A 1-DIMENSIONAL FORMAL GROUP OVER THE PRISMATIZATION OF $\text{Spf} \, \mathbb{Z}_p$

VLADIMIR DRINFELD

Abstract. Let $\Sigma$ denote the prismaticization of $\text{Spf} \, \mathbb{Z}_p$. The multiplicative group over $\Sigma$ maps to the prismaticization of $\mathbb{G}_m \times \text{Spf} \, \mathbb{Z}_p$. We prove that the kernel of this map is the Cartier dual of some 1-dimensional formal group over $\Sigma$. We obtain some results about this formal group (e.g., we describe its Lie algebra). We give a very explicit description of the pullback of the formal group to the quotient stack $Q/\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, where $Q$ is the $q$-de Rham prism.
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1. Introduction

Let $p$ be a prime.
1.1. **Subject of this article.** In their remarkable work [BS] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze introduced the theory of prismatic cohomology of p-adic formal schemes. B. Bhatt and J. Lurie realized that the theory of [BS] has a stacky reformulation; it is based on a certain prismaticization functor, which we denote\(^1\) by \(X \mapsto X^\Delta\). This is a functor from the category of bounded p-adic formal schemes to that of stacks\(^2\).

Following [D3], we write \(\Sigma := (\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p)^\Delta\). The stack \(\Sigma\) plays a fundamental role in the theory of prismatic cohomology.

In general, there is no canonical map \(X \times \Sigma \to X^\Delta\). However, such a map exists if \(X = \mathbb{G}_m \times \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p\). Moreover, this map is a faithfully flat group homomorphism (more precisely, a homomorphism from a commutative group scheme over \(\Sigma\) to a Picard stack over \(\Sigma\)). Let \(G_\Sigma\) be its kernel; it is a flat affine commutative group scheme over \(\Sigma\).

Our first main result (Theorem 2.7.5) says that \(G_\Sigma\) is the Cartier dual of some 1-dimensional formal group over \(\Sigma\), which we denote by \(H_\Sigma\). Then \(\text{Lie}(H_\Sigma) = \text{Hom}(G_\Sigma, (\mathbb{G}_a)_\Sigma)\) is a line bundle on \(\Sigma\). It turns out to be inverse to the Breuil-Kisin-Tate module \(O_\Sigma\{1\}\) (see Theorem 2.7.10). The corresponding homomorphism \(G_\Sigma \to O_\Sigma\{1\}\) is explicitly constructed in [BL, BL2] and called the prismatic logarithm; it is used in [BL] to define the prismatic first Chern class.

We obtain some results about the formal group \(H_\Sigma\) (see §2.9), but we are unable to describe it explicitly. However, in §§2.10-2.11 we give a very explicit description of the pullback of \(H_\Sigma\) to the quotient stack \(Q/\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\), where \(Q\) is the \(q\)-de Rham prism.

The author’s study of \(G_\Sigma\) and \(H_\Sigma\) was motivated by the desire to understand certain aspects of [BS] and [BL, BL2] (see Remark 2.7.4 and Appendix A for more details). On the other hand, \(H_\Sigma\) could be interesting from the topologist’s point of view.

Let us note that the group scheme \(G_\Sigma\) is also introduced in [BL2] (under the name of \(G_{\text{WCart}}\)).

1.2. **Organization.** The main results are formulated in §2. We also formulate there a question about \(G_\Sigma\) and a conjecture about \(H_\Sigma\) (see §2.8 and Conjecture 2.12.4).

In §3 we discuss some general results and constructions related to formal groups. In §4 we prove the results formulated in §2.

In §5 we describe and compare several “realizations” of the group scheme \(G_Q := G_\Sigma \times_\Sigma Q\); the first one immediately follows from the definition of \(G_Q\), and the others come from the description of its Cartier dual. A key role is played by the expressions \((1 + (q - 1)z)^{\frac{1}{q - 1}}\) and \(q^{\frac{z}{q - 1}}\); the second expression is closely related to the \(q\)-logarithm in the sense of [ALB, §4].

In Appendix A we explain how to compute the prismatic cohomology of the punctured affine line over \(\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p\) using some results formulated in §2.

In Appendix B we discuss the Cartier dual of the divided powers version of \(\mathbb{G}_m\). As explained in §4.6.1, the end of Appendix B is related to §4. Appendix B is closely related to the material from [BL] about the “Sen operator”.

In Appendices C and D we describe the Cartier dual of \(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m\) and of its “rescaled” version. This material is used in §5. As noted by the reviewer, a substantial part of Appendices C and D is contained in [MRT].

---

\(^1\)Bhatt and Lurie [BL, BL2] write \(W_{\text{Cart}}\) instead of \(X^\Delta\) and \(W_{\text{Cart}}\) instead of \(\Sigma := (\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p)^\Delta\).

\(^2\)Bhatt and Lurie also define a derived version of the prismaticization functor. The difference between derived and non-derived prismatication is irrelevant for our article.
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2. **Formulations of the main results**

We fix a prime \( p \). Let \( W \) denote the scheme of \( p \)-typical Witt vectors; this is a ring scheme over \( \mathbb{Z} \).

2.1. **Some conventions.** A ring in which \( p \) is nilpotent is said to be \( p \)-nilpotent. A scheme \( S \) is said to be \( p \)-nilpotent if \( p \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \) is locally nilpotent.

Unless specified otherwise, the word “stack” will mean a stack of groupoids on the category of schemes equipped with the fpqc topology.

Schemes and formal schemes are particular classes of stacks. E.g., \( \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \) is the functor that associates to a scheme \( S \) the set with one element if \( S \) is \( p \)-nilpotent and the empty set otherwise.

For us, \( \mathbb{A}^1 := \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[x] \). Given a stack \( \mathcal{X} \), we write \( \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}} := \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathcal{X} \). E.g., \( \mathbb{A}^1_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \) is the \( \text{Spf} \) of the \( p \)-adic completion of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[ [x] ] \).

Similarly, \( \mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_m, W \) are group schemes over \( \mathbb{Z} \), from which \( (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\mathcal{X}}, (\mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathcal{X}}, W_{\mathcal{X}} \) are obtained by base change to \( \mathcal{X} \).

2.2. **\( \delta \)-schemes and \( \delta \)-stacks.**

2.2.1. **Definitions.** A Frobenius lift for a stack \( \mathcal{X} \) is a morphism \( F : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \) equipped with a 2-isomorphism between the endomorphism of \( \mathcal{X} \otimes F_p \) induced by \( F \) and the Frobenius endomorphism of \( \mathcal{X} \otimes F_p \). A \( \delta \)-stack is a stack \( \mathcal{X} \) equipped with a Frobenius lift.

We say “\( \delta \)-structure” instead of “\( \delta \)-stack structure”. We say “\( \delta \)-morphism” instead of “morphism of \( \delta \)-stacks”.

A \( \delta \)-stack which is a scheme (resp. formal scheme) is called a \( \delta \)-scheme (resp. formal \( \delta \)-scheme).

2.2.2. **Comparison with \( \delta \)-rings.** According to [BS, Def. 2.1], a \( \delta \)-ring is a ring \( A \) equipped with a map \( \delta : A \to A \) satisfying certain identities. These identities ensure that the map \( \phi : A \to A \) given by \( \phi(a) = a^p + p\delta(a) \) is a ring homomorphism (and therefore a Frobenius lift). If \( A \) is \( p \)-torsion-free then a \( \delta \)-ring structure on \( A \) is the same as a Frobenius lift for \( A \) or equivalently, a \( \delta \)-structure on \( \text{Spec} A \) in the sense of §2.2.1. If \( A \) is not \( p \)-torsion-free then the two notions are different, so the definitions of §2.2.1 are not so good. However, they are convenient enough for this article (because the rings that appear in it are \( p \)-torsion-free).

2.2.3. **Group \( \delta \)-schemes and ring \( \delta \)-schemes.** By a group \( \delta \)-scheme over a \( \delta \)-stack \( \mathcal{X} \) we mean a group object in the category of \( \delta \)-stacks equipped with a schematic\(^3\) \( \delta \)-morphism to \( \mathcal{X} \).

The definition of ring \( \delta \)-scheme is similar.

2.2.4. **Examples.** (i) The endomorphism \( F : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \) defined by \( F(x) = x^p \) makes \( \mathbb{G}_m \) into a group \( \delta \)-scheme over \( \mathbb{Z} \).

(ii) The Witt vector Frobenius \( F : W \to W \) makes \( W \) into a ring \( \delta \)-scheme over \( \mathbb{Z} \).

\(^3\)A morphism of stacks \( \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X} \) is said to be schematic if \( \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X} S \) is a scheme for any scheme \( S \) equipped with a morphism to \( s \to \mathcal{X} \).
2.3. **The formal δ-scheme** $W_{\text{prim}}$. Let us recall the material from [D3, §4.1]. The same material is contained in [BL], but the notation in [BL] is different: our $W_{\text{prim}}$ is denoted there by $W_{\text{Cart}}_0$.

2.3.1. *A locally closed subscheme of $W$*. Let $A \subset W \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ be the locally closed subscheme obtained by removing $\text{Ker}(W \rightarrow W_2) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ from $\text{Ker}(W \rightarrow W_1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. In terms of the usual coordinates $x_0, x_1, \ldots$ on the scheme $W$, the subscheme $A \subset W$ is defined by the equations $p = x_0 = 0$ and the inequality $x_1 \neq 0$.

2.3.2. **Definition of $W_{\text{prim}}$**. Define $W_{\text{prim}}$ to be the formal completion of $W$ along the locally closed subscheme $A$ from §2.3.1. In other words, for any scheme $S$, an $S$-point of $W_{\text{prim}}$ is a morphism $S \rightarrow W$ which maps $S_{\text{red}}$ to $A$. If $S$ is $p$-nilpotent and if we think of a morphism $S \rightarrow W$ as a sequence of functions $x_n \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ then the condition is that $x_0$ is locally nilpotent and $x_1$ is invertible. If $S$ is not $p$-nilpotent then $W_{\text{prim}}(S) = \emptyset$.

$W_{\text{prim}}$ is a formal affine δ-scheme (the δ-structure is induced by the one on $W$, see §2.2.4). In terms of the usual coordinates $x_0, x_1, \ldots$ on $W$, the coordinate ring of $W_{\text{prim}}$ is the completion of $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_0, x_1, \ldots][x_1^{-1}]$ with respect to the ideal $(p, x_0)$ or equivalently, the $p$-adic completion $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_3, \ldots][[x_0]]$.

2.4. **The δ-stack** $\Sigma$. Let us recall the material from [D3, §4.2]. The same material is contained in [BL], but the notation in [BL] is different: our $\Sigma$ is denoted there by $W_{\text{Cart}}$ and called the Cartier-Witt stack.

2.4.1. **Action of $W^\times$ on $W_{\text{prim}}$**. The morphism

$$W^\times \times W_{\text{prim}} \rightarrow W_{\text{prim}}, \quad (u, \xi) \mapsto u^{-1}\xi$$

defines an action of $W^\times$ on $W_{\text{prim}}$ ("action by division"). The reason why we prefer it to the action by multiplication is explained in [D3, §4.2.6]. The difference between the two actions is irrelevant for most purposes. Note that $W^\times$ is a δ-scheme, $W_{\text{prim}}$ is a formal δ-scheme, and (2.1) is a δ-morphism.

2.4.2. **$\Sigma$ as a quotient stack**. The δ-stack $\Sigma$ is defined as follows:

$$\Sigma := W_{\text{prim}}/W^\times.$$ 

In other words, $\Sigma$ is the fpqc-sheafification of the presheaf of groupoids

$$R \mapsto W_{\text{prim}}(R)/W(R)^\times.$$ 

It is also the Zariski sheafification of this presheaf (see [BL] or [D3, §4.2.2]).

2.4.3. **The $S$-points of $\Sigma$**. Instead of using the definition from §2.4.2, one can use a direct description of the groupoid of $S$-points of $\Sigma$, where $S$ is any scheme (see [BL] or [D3, §4.2.2]).

2.5. **The group δ-scheme $G_\Sigma'$ over $\Sigma$**.
2.5.1. The group scheme $G'_{\text{prim}}$. We are going to define a flat affine commutative group $\delta$-scheme $G'_{\text{prim}}$ over $\text{prim}$ equipped with a homomorphism

$$G'_{\text{prim}} \rightarrow W_{\text{prim}}^\times := W_{\text{prim}} \times W_{\text{prim}}^\times$$

of group $\delta$-schemes over $\text{prim}$.

As a formal $\delta$-scheme, $G'_{\text{prim}} := W_{\text{prim}} \times W_{\text{prim}}$. The map

$$G'_{\text{prim}} \times W_{\text{prim}} \times G'_{\text{prim}} \rightarrow G'_{\text{prim}}, \quad (\xi, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\xi, x_1 + x_2 + \xi x_1 x_2)$$

is a group operation (to check this, use that $\xi$ is topologically nilpotent).

The homomorphism (2.3) is given by

$$(\xi, x) \mapsto (\xi, 1 + \xi x).$$

2.5.2. The group scheme $G_{\Sigma}$ over $\Sigma$. Recall that $\Sigma = \text{prim}/W_{\text{prim}}^\times$. The $\delta$-morphism

$$W_{\text{prim}}^\times \times (W_{\text{prim}} \times W) \rightarrow W_{\text{prim}} \times W; \quad (u, \xi, x) \mapsto (u^{-1} \xi, ux)$$

defines an action of $W_{\text{prim}}^\times$ on $G'_{\text{prim}} := W_{\text{prim}} \times W$, which lifts the action (2.1) on $\text{prim}$ and preserves the group structure on $G'_{\text{prim}}$ and the map (2.3).

So $G'_{\text{prim}}$ descends to a commutative group $\delta$-scheme $G_{\Sigma}$ over $\Sigma$ equipped with a $\delta$-homomorphism

$$G_{\Sigma} \rightarrow W_{\Sigma}^\times := W_{\text{prim}}^\times \times \Sigma.$$ 

$G_{\Sigma}$ is affine and flat over $\Sigma$ because $G'_{\text{prim}}$ is affine and flat over $\text{prim}$.

2.5.3. Relation to the prismaticization of $\mathbb{G}_m$. The Bhatt-Lurie approach to prismatic cohomology is based on the prismatic functor $X \mapsto X^{\Delta}$ from the category of $p$-adic formal schemes to that of $\delta$-stacks algebraic over $\Sigma$, see [D3, §1.4]. If $X$ is a scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ we set $X^{\Delta} := (X \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\Delta}$, where $X \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the $p$-adic completion of $X$.

In particular, one can apply the prismaticization functor to $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. It is easy to check that $\mathbb{G}_m^{\Delta}$ has a natural structure of strictly commutative Picard stack over $\Sigma$, and one has a canonical isomorphism of of strictly commutative Picard stacks

$$\mathbb{G}_m^{\Delta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cone}(G_{\Sigma} \rightarrow W_{\Sigma}^\times),$$

where the meaning of “Cone” is explained in [D3, §1.3.1-1.3.2]; moreover, the isomorphism (2.5) is compatible with the $\delta$-structures. We skip the details because the isomorphism (2.5) will be used only to motivate the study of $G_{\Sigma}$ and its subgroup $G_{\Sigma}$ introduced below.

2.6. The group $\delta$-scheme $G_{\Sigma}$ over $\Sigma$.

2.6.1. Teichmüller embedding. We have the Teichmüller embedding $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow W_{\text{prim}}^\times$ and the retraction $W_{\text{prim}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ (to a Witt vector one assigns its 0-th component). Both $\mathbb{G}_m$ and $W_{\text{prim}}^\times$ are group $\delta$-schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$ (see §2.2.4). The Teichmüller embedding is a $\delta$-homomorphism. The retraction $W_{\text{prim}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is a homomorphism but not a $\delta$-homomorphism.

---

4A $p$-adic formal scheme is a stack $X$ equipped with a schematic morphism $X \to \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$. 6
2.6.2. **Definition.** $G_{\Sigma}$ is the preimage of the subgroup $(\mathbb{G}_m)_\Sigma \subset W_\Sigma^*$ under the homomorphism (2.4). Equivalently, $G_{\Sigma}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism

$G'_{\Sigma} \to (W^*/\mathbb{G}_m)_\Sigma$

that comes from (2.4).

2.6.3. **Pieces of structure on $G_{\Sigma}$.** $G_{\Sigma}$ is a commutative affine group $\delta$-scheme over $\Sigma$ equipped with a $\delta$-homomorphism

$G_{\Sigma} \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_\Sigma$.

2.6.4. **Notation.** For a stack $\mathcal{X}$ over $\Sigma$, we write $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $G'_{\mathcal{X}}$) for the pullback of $G_{\Sigma}$ (resp. $G'_{\Sigma}$) to $\mathcal{X}$.

2.7. **Results about $G_{\Sigma}$.**

**Proposition 2.7.1.** The homomorphism (2.6) is faithfully flat.

The proof is given in §4.2.

**Corollary 2.7.2.** $G_{\Sigma}$ is flat over $\Sigma$.

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 2.7.1 because $G_{\Sigma}$ is the kernel of (2.6). □

Combining Proposition 2.7.1 with (2.5), one gets the following

**Corollary 2.7.3.** One has a canonical isomorphism of strictly commutative Picard stacks

$(\mathbb{G}_m)_m^\Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cone}(G_{\Sigma} \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_\Sigma)$.

compatible with the $\delta$-structures. □

**Remark 2.7.4.** Combining Corollary 2.7.3 with our results on $G_{\Sigma}$ and its Cartier dual $H_{\Sigma}$, one can compute the derived direct images of the structure sheaf under the morphism

$(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})^\Delta = (\mathbb{G}_m)_m^\Delta \to (\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p)^\Delta = \Sigma$,

see Appendix A. This is not really a new result but rather a new point of view\(^5\) on a key result of [BS].

Corollary 2.7.2 can be strengthened as follows.

**Theorem 2.7.5.** $G_{\Sigma}$ is the Cartier dual of some 1-dimensional commutative formal group $H_{\Sigma}$ over $\Sigma$.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7.5, $\text{Hom}(G_{\Sigma}, (\mathbb{G}_a)_\Sigma)$ is a line bundle over $\Sigma$.

**Corollary 2.7.6.** $\text{Hom}(G_{\Sigma}, (\mathbb{G}_a)_\Sigma)$ is a line bundle over $\Sigma$.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7.5, $\text{Hom}(G_{\Sigma}, (\mathbb{G}_a)_\Sigma) = \text{Lie}(H_{\Sigma})$. □

\(^5\)The prismatization functor and the groups $G_{\Sigma}, H_{\Sigma}$ do not appear in [BS].
Our next goal is to formulate Theorem 2.7.10, which says that $\text{Hom}(G_{\Sigma}, (G_a)_\Sigma)$ is canonically isomorphic to $O_{\Sigma}\{-1\}$, i.e., the inverse of the Breuil-Kisin-Tate module\(^6\) $O_{\Sigma}\{1\}$. To explain the word “canonically”, we have to discuss $\rho_{\text{dr}}^*G_{\Sigma}$, where $\rho_{\text{dr}} : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Sigma$ is the “de Rham point” of $\Sigma$.

2.7.7. The “de Rham pullback” of $G_{\Sigma}$. The element $p \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ defines a morphism $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to W_{\text{prim}}$.

The corresponding morphism $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Sigma$ is called the de Rham point of $\Sigma$ and denoted by $\rho_{\text{dr}} : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Sigma$.

Let $G_{\text{dr}} := \rho_{\text{dr}}^*G_{\Sigma}$. By the definition of $G_{\Sigma}$, for any $p$-nilpotent ring $A$ we have

\[
G_{\text{dr}}(A) := \{ x \in W(A) | 1 + px \in A^x \subset W(A)^x \},
\]

where $A^x \subset W(A)^x$ is the image of the Teichmüller embedding, and the group operation on $G_{\text{dr}}(A)$ is given by $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + px_1x_2$.

We have a canonical homomorphism

\[
G_{\text{dr}} \to (G_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}, \quad x \mapsto p^{-1}\log(1 + px_0) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-p)^{n-1}}{n} x_0^n,
\]

where $x_0$ is the 0-th component of the Witt vector $x$ (the formula makes sense because the numbers $\frac{(-p)^{n-1}}{n}$ are in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and converge to 0).

**Proposition 2.7.8.** The homomorphism (2.10) induces an isomorphism $G_{\text{dr}} \sim \text{Hom}(G_{\Sigma}, (G_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p})$, where $G_a^\ast$ is the divided powers version of $G_a$.

The proposition is proved in §4.4.

**Corollary 2.7.9.** The homomorphism (2.10) induces an isomorphism

\[
(G_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p} \sim \text{Hom}(G_{\Sigma}, (G_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}).
\]

**Theorem 2.7.10.** There is a unique isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\{-1\} \sim \text{Hom}(G_{\Sigma}, (G_a)_\Sigma)
\]

whose $\rho_{\text{dr}}$-pullback is the isomorphism (2.11).

In the theorem and the next corollary we tacitly use that $\rho_{\text{dr}}^*O_{\Sigma}\{1\}$ is canonically trivial, see [D3, §4.9]. The existence of (2.12) is proved in §2.9.6; uniqueness follows from the equality

\[
H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}) = \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]

which is proved in [D3, Cor. 4.7.2]. Combining Theorem 2.7.10 with (2.13), we get

**Corollary 2.7.11.** There is a unique homomorphism $G_{\Sigma} \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\{1\}$, whose $\rho_{\text{dr}}$-pullback is the homomorphism (2.10). \(\square\)

A homomorphism $G_{\Sigma} \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\{1\}$ with this property is explicitly constructed in [BL] (see also [BL2, §4]); it is denoted there by $\log_\Delta$ and called the prismatic logarithm. The prismatic logarithm is used in [BL] to define the prismatic first Chern class.

\(^6\)For the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\{1\}$, see [D3, §4.9] or [BL]; one of the equivalent definitions is essentially recalled in §2.9.6. Let us note that in [BL] our $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\{1\}$ is called the Breuil-Kisin line bundle and denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\text{WCart}}\{1\}$. 

2.7.12. *Pullback of $G_{\Sigma}$ to the Hodge-Tate divisor.* We have a homomorphism $W \to W_1 = \mathbb{A}^1$ (to a Witt vector it associates its 0-th coordinate). It induces a morphism

$$\Sigma = W_{\text{prim}}/W^\times \to \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m.$$  

Let $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$ be the preimage of $\{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. Then $\Delta_0$ is an effective Cartier divisor on $\Sigma$ (in the sense of [D3, §2.10-2.11]). It is called the *Hodge-Tate divisor*. Let us note that in [BL] this divisor is denoted by $W_{\text{Cart}}^{HT}$.

Let $G_{\Delta_0}$ be the pullback of $G_{\Sigma}$ to $\Delta_0$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the conormal line bundle of $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{M}^\sharp$ be the divided powers version of $\mathcal{M}$ (so $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^\sharp$ are obtained from $G_a^\sharp$ and $G_a$ by twisting them with the same $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor on $\Delta_0$).

**Proposition 2.7.13.** $G_{\Delta_0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}^\sharp$. Accordingly, the Cartier dual of $G_{\Delta_0}$ is isomorphic to the formal completion of the line bundle $\mathcal{M}^*$ along its zero section.

