Effect of Intraoperative Mitomycin C Application in Dacryocystorhinostomy Surgery
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Introduction

Most patients who suffer from epiphora usually have a blockage located near the end of the system within the nasolacrimal duct. The aim of DCR surgery is to create a new bypass channel so that the tears can pass from the lacrimal sac into the nose again through the new bypass channel, therefore bypassing the blockage within the nasolacrimal duct. However, the chance of success varies from patient to patient and it is about 60-90% [1] and is dependent on various factors including type of obstruction and site of obstruction, patient symptoms and any previous surgery [2]. It has been found that two most frequent causes of DCR failure are closure of the osteotomy site by fibroproliferative changes and obstruction of the common canaliculus [3]. Therefore, different methods have been tried to improve success rate of DCR surgery like making larger osteum intraoperatively [4]. A small length of soft plastic(silicon) tubing can also be used through the bypass channel, to help maintain patency of the new drainage system while the new bypass channel heals. This is easily removed in the outpatient clinic shortly after the operation (usually within 3 months) [5].

We know that Mitomycin C (MMC), an anticancer agent isolated from Streptomyces caespitosus, can significantly suppress fibrosis and vascular ingrowth after exposure to the filtration site of the trabeculectomy for glaucoma [6]. In DCR surgery, we tried to use MMC soaking over the osteotomy site and the anastomosed flaps to suppress fibrous proliferation and scar formation. Theoretically, this modification should reduce the fibrous adhesion between the osteotomy site and the nasal septum as well as inhibit scarring around the opening of the common canaliculus. Thus, MMC should prevent further shrinkage of the final surface area of the osteotomy and prevent the obstruction of the common canaliculus opening. So, the use of Mitomycin C(MMC) intraoperatively at the osteotomy site should decrease the failure rate and the cost of operation and postoperative discomfort seen in patients with bicanalicular intubation [7].

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in Department of Ophthalmology Attar Sain Jain Eye and General Hospital, Government of NCT of...
Delhi. Total 50 patients with NLD block with epiphora attending the Out Patients Department (OPD) in Ophthalmology department were randomly assigned into three groups of 25 each and followed over period of 6 months to see for success and failure of the procedure, as usually patients start appearing 1-3 months post op and almost all cases of failure report within 6 months.

a) Group A- Conventional Dacrocystorhinostomy (DCR)

b) Group B- DCR with Mitomycin C (MMC)

Patients were assessed preoperatively with detail history regarding age of patient, onset and duration of symptoms, unilateral or bilateral, intermittent or constant, pain, discharge, or swelling, trauma, operations or medication. Meticulous history was taken to rule out exclusion criteria like bleeding tendencies, coagulation abnormalities, Nasal problems and failed previous similar surgeries. Full ophthalmic and ENT examination of all patients was done (Table 1).

Table 1: Criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion.

| Inclusion criteria | Patients having NLD blocks on syringing |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|
| All other sites of lacrimal passage block except NLD block | |
| Age <12yrs | |
| Pregnant Women | |
| Nasal Pathology including severe DNS | |

Investigations

a) Syringing and probing was done to rule out canalicular obstruction and for confirmation of nasolacrimal duct obstruction

b) Fluorescein dye disappearance test

The patients were randomized into above mentioned three groups. The patients were followed up for 6 months as per the protocol. Subjective and objective findings were noted.

The patients were assessed subjectively by asking about severity of watering and graded into three categories-

a) Symptom free
b) Symptomatically improved and
c) No improvement

Objective assessment was done by

A. Syringing
   i. Patent
   ii. Non patent
B. Tear meniscus height (TMH)
   i. <0.1mm
   ii. 0.1-0.2mm
   iii. >0.2mm
C. Fluorescein dye disappearance test (FDDT)
   i. Positive FDDT
   ii. Negative FDDT

Surgery Techniques

a) Conventional DCR: The procedure was essentially the same except that we have kept osteotomy opening 12×15mm in each case to avoid confounding error (Table 2).

