Multiple correspondence analysis as a tool for analysis of large health surveys in African settings
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Abstract
Background: More than two thirds of the total population of Ethiopia is estimated to be at risk of malaria. Therefore, malaria is the leading public health problem in Ethiopia.

Objective: To investigate the determinants of malaria Rapid Diagnostic Test (RDT) result and the association between socio-economic, demographic and geographic factors.

Method: The study used data from household cluster malaria survey which was conducted from December 2006 to January 2007. A total of 224 clusters of about 25 households each were selected from the Amhara, Oromiya and Southern Nation Nationalities and People (SNNP) regions of Ethiopia. A multiple correspondence analysis was used to jointly analyse malaria RDT result, socio-economic, demographic and geographic factors.

Results: The result from multiple correspondence analysis shows that there is association between malaria RDT result and different socio-economic, demographic and geographic variables.

Conclusion: There is an indication that some socio-economic, demographic and geographic factors have joint effects. It is important to confirm the association between socio-economic, demographic and geographic factors using advanced statistical techniques.
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Introduction
While malaria has long been a cause of human suffering and mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa, in Ethiopia the problem is particularly severe. Malaria is a leading cause of death amongst children in many African countries. In Ethiopia, malaria is a major public health problem with 68% of the total population living in areas at risk of malaria. From the total population of Ethiopia, more than 50 million people are at risk. In highlands or highland fridge areas of Ethiopia, i.e. mainly areas 1,000-2,000 meters above sea level, epidemics of malaria are relatively high.

Notably this altitude covers 48% of the regions of Amhara, Oromiya and Southern Nations Nationalities and People regions of Ethiopia. From the three regions, 5,708 households were included in the survey. From 5,708 households, Amhara, Oromiya and SNNP regions cover 4,101 (71.85%), 809 (14.17%) and 798 (13.98%) households respectively. To conduct the survey, first, 224 Kebeles were selected. From each Kebele, 12 households were selected for malaria tests. In the survey each room in the house was listed separately. Using the presence of mosquito nets, it was possible to ascertain the density of occupation per room as well as how many sleeping rooms were in or outside each house. In addition to the number of rooms and number of nets, the persons sleeping under each net were listed. The detailed sampling procedure for the base line household survey was discussed by different authors.

To obtain malaria parasite testing, consent from participants was obtained. To collect the blood sample, finger-prick blood samples was collected from participants for malaria Rapid Diagnostic Test. The test used was Parascreen which is capable of detecting both Plasmodium falciparum and other Plasmodium species.

Participants with positive rapid tests were immediately treated in the survey. From 5,708 households, Amhara, Oromiya and SNNP regions cover 4,101 (71.85%), 809 (14.17%) and 798 (13.98%) households respectively. To conduct the survey, first, 224 Kebeles were selected. From each Kebele, 12 households were selected for malaria tests. In the survey each room in the house was listed separately. Using the presence of mosquito nets, it was possible to ascertain the density of occupation per room as well as how many sleeping rooms were in or outside each house. In addition to the number of rooms and number of nets, the persons sleeping under each net were listed. The detailed sampling procedure for the base line household survey was discussed by different authors.

The cross-tabulation of categorical data is perhaps the most commonly encountered and simple form of analysis in research. Therefore, ordering things in time has been the interest of many researchers. Correspondence analysis is one of a wide range of alternative ways of handling and representing the relationships between categorical data. Correspondence analysis can suggest unexpected dimensions and relationships in the tradition of exploratory data analysis. The results of the correspondence analysis can be seen analytically and visually. This method first developed in France.

Different authors have proposed this method under various names. These methods are Dutch Home- ney Analysis, the Japanese Qualification Method, the Canadian Dual Scaling. These methods have differ ent theoretical foundations but all methods leads to equivalent solutions. Correspondence analysis is thought of as principal component method for normal and contingency table data. It can be used to analyze cases-by-variable-categories matrices of non-negative data. Correspondence analysis is also a multivariate descriptive data analytic technique.

Even the most commonly used statistics for simplification of data may not be adequate for description or understanding of the data. The correspondence analysis results provide information which is similar to that produced by principal components or factor analysis. Using the result, it is possible to explore the structure of the categorical variables included in the table. The simplified form data provides useful information about the data. The relationship of the categories of rows and columns of the data can be represented using correspondence analysis. The graphical representation of the relationships between the row and column categories is in the same space which is also produced using correspondence analysis. In general, correspondence analysis simplifies complex data and provides a detailed description of practically every bit of information in the data, yielding a simple, yet exhaustive analysis.

