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Ji H. Mazák1*, Per Christiansen2, Andrew C. Kitchener3

1 Shanghai Science and Technology Museum, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China, 2 Department of Biotechnology, Chemistry, and Environmental Engineering, University of Aalborg, Aalborg, Denmark, 3 National Museums Scotland, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Abstract

The tiger is one of the most iconic extant animals, and its origin and evolution have been intensely debated. Fossils attributable to extant pantherine species-lineages are less than 2 MYA and the earliest tiger fossils are from the Calabrian, Lower Pleistocene. Molecular studies predict a much younger age for the divergence of modern tiger subspecies at <100 KYA, although their cranial morphology is readily distinguishable, indicating that early Pleistocene tigers would likely have differed markedly anatomically from extant tigers. Such inferences are hampered by the fact that well-known fossil tiger material is middle to late Pleistocene in age. Here we describe a new species of pantherine cat from Longdan, Gansu Province, China, Panthera zdanskyi sp. nov. With an estimated age of 2.55–2.16 MYA it represents the oldest complete skull of a pantherine cat hitherto found. Although smaller, it appears morphologically to be surprisingly similar to modern tigers considering its age. Morphological, morphometric, and cladistic analyses are congruent in confirming its very close affinity to the tiger, and it may be regarded as the most primitive species of the tiger lineage, demonstrating the first unequivocal presence of a modern pantherine species-lineage in the basal stage of the Pleistocene (Gelasian; traditionally considered to be Late Pliocene). This find supports a north-central Chinese origin of the tiger lineage, and demonstrates that various parts of the cranium, mandible, and dentition evolved at different rates. An increase in size and a reduction in the relative size of parts of the dentition appear to have been prominent features of tiger evolution, whereas the distinctive cranial morphology of modern tigers was established very early in their evolutionary history. The evolutionary trend of increasing size in the tiger lineage is likely coupled to the evolution of its primary prey species.

Introduction

The extant pantherine cats comprise a well supported clade of seven extant species and several fossil species primarily known from the Middle and Late Pleistocene [1,2], and fossils attributable to all extant species-lineages are also Pleistocene (Calabrian-Tarantian) [2–4]. The earliest known Panthera fossils are from the transition between Early and Late Pliocene of East Africa with an estimated age of <3.8 Ma, corresponding to the latest Zanclean or early Piacenzian [2,5]; these comprise maxillary and mandibular fragments, a few isolated tooth and postcranial elements of a lion-sized species and a leopard-sized species, but their taxonomic status is still open to question, although they have tentatively been attributed to Panthera cf. leo and P. cf. pardus, respectively [5–8]. It is possible that they are members of the stem-lineage leading to the leopard/lion crown clade [2,8], which is well supported in phylogenetic analysis [1,9].

The oldest member of the tiger lineage is traditionally considered to be P. palaeosinensis [10] and primitive tigers are inferred to have been morphologically similar to P. palaeosinensis [4,11]. However, modern cladistic and morphometric studies do not support a close affinity to the tiger, and instead indicate a more basal position within the Pantherinae [1,12]. Dating of P. palaeosinensis is uncertain but it is traditionally held to be Early Pleistocene or around the traditional Plio-Pleistocene boundary [3,4,11,13]. The oldest known fossils definitively attributable to tigers comprise maxillary and mandibular fragments from the Lower Pleistocene (Calabrian) of Lantian, China, whereas the few largely complete skulls are all from the late Middle or Late Pleistocene [4,14–20]. The geographical origin of the tiger has been much debated; it is believed to have originated either in north-central China [16,17], southern China [21], or northern Siberia [20,22]. Extant putative subspecies show morphological [4,16,17,23,24] and genetic [25] differences, and are inferred to have diverged much later at <100 KYA [25,26].

