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S1. OVERVIEW OF COMPOUNDS

![Chemical structures of compounds 1-10](image)

1. $X=O$, $R=\text{Ph}$
2. $X=O$, $R=p-C_6H_4F$
3. $X=O$, $R=C_6F_5$
4. $X=O$, $R=p-C_6H_4(F)_{3}$
5. $X=O$, $R=p-C_6H_4(CF_3)_{3}$
6. $X=S$, $R=\text{Ph}$
7. $X=S$, $R=p-C_6H_4F$
8. $X=S$, $R=C_6F_5$
9. $X=S$, $R=p-C_6H_4(CF_3)_{3}$
10. $X=S$, $R=p-C_6H_4(CF_3)_{3}$

S2. SYNTHESIS

General. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) and $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (75 MHz) spectra were determined on a Bruker AV300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ($\delta$) are reported in parts per million (ppm) and calibrated to the residual protio solvent peak in DMSO-$d_6$ ($\delta = 2.50$ ($^1$H) and 74.2 ppm ($^{13}$C)). The following abbreviations are used for spin multiplicity: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $t =$ triplet, $q =$ quartet, $m =$ multiplet, $br =$ broad. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Matterson Satellite (ATR) and are reported in wavenumbers (cm$^{-1}$). High resolution electron spray (ES) mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Apex III. All mass spectra are reported as $m/z$ (relative intensity). Melting points were determined by a Barnstead Electrothermal 9100 melting point apparatus and were not corrected. All reactions were performed using oven-dried glassware. DCM was distilled over calcium hydride under nitrogen prior to use. All other solvents and reagents were used as provided by the supplier. The syntheses and characterizations of compounds 1$^1$, 3$^2$ and 6$^1$ were performed as previously reported. All other compounds are novel.

1,1',1''-(nitrilotris(ethane-2,1-diyld))tris(3-(4-fluorophenyl)urea) (2). 0.30 mL (2.00 mmol) of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine was dissolved in 20 mL dichloromethane and 0.60 mL (6.63 mmol) 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature
under nitrogen atmosphere. A precipitate was formed and subsequently filtered off and washed with dichloromethane. The white solid was then dried overnight in vacuo (1.04 g, 1.86 mmol). Yield: 93%; Mp: decomposition; \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 2.58 (t, \(J=6.40\) Hz, 6 H), 3.17 (q, \(J=6.03\) Hz, 6 H), 6.18 (t, \(J=5.27\) Hz, 3 H), 7.02 (t, \(J=9.04\) Hz, 6 H), 7.37 (dd, \(J=9.23, 5.09\) Hz, 6 H), 8.59 (s, 3 H); \(^1^3\)C\{\(^1\)H\} NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 37.48, 53.85, 114.99 (d, \(J=22.12\) Hz), 119.26 (d, \(J=7.74\) Hz), 136.79, 155.32, 156.80 (d, \(J=236.63\) Hz); IR (neat): \(\nu=\) 3300 (br, NH stretch), 1640 (CO stretch) cm\(^{-1}\); LRMS (ESI+): \(m/z=\) 596.3 [M+K]+; HRMS (ES) for \(C_{27}H_{31}F_3N_7O_3\) [M+H]+: \(m/z=\) 558.2435 (calcd), 558.2433 (found).

1,1',1''-(nitrilotris(ethane-2,1-diyl))tris(3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)urea) (4). 0.30 mL (2.00 mmol) of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine was dissolved in 20 mL dichloromethane and 0.86 mL (6.75 mmol) 4-trifluoromethylphenyl isocyanate was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. A white precipitate was formed and subsequently filtered off and washed with dichloromethane. The white solid was then dried overnight in vacuo (1.41 g, 2.00 mmol). Yield: 100%; Mp: 239.9-242.3 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 2.62 (t, \(J=6.40\) Hz, 6 H), 3.21 (q, \(J=6.03\) Hz, 6 H), 6.30 (t, \(J=5.27\) Hz, 3 H), 7.54 (m, 12 H), 8.95 (s, 3 H); \(^1^3\)C\{\(^1\)H\} NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 37.50, 53.61, 117.18, 120.85 (q, \(J=32.07\) Hz), 124.59 (q, \(J=270.91\) Hz), 125.84 (q, \(J=3.32\) Hz), 144.14, 154.85; IR (neat): \(\nu=\) 3290 (br, NH stretch), 1650 (CO stretch) cm\(^{-1}\); LRMS (ESI+): \(m/z=\) 708.3 [M+H]+, 730.3 [M+Na]+; HRMS (ES) for \(C_{30}H_{31}F_9N_7O_3\) [M+H]+: \(m/z=\) 708.2339 (calcd), 708.2345 (found).

1,1',1''-(nitrilotris(ethane-2,1-diyl))tris(3-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)urea) (5). 0.25 mL (1.67 mmol) of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine was dissolved in 20 mL dichloromethane and 0.87 mL (5.01 mmol) 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. A white precipitate was formed and subsequently filtered off and washed with dichloromethane. The white solid was then dried overnight in vacuo (1.48 g, 1.62 mmol). Yield: 97%; Mp: 224.0-224.9 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 2.63 (t, \(J=6.04\) Hz, 6 H), 3.22 (q, \(J=5.49\) Hz, 6 H), 6.40 (t, \(J=5.31\) Hz, 3 H), 7.44 (s, 3 H), 7.98 (s, 6 H), 9.25 (s, 3 H); \(^1^3\)C\{\(^1\)H\} NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 37.67, 53.49, 113.23, 116.95, 123.24 (q, \(J=274.20\) Hz), 130.21 (q, \(J=32.07\) Hz), 142.41, 154.81; IR (neat): \(\nu=\) 3290 (br, NH stretch), 1650 (CO stretch) cm\(^{-1}\); LRMS (ESI+): \(m/z=\) 912.5 [M+H]+,
934.3 [M+Na]; HRMS (ES) for C_{33}H_{28}F_{18}N_{7}O_{3} [M+H]: m/z = 912.1961 (calcd), 912.1960 (found).

1,1',1''-(nitrilotris(ethane-2,1-diyl))tris(3-(4-fluorophenyl) thiourea) (7). A solution of 4-fluorophenyl isothiocyanate (0.62 g, 4.05 mmol) and triethylamine (0.2 mL) in 10 mL chloroform was heated to reflux under nitrogen. A solution of 0.2 mL (1.33 mmol) tris(2-aminoethyl)amine in 40 mL chloroform was added dropwise to this mixture over a period of 2 hours. The resulting mixture was then left to reflux overnight under nitrogen. Chloroform was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (5% methanol in dichloromethane) to give a white foam (0.63 g, 1.04 mmol). Yield: 78%; Mp: decomposition; \(^1H\) NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 2.71 (t, \(J=6.22\) Hz, 6 H), 3.55 (br. s., 6 H), 7.15 (t, \(J=8.78\) Hz, 6 H), 7.37 (dd, \(J=8.97, 4.94\) Hz, 6 H), 7.59 (br. s., 3 H), 9.57 (s, 3 H); \(^{13}\)C\({\{^1H\}}\) NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 41.88, 52.09, 115.22 (d, \(J=22.64\) Hz), 125.79, 135.32, 158.98 (d, \(J=240.75\) Hz), 180.55; IR (neat): \(\nu\) = 3190 (br, NH stretch), 1500 (CS stretch) cm\(^{-1}\); LRMS (ESI+): m/z = 628.3 [M+Na]; HRMS (ES) for C_{27}H_{31}F_{3}N_{7}S_{3} [M+H]: m/z = 606.1750 (calcd), 606.1753 (found).

1,1',1''-(nitrilotris(ethane-2,1-diyl))tris(3-(perfluorophenyl) thiourea) (8). 1.50 mL (10.62 mmol) pentafluorophenyl isothiocyanate was dissolved in 10 mL dry dichloromethane at room temperature under nitrogen. A solution of 0.50 mL tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (3.34 mmol) in 15 mL dry dichloromethane was added dropwise to this solution. The resulting mixture was left to stir overnight at room temperature under nitrogen. A precipitate was formed and subsequently filtered off and washed with dichloromethane. The white solid was then dried on air overnight (2.64 g, 3.21 mmol). Yield: 96%; Mp: 189.1-190.9 \(^\circ\)C; \(^1H\) NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 2.73 (br. s., 6 H), 3.58 (br. s., 6 H), 8.10 (br. s., 3 H), 9.31 (br. s., 3 H); \(^{13}\)C\({\{^1H\}}\) NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) ppm 42.79, 51.93, 114.99 (t, \(J=14.37\) Hz), 137.19 (d of m, \(J=250.44\) Hz), 139.46 (d of m, \(J=250.44\) Hz), 143.97 (d of m, \(J=247.68\) Hz), 182.39; LRMS (ESI+): m/z = 822.4 [M+H], 844.4 [M+Na]; HRMS (ES) for C_{27}H_{19}F_{15}N_{7}S_{3} [M+H]: m/z = 822.0644 (calcd), 822.0638 (found).
1,1',1''-(nitrilotris(ethane-2,1-diyl))tris(3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thiourea) (9). 0.30 mL (2.00 mmol) of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine was dissolved in 20 mL dichloromethane and 1.28 g (6.30 mmol) 4-trifluoromethylphenyl isothiocyanate was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. A precipitate was formed and subsequently filtered off and washed with dichloromethane. The white solid was then recrystallized from ethanol and dried overnight in vacuo at 100 °C to remove all solvents (1.09 g, 1.44 mmol). Yield: 72%; Mp: 192.3-193.6 °C; 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ ppm 2.79 (t, $J$=6.97 Hz, 6 H), 3.63 (br. s., 6 H), 7.66 (m, 12 H), 7.97 (br. s., 3 H), 9.95 (br. s., 3 H); 13C{1H} NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ ppm 41.85, 51.86, 121.81, 123.39 (q, $J$=33.18 Hz), 124.37 (q, $J$=272.02 Hz), 125.66, 143.26, 180.19; LRMS (ESI+): $m/z$= 756.3 [M+H]$^+$, 778.6 [M+Na]$^+$; HRMS (ES) for C$_{30}$H$_{31}$F$_9$N$_7$S$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: $m/z$= 756.1654 (calcd), 756.1668 (found).

1,1',1''-(nitrilotris(ethane-2,1-diyl))tris(3-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thiourea) (10). 0.25 mL (1.67 mmol) of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine was dissolved in 20 mL dichloromethane and 0.91 mL (5.03 mmol) 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. A precipitate was formed and subsequently filtered off and washed with dichloromethane. The white solid was then dried overnight in vacuo (1.40 g, 1.46 mmol). Yield: 87%; Mp: 174.5-183.2 °C; 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ ppm 2.83 (t, $J$=6.04 Hz, 6 H), 3.65 (br. s., 6 H), 7.65 (s, 3 H), 8.05 (br. s., 3 H), 8.20 (s, 6 H), 10.09 (br. s., 3 H); 13C{1H} NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ ppm 41.74, 51.47, 115.89, 121.61, 123.14 (q, $J$=272.00 Hz), 129.95 (q, $J$=32.07 Hz), 141.74, 180.30; IR (neat): ν= 3230 (br, NH stretch), 3060 (br, NH stretch), 1560 (CS stretch) cm$^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI+): $m/z$= 960.2 [M+H]$^+$, 981.9 [M+Na]$^+$; HRMS (ES) for C$_{33}$H$_{28}$F$_{18}$N$_7$S$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: $m/z$= 960.1275 (calcd), 960.1293 (found).
S3. NMR SPECTRA

**Figure S1.** $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 2 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.

**Figure S2.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 2 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.
Figure S3. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 4 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.

Figure S4. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 4 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.
Figure S5. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 5 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.

Figure S6. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 5 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.
Figure S7. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 7 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.

Figure S8. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 7 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.
Figure S9. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 8 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.

Figure S10. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 8 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.
Figure S11. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 9 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.

Figure S12. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 9 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.
Figure S13. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 10 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.

