Abstract:

In this paper we continue to develop further our prescription [arXiv:1602.02962] to holographically compute the conformal partial waves of CFT correlation functions using the gravitational open Wilson network operators in the bulk. In particular, we demonstrate how to implement it to compute four-point scalar partial waves in general dimension. In the process we introduce the concept of OPE modules, that helps us simplify the computations. Our result for scalar partial waves is naturally given in terms of the Gegenbauer polynomials. We also provide a simpler proof of a previously known recursion relation for the even dimensional CFT partial waves, which naturally leads us to an odd dimensional counterpart.
1 Introduction

The correlation function of a set of primary operators in a $d$-dimensional CFT can be decomposed into its partial waves. For example, the correlation function of four scalar primary operators can be decomposed as

$$
\langle O_1(x_1)O_2(x_2)O_3(x_3)O_4(x_4) \rangle = \sum_O C_{12O}C_{34O}^{O} W_{\Delta,i}^{(d)}(\Delta_i, x_i)
$$

(1.1)

where $C_{12O}$ are the OPE coefficients and the partial wave $W_{\Delta,i}^{(d)}(x_i)$ is

$$
W_{\Delta,i}^{(d)}(\Delta_i, x_i) = \left(\frac{x_{23}}{x_{13}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1-\Delta_2)} \left(\frac{x_{14}}{x_{24}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_3-\Delta_4)} (x_{12}^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1+\Delta_2)} (x_{34}^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_3+\Delta_4)})^{-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_3+\Delta_4)} G_{\Delta,i}(u, v)
$$

(1.2)
The pre-factor is determined by the conformal invariance and the function $G_{\Delta,l}(u,v)$ – referred to as the conformal block – depends only on the conformally invariant cross-ratios $u,v$. A lot is known about these conformal partial waves/blocks. For instance, a general expression for conformal partial waves (CPW) of four-point scalar correlators is given in [1] (see also [2, 3]). Written in terms of the complex coordinates $z, \bar{z}$ where $u = z \bar{z}$ and $v = (1 - z) (1 - \bar{z})$, closed form expressions are known for all even $d$ for scalar CPW [1, 4]. Also closed form expressions for scalar conformal blocks for particular choice $z = \bar{z}$ are known for all dimensions [5, 6]. Powerful recursion relations between blocks in even $d$ are found in [4]. A different choice of parametrising the cross-ratios through $z = x e^{i \theta}$ and $\bar{z} = x e^{-i \theta}$ was also advocated in [1, 7].

Since AdS/CFT provides a natural avenue to answer questions in CFT $d$ in terms of AdS$_{d+1}$ gravity (and vice versa) it is natural to ask how to compute the conformal partial waves of a given correlation function of primary operators in a CFT holographically. To achieve this two distinct prescriptions have been proposed so far in the literature:

1. Geodesic Witten Diagrams [8]: This prescription is based on the second order Einstein-Hilbert formulation of gravity in which the conformal partial waves are given by the so called geodesic Witten diagrams. This has been generalised further in [9–16].

2. Gravitational Open Wilson Networks [17, 18]: This prescription is suitable for the first order Hilbert-Palatini formulation of the bulk theory in which the conformal partial waves are given by appropriate gravitational open Wilson networks (OWN). These are studied and generalised for 2d CFTs in [19–22].

In this paper we restrict ourselves to the second prescription, and provide further computational methods for its implementation in general dimensions. Before proceeding further let us review some essential aspects of this construction (see [17] for more details).

In the first-order Hilbert-Palatini formulation of AdS$_{d+1}$ gravity [23, 24] the basic fields are the vielbeins $e^a$ and the spin-connections $\omega^{ab}$. They are conveniently combined into a 1-form gauge field $A$ in the adjoint of the $so(1,d+1)$ algebra as:

$$A = \frac{1}{l} e^a M_{0a} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ab} M_{ab} \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{M_{0a}, M_{ab}\}$ are the generators of $so(1,d+1)$ with $a, b = 1, \cdots, d + 1$. In this theory we consider a set of gauge covariant Wilson Network operators. In particular,

- One starts with an open, directed and trivalent graph (such as in Fig. (1) ) whose every line (internal as well as external) carries a representation label of the (Euclidean) conformal algebra $so(1,d+1)$.

- The representations of interest are those non-unitary infinite dimensional irreps which are obtained by appropriate Wick rotation of the corresponding UIR of the associated Lorentzian conformal algebra $so(2,d)$ of the CFT$_d$. Such an irrep can be labeled by $(\Delta; l_1, \cdots, l_{d/2})$ where $\Delta$ is the conformal weight and $l_i$ label which irrep the primary transforms in, under the boundary rotation group $so(d)$. 
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Figure 1: A typical directed trivalent Open Wilson Network

• Next, one associates an open Wilson line (OWL) operator

\[ W_x^y(R, C) = P \exp [\int_x^y A] \] \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

for 1-form \( A \) in (1.3) to the line labelled by the irrep \( R \), connecting the points \( x \) and \( y \) in the graph.

• At every trivalent vertex where three lines carrying representation labels \( (R_1, R_2, R_3) \) join – one glues the corresponding OWLs with the appropriate Clebsch-Gordan coefficients to make the vertex gauge invariant.

• One projects each of the external lines onto Cap States [25–27] – a set of states in the conformal module \( R \) labelling that leg that also provides a finite-dimensional irrep of the \( so(d + 1) \) subalgebra whose generators are \( M_{ab} \) used in (1.3).

• One evaluates these OWNs for the gauge connection \( A \) that corresponds to the Euclidean Poincare \( AdS_{d+1} \). Such a gauge connection has to satisfy the flatness condition

\[ F := dA + A \wedge A = 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

• Finally one takes the external legs to the boundary and reads out the leading component of the OWN - and these compute the relevant conformal partial waves.

This leading component of the OWN satisfies the conformal Ward identities and conformal Casimir equations expected of the partial waves of a correlator of primaries that are inserted at the points on the boundary to which the end points of the external legs of the OWN approach.

In short, the basic ingredients needed to compute our OWNs are (i) Wilson lines, (ii) CG coefficient and (iii) the cap states. These were found for \( d = 2 \) in [17] for the most general case. When the external legs were taken to the boundary the computation reduced to simple Feynman-like rules that require the knowledge of what we called legs (more precisely the conformal wave
functions) and the CG coefficients. The explicit computations using these rules to find the global conformal blocks of correlators of primary operators (with any conformal dimension and spin) was demonstrated explicitly for $d = 2$ in [17] (see also [18]).

Even though the general prescription for computing the partial waves of correlators of any set of primaries (in arbitrary representations of the rotation group of the boundary theory) in general CFT$_d$ using OWNs was laid down in [17], the actual computations in higher dimensions could not be carried through as some of the necessary ingredients were missing. In this work we would like to report some progress in this direction. In particular, we will demonstrate how to implement our prescription explicitly for the scalar CPW $W^{(d)}_{\Delta,0}(\Delta_i,x_i)$ in any CFT$_d$. Our results include a simplification of the computation of OWNs using the concept of OPE modules - which are close analogues of the OPE blocks that were studied in the literature [28, 29]. With this simplification we compute the scalar 4-point blocks in general dimension and show that our prescription reproduces the known answers [1]. Remarkably, our results are naturally given in Gegenbauer polynomial basis [1, 7]. Further, we show that there is a non-trivial recursion relation that emerges from our prescription which relates the scalar blocks in $d + 2$ dimensions to those of $d$ dimensions. This relation reproduces the one in [4] in the context of even $d$, and provides an analogue for the odd $d$ cases.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: The section 2 contains the construction of the modules and the conformal wave functions required for the computation of scalar blocks. We also introduce the concept of OPE module here and use it to carry out the computation of the 4-point scalar blocks in general dimensions. The section 3 contains details of how our answers match with several known results in $d \leq 4$. In section 4 we derive recursion relations between different dimensions. In section 5 we include a couple of generalisations: most general bulk analysis in $d = 1$, more general bulk geometries in $d = 2$. We provide a discussion of our results and open questions in section 6. The appendices contain some relevant mathematical results used in the text.

## 2 Scalar OWN in General Dimensions

In this section we would like to provide details on how to explicitly compute the OWNs in $AdS_{d+1}$ spaces, with all lines (both external and internal) carrying scalar representations.

### 2.1 Collecting the Ingredients

As has been alluded to in the introduction the basic ingredients are Wilson lines, cap states and CG coefficients. We start with collecting these ingredients first.

**Wilson Lines**

We will be evaluating the OWN in the background of the Euclidean $AdS_{d+1}$ geometry with $\mathbb{R}^d$ boundary (i.e, Poincare $AdS_{d+1}$) with the metric:

$$l^{-2} ds^2_{AdS_{d+1}} = d\rho^2 + e^{2\rho} dx^i dx^i.$$  \tag{2.1}
For this, working with the frame:

\[ e^i = l e^\rho dx^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, d, \quad e^{d+1} = l d\rho \]  

(2.2)

the Wilson line reduces to

\[ W_x^y(R,C) = P \exp[\int_y^x A] = g(x) g^{-1}(y) \]  

(2.3)

as was shown in [17], with

\[ g(x) = e^{-\rho M_{0,d+1}} e^{-x_a (M_{0,\alpha} + M_{\alpha,d+1})} g_0, \]  

(2.4)

where the algebra generators are taken in the representation \( R \) of \( so(1,d+1) \). Using the standard identification of \( so(1,d+1) \) generators as the conformal generators of \( \mathbb{R}^d \):

\[
D = -M_{0,d+1}, \quad P_\alpha = M_{0,\alpha} + M_{\alpha,d+1}, \quad K_\alpha = -M_{0,\alpha} + M_{\alpha,d+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\alpha\beta}
\]  

(2.5)

where \( \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, d \), the coset element \( g(x) \) reads:

\[ g(x) = e^{\rho D} e^{-x^a P_a} g_0. \]  

(2.6)

This gives us the Wilson lines.

**The Scalar Caps**

To project the external legs of the OWN operator we seek states, in the representation space \( R \) carried by that external leg, that transform in a (finite dimensional) irrep of the subalgebra \( so(d+1) \) with generators \( \{ M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\alpha,d+1} \} \) [27]. In particular, for the scalar cap this finite dimensional representation is the trivial one, that is, annihilated by \( \{ M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\alpha,d+1} \} \). Let us now construct these states.

In terms of the generators in (2.5) the \( so(1,d+1) \) algebra reads

\[
\begin{align*}
[M_{\alpha\beta}, P_\gamma] &= - (\delta_{\alpha\gamma} P_\beta - \delta_{\beta\gamma} P_\alpha), \\
[M_{\alpha\beta}, K_\gamma] &= - (\delta_{\alpha\gamma} K_\beta - \delta_{\beta\gamma} K_\alpha), \\
[P_\alpha, K_\beta] &= -2 M_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} D, \\
[D, P_\alpha] &= P_\alpha, \\
[D, K_\alpha] &= -K_\alpha.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.7)

We work with irreps \( R \) of \( so(2,d) \) that become UIR of \( so(2,d) \) obtained by a Wick rotation. This implies the following reality conditions

\[ M_{0,d+1} = M_{0,d+1}, \quad M_{0,\alpha} = -M_{0,\alpha}, \quad M_{\alpha,d+1} = M_{\alpha,d+1}, \quad M_{\alpha\beta} = -M_{\alpha\beta}. \]  

(2.8)

In terms of the generators in (2.5) these mean:

\[ D^\dagger = D, \quad P_\alpha^\dagger = K_\alpha, \quad M_{\alpha\beta}^\dagger = -M_{\alpha\beta}. \]  

(2.9)
Then the scalar cap state $|\Delta\rangle$ is defined to be a state in the scalar module $(\Delta, l_i = 0)$ that satisfies the conditions:

$$M_{\alpha\beta}|\Delta\rangle = (P_\alpha + K_\alpha)|\Delta\rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.10)}

We can construct it as a linear combination of states in the module over the scalar primary (lowest weight) state $|\Delta\rangle$ which satisfies

$$D|\Delta\rangle = \Delta |\Delta\rangle, \quad M_{\alpha\beta}|\Delta\rangle = K_\alpha |\Delta\rangle = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.11)}

Rest of the basis states of the module take the form $|\Delta, k\rangle = N_k P_{k_1} \cdots P_{k_d} |\Delta\rangle$. The solution to the scalar cap state equation (2.11) was provided first in [27] (see also [25, 26] for $d = 2$ case). We rederive it here for completeness. For this note that the cap state has to be a singlet under $so(d)$ and therefore can only depend on $P_\alpha P^\alpha$. So write

$$|\Delta\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(\Delta, d) (P_\alpha P^\alpha)^n |\Delta\rangle,$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.12)}

and impose $(P_\alpha + K_\alpha)|\Delta\rangle = 0$ to determine the coefficients $C_n$. Carrying out this straightforward exercise gives

$$C_n(\Delta, d) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n} n! (\Delta - \mu)_n}.$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.13)}

With these (2.12) can be seen to be equivalent to the one in [27] using the definition of the Bessel function of first kind $J_\alpha(x)$. We will need the dual (conjugate under (2.9)) of this cap state which is given by:

$$\langle \langle \Delta | = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(\Delta, d) \langle \Delta | (K_\alpha K^\alpha)^n$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(2.14)}

with the same $C_n$ as in (2.13).\footnote{This scalar cap in the $d = 2$ case can be seen to be equivalent to that with $h = \bar{h}$ cap used in [17] (see also [26] and more recently [30] for a different perspective).}

In fact one can obtain more general cap states. For instance, in the case of $d = 2$, we [17] provided expressions for cap states in the module over the primary state $|h, \bar{h}\rangle$ that transform under $(j, m)$ representation of $so(3)$ algebra. In other dimensions one should seek caps that transform under arbitrary finite dimensional irreps of $so(d + 1)$ – to be used in computing the OWNs with primaries that are not just scalars (see (6.1) for the vector cap state – provided for illustration). We however will not pursue this further here.

