The value of diffusion-weighted MRI (DW-MRI) as a tool to differentiate between various tumors and inflammatory diseases in the head and neck region
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Abstract

Objective: To retrospectively determine whether diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI) can help in differentiating between various tumors and inflammatory lesions in the head and neck.

Materials/methods: After obtaining ethics committee approval, a search was made in the radiology records for patients with diffusion-weighted MR imaging for inflammatory, benign and malignant lesions in the head and neck region, which were confirmed either by histopathology or by follow up for at least one year. Seventy-two patients were included in this study. The lesions were grouped into malignant lesions, comprising primary carcinomas (n=17), metastasis (n=12) and lymphoma (n=12), benign tumors (n=24), inflammation (n=4) and miscellaneous benign lesions (n=3). Diffusion was assessed qualitatively by visual analysis and quantitatively by measuring the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values.

Results: Benign lesions had higher ADC values than malignant lesions: The mean value for benign tumors was 1.46 x 10^{-3} mm²/sec and for inflammatory lesions 1.51 x 10^{-3} mm²/sec. Lymphomas showed a mean value of 0.56 x 10^{-3} mm²/sec. The values for carcinoma and metastasis were similar with 0.84 x 10^{-3} mm²/sec and 0.81 x 10^{-3} mm²/sec respectively.

According to receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis, values higher than 1.03 x 10^{-3} mm²/sec were ideal for differentiation between benign lesions and malignant tumors with a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 79%. Lymphomas had significantly lower ADC values than all other entities.

Conclusion: DW-MRI can help in differentiation of inflammatory and benign lesions from malignant lesions of the head and neck.

Abbreviations: ADC: Apparent diffusion coefficient; AUC: Area under the curve; CT: Computed tomography; DW-MRI: Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; ROC: Receiver operating characteristics; SCC: Squamous cell carcinoma; T1-w: T1-weighted; T2-w: T2-weighted.

Introduction

Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI), a functional MR imaging technique, is widely used for detection of acute stroke, myocardial ischemia, assessing different tumors (like liver, kidneys or prostate) and for assessing organ function and small bowel pathologies [1-7]. In the head and neck region, DW-MRI has been used for characterization of primary tumors, nodal metastasis, prediction and monitoring of treatment response, for differentiation between recurrent tumors and post-therapeutic changes or to study salivary gland function [8-11].

The radiological assessment of the head and neck area can be challenging due to its complex anatomy. Changes caused by tumors and inflammation can appear very similar, making it sometimes difficult to differentiate between them, especially if clinical signs of infection such as fever and accompanying changes in blood parameters are absent, as in many subacute and chronic infections or in granulomatous diseases. Tumors can also show infiltrative growth into the surrounding tissue, mimicking inflammation and a biopsy is often required for the diagnosis. The use of a non-invasive tool such as DW-MRI, if proven to be useful in this matter, can lead to a reduction in the number of biopsies required and also pinpoint suspicious areas where biopsies should be taken to reduce false negative results.

Many publications show the benefits of DW-MRI in the differentiation between benign and malignant tumors, for detecting lymph node metastases and tumor recurrence [12-16] and for evaluation of inflammation in different areas of the body [17,18].
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However, there are limited studies evaluating inflammatory lesions in the head and neck region with DW-MRI [19-21], probably because inflammatory conditions are mostly only imaged when complications are suspected, and contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) is still more commonly used for this purpose.

Malignant lesions are usually hypercellular and show diffusion restriction with resulting lower apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values compared to benign lesions, which are less cellular. Inflammatory lesions are edematous and relatively hypocellular, which should allow more diffusion and therefore higher ADC values. We hypothesize, that these lesions can be differentiated with DW-MRI. The purpose of this study is to find out retrospectively whether quantitative and qualitative assessment of DW-MRI can help in the differentiation between various malignant and benign lesions (including inflammatory lesions) in the head and neck, and to find the optimal threshold ADC value that best allows this differentiation.

Materials and methods

Patients

This is a retrospective study approved by the institutional review board; consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study. A search was made in the radiological database of our hospital (Centricity RIS-I, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) and all patients with tumors or inflammatory changes, who had diagnostic quality MR examinations, including diffusion-weighted sequences of the neck, from the beginning of 2007 to the end of 2013, were included in the study. The benign or malignant nature of the lesions was proven either with histopathology after surgery or biopsy or after a follow up of at least one year for benign lesions. This search resulted in 72 patients (24 females, 48 males, age range: 16-95 years, mean age: 58.8 years), who were all included in the present study (supplementary Table 1).