Figure S14. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 10 in DMSO-$d_6$ at 298K.
S4. NMR BINDING STUDIES

S4.1 Overview and experimental procedure

NMR titrations were performed by addition of aliquots of the putative anionic guest as the tetrabutylammonium (TBA) or tetraethylammonium (TEA) salt (0.15 M), in a solution of the receptor (0.01 M) in DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}/0.5% H\textsubscript{2}O, to a 0.01 M solution of the receptor in DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}/0.5% H\textsubscript{2}O. Both salt and receptor were dried under high vacuum prior to use. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV300 spectrometer and calibrated to the residual protio solvent peak in DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6} (\(\delta = 2.50\) ppm). In most cases a change in the chemical shift of both (thio)urea NH protons was observed. Where possible, the WinEQNMR2 computer program\textsuperscript{3} was used to curve-fit the data and to obtain binding constants (using a 1:1 model unless otherwise stated). The results are summarized in Table S1. Data for compound 1, 3 and 6 have been reported before and are given for comparison.\textsuperscript{1,2,4,5} Stack plots and fitplots can be found in figures S15-S63.

Job plot analyses were performed in a separate experiment. 10 NMR tubes were filled with 0.5 mL of a DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}/water solution containing 0.01 M of an anion-receptor mixture in different ratios (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 and 1 molar fraction of the receptor). Both salt (TBA or TEA salts of various anions) and receptor were dried under high vacuum prior to use. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV300 spectrometer and calibrated to the residual protio solvent peak in DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6} (\(\delta = 2.50\) ppm). Job plots were obtained by plotting the molar fraction of the receptor as a function of the relative change in chemical shift.
Table S1. Binding constants (M$^{-1}$) of TBA salts to compounds 1-10 in DMSO-$d_6$ containing 0.5% water at 298K. Data obtained for both NH peaks are given. (n.b. = no binding detected)

|    | Cl$^-$ | SO$_4^{2-}$ | H$_2$PO$_4^-$ | HCO$_3^-$ | NO$_3^-$ |
|----|--------|-------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| **Urea based compounds** |        |             |               |           |          |
| 1  | 882$^{b)}$ | $>$10$^4$$^{b)}$ | 443$^{c)}$ | -$^{b)}$ | n.b.$^{b)}$ |
| 2  | 777$^{b)}$ | $>$10$^4$$^{b)}$ | 6363$^{c)}$ | -$^{b)}$ | n.b.$^{b)}$ |
| 2  | 575 | $>$10$^4$ | 452$^{c)}$ | 365 | n.b. |
| 3  | 490 | $>$10$^4$ | 8099$^{c)}$ | 200 | n.b. |
| 3  | 166 | $>$10$^4$$^{d)}$ | $>$10$^4$$^{e)}$ | $>$10$^4$$^{f)}$ | n.b.$^{d)}$ |
| 4  | 105 |   |   |   |   |
| 4  | 405 | $>$10$^4$ | 243$^{c)}$ | 156 | n.b. |
| 4  | 271 | $>$10$^4$ | 4818$^{c)}$ | 123 | n.b. |
| 5  | 517 | $>$10$^4$ | -$^{g)}$ | -$^{h)}$ | n.b. |
| 5  | 228 | $>$10$^4$ | -$^{g)}$ | -$^{h)}$ | n.b. |
| **Thiourea based compounds** |        |             |               |           |          |
| 6  | 191$^{b)}$ | $>$10$^4$$^{b)}$ | 256$^{g)}$ | -$^{b)}$ | n.b.$^{b)}$ |
| 6  | 191$^{b)}$ | $>$10$^4$$^{b)}$ | 546$^{c)}$ | -$^{b)}$ | n.b.$^{b)}$ |
| 7  | 179 | $>$10$^4$ | 227$^{c)}$ | -$^{b)}$ | n.b. |
| 7  | 165 | $>$10$^4$ | 947$^{c)}$ | -$^{b)}$ | n.b. |
| 8  | 128 | $>$10$^4$ | 130 | -$^{b)}$ | n.b. |
| 8  | 111 | $>$10$^4$ | -$^{b)}$ | -$^{b)}$ | n.b. |
| 9  | 156 | -$^{i)}$ | -$^{g)}$ | -$^{h)}$ | n.b. |
| 9  | 171 | -$^{i)}$ | -$^{g)}$ | -$^{h)}$ | n.b. |
| 10 | -$^{j)}$ | -$^{j)}$ | -$^{g)}$ | -$^{h)}$ | n.b. |

$^{a)}$ Anion added as TEA salt. $^{b)}$ Previously reported data by Busschaert et al.$^{1}$ $^{c)}$ Data for DMSO-$d_6$ with 10% water, data for DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5% water could not be fitted (mixed stoichiometries). $^{d)}$ Data for neat DMSO-$d_6$ by Gosh et al.$^{2}$ $^{e)}$ Data for neat DMSO-$d_6$ by Ghosh et al.$^{3}$ $^{f)}$ Data for neat DMSO-$d_6$ by Ghosh et al.$^{4}$ $^{g)}$ New peaks due to deprotonation of bound H$_2$PO$_4^-$ and subsequent binding of HPO$_4^{2-}$. $^{h)}$ Peak broadening. $^{i)}$ Data could not be fitted, but suggest strong 1:1 binding ($K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$). $^{j)}$ Data could not be fitted to any model, probably mixture of stoichiometries.
S4.2 Interaction with TBACl

Figure S15. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 2 with TBACl in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.18$ ppm. $K_a = 575 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (error 4 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm. $K_a = 490 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (error 8 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 6.18$ ppm. (e) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm.
Figure S16. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 3 with TBACl in DMSO-\textit{d}_6 with 0.5 \% water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.56$ ppm. $K_a = 166$ M$^{-1}$ (error 4 \%). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.36$ ppm. $K_a = 105$ M$^{-1}$ (error 6 \%).
Figure S17. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 4 with TBACl in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.30$ ppm. $K_a = 405$ M$^{-1}$ (error 7 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.95$ ppm. $K_a = 271$ M$^{-1}$ (error 10 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 6.30$ ppm. (e) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.95$ ppm.
Figure S18. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 5 with TBACl in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.40$ ppm. $K_a = 517$ M$^{-1}$ (error 9 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.25$ ppm. $K_a = 228$ M$^{-1}$ (error 14 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 6.40$ ppm. (e) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 9.25$ ppm.
Figure S19. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 7 with TBACl in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 7.59$ ppm. $K_a = 179$ M$^{-1}$ (error 6 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.57$ ppm. $K_a = 165$ M$^{-1}$ (error 5 %).
Figure S20. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 8 with TBACl in DMSO-d$_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.10$ ppm. $K_a = 128$ M$^{-1}$ (error 6 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.31$ ppm. $K_a = 111$ M$^{-1}$ (error 7 %).
Figure S21. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TBACl in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 7.97$ ppm. $K_a = 157$ M$^{-1}$ (error 7 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.95$ ppm. $K_a = 172$ M$^{-1}$ (error 10 %).
Figure S22. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TBACl in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 8.05$ ppm. Data could not be fitted. (c) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 10.09$ ppm. Data could not be fitted. (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.05$ ppm. (e) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 10.09$ ppm.
S4.3 Interaction with TBA$_2$SO$_4$

(a) 5.0 equiv TBA$_2$SO$_4$

(b) 2.0 equiv TBA$_2$SO$_4$

(c) 1.5 equiv TBA$_2$SO$_4$

(d) 1.0 equiv TBA$_2$SO$_4$

(e) 0.6 equiv TBA$_2$SO$_4$

(f) 0.3 equiv TBA$_2$SO$_4$

(g) 0.0 equiv TBA$_2$SO$_4$

Figure S23. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 2 with TBA$_2$SO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.18$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 6.18$ ppm. (e) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm.
Figure S24. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 4 with TBA$_2$SO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.30$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.95$ ppm. $K_a > 10^3$ M$^{-1}$. 
Figure S25. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 5 with TBA$_2$SO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.40$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.25$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$.
Figure S26. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 7 with TBA$_2$SO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 7.59$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.57$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. 
Figure S27. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 8 with TBA$_2$SO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.10$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.31$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.10$ ppm. (e) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 9.31$ ppm.
Figure S28. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TBA$_2$SO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 7.97$ ppm. Data could not be fitted (but estimated $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$). (c) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 9.95$ ppm. Data could not be fitted (but estimated $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$).
Figure S29. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TBA$_2$SO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 8.05$ ppm. Data could not be fitted (but estimated $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$). (c) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 10.09$ ppm. Data could not be fitted (but estimated $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$).
S4.4 Interaction with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ (in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5% water)

Figure S30. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 2 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 6.18$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (c) Change in Chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm. $K_a > 10^4$ M$^{-1}$. (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm.
Figure S31. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 4 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 6.30$ ppm. Data could not be fitted. (c) Change in chemical shift for NH proton at $\delta = 8.95$ ppm. Data could not be fitted.
Figure S32. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 5 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. New peaks emerge due to deprotonation of bound H$_2$PO$_4^-$.
Figure S33. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 7 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 7.59$ ppm. $K_a = 115$ M$^{-1}$ (error 8 %). Data for NH proton at $\delta = 9.57$ ppm could not be fitted due to peak broadening.
Figure S34. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 8 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.10$ ppm. $K_a = 130$ M$^{-1}$ (error 6 %). Data for NH proton at $\delta = 9.31$ ppm could not be fitted due to peak broadening.
Figure S35. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. New peaks due to deprotonation of bound H$_2$PO$_4^-$.

Figure S36. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. New peaks due to deprotonation of bound H$_2$PO$_4^-$.
S4.5 Interaction with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ (in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water)

(a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.20$ ppm. $K_a = 443$ M$^{-1}$ (error 10 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.49$ ppm. $K_a = 6363$ M$^{-1}$ (error 11 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.49$ ppm. (Job plot for NH at $\delta = 6.20$ ppm not possible due to peak overlap).

Figure S37. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 1 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water at 298K.
**Figure S38.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 2 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.18$ ppm. $K_a = 452$ M$^{-1}$ (error 15 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm. $K_a = 8099$ M$^{-1}$ (error 8 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.59$ ppm. (Job plot for NH at $\delta = 6.18$ ppm not possible due to peak overlap).
Figure S39. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 4 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water at 298K.
(a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.30$ ppm. $K_a = 243$ M$^{-1}$ (error 6 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.95$ ppm. $K_a = 4818$ M$^{-1}$ (error 13 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 6.30$ ppm. (e) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 8.95$ ppm.
Figure S40. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 6 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water at 298K.
(a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 7.56$ ppm. $K_a = 256$ M$^{-1}$ (error 10 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.56$ ppm. $K_a = 546$ M$^{-1}$ (error 11 %).
Figure S41. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 7 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water at 298K. (a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 7.59$ ppm. $K_a = 227$ M$^{-1}$ (error 10 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 9.57$ ppm. $K_a = 947$ M$^{-1}$ (error 7 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 7.59$ ppm. (Job plot analysis for NH proton at $\delta = 9.57$ ppm not possible due to peak broadening.)
Figure S42. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water at 298K. Stack plot. New peaks due to deprotonation of bound H$_2$PO$_4^-$.

Figure S43. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 10 % water at 298K. Stack plot. New peaks due to deprotonation of bound H$_2$PO$_4^-$.
S4.6 Interaction with TBA OH

**Figure S44.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 5 with TBA OH in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot.

**Figure S45.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 5 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ and TBA OH in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot.
Figure S46. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TBA OH in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot.

Figure S47. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TBAH$_3$PO$_4$ and TBA OH in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot.
**Figure S48.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TBA OH in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot.

**Figure S49.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ and TBA OH in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot.
S4.7 Interaction with TEAHCO₃
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Figure S50. ¹H NMR titration of compound 2 with TEAHCO₃ in DMSO-d₆ with 0.5 % water at 298K. 
(a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at δ = 6.18 ppm. $K_a = 365 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (error 11 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at δ = 8.59 ppm. $K_a = 200 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (error 12 %). (d) Job plot analysis for NH proton at δ = 8.59 ppm. (Job plot for NH at δ = 6.18 ppm not possible due to peak overlap)
Figure S51. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 4 with TEAHECO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K.  
(a) Stack plot. (b) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 6.30$ ppm. $K_a = 156$ M$^{-1}$ (error 9 %). (c) Fitplot for NH proton at $\delta = 8.95$ ppm. $K_a = 123$ M$^{-1}$ (error 7 %).
Figure S52. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 5 with TEAHCÔ$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. Peak broadening hindered determination of binding constants.

