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ABSTRACT

Core genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) has gained popularity in recent years in epidemiological research and subspecies level classification. cgMLST retains the intuitive nature of traditional MLST but offers much greater resolution by utilizing significantly larger portions of the genome. Here, we introduce a cgMLST scheme for *Vibrio cholerae*, a bacterium abundant in marine and freshwater environments and the etiologic agent of cholera. 2,443 core genes ubiquitous in *V. cholerae* were used to analyze a comprehensive dataset of 1,262 clinical and environmental strains collected from 52 countries, including 70 genomes newly sequenced in this study. We established a sublineage threshold, based on 133 allelic differences, that creates clusters nearly identical to traditional MLST types providing context and backwards compatibility to new cgMLST classifications. We also defined an outbreak threshold, based on seven allelic differences, that is capable of identifying strains that are part of the same outbreak and closely related isolates which could give clues on its origin. Using this scheme, we confirmed the South Asian origin of modern epidemics and identified a strong geographic affinity among sublineages of environmental isolates. Advantages of cgMLST are highlighted by a direct comparison with existing classification methods, such as MLST and single nucleotide polymorphism-based methods. cgMLST outperforms all existing methods in terms of resolution, standardization, and ease-of-use. We anticipate this scheme will serve as a basis for a universally applicable and standardized classification system for *V. cholerae* research and epidemiological surveillance in the future. This cgMLST scheme is publicly available on PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/vcholerae/).
**IMPORTANCE**

Toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* of the O1 and O139 serogroups are the causative agent of cholera, an acute diarrheal disease that has plagued the world for centuries, if not millennia. Here, we introduce a core-genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) scheme for *V. cholerae*. Using this scheme, we established an outbreak threshold that enabled us to efficiently identify outbreak related strains and potential sources of introductions. We also defined a sublineage threshold that is equivalent to traditional MLST sequence types, providing context to this new typing method. This scheme is publicly available on PubMLST ([https://pubmlst.org/vcholerae](https://pubmlst.org/vcholerae)) with over 1,200 *V. cholerae* isolates collected from around the world. cgMLST outperforms all existing methods in terms of resolution, standardization, and ease-of-use, making this scheme the most suitable method for *V. cholerae* typing and surveillance worldwide.
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INTRODUCTION

Cholera is transmitted in a fecal-oral route mostly by contaminated food or water (1, 2). The case fatality rate (CFR) of this disease can be up to 50% without treatment, but with proper medical care, the CFR is usually less than 1% (2, 3). In developed countries with proper water treatment facilities, cholera is practically non-existent aside from imported cases. Unfortunately, this cannot be said for many developing countries lacking this infrastructure, where cholera has been endemic for centuries such as in parts of South Asia (4). As it is also difficult to eradicate cholera (5), this disease often becomes endemic in regions where it has been introduced, for example in Latin America in 1991 (6, 7), Haiti in 2010 (8), and Yemen in 2016 (9). It is estimated that there are over a million cholera cases each year resulting in tens of thousands of deaths worldwide (10). Being an indicator of healthcare and socio-economic disparities (11, 12), this disease is often under-reported due to its negative influence on tourism as it implies poor water quality (13). Together with the lack of a universally applicable and standardized classification method, outbreak surveillance and source attribution is often challenging (1, 8). The Haiti outbreak for example, due to these limitations, required extensive genomic and epidemiological research since the beginning of the outbreak to determine the source of introduction, which was not confirmed until August 2011 even though it broke out in July 2010 (8, 14–17).

A typing method for use in global surveillance of pandemic causing pathogens such as *V. cholerae* should be efficient and easy to use, with the potential to be applied to all *V. cholerae* strains around the world. Therefore, it must have the capacity to analyze thousands of genomes efficiently and new genomes should be easily typed as they get sequenced. As all cholera outbreaks are caused by a single lineage of *V. cholerae*, the pandemic generating/phylocore genome (PG) lineage, which includes 7th pandemic El Tor, El Tor sister, El Tor progenitor,
classical and classical sister clade (5, 18, 19), this method should also be able to differentiate isolates at a fine scale and separate outbreaks caused by genetically similar strains. Such a method will help create a comprehensive database with detailed epidemiological data that will allow for the analysis of future outbreak strains in a global context and guide subsequent epidemiological analyses. Different methods for subspecies level classification and outbreak surveillance have been developed for *V. cholerae*. These methods include serotyping, multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (20, 21), multilocus variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) analysis (MLVA) (22, 23), and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based approaches (14). Despite the popularity of these methods, there are important limitations to each.

Serotyping based on the presence of cell surface O-antigens is one of the earliest attempts at subspecies level classification of *V. cholerae*. There are now over 200 serogroups of *V. cholerae* identified; however, only the toxigenic members of the O1 and O139 serogroups have been found to be responsible for all major documented epidemics and pandemics (24, 25).

Serogroup O1 can be further divided into two biotypes (El Tor and Classical) and three serotypes (Inaba, Hikojima, and Ogawa) (2). The lack of resolution within the epidemic strains and the possibility of serogroup conversion (26) limits the use of serotyping in epidemiological studies.

MLST provides a standardized classification method that is based on a collection of six to seven well-defined housekeeping genes (27). MLST was used to study a number of cholera outbreaks and allowed the descriptions of its general population structure (28, 29). It is reproducible and provides reliable results; however, it is unable to differentiate between closely related strains which limits its use in outbreak surveillance (30, 31). In addition, direct comparisons between different MLST schemes are difficult, as different schemes utilize different housekeeping genes.
MLVA utilizes VNTR regions, which are under less selective pressure than housekeeping genes. This method therefore provides greater resolution than MLST for some bacterial species (32, 33). However, VNTR regions, due to their rapid mutation rate, are more affected by homoplasy where two isolates may share the same MLVA profile due to convergent mutation and not by vertical descent (34). As a result, MLVA may produce clusters that do not necessarily reflect phylogenetic relationships (35). Two common PCR-based methods exist for the typing of VNTR regions, but each have significant limitations (36). The first method is multiplex PCR which can analyze all loci at once, but it is impossible to determine which bands correspond to which loci; therefore, this method only produces a banding pattern for strain identification, which makes it difficult to standardize and communicate results. The second method is the separate amplification of VNTR regions but determining the number of repeats based on amplicon size information alone is difficult if the difference in size is not large enough. In addition, different types of mutations that do not necessarily change the number of repeats can cause a change in amplicon size. Sequencing is needed to confirm MLVA profiles, but repeat regions increase the chances of sequencing errors (37). Due to these limitations, stringent quality control is required for reliable MLVA analysis (38).

