Solitary magnetic perturbations at the ELM onset
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Abstract
Tokamak H-mode plasmas frequently exhibit edge-localized modes (ELMs). ELMs allow maintaining sufficient plasma purity and thus enable stationary H-mode. On the other hand in a future device ELMs may cause divertor power flux densities far in excess of tolerable material limits. The size of the energy loss per ELM is determined by saturation effects in the non-linear phase of the ELM, which at present is hardly understood. ASDEX Upgrade is now equipped with a set of fast sampling diagnostics, which is well suited to investigate the chain of events around the ELM crash with appropriate temporal resolution (⩽ 10 µs).

Solitary magnetic perturbations (SMPs) are identified as dominant features in the radial magnetic fluctuations below 100 kHz. They are typically observed close (± 100 µs) to the onset of pedestal erosion. SMPs are field aligned structures rotating in the electron diamagnetic drift direction with perpendicular velocities of about 10 km s⁻¹. A comparison of perpendicular velocities suggests that the perturbation evoking SMPs is located at or inside the separatrix. Analysis of very pronounced examples showed that the number of peaks per toroidal turn is 1 or 2, which is clearly lower than the corresponding numbers in linear stability calculations. In combination with strong peaking of the magnetic signals this results in a solitary appearance resembling modes like palm tree modes, edge snakes or outer modes. This behaviour has been quantified as solitariness and correlated with main plasma parameters.

SMPs may be considered as a signature of the non-linear ELM phase originating at the separatrix or further inside. Thus they provide a handle to investigate the transition from linear to non-linear ELM phase. By comparison with data from gas puff imaging processes in the non-linear phase at or inside the separatrix and in the scrape-off layer (SOL) can be correlated. A connection between the passing of an SMP and the onset of radial filament propagation has been found. Eventually the findings related to SMPs may contribute to a future quantitative understanding of the non-linear ELM evolution.

1. Introduction

In the high confinement regime (H-mode) [1] tokamak plasmas exhibit edge-localized modes (ELMs) [2]. ELMs are plasma edge instabilities of bursty nature, which enhance particle transport and thus allow maintaining sufficient plasma purity and enable stationary H-mode. On the other hand in a future device ELMs may cause energy deposition far in excess of tolerable material limits [3]. Hence the size of energy loss per ELM has to be controlled.

This size is determined by saturation effects in the non-linear phase of the ELM, which at present is hardly understood. The central idea of this work is to reconstruct aspects of the non-linear evolution of spontaneously occurring ELMs on the
basis of data from several fast sampling diagnostics regarding the exact position of these. The main focus of the analysis is on magnetic perturbations, which have served in numerous previous studies as an indicator of the intensity of MHD related activity. We identify solitary magnetic perturbations (SMPs) (i.e. featuring isolated sets of peaks and dips) frequently to be the dominant features in the radial magnetic fluctuations below 100 kHz. Our findings show that SMPs are features of the non-linear ELM phase, as they are different to structures found by linear stability calculations [4]. We observe them appearing usually close to (+100 μs) the onset of the pedestal crash.

In section 2 we describe the set of analysed discharges, main employed diagnostics and central analysis tools. Section 3 provides information on the typical ELM related dynamics of the pedestal, plasma stored energy, divertor $D_\alpha$ and radiation and divertor current. The latter is used to generate an ELM onset marker. After this preparatory part, in section 4 SMPs, the main focus of this publication, are addressed. After introducing SMPs, a quantification of the level of solitary appearance is presented, which is then correlated with other plasma parameters for an extensive set of ELMs. The major part of section 4 presents information on the structure of SMPs. In section 5 results from gas puff imaging of ELM filaments are reported and correlated with signatures of SMPs. Finally in section 6 main findings are summarized and discussed.

2. Experimental arrangement

2.1. Analysed discharges

Table 1 summarizes a number of plasma parameters of the discharges that are analysed in detail in this paper. The abbreviations in table 1 correspond to (#) number of the discharges that are analysed in detail in this paper. The abbreviations in table 1 correspond to (#) number of the discharges that are analysed in detail in this paper. The abbreviations in table 1 correspond to (#) number of the discharges that are analysed in detail in this paper. The abbreviations in table 1 correspond to (#) number of the discharges that are analysed in detail in this paper.

| #    | $\Delta t$ (s) | Conf | $I_P$ (MA) | $B_T$ (T) | $\delta_{pol}$ | $\delta_{low}$ | $q_{pol}$ | $W_{nud}$ (MJ) | $n_{edge}$ (10^{20} corpus) | $P_{NBI}$ (MW) | $P_{ECH}$ (MW) | $P_{ECH}$ (MW) |
|------|----------------|------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 24059| 3.7–3.8        | LSN  | 1.0        | −2.5       | 0.09          | 0.38           | −4.7      | 0.48           | 7.1             | 4.8             | 1.2            | 0              |
| 25764| 1.6–1.9        | LSN  | 1.0        | −2.4       | 0.10          | 0.42           | −4.4      | 0.86           | 5.3             | 7.5             | 0.9            | 0              |
| 26299| 1.8–2.8        | LSN  | 1.0        | −2.5       | 0.05          | 0.44           | −4.0      | 0.63           | 6.8             | 7.4             | 0.9            | 0              |
| 26324| 2.5–3.5        | LSN  | 1.0        | −2.5       | 0.26          | 0.48           | −4.4      | 0.77           | 7.3             | 7.7             | 0.8            | 0              |
| 26510| 1.4–1.5        | LSN  | 0.8        | −2.0       | 0.09          | 0.39           | −4.3      | 0.39           | 6.7             | 4.8             | 0              | 0              |
| 26703| 2.1–2.4        | USN  | 1.0        | −2.5       | 0.34          | 0.15           | −4.2      | 0.62           | 10.5            | 7.4             | 0.8            | 0              |
| 26704| 1.7–1.8        | USN  | 1.0        | 2.5        | 0.38          | 0.18           | 4.4       | 0.86           | 7.2             | 7.3             | 0.8            | 0              |
| 26764| 4.0–5.0        | LSN  | 0.8        | −2.4       | 0.04          | 0.45           | −5.3      | 0.52           | 4.2             | 5.4             | 0.9            | 0              |
| 27082| 2.3–2.4        | LSN  | 1.0        | −2.5       | 0.09          | 0.36           | −4.6      | 0.55           | 7.0             | 4.9             | 0              | 2.1            |
| 27112| 1.9–2.0        | LSN  | 1.0        | −2.4       | 0.07          | 0.35           | −4.3      | 0.47           | 7.3             | 4.4             | 0              | 0              |

Figure 1. Overview of the main parameters of the discharges analysed in this paper.
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2.2. Fast sampling diagnostics

Figure 1 provides an overview of the geometry of some of the diagnostics used in this work. Due to the time scales related to ELMs fast sampling diagnostics are of clear advantage. Magnetic perturbations associated with ELMs are a main focus and a starting point of this work. Therefore magnetic probes measuring at a rate of 2 MHz are extensively employed. Probes measuring $dB/dt$ have mainly been used, as in ASDEX Upgrade these are located close to the plasma (see figure 1) and therefore resolve higher multipole moments of a perturbation structure well. The positions of the probes of two poloidal and one toroidal array can be seen in figure 3. Other fast sampling diagnostic systems which we have employed are the electron cyclotron emission imaging (ECEI) system [5], fast framing cameras and the measurement of the divertor current. These will be briefly described in the relevant sections.

