Ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in topological Dirac semimetals
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We propose an unconventional type of Hall effect in a topological Dirac semimetal with ferromagnetic electrodes. The topological Dirac semimetal itself has time-reversal symmetry, whereas attached ferromagnetic electrodes break it, causing the large Hall response. This induced Hall effect is a characteristic of the helical surface/edge states that arise in topological materials, such as topological Dirac semimetals or quantum spin Hall insulators. We compute the Hall conductance/resistance and the Hall angle by using a lattice model with four-terminal geometry. For topological Dirac semimetals with four electrodes, the induced Hall effect occurs whether the current electrodes or the voltage electrodes are ferromagnetic. When the spins in electrodes are almost fully polarized, the Hall angle becomes as large as that of quantum Hall states or ideal magnetic Weyl semimetals. We show the robustness of the induced Hall effect against impurities and also discuss the spin injection and spin decay problems. This Hall response can be used to detect whether the magnetizations of the two ferromagnetic electrodes are parallel or antiparallel.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Hall effect occurs when a magnetic field is applied or when both magnetic ordering and spin-orbit coupling are present. The former refers to the ordinary Hall effect and the latter the anomalous Hall effect [1]. The ordinary Hall effect results from Lorentz force in a magnetic field, while the anomalous Hall effect is known to be caused by spin-orbit coupled scattering (the extrinsic effect) or spin-orbit coupled band structure (the intrinsic effect). In any case, the time-reversal symmetry breaking in the bulk plays an essential role in the Hall effects. In this work, we propose an alternative way to obtain the Hall effect without breaking the time-reversal symmetry in the bulk; the Hall effect can be induced by attaching the ferromagnetic electrodes to nonmagnetic topological Dirac semimetals (TDSs).

The TDS is a topological state of quantum matter realized in Na\textsubscript{3}Bi [2, 3], Cd\textsubscript{3}As\textsubscript{2} [4, 5], CuMnAs [6], or Co\textsubscript{3−x}Ni\textsubscript{x}Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2} [7, 8], for example. The TDS is characterized by a pair of band touching points (Dirac points) in the bulk and the surface helical Fermi arcs connecting the Dirac points [9–11]. On the surface, spin-up electrons travel in one direction, and spin-down electrons travel in the opposite direction (red and blue lines with arrows shown in Fig. 1). The TDS exhibits the intrinsic semi-quantized spin Hall effect [12–14] and is regarded as a three-dimensional analogue of the two-dimensional quantum spin Hall insulators [15, 16]. The Hamiltonian of the TDS is time-reversal invariant, and the net (charge) Hall effect cancels in the bulk TDS.

The ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in the TDS is anticipated as follows. We first consider a geometry where the current electrodes (referred to as the source and drain electrodes) are spin-polarized [see Fig. 1(a)]. Here the $z$ axis is set so that the pair of Dirac points is separated along the $k_z$ axis, while the $x$ axis is the current flowing direction. The Hall response refers to an electric potential difference generated in the $y$ direction. The helical surface states appear on the surfaces parallel to the $z$ axis, which mediate the current from the source to drain electrodes. The spin-up electrons injected from the source electrode propagate only on one surface, i.e., the top surface, and enter the drain electrode. Spin-down electrons propagate on the other surface, i.e., the bottom surface. When the source and drain electrodes are spin-polarized and more spin-up electrons exist than spin-down electrons, more current flows on the top surface than on the bottom surface. As the top and bottom electrodes are in thermal equilibrium with electrons on the surface, a finite chemical potential difference arises. Thus, the Hall response occurs even if the bulk remains time-reversal invariant.
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FIG. 1. Schematic figures of the four-terminal geometries: (a) the current electrodes are ferromagnetic while the voltage electrodes are paramagnetic (I-FM), and (b) the voltage electrodes are ferromagnetic while the current electrodes are paramagnetic (V-FM). The aspect ratio of the system is set to be $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 6L \times L \times L$. The voltage electrodes of width 1 are attached at the center of the top/bottom sides. The blue and red allows on the surface of TDS represent the current carrying states with up and down spins: the helical surface states.
The Hall effect due to the injected spin-polarized current can also be explained by an analogy of the inverse spin-Hall effect, which is a Hall effect induced by a pure spin current in the bulk. However, the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect can be realized even without net spin current in the bulk. The Hall effect occurs when the voltage electrodes are ferromagnetic [see Fig. 1(b)]. In this case, the Hall voltage arises because the helical surface states carry spin-up/down current on the top/bottom surface, respectively, and less electrons flow into the top/bottom electrodes if the spin-up/down state is the minority state, respectively. We found that the ferromagnetic-voltage-electrodes-induced Hall effect shows qualitatively the same property as the ferromagnetic-current-electrodes-induced Hall effect; the Hall response is proportional to the polarization of the electrodes for parallel magnetizations and vanishes for antiparallel magnetizations. Since the spin current is not injected, the ferromagnetic-voltage-electrodes-induced Hall effect does not suffer from the conductance mismatch and spin decay problems. These ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect enables us to measure the magnetization of the electrode by the Hall response and is promising as a future spintronics material such as a magnetic memory device and spin MOSFET [17, 18].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce a simple lattice model Hamiltonian for the description of TDSs. In Sec. III A, we numerically calculate transport properties and show that a Hall effect is induced in TDSs by ferromagnetic electrodes. In Sec. III B, we discuss the efficiency of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect and the problem of spin injection. In Sec. III C, we propose that the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect causes the Hall magnetoresistance effect with diverging magnetoresistance ratio. In Sec. IV, we introduce a normal metallic model with spin scatterers and show that the large ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect is a feature of TDSs. The effect of spin diffusion and stability of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs is discussed in Sec. IV B. Then the paper is concluded in Sec. V.