MR imaging

MR imaging was performed at 1.5T (Magnetom AERA, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with a standard receive-only head and neck coil. Due to the different clinical presentations of the patients, different imaging protocols were used and the sequences varied. However, all included at least the following sequences:

A coronal turbo inversion recovery sequence (voxel size: 1 x 1 x 4.0 mm, FoV read: 260 mm, slice thickness: 4.0 mm, repetition time / echo time: 4000 ms / 48 ms), an axial T1-weighted (T1-w) sequence (0.3 x 0.3 x 3.0 mm, 220 mm, 3.0 mm, 580 ms / 12 ms), an axial T2-w sequence (0.6 x 0.6 x 3.0 mm, 220 mm, 3.0 mm, 4000 ms / 84 ms) and DW-images in the axial plane (1.3 x 1.3 x 4.0 mm, 250 mm, 4.0 mm, 3000 ms / 111 ms). After injection of contrast media, T1-w fat-saturated sequences in the axial plane (0.4 x 0.4 x 3.0 mm, 220 mm, 3.0 mm, 533 ms / 12 ms) and in the coronal plane (0.5 x 0.5 x 3.0 mm, 300 mm, 3.0 mm, 509 ms / 9.6 ms) were acquired. Contrast agents used were either Gadovist (Bayer, Zürich, Switzerland) for patients with normal kidney function at a dose of 0.1 ml per kilogram body weight or Dotarem (Braaco SpA, Milano, Italy) for patients with impaired kidney function at a dose of 0.2 ml per kilogram body weight.

A minimum of two b-values to a maximum of six b-values were used with b-values ranging from 0, 50, 100, 150, 300, 400, 500, 600, 800, 900 and 1000 mm²/sec depending on the MR protocol used. The highest b-value of each study was used to calculate the ADC (either 800 or 1000 mm²/sec).

Image analysis

Images were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively in consensus, by two radiologists with 20 years’ (HT) respectively with 13 years’ (DWT) experience in MR imaging of the head and neck, blinded to the final diagnosis. The signal intensity of the lesions on T1-w and T2-w sequences relative to the adjacent skeletal muscle and presence of contrast enhancement were also documented.

Qualitative analysis was performed by visual assessment of the high b-value images and the corresponding ADC maps in conjunction with the morphological images. Diffusion restriction was present when there was a high signal in the high b-value image with corresponding low signal on the ADC map. High signal on the high b-value images with high value in the corresponding ADC map was interpreted as T2-shine-through and not as diffusion restriction. Low signal on both the high b-value images and ADC maps was interpreted as an absence of diffusion restriction.

Quantitative analysis consisted of ADC value measurement. Maps of the ADC were automatically obtained from the diffusion-weighted images by use of a multiple point regression method using the following equation: \( I_b = I_0 \times \exp(-b \times ADC) \), where \( I_0 \) and \( I_b \) are the signal intensity value of each pixel in a region of interest at a b-value of 0 sec/mm² respectively b sec/mm². The ADC values were measured by placing a circular region of interest (ROI) in each area of pathologic change. While placing the ROI in the lesions, areas with obvious contrast enhancement in the corresponding T1w-sequences were targeted to exclusively include solid tumor mass and avoid areas of necrosis.

Histopathology

Imaging results were compared to histopathological results and / or follow-up exams. In sixty-two patients, including all the patients with malignancies, histopathological correlation for the lesions were available (19 surgeries and 43 biopsies). The diagnoses in the remaining ten patients with benign tumors, inflammatory or miscellaneous lesions were confirmed by a follow-up examination after at least one year.

Statistical analysis

A power analysis with the current values, i.e. 41 malignant lesions and 31 benign lesions, including benign tumors, inflammatory and miscellaneous lesions, and a standard deviation of approximately 0.2 (13%), taken from the literature (22, 23), yields that an ADC difference of 0.14 could be detected at a significance level of 0.05 and a statistical power of 0.8. In view of previously detected ADC differences, the sample size was therefore considered sufficient.

Statistical analysis was performed by use of a Kruskal-Wallis test to find out whether there were any significant differences in ADC values between the groups. In a second step the groups were compared pairwise by use of the Mann-Whitney-U test to quantify statistical differences.

All statistical tests were two-sided, a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant after corrections for multiple comparisons. Box-whisker plots were used to visualize group-wise differences in quantitative diffusion parameters. Optimal threshold values to discriminate between the groups were determined using receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves, attributing equal weight to sensitivity and specificity. The value that corresponded with the ROC-curve nearest to the top left-hand corner was considered the
optimal threshold-value. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS, version 12.0.1 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and Excel 2011 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA).

