| Title | CO2 efflux from subterranean nests of ant communities in a seasonal tropical forest, Thailand |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Author(s) | Hasin, Sasitorn; Ohashi, Mizue; Yamada, Akinori; Hashimoto, Yoshiaki; Tasen, Wattanachai; Kume, Tomonori; Yamane, Seiki |
| Citation | Ecology and Evolution, 4(20), pp.3929-3939; 2014 |
| Issue Date | 2014-10 |
| URL | http://hdl.handle.net/10069/35817 |
| Rights | © 2014 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.; This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. |

This document is downloaded at: 2020-12-20T21:04:42Z
CO₂ efflux from subterranean nests of ant communities in a seasonal tropical forest, Thailand

Sasitorn Hasin¹,², Mizue Ohashi³, Akinori Yamada⁴, Yoshiaki Hashimoto⁵, Wattanachai Tasen¹,², Tomonori Kume⁶ & Seiki Yamane⁷

¹Department of Forest Biology, Faculty of Forestry, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
²Center for Advanced Studies in Tropical Natural Resources, NRU-KU, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
³School of Human Science and Environment, University of Hyogo, Himeji, Hyogo 670-0092, Japan
⁴Graduate School of Fisheries Science and Environmental Studies, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki 852-8521, Japan
⁵Division of Phylogenetics, Museum of Nature and Human Activities, University of Hyogo, Sanda 669-1546, Japan
⁶School of Forestry and Resource Conservation, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106-17, Taiwan
⁷Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan

Keywords
ant nest, carbon dioxide, environmental factor, ground-dwelling ant, tropical forest.

Summary
Many ant species construct subterranean nests. The presence of their nests may explain soil respiration “hot spots”, an important factor in the high CO₂ efflux from tropical forests. However, no studies have directly measured CO₂ efflux from ant nests. We established 61 experimental plots containing 13 subterranean ant species to evaluate the CO₂ efflux from subterranean ant nests in a tropical seasonal forest, Thailand. We examined differences in nest CO₂ efflux among ant species. We determined the effects of environmental factors on nest CO₂ efflux and calculated an index of nest structure. The mean CO₂ efflux from nests was significantly higher than those from the surrounding soil in the wet and dry seasons. The CO₂ efflux was species-specific, showing significant differences among the 13 ant species. The soil moisture content significantly affected nest CO₂ efflux, but there was no clear relationship between nest CO₂ efflux and nest soil temperature. The diameter of the nest entrance hole affected CO₂ efflux. However, there was no significant difference in CO₂ efflux rates between single-hole and multiple-hole nests. Our results suggest that in a tropical forest ecosystem the increase in CO₂ efflux from subterranean ant nests is caused by species-specific activity of ants, the nest soil environment, and nest structure.

Introduction
Soil respiration is the total CO₂ efflux from the soil surface, a major component of the carbon cycle in an ecosystem (Luo and Zhou 2006). Accurate estimations of total soil CO₂ efflux are important to calculate the net carbon exchange between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystems (Raich and Potter 1995). Because tropical forests play a more significant role than other ecosystems in global carbon dynamics (Raich and Schlesinger 1992), it is important to understand the mechanisms and factors controlling total soil CO₂ efflux in tropical forests. The total soil CO₂ efflux fluctuates with changes in temperature and moisture (e.g., Xu and Qi 2001). In temperate and boreal ecosystems, temperature affects total soil CO₂ efflux more strongly than does moisture, whereas in tropical ecosystems, the opposite situation can occur (Malhi et al. 1999). In particular, in tropical seasonal forests, total soil CO₂ efflux clearly fluctuates with changes in soil moisture because there are distinct dry and wet seasons characterized by large differences in rainfall (Kume et al. 2012). The total soil CO₂ efflux includes the CO₂
produced by all living soil organisms; plant roots, soil microbes, and animals (Luo and Zhou 2006). Hanson et al. (2000) reviewed respiration sources to elucidate the mechanisms of total soil CO₂ efflux. However, this review only covered the contribution of roots and the rhizosphere, and most of the data were obtained from temperate and boreal forests. Thus, data on the sources, or the classes of sources, of CO₂ contributing to total soil CO₂ efflux in the tropics are relatively limited, with few studies investigating the contribution of soil animals (Ohashi et al. 2008).