**II. MODEL AND METHOD**

We employ a simple cubic-lattice model that describes the generic properties of TDSs around the Dirac point. The tight-binding Hamiltonian is

\[
H = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[ \frac{it}{2} (| \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_x \rangle \tau_x \sigma_z \langle \mathbf{r}| + | \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_y \rangle \tau_y \sigma_0 \langle \mathbf{r}|) + \text{H.c.} \right] \\
+ \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} \left[ | \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_\mu \rangle \left( -\frac{m^2}{2} \tau_z \sigma_0 \right) \langle \mathbf{r}| + \text{H.c.} \right] \\
+ \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \mathbf{r}| (m_0 \tau_z \sigma_0) \langle \mathbf{r}| ,
\]

where \( \tau \) and \( \sigma \) are Pauli matrices and correspond to orbital and spin degrees of freedom, respectively. Note that the model is spin \( s_z \) conserved unless spin scattering is induced (in Sec. IV). The topological property of an \( s_z \)-conserved TDS thin film is characterized by the spin Chern number [19], which is equivalent to the Chern number for spin subbands and the number of the helical surface states on a side surface. The Chern number for a spin sector in this model can be obtained easily [20]. We set \( m_0/m_2 = 2 \) so that the Dirac points locate at \( (0, 0, \pm \pi/2) \) in the momentum space. Then, the spin Chern number \( \mathcal{N}_s \) of the thin film is determined by the thickness \( L_z \) as \( \mathcal{N}_s = L_z/2 \). We mention that the discussions in this paper is not limited to a specific TDS but apply to any system with helical edge states (i.e., with nonzero spin Chern number). In fact, the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall Effect is obtained also in quantum spin Hall systems (see Appendix A) or effective models with material parameters of Na$_3$Bi and Cd$_3$As$_3$ (see Appendix B). We set the hopping parameters \( t = 2 \) and \( m_2 = 1 \), and fix the Fermi energy at the Dirac point \( E = 0 \) unless mentioned.

The electrodes are semi-infinite one-dimensional ideal metallic wires (where the hopping \( t = 2 \) and the energy is located at the band center), which couples to the TDS with the hopping parameter \( t' \). The ferromagnetic (FM) electrodes are realized by multiplying the weight of the up and down spin channels in the electrodes, \( n^\uparrow \) and \( n^\downarrow \), respectively. The magnetization (strength and angle) of the FM electrode is represented by the polarization, which is defined as

\[
P = \frac{n^\downarrow - n^\uparrow}{n^\uparrow + n^\downarrow} .
\]

We ignore the exchange coupling in the TDS for simplicity. Since the exchange splitting makes the TDS ferromagnetic [21], one can regard the region of TDS with exchange coupling as a part of the ferromagnetic electrode. In a configuration for a magnetic sensor, the ferromagnetic electrodes may be separated by barrier (e.g., MgO) layers. These details of the electrodes are included in the coupling strength \( t' \) and polarization \( P \) of the electrodes.

