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Hsp90 is a highly abundant chaperone whose clientele includes hundreds of cellular proteins, many of which are central players in key signal transduction pathways and the majority of which are protein kinases. In light of the variety of Hsp90 clientele, the mechanism of selectivity of the chaperone toward its client proteins is a major open question. Focusing on human kinases, we have demonstrated that the chaperone recognizes a common surface in the amino-terminal lobe of kinases from diverse families, including two newly identified clients, NFkB-inducing kinase and death-associated protein kinase, and the oncoprotein HER2/ErbB-2. Surface electrostatics determine the interaction with the Hsp90 chaperone complex such that introduction of a negative charge within this region disrupts recognition. Compiling information on the Hsp90 dependence of 105 protein kinases, including 16 kinases whose relationship to Hsp90 is first examined in this study, reveals that surface features, rather than a contiguous amino acid sequence, define the capacity of the Hsp90 chaperone machine to recognize client kinases. Analyzing Hsp90 regulation of two major signaling cascades, the mitogen-activated protein kinase and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, leads us to propose that the selectivity of the chaperone to specific kinases is functional, namely that Hsp90 controls kinases that function as hubs integrating multiple inputs. These lessons bear significance to pharmacological attempts to target the chaperone in human pathologies, such as cancer.

Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) is an extremely abundant chaperone (comprising ~1–2% of total cellular protein) (1) unique in the diverse but select nature of its client proteins. Hsp90 clients range from protein kinases through steroid hormone receptors and small G proteins to viral enzymes and components of the telomerase (2, 3). The breadth of Hsp90 clientele has been addressed recently in comprehensive studies in yeast (4, 5), demonstrating that roughly 10% of the yeast proteome is subject to regulation by Hsp90. The common denominator of Hsp90 clients is their essential role in the propagation of signal transduction. Because many different regulatory proteins depend on Hsp90, multiple signaling pathways are sensitive to changes in its activity (6).

Hsp90 controls the biogenesis, stability, and activity of its client proteins. The role of the chaperone in maintenance of the stability of its clients is reflected by enhanced degradation of the clients upon pharmacological inhibition of Hsp90 by ansamycin antibiotics, such as geldanamycin (GA). The function of Hsp90 during the biogenesis of client proteins (7) is such that the chaperone is essential for the maturation of the majority of its client proteins to an activation-competent state, as established early on for the Src kinase. Thus, in most cells examined, Src engages in a stable complex with the Hsp90 machinery, as long as the protein is cytosolic, but dissociates from the Hsp90-Cdc37 complex as soon as it reaches the plasma membrane (8, 9). Hsp90 is also implicated in the regulation of the signaling capacity of client proteins (10, 11). Consistently, Hsp90 has been found to interact with the effector domain of its client proteins (e.g. the hormone-binding domain of steroid hormone receptors and the catalytic domain of kinases). Interestingly, large variability can be found in the regulation of individual members of protein families by Hsp90. Thus, the chaperone selectively establishes a stable interaction with individual members of the family of steroid hormone receptors (3), Cyclin-dependent kinases (12), Src kinases (13), as well as ErbB family receptor tyrosine kinases (14, 15).

The interaction of Hsp90 with its client proteins is thought to be characterized by low-affinity binding and repeated cycles of association and release (16). It has been suggested that, rather than recognizing specific sequence motifs, Hsp90 interacts with features that are common to unstable proteins, such as unveiled hydrophobic patches, with a higher level of specificity being gained through the mediation of specific co-chaperones, such as the kinase-dedicated CDC37 (17). Nevertheless, the mechanism by which Hsp90 recognizes its diverse clientele remains a primary question in the field.