In some ecosystems, some ant taxa are important ground-dwelling arthropods in terms of species richness and biomass (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990; Folgarait 1998). Ants have been defined as ecosystem engineers, because they affect ecosystem processes and soil function by their nesting and foraging activities (Lavelle and Spain 2003). In their nests, ants alter their physical and chemical environments by constructing tunnels and chambers, accumulating soil particles, and storing litter and food above and below the tunnels in the ground nests (Folgarait 1998). Studies have reported that mound-type nests made from soil and/or litter have nutrient profiles that differ from those of surrounding soil or forest floor (Ohashi et al. 2007a; Domisch et al. 2008). Moreover, specific fauna and flora are found at ant mounds (Berg-Binder and Suarez 2012; Laakso and Setälä 1997). Similarly, subterranean ant nests can affect water infiltration rates (Lavelle and Spain 2003) and soil erosion (Cerdà and Jurgensen 2008) by decreasing soil bulk density and increasing soil porosity. The alteration of soil chemical properties, such as nutrient concentrations (Wagner et al. 2004), can result in different vegetation types and biodiversity in areas around subterranean nests (Wagner et al. 1997; Whitford et al. 2008). Some recent studies have suggested that the high CO₂ efflux from ant mounds increases the spatial variability in total CO₂ efflux from the forest floor (Domisch et al. 2006; Ohashi et al. 2005). This would make it more difficult to estimate the stand-scale average of total soil CO₂ efflux. Ohashi et al. (2012) reported that the contribution of the mound CO₂ efflux increased with forest stand age and suggested that ants affect variations in the C balance in ecosystems.

Recent studies reported that in the large litter-mound nests of *Formica* spp., CO₂ effuxes were up to 12 times higher compared with surrounding soils in boreal forests (Domisch et al. 2006; Ohashi et al. 2005, 2007b), a subalpine forest (Risch et al. 2005), and in a marsh area (Wu et al. 2013). Similarly, CO₂ efflux rates are up to five times higher in the soil-mound nests of *Solenopsis invicta* in pasture soil (Bender and Wood 2003), in nest mounds of *Lasius flavus* and *L. niger* in wetlands (Wu et al. 2013), and nests of *Acromyrmex balzani* in coastal plains (Sousa-Souto et al. 2012). These studies show that ant nests increase the CO₂ efflux from soil, but most of them have been conducted in northern forests and grasslands where a few ant species build mound-type nests.

In tropical forests, ant biomass constitutes >10% of the total animal biomass (Wilson 1990), suggesting ants may play an important role in soil carbon dynamics. Furthermore, in Asian tropical forests, >2000 ant species have been recorded (Folgarait 1998). In these tropical forest ecosystems, it is possible that the CO₂ efflux from ant nests is highly variable compared with those of other ecosystems. Based on the findings of “hot spots of total soil CO₂ efflux” in a Bornean tropical forest, Ohashi et al. (2007c, 2008) proposed that these hot spots had been caused by CO₂ emission from hidden subterranean ant nests under the measuring points. To our knowledge, no study has directly investigated CO₂ efflux from ant nests in a tropical forest, probably because of difficulties in systematically measuring CO₂ efflux from subterranean nests.

Ants could affect nest CO₂ efflux in many ways such as directly via respiration and indirectly by altering soil properties and the environment, changing the respiration rate of other CO₂ sources (Sousa-Souto et al. 2012; Ohashi et al. 2007b). In *Formica* ant mounds, the higher temperatures and lower moisture content compared with the surrounding soil enhanced the activity of ants and other organisms resulting in larger CO₂ effluxes (Ohashi et al. 2007b). Likewise in tropical forests, the subterranean ant nests may increase CO₂ efflux, not only by their respiration, but also by the changes in soil condition from nest construction, but no study clarified the factors that correlate to nest CO₂ efflux.

