Establishing breast feeding in infants with Down syndrome: the FADES cohort experience
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ABSTRACT

Objective To describe breastfeeding prevalence and maternal experience in infants with trisomy 21.

Design Longitudinal cohort study.

Setting Participants from UK recruited through websites, social media and local collaborators: neonatologists, community paediatricians and research nurses.

Subjects Infants under the age of 8 months with Down syndrome (DS) recruited to the Feeding and Autoimmunity in Down Syndrome Evaluation Study between 1 September 2014 and 31 August 2017. Seventy participants: median age 20 weeks (IQR 13–29 weeks) at initial questionnaire.

Main outcome measure Breastfeeding prevalence at 6 weeks and 6 months among infants with DS.

Results The prevalence of exclusive breast feeding among study participants was similar to the general population (13/61, 21% vs 23% at 6 weeks, 2/54, 4% vs 1% at 6 months). However, the prevalence of breast feeding (exclusive or combination feeding) among the study participants was higher than the general population (39/61 64% vs 55% at 6 weeks, 32/59 54% vs 34% at 6 months).

Conclusion Although there may be challenges in establishing breast feeding in infants with DS, our data suggest that exclusive breast feeding is possible for some, and the prevalence of breast feeding is comparable to the prevalence in the general population.

Trial registration number ISRCTN12415856

BACKGROUND

Down syndrome (DS) is caused by trisomy of chromosome 21. DS is usually diagnosed antenatally or in the first few days of life by recognition of salient features. A number of associated conditions including congenital heart disease and gastrointestinal complications can cause additional challenges during the neonatal period.

Current WHO recommendations are that infants should be exclusively breastfed until 6 months, this is based on the health benefits for mother and baby. Prolonged exclusive breast feeding is possibly protective against some autoimmune conditions including type 1 diabetes and coeliac disease for which children with DS are at increased risk.

Furthermore, there is evidence that breast feeding exclusively or combined feeding to a lesser but significant extent, enhances immunity and is protective against otitis media and respiratory tract infections both being more prevalent in children with DS.

Infants with DS may have oral, anatomical and physiological abnormalities affecting their ability to feed normally: as with other features of DS there is phenotypic variability. To breastfeed effectively, a tight oral/nipple seal needs to be induced with the tongue making a groove and the lips sealing around
the nipple. For a baby with DS, the flattened shape of the tongue and low tone in the oral structures means that establishing breast feeding may be challenging. Lewis and Kritzinger reported that 40% of DS infants had been naso-gastric (NG) tube fed during the neonatal period illustrating some of the issues with feeding.

Many countries have registers that provide data on people with DS. These have facilitated retrospective studies providing statistics on for example: birth and death rates and congenital anomalies. However, longitudinal birth cohort studies of infants with DS in the UK are rare. The FADES (Feeding and Autoimmunity in Down Syndrome Evaluation Study) was established to investigate early feeding and the development of autoimmunity. Medical and detailed feeding data are collected by mothers from birth and includes both feeding in the hospital settings and at home. A study hypothesis is that infants with DS have difficulties with establishing breast feeding and are therefore introduced to formula feeds at an earlier age. The aim of this paper is to describe breastfeeding prevalence and experiences among infants with DS and their mothers, in the first 6 months of life. This paper describes findings from the analysis of the feeding data collected between September 2014 and August 2017, to explore this and compare to breastfeeding prevalence in the general population.