A recently discovered and varied mammal fauna from the Lower Pleistocene in Longdan, Dongxiang County, Gansu Province of north-western China was announced in 2004 [27], and palaeomagnetic data have allowed an accurate dating at 2.55–2.16 MYA [27]. This is traditionally equivalent to the last stage (Gelasian, 2.588-1.806 MYA) of the Pliocene [28,29]; however, recently, the Gelasian was re-assigned to the basal Pleistocene by the International Commission on Stratigraphy [30]. Among the fossils was a pantherine rostrum, which was assigned to P. palaeosinensis based largely on size [27]. The recent discovery of a complete and well-preserved skull at Longdan demonstrates that the rostrum cannot be referred to P. palaeosinensis, but is a new species of jaguar-sized pantherine, which is morphologically far more tiger-like than P. palaeosinensis (Fig. 1). Predating known tiger fossils by at least half a million years, this discovery opens a new window on the origin and
evolution of the tiger lineage, and also has significant implications for pantherine evolution in general.

Results

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY
Class MAMMALIA Linnaeus, 1758
Order CARNIVORA Bowdich, 1821
Family FELIDAE Fischer, 1817
Subfamily PANTHERINAE Pocock, 1917
Genus PANTHERA, Oken, 1816
Panthera zdanskyi sp. Nov (urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A7A75025-5E17-4CAA-B6FE-400301F8A57D)

Etymology. In recognition of the late Austrian paleontologist Dr. Otto A. Zdansky (1894–1988), who contributed greatly to our knowledge of Neogene Chinese fossil carnivores.

Holotype. An almost complete skull and mandible (Babiarz Institute of Paleontological Studies B.I.O.P.S.I 00177). The Babiarz Institute of Paleontological Studies, Inc., in Mesa, Arizona, is a privately owned institute specializing in fossil cats, which has a number of other felid type specimens registered, e.g., the unusual saber-toothed felid Xenomis dusdensis [31].

Paratype. A rostrum, premaxilla and maxilla and much of the dentition (Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese academy of Science IVPP 13530), originally referred to P. palamonsis [27].

Type Locality. East slope of Longdan, south of Dongxiang Autonomous county, Gansu province, N.W. China.

Geological age and fauna. Specimens of P. zdanskyi were found in the Lower Pleistocene Equus fauna, which has been dated to 2.55–2.16 MA (Gelasian, basal-most Pleistocene).

Description of the material

Holotype. The holotype consists of a well-preserved cranium and mandible (Fig. 1). The cranium is moderately latero-medially compressed and its left side is slightly dorso-ventrally flattened in the frontal-orbital region and is slightly pushed upwards (about 10–15 mm) relative to the right side. The nasals are somewhat laterally compressed and slightly more beveled than would originally have been the case. The mandible has also suffered some lateral compression of the rami, but each ramus is in perfect condition, and the entire dentition is excellently preserved. The above implies that overall width measurements and further morphometric comparisons of three-dimensional aspects of the cranium are unreliable. However, morphometric comparisons of the lateral views of the specimen are feasible, especially the right side of the cranium and left mandibular ramus. Metric variables of the dentition and along the long axis of the cranium are reliable as these are not influenced by compaction.

Overall cranial morphology is typical of Panthera spp. The cranium is heavy and robust; the frontal-interorbital region is not noticeably vaulted; the sagittal crest is well developed such that the area behind the frontal elevation is less steeply sloped and the dorsal profile is fairly straight; the lambdoidal crests are well developed; and the neurocranial axis is nearly horizontal to the splanchnocranial axis. The facial part of the cranium is massive. Although compressed laterally, the nasals are evidently elongated and generally triangular in shape in dorsal perspective, narrowing posteriorly, and they clearly project posteriorly to the frontal processes of the maxillae; the nasal processes of the nasals (processus nasalis ossis nasalis) are long. The frontal-maxillary suture is acute and square-shaped. The infraorbital foramen is relatively larger than that of the paratype. There is a deep longitudinal depression in the frontal region. The zygomatic arches are massive, bearing strong lateral antero-posterior ridges for the M. masseter profundus. In ventral view, the posterior margin of the palate is V-shaped, and the longitudinal depressions on the palate are deeply marked.

