The antinociceptive effect of 4-substituted derivatives of 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione in mice
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Abstract The aim of the present experiments was to examine the antinociceptive activity of 4-substituted derivatives of 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione in mice. The compounds were synthesized using the so-called Mannich reaction and their structures were confirmed using IR and 1H-NMR spectra. The antinociceptive activity was investigated in two behavioral tests: the hot plate test and the writhing test. For preliminary estimation of other behavioral effects, the locomotor activity of mice, the motor coordination in the rota-rod test, and the myorelaxation in the chimney test were also studied. The changes in body temperature of animals were also recorded. We demonstrated that all examined compounds produced antinociceptive effect, both in the hot plate test and in the writhing test, without impact on the motor coordination and myorelaxation of animals. The pharmacological effect of all drugs has been developed within 60 min after administration of drugs; and in two cases (T-103 and T-104), it has been a short-lasting effect (up to 90 min). Two compounds (T-100 and T-102) also inhibited the locomotor activity of animals. T-104 induced the changes in body temperature of mice. Generally, we demonstrated that combination of two different heterocyclic systems (morpholine and 1,2,4-triazole) might be beneficial for reduction of nociception.
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Introduction

Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience that serves to alert an individual to actual or potential tissue damage. This damage can be caused by exposure to noxious chemical, mechanical, or thermal stimuli or by the presence of a pathologic process (tumor, muscle spasm, inflammation, nerve damage etc.; Mao 2009; Rabow and Pantilat 2014). In order to select and apply appropriate therapy, pain is distinguished by different types, such as somatic, visceral, neuropathic pain, etc. Each kind of pain is associated with different mechanisms, like inflammation, noxious stimulus, or nerve damage; thus, each situation requires different treatment schemes (Mao 2009; Rabow and Pantilat 2014).

Pain, perceived as suffering syndrome, should be alleviated as uncontrolled pain may induce further pathological changes, including shock. Generally, there are two groups of analgesics that are commonly used in the therapy of somatic and visceral pain (Turk et al. 2011). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and paracetamol are recommended for the therapy of mild to moderate pains, while opioids, the oldest analgesics, are mainly used to alleviate intense acute and severe chronic pains, often post-operative and cancer-related. Neuropathic pain is controlled by other drugs, such as tricyclic antidepressants or anti-seizure drugs (Dworkin et al. 2010). Unfortunately, typical analgesic drugs produce adverse effects. For example, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may induce peptic ulcers, heart failure and hypertension, renal dysfunctions, and allergy, while opioids may produce the state of tolerance and dependence or, at higher doses, respiratory depression (Grosser et al. 2011; Yaksh and Wallace 2011).

Thus, in the twenty-first century, pain therapy leaves much to be desired and is rather far from ideal. Therefore, searching for new drugs which could improve the quality of pain therapy seems to be most purposeful and firmly justified. Several
reports have demonstrated that the search for new analgesics has already been undertaken and the antinociceptive activity of different derivatives of 1,2,4-triazole has repeatedly been demonstrated (Gowda et al. 2011; Hussein et al. 2011; Uzgören-Baran et al. 2012; Vijesh et al. 2013). A very similar effect has also been induced by pyrazoline (Joshi et al. 2010) and morpholine derivatives (Ahmadi et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2008).

Therefore, the aim of the present experiments was to examine new compounds from the point of view of their antinociceptive activity. All the studied compounds were 4-substituted derivatives of 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione. Thus, all drugs are the combination of 1,2,4-triazole and morpholine groups which support their significance in pain control. The antinociceptive activity of the examined drugs was investigated in mice in the hot plate test and the writhing test, i.e., two generally accepted behavioral tests that are widely used in experimental neuropsychopharmacology to evaluate the antinociceptive effects of drugs. The hot-plate test used for evaluation of the centrally acting analgesics (Bastia et al. 2002; Grecksch et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2003; Thomsen et al. 2007) is a neurogenic-modulated model that produces, at constant temperature, two types of behavioral response (paw licking and jumping), both of which are considered to be supraspinally integrated. In turn, the writhing test is a chemical method used to induce pain of the peripheral origin by injection of irritant agents, such as acetic acid, in mice (Bastia et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2003; Reichert et al. 2001). Therefore, both tests were applied in the described experiments to extend knowledge on antinociceptive effects of the examined drugs and to recognize their influence on the centrally and peripherally acting stimuli. Also, the locomotor activity of mice, the motor coordination in rota-rod test, the miorelaxant effect in chimney test, and the changes in body temperature were studied in mice for preliminary estimation of other behavioral effects of new drugs.

