Minicircle DNA vector expressing interferon-lambda-3 inhibits hepatitis B virus replication and expression in hepatocyte-derived cell line
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Abstract

Background: Interferon-alpha (IFNα) is a first-line treatment option for chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, but the severe systemic side-effects limited its clinical application. Interferon-lambda (IFNλ) with comparable antiviral activity and less toxic side-effects is thought to be a good alternative interferon to IFNα. Additionally, the gene vector mediated sustainably expression of therapeutic product in the target cells/tissue may overcome the shortcomings resulted from the short half-life of IFNs.

Results: We constructed a liver-specific IFNλ3-expressing minicircle (MC) vector under the control of a hepatocyte-specific ApoE promoter (MC.IFNλ3) and investigated its anti-HBV activity in a HBV-expressing hepatocyte-derived cell model (HepG2.2.15). As expected, the MC.IFNλ3 vector capable of expressing IFNλ3 in the recipient hepatocytes has demonstrated robust anti-HBV activity, in terms of suppressing viral antigen expression and viral DNA replication, via activation the interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) expression in HepG2.2.15 cells.

Conclusions: Given the MC vector can be easily delivered into liver, the liver-targeted IFN gene-transfer (MC.IFNλ3), instead of systemic administrating IFN repeatedly, provides a promising concept for the treatment of chronic HBV infection.
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human IFNλ isoforms, IFNλ3 was shown to have highest antiviral activity in hepatocyte cell model [10]. IFNλ and IFNα have distinct extracellular receptors but share similar intracellular Janus kinase/signal transducer and activation of transcription (JAK/STAT) signaling transduction pathway, in response to viral infection [11–13]. Unlike the ubiquitously expressed IFNα receptor; the IFNα receptor primarily distributed on epithelial cells including hepatocytes while expressed little on hematopoietic cells, fibroblasts, microvascular endothelial cells, adipocytes and CNS cells. With restricted target cell types, the application of IFNα as antiviral agent is expected to have less side-effects than IFNα therapy, for example it is less likely to cause leukopenias that is common in IFNα therapy [12, 15, 16]. Recent clinical trials have demonstrated that the IFNα therapy is effective and well-tolerable in human patients with chronic HBV/HDV or HCV infection [17–19]. A phase II clinical trial on patients with CHB illustrated that the pegylated IFNα led to virological outcomes equivalent to pegylated IFNα while with a better tolerability [20, 21]. The phase II Lambda Interferon Monotherapy (LIM) study sponsored by Eiger BioPharmaceuticals (NCT02765802) has evaluated the safety and efficacy of pegylated IFNλ administration for 48 weeks in chronic HDV patients. According to the interim results report, a significant (2-log) HDV-RNA decline was observed in majority of patients, while the adverse side-effects typically seen with INFα were fewer [19, 22]. These studies suggest that IFNα may be a good alternative treatment against HBV infection.

Owing to the limited in vivo half-life, the IFNs (even for the PEGylated long-acting format) needs to be administrated repeatedly during the long course of treatment (several months), and consequently inconvenience their clinical application. The gene therapy that expressing IFNs in vivo by using a gene vector provides an alternative solution to bypass this limitation. As HBV is a liver tropic virus that specifically infect the hepatocytes, the chronic or persistent HBV infection can be viewed as an acquired genetic liver disease and it’s possible that CHB can be treated by a liver-targeted gene therapy [23]. In this study, we constructed a hepatocyte-specific minicircle DNA (MC) vector encoding IFNλ3 gene (MC.IFNλ3) and verified its anti-HBV activity in vitro. Where the MC [24] is an bacterial backbone DNA-free non-viral vector which permits stable and highly transgene expression in vitro and in vivo [25–28].

**Results**

**MC.IFNλ3 permits hepatocyte-specific expression of IFNλ3**

The MC.IFNα (1656 bp in length; Fig. 1a left) or MC.IFNλ3 (1677 bp in length; Fig. 1a right) construct under the control of a ApoE promoter was designed to specifically express the corresponding interferon (IFNα or IFNλ3) only in hepatocytes. To verify this assumption, we determined the expression of IFNα or IFNλ3 in a variety of cell lines after 3 days of transfection with MC.IFNs by Western blot, including in HepG2.2.15 (hepatocyte), HEK293 (embryonic kidney cell) and Hela (Cervical squamous cell) cell lines.

