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I. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to examine the role of random fluctuations on the dynamics of growing wrinkled interfaces which are governed by non-linear equations of motion. We are interested in those examples for which the growth of a flat or smooth interface are inherently unstable. A famous example of such growth phenomena is provided by Laplacian growth patterns [1–3]. The experimental realization of such patterns is seen for example in Hele-Shaw cells [1] in which air or another low viscosity fluid is displacing oil or some other high viscosity fluid. Under normal conditions the advancing fronts do not remain flat; in channel geometries they form in time a stable finger whose width is determined by delicate effects that arise from the existence of surface tension. In radial geometry, the growth the interface forms a contorted and ramified fractal shape. A related phenomenon has been studied in a model equation for flame propagation which has the same linear stability properties as the Laplacian growth problem [4]. The physical problem in this case is that of pre-mixed flames which exist as self-sustaining fronts of exothermic chemical reactions in gaseous combustion. Experiments [5] on flame propagation in radial geometry show that the flame front accelerates as time goes on, and roughens with characteristic exponents. Both observations did not receive proper theoretical explanations. It is notable that the channel and radial growth are markedly different; the former leads to a single giant cusp in the moving front, whereas the latter exhibits infinitely many cusps that appear in a complex hierarchy as the flame front develops [6,7].

Analytic techniques to study such processes are available [8]. In the context of flame propagation [7,9–11], and in Laplacian growth in the zero surface-tension limit [12–14] one can examine solutions that are described in terms of poles in the complex plane. This description is very useful in providing a set of ordinary differential equations for the positions of the poles, from which one can deduce the geometry of the developing front in an extremely economical and efficient way. Unfortunately this description is not available in the case of Laplacian growth with surface tension, and this makes the flame propagation problem very attractive. However, it suffers from one fundamental drawback. For the noiseless equation the pole-dynamics always conserves the number of poles that existed in the initial conditions. As a result there is a final degree of ramification that is afforded by every set of initial conditions even in the radial geometry, and it is not obvious how to describe the continuing self-similar growth that is seen in experimental conditions or numerical simulations. Furthermore, as mentioned before, at least in the case of flame propagation one observes [5] an acceleration of the flame front with time. Such a phenomenon is impossible when the number of poles is conserved. It is therefore tempting to conjecture that noise may have an important role in affecting the actual growth phenomena that are observed in such systems. In fact, the effect of noise on unstable front dynamics has not been adequately addressed in the literature. From the point of view of analytic techniques noise can certainly generate new poles even if the initial conditions had a finite number of poles. The subject of pole dynamics with the existence of random noise, and the interaction between random fluctuations and deterministic front propagation are the main issues of this paper.

We opt to study the example of flame propagation rather than Laplacian growth, simply because the former has an analytic description in terms of poles also in the experimentally relevant case of finite viscosity. We choose to limit the present study to channel geometry. The reason is that in radial geometry it is more difficult to disentangle the effects of external noise from those of initial conditions. After all, initially the system can contain infinitely many poles, very far away near infinity in the complex plane (and therefore having an infinitely
small contribution to the interface). Since the growth of the radius changes the stability of the system, more and more of these poles might fall down to the real axis and become observable. In channel geometry the analysis of the effect of initial conditions is relatively straightforward, and one can understand it before focusing on the (more interesting) effects of external noise [9]. The basic reason for this is that in this geometry the noiseless steady state solution for the developed front is known analytically. As described in Section II, in a channel of width $L$ the steady-state solution is given in terms of $N(L)$ poles that are organized on a line parallel to the imaginary axis. It can be shown that for any number of poles in the initial conditions this is the only attractor of the pole dynamics. After the establishment of this steady state we can begin to systematically examine the effects of external noise on this solution. As stated before, in radial conditions there is no stable steady state with a finite number of poles, and the disentanglement of initial vs. external perturbations is less straightforward [7]. We believe nevertheless that the insights provided in this paper have relevance for radial growth as well and will be discussed in the final Section and in forthcoming publications.

We have a number of goals in this paper. Firstly, after introducing the pole decomposition, the pole dynamics, and the basic steady state, we will present a stability analysis of the solutions of the flame propagation problem in a channel geometry. It will be shown that the giant cusp solution is linearly stable, but non-linearly unstable. These results, which are described in Section III, can be obtained either by linearizing the dynamics around the giant cusp solutions in order to study the stability eigenvalues, or by examining perturbations in the form of poles in the complex plane. The main result of Section III is that there exists one Goldstone mode and two modes whose eigenvalues hit the real axis periodically when the system size $L$ increases. Thus the system is marginally stable at particular values of $L$, and it is always nonlinearly unstable, allowing finite size perturbations to introduce new poles into the system. This insight allows us to understand the relation between the system size and the effects of noise. In Section IV we discuss the relaxation dynamics that ensues after starting the system with “small” initial data. We study the coarsening process that leads in time to the final solution of the giant cusp, and understand from this what are the typical time scales that exist in our dynamics. We offer in this Section some results of numerical simulations that are interpreted in the later sections. In Section V we focus on the phenomenon of acceleration of the flame front and its relation to the existence of noise. In noiseless conditions the velocity of the flame front in a finite channel is bounded [9]. This can be shown either by using the pole dynamics or directly from the equation of motion. We will present the results of numerical simulations where the noise is controlled, and show how the velocity of the flame front is affected by the level of the noise and the system size. The main results are: (i) Noise is responsible for introducing new poles to the system; (ii) For low levels of noise the velocity of the flame front scales with the system size with a characteristic exponent; (iii) There is a phase transition at a sharp (but system-size dependent) value of the noise-level, after which the behavior of the system changes qualitatively; (iv) After the phase transition the velocity of the flame front changes very rapidly with the noise level. In the last Section we remark on the implications of these observations for the scaling behavior of the radial growth problem, and present a summary and conclusions.

**II. EQUATIONS OF MOTION AND POLE-DECOMPOSITION IN THE CHANNEL GEOMETRY**

It is known that planar flames freely propagating through initially motionless homogeneous combustible mixtures are intrinsically unstable. It was reported that such flames develop characteristic structures which include cusps, and that under usual experimental conditions the flame front accelerates as time goes on. A model in $1 + 1$ dimensions that pertains to the propagation of flame fronts in channels of width $\tilde{L}$ was proposed in [4]. It is written in terms of position $h(x, t)$ of the flame front above the $x$-axis. After appropriate rescalings it takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial h(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial h(x, t)}{\partial x} \right]^2 + \nu \frac{\partial^2 h(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + I\{h(x, t)\} + 1 .$$

(1)

The domain is $0 < x < \tilde{L}$, $\nu$ is a parameter and we use periodic boundary conditions. The functional $I[h(x, t)]$ is the Hilbert transform which is conveniently defined in terms of the spatial Fourier transform

$$h(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \tilde{h}(k, t) dk$$

(2)

$$I[h(k, t)] = |k| \tilde{h}(k, t)$$

(3)

For the purpose of introducing the pole-decomposition it is convenient to rescale the domain to $0 < \theta < 2\pi$. Performing this rescaling and denoting the resulting quantities with the same notation we have

$$\frac{\partial h(\theta, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2L^2} \left[ \frac{\partial h(\theta, t)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 + \nu \frac{\partial^2 h(\theta, t)}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{L} I\{h(\theta, t)\} + 1 .$$

(4)

In this equation $L = \tilde{L}/2\pi$. Next we change variables to $u(\theta, t) = \partial h(\theta, t)/\partial \theta$. We find
\[
\frac{\partial u(\theta, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{u(\theta, t)}{L^2} \frac{\partial u(\theta, t)}{\partial \theta} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u(\theta, t)}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{L} I\{u(\theta, t)\}.
\]

(5)

It is well known that the flat front solution of this equation is linearly unstable. The linear spectrum in \(k\)-representation is

\[
\omega_k = |k|/L - \nu k^2/L^2.
\]

(6)

There exists a typical scale \(k_{\text{max}}\) which is the last unstable mode

\[
k_{\text{max}} = \frac{L}{\nu}.
\]

(7)

Nonlinear effects stabilize a new steady-state which is discussed next.