We aimed to elucidate the CO₂ efflux from subterranean nests in a tropical seasonal forest ant community, Thailand. In particular, we focused on: (1) comparing CO₂ efflux of the nest and the surrounding soil; (2) the variation of nest CO₂ efflux among ant species; (3) the relationship between the soil environment of the ant nests and nest CO₂ effuxes; and (4) the potential impacts of nest structure on the CO₂ efflux from subterranean nests.

### Materials and Methods

#### Study sites

The study was conducted in a dry evergreen forest (DEF) at Sakaerat Environmental Research Station (SERS; 14°30′N, 101°56′E, 500 m a.s.l.) in northeastern Thailand. The DEF covers 64% of the natural forest area at the SERS (Trisurat 2009). The study area had a gentle slope of less than 10°. The forest site consisted of *Hopea ferea* and *H. odorata*, forming a closed canopy with heights ranging from 23 to
Table 1. Ant species, number, and characteristics of the ant nests examined in this study. The mean ant body size and hole diameter are shown with the standard error in parentheses. Range is shown for the number of entrance holes.

| Species Code | Species Code | Number of ant nests | Ant body size (mm) | Number of nest holes | Hole diameter (mm) |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| A1           | Anochetus graeffei Mayr, 1870 | 4 [1,3]              | 4.27 (0.03)       | 1                    | 4.1 (0.3)         |
| A2           | Anochetus sp.2 of AMK          | 4 [1,3]              | 4.93 (0.02)       | 1                    | 4.5 (0.2)         |
| AG           | Anoplolepis gracilipes (F. Smith, 1857) | 5 [2,3]              | 4.83 (0.03)       | 1–3                  | 37.3 (1.0)        |
| AP           | Aphaenogaster sp.1 of AMK      | 6 [3,3]              | 5.41 (0.04)       | 1                    | 9.9 (0.4)         |
| DV           | Diacamma cf. vagans (F. Smith, 1860) | 5 [2,3]              | 9.82 (0.11)       | 1                    | 12.8 (0.8)        |
| EA           | Ectomomyrmex astuta (F. Smith, 1858) | 4 [1,3]              | 16.34 (0.28)      | 1–2                  | 4.9 (0.1)         |
| HV           | Harpegnathos venator (F. Smith, 1858) | 3 [3,0]              | 12.80 (0.11)      | 1                    | 18.3 (0.9)        |
| OD           | Odontoponera denticulata (F. Smith, 1858) | 6 [3,3]              | 9.51 (0.08)       | 1–3                  | 3.6 (0.2)         |
| OR           | Odontomachus rixus F. Smith, 1857 | 6 [3,3]              | 10.85 (0.05)      | 1–2                  | 43.5 (6.4)        |
| PH           | Pheidole hongkongensis Wheeler, 1928 | 4 [1,3]              | 2.50 (0.00)       | 1–2                  | 1.6 (0.1)         |
| PP           | Pheidole plagiata F. Smith, 1860 | 5 [2,3]              | 3.49 (0.01)       | 1                    | 48.8 (1.6)        |
| PV           | Pheidole parva Mayr, 1865      | 4 [1,3]              | 1.70 (0.03)       | 1                    | 1.3 (0.2)         |
| TL           | Tetramorium lanuginosum Mayr, 1870 | 5 [2,3]              | 2.47 (0.03)       | 1                    | 1.9 (0.1)         |
| Total        |              | 61                  |                   |                      |                   |

1The number in square brackets means number of nests in wet and dry season.
2Number of replicates was the number of ant nests.