Correspondence analysis has several features that distinguish it from other techniques of data analysis. The multivariate treatment of the data through multiple categorical variables is an important feature of correspondence analysis. This multivariate nature has advantage to reveal relationships which could occur during a series of pair wise comparisons of variables. Correspondence analysis works effectively for the large data matrix, if the variables are homogeneous, and the data matrix structure is either unknown or poorly understood. There are some advantages of correspondence analysis over other methods. This advantage is related to joint graphical displays. This graphical display produces two dual displays whose row and column geometries have similar interpretations. This facilitates the analysis to detect different relationships.
Multiple correspondence analysis (MCA) which is a part of a family of descriptive methods, is an extension of correspondence analysis (CA) and allows to investigate the pattern of relationships of several categorical dependent variables. It is the multivariate extension of CA to analyze tables containing three or more variables. In addition to this, MCA can be considered as a generalization of principal component analysis for categorical variables which reveal patterning in complex data sets.

MCA helps to describe patterns of relationships distinctively using geometrical methods by locating each variable/unit of analysis as a point in a low-dimensional space. MCA is useful to map both variables and individuals, so allowing the construction of complex visual maps whose structure can be interpreted. Moreover, this technique offers the potential of linking both variable centred and case centred approaches.

Suppose there are observations on categorical variables. Assume different values for variable . Next define a matrix, which is a matrix. This matrix is known as indicator matrix. The matrix , with the sum of elements obtained by concatenating the 's . In general, MCA is defined as the application of weighted Principal component analysis (PCA) to the indicator matrix . Furthermore, is divided by its grand total to obtain the correspondence matrix where and . The vectors and are elements of row and column marginals respectively. These marginals are the vectors of row and column sums. Suppose the diagonal matrices of the masses are defined as and .

The absolute contribution of the variable to the inertia of the column principal component is in the th column of Y by

where is the set of categories of variable . The relation between the absolute contribution and the correlation ratio between the variable and the row standard component is given by

Note that factor loadings for PCA are correlations between the variables and the components (the correlation ratios) are known as discrimination measures. More details for correspondence analysis can be found in different literatures.

The categories for column profile can be described by the column profiles. The value can be calculated by dividing the columns of F by their column marginals. Interchanging rows with columns and all associated entities can be used for the dual analysis of column profiles. This is done by transposing the matrix F and repeating all the steps. The matrices used to define the principal axes (weighted PCA) of the centered profiles are and . The q×k matrix Y of columns principal coordinates is now defined by

where is the n × k matrix of eigenvectors corresponding to the k largest eigenvalues of the matrix FF*. To aid visualization and interpretation of the projected column profiles in the planes defined by principal axes, which are called column principal planes, can be plotted.

The absolute contribution of the variable to the inertia of the column principal component is in the th column of Y by

The matrices and the principal inertia values explained by and are the quality of display of each point in the reduced space. To examine the associations among the categories, it is important to plot the first two dimensions of the Euclidean space. For the multiple correspondence analysis, malaria RDT result and the other socio-economic, demographic and geographic variables were considered. The demographic variables are sex, age and family size. For the multiple correspondence analysis, the continuous age and family size variables were recorded to be appropriate for the analysis.

The socio-economic variables are source of drinking water, time to collect water, toilet facility, availability of radio, television and telephone, construction material for room’s floor, wall and roof, use of anti-mosquito spray, use of mosquito nets, total number of rooms in the house and total number of nets in the house. Besides the socio-economic and demographic variable, there were geographic variables included in the analysis. These variables are region and altitude. To be appropriate to MCA analysis, altitude has been recoded as categorical variable. Therefore, to perform the MCA analysis all socio-economic, demographic and geographic variables were included to the multiple correspondence analysis. The MCA analysis was performed using SAS 9.3 software.

In the MCA analysis, each principal inertia values expressed as a percentage of the total inertia. These values quantify the amount of variation accounted for by the corresponding principal dimension. In addition to this the principal inertia is decomposed into components for each of the rows and columns. The decomposed rows and columns provide the numerical contributions used to interpret the dimensions and the quality of display of each point in the reduced space. The parts which expressed as percentages are useful to explain the method of determination of the dimensions. The same parts of the dimensions can be expressed relative to the inertia of the corresponding points in the full space and this helps to assess how close the individual points are to the dimension.