Table 2: Subjective and objective assessment in conventional group.

| CONVENTIONAL GROUP (N=25) |
|---------------------------|
| A. SUBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT  |
| Day 7 | Day 60 | Day 90 | Day 180 |
| 1. Symptom Free | 5(20%) | 14(56%) | 13(52%) | 12(48%) |
| 2. Improved | 18(72%) | 8(32%) | 7(28%) | 8(32%) |
| 3. NO Improvement | 2(8%) | 3(12%) | 5(20%) | 5(20%) |
| B. OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT |
| I) SYRINGING |
| a) Patent | 23(92%) | 13(52%) | 13(52%) | 13(52%) |
| b) Non-Patent | 2(8%) | 2(8%) | 5(20%) | 5(20%) |
| II) TMH |
| a) <0.1mm | 5(20%) | 18(72%) | 12(48%) | 11(44%) |
| b) 0.1-0.2mm | 18(72%) | 9(36%) | 8(32%) | 9(36%) |
| c) >0.2mm | 18(72%) | 3(12%) | 5(20%) | 5(20%) |
| III) FDDT |
| a) Positive | 23(92%) | 22(88%) | 20(80%) | 20(80%) |
| b) Negative | 2(8%) | 3(12%) | 5(20%) | 5(20%) |

Table 3: Subjective and objective assessment in MMC group.

| MMC GROUP (N=25) |
|-------------------|
| A. SUBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT |
| Day 7 | Day 60 | Day 90 | Day 60 |
| 1. Symptom Free | 6(24%) | 16(64%) | 17(68%) | 17(68%) |
| 2. Improved | 18(72%) | 6(36%) | 5(20%) | 5(20%) |
| 3. NO Improvement | 1(4%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) |
| B. OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT |
| I) SYRINGING |
| a) Patent | 24(96%) | 22(88%) | 22(88%) | 22(88%) |
| b) Non-Patent | 1(4%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) |
| II) TMH |
| a) <0.1mm | 7(28%) | 14(56%) | 15(60%) | 15(60%) |
| b) 0.1-0.2mm | 17(68%) | 8(32%) | 7(28%) | 7(28%) |
| c) >0.2mm | 1(4%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) |
| III) FDDT |
| a) Positive | 24(96%) | 22(88%) | 22(88%) | 22(88%) |
| b) Negative | 1(4%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) | 3(12%) |
b) DCR with MMC: In this group only modification done was application of MMC 0.2mg/ml at the osteotomy site for 10 min taking care not to apply on the skin and then washed thoroughly with saline other steps were similar like conventional DCR. Any complication during surgery was noted (Table 3).

Visits

On post-operative day 1 wound condition, nasal bleeding, syringing (except Group B) and any subjective symptoms were noted on 7th post-operative day in addition to above findings FDDT and height of tear meniscus is also noted. Further follow ups were done on days 30,60,90 and 180 with above mentioned criteria. On day 90 tube removal done in Group B.

Results

Age Distribution

The mean age in the conventional group (group A) was 40.28 years and 38.76 years in the MMC group (group B). The mean ages of the patients were comparable in the two groups.

There was no significant statistical difference in age between the two groups- paired t-test values between groups is 0.67 (on comparing all groups-t test, P > 0.05).

Sex Distribution

The 50 patients included in the study comprised of 22 male patients and the remaining 28 being female patients. They were evenly distributed among the study groups. There was no significant statistical difference in sex distribution between groups- paired T-test values between group is 0.97 (on comparing all groups-t test, P > 0.05). As we followed the patients over a period of 180 days we found that the assessment of subjective symptoms shows improvement in all groups at the 60 day visit and Thereafter from period 60 to 180 days there was increase in the number of symptom free patients in MMC group (group b); but those in conventional group (group A) showed a decline in symptom free patients (from 1 to 2 patients) and an increase in no improvement group (from 2 to 5 patients). At the end of 180 days there were 5 (20%) patients with watering in conventional and 3(12%) in MMC group. Although, intraoperative MMC application seemed to improve the subjective symptoms reported by patients, the satisfaction rates did not differ significantly between the groups P = 0.717 (two sided), Pearson Chi-square with likelihood ratio 0.716

