Spectral shifts in the scattering response of clustered NPs facilitated the detection and sizing of individual NP clusters on living cells in solution in an optical microscope. We tracked the lateral diffusion of individual clusters at a frame rate of 200 frames/s while simultaneously monitoring the configurational dynamics of the clusters. Structural information about the NP clusters in their membrane confinements were obtained through analysis of the electromagnetic coupling of the co-confined NP labels through polarization resolved PCM. Our studies show that the ErbB1 receptor is enriched in membrane domains with typical diameters in the range between 60–250 nm. These membrane domains exhibit a slow lateral diffusion with a diffusion coefficient of $D = 0.0054 \pm 0.0064 \mu m^2/s$, which is almost an order of magnitude slower than the mean diffusion coefficient of individual NP tagged ErbB1 receptors under identical conditions.

Introduction

The signaling activity of members of the epidermal growth factor receptor family, which comprises the receptors ErbB1-4 [1,2], does not only depend on the association of the receptors into discrete molecular species, such as dimers [3,4] and potentially higher order oligomers [5–7], but also on the self-organization of the receptors on longer (i.e. tens to hundreds of nanometer) spatial length scales [8]. The enrichment of the receptors in “micro-domains” [9–11] or “nanoclusters” [12,13] is anticipated to influence the dynamic equilibrium between the receptors and receptor assemblies [14–17]. Although the exact relationship between the topography of the ErbB receptor enrichment and the signaling activity is not accurately understood, it is clear that the geometric size, shape, and number of receptors of individual signaling domains determine the local receptor density. The latter will influence the receptor collision rate and could, therefore, have direct implications for the signaling activity, for instance, by shifting the local receptor association levels.

Different mechanisms can contribute to a heterogeneous distribution of ErbB receptors on the cell surface. It is conceivable that the transmembrane receptors become trapped in membrane compartments formed by actin [18,19] or other non-actin (e.g. spectrin) [20–24] components of the cytostatic skeleton. It has also been reported that the ErbB family members are enriched in spontaneously formed membrane compartments (“lipid rafts”) [25–27] that are the result of a dynamic self-organization of the membrane lipids. Finally, direct protein-protein interaction could stabilize extended ErbB aggregates formed in areas of high local ErbB concentration [20,28–30]. It is possible that all of these effects contribute to the structuring of the spatial ErbB distribution, albeit on different length scales, and – unless otherwise noted - we refer in this manuscript to local enrichments in the ErbB concentration, independent of the exact formation mechanism, as “domains”.

The organization of ErbB receptor into signaling domains and transient fluctuations as well as systematic changes in the domain size and structure, for instance, in response to ligand addition, are very challenging to include in a rational analysis of signaling activity. The latter is primarily due to experimental difficulties associated with quantifying the structure, size, and spatial distribution of ErbB domains in living cells. The method of choice for characterizing the spatial distribution of individual components in living cells is light microscopy whose resolution limit ($d$) is defined by the wave nature of light. In conventional microscopy the resolution limit is given by $d = \frac{\lambda}{2n_i \sin \alpha}$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the light, $n_i$ is the index of refraction of the ambient medium, and $\alpha$ is the angle of incidence. In the visible range of the
membranes of living cells. Perturbation of the intricate cell membrane through chemical membrane domains provides detailed structural information as monitors the configurational dynamics of the NP clusters within the and shape of the confinement, and we show that the ability to look “inside” the domains. The average separation of multiple separations and makes the analysis of the long-range organization of ErbBs difficult [32]. Superresolution fluorescence “nanoscopies” [33–35] can bridge the gap between the spatial FRET barrier and the diffraction limit, but both FRET and fluorescence nanoscopies suffer from the limited photostability and low signal intensities of organic dyes which limits the maximum observation time and localization precision at high temporal resolution. The characterization of the structural dynamics of laterally diffusing ErbB signaling domains remains, consequently, challenging, and important questions regarding the time-dependent structural organization of ErbB receptors remain to be addressed.