**CG coefficients**

The last ingredient in the computation of the OWN expectation values is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (CGC) of the gauge algebra $so(1, d + 1)$. Some of these are known – see for instance
see that the OWN for the 3-point function \((\mathbf{m})\) of three representations. That is, the CGC that appear in the tensor product decomposition \(R_1 \otimes R_2 \rightarrow R_3\) satisfy:
\[
R_1[g(x)]_{m_1,m'_1} R_2[g(x)]_{m_2,m'_2} C^{R_1,R_2;R_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} R_3[g(x)^{-1}]_{m'_3,m_3} = C^{R_1,R_2;R_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} C^{R_1,R_2;R_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} R_3[g(x)^{-1}]_{m'_3,m_3} \tag{2.15}
\]
where \(R_i[g(x)]_{m,m_i'}\) is used to denote the matrix elements of \(g(x)\) in the representation \(R_i\), whose basis elements are collectively labelled by \(\mathbf{m}_i\). In terms of the algebra elements \(M_{AB}\) with \(A,B = 0,1, \cdots , d + 1\), this eq.\((2.15)\) reads:
\[
R_1[M_{AB}]_{m_1,m'_1} C^{R_1,R_2;R_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} + R_2[M_{AB}]_{m_2,m'_2} C^{R_1,R_2;R_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} = C^{R_1,R_2;R_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} R_3[M_{AB}]_{m'_3,m_3} \tag{2.16}
\]
which is the recursion relation that determines the CGC. Now we argue that this is equivalent to the conformal Ward identity of the 3-point function of primary operators corresponding to the irreps \((R_1, R_2, R_3)\). The prescription of \([17]\) for the 3-point function of scalar primaries is to extract the leading term, i.e. the coefficient of \(e^{-\rho(\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta_3)}\) term – in the boundary limit of
\[
\langle \Delta_1 | g(x_1) | \Delta_1, \mathbf{m}_1 \rangle \langle \Delta_2 | g(x_2) | \Delta_2, \mathbf{m}_2 \rangle \langle \Delta_3, \mathbf{m}_3 | g^{-1}(x_3) | \Delta_3 \rangle \tag{2.17}
\]
We now show that this quantity satisfies the conformal Ward identity. To see this we note the following identities \([17]\):
\[
g(x) M_{AB} = l^\mu_{AB}(x) \partial_\mu g(x) + \frac{1}{2} M_{bc} g(x) \left[ \omega_{\mu}^{bc}(x) l^\mu_{AB}(x) + (R[g(x)])^{bc}_{AB} \right]
M_{AB} g^{-1}(x) = -l^\mu_{AB}(x) \partial_\mu g^{-1}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \omega_{\mu}^{bc}(x) l^\mu_{AB}(x) + (R[g(x)])^{bc}_{AB} \right] g^{-1}(x) M_{bc} \tag{2.18}
\]
where the \(l^\mu_{AB}(x)\) are the components of the Killing vector of the background geometry \((2.1)\) carrying the indices of the corresponding \(so(1,d+1)\) algebra generator \(M_{AB} \in \{M_{0a}, M_{ab}\}\) of the left hand side. Next we consider:
\[
\langle \Delta_1 | g(x_1) M_{AB} | \Delta_1, \mathbf{m}_1 \rangle \langle \Delta_2 | g(x_2) | \Delta_2, \mathbf{m}_2 \rangle C^{\Delta_1,\Delta_2;\Delta_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} \langle \Delta_3, \mathbf{m}_3 | g^{-1}(x_3) | \Delta_3 \rangle
+ \langle \Delta_1 | g(x_1) | \Delta_1, \mathbf{m}_1 \rangle \langle \Delta_2 | g(x_2) | M_{AB} | \Delta_2, \mathbf{m}_2 \rangle C^{\Delta_1,\Delta_2;\Delta_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} \langle \Delta_3, \mathbf{m}_3 | g^{-1}(x_3) | \Delta_3 \rangle
- \langle \Delta_1 | g(x_1) | \Delta_1, \mathbf{m}_1 \rangle \langle \Delta_2 | g(x_2) | \Delta_2, \mathbf{m}_2 \rangle C^{\Delta_1,\Delta_2;\Delta_3}_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} \langle \Delta_3, \mathbf{m}_3 | M_{AB} g^{-1}(x_3) | \Delta_3 \rangle \tag{2.19}
\]
which vanishes identically as a consequence of the recursion relation \((2.16)\) for the CGC. On the other hand using the identities \((2.18)\) above and the fact that the scalar cap is killed by \(M_{ab}\)'s we see that the OWN for the 3-point function \((2.17)\) is invariant under simultaneous transformation of the three bulk points \((x_1,x_2,x_3)\) under any \(AdS_{d+1}\) isometry. This in turn implies the conformal Ward identity in the limit of the external points \(x_i\) approaching the boundary. It is of course true that the Ward identity completely determines the coordinate dependence of the 3-point function.
Therefore, the question of finding the CGC is translated into finding expressions for the quantities $\langle \Delta|g(x)|\Delta, m \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta, m|g^{-1}(x)|\Delta \rangle$ in the large radius limit, and then amputating them from the corresponding 3-point function (Fig. 2).\footnote{Expressions of CGC for the scalar module obtained using this procedure can be found in appendix A.}
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\caption{CG coefficients.}
\end{figure}

\subsection{Processing the Ingredients}

To proceed further we need the explicit expressions for the \textbf{in-going} legs $\langle \Delta|g(x)|\Delta, m \rangle$ and the \textbf{out-going} legs $\langle \Delta, m|g^{-1}(x)|\Delta \rangle$ which are matrix elements of $g(x)$ and $g^{-1}(x)$ between the cap states $|\Delta \rangle$ and normalised basis elements $|\Delta, m \rangle$ of the scalar module. So we turn to finding a suitable orthonormal basis for the module over a scalar primary $|\Delta \rangle$ next.

\textbf{Scalar Module for $d \geq 2$}

The descendent states take the form $|\Delta, \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d\} \rangle \sim \prod_{i=1}^{d} P^{k_i}|\Delta \rangle$. These states are eigenstates of the dilatation operator $D$ with eigenvalue $\Delta + \sum_{i=1}^{d} k_i$. States with different eigenvalues of $D$ are orthogonal. The set of states with a given conformal weight form a reducible representation of the rotation algebra $so(d)$ – which can be decomposed into a sum of irreps of $so(d)$. Then states belonging to different irreps will also be orthogonal. Therefore, a more suitable basis to work with would be in terms of the hyperspherical harmonics of the boundary $so(d)$ rotation algebra, $(P^2)^s M^l_m(P)|\Delta \rangle$ where $m$ denotes $(m_{d-2}, \ldots, m_2, m_1)$, whose conformal dimension is $\Delta + l + 2s$. In the rest of the paper we follow the conventions of [32, 33] for hyperspherical functions.\footnote{It turns out that this choice is responsible for giving the CPWs as a sum over contributions of given spin $l$, namely the Gegenbauer polynomial basis.}

\begin{align*}
(P^2)^s M^l_m(P)|\Delta \rangle &:= A_{l,s} |\Delta; \{l, m, s\}\rangle \quad (2.20) \\
\langle \Delta|(K^2)^s M^l_m(K) &:= A_{l,s}^\star \langle \Delta; \{l, m, s\}| \quad (2.21)
\end{align*}

with

\begin{equation}
\langle \Delta; \{l', m', s'\}|\Delta; \{l, m, s\}\rangle = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}\delta_{ss'} \quad (2.22)
\end{equation}

\footnote{Note that the $so(d)$ symmetry dictates that the normalisation of these states do not depend on $m$.}
To find the normalisation $A_{l,s}$ let us start with the following observation

\[ \langle \Delta | e^{y \cdot K} e^{x \cdot P} | \Delta \rangle = \frac{1}{(1 - 2x \cdot y + x^2 y^2)^{\Delta}}. \]  

(2.23)

On the left hand side of the above identity we expand the plane waves $e^{x \cdot P}$ in terms of spherical waves:

\[ e^{x \cdot P} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l + d - 2)(d - 4)! j_l^d(x \cdot P) C_{l \frac{d-2}{2}}(\frac{x \cdot P}{x P}) \]  

(2.24)

where $j_l^d(x)$ is the spherical Bessel function and $C_{l \frac{d-2}{2}}(z)$ is the Gegenbauer polynomials as defined below

\[ C_{l \frac{d-2}{2}}(x) = \frac{1}{(d - 4)!} \sum_{k=0}^{[l/2]} (-1)^k \frac{(2l - 2k + d - 4)!!}{(2k)!!(l - 2k)!!} x^{l-2k} \]  

(2.25)

and

\[ j_l^d(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s (x)^{l+2s}}{(2s)!!(d + 2l + 2s - 2)!!}. \]  

(2.26)

One can also write Gegenbauer polynomials in terms of hyperspherical harmonics using the well known identity

\[ \sum_m Y_{l,m}^*(\Omega_x) Y_{l,m}(\Omega_y) = \frac{\Gamma[\frac{d-2}{2}](2l + d - 2)}{4\pi^{d/2}} C_{l \frac{d-2}{2}}(\frac{x \cdot y}{x y}) \]  

(2.27)

Substituting these into the (2.24) we get:

\[ e^{x \cdot P} = 4 a \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^2)^s}{2^{l+2s} \Gamma[l + s + \frac{d}{2}]} \sum_m M^l_{m}(x) M^l_{m}(P)(P^2)^s \]  

(2.28)

where $M^l_{m}(x) = x^l Y_{l,m}(\Omega_x)$ and

\[ a = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2^{(d-2)/4} \Gamma[\frac{d-2}{2}]} & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\
\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{(d-1)/4} \Gamma[\frac{d-1}{2}]} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} 
\end{cases} \]  

(2.29)

Similarly

\[ e^{y \cdot K} = 4 a \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y^2)^s}{2^{l+2s} \Gamma[l + s + \frac{d}{2}]} \sum_m M^l_{m}(y) M^l_{m}(K)(K^2)^s. \]  

(2.30)

---

Even though this formal expansion looks odd as it apparently depends not only on $P$ whose square is $P \cdot P$, but also appears in the denominator of the argument of the Gegenbauer polynomial – we will shortly see that this is not a problem once interpreted correctly.
Therefore the left hand side of (2.23) takes the following form

\[
\langle \Delta | e^{y \cdot K} e^{x \cdot P} | \Delta \rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (x^2)^s (y^2)^s \sum_m M^l_m(y) M^{ls}_m(x) |A_{ls}|^2 \left(\frac{4 a \pi^{d/2}}{s! 2^{l+2s} \Gamma(l + s + d/2)}\right)^2
\]

Next we want to expand the rhs of (2.23) in the same basis. For this we first write

\[
\frac{1}{(1 - 2 x \cdot y + x^2 y^2)^\Delta} = \frac{1}{(1 - 2 \xi t + t^2)^\Delta}
\]

with \( t = x y \) and \( \xi = t^{-1} x \cdot y \). We would now like to expand this quantity in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials \( C^l_\mu(x) \). Luckily this exercise was done in [34] which reads

\[
\frac{1}{(1 - 2 \xi t + t^2)^\Delta} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(\Delta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C^l_k(\xi) t^k \frac{\Gamma(\Delta + k)}{\Gamma(\mu + k)} 2F_1(\Delta + k, \Delta - \mu; \mu + k + 1; t^2)
\]

However, we are interested in expanding the lhs of (2.33) in \( d \)-dimensional hyperspherical harmonics in \( x \) which requires us to choose \( \mu = (d-2)/2 \). Using the series representation of the hypergeometric function:

\[
2F_1(\Delta + k, \Delta - \mu; \mu + k + 1; t^2) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu + k + 1)}{\Gamma(\Delta + k) \Gamma(\Delta - \mu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta + k + n) \Gamma(\Delta - \mu + n)}{\Gamma(\mu + k + n + 1)} t^{2n} n!
\]

and using the identity (2.27) we finally arrive at

\[
\frac{1}{(1 - 2 x \cdot y + x^2 y^2)^\Delta} = \frac{4 \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\Delta)} \sum_{l,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta + l + s) \Gamma(\Delta + s - \frac{d-2}{2})}{\Gamma(l + s + d/2) s!} (x^2)^{l+2s} (y^2)^{l+2s} \sum_m M^l_m(y) M^{ls}_m(x)
\]

Comparing (2.31) with (2.35), we get

\[
|A_{ls}|^2 = \frac{2^{l+4s} \Gamma[l + s + d/2] \Gamma[\Delta + l + s] \Gamma[\Delta + s - \frac{(d-2)}{2}] s!}{4 a^2 \pi^{d/2} \Gamma[d/2] \Gamma[\Delta] \Gamma[\Delta - \frac{(d-2)}{2}]}
\]

Having found an orthonormal basis for the scalar module we would like to now compute the legs (conformal wave functions) as described in the beginning of this section.