METHODS
FADES is an ongoing UK wide study recruiting infants with DS antenatally or in the first 8 months of life. Exclusion criteria are infants born with a child protection plan, fostered or adopted, >8 months or parents do not speak English. Participants are recruited either by health professionals including neonatologists, community paediatricians and research nurses or via web recruitment through the Downs Syndrome Association, Downs Syndrome Scotland, and other websites and social media https://www.bristolbrc.nihr.ac.uk/our-research/nutrition-diet-and-lifestyle/childhood/faides-study-2/. A combined feeding and medical questionnaire is completed by families at recruitment (initial questionnaire), at 6–8 months of life (7-month questionnaire) and then at 12 months (12-month questionnaire). Feeding questions are based on those from the Infant Feeding Survey (IFS) 2010,15 medical questions are related to conditions associated with DS, hospital admissions and antibiotic usage. Mothers are able to provide free text answers to some questions and quotes from these have been used to illustrate key points. A full qualitative study and analysis has not been completed as part of this feasibility study. This paper presents data collected in the first 3 years of recruitment from 1 September 2014 to 31 August 2017 when the feasibility assessment was conducted.

Statistical analysis
As a feasibility study, analysis of the questionnaires is descriptive as there is not enough power to statistically test for associations. Frequencies were derived and presented as percentages for categorical variables. For continuous data, means and SDs were presented for data that were approximately normal, and medians and IQRs for non-normal data. Analysis used STATA V.15. Comparisons are made with the general population from the results reported by the IFS 2010.

Public involvement
The study design including recruitment and data collection methods were established following discussion with and involvement of the Downs Syndrome Association. Local members (all of whom were parents of a child with DS) advised on the wording and feasibility of the feeding and medical questionnaires.

RESULTS
Seventy participants were recruited between 1 September 2014 and the 31 August 2017. Sixty-one out of the 70 participants (87%) completed the initial feeding and medical questionnaire at a median age of 20 weeks (IQR 13–29 weeks). Of these, approximately a quarter (n=15) were diagnosed antenatally with DS. Table 1 summarises maternal characteristics and birth details. Over half were born by normal vaginal delivery; median gestation, 38 weeks (IQR 37–39 weeks), mean birth weight of 3kg (SD 0.5 kg). Most infants were born in hospital units, three were home deliveries. Median hospital stay after birth was 5 days (IQR 3–9 days).

Over half (34/61, 56%) were admitted to a special care baby unit (SCBU) with almost a third of these (10/34, 29%) primarily due to poor feeding. Half of the infants for whom data were available, required NG tube feeding as shown in table 2. All admitted to SCBU were NG tube fed. Of the 31 infants who were fed via NG tube, 12/31 (39%), primarily required NG tube feeds in SCBU due to feeding issues, the remainder due to medical comorbidities (37% with multiple medical indications). NG tube feeds were mostly expressed breastmilk or a mixture of expressed milk and infant formula. NG tube feeds were required in 19/31 (61%) participants for less than 2 weeks.

Of the mothers receiving an antenatal diagnosis for their baby, 13/15 (87%) were told about problems relating to feeding but only three (3/15, 20%) received any specific antenatal advice about breast feeding a baby with DS. Most mothers initially breastfed their baby, with 47/60 (78%) having skin to skin contact within 24 hours of birth (table 3). Feeding problems that were experienced in the hospital or birthing centre by mothers, included those commonly associated with infants with DS such as poor latch and lethargy. Although hypotonia is one of the explanations frequently given as a cause for feeding difficulties, only one parent described this feature. Mothers provided responses to an open question regarding feeding their baby in the hospital, birth centre or unit as illustrated in the quotes in table 4.
Of the 61 mother/baby dyads, 39 (64%) continued some breast feeding for over 6 weeks (Table 5) (including infants who received mixed infant formula and breast milk feeds). Over the age of 6 months, this decreased to 32 (32/59 (54%)) still breast feeding in part. These percentages are higher than in the general population, levels reported in the IFS 2010 (55% and 34%, respectively). The prevalence of exclusive breast feeding in the study population at 6 weeks was 13/61 (21%) compared with 23% in the general population in the IFS 2010.15

