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Abstract

We continue the study of twisted automorphisms of Hopf algebras started in [7]. In this paper we concentrate on the group algebra case. We describe the group of twisted automorphisms of the group algebra of a group of order coprime to 6. The description turns out to be very similar to the one for the universal enveloping algebra given in [7].

Introduction

In [6], using the language of Galois algebras, monoidal (auto-)equivalences of categories of representations of finite groups were described in terms of some group-theoretic data. Composition of monoidal equivalences corresponding to tensor product of Galois algebras turns out to have a quite complicated form in terms of that data. In particular, the group structure on isomorphism classes of monoidal auto-equivalences (bi-Galois algebras) is not very easy to deal with.

Here we describe this structure using a different presentation (as twisted automorphisms) for monoidal (auto-)equivalences, which was developed in [7]. Since any Galois algebra over a finite group has a normal basis ([14]) the results of [6, 7] imply that any bi-Galois algebra corresponds to a twisted automorphism. In [7] general structure of the (Cat-)group of twisted automorphisms of a Hopf algebra was examined. As an example, the case of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra (over the ring of formal power series) was treated. In that situation any twisted automorphism is a bialgebra automorphism together with an invariant twist (the so-called separated case). The gauge classes of invariant twists form an abelian group isomorphic to the invariant elements of the exterior square of the Lie algebra. The group of gauge classes of twisted automorphisms is a crossed product of the group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra and the group of invariant twists.

For the group algebra case the situation is more complicated yet resembles closely the case of a universal enveloping algebra. For simplicity we restrict ourselves to groups of odd order. We still have the separation property but separation into a composition of a group automorphism and an invariant twist is not unique: there are invariant twists (symmetric twists), which are gauge isomorphic to group automorphisms (class-preserving automorphisms). However we can make it unique by using only anti-symmetric twists. The gauge classes of anti-symmetric twists form an abelian group $Aut^\text{aut}(k[G])$ with the operation closely related to the one on twists of a universal enveloping algebra. If the order of the group is coprime to 6, the group of anti-symmetric twists is a subgroup of the group of all invariant twists $Aut^\text{tw}(k[G])$. Moreover, the
group of invariant twists is the direct product of the group of anti-symmetric twists and the
group of symmetric twists (the group of class-preserving outer automorphisms):
\[ \text{Aut}_1^U(k[G]) \cong \text{Out}_{\text{cl}}(G) \times \text{Aut}_{\text{anti}}^U(k[G]). \]

We can summarise the main results of the paper in the form of a commutative diagram with
exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Out}_{\text{cl}}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G)/\text{Out}_{\text{cl}}(G) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Aut}_1^U(k[G]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}_1^U(k[G]) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G)/\text{Out}_{\text{cl}}(G) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Aut}_{\text{anti}}^U(k[G]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}_{\text{anti}}^U(k[G])
\end{array}
\]

Note that in general the subgroup \( \text{Out}(G) \) (which can be identified with the group of symmetric twisted homomorphisms) is not normal in \( \text{Aut}_1^U(k[G]) \). The coset \( \text{Aut}_1^U(k[G])/\text{Out}(G) \) is naturally identified with the set of triangular structures on the Hopf algebra \( k[G] \) with trivial Drinfeld invariant. If the order of the group is coprime to 6, this set has a group structure (the group of anti-symmetric twists).

Throughout the paper let \( k \) be a ground field, which is supposed to be algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

1 Twists on group algebras

Here we revise Movshev’s classification of twists on the group algebra \( k[G] \) of a finite group in terms of Galois algebras [14]. An important ingredient of that classification is a group with a non-degenerate 2-cocycle. Recall that a group 2-cocycle \( \alpha \in Z^2(S, k^\cdot) \) is non-degenerate if, for any \( s \in S \), the homomorphism

\[ C_G(s) \to k^\cdot, \quad x \mapsto \alpha(x, s)\alpha(s, x)^{-1} \]

is nontrivial. Note that a cocycle cohomologous to a non-degenerate cocycle is also non-degenerate. There is a correspondence between groups with non-degenerate 2-cocycles and the so-called groups of central type. A group is of central type if it has an irreducible representation of dimension equal to the index of its centre (the largest possible). The quotient by the centre of a group of central type is a group with non-degenerate 2-cocycle (thus the alternative name central type factor group). Conversely a group with non-degenerate 2-cocycle has a central extension, which is a group of central type. Using the classification of finite simple groups, Howlett and Isaacs proved that groups of central type are solvable (the conjecture of Iwahori and Matsumoto) [12].

**Theorem 1.1.** The groupoid \( \text{Gal}(k[G]) \) of Galois \( G \)-algebras is equivalent to the groupoid \( \text{Ctf}(G) \) of pairs \((S, \alpha)\), where \( S \) is a subgroup of \( G \) and \( \alpha \in Z^2(S, k^\cdot) \) is a non-degenerate 2-cocycle. A morphism \((S, \alpha) \to (T, \beta)\) is a pair \((g, c)\), where \( g \in G \) and \( c : S \to k^\cdot \) such that \( gSg^{-1} = T \) and

\[ \alpha(x, y)c(xy) = \beta(gxg^{-1}, gyg^{-1})c(gxg^{-1})c(gyg^{-1}), \quad x, y \in S. \]
For the proof of this slightly modified version of Movshev’s result see [6]. A Galois $G$-algebra corresponding to the pair $(S, \alpha)$ can be realized explicitly as the algebra of functions:

$$R(G, S, \alpha) = \{ r: G \to k[S, \alpha] : \; r(sg) = s(r(g)) \; \forall s \in S, g \in G \}$$

with the $G$-action given by $(f\phi)(g) = \phi(gf)$. It was proved in [14] (see also [6]) that all Galois $G$ algebras possess normal bases thus (according to section 2.2. of [7]) establishing the equivalence of the groupoids $\mathcal{Aut}_G(k[G]) \to \mathcal{Aut}_{Gal}(k[G])$. Although a quasi-inverse to this equivalence is very hard to construct in general, in some cases twists corresponding to Galois algebras can be written explicitly. Note that, for an abelian $A$, the alternation map

$$\alpha \mapsto Alt(\alpha), \quad Alt(\alpha)(s, t) = \alpha(s, t)\alpha(t, s)^{-1}$$

identifies the cohomology group $H^2(A, k)$ with the group $\text{Hom}(A^2, k)$ of alternative bi-multiplicative forms

$$\beta : A \times A \to k, \quad \beta(s, s) = 1 \; \forall s \in A.$$  

Moreover a bi-multiplicative form (not necessarily alternative) is always a 2-cocycle. It is not hard to see that any alternative form on an abelian group is the alternation of some bi-multiplicative form. Thus any 2-cocycle on an abelian $A$ is cohomologous to some bi-multiplicative form. It follows from the definition that a bi-multiplicative form is non-degenerate as a 2-cocycle if and only if it is non-degenerate in the ordinary sense (establishes an isomorphism $A \to \hat{A}$). For a non-degenerate bi-multiplicative form $\beta$ on abelian $A$ denote by $b$ the adjoint bi-multiplicative form on $\hat{A}$. Note that $b$ is also non-degenerate. Define

$$F_{(A, b)} = \sum_{\psi, \chi \in \hat{A}} b(\psi, \chi)p_{\psi} \otimes p_{\chi},$$

where $p_{\chi} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{s \in S} \chi(s)^{-1}s$ is the minimal idempotent in $k[A]$ corresponding to the character $\chi$. In the next lemma we will verify that $F_{(A, b)}$ (as an element of $k[G] \otimes k^{\times}$) is the twist corresponding to the Galois $G$-algebra $R(G, A, \hat{B})$.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let $F = F_{(A, b)}$ be a twist corresponding to an abelian subgroup $A \subset G$ and a bi-multiplicative form $\hat{\beta}$. Then the function algebra $(k(G), \ast_F)$ with the $F$-twisted multiplication is isomorphic to the Galois $G$-algebra $R(G, A, \hat{B})$.