The specificity of Hsp90 toward its client proteins has mainly been addressed for steroid hormone receptors and kinases. In the case of the glucocorticoid receptor, a small (7-amino-acid) sequence in the amino terminus of the hormone-binding cleft was found to be crucial for recognition by Hsp90 (18). Regarding kinases, work carried out on the Lck kinase demonstrated that the amino-terminal lobe of the kinase mediates the bulk of interactions with CDC37 and Hsp90, with a major contribution associated with the hinge region between the two lobes of the kinase (19, 20).

Similar to Src family kinases, ErbB-2/HER2 is strongly coupled to Hsp90, and parallel studies have converged on a discrete region within the kinase domain of ErbB-2 that confers interactions with Hsp90 (10, 21, 22). Initial deletion analysis indicates that the kinase domain of ErbB-2 is responsible for the interaction with Hsp90 (15), whereas use of a kinase-inhibitory analog of ATP suggests that this interaction is affected by the occupation of the nucleotide-binding pocket of ErbB-2.
Selectivity of Hsp90 for Kinases

Unlike ErbB-2, ErbB-1 interacts only weakly with Hsp90 and CDC37. Upon swapping sequences between ErbB-1 and ErbB-2, it was found that a loop connecting the αC helix to the β4 strand within the amino-terminal lobe of the kinase domain mediates the enhanced sensitivity of ErbB-2 to degradation induced by GA (21). Mutagenesis within this region demonstrated that the interactions of ErbB-2 with Hsp90 are mediated by the respective surface (22, 23) and revealed a role for Hsp90 in regulating the activity of ErbB receptors by limiting receptor heterodimerization (10).

In the present study, we have addressed the features conferring recognition of protein kinases by Hsp90. Revisiting the role of the αC–β4 loop of ErbB-2, we further established that surface electrostatics define the capacity of the kinase to be recognized by Hsp90. Applying this observation to two novel Hsp90 client kinases, NIK and DAPK, we have confirmed that their interactions with the chaperone are dependent on surface electrostatics within the αC–β4 loop. Addressing the potential to define a Hsp90 interaction motif sequence within kinase clients, we carried out a kinome-scale experimental and bioinformatic analysis of the selectivity of Hsp90 toward kinase clients and concluded that a combination of surface features, rather than a linear amino acid sequence, defines recognition by the Hsp90 chaperone. Last, addressing the functional selectivity of the Hsp90 chaperone machine, we have found that hubs within signal transduction cascades are preferred nodes of regulation by the chaperone.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**Reagents and Plasmids**—Unless otherwise indicated, materials were purchased from Sigma. GA and 17-AAG were a kind gift from Kosan Biosciences (Hayward, CA). 35S-labeled cysteine and methionine was from GE-Healthcare (Buckinghamshire, UK). Anti-Hsp90 antibodies were from Stressgen (San Diego, CA), anti-HA from Babco (Basel, Switzerland), anti-epidermal growth factor receptor from Alexis (Montreal, Canada), anti-Myc from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA), and anti-ErbB-2 monoclonal antibodies were generated in our laboratory.

**Construction of Mammalian Expression Vectors**—Point mutations within the kinase domains of ErbB-1, ErbB-2, NIK, and DAPK were generated by PCR mutagenesis using the Pfu Turbo enzyme (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). An expression vector encoding HA-tagged ubiquitin was a gift from Prof. Dirk Bohmann (University of Rochester, NY).

**Cell Culture and Transfection**—HEK293T and COS7 cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, whereas Chinese hamster ovary cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/F-12 medium (Biological Industries, Beit-Haemek, Israel). All media were supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen), 4 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin. Transfection of HEK293T cells was performed using the calcium phosphate method. COS7 cells were transfected using JET-PEI (Qiogene, Irvine, CA), and Chinese hamster ovary cells were transfected using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen).

