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ABSTRACT

Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus (BVDV) is a cause of viral diseases in cattle, which are commonly seen around the world and cause considerable reproductive problems and economic loss. This study was conducted to investigate the epidemiology of BVDV infection in dairy cattle herds in the Afyonkarahisar Province. In this study, blood samples were taken from a total of 240 cows from dairy herds (n = 10) between 2017 and 2019. Serum samples were examined by using commercial ELISA test kits in order to determine the presence of antibody (Ab) and antigen (Ag) against BVDV. According to the test results, 98 (40.83%) of the serum samples were found to be positive while 4 (1.67%) of them had persistent infection. In addition, samples were found to be seropositive at the rate of 18.06%, 44.80% and 67.44% for the age groups of 6-24 months, 24-48 months and over 48 months, respectively. It was determined that the seropositivity among age groups were statistically significant (P<0.05). It was observed that the age-related seropositivity of animals increased in direct proportion. The data obtained from the results of this study show the presence of BVDV infection at the dairy cattle herds in Afyonkarahisar and nearby provinces. For this reason, implementation of the voluntary or obligatory eradication programs to prevent for prevention of further spread of this virus, are of importance for regional and national economy.

Keywords: Cattle, BVDV, Epidemiology, ELISA

INTRODUCTION

BVD is a worldwide cattle disease included in the OIE list which is known for its important effect on animal health, welfare and production (OIE 2017). BVDV belongs to the Pestivirus genus in the family Flaviviridae. It has a single strand stranded of positive-sense RNA. BVDV is globally found in domestic and wild ruminants, and its symptoms are fever, gastrointestinal lesions, diarrhea, abortion in pregnant cattle, congenital defects, malformations and mortality in new born calves (Lanyon et al. 2014; Şevik 2018; Mirosław and Polak 2019). Vertical infection in early pregnancy period may result in the birth of persistently infected calves, which is highly important in epidemiology.
of BVDV persistent infection (Nildbakht et al. 2015). After infection is identified in a herd, it progresses in two forms as persistent infection and transient infection. Persistent infections are the main epidemiological agents causing spread and persistency of a disease in a cattle herd (Ezanno et al. 2007). Persistently infected animals are of greater importance compared to transiently infected cattle, since they carry BVDV throughout their life and are the main source for transmission of the virus (Brownlie et al. 1987; Schamböck et al. 2018; Olum et al. 2020). BVDV infected cattle may be seronegative during the first 40-120 days of gestation however; infection may lead to the birth of persistently infected calves. If the persistently infected animals shed virus in high titres and they are not taken away from the herd after being identified, they cause BVDV to remain within the herd (Lindberg and Houe 2005; Mirostlaw and Polak 2019).

Although BVDV infection is detected in persistently infected animals at the rate of 1-2% across the world, a prevalence varying between 40-90% has been reported (Houe 1995; Duong et al. 2008; Zemke et al. 2010). In Turkey, the prevalence of BVDV infection varies between the rates of 40-92% while persistently infected animal presence changes between 0.01-4.9% (Alkanet al. 2001; Burgu et al. 2003; Avci and Yavru 2013; Bilgili and Mamak 2019). Seroprevalence of the BVDV infection and the role of BVDV in clinical pictures of the disease have been identified in the studies conducted in Turkey (Bilgili and Mamak 2019).

ELISA kits are preferred in studies on BVDV infection since they provide fast screening of many samples, and they are sensitive and economic (Alvarez et al. 2012; Tavella et al. 2012; Lanyon et al. 2013; Gonzalez et al. 2014; Hanon et al. 2018). In this study, epidemiology of BVDV infection was investigated by using ELISA method in dairy cattle herds in the Ay觠IGNRlar tasar Province.

MATERIALS and METHODS
Collecting, processing and preserving samples
In this study, 240 Holstein dairy cows, aged between 6-60 months, were sampled from dairy cattle herds (n =10) by random sampling. All sampled cattle were clinically healthy and were not vaccinated against BVDV. Blood samples (10 ml) were taken from vena jugularis of animals in compliance with animal welfare. Then, blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes in order to obtain serum. Blood samples were kept at −20°C until analysis after having been subjected to inactivation by being kept at 56°C for 30 minutes.