Little or no IFNα/IFNλ3 signal was detected in MC transfected HEK293 or Hela cells while clear and strong protein signal was shown in the HepG2.2.15 cells transfected with MC.IFNα (Fig. 1b upper row, Lane 2) or MC.IFNλ3 (Fig. 1b middle row, Lane 3), illustrating the MC.IFNs constructs permit hepatocyte-specific expression of interferons. The very weak signals of IFNα presented in the untreated HepG2.2.15 cells (control) suggests that it may have baseline (low level) of endogenous IFNα in the HepG2.2.15 cells (Fig. 1b upper row); in contrast, no baseline expression of endogenous IFNλ3 was detected in HepG2.2.15 cells (Fig. 1b middle row).

**MC.IFNλ3 inhibits viral antigens expression and viral DNA replication in HepG2.2.15 cells**

To investigate the anti-HBV activity of the MC.IFNs, the viral DNA and secretory viral antigens (HBsAg and HBeAg) in cell culture supernatant from MC.IFNs transfected HepG2.2.15 cells were detected at 3- and 6 days after transfection. Where the transfection efficiency of HepG2.2.15 cells with MC.IFNs was roughly estimated to be about 70%, by using the MC vector, with comparable size (1.8 kb vs 1.7 kb), encoding an enhanced green fluorescent protein (MC.eGFP) as an indicator.

Like MC.IFNα, MC.IFNλ3 can inhibit both viral antigens (HBsAg and HBeAg) expression and viral DNA release (Fig. 2; Table 1). From a statistical perspective, MC.IFNλ3 and MC.IFNα shows comparable anti-HBV activity at day 3 post-transfection (P > 0.05), although the inhibition rate of MC.IFNλ3 seems slight lower than that of MC.IFNα (MC.IFNλ3 vs MC.IFNα were 24.8% vs 35.1% for HBsAg, 26.5% vs 34.5% for HBeAg, 43.3% vs 53.6% for viral DNA); while after 6 days of transfection, MC.IFNλ3 shows statistically stronger (P < 0.05) anti-viral activities in comparison with its counterpart MC.IFNα, as the separate inhibition rates of viral antigens and viral DNA (MC.IFNλ3 vs MC.IFNα were 36.7% vs 16.2% for HBsAg, 39.9% vs 20.9% for HBeAg, 50.3% vs 33.7% for viral DNA) (Table 1).

**MC.IFNλ3 induces JAK1 and STAT1/STAT2 phosphorylation in HepG2.2.15 cells**

The un-phosphorylated and phosphorylated (p-STATs) form of STAT1/STAT2 both in cell nucleus and in cytoplasm of MC transfected HepG2.2.15 cells were determined by Western blot at 6 days post-transfection. The
expression pattern differs significantly between cell nucleus (Fig. 3a left) and cytoplasm (Fig. 3a right). Except p-STAT1, STAT1, STAT2 and p-STAT2 are clearly expressed in the cytoplasm of the MC.IFNs-untreated cells (control) (Fig. 3a right). In contrast, the weak signals of STAT1, STAT2 and p-STAT2 in cell nucleus from the control samples also have been detected, indicating that there is baseline level of nuclear STAT1, STAT2 and p-STAT2 in cell nucleus from the control samples.