Results

Out of the 72 patients included in this study, 41 patients had malignant lesions (57%) and 31 had benign lesions (43%). Malignant lesions included primary carcinomas (n=17), lymphomas (n=12) and cervical metastases (n=12).

Primary carcinomas were located in the oropharynx (n=6), the nasopharynx (n=4), the hypopharynx (n=3), in the parotid gland (n=2), the masticator space (n=1) or the thyroid gland (n=1) and consisted of SCC (n=11), undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma (n=2), adenocarcinoma (n=2) and papillary carcinoma of the thyroid gland (n=2).

Cervical metastases originated from various tumors: SCC of the oropharynx (n=4), the larynx (n=2), adenocarcinoma of the parotid gland (n=1), nasopharyngeal carcinoma of the maxillary sinus (n=1), neuroendocrine tumor of the thymus (n=1), infratemporal melanoma (n=1), parapharyngeal synovial cell sarcoma (n=1) and from retropharyngeal malignant nerve sheath tumor (n=1).

Benign lesions consisted of benign tumors (n=24), inflammation (n=4) and benign miscellaneous lesions consisting of sarcoidosis (n=1), Wegeners granulomatosis (n=1) and a case of Rosai-Dorfman disease (n=1).

Benign tumors included pleomorphic adenoma (n=11), hemangioma (n=3), Warthin tumors (n=2), fibrolipoma (n=1), lipoma (n=1), schwannoma (n=1), meningioma (n=1), glomus tumor (n=1), odontogenic myxoma of the maxilla (n=1), lymphangioma (n=1) and a lymphoepithelial cyst (n=1). The inflammatory lesions included a case of myositis of the temporalis muscle (n=1), an inflammatory pseudotumor of the pterygopalatine fossa (n=1), focal parotitis (n=1) and a diffuse inflammation of the cheek after dental extraction (n=1).

Image analysis

The MRI appearances of the lesions are presented in Table 1 along with the results of the qualitative and quantitative analysis. Most lesions were hypointense compared to adjacent skeletal muscle on T1-w sequences and hyperintense on T2-w sequences with varying amounts of contrast enhancement as shown in the table.

Qualitative evaluation of DW-MRI showed that 40 / 41 of malignant lesions had restricted diffusion. The only exception was a case of papillary carcinoma of the thyroid gland. Diffusion restriction was most marked in lymphoma (Figure 1).

Most benign tumors did not show any diffusion restriction (Figure 2). Diffusion restriction was present in schwannoma, meningioma and Warthin tumors. Focal areas of restricted diffusion were also seen in a cystic lymphangioma and one pleomorphic adenoma.

The inflammatory and benign miscellaneous lesions did not show any quantifiable diffusion restriction (Figure 3).

Table 1. Imaging appearance of the lesions with qualitative and quantitative evaluation of DW-MRI

| Pathology                             | T1 | T2 | CE | High b-value | ADC map | DR | ADC range | ADC mean |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|----|--------------|---------|----|-----------|----------|
| Malignant lesions (n=41)              |    |    |    |              |         |    |           | 0.85     |
| Carcinoma (n=17)                      | n=16| †  | †  | +++           | †       | †  | +         | 0.39 - 1.30 | 0.83 |
| Metastasis (n=12)                     | n=1 | †  | †  | +++           | †       | †  | +         | 0.42 - 1.41 | 0.81 |
| Lymphoma (n=12)                       | n=1 | †  | †  | +++           | †       | †  | +         | 0.43 - 0.79 | 0.56 |
| Benign tumors (n=24)                  |    |    |    |              |         |    |           | 1.46     |
| Adenoma (n=11)                        | n=10| †  | †  | ++            | †       | †  | +         | 1.14 - 2.25 | 1.60 |
| Hemangioma (n=3)                      | n=3 | †  | †  | ++            | †       | †  | +         | 1.14 - 2.26 | 1.63 |
| Warthin tumor (n=2)                   | n=1 | †  | †  | ++            | †       | †  | +         | 0.60 - 0.78 | 0.69 |
| (Fibro)Lipoma (n=2)                   | n=1 | †  | †  | +             | †       | †  | +         | 0.84 - 1.67 | 1.26 |
| Schwannoma (n=1)                      | n=1 | †  | †  | ++            | †       | †  | +         | /        | 1.60 |
| Meningioma (n=1)                      | n=1 | †  | †  | ++            | †       | †  | +         | /        | 0.76 |
| Glomus tumor (n=1)                    | n=1 | †  | †  | +             | †       | †  | +         | /        | 1.24 |
| Myxoma (n=1)                          | n=1 | †  | †  | +             | †       | †  | +         | /        | 2.07 |
| Lymphangioma (n=1)                    | n=1 | †  | †  | +             | †       | †  | +         | /        | 0.78 |
| Lymphoepithelial Cyst (n=1)           | n=1 | †  | †  | +             |         |    |           | 2.37     |
| Inflammatory lesions (n=4)            |    |    |    |              |         |    |           | 1.51     |
| Myositis (n=1)                        | n=1 | †  | †  | +++           |         |    |           | /        | 1.54 |
| Inflammatory Pseudotumor (n=1)        | n=1 | †  | †  | +++           |         |    |           | /        | 1.51 |
| Focal parotitis (n=1)                 | n=1 | †  | †  | +++           |         |    |           | /        | 1.04 |
| Posttherapeutic inflammation (n=1)    | n=1 | †  | †  | +++           |         |    |           | /        | 1.97 |
| Miscellaneous lesions (n=3)           |    |    |    |              |         |    |           | 1.11     |
| Wegans disease (n=1)                  | †  | †  | †  | +++           | †       | †  | +         | /        | 1.22 |
| Sarcoidosis (n=1)                     | n=1 | †  | †  | +++           | †       | †  | +         | /        | 1.17 |
| Rosai-dorfman-disease (n=1)           | n=1 | †  | †  | +             | †       | †  | +         | /        | 0.95 |