We consider two types of four-terminal geometries with FM electrodes shown in Fig. 1: FM current electrodes (I-FM) and FM voltage electrodes (V-FM). We calculate resistances (in units of \( h/e^2 \)) and conductances (in units of \( e^2/h \)) based on the Landauer-Büttiker formula. The source-drain resistance \( R_{SD} \) and the Hall resistance \( R_H \) are defined as

\[
R_{SD} = \frac{\mu_S - \mu_D}{eI_{SD}} \, , \quad R_H = \frac{\mu_B - \mu_T}{eI_{SD}} \, ,
\]

and the source-drain conductance \( G_{SD} \) and the Hall conductance \( G_H \) are defined as

\[
G_{SD} = \frac{1}{R_{SD}} \, , \quad G_H = \frac{R_H}{R_H^2 + R_{SD}^2} \, ,
\]

where \( I_{SD} \) is the current between the source and drain electrodes, with \( \mu_S, \mu_D, \mu_T \) and \( \mu_B \) are the chemical potentials of the source, drain, top voltage, and bottom
voltage electrodes, respectively. The chemical potentials are calculated by the recursive Green function method [22, 23].

III. FERROMAGNETIC-ELECTRODES-INDUCED HALL EFFECT

A. Polarization dependence

We first show that the Hall effect is induced by increasing the polarization of the electrodes. For simplicity, we consider the clean I-FM and V-FM TDSs with the same polarizations in the FM electrodes \( P_1 = P_2 = P \). Figure 2 shows the calculated Hall resistance \( R_H \) and Hall conductance \( G_H \) as functions of the polarization of the electrodes \( P \). For \( P = 0 \), which means the density of states for up and down spins in the FM electrodes are equal (i.e., the electrodes are effectively paramagnetic), the Hall resistance [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] and conductance [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)] vanish. However, for a finite \( P \), where the density of states for up and down spins are imbalanced, a finite Hall effect is induced. The Hall response \( (R_H \text{ or } G_H) \) linearly rises, and the absolute value increases monotonically with increasing \( |P| \). The Hall response is antisymmetric with respect to \( P \) because the TDS is time-reversal symmetric (note that the time-reversal operation reverses spins). That is, the direction of the Hall response can be inverted by flipping the magnetizations of the FM electrodes. As one of the main results of this paper, we found that the transport properties of the TDS change drastically when the FM electrodes are attached and are sensitive to the magnetization of the electrodes; the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect arises even though the TDS itself is time-reversal symmetric.

While the Hall conductance is enhanced with increasing polarization \( |P| \) in both I-FM and V-FM TDSs [Figs. 2(e) and 2(f)], the longitudinal transport \( G_{SD} \) behaves differently for the I-FM and V-FM [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)]. In the I-FM, \( G_{SD} \) decreases with increasing \( |P| \) because the injected current is restricted to the spin-up states. In the V-FM, \( G_{SD} \) increases with increasing \( |P| \) because the spin mixing in the electrodes is suppressed. To summarize, the TDS with FM electrodes shows a positive longitudinal magnetoresistance for the I-FM and a negative one for the V-FM and shows the positive/negative ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect at a positive/negative polarization.

Next, we evaluate the strength of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect. We use the ratio \( R_{H}/G_{SD} \) as an indicator of the efficiency of the Hall effect and call it “Hall angle” (this corresponds to the ratio of the Hall and source-drain voltage \( V_H/V_{SD} \), and is not equivalent to the ratio of the bulk Hall and longitudinal conductivities \( \sigma_H/\sigma_{xx} \)). By focusing on the Hall angle, we can exclude the unessential dependence on the system size and aspect ratio. The Hall angle takes the maximum value \(|R_{H}/G_{SD}| = 1\) for the ideal quantum (anomalous) Hall effect, while it is much smaller (typically, \(|R_{H}/G_{SD}| \approx 0.01\) for extrinsic Hall effects in conventional materials (we discuss later, in Sec. IV). The evaluated Hall angle of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect is shown in Fig. 3. For a half-metallic electrode \( |P| \approx 1 \), the Hall angle is close to 1, i.e., as large as the quantum (anomalous) Hall effect, in both the I-FM and V-FM TDSs.

