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Spatio-Temporal Dynamics of Metacommunities - Implications for Conservation and Management

According to metacommunity theory (Leibold et al., 2004), the structure of local communities results from the interplay between local factors (e.g., environmental filtering, species interactions) and regional factors (e.g., dispersal rates, landscape configuration). The relative importance of these factors is highly dependent on the organisms’ biological traits, landscape connectivity, and the spatial and temporal scales considered (Heino et al., 2015; Tonkin et al., 2018; Viana and Chase, 2019; Almeida-Gomes et al., 2020; Cañedo-Argüelles et al., 2020; Lansac-Tôha et al., 2021). However, the differences in metacommunity assembly mechanisms found among studies are far from being fully understood. The evaluation of temporal dynamics of metacommunities has only emerged recently (Cañedo-Argüelles et al., 2020; Jabot et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Lindholm et al., 2021) and the application of the metacommunity theory in other fields, such as biomonitoring, conservation biology or ecosystem restoration, is yet to be fully explored (Bengtsson, 2010; Heino, 2013; Leibold and Chase, 2018; Chase et al., 2020; Cid et al., 2020; Heino et al., 2021).

In this Research Topic, our aim was to invite researchers working in different biogeographic regions and ecological systems (Figure 1) to publish a number of innovative papers on metacommunity spatio-temporal dynamics. We expect to obtain a better understanding of how the factors and processes that structure metacommunities vary in space and time, as well as the implications of such dynamics for biodiversity conservation and ecosystem management.

Relative Importance of Environmental and Spatial Factors on Metacommunity Structure

This Research Topic provides several examples on how the interplay of environmental and spatial factors shapes biodiversity. Czeglédi et al. evaluated the importance of the degree of urbanization, the local stream environmental conditions and the regional species pool on the community assembly of stream fishes. They found that the degree of urbanization is not a strong determinant of local stream habitat and fish community characteristics. Historical species pool and stream features shaped fish communities, with urbanization playing a rather individual role in some streams. These authors conclude that rehabilitation of urban streams should not only focus on local
examined the spatial and environmental variation in evaluated the drivers of spatial and temporal structure. In this Research Topic, examined the temporal patterns of an evaluated the temporal processes, but their relative importance can vary with the study scale. Kurthen et al. examined the metacommunity structure of diatoms and macroinvertebrates in river-connected and lake-connected streams. Diatoms exhibited the same metacommunity structure in both systems, while macroinvertebrates did not. Also, environmental filtering had a stronger effect on community dissimilarity in the lake-connected system than in the river-connected system for both groups. Finally, He et al. examined the spatial and environmental distance decay of the same organismal groups within three Chinese drainage basins. They found that environmental filtering was the strongest within the basin with the highest levels of environmental heterogeneity among sites. These findings are important because increased environmental heterogeneity may foster higher diversity of organisms in rivers and because anthropogenic impacts homogenizing the underlying abiotic template are likely to decrease aquatic biodiversity.

THE IMPORTANCE OF TEMPORAL AND SPATIAL SCALES ON METACOMMUNITY STRUCTURE

Metacommunities are shaped by environmental, spatial, and temporal processes, but their relative importance can vary with the study scale. Gálvez et al. examined variation in multiple organism groups across tropical temporary ponds. They found that environmental, spatial, and temporal processes were all important for metacommunity dynamics. However, the relative roles of these processes differed among taxa, and the environmental and spatial factors varied among sampling periods when the periods were analyzed separately. These findings indicate that a snapshot survey is not likely to reveal the dynamics of pond metacommunities, thereby hindering planning suitable conservation and management programs.

