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Abstract
With the increase in power electronic solutions for More Electric Aircraft, silicon-carbide MOSFETs are being considered as alternatives to silicon IGBTs in areas such as motor drive systems for primary flight and landing gear actuators. In these high-reliability applications, it’s essential that all aspects of the power electronics, including the semiconductor switches, are well understood to ensure correct operation for extended periods. A study on the gate-drive signals of 1200 V SiC MOSFETs in two different prototype power module solutions designed for More Electric Aircraft motor drive applications is presented in this paper. Measurements are recorded for various MOSFET solutions and compared with an IGBT alternative. Furthermore, a dV/dt analysis is presented and the correlation between the gate-drive signals and the dV/dt is shown. Simulation results are validated with test bench measurements and methods for performance improvements are outlined. The data illustrates that the higher switching speed of the SiC devices results in increased transients and higher dV/dt which can impact negatively on the reliability of the system. One method of reducing these effects is by variation of the gate resistance but this may have a negative impact on power dissipation and inverter efficiency as presented in this study.

Introduction
As the More Electric Aircraft (MEA) advances, hydraulic and pneumatic actuation systems are being replaced with electrical solutions [1]. This can result in lighter systems, with reduced fuel burn and a subsequent positive impact on the environment. Electro-hydrostatic (EHA) and electro-mechanical (EMA) actuators are driven by electrical motors that can be controlled and monitored by a Power Drive Electronics (PDE) system [2]. This PDE interfaces with the flight controller and can drive the motor using closed-loop control. In modern aircraft, the use of insulated gate bipolar transistor
(IGBT) technology is the favoured approach for power converters driving electrical motors. These devices meet the fundamental requirements for the application and as they have been widely used for many years, their characteristics are well known, and their reliability is very much established.

Wide bandgap semiconductor materials, however, such as silicon carbide (SiC), have properties which offer significant advantages over silicon (Si) such as improved power density, lower losses and operation at higher junction temperatures [3] - [9]. The characteristics of SiC may result in a trade-off, however, before final component or technology selection. For example, the faster switching capability of SiC can result in higher dV/dt, which may lead to an increased EMI filter or potential damage to other system elements such as the electrical motor.

This paper presents test measurements and simulation analysis of the gate-drive and dV/dt signals in 5 kVA power inverters designed for motor control applications in MEA. Two of these inverters contain the same 1200 V, 40 mΩ SiC MOSFETs, while a third unit has 80 mΩ SiC MOSFETs. The fourth unit used in this study comprises of silicon (Si) IGBT switches in place of SiC MOSFETs. All modules have 20 A anti-parallel Schottky barrier diodes (SBDs). The modules are powered from a high voltage DC supply of 540 V and all are tested with an inductive-resistive load for an output current of 25 A. This is the limit of operation of these 5 kVA modules.

Some details and measurements from the 40 mΩ SiC MOSFET Power Control Modules have been previously published [10]. This paper includes advancements of that work to include measurements on a module with the SiC MOSFETs replaced by Si IGBTs. Also, dV/dt and power dissipation measurements from two of the modules are contained in this paper and the correlation between the gate-drive and the dV/dt performance is presented. Furthermore, using simulation and measured data, solutions to modify the gate-drive characteristics and dV/dt are proposed and validated. These can improve the long-term reliability and performance of the module and the actuator system.

**Integrated Power Solutions Modules**

The following integrated power solutions modules used in this study have been designed and developed by Microchip, for similar MEA motor drive applications. The units are customised for this study and therefore differ from the commercially available solutions offered by the company.

1. Power Core Module, containing 40 mΩ SiC MOSFETs with total external gate resistance of 15 Ω and SiC anti-parallel diodes. This is labelled PCM-SiC-40mR.
2. Power Core Module, containing 80 mΩ SiC MOSFETs with total external gate resistance of 15 Ω and SiC anti-parallel diodes. This is labelled PCM-SiC-80mR.
3. Power Core Module, containing IGBTs with external gate resistance of 10 Ω and SiC anti-parallel diodes. This is labelled PCM-IGBT.
4. High-Power Electronics Module, containing 40 mΩ SiC MOSFETs with external gate resistance of 10 Ω and SiC anti-parallel diodes. This is labelled HPEM-SiC-40mR.