Subterranean ant nests

For our CO2 efflux measurements, we choose 13 dominant ant species with high abundances and activity based on preliminary observations. Among the 13 ant species, the ant worker body length ranged from 1.5 to 17 mm (Table 1). We identified the entrance holes of potential nests using the food-baiting method. We did not select nests close to large trees, rotten logs, or stones, to decrease variations in CO2 efflux due to CO2 production from other sources. We ensured that the sampled ant nests were constructed from soil, to ensure that the soil characteristics at the nest were comparable to those of surrounding soil. Ant workers were collected from the entrance holes of each nest for identification. Ants were identified to the subfamily and genus level according to Bolton (1994) and to the species level by comparisons with the ant collections in the Ant Museum at Kasetsart University (AMK), Thailand. After identifying each species, we selected the main entrance hole of each nest by observing worker traffic intensity. To identify nest-hole type (single-hole or multiple-hole types), we searched for other entrance holes around the main entrance hole, collecting ants from the adjacent and main entrance holes, and allowing them to fight each other in a chamber to determine whether they were from the same nest (Heller et al. 2006). We selected three to six independent nests for each of the 13 species, making a total of 61 nests (Table 1). We established an experimental plot 2 × 2 m in the area of each nest. The plot centered the main...
entrance hole and included all of the other entrance holes. We measured the diameter of each entrance and then calculated the average entrance hole diameter for each nest. The nest structure of subterranean ants is characterized by the size and number of tunnels and chambers (Tschinkel 2003). Therefore, it is possible that the size and number of entrance holes are related to the nest structure characteristics. In this study, the mean diameter and number of the nest entrance holes per plot were used to calculate a nest structure index.

Measurements of CO₂ efflux and environmental factors

Nest CO₂ efflux was measured from the soil surface at the entrance hole of the nests, using a commercial respiration chamber (SRC-1, PP-system; Amesbury, MA) and infrared gas analyzer (EGM-4, PP-systems) following methods in Ohashi et al. (2007c, 2008). Additionally, we selected five to six soil control points surrounding the nest entrance holes in each experimental plot for the soil CO₂ efflux measurement. The average distance between nest holes and control locations was 36±1 SD cm. We examined the presence/absence of ant nests and/or other ants and termites by digging up the soil under the control points to a depth of 10–30 cm, following the completion of all measurements to confirm there was no influence from the ant nest. The absence of nest was used as the criterion to define where there is no impact of ant nest.

CO₂ efflux measurement has performed using a closed-chamber method. We inserted PVC collars (height 3–4 cm, diameter 10 cm) into the soil at least 0.5-cm deep to mount the commercial respiration chamber and put plasticine sealing between the collars and soils to make them airtight during the measurement. The collars were set up 1 day before the CO₂ measurement and left in the place throughout the experiment. To minimize ant activity disturbance, CO₂ measurements were started at the main entrance hole. We then measured the other entrance holes and controls in the same plot and averaged the nest and soil CO₂ efflux, respectively. Measurements were repeated three times at each entrance hole and twice for the controls and then averaged for each measurement point. It took around 15 min for a nest and 10 min for a control point to finish the repetition and obtain a data of CO₂ efflux.

After CO₂ measurements, soil temperature and moisture content were measured at three locations around each collar. We measured the soil temperature at a depth of 10 cm with a Drip-Proof Type Digital Thermometer (MODEL PC-9215; SATO, Tokyo, Japan) and from ground level to 6 cm with a moisture sensor (ThetaProbe type ML2x; Delta-T Devices Ltd., Cambridge, UK).

The series of measurements were conducted during the day, between 09:00 and 16:00 h, with measurements at each plot taking approximately 2 h, resulting in two to three plots measured daily. We measured at least three nests randomly for each species. The measurements of most ant species occurred during the wet and dry seasons, except for Harpegnathos venator (Table 1).

Statistical analyses

The differences in CO₂ effluxes among ant nests and the control soils, season and ant species were examined using a general linear model (GLM) analysis with the sampling location (ant hole and surrounding soil) as within-subject factor, and season (wet and dry) and ant species as between-subject factors. Raw data were natural log-transformed to decrease heteroscedasticity, after checking for normality and homogeneity using Shapiro–Wilk’s and Levene’s tests, respectively. Whenever significant results (at the level of \( P < 0.05 \)) occurred in the GLM, a post hoc test was performed using Bonferroni pairwise comparisons. To compare the relationship between CO₂ efflux and environmental factors (i.e., soil temperature and soil moisture content), we used linear regression analyses for the ant nest and the control data separately.

