The MukB–topoisomerase IV interaction is required for proper chromosome compaction
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The bacterial condensin MukB and the cellular decatenating enzyme topoisomerase IV interact. This interaction stimulates intramolecular reactions catalyzed by topoisomerase IV, supercoiled DNA relaxation, and DNA knotting but not intermolecular reactions such as decatenation of linked DNAs. We have demonstrated previously that MukB condenses DNA by sequestering negative supercoils and stabilizing topologically isolated loops in the DNA. We show here that the MukB–topoisomerase IV interaction stabilizes MukB on DNA, increasing the extent of DNA condensation without increasing the amount of MukB bound to the DNA. This effect does not require the catalytic activity of Topo IV. Cells carrying a mukB mutant allele that encodes a protein that does not interact with topoisomerase IV exhibit severe nucleoid decompaction leading to chromosome segregation defects. These findings suggest that the MukB–topoisomerase IV complex may provide a scaffold for DNA condensation.

Results

Topo IV and MukB are required to maximally compact DNA

In the accompanying article (45), we used agarose gel electrophoresis and a nicked plasmid DNA to identify two types of MukB-mediated DNA condensation: a fast-moving protein-DNA complex (FMcx) formed at low concentrations of MukB where the dominant electrophoretic determinant was MukB alone, most likely because Topo IV stabilizes MukB on the DNA. This effect does not require the catalytic activity of Topo IV and can be mediated by ParC alone. Disruption of the MukB–Topo IV interaction in vivo leads to nucleoid decondensation and chromosome segregation defects. These findings raise the possibility that the MukB–Topo IV complex may provide a scaffold for DNA condensation in the cell.
MukB where the protein was stabilizing topologically isolated loops in the DNA (45). MukB alone was sufficient to observe this DNA compaction, neither MukEF nor ATP was required.

The addition of Topo IV caused an increase in the electrophoretic mobility of the FMcx formed at 31 nM MukB (compare lanes 2 and 7 in Fig. 1A and supplemental Fig. S1A). The mobility of the 31 nM MukB–Topo IV FMcx decreased relative to that of the nicked DNA in the presence of chloroquine (compare lanes 2 and 7 in Fig. 1, A and B, and supplemental Fig. S1A) indicating an increase in negative supercoiling compared with the respective MukB–DNA complex. The mobility of the FMcx formed at 63 nM MukB also increased in the presence of Topo IV (compare lanes 3 and 8 in Fig. 1A and supplemental Fig. S1A). The mobilities of the SMcxs formed at 125 and 250 nM did not change substantively in the presence of Topo IV, likely because their electrophoretic mobilities placed these species at the exclusion limit of the gel. Topo IV alone had little effect on the mobility of the nicked DNA (Fig. 1C). However, the mobilities of the 63, 125, and 250 nM MukB–Topo IV–DNA complexes all increased relative to that of the nicked DNA in the presence of chloroquine, indicating an increase in topologically isolated loop formation compared with the respective MukB–DNA complexes (compare lanes 3 and 8, 4...
and 9, and 5 and 10 in Fig. 1, A and B, and supplemental Fig. S1A).

Thus, the presence of Topo IV improved the DNA compacting activities of MukB significantly. We refer to this effect of increased DNA condensation as “maximal compaction” to distinguish it from the extent of DNA condensation observed with MukB alone. In the accompanying article (45), we used scanning force microscopy to image the condensation of DNA by MukB. To determine whether we could glean any additional information about the maximal compaction of DNA by Topo IV and MukB, we also examined those protein-DNA complexes by scanning force microscopy (supplemental Fig. S2). However, we observed similar aggregates of mass with looped DNA as we did with MukB alone; thus, this mode of analysis was not pursued further.

Because there was no ATP present in the reaction mixtures, achieving maximal condensation did not require Topo IV catalytic activity. To confirm that this was the case, we used Topo IV reconstituted with ParC Y120F, carrying an inactivating mutation in the active-site tyrosine (Fig. 1C). The inactive Topo IV was nearly as efficient as the wild type in stimulating MukB DNA condensation, indicating that the ability of Topo IV to alter DNA topology was not required for this effect.

We next examined the effect of Topo IV reconstituted with ParC R705E/R729A on MukB DNA condensation (Fig. 1D). These amino acid substitutions disrupt the interaction between ParC and MukB (12). As clearly shown in Fig. 1D, the ParC–MukB interaction was required for maximal compaction of the DNA.