---

6This is a remarkable generalisation of how the Gegenbauer Polynomials \( C^l_\mu(x) \) are defined through its generating function when \( \Delta = \mu \).

7While this work was in progress [35] appeared where the same result was obtained in a different context.
In-going legs: For this we start with \( g(x) = e^{\rho D} e^{-x \cdot P} \). Then

\[
\langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{l, m, s\} \rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n \langle \Delta | (K^2)^n e^{\rho D} e^{-x \cdot P} | \Delta; \{l, m, s\} \rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{l,s}^* A_{0,n} \langle \Delta, \{0, 0, n\} | e^{\rho D} e^{-x \cdot P} (P^2)^s M^l_m(P) | \Delta \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{4 \alpha \pi \frac{d}{2}}{A_{l,s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{0,n}^* \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^2)^{s'}}{s'! 2^{l'+2s'} \Gamma(l'+s'+d/2)} \sum_{m'} M_{m'}^{l'}(-x) \times \langle \Delta, \{0, 0, n\} | e^{\rho D} (P^2)^{s'} M_m^{l'}(P) M_{m'}^l(P) | \Delta \rangle
\]

Now using the identity for the hyperspherical harmonics

\[
M_m^l(P) M_{m'}^{l'}(P) = \sum_{L} \sum_{n} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} l & l' & L \\ m & m' & n \end{array} \right] (P^2)^{\frac{i l' - l}{2}} M_n^L(P)
\]

(2.37)

where \( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} l & l' & L \\ m & m' & n \end{array} \right] \) is \( so(d) \) CG coefficients, we find

\[
\langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{l, m, s\} \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{4 \alpha \pi \frac{d}{2}}{A_{l,s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{0,n}^* \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^2)^{s'}}{s'! 2^{l'+2s'} \Gamma(l'+s'+d/2)} \sum_{m'} M_{m'}^{l'}(-x) e^{\rho (\Delta + l+l'+2(s+s'))} \times \sum_{L} \sum_{n} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} l & l' & L \\ m & m' & n \end{array} \right] \langle \Delta; \{0, 0, n\} | (P^2)^{s+s'+(l+l'-L)/2} M_n^L(P) | \Delta \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{4 \alpha \pi \frac{d}{2}}{A_{l,s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{0,n}^* \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^2)^{s'}}{s'! 2^{l'+2s'} \Gamma(l'+s'+d/2)} \sum_{m'} M_{m'}^{l'}(-x) e^{\rho (\Delta + l+l'+2(s+s'))} \times \sum_{L} \sum_{n} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} l & l' & L \\ m & m' & n \end{array} \right] A_{L,s+s'+\frac{i l' - l}{2},0} \delta_{L0} \delta_{mn} \delta_{n(s+s'+\frac{i l' - l}{2})}
\]

Carrying out the summation over \( L \) and \( n \) we find

\[
\langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{l, m, s\} \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{4 \alpha \pi \frac{d}{2}}{A_{l,s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{0,n}^* \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^2)^{s'}}{s'! 2^{l'+2s'} \Gamma(l'+s'+d/2)} M_{m'}^{l'}(-x) e^{\rho (\Delta + l+l'+2(s+s'))} \times \delta_{l'l'} A_{0,s+s',\frac{i l' - l}{2},0} \delta_{n(s+s'+\frac{i l' - l}{2})}
\]

(2.38)

where we have used

\[
\sum_{m'} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} l & l' & 0 \\ m & m' & 0 \end{array} \right] M_{m'}^{l'}(x) = \delta_{l'l'} M_{m}^{l}(x).
\]

(2.39)
Therefore

\[\langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{ l, m, s \} \rangle \]

\[= \frac{4a \pi^{q_+}}{A_{l,s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{0,n} \sum_{s'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^2)^{s'}}{s'! \Gamma(l + s' + d/2)} M^l_m(-x) e^{\rho(\Delta + 2(l + s'))} A_{0,s+s'+l} \delta_{n(s+s'+l)} \]

\[= e^{\rho \Delta} \frac{4a \pi^{q_+}}{A_{l,s}} (x^2)^{-l-s} M^l_m(-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{0,n} \frac{(e^{2\rho \Delta} x^2)^{n}}{(n - s - l)!(2n - 2s - l) \Gamma(n - s + d/2)} \]

\[= e^{-\rho \Delta} \frac{4a \pi^{d/2} 2^{l+2s}}{A_{l,s}} \times M^l_m(-x) \times (-1)^{s+l} (e^{2\rho \Delta})^{l+s}(l + d/2)_s (\Delta)_t \]

\[\times 2F_1(\Delta + l + s, l + s + d/2; l + d/2; -e^{2\rho \Delta} x^2) \quad (2.40)\]

Now we want to take \(\rho \to \infty\) limit. We rewrite the hypergeometric function in the above expression using the identity

\[2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1 - z)^{-a} 2F_1(a, c-b; c; \frac{z}{z-1}) \quad (2.41)\]

as

\[2F_1(\Delta + l + s, l + s + d/2; l + d/2; -e^{2\rho \Delta} x^2) = (1 + e^{2\rho \Delta} x^2)^{-\Delta-l-s} 2F_1(\Delta + l + s, -s; l + \frac{d}{2}; \frac{e^{2\rho \Delta} x^2}{1 + e^{2\rho \Delta} x^2}) \quad (2.42)\]

In the \(\rho \to \infty\) limit the argument of the hypergeometric function tends to unity. As the following identity holds

\[2F_1(-n, b; c; 1) = \frac{(c-b)_n}{(c)_n} = \frac{\Gamma(c-b+n) \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-b) \Gamma(c+n)} \quad (2.43)\]

to the leading order in \(e^\rho\) the in-going leg becomes

\[\langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{ l, m, s \} \rangle \to e^{-\rho \Delta} \frac{4a \pi^{d/2} 2^{l+2s}}{A_{l,s}} (-1)^{s+l} M^l_m(-x) (x^2)^{-\Delta-l-s} (\Delta)_t \]

\[\times (d/2 - \Delta - s)_s + \cdots \]

where dots are subleading terms in \(\rho \to \infty\) limit. Finally we use \((-x)_n = (-1)^n(x - n + 1)_n\) and \((-1)^lM^l_m(x) = M^l_m(-x)\) to get

\[\lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{\rho \Delta} \langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{ l, m, s \} \rangle = 4a \pi^{d/2} \frac{2^{l+2s}}{A_{l,s}} (\Delta)_t \left(\Delta - \frac{d-2}{2}\right)_s (x^2)^{-\Delta-l-s} M^l_m(x) \quad (2.44)\]
For this we start with $g^{-1}(y) = e^{\nu P} e^{-\rho D}$, and compute
\[
\langle \Delta; \{l, m, s\}|g^{-1}(y)|\Delta \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n e^{-\rho(\Delta+2n)} \langle \Delta; \{l, m, s\}|e^{\nu P}(P^2)^n|\Delta \rangle
\]
\[
= 4a\pi^{d/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n e^{-\rho(\Delta+2n)} \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y^2)^{s'}}{2^{l'+2s'} \Gamma(l'+s'+d/2)} \sum_{m'} M_{l,s}^{l'}(y) \times A'_{l',s',n} \delta_{l,m'} \delta_{s,s'} \delta_{s'+n}
\]
\[
= 4a\pi^{d/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n A_{l,s} e^{-\rho(\Delta+2n)} \frac{(y^2)^{s-n}}{(s-n)! 2^{l+2(s-n)} \Gamma(l+s-n+d/2)} M_{l,s}^{l}(y)
\]
\[
e^{-\rho\Delta} \frac{4a\pi^{d/2}}{2^{l+2s}} A_{l,s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n e^{-2n\rho} \frac{2^n (y^2)^{s-n}}{(s-n)! \Gamma(l+s-n+d/2)} M_{l,s}^{l}(y)
\]
As $\rho \to \infty$, to the leading order only the $n = 0$ term contributes, so that we have the result
\[
\lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{\rho \Delta} \langle \Delta; \{l, m, s\}|g^{-1}(y)|\Delta \rangle = \frac{4a\pi^{d/2}}{2^{l+2s}} A_{l,s} \frac{(y^2)^{s}}{(s)! \Gamma(l+s+d/2)} M_{l,s}^{l}(y)
\]
The results of these rather lengthy, albeit straightforward exercises are (2.44, 2.46). These two sets of functions (2.44) and (2.46) provide a representation and its conjugate representation respectively of the conformal algebra so(1, d + 1), on which the conformal generators $\{D, M_{\alpha\beta}, P_\alpha, K_{\alpha}\}$ act through their differential operator representations on scalar primaries with dimension $\Delta$. One can use these to derive matrix representations of the conformal generators and therefore, can be more appropriately called the conformal wave functions.

Finally let us quickly carry out a check on our conformal wave functions, namely, that when they are used in our OWN prescription they have to reproduce the appropriate two-point function for the scalar primaries. According to our prescription the two-point function can be obtained as
\[
\langle O_\Delta(x) O_\Delta(y) \rangle = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{2\Delta\rho} \langle \Delta|g(x)g^{-1}(y)|\Delta \rangle
\]
\[
= \lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{2\Delta\rho} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m} \langle \Delta|g(x)|\Delta; \{l, m, s\}\rangle \langle \Delta; \{l, m, s\}|g^{-1}(y)|\Delta \rangle
\]
\[
= \sum_{l,m,s} \langle \Delta; \{l, m, s\}|g^{-1}(y)|\Delta \rangle
\]
\[
\text{Figure 3: 2-point function}
\]
As $\rho \to \infty$ the above diagram evaluates to
\[
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{l, m, s\} \rangle \langle \Delta; \{l, m, s\} | g^{-1}(y) | \Delta \rangle \\
eq e^{-2\Delta \rho} (x^2)^{-\Delta} \left(4a\pi^{d/2}\right)^2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Delta | l+s \rangle (\Delta - \frac{d-2}{2})_s}{\Gamma(l + s + d/2) s!} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{2s} (x^2)^{-l} \sum_{m} M^*_{m}(x) M_{m}(y) \quad (2.48)
\]
Finally using (2.27) and comparing with (2.33) we obtain
\[
\langle O_{\Delta}(x) O_{\Delta}(y) \rangle = 4a^2 \pi^{d/2} (x^2)^{-\Delta} \left(1 - 2 \frac{x \cdot y}{x^2} + \frac{y^2}{x^2}\right)^{-\Delta} \\
= 4a^2 \pi^{d/2} |x - y|^{-2\Delta} \quad (2.49)
\]
This is the expected result for two-point function (up to an overall constant factor - which can be gotten rid of by multiplying the cap states by appropriate overall factors).

2.3 Introducing OPE module

Finally we need to amputate the legs (2.44, 2.46) we have found in the previous subsection from the correlation function of three scalar primaries to find the CGC we need. The explicit expressions adapted to our method are given in appendix A. However, to compute, for example, the 4-point conformal partial waves we need CGCs that are already connected to two legs at a time – which is obtained easily by starting with an appropriate 3-point function and amputating only one leg. This object depends on the boundary coordinates where two of the primaries are inserted, and carries labels of basis vectors of the module of the third primary. This is a close cousin of the so called OPE block [28, 29], which we call the OPE module.