- CE contrast enhancement: + mild, ++ moderate, +++ strong enhancement
- T1 (weighted); T2 (weighted); ➥ hypointense signal intensity (in comparison to adjacent muscle), ↑ hyperintense signal intensity (in comparison to adjacent muscle)
- DR diffusion restriction: - negative, + positive
- High b-value: image at 1000 mm²/s resp. 800 mm²/s
- ADC unit: x 10⁻³ mm²/s
The sole use of qualitative analysis to detect diffusion restriction as an indicator of malignancy resulted in a sensitivity of 97.4% (40 / 41 malignant lesions showed restricted diffusion) and a specificity of 80.6% (6 / 31 benign lesions showed restricted diffusion).

Quantitative evaluation was based on the ADC values. Primary carcinomas had a mean ADC value of $(0.84 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec (range: 0.39 – 1.30 $\times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec) and cervical metastases a mean value of $(0.81 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec with a range of 0.42 – 1.41 $10^{-3}$ mm²/sec. Lymphomas had a mean ADC value of $(0.56 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec (range: 0.43 – 0.79 $10^{-3}$ mm²/sec). Benign tumors showed a mean ADC value of $(1.46 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec (range: 0.69 – 2.37 $10^{-3}$ mm²/sec).

Inflammatory lesions showed a mean ADC value of $(1.51 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec (range: 1.04 – 1.97 $10^{-3}$ mm²/sec). The results are presented graphically in Figure 4.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparing the different groups to one another, the Mann-Whitney-U test showed that benign tumors had significantly higher ADC values compared to carcinomas (p=0.004), as well as to metastases (p=0.003) and to lymphomas (p=0.0004).

Based on the ADC values, inflammation could be clearly differentiated from lymphomas after conservative Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (p= 0.036). Furthermore, there were only borderline significant differences (p < 0.06) between inflammation and carcinomas (p= 0.055), as well as between inflammation and metastases (p= .053). Most likely this was due to the small sample size of inflammatory lesions (n= 4). There was no statistical significant difference between inflammatory lesions and benign tumors.

ROC analysis revealed the optimal threshold value to distinguish between benign lesions, including inflammatory and miscellaneous lesions, and malignant tumors to be $1.03 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec with a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 79% for the ADC values (Figure 5A). The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.89, suggesting a good quality of the analysis.

Primary carcinomas and cervical metastases in the neck area had similar ADC values, which is logical since both groups consist of the same tumor entities.
ADC values of lymphomas were the lowest among all the lesions and there was a significant difference between the ADC values of lymphomas compared to primary carcinomas (p=0.009) and between lymphomas compared to metastases (p=0.047). According to the ROC analysis, a threshold value of $0.64 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec was optimal for differentiating lymphomas from primary carcinomas with a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 88%. The same threshold value could be used for differentiation between lymphomas and cervical metastases with a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 75% (Figure 5b and 5c). The AUC for the ROC analysis between lymphoma and carcinoma was 0.87 and for the analysis between lymphoma and metastasis 0.84, suggesting a good quality for both analyses. The pairwise comparisons are shown in Figure 6 and the corresponding p-values in Table 2.