Because the tripartite condensin also contains MukEF and MukB is an ATPase, we assessed the effect of MukEF and ATP on maximal DNA compaction. The addition of MukF alone, which does not require MukE to bind to MukB and stimulates its ATPase activity (20), had a slight stabilizing effect on DNA condensation both in the presence and absence of ATP and in the presence and absence of Topo IV (compare lanes 2 and 3, 5 and 6, 8 and 9, and 11 and 12 in Fig. 1E). However, the addition of MukEF clearly destabilized MukB on the DNA in both the presence and absence of ATP, as observed by the appearance of free DNA in the lanes (compare lanes 2–4 and 8–10 in Fig. 1E). This effect is consistent with previous observations on the effect of MukEF on MukB DNA binding (21). In the presence of Topo IV, the inhibition of binding of MukB to DNA by MukEF was not observed (compare lanes 5–7 and 11–13 in Fig. 1E); however, maximal DNA compaction was decreased in the presence of MukEF (compare lanes 5 and 7 and lanes 11 and 13 in Fig. 1E). These observations suggest that the effect of MukEF on MukB may be modulated by Topo IV. We are actively investigating this possibility.

Thus, in a fashion that was independent of its catalytic activity, MukEF, and ATP, Topo IV increased the efficiency by which MukB could compact DNA. Because Topo IV itself was not capable of similar DNA compaction, these observations suggested that Topo IV was either stabilizing MukB on the DNA and/or rearranging how it bound DNA. We investigated these possibilities as described below.

Figure 2. Topo IV stabilizes MukB on DNA. A, Topo IV-MukB-DNA complex is less salt-sensitive than the MukB-DNA complex. Standard reaction mixtures containing nicked DNA, MukB (31 nM), and Topo IV (63 nM) were incubated for 5 min at 37 °C. KCl was then added to the final concentrations indicated, and the incubation continued for 5 min. Protein-DNA complexes formed were then analyzed by gel electrophoresis as described in the legend to Fig. 1A. B, MukB* variant is inefficient at DNA condensation. The indicated concentrations of either wild-type or MukB R187E/R189E (MukB*DNA) were incubated with nicked DNA for 5 min at 37 °C, and protein-DNA complexes formed were analyzed by electrophoresis as described in the legend to Fig. 1A. C, in the presence of Topo IV, the MukB*DNA variant can condense DNA. Reaction mixtures containing nicked DNA, either in the presence (63 nM) or absence of Topo IV, and containing the indicated concentrations of the MukB*DNA variant were incubated for 5 min at 37 °C, and the protein-DNA complexes formed were analyzed as described in the legend to Fig. 1A.

Topo IV stabilizes MukB on DNA

To assess whether Topo IV was stabilizing MukB on DNA, we tested the effect of salt on the stability of MukB-DNA complexes (Fig. 2A). The FM-MukB-DNAcx formed at low MukB concentration was fairly salt-sensitive, with about one-half of the complex dissociated at 80 mM and nearly all of it dissociated at 160 mM (Fig. 2A, lanes 2–6). However, the Topo IV-MukB-DNA complex formed at the same concentration of MukB was fairly insensitive to salt, with the protein-DNA complex still clearly evident even at 320 mM (Fig. 2A, lanes 7–11). To investigate this issue further, we turned to a variant MukB protein, MukB R187E/R189E (MukB*DNA) that is defective for binding DNA with a KD value roughly one-quarter of that of the wild type (20). MukB*DNA was similarly inefficient at DNA condensation, with some FMcx forming at 125 nM MukB compared with 31 nM for the wild type (compare lanes 2 and 8, Fig. 2B). The addition of Topo IV clearly stabilized the variant MukB on DNA, with FMcx present at 63 nM MukB in the presence of Topo IV, whereas in its...
absence almost no protein-DNA complex could be observed (compare lanes 3 and 8 in Fig. 2C).

To address whether the presence of Topo IV on the DNA was recruiting additional MukB as opposed to stabilizing MukB that was already present, we used a slightly different DNA substrate where the nicked DNA had a 5'-biotinylated single-stranded tail contiguous with the 5'-side of the nick so that the DNA could be bound to streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Fig. 3). This tailed, nicked DNA can be condensed by MukB alone to form the FMcx and SMcx (lanes 1–5, Fig. 3A), as well as maximally compacted DNA in the presence of Topo IV and MukB (lanes 6–10, Fig. 3A). Either MukB or MukB and Topo IV were bound to tailed, nicked DNA, bound to magnetic streptavidin beads, and pulled down on a magnet. Bound protein was then determined by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting (Fig. 3, A and C). The amount of MukB bound was essentially the same (n = 3) either in the absence (70 ± 11%) or presence (67 ± 12%) of Topo IV. Similarly, the amount of bound ParC was the same (n = 3) either in the absence (70 ± 3%) or presence (61 ± 5%) of MukB. Thus, we conclude that the presence of Topo IV on the DNA was not recruiting additional MukB to the DNA but instead was stabilizing or rearranging the MukB already bound to the DNA.

