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ABSTRACT

Background: Main objective of this study was to find out the students’ perception and to obtain feedback towards the use of Animal Simulator to demonstrate drug effects in terms of its acceptability, advantages and disadvantages of Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) in experimental pharmacology practical as an educational tool.

Methods: Questionnaire based study, done on randomly and voluntarily selected ninety-six fourth and fifth semester MBBS Students. Divided into four groups and each group contained 24 students. Students were taught experimental pharmacology practical online using Animal simulator (CAL- Computer Assisted Learning) for 2 hours on different days in three sessions. Questions and their feedback was taken during these sessions and presented in tables. Statistical analysis of data was done using Graph Pad software.

Results: Majority of students i.e. 64 (66.67%) agreed that in vitro and in vivo experiments on animals are essential for better understanding and learning of the biological process. Students were agreed to the majority of the statements for CAL like enjoyable and time saving, easy to perform, contributes more to understanding theoretical concepts, no experimental error seen, welcome change and best alternative to laboratory practical and many experiments can be demonstrated in a short time. Students were disagreed on statements like CAL is an effective method of teaching practical aspects and preferred experimentation than laboratory practical. Majority of students given yes/positive response to questions showing advantages of using CAL. Also, positive feedback was obtained regarding questions showing disadvantages of using CAL software.

Conclusions: Students’ perception regarding practical with CAL laboratory using animal simulator was good. The overall view was expressed that they found the exercises interesting and educationally beneficial. Computer assisted learning is a feasible and very effective teaching and learning method in pharmacology with huge potential to change the way of learning as it meets the majority of the learning objectives.
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INTRODUCTION

At the undergraduate level, pharmacology is mainly taught to second year medical students in India and the subject is horizontally integrated with certain basic science subjects like microbiology, pathology and forensic medicine.1 Experimental pharmacology in which, demonstration of drug effects on tissues or on whole animal is an integral and essential part of practical pharmacology teaching for undergraduate medical students.2,3 Though such demonstrations are time honored and effective, certain limitations exist. Lack of ready availability of animals,
cost of purchasing and maintaining them are major constraints in many institutions. Large animals like dog and cat are difficult to handle during demonstrations in the laboratory.2

Also, it requires the usage of a large number of animals and a lot are sacrificed during each experiment even for studying and demonstrating the action of drugs which are already established.4

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) pressure lead to stop animal dissection in Indian’s top university and governing body is making sure that students use the most modern education tools possible, meaning computer models over animals, says PETA India. The students of Life Sciences across the country will now be able to learn without being forced to hurt and kill animals in the practical classroom.5

PETA opposes animal testing—whether toxicity testing, basic or applied research, or for education and training—on both moral and practical grounds. The group also believes that it is wasteful, unreliable, and irrelevant to human health, because artificially induced diseases in animals are not identical to human diseases. They say that animal experiments are frequently redundant and lack accountability, oversight, and regulation. They promote alternatives, including embryonic stem cell research and in vitro cell research.5

Medical council of India (MCI) had gazette notification on date 18 March 2014 for teaching Physiology and Pharmacology in Undergraduate curriculum the required knowledge and skill should be imparted by Software programs for Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) have been designed by some of the pharmacology departments to overcome animal experiment limitations. These programs mimic the actual experimental set up in the laboratory.7

Software programs for Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) have been designed by some of the pharmacology departments to overcome these limitations. These programmes mimic the actual experimental set up in the laboratory.2

The main objective of this study was to find out the students’ perception and to obtain feedback towards the use of Animal Simulator to demonstrate drug effects in terms of its acceptability, advantages and disadvantages of Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) in experimental pharmacology practical as an educational tool. It will be helpful in modifying undergraduate pharmacology teaching pattern.

METHODS

Study was carried out at Pharmacology Department, IGIMS, Patna. Duration of study were two months and it was questionnaire study.

Ninety-six (96) fourth and fifth semester MBBS Students were randomly selected and divided into four groups. Each group contained 24 students. Students were taught experimental pharmacology practical online using Animal simulator for 2 hours on different days. This study was approved from Institutional Ethics Committee of IGIMS, Patna.

Online animal simulator was used in the three sessions for the same batch of medical students undergoing pharmacology course. Experimentation was performed on 12 desktops in CAL laboratory of Department of Pharmacology, IGIMS, Patna. Experiments performed were effect of drugs on isolated frog heart, ocular effects of miotics and mydriatics in rabbit eye and DRC of different drugs on frog’s rectus abdominis muscle. The acceptability, advantages and disadvantages of using CAL as an educational tool were assessed after each session.

