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Abstract

BACKGROUND
As prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease increases in the population, livers with steatosis will continue to infiltrate the donor pool. Safe utilization of these extended criteria grafts is paramount given the increased risk associated with their use in transplantation. Prognostic factors that can predict liver dysfunction immediately after transplantation with macrosteatotic grafts are lacking.

AIM
To understand the relationship between interleukin-33 (IL-33) and complement in recipients immediately following liver reperfusion as a marker of liver dysfunction.

METHODS
Cohort consisted of patients who received a liver transplant from September 2016–September 2019 at our institution. Clinical variables were retrospectively extracted from the electronic medical record. Back-table donor biopsies were obtained with donor steatosis percentage retrospectively determined by a board-certified pathologist. Blood samples were available immediately following liver transplantation. Quantification of plasma IL-33 and complement proteins, C3a and C5a, were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. For mRNA expression, RNA was extracted from donor biopsies and used against a 780 gene panel.

RESULTS
Cohort consisted of 99 donor and recipients. Donor median age was 45 years and 55% male. Recipients had a median age of 59 years with 62% male. The main
etioologies were alcoholic hepatitis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, and hepatocellular carcinoma. Median MELD-Na at transplant was 21. Donors were grouped based on moderate macrosteatosis (≥ 30%). Recipients implanted with moderate macrosteatotic grafts had significantly higher peak alanine aminotransferase/aspartate aminotransferase ($P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.004$), and increased incidence of early allograft dysfunction (60% compared to 18%). Circulating IL-33 levels were significantly elevated in recipients of ≥ 30% macrosteatotic grafts ($P < 0.05$). Recipients with detectable levels of circulating IL-33 immediately following reperfusion had significantly higher alanine aminotransferase/aspartate aminotransferase ($P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$). Activated complement (C3a and C5a) were elevated in recipients implanted with moderate macrosteatotic grafts. RNA expression analysis of donor biopsies revealed moderate steatotic grafts upregulated genes inflammatory processes while downregulated hepatocyte-produced complement factors.

**CONCLUSION**

Circulating IL-33 and activated complement levels immediately following liver reperfusion in recipients of moderate macrosteatotic grafts may identify which patients are at risk of early allograft dysfunction.
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**Core tip:** As nonalcoholic fatty liver disease incidence continues to rise, steatosis, both micro- and macro- will continue to infiltrate the donor pool. While many transplant centers have success utilizing these extended criteria donor grafts, macrosteatotic grafts remain at increased risk. In this study, elevations in interleukin-33 and activated complement (C3a and C5a) in recipients immediately following reperfusion indicate that patients receiving macrosteatotic grafts may have more injury post-transplant. Through mRNA expression of donor biopsies, we identify potential target genes present in macrosteatotic grafts that could aid in deciphering which macrosteatotic grafts are safe for implantation.
remains up to the discretion of each transplant center. Ploeg et al.\[2\] found biopsy-proven donor MaS of moderate or severe were at increased risk of initial poor function. There are conflicting studies on the safe utilization of moderate steatotic grafts with studies demonstrating no difference in patient survival\[3-5\] and others showing large differences\[6,7\]. A large retrospective review of over 5000 donor biopsies from the United Network for Organ Sharing Standard Transplant Analysis and Research files, demonstrated that moderate MaS grafts were associated with graft failure at 1 year post-transplantation\[8\]. Identifying other critical co-variates in the setting of steatosis which more clearly identify primary nonfunction risk remain elusive.

Recently, our group identified interleukin-33 (IL-33) as a steatosis-driven biomarker that was predictive of liver damage in an animal model of ischemia-reperfusion injury\[9\]. Animals with severe MaS had decreased intrahepatic levels of IL-33 which occurs when hepatocytes undergo cellular damage\[10\]. Release of IL-33 immediately following reperfusion in recipients has not been investigated yet. In addition to alarmin release upon liver damage, during ischemia reperfusion injury, all three complement pathways become activated resulting in the cleavage product C3a and C5a. Furthermore, complement pathway may play a role in steatosis progression as observed in animal model\[11,12\].