**ParC alone is sufficient to maximally compact DNA with MukB**

A question at this juncture was whether intact Topo IV was required for the observed effects or whether the MukB-interacting subunit, ParC, alone was sufficient. As shown in Fig. 4, ParC in combination with MukB was sufficient to observe maximal compaction of the DNA; whereas at an equivalent concentration to Topo IV, ParC was slightly less efficient, suggesting that interaction of ParC with ParE may provide additional stabilization to the MukB-ParC protein-DNA complex.

**Characterization of a MukB variant that does not interact with ParC**

We have previously described a MukB variant, MukB D697K/D745K/E753K (MukBtriple), that does not interact with ParC in pulldown assays (15). MukBtriple also did not stimulate either Topo IV-catalyzed negatively supercoiled DNA relaxation or DNA knotting, unlike wild-type MukB (15). As a prelude to engineering an *E. coli* mutant strain defective in the interaction between MukB and ParC, we examined the ability of the MukBtriple variant to condense DNA (Fig. 5).
The MukB<sup>triple</sup> variant could condense DNA as the wild type, forming both the SMcx and FMcx (Fig. 5A and supplemental Fig. S3A). MukB<sup>triple</sup> tended to aggregate at high concentration at 4 °C; thus the gels were run at room temperature, accounting for the lesser overall extent of DNA compaction observed compared with Fig. 1. The MukB<sup>triple</sup> variant formed half as much of the FMcx than the wild-type protein (compare lanes 2–6 and 3–7 in Fig. 5A and see supplemental Fig. S4, A and B), whereas formation of the SMcx was comparable (compare lanes 4–8 and 5–9 in Fig. 5A and supplemental Fig. S3A), although there is a difference in the extent of loop formation (see below).

The formation of negative supercoils by MukB can be assayed by sealing the nick in the DNA substrate once MukB is bound and analyzing the products by agarose gel electrophoresis (45). MukB<sup>triple</sup> was not as efficient as the wild type at low concentrations in protecting negative supercoils (compare lanes 3–7, 4–8, and 5–9 in Fig. 5B and see supplemental Fig. S5). This may account for the decrease in the amount of the
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**Figure 5. MukB D697K/D745K/E753K variant condenses DNA but does not form maximally compacted DNA with Topo IV.**

A. DNA condensation by MukB<sup>triple</sup> and wild-type proteins. The indicated concentrations of either MukB<sup>triple</sup> or wild-type MukB were incubated with nicked DNA for 5 min at 37 °C, and the protein-DNA complexes formed were analyzed by gel electrophoresis either in the absence (upper panel) or presence (lower panel) of chloroquine as described in the legend to Fig. 1A. B, protection of negative supercoils by MukB<sup>triple</sup> and wild-type proteins. The indicated concentrations of either MukB<sup>triple</sup> or wild-type MukB were incubated with nicked DNA, E. coli DNA ligase, and NAD for 30 min at 37 °C. The samples were deproteinized, and the DNA was analyzed by electrophoresis through a 0.8% agarose gel in the presence of 10 µg/ml chloroquine in the gel and running buffer. C, loop stabilization by MukB<sup>triple</sup> and wild-type proteins. MukB proteins were incubated with the nicked DNA substrate, 2 mM ATP, and 20 nM DNA gyrase for 30 min at 37 °C. Novobiocin was added to 10 µM, and the incubation was continued for 5 min. Bacteriophage T4 DNA ligase was then added, and the incubation was continued for 30 min. The products were deproteinized before analysis by electrophoresis through agarose gels containing 10 µg/ml chloroquine in both the gel and the running buffer. **Relaxed**, the nicked DNA sealed by DNA ligase to give a closed DNA ring that does not contain supercoils. The order of addition of components is outlined at the top of the gel. B, MukB; N, novobiocin; G, DNA gyrase; and L, DNA ligase. D, MukB<sup>triple</sup> cannot form maximally condensed DNA with Topo IV. The indicated concentrations of Topo IV and either MukB or MukB<sup>triple</sup> were incubated with the nicked DNA for 5 min at 37 °C, and the protein-DNA complexes formed were analyzed by gel electrophoresis as described in the legend to Fig. 1A.
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fast-moving complex for the MukBtriple variant compared with the wild type in Fig. 5A. We have shown that supercoiling of DNA by MukB is affected by mutations in the hinge domain (45). The mutations in MukB that disrupt the interaction with ParC are in the hinge region and thus could also interfere with supercoiling. However, the FMcx formed at 31 nM MukBtriple was still dominated by negative supercoiling, as demonstrated by the decrease in mobility compared with the nicked DNA in the presence of chloroquine (compare lanes 6 in Fig. 5A and supplemental Fig. S3A), and the extent of protected supercoils formed at the highest concentration was similar (compare lanes 6 and 10 in Fig. 5B and see supplemental Fig. S5).