### Table 2. Paired comparisons between the different entities with the respective p-values

| Entities          | p-value |
|-------------------|---------|
| Lymphoma          | Metastasis | 0.047 |
| Lymphoma          | Carcinoma | 0.0090 |
| Lymphoma          | Benign Tumor | 0.000036 |
| Lymphoma          | Inflammation | 0.036 |
| Metastasis        | Carcinoma | 1 |
| Metastasis        | Benign Tumor | 0.0029 |
| Metastasis        | Inflammation | 0.053 |
| Carcinoma         | Benign Tumor | 0.0035 |
| Carcinoma         | Inflammation | 0.055 |
| Benign Tumor      | Inflammation | 1 |

*bold:* statistically significant  
*normal:* no statistical significance

**Discussion**

The results of the current study show that DW-MRI can differentiate between benign lesions, inflammation and malignant tumors. Visual assessment of the diffusion-weighted sequences alone proved to be very effective in detection of malignant lesions, as seen in previous studies [21-26]. However, visual assessment is subjective, is highly dependent on the readers’ experience and firstly, comparison with other studies is difficult. ADC values on the other hand are quantitative, and therefore objective, allowing comparison with other studies.

Qualitative analysis proved to be very useful in differentiation between inflammatory lesions and malignant tumors as most inflammatory lesions did not show restricted diffusion and malignant tumors mostly did. In this study, the differences in ADC values between inflammatory and malignant lesions were not statistically significant after conservative Bonferroni correction, most likely due to the small number of subjects in the inflammation group. Still, a clear trend towards higher ADC values in inflammatory lesions was seen compared to the malignant lesions of the head and neck area.

Based on ADC values, lymphomas could be easily differentiated from inflammatory lesions in a statistically significant way. This finding is in keeping with the work of Sepahdari et al., who compared ADC values of lymphomas to inflammatory pseudotumors of the orbit and pointed out significant differences between these two entities [20]. This can be easily explained by the hypercellularity of lymphomas limiting diffusion and the relative hypocellularity of edematous inflammatory lesions allowing more diffusion. Lymphomas could furthermore be clearly differentiated from the other benign and malignant lesions as well, which is also well in keeping with the literature [22-26]. Although a decrease in the ADC values in fungus infection of the masticator space has been reported in literature [21], we did not have any such case in this patient collective. However it should be kept in mind and this highlights the point that diffusion weighted imaging should always be interpreted in conjunction with the other MRI images and the clinical context.

A ROC analysis to determine cut-off values to differentiate between inflammatory and malignant lesions could unfortunately not be performed due to the small sample size of inflammatory lesions. Nevertheless, ROC analysis revealed an optimal threshold value for the differentiation between malignant and all benign lesions, including inflammatory and miscellaneous lesions, to be $1.03 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec. This
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is highly concordant with the results of Sepahdari et al. who claimed a value 1.0 x 10⁻³ mm²/sec to be the optimal cut-off value for this matter [20] and is also in agreement with most of the pre-existing data [22-28].

The most important limitation of this study is the small sample size of the inflammation group, since CT is still primarily used for imaging complications of inflammation in the head and neck area. Still, a strong trend towards higher ADC values in inflammatory lesions could be seen before Bonferroni corrections.

Another limitation to this study was the inclusion of different imaging protocols with variable b-values. However, despite this limitation, statistically significant results were obtained as mentioned above, consistent with results from previous studies. Thus, it is probable that a consistent DW-MRI protocol, ideally including more b-values and allowing the separation of diffusion and perfusion contributions, would result in even stronger differences between the groups.

Lastly, although most benign tumors are usually relatively hypocellular and malignant lesions are usually hypercellular, a few benign tumors can show diffusion restriction, e.g. Warthin tumors due to epithelial and lymphoid stroma with microscopic slitlike cysts containing proteinous fluid [29]. Therefore, biopsies or fine needle aspirations cannot always be avoided. The use of advanced diffusion weighted imaging, with new post-processing methods such as diffusion kurtosis imaging, diffusion tensor imaging or diffusion tensor tractography [30] has the potential to better characterize the different types of head and neck lesions compared with the whole-lesion mean ADC values.

In summary, our results show that qualitative analysis of diffusion-weighted imaging is highly accurate in the differentiation between benign and malignant pathologies in the head and neck area and should be performed routinely. Despite the differences in the imaging protocols, this study shows that there were significant differences in the ADC values between the various pathologies and these results are concordant with most publications regarding this matter despite the fact that examinations with variable b-values were included in the study.

There is a clear trend towards higher ADC values in inflammatory lesions compared to malignant lesions, but this was not statistically significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. It will be the task of upcoming studies to validate the observed trends by including a higher number of inflammatory lesions and also incorporating advanced diffusion imaging, which has the potential to further improve characterization of different types of lesions.
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