We used the number of entrances and the diameter of entrance holes as an index of nest structure. The number of entrance holes was classified into two groups, single (only one) and multiple (greater than one) hole types. The effect of the different hole type on the CO₂ efflux from ant nests was determined using a two-way ANOVA with the hole types and season as explanatory variables. Nest CO₂ efflux data were natural log-transformed to meet the assumptions of normality. The relationship between the mean diameter of entrance holes per nest and nest CO₂ efflux was tested using linear regression analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS ver. 20.0.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

CO₂ efflux from ant nests in the wet and dry seasons

We measured CO₂ efflux from 61 subterranean ant nests: 34 nests in the wet season and 27 nests in the dry season (Table 1). In both seasons, the season-specific mean CO₂ efflux rates from ant nests were significantly higher than those from the controls (Table 2). The mean CO₂ efflux rates from ant nests were 2.5 and 2.0 times higher than those of the controls in the wet and dry seasons, respectively (\( P < 0.001 \), Fig. 1). There was a significant seasonal variation in CO₂ efflux rates from the ant nests and the
The range of fluctuation was smaller than that of the nests. During the dry season, CO₂ efflux rates from the ant nests were significantly higher compared with the controls (Fig. 1). The location-specific mean CO₂ efflux rates were 2.6 and 2.1 times higher in the nests and controls, respectively (Table 2). The mean CO₂ efflux from the controls was relatively stable, from 2.7 (±0.8 SE, n = 4) in E. astuta to 7.7 (±0.6 SE, n = 3) μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ in H. venator (Table 3). CO₂ efflux for P. plagiaria was significantly higher than for most of the other species. Conversely, nest CO₂ efflux for A. graeffei, Anochetus sp.2 of AMK, Aphaenogaster sp.1 of AMK, D. cf. vagans, H. venator, O. rixosus, E. astuta, P. plagiaria, and P. parva (Fig. 2).

There was significantly greater CO₂ efflux from H. venator nests than from nests of O. denticulata, E. astuta, A. graeffei, P. hongkongensis, and T. lanuginosum (Table 3). CO₂ efflux for O. rixosus and P. plagiaria was significantly higher than for most of the other species. Similarly, CO₂ efflux from the controls showed larger fluctuations during the wet season, 3.6–14.5 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, than during the dry season, 1.3–6.1 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, but the range of fluctuation was smaller than that of the nests.

**Interspecies variations of CO₂ efflux**

Regardless of seasonality, species-specific mean CO₂ efflux rates from the ant nests were significantly higher compared with the controls (P < 0.001, Table 2). The mean CO₂ efflux from ant nests varied from 4.3 (±0.9 SE, n = 4) in A. graeffei to 27.5 (±9.7 SE, n = 5) μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ in P. plagiaria. The CO₂ efflux from the controls was relatively stable, from 2.7 (±0.8 SE, n = 4) in E. astuta to 7.7 (±0.6 SE, n = 3) μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ in H. venator (Fig. 2). Pairwise comparisons showed significantly higher CO₂ efflux rates from nests compared with the controls in nine of 13 ant species. These species were: Anochetus sp.2 of AMK, A. graeffei, Aphaenogaster sp.1 of AMK, D. cf. vagans, H. venator, O. rixosus, E. astuta, P. plagiaria, and P. parva (Fig. 2).

There was significantly greater CO₂ efflux from H. venator nests than from nests of O. denticulata, E. astuta, A. graeffei, P. hongkongensis, and T. lanuginosum (Table 3). CO₂ efflux for O. rixosus and P. plagiaria was significantly higher than for most of the other species. Conversely, nest CO₂ efflux for A. graeffei, Anochetus sp.2 of AMK, Aphaenogaster sp.1 of AMK, O. denticulata, E. astuta, P. hongkongensis, and P. parva was significantly lower than that of the other two or three species. Significantly lower CO₂ efflux occurred in T. lanuginosum compared with A. graeffei, D. cf. vagans, H. venator, O. rixosus, and P. plagiaria.