Table 1 Maternal characteristics and birth details

| Background characteristics | Maternal age (years) at time of completing initial questionnaire | Mother’s marital status | Mother’s ethnic group | Birth history | Type of delivery | Gestation (weeks) | Preterm 32–37 weeks | Birth weight (kg) | Location of birth | Length of initial hospital stay (hours) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 20–24                     | 5/61 (8%)                                       | Living together        | Other                | First baby    | Normal vaginal delivery | Median 38 (IQR 37–39) | 13/61 (21%)     | Mean 3.0 (0.5 SD) | At home         | Median 120 (IQR 72–216)              |
| 25–29                     | 6/61 (10%)                                      | Married or in a civil partnership | White British      | Prenatal diagnosis of DS | C-section | Preterm 32–37 weeks | Birth centre (midwife led) | 2/59 (3%)     | In hospital (midwife led) | Birth centre (midwife led) |
| 30–34                     | 15/61 (25%)                                     | Single                 | White Irish         | Twin birth    | Forceps          | Birth centre (midwife led) | 25/59 (42%)    | 2/59 (3%)     | Birth centre (midwife led) | Median 120 (IQR 72–216) |
| 35–39                     | 23/61 (38%)                                     | Widowed, divorced or separated | White other        | Type of delivery | Ventouse         | Length of initial hospital stay (hours) | 2/59 (3%)     | 2/59 (3%)     | Birth centre (midwife led) | Birth centre (midwife led) |
| 40–over                   | 12/61 (20%)                                     |                        |                      |               |                  |                                |                   |              |                          |                          |

C-section, caesarean section; DS, Down syndrome.

Table 2 Feeding in SCBU (special care baby unit) and NG (naso-gastric) tube feeds

| SCBU admission | Admitted to SCBU | 34/61 (56%) |
|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Admitted to SCBU due to problems with feeding | 10/34 (29%) |
| Admitted to SCBU for any other reason* | 24/34 (71%) |
| Hypoxia/respiratory distress/PPHN | 17/34 (50%) |
| Jaundice | 7/34 (21%) |
| Cardiac/cardiac monitoring | 4/34 (12%) |
| Infection | 4/34 (12%) |
| Prematurity | 3/34 (9%) |
| Polycythaemia | 2/34 (6%) |
| Other | 7/34 (21%) |

| Length of SCBU stay (weeks) | Median 2 (IQR 2, 4) |

Feeding in SCBU and NG tube feeds

| SCBU admission | SCBU admission affected ability of mother to feed baby as she would have liked | 23/61 (68%) |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Shown how to express milk in SCBU | 29/34 (85%) |
| Felt supported to express milk in SCBU | 27/30 (90%) |
| Had NG tube feeds | 31/31* (100%) |

| Required NG tube feeds due to problems with feeding | 12/31 (39%) |

| Medical reason for NG tube feeds† | Prematurity | 2/19 (11%) |
|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Dehydration/hydration | 2/19 (11%) |
| Ventilation | 2/19 (11%) |
| Respiratory problems | 8/19 (42%) |
| Jaundice/polycythaemia | 4/19 (21%) |
| Vomiting or surgical abdomen | 4/19 (21%) |

| Length of time NG tube fed | <3 days | 5/31 (16%) |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|
| >3 to 7 days | 6/31 (19%) |
| >1 to 2 weeks | 8/31 (26%) |
| >2 to 4 weeks | 6/31 (19%) |
| >1 to 2 months | 5/31 (16%) |
| >2 months | 1/31 (3%) |

| Type of NG tube feeds given | Only expressed breast milk | 10/31 (32%) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Only infant formula | 2/31 (7%) |
| Expressed breast milk and infant formula | 19/31 (61%) |

| Feeds stopped and intravenous fluids given | 11/34 (32%) |

| Length of time on intravenous fluids | <3 days | 2/9 (22%) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| >3 to 7 days | 4/9 (44%) |
| >7 days to 1 month | 3/9 (33%) |
By 6 months of age, two (2/54 (4%)) participants were exclusively breast fed. This compares to 1% of general population. Using data from the initial and 7-month questionnaires, the median age at which some formula milk was introduced in the whole cohort was 5 days (IQR 1–21 days). Formula feeds were introduced by 52% of mothers within a week in the IFS 2010 and 75% by 6 weeks.