The proposition will be proved in §4.3.5.

Let us note that Proposition 2.7.13 agrees with Theorem 2.7.10 because the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma\{1\}$ to $\Delta_0$ is known to be canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}$ (e.g., see [D3, Lemma 4.9.7(ii)] and [D3, §4.9.1]).

2.7.14. *Warning.* Let $\bar{\rho}_{\text{dR}} : \text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \to \Sigma$ be the restriction of $\rho_{\text{dR}} : \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Sigma$. Then $\bar{\rho}_{\text{dR}}$ lands into $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$. So one can compute $\rho_{\text{dR}}^*G_{\Sigma} \twoheadrightarrow (G_a^\sharp)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. In §4.4.6 we will see that they differ by a *non-linear* automorphism of $(G_a^\sharp)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (there are plenty of such automorphisms because the Cartier dual of $(G_a^\sharp)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is $(\hat{G}_a)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$).

2.8. *A question about $G_{\Sigma}$.*

2.8.1. By §3.5, any formal group has a canonical “degeneration” into its Lie algebra. In particular, we have a canonical formal group over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{A}^1$ whose restriction to $\Sigma \times \{1\}$ is $H_{\Sigma}$ and whose restriction to $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ is $\text{Lie}(H_{\Sigma})$. By Theorem 2.7.10, $\text{Lie}(H_{\Sigma}) = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma\{-1\}$.

2.8.2. Passing to the Cartier dual, we get a canonical affine group scheme over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{A}^1$ whose restriction to $\Sigma \times \{1\}$ is $G_{\Sigma}$ and whose restriction to $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ is $(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma\{1\})^\sharp$ (i.e., the divided powers version of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma\{1\}$).

**Question 2.8.3.** *How to give a direct construction of the group scheme from §2.8.2?*

2.9. *Results about $H_{\Sigma}$.*

2.9.1. *Pieces of structure on $H_{\Sigma}$.* The $\delta$-structure on $G_{\Sigma}$ is a group homomorphism

$$G_{\Sigma} \to F^*G_{\Sigma}$$

whose restriction to $\Sigma \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is the geometric Frobenius. Dualizing this, we get a group homomorphism

$$\varphi : F^*H_{\Sigma} \to H_{\Sigma}$$

whose restriction to $\Sigma \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is the Verschiebung.

The homomorphism (2.7) yields a section

$$s : \Sigma \to H_{\Sigma}.$$
Since (2.7) is a $\delta$-homomorphism, we have

\[(2.16) \quad \varphi(F^*s) = ps\]

(when writing $ps$ we are using the additive notation for the group operation in $H_\Sigma$).

Theorem 2.9.2. Let $s : \Sigma \to H_\Sigma$ and $\varphi : F^*H_\Sigma \to H_\Sigma$ be as in §2.9.1. Then

(i) $s^{-1}(0_\Sigma) = \Delta_0$, where $0_\Sigma \subset H_\Sigma$ is the zero section and $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$ is the Hodge-Tate divisor (see §2.7.12);

(ii) $\varphi : F^*H_\Sigma \to H_\Sigma$ factors as $F^*H_\Sigma \sim \to H_\Sigma(-\Delta_0) \to H_\Sigma$.

Here $H_\Sigma(-\Delta_0)$ is the formal group obtained from $H_\Sigma$ by rescaling via the invertible subsheaf $O_\Sigma(-\Delta_0) \subset O_\Sigma$, see §3.4. If you wish, $H_\Sigma(-\Delta_0)$ is a formal group equipped with a homomorphism $H_\Sigma(-\Delta_0) \to H_\Sigma$ vanishing at $\Delta_0$ and universal with this property (see Lemma 3.4.9 and Proposition 3.6.3).

A proof of Theorem 2.9.2 is given in §4.8.

Corollary 2.9.3. The substack of zeros of the section $p^n s$ equals $\Delta_0 + \ldots + \Delta_n$, where $\Delta_i := (F^i)^{-1}(\Delta_0)$.

Proof. Combine Theorem 2.9.2 with (2.16). □

2.9.4. The “de Rham pullback” of $H_\Sigma$. Let $H_{\text{dR}} := \rho_{\text{dR}}^*H_\Sigma$, where $\rho_{\text{dR}} : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Sigma$ is as in §2.7.7. Then $H_{\text{dR}}$ is a formal group over $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$ equipped with the following pieces of structure. First, (2.15) induces $s_{\text{dR}} : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to H_{\text{dR}}$. Second, (2.14) induces a homomorphism $\varphi_{\text{dR}} : H_{\text{dR}} \to H_{\text{dR}}$ (here we use that $F \circ \rho_{\text{dR}} = \rho_{\text{dR}}$).

Proposition 2.9.5. (i) There exists a unique isomorphism

\[(H_{\text{dR}}, s_{\text{dR}}) \sim \to ((\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}, p : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}),\]

where $(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the formal additive group over $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$.

(ii) $\varphi_{\text{dR}}$ equals $p \in \text{End } H_{\text{dR}}$.

Proof. Uniqueness in (i) is obvious. Existence in (i) follows from Proposition 2.7.8 because $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ is Cartier dual to $\mathbb{G}_m$ via the pairing

\[\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a \times \mathbb{G}_m^\times \to \mathbb{G}_m, \quad (u, v) \mapsto \exp(uv).\]

Statement (ii) follows from (i) because $\varphi(s_{\text{dR}}) = ps_{\text{dR}}$ by (2.16). □

2.9.6. Proof of Theorem 2.7.10. $\text{Hom}(G_\Sigma, (\mathbb{G}_a)_\Sigma) = \text{Lie}(H_\Sigma)$ because $H_\Sigma$ is dual to $G_\Sigma$. By Theorem 2.9.2(ii) and Proposition 2.9.5(i), $\text{Lie}(H_\Sigma)$ is a line bundle on $\Sigma$ equipped with an isomorphism $F^*\text{Lie}(H_\Sigma) \sim \to \text{Lie}(H_\Sigma)(-\Delta_0)$ and a trivialization of $\rho_{\text{dR}}^*\text{Lie}(H_\Sigma)$. So one has a canonical isomorphism $\text{Lie}(H_\Sigma) \sim \to \mathcal{O}_\Sigma\{-1\}$, see [D3, §4.9]. The corresponding isomorphism $\text{Hom}(G_\Sigma, (\mathbb{G}_a)_\Sigma) \sim \to \mathcal{O}_\Sigma\{-1\}$ has the desired property. □

2.10. The pullback of $H_\Sigma$ to the $q$-de Rham prism $Q$. 
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2.10.1. Recollections on $Q$. Let $Q := \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$ is equipped with the $(p, q - 1)$-adic topology. Define $F : Q \to Q$ by $q \mapsto q^p$. Then $(Q, F)$ is a formal $\delta$-scheme. More abstractly, $Q$ is the formal $\delta$-scheme underlying the formal group $\delta$-scheme $(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}$ over $\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p$, and the $\delta$-structure on $Q$ comes from the $\delta$-structure on $\mathbb{G}_m$ introduced in §2.2.4.

Let $\Phi_p$ denote the cyclotomic polynomial. The element

\[ \Phi_p([q]) = 1 + [q] + \ldots + [q^{p-1}] \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]) \]

defines a morphism $Q \to W$ and, in fact, a morphism $Q \to W_{\text{prim}}$. This is a $\delta$-morphism because $F(\Phi_p([q])) = \Phi_p(q^p)$. Let $\pi : Q \to \Sigma$ be the composite morphism $Q \to W_{\text{prim}} \to \Sigma$. It is known that $\pi$ is faithfully flat. For us, the $q$-de Rham prism is the pair $(Q, \pi)$.

Set $(\Delta_0)_Q := \Delta_0 \times_{\Sigma} Q \subset Q$; by the definition of $\pi$, we have

\[ (\Delta_0)_Q = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]/(\Phi_p(q)) \subset \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]] = Q. \] (2.17)

More details about $(Q, \pi)$ can be found in [BL] and [D3, Appendix B].

2.10.2. Pieces of structure on $H_Q$. Let $G_Q := \pi^*G_{\Sigma}, H_Q := \pi^*H_{\Sigma}$.

By definition, a section $Q \to G_Q$ is the same as an element $x \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]])$ such that $1 + x\Phi_p([q])$ is Teichmuller. We will use the section $\sigma : Q \to G_Q$ corresponding to $x = [q] - 1$ (then $1 + x\Phi_p([q]) = [q^p]$). It is easy to see that $\sigma : Q \to G_Q$ is a $\delta$-morphism. The section $\sigma$ is a key advantage of $Q$ over $\Sigma$.

Since $G_Q$ is dual to $H_Q$, the section $\sigma : Q \to G_Q$ defines a homomorphism

\[ \sigma^* : H_Q \to (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q. \] (2.18)

On the other hand, base-changing the pieces of structure on $H_{\Sigma}$ described in §2.9.1, we get similar pieces of structure on $H_Q$. Namely, we get a group homomorphism

\[ \varphi_Q : F^*H_Q \to H_Q \] (2.19)

whose restriction to $Q \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is the Verschiebung and a section

\[ s_Q : Q \to H_Q. \] (2.20)

such that

\[ \varphi_Q(F^*s_Q) = ps_Q \] (2.21)

(when writing $ps_Q$ we are using the additive notation for the group operation in $H_Q$).

(2.18) interacts with (2.19)-(2.20) as follows.

**Lemma 2.10.3.** (i) The following diagram commutes:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
F^*H_Q & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Q} & H_Q \\
\downarrow F^*(\sigma_Q^*) & & \downarrow \sigma_Q^* \\
(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q 
\end{array} \]

(ii) $\sigma^* \circ s_Q = q^p \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m(Q)$.

**Proof.** Statement (i) follows from $\sigma$ being a $\delta$-morphism.

Composing $\sigma_Q : Q \to G_Q$ with the homomorphism $G_Q \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_Q$ that comes from (2.7), we get $1 + (q-1) \cdot \Phi_p(q) = q^p \in \mathbb{G}_m(Q)$. Statement (ii) follows. \[ \square \]
Theorem 2.10.4. The homomorphism \( \sigma^* : H_Q \to (\hat{\mathbb{G}_m})_Q \) induces an isomorphism

\[
H_Q \sim (\hat{\mathbb{G}_m})_Q(-D),
\]

where \( D \subset Q \) is the divisor \( q = 1 \).

The proof is given in §4.7.3.

2.10.5. \( H_Q \) as a formal scheme. By Theorem 2.10.4, \( H_Q \) identifies with \( (\hat{\mathbb{G}_m})_Q(-D) \). So the formal scheme \( H_Q \) can be obtained as follows: first, blow up the formal scheme

\[
(\hat{\mathbb{G}_m})_Q = Q \times \hat{\mathbb{G}_m} = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, q' - 1]]
\]

along the subscheme \( q = q' = 1 \), then \( H_Q \) is the formal completion of the blow-up along the strict preimage of the unit section of \( (\hat{\mathbb{G}_m})_Q \). In other words,

\[
(2.22) \quad H_Q = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, z]], \quad \text{where } z = \frac{q' - 1}{q - 1}.
\]

2.10.6. The formal group \( H_Q \) in explicit terms. In terms of the coordinate \( z \) from (2.22), \( H_Q \) corresponds to the following formal group law over \( \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]] \):

\[
(2.23) \quad z_1 \ast z_2 = \frac{(1 + (q - 1)z_1)(1 + (q - 1)z_2) - 1}{q - 1} = z_1 + z_2 + (q - 1)z_1z_2.
\]

Let us describe in these terms the pieces of structure on \( H_Q \) defined in §2.10.2. The homomorphism \( \sigma^* : H_Q \to (\hat{\mathbb{G}_m})_Q \) is just the map \( (q, z) \mapsto (q, 1 + (q - 1)z) \). By Lemma 2.10.3(ii), the section \( s_Q : Q \to H_Q \) is given by \( z = q^p - 1 = \Phi_p(q) \). It remains to describe the homomorphism \( \varphi_Q : F^*H_Q \to H_Q \). The formal group \( F^*H_Q \) corresponds to the group law

\[
(2.24) \quad y_1 \ast y_2 = y_1 + y_2 + (q^p - 1)y_1y_2,
\]

which is the \( F \)-pullback of (2.23). By Lemma 2.10.3(i), the homomorphism \( \varphi_Q \) is the homomorphism from (2.24) to (2.23) given by \( z = \Phi_p(q) \cdot y \).

2.10.7. The group scheme \( H_Q^{\text{alg}} \). Let \( H_Q^{\text{alg}} := \text{Spf} A \), where \( A \) is the completion of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[q, z] \) for the \( (p, q - 1) \)-adic topology. The morphism \( H_Q^{\text{alg}} \to \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]] = Q \) is affine (in particular, schematic). The r.h.s. of (2.23) is a polynomial, so it gives a morphism

\[
H_Q^{\text{alg}} \times_Q H_Q^{\text{alg}} \to H_Q^{\text{alg}}.
\]

This morphism makes \( H_Q^{\text{alg}} \) into a smooth affine group scheme over \( Q \). The formal completion of \( H_Q^{\text{alg}} \) along its unit identifies with \( H_Q \) (if you wish, \( H_Q^{\text{alg}} \) is an algebraization of the formal group \( H_Q \) in the sense of §2.12.1). The homomorphism \( \varphi_Q : F^*H_Q \to H_Q \) comes from a homomorphism \( F^*H_Q^{\text{alg}} \to H_Q^{\text{alg}} \). The group \( H_Q^{\text{alg}} \) has a remarkable section \( \tilde{s}_Q : Q \to H_Q^{\text{alg}} \) given by \( z = 1 \); one has a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Q & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_Q} & H_Q^{\text{alg}} \\
\downarrow{s_Q} & & \downarrow{p} \\
H_Q & \longrightarrow & H_Q^{\text{alg}}
\end{array}
\]

(\( s_Q \) was defined in §2.20 and described in §2.10.6).
2.10.8. Restriction of $H^\text{alg}_Q$ to $(\Delta_0)_Q$. To get a feel of $H^\text{alg}_Q$ let us discuss its restriction to $(\Delta_0)_Q$.

Recall that $(\Delta_0)_Q := \Delta_0 \times_{\Sigma} Q$, where $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$ is the Hodge-Tate divisor; explicitly, $(\Delta_0)_Q = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]/(\Phi_p(q)) \subset \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]] = Q$. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]/(\Phi_p(q))$ be the image of $q$; then $\zeta$ is a primitive $p$-th root of 1.

Let $H^\text{alg}_{(\Delta_0)_Q}$ be the restriction of $H^\text{alg}_Q$ to $(\Delta_0)_Q$. It is easy to check that one has an exact sequence

\begin{equation}
0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_{(\Delta_0)_Q} \overset{i}{\rightarrow} H^\text{alg}_{(\Delta_0)_Q} \overset{\lambda}{\rightarrow} (\mathcal{G}_a)_{(\Delta_0)_Q} \rightarrow 0;
\end{equation}

here $i$ takes $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ to the section $s_{(\Delta_0)_Q} : (\Delta_0)_Q \rightarrow H_{(\Delta_0)_Q}$ given by $z = 1$ (then $1 + (\zeta - 1)z = \zeta$ is a $p$-th root of unity), and $\lambda$ is given by $(\zeta - 1)^{-1} \cdot \log(1 + (\zeta - 1)z)$ (which is a power series in $z$ whose coefficients are in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]/(\Phi_p(q))$ and converge to 0).

The exact sequence (2.25) shows that $H_{(\Delta_0)_Q}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{G}_a)_{(\Delta_0)_Q}$, but $H^\text{alg}_{(\Delta_0)_Q}$ is not isomorphic to $(\mathcal{G}_a)_{(\Delta_0)_Q}$ (because $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_{(\Delta_0)_Q}, (\mathcal{G}_a)_{(\Delta_0)_Q}) = 0$).

2.11. The action of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ on $H_Q$. We keep the notation of §2.10.

2.11.1. The action of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ on $Q$. The pro-finite (and therefore pro-algebraic) group $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ acts on the formal $\delta$-scheme $Q = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$: the automorphism of $Q$ corresponding to $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is

\[ q \mapsto q^n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1) \ldots (n-i+1)}{i!} (q-1)^i. \]

In terms of the identification $Q = (\hat{\mathcal{G}}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}$, this action comes from the isomorphism

\[ \mathbb{Z}_p \sim \text{End}((\hat{\mathcal{G}}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}). \]

2.11.2. The action of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ on $H_Q$. It is easy to show that the morphism $\pi : Q \rightarrow \Sigma$ from §2.10.1 factors through the quotient stack $Q/\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ (see [BL] or [D3, Appendix B]). Therefore the formal group scheme $H_Q$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-equivariant.

The morphisms $\varphi_Q : F^*H_Q \rightarrow H_Q$ and $s_Q : Q \rightarrow H_Q$ are $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-equivariant because they are $\pi$-pullbacks of $\varphi : F^*H_{\Sigma} \rightarrow H_{\Sigma}$ and $s : \Sigma \rightarrow H_{\Sigma}$.

**Proposition 2.11.3.** The morphism $\sigma^* : H_Q \rightarrow (\hat{\mathcal{G}}_m)_Q := (\hat{\mathcal{G}}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \times Q$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-equivariant assuming that $(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}$ is equipped with the following $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-action: $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ acts as raising to the power of $n$.

The proof is given in §4.9. Proposition 2.11.3 means that if we think of $H_Q$ as an affine blow-up of $(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \times Q$ (see §2.10.5) then the action of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ on $H_Q$ is the most natural one.

**Corollary 2.11.4.** In terms of §2.10.6, the action of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ on $H_Q$ is given by

\[ (q, z) \mapsto (q^n, \frac{h_n(z, q)}{h_n(1, q)}), \]

\footnote{This $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-action is the same as the one from §2.11.1 (recall that $Q$ is just the formal scheme underlying the formal group $(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}$).}
where \( h_n(z, q) = \frac{(1 + (q - 1)z)^n - 1}{q - 1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1)\ldots(n-i+1)}{i!} z^i (q-1)^{i-1} \) (so \( h_n(1, q) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q-1} \)).

\[\square\]

**Remark 2.11.5.** Corollary 2.11.4 combined with §2.10.6 gives a complete description of the image of the formal group \( H_\Sigma \) under the pullback functor

\[\begin{align*}
\{\text{Formal groups over } \Sigma\} &\to \{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\text{-equivariant formal groups over } \mathbb{Q}\}.
\end{align*}\]

If \( p > 2 \) this functor is fully faithful by [BL, Thm. 3.8.3], so our description of the image of \( H_\Sigma \) under (2.26) could be considered as a (not very good) description of \( H_\Sigma \) itself.

**Remark 2.11.6.** Let \( H^\text{alg}_Q \) be as in §2.10.7. The action of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) on \( H_Q \) comes from an action of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) on \( H^\text{alg}_Q \); the latter is given by the formula from Corollary 2.11.4 (this formula makes sense in the context of \( H^\text{alg}_Q \) because the reduction of \( h_n(z, q) \) modulo any power of \( q - 1 \) is a polynomial in \( z \)).

### 2.12. A conjectural algebraization of \( H_\Sigma \).

#### 2.12.1. Algebraizations of formal groups.

Let \( H \) be a formal group over a stack \( \mathcal{X} \). By an *algebraization* of \( H \) we mean an isomorphism class of pairs consisting of a smooth affine group scheme \( G \) over \( \mathcal{X} \) with connected fibers and an isomorphism \( H \to \hat{G} \), where \( \hat{G} \) is the formal completion of \( G \) along its unit. Let \( \text{Alg}(H) \) denote the set of algebraizations of \( H \).

#### 2.12.2. The sheaf property of \( \text{Alg} \).

Suppose that in addition to \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( H \), we are given a morphism of stacks \( \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \) such that the corresponding morphism of fpqc-sheaves of sets is surjective (in other words, for every scheme \( S \) and every morphism \( S \to \mathcal{X} \), the morphism \( \mathcal{X}' \times_{\mathcal{X}} S \to S \) has a section fpqc-locally on \( S \)). Then we have an exact sequence of sets

\[\text{Alg}(H) \to \text{Alg}(H') \to \text{Alg}(H''),\]

where \( H' \) and \( H'' \) are the pullbacks of \( H \) to \( \mathcal{X}' \) and \( \mathcal{X}' \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}' \), respectively. In particular, the map \( \text{Alg}(H) \to \text{Alg}(H') \) is injective.

#### 2.12.3. Good news.

(i) By Theorem 2.9.2(ii), \( F^* H_\Sigma = H_\Sigma(-\Delta_0) \). On the other hand, \( H_\Sigma(-\Delta_0) \) has a canonical algebraization constructed in §3.6.4 “by pure thought”. Thus we get a canonical element \( \alpha \in \text{Alg}(F^* H_\Sigma) \).

(ii) The morphism \( F : \Sigma \to \Sigma \) satisfies the condition of §2.12.2 (because \( F : W \to W \) is faithfully flat). So the canonical map \( \text{Alg}(H_\Sigma) \to \text{Alg}(F^* H_\Sigma) \) is injective. Thus \( \alpha \) comes from at most one algebraization of \( H_\Sigma \).

**Conjecture 2.12.4.** Such an algebraization of \( H_\Sigma \) exists.

The conjectural algebraization of \( H_\Sigma \) will be denoted by \( H^\text{alg}_\Sigma \).
2.12.5. Evidence in favor of Conjecture 2.12.4. Even though $H^\text{alg}_\Sigma$ is conjectural, the corresponding algebraizations of $H_Q$ and $H_{\Delta_0}$ are unconditional, as explained below.

(i) Let $\alpha$ be as in §2.12.3(i). Then the image of $\alpha$ in $\text{Alg}(F^*H_Q)$ comes from a (unique) element $\beta \in \text{Alg}(H_Q)$, namely the one described in §2.10.7.

(ii) Let $\beta_0 \in \text{Alg}(H_{\Delta_0}Q)$ be the image of $\beta$. Using the explicit description of $\beta_0$ from §2.10.8, one can check that $\beta_0$ comes from a (unique) algebraization $H^\text{alg}_{\Delta_0}$ of $H_{\Delta_0}$. Namely, while $H_{\Delta_0}$ is the formal completion of a certain line bundle $M^*$ over $\Delta_0$ (see Proposition 2.7.13), $H^\text{alg}_{\Delta_0}$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$-covering of $M^*$.

3. Generalities on formal groups and their Cartier duals

3.1. The notion of based formal $S$-polydisk.

3.1.1. Notation. If $S$ is a scheme then the formal completion of $A^n_S := A^n \times S$ along its zero section will be denoted by $\hat{A}^n_S$.

3.1.2. Definition. Let $S$ be a scheme. Let $X$ be a formal $S$-scheme and $\sigma : S \rightarrow X$ a section. We say that $(X,\sigma)$ is a based formal $S$-polydisk if Zariski-locally on $S$ there exists an $S$-isomorphism $(X,\sigma) \sim \rightarrow (\hat{A}^n_S,0)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^+$; here $0 : S \rightarrow \hat{A}^n_S$ is the zero section.

