Functional outcome of distal third Metaphyseal Tibial fractures operated with reamed Intramedullary Tibia Nailing: Two versus three distal locking screws
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Abstract

Introduction: Fractures of the distal metaphysis of the tibia can be nailed if a sufficient amount of bone is available distal to the fracture site to insert two or three locking screws. However, fractures in this region operated with nail fixation provide less stability as a result of metaphyseal flare, which reduces the contact between the nail and the endosteal surface.

Aim: The purpose of this retrospective study was to assess the union and complications associated with distal third tibial fractures which were operated with reamed tibia nail with two versus three distal locking screws.

Materials & Methods: The study was carried out between 2017-2019 in which fifty-seven patients were included in the study. In 25 patients 2 distal screws were inserted and in 32 patients 3 distal screws. Patients were followed up to the tibial fracture union (5–12 months with a mean of 7 months).

Results: Our results showed, 4% of delayed unions in distal third fractures with two distal screws compared to 3.12% who had three distal screws. This is statistically insignificant (p>0.01). None of the patients developed non-union nor had screw breakage and residual ankle or knee pain.

Conclusion: Our study showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the time taken for radiographic union and complications such as delayed union and non-union in distal third tibia fractures operated with tibia nailing when two or three distal locking screws were used. Therefore, we conclude that two distal locking screws are a necessity and three are a luxury. The increased distal locking screws do not influence the fracture union.
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Introduction

Displaced fractures of the tibial diaphysis are often best operated with reamed or unreamed intramedullary nailing (IMN) [1-3]. On the other hand, distal tibia metaphyseal fractures have been treated successfully by various methods of fixation [4-5]. These fractures can be operated with intramedullary nailing if a sufficient amount of bone is available distal to the fracture site to insert two or three locking screws. However, fractures in this region operated with nail fixation provide less stability as a result of metaphyseal flare, which reduces the contact between the nail and the endosteal surface. Advances in nail design, especially the presence of different distal locking options with multiplanar fixation configurations, have improved the biomechanics of fixation of distal tibia fractures. Improvements in nail design also include the ability to use larger interlocking screws without compromising the strength of the nail at the screw hole [6]. Thus, it is now possible for nails with a small diameter of 10 mm to have interlocking screws with a 5mm outer diameter. Biomechanical work has proved that compared with smaller diameter screws, newer screws larger than 4.5 mm in outer diameter can withstand greater forces without fatigue breakage [6]. However, as the indications for IM fixation have been extended to include proximal and distal extra-articular tibial fractures [7,9], malalignment and loss of reduction become a concern [10-12]. The total number of distal locking screws and their configuration required to obtain adequate stability of the short distal fragment remains to be a topic of debate. The purpose of this retrospective study was to assess the union and complications associated with distal third tibial fractures which were operated with reamed tibia nail with two versus three distal locking screws.
2. Materials and Methods

A retrospective study was conducted from January 2017 to October 2019 in the Department of Orthopaedics, at a tertiary care center, comparing two versus three distal locking screw options in tibial nail insertion. Fifty-seven patients were included in the study. In 25 patients 2 distal screws were used and in 32 patients 3 distal screws were used. Patients with compound Gustillo Anderson 3a, 3b, and 3c fractures were excluded from the study. Patients were followed up to the tibial fracture union (5–12 months with a mean of 7 months). All patients had been given primary treatment in the form of the above-knee slab and limb elevation over Bohler Braun splint, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory medications until the patient was posted for surgery. All patients were posted for surgery only when all preoperative fitness for surgery was obtained, blood investigations were within normal limits and the operative site was clean. Patients were operated on under spinal anesthesia in the supine position.