MaS grafts are under an additional stress from steatosis, yet some moderate MaS grafts are implanted without significant problems. The purpose of this study was to understand the relationship between post-transplant IL-33 and complement anaphylatoxins (C3a and C5a) in recipients immediately following liver reperfusion with peak alanine aminotransferase/aspartate aminotransferase as an early marker of liver dysfunction. Additionally, we utilized mRNA expression analysis of pre-transplant donor biopsies to identify target genes in moderate MaS donors that may identify which moderate MaS grafts were safe for implantation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cohort**
This study was approved from the Ochsner Clinic Foundation Institutional Review Board (#2010.179). A total of 123 adults undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) at our institution between September 2016–September 2019 were prospectively enrolled. Exclusion criteria included all living donors, recipients < 18 years old, donation after circulatory death and re-transplants. All grafts were from deceased brain dead donors. Donor graft data, recipient demographics, and post-operative variables were extracted from the electronic medical record. Standard surgical technique was used for orthotopic liver transplantations with caval replacement without veno-veno by-pass. Back-table liver biopsy was utilized to determine percentage MaS and microsteatosis, with analysis performed by a board-certified pathologist. Early allograft dysfunction was determined using the method of Olthoff et al.\[13\]. This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki Principles.

**Donor tissue biopsy and blood collection**
An additional back-table punch biopsy (< 25 mg) was obtained from each donor graft, treated with RNAlater (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, United States) and stored. Samples were stored immediately in liquid nitrogen then transferred to -80 °C. Blood was collected in EDTA tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) from recipients immediately following reperfusion. Samples were processed according to manufacturer’s protocol. Plasma was stored at -80 °C.

**Plasma analyte analysis**
Recipient post-transplant plasma aliquots were recovered from long term cryostorage and batch analyzed for IL-33 by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, United States). Analyte values falling below the lowest standard were reported as the assay limit of detection (0.2 pg/mL). For binary analysis, values above the limit of detection were considered positive for IL-33 with values at or below the limit of detection considered negative for IL-33. Activated complement C3a and C5a were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, United States).

**miRNA expression analysis**
Total RNA was extracted from back-table donor liver biopsies using Qiagen miRNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Extracted RNA was normalized to 20 ng/L, frozen at -80°C and shipped to NanoString Technologies (Seattle, WA, United States) for analysis. The mRNA was analyzed using the nCounter Human Organ
Transplant Panel. The panel consisted of 758 genes spanning core pathways and processes involved in rejection of transplanted grafts plus 12 reference genes. Data analysis was performed using nSolver™ version 4.0. Gene expression was corrected for background and normalized to the mean expression of panel reference genes. Fold difference was obtained by dividing RNA counts. Cutoff for fold difference included highest fold changed with minimum mRNA count > 500.

**Statistical analysis**

Variables were reported as median and range for continuous variables, and percentage for categorical variables. \( \chi^2 \) test was used to analyze categorical variables. For continuous variables, Welch’s test was used for unequal variance and Mann-Whitney for non-parametric. Logistic regression was used to analyze continuous and categorical variables. Univariate analysis was performed to determine differences of donor and recipient demographics and variables between absent/mild and moderate macrosteatotic grafts. Data is shown as means ± standard error of the means. Limit of statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \). Analysis was performed with SAS JMP version 13.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, United States).

**RESULTS**

**Donor demographics and graft variables**

Donor demographics and graft variables are shown in Table 1. A total of 99 donor-recipient pairs met inclusion/exclusion criteria for the study. Donors were 55% male with a median age of 45 years. Graft MaS ranged from 0-45% with microsteatosis from 0-90%. Median cold and warm ischemia were 265 and 29 min.