Discussion

It was observed in our study that the subjective symptoms improved in all the groups, but the maximum improvement occurred in the MMC group. The maximum improvement in all three groups was seen during the initial period of 60 days. This improvement was maintained throughout the 180 days of the follow-up in the MMC group. On the other hand, in the conventional group the symptoms reappeared from 60 to 180-day period. Similarly, the objective findings showed comparable improvement in all three groups; which remained constant in the MMC group but worsened in the conventional group (group A) on prolonged follow up, from 60 to 90 day. These encouraging findings, in the MMC group, can be attributed to the prevention of fibrosis around the osteotomy site. These findings can be compared with the study conducted by Yeatts et al. [8] and Lio et al. [9] who showed higher symptomatic improvement in MMC group as compared to conventional group.

It has been observed, that over a period of time worsening tend to occur around 2-3 months, probably due to fibrosis of osteotomy site. These findings were reported in literature by McPherson SD et al. [10] and Pico G et al. [11] who showed common cause of failure was dense fibrous tissue at the osteotomy site. Lindberg JV et al. [12] also showed that osteotomy opening made during surgery usually narrow down due to fibrosis. In our study, on the 60th and 90th post-operative day of follow-up, there were worsening of the subjective symptoms and objective evaluations; more so in the conventional group (group A) as compared to other group most probably due to fibrosis at the osteotomy site. All these results showed that MMC augmented DCR are better as compared to conventional DCR, when compared in terms of subjective and objective improvement and also in terms of longevity of the procedures. However, when statistical methods were applied no statistically significant differences were seen. This could be explained by the less number of patients 25 in each group. However more patients could not be accommodated as this was a surgical study having time constraints. During the early postoperative period the patients in all the three groups had complaints of watering, probably attributable to lid edema and inflammation at the operative site. However, during further follow ups there was marked improvement in the subjective symptoms.

Although, intraoperative MMC application seemed to improve the subjective symptoms reported by patients, the satisfaction rates did not differ significantly between the three groups. These finding were consistent with the result of the studies conducted by Yeatts et al. [8] and Cem Yildirim et al. [13], who have showed that satisfaction and success rates of MMC group were higher than those of the control group however the differences were not statistically significant. Many complications such as corneal ulcer, corneal perforations, scleral calcification, secondary cataract, endophthalmitis, hyptonoty and maculopathy have been reported with the use of MMC in both ptterygium and glaucoma filtration surgeries [14,15]. In our study, wound gaping was observed in two patients in MMC group. The wound gaping was sutured in the operating room. After one week, one of these patients developed fistulous opening at the lacrimal sac area. He underwent fistulectomy and suturing of the wound. This patient was having fistulous tract preoperatively. On the other hand, no wound gaping was seen in conventional group (group A). Higher rate of wound gaping in MMC group was probably due to accidental application of MMC to the skin incision site and these complications have been reported in the literature [9]. However, side effects like mucosal necrosis or infection were not noted in any of the patients during the six months follow up. No nasal or gastrointestinal irritation had been observed during intraoperative MMC application.

In conclusion, in the present study the satisfaction and success rates of the EXT- DCR with MMC application were found to be higher.
than those of standard EXT-DCR, however the differences did not reach statistical significance. No deleterious effect was noted with MMC treatment. Although the sample size and power of the study were too small to make definite statements, intraoperative MMC application seems to be a safe adjuvant that could help to increase the success rate of EXT-DCR surgery in primary acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Application of a wound-healing inhibitor is a new treatment modality in EXT-DCR surgery and more studies with larger series must be done on this topic before one can make further conclusions. A rationale for the duration and method of MMC application should also be determined. A rationale for the duration and method of MMC application should also be determined.
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