**Proof.** First note that $(k(G), \ast_F)$ coincides with the induction algebra $ind_S^G(B)$ where $B$ is the function algebra $(k(A), \ast_F)$ with the $F$-twisted multiplication. So all we need to check is that $(k(A), \ast_F)$ is isomorphic to the skew group algebra $k[A, \beta]$. Note that $k[A, \beta]$ is (canonically) isomorphic to $k[\hat{A}, b]$. Now an isomorphism $k[\hat{A}, b] \to (k(A), \ast_F)$ can be established explicitly by assigning $e_{\chi} \mapsto l_{\chi} = \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a)p_a$. Indeed, since $p_{\chi}(l_{\psi}) = \delta_{\chi, \psi}l_{\psi}$ and $l_{\chi}l_{\psi} = l_{\chi\psi}$, the twisted product has the form

$$l_{\chi} \ast_F l_{\psi} = \sum_{\chi', \psi'} \chi', \psi' \in \hat{A}b(\chi', \psi')p_{\chi'}(l_{\chi})p_{\psi'}(l_{\psi}) = b(\chi, \psi)l_{\chi\psi} = b(\chi, \psi)l_{\chi\psi}.$$

Automorphisms of Galois $G$-algebras were studied in [6]. In view of theorem 1.1, they are automorphisms of objects of the groupoid $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of central type factor subgroups of $G$.  
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Theorem 1.3. The group of $G$-automorphisms $\text{Aut}_G(R)$ of the Galois $G$-algebra $R = R(G, S, \alpha)$ fits into an exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_G(R) \rightarrow S_{N_G(S)/S}(A).$$

Here $\hat{S} = \text{Hom}(S, k^\times)$ is the group of characters of $S$, $N_G(S)$ is the normaliser of $S$ in $G$, and $A \in H^2(S, k^\times)$ is the class of $\alpha$. In particular, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_G(R)$ has the same order as $G$ only if $S$ is normal abelian and the class $A$ is $G$-invariant.

Now following [5, 6] we will prove that (up to gauge equivalence) twists for twisted isomorphisms are supported by normal abelian subgroups. Very similar results were proved in [8].

Theorem 1.4. Any twisted isomorphism $(f, F)$ of group algebras $k[G_1] \rightarrow k[G_2]$ is gauge isomorphic to a twisted isomorphism of the form $(f', F_{(S, \beta)})$ for some normal abelian $S \subset G$ and a non-degenerate bi-multiplicative form $\beta$ with $G$-invariant alternation.

Proof. For a twisted automorphism $(f, F)$ of $k[G]$ the $f$-twist $F$ must correspond to a Galois $G$-algebra $R$ with the automorphism group isomorphic to $G$. Thus $R$ is isomorphic (as a Galois $G$-algebra) to $R(G, S, \alpha)$ for a normal abelian $S$ with a non-degenerate 2-cocycle with $G$-invariant cohomology class. Finding a bilinear form $b$ with the same class, we can replace $R(G, S, \alpha)$ with (the isomorphic Galois $G$-algebra) $R(G, S, b)$. Finally the Galois $G$-algebra $R(G, S, b)$ corresponds to the twist $F_{(S, \beta)}$. Thus the twist $F$ is gauge isomorphic to the twist $F_{(S, \beta)}$:

$$F_{(S, \beta)} \Delta(a) = (a \otimes a) F$$

for some invertible $a \in k[G]$, which can be viewed as a gauge transformation $a : (f, F) \rightarrow (f', F_{(S, \beta)})$, where $f'(x) = af(x)a^{-1}$. \hfill $\square$

2 Separation of twisted isomorphisms

The fact that twists of twisted isomorphisms are supported by abelian normal subgroups allows us to prove separation for twisted automorphisms of the group algebra of a group of odd order.

Proposition 2.1. For a group $G$ of odd order any twisted automorphism $(f, F)$ of the group algebra $k[G]$ is gauge isomorphic to a unique twisted automorphism of the form $(f', F_{(S, \beta)})$, where $f'$ is an automorphism of $k[G]$ induced by a group automorphism of $G$, where $S$ is normal abelian, and where $\beta$ is a non-degenerate alternative $G$-invariant form.

Proof. For odd order $S$ the alternation map is bijective on $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 S, k^\times)$. Thus we can strengthen the statement of the theorem 1.4 assuming that $\beta$ is a non-degenerate alternative $G$-invariant form. This assumption makes the twist $F_{(S, \beta)}$ $G$-invariant. Hence the twisted automorphism $(f', F_{(S, \beta)})$ is separated and $f'$ is a bialgebra automorphism of $k[G]$, which has to be induced by a group automorphism of $G$. \hfill $\square$

Below we show that group algebras of groups of even order can have non-separated twisted isomorphisms.

Let $\beta : \hat{A} \times \hat{A} \rightarrow k^\times$ be a non-degenerate bi-multiplicative form on the dual group of an abelian normal subgroup $A \subset G$ with $G$-invariant alternation

$$b(g(\chi)g(\xi)) = b(\chi, \xi), \quad b(\chi, \xi) = \beta(\chi, \xi)\beta(\xi, \chi)^{-1}.$$
This means that for any \( g \in G \) the form \( \tilde{\beta}(\chi, \xi) = \beta(g(\chi), g(\xi))\beta(\chi, \xi)^{-1} \) is symmetric. Define 
\[
\tilde{G} = \{(g, c) | g \in G, c : \hat{A} \to k, \tilde{\beta}(\chi, \xi) = c(\chi)c(\xi)c(\chi\xi)^{-1}, \forall \chi, \xi \in \hat{A}\}.
\]
It is a group with the product 
\[
(g_1, c_1)(g_2, c_2) = (g_1g_2, (c_1)c_2),
\]
where \( c^g(\chi) = c(g^{-1}(\chi)) \). Define a homomorphism \( f : k[\tilde{G}] \to k[G] \) by 
\[
f(g, c) = g \sum_{\chi \in \hat{A}} c(\chi)p_{\chi}
\]
and the twist 
\[
F = \sum_{\chi, \xi \in \hat{A}} \tilde{\beta}(\chi, \xi)p_{\chi} \otimes p_{\xi},
\]
where \( p_{\chi} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a)^{-1}a \). By the definition 
\[
\Delta'(f(g, c))F = F(f(g, c) \otimes f(g, c))
\]
so that \((f, F)\) is a twisted homomorphism. The subgroup 
\[
K = \{(a, c_a^{-1}), a \in A\} \subset \tilde{G}
\]
is mapped into 1, which means that \((f, F)\) induces a twisted homomorphism \((f, F) : k[\tilde{G}] \to k[G] \), where \( \overline{G} = \tilde{G}/K \). Note that pairs \((a, 1)\), where \( 1(\chi) = \chi(1) \), form a normal subgroup in \( \tilde{G}/(\tilde{G}) \) isomorphic to \( A \). Moreover, the quotient group \( \overline{G}/A \) is isomorphic to the quotient group \( Q = G/A \) and the \( Q \)-action on \( A \), coming from \( G \), coincides with the one coming from \( G \). The class of the extension \( A \to \overline{G} \to Q \) in \( H^2(Q, A) \) was calculated in [6]. It is equal to the class of \( A \to G \to Q \) shifted by the image of \( b \in Hom(k^2(\hat{A}), k) = H^2(\hat{A}, k) \) under the map 
\[
D : H^0(Q, H^2(\hat{A}, k)) \to H^2(Q, H^1(\hat{A}, k)) = H^2(Q, A),
\]
which is the differential of the second term of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated with the split extension of \( A \) by \( Q \). The map \( D \) is trivial in the absence of 2-torsion. Thus for odd order \( G \) the twisted homomorphism \((f, F)\) is a twisted automorphism and, in particular, is separable. In the case when \( \overline{G} \) is not isomorphic to \( G \) the twisted homomorphism \((f, F)\) can not be separable. Of course non-triviality of \( D(b) \) is not enough for to guarantee that \( G \) is not isomorphic to \( \overline{G} \) as abstract groups, but in the next example (taken from [6], see also [8]) it can be checked directly.