For quantitative comparison of STATs/p-STATs among different groups, we estimated the relative levels of STATs/p-STATs by calculating the intensity of immunoblotting bands using the software Image J. We found that both MC.IFNs treatment dramatically increased the level of intra-nuclear STAT1 for about 13 times (MC.IFNα) or 14 times (MC.IFNλ3) with a comparable level (MC.IFNα/MC.IFNλ3 = 1.06) (Fig. 3a). As comparable signals were detected among control and two MC.IFNs treated samples (control: MC.IFNα: MC.IFNλ3 = 0.9:1:1.2), we speculated that either MC.IFNα or MC.IFNλ3 had little effect on the level of cytoplasmic STAT1 (Fig. 3a). The MC.IFNs treatment was also found to induce the comparably while significantly increase of the STAT2 levels both in cytoplasm (MC.IFNα vs control: 2.9 times; MC.IFNλ3 vs control: 2.2 times; MC.IFNα/MC.IFNλ3 = 1.3) and nucleus (MC.IFN-α vs control: 2.7 times; MC.IFNλ3 vs control: 3.1 times; MC.IFNα/MC.IFNλ3 = 1.1) for about 2 to 3 times (Fig. 3a). Given the cytoplasmic and nuclear p-STAT1 signals were presented in MC.IFNα or MC.IFNλ3 treated cells but was absent in the control cells (Fig. 3a), it suggested that each MC.IFN can induce the phosphorylation of STAT1. Furthermore, the MC.IFNλ3 showed a stronger ability to activate phosphorylation of STAT1 (MC.IFNλ3/MC.IFNα = 2.07 in cytoplasm; MC.IFNλ3/MC.IFNα = 1.9 in nucleus) and both MC.IFNs were found to be able to comparably elevate the nuclear p-STAT2 amount from baseline low level to a relative higher level for about 16 times (control: MC.IFNα: MC.IFNλ3/MC.IFNα = 1:15.9:16.3) (Fig. 3a). These findings suggest that both MC.IFNs may up-regulate STAT2 expression, trigger the STAT1/STAT2 transferring from cytoplasm to nucleus and induce the phosphorylation of STAT1/STAT2.

To further investigate the activation of relevant upstream kinase of STAT1/STAT2 in JAK/STAT pathway, the JAK1 and phosphorylated JAK1 (p-JAK1) in MC
transfected HepG2.2.15 cells were determined by Western blot at the same time point, namely 6 days post-transfection. Weak expression of JAK1 was shown in MC-untreated (control) cells (Fig. 3b upper row, Lane 1), while the increased expression of JAK1 was observed in both MC.IFNα and MC.IFN3 transfected cells (Fig. 3b upper row, Lane 2 and 3). On the other hand, the phosphorylated JAK1 (p-JAK1) was presented in both MC.IFNs treated cells (Fig. 3b middle row, Lane 2 and 3) but absent in the control cells (Fig. 3b middle row, Lane 1).
These results suggest both MC.IFNs can up-regulate JAK1 expression and activate the phosphorylation of JAK1.

Collectively, it’s clear that both MC.IFNα and MC.IFNλ3 may activate JAK/STAT pathway in HepG2.2.15 cells.

MC.IFNλ3 up-regulates ISGs expression in HepG2.2.15 cells

To further compare the ISGs expression profile alternation in HepG2.2.15 cells after MC.IFN treatment (MC.IFNλ3 vs MC.IFNα), the relative mRNA transcriptional levels of ten ISGs (IRF7, IRF9, APOBEC3G, Mx1, BST2, PKR, OAS, IFT44, ISG15 and ISG56) of MC transfected HepG2.2.15 cells were quantified at 3 or 6 days post-transfection by qPCR.

Although with common feature that either MC up-regulated all the ten ISGs’ mRNA expression in each time-points (at 3 or 6 days post-transfection), the ISG expression profile under the induction of these two MC.IFNs showed significant different pattern across the time-course (Fig. 4). Firstly, we compared the change of

![Table 1: Viral antigens and viral DNA in HepG2.2.15 cell culture supernatant after transfection](image)

** indicates $P < 0.01$; * indicates $P < 0.05$; ns represents not significant ($P > 0.05$). Group 1, 2 and 3 represent Control, MC.IFNα and MC.IFNλ3 group, respectively.
mRNA relative expression level between two different time points (day 3 vs day 6 post-transfection). Compared with day 3, The expression of all but one (Mx1) ISGs, under MC.IFN-α induction, at day 6 was decreased (Fig. 4a); while all the ISGs expression induced by MC.IFNλ3 is ever-increased over time (Fig. 4b). Furthermore, we compared the expression difference between two MC groups (MC.IFNλ3 vs MC.IFNα). In day 3, most ISGs (except IRF7 and ISG56) in MC.IFNα groups expressed much more mRNAs than MC.IFNλ3 group (Fig. 4c); while it was completely reversed that the MC.IFNλ3 group expressed more mRNAs of all ISGs but Mx1 than MC.IFNα group at day 6 post-transfection (Fig. 4d). These data demonstrated that, in comparison with IFNα, MC.IFNλ3 may induce a relative weaker ISGs-response in a short time, but the response is more robust in a prolonged period.