Figure S53. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 7 with TEAHCÔ$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. Peak broadening hindered determination of binding constants.
Figure S54. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 8 with TEAHCO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. Peak broadening hindered determination of binding constants.

Figure S55. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TEAHCO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. Peak broadening hindered determination of binding constants.
Figure S56. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TEAHCO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. Peak broadening hindered determination of binding constants.

S4.8 Interaction with TBANO$_3$

Figure S57. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 2 with TBANO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. No binding detected.
**Figure S58.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 4 with TBANO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. No binding detected.

**Figure S59.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 5 with TBANO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. No binding detected.
Figure S60. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 7 with TBANO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. No binding detected.

Figure S61. $^1$H NMR titration of compound 8 with TBANO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. No binding detected.
**Figure S62.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 9 with TBANO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. No binding detected.

**Figure S63.** $^1$H NMR titration of compound 10 with TBANO$_3$ in DMSO-$d_6$ with 0.5 % water at 298K. Stack plot. No binding detected.
S5. SINGLE CRYSTAL X-RAY DIFFRACTION

Data were collected on a Bruker Nonius KappaCCD with a Mo rotating anode generator. Original unit cell determination was performed using DirAx (Duisenberg, A.J.M. (1992). J. Appl. Cryst. 25, 92-96.).\(^6\) Data collection was according to standard procedures (Data collection software, R. Hooft, Nonius B.V., 1998).\(^7\) Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied during data reduction with DENZO (Z. Otwinowski & W. Minor, *Methods in Enzymology* (1997) Vol. 276: *Macromolecular Crystallography*, part A, pp. 307–326; C. W. Carter, Jr. & R. M. Sweet, Eds., Academic Press)\(^8\) and multi-scan absorption corrections were applied using SADABS (Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS - Bruker Nonius area detector scaling and absorption correction - V2.10).\(^9\) The structures were solved using SHELXS-97 (G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. (1990) A\(46\) 467–473)\(^10\) and refined on \(F^2\) by the full-matrix least-squares technique using the SHELXL-97 program package (G. M. Sheldrick (1997), University of Göttingen, Germany).\(^11\) Graphics are generated using ORTEP-III, MERCURY 1.3 or ViewerLite and Pov-Ray. In all cases the non-hydrogen atoms are refined anisotropically till convergence. Hydrogen atoms were stereochemically fixed at idealized positions and then refined isotropically. Hydrogen bonds are calculated using HTAB command in SHELXL-97.
X-ray data for compound 2 (free ligand), CCDC 830480

Compound 2 and 1.5 equivalents of TEAHCO$_3$ were dissolved in dichloromethane and the mixture was sonicated for 10 minutes. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by allowing diethyl ether to diffuse into this solution at room temperature. No complex with HCO$_3^-$ was formed. Crystal data for compound 2. C$_{27}$H$_{30}$F$_3$N$_7$O$_3$, $M_r = 557.58$, $T = 120(2)$ K, colorless crystals, triclinic, space group $P-1$, $a = 9.4003(3)$ Å, $b = 12.4017(3)$ Å, $c = 12.7967(4)$ Å, $\alpha = 85.417(2)$°, $\beta = 70.634(2)$°, $\gamma = 72.182(2)$°, $V = 1339.53(7)$ Å$^3$, $\rho_c = 1.382$ g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu = 0.107$ m$^{-1}$, $Z = 2$, reflections collected: 23343, unique reflections: 6133 ($R_{int} = 0.0333$), 362 parameters, $R$ indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.0662$, $wR_2 = 0.1065$, final $R$ indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]: $R_1 = 0.0507$, $wR_2 = 0.0978$, $GOOF = 1.076$

Table S2. Hydrogen bond properties for 2

| Donor--H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å)   | D-H···A (°) | Remark |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------|
| N2--H2···O2         | 0.86    | 2.10      | 2.9112(18)  | 156.4      | Intra  |
| N3--H3···O2         | 0.86    | 2.20      | 2.9674(18)  | 148.4      | Intra  |
| N4--H4···O3         | 0.86    | 2.02      | 2.8427(18)  | 159.4      |        |
| N5--H5···O3         | 0.86    | 2.32      | 3.0913(18)  | 148.6      |        |
| N7--H7···O1         | 0.86    | 2.11      | 2.8843(18)  | 149.1      |        |
| N6--H6···O1         | 0.86    | 2.12      | 2.8768(18)  | 146.4      |        |
**Figure S64.** ORTEP diagram of 2 with atom numbering, showing 50% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Intramolecular hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.

**Figure S65.** Schematic representation of the intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds in the crystal of 2. For clarity, non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled.
X-ray data for compound 7 (free ligand), CCDC 830485

Compound 7 was dissolved in 1:1 dichloromethane:diethylether with 10 % methanol. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature. Crystal data for compound 7. C_{27}H_{30}F_{3}N_{7}S_{3}, M_r = 605.79, T = 120(2) K, colorless crystals, triclinic, space group P-1, a = 7.7351(15) Å, b = 18.620(4) Å, c = 20.778(4) Å, α = 108.11(3)º, β = 90.13(3) º, γ = 95.55(3)º, V = 2829.4(11) Å³, ρ_c = 1.422 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.313 m⁻¹, Z = 4, reflections collected: 9528, unique reflections: 9528 (R_int = 0.0435), 723 parameters, R indices (all data): R_1 = 0.0846, wR_2 = 0.1559, final R indices [I > 2σ(I)]: R_1 = 0.0638, wR_2 = 0.1414, GOOF = 1.083. Two non-merohedral twin components were identified and modeled appropriately (see CIF file).

Table S3. Hydrogen bond properties for 7

| Donor--H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (º) | Remark |
|---------------------|---------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| N10--H10···N2       | 0.86    | 2.60     | 3.452(5) | 172.9       | Intra  |
| N11--H11···S1       | 0.86    | 2.59     | 3.403(4) | 158.2       | Intra  |
| N12--H12···N4       | 0.86    | 2.64     | 3.491(5) | 172.8       | Intra  |
| N13--H13···S2       | 0.86    | 2.60     | 3.410(4) | 157.0       | Intra  |
| N2--H2···S4         | 0.86    | 2.45     | 3.267(4) | 160.0       | Intra  |
| N3--H3···S4         | 0.86    | 2.47     | 3.300(4) | 161.7       | Intra  |
| N4--H4···S3         | 0.86    | 2.45     | 3.269(4) | 160.3       | Intra  |
| N5--H5A···S3        | 0.86    | 2.46     | 3.289(4) | 162.1       | Intra  |
| N6--H6A···S2        | 0.86    | 2.53     | 3.339(4) | 156.4       |        |
| N7--H7···S2         | 0.86    | 2.69     | 3.502(4) | 158.1       |        |
| N8--H8A···S1        | 0.86    | 2.59     | 3.370(4) | 152.0       |        |
| N9--H9A···S1        | 0.86    | 2.57     | 3.398(4) | 161.7       |        |
Figure S66. ORTEP diagram of 7 with atom numbering, showing 50% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Intramolecular hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.

Figure S67. Schematic representation of the intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds in the crystal of 7. For clarity, non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled.
X-ray data for compound 9 (free receptor), CCDC 830478

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by allowing a solution of compound 9 in a 2:1 mixture of ethanol:DCM to slowly evaporate at room temperature. Crystal data for compound (9-EtOH). C_{32}H_{36}F_9N_{7}O_{3}, M_r = 801.89, T = 120(2) K, colorless crystals, monoclinic, space group $P 21/c$, $a = 9.4376(1)$ Å, $b = 24.6470(2)$ Å, $c = 15.5593(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.00^\circ$, $\beta = 91.717(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90.00^\circ$, $V = 3617.60(7)$ Å$^3$, $\rho_c = 1.472$ g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu = 0.290$ m$^{-1}$, $Z = 4$, reflections collected: 47520, unique reflections: 8287 ($R_{int} = 0.0413$), 471 parameters, $R$ indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.0537$, $wR_2 = 0.1178$, final $R$ indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]: $R_1 = 0.0444$, $wR_2 = 0.1120$, $GOOF = 1.062$

**Table S4.** Hydrogen bond properties for (9-EtOH).

| Donor-H⋯Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H⋯A (Å) | D⋯A (Å) | D-H⋯A (°) | Remark |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|
| O1--H10⋯S2      | 0.82    | 2.43    | 3.2378(14) | 171.1     |        |
| N3--H3⋯S3       | 0.86    | 2.64    | 3.4210(16) | 150.8     | Intra  |
| N4--H4⋯S1       | 0.86    | 2.84    | 3.5209(15) | 137.5     | Intra  |
| N5--H5⋯S1       | 0.86    | 2.48    | 3.3234(15) | 168.6     | Intra  |
| N6--H6⋯O1       | 0.86    | 2.12    | 2.929(2)   | 157.7     |        |
| N7--H7⋯O1       | 0.86    | 2.11    | 2.927(2)   | 158.3     |        |
| N2--H2⋯S2       | 0.86    | 2.79    | 3.5017(16) | 141.3     |        |
Figure S68. ORTEP diagram of (9·EtOH) with atom numbering, showing 50 % probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.

Figure S69. Schematic representation of the intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds in the crystal of (9·EtOH). For clarity, non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled.
X-ray data for complex of 2 with chloride, CCDC 830486

Compound 2 and 1.5 equivalents of TBACl were dissolved in dichloromethane and the mixture was sonicated for 10 minutes. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by allowing diethylether to diffuse into this solution at room temperature. Crystal data for compound \((2\supset Cl\cdot(TBA))_2\). \(C_{43}H_{66}F_3N_{18}O_3Cl\), \(M_r = 835.49\), \(T = 120(2)\) K, colorless crystals, monoclinic, space group \(P 2_1\), \(a = 14.296(3)\) Å, \(b = 13.337(3)\) Å, \(c = 24.209(3)\) Å, \(\alpha = 90.00^\circ\), \(\beta = 103.24(3)^\circ\), \(\gamma = 90.00^\circ\), \(V = 4493.1(18)\) Å\(^3\), \(\rho_c = 1.235\) g cm\(^{-3}\), \(\mu = 0.144\) m\(^{-1}\), \(Z = 4\), reflections collected: 61699, unique reflections: 18752 \((R_{int} = 0.0361)\), 1047 parameters, \(R\) indices (all data): \(R_1 = 0.0546\), \(wR_2 = 0.1142\), final \(R\) indices \([I > 2\sigma(I)]\): \(R_1 = 0.0484\), \(wR_2 = 0.1111\), \(GOOF = 1.154\). Structure was refined as a racemic twin with Flack parameter = 0.56(3).