**Lysate Preparation, Immunoprecipitation, and Immunoblot Analyses**—For analysis of protein–protein interactions, cells were lysed in solubilization buffer (50 mM sodium-HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1% EGTA, 1.5 mM MgCl2) containing Na3VO4 and a mixture of protease inhibitors. Otherwise, radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium-deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P-40 and 0.1% SDS) was used. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (10,000 × g, 15 min). For direct electrophoretic analysis, boiling gel sample buffer was added to cell lysates. For other experiments, lysates were first subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-receptor monoclonal antibodies that were precoupled to rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin G beads by tumbling for 2 h at 4 °C. The immunoprecipitates were washed four times with the lysis buffer, resolved by SDS-PAGE through 10% gels, and electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked for 0.5 h in TBST buffer (0.02 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, and 0.05% Tween 20) containing 10% low-fat milk, probed with 1 μg/ml primary antibodies for 2 h, followed by 0.5 μg/ml secondary antibody linked to horseradish peroxidase. Immunoreactive bands were detected with the ECL (enhanced chemiluminescence) reagent (Amersham Biosciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden).

**RESULTS**

**Hsp90 Interactions with ErbB Kinases Are Sensitive to Surface Charge within the αC–β4 Loop**—We previously reported that a drug designed to covalently attach to cysteine 805 located within the kinase domain of ErbB-2 increased receptor ubiquitylation (14). The mechanism we inferred involves dissociation of Hsp90-ErbB-2 complexes, an effect observed also upon treatment with the Hsp90 inhibitor geldanamycin. Based upon the identification of a sequence motif responsible for ErbB-2 sensitivity to GA (21), we identified the αC–β4 loop of ErbB-2 as a recognition site for the Hsp90 complex (10), a finding confirmed by another group (22). In an attempt to gain further understanding of the structural basis for kinase recognition by Hsp90, we addressed the differential sensitivity of the four ErbB proteins to degradation induced by the Hsp90 inhibitor 17-AAG (Fig. 1A). We chose to compare the levels of endogenous kinases following treatment for short (2 and 4 h) or long (18 and 24 h) incubation periods with the drug. Degradation of ErbB-1 and -2 was addressed in COS7 cells, which express relatively high levels of ErbB-1, whereas degradation of ErbB-2, -3, and -4 was addressed in MCF7 cells, which express detectable levels of the receptors but scarcely detectable ErbB-1. Although the degradation of ErbB-1 was relatively slow, rapid degradation of ErbB-2 was observed in both cell lines. In addition, we observed slow degradation of ErbB-3 and -4, consistent with previous reports (27, 28).

Alignment of the αC–β4 loop region of ErbB-1 and -2, as well as the comparison of surface charge maps within this region from the published structure of ErbB-1 (PDB code 1M14) (25), upon which we modeled ErbB-2, revealed remarkable differences in surface charge between ErbB-1 and -2 (Fig. 1B). These differences are mainly attributed to a single amino acid (Asp-770 in ErbB-1 and Gly-778 in ErbB-2), which single amino acid (Asp-770 in ErbB-1 and Gly-778 in ErbB-2), which
activity of the receptor dramatically, consistent with loss of regulation by Hsp90 (Fig. 1D) (10). The reverse mutation of aspartate to glycine on ErbB-1 (mutant denoted D770G) resulted in the opposite effect (Fig. 1E). In addition, D770G-ErbB-1 was found in a 17-AAG-sensitive association with Hsp90 and associated strongly with CDC37, hallmarks of Hsp90 regulation (Fig. 1, F and G). In conclusion, the surface charge in the region of the αC–β4 loop of ErbB-1, conferred by the presence of a surface-exposed aspartic acid, is a major contributing factor to the reduced dependence of ErbB-1 upon Hsp90. This conclusion is consistent with observations made by Neckers and collaborators (22).

