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It is argued that the classical local inertial frame used to define rotational states of quantum systems is only approximate, and that geometry itself must also be rotationally quantized at the Planck scale. A Lorentz invariant statistical model of correlations in quantum geometry on larger scales predicts spacelike correlations that describe rotational fluctuations in the inertial frame. Fluctuations are estimated to significantly affect the gravity of quantum field states on a macroscopic scale, characterized by the Chandrasekhar radius. It is suggested that the cosmological constant might be a signature of exotic rotational correlations entangled with the strong interaction vacuum, and have a value determined entirely by Planck scale quantum gravity and Standard Model fields.

I. INTRODUCTION

If, as is often supposed\cite{1–4}, space-time emerges from a quantum system with finite information content, it should display new quantum correlations of geometry, that differ in character from those of particles and fields. Although the natural scale for its correlations is the Planck length, \( l_P = \frac{\hbar c}{G} = 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{m} \), studies of gravitation\cite{5–7} suggest that the information content of any system is holographic, which would require nonlocal, spacelike correlations on all scales. The character of these “exotic” correlations is not known.

This paper addresses some physical consequences of a specific candidate form for these correlations. It is based on a model for how quantum geometry approximates the classical local inertial frame that conventionally defines absolute rotation, for example by measurements of centrifugal force or particle spin. A precise Lorentz invariant statistical model\cite{8}, based on Planck information density in proper time and spacelike Planck scale displacements that leave the light cones of any observer’s world line invariant, determines the specific form of exotic correlations in space and time, and their experimental signatures. Geometry becomes nearly classical on large scales, but displays “spooky” nonlocal quantum correlations in trajectories at spacelike separations that act like differential rotational fluctuations from the classical inertial frame.

Although the precise model has only been formulated for fixed causal structure, for systems close to flat space it may serve as an adequate approximation for estimating new effects of geometrical degrees of freedom in holographic quantum gravity. It is used here to show that nonlocal, spacelike exotic rotational correlations of geometry could resolve well-known inconsistencies of effective field theory with gravity in the infrared. In particular, it is argued that entanglement of geometry with the strong interaction vacuum could account for the observed value of the cosmological constant.

II. QUANTUM INDETERMINACY OF THE INERTIAL FRAME

In classical relativity, the rate of change of any direction can be measured locally. As famously illustrated by Newton with a rotating bucket of water, a system with a constant orientation relative to absolute space experiences no centrifugal acceleration. The local inertial frame is defined for arbitrarily small systems, with no modifications to the concept of absolute space. In the standard theory of quantum matter, rotational states of a quantum system, such as a particle spin, are still defined relative to absolute, classical space-time down to infinitesimal scales.

However, when the frame dragging (or Lense-Thirring) effect of general relativity is included, the gravity of any device used to measure rotation influences the inertial frame of the measured space. The standard separation of quantum matter and classical space-time then becomes inconsistent. A quantum “bucket” is in a superposition of rotational states with respect to classical space, but since each state has a different flow of mass-energy, the inertial frame is also placed into a quantum superposition. Quantum geometry thus displays exotic rotational correlations that arise from quantum degrees of freedom that are not included in any standard quantum theory.

To illustrate the effect, consider a device to measure rotation on the Planck scale \( l_P \). General relativity predicts that its maximum mass is that of a black hole of this size, the Planck mass, \( m_P = \sqrt{\hbar c^3 G} \), whereas quantum mechanics requires that its minimum mass is that of an elementary particle of this size, which is also the Planck mass. Thus, the device has about the mass and size as a black hole, but it also has about the same mass and size as a single elementary particle.

According to quantum mechanics, its angular momentum has a value of \( \hbar \) times its (integer or half-integer) spin. The spin is defined relative to the local inertial frame, but it does not have a definite value: its projection onto any axis is an operator, characterized by a noncommutative spin algebra. It can have a definite spin about at most one axis, determined by measurement; the other components are indeterminate superpositions.