Table S5. Hydrogen bond properties for \((2\supset Cl\cdot(TBA))_2\).

| Donor--H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| N2--H2···Cl1        | 0.86    | 2.55      | 3.3568(19)| 157.7       |
| N3--H3···Cl1        | 0.86    | 2.51      | 3.3314(18)| 160.1       |
| N4--H4···Cl1        | 0.86    | 2.62      | 3.398(2)  | 151.9       |
| N5--H5A···Cl1       | 0.86    | 2.43      | 3.2681(18)| 165.4       |
| N6--H6A···Cl1       | 0.86    | 2.78      | 3.565(2)  | 152.6       |
| N7--H7···Cl1        | 0.86    | 2.40      | 3.247(2)  | 170.7       |
| N9--H9A···Cl2       | 0.86    | 2.63      | 3.424(2)  | 154.1       |
| N10--H10···Cl2      | 0.86    | 2.44      | 3.2447(19)| 155.8       |
| N11--H11···Cl2      | 0.86    | 2.59      | 3.392(2)  | 156.5       |
| N12--H12···Cl2      | 0.86    | 2.47      | 3.308(2)  | 164.7       |
| N13--H13···Cl2      | 0.86    | 2.84      | 3.600(2)  | 148.1       |
| N14--H14A···Cl2     | 0.86    | 2.35      | 3.2002(19)| 169.6       |
**Figure S70.** ORTEP diagram of \((2\supset\mathrm{Cl}\cdot\mathrm{TBA})_2\) with atom numbering, showing 50% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

**Figure S71.** Schematic representation of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of \((2\supset\mathrm{Cl}\cdot\mathrm{TBA})_2\). For clarity, non-acidic hydrogens and TBA counterions are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for complex of 7 with chloride, CCDC 830481

Compound 7 and 1.5 equivalents of TBACl were dissolved in dichloromethane and the mixture was sonicated for 10 minutes. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by allowing diethylether to diffuse into this solution at room temperature. Crystal data for compound \((\text{7} \supset \text{Cl} \cdot \text{TBA})\). \(C_{43}H_{66}F_{3}N_{8}S_{3}Cl\), \(M_r = 883.70\), \(T = 120(2)\) K, colorless plates, monoclinic, space group \(P 21/n\), \(a = 15.5466(2)\) Å, \(b = 12.5639(2)\) Å, \(c = 24.0788(4)\) Å, \(\alpha = 90.00^\circ\), \(\beta = 98.139(1)^\circ\), \(\gamma = 90.00^\circ\), \(V = 4655.8(1)\) Å\(^3\), \(\rho_c = 1.261\) g cm\(^{-3}\), \(\mu = 0.268\) m\(^{-1}\), \(Z = 4\), reflections collected: 410699, unique reflections: 7126 \((R_{int} = 0.0333)\), 534 parameters, \(R\) indices (all data): \(R_1 = 0.1058\), \(wR_2 = 0.2449\), final \(R\) indices \([I > 2\sigma(I)]\): \(R_1 = 0.0895\), \(wR_2 = 0.2271\), \(GOOF = 1.013\). Disorder present in each arm of tetrabutylammonium (TBA) counterion and in one aromatic ring, atoms have been split over two positions with near equal occupancy.

### Table S6. Hydrogen bond properties for \((\text{7} \supset \text{Cl} \cdot \text{TBA})\).

| Donor–H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| N2--H2···Cl1       | 0.88    | 2.62      | 3.438(3)  | 154.6      |
| N3--H3···Cl1       | 0.88    | 2.40      | 3.255(3)  | 162.6      |
| N4--H4···Cl1       | 0.88    | 2.66      | 3.456(2)  | 151.5      |
| N6--H6···Cl1       | 0.88    | 2.59      | 3.391(3)  | 151.9      |
| N7--H7···Cl1       | 0.88    | 2.45      | 3.250(3)  | 151.9      |
| N5A--H5A···Cl1     | 0.88    | 2.35      | 3.229(2)  | 172.5      |
| N5B--H5B···Cl1     | 0.88    | 2.36      | 3.229(2)  | 172.0      |
**Figure S72.** ORTEP diagram of (7\(\supset\)Cl·(TBA)) with atom numbering, showing 50 % probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity. Atoms in TBA counterion (disordered) are not labeled for clarity.

**Figure S73.** Schematic representation of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of (7\(\supset\)Cl·(TBA)). For clarity, non-acidic hydrogens, TBA counterions and disorder are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
**X-ray data for complex of 8 with chloride, CCDC 830473**

Compound 8 and 1 equivalent of TBACl were dissolved in methanol, heated and stirred for 10 minutes at 40 °C and than the solvent was evaporated. The oily residue was redissoleved in 1:1 DCM/hexane/Et₂O. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon slow evaporation of the solvent mixture. Crystal data for structure (8⊃Cl·(TBA)). C₄₃H₅₄F₁₅N₈S₃Cl, \( M_r = 1099.60 \), \( T = 120(2) \) K, colorless crystals, triclinic, space group \( P\overline{1} \), \( a = 11.8830(2) \) Å, \( b = 14.0770(2) \) Å, \( c = 16.0453(1) \) Å, \( \alpha = 80.918(1)^\circ \), \( \beta = 81.677(1)^\circ \), \( \gamma = 80.137(1)^\circ \), \( V = 2592.00(6) \) Å³, \( \rho_c = 1.409 \) g cm⁻³, \( \mu = 0.288 \) m⁻¹, \( Z = 2 \), reflections collected: 52814, unique reflections: 11615 (\( R_{int} = 0.0405 \)), 631 parameters, \( R \) indices (all data): \( R_1 = 0.0510 \), \( wR_2 = 0.1331 \), final \( R \) indices [\( I > 2\sigma(I) \)]: \( R_1 = 0.0425 \), \( wR_2 = 0.1268 \), \( GOOF = 1.142 \)

**Table S7.** Hydrogen bond properties for (8⊃Cl·(TBA)).

| Donor--H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|---------------------|--------|----------|----------|------------|
| N2--H2···Cl1        | 0.86   | 2.53     | 3.3293(15)| 154.2      |
| N4--H4···Cl1        | 0.86   | 2.59     | 3.3929(15)| 155.5      |
| N7--H7···Cl1        | 0.86   | 2.44     | 3.2543(15)| 159.2      |
| N6--H6···Cl1        | 0.86   | 2.56     | 3.3506(15)| 153.2      |
| N3--H3···Cl1        | 0.86   | 2.43     | 3.2256(16)| 153.3      |
| N5--H5···Cl1        | 0.86   | 2.42     | 3.2378(15)| 159.0      |
**Figure S74.** ORTEP diagram of (8\textsuperscript{Cl}·(TBA)) with atom numbering, showing 50 % probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.

**Figure S75.** Schematic representation of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of (8\textsuperscript{Cl}·(TBA)). For clarity, non-acidic hydrogens and TBA counterions are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for complex of 7 with sulfate, CCDC 830482

Compound 7 and 1.5 equivalents of TBA\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (50 % aqueous solution) were dissolved in dichloromethane and the mixture was sonicated for 10 minutes. The solvents were removed by reduced pressure until all water was removed. After redissolving the residue in dichloromethane, single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction could be obtained by allowing diethylether to diffuse into this solution at room temperature. Crystal data for structure ((7)\(_2\)⊃SO\(_4\)·(TBA)\(_2\)·(Et\(_2\)O)\(_2\)).

C\(_{94}\)H\(_{152}\)F\(_6\)N\(_{16}\)S\(_7\)O\(_6\), \(M_r = 1940.81\), \(T = 120(2)\) K, colorless crystal, triclinic, space group \(P\) -1, \(a = 13.3402(2)\) Å, \(b = 13.9433(3)\) Å, \(c = 16.0351(3)\) Å, \(\alpha = 110.739(1)^\circ\), \(\beta = 108.838(1)^\circ\), \(\gamma = 97.067(1)^\circ\), \(V = 2543.25(9)\) Å\(^3\), \(\rho_c = 1.267\) g cm\(^{-3}\), \(\mu = 0.225\) m\(^{-1}\), \(Z = 1\), reflections collected: 57930, unique reflections: 11670 (\(R_{int} = 0.0539\)), 602 parameters, \(R\) indices (all data): \(R_1 = 0.0789\), \(wR_2 = 0.1606\), final \(R\) indices \([I > 2\sigma(I)]\): \(R_1 = 0.0598\), \(wR_2 = 0.1475\), \(GOOF = 1.040\).

Oxygen atoms in sulfate are disordered and were split over two equivalent positions (half occupancy for each position).

Table S8. Hydrogen bond properties for ((7)\(_2\)⊃SO\(_4\)·(TBA)\(_2\)·(Et\(_2\)O)\(_2\)).

| Donor--H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|----------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|
| N2--H2···O4          | 0.86    | 2.15     | 2.917(4) | 148.2      |
| N2--H2···O3          | 0.86    | 2.24     | 3.077(4) | 164.2      |
| N3--H3···O2          | 0.86    | 2.03     | 2.840(4) | 155.9      |
| N3--H3···O1          | 0.86    | 2.16     | 2.965(4) | 155.7      |
| N4--H4···O4          | 0.86    | 2.15     | 2.918(4) | 149.1      |
| N4--H4···O2          | 0.86    | 2.18     | 3.018(4) | 164.5      |
| N5--H5A···O3         | 0.86    | 2.25     | 3.091(4) | 165.1      |
| N5--H5A···O1         | 0.86    | 2.34     | 3.082(4) | 144.6      |
| N6--H6A···O4         | 0.86    | 2.20     | 2.977(4) | 149.8      |
| N6--H6A···O1         | 0.86    | 2.43     | 3.210(4) | 151.9      |
| N7--H7···O2          | 0.86    | 2.25     | 3.016(4) | 149.1      |
| N7--H7···O1          | 0.86    | 2.27     | 3.051(4) | 150.9      |
| N7--H7···O3          | 0.86    | 2.46     | 3.222(4) | 147.5      |
| N7--H7···O4          | 0.86    | 2.52     | 3.295(4) | 150.4      |
Figure S76. ORTEP diagram of asymmetric unit of $\frac{1}{2}(7)_2\supseteq\text{SO}_4\cdot(TBA)_2\cdot(\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2$ with atom numbering, showing 50% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

Figure S77. Two views of the hydrogen bonds in the complex of $(7)_2\supseteq\text{SO}_4$. For clarity, non-acidic hydrogens, solvent and TBA counterions are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines. Disorder in sulfate is omitted (equivalent positions of oxygen are obtained by inversion with sulfur as centre of inversion).
X-ray data for complex of 8 with sulfate, CCDC 830474

Compound 8 and 1 equivalent of TBA$_2$SO$_4$ were dissolved in methanol and stirred for 3 hours. After removal of methanol under reduced pressure, the white solid was redissolved in 1:1:1 DCM/hexane/Et$_2$O. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon slow evaporation of the solvent mixture. Crystal data for structure (8⊃SO$_4$·(TBA)$_2$·(C$_{6}$H$_{14}$)).

C$_{65}$H$_{104}$F$_{15}$N$_9$S$_4$O$_4$, $M_r = 1488.85$, $T = 120(2)$ K, colorless crystals, monoclinic, space group $P 2/n$, $a = 22.5108(2)$ Å, $b = 12.6543(2)$ Å, $c = 27.6850(4)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.00 ^\circ$, $\beta = 103.915(1) ^\circ$, $\gamma = 90.00 ^\circ$, $V = 7654.8(2)$ Å$^3$, $\rho = 1.292$ g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu = 0.210$ m$^{-1}$, $Z = 4$, reflections collected: 115284, unique reflections: 13458 ($R_{int} = 0.0701$), 912 parameters, $R$ indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.0970$, $wR_2 = 0.2084$, final $R$ indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]: $R_1 = 0.0782$, $wR_2 = 0.1888$, $GOOF = 1.171$. Disorder present in solvent (hexane) and tetrabutylammonium ions (atoms C48, C49, C56 and C57 were split over two equivalent positions).

**Table S9.** Hydrogen bond properties for (8⊃SO$_4$·(TBA)$_2$·(C$_{6}$H$_{14}$)).

| Donor–H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|---------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| N2--H2···O1         | 0.86    | 1.97     | 2.785(4) | 158.3    |
| N3--H3···O2         | 0.86    | 1.92     | 2.757(4) | 163.4    |
| N4--H4···O1         | 0.86    | 1.99     | 2.788(4) | 153.3    |
| N5--H5···O3         | 0.86    | 2.01     | 2.856(4) | 169.0    |
| N6--H6···O1         | 0.86    | 2.01     | 2.784(4) | 148.7    |
| N7--H7···O4         | 0.86    | 2.04     | 2.883(4) | 166.3    |
| C34--H34A···O4 $^{a)}$ | 0.97    | 2.37     | 3.334(4) | 170.7    |
| C42--H42B···O3 $^{a)}$ | 0.97    | 2.36     | 3.326(5) | 172.1    |

$^{a)}$ Hydrogen bond / close contact found with PLATON
Figure S78. ORTEP diagram of \((8\overset{\text{S}}{\text{SO}}_4\overset{\text{H}}{\text{TBA}}_2\overset{\text{C}_6\text{H}}{\text{H}_14})\) with atom numbering, showing 50\% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

Figure S79. Schematic representation of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of \((8\overset{\text{S}}{\text{SO}}_4\overset{\text{H}}{\text{TBA}}_2\overset{\text{C}_6\text{H}}{\text{H}_14})\). For clarity, hexane and non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Only TBA counterion in close contact with the sulfate complex is shown. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for complex of 5 with carbonate, CCDC 830479

Compound 5 and 15 equivalents of TEAHCO$_3$ were dissolved in DMSO with 0.5% water (sample of NMR titration experiment). Most of the solvent was allowed to slowly evaporate at 50 °C. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon cooling down of the remaining mixture. Crystal data for structure ((5)$_2$⊃CO$_3$)(TEA)$_2$·(DMSO)$_2$). C$_{97}$H$_{106}$F$_{36}$N$_{16}$O$_{11}$S$_2$, $M_r = 2300.02$, $T = 120(2)$ K, colorless crystals, monoclinic, space group $C 2/c$, $a = 31.8754(2)$ Å, $b = 17.8361(1)$ Å, $c = 19.9633(1)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.00^\circ$, $\beta = 117.6537(4)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90.00^\circ$, $V = 10053.29(11)$ Å$^3$, $\rho_c = 1.520$ g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu = 0.185$ m$^{-1}$, $Z = 4$, reflections collected: 67431, unique reflections: 11481 ($R_{int} = 0.0272$), 715 parameters, $R$ indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.0744$, $wR_2 = 0.1740$, final $R$ indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]: $R_1 = 0.0646$, $wR_2 = 0.1636$, $GOOF = 1.068$. Disorder is present in the -CF$_3$ substituents of the ligands. The fluorine atoms in –CF$_3$ group with F4, F5 and F6 have been split into two positions (85 % and 15 % occupancy). The other –CF$_3$ groups could not be split properly and restraints were used to limit the size of the thermal ellipsoids.