These OPE modules can be characterised by two types of identities. To spell them out let us label the representations of the conformal algebra so(1, d + 1) of interest by $(\Delta, l)$ where $\Delta$ is the conformal dimension and $l$ represents all the independent Casimirs of the representation. States in such a representation $R$ can be labelled by $(\Delta, l; m, s)$ where $m$ is again a collective index of magnetic quantum numbers. It turns out there are two types of these OPE modules which we denote by $\mathcal{B}^{(\Delta, l; m, s)}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(\Delta, l; m, s)}$. Then these OPE modules are supposed to satisfy the Ward identities:
\[
(\mathcal{L}_1[M_{AB}] + \mathcal{L}_2[M_{AB}]) B^{(\Delta, l; m, s)} = M^{(\Delta, l; m, s)} B^{(\Delta, l; m, s)}
\]
\[
(\mathcal{L}_1[M_{AB}] + \mathcal{L}_2[M_{AB}]) B^{(\Delta, l; m, s)} = -B^{(\Delta, l; m, s)} M^{(\Delta, l; m, s)} \quad (2.50)
\]
where we denote the differential operator representation and the matrix representation of the conformal generator $M_{AB}$ by $\mathcal{L}[M_{AB}]$ and $\mathcal{M}[M_{AB}]$ respectively. From these identities it is very easy to see that both types of OPE modules satisfy the corresponding conformal Casimir equations.
For the scalar blocks of interest here, the two types of OPE modules can be obtained by amputating either an in-going (2.44), or an out-going leg (2.46) from the appropriate 3-point functions: \( \langle O_{\Delta_1}(x_1)O_{\Delta_2}(x_2)O_{\Delta}(x) \rangle, \langle O_{\Delta}(x)O_{\Delta_3}(x_3)O_{\Delta_4}(x_4) \rangle \). See Fig. (4) for a pictorial representation of this procedure.

![Figure 4: OPE module from 3-point function.](image)

Finally the method to obtain the 4-point conformal partial wave using the OWN prescription reduces to taking two types of OPE modules defined above and contracting the module indices.

### 2.4 Computing the 4-point CPW

Having equipped ourselves with all the ingredients needed, we now turn to compute four-point conformal blocks for scalar primaries of conformal weights \( \Delta_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). For simplicity we take the operator insertion points to be at \( x_1 \rightarrow \infty, x_2 \rightarrow u, x_3 \rightarrow x \) and \( x_4 \rightarrow 0 \) with \( u \cdot u = 1 \). As elucidated above this four-point conformal block can be computed using two specific OPE modules.

One of the OPE modules we need can be extracted from the three-point function, with the operator insertions at \( (\infty, u, y) \) by amputating the out-going leg anchored at the boundary-point \( y \). The corresponding OPE module is shown in the figure 5 below.

![Figure 5: An OPE module](image)

The three-point function takes the form

\[
\langle O_{\Delta_1}(\infty)O_{\Delta_2}(u)O_{\Delta}(y) \rangle = \lim_{z \to \infty} (z^2)^{\Delta_1} \langle O_{\Delta_1}(z)O_{\Delta_2}(u)O_{\Delta}(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{[(u - y)^2]^{\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta - \Delta_1}{2}}} \quad (2.51)
\]
which can be expanded in terms of hyperspherical harmonics using (2.33) as

\[
\langle O_{\Delta_1}(\infty) O_{\Delta_2}(u) O_{\Delta}(y) \rangle = (4\pi^d/2) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Delta + \Delta - \Delta_1}{2} \right)_{l+s} \left( \frac{\Delta + \Delta - \Delta_1}{2} - \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_{s} (y^2)^s \sum_m M^l_m(u) M'^s_m(y) \tag{2.52}
\]

Amputation of the out-going leg (2.46) ending at \( y \) from the above expression gives

\[
\left[ \frac{4\pi^d/2}{s! (d/2)_{l+s} \left( \Delta - \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_s} \right]^{1/2} \left( \frac{\Delta - \Delta_{12}}{2} \right)_{l+s} \left( \frac{\Delta - \Delta_{12}}{2} - \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_{s} M^l_m(u) \tag{2.53}
\]

where \( \Delta_{ij} \equiv \Delta_i - \Delta_j \). Similarly to find the other OPE module we start with the three-point function

\[
\langle O_{\Delta}(y) O_{\Delta_3}(x) O_{\Delta_4}(0) \rangle = (y^2)^{\Delta_3 - \Delta_4 - \Delta} \frac{1}{[(y-x)^2]^{\Delta_3 - \Delta_4 - \Delta}} \tag{2.54}
\]

Expanding this in hyperspherical harmonics gives

\[
\langle O_{\Delta}(y) O_{\Delta_3}(x) O_{\Delta_4}(0) \rangle = (4\pi^d/2)(x^2)^{\Delta_3 - \Delta_4 - \Delta} \sum_{l,s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Delta + \Delta_3 - \Delta_4}{2} \right)_{l+s} \left( \frac{\Delta + \Delta_3 - \Delta_4}{2} - \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_{s} (x^2)^s \Delta_{-l-s} \sum_m M^l_m(y) M'^s_m(x) \tag{2.55}
\]

\[\text{Figure 6: Another OPE module.}\]

Now amputating the in-going leg (2.44) starting from \( y \), we obtain

\[
(x^2)^{\frac{(\Delta - \Delta_3 - \Delta_4)}{2}} \left[ \frac{4\pi^d/2}{s! (d/2)_{l+s} \left( \Delta - \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_s} \right]^{1/2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(d/2)_{l+s} \left( \Delta - \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_s} \left( \frac{\Delta + \Delta_3}{2} \right)_{l+s} \left( \frac{\Delta + \Delta_3}{2} - \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_{s} (x^2)^s M'^s_m(x) \tag{2.56}
\]

Finally we glue the OPE modules (2.53) and (2.56) to compute the four-point conformal partial wave (see figure 7).

\[\text{– 16 –}\]
Thus our prescription for the corresponding four-point conformal partial wave gives

\[
W^{(d)}_{\Delta,0}(\Delta, x) = (x^2)^{\left(\Delta - \Delta_{12} - \Delta_{34}\right) / 2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(d/2)} \sum_{l,s} \frac{(\Delta - \Delta_{12})_{l+s} (\Delta + \Delta_{34})_{l+s}}{s! (d/2)_{l+s} \Gamma(d/2)_{l+s} (\Delta - d/2)_{s}} \\
\times \left(\frac{\Delta - \Delta_{12} - d - 2}{2}\right)_s \left(\frac{\Delta + \Delta_{34} - d - 2}{2}\right)_s (x^2)^s \sum_m M^l_m(x) M^l_m(u)
\]  
(2.57)

Using (2.27) we express this in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials

\[
W^{(d)}_{\Delta,0}(\Delta, x) = (x^2)^{\left(\Delta - \Delta_{12} - \Delta_{34}\right) / 2} \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)}{4\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \sum_{l,s} \frac{(2l + d - 2) (\Delta - \Delta_{12})_{l+s} (\Delta + \Delta_{34})_{l+s}}{s! (d/2)_{l+s} \Gamma(d/2)_{l+s} (\Delta - d/2)_{s}} \\
\times \left(\frac{\Delta - \Delta_{12} - d - 2}{2}\right)_s \left(\frac{\Delta + \Delta_{34} - d - 2}{2}\right)_s x^{l+2s} C_{l+s}^{d/2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{x}\right)
\]  
(2.58)

This is our final result for the scalar conformal partial wave. Even though we assumed \(d \geq 2\), we will see in the next section this result also holds for \(d = 1\). Notice that as advertised in the introduction our answer is naturally given in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials.

A result for the same quantity already exists in the literature in terms of the cross ratios \([1]\).

In appendix \(B\) we show our answer agrees with their result.

In principle one can put together the conformal wave functions of section 2, and the CGC of appendix \(A\) suitably to generate the scalar CPWs of any higher-point scalar correlators as well (as was done for \(d = 2\) case in \([17]\)).

3 Recovery of Results in \(d \leq 4\)

In this section we want to recover the known results for four-point scalar conformal partial waves in \(d = 1, \ldots, 4\) from our answer (2.58). For this we find it convenient to express our answer in
different variables. Writing $x \cdot u = x \cos \theta$, we define
\[ z = x e^{i\theta}, \quad \bar{z} = x e^{-i\theta}. \] (3.1)

In terms of these variables $(z, \bar{z})$ the four-point CPW (2.58) takes the form
\[
W_{\Delta,0}^{(d)}(\Delta_i; z, \bar{z}) = (z\bar{z})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_1 - \Delta_4)} \frac{1}{4\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{l,s=0}^\infty \frac{(2l + d - 2) (\frac{\Delta - \Delta_1}{2})_{l+s}}{s! (d/2)_{l+s} (\Delta)_{l+s} (\frac{\Delta + \Delta_3}{2})_{l+s}} \left( \frac{\Delta - \Delta_2}{2} - \frac{d - 2}{2} \right)_{s} (z\bar{z})^{s+\frac{d-2}{2}} \left( \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2\sqrt{z\bar{z}}} \right) \] (3.2)

\[ d = 4: \] Substituting $d = 4$ in (3.2) and manipulating further we find
\[
W_{\Delta,0}^{(4)}(\Delta_i; z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{z - \bar{z}} (z\bar{z})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_1 - \Delta_4)} \sum_{l,s=0}^\infty \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_3) + l + s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_2) + l + s\right) \times \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_1) + s - 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_3) + s - 1\right)} {s! (l + s + 1)! \Gamma(\Delta + l + s) \Gamma(\Delta - s - 1)} (z\bar{z})^{s+l+1} - z^s \bar{z}^{l+1} = \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\beta - 1) - \frac{1}{z - \bar{z}} (z\bar{z})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_1 - \Delta_4)} [z \; _2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \Delta, z) \; _2F_1(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1, \Delta - \frac{1}{2}, z) - \bar{z} \; _2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \Delta, \bar{z}) \; _2F_1(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1, \Delta - \frac{1}{2}, \bar{z})] \tag{3.3}
\]

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_1)$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_3)$. The details of the calculation of how to go from the first to the second expression are relegated to appendix C. Our answer perfectly matches with the known results [1].

\[ d = 3: \] When $d = 3$ the Gegenbauer polynomials used to express the answer (3.2) become the Legendre polynomials, i.e., $C_{l/2}^\alpha(\cos \theta) = P_l(\cos \theta)$. Therefore, our answer reads
\[
W_{\Delta,0}^{(3)}(\Delta_i; z, \bar{z}) = (z\bar{z})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_1 - \Delta_4)} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \sum_{l,s=0}^\infty \frac{(l + 1/2) (\frac{\Delta - \Delta_1}{2})_{l+s}} {s! (3/2)_{l+s} (\Delta)_{l+s} (\Delta - 1/2)_{s}} \times \left( \frac{\Delta - \Delta_2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)_{s} (z\bar{z})^{s+\frac{1}{2}} P_l\left(\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2\sqrt{z\bar{z}}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\]

We are not aware of any closed form for this case. There exists a conjectured formula by [37] where the $d = 3$ four-point block is written in terms of $2d$ blocks. We have checked that our answer also agrees with [37] for large ranges of $l$ and $s$.

\[ d = 2: \] To recover the answer for $d = 2$ we have to take the $d \to 2$ limit of (3.2). We find
\[
W_{\Delta,0}^{(2)}(\Delta_i; z, \bar{z}) = (z\bar{z})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_1 - \Delta_4)} \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{l,s=0}^\infty \frac{(\frac{\Delta - \Delta_1}{2})_{l+s}} {s! (l + s)! (\Delta)_{l+s} (\Delta - 1/2)_{s}} \left( \frac{\Delta - \Delta_2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)_{s} (z\bar{z})^{s+\frac{1}{2}} P_l\left(\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2\sqrt{z\bar{z}}}\right) \cos(\theta) \tag{3.4}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (z\bar{z})^{\frac{\Delta - \Delta_3 - \Delta_4}{2}} \sum_{l, s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\Delta - \Delta_{12}\right)_{l+s} \left(\Delta + \Delta_{34}\right)_{l+s} \left(\Delta - \Delta_{12}\right)_{s} \left(\Delta + \Delta_{34}\right)_{s}}{(l+s)! (\Delta)_{l+s} s! (\Delta)_{s}} (z^{l+s}z^s + z^sz^{l+s})
\]

where we have used the following identity
\[
\lim_{\mu \to 0} \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu}^n(\cos \theta) = \frac{2}{l} T_l(\cos \theta) = \frac{2}{l} \cos(l\theta)
\]
where \(T_l\) are Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Finally performing the summations we recover the familiar answer for scalar CPW in two dimensions
\[
W_{\Delta,0}^{(2)}(\Delta; z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi} (z\bar{z})^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{\Delta - \Delta_{12}}{2}\right)_l, \left(\frac{\Delta + \Delta_{34}}{2}\right)_l\right] \left(\Delta; z\right)_2 F_1 \left[\left(\frac{\Delta - \Delta_{12}}{2}\right)_l, \left(\frac{\Delta + \Delta_{34}}{2}\right)_l; \Delta; \bar{z}\right]
\]