Materials and methods

Chemistry

All the used reagents and solvents were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, USA) and Merck Co. (Darmstadt, Germany). Melting points were determined by a Fisher-Johns apparatus (Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) and were uncorrected. The 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a 250-MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin GmbH, Germany), using TMS as an internal standard and DMSO-d6 or CDCl3 as solvent. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 1725X FTIR spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed on an AMZ 851 CHX analyser (PG, Gdansk, Poland) and the obtained results were within ±0.4 % of their theoretical value.

General procedures for the synthesis of educts

Respective thiosemicarbazide and 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives were obtained according to the method described earlier (Plech et al. 2011). A solution of 10 mmol (1.70 g) of 4-chlorobenzoic acid hydrazide and equimolar amount of appropriate aryl isothiocyanate in 25 ml of anhydrous EtOH was heated under reflux for 15 min. Next, the ethanolic solution was cooled and the solid formed was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether, dried, and recrystallized from EtOH.

1-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-4-(2,4-dichlorophenyl) thiosemicarbazide

Yield: 90 % (3.36 g). Elemental analysis data for C14H10Cl3N3OS (374.67); calculated/found (%): C 44.88/44.70, H 2.69/2.85, N 11.22/11.08. All information on the compound may be retrieved in the CAS database (CAS Registry Number: 891643-37-3).

4-(4-Benzoylphenyl)-1-(4-chlorobenzoyl) thiosemicarbazide

Yield: 92 % (3.54 g). Elemental analysis data for C14H10BrClN3OS (384.68); calculated/found (%): C 43.71/43.79, H 2.88/2.67, N 10.92/10.86. All information on the compound may be retrieved in the CAS database (CAS Registry Number: 356576-31-3).

1-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-4-[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl]thiosemicarbazide

Yield: 79 % (3.22 g). 1H NMR (DMSO-d6): 7.03–7.74 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 10.04, 10.57, 11.56 (3 s, 3 H, NH). Elemental analysis data for C15H10Cl2F3N3OS (408.22); calculated/found (%): C 44.13/44.04, H 2.69/2.85, N 11.22/11.34. All information on the compound may be retrieved in the CAS database (CAS Registry Number: 891643-37-3).

1-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-4-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) thiosemicarbazide

Yield: 82 % (3.07 g). Elemental analysis data for C14H10Cl3N3OS (374.67); calculated/found (%): C 44.88/44.75, H 2.69/2.78, N 11.22/11.34. All information on the compound may be retrieved in the CAS database (CAS Registry Number: 891643-37-3).

1-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-4-(3-chlorobenzoyl) thiosemicarbazide

Yield: 85 % (2.89 g). Elemental analysis data for C14H10Cl3N3OS (340.23); calculated/found (%): C 49.42/49.58, H 3.26/3.45, N 12.35/12.19. All information on the compound may be retrieved in the CAS database (CAS Registry Number: 443298-33-7).

In order to obtain 1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones, a solution of respective thiosemicarbazide derivative (10 mmol) in 2 % NaOH was heated under reflux for 2 h. After cooling, the mixture was neutralized with 3 M HCl. The precipitate formed...
was filtered off and washed with distilled water. The compounds were recrystallized from EtOH.

4-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione

Yield: 83 % (2.96 g), m.p. 238-240 °C, 1H NMR (DMSO-d6): 7.39 (d, 2H, Ar-H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.55 (d, 2H, Ar-H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.70–7.95 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 14.39 (s, 1H, NH). Elemental analysis data for C14H8Cl3N3S (356.66); calculated/found (%): C 47.15/47.13, H 2.26/2.38, N 11.78/11.60.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione

Yield: 81 % (2.97 g). Elemental analysis data for C14H9BrClN3S (366.66); calculated/found (%): C 45.86/45.97, H 2.47/2.60, N 11.46/11.37. All information on the compound may be retrieved in the CAS database (CAS Registry Number: 537017-82-6).

