BRCA2 binding through a cryptic repeated motif to HSF2BP oligomers does not impact meiotic recombination
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BRCA2 and its interactors are required for meiotic homologous recombination (HR) and fertility. Loss of HSF2BP, a BRCA2 interactor, disrupts HR during spermatogenesis. We test the model postulating that HSF2BP localizes BRCA2 to meiotic HR sites, by solving the crystal structure of the BRCA2 fragment in complex with dimeric armadillo domain (ARM) of HSF2BP and disrupting this interaction in a mouse model. This reveals a repeated 23 amino acid motif in BRCA2, each binding the same conserved surface of one ARM domain. In the complex, two BRCA2 fragments hold together two ARM dimers, through a large interface responsible for the nanomolar affinity — the strongest interaction involving BRCA2 measured so far. Deleting exon 12, encoding the first repeat, from mBrca2 disrupts BRCA2 binding to HSF2BP, but does not phenocopy HSF2BP loss. Thus, results herein suggest that the high-affinity oligomerization-inducing BRCA2-HSF2BP interaction is not required for RAD51 and DMC1 recombinase localization in meiotic HR.
Homologous recombination (HR) is involved in many aspects of eukaryotic DNA metabolism and is indispensable in two contexts: resolving replication problems and in meiosis. Homology search and strand exchange, the key events in HR, are performed by a nucleoprotein filament formed by the strand exchange protein RAD51 assembled onto the 3′ single-stranded (ss) DNA overhang. In somatic animal cells, RAD51 loading onto ssDNA depends on BRCA2, which has multiple RAD51-binding sites and is required for focal accumulation of RAD51 at the sites of damage. Biochemical experiments suggest that BRCA2 acts as an HR mediator, displacing RPA, the protein that protects ssDNA by strongly binding to it, and forming functional RAD51 filament in its place. In vitro, BRCA2 can perform this function autonomously, but in cells, it depends on its "partner and localizer" PALB2.

Although BRCA2 has been mostly studied in the context of HR in somatic cells, it arguably has a more prominent role in meiotic HR, as across a broad range of species, fertility defects are the most common consequence of BRCA2 loss. In meiosis, HR functions to diversify as well as to preserve genetic information. This role is achieved by extending the core HR machinery (RAD51, BRCA2, and PALB2) with a set of meiosis-specific proteins, such as the DMC1 recombinase and the ssDNA-binding proteins MeiOB and Spata22. BRCA2 binds DMC1 via the RAD51-binding BRC repeats encoded by BRCA2 exon 11 and a DMC1-specific site encoded by exon 14.

We identified HSF2BP as another BRCA2-binding protein, endogenously expressed in meiotic cells, and ectopically produced in cancer cells. HSF2BP is required for meiotic HR during spermatogenesis, but in somatic cells, it inhibits HR during DNA interstrand crosslink repair by triggering BRCA2 degradation. In addition to BRCA2, HSF2BP has been reported to interact with transcription factors HSF2 and BNC1, both required for addition to BRCA2, HSF2BP has been reported to interact with ARMs of BRCA2. We previously found to be required for BRCA2 binding, and made substitutions based on human polymorphism data (dbSNP). Whereas in our initial blind screen most substitutions preserved affinity and stoichiometry of the HSF2BP-BRCA2 interaction and the changes in oligomeric state it induces. Its low-nanomolar affinity is orders of magnitude stronger than any other measured interaction involving BRCA2. We also describe the 3D structure of the complex between HSF2BP and BRCA2, which confirms the predicted ARM fold of HSF2BP and reveals the existence of a cryptic repeated motif encoded by exons 12–13 of BRCA2, responsible for binding to ARM oligomers. Finally, results from a mouse line engineered to disrupt the binding suggest that contrary to the prediction of the "meiotic localizer" model, this evolutionary conserved high-affinity oligomerization-inducing interaction of BRCA2 with HSF2BP is not required for meiotic HR.

Results
HSF2BP ARM binds disordered BRCA2 peptide with high affinity. Our previous analyses using communoprecipitation identified the C-terminal part of HSF2BP 193–V334 and the BRCA2 fragment G2270–T2337 (F9) as interacting regions. Extending this approach (Fig. 1a–c, Supplementary Fig. 1a, b), we narrowed down the minimal interaction region to E122–V334 in HSF2BP (fragment H3, hereafter referred as ARM, for "armadillo fold") and to N2288–T2337 in BRCA2 (fragment F15). Further truncations resulted in loss or reduction in co-precipitation efficiency. We also extended our site-directed mutagenesis mapping: using a homology model of the HSF2BP ARM domain, we predicted solvent-exposed structural neighbors of R200, which we previously found to be required for BRCA2 binding, and made substitutions based on human polymorphism data (dbSNP). Whereas in our initial blind screen most substitutions preserved binding, GFP-HSF2BP mutated at residues N192, G199, Y238, N239 or N243 failed to co-precipitate Flag-F9 (Supplementary Fig. 1b, c). On the BRCA2 side, wild-type GFP-HSF2BP also did not interact with several Flag-F9 variants, mutated at residues S2309, R2318, or P2329 (Supplementary Fig. 1d). To characterize the direct interaction between HSF2BP and BRCA2 in vitro, we purified HSF2BP and its truncated variants, as well as the large BRCA2 fragment S2213–Q3242 (F0) showing high conservation during evolution (and including F15; Supplementary Fig. 2). We first performed an NMR analysis of F0, in order to identify the residues binding to ARM. Assignment and further analysis of the NMR Hn, N, Cα chemical shifts of 15N-, 13C- labeled BRCA2-F0 showed that this peptide is disordered in solution: it only forms transient α-helices; in particular, region N2291–S2303 folds into an α-helix that is present at about 25% (Supplementary Fig. 3). Addition of unlabeled ARM causes a global decrease of the intensities of the 2D NMR 1H–15N HMQC peaks of 15N-labeled F0, with region S2252–Q2342 (further called F15) including the transient α-helix, showing the largest decrease (intensity ratio lower than 0.4; Fig. 1d). We concluded that the chemical environment of this region, larger than the previously identified F15 fragment N2288–T2337, is significantly modified in the presence of the ARM domain. Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) experiments revealed that F0 binds to both HSF2BP and ARM with a nanomolar affinity and a stoichiometry of 0.5, i.e., one BRCA2 peptide binds to two HSF2BP/ARM (Fig. 1e; Table 1). These affinity and stoichiometry are consistent with the more than twofold decrease in intensity observed by NMR when adding one ARM to one 15N-labeled F0; indeed, in these conditions, half of the F0 molecules were free and half bound to ARM. Also, HSF2BP binds about 25-fold tighter to F0 than does ARM. As a control, we verified that HSF2BP mutant
Fig. 1 The ARM domain of HSF2BP binds with a nanomolar affinity to a 52 aa BRCA2 peptide. a Schematic depiction of the truncation and substitution variants of HSF2BP used in this study. Substitutions tested previously are mapped above the bar, whereas those tested in this study are indicated below the bar. Truncation variants are colored based on their ability to bind BRCA2 peptides. b Schematic depiction of BRCA2 fragments and variants used in the study. Full-length BRCA2 is shown at the top with key domains indicated. Location of the fragment F9 identified previously and its truncations tested here are shown, with colors indicating the ability to bind HSF2BP. Fragments produced as recombinant proteins are indicated with blue labels. c Coimmunoprecipitation of GFP-HSF2BP (full-length wild-type (WT) or R200C variant, and fragments H3 (ARM) and H4) and indicated Flag-tagged BRCA2 variants. Proteins were transiently produced in HEK293T cells. d NMR characterization of BRCA2 residues involved in binding to the Armadillo domain of HSF2BP (ARM). 2D 1H-15NH SQC spectra were recorded at 950 MHz and 283 K on the 15N-labeled BRCA2 fragment F0 (S2213-Q2342), either free (100 μM; dark blue) or in the presence of the unlabeled ARM domain (1:1 ratio; cyan). Ratios of peak intensities in the two conditions revealed that a set of peaks, corresponding to BRCA2 fragment FNMR (S2252-Q2342), decreased by more than 60% after the addition of ARM. The points and curve fragments in purple, green, and brown correspond to residues encoded by exon 12, 13, and 14 of BRCA2, respectively. e ITC curves that reveal how either HSF2BP or its ARM domain (in the instrument cell) interacts with the BRCA2 fragment F0, FNMR, and F15X (N2291-Q2342) (in the instrument syringe). The dissociation constants (K_d) are indicated. All experiments were duplicated, and the dissociation constants, stoichiometry, and thermodynamics parameters of each experiment are recapitulated in Table 1.
R200T does not bind to F0, consistently with our previous report\textsuperscript{16}. We further compared the affinity of ARM for F0, F\textsubscript{NMR}, and F15X (the recombinant peptide N2291-Q2342, similar to F15 N2288-T2337 used in cellular assays). The affinities of ARM for F\textsubscript{NMR} and F15X are not significantly different, being around 10 nM (Fig. 1e; Table 1). Unexpectedly, they are about threefold higher than the affinity measured between ARM and F0 (Fig. 1e; Table 1). Therefore, we decided to continue by focusing on the complex between ARM and F15X.