In 1st session students were asked to complete a questionnaire (Annexure I), which consisted of two parts. The first part had collected demographic and other relevant information about the student respondents. The sex and nationality of the respondents had been noted. The second part of the questionnaire consists of 11 statements regarding student’s point of view of online experimental pharmacology practical using Animal simulator. The students were asked to score each individual statement using the following key. The students were instructed to use whole numbers only.

- 1- Strongly disagrees
- 2- Disagree,
- 3- No opinion,
- 4- Agree and 5- Strongly

In 2nd session the students were asked 6 questions (Annexure II) to list the advantages and in 3rd session the students were asked 10 questions (Annexure III) to list the disadvantages of using CAL software to demonstrate drug effects as an educational tool for practical classes in pharmacology (open ended questions).2,8 In order to facilitate free expression of ideas, students were asked to omit their names while answering these questions. The questionnaire to be used in the study was shown in the Appendix I for 1st session, Appendix II for 2nd session and Appendix III for 3rd session.

Students were informed that their participation in this study will be voluntary. The questionnaire prepared was based on previous studies, which has assessed the reliability of questionnaire and discussion with faculty members of Department of Pharmacology, IGIMS, Patna.2,8
Statistical analysis

Feedback obtained from students were presented in tables. Statistical analysis of data was done using Graph Pad software.

RESULTS

Questionnaires were distributed to 96 students. Feedback obtained from the students is presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. Table 1 contained demographic details. In the questionnaire given to students in session 1 and 2 the acceptability of CAL as a method of demonstrating drug effects is clearly shown. Large majority of students have expressed the advantages such as avoid in use of animals, clear visualization of drug effects (Table 3).

Table 1: Demography.

| Number of student (n=96) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Male                    | 51%            |
| Female                  | 59%            |

Table 2: Student’s point of view on online experimental pharmacology practical using animal simulator.

| Statements                                                                 | Strongly disagree (1) | Disagree (2) | No opinion (3) | Agree (4) | Strongly agree (5) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|
| In vitro and/or in vivo experiments in animals are essential for better understanding and learning of the biological process | 10 (10.42%)            | 14 (14.58%)   | 8 (8.33%)      | 36 (37.5%)| 28 (29.17%)        |
| Computer simulation is more enjoyable and time saving than laboratory practical | 5 (5.21%)              | 13 (13.54%)   | 15 (15.63%)    | 45 (46.88%)| 18 (18.75%)        |
| Computer simulation is easy to perform than laboratory practical           | 0                      | 0             | 9 (9.38%)      | 57 (59.38%)| 30 (31.25%)        |
| CAL is an effective method of teaching practical aspects                    | 4 (4.17%)              | 21 (21.87%)   | 24 (25%)       | 40 (41.67%)| 7 (7.29%)          |
| Computer simulation contributes more to understanding theoretical concepts viz. Terms, dose and concentration than laboratory practical | 2 (2.08%)              | 27 (28.13%)   | 10 (10.42%)    | 39 (40.63%)| 18 (18.75%)        |
| In computer simulation no experimental error are seen as in laboratory practical | 3 (3.13%)              | 13 (13.54%)   | 17 (17.71%)    | 18 (18.75%)| 45 (46.88%)        |
| I would prefer to have done the experiment with computer simulation than laboratory practical | 9 (9.38%)              | 30 (31.25%)   | 13 (13.54%)    | 39 (40.63%)| 5 (5.21%)          |
| CAL is a welcome change to routine practicals                              | 4 (4.17%)              | 9 (9.38%)     | 26 (27.08%)    | 57 (59.38%)| 0                  |
| Computer simulation is the best alternative to laboratory practical         | 2 (2.08%)              | 8 (8.33%)     | 35 (36.46%)    | 44 (45.84%)| 7 (7.29%)          |
| Effects of drugs demonstrated on animals like frogs and rabbits are interesting | 5 (5.21%)              | 9 (9.38%)     | 17 (17.71%)    | 52 (54.17%)| 13 (13.54%)        |
| Many experiments can be demonstrated in a short time                       | 0                      | 5 (5.21%)     | 0              | 57 (59.38%)| 34 (35.42%)        |

The disadvantages pointed out by them include lack of interaction with living tissues and dependence on computer (Table 4).