Table 1 presents inertia and Chi-Square decomposition for multiple correspondence analysis. Correspondence analysis employs chi-square distances to calculate the dissimilarity between the frequencies in each cell of a contingency table. The calculation of the chi-square distances is cell-independent. Pairs of cells whose observed and expected values are the same and can be considered to be independent of each other. Therefore, pairs of cells for observed and expected values are different. Table 1 suggested that the dimensions 1 and 2 account for 19.4% of the total association. The total chi-square statistic in Table 1, which is a measure of the association between the rows and columns in the full dimensions of the table, is 2,169,476 with degrees of freedom 2050. This chi-square represents all pairwise interactions among the factors. The maximum number of dimensions (or axes) is the minimum of the number of rows and columns, minus one.
As possible, and so on. Singular values of greater than 0.2 can be considered as the correlation between the rows and columns of the contingency table. As in principal components analysis, the first dimension explains as much variance as possible, the second dimension is orthogonal to the first and displays as much of the remaining variance as possible, and so on. Singular values of greater than 0.2 indicate that the dimension should be included in the analysis.

Based on this result, the first twelve axes as accounting for similar amounts of variance and would expect 39.1 per cent of the inertia to be accounted for by the remaining axes. As can be seen from the table, 93 per cent of the association can be represented well in twenty dimensions. However, these data can be considered in just two dimensions. The first axis accounting for approximately 10.72 per cent of the inertia and the second axis accounts approximately 8.66 per cent. The percentages of inertia in MCA are low and tend to be close to one another and this latter fact might lead to an assumption that individual axes might be unstable.

Table 1: Inertia and Chi-Square Decomposition

| Singular Value | Principal Inertia | Chi-Square | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|
| 0.47257        | 0.18282           | 232503     | 10.72   | 10.72              |
| 0.38438        | 0.14775           | 187904     | 8.66    | 19.38              |
| 0.3126         | 0.09792           | 124227     | 5.12    | 25.12              |
| 0.2955         | 0.08735           | 111089     | 5.12    | 30.23              |
| 0.28047        | 0.07866           | 100043     | 5.12    | 35.35              |
| 0.26462        | 0.07002           | 89054      | 5.12    | 40.47              |
| 0.26193        | 0.06861           | 87250      | 5.12    | 46.33              |
| 0.2563         | 0.06504           | 82176      | 5.12    | 52.45              |
| 0.24806        | 0.06154           | 78259      | 5.12    | 58.60              |
| 0.24591        | 0.06047           | 76909      | 5.12    | 63.73              |
| 0.24557        | 0.06031           | 76696      | 5.12    | 68.86              |
| 0.24536        | 0.05902           | 75444      | 5.12    | 74.02              |
| 0.23772        | 0.05743           | 73005      | 5.12    | 78.14              |
| 0.23727        | 0.05651           | 71609      | 5.12    | 83.26              |
| 0.23474        | 0.05511           | 70079      | 5.12    | 88.38              |
| 0.23159        | 0.05391           | 68179      | 5.12    | 93.51              |
| 0.22875        | 0.05142           | 65388      | 5.12    | 98.63              |
| 0.22274        | 0.04961           | 63094      | 5.12    | 103.75             |
| 0.21997        | 0.04839           | 61539      | 5.12    | 108.87             |
| 0.21788        | 0.04747           | 60370      | 5.12    | 113.99             |
| 0.21695        | 0.04399           | 55817      | 5.12    | 119.11             |
| 0.2031         | 0.04125           | 52488      | 5.12    | 124.23             |
| 0.1965         | 0.03861           | 49106      | 5.12    | 129.35             |
| 0.18357        | 0.03537           | 42856      | 5.12    | 134.49             |
| 0.17417        | 0.03033           | 38578      | 5.12    | 139.61             |
| 0.16618        | 0.02761           | 35119      | 5.12    | 144.73             |
| 0.14744        | 0.02174           | 27666      | 5.12    | 150.86             |
| 0.08754        | 0.00766           | 9745       | 5.12    | 157.02             |
| 0.04423        | 0.00196           | 2488       | 5.12    | 162.14             |
| Total          |                   |            |         | 165.25             |