B. Spin injection

The Hall angle in I-FM TDSs [Fig. 3(a)] depends on the coupling parameter \( t' \) between the electrodes and TDS; the Hall angle becomes large for a small \( t' \). This tells us the condition of the electrodes for obtaining a large ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect because the
FIG. 3. Hall angle $R_H/R_{SD}$ as a function of the polarization of the current electrodes $P$ for the (a) I-FM and (b) V-FM TDSs with $L = 20$. The Hall angle for the I-FM TDS depends on the coupling strength of the electrodes $t' = t/4$ (dotted), $t'/2$ (solid), and $t$ (dashed), while that for the V-FM TDS does not.

parameter $t'$ involves the information of the electrode and interface (e.g., the density of states in the electrode, lattice mismatch, and contact resistance). When the FM electrodes are fully polarized (half-metallic), we obtain a large Hall angle irrespective of details of the electrodes. When the FM electrodes are partially polarized, we can achieve a large Hall angle by using the FM electrodes with small $t'$, say, with large contact resistance.

The $t'$ dependence of the Hall angle can be understood as a problem of the spin injection from metallic electrodes to semiconductors, which is known as the conductance mismatch or impedance mismatch problem [17]. In our model, we have three parameters relevant to the spin injection: the coupling $t'$ and system size $L$, in addition to $P$. The coupling $t'$ is related to the contact resistance. The number of the current-carrying states in a metallic electrode is proportional to the cross section ($\sim L^2$), while that in the TDS (the helical surface states) is proportional to the length along the direction of the Dirac nodes separation ($z$ axis), i.e., the thickness ($\sim L$). In order to understand the mismatch problem, we have to investigate the dependence of the Hall angle on $t'$ and $L$ independently.

First, we show the $t'$ dependence of the Hall angle in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). In the I-FM TDS [Fig. 4(a)], the Hall angle has a maximum at a small $t' (\sim t/4)$ and decreases with increasing $t'$. In contrast, in the V-FM TDS, the Hall angle [Fig. 4(b)] is not so sensitive to $t'$ as in the I-FM TDS. Next, we show the $L$ dependence of the Hall angle in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). The Hall angle in the I-FM TDS [Fig. 4(c)] decays exponentially with increasing system size $L$, while that in the V-FM TDS [Fig. 4(d)] increases and saturates for a large $L$. Here we note that the Hall angle depends mainly on the width $L_x$, though we have kept the aspect ratio for consistency in Fig. 4.

The mechanism of the decay of the Hall angle in the I-FM TDS can be explained as follows. When the source electrode is highly polarized ($P \approx 1$), the spin-up current is injected into the TDS more than the spin-down current, and hence the Hall effect arises [see Fig. 4(e)]. Here, since the number of the current-carrying states (the helical edge states) in the TDS is much smaller than that in the metallic FM electrode, the spin-up current cannot be injected exceeding the capacity of the helical edge states. On the other hand, the spin-down current can be accumulatively injected into the TDS as the helical surface states run along the boundary [see Fig. 4(f)]. Therefore, when the length of the interface along the helical surface states $L_y$ is long enough or the coupling $t'$ is strong enough, the spin-down current becomes as large as the spin-up current. Hence the Hall angle is small for a large $t'$ or a large $L$ in the I-FM TDS.

We found a practical difference between the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effects in the I-FM and V-FM TDSs in terms of the spin injection. The I-FM TDS tends to suffer from the spin injection since the current electrodes are essentially metallic. In contrast, the V-FM TDS is relatively insensitive to the spin injection problem, because we can make the voltage electrodes arbitrarily narrow or weak coupling. Therefore, even when the spin injection problem arises in actual materials, we may overcome the problem by employing the V-FM geometry. The upper bound of the Hall angle $R_H/R_{SD}$ at a certain $P$ can be estimated from the extrapolation of the curves in Fig. 4. We found, in both the I-FM and V-FM TDSs, that the upper bound of the Hall angle of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect will be $P$ (i.e., $R_H/R_{SD} = P$ if we ignore the spin injection problem).

C. Hall magnetoresistance

The bulk Hall effect with a large Hall angle can be achieved in magnetic Weyl semimetals (WSMs). The magnetic WSM [9–11, 24–29] is a time-reversal-broken topological state and is one of the promising systems for next-generation spintronics devices due to its fancy transport properties (e.g., huge longitudinal magnetoresistance effects [30–32]). The magnetic WSM state is proposed in magnetic kagome layered materials (Fe$_3$Sn$_2$ [33, 34], Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$ [35–41], and Mn$_3$Sn [42–44]), and Heusler compounds (Ti$_2$MnAl [45], Co$_2$MnGa [46, 47], and Co$_2$MnAl [48]). Especially, the Hall angle is reported as 0.3 [40, 41] for Co$_3$Sn$_2$S$_2$, 0.1 [46] for Co$_2$MnGa, and 0.2 [48] for Co$_2$MnAl.