**Example 2.2.** Affine symplectic and metaplectic groups.

Let \( A \) be an elementary abelian 2-group with a symplectic form \((\ , \ ) : A \otimes A \to \mathbb{F}_2\). Let \( Q \) be the group of automorphisms of \( A \) preserving \((\ , \ )\), i.e. the symplectic group \( Sp(n, 2) \), where \( n \) is the rank of \( A \). Let \( G \) be the semi-direct product of \( A \) and \( Q \), i.e. the affine symplectic group \( A Sp(n, 2) \). Let \( m : A \otimes A \to \mathbb{F}_2 \) be a bi-linear form such that \( m(x, y) - m(y, x) = (x, y) \). Define a non-degenerate bi-multiplicative form \( \beta : A \otimes A \to k \) by 
\[
\beta(x, y) = (-1)^{m(x, y)}.
\]
By the definition the alternation of \( \beta \) is \( G \)-invariant. The group \( \overline{G} \) corresponding to the abelian normal subgroup \( A \) with the form \( \beta \) coincides with the quotient of the group of pairs

\[
\{(g,q) | g \in Sp(n,2), q : A \to \mathbf{F}_2, \\
m(g(x), g(y)) - m(x,y) = q(x) = q(y) - q(x+y), \ \forall x,y \in A\},
\]

which is called the metaplectic group \( Mp(n,2) \) [17]. It is known that for \( n > 2 \) the metaplectic group \( Mp(n,2) \) is not isomorphic to the affine symplectic group \( ASp(n,2) \). Thus the twisted homomorphism

\[(f,F) : k[ASp(n,2)] \to k[Mp(n,2)].\]

is not separable.

### 3 Class-preserving automorphisms

Here we describe invariant twists, which stabilise the unital inclusion \( k \to k[G] \) (as in the section 4.3 of [7]) and link them with class-preserving automorphisms of \( G \). Recall that an automorphism \( f : G \to G \) is class-preserving if \( f \) preserves conjugacy classes of \( G \): for any \( g \in G \),

\[ f(g) = xgx^{-1}, \text{ for some } x \in G. \]

Clearly, class-preserving automorphisms are closed under composition, forming a normal subgroup \( Aut_{cl}(G) \) of the automorphism group \( Aut(G) \). Inner automorphisms are obviously class-preserving. Moreover, the homomorphism

\[ Aut_{cl}(G) \xrightarrow{\partial} G \] (1)

sending \( g \in G \) to the inner automorphism \( ( \ )^g \) defines a crossed module of groups \( Aut_{cl}(G) \). In particular, \( \pi_0(Aut_{cl}(G)) = Out_{cl}(G) \) is the group of class-preserving automorphisms modulo inner.

The study of class-preserving automorphisms was initiated in [4], where the first examples of groups with nontrivial \( Out_{cl}(G) \) were constructed. Since then many more examples were produced and some triviality results were proved. In particular, using the classification of finite simple groups, Sah proved solvability of \( Out_{cl}(G) \) [15] and Feit and Seitz verified triviality of \( Out_{cl}(G) \) for simple \( G \) [9]. Recently, class-preserving automorphisms were used to produce a counter-example to the isomorphism problem of integer group rings [11].

Here we characterize class-preserving automorphisms as invariant twists on the group algebra stabilizing the unital inclusion \( k \to k[G] \).

#### Proposition 3.1.

The homomorphisms \( G \to k[G], \ Aut_{cl}(G) \to Aut_{bialg}(k[G]) \) induce an isomorphism of crossed complexes of groups \( Aut_{cl}(G) \to Aut_{bialg}(k[G]). \)

**Proof.** Since \( k \) is algebraically closed (of characteristic zero), \( k[G] \) is a sum of matrix algebras and the restriction map \( Out_{bialg}(k[G]) \to Aut(Z(k[G])) \) is an isomorphism. The centre \( Z(k[G]) \) is spanned by class sums (sums over conjugacy classes of \( G \)). Thus the kernel of \( Aut_{bialg}(k[G]) \to Out_{bialg}(k[G]) \) coincides with the kernel of \( Aut(G) \to Aut(Z(k[G])) \) and is \( Aut_{cl}(G) \).

We will say that a class preserving automorphism \( \phi \) is supported by a normal subgroup \( N \subset G \) if there is an element \( x \in k[N] \) such that \( \phi(g) = xgx^{-1} \). Below we give a cohomological description of class-preserving automorphisms supported by abelian normal subgroups.
Proposition 3.2. Let $A$ be an abelian normal subgroup of $G$. Then the group
\[
\{ x \in k[A] | (x \otimes x)\Delta(x)^{-1} \in (k[A] \otimes_k G) \}
\]
is isomorphic to the group of 1-cocycles $\psi : G \to A$ (with respect to the natural $G$-action on $A$) such that
\[
\forall \chi \in \hat{A}, \quad \chi(\psi(s)) = 1, \quad \forall s \in St_G(\chi).
\]
Here $St_G(\chi) = \{ g \in G | \chi(g(a)) = \chi(a) \forall a \in A \}$ is the stabilizer in $G$ of $\chi \in \hat{A}$. Under that isomorphism, central $x$ correspond to coboundaries.

Proof. For an element $x \in k[A]$ such that $(x \otimes x)\Delta(x)^{-1}$ is $G$-invariant, define a 1-cocycle $\psi(g) = [x,g] = xgx^{-1}g^{-1}$. Since $A$ is normal and since $xGx^{-1} = G$ it takes its values in $k[A] \cap G = A$. By definition, the class of $\psi$ lies in the kernel of the homomorphism
\[
H^1(G,A) \to H^1(G,k[A])
\]
induced by the natural inclusion $A \to k[A]$. Since the field $k$ is algebraically closed, the algebra $k[A]$ is isomorphic to the function algebra $k(\hat{A})$. Moreover, this isomorphism preserves $G$-actions. Thus $k[A]$ is a permutation $G$-module and
\[
H^1(G,k[A]) = \bigoplus_{O \subseteq \hat{A}} H^1(St_G(O),k) = \bigoplus_{O \subseteq \hat{A}} \hat{St}_G(O),
\]
where the sum is taken over $G$-orbits in $\hat{A}$ and $St_G(O)$ is the stabilizer of an orbit $O$. So the class of a cocycle $\psi$ is in the kernel of (3) iff
\[
\forall \chi \in \hat{A}, \quad \chi(\psi(s)) = 1, \quad \forall s \in St_G(\chi),
\]
which proves the proposition. \hfill \Box

Example 3.3. Quadratic class-preserving automorphisms.

Let $V$ be a vector spaces over $F = \mathbb{F}_2$ (the two element field). Let $b : V \otimes V \to F$ be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $V$ and $q : V \to F$ an associated quadratic form:
\[
q(u + v) - q(u) - q(v) = b(u,v), \quad u, v \in V.
\]

Note that the existence of $q$ implies that $b$ is alternative: $b(v,v) = 0$ for any $v \in V$. Let $Q = Aut(V,b)$ be the group of automorphisms of $b$ (a symplectic group) and $G = Q \ltimes V^*$ be a semi-direct product (an affine symplectic group). Define an element in the group ring $k[V^*]$ of the dual space $V^*$ by $x = \sum_{v \in V} (-1)^{q(v)}p_v$. Here the $p_v = \frac{1}{2^{\dim V}} \sum_{l \in V^*} (-1)^{l(v)}I$ are minimal idempotents in $k[V^*]$ corresponding to elements of $V$. Then
\[
(x \otimes x)\Delta(x)^{-1} = \sum_{u,v \in V} (-1)^{b(u,v)}p_u \otimes p_v
\]
is $G$-invariant. The corresponding 1-cocycle $\psi : G \to V^*$ has the form
\[
\psi(g)(v) = q(v) - q(g(v)).
\]

Since $g$ preserves the linearisation of $q$, $\psi(g)$ is linear in $v$. Now it is straightforward to see that $[x,g]$ is given by the formula (4):
\[
xg(x^{-1}) = \sum_{v \in V} (-1)^{q(v)}p_v \sum_{u \in V} (-1)^{-q(g(u))}p_u = \sum_{v \in V} (-1)^{q(v)-q(g(v))}p_v = q(v) - q(g(v)).
\]
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Obviously the cocycle (4) satisfies the condition (2). To see that it is non-trivial we will follow the arguments of [10]. Recall that for a vector \( v \in V \) the map (symplectic transvection) \( \tau_v(u) = u - b(v, u)v \) is an automorphism of \( b \). Note that symplectic transvections generate the group \( \text{Aut}(V, b) \).

