INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are one of the most important causes of healthcare-associated infections occur when an invasive surgical procedure performed. The incidence of SSIs monitored for 30 days after surgery [1]. It is still a major cause of post-operative morbidity and mortality. In addition, surgical wound infections can prolong hospitalization and increase the cost of medical care in hospitals [2]. Therefore, almost surgery should be given prophylactic antibiotics to reduce the incidence of SSI. The use of appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery is quite effective in reducing mortality and health care costs associated with infection after surgery [3]. However, the compliance to surgical guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis in some countries is still varies including in Indonesia [4-6].

Several studies showed that the rate of compliance with guidelines for the use of surgical prophylactic antibiotics ranging from 0% to 71.9% [6,7]. In developed countries, the level of compliance with prophylactic antibiotics is higher than in developing countries. The inappropriateness in administration of prophylactic antibiotics can increase the emergence of antimicrobial resistance worldwide.

In Indonesia, the study on the use of antibiotic prophylaxis is still rarely done. Some studies have shown that the compliance of prophylactic antibiotic administration still very poor [5,6,8]. Dr. H. Marzoeki Mahdi Hospital is one of the main referral hospitals in Bogor where emergency and elective surgery done in this hospital. However, study to assess the rational use of antibiotic prophylaxis has not been performed. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the administration of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis at this hospital.

METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted at the Surgical Department, in the Dr. H. Marzoeki Mahdi Hospital, Bogor Indonesia, during the year of 2013. All patients who underwent surgery were included in this study. Exclusion criteria: Patients who have received antibiotic therapy before surgery, post-operative condition cannot be followed, and patients with incomplete medical records. Medical data of patients who performed surgery in the surgical clinic included patient demographics, kind of surgical procedure, choice of antibiotic regimens, time of administration, and dosage were collected retrospectively. The compliance of prophylactic antibiotics administration was based on Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) [9].

This study was obtained ethical permission No. DL. 02. 03. 097 dated February 17, 2014, from the ethical committee of Dr. H. Marzoeki Mahdi Hospital.

RESULTS

During January to December 2013, a total of 577 patients of surgical clinic of Dr. H. Marzoeki Mahdi Hospital were enrolled and met the inclusion criteria. There were 202 males and 375 women. The demographic data are given in Table 1. The most frequent surgeries performed was general surgery 347 (60.1%), followed by obstetric operations 176 (30.5%), and orthopedic surgery 54 (9.4%). In this study, all patients received prophylactic antibiotics before surgery. Cefotaxime (87.8%) was the most commonly used antibiotics for surgical prophylaxis. Of the 577 patients, only 1.1% of patients reported with surgical site infection (SSI).

DISCUSSION

The incidence of SSI in our study was 1.1% that is lower than reported of SSI incidence rates worldwide of 2.6%-41.9% [10-12] and lower than the incidence rate of SSI that reported in some hospital in Indonesia, which is ranging from 2.3% to 21.1% [5,6,8].

The patients with surgical wound infections had an ASA score of 1 (66.7%). In general, the risk of infection depends on the value of ASA, where the higher the value of ASA, the higher the risk of infection [13].
Table 1: Demographic data and antibiotic and antibiotic prophylactic used in this study

| Characteristic | N (%) |
|---------------|-------|
| Number of patients | 577 (100) |
| Gender | |
| Males | 202 (35.1) |
| Females | 375 (65.9) |
| Age (years) | |
| <20 | 68 (11.8) |
| 20-39 | 279 (48.4) |
| 40-59 | 157 (27.2) |
| ≥60 | 73 (1.2) |
| Type of surgery | |
| Obstetrics | 176 (30.5) |
| General surgery | 347 (60.1) |
| Orthopedic surgery | 54 (9.4) |
| Duration of surgery (hrs) | |
| <1 | 527 (91.3) |
| 1-2 | 41 (7.1) |
| >2 | 9 (1.6) |
| Antibiotics | |
| Amoxicillin | 14 (2.4) |
| Cefotaxime | 374 (64.8) |
| Ceftriaxone | 54 (9.4) |
| Metronidazole | 1 (0.2) |
| Streptomycin | 3 (0.5) |
| Netilmicin sulfate | 4 (0.7) |
| Number of patient with SSI | 6 (1.1) |

SSI: Surgical site infection

This study showed that the third-generation of cephalosporin, cefotaxime (97.9%) was the preferred used antibiotics as a surgical antibiotic prophylaxis. The third-generation cephalosporin was used by surgeons because cefotaxime is broad-spectrum antibiotic which is expect to reduce the rate of SSI. In our study, a third-generation cephalosporin was prescribed for almost patients who received antibiotic prophylaxis that was not in accordance with the SIGN guidelines [9]. Interestingly, ceftriaxone is also used as a surgical prophylactic antibiotic in some hospitals in Indonesia [5,6,8]. The previous study showed that the antimicrobial agent's cefotaxime was the most commonly prescribed as antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery [9].

In some guidelines of surgical prophylactic antibiotic, the first-generation cephalosporin is recommended for various surgical antibiotic prophylactics. Ceftriaxone and cefotaxime is not recommended for use as a surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in order that the third-generation of cephalosporin can be used for the treatment of patients with serious sepsis [10-16].

However, based on some previous research ceftriaxone and cefotaxime have also been used as prophylactic antibiotics in several hospitals worldwide. Administration of ceftriaxone as antibiotic prophylaxis has been shown to be more effective to reduce the incidence of SSI [17,18], in which a single dose of ceftriaxone significantly reduces the risk of SSI and its use has been proven to save medical costs [19]. Mahotra et al. [2013] found that the maximum sensitivity of the organisms isolated from SSI was to ceftriaxone [20]. However, the use of third-generation cephalosporin excessively for surgical prophylaxis can increase the population of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus [21]. The emergence of extended spectrum beta-lactamase, vancomycin-resistant enterococci, and Clostridium difficile has also been widely reported to be associated with the inappropriate use of third-generation cephalosporin [22]. In general, non-compliance with the guidelines for surgical prophylaxis is due to a lack of awareness of the guidelines, the availability of prophylactic antibiotics, and the belief that broad-spectrum antibiotics to be more effective in preventing SSI compare with the narrow spectrum antibiotic prophylaxis [23-25]. To improve the level of compliance with the guidelines for the use of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis requires collaboration among health professionals. Clinical pharmacists have an important role to assure the proper use of prophylactic antibiotics and collaborate with other health professionals to establish a local guideline. Mapping the sensitivity patterns of bacterial pathogens isolated from the operating room should be done regularly to develop local antibiotic guidelines to optimize the use of surgical prophylactic antibiotics.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that the adherence to the guidelines of prophylactic antibiotics for surgical antibiotic prophylaxis still needs to be improved. Collaboration among health professions is needed to create a local guideline for the use of prophylactic antibiotics, to improve the compliance of the surgeon in using the surgical antibiotic prophylaxis.
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