At the same time, the rotational energy flow and grav-
itational potential in a Planck scale device with angular momentum \( \hbar \) have about the same values as those of a maximally spinning black hole. According to general relativity, a shell of mass \( M \) and radius \( r \) that rotates at an angular frequency \( \omega_M \) “drags” the nearby inertial frame at a rate \( \omega \approx (GM/r)\omega_M \) compared to what it would be without the mass. Thus, the gravity of the device causes the local inertial frame to rotate by a substantial amount compared to the distant universe—comparable to the spin rate of a Planck mass black hole, with frequency \( \omega \approx t_P^{-1} \). But that means that the postulated set-up to measure spin in a local inertial frame is actually inconsistent: The quantum indeterminacy of spin of the measuring device is inherited by the space itself.

Thus, extrapolation from standard gravity and quantum mechanics implies that there is no locally determinable nonrotating frame on the Planck scale; instead, measurements in rotation rate about any axis yield a variance \( \langle \Delta \omega^2 \rangle^{1/2} \approx t_P^{-1} \) with respect to a classical space-time. The absolute nonrotating inertial frame of classical relativity does not exist at all scales, but can only be defined statistically, over a region much larger than the Planck length.

This Planck scale quantization of rotation contrasts with Wheeler’s picture of Planck scale space-time as “quantum foam,” an extrapolation of quantum fields and gravity to the Planck scale that predicts a roiling sea of virtual black holes with qualitative changes in causal structure and even topology. By contrast, in the model developed here, an exact symmetry of the Planck scale system protects causal structure and prevents the creation of virtual black holes or gravitational potential fluctuations. Quantum geometrical degrees of freedom are required to have purely rotational symmetry, so it is consistent to ignore curvature fluctuations at all scales.

However, quantum geometry still produces exotic rotational fluctuations, even on scales much larger than the Planck length. As discussed here, they should produce new measurable physical effects, even in space-times with vanishing curvature on large scales. The measurement devices considered here to define the inertial frame do not resemble Newtonian buckets based on centrifugal acceleration. Instead, a covariant theory is developed based on light propagation.

III. EXOTIC ROTATIONAL CORRELATIONS

A. Statistical Lorentz Invariance

The concept of an observer-independent space-time is central to relativity, but is at odds with the quantum-mechanical principle that any measurement only has meaning in the context of an observer, that is, the preparation of a state and its correlation with the state of a measurement apparatus. Nevertheless, any theory of geometrical positions must preserve the principle of statistical Lorentz invariance—that is, the correlations predicted by a theory should not depend on an arbitrary choice of coordinates, or the frame used to describe them.

This principle can be satisfied by a statistical model based on two principles: (1) The system has a Planck scale information density, in invariant proper time, on the world line of a measurement; and (2) The physical effect of the correlations can be represented by random Planck scale spacelike displacements that exactly preserve classical causal structure defined by the light cones of the world line of the measurement. In this model, null intervals are protected, but timelike and spacelike intervals emerge as statistical approximations with exotic correlations and noise. The detailed Planck scale quantum operators are not needed to construct the structure of exotic correlations.

This statistical description is much more limited in scope than any theory of quantum gravity. Indeed, the large scale correlations do not depend on any dynamics, only on an invariant causal structure. The correlations nevertheless lead to specific and distinctive measurable physical effects. The model can be viewed as a step towards understanding how inertial frames with Lorentz symmetry emerge from a quantum system.

B. Correlations on Light Cones

A statistically Lorentz invariant model of large scale exotic correlations follows from the principle that causal structure is invariant for any observer. The effects of Planck scale quantum geometry are modeled as a transverse spatial displacement represented as a random variable \( \delta X_\perp \) of space-time position with \( \langle \delta X_\perp^2 \rangle = t_P^2 \). Every event on the future light cone of a Planck proper time interval on a world line \( A \) is associated with a transverse displacement \( \delta X_\perp \) with respect to \( A \). The spatial covariance of the displacements can be written as:

\[
\langle \delta X_\perp(T_A')\delta X_\perp(T_A'') \rangle_A = \begin{cases} t_P^2, & |T_A' - T_A''| < t_P \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Once an observer’s world line has been specified, the proper time coordinate \( T_A \) is an invariant label for any event, so the correlations of \( \delta X_\perp \) are entirely determined by the relative positions of events in an invariant causal structure. [9] Thus, the model written this way is manifestly Lorentz invariant on scales larger than \( t_P \). The displacements can be visualized as “quantum twists of space” on the 2-surfaces where light cones intersect a constant-time hypersurface (see Fig. [1]). As desired, a standard classical nonrotating inertial frame emerges as the long time average of the displacements since by construction \( \langle \delta X_\perp \rangle = 0 \). The correlations are both local, confined to a Planck proper time interval of light cone time, and spatially nonlocal, extending everywhere in space out to null infinity.
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\[ \delta X = c \delta t \sin(\theta). \]  