**Relationship between CO₂ efflux, soil temperature, and soil moisture content**

The large variations in soil temperature and moisture content were mainly related to the season (Fig. 3A, B). Soil temperature and moisture content were similar for each of the ant nests and control pairs except those above 18%, where the soil moisture content was lower in the nests (Fig. 3B). Seasonal changes in soil temperature and moisture affected the soil CO₂ efflux. The linear regression analysis showed a significant positive relationship between CO₂ efflux rates and temperature in the control

Table 2. Comparison of CO₂ efflux between location, season, and ant species.

| Source of variation | d.f.n. | d.f.d. | F      | P     |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Location            | 1      | 37     | 227.65 | 0.001 |
| Seasons             | 1      | 37     | 63.65  | 0.001 |
| Species             | 12     | 37     | 5.96   | 0.001 |
| Location × Season   | 1      | 37     | 9.21   | 0.001 |
| Location × Species  | 12     | 37     | 9.58   | 0.001 |
| Species × Season    | 11     | 37     | 1.26   | 0.29  |
| Location × Species × Season | 11 | 37 | 1.10 | 0.39 |

Statistically significant P-values are in bold.

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1.** CO₂ efflux in ant nests (black bars) and the surrounding soil (white bars) in the dry and wet season. Error bars represent standard error (n ≥ 25). Different lower case letters indicate significant differences between nests and soil for dry (a and b) and wet seasons (a' and b') (P < 0.001). Different capital letter indicates a significant difference between dry and wet seasons for ant nests (A and B) and for the surrounding soil (A' and B') (P < 0.001).

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2.** CO₂ efflux in ant nests (black bars) and surrounding soil (white bars) for each species. Error bars represent standard error (n > 3). *, **, and *** (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, and P < 0.001, respectively) indicate significant differences between the nest and soil CO₂ effluxes within the ant species.
but there was no significant relationship for ant nests (Fig. 4B).

The relationship between moisture content and CO₂ efflux rates changed around 18% soil moisture content. The regression analysis showed a significant positive relationship between CO₂ efflux from ant nests and soil moisture content <18%, with a significantly negative relationship when the moisture content was >18% (Fig. 4D). Similar results were obtained for the relationship between CO₂ efflux and soil moisture content in the controls (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, the regression coefficients were higher for the nests compared with the controls (Fig. 4C, D).

Impacts of the hole type and diameter on nest CO₂ efflux

There was no significant difference in CO₂ efflux rates between single- and multiple-hole type nests (hole type, \(F_{1,58} = 0.5, P = 0.48\); season, \(F_{1,58} = 24.8, P < 0.001\); interaction, \(F_{1,58} = 0.77, P = 0.39\)). There were significant positive relationships between CO₂ efflux rates and hole diameter in the wet and dry season (Fig. 5). The regression coefficient in the wet season was larger compared with that of the dry season.

Discussion

Our results showed that the CO₂ efflux from ant nests was significantly higher than that from the surrounding soil in a seasonal tropical forest (Fig. 2). Similar effects of ant nests on soil CO₂ efflux have been reported in boreal forests (Domisch et al. 2006; Ohashi et al. 2005, 2007b), subalpine forest (Risch et al. 2005), wetland (Wu et al. 2013), pasture (Bender and Wood 2003), and coastal plains (Sousa-Souto et al. 2012). Given that ecosystem structure is more complicated and biodiversity is greater in tropical forests than in other ecosystems (Allaby 2006), we expected larger variations in CO₂ efflux from ant nests in tropical forests. Our results showed that there was significantly greater CO₂ efflux from ant nests than from the surrounding soil, and that this CO₂ efflux is ant species specific in a tropical forest.
We measured the CO₂ efflux from subterranean-type ant nests, whereas other studies have focused on mound-type nests (e.g., Wu et al. 2013; Sousa-Souto et al. 2012; Ohashi et al. 2005). Even though mound-type nests are easy to find and are relatively common in their ecosystems, non-mound-type nests (i.e., subterranean nests) are more common in other ecosystems such as tropical forests (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990). To our knowledge, there are no reports on CO₂ efflux from nonmound-type nests, probably because subterranean nests are difficult to find. There are many differences between subterranean and mound-type nests, including the nest structure, material of construction, relationships with other animals within the nest/mound, foraging behavior of the ants, and nest size (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990). These differences may result in different patterns, limitation factors, and different mechanisms of CO₂ efflux between the two nest types. We found that moisture content and nest entrance diameter significantly affected nest CO₂ efflux. Previous studies reported that moisture content did not affect CO₂ efflux from mound-type nests, in contrast to the findings of this study (Wu et al. 2013; Ohashi et al. 2007b).