The mandible is also robust and typically Panthera-like. The horizontal ramus is particularly massive with a nearly straight ventral profile, and the anterior symphysis is robust and moderately

Figure 1. Holotype of Panthera zdanskyi sp. nov. BIOPSI 00177 (Babiarz Institute of Paleontological Studies) from the earliest Pleistocene of Longdan, Dongxiang County, Gansu Province, China in A, lateral; and B, ventral views; C, lateral view of mandible. The skull measures as follows (in mm): greatest skull length, 264.0; condylobasal length, 236.3; nasal length, 81.6; mandible length, 15.4; P1 width, 9.7.

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0025483.g001
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anteriorly inclined with a rounded anterior edge. The coronoid process is well developed and inclined posteriorly, the masseteric fossa reaches the anterior edge of M3, and is strongly excavated with a well developed crest along its antero-ventral margin, indicating powerful mandibular adductors.

The teeth are proportionally large, and the canines are conspicuously tall and robust, in particular compared with those of similar-sized leopards (P. pardus) and jaguars (P. onca). The upper carnassial (P4) has a distinct ectoparastyle and a well-developed protocone. The lower carnassial (M3) has a distinct talonid, and the paraconid and protoconid cusps are rather short and low compared to crown length; additionally, the paraconid is large relative to the protoconid.

**Paratype.** A maxillary with I1-I3, C1, P2, P3 and the anterior part of P4 (Fig. 2). Originally referred to *P. palaeosinensis* [27], it is distinctly different from the type specimen of *P. palaeosinensis* but is nearly identical to the holotype of *P. zdanskyi* (Supporting Information Fig. S1). The maxillary is slightly dorso-ventrally flattened and its right side is pushed slightly anteriorly. It is intermediate in size between the maxillaries of large male leopards (*P. pardus*) and Sunda Island tigers (*P. t. sumatrae, P. t. sondaica*). The snout is relatively vertical and is massive at the root of the canines. The anterior narial aperture is heart-shaped with a narrow and tapered ventral area similar to that of *P. zdanskyi* and tigers. The infraorbital foramen appears to be relatively large and its shape closely matches those of *P. zdanskyi* and tigers. The palate is broad and short. Both I1 and I2 are small and I3 is distinctly (>50%) larger than I1 and I2. The canine is conspicuously large and robust, very similar to those of *P. zdanskyi* and tigers. Along its lateral aspect are two distinct longitudinal grooves. P2 is small, and P3 has a low anterior cusp (parastyle), a large main cusp (paracone), a low metacone cusp, and a raised, thickened posterior cingulum. Only the anterior parts of P4 are preserved, but as far as can be observed, crown morphology is close to that of *P. zdanskyi* and tigers, such that there is a small, but distinct, ectoparastyle and a strongly developed protocone.

**Comparison with other Panthera**

With a condylobasal skull length (CBL) of 236 mm, the type specimen of *P. zdanskyi* is similar in size to the smallest females of extant tiger subspecies (Supporting Information Fig. S2), but its overall morphology indicates that it was a male [32]. Typical of tigers [33,34], the upper canine is well developed and robust, and its crown height is 23.7% of CBL. Compared to other *Panthera*, this is even higher than in extant tigers (0.166–0.206); and much higher than in jaguars (0.160–0.206), leopards (0.132–0.202), lions (0.141–0.185), *P. palaeosinensis* (0.200), and the Late Pleistocene *P. atrox* (0.150–0.186) and *P. spelaea* (0.165–0.186). This massive canine is also present in the paratype. Another characteristic trait of tigers is long nasals relative to skull size [12,33,34] and in *P. zdanskyi* the nasals are 34.5% of CBL; this is within the lower range for extant tigers (0.333–0.417); and at the extreme upper ranges in jaguars (0.275–0.346), leopards (0.296–0.347), and lions (0.287–0.357); and higher than in *P. atrox* (0.258–0.291) or *P. spelaea* (0.301–0.312). As noted above, the nasals project well posterior to the maxilla-frontal suture, another characteristic tiger trait [12,33,34], which is absent in *P. palaeosinensis*, where they are approximately at level with each other. The zygomatic arches are massive, and zygomatic height at the postorbital process is 14.3% of CBL; this is at the upper range of tigers (0.095–0.146) and *P. spelaea* (0.114–0.149); and it is higher than in jaguars (0.077–0.117), leopards (0.093–0.127), lions (0.098–0.137), *P. palaeosinensis* (0.124), and *P. atrox* (0.099–0.121), giving *P. zdanskyi* a massive cheek region, indicative of high bite forces (Supporting Information Fig. S3).

