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Abstract

**Objective:** The aim of this study was to determine the factors affecting the success of vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) in patients with idiopathic unilateral vestibular hypofunction (UVH) and idiopathic bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH).

**Methods:** 30 patients with idiopathic UVH and 30 patients with idiopathic BVH were included in this prospective study. Visual analog scale (VAS) was used to evaluate the severity of the patients' complaints of dizziness. The duration of the complaint of dizziness was recorded. All patients underwent the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) before and after VRT. The severity, duration, and localization (unilateral/bilateral) of the vestibular hypofunction were compared with the efficacy of VRT.

**Results:** A significant decrease in DHI scores was observed after VRT for both, UVH and BVH patients, as compared to their pre-VRT scores (p<0.001). There was no significant difference in the efficacy of vestibular rehabilitation therapy in patients with UVH and BVH (p=0.09). As the VAS scores increased and the duration of the complaint lengthened, the efficacy of VRT decreased significantly (p<0.001/r=5.6, p=0.016/r=3.1, respectively).

**Conclusion:** VRT is an effective treatment for the relief of symptoms in both UVH and BVH patients. Unilateral or bilateral vestibular hypofunction does not affect the efficacy of VRT, whereas prolonged or severe symptoms of dizziness affect the effectiveness of VRT negatively. In idiopathic vestibular hypofunction patients with long-standing and/or severe dizziness complaints, VRT should be initiated immediately and continued longer.
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Introduction

Although dizziness is one of the most common health problems, not much is known about its etiology.\textsuperscript{[1,2]} The reason is that the causes could vary; neurological, cardiovascular, psychological, or vestibular problems may give rise to this particular complaint.\textsuperscript{[3,4]} Dizziness can cause anxiety and depression and significantly affect the quality of life of the patients. Diseases such as Meniere's disease, vestibular neuritis, and meningitis may damage the vestibular organ and cause dizziness. Vestibular damage decreases the vestibulo-ocular reflex and vestibular hypofunction develops.
Vestibular hypofunction can develop rapidly progressive or slowly progressive and may affect unilateral ear or bilateral ears. Patients with vestibular hypofunction experience an imbalance that occurs with sudden movements of the head. These patients may also experience oscillopsia, a feeling of dizziness, and the feeling of being pushed. The vestibular hypofunction is diagnosed with tests that evaluate vestibulo-ocular reflexes such as video head impulse test, rotary chair, and caloric test. However, the cause of vestibular hypofunction may not be fully diagnosed in some cases such as patients with chronic dizziness. Lucieer et al found the definitive etiology in 47% of the 154 patients diagnosed with vestibular hypofunction and the possible etiology in 22%. However, 31% of the patients could not find the etiology and considered as idiopathic vestibular hypofunction.

As pharmacotherapy provides a limited improvement in patients suffering from dizziness, vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) is considered more suitable for them. The aim of VRT is to ensure static and dynamic stability by accelerating the neuroplasticity of the central nervous system and also increase the vestibulo-ocular interaction when contradictory sense inputs occur. Thus, the main purpose of the treatment is to minimize the patient’s complaints and enhance the quality of life. Although VRT is an effective treatment modality in patients with dizziness, the factors affecting the efficacy of VRT are not known exactly. Also, to the best of our knowledge, there is no previous study that proves that the VRT program is more effective for either of the groups: patients with idiopathic unilateral vestibular hypofunction (UVH) or idiopathic bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH).

The aim of this study is to analyze the efficiency of VRT in adult patients with idiopathic UVH or idiopathic BVH and to detect which group benefits more from the VRT program. Another aim of this study is to determine the factors affecting the success of VRT in patients with idiopathic vestibular hypofunction.

**Methods**

**Patient selection**

In this prospective study, patients aged 18 years and over who were admitted to Otorhinolaryngology outpatient clinic with the complaint of dizziness were evaluated. Detailed anamnesis of all patients was obtained. Patients under 18 were excluded from the study. The patients were asked about the duration of dizziness and were recorded. Patients with dizziness complaints of less than three months were excluded from the study. Visual analog scale (VAS) was used to evaluate the severity of the patients’ complaints of dizziness. The patients were asked to give a score between zero (no severity) and 10 (unbearable) for the severity of the symptoms of dizziness and the results were recorded. Patients with systemic disease and using the drug were also excluded from the study. All patients underwent an otorhinolaryngological examination. Patients with active infection focus, patients with chronic otitis media, patients with temporal or cranial fractures and patients with otologic surgery were excluded from the study. Patients with orthopedic disability were excluded from the study because they could affect VRT exercises. After the otorhinolaryngological examination, pure tone audiometry test and tympanometry test was applied to all of the patients. Patients with normal pure tone average, patients with tympanogram test type A and patients with normal acoustic reflex were included in the study. Patients who did not meet these criteria in the audiological tests were excluded from the study.