In comparison with the bulk anomalous Hall effect in magnetic WSMs, the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs has an advantage in controllability. That is, the strength and on/off of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect can be controlled externally without modifying the TDS state. Changing the magnetization of FM electrodes will be much easier since there is a broader range of candidates for the FM electrodes than the topological materials. Furthermore, since the FM electrodes are spatially separated, we can change the magnetizations of the FM electrodes independently. This provides more application potentiality to the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect.

We then show a feature of the ferromagnetic-
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图 5. 典型的示意图与自旋相关的电流流入
在（a）I-FM 和（b）V-FM TDSs 中同时发生的
磁致电阻比。注意，当磁化的方向在 I-FM 时
与 V-FM TDSs 中时，影响磁化方向的效应便
会消失。在实践中，影响磁化方向的效应
通常是由于一个弱的垂直线上的 $t'$ 而消失
（图 5）。具体而言，对于一个弱 $t'$ 和一个
小 $L$，而当 $t'$ 等于一个足够大时，I-FM TDSs
和 V-FM TDSs 中的示意图是相同的
（图 5）。因此，我们可以通过图 5 中的
I-FM TDS 与 V-FM TDSs 中的示意图
to detect the polar-
ization of the electrodes from antiparallel to parallel, we can
obtain a large Hall magnetoresistance effect. Note that
the Hall magnetoresistance ratio, which is defined as $R_{\text{H}}(P;P')/R_{\text{H}}(P;P_0)$ or $R_{\text{H}}(P_1,P_2)/R_{\text{H}}(0,0)$,
for example, always diverges theoretically. In practice, the Hall
magnetoresistance ratio will be finite since the Hall resistance
TDS behaves as $t'/2$ Fig. 6(c)] and the V-FM TDSs
Figs. 6(b) and 6(d)].

When we fix the polarization of one of the FM electrodes $P_2$ to be zero (the vertical lines on $P_1 = 0$ in
Fig. 6), the Hall angle becomes just one half of the value
for $P_1 = P_2 = P$ (shown in Fig. 3). Specifically, the
Hall angle is almost proportional to $P_1$, and can be as
large as $R_{\text{H}}/R_{\text{SD}} = 0.5$ for $P_1 = 1$ or $R_{\text{H}}/R_{\text{SD}} = -0.5$ for
$P_1 = -1$. This means that we can detect the polar-
ization (up/down and magnitude) of a sample from the
Hall angle, by preparing the four-terminal device with
one of the four electrodes is FM (sample), and the others
are paramagnetic. Although the FM sample can be either
the current or voltage electrode, the voltage electrode
will be more efficient because of the lack of the spin
injection problem, as discussed in Sec. III.B.

D. Energy dependence

We here show that a large Hall angle obtained in a
broad range of energy. The density of states $\rho$ of a bulk
TDS behaves as $\rho \sim E^2$ around the Dirac point (in our
model, this behavior holds up to $|E| \sim t$). Since
the density of states (and longitudinal conductivity) vanishes
only at the Dirac point $E = 0$, one should be careful
whether or not the obtained results are the singularity of
$E = 0$. The energy dependence of the Hall angle is shown
in Fig. 7. In both I-FM and V-FM geometries, the Hall
angle is large around the Dirac point and finite in the
Hall angle, we introduce a model for the normal and the spin diffusion. In order to understand the extrinsic Hall effect, it also breaks the spin conservation and destroys the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect. Thus, there are maxima in $G_H$ and $R_H$ as functions of the spin-scattering parameters $W_s$ and $\rho$. Even though we have tuned $W_s$ and $\rho$ so as to obtain the Hall effect efficiently (i.e., $W_s = 0.5$ and $\rho = 5\%$), the Hall angle is still significantly smaller than that from the intrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs. Therefore, we can say that the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect with a large Hall angle is a feature of TDSs. This also implies that the extrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs, which have a smaller density of states metal (NM) on the cubic lattice,

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} \left( \langle \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_\mu \rangle \left(-\frac{L}{2}\sigma_0 \right) \langle |\mathbf{r}| + \text{H.c.} \right),$$  

with the same hopping parameter as the TDS model ($t = 2$). We fix the Fermi energy at the band center.