The outstanding feature of the solutions of this equation is the appearance of cusp-like structures in the developing fronts. Therefore a representation in terms of Fourier modes is very inefficient. Rather, it appears very worthwhile to represent such solutions in terms of sums of functions of poles in the complex plane. It will be shown below that the position of the cusp along the front is determined by the real coordinate of the pole, whereas the height of the cusp is in correspondence with the imaginary coordinate. Moreover, it will be seen that the dynamics of the developing front can be usefully described in terms of the dynamics of the poles. Following [8,9,11,7] we expand the solutions \(u(\theta, t)\) in functions that depend on \(N\) poles whose position \(z_j(t) \equiv x_j(t) + iy_j(t)\) in the complex plane is time dependent:

\[
u \sum_{j=1}^N \cot \left[ \frac{\theta - x_j(t)}{2} \right] + \text{c.c.}
\]

(8)

\[
u \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{2 \sin[\theta - x_j(t)]}{\cosh[y_j(t)] - \cos[\theta - x_j(t)]},
\]

(8)

\[
h(\theta, t) = 2 \nu \sum_{j=1}^N \ln \left[ \cosh(y_j(t)) - \cos(\theta - x_j(t)) \right] + C(t).
\]

(9)

In (9) \(C(t)\) is a function of time. The function (9) is a superposition of quasi-cusps (i.e. cusps that are rounded at the tip). The real part of the pole position (i.e. \(x_j\)) is the coordinate (in the domain \([0, 2\pi]\)) of the maximum of the quasi-cusp, and the imaginary part of the pole position (i.e \(y_j\)) is related to the depth of the quasi-cusp. As \(y_j\) decreases the depth of the cusp increases. As \(y_j \to 0\) the depth diverges to infinity. Conversely, when \(y_j \to \infty\) the depth decreases to zero.

The main advantage of this representation is that the propagation and wrinkling of the front can be described via the dynamics of the poles. Substituting (8) in (5) we derive the following ordinary differential equations for the positions of the poles:

\[
-L^2 \frac{dz_j}{dt} = \nu \sum_{k=1,k\neq j}^{2N} \cot \left( \frac{z_j - z_k}{2} \right) + i \frac{L}{2} \text{sign}[\text{Im}(z_j)].
\]

(10)

We note that in (8), due to the complex conjugation, we have \(2N\) poles which are arranged in pairs such that for \(j < N\) \(z_{j+N} = \bar{z}_j\). In the second sum in (8) each pair of poles contributed one term. In Eq.(10) we again employ \(2N\) poles since all of them interact. We can write the pole dynamics in terms of the real and imaginary parts \(x_j\) and \(y_j\). Because of the arrangement in pairs it is sufficient to write the equation for either \(y_j > 0\) or for \(y_j < 0\). We opt for the first. The equations for the positions of the poles read

\[
-L^2 \frac{dx_j}{dt} = \nu \sum_{k=1,k\neq j}^{N} \sin(x_j - x_k) \left[ \cosh(y_j - y_k) - \cos(x_j - x_k) \right]^{-1} + [\cosh(y_j + y_k) - \cos(x_j - x_k)]^{-1}
\]

(11)

\[
\frac{dy_j}{dt} = \nu \sum_{k=1,k\neq j}^{N} \left( \frac{\sinh(y_j - y_k)}{\cosh(y_j - y_k) - \cos(x_j - x_k)} + \frac{\sinh(y_j + y_k)}{\cosh(y_j + y_k) - \cos(x_j - x_k)} \right) + \nu \coth(y_j) - L.
\]

(12)

We note that if the initial conditions of the differential equation (5) are expandable in a finite number of poles, these equations of motion preserve this number as a function of time. On the other hand, this may be an unstable situation for the partial differential equation, and noise can change the number of poles. This issue will be examined at length in Section V. We turn now to a discussion of the steady state solution of the equations of the pole-dynamics.

**A. Qualitative properties of the stationary solution**

The steady-state solution of the flame front propagating in channels of width \(2\pi\) was presented in Ref. [9]. Using these results we can immediately translate the discussion to a channel of width \(L\). The main results are summarized as follows:

1. There is only one stable stationary solution which is geometrically represented by a giant cusp (or equivalently one finger) and analytically by \(N(L)\) poles which are aligned on one line parallel to the imaginary axis. The existence of this solution is made clearer with the following remarks.
2. There exists an attraction between the poles along the real line. This is obvious from Eq.(11) in which the sign of $dx_j/dt$ is always determined by $\sin(x_j-x_k)$. The resulting dynamics merges all the $x$ positions of poles whose $y$-position remains finite.

3. The $y$ positions are distinct, and the poles are aligned above each others in positions $y_{j-1} < y_j < y_{j+1}$ with the maximal being $y_{N(L)}$. This can be understood from Eq.(12) in which the interaction is seen to be repulsive at short ranges, but changes sign at longer ranges.

4. If one adds an additional pole to such a solution, this pole (or another) will be pushed to infinity along the imaginary axis. If the system has less than $N(L)$ poles it is unstable to the addition of poles, and any noise will drive the system towards this unique state. The number $N(L)$ is

$$N(L) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L}{\nu} + 1 \right) \right] , \quad (13)$$

where $\left[ \ldots \right]$ is the integer part. To see this consider a system with $N$ poles and such that all the values of $y_j$ satisfy the condition $0 < y_j < y_{\text{max}}$. Add now one additional pole whose coordinates are $z_a = (x_a, y_a)$ with $y_a > y_{\text{max}}$. From the equation of motion for $y_a$, (12) we see that the terms in the sum are all of the order of unity as is also the $\cot(y_a)$ term. Thus the equation of motion of $y_a$ is approximately

$$\frac{dy_a}{dt} \approx \nu \frac{2N + 1}{L^2} - \frac{1}{L} . \quad (14)$$

The fate of this pole depends on the number of other poles. If $N$ is too large the pole will run to infinity, whereas if $N$ is small the pole will be attracted towards the real axis. The condition for moving away to infinity is that $N > N(L)$ where $N(L)$ is given by (13). On the other hand the $y$ coordinate of the poles cannot hit zero. Zero is a repulsive line, and poles are pushed away from zero with infinite velocity. To see this consider a pole whose $y_j$ approaches zero. For any finite $L$ the term $\cot(y_j)$ grows unboundedly whereas all the other terms in Eq.(12) remain bounded.