As a balance test, the Romberg test, oculomotor test, and caloric test were applied to all patients. In patients with suspected central pathology, central pathologies were excluded by cranial magnetic resonance and/or computed tomography. Patients with central pathology were excluded from the study. A slow phase rate of more than 25% nystagmus between two ears was considered as the criterion for the diagnosis of UVH in the caloric test. The following all three requirements were accepted as diagnostic criteria for BVH:

1. In the caloric test, the sum of nystagmus degrees after application of hot and cold air in both ears is 12 degrees/second and below.
2. Seeing the objects as jump, jiggle or vibrate during the patient’s head movements.
3. Positive Romberg test.

Vestibular hypofunction patients with known etiology were not included in the study. The study was continued with those patients who were diagnosed with idiopathic vestibular hypofunction, post these tests. All patients were applied to the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) (Table 1) and the VRT program was initiated thereafter. No addi-
| Questions                                                                 | Always | Sometimes | No |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|----|
| Does looking up increase your problem?                                  |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, do you feel frustrated?                       |        |           |    |
| Does walking down the aisle of a supermarket increase your problem?     |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, do you have difficulty getting into or out of bed? |        |           |    |
| Does your problem significantly restrict your participation in social activities, such as going out to dinner, going to movies, dancing or to parties? |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, do you have difficulty reading?                |        |           |    |
| Does performing more ambitious activities like sports, dancing, and household chores, such as sweeping or putting dishes away; increase your problem? |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, are you afraid to leave your home without having someone accompany you? |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, have you been embarrassed in front of others? |        |           |    |
| Do quick movements of your head increase your problem?                  |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, do you avoid heights?                          |        |           |    |
| Does turning over in bed increase your problem?                         |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, is it difficult for you to do strenuous housework or yard work? |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, are you afraid people may think that you are intoxicated? |        |           |    |
| Because of your problem, is it difficult for you to go for a walk by yourself? |        |           |    |
| Does walking down a sidewalk increase your problem?                     |        |           |    |
| Does your problem interfere with your job or household responsibilities? |        |           |    |
| Does bending over increase your problem?                                |        |           |    |
tional medication was given to any patient, in addition to VRT. Patients were called for controls at second, fourth, and sixth weeks. The patients who had acute vertigo attack during the VRT process and those who did not complete the VRT program were excluded from the study. At the end of the sixth week, DHI had performed again for 30 UVH and 30 BVH patients; these patients had completed the 6-week VRT program without experiencing a vertigo attack.

UVH and BVH patient groups were compared in terms of age, gender, duration of dizziness complaint and VAS scores. The efficiency of VRT was determined by comparing the pre-VRT and post-VRT DHI results. The relationship between age and gender and the efficacy of VRT was evaluated. The relationship between the severity, duration, and localization (unilateral/bilateral) of vestibular hypofunction and the effectiveness of the VRT program were evaluated.

Assessment of hearing
Clinical audiometry device (AC 40, DK-500; Interacoustics, Middelfart, Denmark) was used to evaluate the hearing. Pure-tone air conduction hearing threshold values of patients were measured at frequencies of 125, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, and 8000 Hz. Pure-tone bone conduction hearing threshold values of patients were measured at frequencies of 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz. The pure tone average was calculated by taking the average of hearing thresholds at frequencies of 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz. The pure tone average of 20 dB and below was considered as a normal hearing. Speech recognition threshold and speech discrimination score tests were performed. Tympanometry measurement was performed with the impedance audiometer (AZ 26; Interacoustics, Middelfart, Denmark) in 226 Hz octave band by using 86 dB SPL probe tone stimulation. Type A tympanogram was accepted as normal (peaking at a pressure range of -100 to 50 dPa). Ipsilateral and contralateral acoustic reflex measurements were performed at 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 Hz.