We introduce spin scatterers $U_s \propto W_s (\mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{p}) \cdot \nabla V \ [49]$, as

$$U_s = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\mu,\nu,\gamma=x,y,z} \sum_{m,n=\pm 1} (-iW_s) e_{\mu\nu\gamma} mns_{\gamma} \times [V(\mathbf{r} + me_\mu) - V(\mathbf{r} + ne_\nu)] (\mathbf{r} + me_\mu + ne_\nu),$$  

with the impurity potential $V(\mathbf{r})$. For simplicity, we consider short-ranged impurities with height 1, which are distributed randomly on the lattice sites with the density $\rho$. The spin operators $s_{x,z} = \tau_0 s_{x,z}$ and $s_y = \tau_z s_y$ for the TDS, and $s_i = \sigma_i$ for the NM model. We also introduce the impurity potential term

$$U_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[ V(\mathbf{r}) - \bar{V} \right] s_0 (\mathbf{r}),$$  

where the averaged potential $\bar{V}$ is substituted so as to keep the energy of the Dirac point ($E = 0$) unchanged.

IV. SPIN SCATTERING

Next, we discuss the effect of spin scattering. The spin scattering induces two effects: the extrinsic Hall effect and the spin diffusion. In order to understand the extrinsic Hall effect, we introduce a model for the normal range $|E| < t$ where the Dirac cone (i.e., the quadratic behavior of $\rho$) arises. While the Hall angle shows fluctuations due to the discretized energy levels in finite-size systems, the Hall angle in V-FM TDS [Fig. 7(b)] is relatively stable against the energy deviation.

FIG. 6. Color map of the Hall angle $\frac{R_H(P_1, P_2)}{R_{SD}(P_1, P_2)}$ with (a)(b) a large electrodes coupling $t' = t$ and (c)(d) a small electrodes coupling $t' = t/4$. Left/right panels are for the clean I-FM/V-FM TDS. The horizontal and vertical axes correspond to the polarization of the pair of FM electrodes. The system size is $L = 20$. 

FIG. 7. Hall angle as a function of Fermi energy $E/t$ in (a) I-FM and (b) V-FM TDSs. The electrodes are half-metallic $P = 1$ with coupling $t' = t/4$, and the size $L = 20$. 

We show that the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect can also be raised by the extrinsic mechanism, but its strength is much weaker than the intrinsic one in TDSs. In general, the origin of the anomalous Hall effect can be divided into two types: intrinsic and extrinsic. Typically, the former arises in systems with spin-orbit coupled bands, such as topological systems, and the latter comes from spin scattering by impurities. By introducing the spin scatterers into a FM metal, an extrinsic anomalous Hall effect occurs [1]. In the same way, by introducing the spin scatterers into a nonmagnetic metal with FM electrodes, an extrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect arises (see Fig. 8). Although the absolute value of the Hall conductance can be comparable to that in TDSs, the Hall angle is a few percent at most. While the spin scattering generates the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect, it also breaks the spin conservation and destroys the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect. Thus, there are maxima in $G_H$ and $R_H$ as functions of the spin-scattering parameters $W_s$ and $\rho$. Even though we have tuned $W_s$ and $\rho$ so as to obtain the Hall effect efficiently (i.e., $W_s = 0.5$ and $\rho = 5\%$), the Hall angle is still significantly smaller than that from the intrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs. Therefore, we can say that the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect with a large Hall angle is a feature of TDSs. This also implies that the extrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs, which have a smaller density of states
than NMs, is negligibly weak compared with the intrinsic one. We also note that the spin injection problem seen in TDSs does not occur in NMs because both the electrodes and conductor are metallic, and the Hall angle is insensitive to \( t' \).

The Hall conductance and Hall angle in the I-FM NM [Figs. 8(a) and 8(c)] are much smaller than those in the V-FM NM [Figs. 8(b) and 8(d)]. This makes a good contrast with the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs, where both the I-FM and V-FM show a large Hall angle (Fig. 3). The difference between the I-FM and V-FM NMs comes from the another consequence of the spin scattering: the spin diffusion.

### B. Spin diffusion

The spin diffusion is one of the important problems in spintronics. Here we show that the spin-diffusion length in TDSs is extremely long compared with NMs. When only the source electrode is polarized (I-FM geometry with \( P_1 = 1 \) and \( P_2 = 0 \)), the injected spin current decays in the \( x \) direction, and the Hall effect decays in the same way. Therefore, the decay length \( \xi \) of the Hall conductance \( G_H \) corresponds to the spin-diffusion length. Figure 9 shows the averaged Hall conductance \( \langle G_H \rangle \) as a function of \( l \), the distance from the FM source electrode to the voltage electrodes. In a long wire, the Hall conductance \( \langle G_H \rangle \) decays exponentially with increasing \( l \). Note that this decay causes the small Hall conductance and small Hall angle in the I-FM NM [Figs. 8(a) and 8(c)]. The decay length \( \xi \) in NMs is about 20 sites (for \( W_s = 0.5 \) and \( \rho = 5\% \)), and it becomes shorter for a strong spin scattering. On the other hand, the decay length \( \xi \) (\( \sim 6 \times 10^2 \) sites, as a rough estimate) in TDSs is about 30 times longer than in NMs with the same spin scattering strength.