2.1 Operative Technique

All the patients were operated on by the same surgeon within 7 days of initial trauma with the standard patella splitting approach for the entry of the tibia nail under all aseptic precautions. Closed reduction was attempted and was successful in all of the cases. Reaming was carried out with solid reamers before inserting the maximum diameter nail possible with the distal locking screws of 4.5mm or above used in all of the cases. Distal locking screws were inserted using a freehand technique. Due to the wider medullary canal of the distal tibial metaphysis, any malalignment encountered during reduction, in the mediolateral plane or the anteroposterior plane was tackled by using the pollex screw/wire technique. The pollex screw/wire, when used was taken out after the completion of locking on both the proximal and distal sites. The configurations of the distal locking screws depended on the available bone distal to the fracture site. Hence the anteroposterior or the mediolateral configurations of screws were used accordingly in each case.

2.2 Post-operative Protocol

The operative wound was dressed and checked on the 3rd day of initial trauma, and sutures were removed after 10–14 days. Active knee and ankle range of motion exercises and protected weight-bearing were started from the 3rd post-operative day. The average stay in hospital was 5 days, with the shortest being 3 days and the longest of 10 days. Full weight-bearing was started after 2 weeks from the post-operative period. The patients were followed up clinically and radiologically every month for the first three months and every 3 months thereafter until the radiological union was seen.

3. Results

The average age of patients in our study was between 21-56 years with a mean age of 34 years. The male-to-female ratio was approximately 3:1 (42 males and 15 females). The most commonly encountered mode of injury was a road traffic accident (87.7%). Of the 57 cases in our study 48 cases were closed injuries, whereas 9 cases were open fractures. Out of these 9 open fractures, five were Gustillo Anderson type I, four were type II. All were operated by the same surgeon. The average time interval between admission and surgery was 36–72 hours for closed injuries and 16-24 hours for open injuries. 25 cases (43.85%) had two distal locking screws and 32 cases (56.14%) had three. Reaming of the tibia was done in all cases (100%). The fracture union rate was 96.49%. Complications resulting from tibial nailing in our study [Figure-1] were superficial wound infection in two cases, pain at screw site (one case), and delayed union in 2 cases.

Delayed union, which was defined as one or two cortex contact seen radiographically at 9 months, occurred in 3.5% (2 cases) of distal tibial fractures treated with IM nailing [Table-1]. Of these, 1 case had two distal locking screws and the remaining 1 had three. This difference was statistically insignificant (p>0.01).

| Two screw (1 case) | Three screw (1 case) |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| **Mode of injury** | Road traffic accident | Road traffic accident |
| **Type of injury** | Gustillo Anderson type 2 | Gustillo Anderson type 2 |
| **Fracture pattern** | Tibia and fibula fractured | Tibia and fibula fractured |
| **Treatment given** | Dynamization done | Dynamization done |
| **Time to union** | 11 months | 12 months |

Both the delayed union occurred in open fractures and both belonged to Gustillo type 2. Both these delayed unions went on to unite after dynamization was done. Patients were followed up to the radiographical tibial fracture union (5–12 months with a mean of 6 months). A fracture was considered radiographically united when at least 3 out of 4 cortical contacts had occurred. Few of the case illustrations are shown in (figure 2 and 3).
4. Discussion
The best treatment of distal metaphyseal tibial fractures remains a controversial topic of debate. To improve the benefits of clinical outcome of such fractures various modifications of the nail have been introduced. These include the number, direction, and location of the screw holes, which now allows putting an interlocking screw within 5mm of the tip of the nail. As a result of these modifications, intramedullary nailing has been used successfully used for the treatment of such fractures. (13-16). Due to the metaphyseal flare of the distal tibia, widening of the intramedullary canal as compared to the diaphysis is created. This results in insufficient nail–bone contact, and hence the interface between nail, screw, and locking screw number and configuration become important determinants in deciding the overall construct stability. The importance of these determinants is further emphasized by the fact that in the metaphyseal area the distal locking screws do not influence the fracture obliquity in the outcome. The exact amount of weight transferred onto the weight-bearing limb is not quantified and the distal locking screw configuration is not taken into account in the study.

5. Conclusion
Our study showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the clinical, time taken for radiological union and complications such as delayed union and non-union in distal third tibia fractures operated with tibia nailing when two or three distal locking screws were used. Therefore, we conclude that two distal locking screws are a necessity and three are a luxury. The increased distal locking screws do not influence the fracture union.
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