Caloric Test
Micromedical brand (Spectrum 5, Chatham, USA) videonystagmography device was used for performing the caloric test. The test was performed in the supine position by putting the head of the patient at a 30-degree flexion. The caloric test was done by applying hot (47 °C) and cold (27 °C) air to each ear for 1 minute. During caloric stimulation, in order to prevent the fixing of the patient’s eyes, the lids of the glasses of the videonystagmography device were closed and the patient was asked to count to 50. The best and cleanest nystagmus degrees were automatically analyzed and chosen by the computer. When artifacts were chosen as nystagmus by the computer, they were corrected manually.

Romberg Test
The Romberg test was performed on a hard floor for all patients. Patients were asked to stand with their feet together and their hands by their sides. Also, they were asked to close their eyes and the stopwatch was started. Romberg was considered negative if the patient was able to maintain his/her balance with the eyes closed for 30 seconds. Patients who could not maintain their balance for 30 seconds and who opened their eyes were considered Romberg positive.

Vestibular Rehabilitation Therapy
Patients were administered the VRT program that consisted of 21 exercises such as vestibular adaptation, balance and coordination, vestibular habituation, and oculomotor exercises. After the patients had been diagnosed with idiopathic vestibular hypofunction, they were recommended the simplest VRT exercises three times a day with 10 sets each for 15 days. After their second and fourth week controls, the patients were given rehabilitation exercises that were more difficult than the previous exercise set. At the end of six weeks, the patients were expected to complete the rehabilitation program. The VRT exercises provided for the patients are given in Table 2.

Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI)
This is an inventory that consists of 25 questions.[11] Nine of these questions are about the emotional condition of the patients, nine about the functional condition and seven are about their physical condition. For each question, a “No” gets zero points, a “Sometimes” gets two points, and a “Yes” is four points. The total score is calculated over 100 points. 0-16 points are evaluated as “no handicap”, 18-34 points as “mild handicap”, 36-52 points as “moderate handicap”, and 54-100 points are evaluated as “severe handicap”.

Statistical Analysis
We used SPSS version 21.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc.;
Chicago, IL, USA) to perform our analyses. For descriptive statistics, percent, mean, standard deviation (SD), median, minimum and maximum values were presented. T-test was used to evaluate age and sex distribution among the UVH patients and BVH patients. The Mann Whitney-U test was used to evaluate the duration of dizziness and VAS scores between the UVH patients and BVH patients. In order to evaluate the DHI difference between pre-VRT and post-VRT, the importance of the distinction between the paired t-test was used.

The relationship between age and the efficacy of the VRT was examined by Pearson correlation test and the relationship between sex and the efficacy of the VRT was examined with t-test. The t-test was used to compare the efficacy of the VRT program between patients with UVH and BVH. Spearman correlation test was used to evaluate the relationship between duration of dizziness, VAS score, and benefits of the VRT.

**Ethical Situation**

Permission for this study was granted from the local ethics committee of the institution (2018-3/10). All patients were informed about the procedures and written informed consent forms were received. The study has been performed in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

**RESULTS**

Forty-four (73.3%) of the 60 patients involved in the study were female and 16 (16.7 %) of them were male, with the average age being 46.58±14.44 years (range, 19-85 years). Pure tone audiometry test and oculomotor tests were normal in all patients included in the study. Of the 30 UVH patients, 14 had oscillopsia. All patients with BVH had oscillopsia. The difference between the responses of both ears in the caloric test in patients with UVH was an average of 41.20±14.63% (range, 25%-67%). There was no significant difference between the patients with UVH and those with BVH in terms of age, gender, duration of dizziness, and VAS scores (p=0.075, p=0.24, p=0.406, p=0.551, respectively). Age, gender and duration of dizziness distribution of the groups are given in Table 3. According to DHI results, 24 UVH patients (80%) and 24 BVH patients (80%) had moderate to severe disability before VRT was employed. However, after the VRT program, these numbers reduced to six patients (20%) and 10 patients (33.3%), respectively (Table 4). A significant decrease in DHI scores was observed after VRT for both, UVH and BVH patients, as compared to their pre-VRT scores (p<0.001). The