Discussion
IFNλ has exerted significant antiviral activities against HBV or HCV [29–32] and is thought to be a potential alternative agent to IFNα against HBV/HCV infection [12]. Compared with IFNα that corresponds to ubiquitously expressed IFNα receptor, IFNλ may induce less side-effects as the IFNλ receptors are restrictedly expressed in epithelial cells including hepatocyte [14]. In fact, a recent clinical trial has showed that, compared to peg- to those of peg-IFNα, the PEGylated IFNλ exerts
comparable serologic/virologic responses at end-of-treatment but less side-effects during on-treatment in CHB patients [20].

Given the long course of IFN-based anti-HBV therapies (months to 1 year), the IFNs with limited half-life are required to be repeatedly administered weekly (pegylated) or more frequently [33, 34]; therefore, the clinical application of current IFNs is inconvenient and costly. Rather than extending the half-life, the gene therapy that persistently expressing IFN in vivo using an appropriate gene vector provides an alternative way to overcome these drawbacks. As HBV specifically infect the hepatocytes of the liver, chronic or persistent HBV infection can be considered as an acquired liver genetic disease. Thus, local gene expression of therapeutics product in the liver (or termed liver-targeted gene therapy) may be an attractive strategy against chronic HBV infection. By constructing a MC.IFN3 vector under the control of a liver-specific ApoE promoter that permits sustained IFN3 production in recipient hepatocyte cells, here we offered a liver-targeted long-acting alternative anti-HBV strategy. For liver-targeting, the non-viral MC vector, on one hand, can be delivered into liver easily via hydrodynamic tail vein injection [26, 35], the liver-specific ApoE promoter, on the other hand, will drive a specific expression of IFN3 in hepatocytes (Fig. 1).

In consistence with previous reports [11, 12], we confirmed that MC.IFN3, like the MC.IFNα counterpart, can induce efficient anti-HBV activity, in terms of suppressing HBV replication and expression, by activating the interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) expression (Fig. 4) through JAK/STAT pathway (Fig. 3). Furthermore, we found that, in comparison with MC.IFNα, MC.IFN3 induced a slightly weaker antiviral response in the earlier stage while a significant stronger antiviral response in the later stage, suggesting a robust inhibitory activity across the long course of IFN3 treatment (Fig. 2, Table 1).

We have noticed that the efficacy as well as the tolerance profiles of MC.IFN3 needs to be further evaluate in vivo with animal models. Nevertheless, our data are valuable for developing IFNλ3-based gene therapy against HBV infection.

Conclusions
For chronic HBV infection treatment, the MC vector expressing IFN3 (MC.IFN3) provides a potential alternative strategy to the current IFN therapy.

Methods
Vector construction and minicircle DNA production
To construction the minicircle (MC) parental plasmid (PP) of IFNλ3 or IFNα, the coding sequences (CDS) of IFNλ3 and IFNα were separately sub-cloned into a modified minicircle-cloning vector pMC.BESXP [24] with additional hepatocyte-specific ApoE promoter, multiple cloning site (MCS) and bovine growth factor polyadenylation signal.

Using the standard MC preparation protocol described previously [24], the MCs encoding IFN3 (MC.IFN3) and IFNα (MC.IFNα) were produced in the E. coli strain ZYCY10P3S2T22 [24] transformed with corresponding parental plasmid.

Cell culture and transfection
HEK293 cell, Hela cell and the HBV-positive HepG2.2.15 cell, purchased from Typical Culture Preservation Commission Cell Bank, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China), was maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C in a moist atmosphere containing 5% CO2. After 24 h of seeding at a density of 5 x 105 cells per well of 6-well plates, the cells were transfected with 2 μg MC vector per well mixed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, US) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Determination of viral DNA and antigens in cell culture supernatant
The level of secreted HBsAg and HBeAg in the cell culture supernatant was determined periodically by chemiluminescence using the Abbott ARCHITECT platform (Abbott Laboratories, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The HBV DNA in the cell culture supernatant was quantified by a TaqMax probe-based quantitative PCR method as performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions, using the COBAS® TaqMan® HBV Test Kit (Roche Diagnostics, US).