Write \( V \) as \( U \oplus U^* \) so that the form \( b \) becomes \( b((u, l), (v, m)) = l(v) - m(u). \) Define \( q \) by \( q(u, l) = l(u) \). For a vector \( (u, l) \in V \) the corresponding symplectic transvection has the form:

\[
\tau_{(u, l)}(v, m) = (v + (m(u) - l(v))u, m + (m(u) - l(v))l).
\]

Now the value of the 1-cocycle \( \psi \) on the transvection is

\[
q(\tau_{(u, l)}(v, m)) - q(v, m) = (m(u) + l(v))(l(u) + 1),
\]

which coincides with \( b((u, l), (v, m))(q(u, l) + 1) \). Thus we have the following formula

\[
\psi(\tau_v)(u) = b(v, u)(q(v) + 1), \quad v \in V.
\]

The cocycle \( \psi \) is a coboundary if there is a linear function \( l \in V^* \) such that

\[
l(\tau_v(u)) - l(u) = -b(v, u)l(v)
\]

coincides with \( \psi(\tau_v)(u) = b(v, u)(q(v) + 1) \), which gives a contradiction \( l(v) = q(v) + 1 \) to the linearity of \( l \).

**Example 3.4.** Cubic class-preserving automorphism of \( 3^5.M_{11} \).

Let \( V \) be a vector spaces over \( \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_3 \) (the three element field). Let \( \tau : V \otimes V \otimes V \to \mathbf{F} \) be a non-degenerate symmetric tri-linear form on \( V \) and \( c : V \to \mathbf{F} \) an associated cubic form:

\[
c(u + v) - c(u) - c(v) = \tau(u, u, v) + \tau(u, v, v), \quad u, v \in V.
\]

Note that \( c \) is a depolarization of \( 2\tau \):

\[
c(u + v + w) - c(u + v) - c(u + w) - c(v + w) + c(u) + c(v) + c(w) = 2\tau(u, v, w)
\]

and the form \( \tau \) satisfies: \( \tau(v, v, v) = 0 \) for any \( v \in V \). Let \( Q = \text{Aut}(V, \tau) \) be the group of auto-

morphisms of the form \( \tau \) and \( G = Q \rtimes V^* \) be a semi-direct product. As in the previous example define an element in the group ring \( k[V^*] \) of the dual space \( V^* \) by \( x = \sum_{v \in V} \omega^c(v)p_v \). Here \( \omega \in k \) is a primitive cubic root of unity and the \( p_v = \frac{1}{3\dim(V)} \sum_{v \in V} \omega^{l(v)}l \) are minimal idempotents in \( k[V^*] \) corresponding to elements of \( V \). Since the group \( \text{Aut}(V, \tau) \) preserves the function \( \tau(u, u, v) + \tau(u, v, v) \), the element of \( k[V^*] \):

\[
(x \otimes x)\Delta(x)^{-1} = \sum_{u, v \in V} (-1)^{\tau(u, u, v) + \tau(u, v, v)} p_u \otimes p_v
\]

is \( G \)-invariant. The corresponding 1-cocycle \( \psi : G \to V^* \) again has the form

\[
\psi(g)(v) = c(v) - c(g(v)). \quad (5)
\]

Since \( g \) preserves the linearisation of \( q \) (which is \( \tau(u, u, v) + \tau(u, v, v) \)), \( \psi(g) \) is linear in \( v \). In contrast with the previous example it is much more difficult to find a tri-linear form with a non-trivial 1-cocycle \( \psi \).
Following [16], define on $F_{35}$ the field of 243 elements, considered as a vectors space $V$ over $F_3$, a symmetric tri-linear form

$$\tau(x,y,z) = Tr(xyz^9 + xy^9z + x^9yz).$$

Here $Tr : F_{35} \rightarrow F_3$ is the trace of the field extension $F_3 \subset F_{35}$. Let $\epsilon \in F_{35}$ be a primitive root of unity of degree 11 such that $Tr(\epsilon) = -1$. It can be checked directly that the following linear operators $r,s,t$ on $V$ preserve $\tau$:

$$s(v) = \epsilon v, \quad t(v) = v^3.$$

To define $r$ note that the powers $\epsilon, \epsilon^3, \epsilon^4, \epsilon^5, \epsilon^9$ span the space $V$. In this basis $r$ has the form

$$r(\epsilon) = -\epsilon, \quad r(\epsilon^3) = -\epsilon^9, \quad r(\epsilon^4) = -\epsilon^5,$$

$$r(\epsilon^5) = -\epsilon^3, \quad r(\epsilon^9) = -\epsilon^4.$$ 

It was proved in [16] that $r,s,t$ generate the group $Aut(V,\tau)$ and that the group $Aut(V,\tau)$ is isomorphic to the Mathieu group $M_{11}$. Now define $c$ by $c(x) = Tr(x^{11})$. It can be checked that $r^2,s,t$ stabilize $c$ (it was also proved in [16] that $r^2,s,t$ generate the subgroup of $M_{11}$ isomorphic to $PSL_2(11)$). Now if we assume that the 1-cocycle (5) is a coboundary

$$c(v) - c(g(v)) = l(v) - l(g(v)), \quad \forall v \in V$$

we should have a non-zero linear function $l \in V^*$ invariant under $s$, which is not possible. Indeed, writing $l$ as $l(v) = Tr(\lambda v)$ for some $\lambda \in F_{35}$ we would have $Tr(\lambda \epsilon v) = Tr(\lambda v)$ for all $v \in V$, which implies $\lambda = 0$.

## 4 Invariant anti-symmetric twists and triangular structures on group algebras

It follows from the proposition (2.1) that up to gauge transformations invariant anti-symmetric twists on the group algebra $k[G]$ of a group $G$ of odd order correspond to normal abelian subgroups $A \subset G$ with non-degenerate alternative bi-multiplicative $G$-invariant forms $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow k'$:

$$F_{(A,\beta)} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a_1,a_2 \in A} \beta(a_1,a_2)a_1 \otimes a_2.$$

A bijection correspondence between non-degenerate bi-multiplicative forms $\beta : A \times A \rightarrow k'$ on an abelian group $A$ and non-degenerate bi-multiplicative forms $b : A \times A \rightarrow k'$ on its group of characters $\hat{A}$ can be defined explicitly by the rule: for any $x \in A$ there is a unique $\chi \in \hat{A}$ (and vice versa) such that

$$\beta(x,y) = \chi(y) \quad \forall y \in A \iff b(\chi,\psi) = \psi(x) \quad \forall \psi \in \hat{A}.$$ 

This correspondence allows us to give a different presentation of anti-symmetric twists. Denote by $P_\chi = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{x \in A} \chi^{-1}(x)x$ the minimal idempotent of the group algebra $k[A]$ corresponding to the character $\chi \in \hat{A}$:

$$yP_\chi = \chi(y)P_\chi, \quad \forall y \in A.$$
**Lemma 4.1.** The anti-symmetric twist

\[ F_{(A,\beta)} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a_1,a_2 \in A} \beta(a_1,a_2)a_1 \otimes a_2 \]

can be written as

\[ \sum_{\chi,\psi \in \hat{A}} b(\chi,\psi)p_{\chi} \otimes p_{\psi}. \]

**Proof.** Indeed,

\[ \sum_{\chi,\psi \in \hat{A}} b(\chi,\psi)p_{\chi} \otimes p_{\psi} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a_1,a_2 \in A} \sum_{\chi,\psi \in \hat{A}} b(\chi,\psi)\chi(a_1)\psi(a_2)a_1 \otimes a_2. \]

Since

\[ b(\chi,\psi)\chi(a_1)\psi(a_2)) = \psi(x)b(x,a_1)\psi(a_2) = b(x,a_1)\psi(xa_2) \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{\psi \in \hat{A}} \psi(xa) = \delta_{x,a^{-1}} \]

the expression (6) can be rewritten as

\[ \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a_1,a_2 \in A} \beta(a_2^{-1},a_1)a_1 \otimes a_2. \]