Table S10. Hydrogen bond properties for ((5)$_2$⊃CO$_3$)(TEA)$_2$·(DMSO)$_2$).

| Donor–H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| N2--H2···O1        | 0.86    | 2.55      | 3.297(3)  | 146.4       |
| N3--H3···O2        | 0.86    | 1.98      | 2.8287(19)| 170.8       |
| N4--H4···O2        | 0.86    | 2.54      | 3.3918(18)| 171.1       |
| N5--H5···O1        | 0.86    | 1.99      | 2.835(2)  | 167.7       |
| N6--H6···O1        | 0.86    | 2.21      | 3.033(3)  | 159.1       |
| N7--H7···O1        | 0.86    | 2.01      | 2.863(3)  | 173.9       |
**Figure S80.** ORTEP diagram of asymmetric unit of \((5)_2\supseteq CO_3^-(TEA)_2(DMSO)_2\) with atom numbering, showing 50 % probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

**Figure S81.** Schematic view of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of \((5)_2\supseteq CO_3^-(TEA)_2(DMSO)_2\). For clarity, TEA counterions, DMSO and non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for deprotonated 8 (by bicarbonate), CCDC 830475

Compound 8 and 1 equivalent of TEAHCO\(_3\) were dissolved in methanol, heated to 40 °C and allowed to cool down to room temperature and stirred for another 24 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was redissolved in 1:1:1 DCM/hexane/Et\(_2\)O. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon slow evaporation of the solvent mixture. Crystal data for structure ((8-H)\(_2\)·(TEA)\(_2\)·(CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)). C\(_{36}\)H\(_{39}\)F\(_{12}\)N\(_{18}\)S\(_3\)Cl\(_2\), \(M_r = 1035.86\), \(T = 120(2)\) K, colorless, monoclinic, space group P 21/n, \(a = 23.0166(3)\) Å, \(b = 18.0997(2)\) Å, \(c = 23.5278(3)\) Å, \(\alpha = 90.00^\circ\), \(\beta = 111.435(1)^\circ\), \(\gamma = 90.00^\circ\), \(V = 9123.6(2)\) Å\(^3\), \(\rho_c = 1.508\) g cm\(^{-3}\), \(\mu = 0.379\) m\(^{-1}\), \(Z = 8\), reflections collected: 93879, unique reflections: 14907 (\(R_{int} = 0.0479\)), 1154 parameters, \(R\) indices (all data): \(R_1 = 0.1275\), \(wR_2 = 0.2721\), final \(R\) indices [\(I > 2\sigma(I)\): \(R_1 = 0.0960\), \(wR_2 = 0.2420\), \(GOOF = 1.048\). Disorder is present in TEA, dichloromethane and the aromatic rings of the ligand that could not be modeled by splitting the atom positions. Weak reflections were omitted and SADI and ISOR commands on atoms C101-C108 to model the structure.

Table S11. Hydrogen bond properties for ((8-H)\(_2\)·(TEA)\(_2\)·(CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)). (found with PLATON)

| Donor—H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| N2--H2···S6        | 0.86    | 2.54      | 3.390(4)  | 170        |
| N4--H4···S6        | 0.86    | 2.70      | 3.442(5)  | 145        |
| N5--H5···S6        | 0.86    | 2.59      | 3.357(7)  | 148        |
| N6--H6···S6        | 0.86    | 2.62      | 3.431(6)  | 159        |
| N7--H7···S6        | 0.86    | 2.54      | 3.361(7)  | 160        |
| N9--H9···S1        | 0.86    | 2.72      | 3.440(5)  | 142        |
| N10--H10···S1      | 0.86    | 2.62      | 3.325(5)  | 140        |
| N11--H11···S1      | 0.86    | 2.71      | 3.451(5)  | 144        |
| N12--H12···S1      | 0.86    | 2.53      | 3.337(5)  | 157        |
| N13--H13···S1      | 0.86    | 2.45      | 3.274(4)  | 161        |
Figure S82. ORTEP diagram of asymmetric unit of \((\text{8-H})_2(\text{TEA})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)_2\) with atom numbering, showing 50% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

Figure S83. Schematic view of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of \((\text{8-H})_2(\text{TEA})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)_2\). For clarity, TEA counterions, dichloromethane and non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for complex of 7 with hydrogen phosphate, CCDC 830484

Compound 7 and 2 equivalents of TBAH₂PO₄ were dissolved in 1:2:2 DCM/hexane/Et₂O with 10% methanol. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon slow evaporation of the solvent mixture. Crystal data for structure ((7)₂HPO₄·(TBA)₂). C₈₆H₁₉₂F₁₆N₁₆O₄PS₆, \( M_r = 1791.47 \), \( T = 120(2) \) K, colorless crystals, triclinic, space group \( P -1 \), \( a = 13.3126(2) \) Å, \( b = 13.8595(2) \) Å, \( c = 16.0796(3) \) Å, \( \alpha = 110.712(1)^\circ \), \( \beta = 109.217(1)^\circ \), \( \gamma = 96.384(1)^\circ \), \( V = 2531.92(20) \) Å³, \( \rho_c = 1.175 \) g cm⁻³, \( \mu = 0.214 \) m⁻¹, \( Z = 1 \), reflections collected: 8913, unique reflections: 8913 \( (R_{int} = 0.0358) \), 556 parameters, \( R \) indices (all data): \( R_1 = 0.0653 \), \( wR_2 = 0.1470 \), final \( R \) indices \( [I > 2\sigma(I)] \): \( R_1 = 0.0530 \), \( wR_2 = 0.1384 \), \( GOOF = 1.082 \). A hydrogen atom is assumed on phosphate for charge balance, but could not be refined due to disorder in the phosphate anion (oxygen atoms are split in two equivalent positions). An attempt was made to model the disordered solvent, which is probably Et₂O, but the results were unsatisfactory. The situation was treated using the Squeeze algorithm.¹²

Table S12. Hydrogen bond properties for ((7)₂HPO₄·(TBA)₂).

| Donor--H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| N2--H₂···O₄         | 0.88    | 2.08      | 2.889(4)  | 152.2      |
| N2--H₂···O₃         | 0.88    | 2.28      | 3.123(4)  | 159.6      |
| N3--H₃···O₂         | 0.88    | 1.96      | 2.800(4)  | 158.7      |
| N3--H₃···O₁         | 0.88    | 2.15      | 2.953(4)  | 150.8      |
| N4--H₄···O₂         | 0.88    | 2.09      | 2.949(4)  | 165.0      |
| N4--H₄···O₄         | 0.88    | 2.16      | 2.942(4)  | 148.1      |
| N5--H₅A···O₃        | 0.88    | 2.21      | 3.062(4)  | 163.3      |
| N5--H₅A···O₁        | 0.88    | 2.31      | 3.089(4)  | 147.2      |
| N6--H₆A···O₄        | 0.88    | 2.14      | 2.922(4)  | 148.3      |
| N6--H₆A···O₁        | 0.88    | 2.36      | 3.162(4)  | 151.2      |
| N7--H₇···O₁         | 0.88    | 2.20      | 3.010(4)  | 152.1      |
| N7--H₇···O₂         | 0.88    | 2.26      | 3.053(4)  | 149.1      |
| N7--H₇···O₃         | 0.88    | 2.48      | 3.235(4)  | 144.4      |
| N7--H₇···O₄         | 0.88    | 2.47      | 3.253(4)  | 148.0      |
**Figure S84.** ORTEP diagram of asymmetric unit of \((\mathcal{T}_2\mathcal{HPO}_4\cdot(TBA)_2)\) with atom numbering, showing 50% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

**Figure S85.** Schematic view of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of \((\mathcal{T}_2\mathcal{HPO}_4\cdot(TBA)_2)\). For clarity, TBA counterions and non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for complex of 8 with dihydrogen phosphate, CCDC 830476

Compound 7 and 1 equivalent of TBAH₂PO₄ were dissolved in 1:1:1 DCM/hexane/Et₂O and stirred for 30 minutes. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon slow evaporation of the solvent mixture. Crystal data for structure ((8)⊃(H₂PO₄)₂·(TBA)₂·(Et₂O)₂).

C₄₇H₆₆F₁₅N₈O₅PS₃, Mᵣ = 1235.26, T = 120(2) K, colorless crystals, triclinic, space group P -1, a = 12.0334(9) Å, b = 16.235(3) Å, c = 16.947(3) Å, α = 76.759(9)°, β = 74.367(11)°, γ = 77.746(10)°, V = 3063.3(10) Å³, ρc = 1.339 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.240 m⁻¹, Z = 2, reflections collected: 27731, unique reflections: 8755 (R int = 0.120), 715 parameters, R indices (all data): R₁ = 0.1725, wR₂ = 0.3600, final R indices [I > 2σI]: R₁ = 0.1323, wR₂ = 0.3319, GOOF = 1.079. The observed reflections were diffuse with an intensity that dropped off quickly at higher angles. This has led to a lower quality refinement than would be desirable. An attempt was made to model the H₂O molecules, but the results were unsatisfactory. The situation was treated using the Squeeze algorithm.¹²

| Donor--H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| N2--H2···O1         | 0.86    | 2.05      | 2.881(9)  | 163.0     |
| N3--H3···O2         | 0.86    | 2.00      | 2.776(10) | 150.1     |
| N4--H4···O1         | 0.86    | 2.06      | 2.844(8)  | 151.8     |
| N5--H5···O4         | 0.86    | 2.24      | 3.057(9)  | 159.8     |
| N5--H5···O1         | 0.86    | 2.56      | 3.218(8)  | 134.6     |
| N6--H6···O1         | 0.86    | 2.07      | 2.838(9)  | 147.9     |
| N7--H7···O3         | 0.86    | 2.22      | 3.067(9)  | 166.7     |
| O3--H3A···O2        | 0.82    | 1.74      | 2.538(8)  | 162.2     |
| O4--H4A···O10       | 0.82    | 1.81      | 2.570(9)  | 153.7     |
Figure S86. ORTEP diagram of asymmetric unit of $(8)_2 \supset (\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2^{+}(\text{TBA})_2^{+}(\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2^{-}$ with atom numbering, showing 50% probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

Figure S87. Two views of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of $(8)_2 \supset (\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2^{+}(\text{TBA})_2^{+}(\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2^{-}$. For clarity, TBA counterions and non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for complex of 10 with hydrogen phosphate, CCDC 830483

Compound 10 and 15 equivalents of TBAH$_2$PO$_4$ were dissolved in DMSO with 0.5% water (sample of NMR titration experiment) and 10 % methanol was added. Most of the solvent mixture was allowed to slowly evaporate at 50 °C. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon cooling down of the remaining mixture. Crystal data for structure ([(10)$_2$·HPO$_4$·(TBA)$_2$]. C$_{98}$H$_{126}$F$_{36}$N$_{16}$O$_4$PS$_6$, $M_r = 2499.54$, $T = 120(2)$ K, colorless crystals, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, $a = 17.8434(3)$ Å, $b = 26.1975(5)$ Å, $c = 26.2403(5)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.00^\circ$, $\beta = 107.687(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90.00^\circ$, $V = 11686.3(4)$ Å$^3$, $\rho_c = 1.421$ g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu = 0.243$ m$^{-1}$, $Z = 4$, reflections collected: 104545, unique reflections: 20537 ($R_{int} = 0.0778$), 1514 parameters, $R$ indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.1584$, $wR_2 = 0.1924$, final $R$ indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]: $R_1 = 0.0900$, $wR_2 = 0.1581$, $GOOF = 1.074$. A hydrogen is assumed on phosphate for charge balance, but could not be localized. Disorder is present in the –CF$_3$ groups. Fluorine atoms F4, F5, F6, F31, F32 and F33 were each split over two near equivalent positions (half occupancy), the other fluorine atoms were restrained using ISOR commands.