**Recipient demographics and variables**

Transplant recipients were 62% male with a median age of 59 years (Table 2). The predominant liver etiologies were alcoholic hepatitis (EtOH, 26%), nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH, 23%), and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC, 18%). Post-operative peak alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels within the first week post-operative was 631 and 506 IU/L, respectively. Seven days post-transplant, median INR and bilirubin levels were 1.1 and 1.9 mg/dL, respectively. Median MELD-Na at the time of transplant was 21.

**Donor and recipient demographics based on macrosteatosis**

The cohort was sub-grouped and analyzed based on MaS for differences in donor demographics and graft variables, shown in Table 3. Ninety-four donor grafts had absent or mild MaS (< 30%) with 5 grafts have moderate MaS (≥ 30%). Differences in donor age and gender did not reach significance. Microsteatosis was significantly higher in moderate MaS grafts (median 0% MaS < 30% compared to 50% MaS ≥ 30%). Warm and cold ischemia time differed slightly between the two groups but did not reach significance.

Recipient variables were similarly sub-grouped based on absent-mild MaS (< 30%) or moderate MaS (≥ 30%). Recipient demographics and post-operative lab variables are shown in Table 4. Graft with moderate MaS were given to younger recipients (39 vs 59 years, \( P = 0.01 \)). MELD-Na at the time of transplant did not significantly differ between the two groups. Moderate MaS grafts were utilized in NASH recipients at a higher frequency (60%) compared to absent-mild MaS grafts (21%). Post-operative peak ALT and AST levels were significantly higher in recipients of moderate MaS grafts (\( P < 0.004 \) and \( P < 0.001 \)). Bilirubin levels on post-operative day 7 were also significantly higher in recipients of moderate MaS grafts (\( P < 0.02 \)). A significant difference in early allograft dysfunction was observed in recipients of moderate MaS grafts (60% Olthoff EAD compared to 18% Olthoff EAD).

**Elevated IL-33 and activated complement in recipient grafts**

We next investigated the hypothesis that moderate MaS grafts released IL-33 and whether moderate MaS donor-derived products increase complement activation immediately following organ reperfusion. IL-33 was above the limit of detection in 45% of specimens analyzed. Figure 1A shows a significant increase in circulating IL-33 in recipients receiving moderate MaS grafts compared to those receiving grafts with absent-mild MaS. We next compared whether detectable IL-33 post-reperfusion was associated with higher peak ALT and AST post-OLT Figure 1B and 1C. Recipients with detectable IL-33 had significantly higher peak ALT and AST within the first 7 d post-transplant (\( P < 0.05 \) and \( P < 0.01 \)). Both C3a and C5a were significantly elevated in recipients of moderate MaS grafts (Figure 2, \( P < 0.01 \) and \( P < 0.02 \)), however regression of these values with peak AST and ALT did not reach significance (data not
Table 1 Donor demographics and graft variables

| Characteristic                  | n = 99 |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| **Donor**                      |        |
| Age/yr                         | Median (range) 45 (6-78) |
| Gender/male                    | n (%) 53 (55) |
| Warm ischemia time/min         | Median (range) 29 (24-79) |
| Cold ischemia time/min         | Median (range) 265 (129-453) |
| **Graft**                      |        |
| Macrosteatosis                 | Median % (range) 0 (0-40%) |
| Microsteatosis                 | Median % (range) 0 (0-90%) |

Differential mRNA expression in grafts with moderate macrosteatosis

The prevailing hypothesis for organ dysfunction when utilizing moderate-severe MaS donors is the hypoxia-reoxygenation stress on lipid-laden hepatocytes leading to necrosis triggering a sterile immune response leading to further hepatocyte loss and ultimately primary dysfunction or nonfunction. As IL-33 (damage-associated molecular pattern) and C3a/C5a (complement anaphylatoxins) were elevated in the blood following transplantation of moderate MaS organs, we next investigated a 758 gene panel associated with organ rejection in absent-mild verses moderate MaS donors following procurement, perfusion and storage in preservation solution, and flushing of preservation solution immediately prior to transplantation. A sub-cohort of 22 donor biopsies were utilized for analysis, with donor and recipient demographics displayed in Table 5 after grouping for moderate MaS.