4-(4-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione

Yield: 76 % (3.07 g), m.p. 242-245 °C, 1H NMR (DMSO-d6): 6.93–7.56 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 14.11 (s, 1H, NH). Elemental analysis data for C13H6Cl3F3N3S (390.21); calculated/found (%): C 46.17/46.28, H 2.07/2.00, N 10.77/10.85.

4-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione

Yield: 86 % (2.96 g), m.p. 238-240 °C, 1H NMR (DMSO-d6): 7.06–7.68 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 14.08 (s, 1H, NH). Elemental analysis data for C14H8Cl2N3S (356.66); calculated/found (%): C 52.19/52.30, H 2.82/2.76, N 13.04/12.90.

4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione

Yield: 80 % (2.58 g), m.p. 272–273 °C, 1H NMR (DMSO-d6): 7.10–7.61 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 14.13 (s, 1H, NH). Elemental analysis data for C14H8Cl2N3S (322.21); calculated/found (%): C 52.19/52.30, H 2.82/2.76, N 13.04/12.90.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds named as T-100–T-104

To a solution of corresponding 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-4-substituted-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione (10 mmol) in anhydrous ethanol (35 ml) equimolar amounts of morpholine and formaldehyde (37 %) were added. The obtained mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Next, distilled water (5 ml) was added and the precipitate formed was filtered off and recrystallized from ethanol. Spectral and physicochemical data of compounds T-100–T-104 are listed in Table 1.

Pharmacology

Animals

The experiments were carried out on male albino Swiss mice (20–30 g). The animals were kept 8–10 per cage at room temperature.
temperature of 22±1 °C, on natural day–night cycle (spring). Standard food (Murigran pellets, Bacutil, Motycz) and tap water were freely available. All the experiments were made between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m. After 1 week of adaptation and handling, the animals were divided into groups (10–14 animals/group) and prepared for the tests.

The study was performed according to the National Institute of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the European Community Council Directive for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and was approved by local ethics committee (The Medical University of Lublin Committee on the Use and Care of Animals).

Drugs

Before behavioral experiments, all the examined compounds were suspended in a little amount (3–4 drops) of Tween 80 and then diluted in saline. All the drugs were administered intraperitoneally (ip), except the new compounds in the writhing test that were subcutaneously (sc) injected. All the drugs were applied in volume of 10.0 ml/kg.

**Table 2** ED50 values and doses of the drugs used in behavioral experiments

| Drug  | ED50 value (mg/kg) | The doses of drug used in behavioral experiments (mg/kg) |
|-------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| T-100 | 100               | 5 and 10                                               |
| T-101 | 250               | 12.5 and 25                                            |
| T-102 | 2,000             | 100 and 200                                            |
| T-103 | 2,000             | 100 and 200                                            |
| T-104 | 2,000             | 100 and 200                                            |

The lack of the significant changes in time spending on the rotating rod and in the chimney confirms that all of the studied compounds did not influence on the motor coordination and myorelaxation of mice

The control animals received same volumes of saline at the respective time before the test.

The acute toxicity of the studied compounds was assessed in mice, according to the Litchfield and Wilcoxon method (1949), as ED50 calculated for mortality within 48 h. In the pharmacological experiments, each compound was injected in doses equivalent to 0.1 and 0.05 ED50 (Table 2).

**Behavioral experiments**

The antinociceptive activity of the examined compounds was studied in two tests:

The hot plate test was performed according to the method described by Woolfe and MacDonald, (1944). In that test, the animals were placed on hot (55 °C), metal plate. The time to the first reaction (licking or jumping) of mice to a nociceptive stimulus was measured. The test was performed 30, 60 and 90 min after injection of a studied compound. The cut-off time was set to 60 s to avoid severe pain or tissue damage.

The writhing test (Siegmund et al. 1957) is a chemical method, generally used to study peripheral pain, induced by ip injection of irritant acetic acid (0.6 %), as a nociceptive stimulus, inducing characteristic writhing episodes. In that test, all the examined compounds were administered (sc) 50 min before injection of acetic acid (ip), and 5 min after acetic acid administration, the number of writhing episodes was recorded for a period of 10 min.