Mandibular morphology is similar to that of tigers in its straight ventral profile, and the mandible is heavily built. Mandible heights at four designated points (posterior to M1; at M1/P1; at P1/P3; anterior to P1) relative to mandible length are at the upper ranges of the corresponding ratios among other species of *Panthera*. It is traditionally considered that primitive tigers had proportionally smaller carnassials (P4 and M1) than those of modern tigers, and that tigers with relatively large carnassials first appear on the Asian mainland at Zhoukoudian in the Late Pleistocene [4,15,35], but *P. zdanskyi* preserves this. Most dental cusp proportions relative to overall crown length are fairly uniform among extant and extinct *Panthera* species with large overlaps in ratios. This is also the case for some of the teeth in the *P. zdanskyi*, for instance P3 metacone and paracone lengths, or P3 paracone length and width across the protocone. P4 has a distinct ectoparastyle, as in modern tigers, which is usually absent in other extant *Panthera* except its occasional presence in some jaguars. The P4 metacone is relatively short (35.4% of crown length), which is below the ratio in *P. palaeosinensis* (0.385), but within the relative size ranges of other *Panthera* species. Interestingly the upper dentition in *P. zdanskyi* is more similar to that of tigers and also to other *Panthera* than the lower dentition (Supporting Information Fig. S4). M1 has a distinct talonid, and
relatively very short paraconid and protoconid cusps (0.374 and 0.474 relative to M1 length, respectively), compared to the ratio ranges of tigers (0.370–0.433/0.456–0.575), jaguars (0.374–0.436/0.487–0.570), leopards (0.384–0.481/0.445–0.560), lions (0.350–0.447/0.489–0.556), *P. palaeosinensis* (0.639/0.631), *P. atrox* (0.367–0.472/0.477–0.583), and *P. spelaea* (0.406–0.461/0.464–0.504). The paraconid and protoconid are also very low in *P. zdanskyi* relative to M1 length (0.520 and 0.480, respectively), compared to those of other *Panthera* (0.6–0.8 paraconid height; and 0.52–0.67 protoconid height); other *Panthera* are quite similar for these ratio ranges. The length of the paraconid relative to P4 length in *P. zdanskyi* (0.178) is typical for other *Panthera*, but the protoconid is large (0.485), which is at the upper range for tigers (0.417–0.511), and is similar to those of lions, leopards, *P. atrox* and *P. spelaea*. *P. palaeosinensis* has a larger P4 paraconid and a much smaller protoconid than those of *P. zdanskyi*.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

A cladistic analysis based on the database from [1] confirmed that *P. zdanskyi* is the sister taxon to the tiger (Fig. 3). In most

![Figure 3. Strict consensus cladogram of two equally parsimonious trees of Pantherinae relationships (L = 103; CI = 0.66; HI = 0.34; RI = 0.65; RC = 0.43) based on 523 ingroup (*Neofelis Panthera*) and 37 outgroup (*Leopardus pardalis*; *Puma concolor*) specimens from [1] computed in PAUP. *Panthera zdanskyi* is the sister taxon of *P. tigris*. Bootstrap values indicated are 1000 replications. Art work by Velizar Simeonovski (Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025483.g003
characters, they are similar, except that P. zdanskyi has a small lacrimal process (in extant tigers it is large); the jugal-squamosal suture is positioned far posteriorly to the postorbital process; and M3 is relatively very large. The phylogenetic analysis confirms the very close (sister-group) relationship of P. zdanskyi and the tiger, but the character differences identify P. zdanskyi as a different species from the tiger. However, other subtle differences also indicate that P. zdanskyi cannot be grouped within the evolutionary radiation of the tiger, but should be regarded as a very closely related, separate species.