The effect on light depends only on the local angle \( \theta \) between the light direction and the direction to B from

\[ c \delta t = \delta X \sin(\theta). \]  

The total displacement of light along the propagation direction BC in \( t_A \) time units is a sum of projected random transverse displacements, \( \Delta t_{BC} = \sum \delta t = \sum \delta X \sin(\theta) \). The variance \( S_0 = \langle \Delta t_{BC}^2 \rangle \) between the observer’s clock and that defined by displacement (or

\[ dS_0/dt_A = t_p^{-1} t_p^2 \sin^2(\theta)[1 - \cos(\theta)]. \]  

FIG. 2. A light path near a world line B is shown in one spatial plane at a single time, in the classical rest frame of a
distant inertial observer A whose proper time \( t_A \) represents laboratory time. Light cones are represented by a series
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D. Experimental Observables

The variance in Eq. (3) is well defined geometrically, but it is not a quantum observable, because a distant

observer’s clock is not locally measurable: the quantity \( S_0 \) refers to a comparison of quantities at two different
world lines, $A$ and $B$. An observable correlation is a local comparison of arrival times or phases of light wave fronts at a single world line.

For example, in the setup of Figure 2, consider light that travels from $B$ to $C$ and back again along the same path. At every point in the path the two directions have opposite signs for $\cos(\theta)$ in the integrand, so the total round trip variance $S_{RT}$, as measured at $A$ using $A$’s clock pulses, is

$$S_{RT} = \int_{BCB} dt_A (dS_0/dt_A) = 2t_P \int_{BC} dt_A \sin^2(\theta). \quad (4)$$

In the case of transverse propagation, $\sin(\theta) = 1$, so the variance accumulates like a Planck random walk over a macroscopic distance. For a radial path, $\sin(\theta) = 0$, there is no effect.

A real world example is the signal of an interferometer, which measures light phase difference between two paths that travel nonlocally through space, but begin and end at the same beamsplitter. A statistical analysis of the exotic effect on propagating light in interferometers makes exact predictions for signal correlation functions that depend only on the shape of the light path. As seen above, the component of light that propagates in a transverse direction accumulates an exotic phase displacement that grows like a Planck random walk. The predicted amplitude of the effect is large enough to detect with the sensitivity already achieved by a correlated, superluminally sampled dual interferometer system.

E. Rotational Fluctuations

Exotic rotational correlation can be visualized as distance-dependent statistical fluctuations in rotation of the inertial frame. The fluctuations can be quantified by the variance in longitudinal displacement of an entire light path. The accumulated variance over an infinite light path tangent to a sphere of radius $R$, from integration of Eq. 4, yields $S_0 = \pi t_P R/c$, dominated by the part of the path at separations not much larger than $R$. The directional variation on scale $\approx R$ over a time $\approx R/c$ is then about

$$\langle \Delta^2 \theta \rangle \approx S_0 c^2/R^2 \approx l_P/R. \quad (5)$$

Directions at separation $R$ fluctuate on timescale $R/c$ with a variance in rotation rate

$$\langle \omega^2(R) \rangle \approx c^2 l_P R^{-3}. \quad (6)$$

The exotic directional fluctuations and information content in this model qualitatively agree with earlier extrapolations of gravity and quantum mechanics based on causally constrained wave solutions with Planck frequency bandwidth, or other holographic bounds on information. Here, the added constraints of statistical Lorentz invariance and exact causal symmetry remove ambiguities in predictions for the spatial character of the states and fix the relationship between emergent space and time. The only parameter is the overall normalization fixed by the physical value of the Planck length, which is given by gravitational theory.

IV. GRAVITATIONAL EFFECTS

Exotic rotational displacements in this model are based on a fixed, classical causal structure of infinite flat space-time. The model should precisely predict correlations in interferometers, which are much smaller than the gravitational radius of curvature.