Table S14. Hydrogen bond properties for ([(10)$_2$·HPO$_4$·(TBA)$_2$]).

| Donor–H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| N2--H2···O1        | 0.86    | 2.08      | 2.879(6)  | 153.4      |
| N3--H3···O2        | 0.86    | 2.12      | 2.955(6)  | 162.8      |
| N4--H4···O1        | 0.86    | 2.06      | 2.878(6)  | 158.3      |
| N5--H5A···O3       | 0.86    | 2.06      | 2.898(6)  | 163.9      |
| N6--H6···O1        | 0.86    | 2.03      | 2.881(6)  | 168.6      |
| N7--H7A···O4       | 0.86    | 2.10      | 2.943(6)  | 167.0      |
| N9--H9A···O2       | 0.86    | 2.28      | 3.047(6)  | 148.9      |
| N10--H10···O2      | 0.86    | 2.06      | 2.864(6)  | 155.1      |
| N11--H11···O3      | 0.86    | 2.26      | 3.029(6)  | 149.1      |
| N12--H12···O3      | 0.86    | 1.99      | 2.829(6)  | 164.8      |
| N13--H13···O4      | 0.86    | 2.26      | 3.034(6)  | 150.6      |
| N14--H14···O4      | 0.86    | 2.03      | 2.870(6)  | 163.6      |
Figure S88. ORTEP diagram of asymmetric unit of \(((10)_2\cdot\text{HPO}_4\cdot\text{TBA})_2\) with atom numbering, showing 50 % probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

Figure S89. Schematic view of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of \(((10)_2\cdot\text{HPO}_4\cdot\text{TBA})_2\). For clarity, TBA counterions and non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
X-ray data for complex of 8 with nitrate, CCDC 830477

Compound 8 and 1 equivalent of TBANO₃ were dissolved in methanol and stirred for 24 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and residue was redissolved in 1:1:1 DCM/hexane/Et₂O. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained upon slow evaporation of the solvent mixture. Crystal data for structure (8⊃NO₃·TBA). C₄₃H₅₄F₁₅N₉S₃O₃, Mᵣ = 1126.16, T = 120(2) K, colorless needle, monoclinic, space group P 2₁/n, a = 18.1581(2) Å, b = 15.6513(2) Å, c = 19.1011(2) Å, α = 90.00⁰, β = 107.0200⁰, γ = 90.00⁰, V = 5190.7(1) Å³, ρc = 1.441 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.244 m⁻¹, Z = 4, reflections collected: 44715, unique reflections: 9152 (Rint = 0.0399), 673 parameters, R indices (all data): R₁ = 0.1197, wR₂ = 0.2300, final R indices [I > 2σ(I)]: R₁ = 0.1073, wR₂ = 0.2222, GOOF = 1.054. Disorder is present in the ligand structure that could not be modeled appropriately by splitting the atom positions. ISOR restraints on the fluorine atoms have been used to obtain the best possible result.

**Table S15. Hydrogen bond properties for (8⊃NO₃·TBA). (found with PLATON)**

| Donor–H···Acceptor | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| N2--H2···O2         | 0.88    | 2.06      | 2.921(6)  | 165         |
| N3--H3···O1         | 0.88    | 2.24      | 2.903(6)  | 132         |
| N4--H4···O1         | 0.88    | 2.08      | 2.942(7)  | 166         |
| N5--H5···O3         | 0.88    | 2.48      | 3.005(7)  | 119         |
| N6--H6···O3         | 0.88    | 2.19      | 3.049(8)  | 166         |
| N7--H7···O2         | 0.88    | 2.25      | 2.884(6)  | 129         |
| N7--H7···O3         | 0.88    | 2.58      | 3.366(7)  | 149         |
Table S16. Anion-π interactions for (8□NO₃·TBA). (found with PLATON)

| Y--X(I) | Cg(J) | X..Cg (Å) | Y-X..Cg (°) | Y..Cg (Å) |
|---------|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| C7--F3  | Cg(2) | 3.275(4)  | 127.4(3)    | 4.226(6)  |
| C18--F10 | Cg(3) | 3.553(6)  | 109.2(4)    | 4.176(6)  |
| N10--O2 | Cg(2) | 3.013(4)  | 97.4(3)     | 3.415(6)  |

a) Cg(2) = centre of gravity in 6-membered ring defined by C13, C14, C15, C16, C17 and C18
b) Cg(3) = centre of gravity in 6-membered ring defined by C22, C23, C24, C25, C26 and C27
c) Distance N10-C14 = 3.105(7) Å

Figure S90. ORTEP diagram of asymmetric unit of (8□NO₃·TBA) with atom numbering, showing 50 % probability factor for the thermal ellipsoids. Non-acidic hydrogens are omitted for clarity.
**Figure S91.** Schematic view of the hydrogen bonds in the crystal of dimeric (8\(\equiv\)NO\(_3\)·TBA). For clarity, TBA counterions and non-acidic hydrogens are omitted and only atoms involved in hydrogen bonding are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.
S6. TRANSPORT STUDIES

S6.1. Experimental procedures

These procedures describe typical membrane transport tests as referred to in the article. Internal and external solutions can vary (see caption of figures). Chloride concentrations during transport experiments were determined using an Accumet chloride-selective electrode. POPC (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) was supplied by Genzyme and was stored at –20°C as a solution in chloroform (1 g POPC in 35 mL chloroform). Polyoxyethylene(8)lauryl ether was used as detergent and was supplied by TCI.

Preparation of Vesicles

A lipid film of POPC was formed from a chloroform solution under reduced pressure and dried under vacuum for at least 4 hours. The lipid film was rehydrated by vortexing with a metal chloride (MCl) salt solution (489 mM MCl, 5 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2). The lipid suspension was then subjected to nine freeze-thaw cycles, where the suspension was alternatingly allowed to freeze in a liquid nitrogen bath, followed by thawing in a water bath. The lipid suspension was allowed to age for 30 min at room temperature and was subsequently extruded 25 times through a 200 nm polycarbonate membrane. The resulting unilamellar vesicles were dialyzed against the external medium to remove unencapsulated MCl salts.

Chloride/Nitrate Transport Assay

Unilamellar POPC (with 0% or 30% cholesterol) vesicles containing NaCl, prepared as described above, were suspended in the external medium consisting of a 489 mM NaNO₃ solution buffered to pH 7.2 with sodium phosphate salts (5 mM buffer). The lipid concentration per sample was 1 mM. A DMSO solution of the carrier molecule was added to start the experiment and the chloride efflux was monitored using a chloride sensitive electrode. At 5 min, the vesicles were lysed with 50 μl of polyoxyethylene(8)lauryl ether (0.232 mM in 7:1 water:DMSO v/v) and a total chloride reading was taken at 7 min. The initial value was set at 0 % chloride efflux and the final chloride reading (at 7 minutes) was set as 100 % chloride efflux. All other data points were calibrated to these points.
**Chloride/Bicarbonate Transport Assay**

Unilamellar POPC vesicles containing 489 mM NaCl solution buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts, prepared as described above, were suspended in the external medium consisting of a 162 mM Na₂SO₄ solution buffered to pH 7.2 with sodium phosphate salts (20 mM buffer). The lipid concentration per sample was 1 mM. A DMSO solution of the carrier molecule (10 mM) was added to start the experiment and chloride efflux was monitored using a chloride sensitive electrode. At 2 min, a NaHCO₃ solution (1 M in 162 mM Na₂SO₄ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts) was added so that the outer solution contained 40 mM NaHCO₃. At 7 min, the vesicles were lysed with 50 µl of polyoxyethylene(8)lauryl ether (0.232 mM in 7:1 water:DMSO v/v) and a total chloride reading was taken at 9 min. The initial value was set at 0 % chloride efflux and the final chloride reading (at 9 minutes) was set as 100 % chloride efflux. All other data points were calibrated to these points.

**HPTS assays (HCl co-transport)**

A lipid film of POPC was formed from a chloroform solution under reduced pressure and dried under vacuum for at least 6 hours. The lipid film was rehydrated by vortexing with a NaCl solution (1mM HPTS, 489 mM NaCl, 5 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2). The lipid suspension was then subjected to nine freeze-thaw cycles and allowed to age for 30 min at room temperature before extruding 25 times through a 200 nm polycarbonate membrane. The unincorporated HPTS was removed by size exclusion chromatography on a Sephadex G-25 column using a sodium sulfate solution as eluent (162 mM Na₂SO₄, 5 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2).

Unilamellar POPC vesicles containing NaCl, prepared as described above, were suspended in a Na₂SO₄ solution buffered to pH 7.2 with sodium phosphate salts. The lipid concentration per sample was 1 mM. A DMSO solution of the carrier molecule (10 mM) was added to start the experiment. The fluorescence of intravesicular HPTS was monitored by excitation at both 403 nm and 460 nm and recording the emission at 510 nm. After 300 s the vesicles were lysed with 30 µl of polyoxyethylene(8)lauryl ether (0.232 mM in 7:1 water:DMSO v/v). The internal pH was obtained by fitting the data to the following equation¹:

\[
pH = \frac{-1}{1.796} \ln \left( \frac{4.2055}{I_{460 \text{nm}} / I_{403 \text{nm}} - 1} \right) + 7.6142
\]
Lucigenin assays (Cl/\text{SO}_4^{2-} \text{ antiport})

A lipid film of POPC was formed from a chloroform solution under reduced pressure and dried under vacuum for at least 6 hours. The lipid film was rehydrated by vortexing with a NaCl solution (2 mM lucigenin, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2). The lipid suspension was then subjected to nine freeze-thaw cycles and allowed to age for 30 min at room temperature before extruding 25 times through a 200 nm polycarbonate membrane. The unincorporated lucigenin was removed by size exclusion chromatography on a Sephadex G-25 column using a sodium chloride solution as eluent (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2).

Unilamellar POPC vesicles containing NaCl and lucigenin, prepared as described above, were suspended in a NaCl solution buffered to pH 7.2 with sodium phosphate salts. The lipid concentration per sample was 0.5 mM. The internal chloride concentration could be monitored by the fluorescence of intravesicular lucigenin after excitation at 372 nm and recording the emission at 503 nm. At $t = 30$ s, a stock salt solution was added so that the outer concentration contained 40 mM of the new salt (stock solutions: 1 M NaNO$_3$, 1 M NaCl or 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$). After 60 s, a methanol solution of the carrier molecule was added to start ion transport. After 240 s the vesicles were lysed with 30 µl of polyoxyethylene(8)lauryl ether (0.232 mM in 7:1 water:DMSO v/v).
S6.2. Evidence for antiport and co-transport mechanisms

Chloride/nitrate antiport

Figure S92. Chloride efflux promoted by 1-10 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO3 buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100 % chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) urea compounds 1-5. (b) thiourea compounds 6-10.


**Chloride/bicarbonate antiport**

**Figure S93.** Chloride efflux promoted by 1-10 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At $t = 120$s, a solution of NaHCO$_3$ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100 % chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) ureas 1-5. (b) thioureas 6-10.
Figure S94. Chloride efflux promoted by 3 or 8 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na₂SO₄ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts, or buffered to pH 8.0 or pH 9.0 with Tris buffer. At t = 120s, a solution of NaHCO₃ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100 % chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) urea 3. (b) thiourea 8.