A geometric morphometric analysis of the cranium showed that P. zdanskyi has a skull shape that is close to the cranial shape-space of modern tigers, but also tends towards that of jaguars, and although it groups within the morphospaces of both species, it is clearly most similar to that of extant tigers (Fig. 4). A Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) was also performed on partial warps 1–13 and on the uniform warps X and Y, and a subsequent jackknifed classification analysis also identified P. zdanskyi as a tiger. In contrast, the long-held tiger ancestor P. palaeosinensis is found to group well away from tigers and P. zdanskyi, and to fall within the morphospace of extant leopards. A UPGMA tree based on the distance-matrix derived from the cranial geometric morphometric analysis corroborated the phylogenetic study, showing that P. zdanskyi is the sister-taxon to the extant tiger (Fig. 5).

In summary, cranio-dental morphology, shape analyses, and character distribution of P. zdanskyi corroboratively and unanimously indicate that it has a close affinity to the extant tiger and thus it firmly removes P. palaeosinensis as a potential ancestor of the tiger lineage. The combination of a tiger-like cranium and upper dentition, and a slightly less tiger-like mandible and lower dentition is indicative of a distinct species that is probably ancestral to the lineage leading to extant tiger diversity, as also indicated by character distribution and phylogenetic analysis. P. zdanskyi is the oldest known complete skull of a pantherine felid hitherto discovered, and it lends support to the notion that the tiger lineage originated in the earliest Pleistocene (traditionally considered Late Pliocene) in North-western China.

**Discussion**

Panthera zdanskyi is an ancient, very primitive member of the particular Panthera species-lineage of which the extant tiger represents the crown taxon. In many ways it is morphologically surprisingly similar to extant tigers, given that it is more than two million years old, but distinct differences are also apparent. As such, it may not have shared the same coat morphology as extant tigers (Fig. 7). In light of the above, we propose an informal vernacular name for Panthera zdanskyi, the Longdan tiger.

In reconstructing the evolution of the tiger lineage, there are two principal aspects to consider. Firstly, the origin and divergence from other Panthera, species-lineages; and, secondly, the biogeographical history of the tiger species-lineage, including regional differences...
The biogeography of the tiger is fairly well known because of a good Middle-Late Pleistocene fossil record, but prior to the discovery of the Longdan tiger, fossils from the earliest Pleistocene were unknown. Accordingly, current knowledge of the divergence of the tiger lineage from other *Panthera* depends largely on molecular studies [9, 25, 36, 37]. Molecular data indicate that the radiation of modern felid lineages began with the divergence of the *Panthera* lineage around 10.8 MYA, and probably occurred in Southeast Asia. Soon afterwards, this was followed by a rapid radiation leading to the five extant *Panthera* species, among which the tiger and snow leopard, *P. uncia*, could share a sistergroup relationship [9]. The latter is, however, disputed by most morphological studies [1, 3, 38, 39, this study] and several molecular studies as well [40–42].

**Figure 5.** UPGMA distance-matrix tree constructed based on relative warp scores on a geometric morphometric analysis of cranial shape in the Pantherinae. The tree topology is broadly congruent with current knowledge on Pantherinae relationships based on parsimony analyses. *Panthera zdanskyi* is the sister taxon to *P. tigris*, consistent with the tiger-like cranial morphology of *P. zdanskyi*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025483.g005

**Figure 6.** The shape of the mandible in *Panthera* spp. and *Neofelis nebulosa* analysed through a geometric morphometric thin plate splines analysis based on 18 landmarks, collectively capturing the overall shape of the mandible. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025483.g006
The completeness of the Longdan tiger permits a more comprehensive hypothesis of tiger morpho-evolution than has hitherto been possible. Tigers were originally jaguar-sized (Supporting Information Fig. S2, Appendix S1) with very large teeth and a robust skull, and the tiger-like cranium and upper dentition were present from early on, whereas the mandible and lower dentition were more primitive and evolved at a faster rate during subsequent evolution of this lineage. A similar pattern of mosaic evolution is present in the cheetah lineage, and the primitive cheetah, *Acinonyx kurtisi*, from the same region as *P. zdanskyi* has a cheetah-like cranium but a more primitive dentition [43], suggesting that this pattern may be common in felid evolution. A metric comparison of tiger dentitions from the earliest Pleistocene to the Holocene from various regions in East and Southeast Asia also suggest that a dominant trend in tiger evolution was increase in size, although the pattern is complicated and non-linear (Fig. 8).