2.3. Analysis tools

2.3.1. Frequency band selection.

The typical ELM signature will be brief in the relevant sections.
signal into high- and low-frequency components. To produce the low-frequency component a Chebyshev Filter \( f_{\text{pass}} = 60 \text{kHz}, f_{\text{stop}} = 100 \text{kHz} \) is applied. The resulting low-frequency component is subtracted from the original signal to obtain the high-frequency component.

The high-frequency component usually shows a very fast rise close to a prompt onset. This is frequently followed by a decay with an exponential-like envelope. Particularly in the decay phase, high- and low-frequency components can exhibit quite different dynamics. In the example illustrated in figure 2(a) the low-frequency component still has relatively high excursions, while the high-frequency part has decayed to a level significantly below the peak level. Figure 2(b) shows the squared modulus of the Fourier transform of the original signal for the time interval indicated in figure 2(a), which is proportional to the power spectral density. This distribution shows highest values for frequencies lower than 50 kHz and a clear decay from 80 to 100 kHz. From these observations we assume that high- and low-frequency components are the footprint of at least two different processes, which may well be strongly linked.

The focus of this analysis is on ELM associated plasma edge phenomena. Analysing temperature and density measurements obtained by Thomson scattering typically 10–20 blobs per full toroidal rotation are found [6]. In another study structures observed by infrared thermography in the divertor have been mapped back to the mid-plane and extrapolated to 8–20 peaks per toroidal rotation [7]. Both ranges agree with the corresponding values for filaments observed by gas puff imaging in this work (see section 5). Linear MHD stability analysis finds similar upper limits for the mode number of the most unstable components in peeling–ballooning modes [4]. Recent non-linear gyrofluid simulations [8] suggest that ion temperature gradient driven micro-instabilities may also be involved in the mechanism of the ELM. Although this would involve smaller scales \( (\lambda \sim 30–100) \) than described by linear MHD we do not focus on these here. We use 6 kHz as an upper limit for the plasma toroidal rotation frequency, as derived from observations (see section 4.5). This limits the frequency with which the features of interest are expected to pass the probes to below 120 kHz.

On the basis of these considerations, and in order to simplify tracking of these features over several adjacent diagnostic channels, we use the low-pass filter described above for the analysis of data recorded with high time resolution.

2.3.2. Diagnostic mapping. As described above various diagnostics are available at ASDEX Upgrade, which are capable of temporally resolving ELM associated processes. However, these measurements are probing the plasma at an extensive variety of toroidal, poloidal and radial positions. Additionally, some measurements are volume integrated, some are line integrated and some are well localized.

An accurate approach to correlate different signals recorded during ELMs must take into account these different measurement positions. Therefore we have developed a method of mapping measurement positions to a common reference surface. We do this on the assumption, that for the investigated structures, the parallel wave number is so small that plasma parameters, such as density or temperature, do not change along a field line on the magnetic low-field side. This assumption is justified qualitatively in section 4.3.

For line or volume averaged measurements, we identify each diagnostic with a certain diagnostic reference point, which can be regarded as the centre of the intersection of the probed area with the area of existence of the investigated feature. For instance, for the magnetic probe measurement of a certain feature located in the steep gradient region, this diagnostic reference point is taken as the intersection of the shortest connection of the probe and the magnetic axis with an appropriate plasma edge flux surface. From these reference points we trace field lines to a common reference surface. This surface can be a poloidal cross-section \((\phi = \text{const.})\) or a surface of constant poloidal angle. In this work field lines are traced from the reference point to the outer mid-plane \((\theta = 0')\). This particular approach can be applied to measurements inside and outside the separatrix. Figure 3 shows the mapping trajectories of two poloidal and one toroidal arrays of probes measuring the time derived radial magnetic field component. In the following the toroidal angle of the end points (diamonds) will be called toroidal mapping target angle \(\phi_{\text{map}}\).

3. ELM dynamics of typical edge quantities

ELMs are known to be associated with drops of typical plasma parameters such as electron temperature [2] and density [9] on the pedestal top and plasma stored energy. Under certain conditions related to the detachment state [10] the \(D_{\alpha}\) radiation in the outer divertor shows a strong increase at the same time. Correlated with these processes, the current \(I_{\text{pol,sol,out}}\) has a strong rise in absolute value [11]. This current is the sum of the currents through four shunts connected to the outer divertor tiles with identical toroidal but different poloidal positions in
the SOL. As this current can be acquired easily with high
temporal resolution, it constitutes a good diagnostic to generate
ELM time markers on a regular basis. For each discharge listed
in table 1 we have identified the start times $t_{\text{div,ons}}$ of the rise
of $I_{\text{pol,sol,out}}$.

To characterize the basic evolution of a typical ELM we
calculate the coherent ELM averages of five quantities quoted
above. Electron temperature and density profiles are obtained
via integrated data analysis (IDA) [12] from electron cyclotron
emission (ECE) spectroscopy and lithium beam diagnostic in
combination with interferometry. We use the IDA values at
$\rho_{\text{pol}} = 0.95$ (pedestal top). The plasma stored energy is
obtained from equilibrium reconstruction with 0.1 ms time
resolution6.

In discharge 26764 from 4.0 to 5.0 s 71 ELMs are found.
For each of the quantities time traces during all ELMs are
aligned with respect to $t_{\text{div,ons}}$ and offset by an average over
an initial phase of the investigated time interval. For each
time step averages and standard deviations are calculated.
Figures 4(a) and (b) show these averages (thick lines) and
the intervals of one standard deviation around them (thin
lines).

First it is important to note that the scatter of the
$I_{\text{pol,sol,out}}$ trajectories is relatively minor, even though the
associated measurements are carried out at one toroidal
position. Furthermore, one can see that the rise of $|I_{\text{pol,sol,out}}|$ begins

- at about the same time as the drop of electron temperature
  at the pedestal top
- about 0.2 ms later than the drop of electron density at the
  pedestal top

An algorithm has been used that detects, after subtraction of a pre-ELM
average, a time in the rise phase corresponding to 5–25% of the peak value.

For non-circular plasmas integral quantities like $W_{\text{mhd}}$ are well recoverable
by equilibrium reconstruction [13]. However, during the most transient
phase (about 50–100 $\mu$s) the exact values of $W_{\text{mhd}}$ should be treated with care. In
this analysis we focus on the timing and the dynamics of the onset of $W_{\text{mhd}}$
reduction. This information is considered to be robust.

Note the relatively large standard deviation for the density!

7 In summary $h_{\text{div,ons}}$ yielded from $I_{\text{pol,sol,out}}$ is a suitable marker
  ($\pm 100 \mu$s) for the onset of pedestal erosion.