To assay the topological loops stabilized by MukB that dominate the SMcx, DNA gyrase and ATP are added to supercoil the loops; novobiocin is then added to inhibit DNA gyrase (22); the nick is then sealed by DNA ligase, and the products are analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (45). Supercoiling of the loops stabilized by MukB is obvious as an increase in negative supercoils observed when a reaction where novobiocin is added before DNA gyrase, preventing it from acting, is compared with one where gyrase is added first, allowed to act, and then inhibited by addition of novobiocin (compare even lanes with odd lanes in lanes 3–10, Fig. 5C and supplemental Fig. S6). Similar to the extent of protection of negative supercoils (Fig. 5B), less supercoiling of loops was observed with MukBtriple compared with the wild type at the lower concentration (125 nM, compare lanes 5 and 6 to lanes 3 and 4, Fig. 5C and supplemental Fig. S6); however, equivalent loop supercoiling was observed at the higher concentration of protein (250 nM, compare lanes 9 and 10 to lanes 7 and 8, Fig. 5C and supplemental Fig. S6).

Whereas MukBtriple could condense DNA by itself, it was profoundly defective in the maximal compaction observed when paired with Topo IV (compare lanes 7–11 to lanes 2–6 Fig. 5D and supplemental Fig. S7). No maximally compacted form of the DNA could be observed (for example, compare lanes 5 and 6 to lanes 10 and 11 in Fig. 5D and supplemental Fig. S7).

The data presented in this section indicates that MukBtriple is slightly defective in DNA condensation at low concentration compared with the wild-type protein. We note that the concentration of MukB in the cell ranges from about 200 molecules of dimer per cell in minimal medium (23) to 500 dimers in rich medium (21) or roughly 200–500 nM. (The level of MukBtriple expression was consistently slightly greater (14%) than the wild type in vivo (supplemental Fig. S8).) Thus, the slight condensation defect observed is not likely to manifest in vivo. We conclude that the primary defect of the MukBtriple variant is loss of interaction with Topo IV.

Loss of the MukB–Topo IV interaction in vivo results in decondensed nucleoids and chromosome segregation defects

We replaced the wild-type mukB allele in BW30270 with the mutant mukBD697KD745KE753K allele. The entire genome of the resulting strain, PN143, was sequenced to confirm that there were no additional mutations.

The cell and nucleoid morphology of the two strains grown to early log phase in LB medium were compared (Fig. 6). These conditions of rapid growth, where chromosomes re-initiate before replication is completed, would be expected to exacerbate any phenotype of the mutated allele. Representative fields of early log-phase cells that were briefly stained with DAPI, quickly spread on polylysine-coated slides, and observed immediately in the microscope are shown Fig. 6, A and B (N.B., the cells were not fixed). In the majority of wild-type cells, nucleoids appeared mostly spherical or U-shaped and were present in even-numbered pairs (wild type, Fig. 6C). By contrast, a small fraction of cells had nucleoids that had elongated, irregular shapes, occasionally with crossings of the DNA fiber obvious (decondensed, Fig. 6D, and see below). Some filamented cells were also evident (“filaments,” Fig. 6G), as well as a small fraction of cells that appeared par-like (“par-like,” Fig. 6F; dense nucleoids in the center of the cell), and cells where nucleoid appearance could not be accurately characterized (“ambiguous,” Fig. 6E) (Fig. 6H). However, the distribution of nucleoid morphology in PN143 was quite different (Fig. 6H). The fraction of cells with decondensed nucleoids increased by 500% compared with cells with wild-type nucleoids. Corresponding increases of roughly 400% for PN143 were observed in cells showing par-like nucleoids and filamentation (Fig. 6H).