ELISA Test and Statistical analysis
This study was conducted by using BVDV (Ab)-ELISA (IDEXX BVDV-Total Ab, Switzerland) and BVDV (Ag)-ELISA (IDEXX BVDV Ag test, Switzerland) commercial kits. Collected serum samples were examined by indirect ELISA (BVDV-(Ab) ELISA) regarding the presence of antibody developing against BVDV. The optical density (OD) values of test results were read in an ELISA reader at 450 nm wavelength. Antibody inhibition percentages of serum samples were calculated in line with the following formula.

\[
\text{% Inhibition} = \frac{\text{OD values of samples}}{\text{Negative OD value}} \times 100
\]

The samples with values of 0.30 and below were evaluated as negative, those between 0.20 and 0.30 were assessed suspect, and those above 0.30 were accepted positive.

The test, based on the principle of identifying viral antigens in study samples for detection of BVDV antigen presence, was conducted in accordance with the procedures of producing company. Data processing was performed in R program (R Core Team 2018). Chi-square tests were utilized in order to investigate whether there were any relationships between age groups. P<0.05 value was accepted statistically significant.

RESULTS
According to indirect ELISA test results in terms of antibody, out of 240 cattle, 98 were found positive (40.83%) and 142 were found negative (59.17%). The cattle were categorized into 6-24 months, 24-48 months and above 48 months distribution according to age groups. Thirteen (18.06%) of 72 animals in the 6-24 months age group, 56 (44.80%) of 125 animals in the 24-48 months age group and 29 (67.44%) of 43 animals in above 48 months age group were found to be positive, respectively (Table 1 and 2).

A total of 4 animals were found to be positive in 1 herd in terms of antigen according to indirect ELISA test results. Taking the state of persistently infected animals into account, blood samples were received from the animals with positive results for the second time after 45 days to look for antigen. As the test results of these 4 animals were found to be positive for the second time, they were considered persistently infected animals (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
It is known that persistently infected animals in herds are the main causes of prevalence and spread of BVDV infection in cattle herds and that many virus particles are transmitted through these animals. It is urged that the cause spreading to persistently infected young cows depends on the increase in prevalence of disease (Schweizer and Peterhans 2014; Ran et al. 2019). It is also argued that it is necessary to apply intervention methods, including sorting strategy and animal vaccination, in order to reduce BVDV prevalence in herds, including the herds with persistently infected animals (Newcomer et al. 2017). The calves born with persistent BVDV infection, and infertility and, calve with congenital anomalies show the significance of the disease in economic respect. For this reason, in this study, the samples were investigated in terms of antigen and antibody presence in order to assess BVDV infection in epidemiological respects. The investigation concluded that while 98 (40.83%) animals were found to be positive for antibody, 4 (1.67%) animals were found to be positive in terms of antigen. It was reported in interviews made with the herd owners that abortion cases were previously seen in some herds. In this regard, it is argued that BVDV antibody positive rate of 40.83% may be related to the sampling of the animals, which were infected and then recovered.

In our country, the studies on prevalence of BVDV in epidemiological respects have been carried out from time to time. The seropositivity rates found in some studies conducted in Turkey are as follows: 86% in the study carried out by Tan et al. (2006) in the province of Aydın and its surrounding, 20.19% in the study conducted by Yazo et al. (2007) in the province of Sivas and its surrounding, 75-82% in the study conducted by Kale (2007), Öztürk et al. (2012) in the province of Burdur and its surrounding, 49.9% in the study performed by Şişman...
(2008) in the province of Muğla and its surrounding, 75.22% in the study by Bilgili and Mamak (2019) in the province of Isparta and its surrounding. In addition, the following seropositivity rates were found in the studies carried out in Konya and its surrounding: 79.5% in the study by Şimşek and Öztürk (1997), 44.09% in the study by Yavru et al. (2005), 46.22% in the study by Avcı and Yavru (2013). In this study, BVDV infection prevalence was found to be 40.83%. This rate is similar to the rates found by Yavru et al. (2005), Avcı and Yavru (2013) in the studies carried out in Konya and its surrounding and by Şişman (2008) in the study conducted in the province of Muğla and its surrounding. This rate is lower than the ones identified in the studies administrated in the provinces of Aydın, Burdur and Isparta. It is considered that this may be associated with the sample number used in the study, care and feeding conditions of animals.