**HSF2BP ARM domain tetramerizes upon binding to BRCA2.**

First, we characterized the molecular mass of the ARM domain either free or bound to F15X. Biophysical analysis by SEC-multi angle light scattering (MALS) (Size exclusion chromatography—multiple angle light scattering) and SEC-SAXS (Small-angle X-ray scattering) revealed that, if free ARM is dimeric, the complex is tetrameric with an estimated molecular weight of 94 kDa (SEC-MALS; Fig. 2a) or 109 kDa (SEC-SAXS; Fig. 2b), for a theoretical mass of four ARM bound to two F15X of 108 kDa. In parallel, intensity curves measured by SAXS on the complex gave a distance distribution reflecting a nearly spherical shape, with a Dmax of 104 Å and a Rg of 34 Å (Fig. 2b).

Crystals of the complex were obtained within a few days by hanging-drop vapor diffusion and diffraeted up to 2.6 Å on PROXIMA-1 and PROXIMA-2 beamlines at the SOLEIL synchrotron. The structure of the complex was solved using a combination of Molecular Replacement and SAD approaches (see details in “Methods” section, Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 4). The overall conformation of the structure is consistent with the SAXS data obtained in solution, as reflected by the low chi\textsuperscript{2} value of 1.8 Å\textsuperscript{2} obtained when fitting the SAXS curve deduced from the experimental structure to the experimental SAXS curve (Fig. 2b).

The crystal structure includes four ARM domains and two BRCA2 F15X peptides (Fig. 2c, d). The ARM domains A and D, as well as B and C, dimerize through a symmetric interface of about 950 Å\textsuperscript{2}, formed by their N-terminal regions from E122 to I156 (Fig. 2d; Supplementary Fig. 5a). This interface is mediated by hydrophobic residues from helices a1, helices a2, and the N-terminus of helices a3. In contrast, the ARM domains A and C, as well as B and D, have a very small direct interface of less than 100 Å\textsuperscript{2}. They are juxtaposed, one chain being rotated around its main axis by about 90° relatively to the other and interact mainly through BRCA2 (Fig. 2c). The BRCA2 peptide in orange (chain E) runs along the V-shaped groove formed by chains A and C. Similarly, the other BRCA2 peptide (in red; chain F) runs along the groove formed by chains B and D. At the center of the tetramer, a symmetric interface of about 250 Å\textsuperscript{2} is observed between the ARM domains A and B, which involves helices a2 and helices a5 (Supplementary Fig. 5b). This interface is poorly conserved. In summary, two ARM dimers interact through two BRCA2 peptides to form a tetramer; within the tetramer, two types of conserved interfaces are observed, either between monomers from the same dimer (chains A and D, as well as B and C, see boxed view in Fig. 2d), or between the ARM domains and the BRCA2 peptides (see main panel in Fig. 2d).

**Repeated motifs in BRCA2 hold together two HSF2BP ARM dimers.**

The 3D structures of the complexes between, on the one hand, the ARM domains A and C and the peptide E, and on the other hand the ARM domains B and D and the peptide F, are remarkably similar (Fig. 3a). In these structures, two ARM monomers form a BRCA2-bonding surface of 2740 Å\textsuperscript{2}, which is in the upper range of interaction surfaces, even for a complex between a folded domain and an intrinsically disordered peptide\textsuperscript{36}. The BRCA2 peptide engages 48 aa in this interaction. In chains E and F, the N-terminal sequence, from N2291 to E2328, interacts with ARM domains C and D, respectively, whereas the C-terminal sequence, from D2312 to T2338, interacts with ARM domains A and B, respectively. The 3D structures of the ARM domains interacting with the same region of the peptide are highly similar, whereas the 3D structures of two ARM domains interacting with different regions of the BRCA2 peptide show some local structural variations, as measured by the root-mean-square deviations between their Ca atoms (see Table in Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 6). Another remarkable feature of this complex is that similar surfaces of the ARM domains recognize the N-terminal and the C-terminal regions of the BRCA2 peptide (Fig. 3b; Supplementary Fig. 7). Indeed, a surface of about 1540 Å\textsuperscript{2} formed by helix a1, helix a4 and the N-terminal region of a5, helix a7 and the N-terminal region of a8, helix a10 and loop a10a11, and finally loop a12a13 on one ARM domain interacts with the N-terminal sequence of the BRCA2 peptide. A smaller surface of 1200 Å\textsuperscript{2} formed by helix a1, helix a4 and the N-terminal region of a5, helix a7 and the N-terminal region of a8, only the C-terminal region of a10 and loop a10a11 on the other ARM domain interacts with the C-terminal sequence of the peptide. The surface common to the two binding interfaces is conserved through evolution and mainly positively charged (Fig. 3c). The surface specific to the interface with the N-terminal region of the peptide, including the N-terminus of helices a7 and a10 and loop a12a13, is less conserved.

Further analysis of the interface revealed that, even more strikingly, the N-terminal and C-terminal sequences of the BRCA2 peptide interacting with different ARM domains have similar structures (Fig. 3d). BRCA2 motif 1, from N2291 to G2313, and BRCA2 motif 2, from T2314 to R2336, can be nicely superimposed, and interact with the same surface of their respective ARM domains. Sequence alignment between the two motifs identified five identical residues: D2294/2317, R2295/2318, S2303/2326 (also a proline in some organisms), L2304/2327, and...
residue that is hydrogen-bonded only to motif 1; it strongly binding (Fig. 4a; Supplementary Fig. 1c, d). R277E modified both motifs 1 and 2. Consistently, they totally abolished H/C, Y238A, N239K affected residues that are hydrogen-bonded –c–d). These conserved interactions between motif 1 and motif 2 of BRCA2 and two ARM monomers belonging to two different dimers trigger tetramerization of the ARM domain. In summary, analysis of the crystal structure of the BRCA2-HSF2BP complex identified a repeated motif in BRCA2 that is able to bind to the ARM domain of HSF2BP, thus causing tetramerization of the dimeric ARM domain.