Because the model does not include any matter, dynamics or curvature, it does not describe quantum gravity. However, in a thermodynamic interpretation of gravity, the quantum degrees of freedom of flat space-time are the same as those of curved space-time; they represent different configurations of the same geometrical quantum system. The number of degrees of freedom in our model associated with a proper time interval, the area bounding its invariant causal diamond in Planck units, has been normalized to agree with the holographic information content of gravity. In this picture, the flat-space model describes the degrees of freedom of quantum gravity for systems close to the ground state. It can be extrapolated to estimate how rotational correlations affect quantum states of fields, and how field excitations affect emergent macroscopic causal structure. The extrapolations suggest specific mechanisms to resolve two related infrared gravitational catastrophes of virtual field states: how the vacuum avoids fluctuating spontaneously into black holes, and how its effective mean gravitating density comes to have a nonzero value vastly smaller than the characteristic energy density of virtual field states.

A. Gravity of Virtual Field States

Exotic rotational correlations in quantum field states add new correlations in the infrared that can resolve long standing infrared inconsistencies of effective field theory with gravity in large volumes. A quantum field system includes all possible states of a field, including nonzero occupation numbers for all of its modes. A free field up to some ultraviolet cutoff scale with wavenumber $k = mc/h$ has about $(Rk)^3$ independent modes in a volume of size $R$. In a state where each mode has mean occupation number of order unity, the number of particles per volume is about $(mc/h)^3$. The energy of the particles in this state matches the gravitational binding energy in a macroscopic volume at an idealized Chandrasekhar radius:

$$R_C/l_P \approx (m_P/m)^3, \quad (7)$$
where \( m_p = \sqrt{\hbar c/G} \) denotes the Planck mass. In a volume with a size larger than \( R_C(m) \), the virtual field state has a mass larger than that of a black hole of the same size, which is of course an impossible physical state, inconsistent with general relativity.

In ref. [15] it was suggested that new kinds of quantum correlations somehow prevent this infrared catastrophe. Exotic correlations in the background geometry provide a specific mechanism to accomplish this: the correlated twists of light cones add spacelike correlations to field amplitudes on large scales that would be independent in a standard classical background. Using Eqs. (5) and (7), the accumulated twist matches a particle wavelength for volumes larger than \( R_C(m) \), and thereby naturally leads to an effective cut-off at the right scale. In the field-state description, it prevents the catastrophe by reducing the effective number of degrees of freedom. The overall state of the combined system (fields and geometry) can then in principle have a consistent quantum description.

### B. Cosmological Constant

The observed acceleration of the cosmic expansion [18–20] can be interpreted in general relativity as an effect of a nonzero cosmological constant \( \Lambda \) in Einstein’s field equations, whose value is precisely measured from cosmological data. A nonzero value of \( \Lambda \) produces a positive acceleration, in the absence of other sources of gravity, proportional to the separation \( r \) of two bodies in Newtonian coordinates,

\[
\ddot{r} = H^2 r, \tag{8}
\]

where \( H^2 \equiv \Lambda/3 \). The same effect can be interpreted as a “dark energy” of a field vacuum, with a gravitating density \( \rho = 3H^2/8\pi G \). If interpreted in terms of a standard effective potential, the field responsible for the observed cosmic dark energy must have a scale wildly different from those of known fields. Moreover, a straightforward estimate of the energy density of vacuum fluctuations in field amplitude with a Planck scale cutoff yields a dark energy density or value of \( \Lambda \) that is too large by a factor of about \((H_\Lambda m_p)^{-2}\) about 122 orders of magnitude [10].

There are thus at least two problems to solve: why \( \Lambda \) is so small in Planck units, and why it does not exactly vanish. The exotic rotational correlations may solve both of these problems: the causal symmetry of quantum gravitational degrees of freedom could account for the near vanishing of gravity from field fluctuations, while at the same time, the vacuum states of known fields could slightly break the scale invariance of quantum gravity in the right way, and by the right amount, to account for the observed cosmic acceleration.