Eighteen samples were from grafts with absent-mild MaS with four containing > 30% MaS. Univariate analysis revealed only cold ischemia time different between the two groups with moderate MaS grafts having a longer time (P < 0.003). Genes which reached preset thresholds for fold change are listed in Table 6. The largest mRNA fold difference was observed in inflammatory mediator C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 20 (CCL20), also known as liver activation regulated chemokine, with a 4.8-fold increase in ≥ 30% MaS grafts. This was followed by a selection of S100 proteins (S100A12, -8, and -9) with fold increases of > 2 in ≥ 30% MaS grafts. Decreased fold differences were observed in a number of genes with the largest differences in complement 3 (C3), insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1, fibronectin 1, followed by complement factor properdin (CFB), and C-reactive protein.

DISCUSSION

While extended criteria grafts provide a valuable expansion to the available donor pool, safe utilization remains paramount, particularly since these grafts are often utilized in ideal recipients for which excellent outcomes would be anticipated with normal criteria for liver transplantation. The rising incidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is anticipated to have a dramatic influence on MaS prevalence in the donor pool. Several studies from the early 1990s into 2000s demonstrated the risk of primary dysfunction and non-function associated with moderate-severe MaS (generally defined as ≥ 30% with severe MaS ≥ 60%), manifested through increased susceptibility to ischemia reperfusion injury resulting in elevated post-operative AST and ALT levels[14]. More recently, a study of 5000 donors from the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients revealed moderate MaS grafts (≥ 30%) were at increased risk of graft failure at 1 year compared to grafts with < 15% MaS[8]. The collective effect of these studies was cautious utilization of livers with MaS ≥ 30% with an elevated focus on identifying ideal recipients, evidenced by several reports demonstration success when transplanting ideal recipients with moderate to severe MaS grafts[3-4]. Ideal recipients of moderate MaS grafts tend to have lower MELD-Na, no prior surgeries, no anticipated intraoperative issues.

Recently our group and others have focused on a damage-associated molecular pattern signature, which may identify MaS livers more susceptible to ischemia-reperfusion injury. Mechanistic animal studies have demonstrated that damage-associated molecular pattern release during necrosis precedes and directly promotes sterile inflammation, through processes involving complement activation and...
Table 2  Recipient demographics

| Characteristic          | n = 99                   |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Recipient               |                          |
| Age/yr                  | Median (range)           |
|                         | 59 (18-72)              |
| Gender/male             | n (% )                  |
|                         | 61 (62)                 |
| MELD at transplant      | Median (range)           |
|                         | 21 (6-38)               |
| Etiology                |                          |
| EtOH                    | n (%)                   |
|                         | 25 (26)                 |
| NASH                    | n (%)                   |
|                         | 23 (23)                 |
| HCC                     | n (%)                   |
|                         | 18 (18)                 |
| HCV                     | n (%)                   |
|                         | 9 (9)                   |
| Other                   | n (%)                   |
|                         | 24 (24)                 |
| Peak AST (Day 1-7)/(IU/L)| Median (range)          |
|                         | 631 (144-13645)         |
| Peak ALT (Day 1-7)/(IU/L)| Median (range)          |
|                         | 506 (87-5002)           |
| INR (Day 7)             | Median (range)          |
|                         | 1.1 (0.9-2.5)           |
| Bilirubin (Day 7)/(mg/dL)| Median (range)          |
|                         | 1.9 (0.5-16.8)          |

MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; EtOH: Alcoholic hepatitis; NASH: Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; AST: Aspartate transaminase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; INR: International normalized ratio.

subsequently complement component deposition which further propagates hepatocyte loss. In this study we utilized a prospective cohort from a high-volume transplant center to determine whether levels of IL-33 and activated C3a/C5a were detectable immediately following liver reperfusion and their relationship with donor moderate MaS. Further, we aimed to define the relationship between post-transplant IL-33 and complement anaphylotoxins with peak alanine aminotransferase/aspartate aminotransferase as an early marker of liver dysfunction. Finally, we utilized mRNA analysis of pre-transplant donor biopsy to identify target genes in moderate MaS donors which could ultimately help distinguish high and low risk moderate MaS grafts.