Fig. 2 The ARM domain tetramers upon BRCA2 binding. a SEC-MALS profiles from two independent experiments, performed either on free ARM (orange: OD normalized to 1; red: mass) or on ARM bound to F15X (light blue: OD normalized to 1; dark blue: mass) (column: Superdex 200 10/300 GL). b SEC-SAXS curve and resulting distance distribution obtained on ARM bound to F15X (blue). The experimental SAXS curve is compared to the theoretical SAXS curve calculated with CRYSSOL from the X-ray structure of the complex (orange). Residual errors are plotted as a function of the scattering angle (resulting chi² value: 1.8 Å²). c, d Different views of the crystal structure of the complex, illustrating how the ARM dimers, formed by chains A (wheat) and D (teal) and chains B (yellow) and C (pale blue), are held together through their interactions with the BRCA2 peptides. c The ARM domains are represented as surfaces, whereas the BRCA2 F15X peptides are displayed as tubes colored from their N-terminus (blue) to their C-terminus (red). d The ARM chains are represented as cartoons, and the BRCA2 F15X peptides as orange (chain E) and red (chain F) tubes. A zoom view of the dimerization interface between ARM chains A and D is displayed in a dotted box: only helices α1–α4 are displayed for clarity. The dimerization interface is mediated by hydrophobic residues from helices α1 (M123, A126, A127, L130, L131, and V134), helices α2 (V140, I144), and the N-terminus of helices α3 (L151, F153, and L156). About a quarter of this interface is due to the interaction between the highly conserved L131 and F153 from one monomer and the highly conserved L130 from the other monomer (side chains displayed as black sticks, L131 and F153 from chain A, as well as L130 from chain D are labeled).

Residues essential for HSF2BP binding to BRCA2. We compared the interface observed in our crystal structure with the point mutants we designed based on homology modeling of the solvent-exposed structural neighbors of R200 and analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation (Fig. 1a–c). Substitutions N192R, R200E/H/C, Y238A, N239K affected residues that are hydrogen-bonded to both motifs 1 and 2. Consistently, they totally abolished binding (Fig. 4a; Supplementary Fig. 1c, d). R277E modified a residue that is hydrogen-bonded only to motif 1; it strongly decreased binding. G199D changed a residue that is completely buried in ARM, and abolished binding. N243H affected a residue that is totally buried at the interface with both motifs; it also totally abolished binding. M235T modified a residue that is half buried at the interface with both motifs. This variant still weakly bound to BRCA2. Only E201A and K245T, which changed two residues forming an intramolecular salt-bridge partially buried at the interface with both motifs, did not impact BRCA2 binding (Fig. 4a; Supplementary Fig. 1c, d). Altogether, coimmunoprecipitation assays validated the essential role played by the conserved ARM surface in binding to BRCA2 in human cells. Furthermore, these assays highlighted the critical role played by asparagine residues N192 and N239 from the ARM surface. Despite the lack of secondary structure elements in bound BRCA2, the presence of asparagine residues that interact through their side chains with the backbone amide proton and oxygen of BRCA2 residues mimics the formation of a β-sheet hydrogen bond network between the ARM domains and BRCA2 (Fig. 4b). These interactions are independent of the BRCA2 sequence, as they involve only the backbone atoms of BRCA2. On the BRCA2 side, consistently with our ITC results, the only mutations clearly decreasing or abolishing the interaction are in the region L2304-P2329 (Fig. 1b). Most mutations of residues defining motif 1 (L2304K, S2309N), and motif 2 (R2318Q, P2329L) either strongly decreased or abolished binding to HSF2BP (Fig. 4c, d; Supplementary Fig. 1c, d). In contrast, mutations of the highly conserved BRCA2 residues E2292, F2293, and P2334 did not result in any
loss of binding, despite F2293 and P2334 being buried at the interface with HSF2BP. These residues were mutated into leucine: their hydrophobic character was conserved, which might explain the lack of associated binding defect (Fig. 4d). In summary, the impact of human polymorphisms at the HSF2BP-BRCA2 interface was characterized, and a set of mutations of highly conserved residues was identified that severely decreased the interaction between these two proteins in cells.

Functional interaction with HSF2BP requires Brca2 exon 12. Previously, we showed that excising exon 12 from BRCA2 in human cells, mimicking a naturally occurring BRCA2 splice form, renders them completely resistant to the inhibitory effect HSF2BP has on HR in the context of DNA interstrand crosslink repair15. This suggested complete disruption of the functional interaction between HSF2BP and BRCA2Δ12. Analysis of our crystal structure revealed that exon 12 encodes motif 1, whereas exon 13 encodes motif 2 (Fig. 5a). Thus, deleting exon 12 should lead to the loss of more than half of the binding interface, and also to the absence of resulting tetramerization of the ARM domain. To test this hypothesis, we measured the affinity of HSF2BP for the F15X peptide which has the sequence encoded by exon 12 deleted, named F15XΔ12 (D2312-E2342). This affinity is in the micromolar range, that is 1000-fold weaker than the affinity of HSF2BP for F0 (Fig. 5b). Moreover, the stoichiometry of the interaction is now 1, demonstrating that each HSF2BP molecule binds to its own BRCA2 peptide. Further analysis of the ARM-F15XΔ12 complex using SEC consistently showed that the ARM domain does not oligomerize upon binding to F15XΔ12 (Fig. 5c). To test the effect of exon 12 loss under physiological conditions, we created the Brca2 exon 12 deletion in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells, where HSF2BP is expressed natively16. To further validate the specificity of our system, we also engineered another Brca2 in-frame exon excision, deleting exons 12–14 which encode all of BRCA2 residues involved in the interaction with HSF2BP (Fig. 5d). In addition to the different Brca2 exon excisions we homozgyously knocked-in GFP expression sequence at the 3’ end of Hsf2bp or Brca2 coding sequence16,37. This allowed us to study HSF2BP-BRCA2 interactions under native expression levels, and at the same time take advantage of the highly efficient GFP nanobody precipitation, thus reducing the chance of missing any possible residual interaction between the proteins, while minimizing non-specific background associated with indirect immunoprecipitation. To avoid non-linear amplification in immunoblotting, we used fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies instead of enzymatic detection. Pull-downs from cells producing full-length, Δ12 or Δ12–14 BRCA2-GFP from engineered homozygous alleles revealed near-complete (by 95 ± 3%, n = 4) and complete abrogation of HSF2BP-co-precipitation in Δ12 and Δ12–14, respectively (Fig. 5d). Pull down of HSF2BP-GFP from Hsf2bpΔ12Δ14/GFP or Δ12Δ14/Δ12Δ14 ES cells revealed an essentially complete disruption (97–99%, n = 2) of co-precipitation in BRCA2-Δ12, and only background signal for BRCA2-Δ12–14 (Fig. 5e). Consistently, pull down of GFP-HSF2BP from HEK293T or HeLa cells showed no binding to several FLAG-F9 variants (Supplementary Fig. 1d). We also tested co-precipitation between human proteins in HeLa cells overproducing GFP-HSF2BP and producing full-length, Δ12 or Δ12–14 BRCA2 from engineered native alleles. Human BRCA2 and HSF2BP behaved similar to the mouse proteins (Fig. 5f) and consistent with the functional experiments we described before15. Thus, in agreement with our biophysical data, loss of Brca2 exon 12 strongly decreased interaction with HSF2BP. To evaluate its effect on HSF2BP-BRCA2 in functional contexts in cells, we analyzed HSF2BP-GFP diffusion in living cells and its recruitment to ionizing radiation-induced nuclear foci, using the same engineered Hsf2bp and Brca2 allele combinations in mES cells. Characteristic (BRCA2-like) constrained diffusion of HSF2BP-GFP we described before16 was dramatically affected by exons 12–14 deletions; in particular the slow-diffusing and immobile species were gone (Supplementary Movies 1–4). We further noted that in Brca2 Δ12 and Δ12–14 cells, HSF2BP-GFP fluorescence intensity in the nucleus was reduced, and more fluorescence was observed in the cytoplasm, which made it altogether impossible to apply the quantitative single particle tracking analysis we used before. We observed a similar reduction in nuclear fluorescence and co-localization of HSF2BP-GFP with RAD51 in ionizing radiation-induced nuclear foci in immunofluorescence experiments (Fig. 5g, h). Taken together, this and the functional experiments in human BRCA2Δ12Δ14 cells, indicate that exclusion of the BRCA2 domain encoded by exon 12 leads to a severe defect in the interaction with HSF2BP in cells.

