Successful management of secondary postpartum haemorrhage due to post caesarean wound dehiscence with uterine artery embolisation
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ABSTRACT

Caesarean scar dehiscence usually presents as secondary postpartum haemorrhage (PPH) with persistent spotting, fever or abdominal distension. The known management are either with laparotomy followed by resuturing of the scar or hysterectomy. However, most of the patient ultimately undergo hysterectomy due to the persistence of vaginal bleeding. We presented a case of PPH secondary due to scar dehiscence post lower segment caesarean section (LSCS), which was successfully managed with bilateral uterine artery embolization without hysterectomy. Our patient presented with vaginal bleeding on 16th post LSCS day and USG showed presence of a hyperechoic area measuring 5×6 cm more towards the right angle suggestive of the scar dehiscence with hematoma. She underwent bilateral uterine artery embolization and had decreased in the vaginal bleeding with gradual regression in the size of the hematoma over a period of time. Radiological intervention with bilateral uterine artery embolization (UAE) can be used as one of the modalities of management for the hemodynamically stable patient.
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INTRODUCTION

Secondary PPH after caesarean section occurs in 1:365 women.1 Although rare, uterine scar dehiscence may present with the complication of secondary PPH, endomyometritis, localized/generalized peritonitis or sepsis. These complications managed either with laparotomy and resuturing of the scar or hysterectomy. Bilateral uterine or hypogastric artery embolization is an alternative measure to control the bleeding in women with either pseudoaneurysm or AV malformation. The reported success rate of this approach is 42% due to extensive collateral circulation.2,3 Most of the patient with scar dehiscence ultimately undergo hysterectomy due to the persistence of vaginal bleeding.3 We presented a case of secondary PPH due to scar dehiscence post LSCS successfully managed with bilateral uterine artery embolization.

CASE REPORT

A 32 year old female presented on 18th day post-caesarean delivery with low-grade fever and excessive bleeding per vagina since last 6-7 days. The fever was low grade occasionally associated with chills and rigour. She was changing 5-6 pad per day. She was multigravida with 2 prior LSCS and had undergone LSCS with bilateral tubectomy in another hospital for placenta previa with intrauterine death at 32 weeks of gestational age. Intraoperatively, she has transfused two units of the packed cell. The postoperative period was uneventful and was discharged on the 5th day of surgery.

On presentation, she had a low-grade fever and no tachycardia. There was lower abdominal tenderness but no guarding or rigidity. The abdominal wound was unremarkable and uterus was involute to 20 weeks. On pelvic examination, lochia was healthy, uterus deviated to
one side and os closed. There was the presence of fresh bleeding from the vagina.

Bedside abdominal ultrasound uterus to be postpartum with minimal clots in the endometrial cavity. The lower uterine segment near the incision was ballooned out due to the presence of a hyperechoic area measuring 5x6 cm more towards the right angle suggestive of the scar dehiscence with hematoma (Figure 1). Bilateral ovaries were normal. On investigation, the haemoglobin was 8 gm/dl. Her platelets and other coagulation profile were within the normal limit.

Figure 1: Hyperechoic area more towards the right angle suggestive of the scar dehiscence with hematoma.

As she was hemodynamically stable and the patient not willing for surgical intervention. The option of conservative management with pelvic angiogram with bilateral UAE was considered. The pigtail catheter was used to flush the cobra catheter in the internal iliac artery. Angiogram showed bilateral hypertrophied uterine artery which was selectively cannulated and embolized with PVC particle till the achievement of stasis.

Post-procedure she was intensely monitored and she remained stable. However, on 3rd day post embolization, she developed a high-grade fever with no localization feature. Blood parameters were sent and were with the normal limit. She received parental antibiotics for 5 days. Fever settled and there was no bleeding per vagina during her observational period. Repeat USG done on day 5 before discharge showed the uterine cavity with an endometrial thickness of 12 mm, the size of the hematoma was 4.7x3.3 cm (Figure 2 and 3). She was discharged with the recommendation of weekly follow up in the outpatient unit. She came for follow up every two weeks for 2 months. There was no history of fever and minimal spotting per vagina. Serial USG showed a decrease in the size of hematoma from 2.8x2.6 cm to 1.4x1.0 cm. Further visit hematoma was not visualized at all.

Figure 2: Area after embolization.

DISCUSSION

The incidence of scar dehiscence during labour worldwide is around 0.6%. The usual cause for scar dehiscence is previous lower segment caesarean section, classical caesarean section, congenital anomaly, inappropriate oxytocic administration or abnormal placentation.

The patient presents with post-partum haemorrhage, generalized or localized peritonitis. Some diagnosed immediately after childbirth and some present late after about 2-4 weeks of delivery. Identification of this condition depends on high clinical suspicion. Radiological sign-on 2D USG or CT scan is not specific and has poor correlation. However, the presence of free fluid, bladder flap hematoma more than 5 cm, bowel distention is considered as a nonspecific feature of scar dehiscence.

Exploratory laparotomy is considered one of the most important methods of diagnosis and treatment of uterine scar dehiscence. Conservative surgery as debridement of the necrotic tissue with hematoma evacuation and resuturing is usually done. There are reports of conservative treatment even in the presence of infection. Peripartum hysterectomy is performed as a treatment of choice in about 6% of patient with postoperative scar dehiscence associated with severe cellulitis or sepsis.

Conservative management with radiological intervention is one of the modalities of management in stable patient with no evidence of infection. This way the morbidity associated with surgery and prolonged hospital stay can be decreased.

Transcatheter arterial embolization has been first recognized in 1960 as a method to control haemorrhage, and since then has been used to control pelvic haemorrhage due to malignancy, trauma and radiation. Recently, this technique has been used successfully in the control of PPH. UAE has several advantages,
including easy identification of the bleeding site, preservation of the uterus and fertility and decreased rebleeding from collaterals with more distal occlusion of the bleeding vessels.

A recent review by Badaway and colleagues included 138 cases of PPH treated by arterial embolization, with a success rate of 94.9% and a complication rate of 8.7%. In this series, seven cases required hysterectomy as a result of the failure of embolization. Some studies have shown the resumption of menstruation and pregnancies after the procedure the overall complication rate of 8.7%. As there is no evidence on the impact in the next pregnancy after embolization for scar dehiscence. This procedure can be clinically applicable for women not desirous for the next pregnancy and keen to preserve the uterus.

CONCLUSION

Secondary PPH due to uterine scar dehiscence can be diagnosed with a high index of clinical suspicion. Ultrasonography remains one of the best tools to confirm the diagnosis. Radiological intervention with bilateral uterine artery embolization can be used as one of the conservative methods for hemodynamically stable patient.
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