We have recently developed an alternative, non fluorescence based, approach for monitoring sub-diffraction limit separations in the optical microscope, called plasmon coupling microscopy (PCM) [36–38]. This method utilizes the distance dependent near-field coupling between noble metal nanoparticle (NP) labels to resolve close contacts on the length scale of approximately one NP diameter. PCM detects near-field interactions between discrete NPs in the far-field either as a spectral shift in the localized surface plasmon (LSP) resonance (LSPR) [39–41] or through changes in the polarization of the scattered light [36,38]. Plasmon coupling based imaging modalities have been successfully applied by us [37,42,43] and others [44–46] to characterize the spatial distribution of NP immunolabels on cellular surfaces.

The use of NPs as labels in biological imaging benefits from the strong elastic scattering response [47,48] that accompanies a resonant excitation of LSPs in metal NPs with diameters >20 nm. Since the NP label signal is based on scattering, NPs don’t blink or bleach, which makes them attractive labels for high speed optical tracking with high localization precision [49–52]. The tracking of individual NP labeled receptors or lipids with high temporal resolution has already provided detailed insight into the structure of the plasma membrane in the past [19,53–55]. In this work we augment the beneficial attributes of conventional NP tracking with the ability to resolve sub-diffraction limit contacts between NP immunolabels in PCM not only to detect and track membrane domains containing multiple NP labeled ErbB1 receptors but also to look “inside” the domains. The average separation of multiple NPs co-confined in one membrane domain depends on the size and shape of the confinement, and we show that the ability to monitor the configurational dynamics of the NP clusters within the membrane domains provides detailed structural information as function of time and location on the cell surface. To avoid any perturbation of the intricate cell membrane through chemical fixation, we performed our optical PCM studies on native membranes of living cells.

Results and Discussion

Optimization of NP Binding Affinity on Living Cells

Our rational for using plasmon coupling to localize plasma membrane domains enriched in ErbB1 receptors on epidermoid carcinoma cells (A431) is that areas of high local receptor concentration exhibit a higher binding affinity for immunolabels than adjacent areas with lower receptor concentration [11,12]. Consequently, ErbB1 enriched membrane domains are expected to induce a local clustering of NPs, which is amenable to detection through PCM, provided that the NPs approach each other to distances below approximately one particle diameter. This experimental strategy requires the labeling of the receptors with Au NP immunolabels as a first step, which is not without complications. The glycocalyx coat [56,57] on mammalian cells and the decrease in the structural flexibility that accompanies a tethering of binding chemistries (antibodies etc.) to NPs both interfere with the efficiency of the labeling. This is especially true for live cell studies, in which extensive washing and incubation times are not permissible.

In this work we limited the incubation time of the cells with the immunolabels to 10 minutes to avoid a background from endocytosed NPs [58,59]. To achieve sufficient labeling under these difficult conditions we devised a labeling strategy that incorporates functionalized dendrimers as spacer between the target receptor in the cell surface and the NP label (Figure 1A). In this scheme biotinylated dendrimers linked to an anti-ErbB1 antibody are first bound to the receptors on the cell surface to create biotin binding sites. In the second step, Au NPs covalently functionalized with anti-biotin antibodies are then targeted at the created biotin binding sites. One important advantage of this labeling strategy is that the highly branched dendrimers introduce multiple biotins per ErbB1 receptor. This amplification of the number of binding sites is expected to increase the colloidal binding affinity [43].

Figure 1B gives details regarding the chemical functionalization of the dendrimers used in this work (also see Methods section). Some of the terminal amines of Poly(amine amine) (PAMAM) dendrimers are partially reacted with N-Hydroxysuccinimide-polyethylene glycol-maleimide (NHS-PEG-maleimide) crosslinkers; the remaining amines are subsequently cross-linked to NHS-biotin. The average maleimide/biotin ratio of the dendrimers used in this work was determined as 1/2 by mass spectrometry. The maleimide group forms stable thioether linkages with the thiol groups in cysteines and facilitates, thus, the crosslinking of the dendrimers with anti-ErbB1 antibodies.