The Novel Hsp90 Client Kinases NIK and DAPK Interact with Hsp90 through Their αC–β4 Loop—The occurrence of a specific protein fold with distinct surface features defining the differential extent of recognition of ErbB-1 and -2 by Hsp90 has been previously described for the glucocorticoid receptor (18). Alignment of the motif sequences of the glucocorticoid receptor, ErbB-1, and -2 (Fig. 2A) demonstrates similar proline-centered hinges (19, 29). Genome-wide screens of all human proteins carried out based on this alignment did not bear statistical significance (data not shown). Therefore, we focused on kinases from different families whose sequence characteristics within the region of the αC–β4 loop were similar to those of ErbB-2. The kinases chosen were death-associated protein kinase (DAPK; CAMK family), a Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent, cytoskeletal-associated protein kinase whose expression is implicated in the sensitivity of cells to apoptotic effects of tumor necrosis factor-α and interferon-γ, and NFκB-inducing kinase (NIK; MAP3K14, STE family), an essential component of the "alternative" NFκB activation pathway.

Addressing the regulation of DAPK by Hsp90, we found that degradation of endogenous DAPK was induced following inhibition of Hsp90 by 17-AAG (see supplemental table). Co-immunoprecipitation of Hsp90 demonstrated that DAPK forms a 17-AAG-sensitive complex with Hsp90 (Fig. 2B, top). When addressing the three DAPK family members, it was observed that all members (DAPK, DAPK2/ZIPK, and DAPK3/Drp1) interact with Hsp90 and undergo degradation following long periods of incubation with 17-AAG (supplemental table and data not shown). Immunoprecipitation of HA-tagged constructs of DAPK and immunoblotting for Hsp90 verified that the kinase domain of DAPK is necessary and sufficient to mediate interactions with Hsp90 (Fig. 2B, bottom). Thus, deletion of the kinase domain (mutant denoted “−KD”) abolished Hsp90 interactions, whereas the kinase domain in isolation (KD) was sufficient to mediate the interaction. Examining the structure of the kinase domain of DAPK, we observed that the surface around the αC–β4 loop of the kinase is characterized by an abundance of neutral or positively charged amino acids, with similar distribution of
surface exposure of the residues within the loop, as observed for ErbB-1 (Fig. 2C). Utilizing the isolated kinase domain of DAPK, we addressed the effect of introducing a negative charge within the αC–β4 loop on the dependence of the kinase upon Hsp90. Replacing either of two surface-exposed amino acids with acidic residues (Q72D or P74E) resulted in reduction in the sensitivity of the kinase to degradation induced by inhibition of Hsp90 (Fig. 2D), whereas replacement of the proline with an alanine (P74A) exerted no effect. Combining the negative charges in both positions had a weak additive effect on the disruption of Hsp90 dependence of the kinase (Fig. 2D). In addition, the double mutant displayed reduced interactions with the chaperone, as assayed by co-immunoprecipitation (Fig. 2E). The capacity of DAPK to interact with CDC37 (Fig. 2F) was also sensitive to the introduction of a negative charge within the αC–β4 loop (Fig. 2G).

Addressing the regulation of NIK by Hsp90, we followed the degradation of the protein upon treatment with 17-AAG (Fig. 3A, A and B) as well as its interactions with Hsp90 (Fig. 3C). These analyses identified NIK as a novel Hsp90 client in line with recent proteomic mapping of interactions within the NfkB pathway (30). Further, introduction of an aspartate within the αC–β4 loop and replacement of the flanking proline with a glutamic acid inhibited 17-AAG-induced degradation of NIK (Fig. 3B) and reduced interactions with Hsp90 (Fig. 3C). Likewise, we observed stable NIK–CDC37 interactions (Fig. 3D), which were disrupted by the introduction of a negative charge within the αC–β4 loop (Fig. 3E).