In the thermodynamic interpretation of general relativity, curvature of any kind is a collective phenomenon that only acquires meaning on scales much larger than the Planck length, and gravity occurs as a statistical behavior in an excited system [5,4]. The ground state is a flat space-time, with the possible addition of an arbitrary cosmological constant. This idea is consistent with our flat-space model of exotic rotational correlations: quantum fluctuations of geometry have no effect on causal structure, and therefore produce no curvature. If the same symmetry applies to the degrees of freedom of quantum gravity, excitations associated with virtual particles would still preserve local causal structure, so that the ground state curvature of the vacuum nearly vanishes. The causality-preserving symmetry of purely rotational degrees of freedom would naturally account for a near-absence of gravity from vacuum field fluctuations, in the same way that it suppresses foamy fluctuations of curvature and topology at the Planck scale.

At the same time, small effects of a field vacuum would be expected in quantum gravity that are not included in the flat model. As seen above, because correlated light cone twists extend indefinitely in space-time, exotic rotational correlations significantly alter trajectories on macroscopic scales \( R \), where the Planck holographic information of space-time becomes comparable to that in fields. In the cosmic context, rotational degrees of freedom, when entangled with field vacuum degrees of freedom, could lead to cosmic acceleration.

Ordinary classical rotation creates a kinematic centrifugal acceleration that in some ways resembles cosmic acceleration. In a classical system rotating at a rate \( \omega \), a body at separation \( r \) from the axis of rotation experiences a centrifugal acceleration

\[
\ddot{r} = \omega^2 r. \tag{9}
\]

Like cosmic acceleration, it is proportional to \( r \), and always positive. It also affects all bodies equally, independent of mass or other properties: it depends only on position.

In the case of exotic rotational fluctuations, the time and space averages \( \langle \omega \rangle \) vanish, so the anisotropy of acceleration associated with rotation around a particular axis, and the inhomogeneity associated with random spatial variations in \( \omega \), average to zero in a large system. However, exotic rotational fluctuations still have \( \langle \omega^2 \rangle > 0 \), so if they represented real classical motion they would produce a spatially and temporally fluctuating centrifugal acceleration. The time averaged radial component \( \langle \ddot{r}/r \rangle \) depends on scale; from Eqs. (3), and (9), it equals cosmic acceleration on the scale \( R_\Lambda \) where variance (Eq. [6]) is

\[
\langle \omega(R_\Lambda)^2 \rangle = H_\Lambda^2 = \Lambda/3. \tag{10}
\]

The integrated radial component of exotic rotational fluctuations thus statistically mimics cosmic acceleration on large scales. The observed cosmic acceleration would naturally arise if centrifugal acceleration in the emergent space-time remains “virtual” at separation scale \( R < R_\Lambda \), but behaves like a real fluctuation with \( \langle \ddot{r}/r \rangle \approx \langle \omega(R)^2 \rangle \) at separation scale \( R > R_\Lambda \). Heuristically, we could say that the rotationally fluctuating vacuum on the scale \( \approx R_\Lambda \) statistically “shakes space apart” on large scales.
Notice now a possibly profound coincidence, that the actual value of $R_\Lambda$ needed to explain cosmic acceleration emerges naturally from the Standard Model vacuum. From Eqs. (6) and (10), we find
\[ R_\Lambda/l_P \approx (H_\Lambda t_P)^{-2/3}, \] (11)
the Chandrasekhar radius (Eq. 7) for particle mass
\[ m_\Lambda/m_p \approx (R_\Lambda/l_P)^{-1/2} \approx (H_\Lambda t_P)^{1/3}. \] (12)

Remarkably, this particle mass scale $m_\Lambda$ derived from cosmic acceleration is about equal to the QCD chiral symmetry breaking scale $m_Q \approx 200 \text{MeV}/c^2$, where states of the strong interaction vacuum change from massless to massive behavior. Cosmic acceleration with the observed properties occurs if, in quantum gravity, exotic rotational fluctuations of vacuum states with wavenumber $\leq m_Qc/\hbar$ create real centrifugal fluctuations of order $\langle \omega(Rc)^2 \rangle$ at their Chandrasekhar radius, $R_C(m_Q)$. A cosmic horizon forms on the scale $c/H_\Lambda$ from the integrated effect of many small, $R_\Lambda$-scale regions fluctuating at angular velocity $\omega \approx H_\Lambda$. Although the cosmological constant is predicted to fluctuate in this model, the scale $R_\Lambda \approx R_C(m_Q) \approx 60 \text{ km}$ is so small that the predicted spatial and temporal fluctuations make no detectable difference from a uniform, classical cosmological constant.