It remains to notice that \( \beta(a_2^{-1},a_1) = \beta(a_1,a_2). \)

For a subgroup \( B \) of an abelian group \( A \) with a non-degenerate alternative bi-multiplicative form \( \beta : A \times A \to k \) denote by

\[ B^\perp = \{ a \in A \mid \beta(a,b) = 1, \forall b \in B \} \]

the orthogonal complement. A subgroup \( B \) is called isotropic if \( B \subseteq B^\perp \), i.e. the restriction of \( \alpha \) on \( B \) is trivial. A subgroup \( B \) is Lagrangian if \( B = B^\perp \). A Lagrangian subgroup \( B \subseteq A \) fits into a short exact sequence

\[ B \to A \to \hat{B} \]

with the last morphism being induced by the form \( \beta : a \mapsto (x \in B \mapsto \beta(a,b)) \). Suppose that there exists a multiplicative splitting \( \hat{B} \to A \). Then we have a Lagrangian decomposition

\[ A \simeq B \oplus \hat{B} \]

where elements of \( A \) can be written as pairs \((x,\chi)\) where \( x \in B \) and \( \chi \in \hat{B} \). The form \( \beta \) in this presentation take the following shape \( \hat{\beta}((x,\chi),(y,\psi)) = \chi(y)\psi(x)^{-1}. \)

**Lemma 4.2.** An abelian group with an alternative bi-multiplicative non-degenerate form has a Lagrangian decomposition.

**Proof.** We prove this by induction on the order of the group. Let \( a \in A \) be an element with the property: for any \( x \in A \) such that \( x^m = a \) there is \( n \) so that \( a^n = x \). Then the inclusion \( \langle a \rangle \to A \) of the cyclic subgroup generated by \( a \) splits as well as the surjection \( A \to \langle a \rangle \) induced by the form \( \beta \) on \( A \). So we can write \( A \simeq A' \oplus \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle a \rangle \) where \( A' \simeq \langle a \rangle^\perp / \langle a \rangle \) with the induced form. By the induction it follows that, \( A' \) has a Lagrangian decomposition, and hence so has \( A \). \( \square \)
With any Lagrangian decomposition $A \simeq B \oplus \hat{B}$ there are associated two more presentations for the twist $F_{(A, \beta)}$, which, in a way, are mixtures of the previous two. Define $p_\chi = \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{x \in B} \chi(x)^{-1} x \in k[B]$.

**Lemma 4.3.** The anti-symmetric twist

$$F_{(A, \beta)} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in A} \beta(a_1, a_2) a_1 \otimes a_2$$

can be written as

$$F_{(A, \beta)} = \sum_{\chi, \psi \in \hat{B}} p_\chi \chi \otimes p_{\chi^{-1}} \psi.$$

**Proof.** Indeed,

$$\sum_{\chi, \psi \in \hat{B}} p_\chi \chi \otimes p_{\chi^{-1}} \psi = \frac{1}{|B|^2} \sum_{\chi, \psi \in \hat{B}} \sum_{x, y \in B} \chi(y) \psi^{-1}(x) x \chi \otimes y \psi = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in A} \beta(a_1, a_2) a_1 \otimes a_2.$$  \[\square\]

Analogously (using the symmetry between $B$ and $\hat{B}$) we can write

$$F_{(A, \beta)} = \sum_{x, y \in \hat{B}} xp_{\psi^{-1} \chi} \otimes yp_\chi,$$

where $p_\chi = \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{x \in B} \chi(x)^{-1} \chi \in k[\hat{B}]$.

As the next example shows, not any Lagrangian subgroup fits into a Lagrangian decomposition.

**Example 4.4.** Let $A$ be $\mathbb{Z}/p^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^2$ with the standard alternative form $\beta((1,0), (0,1)) = \varepsilon$ for a primitive root $\varepsilon$ of degree $p^2$. The subgroup $B = pA$ is Lagrangian but the extension $B \to A \to \hat{B}$ does not split.

Of course we can always split the short exact sequence $B \to A \to \hat{B}$ set-theoretically. Choosing a section

$$s : \hat{B} \to A, \quad \beta(s(\chi), x) = \chi(x), \quad \forall x \in B, \chi \in \hat{B}$$

we can identify $A$ with $B \times \hat{B}$ equipped with the product

$$(x, \chi)(y, \psi) = (xy\Gamma(\chi, \psi), \chi \psi),$$

where $\Gamma : \hat{B} \times \hat{B} \to B$ is a 2-cocycle defined by the splitting $s$:

$$s(\chi \psi) = s(\chi)s(\psi)\Gamma(\chi, \psi).$$

The bi-multiplicative form $\beta$ on $A$ transports to $B \times \hat{B}$:

$$\beta((x, \chi), (y, \psi)) = \chi(y) \psi(x)^{-1} \overline{\beta}(\chi, \psi),$$

where $\overline{\beta}(\chi, \psi) = \beta(s(\chi), s(\psi))$.

Repeating the calculations for the twist on Lagrangian decomposition, we will have the following form for the twist $F_{(A, \beta)}$ on $B \times \hat{B}$:

$$F_{(A, \beta)} = \sum_{\chi, \psi \in \hat{B}} \overline{\beta}(\chi, \psi) p_\chi \chi \otimes p_{\chi^{-1}} \psi. \quad (7)$$
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We finish this section with a well-known remark on triangular structures on group algebras. We include the proof of the proposition below because we will use its argument later on.

**Proposition 4.5.** The set \( \mathcal{T}(k[G]) \) of triangular structures on the group algebra is isomorphic to the set of pairs \((A, \alpha)\) consisting of a normal abelian subgroup \(A \subset G\) and a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bi-multiplicative \(G\)-invariant form \(\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow k^*\).

**Proof.** By the proposition (4.4.1) of [7] a support sub-bialgebra (minimal triangular sub-bialgebra) of a triangular structure \(R\) is a commutative and cocommutative normal sub-bialgebra \(H_R\). Thus it is \(k[A]\) for an abelian subgroup \(A = G(H_R) \subset G\), which must be normal since \(H_R\) is normal. The isomorphism \(b : H^* \rightarrow H_R\) induces (and is induced by) the homomorphism of groups of group-like elements \(G(b) : G(H_R^*) \rightarrow G(H_R) = A\). Note that \(G(H_R^*) = \text{Hom}(A, k^*)\) is the group of characters of \(A\). Thus the isomorphism \(G(b) : \text{Hom}(A, k^*) \rightarrow A\) induces (and is induced by) a non-degenerate bi-multiplicative form \(\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow k^*\). The \(G\)-invariance of \(\alpha\) follows from the \(H\)-invariance of \(b\) while skew-symmetry of \(\alpha\) is equivalent to self-duality of \(b\). \(\square\)

5 **Group structure on anti-symmetric twists**

We start with the following abstract situation. Let \(T\) be a group and \(t : T \rightarrow T\) be an automorphism of order 2. Define a left \(T\)-action on itself via

\[ x^s = t(g)^{-1}xg. \]  

(8)

In particular, the stabiliser of the identity element is \(T' = \{s \in T \mid t(s) = s\}\) the subgroup of \(\tau\)-invariant elements. Note also that this action preserves the subset \(X = \{x \in T \mid t(x) = x^{-1}\}\) and that \(X\) is closed under power maps \(x \mapsto x^m\) for \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\).

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose that \((\cdot)^2\) is bijective on \(X\). Then

\[ X \cap T' = \{1\}, \quad T = T'X. \]

In particular, for any \(x, y \in X\), there is a unique factorisation:

\[ xy = s(x, y)(x \circ y), \quad s(x, y) \in T', x \circ y \in X \]

with

\[ (x \circ y)^2 = yx^2y. \]

The operations \(s, \circ\) satisfy

\[ s(x, y)s(x \circ y, z) = s(y, z)s(x, y \circ z), \]

\[ (x \circ y) \circ z = x^{s(y, z)} \circ (y \circ z), \quad (x \circ y)^s = x^s \circ y^s. \]

Here \(x^s = s^{-1}xs\). Moreover if the operation \(\circ\) is commutative, then

\[ [x, y] = s(x, y)s(y, x)^{-1}. \]

Here \([x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}\).  
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Proof. First we notice that in the assumption of the lemma (the bijectivity of \( (\ )^2 \)) \( X \) coincides with the orbit \( \{ t(g)^{-1}g, g \in T \} \) of the identity element. Indeed, solving \( y^2 = x \) for \( x \in X \) we will be able to write \( x \) as \( t(y)^{-1}y \) (since \( y \) is also in \( X \)). The next thing to observe is that the intersection \( X \cap T' \) is trivial: for \( x \in X \cap T' \), we have \( x = t(x) = x^{-1} \) or \( x^2 = 1 \), which implies \( x = 1 \).