**Hsp90 Recognizes a Well Defined Surface Common to Kinase Clients, rather than a Linear Sequence Motif**—To gain insight into the mechanism of recognition of client kinases by Hsp90, we expanded our dataset of Hsp90 clients. Utilizing COS7 cells treated with 17-AAG, we examined the degradation of a large number of both closely and distantly related kinases (see summary of our results in the supplemental table). This analysis added the kinases Epha2, Yes, Pyk2, Cdk2, Rsk1, and Msk1 to the list of clients based on their destabilization upon treatment of cells with 17-AAG. The non-clients was also expanded, adding the kinases Tec, Erk3, Erk5, Mek5, Mek1, and Bpak. Additionally, we compiled available data from the literature relating to the regulation of kinases by Hsp90 and verified the data for >30 additional kinases (see supplemental table). Assuming a common structure-based mechanism, we grouped all kinases for which interactions with Hsp90 or 17-AAG/Hsp90 were observed, rather than a Linear Sequence Motif.
clients, 25 non-clients; see supplemental table), which was mapped on a tree based on sequence homology (Fig. 4) (adapted from Ref. 31). Interestingly, most Hsp90 clients (Fig. 4, gray ovals) are found in the families of tyrosine kinases and the related tyrosine kinase-like, in which non-client kinases are rarely observed. In contrast, non-clients (Fig. 4, black boxes) are more abundant in several groups of serine/threonine-specific kinases (e.g. CMGC, AGC, and STE). It is also interesting to examine the relationship of surface charge within the region of the αC–β4 loop to Hsp90 dependence for kinases with known structures. In a majority of cases (16 of 22), client kinases display an overall neutral or positive charge within the vicinity of the αC–β4 loop. In contrast, the majority of non-clients whose structures were analyzed (5 of 6) displayed an overall negative charge within this same region (data not shown).

We next addressed the potential of defining a consensus sequence for the Hsp90 recognition motif. A profile of Hsp90 client kinases was made from local ungapped block alignments of αC–β4 loop sequences (13 amino acids; MAGVGSPPVSRLLG in ErbB-2) of 73 Hsp90 client kinases, which aligned without gaps. In addition, a non-client profile was made from the αC–β4 loop sequences of 71 non-clients (see supplemental table for sequences). Sequence logos demonstrate the amino acid distribution at each position in these profiles (Fig. 5B). The profiles were compared with each other using the LAMA (local alignment of multiple alignments) profile comparison method (32), demonstrating a high similarity of the profiles (data not shown). To further test the validity of the conclusion that there are no significant sequence differences between the profile for Hsp90 clients and non-clients, several additional tests were undertaken. Eight client kinase profiles were created, each excluding one of the kinase families, and each of these profiles was compared with the loop sequences removed from it. The score distributions of these comparisons were not different from comparisons between the profiles of clients and non-clients or from comparisons to a profile created from the loop sequences of all human kinases (518 kinases) (Ref. 31 and data not shown). Taken together, this analysis could not distinguish between sequences of the αC–β4 loop from Hsp90 clients or non-clients. Thus, although the αC–β4 loop is crucial for defining interactions with Hsp90 (Figs. 1–3), recognition of kinases by Hsp90 does not depend on the sequence motifs within this region.

Rather, recognition by the chaperone is most likely based on the surface characteristics of the kinase within this region, as defined by the contribution of adjacent residues in the tertiary structure.