These modules are displayed in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.
A summary comparison of the key features of these two designs is shown in Table I below.

**Table I: Comparison of PCM and HPEM**

|                              | PCM                        | HPEM                      |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Nominal HVDC supply          | 540 VDC                    | 540 VDC                   |
| Power rating (540V)          | 5 kVA                      | 5 kVA                     |
| Nominal peak output current  | 25 A                       | 25 A                      |
| Motor drive architecture     | Three-phase half-bridge    | Three-phase half-bridge   |
| Dimensions including telemetry PCB Assembly (PCBA) | 105 mm x 85 mm x 30 mm | Not applicable |
| Dimensions without telemetry PCBA | 105 mm x 85 mm x 25 mm | 92 mm x 82 mm x 19 mm |
| Connections                  | Screw terminals            | Solderable pins           |
| Gate Drive                   | SiC MOSFET option: Infineon 1EDI60I12AF [11] with 10 Ω gate resistor on driver PCBA and 5 Ω gate resistor on substrate assembly. IGBT option: Infineon 1EDI60I12AF with 10 Ω gate resistor on driver PCBA and no gate resistor on substrate assembly. | Texas Instruments ISO5852 [12] with 10 Ω gate resistor on driver PCBA and no additional gate resistor on substrate assembly. |

**Test Setup**

The PCM test setup is shown in Fig. 3. The STE interface PCBA provides an interface between the PCMs and the IGLOO2 evaluation board [13]. The IGLOO2 board manages the communication between the modules under test and a PC, which logs the data. The HPEM test setup is similar to that shown in Fig. 3 with the STE interface PCBA removed and the PCM replaced by the HPEM.

* The PCM telemetry board is removed from the assembly and placed on the STE interface PCBA.
The high voltage supply is set at 540 V and the load used for the testing comprises of a 2.5 mH inductor and 2.2 Ω resistor per output phase. The output frequency is set at 400 Hz with a modulation index of 0.629.

The filtered three-phase output currents from the PCM-SiC-80mR unit is displayed in Fig. 4. This illustrates the nominal peak output current of 25 A and is representative of the output currents from all modules in this study. However, without filtering, as can be seen in Fig. 5, the SiC configuration is noisier than that of the IGBT.

![Fig. 4: PCM 3-phase output currents](image1)
![Fig. 5: PCM-SiC and PCM-IGBT Output Current](image2)

**Gate Drive Voltage Measurements and Simulations**

**Initial Gate-Source Voltage Measurements**

With a switching frequency (F_{SW}) of 10 kHz and a dead-time of 500 ns, the gate-source (V_{GS}) switching waveforms for one of the phases, designated phase ‘U’, was recorded over a 2.4 ms duration. After the dead-time delay, following the turn-off of the upper switch, the lower switch turns on. The miller effect then becomes evident, resulting in a temporary reduction of the gate-source voltage on the lower switch and a corresponding positive spike in the gate-source voltage of the upper switch [10]. The results are displayed for negative output current as this condition was shown to present the higher transients. Fig. 6 shows these waveforms for the PCM-IGBT module and comparisons of this voltage spike from the three SiC test units are illustrated in Fig. 7. As shown, the spikes are much larger for the SiC modules, with the HPEM unit having the highest amplitude. The IGBT module shows only a minor increase in voltage as the lower switch turns on. The HPEM-SiC-40mR module voltage increased from -4.5 V to 7.72 V, while the PCM modules containing the 40 mΩ and 80 mΩ SiC MOSFETs increased to peaks of 3.57 V and -0.59 V respectively. Care must be taken to ensure the transients do not switch-on the power semiconductor device as this would result in both switches being on simultaneously, resulting in a shoot-through condition with potentially catastrophic results including device and system failure.
Gate Drive Analysis using Simulation