To determine whether the more elongated nucleoids that we had labeled “decondensed” were, in fact, less compact than the wild-type nucleoids, we isolated spermidin nucleoids from the two strains and compared their sedimentation through sucrose gradients. Nucleoids from the mukBD697KD745KE753K strain sedimented significantly more slowly than nucleoids from the wild-type strain (Fig. 7). Thus, disrupting the MukB–Topo IV interaction led to overall nucleoid decompaction.

Whereas the fraction of filamented cells and cells containing par-like nucleoids increased in PN143, most of the cells underwent cell division and remained typically sized, suggesting that disruption of chromosome segregation was not the primary defect of the mukBtriple allele. To examine the chromosome segregation process directly, we constructed two strains where MukB was tagged with GFP and the nucleoid-associated protein HU was tagged with mCherry (PN124, BW30270 mukB-gfp hupA-mCherry, and PN139, BW30270 mukBD697KD745KE753K-gfp hupA-mCherry) and imaged both MukB localization and nucleoid division live in cells growing in MOPS medium (see supplemental Movies S1 and S2) for each strain. The mean of the wild-type nucleoid division cycle was 32.6 ± 0.7 min, and 90% of the nucleoids had divided by 41.4 ± 3.1 min; whereas the mean for the mukBtriple cells had increased to 43.5 ± 2.0 min, and it took 65.9 ± 11.1 min before 90% of the nucleoids had divided (Fig. 8). Thus, chromosome segregation in the mukBtriple cells was delayed for the majority of the nucleoids and significantly delayed for a smaller fraction. We conclude that the interaction between Topo IV and MukB is required for proper nucleoid organization. Disrupting this organization leads to delayed segregation of the daughter chromosomes.

MukB is found in foci at the quarters of the cell that are associated with the oriC region of the chromosome (17). Formation of these foci require MukE and MukF (24), and they also contain Topo IV (18). Occupancy of the foci by both MukB and Topo IV molecules has been reported to be dynamic, with any one focus containing on average 8–10 dimers of MukBEF (MukB, MukE, MukF) (23) and 15 Topo IV tetramers (19).
Imaging of MukB foci in the mukB triple mutant strain suggested that MukB had become more dispersed compared with the wild type, but quantification of this possible effect was unsatisfactory and is thus not included here.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that the interaction of MukB with the ParC subunit of Topo IV is required for maximal compaction of the DNA, amplifying DNA condensation by MukB that occurs by virtue of its ability to sequester negative supercoils and stabilize topologically isolated loops of DNA (45). This maximal condensation of DNA does not require Topo IV catalytic activity and, in fact, proceeds with the ParC subunit alone. Mutations in MukB that do not disrupt its ability to condense DNA but do disrupt its ability to interact with ParC and maximally compact DNA lead to nucleoid decompaction and chromosome segregation defects in vivo.

Topo IV and MukB cooperate to compact DNA

We showed (15) that the MukB–ParC interaction resulted in the stimulation of activities of Topo IV that involved only one DNA molecule (and thus referred to them as intramolecular),
stimulate Topo IV-catalyzed decatenation of catenated sister DNA molecules that arise during DNA replication and are linked multiple times. Because these multiply-linked DNA dimers are the actual substrate for sister chromosome unlinking, we concluded that the MukB–Topo IV interaction was not stimulating chromosome decatenation by Topo IV directly. Instead, given that MukB did stimulate the intramolecular activities of Topo IV, we proposed that the MukB–Topo IV interaction was required for proper condensation of the chromosome. Thus, disrupting this interaction would lead to chromosome decompaction that would itself lead to chromosome segregation defects. Our model has been borne out by the results reported here.

The maximal DNA compaction results from stabilization of MukB on the DNA via its interaction with Topo IV. Whereas MukE and MukF may provide some additional stabilization to the Topo IV–MukB–DNA complex, they are not required for the gain in DNAcompaction provided by Topo IV and actually inhibit binding of MukB to DNA in the absence of Topo IV. MukB ATP hydrolysis has been shown to cause a re-arrangement of how MukF is bound to the MukB head domains and may regulate head domain contact in the dimeric protein (25); however, maximal DNA compaction in the presence of Topo IV was unaffected by ATP. Topo IV DNA cleavage and religation activity are also not required for maximal DNA compaction, indicating that it is not necessary for the Topo IV to modulate DNA topology to stimulate MukB-mediated DNA compaction. Thus, MukB and Topo IV/ParC could serve as the core of the DNA compacting complex, whereas the action of MukEF and the ATPase of MukB may modify its activity or placement on the DNA. Indeed, using FRAP, Nolivos et al. (26) concluded that MukB ATPase activity was required for recycling of MukB dimers to and from the DNA.