Figure 1C illustrates the labeling strategy applied for the Au NPs. We used 60 nm Au NPs as labels in this work as they are bright probes that facilitate high temporal resolutions in optical tracking studies with sufficient contrast. Abulrob et al. reported the size of ErbB1 clusters on the cell surface of epithelial cells to lie between 50 and 300 nm with an average diameter of 150±60 nm [9]. Based on these previous observations, we anticipate that 60 nm NPs can efficiently cluster in ErbB1 enriched membrane domains. The NPs labels were functionalized with thiol-polyethyylene glycol (PEG)-azide molecules with a molecular weight of 3400 Da. The PEGs enable to covalently bind antibodies to the NP surface and, at the same time, sterically stabilize the NPs against agglomeration in physiological buffers. After pegylation, the NPs are almost charge-neutral (the zeta-potential of the NPs at pH 7.2 is −3 mV), but remain dispersed and do not aggregate in Hanks buffer supplemented with 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2. The azide groups introduced through the PEGs are subsequently used to covalently bind anti-biotin antibodies modified with propargyl resiues via a copper catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (see Methods section) [60].

We tested the stability of the resulting anti-biotin antibody functionalized NPs under our experimental conditions. To that end, we incubated the nanoparticles with A431 cells in Hanks
buffer supplemented with HEPES for 10 minutes and then recovered the NPs. Figures 2A and B show the UV-Vis spectra and size distributions determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) for the NPs before and after incubation. The UV-Vis and DLS data for these two conditions superimpose, confirming that the NPs are stable. This finding is corroborated by an inspection of the NPs recovered after incubation with the cells in the scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Figure 2C). We tested for a systematic association of the NPs using the Hopkins test (see Methods). The Hopkins statistics \( H \) can assume values between 0 and 1. A random NP distribution leads to an average \( H \) value of 0.5, whereas a systematic clustering shifts \( H \) to higher values. The \( H \) distribution calculated for the NPs in Figure 2C is shown as inset. It reproduces a random distribution (red curve) centered at around 0.5, confirming that the NP are randomly distributed and not clustered. The aggregation statistics for a total of 3476 NPs in Figure 2D also shows that the overwhelmingly majority of the NPs are monomeric and that the self-association of the NP immunolabels used in this work is negligible. In the histogram shown in Figure 2D dimers and higher oligomers are defined as NP congregations that contain two or more particles with separations below one particle diameter.

Analysis of the Spatial Distribution of NPs Bound to Cell-Surface ErbB1 in the SEM

We compared the NP density on the cellular surface achieved through the dendrimer mediated receptor labeling strategy with that obtained through direct targeting of the receptor with anti-ErbB1 functionalized NPs. Both immunolabels were synthesized using the same ratio of antibodies/NPs, and the cells were incubated with identical concentrations of NPs as determined by the optical density (OD) of the samples (approx. \( 5 \times 10^{10} \) particles/mL) for 10 minutes. The cells were subsequently washed with copious amounts of Hanks buffer, fixed, and prepared for inspection in the SEM to determine the average NP cell surface density (see Methods). The histogram in Figure 3A shows the NP densities obtained with both labeling approaches. The dendrimer approach achieves a \( \sim 4 \) times higher NP density on the cellular surface than the alternative “direct” labeling strategy based on anti-ErbB1 functionalized NPs. Control experiments performed with an excess of free competing antibody (anti-biotin, anti-ErbB1, respectively) showed only negligible binding for both labeling strategies, confirming that the observed binding in both cases was ErbB1 specific. We also included the NP density obtained with biotinylated secondary Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies instead of the dendrimers in Figure 3A. The average labeling density obtained with the secondary antibody strategy was lower compared to the dendrimer enhanced labeling by a factor of 1/3. We attribute the observed enhancement in labeling efficiency observed for the dendrimer strategy to the increased configurational flexibility that results from the additional spacers (dendrimer-antibody construct) and to the amplification of available binding sites on the surface through the creation of multiple biotins. The dendrimer enhanced binding scheme achieves an average NP density of approx. 1.8 NPs/\( \mu \)m\(^2\) within an incubation time of 10 min. The excerpt from an SEM image of the labeled ErbB1.
plasma membrane in Figure 3B illustrates that already at these densities the NPs are frequently organized into dimers or higher order oligomers. We confirmed the apparent clustering of the NPs on the cell surface through application of the Hopkins test (see Methods). The distribution of the calculated $H$ values of the entire SEM micrograph (334 NPs) for Figure 3B (blue) is clearly shifted with regard to the random distribution (red), confirming that the NPs are clustered on the cell surface. We randomly checked ~20 SEM micrographs of cellular surfaces, all of which indicated a significant clustering of the NP immunolabels.