Simulation results for the 80 mΩ model, using a vendor-supplied PSPICE model, are shown in Fig. 8 and illustrate similar $V_{GS}$ behaviour to the measured results in Fig. 7. The simulation displays a voltage spike of 4.82 V above the -5 V ideal turn-off voltage. This compares with the 4.35 V pk-pk measurement from the equivalent PCM-SiC-80mR unit as displayed in Fig. 7.

After verifying the simulation profile and measurements compare favourably with the measured results, the model was used to investigate methods of reducing the transient. Changing the 10 Ω gate turn-off resistance on the driver board to 2 Ω was evaluated. It was expected that this would result in a lower voltage at the gate for the given transient current resulting from the fast transition at the output of the phase leg. The improved results are shown in Fig. 9 with a 1.73 V reduction in the peak voltage.
Measurements on a Modified Unit

As a result of the positive simulation data, the phase U turn-off 10 Ω resistor was replaced on the driver PCBA with a 2 Ω alternative and this modification yielded the results shown in Fig. 10 and magnified in Fig. 11. The results were favourable with a 0.8 V reduction in the peak voltage.

Power Dissipation and dV/dt Analysis

In addition to gate-drive measurements, the power dissipation and dV/dt data was also recorded for the PCM-SiC-40mR and PCM-IGBT units for varying gate resistor configurations. Using a Voltech PM6000 power analyser, each of the inverter’s three phase voltages, currents, true power and apparent power were monitored and the power losses and efficiencies calculated. Some companies working on motor drive systems currently specify a maximum dV/dt requirement of 5-6 kV/us in their systems. This could be largely for EMI purposes but also an excessive dV/dt may cause damage to other elements of the system, for example, the electrical motor. The PCM-SiC-40mR unit, with resistors totalling 15 Ω in the gate drive circuit had a measured dV/dt in excess of this value so additional gate resistance was added. This had a positive effect on reducing the dV/dt as shown in Table II. The gate resistance of PCM-IGBT was also modified to yield a comparable dV/dt result to the SiC MOSFET unit.
Table II: \( \text{dV/dt and Power Loss Measurements} \)

| Unit        | External Gate Resistance | Measured max. \( \text{dV/dt} \) | Measured Power Dissipated | Measured Efficiency |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| PCM-SiC-40mR | 15 Ω                     | 16 kV/us                         | 26.6 W                    | 99.2 %              |
| PCM-SiC-40mR | 48 Ω                     | 6 kV/us                          | 39.2 W                    | 98.8 %              |
| PCM-IGBT    | 5.11 Ω                   | 6 kV/us                          | 98 W                      | 97.0 %              |

The following characteristics are observed:

- Increasing the gate resistance on the SiC MOSFET unit reduces the \( \text{dV/dt} \) but increases the power dissipation and reduces efficiency.
- The gate resistance for the IGBT, to receive a comparable max. \( \text{dV/dt} \) as the SiC MOSFET, is much lower, as the IGBT is a slower switching device resulting in lower \( \text{dV/dt} \) values.
- The difference in power dissipation and efficiency between the two semiconductor technologies, for a similar \( \text{dV/dt} \) is evident. This also illustrates the higher efficiency of the SiC MOSFET solution, even if the \( \text{dV/dt} \) is reduced to a value comparable with an IGBT alternative.

Having increased the gate drive resistance of the unit significantly, the \( V_{GS} \) of the MOSFETs was re-measured. As shown in Fig. 12, the switch-off time of the upper MOSFET has increased significantly, compared with the data recorded for the same 2.4us duration in Fig. 7. The switch-on time of the lower MOSFET is also much reduced from similar measurements recorded in [10] and that of the IGBT shown in Fig. 6. Even though it was shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 that a reduction in gate resistance had positive effects, increasing this resistance and thereby causing a significant reduction in switching speeds and \( \text{dV/dt} \) values, also results in lower transients.