### Table 2 Data collection and refinement statistics.

|                | ARM-F15X-native | ARM-F15X-Se-Met |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Data collection** |                 |                 |
| Space group    | P1              | P2_1            |
| Cell dimensions |                 |                 |
| a, b, c (Å)    | 52.45, 70.77, 75.87 | 52.89, 135.83, 75.79 |
| α, β, γ (°)    | 96.47, 90, 110.38, 90 | 109.44, 103.99 |
| Resolution (Å) | 48.4-2.7 (2.77-2.7)a | 49.6-2.6 (2.67-2.6)a |
| R<sub>merge</sub> | 0.053 (1.035) | 0.062 (1.395) |
| R<sub>merge</sub> | 0.074 (1.837) | 0.158 (3.589) |
| I / σ(I)       | 7.3 (0.6) | 8.0 (0.5) |
| I / σ<sup>2</sup>(I) | 8.8 (1.3) | 9.4 (0.9) |
| CC<sub>1/2</sub> | 0.997 (0.300) | 0.998 (0.159) |
| CC<sub>1/2</sub>| 0.997 (0.479) | 0.998 (0.355) |
| Completeness (%) | 96.7 (93.5) | 100 (99.8) |
| Completeness (%) | 80.0 (38.1) | 83.7 (28.4) |
| Redundancy | 2.8 (2.8) | 7.4 (7.5) |
| B Wilson | 68.99 | |
| **Refinement** |                 |                 |
| Resolution (Å) | 49.6–2.6 | |
| No. reflections | 25870 | |
| R<sub>work</sub>/<R<sub>free</sub> | 0.189/0.259 | |
| No. atoms | 7383 | |
| Protein | 7241 | |
| Ligand/ion | 2 | |
| Water | 140 | |
| Protein residues | 945 | |
| B-factors | 87.2 | |
| Protein | 87.7 | |
| Ligand/ion | 64 | |
| Water | 62.5 | |
| R.m.s. deviations |                 |                 |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.013 | |
| Bond angles (°) | 1.58 | |
| PDB entry code | 7BDX | |

The anisotropic diffraction data for ARM-F15X-native were truncated using STARANISO to include all valid data (reflections with χ(θ(I)) of 1.2) to resolutions of 2.68, 2.22, and 2.50 Å along the 0.705°, -0.400°, -0.164°, 0.180°, -0.970°, -0.164°, 0.196°, -0.262°, -0.945° directions, respectively. Similarly, the anisotropic diffraction data for ARM-F15X-SeMet were truncated using STARANISO to include all valid data to resolutions of 2.69, 2.91, and 2.47 Å along the 0.861°, -0.508°, 0.052°, -0.994° directions, respectively.

aValues in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

Values after truncation by STARANISO.
high-affinity interaction between BRCA2 and HSF2BP and of the resulting HSF2BP oligomerization. To study this in the context of meiotic HR, we created a Brca2Δ12/Δ12 mouse model (Fig. 6a–c). Consistent with the robust proliferation of the engineered BRCA2 Δ12 HeLa and mES cells, Brca2Δ12/Δ12 mice were viable, born at Mendelian ratios, and did not show any overt phenotypes. Contrary to our expectation, the Δ12 mutation did not phenocopy the Hsf2bp knockout, as not only females, but also males were fertile, with normal sperm counts and a significant increase rather than a reduction in testis weight (Fig. 6d–g). Morphology of testis tubule sections was normal (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Molecular analysis of the meiotic prophase progression did not reveal any major defects: the small (6–18%) reductions in the number of recombinase foci were only significant for RAD51 in leptotene and zygotene...
In this paper, we analyzed the structural properties and functional consequences of the BRCA2-HSF2BP interaction and tested the emerging model of its involvement in meiosis. The essential roles of BRCA2 and HSF2BP in meiotic HR have been clearly demonstrated previously. BRCA2 interacts with both RAD51 and DMC1 recombinases, is required for their accumulation at meiotic DSB in mice and stimulates their activity in vitro. But how BRCA2 balances its activity with respect to RAD51 and DMC1 and integrates with the meiotic-specific HR machinery in a timely manner during meiosis remains unclear. Direct data on its behavior in meiocytes is scarce, and mechanistic models are a timely manner during meiosis remains unclear. Direct data on its behavior in meiocytes is scarce, and mechanistic models are

Discussion

In this paper, we analyzed the structural properties and functional consequences of the BRCA2-HSF2BP interaction and tested the emerging model of its involvement in meiosis. The essential roles of BRCA2 and HSF2BP in meiotic HR have been clearly demonstrated previously. BRCA2 interacts with both RAD51 and DMC1 recombinases, is required for their accumulation at meiotic DSB in mice and stimulates their activity in vitro. But how BRCA2 balances its activity with respect to RAD51 and DMC1 and integrates with the meiotic-specific HR machinery in a timely manner during meiosis remains unclear. Direct data on its behavior in meiocytes is scarce, and mechanistic models are mostly based on extrapolation. The proposed role of the recently identified HSF2BP, required for RAD51 and DMC1 accumulation at meiotic DSBs during spermatogenesis in mice, is to bring BRCA2 to meiotic DSBs. We tested this hypothesis by disrupting the HSF2BP-binding region of BRCA2 in mice.