Now we write \( T' \) as the product \( T'X \). For \( g \in T \), solve \( y^2 = t(g)^{-1}g \) in \( X \). Then for \( s = gy^{-1} \), we have

\[
t(s)s^{-1} = t(g)t(y)^{-1}y^{-1}y = t(g)y^2g^{-1} = 1.
\]

So \( s \) is in \( T' \). The decomposition \( g = sy \) is unique since \( X \cap T' = \{1\} \).

Since \( T' \) normalizes \( X \) (the action of \( T' \) on \( X \) via (8) is the conjugation), we can write \( xyz \) as an element of \( T'X \) in two ways:

\[
(xy)z = s(x,y)(x \circ y)z = s(x,y)s(x \circ y, z)((x \circ y) \circ z),
\]

\[
x(yz) = xs(y,z)(y \circ z) = s(y,z)x^{s(y,z)}(y \circ z) = s(y,z)s(s^{s(y,z)}, (y \circ z))(s^{s(y,z)} \circ (y \circ z))
\]

which gives the equations of the lemma.
For \( x \circ y = y \circ x \) we have

\[
[x,y] = (xy)(yx)^{-1} = s(x,y)(x \circ y)(y \circ x)^{-1}s(y,x)^{-1} = s(x,y)s(y,x)^{-1}.
\]

Now let \( T \) be the group \( Aut_{1w}(k[G]) \) of gauge classes of invariant twists with \( t \) being the automorphism of transposition of tensor functors of a twist. Then by the section 3, the stabiliser \( T' \) is the group \( Out_{cl}(G) \) of outer class-preserving automorphisms of \( G \) and \( X \) is (isomorphic to) the set of abelian normal subgroups of \( G \) equipped with \( G \)-invariant non-degenerate bimultiplicative form. If \( G \) is odd then the order of any element from \( X \) is also odd so we are in the situation of the lemma 5.1. This defines a binary operation \( \circ \) on \( X \):

\[
F_{(A_1,a_1)} \circ F_{(A_2,a_2)} = F_{(A,a)},
\]

where

\[
F_{(A,a)}^2 = F_{(A,a^2)} = F_{(A,a_1)}F_{(A,a_2)}F_{(A,a_1)}.
\]

Note that the right hand side belongs to the group algebra of the subgroup \( A_1A_2 \subset G \). Since the subgroups \( A_i \) are normal abelian, their product \( A_1A_2 \) is meta-abelian with the commutant 

\[
[A_1,A_2] \subset A_1 \cap A_2 \subset A_1A_2.
\]

First we describe the support group \( A \). Let

\[
K = \{ c \in A_1 \cap A_2 | \alpha_1(x,c) = \alpha_2(x,c)^{-1} \forall x \in A_1 \cap A_2 \}
\]

be the kernel of the bimultiplicative form on \( A_1 \cap A_2 \), which is the product of the restrictions of \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \).

Lemma 5.2. The formula

\[
\pi(a_1a_2,c) = \frac{\alpha_1(a_1,c)}{\alpha_2(a_2,c)}, \ a_i \in A_i, \ c \in K
\]

defines a homomorphism \( \pi : A_1A_2 \rightarrow K \) with an abelian kernel \( A = ker(\pi) \). The formula

\[
\alpha(u_1u_2,v_1v_2) = \alpha_1(u_1,v_1)\alpha_2(u_2,v_2)
\]

defines a non-degenerate alternative bi-multiplicative form on \( A \).
Proof. The definition of \( \pi \) does not depend on the factorisation \( a_1a_2 \). Then for \( d \in A_1 \cap A_2 \):
\[
\frac{\alpha_1(a_1d, c)}{\alpha_2(a_2, c)} = \frac{\alpha_1(a_1, c)\alpha_1(d, c)}{\alpha_2(a_2, c)} = \frac{\alpha_1(a_1, c)}{\alpha_2(d, c)\alpha_2(a_2, c)} = \frac{\alpha_1(a_1, c)}{\alpha_2(d, c)\alpha_2(a_2, c)}.
\]
To see that \( A \) is abelian note first that the commutant \([A, A]\) lies in \( K \). To check that the commutant \([A, A]\) is trivial, it is enough to verify that \( b_1([u_1u_2, v_1v_2], y) = 0 \) for any \( u_1u_2, v_1v_2 \in A \) and any \( y \in A_1 \). Writing
\[
[u_1u_2, v_1v_2] = [u_1, v_2][u_2, v_1] = [u_1, v_2][v_1, u_2]^{-1}
\]
we need to verify that \( b_1([u_1, v_2], y) = b_1([v_1, u_2], y) \). Indeed, by \( G \)-invariance of \( b_1 \) and the defining relations for \( u_1u_2, v_1v_2 \) (together with \([A, A] \subset K\)), we have the chain of equalities:
\[
b_2([y, u_2], v_2) = b_1([y, u_2], v_1) = b_1([y, v_1], u_2) = b_1([y, v_1], u_1) = b_1([y, v_1], u_2).
\]
We need to verify that the value of the form \( \alpha \) does not depend on factorisations of its arguments. To see that, we give another presentation of the group \( A \). Denote by \( A \triangleright A_2 \) the group of pairs \((x_1, x_2), x_i \in A_i \) with the product:
\[
(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = (x_1y_1[x_2, y_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}, [x_2, y_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}x_2y_2).
\]
The map
\[
A_1 \triangleright A_2 \to G, \quad (x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1x_2
\]
is a group homomorphism with the image \( A_1A_2 \). The group \( A \) fits into a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
K & \rightarrow & A_1 \cap A_2 & \rightarrow & (A_1 \cap A_2)/K & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
k_{\Pi} & \rightarrow & A_1 \triangleright A_2 & \rightarrow & (A_1 \triangleright A_2)/K & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi & & \downarrow & \\
A & \rightarrow & A_1A_2 & \rightarrow & K & \\
\end{array}
\]
(9)
The homomorphism \( \Pi \) is given by the same formula as the map \( \pi \). We can define a skew-symmetric pairing on \( A_1 \triangleright A_2 \) using the formula
\[
\alpha((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) = \alpha_1(u_1, v_1)\alpha_2(u_2, v_2).
\]
It follows from the non-degeneracy of \( \alpha_i \) that the orthogonal to \( ker(\Pi) \) in \( A_1 \triangleright A_2 \) is the anti-diagonal image of \( A_1 \cap A_2 \). Hence that the kernel of the restriction of the pairing from \( A_1 \triangleright A_2 \) to \( ker(\Pi) \) coincides with the anti-diagonal image of \( K \). Thus the pairing restricts from \( ker(\Pi) \) to a non-degenerate pairing on \( ker(\Pi)/K = A \).