4. Observation of SMPs at the ELM onset

The low-pass filtered magnetic signature has a high level of
variation from ELM to ELM. To demonstrate this we
compare two ELMs from discharge 25764, which displays
very high electron temperatures and low to moderate edge
densities. Figures 5(a) and (b) show a comparison of the
signatures of the time derived radial magnetic field of two
ELMs in this discharge. The strongest perturbation in (a)
consists of one negative followed by one positive excursion
with a total duration of the order of 100 $\mu$s. In (b) the
entire perturbation and single peaks are of significantly longer
duration. Furthermore, the number of strong excursions is
greater in (b) compared with (a).

The magnetic perturbation in (a) has a solitary nature.
In comparison, the signal in (b) is less solitary with maximal
absolute values about 4 times lower. However, even in this
case peaked structures can be observed. Therefore, we refer
to all magnetic perturbations with such an appearance as SMPs.
In addition to ELMs, various other H-mode edge instabilities
have been reported to show a characteristic signature recorded
by magnetic probes. For the edge snake [14], the palm tree
mode [15] and the outer mode [16] this signature diverges
from a sine towards phases of constant signal, periodically
interrupted by solitary excursions.

4.1. Quantification and scaling of solitariness

The terminology ‘SMP’ as introduced above includes an
extensive phenomenological range. In order to further
discriminate, and to obtain some information on the
dependence of the level of solitary appearance on relevant
parameters, we develop a quantification of solitariness. The
basic idea is that a larger number of Fourier components
are necessary for a comparable approximation of a very
spiky perturbation, as in figure 5(a), compared with broad
perturbations, as in figure 5(b). Including also a normalization
we define the solitariness $slt$ as the ratio of the mean to the
maximum of the absolute value of the Fourier transform of
the input signal (here: time derived radial magnetic field) in a
certain time interval. Application of this definition to Gaussian
input signals yields that $slt$ is indirectly proportional to the full
width at half maximum (FWHM) of the Gaussian, as long as
the FWHM is clearly smaller than the investigated period of
time. A delta function has $slt = 1$ and a sine has $slt = 0$. In
the following analysis for the time interval, a period of about 1 ms,
centred at the maximum absolute value of the input signal in
the vicinity of $h_{\text{div,ons}}$, is chosen.

The described definition gives for the examples depicted in
figure 5 solitariness values of 0.041 (a), respectively, 0.010
(b). Visual examination of a larger number of ELMs reveals
that high $slt$ values (e.g. $\geq 0.04$) are usually correlated only
to a clearly solitary appearance, while low $slt$ values can be
associated with any level of solitary appearance. For instance,
a very solitary magnetic perturbation can be superimposed
by the signature of other, more harmonic MHD activity leading to a total signal of low solitariness and marginally changed appearance. A clear solitary appearance of a magnetic perturbation is a necessary but not sufficient condition for a high value of the developed quantity $sl$t.

To gain information on solitariness for a higher number of ELMs, ASDEX Upgrade discharges 26200 to 27200 are systematically analysed. For each detected ELM $sl$t are calculated on the basis of signals from six magnetic probes located in the outer mid-plane and toroidally spread over 180°. For each ELM a set of parameters ($I_p$, $W_{\text{mhd}}^{10}$, average triangularity $\delta_{\text{av}}$ (see footnote 10), $q_{\text{ps}}$ (see footnote 10) and density $\rho_{\text{edge}}$ measured by interferometry channel through plasma edge) is also acquired. All parameters are averaged over time intervals starting in the middle of two subsequent ELM onset times and ending at the same point during the next inter-ELM period. Table 2 lists the range of these parameters correlated with $sl$t in the investigated data set. Shots suspected to have fringe jumps in the interferometry signal are excluded. ELMs for which the level of the inter-ELM magnetic fluctuations exceeds 30% of the peak value are suspected to corrupt due to MHD activity not related to ELMs and omitted.

On the basis of these criteria more than 40 000 ELMs are selected. Figure 6(a) illustrates the distributions of $sl$t for these ELMs for six magnetic probes. The distributions are very similar to each other, but are shifted slightly towards higher values for some probes (e.g. B31-02). The peaks of the distributions ($sl$t $\sim$ 0.011) correspond to a value for $sl$t close to the example shown in figure 5(b). For each ELM the minimum and maximum of $sl$t for the six probes has been evaluated. The distribution of these extrema are displayed in figure 6(b). It is obvious that for single ELMs the values of $sl$t obtained via the set of probes has a significant range. This indicates that SMPs may be associated with a significant level of toroidal asymmetry.

We address the question under which conditions high solitariness events can be observed in the plasma. As the observation location does not matter in this context we correlate main plasma parameters to the maximum $sl$t of all solitariness values for each ELM. Attempts to describe $sl$t by a power law using the parameters $I_p$, $W_{\text{mhd}}$, $\delta_{\text{av}}$, $q_{\text{ps}}$ and $\rho_{\text{edge}}$ have not been successful. One possible reason for this is that a clear solitary appearance is not a sufficient condition for a high value of $sl$t. Looking at the correlation of single parameters to $sl$t yields that the distribution of $sl$t is pushed towards higher values if $\rho_{\text{edge}}$ is decreased or $|q_{\text{ps}}|$ or $\delta_{\text{av}}$ is increased.

![Figure 4](image.jpg)

**Figure 4.** (a) Average evolution of scaled (factors in square brackets) and offset plasma quantities during ELMs in discharge 26764 from 4.0 to 5.0s (bold lines): current to the outer divertor (10³ A) (red), electron temperature at $p_{\text{te}} = 0.95$ [100eV] (blue), electron density at $\rho_{\text{ne}} = 0.95$ (5 $\times$ 10¹⁸ m⁻³) (black), plasma stored energy (10⁴ J) (green) and $D_\alpha$ radiation in the outer divertor (a.u.) (magenta). Dashed lines indicate intervals of one standard deviation. (b) Close up of (a) for an interval of 1ms around $t_{\text{div,ons}}$. (c) Evolution of the same quantities plus time derived magnetic field (10 T s⁻¹) (orange) measured at a position in the vicinity of $t_{\text{div,ons}}$. For each detected ELM, ASDEX Upgrade discharges 26200 to 27200 are systematically analysed. For each detected ELM a set of parameters ($I_p$, $W_{\text{mhd}}^{10}$, average triangularity $\delta_{\text{av}}$, $q_{\text{ps}}$ and density $\rho_{\text{edge}}$ measured by interferometry channel through plasma edge) is also acquired. All parameters are averaged over time intervals starting in the middle of two subsequent ELM onset times and ending at the same point during the next inter-ELM period. Table 2 lists the range of these extrema are displayed in figure 6(b). It is obvious that for single ELMs the values of $sl$t obtained via the set of probes has a significant range. This indicates that SMPs may be associated with a significant level of toroidal asymmetry.