\(d = 1\): This case corresponds to \(d^2 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}\) and the corresponding Gegenbauer polynomials take the following form:
\[
C_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\chi) = \delta_{i,0} - \chi \delta_{i,1} + \theta(l - 2) \frac{1 - \chi^2}{l(l - 1)} d \chi P_{l-1}(\chi)
\]
Further, in this case all the positions of the operators are simply real numbers. In particular, the unit vector \(u\) becomes either 1 or \(-1\). Without loss of generality we take \(u = 1\). Then the argument of the Gegenbauer polynomials in (2.58), \(\hat{x} \cdot u\) also becomes \(\pm 1\) depending on the sign of \(x\). For both the cases the Gegenbauer polynomial simplifies to
\[
C_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\pm 1) = \delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,1} = \delta_{i,0} - \text{sign}(x) \delta_{i,1}
\]

Then the expression for 4-point CPW splits into two parts as follows
\[
W_{\Delta,0}^{(1)}(\Delta, x) = (x^2)^{\frac{\Delta - \Delta_3 - \Delta_4}{2}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s!} (\Delta)_{s} (\Delta + 1)_{s}\right) \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)_s x^{2s} + \text{sign}(x) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} (\Delta)_{s+1} (\Delta + 1)_{s} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)_s x^{2s+1}\right]
\]
\[
\] \[
\]

where \(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_{12})\) and \(\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_{34})\) as before. Now using the following identities for Pochhammer symbols
\[
(A)_s \left(A + \frac{1}{2}\right)_s = \frac{1}{2^{2s}} (2A)_{2s}, \quad (A)_{s+1} \left(A + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2^{2s+1}} (2A)_{2s+1}
\]

for \(A \in \{\alpha, \beta, \Delta\}\), and
\[
s! \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_s = \frac{(2s)!}{2^{2s}}, \quad s! \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{s+1} = \frac{(2s + 1)!}{2^{2s+1}}
\]

we can show that the expression (3.9) can be written as a single sum, which can be carried out to yield the answer
\[
W_{\Delta,0}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} x^{\Delta - \Delta_3 - \Delta_4} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2\alpha, 2\beta; 2\Delta; x)
\]
\[
\] \[
\]
where \(x = |x|\). This expression agrees with the known result [38, 39] for the \(d = 1\) case.
4 Seed Blocks and Recursion Relations

There exist in the literature some powerful recursion relations that enable one to compute the CPW in a given dimension in terms of those in lower dimensions [4, 36, 37]. For instance, one such recursion relation among the even dimensional CPWs was given in [4]. In this section we give a different (and simpler) proof of this relation using our answer, and provide a counterpart of such a relation among the odd dimensional CPWs. For this we begin by extracting the conformal block from the CPW via the relation: \( W_{\Delta,0}^{(d)}(\Delta, x) := (x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta+\Delta_d)}G_{\Delta}^{\mu}(\alpha, \beta; x) \) where \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_{12}) \), \( \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_{34}) \) and \( \mu = \frac{d-2}{2} \). Then from (2.58) we have:

\[
G_{\Delta}^{\mu}(\alpha, \beta; x) = (x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{l+s}(\beta)_{l+s}(\alpha - \mu)_s(\beta - \mu)_s}{s!(\Delta)_{l+s}(\mu + 1)_{l+s}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) x^{l+s} C_{l+s}^{\mu}(\cos \theta). \tag{4.1}
\]

Differentiating with respect to \( \cos \theta \) and using the identity

\[
\frac{d}{dz} C_{l}^{\mu}(z) = 2\mu C_{l+1}^{\mu+1}(z) \tag{4.2}
\]

(4.1) becomes

\[
\frac{dG_{\Delta}^{\mu}(\alpha, \beta; x)}{d(\cos \theta)} = (x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{l+s}(\beta)_{l+s}(\alpha - \mu)_s(\beta - \mu)_s}{s!(\Delta)_{l+s}(\mu + 1)_{l+s}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) x^{l+s} 2\mu C_{l+1}^{\mu+1}(\cos \theta)
\]

\[
= 2\alpha \beta \Delta (x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha + 1)_{l+s}(\beta + 1)_{l+s}(\alpha - \mu)_s(\beta - \mu)_s}{s!(\Delta + 1)_{l+s}(\mu + 2)_{l+s}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu+1} \right) x^{l+s} C_{l+s}^{\mu+1}(\cos \theta)
\]

\[
= 2\alpha \beta \Delta G_{\Delta+1}^{\mu+1}(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1; x) \tag{4.3}
\]

where, in going from the first to the second line we have replaced \( l \rightarrow l + 1 \) and used the identity: \( (\alpha)_{n+1} = \alpha (\alpha + 1)_n \). By applying this relation repeatedly (say \( k \) times) we arrive at:

\[
G_{\Delta}^{\mu}(\alpha, \beta; x) = \frac{(\Delta - k)_k}{2^k (\alpha - k)_k (\beta - k)_k} \left( \frac{d}{d(\cos \theta)} \right)^k G_{\Delta-k}^{\mu-k}(\alpha - k, \beta - k; x)
\]

\[
= \frac{(\alpha - k)(\beta - k)_{-k}}{2^k (\Delta - k)_{-k}} \left( \frac{d}{d(\cos \theta)} \right)^k G_{\Delta-k}^{\mu-k}(\alpha - k, \beta - k; x) \tag{4.4}
\]

where we have used \( (\alpha - k)_k (\alpha - k)_k = 1 \). Since \( \mu \rightarrow \mu + 1 \) corresponds to \( d \rightarrow d + 2 \) the equation (4.4) says that we can get all even (odd) dimensional conformal blocks starting from, say the 2d (3d) blocks. Writing \( d = 2k + 2 + 2\gamma \) where \( \gamma = 0 \) for even \( d \) and \( \gamma = 1/2 \) for odd \( d \), we can recast this result as

\[
G_{\Delta}^{k+\gamma}(\alpha, \beta; x) = \frac{(\alpha - k)(\beta - k)_{-k}}{2^k (\Delta - k)_{-k}} \left( \frac{d}{d(\cos \theta)} \right)^k G_{\Delta-k}^{\gamma}(\alpha - k, \beta - k; x) \tag{4.5}
\]

where using (3.1) we have defined

\[
\frac{d}{dv} = \frac{x}{z \bar{z}} \left( z \frac{d}{dz} - \bar{z} \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \right). \tag{4.6}
\]

This result for the case of \( \gamma = 0 \) (relating different even dimensional blocks) is the one found in [4] – whereas the case of \( \gamma = 1/2 \) is its odd dimensional counterpart.
5 Some Odds and Ends

In this section we present a couple of additional results that are a selection of possible generalisations in various directions of the cases considered so far. One of the limitations is the restriction to scalar operators (both in the external and the internal legs). The cases of $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ are the simplest to address in this regard. The $d = 2$ case was solved completely in [17]. The $d = 1$ case can also be treated in full generality, which we present here.

5.1 Complete $d = 1$ analysis

First we would like to compute the cap state for $1d$ case and then the $1d$ global blocks. We begin with the infinite dimensional matrix representations [40] of global conformal algebra $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ for CFT$_1$:

\begin{align}
L_1 |h, n\rangle &= \sqrt{n(2h + n - 1)} |h, n - 1\rangle, \\
L_{-1} |h, n\rangle &= \sqrt{(n + 1)(2h + n)} |h, n + 1\rangle, \\
L_0 |h, n\rangle &= (h + n) |h, n\rangle
\end{align}

(5.1)

where $D = L_0$, $P = L_{-1}$ and $K = L_1$. The bulk is the $\mathbb{H}^2$ space whose tangent space rotation group is $SO(2)$. Therefore, the cap state $|h, \theta\rangle$ transforms as a 1-dimensional irrep of $SO(2)$:

\begin{align}
(L_1 - L_{-1}) |h, \theta\rangle &= \theta |h, \theta\rangle
\end{align}

(5.2)

The parameter $\theta$, a purely imaginary number, is related to the spin of the general bulk field – we will elaborate further on this shortly. This equation can be solved for $|h, \theta\rangle$ as a linear combination of states in the module:

\begin{align}
|h, \theta\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n |h, n\rangle,
\end{align}

(5.3)

writing $C_n = \sqrt{\Gamma(2h)/n!\Gamma(2h+n)} f_n$ with the $f_n$ satisfying the recursion relation

\begin{align}
f_{n+1} = \theta f_n + n (2h + n - 1) f_{n-1}.
\end{align}

(5.4)

It is not difficult to see that the $f_n$ are generated by $G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} f_n$ where

\begin{align}
G(x) &= (1 - x)^{-h - \frac{\theta}{2}} (1 + x)^{-h + \frac{\theta}{2}}.
\end{align}

(5.5)

We find the coefficient of $\frac{x^n}{n!}$ in $G(x)$ to be:

\begin{align}
f_n &= (-1)^n \left( h - \frac{\theta}{2} \right)_n \binom{2F_1}{-n, h + \frac{\theta}{2}, -h + \frac{\theta}{2} - n + 1; -1}.
\end{align}

(5.6)

Having obtained the expression for the most general cap state in $d = 1$, we can repeat the rest of the exercises carried out in section 2 on these caps. Working with the coset element $g(x) = e^{\rho L_0} e^{-xL_{-1}}$
we can extract the leading terms in the large-\( \rho \) limit of \( \langle h, \theta | g(x) | h, k \rangle \) and \( \langle h, k | g^{-1}(y) | h, \theta \rangle \). With some further analysis we find the following simple answers in the \( \rho \to \infty \) limit:

\[
\lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{\rho h} \langle h, \theta | g(x) | h, k \rangle = (-1)^{h+\frac{\theta}{2}} x^{-2h-k} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2h+k)}{k! \Gamma(2h)} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)^k = (-1)^{h+\frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{(x-y)^{2h}}
\]

Notice that even though the general cap states depend on the spin-parameter \( \theta \) the final expressions (5.7) for the legs have essentially no dependence on it. For example, putting the legs together and performing the sum over \( k \) gives

\[
\lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{2\rho h} \langle h, \theta | g(x) g^{-1}(y) | h, \theta \rangle = (-1)^{h+\frac{\theta}{2}} x^{-2h} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2h+k)}{k! \Gamma(2h)} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)^k = (-1)^{h+\frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{(x-y)^{2h}}
\]

A comparison of the \( d = 1 \) legs here with the holomorphic part of the \( d = 2 \) case of [17] enables us to immediately write down the \( 1d \) blocks by starting with the holomorphic parts of \( d = 2 \) blocks and replacing \( h \to \Delta \) and \( z \to |x| \). It is evident that this will give rise to the 4-point block found above (3.12), and higher-point ones to match with [38, 39].

**The interpretation of \( \theta \)**

To better understand the role of \( \theta \) we must first look at the linearised bulk equations satisfied by the the legs \( \langle h, k | g^{-1}(x) | h, \theta \rangle \) and \( \langle h, \theta | g(x) | h, k \rangle \). To this end we first list the following identities [17] satisfied by \( g^{-1}(x) \)

\[
L_0 g^{-1}(x) = (-\partial_{\rho} + x \partial_x) g^{-1}(x), \quad L_{-1} g^{-1}(x) = -\partial_x g^{-1}(x), \\
L_1 g^{-1}(x) = (2x \partial_{\rho} - x^2 \partial_x + e^{-\rho} \partial_x) g^{-1}(x) + g^{-1}(x) e^{-\rho} (L_1 - L_{-1}).
\]

Using these we can easily compute the action of the \( sl(2,R) \) Casimir operator \( C_2 \) on \( g^{-1}(x) \)

\[
C_2 g^{-1}(x) = 2L_0^2 - L_1 L_{-1} - L_{-1} L_1 \\
C_2 g^{-1}(x) = 2 (\partial_{\rho}^2 + \partial_{\rho} + e^{-2\rho} \partial_x^2) g^{-1}(x) + e^{-\rho} \partial_x g^{-1}(x) (L_1 - L_{-1})
\]

Thus we see that the legs \( \langle h, k | g^{-1}(x) | h, \theta \rangle \) satisfy the second order PDE:

\[
(\partial_{\rho}^2 + \partial_{\rho} + e^{-2\rho} \partial_x^2 + \theta e^{-\rho} \partial_x)(h, k | g^{-1}(x) | h, \theta) = \Delta(\Delta-1) \langle h, k | g^{-1}(x) | h, \theta \rangle.
\]

It is not difficult to see that the other legs \( \langle h, \theta | g(x) | h, k \rangle \) also satisfy the same equation. We would now like to interpret this equation as that of a bulk local field in the background \( AdS_2 \) geometry with metric \( ds_{AdS_2}^2 = d\rho^2 + e^{2\rho} dx^2 \).