Compared to extant putative tiger subspecies, the skull of the Longdan tiger does not show any major differences other than size and minor differences in dental sizes and characteristics (Supporting Information Fig. S5). However, it is nonetheless clearly distinct from the modern tiger, as also shown above. Interestingly, multivariate Discriminant Analysis of size-adjusted cranio-mandibular and dental variables indicates that the Longdan tiger

Figure 7. Artist’s reconstruction of the Longdan tiger (*Panthera zdanskyi* sp. nov.), illustrated by Velizar Simeonovski (Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago). Myology reconstruction was done according to current knowledge of felid soft part anatomy, but coat morphology is tentative. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025483.g007

Figure 8. The size-change of tigers through the Late Pliocene-Pleistocene, using carnassial (P4 and M1) crown lengths and p3-M1 length. Sample localities are: Longdan (Gansu); Trinil (Java); Lantian (Shanxi); Liucheng (Guangxi); Wanxian (Sichuan); Fuming (Yunnan); Zhouskoudian (Beijing); Shandindong (Beijing). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025483.g008
shows the greatest morphological affinity to extant and recently extinct Sunda Island tigers, and it appears to be less similar to the large Amur or Bengal tigers of the Asiatic mainland (Supporting Information Fig. S5, Fig. S6). However, it is morphologically clearly distinguishable from the skulls of all modern tigers (Fig. 9) and it is evidently not a part of the evolution leading to the intraspecific radiation of the extant tiger subspecies. This is perhaps not surprising giving that subspecies radiation is inferred to have occurred comparatively recently at less than 100 Kya [25,26].

The UPGMA tree is broadly congruent with molecular studies on extant tiger subspecies, which have indicated a sister-group relationship between P. t. altaica and P. t. virgata [44], and with P. t. corbetti as the sister-group to these two [45], although not with P. t. amoyensis and P. t. tigris included. P. t. amoyensis is often considered the most primitive extant tiger subspecies [44,46–48], yet this is not replicated in the present study. The sister-group relationship of P. t. corbetti and P. t. tigris indicated here is congruent with earlier estimates of tiger phylogeny [48–50]. The sister-group relationship of P. t. sondaica and P. t. balica is also congruent with traditional views [50–55]. P. t. sumatrae is traditionally inferred to be distinct from other putative tiger subspecies genetically [44,45,56] and morphologically [50] and an earlier study on craniometric data indicated that it is more similar to P. t. corbetti than to other Sunda Island tigers [55], but in the current study it is found to group close to the other Sunda Island subspecies.

Expectedly, the Longdan tiger emerged as the most primitive tiger separated by a long distance from all extant tiger subspecies. This is congruent with an interpretation as an early branch of the tiger lineage but outside the evolutionary radiation within P. tigris. However, it is evident that even the members of the tiger lineage had already evolved an overall cranial morphology very similar to those of extant tigers, but the rates of evolution of the cranium, mandible and dentition have varied over the last ~two million years. The overall skull morphology, inferred high bite forces, and the size and morphology of the dentition indicate that earliest Pleistocene tigers were already functionally and perhaps ecologically similar to modern tigers. Studies of extant tigers indicate that several factors have had marked influences on body size, including size and availability of prey, metabolic constraints on islands, and inter and intraspecific competition [57]. Tigers are dependent on large prey [58,59] and cervids are the most important prey species across most of their geographic and faunistic range, but tigers also prey on wild pigs and bovines such as banteng and gaur [59–61]. The Pleistocene was a time of great adaptive radiations of cervids [62] and bovids [63,64] in Southeast Asia, and assuming similar evolutionary constraints in the Early Pleistocene, the increase in tiger size may be an adaptation to increases in the size of their preferred prey.