We address the question under which conditions high solitariness events can be observed in the plasma. As the observation location does not matter in this context we correlate main plasma parameters to the maximum $sl$t of all solitariness values for each ELM. Attempts to describe $sl$t by a power law using the parameters $I_p$, $W_{\text{mhd}}$, $\delta_{\text{av}}$, $q_{\text{ps}}$ and $\rho_{\text{edge}}$ have not been successful. One possible reason for this is that a clear solitary appearance is not a sufficient condition for a high value of $sl$t. Looking at the correlation of single parameters to $sl$t yields that the distribution of $sl$t is pushed towards higher values if $\rho_{\text{edge}}$ is decreased or $|q_{\text{ps}}|$ or $\delta_{\text{av}}$ is increased.

![Figure 5](image.jpg)

**Figure 5.** Comparison of magnetic signatures of ELMs in discharge 25764. (a), (b) Time trace of time derivative of radial magnetic field of two ELMs at 1.697 and 1.817 s. (c), (d) Fourier spectra of the waveforms illustrated in (a) and (b).

---

Table 2. Range of parameters correlated with $sl$t: the corresponding set of ELMs is described in the text.

| Parameter | Unit | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|-----------|------|------|--------------------|
| $I_p$     | A    | 0.91 | 0.12               |
| $W_{\text{mhd}}$ | MJ  | 0.45 | 0.14               |
| $\delta_{\text{av}}$ | 1   | 0.26 | 0.047              |
| $q_{\text{ps}}$ | 1   | −4.7 | 0.82               |
| $\rho_{\text{edge}}$ | 10¹⁹ | 4.2  | 2.1                |

---

10 Obtained from equilibrium reconstruction.
discriminate between ELM event and inter-ELM phase, which is usually not the case for type II ELMs. On the basis of these observations and the criteria given in [2] we infer that the ELMs in discharge 25764 are of type I.

4.2. Comparison with magnetic fluctuations evoked by passing mono- or bi-polar current filaments

The objective of this section is to deduce from the magnetic field perturbation the structure of the current perturbation evoking it. We use a forward modelling approach, comparing measured trajectories of the time derived magnetic field with the ones resulting from basic current models. We will first apply this method to the edge snake [14], where it results in a very clear and simple answer. After that we will return to the ELM, where the situation seems to be less uniform.

4.2.1. Application to the edge snake (excursion).

To measure the magnetic perturbations associated with edge snakes, a triple axis magnetic probe [18] mounted on the mid-plane manipulator is employed. The probe measures the time derivative of the magnetic field components in the poloidal plane parallel (dB_{pol}/dt) and perpendicular (dB_{rad}/dt) to the flux surface have been reconstructed as illustrated in figures 8(a) and (b). While the large periodic excursions have dominant odd symmetry for dB_{pol}/dt, they have a clearly dominant even symmetry for dB_{rad}/dt.

To infer information about the current perturbation leading to the observed magnetic perturbations the experimental data is compared with results from very basic models. We assume a mono-polar current filament (figure 8(e)), respectively, two bi-polar current filaments, which are offset in the x-direction (figure 8(f)). Furthermore, all filaments are parallel to the z-direction and move in the x-direction passing the probe with

Identical calibration for the two raw signal components parallel to the poloidal plane has been assumed.
a finite minimal distance \(a\). Figure 8 shows the qualitative evolution of the time derived magnetic field components in the \(x\)-direction (c) and \(y\)-direction (d) for the mono-polar (blue), respectively bi-polar (red) configuration. The edge snake is radially located on a rational surface and rotates in the electron diamagnetic drift direction \([14]\). Thus its main propagation direction is poloidal. Therefore in the comparison between experiment and model the poloidal (radial) direction has to be identified with the \(x\)-direction (\(y\)-direction). Comparing the symmetries leads to the conclusion that, for the edge snake the bi-polar model is inconsistent with the measurements while the mono-polar model is consistent.

### 4.2.2. Application to SMPs

In comparison with the edge snake the magnetic signature of SMPs has a considerably larger range of possible shapes. Therefore we analyse distributions of some indicators constructed on the basis of the extent \((B_{max}, B_{min})\) and timing \((t_{max}, t_{min})\) of the maximum and minimum of \(B_{rad}\) after subtraction of the mean value in an interval of 1 ms centred at \(t_{\text{div,ons}}\). The analysis is carried out with data from one magnetic probe (B31-02) (location displayed in figure 5). The angle between the measurement direction (perpendicular to probe) and the normal vector on the separatrix in the vicinity of the probe is of the order of a few degrees and is not compensated. The ELM set described above has been confined by further criteria: \(slit > 0.03, B_{max} - B_{min} > 3 \times \text{std}(B_{rad}), |t_{max} - t_{min}| < 0.1\) ms. The first and second criteria are chosen to allow only for very isolated events. The third one ensures that the main peak and dip are close enough to belong to the same passing structure.

We investigate the shape of the perturbations guided by the four prototype waveforms illustrated in figure 9(c). To determine if the investigated waveforms have more similarity to an even (P1 and P2) or odd (P3 and P4) symmetry graph we balance \(|B_{max}|/|B_{max} - B_{min}|\) with \(|B_{min}|\). Figure 9(a) shows that the histogram of \(|B_{max}|/|B_{max} - B_{min}|\) has its maximum close to 0.5 (e.g. \(B_{max} = |B_{max}|\)) with a slight tendency towards higher values (e.g. \(B_{max} > |B_{max}|\)). It should be noted that applying the same analysis to unfiltered \(B_{rad}\) data leads to a qualitatively identical and quantitatively very similar result. The red vertical lines in figure 9(a) indicate mean value and standard deviation of the corresponding quantity for the edge snake displayed in figure 8. These values are far off 0 and 1, which are the ideal limits for the even symmetry prototypes. However, they correspond to \(B_{max}\) being in average higher than \(B_{min}\) by a factor of about 2.3.

Thus only a subset of the investigated ELMs at the wings of the distribution shown in figure 9(a) can have clear even symmetry. For the rest of ELMs the observed waveforms are incompatible with a mono-polar current filament moving in the poloidal direction. A visual inspection of some of the investigated trajectories confirms this result. Here a significant fraction of trajectories with clear odd symmetry has been observed. However, a number of examples with a short sequence of peaks or dips of similar extent to \(B_{max}\).
SMP velocity (filaments at the separatrix rotating with a typical poloidal ± on the target angle, where the mapping procedure has been carried out. The signals are displaced by the toroidal mapping ELM with a very peaked magnetic perturbation in discharge coils measuring the radial magnetic field fluctuations during an applied. Figure 10(SMPs diagnostic mapping as introduced in section 2.3.2 is applied. In order to investigate spatial structure and evolution of SMPs respectively, $B_{\text{min}}$ has been observed as well. The latter cases are compatible with a multi-polar (both polarities in alternation) current model.

Vianello [19] has investigated magnetic signals acquired by a triple axis magnetic probe mounted on the mid-plane manipulator during ELMs in ASDEX Upgrade. In this work it was inferred that the investigated perturbations are consistent with mono-polar current filaments propagating in the SOL. The fact that this is a different picture to the one we observe for SMPs suggests that two different features have been observed. For instance the ELM filament analysed most in [19] occurs about 1 ms after the ELM onset, while SMPs appear close (±100 µs) to the onset of the pedestal erosion.