Other parameters were also assessed in behavioral tests, in order to extend the knowledge about new compounds, including the locomotor activity, the motor coordination, the myorelaxation and the changes in body temperature of mice. The locomotor activity in mice was measured in round actometer cages (32 cm in diameter, Multiserv, Lublin, Poland), which were kept in a sound-attenuated experimental room. The

**Schemes 1** Synthetic route to target compounds T-100–T-104.

Reagents and conditions: a EtOH, reflux, 15 min; b 2 % NaOH, reflux, 2 h; and c HCHO, morpholine, EtOH, 2 h, rt. List of substituents: R=2,4-diCl (T-100), 4-Br (T-101), 3-CF3-4-Cl (T-102), 3,4-diCl (T-103), 3-Cl (T-104)
cages were equipped with one row of infrared light-sensitive photocells located 1 cm above the floor. The locomotor activity was measured 30 min after compound injection for a total period of 30 min (Rump and Kleinrok 1982). The motor coordination of mice was assessed in the rota-rod test (Ataner Zakăd Uslugowy Elektroniki Inż K. Fic, Lublin, Poland) and the miorelaxant effects were measured in the chimney test. The rota-rod test (Dunham and Miya 1957) assesses the ability of animals to keep balance on a rotating rod (20 mm diameter) during 60 s. The rod revolves with constant speed of 18 rpm. The time period that the mice were spent on the rotating rod, was measured and recorded. The chimney test (Listos et al. 2010) evaluates the ability of mice to go backwards, vertically from the tube. Accordingly, the time period that the mice were spent in a tube (maximum 60 s) was measured and recorded. The tube was made of Plexiglas with rough surface (30 mm diameter and 25 cm length). Both tests were performed 60 min after injection (ip) of the examined drugs.

Before the rota-rod test and the chimney test, the animals had been trained: each mouse was placed on the rod and in the tube for 3 min. The number of trials for each mouse was unlimited. Then, consequently, only those animals were approved that were able to stay on the rotating rod for 60 s or were able to leave the chimney without much problem (up to 15 s).

The body temperature of animals was monitored using an electronic thermometer with a rectal probe during the total period 240 min (60 min before and 180 min after drug administration). The average of the first two measurements (60 and 30 min before drug injection) was determined as an initial temperature (Δi). After drug administration the final temperature (Δf) was measured six times every 30 min (up to 180 min) in each mice. The changes in body temperature (Δ) were calculated according to the formula: Δ = Δf − Δi. The changes in body temperature were studied after administration of high doses of all the studied compounds.

Statistical analysis

All behavioral results, presented in the figures and tables as mean±SEM, were statistically calculated using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Post hoc comparisons were carried out by means of the Tukey test. The probability (p) value of 0.05 or less was considered as statistically significant. Each group of animals consisted of 8–10 mice.

Results

The synthesis pathway, leading to compounds T-100–T-104 was depicted in Scheme 1. The structure and purity of the compounds were characterized, using IR and 1H-NMR spectra, combined with an elemental analysis. In 1H-NMR spectra, a sharp singlet, integrated for two protons of the methylene group (linking the morpholine and the 1,2,4-triazole rings) was visible in the range of 5.25–5.29 ppm. Chemical shifts of the morpholine hydrogens occurred between 2.92 and 3.76 ppm, while the aromatic protons resonated as multiplets at 7.08–7.87 ppm. In IR spectra, absorption bands, characteristic for C=S, C=N, −CH2− groups, were visible in ranges of 1, 312–1,323, 1,597–1,613, and 2,840–2,945 cm−1, respectively.

Effects of the new derivatives in the hot plate test

Thirty minutes after the injection of examined drugs, the one-way ANOVA did not show any significant effect, while after 60 min significant changes were demonstrated: T-100: F2,25=
4.17, \( p = 0.0285 \); T-101: \( F_{2,24} = 7.401, p = 0.0003 \); T-102: \( F_{2,23} = 8.006, p = 0.0049 \); T-104: \( F_{2,23} = 13.64, p = 0.0002 \). In turn, after 90 min from the injections the one-way analysis demonstrated significant changes in three cases only: T-100: \( F_{2,25} = 5.157, p = 0.0141 \); T-101: \( F_{2,24} = 6.992, p = 0.0045 \); T-102: \( F_{2,24} = 7.552, p = 0.0032 \). A post hoc analysis showed that 60 min after the administration of examined drugs, significant and dose-dependent changes were induced by either dose of T-101 (12.5 and 25 mg/kg—\( p < 0.05 \), \( p < 0.01 \), respectively) and T-104 (100 and 200 mg/kg—\( p < 0.01 \), \( p < 0.001 \), respectively). In the case of the other drugs, significant effects were demonstrated only after high doses: T-100 (10 mg/kg—\( p < 0.05 \)), T-102 (200 mg/kg—\( p < 0.01 \), \( p < 0.05 \), respectively), T-103 (200 mg/kg—\( p < 0.001 \)). In 90 min after the administration of T-100, T-101, and T-102, the antinociceptive effects were similar to the previous effect; however, the effects of T-103 and T-104 were completely diminished (Fig. 1).