Despite allocation strategies to reduce the risk of primary dysfunction, recipients receiving moderate MaS grafts had higher peak post-operative AST and ALT levels and EAD incidence (Table 4). EAD incidence was 18% in recipients of none-mild steatotic grafts while 60% in those receiving moderate steatotic grafts. This is in agreement with a study of 165 consecutive liver transplants found a 53% incidence of EAD in moderate MaS grafts[15]. Donor graft analysis revealed younger median age, greater cold ischemia time (CIT), and a higher degree of microsteatosis in the moderate MaS group compared to absent-mild MaS. To avoid stacking risk factors, moderate MaS grafts were implanted in lower risk recipients, reflected by younger age with similar CIT and MELD scores at transplant. While the median CIT between the two groups differed by 42 minutes, we do not believe this impacted the greater risk of EAD observed within moderate MaS group. A recent 2018 study showed grafts with CIT > 12 h had increased risk of early allograft dysfunction[16]. Another study demonstrated grafts with > 9 h of CIT were associated with graft loss[17]. Within our cohort, median CIT was under 5 h with the longest CIT at 7.5 h, well below the range described in the literature for increased risk. Additionally, Westerkamp et al[18] demonstrated no difference in post-transplant outcomes between moderate MaS and nonsteatotic grafts with median CIT below 8 h. Liver steatosis is often found as a mixture of both MaS and microsteatosis. Recently, Croome et al[19] showed grafts with microsteatosis were at increased risk of early allograft dysfunction compared to grafts without steatosis. While CIT may not have attributed to the increased incidence of early allograft dysfunction observed in our cohort, microsteatosis could have contributed along with MaS.

Survival outcomes within the cohort were as follows: six patients (6%) experienced graft failure at a median time of 139 d post-transplant with four of these patients having graft-related death (4%). None of the patients were transplanted with moderate MaS grafts experienced graft failure or death, despite 60% meeting criteria for EAD. While recipients with EAD had significantly longer length of stay (P < 0.01) with mean of 22 d for those with EAD compared to 10.5 d for those without EAD.

IL-33 in a nuclear cytokine constitutively expressed in hepatocytes but upon liver damage, IL-33 is rapidly released by hepatocytes undergoing cell death and can act as
Table 3 Donor demographics and graft variables based on macrosteatosis

| Characteristic                      | < 30% | ≥ 30% | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Donor macrosteatosis               |       |       |         |
| Cohort                             | n (%) | 94    | 5       |
| Age/yr                             | Median (range) | 45 (6-78) | 28 (21-52) | 0.21 |
| Gender/male                        | n (%) | 51 (55) | 2 (40)   | 0.50 |
| Warm ischemia time/min             | Median (range) | 29 (24-79) | 32 (28-37) | 0.60 |
| Cold ischemia time/min             | Median (range) | 265 (129-453) | 307 (248-422) | 0.06 |
| Graft                              |       |       |         |
| Macrosteatosis                      |       |       |         |
| Median % (range)                   | 0 (0-10%) | 30 (30-40%) | 0.001c |
| Microsteatosis                      |       |       |         |
| Median % (range)                   | 0 (0-100%) | 50 (0-70%)   |         |

cP < 0.001.

an alarmin to alert the immune system of tissue damage[10]. During procurement, donor grafts undergo ischemia reperfusion injury and could result in release of IL-33 as an alarmin. In recipients of moderate MaS livers, we find a significant increase in circulating IL-33 immediately following reperfusion. IL-33 released from liver endothelial cells during ischemia reperfusion injury was found to promote neutrophil infiltration[20], which could increase immune-mediated damage to the donor graft.