In figure 9(b) a histogram of $t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}}$ is plotted. Positive (figure 9(c) P3) and negative (figure 9(c) P4) values of this quantity are of the same order of magnitude. The gap at $t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}} = 0$ corresponds to the minimal distance between peaks and dips. The peaks of the distributions are located at ±(10 to 20) µs. Assuming two field aligned bi-polar current filaments at the separatrix rotating with a typical poloidal SMP velocity ($v_{\text{SMP, pol}} = 10\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$) these times would correspond to a perpendicular distance between the filaments of 10–20 cm. This compares with poloidal filament extensions of 5–8 cm observed by Thomson scattering [6] and visible camera observation [20].

4.3. Parallel structure and dynamics of SMPs

In order to investigate spatial structure and evolution of SMPs diagnostic mapping as introduced in section 2.3.2 is applied. Figure 10(a) shows signals from various pick up coils measuring the radial magnetic field fluctuations during an ELM with a very peaked magnetic perturbation in discharge 25764. The signals are displaced by the toroidal mapping target angle, where the mapping procedure has been carried out on the $\rho_{\text{pol}} = 0.95$ flux surface. Within the traces from the high resolution array (blue) a very solitary magnetic perturbation can be observed. A similar SMP can be observed as well on most channels of the other arrays. On the channels with lower
toroidal mapping target angles the peak intensity is reduced. In the space spanned by time and toroidal mapping target angle the points of a certain phase (e.g. zero crossing) of all arrays are located very close to one straight line (black dashed line in figure 10(a)). This is consistent with a structure causing the magnetic perturbation, for which the assumption $k_{||} \ll k_{\perp}$ is valid and which is rotating with constant velocity in the toroidal direction.

In the example displayed in figure 10(a) the line of constant phase represents a propagation towards lower toroidal mapping target angle, which corresponds to the electron diamagnetic drift direction when mapped in the poloidal direction. In a similar way we have investigated 13 ELMs in this discharge with best traceability of phase. Multiplying the obtained angular velocities of the SMP by a radius of 2 m leads to an apparent toroidal velocity of the SMP of 48 ± 11 km s$^{-1}$ corresponding to an apparent perpendicular velocity of 10 ± 2 km s$^{-1}$ (LFS). While all of these SMPs propagate in the electron diamagnetic drift direction, there are isolated cases of SMPs moving in the ion diamagnetic drift direction.

The toroidal propagation of the onset location of magnetic activity corresponding to the electron diamagnetic drift direction has been reported earlier for COMPASS-D, JET and AUG [21–24]. In [21, 24] single peaks of time integrated components of dB/dt have been followed in this direction.

As the SMP is observed at positions with different toroidal mapping target angles at different times, it is possible to track the evolution of its shape. Next to perturbations with virtually identical trajectories on all channels two classes of temporal variations are observed. Firstly some SMPs are found to grow or decay. We compare time and value of the peak of dB/dt for all probes for the fast growing SMP displayed in figure 10(b). 13 The measurements described here cannot clarify if the field aligned perturbation is propagating toroidally or poloidally or both. Therefore we define an apparent toroidal SMP velocity $v_{\text{SMP, pol}}$ (apparent perpendicular SMP velocity $v_{\text{SMP, perp}}$) as the propagation velocity of the intersection of a field line parallel to the SMP with a line with $\theta = \text{const.}$ (parallel coordinate = const.). The conversion between these velocities is carried out on the basis of the approximation that the field line is locally straight: $v_{\text{SMP, perp}} / v_{\text{SMP, pol}} = -B_0 / \sqrt{B_0^2 + B_0^2}$.

---

12 If the analysis is performed without low-pass filtering $B_{\text{rad}}$ the gap narrows but persists.
This peak value is growing within about 10 μs by a factor of 4 (growth time ~7 μs). After this first phase saturation can be observed.

Also it is possible that the shape of the time trace is morphing when changing the toroidal mapping target angle \( \phi_{\text{map}} \) (i.e. moving from probe to probe). In the example displayed in figure 10(b) a transfer from a shape with dominant even symmetry (e.g. a peak only) into a shape with dominant odd symmetry (e.g. a dip followed by a peak) can be seen.

### 4.4. Timing of SMP appearance

A first impression on the timing of SMP observation relative to the time of increase in divertor current, or \( D_e \) radiation, in the outer divertor (reference time) can be obtained from figure 4(c). For a set of magnetic probes the times \( t_{\text{ref}} \) and \( t_{\text{max}} \) are evaluated, which correspond to the maximum or minimum of the time derived radial magnetic field (figure 11). Here values for 14 ELMs with very clear SMPs in discharge 25764 are displayed. For both choices of reference time most SMPs are observed on the magnets up to 0.2 ms before \( t_{\text{ref}} \) and rarely after \( t_{\text{ref}} \). Thus SMPs appear later than typical coherent ELM precursors (type I and type III) are observed at AUG and JET [25, 26].

To further assess this durations two aspects must be considered:

1. **Toroidal rotation.** The measurements for both divertor current and divertor \( D_e \) radiation are taken at a single toroidal position each. Therefore these reference times do not necessarily correspond to the time of the first effect at the divertor. There might be a lag between the detection of SMPs and effects in the outer divertor due to rotation of SMPs to a position which is connected along the field lines to the observed area in the divertor. The apparent toroidal rotation velocity of SMPs obtained in section 4.3 corresponds to \( v_{\text{rot}} = 0.26 \) ms for a full rotation.

2. **Parallel transport to the divertor.** In [27], for an AUG discharge in upper single null configuration with plasma parameters comparable to discharge 25764, information on typical time scales of parallel transport form the outer mid-plane to the outer divertor has been obtained. In this study a good fit of divertor infrared thermography data with results from a free streaming ion model has been found. Using this model (including the fit results) for the time between the start of the parallel propagation at the mid-plane and the flux at the divertor exceeding 10–20% of the peak value is estimated as \( t_{\text{par}, \text{transp}} = 215–238 \mu s \).

In summary, the start of the enhanced parallel transport to the divertor can be expressed as \( t_{\text{par}, \text{transp}} = t_{\text{ref}} - a \cdot \Delta t_{\text{par}, \text{rot}} - \Delta t_{\text{par}, \text{transp}}, 0 > a > 1 \) (qualitatively described by the grey shaded region in figure 11). The peak SMP activity is observed usually later than this time. Comparison with section 3 shows that the onset of pedestal erosion (figure 4) is observed usually less than 100 μs before or after peak SMP activity is observed.