---

**Table 2. Vestibular rehabilitation exercise program.**

| Time to start exercise | Started exercises                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| At the beginning        | Sitting and standing  
While sitting on the chair, eyes open, head right-left, up-down  
While sitting on the chair, eyes closed, head right-left, up-down  
While sitting on the chair, head fixed, finger follow, right-left, up-down  
While sitting on the chair, the finger is fixed and the eyes are stable on the finger, turning the head right-left, up-down  
Bend down and up while sitting on a chair |
| First control (Second week) | While standing, eyes closed, head right-left, up-down  
While standing, eyes open, head right-left, up-down  
Eyes closed on a straight line assisted walking  
Eyes open head right-left on a straight line assisted walking (eyes not stable)  
Step counting with eyes closed  
Eyes closed, head right-left while walking on a straight line  
While standing, head fixed, finger follow, right-left, up-down  
While standing, the finger is fixed and the eyes are stable on the finger, turning the head right-left, up-down |
| Second control (Fourth week) | Quickly lying first right then left side while sitting  
Throwing a ball to wall and catching back while standing  
Go up and down stairs with eyes closed  
In the tandem position, eyes open, head right-left, up-down  
In the tandem position, head fixed, finger follow, right-left, up-down  
In the tandem position, the finger is fixed and the eyes are stable on the finger, turning the head right-left, up-down  
While walking, the eyes are fixed to a point while turning the head to the left and right |
Factors affecting the vestibular rehabilitation scores of functional, emotional and physical components of patients with UVH and BVH were similar before VRT administration. Significant improvement was observed in all components after the VRT program was applied (p<0.001).

There was no significant difference between the UVH patients and BVH patients in terms of the benefits of the VRT program (p=0.09). There was no significant difference in the efficacy of VRT with age and gender (p=0.639, p=0.083, respectively). There was a negative correlation between the duration of dizziness (p=0.016, r=3.1) and VRT efficacy and between the VAS and VRT efficiency (p<0.001, r=5.6).

**Discussion**

In peripheral vestibular hypofunction, there is a decrease in the amount of neural stimulus reaching the vestibular nucleus, resulting in an asymmetry.[9] As a result, dizziness at head movements, oscillopsia, and postural instability develop.[12,13] It is known that the VRT program is used effectively in the treatment of dizziness and vertigo.[14-17] Kulcu et al.[14], in their study of 38 patients with benign paroxysmal positional vertigo, found that VRT program was more effective than drug (betahistine) treatment and suggested that VRT could be preferred in chronic and permanent vertigo patients. Ribeiro et al.[15] found that VRT in addition to canalith repositioning maneuver in benign paroxysmal positional vertigo patients provided better dynamic balance results. In another study, Sahin et al.[16] performed a VRT program for 17 patients who were diagnosed with BVH for six weeks and reported that the VRT program was an effective treatment in patients with BVH and in-

| Table 3. Age, gender, duration of dizziness and visual analog scale (VAS) scores in patients with unilateral vestibular hypofunction (UVH) and bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH). |
|---|---|---|
| Age (years) | UVH group | BVH group | P-value |
| Mean ± SD | 49.9±11.88 | 43.26±16.14 | 0.075<sup>a</sup> |
| Min-Max | 19-78 | 20-85 | |
| Sex (n) | | | 0.24<sup>b</sup> |
| Male | 6 | 10 | |
| Female | 24 | 20 | |
| Benefit of VRT | 32.06±12.77 | 26 (6-52) | 0.090<sup>c</sup> |
| Duration of dizziness (month) | 10 (3-72) | 12 (3-84) | 0.406<sup>b</sup> |
| Median (min-max) | | | |
| VAS score | 6.3±1.7 | 6.8±1.9 | 0.551<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> T-test  
<sup>b</sup> chi-square test  
<sup>c</sup> Mann Whitney-U test

| Table 4. Disability severity distribution of patients with unilateral vestibular hypofunction (UVH) and bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH) before and after vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT). |
|---|---|---|
| Severity of disability | Before VRT | After VRT |
| UVH group | n | % | n | % |
| Normal | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 50 |
| Mild | 6 | 20 | 9 | 30 |
| Moderate | 11 | 36.6 | 5 | 16.6 |
| Severe | 13 | 43.3 | 1 | 3.3 |
| BVH group | | | | |
| Normal | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 43.3 |
| Mild | 6 | 20 | 9 | 30 |
| Moderate | 10 | 33.3 | 3 | 10 |
| Severe | 14 | 46.6 | 5 | 16.6 |
increased the efficiency of treatment when the rehabilitation duration increased. Giray et al. [17] investigated the efficacy of the VRT program in patients with chronic UVH and found that the VRT program improved the symptom, postural stability, balances and disability of UVH patients significantly. Although it has been demonstrated in the studies that the patients with UVH and BVH benefit from the VRT program, it has not been previously investigated which group of patients benefit more than the VRT program to the best of our knowledge. Similar to the literature, we found that both UVH patients and BVH patients benefited significantly from the VRT program. However, there was no significant difference in the efficacy of VRT in UVH or BVH patients.