A scaffold for DNA compaction?

The requirement for association of MukB and Topo IV to achieve maximal DNA compaction was supported by our analysis of the effect of the mukB<sup>triple</sup> allele <i>in vivo</i>. Disrupting the MukB–Topo IV interaction caused prominent restructuring of the nucleoids <i>in vivo</i>, with the DNA becoming elongated, disorganized, and tangled in appearance. We argue that it is this disorganization that leads to the observed delay in chromosome segregation, not a defect per se in Topo IV DNA-decatenating activity. In other studies where the MukB–Topo IV interaction was disrupted either by overexpressing the C-terminal domain of ParC (19) or replacing wild-type ParC with a variant defective in interaction with MukB (18), similar chromosome segregation defects were also noted based on sister chromatid cohesion time for specific tagged regions of the DNA. However, in those studies neither the state of the DNA nor the actual nucleoid division cycle was examined directly. We also note that in our hands, this variant, ParC R705E/R729A, forms a Topo IV with ParE that is defective in DNA-binding activity and the ability to complement parC temperature-sensitive strains (data not shown).

The association of a condensin and a type II topoisomerase has considerable precedent. These enzymes have been found to be major components of the nuclear matrix (27, 28). There is no
evidence in bacteria of such a structure, but it has been shown that 15–20% of the MukB in the cell can be found in foci that associate with the oriC region of the chromosome. These foci require MukEF for formation, and half of them also contain Topo IV (18, 19, 23). Interestingly, in these studies, whereas Topo IV was not required for formation of MukB foci, in its absence (when it was degraded) MukB foci became delocalized along the long axis of the cell. But when wild-type ParC was substituted with the MukB non-interacting variant, Topo IV–MukB association in vivo was not disrupted (18), suggesting that co-residence of Topo IV and MukB in a focus might not be dependent on their interaction.

Cooperation of Topo IV and MukB in vivo to compact DNA suggests, but does not require, that association of the two proteins would be detectable by cell biological techniques. In fact, MukB (26) and Topo IV localizations (29) by ChIP do not overlap extensively (and there is also no obvious concentration of MukB observed in the oriC region (26)). Furthermore, very few (19 sites) Topo IV-binding sites were actually observed, whereas hundreds of norfloxacin-induced, Topo IV-mediated DNA cleavage sites were observed, although only 10–20 of these may be active in any one cell at one time (29). In these cases epitope-tagged versions of the proteins were substituted for wild type, so although it was the case that these tagged proteins did not appear to affect the growth rate of the cells, one must consider the possibility that the epitopes were hidden in Topo IV–MukB complexes on the DNA. Moreover, it is possible that the Topo IV–MukB interaction is transient, required initially to organize the condensin on DNA but not to maintain it.

Given that ParC alone could also achieve maximal DNA compaction with MukB as Topo IV, we also have to consider whether ParC might play a dual role in the cell: partners with ParE to form an active decatenating enzyme and with MukB to compact DNA. Vos et al. (14) were able to dissect these two activities genetically, and ParC is generally thought to be in excess of ParE in vivo. Our own estimates indicated about twice as much ParC as ParE (30). Similarly, some comprehensive proteome-wide protein abundance surveys also indicate a similar excess of ParC over ParE, although these results vary widely (see the Protein Abundance Database, PaxDb, paxdb.org) (46). In contrast, counting of molecules of ParC and ParE by photobleaching of tagged versions in vivo indicated that the two subunits were roughly equal in abundance (19). Clearly further clarification is in order.

**MukB, nucleoid organization, and chromosome segregation**

Residence of Topo IV in MukB foci appears to increase its catalytic activity, leading to the suggestion that these foci are the location for decatenation of sister chromosomes and loading of MukB to the DNA (19). Our finding that disrupting the MukB–Topo IV interaction increases the time required for the nucleoid cycle of replication, chromosome segregation, and partition supports the previous conclusions about the effect of disrupting the MukB–Topo IV interaction on chromosome segregation.

Binding of MukB to the DNA is one of a number of forces that act to compact the chromosome. Binding of nucleoid-associated proteins clearly plays a role, as does DNA topology modulated through the action of topoisomerases (2, 3) and transcription and translation (31). Recent studies have indicated that the nucleoid-associated protein H-NS may be responsible for condensing DNA along adjacent segments of the chromosome (32), whereas changes in the multimerization state of HU brought about by the differential expression of the two alleles can allow dynamic changes in nucleoid architecture (33).