Since the boundary isometry group is just \( Z_2 \) we would expect the boundary conformal primary operators to be characterised by a scaling dimension \( \Delta \) and a parity \( \pm 1 \). But any general bulk
local field in two dimensions (once one trades off the spacetime indices for the tangent space ones) has to have only two parameters: the mass and the spin on which the bulk covariant derivative acts as

\[ D_\mu \psi(x) = \partial_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^a_\mu L_{ab} \psi(x) \]  

(5.12)

where \( L_{ab} \) is the tangent space rotation generator in the representation of \( \psi(x) \). Redefining the coordinates \( z = e^{-\rho} + ix, \bar{z} = e^{-\rho} - ix \) the metric of AdS2 becomes \( ds^2 = \frac{4dz d\bar{z}}{(z + \bar{z})^2} \). For this geometry we have the following non-zero vielbeins, spin-connections and Christoffel connections:

\[ e^+ = \frac{2dz}{(z + \bar{z})^2}, \quad e^- = \frac{2d\bar{z}}{(z + \bar{z})^2}, \quad \omega^+ = \frac{dz - d\bar{z}}{(z + \bar{z})}, \quad \Gamma_{zz}^z = \frac{-2}{z + \bar{z}} = \Gamma_{\bar{z} \bar{z}}^\bar{z} \]  

(5.13)

Since the tangent space is just \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), there is only one rotation generator \( L_{+-} \), and we can take the field \( \psi(x) \) to be an eigenstate of it with eigenvalue \( i \theta \). Then it is easy to show that such a field satisfies the following

\[ (\Box - m^2) \psi(x) = (\partial_\rho^2 + \partial_\rho + e^{-2\rho} \partial^2_\bar{z} + \theta e^{-\rho} \partial_x) \psi(x) - \left( m^2 + \frac{1}{8} \theta^2 \right) \psi(x) = 0 \]  

(5.14)

Comparing (5.11) and (5.14) we make the following identifications:

\[ \Delta(\Delta - 1) = m^2 + \frac{\theta^2}{8}. \]  

(5.15)

Therefore, we conclude that, when it is available, the parameter \( \theta \) represents the spin of the bulk field.\(^8\)

5.2 OWNs in more general AdS\(_3\) geometries

In [17] we provided the computation of CPW of vacuum correlators in CFT\(_2\) of primaries in general representations of the conformal algebra using the Wilson network prescription. Here we extend this result to include CPW of correlators in any (heavy) state, and to thermal correlators. This involves computing the OWN in appropriate locally AdS\(_3\) geometries. Recall that in Fefferman-Graham gauge the most general solution to AdS\(_3\) gravity [41] is

\[ l^{-2}ds^2 = d\rho^2 + (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2)(e^{2\rho} + T(z)\bar{T}(\bar{z}) e^{-2\rho}) + (T(z) + \bar{T}(\bar{z}))(dx_1^2 - dx_2^2) + 2i(T(z) - \bar{T}(\bar{z}))dx_1 dx_2 \]

When \(-\infty < x_i < \infty\) – it is a Euclidean locally AdS\(_3\) geometry with boundary \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). For constant values of \( T, \bar{T} \geq 0 \) these are interpreted as BTZ black holes. When \(-1/4 < T, \bar{T} < 0\) these represent heavy CFT states. We restrict to the constant \( T, \bar{T} \) cases from now on. The relevant coset element is

\[ g(x) = e^{\rho(L_o + L_\rho)} e^{-z(L_{-1} - T L_1)} e^{-\bar{z}(L_{-1} - \bar{T} L_1)} \]

\(^8\)Amusingly the same equation (5.14) arises for a complex scalar in AdS\(_2\) minimally coupled to a background electric field preserving its isometries, and with strength \( \theta \).
One can carry out the rest of the computations following [17]. We find that the expressions for legs in the $\rho \to \infty$ are:

$$\lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{p(h+\bar{h})} \langle \langle h, \bar{h}; j, \bar{j} \mid g \mid h, \bar{h} \rangle \rangle = \sqrt{\Gamma(2h+k) \Gamma(2\bar{h}+k)} \frac{\lambda (-1)^{h-k}}{\Gamma(2h-j-2k)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sinh(z\sqrt{T})} \right) \frac{2^h}{k!} \frac{2^{\bar{h}}}{\bar{k}!} \frac{\tanh(z\sqrt{T})}{\tanh(\bar{z}\sqrt{T})} \frac{\Gamma(2h) \Gamma(2\bar{h})}{\Gamma(2j) \Gamma(2\bar{j})} \frac{1}{k! \bar{k}!} \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\tanh(z\sqrt{T})} \right)^k \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\tanh(\bar{z}\sqrt{T})} \right)^{\bar{k}}.$$

Putting them together for the 2-point function yields:

$$\langle O(h,\bar{h})(x_1) O(h,\bar{h})(x_2) \rangle_{(T,\bar{T})} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sinh(z_2-z_1)\sqrt{T}} \right)^{2h} \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sinh(\bar{z}_2-\bar{z}_1)\sqrt{T}} \right)^{2\bar{h}}. \quad (5.16)$$

which is the well known two-point function of a thermal CFT [42, 43] (see also [44] and more recently [30]). The higher-point blocks can also be computed for these geometries [45].

6 Discussion

In this paper we have continued to develop further our prescription [17] to compute the conformal partial waves of CFT correlation functions using the gravitational open Wilson network operators in the holographic dual gravity theories. In particular, we have demonstrated how to use gravitational Open Wilson Networks to compute 4-point scalar partial waves (both external and the exchanged operators being scalars) in any dimension. Our result for the scalar CPW are naturally given in the Gegenbauer polynomial basis. We have compared our results with the known answers wherever available and found complete agreement. Our methods also lead to a simpler proof of the recursion relation of [4] in even dimensional CFTs, and lead to analogous recursion relations for odd dimensions.

The CPW for correlation functions of any primary with any exchanged operator has already been achieved in $d=2$ case in [17] and here in (in the simpler case of) $d=1$. It remains to generalise these computational techniques to obtain the CPWs for arbitrary representations in $d \geq 3$. This involves finding the relevant cap states, and from there the relevant legs (conformal wave functions), and OPE modules etc. This work is in progress [46] and we hope to report on it in the near future. So far we have found the caps states for vectors, rank-2 antisymmetric and symmetric traceless tensor representations, and working on finding others. For those who may be interested, we present here the expressions of the cap states for the vector representation of the
tangent space rotation algebra $so(d+1)$. This is constructed as a linear combination of the basis elements of the conformal module over a vector primary state and it is given by:

$$|\phi_\Delta, \alpha\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_\gamma P^\gamma)^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{d} A^{(n)}_{\alpha\beta}(\Delta, d) |\phi_\Delta, \beta\rangle + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_\gamma P^\gamma)^n B^{(n)}(\Delta, d) P_\alpha \sum_{\gamma=1}^{d} P_\gamma |\phi_\Delta, \gamma\rangle$$

and

$$|\phi_\Delta, d+1\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C^{(n)}(\Delta, d) (P_\gamma P^\gamma)^n \sum_{\beta=1}^{d} P_\beta |\phi_\Delta, \beta\rangle$$

with $A^{(n)} = C^{(n)}(\Delta_\phi - 1)$ and $B^{(n)} = \frac{C^{(n)}}{2} + 2(n+1)C^{(n+1)}(\Delta_\phi + n - \frac{d}{2} + 2)$.

Of course one would like to see if our method gives answers in forms more amenable to potential applications, such as in the bootstrap approach towards the classification of CFTs. Since our answers are in Gegenbauer polynomial basis it is possible that they may be found more suitable – as working with this basis is much simpler (as we have seen in section 4, for example).

An interesting set of future directions should include exploring the role of Weight shifting operators [47–49] in our formalism.

It may be of interest to compute objects similar to our OWNs in both flat and de Sitter gravity theories. Such diagrams could provide a basis of partial waves for S-matrices for scattering problems in these spaces.

Another possible generalisation should involve inclusion of boundaries and defects to the CFT [50–52] in the formalism considered.

We hope that this program will naturally lend itself to answering dynamical questions as well in CFTs.
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A CGC required for scalar CPW

Here we record results of CGC of the representations considered in the text for the algebra $so(1, d+1)$. These are needed to compute the CPW for higher-point functions from OWNs. Before we present the detailed derivation of the CGC from the 3-point functions we collect a few facts about the irreducible representations of $so(d)$ which we will use in the extraction of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

A finite dimensional irreducible representation of $so(d)$ is uniquely defined by its highest weight $[\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k]$ with

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{k-1} \geq |\mu_k| \quad \text{for } d = 2k$$
\[ \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{k-1} \geq \mu_k \geq 0 \text{ for } d = 2k + 1 \]  

(A.1)

The components \( \mu_i \) are either simultaneously integers (tensorial representations) or half-integers (spinorial representations). We only consider symmetric traceless representations of \( so(d) \) as these are the only relevant ones for the scalar CGC of Hilbert space \( H \) of square integrable function on \( S^{d-1} \). The Hilbert space can be decomposed into an orthogonal sum of subspaces \( H^i \) of homogenous polynomials of degree \( l \) in \( d \) variables. We introduce a complete orthonormal basis \( |l, \mathbf{M} \rangle \) on \( H^i \), where \( \mathbf{M} = (m_{d-2}, m_{d-3}, \ldots, m_2, m_1) \) label these basis states provided they fulfil:

\[
 l = m_{d-1} \geq m_{d-2} \geq \cdots \geq m_i \geq |m_1| \quad m_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \quad i \geq 2
\]  

(A.2)

The dimension of the space \( H \) is \( d_l = (2l + d - 2)! (l + d - 3)! \) - the number of independent components of a general symmetric traceless tensor of rank \( l \) in \( d \) dimensions. The matrix elements of the representation \( D^l \) read:

\[
 D^l_{\mathbf{M}_0}(g) = \langle l, \mathbf{M} | D^l(g) | l, \mathbf{M}' \rangle
\]  

(A.3)

In particular,

\[
 D^l_{\mathbf{M}_0}(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_l}} N^d_{l \mathbf{M}} \prod_{k=1}^{d-2} C^{m_{k+1}+k/2}_{m_k} \cos(\Phi_{k+1}) \sin^{m_k}(\Phi_{k+1}) e^{im_1\Phi_1}
\]  

(A.4)

where \( N^d_{l \mathbf{M}} \) is the normalisation w.r.t the Haar measure on \( so(d) \), \( C^{n}_{\Lambda}(z) \) are the Gegenbauer polynomials. The angles \( 0 \leq \Phi_i \leq 2\pi \) and \( 0 \leq \Phi_i \leq \pi \) for \( i \neq 1 \) can be identified with the Euler angles of a rotation \( g \) which maps the north pole \( a = (0, \cdots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d \) to an arbitrary point on \( S^{d-1} \). Then the hyperspherical harmonics on \( S^{d-1} \) are defined as follows:

\[
 |e\rangle = D^l(g)|a\rangle, \quad Y_{l \mathbf{M}}(e) = \langle e | l, \mathbf{M} \rangle, \quad \langle a | l, \mathbf{M} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{d_l}{V_d}} \delta_{\mathbf{M}_0}
\]  

(A.5)

where \( V_d = \frac{2 \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \) is the volume of unit \( S^{d-1} \) sphere. Therefore, we get

\[
 Y_{l \mathbf{M}}(e) = \sqrt{\frac{d_l}{V_d}} D^l_{\mathbf{M}_0}(g)
\]  

(A.6)

We finally list the following properties of hyperspherical harmonics which can be easily derived using the definitions given above:

1. \( Y_{l \mathbf{M}}^*(e) = (-1)^m Y_{l \mathbf{M}}(e) \) where \( \mathbf{M} = (m_{d-2}, \cdots, m_2, -m_1) \).