Degrees of Freedom = 256

From Table 1, the singular value indicates the relative contribution of each dimension to an explanation of the inertia, or proportion of variation, in the participant and variable profiles. The singular values can be interpreted as the correlation between the rows and columns of the contingency table. As in principal components analysis, the first dimension explains as much variance as possible, the second dimension is orthogonal to the first and displays as much of the remaining variance as possible, and so on. Singular values of greater than 0.2 indicate that the dimension should be included in the analysis. However, the proportion of variance explained by each dimension must be balanced with the cut-off point. The singular value and the inertia are directly related i.e., the inertia is an indicator of how much of the variation in the original data is retained in the dimensional solution. Furthermore, the percentages of inertia accounted for by the first two axes are 10.7 per cent and 8.7 per cent, 5.73 per cent, 5.12 per cent, 4.61 per cent, 4.1 per cent, 4.02 per cent, 3.81 per cent, 3.61 per cent, 3.55 per cent and 3.45 per cent, respectively (Table 1).
Multiple correspondence analysis locates all the categories in a Euclidean space. The first two dimensions of this space are plotted to examine the associations among the categories. Dimension 1 accounts for 10.72 per cent of the variance in the data and Dimension 2 accounts for 6.66 per cent of the variance (Figure 2a). The twelve dimensions totally accounts for 60.9 per cent of the variation. It can be seen from Table 2a, dimension 1 and 2 constitute 19.4 per cent of the variation. But, the other 20 dimensions all together constitute 71.2 per cent of the variation. It is important to note that this two-dimensional chart is part of the twenty two dimensional solutions. Interpreting of each dimension is considered as the contribution of variables to that dimension. This is because a variable that appears on the two-dimensional chart might be a major contributor to another dimension but might not be located in the existing two-dimensional plane. As can be seen in Figure 2a, the right quadrant of the plot (dimensions 1 and 2) shows that the categories stick and mud roof, toilet with flush, wood floor and corrugated metal wall are associated. To the top of the plot, altitude less than 2000 meter, use of electricity, cement block wall, cement floor, use of television, protected water, altitude between 2000–4000 meters are associated. On the other hand, positive malaria RDT result, not using anti-mosquito spray, thatch roof, earth or dung planter floor are grouped together. Furthermore, negative malaria RDT result, use of anti-mosquito spray, use of malaria nets, pit latrine, toilet and corrugated floor are associated. Similarly, unprotected water, 30–40 minutes walk to get water, no toilet facility and no radio are associated together. This interpretation of the plot is based on points found in approximately the same direction and in approximately the same region of the space.

So far, the association between socio-economic, demographic, geographic variables and malaria RDT result was assessed based on dimension 1 and 2. As can be seen from Table 2a, dimension 1 and 2 constitute 19.4 per cent of the variation. But, the other 20 dimensions all together constitute 71.2 per cent of the variation. Except the relationship between dimension 4 and 3, dimension 5 and 2, dimension 5 and 3 and dimension 7 and 1, the relationship between the variables for other combination of dimensions shows that it is located at the center of the graph. The relationship between variables shows similar relationship as of dimension 1 and 2.

Figure 2b: Multiple correspondence analysis plot for eleven dimensions

Discussion and conclusion

In this study, multiple correspondence analysis was used as a way to graphically represent and interpret the relations between primary meanings in different malaria RDT result, socio-economic, demographic and geographic variables. Multiple correspondence analysis provides useful interpretative tools that can further the understanding of the conceptual context in which socio-economic, demographic and geographic variables by malaria RDT result occurs.

As it was discussed above, multiple correspondence analysis is a method for exploring associations between sets of categorical variables. Mathematically, it is a method for breaking down the value of the goodness-of-fit statistic into components due to the rows and columns of the contingency table. It can also be considered as a technique for assigning order to unordered categories. Therefore, the MCA approach involves defining a set of points, with associated masses, in a multidimensional space structured by Euclidean distance. Furthermore, the display is also thought of as a framework for reconstructing the original data as closely as possible. To display the relationship, the coordinate positions of the row and column points are used.

The association using MCA gives the relationship among coded variables and their associations. The technique allows the analysis of the relationships between the variables and different levels of one variable. Furthermore, the results of the analysis can be seen analytically and visually. This method of display gives detailed information of the relationship between variables and their associations. Therefore, the result from multiple correspondence analysis shows that there is association between malaria RDT result and different socio-economic, demographic and geographic variables. Moreover, there is an indication that some socio-economic, demographic and geographic factors have joint effects.

It is important to confirm the association between socio-economic, demographic and geographic factors using advanced statistical techniques. Therefore, future investigations need to be done to identify those variables that show significant relationships. By identifying those variables which could have joint effect, it is important to determine the principal axes and the identification of selection of variables to take forward for further analysis. In conclusion, the aim of the multiple correspondence analyses was to summarise the multidimensional data into an interpretable smaller dimensional factor and to reveal some association between different types of respondents. But, this reduction was not suitably achieved. This can be put down to either (i) all the factors being too scattered to be summarised in a smaller dimension, and/or (ii) the number of observations obtained in the cross tabulation being too small for all possible pairs of levels in the study.
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