Figure 2. Stability of anti-biotin functionalized NPs. A. UV-Vis spectra of 60 nm NPs functionalized with anti-biotin before and after incubation for 10 min with A431 cells in Hanks buffer with 10 mM HEPES pH 7.2. B. Size distribution as determined by dynamic light scattering. C. SEM image of surface immobilized NPs on a BSA-biotin functionalized glass substrate after incubation with cells. The inset shows the Hopkins statistics for the field of view. D. Histogram of the NP association levels after incubation with the cells. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034175.g002

Figure 3. Clustering of NP immunolabels targeted at ErbB1. A. Comparison of immunolabel densities obtained with different labeling strategies: dendrimer enhanced labeling (red), secondary antibody assisted labeling (olive), direct labeling (blue). Controls (dendrimer enhanced labeling in the presence of excess antibodies, see text) are included in magenta. B. Part of an SEM image of a labeled cell surface (dendrimer enhanced strategy). The Hopkins statistics for the full image in the inset shows that the NP distribution is not random but that the NPs show clustering. C. Histogram of the NP cluster sizes on the cell surface. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034175.g003
The stability of the NPs in solution (Figure 2) and the fact that the NPs in the clusters in Figure 3B are often separated by a visible gap exclude non-specific NP aggregation as cause for the observed NP clustering on the cell surface. Instead, we attribute the observed NP clustering to a heterogeneous ErbB1 topography in the cell surface. The NP clustering is consistent with a preferential enrichment of ErbB1 in signaling domains [61–63] that show higher NP binding affinities than surrounding areas. We analyzed the cluster size distribution for a total of 6303 NPs from 5 independent labeling experiments and found that 20.7% of the NPs were organized into dimers, 8.1% into trimers and 5.3% into larger clusters (Figure 3C). These data indicate an ErbB1 domain size distribution between ~60 and ~250 nm, with an average domain size of ~110 nm.

While the SEM images in Figure 3B provide detailed information about the clustering of the NPs at one specific point of time, they provide no information about the lateral diffusion or structural dynamics of the targeted ErbB1 membrane domains. Sample inspection in the SEM requires a fixation and dehydration of the sample and is not compatible with dynamic tracking studies. PCM, on the other hand, facilitates the detection and approximate sizing of discrete NP clusters in the optical microscope [42]. PCM also enables to track the correlated lateral diffusion of optically localized NPs and to simultaneously monitor the configurational dynamics of the NPs within the clusters [36]. Since PCM is an optical microscopy, these studies can be performed with living cells under physiological conditions at 37°C.

**Monitoring the Location, Size, and Structural Dynamics of Individual NP Clusters through PCM**

A clustering of NP immunolabels due to co-confinement of multiple NPs in one membrane domain is accompanied by a hybridization of the LSPRs of the individual NPs. The resulting red-shift and broadening of the collective plasmon resonance facilitates a detection of ErbB1 membrane domains through NP clustering in the optical microscope. The scattering spectra, the total scattering cross-sections, and the polarization properties of NP clusters depend sensitively on the exact arrangement and/or configurational changes of the clusters. Another advantageous characteristic of PCM is that even large changes in the refractive index of the ambient medium only lead to relatively moderate changes in P [38]. This robustness of P against refractive index fluctuations is a plus for plasmon coupling based imaging applications in complex environments.

We focused on our experiments on tracking isolated, individual clusters. Despite the low NP labeling density we cannot exclude a priori that in some cases non-coupled NPs located in the vicinity of the clusters (within a distance below our experimental resolution) contribute to the detected signal. Non-interacting, spherical NPs provide, however, a constant contribution to P and I1, and do not interfere with the fluctuations in P and I1 due to orientational and/or configurational changes of the clusters. A diffusion trajectory and the corresponding P(t) and I1(t) values for a representative cluster are shown in Figure 4A and 4B. During our observation time of t = 15 s the tracked NP cluster in Figure 4 does not dissociate. A synchronized diffusion of individual NPs over this extended period of time requires a stabilization of the cluster either by direct attractive interactions between the NPs or by confinement of the NPs to a membrane domain with a high structural integrity, for instance, a membrane “corral” [22]. The total scattering intensity I1 of the tracked NP cluster (Figure 4B) shows fluctuations as function of time indicative of significant changes in the separation between the NPs of the cluster. A continuous reconfiguration of the cluster structure during its diffusion across the cell surface requires some flexibility in the separations of the clusters within the clusters. The observed behavior indicates a hindered diffusion of the NPs within the confined space of a membrane domain that has slightly larger dimensions than the NP cluster. The observed translation of the NP cluster is then the result of an effective lateral diffusion of the confining membrane domain. This interpretation is also consistent with our control experiments (Figure 2), which have shown that the NPs are stable and show negligible tendency for self-association.