We also observed a marked increase in C3a/C5a in recipients of livers with moderate MaS immediately following reperfusion, suggesting that donor-derived factors are immediately triggering complement activation in the setting of moderate MaS. Several mechanistic animal studies have demonstrated the role of the complement cascade in response to hepatocyte necrosis during ischemia reperfusion injury[21-22]. While all three pathways are activated, all converge on the cleavage products C3a and C5a (see reviews[23,24]). Evidence suggests that MaS exacerbates complement activation during ischemia-reperfusion[12]. Serum C3 becomes progressively elevated in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease[25,26], and animal models of NAFLD have shown that C3 depletion improves survival following ischemia reperfusion injury[12]. Several clinical trials are underway targeting complement using inhibitors to lessen ischemia reperfusion injury during liver transplantation[27]. Currently, a clinical trial (NCT03468140) is underway using a C5 inhibitor, Eculizumab, in macrosteatotic grafts to decrease post-transplant liver dysfunction.

Our results provide further justifications into clinical trials targeting complement in macrosteatotic grafts.

Release of intrahepatic IL-33 with C3a and C5a activation provides an early indication of dysfunction immediately post-transplant. With the goal of identifying driver biomarkers and pathways, we performed panel mRNA analysis on the donor organs from samples obtained prior to transplantation (Table 6).

These results identified a signature of inflammation and possibly mildly impaired hepatocellular function in moderate MaS organs. CC chemokine ligand 20 (CCL20), a chemokine released to attract lymphocytes, had a 4.8-fold upregulation in moderate steatotic grafts. In the setting of cirrhotic progression of NAFLD, CCL20 was shown to be highly upregulated at both the protein and mRNA level[28]. Using the hepatocellular carcinoma cell line, HepG2, the authors demonstrated that CCL20 expression could be trigger by in vitro lipid loading[28]. In conjunction with CCL20, the other major gene signature in moderate MaS was centered on the S100A protein family. S100A proteins are a family of proteins with pro-inflammatory, calcium-binding properties and overexpressed during inflammation[29]. Both animal models of NASH and samples derived from patients with NASH have shown elevations in S100A9 and S100A8[30-32]. Collectively, these gene targets may identify a steatosis-associated inflammatory background contributing to increased transplant risk in moderate MaS and warrants further analysis after controlling for moderate MaS and transplant outcomes.

The expression of several liver-derived transcripts were found to be downregulated in moderate MaS. C3, CFB, and C-reactive protein are all components of the complement system. While both C3 and CFB were found to be elevated in the serum of NASH patients[33], both were downregulated in the liver, which given the organ status of post-cold storage, could indicate impaired hepatic function prior to transplantation.

As nonalcoholic fatty liver disease incidence continues to rise, steatosis, both micro- and macro- will continue to infiltrate the donor pool. While many transplant centers...
Table 4 Recipient demographics based on donor macrosteatosis

| Characteristic          | < 30% | ≥ 30% | P value |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Donor macrosteatosis    |       |       |         |
| Recipient Cohort        | 94    | 5     |         |
| Age/yr                  | Median (range) 59 (21-72) | 39 (18-69) | 0.01\(^a\) |
| Gender/male             | 57 (61) | 4 (80) | 0.36 |
| MELD at transplant      | Median (range) 21 (6-38) | 14 (8-34) | 0.90 |
| Etiology                |       |       |         |
| EtOH                    | n (%) 24 (26) | 1 (20) |         |
| NASH                    | n (%) 20 (21) | 3 (60) |         |
| HCC                     | n (%) 18 (19) |       |         |
| HCV                     | n (%) 9 (10) |       |         |
| Other                   | n (%) 23 (24) | 1 (20) |         |
| Peak AST (Day 1-7)/(IU/L) | Median (range) 617 (144-13645) | 5195 (321-9829) | 0.001\(^c\) |
| Peak ALT (Day 1-7)/(IU/L) | Median (range) 494 (87-4253) | 1327 (398-5002) | 0.004\(^b\) |
| INR (Day 7)             | Median (range) 1.1 (0.9-2.5) | 1.1 (1.0-1.5) | 0.60 |
| Bilirubin (Day 7)/(mg/dL) | Median (range) 1.9 (0.5-11) | 4.6 (1.3-16.8) | 0.03\(^a\) |
| No EAD                  | n (%) 77 (82) | 2 (40) |         |
| EAD                     | n (%) 17 (18) | 3 (60) | 0.04\(^b\) |