SNP-based analysis is one of the most common whole-genome-based methods currently being used and was applied to various outbreaks (14, 39, 40). It relies on the identification of conserved SNPs in strains of interest using next-generation sequence reads or assembled genomes. The number of SNPs can then be related to the evolutionary distance between isolates. SNP-based analysis provides reliable results with sufficient resolution for epidemiological studies, but it is sensitive to horizontal gene transfer and recombination events, as each event will result in many SNPs being created. The number of SNPs between two strains, therefore, does not
necessarily reflect true phylogenetic relationship. SNPs found in recombinogenic regions should therefore be removed which, depending on the organism of interest, can be anywhere from 30% to 97% of SNPs identified (41, 42). Since recombination and horizontal gene transfer events are common in *V. cholerae* (43–45), SNP-based methods, although suitable in individual epidemiological studies, will be difficult to serve as a universal classification method for *V. cholerae*.

Core genome MLST (cgMLST), also known as the gene-by-gene approach, overcomes the various limitations of the previously mentioned subtyping methods and was established to serve as a universally applicable standardized typing scheme. Similar to MLST, cgMLST relies on individual gene sequences to differentiate between closely related strains; however, instead of using only six to seven housekeeping genes, cgMLST utilizes hundreds to thousands of core genes, which are commonly found in all strains of a species. By utilizing a much larger portion of the genome, cgMLST provides superior resolution compared to traditional MLST schemes. By combining the expandable and standardized classification method that made traditional MLST favourable with the resolution of whole-genome-based methods, cgMLST is becoming more popular in epidemiological and ecological studies (46–52). This method has the added advantage of backwards compatibility with all MLST schemes, meaning it is possible to determine MLST profiles of any isolates based on their cgMLST profiles, since cgMLST, by definition, would include all housekeeping genes. This allows for a 1:1 mapping of any previously established MLST scheme to the cgMLST scheme, helping consolidate already existing classification information.

Another major benefit of cgMLST is that, much like traditional MLST methods, it is possible to establish different clustering thresholds to define important groups. Clonal complexes
are examples of clustering thresholds established by MLST schemes, where each clonal complex corresponds to a cluster of isolates that share at most one allelic difference across all seven genes sequenced. Some important clonal complexes were shown to correspond to either groups established by a previous typing method (53) or major outbreak strains (54). However, cgMLST offers even greater flexibility than MLST in this regard, given the number of loci considered. With small clustering thresholds where groups are created based on the sharing of a large number of alleles, it is possible to identify closely related strains useful in epidemiological studies. On the other hand, with larger clustering thresholds, it is possible to identify lineage- or even sublineage-level differences to study large scale patterns and answer broader ecological questions. The benefits of defining clustering thresholds with cgMLST schemes have already been demonstrated in other human pathogens, such as Brucella melitensis (50), Campylobacter jejuni (49), Clostridium difficile (51), Enterococcus faecium (48), and Listeria monocytogenes (47).

In this study, we introduce a cgMLST scheme for the genome-wide typing of V. cholerae and demonstrate its universality and efficacy by applying it to known cholera outbreaks around the world. The advantages of cgMLST are presented by comparing the scheme with previously established classification methods. Furthermore, we have produced a 1:1 mapping of the cgMLST scheme against two MLST schemes for V. cholerae (20, 21), allowing for the consolidation of existing classification information. The cgMLST scheme, genome sequences used in this study, and relevant epidemiological information are publicly available on PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/vcholerae/), which allows for the automatic annotation and subsequent analyses of hundreds of newly uploaded V. cholerae genomes in a global context. This increase in efficiency, standardizability, and resolution compared to current methods makes cgMLST the
most suitable classification scheme for large scale *V. cholerae* surveillance. By applying this scheme to our collection of over 1,200 isolates collected around the world, it was possible to establish outbreak and sublineage thresholds which not only allowed us to validate the South Asian origin of many modern epidemics as proposed in previous studies (5, 55, 56) but also identify a strong geographic signal among environmental strains, where isolates from the same sublineage are also from the same geographic region; this is a pattern that is not seen in clinical isolates.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Dataset description**

On November 6th 2018, 1,172 *V. cholerae* genomes consisting of 800 draft and complete genomes and 372 sequence read archives (SRAs), available from both publicly available database and private collection, were selected as our dataset. One hundred sixteen SRAs from a recent study on the Yemen cholera outbreak (9) were subsequently added as an independent evaluation of the cgMLST scheme (Table S1). The 488 SRAs were assembled using skesa (57) or the CLC Genomics Workbench 7 (https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com) using default parameters. This total dataset of 1,288 included twenty-six genomes with less than 90% of the core genes, which were identified using USEarch (58) based on RAST (59) annotations. These twenty-six genomes were removed from subsequent analyses resulting in a final dataset of 1,262 genomes collected from 52 countries and spanning 82 years from 1937 to 2018 (Table S2). These include a historical collection from the 6th cholera pandemic, clinical isolates from outbreaks in various countries (e.g., Bangladesh, India, Haiti, Yemen, the Democratic Republic
of Congo, and Russia), and environmental isolates from different parts of the world (e.g., USA, Mexico, and Australia).

**Gene identification and allele assignments**

Instead of using the full dataset of 1,288 genomes, we selected a subset of high-quality genomes because core gene identification is highly dependent on the initial dataset and the inclusion of poorly assembled and/or sequenced data will reduce the number of core genes identified (47).