5. The height of the cusp is proportional to $L$. The distribution of positions of the poles along the line of constant $x$ was worked out in [9].

We will refer to the solution with all these properties as the Thual-Frisch-Henon (TFH)-cusp solution. Next we turn to the stability analysis of this solution.

### III. LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS IN CHANNEL GEOMETRY

In this section we discuss the linear stability of the TFH-cusp solution. To this aim we first use Eq.(8) to write the steady solution $u_s(\theta)$ in the form:

$$u_s(\theta) = \nu \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{2 \sin[\theta - x_j]}{\cosh[y_j] - \cos[\theta - x_j]} , \quad (15)$$

where $x_s$ is the real (common) position of the stationary poles and $y_j$ their stationary imaginary position. To study the stability of this solution we need to determine the actual positions $y_j$. This is done numerically by integrating the equations of motion for the poles starting from $N$ poles in initial positions and waiting for relaxation. Next one perturbs this solution with a small perturbation $\phi(\theta, t)$: $u(\theta, t) = u_s(\theta) + \phi(\theta, t)$ . Linearizing the dynamics for small $\phi$ results in the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial \phi(\theta, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{L^2} \left[ \partial_\theta [u_s(\theta) \phi(\theta, t)] + \nu \partial_\theta^2 \phi(\theta, t) \right] + \frac{1}{L} I(\phi(\theta, t)) . \quad (16)$$

#### A. Fourier decomposition and eigenvalues

The linear equation can be decomposed in Fourier modes according to

$$\phi(\theta, t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_k(t) e^{ik\theta} \quad (17)$$

$$u_s(\theta) = -2\nu i \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \text{sign}(k) e^{-|k|y_j} e^{ik\theta} \quad (18)$$

In these sums the discrete $k$ values run over all the integers. Substituting in (16) we get the equations:

$$\frac{d\hat{\phi}_k(t)}{dt} = \sum_n a_{kn} \hat{\phi}_n(t) , \quad (19)$$

where $a_{kn}$ is an infinite matrix whose entries are given by

$$a_{kk} = \frac{|k|}{L} - \frac{\nu}{L^2} k^2 \quad (20)$$

$$a_{kn} = \frac{k}{L^2} \text{sign}(k-n) \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{-|k-n|y_j} \quad k \neq n . \quad (21)$$

To solve for the eigenvalues of this matrix we need to truncate it at some cutoff $k$-vector $k^*$. The choice of $k^*$ can be based on the linear stability analysis of the flat front. The scale $k_{\text{max}}$, cf. (7), is the largest $k$ which is still linearly unstable. We must choose $k^* > k_{\text{max}}$ and
test the choice by the convergence of the eigenvalues. The chosen value of \(k^*\) in our numerics was \(4k_{\text{max}}\). The results for the low order eigenvalues of the matrix \(a_{k\alpha}\) that were obtained from a converged numerical calculation are presented in Fig.1. The eigenvalues are multiplied by \(L^2\) and are plotted as a function of \(L\). We order the eigenvalues in decreasing order and denote them as \(\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \ldots\). The figure offers a number of qualitative observations:

1. There exists an obvious Goldstone or translational mode \(u'_s(\theta)\) with eigenvalue \(\lambda_0 = 0\), which is shown with rhombes in Fig.1. This eigenmode stems from the Galilean invariance of the equation of motion.

2. The eigenvalues oscillate periodically between values that are \(L\)-independent in this presentation (in which we multiply by \(L^2\)). In other words, up to the oscillatory behavior the eigenvalues depend on \(L\) like \(L^{-2}\).

3. The eigenvalues \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\), which are represented by squares and circles in Fig.1, hit zero periodically. The functional dependence in this presentation appears almost piecewise linear.

4. The higher eigenvalues also exhibit similar qualitative behavior, but without reaching zero. We note that the solution becomes marginally stable for every value of \(L\) for which the eigenvalues \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) hit zero. The \(L^{-2}\) dependence of the spectrum indicates that the solution becomes more and more sensitive to noise as \(L\) increases.

**B. Qualitative understanding using pole-analysis**

The most interesting qualitative aspects are those enumerated above as item 2 and 3. To understand them it is useful to return to the pole description, and to focus on Eq.(14). This equation describes the dynamics of a single far-away pole. We remarked before that this equation shows that for fixed \(L\) the stable number of poles is the integer part (13). Define now the number \(\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\), according to

\[
\alpha = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L}{\nu} + 1 \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L}{\nu} - 1 \right).
\]

Using this number we rewrite Eq.(14) as

\[
\frac{dy_\alpha}{dt} \propto \frac{2\nu}{L^2\alpha}.
\]

As \(L\) increases, \(\alpha\) oscillates piecewise linearly and periodically between zero and unity. This shows that a distant pole which is added to the giant cusp solution is usually repelled to infinity except when \(\alpha\) hits zero and the system becomes marginally unstable to the addition of a new pole.

To connect this to the linear stability analysis we note from Eq.(8) that a single far-away pole solution (i.e with \(y\) very large) can be written as

\[
u(\theta, t) = 4\nu e^{-y(t)} \sin(\theta - x(t)).
\]

Suppose that we add to our giant cusp solution a perturbation of this functional form. From Eq.(23) we know that \(y\) grows linearly in time, and therefore this solution decays exponentially in time. The rate of decay is a linear eigenvalue of the stability problem, and from Eq.(23) we understand both the \(1/L^2\) dependence and the periodic marginality. We should note that this way of thinking gives us a significant part of the \(L\) dependence of the eigenvalues, but not all. The variable \(\alpha\) is rising from zero to unity periodically, but after reaching unity it hits zero instantly. Accordingly, if the highest non zero eigenvalue were fully determined by the pole analysis, we would expect this eigenvalue to behave as the solid line shown in Fig.2. The actual highest eigenvalue computed from the stability matrix is shown in rhombes connected by dotted line. It is clear that the pole analysis gives us a great deal of qualitative and quantitative understanding, but not all the features agree.

**C. Dynamics near marginality**

The discovery of marginality at isolated values of \(L\) poses questions regarding the fate of poles that are added at very large \(y\)’s at certain \(x\)-positions. We will argue now that when the system becomes marginally stable, a new pole can be added to those existing in the giant cusp. We remember that these poles have a common \(\theta\) position that we denote as \(\theta = \theta_c\). The fate of a new pole added at infinity depends on its \(\theta\) position. If the position of the new pole is again denoted as \(y_a\), and \(\infty \gg y_a \gg y_{\text{max}}\), we can see from Eq.(12) that \(dy_a/dt\) is maximal when \(\theta_a = \theta_c\), whereas it is minimal when \(\theta_a - \theta_c = \pi\). This follows from the fact that the cosine term has a value +1 when \(\theta_a = \theta_c\), and a value -1 when \(\theta_a - \theta_c = \pi\). For large \(y\) differences the terms in the sum take on their minimal value when the cos term is -1 and their maximal values at +1. For infinitely large \(y_a\) the equation of motion is (14) which is independent of \(\theta_a\). Since the RHS of this equation becomes zero at marginality, we conclude that for very large but finite \(y_a\), \(dy_a/dt\) changes sign from positive to negative when \(\theta_a - \theta_c\) changes from zero to \(\pi\). The meaning of this observation is that the most unstable points in the system are those points which are furthest away from the giant cusp. It is interesting to discuss the fate of a pole that is added to the system at such a position. From the point of view of the pole dynamics \(\theta = \theta_c + \pi\) is an unstable fixed point for the motion.
along the $\theta$ axis. The attraction to the giant cusp exactly vanishes at this point. If we start with a pole at a very large $y$, close to this value of $\theta$, the down-fall along the $y$ coordinate will be faster than the lateral motion towards the giant cusp. We expect to see therefore the creation of a small cusp at $\theta$ values close to $\pi$ that precedes a later stage of motion in which the small cusp moves to merge with the giant cusp. Upon the approach of the new pole to the giant cusp all the existing poles will move up and the furthest pole at $y_{\text{max}}$ will be kicked off to infinity. We will later explain that this type of dynamics occurs in stable systems that are driven by noise. The noise generates far away poles (in the imaginary direction) that get attracted around $\theta = \theta_c + \pi$ to create small cusps that run continuously towards the giant cusp.