3.1.3. Notation. The category of based formal $S$-polydisks will be denoted by $\text{Polyd}(S)$. For fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^+$, let $\text{Polyd}_n(S) \subset \text{Polyd}(S)$ be the full subcategory of based formal $S$-polydisks of dimension $n$ (i.e., locally isomorphic to $(\hat{A}^n_S,0))$.

3.1.4. Automorphisms of $(\hat{A}^n_S,0)$. The functor that to a scheme $S$ associates the group of $S$-automorphisms of $(\hat{A}^n_S,0)$ is representable by an affine group scheme $\mathcal{D}_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

**Lemma 3.1.5.** The underlying groupoid of $\text{Polyd}_n(S)$ is canonically equivalent to that of $\mathcal{D}_n$-torsors on $S$.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that any $\mathcal{D}_n$-torsor on $S$ is Zariski-locally trivial. Indeed, $\mathcal{D}_n$ can be represented as a projective limit of a diagram of group schemes

$$\ldots \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 = GL(n)$$

in which all morphisms are faithfully flat and for each $n$ the group scheme $\text{Ker}(G_{n+1} \rightarrow G_n)$ is isomorphic to a power of $\mathbb{G}_a$. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.6.** The assignment $S \mapsto \text{Polyd}(S)$ is a stack for the fpqc topology (not merely the Zariski topology). \hfill $\square$

3.2. Formal groups. Let $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}_n(S)$) be the category of group objects in $\text{Polyd}(S)$ (resp. in $\text{Polyd}_n(S)$). Objects of $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ will be called formal groups over $S$; in other words, by a formal group over $S$ we mean a group object $H$ in the category of formal $S$-schemes such that the pair $(H, e : S \rightarrow H)$ is a based formal $S$-polydisk. Objects of $\mathfrak{F}_n(S)$ are called $n$-dimensional formal groups.
3.3. Cartier duals of commutative formal groups.

Lemma 3.3.1. Let $S$ be a scheme and $H \in \mathfrak{F}^{\text{com}}(S)$. Then the Cartier dual $H^*$ exists as a flat affine group scheme over $S$. Moreover, $H^* = \text{Spec} \mathcal{A}$, where the quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is locally free as an $\mathcal{O}_S$-module.

Proof. We can assume that $S$ is affine and that the based formal polydisk $(H, e : S \to H)$ is isomorphic to $(\hat{\mathbb{A}}_S^n, 0)$. Let $A := H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. Then the coordinate ring of $H$ (viewed as a topological $A$-module) is the dual of a free $A$-module. The lemma follows. □

3.3.2. Notation. Let $\mathfrak{F}^*(S)$ be the full subcategory of the category of group $S$-schemes formed by Cartier duals of commutative formal groups over $S$.

3.3.3. Remarks. (i) The assignments $S \mapsto \mathfrak{F}(S)$ and $S \mapsto \mathfrak{F}^*(S)$ are stacks for the fpqc topology (not merely the Zariski topology). This follows from Corollary 3.1.6.

(ii) By Corollary 3.1.6 and the previous remark, if $S$ is a fpqc-stack rather than a scheme one can still talk about $\text{Polyd}(S)$, $\mathfrak{F}(S)$, and $\mathfrak{F}^*(S)$.

Proposition 3.3.4. Let $S$ be a scheme and $S_0 \subset S$ a closed subscheme whose ideal is nilpotent. Let $G$ be a flat commutative group scheme over $S$ such that $G \times_S S_0 \in \mathfrak{F}^*(S_0)$. Then $G \in \mathfrak{F}^*(S)$.

As pointed out by the reviewer, the above proposition appears as Lemma 1.1.21 in J. Lurie’s work [Lu]. Moreover, the Cartier duals of commutative formal groups play an important role in [Lu].

Proof. We can assume that $S = \text{Spec} A$ and $S_0 = \text{Spec} A_0$, where $A_0 = A/I$ and $I^2 = 0$. We can also assume the existence of an isomorphism of based formal $S_0$-polydisks

$$(G_0^*, e) \sim (\hat{\mathbb{A}}_S^n, 0),$$

where $G_0^*$ is the Cartier dual of $G_0$. To simplify notation, we will assume that $n = 1$.

$G_0$ is affine because $G_0 \in \mathfrak{F}^*(S_0)$. So $G$ is affine. Let $B$ be the coordinate ring of $G$ and $B_0 := B \otimes_A A_0$. Then $G = \text{Spec} B$ and $G_0 = \text{Spec} B_0$.

Let $B^* := \text{Hom}_A(B, A)$ and $B_0^* := \text{Hom}_{A_0}(B_0, A_0)$ be the dual modules. We equip them with the weak topology. The coproduct in $B$ and $B_0$ yields a topological algebra structure on $B^*$ and $B_0^*$.

By assumption, we have an isomorphism of based formal $S_0$-disks $(G_0^*, e) \sim (\hat{\mathbb{A}}_S^n, 0)$. It induces an isomorphism of topological algebras $f_0 : A_0[[x]] \sim B_0^*$ such that $l_0(1) = 0$, where $l_0 := f_0(x) \in B_0^*$ and $1 \in B_0$ is the unit. We will lift it to an isomorphism $f : A[[x]] \sim B$ such that $l(1) = 0$, where $l = f(x) \in B^*$. This will show that $\text{Spf} B^*$ is a formal group over $S = \text{Spec} A$, whose Cartier dual is $G$.

The $A_0$-module $B_0$ is free because $f_0^*$ identifies $B_0$ with the topological dual $(A_0[[x]])^*$, which is a free $A_0$-module. By assumption, $B$ is flat over $\text{Spec} A$. So $B$ is a free $A$-module. Therefore we can lift $l_0$ to an element $l \in B^*$. Moreover, adding to $l$ a multiple of the counit of $B$, we can achieve the equality $l(1) = 0$.

Let us prove that $l^n \to 0$. The problem is to show that for every $b \in B$ we have $l^n(b) = 0$ for big enough $n$. Let $F \subset B$ be a finitely generated $A$-submodule such that the coproduct $\Delta : B \to B \otimes_A B$ takes $b$ to $\text{Im}(F \otimes_A F 

\to B \otimes_A B)$. Since $l^n \to 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$
such that for \( n \geq m \) one has \( l^n(F) \subset I := \text{Ker}(B \to B_0) \). Then for \( n \geq 2m \) one has \( l^n(b) = (l^m \otimes l^{n-m})(\Delta(b)) \in I^2 = 0 \).

Since \( l^n \to 0 \), there is a homomorphism of topological \( A \)-algebras \( f : A[[x]] \to B \) such that \( f(x) = l \). The dual map \( f^* : B^* \to (A[[x]])^* \) is a homomorphism of free \( A \)-modules inducing an isomorphism modulo \( I \). Therefore \( f^* \) is an isomorphism, and so is \( f \).

\[\square\]

3.4. Rescaling formal groups.

3.4.1. The monoidal category \( \mathcal{M}(S) \). Given a scheme \( S \), let \( \mathcal{M}(S) \) be the category of pairs \((\mathcal{L}, \alpha : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_S)\), where \( \mathcal{L} \) is an invertible \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-module; this is a monoidal category with respect to tensor product.

Let \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{inj}}(S) \subset \mathcal{M}(S) \) be the full monoidal subcategory of pairs \((\mathcal{L}, \alpha)\) such that \( \text{Ker} \alpha = 0 \).

In fact, the category \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{inj}}(S) \) is an ordered set, which identifies with the set \( \text{Div}_+(S) \) of effective Cartier divisors on \( S \) equipped with the ordering opposite to the usual one: the invertible subsheaf of \( \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{O}_S \) corresponds to \( \text{Div}_+(S) = \mathcal{O}_S(-D) \). Moreover, the tensor product in \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{inj}}(S) \) corresponds to addition in \( \text{Div}_+(S) \). For this reason, objects of \( \mathcal{M}(S) \) are called generalized Cartier divisors in [BL].

3.4.2. Remark. The unit object of \( \mathcal{M}(S) \) is a final object.

3.4.3. Goal. We have the stack of monoidal categories \( \mathcal{M} \) from §3.4.1. In §3.4.6 we will define an action of \( \mathcal{M} \) on Polyd and on \( \mathfrak{F} \), where Polyd is the stack of based formal polydisks (see §3.1) and \( \mathfrak{F} \) is the stack of formal groups (see §3.2).

3.4.4. The prestacks Polyd_{\text{pre}} and \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}} \). Let \( \text{Polyd}_{\text{pre}}(S) \subset \text{Polyd}(S) \) be the full subcategory formed by formal schemes \( \mathfrak{A}^n_S \). Then Polyd_{\text{pre}} is a prestack of categories such that the associated fpqc-stack is Polyd.

Let \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}}(S) \subset \mathcal{M}(S) \) be the full subcategory of pairs \((\mathcal{L}, \alpha)\) with \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_S \). Then \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}} \) is a prestack of monoidal categories such that the associated fpqc-stack is \( \mathcal{M} \). Explicitly, \( \text{Ob} \mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}}(S) = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \), a morphism from \( \alpha \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \) to \( \alpha' \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \) is a presentation of \( \alpha \) as \( \alpha' \alpha'' \), one has \( \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \), and so on. In other words, \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}}(S) \) is obtained as follows: start with the multiplicative monoid \( H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \) viewed as a discrete monoidal category, then add morphisms \( \psi_\alpha : \alpha \to 1 \), subject to the relations \( \psi_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \psi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \psi_{\alpha_2} \).

3.4.5. Action of \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}} \) on Polyd_{\text{pre}}. (i) First, let us define a strict action of the multiplicative monoid \( H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \) on the category Polyd_{\text{pre}}(S), which is trivial at the level of objects of Polyd_{\text{pre}}(S). To this end, note that a morphism \( \mathfrak{A}^m_S \to \mathfrak{A}^n_S \) is just a collection

\[(f_1, \ldots, f_n), \quad f_i \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)[[x_1, \ldots, x_m]], \quad f_i(0) = 0.\]

Definition: \( \alpha \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \) takes \( (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \) to \( (\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n) \), where

\[\tilde{f}_i(x_1, \ldots, x_m) := \alpha^{-1} f_i(\alpha x_1, \ldots, \alpha x_m).\]

The r.h.s. of (3.1) makes sense (even though \( \alpha^{-1} \) is not assumed to exist) because \( f_i(0) = 0 \).

\[\text{Consideration} \quad \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2, \quad \psi_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \psi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \psi_{\alpha_2}.\]
(ii) Let $\Phi_\alpha : \text{Polyd}_{\text{pre}}(S) \to \text{Polyd}_{\text{pre}}(S)$ be the functor corresponding to $\alpha \in H^0(S, O_S)$. The explicit description of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}}(S)$ (see §3.4.4) shows that extending the above action of $H^0(S, O_S)$ on $\text{Polyd}_{\text{pre}}(S)$ to an action of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}}(S)$ amounts to specifying natural transformations $\psi_\alpha : \Phi_\alpha \to \text{Id}$ so that $\psi_{\alpha \alpha_2} = \psi_{\alpha_1} \circ \Phi_\alpha(\psi_{\alpha_2})$. We define the morphism $\hat{A}_S^n = \Phi_\alpha(\hat{A}_S^n) \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} \hat{A}_S^n$ to be multiplication by $\alpha$.

3.4.6. Action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\text{Polyd}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$. (i) In §3.4.5 we defined an action of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{pre}}$ on $\text{Polyd}_{\text{pre}}$. It induces an action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\text{Polyd}$.

(ii) The endofunctor of $\text{Polyd}(S)$ corresponding to each object of $\mathcal{M}(S)$ preserves finite products. So $\text{Polyd}(S)$ acts on the category of group objects in $\text{Polyd}(S)$, i.e., on $\mathfrak{F}(S)$.

**Lemma 3.4.7.** Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{nilp}}(S)$ be as in §3.4.1 and $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{nilp}}(S)$. Then the rescaling functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \alpha} : \mathfrak{F}(S) \to \mathfrak{F}(S)$ canonically factors as

\[(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{F}(S) \to \text{Aff}(S) \to \mathfrak{F}(S),\]

where $\text{Aff}(S)$ is the category of smooth affine group $S$-schemes with connected fibers and the second arrow in (3.2) is the functor of formal completion along the unit. Moreover, if $G$ is in the essential image of the functor $\mathfrak{F}(S) \to \text{Aff}(S)$ then Zariski-locally on $S$, the pointed $S$-scheme $(G, 0)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{A}^n_S, 0)$ for some $n$.

**Proof.** If in the situation of §3.4.5 the function $\alpha \in H^0(S, O_S)$ is nilpotent then the formal series (3.1) is a polynomial. \qed

3.4.8. Notation. Recall that $\mathcal{M}(S) \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{nil}}(S) = \text{Div}_+(S)$ (see §3.4.1). If $D \in \text{Div}_+(S)$ then the action of $D$ on $\text{Polyd}(S)$ or $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{X}(-D)$. By §3.4.2, we have a canonical morphism $\mathcal{X}(-D) \to \mathcal{X}$.

**Lemma 3.4.9.** Let $S$ be a scheme and $D \hookrightarrow S$ an effective Cartier divisor.

(i) For any $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}' \in \text{Polyd}(S)$, the map $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X}(-D)) \to \text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X})$ is injective. Its image is equal to the preimage of the distinguished element\(^9\) of $\text{Mor}(i^* \mathcal{X}', i^* \mathcal{X})$.

(ii) The same is true if $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$ are formal groups over $S$. \qed

3.4.10. Remark. Lemma 3.4.9(i) can be generalizad as follows. Let $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}(S)$. Let $D \hookrightarrow S$ be the closed subscheme corresponding to the ideal $\text{Im} \alpha \subset O_S$; let $S' \twoheadrightarrow S$ be the closed subscheme corresponding to the ideal $\text{Ker}(\alpha^* : O_S \to \mathcal{L}^*)$. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}' \in \text{Polyd}(S)$, and let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ be obtained by acting on $\mathcal{X}$ by $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$. By §3.4.2, we have a canonical morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ and therefore a morphism $f : \text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \to \text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X})$, where $\text{Mor}$ denotes the sheaf on $S$ formed by morphisms. Then the sequence

\[
\text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{i_* \text{Mor}(i^* \mathcal{X}', i^* \mathcal{X})}
\]

is exact in the following sense: the sections of $\text{Im} f$ are precisely those sections of $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X})$ which map to the distinguished section of $i_* \text{Mor}(i^* \mathcal{X}', i^* \mathcal{X})$. Moreover,

\[
\text{Im} f = \nu_* \text{Mor}(\nu^* \mathcal{X}', \nu^* \tilde{\mathcal{X}})
\]

(the two sheaves are equal as quotients of $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}', \tilde{\mathcal{X}})$).

---

\(^9\)This element is due to the fact that we are dealing with based formal $S$-polydisks.
3.4.11. What if $S$ is a stack? It is straightforward to generalize the material of §3.4.1-3.4.10 to the situation where $S$ is an algebraic stack of groupoids in the sense of [D3, §2.4]. But algebraic stacks are not enough for us: the stack $\Sigma$ and the $q$-de Rham prism $Q$ are formal stacks rather than algebraic ones.

If $S$ is any fpqc-stack we still have the monoidal category $\mathcal{M}(S)$ and its action on $\text{Polyd}(S)$ and $\mathcal{F}(S)$. For a reasonable class of stacks $S$ (which includes all formal stacks, e.g., $\Sigma$, $Q$, and $Q \times_X Q$) one also has a good notion of effective Cartier divisor on $S$ and an analog of Lemma 3.4.9, see §3.6 below.

3.5. Deformation of a formal group to the formal completion of its Lie algebra. In this subsection we briefly discuss a formal version of a particular case of the Fulton-MacPherson construction of deformation to the normal cone, see [F, Ch. 5], [Ve, §2], and also §10 of the article [R] (where some generalizations of the original construction are discussed).

3.5.1. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Polyd}(S)$, $\mathcal{X} = (X, \sigma : S \to X)$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the $\sigma$-pullback of the tangent bundle of $X$ relative to $S$ (or equivalently, the normal bundle of $\sigma(S) \subset X$). Let $\pi : A^1_S \to S$ be the projection and $i_0 : S \to A^1_S$ the zero section. Let $D := i_0(S) \subset A^1_S$. Let

$$\mathcal{X} : = (\pi^* \mathcal{X})(-D) \in \text{Polyd}(A^1_S).$$

One checks that $i_0^* \mathcal{X}$ canonically identifies with the formal completion of the vector bundle $\mathcal{N}$ along its zero section.

3.5.2. Now let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}(S)$. Just as in §3.5.1, let $\mathcal{X} : = (\pi^* \mathcal{X})(-D) \in \mathcal{F}(A^1_S)$. Then the formal group $i_0^* \mathcal{X}$ canonically identifies with the formal completion of the vector bundle $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{X})$ along its zero section.

3.6. An analog of Lemma 3.4.9 if $S$ is a stack.

3.6.1. A class of stacks. Let $S$ be an fpqc-stack of groupoids which can be represented as

$$(3.3) \quad S = \lim_{\longrightarrow} (S_1 \hookrightarrow S_2 \hookrightarrow \ldots),$$

where each $S_i$ is an algebraic stack in the sense of [D3, §2.4] and the morphisms $S_i \to S_{i+1}$ are closed immersions. Such $S$ is pre-algebraic in the sense of [D3, §2.3].

3.6.2. The notion of effective Cartier divisor. We will use the notion of effective Cartier divisor on a pre-algebraic stack introduced in [D3, §2.10-2.11]. If $S$ admits a presentation (3.3) the definition from [D3] is equivalent to the following one: an effective Cartier divisor on $S$ is a closed substack $D \subset S$ such that

(i) the ideal $\mathcal{I}_n$ of the closed substack $D \cap S_n \subset S_n$ is an invertible sheaf on some closed substack $S'_n \subset S_n$;

(ii) the inductive limit\(^{11}\) of the stacks $S'_n$ equal $S$; equivalently, for every quasi-compact scheme $\tilde{S}$ every morphism $f : \tilde{S} \to S$ factors through some $S'_n$.

In this situation one can define the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_S(-D)$: its pullback to $S'_n$ equals $\mathcal{I}_n$. Therefore we have $\mathcal{X}(-D)$ for $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Polyd}(S)$ or for $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}(S)$.

Proposition 3.6.3. Lemma 3.4.9 remains valid for any stack $S$ which admits a presentation (3.3).

\(^{10}\)Let us note that the definition of algebraic stack from [D3, §2.4] involves no finiteness conditions.

\(^{11}\)It is easy to check that $S'_n \subset S'_{n+1}$, so the stacks $S'_n$ form an inductive system.
Proof. It suffices to prove the analog of Lemma 3.4.9(i) for the stack $S$. To this end, for each $n$ apply the analog of §3.4.10 for algebraic stacks to the pullback of $O_S(-D)$ to $S_n$. □

The author expects that using §3.4.10 one can prove that Lemma 3.4.9 remains valid for any pre-algebraic stack in the sense of [D3, §2.3].

3.6.4. A corollary of Lemma 3.4.7. Let $S$ be as in §3.6.1 and $H \in \frak{G}(S)$. Let $D \subset S$ be an effective Cartier divisor such that for every scheme $T$ and every morphism $T \to S$ one has $T \times_S D \supset T_{\text{red}}$. Lemma 3.4.7 implies that in this situation the formal group $H(-D)$ can be canonically represented as a formal completion of a smooth affine group $S$-scheme with connected fibers. We denote this group scheme by $H(-D)^{\text{alg}}$.

In particular, we have the group scheme $H^\Sigma(-\Delta_0)^{\text{alg}}$ over $\Sigma$.

4. PROOFS OF THE STATEMENTS FROM §2

4.1. Recollections on the Hodge-Tate divisor $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$. By definition, $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma := W_{\text{prim}}/W^\times$ is the preimage of $\{0\}/G_m \subset \mathbb{A}^1/G_m$ under the morphism $W_{\text{prim}}/W^\times \to \mathbb{A}^1/G_m$.

The element $V(1) \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ defines a morphism

\begin{equation}
\eta : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Delta_0.
\end{equation}

$\eta$ is faithfully flat, and it identifies $\Delta_0$ with the classifying stack $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p)/(W^\times)^{(F)}$, where $(W^\times)^{(F)} := \text{Ker}(F : W^\times \to W^\times)$; the proof of this fact is straightforward (see [BL] or Lemma 4.5.2 of [D3]).

4.2. Proof of Proposition 2.7.1. We have to show that the composite morphism

\begin{equation}
G'_\Sigma \to W^\times \to (W^\times/G_m)_\Sigma
\end{equation}

is faithfully flat.

4.2.1. Reductions. Both $G'_\Sigma$ and $(W^\times/G_m)_\Sigma$ are flat over $\Sigma$. For any morphism from a quasi-compact scheme $S$ to $\Sigma$, the ideal of the closed subscheme $S \times_\Sigma \Delta_0 \subset S$ is nilpotent. So it suffices to check faithful flatness of (4.2) after base change to $\Delta_0$ and even after further pullback via the faithfully flat morphism (4.1).

4.2.2. Pullback via $\eta : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Sigma$. Let $G'_\eta$ be the pullback of $G'_\Sigma$ via $\eta : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$. By §2.5.1, $G'_\eta = W_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}$ (disregarding the group operation), and the $\eta$-pullback of (4.2) is the map

\begin{equation}
W_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p} \to (W^\times/G_m)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p} = \text{Ker}(W^\times \to G_m)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}, \quad x \mapsto 1 + V(1) \cdot x = 1 + V(Fx).
\end{equation}

This map is faithfully flat because $F : W \to W$ is a Frobenius lift. □

4.3. The group schemes $G_\eta, G_{\Delta_0}$ and the proof of Theorem 2.7.5. Let $G_{\Delta_0}$ be the pullback of $G_\Sigma$ to $\Delta_0$. Let $G_\eta$ be the pullback of $G_\Sigma$ via $\eta : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$; this is a group scheme over $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proposition 4.3.1. (i) There is a canonical isomorphism of group schemes

\begin{equation}
G_\eta \cong (W^{(F)})_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p},
\end{equation}

where $W^{(F)} := \text{Ker}(F : W \to W)$.

(ii) The homomorphism $G_\eta \to (G_m)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}$ induced by (2.7) is trivial.

(iii) The $\eta$-pullback of the morphism $G_\Sigma \to F^*G_\Sigma$ from §2.9.1 is trivial.
Proof. Statement (ii) follows from (4.3) and injectivity of \( V \).

Let us prove (i). By §2.5.1, \( G'_\eta \) is \( \text{WSpf} \mathbb{Z}_p \) equipped with the group operation

\[
(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + V(1) \cdot x_1x_2.
\]

By (4.3), the subgroup \( G_\eta \subset G'_\eta \) is defined by the equation \( V(1) \cdot x = 0 \) or equivalently, \( Fx = 0 \).

To prove (iii), note that the morphism in question is \( x \mapsto Fx \), but we already know that \( G_\eta \subset G'_\eta \) is defined by the equation \( Fx = 0 \).

\[\□\]

**Lemma 4.3.2.** The canonical homomorphism \( W \twoheadrightarrow W_1 = \mathbb{G}_a \) induces an isomorphism

\[
W_\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}(p) \sim (\mathbb{G}_a)_\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}(p),
\]

where \( \mathbb{G}_a \) is the divided powers additive group and \( \mathbb{Z}(p) \) is the localization of \( \mathbb{Z} \) at \( p \).