\(^a\)P < 0.05. 
\(^b\)P < 0.01. 
\(^c\)P < 0.001. 

MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; EtOH: Alcoholic hepatitis; NASH: Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; AST: Aspartate transaminase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; INR: International normalized ratio; EAD: Early allograft dysfunction.

have success utilizing these extended criteria donor grafts, MaS grafts remain at increased risk. In this study, we identified elevations in IL-33 and activated complement (C3a and C5a) in recipients immediately following reperfusion indicating patients receiving MaS grafts may have more injury post-transplant. Furthermore, through mRNA expression of donor biopsies, we identify potential target genes present in MaS grafts. This data could aid in deciphering which MaS grafts are safe for implantation.
### Table 5  Donor and recipient demographics for mRNA expression of grafts

| Characteristic                  | < 30%  | ≥ 30%  | P value |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| **Donor Cohort**                | n (%)  | 18     | 4       |< 0.01. |
| **Age/yr** (median (range))     | 46 (6-70) | 27 (21-45) | 0.13 |
| **Gender/male** n (%)           | 11 (61) | 2 (50) | 0.68 |
| **Warm ischemia time/min**      | 29 (24-63) | 33 (28-37) | 0.93 |
| **Cold ischemia time/min**      | 270 (203-296) | 341 (248-422) | 0.003 |
| **Graft**                       |        |        |         |
| Macrosteatosis                  | Median % (range) | 2 (0-10%) | 30 (30-40%) | 0.07 |
| Microsteatosis                  | Median % (range) | 7.5 (0-80%) | 45 (0-70%) | 0.07 |
| **Recipient**                   |        |        |         |
| **Age/yr** (Median (range))     | 58 (35-69) | 40 (18-69) | 0.07 |
| **Gender/male** n (%)           | 12 (67) | 4 (100) | 0.09 |
| **MELD-Na at transplant**       | Median (range) | 21 (8-29) | 14 (8-34) | 0.66 |
| **Etiology**                    |        |        |         |
| **EtOH** n (%)                  | 3 (17) | 1 (25) |         |
| **NASH** n (%)                  | 7 (39) | 3 (75) |         |
| **HCC** n (%)                   | 5 (28) |         |         |
| **HCV** n (%)                   | 1 (5)  |         |         |
| **Other** n (%)                 | 2 (11) |         |         |
| **Peak AST (Day 1-7)/(IU/L)**   | Median (range) | 630 (212-12987) | 3143 (321-9232) | 0.12 |
| **Peak ALT (Day 1-7)/(IU/L)**   | Median (range) | 494 (95-4253) | 1018 (398-5002) | 0.16 |
| **INR (Day 7)**                 | Median (range) | 1.1 (0.9-1.3) | 1.1 (1.0-1.5) | 0.45 |
| **Bilirubin (Day 7)/(mg/dL)**   | Median (range) | 1.9 (0.6-9.6) | 4.0 (1.3-16.8) | 0.06 |
| **OLTHOFF EAD Index**           |        |        |         |
| No EAD n (%)                    | 16 (89) | 2 (50) | 0.10 |
| EAD n (%)                       | 2 (11) | 2 (50) |         |

*p < 0.01.

MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; EtOH: Alcoholic hepatitis; NASH: Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; AST: Aspartate transaminase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; INR: International normalized ratio; EAD: Early allograft dysfunction.

### Table 6  mRNA expression levels of donor grafts with ≥ 30% macrosteatosis

| Gene name | Accession number | Counts in ≥ 30% | Count in < 30% | Fold difference |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| CCL20     | NM_004591.1      | 1,722           | 357            | +4.8           |
| S100A12   | NM_005621.1      | 1,052           | 327            | +3.2           |
| S100A8    | NM_002964.4      | 5,919           | 2,467          | +2.4           |
| S100A9    | NM_002965.3      | 8,959           | 4,030          | +2.2           |
| C3        | NM_000064.2      | 5,543           | 8,484          | -1.5           |
| IGFBP1    | NM_000596.2      | 2,048           | 3,093          | -1.5           |
| FN1       | NM_212482.1      | 1,833           | 2,736          | -1.5           |
| CFB       | NM_001710.5      | 8,096           | 11,359         | -1.4           |
| CRP       | NM_000567.2      | 33,132          | 45,455         | -1.4           |

CCL20: Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 20; S100A12: S100 calcium binding protein A12; S100A8: S100 calcium binding protein A8; S100A9: S100 calcium binding protein A9; C3: Complement C3; IGFBP1: Insulin like growth factor binding protein 1; FN1: Fibronectin 1; CFB: Complement factor B; CRP: C-reactive protein.
Figure 1 Circulating interleukin-33 levels in recipients immediately following reperfusion. A: Elevation of interleukin-33 was observed in recipients implanted with moderate macrosteatotic grafts. B and C: Higher levels of both alanine aminotransferase and aspartate transaminase (IU/L) were in recipients with detectable interleukin-33 levels following liver reperfusion. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. IL-33: Interleukin-33; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase.

Figure 2 Complement activation in recipients of moderate macrosteatotic grafts. A: Elevated levels of activated complement C3a was observed in recipients implanted with moderate macrosteatotic grafts immediately following liver reperfusion; B: Elevated levels of activated complement C5a was observed in recipients implanted with moderate macrosteatotic grafts immediately following liver reperfusion. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
Due to the rise in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, grafts with macrosteatosis will become more frequent in the donor pool. The use of macrosteatotic donor grafts for transplantation are associated with increased risk of graft failure and patient mortality. Factors that predict which macrosteatotic grafts are safe for transplantation remain limited.

Research motivation
The motivation for this study was to identify biomarkers immediately following reperfusion during transplantation with macrosteatotic grafts that predict increased injury post-transplant.

Research objectives
The objective of this study was to investigate the relationship between interleukin-33 and activated complement (C3a and C5a) with liver dysfunction in recipients immediately following liver reperfusion transplanted with either < 30% or ≥ 30% macrosteatotic grafts.

**Research methods**

The cohort consisted of recipients transplanted with either < 30% or ≥ 30% macrosteatotic grafts. Blood was collected immediately following reperfusion with quantification of interleukin-33 and activated complement (C3a and C5a) levels. Punch biopsies in a subset of donor grafts (n = 22) were used for microRNA expression analysis.

**Research results**

Recipients transplanted with ≥ 30% macrosteatotic grafts had significantly higher ALT and AST levels, increased risk of early allograft dysfunction, and higher levels of interleukin-33 and activated complement (C3a and C5a) post-transplant compared to recipients transplanted with < 30% macrosteatotic grafts. Additionally, upregulation of pro-inflammatory genes were found in macrosteatotic grafts.

**Research conclusions**

Quantification of interleukin-33 and activated complement (C3a and C5a) immediately following reperfusion during transplantation can provide insight into which recipients are at increased risk of early allograft dysfunction.

**Research perspectives**

This study provides additional justification for targeting activated complement in macrosteatotic grafts prior to transplantation.
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