Firstly, 800 already assembled draft or complete genomes were selected for core gene identification. Low-quality assemblies were then eliminated by removing genomes with less than 40× coverage and/or N50 values less than 40 kb. From a previously established cgMLST scheme for *L. monocytogenes*, 40× coverage and 20 kb N50 value were used as cutoff thresholds, as genomes that do not meet these criteria resulted in a low proportion of loci being called (47). The 40× coverage cutoff was adopted for this study; however, because the average *V. cholerae* genome size (~ 4 Mb) is larger than the average *L. monocytogenes* genome (~ 3 Mb), 40 kb was instead selected as the N50 cutoff. The use of these cutoffs resulted in the removal of 82 genomes.

The remaining 718 genomes were annotated using RAST (59) and USearch (58) and a tentative set of core genes that were on average present in 99% of the genomes were selected. An additional 13 genomes were removed, as they lacked more than 90% for the core genes, leaving us with a dataset of 705 high-quality genomes. However, an additional 26 genomes were subsequently removed for the core gene analysis as it has been previously suggested that they form a highly divergent lineage within the *V. cholerae* (60–62), ensuring that the dataset used for core gene identification consists only of unambiguously *V. cholerae* isolates (also as verified by
average nucleotide identity (63) and digital DNA–DNA hybridization (64) between genomes (60–62)). Completeness and potential contamination of all remaining 679 genomes were also independently evaluated by checkM, which estimates these values based on the presence and number of copies of a set of pre-defined single copy marker genes (65) (Table S4). All genomes were, according to the criteria established by checkM, nearly complete (≥97%) with medium to low levels of contamination (<7%) (65).

Each orthologous gene was compared against the *V. cholerae* N16961 reference genome using BLASTN (66) to determine gene function. Any gene family with no homolog in N16961 or classified as pseudogenes on the NCBI GenBank database were removed, meaning N16961 was 100% complete for the cgMLST scheme. Any gene that was present in more than one copy in any of the initial 679 genomes was also removed, as they were considered paralogous. Thus, in this context, core genes are defined as being present in at least 90% of the 679 high-quality assembled genomes in a single copy. By choosing a relaxed cutoff of 90% completeness, we accounted for missing genes due to sequencing, annotation, or assembly errors while ensuring there is sufficient resolution to differentiate between closely related strains, with at least 2,199 loci remaining for classification purposes. The final cgMLST scheme utilizes a set of 2,443 single-copy core gene loci, which is 2,425,296 bp in size and covering approximately 61% of the genome. The list of core genes is available on PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/vcholerae/).

Automated scripts in BIGSdb (67) were used to perform allele calls and assignments for all 1,262 isolates (Table S2). Allele calls were made only for complete coding sequences with a minimum of 70% similarity and 70% length coverage at the nucleotide level, as previously described (47). Default settings were used for all other parameters.
Core-genome sequence type (cgST) assignment

cgST, which was defined as a unique combination of alleles of all loci included in the scheme, was assigned for all isolates, excluding those from the Yemen outbreak study (8), with an in-house script, as previously described (68). Briefly, missing loci were replaced with the most common allele when assigning cgSTs, allowing for a conservative estimate of diversity (68). The 116 isolates from the Yemen cholera outbreak study (9) were annotated automatically by uploading them to PubMLST. PubMLST treated missing alleles as ‘N’. cgSTs were assigned to each allele profile, treating ‘N’ as a regular allele designation. However, different from typical allele designations, ‘N’ can represent any allelic sequence; therefore, some isolates may contain multiple cgST designations, all of which are possibly true cgSTs. For isolates with more than one cgST suggested by PubMLST, postprocessing was done using an in-house script to identify the most likely cgST, which was determined by assuming missing loci contained the most common allele (Table S2). It is expected that as genome sequencing becomes more reliable, higher quality genomes will be available and any missing data can be updated as needed.

MLST scheme and sequence type (ST) assignments

Two MLST schemes developed for *V. cholerae* were mapped to this cgMLST scheme. The first MLST scheme developed in 2013 by Octavia *et al.* (20) was used to study global population structure of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* and is currently hosted on PubMLST. All isolates uploaded to PubMLST were automatically annotated with this scheme. Any missing data in this scheme was ignored and no ST designation was assigned. The second MLST scheme developed in 2016 by Kirchberger *et al.* (21) was used to study the population structure of environmental *V. cholerae* in a region on the US East Coast. The second MLST scheme is not currently hosted on
PubMLST, but because the housekeeping genes in this scheme are also found in the cgMLST scheme, a similar in-house script used in cgST assignments was used to assign ST designations. Therefore, all isolates in this study were assigned three designations when possible – two ST designations based on the two previous MLST schemes (20, 21) and one cgST designation based on the cgMLST scheme from this study.

Outbreak and sublineage clustering thresholds

A clustering threshold was defined as the maximum number of allelic differences found within a cluster. All clusters were produced based on the single-linkage clustering method, which meant an isolate belonged to a cluster if it linked with any isolate within that cluster. Two metrics were used as general guidelines for determining clustering thresholds. The first metric used was the Dunn Index (DI), which measured clustering efficiencies (69). Briefly, the DI was highest for a network (i.e., the network has the best clustering efficiency) when the intra-cluster distances were minimized, and the inter-cluster distances were maximized. Since isolate distances were measured based on allelic differences, a high DI resulted in clusters where isolates were more closely related to those found within the same cluster than those found in a different cluster. The DI was calculated using the R packages ‘clvalid’ and ‘boot’ with 100 bootstrap replicates for each threshold and graphed using the R package ‘ggplot2’ (70–73).

The second metric used was the Adjusted Rand Index (ARI), which measured the level of similarity between two networks when clustering the same set of isolates by measuring the amount of agreements (i.e., the number of pairs that were grouped either as being in the same cluster or different cluster in both networks) and disagreements (i.e., the number of pairs that were grouped together in one network but grouped separately in another) (74). The values ranged
from -1 (i.e., two networks are exactly opposite) to 1 (i.e., two networks are identical). ARI was used to determine the level of similarity between various clustering thresholds and the MLST schemes. ARI was calculated using the R package ‘clues’ and graphed using ‘ggplot2’ (71, 73, 75).