Functional Selectivity in Hsp90 Interactions—Addressing the functional selectivity of Hsp90 within a kinase cascade, we focused on the four linear mammalian MAPK cascades (Fig. 6A) as well as on the PI3K pathway (Fig. 6B). The functional organization of the MAPK and PI3K pathways is markedly different. MAPK cascades integrate input from diverse sources, including stress and activation of surface receptors through to the level of the MAP3K (MAP kinase kinase kinase, e.g. Raf), whereas at the MAP2K-MAPK level, signaling is funneled through a confined channel and no cross-talk occurs between kinase cascades (33). In contrast, the organization of the PI3K pathway is such that it is intersected by other signaling cascades at every level throughout the pathway, receiving inputs that modulate its activity (34, 35). Degradation of endogenous kinases was addressed following treatment of COS7 cells with 17-AAG and the data presented according to the corresponding kinase cascade. Relating to the MAPK cascades, Hsp90 clients are abundant at the MAP3K level, as demonstrated for Raf-1, Mlk3 (Fig. 6A), and NIK (Fig. 3), as well as evidence found in the literature for Mos, B-Raf, Ask1, Zak, and Tak-1 (for references, see supplemental table). MEKK3 was an exception to the rule, as this MAP3K appeared to be independent of regulation by Hsp90. In contrast, the layers of MAP2Ks and MAPks are independent of Hsp90 regulation, regardless of their assignment to specific cascades (Fig. 6A). In line with this general conclusion, it has been reported that Mkl3 is subject to regulation by Hsp90, but neither downstream kinase, namely MKK4/7 and JNK, serves as a client (36). Similar observations have been made regarding Raf-1 and the downstream MEK-ERK cascade (37). In contrast to the MAPK cascades, Hsp90 dependence is observed throughout the PI3K-akt cascade (Fig. 6B) (38), consistent with the different functional organization of the pathway, in which every level is subject to a variety of inputs and regulatory interactions. Addressing the question of whether the kinases we defined as non-clients are truly independent of Hsp90 also during their synthesis, we carried out pulse-chase experiments on ErbB-2 and the Hsp90-insensitive kinase JNK in the presence or absence of 17-AAG (Fig. 6C). Cells were pulse-labeled for 30 min followed by a 1–3-h chase
period. The results of these experiments indicate that 17-AAG reduces the accumulation of ErbB-2 and induces degradation of both the mature and immature forms of the kinase, consistent with previous publications (14). In contrast, the MAPK JNK was insensitive to inhibition of Hsp90 during its synthesis and the initial hours following synthesis (Fig. 6C), consistent with complete lack of dependence on Hsp90. Interestingly, similar results were obtained by Yun and Matts (39) as to the Hsp90 independence of newly synthesized c-Src in C2C12 cells.

FIGURE 4. Diversity of established Hsp90 clients within the human kinome. Shown is a scheme demonstrating the evolutionary relationships and subgroups of protein kinases included in the human kinome. Hsp90 client kinases are represented by red letters on an elliptical gray background, whereas non-clients appear in white letters on a rectangular black background. The overall structure of the scheme was based on a previously published analysis of the human kinome (31).

FIGURE 5. Distribution of Hsp90 clients within kinase families and analysis of the αC–β4 loop sequence. A, tabulation of the diversity of Hsp90 clientele according to kinase families. The data presented is based on our observations for 44 kinases of the 105 kinases addressed, whereas the grouping of the remaining kinases is based on data available in the literature (see supplemental table and references therein). B, sequence logos (53) based on the ungapped block alignments of αC–β4 loop regions (13 amino acids) of Hsp90 client kinases (constructed from the sequences of 73 kinases) in contrast to non-client kinases (constructed from the sequences of 17 kinases).
DISCUSSION

Structural Selectivity of Hsp90 toward Kinases—Steroid hormone receptors and protein kinases are two major groups of Hsp90 clients, and studies on their interaction with Hsp90 converged on structurally related, but distinct sequence motifs participating in chaperone recognition. Analysis of a 7-amino-acid motif on the glucocorticoid receptor, which is implicated in mediating interactions with Hsp90 (18), demonstrated that the presence of this sequence motif, located on an α-helix in the context of a hydrophobic hinge, is important for chaperone recognition (40). Another major contribution to the understanding of the basis of Hsp90 interactions with clients has come from studies on Lck (19, 20), a Src family member. Although Hsp90 itself bears the potential impact on the structure of the loop. Potentially, these mutations allow the interaction of negatively charged amino acid residues in the vicinity of Hsp90 with CDC37 and Hsp90 (43). The analogous residue on ErbB-1 maps to the surface of the α-helix, immediately beneath the αC–β4 loop, in line with the possibility that this surface mediates interactions with the CDC37–Hsp90 complex and is disrupted by the introduction of a negative charge.