Beta diversity has played a prominent role in investigating the processes that determine the distribution patterns of communities (Anderson et al., 2011). In this Research Topic, Benito et al. evaluated the drivers of spatial and temporal beta diversity of modern and paleolimnological data of tropical South American diatoms from high elevation lakes and found different trajectories of lake diatom diversity as a response to environmental changes. These authors showed that unifying diatom ecology, metacommunity theory, and paleolimnological approaches can facilitate our understanding of the responses of tropical Andean lakes to global change effects in the near future. Nunes et al. evaluated the temporal patterns of an ant metacommunity across an elevational gradient, focusing on both taxonomic and functional facets. These authors found that taxonomic and functional temporal beta diversity did not increase with elevation. Their results indicate that at low elevations the replacement of species can cause loss of some traits, yet preserving the most common functions (nested functional communities), while at high elevations functional capabilities may change over time (replacement of traits). In the scenario of climate change, it is important to consider the role of the substitution of species on the temporal variation in functional traits of metacommunities at higher elevations.

Combining simulated metacommunities with empirical data, Castillo-Escrivà et al. investigated how temporal variations in environmental conditions and species’ dispersal can affect metacommunity organization of aquatic invertebrates. According to the simulations, the importance of the temporal scale increased at high dispersal rates. However, this was not confirmed by empirical data, which showed complex spatio-temporal variations that depended on the type of organisms and ecosystems under study.

Focusing on disturbance, seasonality, multi-year climate variation, and dormancy, Holyoak et al. synthesize our current knowledge of temporal metacommunity dynamics. Although empirical studies are relatively scarce, the authors show that the four forms of temporal dynamics considered can play a significant role in metacommunity ecology and conservation planning. Since global climate change is expected to increase both the frequency and severity of different types of disturbance, metacommunities might be more frequently structured by dispersal processes in the future.

APPLICATIONS IN CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT

Short-term field studies have limitations to identify the underlying processes of observed patterns because both the environment and communities change through time (Li et al., 2020). Record et al. provided evidence from a synthesis effort of the United States Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) program that such data can improve our ability to explain and predict biodiversity change with observational and experimental data at various spatial and temporal scales. Long-term studies that include multiple sites within a regional species pool enable a more thorough assessment of biodiversity change relative to long-term studies at a single site. Such an approach can also improve the development of metacommunity theory and its applications contributing to improved conservation efforts.
Globally, biological invasions are a major cause of biodiversity loss (Courchamp et al., 2017; Pyšek et al., 2020). Brown and Barney argue that invasion biology is currently limited by the consideration of species in isolation (i.e., disregarding the community context), and metacommunity ecology can greatly help to overcome this barrier. The combined analysis of environmental factors and dispersal (i.e., propagule pressure) can help to assess the degree of spread of an invader. The authors show multiple parallelisms between invasion biology and metacommunity ecology that pave the way for cross-disciplinary research. Finally, the paper provides direct applications of the metacommunity theory for managing biological invasions.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH**

The metacommunity perspective has clear applications in conservation and management (Bengtsson, 2010; Heino, 2013). However, metacommunity ecology and conservation biology have barely begun to be connected in an applied fashion (Leibold and Chase, 2018, Chase et al., 2020). More emphasis on the importance to understand metacommunity assembly has been given in applied contexts recently, especially in river networks (Cid et al., in press) and lakes considered as sets of interconnected systems in a landscape (Heino et al., 2021). However, terrestrial and marine ecologists have not considered metacommunity theory from this direct perspective, even though similar ideas pertaining to the importance of metapopulation dynamics (e.g., Hanski, 2005) and connectivity conservation (e.g., Ament et al., 2014) in anthropogenically affected landscapes have received considerable attention. We highlight that metacommunity structure should be studied in all realms by jointly considering environmental, spatial, and temporal dynamics, as well as anthropogenic impacts if we expect to manage and conserve biological diversity in a sustainable manner.

In a changing world, our current understanding of the underlying drivers of biodiversity patterns and ecosystem functioning will only improve with further research jointly focusing on both spatial and temporal dynamics of metacommunities (Heino et al., 2021). Metacommunity theory (Leibold et al., 2004) should also be combined with the traditional approaches in environmental assessment (Heino, 2013) and conservation planning (Hanski, 2005) that have not paid enough attention to the dynamics of ecological communities in changing landscapes, regions, and continents so far. We suggest that there should be a paradigm shift in applied research on understanding biodiversity change and environmental degradation through the joint consideration of multiple scales and underlying metacommunity assembly mechanisms.
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