Analysis showed that the majority i.e. 64 (66.67%) students agreed that in vitro and in vivo experiments in animals are essential for better understanding and learning of the biological process. 24 (25%) students expressed opinion against use of experimental animals and also raised the issue of ethical conduct in such work. A minority of 8 (8.33%) students were undecided on this view (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study convey the readiness of most medical students to use animals for learning and research. But in a significant percentage, the attitude towards animal experiments was contrary i.e. one third (33.33%) of medical students were neutral or opposed to vivisection as a teaching tool and two third (66.67%) were agreed that in vitro and/or in vivo experiments in animals are essential for better understanding and learning of the biological process. These findings were similar to a study done in a medical school of Israel where 59% of students expressed
a positive attitude, 13.5% were neutral and 27.5% saw the laboratory use of animals negatively.\textsuperscript{9}

Table 3: Feedback obtained from students regarding advantages of using CAL software to demonstrate drug effects by stating YES or NO.

| Statement | Response (%) (n=96) |
|-----------|---------------------|
|           | YES | NO |
| Avoids use of animals | 96 (100%) | 0 |
| Drug effects can be visualised clearly | 83 (86.46%) | 13 (13.54%) |
| Exercises difficult to be conducted in the laboratory can be demonstrated using CAL | 91 (94.79%) | 5 (5.21%) |
| Many students can observe experiments at the same time | 87 (90.63%) | 9 (9.37%) |
| Experiments can be observed repeatedly without loss of animals | 96 (100%) | 0 |
| No experimental errors are seen as in laboratory exercises | 61 (63.54%) | 35 (36.46%) |

Table 4: Feedback obtained from students regarding disadvantages of using CAL software to demonstrate drug effects by stating YES or NO.

| Statement | Response (%) |
|-----------|--------------|
|           | YES | NO |
| No direct interaction with living tissue | 87 (90.63%) | 9 (9.37%) |
| Fun of making observation is missed | 74 (77.08%) | 22 (22.92%) |
| May easily forget details | 91 (94.79%) | 5 (5.21%) |
| Experiment is programmed with prefixed doses | 91 (94.79%) | 5 (5.21%) |
| Lack of interaction with live animals | 78 (81.25%) | 18 (18.75%) |
| Experiments performed in laboratory are easier to remember | 74 (77.08%) | 22 (22.92%) |
| Variations in response as observed in living tissue cannot be observed | 74 (77.08%) | 22 (22.92%) |
| Requires expertise to handle problems related to computers | 65 (67.71%) | 31 (32.29%) |
| Expensive method of teaching | 57 (59.38%) | 39 (40.62%) |
| Practical knowledge of how to do the experiment is lost | 83 (86.46%) | 13 (13.54%) |

65% of students were agreed that computer stimulation was more enjoyable and time saving than laboratory practical. 90\% found that computer simulation was easy to perform with respect to laboratory practical. But 51\% students were against the opinion that CAL is an effective method of teaching practical aspects. 59\% students had agreed that Computer simulation contributes more to understanding theoretical concepts viz. Terms, dose and concentration than laboratory practical. 65\% had agreed that in computer simulation no experimental error was seen as in laboratory practical. But 54\% were disagree with the statement that I would prefer to have done the experiment with computer simulation than laboratory practical. 59\% were agreed to the statement that CAL is a welcome change to routine practical. 53\% were agreed to the statement that Computer simulation is the best alternative to laboratory practical. 67\% were agreed to the statement that effects of drugs demonstrated on animals like frogs and rabbits are interesting. 95\% were agreed to the statement that many experiments can be demonstrated in a short time. Results were similar to previous study.\textsuperscript{2}

Majority of students given yes/positive response/answer to table 3 questions showing advantages of using CAL software to demonstrate drug effects. Positive feedback was obtained regarding table 4 questions showing disadvantages of using CAL software to demonstrate drug effects. Results were similar to previous study.\textsuperscript{2}

Practical exercises in pharmacology fulfil certain educational objectives. Handling an animal and dissecting it helps to build the psychomotor skill of a student. On the other hand, it is not necessary that these experiments be carried out by the students “first-hand”, if possible for a faculty member to demonstrate these experiments and let the students observe the results and interpret them. Computer Assisted Learning can be very useful in such a setting. CAL also offers a stimulus- variation from the routine teaching methodologies. Attempts have been made by many teachers of pharmacology to improve teaching methods.\textsuperscript{4,11-13}

Conducting practical sessions using CAL software require planning. If the students adopted an interactive, self-learning mode the learning experience is far richer. But this calls for availability of computers to every student. While this is an ideal learning method with CAL software, many departments and institutions in this country may not be able to provide such a setting owing to cost-constraints. Therefore, we used this as a demonstration to the whole
class. Small group teaching with simultaneous discussion may be a useful method for such demonstrations. Our feedback shows that students appreciated this as a welcome change to practical sessions involving demonstrations, routinely conducted in the laboratory. Since these students have been exposed to both methods (faculty conducted demonstrations of certain experiments and also the CAL software) their observations are valid and relevant.2