Proposition 5.3. The support subgroup and the bi-multiplicative form corresponding to the twist \( F_{\alpha_1} \circ F_{\alpha_2} \) are the group \( A \) and the form \( \alpha \) defined in the lemma 5.2.
Proof. First we prove that \( A \) contains the support subgroup. Note that the supports of \( F_{(A_1,\alpha_1)} \circ F_{(A_2,\alpha_2)} \) and \( R = F_{(A_1,\alpha_1)} F_{(A_2,\alpha_2)}^2 F_{(A_1,\alpha_1)} \) coincide. To see that \( R \) belongs to \( k[A] \otimes k[A] \) it is enough to check that \( (I \otimes \pi)(R) = 1 \). Indeed, as an \( R \)-matrix, \( R \) defines a homomorphism of Hopf algebras \( I \mapsto (I \otimes I)(R) \) whose image is the group algebra of the support subgroup of \( R \). The condition \( (I \otimes \pi)(R) = 1 \) will mean that the support subgroup is in the kernel of \( \pi \). We check this condition by showing that \( (I \otimes \pi)(F_{(A_1,\alpha_1)})(I \otimes \pi)(F_{(A_2,\alpha_2)})^{-1} \) or, more precisely, by showing that for any \( c \in K \) the evaluation \( (I \otimes \pi)(F_{(A_1,\alpha_1)})(I \otimes c) \) is the inverse of \( (I \otimes \pi)(F_{(A_2,\alpha_2)})(I \otimes c) \) (here we think of \( k[\bar{K}] \) as the function algebra \( k[K]^* \)). Since for \( y \in A_1 \) \( \pi(y)(c) = \alpha_1(y,c) \)

\[
(I \otimes \pi)(F_{(A_1,\alpha_1)})(I \otimes c) = \frac{1}{|A_1|} \sum_{x,y \in A_1} \alpha_1(x,y) x \otimes \pi(y)(c) = \frac{1}{|A_1|} \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{y \in A_1} \alpha_1(x,y) \alpha_1(y,c) x = \sum_{x \in A_1} \left( \frac{1}{|A_1|} \sum_{y \in A_1} \alpha_1(xc^{-1},y) \right) x.
\]

The inner sum \( \frac{1}{|A_1|} \sum_{y \in A_1} \alpha_1(xc^{-1},y) \) is the \( \delta \)-function \( \delta_{x,c} \). Hence \( (I \otimes \pi)(F_{(A_1,\alpha_1)})(I \otimes c) = c \).

Similarly, using that \( \pi(y)(c) = \alpha_2(y,c)^{-1} \) for \( y \in A_2 \), we get that \( (I \otimes \pi)(F_{(A_2,\alpha_2)})(I \otimes c) = c^{-1} \). Let \( \chi_{u_1u_2} \) be the character on \( A \) corresponding to an element \( u_1u_2 \in A \) via the form \( \alpha \):

\[
\chi_{u_1u_2}(x_1x_2) = \alpha_1(u_1,x_1) \alpha_2(u_2,x_2), \quad x_1x_2 \in A.
\]

To show that \( \alpha^2 \) is the form corresponding to \( R \in k[A]^\otimes 2 \) we need to check that \( (\chi_{u_1u_2} \circ \chi_{v_1v_2})(R) = \alpha(u_1u_2,v_1v_2)^{-2} \) for any \( u_1u_2, v_1v_2 \in A \). Write \( R \) as:

\[
\frac{1}{|A_1|^2|A_2|} \sum_{x_1,x_2 \in A_1, y_1 \in A_2} \alpha_1(x_1,x_2) \alpha_2(y_1,y_2)^2 \alpha_1(z_1,z_2) x_1 y_1 z_1 \otimes x_2 y_2 z_2.
\]

Since \( x_1y_1z_1 = x_i y_i z_i \) (where \( y_i z_i = y_i z_i^{-1} \)), we have for \( (\chi_{u_1u_2} \circ \chi_{v_1v_2})(R) \)

\[
\frac{1}{|A_1|^2|A_2|} \sum_{x_1,x_2 \in A_1, y_1 \in A_2} \alpha_1(x_1,x_2) \alpha_2(y_1,y_2)^2 \alpha_1(z_1,z_2) x_1 y_1 z_1 \]

\[
\alpha_1(u_1,x_1) y_1 z_1 \alpha_2(u_2,y_1) \alpha_1(v_1,x_2) y_2 z_2 \alpha_2(v_2,y_2),
\]

which by multiplicativity and invariance of the forms \( \alpha_i \) equals

\[
\frac{1}{|A_1|^2|A_2|} \sum_{x_1,x_2 \in A_1, y_1 \in A_2} \alpha_1(x_1,x_2u_1^{-1}) \alpha_1(z_1, y_1^{-1} u_1^{-1} z_2)
\]

\[
\alpha_2(y_1,y_2)^2 \alpha_2(u_2,y_1) \alpha_1(v_1,x_2 y_2 z_2) \alpha_2(v_2,y_2).
\]

This expression can be simplified since \( \frac{1}{|A_1|} \sum_{x_1 \in A_1} \alpha_1(x_1,x_2u_1^{-1}) \) is the \( \delta \)-function \( \delta_{x_1,u_1} \) and \( \frac{1}{|A_1|} \sum_{x_1 \in A_1} \alpha_1(z_1, y_1^{-1} u_1^{-1} z_2) \) is the \( \delta \)-function \( \delta_{z_1, y_1^{-1} u_1} \): \[
\frac{1}{|A_2|} \sum_{y_1 \in A_2} \alpha_2(y_1,y_2)^2 \alpha_2(u_2,y_1) \alpha_1(v_1,x_2 y_2 z_2) \alpha_2(v_2,y_2).
\] (10)

Now, writing \( y_2 y_1^{-1} u_1 = y_2 y_1^{-1} u_1 (y_2 y_1^{-1})^{-1} u_1 \) and using that \( u_1^{-1} v_1 = v_1 \) by commutativity of \( A \), we have

\[
\alpha_1(v_1, u_1 y_2 y_1^{-1} u_1) = \alpha_1(v_1, u_1) \alpha_1(v_1, y_2 y_1^{-1}) \alpha_1(v_1, u_1 (y_2 y_1^{-1})^{-1}) \alpha_1(v_1, u_1) = \]
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\[ \alpha_1(v_1, y_2 y_1^{-1}) \alpha_1(u_1^{-1} v_1, (y_2 y_1^{-1})^{-1}) \alpha_1(v_1, u_1)^2 = \alpha_1(v_1, u_1)^2. \]

This allows us to simplify the expression (10) further:

\[ \frac{1}{|A_2|} \sum_{y_1 \in A_2} \alpha_2(y_1, y_2 y_2^{-1}) \alpha_1(v_1, u_1)^2 \alpha_2(v_2, y_2). \]

Finally \( \frac{1}{|A_2|} \sum_{y_1 \in A_2} \alpha_2(y_1, y_2 y_2^{-1}) \) is the \( \delta \)-function \( \delta_{y_2, u_2} \), which leaves us with

\[ \alpha_1(v_1, u_1)^2 \alpha_1(v_2, u_2)^2 = \alpha(u_1 u_2, v_1 v_2)^{-2}. \]

Clearly the operation \( \circ \) on anti-symmetric twists is commutative. Thus the commutator of any two anti-symmetric twists \( F_1 = F_{(A_1, B_1)} \) and \( F_2 = F_{(A_2, B_2)} \) can be written as

\[ [F_1, F_2] = (u \otimes u) \Delta(u)^{-1} \]

for some \( u \in k[G] \). An explicit form of such \( u \) is closely related to a certain invariant of the pair \( (A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2) \), which we are going to describe now. The construction is very similar to the one for twists on universal enveloping algebras from [7]. Denote by \( B = [A_1, A_2] \) the commutant of \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) in \( G \). To a pair of characters \( (x_1, x_2) \) on \( B \) we assign an element \( [x_1, x_2] \in B \), where \( x_i \in A_i \) are defined by

\[ b_i(x_i, x) = x_i(x), \quad \forall x \in B. \]

The elements \( x_i \) are defined up to subgroups

\[ B_{b_i}^+ = \{ y \in A_i \mid b_i(y, x) = 1 \ \forall x \in B \}. \]

Note that these subgroups have the following commutation property:

\[ [B_{b_1}^+, A_2] = [A_1, B_{b_2}^+] = 1. \]

Indeed, for arbitrary \( x \in B_{b_1}^+ \), \( y \in A_2 \) and for any \( z \in A_1 \)

\[ b_1([x, y], z) = b_1(x, [y, z]) = 1. \]

Hence \( [x, y] = 1 \). Similarly for \( [A_1, B_{b_2}^+] \). Thus the commutator \( [x_1, x_2] \) depends only on \( (x_1, x_2) \).