For a proof of the lemma, see [BL] or [D3, Lemma 3.2.6].

**Corollary 4.3.3.** \( G_\eta = (\mathbb{G}_a)_\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \), so \( G_\eta \) is Cartier dual to the formal group \( (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \).

**Proof.** Follows from Proposition 4.3.1 and Lemma 4.3.2. \( \square \)

4.3.4. **Proof of Theorem 2.7.5.** Corollary 4.3.3 and Proposition 3.3.4 imply (similarly to §4.2.1) that \( G_\Sigma \) is the Cartier dual of some 1-dimensional formal group over \( \Sigma \) (which is denoted by \( H_\Sigma \)). \( \square \)

4.3.5. **Proof of Proposition 2.7.13.** We have to construct an isomorphism \( G_{\Delta_0} \sim \mathcal{M}^\dagger \), where \( \mathcal{M} \) is the conormal bundle of \( \Delta_0 \subset \Sigma \). Corollary 4.3.3 provides an isomorphism \( f : G_\eta \sim \eta^* \mathcal{M}^\dagger \). By §4.1, \( (W^x)^{(F)} \) acts on \( G_\eta \) and \( \eta^* \mathcal{M}^\dagger \), and the problem is to check that \( f \) is \( (W^x)^{(F)} \)-equivariant. Indeed, \( u \in (W^x)^{(F)} \) acts on \( G_\eta = W^{(F)} \) as multiplication by \( u \), and it acts on \( \eta^* \mathcal{M}^\dagger = \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \) as multiplication by the 0-th component of the Witt vector \( u \). \( \square \)

We can now prove the following weaker version of Theorem 2.9.2(i).

**Corollary 4.3.6.** The section \( s : \Sigma \rightarrow H_\Sigma \) vanishes on \( \Delta_0 \).

**Proof.** As already mentioned in §4.1, \( \eta : \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Delta_0 \) is faithfully flat. So Proposition 4.3.1(ii) implies that the canonical homomorphism \( G_\Sigma \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_a)_\Sigma \) vanishes on \( \Delta_0 \). By the definition of \( s \) (see §2.10.2), this means that \( s : \Sigma \rightarrow H_\Sigma \) vanishes on \( \Delta_0 \). \( \square \)

4.4. **The “de Rham pullback” of \( G_\Sigma \) and the proof of Proposition 2.7.8.**

4.4.1. **Recollections.** Recall that \( G_{\text{dR}} := \rho_{\text{dR}}^* G_\Sigma \), where \( \rho_{\text{dR}} : \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Sigma \) comes from the element \( p \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p) \). For any \( p \)-nilpotent ring \( A \) we have

\[
G_{\text{dR}}(A) := \{ x \in W(A) \mid 1 + px \in A^x \subset W(A)^x \},
\]

where \( A^x \subset W(A)^x \) is the image of the Teichmüller embedding, and the group operation on \( G_{\text{dR}}(A) \) is given by

\[
(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + px_1x_2.
\]
4.4.2. The homomorphisms $f : G_{dR} \to W_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$ and $f_0 : G_{dR} \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$. The coefficients of the formal series

$$f(x) := p^{-1}\log(1 + px) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-p)^n - 1}{n} x^n.$$  

belong to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and converge to 0. One has

$$f(x_1 + x_2 + px_1 x_2) = f(x_1) + f(x_2).$$

So the series (4.7) defines a group homomorphism $f : G_{dR} \to W_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$. Composing it with the canonical homomorphism $W_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \to (W_1)_{\text{Spf}Z_p} = (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$, we get a homomorphism

$$f_0 : G_{dR} \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}Z_p};$$

equivalently, $f_0(x) = p^{-1}\log(1 + px_0)$, where $x_0$ is the 0-th component of the Witt vector $x$.

By Lemma 4.3.2, $(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}Z_p} = W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$, where $W^{(F)} := \text{Ker}(F : W \to W)$. So Proposition 2.7.8 is equivalent to the following one.

**Proposition 4.4.3.** There exists an isomorphism $G_{dR} \sim \to W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$ whose composition with the canonical homomorphism $W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$ equals (4.8).

Note that the isomorphism in question is unique (to see this, identify $W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$ with $(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$).

In §4.4.5 we will deduce Proposition 4.4.3 from the following lemma, which will be proved in §4.5.

**Lemma 4.4.4.** The homomorphism $f : G_{dR} \to W_{\text{Spf}Z_p}$ from §4.4.2 induces an isomorphism

$$G_{dR} \sim \to W^{F=p}_{\text{Spf}Z_p},$$

where $W^{F=p}_{\text{Spf}Z_p} := \{ y \in W_{\text{Spf}Z_p} | Fy = py \}$.

The inverse isomorphism is given by $y \mapsto g(y)$, where $g$ is the formal power series

$$g(y) := \exp(py) - 1 \equiv P^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{n-1}}{n!} y^n.$$  

Note that if $A$ is a $p$-nilpotent ring and $y \in W(A)$ satisfies $Fy = py$ then $y$ is topologically nilpotent, so $h(y)$ makes sense for any formal power series $h$ over $Z_p$. In particular, this is true for the power series (4.10) (even though in the case $p = 2$ its coefficients do not converge to 0).

**4.4.5. Deducing Proposition 4.4.3 from Lemma 4.4.4.** The equation $Fy = py$ from (4.9) can be rewritten as $F(y - Vy) = 0$. The operator $id - V$ is invertible because $V$ is topologically nilpotent. So we get an isomorphism

$$id - V : W^{F=p}_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \sim \to W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf}Z_p}.$$  

Composing it with (4.9), we get an isomorphism

$$G_{dR} \sim \to W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf}Z_p},$$

which has the required property. □
4.4.6. **Warning about the base change of (4.12) to Spec \( \mathbb{F}_p \).** In \( W(\mathbb{F}_p) \) we have \( p = V(1) \). So by Proposition 4.3.1(i), the base change of \( G_{\text{dr}} \) to \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \) identifies with \( W_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p}^{(F)} \). The group scheme \( W_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p}^{F=p} := \{ y \in W_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p} | Fy = py \} \) also equals \( W_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p}^{(F)} \): indeed, if \( A \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-algebra and \( y \in W(A) \) then

\[
Fy = py \Leftrightarrow (id - V)Fy = 0 \Leftrightarrow Fy = 0.
\]

We claim that the base change to \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \) of the isomorphism (4.9) equals the identity (so the base change to \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \) of (4.12) is \( id - V \neq id \)). This follows from the next

**Lemma 4.4.7.** Let \( A \) be an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-algebra and \( x \in W^{(F)}(A) \). Then \( px = x^p = 0 \).

**Proof.** We have \( px = FVx = VFx = 0 \). Write \( x = [x_0] + Vy \), where \( x_0 \) is the 0-th coordinate of the Witt vector \( x \). Then \( x_0^p = 0 \) and \( Fy = 0 \) (because in characteristic \( p \) the Witt vector Frobenius equals the usual one). So \( py = VFy = 0 \) and \( (Vy)^2 = V(py^2) = 0 \). Therefore \( x^p = 0 \). \( \square \)

4.5. **Proof of Lemma 4.4.4.**

4.5.1. By Corollary 2.7.2, \( G_{\text{dr}} \) is flat over \( \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \). By (4.11) and Lemma 4.3.2, \( W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}^{F=p} \) is also flat over \( \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \).

4.5.2. Let us prove that the homomorphism \( f : G_{\text{dr}} \to W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \) from Lemma 4.4.4 factors through \( W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}^{F=p} \). Since \( f \circ F = F \circ f \), it suffices to show that for \( x \in G_{\text{dr}}(A) \) one has

\[
Fx = h(x),
\]

where \( h : G_{\text{dr}} \to G_{\text{dr}} \) is raising to the power of \( p \) in the sense of the operation (4.6); explicitly,

\[
h(x) = \frac{(1 + px)^p - 1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ i \\ \end{pmatrix} p^{i-1} x^i.
\]

Since \( 1 + px \in A^\times \subset W(A)^\times \) we have \( F(1 + px) = (1 + px)^p \), so

\[
p(Fx - h(x)) = 0.
\]

But the coordinate ring \( B \) of \( G_{\text{dr}} \) is flat over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) (see §4.5.1), so \( W(B) \) is also flat over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). The elements \( Fx - h(x) \) for all \( p \)-nilpotent rings \( A \) and all \( x \in G_{\text{dr}}(A) \) define an element \( u \in W(B) \), and by (4.14) we have \( pu = 0 \). So \( u = 0 \), which proves (4.13).

4.5.3. The formal series (4.10) defines a homomorphism \( g : W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}^{F=p} \to G'_{\text{dr}} := \rho_{\text{dr}}^* G'_{\Sigma} \), where \( G'_{\Sigma} \) is as in §2.5. Let us prove that this homomorphism factors through \( G_{\text{dr}} \subset G'_{\text{dr}} \). The problem is to show that for any \( p \)-nilpotent ring \( A \) and any \( x \in W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}^{F=p}(A) \) the Witt vector \( 1 + pg(x) \) is Teichmüller. It is clear that

\[
F(1 + pg(x)) = (1 + pg(x))^p.
\]

But the coordinate ring \( C \) of \( W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}^{F=p} \) is flat over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) (see §4.5.1), so an element \( u \in W(C) \) such that \( Fu = u^p \) has to be Teichmüller.

4.5.4. By §4.5.1, \( G_{\text{dr}} \) and \( W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}^{F=p} \) are flat over \( \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \). The morphism \( f : G_{\text{dr}} \to W_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}^{F=p} \) becomes an isomorphism after base change to \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \), see §4.4.6. So \( f \) itself is an isomorphism. Finally, it is easy to see that \( f \circ g = id \). \( \square \)
4.5.5. Remark. In §4.5.2-4.5.3 we used a flatness argument. Instead, one could use the canonical $\delta$-ring structure on $W(A)$.

4.6. Remarks related to §4.4. Let $(W^\times)^{(F)} := \text{Ker}(F : W^\times \to W^\times)$. In §4.6.1 we identify the group scheme $G_{\text{dR}}$ from §4.4 with $((W^\times)^{(F)}/\mu_p)_{\text{Spf } Z_p}$. This allows us to think of (4.12) as an isomorphism

\[(4.15) \quad ((W^\times)^{(F)}/\mu_p)_{\text{Spf } Z_p} \sim W_{\text{Spf } Z_p}^{(F)}.\]

In §4.6.2 we show that the base change of (4.15) to Spec $\mathbb{F}_p$ is somewhat unexpected. Related to this is Lemma 4.6.6, which says that the restriction of the formal group $H_Q$ to the subscheme $\text{Spf } Z_p[[q - 1]]/(q^p - 1) \subset Q$ is somewhat unusual.

4.6.1. If $w \in (W^\times)^{(F)}(A)$ and $w_0 \in A^\times$ is the 0-th component of the Witt vector $w$ then $[w_0]/w = 1 + Vx$ for a unique $x \in W(A)$; moreover, $x \in G_{\text{dR}}(A)$ because

\[1 + px = F([w_0]/w) = [w_0^p].\]

It is easy to check that one thus gets an isomorphism

\[(4.16) \quad ((W^\times)^{(F)}/\mu_p)_{\text{Spf } Z_p} \sim G_{\text{dR}}.\]

Composing (4.16) and (4.12), one gets an isomorphism (4.15).

On the other hand, one has canonical isomorphisms

\[(W^\times)^{(F)}_{\text{Spf } Z_p} \sim (G_m^\times)_{\text{Spf } Z_p}, \quad W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf } Z_p} \sim (G_a^\times)_{\text{Spf } Z_p},\]

where $G_m^\times$ and $G_a^\times$ are the divided powers versions of $G_m$ and $G_a$ (see [BL] or Lemma 3.2.6 and §3.3.3 of [D3]). So one can think of (4.16) as an isomorphism $(G_m^\times/\mu_p)_{\text{Spf } Z_p} \sim G_{\text{dR}}$, and one can think of (4.15) as an isomorphism

\[(4.17) \quad (G_m^\times/\mu_p)_{\text{Spf } Z_p} \sim (G_a^\times)_{\text{Spf } Z_p}.\]

It is easy to check that the isomorphism (4.17) is equal to the isomorphism

\[\log : (G_m^\times/\mu_p)_{\text{Spf } Z_p} \sim (G_a^\times)_{\text{Spf } Z_p},\]

from Proposition B.5.6(i) of Appendix B.

4.6.2. Warning. In $W_p$ one has $VF = FV$. Using this, it is easy to check that the map $W_p \to W_p^\times$ defined by $x \mapsto 1 + Vx$ induces an isomorphism

\[f_{\text{naive}} : W_p^{(F)} \sim ((W^\times)^{(F)}/\mu_p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}.\]

On the other hand, let $f : W_p^{(F)} \sim ((W^\times)^{(F)}/\mu_p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the base change of the inverse of (4.15) to Spec $\mathbb{F}_p$. It turns out that $f \neq f_{\text{naive}}$; more precisely, using §4.4.6 one gets

\[(4.18) \quad f(x) = f_{\text{naive}}(Vx - x).\]

The remaining part of §4.6 is closely related to formula (4.18).
4.6.3. Notation. Let

\[ T := \text{Spf } B, \quad \text{where } B := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \mid x \equiv y \mod p \}. \]

The element \((p, V(1)) \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times W(\mathbb{Z}_p) = W(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p)\) belongs to \(W(B)\). It defines a morphism \(T \to W_{\text{prim}}\) and therefore a morphism \(T \to \Sigma\). Let \(G_T\) and \(H_T\) be the pullbacks of \(G_\Sigma\) and \(H_T\) to \(T\) (so \(H_T\) is a formal group over \(T\), and \(G_T\) is the Cartier dual affine group scheme over \(T\)).

Lemma 4.6.4. (i) The pullback of \(G_T\) (resp. \(H_T\)) via each of the two closed immersions \(i_1, i_2 : \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow T\) is isomorphic to \(W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}\) (resp. to \((\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}\)).

(ii) \(G_T\) is not isomorphic to \(W_T^{(F)}\), and \(H_T\) is not isomorphic to \((\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_T\).

Proof. We have the isomorphisms \(i_1^* G_T \sim W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}\) and \(i_2^* G_T \sim W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}\) given by (4.12) and (4.4). Their pullbacks to \(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p = i_1(\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p) \cap i_2(\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p)\) are different: by §4.4.6, they differ by \(\text{id} - V \in \text{Aut } W^{(F)}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\).

It remains to show that the automorphism \(\text{id} - V \in \text{Aut } W^{(F)}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\) is not in the image of \(\text{Aut } W^{(F)}_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p}\). The Cartier duals of \(W^{(F)}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\) and \(\text{id} - V\) are \((\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\mathbb{F}_p}\) and \(\text{id} - \text{Fr} \in \text{Aut } (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\mathbb{F}_p}\). It is clear that \(\text{id} - \text{Fr}\) is not in the image of \(\text{Aut } (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p} = (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)^{\times}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\). \(\square\)

4.6.5. A subscheme \(T' \subset Q\). Let us formulate a variant of Lemma 4.6.4. As usual, let \(Q\) be the \(q\)-de Rham prism, i.e., \(Q := \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]\). Let \(T' \subset Q\) be defined by the equation \(q^p = 1\). Let \(T\) be as in §4.6.3. We have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T' & \longrightarrow & Q \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T & \longrightarrow & \Sigma
\end{array}
\]

in which the morphism \(Q \to \Sigma\) is as in §2.10.1 and the morphism \(T' \to T\) comes from the ring homomorphism

\[ B \to \mathbb{Z}_p[q]/(q^p - 1), \quad (x, y) \mapsto y + \frac{x - y}{p} \cdot (1 + q + \ldots + q^{p-1}), \]

where \(B\) is as in §4.6.3.

Lemma 4.6.6. As before, let \(T' \subset Q\) be defined by the equation \(q^p = 1\). Let \(T_1' \subset T'\) (resp. \(T_2' \subset T'\)) be defined by the equation \(q = 1\) (resp. by \(1 + q + \ldots + q^{p-1} = 0\)). Let \(H_{T'}, H_{T_1'}, H_{T_2'}\) be the pullbacks of \(H_Q\) to \(T', T_1', T_2'\). Then

(i) \(H_{T_1'} \simeq (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{T_1'}\) and \(H_{T_2'} \simeq (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{T_2'}\);

(ii) \(H_{T'}\) is not isomorphic to \((\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{T'}\).

Proof. Statement (i) follows from Lemma 4.6.4(i). Statement (ii) is proved similarly to Lemma 4.6.4(ii). \(\square\)

4.6.7. Remark. In connection with Lemma 4.6.6, let us note that \(H_Q\) has a very explicit description, see (2.23). This description was deduced from Theorem 2.10.4, which will be proved in the next subsection.

4.7. Proof of Theorem 2.10.4.
4.7.1. Recollections. By (2.17), the effective divisor \((\Delta_0)_Q := \Delta_0 \times_{\Sigma} Q \subset Q\) is defined by the equation \(\Phi_p(q) = 0\). Recall that \(D \subset Q\) denotes the divisor \(q = 1\). Since \(q^p - 1 = (q-1)\cdot \Phi_p(q)\), we get
\[
F^{-1}(D) = D + (\Delta_0)_Q.
\]

We have a section \(s_Q : Q \to H_Q\) and a homomorphism \(\sigma^* : H_Q \to (\tilde{G}_m)_Q\). By Lemma 2.10.3(ii), \(\sigma^* \circ s_Q\) is given by \(q^p \in \tilde{G}_m(Q)\), so \(s_Q^{-1}(\text{Ker} \sigma^*)\) is the divisor \(q^p = 1\). By (4.19), we get
\[
(4.20) \quad s_Q^{-1}(\text{Ker} \sigma^*) = D + (\Delta_0)_Q.
\]

**Lemma 4.7.2.** (i) The closed subscheme \(\text{Ker} \sigma^* \subset H_Q\) is equal to the divisor \(H_D + 0_Q\), where \(H_D \subset H_Q\) is the preimage of \(D\) and \(0_Q \subset H_Q\) is the zero section.

(ii) \(s_Q^{-1}(0_Q) = (\Delta_0)_Q\).

**Proof.** By (4.20), \(\text{Ker} \sigma^* \not= H_Q\). Since \(\text{Ker} \sigma^* = (\sigma^*)^{-1}(0_Q)\) and \(0_Q\) is a divisor in \(H_Q\), we see that \(\text{Ker} \sigma^*\) is a divisor in \(H_Q\).

From the definition of \(\sigma\) (see §2.10.2) it is clear that \(\sigma : Q \to G_Q\) vanishes on \(D\). So \(\sigma^* : H_Q \to (\tilde{G}_m)_Q\) vanishes over \(D\). Therefore \(\text{Ker} \sigma^* \geq H_D + 0_Q\). In other words,
\[
\text{Ker} \sigma^* = H_D + 0_Q + \mathcal{D}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{D} \geq 0.
\]
Combining this with (4.20), we see that
\[
s_Q^{-1}(0_Q) + s_Q^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) = (\Delta_0)_Q.
\]
But \(s_Q^{-1}(0_Q) \geq (\Delta_0)_Q\) by Corollary 4.3.6. So \(s_Q^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) = 0\). Therefore \(\mathcal{D} = 0\). \(\square\)

4.7.3. Proof of Theorem 2.10.4. We have to show that \(\sigma^* : H_Q \to (\tilde{G}_m)_Q\) induces an isomorphism \(H_Q \simar (\tilde{G}_m)_Q(-D)\). Choose an isomorphism \(H_Q \simar \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, x]]\) of formal schemes over \(Q\). Then \(\sigma^*\) is given by a formal series \(f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, x]]\) such that
\[
f(x_1 \ast x_2) = f(x_1)f(x_2), \quad \text{where} \quad \ast \text{ is the group operation in } H_Q.
\]
By Lemma 4.7.2(i),
\[
f = 1 + (q - 1)g, \quad \text{where}
\]
\[
g \in x \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, x]]^\times.
\]
Then \(g(x_1 \ast x_2) = g(x_1) + g(x_2) + (q - 1)g(x_1)g(x_2) = g(x_1) \ast g(x_2), \quad \text{where} \quad \ast \text{ is the group operation in } (\tilde{G}_m)_Q(-D). \quad \text{Combining this with} \ (4.21), \text{we see that} \ g \text{ defines an isomorphism of formal groups } H_Q \simar (\tilde{G}_m)_Q(-D). \quad \square\)

4.8. Proof of Theorem 2.9.2. As already mentioned in §2.10.1, the morphism \(\pi : Q \to \Sigma\) is faithfully flat. So to prove Theorem 2.9.2, it suffices to check analogous statements about \(H_Q\). The analog of Theorem 2.9.2(i) has already been proved, see Lemma 4.7.2(ii). It remains to show that the morphism \(\varphi_Q : F^*H_Q \to H_Q\) factors as \(F^*H_Q \simar H_Q(\Delta_0)_Q \to H_S\). This follows from Lemma 2.10.3(i), Theorem 2.10.4 and formula (4.19). \(\square\)

4.8.1. Remark. The interested reader can prove Theorem 2.9.2 without using the \(q\)-de Rham prism. One can deduce it from Proposition 2.9.5 and the description of \(G_n\) given in the proof of Proposition 4.3.1(i). (Proposition 2.9.5 was deduced in §2 from Proposition 2.7.8, and the latter was proved in §4.4.)

4.9. Proof of Proposition 2.11.3.
4.9.1. Recall that $Q = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$, $H_Q = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, z]]$, and the group operation on $H_Q$ is given by $z_1 \ast z_2 = z_1 + z_2 + (q - 1)z_1z_2$. We have a canonical section $s_Q : Q \to H_Q$; as explained in §2.10.6, it is given by $z = \frac{q^n - 1}{q^i - 1}$.

**Lemma 4.9.2.** Let $\mathcal{K} \subset H_Q$ be a closed group subscheme such that $s_Q : Q \to H_Q$ factors through $\mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{K} = H_Q$.

**Proof.** Assume the contrary. Then there exists a nonzero regular function $f$ on $H_Q$ which vanishes on the image of each composite morphism

\[(4.22)\quad Q \xrightarrow{s_Q} H_Q \xrightarrow{n} H_Q, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, z]]$ has the form

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(z)(q - 1)^i, \quad \text{where } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z], \quad a_0 \neq 0.$$  

By §4.9.1, the morphism (4.22) is given by $z = \frac{q^n - 1}{q^i - 1}$. The value of $\frac{q^n - 1}{q^i - 1}$ at $q = 1$ equals $pn$. So $a_0(pn) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which contradicts the assumption $a_0 \neq 0$. □

4.9.3. **Proof of Proposition 2.11.3.** Recall that the formal groups $H_Q$ and $(\hat{G}_m)_Q$ are $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-equivariant (the action of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ on $(\hat{G}_m)_Q$ is as in the formulation of Proposition 2.11.3). So $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ acts on $\text{Hom}(H_Q, (\hat{G}_m)_Q)$. We have an element $\sigma^* \in \text{Hom}(H_Q, (\hat{G}_m)_Q)$, and the problem is to show that $\alpha(\sigma^*) = \sigma^*$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. By Lemma 4.9.2, it suffices to check that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ one has

\[(4.23)\quad s_Q(Q) \subset \mathcal{K}_\alpha, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{K}_\alpha := \text{Ker}(\alpha(\sigma^*) - \sigma^*) \subset H_Q.
\]

The section $s_Q : Q \to H_Q$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-equivariant because it comes from $s : \Sigma \to H_\Sigma$. By Lemma 2.10.3(ii), $\sigma^* \circ s_Q : Q \to (\hat{G}_m)_Q$ is also $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-equivariant. So (4.23) holds. □

5. **Several realizations of the group scheme $G_Q$**

By definition, $G_Q$ is the pullback of $G_\Sigma$ to the $q$-de Rham prism $Q$. This immediately leads to the first realization of $G_Q$ and its coordinate ring, see §5.1-5.2. In §5.3 we note that the coordinate ring of a certain extension of $G_Q$ by $(\mu_p)_Q$ appears in the theory of $q$-logarithm from [ALB, BL].