Minimum spanning tree (MST)
All MSTs, unless otherwise specified, were constructed using GrapeTree MSTv2 (76). Loci with missing data were included in the profile as “–”. GrapeTree provided a novel algorithm that accounted for missing data when constructing an MST, an important feature since missing data is common in whole-genome- and core-genome-based analyses. GrapeTree is currently integrated within PubMLST, which allows for quick visualization of the dataset with any provenance data.

Phylogenetic analysis
Parsnp v1.2 (77) was used to reconstruct the phylogenetic tree using V. cholerae N16961 as the reference genome. The -x flag was used to enable filtering of SNPs in recombinogenic regions as identified by PhiPack (78). Default settings were used for all other parameters. The phylogenetic tree included 1,146 genomes (all genomes except for the 116 isolates from the recent Yemen cholera outbreak study (9)). Since all isolates sequenced for the latter study belonged to the 7th pandemic El Tor lineage, it would have had limited impact on the overall structure of the tree. The phylogeny was visualized and annotated using iTOL (79).
Biogeographical analysis of environmental isolates

All isolates that were not part of the PG lineage (18, 19) were first clustered based on the sublineage threshold using the python package ‘networkX’ (80). Missing alleles were replaced with the most common allelic designation when calculating pairwise differences to establish a more conservative estimate of diversity. The network was then visualized using Cytoscape (81).

Data availability

All previously sequenced *V. cholerae* genomes were obtained from the NCBI GenBank database. 65 additional genomes sequenced in this study were deposited on the same database. Table S6 lists all the accession numbers for all the genomes used in this study. In addition, all genome sequences, allelic profiles, cgST designations, ST designations, and relevant epidemiological data are publicly available on PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/vcholerae/).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A high-resolution typing scheme for pandemic *V. cholerae*

The highest level of resolution of any cgMLST scheme is defined by cgSTs, where a unique cgST represents a unique allelic profile. Isolates that belong to the same cgST are expected to be phylogenetically very closely related, as although they may not have the exact genomic sequence, they do have the same sequence for all 2,443 core gene loci. We identified a total of 1,026 cgSTs from 1,262 genomes collected from 52 countries. Even with our extensive dataset, we have yet to sample anywhere close to the total predicted cgST diversity for the global *V. cholerae* population (Fig. S1). All isolates were given at least one cgST designation and up to two MLST ST designations based on two previously established MLST schemes (20, 21) (Table
MLST STs are defined based on the unique combination of all loci of a particular MLST scheme, which ideally uses six to seven well-defined housekeeping genes. Twelve STs are exclusively present in the 7th pandemic El Tor lineage using the traditional MLST schemes (20, 21), while 560 cgSTs are uniquely present in this group based on the cgMLST scheme (Table S2). As the El Tor lineage is responsible for most cholera outbreaks around the world since the beginning of the 7th pandemic (82), this superior ability to resolve between closely related strains in the 7th pandemic El Tor lineages makes cgMLST more suitable in outbreak surveillance than traditional MLST schemes.

**Backwards compatibility with previous subspecies classification methods**

Much like how cgSTs are important in studying closely related strains typical in outbreaks, it is also important in establishing a standardized nomenclature at a higher level to answer broader ecological questions. Here, we propose a sublineage definition for *V. cholerae* based on our cgMLST scheme.

Pairwise allelic differences calculated between all isolates shows three major peaks (Fig. 1A). The first peak ends at 40 allelic differences, and the second peak ends at 133 allelic differences (Fig. 1B). The last peak begins at 2,200 allelic differences (Fig. 1A), which is expected due to mutational saturation (i.e., every single allele in the scheme is different between the two distantly related strains being compared). Both breaks (i.e., 40 or 133 allelic differences) could represent a potential sublineage delineation. To choose between the two thresholds, the clustering efficiency is measured by calculating the Dunn Index (DI) (69). Since cluster distances are measured by allelic differences, the network with the best clustering efficiency (i.e., the highest DI) will also produce clusters that best represent biological relationships, as isolates are
more closely related to themselves than to isolates from other clusters. A DI was calculated for each clustering threshold in the range of 1 to 1,000 allelic differences with 100 bootstrap replicates (Fig. 2). As the clustering threshold defines the maximum number of allelic differences within a cluster, the smaller the threshold, the more closely related the isolates are within a cluster. It is clear that DIs in the range of 0 to 50 allelic differences are significantly lower than the DIs in the range of 100 to 350 allelic differences, with 133 being a clear local maximum. Since 133 allelic differences has the best clustering efficiency and also represents a natural break where most isolate pairs have either less than or much greater number of allelic differences (Fig. 1B), it was therefore chosen as the sublineage threshold.

Because cgMLST includes all housekeeping genes, information from the two MLST schemes previously developed for *V. cholerae* (20, 21) can now be consolidated with the cgMLST scheme by creating a 1:1 cgMLST to MLST map. To evaluate the similarities between the sublineage threshold and the MLST schemes, we created a minimum spanning tree (MST) for all Bangladesh isolates (n = 255) showing only edges with 133 allelic differences or fewer (Fig. 3A and Fig. S2). Each cluster therefore represents a single sublineage. Bangladesh was chosen to compare cgMLST and MLST as it is the most extensively sampled country both in terms of clinical and environmental isolates in our dataset. Using this dataset, the chosen sublineage threshold produces clusters that closely resembles traditional MLST STs. Based on the 2013 MLST scheme (20), each sublineage corresponds to exactly one ST (Fig. S2), whereas there is only one sublineage that contains two STs based on the 2016 MLST scheme (21) (Fig. 3A). All but two isolates belong to ST1; N16961 and A19 belong to ST290, which differs from ST1 at only one of seven MLST loci (Table S5). The reason these two isolates are of a different MLST ST could only be partly explained; they were isolated at an earlier time point (1970s near
the start of the 7th pandemic (83)) than most of the remaining isolates, which were isolated from 1991 onwards (Table S2).