D. Nonlinear Stability

The intuition gained so far can be used to discuss the issue of stability of a stable system to larger perturbations. In other words, we may want to add to the system poles at finite values of $y$ and ask about their fate. We first show in this subsection that poles whose initial $y$ value is below $y_{\text{max}} \sim \log(L^2/\nu^2)$ will be attracted towards the real axis. The scenario is similar to the one described in the last paragraph.

Suppose that we generate a stable system with a giant cusp at $\theta_c = 0$ with poles distributed along the $y$ axis up to $y_{\text{max}}$. We know that the sum of all the forces that act on the upper pole is zero. Consider then an additional pole inserted in the position $(\pi, y_{\text{max}})$. It is obvious from Eq.(12) that the forces acting on this pole will pull it downward. On the other hand if its initial position is much above $y_{\text{max}}$ the force on it will be repulsive towards infinity. We see that this simple argument identifies $y_{\text{max}}$ as the typical scale for nonlinear instability.

Next we estimate $y_{\text{max}}$ and interpret our result in terms of the amplitude of a perturbation of the flame front. We explained that uppermost pole’s position fluctuates between a minimal value and infinity as $L$ is changing. We want to estimate the characteristic scale of the minimal value of $y_{\text{max}}(L)$. To this aim we employ the result of ref. [9] regarding the stable distribution of pole positions in a stable large system. The parametrization of [9] differs from ours; to go from our parametrization in Eq.(5) to theirs we need to rescale $u$ by $L^{-1}$ and $t$ by $L$. The parameter $\nu$ in their parametrization is $\nu/L$ in ours. According to [9] the number of poles between $y$ and $y + dy$ is given by the $\rho(y)dy$ where the density $\rho(y)$ is

$$\rho(y) = \frac{L}{\pi^2 \nu} \ln[\coth(|y|/4)] , \quad (25)$$

To estimate the minimal value of $y_{\text{max}}$ we require that the tail of the distribution $\rho(y)$ integrated between this value and infinity will allow one single pole. In other words,

$$\int_{y_{\text{max}}}^{\infty} dy \rho(y) \approx 1. \quad (26)$$

Expanding (25) for large $y$ and integrating explicitly the result in (26) we end up with the estimate

$$y_{\text{max}} \approx 2 \ln \left[ \frac{4L \nu}{\pi^2} \right] \quad (27)$$

For large $L$ this result is $y_{\text{max}} \approx \ln(\frac{L^2}{\nu^2})$. If we now add an additional pole in the position $(\theta, y_{\text{max}})$ this is equivalent to perturbing the solution $u(\theta,t)$ with a function $\nu e^{-y_{\text{max}}(\sin(\theta))}$, as can be seen directly from (8). We thus conclude that the system is unstable to a perturbation larger than

$$u(\theta) \sim \nu^3 \sin(\theta)/L^2 . \quad (28)$$

This indicates a very strong size dependence of the sensitivity of the giant cusp solution to external perturbations. This will be an important ingredient in our discussion of noisy systems.

IV. INITIAL CONDITIONS, POLE DECOMPOSITION AND COARSENING

In this section we show first that any initial conditions can be approximated by pole decomposition. Later, we show that the dynamics of sufficiently smooth initial data can be well understood from the pole decomposition. Finally, we employ this picture to describe the inverse cascade of cusps into the giant cusp which is the final steady state. By inverse cascade we mean a nonlinear coarsening process in which the small scales coalesce in favor of larger scales and finally the system saturates at the last available scale [16].

A. Pole Expansion: General Comments

The fundamental question is how many poles are needed to describe any given initial condition. The answer, of course, depends on how smooth are the initial conditions. Suppose also that we have an initial function $u(\theta,t=0)$ that is $2\pi$-periodic and which at time $t = 0$ admits a Fourier representation

$$u(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \sin (k \theta + \phi_k) , \quad (29)$$

with $A_k > 0$ for all $k$. Suppose that we want to find a pole-decomposition representation $u_p(\theta)$ such that

$$|u_p(\theta) - u(\theta)| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for every} \ \theta , \quad (30)$$
where $\epsilon$ is a given wanted accuracy. If $u(\theta)$ is differentiable we can cut the Fourier expansion at some finite $k = K$ knowing that the remainder is smaller than, say, $\epsilon/2$. Choose now a large number $M$ and a small number $\Delta \ll 1/M$ and write the pole representation for $u_p(\theta)$ as

$$u_p(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \frac{2k \sin (k\theta + \phi_k)}{\cosh[k(y_k + p\Delta)] - \cos(k\theta + \phi_k)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

To see that this representation is a particular form of the general formula (8) We use the following two identities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-kt} \sin xk = \frac{1}{2} \sin x \frac{\sin x}{\cosh t - \cos x}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (32)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sin(x + ky) = \sin(x + \frac{K-1}{2} y) \frac{\sin K y}{2 \csc y}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (33)

From these follows a third identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \frac{2 \sin (x - \frac{2\pi j}{K} + \phi)}{\cosh y - \cos (x - \frac{2\pi j}{K} + \phi)} = \frac{2K \sin (Kx + \phi)}{\cosh KY - \cos (Kx + \phi)}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (34)

Note that the LHS of (34) is of the form (8) with $K$ poles whose positions are all on the line $y_j = y$ and whose $x_j$ are on the lattice points $2\pi j/K - \phi$. On the other hand every term in (31) is of this form.