Materials and Methods

Morphological comparative material: Morphometric comparisons of Panthera zdanskyi with extant and extinct pantherines were performed using a database of skulls collected at museums across China, Europe, and the United States. We used a comparative database of 207 specimens of extant tigers of all putative subspecies; 207 lions; 66 jaguars; 100 leopards; and of extinct Pleistocene pantherines were used 14 specimens of Panthera atrox; 5 specimens of P. spelaea; two specimens of P. gombaszoegensis; and the holotype of P. palaeosinensis.

Traditional morphometric analyses

We used bivariate comparative analyses (ANOVA and two-sample t-tests, as appropriate) and multivariate MANOVA, Principal Components Analysis (PCA), and stepwise Discriminant Function Analyses (DFA) on measured variables to compare the craniomandibular and dental morphology of Panthera zdanskyi to those of other pantherines.

Geometric morphometric analyses

The morphology of the cranium and mandible of Panthera zdanskyi and its morphological resemblance to those of other pantherine species were also assessed using geometric morphometric analyses of the lateral aspect of the cranium and mandible. Geometric morphometric approaches study the shape of structures rather than covariance matrices and/or axes of dissimilarity, and, thus do not address linear distances among taxa by mathematical combinations of measured variables, as in traditional multivariate analyses; such approaches have the added advantage of separating morphological shape differences from differences resulting from size [65,66]. We used the Thin Plate Splines (TPS) geometric morphometric function decomposed by its partial warps, which analyses shape deformations of structures compared to a predefined

Figure 9. A UPGMA cluster analysis constructed from squared Euclidean distances derived from a Principal Components Analysis (PCA) on craniomandibular and dental proportions in putative tiger subspecies.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025483.g009
reference shape configuration [65,66]. The TPS function
\[ f(x,y) = ax + ay + ao + \sum wiU((x,y) - (x_i,y_i)) \]
interpolates a surface which is fixed at the landmarks, and is computed so as to minimize the overall bending energy function
\[ \int 2 \int (f^2 xx + f^2 yy + 2f^2 xy) dx dy \]
where bending energy is defined as the integral over \( R^2 \) of the squares of the second derivatives; \( w_i \) are coordinates; and \( U = r \log(r) \), which is the so-called fundamental solution to the biharmonic equation \( \Delta^2 U = 0 \). We digitized 16 landmarks at homologous points on the cranium and 10 landmarks on the mandible in tpsDig [67], collectively capturing the overall shape of the cranium and mandible, and performed relative warps analyses in tpsRelw [68]. At an \( \sigma = 0 \), as used in this study, a relative warps analysis is a Principal Components Analysis of shape changes based on the covariance matrix of partial warp scores.

Systematic analyses
The phylogenetic affinities of Panthera zdanskyi were assessed using a combination of cladistic parsimony analysis and distance-matrix analysis of cranial shape based on relative warp scores. We performed cladistic analyses using the maximum parsimony criterion and heuristic search in PAUP 4.0 [69] and bootstrap analyses (1000 replications) to assess the robustness and significance of the reported clades. The database used was from [1, Supporting Information Appendix S2], and comprised of 39 clouded leopards (22 Neofelis diardi and 17 N. nebulae); 132 lions; 56 jaguars; 108 leopards; 120 tigers; 33 snow leopards; and 23 Neofelis nebulosa. We performed distance-matrix UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic mean) analyses in MEGA 4.1 [70,71] on the relative warp scores [see 72 for method discussion] from a geometric morphometric analysis on cranial shape in pantherines. The use of this kind of distance-matrix approach for tree construction is not phenetics as traditionally understood because of the incorporation of an outgroup to provide an axis of polarity and a measure of derived similarity of shape coordinates.

Supporting Information

**Figure S1** A principal components analysis on size-adjusted metric variables of the anterior part of the upper dentition from the holotype and paratype of Panthera zdanskyi n. sp.; the holotype of Panthera palaeosinensis; the middle Pleistocene European jaguar (Panthera gombaszoegensis); the lower middle Pleistocene Chinese tigers (from Lantian) and a number of extant pantherines.