On the other hand, Theorem 2.10.4 identifies $G_Q$ with the Cartier dual of a very explicit formal group $(\hat{G}_m)_Q(-D)$. This Cartier dual is denoted by $G_d^t$. We explicitly describe $G_d^t$ (see §5.4-5.5) and the isomorphism $G_d^t \sim \xrightarrow{\sim} G_Q$ (see §5.6).

In §5.7 we define group schemes $G_d^t Q$, $G_{d^t}^t$ and isomorphisms between them and $G_d^t$. Unlike $G_d^t$ and similarly to $G_Q$, both $G_{d^t}^t$ and $G_d^t$ are defined in terms of Witt vectors.

Let us note that in §5.5-5.6 a key role is played by the expressions $(1 + (q - 1)z)\frac{1}{p^t}$ and $q^{p^t}$. The closely related $q$-logarithm (in the sense of [ALB, BL]) appears in formula (5.10).

5.1. **Recollections.**

5.1.1. **The formal $\delta$-scheme $Q$.** Let $Q := \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$ is equipped with the $(p, q - 1)$-adic topology. Define $F : Q \to Q$ by $q \mapsto q^p$. Then $(Q, F)$ is a formal $\delta$-scheme.
5.1.2. **Pieces of structure on** $G_Q$. According to the definition from §2.10.2, 

$$G_Q := G_\Sigma \times_\Sigma Q,$$

where $G_\Sigma$ is as in §2.6. $G_Q$ is a formal scheme over $Q$. The morphism $G_Q \to Q$ is schematic and affine; by Corollary 2.7.2, it is flat.

Let us recall the pieces of structure on $G_Q$. Most of them come from similar pieces of structure on $G_\Sigma$ (the only exception is (iii).

(i') $G_Q$ is a formal $\delta$-scheme over the formal $\delta$-scheme $Q$; in other words, $G_Q$ is equipped with a Frobenius lift $F : G_Q \to G_Q$, which is compatible with $F : Q \to Q$.

(ii) One has a canonical map $G_Q \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_Q$, which is a homomorphism of group $\delta$-schemes over $Q$. As usual, $(\mathbb{G}_m)_Q := \mathbb{G}_m \times Q$, and the $\delta$-scheme structure on $\mathbb{G}_m$ is given by raising to the power of $p$.

(iii) In §2.10.2 we defined a canonical section $\sigma : Q \to G_Q$, which is a $\delta$-morphism.

5.1.3. **Who is who.** For any $p$-nilpotent ring $A$ one has 

$$Q(A) = \{ q \in A^x \mid q - 1 \text{ is nilpotent} \},$$

(5.1) 

$$G_Q(A) = \{ (q, x) \in Q(A) \times W(A) \mid 1 + \Phi_p([q])x \in \mathbb{G}_m(A) \},$$

where $\Phi_p$ is the cyclotomic polynomial and $\mathbb{G}_m$ is identified with a subgroup of $W^\times$ via the Teichmüller embedding. The morphism $F : G_Q \to G_Q$ is given by 

$$F(q, x) = (q^p, Fx).$$

The group operation on $G_Q$ and the homomorphism $G_Q \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_Q$ are given by the maps

$$G_Q \times_Q G_Q \to G_Q, \quad (q, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (q, x_1 + x_2 + \Phi_p([q])x_1x_2),$$

$$G_Q \to \mathbb{G}_m, \quad (q, x) \mapsto 1 + \Phi_p([q])x.$$

The section $\sigma : Q \to G_Q$ is given by

(5.2) 

$$\sigma(q) := (q, [q] - 1).$$

5.2. **The coordinate ring of** $G_Q$. The coordinate ring $H^0(G_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G_Q})$ is a $(p, q - 1)$-adically complete $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$-algebra. Since $G_Q$ is flat over $Q$, for any open ideal $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$ the tensor product $H^0(G_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G_Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]])/I$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]/I$. In particular, $H^0(G_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G_Q})$ is $p$-torsion-free, so $F : G_Q \to G_Q$ induces on $H^0(G_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G_Q})$ a $\delta$-ring structure in the sense of [J85] and [BS, §2]. Let us describe $H^0(G_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G_Q})$ as a $\delta$-algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$ is considered as a $\delta$-ring with $\delta(q) = 0$.

**Proposition 5.2.1.** Let $R_0$ be the $\delta$-algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_p[q]$ with a single generator $x_0$ and a single defining relation $\delta(1 + \Phi_p(q)x_0) = 0$. Let $R$ be the $(p, q - 1)$-adic completion of $R_0$. Then there is a unique isomorphism $R_0 \to H^0(G_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G_Q})$ of $\delta$-algebras over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]$ such that $x_0 \in R$ goes to the following function on $G_Q$: the value of the function on a pair $(q, x)$ as in (5.1) is the $0$-th component of the Witt vector $x$. 
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\textit{Proof.} Let \( Y \) be the affine scheme over \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \) such that for any \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \)-algebra \( A \) one has
\[
Y(A) = \{ x \in W(A) \mid 1 + \Phi_p([q])x \in \tau(A) \},
\]
where \( \tau : A \to W(A) \) is the Teichmüller embedding. Then \( G_Q \) is the \((p,q-1)\)-adic formal completion of \( Y \).

Let us construct an isomorphism \( R_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \). By definition, \( Y \) is a closed subscheme of \( W_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} := W \times \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q] \). By §C.3.7 of Appendix C, the coordinate ring of \( W_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \) is a free \( \delta \)-algebra over \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \) on a single generator \( x_0 \), where \( x_0 \) is the function that takes a Witt vector to its 0-th component. Since the Teichmüller embedding \( \mathbb{A}^1 \to W \) is a \( \delta \)-morphism, we see that the ideal of \( Y \) in \( W_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \) is generated by \( \delta^n(1 + \Phi_p(q)x_0), \ n > 0 \). So \( H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = R_0 \). \( \square \)

### 5.3. \( G_Q \) and the \( q \)-logarithm in the sense of [ALB, BL].

This subsection is a commentary on the notion of \( q \)-logarithm\(^{12} \) from [ALB, §4] and [BL, §2.6]; the main point is that the \( q \)-logarithm is the unique group homomorphism \( G_Q \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_Q \) with a certain property (see the last sentence of §5.3.2). This material will be used in formula (5.16) and nowhere else.

#### 5.3.1. An extension of \( G_Q \) by \( (\mu_p)_Q \).

The definition of \( q \)-logarithm given in [BL, §2.6] following [ALB, §4] secretly uses the coordinate ring of a slight modification of \( G_Q \). Namely, for any \( p \)-nilpotent ring \( A \) let
\[
(5.3) \quad \tilde{G}_Q(A) := \{ (q, x, u) \in Q(A) \times W(A) \times A^\times \mid 1 + \Phi_p([q])x = [u^p] \}
\]
(so \( \tilde{G}_Q \) is an extension of \( G_Q \) by \( (\mu_p)_Q \)). The \( \delta \)-ring constructed in [BL, Prop. 2.6.5] is just the coordinate ring of \( \tilde{G}_Q \); this easily follows from Proposition 5.2.1.

We have a section
\[
(5.4) \quad \tilde{\sigma} : Q \to \tilde{G}_Q, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(q) := (q, [q] - 1, q),
\]
which lifts the section \( \sigma : Q \to G_Q \) defined by (5.2).

#### 5.3.2. The \( q \)-logarithm.

On \( \tilde{G}_Q \) we have an invertible regular function \( u \), see formula (5.3); note that \( u^p \) is a regular function on \( G_Q \) (unlike \( u \)). The authors of [ALB, BL] define another regular function on \( \tilde{G}_Q \) denoted by \( \log_q(u) \) and called the \( q \)-logarithm\(^{13} \) of \( u \). As explained below, \( \log_q(u) \) is, in fact, a regular function on \( G_Q \) itself.

Very informally, \( \log_q(u) = \frac{u-1}{\log q} \cdot \log u \) (so \( \log_q(u) \) is \( q-1 \) times the logarithm of \( u \) with base \( q \)). From this informal description we see that \( \log_q(u_1u_2) = \log_q(u_1) + \log_q(u_2) \) and \( \log_q(q) = q - 1 \).

The precise definition of \( \log_q(u) \) from [ALB, BL] can be paraphrased as follows: \( \log_q(u) \) is the unique group homomorphism \( \tilde{G}_Q \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_Q \) that takes the section (5.4) to the section \( q - 1 : Q \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_Q \) (the existence and uniqueness of such a homomorphism is proved in [ALB, §4]; see also [BL, Prop. 2.6.9]).

Note that the group \( \text{Ker}(\tilde{G}_Q \to G_Q) = (\mu_p)_Q \) is killed by \( \log_q(u) \) because
\[
\text{Hom}((\mu_p)_Q, (\mathbb{G}_a)_Q) = 0.
\]

\(^{12}\)As explained in [BL, Prop. 2.6.10], the \( q \)-logarithm is closely related to the prismatic logarithm (i.e., to the homomorphism \( G_S \to \mathcal{O}_S(1) \)) from our Corollary 2.7.11. We do not discuss this relation here.

\(^{13}\)Warning: in the literature the word “\( q \)-logarithm” is used for many quite different functions, see the article [KVA], especially its last section.
So \( \log_q(u) \) is a group homomorphism \( G_Q \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_Q \); it is the unique homomorphism that takes the section \( \sigma : Q \to \hat{G}_Q \) from (5.2) to the section \( q - 1 : Q \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_Q \).

5.4. The group scheme \( G'_Q \).

5.4.1. Definition of \( H'_Q \) and \( G'_Q \). Let \( D \subset Q \) be the effective divisor \( q = 1 \). Let

\[
H'_Q := (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q(-D),
\]

i.e., \( H'_Q \) is the formal group over \( Q \) obtained from \( (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q \) by rescaling via the invertible subsheaf \( \mathcal{O}_Q(-D) \subset \mathcal{O}_Q \), see §3.4.

Now define \( G'_Q \) to be the Cartier dual of \( H'_Q \). Then \( G'_Q \) is a flat affine group scheme over \( Q \).

Theorem 2.10.4 yields canonical isomorphisms \( H_Q \sim H'_Q \), \( G'_Q \sim G_Q \). But we will disregard these isomorphisms until §5.6.

5.4.2. \( H'_Q \) in explicit terms. As a formal scheme, \( H'_Q = \text{Spf} \, \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1, z]] \), and the group operation is

\[
(5.5) \quad z_1 * z_2 = \frac{(1 + (q - 1)z_1)(1 + (q - 1)z_2) - 1}{q - 1} = z_1 + z_2 + (q - 1)z_1z_2.
\]

Let \( H^i \) be the formal group over \( \mathbb{A}^i = \text{Spec} \, \mathbb{Z}[q] \) defined by the group law (5.5); then \( H^i = H^i \times \mathbb{A}^i \), \( Q \).

5.4.3. Pieces of structure on \( H'_Q \). \( H'_Q \) is a formal group over \( Q \) equipped with a homomorphism

\[
(5.6) \quad H'_Q \to (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q.
\]

In terms of the coordinate \( z \) from §5.4.2, it is given by the function \( 1 + (q - 1)z \).

Since \( F^{-1}(D) \supset D \), there is a unique homomorphism \( \varphi_Q : F^*H'_Q \to H'_Q \) such that the diagram

\[
(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
F^*H'_Q & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Q} & H'_Q \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F^*(\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q & \sim & (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q
\end{array}
\]

commutes; here the lower horizontal arrow comes from the fact that \( (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q := \mathbb{G}_m \times Q \). Over \( Q \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \), the upper horizontal arrow of (5.7) becomes the Verschiebung (because the lower horizontal arrow does).

Moreover, the map \( Q \to \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m, q \mapsto q^p \), defines a section \( Q \to (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)_Q \), which comes from a section

\[
(5.8) \quad s_Q : Q \to H'_Q.
\]

In terms of §5.4.2, \( s_Q \) is given by \( z = \Phi_p(q) \).
The following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^*H_Q^1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Q} & H_Q^1 \\
F^*(s_Q) \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
Q & \xrightarrow{s_Q} & H_Q^1
\end{array}
\]

Note that (5.6) and \(\varphi_Q : F^*H_Q^1 \to H_Q^1\) come from similar pieces of structure on the formal group \(H^1\) from §5.4.2; on the other hand, (5.8) does not have an analog for \(H^1\).

5.4.4. Pieces of structure on \(G_Q^1\). Dualizing §5.4.3, we get the following pieces of structure on \(G_Q^1\), which are parallel to those from §5.1.2.

(i) The homomorphism \(\varphi_Q : F^*H_Q^1 \to H_Q^1\) yields a map \(F : G_Q^1 \to G_Q^1\), which makes \(G_Q^1\) into a group \(\delta\)-scheme over \(Q\).

(ii) The section (5.8) yields a canonical map \(G_Q^1 \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_Q\), which is a homomorphism of group \(\delta\)-schemes over \(Q\).

(iii) The homomorphism (5.6) yields a canonical section \(\sigma^1 : Q \to G_Q^1\), which is a \(\delta\)-morphism.

An explicit description of \(G_Q^1\) (together with the above pieces of structure on it) will be given in Proposition 5.5.2.

5.4.5. The group scheme \(G^1\). Let \(G^1\) be the Cartier dual of the formal group \(H^1\) from §5.4.2. Then \(G_Q^1 = G^1 \times_{H^1} Q\).

The pieces of structure from §5.4.4(i,iii) are pullbacks of similar pieces of structure on \(G^1\). On the other hand, the piece of structure from §5.4.4(ii) does not have an analog for \(G^1\).

The affine group scheme \(G^1\) and its coordinate ring are described in Appendix D. We will use these results below.

5.5. Explicit description of \(G_Q^1\).

5.5.1. The ring \(B\). Let \(B_0\) be the Hopf algebra over \(\mathbb{Z}[h]\) from Proposition D.2.2 (see also §D.3.6 for a description of \(B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\)). Let \(B\) be the \((p, h)\)-adic completion of \(B_0\). Then \(B\) is a topological Hopf algebra over \(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]\), where \(q = 1 + h\). Elements of \(B\) are infinite sums

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot \frac{t(t-q+1)\ldots(t-(n-1)(q-1))}{n!}, \quad \text{where } a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]], \ a_n \to 0.
\]

An element (5.9) is in \(B_0\) if and only if \(a_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]\) for all \(n\) and \(a_n = 0\) for \(n \gg 0\). Note that \(B\) is torsion-free as a \(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q - 1]]\)-module.

Proposition 5.5.2. (a) The group scheme \(G_Q^1\) identifies with \(\text{Spf } B\) so that in terms of this identification the pairing \(G_Q^1 \times H_Q^1 \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_Q\) is given by the formal series

\[
(1 + (q - 1)z)^{\varpi^1} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t(t-q+1)\ldots(t-(n-1)(q-1))}{n!} \cdot z^n \in B[[z]]^\times,
\]

where \(z\) is the coordinate on \(H_Q^1\) from §5.4.2.

(a') The regular function on \(G_Q^1\) corresponding to \(t \in B\) defines a group homomorphism

\[
G_Q^1 \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_Q.
\]
The homomorphism \( \phi : B \to B \) corresponding to the morphism \( F : G^t_{\mathbb{Q}} \to G^t_{\mathbb{Q}} \) from §5.4.4(i) is given by
\[
\phi(q) = q^p, \quad \phi(t) = \Phi_p(q)t.
\]
Moreover, \( \phi \) makes \( B \) into a \( \delta \)-ring.

The homomorphism \( G^t_{\mathbb{Q}} \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) from §5.4.4(ii) is given by the element
\[
\qquad q^\frac{mt}{n!} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t(t+1)\ldots(t-(n-1)(q-1))}{n!} \cdot \Phi_p(q)^n \in B^x,
\]
which is obtained from (5.10) by setting \( z = \Phi_p(q) \) (the sum converges because \( \Phi_p(1) = p \)).

One has
\[
q^\frac{mt}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n, \quad \text{where } \alpha_n := \frac{pt(pt+1)\ldots(pt-(n-1)(q-1))}{n!},
\]
more precisely, \( \alpha_n \in B_0 \) and the series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \) converges in \( B \) to the element \( q^\frac{mt}{n!} \) defined by (5.12).

The section \( \sigma^t : Q \to G^t_{\mathbb{Q}} \) from §5.4.4(iii) corresponds to the algebra homomorphism
\( B \to \mathbb{Z}_p[[q-1]] \) such that \( t \mapsto q-1 \).

The “true meaning” of the homomorphism (5.11) will be explained later, see formula (5.16).

**Proof.** Statement (a) follows from Proposition D.2.2 and formula (D.4).

Statement (a') is clear from §D.2 or Proposition D.2.2(iii). It also follows from (5.10) combined with the formula
\[
(1 + (q-1)z)\frac{t+1}{n} = (1 + (q-1)z)\frac{t}{n} \cdot (1 + (q-1)z)\frac{1}{n-1}.
\]

By Lemma D.3.5(ii), \( F : G^t_{\mathbb{Q}} \to G^t_{\mathbb{Q}} \) is the base change of the morphism \( \Psi_p : G^t \to G^t \) from §D.3.4, so \( \phi : B \to B \) is the base change of the homomorphism \( \psi^p : B_0 \to B_0 \) from Lemma D.3.3. Since \( B \) is \( p \)-torsion-free, \( \phi \) makes \( B \) into a \( \delta \)-ring. This proves (b).

Statement (c) is clear because the homomorphism \( G^t_{\mathbb{Q}} \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) comes from the section (5.8), which is given by \( z = \Phi_p(q) \).

To prove (d), recall that \( \sigma^t \) comes from the homomorphism (5.6), which is given by the function \( 1 + (q-1)z \); this function is the result of substituting \( t = q-1 \) into (5.10).

Let us prove (c'). By Lemma D.2.3, \( \alpha_n \in B_0 \) and in the ring \( B_0[[z]] \) one has
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n = (1 + (q-1)vz)\frac{1}{t} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n v^n z^n,
\]
where \( \beta_n := \frac{t(t+1)\ldots(t-(n-1)(q-1))}{n!} \) and
\[
v = v(q,z) := \frac{(1 + (q-1)z)^p-1}{(q-1)z} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \binom{p}{i} (q-1)^{i-1} z^{i-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[q,z].
\]

Note that \( v \in I[z] \), where \( I \subset \mathbb{Z}[q] \) is the ideal \( (p,q-1) \). So the r.h.s of (5.13) belongs to the subring \( \lim_{m} (B/I^m B)[z] \subset B[[z]] \). Therefore we can set \( z = 1 \) and get
\[
\sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n = \sum_{n\geq 0} \beta_n \cdot v(q,1)^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} \beta_n \cdot \Phi_p(q)^n;
\]

\[32\]
in other words, \( \sum_n \alpha_n \) equals the r.h.s of (5.12). \( \square \)

5.6. **The isomorphism** \( G'_Q \sim \rightarrow G_Q \) **in explicit terms.**

5.6.1. **The isomorphisms** \( H_Q \sim \rightarrow H'_Q \) and \( G'_Q \sim \rightarrow G_Q \). Theorem 2.10.4 yields a canonical isomorphism \( H_Q \sim \rightarrow H'_Q \). It is compatible with the pieces of structure on \( H_Q \) and \( H'_Q \) introduced in §2.10.2 and §5.4.3. So the Cartier dual isomorphism \( G'_Q \sim \rightarrow G_Q \) transforms the pieces of structure on \( G'_Q \) from §5.4.4 into the corresponding pieces of structure on \( G_Q \) (see §5.1.2-5.1.3).

5.6.2. **The isomorphism between the coordinate rings of** \( G'_Q \) and \( G_Q \). Recall that \( G_Q = \text{Spf} R \), \( G'_Q = \text{Spf} B \), where \( R := \hat{R}_0 \) and \( B := \hat{B}_0 \) are the \((p, q - 1)\)-adic completions of the \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \)-algebras \( R_0 \) and \( B_0 \) from Propositions 5.2.1 and D.2.2. So the canonical isomorphism \( G'_Q \sim \rightarrow G_Q \) induces an isomorphism \( R \sim \rightarrow B \); using it, we identify \( R \) and \( B \). Then the element \( x_0 \in R_0 \) from Proposition 5.2.1 and the element \( t \in B_0 \) from §5.5.1 live in the same ring \( R = B \). Let us discuss the relation between them.

By Proposition 5.5.2(c), we have
\[
(5.14) \quad 1 + \Phi_p(q)x_0 = q^{\frac{pt}{p-1}},
\]
\[
(5.15) \quad x_0 = \frac{q^{\frac{pt}{p-1}} - 1}{\Phi_p(q)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t(t - q + 1) \ldots (t - (n - 1)(q - 1))}{n!} \cdot \Phi_p(q)^{n-1}.
\]

We claim that in terms of the \( q \)-logarithm (see §5.3.2) one has
\[
(5.16) \quad t = \log_q(u), \quad \text{where } u^p = 1 + \Phi_p(q)x_0,
\]
which implies that \( pt = \log_q(1 + \Phi_p(q)x_0) \). This follows from Proposition 5.5.2(a',d) and the definition of \( \log_q(u) \) at the end of §5.3.2.

5.6.3. **Remark.** Using (5.15), it is easy to show that \( R_0 \) and \( B_0 \) are different as subrings of \( R = B \); moreover, \( R_0/(q - 1)R_0 \) and \( B_0/(q - 1)B_0 \) are different as subrings of the ring \( R/(q - 1)R = B/(q - 1)B \).

5.6.4. **Plan of what follows.** By Proposition 5.5.2, \( G'_Q = \text{Spf} B \). By (5.1), the isomorphism
\[
(5.17) \quad \text{Spf } B = G'_Q \sim \rightarrow G_Q
\]
is given by an element \( x \in W(B) \) such that \( 1 + \Phi_p([q])x \in B^\times \), where \( B^\times \subset W(B)^\times \) is the subgroup of Teichmüller elements. In Proposition 5.6.6 we will write a formula for \( x \).