### 4.5. Radial location of SMPs

Information on the radial location of current perturbations causing SMPs is key in the assessment of the general role of SMPs in the ELM dynamics. In order to obtain this information we compare the perpendicular rotation of SMPs to the range of perpendicular rotation velocities of a mode (ideal or resistive) expected by theory. In [28] rotation of magnetic islands have been investigated with linear and non-linear calculations. The range of velocities found was well contained within \([v_{E \times B} - v_{E \times B \parallel}, v_{E \times B} + v_{E \times B \parallel}]\). To be cautious we use the latter interval as estimation of the possible velocities of a mode. Figure 12 illustrates profiles of \( v_{E \times B} \), \( v_{E \times B \parallel} \), and \( v_{E \times B} + v_{E \times B \parallel} \) for the time interval 2.5–3.5 s in discharge 26324. The displayed radial range (0.95 ≤ \( \rho_{\text{pol}} \) ≤ 1.0) corresponds to the overlap of data availability for the main employed diagnostics (charge exchange recombination spectroscopy and Thomson scattering).

SMPs can be observed on the magnetic signals during many ELMs in the investigated time interval. However for a subset of them a significant signature from core-MHD activity is superimposed, which hampers the analysis. For the nine purest SMPs, positions of constant phase over all signals are traced (indicated by the black dashed line in figure 10).

From the slope of this trajectory in combination with major radius and local field line inclination, we obtain an apparent
perpendicular rotation velocity of the SMPs $v_{SMP,\text{perp}} = 11 \pm 3\, \text{km s}^{-1}$ (see section 4.3). This velocity is also shown in figure 12.

For the entire radial range displayed in figure 12, $v_{SMP,\text{perp}}$ is inside the range $[v_{E \times B} - v_{\text{dia,i}}; v_{E \times B} + v_{\text{dia,i}}]$. Due to the profile of the pressure gradient, $v_{\text{dia,i}}$ approaches zero when leaving this radial interval in either direction. It is also known that $v_{E \times B}$ will rapidly become negative outside the illustrated radial range [29]. In summary, the region where $v_{SMP,\text{perp}}$ is contained within the theoretically predicted interval extends from the separatrix to the pedestal top or slightly further inside. This finding is in line with results from an earlier detailed comparison of data from ASDEX Upgrade and forward modelling [18, 24, 30], which came to the conclusion that the magnetic ELM signature is dominated by structures inside the separatrix.

### 4.6. Perpendicular structure of SMPs

As stated above our observations are consistent with field aligned current structures evoking the SMPs. We now investigate the number $n_{\text{dom}}$ of dominant peaks per toroidal turn for the SMP in figure 10(a). The resolution limit in terms of $n_{\text{dom}}$ is determined by the angle spanned by the toroidal mapping target angles of the coil positions. Using only sensors in one poloidal plane (e.g. red graphs in figure 10(a)) enables us to resolve $n_{\text{dom}} = 6$ and higher. The mapping technique allows us to use a set of coils with toroidal mapping target angles covering more of the circumference in appropriate density. With this set, $n_{\text{dom}} = 3$ and higher can be resolved.

As stated above, the black dashed line in figure 10(a) illustrates the trajectory of the central zero crossing of the SMP in the $t - \phi_{\text{map}}$-space. At the time $t_1$ this trajectory passes the upper end of the interval covered by the $\phi_{\text{map}}$-values. Figure 13 illustrates profiles of time derived radial magnetic field versus toroidal mapping target angle for the three points in time $t_1$, $t_2$ and $t_{\text{div,ons}}$ marked in figure 10. As for the entire displayed time interval, only one dominant dip or peak can be observed in the entire $\phi_{\text{map}}$-interval at the time $t = t_1$. However, if there were 3 or more dominant, equally spaced dips or peaks per toroidal turn, a further dip or peak should be observed at time $t_1$. Hence $n_{\text{dom}}$ is equal to 1 or 2.

More information on the number of dominant SMP peaks per toroidal turn can be obtained by studying the relationship of edge snakes and ELMs. Figure 14 shows an ELM and about 1.5 ms of the preceding phase in discharge 24059. An SMP can be observed about 0.2 ms before $t_{\text{div,ons}}$. The characteristic dips of an edge snake can be observed prior to this. Tracing the dips of the edge snake over several toroidal turns finally leads exactly to the trajectory of the SMP. The velocity of the edge snake perturbation is clearly lower than the one of the SMP during the ELM phase. Similar behaviour is observed on a regular basis in the time interval from 3.5 to 3.9 s in this discharge. 25 cases are observed where edge snake and subsequent SMP are on virtually the same trajectories, while only one with different trajectories is found.

This observation suggests that the edge snake develops into the structure causing the SMP during the ELM. The edge snake has been reported to have a fundamental toroidal mode number of 1 [14]. As can be seen this is also the case for the edge snake in figure 14. Due to the fast transition into the SMP and the relatively short life time of the SMP it seems natural to assume that the number of dominant peaks per toroidal turn is identical for the edge snake and the SMP during the ELM. This would imply that $n_{\text{dom}}$ is equal to one for the SMP during this ELM.

In summary there is clear evidence that the number of dominant peaks per toroidal turn for the investigated SMPs is 1 or 2. This result is of special significance when compared with results from linear stability analysis [4]. Here a toroidal mode number $n$ of 1 or 2 is even below mode numbers of the most unstable modes for typical kink/peeling modes ($n \sim 3–6$) and clearly below the ones for typical peeling–ballooning modes ($n \sim 5–20$).

### 4.7. Temperature perturbation associated with SMPs

Electron cyclotron emission (ECE) spectroscopy measures the intensities of millimeter waves at defined frequencies. For $t_1$ in figure 10(a) a weaker structure can be observed as well as this dominant peak. Comparison with the raw data shows that this structure is due to the applied low-pass filter in combination with the strong dominant peak.
optically thick plasmas these intensities can be assumed to be proportional to the electron temperature. This condition is usually fulfilled at locations inside the steep gradient region. In [5] a profile of the optical thickness \( \tau \) has been calculated for an ASDEX Upgrade H-mode plasma on the basis of the profiles of electron density and temperature. The transition (\( \tau = 3 \)) from optical thick to thin coincides well with the location of the separatrix. For optically thin conditions solving the radiation transport equation would be necessary in order to infer reliable values of the electron temperature. ASDEX Upgrade is now equipped with an ECEI diagnostic [5], which measures radiation temperature in X2-mode on a rectangular grid of positions located in one poloidal plane (27082: \( R = 2.07-2.17 \) m, \( Z = -0.10--0.25 \) m). Figure 15 correlates the time derived radial magnetic field and uncalibrated data from ECEI. The magnetic traces are identical in both subplots. Due to the application of diagnostic mapping it is possible to compare the propagation of the SMP with the temporal-spatial evolution of the radiation temperature pattern. In both subplots a dashed line marks the trajectory of the central zero crossing of an SMP in the \( t-\phi_{\text{map}} \)-space. Parallel and near to this line in figure 15(a), which shows ECEI data from inside the separatrix, a track of decreased radiation temperature can be observed. Assuming optical thickness, this could be interpreted as a temporary electron temperature reduction propagating in \( \theta-\phi \)-space on the same field line as the SMP. However we cannot exclude the possibility that the reduced radiation temperature is caused by a local density

Figure 14. (a) Time derived radial magnetic field measured by various pick up coils (colour code indicated in figure 3) displaced by the toroidal mapping target angle prior to and during an ELM in discharge 24059 at 3.6462 s. An identical time trace measured by the filament probe is shown on top and bottom with a relative vertical displacement of \( \pm \pi \). (b) Close up of (a) with lower signal amplification.