We marked the high and low total intensity (I1) levels in Figure 4B pink and olive, respectively. A closer analysis of the correlation of P and I1 reveals that low I1 values coincide with higher values of the absolute reduced polarization dichroism (|P|) than the high I1 values. In Figure 5 we plot |P| for the high (pink) and low (olive) I1 values as function of time. The time-averaged absolute P values (|P|) for the high (|P| = 0.044) and low (|P| = 0.233) I1 values are included as dashed lines. A sharp value distribution close to 0 for the high I1 level indicates that the light polarization becomes random on our acquisition time scale due to a fast rotational motion (or configurational restructuring) of this cluster. We attribute the remaining low net polarization to a slight polarization of the excitation light in the darkfield optics. In contrast, the |P| values in the low I1 intensity configuration are broadly distributed across the interval |P| = [0–0.6] (Figure 5), which indicates that the cluster gets transiently trapped in many different configurations and/or orientations on the surface. Together these observations suggest that the NP cluster in the confinement fluctuates between one or several compact configurations with high rotational mobility and bulkier configurations with hindered rotational mobility that remain fixed in space for sufficiently long periods of time to induce a measurable polarizations of the collected light.
The configurational dynamics of the clusters can be further quantified through calculation of the power spectral density (PSD) of the $P$ trajectory. Any displacement of the NPs within one cluster relative to each other leads to time-dependent fluctuations in the interparticle separations as well as geometric configuration and, thus, contributes to the “noise” in the $P$ trajectory. We calculated the PSD of the $P$ trajectory shown in Figure 4B. The PSD (Figure 6A) falls off as $1/f^{1.3}$, which is slower than expected for Brownian noise ($1/f^2$), and is, therefore, consistent with a constrained diffusion within a laterally diffusing domain. For comparison we show the PSD of $P$ for a single immobilized NP cluster in Figure 6B. Due to the absence of any configurational dynamics, the PSD of an individual, immobilized NP cluster is dominated by electronic noise. Consequently, the PSD is flat across the monitored frequency range as expected for white noise.

Both the time-domain and frequency-domain PCM data indicate that the NPs are electromagnetically coupled due to the confinement of multiple NPs to a membrane area that is of similar size as the total integrated physical cross-section of the NP cluster. The latter can be approximated through comparison of the average scattering intensity of the NP cluster with that of individual 60 nm Au NPs. For the cluster in Figure 4 we find that the cluster comprises 2–3 individual NPs, and we conclude that the membrane domain that accommodates the NP cluster has an approximate diameter between 120–180 nm. The comparison of the cluster intensity with that of individual NPs somewhat overestimates the size of the clusters since it does not take into account the increase in scattering intensity due to plasmon coupling in the cluster. These effects can be accounted for in a more complex data analysis [42], but for most practical applications an approximate sizing based on the scattering intensity will be sufficient.

Although the diffusion of the NPs comprising the cluster shown in Figure 4 are clearly hindered, the seemingly randomly occurring large amplitude $I_{\text{tot}}$ and $P$ fluctuations are evidence of some residual mobility of the NPs within the confining domain. Other clusters showed a significant lower degree of structural flexibility. This is exemplified in Figure 7 where we plot the calculated $P$ values of another NP cluster as function of location and time. Based on the average scattering intensity, we estimate that this cluster comprises 3–4 NPs, corresponding to an approximate size of the confinement between 180–240 nm. The $P$ values of this cluster are predominantly negative for the first part of the trajectory but at $t=8.1$ s the $P$ values abruptly shift to positive values and remain positive for most of the remaining observation time. This behavior indicates a confined NP cluster with strongly constricted structural flexibility for $t<8.1$ s and $t>8.1$ s.