5.6.5. **The homomorphism** \( \psi : B \rightarrow W(B) \). According to A. Joyal [J85], the forgetful functor from the category of \( \delta \)-rings to that of rings has a right adjoint, which is nothing but the functor \( W \). Our \( B \) is a \( \delta \)-ring, so the unit of Joyal’s adjunction yields a homomorphism of \( \delta \)-rings \( \psi : B \rightarrow W(B) \). It is the unique homomorphism of \( \delta \)-rings \( B \rightarrow W(B) \) whose composition with the canonical epimorphism \( W(B) \rightarrow W_1(B) = B \) equals \( \text{id}_B \). For any \( b \in B \) the \( n \)-th Buium-Joyal component (see §C.3.7) of the Witt vector \( \psi(b) \) equals \( \delta^n(b) \).
Proposition 5.6.6. One has

\[ x = \psi \left( \frac{q^\frac{mp}{p-1} - 1}{\Phi_p(q)} \right), \]

\[ 1 + \Phi_p([q])x = [q^\frac{mp}{p-1}], \]

where \( \psi : B \to W(B) \) is as in §5.6.5 and \( q^\frac{mp}{p-1} \in B \) is defined by (5.12) (so \( q^\frac{mp}{p-1} - 1 \) is divisible by \( \Phi_p(q) \)).

Proof. By §5.6.1, the morphism \( \text{Spf} B = G^t_Q \to G_Q \) is a \( \delta \)-morphism. So \( x : \text{Spf} B \to W \) is a \( \delta \)-morphism. Therefore the corresponding map \( H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \to B \) is a \( \delta \)-homomorphism. So the description of \( H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \) from §C.3.7 shows that \( x = \psi(x_0) \), where \( x_0 \) is the 0-th component of the Witt vector \( x \). Combining this with (5.15), we get (5.18).

Formula (5.19) follows from (5.14) because \( 1 + \Phi_p([q])x \) is a Teichmüller element. \( \square \)

5.7. The group schemes \( G^t_Q \) and \( G^n_Q \). Using Witt vectors, we will define group \( \delta \)-schemes \( G^t_Q \) and \( G^n_Q \) over \( Q \); each of them is canonically isomorphic to \( G^t_Q \) and therefore to \( G_Q \). The author is not sure that \( G^t_Q \) is really useful; this explains the question mark in the notation.

5.7.1. Definition of \( G^t_Q \). For any \( p \)-nilpotent ring \( A \) let

\[ G^t_Q(A) = \{(q, y) \in Q(A) \times W(A) \mid Fy = [q - 1]^{p-1} \cdot y \}. \]

Then \( G^t_Q \subset W_Q \) is a group subscheme. The map

\[ G^t_Q \to G^t_Q, \quad (q, y) \mapsto (q^p, [\Phi_p(q)] \cdot y) \]

makes \( G^t_Q \) into a group \( \delta \)-scheme over the formal \( \delta \)-scheme \( Q \).

Proposition 5.7.2. One has a canonical isomorphism

\[ G^t_Q \iso G^t_Q; \]

of group \( \delta \)-schemes over \( Q \); it is induced by the map (D.20).

Proof. Follows from Proposition D.4.10 and (D.17). \( \square \)

5.7.3. Definition of \( G^n_Q \). For any \( p \)-nilpotent ring \( A \) let

\[ G^n_Q(A) = \{(q, y) \in Q(A) \times W(A) \mid Fy = \Phi_p([q]) \cdot y \}. \]

Then \( G^n_Q \subset W_Q \) is a group subscheme. Moreover, \( G^n_Q \) is a \( \delta \)-subscheme if \( W_Q = W \times Q \) is equipped with the product of the standard \( \delta \)-structures on \( W \) an \( Q \).

5.7.4. The isomorphism \( G^t_Q \iso G^t_Q \). Let \( t \in H^0(G^n_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G^n_Q}) \) be the function that takes \( (q, y) \in G^t_Q(A) \) to the 0-th component of the Witt vector \( y \). Similarly to the proof of Proposition 5.2.1, one shows that \( H^0(G^n_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G^n_Q}) \) is the \((p, q-1)\)-adic completion of the \( \delta \)-algebra over \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \) with a single generator \( t \) and a single relation

\[ t^p + p\delta(t) = \Phi_p(q) \cdot t. \]

Combining this with §D.3.6 and Proposition 5.5.2(a,b), we get an isomorphism of \( \delta \)-rings \( H^0(G^n_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G^n_Q}) \iso H^0(G^n_Q, \mathcal{O}_{G^n_Q}) \). The corresponding isomorphism \( G^t_Q \iso G^n_Q \) is a group isomorphism by Proposition 5.5.2(a').
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5.7.5. Remark. Combining Proposition 5.7.2 and §5.7.4 with the isomorphism $G_Q^I \sim G_Q$ from §5.6, we get canonical isomorphisms between the group $\delta$-schemes $G_Q, G_Q^\delta$, and $G_Q^{\prime\prime}$. These group $\delta$-schemes are defined in terms of $W$, but I do not know an explicit description of these isomorphisms in terms of the standard Witt vector formalism. However, after the “de Rham” specialization $q = 1$ the isomorphisms in question specialize to the explicit isomorphisms from §4.4 (note that $G_Q, G_Q^\delta$, and $G_Q^{\prime\prime}$ specialize to $G_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{Spf} Z_p}$, and $W_{\text{Spf} Z_p}^{F=p}$, respectively).

APPENDIX A. ON THE PRISMATIC COHOMOLOGY OF $((\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})_{\text{Spf} Z_p}$

A.1. The Result. Let $\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta$ be the prismaticization of $(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})_{\text{Spf} Z_p} = (\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{Spf} Z_p}$. The projection $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{Spf} Z_p} \to \text{Spf} Z_p$ induces a morphism $\pi : \mathbb{G}_m^\Delta \to (\text{Spf} Z_p)^\Delta = \Sigma$. The goal of this Appendix is to compute the higher derived images $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta}$ using some results of §2. Here is the answer; it is almost contained\(^{14}\) in [BS, §16].

**Theorem A.1.1.** (i) $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta} = 0$ if $i \neq 0, 1$.

(ii) $R^0 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta} = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$.

(iii) $R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta} = \bigoplus_{M_0 := \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \{1\}} M_n$, where $M_0 := \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \{1\}$ and if $n \neq 0$ and $m$ is the biggest number such that $p^m | n$ then $M_n := \mathcal{O}_\Sigma (\Delta_0 + \ldots + \Delta_m) / \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. Here $\Delta_0 \subset \Sigma$ is the Hodge-Tate divisor and $\Delta_i := (F^i)^{-1}(\Delta_0)$.

A.2. Proof of Theorem A.1.1. By Corollary 2.7.3, $\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta = \text{Cone}(G_\Sigma \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_\Sigma)$. Thus

$$R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta} = H^i(G_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \otimes A),$$

where $A$ is the regular representation of $\mathbb{G}_m$ (so $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma \otimes A$ is a $(\mathbb{G}_m)_\Sigma$-module and therefore a $G_\Sigma$-module). Equivalently,

$$R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(G_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \otimes \chi_n),$$

where $\chi_n$ is the 1-dimensional $\mathbb{G}_m$-module corresponding to the character $z \mapsto z^n$.

By Theorem 2.7.5, $G_\Sigma$ is the Cartier dual of a 1-dimensional formal group $H_\Sigma$. Let $s : \Sigma \to H_\Sigma$ be the section corresponding to the canonical homomorphism $G_\Sigma \to (\mathbb{G}_m)_\Sigma$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $D_n \subset H_\Sigma$ be the image of the composite morphism $\Sigma \to H_\Sigma \to H_\Sigma$; in particular, $D_0 \subset H_\Sigma$ is the image of the zero section. Then

$$H^i(G_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \otimes \chi_n) = R^i \mathcal{O}_{D_n}{0}^1,$$

where $0 : \Sigma \to H_\Sigma$ is the zero section and $\mathcal{O}_{D_0}$ is viewed as an $\mathcal{O}_{H_\Sigma}$-module.

**Lemma A.2.1.** $R^i \mathcal{O}_{D_0} = 0$ for $i \neq 0, 1$, $R^0 \mathcal{O}_{D_0} = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$, and $R^1 \mathcal{O}_{D_0} = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \{1\}$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.7.10, $\text{Lie}(H_\Sigma) = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \{1\}$. \qed

The following lemma is a reformulation of Corollary 2.9.3.

\(^{14}\)In [BS, §16] the pullback of $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m^\Delta}$ to the $q$-de Rham prism $Q$ was computed. Theorem A.1.1 can be easily deduced from this computation.
Lemma A.2.2. Let \( n = p^m n' \), where \((n', p) = 1\). Then the projection \( H_\Sigma \to \Sigma \) induces an isomorphism \( D_0 \cap D_n \sim \Delta_0 + \ldots + \Delta_m \), where \( \Delta_0 \subset \Sigma \) is the Hodge-Tate divisor and \( \Delta_i := (F^i)^{-1}(\Delta_0) \). \( \square \)

Corollary A.2.3. If \( n \neq 0 \) then \( R^i\mathcal{O}_{D_n} = 0 \) for \( i \neq 1 \) and \( R^1\mathcal{O}_{D_n} = \mathcal{M}_n \), where \( \mathcal{M}_n \) is as in Theorem A.1.1(iii). \( \square \)

Combining Lemma A.2.1, Corollary A.2.3, and (A.1)-(A.2), we get Theorem A.1.1.

APPENDIX B. The Cartier dual of the divided powers version of \( \mathbb{G}_m \)

B.1. Plan. As usual, let \( \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] \) be the multiplicative group over \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( \mathbb{M}_m \) denote the scheme \( A^1 = \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[x] \) viewed as a multiplicative monoid over \( \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \). Let \( \mathbb{G}^\sharp_m \) (resp. \( \mathbb{M}^\sharp_m \)) be the PD hull of the unit in \( \mathbb{G}_m \) (resp. in \( \mathbb{M}_m \)); explicitly,

\[
\text{(B.1)} \quad \mathbb{M}^\sharp_m = \text{Spec} A, \quad \mathbb{G}^\sharp_m = \text{Spec} A[1/x], \quad \text{where } A := \mathbb{Z}[x, (x - 1)^2, (x - 1)^3, 3!, \ldots].
\]

The monoid structure on \( \mathbb{M}_m \) and \( \mathbb{G}_m \) extends to a monoid structure on \( \mathbb{M}^\sharp_m \) and \( \mathbb{G}^\sharp_m \). Moreover, the monoid \( \mathbb{G}^\sharp_m \) is a group.

Theorem B.2.3 below describes the Cartier duals\(^{15}\) of \( \mathbb{G}^\sharp_m \) and \( \mathbb{M}^\sharp_m \) (this description is likely to be known, but I was unable to find a reference). The description becomes even simpler after base change to \( \text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p \), see §B.4.

In §B.5 we construct an exact sequence (B.10) of group schemes over \( \text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p \), which plays an important role in [BL]. In §B.6 we discuss a variant of (B.10) over \( \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}(p) \), where \( \mathbb{Z}(p) \) is the localization of \( \mathbb{Z} \) at \( p \).

B.2. Formulation of the theorem. We will define ind-schemes \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_+ \) equipped with a monoid structure; moreover, \( \Gamma \) is a group. Then we will identify the Cartier duals of \( \mathbb{G}^\sharp_m \) and \( \mathbb{M}^\sharp_m \) with \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_+ \), respectively.

B.2.1. Definition of \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_+ \). Given integers \( a \leq b \), define a polynomial \( f_{a,b} \in \mathbb{Z}[u] \) by

\[
\text{(B.2)} \quad f_{a,b}(u) := \prod_{i=a}^{b} (u - i).
\]

Define a closed subscheme \( \Gamma^{[a,b]} \subset A^1 = \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[u] \) by

\[
\Gamma^{[a,b]} := \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[u]/(f_{a,b}).
\]

The addition map \( A^1 \times A^1 \to A^1 \) induces a morphism \( \Gamma^{[a,b]} \times \Gamma^{[c,d]} \to \Gamma^{[a+c,b+d]} \). So the ind-schemes

\[
\Gamma := \Gamma^{[-\infty, \infty]} := \lim_{\rightarrow N} \Gamma^{[-N, N]}, \quad \Gamma^+ := \Gamma^{[0, \infty]} := \lim_{\rightarrow N} \Gamma^{[0, N]}
\]

are monoids; moreover, \( \Gamma \) is a group.

\(^{15}\)By the Cartier dual of \( \mathbb{M}^\sharp_m \) we mean \( \text{Hom}(\mathbb{M}^\sharp_m, \mathbb{M}_m) \); equivalently, the bialgebras corresponding to \( \mathbb{M}^\sharp_m \) and its Cartier dual are dual to each other.
B.2.2. The pairings. We have a pairing

$$M^\sharp_m \times \Gamma^+ \to M_m, \quad (x, u) \mapsto x^u := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{0,n}(u) \cdot \frac{(x-1)^n}{n!},$$

where $f_{0,n}$ is defined by formula (B.2). Since $G^\sharp_m$ is a group, the morphism (B.3) maps $G^\sharp_m \times \Gamma^+$ to $G_m$. Define a pairing

$$G^\sharp_m \times \Gamma \to G_m$$

as follows: for each integer $a \geq 0$ its restriction to $G^\sharp_m \times [\Gamma[a,\infty]]$ is given by

$$(x, u) \mapsto x^{-a} \cdot x^{u+a} = x^{-a} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{0,n}(u+a) \cdot \frac{(x-1)^n}{n!}.$$ 

Theorem B.2.3. (i) The pairings (B.4) and (B.3) induce isomorphisms

$$G^\sharp_m \sim \to \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G_m), \quad M^\sharp_m \sim \to \text{Hom}(\Gamma^+, M_m).$$

(ii) The coordinate ring of $G^\sharp_m$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module.\(^{16}\)

B.3. Proof of Theorem B.2.3.

B.3.1. Distributions on $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^+$. Let $\text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[a,b]})$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module dual to the coordinate ring of $\Gamma^{[a,b]}$; equivalently, $\text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[a,b]})$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of those linear functionals $\mathbb{Z}[u] \to \mathbb{Z}$ that are trivial on the ideal $(f_{a,b}) \subset \mathbb{Z}[u]$. We think of elements of $\text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[a,b]})$ as distributions on $\Gamma^{[a,b]}$. Let

$$\text{Distr}(\Gamma) := \lim_{\to} \text{Distr}(\Gamma^{-N,N}), \quad \text{Distr}(\Gamma^+) := \lim_{\to} \text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[0,N]}).$$

Then $\text{Distr}(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Distr}(\Gamma^+)$ are rings with respect to convolution; moreover, they are bialgebras over $\mathbb{Z}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have the functional $\mathbb{Z}[u] \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by evaluation at $n$; it defines an element $\delta_n \in \text{Distr}(\Gamma)$. If $n \geq 0$ then $\delta_n \in \text{Distr}^+(\Gamma)$. It is clear that $\delta_m \delta_n = \delta_{m+n}$ and $\delta_0$ is the unit of $\text{Distr}(\Gamma)$. So $\delta_{-n} = \delta_n^{-1}$.

Lemma B.3.2. (i) For every $n \geq 0$ one has $(\delta_1 - \delta_0)^n \in n! \cdot \text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[0,n]})$;
(ii) the distributions $(\frac{\delta_1 - \delta_0}{n!})^n = (\frac{\delta_1 - 1}{n!})^n$, $n \geq 0$, form a basis in $\text{Distr}(\Gamma^+)$;
(iii) $\text{Distr}(\Gamma)$ is equal to the localization $\text{Distr}(\Gamma)[\delta_1^{-1}] = \text{Distr}(\Gamma)[\delta_{-1}]$.

Proof. $(\delta_1 - \delta_0)^n$ is the unique element of $\text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[0,n]})$ such that the corresponding functional $\mathbb{Z}[u] \to \mathbb{Z}$ takes $u^n$ to $n!$ and $u^{n-1}, \ldots, u, 1$ to 0. The value of this functional on the polynomial $f_{0,n-1}$ equals $n!$. This implies (i)-(ii). Statement (iii) follows from (ii). \(\square\)

\(^{16}\)A similar statement for $M^\sharp_m$ is obvious, see formula (B.1).
B.3.3. \textit{End of the proof.} The pairings (B.4) and (B.3) induce bialgebra homomorphisms (B.5) \[ \text{Distr}(\Gamma) \to \text{Fun}(\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Distr}(\Gamma^+) \to \text{Fun}(\mathbb{M}_m^\sharp), \] where Fun stands for the coordinate ring. The homomorphisms (B.5) take \( \delta_n \) to \( x^n \), where \( x \) is the coordinate on \( \mathbb{G}_m \) or \( \mathbb{M}_m \). Lemma B.3.2 implies that the maps (B.5) are isomorphisms. Theorem B.2.3(i) follows.

It is easy to see that the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( \text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[-N-1,N+1]})/\text{Distr}(\Gamma^{[-N,N]}) \) is free. Therefore the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( \text{Distr}(\Gamma) \) is free. Theorem B.2.3(ii) follows. \( \square \)

B.4. \textbf{Base change to \( \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \).} Fix a prime \( p \). Let \( \Gamma \) be as in §B.2.1. Let

\[ \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} := \Gamma \times \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, \quad \Gamma_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} := \Gamma \times \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p. \]

\( \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \) is a group ind-scheme over \( \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \), and \( \Gamma_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \) is a group ind-scheme over \( \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \). The next lemma shows that these ind-schemes are formal schemes.

\textbf{Lemma B.4.1.} \( \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \) is the formal completion of \( \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})[u] \) along the closed subscheme of \( \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \) defined by the equation \( u(u-1) \cdots (u-p+1) = 0 \). \( \square \)

The lemma yields canonical exact sequences

(B.6) \[ 0 \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \to \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to 0, \]

(B.7) \[ 0 \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \to \Gamma_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to 0. \]

\textbf{Remark B.4.2.} If \( n = 1 \) the exact sequence (B.6) has a unique splitting. If \( n > 1 \) then (B.6) has no splittings.

B.5. \textbf{Dualizing the exact sequence (B.7).}

B.5.1. \textit{The homomorphism} \( \log : (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \). We have the homomorphism

\[ \log : (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}, \quad \log x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)! \frac{(x-1)^n}{n!}. \]

Let \( \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \) be the divided powers additive group, i.e., the PD hull of 0 in \( \mathbb{G}_a \); as a scheme,

\[ \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[y, \frac{y^2}{2!}, \frac{y^3}{3!}, \ldots]. \]

\textbf{Lemma B.5.2.} \textit{The homomorphism} \( \log : (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \to (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ factors through } (\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}, \text{ so we get a homomorphism} \)

(B.8) \[ \log : (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \to (\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}. \]

\textbf{Remark B.5.3.} In the lemma the factorization is unique since the map \( \text{Fun}(\mathbb{G}_a) \to \text{Fun}(\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp) \) becomes an isomorphism after tensoring by \( \mathbb{Q} \) (here Fun stands for the ring of regular functions).

\textit{Proof of Lemma B.5.2.} We have to show that \( (\log x)^k \) is divisible by \( k! \) in the ring of regular functions on \( (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \) for any \( k > 0 \). Since \( \frac{d}{dx} (\log x)^k = kx^{-1}(\log x)^{k-1} \), this follows by induction on \( k \). \( \square \)

\textbf{Lemma B.5.4.} \textit{The embedding} \( (\mu_p)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ comes from a unique homomorphism} \)

(B.9) \[ (\mu_p)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p}. \]
Proof. It suffices to show that \( (\mu_p)_{\text{Spec}Z_p} \) is a PD-thickening of the unit of \( (\mu_p)_{\text{Spec}Z_p} \). We have \( (\mu_p)_{\text{Spec}Z_p} = \text{Spec} A \), where \( A = Z_p[x]/(x^p - 1) \), and the unit corresponds to the ideal \( I := (x - 1) \subseteq A \), so the problem is to show that \( f^p \in pI \) for \( f \in I \). Indeed, the image of \( (x - 1)^p \) in \( A/pA \) is zero, so for \( f \in I \) one has \( f^p \in pA \cap I = pI \). \( \square \)

Remark B.5.5. The composition of (B.9) and (B.8) is zero because

\[
\text{Hom}((\mu_p)_{\text{Spf}Z_p}, (G^\sharp)^a_{\text{Spf}Z_p}) = 0.
\]

Proposition B.5.6. (i) The sequence

\[
(B.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\mu_p)_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \xrightarrow{\log} (\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp)_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \rightarrow 0,
\]

whose morphisms are (B.9) and (B.8), is exact.

(ii) The exact sequence (B.10) is Cartier dual to (B.7); the pairing between \((\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{\text{Spf}Z_p}\) and \(\Gamma_{\text{Spf}Z_p}\) is given by (B.4), and the pairing \((\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp)_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \times (\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)_{\text{Spf}Z_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m\) is the exponent of the product.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the morphisms (B.9) and (B.8) are dual to the corresponding morphisms in the exact sequence (B.7). This follows from the equality \(x^u = \exp(u \cdot \log x)\). \( \square \)

In the next subsection we describe another approach to the exact sequence (B.10).

B.6. A variant of (B.10) over \( Z(p) \). Let \( Z(p) \) be the localization of \( Z \) at \( p \). Base-changing \( \mathbb{G}_m \) and \( \mu_p \) to \( Z(p) \), one gets group schemes \((\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{Z(p)}\) and \((\mu_p)_{Z(p)}\) over \( Z(p) \). Similarly to Lemma B.5.4, one sees that the embedding \((\mu_p)_{Z(p)} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)_{Z(p)}\) comes from a unique homomorphism

\[
(B.11) \quad (\mu_p)_{Z(p)} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{Z(p)}.
\]

We are going to describe the cokernel of (B.11), see Proposition B.6.3. Then we will deduce exactness of (B.10) from this description, see §B.6.5.

B.6.1. The group schemes \( G \) and \( G^\sharp \). Let \( G \) be the group scheme over \( Z \) whose group of \( A \)-points is the set \( \{z \in A \mid 1 + pz \in A^\times\} \) equipped with the operation \( z_1 \star z_2 := z_1 + z_2 + pz_1z_2\); in other words, \( G \) is the \( p \)-rescaled version of \( \mathbb{G}_m \). We have a canonical homomorphism

\[
(B.12) \quad G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, \quad z \mapsto 1 + pz.
\]

As usual, let \( G^\sharp \) be the divided powers version of \( G \) (i.e., the PD hull of the unit in \( G \)).

Lemma B.6.2. There is a unique homomorphism

\[
(B.13) \quad \mathbb{G}_m^\sharp \rightarrow G^\sharp
\]

such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp & \xrightarrow{p} & G^\sharp \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp & \rightarrow & G^\sharp
\end{array}
\]

commutes; here the vertical arrow comes from (B.12).
Proof. As above, let \( z \) be the coordinate on \( G \). Let \( x \) be the usual coordinate on \( \mathbb{G}_m \) and \( t := x - 1 \). The homomorphism \( (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{p} (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Q}} = G^\sharp_{/\mathbb{Q}} \) is given by \( z = \frac{(1+t)^p-1}{p} \). The problem is to check that \( \frac{(1+t)^p-1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty m_i \gamma_i(t) \) for some \( m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) (here \( \gamma_i \) is the \( i \)-th divided power). This is clear. \( \square \)

**Proposition B.6.3.** The homomorphism \( (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \to (G^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \) corresponding to (B.13) induces an isomorphism \( (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)}/(\mu_p)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (G^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \).

For a proof, see §B.7.