2. \( Y_{l_1 \mathbf{M}_1} Y_{l_2 \mathbf{M}_2}(e) = \sum_{l_3, M_3} \binom{l_1 l_2 l_3}{M_1 M_2 M_3} \binom{l_1 l_2 l_3}{000} Y_{l_3 \mathbf{M}_3}(e) \)

3. \( \binom{l_1 l_2 l_3}{000} = 0 \) unless \( l_1 + l_2 + l_3 \) is an even integer and \( l_3 = |l_1 - l_2|, \cdots, l_1 + l_2 \).

\[ -26 - \]
4. \[
\left( \frac{\Delta l^0}{\mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}^* 0} \right) = \frac{(-1)^{l-m_1}}{\sqrt{4l}} \delta_{lL} \delta_{hhh}
\]

5. \[
\sum_{(m)} (-1)^{(m_2)} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 l_2 L_2 \\
m_1 m_2 M_2
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 l_2 L_3 \\
m_1 m_2 M_3
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_2 l_3 L_1 \\
m_2 m_3 M_1
\end{array} \right) = (-1)^{l_2 + l_3 - L_1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 L_3 L_1 L_2 \\
 M_3 M_1 M_2
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 l_2 l_3
\end{array} \right)
\]

so(1,d+1) CGC for Scalar irreps

We extract CG coefficients for so(1, d + 1) for three scalars from the three-point function for scalar primary operators amputating the out-going and in-going legs we had found earlier. The out-going and in-going legs take the following forms respectively

\[
\lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{\rho \Delta} \langle \Delta | g(x) | \Delta; \{ l, m, s \} \rangle = \frac{2^{l+2s} \Gamma(\Delta + s + l) \Gamma(\Delta + s - \mu) \Gamma(\Delta - \mu)}{A_{l,s} (\rho \Delta)^{l+3/2}} M_l^\mu(x) (x^2)^{-\Delta - l - s}
\]

\[
= \left[ \frac{(\Delta)_{l+s}(\Delta - \mu)_s}{(\mu + 1)^{l+s+1} s!} \right]^{1/2} (x^2)^{-\Delta - l - s} M_l^\mu(x)
\]

(A.7)

and

\[
\lim_{\rho \to \infty} e^{\rho \Delta} \langle \Delta; \{ l, m, s \} | g^{-1}(y) | \Delta \rangle = \frac{A_{l,s}}{2^{l+2s} (s! \Gamma(l + s + 3/2)} M_l^{*\mu}(y)
\]

\[
= \left[ \frac{(\Delta)_{l+s}(\Delta - \mu)_s}{(\mu + 1)^{l+s+1} s!} \right]^{1/2} (y^2)^{s} M_l^{*\mu}(y)
\]

(A.8)

The 3-point function of the scalar primary operators with conformal dimensions \( \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \) and \( \Delta_3 \)

\[
\frac{1}{|x_2 - x_1|^\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3 |x_3 - x_2|^\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1 |x_3 - x_1|^\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_2}
\]

(A.9)

can be expanded as

\[
(4\pi d/2)^3 \prod_{i=1}^3 \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta_{12}/2)_{l_1+s_1} (\Delta_{13}/2 - \mu)_{l_1} (\Delta_{23}/2)_{l_2+s_2} (\Delta_{23}/2 - \mu)_{l_2} (\Delta_{31}/2)_{l_3+s_3} (\Delta_{31}/2 - \mu)_{l_3}}{\left(\mu + 1\right)_{l_1+s_1+1} \left(\mu + 1\right)_{l_2+s_2+1} \left(\mu + 1\right)_{l_3+s_3+1}}
\]

\times (x^2)^{-\Delta_1 - l_1 - l_3 - s_1 - s_3} (y^2)^{-\Delta_2 - l_2 - s_1 - s_2} (z^2)^{s_2 + s_3}
\times M_{\mu_1}^l(x) M_{\mu_2}^l(y) M_{\mu_3}^l(z)
\]

(A.10)

where

\[
\Delta_{12} \equiv \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \quad \Delta_{23} \equiv \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1, \quad \Delta_{31} \equiv \Delta_3 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2
\]

(A.11)

We use the following identities:

\[
M_{\mu_1}^l(x) M_{\mu_2}^{L'}(x) = \sum_{L,M} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l' L \\
 m m' M
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l L \\
 0 0 0
\end{array} \right) (x^2)^{\frac{l+l'-L}{2}} M_M^L(x)
\]

(A.12)
\( M^\mu_{\mathbf{m}}(x) = (-1)^{m_1} M^\mu_{\mathbf{m}}(x) \) (A.13)

where, \( \mathbf{m} = (m_{n-2}, ..., m_2, -m_1) \) to rewrite the product of spherical harmonics in the summand as

\[
M^\mu_{\mathbf{m}}(x)M^\lambda_{\mathbf{m}'}(x)M^\sigma_{\mathbf{m}''}(y)M^\nu_{\mathbf{m}'''}(z)M^\tau_{\mathbf{m}'''}(z)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{m_1+m_2+m_3} M^\mu_{\mathbf{m}}(x)M^\lambda_{\mathbf{m}'}(x)M^\sigma_{\mathbf{m}''}(y)M^\nu_{\mathbf{m}'''}(y)M^\tau_{\mathbf{m}'''}(z)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{m_2} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( -1 \right)^{M_2} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 & l_2 & L_2 \\
 m_1 & m_2 & M_2 \\
 l_1 & l_2 & L_3 \\
 m_1 & m_2 & M_3 \\
 l_2 & l_3 & L_1 \\
 m_2 & m_3 & M_1
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( x^2 \right)^{\frac{l_1+l_2-l_3}{2}} \left( y^2 \right)^{\frac{l_1+l_2-l_3}{2}} \left( z^2 \right)^{\frac{l_1+l_2-l_3}{2}} M_{M_2}^L(x) M_{M_3}^L(y) M_{M_1}^L(z)
\]

\[(A.14)\]

Inserting the above relation in the summand and performing the \( \{m_i\} \) summations we get

\[
\sum_{\{m_i\}} (-1)^{m_2} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 & l_2 & L_2 \\
 m_1 & m_3 & M_3 \\
 l_1 & l_2 & L_3 \\
 m_1 & m_2 & M_3 \\
 l_2 & l_3 & L_1 \\
 m_2 & m_3 & M_1
\end{array} \right) = (-1)^{l_2+L_2-L_3} \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 L_3 & L_1 & L_2 \\
 M_3 & M_1 & M_2 \\
 L_2 & L_1 & L_3
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[(A.15)\]

Now the three-point function takes the form

\[
(4\pi d/2)^3 \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{m}_i} \frac{\left( \Delta_{12}/2 \right)_{l_1+s_1} \left( \Delta_{12}/2-\mu \right)_{s_1} \left( \Delta_{23}/2 \right)_{l_2+s_2} \left( \Delta_{23}/2-\mu \right)_{s_2} \left( \Delta_{31}/2 \right)_{l_3+s_3} \left( \Delta_{31}/2-\mu \right)_{s_3}}{(\mu+1)_{l_2+s_2} s_2!(\mu+1)_{l_3+s_3} s_3!}
\]

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( x^2 \right)^{-\Delta_1-l_1-l_2-s_1-s_2-s_3+\frac{l_2+l_3-l_1}{2}} \left( y^2 \right)^{-\Delta_2-2-l_2-s_1-s_2-s_3+\frac{l_2+l_3-l_1}{2}} \left( z^2 \right)^{-\Delta_3-2-l_3-s_1-s_2-s_3+\frac{l_2+l_3-l_1}{2}}
\]

\[
(-1)^{l_2+L_2-L_3+M_2} M_{M_2}^{L_2}(x) M_{M_3}^{L_3}(y) M_{M_1}^{L_1}(z)
\]

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 & l_3 & L_2 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 l_2 & l_3 & L_1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 L_3 & L_1 & L_2 \\
 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 L_3 & L_1 & L_2 \\
 M_3 & M_1 & M_2 \\
 L_2 & L_1 & L_3
\end{array} \right)
\]

which can also be written as

\[
(4\pi d/2)^3 \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{m}_i} \frac{\left( \Delta_{12}/2 \right)_{l_1+s_1} \left( \Delta_{12}/2-\mu \right)_{s_1} \left( \Delta_{23}/2 \right)_{l_2+s_2} \left( \Delta_{23}/2-\mu \right)_{s_2} \left( \Delta_{31}/2 \right)_{l_3+s_3} \left( \Delta_{31}/2-\mu \right)_{s_3}}{(\mu+1)_{l_2+s_2} s_2!(\mu+1)_{l_3+s_3} s_3!}
\]

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( x^2 \right)^{-\Delta_1-l_1-l_2-s_1-s_2-s_3+\frac{l_2+l_3-l_1}{2}} \left( y^2 \right)^{-\Delta_2-2-l_2-s_1-s_2-s_3+\frac{l_2+l_3-l_1}{2}} \left( z^2 \right)^{-\Delta_3-2-l_3-s_1-s_2-s_3+\frac{l_2+l_3-l_1}{2}}
\]

\[
(-1)^{l_2+L_2-L_3} M_{M_2}^{L_2}(x) M_{M_3}^{L_3}(y) M_{M_1}^{L_1}(z)
\]

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 l_1 & l_3 & L_2 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 l_2 & l_3 & L_1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 L_3 & L_1 & L_2 \\
 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
 L_3 & L_1 & L_2 \\
 M_3 & M_1 & M_2 \\
 L_2 & L_1 & L_3
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[\cdots \]
Note that the $so(d)$ 3-j coefficients $\left( \begin{array}{ll} l' & L \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)_{\ell}$ is non-vanishing only when $l + l' - L$ is even integer. This suggests to change the following variables as

$$l_1 + l_3 = 2K_2 + L_2, \quad l_1 + l_2 = 2K_3 + L_3, \quad l_2 + l_3 = 2K_1 + L_1$$

(A.16)

i.e.

$$l_1 = \frac{L_2 + L_3 - L_1}{2} + K_2 + K_3 - K_1$$
$$l_2 = \frac{L_3 + L_1 - L_2}{2} + K_3 + K_1 - K_2$$
$$l_3 = \frac{L_1 + L_2 - L_3}{2} + K_1 + K_2 - K_3$$

(A.17)

Then the powers of $x^2, y^2, z^2$ becomes (excluding the powers within the spherical harmonics)

$$(x^2)^{-\Delta_1 - L_2 - K_2 - s_1 - s_3} (y^2)^{-\frac{\Delta_23}{2} - \frac{L_1 + L_2 - L_3}{2} + s_1 - s_2 - K_1 + K_2} (z^2)^{s_2 + s_3 + K_1}$$

(A.18)

respectively. Comparing with the legs we want to amputate from the three-point function we make the following change of variables in the summand

$$K_2 + s_1 + s_3 = S_2$$

(A.19)

$$K_1 + s_2 + s_3 = S_1$$

(A.20)

$$-\frac{\Delta_23}{2} - \frac{L_3 + L_1 - L_2}{2} + s_1 - s_2 - K_1 + K_2 = S_3$$

(A.21)

The last one of the above relations impose the following selection rule

$$(\Delta_2 + L_1 + 2S_1) + (\Delta_3 + L_3 + 2S_3) = (\Delta_1 + L_2 + 2S_2)$$

(A.22)

So $S_3$ is not an independent variable and $s_3$ is undetermined in terms of new variables. We call it $s_3 = S$. In terms of the new variables the three-point function becomes

$$\Gamma((\Delta_12/2)\Gamma((\Delta_23/2)\Gamma((\Delta_31/2)\Gamma((\Delta_12/2 - \mu)\Gamma((\Delta_23/2 - \mu)\Gamma((\Delta_31/2 - \mu)$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{S_i=0}^{\infty} S_i \sum_{M_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{S=0}^{\min(S_2-K_2,S_1-K_1)} \delta(\Delta_2 + L_1 + 2S_1 + \Delta_3 + L_3 + 2S_3 - \Delta_1 - L_2 - 2S_2)$$

$$\sum_{K_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{K_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{K_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma((\Delta_2 + L_3 + S_1 + S_3 + K_3 - S - K_1)\Gamma((\Delta_3/2 + S_2 - K_2 - S - \mu)}{\Gamma((\Delta_2 + L_3 + S_1 + S_3 + K_3 - S - K_1)\Gamma((\Delta_3/2 + S_2 - K_2 - S - \mu)}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma((K_3 - K_2 + S_3 - S - K_1)\Gamma((\Delta_23/2 + S_1 - K_1 - S - \mu)}{\Gamma((K_3 - K_2 + S_3 - S - K_1)\Gamma((\Delta_23/2 + S_1 - K_1 - S - \mu)}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma((\Delta_3 + L_1 + S_1 + S_2 + K_3 + K_2 + S - S + d/2) (S_2 - K_2 - S)!}{\Gamma((\Delta_3 + L_1 + S_1 + S_2 + K_3 + K_2 + S - S + d/2) (S_2 - K_2 - S)!} \times (-1)^{\frac{L_3 + L_2 - L_1}{2} + K_3 + K_1 - K_2}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{L_1 + L_2 - L_3}{2} + K_2 + K_3 - K_1, \frac{L_1 + L_2 - L_3}{2} + K_1 + K_2 - K_3, L_2 \right)$$