**Figure 4.** Monitoring the configurational dynamics of laterally diffusing NP clusters through PCM. A. Diffusion trajectory of a NP cluster on the cell surface. B. Reduced polarization dichroism ($P$, red) and total intensity ($I_{\text{tot}}$, blue) of the light scattered off the diffusing cluster as function of time. We indicated high (pink) and low (olive) intensity levels in the $I_{\text{tot}}$ trajectory. The large fluctuations in $I_{\text{tot}}$ and $P$ are characteristic of a rich configurational dynamics in which the NPs of the cluster change their separation and geometric arrangement.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034175.g004

**Figure 5.** Correlation of $|P|$ with high and low intensity ($I_{\text{tot}}$) configurations. The $|P|$ values for the high $I_{\text{tot}}$ configuration for the cluster from Figure 4 are plotted in pink, the $|P|$ values for the low $I_{\text{tot}}$ configuration are plotted in olive.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034175.g005
The systematic shift in \( \mathcal{P} \) at \( t=8.1 \) s marks the transition from one stable into a second stable NP cluster configuration and could be the result of a morphological change of the underlying membrane structure which patterns the NP clusters. A slow lateral domain diffusion, as is evident from the translation of the NP clusters in Figures 4A & 7, has been associated with the dynamic restructuring of the membrane supporting cytoskeleton before [18]. To investigate the effective diffusion of entire membrane domains in more detail, we will in the next section quantitate the correlated diffusion of entire NP clusters.

**Analysis of the Lateral Diffusion of NP Labeled Membrane Domains**

PCM provides all the information available from conventional NP tracking and lends itself naturally to quantifying the lateral diffusion of the NP clusters. The experimental mean diffusion coefficient \( \langle D \rangle \) for individual NPs was determined as \( \langle D \rangle = [0.048 \pm 0.065] \mu m^2/s \) (measured at a temporal resolution of 200 Hz). This \( \langle D \rangle \) value is in good agreement with previous ErbB1 tracking studies [16,28,70–72], and it does not significantly decrease if it is evaluated at lower frame rates (e.g., \( \langle D \rangle_{20Hz} = [0.040 \pm 0.066] \mu m^2/s \)). The distribution of the diffusion coefficient \( D \) values for individual NPs in our study is, however, very broad (Figure 8). *A priori*, we cannot exclude that the tail of the distribution at low \( D \) values results from receptor crosslinking through multivalent NPs. Although the obtained \( D \) value for individual NPs might underestimate the dynamics of individual receptors, a comparison of the NP and NP cluster \( D \) value distributions, which are both included in Figure 8, unambiguously shows that the diffusion coefficient distribution of NP clusters is systematically shifted to lower \( D \) values. This analysis confirms that NP clusters diffuse significantly slower than individual NPs. We obtained a \( D \) value for 15 tracked clusters of \( D = [0.0054 \pm 0.0064] \mu m^2/s \).

Consistent with this overall shift in diffusion coefficients, both of the clusters shown in Figures 4 and 7 exhibit significantly lower diffusion coefficients than the individual NP labeled ErbB1 receptors. The cluster shown in Figure 4, which comprises 2–3 NPs and the cluster in Figure 7 with 3–4 NPs show almost...
 identical $D$ values of $7 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu m^2/s$. We ascribed the formation of NP clusters at overall low NP labeling densities to the existence of high affinity binding sites in ErbB1 enriched membrane domains. The observed slow lateral diffusion of these membrane domains is consistent with previous studies by Andrews et al. [19], in which the authors showed that a dynamic reorganization of the cytoskeleton network on the time scale of seconds to tens of seconds leads to an effective lateral diffusion of the enclosed membrane meshwork. While the work by Andrews et al. focused on the diffusion of the high affinity IgE receptor (FcεR1) in micron sized membrane compartments defined by the actin network of the cytoskeleton, the ErbB1 enriched domains detected by NP clustering in this work are sub-micron. With typical diameters between 0.1–0.3 μm the detected stable ErbB1 domains are more similar in size to the coronas in the meshwork formed by non-actin based components of the cytoskeleton, such as spectrin [23,73]. Our PCM studies indicate a continuous restructuring of the tracked ErbB1 enriched membrane domains on the second to tens of seconds time scale, resulting in an ErbB1 distribution on the cell surface that is heterogeneous in both space and time.