Quantitative real-time PCR
The mRNA expression level of ISGs was determined by quantitative real-time PCR. Total mRNA was isolated from the MC transfected cells at the indicated time points using TRIZOL (invitrogen, US). The RNA quantity and quality was measured using a NanoDrop2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, US). Subsequently, cDNA was reverse transcribed and subjected to quantitative PCR (qPCR) with the SYBR® Premix Ex TaqTM II kit (TaKaRa, Japan). The ISG-specific qPCR primers are listed in Table 2.

The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 30s at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 55°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 15 s. The relative abundance of a given transcript was estimated using the 2^-ΔΔCt method, following normalization to β-actin.
Table 2 The qPCR primer pairs for detecting ISG genes

| Genes      | Primer pairs                  |
|------------|-------------------------------|
| Forward    | Reverse                       |
| IRF9       | gccctcaaggtgatcatgtg         | tgcgtctgcgtttagtgatgcctt |
| IRF7       | gctggacgtaggcacatactgta      | gggcggcttgaagggggctc     |
| PKR        | gcccgtcaacttcatatatctca      | tacacagttagcaaaagaacc    |
| ISG56      | ttagatgcagcttagaactcctgta    | caggctcagcaactctccac     |
| IFNAR2     | tctactgttagtatcaagttcctctt  | agttgtagacatggggtttt    |
| Mx1        | gttgctgaggtgacacctgca        | ctgacagaggttgtctcgc      |
| OAS        | ctagatcaggaactgtagcac        | cacagtctctgacactccttt    |
| ISG15      | cpcagatcaccagaaggtcg         | ttctgcgcatttgccacca      |
| IFH4L      | agccgctcagggtgtactataac      | aaggatatctggtgctgtaga    |
| IFITM1     | ccaggtgcacccgtgattaaac       | atgaaccacacatgtgcacaaacct |
| Apoebc3G   | gcatcgtgaccaagggatgtagta    | gtcagtaggaacctcctggt     |
| β-actin    | ccgccagacactgtgacacccctct    | ttgcgttggaagcaggtgagg    |

Western blot

The protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and then transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, US). After blocked the non-specific binding sites with 5% skim milk in TBST (Sigma, US), the membrane was subjected to immunoblotting using a primary antibody listed as below: the rabbit polyclonal antibody specific to IFNα (ProteinTech, US; #18013–1-AP) and IFNλ3 (ProteinTech, US; #24199–1-AP); the rabbit monoclonal antibodies specific to JAK1 (Cell Signaling Technology, US; #3344) and phosphorylated JAK1 (p-JAK1) (Cell Signaling Technology, US; #3331); the rabbit polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies specific to STAT1 (Abcam, UK; #9171) and phosphorylated STAT1 (p-STAT1) (Cell Signaling Technology, US; #3331); the rabbit polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies specific to STAT2 (Abcam, UK; #ab2415S), STAT2 (Abcam, UK; #ab53149), phosphorylated STAT1 (p-STAT1) (Cell Signaling Technology, US; #9171) and phosphorylated STAT2 (p-STAT2) (Millipore, US; #07–224). Finally, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (ProteinTech, US) and chemiluminescence system ECL Kit (Thermo Scientific, US) were used to visualize protein signal. For normalization, the housekeeping protein β-actin or GAPDH present on the same blots was detected using an anti-β actin antibody (ProteinTech, US) or anti-GAPDH antibody (Kangcheng BioTech, Shanghai, China).

The relative quantification of detected proteins on Western blotting was performed with the software Image J (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html) by estimating the intensity (or termed gray scale) of corresponding bands.

Statistical methods

Mean and SD (or SEM) was calculated for each dataset. The statistical difference between two experimental groups (MC.IFNα vs MC.IFNλ3) were compared using Student’s t-test; while the statistical comparison among multiple groups (≥3 groups) were performed by one-way ANOVA, following a Dunnett’s post-hoc tests. P value < 0.05 (*) was considered statistically significant. All these analyses were performed with Graphpad Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).
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