Figure 15. Correlation of time derived radial magnetic field measured by two arrays (trajectories: colour code indicated in figure 3) and uncalibrated data from ECEI (images) in discharge 27082: in both plots magnetic data are identical, ECEI data are taken from 11 channels measuring at different vertical but identical radial positions (black to white: cool to hot). These positions correspond roughly to the same poloidal flux: (a) \( \rho_{\text{pol}} \sim 0.96 \), (b) \( \rho_{\text{pol}} \sim 1.01 \). Dashed lines represent propagation of magnetic perturbation (see text).
enhancement in excess of the critical density for X2-cutoff, located radially outside the probed position.

Figure 15(b) shows ECEI data from channels with measurement positions just outside or at the separatrix for the same ELM. Here a significant temporary radiation temperature increase parallel and close to the dashed line is observed. We recall that the plasma is of marginal optical depth in this region. Thus it is not clear if the observed perturbation of radiation temperature is associated with an equivalent perturbation of electron temperature or if it is caused by a non-local effect. The described behaviour at and inside the separatrix is not observed for each ELM. In many cases the phenomenology only inside or only outside the separatrix can be seen.

In summary in the presented example a decrease in the electron temperature slightly inside the separatrix in combination with an increase in radiation temperature slightly outside or at the separatrix correlated with the SMP has been observed. Thus there might be a flattening of the electron temperature profile correlated with the SMP. In principle, this would be compatible with an island structure of the SMP15.

In [5] a coherent mode with around 18 peaks per toroidal turn appearing a few hundred μs before $t_{\text{dis on}}$ has been reported. It is important to note that for the ELM shown in figure 15 such a mode has not been observed.

5. Correlation of SMPs to ELM filaments

It is of great interest to study the correlation of SMPs and signatures of the non-linear evolution of ELMs. Filamentary structures in the SOL are a feature of the fully developed non-linear phase of ELMs [31]. They can be observed with fast framing cameras [32], especially if appropriate gas puffing is applied.

In the discharges presented here a camera measuring $256 \times 256$ pixels with a $12 \mu s$ integration time and a frame rate of 79 kframes s$^{-1}$ has been used16. In order to visualize radiation patterns associated with ELMs a background subtraction technique is applied in order to remove radiation components varying on slower time scales. From each frame the average of the set of frames starting 10 frames earlier and finishing 10 frames later has been subtracted.

ELM filaments are less intensely observed if the deuterium fuelling is transferred from the main chamber port the camera views at to a port half a toroidal turn apart or to the divertor. If the gas puff is applied from the port in the camera view and a filter allowing for virtually no transmission of $D_α$- or $D_β$-light is used, no ELM filaments are observed. This suggests that radiation from the ELM filaments is deuterium radiation.

We use two tangential views from the same port viewing horizontally in different directions combined with two special gas puff recipes. The first view-gas-setting leads to the appearance of radiation ELM filaments in virtually the entire view. Figure 16 shows a radiation filament with large parallel extension. Field lines with $\rho_{\text{pol}} = 0.95$, 1.03 and 1.11 are shown as well. The filament is field aligned. It passes regions of high neutral density due to a gas puff in the foreground (1) and background (2), where a number of short radiation stripes can be seen above each other. In this view-gas-setting it is possible to trace the filament to the location where it has the maximum curvature in the projection (3). Comparison with superimposed field lines shows that in this region the filament is clearly localized radially outside the last closed flux surface between $\rho_{\text{pol}} = 1.03$ and 1.11. In the foreground (1) the radiation filaments broadens. It cannot be decided if the origin of the radiation extends further in the poloidal or radial direction or both. Extension radially inside of the separatrix could be caused by neutrals due to the higher neutral pressure in the vicinity of the gas injection port. This would be also in line with the observation that filaments are sometimes visible in location (2) one or two frames before they appear in other areas (e.g. (3)).

Applying the second view-gas-setting, the area in which filaments are observed corresponds well to the boundaries of the port (0.5 m width, 1.0 m height and 1.0 m depth) which the gas reaches before entering the main chamber. We therefore assume that, in the volume in front of this port, in a fixed radial position, the neutral density is roughly homogeneous. Due to the reduced length of the filaments, in this view-gas-setting it is not possible to determine if the filaments are located inside or

\[^{15}\text{Note that the observed SMP onset dynamics (see section 4.3) does not support such a hypothesis!}\]

\[^{16}\text{This time resolution constitutes a compromise between collecting sufficient signal and capturing relevant time scales.}\]
outside of the separatrix. However, it seems natural to assume that for this view-gas-setting also a part of the ELM filament radiation originates in the SOL.

In discharge 26510 at 1.456 s ELM filaments can be clearly observed in the area of the port through which the gas puff is carried out. The maximum relative radiation enhancement due to the filaments is 35%. We assume typical SOL values for the equilibrium electron density and temperature \((10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}, 10 \text{ eV})\) in combination with \(D_\alpha\) emission and spatially homogeneous neutral density in the area of the port. Under these conditions the observed increase in radiation could either be evoked by an increase in temperature of about \(7 \text{ eV}\) at constant electron density, a density increase of about \(4 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}\) or a combination of density and temperature increase. The latter is probably the case, as simultaneous increase in SOL electron density and temperature have been observed during ELMs before [33].

In order to capture the spatial-temporal evolution of the field aligned radiation structures a special data processing approach has been developed. A 3D viewer [34] has been used to handle the transformation between real space and camera chip. In a first step a chain of analysis points with constant values for \(\rho_{\text{pol}}\) and \(\phi\) and an equidistant set of poloidal angles \(\theta_{\text{start}}\) is defined. For each of the analysis points a field aligned chain of points with constant toroidal distances is also localized. Using the projection module all points are projected onto the coordinate system of the camera chip. For each poloidal starting position \(\theta_{\text{start}}\) of the analysis chain and each time the average radiation value over the field aligned chain is calculated. Also, for each point on the analysis chain the field line is traced to \(\theta = 0^\circ\) as described in section 2.3.2 to obtain the toroidal mapping target angles.

Figure 17(b) shows the obtained representation of the spatial-temporal evolution of the filaments during the ELM quoted above. Four propagating filaments can be identified. Detailed analysis of examples shows that the growth time of the peaks is clearly lower than their decay times. The radiation peaks propagate towards lower \(\theta_{\text{start}}\) (e.g. downwards on the magnetic low-field side). The filaments are moving in the ion diamagnetic drift direction, which is consistently observed at ASDEX Upgrade for ELMs with low to medium frequencies.

We have identified the main trajectories for the three filaments observed in the area \(-0.6 < \theta_{\text{start}} < 0.8\). The corresponding apparent poloidal angular velocity is on average \(2.9\ \text{krad s}^{-1}\). Multiplying these values by the minor radius gives an apparent poloidal rotation velocity of \(1.4\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) [17].