ErbB-2 provides yet additional potential testament to the physiological relevance of regulation by Hsp90. Eleven naturally occurring mutant of Lkb1 (G163D), which results in loss of interaction with CDC37 and Hsp90 (43). The analogous residue on ErbB-1 maps to the surface of the α-helix, immediately beneath the αC–β4 loop, in line with the possibility that this surface mediates interactions with the CDC37–Hsp90 complex and is disrupted by the introduction of a negative charge.

ErbB-2 provides yet additional potential testament to the physiological relevance of regulation by Hsp90. Eleven naturally occurring mutant of Lkb1 (G163D), which results in loss of interaction with CDC37 and Hsp90 (43). The analogous residue on ErbB-1 maps to the surface of the α-helix, immediately beneath the αC–β4 loop, in line with the possibility that this surface mediates interactions with the CDC37–Hsp90 complex and is disrupted by the introduction of a negative charge.

Erk5/2 provides yet additional potential testament to the physiological relevance of regulation by Hsp90. Eleven naturally occurring mutant of Lkb1 (G163D), which results in loss of interaction with CDC37 and Hsp90 (43). The analogous residue on ErbB-1 maps to the surface of the α-helix, immediately beneath the αC–β4 loop, in line with the possibility that this surface mediates interactions with the CDC37–Hsp90 complex and is disrupted by the introduction of a negative charge.

A Cryptic Hsp90 Interaction Motif—Along with promoting the maturation of nascent clients and stabilizing their mature forms, Hsp90 helps maintain client kinases in an inactive state, competent for activation (23). The majority of Hsp90 client kinases are subject to regulation only during their maturation (“weak clients”, e.g. ErbB-1/epidermal growth factor receptor). As such, the presence of a common surface mediating interactions of phylogenetically diverse kinase clients with Hsp90 was confirmed by our observations with diverse kinase clients, including DAPK (a CAMK kinase) (Fig. 2) and NIK (a STE kinase) (Fig. 3), demonstrating that a common surface mediates recognition by Hsp90. We have further found that an overall neutral/positive surface charge is characteristic of Hsp90 client kinases in the putative region of interaction. On the other hand, many non-Hsp90-dependent kinases appear to have acquired a negative surface charge within this region, suggesting that a negative charge is detrimental to recognition by Hsp90. These results are consistent with recent observations made with ErbB-2 (22). Interestingly, serine phosphorylation of CDC37 within its amino terminus seems essential for its interactions with client proteins (42). This phosphorylation may act as a filter, limiting the interactions of negatively charged kinases with CDC37. An independent observation demonstrated a naturally occurring mutant of Lkb1 (G163D), which results in loss of interactions with CDC37 and Hsp90 (43). The analogous residue on ErbB-1 maps to the surface of the α-helix, immediately beneath the αC–β4 loop, in line with the possibility that this surface mediates interactions with the CDC37–Hsp90 complex and is disrupted by the introduction of a negative charge.

Erk5/2 provides yet additional potential testament to the physiological relevance of regulation by Hsp90. Eleven naturally occurring mutant of Lkb1 (G163D), which results in loss of interaction with CDC37 and Hsp90 (43). The analogous residue on ErbB-1 maps to the surface of the α-helix, immediately beneath the αC–β4 loop, in line with the possibility that this surface mediates interactions with the CDC37–Hsp90 complex and is disrupted by the introduction of a negative charge.