Next we use (32) to rewrite (31) in the form

$$u_p(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4ke^{-nk(y_k + p\Delta)} \sin (nk\theta + n\phi_k).$$ \hspace{1cm} (35)

Exchanging order of summation between $n$ and $p$ we can perform the geometric sum on $p$. Denoting

$$b_{n,k} \equiv \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} e^{-nkp\Delta} = \frac{1 - e^{-Mkn\Delta}}{1 - e^{-k\Delta}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (36)

we find

$$u_p(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4kb_{n,k} e^{-nk\theta} \sin (nk\theta + n\phi_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 4kb_{n,k} e^{-nk\theta} \sin (nk\theta + n\phi_k)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{K} 4kb_{1,k} e^{-k\theta} \sin (k\theta + \phi_k).$$ \hspace{1cm} (37)

Compare now the second term on the RHS of (37) with (29). We can identify

$$e^{-k\theta} = \frac{A_k}{4kb_{1,k}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (38)

The first term can be then bound from above as

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 4kb_{n,k} e^{-nk\theta} \sin (nk\theta + n\phi_k) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 4kb_{n,k} \left| \frac{A_k}{4kb_{1,k}} \right|^n \sin (nk\theta + n\phi_k).$$ \hspace{1cm} (39)

The sine function and the factor $(4K)^{1-n}$ can be replaced by unity and we can bound the RHS of (39) by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{A_k}{b_{1,k}} \right|^n b_{n,k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{A_k}{b_{1,k}} \right|^n,$$ \hspace{1cm} (40)

where we have used the fact that $b_{n,k} \leq b_{1,k}$ which follows directly from (36). Using now the facts that $b_{1,K} \leq b_{1,k}$ for every $k \leq K$ and that $A_k$ is bounded by some finite $C$ since it is a Fourier coefficient, we can bound (40) by $C^2K/(b_{1,k} - C)$. Since we can select the free parameters $\Delta$ and $M$ to make $b_{1,K}$ as large as we want, we can make the remainder series smaller in absolute value than $\epsilon/2$.

The conclusion of this demonstration is that any initial condition that can be represented in Fourier series can be approximated to a desired accuracy by pole-decomposition. The number of needed poles is of the order $K^2 \times M$. Of course, the number of poles thus generated by the initial conditions may exceed the number $N(L)$ found in Eq.(13). In such a case the excess poles will move to infinity and will become irrelevant for the short time dynamics. Thus a smaller number of poles may be needed to describe the state at larger times than at $t = 0$. We need to stress at this point that the pole decomposition is over complete; for example, if there is exactly one pole at $t = 0$ and we use the above technique to reach a pole decomposition we would get a large number of poles in our representation.

B. The initial stages of the front evolution: the exponential stage and the inverse cascade

In this section we employ the connection between Fourier expansion and pole decomposition to understand the initial exponential stage of the evolution of the flame front with small initial data $u(\theta, t = 0)$. Next we employ our knowledge of the pole interactions to explain the slow dynamics of coarsening into the steady state solution.

Suppose that initially the expansion (29) is available with all the coefficients $A_k \ll 1$. We know from the linear instability of the flat flame front that each Fourier component changes exponentially in time according to the
linear spectrum (6). The components with wave vector larger than (7) decrease, whereas those with lower wave vectors increase. The fastest growing mode is \( k_c = L/2\nu \).

In the linear stage of growth this mode will dominate the shape of the flame front, i.e.

\[ u(\theta, t) \approx A k_c e^{\omega k_c^2 t} \sin(k_c \theta). \]  

(41)

Using Eq.(34) for a large value of \( y \) (which is equivalent to small \( A k_c \)) we see that to the order of \( O(A^2 k_c) \) (41) can be represented as a sum over \( L/2\nu \) poles arranged periodically along the \( \theta \) axis. Other unstable modes will contribute similar arrays of poles but at much higher values of \( y \), since their amplitude is exponentially smaller. In addition we have nonlinear corrections to the identification of the modes in terms of poles. These corrections can be again expanded in terms of Fourier modes, and again identified with poles, which will be further away along the \( y \) axis, and with higher frequencies. To see this one can use Eq.(37), subtract from \( u(\theta) \) the leading pole representations, and reexpand in Fourier series. Then we identify the leading order with double the number of poles that are situated twice further away along the \( y \) axis.

We note that even when all the unstable modes are present, the number of poles in the first order identification is finite for finite \( L \), since there are only \( L/\nu \) unstable modes. Counting the number of poles that each mode introduces we get a total number of \( \left(L/\nu\right)^2 \) poles. The number \( L/2\nu \) of poles which are associated with the most unstable mode is precisely the number allowed in the stable stationary solution, cf.(13). When the poles approach the real axis and cusps begin to develop, the linear analysis no longer holds, but the pole description does.

We now describe the qualitative scenario for the establishment of the steady state. Firstly, we understand that all the poles that belong to less unstable modes will be pushed towards infinity. To see this think of the system at this stage as an array of uncoupled systems with a scale of the order of unity. Each such system will have a characteristic value of \( y \). As we discussed before poles that are further away along the \( y \) axis will be pushed to infinity. Therefore the system will remain with the \( L/2\nu \) poles of the most unstable mode. The net effect of the poles belonging to the (nonlinearly) stable modes is to destroy the otherwise perfect periodicity of the poles of the unstable mode. The see the effect of the higher order correction to the pole identification we again recall that they can be represented as further away poles with higher frequencies, whose dynamics is similar to the less unstable modes that were just discussed. They do not become more relevant when time goes on.

Once the poles of the stable modes get sufficiently far from the real axis, the dynamics of the remaining poles will begin to develop according to the interactions that are directed along the real axis. These interactions are much weaker and the resulting dynamics occur on much longer time scales. The qualitative picture is of an inverse cascade of merging the \( \theta \) positions of the poles. We note that the system has a set of unstable fixed points which are ‘cellular solutions’ described by a periodic arrangement of poles along the real axis with a frequency \( k \).

These fixed points are not stable and they collapse, under perturbations, with a characteristic time scale \( \text{(that depends on } k \text{)} \) to the next unstable fixed point at \( k' = k/2 \). This process then goes on indefinitely until \( k \sim 1/L \) i.e. we reach the giant cusp, the steady-state stable solution [16].

This scenario is seen very clearly in the numerical simulations. In Fig.3 we show the time evolution of the flame front starting from small white-noise initial conditions. The bottom curve pertains to the earliest time in this picture, just after the fast exponential growth, and one sees clearly the periodic array of cusps that form. The successive images show the progress of the flame front in time, and one observes the development of larger scales with deeper cusps that represent the partial coalescence of poles onto the same \( \theta \) positions. In Fig.4 we show the width and the velocity of this front as a function of time. One recognizes the exponential stage of growth in which the \( L/2\nu \) approach the \( \theta \) axis, and then a clear cross-over to much slower dynamics in which the effective scale in the system grows with a slower rate.

The slow dynamics stage can be understood qualitatively using the previous interpretation of the cascade as follows: if the initial number of poles belonging to the unstable mode is \( L/2\nu \), the initial effective linear scale is \( 2\nu \). Thus the first step of the inverse cascade will be completed in a time scale of the order of \( 2\nu \). At this point the effective linear scale doubles to \( 4\nu \), and the second step will be completed after such a time scale. We want to know what is the typical length scale \( l_t \) seen in the system at time \( t \). The typical width of the system at this stage will be proportional to this scale.