Confinement of the nucleoid has come to the forefront as a major organizing force. Here, it has been considered whether the nucleoidal fiber could be treated as a randomly oriented polymer with entropy driving newly formed sisters to occupy space as the cellular periphery grows (34). Alternatively, it has been argued that the sister nucleoidal domains were coherent and non-interacting, with separation coming about because of mechanical pushing forces possibly generated by release of internal tethers (35). Variations of the latter argument invoke intranucleoidal interactions organizing the chromosomal fiber into stacks of plectonemic loops (36) and as a compact self-adhering object with a definite, although dynamic, shape (37).

The profound change in nucleoid appearance observed in PN143 compared with the wild-type strain is supportive of the arguments that intranucleoidal interactions are important for overall nucleoid organization. Interestingly, in some instances what may be sister chromatids could be observed in parallel segments along the longitudinal length of the cell. Such a conformation would seem to be inconsistent with the model of Fisher et al. (35). Nevertheless, even with the significant disruption of nucleoid organization apparent when the MukB–Topo IV interaction is ablated, chromosome segregation, while delayed, did occur in the vast majority of cells. This observation could be taken in support of the entropic model. Further analysis of the dynamics of nucleoids in the PN143 strain should therefore prove informative.

**Experimental procedures**

DNAs, proteins, and cell growth and imaging were all as described in the accompanying article (45). MukB D697K/D745K/E753K was prepared as for the wild type (12) from E. coli strain BL21(DE3)pET11d-mukBD697KD745KE753K. Topo IV was reconstituted from purified ParE and ParC subunits as described (38). ParC R705E/R729A and MukB DNA were prepared as described (12). Concentrations of Topo IV and ParC used in all assays are indicated in the figure legends. All biochemical assays and in vivo studies shown were repeated at least three times.

**Gel assays**

Gel assays are described in detail in the accompanying article (45). A brief outline follows. MukB- and MukB-Topo IV (or ParC)-DNA complexes were assayed by incubating the components indicated in the figure legends with a singly nicked plasmid DNA (11 kbp) at 37 °C. Reaction mixtures were then applied directly to 0.8% agarose gels that were electrophoresed at 23 V for 18 h at 4 °C using 50 mm Tris-HCl (pH 7.8 at 23 °C),
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40 mM NaOAc, 1 mM EDTA, and 1.5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$ as the electrophoresis buffer. Chloroquine di-phosphate (20 μg/ml) was added to the gel and the electrophoresis buffer as indicated in the figures. Induction of DNA supercoiling was measured by adding NAD and E. coli DNA ligase to the DNA-binding reactions to seal the nick in the DNA substrate and continuing the incubation at 37 °C. Reactions were deproteinized by proteinase K digestion and phenol-CHCl$_3$ extraction before analyzing the products by agarose gel electrophoresis as above. To assess formation of topologically isolated loops in the DNA after binding of MukB or MukB and Topo IV to the nicked DNA, DNA gyrase was added in the presence of ATP, and the incubation was continued. Novobiocin was then added to inhibit DNA gyrase, and bacteriophage T4 DNA ligase was then added to seal the nick in the DNA. The DNA products were then analyzed after deproteinization by gel electrophoresis as above.

**MukB–Topo IV pulldown and Western blotting**

Reaction mixtures (20 μl) containing MukB$_2$ and Topo IV (62.5 nM each), 50 ng of 5'-biotin tagged, nicked DNA (M13mp18) immobilized on M-280 streptavidin beads, 50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 20 mM KCl, 10 mM DTT, 0.5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 7.5% glycerol, and 0.05% Nonidet P-40 were incubated for 5 min at 37 °C. DNA beads were separated from the supernatant and resuspended in the same volume of reaction buffer. An equal volume of 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer was added to both supernatant and pellet. Samples were heated for 10 min at 95 °C and separated on NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris protein gels (Invitrogen) using 1× MES buffer. Proteins were then electrophoretically transferred to a PVDF membrane using Tris glycine buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine and 20% v/v methanol) at 4 °C and 30 V for 15 h. Affinity-purified anti-MukB and anti-ParC antibodies, goat anti-rabbit IGG-HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies, and an Amersham Biosciences ECL kit were used to visualize the proteins as recorded by exposure to X-ray film. Films were quantified using Multigauge software, version 2.3 (Fuji).