**B.6.4. Passing to formal completions.** (i) Let \( \hat{G}, \hat{G}_a \) be the formal completions of the group schemes \( G, \mathbb{G}_a \) along their units; these are formal groups over \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( \hat{G}_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)}; (\hat{G}_a)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \) be the corresponding formal groups over \( \mathbb{Z}(p) \). One has an isomorphism

\[
(B.14) \quad \hat{G}_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\hat{G}_a)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)}: \quad z \mapsto \frac{\log(1+pz)}{p} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-p)^n-1}{n} \cdot z^n.
\]

(ii) The isomorphism (B.14) induces an isomorphism

\[
(B.15) \quad G^\sharp_{\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\hat{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p}
\]

because one can think of \( G^\sharp_{\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p} \) (resp. \( (\hat{G}_a)_{\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p} \)) as the \( p \)-adically completed PD version of \( \hat{G}_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \) (resp. \( (\hat{G}_a)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \)).

Note that (B.14) is an isomorphism of *formal* groups over the *scheme* \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}(p) \), while (B.15) is an isomorphism of *group schemes* over the *formal scheme* \( \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p \).

**B.6.5. A proof of exactness of (B.10).** Using that \( \log(x^p) = p \cdot \log x \), one checks that the homomorphism \( \log : (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p} \to (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p} \) from (B.10) equals the composite map

\[
(\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p} \to G^\sharp_{\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\hat{G}_a)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)}_{/\text{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p},
\]

where the first arrow comes from (B.13) and the second one is (B.15). So exactness of (B.10) follows from Proposition B.6.3.

**B.7. Proof of Proposition B.6.3.**

**B.7.1. Straightforward proof.** The kernel of the homomorphism \( (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \to (G^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \) equals \( (\mu_p)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \). The problem is to show that the homomorphism is faithfully flat.

We will use the coordinates \( z \) and \( t \) from the proof of Lemma B.6.2. We have

\[
(B.16) \quad z = \frac{(1+t)^p-1}{p} = \gamma(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} n_i t^i \quad \text{for some } n_i \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

where \( \gamma(t) := \frac{t^p}{p} \).

The coordinate ring of \( (G^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \) is \( A[\frac{1}{1+t^p}] \), where \( A := \mathbb{Z}(p)[z, \gamma(z), \gamma^2(z), \ldots] \subset \mathbb{Q}[z] \). The coordinate ring of \( (\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp)_{/\mathbb{Z}(p)} \) is \( B[\frac{1}{1+t}] = B[\frac{1}{1+t^p}] \), where \( B := \mathbb{Z}(p)[t, \gamma(t), \gamma^2(t), \ldots] \subset \mathbb{Q}[t] \). It suffices to show that the homomorphism \( A \to B \) given by (B.16) makes \( B \) into a free \( A \)-module with basis \( 1, t, \ldots, t^{p-1} \). These elements form a basis of \( B \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[t] \) over
\[A \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[z],\] so we only have to check that \(1, t, \ldots, t^{p-1}\) generate \(B\) as an \(A\)-module. Note that as a \(\mathbb{Z}(p)\)-module, \(B\) is generated by elements \[\gamma_i(t)^{m_i}, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq m_i < p \text{ and } m_i = 0 \text{ for } i \gg 0.\]

By (B.16), \[\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_i(t))^{m_i} = t^{m_0} \cdot \prod_{i>0} (\gamma_i(z))^{m_i} + \{\text{lower terms}\},\] so we can proceed by induction. \(\square\)

B.7.2. Proof via Cartier duality (sketch). One can also prove Proposition B.6.3 by passing to the Cartier duals. Similarly to Theorem B.2.3, the Cartier dual of \(G\) identifies with the group ind-scheme \(\Gamma\), whose definition is parallel to that of \(\Gamma\) (see §B.2.1) but with the polynomial \[b \prod_{i=a}^{b} (u - i)\] from formula (B.2) being replaced by \[b \prod_{i=a}^{b} (u - p_i)\]. Details are left to the reader.

Appendix C. The Cartier dual of \(\hat{G}_m\)

Let \(\hat{G}_m\) denote the formal multiplicative group over \(\mathbb{Z}\). For any ring \(A\) one has
\[\hat{G}_m(A) = \{y \in A^\times \mid y - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}.\]
In this section we give two descriptions of the Cartier dual of \(\hat{G}_m\), see §C.1 and §C.3. They are probably well known: the description from §C.3 is contained in [MRT], and the one from §C.1 was known to T. Ekedahl (see Remark 4 on p. 197 of [Ek]).

C.1. The Cartier dual in terms of the ring of integer-valued polynomials.

C.1.1. The ring scheme \(\mathcal{R}\). Let \(\mathcal{R} := \text{Hom}(\hat{G}_m, \hat{G}_m)\). This is a unital ring scheme over Spec \(\mathbb{Z}\). The action of \(\mathcal{R}\) on \(\text{Lie}(\hat{G}_m)\) defines a homomorphism of ring schemes
\[\mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{G}_a\]
(the multiplication operation in \(\mathbb{G}_a\) is the usual one). The coordinate ring of \(\mathbb{G}_a\) equals \(\mathbb{Z}[u]\), so (C.1) induces a ring homomorphism
\[\mathbb{Z}[u] \to H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}).\]
As a group scheme, \(\mathcal{R}\) equals \(\text{Hom}(\hat{G}_m, \hat{G}_m)\), i.e., the Cartier dual of \(\hat{G}_m\). So \(\mathcal{R}\) is a flat affine scheme over Spec \(\mathbb{Z}\).

By Lie theory, the homomorphism (C.1) induces an isomorphism
\[\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \mathbb{Q}.\]
The action of Spec \(\mathbb{Q}[u] = \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) on \(\mathbb{G}_m \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) is given by Newton’s binomial formula
\[y^u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{u}{n} (y - 1)^n, \quad \binom{u}{n} := \frac{u(u - 1) \ldots (u - n + 1)}{n!} \in \mathbb{Q}[u].\]
The ring scheme \(\mathcal{R}\) is commutative by virtue of (C.3) and flatness of \(\mathcal{R}\) over Spec \(\mathbb{Z}\). The homomorphism (C.2) becomes an isomorphism after tensoring by \(\mathbb{Q}\). So
\[\mathbb{Z}[u] \subset H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathbb{Q}[u].\]
The homomorphism $H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}) \to H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R})$ corresponding to addition (resp. multiplication) in $\mathcal{R}$ takes $u$ to $u \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes u$ (resp. to $u \otimes u$). To finish the explicit description of $\mathcal{R}$, it remains to describe the subring $H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathbb{Q}[u]$. 

**Proposition C.1.2.** $H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}) = \text{Int}$, where $\text{Int} \subset \mathbb{Q}[u]$ is the subring generated by the polynomials $(u^n)$, $n \geq 0$.

**Proof.** $H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R})$ is the smallest subring $A \subset \mathbb{Q}[u]$ such that the action of $\text{Spec} \, \mathbb{Q}[u] = \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ on $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ extends to an action of $\text{Spec} \, A$ on $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$. So $H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R})$ is generated by the coefficients of the formal series (C.4). \[ \square \]

C.1.3. **On the ring Int.** It is well known that 

$$\text{Int} = \{ f \in \mathbb{Q}[u] \mid f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z} \};$$

for this reason, Int is known as the **ring of integer-valued polynomials**. It is also well known that 

(i) the polynomials $(u^n)$ form a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module Int; (ii) one has

$$(C.5) \quad \text{Int} = \{ f \in \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \Delta^m(f) = 0 \text{ for some } m \},$$

where $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the ring of all functions $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Delta : \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the **difference operator** defined by

$$(\Delta f)(u) = f(u + 1) - f(u).$$

More details about the ring Int and some references can be found in [CC; Ch, Ek, El].

C.1.4. **Remark.** Here is an interpretation of (C.5) via Cartier duality between $\mathcal{R}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m^\circ$.

The Cartier dual of the embedding $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is a morphism $\mathbb{Z} \times \text{Spec} \, \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{R}$, and the embedding

$$(C.6) \quad H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}) = \text{Int} \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the corresponding homomorphism of coordinate rings. As a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R})$ is the topological dual $(\mathbb{Z}[[y - 1]])^*$, and the map (C.6) is just the natural map

$$\varphi : (\mathbb{Z}[[y - 1]])^* \to (\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}])^*.$$

So (C.5) means that $\varphi$ is injective, and $\text{Im} \varphi$ consists of those linear functionals on $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ that are trivial on $(y - 1)^m \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ for some $m$. This is, of course, true because $\mathbb{Z}[[y - 1]]$ is the $(y - 1)$-adic completion of $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$.

C.2. **The reduction of the scheme $\mathcal{R}$ modulo $p^n$ and the $\lambda$-ring structure on Int.**

C.2.1. **The reduction of $\mathcal{R}$ modulo $p^n$.** Let $p$ be a prime. If $A$ is a ring in which $p$ is nilpotent then $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m \otimes A$ is the inductive limit of $\mu_{p^n} \otimes A$. The Cartier dual of $\mu_{p^n}$ is $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. So

$$(C.7) \quad \mathcal{R} \otimes A = (\mathbb{Z}_p)_A := \lim_{\leftarrow n} (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_A,$$

where $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_A$ is the constant ring scheme over $\text{Spec} \, A$ with fiber $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. 42
C.2.2. **Mahler’s theorem.** Let \( A = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \). Combining (C.7) with the equality \( H^0(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{R}) = \text{Int} \), we get an isomorphism
\[
\text{Int}/p^n\text{Int} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{Locally constant functions } \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}\};
\]
the map (C.8) is as follows: given a function \( f \in \text{Int} \subset \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \), we reduce it modulo \( p^n \) and then extend from \( \mathbb{Z} \) to \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) by continuity. The isomorphism (C.8) is due to K. Mahler [Ma].

It is discussed, e.g., in [La, Ch. 4].

**Lemma C.2.3.** For every prime \( p \), the Frobenius endomorphism of \( \text{Int}/p\text{Int} \) equals the identity.

This well known fact follows from (C.8) or from (C.5).

C.2.4. **Wilkerson’s theorem on \( \lambda \)-rings.** Any \( \lambda \)-ring \( R \) is equipped with an action of the multiplicative monoid \( \mathbb{N} \); the endomorphism of \( R \) corresponding to \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) is denoted by \( \psi^n \) and called the \( n \)-th **Adams operation**. So we get a functor from the category of \( \lambda \)-rings to that of rings equipped with \( \mathbb{N} \)-action. C. Wilkerson [W] proved that this functor identifies the category of torsion-free \( \lambda \)-rings with the category of torsion-free rings equipped with an action of \( \mathbb{N} \) satisfying the following condition: \( \psi^n(x) \) is congruent to \( x^p \) modulo \( p \) for every prime \( p \) and every \( x \in R \).

C.2.5. **The \( \lambda \)-ring structure on \( \text{Int} \).** By §C.2.4, a torsion free ring \( R \) such that for every prime \( p \) the Frobenius endomorphism of \( R/pR \) equals the identity is the same as a torsion-free \( \lambda \)-ring such that \( \psi^n = \text{id} \) for all \( n \). It is known (see [W, El]) that for such \( R \) one has
\[
\lambda_n(x) = \frac{x(x-1)\ldots(x-n+1)}{n!} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in R.
\]
By Lemma C.2.3, this applies to the ring \( \text{Int} \). On the other hand, in the case \( R = \text{Int} \) the \( \lambda \)-ring structure comes from the embedding \( \text{Int} \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \ldots \) and the \( \lambda \)-ring structure on \( \mathbb{Z} \), so (C.9) is clear.

C.2.6. **Generators of \( \text{Int} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p(u) \).** Fix a prime \( p \). Let \( \mathbb{Z}_p(u) \) be the localization of \( \mathbb{Z} \) at \( p \) and \( \text{Int}_p := \text{Int} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p(u) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p[u] \). For \( x \in \text{Ind}_p \) set \( \delta(x) := (x - x^p)/p \); then \( \delta(x) \in \text{Int}_p \) by Lemma C.2.3. The pair \( (\text{Int}_p, \delta : \text{Int}_p \to \text{Int}_p) \) is a \( \delta \)-ring in the sense of [J85] and [BS]. The following lemma is well known (e.g., see [El, §3]).

**Lemma C.2.7.** (i) The elements \( \delta^n(u), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \) generate \( \text{Int}_p \) as an \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-algebra.
(ii) Elements of the form
\[
\prod_{i}(\delta^i(u))^{d_i}, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq d_i < p \text{ for all } i \text{ and } d_i = 0 \text{ for } i \gg 0
\]
form a basis of the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module \( \text{Int}_p \).

**Proof.** It suffices to prove (ii). Let \( n \geq 0 \) be an integer. Write \( n = \sum_i d_i p^i \), where \( 0 \leq d_i < p \) for all \( i \) and \( d_i = 0 \) for \( i \gg 0 \). There exists \( c \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that the polynomial
\[
\binom{u}{n} - c \prod_{i}(\delta^i(u))^{d_i}
\]
has degree \(< n\). It remains to check that \(c \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\). To do this, use that \(n! \in p^m \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^\times\), where \(m = \sum_i d_i(p^{i-1} + \ldots + p + 1)\).

\[\square\]

### C.3. The ring scheme \(\mathcal{R}\) via Witt vectors.

**C.3.1. The ring scheme \(W_{\text{big}}\).** Let \(W_{\text{big}}\) be the ring scheme of “big” Witt vectors. Recall that for any ring \(A\), the additive group of \(W_{\text{big}}(A)\) is the subgroup of \(A[[z]]^\times\) that consists of all power series with constant term \(1\). For each \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) one has the ** Witt vector Frobenius** map \(F_n : W_{\text{big}} \to W_{\text{big}},\) which is a ring scheme endomorphism; one has \(F_m F_n = F_{mn}\) and \(F_1 = \text{id}\). Recall that the unit of \(W_{\text{big}}(A)\) corresponds to \(1 - z \in A[[z]]^\times\).

**C.3.2. The map \(\mathcal{R} \to W_{\text{big}}\).** By definition, an \(A\)-point of \(\mathcal{R}\) is an element \(f \in A[[y-1]]\) satisfying the functional equation

\[
(C.10) \quad f(y_1y_2) = f(y_1)f(y_2).
\]

Associating to such \(f\) the formal power series \(f(1-z) \in A[[z]]^\times\), we get a group homomorphism \(\mathcal{R}(A) \to W_{\text{big}}(A)\) functorial in \(A\), i.e., a homomorphism of group schemes

\[
(C.11) \quad \mathcal{R} \to W_{\text{big}}.
\]

This morphism is a closed immersion because \((C.10)\) is a closed condition. Note that the map \((C.11)\) takes \(1 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{Z})\) to \(1 \in W_{\text{big}}(\mathbb{Z})\) (see the end of §C.3.1).

**Remark.** Here is a slightly different way of thinking about \((C.11)\). Consider the unique homomorphism of unital rings \(f : \mathbb{Z} \to W_{\text{big}}(\mathbb{Z})\). Then each component of the Witt vector \(f(n)\) is an (integer-valued) polynomial in \(n\), so we get an element of \(W_{\text{big}}(\text{Int})\), i.e., a morphism \(\text{Spec Int} \to W_{\text{big}}\). This is \((C.11)\).

**Proposition C.3.4.** (i) The map \((C.11)\) is a homomorphism of ring schemes.

(ii) It induces an isomorphism \(\mathcal{R} \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} W_{\text{big}}^F\), where

\[
(C.12) \quad W_{\text{big}}^F := \{ w \in W_{\text{big}} \mid F_n(w) = w \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \}.
\]

**Proof.** We know that \(\mathcal{R}\) is flat over \(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}\) and the morphism \(\mathcal{R} \to W_{\text{big}}\) is a closed immersion. It is straightforward to check (i) and (ii) after base change to \(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}\). It remains to show that \(W_{\text{big}}^F\) is flat over \(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}\). This follows from Lemmas C.3.5-C.3.6 below. \(\square\)

**Lemma C.3.5.** Let \(p\) be a prime and \(W\) the ring scheme of \(p\)-typical Witt vectors. Let \(F : W \to W\) be the Witt vector Frobenius and

\[
(C.13) \quad W^F := \{ w \in W \mid F(w) = w \}.
\]

Then the natural ring scheme morphism \(W_{\text{big}} \to W\) induces an isomorphism

\[
(C.14) \quad W_{\text{big}}^F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} W^F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}
\]

**Proof.** The proof is based on the identification of \(W_{\text{big}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\) with the product of infinitely many copies of \(W \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\) (the copies are labeled by positive integers coprime to \(p\)) and the usual description of the morphisms \(F_n : W_{\text{big}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \to W_{\text{big}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\) in terms of this identification. \(\square\)

**Lemma C.3.6.** The scheme \(W^F\) defined by \((C.13)\) is flat over \(\mathbb{Z}\).

Before proving the lemma, let us briefly recall the approach to \(W\) developed by Joyal [J85] (a detailed exposition of this approach can be found in [B16] and [BG, §1]).
C.3.7. Joyal’s approach to $W$. Let $C$ be the coordinate ring of $W$. Let $\phi : C \to C$ be the homomorphism corresponding to $F : W \to W$. The map $W \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \to W \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ induced by $F$ is the usual Frobenius, so there is a map $\delta : C \to C$ such that $\phi(c) = c^p + p\delta(c)$ for all $c \in C$ (of course, the map $\delta$ is neither additive nor multiplicative).

The pair $(C, \delta)$ is a $\delta$-ring in the sense of [J85] and [BS, §2]. The main theorem of [J 85] says that $C$ is the free $\delta$-ring on $x_0$, where $x_0 \in C$ corresponds to the canonical homomorphism $W \to W/VW = \mathbb{G}_a$. This means that as a ring, $C$ is freely generated by the elements $x_n := \delta^n(x_0)$, $n \geq 0$. We have

$$\phi(x_n) = x_n^p + px_{n+1}$$

(C.15)

The elements $x_n$ (which are regular functions on $W$) are called Buium-Joyal coordinates or Buium-Joyal components (this terminology is introduced in [BG]). For $n > 1$ they are different from Witt components (i.e., the usual ones).

C.3.8. Proof of Lemma C.3.6. Let $C$ be as in §C.3.7. Formula (C.15) implies that the coordinate ring of $W^F$ is the quotient of $C$ by the ideal $I$ generated by the elements

$$x_n^p + px_{n+1} - x_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$  

(C.16)

This quotient is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module whose basis is formed by elements $\prod x_i^{d_i}$, where $0 \leq d_i < p$ for all $i$ and $d_i = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. Indeed, these elements clearly generate $C/I$, and they are linearly independent in $(C/I) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[x_0]$. $\Box$

Appendix D. The rescaled $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ and its Cartier dual

As noted by the reviewer, a substantial part of this Appendix and the previous one is contained in [MRT].

D.1. Rescaling $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$.

D.1.1. The formal group $H^1$. Let $H^1$ be the formal group scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \, \mathbb{Z}[h]$ defined by the formal group law

$$z_1 \ast z_2 = z_1 + z_2 + hz_1 z_2.$$  

Note that $1 + h \cdot (z_1 \ast z_2) = (1 + hz_1)(1 + hz_2)$. So we have a homomorphism of formal groups over $\mathbb{A}^1$

$$H^1 \to \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, \quad z \mapsto 1 + hz,$$

which induces an isomorphism over the locus $h \neq 0$.

After specializing $h$ to 1 and 0, the formal group $H^1$ becomes $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ and $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a$, respectively. If you wish, $H^1$ is a deformation of $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ to $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a$.

D.1.2. Remarks. (i) The action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{A}^1$ by multiplication lifts to an action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $H^1$: namely, $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$ takes $(h, z)$ to $(\lambda h, \lambda^{-1}z)$. So $H^1$ descends from $\mathbb{A}^1$ to the quotient stack $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$.

(ii) $H^1$ is obtained from $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ by rescaling depending on a parameter $h$. This is a particular case of the construction of §3.5.
D.2. The first description of the Cartier dual of $H^i$.

D.2.1. The group scheme $G^i$. Let $G^i$ be the Cartier dual of $H^i$; this is a flat affine scheme over $A^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[h]$. The group scheme $\mathcal{G}$ from §C.1 can be obtained from $G^i$ by specializing $h$ to 1. In this subsection we describe $G^i$ in the spirit of §C.1. Later we will give two different descriptions of $G^i$ in terms of Witt vectors (see Propositions D.4.10 and D.5.5).

For any $\mathbb{Z}[h]$-algebra $A$, an $A$-point of $G^i$ is a formal series $f \in 1 + zA[[z]] \subset (A[[z]])^\times$ such that $f(\lambda_1 \ast \lambda_2) = f(\lambda_1)f(\lambda_2)$. Associating $f'(0)$ to such $f$, we get a homomorphism

$$G^i \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \times A^1$$

of group schemes over $A^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[h]$. The coordinate ring of $\mathbb{G}_a$ equals $\mathbb{Z}[t]$, so (D.2) induces a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[h]$-algebras

$$\mathbb{Z}[h, t] \rightarrow H^0(G^i, \mathcal{O}_{G^i}).$$

By Lie theory, the homomorphism (D.2) becomes an isomorphism after base change to $A^1_Q = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}[h]$. So we have a pairing $\mathbb{G}_a \times H^1_{A^1_Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \times A^1_Q$, where $H^1_{A^1_Q} := H^1 \times_{A^1} A^1_Q$. The corresponding map $\mathbb{G}_a \times H^1_{A^1_Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is given by the formal series

$$\mathbb{Z}[h, t] \cong \mathbb{Z}[t/h] = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n H^0(G^i, \mathcal{O}_{G^i}) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t(t-h) \cdots (t-h(n-1))}{n!} z^n \right\} \cdot (\mathbb{Q}[h, t][[z]])^\times,$$

where $t$ is the coordinate on $\mathbb{G}_a$ and $z$ is the coordinate on $H^1$. Note that after substituting $h = 0$ the formal series (D.4) becomes equal to $\exp(tz)$.

The homomorphism (D.3) becomes an isomorphism after tensoring by $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $G^i$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}[h]$, we see that $\mathbb{Z}[h, t] \subset H^0(G^i, \mathcal{O}_{G^i}) \subset \mathbb{Q}[h, t]$.

**Proposition D.2.2.** (i) $H^0(G^i, \mathcal{O}_{G^i}) = B_0$, where $B_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}[h, t]$ is the subring generated over $\mathbb{Z}[h]$ by the polynomials

$$\frac{t(t-h) \cdots (t-h(n-1))}{n!}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

(ii) The polynomials (D.5) form a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}[h]$-module $B_0$.

(iii) The Hopf algebra structure on $B_0$ corresponding to the group structure on $G^i$ is given by $t \rightarrow t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t$.

**Proof.** The proof of (i) is parallel to that of Proposition C.1.2.

Let us prove (ii). The product of two polynomials of the form (D.5) can be represented as an $\mathbb{Z}[h]$-linear combination of such polynomials using the formula

$$\left(1 + hz_1 \right)^{t/h} \left(1 + hz_2 \right)^{t/h} = \left(1 + h(\lambda_1 \ast \lambda_2) \right)^{t/h}, \quad \text{where } \lambda_1 \ast \lambda_2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + h\lambda_1 \lambda_2.$$

So polynomials of the form (D.5) generate $B_0$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[h]$-module. They are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}[h]$ because the polynomial (D.5) has degree $n$ with respect to $u$.