It is impossible to visually evaluate similarities between two MSTs with over 1,200 nodes each simply due to the sheer volume of data. ARI was therefore used as a metric to determine network similarities (74). In order to determine whether the sublineage threshold (i.e., 133 allelic differences) is indeed the best match to traditional MLST schemes, we chose 11 clustering thresholds distributed across the range of 1 to 1,000 allelic differences (Fig. 2) to compare with the MLST schemes. These additional thresholds are chosen as they have a relatively high DI compared to their immediate neighbours. More data points were chosen in the range of 105 to 330 allelic differences, as it was expected that thresholds in this range will best match the traditional MLST schemes. Interestingly, all thresholds in that range had comparable ARIIs (Fig. 3B), indicating that all of them, including the sublineage threshold, produce clusters similar to the MLST scheme. This would suggest that there can be a large range of diversity within a single MLST ST where isolates can have anywhere from 0 (i.e., have the same cgST) to 330 allelic differences. Although clustering thresholds between 105 to 330 allelic differences produce similar clusters to a traditional MLST scheme, 133 allelic differences was chosen as the sublineage threshold as it has the best clustering efficiency (Fig. 2) and it represents a natural breakpoint in the currently sampled population (Fig. 1B).

A phylogenetic tree of 1,146 isolates was used to assess the phylogenetic support of the sublineage threshold across different V. cholerae strains (Fig. 4). This tree includes all V. cholerae isolates within our dataset with the exception of the 116 clinical isolates published recently from the Yemen cholera outbreak study (9), which all belongs to the 7th pandemic El Tor lineage. The strains within the PG lineage, which include the 7th pandemic El Tor, El Tor
progenitor, El Tor sister, Classical, and Classical sister groups (18, 19), are closely related with little genetic variation. These lineages are therefore collapsed in the phylogenetic tree as the relationships between them are not well resolved. All sublineages formed monophyletic clades, although in some cases the most basal branch is of a different sublineage (e.g., *V. cholerae* strains T5 or 506315) creating paraphyletic clades. Ideally, each sublineage would correspond to exactly one full monophyletic clade. The reason this is not seen is likely the lack of sampling, leading to the grouping of relatively distantly related isolates together in the same clade. Further sampling will likely resolve these cases into two separate monophyletic clades. Out of 1,262 isolates, we identified 291 sublineages, 19 of which belong exclusively to the PG lineage. Of the 291 sublineages, 223 are singletons. Based on the rarefaction curve, much like cgSTs, the total sublineage diversity of *V. cholerae* is far from being sampled (Fig. S1).

The concept of sublineage has been applied to numerous pathogens and as such were each defined differently depending on the pathogen in question. Some have defined sublineages based on natural breaks in genetic similarities (47), while others may use sublineage to refer specifically to traditional MLST STs (84) or even finer level of resolution below the MLST ST level based on whole genome analyses (85). There is, however, one unifying feature of all sublineage definitions – that they all refer to monophyletic clades. Sublineages are defined in this study based on natural breaks in allelic differences calculated from cgMLST profiles and were put into context by comparing with two traditional MLST schemes. We have shown that our definition of sublineage results in monophyletic clades (Fig. 4), but also corresponds to any traditional MLST ST designation. This sublineage definition will therefore play a crucial role in consolidating information from all previous MLST analyses.
A universal south Asian origin for modern cholera outbreaks

With the continual improvements of next-generation sequencing techniques, whole genome sequencing is expected to become a standard practice or even the first identification tool used in clinical and epidemiological studies. It is therefore critical to develop rapid typing scheme for genome sequence data that has the power to inform us about the relationship of a novel isolate with known strains. This is done here by defining what we term an ‘outbreak threshold’ based on the cgMLST scheme, which can identify outbreak related strains and potential sources of introduction. The outbreak threshold is expected to be less than 40 allelic differences as isolates from the same outbreak are very closely related (9, 14). There is a minor discontinuity at seven allelic differences where most isolate pairs have either less than or more than this number of allelic differences (Fig. 1B). Looking at the DI, the local maximum in the range of 0 to 50 occurs at seven allelic differences as well (Fig. 2), making this cutoff an interesting candidate for an outbreak threshold. When applying the outbreak threshold to a full dataset containing all sequenced *V. cholerae* genomes meeting the minimum quality threshold, major clusters were examined to evaluate the ability of cgMLST to identify strains that are part of the same outbreak.

One of the major outbreak clusters identified, with no prior information required, contains the Haiti and the Yemen outbreaks, which are the two best documented cholera outbreaks in modern history (8, 9, 14, 16, 86). Isolates collected from these outbreaks form a single cluster with the Dominican Republic, Eurasian (India, Russia, Nepal, and Ukraine), and African (Tanzania, Kenya, and Somalia) isolates (Fig. 5A). The Dominican Republic isolates are closely related to the Haiti outbreak strains. Given the close proximity of the two countries, co-located on the island of Hispaniola, it was expected that isolates from Haiti would eventually spread to the Dominican Republic (14). The 7th pandemic El Tor lineage spread across the world
from South Asia in three separate waves (83). The third wave, being the most recent distribution event, has been claimed to be responsible for the outbreaks in Haiti and Yemen (9). It is therefore not surprising to see Haiti and Yemen form a single cluster with India at its center. Nepal is the known source of introduction for the Haiti outbreak in 2010 (16), and comparisons with over 1,200 *V. cholerae* isolates from all over the world still show the Nepalese isolates are indeed the closest relatives to the Haitian isolates (Fig. 5A).