**Figure S2** A comparison of condylobasal skull lengths (CBL) of 615 specimens of extant Panthera species, and the two fossil species, Panthera palaeosinensis and Panthera zdanskyi sp. nov. Panthera zdanskyi is similar in size to the smallest female specimens of modern tiger subspecies, but its morphology indicates that it was, in fact, a male, suggesting a size that is below even the smallest extant tiger males of any subspecies. Rather, Panthera zdanskyi appears to have been similar in size to jaguar males or large leopard males.

**Figure S3** Bite forces were computed based on a model of relative, not absolute (i.e., in Newtons) force outputs from the temporals and masseter muscles. Relative force output from the temporals was computed as (((((ZW - (BW+POW)/2)/2) * TFL)0.5) * MAT); and relative force output from the massteter was computed as (((CFL + MSW)/2 + MSL)0.5) * MAM; where BW, is the width across the braincase; CFL, is the maximal anteroposterior length of the mandibular coronoid fossa; MAM, is the inlever moment arm of the masseter muscle from the mandibular cotyle to the ventral mandibular rim; MAT, is the inlever moment arm of the temporals from the mandibular cotyle to the tip of the coronoid process; MSL, is the maximal anteroposterior length of the massteteric scar along the lateral face of the zygomatic arch; ZW, is the width across the postorbital constriction of the skull; TFL, is the anteroposterior length of the temporal fossa in the skull from the posterior edge of the postorbital process to the anterior edge of the rim along the occipital crest; POW, is the internal width across the zygomatic arches (i.e. not including the width or the arches themselves). This provides an estimate of the force outputs from the mandibular adductors along one side of the skull, and to get the estimated total force output the values were doubled.

**Figure S4** Stepwise Discriminant Analyses of upper dentition variables (C1 crown length and alveolar width; P1 crown length and width; P4 crown length, width and length of the paracone and metacone blades) and lower dentition variables (C1 crown height and alveolar width; P3 crown length and width; P4 crown length and width; M3 crown length and width). For upper dentition, Panthera tigris ssp. are fossil tiger teeth from Lantian; and for lower dentition, Panthera tigris ssp. are fossil tiger teeth from Lantian and Yunnan. The analysis of upper dentition variables shows that Panthera zdanskyi groups close to extant and fossil tigers, whereas Panthera palaeosinensis groups closer to extant jaguars (Panthera onca) and Pleistocene jaguar-like cats (Panthera gombaszoegensis). In contrast to multivariate analyses on upper dentition, the analysis on lower dentition variables shows that Panthera zdanskyi groups intermediately between tigers and jaguars, and more closely to the latter. A jack-knifed classification analysis did, however, classify Panthera zdanskyi as a tiger rather than a jaguar. The morphological distinction between Panthera zdanskyi and Panthera palaeosinensis is less for lower dentition than for upper dentition.

**Figure S5** 3D plot of Principal Components (PC) 1–3 of a multivariate analysis on cranionmandibular and dental proportions indicating that the Longdan tiger is distinctly different from all modern tigers on PC1, which is primarily related to its proportionally large teeth (in particular a well developed P4 protocone), and long tooth rows.

**Figure S6** A plot of the first two Discriminant functions from a multivariate study Discriminant Function (DFA) study on Principal Component scores of cranionmandibular and dental proportions in putative tiger subspecies without a priori classification. The Longdan tiger groups close to the group centroids of the extant Sunda island tiger subspecies, the Javan tiger (Panthera tigris sondaica); the Bali tiger (Panthera t. balica); and the Sumatra tiger (Panthera t. sumatrae).
Appendix S1  Actual body masses (kg) and condylobasal skull lengths (CBL; mm) in 19 specimens representing 6 species of extinct pantherines used for computing regression analysis for predicting the body mass in Panthera zdanskyi. Regression analysis on species-averaged variables of Log10 CBL and Log10 actual body mass in kg of 6 extinct pantherines was used to predict the body mass of P. zdanskyi, and the result is 76.8 kg.

Appendix S2  Description of characters and data matrix used in phylogenetic analysis. For detail interpretation of character selection and coding, please see [1].
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