Conclusions

We have applied a dendrimer amplified binding strategy to label unliganded ErbB1 receptors on the surface of living A431 cells. Inspection of these samples in the SEM revealed that already under relatively low labeling levels (nanoparticle density $\approx 1.8$ NPs/μm$^2$), the NPs are substantially associated into oligomers on the cell surface. We found that the NP cluster sizes range from ~60 to ~250 nm with an average domain size of ~110 nm. The observation of NP clustering, together with the fact that under identical experimental conditions no agglomeration of the NPs in solution was observed, confirms that the NP clustering is the result of a heterogeneous distribution of ErbB1 density on the cell surface. Au NPs are multimodal probes that can be imaged in the optical microscope. We applied polarization resolved plasmon coupling microscopy (PCM) to detect NP clusters and to characterize the structural dynamics of the NPs in their membrane confinement with a frame rate of 200 frames/s. The obtained information about the relative mobility of the NPs within their confinements and the total scattering intensity of the co-localized NPs facilitated an approximate sizing of individual membrane domains. We tracked individual NP cluster containing domains and found that the ErbB1 enriched domains show a lateral diffusion $D = [0.0054 \pm 0.0064] \, \mu m^2/s$, which is nearly one order of magnitude slower than that of individual NP labeled ErbB1 receptors ($D = [0.048 \pm 0.065] \, \mu m^2/s$). The local enrichment of ErbB1 in sub-micron confinements and the slow effective diffusion of these domains are consistent with a patterning of the ErbB1 density on the tens of nanometer length scale by continuously restructuring plasma membrane domains. The spatial distribution of the ErbB1 density (and of other transmembrane receptors) plays a potentially important role in coordinating and controlling cell signaling. We have demonstrated that PCM enables to visualize ErbB1 clustering in native plasma membranes of living cells and that it provides insight into the lateral dynamics of individual ErbB1 membrane domains.

Materials and Methods

Materials

We used the following materials without purification: 60 nm Au colloids (Ted Pella); thiol-polyethylene glycol-azide (N$_3$-(CH$_3$CH$_2$O)$_7$-CH$_2$CH$_2$SH, MW: 3400 Da) (NANOCs Inc); propargyl dPEG-NHS ester (Quanta BioDesign); monoclonal anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibody (199.12) (Lab Vision); anti-biotin affinity isolated antigen specific antibody (Sigma); PAMAM dendrimer, ethylenediamine core, generation 1.0 (Aldrich); biotin N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (biotin-NHS) (Sigma); NHS-PEG$_2$-Maleimide (Thermo Scientific); l-ascorbic acid (Aldrich); copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate (Aldrich); triethylamine (Sigma-Aldrich); We used Zebu$^{TM}$ spin desalting columns (7 K MWCO) from Thermo Scientific.

Synthesis and Characterization of Dendrimer Construct

PAMAM G-1 dendrimers were dissolved in DMF at a concentration of 7 mM, and triethylamine (0.01 mM) and NHS-(EG)$_2$-Maleimide (10 mM) were added to the dendrimer with mixing. After 2 h incubation at room temperature, biotin-NHS (50 mM) were then added to the reaction and incubated for 2 h. Tris buffer was added to quench the reaction. 1 μL of this maleimide-dendrimer-biotin construct was then incubated overnight at 4°C with 500 μL 200 mM Anti-ErbB1 in the imaging buffer (Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS) and 10 mM HEPES pH 7.2). Then the excess maleimide-dendrimer-biotin was removed using a size-exclusion column (MWCO: 7 K).

The obtained biotin-dendrimer-maleimide construct was diluted in 400 μL DI water for characterization by mass spectrometry on a Waters QToF (hybrid quadrupolar/time-of-flight) API US system by electrospray (ESI) in the positive mode. ESI-MS: 1114.93 (biotin$_2$-dendrimer-maleimide$_2^{1+}$, calcd 1114.99); 1125.26 (biotin$_2$-dendrimer-maleimide$_2^{2+}$, calcd 1125.66); 836.22 (biotin$_2$-dendrimer-maleimide$_2^{3+}$, calcd 836.02).