Just over half of the participants had problems associated with feeding after discharge from hospital (Table 3). The most common problems were lethargy or faltering growth. Three mothers mentioned their infants being readmitted to hospital with poor feeding. Less than three-quarters, 34/47 (72%) had the correct ‘DS insert’ in their Red book (the personal child health record that is given to every baby). The ‘DS insert’ contains the appropriate growth charts reflecting a tendency to ‘normal’, slower growth trajectory in this condition compared with non-trisomy infants. 

Over half of the mothers said that they would have liked to have breastfed for longer (20/37, (54%)). Reasons for stopping breast feeding included inadequate breastmilk supply, issues with expressing breast milk and their infants having faltering growth. The quotes in Table 4 illustrate the reasons mothers gave for stopping breast feeding.

Mothers were asked ‘What would have helped you breastfeed longer?’ the answers included not introducing bottles as early, not needing to express, being at home and having more specific support. Quotes from the initial, 7-month and 12-month questionnaires in response to this question are given in Table 4. Some mothers also used this question to explain that they did breastfeed.

### DISCUSSION

In our cohort exclusive breast feeding among the infants with DS at 6 months is comparable to the general population (4% vs 1%). Apart from exclusive breast feeding at 6 weeks when prevalence was similar (21% of infants with DS vs 23% in the general population), breast feeding (exclusive or combination feeding) among the study participants was higher at all time points than levels reported in the general population. This was surprising and does not support the hypothesis that hypotonia and oromotor issues associated with DS significantly alters ability to breastfeed. This is an important and encouraging message for mothers and should inspire midwives and health professionals to support breast feeding. These findings suggest that the frequently heard mantra, that...
infants with DS probably will not breastfeed successfully, needs to be changed.

Formula was introduced very early at a median of 5 days with 77% having started by the age of 6 weeks. Overall, over half of the mothers were still breast feeding beyond the age of 6 months (including infants who combination fed with breast milk and infant formula) which is a very positive message for families. This is higher than the 34% at 6 months within the general population. Due to the wording of the questionnaire, it was not possible to distinguish between those who directly breastfed or those who gave expressed breastmilk, and this would be useful to include in future questionnaires.

Over half of FADES infants were admitted to SCBU, all being NG tube fed. In around 40%, issues with feeding were responsible for NG placement and for most of these participants, this was also the reason for SCBU admission. Almost 70% of the mothers said that having their baby in SCBU had affected their ability to feed the way they would have liked. This is possibly open to intervention as some admissions might be prevented with more specialised/tailored support on the postnatal wards. Some hospitals will only have one or two infants born a year with DS, possibly leading to automatic SCBU admissions with increased levels of anxiety around potential complications and associated conditions. In terms of oromotor skill development, it is important that infants have the chance to feed orally early on. This is postulated to be important for the development of oral skills, tolerance of tastes and textures and is also important for social development.\textsuperscript{17} Most infants in our cohort required NG tube feeds to be stopped altogether at some point and were put onto intravenous fluids.

NG tube feeding was required for some infants due to dehydration, jaundice, polycythaemia and vomiting. Although classified as a ‘medical’ reason, inability to feed may have contributed or caused these issues. Other ‘medical’ reasons included prematurity, ventilation or surgical abdomen. Prevalence of NG tube feeding in