$- 29 -$
\[
\times \left( \frac{L_3+L_2-L_1}{2} + K_2 + K_3 - K_1, \frac{L_3+L_1-L_2}{2} + K_3 + K_1 - K_2, L_3 \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{L_3+L_2-L_1}{2} + K_3 + K_1 - K_2, \frac{L_3+L_1-L_2}{2} + K_1 + K_2 - K_3, L_1 \right) \\
\times \left\{ \frac{L_3+L_2-L_1}{2} + K_2 + K_3 - K_1, \frac{L_3+L_1-L_2}{2} + K_3 + K_1 - K_2, \frac{L_3+L_2-L_1}{2} + K_1 + K_2 - K_3 \right\} \\
\times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} L_3, L_1, L_2 & (x^2)^{-\Delta_1-L_2-S_2}(y^2)^{S_1}(z^2)^{S_1} M_{-L_2}^{L_3} M_{-M_3}^{L_1} M_{-M_1}^{L_2} \end{array} \right) (A.23)
\]

where we have arranged the order as well as the limits of the summations appropriately. According to our prescription the three-point function can be recovered as

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{L_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{S_i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{M_i} \langle \Delta_1| g(x) | \Delta_1; \{L_2, M_2, S_2\} \rangle \langle \Delta_2; \{L_1, M_1, S_1\} | g^{-1}(y) | \Delta_2 \rangle \\
\langle \Delta_3; \{L_3, M_3, S_3\} | g^{-1}(z) | \Delta_3 \rangle C_{(L_2, M_2); (L_3, M_3); (L_1, M_1)} (A.24)
\]

where \(C_{(L_1, M_1); (L_2, M_2); (L_3, M_3)}\) is so\((1, d+1)\) CG coefficient. Comparing above with the three-point function we write

\[
C_{(L_2, M_2); (L_3, M_3); (L_1, M_1)} = \frac{(4\pi^{d/2})^3}{\Gamma(\Delta_2+2S_1+\Delta_3+L_3+2S_3-\Delta_1-L_2-2S_2) \Gamma(\Delta_2+L_1+2S_1+\Delta_3+L_3+2S_3-\Delta_1-L_2-2S_2) \Gamma(\Delta_2+L_1+S_1) \Gamma(\Delta_2+S_1-\mu)} \\
\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_2+L_2+S_2) \Gamma(\Delta_3+S_2-\mu) \Gamma(\Delta_3+S_2-S+2d/2) S!}{\Gamma(\Delta_2+L_2+S_2+K_3-K_1+S_1-\mu) \Gamma(\Delta_2+L_2+S_2+K_3-K_1+S_1-S+2d/2) (S_2-K_2-S)!} \right]^{1/2} \\
\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_3+L_3+S_3) \Gamma(\Delta_3+S_3-\mu) \Gamma(\Delta_3+S_3-S+2d/2) S!}{\Gamma(\Delta_3+L_3+S_3+K_3-K_1+S_1-\mu) \Gamma(\Delta_3+L_3+S_3+K_3-K_1+S_1-S+2d/2) (S_2-K_2-S)!} \right]^{1/2} \\
\times \left( \frac{L_3+L_2-L_1}{2} + K_3 - K_1, \frac{L_3+L_1-L_2}{2} + K_1 + K_2 - K_3, L_2 \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{L_3+L_2-L_1}{2} + K_2 + K_3 - K_1, \frac{L_3+L_1-L_2}{2} + K_3 + K_1 - K_2, L_3 \right)
\]
The four-point block of a scalar correlation function in general dimensions in our method takes the following form:

\[
\times \left( \frac{L_3 + L_2 - L_1}{2} + K_3 + K_1 - K_2, \frac{L_1 + L_2 - L_3}{2} + K_1 + K_2 - K_3, L_1 \right)
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
L_1 & 0 & 0 \\
L_2 & L_3 + L_1 - L_2 & K_2 + K_3 - K_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \right\}
\times \left( \frac{L_3 L_1 L_2}{M_3 M_1 M_2} \right)
\]

(A.25)

\section*{B Manipulation of the $d$-dimensional result}

The four-point block of a scalar correlation function in general dimensions in our method takes the following form:

\[
(x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_3 - \Delta_4)} \sum_{l,s} \Gamma(\alpha + l + s) \Gamma(\beta + l + s) \Gamma(\alpha + s - \mu) \Gamma(\beta + s - \mu) \frac{(l + \mu)}{s! \Gamma(l + s + 1 + \mu) \Gamma(\Delta + l + s) \Gamma(\Delta + s - \mu)} (x^2)^s C_1^\mu (x \cdot u)
\]

(B.1)

where $\mu = \frac{d - 2}{2}$. One of the questions we have to address is how our computations match with those known in the literature. There is a famous expression for the conformal blocks in any dimension in terms the cross ratios $u, v$ as found by Dolan and Osborn. We now prove the following identity towards establishing the equivalence between our answers and theirs.

\[
\sum_{l,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta - \Delta_3)_{l+s}}{(\Delta)_{l+s}} \frac{(\Delta - \Delta_4)_{l+s}}{(\Delta)_{l+s}} \frac{(\Delta - \Delta_4 - \mu)_{l+s}}{(\Delta - \mu)_{l+s}} \frac{1}{s!(\mu + 1)_{l+s}} (z \bar{z})^{s+\frac{1}{2}} C_1^\mu (\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2\sqrt{z \bar{z}}})
\]

(B.2)

To establish this we first note the following identities/definitions:

\[
(z \bar{z})^\frac{1}{2} C_1^\mu (\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2\sqrt{z \bar{z}}}) := \sum_{k=0}^{[l/2]} (-1)^k \frac{(\mu)_{l-k}}{k! (l-2k)!} (z + \bar{z})^{l-2k} (z \bar{z})^k
\]

(B.4)

\[
(z + \bar{z} - z \bar{z})^q = \sum_{p=0}^{q} (-1)^p \binom{q}{p} (z + \bar{z})^{q-p} (z \bar{z})^p
\]

(B.5)

Using the double sum identity:

\[
\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{q} a_{p,q-p} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,l-2k}
\]

(B.6)
the first expression can be written as
\[
\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\Delta-\Delta_{12}}{2}-\mu)}{s!(\Delta-\mu)} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{14}}{2})_{s+m+2n}}{(\Delta)_{s+m+2n} n! m!} \frac{(1+\frac{\mu+2\alpha}{2})(\alpha)_{m+n}(-1)^n (z \bar{z})^{n+s}}{(\mu+1)_{s+m+2n}} (z + \bar{z})^m
\] (B.7)

The second of the expressions can be manipulated to:
\[
\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{12}}{2})}{r!(\Delta-\mu)} \sum_{m,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{14}}{2})_{r+m+p}}{m! p!(\Delta)_{2r+m+p}} (-1)^p (z \bar{z})^{r+p} (z + \bar{z})^m
\] (B.8)

In the next step we extract the coefficients of \((z \bar{z})^q(z + \bar{z})^m\) in both these expressions. For this in the first expression we change \(n \to p, s \to q - p\) and in the second we change \(p \to p, r \to q - p\). Then in both the expressions the indices \(q, m\) run freely over all non-negative integers and the index \(p\) runs over \(0, 1, \ldots, q\). The corresponding coefficient for the first expression is:
\[
\sum_{p=0}^{q} \frac{(\frac{\Delta-\Delta_{12}}{2})_{q-p}}{(q-p)! (\Delta-\mu)_{q-p}} \frac{(\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{14}}{2})_{p+m+q}}{(\Delta)_{m+p+q} p! m!} \frac{(\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{14}}{2})_{m+q+p}}{(\mu+\mu+2p)_{q+1}} (-1)^p
\] (B.9)

and for the second expression is:
\[
\sum_{p=0}^{q} \frac{(\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{12}}{2})_{q-p}}{(q-p)! (\Delta-\mu)_{q-p}} \frac{(\frac{\Delta-\Delta_{12}}{2})_{p+m+q}}{(\Delta)_{m+p+q} p! m!} \frac{(\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{14}}{2})_{m+q}}{(\mu+\mu+2p)_{q+1}} (-1)^p
\] (B.10)

Now the final step is to compare these two expressions (B.9) and (B.10) for arbitrary integers \(\{d \geq 1, q \geq 0, m \geq 0\}\). We conjecture that these expressions are identical. We have verified this claim for various special cases exactly, and for large subsets of the integer parameters \(\{d \geq 1, q \geq 0, m \geq 0\}\) using Mathematica.

C  Details of CPW computation in \(d = 4\)

To establish the result for four-point scalar CPW in \(d = 4\) we start by expanding the answer in power series.
\[
z_2 \mathcal{F}_1(\alpha, \beta, \Delta, z) z_2 \mathcal{F}_1(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1, \Delta - 2, \bar{z}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + m) \Gamma(\alpha + n - 1) \Gamma(\beta + m) \Gamma(\beta + n - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha - 1) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\beta - 1)} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta) \Gamma(\Delta - 2)}{\Gamma(\Delta + m) \Gamma(\Delta + n - 2)} \frac{z^{m+1} \bar{z}^n}{m! n!}
\] (C.1)

We now divide the \(rhs\) into three terms with \(m + 1 > n, m + 1 < n\) and \(m + 1 = n\). The piece coming from terms with \(m + 1 = n\) are real and therefore cancel with the corresponding terms from the complex conjugate combination. The remaining parts are obtained by considering the restricted sums
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty}
\] (C.2)
Let us consider the conjugate term next:
\[
\bar{z}_2 F_1(\alpha, \beta, \Delta, \bar{z})_2 F_1(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1, \Delta - 2, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + m)\Gamma(\alpha + n - 1)\Gamma(\beta + m)\Gamma(\beta + n - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta - 1)\Gamma(\Delta)\Gamma(\Delta - 2)\bar{z}^{m+1}z^n} \frac{z^{m+1}z^n}{m!n!}
\]  
(C.3)

again we split this into three types of terms as above and drop the term that is real. Then we can split the rest into two types of terms by writing the sum as before in two parts:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty}
\]  
(C.4)

Noticing that the first sum in the first term and the second sum in the second have more \(z\)'s than \(\bar{z}\)'s we would like to combine them. In these two we introduce two new variables \(m = n + p\) and \(n = m + 2 + q\) to replace \(m\) and \(n\) respectively. Combing these we have:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + p)\Gamma(\alpha + n - 1)\Gamma(\beta + n + p)\Gamma(\beta + n - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta - 1)\Gamma(\Delta)\Gamma(\Delta - 2)\Gamma(\Delta + n + p)\Gamma(\Delta + n - 2)} \frac{z^{n+p+1}\bar{z}^{n}}{(n+p)!n!}
\]  
(C.5)

In the second term we can replace \(m \to m - 1\) and still sum over the new \(m\) from 0 to \(\infty\) as there will be term \((m-1)!\) in the denominator which kills the \(m = 0\) term. Then

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + m - 1)\Gamma(\alpha + m)\Gamma(\beta + m - 1)\Gamma(\beta + m)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta - 1)\Gamma(\Delta)\Gamma(\Delta - 2)} \frac{z^m\bar{z}^{m+1}}{(m-1)!(m+1)!}
\]  
(C.6)

Now we change dummy variables \(n \to s\), \(p \to l\) in the first term and \(m \to s\) and \(q \to l\) in the second term and combine terms to write this as:

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + l + s)\Gamma(\alpha + s - 1)\Gamma(\beta + l + s)\Gamma(\beta + s - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta - 1)\Gamma(\Delta)\Gamma(\Delta - 2)} \frac{z^{l+s+1}\bar{z}^{s}}{(\Delta+l+s-1)s - (\Delta+s-2)(l+s+1)}
\]  
(C.7)

Using

\[
\frac{1}{(\Delta+l+s-1)s - (\Delta+s-2)(l+s+1)} = \frac{(\Delta-2)(l+1) - 1}{(\Delta+l+s-1)(\Delta+s-2)(l+s+1)s}
\]  
(C.8)

This can be seen to be:

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + l + s)\Gamma(\alpha + s - 1)\Gamma(\beta + l + s)\Gamma(\beta + s - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta - 1)\Gamma(\Delta)\Gamma(\Delta - 1)} \frac{z^{l+s+1}\bar{z}^{s}}{(\Delta+l+s-1)(\Delta+s-2)(l+s+1)s!}
\]  
(C.9)

which is precisely the first term in our OWN computation of the block. The remaining two terms are simply conjugates of what we have dealt with so far and therefore are going to reproduce the second term in our OWN computation.
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