Figure S95. Chloride efflux promoted by 3 or 8 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na₂SO₄ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts, or buffered to pH 8.0 or pH 9.0 with Tris buffer. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100 % chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) urea 3. (b) thiourea 8.
**Figure S96.** Intravesicular pH change promoted by 1-10 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 1 mM HPTS and 489 mM NaCl, buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At t = 15 s, a DMSO solution of the putative transporters was added to start the experiment. At the end of the experiment (t = 300 s), detergent was added to lyse the vesicles. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) ureas 1-5, close-up view. (b) ureas 1-5, total experiment. (c) thioureas 6-10, close-up view. (d) thioureas 6-10, total experiment.
**Chloride/sulfate antiport**

In this experiment, there is no chloride gradient or pH gradient and HCl co-transport becomes very unlikely. The transport mechanism that can occur is antiport of chloride with the anion that was spiked into the external solution at \( t = 30 \) s. Increase in fluorescence indicates that lucigenin is no longer quenched by chloride and hence that chloride is transported out of the vesicle.

![Figure S97](image)

**Figure S97.** Unilamellar POPC vesicles were loaded with 100 mM NaCl and 2 mM lucigenin buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts and dispersed in a 100 mM NaCl solution (buffered to pH 7.2). At \( t = 30 \) s, a solution of the appropriate anion was added (final concentration of 40 mM NaNO\(_3\), 40 mM Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) or 40 mM NaCl). At \( t = 60 \) s, a methanol solution of the putative transport was added. At the end of the experiment (240 s), detergent was added to lyse the vesicles. The blank measurement refers to the addition of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) followed by the addition of methanol. Each point represents the average of three trials; (a) compound 3 (2 % molar carrier to lipid). (b) compound 8 (4% molar carrier to lipid).
Unilamellar POPC vesicles were loaded with 100 mM NaCl and 2 mM lucigenin buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts and dispersed in a 100 mM NaCl solution (buffered to pH 7.2). At t = 30 s, a solution of the appropriate anion was added (final concentration of 40 mM NaNO$_3$, 40 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ or 40 mM NaCl). At t = 60 s, a methanol solution of the putative transport was added. At the end of the experiment (240 s), detergent was added to lyse the vesicles. The blank measurement refers to the addition of Na$_2$SO$_4$, followed by the addition of methanol. Each point represents the average of three trials; (a) compound 5 (4 % molar carrier to lipid). (b) compound 10 (4% molar carrier to lipid). (c) methanol (no carrier).
S6.3. Evidence for mobile carrier mechanism

Cholesterol test

Vesicles were prepared in the same way as described above (see section S5.1), but the lipid consisted of a 7:3 mixture of POPC and cholesterol. Cholesterol is believed to increase the viscosity of the membrane, hence slowing down diffusion in the lipid bilayer. This effect should be more pronounced in the case of a mobile carrier mechanism.

Figure S99. Chloride efflux promoted by ureas 2-5 from unilamellar POPC vesicles (filled symbols) or unilamellar 7:3 POPC:cholesterol vesicles (empty symbols) loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) Compound 2, 1 mol% carrier to lipid. (b) Compound 3, 0.5 mol% carrier to lipid. (c) Compound 4, 0.1 mol% carrier to lipid. (d) Compound 5, 0.003 mol% carrier to lipid.
Figure S100. Chloride efflux promoted by thioureas 7-10 from unilamellar POPC vesicles (filled symbols) or unilamellar 7:3 POPC:cholesterol vesicles (empty symbols) loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) Compound 7, 0.05 mol% carrier to lipid. (b) Compound 8, 0.05 mol% carrier to lipid. (c) Compound 9, 0.1 mol% carrier to lipid. (d) Compound 10, 0.1 mol% carrier to lipid.
**U-tube experiment**

In a U-tube experiment the lipid bilayer is substituted with a bulk organic phase. In these conditions ion channel formation is virtually impossible. The organic phase consisted of 20 mL nitrobenzene (for solubility reasons) and contained 1 mM of carrier. A control experiment was executed with neat nitrobenzene (no carrier). The same aqueous phases were used as for the vesicle experiments. The donating phase contained 500 mM NaCl and was buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM phosphate salts (10 mL). The receiving phase contained 500 mM NaNO₃ and was buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM phosphate salts (10 mL). The change in chloride concentration of the receiving phase was monitored with a chloride-selective electrode. The electrode was calibrated to convert the potential readings (mV) to chloride concentrations (M). The experiments were conducted at room temperature and the results are shown in Figure S101.

![U-tube experiment](image)

**Figure S101.** U-tube experiment in nitrobenzene. (a) Graph showing the change in the chloride concentration of the receiving aqueous phase as a function of time. (b) Experimental set-up.
S6.4. Structure activity relationship

Initial rate of chloride efflux

Figure S102. Chloride efflux promoted by 1-10 (2% molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. The initial data points are fitted to a linear curve \( y = k \times x \), to obtain the initial transport rate \( k_{\text{ini}} \) (% s⁻¹). (a) urea compounds 1-5. (b) thiourea compounds 6-10.
Figure S103. Chloride efflux promoted by 1-10 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na₂SO₄ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At t = 120s, a solution of NaHCO₃ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100 % chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. The initial data points after addition of NaHCO₃ are fitted to a linear curve $y=kx+b$, to obtain the initial transport rate $k_{ini}$ (% s⁻¹). (a) ureas 1-5. (b) thioureas 6-10.
Hill Plots

The chloride/nitrate or chloride/bicarbonate transport assays were performed as described above (see section S5.1) for various concentrations of carrier. The chloride efflux (%) 270 s after the addition of carrier (chloride/nitrate) or 270 s after the addition of NaHCO₃ (chloride/bicarbonate) was plotted as a function of the carrier concentration. Data points were fitted to the Hill equation:

\[ y = \frac{V_{\text{max}} x^n}{k^n + x^n} \]

where \( y \) is the chloride efflux at 270 s (%) and \( x \) is the carrier concentration (molar % carrier to lipid). \( V_{\text{max}} \), \( k \) and \( n \) are the parameters to be fitted. \( V_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum efflux possible (usually 100%), \( n \) is the Hill coefficient and \( k \) is the carrier concentration needed to reach \( V_{\text{max}}/2 \). From the Hill plot EC⁵₀,270s values were calculated, defined as the carrier concentration (molar % carrier to lipid) needed to obtain 50 % chloride efflux after 270 s. An overview is given in Table S17 and Table S18.

Table S17. Overview of chloride/nitrate assays of compounds 1-10. Errors are between brackets.

| Compound | \( k_{\text{ini}}^{a)} \) (% s⁻¹) | \( V_{\text{max}}^{b)} \) (%) | \( k^{b)} \) (% carrier:lipid) | \( n^{b)} \) | EC⁵₀,270s b) (% carrier:lipid) |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 1        | 0.081 (0.004)                 | 100c)           | 5.6 (0.1)c)                 | 1.24 (0.03)c) | 5.6c)                        |
| 2        | 0.571 (0.009)                 | 99 (3)          | 0.42 (0.04)                 | 1.4 (0.1)  | 0.43                         |
| 3        | 1.84 (0.07)                   | 100             | 0.24 (0.01)                 | 1.37 (0.08) | 0.24                         |
| 4        | 1.35 (0.05)                   | 99.1 (0.4)      | 0.052 (0.002)               | 1.14 (0.03) | 0.052                        |
| 5        | 1.01 (0.03)                   | 64.9 (0.2)      | 0.00211 (0.00005)           | 1.62 (0.05) | 0.0044                       |
| 6        | 0.97 (0.04)                   | 100c)           | 0.308 (0.005)c)             | 1.93 (0.09)c) | 0.31c)                       |
| 7        | 3.3 (0.4)                     | 100             | 0.0426 (0.0009)             | 2.9 (0.3)  | 0.042                        |
| 8        | 3.2 (0.4)                     | 90 (5)          | 0.029 (0.002)               | 2.4 (0.4)  | 0.032                        |
| 9        | 1.18 (0.06)                   | 79 (1)          | 0.069 (0.004)               | 4.8 (0.6)  | 0.077                        |
| 10       | 0.90 (0.03)                   | 64 (2)          | 0.03 (0.01)                 | 5 (2)      | 0.042                        |

\( a) \) initial rate of chloride efflux for 2% molar percentage carrier to lipid. \( b) \) parameters from Hill plot. \( c) \) previously published data.
Table S18. Overview of chloride/bicarbonate assays of compounds 1-10. Errors are between brackets.

|      | $k_{ini}$<sup>a</sup> (%) s<sup>-1</sup> | $V_{max}$<sup>b</sup> (%) | $k_b$ (%) | $n_b$<sup>b</sup> | EC<sub>50,270s</sub><sup>b</sup> (%) carrier:lipid |
|------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------|----------------|----------------------------------|
|      |                                  |                  |         |                |                                  |
| Urea based compounds                  |                                  |                  |         |                |                                  |
| 1    | 0.024 (0.007)                    | -                | -       | -              | >5<sup>c</sup>                   |
| 2    | 0.081 (0.001)                    | -                | -       | -              | >5<sup>d</sup>                   |
| 3    | 0.250 (0.002)                    | -<sup>e</sup>    | -<sup>e</sup> | -<sup>e</sup> |                                  |
| 4    | 0.46 (0.01)                      | 81 (3)           | 0.16 (0.02) | 1.2 (0.1)    | 0.24                            |
| 5    | 0.77 (0.06)                      | 66 (2)           | 0.017 (0.001) | 1.5 (0.1)    | 0.036                           |
| Thiourea based compounds              |                                  |                  |         |                |                                  |
| 6    | 0.186 (0.009)                    | 73 (7)           | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.00 (0.09)   | 2.3                             |
| 7    | 0.47 (0.02)                      | 84 (1)           | 0.26 (0.01) | 1.2 (0.1)    | 0.35                            |
| 8    | 0.38 (0.01)                      | -<sup>e</sup>    | -<sup>e</sup> | -<sup>e</sup> |                                  |
| 9    | 0.47 (0.01)                      | 68.7 (1.5)       | 0.092 (0.005) | 4.8 (0.8)    | 0.11                            |
| 10   | 0.76 (0.03)                      | 60.7 (0.4)       | 0.093 (0.009) | 3.8 (0.8)    | 0.14                            |

<sup>a</sup> initial rate of chloride efflux (after addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) for 2% carrier to lipid.  
<sup>b</sup> parameters from Hill plot.  
<sup>c</sup> accurate Hill analysis could not be performed due to low activity, chloride efflux 270 s after the addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was 20.9 % for 5 % loading of compound 1 (mol% carrier to lipid).  
<sup>d</sup> accurate Hill analysis could not be performed due to low activity, chloride efflux 270 s after the addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was 36.2 % for 5 % loading of compound 2 (mol% carrier to lipid).  
<sup>e</sup> meaningful Hill analysis could not be performed due to significant background transport in the absence of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (HCl symport and/or Cl<sup>-</sup>/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> antiport).
Figure S104. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 2 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.

Figure S105. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 3 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.
**Figure S106.** Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 4 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.

**Figure S107.** Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 5 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.
**Figure S108.** Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 7 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.

**Figure S109.** Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 8 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.
Figure S110. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 9 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.

Figure S111. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 10 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. Chloride efflux was measured at 270 s.
Figure S112. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 4 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At t = 120 s, a solution of NaHCO$_3$ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. Chloride efflux was measured 270 s after the addition of NaHCO$_3$.

Figure S113. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 5 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At t = 120 s, a solution of NaHCO$_3$ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. Chloride efflux was measured 270 s after the addition of NaHCO$_3$. 
Figure S114. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 6 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At $t = 120$ s, a solution of NaHCO$_3$ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. Chloride efflux was measured 270 s after the addition of NaHCO$_3$.

Figure S115. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 7 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At $t = 120$ s, a solution of NaHCO$_3$ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. Chloride efflux was measured 270 s after the addition of NaHCO$_3$. 
Figure S116. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 9 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At t = 120 s, a solution of NaHCO$_3$ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. Chloride efflux was measured 270 s after the addition of NaHCO$_3$.