| Question from initial questionnaire | ‘were there any problems feeding your baby while you were in the hospital, birth centre or unit? What problems were there?’ |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Quotes                            | “X would not take anything from the breast and was very sleepy so had an NG tube put in.” |
|                                   | “A feeding tube was inserted straight away, which prevented me from breast feeding. It also prevented her from becoming hungry enough to feed from me” |
|                                   | “Baby continually pushing tongue out and could not latch on. Decided to try the bottle which she took immediately so did not try breast again and was not questioned by staff” |
| Question from initial, 7 months and 12 months questionnaires | ‘Have you stopped breastfeeding (no longer give your baby any expressed milk or put your baby to your breast)? What were your reasons for stopping?’ |
| Quotes                            | “Significant weight loss in early days, poor latch, inadequate milk being taken by baby, readmission to hospital for tube feeding, introduction of bottle feeding in hospital, milk supply subsequently drying up due to baby being put on bottle and use of pumps further made milk supply deteriorate.” |
|                                   | “Baby fell asleep too much at the breast to feed properly. It was too time consuming and stressful to keep expressing.” |
| Question from initial, 7 months and 12 months questionnaires | “What would have helped you breastfeed longer?” |
| Quotes                            | “Knowing as I do now that it’s common to have feeding problems with Down syndrome. More specific breastfeeding support.” (from initial questionnaire) |
|                                   | “I feel I was forced to give Child’s name a bottle when she was only a day old as the midwife was unwilling to entertain my concerns about the bottle meaning that she would never take the breast. I had wanted to give the milk by syringe or cup to give her a chance to keep trying the breast, but I was shot down and ridiculed.” (from 7-month questionnaire) |
|                                   | “I just became too sick, unwell but at the same time I fed longer than I thought I would. Thanks to the support I received.” (from 12-month questionnaire) |
|                                   | “She lost 6% of her birth weight by day 9 and the NICU home midwife suggested we move onto top up. I think that was the pinch point. If I had said no, let’s try harder to feed from the breast it might have established itself. But she had just been discharged from hospital and I was so reluctant to go back. You really have the threat of readmission hanging over you… I would have loved to feed her myself. It is my biggest sadness but there were too many other variables at play. I am pleased I was able to exclusively breast feed her though for well over 4 months.” (from 12-month questionnaire) |
the general population and in the typical DS population are poorly reported. One-third of those NG fed infants continued to receive exclusively expressed breastmilk. Many of the infants were fed using expressed breastmilk either for NG tube feeds or for top up feeds via alternative feeding methods including bottle.

Lethargy was the most commonly reported problem with feeding after discharge with far fewer citing poor latch than during the initial admission. Importantly 16% of the mothers said that poor weight gain or weight loss was an issue. It is of concern that over a quarter of the mothers reported that they were not given the correct ‘DS insert’ in their Personal Child Health Record (‘Red’ book). Healthy infants with DS gain weight more slowly than other infants and it is important to account for this when assessing feeding and growth. If an infant with DS is plotted on a standard growth chart they will appear to be failing to thrive and a mother who is successfully feeding her baby, may be told that she needs to supplement feeds, start formula feeds if she is breast feeding or even that her baby needs admission to hospital.

Although the number of participants is relatively high compared with other cohorts of infants with DS, the small number in this paper means that caution needs to be used when drawing conclusions. Those infants born with a child protection plan, fostered, or adopted, and parents who do not speak English did not meet the inclusion criteria. Therefore, we are likely to have excluded

| Table 5 Stopping breast feeding |
|--------------------------------|

| Types of feeds/stopping breast feeding |
|---------------------------------------|

| Age stopped breast feeding* | 6 weeks or less | >6 weeks to 4 months | >4 months to 6 months | >6 months |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|
|                           | 11/27† (41%)    | 7/27 (26%)          | 3/27 (11%)           | 6/27 (22%) |

| Age started formula feeds |
|---------------------------|
| 6 weeks or less | 44/57‡ (77%) |
| >6 weeks to 4 months | 3/57 (5%) |
| >4 months to 6 months | 5/57 (9%) |
| >6 months | 5/57 (9%) |

| Age when given a non-milk drink§ (weeks) | Median 26 (IQR 20–28) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Age at which first given cow’s milk (weeks) | Median 44 (IQR 28–48) |