Sets of filaments regularly appear within a short time interval as shown in figure 17(b). Here, the average mapping target angles at the time of the highest intensity for the four most intense structures are highlighted by dashed lines. The distance between the two uppermost filaments is nearly twice as high as for other neighbours. If the filament structure is transferred from a pedestal mode structure, one could speculate that one filament between the two top ones did not accelerate radially. Extrapolating the average distance in \(\phi_{\text{map}}\) for the lower three filaments leads to 15 radiation peaks on the outer mid-plane per full toroidal turn.

Due to the parametrization with the toroidal mapping target angle the evolution of radial magnetic field perturbations can be compared with that of the filaments, as shown in figure 17(a) [18]. The radiation peaks are clustered in time in several sets. On the magnetic signals an edge snake can be traced from the start of the displayed time window propagating about three turns in the toroidal direction. At about \(-0.6\ \text{ms}\) this edge snake also leads into an SMP, which propagates with a clearly higher velocity. The propagation direction of the edge snake and the SMP is the electron diamagnetic drift direction, thus counter to the propagation direction of the radiation peaks.

The timing of the onset of the radiation peaks is clearly linked to the trajectory of the edge snake and SMP. For the SMP phase in figure 17(b) a tendency of filaments at lower \(\phi_{\text{map}}\) to onset later can be clearly observed. In figure 17(a) a dashed line following the onset of the SMP has been

\[ \text{Figure 17. Evolution of filaments at 1.456 s in discharge 26510: (a) correlation of radial magnetic field (trajectories; colour code indicated in figure 3) and data from fast framing camera processed as described in the text (image) in } t-\phi_{\text{map}}\text{-space. Dashed line represents propagation of an SMP. (b) Close up on processed data from the fast framing camera. Right axis provides the values of } \theta_{\text{start}}. \text{ Dashed lines indicate average mapping target angles at the time of the highest intensity.} \]
added. Except for the bottom filament the onset time of the radiation peak is well described by the passing time of this trajectory at a given location. We have found numerous ELMs with sets of filaments onsetting one after the other when or short after the same SMP passes their location. During the edge snake phase the radiation features have lower distance in \( \phi_{\text{map}} \) and lower velocities than during the ELM phase. There is a lag of the order of 0.1 ms between passing of the magnetic perturbation associated with the edge snake and first observation of enhanced radiation in this position.

In a discharge pair (26703, 26704) in upper single null configuration with an inversion of the toroidal magnetic field direction SMPs still propagate in the electron diamagnetic drift direction and radiating filaments still move in the ion diamagnetic drift direction as in discharges with a magnetic field direction, which is standard at ASDEX Upgrade. In both discharges a number of examples are observed where a link between passing of a certain location by the SMP and onset of a filament as described above can be identified.

### 6. Summary and discussion

In this work a number of aspects of ELM dynamics have been studied on the basis of experimental data. Magnetic perturbations have served as a starting point. In particular solitary magnetic perturbations have been identified to routinely occur close (±100 \( \mu s \)) to the onset of erosion of the electron temperature and density pedestal. The intensity of the peaking of the time derived radial magnetic field has been described by a new quantity named solitariness. In view of the relatively low values of normalized collisionality expected for large scale devices such as ITER [35] compared with ASDEX Upgrade it is highly relevant that the solitariness distribution is pushed towards higher values when reducing \( v^* \).

Further analysis of these perturbations leads to the insight that, in contrast to the magnetic perturbation associated with the edge snake, SMPs cannot generally be evoked by propagating mono-polar current filaments. In many cases a rotating structure consisting of two or more current filaments of alternating direction can explain the observed patterns of time derived radial magnetic field. Using mapping techniques developed in the context of this work the SMPs and the current perturbations evoking them have been identified as field aligned. For examples of SMPs with high solitariness it has been shown that the number of dominant peaks per toroidal turn must be lower than 3. Comparison with results from linear stability calculations [4] suggests that the SMP is not a signature of a typical linear peeling–ballooning mode but the signature of a non-linear process.

SMPs commonly rotate in the electron diamagnetic drift direction with typical apparent perpendicular velocities of 10 km s\(^{-1}\). Comparison of these velocities with the range of expected phase velocities for a mode yields that the current perturbations associated with SMPs are located roughly between separatrix and pedestal top. It is also observed that SMPs are associated with a temperature perturbation, which is located in the same radial region and which propagates with the same velocity as the SMP in its vicinity. In the pedestal region this perturbation is usually a decrease in temperature.

SMPs are observed to grow on a time scale of 10 \( \mu s \). This growth phase is followed by a saturation, which is another indication of the non-linear nature of SMPs. In comparison, the theory of explosive ideal MHD instabilities [36] describes a finger-shaped perturbation, which broadens in the radial direction and narrows in the other perpendicular direction with time. The growth of SMPs is also assumed to be associated with a local, radially extending perturbation of poloidal flux. Thus the theory presented in [36] is compatible with our experimental findings so far, but further analysis is needed to carry out this comparison in detail.

SMPs are considered to be features of the non-linear phase of an ELM. Radiation filaments are another signature of ELMs, which we have observed via gas puff imaging. There is an indication that the observed filaments are at least partially located in the SOL. As this requires the filament to have expanded significantly into the SOL, we assume this to happen in the fully developed non-linear phase. Using again the mapping techniques allows us to project data from magnetics and the fast framing camera into one common frame. Thus it provides the possibility to correlate two signatures of the non-linear ELM phase, one originating at or inside the separatrix, the other originating at least partly in the SOL.

Looking at this correlated data the first observation is that in the lab frame SMPs and radiation filaments propagate in opposite perpendicular directions, namely the electron and the ion diamagnetic drift directions. This clearly shows that SMPs and filaments are not the footprint of the same propagating structure. Further this can be understood with regard to the radial location and type of the perturbations. The SMP, which originates from the separatrix or inside, rotates with the local \( E \times B \)-velocity (in the electron diamagnetic drift direction) plus a certain phase velocity (see section 4.5). In contrast, the radiating filaments rotate with the local ExB-velocity in the SOL, which is directed in the ion diamagnetic drift direction.

It is even more remarkable that the onset time of the radiation filaments is often well described by the time when an SMP passes the respective location. One SMP usually passes a number of locations from which filaments are observed to start. This suggests that the structures evoking SMPs, alone or in combination with another deviation from the equilibrium, provide conditions under which radiation filaments can propagate radially. Results guiding in a similar direction are reported in [24], where data measured by magnetic probes and Langmuir probes from ASDEX Upgrade are analysed. Here, an example of an ELM is presented (figure 6) for which magnetic perturbations pass a combined Langmuir/magnetics probe mostly at times when peaks of the ion saturation current are registered.

We regard SMPs as signatures of an important aspect in the non-linear ELM evolution. We have collected indications that they have characteristics comparable to a trigger especially for the radial propagation of filaments. This might make them highly interesting objects in view of the development of ELM control or mitigation. Further experimental investigations and detailed comparison with results from non-linear simulations of ASDEX Upgrade ELMs with JOREK [37–39] are planned. Eventually, the findings related to SMPs may contribute to a future quantitative understanding of the non-linear ELM evolution.
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