Lastly, we mention an important feature of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs: the stability against the spin scattering. Obviously, the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs is robust against the spin-conserving impurity scattering, since the effect originates from the helical surface states, i.e., the topological property. However, the spin scattering induces the backscattering into the helical surface states, and it may destroy the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect. Figure 10 shows the Hall angles for the I/V-FM TDSs and NMs as functions of the spin-scattering amplitude \( W_s \) with the scatterer density \( \rho = 5\% \). Even though the impurity density is large, the Hall angle in TDSs [Figs. 10(a) and 10(b)] keeps more than one-half of the value in the clean limit for a strong spin-scattering \( W_s \lesssim t = 2 \). This shows that the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs are stable against the spin scattering. The Hall angle in NMs [Figs. 10(c) and 10(d)], which comes from the extrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect, shows a maximum around \( W_s = 0.5 \) (\( \rho = 5\% \) is also a maximum), and the maximum value is only a few percent of that in TDSs. The rapid decay of the Hall angle in the I-FM NM [Fig. 10(c)] corresponds to the decrease of spin-diffusion length.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

We have numerically studied the transport properties of the TDS with FM electrodes. We have found that an unconventional type of Hall effect is induced by the
Hall angle in TDSs, and the Hall magnetoresistance effect compared with the intrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced effect coming from the spin scatterers is negligibly small compared with the extrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect. Thus, the extrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect can be regarded as the Hall magnetoresistance effect.

In contrast to the anomalous Hall effect in magnetic WSMs, which is an inherent feature, the strength of the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect can be externally controlled by the magnetization of the electrodes. In fact, the Hall response is maximized when the electrodes are magnetized in parallel and vanishes when the electrodes are magnetized in antiparallel. Thus, the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect can be regarded as the Hall magnetoresistance effect.

This Hall magnetoresistance is significant because the magnetoresistance ratio diverges in principle. The sensitivity to the magnetization of the electrodes implies that we can detect the magnetization of a material attached to the TDS (e.g., a memory cell), via the Hall response. Although the spintronics devices using the spin current often suffers from the problem of the efficiency of spin injection, we have shown that the problem may not be serious if the FM electrodes are the Hall voltage probes. We note that the TDS (Cd$_3$As$_2$) with FM (Co) voltage electrodes is realized recently. We have also shown that the extrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect coming from the spin scatterers is negligibly small compared with the intrinsic ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect in TDSs, and the Hall magnetoresistance effect is stable against impurities.

Here, we comment on the condition for obtaining the large ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect. The effect is not sensitive to the detail of the electrodes as long as the contact resistance is large enough, but highly polarized FM metals, such as half-metals, are preferable. In this paper, we have considered an ideal condition for the TDS where the transport due to the helical surface states are dominant. If a non-Dirac metallic band crosses the Fermi energy in addition to the helical surface bands, the maximum value of the Hall angle decreases, although it should be still finite as long as the helical surface states are not destroyed. The operating temperature of the device also depends on the energy range where the density of states is sufficiently small. Therefore, we expect a TDS with a small density of states near the Fermi energy to reproduce the diverging Hall magnetoresistance ratio from the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect.

The large and robust ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect is a characteristic of the helical surface/edge states. Thus, the results in this paper apply to any material with a helical surface/edge states, e.g., the two-dimensional quantum spin Hall insulators (see Appendix A) such as HgTe [51] and transition metal dichalcogenides [52], thin films of topological insulators [53], or higher-order topological insulators with helical hinge states [54], in addition to the TDSs Na$_3$Bi [2,3] and Cd$_3$As$_2$ [4,5] (see Appendix B). Recently, the kagome layered material Co$_{3-x}$Ni$_x$Sn$_2$S$_2$ [7, 8] was suggested as a candidate for the TDS. The ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect may be useful to identify the TDS state in such material by the transport measurement.
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**Appendix A: Quantum spin Hall systems**

We show that the ferromagnetic-electrodes-induced Hall effect is insensitive to the thickness as long as the helical surface/edge states are survived. Here we consider the single-layer limit of the model Eq. (1), which is equivalent to the Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang model [51] describing the quantum spin Hall (QSH) insulators. The model shows the nontrivial ($\mathcal{N}_c = +1$) phase for $0 < m_0/m_2 < 2$, and we set $m_0/m_2 = 1$.