Denote the number of cascade steps that took place until this scale is achieved by \( s_t \). The total time elapsed, \( t(l_t) \) is the sum

\[ t(l_t) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{s_t} 2^i. \]  

(42)

The geometric sum is dominated by the largest term and we therefore estimate \( t(l_t) \approx t_t \). We conclude that the scale and the width are linear in the time elapsed from the initial conditions \( (l_t \sim t, \text{ } \zeta = 1) \). In noiseless simulations we find (see Fig.4) a value of \( \zeta \) which is \( \zeta \approx 0.95 \pm 0.1 \).
C. Inverse cascade in the presence of noise

An interesting consequence of the discussion in the last section is that the inverse cascade process is an effective “clock” that measures the typical time scales in this system. For future purposes we need to know the typical time scales when the dynamics is perturbed by random noise. To this aim we ran simulations following the inverse cascade in the presence of external noise. The main result that will be used in later arguments is that now the appearance of a typical scale \( l_t \) occurs not after time \( t \), but rather according to

\[ l_t \sim t^\zeta \, , \, \zeta \approx 1.2 \pm 0.1 \ . \] (43)

The numerical confirmation of this law is exhibited in Fig.5. We also find that the front velocity in this case increases with time according to

\[ v \sim t^\gamma \, , \, \gamma \approx 0.48 \pm 0.05 . \] (44)

This result will be related to the acceleration of the flame front in noisy simulations, as will be seen in the next Sections. The result (43) will be helpful in Section V C in estimating the values of the scaling exponents.

V. ACCELERATION OF THE FLAME FRONT, POLE DYNAMICS AND NOISE

A major motivation of this Section is the observation that in radial geometry the same equation of motion shows an acceleration of the flame front. The aim of this section is to argue that this phenomenon is caused by the noisy generation of new poles. Moreover, it is our contention that a great deal can be learned about the acceleration in radial geometry by considering the effect of noise in channel growth. In Ref. [9] it was shown that any initial condition which is represented in poles goes to a unique stationary state which is the giant cusp which propagates with a constant velocity \( v = 1/2 \) up to small \( 1/L \) corrections. In light of our discussion of the last section we expect that any smooth enough initial condition will go to the same stationary state. Thus if there is no noise in the dynamics of a finite channel, no acceleration of the flame front is possible. What happens if we add noise to the system?

For concreteness we introduce an additive white-noise term \( \eta(\theta, t) \) to the equation of motion (5) where

\[ \eta(\theta, t) = \sum_k \eta_k(t) \exp(ik\theta) \ , \] (45)

and the Fourier amplitudes \( \eta_k \) are correlated according to

\[ < \eta_k(t) \eta_{k'}^*(t') > = f \delta(k-k')\delta(t-t') . \] (46)

We will first examine the result of numerical simulations of noise-driven dynamics, and later return to the theoretical analysis.

A. Noisy Simulations

Previous numerical investigations [6,15] did not introduce noise in a controlled fashion. We will argue later that some of the phenomena encountered in these simulations can be ascribed to the (uncontrolled) numerical noise. We performed numerical simulations of Eq.(5 using a pseudo-spectral method. The time-stepping scheme was chosen as Adams-Bashforth with 2nd order precision in time. The additive white noise was generated in Fourier-space by choosing \( \eta_k \) for every \( k \) from a flat distribution in the interval \([-\sqrt{2f}, \sqrt{2f}]\). We examined the average steady state velocity of the front as a function of \( L \) for fixed \( f \) and as a function of \( f \) for fixed \( L \). We found the interesting phenomena that are summarized here:

1. When the noise level \( f \) is fixed the average velocity \( v \) increases with \( L \), see Fig.6. There are two different regimes of this behavior. For sufficiently small values of \( f \) and \( L \) we observe a power law dependence of \( v \) on \( L \):

\[ v \sim L^\mu , \, \mu \approx 0.42 \pm 0.03 . \] (47)

For large values of \( f \) and \( L \) the dependence of \( v \) on \( L \) is much stronger, \( \mu > 1.5 \), but we cannot estimate it well because of lack of dynamical range. For a given value of \( f \) the transition between the two regimes appears upon increasing \( L \), and we denote the critical value as \( L_c(f) \), see Fig.7. The data indicates that

\[ L_c(f) \sim f^{-\alpha} , \] (48)

with \( \alpha \approx 1.0 \pm 0.2 \).

2. When the system size \( L \) is fixed the average velocity depends on \( f \) as

\[ v \sim f^\xi . \] (49)

For sufficiently small value of \( f \) this dependence is very weak, and \( \xi \approx 0.02 \), see Fig.8. For large values of \( f \) the dependence is much stronger, \( \xi = 1 \pm 0.1 \).

3. The locus separating weaker dependencies of \( v \) on \( L \) and \( f \) (regime I) from stronger dependencies (regime II) is shown in Fig.7. The giant cusp remains recognizable in both regimes. In regime I one observes a series of small cusps superposed on the structure of the giant cusp. In Fig.9 we show a set of interfaces with a growing size and with the
same noise. One can observe a strong increase in
the number of cusps and the complexity of their ar-
rangements as the system size increases. In regime
II there are strong fluctuations in the gradient field
\( u(\theta, t) \), see Fig.10.

4. Measurements of the width of the front indicate
that it has a very weak dependence on \( f \) for fixed
\( L \) in regime I.

B. Theoretical Discussion of the Effect of Noise

1. The Threshold of Instability to Added Noise

First we present the theoretical arguments that explain
the sensitivity of the giant cusp solution to the effect of
added noise. This sensitivity increases dramatically with
increasing the system size \( L \). To see this we use again
the relationship between the linear stability analysis and
the pole dynamics.

Our additive noise introduces perturbations with all
\( k \)-vectors. We showed previously that the most unsta-
ble mode is the \( k = 1 \) component \( A_1 \sin(\theta) \). Thus the
most effective noisy perturbation is \( \eta_1 \sin(\theta) \) which can
potentially lead to a growth of the most unstable mode.
Whether or not this mode will grow depends on the
amplitude of the noise. To see this clearly we return to the
pole description. For small values of the amplitude \( A_1 \)
we represent \( A_1 \sin(\theta) \) as a single pole solution of the
functional form \( \nu \cdot \sin(\theta) \). The \( y \) position is determined
from \( y = -\log |A_1|/\nu \), and the \( \theta \)-position is \( \theta = \pi \) for
positive \( A_1 \) and \( \theta = 0 \) for negative \( A_1 \). From the analysis
of Section III we know that for very small \( A_1 \) the fate
of the pole is to be pushed to infinity, independently of
its \( \theta \) position; the dynamics is symmetric in \( A_1 \rightarrow -A_1 \)
when \( y \) is large enough. On the other hand when the
value of \( A_1 \) increases the symmetry is broken and the \( \theta 
position and the sign of \( A_1 \) become very important. If
\( A_1 > 0 \) there is a threshold value of \( y \) below which the
pole is attracted down. On the other hand if \( A_1 < 0 \), and
\( \theta = 0 \) the repulsion from the poles of the giant cusp
grows with decreasing \( y \). We thus understand that qualita-
tively speaking the dynamics of \( A_1 \) is characterized by
an asymmetric “potential” according to

\[
\dot{A}_1 = -\frac{\partial V(A_1)}{\partial A_1},
\]

\[
V(A_1) = \lambda A_1^2 - a A_1^3 + \ldots .
\]

The conclusion is that any arbitrarily tiny noise becomes
effective when the system size increase and when \( \nu \)
decreases. If we drive the system with noise of amplitude
\( f \) the system can always be sensitive to this noise when
its size exceeds a critical value \( L_c \) that is determined by
\( f \sim \nu^2/L^6 \). For \( L > L_c \) the noise will introduce new
poles into the system. Even numerical noise in simula-
tions involving large size systems may have a macroscopic
influence.