**Fig. 12: \( V_{GS} \) of Upper and Lower MOSFETs with 48 Ω gate resistance**

**Simulations and Verified Results**

The \( \text{dV/dt} \) for the PCM-SiC-80mR solution was simulated as shown in Fig. 13 with the original external resistance of 15 Ω. The resulting value of 16.2 kV/us is very similar to the measured value shown in Table II. Adding additional gate resistance, on both upper and lower MOSFETs, to a value of 48 Ω, yielded a \( \text{dV/dt} \) value of 5.6 kV/us, as shown in Fig. 14, which is slightly lower than the measurement. However, comparing the figures, which have the same 200ns/division on the x-axis,
illustrates the significant reduction in rise and fall times of the power semiconductor switch-on and switch-off times due to the increase in gate resistance. Furthermore, due to the slower switching speeds, the transient spike on the upper MOSFET is less significant, at 1.21V pk-pk, than shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. Similar to the measured results, the simulations illustrate the correlation between gate resistance, transients on the gate drive, switching speed of the FETs and dV/dt.

When comparing the simulated power dissipations of the MOSFETs for 15 Ω and 48 Ω gate resistance options, it can be seen that the value increases with larger gate resistance, which also corresponds with the measured results displayed in Table II. The simulated results are shown in Table III.
### Table III: Simulated Power Dissipations

| External Gate Resistance | Measured Power Dissipated |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 15 Ω                     | 30 W                      |
| 48 Ω                     | 34.5 W                    |

## Conclusion

This paper analyses the gate drive signals of four power inverter modules, comparing measurements from various SiC MOSFET and IGBT configurations. Though there are similarities in the waveform profile, the results display the effects of gate resistances and differences in the two module designs. All four show a voltage transient on the gate drive signal during switching and the results are presented for the condition when the lower switch of a phase-leg turns on and the output current is negative. These transients are higher in SiC MOSFETs than Si IGBTs and if the transients are sufficiently high, it may result in the upper and lower switches being momentarily on simultaneously. This may lead to reduced performance, degraded operation over time or device failure. It is therefore desirable to reduce the amplitudes of such transients and this paper presents how this can be done by reducing the gate resistance when the power semiconductor switching speeds are sufficiently high to adversely impact the gate-drive.

In addition, the correlation between the gate drive signal and the dV/dt of the MOSFET $V_{DS}$ is shown where an increase in gate resistance results in a decrease of dV/dt. Although the gate resistance of the SiC MOSFETs used in this study was larger than that of the IGBTs, the dV/dt of the former was still higher. This displays the increased switching speed characteristics of the SiC devices over the IGBTs and may be particularly applicable in designs where existing IGBT solutions are being replaced by SiC MOSFET alternatives. The dV/dt of the semiconductor switches is particularly important when the modules are connected to the next level assemblies, where EMI filtering and connections to other system elements, for example electrical motors, must be considered. The dV/dt of a SiC MOSFET module used in this study was measured at a maximum of 16 kV/us, which is excessive for some motor drive applications. With increased gate resistance, the dV/dt can be reduced and it was shown that an increase from 15 Ω to 48 Ω reduced the measured dV/dt by 62.5%. The switching speeds and dV/dt have a directly proportional effect on the gate-drive transients so as these are decreased, a corresponding positive effect is seen at the semiconductor gate. However, as illustrated by simulated and measured results, these benefits result in increased power dissipation so this disadvantage must also be considered.

From the analysis and measurements presented in this paper, it can be deduced that the gate drive resistance of SiC MOSFETs have direct influences on gate-drive, dV/dt and power dissipation of the devices and depending on the system requirements of the application, a trade-off analysis may be required to determine the appropriate configuration and ensure appropriate results.
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