We found that CO₂ efflux from nests was significantly higher than that from the surrounding control soil in the wet and dry seasons, but the difference was larger in the wet season than in the dry season. Variations in the magnitude of differences in CO₂ efflux between nest and controls have been reported in boreal and subalpine forests, where ant mound CO₂ efflux was 2–12 times higher than that from surrounding soils during the active ant time, but there was no difference in dormant ant times (Risch et al. 2005; Ohashi et al. 2007b; Domisch et al. 2006). These findings suggest that the nesting and foraging activity of ants is an important factor in increasing CO₂ efflux from nests. Because ants in tropical rain forests do not have a dormant period (Gove et al. 2005), it is plausible that these ants are active throughout the year in a warm climate (Allaby 2006). In our study ecosystem, the climate is warm enough for ants to remain active in both the wet and dry seasons.

Figure 4. Changes in nest and soil CO₂ efflux with the soil temperature in the nest (A) and surrounding soil (B), the soil moisture in the nest (C) and surrounding soil (D). The regression analysis for soil moisture content was run separately for soil moisture content greater and less than 18%.

Figure 5. Relationship between nest entrance diameter and CO₂ efflux. Black circles represent the dry season and white circles the wet season.

\[ Y = 0.20x + 3.58, R^2 = 0.47, P < 0.001 \]
\[ Y = 0.58x + 6.77, R^2 = 0.81, P < 0.001 \]
seasons, so the CO₂ efflux differs between nests and the control throughout the year. However, ants may vary their activity between seasons, causing a seasonal change in CO₂ efflux between nests and controls. For example, the size of the ant population may change between seasons, affecting the amount of ant-originated CO₂. In the tropical forest, leaf litter containing food resources for the ants resulted in higher ant diversity/abundance during the wet season than the dry season (Kaspari and Weiser 2000; Hahn and Wheeler 2002). The increased food sources in the wet season would allow ants to establish new nests and the ant queen to produce more workers and increase in the production of reproductive caste, thus increasing the ant population (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990; Kaspari 2000). The larger ant population may increase nesting and foraging activity (Wagner et al. 2004), raising their metabolic activity (Rosengren et al. 1987), resulting in higher CO₂ efflux. However there is no study about the impact of changes in ant population size on nest CO₂ efflux. Future study is necessary to confirm the idea.

Our observations showed that soil moisture content was lower in the nest compared with their surrounding soil when the soil moisture range was 18–31%, mainly during the wet season (Fig. 3B). The decrease in soil moisture could be explained by the soil modification from ant nesting activity (Lavelle and Spain 2003). Nest construction decreases soil bulk density and increases the number of soil macrospores with the size of tunnels and chamber within ant territories (Cerdà and Jurgensen 2008; Lobry de Bruyn 1999), allowing rapid water infiltration in ant nests compared with soils without nests (James et al. 2008; Cerdà and Jurgensen 2008; Whitford et al. 2008). At our study site, the soil consisted of dense loam/clay loam containing numerous micropores and small macrospores, making water flow very slowly through this substrate. Therefore, the increase in macrospores and the continuous porosity from ant nesting activity may have increased soil water drainage, decreasing soil moisture content at nest sites during the wet season.