We first characterized the BRCA2-HSF2BP interaction in vitro. We had previously identified that the region of BRCA2 binding to HSF2BP is located between its BRC repeats and its C-terminal DNA binding domain (Fig. 1b and ref. 16). Our structural analysis revealed that this BRCA2 region contains a duplicated motif, which was not previously recognized from its primary amino acid sequence. Each motif binds to the same residues of an Armadillo domain (Fig. 3c). Many Armadillo domains interact through their concave surface with largely disordered partners. These groove is able to recognize a 23 aa motif located in a conserved and disordered region of BRCA2. Because this motif is duplicated in BRCA2, and each motif binds to a different ARM dimer, the interaction triggers further oligomerization of the Armadillo domain into a tetramer. The affinity of BRCA2 for HSF2BP is 1 nM, which is significantly higher than the affinities yet measured between BRCA2 disordered regions and its partners (Fig. 3c). Many Armadillo domains interact through their concave surface with largely disordered partners. The Armadillo domain of HSF2BP contains four Armadillo repeats (Supplementary Fig. 7a). Altogether, they form a positively charged groove delimited by helices a1 to a3 (Fig. 2d) and presents on each monomer a large conserved groove, which indicates a binding site for functionally important partners (Fig. 3c). Many Armadillo domains interact through their concave surface with largely disordered partners. However, after deleting motif 1 encoded by exon 12, motif 2 alone binds to HSF2BP with a micromolar affinity and is unable by itself to trigger oligomerization of the ARM domain into a tetramer (Fig. 5b).

Consistent with our in vitro study, we observed in mouse ES cells that deletion of exon 12, coding for motif 1, causes a severe decrease in the BRCA2-HSF2BP interaction, and deletion of exons 12 to 14, coding for motifs 1 and 2, completely abolishes this interaction (Fig. 5d, e). We previously showed that HSF2BP mutation R200T abolishes localization of the GFP-tagged HSF2BP protein to mitomycin C-induced repair foci. Consistently, we now demonstrated that the BRCA2 region encoded by exon 12 is responsible for HSF2BP localization at irradiation-induced DSBs (Fig. 5g). We further previously reported that, in human cells, excising exon 12 from BRCA2, mimicking a naturally occurring BRCA2 splice form, rendered them completely resistant to the inhibitory effect HSF2BP has on HR in the context of DNA interstrand crosslink repair. Based on these structural, biochemical, and functional experiments, we developed a Brca2−/− mouse line to test the emerging model, which posits that HSF2BP-BRME1 complex acts as a meiotic localizer of BRCA2, and thus predicts that...
disengaging BRCA2 from HSF2BP will phenocopy Hsf2bp deficiency. However, we could not detect any major differences in meiosis in Brca2Δ12/Δ12 mice compared to Brca2+/+ (Fig. 6). Not only females, but also males were fertile, had a normal sperm count and increased testis weights. While the latter is opposite to the greatly reduced testis sizes in Hsf2bp knockout and hard to explain, we noted a progressive increase in testis, epididymis, and body weights from +/+ to Δ/Δ genotypes, although the differences in body and epididymis weights are not as notable or not even statistically significant, as was observed for testes weights. Regarding our detailed immunocytochemical analyses of the progression of homologous chromosome pairing and meiotic DSB repair during meiotic prophase, it is clear that these events occurred grossly normal, except for a significant although small reduction in the number of RAD51 foci in early stages. Still, the number of DMC1 foci, as well as MLH1 foci were normal indicating normal meiotic crossover formation after successful HR. Despite the clear reduction in BRCA2-HSF2BP interaction in Brca2Δ12/Δ12 testes, HSF2BP foci numbers were not significantly reduced. A small decrease in the number of BRME1 foci in Brca2Δ12/Δ12 leptotene spermatocytes may be indicative of some interdependence between BRCA2, HSF2BP, and BRME1, but hard to reconcile with the normal HSF2BP foci within the proposed models. Altogether, these analyses show that the high-affinity interaction between BRCA2 and HSF2BP, together with the oligomerization of HSF2BP triggered by this interaction, are not essential for HR in meiosis.

The BRCA2 localizer function of HSF2BP was suggested primarily based on the observation of the localization of recombinant GFP-tagged BRCA2 fragments produced by electroporation of expression constructs into wild-type and HSF2BP-deficient testis27. In these experiments, a BRCA2 fragment including the HSF2BP-binding region and the C-terminal ssDNA binding domain co-localized with RPA2 at DSB sites in an HSF2BP-dependent manner27. The presence of various ssDNA-binding proteins (SPATA22, MEIOB, and RPA) in HSF2BP and BRME1 immunoprecipitates further supported the model and led to the suggestion that HSF2BP and BRME1 act as adaptors, anchoring

---

**Fig. 4 Crystal structure explains the effect of human SNP variants on HSF2BP-BRCA2 interaction.**

**a** 3D view of the ARM chain D in complex with motif 1 of BRCA2 F15X chain F. The ARM and BRCA2 protein fragments are displayed as gray and black ribbons, respectively. The side chains substituted for coimmunoprecipitation studies are displayed in sticks, and colored as in Fig. 1a (red: no binding; blue: residual binding with the residue name underlined when wild-type binding was observed). **b** Zoom views on residues forming a hydrogen bond network between ARM and BRCA2 F15X. Asparagine side chains from ARM interact with backbone atoms from BRCA2 F15X. The side chains of BRCA2 are not displayed; consistently, the BRCA2 residue names are in brackets. Hydrogens were added to the crystal structure for clarity. **c** 3D view of the ARM chains B and D in complex with the BRCA2 F15X chain F. The ARM and BRCA2 protein fragments, as well as the mutated side chains, are displayed as in **a**, except that full labels are shown for BRCA2 residues. **d** Zoom views on BRCA2 F15X mutations P to L and their interacting residues in ARM chains B and D. Residues marked in italics have not been mutated in our study.
Fig. 5 Deletion of Brca2 exon 12 disrupts HSF2BP-BRCA2 interaction in cells. a Structure of the HSF2BP dimer interacting with the BRCA2 peptide colored by encoding exon number. b ITC experiment with HSF2BP and a truncated variant of F15X peptide missing residues encoded by exon 12 (F15XΔ12). c ARM and the complex between ARM and F15XΔ12 analyzed by analytical gel filtration (column: Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL). d Immunoblot analysis of proteins co-precipitated with anti-GFP nanobody beads from mES cells containing homozygous Brca2-GFP allele without (full) or with deletions of exon 12 or exons 12-14. The experiment was performed four times with similar results. e Immunoblot analysis of proteins co-precipitated with anti-GFP nanobody beads from double knock-in mES cells containing homozygous Hsf2bp-GFP and Brca2 alleles without or with deletion of exons 12 or 12-14. The experiment was performed two times with similar results. f Immunoblot analysis of proteins co-precipitated with anti-GFP nanobody beads from HeLa cells stably overproducing GFP-HSF2BP or GFP control, and in which BRCA2 allele was modified by excision of exons 12 or exons 12-14, or unmodified wild-type (wt) BRCA2. g Hsf2bpGFP/GFPBrca2wt/wt, Hsf2bpGFP/GFPBrca2Δ12/Δ12, and Hsf2bpGFP/GFPBrca2Δ12-14/Δ12-14 mES cells were irradiated with 8 Gy, fixed after 2 h recovery, immunostained with anti-RAD51 antibody, mounted with DAPI, and imaged using laser confocal microscope. HSF2BP-GFP was detected by direct fluorescence. Maximum projection of three confocal slices (0.5 µm apart) is shown. Scale bar = 5 µm. h Quantification of HSF2BP-GFP and RAD51 foci from the experiments as the one shown in g. Data from three independent experiments are plotted following the SuperPlots approach66: symbol colors indicate biological replicas (n = 3), small symbols show number of foci per nucleus, violin plot shows the combined frequency distribution, with lines indicating median and quartiles; large circles indicate means within replicas, dotted lines visualize changes after irradiation. Replica means were compared by one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparison test, p-values are indicated.
BRCA2 to the protected ssDNA. Such adaptors have not been identified in somatic HR. Both BRCA2 and its equally important partner PALB2, also involved in meiotic HR\textsuperscript{39}, are well equipped for the recruitment to resected DSBs with a set of DNA-, RAD51- and chromatin-binding domains, and can stimulate RAD51 and/or DMC1 activity autonomously in vitro. As a localizer, HSF2BP neither mimics DNA as does the BRCA2-interacting protein DSS1\textsuperscript{40}, nor interacts with ssDNA or dsDNA (Supplementary Fig. 9; see also ref. \textsuperscript{22}). However, even with these reservations regarding the localizer model, we fully expected the HSF2BP-binding domain of BRCA2 to be essential for meiotic HR, because it is conserved, and no somatic function could be assigned to it by several previous studies in human cancer cells\textsuperscript{41,42}. The high evolutionary conservation of the BRCA2-HSF2BP interaction,
Fig. 6 Meiotic phenotype of Brca2 exon 12 deletion mouse model. a Schematic depiction of the domain composition of the BRCA2 protein and the exons 11-15 encoding HSF2BP-binding and DMC1-binding domains. Introns are not drawn to scale; different exon phases are indicated by the shape of the boundary. Location of Cas9 cut sites for exon 12 and exons 12-14 excision is shown. b RT-PCR on cDNA from mouse testis with indicated genotypes confirming the loss of exon 12; primer locations are shown on the exon scheme in a. The PCR was performed twice with the same results. c Immunoblot analysis of proteins precipitated from Brca2+/− and Brca2Δ12/Δ12 mouse testes using anti-HSF2BP antibody and, as control, with anti-RAD51 antibodies; and the input samples; performed as described in “Methods” section. The experiment was performed four times with similar results. d testis weight, e bodyweight, f sperm count, and g epididymis weight in Brca2Δ12/Δ12 and control mice. n = 5 animals for Brca2+/−, n = 6 for Brca2Δ12/Δ12; n = 3 (testis weight and bodyweight), and n = 2 (epididymis weight and sperm count) for Brca2Δ12/−. Mean, s.e.m., and p values from one-way ANOVA with Tukey test are indicated. h-n Immunofluorescent analysis of meiotic protein localization on spermatoocyte spreads from Brca2Δ12/Δ12 and control mice. Representative images (h, i, scale bars = 5 μm) and quantification of RAD51 (j), DMCI (k), MLH1 (k), HSF2BP (m), and BRME1 (n) foci are shown with mean and s.e.m. indicated with error bars. Symbol shapes designate individual animals: n = 3 animals for Brca2+/− and Brca2Δ12/Δ12, n = 2 for Brca2 Δ12/−, n = 2 (BRME1), and n = 1 (HSF2BP) for Hsf2bp Δ−. Mean, s.e.m., and p values from two-tailed unpaired t-test for selected pairwise comparisons within prophase stages are indicated. The number of analyzed nuclei is indicated for each genotype and stage.