Cholera is still endemic in Africa (10) and caused several major reported outbreaks in different countries over last few decades (87) including Mozambique (23, 88) and Zimbabwe (89). Another major outbreak cluster contains most of the Mozambique isolates in our dataset together with two Zimbabwe isolates (strains CP1038(11) and 2011EL-1137) and one USA isolate (2009V-1116) (Fig. 5B). Based on cgMLST analysis, it is evident that these two Zimbabwe isolates are closely related to the Mozambique isolates differing at four or less alleles. The close proximity of the two countries suggests these are likely travel-associated cases. Although outbreaks involving the Mozambique isolates (23) and the Zimbabwe isolates (56, 90) have been independently studied, the link between these isolates have not been shown before. Global cgMLST analysis is therefore an invaluable tool as it allows for the identification of links between independent studies. However, with only two Zimbabwe isolates in the dataset, additional sampling in this region is required to understand the epidemiology of this outbreak. According to the NCBI BioSample database, strain 2009V-1116 was collected by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 2009 and is associated with travel to Pakistan. Since the 7th pandemic El Tor lineage has been circulating in Asian and Middle Eastern countries for a long time (91), it is possible that, at least within our dataset, the Mozambique isolates are the closest relative to this specific Pakistan strain.
Confirmation of an African connection for the Yemen outbreak

The Yemen cholera outbreak began in October 2016 with eleven confirmed cases (http://www.emro.who.int/pandemic-epidemic-diseases/cholera/cholera-cases-in-yemen.html). By January 2017, there has already been over 10,000 cholera cases with 99 associated deaths (http://www.emro.who.int/pandemic-epidemic-diseases/cholera/weekly-update-cholera-cases-in-yemen-15-jan-2017.html), and by the end of that year, there were over 900,000 cholera cases (http://www.emro.who.int/pandemic-epidemic-diseases/cholera/outbreak-update-cholera-in-yemen-19-december-2017.html). The Yemen cholera outbreak continues on today as the largest cholera outbreak in modern history. As isolates from this outbreak were only recently made available (9), they were not part of the initial dataset for the cgMLST scheme development. These isolates were added and analyzed on PubMLST after the scheme had been established. This set of isolates therefore serves as an independent test of the universality and applicability of the cgMLST scheme. To determine the potential origin of the Yemen outbreak and its phylogenetic relationships with existing V. cholerae strains, the Yemen isolates were compared with other 7th pandemic El Tor isolates from Asian and African countries (Table S1). All allele designations and cgST assignments were done automatically on PubMLST. MST was built using these isolates and all connections with seven and fewer allelic differences are represented as solid lines (Fig. 6). Isolates connected by solid lines therefore belong in the same outbreak cluster as defined by the outbreak threshold of seven allelic differences. Isolates from Yemen, Kenya, and Haiti all cluster with the central Indian isolates with seven or fewer allelic differences; however, the closest relatives to the Yemen isolates are those from Kenya with four or fewer allelic differences (Fig. 6). The Indian isolates are the next closest connection but there is no direct linkage between these and the Yemen isolate. This pattern is consistent with the work
of Weill et al. (9), where they suggested that the Yemen outbreak strains may have come from East Africa which itself came from South Asia based on SNP-base phylogenetic analysis and Bayesian evolutionary analysis (9).

Unlike the limited samples available from African cholera outbreaks, the Haiti and Yemen outbreaks are significant cases for epidemiological investigations because *V. cholerae* has been heavily sampled from these countries as well as surrounding regions. Two major limitations in genomic epidemiology have been the lack of a universal classification scheme and a comprehensive database; however, this is no longer the case in the genomic era as sequencing technology is becoming increasingly more accessible (8). A genomic approach, as shown here, is able to produce accurate predictions of potential origins of outbreaks and provides us with sufficient resolution to accurately track the spread of the disease. Therefore, genomic analysis should be the first step in any epidemiological study as not only will it help guide subsequent analyses and investigations, but consistently sequencing new genomes will also help expand and refine the current global *V. cholerae* genome database.

**Increased resolution for the history of cholera in Mozambique: comparing cgMLST to MLVA**

The 7th pandemic reached Africa in 1970 and cholera appeared in Mozambique at roughly the same time (55). Since its introduction, cholera has been endemic in that country and has continued to cause multiple outbreaks (23). A popular tool for outbreak investigation is MLVA (32, 38), which was recently used to study *V. cholerae* strains collected in Mozambique over multiple years (23). MLVA is a subspecies typing method similar to MLST in concept; however, instead of using gene sequences, it utilizes VNTRs. As VNTR mutates at a faster rate than
conserved genes, it has been shown that MLVA provides greater resolution than MLST for some
species (32, 33). To establish a direct comparison between our cgMLST scheme and this MLVA
scheme, we examined the MSTs created by both methods focusing on only shared isolates (Fig.
7A and 7B). The MLVA identified 26 profiles forming two clonal complexes and four singletons
(Fig. 7A) (23). A similar population structure is seen with the cgMLST analysis (Fig. 7B),
including the four singletons identified in the MLVA. The central node in the cgMLST MST
consists mostly of isolates with MLVA profile 8,4,6,18,21, similar to the central node in the
MLVA MST (23). The two clonal complexes (CC) identified in the MLVA MST are also
identified in the cgMLST MST with the smaller CC2 being at least four allelic differences away
from the larger CC1.

Although there are a few MLVA types that were grouped into a single cgST, such as
cgST1 and cgST114, indicating cgMLST was unable to resolve the differences in these MLVA
types, there are many MLVA types such as profile 2,4,6,18,21, profile 7,4,6,16,22, profile
9,4,6,18,24, and profile 8,4,6,18,22 that were split into multiple cgSTs. Overall there are 48
cgSTs as opposed to only 26 MLVA types, showing that cgMLST provides better resolution
overall than MLVA. The cgMLST analysis overlaid with isolation dates shows that the
Mozambique *V. cholerae* strains are highly clonal and strains from the same cgST can cause
outbreaks over multiple years (e.g., cgST114 and cgST94) (Fig. 7C), which corroborates the
claim made in the initial MLVA study that the same MLVA type can be seen over multiple years
(23). In addition to increased resolution, cgMLST also produces more reliable and reproducible
results than MLVA, as it eliminates errors associated with the detection of VNTR regions using
PCR or sequencing-based methods. For the same reason that MLST is less affected by
convergent evolution compared to MLVA (35), cgMLST is also less affected by convergent evolution.