Effects of the new derivatives in the writhing test

The one-way analysis revealed significant changes after the administration of all the examined compounds: T-100: \( F_{2,24} = 5.568, p = 0.011 \); T-101: \( F_{2,27} = 12.29, p = 0.000 \); T-102: \( F_{2,29} = 6.614, p = 0.0046 \); T-103: \( F_{2,25} = 13.34, p = 0.0001 \); T-104: \( F_{2,25} = 5.719, p = 0.009 \). A post hoc analysis demonstrated significant antinociceptive effect after each dose of the administered: T-100 (5 and 10 mg/kg—\( p < 0.05 \)); T-101 (12.5 and 25 mg/kg—\( p < 0.001 \) and \( p < 0.01 \), respectively); T-102 (100 and 200 mg/kg—\( p < 0.01 \) and \( p < 0.05 \), respectively); T-103 (100 and 200 mg/kg—\( p < 0.001 \)); T-104 (100 and 200 mg/kg—\( p < 0.05 \) and \( p < 0.01 \), respectively; Fig. 2).

Effects of the new derivatives in other tests (locomotor activity, rota-rod test, chimney test, the changes in body temperature)

The one-way ANOVA showed significant changes in the locomotor activity of studied mice after two drugs: T-100 and T-102: \( F_{2,27} = 19.12, p < 0.001 \) and \( F_{2,24} = 12.6, p < 0.0002 \), respectively. A post hoc analysis demonstrated that high dose of T-100 (\( p < 0.001 \)) and both doses of T-102 (\( p < 0.001 \) and \( p < 0.05 \), respectively) significantly inhibited the locomotor activity in studied animals, while the other three drugs (T-101, T-103, and T-104) did not produce any significant effects on the locomotor activity of the mice (Fig. 3). No significant changes in motor coordination of mice in the rota-rod test and in myorelaxation in the chimney test (Table 3).

The significant changes in body temperature in mice were observed only after administration of T-104: \( F_{11,90} = 12, p < 0.0001 \). Post hoc analysis confirmed that T-104 induced the
significant reduction of body temperature in comparison with control animals. The changes were observed 30 min ($p < 0.05$), 60 and 90 min ($p < 0.001$), 120 min ($p < 0.05$), and 150 and 180 min ($p < 0.01$) after drug administration. One-way ANOVA did not show the significant changes in body temperature of animals after administration of: T-100, T-101, T-103, and T-104 (Table 4).

**Discussion**

In the described experiment, the antinociceptive activity of five 4-substituted derivatives of 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione was studied. We demonstrated antinociceptive effects in all the examined compounds, both in the hot plate test and the writhing test, without impact on the motor coordination (in rota-rod test) or myorelaxation (in the chimney test) of animals. Pharmacological effects of all the applied developed within 60 min after their administration and, in two cases (T-103 and T-104), their short-lasting effects (up to 90 min) were observed. The two compounds (T-100 and T-102) suppressed the locomotor activity of the studied animals and the other—T-104—induced the significant reduction of body temperature in mice.

The obtained results clearly demonstrate that both T-100, containing 2,4-dichlorophenyl as substituent, and T-102, containing 4-chloro-(3-trifluoromethyl)phenyl, require further studies to define their significance with regards to the central nervous system functions. Both drugs produced significant effects in the anti-nociceptive tests. The reduction in the locomotor activity of the studied mice, could, however, been attributed to drug-induced sedation or other toxic effects, which may preclude the use of these compounds as potential drugs. On the other hand, it is possible that dose reduction of the drugs may also diminish their toxicity. Taking into account the clear, antinociceptive effects in both tests, and the significant reduction in the locomotor activity, it can be supposed that both compounds produce their pharmacological effect via some receptors in the central nervous system, e.g., the opioid receptors. All such speculations, however, need further studies to unveil their mechanisms.