Define a map

\[ c : \hat{B} \times \hat{B} \times \hat{B} \to k \]  

(11)

by \( c(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3([x_1, x_2]) \). This map is multiplicative in each variable. It’s also \( G \)-invariant by the construction. Moreover this map is symmetric. Indeed, let \( y_i \in a_i \) be such that

\[ b_i(y_i, u) = x_i(u), \quad \forall u \in B. \]

Then

\[ x_3([x_1, x_2]) = b_1(y_1, [x_1, x_2]) = b_1([x_2, y_1], x_1) = x_1([y_1, x_2]), \]

which means that \( c(x_1, x_2, x_3) = c(x_3, x_2, x_1) \). Similarly

\[ x_3([x_1, x_2]) = b_2(y_2, [x_1, x_2]) = b_2([y_2, x_1], x_2) = x_2([x_1, y_2]), \]

which means that \( c(x_1, x_2, x_3) = c(x_1, x_3, x_2) \).
**Proposition 5.4.** The commutator of two anti-symmetric twists $F_1 = F_{(A_1, b_1)}$ and $F_2 = F_{(A_2, b_2)}$ belongs to the group algebra $k[[A_1, A_2]]$ of the commutator $B = [A_1, A_2]$ and has the form

$$\sum_{\psi, \chi \in \hat{B}} c(\chi, \chi, \psi)c(\chi, \psi, \psi)p_\psi \otimes p_\chi.$$ 

Here $c : \hat{B} \times \hat{B} \times \hat{B} \to k$ is the map defined above.

**Proof.** Note that $B$ is an isotropic subgroup in $(A_1, b_1)$ and $(A_2, b_2)$. Choose Lagrangian subgroups $B_i \subset A_i$ and write (as in (7))

$$F_i = \sum_{\chi_i, \psi_i \in \hat{B}_i} \tilde{B}_i(\chi_i, \psi_i)p_{\psi_i, \chi_i} \otimes p_{\chi_i^{-1}}.$$ 

Note that the subgroups $B_i$ are in the centre of $A_1 A_2$. Thus we have the following formula for the commutator $[F_1, F_2] = F_1 F_2 t(F_1) t(F_2)$:

$$\sum_{\chi_1, \psi_1} \tilde{B}_1(\chi_1, \psi_1) \tilde{B}_2(\chi_2, \psi_2) \tilde{B}_1(\chi_1', \psi_1') \tilde{B}_2(\chi_2', \psi_2') = p_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_1'^{-1}} p_{\chi_2, \chi_2'} p_{\chi_1} p_{\chi_1'},$$

which immediately gives $\chi_1' = \psi_1^{-1}, \chi_2' = \psi_2^{-1}, \psi_1' = \chi_1^{-1}, \psi_2' = \chi_2^{-1}$. Since the groups $B_i$ do not have 2-torsion $\tilde{B}_i(\chi_i, \psi_i) \tilde{B}_i(\psi_i^{-1}, \chi_i^{-1}) = 1$ and the commutator takes the form:

$$\sum_{\chi_1, \psi_1} p_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \chi_1} \chi_2^{-1} \chi_1^{-1} \otimes p_{\chi_1^{-1}} p_{\chi_2^{-1}}.$$ 

Obviously, $p_{\psi_1, \psi_2} = 0$ if the restrictions of $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ to $B$ do not coincide. Note that $\sum_{\psi_1, \psi_2} \psi_1 \psi_2 = p_{\psi}$ and that $\psi([\chi_1, \chi_2]) = c(\chi, \chi, \psi); \chi([\psi_1, \psi_2]) = c(\chi, \psi, \psi)$ so the commutator is equal to

$$\sum_{\psi, \chi \in \hat{B}} c(\chi, \chi, \psi)c(\chi, \psi, \psi)p_\psi \otimes p_\chi.$$ 

Now to find an element $u = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{B}} u(\chi)p_\chi \in k[B]$ such that

$$[F_1, F_2] = (u \otimes u) \Delta(u)^{-1}$$

it is enough to solve the equation

$$u(\chi \psi) = c(\chi, \chi, \psi)c(\chi, \psi, \psi)u(\chi)u(\psi).$$

Since $c$ is symmetric we can always find a solution. It is much more subtle to check that this solution will be $G$-invariant, which will guarantee that $u$ is in the centre of $k[G]$. However if $3$ is coprime to $|G|$ then we can always do that by solving $u(\chi)^3 = c(\chi, \chi, \chi)$. Thus we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.5.** For a finite group $G$ whose order is not divisible by 2 and 3 the group of isomorphism classes $\text{Aut}_{\text{Tw}}(k[G])$ of twisted automorphisms of the group ring $k[G]$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of $\text{Out}(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}^{\text{anti}}_{\text{Tw}}(k[G])$, where $\text{Aut}^{\text{anti}}_{\text{Tw}}(k[G])$ coincides with the abelian group of anti-symmetric twists; that is, the group of pairs $(A, b)$ consisting of a normal subgroup $A \subset G$ and an alternative bi-multiplicative form $b : A \times A \to k'$. 
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Example 5.6. Heisenberg group.
For an elementary abelian p-group \( E \) with a symplectic form \( b : E \otimes E \to F_p \) with values in the prime field \( F_p \), let \( H = H(E, b) \) be the corresponding Heisenberg group:

Fix a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity \( \varepsilon \) in \( k \). Any anti-symmetric invariant twist in \( k[H]^{\otimes 2} \) has a form:

\[
F_x = \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{i,j,t=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^t x^i c^j \otimes x^j c^i = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-1} x^i p_{-j} \otimes x^j p_i
\]

for some non-central \( x \in E \). Here \( p_i = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^{-t} c^i \) are central idempotents.

Since \( F_x^{-1} = F_x^{-1} \), the commutator of two invariant twists can be expressed as follows:

\[
[F_x, F_y] = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-1} [x^i, y^j] p_j \otimes [x^i, y^j] p_i = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-1} c^{ib(x,y)} p_j \otimes c^{ib(x,y)} p_i = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^{(i^2 + j^2)b(x,y)} p_j \otimes p_i.
\]

Note that \( i^2 + j^2 = 1 \left( (i + j)^2 - i^2 - j^2 \right) \). Thus for \( \eta \in k \) such that \( \eta^3 = \varepsilon \) the element \( u = u(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \eta^{-kb(x,y)} p_k \) satisfies

\[
(u \otimes u)\Delta(u)^{-1} = [F_x, F_y].
\]

Example 5.7. Non-commuting invariant anti-symmetric twists.
Here, following the Lie algebra case, we construct a group with two abelian normal subgroups equipped with invariant bi-multiplicative forms with given invariant (11). Let \( C \) be an abelian group and \( c : C \oplus C \oplus C \to k \) be a symmetric tri-multiplicative map. Define on \( M(C, c) = C \oplus C \oplus \hat{C} \) the structure of a meta-abelian group:

\[
(x_1, y_1, \chi_1)(x_2, y_2, \chi_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, \chi_1 \chi_2 c(x_1, y_2, -)).
\]

The subgroups \( A_1 = C \oplus \{0\} \oplus \hat{C}, A_2 = \{0\} \oplus C \oplus \hat{C} \) are normal abelian. The standard alternative bi-multiplicative forms \( b_1 \) on \( A_2 \) are invariant. The commutant of the invariant twists \( F_i = F_{(A_i, b_i)} \) has the form

\[
[F_1, F_2] = (u \otimes u)\Delta(u)^{-1}
\]

for

\[
u = \sum_{x,y \in C} c(x,x,y) c(x,y,y) p_x \otimes p_y,
\]

where \( p_x = |C|^{-1} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{C}} \chi(x) \chi(0,0,0) \in k[\hat{C}] \subset k[M(C, c)] \).

Let \( Q \) be a group acting on \( C \) and preserving \( c \). Then \( Q \) acts by automorphisms on \( M(C, c) \) and this action preserves subgroups \( A_i \) with forms \( b_i \). Thus the twists \( F_i = F_{(A_i, b_i)} \) remain invariant for the semi-direct product \( G = M(C, c) \rtimes Q \). Applying this construction to the tri-multiplicative map \( c = e^{2\pi i \tau} \) corresponding to the tri-linear form \( \tau \) from the example (3.4) with \( Q = Aut(\tau) \), we get an example of two invariant anti-symmetric twists whose commutant corresponds to a non-trivial class-preserving automorphism.
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