In some cases, the BVDV prevalence may have relationship with age range, herd size and type or raising method (Fulton et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2016; Selim et al. 2018). It was determined in the distribution of age groups in this study that as the age increased, the positivity increased according to the correlation test between positivity and seronegativity. The relationship among age groups was found to be statistically significant (P<0.05). This result is in parallel with the ones found by other researchers (Mockeliuniene et al. 2004). Epidemiological studies on the positivity rate of persistently infected animals have been carried out in the world and Turkey. While the prevalence of persistently infected animals in a herd varies between 1-2% across the world, it is argued that the high positivity rate is an indicator of presence of persistently infected animal presence in a herd (Houe and Meyling 1991; Houe 1999; Wittum et al. 2001; Hashemi et al. 2010).

The persistent infection rate in the studies conducted in different regions of our country have been reported as follows: 0.7% by Şimşek and Öztürk (1997), 3.07% by Ak et al. (2002), 0.61-0.83% by Burgu et al. (2003), 0.1% by Bulut et al. (2006), 4.9% by Tan et al. (2006). In this study, 4 of 240 animals (1.67%) were determined to be persistently infected. The persistent infection rate found in this study is in compliance with the rate found by other researchers (Şimşek and Öztürk 1997; Burgu et al. 2003; Houe and Meyling, 1991; Houe 1999; Wittum et al. 2001; Bulut et al. 2006; Hashemi et al. 2010). While percentage differences in the study may originate from the fact that persistently infected animals were removed from the herd in previous studies (Şimşek and Öztürk 1997; Bulut et al. 2006) conducted in related study fields, no persistently infected animals could be identified or existed in related areas; high persistent infection rate may arise from the lack of awareness of taking protection and control measures against BVDV infection. When the seropositivity and persistent infection rate of BVDV infection are evaluated together, it may be noted that removing persistently infected animals from herds, taking and applying bio safety measures, ensuring protection and control of the disease are importance for livestock sector.

### Table 1. Results of the BVDV-Ab and BVDV-Ag Analyses

| Number of Herds | Number of Samples | BVDV (Ab)-ELISA | BVDV (Ag)-ELISA |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                 | Positive | Negative | Percentage | Positive | Negative | Percentage |
| 1               | 28       | 8        | 20         | 28.57%    | 0        | 28         | 0.00%       |
| 2               | 30       | 19       | 11         | 63.33%    | 4        | 29         | 13.33%      |
| 3               | 27       | 13       | 14         | 48.15%    | 0        | 27         | 0.00%       |
| 4               | 24       | 13       | 15         | 37.50%    | 0        | 23         | 0.00%       |
| 5               | 18       | 8        | 10         | 44.44%    | 0        | 18         | 0.00%       |
| 6               | 20       | 13       | 7          | 65.00%    | 0        | 20         | 0.00%       |
| 7               | 15       | 5        | 10         | 33.33%    | 0        | 15         | 0.00%       |
| 8               | 27       | 6        | 21         | 22.22%    | 0        | 25         | 0.00%       |
| 9               | 26       | 8        | 18         | 30.77%    | 0        | 26         | 0.00%       |
| 10              | 25       | 9        | 16         | 36.00%    | 0        | 25         | 0.00%       |
| **Total**       | 240      | 98       | 142        | 40.83%    | 4        | 236        | 1.67%       |

### Table 2. Percentage of animals between age groups.

| Age              | Number of samples | Positive | Negative | Percentage* |
|------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| 06-24 months     | 72                | 13       | 59       | 18.06%      |
| 24-48 months     | 125               | 56       | 69       | 44.80%      |
| 48 months<       | 43                | 29       | 14       | 67.44%      |
| **Total**        | 240               | 98       | 142      | 40.83%      |

*P<0.05
CONCLUSION

BVDV infection is considered as a problem in the dairy cattle herds. In Turkey, any voluntary or obligatory control and eradication programs have not been introduced against the BVD disease yet. The herds are trying to establish control with inactive BVDV vaccines. It has been concluded that more comprehensive research should be carried out in planning and implementation of a wide range of voluntary or obligatory eradication programs on a regional and national basis in order to reduce the incidence of diseases related to BVDV infection.
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