initially evident from sequence analysis and interchangeability of human and frog HSF2BP proteins in biochemical experiments, is further emphasized by the structure we solved. The HSF2BP binding surface is a particularly highly conserved part of HSF2BP (Supplementary Fig. 2). This means that the oligomerization-inducing interaction between the two proteins is under strong selective pressure and thus functionally relevant. Further investigation of the role of HSF2BP and the HSF2BP-BRCA2 interaction in meiosis, and outside of it, is now required.

Our crystal structure also revealed the mechanism of BRCA2-induced HSF2BP oligomerization observed in the biochemical experiments (Fig. 2, refs. 16,25). The main contribution to the nanomolar affinity we measured comes from the large 2740 Å2 interface between one BRCA2 peptide and two HSF2BP ARM domains from two different ARM dimers. A second contribution is made by additional contacts between two ARM dimers (Supplementary Fig. 5b). As the corresponding interface is small (350 Å2 vs. twice 2740 Å2 in one BRCA2-ARM complex), its contribution to the thermodynamic parameters we measured by ITC is not expected to be significant, and its role may be in establishing proper spatial orientation of the ARM domains. Thus, HSF2BP is able to oligomerize through several distinct mechanisms: it was previously reported that full-length HSF2BP contains an N-terminal domain forming coiled coils, we now show how its armadillo domains dimerize and further tetramerize upon binding to BRCA2. Each oligomerization mechanism can be under separate regulatory control, allowing HSF2BP to serve as a versatile and potent agent increasing the local concentration and/or modifying the oligomerization state of BRCA2. This can play a positive role in some contexts and be detrimental in others. For example, we previously found that HSF2BP, when produced ectopically in somatic cancer cells, interferes with the role of BRCA2 in DNA interstrand crosslink repair by causing its degradation. The findings we report here suggest that this degradation, which is mediated by the p97 segregase and is proteasome-dependent, could result from HSF2BP-induced BRCA2 aggregation.

Altogether, we conclude that the evolutionarily conserved high-affinity oligomerization-inducing interaction mode between HSF2BP and BRCA2 we described in this paper is not required for the recruitment of RAD51 and DMC1 strand exchange proteins and for productive HR in meiosis. While this does not rule out that co-localization of HSF2BP and BRCA2 at meiotic DSBs via alternative compensatory interactions is essential, it raises the question as to why the peculiar repetitive structure evolved and remained conserved. It also opens the possibility that the meiotic function of HSF2BP associated with fertility may result from other interactions, mediated either by its N-terminal coiled-coil domain, which binds BRME1, by the conserved surface of its ARM domain binding to other proteins with sequences similar to the cryptic repeat our structure revealed in BRCA2, or by other parts of the ARM domain.

Methods

Cells, DNA constructs, and transfection. HeLa (human cervical adenocarcinoma, female origin) and HEK293T (human embryonic kidney, female origin) cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 200 U/ml penicillin, 200 µg/ml streptomycin, and 89 β-2-mercaptoethanol. Expression constructs for producing point mutation and truncation variants of HSF2BP and BRCA2 in human cells were engineered as described before in the PiggyBac vectors by Gibson assembly. For transient expression into HEK293T cells plasmid DNA was transfected using calcium precipitation method or PEI transfection. For stable integration into HeLa cells PiggyBac expression vectors were co-lipofected together with PiggyBac transposase plasmid (hyPhase) with Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher).

GFP knock-in alleles in mES cells were engineered using the previously described CRISPR/Cas9-stimulated approach. All gRNAs were cloned into a derivative of pX459 vector. Excision of BRCA2 exon 12 from mES cells was performed with gRNAs targeting the same sequences in intron 11 and intron 12 as those used to produce Brca2Δ12 mouse alleles (see below). Excision of exons 12−14 from Brca2Δ12/Δ12 cells performed with gRNAs targeting the same sequence in intron 14 CCAACCAGCCCGGTCAAGTT. IB10 or the previously described27 Brca2Δ12/Δ12 were used as parental cell lines. Excision of exons 12−14 from HeLa cells was performed with the same gRNA target in intron 11 as used before and the following target in intron 14: AGGAGGCGATGAACCTCG. Cell lines and other biological materials generated for the study can be shared upon reasonable request, subject to institutional MTA. Cells were genotyped by PCR. Excision was further confirmed by RT-PCR analysis of the first-strand cDNA produced from total mRNA with oligo-dT primers with SuperScript II polymerase (Invitrogen).

Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting. Cells were washed twice in ice-cold PBS and lysed in NETT buffer (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% Triton-X100) supplemented immediately before use with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and 0.4 mg/ml Pefabloc (Roche) (NETT+). 490 µl NETT++ buffer was used per 145 mm dish 3T3 cells, 1 ml for HeLa and HSF293T. After 5−15 min, cells were scraped off and collected in 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tubes; lysis was continued for additional 20–30 min on ice, then mixtures were centrifuged (15 min, 4 °C, 14,000 × g), the supernatant (input) was added to washed anti-GFP beads (Chromotek). Beads and lysates were incubated 2–4 h at 4 °C while rotating, washed three times in NETT++ buffer and bound proteins were eluted by boiling in 2x Sample buffer. Immunoblotting was performed following standard procedures with the following antibodies: anti-GFP mAb (Roche, #11814460001), anti-GFP pAb (Abcam #ab290 and Invitrogen #A11212), anti-RAD51p, anti-BRCA2 mAb Ab1 OP-95 (Millipore #OP95), anti-BRCA2 (Abcam #27976), anti-Flag (M2 antibody, Sigma, F3165 and F1804). For quantitative immunoblotting fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies were used: anti-mouse CF680 (Sigma #SAB460199), anti-rabbit CF770 (Sigma #SAB460215); membranes were scanned using Odyssey CLx imaging system (LI-COR).
For tests immunoprecipitation, one whole tests was homogenized in 2 ml of NETT++ or RIPA++ (50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton-X100, 0.5% NaDeoxycholate, 1% SDS, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 mM DTT) immediately before use with Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, 11836145001) and 0.4 mg/ml Pefabloc (Roche) buffer by 3–5 s pulse in Polytron homogenizer. The lysate was allowed to settle on ice for 20–40 min, transferred to mini-centrifuge tubes and cleared by 15 min centrifugation at maximum speed (–13,000 × g) at 4 °C. A 70 µl aliquot of the cleared supernatant was mixed with 70 µl of the 2× sample buffer and denatured for 5 min at 95 ºC (input sample). The remainder of the supernatant was divided into two fractions, which were incubated with mixing at 4 °C for 4 h with homemade affinity-purified anti-HSF2BP (SY127, 40 µg/ml), anti-RAD51 (2037, 2 µl/IP) or pre-immune sera (4 µl/IP) cross-linked into three fragments: the top part was immunoblotted with sheep anti-BRCA2 antibody47 followed by ECL detection with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (ThermoFisher, A16041), the middle and bottom parts with anti-BRME1 and anti-HSF2BP followed by fluorescence detection. Sample bands were quantified on a two-percentage tris-acetate SDS-PAGE gel (3–8% NuPAGE, ThermoFisher EA0375) to establish detection of high-molecular weight proteins, such as BRCA2 in total cell lysates.

**Protein expression and purification.** Human full-length HSF2BP WT and R200T were expressed using a pETM11 (6xHis-TEV) expression vector in E. coli BL21 DE3 Rosetta2 cells, and purified as previously reported (Brandsma et al., Cell Rep 2019). The aramidin domain of HSF2BP, from aa 122 to aa 334, which we will refer to as ARM domain, was also similarly expressed as a fusion protein using a pETM11 (6xHis-TEV) expression vector in E. coli BL21 DE3 Rosetta2 cells and purified as full-length HSF2BP. Human BRCA2 fragment F0 was expressed using a PET-22b expression vector as a fusion protein comprising BRCA2 from aa 2213 to aa 2342 (including mutation C2232T to avoid oxidation problems), a TEV site, a GB1 and 6xHis tag, in E. coli BL21 DE3 Star cells. The BRCA2 gene was optimized for expression in E. coli, expressed as a periplasmic protein via a 4xHis-TEV cross-linker and synthesized by GenScript. In addition, smaller BRCA2 fragments were produced using the same strategy: F34 (aa 2291 to aa 2342) and F15X (aa 2252 to aa 2343) on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) using a HPLC gradient of imidazole. The tag was cleaved by the TEV protease (at a ratio of 2% w/w). Human full-length HSF2BP protein was purified from transformed E. coli BL21 (DE3) Rosetta2 (ARM and Star) F15X cells, respectively, grown in minimum media (16 g of NaHPO4, 4 g of KH2PO4, 1 g of NaCl, 0.5 g of EDTA, 0.4 g of FeCl2, 0.04 g of ZnCl2, 0.006 g of CuCl2, 0.005 g of CoCl2, 0.005 g of H3BO3, 4 g of glucose, 20 mg of thiamine, 20 mg of biotin, 1 g of (NH4)2SO4, 0.5 g of MgSO4, and 0.1 g of CaCl2 in 1 l of M9Bqi), supplemented with 200 mg of each amino acid and 125 mg of SeMet per 1 l of medium.

For NMR analysis, 15N- and 13C-labeled BRCA2 fragments were expressed in E. coli BL21 DE3 Star cells grown in M9 medium containing either 0.5 g/1 15NH4Cl or 0.5 g/1 13NH4Cl and 2 g/l 13C-glucose, respectively. For crystalllography, selenium-metionine (SeMet)-labeled ARM and F15X were produced in transformed E. coli BL21 (DE3) Rosetta2 (ARM) and Star (F15X) cells, respectively, grown in minimum media (16 g of NaHPO4, 4 g of KH2PO4, 1 g of NaCl, 0.5 g of EDTA, 0.4 g of FeCl2, 0.04 g of ZnCl2, 0.006 g of CuCl2, 0.005 g of CoCl2, 0.005 g of H3BO3, 4 g of glucose, 20 mg of thiamine, 20 mg of biotin, 1 g of (NH4)2SO4, 0.5 g of MgSO4, and 0.1 g of CaCl2 in 1 l of M9Bqi), supplemented with 200 mg of each amino acid and 125 mg of SeMet per 1 l of medium.

Human full-length HSF2BP protein was purified using a Bio-Sil resin (Agilent) equilibrated in 25 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol. The proteins were injected at 0.8–1 mg/ml in 100 µl. Data were analyzed using the ASTRA software; a calibration was performed with BSA as a standard.

SEC coupled to SAXS was available on the SWING beamline at synchrotron SOLEIL, in order to obtain a distance distribution corresponding to each sample in solution. The free HSF2BP protein as well as the complex between ARM and F15X was loaded on an SEC column (A)GE Healthcare) at 0.15 ml/min. The first phase contained the tag (Δ 2 mg/ml) or bound to F15X of A15X12 (at 5 mg/ml, ARM to peptide ratio 1:1.2) in 25 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol. The OD values from the elution of ARM alone were multiplied by 2.5 to be compared to the OD values from the elution of ARM bound to F15X12.

Crystallization and structure determination. Prior to crystallization, the complex between ARM and F15X was loaded on a size exclusion chromatography column HR 10/300 Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, in order to prevent the presence of aggregates. The complex was then concentrated up to 10 mg/ml. Initial crystallization experiments were carried out at the High Throughput Crystallization50. Crystals were prepared for X-ray diffraction experiments using the CrystalDirect51. The crystals were obtained using a sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 291 °C. One µl of protein and reservoir solution containing 100 mM MgCl2, 100 mM MES pH 6 and 16% (v/v) PEG 3350 were mixed. Needle crystals appeared within 3 days, were grown for 1–2 weeks and were frozen in liquid nitrogen after cryoprotection using the reservoir solution supplemented with 20% glycerol.