Finally, (iii) is clear because $t$ is the pullback via (D.2) of the natural coordinate on $\mathbb{G}_a$. \qed
The following simple lemma is used in the proof of Proposition 5.5.2(c').

**Lemma D.2.3.** Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then
(i) the homomorphism $g_m : B_0 \rightarrow B_0$ induced by the morphism $G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ takes $t$ to $mt$;
(ii) \[ \frac{mt(mt-h) \cdots (mt-(n-1)h)}{n!} \in B_0 \text{ for all } n; \]
(iii) in $B_0[[z]]$ one has the equality
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{mt(mt-h) \cdots (mt-(n-1)h)}{n!} z^n = (1 + hvz)^{\frac{1}{h}}, \]
where $v := \frac{(1 + hvz)^{m-1}}{hz} \in \mathbb{Z}[h, z]$ and \( (1 + hvz)^{\frac{1}{h}} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t(t-h) \cdots (t-(n-1)h)}{n!} v^n z^n. \)

**Proof.** Statement (i) follows from Proposition D.2.2(iii). The expression from (ii) is just $g_m(t)$, where $g_m$ is as in (i); so (ii) is clear. In statement (iii) one can replace $B_0$ by $B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[h, t]$, so (iii) is classical. \( \square \)

D.2.4. *The homomorphism $\mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow G^1$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}$ is the Cartier dual of $\hat{G}_m$. So the Cartier dual of (D.1) is a homomorphism*

\[ \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow G^1 \]

of group schemes over $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[h]$, which induces an isomorphism over the locus $h \neq 0$.

D.2.5. *Relation between $B_0$ and Int. The map (D.6) induces a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[h]$-algebras*

\[ B_0 \rightarrow \text{Int}[h], \]

which becomes an isomorphism after base change to $\mathbb{Z}[h, h^{-1}]$. The homomorphism (D.7) takes $t$ to $hu$, so the polynomial (D.5) goes to $h^n(\frac{t}{n})$.

Equip $\mathbb{Q}[h, t]$ with the grading such that $\deg h = \deg t = 1$, then $B_0$ is a graded subring of $\mathbb{Q}[h, t]$. Equip $\text{Int}[h]$ with the grading such that $\deg h = 1$ and all elements of Int have degree 0. Then the homomorphism (D.7) is graded.

The subring $\text{Int} \subset \mathbb{Q}[u]$ from Proposition C.1.2 is filtered by degree of polynomials. Let $\text{Int}_{\leq n}$ be the $n$-th term of this filtration. It is easy to see that (D.7) induces an isomorphism

\[ B_0 \sim \bigoplus_n h^n \text{Int}_{\leq n}. \]

Thus the graded $\mathbb{Z}[h]$-algebra $B_0$ is obtained from the filtered ring Int by a very familiar procedure.

D.2.6. *Remarks.* (i) $B_0/hB_0 = \text{gr Int}$ is the ring of divided powers polynomials in $u$.

(ii) One can rewrite (D.8) as an isomorphism

\[ B_0 \sim \text{Int}[h] \cap \mathbb{Q}[h, hu] \subset \mathbb{Q}[h, u]; \]

under this isomorphism $t \in B_0$ corresponds to $hu \in \mathbb{Q}[h, u]$. Note that (D.9) induces an isomorphism $B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{Q}[h, hu] \subset \mathbb{Q}[h, u]$.

D.3. *$\lambda$-ring structure on $B_0$.*

D.3.1. *Notation.* Let $q := h + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[h]$; then $\mathbb{Z}[h] = \mathbb{Z}[q]$. 

\[ \frac{1}{h} = 1^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[h]. \]
D.3.2. A \( \lambda \)-ring structure on \( \mathbb{Z}[h] \). By §C.2.4, there is a unique \( \lambda \)-ring structure on \( \mathbb{Z}[h] = \mathbb{Z}[q] \) such that \( \psi^n(q) = q^n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Another way to get this \( \lambda \)-ring structure is to choose a field \( k \) and to identify \( \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \) (resp. \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \)) with the Grothendieck ring of the category of finite-dimensional representations of \( (\mathbb{G}_m)_k \) (resp. of the multiplicative monoid over \( k \)) so that \( q \) identifies with the class of the tautological 1-dimensional representation.

Let us note that the \( \lambda \)-ring \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \) is studied in Pridham’s article [Pri].

In the next lemma we define a \( \lambda \)-ring structure on \( B_0 \); the definition will be motivated by Lemma D.3.5(ii).

**Lemma D.3.3.** Consider \( B_0 \) as a graded ring (see §D.2.5). For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \psi^n \) be the endomorphism of \( B_0 \) whose restriction to the \( m \)-th graded piece of \( B_0 \) is multiplication by \( (q^n - 1) \). Then

(i) the endomorphisms \( \psi^n \) define a \( \lambda \)-ring structure on \( B_0 \);

(ii) in \( B_0 \) one has \( \psi^n(q) = q^n \), so the map \( \mathbb{Z}[q] = \mathbb{Z}[h] \hookrightarrow B_0 \) is a homomorphism of \( \lambda \)-rings;

(iii) the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q] & \cong & B_0 \\
\Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi^n \\
B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q] & \cong & B_0
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where \( \Delta \) is the coproduct.

**Proof.** By the definition of \( \psi^n : B_0 \to B_0 \), in the ring \( B_0 \) we have \( \psi^n(q - 1) = q^n - 1 \) (because \( q - 1 = h \) is in the degree 1 graded piece) and therefore \( \psi^n(q) = q^n \).

Let us prove (i). It is easy to check that \( \psi^n \circ \psi^{n'} = \psi^{nn'} \). So by §C.2.4, it remains to check that for every prime \( p \) the endomorphism of \( B_0/pB_0 \) induced by \( \psi^p \) equals the Frobenius. This follows from (D.8), the fact that \( \psi^p(h) \equiv h^p \mod p \), and Lemma C.2.3, which says that the Frobenius endomorphism of \( \text{Int}/p\text{Int} \) equals the identity.

To prove (iii), recall that \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[h, t] \), \( \Delta(t) = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t \) (see Proposition D.2.2(iii)) and \( \psi^n(t) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \cdot t \). \( \square \)

D.3.4. The morphisms \( \Psi_n : G^i \to G^i \). The endomorphisms \( \psi^n \in \text{End} \mathbb{Z}[h] \) and \( \psi^n \in \text{End} B_0 \) induce maps \( \Psi_n : \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1 \) and \( \Psi_n : G^i \to G^i \). By Lemma D.3.3(ii), the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G^i \xrightarrow{\Psi_n} G^i \\
\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\
\mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\Psi_n} \mathbb{A}^1
\end{array}
\]

commutes, so we get a morphism

\[
(D.10) \quad G^i \to \Psi_n^* G^i
\]
of schemes over \( \mathbb{A}^1 \), where \( \Psi_n^* G^i \) is the pullback of \( G^i \) via \( \Psi_n : \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1 \). Moreover, (D.10) is a group homomorphism by Lemma D.3.3(iii).
**Lemma D.3.5.** (i) Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( \Psi_n^* H^1 \) be the pullback of \( H^1 \) via \( \Psi_n : \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1 \). Then there is a unique group homomorphism

\[
\Psi_n^* H^1 \to H^1
\]

which makes the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Psi_n^* H^1 & \to & H^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \leftarrow & \end{array}
\]

Here the vertical arrow is the map (D.1) and the diagonal one is its pullback via \( \Psi_n : \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1 \).

(ii) The homomorphisms (D.10) and (D.11) are Cartier dual to each other.

**Proof.** (i) \( H^1 \) is the formal group over \( \mathbb{A}^1 \) given by the group law \( z_1 * z_2 = z_1 + z_2 + (q-1)z_1z_2 \). So \( \Psi_n^* H^1 \) is given by the group law \( y_1 * y_2 = y_1 + y_2 + (q^n-1)y_1y_2 \). The homomorphism (D.11) is given by \( z = \frac{q^n - 1}{q-1} \cdot y \).

(ii) The Cartier dual of the vertical arrow of (D.12) is the homomorphism \( f : \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to G^1 \) from (D.6). So it suffices to check commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Psi_n^* G^1 & \to & G^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \leftarrow & 
\end{array}
\]

whose horizontal arrow is (D.10). This is equivalent to commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \to & G^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \leftarrow & G^1 \\
\id \times \Psi_n & & \Psi_n \\
\end{array}
\]

and then (after passing to coordinate rings) to commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Int} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[h] & \xrightarrow{\psi^n} & B_0 \\
\text{id} \otimes \psi^n & & \psi^n \\
\text{Int} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[h] & \xleftarrow{\psi^n} & B_0 \\
\end{array}
\]

in which each horizontal arrow is the homomorphism (D.7). The commutativity of the latter diagram is clear from the definition of \( \psi^n : B_0 \to B_0 \) from Lemma D.3.3.

\[\square\]

**D.3.6.** The \( \delta \)-ring \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \). Fix a prime \( p \). Let \( \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \) be the localization of \( \mathbb{Z} \) at \( p \). Let \( \phi \in \text{End}(B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \) be induced by \( \psi^p \in \text{End} B_0 \). For every \( b \in B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \), the element \( \delta(b) := \frac{\phi(b) - b^p}{p} \) belongs to \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \) by Lemma D.3.3(i). The map \( \delta : B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \to B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \) makes \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \) into a \( \delta \)-ring in the sense of [J85] and [BS]. The subring \( \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q] \subset B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \) is a \( \delta \)-subring.
By the definition of \( \psi^p \) (see Lemma D.3.3), the element \( t \in B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p) \) satisfies the relation 
\[
\psi^p(t) := \frac{q^p - 1}{q - 1} \cdot t
\]
\begin{equation}
\tag{D.14}
t^p + p\delta(t) = \Phi_p(q) \cdot t.
\end{equation}

On the other hand, let \( C \) be the \( \delta \)-algebra over \( \mathbb{Z}(p)[q] \) with a single generator (denoted by \( t \)) and the \textit{defining} relation (D.14). We claim that the \textit{canonical homomorphism} \( C \to B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p) \) \textit{is an isomorphism}. Indeed, elements of the form 
\[
\prod_i (\delta^i(t))^{d_i}, \text{ where } 0 \leq d_i < p \text{ for all } i \text{ and } d_i = 0 \text{ for } i \gg 0
\]
generate\(^{17}\) the \( \mathbb{Z}(p)[q] \)-module \( C \) and form a basis of the \( \mathbb{Z}(p)[q] \)-module \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p) \) (the latter is similar to Lemma C.2.7).

D.3.7. \textit{Some generalizations.} The generalizations discussed here are not used in the rest of the article.

(i) In §D.3.2 we set \( \psi^n(h) := (1 + h)^n - 1 \). This choice of \( \psi^n \) is motivated by our interest in the \( q \)-de Rham prism. On the other hand, one could set \( \psi^n(h) := h^n \) and define \( \psi^n : B_0 \to B_0 \) by setting \( \psi^n(b) = h^m(n-1) \) for \( b \) in the \( m \)-th graded piece of \( B_0 \). Then we would still get a \( \lambda \)-ring structure on \( \mathbb{Z}[h] \) and \( B_0 \); moreover, Lemmas D.3.3 and D.3.5 would remain valid.

(ii) In §D.3.6 we considered the \( \delta \)-ring structure on \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p) \) corresponding to the endomorphism of \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p) \) that acts on the \( m \)-th graded piece as multiplication by \( ((1 + h)^p - 1)/h)^m \). If we replace \( ((1 + h)^p - 1)/h \) by any polynomial \( f \in \mathbb{Z}(p)[h] \) congruent to \( h^{p-1} \) modulo \( p \) we would still get a \( \delta \)-ring structure on \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p) \) such that the elements \( \delta^i(t), i \geq 0 \), generate \( B_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p) \) over \( \mathbb{Z}(p)[h] \).

D.4. \textit{The group scheme} \( G^l \) \textit{in terms of Witt vectors. I.}

D.4.1. \textit{\( \lambda \)-schemes.} By a \( \lambda \)-scheme we mean a scheme \( X \) equipped with a collection of endomorphisms \( \Psi_n : X \to X, n \in \mathbb{N} \), such that \( \Psi_m \circ \Psi_n = \Psi_{mn} \), \( \Psi_1 = \text{id} \), and for every prime \( p \) the morphism \( \Psi_p : X \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \to X \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \) equals \( \text{Fr}_{X \otimes \mathbb{F}_p} \). (This definition is good enough for us because we will be dealing with schemes flat over \( \mathbb{Z} \).) Similarly to §2.2.3 we have the notion of group \( \lambda \)-scheme over a \( \lambda \)-scheme.

D.4.2. Plan of §D.4-D.5. \( G^l \) is a group \( \lambda \)-scheme over the \( \lambda \)-scheme \( \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q] \) (see §D.3.2-D.3.4). We will describe two realizations of this group \( \lambda \)-scheme in terms of Witt vectors, denoted by \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{F}}} \) and \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{N}}} \). The definitions of \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{F}}} \) and \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{N}}} \) are given in §D.4.3 and §D.5.2, respectively. According to Propositions D.4.10 and D.5.5, the group \( \lambda \)-schemes \( G^l \), \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{F}}} \), and \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{N}}} \) are canonically isomorphic.

Probably \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{N}}} \) is better than \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{F}}} \) (this opinion is influenced, in part, by my correspondence with Lance Gurney). However, let us start with \( G^{l\text{\mathbb{F}}} \), which is obtained by rescaling §C.3 in a straightforward way.

\(^{17}\)To see this, note that \( \delta^i(t)^p = \phi(\delta^i(t)) - p\delta^{i+1}(t) = \delta^i(\Phi_p(q) \cdot t) - p\delta^{i+1}(t) \).
D.4.3. **Definition of $G^{!!}$.** Let $W_{\text{big}}$ be the ring scheme of “big” Witt vectors (so $W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is a ring scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1$). Define $G^{!!} \subset W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ to be the following subgroup:

$$G^{!!} := \{(w, q) \in W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \mid F_m(w) = [q - 1]^m w \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$ 

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\Psi_n : G^{!!} \to G^{!!}$ by

$$\Psi_n(w, q) = ([q^n - 1 \over q - 1] \cdot w, q^n).$$

It is easy to check that for each prime $p$ the morphism $\Psi_p : G^{!!}(p) \to G^{!!}(p)$ is equal to the Frobenius. So $G^{!!}$ is a group $\lambda$-scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q]$.

D.4.4. **Remark.** Let $p$ be a prime and $W$ the ring scheme of $p$-typical Witt vectors. Similarly to the proof of Lemma C.3.5, one shows that the canonical epimorphism $W_{\text{big}} \twoheadrightarrow W_{(p)}$ induces an isomorphism

$$G^{!!} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \overset{\sim}{\to} \{(w, q) \in W \times \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mid F(w) = [q - 1]^{p-1} \cdot w\}.$$ 

**Lemma D.4.5.** $G^{!!}$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that the r.h.s of (D.17) is flat over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q]$. The argument is parallel to that of §C.3.8, but the role of the elements $x_n^p + px_{n+1} - x_n$ from §C.3.8 is played by $x_n^p + px_{n+1} - (q - 1)^p x_n$. $\square$

D.4.6. **A homomorphism $G^! \to W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1$.** For any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra $A$, an $A$-point of $G^i$ is an element $f \in 1 + zA[[z]] \subset (A[[z]])^\times$ satisfying the functional equation

$$f(z_1)f(z_2) = f(z_1 + z_2 + (q - 1)z_1z_2).$$

Associating to such $f$ the formal power series

$$f(-z) \in 1 + zA[[z]] = W_{\text{big}}(A),$$

we get a group homomorphism $G_i(A) \to 1 + zA[[z]] = W_{\text{big}}(A)$ functorial in $A$, i.e., a homomorphism of group schemes over $\mathbb{A}^1$

$$i : G^i \hookrightarrow W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$ 

The morphism (D.20) is a closed immersion because (D.18) is a closed condition.

D.4.7. **Relation to the homomorphism $\mathcal{R} \to W_{\text{big}}$.** It is easy to check that after the specialization $q = 2$ (i.e., $q - 1 = 1$) the homomorphism (D.20) becomes the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} \overset{\sim}{\to} W_{\text{big}}^F \hookrightarrow W_{\text{big}}$$

from §C.3.2 (the minus sign in (D.19) was introduced to ensure this). Moreover, one has the following

**Lemma D.4.8.** (i) The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \longrightarrow & G^i \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow i \\
W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \hookrightarrow & W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1
\end{array}$$
Here the upper horizontal arrow is (D.6), the lower horizontal arrow is multiplication by the Teichmüller representative \([q - 1] \in W_{\text{big}}(\mathbb{Z}[q])\), the right vertical arrow is (D.20), and the left vertical arrow comes from (D.21).

(ii) After base change to the open subset \(\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q, (q - 1)^{-1}] \subset \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q] = \mathbb{A}^1\), the horizontal arrows of (D.22) become isomorphisms.

Proof. Recall that for any \(\mathbb{Z}[q]\)-algebra \(A\), multiplication by \([q - 1]\) in \(W_{\text{big}}(A)\) takes a formal series \(g(x) \in 1 + xA[[x]] = W_{\text{big}}(A)\) to \(g((q - 1)x)\). The rest is straightforward. \(\square\)

D.4.9. Remarks. (i) By Lemma C.2.3, \(\mathcal{R}\) is a \(\lambda\)-scheme with \(\Psi_n = \text{id}\) for all \(n\). Moreover, commutativity of (D.13) means that the upper horizontal arrow of (D.22) is a morphism of \(\lambda\)-schemes.

(ii) The lower horizontal arrow of (D.22) induces a morphism \(W_{\text{big}}^F \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to G^{\tilde{\eta}}\) of \(\lambda\)-schemes over \(\mathbb{A}^1\), which becomes an isomorphism over the locus \(q \neq 1\).

**Proposition D.4.10.** The homomorphism (D.20) induces an isomorphism

\[
G^l \sim \to G^{\tilde{\eta}}
\]

of \(\lambda\)-schemes over \(\mathbb{A}^1\).

Proof. The schemes \(G^l\) and \(G^{\tilde{\eta}}\) are flat over \(\mathbb{Z}[q]\) (for \(G^{\tilde{\eta}}\) this is Lemma D.4.5). The morphism \(i : G^l \to W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1\) is a closed immersion. So it remains to show that \(i\) induces an isomorphism of \(\lambda\)-schemes \(G^{l}_{q \neq 1} \sim \to G^{\tilde{\eta}}_{q \neq 1}\), where \(G^{l}_{q \neq 1}\) and \(G^{\tilde{\eta}}_{q \neq 1}\) are the restrictions of \(G^l\) and \(G^{\tilde{\eta}}\) to the locus \(q \neq 1\). This follows from Lemma D.4.8 and §D.4.9. \(\square\)

D.5. **The group scheme \(G^l\) in terms of Witt vectors.**II. This subsection is a non-

D.5.1. Recollections on \(G^l\). \(G^l\) is the group scheme over \(\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q]\) such that for any \(\mathbb{Z}[q]\)-algebra \(A\), \(G^l(A)\) is the group of elements \(f \in 1 + zA[[z]] \subset (A[[z]])^x\) satisfying the functional equation

\[
f(z_1) f(z_2) = f(z_1 + z_2 + (q - 1)z_1z_2).
\]

Recall that \(H^0(G^l, \mathcal{O}_{G^l}) = B_0\), where \(B_0\) is as in Proposition D.2.2. The \(\lambda\)-scheme structure on \(G^l\) was defined in §D.3.2-D.3.4.

D.5.2. **Definition of \(G^{\tilde{\eta}}\).** Define \(G^{\tilde{\eta}} \subset W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1\) to be the following subgroup:

\[
(G^{\tilde{\eta}}) := \{(w, q) \in W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \mid F_m(w) = \frac{q^m - 1}{q - 1} \cdot w \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}\},
\]

where \(\frac{q^m - 1}{q - 1} := 1 + [q] + \ldots + [q]^{m-1}\). Define \(\Psi_n : G^{\tilde{\eta}} \to G^{\tilde{\eta}}\) by the following very simple formula:

\[
\Psi_n(w, q) = (F_n(w), q^n).
\]

Then \(G^{\tilde{\eta}}\) is a group \(\lambda\)-scheme over \(\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q]\).
D.5.3. **Remark.** Let \( p \) be a prime and \( W \) the ring scheme of \( p \)-typical Witt vectors. Similarly to the proof of Lemma C.3.5, one shows that the canonical epimorphism \( W_{\text{big}} \to W \) induces an isomorphism

\[
G^\pi \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(w, q) \in W \times \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mid F(w) = \Phi_p([q]) \cdot w\}.
\]

**Lemma D.5.4.** Equip \( W_{\text{big}} \) with the \( \lambda \)-scheme structure given by the Frobenius endomorphisms \( F_n : W_{\text{big}} \to W_{\text{big}}, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Equip \( G^! \) and \( \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[q] \) with the \( \lambda \)-structure from §D.3.2-D.3.4. Let \( \pi : W_{\text{big}} \to \mathbb{G}_a \) be the morphism that takes a Witt vector to its first component. Then

(i) there exists a unique morphism

\[
G^! \to W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1
\]

of \( \lambda \)-schemes over \( \mathbb{A}^1 \) whose composition with the projection \( W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to W_{\text{big}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{G}_a \) is given by the element \( t \in B_0 = H^0(G^!, \mathcal{O}_{G^!}) \) from Proposition D.2.2;

(ii) the map (D.28) is a group homomorphism;

(iii) the morphism (D.28) has the following explicit description: for any \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \)-algebra \( A \), it takes a formal series \( f \in 1 + zA[[z]] \) satisfying (D.24) to the formal series \( f \left( \frac{z}{z-1} \right) \) viewed as an element of \( W_{\text{big}}(A) \).

**Proof.** The coordinate ring of the \( \lambda \)-scheme \( W_{\text{big}} \) is known to be the free \( \lambda \)-ring on a single generator \( \pi \). This implies (i). Statement (ii) follows from (iii).

Let us prove (iii). Our map \( G^! \to W_{\text{big}} \) is given by the unique element of \( W_{\text{big}}(B_0) \) whose \( n \)-th ghost component equals \( \psi^n(t) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \cdot t \in B_0 \) (see the definition of \( \psi^n \) in Lemma D.3.3).

Recall that the universal solution to (D.24) is given by

\[
f(z) = (1 + (q - 1)z)^{t/(q-1)}.
\]

So it remains to check that for this \( f \) one has

\[
-z \frac{d}{dz} \log f \left( \frac{z}{z-1} \right) = t \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \cdot z^n.
\]

This is straightforward; one uses that \( 1 + (q - 1)z/(z - 1) = (1 - qz)/(1 - z) \).

**Proposition D.5.5.** The homomorphism (D.28) induces an isomorphism

\[
G^! \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{!!},
\]

where \( G^{!!} \subset W_{\text{big}} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \) is as in §D.5.2.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that (D.28) induces an isomorphism \( G^! \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{!!} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) for each prime \( p \). The description of \( G^{!!} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) from (D.27) allows one to prove this quite similarly to §5.7.4.
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