The appearance of new poles must increase the velocity
of the front. The velocity is proportional to the mean
of \( (u/L)^2 \). New poles distort the giant cusp by additional
smaller cusps on the wings of the giant cusp, increasing
\( u^2 \). Upon increasing the noise amplitude more and more
smaller cusps appear in the front, and inevitably the ve-
locity increases. This phenomenon is discussed quantita-
tively in Section V.

2. The Noisy Steady State and its Collapse with Large Noise
   and System Size

In this subsection we discuss the response of the giant
cusp solution to noise levels that are able to introduce a
large number of excess poles in addition to those exist-
ing in the giant cusp. We will denote the excess number
of poles by \( \delta N \). The first question that we address is
how difficult is it to insert yet an additional pole when
there is already a given excess \( \delta N \). To this aim we esti-
mate the effective potential \( V_{\delta N}(A_1) \) which is similar to
(51) but is taking into account the existence of an excess
number of poles. A basic approximation that we employ
is that the fundamental form of the giant cusp solution
is not seriously modified by the existence of an excess
number of poles. Of course this approximation breaks
down quantitatively already with one excess pole. Qualita-
tively however it holds well until the excess number of
poles is of the order of the original number \( N(L) \) of the
giant cusp solution. Another approximation is that the
rest of the linear modes play no role in this case. At this
point we limit the discussion therefore to the situation
\( \delta N \ll N(L) \).

To estimate the parameter \( \lambda \) in the effective potential
we consider the dynamics of one pole whose \( y \) position

\[
R \sim \frac{\nu}{L^2} \exp^{-\nu^2/fL^6}.
\]
\[ \frac{dy_a}{dt} \approx \frac{2\nu(N(L) + \delta N)}{L^2} - \frac{1}{L} \]  \hspace{1cm} (55)

Since the \( N(L) \) term cancels against the \( L^{-1} \) term (cf. Sec. II A), we remain with a repulsive term that in the effective potential translates to

\[ \lambda = \frac{\nu \delta N}{L^2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (56)

Next we estimate the value of the potential at the break-even point between attraction and repulsion. In the last subsection we saw that a foreign pole has to be inserted below \( y_{\text{max}} \) in order to be attracted towards the real axis. Now we need to push the new pole below the position of the existing pole whose index is \( N(L) - \delta N \). This position is estimated as in Sec III C by employing the TFH distribution function (25). We find

\[ y_{\delta N} \approx 2 \ln \left[ \frac{4L}{\pi^2 \nu \nu \delta N} \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (57)

As before, this implies a threshold value of the amplitude of single pole solution \( A_{\text{max}} \sin \theta \) which is obtained from equating \( A_{\text{max}} = \nu e^{\nu \delta N} \). We thus find in the present case \( A_{\text{max}} \sim \nu^3(\delta N)^2/L^2 \). Using again a cubic representation for the effective potential we find \( a = 2/(3\nu^2 \delta N) \) and

\[ V(A_{\text{max}}) = \frac{1}{3} \nu^7(\delta N)^5/L^6. \]  \hspace{1cm} (58)

Repeating the calculation of the escape rate over the potential barrier we find in the present case

\[ R \sim \frac{\nu \delta N}{L^2} \exp^{-\nu^7(\delta N)^5/LL^6}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (59)

For a given noise amplitude \( f \) there is always a value of \( L \) and \( \nu \) for which the escape rate is of \( O(1) \) as long as \( \delta N \) is not too large. When \( \delta N \) increases the escape rate decreases, and eventually no additional poles can creep into the system. The typical number \( \delta N \) for fixed values of the parameters is estimated from equating the argument in the exponent to unity:

\[ \delta N \approx \left( fL^6/\nu^7 \right)^{1/5}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (60)

The most important consequence of this relation is that \( \delta N \) increases with \( L \) faster than \( N(L) \). Accordingly we expect a breakdown of this picture and of the weak noise behavior when \( \delta N \approx N(L) \), which is occurring when \( L \) reaches a critical value \( L_c(f) \) where

\[ L_c(f) \sim f^{-1}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (61)

This prediction is in good quantitative agreement with (48) supporting the analytical theory.

**C. The acceleration of the flame front due to noise**

In this section we estimate the scaling exponents that characterize the velocity of the flame front as a function of the system size. Our arguments in this section are even less solid than the previous ones, but nevertheless we believe that we succeed to capture some of the essential qualitative physics that underlies the interaction between noise and instability and which results in the acceleration of the flame front.

To estimate the velocity of the flame front we need to write down an equation for the mean of \( \langle dh/dt \rangle \) given an arbitrary number \( N \) of poles in the system. This equation follows directly from (4):

\[ \langle dh/dt \rangle = \frac{1}{L^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u^2 d\theta. \]  \hspace{1cm} (62)

After substitution of (8) in (62) we get, using (11) and (12)

\[ \langle dh/dt \rangle = 2\nu \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{dy_k}{dt} + 2 \left( \frac{\nu N}{L} - \frac{\nu^2 N^2}{L^2} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (63)

The estimates of the second and third terms in this equation are straightforward. Writing \( N = N(L) + \delta N(L) \) and remembering that \( N(L) = \nu/L \) we find that these terms contribute \(-\nu \delta N(L)/L \sim -L^{-1/5}\). The first term will contribute only when the current of poles is asymmetric. Since noise introduces poles at a finite value of \( y \), whereas the rejected poles stream towards infinity, we have an asymmetry that contributes to the velocity of the front. To estimate the first term we remind the reader of our discussion in Section IV C. In this problem the typical time-scale for the poles is the coalescence time of poles with an initial distance \( L \) in the \( x \) direction. In noiseless conditions the typical time scales like \( L \). In the presence of noise (cf. Eq.(43)) we found numerically in IV C that it scales like \( L^{5/2} \). Accordingly the typical flux of poles can be estimated as \( \delta N(L)/L^{5/2} \). Thus the current \( \sum y_k \) has a stronger dependence on \( L \), i.e \( L^{5/2-1/5} \). Taking the numerical value of \( \zeta = 1.2 \) we conclude that Eq.(63) predicts a scaling law (47) with \( \mu = 0.37 \), in reasonable agreement with the numerics.

We should stress at this point that the argument is not complete. Firstly, we used the inverse cascade measurement to invoke a typical time scale for the coalescence of poles by motion along the \( x \) axis when the distance between them is \( L \), and we used this time scale for the coalescence of poles in a system whose integral scale is \( L \). This can be only taken as a lower bound the exponent characterizing the time scale, because of the intervention of additional modes in the larger system. The simple identification is a sort of “single-mode” approximation in which the dynamics is carried by the most unstable mode only. Secondly, we measured the exponent relating the
velocity to the amplitude of external noise, cf. Eq.(49) and found that $\xi$ is considerably smaller than the value 1/5 which is predicted by the previous argument. This indicates that the typical time scale also has an explicit $f$ dependence which is physically plausible but it was not measured in our simulations.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The main two messages of this paper are: (i) There is an important interaction between the instability of developing fronts and random noise; (ii) This interaction and its implications can be understood qualitatively and sometimes quantitatively using the description in terms of complex poles.

The pole description is natural in this context firstly because it provides an exact (and effective) representation of the steady state without noise. Once one succeeds to describe also the perturbations about this steady state in terms of poles, one achieves a particularly transparent language for the study of the interplay between noise and instability. This language also allows us to describe in qualitative and semi-quantitative terms the inverse cascade process of increasing typical lengths when the system relaxes to the steady state from small, random initial conditions.