| Stopping breast feeding and problems with feeding | Initial questionnaire | 7 months questionnaire | 12 months questionnaire |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|

| Maternal opinion on how long they breastfed |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Breastfed for as long as they intended | 14/37 (38%) |
| Breastfed for longer than they intended | 3/37 (8%) |
| Would have liked to breastfeed longer. | 20/37 (54%) |

| Reasons for stopping breast feeding |
|------------------------------------|
| Inadequate breastmilk supply | 6/20 (30%) |
| Problems expressing milk | 5/20 (25%) |
| Excessive time expressing milk | 5/20 (25%) |
| Baby losing weight | 4/20 (20%) |
| Maternal medical problem | 3/20 (15%) |
| Lethargy | 1/20 (5%) |
| Weaning by choice | n/a |
| Other | 3/37 (6%) |

*Including using expressed breastmilk and mixed feeding and/or were introduced to other types of milk feeds or drinks.
†This denominator is the number of women who had stopped breast feeding by the data cut-off date for data analysis. Some infants would still have been receiving breastmilk at the cut-off date for data analysis. Some would also have been still mixed feeding both breastmilk and formula.
‡This denominator is the number of women who had introduced formula feeds by the data cut off date for data analysis. Some would still be receiving some breastmilk and mixed feeding both breastmilk and formula.
§Non-milk drinks included any drink other than milk including water (which would be fine) and juices which would not be recommended.

n/a, not available.
some of the most disadvantaged of our population. The higher levels of breast feeding in the study compared with the general population may in part, be due to selection bias. Mothers who have chosen to take part in the study may already have a specific interest in infant feeding. In the UK, higher breastfeeding frequency is described in mothers over 30 years of age (87%), which may also explain the higher level of initial breast feeding in FADES. The karyotype for the infants in the cohort was not requested which might be considered a weakness of the study. FADES potentially might include children with mosaic DS who can be phenotypically milder although this is rare: Only one to three percent of DS children have mosaicism.38

Reasons for stopping breast feeding were similar to the IFS 201015 with inadequate breastmilk supply and faltering growth being common. However, a large proportion mentioned issues surrounding expressing milk. This highlights the need for mothers who are expressing to receive tailored support. Mothers were asked ‘What would have helped you breastfeed longer’ the responses indicated that mothers understandably wanted ‘normality’, they wanted their infants to be well, to not require bottle or expressed milk and to be at home. Although it is not possible to change these factors for many, every effort should be made to listen to maternal wishes and assist where possible. Some mothers used this questionnaire to voice their frustration at alternative feeding methods being introduced ‘too early’ or ‘against their wishes’.

It is a strength of the study that the families recruited were representative of the general population of children with DS, with similar numbers of children having associated conditions including cardiac abnormalities as reported in other studies.19 The eligibility criteria were broad and did not exclude any medical conditions. A further strength is that the study was UK wide reducing selection bias. Those who could not speak English were excluded and this may have had altered feeding results with cultural differences in early feeding.30 Translating all study documentation however was not possible for the multiple languages now represented in UK population.

These results raise some important questions and highlight potential opportunities for interventions in relation to feeding support for mothers of infants with DS. Any advice given to mothers on feeding a baby with DS based on this study should include the message that infants with DS can be exclusively breastfed or receive a combination of breast and formula feeds. However, some may need to include expressed breastmilk and alternative feeding methods. Providing mothers with access to tailored support and advice specifically around expressing should be included, as should information on NG tube feeding.

CONCLUSION

It is clear that many infants with DS in our cohort can breastfeed exclusively for 6 months as per WHO guidelines. Our study shows that almost two-thirds of babies with DS were being breastfed at 6 months. This may require significant perseverance from the mother and supportive professionals, as establishing breast feeding can be challenging. Oromotor difficulties and significant medical needs may underlie some challenges, but overall, mothers who wish to breastfeed should be encouraged and supported to do so as success is possible.
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