FIGURE 6. Selective interactions of Hsp90 with components of kinase cascades. COS7 cells were treated with 17-AAG for increasing time intervals (2, 4, 18, or 24 h at 1 μM) followed by cell lysis and immunoblotting for the indicated proteins. The four MAPK cascades (A) are represented as well as the PI3K pathway (B). Kinase clients are marked in gray ovals and non-clients in black squares. C, COS7 cells were transfected with expression vectors encoding ErbB-2 or HA-tagged Jnk. Thirty-six hours after transfection, the cells were subjected to amino acid starvation for 4.5 h followed by a pulse of 35S-labeled cysteine and methionine (30 min) in the presence or absence of 17-AAG (1 μM). The cells were then returned to full growth medium for the indicated times (“chase period”), followed by lysis in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer and immunoprecipitation (IP) for ErbB-2 or HA-Jnk. Following SDS-PAGE, the gels were dried and exposed to film for 4–7 days. The bar graphs represent quantification of the radiograms as a fraction of the initial labeling (time 0 in the presence of Me2SO (DMSO)).
growth factor receptor and Src-family kinases). In contrast, ErbB-2 and mutant forms of ErbB-1 are dependent on the chaperone also for the maintenance of the mature form and are subject to regulation of their activity by the chaperone ("strong clients") (14). Subtle mutations, both within and outside the kinase domain, can transform a weak client into a strong client (10, 14). Examples of mutational enhancement of Hsp90 regulation have been documented for active forms of Src-like kinases created by a truncation of the SH2 domain or a mutation of the SH2-binding tyrosine residue (45). In the inactive state of the kinase, the intramolecular knot formed by the SH2 and SH3 domains folds them on the backside of the kinase domain, overlaying the aC–b loop (46). Likewise, activating mutations within the kinase domain confer Hsp90 dependence to the insulin receptor (47). Additional cases described are Zap70 (48), c-Abl (49), and Plk (50). Taken together, these examples suggest that recognition of kinases by Hsp90 is defined by the exposure of a cryptic determinant, which is normally buried in the quaternary structure of the kinase. This view is consistent with the observations reported here that recognition by Hsp90 is dependent on exposed features of the kinase (Figs. 1–3) rather than on a linear sequence motif (Fig. 5B and data not shown).

**Functional Selectivity**—Mapping the distribution of 105 human kinases (Fig. 5, B and C; see also supplemental table), we observed that a large proportion of tyrosine kinases and tyrosine-like kinases (40 of the 43 kinases examined) are subject to regulation by Hsp90. In contrast, within the groups of CAMK, CAMGC, AGC, CK1, and STK kinases, there is an almost equal number of clients and non-clients (29 clients, 22 non-clients) (Fig. 5A). This distribution suggests a preference for Hsp90 to recognize the fold and surface of tyrosine kinases in contrast to serine/threonine kinases. Another emerging pattern is a preference for signaling hubs, as exemplified through the analysis of MAPKs (Fig. 6). From our analysis of the four mammalian MAPK pathways, it appears that most kinases within the MAP3K layer are subject to regulation by Hsp90, whereas the MAP2K and MAPK layers are independent of chaperoning. In principle, the MAP3K layer integrates signals from different sources, leading to the activation of a specific pathway. In contrast, MAP2K and MAPK layers within each cascade act as an insulated amplifier, delivering a precise signal (51). We suggest that the differential regulation by Hsp90 confers an additional level of regulation at the kinase level, delivering a precise signal (51). We suggest that the differential regulation by Hsp90 confers an additional level of regulation at the pathway level and the pathway is not organized as a linear cascade but rather as a more intricate lattice (34, 35).

In summary, our results propose a modified view of the mechanism of recognition of client proteins by the Hsp90 chaperone machine. In this view, the Hsp90 complex displays a preference to a specific surface on client proteins (the aC–b loop region in the case of kinase clients), whereas selectivity is defined by the surface charge on the client protein within this region. Hsp90 clients will boast an overall positive or neutral charge within this region, whereas proteins exempt from chaperone regulation will bear a negative charge. As interaction with this surface can be subject to steric obstruction, the capacity of a given client to interact with Hsp90 is dependent upon the intra- or intermolecular interactions of the client modulating the exposure of the recognition motif.
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