Figure S117. Hill plot for chloride release mediated by receptor 10 from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 450 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 162 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. At t = 120 s, a solution of NaHCO$_3$ was added to give a 40 mM external concentration. Chloride efflux was measured 270 s after the addition of NaHCO$_3$. 
S6.5. Leveling out at 70%

*Effect of buffer*

Compounds 5, 10 and to a lesser extent 9, show a leveling out of chloride efflux after 1 minute and never reach chloride effluxes higher than 70%. A possible explanation for this behavior might be competition of binding to the buffer anions (phosphate) in solution. To test this, the experiment was repeated with HEPES buffer instead of phosphate buffer (Figure S118) and no significant difference was observed. This suggests that binding to the buffer anions is unlikely.

![Figure S118](image)

**Figure S118.** Chloride efflux promoted by 4, 5, 9 and 10 (2% molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts or with 5 mM HEPES buffer. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts or with 5 mM HEPES buffer. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. Experiments with HEPES buffer are represented by empty symbols and the experiments with phosphate buffer are represented by filled symbols. (a) compounds 4 and 9. (b) compounds 5 and 10.

*Effect of absolute ion concentrations*

As more chloride is transported out of the vesicles, the ion gradient across the membrane becomes smaller and the thermodynamic driving force for transport decreases. To test if the
leveling out of chloride transport is due to the decrease in the ion gradient, the experiment was repeated by using a smaller initial gradient (200 mM instead of 500 mM ion concentrations). However, this resulted in the same behavior as observed for a larger initial gradient (Figure S119).

**Figure S119.** Chloride efflux promoted by 4, 5, 9 and 10 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl or 189 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO$_3$ or 189 mM NaNO$_3$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100 % chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. Experiments with 200 mM gradient are represented by empty symbols and the experiments with 500 mM gradient are represented by filled symbols. (a) compounds 4 and 9. (b) compounds 5 and 10.

**Flippase activity**

The leveling out behavior can also be the result of binding to the phospholipid headgroups in the lipid bilayer. This can result in so-called flippase activity. Flippase tests using NBD-labeled phospholipids were performed according to literature procedures, but no significant flippase activity could be detected within the time scale of the Cl/NO$_3$ ISE experiments (first 5 minutes). The flippase activity that could be detected also seems to be of the same magnitude for both 2 (no leveling out) and 5 (leveling out), indicating that the flippase activity is not the reason for the observed platform at 70 % for compound 5.
Figure S120. Change in the percent of NBD-lipid in the outer monolayer (% exo) promoted by 2 and 5 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 100 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 100 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts.

A lipid film of POPC was formed from a chloroform solution under reduced pressure and dried under vacuum for at least 6 hours. The lipid film was rehydrated by vortexing with a NaCl solution (100 mM NaCl, 5 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.2). The lipid suspension was then subjected to nine freeze-thaw cycles and allowed to age for 30 min at room temperature before extruding 25 times through a 100 nm polycarbonate membrane.

Unilamellar POPC vesicles containing NaCl, prepared as described above, were suspended in a NaCl solution buffered to pH 7.2 with sodium phosphate salts (25 µM lipid, 35 mL total solution). Exo-labeled vesicles were prepared by slowly injecting a concentrated ethanolic solution of NBD-lipid into a stirring, room temperature solution of the unlabeled vesicles (final NBD-lipid concentration of 0.125 µM). A DMSO solution of the carrier molecule was added to start the experiment (2% molar carrier to lipid). Every few minutes, a 3 mL aliquot was removed and assayed for extend of translocation. The fluorescence of NBD-labeled phospholipids was monitored by excitation at 470 nm and recording the emission at 530 nm. The 200 s assay consisted of a dithionite injection at t = 50 s (60 mM) and the addition of detergent polyoxyethylene(8)lauryl ether (0.232 mM in 7:1 water:DMSO v/v) at t = 150 s. The percentage of exo NBD-labeled POPC lipid was calculated by \( \%_{\text{exo}} = 100\% \frac{(F_i-F_d)}{(F_i-F_f)} \), where \( F_i \) is the intensity just prior to dithionite addition, \( F_f \) is the intensity just prior to the addition of detergent.
and $F_d$ is the intensity at the end of the experiment (50 s after the addition of detergent). The initial percentage of exo NBD-lipid was set at 100%.

**Dilution of vesicle concentration**

Another reason why the chloride efflux does not reach levels higher than 70% in the ISE experiments, might be due to the fact that the ISE experiments are bulk experiments. It is possible that the very lipophilic compounds redistribute in the membrane so fast that they cannot reach all of the vesicles. The observed chloride efflux then stops when the transport is finished in the vesicles containing the receptor, while the vesicle that do not contain the receptors account for the remaining 30% chloride that was not transported. This might be tested by using pre-incorporation, but this was not possible due to poor solubility in dichloromethane and chloroform. One way to ensure a better distribution of the putative transporters over all of the vesicles is by using a lower vesicle concentration (0.25 mM instead of 1 mM), but no significant difference could be observed.

**Figure S121.** Chloride efflux promoted by 4, 5, 9 and 10 (2% molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO$_3$ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The lipid concentration used was either 1 mM (filled symbols) or 0.25 mM (empty symbols). At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) compounds 4 and 9. (b) compounds 5 and 10.
Stepwise addition

Another way to test if the distribution of the carriers to all of the vesicles causes a problem, is by adding the carrier in several discrete steps. We therefore repeated the ISE experiment while adding the receptor to the vesicle solution in 8 discrete steps. As a comparison the test was also performed by adding the receptor in one step, followed by the addition of seven blank DMSO samples to eliminate the effect of using more organic solvents. However, the stepwise addition only led to a negligible increase in the overall chloride efflux. These tests suggest that distribution to the vesicles is not a major problem in the transport studies.

Figure S122. Chloride efflux promoted by 4, 5, 9 and 10 (2 % molar carrier to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles loaded with 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO₃ buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. To start the experiment, a DMSO solution of the carrier was added either in 1 step of 10 µL (2 %), 1 step (2 %) followed by 7 addition of 10 µL DMSO, or in 8 steps of 10 µL (0.25 % each, 2 % loading in total). At the end of the experiment, detergent was added to lyse the vesicles and calibrate the ISE to 100 % chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three trials. (a) compound 4. (b) compound 5. (c) compound 9. (d) compound 10.
S7. IN VITRO STUDIES

S7.1. Cell Culture

Human small-cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) cell line (GLC4), human colon adenocarcinoma cell line (DLD-1) was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD), were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Biological Industries, Beit Haemek, Israel); human colon adenocarcinoma HT29 (mutated p53 in Ala 273 codon) cell line was derived from a sigmoid colon cancer of stage B1, human colon adenocarcinoma from lymph node metastasis cell line (SW-620), squamous cell carcinoma from tongue (HN4 cell line) and from mouth floor (CAL27 cell line) were cultured in DMEM. Both medium were supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (all from GIBCO BRL, Paisley, UK), and 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma Chemicals Co, St Louis, MO, USA), at 37 ºC, 5% CO$_2$ in air.

S7.2. Cell viability assay

Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay (Hansen et al., 1989). Briefly, 20x10$^3$ cells were incubated in 96-well microtiter cell culture plates, in the absence (control cells) or in the presence 10 µM (single dose) or 1 to 16 µM (dose-response) of the 10 synthetic receptors in a final volume of 100 µl. After 24 hours incubation, 10 mM of MTT (diluted in PBS) was added to each well for an additional 4 hours. The blue MTT formazan precipitate was dissolved in 100 µl of isopropanol: 1N HCl (24:1) and the absorbance at 570 nm was measured on a multiwell plate reader. Cell viability was expressed as a percentage of control. IC$_{50}$ values at 24 h of drug exposure were calculated as (drug concentration induced death, in %)-(spontaneous death, in %). For calculation IC$_{50}$ values, a non linear equation was used. Data are shown as the mean value s.e.m. of triplicate cultures.
Figure S123. Dose–response curves (DRC) for receptors 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 on the viability of GLC4 cell line. The results are mean ±S.E.M. for three independent experiments in both cases and are expressed as % viability compared to control. Error bars represent standard deviation.

Figure S124. Dose–response curves (DRC) for receptors 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 on the viability of NH4 cell line. The results are mean ±S.E.M. for three independent experiments in both cases and are expressed as % viability compared to control. Error bars represent standard deviation.
Figure S125. Dose–response curves (DRC) for receptors 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 on the viability of CAL27 cell line. The results are mean ±S.E.M. for three independent experiments in both cases and are expressed as % viability compared to control. Error bars represent standard deviation.

S7.3. Vital fluorescence microscopy (Acridine Orange staining)

The living cultured cells were stained with acridine orange (AO) (Holtzman, 1989). Briefly, GLC4 cells (20x10³) on a chamber slide were incubated without and with 10 µM (receptors 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7) or the IC₅₀ dose (receptors 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10) in RPMI supplemented with 10 % FBS at 37 °C for 1 h. After 3 washes with PBS, cells were incubated with 5 µg/ml AO in PBS for 30 minutes. The chamber slides were washed 3 times with a PBS solution supplemented with 10% FBS and then examined with a Nikon microscope (E800) and photographed with diagnostic instruments photo automat system (Spot JR).
**Figure S126.** Acridine orange staining of GLC4 cell line after 1 h exposure at different receptors; (a) Untreated cells (control). (b) Cells treated with receptor 2. (c) Cells treated with receptor 3. (d) Cells treated with receptor 4. (e) Cells treated with receptor 6. (f) Cells treated with receptor 7. (g) Cells treated with receptor 8. (h) Cells treated with receptor 9. In the case of (a), (b), (c) and (e) cells show granular orange fluorescence in the cytoplasm, while for (d), (f), (g) and (h) cells show complete disappearance of orange fluorescence cytoplasm granules.

**Figure S127.** Acridine orange staining of CAL27 cell line after 1 h exposure at different receptors (a) Untreated cells (control). (b) Cells treated with receptor 1. (c) Cells treated with receptor 6. (d) Cells treated with receptor 10. (e) Cells treated with receptor 9. (f) Cells treated with receptor 8. In the case of (a), (b) and (c) cells show granular orange fluorescence in the cytoplasm, while for (d), (e) and (f) cells show disappearance of orange fluorescence cytoplasm granules.
S7.4. Hoechst staining

Cells were stained with the intercalating DNA dye Hoechst 33342 (Sigma) to reveal their nuclear morphology. GLC4 cells (5x10^5/ml) were incubated in the absence (control cells) or in the presence of 10 µM (receptors 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7) or the IC<sub>50</sub> dose (receptors 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10) for 24 h. Cells were then washed in PBS and resuspended in PBS and Hoechst 33342 to a final concentration of 2 µg/ml and incubated for 30 min at 37ºC in the dark. After incubation, cells were washed in PBS and the sections were examined fluorometrically (emission above 420 nm, excitation 330-385 nm) with a Nikon microscope (E800) and photographed with diagnostic instruments photo automat system (Spot JR).

Figure S128. Hoechst 33342 staining of GLC4 cell line after 24 h exposure at different receptors (a) Untreated cells (control). (b) Cells treated with receptor 6. (c) Cells treated with receptor 4. (d) Cells treated with receptor 7. (e) Cells treated with receptor 8. (f) Cells treated with receptor 9. (g) Cells treated with receptor 2. In the case of (a), (b) and (g), cells show normal nuclear morphology, while in the case of (c), (d), (e) and (f) cells show condensation of the nuclei, apoptotic bodies (d) and nucleus with “bean shape”(e).
Figure S129. Hoechst 33342 staining of Cal27 cell line after 24 h exposure at different receptors (a) Untreated cells (control). (b) Cells treated with receptor 1. (c) Cells treated with receptor 4. (d) Cells treated with receptor 6. (e) Cells treated with receptor 5. (f) Cells treated with receptor 8. (g) Cells treated with receptor 9. (h) Cells treated with receptor 10. In the case of (a), (b), (c) and (d) cells show normal nuclear morphology, while for (e), (f), (g) and (h) cells show condensation of the nuclei and nucleus with hole, apoptotic bodies (g) and “bean shape” (h).
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