Nanoparticle Functionalization

The anti-ErbB1 and anti-biotin conjugated Au NPs were functionalized as follows: 5 μL thiol-PEG-azide (10 mM) were incubated with 60 nm Au NPs (2.6 x 10$^{10}$ particles/mL) overnight at ambient temperature. The PEGylated Au NPs were then purified though repeated centrifugation (2500 rpm, 3 x) and resuspension in DI water (18.2 MQ). The final volume of the NP solution was 20 μL. 2 μL of propargyl-PEG-NHS ester solution (100 mg/mL in DMSO) was added to 100 μL 1 mg/mL biotin antibody or ErbB1 antibody PBS solution (pH 7.2), respectively. The reaction was carried out in an ice bath for 6 h. Then the excess propargyl-PEG-NHS was removed using a size-exclusion column (MWCO: 7 K).

200 μL of 0.25 mg/mL functionalized antibody were then incubated overnight at 4°C with PEGylated Au NPs. This reaction was catalyzed by 20 nmol copper (II) sulfate and 100 nmol ascorbic acid. The final antibody-Au NP conjugates were washed three times. The cleaned immunolabels were re-suspended in the imaging buffer to a final concentration of 5 x 10$^{10}$ particles/mL.

Cell Culturing

A431 cells (ATCC) were cultured in the advanced Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 50 units/ml penicillin, 50 μg/ml streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine at 37°C in a humidified, 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere. For immunolabeling and darkfield imaging the cells were grown on glass coverslips to approximately 40% confluency. The cells used for SEM imaging were seeded and grown on 1 x 1 cm silicon chips under the same culturing conditions.

Immunolabeling and Darkfield Microscopy

In the dendrimer mediated labeling strategy the antiErbB1-dendrimer-biotin constructs were incubated with A431 cells in a home-made glass flow-chamber at 37°C for 10 minutes. After
rinsing the chamber with imaging buffer, the anti-biotin antibody functionalized NPs were incubated with cells for additional 10 minutes at 37 °C. All live cell imaging experiments were carried on an inverted darkfield microscope (Olympus IX71) equipped with a cage incubator. The sample was illuminated by Xenon white light through a NA 1.2–1.4 oil darkfield condenser. The scattered light was collected by an oil-immersed 60× (numerical aperture, NA = 0.65) objective, magnified by an additional factor of 1.6 × and split into two orthogonal light channels through a polarizing beam splitter. The two beams with orthogonal polarization were reimaged on two electron multiplying charge coupled devices (EMCCDs). We used Andor IxonEM+ detectors with a maximum detection area of 120×120 pixels and a pixel size of 30 μm×30 μm. All tracking experiments were performed with frame rates of 200 frames/s.

Particle Tracking and Trajectory Analysis

The locations of the NPs and NP clusters on the two orthogonal polarization channels were obtained by fitting their point-spread-functions (PSFs) to two-dimensional Gaussians. At frame rates of 200 Hz, the location precision was σ = 33 nm on both channels. The individual scatterers were independently tracked on the two polarization channels and the integrated intensities of their PSFs were used to calculate the reduced polarization dichroism (P) in each frame. The diffusion coefficients (D) of individual scatterers were calculated from the trajectories recorded on one of the polarization channels.

The D values of individual scatterers were determined by fitting the mean square displacement (MSD) versus time lag (t) relationship by a linear fit of the form MSD(t) = 4Dt + β, where the optimal number of MSD points used was determined as a function of localization accuracy, diffusion coefficient and other experimental parameters, as previously described [101]. The values and errors of mean diffusion coefficients (D) are given as “mean ± standard deviation” throughout the text.

Nanoparticle Surface Density Calculations

Particle number and locations were determined by home-written Matlab codes that find local maxima in the individual SEM images. Particle surface densities were then averaged over membrane areas of approximately 20×14 μm².

Spatial Clustering Analysis Using Hopkins Statistics

We chose the Hopkins Statistics as a quantitative measure to test the Complete Spatial Randomness (CSR) hypothesis by comparing the nearest neighbor distance distribution of m random sampling points (U) and m random selected particles (W) [75]. The Hopkins statistics (H) is defined as:

\[ H = \frac{U}{U + W} \]

The probability density function for H of m random sampling points under the CSR follows the beta distribution:

\[ f(H) = \frac{H^{m-1}(1-H)^{m-1}}{B(m,m)} \]

The particles are randomly distributed when H value distribution peaks around 0.5 and are clustered when H value distribution skews to 1.
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