**Determination of MukB levels in vivo**

BW30270 and PN143 were grown in MOPS EZ rich defined medium with 2% glucose to an A$_{600}$ = 0.5. Cells (100 ml) were harvested and resuspended in 0.5 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0 at 4 °C), 10% sucrose. Cells were diluted to 2.0 ml in a final resuspension containing 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 10 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSE, 0.05% Triton X-100, and 0.2 mg/ml lysozyme, incubated at 37 °C for 10 min, and then chilled. Cleared lysates were recovered after centrifugation at 100,000 g for 60 min at 4 °C. The indicated amounts of extract were subjected to SDS-PAGE using 4–12% NUPAGE BisTris gels (Invitrogen) for 2 h at 120 V. Protein was transferred to a NitroBlot Cast nitrocellulose membrane, 0.45 μm (GVS North America) at 30 V for 18 h at 4 °C using 25 mM Tris base, 192 mM glycine, and 20% methanol as the transfer buffer. MukB was visualized using affinity-purified, anti-MukB antibody as above.

**Isolation of spermidine nucleoids**

BW30270 and PN143 were grown at 37 °C in MOPS EZ rich defined medium with 2% glucose to an A$_{600}$ = 0.5. Cells (25 ml) were pelleted by centrifugation, lysed, and sedimented through 10–40% sucrose gradients as described by Murphy and Zimmerman (39) with the exception that the gradients were centrifuged for 20 min.

E. coli strains

BW30270 is an rph$^+$ derivative of MG1655 (E. coli Genetic Stock Center). RY158 is BW30270ΔmukB::Kan. BW30270 was transduced to Kan$^+$ using a P1 phage stock grown on AZ5372 (F$, trpC9941, ΔmukB::Kan) (National BioResource Project; NIG, Japan). C600mukB-egfp$^+$frt-kan-frt (40) carrying a MukB-GFP fusion where GFPuv (41) was modified for optimum codon expression in E. coli and the gene was straightened by reducing AT runs as described (42). JC13509, hupaAmCherry::frtKan,ΔattB):sulAp-gfp (a gift from Steven Sandler, University of Massachusetts, Amherst). PN124 (BW30270mukB-egfp$^+$hupaAmCherry:kan), as described in the accompanying article (45). PN143 (BW30270 mukB697KD745KE753K). The ΔmukB::Kan allele in RY158 was replaced with the mukB697KD745KE753K allele using the method of Li et al. (43). RY158 was transformed with the unstable plasmid pRC7-mukB, which is rapidly lost in the absence of selection for the ampicillin marker, and pKO3mukB2301, carrying a fragment of the mukB gene (nucleotides 499–2800) with the D697K/D745K/E753K mutations. This plasmid has a temperature-sensitive replicon and carries a sacB gene so that it is evicted when grown on sucrose. In the presence of 100 μM isoprropyl β-d-galactopyranoside to induce expression of MukB from pRC7-mukB, RY158 carrying both plasmids was incubated at 43 °C to force integration of pKO3mukB2301 followed by resolution of the cointegrate by growth on sucrose at 30 °C. Cells were then grown in the absence of ampicillin, and Amp$^+$ and Kan$^+$ cells were checked for restoration of full-length mukB by PCR. Clones carrying the mutated gene were identified by sequencing the PCR products. PN143, unlike RY158, could grow at 37 °C. The genome of the final isolate was completely sequenced. PN139 (BW30270mukB697KD745KE753K-egfp$^+$hupa:AmCherry:kan) carries the mukB$^{triple}$ allele tagged with our modified version of gfp$^+$ (gfp$^+$, see above) and one subunit of the nucleoid associated protein HU tagged with mCherry. The mukBD697KD745KE753K allele in PN139 was fused to egfp$^+$ using the method of Datsenko and Wanner (44) as described by Lee and Marians (40). The Kan marker was then deleted using the FLP recombinase as described, and the strain was transduced to Kan$^+$ using a P1 phage stock grown on JC13509.

Author contributions—S. B. discovered the effect of Topo IV on MukB DNA condensation. R. K. performed all the experiments in Figs. 1–5 and 7. P. N. performed all the experiments in Figs. 6 and 8. C. M. L. and K. J. M. assisted in the quantification of the data in Figs. 1–5 and 7. P. N. performed all the experiments in Figs. 6 and 8. R. K., S. B., P. N., and K. J. M. conceived and designed the experiments. All authors analyzed the data. K. J. M. wrote the manuscript.
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