The main conceptual steps in this paper are as follows: firstly one realizes that the steady state solution, which is characterized by $N(L)$ poles aligned along the imaginary axis is marginally stable against noise in a periodic array of $L$ values. For all values of $L$ the steady state is nonlinearly unstable against noise. The main and foremost effect of noise of a given amplitude $f$ is to introduce an excess number of poles $\delta N(L, f)$ into the system. The existence of this excess number of poles is responsible for the additional wrinkling of the flame front on top of the giant cusp, and for the observed acceleration of the flame front. By considering the noisy appearance of new poles we rationalize the observed scaling laws as a function of the noise amplitude and the system size.

Theoretically we therefore concentrate on estimating $\delta N(L, f)$. The measurements do not test our theoretical consideration directly, but rather test the dependence of the velocity on $L$ and $f$. The only direct test for our theory is the critical line shown in Fig.7. The measured exponent is in accord with our analytic estimates. Nevertheless we note that some of our consideration are only qualitative. For example, we estimated $\delta N(L, f)$ by assuming that the giant cusp solution is not seriously perturbed. On the other hand we find a flux of poles going to infinity due to the introduction of poles at finite values of $y$ by the noise. The existence of poles spread between $y_{max}$ and infinity is a significant perturbation of the giant cusp solution. Thus also the comparison between the various scaling exponents measured and predicted must be done with caution; we cannot guarantee that those cases in which our prediction hit close to the measurement mean that the theory is quantitative. However we believe that our consideration extract the essential ingredients of a correct theory.

The “phase diagram” as a function of $L$ and $f$ in this system consists of three regimes. In the first one, discussed in Section V B 1, the noise is too small to have any effect on the giant cusp solution. In the second the noise introduces excess poles that serve to decorate the giant cusp with side cusps. In this regime we find scaling laws for the velocity as a function of $L$ and $f$ and we are reasonably successful in understanding the scaling exponents. In the third regime the noise is large enough to create small scale structures that are not neatly understood in terms of individual poles. It appears from our numerics that in this regime the roughening of the flame front gains a contribution from the the small scale structure in a way that is reminiscent of stable, noise driven growth models like the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang model.

One of our main motivations in this research was to understand the phenomena observed in radial geometry with expanding flame fronts. A full analysis of this problem cannot be presented here. We note however that many of the insights offered above translate immediately to that problem. Indeed, in radial geometry the flame front accelerates and cusps multiply and form a hierarchical structure as time progresses. Since the radius (and the typical scale) increase in this system all the time, new poles will be added to the system even by a vanishingly small noise. The marginal stability found above holds also in this case, and the system will allow the introduction of excess poles as a result of noise. The results discussed in Ref. [7] can be combined with the present insights to provide a theory of radial growth. This theory will be offered in a forthcoming publication.

Finally, the success of this approach in the case of flame propagation raises hope that Laplacian growth patterns may be dealt with using similar ideas. A problem of immediate interest is Laplacian growth in channels, in which a finger steady-state solution is known to exist. It may be dealt with using similar ideas. A problem of immediate interest is Laplacian growth in channels, in which a finger steady-state solution is known to exist. It is documented that the stability of such a finger solution to noise decreases rapidly with increasing the channel width. In addition, it is understood that noise brings about additional geometric features on top of the finger. There are enough similarities here to indicate that a careful analysis of the analytic theory may shed as much light on that problem as on the present one.
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Figures Legends

Fig.1: The first 10 highest eigenvalues of the stability matrix with $\nu = 1$, multiplied by the square of the system size $L^2$ vs. the system size $L$. Note that all the eigenvalues oscillate around fixed values in this presentation, and that the highest two eigenvalues hit zero periodically.

Fig.2: Comparison of the numerically determined highest 4 eigenvalues of the stability matrix with the prediction of the pole analysis. The eigenvalues of the stability matrix are $\lambda_0$ (squares), $\lambda_1$ (rhombi), $\lambda_2$ (triangles) and $\lambda_3$ (slanted triangles). The pole analysis (solid line) provides a qualitative understanding of the stability, and appears to overlap with the highest eigenvector over half of the range, and with the fourth eigenvalue over the other half.

Fig.3: The inverse cascade process of coarsening that occurs after preparing the system with random, small initial conditions. One sees that at successive times the typical scale increases until the giant cusp forms, and attracts all the other side-poles. The effect of the existing numerical additive noise is to introduce poles that appear as side cusps that are continuously attracted to the giant cusp. This effect is obvious to the eye only after the typical scale is sufficiently large, as is seen in the last time (see text for further details).

Fig.4: log-log plots of the front velocity (lower curve) and width (upper curve) as a function of time in the inverse cascade process seen in Fig.3 in a system of size 2000 and $\nu = 1$. Both quantities exhibit an initial exponential growth that turns to a power law growth (after $t \approx 30$). The velocity is constant after this time, and the width increases like $t^\gamma$. Note that at the earliest time there is a slight decrease in the velocity; this is due to the decay of linearly stable modes that exist in random initial conditions.

Fig.5: The same as Fig.4 but with additive random noise for a system of size 1000, $\nu = 0.1$ and $f = 10^{-13}$. The velocity does not saturate now, and the exponent $\zeta$ characterizing the increase of the width with time changes to $\zeta = 1.2 \pm 0.1$. The velocity increases in time like $t^\gamma$ with $\gamma \approx 0.48 \pm 0.04$.

Fig.6: log-log plot of the velocity as a function of system size for different values of the noise amplitude, $\sqrt{\mathcal{F}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-13}, 2.5 \times 10^{-9}, 2.5 \times 10^{-7}, 10^{-6}, 4 \times 10^{-6}, 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\nu = 0.1$. For low values of $f$ we observe a power law behavior, $v \sim L^\mu$, $\mu \approx 0.42 \pm 0.03$. For larger values of $f$ there is a cross over to a stronger dependence on the system size, see text for details.

Fig.7: The critical value of $L$ as a function of $f$ for which the transition from weaker to stronger dependence of $v$ on $L$ is observed, see Fig. 6. In regime I the noise is relatively small and the cusp picture is qualitatively correct. In regime II the noise is too large to leave the cusp picture intact, see text and Figures 9 and 10 for further details. The data indicates a power law as explained in the text.

Fig.8: log-log plot of the velocity vs the noise amplitude for different system sizes $L = 10, 20, 40$ and 80 respectively, $\nu = 0.1$ and $\sqrt{\mathcal{F}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$. One can observe that when the system size grows there are more cusps with a more complex structure.

Fig.9: Typical flame fronts in regime I of Fig.7 where the system is sufficiently small not to be terribly affected by the noise. The effect of noise in this regime is to add additional small cusps to the giant cusp. In figures a-d we present fronts for growing system sizes $L = 10, 20, 40$ and 80 respectively, $\nu = 0.1$ and $\sqrt{\mathcal{F}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$. One can observe that when the system size grows there are more cusps with a more complex structure.

Fig.10: A typical flame front in regime II of Fig.7. The system size is 160, and the noise amplitude is $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$. This is sufficient to cause a qualitative change in the appearance of the flame front: the noise introduces significant levels of small scales structure in addition to the cusps.
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