Standardizing the genotypes responsible for the Haiti 2010 cholera outbreak: comparing cgMLST and SNP-based analyses

One of the largest cholera outbreaks in modern history occurred in Haiti following the devastating earthquake in 2010 (8, 92). Prior to this outbreak, there were no documented cholera cases in Haiti (14, 18). Since the initial introduction, *V. cholerae* now remains endemic in Haiti and is responsible for thousands of cholera cases annually (92). Multiple studies have strongly suggested that the Haitian strains were in fact imported from Nepal (by the UN Nepalese troops) and the outbreak occurred as a result of both inappropriate sanitary practice and the lack of screening of the UN troops upon their arrival in Haiti (8, 15, 16, 92).

A SNP-based approach was used to study the evolutionary dynamics of *V. cholerae* in Haiti (14). This technique relies on the identification of SNPs in draft or closed genomes. The primary benefit of this method is that assembly and annotation are not required. It is also capable of resolving closely related strains using whole-genome data. However, SNP-based methods are highly influenced by recombination events (43, 44) and quality filter parameters chosen (93).

To establish a direct comparison between the cgMLST scheme and SNP-based analysis, we focused on MSTs of only Haitian outbreak isolates (Fig. 8). All Haitian isolates are closely related according to the cgMLST scheme, sharing at most four allelic differences with each other (Fig. 8A). The Haitian and Nepalese isolates, therefore, also belong to the same sublineage (SL6) which is consistent with the fact that these isolates belong to the same MLST ST (either ST1 or ST69 based on the 2016 or 2013 MLST scheme, respectively (20, 21)) (Table S2). The overall
population structure is similar between the two methods where we have SNP ST1 as the center of the MST and ST2 and ST3 extending from that likely ancestral genotype (Fig. 8). SNP ST1, ST2, and ST3 can be split into 11, 2, and 3 different cgSTs, respectively (Fig. 8A). There is only one case, cgST66, where it contains isolates from SNP ST1 and ST3. Overall, cgMLST was able to differentiate 39% of the isolates while the SNP-based analysis differentiated 35%, showing comparable level of resolution. As expected, both the cgMLST and the SNP-based analyses showed that the Haiti outbreak is highly clonal where most isolates belong to the same cgST or SNP ST (14). However, one important advantage of cgMLST over SNP-based analysis is that the former can be easily standardized because it relies on a predefined set of core genes. Based on these standardized genes, we can establish a systematic nomenclature system. This makes cgMLST more suitable than SNP-based method as a universally applicable classification system for epidemiological studies and research worldwide.

Environmental isolates differ from clinical strains by their diversity and their associations with specific geographical locations

To look at the geographic signal of *V. cholerae*, we eliminated all clinical isolates and those that belong to the PG lineage (18, 19). This is because the geographic signal of clinical strains can be skewed, as pathogenic strains can travel long distances in a short period of time through association with human hosts. The geographical analysis was therefore performed only with environmental isolates.

Along with all the publicly available environmental strains that are not part of the PG lineage, there are a total of 195 isolates spanning 9 countries. After grouping the isolates at the sublineage level (i.e., each cluster have at most 133 allelic differences), it could be noted that all
isolates from the same sublineage also shared a country of origin, with the exception of strains 692-79 and 857 (Fig. 9), which are from the USA and Bangladesh, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis shows these isolates to be closely related to strain A215, a clinical isolate from the USA (Fig. 4). All three strains contain the toxR gene, a toxin transcriptional regulator common in pathogenic V. cholerae (94), as well as genes encoding for the Mannose-sensitive hemagglutinin pilus, the RTX toxin, and hemolysin (hlyA), all of which are putative virulence factors for this species. In addition, strains A215 and 857 also harbor the zona occludens toxin gene. Similar toxin gene contents among these three isolates and close phylogenetic relationships suggest that strains 692-79 and 857 may also be potentially pathogenic and capable of surviving inside a human host. This provides evidence that although clinical isolates can spread across the world rapidly and closely related isolates can be from very different parts of the world, environmental isolates show a strong geographic signal at least at the sublineage level. Currently, our dataset contains a relatively small number of environmental isolates that are not part of the PG lineage. With large-scale environmental sampling, it will be possible to determine with greater accuracy the evolutionary rate and distribution pattern of V. cholerae in the environment. cgMLST will become an invaluable tool in dealing with these big datasets, as it provides an efficient and standardized method of classification.