T-103, which contains 3,4-dichlorophenyl as substituent, produced stronger peripheral antinociceptive effect in the writhing test vs. the central effects in the hot plate test. It may then be assumed that the drug produced mainly peripheral antinociceptive effects, although poor penetration of the compound across the blood-brain barrier cannot be excluded, either. Similar properties were observed in the case of T-104 that contains 3-chlorophenyl as substituent. Both drugs (T-103 and T-104) produced short-lasting effects, as after 90 min from their injections and no effect was observed in the hot plate test. T-104 significantly reduced the body temperature. The lack of changes in the locomotor activity, the motor coordination, and myorelaxation of the studied mice suggests that especially T-103 may be non-toxic compound, thus prompting further studies to confirm its safety and efficacy.

The most promising effect was observed in the case of T-101 that contains 4-bromophenyl as substituent. Strong, dose-

### Table 3 The results obtained in the rota-rod test and in the chimney test

| Drug      | Dose of drug (mg/kg) | The time on the rotating rod (in seconds) | The time in the chimney (in seconds) |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Vehicle   | –                    | 47.00±4.575                             | 9.73±0.887                         |
| T-100     | 5                    | 58.01±1.990                             | 9.17±0.906                         |
|           | 10                   | 38.14±5.595                             | 12.69±1.954                        |
| T-101     | 12.5                 | 51.82±5.658                             | 9.73±0.857                         |
|           | 25                   | 52.70±4.686                             | 12.17±2.199                        |
| T-102     | 100                  | 46.14±5.179                             | 10.03±0.969                        |
|           | 200                  | 38.57±6.311                             | 9.61±1.048                         |
| T-103     | 100                  | 31.66±7.488                             | 6.64±0.885                         |
|           | 200                  | 29.18±7.710                             | 7.80±0.930                         |
| T-104     | 100                  | 35.25±7.063                             | 7.96±0.934                         |
|           | 200                  | 50.20±5.430                             | 7.67±1.063                         |

### Table 4 The changes in body temperature (°C) after administration of high dose of the studied compounds

| Drug         | Changes in body temperature [°C] | 30’   | 60’   | 90’   | 120’  | 150’  | 180’  |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Vehicle      |                                  | -0.5±0.187 | -0.112±0.279 | 0.537±0.316 | 0.157±0.193 | 0.200±0.197 | 0.287±0.222 |
| T-100 (10 mg/kg) |                                | -0.087±0.386 | -0.550±0.931 | -0.237±0.525 | -0.537±0.2605 | 0.125±0.295 | 0.100±0.271 |
| T-101 (25 mg/kg) |                                | -0.987±0.405 | -0.225±0.392 | 0.014±0.392 | 0.742±0.249 | 0.312±0.430 | 0.225±0.452 |
| T-102 (200 mg/kg) |                               | -1.000±0.126 | -0.757±0.212 | -0.050±0.056 | -0.400±0.151 | -0.500±0.264 | -0.350±0.396 |
| T-103 (200 mg/kg) |                               | -1.325±0.464 | -0.8375±0.368 | -0.317±0.290 | 0.214±0.251 | -0.050±0.172 | -0.150±0.189 |
| T-104 (200 mg/kg) |                               | -1.829±0.458* | -1.586±0.199*** | -1.371±0.300*** | -1.214±0.218* | -1.225±0.076** | -1.257±0.097** |

***$p<0.001$, **$p<0.01$, and *$p<0.05$ vs. the vehicle-treated group (Tukey’s test)***
Conclusions

In summary, a synthesis and an identification of five, 4-
substituted derivatives of 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(morpholin-
4-ylmethyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione have
been presented and discussed. Potential antinociceptive ac-
tivities of all the studied drugs were demonstrated in two behav-
ioral tests (the hot-plate test and the writhing test) and their
effect on locomotor activity, motor coordination, myorelaxation and changes in body temperature in mice was
shown. All the examined compounds showed some biological
activity that confirms the beneficial effect of combining two
different heterocyclic systems (morpholine and 1,2,4-triazole)
in nociception. Further experiments are necessary to specify
other properties of the present compounds.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative
Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and
reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the
source are credited.
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