Crystallization data were collected on the beamlines PROXIMA-1 and PROXIMA-2 at the SOLEIL synchrotron (St Auin, France) and reduced using the XDS package. First phases for a triclinic crystal form (Table 2) were obtained by molecular replacement using the program MOLREP version 11.7.03 from CCP4 and different homologous models. One of the models obtained by the Robetta server gave the best correlation against the final translation function. The starting model was obtained by adding the selenium substructure from a SeMet SAD dataset from the same crystal form with only ARM protein-containing seleniummethionines. Later a monoclinic crystal form (Table 2) was obtained from a complex with both ARM and F15X containing seleniummethionines. The collected SeMet SAD dataset (wavelength of data collection: lambda = 0.97918 A) allowed to directly calculate phases, without external model contributions, and confirmed the initial model built in the triclinic crystal form. Se sites were found using the SHELX C/D/E suite of programs. These sites were refined using PHASER version 2.8.2 in EM mode. The resulting Se SAD phases were improved by density modification using PARROT version 1.0.4 and a model automatically build using BUCANNEER version 1.6.10 confirming the sequence attribution for ARM and F15X. The resulting model underwent iterative cycles of manual reconstruction in COOT and refinement in BUSTER version 2.10.3 (Table 2). At the end of the refinement, 90% and 3.3% of the residues were in favored and outlier regions of the Ramachandran plot, respectively. Few residues were not visible in the electron density (L55 in chain B, V52 and A53 in chain C, loop 51-55, F69, and R208 in chain D, and H33 in chain F). These residues were included in the pdb file, but with

trimethyl-silyl-propane-sulfonate (DSS) was used as a chemical shift reference.
an occupancy of 0. The final pdb file and monodacnic dataset have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (entry code 7BDX) [https://doi.org/10.22120/pdb7bdx/pdb]).

Meiotic spread nuclei preparations and immunocytochemistry. Meiotic testicular cells were spread as previously described27. For immunocytochemistry, the slides were washed in PBS (3 × 10 min), blocked in 0.5% w/v BSA and 0.5% w/v milk powder in PBS followed by staining with primary antibody which was diluted in 10% w/v BSA in PBS and incubated overnight at room temperature in a humid chamber. Subsequently, the slides were washed with PBS (3 × 10 min), blocked in 10% normal goat serum (Sigma) in blocking buffer (supernatant of 5% w/v milk powder in PBS centrifuged at maximum speed for 10 min) followed by staining with secondary antibody which was diluted in 10% v/v normal goat serum (Sigma) in blocking buffer and incubated for 2 h at room temperature in a humid chamber. Finally, the slides were washed with PBS (3 × 10 min) and embedded in Prolong Gold with DAPI (Invitrogen). The following primary antibodies and secondary antibodies were used: mouse anti-DMC1 (1:1000,Abcam ab11054), mouse anti-SYCP3 (1:200, Abcam ab97672), mouse anti-MLH1 (1:25, BD Pharmingen 51-1327GR), and rabbit polyclonal anti-RAD51 (1:1000,11), rabbit anti-HSF2BP (1:30, #1272), and rabbit anti- BERME1 (1:100, #227), rabbit polyclonal anti-SYCP1 (1:5000)49 and guinea pig anti-SYCP2 (1:100)50 and guinea pig anti-HORMAD2 (1:100)60. Secondary antibodies: goat anti-guinea pig Alexa 546 (Abcam, A-11074 1:5000), goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (Invitrogen, A-11008 1:500), goat anti-rabbit Alexa 546 (Invitrogen, A-11010 1:500), goat anti Mouse Alexa 488 (Invitrogen, A-11010 1:500), goat anti mouse Alexa 355 (Invitrogen, A-21422 1:500), and goat anti mouse Alexa 633 (Invitrogen, A-21090 1:500).

Immunostained spreads were imaged using a Zeiss Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope 700 with 63x objective immersed in oil. All images within one analysis were taken with the same taken with the same intensity. Images were analyzed using ImageJ (Fiji) software. BRME1, RAD51, and DMC1 foci quantification was performed using the ImageJ Analyze particles in combination with a manual threshold and particles smaller than 0.0196 µm² and larger than 0.98 µm² were excluded. MLH1 foci were counted manually and blind by three individual researchers. HSF2BP foci quantification was performed using the ImageJ function “Analyze particles” in combination with a manual threshold. Since HSF2BP intensity is variable between slides and this influences the foci count, this tendency to merge as the intensity increases, size of particles was taken into account by adjusting the foci count in each nucleus in such a way that all large (equal or larger than twice the average) HSF2BP-positive areas were divided by the average area size of 0.25 µm² to obtain more accurate foci number (this average focus size was calculated from particles with 0.25 µm² size). All images were used to identify HSFP2BP foci using a global threshold (minimum cross-entropy) based on the DAPI signal. The masked images were used to identify HSF2BP foci automatically using CellProfiler31. In short, nuclei were segmented using a global threshold (minimum cross-entropy) based on the DAPI signal. The masked images were used to identify HSFP2BP foci using a global threshold (Robust background method with standard deviations above background). Other testes were placed in PBS, dounce homogenized, and sperm cells were counted. For histological analysis, testes were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS (overnight) and further processed for histological analysis using standard methods. Other tests were placed in PBS and further processed for immunocytochemistry as described in the corresponding section. For fertility assessment, breedings were set up between Brca2+/−/Δ12 and wild-type C57BL/6 animals.

Immunofluorescence and microscopy. Immunofluorescence staining was performed on ES cells grown overnight on a glass coverslip coated with laminin. Sterile 24 mm coverslip was placed in a 6-well plate, and a 100 µl drop of 0.05 mg/ml solution of laminin (Roche, 12143217010) was pipetted in the middle of the drops. The plates were left for ~30 min to allow the laminin solution was aspirated, and cell suspension was placed in the well. DNA damage was induced by irradiation with 8 Gy X-ray followed by 2 h recovery. Cells were washed with PBS, pre-extracted in sucrose buffer (0.5% Triton X-100, 20, 100 µM HEPES pH 7.9, 50 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 1 mM sucrose) for 1 min, fixed for 15 min in 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS at room temperature, immunostained with anti-RAD51 antibody and mounted with DAPI. Images were acquired using Leica SP8 confocal microscope in automatic tile scan mode. Maximum projections from a z-stack of three confocal planes through a 1 µm slice were used for analysis. Single channel images were used for simultaneous amplification of the wild-type and the Δ12 alleles (PCR products 663 and 314 bp, respectively). RT-PCR verification was performed on cDNA produced from testis RNA with oligo-dT primers and SuperScript II polymerase; the following primers were used: e1A CTATTTCCTGTATGGTCCTGT; e2 TGGCCATCTGGA GTGCTTIT; e3 GTCGGAGCGGTAATGAGT; e4 TCTCGGAGACACTG CACTT; and e5 GAGGCGTCTAGGAAAGATTCG.

Adult wild-type and Brca2+/−/Δ12 males were sacrificed and weighed, and testes and epididymides were collected and weighed. Epididymides were collected in PBS, dounce homogenized, and sperm cells were counted. For histological analysis, testes were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS (overnight) and further processed for immunocytochemistry using standard methods. Other tests were placed in PBS and further processed for immunocytochemistry as described in the corresponding section. For fertility assessment, breedings were set up between Brca2+/−/Δ12 and wild-type C57BL/6 animals.
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