Reduction in expenses involved for animal experiments is a definitive advantage and dependence on computers and technical problems arising during class are possible disadvantages of this method. It is to be noted that 87% of students who attended session 1 suggested that CAL software should be used as an adjuvant to practical laboratory sessions. It is clear from this study that CAL software can be used as an acceptable method of teaching practical pharmacology to students. Many studies have highlighted the usefulness of CAL.14,16

CONCLUSION

General impressions gained from students regarding practical with CAL laboratory using animal simulator was good. The overall view was expressed that they found the exercises interesting and educationally beneficial. Due to opposition by PETA and MCI regulations Computer Assisted Learning has gained huge potential to change the way of for animal experimentation as it meets the majority of the learning objectives as well as it is very effective and feasible. Teaching and learning in the field of medical curriculum is rapidly changing so that computer based learning methods have qualitative and quantitative potential to raise teaching standards.
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APPENDIX I

Student questionnaire on CAL (Computer Assisted Learning) and laboratory based Pharmacological practical.

Participation depends on your willingness. No personal information should be written on the paper (name, registration number). Please answer legibly and write the appropriate no. in boxes wherever required.

Sex: M/ F ___________________
Nationality: ___________________

Medium of instruction at school: English/ Regional

Was Mathematics one of your subjects at school (10+2 level)?  Yes/ No

Your attitude towards Mathematics at school:  Liked it/Neutral/Hated it

Your attitude towards Chemistry at school: Liked it/Neutral/Hated it

Government selected/ self financing

For the following statements score using the following key: 1- Strongly Disagree (SD), 2- Disagree (D), 3-No Opinion (NO), 4-Agree (A), 5-Strongly Agree (SA)

| Sl. No. | Statements                                                                 | Key with Score |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1)      | Computer simulation is more enjoyable and time saving than laboratory     | SD (1) D (2) NO (3) A (4) SA (5) |
|         |   practical                                                               |                |
| 2)      | Computer simulation is easy to perform than laboratory practical          |                |
| 3)      | CAL is an effective method of teaching practical aspects                   |                |
| 4)      | Computer simulation contributes more to understanding theoretical concepts|                |
|         |  viz. Terms, dose and concentration than laboratory practical            |                |
| 5)      | In computer simulation no experimental error are seen as in laboratory   |                |
|         |   practical                                                               |                |
| 6)      | I would prefer to have done the experiment with computer simulation      |                |
|         |   than laboratory practical                                               |                |
| 7)      | CAL is a welcome change to routine practicals                             |                |
| 8)      | Computer simulation is the best alternative to laboratory practical       |                |
| 9)      | Effects of drugs demonstrated on animals like frogs and rabbits are      |                |
|         |   interesting                                                             |                |
| 10)     | Many experiments can be demonstrated in a short time                      |                |

APPENDIX II

Feedback obtained from students regarding advantages of using CAL software to demonstrate drug effects by stating YES or NO.

| Sl. No. | Statement                                                                 | Response (YES/ NO) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1)      | Avoids use of animals                                                    |                    |
| 2)      | Drug effects can be visualised clearly                                   |                    |
| 3)      | Exercises difficult to be conducted in the laboratory can be demonstrated|                    |
|         |   using CAL                                                              |                    |
| 4)      | Many students can observe experiments at the same time                   |                    |
| 5)      | Experiments can be observed repeatedly without loss of animals           |                    |
| 6)      | No experimental errors are seen as in laboratory exercises               |                    |
APPENDIX III

Feedback obtained from students regarding disadvantages of using CAL software to demonstrate drug effects by stating YES or NO.

| Sl. No. | Statement                                                      | Response (YES/NO) |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1)     | No direct interaction with living tissue                      |                   |
| 2)     | Fun of making observation is missed                           |                   |
| 3)     | May easily forget details                                     |                   |
| 4)     | Experiment is programmed with prefixed doses                  |                   |
| 5)     | Lack of interaction with live animals                         |                   |
| 6)     | Experiments performed in laboratory are easier to remember    |                   |
| 7)     | Variations in response as observed in living tissue cannot be observed |           |
| 8)     | Requires expertise to handle problems related to computers    |                   |
| 9)     | Expensive method of teaching                                  |                   |
| 10)    | Practical knowledge of how to do the experiment is lost        |                   |