Conclusion

With an extensive collection of over 1,200 V. cholerae isolates, we developed a cgMLST scheme based on 2,443 core genes. The universality and applicability of the scheme have been tested by looking at well-documented outbreaks around the world. We also established a sublineage-level definition based on 133 allelic differences as part of our standardized classification scheme. It
was determined by comparisons with previous MLST schemes that the sublineage classification can be used as a proxy for MLST. In addition to the sublineage definition, we determined an outbreak threshold based on seven allelic differences that groups isolates from the same outbreak together with strains from the potential source of introduction. This threshold creates clusters that are consistent with known epidemiological data when applied to the Haiti and Yemen cholera outbreaks, two of the best-documented cholera outbreaks in modern history. Based on this scheme and utilizing the established sublineage and outbreak thresholds, we were also able to confirm the South Asian origin of modern cholera outbreaks. Furthermore, focusing on only environmental isolates that are not part of the PG lineage (18, 19), a strong geographic signal not seen in clinical strains was identified. Lastly, this scheme is fully implemented on PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/vcholerae/) for public access. All newly available genomes uploaded to PubMLST will be annotated automatically and a cgST designation will be assigned to isolates with less than 100 missing loci. Relevant epidemiological data is already included, and the variety of analytical and visualization tools integrated on PubMLST allows for quick analysis of the dataset in a global context. This scheme will be an important tool for future large-scale epidemiological and biogeographical research.
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Fig. 1. Pairwise allelic differences for all isolates used in this study. Both plots show the frequency of allelic mismatches in pairwise comparisons. A) Pairwise comparisons of up to 2,443 allelic differences are shown. Major peaks are shaded. B) Comparisons with up to 500 allelic differences are shown. Vertical lines indicate the outbreak threshold (red) and sublineage threshold (blue). Pairwise comparisons of only clinical isolates are shown in red.
Fig. 2. Plot showing the Dunn Index for clustering thresholds ranging from 1 to 1,000 allelic differences. Each clustering threshold is bootstrapped 100 times. The median, plotted with the light blue shade, indicates the 25th to 75th percentile range. Dark blue and dark red vertical lines indicate the sublineage and outbreak thresholds, respectively. The dotted lines represent other clustering thresholds used in the ARI calculations (Fig. 3B and Fig. S3).
Fig. 3. Evaluation of network similarities between cgMLST sublineage threshold (133 allelic differences) and MLST ST. A) Networks of all sublineages identified using only *V. cholerae* isolates from Bangladesh (n = 255). Each cluster represents a sublineage and includes isolates with less than or equal to 133 allelic differences with each other. Each node represents a cgST and is colored by sequence type based on the 2016 MLST scheme (21). Size of the nodes are proportional to the number of isolates. The length of the connecting lines within a cluster is proportional to the number of allelic differences. B) Adjusted Rand Index for individual pairwise comparisons between predefined clustering thresholds (Fig. 2) and the 2016 MLST scheme (21). The sublineage clustering threshold (i.e., 133 allelic differences) and outbreak threshold (i.e., 7 allelic differences) are indicated in blue and red bars, respectively.
Fig. 4. Phylogenetic tree of 1,146 *V. cholerae* isolates (excluding the 116 isolates from the Yemen outbreak study (9)) reconstructed using Parsnp v1.2 (77). All group inside the PG lineage (7th pandemic El Tor, El Tor progenitor, El Tor sister, Classical, and Classical sister) are collapsed. Outer rings represents clustering by sequence type based on the 2016 MLST scheme by Kirchberger et al. (21), whereas the inner ring represents clustering based on the sublineage threshold (i.e., 133 allelic differences). Branches of clinical strains are colored in red. The phylogenetic tree is rooted with a basal lineage to *V. cholerae* (collapsed) (60, 61).
Fig. 5. Minimum spanning trees isolated when the outbreak threshold (7 allelic differences) was applied to the complete dataset of 1,264 isolates. A) All isolates which clustered together with the isolates from Haiti (blue) and Yemen (light blue) based on the clustering threshold of seven allelic differences. B) All isolates clustered with the Mozambique isolates based on the clustering threshold of seven allelic differences. Additional Mozambique isolates that are not part of the same outbreak cluster are also shown. Three isolates, two from Zimbabwe (green) and one from the USA (orange), are connected as they share seven or fewer allelic differences with the Mozambique isolates. In both panels, the size of the nodes is proportional to the number of isolates. Length of the lines is proportional to the number of allelic differences and all connections have less than or equal to seven allelic differences.
Fig. 6. cgMLST Minimum Spanning Tree of all Yemen isolates and representative 7th pandemic El Tor strains (Table S1). All isolates connected by dotted lines share eight or more allelic differences (not drawn to scale). All isolates connected with solid lines share seven or fewer allelic differences (i.e., they belong to the same outbreak cluster; drawn to scale). Each node represents a cgST that is colored by year of collection. The outbreak clusters are shaded by country.
Fig. 7. Comparison between cgMLST and MLVA focusing on Mozambique isolates. A) Population structure of pandemic V. cholerae in Mozambique based on MLVA profiles from Garrine et al. (23). B) MST of the Mozambique isolates based on the cgMLST scheme colored based on MLVA profiles. C) Same MST of Mozambique isolates colored by year of isolation. All isolates in B and C connected with lines share seven or fewer allelic differences. For all panels, the size of the nodes is proportional to the number of isolates. The length of the lines is proportional to the number of allelic differences.
Fig. 8. Comparison between cgMLST and SNP based analysis focusing on Haiti outbreak related strains. A) Minimum spanning tree (MST) of isolates from the 2010 cholera outbreak in Haiti. All lines indicate connections of four or fewer allelic differences. Each node represents a cgST which is colored by year of isolation. Background shading represents ST designations based on 45 high-quality SNPs (14). cgST66 contains a mix of color as it contains both ST1 and ST3 isolates. Any isolate from countries other than Haiti is labeled. The length of the lines is proportional to the number of allelic differences. B) MST constructed from whole genome SNP data as in Katz et al. (14). The length of the lines indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions. Size of the nodes is proportional to the number of isolates.
Fig. 9. Sublineage clusters constructed using networkX (80) and visualized on Cytoscape (81) showing non-clinical environmental isolates that are not part of the PG lineage. Missing loci were assumed to contain the most common allele when calculating allelic differences. Isolates are connected only if they share 133 allelic differences or fewer with each other. Each node represents an isolate and is colored by the country of isolation.
Fig. S1: Rarefaction curve for cgST, outbreak threshold (7 allelic difference) and the sublineage threshold (133 allelic difference) computed using mothur (1) with default parameters.
Fig. S2: Evaluation of network similarities between cgMLST sublineage threshold (133 allelic differences) and the 2013 MLST scheme (2). Networks of all sublineages identified using only *V. cholerae* isolates from Bangladesh (n = 255). Each cluster represents a sublineage and includes isolates with less than or equal to 133 allelic differences with each other. Each node represents a cgST and is colored by sequence type based on the 2013 MLST scheme (2). Size of the nodes are proportional to the number of isolates. The length of the connecting lines within a cluster is proportional to the number of allelic differences.
**Fig. S3:** Adjusted rand index calculated with the same method as before (Fig 3B), but compared with the 2013 MLST scheme (2). The same allelic thresholds were chosen and the outbreak and sublineage thresholds are highlighted in red and blue respectively.
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