Figure 11 shows the robustness of the effect against the change of the thickness. This indicates that the same discussions as the main text apply to $s_z$-conserved QSH systems (such as HgTe) or thin films of TDSs. Since the spin injection problem arises in the same manner as 3D TDSs, the advantage of the V-FM also holds in the 2D
When the scattering between the edge channels is neglected, the conductances/resistances will be quantized. The system shows QSH effect for normal (paramagnetic) electrodes. When all the electrodes are ferromagnetic, the system is effectively equivalent to a quantum anomalous Hall system.

| Electrodes | Para | All-FM | I-FM | V-FM |
|------------|------|--------|------|------|
| $G_{SD}$   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 2    |
| $G_{H}$    | 0    | 1/2    | 1/2  | 1    |
| $R_{SD}$   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1/2  |
| $R_{H}$    | 0    | 1      | 1    | 1/2  |

Although the realization of perpendicular magnetizations in thin films of electrodes may be challenging, the ferromagnetic electrodes induced Hall effect in thin films is more efficient; the Hall angle is large inside the bulk energy gap $|E| < t/2$ [see Fig. 11(c) and 11(d)].

When the scattering between the edge channels is negligible, i.e., the top and bottom edges are sufficiently separated, the conductances/resistances will be quantized. By assuming the ballistic transport via the edge states, we can analytically obtain the transport properties from the Landauer-Büttiker formalism. The quantized values of the four-terminal conductances/resistances with paramagnetic or half-metallic electrodes as listed in Table I.

**Appendix B: Na$_3$Bi and Cd$_3$As$_2$**

We illustrate the results in the main text performed on a minimal TDS model apply to realistic TDSs. For specific examples of the TDS, we employ the effective model up to the quadratic terms [2, 55, 56],

$$H = \sum_\mathbf{r} \left[ \frac{it}{2} (| \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_x \rangle \tau_z \sigma_z \langle \mathbf{r} | + | \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_y \rangle \tau_y \sigma_0 \langle \mathbf{r} |) \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

$$+ \sum_\mathbf{r} \left[ | \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_z \rangle \left( -\frac{m_1}{2} \tau_z \sigma_0 - \frac{C_1}{2} \tau_0 \sigma_0 \right) \langle \mathbf{r} | + \text{H.c.} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_\mathbf{r} \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} \left[ | \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}_\mu \rangle \left( -\frac{m_2}{2} \tau_z \sigma_0 - \frac{C_2}{2} \tau_0 \sigma_0 \right) \langle \mathbf{r} | + \text{H.c.} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_\mathbf{r} \left( m_0 \tau_z \sigma_0 + C_0 \tau_0 \sigma_0 \right) \langle \mathbf{r} |,$$

with material parameters of Na$_3$Bi and Cd$_3$As$_2$ from first principle calculations [2, 55] (see Table II).

The band structures for $L_y = L_z = 20$ and the corresponding Hall angles are shown in Fig. 12. The Hall angle is large in the range where the helical surface states arise in the band structure and vanishes where the density of states is large. In both Na$_3$Bi and Cd$_3$As$_2$, the maximum value of the Hall angle is as large as the ideal value 1 around the Dirac point $E = 0$. For $E = 0$, we have confirmed the dependence of the Hall angle on the polarization of electrodes and the effect of the spin injection are the same as those in the minimal model Eq. (1).

| Electrode | Na$_3$Bi | Cd$_3$As$_2$ |
|-----------|----------|-------------|
| $C_0$     | 1.183 eV | 0.306 eV    |
| $C_1$     | -0.118 eV| 0.033 eV    |
| $C_2$     | 0.654 eV | 0.144 eV    |
| $m_0$     | 1.754 eV | 0.376 eV    |
| $m_1$     | -0.228 eV| -0.058 eV   |
| $m_2$     | -0.806 eV| -0.169 eV   |
| $t$       | 0.485 eV | 0.070 eV    |
| $a$       | 5.07 Å   | 12.64 Å     |
| $c$       | 9.66 Å   | 25.43 Å     |
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