Our results showed positive relationships between soil CO₂ efflux and temperature (Fig. 4A), similar to previous studies (e.g., Ohashi et al. 2008), but there was no significant relationship between soil temperature and nest CO₂ efflux (Fig. 4B). The different temperature effects between nest and soil could result from the differences in CO₂ producers between ant nests and soil. The main sources of CO₂ efflux from soil are soil microbes and plant roots (Schwendenmann et al. 2003; Adachi et al. 2006; Ohashi et al. 2008). Soil temperature is an important factor for microbes and roots activity, with studies on soil respiration reporting exponential and/or linear increases in soil CO₂ efflux with increasing temperature (Luo and Zhou 2006). In many tropical systems, soil temperature is not a strong predictor of soil CO₂. In this study, R² value for the relationship between soil CO₂ efflux and temperature was only 0.18 (Fig. 4A). Given that tropical seasonal forests in this region have constantly high temperatures with little variation compared with other climate regions (Hashimoto et al. 2007), the slight changes in temperature may not have a significant impact on ant activity. Therefore, no clear relationship occurred between CO₂ efflux from ant nests and temperature. We found positive and negative relationships between CO₂ efflux and soil moisture content both in the ant nests and the surrounding soil (Fig. 4C, D), even though the source of CO₂ production may differ between the nest and soil. The effects of soil moisture content, both negative and positive, under relatively high and low moisture conditions, respectively, in tropical forests have been reported (Schwendenmann et al. 2003; van Straaten et al. 2009). These results suggest that there may be the most preferable moisture content for the CO₂ producers in soil in these ecosystems and if soil moisture content increase or decrease more than the most preferable content, the amount of CO₂ production starts to decrease, as we found in this study. Our results suggest that all of ant, soil microbe, and root activity may be controlled by soil moisture content. However, the steeper regression slope

![Figure 6](image_url)
for the nest CO₂ efflux (Fig. 4D) suggests that ants are more sensitive to soil moisture content compared with the source of CO₂ efflux from surrounding soils.

CO₂ efflux from ant nests was highly variable among the different ant species (Fig. 2). Significantly higher CO₂ efflux occurred in three ant species, *H. venator*, *O. rixosus*, and *P. plagia*ria than those of other 5–8 species, significantly while lower efflux was recorded in eight other species, *A. graefei*, *Anochetus* sp.2 of AMK, *Aphaenogaster* sp.1 of AMK, *O. denticulata*, *E. astuta*, *P. parva*, *P. hong-kongensis*, and *T. lanuginosum* than those of other 2–5 species (see Fig. 2, Table 3). Nest structure may explain the difference, as the structure of subterranean nests varies depending on the ant species (Tschinkel 2003). After our experiments, we excavated all of the nests to see the nest structure (data not shown). We found that three ant species *H. venator*, *O. rixosus*, and *P. plagia*ria, with higher nest CO₂ efflux than others, built a simple nest with a big chamber and straight tunnels of relatively short distances (2 to 10 cm) (Fig. 6A). In contrast, the eight species that emit less nest CO₂ flux built a complex nest with many small chambers and long narrow tunnels connecting the chambers (Fig. 6B). The straight tunnel of the three former species would facilitate CO₂ efflux, but CO₂ probably fail to emerge from the narrow complex tunnels and chambers in the eight (latter) species resulting in higher within nest concentrations.

The relationship between entrance hole diameter and nest CO₂ efflux from ant nests (Fig. 5) supported the idea that nest structure is an important factor in nest CO₂ efflux variations. There may be other reasons for these variations, including the number of ants in the colony, ant body size and behavior, indirect effect of ants on other CO₂ sources, and the phenology of each colony.

To understand the mechanisms of nest CO₂ efflux more clearly, future research should focus on the colony characteristics (e.g., population size and behavior), the respiration rates of different-sized ants, and the relationship between ant species and other CO₂ sources. Furthermore, we need to understand the impact of nest occupation area on the larger scale for each ant species and its variation to estimate the impact of ant nests on total soil CO₂ efflux at the ecosystem level under current and future climate conditions. Our result creates a paradigm for future studies of the mechanisms of total soil CO₂ efflux in tropical forests.
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