The coincidence of kinematic behavior and scale hints at a physical connection between the cosmological constant and strong interactions, as contemplated long ago by Zeldovich [21], and more recently by Bjorken and others [22–26]. Causal symmetry provides a physical rationale for why the QCD vacuum might make kinematic effects of exotic rotational fluctuations real at the scale where virtual particles acquire mass, but remain virtual at scales where they are massless. Classical centrifugal acceleration from rotation at rate $\omega$ relative to the inertial frame can be interpreted in the rotating frame as a linear radial gradient of time dilation, an apparent curvature with radius $c/\omega$. The exotic shift in vacuum phase around a closed loop of dimension $\approx R_\Lambda$ creates a displacement $\approx h/m_Qc^2$. This shift emerges as a real gravitational time dilation between world lines with this separation, because the QCD vacuum at low energies, unlike the light path considered above, does not obey the null symmetry of the geometry. Massive particles can form clocks and local oscillators, which can measure exotic radial time dilation between separate clocks in the rotating frame. That cannot happen with particles moving only on null trajectories; photons on their own cannot be used to measure time.

Although the full quantum gravity system presumably obeys unitarity, the QCD field subsystem on its own does not appear to conserve information, because it is entangled with geometry via exotic departures from classical time, such as those discussed above. Timelike states at low energy cause information to be lost from fields on the scale $R_\Lambda$, where it is “swallowed” by the geometry.

The measured value of $\Lambda$ can thus be compared directly with measurements of $m_Q$ in terms of information flow. The gravitational holographic entropy, or information “lost” over the cosmic horizon, should match a tiny departure from unitarity defined in classical time at the level of field states. Suppose that some wavenumber $k_Q$ marks a sharp boundary between timelike and null information in field degrees of freedom. The holographic entropy $S$ is one quarter of the area of the cosmic event horizon in Planck units:
\[ S = \pi c^2 H_\Lambda. \] (13)

Dividing by the 3-volume gives the holographic information density, $I_\Lambda = S(3H_\Lambda^2/4\pi c^3) = 3H_\Lambda/4\pi^2 c^3$. The density of free field modes per 3D volume with an ultraviolet cutoff at wavenumber $k$ is $I_f(k) = k^3\pi^3/3(2\pi)^3$. A free scalar field therefore matches cosmic information (that is, $I_\Lambda = I_f(k)$) for a field cutoff at $k_Q = k_3 = (H_\Lambda9\pi^2/2)^{1/3}$. Taking an estimate [27] of $H_\Lambda = H_0^{1/2} = 0.99 \pm 0.018 \times 10^{-61} \text{m/c}^{-1}$ from a typical fit to current cosmological data [28, 29], we find that the cosmic information matches field information with a cutoff at $k_Qc = 1.65 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-20} \text{m/c}^2 = 201 \pm 1.2 \text{ MeV}$, (14) remarkably close to $m_Q$ estimated from laboratory measurements [29].

These physical arguments are based on comparing separate extrapolations from quantum field theory in classical space-time, and exotic rotational correlations in flat, empty space-time. They hint that a full quantum theory that includes both fields and space-time might provide a link between the QCD vacuum in its field-theory limit, and the cosmological constant in its general-relativity limit. It appears that if exotic rotational correlations are shown experimentally to exist, an explanation based on conserved information in the whole system could naturally account for the absolute value of the cosmological constant from already known constants of physics, without additional parameters, scales, or fields.

Because $m_Q$ also approximately determines atomic masses, the cosmic acceleration timescale $H_\Lambda^{-1}$ in this scenario depends on approximately the same combination of physical constants as a stellar lifetime [30]—albeit, for very different physical reasons. Thus, there may be a natural solution to what is sometimes called the “why now” problem of dark energy, that does not need to invoke anthropic selection from a multiverse. In this picture, all of the cosmic large numbers can be attributed to logarithmic running of coupling with energy scale [31].
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