The severity of the complaint of dizziness may not always be consistent with the patient's caloric test responses. The degree of vestibular hypofunction that causes dizziness in patients is different. The ability to cope with dizziness is also different. This may be due to individuals’ genetic characteristics, lifestyles or environmental factors. Bamiou et al. [18] investigated the severity of dizziness of patients with partial canal paresis and patients with total canal paresis and found no difference between the two groups. In this study, we determined the severity of dizziness complaints using VAS. There was no difference in VAS scores between patients with UVH and BVH. In our study, UVH or BVH did not affect the efficacy of VRT, whereas high VAS scores had a negative effect on the efficacy of the VRT program. Patients with severe dizziness complaints benefit significantly from VRT, so longer-term VRT may be applied to such patients than patients with mild complaints of dizziness.

Bayat et al. [9] applied a VRT program to elderly patients with chronic UVH for 8 weeks. They reported that the effect of the program was seen immediately and the physical and functional scores of the patients were close to each other and higher than the emotional status scores. [9] In our study, we found that the physical and functional status of patients with UVH and patients with BVH were close to each other but more affected by the emotional situation. The emotional component questionnaire consisted of queries relating to patients being afraid of staying alone at home, feeling ashamed when they are with other people due to their problem with dizziness, and because of dizziness, are you afraid people may think that you are intoxicated. The chronic dizziness patients in our study reconciled to life with complaints of dizziness; none of the patients who completed the study gave any score to item 15. Lin et al. [19] applied DHI in dizzy patients and they found that functional component the most difficult for unsteady patients. Giray et al. [17] classified patients with unilateral vestibular hypofunction in two groups and reported that the group to whom the VRT program was administered had a lower level of disability than the control group. Moreover, they found that patients with dizziness had more difficulty in the functional component score as compared to the emotional and physical components scores similar to our study. However, taking into account the fact that DHI has seven questions with which to evaluate the physical score of the patients but nine questions to evaluate their functional and emotional scores. We are of the opinion that the reason for this difference lies in the two extra questions that were asked to gauge the emotional and functional scores.

In our study, we found that age and gender did not affect the efficacy of the VRT program, similar to the literature. [20] Although the rate of recovery and neural plasticity are
faster in the young than in the elderly, it is interesting that the efficacy of VRT does not change with age. This may be due to the more sedentary life of the elderly patients and the lower expectations of the elderly. Even though the elderly have benefited less than the VRT program according to the young people, they may have benefited enough to continue their daily lives.

The VRT program is known to be effective in individuals with both acute and chronic dizziness. However, there are conflicting results in terms of the relationship between the duration of dizziness and the benefit of the VRT program in the literature. Herdman et al. did not find a relationship between the duration of dizziness and the benefit of the VRT program in the literature. However, Bamiou et al. found that individuals who started VRT program in the first 6 months had more benefits than those who started later. We compared the efficacy of the VRT program with the duration of dizziness in patients with UVH and patients with BVH who had been suffering from dizziness for more than three months, and we found that the effectiveness of VRT decreased significantly as the duration of the dizziness complaint increased. The effectiveness of VRT may be less because patients with a long history of dizziness may have more secondary problems such as anxiety and depression.

To apply objective test methods like posturography before and after the VRT is likely to provide beneficial information in additional studies.

Conclusion
It has been concluded that the VRT has an effective role in eliminating the symptoms of both UVH and BVH patients and is seen to decrease the severity of the disability. However, there is no significant difference in the efficacy of VRT in patients with UVH and BVH. In patients with chronic imbalance, the efficacy of VRT was decreased when the severity and duration of the imbalance complaint increased. Therefore, VRT should be initiated immediately in patients with vestibular hypofunction and VRT should be kept longer in patients with severe and long-term complaints of imbalance.

Conflicts of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest.
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